

THE LANCET

Global Health

Supplementary appendix

This appendix formed part of the original submission and has been peer reviewed. We post it as supplied by the authors.

Supplement to: Local Burden of Disease WaSH Collaborators. Mapping geographical inequalities in access to drinking water and sanitation facilities in low-income and middle-income countries, 2000–17. *Lancet Glob Health* 2020; **8**: e1162–85.

Mapping geographical inequalities in access to drinking water and sanitation facilities in low-income and middle-income countries, 2000–17

Appendix

Contents

0.0 Gather compliance	6
1.0 Data	6
1.1 Model geographies	6
1.2 Data inclusion criteria	6
1.3 Summary of included data sources	6
1.4 Facility type classification	6
1.5 Processing data for modelling	7
1.6 Processing areal data for geostatistical modelling	7
1.7 Creating ordinal indicators	7
1.8 Data vetting	8
<i>1.8.1 Facility type classification</i>	8
<i>1.8.2 Data validity</i>	8
2.0 Covariates	8
2.1 Covariate selection	8
2.2 Modelling covariates via stacked generalisation	9
3.0 Modelling	9
3.1 Ensemble modelling	9
3.2 Priors	9
3.4 Estimate generation	10
3.5 Modelling national time trends and harmonization	10
3.6 Model validation	10
<i>3.6.1 In-sample validation</i>	10
<i>3.6.2 Out-of-sample validation</i>	11
4.0 Supplemental analyses	11
4.1 Counterfactual analysis of diarrhoeal mortality	11
4.2 Gini coefficient	11
5.0 Appendix Figures	13

<i>Appendix Figure 1. Geospatial modelling flowchart for water and sanitation</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 2. Data processing flow chart</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 3. Drinking water facility data availability by type and country, 2000–2017</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 4. Sanitation facility data availability by type and country, 2000–2017.....</i>	<i>22</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 5. Geospatial covariates for water and sanitation</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 6. Stacker plots</i>	<i>29</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 7. Finite elements mesh.....</i>	<i>30</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 8. Mean access to piped water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017.....</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 9. Mean access to improved water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017.....</i>	<i>32</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 10. Mean access to unimproved water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017.....</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 11. Mean access to surface water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017.....</i>	<i>34</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 12. Mean access to piped sanitation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017.....</i>	<i>35</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 13. Mean access to improved sanitation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017.....</i>	<i>36</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 14. Mean access to unimproved sanitation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017.....</i>	<i>37</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 15. Mean open defecation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017.....</i>	<i>38</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 16. 95% uncertainty intervals for access to piped water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2017.....</i>	<i>39</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 17. 95% uncertainty intervals for access to improved water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2017.....</i>	<i>40</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 18. 95% uncertainty intervals for access to piped sanitation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2017.....</i>	<i>41</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 19. 95% uncertainty intervals for access to improved sanitation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2017.....</i>	<i>42</i>

<i>Appendix Figure 20. Ternary water, at the first administrative and national level, 2000 and 2017.....</i>	<i>43</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 21. Ternary sanitation, at the first administrative and national level, 2000 and 2017</i>	<i>44</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 22. In sample statistics for piped water model by country and year</i>	<i>46</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 23. In sample statistics for piped water model by first administrative level and year</i>	<i>47</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 24. In sample statistics for piped water model by second administrative level and year</i>	<i>48</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 25. In sample statistics for conditional improved water model by country and year.....</i>	<i>49</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 26. In sample statistics for conditional improved water model by first administrative level and year</i>	<i>50</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 27. In sample statistics for conditional improved water model by second administrative level and year</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 28. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved water model by country and year</i>	<i>52</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 29. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved water model by first administrative level and year</i>	<i>53</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 30. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved water model by second administrative level and year</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 31. In sample statistics for piped sanitation model by country and year.....</i>	<i>55</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 32. In sample statistics for piped sanitation model by first administrative level and year</i>	<i>56</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 33. In sample statistics for piped sanitation model by second administrative level and year</i>	<i>57</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 34. In sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by country and year</i>	<i>58</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 35. In sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by first administrative level and year</i>	<i>59</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 36. In sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by second administrative level and year</i>	<i>60</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 37. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by country and year</i>	<i>61</i>

<i>Appendix Figure 38. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by first administrative level and year</i>	<i>62</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 39. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by second administrative level and year</i>	<i>63</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 40. Out-of-sample statistics for piped water model by country and year</i>	<i>65</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 41. Out-of-sample statistics for piped water model by first administrative level and year</i>	<i>66</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 42. Out-of-sample statistics for piped water model by second administrative level and year</i>	<i>67</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 43. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved water model by country and year</i>	<i>68</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 44. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved water model by first administrative level and year</i>	<i>69</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 45. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved water model by second administrative level and year</i>	<i>70</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 46. Out-of-sample for conditional unimproved water model by country and year.....</i>	<i>71</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 47. Out-of-sample for conditional unimproved water model by first administrative level and year</i>	<i>72</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 48. Out-of-sample for conditional unimproved water model by second administrative level and year</i>	<i>73</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 49. Out-of-sample for piped sanitation model country and year.....</i>	<i>74</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 50. Out-of-sample for piped sanitation model first administrative level and year.....</i>	<i>75</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 51. Out-of-sample for piped sanitation model second administrative level and year.....</i>	<i>76</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 52. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by country and year</i>	<i>77</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 53. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by first administrative level and year.....</i>	<i>78</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 54. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by second administrative level and year</i>	<i>79</i>
<i>Appendix Figure 55. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by country and year</i>	<i>80</i>

<i>Appendix Figure 56. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by first administrative level and year</i>	81
<i>Appendix Figure 57. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by second administrative level and year</i>	82
<i>Appendix Figure 58. Correlation between improved water and World Health Organization Joint Monitoring Programme improved water estimates</i>	83
<i>Appendix Figure 59. Correlation between improved sanitation and World Health Organization Joint Monitoring Programme improved sanitation estimates</i>	84
<i>Appendix Figure 60. Correlation between open defecation and World Health Organization Joint Monitoring Programme open defecation estimates</i>	85
<i>Appendix Figure 61. All indicator data validation plot</i>	86
<i>Appendix Figure 62. Indicator-specific data validation plot</i>	87
<i>Appendix Figure 63. Rate, ratio, and percentage of deaths averted by access to improved drinking water facility at the second-administrative-unit level</i>	88
<i>Appendix Figure 64. Rate, ratio, and percentage of deaths averted by access to improved sanitation facility at the second-administrative-unit level</i>	89
6.0 Appendix Tables	91
7.0 Appendix References	149
8.0 Author contributions	150
9.0 Piped water proportion by country	157
10.0 Improved water proportion by country	2191
11.0 Sewer or septic sanitation proportion by country	3208
12.0 Improved sanitation proportion by country	4416
13.0 Open defecation proportion by country	5624

0.0 Gather compliance

Please see Appendix Table 1 for discussion on how this study meets the conditions for GATHER guidelines.

1.0 Data

1.1 Model geographies

This analysis selected 88 countries for water and 89 countries for sanitation based on their Socio-demographic Index (SDI) (see Appendix Table 2).¹ The SDI is a measure of education, fertility, and income. Countries included were in the middle, lower-middle, or low SDI quintiles with certain exceptions. China and Libya were included despite higher-middle SDI status to create better geographic continuity. Countries were excluded due to lack of available data in the Global Health Data Exchange (GHDx)² or to support the modeling paradigm from either the water or sanitation analysis or both. We also excluded island nations with fewer than 1 million inhabitants, which were the nations of Fiji, São Tomé and Príncipe, Solomon Islands, Maldives, Vanuatu, Samoa, Saint Lucia, Kiribati, St. Vincent and the Grenadines, Grenada, Micronesia, Tonga, Seychelles, Dominica, Marshall Islands, and American Samoa. These countries were typically data-scarce and did not have sufficient geographic continuity for a geospatial analytic approach to be advantageous over a national one.

We subdivided the spatial modelling domain by country (see Appendix Figure 3). By modelling each country individually, we were able to account for potential non-stationarity in the relationships between covariates and the outcome across the modelling domain as each country would be modelled independently. Furthermore, subdividing the modeling domain allowed for computational feasibility.

1.2 Data inclusion criteria

For a survey or census to be considered for this analysis, we required that it fit our country inclusion criteria outlined above, included geography information more granular than the national level, had data collected during the time frame of 2000 to 2017, had survey weights if the survey was not self-weighted, and had data on household drinking water source or toilet type. Furthermore, sources were only included for modelling if they were representative of the entire population during the time period and across the geographic area of measurement. Additionally, certain sources were excluded if the associated estimates seemed implausible based on expert review of estimates and comparison with other sources (including WHO-UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme [JMP] estimates) in the same country and time period (see Appendix Section 1.8.2). After screening 2,175 household survey and census sources that were tagged for water, sanitation, and hygiene relevance in the GHDx,³ 833 sources met all of the inclusion criteria and were extracted and collated for analysis (see Appendix Figure 2). They were then further vetted and outliers were removed from modelling.

1.3 Summary of included data sources

The household and census surveys used in modelling access to water and sanitation can be found at <http://ghdx.healthdata.org/record/ihme-data/lmic-drinking-water-and-sanitation-facilities-access-geospatial-estimates-2000-2017> and are visualised in Appendix Figures 4a–e and 5a–e respectively. 501 data sources from 88 countries were included in the water model, and 457 data sources from 89 countries were included in the sanitation model.

1.4 Facility type classification

The types of water and sanitation facilities reported were categorised into the standard indicators developed by the WHO-UNICEF JMP.⁴ Each type of drinking water facility was classified as piped, improved well, unimproved well, improved spring, unimproved spring, other improved, other unimproved, or surface water.

Similarly, each sanitation facility was classified as sewer or septic, other improved flush toilet, unimproved flush toilet, improved latrine, unimproved latrine, other improved, other unimproved, or open defecation.

Wells, springs, flush toilets, and latrines can be categorised as improved or unimproved depending on their respective construction. When responses were ambiguous regarding the details of their construction, summary mean access was calculated at each space-time location for ambiguous versions of the corresponding facility (ambiguous wells, ambiguous latrines, etc.). Then these estimates were divided into improved and unimproved based on the ratio of improved to unimproved of the corresponding facility prevalent in the same country. If a country had less than five unique data sources, the ratio was derived from across the modelling region the data source was in. For example, the mean prevalence of ambiguous latrines in Nigeria was divided into prevalence of improved and unimproved latrines based on the ratio of improved to unimproved latrines in Nigeria from other data sources. These estimates were then combined with the overall access levels to improved and unimproved facilities correspondingly.

After classification, the facility type data were appropriately combined in accordance with JMP definitions to yield the respective water and sanitation indicators. This resulted in piped, other improved, unimproved, and surface water for water indicators, and sewer or septic, other improved, unimproved, and open defecation for sanitation indicators.

1.5 Processing data for modelling

Data corresponding to each georeferenced point were summarised as survey weighted means. For data without corresponding GPS coordinates, data were summarised across the smallest spatial area the data were representative over to produce areal estimates. Household sizes and survey weights were used to produce weighted means of access representative at the individual level. The household sizes and survey weights were further used in a Kish approximation⁵ of an effective sample size for each mean in order to account for the complex survey design of most of the data used.

When household size information was missing, the corresponding cluster of data was adjusted as follows. Overall, data were tagged as urban, rural, or unspecified. For each category, logistic regressions were fit on means calculated with and without household sizes (assuming household size equals 1). A single indicator variable was used as a covariate. The ratios obtained as the fixed effect corresponding to the indicator variable acted as an adjustment scalar for adjusting urban, rural, or unspecified data with missing household size information. Additionally, if more than 20% of a cluster was missing information on water source or sanitation facility, we dropped the cluster from the analysis. Furthermore, if a cluster or a data source was missing weights and the source used a weighted sampling strategy, we excluded the source

1.6 Processing areal data for geostatistical modelling

For areal data, 10,000 locations were randomly sampled from the area using population values from WorldPop⁶ raster to weight the sampled points. K-means clustering was performed over these points to generate integration points (1 point per 1,000 WorldPop grid cells encompassing the area) to be used in the modelling. Weights were assigned to integration points as the proportion of the original 10,000 points that entered the k-means cluster. Each of these integration points was assigned the areal mean. The integration points were included in the input dataset for further modelling. In this manner, the spatial variation of covariates could be leveraged and areal data could be incorporated into the geostatistical model. The above resampling method used is consistent with the resampling conducted in the previously published geospatial modelling of diphtheria-pertussis-tetanus vaccine coverage.⁷

1.7 Creating ordinal indicators

In order to appropriately account for the mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive nature of the indicators, we used a continuation ratio ordinal regression framework. Data were processed to generate a set

of independent and conditional variables that were subsequently modelled. The following outlines how this framework was implemented for the water indicators:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Water facilities: } \{Piped, Other\ Improved, Unimproved, Surface\} \\
 & \text{Modeled Variables: } \{Piped, Other\ Improved_{conditional}, Unimproved_{conditional}\} \\
 & 1 = Piped + Other\ Improved + Unimproved + Surface \\
 & Other\ Improved_{conditional} = \frac{Other\ Improved}{1 - Piped} \\
 & Unimproved_{conditional} = \frac{Unimproved}{1 - Piped - Other\ Improved}
 \end{aligned}$$

Sanitation indicators were also modelled via variables generated in an ordinal continuation ratio framework as above. In the case of sanitation, sewer or septic sanitation was the independent category just as piped water was in the case of water.

1.8 Data vetting

1.8.1 Facility type classification

Prior to modelling, all categorisations of types of water and sanitation facilities by the JMP standard indicators were thoroughly reviewed. Using the JMP classifications as a guide, every unique type of water and sanitation facility was independently scrutinised to ensure proper categorisation. In the case of facility types in a foreign language, translations were checked and verified through survey documentation or Google Translate. These final vetted lists of categorised drinking water and sanitation facilities were used in subsequent data vetting and model runs.

1.8.2 Data validity

Following the vetting of indicator string matching, all surveys were systematically reviewed for data quality. Country-specific diagnostic plots were produced for water and sanitation plotting each data source's national estimate of each water and sanitation indicator (see Appendix Figure 62). The estimate for each indicator from each data source was compared to the other available data sources for that country for similar years, as well as estimates for the corresponding indicator and country from the JMP (see Appendix Figure 63). If the data source's estimate was considered implausibly different from other sources as well as the JMP estimate, then the source was excluded. Each country-specific diagnostic plot was independently reviewed, considering country and time trends, as well as whether a survey was nationally or subnationally representative. After data source exclusions, of the 826 data sources extracted and collated for inclusion in the water analysis, 501 sources were included in the final model dataset. Similarly, of the 747 data sources extracted and collated for inclusion in the sanitation analysis, 457 sources were included in the final model dataset (see Appendix Figure 2).

2.0 Covariates

2.1 Covariate selection

The first stage of modelling used 7 covariates, composed of various environmental and social indicators (see Appendix Tables 3–5). Each covariate was formatted at a 5×5 -km resolution from 2000 to 2017 (see Appendix Figure 6). They included travel time to nearest settlement, aridity, elevation, urbanicity, length of growing season, irrigation, and time.

In the first stage, for each country, three types of models were fit to the data: generalised additive models (GAM), boosted regression trees (BRT), and lasso regression. In doing so, we attempted to account for the varying and potentially non-linear relationship between each of the covariates and the outcome indicator. Each of the seven covariates was used in the BRT and lasso regression model.

2.2 Modelling covariates via stacked generalisation

As noted above, for each country, three models were fit to the data: GAM, BRT, and lasso regression. Each model was fit using five-fold cross-validation (out-of-sample) as well as on the entire dataset at once (in-sample). Out-of-sample predictions were generated from each model and logit transformed for subsequent use as covariates in the geostatistical model. The logit transformation was conducted to ensure the covariate and the outcome water and sanitation indicator data would be in the same functional space. The in-sample predictions from these “sub-models” were used as covariates when generating predictions using the fitted relationships from the geostatistical model.

3.0 Modelling

3.1 Ensemble modelling

A Bayesian spatiotemporal generalised linear regression model was used to fit the binomial data of access to water and sanitation using a logit link function in each country. The model was defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_i | p_i, N_i &\sim \text{Binomial}(p_i, N_i) \\
 \text{logit}(p_i) &= \beta_0 + \boldsymbol{\beta} \mathbf{X}_i + \epsilon_{GP_i} \\
 \epsilon_i &\sim N(0, \sigma_{nu}^2) \\
 \epsilon_i &\sim N(0, \sigma_{ctry}^2) \\
 \epsilon_{GP} | \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{space}} &\sim GP(0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{space}}) \\
 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{space}} &= \frac{2^{1-\nu}}{\tau \times \Gamma(\nu)} \times (\kappa \mathbf{D})^\nu \times \text{K}_\nu(\kappa \mathbf{D})
 \end{aligned}$$

Within each country, the number of individuals at cluster, C_i , from a sample of individuals, N_i , were modelled as binomial counts. The counts, C_i , probabilities, p_i , predictions, and residuals, ϵ , were all indexed in space and time. The logit access, $\text{logit}(p_i)$, was modelled as a linear combination of the out-of-sample predictions from three sub-models, \mathbf{X} and a spatial Gaussian process, ϵ_{GP_i} . The spatial Gaussian process had a Matérn spatial process. This allowed for the correlation structure in the residuals to be leveraged for improved predictions. The posterior distributions were fit using R-INLA^{8,9} with the stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE) approximation to Gaussian process regression. Data points from sources that were not nationally representative were not included in estimation of the linear fixed effects, \mathbf{X} , but only in the spatial Gaussian process, ϵ_{GP_i} .

3.2 Priors

The following priors were used for all models:

- $\beta_0 \sim N(\mu = 0, \sigma^2 = 1000)$,
- $\boldsymbol{\beta} \sim^{iid} N\left(\mu = \frac{1}{\# \text{ ensemble models}}, \sigma^2 = 1000\right)$
- $\theta_1 = \log(\tau) \sim N(\mu_{\theta_1}, \sigma_{\theta_1}^2)$
- $\theta_2 = \log(\kappa) \sim N(\mu_{\theta_2}, \sigma_{\theta_2}^2)$

Uncorrelated multivariate normal priors were used as determined by INLA based on the finite elements mesh construction for the log-transformed spatial hyperparameters κ and τ . The mean (μ) and variance (σ^2) parameters for the hyperpriors selected by INLA for each country can be found in Appendix Tables 7–12. α and γ in the *loggamma* distribution represent scale and shape, respectively.

3.4 Estimate generation

Models were fit in INLA consistent with methods used in the previously published geospatial modelling of diphtheria-pertussis-tetanus vaccine coverage.¹⁰

Georeferenced data points were modelled with weights set to 1. Integration points as a result of k-means clustering were modelled with weights corresponding to the weight generated from the k-means algorithm as described above. The weights for each data point represented the relative contribution to the log-likelihood. In this manner, points as a result of resampling contributed less than true georeferenced points to the model fit. Additionally, the contribution of points from the resampling process to the log-likelihood estimation was diffused across the polygon.

The covariates for the model were the out-of-sample predictions from each sub-model as described above. After the fitting process, estimates were generated by taking 250 draws from the posterior distribution and using the in-sample predictions from the sub-models as covariates to generate prediction surfaces across the modelled country. The 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles were calculated across draws for each grid cell to generate 95% uncertainty intervals in addition to means. Grid-cell-level estimates were aggregated to the second administrative level (eg, districts, counties) and first administrative level (eg, states, provinces) by calculating population-weighted averages across each respective administrative boundary.

3.5 Modelling national time trends and harmonization

To capture national time trends in access to water and sanitation, separate generalized additive models were fitted to national aggregates of data sources that were nationally representative for each country. The relationship with covariates were modelled as thin-plate splines in countries where there was more than three years of data. In countries where they had three or fewer years of data available in the modeling period, the relationships were estimated as linear fixed effects.

3.6 Model validation

For model validation, the INLA model was tested in and out of sample as described below before the estimates were harmonized to national time trends. This was done to test the performance of the geostatistical model itself. Certain countries were excluded by indicator as their data could not support five-fold cross validation and the exclusions represent a small minority of the full sample of the data. The exclusions are as follows: 1) Bolivia, Brazil, Gabon, Indonesia, Cambodia, Madagascar, Peru, Papua New Guinea, Tanzania, South Africa, and Vietnam for piped water, 2) Bolivia, Brazil, Botswana, Central African Republic, Gambia, Indonesia, Kyrgyzstan, Morocco, and Mongolia for conditional improved water, 3) Gambia, Jordan, and Libya for conditional unimproved water, 3) Mexico and Swaziland for sewer or septic sanitation, 4) Mexico for conditional improved sanitation, and 5) Libya and Mozambique for conditional unimproved sanitation.

3.6.1 In-sample validation

To assess the in-sample fit of our model, we calculated mean error (ME, or bias), root-mean-squared-error (RMSE), and 95% coverage of our predictive intervals (the proportion of observed data that fall within our predicted 95% uncertainty intervals). We present these calculations at the first and second administrative-level units for 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2017 for each indicator and country in Appendix Tables 13–18. Appendix Figures 23–40 show in sample prediction versus observed data.

3.6.2 Out-of-sample validation

We examined the predictive validity of our modelling strategy using five-fold out-of-sample cross-validation. Folds were created by randomly assigning entire first administrative-level units, stratified by country, to one of five folds. For each modelling country, we ran the entire modelling process once per fold, in addition to the full in-sample runs described above, generating a complete set of out-of-sample predictions. Using these out-of-sample predictions, we then calculated mean error (ME, or bias), root-mean-squared-error (RMSE, which summarises total variance), and 95% coverage of our predictive intervals (the proportion of observed out-of-sample data that fall within our predicted 95% credible intervals) aggregated to the spatial holdout level. Appendix Figures 41–58 show out-of-sample prediction versus observed data. Similarly, Appendix Tables 19–24 summarise out-of-sample statistics.

4.0 Supplemental analyses

4.1 Counterfactual analysis of diarrhoeal mortality

In order to assess the impacts of changes in access to water and sanitation, we conducted counterfactual analyses in a comparative risk assessment framework of the impact of water and sanitation access on diarrhoeal mortality. First, we used risk ratios from the Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study 2017⁹ associated with unsafe water and sanitation to calculate the attributable fraction of diarrhoeal deaths to unsafe water and sanitation for each second administrative-level unit in 2017 as follows.

Furthermore, we constructed a counterfactual attributable fraction by conducting the above calculation with levels of water and sanitation access observed in 2000. We calculated the number of deaths averted in 2017 due to changes since 2000 as the difference in the attributable deaths between the observed and the counterfactual (see Appendix Figures 64–65).

$$\begin{aligned} PAF_{counterfactual} &= \frac{\sum(access_{2000} * risk\ ratios) - 1}{\sum(access_{2000} * risk\ ratios)} \\ PAF_{observed} &= \frac{\sum(access_{2017} * risk\ ratios) - 1}{\sum(access_{2017} * risk\ ratios)} \\ Number\ averted &= deaths_{2017} * \frac{(1 - PAF_{observed})}{(1 - PAF_{counterfactual})(PAF_{counterfactual} - PAF_{observed})} \\ Rate\ averted &= \frac{Number\ averted}{Total\ Population} * 1000 \\ Percent\ averted &= \frac{Number\ averted}{Numer\ averted + Observed\ Deaths} \\ Ratio\ averted &= \frac{Number\ averted}{Observed\ Deaths - Number\ Averted} \end{aligned}$$

4.2 Gini coefficient

The Gini coefficient¹¹ is a popular measure of inequality, originally applied to economics. For income inequality, the Gini coefficient assesses the magnitude of disparity between the richest and poorest individuals. In this context, equality corresponds to wealth uniformly distributed across the population, and inequality corresponds to a small number of individuals possessing the majority of the wealth. The Gini coefficient for wealth can be calculated directly from the Lorenz curve, which sorts individuals by their income and plots cumulative percentages of individuals against their corresponding fraction of wealth. For the purposes of this study, “wealth” is defined as improved water or improved sanitation for each second administrative-level unit. The Gini coefficient is then calculated as one minus twice the area under the Lorenz curve. An alternative formulation of the Gini coefficient calculates the relative mean absolute

difference in wealth, and then observes that the Gini coefficient is half the resulting quantity. If x_i is the wealth of the i^{th} individual (out of n individuals), the Gini coefficient, G , is given as:

$$G = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |x_i - x_j|}{2n \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

5.0 Appendix Figures


Appendix Figure 1. Geospatial modelling flowchart for water and sanitation	16
Appendix Figure 2. Data processing flow chart	17
Appendix Figure 3. Drinking water facility data availability by type and country, 2000–2017	18
Appendix Figure 4. Sanitation facility data availability by type and country, 2000–2017 ..	22
Appendix Figure 5. Geospatial covariates for water and sanitation	28
Appendix Figure 6. Stacker plots	29
Appendix Figure 7. Finite elements mesh.....	30
Appendix Figure 8. Mean access to piped water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017	31
Appendix Figure 9. Mean access to improved water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017	32
Appendix Figure 10. Mean access to unimproved water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017	33
Appendix Figure 11. Mean access to surface water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017	34
Appendix Figure 12. Mean access to piped sanitation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017	35
Appendix Figure 13. Mean access to improved sanitation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017	36
Appendix Figure 14. Mean access to unimproved sanitation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017	37
Appendix Figure 15. Mean open defecation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017	38
Appendix Figure 16. 95% uncertainty intervals for access to piped water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2017.....	39
Appendix Figure 17. 95% uncertainty intervals for access to improved water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2017.....	40
Appendix Figure 18. 95% uncertainty intervals for access to piped sanitation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2017.....	41
Appendix Figure 19. 95% uncertainty intervals for access to improved sanitation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2017	42
Appendix Figure 20. Ternary water, at the first administrative and national level, 2000 and 2017	43
Appendix Figure 21. Ternary sanitation, at the first administrative and national level, 2000 and 2017.....	44
Appendix Figure 22. In sample statistics for piped water model by country and year.....	46

Appendix Figure 23. In sample statistics for piped water model by first administrative level and year	47
Appendix Figure 24. In sample statistics for piped water model by second administrative level and year	48
Appendix Figure 25. In sample statistics for conditional improved water model by country and year	49
Appendix Figure 26. In sample statistics for conditional improved water model by first administrative level and year	50
Appendix Figure 27. In sample statistics for conditional improved water model by second administrative level and year	51
Appendix Figure 28. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved water model by country and year	52
29Appendix Figure 29. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved water model by first administrative level and year	53
Appendix Figure 30. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved water model by second administrative level and year.....	54
Appendix Figure 31. In sample statistics for piped sanitation model by country and year	55
Appendix Figure 32. In sample statistics for piped sanitation model by first administrative level and year	56
Appendix Figure 33. In sample statistics for piped sanitation model by second administrative level and year	57
Appendix Figure 34. In sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by country and year	58
Appendix Figure 35. In sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by first administrative level and year	59
Appendix Figure 36. In sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by second administrative level and year.....	60
Appendix Figure 37. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by country and year	61
Appendix Figure 38. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by first administrative level and year	62
Appendix Figure 39. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by second administrative level and year.....	63
Appendix Figure 40. Out-of-sample statistics for piped water model by country and year	65
Appendix Figure 41. Out-of-sample statistics for piped water model by first administrative level and year.....	66
Appendix Figure 42. Out-of-sample statistics for piped water model by second administrative level and year.....	67
Appendix Figure 43. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved water model by country and year	68

Appendix Figure 44. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved water model by first administrative level and year	69
Appendix Figure 45. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved water model by second administrative level and year	70
Appendix Figure 46. Out-of-sample for conditional unimproved water model by country and year	71
Appendix Figure 47. Out-of-sample for conditional unimproved water model by first administrative level and year	72
Appendix Figure 48. Out-of-sample for conditional unimproved water model by second administrative level and year	73
Appendix Figure 49. Out-of-sample for piped sanitation model country and year	74
Appendix Figure 50. Out-of-sample for piped sanitation model first administrative level and year	75
Appendix Figure 51. Out-of-sample for piped sanitation model second administrative level and year	76
Appendix Figure 52. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by country and year	77
Appendix Figure 53. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by first administrative level and year	78
Appendix Figure 54. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by second administrative level and year	79
Appendix Figure 55. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by country and year	80
Appendix Figure 56. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by first administrative level and year	81
Appendix Figure 57. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by second administrative level and year	82
Appendix Figure 58. Correlation between improved water and World Health Organization Joint Monitoring Programme improved water estimates	83
Appendix Figure 59. Correlation between improved sanitation and World Health Organization Joint Monitoring Programme improved sanitation estimates	84
Appendix Figure 60. Correlation between open defecation and World Health Organization Joint Monitoring Programme open defecation estimates	85
Appendix Figure 61. All indicator data validation plot	86
Appendix Figure 62. Indicator-specific data validation plot	87
Appendix Figure 63. Rate, ratio, and percentage of deaths averted by access to improved drinking water facility at the second-administrative-unit level	88
Appendix Figure 64. Rate, ratio, and percentage of deaths averted by access to improved sanitation facility at the second-administrative-unit level	89


Appendix Figure 1. Geospatial modelling flowchart for water and sanitation

The geospatial modelling process consists of four sections. First (in blue), we compile all available survey data that can be referenced to a coordinate/point (eg, survey cluster) or small polygon unit and calculate access to drinking water sources and sanitation facilities at the respective level (Section 1.0). Data matched to polygons are resampled into pseudo points using a k-means clustering algorithm (Section 1.6). Covariates are subsequently merged to the points and pseudo points via a spatial join. Second (green), we use the point data and their associated covariates and a stacked generalisation ensemble model (Section 2.2). The children models, boosted regression trees, generalised additive models, and lasso regression are fit using a 5-fold cross-validation process (Section 3.1). The cross-validated predictions from each model then serve as the covariate values for the main/parent model (spatiotemporal GPR model) (Section 3.1). The predictions from when the child models are fit on all the data (rather than 4/5^{ths} implied by the cross-validation) are then used to create posterior predictions of access to water and sanitation facilities prevalence in a continuous grid for the years 2000–2017 (Section 3.4). Finally (orange), we aggregate our estimates to first administrative-level units (Section 3.4).



Appendix Figure 2. Data processing flow chart


Flow chart of source identification, inclusion, and exclusion criteria. This chart illustrates the database searched for this analysis, the GHDx,¹² the resulting number of potential sources identified from the search, and the number and reasons for excluding sources based on the inclusion criteria for this analysis (Section 1.2). We excluded data after reviewing its data collection methodology and based on evaluating against other available data for the country and known plausibility of change in water and sanitation access.



Appendix Figure 3. Drinking water facility data availability by type and country, 2000–2017


All data are shown by country and year of survey and mapped at their corresponding geopositioned coordinate or area. In the left panel, the total number of points and polygons (areal) for each country are plotted by data source, type, and sample size. Sample size represents the number of individual microdata records for each survey. In the right panel, mean access to drinking water source for the input coordinate or area is mapped. Figure a) shows drinking water facility data availability in Africa by type and country from 2000 to 2017. Figure b) shows drinking water facility data availability in Latin America and the Caribbean by type and country from 2000 to 2017. Figure c) shows drinking water facility data availability in southeast Asia by type and country from 2000 to 2017. Figure d) shows drinking water facility data availability in south Asia by type and country from 2000 to 2017. Figure e) shows drinking water facility data availability in the Middle East and central Asia by type and country from 2000 to 2017.

a)



b)

Access to Drinking Water Facilities: Latin America and Caribbean




Data Source
 CDC_RHS
 COUNTRY_SPECIFI
 MACRO_AIS
 MACRO_DHS
 UNICEF_MICS
 WB_LSMS


Data Type
 ● Point
 ▲ Polygon

Sample Size
 0
 ● 25000
 ● 50000
 ● 75000
 ● 100000
 ● 125000


2000-2002





2003-2007



2008-2012




2013-2017



N: 5,430,373
 Points: 19,292
 Polygons: 16,341

c)

Access to Drinking Water Facilities: Southeast Asia




Data Source
 ADB_DHS
 COUNTRY_SPECIFIC
 MACRO_AIS
 MACRO_DHS
 RAND_FLS
 UNICEF_MICS
 WB_LSMS


Data Type
 ● Point
 ▲ Polygon

Sample Size
 - 0
 ● 25000
 ● 50000
 ● 75000
 ● 100000
 ● 125000


2000-2002





2003-2007



2008-2012




2013-2017



N: 110,338,583
 Points: 94,956
 Polygons: 5,086

d)

Access to Drinking Water Facilities:
South Asia




Data Source
 COUNTRY_SPECIFI
 JHSPH_PERFORMAN
 MACRO_DHS
 UNICEF_MICS
 WB_LSMS
 WHO_SAGE


Data Type
 Point
 Polygon

Sample Size
 0
 25000
 50000
 75000
 100000
 125000


2000-2002





2003-2007



2008-2012




2013-2017



N: 7,730,936
 Points: 37,337
 Polygons: 2,511

e)

Access to Drinking Water Facilities: Middle East and Central Asia




Appendix Figure 4. Sanitation facility data availability by type and country, 2000–2017

All data are shown by country and year of survey and mapped at their corresponding geospatial coordinate or area. In the left panel, the total number of points and polygons (areal) for each country are plotted by data source, type, and sample size. Sample size represents the number of individual microdata records for each survey. In the right panel, mean access to sanitation facilities for the input coordinate or area is mapped. Figure **a**) shows sanitation facility data availability in Africa by type and country from 2000 to 2017. Figure **b**) shows sanitation facility data availability in Latin America and the Caribbean by type and country from 2000 to 2017. Figure **c**) shows sanitation facility data availability in southeast Asia by type and country from 2000 to 2017. Figure **d**) shows sanitation facility data availability in south Asia by type and country from 2000 to 2017. Figure **e**) shows sanitation facility data availability in the Middle East and central Asia by type and country from 2000 to 2017.


a)

Access to Sanitation Facilities:


Africa




2000-2002





2003-2007



2008-2012




2013-2017



N: 85,961,835
Points: 51,720
Polygons: 5,943

b)

Access to Sanitation Facilities: Latin America and Caribbean




Data Source
 CDC_RHS
 COUNTRY_SPECIFI
 IPUMS_CENSUS
 MACRO_AIS
 MACRO_DHS
 UNICEF_MICS
 WB_LSMS


Data Type
 ● Point
 ▲ Polygon

Sample Size
 ● 0
 ● 40000
 ● 80000
 ● 120000
 ● 160000


2000-2002





2003-2007



2008-2012




2013-2017




N: 42,421,782
 Points: 18,240
 Polygons: 18,997

c)


Access to Sanitation Facilities: Southeast Asia




2000-2002





2003-2007



2008-2012




2013-2017




N: 230,672,835
Points: 38,203
Polygons: 4,771

d)


Access to Sanitation Facilities: South Asia




2000-2002





2003-2007



2008-2012




2013-2017



N: 6,871,037
Points: 34,218
Polygons: 2,239

e)

Access to Sanitation Facilities: Middle East and Central Asia




Data Source
 COUNTRY_SPECIFI
 IPUMS_CENSUS
 MACRO_DHS
 UNICEF_MICS
 WB_LSMS


Data Type
 Point
 Polygon

Sample Size
 0
 40000
 80000
 120000
 160000


2000-2002





2003-2007



2008-2012




2013-2017



N: 6,388,972
 Points: 5,730
 Polygons: 1,391


Appendix Figure 5. Geospatial covariates for water and sanitation

Twenty-three covariate raster layers of possible socioeconomic and environmental correlates of access to improved water and sanitation prevalence were used as inputs for the stacking modelling process. Time-varying (^{TV}) covariates are presented for the year 2017. For the year of production of non-time-varying covariates, please refer to the individual covariate citation in Table 3 for additional detail. Covariates are labelled as follows: access to roads [*access2*], aridity^{TV} [*aridity*], elevation [*elevation*], urban or rural^{TV} [*ghslurbanicity*], growing season length [*growingseason*], irrigation [*irrigation*], time [*year_cov*]. Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as “barren or sparsely vegetated” and had fewer than ten people per 1 × 1-km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.¹³



Appendix Figure 6. Stacker plots


Below the ensemble modelling process is illustrated. As an example, we have plotted predictions of access to piped water in 2000. Panels **A-C** depict predictions from generalised additive model (**A**), boosted regression trees (**B**), and penalised lasso regression child models (**C**). Panel (**D**) illustrates predictions from our ensemble Bayesian geostatistical model that uses the child model predictions as covariates for prediction.



Appendix Figure 7. Finite elements mesh


The finite elements mesh used to fit the space-time correlated error for Lesotho is shown as an example. The land area to be modelled over is divided into discrete areas via Delaunay triangulation.

Constrained refined Delaunay triangulation




Appendix Figure 8. Mean access to piped water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017

Panels a-b present mean access to piped water at the 5 × 5-km resolution in 2000 and 2017. Panels c-d present mean access to piped water at the second administrative level in 2000 and 2017. Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as “barren or sparsely vegetated” and had fewer than ten people per 1 × 1-km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.^{5,11–15}




Appendix Figure 9. Mean access to improved water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017

Panels a-b present mean access to improved water at the 5 × 5-km resolution in 2000 and 2017. Panels c-d present mean access to improved water at the second administrative level in 2000 and 2017. Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as “barren or sparsely vegetated” and had fewer than ten people per 1 × 1-km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.^{5,11–15}




Appendix Figure 10. Mean access to unimproved water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017

Panels a-b present mean access to unimproved water at the 5 × 5-km resolution in 2000 and 2017. Panels c-d present mean access to unimproved water at the second administrative level in 2000 and 2017. Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as “barren or sparsely vegetated” and had fewer than ten people per 1 × 1-km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.^{5,11-15}




Appendix Figure 11. Mean access to surface water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017

Panels a-b present mean access to surface water at the 5 × 5-km resolution in 2000 and 2017. Panels c-d present mean access to surface water at the second administrative level in 2000 and 2017. Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as “barren or sparsely vegetated” and had fewer than ten people per 1 × 1-km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.^{5,11–15}




Appendix Figure 12. Mean access to piped sanitation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017

Panels a-b present mean access to piped sanitation at the 5 × 5-km resolution in 2000 and 2017. Panels c-d present mean access to piped sanitation at the second administrative level in 2000 and 2017. Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as “barren or sparsely vegetated” and had fewer than ten people per 1 × 1-km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.^{5,11–15}




Appendix Figure 13. Mean access to improved sanitation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017

Panels **a-b** present mean access to improved sanitation at the 5 × 5-km resolution in 2000 and 2017. Panels **c-d** present mean access to improved sanitation at the second administrative level in 2000 and 2017. Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as “barren or sparsely vegetated” and had fewer than ten people per 1 × 1-km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses^{5,11–15}




Appendix Figure 14. Mean access to unimproved sanitation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017

Panels a-b present mean access to unimproved sanitation at the 5 × 5-km resolution in 2000 and 2017. Panels c-d present mean access to unimproved sanitation at the second administrative level in 2000 and 2017. Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as “barren or sparsely vegetated” and had fewer than ten people per 1 × 1-km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.^{5,11–15}




Appendix Figure 15. Mean open defecation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2000 and 2017

Panels a-b present mean open defecation at the 5 × 5-km resolution in 2000 and 2017. Panels c-d present mean open defecation at the second administrative level in 2000 and 2017. Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as “barren or sparsely vegetated” and had fewer than ten people per 1 × 1-km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.^{5,11-15}



Appendix Figure 16. 95% uncertainty intervals for access to piped water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2017


95% uncertainty intervals were calculated as the difference between the 97.5th percentile and the 2.5th percentile of the posterior distribution. Panel A) presents uncertainty at the 5 × 5-km grid cell and panel B) presents uncertainty at the second administrative level. Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as “barren or sparsely vegetated” and had fewer than ten people per 1 × 1-km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.^{5,11–15}



b


Appendix Figure 17. 95% uncertainty intervals for access to improved water, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2017

95% uncertainty intervals were calculated as the difference between the 97.5th percentile and the 2.5th percentile of the posterior distribution. Panel **A**) presents uncertainty at the 5 × 5-km grid cell and panel **B**) presents uncertainty at the second administrative level. Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as “barren or sparsely vegetated” and had fewer than ten people per 1 × 1-km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.^{5,11–15}




Appendix Figure 18. 95% uncertainty intervals for access to piped sanitation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2017

95% uncertainty intervals were calculated as the difference between the 97.5th percentile and the 2.5th percentile of the posterior distribution. Panel A) presents uncertainty at the 5 × 5-km grid cell and panel B) presents uncertainty at the second administrative level. Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as “barren or sparsely vegetated” and had fewer than ten people per 1 × 1-km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.^{5,11–15}




Appendix Figure 19. 95% uncertainty intervals for access to improved sanitation, at 5 × 5-km resolution and second administrative level, 2017

95% uncertainty intervals were calculated as the difference between the 97.5th percentile and the 2.5th percentile of the posterior distribution. Panel A) presents uncertainty at the 5 × 5-km grid cell and panel B) presents uncertainty at the second administrative level. Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as “barren or sparsely vegetated” and had fewer than ten people per 1 × 1-km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.^{5,11–15}




Appendix Figure 20. Ternary water, at the first administrative and national level, 2000 and 2017

The co-distribution of improved, unimproved, and no facility access is shown for water at the first administrative-level (A: 2000, B: 2017) and national level (C: 2000, D: 2017). Green denotes first administrative-level units where the majority of the population (>60%) had access to improved facilities, blue denotes >60% reliance on unimproved, and red denotes >60% having no facility access. Yellow indicates that there was no single dominant facility type used by >60% of the unit's population. Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as "barren or sparsely vegetated" and had fewer than ten people per 1×1 -km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.^{5,11–15}



Appendix Figure 21. Ternary sanitation, at the first administrative and national level, 2000 and 2017


The co-distribution of improved, unimproved, and no facility access is shown for sanitation at the first administrative-level (A: 2000, B: 2017) and national level (C: 2000, D: 2017). Green denotes first administrative-level units where the majority of the population (>60%) had access to improved facilities, blue denotes >60% reliance on unimproved, and red denotes >60% having no facility access. Yellow indicates that there was no single dominant facility type used by >60% of the unit's population. Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as "barren or sparsely vegetated" and had fewer than ten people per 1×1 -km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.^{5,11–15}




Appendix Figures 22–39. In sample prediction comparisons

For each indicator modelled, predictions were generated for each of the INLA model as described above before being harmonized to national trends (Section 3.6.1). These predictions were compared against the data to generate scatter plots for comparison. This analysis was conducted at country, first administrative, and second administrative geographical scales where the predictions and the dataset were aggregated to the corresponding scale prior to plotting for comparison. In the following analyses, certain countries were excluded due to data sparsity for the particular analytical framework as follows: 1) Bolivia, Brazil, Gabon, Indonesia, Cambodia, Madagascar, Peru, Papua New Guinea, Tanzania, South Africa, and Vietnam for piped water, 2) Bolivia, Brazil, Botswana, Central African Republic, Gambia, Indonesia, Kyrgyzstan, Morocco, and Mongolia for conditional improved water, 3) Gambia, Jordan, and Libya for conditional unimproved water, 3) Mexico and Swaziland for sewer or septic sanitation, 4) Mexico for conditional improved sanitation, and 5) Libya and Mozambique for conditional unimproved sanitation.


Appendix Figure 22. In sample statistics for piped water model by country and year




Appendix Figure 23. In sample statistics for piped water model by first administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 24. In sample statistics for piped water model by second administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 25. In sample statistics for conditional improved water model by country and year




Appendix Figure 26. In sample statistics for conditional improved water model by first administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 27. In sample statistics for conditional improved water model by second administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 28. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved water model by country and year




Appendix Figure 29. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved water model by first administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 29. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved water model by second administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 30. In sample statistics for piped sanitation model by country and year




Appendix Figure 31. In sample statistics for piped sanitation model by first administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 32. In sample statistics for piped sanitation model by second administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 33. In sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by country and year




Appendix Figure 34. In sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by first administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 35. In sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by second administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 36. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by country and year



Appendix Figure 37. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by first administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 38. In sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by second administrative level and year



Appendix Figures 40–57. Out-of-sample prediction comparisons


For each indicator modelled, predictions were generated for each of the five holdout datasets in the fivefold cross validation framework described above (Section 3.6.2). These predictions were compared against the data to generate scatter plots for comparison. This analysis was conducted at country, first administrative, and second administrative geographical scales where the predictions and the dataset were aggregated to the corresponding scale prior to plotting for comparison. In the following analyses, certain countries were excluded due to data sparsity for the particular analytical framework as follows: 1) Bolivia, Brazil, Gabon, Indonesia, Cambodia, Madagascar, Peru, Papua New Guinea, Tanzania, South Africa, and Vietnam for piped water, 2) Bolivia, Brazil, Botswana, Central African Republic, Gambia, Indonesia, Kyrgyzstan, Morocco, and Mongolia for conditional improved water, 3) Gambia, Jordan, and Libya for conditional unimproved water, 3) Mexico and Swaziland for sewer or septic sanitation, 4) Mexico for conditional improved sanitation, and 5) Libya and Mozambique for conditional unimproved sanitation.

Appendix Figure 39. Out-of-sample statistics for piped water model by country and year




Appendix Figure 40. Out-of-sample statistics for piped water model by first administrative level and year

Validation Plot for w_piped by Admin 1
OOS: TRUE




Appendix Figure 41. Out-of-sample statistics for piped water model by second administrative level and year


Validation Plot for w_piped by Admin 2
OOS: TRUE




Appendix Figure 42. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved water model by country and year




Appendix Figure 43. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved water model by first administrative level and year



Appendix Figure 44. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved water model by second administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 45. Out-of-sample for conditional unimproved water model by country and year




Appendix Figure 46. Out-of-sample for conditional unimproved water model by first administrative level and year


Validation Plot for w_unimp_cr by Admin 1
OOS: TRUE



Appendix Figure 47. Out-of-sample for conditional unimproved water model by second administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 48. Out-of-sample for piped sanitation model country and year




Appendix Figure 49. Out-of-sample for piped sanitation model first administrative level and year


Validation Plot for s_piped by Admin 1
OOS: TRUE




Appendix Figure 50. Out-of-sample for piped sanitation model second administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 51. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by country and year




Appendix Figure 52. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by first administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 53. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional improved sanitation model by second administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 54. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by country and year



Appendix Figure 55. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by first administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 56. Out-of-sample statistics for conditional unimproved sanitation model by second administrative level and year




Appendix Figure 57. Correlation between improved water and World Health Organization Joint Monitoring Programme improved water estimates

We plotted the correlation between our country level estimates of access to improved water to the Joint Monitoring Programme's estimates of country level access to improved water. Country level estimates are represented as points and coloured by the GBD region the country is in.




Appendix Figure 58. Correlation between improved sanitation and World Health Organization Joint Monitoring Programme improved sanitation estimates

We plotted the correlation between our country level estimates of access to improved sanitation to the Joint Monitoring Programme’s estimates of country level access to improved sanitation. Country level estimates are represented as points and coloured by the GBD region the country is in.




Appendix Figure 59. Correlation between open defecation and World Health Organization Joint Monitoring Programme open defecation estimates

We plotted the correlation between our country level estimates of open defecation to the Joint Monitoring Programme's estimates of country level open defecation. Country level estimates are represented as points and coloured by the GBD region the country is in.




Appendix Figure 60. All indicator data validation plot

This is an example of a country-specific, indicator-specific data quality validation plot for South Africa. Data vetting procedures are fully explained in Section 1.8.2, outlining how surveys were either included or excluded for the final dataset based on these validation plots. Each survey is shown aggregated to the country level by survey year. Each individual survey is represented by a circle, and each level of the indicator is represented by a different colour.




Appendix Figure 61. Indicator-specific data validation plot

This is an example of a country-specific data-quality validation plot for South Africa for access to improved water sources. Each individual survey is represented by a circle or triangle respective of its spatial representativeness. The black line represents the WHO Joint Monitoring Programme trend line. Surveys in blue represent included surveys, while surveys in pink are excluded due to being off trend.




Appendix Figure 62. Rate, ratio, and percentage of deaths averted by access to improved drinking water facility at the second-administrative-unit level

Deaths are calculated under the counterfactual scenario where access to safe water and sanitation remained at the values observed in the year 2000. The deaths caused (purple) and averted (green) per 1,000 population given access levels observed in 2017 is shown for water (A). The ratio of deaths caused (purple) and averted (green) due to changes in access levels compared to 2000 to the change in diarrheal disease deaths overall compared to 2000 is shown for water (B). Lastly, the percent of deaths caused (purple) or averted (green) out of total diarrheal disease deaths in 2017 is mapped for water (C). Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as “barren or sparsely vegetated” and had fewer than ten people per 1×1 -km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.^{5,11-15}



Appendix Figure 63. Rate, ratio, and percentage of deaths averted by access to improved sanitation facility at the second-administrative-unit level

Deaths are calculated under the counterfactual scenario where access to safe water and sanitation remained at the values observed in the year 2000. The deaths caused (purple) and averted (green) per 1,000 population given access levels observed in 2017 is shown for sanitation (A). The ratio of deaths caused (purple) and averted (green) due to changes in access levels compared to 2000 to the change in diarrheal disease deaths overall compared to 2000 is shown for sanitation (B). Lastly, the percent of deaths caused (purple) or averted (green) out of total diarrheal disease deaths in 2017 is mapped for sanitation (C). Maps reflect administrative boundaries, land cover, lakes, and population; grey-coloured grid cells were classified as “barren or sparsely vegetated” and had fewer than ten people per 1×1 -km grid cell, or were not included in these analyses.^{5,11–15}



6.0 Appendix Tables

Appendix Table 1. Compliance with the Guidelines for Accurate and Transparent Health Estimates Reporting	93
Appendix Table 2. List of countries included in analysis, stratified by Socio-demographic Index .93	
Appendix Table 3. Covariates used in modelling framework	95
Appendix Table 4. Covariates used in the water and sanitation generalised additive model (GAM)	96
Appendix Table 5. Covariates used in the boosted regression trees (BRT) model	97
Appendix Table 6. Fixed parameters used for boosted regression trees	98
Appendix Table 7. Fitted parameters by region for model of access to piped water	99
Appendix Table 8. Fitted parameters by region for model of access to improved water	105
Appendix Table 9. Fitted parameters by region for model of access to unimproved water.....	112
Appendix Table 10. Fitted parameters by region for model of access to piped sanitation	120
Appendix Table 11. Fitted parameters by region for model of access to improved sanitation	127
Appendix Table 12. Fitted parameters by region for model of access to unimproved sanitation ..	134
Appendix Table 13. Predictive in sample metrics aggregated to the country level for water indicators.....	142
Appendix Table 14. Predictive in sample metrics aggregated to the first administrative level for water indicators	142
Appendix Table 15. Predictive in sample metrics aggregated to the second administrative level for water indicators	143
Appendix Table 16. Predictive in sample metrics aggregated to the country level for sanitation indicators.....	143
Appendix Table 17. Predictive in sample metrics aggregated to the first administrative level for sanitation indicators.....	144
Appendix Table 18. Predictive in sample metrics aggregated to the second administrative level for sanitation indicators.....	144
Appendix Table 19. Predictive out-of-sample metrics aggregated to the country level for water indicators.....	145
Appendix Table 20. Predictive out-of-sample metrics aggregated to the first administrative level for water indicators	145
Appendix Table 21. Predictive out-of-sample metrics aggregated to the second administrative level for water indicators	146
Appendix Table 22. Predictive out-of-sample metrics aggregated to the country level for sanitation indicators.....	146
Appendix Table 23. Predictive out-of-sample metrics aggregated to the first administrative level for sanitation indicators.....	147
Appendix Table 24. Predictive out-of-sample metrics aggregated to the second administrative level for sanitation indicators.....	147
Appendix Table 25a-b. Risk ratios used in counterfactual analyses.....	148
Appendix Table 25a. Risk ratios associated with access to water facilities.....	148

Appendix Table 25b. Risk ratios associated with access to water facilities.....148

Appendix Table 1. Compliance with the Guidelines for Accurate and Transparent Health Estimates Reporting

Item #	Checklist item	Reported on page #
Objectives and funding		
1	Define the indicator(s), populations (including age, sex, and geographic entities), and time period(s) for which estimates were made.	Manuscript: Methods section. Appendix: Sections 1.0, 2.1.
2	List the funding sources for the work.	Manuscript: Methods section.
Data Inputs		
<i>For all data inputs from multiple sources that are synthesised as part of the study:</i>		
3	Describe how the data were identified and how the data were accessed.	Manuscript: Methods section. Appendix: Sections 1.0, 2.1.
4	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Identify all ad-hoc exclusions.	Manuscript: Methods section. Appendix: Section 1.0.
5	Provide information on all included data sources and their main characteristics. For each data source used, report reference information or contact name/institution, population represented, data collection method, year(s) of data collection, sex and age range, diagnostic criteria or measurement method, and sample size, as relevant.	Manuscript: Methods section. Appendix: Sections 1.0, 2.1; Appendix Figures 4, 5; http://ghdx.healthdata.org/record/ihme-data/lmic-wash-access-geospatial-estimates-2000-2017
6	Identify and describe any categories of input data that have potentially important biases (e.g., based on characteristics listed in item 5).	Manuscript: Methods section. Appendix: Section 1.8.
<i>For data inputs that contribute to the analysis but were not synthesised as part of the study:</i>		
7	Describe and give sources for any other data inputs.	Appendix: Sections: 1.0, 2.1
<i>For all data inputs:</i>		
8	Provide all data inputs in a file format from which data can be efficiently extracted (e.g., a spreadsheet rather than a PDF), including all relevant meta-data listed in item 5. For any data inputs that cannot be shared because of ethical or legal reasons, such as third-party ownership, provide a contact name or the name of the institution that retains the right to the data.	Available at http://ghdx.healthdata.org/record/ihme-data/lmic-wash-access-geospatial-estimates-2000-2017
Data analysis		
9	Provide a conceptual overview of the data analysis method. A diagram may be helpful.	Manuscript: Methods section. Appendix Figure 1.
10	Provide a detailed description of all steps of the analysis, including mathematical formulae. This description should cover, as relevant, data cleaning, data pre-processing, data adjustments and weighting of data sources, and mathematical or statistical model(s).	Manuscript: Methods section. Appendix: Sections 1.4-3.6.
11	Describe how candidate models were evaluated and how the final model(s) were selected.	Appendix: Section 3.5.
12	Provide the results of an evaluation of model performance, if done, as well as the results of any relevant sensitivity analysis.	Appendix: Section 3.0.
13	Describe methods for calculating uncertainty of the estimates. State which sources of uncertainty were, and were not, accounted for in the uncertainty analysis.	Appendix: Section 3.0.
14	State how analytic or statistical source code used to generate estimates can be accessed.	Available at https://github.com/ihmeuw/lbd/tree/wash-lmic-2020
Results and Discussion		
15	Provide published estimates in a file format from which data can be efficiently extracted.	Raster files for spatial data and CSVs of first and second administrative-level estimates to be made available at http://ghdx.healthdata.org/record/ihme-data/lmic-wash-access-geospatial-estimates-2000-2017
16	Report a quantitative measure of the uncertainty of the estimates (e.g., uncertainty intervals).	Manuscript: Methods section. Appendix: Section 3.4
17	Interpret results in light of existing evidence. If updating a previous set of estimates, describe the reasons for changes in estimates.	Manuscript: Discussion section.
18	Discuss limitations of the estimates. Include a discussion of any modelling assumptions or data limitations that affect interpretation of the estimates.	Manuscript: Discussion section.

Appendix Table 2. List of countries included in analysis, stratified by Socio-demographic Index

Low SDI	Low-middle SDI	Middle SDI	High-middle SDI
Afghanistan	Angola	Algeria	China

Bangladesh	Bhutan	Botswana	Libya
Benin	Bolivia	Brazil	
Burkina Faso	Cambodia	Colombia	
Burundi	Cameroon	Costa Rica	
Central African Republic	Dominican Republic	Ecuador	
Chad	Egypt	Gabon	
Comoros	El Salvador	Indonesia	
Côte d'Ivoire	Ghana	Jordan	
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Guatemala	Mexico	
Eritrea	Guyana	Mongolia	
Ethiopia	Honduras	Namibia	
Guinea	India	Panama	
Guinea-Bissau	Iraq	Paraguay	
Haiti	Kenya	Peru	
Liberia	Kyrgyzstan	Philippines	
Madagascar	Laos	South Africa	
Malawi	Lesotho	Sri Lanka	
Mali	Mauritania	Syria	
Mozambique	Morocco	Thailand	
Nepal	Myanmar	Tunisia	
Niger	Nicaragua	Turkmenistan	
Papua New Guinea	Nigeria	Uzbekistan	
Rwanda	Pakistan	Vietnam	
Senegal	Republic of the Congo		
Sierra Leone	Sudan		
Somalia	Swaziland (eSwatini)		
South Sudan	Tajikistan		
Tanzania	Timor-Leste		
The Gambia	Zambia		
Togo	Zimbabwe		
Uganda			
Yemen			

Appendix Table 3. Covariates used in modelling framework

A variety of socioeconomic and environmental variables were used to predict access to water and sanitation facilities. Where available, the finest spatiotemporal resolution of gridded datasets was used.

Covariate	Temporal resolution	Source	Reference
Travel time to nearest settlement	Static	Oxford	Weiss, D. J. <i>et al.</i> A global map of travel time to cities to assess inequalities in accessibility in 2015. <i>Nature</i> 533 , 333-336 (2018). Available at: https://map.ox.ac.uk/research-project/accessibility_to_cities/
Aridity	Annual	WorldClim (derived)	Zomer, R.J., Trabucco, A., Bossio, D.A. & Verchot, L.V. Climate change mitigation: A spatial analysis of global land suitability for clean development mechanism afforestation and reforestation. <i>Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment</i> 126 , 67-80 (2008). Global Aridity Index (Global-Aridity) and Global Potential Evapo-Transpiration (Global-PET) Methodology and Geospatial Dataset Description (2009). Available at: http://www.cgiar-csi.org/data/global-aridity-and-pet-database
Elevation		NOAA GLOBE	Available at: https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/topo/gltiles.html
Urbanicity	Annual	European Commission/ GHS	Pesaresi, M. et al. Operating procedure for the production of the Global Human Settlement Layer from Landsat data of the epochs 1975, 1990, 2000, and 2014. (Publications Office of the European Union, 2016). Available at: http://ghsl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/data.php
Growing season Length	Annual	FAO Global Agro-Ecological Zone project	FAO. GAEZ - Global Agro-Ecological Zones data portal. Available at: http://www.fao.org/nr/gaez/about-data-portal/en/ . (Accessed: 25th July 2017) FAO. GAEZ - Global Agro-Ecological Zones users guide. (2012).
Irrigation	Static	University of Frankfurt and FAO	Siebert, S., Doll, P., Hoogeveen, J., Faures, J.-M., Frenken, K., & Feick, S. Development and validation of the global map of irrigation areas. <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> 9 , 535-547 (2005). Goethe-Universität. Generation of a digital global map of irrigation areas. Available at: https://www.unifrankfurt.de/45218039/Global_Irrigation_Map . (Accessed: 25th July 2017) Also from: http://www.fao.org/nr/water/aquastat/irrigationmap/index10.stm
Time	Annual		

Appendix Table 4. Covariates used in the water and sanitation generalised additive model (GAM)

Covariate	Temporal resolution	Source	Reference
Travel time to nearest settlement	Static	Oxford	Weiss, D. J. <i>et al.</i> A global map of travel time to cities to assess inequalities in accessibility in 2015. <i>Nature</i> 533 , 333-336 (2018). Available at: https://map.ox.ac.uk/research-project/accessibility_to_cities/
Aridity	Annual	WorldClim (derived)	Zomer, R.J., Trabucco, A., Bossio, D.A. & Verchot, L.V. Climate change mitigation: A spatial analysis of global land suitability for clean development mechanism afforestation and reforestation. <i>Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment</i> 126 , 67-80 (2008). Global Aridity Index (Global-Aridity) and Global Potential Evapo-Transpiration (Global-PET) Methodology and Geospatial Dataset Description (2009). Available at: http://www.cgiar-csi.org/data/global-aridity-and-pet-database
Elevation		NOAA GLOBE	Available at: https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/topo/glfiles.html
Urbanicity	Annual	European Commission/ GHS	Pesaresi, M. et al. Operating procedure for the production of the Global Human Settlement Layer from Landsat data of the epochs 1975, 1990, 2000, and 2014. (Publications Office of the European Union, 2016). Available at: http://ghsl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/data.php
Growing season Length	Annual	FAO Global Agro-Ecological Zone project	FAO. GAEZ - Global Agro-Ecological Zones data portal. Available at: http://www.fao.org/nr/gaez/about-data-portal/en/ . (Accessed: 25th July 2017) FAO. GAEZ - Global Agro-Ecological Zones users guide. (2012).
Irrigation	Static	University of Frankfurt and FAO	Siebert, S., Doll, P., Hoogeveen, J., Faures, J.-M., Frenken, K., & Feick, S. Development and validation of the global map of irrigation areas. <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> 9 , 535-547 (2005). Goethe-Universität. Generation of a digital global map of irrigation areas. Available at: https://www.unifrankfurt.de/45218039/Global_Irrigation_Map . (Accessed: 25th July 2017) Also from: http://www.fao.org/nr/water/aquastat/irrigationmap/index10.stm
Time	Annual		

Appendix Table 5. Covariates used in the boosted regression trees (BRT) model

Covariate	Temporal resolution	Source	Reference
Travel time to nearest settlement	Static	Oxford	Weiss, D. J. <i>et al.</i> A global map of travel time to cities to assess inequalities in accessibility in 2015. <i>Nature</i> 533 , 333-336 (2018). Available at: https://map.ox.ac.uk/research-project/accessibility_to_cities/
Aridity	Annual	WorldClim (derived)	Zomer, R.J., Trabucco, A., Bossio, D.A. & Verchot, L.V. Climate change mitigation: A spatial analysis of global land suitability for clean development mechanism afforestation and reforestation. <i>Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment</i> 126 , 67-80 (2008). Global Aridity Index (Global-Aridity) and Global Potential Evapo-Transpiration (Global-PET) Methodology and Geospatial Dataset Description (2009). Available at: http://www.cgiar-csi.org/data/global-aridity-and-pet-database
Elevation		NOAA GLOBE	Available at: https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/topo/glfiles.html
Urbanicity	Annual	European Commission/ GHS	Pesaresi, M. et al. Operating procedure for the production of the Global Human Settlement Layer from Landsat data of the epochs 1975, 1990, 2000, and 2014. (Publications Office of the European Union, 2016). Available at: http://ghsl.jrc.ec.europa.eu/data.php
Growing season Length	Annual	FAO Global Agro-Ecological Zone project	FAO. GAEZ - Global Agro-Ecological Zones data portal. Available at: http://www.fao.org/nr/gaez/about-data-portal/en/ . (Accessed: 25th July 2017) FAO. GAEZ - Global Agro-Ecological Zones users guide. (2012).
Irrigation	Static	University of Frankfurt and FAO	Siebert, S., Doll, P., Hoogeveen, J., Faures, J.-M., Frenken, K., & Feick, S. Development and validation of the global map of irrigation areas. <i>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</i> 9 , 535-547 (2005). Goethe-Universität. Generation of a digital global map of irrigation areas. Available at: https://www.unifrankfurt.de/45218039/Global_Irrigation_Map . (Accessed: 25th July 2017) Also from: http://www.fao.org/nr/water/aquastat/irrigationmap/index10.stm

Appendix Table 6. Fixed parameters used for boosted regression trees

Parameter	Value
Tree Complexity	5
Learning Rate	0.0025
Bag Fraction	0.8
Number of Trees	5000

Appendix Table 7. Fitted parameters by region for model of access to piped water

Lower, median, and upper quantiles (0.025%, 0.50%, 0.975%) are displayed for the main parameters by region. The first four columns provide information on the fixed effects: the intercept (int) and the covariates (gam, gbm, and enet) corresponding to the predicted ensemble rasters. Fitted values for the spatiotemporal field are shown in the next two columns. Countries are abbreviated by their ISO3 codes.

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
AFG	0.025	-2.00920	0.38700	-1.30335	1.52104	-11.19270	7.41130
	0.500	-1.65201	0.46260	-1.16625	1.70369	-10.80060	7.55460
	0.975	-1.29525	0.53847	-1.03064	1.88694	-10.58820	7.81380
AGO	0.025	-2.31970	-1.04784	-0.61196	2.38202	-10.98590	7.56710
	0.500	-2.05344	-0.93997	-0.56169	2.50170	-10.78280	7.64040
	0.975	-1.78752	-0.83240	-0.51166	2.62164	-10.66420	7.76700
BDI	0.025	-0.22378	0.28083	-0.08645	0.71639	-10.12640	4.10550
	0.500	-0.14788	0.31545	-0.06609	0.75062	-10.12380	4.10760
	0.975	-0.07204	0.35010	-0.04574	0.78478	-10.12200	4.11140
BEN	0.025	-1.34013	-0.12225	-0.54270	1.47474	-11.63220	8.29360
	0.500	-1.00351	-0.04671	-0.50717	1.55385	-11.59410	8.35770
	0.975	-0.66724	0.02880	-0.47174	1.63291	-11.55270	8.39530
BFA	0.025	-2.64400	-0.06282	-0.29545	1.15885	-11.45620	8.18160
	0.500	-2.31133	0.01597	-0.26029	1.24433	-11.42840	8.21020
	0.975	-1.97908	0.09477	-0.22539	1.32976	-11.40390	8.22890
BGD	0.025	-5.11749	-0.62437	-1.05224	2.47560	-10.96020	7.87810
	0.500	-4.77035	-0.55464	-1.00265	2.55728	-10.93270	7.90020
	0.975	-4.42394	-0.48491	-0.95327	2.63895	-10.90330	7.93190
BOL	0.025	-0.08403	0.61544	-0.56809	0.75717	-5.59350	4.19830
	0.500	0.16976	0.66723	-0.50937	0.84218	-5.44210	4.43570
	0.975	0.42341	0.71900	-0.45073	0.92710	-5.28760	4.66640
BRA	0.025	-0.01632	-0.26359	0.00188	1.02069	-3.75920	2.16430
	0.500	0.21135	-0.17965	0.07357	1.10584	-3.63840	2.61490
	0.975	0.43896	-0.09569	0.14528	1.19099	-3.50870	2.97090
BWA	0.025	-0.50114	0.29119	-0.77821	1.12857	-9.11340	7.59200
	0.500	-0.41651	0.38356	-0.65352	1.26973	-8.76240	7.77790
	0.975	-0.33176	0.47587	-0.52739	1.40967	-8.51000	8.03350
CAF	0.025	-0.79035	0.00726	0.54709	0.09275	-9.44780	7.28190
	0.500	-0.61096	0.07609	0.68290	0.24076	-9.14300	7.45600
	0.975	-0.43213	0.14502	0.81860	0.38873	-8.92810	7.70320
CHN	0.025	-0.28118	0.43336	-0.00762	0.20236	-4.37730	2.47520
	0.500	0.14325	0.57077	0.07372	0.35503	-4.28540	2.93900
	0.975	0.56787	0.70818	0.15631	0.50712	-4.17030	3.28040
CIV	0.025	-0.60695	1.40541	-0.10850	-	-10.73690	8.07060
	0.500	-0.39220	1.54262	-0.05444	-	-10.70200	8.10670
	0.975	-	-	0.48794	-	-	-

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.975	-0.17764	1.68030	-0.00093	-0.33997	-10.66820	8.13240
CMR	0.025	-2.03186	0.54373	-0.61186	0.83086	-11.23260	7.59520
	0.500	-1.68969	0.63395	-0.55829	0.92436	-10.90830	7.71500
	0.975	-1.34801	0.72427	-0.50490	1.01773	-10.71520	7.91690
COD	0.025	-3.22516	-0.20822	-0.23982	1.13440	-10.81050	7.48080
	0.500	-2.89694	-0.06384	-0.21159	1.27549	-10.78350	7.50300
	0.975	-2.56918	0.08083	-0.18360	1.41616	-10.74670	7.53010
COG	0.025	-1.20555	0.18471	-0.48942	0.91682	-9.52570	7.53980
	0.500	-1.02559	0.33140	-0.42493	1.09367	-9.46530	7.68750
	0.975	-0.84583	0.47800	-0.36067	1.27034	-9.40630	7.76280
COL	0.025	-1.69581	-0.44685	-0.60497	1.71751	-9.41160	3.22970
	0.500	0.10214	-0.31678	-0.55118	1.86798	-9.41080	3.23020
	0.975	1.89864	-0.18702	-0.49747	2.01853	-9.41000	3.23080
COM	0.025	-2.03221	-0.56699	0.05349	1.24608	-9.80500	3.97480
	0.500	-0.07492	-0.45770	0.11620	1.34139	-9.80110	3.99740
	0.975	1.88078	-0.34921	0.17914	1.43720	-9.79940	4.01960
DOM	0.025	-1.07963	0.28036	-0.61081	0.56686	-12.11440	7.34480
	0.500	0.24010	0.46733	-0.34919	0.88223	-11.29180	7.81240
	0.975	1.55878	0.65430	-0.08823	1.19722	-10.66580	8.42590
DZA	0.025	-0.21373	-0.02815	0.19319	0.32973	-4.70300	4.19010
	0.500	-0.10650	0.16362	0.31671	0.51960	-4.52650	4.47430
	0.975	0.00067	0.35529	0.44021	0.70921	-4.34780	4.75300
ECU	0.025	-0.02468	0.21648	-0.27792	0.86881	-9.06210	4.32620
	0.500	0.10066	0.28695	-0.22750	0.94056	-9.05530	4.33060
	0.975	0.22591	0.35738	-0.17713	1.01224	-9.04900	4.33510
EGY	0.025	4.28784	1.10476	-0.44248	0.12339	-9.81920	6.81720
	0.500	4.95806	1.17833	-0.39274	0.21439	-9.79820	6.82120
	0.975	5.64450	1.25227	-0.34325	0.30519	-9.75260	6.82290
ERI	0.025	-0.16275	0.16836	-0.17618	-0.18379	-7.19330	5.53350
	0.500	0.00884	0.49230	0.23120	0.27657	-6.55560	6.02930
	0.975	0.18029	0.81597	0.63825	0.73655	-6.11030	6.74020
ETH	0.025	-0.96320	0.33450	0.16830	0.27093	-11.37480	8.04430
	0.500	-0.79421	0.42978	0.19639	0.37380	-11.35560	8.06360
	0.975	-0.62538	0.52519	0.22453	0.47633	-11.33760	8.07700
GAB	0.025	-2.20547	-0.19036	-1.01517	1.64471	-11.42210	7.96670
	0.500	-1.51240	0.03180	-0.89918	1.86775	-11.35950	8.02360
	0.975	-0.82016	0.25513	-0.78686	2.09143	-11.26820	8.08070
GHA	-	-	0.52023	-0.54230	0.85849	-9.83530	2.81520
	0.025	12.84028	-	-	-	-	-
	0.500	10.95745	0.58077	-0.50382	0.92304	-9.83480	2.81530

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
GIN	0.975	-9.07661	0.64142	-0.46541	0.98747	-9.83350	2.82350
	0.025	-4.02633	-1.92822	-0.40837	2.86940	-10.92370	7.78420
	0.500	-3.56715	-1.73970	-0.32538	3.06518	-10.84390	7.84200
GMB	0.975	-3.10898	-1.55590	-0.24109	3.26356	-10.77810	7.88970
	0.025	-1.92727	-0.41921	-1.58907	2.42476	-15.48670	-2.42890
	0.500	0.03493	-0.24395	-1.45192	2.69533	-14.61770	3.08460
GNB	0.975	1.99555	-0.07325	-1.32346	2.98069	-14.02530	6.91290
	0.025	-2.23422	-0.25348	-1.44962	1.23168	-12.67160	6.98170
	0.500	-0.32982	-0.02436	-0.92131	1.94558	-11.93170	7.51190
GTM	0.975	1.57304	0.20457	-0.39346	2.65894	-11.31020	8.14650
	0.025	0.03974	0.69502	-0.97023	0.88715	-10.96850	7.59080
	0.500	0.39288	0.84583	-0.89553	1.04974	-10.47900	7.81450
GUY	0.975	0.74575	0.99669	-0.82140	1.21224	-10.17340	8.17410
	0.025	-1.97200	0.03826	-0.57913	1.22864	-10.53910	7.74520
	0.500	-1.51406	0.14717	-0.51611	1.36887	-10.45760	7.81210
HND	0.975	-1.05693	0.25608	-0.45336	1.50909	-10.32350	7.89160
	0.025	0.03696	1.34433	-2.06660	1.18054	-11.22140	7.77070
	0.500	0.41971	1.54318	-1.92063	1.37731	-10.88830	7.92720
HTI	0.975	0.80218	1.74298	-1.77544	1.57382	-10.66690	8.15910
	0.025	-2.01209	0.97197	-1.35684	1.20848	-10.31220	-5.96520
	0.500	-0.06501	1.03247	-1.31785	1.28542	-10.30960	-5.96400
IDN	0.975	1.88050	1.09304	-1.27902	1.36226	-10.30770	-5.96280
	0.025	-3.38273	1.03672	-0.53203	0.46755	-9.19180	-71.68110
	0.500	-2.06808	1.04487	-0.52393	0.47906	-9.18920	-71.66570
IND	0.975	-0.75454	1.05301	-0.51584	0.49057	-9.18780	-71.65800
	0.025	-0.07140	0.22209	0.18782	0.54568	-8.96190	4.16090
	0.500	-0.05856	0.23737	0.19939	0.56322	-8.96140	4.16150
IRQ	0.975	-0.04574	0.25269	0.21095	0.58074	-8.96080	4.16200
	0.025	-0.30503	0.54912	-2.27170	2.30813	-9.48200	6.76900
	0.500	-0.00671	0.64609	-2.13882	2.49259	-9.29110	6.96840
JOR	0.975	0.29139	0.74317	-2.00668	2.67743	-9.09580	7.16210
	0.025	0.04973	0.96933	-0.11982	0.05611	-10.21970	7.74480
	0.500	0.38940	0.99780	-0.09719	0.09939	-10.14890	7.80420
KEN	0.975	0.72885	1.02628	-0.07460	0.14263	-10.08450	7.88330
	0.025	-3.15953	0.39673	-0.13993	0.59341	-11.60630	7.89680
	0.500	-2.74396	0.45480	-0.10780	0.65298	-11.60230	7.90080
KGZ	0.975	-2.32896	0.51288	-0.07570	0.71250	-11.59810	7.90490
	0.025	-3.53761	2.10676	-7.92214	6.30669	-8.87870	3.66260
	0.500	-1.64123	2.35470	-7.83627	6.54226	-8.87610	3.66850
KHM	0.975	0.25363	2.60361	-7.78867	6.78014	-8.87170	3.67390
	0.025	-4.18439	1.70215	-0.25728	-	-11.59170	8.25400
					0.65873		

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.500	-3.76440	1.78701	-0.22503	- 0.56193	-11.58560	8.26050
	0.975	-3.34627	1.87217	-0.19296	- 0.46549	-11.57750	8.26980
LAO	0.025	-2.66645	0.47019	-1.70594	1.12638	-10.80310	7.59780
	0.500	-2.08110	0.92091	-1.55575	1.63493	-10.75240	7.64570
LBR	0.025	-1.49638	1.37236	-1.40239	2.13842	-10.68110	7.69540
	0.025	-4.45997	-0.03716	-0.09862	1.02598	-10.76200	7.76480
LBY	0.500	-4.08224	-0.01177	-0.05986	1.07151	-10.47170	7.92660
	0.975	-3.70868	0.01383	-0.02124	1.11710	-10.23350	8.12450
LKA	0.025	0.43370	-0.09535	-0.02947	0.84204	-6.10690	4.09880
	0.500	0.96638	0.00697	0.04061	0.95216	-5.99030	4.35470
LSO	0.975	1.49874	0.10911	0.11117	1.06222	-5.87300	4.60740
	0.025	-1.18992	-0.00034	-0.82722	1.48418	-9.87130	6.89960
LBR	0.500	-0.78477	0.03993	-0.67528	1.63574	-9.16510	7.38960
	0.975	-0.37995	0.07947	-0.52439	1.78801	-8.58180	7.97550
LBR	0.025	-0.02069	0.26621	-0.12051	0.68441	-9.58470	5.17390
	0.500	0.05548	0.33141	-0.08401	0.75251	-9.58400	5.17410
MAR	0.975	0.13160	0.39660	-0.04741	0.82052	-9.58230	5.18120
	0.025	-1.83590	-0.41465	-0.44281	0.69713	-9.49530	0.63270
MDG	0.500	0.03327	0.08896	-0.30392	1.21649	-9.37760	1.82110
	0.975	1.90094	0.59504	-0.16901	1.73321	-9.27100	2.41120
MDG	0.025	-2.64673	-2.49075	-0.45561	3.47144	-11.21500	8.05430
	0.500	-2.38387	-2.28221	-0.39671	3.67890	-11.19920	8.06700
MEX	0.975	-2.12132	-2.07399	-0.33787	3.88641	-11.18670	8.08020
	0.025	1.03162	-0.27209	-0.62297	1.66575	-10.07250	7.40350
MLI	0.500	1.17366	-0.19188	-0.57606	1.76798	-10.04700	7.42800
	0.975	1.31578	-0.11188	-0.52943	1.87045	-10.01570	7.45680
MLI	0.025	-2.54980	-1.11344	-0.33093	2.21053	-11.13650	7.38620
	0.500	-2.08447	-1.01468	-0.29984	2.31459	-11.00430	7.43970
MMR	0.975	-1.61957	-0.91627	-0.26899	2.41891	-10.92630	7.53000
	0.025	-0.98437	0.31240	-0.88266	1.21613	-10.86250	7.58670
MNG	0.500	-0.85173	0.40773	-0.77008	1.36231	-10.03100	7.87570
	0.975	-0.71923	0.50316	-0.65784	1.50843	-9.63620	8.48930
MNG	0.025	-2.34887	0.18718	-0.90673	1.47501	-9.62690	7.40920
	0.500	-2.21991	0.28791	-0.86388	1.57588	-9.47610	7.49750
MOZ	0.975	-2.09138	0.38868	-0.82133	1.67682	-9.36220	7.61130
	0.025	-2.29426	3.13687	-0.63343	- 2.10486	-9.67350	3.12010
MOZ	0.500	-0.55789	3.41630	-0.59574	- 1.82073	-9.61280	3.33260
	0.975	1.17708	3.69786	-0.55793	- 1.53907	-9.53020	3.45890

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
MRT	0.025	-0.89031	-0.21342	0.24556	0.77777	-11.52500	3.89470
	0.500	1.01342	-0.15388	0.29511	0.86322	-11.52010	3.92070
	0.975	2.91565	-0.10772	0.35074	0.94877	-11.51520	3.94310
MWI	0.025	-2.10564	0.43801	-0.39226	0.81659	-10.24900	3.25200
	0.500	-0.18504	0.49256	-0.36684	0.87432	-10.19070	3.65740
	0.975	1.73400	0.54709	-0.34160	0.93201	-10.14110	3.89620
NAM	0.025	0.08378	-0.49628	-0.27502	1.39127	-11.19650	8.11470
	0.500	0.38802	-0.33566	-0.22124	1.55693	-11.17650	8.13110
	0.975	0.69208	-0.17472	-0.16744	1.72182	-11.16060	8.14760
NER	0.025	-0.02301	0.56862	-0.59850	0.91662	-7.17550	5.83860
	0.500	0.08670	0.60425	-0.55888	0.95454	-7.06800	5.96560
	0.975	0.19630	0.63995	-0.51944	0.99261	-6.94620	6.08250
NGA	0.025	-2.66069	0.72674	-0.96688	1.01027	-11.23060	7.75270
	0.500	-2.46570	0.82091	-0.92537	1.10450	-11.08590	7.79970
	0.975	-2.27095	0.91503	-0.88397	1.19865	-11.00770	7.88300
NIC	0.025	-2.13598	-1.02801	-1.24334	1.66017	-16.33790	8.39200
	0.500	-0.18794	-0.34679	-1.04635	2.39428	-15.62080	8.66760
	0.975	1.75852	0.33508	-0.85265	3.12733	-15.13240	9.06740
NPL	0.025	-2.09820	1.67068	-1.40708	0.33078	-9.85020	4.85040
	0.500	-0.18537	1.79596	-1.32775	0.51095	-9.84800	4.85200
	0.975	1.72592	1.97540	-1.26286	0.67174	-9.84570	4.85370
PAK	0.025	-0.29350	0.75143	-1.57009	1.52973	-9.43160	7.30630
	0.500	-0.20900	0.85316	-1.50663	1.65351	-9.21770	7.41440
	0.975	-0.12458	0.95487	-1.44404	1.77767	-9.06450	7.56380
PAN	0.025	-0.79496	-0.31667	-0.16598	0.65863	-6.94090	3.90040
	0.500	0.31082	-0.10630	0.14351	0.96284	-6.59080	4.69730
	0.975	1.41589	0.10392	0.45310	1.26621	-6.20450	5.38150
PER	0.025	-0.00203	0.41520	0.16809	0.36852	-9.81300	3.41210
	0.500	0.02036	0.43058	0.18336	0.38607	-9.81210	3.41480
	0.975	0.04272	0.44595	0.19861	0.40360	-9.81130	3.41690
PHL	0.025	-1.32899	1.63066	-0.57281	-	-10.62340	7.42810
	0.500	-0.97209	1.69741	-0.51624	-	-10.60860	7.43780
	0.975	-0.61553	1.76423	-0.45977	0.18108	-10.59820	7.44810
PNG	0.025	-6.91688	-	0.51146	9.08625	-9.95390	2.59160
	0.500	-5.06992	10.39059	0.66593	9.78345	-9.77090	2.75660
	0.975	-3.22567	-8.71305	0.83591	10.7689	-9.57800	2.92190
PRY	0.025	-0.35561	-0.04949	-0.47797	1.31512	-9.01740	6.89410
	0.500	-0.19793	0.01771	-0.43063	1.41296	-8.72860	7.07850
	0.975	-0.04036	0.08487	-0.38336	1.51070	-8.49800	7.30470
	0.025	-1.24436	-0.22846	-0.43226	1.53667	-11.29620	8.05390

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
RWA	0.500	-0.70912	-0.18730	-0.39818	1.58545	-11.24820	8.10760
	0.975	-0.17435	-0.14614	-0.36421	1.63424	-11.19480	8.15180
SDN	0.025	-0.43656	-0.12680	-0.76642	1.53897	-6.53100	4.90890
	0.500	-0.26342	0.00015	-0.70166	1.70154	-6.39720	5.04730
	0.975	-0.09044	0.12690	-0.63717	1.86423	-6.26000	5.17800
SEN	0.025	-1.94482	1.05087	-0.44945	0.25483	-8.83640	3.85400
	0.500	-0.02716	1.10464	-0.42403	0.31940	-8.83540	3.85490
	0.975	1.88895	1.15879	-0.39876	0.38364	-8.83410	3.85580
SLE	0.025	-4.08085	-0.06371	-0.36666	1.23967	-11.35220	7.89810
	0.500	-3.42975	0.01448	-0.33633	1.32188	-11.26170	7.95060
	0.975	-2.77981	0.09227	-0.30616	1.40446	-11.07870	8.02670
SLV	0.025	0.55803	0.49186	-0.90291	0.98606	-10.36690	7.80510
	0.500	1.03967	0.62940	-0.78031	1.15117	-10.31870	7.85570
	0.975	1.52110	0.76726	-0.65856	1.31604	-10.26370	7.89810
SOM	0.025	-2.94100	1.22481	-0.85689	0.29641	-10.97650	6.83960
	0.500	-2.03463	1.32415	-0.74779	0.42396	-10.68860	7.06870
	0.975	-1.12991	1.42405	-0.63929	0.55077	-10.42690	7.32150
SSD	0.025	-0.85146	0.12448	-0.13039	0.55042	-9.41430	7.20210
	0.500	-0.72228	0.29504	0.00127	0.70380	-9.12960	7.36580
	0.975	-0.59346	0.46542	0.13272	0.85709	-8.90370	7.56680
SWZ	0.025	0.01205	-0.22059	-0.44720	1.38989	-8.92810	3.20750
	0.500	0.15462	-0.10702	-0.39946	1.50659	-8.92500	3.21570
	0.975	0.29708	0.00653	-0.35207	1.62319	-8.91920	3.22380
SYR	0.025	0.08152	0.05171	0.06965	0.33220	-8.28130	6.40410
	0.500	0.41133	0.11690	0.31332	0.56771	-8.18720	6.58840
	0.975	0.74128	0.18670	0.56154	0.79822	-8.08880	6.74930
TCD	0.025	-1.69004	0.38954	-0.93283	1.29083	-10.68990	7.84390
	0.500	-1.53979	0.44956	-0.85333	1.40372	-10.39910	7.96370
	0.975	-1.39001	0.50974	-0.77421	1.51663	-10.22370	8.16210
TGO	0.025	-2.18618	-0.10937	0.62819	-	-11.88190	7.57890
	0.500	-1.52566	0.14535	0.72250	0.13247	-10.87000	7.97460
	0.975	-0.86649	0.39608	0.81835	0.34634	-10.28110	8.61970
THA	0.025	-0.23684	-0.02052	0.05904	0.58579	-4.30870	2.85840
	0.500	0.06166	0.11200	0.17525	0.71267	-4.10360	3.47150
	0.975	0.35987	0.24449	0.29130	0.83949	-3.87270	3.94740
TJK	0.025	-1.03067	0.66997	-0.19032	0.31167	-10.05490	6.58810
	0.500	0.20142	0.76237	-0.17204	0.40967	-10.05110	6.59110
	0.975	1.43253	0.85478	-0.15381	0.50754	-10.04810	6.59490
TKM	0.025	-0.01941	0.01325	0.37898	0.19853	-9.13470	7.04930
	0.500	0.04471	0.13665	0.51779	0.34546	-8.36880	7.38210
	0.975	0.10877	0.26006	0.65652	0.49221	-7.92610	7.94690
	0.025	-0.71540	0.37083	-0.95931	1.39856	-11.86170	8.37750

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
TLS	0.500	-0.15094	0.41838	-0.89613	1.47774	-11.79770	8.46510
	0.975	0.41303	0.46597	-0.83328	1.55700	-11.72110	8.52290
TUN	0.025	-0.31225	0.05144	-0.04310	0.28175	-5.76500	4.47470
	0.500	-0.10273	0.28502	0.18317	0.53171	-5.37310	5.10830
	0.975	0.10674	0.51838	0.40918	0.78179	-4.98710	5.74980
TZA	0.025	-1.94390	1.11807	-1.06440	0.60088	-10.87980	7.74570
	0.500	-1.68530	1.25399	-0.99973	0.74592	-10.86920	7.75590
	0.975	-1.42699	1.39031	-0.93566	0.89057	-10.86200	7.76290
UGA	0.025	-3.53892	0.60360	-0.25932	0.47584	-11.01890	7.88820
	0.500	-3.21866	0.67678	-0.23150	0.55486	-11.01330	7.89560
	0.975	-2.89901	0.75011	-0.20410	0.63371	-11.00610	7.90870
UZB	0.025	-0.38492	-0.24401	-0.99910	1.54779	-9.81960	7.92600
	0.500	-0.30116	0.01206	-0.78640	1.77425	-9.43660	8.09750
	0.975	-0.21747	0.26789	-0.57405	2.00099	-9.16590	8.34090
VNM	0.025	-2.25932	1.30191	-0.32914	-0.31288	-10.55000	7.74330
	0.500	-1.97762	1.44065	-0.27975	-0.16072	-10.52330	7.77090
	0.975	-1.69645	1.57986	-0.23170	0.00864	-10.50280	7.78840
YEM	0.025	-3.90885	-1.01890	-2.15281	3.79163	-16.39770	8.25010
	0.500	-2.59114	-0.87195	-2.07234	3.94428	-14.66670	8.95970
	0.975	-1.27462	-0.72551	-1.99256	4.09791	-13.19540	9.77670
ZAF	0.025	0.44037	0.44928	-0.19139	0.64471	-6.02710	4.59660
	0.500	0.65749	0.48223	-0.16751	0.68526	-5.97030	4.76620
	0.975	0.87449	0.51516	-0.14363	0.72577	-5.89900	4.88480
ZMB	0.025	-5.31448	-1.26428	-0.35503	2.13812	-11.61390	7.43070
	0.500	-4.75796	-1.03699	-0.32096	2.35796	-11.19960	7.59130
	0.975	-4.20290	-0.80953	-0.28702	2.57734	-10.95350	7.86700
ZWE	0.025	-2.34640	3.90118	-0.63489	-2.75871	-10.58350	7.23810
	0.500	-1.83489	4.11736	-0.57266	-2.54454	-10.39360	7.35370
	0.975	-1.32458	4.33468	-0.51101	-2.33162	-10.25930	7.51580

Appendix Table 8. Fitted parameters by region for model of access to improved water

Lower, median, and upper quantiles (0.025%, 0.50%, 0.975%) are displayed for the main parameters by region. The first four columns provide information on the fixed effects: the intercept (int) and the covariates (gam, gbm, and enet) corresponding to the predicted ensemble rasters. Fitted values for the spatiotemporal field are shown in the next two columns. Countries are abbreviated by their ISO3 codes.

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
AFG	0.025	-0.29518	0.64106	-1.78422	1.87630	-12.06830	8.15890
	0.500	0.07539	0.71091	-1.69982	1.98885	-12.01540	8.18920
	0.975	0.44566	0.78078	-1.61565	2.10141	-11.96220	8.21890
AGO	0.025	-1.13163	-2.39897	-0.59642	3.52596	-10.13550	7.45770
	0.500	-0.95820	-2.20050	-0.52674	3.72823	-10.09220	7.48380
	0.975	-0.78496	-2.00278	-0.45959	3.93128	-10.06420	7.50430
BDI	0.025	-1.89806	0.71311	-0.82880	0.89905	-10.07280	5.46130
	0.500	0.04162	0.79761	-0.78210	0.98477	-10.07080	5.54710
	0.975	1.97972	0.88229	-0.73612	1.07029	-10.06870	5.63300
BEN	0.025	-0.03422	0.89068	-0.84210	0.74117	-11.40840	7.99780
	0.500	0.30488	0.94518	-0.77993	0.83475	-11.12650	8.11700
	0.975	0.64374	0.99986	-0.71792	0.92821	-10.94270	8.29980
BFA	0.025	0.21318	0.56945	-1.61739	1.68907	-10.86860	7.85080
	0.500	0.41551	0.68182	-1.50525	1.82340	-10.55100	7.99400
	0.975	0.61770	0.79404	-1.39351	1.95809	-10.33500	8.20150
BGD	0.025	2.45620	0.18169	-0.14623	0.55306	-9.68620	7.00690
	0.500	2.78891	0.33713	-0.04287	0.70601	-9.50810	7.14970
	0.975	3.12194	0.49336	0.05983	0.85804	-9.37420	7.34200
BOL	0.025	-0.12885	0.66642	-0.06896	0.20559	-5.01420	4.24220
	0.500	-0.01022	0.74242	-0.04051	0.29806	-4.78330	4.57220
	0.975	0.10827	0.81833	-0.01217	0.39059	-4.54660	4.89090
BRA	0.025	-0.02654	-0.38897	0.14574	0.41168	-2.72970	1.77830
	0.500	0.07497	-0.09375	0.36496	0.72845	-2.39130	2.47150
	0.975	0.17653	0.20282	0.58368	1.04456	-2.02210	3.07890
BWA	0.025	0.31628	-0.71514	-0.14093	1.35225	-6.26350	4.10990
	0.500	0.95117	-0.51639	-0.04957	1.56572	-6.14210	4.43800
	0.975	1.58568	-0.31822	0.04228	1.77924	-6.00330	4.70540
CAF	0.025	-0.18202	0.38072	-0.66916	0.91163	-6.59400	5.47790
	0.500	-0.07052	0.50370	-0.56611	1.06249	-6.44040	5.65420
	0.975	0.04089	0.62657	-0.46328	1.21326	-6.29550	5.83700
CHN	0.025	-0.24043	0.31943	-0.21814	0.31326	-3.72460	2.60640
	0.500	-0.04796	0.51485	-0.08208	0.56734	-3.49180	3.11130
	0.975	0.14452	0.71050	0.05376	0.82087	-3.24130	3.54900
CIV	0.025	0.21971	0.62938	-1.03957	0.91508	-10.01790	7.55330
	0.500	0.36078	0.77906	-0.90129	1.12215	-9.65660	7.75040
	0.975	0.50177	0.92876	-0.76333	1.32913	-9.39380	8.01730
CMR	0.025	-0.38006	0.58817	-0.84838	0.99787	-9.68110	7.32210
	0.500	-0.20231	0.66824	-0.78175	1.11366	-9.64360	7.36270
	0.975	-0.02472	0.74881	-0.71767	1.23069	-9.60310	7.39780
COD	0.025	-1.01804	1.29132	-1.45444	0.58860	-11.10340	7.70870
	0.500	-0.81097	1.51650	-1.34423	0.82590	-10.83270	7.80250
	0.975	-0.60359	1.76008	-1.23509	1.05027	-10.67980	7.96880

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
COG	0.025	-0.20227	0.38638	-1.10397	1.34604	-9.71980	8.04530
	0.500	-0.09656	0.47536	-0.99307	1.51785	-9.64920	8.11170
	0.975	0.00905	0.56436	-0.88306	1.68978	-9.55520	8.17780
COL	0.025	-0.65269	0.57844	-0.77484	0.81178	-10.08610	7.27530
	0.500	-0.47473	0.70892	-0.68083	0.97196	-9.95320	7.33390
	0.975	-0.29692	0.83961	-0.58716	1.13193	-9.86730	7.42180
COM	0.025	-0.85820	-1.24781	-0.08443	1.81531	-10.91360	7.32550
	0.500	0.54436	-1.00513	-0.00833	2.01318	-10.68980	7.63060
	0.975	1.94642	-0.77639	0.06664	2.22710	-10.44000	7.84010
DOM	0.025	-1.38203	0.25883	-0.34764	0.29440	-12.84780	7.25480
	0.500	0.23557	0.42648	-0.05355	0.62837	-11.74930	7.81540
	0.975	1.85191	0.59600	0.23947	0.95873	-10.94230	8.56970
DZA	0.025	-0.12304	0.00884	0.30717	0.01865	-4.62110	2.35760
	0.500	0.30681	0.29239	0.48337	0.22072	-4.19710	3.45490
	0.975	0.74229	0.57606	0.66818	0.45863	-3.69850	4.30940
ECU	0.025	-1.91816	0.26207	-1.06558	0.40829	-11.48380	7.19370
	0.500	-1.24142	0.78813	-0.69400	0.90957	-10.57010	7.65280
	0.975	-0.56607	1.31759	-0.33219	1.40841	-9.88850	8.26430
EGY	0.025	0.15063	0.40257	-0.30671	0.21644	-8.34940	5.96730
	0.500	0.39068	0.68925	-0.20267	0.51413	-7.66990	6.60530
	0.975	0.63283	0.98434	-0.09942	0.80240	-7.09530	7.37190
ERI	0.025	-0.18060	0.27057	-0.05481	0.43431	-4.22380	2.73290
	0.500	0.05360	0.30080	0.10502	0.59405	-3.72370	3.77760
	0.975	0.28764	0.33123	0.26474	0.75363	-3.13590	4.60910
ETH	0.025	-0.60687	-0.19251	-0.89983	1.70352	-10.23600	7.54340
	0.500	-0.50172	-0.05546	-0.83603	1.89150	-10.01570	7.62140
	0.975	-0.39668	0.08168	-0.77240	2.07927	-9.89640	7.76200
GAB	0.025	-0.10752	0.76481	-0.37326	0.19305	-11.15970	7.71320
	0.500	0.07402	1.00024	-0.16209	0.16194	-10.02090	8.12220
	0.975	0.25545	1.23627	0.04868	0.51617	-9.41710	8.88000
GHA	0.025	0.56945	0.42751	-0.88176	1.21741	-11.87410	8.18540
	0.500	0.83797	0.51023	-0.83555	1.32516	-11.67930	8.30380
	0.975	1.10635	0.59296	-0.78954	1.43307	-11.48430	8.42240
GIN	0.025	-0.01746	0.20862	-0.87699	1.09905	-10.26830	7.44000
	0.500	0.25369	0.41850	-0.75322	1.33550	-9.96590	7.61350
	0.975	0.52464	0.62774	-0.63240	1.57343	-9.74790	7.85110
GMB	0.025	-1.98386	-0.65060	-0.72802	1.25929	-13.43220	6.69270
	0.500	-0.02252	-0.15943	-0.51499	1.67558	-13.42080	6.71010
	0.975	1.93725	0.33082	-0.30424	2.09202	-13.41260	6.71910
GNB	0.025	-1.32687	1.46333	-1.65956	0.75404	-13.34290	7.44640
	0.500	0.40112	1.63218	-1.49229	0.85947	-12.11270	8.03810
	0.975	2.12773	1.80034	-1.32580	0.96779	-11.20970	8.85370

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
GTM	0.025	0.28808	1.39333	-0.17790	0.79612	-10.43350	7.77910
	0.500	0.59507	1.62397	-0.09574	0.52823	-10.37600	7.85180
	0.975	0.90204	1.85762	-0.01378	0.26349	-10.31320	7.90470
GUY	0.025	0.27730	0.58741	-0.16747	0.09356	-10.60150	7.97330
	0.500	0.59821	0.77610	-0.07417	0.29771	-10.52910	8.05900
	0.975	0.91921	0.96726	0.01920	0.49960	-10.46440	8.12350
HND	0.025	-1.90804	-3.31200	-0.18145	3.68271	-9.63280	3.78550
	0.500	0.00990	-2.92360	-0.08535	4.00920	-9.63080	3.78640
	0.975	1.92630	-2.56693	0.01249	4.36549	-9.62930	3.78740
HTI	0.025	-0.87651	0.02683	-0.28892	1.05129	-12.41470	8.56770
	0.500	-0.28242	0.10949	-0.25397	1.14451	-12.39030	8.58760
	0.975	0.31117	0.19224	-0.21914	1.23756	-12.37070	8.60820
IDN	0.025	0.02485	0.68417	-0.02459	0.31802	-8.80450	-131.99890
	0.500	0.03446	0.69169	-0.01811	0.32642	-8.80430	-131.99800
	0.975	0.04408	0.69920	-0.01164	0.33482	-8.80400	-131.99720
IND	0.025	0.63001	0.20133	-0.72654	1.39875	-8.40330	5.94180
	0.500	0.80628	0.24673	-0.70559	1.45884	-8.40130	5.96340
	0.975	0.98240	0.29209	-0.68469	1.51889	-8.40020	5.99040
IRQ	0.025	0.24429	0.48075	-0.54103	0.55696	-10.05930	7.48280
	0.500	0.46266	0.66828	-0.46994	0.80176	-9.81610	7.61190
	0.975	0.68099	0.85606	-0.39922	1.04615	-9.64420	7.79220
JOR	0.025	1.21012	0.54144	-0.12867	0.34132	-10.45210	7.55670
	0.500	1.61507	0.63278	-0.07774	0.44468	-10.05620	7.76960
	0.975	2.02347	0.72491	-0.02648	0.54725	-9.76920	8.05620
KEN	0.025	-1.13557	0.99471	-0.71211	0.54615	-11.25850	7.82130
	0.500	-0.81219	1.05034	-0.66378	0.61344	-11.23470	7.84580
	0.975	-0.48915	1.10601	-0.61550	0.68063	-11.21430	7.86150
KGZ	0.025	-1.83683	-0.19022	0.29475	0.26931	-10.15580	6.64930
	0.500	-0.68212	0.02205	0.56195	0.72201	-10.12020	6.87680
	0.975	0.49468	0.41011	0.89579	0.95233	-10.07680	7.04250
KHM	0.025	0.07147	0.35500	-0.17803	0.67801	-9.34780	3.85810
	0.500	0.13242	0.40833	-0.14556	0.73726	-9.34530	4.06340
	0.975	0.19332	0.46163	-0.11314	0.79644	-9.34210	4.21410
LAO	0.025	-0.70642	-1.61585	-0.79440	2.49665	-11.75180	7.58590
	0.500	-0.34533	-1.29156	-0.65389	2.94615	-10.71270	7.95180
	0.975	0.01543	-0.95592	-0.51119	3.38007	-10.18560	8.65930
LBR	0.025	-0.76663	-0.00718	-1.12603	1.82312	-11.57990	8.00100
	0.500	-0.11349	0.10131	-1.05530	1.95406	-11.49960	8.00950
	0.975	0.53922	0.21013	-0.98567	2.08512	-11.44090	8.02230

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
LBY	0.025	0.04113	-0.11474	-0.09870	0.65411	-5.72670	3.88000
	0.500	0.46755	0.03619	0.08477	0.87672	-5.52560	4.25720
	0.975	0.89523	0.18749	0.27917	1.09306	-5.32360	4.61100
LKA	0.025	-0.01008	0.03274	-0.54772	0.85852	-10.05860	7.08350
	0.500	0.24603	0.19684	-0.33230	1.13500	-9.11100	7.73550
	0.975	0.50200	0.36054	-0.11440	1.41011	-8.22740	8.42480
LSO	0.025	-0.71335	-0.29951	-1.19793	1.92336	-11.41150	7.83100
	0.500	-0.23961	-0.06877	-1.09841	2.16733	-10.95860	8.04840
	0.975	0.23372	0.16167	-0.99982	2.41174	-10.63310	8.34950
MAR	0.025	-1.72043	1.08864	-1.41111	0.52439	-8.41460	0.40790
	0.500	0.12946	1.49039	-0.72071	0.22728	-8.26590	1.83520
	0.975	1.97791	1.89716	0.00008	0.94923	-8.10400	2.57250
MDG	0.025	-1.11968	0.50790	-0.63921	0.82658	-10.08590	7.74870
	0.500	-0.97781	0.59609	-0.55964	0.96354	-10.05930	7.77750
	0.975	-0.83611	0.68495	-0.48045	1.10012	-10.03560	7.79690
MEX	0.025	0.25542	0.47449	-0.64645	0.85095	-9.37290	7.23550
	0.500	0.33686	0.57551	-0.56785	0.99244	-9.11130	7.37870
	0.975	0.41833	0.67654	-0.48944	1.13373	-8.90720	7.55940
MLI	0.025	-0.33492	0.66754	-0.75026	0.76252	-11.86400	7.81480
	0.500	-0.13726	0.75841	-0.66944	0.91090	-11.14040	8.03060
	0.975	0.06024	0.84935	-0.58903	1.05944	-10.79410	8.46040
MMR	0.025	0.15011	-0.54743	-0.76278	1.96436	-9.68100	7.33220
	0.500	0.27943	-0.43189	-0.69434	2.12626	-9.44370	7.46570
	0.975	0.40866	-0.31685	-0.62633	2.28875	-9.28630	7.66400
MNG	0.025	0.09800	0.99659	-0.49125	0.23423	-9.21690	7.58200
	0.500	0.15625	1.09379	-0.44134	0.34760	-9.10180	7.64140
	0.975	0.21448	1.19105	-0.39193	0.46094	-9.01920	7.71870
MOZ	0.025	-1.36349	0.92961	-2.23916	1.37300	-10.01880	7.29710
	0.500	-1.14636	1.29758	-2.03306	1.73566	-9.82830	7.39930
	0.975	-0.92947	1.66606	-1.82814	2.09791	-9.70650	7.55840
MRT	0.025	-0.34822	0.46197	-1.54926	1.84826	-9.39100	6.69800
	0.500	-0.15392	0.52257	-1.47126	1.94866	-9.01500	6.91830
	0.975	0.04024	0.58314	-1.39436	2.04994	-8.74650	7.22660
MWI	0.025	0.00913	0.52923	-0.31505	0.69882	-10.60810	7.67720
	0.500	0.05235	0.55592	-0.29198	0.73607	-10.60770	7.67740
	0.975	0.09552	0.58259	-0.26895	0.77330	-10.60740	7.70330
NAM	0.025	0.78033	1.48134	-0.75047	0.26683	-10.30480	7.60150
	0.500	1.10572	1.65174	-0.60102	0.04919	-10.25820	7.64900
	0.975	1.43130	1.82364	-0.45562	0.16748	-10.20260	7.71500
NER	0.025	-0.05139	0.85204	0.19876	0.25276	-9.51610	7.63110

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.500	-0.01312	0.89300	0.26907	0.16200	-8.76200	7.90910
	0.975	0.02511	0.93399	0.33923	0.07133	-8.27150	8.36680
	0.025	-0.65723	1.15020	-1.27379	0.90369	-9.75540	7.22760
NGA	0.500	-0.51913	1.22731	-1.23101	1.00364	-9.75360	7.22960
	0.975	-0.38122	1.30440	-1.18846	1.10365	-9.75150	7.23130
NIC	0.025	-0.03765	0.18480	-1.52837	1.74067	-8.17300	6.15730
	0.500	0.19429	0.41707	-1.35554	1.93834	-7.77250	6.48260
	0.975	0.42606	0.64913	-1.18302	2.13634	-7.45540	6.88660
NPL	0.025	-0.79199	0.61165	-0.60806	0.49241	-10.25250	6.89570
	0.500	-0.22901	0.79598	-0.48313	0.68699	-10.03630	7.17500
	0.975	0.33320	0.98236	-0.35921	0.88064	-9.74610	7.38770
PAK	0.025	-0.09905	1.06129	-0.58107	0.30431	-8.92110	7.26240
	0.500	-0.04354	1.12627	-0.52898	0.40270	-8.68080	7.38560
	0.975	0.01193	1.19127	-0.47718	0.50110	-8.50790	7.55670
PAN	0.025	-1.68122	0.00348	-0.02650	0.69795	-7.68870	3.85410
	0.500	-0.45309	0.10266	0.06002	0.83554	-6.91810	4.97710
	0.975	0.76764	0.20852	0.15152	0.96561	-6.21210	6.20230
PER	0.025	-0.23305	0.78836	-0.17017	0.22487	-10.33350	7.87820
	0.500	-0.16456	0.84366	-0.13877	0.29512	-10.07500	7.97430
	0.975	-0.09613	0.89899	-0.10745	0.36530	-9.92550	8.14280
PHL	0.025	1.44765	0.37849	-0.35356	0.55950	-10.71420	7.67380
	0.500	1.71258	0.53395	-0.28739	0.75354	-10.70020	7.69900
	0.975	1.97757	0.69018	-0.22154	0.94670	-10.67480	7.71310
PNG	0.025	-2.06334	1.05793	-0.17172	1.86938	-10.40290	6.45890
	0.500	-1.17138	1.94352	-0.05639	0.88996	-10.02530	6.87210
	0.975	-0.28251	2.94061	0.06140	0.01836	-9.65710	7.28840
PRY	0.025	-0.16851	-0.54469	-0.56164	1.66283	-9.20290	7.05100
	0.500	-0.08455	-0.37423	-0.50174	1.87597	-8.46520	7.41930
	0.975	-0.00059	-0.20401	-0.44219	2.08929	-7.95460	7.93980
RWA	0.025	-0.42216	1.02653	-0.67756	0.48994	-11.48100	8.20860
	0.500	-0.15454	1.06626	-0.62279	0.55658	-11.06120	8.39640
	0.975	0.11287	1.10602	-0.56813	0.62313	-10.74250	8.64360
SDN	0.025	-0.18260	-0.47426	-1.17289	2.24030	-6.80340	5.72530
	0.500	-0.12345	-0.33590	-1.09743	2.43336	-6.66920	5.86240
	0.975	-0.06436	-0.19779	-1.02259	2.62687	-6.55130	6.01390
SEN	0.025	-0.06933	0.46681	-0.43455	0.79634	-9.12280	3.71470
	0.500	0.00825	0.52590	-0.39050	0.86457	-9.12270	3.71480
	0.975	0.08577	0.58495	-0.34652	0.93277	-9.10950	3.72420

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
SLE	0.025	-1.23868	0.53520	-0.65638	0.82979	-11.77710	8.15880
	0.500	-0.67507	0.65925	-0.61473	0.95590	-11.72750	8.23120
	0.975	-0.11194	0.78306	-0.57458	1.08256	-11.67100	8.27760
SLV	0.025	-1.94948	0.92753	-1.72718	0.70353	-9.72570	-16.07890
	0.500	0.00324	1.30011	-1.31958	1.02033	-9.72010	-16.07660
	0.975	1.95440	1.67340	-0.91476	1.33678	-9.71680	-16.07430
SOM	0.025	-0.13404	0.70009	-0.41694	0.42685	-9.68070	7.68040
	0.500	0.00910	0.77938	-0.33958	0.56051	-9.61870	7.74720
	0.975	0.15228	0.85947	-0.26293	0.69336	-9.54100	7.80070
SSD	0.025	0.02884	0.60653	-0.65138	0.43370	-9.02720	7.60590
	0.500	0.06373	0.84636	-0.47442	0.62790	-8.57780	7.86820
	0.975	0.09858	1.08614	-0.29755	0.82186	-8.14900	8.14190
SWZ	0.025	-	-	-	-	-	-
		-0.69757	0.72168	-0.12950	0.14068	-10.57370	7.90690
	0.500	-0.23756	0.83553	0.04629	0.11811	-10.46710	8.02550
SYR	0.025	0.08012	-0.11413	-0.07108	0.25846	-8.96390	5.82480
	0.500	0.60447	0.17938	0.21580	0.59343	-8.11630	6.49140
	0.975	1.13527	0.50851	0.50259	0.91683	-7.57240	7.60810
TCD	0.025	-	-	-	-	-	-
		-0.00034	1.02907	-0.24192	0.04023	-10.01440	7.62880
	0.500	0.11905	1.10907	-0.16484	0.05569	-9.85130	7.70440
TGO	0.025	0.23829	1.18934	-0.08780	0.15128	-9.74540	7.81890
		-0.29008	0.61656	-0.03114	0.04750	-9.18020	7.65670
	0.500	-0.08523	0.78301	0.06442	0.15355	-9.05050	7.83410
THA	0.025	0.11945	0.95085	0.16830	0.34249	-8.91130	7.95420
		-0.17988	0.52821	-0.08827	0.17889	-4.15960	2.63050
	0.500	0.08778	0.67317	-0.02451	0.35124	-3.77630	3.38500
TJK	0.025	0.35549	0.81908	0.03987	0.52183	-3.36040	4.03700
		-0.63908	0.79767	-0.20974	0.03871	-11.33140	7.79520
	0.500	0.06372	0.94881	-0.14859	0.19992	-11.31570	7.80990
TKM	0.025	0.76567	1.10074	-0.08746	0.35970	-11.29430	7.82920
		-0.25470	0.08756	-0.26672	0.60087	-5.11110	2.33720
	0.500	0.56449	0.30506	-0.18250	0.87709	-4.84440	3.21230
TLS	0.025	1.38567	0.53546	-0.09773	1.14076	-4.55240	3.86240
		-0.01593	0.70399	-0.82785	0.82274	-11.69260	8.32560
	0.500	0.26657	0.79291	-0.74548	0.95258	-11.14860	8.54270
TUN	0.025	0.54884	0.88193	-0.66374	1.08251	-10.76720	8.85280
		-0.36194	-0.10946	-0.21265	0.48076	-7.10600	3.71680
	0.500	0.98888	0.08332	0.10468	0.78798	-6.75350	4.57450
TZA	0.025	2.34575	0.32759	0.42906	1.08011	-6.35640	5.28820
		-	-	-	-	-	-
		-0.52272	1.52537	-0.38530	0.60645	-11.42200	8.05520

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.500	-0.36718	1.70947	-0.30411	0.40563	-11.02440	8.18560
	0.975	-0.21179	1.89428	-0.22263	0.20574	-10.81280	8.43590
UGA	0.025	-1.87088	-0.99025	-1.16301	2.77526	-9.66960	2.40590
	0.500	0.01559	-0.84661	-1.09781	2.94442	-9.62020	2.73600
	0.975	1.90052	-0.70339	-1.03284	3.11396	-9.56190	2.93140
UZB	0.025	-0.20203	0.45492	-0.30331	0.38449	-3.74890	1.93420
	0.500	-0.04765	0.60953	-0.20871	0.59895	-3.06690	3.34860
	0.975	0.10684	0.76387	-0.11435	0.81400	-2.22020	4.49440
VNM	0.025	0.93331	1.43819	-0.70648	0.29722	-9.93070	7.40720
	0.500	1.09773	1.63974	-0.58846	0.05118	-9.64480	7.57200
	0.975	1.26221	1.84237	-0.47120	0.19404	-9.43120	7.79540
YEM	0.025	1.39442	0.07197	-1.17053	1.82081	-10.84800	7.50510
	0.500	2.02117	0.16426	-1.10435	1.93919	-10.84020	7.51290
	0.975	2.64785	0.25969	-1.03910	2.05731	-10.83180	7.52130
ZAF	0.025	0.37415	0.65731	-0.07278	0.22366	-5.72570	4.17610
	0.500	0.68979	0.72962	-0.03757	0.30792	-5.62950	4.38130
	0.975	1.00545	0.80199	-0.00236	0.39199	-5.53830	4.57470
ZMB	0.025	-0.78334	0.19825	-1.62003	1.79883	-10.40560	7.33430
	0.500	-0.54669	0.40550	-1.49488	2.08930	-10.13030	7.46560
	0.975	-0.31026	0.61316	-1.37079	2.37969	-9.93870	7.65430
ZWE	0.025	0.32048	0.92418	-1.14073	0.24681	-10.09440	7.26760
	0.500	0.54350	1.31458	-0.94510	0.63056	-9.75580	7.49460
	0.975	0.76644	1.70556	-0.75008	1.01359	-9.48280	7.77440

Appendix Table 9. Fitted parameters by region for model of access to unimproved water

Lower, median, and upper quantiles (0.025%, 0.50%, 0.975%) are displayed for the main parameters by region. The first four columns provide information on the fixed effects: the intercept (int) and the covariates (gam, gbm, and enet) corresponding to the predicted ensemble rasters. Fitted values for the spatiotemporal field are shown in the next two columns. Countries are abbreviated by their ISO3 codes.

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
AFG	0.025	0.21293	0.61936	-0.76660	0.97073	-8.49900	3.53180
	0.500	0.27739	0.68308	-0.72352	1.04047	-8.49900	3.53190
	0.975	0.34181	0.74678	-0.68054	1.11014	-8.49000	3.53200
AGO	0.025	-0.98184	0.33204	-0.40924	0.47148	-10.21350	7.13450
	0.500	-0.78726	0.58714	-0.31820	0.73090	-9.85440	7.32070
	0.975	-0.59292	0.84383	-0.22775	0.98924	-9.59810	7.58030
BDI	0.025	-1.35715	1.23245	-0.84050	0.16414	-9.82140	6.35500
	0.500	0.34324	1.41052	-0.76070	0.34978	-9.81850	6.35610
	0.975	2.04223	1.59223	-0.68184	0.53338	-9.81660	6.35720

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
BEN	0.025	-1.88702	1.28709	-0.38789	0.08810	-9.26080	3.73230
	0.500	0.02732	1.35318	-0.34779	0.00735	-9.25930	3.86020
	0.975	1.94010	1.42656	-0.30894	0.07167	-9.25740	3.95240
BFA	0.025	2.11935	0.52693	-0.72358	0.85122	-10.48100	7.75260
	0.500	2.39957	0.63957	-0.63254	0.99303	-10.42470	7.79600
	0.975	2.68058	0.75265	-0.54301	1.13523	-10.38220	7.82680
BGD	0.025	0.06828	-0.28275	-0.17524	0.58391	-9.19320	6.03730
	0.500	1.00057	0.01033	0.09586	0.89370	-8.96530	6.23670
	0.975	1.93198	0.30395	0.36693	1.20222	-8.79140	6.49110
BOL	0.025	-0.33730	0.25877	-0.12627	0.55624	-5.15120	3.55980
	0.500	0.01486	0.35699	-0.04358	0.68655	-4.97120	3.94400
	0.975	0.36665	0.45525	0.03885	0.81680	-4.78650	4.28630
BRA	0.025	0.50170	-0.31404	0.03695	0.71734	-3.87100	-3.20010
	0.500	0.95275	-0.11890	0.19633	0.92003	-3.65350	0.02180
	0.975	1.40698	0.07664	0.36187	1.12126	-3.42850	1.59480
BWA	0.025	-0.21058	-0.53278	0.43513	0.47925	-6.72570	5.11100
	0.500	-0.02930	-0.34483	0.63805	0.70749	-6.39630	5.45650
	0.975	0.15160	-0.15846	0.84079	0.93564	-6.10560	5.85750
CAF	0.025	-0.10948	0.92089	-0.17485	0.09305	-8.76010	6.99290
	0.500	-0.02112	1.02865	-0.07610	0.04731	-8.45170	7.27110
	0.975	0.06727	1.13680	0.02292	0.18692	-8.11870	7.52680
CHN	0.025	-0.55476	0.16401	-0.40393	0.66141	-4.97810	1.85410
	0.500	0.26763	0.38062	-0.31848	0.93791	-4.83020	2.64170
	0.975	1.08893	0.60023	-0.23296	1.21072	-4.66410	3.14990
CIV	0.025	0.44831	0.71919	-0.42895	0.11102	-10.26790	7.80440
	0.500	0.66714	0.93165	-0.26855	0.33672	-10.21460	7.86080
	0.975	0.88599	1.14538	-0.10819	0.56092	-10.15490	7.90580
CMR	0.025	1.39364	-1.20437	-0.61307	2.36008	-9.92260	6.96790
	0.500	1.71262	-1.02852	-0.50104	2.53129	-9.72350	7.07460
	0.975	2.03170	-0.85810	-0.39268	2.70771	-9.58590	7.22540
COD	0.025	0.83990	0.33583	-0.95031	1.17526	-10.22080	7.48940
	0.500	1.01192	0.45046	-0.80249	1.35195	-10.19670	7.51410
	0.975	1.18388	0.56648	-0.65549	1.52815	-10.17610	7.53110
COG	0.025	-0.31773	-0.39934	-1.27527	2.13325	-7.77620	5.63400
	0.500	0.01746	-0.17155	-1.18047	2.35245	-7.58420	5.81480
	0.975	0.35241	0.05602	-1.08701	2.57183	-7.40590	6.00520
COL	0.025	0.25152	0.03011	-0.56893	1.11911	-10.03910	7.16190
	0.500	0.50837	0.17440	-0.47338	1.29905	-10.00510	7.20280
	0.975	0.76513	0.31879	-0.37802	1.47868	-9.98250	7.26720
	0.025	-1.42694	0.04706	-0.43330	1.01385	-10.55860	5.56000

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
COM	0.500	0.39453	0.10410	-0.25982	1.15738	-9.64510	6.47240
	0.975	2.21557	0.16068	-0.09706	1.30870	-8.71730	7.33780
DOM	0.025	-0.71235	-0.32524	-1.35833	1.67643	-11.81010	7.21140
	0.500	-0.14800	-0.19322	-0.91084	2.10402	-10.20520	7.94440
DZA	0.975	0.41563	-0.06151	-0.46422	2.53211	-9.18160	9.06770
	0.025	-0.39958	0.04086	-0.22093	0.39595	-5.89790	3.16030
ECU	0.500	0.55440	0.38543	-0.06876	0.68326	-5.56190	3.83010
	0.975	1.54006	0.71308	0.09131	0.97945	-5.20070	4.42320
EGY	0.025	-2.13569	-0.28329	-0.51565	0.93539	-9.22450	5.81980
	0.500	-1.17650	0.00186	-0.26291	1.27346	-8.87880	6.20780
ERI	0.975	-0.21966	0.28555	-0.04730	1.62474	-8.54850	6.63900
	0.025	-0.58980	-0.01182	0.03124	0.93543	-7.17300	2.45450
ETH	0.500	-0.41686	-0.00256	0.04772	0.95484	-7.16160	2.45990
	0.975	-0.24403	0.00668	0.06423	0.97421	-7.15530	2.46500
GAB	0.025	-0.46151	-0.72486	0.09622	0.70809	-6.23240	4.47000
	0.500	-0.03207	-0.42452	0.39280	1.03150	-5.90110	4.95120
GHA	0.975	0.39722	-0.12509	0.68949	1.35506	-5.57390	5.42860
	0.025	0.08413	0.96048	-0.93560	0.58276	-9.87900	7.47420
GIN	0.500	0.17801	1.09002	-0.83993	0.75003	-9.73330	7.53390
	0.975	0.27183	1.21968	-0.74463	0.91707	-9.64440	7.62880
GMB	0.025	-1.28890	-1.21964	-0.61130	1.78736	-10.15760	6.88730
	0.500	-0.91967	-0.80004	-0.31193	2.11103	-9.59140	7.34850
GMB	0.975	-0.55121	-0.38535	-0.01489	2.44399	-9.05630	7.83300
	0.025	-0.04148	0.40706	-0.17742	0.66005	-9.27720	4.22580
GNB	0.500	0.01177	0.44398	-0.14530	0.70129	-9.27550	4.23410
	0.975	0.06498	0.48100	-0.11321	0.74245	-9.27390	4.23880
GTM	0.025	0.97367	0.50399	-1.23328	0.77166	-10.54520	7.68340
	0.500	1.35971	0.91735	-1.04020	1.12370	-10.46030	7.74780
GUY	0.975	1.74579	1.34597	-0.85501	1.46641	-10.39000	7.82970
	0.025	1.17887	-0.43978	-1.02899	1.53270	-9.24740	5.96330
GUY	0.500	2.06798	-0.23078	-0.59663	1.82315	-8.41710	6.75790
	0.975	3.00088	-0.03821	-0.23294	2.19674	-7.79180	7.81220
GUY	0.025	-0.67875	-0.17639	-1.10424	1.78530	-11.75230	7.28860
	0.500	0.23206	-0.02210	-0.97875	1.99984	-10.48380	7.91760
GUY	0.975	1.14271	0.12575	-0.86249	2.23261	-9.58200	8.79950
	0.025	0.96537	0.94868	-0.40406	0.61252	-11.55560	7.48460
GUY	0.500	1.39629	1.35965	-0.21516	0.14455	-10.48540	7.89120
	0.975	1.82830	1.77706	-0.01968	0.30952	-9.92320	8.64290
GUY	0.025	-1.41242	0.08267	0.00558	0.17679	-10.49010	7.40220
	0.500	-0.93791	0.40479	0.39904	0.19642	-10.09390	7.61280

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.975	-0.46498	0.73566	0.78743	0.56541	-9.57570	7.99740
HND	0.025	-3.19079	-0.16888	-0.57510	1.41358	-9.83060	6.58480
	0.500	-2.42293	-0.07178	-0.47983	1.55369	-9.59970	6.78810
	0.975	-1.65726	0.00966	-0.39120	1.71230	-9.40520	7.01420
HTI	0.025	2.25235	0.37516	-0.42245	0.66508	-11.95360	7.88980
	0.500	2.77187	0.52434	-0.34585	0.82201	-11.22620	8.15470
	0.975	3.29269	0.67610	-0.27062	0.97645	-10.80200	8.60110
IDN	0.025	1.07645	0.39094	-0.32509	0.85460	-8.29580	2.80190
	0.500	2.28350	0.42037	-0.30746	0.88709	-8.29580	2.80200
	0.975	3.48979	0.44981	-0.28985	0.91952	-8.29460	2.80220
IND	0.025	-0.92570	0.21772	-1.20855	1.74386	-8.66620	4.06010
	0.500	0.57651	0.31925	-1.16553	1.84624	-8.66590	4.06050
	0.975	2.07759	0.42086	-1.12266	1.94854	-8.66560	4.06080
IRQ	0.025	-3.63147	-0.32171	-1.26146	1.98233	-8.90600	5.61730
	0.500	-2.74746	-0.13438	-1.11197	2.24682	-8.60180	5.82190
	0.975	-1.87011	0.05252	-0.96639	2.51410	-8.41340	6.11350
JOR	0.025	-0.94413	37.60393	-1.60096	33.9452 2	-6.88200	0.88340
	0.500	0.09017	0.97981	0.03688	0.28015	-3.94850	5.20240
	0.975	1.40021	35.09130	1.21162	38.9770 1	0.16260	10.31760
KEN	0.025	-2.06886	0.21905	-1.19563	1.64656	-9.49470	4.14990
	0.500	-0.19312	0.34478	-1.11956	1.77472	-9.49150	4.16250
	0.975	1.68110	0.47053	-1.04371	1.90281	-9.48700	4.16990
KGZ	0.025	-0.13164	0.07834	0.11815	0.54715	-11.79370	-4.06780
	0.500	0.04004	0.14285	0.21175	0.64530	-11.79340	-4.06760
	0.975	0.21156	0.20746	0.30533	0.74330	-11.79290	-4.04130
KHM	0.025	-1.87640	0.17661	-0.71337	1.11173	-9.65860	4.77170
	0.500	0.02179	0.31781	-0.61635	1.29842	-9.65730	4.77780
	0.975	1.91843	0.45980	-0.51949	1.48450	-9.65600	4.78430
LAO	0.025	0.17036	0.96587	-0.89010	0.18769	-11.62030	7.44130
	0.500	0.78653	1.19572	-0.72496	0.52941	-11.05520	7.73880
	0.975	1.40239	1.42700	-0.55991	0.86885	-10.63930	8.10780
LBR	0.025	-1.22973	28.62190	28.24177	53.4597 3	-9.92280	2.89070
	0.500	0.69811	28.32935	28.01437	53.6412 0	-9.91850	2.89260
	0.975	2.62440	28.20011	27.91753	54.0713 3	-9.91520	2.89560
LBY	0.025	-0.55575	22.02953	-0.63413	19.4855 3	-6.27850	1.64550

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.500	0.09243	0.45575	-0.06200	0.48384	-2.88070	5.03370
	0.975				23.0921		
LKA		0.87014	20.44072	0.62031	8	1.73420	10.07660
	0.025	1.53472	-0.29533	-1.11773	1.55349	-10.45440	6.23710
	0.500	2.37419	0.03298	-0.93471	1.90368	-9.49860	7.13960
LSO	0.975	3.21490	0.36321	-0.75710	2.25261	-8.51520	8.00380
	0.025	2.56068	-0.74483	-0.62230	1.67267	-11.24310	7.18750
	0.500	3.24235	-0.45274	-0.49913	1.95143	-10.27990	7.57410
MAR	0.975	3.92817	-0.15929	-0.38029	2.23269	-9.78910	8.28800
	0.025	2.94732	-0.03414	-0.71533	0.63040	-9.90540	6.00060
	0.500	3.84576	0.35130	-0.38576	1.03786	-9.15650	6.55720
MDG	0.975	4.75334	0.73411	-0.05468	1.43886	-8.72880	7.57390
	0.025	0.15575	1.27702	-0.93797	0.11299	-10.26050	7.61580
	0.500	0.30119	1.47370	-0.81560	0.34196	-10.02070	7.71590
MEX	0.975	0.44653	1.67061	-0.69369	0.57066	-9.88310	7.89160
	0.025	0.12847	0.47538	-0.08363	0.19204	-9.18050	6.73620
	0.500	0.22975	0.63435	-0.00489	0.37053	-8.73970	7.13610
MLI	0.975	0.33070	0.79431	0.07353	0.54813	-8.21680	7.47150
	0.025	1.50506	0.98792	-0.33087	0.21019	-9.88830	7.17830
	0.500	1.75976	1.21586	-0.22437	0.00810	-9.87560	7.19230
MMR	0.975	2.01461	1.44691	-0.11876	0.22473	-9.85850	7.20520
	0.025	0.07658	0.71753	-0.82980	0.76642	-10.06030	7.55270
	0.500	0.27716	0.81931	-0.74403	0.92465	-10.01210	7.58710
MNG	0.975	0.47768	0.92149	-0.65885	1.08279	-9.97770	7.61700
	0.025	0.18466	0.31393	-0.74957	1.03637	-7.55870	5.63670
	0.500	0.34637	0.46617	-0.64881	1.18286	-7.46100	5.78070
MOZ	0.975	0.50800	0.61847	-0.54831	1.32888	-7.34020	5.89260
	0.025	1.03221	2.41542	-1.27567	1.06917	-10.34330	7.56700
	0.500	1.26229	2.81248	-1.10389	0.70800	-10.26310	7.64230
MRT	0.975	1.49238	3.21213	-0.93469	0.34875	-10.20910	7.68750
	0.025	1.32716	-0.06005	-0.72721	1.56319	-9.23280	6.42300
	0.500	1.60041	-0.00494	-0.64966	1.65446	-8.88430	6.71640
MWI	0.975	1.87497	0.05092	-0.57297	1.74585	-8.55190	7.02730
	0.025	-1.86305	0.43259	-1.23717	1.44020	-9.68680	3.79070
	0.500	0.05246	0.56344	-1.14378	1.57890	-9.68560	3.79190
NAM	0.975	1.96643	0.69376	-1.06157	1.73270	-9.68400	3.79350
	0.025	-0.97838	0.20214	0.24415	0.03914	-11.05410	8.07900
	0.500	-0.60000	0.36128	0.43457	0.20134	-11.02140	8.10640
	0.975	-0.22256	0.52829	0.62782	0.43696	-10.99380	8.13740

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
NER	0.025	0.34213	-0.09645	-0.18686	0.83788	-6.28570	3.90000
	0.500	1.00507	0.07471	-0.09398	1.01815	-6.00990	4.35030
	0.975	1.67798	0.24904	-0.00008	1.19614	-5.72490	4.77800
NGA	0.025	46.09499	72.50372	33.56365	39.33331	-9.40650	3.50370
	0.500	44.27163	72.23624	33.65912	39.58928	-9.40570	3.56560
	0.975	42.44998	72.02651	33.78428	39.84985	-9.40490	3.62770
NIC	0.025	0.52985	-0.53068	-1.66239	2.53407	-8.36920	5.53540
	0.500	1.39043	-0.26794	-1.50326	2.77100	-8.14650	5.82120
	0.975	2.25102	-0.00518	-1.34601	3.00923	-7.91370	6.08570
NPL	0.025	-0.46198	0.34327	-2.03632	1.49473	-11.64610	7.83620
	0.500	0.57659	0.70737	-1.67147	1.97526	-11.60740	7.90300
	0.975	1.61483	1.07136	-1.32764	2.45253	-11.57630	7.98640
PAK	0.025	0.22583	0.31644	0.01263	0.35148	-7.82780	5.80390
	0.500	0.34656	0.43140	0.10050	0.46801	-7.36820	6.13160
	0.975	0.46724	0.54621	0.18838	0.58450	-7.08180	6.65670
PAN	0.025	-1.29904	-0.07697	-0.15497	0.72133	-7.58640	4.28010
	0.500	-0.16876	0.05601	0.04191	0.90186	-7.01940	5.05300
	0.975	0.95996	0.18969	0.23981	1.08045	-6.47470	5.85290
PER	0.025	-0.46097	1.00046	-0.45845	0.19109	-10.26840	7.56050
	0.500	-0.35269	1.09847	-0.40199	0.30377	-10.01790	7.68490
	0.975	-0.24454	1.19660	-0.34671	0.41654	-9.84930	7.86530
PHL	0.025	2.23547	-0.08147	-0.42447	0.94559	-10.27940	7.47550
	0.500	2.54472	0.05921	-0.23839	1.17623	-10.21810	7.56240
	0.975	2.85535	0.21389	-0.05130	1.39793	-10.14780	7.61950
PNG	0.025	-3.29524	-1.90167	-0.00866	0.59160	-9.18460	5.29200
	0.500	-2.09300	-0.73089	0.06965	1.66035	-8.68890	5.67300
	0.975	-0.90568	0.33375	0.15435	2.83299	-8.25370	6.06860
PRY	0.025	-0.42181	0.64839	-0.20035	0.23176	-7.43440	5.42370
	0.500	-0.11230	0.74034	-0.11501	0.37446	-7.17310	5.76710
	0.975	0.19676	0.83398	-0.02983	0.51600	-6.90310	6.09200
RWA	0.025	-0.40214	-0.03269	-0.60127	1.38660	-10.69070	7.44230
	0.500	0.16327	0.05485	-0.54065	1.48587	-10.37280	7.64450
	0.975	0.72824	0.14221	-0.48029	1.58528	-10.12200	7.89190
SDN	0.025	0.48275	-0.37235	0.03538	1.05401	-6.20500	4.14550
	0.500	0.90196	-0.25626	0.07983	1.17624	-6.08670	4.36680
	0.975	1.32116	-0.13982	0.12465	1.29785	-5.95430	4.57320
SEN	0.025	1.11997	0.43035	-0.20164	0.29164	-10.60630	7.40230
	0.500	1.44258	0.57817	-0.07587	0.49837	-10.10700	7.64290
	0.975	1.76726	0.72830	0.04715	0.70394	-9.70700	7.96320

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
SLE	0.025	-0.60260	-0.22947	-1.55410	2.10103	-11.77860	7.85490
	0.500	-0.04423	0.01376	-1.37652	2.36221	-11.31000	8.05130
	0.975	0.51375	0.25685	-1.20440	2.62927	-11.00870	8.36040
SLV	0.025	-1.44807	-0.70661	-0.88406	1.44151	-12.44650	7.65500
	0.500	-0.28624	-0.38668	-0.57490	1.96118	-11.57750	8.05140
	0.975	0.87492	-0.06851	-0.26854	2.48541	-10.97060	8.62190
SOM	0.025	0.10953	0.21463	-0.58218	0.90446	-6.46440	3.71120
	0.500	1.07020	0.36686	-0.46475	1.09794	-6.24560	4.11080
	0.975	2.03103	0.52100	-0.34810	1.29005	-6.02340	4.47790
SSD	0.025	-0.20699	0.29608	0.12804	0.27344	-8.61350	6.49690
	0.500	-0.12602	0.40233	0.23131	0.36624	-8.12920	6.94490
	0.975	-0.04511	0.50859	0.33457	0.45891	-7.63190	7.38310
SWZ	0.025	-0.24430	-0.53592	-0.52368	1.46224	-12.05790	7.29500
	0.500	0.55467	-0.38496	-0.33001	1.71509	-10.62860	7.77280
	0.975	1.35315	-0.23441	-0.13772	1.96905	-9.91830	8.66400
SYR	0.025	-1.20464	0.00661	-0.03223	0.15488	-7.75730	1.74900
	0.500	0.45210	0.47072	0.04128	0.48721	-6.30980	4.11860
	0.975	2.12022	1.10806	0.12630	0.95067	-4.65830	6.41490
TCD	0.025	0.88238	0.68340	-0.40444	0.42741	-10.38660	7.55060
	0.500	1.03957	0.79303	-0.32330	0.53004	-10.03940	7.73310
	0.975	1.19704	0.90402	-0.24220	0.63151	-9.78120	7.99020
TGO	0.025	-0.43006	0.23582	-0.17551	0.12460	-9.75080	7.76020
	0.500	-0.10370	0.52938	0.06385	0.40652	-9.62990	7.90370
	0.975	0.22244	0.82283	0.30408	0.68730	-9.48400	8.01250
THA	0.025	-0.42182	-0.12166	0.08440	0.02154	-4.44900	2.29790
	0.500	-0.06264	0.26629	0.31178	0.41900	-3.88230	3.26330
	0.975	0.29696	0.65509	0.54049	0.81814	-3.23470	4.05900
TJK	0.025	-1.17405	-0.03494	-1.17885	1.17221	-10.88880	7.31940
	0.500	-0.64829	0.34628	-0.91280	1.56895	-10.40290	7.57990
	0.975	-0.12413	0.72626	-0.65360	1.96788	-10.00760	7.89580
TKM	0.025	0.14107	-0.39722	-0.12105	0.23291	-5.00540	-2.29280
	0.500	1.33166	0.10193	0.15141	0.74107	-4.56370	0.93630
	0.975	2.53441	0.61005	0.43455	1.24067	-4.08970	2.71700
TLS	0.025	-0.06972	0.36392	0.05118	0.43590	-9.66330	4.43660
	0.500	0.03960	0.41568	0.09470	0.48962	-9.65960	4.43670
	0.975	0.14885	0.46736	0.13826	0.54328	-9.65060	4.45600
TUN	0.025	-1.58838	-0.30407	-0.56754	0.48190	-6.22990	0.84770
	0.500	-0.03720	0.13091	-0.14905	0.99596	-5.54150	3.22500
	0.975	1.51427	0.68141	0.31626	1.39845	-4.63110	4.70740
TZA	0.025	0.41472	1.07974	-0.60062	0.19297	-10.20100	7.49720
	0.500	0.56206	1.37641	-0.46306	0.08706	-9.98940	7.59740
	0.975	0.70933	1.67352	-0.32654	0.36637	-9.86160	7.76070

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
UGA	0.025	0.34116	0.31996	-0.91319	1.21707	-10.62110	7.68530
	0.500	0.65131	0.42971	-0.79423	1.36523	-10.61020	7.69320
	0.975	0.96129	0.53931	-0.67705	1.51357	-10.60210	7.70290
UZB	0.025	-0.65389	-0.36750	-0.78792	1.12853	-4.66330	1.62180
	0.500	0.01113	0.00746	-0.54059	1.53338	-4.28740	2.75550
	0.975	0.67440	0.38566	-0.29556	1.93626	-3.85660	3.51940
VNM	0.025	-	-	-	-	-	-
		0.82392	0.83917	-0.00016	0.31071	-9.99360	7.00560
	0.500	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.10897	1.02194	0.09865	0.12110	-9.51270	7.29430	
	1.39436	1.20683	0.19823	0.06616	-9.18760	7.71130	
YEM	0.025	-1.18580	0.01567	-0.49972	1.02076	-8.83850	5.04520
	0.500	0.49859	0.22135	-0.43760	1.21582	-8.82590	5.30750
	0.975	2.18176	0.43250	-0.37696	1.40761	-8.81660	5.60130
ZAF	0.025	-0.50941	0.55739	-0.41750	0.39776	-4.48380	3.00520
	0.500	-0.18945	0.66325	-0.24890	0.58584	-4.29450	3.51640
	0.975	0.12914	0.76886	-0.08071	0.77391	-4.10530	3.95620
ZMB	0.025	-	-	-	-	-	-
		0.57505	1.12349	-0.45161	0.23834	-10.36080	7.57000
	0.500	-	-	-	-	-	-
	0.80686	1.36086	-0.33194	0.02772	-10.32840	7.60420	
	1.03861	1.60026	-0.21623	0.18181	-10.29910	7.62720	
ZWE	0.025	-	-	-	-	-	-
		0.79038	0.92417	-0.14536	0.92293	-10.59410	7.24800
	0.500	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.08491	1.37066	0.13247	0.50837	-9.99840	7.57400	
	0.975	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1.37963	1.83356	0.41523	0.10465	-9.60240	8.05830	

Appendix Table 10. Fitted parameters by region for model of access to piped sanitation

Lower, median, and upper quantiles (0.025%, 0.50%, 0.975%) are displayed for the main parameters by region. The first four columns provide information on the fixed effects: the intercept (int) and the covariates (gam, gbm, and enet) corresponding to the predicted ensemble rasters. Fitted values for the spatiotemporal field are shown in the next two columns. Countries are abbreviated by their ISO3 codes.

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
AFG	0.025	-3.91776	-0.31048	-1.11333	1.94395	-9.95070	7.31230
	0.500	-3.63295	-0.10920	-0.95082	2.05885	-9.91290	7.34490
	0.975	-3.34927	0.09433	-0.79135	2.17639	-9.86130	7.38200
AGO	0.025	-0.54990	0.29289	-0.71410	1.11215	-5.94660	4.76140
	0.500	-0.41889	0.39537	-0.63916	1.24377	-5.72510	5.00590
	0.975	-0.28811	0.49783	-0.56448	1.37533	-5.51810	5.26400
BDI	0.025	-4.23310	-0.32750	-0.15806	1.21764	-10.89640	7.55820
	0.500	-3.73730	-0.21677	-0.11623	1.33288	-10.45530	7.80300
	0.975	-3.24346	-0.10593	-0.07438	1.44794	-10.12660	8.12110
BEN	0.025	-1.39369	0.99198	-0.15587	0.02176	-10.34250	7.79110
	0.500	-1.18293	1.04164	-0.12508	0.08320	-10.03050	7.93390
	0.975	-0.97365	1.09228	-0.09450	0.14433	-9.81650	8.13810
BFA	0.025	-3.02818	0.34429	-0.85649	1.32027	-10.38410	7.52140
	0.500	-2.84261	0.39790	-0.79707	1.39914	-9.84900	7.78350
	0.975	-2.65826	0.45169	-0.73826	1.47823	-9.49590	8.18030
BGD	0.025	-2.56016	0.79753	-0.53495	0.51746	-10.00270	7.23030
	0.500	-2.16550	0.87322	-0.48243	0.60915	-9.97810	7.25440
	0.975	-1.77132	0.94896	-0.43008	0.70080	-9.95120	7.28380
BOL	0.025	-0.06232	0.69394	-0.02747	0.12386	-4.33720	3.71310
	0.500	0.06584	0.76877	0.01093	0.22031	-4.16100	4.09000
	0.975	0.19388	0.84357	0.04927	0.31667	-3.97370	4.42450
BRA	0.025	-0.18581	0.09186	-0.17136	0.85979	-4.59600	3.72440
	0.500	-0.07823	0.17547	-0.12773	0.95214	-4.51780	3.87090
	0.975	0.02927	0.25914	-0.08394	1.04428	-4.43930	4.01500
BTN	0.025	-1.85473	-1.33325	-1.27227	2.66832	-13.29000	7.05480
	0.500	0.08377	-1.03390	-1.09126	3.12523	-12.67840	7.50840
	0.975	2.02071	-0.73470	-0.91050	3.58175	-12.16390	8.04190
BWA	0.025	-2.20477	-0.04169	-0.82676	1.52420	-10.13280	7.18350
	0.500	-1.85028	0.06333	-0.72755	1.66420	-9.72790	7.43110
	0.975	-1.49659	0.16835	-0.62892	1.80439	-9.42880	7.75400
CAF	0.025	-0.90929	0.02297	-0.29879	0.74968	-9.96640	7.29360
	0.500	-0.72934	0.20672	-0.13083	0.92366	-8.99930	7.77160
	0.975	-0.55366	0.39116	0.03583	1.09847	-8.36520	8.46030
CHN	0.025	-0.30340	-0.03471	0.05055	0.72474	-4.59080	3.07270
	0.500	0.01872	0.06059	0.11527	0.82385	-4.49740	3.34700
	0.975	0.34066	0.15581	0.18025	0.92294	-4.40960	3.60070
	0.025	-2.40879	0.28927	-0.87395	1.15080	-10.61400	7.64390

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
CIV	0.500	-2.12579	0.44740	-0.77811	1.33059	-10.22340	7.81790
	0.975	-1.84356	0.60532	-0.68272	1.51094	-9.98380	8.09620
CMR	0.025	-1.52655	0.89441	-0.16685	0.10204	-10.88610	7.63580
	0.500	-1.25728	0.95681	-0.13045	0.17250	-10.59460	7.80760
	0.975	-0.98920	1.02413	-0.09436	0.24097	-10.32080	7.98710
COD	0.025	-1.90516	0.40368	-0.32886	0.74427	-8.60860	6.17200
	0.500	-1.68013	0.45983	-0.28465	0.82476	-8.31390	6.44630
	0.975	-1.45650	0.51617	-0.24044	0.90501	-8.04560	6.74990
COG	0.025	-2.16339	0.78004	-0.50036	0.37278	-12.49280	8.52810
	0.500	-1.71132	0.84690	-0.38621	0.53990	-12.01880	8.68510
	0.975	-1.26233	0.91505	-0.27304	0.70550	-11.73700	8.95280
COL	0.025	0.28832	0.49626	-0.07570	0.38300	-7.06560	5.67780
	0.500	0.39570	0.55193	-0.01587	0.46396	-6.94750	5.79930
	0.975	0.50303	0.60763	0.04387	0.54482	-6.83250	5.92550
COM	0.025	-2.38113	-0.74516	-0.07089	1.50334	-10.70480	6.69130
	0.500	-0.96558	-0.59578	-0.05428	1.65027	-10.11670	7.29150
	0.975	0.44809	-0.44661	-0.03834	1.79732	-9.56190	7.92630
CRI	0.025	0.07996	-0.01039	-0.70473	1.37388	-10.80040	8.06480
	0.500	0.44878	0.10259	-0.63994	1.53728	-10.71810	8.15710
	0.975	0.81734	0.21549	-0.57532	1.70064	-10.61700	8.23170
DOM	0.025	-0.72168	0.23946	-0.11005	0.54545	-10.56920	6.92160
	0.500	0.03995	0.35971	-0.04269	0.68297	-9.90680	7.37680
	0.975	0.80097	0.47991	0.02464	0.82028	-9.37010	7.93280
DZA	0.025	-0.02899	-0.01204	-0.01662	0.46450	-4.97970	4.51290
	0.500	0.05886	0.18657	0.15015	0.66358	-4.74670	4.80440
	0.975	0.14693	0.38419	0.31675	0.86287	-4.51260	5.09420
ECU	0.025	2.87938	311.5398 2	- 14.36052	298.751 58	-9.62180	2.42050
	0.500	4.81278	311.8838 9	- 14.20995	297.966 07	-9.61870	3.01500
	0.975	6.74467	312.6707 5	- 14.09975	297.619 60	-9.61290	3.31070
EGY	0.025	-1.89207	-1.35669	-0.01322	2.09990	-9.47110	5.03360
	0.500	-0.02741	-1.24388	0.01805	2.22575	-9.46870	5.03550
	0.975	1.83575	-1.13077	0.04930	2.35123	-9.46400	5.03720
ERI	0.025	-0.33921	-0.93460	0.68034	0.49336	-6.85500	4.24040
	0.500	0.20531	-0.18112	1.04595	0.13448	-6.22190	5.16510
	0.975	0.74414	0.58517	1.41663	0.74343	-5.59620	6.12020
	0.025	-2.35200	0.08724	-0.14254	0.86173	-9.13180	7.08460

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
ETH	0.500	-2.19980	0.15630	-0.09916	0.94278	-8.96520	7.18500
	0.975	-2.04871	0.22557	-0.05579	1.02361	-8.83400	7.30970
GAB	0.025	-2.69070	0.32644	-0.47571	0.87621	-10.46530	7.31580
	0.500	-2.21272	0.41997	-0.42410	1.00393	-10.12830	7.50130
GHA	0.975	-1.73664	0.51357	-0.37272	1.13180	-9.89180	7.76650
	0.025	-2.86691	0.83035	-0.73637	0.73758	-9.78520	7.34050
GIN	0.500	-2.57785	0.88057	-0.68942	0.80857	-9.77920	7.34670
	0.975	-2.28993	0.93208	-0.64272	0.87911	-9.77170	7.35480
GNB	0.025	-2.95079	1.06246	-1.62255	1.21827	-10.63680	7.95180
	0.500	-2.63120	1.15942	-1.52289	1.36335	-10.55730	8.00640
GTM	0.975	-2.31372	1.25729	-1.42490	1.50893	-10.47030	8.06390
	0.025	-3.10454	-3.18377	-0.82954	3.90801	-10.67370	7.17050
GUY	0.500	-2.27183	-2.74940	-0.66992	4.41850	-9.88320	7.65870
	0.975	-1.44445	-2.36777	-0.53344	5.00582	-9.24360	8.29320
HND	0.025	-0.83565	1.00306	-0.21952	0.05536	-11.50300	8.02680
	0.500	-0.46377	1.05769	-0.18739	0.12962	-11.20630	8.15510
HTI	0.975	-0.09220	1.11250	-0.15587	0.20419	-11.00970	8.34540
	0.025	-1.45281	-0.02797	-0.18100	0.91096	-11.10740	7.43120
HND	0.500	-1.05600	0.08889	-0.13475	1.04587	-10.29810	7.80460
	0.975	-0.65989	0.20580	-0.08861	1.18061	-9.79930	8.40710
HTI	0.025	-1.09441	-0.05733	-0.08450	0.90325	-10.66350	7.72820
	0.500	-0.71587	0.03001	-0.03162	1.00139	-10.62580	7.76680
IND	0.975	-0.33771	0.11603	0.02204	1.10022	-10.59240	7.79490
	0.025	-2.36213	0.45284	-0.04693	0.36347	-12.95830	8.06130
IDN	0.500	-2.00394	0.53761	-0.00060	0.46306	-11.47280	8.51100
	0.975	-1.64703	0.62278	0.04562	0.56209	-10.70960	9.37880
IND	0.025	-0.05098	0.46257	0.00643	0.48006	-9.03380	1.85420
	0.500	-0.03322	0.48009	0.01933	0.50058	-9.03300	1.85480
IRQ	0.975	-0.01548	0.49760	0.03222	0.52109	-9.03240	1.85530
	0.025	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	-8.24950	-147.54600
IND	0.500	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	-8.24950	-147.54600
	0.975	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	-8.24930	-147.54370
IRQ	0.025	-0.19860	0.08996	-0.64376	0.83395	-10.13130	7.96640
	0.500	-0.02606	0.36060	-0.44584	1.08521	-10.08710	8.00930
JOR	0.975	0.14636	0.63099	-0.24804	1.33627	-10.02900	8.05070
	0.025	-3.04818	0.73182	-0.26588	0.42613	-11.81580	7.91140
KEN	0.500	-2.38726	0.77301	-0.24494	0.47197	-11.35390	8.11310
	0.975	-1.72736	0.81436	-0.22407	0.51758	-11.05890	8.41430
KEN	0.025	-2.78688	0.77858	0.27271	0.15075	-9.11980	6.47390
	0.500	-2.30834	0.80706	0.30497	0.11203	-9.10470	6.48880

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.975	-1.83257	0.83561	0.33723	0.07349	-9.09190	6.50170
KGZ	0.025	-7.32624	-0.13020	-0.63767	1.44822	-11.57120	7.82080
	0.500	-6.28048	0.00090	-0.58042	1.57955	-11.50190	7.87740
	0.975	-5.23736	0.13245	-0.52407	1.71079	-11.40450	7.94380
KHM	0.025	-1.32421	1.50694	-0.03303	0.59454	-10.13790	7.66430
	0.500	-1.11262	1.55131	-0.01381	0.53750	-10.11700	7.68550
	0.975	-0.90127	1.59576	0.00537	0.48058	-10.10250	7.69860
LAO	0.025	-1.97133	1.38321	-1.26919	0.32172	-10.80270	7.69550
	0.500	-1.67683	1.54752	-1.12355	0.57538	-10.40150	7.88720
	0.975	-1.38372	1.71315	-0.98079	0.83104	11.72330	8.16610
LBR	0.025	-5.27614	0.16808	-1.00209	1.54777	-11.09090	7.65700
	0.500	-4.82559	0.26876	-0.93346	1.66464	-10.60760	7.85470
	0.975	-4.37777	0.36952	-0.86547	1.78176	-10.31500	8.17600
LBY	0.025	-0.11131	-0.17949	-0.07711	0.54951	-5.86660	4.82840
	0.500	0.01654	-0.04918	0.21526	0.83399	-5.55420	5.20780
	0.975	0.14365	0.08088	0.50762	1.11810	-5.26160	5.61260
LKA	0.025	-2.95910	-0.39956	-1.41855	2.39988	-11.71600	6.99300
	0.500	-1.24519	-0.26991	-1.27074	2.54063	-11.29760	7.37040
	0.975	0.46733	-0.13892	-1.12350	2.68051	-10.85730	7.76600
LSO	0.025	-3.12696	0.26108	-0.44627	0.95291	-10.06710	7.45250
	0.500	-2.68159	0.34544	-0.39999	1.05412	-9.77140	7.62670
	0.975	-2.24181	0.42989	-0.35429	1.15634	-9.54770	7.85310
MAR	0.025	-0.21263	0.24637	0.03113	0.55436	-9.40040	4.72360
	0.500	-0.10207	0.31271	0.06429	0.62295	-9.39550	4.73830
	0.975	0.00839	0.37901	0.09750	0.69146	-9.38630	4.74600
MDG	0.025	-2.79919	-0.34486	-0.16918	1.15399	-10.38970	7.53380
	0.500	-2.60193	-0.20791	-0.08094	1.28891	-9.90390	7.74740
	0.975	-2.40568	-0.07083	-0.00703	1.42362	-9.60740	8.08700
MEX	0.025	-1.49042	-1.75443	0.56770	2.06699	-8.50160	-146.14600
	0.500	0.15625	-1.70130	0.58105	2.12024	-8.46350	-146.01150
	0.975	1.80159	-1.64824	0.59440	2.17347	-8.43100	-145.87690
MLI	0.025	-0.80660	0.97879	0.30799	0.44349	-9.72390	7.42870
	0.500	-0.68048	1.02921	0.34792	0.37755	-9.51940	7.52130
	0.975	-0.55487	1.08127	0.38795	0.31255	-9.38400	7.65830
MMR	0.025	-0.88522	0.78944	-0.37620	0.31043	-9.75330	7.57150
	0.500	-0.76368	0.85853	-0.28582	0.42744	-9.56700	7.65170
	0.975	-0.64228	0.92798	-0.19572	0.54400	-9.45590	7.78400
MNG	0.025	-3.53850	-0.73865	-0.52237	1.97298	-10.20980	7.56140
	0.500	-3.36252	-0.60959	-0.48413	2.09376	-10.03000	7.64640

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.975	-3.18735	-0.48055	-0.44637	2.21452	-9.91950	7.78210
MOZ	0.025	-2.02829	-0.47452	0.30883	0.86492	-7.03480	-2.05420
	0.500	-0.45671	-0.38574	0.39356	0.99238	-6.95350	-1.05360
	0.975	1.11349	-0.29673	0.47705	1.11999	-6.85320	-0.43610
MRT	0.025	-2.23630	0.11838	-0.92272	1.59834	-9.38810	7.09070
	0.500	-2.01172	0.19663	-0.88421	1.68752	-9.32970	7.13660
	0.975	-1.78807	0.27484	-0.84594	1.77683	-9.28030	7.19040
MWI	0.025	-2.03048	-0.23209	0.32104	0.73415	-8.53280	-8.85560
	0.500	-0.13532	-0.15943	0.35322	0.80647	-8.45440	-8.20580
	0.975	1.75827	-0.08958	0.38533	0.88102	-8.37980	-7.80900
NAM	0.025	-1.48158	0.83781	-0.26332	0.25728	-11.52210	7.93080
	0.500	-1.16876	0.89261	-0.22095	0.32827	-11.18580	8.05510
	0.975	-0.85633	0.94747	-0.17885	0.39937	-10.99660	8.27630
NER	0.025	-0.56774	1.03435	-0.23303	0.05796	-10.25890	7.86910
	0.500	-0.46811	1.06954	-0.18613	0.11672	-9.89780	8.04070
	0.975	-0.36939	1.10485	-0.13928	0.17507	-9.59660	8.24380
NGA	0.025	-1.62950	1.06051	-0.47666	0.19839	-10.54470	7.55030
	0.500	-1.49027	1.14381	-0.43923	0.29545	-10.31200	7.63340
	0.975	-1.35124	1.22708	-0.40186	0.39239	-10.18560	7.78220
NIC	0.025	-3.05139	0.69090	-0.81640	0.75924	-13.75180	8.13120
	0.500	-1.79325	0.78869	-0.72440	0.93594	-12.88060	8.55040
	0.975	-0.53670	0.88754	-0.63300	1.11163	-12.14330	9.03620
NPL	0.025	-1.94803	1.06006	-0.38513	0.09795	-10.09340	-5.92220
	0.500	-0.03178	1.14116	-0.34755	0.20640	-10.02970	-5.21070
	0.975	1.88293	1.22261	-0.31007	0.31445	-9.95920	-4.81500
PAK	0.025	-1.07693	-0.11436	0.52114	0.03730	-7.04710	5.02240
	0.500	-0.70914	-0.01791	0.73076	0.28643	-6.89150	5.24280
	0.975	-0.34236	0.07996	0.93928	0.53611	-6.76630	5.57710
PAN	0.025	-2.19139	-0.07953	-0.38759	0.94850	-8.12990	4.65340
	0.500	-0.95475	0.08772	-0.21144	1.12435	-7.61810	5.37400
	0.975	0.28049	0.25506	-0.03760	1.30045	-7.14090	6.15150
PER	0.025	-1.53198	-1.06802	-0.43136	2.29475	-12.05940	8.50760
	0.500	-1.37272	-0.98594	-0.39991	2.38586	-12.05500	8.51150
	0.975	-1.21362	-0.90394	-0.36853	2.47691	-12.04900	8.51530
PHL	0.025	0.15298	-0.46486	-0.48143	1.53415	-10.44560	7.52090
	0.500	0.41070	-0.29807	-0.41827	1.71626	-10.41540	7.55260
	0.975	0.66826	-0.13129	-0.35555	1.89837	-10.38670	7.57490
PNG	0.025			-	-		
		-1.81707	-6.46462	14.27261	17.19958	-7.18060	1.91570
	0.500	0.06711	0.34131	-2.01233	1.36300	-3.25850	5.02630

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.975	1.94240	11.48041	9.26654	14.3740 3	1.60490	9.67210
PRY	0.025	-0.38361	0.76520	-0.27922	0.41273	-10.70150	7.63580
	0.500	-0.18485	0.79728	-0.25748	0.46018	-10.25940	7.83500
	0.975	0.01361	0.82938	-0.23580	0.50759	-9.93160	8.10100
RWA	0.025	-1.90439	0.63560	-0.40582	0.27897	-10.72460	7.74410
	0.500	-1.55327	0.83490	-0.34666	0.51269	-10.20390	8.02620
	0.975	-1.20578	1.03650	-0.29058	0.74485	-9.78010	8.37210
SDN	0.025	-0.10489	0.93540	0.00391	0.02359	-9.44930	7.66770
	0.500	-0.03575	0.94709	0.01650	0.03641	-9.32040	7.72590
	0.975	0.03329	0.95875	0.02906	0.04926	-9.22680	7.80300
SEN	0.025	-2.75879	0.47165	-0.18054	0.59382	-11.55850	7.75240
	0.500	-2.25625	0.50731	-0.15331	0.64599	-11.32110	7.84710
	0.975	-1.75447	0.54306	-0.12614	0.69808	-11.16840	7.99650
SLE	0.025	-1.33779	0.61168	0.61054	0.31327	-11.29170	8.11870
	0.500	-1.07764	0.63339	0.64627	0.27987	-10.86590	8.29600
	0.975	-0.81912	0.65215	0.68581	0.24679	-10.57530	8.55260
SLV	0.500	-0.74849	19.36934	5.55466	25.2219 3	-15.26850	9.15660
	0.975	1.12971	19.83668	5.74034	24.5769 3	-15.14500	9.22540
	0.975	3.00640	20.30848	5.92853	23.9402 5	-15.06220	9.29610
SOM	0.025	-1.67596	-0.19152	0.54224	0.08277	-7.46880	5.22510
	0.500	-1.33726	-0.04753	0.75781	0.28901	-7.08440	5.72940
	0.975	-1.00295	0.09663	0.97376	0.49512	-6.77070	6.35930
SSD	0.025	-1.35991	0.37854	-0.74597	0.93040	-6.34170	4.63620
	0.500	-1.04383	0.49686	-0.58018	1.08141	-6.09210	4.99120
	0.975	-0.72934	0.62216	-0.41620	1.23177	-5.82290	5.31580
SWZ	0.025	-0.34106	-0.41735	-0.39891	1.31422	-12.54870	7.52080
	0.500	0.18087	-0.30121	-0.22486	1.52621	-10.37960	8.48540
	0.975	0.70236	-0.18516	-0.05169	1.73837	-8.78780	9.79190
SYR	0.025	-0.59989	-0.49881	0.18422	0.53159	-7.45610	5.02050
	0.500	0.09756	-0.28046	0.45249	0.82652	-7.23220	5.39040
	0.975	0.79638	-0.06588	0.73633	1.11343	-7.01320	5.74250
TCD	0.025	-1.79917	0.72935	-0.20838	0.25959	-10.28210	7.52130
	0.500	-1.58077	0.79874	-0.14629	0.34608	-9.68960	7.84810
	0.975	-1.36493	0.87282	-0.08357	0.43043	-9.22180	8.25810

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
TGO	0.025	-2.91840	-0.35173	-0.07560	0.91773	-10.50270	7.24220
	0.500	-2.35461	-0.16767	0.03064	1.13711	-9.98300	7.54790
	0.975	-1.79310	0.01545	0.13679	1.35693	-9.60210	7.93310
THA	0.025	-0.02422	-0.55920	-0.50064	1.42883	-4.10950	1.35890
	0.500	0.38992	-0.31497	-0.40106	1.71560	-3.69820	2.50790
	0.975	0.80436	-0.06924	-0.30014	1.99981	-3.23370	3.33300
TJK	0.025	-4.27293	0.40137	0.27343	0.17740	-12.88410	7.78650
	0.500	-3.32638	0.45500	0.30451	0.24052	-12.11780	8.04440
	0.975	-2.38134	0.50858	0.33561	0.30354	-11.70030	8.50700
TKM	0.025	-0.18366	0.01388	0.56198	0.06420	-8.86280	7.39480
	0.500	-0.06645	0.19208	0.73069	0.07708	-8.56850	7.55250
	0.975	0.05056	0.37089	0.89902	0.21812	-8.34460	7.75730
TLS	0.025	-1.01148	-0.50773	-0.05209	1.15183	-12.37550	7.64500
	0.500	-0.71003	-0.35080	0.04987	1.30069	-10.46400	8.07000
	0.975	-0.40892	-0.19398	0.15185	1.44947	-9.60110	8.96350
TUN	0.025	-0.14715	-0.22890	-0.02710	0.47981	-6.63710	5.03050
	0.500	0.12372	-0.01071	0.26656	0.74442	-6.27200	5.50990
	0.975	0.39455	0.20719	0.55972	1.00889	-5.94070	6.03940
TZA	0.025	-2.01869	1.01390	-0.03534	0.05731	-10.27090	7.73240
	0.500	-1.88152	1.04010	-0.01735	0.02272	-9.95190	7.86450
	0.975	-1.74518	1.06631	0.00070	0.01166	-9.76210	8.09110
UGA	0.025	-0.50118	0.08533	0.06193	0.42375	-10.32990	8.04150
	0.500	-0.34452	0.26716	0.14489	0.58787	-9.69650	8.36400
	0.975	-0.18928	0.44854	0.22932	0.75113	-9.14790	8.73280
UZB	0.025	-0.65908	-0.17577	0.75129	0.21028	-10.64690	8.22920
	0.500	-0.53598	-0.09986	0.82172	0.27789	-10.27260	8.37400
	0.975	-0.41310	-0.02383	0.89286	0.34491	-10.02800	8.59530
VNM	0.025	-0.25743	0.46249	-0.48857	0.48961	-10.60890	7.95570
	0.500	-0.04834	0.68138	-0.42091	0.73988	-10.57240	7.99550
	0.975	0.16058	0.90067	-0.35457	0.98985	-10.52710	8.02800
YEM	0.025	-0.02265	-6.74926	-2.17438	9.33588	-13.16810	6.38070
	0.500	1.93001	-6.50329	-2.05850	9.56111	-13.15990	6.38910
	0.975	3.88118	-6.26750	-1.95497	9.81062	-13.15120	6.39880
ZAF	0.025	0.79359	0.48256	-0.06256	0.52634	-10.06930	7.29950
	0.500	0.91645	0.50433	-0.05246	0.54813	-9.98850	7.33220
	0.975	1.03920	0.52609	-0.04237	0.56990	-9.94490	7.39130
ZMB	0.025	-3.68159	0.99889	-0.58206	0.41696	-11.57580	8.10030
	0.500	-3.24240	1.05377	-0.54269	0.48880	-11.57050	8.10600
	0.975	-2.80690	1.11051	-0.50409	0.55984	-11.56290	8.11480
ZWE	0.025	-4.64457	-0.08018	-0.26152	0.97078	-9.97320	7.24090
	0.500	-4.21724	0.07736	-0.20793	1.13017	-9.96270	7.25230
	0.975	-3.79122	0.23835	-0.15431	1.28657	-9.95180	7.26670

Appendix Table 11. Fitted parameters by region for model of access to improved sanitation

Lower, median, and upper quantiles (0.025%, 0.50%, 0.975%) are displayed for the main parameters by region. The first four columns provide information on the fixed effects: the intercept (int) and the covariates (gam, gbm, and enet) corresponding to the predicted ensemble rasters. Fitted values for the spatiotemporal field are shown in the next two columns. Countries are abbreviated by their ISO3 codes.

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
AFG	0.025	-0.09061	0.52591	-0.84981	0.35874	-9.99940	7.30730
	0.500	0.14463	0.88941	-0.71047	0.82116	-9.95750	7.38190
	0.975	0.37970	1.25452	-0.57275	1.28271	-9.91800	7.42490
AGO	0.025	-0.78308	0.02142	-0.49803	1.00233	-4.86420	2.79240
	0.500	-0.31919	0.16445	-0.37577	1.21127	-4.63060	3.38820
	0.975	0.14360	0.30718	-0.25355	1.42014	-4.37520	3.89110
BDI	0.025	-0.81357	1.25885	-0.93480	0.31596	-11.20430	7.75780
	0.500	-0.41070	1.40534	-0.87453	0.46924	-10.71960	7.99660
	0.975	-0.00818	1.55199	-0.81449	0.62225	-10.37250	8.33080
BEN	0.025	-1.85084	0.53774	-0.41663	0.72203	-10.86660	7.97460
	0.500	-1.54415	0.58697	-0.37692	0.78994	-10.82990	8.01300
	0.975	-1.23787	0.63627	-0.33731	0.85779	-10.79080	8.04280
BFA	0.025	-1.17790	0.81353	-0.60917	0.56340	-11.15520	8.28320
	0.500	-0.99281	0.90280	-0.55670	0.65386	-11.13180	8.30730
	0.975	-0.80791	0.99207	-0.50437	0.74426	-11.11230	8.32240
BGD	0.025	-0.05666	0.90945	-0.32258	0.06549	-10.54660	8.20590
	0.500	0.06372	1.01289	-0.24148	0.22870	-10.51850	8.23410
	0.975	0.18401	1.11646	-0.16070	0.39169	-10.49020	8.25630
BOL	0.025	0.00255	0.46559	0.03878	0.15744	-5.63970	4.50380
	0.500	0.17870	0.59345	0.09640	0.31018	-5.50820	4.72020
	0.975	0.35478	0.72152	0.15393	0.46253	-5.37680	4.93850
BRA	0.025	-0.05939	0.34169	-0.16982	0.48495	-3.60530	2.01400
	0.500	0.16159	0.46533	-0.09499	0.62966	-3.46770	2.50690
	0.975	0.38253	0.58891	-0.02018	0.77415	-3.32000	2.88770
BTN	0.025	-2.08135	0.47364	-1.04128	1.26050	-13.57740	7.26940
	0.500	-0.14965	0.53624	-0.92356	1.38763	-12.91280	7.70490
	0.975	1.78051	0.59915	-0.80693	1.51467	-12.37230	8.23720
BWA	0.025	-1.13503	-0.15287	-0.82821	1.29124	-9.13570	6.59800
	0.500	-0.89336	0.12982	-0.67057	1.54087	-8.70150	6.98860
	0.975	-0.65203	0.41171	-0.51341	1.79115	-8.34740	7.47640
CAF	0.025	-0.90613	-2.28702	-1.59677	4.37726	-10.13680	7.51240
	0.500	-0.72450	-2.13174	-1.45577	4.58760	-9.86410	7.67240
	0.975	-0.54304	-1.97778	-1.31494	4.79883	-9.65090	7.87210
CHN	0.025	-0.26971	-0.25343	0.51892	0.24122	-3.70000	2.69370
	0.500	-0.09509	-0.07235	0.64159	0.43073	-3.47700	3.15680
	0.975	0.07948	0.10842	0.76464	0.61999	-3.23260	3.56220
	0.025	-0.74688	0.39569	-0.82857	0.74907	-11.52720	7.49210

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
CIV	0.500	-0.42851	0.65191	-0.65936	1.00788	-10.41540	7.83320
	0.975	-0.11046	0.90754	-0.49132	1.26715	-9.87280	8.47760
CMR	0.025	-0.57884	0.53591	-1.47116	1.74275	-10.27060	7.47010
	0.500	-0.39628	0.60232	-1.41529	1.81293	-10.02240	7.58180
	0.975	-0.21387	0.66876	-1.35968	1.88317	-9.85540	7.74390
COD	0.025	-0.73211	-0.94412	-1.62814	3.07235	-10.28910	7.33080
	0.500	-0.56306	-0.74128	-1.50651	3.24783	-10.05340	7.44360
	0.975	-0.39416	-0.53880	-1.38550	3.42391	-9.89390	7.60890
COG	0.025	-0.69072	0.06731	-0.80562	1.44288	-12.47450	8.03950
	0.500	-0.50561	0.11673	-0.69739	1.58065	-11.10640	8.47800
	0.975	-0.32068	0.16615	-0.58922	1.71824	-10.31770	9.16970
COL	0.025	-0.45154	0.89185	-0.14399	0.12825	-5.88560	4.32680
	0.500	-0.18864	0.93146	-0.11542	0.18393	-5.71160	4.59120
	0.975	0.07378	0.97112	-0.08706	0.23965	-5.52380	4.83360
COM	0.025	-2.14979	-0.39982	0.01298	1.07789	-11.36110	7.00240
	0.500	-0.64884	-0.27104	0.08153	1.18951	-10.68820	7.55350
	0.975	0.85075	-0.14301	0.15019	1.30145	-10.10810	8.17990
CRI	0.025	0.73004	-0.21333	-1.42698	2.11327	-11.84230	7.81220
	0.500	1.26775	-0.02229	-1.31772	2.33673	-11.03260	8.16760
	0.975	1.80617	0.19188	-1.21075	2.54635	-10.48650	8.68900
DOM	0.025	-0.97061	0.33637	-0.49337	0.67662	-14.90980	8.10200
	0.500	0.42148	0.44252	-0.30970	0.86730	-13.19630	8.77790
	0.975	1.81243	0.54840	-0.12630	1.05797	-12.00110	9.73820
DZA	0.025	0.06568	-0.13880	-0.11133	0.61616	-4.66520	3.18750
	0.500	0.37540	0.10040	0.04172	0.85746	-4.41690	3.67350
	0.975	0.68725	0.34102	0.19463	1.09785	-4.15960	4.10700
ECU	0.025	-1.83969	38.52120	34.07391	76.5413 7	-9.78400	2.65330
	0.500	0.10047	39.83641	34.41889	74.3736 5	-9.66270	2.99880
	0.975	2.03903	41.93973	35.18941	73.3367 3	-9.37920	3.15430
EGY	0.025	-0.13128	0.18264	0.24993	0.50038	-9.05400	3.52280
	0.500	-0.07510	0.20513	0.26884	0.52601	-9.05220	3.60820
	0.975	-0.01897	0.22762	0.28774	0.55161	-9.04890	3.69380
ERI	0.025	-0.25292	-0.12259	-0.07273	0.51154	-5.44960	3.96000
	0.500	-0.00797	-0.01232	0.20992	0.80549	-4.98520	4.73040
	0.975	0.23662	0.09101	0.49274	1.09899	-4.50110	5.45260
ETH	0.025	-0.55014	1.11406	0.26573	0.48089	-10.33120	7.76140

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.500	-0.46648	1.14577	0.29511	0.44089	-10.21070	7.80460
	0.975	-0.38292	1.17753	0.32447	0.40097	-10.13790	7.87270
GAB	0.025	-1.77898	-1.21944	-0.93699	2.74295	-10.24540	7.18320
	0.500	-1.42171	-1.13222	-0.80105	2.93333	-9.80720	7.44820
	0.975	-1.06488	-1.04532	-0.66659	3.12505	-9.48860	7.80060
GHA	0.025	-0.59655	1.20441	-1.35629	0.78792	-10.42100	7.75580
	0.500	-0.35142	1.33034	-1.27116	0.94142	-10.40680	7.76510
	0.975	-0.10652	1.45501	-1.18639	1.09506	-10.39710	7.77440
GIN	0.025	-1.58588	-0.47430	-1.09307	2.14104	-11.24260	7.71870
	0.500	-1.25381	-0.31694	-1.00928	2.32623	-10.68030	7.95360
	0.975	-0.92213	-0.16011	-0.92620	2.51231	-10.34460	8.34400
GNB	0.025	-0.19787	-0.13957	-0.43524	0.09503	-8.89340	6.36580
	0.500	0.00942	0.30382	0.09231	0.60389	-7.73200	7.40770
	0.975	0.21655	0.74684	0.61942	1.11235	-6.55310	8.42430
GTM	0.025	-1.88459	0.52186	-1.07811	1.23771	-9.05250	1.45540
	0.500	0.03195	0.61546	-0.98775	1.37219	-8.96500	2.36460
	0.975	1.94695	0.70931	-0.89783	1.50667	-8.86380	2.88060
GUY	0.025	0.42489	-0.00859	-0.25763	0.52006	-11.31270	7.48890
	0.500	0.84029	0.30594	-0.13062	0.82343	-10.56530	7.96850
	0.975	1.25574	0.62162	-0.00213	1.12592	-9.86200	8.47270
HND	0.025	0.06446	0.82745	-0.66540	0.20991	-9.26820	7.57950
	0.500	0.16386	1.05557	-0.49216	0.43663	-9.04600	7.69200
	0.975	0.26318	1.28407	-0.31920	0.66277	-8.89900	7.85840
HTI	0.025	-0.94293	-0.08007	-1.00914	1.80058	-10.65820	7.89620
	0.500	-0.57877	0.02464	-0.96076	1.93605	-10.61320	7.94350
	0.975	-0.21492	0.12933	-0.91259	2.07148	-10.56770	7.98010
IDN	0.025	-0.42053	-0.23508	-0.29964	1.23254	-9.39470	7.13990
	0.500	-0.34572	-0.12467	-0.23511	1.35974	-9.28950	7.30160
	0.975	-0.27099	-0.01433	-0.17067	1.48687	-9.10650	7.39700
IND	0.025	-1.88424	0.26306	-0.68322	1.35179	-9.06280	2.97500
	0.500	-0.34138	0.28411	-0.66610	1.38200	-9.04830	3.05740
	0.975	1.20028	0.30516	-0.64901	1.41217	-9.03700	3.11870
IRQ	0.025	0.22872	0.57141	-0.00856	0.06302	-9.42510	7.31090
	0.500	0.37831	0.70799	0.06453	0.22800	-9.03560	7.54930
	0.975	0.52796	0.84688	0.13874	0.38798	-8.73880	7.86130
JOR	0.025	1.29247	0.09264	-0.70926	1.15031	-10.84030	7.41380
	0.500	1.79881	0.26624	-0.59904	1.33218	-10.32470	7.76790
	0.975	2.30716	0.44170	-0.48993	1.51397	-9.86140	8.15680
KEN	0.025	-1.47038	0.08132	-1.03501	1.60954	-10.52420	7.54310
	0.500	-1.18652	0.21142	-0.96140	1.74993	-10.47900	7.58230
	0.975	-0.90296	0.34143	-0.88793	1.89025	-10.41040	7.62790

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
KGZ	0.025	3.70194	-0.80268	-0.84524	1.95517	-11.03490	6.93150
	0.500	4.31813	-0.55023	-0.68700	2.23697	-10.40520	7.64340
	0.975	4.93971	-0.29839	-0.53002	2.52071	-9.37690	8.07400
KHM	0.025	-2.49979	0.59104	-1.03536	1.13218	-10.46430	7.69380
	0.500	-2.27087	0.69291	-0.95061	1.25767	-10.29140	7.78170
	0.975	-2.04248	0.79510	-0.86647	1.38312	-10.16650	7.89760
LAO	0.025	-1.87099	0.68656	-1.46545	1.13425	-11.62330	8.01370
	0.500	-1.49276	0.89445	-1.29072	1.39703	-11.56480	8.29320
	0.975	-1.11506	1.10635	-1.12025	1.65821	-11.45650	8.42330
LBR	0.025	-2.28242	0.68666	-1.13111	1.07382	-11.29220	8.03120
	0.500	-1.82898	0.80064	-1.03317	1.23264	-11.23930	8.11370
	0.975	-1.37626	0.91479	-0.93603	1.39140	-11.17960	8.16470
LBY	0.025	-1.81762	0.00281	-0.06277	0.62005	-6.51610	4.15200
	0.500	-1.19530	0.09009	0.10874	0.80051	-6.32930	4.49120
	0.975	-0.57588	0.17869	0.28079	0.98003	-6.12720	4.79390
LKA	0.025	-0.45357	0.06195	-0.78327	1.22881	-9.60110	6.47390
	0.500	-0.11593	0.21547	-0.66893	1.45327	-8.70920	7.21540
	0.975	0.22151	0.36408	-0.55438	1.68211	-7.93600	8.06950
LSO	0.025	-1.01050	0.01421	-0.82179	1.27902	-10.34460	7.86810
	0.500	-0.72640	0.21503	-0.71073	1.49563	-10.24390	7.94110
	0.975	-0.44259	0.41620	-0.59984	1.71184	-10.16440	7.99660
MAR	0.025	-3.20643	0.03657	-0.05828	0.74342	-8.42360	5.74570
	0.500	-2.60036	0.12989	0.00659	0.85948	-8.16400	6.07280
	0.975	-2.00021	0.24836	0.07021	0.95746	-7.87930	6.35730
MDG	0.025	-2.40975	0.47499	-1.22384	1.47488	-9.92330	7.43790
	0.500	-2.22615	0.53998	-1.13874	1.59867	-9.73970	7.54110
	0.975	-2.04300	0.60532	-1.05450	1.72277	-9.60260	7.67380
MEX	0.025	-1.11949	0.65585	-0.64139	0.67795	-8.91020	6.99230
	0.500	-1.03008	0.77914	-0.57565	0.79653	-8.73090	7.07080
	0.975	-0.94080	0.90249	-0.51013	0.91500	-8.63200	7.20990
MLI	0.025	-0.85019	-0.46870	-0.53680	1.83479	-11.35360	7.92880
	0.500	-0.67818	-0.40535	-0.50213	1.90750	-11.03960	8.03990
	0.975	-0.50634	-0.34207	-0.46765	1.98029	-10.84880	8.21910
MMR	0.025	0.04377	0.62509	-0.33765	0.44317	-9.38240	7.61550
	0.500	0.13377	0.68369	-0.24166	0.55796	-9.35100	7.64990
	0.975	0.22370	0.74216	-0.14575	0.67270	-9.31820	7.67660
MNG	0.025	-0.02422	1.03108	-0.58774	0.24960	-9.59780	7.59960
	0.500	0.04387	1.15839	-0.55157	0.39326	-9.36890	7.72500
	0.975	0.11192	1.28576	-0.51577	0.53678	-9.19420	7.89050
MOZ	0.025	-0.36639	0.00039	0.31589	0.29490	-9.15630	7.42140
	0.500	-0.28760	0.15067	0.42085	0.42842	-8.95600	7.51340
	0.975	-0.20888	0.30084	0.52587	0.56170	-8.83830	7.67300
	0.025	-0.94123	0.23581	-0.68105	1.22689	-9.90080	6.97940

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
MRT	0.500	-0.78330	0.31126	-0.63552	1.32418	-9.31410	7.30020
	0.975	-0.62564	0.38670	-0.59026	1.42161	-8.87430	7.72370
MWI	0.025	-0.01614	0.49824	0.17629	0.09104	-13.11610	8.20980
	0.500	0.00122	0.59511	0.21644	0.18841	-9.89500	9.08080
	0.975	0.01857	0.69191	0.25662	0.28566	-8.31060	10.91700
NAM	0.025	-1.24989	0.44585	-1.40520	1.61537	-10.37860	7.67240
	0.500	-1.10039	0.53697	-1.29107	1.75430	-10.07200	7.87320
	0.975	-0.95115	0.62755	-1.17714	1.89333	-9.77810	8.08120
NER	0.025	-0.05204	1.24879	0.36960	0.75358	-9.43020	7.39120
	0.500	0.04234	1.29462	0.40642	0.70107	-9.22600	7.50140
	0.975	0.13653	1.34061	0.44337	0.64894	-9.06600	7.64230
NGA	0.025	-0.50438	0.69854	0.19436	0.06924	-10.49440	7.74600
	0.500	-0.38026	0.74888	0.24400	0.00709	-10.48560	7.75600
	0.975	-0.25625	0.79922	0.29366	0.08330	-10.47430	7.76490
NIC	0.025	-0.16087	-0.99638	-0.90285	2.39440	-8.65470	6.55270
	0.500	-0.00446	-0.81886	-0.81358	2.63244	-8.09230	6.99950
	0.975	0.15182	-0.64204	-0.72452	2.87098	-7.61570	7.53250
NPL	0.025	-0.36757	0.74088	-0.71173	0.57258	-11.10780	8.02960
	0.500	-0.04822	0.87643	-0.63972	0.76344	-11.06870	8.06660
	0.975	0.27090	1.01272	-0.56827	0.95361	-11.01820	8.10340
PAK	0.025	-0.29224	-0.43767	-0.15837	0.71823	-6.81520	4.92440
	0.500	0.02042	-0.12249	0.06213	1.06024	-6.69400	5.13040
	0.975	0.33285	0.19221	0.28264	1.40206	-6.56180	5.32610
PAN	0.025	-0.25020	-0.15965	-0.40475	0.52201	-6.89210	4.64980
	0.500	0.23430	0.04663	0.02948	0.92391	-6.38150	5.29520
	0.975	0.71847	0.25271	0.46309	1.32583	-5.91400	5.99220
PER	0.025	-0.13081	0.14394	-0.60187	1.17929	-9.97910	7.70650
	0.500	-0.05921	0.24251	-0.55302	1.31046	-9.87020	7.75450
	0.975	0.01234	0.34104	-0.50425	1.44153	-9.80260	7.82990
PHL	0.025	-0.24004	0.24798	-0.70598	0.56244	-10.35790	7.27410
	0.500	0.13918	0.62798	-0.55506	0.92885	-10.30000	7.31970
	0.975	0.51806	1.00988	-0.40890	1.29364	-10.25040	7.38090
PNG	0.025	-0.37426	0.77730	-0.26202	0.47117	-9.87150	6.71670
	0.500	-0.14702	1.21605	-0.16929	0.04617	-9.20010	7.35510
	0.975	0.07972	1.65582	-0.07809	0.37734	-8.45200	7.93750
PRY	0.025	0.05070	0.51334	-0.32003	0.63065	-9.56290	7.76820
	0.500	0.15047	0.57086	-0.28234	0.71150	-9.50240	7.83960

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.975	0.25025	0.62833	-0.24471	0.79230	-9.42800	7.89230
RWA	0.025	-0.00266	0.73695	-0.32199	0.17413	-12.51100	8.13820
	0.500	0.03285	0.83869	-0.20852	0.36990	-9.68530	8.89440
	0.975	0.06833	0.94076	-0.09561	0.56550	-8.21540	10.27940
SDN	0.025	0.09871	0.85377	-0.53940	0.49170	-9.36850	7.43570
	0.500	0.16103	0.88920	-0.46215	0.57293	-9.35040	7.45500
	0.975	0.22331	0.92458	-0.38474	0.65395	-9.33260	7.46900
SEN	0.025	-0.31305	0.04626	-0.61997	1.31708	-10.20520	7.62800
	0.500	-0.09826	0.15065	-0.57843	1.42781	-10.16370	7.67520
	0.975	0.11635	0.25515	-0.53737	1.53847	-10.11820	7.71310
SLE	0.025	-0.88065	0.73781	-0.57788	0.59938	-11.05550	7.88370
	0.500	-0.60674	0.80825	-0.51730	0.70915	-10.67330	8.04690
	0.975	-0.33309	0.87882	-0.45699	0.81875	-10.43050	8.30550
SLV	0.500	-0.38607	0.08475	1.38582	1.86015	-10.51290	7.43940
	0.975	-0.09671	0.26214	1.99563	1.25725	-9.57610	7.93870
	0.975	0.19246	0.43986	2.60510	0.65650	-8.87120	8.58940
SOM	0.025	-0.50288	-0.25527	0.30615	0.47941	-6.72060	5.22840
	0.500	-0.36002	-0.08186	0.43135	0.65050	-6.44520	5.56230
	0.975	-0.21735	0.09143	0.55650	0.82135	-6.17380	5.89960
SSD	0.025	-0.33724	0.05158	-0.32309	0.72659	-5.32160	3.75470
	0.500	0.02217	0.24986	-0.18150	0.93156	-5.13440	4.16220
	0.975	0.38119	0.44869	-0.04006	1.13594	-4.93110	4.51310
SWZ	0.025	-0.36000	0.20314	-0.33950	0.53283	-9.71810	7.70260
	0.500	0.01070	0.39350	-0.22433	0.83091	-9.28860	7.98080
	0.975	0.38112	0.58389	-0.10946	1.12870	-8.91920	8.22240
SYR	0.025	-0.18291	-0.14692	-0.20393	0.48283	-7.80450	5.24050
	0.500	0.32337	0.14653	0.01999	0.83283	-7.34800	5.77460
	0.975	0.82974	0.44276	0.24420	1.18018	-6.93430	6.35100
TCD	0.025	-1.48669	0.44919	-0.83951	1.06057	-10.71520	7.77930
	0.500	-1.34702	0.55851	-0.74755	1.18907	-10.22400	7.97130
	0.975	-1.20788	0.66762	-0.65594	1.31785	-9.93380	8.30690
TGO	0.025	-1.06293	0.69882	-0.75506	0.17278	-10.40410	7.70840
	0.500	-0.55442	1.06312	-0.59473	0.53222	-10.27830	7.79600
	0.975	-0.04649	1.42633	-0.43546	0.89201	-10.04360	7.91250
THA	0.025	-0.39972	0.26992	-0.29386	0.18965	-4.04600	0.71930
	0.500	-0.02086	0.57625	-0.15102	0.57463	-3.36770	2.44010
	0.975	0.35889	0.88682	-0.01031	0.95741	-2.54940	3.75880
TJK	0.025	0.71187	1.19743	-0.18276	0.35543	-10.75700	7.29570

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.500	1.08235	1.32463	-0.12622	0.19833	-10.18960	7.58410
	0.975	1.45321	1.45393	-0.07103	0.04231	-9.77680	7.97720
TKM	0.025	-0.52764	-0.11645	-0.25747	0.09775	-4.42550	1.42920
	0.500	-0.00416	0.31477	0.19377	0.45139	-3.79780	2.78690
TLS	0.975	0.52398	0.82625	0.66580	0.98094	-3.05260	3.82770
	0.025	-0.52316	0.48966	-0.40152	0.60257	-10.43850	8.10260
	0.500	-0.26290	0.58581	-0.33471	0.74900	-10.37000	8.17770
	0.975	-0.00287	0.68234	-0.26811	0.89492	-10.28310	8.23790
TUN	0.025	-0.02099	0.04212	-0.24334	0.62586	-5.98180	3.36440
	0.500	0.92052	0.21714	-0.06947	0.84983	-5.66140	4.16870
TZA	0.975	1.86717	0.39224	0.11809	1.06541	-5.30880	4.81340
	0.025	-1.26100	-0.71024	-1.20303	2.64446	-10.64370	7.91960
	0.500	-1.15410	-0.66615	-1.09081	2.75695	-10.42600	8.01060
	0.975	-1.04733	-0.62212	-0.97874	2.86947	-10.28560	8.15340
UGA	0.025	0.12029	0.99243	-0.44392	0.18298	-10.84580	8.17970
	0.500	0.27506	1.07987	-0.38252	0.30258	-10.81550	8.20890
	0.975	0.42973	1.16730	-0.32126	0.42214	-10.77680	8.23790
	0.025	0.18993	1.19785	-0.10308	0.39293	-9.72780	7.89260
UZB	0.500	0.34007	1.30077	-0.04456	0.25595	-9.68830	7.93390
	0.975	0.49041	1.40579	0.01278	0.12056	-9.64770	7.96560
VNM	0.025	-0.28588	1.48430	-0.67860	0.81169	-9.55360	6.68780
	0.500	0.06385	1.87076	-0.46457	0.40644	-9.32950	6.89380
	0.975	0.41330	2.25970	-0.25149	0.00278	-9.16000	7.18150
	0.025	-1.01845	0.47352	-1.29004	1.28084	-12.56310	8.60310
YEM	0.500	-0.58469	0.69199	-1.18900	1.49684	-12.50090	8.66070
	0.975	-0.15133	0.91164	-1.08844	1.71214	-12.41170	8.71090
ZAF	0.025	-0.30715	0.62261	-0.59211	0.91920	-13.38140	8.75830
	0.500	-0.24745	0.63754	-0.58002	0.94248	-12.38310	9.03100
	0.975	-0.18781	0.65246	-0.56795	0.96574	-11.85020	9.54910
	0.025	-0.19950	1.54561	-0.17898	0.61335	-9.78350	7.48960
ZMB	0.500	-0.11064	1.63916	-0.12834	0.51076	-9.56310	7.58210
	0.975	-0.02186	1.73276	-0.07782	0.40837	-9.42290	7.72860

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
ZWE	0.025	-0.17090	0.85726	-0.25345	0.30875	-9.51120	7.71660
	0.500	-0.05942	1.12800	-0.07089	0.05698	-9.47520	7.75500
	0.975	0.05196	1.39875	0.11129	0.19444	-9.44140	7.78280

Appendix Table 12. Fitted parameters by region for model of access to unimproved sanitation

Lower, median, and upper quantiles (0.025%, 0.50%, 0.975%) are displayed for the main parameters by region. The first four columns provide information on the fixed effects: the intercept (int) and the covariates (gam, gbm, and enet) corresponding to the predicted ensemble rasters. Fitted values for the spatiotemporal field are shown in the next two columns. Countries are abbreviated by their ISO3 codes.

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
AFG	0.025	-0.86855	-0.02795	-1.27232	1.42544	-10.65190	7.21790
	0.500	-0.54961	0.22370	-1.04572	1.82200	-10.18920	7.40640
	0.975	-0.23109	0.47630	-0.82145	2.21873	-9.92460	7.73900
AGO	0.025	-0.47620	0.03455	-0.44104	1.07617	-5.80120	3.86020
	0.500	-0.03528	0.14556	-0.35236	1.20682	-5.62300	4.15150
	0.975	0.40526	0.25682	-0.26381	1.33712	-5.44100	4.43340
BDI	0.025	1.27697	0.37064	-0.42069	0.67669	-10.96670	8.08120
	0.500	1.70863	0.53363	-0.36547	0.83178	-10.94810	8.09810
	0.975	2.14038	0.69789	-0.31066	0.98587	-10.92300	8.11680
BEN	0.025	-2.53964	-0.10535	-0.88955	1.83659	-11.54300	7.58070
	0.500	-2.14972	-0.05021	-0.84395	1.89416	-10.73250	7.81440
	0.975	-1.76033	0.00486	-0.79852	1.95187	-10.35700	8.28960
BFA	0.025	-1.28117	0.65640	-0.34126	0.42017	-10.19270	7.59720
	0.500	-1.09790	0.74922	-0.27550	0.52629	-9.98480	7.69090
	0.975	-0.91490	0.84228	-0.20990	0.63215	-9.85400	7.83700
BGD	0.025	1.13390	0.22970	-1.27826	1.67555	-11.06880	8.11600
	0.500	1.37012	0.36388	-1.18952	1.82578	-11.03720	8.14800
	0.975	1.60620	0.49775	-1.10121	1.97622	-11.00190	8.17360
BOL	0.025	-0.08026	0.55052	0.11935	0.27345	-4.22810	2.43610
	0.500	0.00506	0.77132	0.29342	0.06486	-2.11920	4.14550
	0.975	0.08966	0.99454	0.46833	0.13987	1.46910	5.84800
BRA	0.025	-0.18516	-0.20973	-0.02799	0.92630	-4.36980	2.42190
	0.500	0.12153	-0.07491	0.02601	1.04901	-4.24680	2.89480
	0.975	0.42789	0.05936	0.07984	1.17203	-4.09920	3.23300
BTN	0.025	-0.20881	-0.42262	-1.05880	1.95687	-12.30800	8.52420
	0.500	0.65517	-0.25680	-0.91849	2.17512	-12.06140	8.74060

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.975	1.51864	-0.09318	-0.77880	2.39623	-11.70040	8.95740
BWA	0.025	-0.55607	0.59201	-0.99403	0.91362	-11.45720	8.13710
	0.500	-0.17120	0.76519	-0.86113	1.09593	-11.39200	8.31050
	0.975	0.21327	0.93850	-0.72891	1.27820	-11.32200	8.39700
CAF	0.025	-0.07097	0.39931	-0.20936	0.35816	-8.75510	7.12040
	0.500	-0.00048	0.56788	-0.09556	0.52759	-8.38570	7.34010
	0.975	0.06997	0.73634	0.01825	0.69682	-8.12890	7.64730
CHN	0.025	-0.90492	0.33179	0.31599	0.33189	-3.92700	2.75000
	0.500	-0.86647	0.33859	0.32272	0.33869	-3.79020	2.97090
	0.975	-0.82805	0.34539	0.32943	0.34549	-3.65040	3.17410
CIV	0.025	-1.63869	-1.40473	-1.47603	3.23802	-10.10160	7.30990
	0.500	-1.22793	-1.15344	-1.33145	3.48560	-10.03290	7.36730
	0.975	-0.81757	-0.90374	-1.18877	3.73480	-9.97210	7.43860
CMR	0.025	0.13841	2.53331	-0.21697	1.52458	-10.80910	7.60540
	0.500	0.38420	2.60024	-0.16117	1.43892	-10.55110	7.71590
	0.975	0.63012	2.66770	-0.10560	1.35394	-10.37410	7.87420
COD	0.025	-0.31516	1.36041	-1.43284	0.69951	-9.58900	7.40870
	0.500	-0.20302	1.50728	-1.36677	0.85944	-9.55620	7.43780
	0.975	-0.09095	1.65425	-1.30135	1.01943	-9.50520	7.47940
COG	0.025	0.01733	0.15982	-0.53145	1.01267	-8.53390	6.57840
	0.500	0.11742	0.25356	-0.41206	1.15840	-8.05010	6.98700
	0.975	0.21747	0.34665	-0.29237	1.30419	-7.68540	7.53720
COL	0.025	-0.05710	0.11925	-0.18398	0.61901	-7.45810	5.68930
	0.500	0.06647	0.29155	-0.09982	0.80815	-7.20250	5.91780
	0.975	0.18982	0.46368	-0.01568	0.99722	-6.95850	6.15900
COM	0.025	-0.79508	-0.27087	-0.24076	1.17153	-9.86390	6.03200
	0.500	0.76946	-0.12640	-0.17907	1.30513	-9.41460	6.69800
	0.975	2.33320	0.01795	-0.11760	1.43944	-8.98500	7.39300
CRI	0.025	-1.37181	-0.13968	-0.22896	0.88376	-10.58270	7.59410
	0.500	-0.57173	0.07203	-0.16627	1.09402	-10.39490	7.73830
	0.975	0.21863	0.28216	-0.10346	1.30570	-10.12410	7.94720
DOM	0.025	-1.66379	0.57747	-0.68505	0.69264	-12.95930	7.27750
	0.500	-0.00118	0.70429	-0.54323	0.83890	-11.97960	7.85720
	0.975	1.66010	0.83171	-0.40177	0.98481	-11.22940	8.60980
DZA	0.025	-0.03976	0.03567	-0.09480	0.39094	-4.93610	3.39960
	0.500	0.28095	0.27637	0.07457	0.64877	-4.56360	3.91880
	0.975	0.60248	0.51739	0.24415	0.90591	-4.17790	4.40480
ECU	0.025	-1.46772	5.35357	-1.02373	5.53429	-7.31300	3.04330
	0.500	0.42056	5.48469	-0.95276	5.22526	-7.30790	3.16300

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.975	2.30692	5.79833	-0.91056	5.09421	-7.29780	3.28290
EGY	0.025	-2.21873	0.36173	-0.11248	0.53738	-11.06850	7.72520
	0.500	-1.77108	0.44573	-0.07759	0.63196	-11.01910	7.81050
	0.975	-1.32485	0.52973	-0.04300	0.72646	-10.96240	7.86060
ERI	0.025	-0.63881	-0.69004	0.45349	0.46940	-6.52420	4.53440
	0.500	-0.07974	-0.50016	0.70845	0.79182	-6.23350	4.98220
	0.975	0.47884	-0.31048	0.96323	1.11394	-5.94490	5.42260
ETH	0.025	-0.36188	0.80145	0.19226	0.18473	-10.96270	8.04590
	0.500	-0.26258	0.87445	0.21786	0.09229	-10.94600	8.06270
	0.975	-0.16338	0.94747	0.24342	0.00000	-10.92960	8.07450
GAB	0.025	1.50038	0.40625	-0.35750	0.59460	-11.17120	7.46210
	0.500	2.06135	0.50023	-0.26457	0.76412	-10.62870	7.71950
	0.975	2.62338	0.59436	-0.17218	0.93397	-10.25520	8.12250
GHA	0.025	-1.12260	2.90377	-1.45947	0.88771	-11.62550	7.62490
	0.500	-0.76859	3.05872	-1.36703	0.69163	-10.88980	7.84920
	0.975	-0.41499	3.21524	-1.27530	0.49672	-10.53570	8.29730
GIN	0.025	0.42024	0.11679	-1.05305	1.55188	-10.74390	7.77770
	0.500	0.80878	0.21445	-0.92479	1.71065	-10.69250	7.83260
	0.975	1.19708	0.31189	-0.79774	1.86994	-10.63820	7.87320
GNB	0.025	-0.05945	-0.22665	-0.95076	0.31032	-8.42310	6.55780
	0.500	0.35825	0.32449	-0.30986	0.98528	-8.06520	6.90630
	0.975	0.77562	0.87519	0.33052	1.65971	-7.75360	7.21180
GTM	0.025	-1.77743	1.35782	-0.87957	0.12367	-10.69250	7.99100
	0.500	-1.48336	1.48517	-0.78681	0.30213	-10.64250	8.04480
	0.975	-1.19026	1.61681	-0.69625	0.47747	-10.57900	8.08960
GUY	0.025	-1.07106	1.11063	-0.42617	0.07421	-11.30000	7.66030
	0.500	-0.53028	1.25200	-0.35122	0.09946	-10.77150	7.89310
	0.975	0.00975	1.39501	-0.27816	0.27264	-10.44510	8.26570
HND	0.025	-0.11500	0.78876	-1.00449	0.69767	-9.93050	7.61770
	0.500	0.05680	0.99126	-0.90394	0.91292	-9.69790	7.72910
	0.975	0.22843	1.19569	-0.80527	1.12728	-9.54540	7.89680
HTI	0.025	-0.45238	0.98302	-1.46199	1.19800	-11.65030	8.09410
	0.500	-0.12413	1.08254	-1.39260	1.31013	-11.13570	8.29290
	0.975	0.20385	1.18221	-1.32355	1.42212	-10.81110	8.60880
IDN	0.025	0.96050	0.46782	-0.82453	1.17077	-9.44300	0.57360
	0.500	2.39982	0.52428	-0.78149	1.25716	-9.41970	0.75450
	0.975	3.83864	0.58072	-0.73853	1.34352	-9.38880	0.92830

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
IND	0.025	-2.55494	0.82293	-0.65101	0.74269	-8.89850	2.92080
	0.500	-1.02151	0.84902	-0.62931	0.78031	-8.86280	3.03040
	0.975	0.51066	0.87521	-0.60764	0.81778	-8.79000	3.09910
IRQ	0.025	0.03296	-0.67279	-0.09666	1.17304	-7.59950	5.34360
	0.500	0.42689	-0.44251	0.03039	1.41644	-7.31180	5.65670
	0.975	0.82158	-0.21244	0.14704	1.66051	-7.05260	5.99810
JOR	0.025	-2.93284	0.29083	-0.04472	0.46600	-12.53130	8.04750
	0.500	-2.09698	0.42629	-0.03016	0.60355	-11.96830	8.39030
	0.975	-1.26831	0.56302	-0.01502	0.73969	-11.39940	8.72580
KEN	0.025	-1.95209	1.14409	-0.42491	0.06095	-8.99940	3.70170
	0.500	-0.08517	1.23209	-0.38541	0.15344	-8.99840	3.70270
	0.975	1.78024	1.31986	-0.34601	0.24589	-8.99740	3.70370
KGZ	0.025	-1.00043	-0.67837	-0.21372	0.84381	-10.98950	6.67030
	0.500	0.40685	-0.42221	0.20310	1.22185	-10.42190	7.10390
	0.975	1.81254	-0.16496	0.59866	1.61315	-9.97590	7.63930
KHM	0.025	-2.98227	1.21422	-1.43527	1.03114	-11.35860	7.87140
	0.500	-2.67535	1.26311	-1.37658	1.11347	-11.04200	8.02210
	0.975	-2.36912	1.31214	-1.31835	1.19586	-10.81630	8.23410
LAO	0.025	-2.57479	0.06749	-1.00869	0.97757	-10.39720	7.09810
	0.500	-2.16276	0.48096	-0.79875	1.31863	-9.91970	7.37860
	0.975	-1.75205	0.89605	-0.59190	1.65864	-9.59980	7.79370
LBR	0.025	-2.49700	0.13533	-0.87534	1.26602	-10.89730	7.45650
	0.500	-2.01634	0.33066	-0.76972	1.43937	-10.49480	7.66610
	0.975	-1.53652	0.52648	-0.66489	1.61207	-10.21400	7.96250
LBY	0.025	-	-	-	-	-	-
		-0.18913	17.53204	-5.54839	11.6812	-5.68960	1.29860
	0.500	0.01072	-1.31047	0.31362	2.05214	-2.50270	5.49570
LKA	0.025	-1.16121	-0.84894	-0.34177	1.33445	-9.10080	5.69350
	0.500	-0.50437	-0.51176	-0.17188	1.68117	-8.23940	6.41660
	0.975	0.15271	-0.17017	0.00489	2.02124	-7.63330	7.46640
LSO	0.025	-1.64089	-0.36162	-0.55984	1.46690	-10.35660	7.59970
	0.500	-1.30913	-0.20875	-0.45334	1.66198	-10.02190	7.77220
	0.975	-0.97777	-0.05586	-0.34746	1.85725	-9.78330	8.01090
MAR	0.025	-0.28490	0.18369	0.06671	0.52210	-9.16330	3.95520
	0.500	-0.17481	0.26906	0.12050	0.61045	-9.15400	3.97100
	0.975	-0.06481	0.35436	0.17426	0.69869	-9.13810	3.98100
MDG	0.025	-1.65851	0.47156	-1.14418	1.33162	-10.11950	6.99070
	0.500	-1.36011	0.58490	-1.04454	1.45964	-9.78700	7.14710
	0.975	-1.06203	0.69822	-0.94540	1.58776	-9.60440	7.41870
	0.025	0.03672	0.38567	-0.17308	0.59416	-7.85510	5.52170

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
MEX	0.500	0.33293	0.46223	-0.13627	0.67402	-7.84120	5.53350
	0.975	0.62890	0.53874	-0.09952	0.75382	-7.82840	5.55150
MLI	0.025	0.55267	-2.89728	-0.07179	3.57273	-10.69770	7.32190
	0.500	0.86140	-2.75332	0.00198	3.75128	-10.50660	7.40410
MMR	0.975	1.16992	-2.60989	0.07586	3.92992	-10.38440	7.53180
	0.025	0.20935	1.16030	-0.35361	0.10033	-9.51210	7.30020
MNG	0.500	0.33458	1.23247	-0.25339	0.02080	-9.29140	7.41640
	0.975	0.45973	1.30482	-0.15308	0.14160	-9.15000	7.59820
MOZ	0.025	-0.21291	0.72981	-0.45153	0.38308	-7.44190	5.70930
	0.500	-0.07798	0.82505	-0.35106	0.52588	-7.29470	5.82320
MRT	0.975	0.05679	0.92081	-0.25065	0.66820	-7.17460	5.95770
	0.025	-0.09831	0.44502	-0.11343	0.44856	-9.17010	7.07620
MWI	0.500	0.02470	0.53105	-0.07231	0.54081	-9.01820	7.16960
	0.975	0.14761	0.61708	-0.03012	0.63277	-8.86760	7.33300
NAM	0.025	-0.50800	0.25423	-0.71547	1.16533	-9.23650	6.57250
	0.500	-0.26783	0.35513	-0.63285	1.27741	-8.93810	6.76210
NER	0.975	-0.02804	0.45708	-0.55056	1.38928	-8.72330	7.02050
	0.025	0.18447	0.68786	-0.45012	0.49075	-9.84960	7.73940
NGA	0.500	0.30691	0.79219	-0.40113	0.60894	-9.67630	7.81270
	0.975	0.42929	0.89639	-0.35219	0.72708	-9.57250	7.93180
NIC	0.025	-1.15943	1.36991	-0.44984	0.16322	-10.73910	7.88070
	0.500	-0.98380	1.42499	-0.37403	0.05094	-10.44810	8.00700
NPL	0.975	-0.80856	1.48036	-0.29822	0.06080	-10.25750	8.20170
	0.025	-0.29466	0.02197	0.15939	0.60284	-10.31860	7.76140
PAK	0.500	-0.17844	0.11262	0.19650	0.69063	-10.12090	7.84140
	0.975	-0.06255	0.20357	0.23392	0.77809	-9.98710	7.95560
PAN	0.025	-0.32980	0.41400	-0.71326	1.03300	-10.75690	7.73180
	0.500	-0.16542	0.50402	-0.66022	1.15615	-10.74400	7.74230
PER	0.975	-0.00119	0.59406	-0.60736	1.27922	-10.73360	7.75340
	0.025	-0.36729	-0.44538	-1.01800	1.95287	-8.70460	6.54880
PHL	0.500	-0.11003	-0.26970	-0.89680	2.16652	-8.36320	6.81910
	0.975	0.14700	-0.09438	-0.77610	2.38061	-8.09570	7.15420
POL	0.025	-2.20761	0.54878	-1.28629	1.45931	-10.89010	7.92070
	0.500	-1.90666	0.61598	-1.19977	1.58355	-10.82860	7.96210
PRG	0.975	-1.60635	0.68416	-1.11438	1.70807	-10.77380	8.00080
	0.025	-0.85461	-0.44365	-0.14661	0.63877	-7.04170	4.30550
ROU	0.500	0.01728	-0.11316	0.11240	0.99885	-6.91140	4.59580
	0.975	0.88820	0.22213	0.37243	1.35606	-6.78870	4.89300
THA	0.025	-0.74828	-0.21079	0.12366	0.22456	-7.02990	4.19510
	0.500	0.18495	0.01081	0.44938	0.53935	-6.59570	4.91570

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.975	1.11749	0.23255	0.77538	0.85362	-6.15950	5.61380
PER	0.025	-1.26223	0.15941	-0.72812	1.32151	-9.57940	7.42260
	0.500	-1.16686	0.24049	-0.67103	1.43062	-9.45200	7.49380
	0.975	-1.07189	0.32174	-0.61423	1.53955	-9.36320	7.59510
PHL	0.025	-3.22494	-0.02815	-0.63527	1.11952	-10.43250	7.23420
	0.500	-2.92056	0.17639	-0.51313	1.33607	-10.15000	7.37090
	0.975	-2.61712	0.38682	-0.39239	1.54950	-9.95590	7.56650
PNG	0.025	-0.35574	-3.83719	-0.89308	3.58768	-9.33970	2.77440
	0.500	1.44605	-2.85968	-0.63301	4.50055	-9.02570	3.33780
	0.975	3.24730	-1.98128	-0.41525	5.53938	-8.71810	4.08620
PRY	0.025	-0.29870	0.46390	-0.11419	0.26133	-6.34400	4.59500
	0.500	-0.10348	0.58977	-0.00670	0.41688	-5.70860	5.24450
	0.975	0.09193	0.71525	0.10162	0.57198	-5.11060	5.93530
RWA	0.025	-0.52080	0.49687	-0.97002	1.14034	-10.31770	7.77490
	0.500	-0.25679	0.57836	-0.85230	1.27358	-10.00270	7.92460
	0.975	0.00715	0.65893	-0.73269	1.40646	-9.78320	8.13590
SDN	0.025	0.33871	-0.14987	-0.24040	1.25562	-9.46430	7.29480
	0.500	0.42347	-0.10137	-0.20979	1.31122	-9.44430	7.31460
	0.975	0.50815	-0.05292	-0.17934	1.36681	-9.41830	7.34060
SEN	0.025	-0.33976	-0.91693	-0.79785	2.26668	-9.89520	7.00990
	0.500	0.00439	-0.75028	-0.70189	2.45222	-9.67000	7.14070
	0.975	0.34823	-0.58374	-0.60669	2.63797	-9.50620	7.31500
SLE	0.025	-1.92112	-0.72431	1.05385	0.45878	-9.81430	-25.61080
	0.500	0.01377	-0.65278	1.09602	0.55681	-9.74860	-25.54510
	0.975	1.94710	-0.58111	1.13810	0.65457	-9.68280	-25.47930
SLV	0.500	-0.07871	0.58757	-0.95343	0.24641	-9.13950	7.14090
	0.975	0.24510	1.04247	-0.70331	0.66062	-8.99380	7.38920
	0.975	0.56866	1.49750	-0.45357	1.07448	-8.84870	7.53710
SOM	0.025	-0.37449	-0.03985	0.02089	0.59806	-5.29100	3.65910
	0.500	-0.02160	0.11194	0.13098	0.75698	-5.05460	4.10550
	0.975	0.33073	0.26402	0.24115	0.91526	-4.80870	4.52170
SSD	0.025	-0.51869	-0.01871	-0.35255	0.88391	-5.38650	3.67340
	0.500	-0.09181	0.12778	-0.21486	1.08697	-5.23120	4.09730
	0.975	0.33458	0.27483	-0.07753	1.28978	-5.05040	4.44480
SWZ	0.025	-1.76612	0.30511	-1.88974	1.64652	-10.87710	6.52490
	0.500	-0.83896	0.47716	-1.48281	2.00533	-9.53860	7.48740
	0.975	0.08741	0.64969	-1.07731	2.36477	-8.41620	8.60790
SYR	0.025	-1.24778	-0.28932	-0.04487	0.42363	-8.15850	4.89050
	0.500	-0.15642	0.03582	0.19083	0.76645	-7.71690	5.44160
	0.975	0.93287	0.36486	0.44599	1.10055	-7.29310	6.00520
TCD	0.025	-1.47262	-0.35645	-0.19737	1.29796	-10.77370	7.91240
	0.500	-1.32743	-0.27013	-0.13294	1.40321	-10.47620	8.02290

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.975	-1.18265	-0.18361	-0.06853	1.50774	-10.30060	8.21470
TGO	0.025	-2.03196	-3.38970	-0.27237	3.09061	-9.12110	0.71830
	0.500	-0.10003	-2.68669	-0.13770	3.82255	-8.92290	1.97170
	0.975	1.83034	-1.99662	-0.00230	4.56907	-8.72420	2.67340
THA	0.025	-0.21910	0.27377	0.16416	0.56214	-6.61110	0.15260
	0.500	0.00493	0.69063	0.44840	0.14244	-2.83660	4.97210
	0.975	0.21691	1.12078	0.74998	0.25392	1.47150	10.04620
TJK	0.025	-0.76502	1.47938	-0.57684	0.46279	-10.51560	7.15150
	0.500	0.21654	1.64143	-0.44511	0.19586	-10.49780	7.17170
	0.975	1.19765	1.80933	-0.31533	0.06632	-10.47650	7.18860
TKM	0.025	-1.43439	-0.10713	-0.15952	0.24838	-5.27470	-1.07440
	0.500	-0.14510	0.46750	0.12325	0.40300	-4.80150	1.79760
	0.975	1.14211	1.09067	0.41873	1.00762	-4.17200	3.35870
TLS	0.025	-0.22510	-0.00534	-0.83904	1.46194	-10.85060	7.81740
	0.500	0.08762	0.13454	-0.73702	1.60255	-10.34670	8.08150
	0.975	0.39999	0.27469	-0.63517	1.74267	-9.95250	8.41690
TUN	0.025	-0.37145	-0.14257	0.00332	0.37117	-6.89800	3.88540
	0.500	0.79787	0.02139	0.30976	0.66896	-6.54130	4.60500
	0.975	1.96938	0.18587	0.61790	0.96336	-6.14930	5.20310
TZA	0.025	0.67393	0.70713	-0.85393	0.99097	-10.05340	7.46080
	0.500	0.81456	0.75570	-0.80841	1.05267	-9.90240	7.52800
	0.975	0.95514	0.80433	-0.76291	1.11424	-9.81460	7.64520
UGA	0.025	0.38629	1.08880	-1.44419	0.85778	-10.82520	7.82650
	0.500	0.67952	1.24561	-1.30642	1.06071	-10.80230	7.87040
	0.975	0.97265	1.40332	-1.16931	1.26334	-10.77210	7.89670
UZB	0.025	-0.46957	-0.71557	-0.17912	0.53138	-5.63510	0.59490
	0.500	0.00349	0.38793	0.04926	0.55858	-3.79270	3.36860
	0.975	0.48728	1.48116	0.29573	1.64890	-1.14440	5.55230
VNM	0.025	0.24231	1.15346	0.24109	1.09182	-10.12530	7.76430
	0.500	0.43844	1.41335	0.39076	0.80428	-10.07830	7.80210
	0.975	0.63451	1.67348	0.54088	0.51782	-10.03190	7.85190
YEM	0.025	0.32598	-0.46576	-0.65609	1.87743	-10.28190	4.14200
	0.500	0.42887	-0.36582	-0.60864	1.97450	-10.28000	4.14660
	0.975	0.53169	-0.26606	-0.56129	2.07161	-10.27530	4.15700
ZAF	0.025	-0.03431	-0.12939	-0.13417	1.14827	-9.08090	7.25440
	0.500	0.02221	-0.08705	-0.11548	1.20252	-8.98090	7.29570

	Quantiles	int	gam	gbm	lasso	Nominal range	Nominal variance
	0.975	0.07870	-0.04470	-0.09680	1.25670	-8.92220	7.36640
ZMB	0.025	0.20030	1.13666	-0.84214	0.54989	-12.40190	7.90500
	0.500	0.47657	1.17705	-0.79387	0.61682	-11.68650	8.11210
	0.975	0.75272	1.21753	-0.74584	0.68372	-11.33550	8.52280
	0.025	-1.42807	0.67156	-0.82980	0.41318	-10.38780	7.73720
ZWE	0.500	-1.15974	0.93747	-0.65908	0.72177	-10.34450	7.77010
	0.975	-0.89197	1.20506	-0.49032	1.02983	-10.28680	7.81620

Appendix Tables 13-18. In sample validation metrics

Appendix Table 13. Predictive in sample metrics aggregated to the country level for water indicators

Indicator	Year	Mean err.	RMSE	Median SS	Corr.	95% Cov.
Piped	2000	0.032	0.139	29736.07	0.802	0.62
Piped	2005	0.111	0.157	38635.04	0.924	0.721
Piped	2010	0.009	0.074	55688.02	0.955	0.793
Piped	2017	0.051	0.15	39369.18	0.763	0.548
Conditional improved	2000	-0.036	0.07	37446.92	0.951	0.778
Conditional improved	2005	0.007	0.067	31968.37	0.789	0.735
Conditional improved	2010	0.044	0.077	42434.14	0.968	0.751
Conditional improved	2017	0.041	0.132	26357.32	0.762	0.739
Conditional unimproved	2000	-0.02	0.089	19358.4	0.946	0.727
Conditional unimproved	2005	0.009	0.037	13114.09	0.987	0.787
Conditional unimproved	2010	0.022	0.062	24987.68	0.903	0.702
Conditional unimproved	2017	-0.012	0.044	4431.835	0.988	0.781

Appendix Table 14. Predictive in sample metrics aggregated to the first administrative level for water indicators

Indicator	Year	Mean err.	RMSE	Median SS	Corr.	95% Cov.
Piped	2000	0.032	0.232	1392.716	0.68	0.624
Piped	2005	0.111	0.254	701	0.708	0.724
Piped	2010	0.009	0.169	3841.032	0.793	0.791
Piped	2017	0.051	0.208	1698.948	0.667	0.546
Conditional improved	2000	-0.036	0.135	919.507	0.846	0.78
Conditional improved	2005	0.007	0.181	363.5	0.582	0.735
Conditional improved	2010	0.044	0.141	2006.483	0.868	0.755
Conditional improved	2017	0.041	0.182	974.56	0.667	0.738
Conditional unimproved	2000	-0.02	0.2	486.48	0.805	0.73
Conditional unimproved	2005	0.009	0.222	149	0.742	0.788
Conditional unimproved	2010	0.022	0.184	937.735	0.727	0.703
Conditional unimproved	2017	-0.012	0.18	177.962	0.809	0.781

Appendix Table 15. Predictive in sample metrics aggregated to the second administrative level for water indicators

Indicator	Year	Mean err.	RMSE	Median SS	Corr.	95% Cov.
Piped	2000	0.032	0.268	228	0.624	0.625
Piped	2005	0.111	0.307	126	0.594	0.723
Piped	2010	0.009	0.216	407.049	0.697	0.797
Piped	2017	0.051	0.267	585.134	0.568	0.546
Conditional improved	2000	-0.036	0.167	231.823	0.774	0.778
Conditional improved	2005	0.007	0.232	187.718	0.438	0.735
Conditional improved	2010	0.044	0.186	287.5	0.771	0.755
Conditional improved	2017	0.041	0.222	407.308	0.567	0.735
Conditional unimproved	2000	-0.02	0.222	97.813	0.771	0.727
Conditional unimproved	2005	0.009	0.274	66.462	0.633	0.786
Conditional unimproved	2010	0.022	0.244	128.404	0.599	0.703
Conditional unimproved	2017	-0.012	0.234	77.721	0.726	0.783

Appendix Table 16. Predictive in sample metrics aggregated to the country level for sanitation indicators

Indicator	Year	Mean err.	RMSE	Median SS	Corr.	95% Cov.
Piped	2000	-0.024	0.093	29335.27	0.848	0.686
Piped	2005	0.009	0.085	48796.79	0.97	0.798
Piped	2010	0.014	0.079	53771.14	0.88	0.774
Piped	2017	0.118	0.135	39161.19	0.935	0.304
Conditional improved	2000	-0.005	0.058	54084.89	0.976	0.771
Conditional improved	2005	0.011	0.076	51230.94	0.969	0.76
Conditional improved	2010	0.019	0.054	55560.49	0.98	0.828
Conditional improved	2017	0.05	0.064	36724.17	0.98	0.795
Conditional unimproved	2000	0	0.03	26817.86	0.964	0.789
Conditional unimproved	2005	0.002	0.06	28697.44	0.986	0.794
Conditional unimproved	2010	0.001	0.047	33706.83	0.988	0.853
Conditional unimproved	2017	0.042	0.127	22303.74	0.935	0.814

Appendix Table 17. Predictive in sample metrics aggregated to the first administrative level for sanitation indicators

Indicator	Year	Mean err.	RMSE	Median SS	Corr.	95% Cov.
Piped	2000	-0.024	0.138	885.326	0.76	0.69
Piped	2005	0.009	0.171	1402	0.897	0.803
Piped	2010	0.014	0.157	1378.238	0.703	0.776
Piped	2017	0.118	0.178	3654.843	0.778	0.304
Conditional improved	2000	-0.005	0.128	1302.438	0.902	0.772
Conditional improved	2005	0.011	0.13	1147.759	0.918	0.759
Conditional improved	2010	0.019	0.153	1441.333	0.845	0.827
Conditional improved	2017	0.05	0.117	2668	0.901	0.795
Conditional unimproved	2000	0	0.14	833.061	0.619	0.789
Conditional unimproved	2005	0.002	0.138	587.67	0.924	0.794
Conditional unimproved	2010	0.001	0.14	1006.244	0.904	0.851
Conditional unimproved	2017	0.042	0.179	2144.865	0.862	0.816

Appendix Table 18. Predictive in sample metrics aggregated to the second administrative level for sanitation indicators

Indicator	Year	Mean err.	RMSE	Median SS	Corr.	95% Cov.
Piped	2000	-0.024	0.153	182.942	0.726	0.689
Piped	2005	0.009	0.19	277.712	0.875	0.801
Piped	2010	0.014	0.182	310	0.644	0.776
Piped	2017	0.118	0.217	644.322	0.665	0.306
Conditional improved	2000	-0.005	0.141	295	0.886	0.769
Conditional improved	2005	0.011	0.143	255.766	0.903	0.76
Conditional improved	2010	0.019	0.174	252	0.809	0.828
Conditional improved	2017	0.05	0.16	621.479	0.819	0.795
Conditional unimproved	2000	0	0.251	885.605	0.363	0.788
Conditional unimproved	2005	0.002	0.16	175.79	0.893	0.794
Conditional unimproved	2010	0.001	0.163	210.696	0.875	0.851
Conditional unimproved	2017	0.042	0.201	351	0.823	0.816

Appendix Tables 19-24. Out-of-sample validation metrics

Appendix Table 19. Predictive out-of-sample metrics aggregated to the country level for water indicators

Indicator	Year	Mean err.	RMSE	Median SS	Corr.	95% Cov.
Piped	2000	0.036	0.126	29736.07	0.839	0.741
Piped	2005	0.11	0.165	38635.04	0.902	0.792
Piped	2010	0.003	0.095	55688.02	0.897	0.824
Piped	2017	0.04	0.168	39369.18	0.677	0.66
Conditional improved	2000	-0.029	0.069	37446.92	0.949	0.793
Conditional improved	2005	0.006	0.07	31968.37	0.768	0.747
Conditional improved	2010	0.035	0.074	42434.14	0.96	0.79
Conditional improved	2017	0.057	0.128	26357.32	0.802	0.739
Conditional unimproved	2000	-0.013	0.096	19358.4	0.935	0.726
Conditional unimproved	2005	0	0.044	13114.09	0.98	0.749
Conditional unimproved	2010	0.025	0.063	24987.68	0.901	0.708
Conditional unimproved	2017	0.017	0.068	4431.835	0.967	0.797

Appendix Table 20. Predictive out-of-sample metrics aggregated to the first administrative level for water indicators

Indicator	Year	Mean err.	RMSE	Median SS	Corr.	95% Cov.
Piped	2000	0.036	0.257	1392.716	0.565	0.74
Piped	2005	0.11	0.268	701	0.656	0.785
Piped	2010	0.003	0.19	3841.032	0.727	0.824
Piped	2017	0.04	0.231	1698.948	0.538	0.66
Conditional improved	2000	-0.029	0.138	919.507	0.831	0.791
Conditional improved	2005	0.006	0.187	363.5	0.544	0.75
Conditional improved	2010	0.035	0.135	2006.483	0.873	0.789
Conditional improved	2017	0.057	0.179	974.56	0.701	0.74
Conditional unimproved	2000	-0.013	0.207	486.48	0.787	0.725
Conditional unimproved	2005	0	0.245	149	0.675	0.75
Conditional unimproved	2010	0.025	0.186	937.735	0.721	0.706
Conditional unimproved	2017	0.017	0.184	177.962	0.797	0.795

Appendix Table 21. Predictive out-of-sample metrics aggregated to the second administrative level for water indicators

Indicator	Year	Mean err.	RMSE	Median SS	Corr.	95% Cov.
Piped	2000	0.036	0.302	228	0.477	0.742
Piped	2005	0.11	0.324	126	0.515	0.79
Piped	2010	0.003	0.245	407.049	0.597	0.824
Piped	2017	0.04	0.3	585.134	0.382	0.66
Conditional improved	2000	-0.029	0.173	231.823	0.746	0.792
Conditional improved	2005	0.006	0.232	187.718	0.407	0.748
Conditional improved	2010	0.035	0.181	287.5	0.781	0.79
Conditional improved	2017	0.057	0.217	407.308	0.596	0.742
Conditional unimproved	2000	-0.013	0.231	97.813	0.746	0.727
Conditional unimproved	2005	0	0.281	66.462	0.594	0.749
Conditional unimproved	2010	0.025	0.237	128.404	0.598	0.706
Conditional unimproved	2017	0.017	0.246	77.721	0.687	0.795

Appendix Table 22. Predictive out-of-sample metrics aggregated to the country level for sanitation indicators

Indicator	Year	Mean err.	RMSE	Median SS	Corr.	95% Cov.
Piped	2000	-0.014	0.079	29335.27	0.882	0.769
Piped	2005	0.015	0.075	48796.79	0.978	0.815
Piped	2010	0.006	0.084	53771.14	0.867	0.806
Piped	2017	0.108	0.123	39161.19	0.965	0.354
Conditional improved	2000	0.007	0.036	54084.89	0.992	0.806
Conditional improved	2005	0.011	0.043	51230.94	0.992	0.808
Conditional improved	2010	0.021	0.051	55560.49	0.984	0.858
Conditional improved	2017	0.057	0.071	36724.17	0.976	0.831
Conditional unimproved	2000	0.028	0.039	26817.86	0.975	0.834
Conditional unimproved	2005	0.018	0.074	28697.44	0.981	0.851
Conditional unimproved	2010	0.012	0.07	33706.83	0.974	0.876
Conditional unimproved	2017	0.041	0.13	22303.74	0.936	0.86

Appendix Table 23. Predictive out-of-sample metrics aggregated to the first administrative level for sanitation indicators

Indicator	Year	Mean err.	RMSE	Median SS	Corr.	95% Cov.
Piped	2000	-0.014	0.131	885.326	0.749	0.776
Piped	2005	0.015	0.178	1402	0.887	0.816
Piped	2010	0.006	0.16	1378.238	0.689	0.806
Piped	2017	0.108	0.171	3654.843	0.782	0.353
Conditional improved	2000	0.007	0.119	1302.438	0.913	0.806
Conditional improved	2005	0.011	0.129	1147.759	0.92	0.806
Conditional improved	2010	0.021	0.163	1441.333	0.822	0.857
Conditional improved	2017	0.057	0.121	2668	0.895	0.83
Conditional unimproved	2000	0.028	0.137	833.061	0.649	0.833
Conditional unimproved	2005	0.018	0.156	587.67	0.904	0.85
Conditional unimproved	2010	0.012	0.154	1006.244	0.885	0.874
Conditional unimproved	2017	0.041	0.173	2144.865	0.883	0.859

Appendix Table 24. Predictive out-of-sample metrics aggregated to the second administrative level for sanitation indicators

Indicator	Year	Mean err.	RMSE	Median SS	Corr.	95% Cov.
Piped	2000	-0.014	0.156	182.942	0.681	0.778
Piped	2005	0.015	0.202	277.712	0.856	0.816
Piped	2010	0.006	0.191	310	0.603	0.807
Piped	2017	0.108	0.223	644.322	0.603	0.351
Conditional improved	2000	0.007	0.138	295	0.888	0.808
Conditional improved	2005	0.011	0.15	255.766	0.892	0.805
Conditional improved	2010	0.021	0.188	252	0.772	0.858
Conditional improved	2017	0.057	0.154	621.479	0.823	0.833
Conditional unimproved	2000	0.028	0.253	885.605	0.354	0.835
Conditional unimproved	2005	0.018	0.177	175.79	0.87	0.85
Conditional unimproved	2010	0.012	0.18	210.696	0.846	0.874
Conditional unimproved	2017	0.041	0.193	351	0.843	0.859

Appendix Table 25a-b. Risk ratios used in counterfactual analyses

We present the risk ratios used in our counterfactual analyses as adapted from the Global Burden of Diseases, Risk Factors, and Injuries 2017⁹.

Appendix Table 26. Risk ratios associated with access to water facilities.

Water Facility Category	Risk Ratio
Piped, boiled/filtered	1
Piped, solar/chlorinated	1.65
Piped, untreated	2.4
Other Improved, boiled/filtered	1.12
Other Improved, solar/chlorinated	1.85
Other Improved, untreated	2.69
Unimproved or Surface, boiled/filtered	1.36
Unimproved or Surface, solar/chlorinated	2.25
Unimproved or Surface, untreated	3.28

Appendix Table 27. Risk ratios associated with access to water facilities.

Sanitation Facilities	Risk Ratio
Sewer or Septic	1
Other Improved	2.595
Unimproved or Open Defecation	3.242

7.0 Appendix References

- 1 Dicker D, Nguyen G, Abate D, *et al.* Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality and life expectancy, 1950–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018; **392**: 1684–735.
- 2 Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. Global Health Data Exchange. 2018; published online June 20. <http://ghdx.healthdata.org/> (accessed March 10, 2020).
- 3 WHO UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme. Progress on drinking water, sanitation and hygiene: 2017 update and SDG baselines. Geneva: WHO & UNICEF, 2017.
- 4 Kish L. Survey sampling. New York, London: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1965.
- 5 WorldPop. WorldPop dataset. 2017; published online July 25. http://www.worldpop.org.uk/data/get_data/ (accessed July 24, 2017).
- 6 Mosser JF, Gagne-Maynard W, Rao PC, *et al.* Mapping diphtheria-pertussis-tetanus vaccine coverage in Africa, 2000–2016: a spatial and temporal modelling study. *Lancet* 2019; **393**: 1843–55.
- 7 Sk-Opt Module. 2018; published online June 20. <https://scikit-optimize.github.io/> (accessed March 10, 2020).
- 8 Lidngren F, Rue H, Lindström J. An explicit link between Gaussian fields and Gaussian Markov random fields: the stochastic partial differential equation approach. *Stat Methodol Ser B* 2011; **73**: 423–98.
- 9 Stanaway JD, Afshin A, Gakidou E, *et al.* Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* 2018; **392**: 1923–94.
- 10 De Maio FG. Income inequality measures. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2007; **61**: 849–52.
- 11 Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center. Combined MODIS 5.1 dataset. MCD12Q1 LP DAAC NASA Land Data Prod. Serv. 2017; published online June 1.
- 12 World Wildlife Fund & Center for Environmental Systems Research, University of Kassel G. Global lakes and wetlands database, level 3. 2004 <https://www.worldwildlife.org/pages/global-lakes-and-wetlands-database> (accessed June 1, 2017).
- 13 Lehner B, Döll P. Development and validation of a global database of lakes, reservoirs and wetlands. *J Hydrol* 2004; **296**: 1–22.
- 14 GeoNetwork. The Global Administrative Unit Layers (GAUL). 2015. <http://www.fao.org/geonetwork/srv/en/main.home> (accessed June 1, 2017).
- 15 Tatem AJ. WorldPop, open data for spatial demography. *Sci Data* 2017; **4**: 170004.

Managing the estimation or publications process

Simon I. Hay, Robert C. Reiner Jr., Brigette F. Blacker, Molly K. Miller-Petrie, Paulina A. Lindstedt, Natalie Cormier, Puja C. Rao

Writing the first draft of the manuscript

Aniruddha Deshpande, Molly K. Miller-Petrie

Primary responsibility for this manuscript focused on: applying analytical methods to produce estimates

Aniruddha Deshpande

Primary responsibility for this manuscript focused on: seeking, cataloguing, extracting, or cleaning data; designing or coding figures and tables

Aniruddha Deshpande, Paulina A. Lindstedt, Mathew M. Baumann, Alexandria Brown, Gregory M. Garcia, James R. Albright, Kimberly B. Johnson, Robert C. Reiner Jr.

Providing data or critical feedback on data sources

Aniruddha Deshpande, Paulina A. Lindstedt, Mathew M. Baumann, Vafa Rahimi-Movaghar, Fernando de la Hoz, Aslam Pervaiz, QuynhAnh Nguyen, Kimberly Johnson, Emma Spurlock, Shiwei Liu, Paulina Lindstedt, Hedayat Abbastabar, Ahmed Abdelalim, Michael Abrigo, Oladimeji Adebayo, Isaac Adedeji, Rufus Adedoyin, Victor Adekanmbi, Olatunji Adetokunboh, Tara Ballav Adhikari, Mohsen Afarideh, Marcela Agudelo-Botero, Muktar Ahmed, Ali Akanda, Fares Alahdab, Jacqueline Alcalde-Rabanal, Muhammad Ali, Mehran Alijanzadeh, Amir Almasi-Hashiani, Nelson Alvis-Guzman, Nelson Alvis-Zakzuk, Saeed Amini, Catalina Liliana Andrei, Jalal Arabloo, Olatunde Aremu, Bahram Armoon, Amit Arora, Krishna Aryal, Hagos Atalay, Shally Awasthi, Nefsu Awoke, Beatriz Paulina Ayala Quintanilla, Yared Aynalem, Samad Azari, Senthilkumar Balakrishnan, Maciej Banach, Joseph Banoub, Miguel Barboza, Sanjay Basu, Mohsen Bayati, Mahya Beheshti, Meysam Behzadifar, Diana Bejarano Ramirez, Michellr

Bell, Muhammad Shahdaat Bin Sayeed, Somayeh Bohlouli, Till Bärnighausen, Sharath Burugina Nagaraja, Julio Cesar Campuzano Rincon, Jorge Cano, Carlos Castañeda-Orjuela, Franz Castro, Binaya Chalise, Vijay Kumar Chattu, Ken Chin, Dinh-Toi Chu, Vera Costa, Abel Dadi, Saad Dahlawi, Lalit Dandona, Rakhi Dandona, Anh Dang, Amira Darwish, Ahmad Daryani, Rajat Das Gupta, Dereje Demissie, Samath Dharmaratne, Preeti Dhillon, Meghnath Dhimal, Govinda Dhungana, Christiane Dolecek, Hisham Edinur, Andem Effiong, Aziz Eftekhari, Maysaa El Sayed Zaki, Ziad El-Khatib, Hajer Elkout, Shymaa Enany, Daniel Endalew, Babak Eshrati, Oluchi Ezekannagha, Emerito Jose Faraon, Mohammad Fareed, Farshad Farzadfar, Valery Feigin, Netsanet Fentahun, Seyed-Mohammad Fereshtehnejad, Morenike Folayan, Takeshi Fukumoto, Ahmad Ghashghaee, Themba Ginindza, Alem Girmay, Bárbara Goulart, Ayman Grada, Harish Gugnani, Rahul Gupta, Nima Hafezi-Nejad, Michael Haile, Brian Hall, Hamidreza Haririan, Ninuk Hariyani, Amir Hasanzadeh, Hamid Hassen, Simon Hay, Desta Hayelom, Mohamed Hegazy, Delia Hendrie, Andualem Henok, Claudiu Herteliu, Thomas Hird, Chi Hoang, Praveen Hoogar, Mehdi Hosseinzadeh, Mowafa Househ, Guoqing Hu, Syed Hussain, Mamusha Hussen, Segun Ibitoye, Usman Iqbal, Seyed Sina Irvani, Sheikh Mohammed Shariful Islam, Nader Jahanmehr, Mihajlo Jakovljevic, Jost Jonas, Jacek Jozwiak, Zubair Kabir, André Karch, Hamidreza Karimi-Sari, Surendra Karki, Amir Kasaeian, Habtamu Kasaye, Gbenga Kayode, Mihiretu Kebede, Yousef Khader, Morteza Khafaie, Nauman Khalid, Rovshan Khalilov, Khaled Khatab, Amir Khater, Jagdish Khubchandani, Yun Jin Kim, Adnan Kisa, Sezer Kisa, Soewarta Kosen, Kewal Krishan, Barthelemy Kuate Defo, Pushpendra Kumar, Dian Kusuma, Dharmesh Lal, Hilton Lam, Van Lansingh, Savita Lasrado, Georgy Lebedev, Tsegaye Lenjebo, Shanshan Li, Jaifred Christian Lopez, Muhammed Magdy Abd El Razeq, D. R. Mahadeshwara Prasad, Narayan Mahotra, Navid Manafi, Mohammad Ali Mansournia, Francisco Martins-Melo, Benjamin Mayala, Mohsen Mazidi, Colm McAlinden, Tefera Mekonnen, Gebrekiros Meles, Ziad Memish, Walter Mendoza, Ritesh Menezes, Bartosz Miazgowski, Erkin Mirrakhimov, Babak Moazen, Dara Mohammad, Naser Mohammad Gholi Mezerji, Shafiu Mohammed, Jemal Mohammed, Farnam Mohebi, Ali Mokdad, Masoud Moradi, Mohammad Moradi-Joo, Seyyed Meysam Mousavi, Miliva Mozaffor, Kamarul Imran Musa, Ghulam Mustafa, Saravanan Muthupandian, Ahamarshan Nagarajan, Mohsen Naghavi, Bruno Nascimento, Javad Nazari, Ionut Negoï, Josephine Ngunjiri, Chukwudi Nnaji, Marzieh Nojomi, Jean Jacques Noubiap, Andrew Olagunju, Bolajoko Olusanya, Obinna Onwujekwe, Mayowa Owolabi, Mahesh P A, Jagadish Rao. Padubidri, Sangram Patel, Ashish Pathak, Kebreab Paulos, Veincent Christian Pepito, Julia Pescarini, Maarten Postma, Sergio Prada, Amir Radfar, Alireza Rafiei, Siavash Rahimi, Mohammad Hifz Ur Rahman, Chhabi Ranabhat, Lal Rawal, Vishnu Renjith, Andre Renzaho, Ana Isabel Ribeiro, Jennifer Rickard, Leonardo Roever, Luca Ronfani, Enrico Rubagotti, Siamak Sabour, Ehsan Sadeghi, Sahar Saeedi Moghaddam, Yahya Safari, Rajesh Sagar, S. Mohammad Sajadi, Mohammad Salahshoor, Nasir Salam, Hosni Salem, Marwa Salem, Abdallah Samy, Milena Santric-Milicevic, Bruno Sao Jose, Sivan Saraswathy, Benn Sartorius, Brijesh Sathian, Maheswar Satpathy, Monika Sawhney, David Schwebel, Subramanian Senthilkumaran, Edson Serván-Mori, Amira Shaheen, Masood Shaikh, Ali Shalash, Mehran Shams-Beyranvand, Morteza Shamsizadeh, Mohammed Shannawaz, B Suresh Shetty, Wondimeneh Shiferaw, Jae Il Shin, Soraya Siabani, Diego Silva, Jasvinder Singh, Virendra Singh, Dhirendra Sinha, Malede Sisay, Eirini Skiadaresi, Aauto Soares Filho, Mohammad Reza Sobhiyeh, Muluken Sorrie, Chandrashekhar Sreeramareddy, Agus Sudaryanto, Hafiz Ansar Rasul Suleria, Bryan Sykes, Rafael Tabarés-Seisdedos, Degena Tadesse, Bineyam Taye, Nihal Thomas, Marcos Roberto Tovani-Palone, Irfan Ullah, Bhaskaran Unnikrishnan, Pascual Valdez, Feleke W/hawariat, Yasir Waheed, Yuan-Pang Wang, Yafeng Wang, Ronny Westerman, Taweewat Wiangkham, Tissa Wijeratne, Charles Wiysonge, Haileab

Wolde, Dawit Wondafrash, Tewodros Wonde, Ali Yadollahpour, Alex Yeshaneh, Naohiro Yonemoto, Mustafa Younis, Chuanhua Yu, Siddhesh Zadey, Zoubida Zaidi, Arash Ziapour, Yves Miel Zuniga

Development of methods or computational machinery

Aniruddha Deshpande, Alyssa Sbarra, Farah Daoud, QuynhAnh Nguyen, Joseph Frostad, Kirsten Wiens, Olatunji Adetokunboh, Amir Almasi-Hashiani, Samad Azari, Meysam Behzadifar, Muhammad Shahdaat Bin Sayeed, Sharath Burugina Nagaraja, Devasahayam Christopher, Ahmad Daryani, Aziz Eftekhari, Ahmad Ghashghaee, Ayman Grada, Michael Haile, Mowafa Househ, Rovshan Khalilov, Pushpendra Kumar, Cheru Leshargie, Mohsen Mazidi, Ali Mokdad, Mohsen Naghavi, Fakher Rahim, Chhabi Ranabhat, Lal Rawal, Mohammad Salahshoor, Abdallah Samy, Maheswar Satpathy, Degena Tadesse, Ronny Westerman, Tissa Wijeratne, Dawit Wondafrash, Arash Ziapour

Providing critical feedback on methods or results

Kirsten Wiens, Aniruddha Deshpande, Alyssa Sbarra, Molly Miller-Petrie, Jonathan Mosser, Kate LeGrand, Vafa Rahimi-Movaghar, Ali Kiadaliri, Lauren Schaeffer, Mohamed Gad, Fernando de la Hoz, Lauren Schaeffer, Aslam Pervaiz, David Smith, Roghayeh Mohammadibakhsh, Elizabeth Cromwell, Taddese Zerfu, Manasi Kumar, Hedayat Abbastabar, Foad Abd-Allah, Ahmed Abdelalim, Ibrahim Abdollahpour, Kedir Abegaz, Ayenew Abejie, Michael Abrigo, Manfred Accrombessi, Abdu Adamu, Oladimeji Adebayo, Isaac Adedeji, Rufus Adedoyin, Victor Adekanmbi, Olatunji Adetokunboh, Mohsen Afarideh, Marcela Agudelo-Botero, Keivan Ahmadi, Mehdi Ahmadi, Muktar Ahmed, Anwar Ahmed, Temesgen Akalu, Ali Akanda, Fares Alahdab, Ziyad Al-Aly, Noore Alam, Genet Melak Alamene, Turki Alanzi, Jacqueline Alcalde-Rabanal, Animut Alebel, Zewdie Alemu, Muhammad Ali, Mehran Alijanzadeh, Vahid Alipour, Syed Aljunid, Amir Almasi-Hashiani, Hesham Al-Mekhlafi, Khalid Altirkawi, Nelson Alvis-Guzman, Nelson Alvis-Zakzuk, Saeed Amini, Arianna Maeveer Amit, Gianna Gayle Amul, Catalina Liliana Andrei, Ansariadi Ansariadi, Carl Abelardo Antonio, Ernoiz Antriyandarti, Jalal Arabloo, Hany Aref, Olatunde Aremu, Amit Arora, Krishna Aryal, Hagos Atalay, Seyyed Shamsadin Athari, Sachin Atre, Shally Awasthi, Nefsu Awoke, Beatriz Paulina Ayala Quintanilla, Getinet Ayano, Martin Ayanore, Yared Aynalem, Samad Azari, Andrew Azman, Ebrahim Babae, Shankar Bakkannavar, Senthilkumar Balakrishnan, Maciej Banach, Joseph Banoub, Aleksandra Barac, Miguel Barboza, Sanjay Basu, Mohsen Bayati, Neeraj Bedi, Mahya Beheshti, Meysam Behzadifar, Masoud Behzadifar, Diana Bejarano Ramirez, Michellr Bell, Derrick Bennett, Dessalegn Berbada, Robert Bernstein, Anusha Bhat, Soumyadeep Bhaumik, Ali Bijani, Boris Bikbov, Raaj Kishore Biswas, Somayeh Bohlouli, Soufiane Boufous, Oliver Brady, Gabrielle Britton, Till Bärnighausen, Sharath Burugina Nagaraja, Zahid Butt, Ismael Campos-Nonato, Jorge Cano, Carlos Castañeda-Orjuela, Franz Castro, Ester Cerin, Vijay Kumar Chattu, Ken Chin, Devasahayam Christopher, Dinh-Toi Chu, Luis Cámera, Vera Costa, Rosario Cárdenas, Tukur Dahiru, Saad

Dahlawi, Lalit Dandona, Rakhi Dandona, Anh Dang, Amira Darwish, Ahmad Daryani, Jai Das, Rajat Das Gupta, Aditya Dash, Jan-Walter De Neve, Dereje Demissie, Gebre Demoz, Edgar Denova- Gutiérrez, Kebede Deribe, Assefa Desalew, Samath Dharmaratne, Preeti Dhillon, Meghnath Dhimal, Daniel Diaz, Isaac Dipeolu, Kerrie Doyle, Andem Effiong, Aziz Eftekhari, Nevine El Nahas, Maysaa El Sayed Zaki, Maha El Tantawi, Hala Elhabashy, Ziad El-Khatib, Aisha Elsharkawy, Daniel Endalew, Sharareh Eskandarieh, Arash Etemadi, Oluchi Ezekannagha, Emerito Jose Faraon, Mohammad Fareed, Andre Faro, Farshad Farzadfar, Alebachew Fasil, Mehdi Fazlzadeh, Valery Feigin, Wubalem Fekadu, Netsanet Fentahun, Florian Fischer, Nataliya Foigt, Morenike Folayan, Masoud Foroutan, Richard Franklin, Takeshi Fukumoto, Augustine Gatotoh, Reta Gayesa, Ketema Gebremedhin, Yilma Geramo, Hailay Gesesew, Kebede Embaye Gezae, Ahmad Ghashghaee, Farzaneh Ghazi Sherbaf, Paramjit Gill, Themba Ginindza, Alem Girmay, Zemichael Gizaw, Amador Goodridge, Sameer Gopalani, Alessandra Goulart, Bárbara Goulart, Ayman Grada, Mohammed Gubari, Harish Gugnani, Davide Guido, Rajeev Gupta, Juanita Haagsma, Nima Hafezi-Nejad, Michael Haile, Dessalegn Haile, Brian Hall, Samer Hamidi, Demelash Woldeyohannes Handiso, Ninuk Hariyani, Md. Mehedi Hasan, Amir Hasanzadeh, Hamid Hassen, Simon Hay, Mohamed Hegazy, Behzad Heibati, Behnam Heidari, Delia Hendrie, Claudiu Herteliu, Fatemeh Heydarpour, Hagos Hidru, Thomas Hird, Chi Hoang, Praveen Hoogar, Naznin Hossain, Mehdi Hosseinzadeh, Mowafa Househ, Guoqing Hu, Ayesha Humayun, Syed Hussain, Mamusha Hussien, Olayinka Ilesanmi, Milena Ilic, Mohammad Hasan Imani-Nasab, Usman Iqbal, Seyed Sina Irvani, Sheikh Mohammed Shariful Islam, Rebecca Ivers, Chinwe Iwu, Mihajlo Jakovljevic, Amir Jalali, Achala Jayatilke, Ensiyeh Jenabi, Ravi Jha, Vivekanand Jha, John Ji, Jost Jonas, Jacek Jozwiak, Ali Kabir, Zubair Kabir, Tanuj Kanchan, André Karch, Hamidreza Karimi-Sari, Surendra Karki, Amir Kasaeian, Gebremicheal Kasahun, Habtamu Kasaye, Gebrehiwot Kassa, Getachew Kassa, Gbenga Kayode, Mihiretu Kebede, Peter Keiyoro, Daniel Ketema, Yousef Khader, Morteza Khafaie, Rovshan Khalilov, Ejaz Khan, Junaid Khan, Md Khan, Khaled Khatab, Mona Khater, Maryam Khayamzadeh, Mohammad Khazaei, Mohammad Hossein Khosravi, Jagdish Khubchandani, Yun Jin Kim, Ruth Kimokoti, Adnan Kisa, Sezer Kisa, Shivakumar KM KMSshivakumar, Tufa Kolola, Parvaiz Koul, Ai Koyanagi, Kewal Krishan, Barthelemy Kuate Defo, Pushpendra Kumar, Dian Kusuma, Carlo La Vecchia, Aparna Lal, Dharmesh Lal, Hilton Lam, Savita Lasrado, Mostafa Leili, Tsegaye Lenjebo, Sonia Lewycka, Shanshan Li, Shai Linn, Jaifred Christian Lopez, Muhammed Magdy Abd El Razek, D. R. Mahadeshwara Prasad, Phetole Mahasha, Azeem Majeed, Abdullah Mamun, Navid Manafi, Mohammad Ali Mansournia, Chabila Christopher Mapoma, Gabriel Martinez, Santi Martini, Francisco Martins-Melo, Manu Mathur, Benjamin Mayala, Mohsen Mazidi, Colm McAlinden, Kala Mehta, Teferi Mekonnen, Gebrekiros Meles, Hagazi Meles, Peter Memiah, Ziad Memish, Walter Mendoza, Ritesh Menezes, Seid Tiku Mereta, Tuomo Meretoja, Ted Miller, GK Mini, Erkin Mirrakhimov, Babak Moazen, Dara Mohammad, Yousef Mohammad, Naser Mohammad Gholi Mezerji, Hassen Mohammed, Jemal Mohammed, Shafiu Mohammed, Farnam Mohebi, Ali Mokdad, Yoshan Moodley, Ghobad Moradi, Mohammad Moradi-Joo, Linda Morales, Abbas Mosapour, Simin Mouodi, Miliva Mozaffor, Moses Muriithi, Kamarul Imran Musa, Ghulam Mustafa, Saravanan Muthupandian, Mehdi Naderi, Ahamarshan Nagarajan, Mohsen Naghavi, Gurudatta Naik, Vinay Nangia, Bruno Nascimento, Javad Nazari, Duduzile Ndwandwe, Ionut Negoii, Henok Netsere, Josephine Ngunjiri, Solomon Nigatu, Dina Ningrum, Chukwudi Nnaji, Ole Norheim, Jean Jacques Noubiap, Bogdan Oancea, Felix Ogbo, In-Hwan Oh, Andrew Olagunju, Bolajoko Olusanya, Obinna Onwujekwe, Doris Ortega-Altamirano, Frank Osei, Mayowa Owolabi, Mahesh P A, Jagadish Rao. Padubidri, Smita Pakhale, Eun-Kee Park, Sangram Patel, Ajay Patle, Kebreab Paulos, Veincent Christian Pepito, Julia Pescarini, Meghdad Pirsaeheb, Maarten Postma, Farshad Pourmalek, Akram Pourshams, Sergio Prada, Hedley Quintana,

Navid Rabiee, Amir Radfar, Alireza Rafiei, Fakher Rahim, Mohammad Hifz Ur Rahman, Muhammad Aziz Rahman, Chhabi Ranabhat, Goura Rath, Salman Rawaf, Lal Rawal, Wasiq Rawasia, Vishnu Renjith, Andre Renzaho, Serge Resnikoff, Seyed Mohammad Riahi, Jennifer Rickard, Leonardo Roever, Luca Ronfani, Siamak Sabour, Ehsan Sadeghi, Yahya Safari, Rajesh Sagar, S. Mohammad Sajadi, Ahsan Saleem, Hosni Salem, Marwa Salem, Yahya Salimi, Hamideh Salimzadeh, Abdallah Samy, Juan Sanabria, Itamar Santos, Milena Santric-Milicevic, Sivan Saraswathy, Nizal Sarrafzadegan, Benn Sartorius, Brijesh Sathian, Thirunavukkarasu Sathish, Maheswar Satpathy, Monika Sawhney, Mehdi Sayyah, David Schwebel, Anbissa Senbeta, Sadaf Sepanlou, Edson Serván -Mori, Amira Shaheen, Masood Shaikh, Ali Shalash, Mehran Shams-Beyranvand, Morteza Shamsizadeh, Mohammed Shannawaz, Kiomars Sharafi, Aziz Sheikh, Wondimeneh Shiferaw, Mika Shigematsu, Jae Il Shin, Rahman Shiri, Reza Shirkoohi, Si Si, Soraya Siabani, Tariq Siddiqi, Diego Silva, Ambrish Singh, Jasvinder Singh, Narinder Singh, Virendra Singh, Malede Sisay, Eirini Skiadaresi, Joan Soriano, Muluken Sorrie, Ireneous Soyiri, Chandrashekhar Sreeramareddy, Agus Sudaryanto, Mu'awiyah Sufiyan, Hafiz Ansar Rasul Suleria, Bryan Sykes, Rafael Tabarés -Seisdedos, Degen Tadesse, Ingan Tarigan, Yonatal Tefera, Arash Tehrani-Banihashemi, Shishay Tekelemedhin, Merhawi Tekle, Mohamad-Hani Temsah, Berhe Tesfay, Fisaha Tesfay, Kavumpurathu Thankappan, Nihal Thomas, Alan Thomson, Marcos Roberto Tovani-Palone, Eugenio Traini, Khanh Tran, Irfan Ullah, Bhaskaran Unnikrishnan, Olalekan Uthman, Pascual Valdez, Santosh Varughese, Yousef Veisani, Sebastian Vollmer, Yasir Waheed, Mitchell Wallin, Yafeng Wang, Yuan-Pang Wang, Kinley Wangdi, Girmay Weldesamuel, Adhena Werkneh, Ronny Westerman, Taweewat Wiangkham, Tissa Wijeratne, Charles Wiysonge, Haileab Wolde, Dawit Wondafrash, Tewodros Wonde, Getasew Worku, Ali Yadollahpour, Tomohide Yamada, Mehdi Yaseri, Alex Yeshaneh, Paul Yip, Engida Yisma, Naohiro Yonemoto, Mustafa Younis, Chuanhua Yu, Hasan Yusefzadeh, Siddhesh Zadey, Zoubida Zaidi, Sojib Bin Zaman, Mohammad Zamani, Hamed Zandian, Heather Zar, Yunquan Zhang, Sanjay Zodpey

Drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content

Aniruddha Deshpande, Molly Miller-Petrie, Jonathan Mosser, Kate LeGrand, Vafa Rahimi-Movaghar, Ali Kiadaliri, Lauren Schaeffer, Mohamed Gad, Gillian Hollerich, Nicole Davis Weaver, Sandra Munro, Kimberly Johnson, Robert Thompson, Kirsten Wiens, Robert C. Reiner Jr, Simon I. Hay, Foad Abd-Allah, Ahmed Abdelalim, Ahmed Abualhasan, Abdu Adamu, Oladimeji Adebayo, Isaac Adedeji, Rufus Adedoyin, Victor Adekanmbi, Olatunji Adetokunboh, Mohsen Afarideh, Muktar Ahmed, Temesgen Akalu, Ali Akanda, Fares Alahdab, Samiah Alam, Genet Melak Alamene, Jacqueline Alcalde-Rabanal, Animut Alebel, Muhammad Ali, Ali Almasi, Amir Almasi-Hashiani, Hesham Al-Mekhlafi, Nelson Alvis-Guzman, Nelson Alvis-Zakzuk, Saeed Amini, Catalina Liliana Andrei, Carl Abelardo Antonio, Ernoiz Antriyandarti, Jalal Arabloo, Olatunde Aremu, Amit Arora, Afsaneh Arzani, Mehran Asadi-Aliabadi, Hagos Atalay, Shally Awasthi, Nefsu Awoke, Beatriz Paulina Ayala Quintanilla, Martin Ayanore, Andrew Azman, Alaa Badawi, Shankar Bakkannavar, Senthilkumar Balakrishnan, Maciej Banach, Aleksandra Barac, Sanjay Basu, Neeraj Bedi, Meysam Behzadifar, Masoud Behzadifar, Michellr Bell, Derrick Bennett, Habib Benzian, Anusha Bhat, Boris Bikbov, Soufiane Boufous, Oliver Brady, Gabrielle Britton, Till Bärnighausen, Sharath

Burugina Nagaraja, Ismael Campos-Nonato, Jorge Cano, Josip Car, Felix Carvalho, Carlos Castañeda-Orjuela, Franz Castro, Ester Cerin, Vijay Kumar Chattu, Devasahayam Christopher, Luis Cámera, Abel Dadi, Anh Dang, Ahmad Daryani, Rajat Das Gupta, Jan-Walter De Neve, Dereje Demissie, Edgar Denova-Gutiérrez, Kebede Deribe, Samath Dharmaratne, Meghnath Dhimal, Govinda Dhungana, Daniel Diaz, Isaac Dipeolu, Kerrie Doyle, Eleonora Dubljanin, Andre Duraes, Claudio Dávila-Cervantes, Andem Effiong, Aziz Eftekhari, Nevine El Nahas, Maysaa El Sayed Zaki, Maha El Tantawi, Shaimaa El-Jaafary, Ziad El-Khatib, Aisha Elsharkawy, Shymaa Enany, Arash Etemadi, Wubalem Fekadu, Seyed-Mohammad Fereshtehnejad, Florian Fischer, Carsten Flohr, Nataliya Foigt, Morenike Folayan, Masoud Foroutan, Takeshi Fukumoto, Reta Gayesa, Hailay Gesesew, Paramjit Gill, Tiffany Gill, Themba Ginindza, Amador Goodridge, Sameer Gopalani, Alessandra Goulart, Bárbara Goulart, Ayman Grada, Manfred Green, Harish Gugrani, Rafael Guimarães, Rajeev Gupta, Rahul Gupta, Michael Haile, Brian Hall, Demelash Woldeyohannes Handiso, Ahmed Hasaballah, Amir Hasanzadeh, Hamid Hassen, Simon Hay, Claudiu Herteliu, Hagos Hidru, Thomas Hird, Chi Hoang, Praveen Hoogar, Naznin Hossain, Mowafa Househ, Syed Hussain, Mamusha Hussen, Segun Ibitoye, Olayinka Ilesanmi, Milena Ilic, Mohammad Hasan Imani-Nasab, Usman Iqbal, Seyed Sina Irvani, Sheikh Mohammed Shariful Islam, Rebecca Ivers, Chinwe Iwu, Mihajlo Jakovljevic, Ensiyeh Jenabi, Ravi Jha, Vivekanand Jha, Jost Jonas, Jacek Jozwiak, Ali Kabir, André Karch, Hamidreza Karimi-Sari, Habtamu Kasaye, Gbenga Kayode, Mihiretu Kebede, Yousef Khader, Nauman Khalid, Rovshan Khalilov, Ejaz Khan, Junaid Khan, Md Khan, Khaled Khatab, Mona Khater, Mohammad Khazaei, Mohammad Hossein Khosravi, Jagdish Khubchandani, Adnan Kisa, Shivakumar KM KMSshivakumar, Sonali Kochhar, Tufa Kolola, Parvaiz Koul, Ai Koyanagi, Kewal Krishan, Barthelemy Kuate Defo, Nuworza Kugbey, Pushpendra Kumar, Dian Kusuma, Carlo La Vecchia, Ben Lacey, Faris Lami, Savita Lasrado, Georgy Lebedev, Paul Lee, Tsegaye Lenjebo, Sonia Lewycka, Shanshan Li, Jaifred Christian Lopez, Muhammed Magdy Abd El Razek, D. R. Mahadeshwara Prasad, Azeem Majeed, Abdullah Mamun, Navid Manafi, Mohammad Ali Mansournia, Francisco Martins-Melo, Manu Mathur, Mohsen Mazidi, Colm McAlinden, Birhanu Geta Meharie, Kala Mehta, Walter Mendoza, Ritesh Menezes, Tuomo Meretoja, Tomislav Mestrovic, Ted Miller, GK Mini, Babak Moazen, Bahram Mohajer, Dara Mohammad, Yousef Mohammad, Shafiu Mohammed, Farnam Mohebi, Ali Mokdad, Paula Moraga, Abbas Mosapour, Seyyed Meysam Mousavi, Miliva Mozaffor, Kamarul Imran Musa, Ghulam Mustafa, Saravanan Muthupandian, Ahamarshan Nagarajan, Vinay Nangia, Bruno Nascimento, Javad Nazari, Ionut Negoii, Henok Netsere, Josephine Ngunjiri, Ole Norheim, Jean Jacques Noubiap, Felix Ogbo, In-Hwan Oh, Andrew Olagunju, Bolajoko Olusanya, Obinna Onwujekwe, Doris Ortega-Altamirano, Osayomwanbo Osarenotor, Mayowa Owolabi, Mahesh P A, Jagdish Rao. Padubidri, Smita Pakhale, Veincent Christian Pepito, Norberto Perico, Julia Pescarini, Konrad Pesudovs, Thomas Pilgrim, Maarten Postma, Farshad Pourmalek, Navid Rabiee, Amir Radfar, Fakher Rahim, Mohammad Hifz Ur Rahman, Fatemeh Rajati, Chhabi Ranabhat, Davide Rasella, Goura Rath, Salman Rawaf, Lal Rawal, Wasiq Rawasia, Giuseppe Remuzzi, Vishnu Renjith, Andre Renzaho, Seyed Mohammad Riahi, Ana Isabel Ribeiro, Jennifer Rickard, Leonardo Roever, Luca Ronfani, Anas Saad, Rajesh Sagar, Nasir Salam, Hosni Salem, Marwa Salem, Yahya Salimi, Hamideh Salimzadeh, Abdallah Samy, Juan Sanabria, Itamar Santos, Milena Santric-Milicevic, Maheswar Satpathy, David Schwebel, Sadaf Sepanlou, Edson Serván -Mori, Azadeh Shafieesabet, Mehran Shams-Beyranvand, MohammadBagher Shamsi, Rajesh Sharma, Aziz Sheikh, Mika Shigematsu, Reza Shirkoohi, Tariq Siddiqi, Diego Silva, Jasvinder Singh, Balbir Singh, Eirini Skiadaresi, Anton Sokhan, Joan Soriano, Ireneous Soyiri, Chandrashekhar Sreeramareddy, Mu'awiyah Sufiyan, Bryan Sykes, Rafael Tabarés -Seisdedos, Takahiro Tabuchi, Degena Tadesse, Bineyam Taye, Yonatal Tefera, Mohamad-Hani Temsah, Zemenu Tessema, Roman Topor-Madry, Marcos Roberto Tovani-Palone, Irfan Ullah, Bhaskaran

Unnikrishnan, Olalekan Uthman, Benjamin Uzochukwu, Santosh Varughese, Francesco Violante, Yuan-Pang Wang, Ronny Westerman, Tissa Wijeratne, Charles Wiysonge, Haileab Wolde, Dawit Wondafrash, Tewodros Wonde, Ali Yadollahpour, Seyed Hossein Yahyazadeh Jabbari, Hiroshi Yatsuya, Naohiro Yonemoto, Hebat-Allah Yousof, Zoubida Zaidi, Sojib Bin Zaman, Heather Zar

Management of the overall research enterprise (for example, through membership in the Scientific Council)

Robert C. Reiner Jr, Simon I. Hay, Aubrey J Levine, Muktar Ahmed, Saeed Amini, Meysam Behzadifar, Lalit Dandona, Aziz Eftekhari, Maysaa El Sayed Zaki, Simon Hay, Hamidreza Karimi-Sari, Amir Kasaeian, Rovshan Khalilov, Pushpendra Kumar, Muhammed Magdy Abd El Razek, Ali Mokdad, Mohsen Naghavi, Javad Nazari, Mahesh P A, Vishnu Renjith, Rajesh Sagar, Benn Sartorius, Morteza Shamsizadeh, Degena Tadesse, Roman Topor-Madry, Dawit Wondafrash

Piped Water by Country

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central Europe, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia				
Kyrgyzstan	2000	78.0%	79.1%	77.1%
Kyrgyzstan	2017	74.8%	75.8%	73.7%
Mongolia	2000	26.0%	26.8%	25.2%
Mongolia	2017	34.3%	35.7%	32.8%
Tajikistan	2000	47.8%	49.4%	46.5%
Tajikistan	2017	70.6%	72.0%	69.0%
Turkmenistan	2000	47.6%	50.2%	45.1%
Turkmenistan	2017	56.5%	58.6%	54.0%
Uzbekistan	2000	70.2%	72.0%	68.8%
Uzbekistan	2017	73.9%	75.6%	72.6%
Latin America and Caribbean				
Bolivia	2000	71.4%	71.7%	71.1%
Bolivia	2017	56.7%	57.4%	56.0%
Brazil	2000	77.9%	78.3%	77.6%
Brazil	2017	78.1%	78.4%	77.8%
Colombia	2000	85.0%	85.7%	84.3%
Colombia	2017	59.7%	60.6%	58.7%
Dominican Republic	2000	41.7%	51.6%	33.3%
Dominican Republic	2017	12.5%	18.3%	8.2%
Ecuador	2000	80.4%	84.4%	75.9%
Ecuador	2017	80.4%	84.1%	75.9%
El Salvador	2000	51.1%	53.8%	48.3%
El Salvador	2017	87.7%	89.2%	86.1%
Guatemala	2000	69.9%	71.6%	68.3%
Guatemala	2017	59.5%	61.5%	57.8%
Guyana	2000	48.6%	50.3%	46.9%
Guyana	2017	23.7%	24.8%	22.6%
Haiti	2000	45.2%	46.1%	44.3%
Haiti	2017	26.4%	27.3%	25.4%
Honduras	2000	68.2%	69.8%	66.4%
Honduras	2017	46.0%	47.5%	44.4%
Mexico	2000	83.5%	84.2%	82.8%
Mexico	2017	96.2%	96.5%	95.9%
Nicaragua	2000	60.2%	68.2%	51.7%
Nicaragua	2017	62.9%	70.0%	55.0%
Panama	2000	86.0%	87.7%	84.1%
Panama	2017	85.9%	87.6%	84.0%
Paraguay	2000	41.2%	43.2%	39.0%
Paraguay	2017	67.3%	68.8%	65.7%
Peru	2000	69.9%	70.5%	69.2%
Peru	2017	81.6%	82.1%	81.0%
North Africa and Middle East				
Afghanistan	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Afghanistan	2017	19.7%	21.5%	17.7%
Algeria	2000	71.9%	72.2%	71.6%
Algeria	2017	71.9%	72.2%	71.5%
Egypt	2000	85.9%	86.5%	85.2%
Egypt	2017	99.2%	99.3%	99.1%
Iraq	2000	77.8%	80.4%	74.8%
Iraq	2017	59.6%	63.3%	55.6%
Jordan	2000	91.0%	91.3%	90.8%
Jordan	2017	56.1%	56.6%	55.6%
Libya	2000	58.6%	60.1%	57.2%
Libya	2017	58.5%	59.8%	57.3%
Morocco	2000	63.1%	65.2%	60.6%
Morocco	2017	63.2%	65.3%	60.8%

Piped Water by Country (continued)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	2000	39.3%	40.0%	38.4%
Sudan	2017	26.4%	27.2%	25.6%
Syria	2000	80.9%	83.3%	77.7%
Syria	2017	80.8%	83.1%	77.4%
Tunisia	2000	66.6%	68.7%	64.2%
Tunisia	2017	66.6%	68.9%	64.1%
Yemen	2000	39.6%	41.7%	37.6%
Yemen	2017	27.7%	29.6%	25.7%
South Asia				
Bangladesh	2000	24.1%	25.2%	23.0%
Bangladesh	2017	7.8%	8.2%	7.4%
India	2000	38.9%	39.1%	38.7%
India	2017	45.6%	45.8%	45.4%
Nepal	2000	36.0%	38.2%	34.1%
Nepal	2017	53.8%	56.2%	51.8%
Pakistan	2000	24.2%	25.6%	22.9%
Pakistan	2017	38.3%	39.8%	36.9%
Southeast Asia, East Asia, and Oceania				
Cambodia	2000	4.4%	4.7%	4.1%
Cambodia	2017	53.5%	55.5%	51.7%
China	2000	37.3%	39.6%	35.1%
China	2017	74.9%	75.6%	74.0%
Indonesia	2000	20.0%	20.2%	19.8%
Indonesia	2017	9.9%	10.0%	9.7%
Laos	2000	13.3%	15.6%	11.2%
Laos	2017	11.2%	13.5%	9.5%
Myanmar	2000	18.2%	19.4%	17.1%
Myanmar	2017	12.3%	13.2%	11.5%
Papua New Guinea	2000	36.2%	38.9%	33.9%
Papua New Guinea	2017	36.2%	39.3%	33.3%
Philippines	2000	58.2%	59.4%	57.0%
Philippines	2017	30.7%	31.7%	29.6%
Sri Lanka	2000	27.4%	32.8%	22.6%
Sri Lanka	2017	35.0%	41.1%	29.9%
Thailand	2000	27.3%	27.6%	26.9%
Thailand	2017	20.1%	20.4%	19.8%
Timor-Leste	2000	36.7%	37.7%	35.5%
Timor-Leste	2017	63.8%	64.7%	62.9%
Vietnam	2000	17.3%	18.2%	16.3%
Vietnam	2017	28.5%	29.8%	27.4%
Sub-Saharan Africa				
Angola	2000	34.8%	37.1%	32.6%
Angola	2017	35.7%	36.9%	34.5%
Benin	2000	44.0%	45.0%	43.0%
Benin	2017	35.9%	37.0%	34.9%
Botswana	2000	93.4%	93.8%	93.0%
Botswana	2017	92.8%	93.3%	92.3%
Burkina Faso	2000	26.0%	27.9%	24.3%
Burkina Faso	2017	22.5%	24.0%	21.2%
Burundi	2000	17.7%	18.4%	17.1%
Burundi	2017	36.9%	37.7%	36.1%
Cameroon	2000	34.1%	35.6%	32.8%
Cameroon	2017	34.6%	36.2%	33.3%
Central African Republic	2000	18.2%	21.0%	15.1%

Piped Water by Country (continued)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	2017	10.7%	13.4%	8.2%
Chad	2000	15.1%	16.1%	14.1%
Chad	2017	15.1%	16.2%	14.2%
Comoros	2000	64.5%	66.1%	63.1%
Comoros	2017	64.6%	66.2%	63.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	2000	34.6%	36.0%	33.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	2017	46.6%	48.4%	45.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	2000	25.9%	27.0%	24.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	2017	14.9%	15.6%	14.0%
Eritrea	2000	38.0%	39.8%	36.2%
Eritrea	2017	38.0%	39.8%	36.3%
Ethiopia	2000	25.3%	26.1%	24.3%
Ethiopia	2017	41.0%	42.1%	39.8%
Gabon	2000	60.4%	63.9%	56.4%
Gabon	2017	64.1%	67.7%	59.8%
Gambia	2000	56.1%	64.1%	48.2%
Gambia	2017	70.2%	77.8%	61.3%
Ghana	2000	27.3%	28.1%	26.5%
Ghana	2017	30.4%	31.2%	29.7%
Guinea	2000	19.2%	20.5%	17.7%
Guinea	2017	26.9%	28.4%	25.1%
Guinea-Bissau	2000	25.4%	38.2%	17.1%
Guinea-Bissau	2017	23.1%	37.4%	14.7%
Kenya	2000	30.2%	30.7%	29.7%
Kenya	2017	30.2%	30.9%	29.6%
Lesotho	2000	55.8%	57.1%	54.5%
Lesotho	2017	70.7%	72.0%	69.2%
Liberia	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.3%
Liberia	2017	15.7%	16.6%	14.5%
Madagascar	2000	31.2%	33.1%	29.3%
Madagascar	2017	22.2%	23.5%	20.7%
Malawi	2000	27.3%	27.9%	26.7%
Malawi	2017	30.4%	31.0%	29.8%
Mali	2000	29.8%	31.0%	28.4%
Mali	2017	36.9%	37.9%	35.7%
Mauritania	2000	33.6%	37.7%	30.3%
Mauritania	2017	56.7%	61.1%	52.6%
Mozambique	2000	24.6%	25.9%	23.4%
Mozambique	2017	46.1%	47.8%	44.4%
Namibia	2000	67.7%	69.3%	66.3%
Namibia	2017	76.7%	78.0%	75.5%
Niger	2000	23.5%	24.4%	22.7%
Niger	2017	47.6%	48.5%	46.6%
Nigeria	2000	21.1%	21.8%	20.5%
Nigeria	2017	10.2%	10.5%	9.8%
Republic of Congo	2000	33.4%	40.1%	28.7%
Republic of Congo	2017	29.2%	35.2%	24.4%
Rwanda	2000	34.3%	34.9%	33.8%

Piped Water by Country (*continued*)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Rwanda	2017	34.6%	35.3%	34.0%
Senegal	2000	44.2%	45.3%	43.2%
Senegal	2017	58.0%	59.1%	57.0%
Sierra Leone	2000	31.0%	32.6%	29.6%
Sierra Leone	2017	13.1%	14.0%	12.3%
Somalia	2000	20.3%	24.1%	16.8%
Somalia	2017	37.0%	42.3%	32.2%
South Africa	2000	79.2%	79.5%	79.0%
South Africa	2017	86.2%	86.4%	86.0%
South Africa	2000	20.9%	23.1%	19.0%
Sudan	2017	1.5%	1.7%	1.3%
Swaziland	2000	43.3%	45.2%	41.6%
Swaziland	2017	58.1%	60.2%	55.9%
Tanzania	2000	28.8%	29.8%	27.6%
Tanzania	2017	45.6%	46.8%	44.4%
Togo	2000	33.1%	37.2%	29.2%
Togo	2017	26.5%	30.1%	23.5%
Uganda	2000	12.8%	13.4%	12.3%
Uganda	2017	20.5%	21.2%	19.9%
Zambia	2000	29.5%	30.9%	28.3%
Zambia	2017	28.9%	30.2%	27.8%
Zimbabwe	2000	34.2%	35.6%	32.8%
Zimbabwe	2017	33.8%	35.1%	32.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Country

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central Europe, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia				
Kyrgyzstan	2000	89.4%	90.7%	88.0%
Kyrgyzstan	2017	85.8%	87.1%	84.4%
Mongolia	2000	54.7%	55.8%	53.7%
Mongolia	2017	84.9%	85.7%	84.0%
Tajikistan	2000	60.6%	62.2%	59.1%
Tajikistan	2017	85.3%	86.6%	84.2%
Turkmenistan	2000	86.9%	88.4%	84.9%
Turkmenistan	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	2000	93.1%	93.5%	92.5%
Uzbekistan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.6%
Latin America and Caribbean				
Bolivia	2000	80.8%	81.2%	80.5%
Bolivia	2017	87.7%	88.1%	87.4%
Brazil	2000	94.7%	94.9%	94.6%
Brazil	2017	94.7%	94.9%	94.6%
Colombia	2000	88.4%	88.9%	87.8%
Colombia	2017	82.0%	82.6%	81.4%
Dominican Republic	2000	93.6%	97.0%	87.1%
Dominican Republic	2017	98.4%	99.4%	95.9%
Ecuador	2000	88.3%	90.9%	85.4%
Ecuador	2017	88.2%	90.8%	85.4%
El Salvador	2000	61.4%	64.0%	58.4%
El Salvador	2017	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Guatemala	2000	84.0%	85.3%	82.6%
Guatemala	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Guyana	2000	78.9%	80.9%	76.5%
Guyana	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.1%
Haiti	2000	60.0%	61.1%	59.0%
Haiti	2017	68.3%	69.4%	67.2%
Honduras	2000	80.1%	81.4%	78.6%
Honduras	2017	93.7%	94.4%	93.0%
Mexico	2000	92.0%	92.5%	91.5%
Mexico	2017	99.0%	99.1%	98.9%
Nicaragua	2000	77.7%	81.5%	73.4%
Nicaragua	2017	78.5%	81.8%	74.7%
Panama	2000	86.7%	88.3%	84.8%
Panama	2017	86.5%	88.2%	84.6%
Paraguay	2000	81.0%	81.8%	79.9%
Paraguay	2017	95.2%	95.5%	94.8%
Peru	2000	74.4%	75.0%	73.7%
Peru	2017	92.2%	92.5%	91.8%
North Africa and Middle East				
Afghanistan	2000	15.2%	16.4%	13.9%
Afghanistan	2017	64.8%	66.6%	62.8%
Algeria	2000	98.5%	98.6%	98.5%
Algeria	2017	98.6%	98.6%	98.5%
Egypt	2000	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Egypt	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Iraq	2000	84.1%	86.3%	81.6%
Iraq	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Jordan	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Jordan	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Libya	2000	93.4%	93.9%	92.9%
Libya	2017	93.3%	93.9%	92.8%
Morocco	2000	75.8%	78.1%	73.7%
Morocco	2017	75.7%	77.8%	73.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Country (continued)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	2000	66.4%	67.1%	65.8%
Sudan	2017	75.8%	76.6%	75.0%
Syria	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Syria	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Tunisia	2000	96.7%	98.0%	94.1%
Tunisia	2017	96.6%	98.0%	93.6%
Yemen	2000	58.8%	60.9%	56.8%
Yemen	2017	92.6%	93.4%	91.6%
South Asia				
Bangladesh	2000	97.7%	98.0%	97.4%
Bangladesh	2017	94.9%	95.5%	94.1%
India	2000	85.1%	85.3%	85.0%
India	2017	91.8%	91.9%	91.6%
Nepal	2000	88.6%	90.1%	87.3%
Nepal	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.8%
Pakistan	2000	54.0%	55.1%	52.8%
Pakistan	2017	94.6%	95.2%	93.9%
Southeast Asia, East Asia, and Oceania				
Cambodia	2000	36.1%	37.6%	34.6%
Cambodia	2017	92.1%	93.2%	90.9%
China	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
China	2017	88.8%	89.4%	88.2%
Indonesia	2000	73.3%	73.5%	73.2%
Indonesia	2017	84.1%	84.3%	84.0%
Laos	2000	46.8%	49.7%	43.7%
Laos	2017	83.9%	85.9%	81.7%
Myanmar	2000	61.4%	62.8%	59.8%
Myanmar	2017	82.9%	83.9%	81.9%
Papua New Guinea	2000	67.7%	70.5%	64.9%
Papua New Guinea	2017	68.2%	71.2%	65.2%
Philippines	2000	84.0%	85.0%	83.0%
Philippines	2017	94.0%	94.4%	93.5%
Sri Lanka	2000	80.3%	83.7%	77.0%
Sri Lanka	2017	90.3%	92.3%	88.4%
Thailand	2000	92.0%	92.5%	91.5%
Thailand	2017	98.8%	98.9%	98.7%
Timor-Leste	2000	67.9%	69.0%	66.7%
Timor-Leste	2017	83.9%	84.5%	83.3%
Vietnam	2000	80.5%	81.7%	79.3%
Vietnam	2017	91.9%	92.6%	91.4%
Sub-Saharan Africa				
Angola	2000	64.4%	66.4%	62.4%
Angola	2017	61.6%	62.7%	60.4%
Benin	2000	55.9%	57.1%	54.8%
Benin	2017	69.1%	70.2%	68.0%
Botswana	2000	97.0%	97.2%	96.7%
Botswana	2017	98.1%	98.3%	98.0%
Burkina Faso	2000	72.2%	73.9%	70.3%
Burkina Faso	2017	73.4%	74.9%	71.7%
Burundi	2000	80.4%	81.3%	79.6%
Burundi	2017	85.7%	86.5%	84.9%
Cameroon	2000	54.3%	55.9%	52.9%
Cameroon	2017	74.0%	75.3%	72.5%
Central African Republic	2000	64.7%	65.9%	63.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Country (continued)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	2017	56.8%	58.2%	55.4%
Chad	2000	47.4%	48.9%	45.8%
Chad	2017	54.2%	55.7%	52.7%
Comoros	2000	94.8%	97.0%	91.2%
Comoros	2017	94.9%	97.1%	91.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	2000	80.5%	81.8%	79.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	2017	77.9%	79.2%	76.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	2000	44.8%	46.0%	43.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	2017	37.9%	39.3%	36.6%
Eritrea	2000	70.1%	71.1%	69.3%
Eritrea	2017	70.2%	71.3%	69.3%
Ethiopia	2000	33.6%	34.5%	32.7%
Ethiopia	2017	70.5%	71.4%	69.6%
Gabon	2000	72.2%	74.9%	69.1%
Gabon	2017	86.5%	88.8%	84.4%
Gambia	2000	86.7%	91.6%	81.2%
Gambia	2017	90.0%	94.9%	83.2%
Ghana	2000	64.7%	65.5%	63.7%
Ghana	2017	88.1%	88.7%	87.6%
Guinea	2000	52.9%	55.5%	50.1%
Guinea	2017	80.1%	81.8%	78.1%
Guinea-Bissau	2000	64.3%	74.8%	54.3%
Guinea-Bissau	2017	55.4%	69.1%	44.1%
Kenya	2000	49.4%	49.9%	48.9%
Kenya	2017	58.7%	59.3%	58.1%
Lesotho	2000	69.2%	70.3%	68.0%
Lesotho	2017	82.4%	83.4%	81.3%
Liberia	2000	31.1%	34.0%	28.0%
Liberia	2017	89.1%	90.6%	87.3%
Madagascar	2000	36.1%	37.8%	34.1%
Madagascar	2017	48.7%	50.1%	47.2%
Malawi	2000	67.0%	67.6%	66.5%
Malawi	2017	88.0%	88.4%	87.6%
Mali	2000	41.6%	42.8%	40.2%
Mali	2017	69.5%	70.6%	68.3%
Mauritania	2000	74.4%	76.0%	72.7%
Mauritania	2017	82.3%	83.8%	80.7%
Mozambique	2000	46.8%	48.5%	45.0%
Mozambique	2017	55.8%	57.4%	54.2%
Namibia	2000	80.5%	81.8%	79.4%
Namibia	2017	83.4%	84.5%	82.3%
Niger	2000	45.3%	46.1%	44.6%
Niger	2017	75.3%	76.1%	74.7%
Nigeria	2000	45.4%	46.1%	44.6%
Nigeria	2017	69.1%	69.6%	68.6%
Republic of Congo	2000	39.4%	45.7%	34.9%
Republic of Congo	2017	74.5%	78.2%	70.5%
Rwanda	2000	65.6%	66.0%	65.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Country (*continued*)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Rwanda	2017	76.5%	76.9%	76.0%
Senegal	2000	59.7%	60.9%	58.8%
Senegal	2017	70.6%	71.7%	69.7%
Sierra Leone	2000	54.7%	56.7%	52.7%
Sierra Leone	2017	62.2%	64.3%	60.1%
Somalia	2000	39.7%	42.9%	36.5%
Somalia	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
South Africa	2000	83.2%	83.4%	83.0%
South Africa	2017	92.4%	92.5%	92.2%
South Sudan	2000	37.1%	38.9%	35.4%
South Sudan	2017	36.7%	37.5%	35.9%
Swaziland	2000	54.4%	56.5%	52.2%
Swaziland	2017	76.2%	78.3%	73.7%
Tanzania	2000	42.3%	43.4%	41.0%
Tanzania	2017	68.5%	69.7%	67.3%
Togo	2000	46.3%	50.4%	42.2%
Togo	2017	68.0%	73.7%	61.6%
Uganda	2000	61.8%	62.9%	61.0%
Uganda	2017	79.6%	80.6%	78.6%
Zambia	2000	41.6%	43.2%	40.2%
Zambia	2017	64.1%	65.5%	62.6%
Zimbabwe	2000	68.7%	70.3%	66.9%
Zimbabwe	2017	78.0%	79.3%	76.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central Europe, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia					
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Suu	2000	78.9%	89.0%	57.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Suu	2017	74.9%	86.1%	55.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Talaa	2000	55.2%	59.3%	51.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Talaa	2017	50.0%	55.7%	45.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Aksyi	2000	97.4%	98.5%	95.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Aksyi	2017	96.0%	97.8%	92.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Ala-Buka	2000	77.3%	85.4%	69.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Ala-Buka	2017	72.0%	81.2%	64.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Alai	2000	63.7%	82.1%	46.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Alai	2017	63.2%	78.3%	49.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Alamüdüń	2000	81.7%	81.9%	81.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Alamüdüń	2017	82.1%	82.5%	81.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Aravan	2000	84.0%	86.9%	80.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Aravan	2017	83.9%	87.9%	75.5%
Kyrgyzstan	At-Bashi	2000	49.6%	52.3%	46.3%
Kyrgyzstan	At-Bashi	2017	48.8%	51.3%	46.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Bakai-Ata	2000	74.9%	81.7%	67.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Bakai-Ata	2017	63.0%	70.4%	54.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Batken	2000	59.8%	73.5%	43.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Batken	2017	49.5%	65.3%	32.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Bazar-Korgon	2000	91.1%	94.1%	86.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Bazar-Korgon	2017	87.7%	91.5%	82.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Biškeek	2000	70.5%	70.5%	70.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Biškeek	2017	68.9%	69.1%	68.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Chatkal	2000	66.6%	85.7%	47.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Chatkal	2017	59.8%	79.9%	39.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Chong-Alay	2000	52.4%	99.4%	2.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Chong-Alay	2017	48.8%	98.9%	1.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Chui	2000	63.1%	64.4%	62.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Chui	2017	62.5%	63.0%	61.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Djety-Oguz	2000	69.7%	81.8%	60.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Djety-Oguz	2017	68.1%	79.1%	60.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Jaiyl	2000	79.2%	87.8%	71.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Jaiyl	2017	79.4%	86.0%	71.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Jungal	2000	50.4%	50.5%	50.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Jungal	2017	53.1%	53.1%	53.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kadamjai	2000	71.3%	78.9%	64.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Kadamjai	2017	64.2%	71.3%	57.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Buura	2000	87.9%	92.2%	82.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Buura	2017	82.8%	88.0%	77.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Kuldja	2000	73.4%	90.3%	59.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Kuldja	2017	68.5%	86.7%	53.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Suu	2000	88.5%	89.5%	87.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Suu	2017	84.2%	85.6%	82.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Kemin	2000	85.1%	90.1%	79.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Kemin	2017	82.2%	88.2%	76.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Kochkor	2000	75.7%	78.3%	73.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kochkor	2017	74.8%	77.8%	71.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Lailak	2000	79.5%	84.3%	73.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Lailak	2017	73.5%	79.2%	67.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Manas	2000	55.7%	63.8%	47.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Manas	2017	43.7%	54.6%	34.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Moskovsky	2000	80.4%	84.7%	75.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Moskovsky	2017	76.7%	81.8%	71.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Naryn	2000	70.8%	76.3%	66.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Naryn	2017	68.3%	71.7%	62.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Nookat	2000	75.8%	79.3%	72.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Nookat	2017	71.8%	75.7%	68.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Nooken	2000	87.7%	90.5%	83.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Nooken	2017	84.3%	88.5%	78.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Osh	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kyrgyzstan	Osh	2017	98.6%	99.4%	97.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Panfilov	2000	94.3%	95.4%	93.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Panfilov	2017	93.6%	94.8%	93.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Sokuluk	2000	92.0%	93.6%	89.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Sokuluk	2017	90.8%	92.8%	88.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Song-Kol	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Song-Kol	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Suzak	2000	86.5%	89.1%	83.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Suzak	2017	81.0%	84.5%	76.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Talas	2000	65.9%	71.5%	58.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Talas	2017	53.3%	59.8%	45.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Togus-Toro	2000	67.0%	76.1%	52.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Togus-Toro	2017	65.6%	76.5%	50.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Toktogul	2000	89.9%	94.8%	84.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Toktogul	2017	86.5%	92.0%	79.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Ton	2000	85.5%	89.0%	81.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Ton	2017	85.0%	88.2%	81.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Tüp	2000	92.2%	96.7%	82.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Tüp	2017	90.2%	95.8%	80.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Uzgen	2000	64.0%	69.0%	58.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Uzgen	2017	58.0%	63.4%	53.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Ata	2000	69.8%	71.3%	67.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Ata	2017	70.3%	73.0%	67.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl	2000	89.4%	93.4%	80.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl	2017	85.4%	90.5%	77.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl (lake)	2000	79.8%	82.1%	77.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl (lake)	2017	78.0%	81.5%	74.4%
Mongolia	Adaatsag	2000	6.7%	11.2%	3.2%
Mongolia	Adaatsag	2017	7.6%	14.5%	3.4%
Mongolia	Airag	2000	11.3%	15.9%	8.1%
Mongolia	Airag	2000	11.6%	17.7%	7.6%
Mongolia	Airag	2017	13.7%	21.0%	8.8%
Mongolia	Airag	2017	13.4%	20.0%	8.2%
Mongolia	Alag-Erdene	2000	14.0%	22.5%	8.3%
Mongolia	Alag-Erdene	2017	16.8%	28.9%	8.8%
Mongolia	Aldarkhaan	2000	10.9%	14.5%	7.9%
Mongolia	Aldarkhaan	2017	12.5%	16.1%	9.3%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	6.0%	8.2%	4.1%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	3.3%	5.8%	1.3%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	7.2%	11.0%	4.5%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	7.5%	10.9%	4.8%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	3.9%	8.7%	1.2%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	10.2%	18.9%	4.9%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2000	28.4%	35.7%	23.9%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2000	20.2%	39.4%	8.4%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2017	30.1%	63.5%	8.4%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2017	32.8%	41.7%	27.3%
Mongolia	Altanshiree	2000	30.4%	35.4%	25.5%
Mongolia	Altanshiree	2017	19.4%	27.9%	13.9%
Mongolia	Altantsögts	2000	6.2%	13.9%	2.2%
Mongolia	Altantsögts	2017	7.7%	16.7%	2.7%
Mongolia	Arbulag	2000	12.5%	19.0%	7.5%
Mongolia	Arbulag	2017	15.3%	24.5%	8.3%
Mongolia	Argalant	2000	20.3%	41.2%	6.3%
Mongolia	Argalant	2017	20.4%	41.7%	7.3%
Mongolia	Arkhusht	2000	18.7%	39.8%	6.3%
Mongolia	Arkhusht	2017	21.7%	43.2%	7.3%
Mongolia	Arvaikheer	2000	5.4%	7.5%	3.7%
Mongolia	Arvaikheer	2017	5.0%	6.8%	3.5%
Mongolia	Asgat	2000	9.4%	21.1%	2.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Asgat	2000	7.3%	11.9%	4.0%
Mongolia	Asgat	2017	10.9%	26.1%	3.4%
Mongolia	Asgat	2017	8.4%	15.5%	4.4%
Mongolia	Baatsagaan	2000	7.2%	11.6%	3.8%
Mongolia	Baatsagaan	2017	8.7%	15.0%	4.1%
Mongolia	Baruun	2000	6.4%	11.5%	2.9%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ulaan				
Mongolia	Baruun	2017	7.8%	16.7%	3.2%
Mongolia	Baruunbüren	2000	17.0%	28.0%	8.4%
Mongolia	Baruunbüren	2017	20.3%	33.5%	10.5%
Mongolia	Baruuntutuun	2000	13.1%	27.8%	5.9%
Mongolia	Baruuntutuun	2017	16.0%	35.8%	6.0%
Mongolia	Bat-Ölzii	2000	8.7%	16.1%	4.2%
Mongolia	Bat-Ölzii	2017	9.9%	20.4%	4.3%
Mongolia	Batnorov	2000	19.8%	26.7%	13.9%
Mongolia	Batnorov	2017	24.3%	32.8%	18.1%
Mongolia	Batshireet	2000	13.2%	19.6%	8.0%
Mongolia	Batshireet	2017	15.1%	23.6%	8.5%
Mongolia	Batsumber	2000	21.8%	41.0%	9.1%
Mongolia	Batsumber	2017	22.2%	36.3%	11.1%
Mongolia	Battsengel	2000	10.2%	20.8%	4.7%
Mongolia	Battsengel	2017	11.9%	26.4%	5.1%
Mongolia	Bayan	2000	16.2%	41.5%	4.1%
Mongolia	Bayan	2017	18.4%	53.8%	3.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Adarga	2000	12.6%	21.6%	6.5%
Mongolia	Bayan-Adarga	2017	13.5%	23.7%	6.6%
Mongolia	Bayan-Agt	2000	15.5%	24.7%	9.6%
Mongolia	Bayan-Agt	2017	16.8%	26.1%	10.3%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	7.2%	12.4%	3.8%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	2.3%	3.8%	1.2%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	29.0%	32.1%	26.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	33.3%	37.2%	29.8%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	2.9%	5.3%	1.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	7.8%	17.0%	2.9%
Mongolia	Bayan-Önjüül	2000	7.5%	12.0%	4.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Önjüül	2017	8.8%	16.2%	4.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	17.0%	26.8%	9.8%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	8.5%	14.8%	4.3%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	9.3%	13.6%	6.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	19.9%	35.8%	9.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	10.1%	18.6%	4.9%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	10.8%	16.6%	6.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2000	6.2%	12.1%	3.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2000	14.9%	21.1%	9.8%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2017	7.4%	17.1%	3.2%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2017	17.1%	27.1%	9.9%
Mongolia	Bayanbulag	2000	5.0%	9.8%	1.9%
Mongolia	Bayanbulag	2017	5.8%	15.0%	2.0%
Mongolia	Bayanchandmani	2000	11.1%	20.5%	5.7%
Mongolia	Bayanchandmani	2017	14.2%	23.4%	8.3%
Mongolia	Bayandalai	2000	7.0%	10.4%	4.0%
Mongolia	Bayandalai	2017	8.2%	15.4%	4.2%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2000	8.9%	13.6%	5.5%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2000	17.6%	32.1%	8.4%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2017	11.3%	18.2%	6.9%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2017	24.0%	41.7%	11.4%
Mongolia	Bayandun	2000	11.2%	16.3%	7.3%
Mongolia	Bayandun	2017	13.4%	21.3%	8.1%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2000	7.1%	12.3%	3.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Bayangol	2000	17.2%	35.4%	6.6%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2017	7.9%	17.4%	3.3%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2017	20.1%	37.8%	8.6%
Mongolia	Bayangovi	2000	4.6%	9.6%	2.1%
Mongolia	Bayangovi	2017	6.0%	15.8%	1.9%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2000	9.4%	16.1%	4.9%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2000	9.2%	16.8%	4.6%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2017	10.7%	20.4%	5.2%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2017	11.2%	21.8%	5.3%
Mongolia	Bayankhairkhan	2000	12.2%	19.9%	6.1%
Mongolia	Bayankhairkhan	2017	14.1%	22.9%	6.7%
Mongolia	Bayankhangai	2000	19.4%	45.1%	4.6%
Mongolia	Bayankhangai	2017	21.9%	47.0%	6.0%
Mongolia	Bayankhongor	2000	17.0%	18.7%	15.2%
Mongolia	Bayankhongor	2017	20.6%	22.5%	18.5%
Mongolia	Bayankhutag	2000	12.6%	17.7%	9.1%
Mongolia	Bayankhutag	2017	14.3%	18.8%	10.6%
Mongolia	Bayanlig	2000	5.4%	9.2%	3.1%
Mongolia	Bayanlig	2017	6.4%	14.3%	2.7%
Mongolia	Bayanmönkh	2000	7.7%	14.1%	3.3%
Mongolia	Bayanmönkh	2017	9.2%	17.3%	4.2%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2000	10.5%	22.5%	3.4%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2000	5.2%	9.0%	2.3%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2017	10.7%	31.5%	2.3%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2017	7.3%	14.2%	2.6%
Mongolia	Bayantal	2000	16.9%	40.0%	5.8%
Mongolia	Bayantal	2017	22.8%	52.4%	7.7%
Mongolia	Bayantes	2000	13.6%	19.3%	8.5%
Mongolia	Bayantes	2017	17.5%	30.2%	8.9%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2000	5.7%	9.1%	3.1%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2000	8.3%	14.6%	4.4%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2017	9.2%	15.8%	4.8%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2017	6.7%	11.8%	3.2%
Mongolia	Bayantsogt	2000	16.8%	30.9%	7.1%
Mongolia	Bayantsogt	2017	19.8%	35.9%	8.2%
Mongolia	Bayantümen	2000	38.2%	43.6%	33.9%
Mongolia	Bayantümen	2017	43.0%	53.2%	34.4%
Mongolia	Bayanzürkh	2000	7.4%	12.3%	3.8%
Mongolia	Bayanzürkh	2017	8.7%	18.2%	4.0%
Mongolia	Biger	2000	7.0%	11.5%	3.3%
Mongolia	Biger	2017	9.8%	17.5%	4.9%
Mongolia	Binder	2000	13.9%	19.3%	9.3%
Mongolia	Binder	2017	15.6%	21.3%	10.3%
Mongolia	Bogd	2000	5.6%	9.0%	3.4%
Mongolia	Bogd	2000	6.4%	10.8%	3.2%
Mongolia	Bogd	2017	6.5%	10.9%	3.7%
Mongolia	Bogd	2017	7.9%	13.8%	3.6%
Mongolia	Bökhmörön	2000	6.1%	11.8%	2.9%
Mongolia	Bökhmörön	2017	7.3%	13.4%	3.4%
Mongolia	Bömbögör	2000	6.4%	11.2%	2.9%
Mongolia	Bömbögör	2017	7.8%	14.2%	3.3%
Mongolia	Bornuur	2000	10.6%	29.1%	2.8%
Mongolia	Bornuur	2017	13.4%	29.8%	4.6%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	18.5%	34.5%	9.5%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	8.0%	11.8%	4.8%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	7.8%	11.0%	5.8%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	9.7%	14.6%	5.4%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	9.4%	13.5%	6.8%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	22.6%	35.1%	12.8%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	11.1%	21.7%	4.4%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	4.8%	9.1%	2.1%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	8.3%	15.4%	4.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	8.7%	14.0%	5.2%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	10.3%	15.8%	6.5%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	10.4%	23.8%	4.2%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	13.1%	21.6%	7.3%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	5.3%	10.0%	2.5%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	12.8%	27.1%	4.7%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	9.8%	16.8%	5.4%
Mongolia	Büрд	2000	13.1%	22.9%	6.7%
Mongolia	Büрд	2017	14.8%	30.5%	5.0%
Mongolia	Büрегkhangai	2000	17.5%	31.4%	8.5%
Mongolia	Büрегkhangai	2017	20.0%	34.5%	9.0%
Mongolia	Büren	2000	7.4%	13.7%	3.5%
Mongolia	Büren	2017	9.1%	19.7%	3.8%
Mongolia	Bürentogtokh	2000	15.9%	25.0%	8.9%
Mongolia	Bürentogtokh	2017	18.2%	31.0%	9.4%
Mongolia	Buutsagaan	2000	6.4%	11.4%	3.0%
Mongolia	Buutsagaan	2017	7.0%	13.9%	2.8%
Mongolia	Buyant	2000	21.8%	23.7%	19.5%
Mongolia	Buyant	2000	4.7%	12.2%	1.4%
Mongolia	Buyant	2017	5.9%	15.0%	1.7%
Mongolia	Buyant	2017	22.3%	25.0%	19.8%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2000	5.3%	8.7%	3.1%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2000	6.7%	10.8%	3.3%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2017	6.0%	10.7%	3.3%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2017	8.0%	14.1%	3.9%
Mongolia	Chandmani-Öндөр	2000	12.8%	20.5%	7.2%
Mongolia	Chandmani-Öндөр	2017	14.3%	21.8%	8.5%
Mongolia	Choibalsan	2000	16.4%	24.7%	7.9%
Mongolia	Choibalsan	2017	19.1%	29.9%	9.3%
Mongolia	Chuluunkhoroot	2000	18.3%	29.3%	11.3%
Mongolia	Chuluunkhoroot	2017	20.3%	35.9%	11.2%
Mongolia	Chuluut	2000	5.8%	10.7%	2.7%
Mongolia	Chuluut	2017	7.5%	15.8%	3.2%
Mongolia	Dadal	2000	14.0%	22.4%	8.0%
Mongolia	Dadal	2017	15.6%	23.0%	9.1%
Mongolia	Dalanjargalan	2000	11.2%	17.1%	6.7%
Mongolia	Dalanjargalan	2017	13.2%	21.9%	7.4%
Mongolia	Dalanzadgad	2000	56.6%	63.9%	49.5%
Mongolia	Dalanzadgad	2017	65.9%	74.2%	55.8%
Mongolia	Dariganga	2000	8.5%	13.5%	4.8%
Mongolia	Dariganga	2017	10.1%	20.4%	5.3%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2000	60.7%	62.5%	58.6%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2000	16.5%	23.8%	12.0%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2017	65.1%	67.1%	62.8%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2017	18.6%	29.8%	12.8%
Mongolia	Darvi	2000	6.7%	12.1%	3.0%
Mongolia	Darvi	2000	6.3%	10.3%	3.1%
Mongolia	Darvi	2017	9.7%	26.1%	3.0%
Mongolia	Darvi	2017	7.3%	13.1%	3.0%
Mongolia	Dashbalbar	2000	13.7%	19.5%	9.6%
Mongolia	Dashbalbar	2017	17.0%	26.4%	10.6%
Mongolia	Dashinchilen	2000	15.4%	29.5%	6.4%
Mongolia	Dashinchilen	2017	16.7%	38.1%	5.3%
Mongolia	Davst	2000	14.4%	22.8%	7.5%
Mongolia	Davst	2017	17.8%	28.4%	9.5%
Mongolia	Delger	2000	9.3%	16.0%	4.8%
Mongolia	Delger	2017	10.9%	18.3%	5.7%
Mongolia	Delgerekh	2000	9.8%	16.0%	5.3%
Mongolia	Delgerekh	2017	12.6%	22.2%	6.0%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2000	6.6%	12.6%	2.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2000	11.1%	26.7%	4.3%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2017	7.9%	17.6%	2.5%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2017	13.1%	36.1%	4.2%
Mongolia	Delgerkhangai	2000	9.5%	15.0%	5.8%
Mongolia	Delgerkhangai	2017	11.2%	19.7%	6.3%
Mongolia	Delgertsogt	2000	8.4%	16.0%	3.7%
Mongolia	Delgertsogt	2017	10.4%	22.0%	3.8%
Mongolia	Delüün	2000	4.1%	6.8%	2.2%
Mongolia	Delüün	2017	4.2%	6.9%	2.0%
Mongolia	Deren	2000	7.5%	13.5%	3.6%
Mongolia	Deren	2017	8.8%	18.0%	3.8%
Mongolia	Dörgön	2000	5.9%	10.7%	3.0%
Mongolia	Dörgön	2017	7.3%	15.2%	2.9%
Mongolia	Dörvöljin	2000	3.4%	6.0%	1.8%
Mongolia	Dörvöljin	2017	4.6%	12.3%	1.9%
Mongolia	Duut	2000	5.4%	13.0%	2.2%
Mongolia	Duut	2017	7.1%	19.6%	1.9%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	12.6%	19.9%	8.0%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	2.2%	3.6%	1.5%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	11.2%	22.2%	5.9%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	14.2%	22.0%	8.9%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	13.7%	22.9%	7.3%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	2.4%	3.8%	1.5%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2000	13.9%	19.7%	8.9%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2000	11.6%	15.6%	8.4%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2017	11.2%	16.8%	7.4%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2017	15.5%	24.2%	9.0%
Mongolia	Erdenebüren	2000	6.9%	12.3%	3.4%
Mongolia	Erdenebüren	2017	9.3%	17.8%	3.5%
Mongolia	Erdenedalai	2000	12.1%	16.8%	8.4%
Mongolia	Erdenedalai	2017	15.5%	21.8%	10.7%
Mongolia	Erdenehairkhan	2000	4.6%	8.0%	2.2%
Mongolia	Erdenehairkhan	2017	5.6%	9.6%	2.7%
Mongolia	Erdenemandal	2000	9.8%	16.4%	4.9%
Mongolia	Erdenemandal	2017	11.3%	21.4%	5.1%
Mongolia	Erdenesant	2000	15.4%	25.4%	9.1%
Mongolia	Erdenesant	2017	21.0%	38.7%	11.3%
Mongolia	Erdenetsagaan	2000	9.6%	13.7%	6.8%
Mongolia	Erdenetsagaan	2017	11.6%	17.4%	7.3%
Mongolia	Erdenetsogt	2000	7.3%	13.3%	3.7%
Mongolia	Erdenetsogt	2017	8.4%	15.2%	4.5%
Mongolia	Galshar	2000	7.6%	11.8%	4.3%
Mongolia	Galshar	2017	8.9%	13.9%	4.8%
Mongolia	Galt	2000	10.9%	22.7%	4.3%
Mongolia	Galt	2017	12.9%	29.4%	4.8%
Mongolia	Galuut	2000	6.7%	11.7%	3.5%
Mongolia	Galuut	2017	8.5%	15.1%	4.1%
Mongolia	Govi-Ugtaal	2000	7.0%	13.1%	3.4%
Mongolia	Govi-Ugtaal	2017	8.7%	16.7%	3.9%
Mongolia	Guchin-Us	2000	5.9%	11.7%	2.9%
Mongolia	Guchin-Us	2017	7.4%	17.0%	2.9%
Mongolia	Gurvan tes	2000	7.7%	11.3%	5.3%
Mongolia	Gurvan tes	2017	9.2%	15.7%	5.2%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2000	14.3%	24.8%	7.2%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2000	3.3%	6.5%	1.6%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2017	14.6%	25.3%	7.4%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2017	4.1%	10.7%	1.7%
Mongolia	Gurvansaikhan	2000	9.0%	14.2%	5.2%
Mongolia	Gurvansaikhan	2017	10.5%	16.4%	6.3%
Mongolia	Gurvanzagal	2000	13.0%	18.9%	7.4%
Mongolia	Gurvanzagal	2017	15.0%	22.1%	9.0%
Mongolia	Ider	2000	5.7%	10.0%	2.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Ider	2017	6.6%	12.1%	3.4%
Mongolia	Ikh-Tamir	2000	13.5%	19.4%	8.9%
Mongolia	Ikh-Tamir	2017	16.9%	24.8%	10.7%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2000	8.4%	15.4%	3.6%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2000	11.6%	27.6%	3.5%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2017	7.2%	14.8%	2.8%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2017	14.3%	39.8%	3.6%
Mongolia	Ikhkheth	2000	9.2%	13.9%	5.1%
Mongolia	Ikhkheth	2017	10.8%	18.1%	5.8%
Mongolia	Jargalan	2000	5.9%	11.1%	2.9%
Mongolia	Jargalan	2017	7.3%	14.7%	3.2%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	14.5%	16.4%	13.0%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	27.0%	46.3%	13.7%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	15.1%	27.6%	6.9%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	8.3%	15.5%	3.6%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	8.5%	21.7%	2.7%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	3.9%	6.6%	2.0%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	17.6%	31.3%	7.9%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	9.5%	19.6%	3.4%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	17.5%	19.5%	15.6%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	4.4%	9.0%	2.0%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	33.0%	51.1%	16.7%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	10.3%	25.3%	2.9%
Mongolia	Jargaltkhaan	2000	12.1%	24.0%	5.7%
Mongolia	Jargaltkhaan	2017	14.6%	35.4%	5.7%
Mongolia	Javkhlan	2000	20.3%	34.8%	10.4%
Mongolia	Javkhlan	2017	21.1%	39.2%	9.8%
Mongolia	Jinst	2000	6.8%	11.7%	3.5%
Mongolia	Jinst	2017	8.1%	16.3%	3.2%
Mongolia	Khairkhan	2000	10.6%	21.4%	4.6%
Mongolia	Khairkhan	2017	12.5%	28.9%	5.0%
Mongolia	Khairkhandulaan	2000	10.6%	17.9%	5.3%
Mongolia	Khairkhandulaan	2017	13.9%	28.8%	5.9%
Mongolia	Khaliun	2000	7.1%	11.4%	4.2%
Mongolia	Khaliun	2017	8.7%	14.5%	4.8%
Mongolia	Khalkhgol	2000	17.0%	38.8%	8.1%
Mongolia	Khalkhgol	2017	20.3%	46.6%	8.2%
Mongolia	Khalzan	2000	8.0%	13.8%	4.2%
Mongolia	Khalzan	2017	9.2%	18.2%	4.9%
Mongolia	Khan khongor	2000	20.1%	26.0%	15.1%
Mongolia	Khan khongor	2017	24.4%	32.5%	18.0%
Mongolia	Khanbogd	2000	11.6%	16.4%	8.1%
Mongolia	Khanbogd	2017	14.5%	23.9%	8.5%
Mongolia	Khangai	2000	5.4%	12.0%	2.1%
Mongolia	Khangai	2017	6.6%	14.2%	2.4%
Mongolia	Khangal	2000	10.9%	20.3%	4.3%
Mongolia	Khangal	2017	14.3%	26.4%	6.1%
Mongolia	Khankh	2000	14.7%	25.0%	7.2%
Mongolia	Khankh	2017	18.3%	36.3%	7.5%
Mongolia	Kharkhorin	2000	16.3%	23.4%	10.6%
Mongolia	Kharkhorin	2017	20.6%	32.5%	11.8%
Mongolia	Khashaat	2000	11.9%	20.8%	6.1%
Mongolia	Khashaat	2017	12.7%	22.1%	6.4%
Mongolia	Khatanbulag	2000	6.5%	8.7%	4.5%
Mongolia	Khatanbulag	2017	7.8%	11.4%	5.1%
Mongolia	Khatgal	2000	13.9%	31.6%	3.5%
Mongolia	Khatgal	2017	17.2%	40.0%	4.1%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2000	54.9%	58.0%	51.9%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2000	19.5%	24.9%	14.8%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2017	23.7%	31.1%	17.3%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2017	59.8%	64.4%	55.5%
Mongolia	Khishig-Öndör	2000	15.2%	28.3%	7.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Khishig-Öndör	2017	17.2%	34.7%	6.4%
Mongolia	Khökh morit	2000	4.2%	7.9%	2.0%
Mongolia	Khökh morit	2017	5.8%	12.1%	2.6%
Mongolia	Khölönbuir	2000	9.6%	15.3%	5.2%
Mongolia	Khölönbuir	2017	9.6%	16.6%	5.0%
Mongolia	Khongor	2000	31.5%	38.0%	27.0%
Mongolia	Khongor	2017	40.4%	46.6%	34.9%
Mongolia	Khotont	2000	12.4%	21.1%	6.3%
Mongolia	Khotont	2017	14.5%	28.4%	6.2%
Mongolia	Khovd	2000	62.8%	70.4%	55.1%
Mongolia	Khovd	2000	6.4%	13.6%	2.7%
Mongolia	Khovd	2017	8.1%	16.4%	3.4%
Mongolia	Khovd	2017	48.0%	55.4%	40.9%
Mongolia	Khövsgöl	2000	8.1%	13.3%	4.7%
Mongolia	Khövsgöl	2017	9.5%	18.0%	5.2%
Mongolia	Khüder	2000	14.8%	25.9%	7.6%
Mongolia	Khüder	2017	18.3%	32.2%	9.3%
Mongolia	Khujirt	2000	16.0%	40.2%	4.1%
Mongolia	Khujirt	2017	16.1%	34.4%	5.5%
Mongolia	Khuld	2000	9.7%	14.8%	5.7%
Mongolia	Khuld	2017	11.4%	19.0%	6.6%
Mongolia	Khüreemara	2000	5.5%	10.2%	2.9%
Mongolia	Khüreemara	2017	6.2%	14.2%	2.6%
Mongolia	Khürmen	2000	7.1%	10.5%	4.3%
Mongolia	Khürmen	2017	8.7%	13.8%	5.0%
Mongolia	Khushaat	2000	17.4%	29.1%	8.1%
Mongolia	Khushaat	2017	18.0%	32.3%	8.4%
Mongolia	Khutag-Öndör	2000	17.1%	27.9%	9.3%
Mongolia	Khutag-Öndör	2017	19.7%	35.5%	10.1%
Mongolia	Khyargas	2000	7.4%	13.3%	3.7%
Mongolia	Khyargas	2017	8.8%	15.7%	4.3%
Mongolia	Lün	2000	21.1%	46.7%	6.5%
Mongolia	Lün	2017	24.3%	55.8%	6.4%
Mongolia	Luus	2000	9.8%	16.7%	4.5%
Mongolia	Luus	2017	11.3%	21.7%	4.8%
Mongolia	Malchin	2000	7.0%	12.2%	3.6%
Mongolia	Malchin	2017	8.1%	14.5%	4.1%
Mongolia	Mandakh	2000	5.1%	8.2%	2.9%
Mongolia	Mandakh	2017	6.8%	13.2%	3.3%
Mongolia	Mandal	2000	15.5%	23.9%	9.1%
Mongolia	Mandal	2017	18.9%	27.8%	11.3%
Mongolia	Mandal-Ovoo	2000	9.0%	16.6%	5.0%
Mongolia	Mandal-Ovoo	2017	10.9%	29.1%	4.2%
Mongolia	Mankhan	2000	8.8%	15.5%	4.3%
Mongolia	Mankhan	2017	10.6%	18.7%	5.5%
Mongolia	Manlai	2000	6.9%	10.2%	4.4%
Mongolia	Manlai	2017	6.9%	13.2%	3.8%
Mongolia	Matad	2000	7.5%	10.1%	5.3%
Mongolia	Matad	2017	9.0%	15.6%	4.9%
Mongolia	Mogod	2000	15.6%	33.7%	6.3%
Mongolia	Mogod	2017	18.9%	51.9%	4.8%
Mongolia	Möngönmorit	2000	11.4%	17.5%	7.2%
Mongolia	Möngönmorit	2017	15.0%	25.4%	8.2%
Mongolia	Mönkhkhairkhan	2000	4.8%	11.0%	1.8%
Mongolia	Mönkhkhairkhan	2017	7.4%	25.1%	1.5%
Mongolia	Mörön	2000	14.3%	21.9%	8.2%
Mongolia	Mörön	2000	26.9%	33.3%	21.1%
Mongolia	Mörön	2017	29.6%	40.7%	22.1%
Mongolia	Mörön	2017	18.2%	29.1%	9.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Möst	2000	6.3%	15.3%	2.7%
Mongolia	Möst	2017	7.9%	19.4%	3.1%
Mongolia	Myangad	2000	7.4%	14.4%	3.3%
Mongolia	Myangad	2017	9.9%	19.5%	4.3%
Mongolia	Naran	2000	8.2%	13.3%	4.1%
Mongolia	Naran	2017	9.6%	16.3%	4.5%
Mongolia	Naranbulag	2000	6.5%	11.9%	3.1%
Mongolia	Naranbulag	2017	7.9%	17.9%	3.0%
Mongolia	Nariinteel	2000	10.0%	18.5%	4.0%
Mongolia	Nariinteel	2017	11.6%	24.2%	4.1%
Mongolia	Nogoonnuur	2000	6.9%	13.9%	2.9%
Mongolia	Nogoonnuur	2017	7.6%	14.7%	3.1%
Mongolia	Nomgon	2000	6.8%	9.8%	4.9%
Mongolia	Nomgon	2017	7.8%	11.4%	5.2%
Mongolia	Nömrög	2000	9.9%	19.2%	4.6%
Mongolia	Nömrög	2017	12.8%	29.0%	5.3%
Mongolia	Norovlin	2000	13.2%	26.9%	6.6%
Mongolia	Norovlin	2017	15.3%	36.5%	6.2%
Mongolia	Noyon	2000	8.4%	12.1%	5.1%
Mongolia	Noyon	2017	9.9%	15.5%	5.2%
Mongolia	Ögii nuur	2000	10.5%	23.1%	4.2%
Mongolia	Ögii nuur	2017	12.4%	33.6%	3.8%
Mongolia	Ölgii	2000	5.7%	12.9%	1.9%
Mongolia	Ölgii	2017	6.9%	16.2%	2.1%
Mongolia	Ölgii (city)	2000	4.4%	5.5%	3.5%
Mongolia	Ölgii (city)	2017	5.7%	7.0%	4.5%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	12.1%	22.0%	5.4%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	18.9%	26.8%	13.5%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	10.7%	20.0%	4.5%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	8.9%	12.4%	6.2%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	11.0%	19.1%	4.5%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	21.4%	30.4%	15.5%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	10.7%	15.6%	6.9%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	14.2%	25.9%	5.9%
Mongolia	Ömnödelger	2000	13.5%	19.0%	9.1%
Mongolia	Ömnödelger	2017	14.5%	24.6%	8.3%
Mongolia	Ömnögovi	2000	5.3%	9.6%	2.4%
Mongolia	Ömnögovi	2017	6.6%	14.6%	2.3%
Mongolia	Öndör-Ulaan	2000	8.6%	14.2%	4.2%
Mongolia	Öndör-Ulaan	2017	10.6%	18.4%	5.1%
Mongolia	Öndörkhagai	2000	8.6%	18.6%	4.0%
Mongolia	Öndörkhagai	2017	10.4%	22.3%	4.1%
Mongolia	Öndörshil	2000	8.6%	13.9%	4.5%
Mongolia	Öndörshil	2017	10.1%	19.8%	4.5%
Mongolia	Öndörshireet	2000	11.8%	21.1%	5.8%
Mongolia	Öndörshireet	2017	13.5%	27.0%	6.1%
Mongolia	Ongon	2000	8.0%	12.4%	5.1%
Mongolia	Ongon	2017	9.6%	16.5%	5.7%
Mongolia	Örgön	2000	41.1%	49.2%	32.4%
Mongolia	Örgön	2017	39.8%	46.5%	31.5%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	15.1%	20.1%	11.3%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	14.8%	33.1%	5.4%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	19.0%	35.1%	6.8%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	15.1%	28.5%	6.2%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	19.4%	25.0%	15.1%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	21.9%	41.8%	7.8%
Mongolia	Orkhontuul	2000	18.8%	34.3%	9.8%
Mongolia	Orkhontuul	2017	22.3%	37.2%	11.9%
Mongolia	Otgon	2000	4.2%	7.8%	2.2%
Mongolia	Otgon	2017	5.1%	12.1%	1.8%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2000	6.6%	18.8%	1.7%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2000	17 ³ 12.1%	20.6%	5.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Rashaant	2017	13.1%	23.6%	6.3%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2017	7.9%	22.4%	1.7%
Mongolia	Renchinlkhümbe	2000	11.1%	17.8%	6.2%
Mongolia	Renchinlkhümbe	2017	14.1%	24.4%	7.3%
Mongolia	Sagil	2000	8.5%	13.0%	4.8%
Mongolia	Sagil	2017	11.0%	21.2%	5.6%
Mongolia	Sagsai	2000	5.7%	11.2%	2.8%
Mongolia	Sagsai	2017	7.1%	14.7%	3.4%
Mongolia	Saikhan	2000	18.0%	32.8%	7.8%
Mongolia	Saikhan	2017	19.9%	37.3%	9.3%
Mongolia	Saikhan-Ovoo	2000	8.8%	15.2%	4.6%
Mongolia	Saikhan-Ovoo	2017	10.5%	18.4%	4.9%
Mongolia	Saikhandulaan	2000	8.8%	12.5%	5.9%
Mongolia	Saikhandulaan	2017	10.1%	14.4%	7.0%
Mongolia	Sainshand	2000	34.0%	43.4%	26.2%
Mongolia	Sainshand	2017	31.3%	40.1%	23.9%
Mongolia	Saintsagaan	2000	22.6%	30.7%	16.5%
Mongolia	Saintsagaan	2017	26.0%	37.5%	17.9%
Mongolia	Sant	2000	22.7%	40.8%	8.7%
Mongolia	Sant	2000	6.9%	12.9%	3.4%
Mongolia	Sant	2017	29.1%	57.4%	8.5%
Mongolia	Sant	2017	8.0%	15.6%	3.9%
Mongolia	Santmargats	2000	6.6%	14.3%	2.7%
Mongolia	Santmargats	2017	8.0%	18.9%	2.6%
Mongolia	Saykhan	2000	14.8%	19.5%	10.6%
Mongolia	Saykhan	2017	16.9%	21.9%	12.5%
Mongolia	Selenge	2000	33.6%	42.9%	26.1%
Mongolia	Selenge	2017	36.7%	47.7%	28.0%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2000	28.8%	46.1%	16.8%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2000	12.9%	19.2%	7.4%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2017	35.4%	53.1%	23.2%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2017	15.4%	25.5%	8.9%
Mongolia	Sevrei	2000	7.2%	11.0%	4.6%
Mongolia	Sevrei	2017	8.6%	13.1%	5.4%
Mongolia	Shaamar	2000	14.2%	24.0%	5.9%
Mongolia	Shaamar	2017	17.2%	28.8%	8.4%
Mongolia	Sharga	2000	8.6%	16.8%	3.8%
Mongolia	Sharga	2017	11.5%	24.2%	4.2%
Mongolia	Sharyngol	2000	14.0%	24.3%	7.6%
Mongolia	Sharyngol	2017	17.3%	30.0%	8.8%
Mongolia	Shilüüstei	2000	6.1%	13.1%	2.4%
Mongolia	Shilüüstei	2017	7.3%	14.8%	2.7%
Mongolia	Shine-Ider	2000	10.4%	29.8%	2.8%
Mongolia	Shine-Ider	2017	12.8%	35.7%	2.8%
Mongolia	Shinejinst	2000	4.0%	6.2%	2.4%
Mongolia	Shinejinst	2017	4.9%	7.8%	2.9%
Mongolia	Shiveegovi	2000	16.9%	31.6%	9.1%
Mongolia	Shiveegovi	2017	19.6%	41.4%	9.5%
Mongolia	Songino	2000	9.0%	16.0%	4.1%
Mongolia	Songino	2017	10.0%	19.9%	3.9%
Mongolia	Sükhbaatar	2000	25.4%	29.7%	21.7%
Mongolia	Sükhbaatar	2017	25.2%	30.9%	21.1%
Mongolia	Sümer	2000	16.2%	34.0%	5.0%
Mongolia	Sümer	2000	18.3%	23.3%	13.9%
Mongolia	Sümer	2017	18.2%	36.9%	6.1%
Mongolia	Sümer	2017	21.6%	29.1%	16.1%
Mongolia	Taishir	2000	8.1%	14.5%	3.9%
Mongolia	Taishir	2017	9.5%	17.2%	4.9%
Mongolia	Taragt	2000	11.5%	18.6%	6.0%
Mongolia	Taragt	2017	12.4%	20.0%	6.1%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2000	6.7%	11.9%	3.6%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2000	9.6%	17.9%	3.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Tarialan	2017	12.5%	24.2%	4.9%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2017	10.5%	17.2%	5.9%
Mongolia	Tariat	2000	6.4%	13.0%	2.8%
Mongolia	Tariat	2017	7.6%	14.3%	2.9%
Mongolia	Telmen	2000	8.9%	15.6%	4.4%
Mongolia	Telmen	2017	11.6%	23.6%	4.4%
Mongolia	Tes	2000	12.8%	27.4%	4.2%
Mongolia	Tes	2000	11.0%	17.3%	6.1%
Mongolia	Tes	2017	12.9%	20.7%	7.5%
Mongolia	Tes	2017	14.1%	43.2%	3.0%
Mongolia	Teshig	2000	18.7%	24.8%	12.4%
Mongolia	Teshig	2017	22.1%	31.6%	14.2%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2000	7.5%	15.5%	3.2%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2000	7.4%	14.5%	3.5%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2017	9.3%	18.8%	4.0%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2017	9.4%	20.7%	3.4%
Mongolia	Tolbo	2000	3.9%	8.1%	1.4%
Mongolia	Tolbo	2017	4.6%	9.8%	1.5%
Mongolia	Tömörbulag	2000	8.3%	16.1%	3.9%
Mongolia	Tömörbulag	2017	8.8%	15.5%	4.3%
Mongolia	Tonkhil	2000	5.4%	8.3%	3.4%
Mongolia	Tonkhil	2017	6.5%	12.1%	3.6%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2000	13.0%	22.0%	5.1%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2000	6.5%	17.3%	2.6%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2017	7.5%	19.9%	3.1%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2017	16.7%	33.9%	4.7%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Ovoo	2000	11.3%	16.0%	7.4%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Ovoo	2017	12.0%	17.2%	7.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Uul	2000	11.6%	17.9%	6.4%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Uul	2017	14.5%	24.7%	8.3%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Üür	2000	13.1%	19.4%	8.7%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Üür	2017	16.6%	31.5%	8.4%
Mongolia	Tsagaanchuluut	2000	6.8%	14.4%	2.6%
Mongolia	Tsagaanchuluut	2017	8.4%	18.5%	3.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaandelger	2000	7.7%	13.2%	3.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaandelger	2017	9.6%	16.9%	4.7%
Mongolia	Tsagaanhairhan	2000	8.1%	15.1%	3.8%
Mongolia	Tsagaanhairhan	2017	10.0%	19.7%	4.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaankhairkhan	2000	7.4%	19.9%	1.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaankhairkhan	2017	8.8%	25.4%	2.0%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	18.9%	48.3%	5.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	5.7%	16.6%	1.5%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	5.5%	8.7%	3.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	6.5%	10.4%	3.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	6.9%	20.7%	1.4%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	21.4%	48.6%	7.9%
Mongolia	Tsakhir	2000	4.2%	7.7%	2.0%
Mongolia	Tsakhir	2017	4.8%	8.5%	2.3%
Mongolia	Tseel	2000	13.2%	25.3%	5.7%
Mongolia	Tseel	2000	4.5%	7.7%	2.5%
Mongolia	Tseel	2017	5.5%	10.2%	2.8%
Mongolia	Tseel	2017	15.6%	31.9%	5.5%
Mongolia	Tsengel	2000	6.1%	13.4%	2.3%
Mongolia	Tsengel	2017	7.2%	15.0%	3.0%
Mongolia	Tsenkher	2000	11.4%	21.4%	4.4%
Mongolia	Tsenkher	2017	15.2%	29.8%	5.6%
Mongolia	Tsenkhermandal	2000	15.6%	27.7%	8.8%
Mongolia	Tsenkhermandal	2017	14.9%	29.5%	7.3%
Mongolia	Tsetseg	2000	5.9%	11.1%	2.7%
Mongolia	Tsetseg	2017	8.6%	22.9%	2.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Tsetsen-Uul	2000	6.8%	13.5%	2.7%
Mongolia	Tsetsen-Uul	2017	7.5%	14.5%	3.0%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2000	8.7%	14.5%	4.9%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2000	12.4%	21.2%	6.2%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2017	9.8%	16.8%	5.3%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2017	11.1%	17.9%	6.1%
Mongolia	Tsogt	2000	3.5%	5.9%	2.1%
Mongolia	Tsogt	2017	5.0%	10.2%	2.4%
Mongolia	Tsogt-Ovoo	2000	8.7%	13.1%	5.0%
Mongolia	Tsogt-Ovoo	2017	11.7%	21.8%	5.1%
Mongolia	Tsogttsetsii	2000	8.8%	12.7%	5.5%
Mongolia	Tsogttsetsii	2017	10.8%	18.2%	6.1%
Mongolia	Tüdevtei	2000	13.6%	27.6%	6.0%
Mongolia	Tüdevtei	2017	16.4%	29.6%	6.9%
Mongolia	Tümentsogt	2000	20.2%	31.0%	12.3%
Mongolia	Tümentsogt	2017	25.9%	40.5%	14.2%
Mongolia	Tünel	2000	22.9%	32.3%	15.7%
Mongolia	Tünel	2017	22.8%	33.6%	14.7%
Mongolia	Türgen	2000	5.8%	11.8%	2.3%
Mongolia	Türgen	2017	6.0%	12.9%	2.5%
Mongolia	Tüshig	2000	20.1%	58.5%	6.1%
Mongolia	Tüshig	2017	22.6%	59.9%	7.1%
Mongolia	Tüvshinshiree	2000	8.5%	14.2%	4.6%
Mongolia	Tüvshinshiree	2017	9.8%	17.3%	4.7%
Mongolia	Tüvshrüülekh	2000	10.2%	20.3%	3.9%
Mongolia	Tüvshrüülekh	2017	10.6%	22.4%	3.9%
Mongolia	Ugtaal	2000	13.6%	25.7%	5.6%
Mongolia	Ugtaal	2017	15.0%	28.5%	6.4%
Mongolia	Ulaan-Uul	2000	7.7%	13.2%	4.1%
Mongolia	Ulaan-Uul	2017	9.3%	17.0%	4.7%
Mongolia	Ulaanbadrakh	2000	11.4%	27.0%	5.0%
Mongolia	Ulaanbadrakh	2017	13.5%	29.5%	5.9%
Mongolia	Ulaangom	2000	10.3%	14.3%	7.7%
Mongolia	Ulaangom	2017	12.0%	20.1%	7.7%
Mongolia	Ulaankhus	2000	6.8%	14.5%	3.0%
Mongolia	Ulaankhus	2017	8.2%	15.7%	3.6%
Mongolia	Ulan Bator	2000	43.7%	45.8%	41.9%
Mongolia	Ulan Bator	2017	46.9%	49.3%	44.4%
Mongolia	Urgamal	2000	3.7%	7.6%	1.5%
Mongolia	Urgamal	2017	4.5%	9.7%	1.5%
Mongolia	Uulbayan	2000	7.9%	12.0%	4.9%
Mongolia	Uulbayan	2017	8.7%	12.4%	5.6%
Mongolia	Uyanga	2000	7.6%	14.7%	3.4%
Mongolia	Uyanga	2017	8.1%	15.0%	3.7%
Mongolia	Üyench	2000	7.6%	11.2%	4.7%
Mongolia	Üyench	2017	10.1%	15.7%	5.3%
Mongolia	Yaruu	2000	6.5%	11.4%	3.2%
Mongolia	Yaruu	2017	8.3%	18.9%	3.2%
Mongolia	Yeröö	2000	14.1%	21.1%	8.0%
Mongolia	Yeröö	2017	19.7%	33.0%	9.5%
Mongolia	Yesönbulag	2000	22.7%	26.9%	19.3%
Mongolia	Yesönbulag	2017	25.1%	29.5%	21.0%
Mongolia	Yesönzüil	2000	10.0%	18.3%	4.3%
Mongolia	Yesönzüil	2017	10.6%	21.5%	4.2%
Mongolia	Zaamar	2000	16.4%	28.5%	7.4%
Mongolia	Zaamar	2017	17.0%	30.8%	7.8%
Mongolia	Zag	2000	4.1%	8.1%	1.7%
Mongolia	Zag	2017	5.3%	13.3%	1.6%
Mongolia	Zamyn-Üüd	2000	15.7%	25.8%	8.2%
Mongolia	Zamyn-Üüd	2017	20.0%	34.0%	9.9%
Mongolia	Zavkhan	2000	3.6%	5.9%	2.0%
Mongolia	Zavkhan	2017	4.3%	7.3%	2.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Zavkhanmandal	2000	3.7%	7.9%	1.4%
Mongolia	Zavkhanmandal	2017	4.4%	10.8%	1.2%
Mongolia	Zereg	2000	7.4%	18.0%	2.0%
Mongolia	Zereg	2017	9.0%	21.0%	2.5%
Mongolia	Züünbayan-Ulaan	2000	10.9%	18.9%	5.4%
Mongolia	Züünbayan-Ulaan	2017	12.3%	23.0%	5.9%
Mongolia	Züünbüren	2000	23.1%	41.0%	11.5%
Mongolia	Züünbüren	2017	24.8%	39.7%	13.9%
Mongolia	Züüngovi	2000	10.3%	17.2%	5.2%
Mongolia	Züüngovi	2017	10.8%	22.4%	4.9%
Mongolia	Züünkhantai	2000	9.8%	18.6%	4.7%
Mongolia	Züünkhantai	2017	9.9%	26.0%	3.7%
Tajikistan	Asht	2000	58.6%	69.9%	41.0%
Tajikistan	Asht	2017	71.7%	83.0%	57.1%
Tajikistan	Ayni	2000	40.7%	60.9%	20.7%
Tajikistan	Ayni	2017	56.9%	73.5%	38.5%
Tajikistan	Baljuvon	2000	37.9%	82.0%	5.1%
Tajikistan	Baljuvon	2017	50.1%	89.6%	11.0%
Tajikistan	Bokhtar	2000	35.5%	39.5%	31.4%
Tajikistan	Bokhtar	2017	75.1%	77.5%	71.6%
Tajikistan	Danghara	2000	60.6%	78.7%	36.6%
Tajikistan	Danghara	2017	72.6%	87.7%	51.0%
Tajikistan	Darvoz	2000	50.6%	67.7%	30.6%
Tajikistan	Darvoz	2017	64.1%	79.9%	44.4%
Tajikistan	Farkhor	2000	35.7%	44.1%	27.2%
Tajikistan	Farkhor	2017	64.7%	73.2%	53.9%
Tajikistan	Fayzobod	2000	37.8%	47.8%	29.5%
Tajikistan	Fayzobod	2017	61.7%	72.2%	51.6%
Tajikistan	Ghafurov	2000	60.5%	62.8%	57.2%
Tajikistan	Ghafurov	2017	80.7%	82.5%	77.8%
Tajikistan	Ghonchi	2000	54.7%	71.2%	40.7%
Tajikistan	Ghonchi	2017	75.7%	89.4%	61.5%
Tajikistan	Hissor	2000	25.6%	27.6%	24.0%
Tajikistan	Hissor	2017	62.1%	64.3%	60.0%
Tajikistan	Isfara	2000	27.4%	34.3%	23.3%
Tajikistan	Isfara	2017	52.8%	61.7%	46.0%
Tajikistan	Ishkoshim	2000	31.8%	47.7%	16.1%
Tajikistan	Ishkoshim	2017	46.6%	65.8%	28.5%
Tajikistan	Istaravshan	2000	33.3%	43.2%	24.4%
Tajikistan	Istaravshan	2017	60.9%	71.5%	46.4%
Tajikistan	Jabor Rasulov	2000	49.0%	55.1%	42.0%
Tajikistan	Jabor Rasulov	2017	78.8%	83.3%	71.9%
Tajikistan	Jilikul	2000	17.9%	34.2%	10.9%
Tajikistan	Jilikul	2017	42.9%	61.2%	33.5%
Tajikistan	Jirgatal	2000	42.6%	59.0%	27.2%
Tajikistan	Jirgatal	2017	60.9%	76.3%	41.8%
Tajikistan	Jomi	2000	20.3%	23.9%	17.3%
Tajikistan	Jomi	2017	47.0%	51.3%	41.4%
Tajikistan	Khovaling	2000	54.6%	80.5%	24.4%
Tajikistan	Khovaling	2017	70.2%	89.2%	44.1%
Tajikistan	Khuroson	2000	22.2%	33.8%	11.8%
Tajikistan	Khuroson	2017	36.4%	48.8%	21.1%
Tajikistan	Kolkhozobod	2000	30.3%	44.8%	15.9%
Tajikistan	Kolkhozobod	2017	60.6%	71.1%	44.9%
Tajikistan	Konibodom	2000	28.2%	32.0%	25.3%
Tajikistan	Konibodom	2017	45.8%	53.3%	40.9%
Tajikistan	Kuhistoni Mastchoh	2000	49.8%	77.4%	22.3%
Tajikistan	Kuhistoni Mastchoh	2017	64.1%	89.2%	34.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tajikistan	Kulob	2000	75.6%	77.7%	72.9%
Tajikistan	Kulob	2017	92.2%	93.0%	91.3%
Tajikistan	Matchin	2000	68.0%	84.2%	49.0%
Tajikistan	Matchin	2017	83.3%	94.8%	65.9%
Tajikistan	Moskva	2000	41.0%	50.5%	34.8%
Tajikistan	Moskva	2017	68.4%	78.9%	60.5%
Tajikistan	Muminobod	2000	61.9%	69.3%	49.4%
Tajikistan	Muminobod	2017	74.9%	79.8%	65.8%
Tajikistan	Murghob	2000	33.8%	46.3%	22.5%
Tajikistan	Murghob	2017	45.1%	58.1%	32.5%
Tajikistan	Norak	2000	59.6%	70.9%	45.6%
Tajikistan	Norak	2017	67.5%	74.5%	56.9%
Tajikistan	Nosir Khusrav	2000	35.8%	74.8%	3.0%
Tajikistan	Nosir Khusrav	2017	51.6%	82.2%	12.3%
Tajikistan	Nurobod	2000	37.4%	45.5%	24.7%
Tajikistan	Nurobod	2017	54.6%	65.5%	43.0%
Tajikistan	Pandjakent	2000	66.0%	74.2%	56.9%
Tajikistan	Pandjakent	2017	77.1%	83.0%	69.9%
Tajikistan	Panj	2000	53.5%	63.8%	44.4%
Tajikistan	Panj	2017	71.8%	80.9%	62.4%
Tajikistan	Qabodiyon	2000	40.7%	52.7%	29.9%
Tajikistan	Qabodiyon	2017	59.4%	71.0%	46.1%
Tajikistan	Qumsangir	2000	40.8%	53.4%	28.3%
Tajikistan	Qumsangir	2017	69.3%	80.5%	52.8%
Tajikistan	Rasht	2000	53.6%	68.0%	42.3%
Tajikistan	Rasht	2017	64.1%	79.5%	51.7%
Tajikistan	Roghun	2000	54.8%	77.4%	36.0%
Tajikistan	Roghun	2017	65.5%	81.6%	51.6%
Tajikistan	Roshtqala	2000	38.1%	47.8%	28.8%
Tajikistan	Roshtqala	2017	57.1%	66.6%	47.3%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2000	82.6%	83.3%	81.9%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2000	36.4%	38.2%	34.7%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2017	96.6%	96.7%	96.4%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2017	68.6%	71.0%	66.0%
Tajikistan	Rushon	2000	42.7%	57.6%	30.4%
Tajikistan	Rushon	2017	63.7%	76.1%	50.1%
Tajikistan	Sarband	2000	40.2%	58.6%	16.6%
Tajikistan	Sarband	2017	76.4%	90.2%	49.1%
Tajikistan	Shahrinav	2000	37.6%	40.8%	33.6%
Tajikistan	Shahrinav	2017	56.1%	59.6%	50.4%
Tajikistan	Shahriston	2000	58.1%	80.7%	27.3%
Tajikistan	Shahriston	2017	72.5%	89.1%	48.1%
Tajikistan	Shahrituz	2000	25.7%	35.1%	16.9%
Tajikistan	Shahrituz	2017	50.9%	60.8%	39.1%
Tajikistan	Shughnon	2000	62.2%	66.4%	58.5%
Tajikistan	Shughnon	2017	84.9%	87.7%	81.9%
Tajikistan	Shurobod	2000	47.4%	64.9%	28.1%
Tajikistan	Shurobod	2017	65.3%	77.5%	50.0%
Tajikistan	Sovet	2000	60.5%	69.1%	42.8%
Tajikistan	Sovet	2017	65.0%	72.6%	53.0%
Tajikistan	Spitamen	2000	30.7%	44.0%	17.2%
Tajikistan	Spitamen	2017	64.9%	76.8%	45.4%
Tajikistan	Tavildara	2000	38.7%	59.6%	18.2%
Tajikistan	Tavildara	2017	48.7%	72.3%	25.7%
Tajikistan	Tojikobod	2000	45.5%	72.0%	18.7%
Tajikistan	Tojikobod	2017	68.7%	89.8%	35.4%
Tajikistan	Tursunzoda	2000	57.6%	61.3%	52.6%
Tajikistan	Tursunzoda	2017	79.1%	81.6%	73.6%
Tajikistan	Vahdat	2000	34.2%	42.6%	25.4%
Tajikistan	Vahdat	2017	69.2%	76.9%	59.2%
Tajikistan	Vakhsh	2000	26.7%	34.2%	19.3%
Tajikistan	Vakhsh	2017	62.3%	69.4%	51.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tajikistan	Vanj	2000	34.9%	51.3%	22.2%
Tajikistan	Vanj	2017	61.5%	75.6%	47.6%
Tajikistan	Varzob	2000	49.2%	55.4%	43.4%
Tajikistan	Varzob	2017	77.3%	83.5%	71.7%
Tajikistan	Vose	2000	66.7%	72.8%	60.4%
Tajikistan	Vose	2017	88.5%	92.6%	83.3%
Tajikistan	Yovon	2000	55.9%	60.1%	51.8%
Tajikistan	Yovon	2017	73.0%	76.3%	68.6%
Tajikistan	Zafarobod	2000	37.4%	62.5%	19.0%
Tajikistan	Zafarobod	2017	64.4%	85.3%	43.4%
Turkmenistan	Ahal	2000	56.2%	60.0%	52.6%
Turkmenistan	Ahal	2017	65.2%	68.8%	61.4%
Turkmenistan	Aşgabat	2000	73.1%	76.7%	68.8%
Turkmenistan	Aşgabat	2017	79.8%	83.1%	75.8%
Turkmenistan	Balkan	2000	53.1%	57.4%	48.5%
Turkmenistan	Balkan	2017	61.6%	65.5%	57.6%
Turkmenistan	Chardzhou	2000	52.6%	57.6%	47.2%
Turkmenistan	Chardzhou	2017	60.7%	64.9%	56.0%
Turkmenistan	Mary	2000	39.3%	44.3%	34.6%
Turkmenistan	Mary	2017	48.3%	53.3%	43.7%
Turkmenistan	Tashauz	2000	31.5%	37.9%	25.9%
Turkmenistan	Tashauz	2017	39.8%	46.1%	33.4%
Uzbekistan	Amudaryo	2000	69.0%	78.0%	59.5%
Uzbekistan	Amudaryo	2017	72.8%	79.8%	65.3%
Uzbekistan	Andijon	2000	69.4%	79.6%	58.5%
Uzbekistan	Andijon	2017	73.2%	82.9%	62.6%
Uzbekistan	Angor	2000	75.8%	85.2%	64.9%
Uzbekistan	Angor	2017	79.1%	87.6%	69.1%
Uzbekistan	Aral Sea	2000	70.3%	74.1%	66.6%
Uzbekistan	Aral Sea	2017	74.2%	77.5%	70.6%
Uzbekistan	Arnasoy	2000	68.6%	78.6%	56.8%
Uzbekistan	Arnasoy	2017	72.7%	81.6%	62.9%
Uzbekistan	Asaka	2000	66.0%	78.9%	50.7%
Uzbekistan	Asaka	2017	69.9%	81.6%	55.3%
Uzbekistan	Baliqchi	2000	69.5%	79.1%	58.9%
Uzbekistan	Baliqchi	2017	73.7%	82.3%	63.7%
Uzbekistan	Bandixon	2000	72.3%	80.5%	62.5%
Uzbekistan	Bandixon	2017	76.5%	83.9%	67.0%
Uzbekistan	Baxmal	2000	70.8%	78.0%	63.2%
Uzbekistan	Baxmal	2017	74.8%	81.1%	68.4%
Uzbekistan	Bekobod	2000	67.9%	77.8%	56.0%
Uzbekistan	Bekobod	2017	71.9%	80.9%	60.5%
Uzbekistan	Beruniy	2000	67.5%	75.4%	59.6%
Uzbekistan	Beruniy	2017	72.0%	77.3%	66.0%
Uzbekistan	Beshariq	2000	71.6%	79.5%	62.5%
Uzbekistan	Beshariq	2017	75.7%	83.0%	67.5%
Uzbekistan	Bo'ka	2000	71.5%	79.4%	62.4%
Uzbekistan	Bo'ka	2017	75.1%	82.3%	66.6%
Uzbekistan	Bo'stonliq	2000	72.5%	80.5%	63.7%
Uzbekistan	Bo'stonliq	2017	76.2%	82.3%	69.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Bo'zsuv	2000	67.8%	81.6%	52.0%
Uzbekistan	Bo'zsuv	2017	71.8%	84.3%	56.9%
Uzbekistan	Bog'dod	2000	66.5%	78.3%	55.6%
Uzbekistan	Bog'dod	2017	70.1%	80.9%	59.6%
Uzbekistan	Bog'ot	2000	71.3%	81.1%	59.0%
Uzbekistan	Bog'ot	2017	74.0%	82.6%	63.1%
Uzbekistan	Boyovut	2000	70.0%	77.1%	60.7%
Uzbekistan	Boyovut	2017	74.3%	80.5%	66.5%
Uzbekistan	Boysun	2000	71.4%	76.2%	66.0%
Uzbekistan	Boysun	2017	75.7%	80.0%	71.2%
Uzbekistan	Buloqboshi	2000	69.3%	81.6%	55.6%
Uzbekistan	Buloqboshi	2017	73.3%	84.3%	60.9%
Uzbekistan	Bulung'ur	2000	71.9%	80.0%	63.4%
Uzbekistan	Bulung'ur	2017	75.8%	82.9%	68.1%
Uzbekistan	Buvayda	2000	66.8%	81.0%	52.1%
Uzbekistan	Buvayda	2017	70.8%	84.0%	57.3%
Uzbekistan	Buxoro	2000	68.8%	78.1%	58.6%
Uzbekistan	Buxoro	2017	72.1%	80.5%	62.0%
Uzbekistan	Chimboy	2000	69.3%	82.3%	52.6%
Uzbekistan	Chimboy	2017	73.4%	81.7%	62.8%
Uzbekistan	Chinoz	2000	65.9%	75.8%	54.2%
Uzbekistan	Chinoz	2017	70.0%	79.3%	59.0%
Uzbekistan	Chiroqchi	2000	73.0%	79.2%	66.3%
Uzbekistan	Chiroqchi	2017	76.3%	82.3%	69.7%
Uzbekistan	Chortoq	2000	75.1%	83.7%	64.3%
Uzbekistan	Chortoq	2017	78.1%	85.9%	69.1%
Uzbekistan	Chust	2000	70.9%	78.9%	61.0%
Uzbekistan	Chust	2017	74.4%	81.6%	65.5%
Uzbekistan	Dang'ara	2000	66.8%	78.4%	54.5%
Uzbekistan	Dang'ara	2017	70.9%	81.6%	58.9%
Uzbekistan	Dehqonobod	2000	73.6%	80.6%	66.2%
Uzbekistan	Dehqonobod	2017	76.9%	83.6%	69.5%
Uzbekistan	Denov	2000	67.3%	79.6%	53.8%
Uzbekistan	Denov	2017	71.4%	82.2%	58.8%
Uzbekistan	Do'stlik	2000	70.3%	81.0%	56.4%
Uzbekistan	Do'stlik	2017	74.0%	84.4%	59.4%
Uzbekistan	Ellikqala	2000	68.5%	77.8%	58.3%
Uzbekistan	Ellikqala	2017	71.6%	78.0%	64.9%
Uzbekistan	Farg'ona	2000	73.3%	80.1%	65.3%
Uzbekistan	Farg'ona	2017	76.8%	83.1%	69.0%
Uzbekistan	Forish	2000	71.1%	74.5%	67.0%
Uzbekistan	Forish	2017	75.0%	78.2%	71.2%
Uzbekistan	Furqat	2000	65.1%	76.3%	50.7%
Uzbekistan	Furqat	2017	69.2%	79.4%	55.9%
Uzbekistan	G'allaorol	2000	70.1%	77.5%	61.4%
Uzbekistan	G'allaorol	2017	73.5%	80.4%	66.6%
Uzbekistan	G'ijduvon	2000	73.6%	78.9%	66.1%
Uzbekistan	G'ijduvon	2017	77.2%	81.2%	70.2%
Uzbekistan	G'uzor	2000	73.7%	80.8%	65.2%
Uzbekistan	G'uzor	2017	77.4%	83.8%	70.3%
Uzbekistan	Guliston	2000	68.7%	78.7%	54.5%
Uzbekistan	Guliston	2017	72.6%	81.6%	59.2%
Uzbekistan	Gurlan	2000	67.4%	76.3%	57.2%
Uzbekistan	Gurlan	2017	71.4%	79.2%	61.7%
Uzbekistan	Hazorasp	2000	72.5%	79.4%	63.4%
Uzbekistan	Hazorasp	2017	76.3%	81.6%	69.0%
Uzbekistan	Ishtixon	2000	68.9%	76.7%	61.4%
Uzbekistan	Ishtixon	2017	73.2%	80.0%	66.3%
Uzbekistan	Izboskan	2000	70.2%	80.2%	57.6%
Uzbekistan	Izboskan	2017	74.0%	82.9%	62.7%
Uzbekistan	Jalolquduq	2000	74.0%	83.0%	63.6%
Uzbekistan	Jalolquduq	2017	77.5%	85.7%	67.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Jarqo'rg'on	2000	77.2%	85.4%	68.7%
Uzbekistan	Jarqo'rg'on	2017	80.3%	87.2%	72.8%
Uzbekistan	Jizzax	2000	62.3%	72.9%	50.2%
Uzbekistan	Jizzax	2017	66.5%	76.4%	54.1%
Uzbekistan	Jomboy	2000	68.6%	78.4%	59.3%
Uzbekistan	Jomboy	2017	71.6%	80.0%	62.8%
Uzbekistan	Jondor	2000	72.6%	80.4%	64.2%
Uzbekistan	Jondor	2017	75.7%	81.3%	68.8%
Uzbekistan	Karmana	2000	70.2%	78.3%	60.3%
Uzbekistan	Karmana	2017	73.5%	81.7%	63.8%
Uzbekistan	Kasbi	2000	71.7%	79.5%	61.6%
Uzbekistan	Kasbi	2017	75.1%	82.5%	65.6%
Uzbekistan	Kattaqo'rg'on	2000	70.0%	78.1%	61.5%
Uzbekistan	Kattaqo'rg'on	2017	74.1%	81.1%	65.8%
Uzbekistan	Kegeyli	2000	70.7%	78.2%	60.2%
Uzbekistan	Kegeyli	2017	74.3%	79.1%	67.9%
Uzbekistan	Kitob	2000	73.3%	81.5%	62.5%
Uzbekistan	Kitob	2017	76.8%	84.6%	67.4%
Uzbekistan	Kogon	2000	71.5%	82.3%	57.5%
Uzbekistan	Kogon	2017	75.0%	85.3%	60.7%
Uzbekistan	Konimex	2000	74.7%	80.4%	67.7%
Uzbekistan	Konimex	2017	77.8%	82.0%	73.1%
Uzbekistan	Koson	2000	71.3%	80.7%	59.0%
Uzbekistan	Koson	2017	75.1%	83.0%	65.0%
Uzbekistan	Kosonsoy	2000	66.8%	77.3%	53.4%
Uzbekistan	Kosonsoy	2017	70.8%	80.6%	57.6%
Uzbekistan	Marhamat	2000	72.8%	83.6%	60.4%
Uzbekistan	Marhamat	2017	76.4%	86.2%	64.6%
Uzbekistan	Mingbuloq	2000	64.1%	73.0%	53.6%
Uzbekistan	Mingbuloq	2017	68.6%	76.6%	58.6%
Uzbekistan	Mirzacho'l	2000	69.6%	80.9%	55.9%
Uzbekistan	Mirzacho'l	2017	73.5%	82.8%	62.2%
Uzbekistan	Mirzaobod	2000	69.9%	79.7%	57.5%
Uzbekistan	Mirzaobod	2017	73.5%	82.6%	60.6%
Uzbekistan	Mo'ynoq	2000	70.4%	73.1%	67.9%
Uzbekistan	Mo'ynoq	2017	74.2%	76.6%	71.8%
Uzbekistan	Muborak	2000	75.5%	81.3%	69.1%
Uzbekistan	Muborak	2017	78.7%	84.2%	72.0%
Uzbekistan	Muzrabot	2000	76.7%	85.9%	64.8%
Uzbekistan	Muzrabot	2017	79.9%	87.7%	69.8%
Uzbekistan	Namangan	2000	62.5%	76.1%	44.1%
Uzbekistan	Namangan	2017	66.5%	80.1%	48.1%
Uzbekistan	Narpay	2000	74.4%	82.4%	64.0%
Uzbekistan	Narpay	2017	78.1%	85.1%	69.1%
Uzbekistan	Navbahor	2000	69.8%	80.4%	57.1%
Uzbekistan	Navbahor	2017	73.3%	83.6%	61.5%
Uzbekistan	Nishon	2000	72.8%	78.5%	66.7%
Uzbekistan	Nishon	2017	76.1%	81.4%	70.0%
Uzbekistan	Norin	2000	68.3%	80.6%	54.6%
Uzbekistan	Norin	2017	72.6%	83.6%	59.3%
Uzbekistan	Nukus	2000	66.4%	78.9%	51.7%
Uzbekistan	Nukus	2017	70.6%	81.4%	57.5%
Uzbekistan	Nurobod	2000	74.0%	79.8%	67.0%
Uzbekistan	Nurobod	2017	77.3%	82.2%	70.9%
Uzbekistan	Nurota	2000	71.9%	78.1%	64.6%
Uzbekistan	Nurota	2017	75.3%	79.4%	70.3%
Uzbekistan	O'rtachirchiq	2000	76.1%	83.3%	67.4%
Uzbekistan	O'rtachirchiq	2017	79.3%	86.0%	70.9%
Uzbekistan	O'zbekiston	2000	68.2%	76.0%	56.7%
Uzbekistan	O'zbekiston	2017	72.5%	79.3%	63.8%
Uzbekistan	Ohangaron	2000	72.4%	77.6%	65.9%
Uzbekistan	Ohangaron	2017	75.7%	80.1%	70.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Olot	2000	70.4%	80.0%	58.6%
Uzbekistan	Olot	2017	74.4%	82.3%	65.1%
Uzbekistan	Oltiariq	2000	66.4%	79.0%	53.4%
Uzbekistan	Oltiariq	2017	70.6%	81.6%	59.0%
Uzbekistan	Oltinko'l	2000	68.6%	78.3%	58.1%
Uzbekistan	Oltinko'l	2017	72.6%	81.4%	62.6%
Uzbekistan	Oltinsoy	2000	70.9%	80.4%	60.8%
Uzbekistan	Oltinsoy	2017	74.8%	83.9%	63.2%
Uzbekistan	Oqdaryo	2000	71.9%	79.4%	63.2%
Uzbekistan	Oqdaryo	2017	75.9%	83.8%	67.1%
Uzbekistan	Oqoltin	2000	70.4%	79.3%	61.3%
Uzbekistan	Oqoltin	2017	74.3%	82.4%	66.0%
Uzbekistan	Oqqo'rg'on	2000	66.7%	76.9%	57.0%
Uzbekistan	Oqqo'rg'on	2017	70.7%	79.6%	61.9%
Uzbekistan	Oxunboboev	2000	66.7%	77.5%	54.4%
Uzbekistan	Oxunboboev	2017	70.9%	80.8%	58.9%
Uzbekistan	Parkent	2000	72.6%	81.5%	62.0%
Uzbekistan	Parkent	2017	76.2%	84.0%	66.7%
Uzbekistan	Pastdarg'om	2000	73.1%	79.9%	65.1%
Uzbekistan	Pastdarg'om	2017	76.8%	83.0%	69.9%
Uzbekistan	Paxtachi	2000	71.4%	80.3%	61.6%
Uzbekistan	Paxtachi	2017	75.4%	82.1%	67.6%
Uzbekistan	Paxtakor	2000	65.2%	79.1%	49.4%
Uzbekistan	Paxtakor	2017	69.5%	82.5%	53.7%
Uzbekistan	Paxtaobod	2000	68.3%	80.6%	51.3%
Uzbekistan	Paxtaobod	2017	72.1%	83.1%	55.8%
Uzbekistan	Payariq	2000	71.9%	80.5%	62.4%
Uzbekistan	Payariq	2017	75.5%	83.4%	66.3%
Uzbekistan	Peshku	2000	72.4%	80.5%	62.8%
Uzbekistan	Peshku	2017	76.2%	81.7%	69.7%
Uzbekistan	Piskent	2000	66.9%	76.2%	57.4%
Uzbekistan	Piskent	2017	70.9%	79.1%	61.0%
Uzbekistan	Pop	2000	67.5%	75.2%	56.9%
Uzbekistan	Pop	2017	72.0%	78.5%	63.8%
Uzbekistan	Qamashi	2000	75.5%	83.0%	66.8%
Uzbekistan	Qamashi	2017	78.8%	85.6%	70.8%
Uzbekistan	Qanliko'l	2000	70.7%	80.2%	59.7%
Uzbekistan	Qanliko'l	2017	73.5%	81.3%	64.5%
Uzbekistan	Qarshi	2000	70.1%	79.5%	58.7%
Uzbekistan	Qarshi	2017	74.4%	82.5%	63.6%
Uzbekistan	Qibray	2000	54.9%	67.5%	43.2%
Uzbekistan	Qibray	2017	58.4%	70.9%	47.1%
Uzbekistan	Qiziltepa	2000	74.0%	82.0%	64.2%
Uzbekistan	Qiziltepa	2017	77.4%	84.3%	69.2%
Uzbekistan	Qiziriq	2000	74.7%	83.0%	66.4%
Uzbekistan	Qiziriq	2017	78.2%	86.7%	69.5%
Uzbekistan	Qo'ng'iro't	2000	70.8%	74.6%	65.9%
Uzbekistan	Qo'ng'iro't	2017	74.0%	76.4%	71.6%
Uzbekistan	Qo'rg'on'tepa	2000	68.9%	77.2%	58.9%
Uzbekistan	Qo'rg'on'tepa	2017	72.9%	80.4%	62.7%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shko'pir	2000	70.7%	78.9%	60.9%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shko'pir	2017	74.0%	81.9%	65.2%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shrabot	2000	71.0%	78.1%	61.9%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shrabot	2017	75.1%	80.9%	66.9%
Uzbekistan	Qorako'l	2000	71.7%	79.7%	62.0%
Uzbekistan	Qorako'l	2017	75.5%	81.6%	68.0%
Uzbekistan	Qorao'zak	2000	70.7%	75.7%	64.6%
Uzbekistan	Qorao'zak	2017	74.2%	78.8%	69.7%
Uzbekistan	Qorovulbozor	2000	72.4%	77.9%	64.3%
Uzbekistan	Qorovulbozor	2017	76.3%	82.3%	68.0%
Uzbekistan	Qumqo'rg'on	2000	71.6%	80.0%	61.9%
Uzbekistan	Qumqo'rg'on	2017	75.6%	83.0%	66.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Quva	2000	67.8%	77.9%	57.7%
Uzbekistan	Quva	2017	71.7%	80.8%	61.9%
Uzbekistan	Quyichirchiq	2000	68.1%	77.5%	56.2%
Uzbekistan	Quyichirchiq	2017	72.1%	80.4%	62.1%
Uzbekistan	Rishton	2000	60.4%	76.4%	45.6%
Uzbekistan	Rishton	2017	64.7%	79.5%	50.3%
Uzbekistan	Romitan	2000	73.9%	82.3%	65.3%
Uzbekistan	Romitan	2017	77.1%	83.2%	70.2%
Uzbekistan	Samarqand	2000	68.1%	78.1%	55.8%
Uzbekistan	Samarqand	2017	72.1%	81.7%	60.1%
Uzbekistan	Sariosiyo	2000	67.0%	75.0%	55.4%
Uzbekistan	Sariosiyo	2017	71.7%	78.4%	62.1%
Uzbekistan	Sayxunobod	2000	69.6%	77.3%	59.3%
Uzbekistan	Sayxunobod	2017	74.4%	80.7%	64.7%
Uzbekistan	Shahrisabz	2000	70.1%	81.2%	53.9%
Uzbekistan	Shahrisabz	2017	74.2%	83.4%	60.6%
Uzbekistan	Shahrixon	2000	65.5%	77.8%	51.1%
Uzbekistan	Shahrixon	2017	69.6%	80.8%	56.4%
Uzbekistan	Sharof Rashidov	2000	70.0%	79.8%	57.7%
Uzbekistan	Sharof Rashidov	2017	74.1%	82.8%	63.0%
Uzbekistan	Sherobod	2000	74.6%	81.2%	64.4%
Uzbekistan	Sherobod	2017	77.8%	84.2%	67.5%
Uzbekistan	Sho'rchi	2000	69.0%	81.3%	55.3%
Uzbekistan	Sho'rchi	2017	73.9%	82.3%	63.3%
Uzbekistan	Shofirkon	2000	71.7%	80.4%	60.4%
Uzbekistan	Shofirkon	2017	75.6%	82.9%	65.9%
Uzbekistan	Shovot	2000	67.9%	78.5%	55.2%
Uzbekistan	Shovot	2017	71.4%	81.7%	59.3%
Uzbekistan	Shumanay	2000	70.8%	83.3%	54.8%
Uzbekistan	Shumanay	2017	75.0%	83.3%	65.2%
Uzbekistan	Sirdaryo	2000	69.8%	78.2%	60.2%
Uzbekistan	Sirdaryo	2017	73.5%	81.2%	64.6%
Uzbekistan	So'x	2000	71.4%	83.2%	56.6%
Uzbekistan	So'x	2017	75.1%	85.6%	61.5%
Uzbekistan	Tashkent City	2000	83.5%	88.5%	77.5%
Uzbekistan	Tashkent City	2017	83.6%	88.7%	77.4%
Uzbekistan	Taxtako'pir	2000	68.5%	72.0%	64.2%
Uzbekistan	Taxtako'pir	2017	72.4%	75.5%	69.0%
Uzbekistan	Termiz	2000	74.5%	85.7%	61.3%
Uzbekistan	Termiz	2017	78.0%	88.1%	65.4%
Uzbekistan	To'raqo'rg'on	2000	65.8%	77.0%	52.9%
Uzbekistan	To'raqo'rg'on	2017	69.7%	80.0%	56.6%
Uzbekistan	To'rtko'l	2000	70.9%	77.5%	63.9%
Uzbekistan	To'rtko'l	2017	74.5%	79.2%	69.4%
Uzbekistan	Tomdi	2000	73.8%	78.4%	68.6%
Uzbekistan	Tomdi	2017	77.1%	80.2%	73.2%
Uzbekistan	Toshkent	2000	45.3%	60.2%	30.8%
Uzbekistan	Toshkent	2017	49.3%	63.6%	35.3%
Uzbekistan	Toshloq	2000	66.1%	81.3%	51.0%
Uzbekistan	Toshloq	2017	70.3%	83.9%	56.3%
Uzbekistan	Toyloq	2000	70.9%	82.1%	55.4%
Uzbekistan	Toyloq	2017	74.4%	84.3%	59.4%
Uzbekistan	Uchko'prik	2000	64.6%	76.3%	52.3%
Uzbekistan	Uchko'prik	2017	68.8%	79.8%	56.6%
Uzbekistan	Uchqo'rg'on	2000	68.1%	80.1%	54.2%
Uzbekistan	Uchqo'rg'on	2017	71.6%	82.9%	58.6%
Uzbekistan	Uchquduq	2000	70.9%	72.9%	68.7%
Uzbekistan	Uchquduq	2017	74.7%	76.5%	72.8%
Uzbekistan	Ulug'nor	2000	66.9%	77.5%	55.5%
Uzbekistan	Ulug'nor	2017	71.0%	80.4%	60.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Urganch	2000	68.6%	78.7%	58.2%
Uzbekistan	Urganch	2017	72.1%	83.5%	59.1%
Uzbekistan	Urgut	2000	69.4%	78.4%	59.0%
Uzbekistan	Urgut	2017	73.8%	81.6%	64.4%
Uzbekistan	Usmon	2000	75.2%	79.8%	69.7%
Uzbekistan	Yusupov				
Uzbekistan	Usmon	2017	78.4%	82.6%	73.0%
Uzbekistan	Uychi	2000	66.8%	76.8%	54.1%
Uzbekistan	Uychi	2017	70.6%	80.2%	58.1%
Uzbekistan	Uzun	2000	70.8%	80.2%	58.9%
Uzbekistan	Uzun	2017	75.0%	81.9%	66.9%
Uzbekistan	Vobkent	2000	70.3%	78.3%	60.3%
Uzbekistan	Vobkent	2017	74.4%	81.5%	64.4%
Uzbekistan	Xatirchi	2000	70.6%	77.8%	62.9%
Uzbekistan	Xatirchi	2017	74.1%	81.0%	66.4%
Uzbekistan	Xiva	2000	70.8%	81.7%	59.4%
Uzbekistan	Xiva	2017	73.8%	84.1%	62.0%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jaobod	2000	69.4%	81.1%	55.8%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jaobod	2017	73.4%	83.6%	60.8%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jayli	2000	67.4%	76.3%	55.2%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jayli	2017	71.4%	79.5%	60.7%
Uzbekistan	Xonqa	2000	69.3%	79.1%	57.6%
Uzbekistan	Xonqa	2017	72.9%	82.5%	60.1%
Uzbekistan	Xovos	2000	65.8%	74.6%	57.5%
Uzbekistan	Xovos	2017	70.1%	77.9%	62.5%
Uzbekistan	Yagiqo'rg'on	2000	71.2%	78.1%	63.1%
Uzbekistan	Yagiqo'rg'on	2017	74.8%	81.6%	67.2%
Uzbekistan	Yakkabog'	2000	74.9%	82.8%	64.0%
Uzbekistan	Yakkabog'	2017	78.3%	85.7%	67.4%
Uzbekistan	Yangiariq	2000	66.7%	77.3%	54.3%
Uzbekistan	Yangiariq	2017	71.1%	80.9%	59.9%
Uzbekistan	Yangibozor	2000	68.1%	79.4%	53.6%
Uzbekistan	Yangibozor	2017	72.0%	82.2%	58.2%
Uzbekistan	Yangiobod	2000	69.5%	78.2%	59.8%
Uzbekistan	Yangiobod	2017	73.4%	80.7%	64.8%
Uzbekistan	Yangiyo'l	2000	63.3%	72.6%	50.9%
Uzbekistan	Yangiyo'l	2017	67.7%	77.3%	55.1%
Uzbekistan	Yozyovon	2000	67.1%	80.7%	52.1%
Uzbekistan	Yozyovon	2017	71.6%	82.3%	59.7%
Uzbekistan	Yuqorichirchiq	2000	58.1%	70.6%	45.6%
Uzbekistan	Yuqorichirchiq	2017	62.5%	74.2%	50.0%
Uzbekistan	Zafarobod	2000	68.0%	77.5%	58.3%
Uzbekistan	Zafarobod	2017	72.5%	81.3%	62.9%
Uzbekistan	Zangiota	2000	71.6%	76.9%	64.5%
Uzbekistan	Zangiota	2017	75.6%	80.6%	69.0%
Uzbekistan	Zarbdor	2000	66.7%	75.8%	55.8%
Uzbekistan	Zarbdor	2017	70.9%	79.3%	59.8%
Uzbekistan	Zomin	2000	70.5%	77.3%	62.1%
Uzbekistan	Zomin	2017	74.3%	80.0%	67.2%
Latin America and Caribbean					
Bolivia	Abel Iturralde	2000	60.7%	66.8%	55.1%
Bolivia	Abel Iturralde	2017	44.3%	50.8%	38.2%
Bolivia	Alonso de	2000	63.1%	65.6%	60.5%
Bolivia	Ibáñez				
Bolivia	Alonso de	2017	45.6%	48.3%	43.0%
Bolivia	Ibáñez				
Bolivia	Andrés Ibáñez	2000	86.0%	86.7%	85.2%
Bolivia	Andrés Ibáñez	2017	74.9%	76.1%	73.8%
Bolivia	Aniceto Arce	2000	78.2%	81.7%	73.9%
Bolivia	Aniceto Arce	2017	63.8%	68.9%	57.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Antonio Quijarro	2000	64.0%	66.2%	61.8%
Bolivia	Antonio Quijarro	2017	46.6%	49.4%	44.0%
Bolivia	Arani	2000	58.5%	60.4%	56.5%
Bolivia	Arani	2017	41.1%	43.0%	38.9%
Bolivia	Aroma	2000	76.2%	78.4%	74.0%
Bolivia	Aroma	2017	61.0%	64.1%	58.2%
Bolivia	Arque	2000	61.3%	64.5%	58.1%
Bolivia	Arque	2017	43.8%	46.9%	40.4%
Bolivia	Atahuallpa	2000	71.5%	74.9%	67.8%
Bolivia	Atahuallpa	2017	55.2%	59.5%	50.5%
Bolivia	Ayopaya	2000	61.7%	65.4%	58.0%
Bolivia	Ayopaya	2017	44.3%	48.6%	40.9%
Bolivia	Bautista Saavedra	2000	77.4%	81.3%	72.7%
Bolivia	Bautista Saavedra	2017	62.7%	68.1%	56.8%
Bolivia	Belisario Boeto	2000	68.0%	72.2%	63.5%
Bolivia	Belisario Boeto	2017	51.1%	56.7%	45.9%
Bolivia	Bernardino Bilbao	2000	62.4%	66.1%	58.1%
Bolivia	Bernardino Bilbao	2017	45.0%	49.1%	40.0%
Bolivia	Burnet O'Connor	2000	77.4%	80.1%	74.4%
Bolivia	Burnet O'Connor	2017	63.1%	66.7%	59.7%
Bolivia	Capinota	2000	61.7%	64.4%	58.7%
Bolivia	Capinota	2017	44.0%	46.8%	41.1%
Bolivia	Carangas	2000	72.0%	75.5%	68.8%
Bolivia	Carangas	2017	55.7%	60.4%	51.8%
Bolivia	Carrasco	2000	59.9%	62.0%	57.9%
Bolivia	Carrasco	2017	43.3%	45.4%	41.3%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	78.8%	79.7%	77.8%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	58.1%	59.0%	57.0%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	70.2%	71.9%	68.8%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	42.8%	45.5%	40.0%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	64.2%	65.6%	62.5%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	26.9%	29.1%	24.7%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	53.5%	55.6%	51.5%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	40.2%	41.3%	38.9%
Bolivia	Chapare	2000	57.0%	58.6%	55.4%
Bolivia	Chapare	2017	39.5%	41.1%	37.8%
Bolivia	Charcas	2000	62.6%	65.4%	59.8%
Bolivia	Charcas	2017	45.2%	48.4%	42.3%
Bolivia	Chayanta	2000	62.8%	64.7%	60.9%
Bolivia	Chayanta	2017	45.3%	47.6%	43.2%
Bolivia	Chiquitos	2000	86.2%	88.1%	84.6%
Bolivia	Chiquitos	2017	75.5%	78.1%	72.9%
Bolivia	Cordillera	2000	84.3%	86.2%	82.1%
Bolivia	Cordillera	2017	73.0%	75.6%	69.9%
Bolivia	Cornelio Saavedra	2000	62.8%	64.7%	60.8%
Bolivia	Cornelio Saavedra	2017	45.3%	47.4%	43.2%
Bolivia	Daniel Campos	2000	68.0%	74.3%	61.9%
Bolivia	Daniel Campos	2017	52.2%	60.4%	44.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Eduardo Avaroa	2000	69.9%	72.3%	67.3%
Bolivia	Eduardo Avaroa	2017	53.3%	56.1%	50.3%
Bolivia	Eliodoro Camacho	2000	75.7%	79.1%	72.7%
Bolivia	Eliodoro Camacho	2017	60.6%	64.7%	56.6%
Bolivia	Esteban Arce	2000	59.7%	62.7%	56.7%
Bolivia	Esteban Arce	2017	41.2%	44.9%	37.8%
Bolivia	Eustaquio Méndez	2000	79.0%	80.8%	77.1%
Bolivia	Eustaquio Méndez	2017	65.1%	67.8%	62.3%
Bolivia	Federico Román	2000	36.0%	38.4%	33.4%
Bolivia	Federico Román	2017	21.7%	23.4%	20.1%
Bolivia	Florida	2000	87.4%	89.8%	84.9%
Bolivia	Florida	2017	77.3%	81.1%	73.5%
Bolivia	Franz Tamayo	2000	75.4%	79.7%	70.8%
Bolivia	Franz Tamayo	2017	60.1%	66.0%	54.2%
Bolivia	Germán Jordán	2000	58.9%	61.5%	56.0%
Bolivia	Germán Jordán	2017	41.3%	44.0%	38.3%
Bolivia	Gran Chaco	2000	79.1%	81.1%	77.0%
Bolivia	Gran Chaco	2017	64.9%	67.3%	62.3%
Bolivia	Gualberto Villarroel	2000	74.2%	78.2%	69.2%
Bolivia	Gualberto Villarroel	2017	58.5%	63.8%	52.6%
Bolivia	Hernando Siles	2000	62.0%	64.7%	59.2%
Bolivia	Hernando Siles	2017	44.7%	48.4%	41.2%
Bolivia	Ichilo	2000	83.8%	85.4%	81.9%
Bolivia	Ichilo	2017	71.5%	74.1%	68.7%
Bolivia	Ignacio Warnes	2000	86.3%	87.6%	84.8%
Bolivia	Ignacio Warnes	2017	75.3%	77.3%	72.6%
Bolivia	Ingavi	2000	76.2%	78.0%	74.7%
Bolivia	Ingavi	2017	60.9%	63.3%	58.9%
Bolivia	Inquisivi	2000	72.7%	75.3%	70.3%
Bolivia	Inquisivi	2017	57.5%	60.7%	54.2%
Bolivia	Jaime Zudáñez	2000	61.1%	63.7%	58.7%
Bolivia	Jaime Zudáñez	2017	43.5%	46.2%	40.6%
Bolivia	José Ballivián	2000	43.4%	45.5%	41.7%
Bolivia	José Ballivián	2017	27.3%	29.3%	25.8%
Bolivia	José María Avilés	2000	79.0%	81.0%	76.6%
Bolivia	José María Avilés	2017	65.3%	68.0%	61.8%
Bolivia	José María Linares	2000	63.5%	65.7%	61.3%
Bolivia	José María Linares	2017	46.1%	48.8%	43.8%
Bolivia	José Miguel de Velasco	2000	85.5%	87.3%	83.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	José Miguel de Velasco	2017	74.3%	77.2%	71.4%
Bolivia	Juana Azurd-uay de Padilla	2000	60.8%	63.5%	57.8%
Bolivia	Juana Azurd-uay de Padilla	2017	43.3%	46.2%	40.4%
Bolivia	Ladislao Cabrera	2000	70.2%	73.7%	66.4%
Bolivia	Ladislao Cabrera	2017	53.6%	57.9%	49.4%
Bolivia	Larecaja	2000	76.7%	79.5%	73.7%
Bolivia	Larecaja	2017	62.0%	65.7%	58.2%
Bolivia	Litoral	2000	71.1%	75.7%	65.4%
Bolivia	Litoral	2017	53.2%	58.5%	48.2%
Bolivia	Loayza	2000	76.0%	78.8%	73.6%
Bolivia	Loayza	2017	61.0%	64.8%	57.8%
Bolivia	Los Andes	2000	76.7%	79.0%	74.6%
Bolivia	Los Andes	2017	61.6%	64.7%	58.5%
Bolivia	Luis Calvo	2000	70.9%	73.6%	68.0%
Bolivia	Luis Calvo	2017	54.6%	58.1%	51.4%
Bolivia	Madre de Dios	2000	37.4%	39.6%	35.3%
Bolivia	Madre de Dios	2017	22.5%	24.1%	21.1%
Bolivia	Mamoré	2000	43.9%	49.5%	38.7%
Bolivia	Mamoré	2017	27.9%	32.2%	23.6%
Bolivia	Manco Kapac	2000	75.4%	79.4%	71.3%
Bolivia	Manco Kapac	2017	59.4%	64.8%	54.1%
Bolivia	Manuel María Caballero	2000	81.5%	84.4%	78.7%
Bolivia	Manuel María Caballero	2017	68.4%	72.7%	64.2%
Bolivia	Manuripi	2000	36.3%	39.0%	33.4%
Bolivia	Manuripi	2017	21.4%	23.7%	19.4%
Bolivia	Marbán	2000	59.0%	61.5%	56.5%
Bolivia	Marbán	2017	46.7%	49.7%	43.7%
Bolivia	Mizque	2000	58.1%	61.5%	54.5%
Bolivia	Mizque	2017	40.8%	44.0%	37.5%
Bolivia	Modesto Omiste	2000	64.9%	68.5%	60.5%
Bolivia	Modesto Omiste	2017	47.4%	51.4%	42.6%
Bolivia	Moxos	2000	42.7%	45.3%	40.2%
Bolivia	Moxos	2017	26.9%	29.1%	25.0%
Bolivia	Muñecas	2000	76.4%	80.5%	72.5%
Bolivia	Muñecas	2017	61.6%	66.9%	56.2%
Bolivia	Narciso Campero	2000	61.4%	64.0%	58.0%
Bolivia	Narciso Campero	2017	45.5%	48.4%	42.5%
Bolivia	Nor Chichas	2000	63.1%	66.0%	60.2%
Bolivia	Nor Chichas	2017	45.8%	48.8%	42.6%
Bolivia	Nor Cinti	2000	61.7%	63.7%	59.6%
Bolivia	Nor Cinti	2017	44.0%	46.6%	41.7%
Bolivia	Nor Lípez	2000	62.7%	67.5%	57.6%
Bolivia	Nor Lípez	2017	45.4%	50.8%	40.3%
Bolivia	Nor Yungas	2000	76.1%	78.1%	73.8%
Bolivia	Nor Yungas	2017	60.8%	63.7%	57.4%
Bolivia	Ñufflo de Chávez	2000	85.9%	87.5%	84.4%
Bolivia	Ñufflo de Chávez	2017	75.0%	77.5%	72.5%
Bolivia	Obispo Santistevan	2000	86.1%	87.6%	84.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Obispo Santistevan	2017	75.3%	77.8%	72.8%
Bolivia	Omasuyos	2000	76.5%	78.9%	74.4%
Bolivia	Omasuyos	2017	61.5%	64.6%	58.7%
Bolivia	Oropeza	2000	60.8%	61.8%	59.7%
Bolivia	Oropeza	2017	43.1%	44.3%	41.8%
Bolivia	Pacajes	2000	75.8%	78.6%	72.5%
Bolivia	Pacajes	2017	60.8%	64.4%	56.5%
Bolivia	Pantaleón Dalance	2000	70.9%	73.3%	68.8%
Bolivia	Pantaleón Dalance	2017	54.6%	57.6%	51.8%
Bolivia	Pedro Domingo Murillo	2000	75.9%	76.8%	74.8%
Bolivia	Pedro Domingo Murillo	2017	60.6%	61.9%	59.1%
Bolivia	Poopó	2000	70.9%	73.8%	68.2%
Bolivia	Poopó	2017	54.7%	58.6%	51.2%
Bolivia	Punata	2000	58.5%	60.6%	56.3%
Bolivia	Punata	2017	40.9%	43.1%	38.3%
Bolivia	Quillacollo	2000	61.1%	62.6%	59.9%
Bolivia	Quillacollo	2017	43.4%	45.0%	42.0%
Bolivia	Rafael Bustillo	2000	63.6%	65.6%	61.7%
Bolivia	Rafael Bustillo	2017	46.0%	48.2%	43.9%
Bolivia	Sajama	2000	72.4%	76.1%	68.6%
Bolivia	Sajama	2017	56.3%	60.8%	51.8%
Bolivia	Sara	2000	86.3%	87.9%	84.7%
Bolivia	Sara	2017	75.6%	78.2%	73.1%
Bolivia	Sucarí	2000	70.8%	74.6%	66.5%
Bolivia	Sucarí	2017	54.1%	58.8%	48.3%
Bolivia	Sud Chichas	2000	64.1%	66.7%	61.4%
Bolivia	Sud Chichas	2017	46.8%	49.4%	43.9%
Bolivia	Sud Cinti	2000	64.9%	67.7%	61.7%
Bolivia	Sud Cinti	2017	47.8%	51.1%	44.1%
Bolivia	Sud LÍpez	2000	61.8%	70.4%	53.2%
Bolivia	Sud LÍpez	2017	44.7%	54.6%	35.3%
Bolivia	Sud Yungas	2000	72.4%	74.6%	69.9%
Bolivia	Sud Yungas	2017	57.2%	60.3%	53.5%
Bolivia	Tapacarí	2000	62.1%	65.5%	58.4%
Bolivia	Tapacarí	2017	44.5%	48.6%	40.8%
Bolivia	Tomás Frías	2000	62.2%	64.2%	60.4%
Bolivia	Tomás Frías	2017	44.4%	46.7%	42.6%
Bolivia	Tomina	2000	60.8%	64.0%	58.0%
Bolivia	Tomina	2017	43.1%	46.9%	40.0%
Bolivia	Vaca Díez	2000	42.2%	43.6%	40.8%
Bolivia	Vaca Díez	2017	26.6%	27.7%	25.5%
Bolivia	Vallegrande	2000	83.2%	85.9%	80.3%
Bolivia	Vallegrande	2017	71.2%	75.3%	67.0%
Bolivia	Yacuma	2000	43.2%	47.0%	39.8%
Bolivia	Yacuma	2017	27.2%	30.0%	24.5%
Bolivia	Yamparáez	2000	61.2%	64.1%	58.5%
Bolivia	Yamparáez	2017	43.7%	46.6%	41.0%
Brazil	Abadia de Goiás	2000	76.8%	78.9%	74.5%
Brazil	Abadia de Goiás	2017	78.8%	80.8%	76.7%
Brazil	Abadia dos Dourados	2000	79.3%	84.2%	73.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Abadia dos Dourados	2017	79.9%	84.7%	74.5%
Brazil	Abadiânia	2000	81.5%	83.8%	78.7%
Brazil	Abadiânia	2017	82.1%	84.3%	79.4%
Brazil	Abaeté	2000	80.2%	85.6%	73.2%
Brazil	Abaeté	2017	80.8%	86.1%	73.9%
Brazil	Abaetetuba	2000	37.1%	42.1%	33.1%
Brazil	Abaetetuba	2017	38.9%	44.0%	34.8%
Brazil	Abaiara	2000	67.1%	70.9%	63.5%
Brazil	Abaiara	2017	68.0%	71.7%	64.4%
Brazil	Abaira	2000	77.0%	82.1%	71.6%
Brazil	Abaira	2017	77.6%	82.7%	72.4%
Brazil	Abaré	2000	65.5%	71.4%	59.6%
Brazil	Abaré	2017	66.3%	72.1%	60.4%
Brazil	Abatiá	2000	89.0%	91.3%	86.4%
Brazil	Abatiá	2017	89.3%	91.6%	86.8%
Brazil	Abdon	2000	75.5%	79.9%	70.6%
Brazil	Batista Abdon	2017	76.3%	80.5%	71.4%
Brazil	Abel Figueiredo	2000	51.1%	56.9%	44.8%
Brazil	Abel Figueiredo	2017	52.1%	57.9%	45.8%
Brazil	Abelardo Luz	2000	77.8%	82.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Abelardo Luz	2017	78.4%	82.5%	73.8%
Brazil	Abre Campo	2000	82.7%	86.3%	78.7%
Brazil	Abre Campo	2017	83.3%	86.8%	79.3%
Brazil	Abreu e Lima	2000	64.7%	66.8%	62.6%
Brazil	Abreu e Lima	2017	67.1%	69.2%	65.1%
Brazil	Abreulândia	2000	69.1%	74.5%	63.9%
Brazil	Abreulândia	2017	70.0%	75.2%	64.9%
Brazil	Acaiaca	2000	80.4%	83.9%	76.3%
Brazil	Acaiaca	2017	81.0%	84.5%	77.0%
Brazil	Açailândia	2000	62.8%	68.0%	57.4%
Brazil	Açailândia	2017	63.7%	68.8%	58.4%
Brazil	Acajutiba	2000	78.2%	82.1%	74.6%
Brazil	Acajutiba	2017	78.9%	82.7%	75.3%
Brazil	Acará	2000	37.3%	40.8%	33.6%
Brazil	Acará	2017	38.2%	41.7%	34.5%
Brazil	Acarapé	2000	69.5%	72.6%	65.9%
Brazil	Acarapé	2017	70.5%	73.6%	67.1%
Brazil	Acaraú	2000	67.7%	73.1%	61.8%
Brazil	Acaraú	2017	68.5%	73.9%	62.7%
Brazil	Acari	2000	79.3%	82.6%	75.8%
Brazil	Acari	2017	80.0%	83.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Acauã	2000	64.0%	69.5%	58.1%
Brazil	Acauã	2017	64.9%	70.3%	59.0%
Brazil	Acopiara	2000	70.4%	74.8%	66.4%
Brazil	Acopiara	2017	71.2%	75.5%	67.3%
Brazil	Acorizal	2000	66.6%	71.2%	61.5%
Brazil	Acorizal	2017	67.5%	72.0%	62.5%
Brazil	Acrelândia	2000	40.6%	45.9%	35.8%
Brazil	Acrelândia	2017	41.6%	46.9%	36.7%
Brazil	Acreúna	2000	76.5%	80.8%	71.1%
Brazil	Acreúna	2017	77.2%	81.4%	71.9%
Brazil	Açu	2000	81.9%	84.8%	79.0%
Brazil	Açu	2017	82.5%	85.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Açucena	2000	80.9%	85.0%	76.3%
Brazil	Açucena	2017	81.5%	85.5%	77.0%
Brazil	Adamantina	2000	91.2%	93.3%	88.3%
Brazil	Adamantina	2017	91.5%	93.6%	88.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Adelândia	2000	76.1%	79.7%	71.6%
Brazil	Adelândia	2017	76.8%	80.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Adolfo	2000	92.9%	94.8%	90.8%
Brazil	Adolfo	2017	93.1%	95.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Adrianópolis	2000	86.4%	89.7%	82.7%
Brazil	Adrianópolis	2017	86.8%	90.0%	83.3%
Brazil	Adustina	2000	78.2%	81.6%	74.6%
Brazil	Adustina	2017	78.9%	82.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Afogados da Ingazeira	2000	68.0%	72.2%	63.4%
Brazil	Afogados da Ingazeira	2017	68.8%	73.0%	64.3%
Brazil	Afonso Bezerra	2000	83.2%	86.1%	80.2%
Brazil	Afonso Bezerra	2017	83.7%	86.5%	80.8%
Brazil	Afonso Cláudio	2000	79.8%	83.2%	76.8%
Brazil	Afonso Cláudio	2017	80.5%	83.7%	77.5%
Brazil	Afonso Cunha	2000	61.0%	65.3%	56.0%
Brazil	Afonso Cunha	2017	62.0%	66.2%	56.9%
Brazil	Afrânio	2000	65.4%	70.7%	60.0%
Brazil	Afrânio	2017	66.2%	71.5%	60.9%
Brazil	Afuá	2000	34.2%	38.4%	30.3%
Brazil	Afuá	2017	35.1%	39.3%	31.2%
Brazil	Agrestina	2000	65.5%	69.2%	62.5%
Brazil	Agrestina	2017	66.4%	70.0%	63.4%
Brazil	Agricolândia	2000	60.6%	65.2%	55.4%
Brazil	Agricolândia	2017	61.5%	66.1%	56.4%
Brazil	Agrolândia	2000	73.6%	77.9%	68.8%
Brazil	Agrolândia	2017	74.3%	78.5%	69.7%
Brazil	Agronômica	2000	74.3%	78.3%	70.1%
Brazil	Agronômica	2017	75.1%	79.0%	71.0%
Brazil	Água Azul do Norte	2000	38.5%	44.7%	32.2%
Brazil	Água Azul do Norte	2017	39.3%	45.5%	33.1%
Brazil	Água Boa	2000	71.6%	79.2%	64.3%
Brazil	Água Boa	2000	82.2%	86.9%	76.3%
Brazil	Água Boa	2017	72.4%	79.8%	65.2%
Brazil	Água Boa	2017	82.8%	87.4%	77.1%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	64.4%	68.3%	60.4%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	59.8%	64.4%	54.4%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	67.5%	71.9%	63.5%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	66.2%	69.9%	62.2%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	69.7%	73.9%	65.9%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	60.7%	65.3%	55.4%
Brazil	Água Clara	2000	79.4%	83.9%	74.3%
Brazil	Água Clara	2017	80.0%	84.4%	75.0%
Brazil	Água Comprida	2000	87.8%	90.9%	84.1%
Brazil	Água Comprida	2017	88.2%	91.2%	84.6%
Brazil	Água Doce	2000	78.0%	81.9%	73.8%
Brazil	Água Doce	2017	78.7%	82.5%	74.6%
Brazil	Água Doce do Maranhão	2000	60.3%	65.2%	55.9%
Brazil	Água Doce do Maranhão	2017	61.2%	66.1%	56.8%
Brazil	Água Doce do Norte	2000	80.2%	83.8%	76.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Água Doce do Norte	2017	80.8%	84.3%	77.1%
Brazil	Água Fria	2000	78.8%	82.2%	74.6%
Brazil	Água Fria	2017	79.4%	82.7%	75.3%
Brazil	Água Fria de Goiás	2000	88.8%	91.5%	85.5%
Brazil	Água Fria de Goiás	2017	89.3%	91.8%	86.1%
Brazil	Água Limpa	2000	77.5%	81.6%	73.3%
Brazil	Água Limpa	2017	78.2%	82.2%	74.0%
Brazil	Água Nova	2000	75.3%	78.8%	71.7%
Brazil	Água Nova	2017	76.0%	79.4%	72.5%
Brazil	Água Preta	2000	62.4%	65.9%	58.9%
Brazil	Água Preta	2017	63.3%	66.8%	59.9%
Brazil	Água Santa	2000	80.3%	84.0%	75.4%
Brazil	Água Santa	2017	80.9%	84.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Aguai	2000	91.6%	93.4%	89.2%
Brazil	Aguai	2017	91.9%	93.6%	89.6%
Brazil	Aguanil	2000	81.8%	85.6%	77.2%
Brazil	Aguanil	2017	82.4%	86.1%	77.9%
Brazil	Águas Belas	2000	62.7%	66.7%	58.9%
Brazil	Águas Belas	2017	63.6%	67.6%	59.9%
Brazil	Águas da Prata	2000	90.7%	92.8%	88.1%
Brazil	Águas da Prata	2017	91.0%	93.1%	88.5%
Brazil	Águas de Chapecó	2000	75.7%	79.5%	71.4%
Brazil	Águas de Chapecó	2017	76.4%	80.2%	72.2%
Brazil	Águas de Lindóia	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.6%
Brazil	Águas de Lindóia	2017	91.8%	93.5%	89.9%
Brazil	Águas de Santa Bárbara	2000	92.6%	94.6%	90.1%
Brazil	Águas de Santa Bárbara	2017	92.8%	94.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Águas de São Pedro	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Águas de São Pedro	2017	93.0%	94.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Águas Formosas	2000	81.7%	85.6%	76.3%
Brazil	Águas Formosas	2017	82.2%	86.1%	77.0%
Brazil	Águas Frias	2000	76.3%	80.8%	71.5%
Brazil	Águas Frias	2017	77.0%	81.4%	72.3%
Brazil	Águas Lindas de Goiás	2000	90.3%	91.8%	89.0%
Brazil	Águas Lindas de Goiás	2017	91.1%	92.4%	89.8%
Brazil	Águas Mornas	2000	74.3%	78.7%	69.1%
Brazil	Águas Mornas	2017	74.9%	79.2%	69.7%
Brazil	Águas Vermelhas	2000	79.9%	84.3%	74.6%
Brazil	Águas Vermelhas	2017	80.6%	84.8%	75.4%
Brazil	Agudo	2000	19181.7%	85.1%	77.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Agudo	2017	82.3%	85.6%	77.8%
Brazil	Agudos	2000	93.8%	95.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Agudos	2017	94.0%	95.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Agudos do Sul	2000	81.8%	84.5%	78.7%
Brazil	Agudos do Sul	2017	82.4%	85.0%	79.3%
Brazil	Aguiar	2000	69.1%	72.8%	65.1%
Brazil	Aguiar	2017	70.0%	73.6%	66.0%
Brazil	Aguiarnópolis	2000	70.5%	75.3%	65.9%
Brazil	Aguiarnópolis	2017	71.2%	76.0%	66.5%
Brazil	Aimorés	2000	75.9%	79.9%	72.0%
Brazil	Aimorés	2017	76.7%	80.5%	72.8%
Brazil	Aiquara	2000	76.1%	81.0%	71.3%
Brazil	Aiquara	2017	76.8%	81.6%	72.1%
Brazil	Aiuaba	2000	69.9%	73.9%	65.3%
Brazil	Aiuaba	2017	70.7%	74.7%	66.1%
Brazil	Aiuruoca	2000	85.2%	88.2%	81.6%
Brazil	Aiuruoca	2017	85.7%	88.6%	82.2%
Brazil	Ajuricaba	2000	82.2%	86.2%	77.8%
Brazil	Ajuricaba	2017	82.7%	86.7%	78.4%
Brazil	Alagoa	2000	86.8%	89.2%	84.0%
Brazil	Alagoa	2017	87.2%	89.6%	84.5%
Brazil	Alagoa	2000	66.6%	69.7%	63.0%
Brazil	Alagoa Grande	2017	67.5%	70.6%	64.0%
Brazil	Alagoa Nova	2000	66.2%	69.3%	62.8%
Brazil	Alagoa Nova	2017	67.1%	70.1%	63.8%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2000	68.0%	71.6%	63.8%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2000	68.0%	71.0%	64.6%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2017	68.8%	71.8%	65.5%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2017	68.8%	72.4%	64.7%
Brazil	Alagoinha do Piauí	2000	63.9%	68.2%	59.8%
Brazil	Alagoinha do Piauí	2017	64.7%	69.1%	60.6%
Brazil	Alagoinhas	2000	77.4%	80.5%	73.5%
Brazil	Alagoinhas	2017	78.0%	81.1%	74.2%
Brazil	Alambari	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Alambari	2017	93.8%	95.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Albertina	2000	90.2%	92.3%	87.5%
Brazil	Albertina	2017	90.5%	92.6%	87.9%
Brazil	Alcântara	2000	58.2%	62.3%	53.3%
Brazil	Alcântara	2017	59.2%	63.3%	54.3%
Brazil	Alcântaras	2000	71.3%	74.7%	67.6%
Brazil	Alcântaras	2017	72.1%	75.4%	68.5%
Brazil	Alcantil	2000	68.0%	71.4%	64.3%
Brazil	Alcantil	2017	68.9%	72.2%	65.2%
Brazil	Alcinópolis	2000	77.2%	82.0%	71.2%
Brazil	Alcinópolis	2017	77.8%	82.6%	72.0%
Brazil	Alcobaca	2000	76.4%	82.5%	69.2%
Brazil	Alcobaca	2017	77.1%	83.1%	70.0%
Brazil	Aldeias Altas	2000	60.3%	64.7%	55.8%
Brazil	Aldeias Altas	2017	61.3%	65.6%	56.7%
Brazil	Alecrim	2000	80.8%	85.8%	74.3%
Brazil	Alecrim	2017	81.3%	86.2%	74.9%
Brazil	Alegre	2000	77.8%	81.4%	74.5%
Brazil	Alegre	2017	78.4%	82.0%	75.2%
Brazil	Alegrete	2000	82.7%	87.5%	76.3%
Brazil	Alegrete	2017	83.3%	87.9%	77.0%
Brazil	Alegrete do Piauí	2000	64.3%	69.2%	59.8%
Brazil	Alegrete do Piauí	2017	65.3%	70.0%	60.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alegria	2000	81.0%	85.2%	76.0%
Brazil	Alegria	2017	81.6%	85.7%	76.6%
Brazil	Além Paraíba	2000	77.6%	81.1%	73.5%
Brazil	Além Paraíba	2017	78.3%	81.8%	74.3%
Brazil	Alenquer	2000	40.0%	46.9%	33.5%
Brazil	Alenquer	2017	41.1%	48.0%	34.5%
Brazil	Alexandria	2000	76.3%	79.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Alexandria	2017	77.0%	80.4%	73.5%
Brazil	Alexania	2000	85.0%	87.1%	82.9%
Brazil	Alexania	2017	85.5%	87.6%	83.5%
Brazil	Alexânia	2000	84.3%	86.6%	82.0%
Brazil	Alexânia	2017	84.7%	87.0%	82.6%
Brazil	Alfenas	2000	85.4%	88.9%	81.2%
Brazil	Alfenas	2017	85.9%	89.2%	81.8%
Brazil	Alfredo Chaves	2000	79.5%	82.1%	77.0%
Brazil	Alfredo Chaves	2017	80.3%	82.8%	77.9%
Brazil	Alfredo Marcondes	2000	89.1%	91.8%	86.4%
Brazil	Alfredo Marcondes	2017	89.5%	92.1%	86.8%
Brazil	Alfredo Vasconcelos	2000	84.4%	87.4%	80.8%
Brazil	Alfredo Vasconcelos	2017	84.9%	87.8%	81.4%
Brazil	Alfredo Wagner	2000	76.1%	79.8%	70.6%
Brazil	Alfredo Wagner	2017	76.9%	80.4%	71.5%
Brazil	Algodão de Jandaíra	2000	73.7%	77.0%	70.4%
Brazil	Algodão de Jandaíra	2017	74.5%	77.7%	71.2%
Brazil	Alhandra	2000	64.7%	67.9%	61.7%
Brazil	Alhandra	2017	65.6%	68.8%	62.6%
Brazil	Aliança	2000	67.5%	70.9%	64.5%
Brazil	Aliança	2017	68.3%	71.7%	65.4%
Brazil	Aliança do Tocantins	2000	76.1%	80.1%	72.4%
Brazil	Aliança do Tocantins	2017	76.8%	80.7%	73.2%
Brazil	Almadina	2000	78.2%	82.1%	73.8%
Brazil	Almadina	2017	78.9%	82.7%	74.5%
Brazil	Almas	2000	76.9%	82.1%	71.4%
Brazil	Almas	2017	77.6%	82.7%	72.2%
Brazil	Almenara	2000	80.6%	85.2%	74.1%
Brazil	Almenara	2017	81.2%	85.7%	74.9%
Brazil	Almerim	2000	44.5%	50.3%	38.8%
Brazil	Almerim	2017	46.1%	51.8%	40.2%
Brazil	Almino Afonso	2000	78.3%	81.3%	74.8%
Brazil	Almino Afonso	2017	78.9%	81.8%	75.5%
Brazil	Almirante Tamandaré	2000	85.7%	87.8%	83.7%
Brazil	Almirante Tamandaré	2017	86.2%	88.2%	84.2%
Brazil	Aloândia	2000	76.7%	80.8%	72.5%
Brazil	Aloândia	2017	77.4%	81.4%	73.3%
Brazil	Alpercata	2000	79.4%	83.8%	75.2%
Brazil	Alpercata	2017	80.1%	84.4%	76.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alpestre	2000	76.5%	80.1%	72.3%
Brazil	Alpestre	2017	77.1%	80.6%	73.0%
Brazil	Alpinópolis	2000	84.9%	88.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Alpinópolis	2017	85.4%	89.2%	80.7%
Brazil	Alta Floresta	2000	65.6%	71.7%	56.8%
Brazil	Alta Floresta	2017	66.5%	72.5%	57.8%
Brazil	Alta Floresta d'Oeste	2000	30.8%	34.9%	26.3%
Brazil	Alta Floresta d'Oeste	2017	31.6%	35.8%	27.1%
Brazil	Altair	2000	91.3%	93.6%	88.8%
Brazil	Altair	2017	91.6%	93.8%	89.2%
Brazil	Altamira	2000	42.7%	50.5%	35.0%
Brazil	Altamira	2017	43.6%	51.4%	35.9%
Brazil	Altamira do Maranhão	2000	61.2%	66.2%	55.8%
Brazil	Altamira do Maranhão	2017	62.1%	67.0%	56.7%
Brazil	Altamira do Paran	2000	83.0%	87.0%	79.3%
Brazil	Altamira do Paran	2017	83.6%	87.4%	80.0%
Brazil	Altaneira	2000	69.3%	73.2%	65.0%
Brazil	Altaneira	2017	70.2%	73.9%	65.9%
Brazil	Alterosa	2000	85.5%	88.8%	81.8%
Brazil	Alterosa	2017	86.0%	89.2%	82.4%
Brazil	Altinho	2000	66.3%	69.8%	63.0%
Brazil	Altinho	2017	67.2%	70.6%	63.9%
Brazil	Altinópolis	2000	90.5%	92.7%	87.5%
Brazil	Altinópolis	2017	90.9%	93.0%	88.1%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	85.4%	87.9%	82.4%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	92.3%	94.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	82.1%	86.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	92.6%	94.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	85.4%	87.9%	82.4%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	82.7%	86.5%	78.1%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Maranhão	2000	62.2%	66.4%	57.1%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Maranhão	2017	63.1%	67.2%	58.1%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Pindaré	2000	58.5%	63.5%	53.0%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Pindaré	2017	59.5%	64.3%	54.0%
Brazil	Alto Alegre dos Parecis	2000	30.8%	35.6%	26.1%
Brazil	Alto Alegre dos Parecis	2017	32.7%	37.7%	27.9%
Brazil	Alto Araguaia	2000	74.8%	80.2%	68.3%
Brazil	Alto Araguaia	2017	75.6%	80.9%	69.2%
Brazil	Alto Bela Vista	2000	75.7%	79.6%	70.8%
Brazil	Alto Bela Vista	2017	76.5%	80.2%	71.7%
Brazil	Alto Boa Vista	2000	70.9%	78.7%	61.8%
Brazil	Alto Boa Vista	2017	71.7%	79.3%	62.7%
Brazil	Alto Caparaó	2000	84.4%	87.3%	81.5%
Brazil	Alto Caparaó	2017	85.0%	87.8%	82.2%
Brazil	Alto do Rodrigues	2000	83.2%	86.5%	80.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alto do Rodrigues	2017	83.8%	87.0%	80.9%
Brazil	Alto Feliz	2000	82.5%	85.2%	79.9%
Brazil	Alto Feliz	2017	83.1%	85.7%	80.6%
Brazil	Alto Garças	2000	73.9%	79.3%	67.7%
Brazil	Alto Garças	2017	74.6%	79.9%	68.5%
Brazil	Alto Horizonte	2000	76.9%	81.9%	71.7%
Brazil	Alto Horizonte	2017	77.6%	82.5%	72.5%
Brazil	Alto Jequitibá	2000	80.6%	83.9%	76.7%
Brazil	Alto Jequitibá	2017	81.2%	84.4%	77.4%
Brazil	Alto Longá	2000	59.1%	63.7%	54.7%
Brazil	Alto Longá	2017	60.1%	64.6%	55.6%
Brazil	Alto Paraguai	2000	67.8%	74.1%	61.8%
Brazil	Alto Paraguai	2017	68.7%	74.8%	62.8%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso	2000	27.2%	31.6%	23.5%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso	2017	28.1%	32.5%	24.3%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso de Goiás	2000	84.0%	87.9%	79.1%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso de Goiás	2017	84.5%	88.3%	79.7%
Brazil	Alto Paraná	2000	85.9%	88.6%	82.8%
Brazil	Alto Paraná	2017	86.4%	89.0%	83.3%
Brazil	Alto Parnaíba	2000	65.6%	73.1%	58.5%
Brazil	Alto Parnaíba	2017	66.5%	73.9%	59.4%
Brazil	Alto Piquiri	2000	83.8%	87.6%	80.1%
Brazil	Alto Piquiri	2017	84.3%	88.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Alto Rio doce	2000	80.6%	84.0%	76.5%
Brazil	Alto Rio doce	2017	81.2%	84.6%	77.2%
Brazil	Alto Rio Novo	2000	80.1%	83.9%	76.1%
Brazil	Alto Rio Novo	2017	80.7%	84.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Alto Santo	2000	73.7%	77.5%	69.1%
Brazil	Alto Santo	2017	74.4%	78.1%	70.0%
Brazil	Alto Taquari	2000	77.9%	82.9%	72.3%
Brazil	Alto Taquari	2017	78.5%	83.4%	73.1%
Brazil	Altônia	2000	83.5%	87.0%	79.5%
Brazil	Altônia	2017	84.1%	87.4%	80.2%
Brazil	Altos	2000	60.7%	64.2%	57.0%
Brazil	Altos	2017	61.6%	65.0%	57.9%
Brazil	Alumínio	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Alumínio	2017	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Alvarães	2000	63.3%	69.0%	57.1%
Brazil	Alvarães	2017	64.1%	69.8%	58.0%
Brazil	Alvarenga	2000	80.6%	84.2%	76.7%
Brazil	Alvarenga	2017	81.2%	84.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Álvares Florence	2000	90.4%	93.2%	87.3%
Brazil	Álvares Florence	2017	90.7%	93.5%	87.8%
Brazil	Álvares Machado	2000	89.0%	91.7%	86.4%
Brazil	Álvares Machado	2017	89.4%	92.0%	86.8%
Brazil	Álvaro de Carvalho	2000	93.1%	95.0%	90.6%
Brazil	Álvaro de Carvalho	2017	93.3%	95.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Alvinlândia	2000	92.4%	94.3%	90.1%
Brazil	Alvinlândia	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Alvinópolis	2000	81.2%	85.2%	77.6%
Brazil	Alvinópolis	2017	81.8%	85.7%	78.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	75.9%	81.4%	70.4%
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	82.5%	84.4%	80.6%
Brazil	Alvorada	2017	76.6%	82.0%	71.2%
Brazil	Alvorada	2017	83.0%	84.9%	81.2%
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	28.2%	31.8%	24.8%
Brazil	Alvorada d'Oeste	2017	29.0%	32.6%	25.5%
Brazil	Alvorada de Minas	2000	80.9%	85.2%	74.9%
Brazil	Alvorada de Minas	2017	81.5%	85.7%	75.6%
Brazil	Alvorada do Gurguéia	2000	59.8%	66.4%	53.3%
Brazil	Alvorada do Gurguéia	2017	60.7%	67.3%	54.3%
Brazil	Alvorada do Norte	2000	83.3%	88.1%	78.4%
Brazil	Alvorada do Norte	2017	83.8%	88.5%	79.1%
Brazil	Alvorada do Sul	2000	86.2%	88.9%	83.4%
Brazil	Alvorada do Sul	2017	86.7%	89.3%	83.9%
Brazil	Amajari	2000	84.1%	87.9%	79.1%
Brazil	Amajari	2017	84.6%	88.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Amambai	2000	82.6%	86.3%	78.3%
Brazil	Amambai	2017	83.2%	86.8%	78.9%
Brazil	Amapá	2000	43.2%	49.5%	37.5%
Brazil	Amapá	2017	44.2%	50.5%	38.4%
Brazil	Amapá do Maranhão	2000	47.0%	53.6%	40.8%
Brazil	Amapá do Maranhão	2017	48.0%	54.6%	41.7%
Brazil	Amapora	2000	83.7%	87.2%	80.0%
Brazil	Amapora	2017	84.3%	87.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Amaraji	2000	66.1%	69.2%	62.6%
Brazil	Amaraji	2017	66.9%	70.0%	63.5%
Brazil	Amaral Ferrador	2000	81.3%	85.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Amaral Ferrador	2017	82.0%	86.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Amaralina	2000	76.2%	81.7%	70.1%
Brazil	Amaralina	2017	76.8%	82.3%	70.9%
Brazil	Amarante	2000	58.9%	63.4%	54.1%
Brazil	Amarante	2017	59.8%	64.4%	55.1%
Brazil	Amarante do Maranhão	2000	65.0%	70.4%	59.5%
Brazil	Amarante do Maranhão	2017	66.1%	71.4%	60.7%
Brazil	Amargosa	2000	79.8%	83.5%	74.6%
Brazil	Amargosa	2017	80.4%	84.0%	75.3%
Brazil	Amaturá	2000	58.0%	65.2%	50.7%
Brazil	Amaturá	2017	59.0%	66.1%	51.7%
Brazil	Amélia Rodrigues	2000	76.9%	80.1%	73.2%
Brazil	Amélia Rodrigues	2017	77.6%	80.7%	74.0%
Brazil	América dourada	2000	77.3%	83.0%	71.9%
Brazil	América dourada	2017	78.0%	83.6%	72.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Americana	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Americana	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Americano do Brazil	2000	77.6%	81.0%	73.6%
Brazil	Americano do Brazil	2017	80.1%	83.2%	76.3%
Brazil	Américo Brasiliense	2000	93.8%	95.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Américo Brasiliense	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Américo de Campos	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Américo de Campos	2017	92.7%	94.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Ametista do Sul	2000	78.1%	81.7%	73.6%
Brazil	Ametista do Sul	2017	78.8%	82.3%	74.3%
Brazil	Amontada	2000	66.9%	71.4%	62.1%
Brazil	Amontada	2017	67.8%	72.2%	63.0%
Brazil	Amorinópolis	2000	76.4%	81.6%	70.9%
Brazil	Amorinópolis	2017	77.1%	82.2%	71.7%
Brazil	Amparo	2000	67.2%	71.4%	62.4%
Brazil	Amparo	2000	92.2%	93.4%	90.8%
Brazil	Amparo	2017	68.0%	72.2%	63.3%
Brazil	Amparo	2017	92.5%	93.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Amparo de São Francisco	2000	67.9%	70.8%	64.8%
Brazil	Amparo de São Francisco	2017	68.7%	71.6%	65.6%
Brazil	Amparo do Serra	2000	81.0%	84.7%	76.7%
Brazil	Amparo do Serra	2017	81.6%	85.2%	77.4%
Brazil	Ampére	2000	83.2%	86.8%	79.2%
Brazil	Ampére	2017	83.8%	87.2%	79.9%
Brazil	Anadia	2000	61.9%	65.2%	59.1%
Brazil	Anadia	2017	62.9%	66.1%	60.1%
Brazil	Anagé	2000	78.3%	83.1%	72.6%
Brazil	Anagé	2017	79.0%	83.7%	73.5%
Brazil	Anahy	2000	83.3%	87.1%	78.9%
Brazil	Anahy	2017	83.9%	87.6%	79.6%
Brazil	Anajas	2000	35.4%	42.0%	29.9%
Brazil	Anajas	2017	36.3%	42.9%	30.7%
Brazil	Anajatuba	2000	61.5%	65.9%	56.8%
Brazil	Anajatuba	2017	63.3%	67.5%	58.6%
Brazil	Analandia	2000	92.7%	94.2%	90.7%
Brazil	Analandia	2017	92.9%	94.4%	91.1%
Brazil	Anamã	2000	60.1%	65.6%	54.7%
Brazil	Anamã	2017	61.0%	66.5%	55.7%
Brazil	Ananás	2000	71.4%	75.5%	66.4%
Brazil	Ananás	2017	72.2%	76.2%	67.3%
Brazil	Ananindeua	2000	37.8%	40.1%	35.6%
Brazil	Ananindeua	2017	38.8%	41.1%	36.5%
Brazil	Anápolis	2000	80.1%	82.3%	77.5%
Brazil	Anápolis	2017	80.8%	82.9%	78.2%
Brazil	Anapu	2000	39.1%	48.0%	30.3%
Brazil	Anapu	2017	40.1%	49.0%	31.1%
Brazil	Anapuros	2000	62.9%	67.6%	57.8%
Brazil	Anapuros	2017	63.7%	68.5%	58.7%
Brazil	Anastácio	2000	78.5%	82.5%	74.2%
Brazil	Anastácio	2017	79.2%	83.0%	74.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Anaurilândia	2000	83.2%	86.9%	79.4%
Brazil	Anaurilândia	2017	83.7%	87.3%	80.0%
Brazil	Anchieta	2000	76.2%	79.2%	72.4%
Brazil	Anchieta	2000	80.1%	84.5%	75.7%
Brazil	Anchieta	2017	80.7%	85.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Anchieta	2017	76.9%	79.8%	73.1%
Brazil	Andarai	2000	76.1%	82.1%	69.9%
Brazil	Andarai	2017	76.8%	82.6%	70.7%
Brazil	Andira	2000	90.2%	92.5%	87.7%
Brazil	Andira	2017	90.5%	92.7%	88.1%
Brazil	Andirá	2000	88.7%	91.2%	85.8%
Brazil	Andirá	2017	89.1%	91.5%	86.3%
Brazil	Andorinha	2000	74.5%	79.8%	68.2%
Brazil	Andorinha	2017	75.2%	80.4%	69.0%
Brazil	Andradas	2000	90.0%	92.2%	87.2%
Brazil	Andradas	2017	90.3%	92.5%	87.6%
Brazil	Andradina	2000	87.5%	90.1%	84.3%
Brazil	Andradina	2017	87.9%	90.5%	84.8%
Brazil	André da Rocha	2000	80.3%	83.9%	76.5%
Brazil	André da Rocha	2017	81.0%	84.4%	77.2%
Brazil	Andrelândia	2000	83.5%	86.4%	79.8%
Brazil	Andrelândia	2017	84.0%	86.8%	80.4%
Brazil	Angatuba	2000	93.1%	95.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Angatuba	2017	93.4%	95.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Angelândia	2000	83.7%	88.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Angelândia	2017	84.2%	88.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Angélica	2000	80.2%	84.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Angélica	2017	80.8%	84.6%	76.2%
Brazil	Angelim	2000	66.4%	69.4%	62.9%
Brazil	Angelim	2017	67.3%	70.2%	63.8%
Brazil	Angelina	2000	75.8%	79.8%	70.8%
Brazil	Angelina	2017	76.5%	80.4%	71.6%
Brazil	Angical	2000	74.7%	81.6%	67.2%
Brazil	Angical	2017	75.4%	82.2%	68.1%
Brazil	Angical do Piauí	2000	60.4%	65.2%	55.3%
Brazil	Angical do Piauí	2017	61.3%	66.0%	56.2%
Brazil	Angico	2000	73.8%	77.9%	69.6%
Brazil	Angico	2017	74.5%	78.6%	70.4%
Brazil	Angicos	2000	83.9%	86.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Angicos	2017	84.4%	87.1%	81.2%
Brazil	Angra dos Reis	2000	81.7%	84.7%	78.1%
Brazil	Angra dos Reis	2017	82.2%	85.2%	78.8%
Brazil	Anguera	2000	76.9%	80.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Anguera	2017	77.6%	81.4%	73.4%
Brazil	Ângulo	2000	84.8%	87.5%	81.6%
Brazil	Ângulo	2017	85.3%	87.9%	82.1%
Brazil	Anhanguera	2000	77.9%	82.6%	72.7%
Brazil	Anhanguera	2017	78.6%	83.2%	73.5%
Brazil	Anhembi	2000	92.9%	94.4%	90.8%
Brazil	Anhembi	2017	93.1%	94.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Anhumas	2000	88.7%	91.5%	85.9%
Brazil	Anhumas	2017	89.1%	91.8%	86.3%
Brazil	Anicuns	2000	77.2%	80.8%	73.3%
Brazil	Anicuns	2017	78.0%	81.5%	74.1%
Brazil	Anísio de Abreu	2000	64.4%	70.2%	57.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Anísio de Abreu	2017	65.3%	71.0%	58.0%
Brazil	Anita Garibaldi	2000	76.7%	80.9%	72.2%
Brazil	Anita Garibaldi	2017	77.3%	81.5%	72.9%
Brazil	Anitápolis	2000	76.9%	80.7%	71.7%
Brazil	Anitápolis	2017	77.7%	81.4%	72.6%
Brazil	Anori	2000	59.2%	65.4%	53.3%
Brazil	Anori	2017	60.2%	66.2%	54.2%
Brazil	Anta Gorda	2000	82.0%	85.3%	78.2%
Brazil	Anta Gorda	2017	82.6%	85.8%	78.9%
Brazil	Antas	2000	75.3%	79.7%	70.3%
Brazil	Antas	2017	76.0%	80.4%	71.1%
Brazil	Antonina	2000	78.7%	82.2%	74.4%
Brazil	Antonina	2017	79.3%	82.8%	75.2%
Brazil	Antonina do Norte	2000	69.8%	74.0%	65.5%
Brazil	Antonina do Norte	2017	70.6%	74.7%	66.4%
Brazil	Antônio Almeida	2000	61.7%	68.3%	53.9%
Brazil	Antônio Almeida	2017	62.5%	69.1%	54.9%
Brazil	Antônio Cardoso	2000	76.9%	80.5%	73.4%
Brazil	Antônio Cardoso	2017	77.6%	81.1%	74.1%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2000	71.0%	75.8%	65.1%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2000	83.2%	86.4%	79.5%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2017	71.9%	76.6%	66.0%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2017	83.8%	86.9%	80.1%
Brazil	Antônio Dias	2000	80.9%	84.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Antônio Dias	2017	81.5%	85.2%	77.6%
Brazil	Antônio Gonçalves	2000	76.2%	81.5%	69.8%
Brazil	Antônio Gonçalves	2017	76.9%	82.0%	70.6%
Brazil	Antônio João	2000	82.4%	86.5%	77.9%
Brazil	Antônio João	2017	83.0%	87.0%	78.6%
Brazil	Antônio Martins	2000	78.8%	81.9%	75.7%
Brazil	Antônio Martins	2017	78.4%	81.5%	75.2%
Brazil	Antonio Olinto	2000	79.4%	82.9%	75.1%
Brazil	Antonio Olinto	2017	80.0%	83.4%	75.9%
Brazil	Antônio Prado	2000	80.8%	84.1%	77.2%
Brazil	Antônio Prado	2017	81.4%	84.7%	77.8%
Brazil	Antonio Prado de Minas	2000	80.3%	83.8%	76.4%
Brazil	Antonio Prado de Minas	2017	80.9%	84.3%	77.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aparecida	2000	90.6%	92.8%	88.3%
Brazil	Aparecida	2000	70.5%	74.1%	67.0%
Brazil	Aparecida	2017	90.9%	93.0%	88.7%
Brazil	Aparecida	2017	71.3%	74.8%	67.8%
Brazil	Aparecida de Goiânia	2000	79.0%	80.9%	76.9%
Brazil	Aparecida de Goiânia	2017	79.7%	81.6%	77.6%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio doce	2000	78.3%	83.2%	72.8%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio doce	2017	78.9%	83.8%	73.5%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio Negro	2000	76.4%	80.1%	72.4%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio Negro	2017	77.1%	80.7%	73.2%
Brazil	Aparecida do Taboado	2000	85.8%	89.7%	81.7%
Brazil	Aparecida do Taboado	2017	86.3%	90.1%	82.2%
Brazil	Aparecida doeste	2000	87.8%	91.2%	84.1%
Brazil	Aparecida doeste	2017	88.2%	91.5%	84.6%
Brazil	Aperibé	2000	77.8%	81.6%	73.8%
Brazil	Aperibé	2017	78.6%	82.2%	74.7%
Brazil	Apiacá	2000	76.5%	80.4%	72.0%
Brazil	Apiacá	2017	77.1%	81.0%	72.8%
Brazil	Apiacás	2000	66.5%	74.9%	57.4%
Brazil	Apiacás	2017	67.5%	75.8%	58.4%
Brazil	Apiaiá	2000	90.7%	93.0%	88.0%
Brazil	Apiaiá	2017	91.0%	93.3%	88.3%
Brazil	Apicum-Açu	2000	57.9%	66.7%	48.7%
Brazil	Apicum-Açu	2017	58.9%	67.5%	49.8%
Brazil	Apiúna	2000	72.7%	76.3%	69.6%
Brazil	Apiúna	2017	73.5%	77.0%	70.4%
Brazil	Apodi	2000	78.1%	81.1%	74.3%
Brazil	Apodi	2017	78.7%	81.7%	75.1%
Brazil	Aporá	2000	78.4%	82.6%	74.6%
Brazil	Aporá	2017	79.1%	83.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Aporé	2000	79.5%	84.5%	73.9%
Brazil	Aporé	2017	80.1%	85.0%	74.6%
Brazil	Apuarema	2000	77.8%	82.2%	72.9%
Brazil	Apuarema	2017	78.4%	82.8%	73.6%
Brazil	Apucarana	2000	86.0%	88.1%	83.2%
Brazil	Apucarana	2017	86.4%	88.5%	83.7%
Brazil	Apuí	2000	63.4%	73.6%	52.2%
Brazil	Apuí	2017	64.5%	74.5%	53.4%
Brazil	Apuiarés	2000	70.3%	73.8%	66.4%
Brazil	Apuiarés	2017	71.2%	74.6%	67.3%
Brazil	Aquidabã	2000	72.6%	75.3%	69.7%
Brazil	Aquidabã	2017	73.4%	76.0%	70.5%
Brazil	Aquidauana	2000	78.9%	82.6%	74.4%
Brazil	Aquidauana	2017	79.5%	83.2%	75.1%
Brazil	Aquiraz	2000	68.0%	70.4%	65.4%
Brazil	Aquiraz	2017	69.1%	71.4%	66.6%
Brazil	Arabutã	2000	76.3%	79.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Arabutã	2017	77.1%	80.5%	72.2%
Brazil	Aracagi	2000	69.5%	72.2%	66.3%
Brazil	Aracagi	2017	70.4%	73.1%	67.2%
Brazil	Aracai	2000	81.2%	84.9%	76.0%
Brazil	Aracai	2017	81.8%	85.4%	76.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aracaju	2000	76.4%	78.0%	74.4%
Brazil	Aracaju	2017	77.1%	78.7%	75.2%
Brazil	Araçariгуama	2000	94.1%	95.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Araçariгуama	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Araçás	2000	75.4%	79.5%	70.9%
Brazil	Araçás	2017	76.1%	80.1%	71.7%
Brazil	Aracati	2000	73.9%	77.8%	69.8%
Brazil	Aracati	2017	74.6%	78.4%	70.6%
Brazil	Aracatu	2000	77.7%	82.4%	72.4%
Brazil	Aracatu	2017	78.3%	82.9%	73.1%
Brazil	Araçatuba	2000	92.2%	94.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Araçatuba	2017	92.4%	94.4%	89.7%
Brazil	Araci	2000	77.7%	81.6%	73.2%
Brazil	Araci	2017	78.4%	82.2%	73.9%
Brazil	Aracitaba	2000	79.7%	83.1%	75.4%
Brazil	Aracitaba	2017	80.3%	83.7%	76.1%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2000	69.8%	73.4%	66.0%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2000	66.9%	69.9%	64.5%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2017	70.6%	74.2%	66.9%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2017	67.7%	70.7%	65.4%
Brazil	Araçoiaba da Serra	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Araçoiaba da Serra	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Aracruz	2000	76.0%	78.8%	73.0%
Brazil	Aracruz	2017	76.8%	79.6%	73.9%
Brazil	Araçu	2000	77.0%	80.4%	73.8%
Brazil	Araçu	2017	77.6%	81.0%	74.5%
Brazil	Araçuaí	2000	82.2%	87.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Araçuaí	2017	82.8%	87.5%	76.3%
Brazil	Aragarças	2000	72.7%	78.7%	66.5%
Brazil	Aragarças	2017	73.4%	79.3%	67.3%
Brazil	Aragoiânia	2000	76.7%	79.5%	74.0%
Brazil	Aragoiânia	2017	77.6%	80.3%	74.9%
Brazil	Aragominas	2000	68.7%	73.3%	64.3%
Brazil	Aragominas	2017	69.5%	74.0%	65.1%
Brazil	Araguacema	2000	60.0%	66.3%	53.8%
Brazil	Araguacema	2017	60.8%	67.1%	54.7%
Brazil	Araguaçu	2000	74.6%	80.0%	68.0%
Brazil	Araguaçu	2017	75.3%	80.6%	68.8%
Brazil	Araguaiana	2000	71.3%	76.8%	64.5%
Brazil	Araguaiana	2017	72.1%	77.5%	65.4%
Brazil	Araguaína	2000	74.5%	77.8%	70.9%
Brazil	Araguaína	2017	76.2%	79.3%	72.8%
Brazil	Araguainha	2000	72.8%	79.5%	65.6%
Brazil	Araguainha	2017	73.5%	80.1%	66.5%
Brazil	Araguanã	2000	66.0%	70.7%	61.3%
Brazil	Araguanã	2000	58.4%	64.6%	52.3%
Brazil	Araguanã	2017	66.9%	71.5%	62.2%
Brazil	Araguanã	2017	59.4%	65.5%	53.2%
Brazil	Araguapaz	2000	74.2%	79.6%	67.2%
Brazil	Araguapaz	2017	74.9%	80.3%	68.0%
Brazil	Araguari	2000	81.8%	85.8%	77.4%
Brazil	Araguari	2017	82.4%	86.2%	78.1%
Brazil	Araguatins	2000	63.8%	68.1%	59.6%
Brazil	Araguatins	2017	64.7%	68.9%	60.4%
Brazil	Araioses	2000	61.2%	65.8%	56.7%
Brazil	Araioses	2017	62.1%	66.7%	57.6%
Brazil	Aral Moreira	2000	82.0%	86.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Aral Moreira	2017	82.6%	86.5%	78.3%
Brazil	Aramari	2000	77.0%	80.6%	73.6%
Brazil	Aramari	2017	77.7%	81.2%	74.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Arambaré	2000	82.6%	86.7%	78.6%
Brazil	Arambaré	2017	83.2%	87.2%	79.2%
Brazil	Arame	2000	62.4%	68.0%	56.6%
Brazil	Arame	2017	63.4%	68.9%	57.6%
Brazil	Aramina	2000	87.2%	90.4%	83.0%
Brazil	Aramina	2017	87.7%	90.8%	83.5%
Brazil	Arandu	2000	92.7%	94.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Arandu	2017	92.9%	94.9%	90.3%
Brazil	Arantina	2000	84.1%	87.3%	80.8%
Brazil	Arantina	2017	84.6%	87.7%	81.4%
Brazil	Arapeí	2000	84.7%	87.1%	81.9%
Brazil	Arapeí	2017	85.2%	87.5%	82.5%
Brazil	Arapiraca	2000	63.9%	66.7%	61.5%
Brazil	Arapiraca	2017	65.1%	67.8%	62.7%
Brazil	Arapoema	2000	63.7%	68.2%	57.4%
Brazil	Arapoema	2017	64.6%	69.0%	58.2%
Brazil	Araponga	2000	83.7%	86.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Araponga	2017	84.4%	87.3%	80.9%
Brazil	Arapongas	2000	86.1%	88.1%	83.2%
Brazil	Arapongas	2017	86.5%	88.6%	83.7%
Brazil	Araporã	2000	83.7%	87.3%	79.4%
Brazil	Araporã	2017	84.3%	87.7%	80.1%
Brazil	Arapoti	2000	87.5%	90.0%	84.4%
Brazil	Arapoti	2017	88.8%	91.2%	86.0%
Brazil	Arapu	2000	83.6%	87.1%	79.9%
Brazil	Arapu	2017	84.2%	87.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Arapuá	2000	81.3%	86.8%	74.0%
Brazil	Arapuá	2017	81.8%	87.2%	74.8%
Brazil	Araputanga	2000	67.6%	73.2%	60.6%
Brazil	Araputanga	2017	70.3%	75.8%	63.7%
Brazil	Araquari	2000	74.5%	78.0%	70.3%
Brazil	Araquari	2017	75.3%	78.7%	71.2%
Brazil	Arara	2000	71.2%	74.0%	68.0%
Brazil	Arara	2017	72.1%	74.9%	69.0%
Brazil	Araranguá	2000	76.5%	80.9%	72.0%
Brazil	Araranguá	2017	77.1%	81.4%	72.8%
Brazil	Araraquara	2000	93.8%	95.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Araraquara	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Araras	2000	92.6%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Araras	2017	92.9%	94.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Ararendá	2000	68.1%	73.4%	63.3%
Brazil	Ararendá	2017	68.9%	74.1%	64.1%
Brazil	Arari	2000	61.6%	65.9%	56.7%
Brazil	Arari	2017	62.5%	66.8%	57.6%
Brazil	Araricá	2000	80.0%	82.6%	77.6%
Brazil	Araricá	2017	80.6%	83.2%	78.3%
Brazil	Araripe	2000	68.1%	72.0%	63.9%
Brazil	Araripe	2017	68.9%	72.8%	64.8%
Brazil	Araripina	2000	65.3%	69.7%	60.8%
Brazil	Araripina	2017	66.2%	70.5%	61.8%
Brazil	Araruama	2000	77.7%	80.7%	74.2%
Brazil	Araruama	2017	78.4%	81.3%	74.9%
Brazil	Araruna	2000	84.4%	87.3%	81.1%
Brazil	Araruna	2000	78.8%	81.4%	75.9%
Brazil	Araruna	2017	84.9%	87.8%	81.7%
Brazil	Araruna	2017	79.5%	82.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Arataca	2000	77.6%	82.0%	72.2%
Brazil	Arataca	2017	78.3%	82.5%	73.0%
Brazil	Aratiba	2000	77.3%	80.6%	73.7%
Brazil	Aratiba	2017	77.9%	81.2%	74.4%
Brazil	Aratuba	2000	71.7%	75.8%	67.8%
Brazil	Aratuba	2017	72.4%	76.4%	68.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aratuípe	2000	75.8%	79.6%	71.5%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2017	76.5%	80.2%	72.3%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2000	75.0%	78.8%	71.1%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2017	75.7%	79.5%	71.9%
Brazil	Arauaá	2000	77.5%	80.3%	74.3%
Brazil	Arauaá	2017	78.1%	80.9%	75.1%
Brazil	Araucária	2000	84.7%	86.6%	82.5%
Brazil	Araucária	2017	85.4%	87.2%	83.2%
Brazil	Araujos	2000	80.9%	84.9%	76.3%
Brazil	Araujos	2017	81.5%	85.4%	77.0%
Brazil	Araújos	2000	82.8%	85.2%	80.4%
Brazil	Araújos	2017	83.4%	85.7%	81.0%
Brazil	Araxá	2000	85.2%	89.1%	80.1%
Brazil	Araxá	2017	85.7%	89.5%	80.7%
Brazil	Arceburgo	2000	88.3%	91.0%	84.8%
Brazil	Arceburgo	2017	88.7%	91.3%	85.3%
Brazil	Arco-íris	2000	91.7%	94.1%	89.0%
Brazil	Arco-íris	2017	92.0%	94.3%	89.4%
Brazil	Arcos	2000	82.0%	86.1%	77.0%
Brazil	Arcos	2017	82.5%	86.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Arcoverde	2000	66.4%	70.2%	62.2%
Brazil	Arcoverde	2017	67.3%	71.0%	63.1%
Brazil	Areado	2000	85.1%	88.7%	81.5%
Brazil	Areado	2017	85.6%	89.1%	82.0%
Brazil	Areal	2000	78.6%	81.4%	75.0%
Brazil	Areal	2017	79.0%	81.8%	75.5%
Brazil	Arealva	2000	93.3%	95.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Arealva	2017	93.5%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Areia	2000	66.4%	69.6%	63.3%
Brazil	Areia	2017	67.4%	70.5%	64.3%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2000	80.5%	83.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2000	78.0%	79.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2017	78.7%	80.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2017	81.1%	84.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Areia de Baraúnas	2000	71.3%	75.9%	66.8%
Brazil	Areia de Baraúnas	2017	72.2%	76.6%	67.6%
Brazil	Areial	2000	70.3%	73.7%	67.5%
Brazil	Areial	2017	71.1%	74.4%	68.4%
Brazil	Areias	2000	85.1%	87.7%	82.0%
Brazil	Areias	2017	85.5%	88.1%	82.5%
Brazil	Areiópolis	2000	94.2%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Areiópolis	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2000	67.1%	72.8%	59.9%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2017	68.0%	73.6%	60.8%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2000	75.0%	80.8%	68.5%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2017	75.6%	81.3%	69.3%
Brazil	Arês	2000	82.0%	84.0%	79.7%
Brazil	Arês	2017	82.6%	84.6%	80.4%
Brazil	Argirita	2000	80.3%	83.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Argirita	2017	80.9%	84.1%	77.2%
Brazil	Aricanduva	2000	83.5%	87.7%	76.9%
Brazil	Aricanduva	2017	84.1%	88.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Arinos	2000	84.5%	89.7%	78.4%
Brazil	Arinos	2017	85.0%	90.1%	79.0%
Brazil	Aripuanã	2000	59.4%	67.0%	52.4%
Brazil	Aripuanã	2017	60.6%	68.1%	53.6%
Brazil	Ariquemes	2000	27.8%	31.7%	24.3%
Brazil	Ariquemes	2017	28.8%	32.8%	25.2%
Brazil	Ariranha	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Ariranha	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ariranha do Ivaí	2000	83.3%	86.9%	79.2%
Brazil	Ariranha do Ivaí	2017	83.8%	87.3%	79.9%
Brazil	Armação dos Búzios	2000	75.8%	79.5%	70.5%
Brazil	Armação dos Búzios	2017	77.7%	81.3%	72.8%
Brazil	Armazém	2000	71.8%	76.2%	66.2%
Brazil	Armazém	2017	73.8%	78.0%	68.5%
Brazil	Arneiroz	2000	70.3%	75.3%	65.6%
Brazil	Arneiroz	2017	71.1%	76.0%	66.5%
Brazil	Aroazes	2000	61.2%	66.3%	55.5%
Brazil	Aroazes	2017	62.1%	67.2%	56.4%
Brazil	Aroeiras	2000	63.9%	67.5%	60.7%
Brazil	Aroeiras	2017	64.8%	68.3%	61.6%
Brazil	Arraial	2000	59.2%	64.2%	54.0%
Brazil	Arraial	2017	60.1%	65.0%	55.0%
Brazil	Arraial do Cabo	2000	77.9%	81.8%	73.0%
Brazil	Arraial do Cabo	2017	78.5%	82.3%	73.8%
Brazil	Arraias	2000	76.9%	81.7%	71.0%
Brazil	Arraias	2017	77.5%	82.2%	71.8%
Brazil	Arroio do Meio	2000	79.6%	83.5%	75.8%
Brazil	Arroio do Meio	2017	80.3%	84.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Arroio do Sal	2000	75.3%	80.2%	69.3%
Brazil	Arroio do Sal	2017	77.1%	81.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Arroio do Tigre	2000	83.2%	86.5%	79.3%
Brazil	Arroio do Tigre	2017	83.8%	87.0%	80.0%
Brazil	Arroio dos Ratos	2000	81.9%	84.8%	78.3%
Brazil	Arroio dos Ratos	2017	82.5%	85.3%	79.0%
Brazil	Arroio Grande	2000	79.8%	85.5%	73.6%
Brazil	Arroio Grande	2017	80.4%	86.0%	74.3%
Brazil	Arroio Trinta	2000	78.1%	82.0%	74.4%
Brazil	Arroio Trinta	2017	78.7%	82.5%	75.1%
Brazil	Artur Nogueira	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Artur Nogueira	2017	92.6%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Aruanã	2000	72.2%	78.1%	65.8%
Brazil	Aruanã	2017	72.9%	78.7%	66.4%
Brazil	Aruja	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Aruja	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Arvoredo	2000	76.6%	81.0%	72.1%
Brazil	Arvoredo	2017	77.3%	81.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Arvorezinha	2000	83.3%	86.8%	79.8%
Brazil	Arvorezinha	2017	83.8%	87.2%	80.5%
Brazil	Ascurra	2000	72.1%	75.8%	68.7%
Brazil	Ascurra	2017	73.0%	76.6%	69.6%
Brazil	Aspásia	2000	87.5%	91.4%	83.9%
Brazil	Aspásia	2017	87.9%	91.7%	84.4%
Brazil	Assaí	2000	86.3%	88.7%	83.5%
Brazil	Assaí	2017	86.7%	89.0%	84.0%
Brazil	Assaré	2000	69.6%	73.3%	65.1%
Brazil	Assaré	2017	70.4%	74.0%	66.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Assis	2000	91.1%	93.2%	88.8%
Brazil	Assis	2017	91.4%	93.5%	89.2%
Brazil	Assis Brazil	2000	45.5%	52.4%	38.9%
Brazil	Assis Brazil	2017	46.5%	53.5%	39.8%
Brazil	Assis	2000	84.4%	87.8%	80.5%
Brazil	Chateaubri				
Brazil	Assis	2017	84.9%	88.2%	81.1%
Brazil	Chateaubri				
Brazil	Assunção	2000	72.2%	76.2%	68.0%
Brazil	Assunção	2017	73.0%	76.9%	68.8%
Brazil	Assunção do Piauí	2000	67.2%	72.6%	61.7%
Brazil	Assunção do Piauí	2017	68.0%	73.4%	62.6%
Brazil	Astolfo Dutra	2000	78.8%	82.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Astolfo Dutra	2017	79.5%	83.0%	75.5%
Brazil	Astorga	2000	85.9%	88.5%	83.0%
Brazil	Astorga	2017	86.4%	88.9%	83.6%
Brazil	Atalaia do Norte	2000	57.6%	63.5%	50.1%
Brazil	Atalaia do Norte	2017	58.6%	64.4%	51.1%
Brazil	Atalanta	2000	74.6%	78.8%	69.9%
Brazil	Atalanta	2017	75.3%	79.4%	70.7%
Brazil	Ataleia	2000	80.8%	85.1%	75.6%
Brazil	Ataleia	2017	81.4%	85.6%	76.3%
Brazil	Ataléia	2000	61.8%	64.5%	59.1%
Brazil	Ataléia	2000	84.3%	87.0%	81.2%
Brazil	Ataléia	2017	84.8%	87.5%	81.8%
Brazil	Ataléia	2017	62.8%	65.4%	60.0%
Brazil	Atibaia	2000	93.3%	94.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Atibaia	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Atilio Vivacqua	2000	77.1%	80.3%	73.5%
Brazil	Atilio Vivacqua	2017	77.9%	81.0%	74.3%
Brazil	Augustinópolis	2000	68.3%	72.3%	64.3%
Brazil	Augustinópolis	2017	69.2%	73.1%	65.2%
Brazil	Augusto Corrêa	2000	41.8%	47.4%	36.7%
Brazil	Augusto Corrêa	2017	42.7%	48.4%	37.6%
Brazil	Augusto de Lima	2000	81.5%	86.5%	75.0%
Brazil	Augusto de Lima	2017	82.1%	87.0%	75.8%
Brazil	Augusto Pestana	2000	82.9%	86.6%	78.6%
Brazil	Augusto Pestana	2017	83.5%	87.0%	79.2%
Brazil	Augusto Severo	2000	79.9%	83.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Augusto Severo	2017	80.5%	83.5%	77.1%
Brazil	Aurelino Leal	2000	75.0%	80.0%	70.3%
Brazil	Aurelino Leal	2017	75.7%	80.6%	71.1%
Brazil	Auriflana	2000	89.9%	92.8%	86.9%
Brazil	Auriflana	2017	90.3%	93.0%	87.3%
Brazil	Aurilândia	2000	76.0%	80.3%	70.8%
Brazil	Aurilândia	2017	76.8%	80.9%	71.6%
Brazil	Aurora	2000	67.4%	71.0%	63.7%
Brazil	Aurora	2000	74.8%	78.7%	70.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aurora	2017	68.3%	71.9%	64.6%
Brazil	Aurora	2017	75.5%	79.3%	71.3%
Brazil	Aurora do Pará	2000	38.9%	43.7%	34.3%
Brazil	Aurora do Pará	2017	39.8%	44.7%	35.2%
Brazil	Aurora do Tocantins	2000	76.2%	81.4%	70.1%
Brazil	Aurora do Tocantins	2017	76.9%	82.0%	70.9%
Brazil	Autazes	2000	57.0%	61.6%	51.9%
Brazil	Autazes	2017	58.0%	62.6%	52.9%
Brazil	Avaí	2000	93.1%	94.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Avaí	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Avanhandava	2000	93.2%	95.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Avanhandava	2017	93.5%	95.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Avare	2000	93.6%	95.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Avare	2017	93.8%	95.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Aveiro	2000	43.1%	49.5%	36.5%
Brazil	Aveiro	2017	44.0%	50.5%	37.4%
Brazil	Avelino Lopes	2000	68.1%	75.2%	60.5%
Brazil	Avelino Lopes	2017	69.0%	75.9%	61.5%
Brazil	Avelinópolis	2000	76.4%	80.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Avelinópolis	2017	77.1%	80.6%	73.8%
Brazil	Axixá	2000	60.7%	64.8%	56.1%
Brazil	Axixá	2017	61.6%	65.7%	57.0%
Brazil	Axixá do Tocantins	2000	69.5%	73.3%	65.5%
Brazil	Axixá do Tocantins	2017	70.3%	74.0%	66.4%
Brazil	Babaçulândia	2000	73.2%	77.1%	68.9%
Brazil	Babaçulândia	2017	73.9%	77.7%	69.8%
Brazil	Bacabal	2000	59.8%	64.6%	55.1%
Brazil	Bacabal	2017	60.7%	65.5%	56.1%
Brazil	Bacabeira	2000	59.8%	64.4%	55.6%
Brazil	Bacabeira	2017	60.7%	65.2%	56.4%
Brazil	Bacuri	2000	59.4%	66.8%	51.6%
Brazil	Bacuri	2017	60.3%	67.6%	52.5%
Brazil	Bacurituba	2000	59.8%	64.7%	54.9%
Brazil	Bacurituba	2017	60.7%	65.6%	55.8%
Brazil	Bady Bassitt	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Bady Bassitt	2017	92.8%	94.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Baependi	2000	84.8%	87.9%	81.2%
Brazil	Baependi	2017	85.3%	88.3%	81.8%
Brazil	Bagé	2000	38.8%	44.7%	32.2%
Brazil	Bagé	2017	39.7%	45.7%	33.0%
Brazil	Baía da Traição	2000	69.5%	73.3%	65.9%
Brazil	Baía da Traição	2017	70.3%	74.0%	66.8%
Brazil	Baía Formosa	2000	75.1%	78.3%	71.9%
Brazil	Baía Formosa	2017	75.8%	79.0%	72.6%
Brazil	Baianópolis	2000	76.2%	82.7%	68.3%
Brazil	Baianópolis	2017	76.9%	83.2%	69.1%
Brazil	Baião	2000	37.9%	43.5%	32.7%
Brazil	Baião	2017	38.8%	44.5%	33.5%
Brazil	Baixa Grande	2000	78.9%	83.3%	74.2%
Brazil	Baixa Grande	2017	79.3%	83.7%	74.7%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2000	63.1%	70.4%	55.5%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2017	64.1%	71.3%	56.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Baixio	2000	70.7%	74.5%	67.1%
Brazil	Baixio	2017	71.5%	75.2%	68.0%
Brazil	Baixo Guandu	2000	76.2%	79.8%	72.3%
Brazil	Baixo Guandu	2017	77.0%	80.4%	73.1%
Brazil	Baje	2000	83.5%	88.7%	78.3%
Brazil	Baje	2017	84.0%	89.0%	78.9%
Brazil	Balbinos	2000	93.1%	95.1%	90.6%
Brazil	Balbinos	2017	93.3%	95.3%	90.9%
Brazil	Baldim	2000	80.9%	84.5%	76.4%
Brazil	Baldim	2017	81.5%	85.1%	77.1%
Brazil	Baliza	2000	72.2%	78.6%	65.6%
Brazil	Baliza	2017	73.2%	79.4%	66.8%
Brazil	Balneário Arroio do Silva	2000	74.1%	77.9%	69.8%
Brazil	Balneário Arroio do Silva	2017	74.8%	78.6%	70.6%
Brazil	Balneário Barra do Sul	2000	73.4%	78.5%	67.9%
Brazil	Balneário Barra do Sul	2017	75.4%	80.2%	70.1%
Brazil	Balneário Camboriú	2000	72.6%	76.9%	67.7%
Brazil	Balneário Camboriú	2017	75.0%	79.1%	70.3%
Brazil	Balneário Gaivota	2000	74.1%	79.3%	68.6%
Brazil	Balneário Gaivota	2017	75.0%	80.0%	69.5%
Brazil	Balneário Pinhal	2000	80.9%	85.5%	76.0%
Brazil	Balneário Pinhal	2017	81.5%	86.0%	76.7%
Brazil	Balsa Nova	2000	82.1%	84.7%	79.2%
Brazil	Balsa Nova	2017	82.7%	85.2%	79.9%
Brazil	Bálsamo	2000	91.8%	93.7%	89.3%
Brazil	Bálsamo	2017	92.1%	94.0%	89.6%
Brazil	Balsas	2000	65.8%	73.1%	58.2%
Brazil	Balsas	2017	67.7%	74.7%	60.3%
Brazil	Bambuí	2000	83.9%	88.1%	78.9%
Brazil	Bambuí	2017	84.5%	88.5%	79.5%
Brazil	Banabuiú	2000	69.9%	74.6%	65.4%
Brazil	Banabuiú	2017	70.7%	75.3%	66.2%
Brazil	Bananal	2000	84.9%	87.2%	82.3%
Brazil	Bananal	2017	85.4%	87.6%	82.9%
Brazil	Bananeiras	2000	71.0%	73.8%	67.9%
Brazil	Bananeiras	2017	71.9%	74.6%	68.9%
Brazil	Bandeira	2000	82.7%	87.0%	77.3%
Brazil	Bandeira	2017	83.3%	87.4%	78.0%
Brazil	Bandeirante	2000	79.8%	84.2%	75.2%
Brazil	Bandeirante	2017	80.4%	84.7%	75.9%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2000	79.3%	83.3%	74.1%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2000	88.6%	90.9%	85.8%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2017	79.9%	83.8%	74.9%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2017	89.0%	91.3%	86.3%
Brazil	Bandeirantes do Tocantins	2000	72.7%	76.5%	68.3%
Brazil	Bandeirantes do Tocantins	2017	73.5%	77.2%	69.2%
Brazil	Bandiera do Sul	2000	88.5%	91.4%	85.0%
Brazil	Bandiera do Sul	2017	88.9%	91.7%	85.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bannach	2000	41.7%	49.4%	33.9%
Brazil	Bannach	2017	42.6%	50.3%	34.6%
Brazil	Banzaê	2000	76.5%	81.2%	71.2%
Brazil	Banzaê	2017	77.2%	81.8%	72.0%
Brazil	Barão de Antonina	2000	89.8%	92.4%	86.6%
Brazil	Barão de Antonina	2017	90.2%	92.6%	87.0%
Brazil	Barão de Coacais	2000	82.5%	86.0%	79.6%
Brazil	Barão de Coacais	2017	83.1%	86.5%	80.2%
Brazil	Barao de Cote-gipe	2000	79.4%	82.5%	76.0%
Brazil	Barao de Cote-gipe	2017	80.0%	83.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Barão de Grajaú	2000	61.7%	66.4%	57.0%
Brazil	Barão de Grajaú	2017	62.6%	67.3%	58.0%
Brazil	Barão de Melgaço	2000	69.3%	74.6%	63.2%
Brazil	Barão de Melgaço	2017	70.2%	75.3%	64.2%
Brazil	Barão de Monte Alto	2000	78.8%	82.3%	74.8%
Brazil	Barão de Monte Alto	2017	79.4%	82.8%	75.5%
Brazil	Barão do Triunfo	2000	83.0%	85.8%	80.3%
Brazil	Barão do Triunfo	2017	83.6%	86.3%	80.9%
Brazil	Baraúna	2000	79.0%	81.8%	75.8%
Brazil	Baraúna	2000	76.6%	80.0%	72.5%
Brazil	Baraúna	2017	80.1%	82.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Baraúna	2017	77.3%	80.6%	73.3%
Brazil	Barbacena	2000	84.8%	87.7%	81.3%
Brazil	Barbacena	2017	85.3%	88.2%	81.9%
Brazil	Barbalha	2000	69.3%	72.7%	65.6%
Brazil	Barbalha	2017	70.1%	73.4%	66.4%
Brazil	Barbosa	2000	92.4%	94.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Barbosa	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Barbosa Ferraz	2000	82.5%	85.7%	78.8%
Brazil	Barbosa Ferraz	2017	83.1%	86.2%	79.4%
Brazil	Barcarena	2000	36.2%	39.8%	33.2%
Brazil	Barcarena	2017	37.1%	40.8%	34.1%
Brazil	Barcelona	2000	83.1%	85.8%	80.5%
Brazil	Barcelona	2017	83.6%	86.3%	81.1%
Brazil	Barcelos	2000	71.4%	77.4%	62.9%
Brazil	Barcelos	2017	73.0%	78.8%	64.9%
Brazil	Bariri	2000	93.4%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Bariri	2017	93.6%	95.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Baro	2000	83.8%	86.2%	81.3%
Brazil	Baro	2017	84.2%	86.6%	81.9%
Brazil	Barra	2000	72.3%	78.8%	63.3%
Brazil	Barra	2017	73.1%	79.4%	64.2%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2000	94.0%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2000	78.5%	82.6%	74.1%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2017	79.2%	83.2%	74.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barra d'Alcântara	2000	61.1%	66.0%	56.1%
Brazil	Barra d'Alcântara	2017	62.0%	66.8%	57.0%
Brazil	Barra da Choça	2000	80.8%	85.1%	76.1%
Brazil	Barra da Choça	2017	81.4%	85.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Barra da Estiva	2000	78.5%	83.6%	72.6%
Brazil	Barra da Estiva	2017	79.1%	84.0%	73.3%
Brazil	Barra de Guabira	2000	68.5%	72.1%	65.0%
Brazil	Barra de Guabira	2017	69.3%	72.9%	65.9%
Brazil	Barra de Santa Rosa	2000	77.2%	80.4%	73.7%
Brazil	Barra de Santa Rosa	2017	77.9%	81.0%	74.5%
Brazil	Barra de Santana	2000	65.9%	69.2%	62.7%
Brazil	Barra de Santana	2017	66.8%	70.1%	63.6%
Brazil	Barra de Santo Antônio	2000	59.6%	63.1%	56.0%
Brazil	Barra de Santo Antônio	2017	60.6%	64.1%	57.1%
Brazil	Barra de São Francisco	2000	80.6%	83.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Barra de São Francisco	2017	81.2%	84.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2000	57.4%	60.8%	53.7%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2000	67.5%	71.6%	63.0%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2017	68.3%	72.4%	63.9%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2017	60.3%	63.6%	56.7%
Brazil	Barra do Chapéu	2000	89.4%	92.0%	86.2%
Brazil	Barra do Chapéu	2017	89.7%	92.3%	86.6%
Brazil	Barra do Corda	2000	61.7%	67.7%	56.1%
Brazil	Barra do Corda	2017	62.6%	68.6%	57.1%
Brazil	Barra do Garças	2000	72.3%	78.0%	66.4%
Brazil	Barra do Garças	2017	73.1%	78.7%	67.3%
Brazil	Barra do Guarita	2000	78.3%	82.7%	73.1%
Brazil	Barra do Guarita	2017	78.9%	83.2%	73.9%
Brazil	Barra do Jacaré	2000	89.9%	92.2%	87.1%
Brazil	Barra do Jacaré	2017	90.2%	92.5%	87.5%
Brazil	Barra do Mendes	2000	77.5%	83.0%	71.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barra do Mendes	2017	78.3%	83.6%	72.4%
Brazil	Barra do Ouro	2000	73.1%	78.1%	68.1%
Brazil	Barra do Ouro	2017	73.8%	78.8%	68.9%
Brazil	Barra do Piraí	2000	78.4%	80.9%	75.4%
Brazil	Barra do Piraí	2017	79.0%	81.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Barra do Quaraí	2000	80.3%	89.2%	68.9%
Brazil	Barra do Quaraí	2017	80.9%	89.6%	69.8%
Brazil	Barra do Ribeiro	2000	82.3%	85.3%	79.4%
Brazil	Barra do Ribeiro	2017	82.8%	85.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Barra do Rio Azul	2000	77.4%	80.8%	73.9%
Brazil	Barra do Rio Azul	2017	78.1%	81.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Barra do Rocha	2000	76.6%	81.1%	72.0%
Brazil	Barra do Rocha	2017	77.0%	81.4%	72.4%
Brazil	Barra do Turvo	2000	88.4%	91.3%	85.2%
Brazil	Barra do Turvo	2017	88.9%	91.6%	85.7%
Brazil	Barra dos Bugre	2000	66.3%	71.6%	60.2%
Brazil	Barra dos Bugre	2017	67.1%	72.4%	61.1%
Brazil	Barra dos Coqueiros	2000	74.3%	76.3%	72.2%
Brazil	Barra dos Coqueiros	2017	75.0%	76.9%	72.9%
Brazil	Barra Funda	2000	79.9%	83.7%	76.2%
Brazil	Barra Funda	2017	80.5%	84.2%	76.9%
Brazil	Barra Longa	2000	80.4%	84.1%	76.5%
Brazil	Barra Longa	2017	81.0%	84.6%	77.2%
Brazil	Barra Mansa	2000	82.3%	84.7%	79.7%
Brazil	Barra Mansa	2017	82.9%	85.2%	80.4%
Brazil	Barra Velha	2000	74.4%	77.7%	69.6%
Brazil	Barra Velha	2017	75.3%	78.6%	70.6%
Brazil	Barracão	2000	77.0%	81.0%	72.3%
Brazil	Barracão	2000	82.3%	86.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Barracão	2017	77.6%	81.6%	73.0%
Brazil	Barracão	2017	82.8%	86.8%	78.3%
Brazil	Barras	2000	59.6%	64.4%	55.2%
Brazil	Barras	2017	60.5%	65.2%	56.2%
Brazil	Barreira	2000	69.5%	73.0%	65.6%
Brazil	Barreira	2017	70.3%	73.8%	66.4%
Brazil	Barreiras	2000	77.7%	83.6%	71.9%
Brazil	Barreiras	2017	78.4%	84.1%	72.7%
Brazil	Barreiras do Piauí	2000	65.9%	73.4%	58.9%
Brazil	Barreiras do Piauí	2017	66.8%	74.2%	59.9%
Brazil	Barreirinha	2000	57.0%	61.3%	51.9%
Brazil	Barreirinha	2017	57.9%	62.2%	52.9%
Brazil	Barreirinhas	2000	61.4%	67.4%	54.3%
Brazil	Barreirinhas	2017	62.5%	68.4%	55.4%
Brazil	Barreiros	2000	64.0%	68.1%	59.6%
Brazil	Barreiros	2017	64.9%	68.9%	60.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barretos	2000	92.3%	94.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Barretos	2017	92.5%	94.6%	89.9%
Brazil	Barrinha	2000	92.5%	94.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Barrinha	2017	92.7%	94.4%	90.2%
Brazil	Barro	2000	71.1%	75.0%	67.7%
Brazil	Barro	2017	71.9%	75.7%	68.5%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2000	82.3%	86.1%	77.4%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2000	77.4%	82.7%	71.5%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2017	82.8%	86.6%	78.0%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2017	78.0%	83.3%	72.3%
Brazil	Barro Duro	2000	59.2%	63.6%	53.8%
Brazil	Barro Duro	2017	60.1%	64.5%	54.8%
Brazil	Barro Preto	2000	76.2%	80.2%	71.2%
Brazil	Barro Preto	2017	76.8%	80.7%	71.9%
Brazil	Barrolândia	2000	74.2%	78.3%	70.3%
Brazil	Barrolândia	2017	75.0%	78.9%	71.2%
Brazil	Barroquinha	2000	65.5%	70.7%	60.1%
Brazil	Barroquinha	2017	66.3%	71.5%	61.0%
Brazil	Barros Cassal	2000	83.4%	86.6%	79.6%
Brazil	Barros Cassal	2017	83.9%	87.1%	80.2%
Brazil	Barroso	2000	82.7%	86.3%	78.6%
Brazil	Barroso	2017	83.3%	86.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Barueri	2000	94.1%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Barueri	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Bastos	2000	91.8%	93.8%	89.2%
Brazil	Bastos	2017	92.1%	94.1%	89.6%
Brazil	Bataguassu	2000	83.9%	87.4%	79.5%
Brazil	Bataguassu	2017	84.4%	87.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Bataiporã	2000	83.3%	86.6%	79.1%
Brazil	Bataiporã	2017	83.8%	87.1%	79.8%
Brazil	Batalha	2000	59.4%	63.3%	55.3%
Brazil	Batalha	2000	63.8%	66.9%	60.8%
Brazil	Batalha	2017	60.2%	64.2%	56.2%
Brazil	Batalha	2017	64.7%	67.8%	61.7%
Brazil	Batatais	2000	89.9%	92.2%	86.8%
Brazil	Batatais	2017	90.3%	92.5%	87.2%
Brazil	Baturité	2000	70.4%	74.1%	66.8%
Brazil	Baturité	2017	71.2%	74.8%	67.6%
Brazil	Bauru	2000	93.8%	95.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Bauru	2017	94.0%	95.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Bayeux	2000	67.6%	70.2%	65.0%
Brazil	Bayeux	2017	68.4%	71.0%	65.9%
Brazil	Bebedouro	2000	92.8%	94.5%	90.8%
Brazil	Bebedouro	2017	93.0%	94.7%	91.1%
Brazil	Beberibe	2000	69.7%	73.4%	65.5%
Brazil	Beberibe	2017	70.5%	74.1%	66.4%
Brazil	Bela Cruz	2000	68.0%	72.8%	62.4%
Brazil	Bela Cruz	2017	68.9%	73.5%	63.3%
Brazil	Bela Vista	2000	79.5%	84.6%	73.1%
Brazil	Bela Vista	2017	80.2%	85.2%	73.9%
Brazil	Bela Vista da Caroba	2000	82.3%	86.2%	78.5%
Brazil	Bela Vista da Caroba	2017	82.9%	86.6%	79.1%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Goiás	2000	78.9%	81.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Goiás	2017	79.5%	82.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Minas	2000	80.7%	84.6%	77.1%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Minas	2017	81.3%	85.1%	77.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bela Vista do Maranhão	2000	60.6%	65.3%	55.5%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Maranhão	2017	61.6%	66.2%	56.5%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Paraíso	2000	87.4%	89.4%	84.9%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Paraíso	2017	87.9%	89.7%	85.4%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Piauí	2000	61.4%	66.6%	55.4%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Piauí	2017	62.3%	67.5%	56.4%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Toldo	2000	77.9%	81.8%	73.8%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Toldo	2017	78.6%	82.4%	74.5%
Brazil	Belágua	2000	61.3%	66.9%	55.1%
Brazil	Belágua	2017	62.2%	67.7%	56.0%
Brazil	Belém	2000	72.0%	74.6%	68.9%
Brazil	Belém	2000	37.1%	39.5%	35.1%
Brazil	Belém	2000	60.0%	62.7%	57.5%
Brazil	Belém	2017	60.9%	63.7%	58.5%
Brazil	Belém	2017	38.0%	40.5%	36.0%
Brazil	Belém	2017	74.1%	76.6%	71.1%
Brazil	Belém de Maria	2000	63.6%	67.7%	60.1%
Brazil	Belém de Maria	2017	64.4%	68.5%	60.9%
Brazil	Belém de São Francisco	2000	63.8%	69.6%	57.8%
Brazil	Belém de São Francisco	2017	64.6%	70.3%	58.7%
Brazil	Belém do Brejo do Cruz	2000	77.6%	81.0%	74.0%
Brazil	Belém do Brejo do Cruz	2017	78.3%	81.6%	74.8%
Brazil	Belém do Piauí	2000	62.5%	67.4%	58.0%
Brazil	Belém do Piauí	2017	63.4%	68.2%	58.8%
Brazil	Belford Roxo	2000	77.8%	79.2%	76.2%
Brazil	Belford Roxo	2017	78.5%	79.9%	76.9%
Brazil	Belmiro Braga	2000	80.0%	83.2%	76.8%
Brazil	Belmiro Braga	2017	80.6%	83.8%	77.4%
Brazil	Belmonte	2000	79.7%	83.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Belmonte	2000	75.7%	81.2%	69.3%
Brazil	Belmonte	2017	80.3%	84.4%	76.2%
Brazil	Belmonte	2017	76.4%	81.8%	70.1%
Brazil	Belo Campo	2000	78.4%	83.3%	72.3%
Brazil	Belo Campo	2017	79.1%	83.9%	73.1%
Brazil	Belo Horizonte	2000	82.7%	85.0%	80.2%
Brazil	Belo Horizonte	2017	83.3%	85.5%	80.9%
Brazil	Belo Jardim	2000	67.3%	70.7%	64.0%
Brazil	Belo Jardim	2017	68.1%	71.5%	64.9%
Brazil	Belo Monte	2000	65.7%	69.0%	61.7%
Brazil	Belo Monte	2017	66.6%	69.8%	62.6%
Brazil	Belo Oriente	2000	79.8%	83.9%	75.1%
Brazil	Belo Oriente	2017	80.5%	84.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Belo Vale	2000	80.8%	84.2%	77.6%
Brazil	Belo Vale	2017	81.4%	84.7%	78.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Belterra	2000	41.0%	47.3%	35.9%
Brazil	Belterra	2017	41.8%	48.1%	36.6%
Brazil	Beneditinos	2000	58.0%	62.4%	53.3%
Brazil	Beneditinos	2017	60.0%	64.3%	55.3%
Brazil	Benedito	2000	61.5%	68.8%	53.0%
Brazil	Leite				
Brazil	Benedito	2017	62.4%	69.7%	54.0%
Brazil	Leite				
Brazil	Benedito	2000	73.9%	77.3%	70.3%
Brazil	Novo				
Brazil	Benedito	2017	74.7%	78.0%	71.1%
Brazil	Novo				
Brazil	Benevides	2000	35.7%	38.1%	33.2%
Brazil	Benevides	2017	36.6%	39.1%	34.1%
Brazil	Benjamin	2000	61.5%	67.8%	55.2%
Brazil	Constant				
Brazil	Benjamin	2017	62.4%	68.6%	56.1%
Brazil	Constant				
Brazil	Benjamin	2000	78.5%	81.6%	74.9%
Brazil	Constant do				
Brazil	Sul	2017	79.1%	82.1%	75.6%
Brazil	Benjamin				
Brazil	Constant do				
Brazil	Sul	2000	90.7%	93.0%	87.8%
Brazil	Bento de				
Brazil	Abreu	2017	91.0%	93.3%	88.2%
Brazil	Bento de				
Brazil	Abreu	2000	84.3%	87.0%	81.6%
Brazil	Bento Fernan-				
Brazil	des	2017	84.8%	87.4%	82.1%
Brazil	Bento Fernan-				
Brazil	des	2000	83.4%	86.2%	80.5%
Brazil	Bento				
Brazil	Gonçalves	2017	84.0%	86.7%	81.2%
Brazil	Bento				
Brazil	Gonçalves	2000	60.0%	64.7%	54.5%
Brazil	Bequimão	2017	61.0%	65.6%	55.5%
Brazil	Berilo	2000	81.9%	86.6%	75.7%
Brazil	Berilo	2017	82.4%	87.0%	76.5%
Brazil	Berizal	2000	79.7%	84.8%	74.0%
Brazil	Berizal	2017	80.3%	85.3%	74.7%
Brazil	Bernardino	2000	73.7%	77.6%	69.7%
Brazil	Batista				
Brazil	Bernardino	2017	74.4%	78.2%	70.5%
Brazil	Batista				
Brazil	Bernardino de	2000	92.6%	94.5%	90.2%
Brazil	Campos				
Brazil	Bernardino de	2017	92.9%	94.7%	90.5%
Brazil	Campos				
Brazil	Bernardo do	2000	60.0%	65.2%	54.7%
Brazil	Mearim				
Brazil	Bernardo do	2017	60.9%	66.1%	55.7%
Brazil	Mearim				
Brazil	Bernardo	2000	65.8%	70.5%	60.8%
Brazil	Sayão				
Brazil	Bernardo	2017	66.6%	71.3%	61.7%
Brazil	Sayão				
Brazil	Bertioga	2000	87.4%	89.4%	85.0%
Brazil	Bertioga	2017	88.1%	90.0%	85.8%
Brazil	Bertolândia	2000	61.1%	67.4%	52.8%
Brazil	Bertolândia	2017	62.1%	68.3%	53.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bertópolis	2000	80.2%	84.9%	74.6%
Brazil	Bertópolis	2017	80.8%	85.3%	75.3%
Brazil	Beruri	2000	59.0%	64.4%	53.5%
Brazil	Beruri	2017	59.9%	65.3%	54.4%
Brazil	Betânia	2000	65.9%	71.3%	60.5%
Brazil	Betânia	2017	66.8%	72.1%	61.4%
Brazil	Betânia do Piauí	2000	64.2%	69.0%	59.1%
Brazil	Betânia do Piauí	2017	65.0%	69.7%	59.9%
Brazil	Betim	2000	81.7%	84.5%	78.8%
Brazil	Betim	2017	82.3%	85.0%	79.4%
Brazil	Bezerros	2000	68.7%	71.6%	65.8%
Brazil	Bezerros	2017	69.6%	72.4%	66.6%
Brazil	Bias Fortes	2000	81.0%	84.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Bias Fortes	2017	81.6%	85.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Bicas	2000	81.3%	84.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Bicas	2017	81.9%	84.8%	78.7%
Brazil	Biguaçu	2000	72.6%	77.6%	66.8%
Brazil	Biguaçu	2017	73.4%	78.3%	67.7%
Brazil	Bilac	2000	91.9%	93.9%	89.2%
Brazil	Bilac	2017	92.2%	94.1%	89.6%
Brazil	Biquinhas	2000	80.0%	85.7%	72.5%
Brazil	Biquinhas	2017	80.6%	86.1%	73.3%
Brazil	Birigui	2000	92.3%	94.3%	89.5%
Brazil	Birigui	2017	92.5%	94.6%	89.9%
Brazil	Biritiba Mirim	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Biritiba Mirim	2017	93.8%	94.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Biritinga	2000	79.0%	82.3%	74.4%
Brazil	Biritinga	2017	79.7%	82.9%	75.1%
Brazil	Bituruna	2000	80.4%	84.5%	75.5%
Brazil	Bituruna	2017	81.0%	85.0%	76.3%
Brazil	Blumenau	2000	72.9%	75.9%	69.8%
Brazil	Blumenau	2017	73.7%	76.6%	70.6%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	76.6%	81.4%	72.9%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	84.3%	87.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	83.7%	86.9%	79.3%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	77.3%	82.0%	73.7%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	84.2%	87.4%	79.9%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	84.8%	88.0%	80.8%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Iguaçu	2000	82.3%	86.3%	78.7%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Iguaçu	2017	82.8%	86.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Sul	2000	93.7%	95.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Sul	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Boa Hora	2000	59.1%	63.9%	54.4%
Brazil	Boa Hora	2017	60.0%	64.8%	55.4%
Brazil	Boa Nova	2000	81.0%	85.0%	77.1%
Brazil	Boa Nova	2017	81.6%	85.5%	77.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Boa Ventura	2000	67.2%	71.2%	63.0%
Brazil	Boa Ventura	2017	68.1%	71.9%	63.9%
Brazil	Boa Ventura de São Roque	2000	83.4%	87.8%	79.1%
Brazil	Boa Ventura de São Roque	2017	84.0%	88.3%	79.8%
Brazil	Boa Viagem	2000	70.8%	75.0%	66.2%
Brazil	Boa Viagem	2017	71.6%	75.7%	67.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2000	69.5%	73.5%	65.9%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2000	86.3%	87.5%	84.9%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2017	86.7%	87.9%	85.4%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2017	70.3%	74.3%	66.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista da Aparecida	2000	82.9%	86.0%	79.5%
Brazil	Boa Vista da Aparecida	2017	83.5%	86.5%	80.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Misses	2000	80.5%	84.5%	76.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Misses	2017	81.1%	85.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Missões	2000	80.8%	84.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Missões	2017	81.4%	85.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Buricá	2000	79.8%	84.2%	74.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Buricá	2017	80.4%	84.7%	75.5%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Gurupi	2000	46.6%	52.5%	40.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Gurupi	2017	48.3%	54.1%	42.4%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Ramos	2000	57.8%	62.7%	52.2%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Ramos	2017	58.8%	63.7%	53.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Sul	2000	83.1%	85.8%	80.2%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Sul	2017	83.6%	86.3%	80.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Tupim	2000	75.7%	80.8%	69.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Tupim	2017	76.3%	81.4%	70.6%
Brazil	Boca da Mata	2000	60.1%	63.2%	57.1%
Brazil	Boca da Mata	2017	61.0%	64.0%	58.0%
Brazil	Boca do Acre	2000	50.5%	57.0%	44.3%
Brazil	Boca do Acre	2017	51.4%	57.9%	45.3%
Brazil	Bocaina	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Bocaina	2000	61.8%	67.2%	57.4%
Brazil	Bocaina	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Bocaina	2017	62.8%	68.0%	58.4%
Brazil	Bocaina de Minas	2000	86.1%	88.6%	83.4%
Brazil	Bocaina de Minas	2017	86.6%	89.0%	84.0%
Brazil	Bocaina do Sul	2000	76.8%	81.1%	71.7%
Brazil	Bocaina do Sul	2017	77.4%	81.6%	72.5%
Brazil	Bocaiúva	2000	82.4%	86.9%	77.7%
Brazil	Bocaiúva	2017	83.0%	87.4%	78.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bocaiúva do Sul	2000	86.3%	88.9%	83.5%
Brazil	Bocaiúva do Sul	2017	86.8%	89.3%	84.0%
Brazil	Bodó	2000	84.9%	87.5%	82.1%
Brazil	Bodó	2017	85.3%	87.9%	82.6%
Brazil	Bodocó	2000	67.1%	71.7%	62.2%
Brazil	Bodocó	2017	67.9%	72.5%	63.1%
Brazil	Bodoquena	2000	82.7%	87.1%	76.5%
Brazil	Bodoquena	2017	82.0%	86.5%	75.6%
Brazil	Bofete	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Bofete	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Boituva	2000	93.4%	94.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Boituva	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.1%
Brazil	Bom Conselho	2000	64.1%	67.7%	60.7%
Brazil	Bom Conselho	2017	65.0%	68.5%	61.6%
Brazil	Bom despacho	2000	80.4%	84.7%	75.8%
Brazil	Bom despacho	2017	81.0%	85.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	63.1%	66.6%	60.0%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	82.2%	85.0%	79.4%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	64.0%	67.5%	60.9%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	82.8%	85.5%	80.0%
Brazil	Bom Jardim da Serra	2000	81.4%	85.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Bom Jardim da Serra	2017	82.0%	85.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Goiás	2000	72.5%	78.1%	66.8%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Goiás	2017	73.3%	78.8%	67.7%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Minas	2000	83.8%	87.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Minas	2017	84.4%	87.5%	81.3%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	59.7%	64.4%	55.6%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	60.7%	65.4%	56.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	82.4%	84.4%	80.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	60.3%	67.4%	52.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	71.1%	75.2%	67.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	76.8%	81.1%	72.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	80.0%	84.3%	75.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	71.9%	75.9%	68.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	80.6%	84.8%	76.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	77.5%	81.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	61.2%	68.3%	53.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	83.0%	84.9%	80.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Lapa	2000	76.2%	82.0%	68.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Lapa	2017	77.8%	83.3%	70.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Penha	2000	86.4%	89.8%	82.1%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Penha	2017	86.8%	90.1%	82.6%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Serra	2000	79.3%	84.3%	73.6%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Serra	2017	79.9%	84.8%	74.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus das Selvas	2000	58.7%	64.1%	53.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus das Selvas	2017	59.7%	65.2%	54.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bom Jesus de Goiás	2000	79.8%	83.5%	75.6%
Brazil	Bom Jesus de Goiás	2017	80.4%	84.1%	76.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Amparo	2000	82.2%	85.5%	78.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Amparo	2017	82.8%	86.0%	79.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Galho	2000	81.2%	84.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Galho	2017	81.7%	85.1%	78.1%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Itabapoana	2000	77.3%	81.3%	73.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Itabapoana	2017	78.0%	81.9%	74.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Norte	2000	76.8%	80.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Norte	2017	77.5%	81.4%	73.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Oeste	2000	79.1%	83.1%	75.1%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Oeste	2017	79.8%	83.6%	75.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Sul	2000	82.0%	86.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Sul	2017	82.6%	86.8%	77.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2000	48.9%	54.7%	43.6%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2000	73.9%	78.5%	68.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2017	49.8%	55.6%	44.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2017	74.7%	79.3%	69.8%
Brazil	Bom Lugar	2000	61.0%	66.2%	56.2%
Brazil	Bom Lugar	2017	61.9%	67.1%	57.1%
Brazil	Bom Princípio	2000	79.3%	82.1%	76.5%
Brazil	Bom Princípio	2017	80.0%	82.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Bom Princípio do Piauí	2000	64.7%	68.8%	60.6%
Brazil	Bom Princípio do Piauí	2017	65.6%	69.6%	61.6%
Brazil	Bom Progresso	2000	81.0%	85.2%	76.3%
Brazil	Bom Progresso	2017	81.6%	85.7%	77.0%
Brazil	Bom Repouso	2000	92.7%	94.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Bom Repouso	2017	92.9%	94.4%	91.2%
Brazil	Bom Retiro	2000	77.0%	81.1%	71.7%
Brazil	Bom Retiro	2017	77.7%	81.7%	72.6%
Brazil	Bom Retiro do Sul	2000	80.2%	83.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Bom Retiro do Sul	2017	82.0%	85.2%	78.8%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	80.7%	84.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	76.0%	79.6%	72.5%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	85.0%	87.6%	81.8%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	81.3%	85.1%	76.8%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	76.7%	80.2%	73.3%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	85.5%	88.1%	82.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bom Sucesso de Itararé	2000	89.7%	92.2%	86.4%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso de Itararé	2017	90.0%	92.5%	86.8%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso do Sul	2000	81.4%	85.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso do Sul	2017	81.9%	85.9%	77.5%
Brazil	Bombinhas	2000	72.2%	77.2%	66.2%
Brazil	Bombinhas	2017	72.9%	77.8%	67.0%
Brazil	Bon Jesus dos Perdoes	2000	93.0%	94.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Bon Jesus dos Perdoes	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.8%
Brazil	Bonfim	2000	80.8%	84.3%	77.1%
Brazil	Bonfim	2000	84.5%	87.2%	81.5%
Brazil	Bonfim	2017	81.4%	84.8%	77.8%
Brazil	Bonfim	2017	85.0%	87.7%	82.1%
Brazil	Bonfim do Piauí	2000	64.2%	69.6%	57.0%
Brazil	Bonfim do Piauí	2017	65.1%	70.4%	57.9%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis	2000	74.8%	80.5%	67.9%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis	2017	75.5%	81.1%	68.8%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis de Minas	2000	83.7%	88.9%	78.1%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis de Minas	2017	84.2%	89.3%	78.8%
Brazil	Boninal	2000	81.3%	85.8%	76.1%
Brazil	Boninal	2017	81.9%	86.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	80.7%	85.4%	75.0%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	68.4%	71.8%	65.2%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	81.8%	86.1%	76.4%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	37.9%	42.5%	34.0%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	38.8%	43.5%	34.8%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	69.1%	72.5%	66.0%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	81.3%	85.9%	75.7%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	82.4%	86.6%	77.1%
Brazil	Bonito de Minas	2000	80.9%	86.9%	74.4%
Brazil	Bonito de Minas	2017	81.5%	87.3%	75.1%
Brazil	Bonito de Santa Fé	2000	69.4%	73.3%	65.9%
Brazil	Bonito de Santa Fé	2017	70.3%	74.1%	66.8%
Brazil	Bonópolis	2000	79.1%	81.2%	76.6%
Brazil	Bonópolis	2017	79.6%	81.5%	77.0%
Brazil	Boqueirão	2000	66.8%	70.5%	63.2%
Brazil	Boqueirão	2017	67.7%	71.3%	64.1%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Leão	2000	84.0%	87.5%	80.6%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Leão	2017	84.5%	87.9%	81.3%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Piauí	2000	59.2%	63.6%	55.1%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Piauí	2017	60.1%	64.5%	56.1%
Brazil	Boqueirao dos Cochos	2000	68.2%	72.0%	63.7%
Brazil	Boqueirao dos Cochos	2017	69.1%	72.7%	64.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Boquira	2000	77.1%	82.9%	70.7%
Brazil	Boquira	2017	77.8%	83.4%	71.6%
Brazil	Borá	2000	91.4%	93.8%	89.0%
Brazil	Borá	2017	91.7%	94.0%	89.3%
Brazil	Boracéia	2000	93.2%	95.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Boracéia	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Borba	2000	58.5%	64.7%	51.6%
Brazil	Borba	2017	59.4%	65.5%	52.6%
Brazil	Borborema	2000	93.3%	95.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Borborema	2000	69.9%	72.8%	66.7%
Brazil	Borborema	2017	93.5%	95.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Borborema	2017	70.6%	73.4%	67.4%
Brazil	Borda da Mata	2000	89.4%	91.6%	86.6%
Brazil	Borda da Mata	2017	89.8%	91.9%	87.1%
Brazil	Borebi	2000	93.2%	95.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Borebi	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Borrazópolis	2000	83.4%	86.5%	79.8%
Brazil	Borrazópolis	2017	84.0%	86.9%	80.4%
Brazil	Bossoroca	2000	81.9%	86.4%	76.3%
Brazil	Bossoroca	2017	82.5%	86.8%	77.1%
Brazil	Botelhos	2000	88.1%	91.0%	84.5%
Brazil	Botelhos	2017	88.5%	91.3%	85.0%
Brazil	Botucatu	2000	93.9%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Botucatu	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Botumirim	2000	82.8%	87.4%	76.9%
Brazil	Botumirim	2017	83.3%	87.8%	77.6%
Brazil	Botuporã	2000	77.6%	83.2%	71.2%
Brazil	Botuporã	2017	78.3%	83.7%	72.0%
Brazil	Botuverá	2000	71.6%	75.3%	67.5%
Brazil	Botuverá	2017	72.3%	76.0%	68.3%
Brazil	Braço do Norte	2000	72.7%	77.0%	68.2%
Brazil	Braço do Norte	2017	73.4%	77.7%	69.0%
Brazil	Braço do Trombudo	2000	73.7%	77.9%	68.8%
Brazil	Braço do Trombudo	2017	74.4%	78.6%	69.6%
Brazil	Braga	2000	80.8%	85.0%	76.5%
Brazil	Braga	2017	81.4%	85.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Bragança Paulista	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Bragança Paulista	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.5%
Brazil	Braganey	2000	84.0%	87.5%	80.1%
Brazil	Braganey	2017	84.5%	87.9%	80.7%
Brazil	Braganga	2000	41.5%	46.7%	36.3%
Brazil	Braganga	2017	42.6%	47.8%	37.4%
Brazil	Branquinha	2000	60.7%	63.7%	57.4%
Brazil	Branquinha	2017	61.6%	64.5%	58.4%
Brazil	Bras Pires	2000	80.4%	83.8%	76.5%
Brazil	Bras Pires	2017	81.0%	84.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Brasabrantés	2000	77.3%	79.9%	74.9%
Brazil	Brasabrantés	2017	78.0%	80.5%	75.6%
Brazil	Brasilândia	2000	84.6%	87.7%	80.9%
Brazil	Brasilândia	2017	85.1%	88.0%	81.5%
Brazil	Brasilândia de Minas	2000	81.0%	85.3%	76.0%
Brazil	Brasilândia de Minas	2017	81.6%	85.8%	76.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Brasília do Sul	2000	83.4%	86.9%	79.6%
Brazil	Brasília do Sul	2017	83.9%	87.3%	80.3%
Brazil	Brasília do Tocantins	2000	74.4%	78.9%	69.6%
Brazil	Brasília do Tocantins	2017	75.1%	79.5%	70.4%
Brazil	Brasília	2000	43.6%	48.1%	39.5%
Brazil	Brasília	2017	44.5%	49.1%	40.3%
Brazil	Brasília	2000	92.4%	93.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Brasília	2017	92.7%	93.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Brasília de Minas	2000	82.5%	87.2%	76.8%
Brazil	Brasília de Minas	2017	83.0%	87.7%	77.5%
Brazil	Brasão	2000	64.7%	73.2%	57.2%
Brazil	Brasão	2017	65.6%	73.9%	58.1%
Brazil	Brasão	2000	85.8%	88.9%	82.6%
Brazil	Brasão	2017	85.6%	89.1%	81.9%
Brazil	Braço	2000	92.3%	94.3%	90.1%
Brazil	Braço	2017	92.6%	94.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Braço	2000	90.8%	92.8%	88.5%
Brazil	Braço	2017	91.2%	93.1%	88.9%
Brazil	Braço Novo	2000	41.5%	50.4%	32.1%
Brazil	Braço Novo	2017	42.4%	51.3%	33.0%
Brazil	Braço	2000	60.7%	65.5%	55.9%
Brazil	Braço	2017	61.6%	66.4%	56.9%
Brazil	Braço	2000	62.8%	66.3%	59.3%
Brazil	Braço	2017	63.8%	67.1%	60.3%
Brazil	Braço	2000	80.4%	83.9%	77.3%
Brazil	Braço	2017	81.1%	84.5%	78.0%
Brazil	Braço	2000	80.1%	82.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Braço	2000	72.2%	76.5%	68.0%
Brazil	Braço	2017	80.7%	83.0%	78.5%
Brazil	Braço	2017	73.0%	77.2%	68.8%
Brazil	Braço de Nazaré	2000	74.7%	79.3%	70.4%
Brazil	Braço de Nazaré	2017	75.4%	79.9%	71.2%
Brazil	Braço	2000	59.8%	64.7%	54.9%
Brazil	Braço	2017	60.7%	65.5%	55.8%
Brazil	Braço Alegre	2000	92.0%	94.2%	89.2%
Brazil	Braço Alegre	2017	92.3%	94.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Braço da Mãe de Deus	2000	66.4%	69.7%	62.9%
Brazil	Braço da Mãe de Deus	2017	67.3%	70.6%	63.7%
Brazil	Braço de Areia	2000	62.1%	67.3%	56.8%
Brazil	Braço de Areia	2017	63.0%	68.1%	57.7%
Brazil	Braço do Cruz	2000	75.5%	78.9%	71.6%
Brazil	Braço do Cruz	2017	76.2%	79.6%	72.4%
Brazil	Braço do Piauí	2000	61.5%	67.9%	54.6%
Brazil	Braço do Piauí	2017	62.4%	68.8%	55.6%
Brazil	Braço dos Santos	2000	76.1%	79.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Braço dos Santos	2017	76.8%	80.4%	73.5%
Brazil	Braço Grande	2000	66.0%	69.8%	62.4%
Brazil	Braço Grande	2017	66.9%	70.6%	63.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Brejo Grande do Araguaia	2000	60.5%	65.7%	55.4%
Brazil	Brejo Grande do Araguaia	2017	61.4%	66.6%	56.4%
Brazil	Brejo Santo	2000	67.5%	71.4%	63.8%
Brazil	Brejo Santo	2017	68.5%	72.4%	64.9%
Brazil	Brejões	2000	81.0%	84.8%	75.6%
Brazil	Brejões	2017	81.6%	85.3%	76.3%
Brazil	Brejolândia	2000	76.1%	82.8%	66.7%
Brazil	Brejolândia	2017	76.8%	83.4%	67.6%
Brazil	Breu Branco	2000	38.3%	43.2%	32.3%
Brazil	Breu Branco	2017	39.2%	44.3%	33.2%
Brazil	Breves	2000	42.6%	47.8%	36.9%
Brazil	Breves	2017	43.5%	48.8%	37.8%
Brazil	Brochier	2000	80.7%	83.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Brochier	2017	81.3%	84.3%	78.4%
Brazil	Brodosqui	2000	90.3%	92.6%	87.4%
Brazil	Brodosqui	2017	90.7%	92.8%	87.8%
Brazil	Brotas	2000	93.3%	94.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Brotas	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Brotas de Macaúbas	2000	77.6%	83.6%	70.9%
Brazil	Brotas de Macaúbas	2017	78.3%	84.1%	71.7%
Brazil	Brumadinho	2000	81.3%	84.2%	78.3%
Brazil	Brumadinho	2017	81.9%	84.7%	79.0%
Brazil	Brumado	2000	77.3%	82.3%	71.4%
Brazil	Brumado	2017	78.0%	82.8%	72.2%
Brazil	Brunópolis	2000	75.2%	79.8%	69.6%
Brazil	Brunópolis	2017	75.9%	80.5%	70.4%
Brazil	Brusque	2000	71.4%	74.9%	67.2%
Brazil	Brusque	2017	72.9%	76.3%	68.8%
Brazil	Bueno Brandão	2000	91.8%	93.3%	89.9%
Brazil	Bueno Brandão	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.2%
Brazil	Buenópolis	2000	81.3%	86.0%	75.1%
Brazil	Buenópolis	2017	81.9%	86.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Buenos Aires	2000	66.3%	69.7%	63.4%
Brazil	Buenos Aires	2017	67.1%	70.5%	64.3%
Brazil	Buerarema	2000	76.9%	81.1%	71.8%
Brazil	Buerarema	2017	77.6%	81.7%	72.6%
Brazil	Bugre	2000	80.2%	84.2%	75.8%
Brazil	Bugre	2017	80.8%	84.7%	76.4%
Brazil	Buíque	2000	63.7%	67.7%	59.4%
Brazil	Buíque	2017	64.6%	68.5%	60.3%
Brazil	Bujari	2000	41.2%	44.3%	38.4%
Brazil	Bujari	2017	42.1%	45.2%	39.3%
Brazil	Bujaru	2000	35.2%	38.5%	31.6%
Brazil	Bujaru	2017	36.1%	39.4%	32.5%
Brazil	Buquim	2000	77.4%	80.0%	75.2%
Brazil	Buquim	2017	78.1%	80.6%	75.9%
Brazil	Buri	2000	93.0%	95.0%	90.5%
Brazil	Buri	2017	93.2%	95.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Buritama	2000	91.8%	94.1%	88.9%
Brazil	Buritama	2017	92.1%	94.3%	89.3%
Brazil	Buriti	2000	60.9%	65.6%	56.2%
Brazil	Buriti	2017	61.9%	66.5%	57.2%
Brazil	Buriti Alegre	2000	78.1%	82.6%	73.4%
Brazil	Buriti Alegre	2017	78.8%	83.1%	74.2%
Brazil	Buriti Bravo	2000	61.4%	67.1%	55.0%
Brazil	Buriti Bravo	2017	62.3%	68.0%	56.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Buriti de Goiás	2000	75.4%	80.1%	70.0%
Brazil	Buriti de Goiás	2017	76.1%	80.7%	70.8%
Brazil	Buriti do Tocantins	2000	60.3%	65.3%	55.1%
Brazil	Buriti do Tocantins	2017	61.3%	66.2%	56.1%
Brazil	Buriti dos Lopes	2000	62.4%	67.1%	58.1%
Brazil	Buriti dos Lopes	2017	63.2%	67.9%	59.1%
Brazil	Buriti dos Montes	2000	66.2%	71.2%	61.4%
Brazil	Buriti dos Montes	2017	67.2%	72.1%	62.4%
Brazil	Buriticupu	2000	59.6%	65.7%	53.9%
Brazil	Buriticupu	2017	60.5%	66.5%	54.9%
Brazil	Buritinópolis	2000	81.5%	86.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Buritinópolis	2017	82.1%	86.9%	76.7%
Brazil	Buritirama	2000	69.5%	76.5%	61.4%
Brazil	Buritirama	2017	70.3%	77.2%	62.4%
Brazil	Buritirana	2000	67.5%	73.0%	61.6%
Brazil	Buritirana	2017	68.3%	73.7%	62.5%
Brazil	Buritis	2000	86.2%	90.4%	81.0%
Brazil	Buritis	2000	27.9%	31.9%	23.9%
Brazil	Buritis	2017	86.6%	90.7%	81.6%
Brazil	Buritis	2017	28.7%	32.7%	24.7%
Brazil	Buritizal	2000	87.2%	90.7%	82.6%
Brazil	Buritizal	2017	87.6%	91.0%	83.1%
Brazil	Buritizeiro	2000	81.5%	86.6%	75.3%
Brazil	Buritizeiro	2017	82.1%	87.1%	76.0%
Brazil	Butiá	2000	81.6%	84.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Butiá	2017	82.2%	85.3%	78.4%
Brazil	Caapiranga	2000	60.2%	66.1%	55.3%
Brazil	Caapiranga	2017	61.1%	66.9%	56.3%
Brazil	Caaporã	2000	64.7%	67.9%	61.3%
Brazil	Caaporã	2017	65.6%	68.8%	62.2%
Brazil	Caarapó	2000	81.9%	85.0%	78.2%
Brazil	Caarapó	2017	82.5%	85.5%	78.8%
Brazil	Caatiba	2000	78.2%	83.0%	72.7%
Brazil	Caatiba	2017	79.0%	83.6%	73.6%
Brazil	Cabaceiras	2000	67.5%	72.1%	63.2%
Brazil	Cabaceiras	2017	68.4%	72.9%	64.1%
Brazil	Cabaceiras do Paraguaçu	2000	76.5%	80.1%	72.3%
Brazil	Cabaceiras do Paraguaçu	2017	77.2%	80.8%	73.0%
Brazil	Cabeceira Grande	2000	88.4%	91.2%	84.9%
Brazil	Cabeceira Grande	2017	88.8%	91.5%	85.4%
Brazil	Cabeceiras	2000	88.1%	91.5%	84.1%
Brazil	Cabeceiras	2017	88.5%	91.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Cabeceiras do Piauí	2000	59.5%	64.6%	55.6%
Brazil	Cabeceiras do Piauí	2017	60.5%	65.5%	56.5%
Brazil	Cabedelo	2000	66.2%	69.1%	62.9%
Brazil	Cabedelo	2017	67.1%	70.0%	63.8%
Brazil	Cabixi	2000	33.1%	39.1%	28.0%
Brazil	Cabixi	2017	33.9%	40.0%	28.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cabo	2000	63.9%	66.4%	61.0%
Brazil	Cabo	2017	64.7%	67.3%	61.9%
Brazil	Cabo Frio	2000	77.6%	81.1%	73.1%
Brazil	Cabo Frio	2017	78.3%	81.7%	73.8%
Brazil	Cabo Verde	2000	87.0%	89.9%	83.2%
Brazil	Cabo Verde	2017	87.5%	90.3%	83.8%
Brazil	Cabrália	2000	93.1%	95.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Paulista Cabrália	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Cabreúva	2000	93.9%	94.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Cabreúva	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Cabrobó	2000	66.1%	71.7%	60.7%
Brazil	Cabrobó	2017	67.0%	72.4%	61.6%
Brazil	Caçador	2000	77.7%	82.5%	73.5%
Brazil	Caçador	2017	78.9%	83.5%	74.9%
Brazil	Caçapava	2000	92.5%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Caçapava	2017	92.8%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Caçapava do Sul	2000	84.5%	88.3%	80.1%
Brazil	Caçapava do Sul	2017	85.0%	88.7%	80.7%
Brazil	Cacaulândia	2000	27.7%	31.8%	24.0%
Brazil	Cacaulândia	2017	28.4%	32.7%	24.7%
Brazil	Cacequi	2000	81.5%	86.1%	75.7%
Brazil	Cacequi	2017	82.1%	86.6%	76.4%
Brazil	Cáceres	2000	69.4%	75.1%	62.2%
Brazil	Cáceres	2017	70.2%	75.8%	63.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira	2000	76.6%	79.8%	73.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira	2017	77.5%	80.6%	74.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira	2000	80.3%	84.6%	75.7%
Brazil	Cachoeira Alta	2017	81.0%	85.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Cachoeira da Prata	2000	79.9%	83.7%	75.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira da Prata	2017	80.5%	84.3%	75.9%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Goiás	2000	77.2%	81.5%	72.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Goiás	2017	77.9%	82.1%	73.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Minas	2000	88.5%	90.9%	85.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Minas	2017	88.9%	91.2%	86.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Pajes	2000	80.9%	85.3%	74.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Pajes	2017	81.5%	85.8%	75.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Arari	2000	37.0%	42.1%	32.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Arari	2017	37.9%	43.2%	33.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Piriá	2000	45.3%	51.0%	39.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Piriá	2017	46.8%	52.5%	41.0%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Sul	2000	85.6%	88.9%	82.0%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Sul	2017	85.6%	88.9%	82.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cachoeira dos índios	2000	71.1%	74.9%	67.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira dos índios	2017	71.9%	75.7%	68.7%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2000	79.8%	84.4%	75.4%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2000	79.9%	84.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2017	80.5%	84.9%	76.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2017	80.6%	84.9%	76.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira Grande	2000	61.0%	65.2%	56.1%
Brazil	Cachoeira Grande	2017	61.9%	66.1%	57.0%
Brazil	Cachoeira Paulista	2000	87.5%	89.8%	84.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira Paulista	2017	87.9%	90.1%	85.3%
Brazil	Cachoeiras de Macacu	2000	77.9%	80.2%	75.1%
Brazil	Cachoeiras de Macacu	2017	78.5%	80.8%	75.8%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	81.5%	83.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	72.2%	76.2%	67.8%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	82.6%	84.4%	80.8%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	73.0%	76.9%	68.6%
Brazil	Cachoeiro de Itapemirim	2000	76.7%	79.5%	72.8%
Brazil	Cachoeiro de Itapemirim	2017	77.4%	80.2%	73.7%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	66.3%	69.5%	62.9%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	67.2%	70.3%	63.8%
Brazil	Cacimba de Areia	2000	69.1%	73.2%	64.5%
Brazil	Cacimba de Areia	2017	70.0%	74.0%	65.5%
Brazil	Cacimba de dentro	2000	76.3%	79.2%	73.1%
Brazil	Cacimba de dentro	2017	77.0%	79.8%	73.9%
Brazil	Cacimbas	2000	71.4%	75.6%	67.2%
Brazil	Cacimbas	2017	72.2%	76.3%	68.1%
Brazil	Cacimbinhas	2000	62.6%	65.6%	59.0%
Brazil	Cacimbinhas	2017	63.5%	66.5%	60.0%
Brazil	Cacique doble	2000	77.6%	81.4%	73.1%
Brazil	Cacique doble	2017	78.2%	82.0%	73.8%
Brazil	Cacoal	2000	30.0%	34.0%	26.7%
Brazil	Cacoal	2017	30.9%	34.9%	27.5%
Brazil	Caconde	2000	87.6%	90.6%	84.2%
Brazil	Caconde	2017	88.0%	90.9%	84.7%
Brazil	Caçu	2000	79.2%	83.6%	73.7%
Brazil	Caçu	2017	79.8%	84.1%	74.4%
Brazil	Caculé	2000	78.6%	83.7%	73.2%
Brazil	Caculé	2017	79.3%	84.3%	74.0%
Brazil	Caém	2000	76.8%	81.3%	71.9%
Brazil	Caém	2017	77.5%	81.9%	72.7%
Brazil	Caetanópolis	2000	81.3%	85.3%	76.6%
Brazil	Caetanópolis	2017	81.8%	85.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Caetanos	2000	77.2%	82.7%	71.1%
Brazil	Caetanos	2017	77.8%	83.3%	72.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caeté	2000	81.2%	84.3%	77.8%
Brazil	Caeté	2017	81.8%	84.8%	78.5%
Brazil	Caetés	2000	65.7%	69.2%	62.3%
Brazil	Caetés	2017	66.6%	70.0%	63.2%
Brazil	Caetité	2000	79.3%	84.1%	74.5%
Brazil	Caetité	2017	79.9%	84.6%	75.2%
Brazil	Cafarnaum	2000	78.4%	84.1%	73.1%
Brazil	Cafarnaum	2017	79.1%	84.7%	73.8%
Brazil	Cafeara	2000	85.5%	88.3%	82.1%
Brazil	Cafeara	2017	86.0%	88.7%	82.7%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2000	84.8%	88.6%	81.0%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2000	93.6%	95.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2017	85.3%	89.0%	81.6%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2017	93.8%	95.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Cafezal do Sul	2000	83.3%	86.8%	79.9%
Brazil	Cafezal do Sul	2017	83.9%	87.3%	80.5%
Brazil	Caiabu	2000	89.6%	92.3%	86.7%
Brazil	Caiabu	2017	89.9%	92.6%	87.2%
Brazil	Caiana	2000	80.6%	83.7%	77.5%
Brazil	Caiana	2017	81.2%	84.2%	78.1%
Brazil	Caiapônia	2000	76.9%	82.1%	71.3%
Brazil	Caiapônia	2017	77.6%	82.6%	72.1%
Brazil	Caibaté	2000	81.6%	86.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Caibaté	2017	82.1%	86.7%	77.1%
Brazil	Caibi	2000	77.0%	81.3%	72.5%
Brazil	Caibi	2017	77.6%	81.8%	73.2%
Brazil	Caçara	2000	78.8%	82.6%	73.9%
Brazil	Caçara	2000	75.0%	77.6%	72.5%
Brazil	Caçara	2017	79.4%	83.1%	74.6%
Brazil	Caçara	2017	75.7%	78.2%	73.3%
Brazil	Caçara do Norte	2000	84.4%	87.0%	81.5%
Brazil	Caçara do Norte	2017	84.9%	87.4%	82.1%
Brazil	Caçara do Rio do Vento	2000	77.0%	80.2%	73.4%
Brazil	Caçara do Rio do Vento	2017	77.7%	80.8%	74.1%
Brazil	Caicó	2000	83.3%	86.9%	78.6%
Brazil	Caicó	2017	83.9%	87.4%	79.3%
Brazil	Caieiras	2000	94.0%	94.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Caieiras	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Cairu	2000	69.8%	75.1%	65.1%
Brazil	Cairu	2017	70.7%	75.9%	66.1%
Brazil	Caiuá	2000	86.1%	88.8%	82.5%
Brazil	Caiuá	2017	86.5%	89.2%	83.0%
Brazil	Cajamar	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Cajamar	2017	93.9%	94.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Cajapió	2000	60.3%	65.3%	55.4%
Brazil	Cajapió	2017	61.2%	66.2%	56.3%
Brazil	Cajari	2000	60.0%	64.4%	54.9%
Brazil	Cajari	2017	60.9%	65.3%	55.9%
Brazil	Cajati	2000	87.9%	91.2%	84.0%
Brazil	Cajati	2017	89.2%	92.1%	85.6%
Brazil	Cajazeiras	2000	72.5%	76.2%	69.2%
Brazil	Cajazeiras	2017	73.3%	76.9%	70.0%
Brazil	Cajazeiras do Piauí	2000	59.0%	63.9%	53.7%
Brazil	Cajazeiras do Piauí	2017	60.0%	64.9%	54.7%
Brazil	Cajazeirinhas	2000	68.2%	71.9%	64.6%
Brazil	Cajazeirinhas	2017	69.1%	72.7%	65.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cajobi	2000	92.9%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Cajobi	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Cajueiro	2000	58.2%	61.1%	55.0%
Brazil	Cajueiro	2017	61.7%	64.6%	58.6%
Brazil	Cajueiro da Praia	2000	64.7%	70.3%	59.6%
Brazil	Cajueiro da Praia	2017	65.6%	71.1%	60.5%
Brazil	Cajuri	2000	81.6%	84.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Cajuri	2017	82.1%	85.3%	78.4%
Brazil	Cajuru	2000	90.1%	92.4%	87.1%
Brazil	Cajuru	2017	90.5%	92.7%	87.5%
Brazil	Calçado	2000	66.6%	69.6%	63.5%
Brazil	Calçado	2017	67.5%	70.5%	64.5%
Brazil	Calçoene	2000	44.5%	50.7%	38.3%
Brazil	Calçoene	2017	45.5%	51.7%	39.2%
Brazil	Caldas	2000	89.7%	91.9%	86.8%
Brazil	Caldas	2017	90.1%	92.2%	87.2%
Brazil	Caldas	2000	67.3%	70.9%	64.1%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	68.1%	71.7%	65.0%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	78.2%	82.4%	73.7%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	78.9%	82.9%	74.4%
Brazil	Caldazinha	2000	77.7%	80.0%	75.2%
Brazil	Caldazinha	2017	78.3%	80.6%	75.9%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande	2000	76.6%	81.4%	71.0%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande	2017	77.3%	82.0%	71.8%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande do Piauí	2000	65.2%	69.3%	60.6%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande do Piauí	2017	66.0%	70.1%	61.5%
Brazil	Califórnia	2000	85.5%	87.9%	82.6%
Brazil	Califórnia	2017	85.9%	88.3%	83.2%
Brazil	Calmon	2000	80.4%	84.4%	76.2%
Brazil	Calmon	2017	81.0%	84.8%	76.8%
Brazil	Calumbi	2000	67.0%	71.6%	61.9%
Brazil	Calumbi	2017	67.9%	72.4%	62.8%
Brazil	Camacan	2000	77.5%	82.2%	72.1%
Brazil	Camacan	2017	78.1%	82.8%	72.9%
Brazil	Camaçari	2000	76.5%	79.8%	72.9%
Brazil	Camaçari	2017	77.3%	80.5%	73.8%
Brazil	Camacho	2000	82.4%	86.3%	77.4%
Brazil	Camacho	2017	83.0%	86.7%	78.1%
Brazil	Camagua	2000	83.3%	86.9%	78.9%
Brazil	Camagua	2017	83.8%	87.3%	79.5%
Brazil	Camalaú	2000	65.9%	70.0%	61.3%
Brazil	Camalaú	2017	66.8%	70.8%	62.2%
Brazil	Camamu	2000	70.2%	76.4%	64.8%
Brazil	Camamu	2017	71.0%	77.1%	65.7%
Brazil	Camanducaia	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Camanducaia	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Camapuã	2000	78.5%	83.0%	72.4%
Brazil	Camapuã	2017	79.2%	83.5%	73.2%
Brazil	Camaragibe	2000	66.4%	68.4%	64.4%
Brazil	Camaragibe	2017	67.5%	69.4%	65.5%
Brazil	Camargo	2000	81.5%	84.7%	77.5%
Brazil	Camargo	2017	82.0%	85.2%	78.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cambará	2000	90.3%	92.5%	87.2%
Brazil	Cambará	2017	90.6%	92.7%	87.6%
Brazil	Cambará do Sul	2000	81.3%	85.1%	77.0%
Brazil	Cambará do Sul	2017	81.9%	85.5%	77.7%
Brazil	Cambé	2000	86.4%	88.4%	83.8%
Brazil	Cambé	2017	86.9%	88.8%	84.3%
Brazil	Cambira	2000	84.6%	87.1%	81.5%
Brazil	Cambira	2017	85.1%	87.5%	82.1%
Brazil	Camboriú	2000	74.0%	77.9%	69.3%
Brazil	Camboriú	2017	74.9%	78.6%	70.3%
Brazil	Cambuci	2000	77.1%	80.6%	73.2%
Brazil	Cambuci	2017	77.8%	81.2%	73.9%
Brazil	Cambuí	2000	90.6%	92.5%	88.6%
Brazil	Cambuí	2017	90.9%	92.7%	88.9%
Brazil	Cambuquira	2000	84.1%	87.3%	80.5%
Brazil	Cambuquira	2017	84.6%	87.7%	81.1%
Brazil	Cametá	2000	37.6%	43.4%	33.1%
Brazil	Cametá	2017	38.5%	44.4%	34.0%
Brazil	Camocim	2000	66.2%	71.9%	60.7%
Brazil	Camocim	2017	68.6%	74.0%	63.3%
Brazil	Camocim de São Félix	2000	69.2%	72.6%	66.1%
Brazil	Camocim de São Félix	2017	70.0%	73.4%	66.9%
Brazil	Campanário	2000	80.8%	85.7%	76.0%
Brazil	Campanário	2017	81.3%	86.2%	76.7%
Brazil	Campanha	2000	85.7%	88.5%	82.2%
Brazil	Campanha	2017	86.2%	88.9%	82.8%
Brazil	Campestre	2000	88.4%	90.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Campestre	2000	61.9%	65.4%	58.6%
Brazil	Campestre	2017	62.8%	66.3%	59.6%
Brazil	Campestre	2017	88.2%	90.8%	84.6%
Brazil	Campestre da Serra	2000	79.9%	83.3%	75.6%
Brazil	Campestre da Serra	2017	80.5%	83.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Campestre de Goiás	2000	75.9%	78.9%	72.8%
Brazil	Campestre de Goiás	2017	76.6%	79.5%	73.6%
Brazil	Campestre do Maranhão	2000	72.4%	77.2%	67.9%
Brazil	Campestre do Maranhão	2017	73.2%	77.8%	68.8%
Brazil	Campina da Lagoa	2000	84.2%	87.7%	79.9%
Brazil	Campina da Lagoa	2017	84.7%	88.1%	80.5%
Brazil	Campina das Missões	2000	81.0%	86.1%	75.1%
Brazil	Campina das Missões	2017	81.6%	86.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Campina do Monte Alegre	2000	92.9%	94.8%	90.2%
Brazil	Campina do Monte Alegre	2017	93.1%	95.0%	90.5%
Brazil	Campina do Simão	2000	83.3%	87.2%	79.0%
Brazil	Campina do Simão	2017	83.8%	87.7%	79.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campina Grande	2000	70.7%	73.8%	68.2%
Brazil	Campina Grande	2017	71.6%	74.6%	69.1%
Brazil	Campina Grande do Sul	2000	85.8%	88.1%	83.2%
Brazil	Campina Grande do Sul	2017	86.3%	88.5%	83.7%
Brazil	Campina Verde	2000	85.8%	89.5%	81.1%
Brazil	Campina Verde	2017	86.2%	89.9%	81.7%
Brazil	Campinaçu	2000	78.1%	83.5%	72.9%
Brazil	Campinaçu	2017	78.7%	84.0%	73.6%
Brazil	Campinápolis	2000	70.5%	78.1%	62.9%
Brazil	Campinápolis	2017	71.3%	78.8%	63.8%
Brazil	Campinas	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Campinas	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Campinas do Piauí	2000	60.5%	66.0%	55.0%
Brazil	Campinas do Piauí	2017	61.4%	66.9%	55.9%
Brazil	Campinas do Sul	2000	79.3%	82.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Campinas do Sul	2017	79.9%	83.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Campinorte	2000	78.3%	83.1%	73.5%
Brazil	Campinorte	2017	79.1%	83.6%	74.3%
Brazil	Campo	2000	84.0%	86.2%	81.5%
Brazil	Campo	2017	84.5%	86.8%	82.1%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2000	64.1%	67.5%	61.2%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2000	81.0%	84.0%	77.7%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2017	81.5%	84.6%	78.4%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2017	65.0%	68.3%	62.1%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Goiás	2000	79.8%	84.6%	74.8%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Goiás	2017	80.4%	85.1%	75.6%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Lourdes	2000	66.5%	72.9%	58.4%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Lourdes	2017	67.4%	73.7%	59.3%
Brazil	Campo Alegre do Fidalgo	2000	62.8%	68.8%	56.1%
Brazil	Campo Alegre do Fidalgo	2017	63.7%	69.5%	57.1%
Brazil	Campo Azul	2000	81.4%	86.5%	74.9%
Brazil	Campo Azul	2017	81.9%	87.0%	75.6%
Brazil	Campo Belo	2000	81.6%	85.2%	77.1%
Brazil	Campo Belo	2017	82.2%	85.7%	77.7%
Brazil	Campo Belo do Sul	2000	76.9%	81.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Campo Belo do Sul	2017	77.6%	81.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Campo Bom	2000	81.4%	83.6%	79.0%
Brazil	Campo Bom	2017	82.0%	84.1%	79.7%
Brazil	Campo Bonito	2000	84.2%	87.2%	80.4%
Brazil	Campo Bonito	2017	84.7%	87.6%	81.0%
Brazil	Campo do Brito	2000	76.5%	78.7%	74.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campo Brito do	2017	77.2%	79.3%	75.1%
Brazil	Campo Meio do	2000	82.7%	86.3%	78.1%
Brazil	Campo Meio do	2017	83.3%	86.8%	78.7%
Brazil	Campo Tenente do	2000	80.8%	84.1%	77.3%
Brazil	Campo Tenente do	2017	81.4%	84.6%	77.9%
Brazil	Campo Erê	2000	81.0%	85.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Campo Erê	2017	81.6%	85.7%	77.1%
Brazil	Campo Florido	2000	86.8%	90.1%	82.7%
Brazil	Campo Florido	2017	87.3%	90.4%	83.3%
Brazil	Campo Formoso	2000	76.0%	80.7%	70.3%
Brazil	Campo Formoso	2017	76.6%	81.3%	71.1%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2000	66.1%	69.0%	62.9%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2000	81.1%	82.9%	78.9%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2017	81.7%	83.5%	79.6%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2017	66.9%	69.8%	63.8%
Brazil	Campo Grande do Piauí	2000	63.5%	67.7%	59.3%
Brazil	Campo Grande do Piauí	2017	64.4%	68.6%	60.2%
Brazil	Campo Largo do Piauí	2000	59.9%	64.7%	55.4%
Brazil	Campo Largo do Piauí	2017	60.8%	65.6%	56.4%
Brazil	Campo Limpo Paulista	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Campo Limpo Paulista	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Campo Magro	2000	84.1%	86.1%	81.8%
Brazil	Campo Magro	2017	84.6%	86.6%	82.3%
Brazil	Campo Maior	2000	60.2%	64.4%	55.9%
Brazil	Campo Maior	2017	61.2%	65.3%	56.9%
Brazil	Campo Mourão	2000	85.3%	88.1%	81.8%
Brazil	Campo Mourão	2017	85.8%	88.5%	82.3%
Brazil	Campo Novo	2000	82.7%	86.4%	78.5%
Brazil	Campo Novo	2017	82.1%	85.9%	77.5%
Brazil	Campo Novo de Rondônia	2000	28.8%	33.2%	23.8%
Brazil	Campo Novo de Rondônia	2017	29.6%	34.1%	24.6%
Brazil	Campo Novo do Parecis	2000	68.2%	75.1%	60.7%
Brazil	Campo Novo do Parecis	2017	69.0%	75.8%	61.6%
Brazil	Campo Real	2000	82.7%	86.3%	78.5%
Brazil	Campo Real	2017	83.3%	86.8%	79.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campo Redondo	2000	80.6%	83.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Campo Redondo	2017	81.2%	84.1%	78.4%
Brazil	Campo Verde	2000	69.5%	74.8%	63.6%
Brazil	Campo Verde	2017	70.3%	75.6%	64.5%
Brazil	Campos	2000	76.3%	79.6%	72.2%
Brazil	Campos	2017	77.0%	80.2%	73.0%
Brazil	Campos Altos	2000	82.0%	87.2%	76.1%
Brazil	Campos Altos	2017	82.6%	87.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Campos Belos	2000	77.7%	82.6%	71.6%
Brazil	Campos Belos	2017	78.3%	83.2%	72.3%
Brazil	Campos Borges	2000	82.8%	86.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Campos Borges	2017	83.3%	86.9%	78.9%
Brazil	Campos de Júlio	2000	57.4%	66.3%	49.9%
Brazil	Campos de Júlio	2017	58.3%	67.2%	50.8%
Brazil	Campos do Jordão	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Campos do Jordão	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Campos Gerais	2000	83.4%	86.9%	79.1%
Brazil	Campos Gerais	2017	83.9%	87.3%	79.7%
Brazil	Campos Lindos	2000	72.8%	78.5%	66.2%
Brazil	Campos Lindos	2017	73.6%	79.2%	67.0%
Brazil	Campos Novos	2000	76.2%	80.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Campos Novos	2017	76.9%	80.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Campos Novos Paulista	2000	91.6%	93.8%	88.9%
Brazil	Campos Novos Paulista	2017	91.9%	94.1%	89.3%
Brazil	Campos Sales	2000	68.3%	72.7%	63.9%
Brazil	Campos Sales	2017	69.1%	73.4%	64.8%
Brazil	Campos Verdes	2000	76.7%	82.2%	70.5%
Brazil	Campos Verdes	2017	77.3%	82.7%	71.3%
Brazil	Campos Verdes de Goiás	2000	81.3%	84.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Campos Verdes de Goiás	2017	81.9%	85.2%	77.2%
Brazil	Camutanga	2000	70.4%	73.3%	67.7%
Brazil	Camutanga	2017	71.2%	74.0%	68.6%
Brazil	Canaã	2000	82.1%	85.4%	78.0%
Brazil	Canaã	2017	82.6%	85.9%	78.7%
Brazil	Canaã dos Carajás	2000	46.2%	52.3%	40.1%
Brazil	Canaã dos Carajás	2017	47.3%	53.4%	41.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Canabrava do Norte	2000	67.8%	76.7%	56.9%
Brazil	Canabrava do Norte	2017	68.6%	77.4%	57.8%
Brazil	Cananéia	2000	86.4%	90.2%	81.9%
Brazil	Cananéia	2017	87.2%	90.9%	82.9%
Brazil	Canapi	2000	63.3%	67.0%	58.9%
Brazil	Canapi	2017	64.2%	67.8%	59.9%
Brazil	Canápolis	2000	83.2%	87.2%	79.3%
Brazil	Canápolis	2000	77.2%	84.6%	69.5%
Brazil	Canápolis	2017	83.7%	87.6%	79.9%
Brazil	Canápolis	2017	77.8%	85.1%	70.3%
Brazil	Canarana	2000	77.5%	83.0%	71.6%
Brazil	Canarana	2000	72.2%	79.9%	64.4%
Brazil	Canarana	2017	73.0%	80.5%	65.3%
Brazil	Canarana	2017	78.1%	83.5%	72.3%
Brazil	Canas	2000	88.0%	90.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Canas	2017	88.3%	90.6%	85.8%
Brazil	Canavieira	2000	59.6%	65.8%	52.0%
Brazil	Canavieira	2017	60.6%	66.7%	53.1%
Brazil	Canavieiras	2000	75.5%	81.3%	68.6%
Brazil	Canavieiras	2017	74.7%	80.7%	67.6%
Brazil	Candeal	2000	79.0%	82.6%	75.1%
Brazil	Candeal	2017	79.6%	83.1%	75.8%
Brazil	Candeias	2000	73.8%	76.8%	70.9%
Brazil	Candeias	2000	81.5%	85.3%	76.9%
Brazil	Candeias	2017	74.5%	77.5%	71.7%
Brazil	Candeias	2017	82.1%	85.7%	77.6%
Brazil	Candeias do Jamari	2000	27.6%	30.3%	24.7%
Brazil	Candeias do Jamari	2017	28.4%	31.2%	25.5%
Brazil	Candelária	2000	80.5%	84.1%	76.1%
Brazil	Candelária	2017	81.2%	84.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Candiba	2000	78.0%	83.1%	72.0%
Brazil	Candiba	2017	78.6%	83.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Cândido de Abreu	2000	82.8%	86.6%	78.3%
Brazil	Cândido de Abreu	2017	83.3%	87.1%	79.0%
Brazil	Cândido Godói	2000	82.0%	86.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Cândido Godói	2017	82.6%	87.0%	77.2%
Brazil	Cândido Mendes	2000	45.6%	53.7%	38.7%
Brazil	Cândido Mendes	2017	46.6%	54.6%	39.6%
Brazil	Cândido Mota	2000	90.3%	92.6%	87.9%
Brazil	Cândido Mota	2017	90.6%	92.9%	88.3%
Brazil	Cândido Rodrigues	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.1%
Brazil	Cândido Rodrigues	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.4%
Brazil	Cândido Sales	2000	78.7%	83.4%	73.1%
Brazil	Cândido Sales	2017	79.4%	84.0%	73.8%
Brazil	Candiota	2000	81.9%	86.9%	76.1%
Brazil	Candiota	2017	82.5%	87.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Candói	2000	82.0%	85.9%	78.2%
Brazil	Candói	2017	82.6%	86.4%	78.9%
Brazil	Canela	2000	82.0%	84.8%	78.8%
Brazil	Canela	2017	82.6%	85.3%	79.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Canelinha	2000	72.3%	76.4%	67.6%
Brazil	Canelinha	2017	72.9%	76.9%	68.3%
Brazil	Canguaretama	2000	75.7%	78.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Canguaretama	2017	76.4%	79.3%	73.7%
Brazil	Canguçu	2000	84.2%	87.5%	80.1%
Brazil	Canguçu	2017	84.7%	88.0%	80.7%
Brazil	Canhoba	2000	68.9%	71.8%	65.6%
Brazil	Canhoba	2017	69.7%	72.6%	66.5%
Brazil	Canhotinho	2000	65.7%	68.8%	62.5%
Brazil	Canhotinho	2017	66.6%	69.7%	63.4%
Brazil	Canindé	2000	70.9%	74.7%	66.5%
Brazil	Canindé	2017	73.0%	76.6%	68.7%
Brazil	Canindé de São Francisco	2000	66.4%	70.4%	62.0%
Brazil	Canindé de São Francisco	2017	67.2%	71.1%	62.9%
Brazil	Canitar	2000	91.4%	93.5%	88.2%
Brazil	Canitar	2017	91.7%	93.8%	88.6%
Brazil	Canoas	2000	82.2%	84.1%	80.4%
Brazil	Canoas	2017	82.7%	84.6%	81.0%
Brazil	Canoinhas	2000	79.3%	82.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Canoinhas	2017	79.9%	83.4%	76.2%
Brazil	Cansanção	2000	76.7%	81.6%	70.4%
Brazil	Cansanção	2017	77.4%	82.2%	71.2%
Brazil	Cantá	2000	85.7%	87.2%	83.9%
Brazil	Cantá	2017	86.2%	87.6%	84.4%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	81.6%	86.1%	76.1%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	82.9%	86.7%	78.9%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	80.0%	83.3%	76.4%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	82.2%	86.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	80.6%	83.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	83.4%	87.1%	79.6%
Brazil	Cantanhede	2000	60.0%	64.7%	55.2%
Brazil	Cantanhede	2017	61.0%	65.6%	56.2%
Brazil	Canto do Buriti	2000	61.0%	67.3%	55.3%
Brazil	Canto do Buriti	2017	62.0%	68.2%	56.2%
Brazil	Canudos	2000	73.5%	79.0%	66.7%
Brazil	Canudos	2017	74.3%	79.7%	67.5%
Brazil	Canutama	2000	51.7%	59.2%	43.3%
Brazil	Canutama	2017	52.5%	59.8%	44.2%
Brazil	Capanema	2000	37.8%	42.6%	33.8%
Brazil	Capanema	2000	82.8%	86.7%	79.0%
Brazil	Capanema	2017	38.7%	43.5%	34.7%
Brazil	Capanema	2017	83.3%	87.1%	79.6%
Brazil	Capão Alto	2000	77.9%	82.3%	72.5%
Brazil	Capão Alto	2017	78.5%	82.9%	73.3%
Brazil	Capão Bonito	2000	92.9%	94.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Capão Bonito	2017	93.2%	95.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Capão da Canoa	2000	80.7%	82.6%	78.5%
Brazil	Capão da Canoa	2017	81.3%	83.2%	79.3%
Brazil	Capão do Leão	2000	78.1%	82.5%	73.1%
Brazil	Capão do Leão	2017	78.8%	83.1%	73.9%
Brazil	Caparaó	2000	80.9%	84.3%	77.5%
Brazil	Caparaó	2017	81.5%	84.8%	78.3%
Brazil	Capela	2000	58.6%	61.5%	55.7%
Brazil	Capela	2000	74.0%	76.1%	70.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Capela	2017	59.7%	62.6%	56.8%
Brazil	Capela	2017	74.7%	76.8%	71.7%
Brazil	Capela de Santana	2000	75.0%	80.2%	68.9%
Brazil	Capela de Santana	2017	75.7%	80.8%	69.8%
Brazil	Capela do Alto	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Capela do Alto	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Capela do Alto Alegre	2000	77.9%	81.8%	72.9%
Brazil	Capela do Alto Alegre	2017	78.6%	82.4%	73.7%
Brazil	Capela Nova	2000	80.9%	84.3%	76.7%
Brazil	Capela Nova	2017	81.5%	84.8%	77.4%
Brazil	Capelinha	2000	83.5%	87.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Capelinha	2017	84.0%	88.3%	78.2%
Brazil	Capetinga	2000	87.7%	91.1%	83.4%
Brazil	Capetinga	2017	87.9%	91.3%	83.7%
Brazil	Capim	2000	69.2%	72.3%	66.2%
Brazil	Capim	2017	69.8%	72.9%	66.8%
Brazil	Capim Branco	2000	81.7%	84.7%	78.4%
Brazil	Capim Branco	2017	82.3%	85.2%	79.1%
Brazil	Capim Grosso	2000	78.6%	82.8%	73.9%
Brazil	Capim Grosso	2017	79.2%	83.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Capinópolis	2000	82.7%	86.6%	78.5%
Brazil	Capinópolis	2017	83.2%	87.0%	79.1%
Brazil	Capinzal	2000	77.0%	80.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Capinzal	2017	77.7%	81.4%	73.4%
Brazil	Capinzal do Norte	2000	60.6%	65.9%	55.0%
Brazil	Capinzal do Norte	2017	61.6%	66.7%	56.0%
Brazil	Capistrano	2000	71.5%	75.4%	67.9%
Brazil	Capistrano	2017	72.2%	76.1%	68.7%
Brazil	Capitão	2000	82.8%	86.4%	78.3%
Brazil	Capitão	2017	83.4%	86.8%	79.0%
Brazil	Capitão	2000	81.2%	85.7%	75.7%
Brazil	Capitão Andrade	2017	81.8%	86.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Capitão de Campos	2000	61.1%	65.6%	55.9%
Brazil	Capitão de Campos	2017	62.1%	66.6%	56.9%
Brazil	Capitão Enéas	2000	81.1%	84.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Capitão Enéas	2017	81.7%	85.0%	78.1%
Brazil	Capitão Gervásio Oliveira	2000	63.1%	69.3%	56.1%
Brazil	Capitão Gervásio Oliveira	2017	64.1%	70.1%	57.1%
Brazil	Capitão Leônidas Marques	2000	82.3%	85.7%	78.7%
Brazil	Capitão Leônidas Marques	2017	82.8%	86.2%	79.3%
Brazil	Capitão Poço	2000	40.7%	45.6%	36.3%
Brazil	Capitão Poço	2017	41.6%	46.6%	37.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Capit6lio	2000	82.4%	86.7%	76.9%
Brazil	Capit6lio	2017	83.0%	87.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Capivari	2000	93.3%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Capivari	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Capivari de Baixo	2000	73.5%	78.2%	68.0%
Brazil	Capivari de Baixo	2017	74.8%	79.3%	69.4%
Brazil	Capivari do Sul	2000	81.9%	85.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Capivari do Sul	2017	82.5%	86.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Capixaba	2000	39.1%	43.3%	34.8%
Brazil	Capixaba	2017	40.0%	44.2%	35.7%
Brazil	Capoeiras	2000	66.5%	69.9%	63.2%
Brazil	Capoeiras	2017	67.3%	70.7%	64.1%
Brazil	Caputira	2000	83.0%	86.2%	79.2%
Brazil	Caputira	2017	83.5%	86.6%	79.8%
Brazil	Cara6	2000	81.4%	84.7%	77.1%
Brazil	Cara6	2017	82.0%	85.2%	77.8%
Brazil	Caracara6	2000	85.2%	87.9%	82.2%
Brazil	Caracara6	2017	85.7%	88.3%	82.8%
Brazil	Caracol	2000	80.0%	85.9%	72.5%
Brazil	Caracol	2000	65.5%	71.8%	57.8%
Brazil	Caracol	2017	80.6%	86.4%	73.2%
Brazil	Caracol	2017	66.3%	72.6%	58.8%
Brazil	Caraguatatuba	2000	89.7%	92.4%	86.8%
Brazil	Caraguatatuba	2017	90.1%	92.7%	87.3%
Brazil	Cara6	2000	83.4%	87.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Cara6	2017	83.9%	88.2%	78.4%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2000	77.5%	82.7%	71.8%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2017	78.1%	83.1%	72.5%
Brazil	Carambe6	2000	85.1%	88.1%	81.9%
Brazil	Carambe6	2017	85.6%	88.5%	82.5%
Brazil	Carana6ba	2000	81.5%	85.1%	77.4%
Brazil	Carana6ba	2017	82.2%	85.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Caranda6	2000	82.7%	86.2%	78.8%
Brazil	Caranda6	2017	83.3%	86.6%	79.4%
Brazil	Carangola	2000	80.5%	83.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Carangola	2017	81.1%	84.3%	77.6%
Brazil	Carapic6fba	2000	94.3%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Carapic6fba	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Caratinga	2000	82.7%	85.8%	78.9%
Brazil	Caratinga	2017	83.2%	86.3%	79.5%
Brazil	Carauari	2000	61.9%	70.4%	52.7%
Brazil	Carauari	2017	62.8%	71.2%	53.7%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2000	67.0%	71.5%	61.9%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2000	79.8%	82.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2017	67.9%	72.3%	62.9%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2017	80.5%	83.4%	77.0%
Brazil	Cara6bas do Piauí	2000	60.4%	65.1%	55.9%
Brazil	Cara6bas do Piauí	2017	61.4%	66.0%	57.0%
Brazil	Caravahopolis	2000	85.2%	88.1%	81.0%
Brazil	Caravahopolis	2017	85.7%	88.5%	81.6%
Brazil	Caravelas	2000	75.6%	81.3%	69.6%
Brazil	Caravelas	2017	76.3%	81.9%	70.3%
Brazil	Carazinho	2000	82.3%	85.7%	78.9%
Brazil	Carazinho	2017	82.9%	86.2%	79.5%
Brazil	Carbonita	2000	82.0%	87.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Carbonita	2017	82.6%	87.4%	77.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cardeal da Silva	2000	76.5%	81.0%	72.1%
Brazil	Cardeal da Silva	2017	77.2%	81.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Cardoso	2000	88.9%	92.0%	85.5%
Brazil	Cardoso	2017	89.3%	92.2%	86.0%
Brazil	Cardoso Moreira	2000	76.3%	79.6%	72.3%
Brazil	Cardoso Moreira	2017	77.0%	80.2%	73.0%
Brazil	Careaçú	2000	86.7%	89.6%	83.4%
Brazil	Careaçú	2017	87.2%	90.0%	84.0%
Brazil	Careiro	2000	56.7%	60.6%	52.3%
Brazil	Careiro	2017	57.7%	61.5%	53.3%
Brazil	Careiro da Várzea	2000	54.9%	58.5%	51.6%
Brazil	Careiro da Várzea	2017	55.9%	59.5%	52.6%
Brazil	Carepebus	2000	75.5%	79.4%	71.0%
Brazil	Carepebus	2017	76.2%	80.1%	71.8%
Brazil	Cariacica	2000	76.1%	78.2%	73.8%
Brazil	Cariacica	2017	77.1%	79.1%	74.9%
Brazil	Caridade	2000	70.8%	74.6%	67.0%
Brazil	Caridade	2017	71.6%	75.3%	67.8%
Brazil	Caridade do Piauí	2000	63.2%	68.4%	57.4%
Brazil	Caridade do Piauí	2017	64.1%	69.2%	58.4%
Brazil	Carinhanha	2000	78.4%	84.3%	70.6%
Brazil	Carinhanha	2017	79.1%	84.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Carira	2000	76.7%	79.8%	73.5%
Brazil	Carira	2017	77.4%	80.4%	74.2%
Brazil	Cariré	2000	67.0%	70.9%	62.5%
Brazil	Cariré	2017	67.8%	71.6%	63.4%
Brazil	Cariri do Tocantins	2000	76.6%	81.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Cariri do Tocantins	2017	77.3%	81.9%	73.1%
Brazil	Caririaçú	2000	68.6%	72.3%	64.8%
Brazil	Caririaçú	2017	69.4%	73.1%	65.7%
Brazil	Cariús	2000	68.7%	72.4%	64.3%
Brazil	Cariús	2017	69.5%	73.2%	65.1%
Brazil	Carlinda	2000	64.5%	71.1%	56.4%
Brazil	Carlinda	2017	65.4%	71.9%	57.3%
Brazil	Carlópolis	2000	89.5%	91.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Carlópolis	2017	89.8%	92.2%	86.5%
Brazil	Carlos Barbosa	2000	84.0%	86.6%	81.4%
Brazil	Carlos Barbosa	2017	84.5%	87.0%	82.0%
Brazil	Carlos Chagas	2000	79.4%	83.8%	74.3%
Brazil	Carlos Chagas	2017	80.1%	84.4%	75.0%
Brazil	Carlos Gomes	2000	77.5%	81.4%	73.3%
Brazil	Carlos Gomes	2017	78.1%	82.0%	74.0%
Brazil	Carmésia	2000	81.0%	85.0%	75.7%
Brazil	Carmésia	2017	81.7%	85.5%	76.4%
Brazil	Carmo	2000	80.4%	83.7%	76.7%
Brazil	Carmo	2017	81.1%	84.3%	77.5%
Brazil	Carmo da Cachoeira	2000	83.2%	86.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Carmo da Cachoeira	2017	83.7%	87.2%	79.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Carmo da Mata	2000	80.6%	84.8%	75.6%
Brazil	Carmo da Mata	2017	81.2%	85.3%	76.3%
Brazil	Carmo de Minas	2000	85.2%	88.5%	81.8%
Brazil	Carmo de Minas	2017	85.7%	88.9%	82.4%
Brazil	Carmo do Cajuru	2000	80.3%	84.5%	76.0%
Brazil	Carmo do Cajuru	2017	80.9%	85.0%	76.7%
Brazil	Carmo do Paranaíba	2000	82.6%	88.0%	76.1%
Brazil	Carmo do Paranaíba	2017	83.2%	88.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Claro	2000	83.9%	87.3%	79.3%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Claro	2017	84.2%	87.6%	79.7%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Verde	2000	77.1%	81.2%	73.0%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Verde	2017	77.8%	81.8%	73.7%
Brazil	Carmolândia	2000	72.6%	76.3%	68.8%
Brazil	Carmolândia	2017	73.4%	77.0%	69.6%
Brazil	Carmópolis	2000	72.2%	74.6%	69.5%
Brazil	Carmópolis	2017	73.0%	75.4%	70.3%
Brazil	Carmópolis de Minas	2000	81.3%	85.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Carmópolis de Minas	2017	81.9%	85.7%	77.8%
Brazil	Carnaíba	2000	66.5%	71.0%	62.4%
Brazil	Carnaíba	2017	67.4%	71.8%	63.4%
Brazil	Carnaúba dos Dantas	2000	79.5%	82.5%	75.4%
Brazil	Carnaúba dos Dantas	2017	80.1%	83.1%	76.2%
Brazil	Carnaubais	2000	82.6%	86.0%	79.4%
Brazil	Carnaubais	2017	83.2%	86.5%	80.1%
Brazil	Carnaubal	2000	71.1%	75.0%	67.2%
Brazil	Carnaubal	2017	72.0%	75.8%	68.1%
Brazil	Carnaubeira da Penha	2000	66.8%	71.8%	61.1%
Brazil	Carnaubeira da Penha	2017	67.6%	72.6%	62.0%
Brazil	Carneirinho	2000	84.2%	88.4%	79.2%
Brazil	Carneirinho	2017	84.7%	88.8%	79.9%
Brazil	Carneiros	2000	63.1%	66.5%	59.9%
Brazil	Carneiros	2017	64.0%	67.3%	60.8%
Brazil	Caroebe	2000	83.1%	86.8%	78.2%
Brazil	Caroebe	2017	83.7%	87.3%	78.9%
Brazil	Carolina	2000	72.6%	77.7%	67.8%
Brazil	Carolina	2017	73.4%	78.4%	68.7%
Brazil	Carpina	2000	71.2%	74.0%	69.0%
Brazil	Carpina	2017	72.2%	74.9%	70.0%
Brazil	Carrancas	2000	83.5%	87.0%	79.4%
Brazil	Carrancas	2017	84.0%	87.4%	79.9%
Brazil	Carrapateira	2000	70.5%	73.9%	66.3%
Brazil	Carrapateira	2017	71.3%	74.7%	67.2%
Brazil	Carrasco Bonito	2000	62.7%	67.3%	58.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Carrasco Bonito	2017	63.6%	68.1%	59.0%
Brazil	Caruaru	2000	68.7%	71.4%	65.8%
Brazil	Caruaru	2017	69.5%	72.2%	66.6%
Brazil	Carutapera	2000	47.5%	54.6%	41.0%
Brazil	Carutapera	2017	48.4%	55.6%	42.0%
Brazil	Carvalhos	2000	85.1%	88.0%	81.6%
Brazil	Carvalhos	2017	85.6%	88.4%	82.2%
Brazil	Casa Branca	2000	93.0%	94.5%	90.9%
Brazil	Casa Branca	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Casa Grande	2000	81.2%	84.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Casa Grande	2017	81.8%	85.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Casa Nova	2000	67.6%	72.4%	62.8%
Brazil	Casa Nova	2017	68.5%	73.2%	63.7%
Brazil	Casca	2000	80.9%	84.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Casca	2017	81.5%	84.9%	77.2%
Brazil	Cascalho Rico	2000	79.2%	84.0%	73.9%
Brazil	Cascalho Rico	2017	79.9%	84.5%	74.7%
Brazil	Cascavel	2000	69.2%	72.3%	65.7%
Brazil	Cascavel	2000	86.1%	88.7%	82.9%
Brazil	Cascavel	2017	86.6%	89.1%	83.5%
Brazil	Cascavel	2017	70.0%	73.1%	66.6%
Brazil	Caseara	2000	59.4%	66.7%	51.5%
Brazil	Caseara	2017	60.3%	67.5%	52.4%
Brazil	Caseiros	2000	79.8%	84.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Caseiros	2017	80.4%	84.6%	76.2%
Brazil	Casimiro de Abreu	2000	77.1%	80.4%	73.6%
Brazil	Casimiro de Abreu	2017	78.0%	81.3%	74.5%
Brazil	Casinhas	2000	63.7%	67.2%	60.6%
Brazil	Casinhas	2017	64.6%	68.1%	61.5%
Brazil	Casserengue	2000	73.0%	76.0%	69.5%
Brazil	Casserengue	2017	73.7%	76.7%	70.4%
Brazil	Cássia	2000	86.5%	90.1%	82.0%
Brazil	Cássia	2017	86.9%	90.5%	82.6%
Brazil	Cássia dos Coqueiros	2000	89.7%	92.3%	86.5%
Brazil	Cássia dos Coqueiros	2017	90.0%	92.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Cassilândia	2000	80.1%	84.8%	74.6%
Brazil	Cassilândia	2017	80.7%	85.3%	75.4%
Brazil	Cassiterita	2000	80.3%	84.5%	75.7%
Brazil	Cassiterita	2017	80.9%	85.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Castanhal	2000	38.3%	41.6%	34.8%
Brazil	Castanhal	2017	39.2%	42.6%	35.7%
Brazil	Castanheira	2000	62.6%	71.8%	54.9%
Brazil	Castanheira	2017	63.5%	72.6%	55.8%
Brazil	Castanheiras	2000	27.1%	30.2%	23.2%
Brazil	Castanheiras	2017	27.9%	31.0%	23.8%
Brazil	Castelândia	2000	77.5%	82.0%	72.5%
Brazil	Castelândia	2017	78.2%	82.5%	73.3%
Brazil	Castelo	2000	77.6%	80.8%	74.0%
Brazil	Castelo	2017	78.3%	81.4%	74.8%
Brazil	Castelo do Piauí	2000	62.2%	66.8%	57.2%
Brazil	Castelo do Piauí	2017	63.1%	67.7%	58.1%
Brazil	Castilho	2000	86.3%	89.0%	82.9%
Brazil	Castilho	2017	86.8%	89.3%	83.5%
Brazil	Castro	2000	85.8%	88.7%	82.3%
Brazil	Castro	2017	86.2%	89.0%	82.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Castro Alves	2000	79.1%	82.7%	74.3%
Brazil	Castro Alves	2017	79.7%	83.3%	75.1%
Brazil	Cataguases	2000	80.1%	83.6%	76.3%
Brazil	Cataguases	2017	80.7%	84.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Catalão	2000	79.4%	84.0%	73.8%
Brazil	Catalão	2017	80.0%	84.5%	74.6%
Brazil	Catanduva	2000	93.5%	95.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Catanduva	2017	93.9%	95.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Catandubas	2000	77.7%	81.6%	73.3%
Brazil	Catandubas	2000	84.6%	87.6%	80.8%
Brazil	Catandubas	2017	78.4%	82.2%	74.1%
Brazil	Catandubas	2017	84.6%	87.6%	80.8%
Brazil	Catarina	2000	71.1%	75.4%	66.3%
Brazil	Catarina	2017	71.9%	76.1%	67.2%
Brazil	Catas Altas	2000	80.8%	84.7%	77.5%
Brazil	Catas Altas	2017	81.4%	85.2%	78.1%
Brazil	Catas Altas da Noruega	2000	80.6%	84.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Catas Altas da Noruega	2017	81.2%	84.7%	77.1%
Brazil	Catende	2000	62.8%	66.6%	59.3%
Brazil	Catende	2017	63.8%	67.5%	60.3%
Brazil	Catigua	2000	92.7%	94.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Catigua	2017	93.0%	94.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Catingueira	2000	66.8%	70.3%	62.6%
Brazil	Catingueira	2017	67.7%	71.1%	63.5%
Brazil	Catolândia	2000	77.1%	83.4%	69.3%
Brazil	Catolândia	2017	77.6%	83.9%	69.9%
Brazil	Catolé do Rocha	2000	75.9%	79.5%	72.8%
Brazil	Catolé do Rocha	2017	76.6%	80.1%	73.6%
Brazil	Catu	2000	76.7%	80.0%	73.3%
Brazil	Catu	2017	77.4%	80.7%	74.0%
Brazil	Catuípe	2000	82.6%	86.3%	78.2%
Brazil	Catuípe	2017	83.2%	86.8%	78.9%
Brazil	Catuji	2000	82.6%	87.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Catuji	2017	83.1%	88.0%	78.1%
Brazil	Catunda	2000	69.2%	73.5%	64.8%
Brazil	Catunda	2017	70.0%	74.3%	65.7%
Brazil	Caturai	2000	77.0%	79.7%	74.4%
Brazil	Caturai	2017	77.7%	80.3%	75.1%
Brazil	Caturama	2000	77.0%	82.9%	70.6%
Brazil	Caturama	2017	77.7%	83.5%	71.4%
Brazil	Caturité	2000	69.8%	73.2%	66.8%
Brazil	Caturité	2017	70.7%	74.0%	67.7%
Brazil	Catuti	2000	80.2%	85.6%	73.9%
Brazil	Catuti	2017	80.8%	86.0%	74.7%
Brazil	Caucaia	2000	68.2%	70.3%	66.2%
Brazil	Caucaia	2017	69.1%	71.1%	67.1%
Brazil	Cavalcante	2000	80.6%	85.5%	75.8%
Brazil	Cavalcante	2017	81.1%	85.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Caxambu	2000	83.8%	87.2%	79.9%
Brazil	Caxambu	2017	84.4%	87.6%	80.5%
Brazil	Caxambu do Sul	2000	76.7%	80.2%	72.4%
Brazil	Caxambu do Sul	2017	77.3%	80.8%	73.2%
Brazil	Caxias	2000	61.5%	65.5%	57.2%
Brazil	Caxias	2017	62.5%	66.4%	58.2%
Brazil	Caxias do Sul	2000	83.5%	85.8%	80.5%
Brazil	Caxias do Sul	2017	84.0%	86.3%	81.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caxingó	2000	60.2%	64.6%	55.9%
Brazil	Caxingó	2017	61.1%	65.5%	56.8%
Brazil	Ceará-Mirim	2000	82.6%	84.6%	80.3%
Brazil	Ceará-Mirim	2017	83.2%	85.1%	80.9%
Brazil	Cedral	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.2%
Brazil	Cedral	2000	58.2%	65.1%	51.6%
Brazil	Cedral	2017	59.1%	65.9%	52.6%
Brazil	Cedral	2017	92.6%	94.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Cedro	2000	70.7%	74.4%	66.4%
Brazil	Cedro	2000	70.7%	74.4%	66.8%
Brazil	Cedro	2017	71.5%	75.1%	67.6%
Brazil	Cedro	2017	71.6%	75.2%	67.3%
Brazil	Cedro de São João	2000	70.1%	73.1%	67.4%
Brazil	Cedro de São João	2017	70.9%	73.8%	68.3%
Brazil	Cedro do Abaeté	2000	80.0%	85.5%	72.8%
Brazil	Cedro do Abaeté	2017	80.6%	86.0%	73.6%
Brazil	Celso Ramos	2000	76.4%	80.7%	71.8%
Brazil	Celso Ramos	2017	77.1%	81.4%	72.6%
Brazil	Centenário	2000	78.2%	82.2%	74.1%
Brazil	Centenário	2000	74.9%	80.9%	68.3%
Brazil	Centenário	2017	78.9%	82.7%	74.9%
Brazil	Centenário	2017	75.6%	81.5%	69.1%
Brazil	Centenário do Sul	2000	86.0%	88.6%	82.8%
Brazil	Centenário do Sul	2017	86.5%	89.0%	83.3%
Brazil	Central	2000	76.5%	82.5%	68.9%
Brazil	Central	2017	77.2%	83.0%	69.8%
Brazil	Central de Minas	2000	80.0%	84.2%	75.6%
Brazil	Central de Minas	2017	80.6%	84.7%	76.4%
Brazil	Central do Maranhão	2000	59.9%	65.4%	53.6%
Brazil	Central do Maranhão	2017	60.8%	66.2%	54.5%
Brazil	Centralina	2000	83.7%	87.5%	79.7%
Brazil	Centralina	2017	84.2%	87.9%	80.3%
Brazil	Centro do Guilherme	2000	51.4%	57.7%	46.1%
Brazil	Centro do Guilherme	2017	52.4%	58.7%	47.1%
Brazil	Centro Novo do Maranhão	2000	49.2%	54.8%	44.2%
Brazil	Centro Novo do Maranhão	2017	50.1%	55.7%	45.0%
Brazil	Cerejeiras	2000	30.1%	35.6%	25.5%
Brazil	Cerejeiras	2017	30.9%	36.5%	26.2%
Brazil	Ceres	2000	77.4%	81.7%	73.3%
Brazil	Ceres	2017	78.1%	82.3%	74.1%
Brazil	Cerqueira César	2000	93.0%	94.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Cerqueira César	2017	93.2%	95.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Cerquilho	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Cerquilho	2017	93.5%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Cerrito	2000	80.9%	85.1%	75.8%
Brazil	Cerrito	2017	81.5%	85.6%	76.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cêro Azul	2000	85.7%	88.9%	82.4%
Brazil	Cêro Azul	2017	86.4%	89.5%	83.2%
Brazil	Cerro Branco	2000	82.0%	85.6%	77.8%
Brazil	Cerro Branco	2017	82.5%	86.0%	78.4%
Brazil	Cerro Corá	2000	84.2%	86.9%	81.3%
Brazil	Cerro Corá	2017	84.7%	87.3%	81.9%
Brazil	Cerro Grande	2000	78.6%	82.5%	74.6%
Brazil	Cerro Grande	2017	79.2%	83.1%	75.4%
Brazil	Cerro Grande	2000	82.3%	85.7%	78.2%
Brazil	Cerro Grande do Sul	2017	82.9%	86.2%	78.8%
Brazil	Cerro Largo	2000	80.8%	85.7%	75.5%
Brazil	Cerro Largo	2017	81.4%	86.1%	76.2%
Brazil	Cerro Negro	2000	76.4%	80.9%	71.2%
Brazil	Cerro Negro	2017	77.1%	81.5%	72.1%
Brazil	Cesário Lange	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.4%
Brazil	Cesário Lange	2017	93.7%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Céu Azul	2000	84.5%	87.6%	81.1%
Brazil	Céu Azul	2017	85.0%	88.0%	81.7%
Brazil	Cezarina	2000	75.8%	79.3%	72.3%
Brazil	Cezarina	2017	76.5%	80.0%	73.1%
Brazil	Chã de Alegria	2000	65.9%	68.7%	63.5%
Brazil	Chã de Alegria	2017	66.8%	69.6%	64.4%
Brazil	Chã Grande	2000	71.6%	74.7%	68.7%
Brazil	Chã Grande	2017	72.4%	75.4%	69.5%
Brazil	Chã Preta	2000	59.7%	63.2%	56.3%
Brazil	Chã Preta	2017	60.6%	64.2%	57.3%
Brazil	Chácara	2000	80.6%	83.7%	76.9%
Brazil	Chácara	2017	81.2%	84.2%	77.6%
Brazil	Chale	2000	79.6%	83.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Chale	2017	80.3%	83.7%	76.0%
Brazil	Chapada	2000	80.8%	84.4%	76.6%
Brazil	Chapada	2017	81.3%	84.9%	77.3%
Brazil	Chapada da Natividade	2000	76.6%	81.3%	70.9%
Brazil	Chapada da Natividade	2017	77.3%	81.9%	71.7%
Brazil	Chapada de Areia	2000	72.7%	77.3%	67.8%
Brazil	Chapada de Areia	2017	73.5%	78.0%	68.6%
Brazil	Chapada do Norte	2000	82.4%	87.2%	77.1%
Brazil	Chapada do Norte	2017	82.9%	87.6%	77.8%
Brazil	Chapada dos Guimarães	2000	69.0%	73.6%	64.3%
Brazil	Chapada dos Guimarães	2017	69.9%	74.3%	65.2%
Brazil	Chapada Gaúcha	2000	82.9%	88.5%	77.1%
Brazil	Chapada Gaúcha	2017	83.5%	88.9%	77.8%
Brazil	Chapadão do Céu	2000	61.0%	65.9%	55.9%
Brazil	Chapadão do Céu	2017	62.0%	66.8%	56.9%
Brazil	Chapadão do Lageado	2000	74.6%	78.8%	69.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Chapadão do Lageado	2017	75.3%	79.5%	70.6%
Brazil	Chapadão do Sul	2000	79.3%	84.8%	72.9%
Brazil	Chapadão do Sul	2017	80.4%	85.6%	74.2%
Brazil	Chapadinha	2000	78.6%	84.1%	72.5%
Brazil	Chapadinha	2017	79.2%	84.6%	73.3%
Brazil	Chapecó	2000	79.5%	82.9%	75.7%
Brazil	Chapecó	2017	80.1%	83.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Charqueada	2000	93.5%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Charqueada	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Charqueadas	2000	83.1%	85.8%	80.2%
Brazil	Charqueadas	2017	83.7%	86.3%	80.8%
Brazil	Charrua	2000	78.5%	82.5%	74.2%
Brazil	Charrua	2017	79.1%	83.0%	74.9%
Brazil	Chaval	2000	65.9%	71.0%	60.7%
Brazil	Chaval	2017	66.7%	71.8%	61.6%
Brazil	Chavantes	2000	92.0%	94.2%	89.2%
Brazil	Chavantes	2017	92.3%	94.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Chaves	2000	36.0%	42.9%	30.4%
Brazil	Chaves	2017	37.0%	43.9%	31.3%
Brazil	Chaveslandia	2000	81.3%	85.3%	76.5%
Brazil	Chaveslandia	2017	81.8%	85.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Chiador	2000	75.8%	79.5%	72.2%
Brazil	Chiador	2017	76.3%	79.9%	72.7%
Brazil	Chiapeta	2000	82.1%	86.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Chiapeta	2017	82.7%	86.6%	78.1%
Brazil	Chopinzinho	2000	81.6%	85.5%	77.0%
Brazil	Chopinzinho	2017	82.2%	85.9%	77.7%
Brazil	Choró	2000	71.2%	74.9%	66.5%
Brazil	Choró	2017	72.0%	75.6%	67.3%
Brazil	Chorozinho	2000	70.5%	73.8%	66.8%
Brazil	Chorozinho	2017	71.3%	74.6%	67.7%
Brazil	Chorrochó	2000	66.8%	72.9%	61.0%
Brazil	Chorrochó	2017	67.7%	73.6%	61.9%
Brazil	Chuí	2000	78.1%	87.9%	65.9%
Brazil	Chuí	2017	78.7%	88.3%	66.8%
Brazil	Chupinguaia	2000	29.4%	34.4%	24.9%
Brazil	Chupinguaia	2017	30.2%	35.4%	25.6%
Brazil	Chuvisca	2000	82.1%	86.2%	77.7%
Brazil	Chuvisca	2017	82.7%	86.7%	78.4%
Brazil	Cianorte	2000	84.8%	87.5%	81.3%
Brazil	Cianorte	2017	85.3%	87.9%	81.9%
Brazil	Cícero Dantas	2000	77.4%	81.6%	72.7%
Brazil	Cícero Dantas	2017	78.0%	82.2%	73.4%
Brazil	Cidade Gaúcha	2000	84.6%	87.5%	81.1%
Brazil	Cidade Gaúcha	2017	85.1%	87.9%	81.7%
Brazil	Cidade Ocidental	2000	89.9%	91.2%	88.5%
Brazil	Cidade Ocidental	2017	90.3%	91.5%	88.9%
Brazil	Cidelândia	2000	63.4%	67.9%	58.7%
Brazil	Cidelândia	2017	64.3%	68.7%	59.6%
Brazil	Cidreira	2000	79.3%	83.9%	74.1%
Brazil	Cidreira	2017	79.9%	84.4%	74.9%
Brazil	Cipó	2000	77.5%	81.3%	72.5%
Brazil	Cipó	2017	78.2%	81.9%	73.3%
Brazil	Cipotânea	2000	80.3%	83.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Cipotânea	2017	80.9%	84.2%	77.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ciriaco	2000	80.5%	84.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Ciriaco	2017	81.1%	84.5%	76.7%
Brazil	Claraval	2000	87.3%	90.8%	83.3%
Brazil	Claraval	2017	87.7%	91.1%	83.8%
Brazil	Claro dos Poções	2000	81.4%	86.1%	75.2%
Brazil	Claro dos Poções	2017	82.0%	86.6%	76.0%
Brazil	Cláudia	2000	67.4%	73.2%	60.6%
Brazil	Cláudia	2017	68.2%	74.0%	61.6%
Brazil	Cláudio	2000	80.9%	85.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Cláudio	2017	81.5%	85.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Clementina	2000	92.1%	94.2%	89.7%
Brazil	Clementina	2017	92.4%	94.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Clevelândia	2000	78.9%	83.3%	74.7%
Brazil	Clevelândia	2017	79.6%	83.8%	75.4%
Brazil	Coaraci	2000	79.5%	83.4%	75.0%
Brazil	Coaraci	2017	80.1%	83.9%	75.7%
Brazil	Coari	2000	65.0%	71.6%	57.4%
Brazil	Coari	2017	65.9%	72.4%	58.3%
Brazil	Cocal	2000	66.2%	70.3%	61.6%
Brazil	Cocal	2017	67.0%	71.2%	62.5%
Brazil	Cocal de Telha	2000	59.9%	64.4%	55.4%
Brazil	Cocal de Telha	2017	60.8%	65.2%	56.4%
Brazil	Cocal do Sul	2000	74.4%	78.4%	70.0%
Brazil	Cocal do Sul	2017	75.1%	79.0%	70.8%
Brazil	Cocal dos Alves	2000	66.4%	70.6%	61.9%
Brazil	Cocal dos Alves	2017	67.4%	71.4%	62.9%
Brazil	Cocalinho	2000	71.7%	77.8%	64.4%
Brazil	Cocalinho	2017	72.5%	78.5%	65.0%
Brazil	Cocalzinho de Goiás	2000	86.7%	88.6%	84.3%
Brazil	Cocalzinho de Goiás	2017	87.2%	89.1%	85.0%
Brazil	Cocos	2000	79.4%	85.7%	72.0%
Brazil	Cocos	2017	80.9%	86.9%	73.9%
Brazil	Codajás	2000	60.5%	66.4%	53.9%
Brazil	Codajás	2017	61.4%	67.2%	54.8%
Brazil	Codó	2000	61.2%	65.5%	56.5%
Brazil	Codó	2017	62.1%	66.3%	57.5%
Brazil	Coelho Neto	2000	62.5%	66.5%	57.8%
Brazil	Coelho Neto	2017	63.4%	67.4%	58.8%
Brazil	Coimbra	2000	81.8%	85.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Coimbra	2017	82.4%	85.4%	78.2%
Brazil	Coité do Nória	2000	61.0%	63.5%	58.4%
Brazil	Coité do Nória	2017	61.9%	64.3%	59.4%
Brazil	Coivaras	2000	59.8%	64.0%	55.4%
Brazil	Coivaras	2017	60.7%	64.9%	56.4%
Brazil	Colares	2000	35.0%	39.8%	31.1%
Brazil	Colares	2017	35.9%	40.7%	31.9%
Brazil	Colatina	2000	75.9%	78.9%	72.5%
Brazil	Colatina	2017	76.6%	79.5%	73.2%
Brazil	Colíder	2000	70.8%	76.4%	64.5%
Brazil	Colíder	2017	71.6%	77.1%	65.4%
Brazil	Colina	2000	92.1%	94.2%	89.6%
Brazil	Colina	2017	92.3%	94.4%	89.9%
Brazil	Colinas	2000	61.1%	66.9%	54.9%
Brazil	Colinas	2000	79.6%	83.4%	76.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Colinas	2017	62.0%	67.7%	55.9%
Brazil	Colinas	2017	80.2%	84.0%	76.8%
Brazil	Colinas do Sul	2000	80.6%	85.6%	75.3%
Brazil	Colinas do Sul	2017	81.1%	86.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Colinas do Tocantins	2000	74.8%	79.0%	70.0%
Brazil	Colinas do Tocantins	2017	75.6%	79.7%	70.9%
Brazil	Colméia	2000	73.1%	77.4%	68.2%
Brazil	Colméia	2017	73.9%	78.1%	69.0%
Brazil	Colômbia	2000	89.5%	92.5%	86.4%
Brazil	Colômbia	2017	89.9%	92.7%	86.9%
Brazil	Colombo	2000	86.2%	88.1%	84.0%
Brazil	Colombo	2017	86.7%	88.5%	84.6%
Brazil	Colônia do Gurguéia	2000	60.1%	67.0%	53.0%
Brazil	Colônia do Gurguéia	2017	61.1%	67.9%	54.0%
Brazil	Colônia do Piauí	2000	59.6%	64.7%	53.8%
Brazil	Colônia do Piauí	2017	60.5%	65.6%	54.7%
Brazil	Colônia Leopoldina	2000	61.7%	65.2%	58.7%
Brazil	Colônia Leopoldina	2017	62.5%	66.0%	59.6%
Brazil	Colorado	2000	88.5%	90.8%	85.7%
Brazil	Colorado	2000	82.0%	85.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Colorado	2017	82.6%	85.9%	78.5%
Brazil	Colorado	2017	88.8%	91.1%	86.1%
Brazil	Colorado do Oeste	2000	33.0%	38.0%	29.2%
Brazil	Colorado do Oeste	2017	33.9%	38.9%	30.0%
Brazil	Coluna	2000	81.9%	86.6%	75.9%
Brazil	Coluna	2017	82.5%	87.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Combinado	2000	76.5%	81.6%	70.0%
Brazil	Combinado	2017	77.2%	82.2%	70.9%
Brazil	Comendador Gomes	2000	86.8%	90.2%	82.6%
Brazil	Comendador Gomes	2017	87.3%	90.5%	83.1%
Brazil	Comendador Levy Gasparian	2000	77.9%	81.2%	74.4%
Brazil	Comendador Levy Gasparian	2017	78.6%	81.8%	75.1%
Brazil	Comercinho	2000	81.6%	85.8%	75.9%
Brazil	Comercinho	2017	82.2%	86.3%	76.6%
Brazil	Comodoro	2000	46.8%	53.1%	40.2%
Brazil	Comodoro	2017	47.5%	53.7%	41.0%
Brazil	Conceição	2000	68.4%	72.5%	64.3%
Brazil	Conceição	2017	69.2%	73.3%	65.2%
Brazil	Conceição da Aparecida	2000	84.4%	88.1%	80.1%
Brazil	Conceição da Aparecida	2017	84.9%	88.5%	80.7%
Brazil	Conceição da Barra	2000	76.1%	80.8%	71.5%
Brazil	Conceição da Barra	2017	76.8%	81.4%	72.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Conceição da Feira	2000	76.4%	79.8%	73.1%
Brazil	Conceição da Feira	2017	77.3%	80.6%	74.1%
Brazil	Conceição das Alagoas	2000	87.7%	90.6%	84.1%
Brazil	Conceição das Alagoas	2017	88.1%	91.1%	84.4%
Brazil	Conceição das Pedras	2000	87.2%	90.4%	83.2%
Brazil	Conceição das Pedras	2017	87.7%	90.8%	83.7%
Brazil	Conceição de Ipanema	2000	79.7%	83.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Conceição de Ipanema	2017	80.3%	83.9%	76.2%
Brazil	Conceicao do Almeida	2000	76.7%	80.6%	72.1%
Brazil	Conceicao do Almeida	2017	77.4%	81.3%	72.9%
Brazil	Conceição do Almeida	2000	76.7%	80.4%	72.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Almeida	2017	77.4%	81.1%	73.1%
Brazil	Conceição do Araguaia	2000	36.6%	41.0%	32.5%
Brazil	Conceição do Araguaia	2017	37.5%	41.9%	33.3%
Brazil	Conceição do Canindé	2000	59.7%	65.1%	52.9%
Brazil	Conceição do Canindé	2017	60.7%	66.0%	54.0%
Brazil	Conceição do Castelo	2000	80.6%	83.8%	77.6%
Brazil	Conceição do Castelo	2017	81.2%	84.3%	78.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Coité	2000	79.2%	82.6%	75.3%
Brazil	Conceição do Coité	2017	80.6%	83.9%	76.9%
Brazil	Conceição do Jacuípe	2000	77.7%	80.8%	74.0%
Brazil	Conceição do Jacuípe	2017	78.4%	81.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Conceição do Lago-Açu	2000	61.4%	66.1%	56.1%
Brazil	Conceição do Lago-Açu	2017	62.4%	67.1%	57.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Mato Dentro	2000	80.9%	84.9%	75.7%
Brazil	Conceição do Mato Dentro	2017	81.5%	85.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Conceição do Para	2000	79.8%	84.0%	75.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Para	2017	80.4%	84.6%	75.9%
Brazil	Conceição do Rio Verde	2000	83.8%	87.1%	79.7%
Brazil	Conceição do Rio Verde	2017	85.0%	88.1%	81.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Tocantins	2000	77.3%	82.3%	71.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Conceição do Tocantins	2017	77.9%	82.9%	71.7%
Brazil	Conceição dos Ouros	2000	88.6%	90.9%	85.9%
Brazil	Conceição dos Ouros	2017	88.9%	91.2%	86.3%
Brazil	Conceicao Macabu	2000	76.8%	80.2%	73.1%
Brazil	Conceicao Macabu	2017	77.5%	80.8%	73.8%
Brazil	Conchal	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.2%
Brazil	Conchal	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.5%
Brazil	Conchas	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Conchas	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Concórdia	2000	78.3%	81.6%	73.8%
Brazil	Concórdia	2017	79.1%	82.2%	74.7%
Brazil	Concórdia do Pará	2000	61.8%	67.4%	55.6%
Brazil	Concórdia do Pará	2017	62.6%	68.2%	56.6%
Brazil	Condado	2000	67.8%	70.9%	64.9%
Brazil	Condado	2000	70.2%	74.0%	65.9%
Brazil	Condado	2017	68.7%	71.8%	65.8%
Brazil	Condado	2017	71.0%	74.7%	66.7%
Brazil	Conde	2000	75.7%	80.4%	71.2%
Brazil	Conde	2000	65.9%	69.1%	63.0%
Brazil	Conde	2017	76.3%	80.9%	71.9%
Brazil	Conde	2017	66.8%	69.9%	63.9%
Brazil	Condeúba	2000	78.5%	83.4%	72.4%
Brazil	Condeúba	2017	79.2%	84.0%	73.2%
Brazil	Condor	2000	81.9%	85.9%	77.5%
Brazil	Condor	2017	82.5%	86.3%	78.1%
Brazil	Cônego Marinho	2000	80.5%	85.7%	73.7%
Brazil	Cônego Marinho	2017	81.1%	86.2%	74.5%
Brazil	Confins	2000	81.2%	83.9%	78.3%
Brazil	Confins	2017	81.8%	84.4%	78.9%
Brazil	Confresa	2000	65.8%	74.0%	55.8%
Brazil	Confresa	2017	66.7%	74.7%	56.7%
Brazil	Congo	2000	67.0%	71.6%	62.3%
Brazil	Congo	2017	67.9%	72.4%	63.2%
Brazil	Congonhal	2000	88.0%	90.5%	84.8%
Brazil	Congonhal	2017	88.4%	90.8%	85.3%
Brazil	Congonhas	2000	82.1%	85.5%	78.8%
Brazil	Congonhas	2017	82.7%	86.0%	79.4%
Brazil	Congonhas do Norte	2000	84.2%	87.8%	79.2%
Brazil	Congonhas do Norte	2017	84.7%	88.2%	79.8%
Brazil	Congonhinhas	2000	86.3%	89.0%	83.6%
Brazil	Congonhinhas	2017	86.7%	89.4%	84.1%
Brazil	Conquista	2000	84.9%	89.0%	79.9%
Brazil	Conquista	2017	85.9%	89.8%	81.2%
Brazil	Conselheiro Lafaiete	2000	82.3%	86.0%	78.6%
Brazil	Conselheiro Lafaiete	2017	82.9%	86.5%	79.2%
Brazil	Conselheiro Mayrinck	2000	87.1%	90.1%	84.0%
Brazil	Conselheiro Mayrinck	2017	87.6%	90.5%	84.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Conselheiro Pena	2000	78.7%	82.5%	74.2%
Brazil	Conselheiro Pena	2017	79.3%	83.1%	74.9%
Brazil	Consolação	2000	90.9%	92.7%	88.7%
Brazil	Consolação	2017	91.1%	92.8%	88.9%
Brazil	Constantina	2000	78.9%	82.8%	75.1%
Brazil	Constantina	2017	79.6%	83.3%	75.8%
Brazil	Contagem	2000	82.3%	84.6%	79.7%
Brazil	Contagem	2017	82.8%	85.2%	80.4%
Brazil	Contenda	2000	83.6%	85.9%	81.2%
Brazil	Contenda	2017	84.1%	86.4%	81.8%
Brazil	Contendas do Sincorá	2000	76.2%	81.7%	70.8%
Brazil	Contendas do Sincorá	2017	76.9%	82.3%	71.6%
Brazil	Coqueiral	2000	82.2%	86.0%	77.8%
Brazil	Coqueiral	2017	82.8%	86.4%	78.4%
Brazil	Coqueiro Seco	2000	57.4%	59.9%	54.6%
Brazil	Coqueiro Seco	2017	58.3%	60.9%	55.6%
Brazil	Coqueiros do Sul	2000	81.0%	84.5%	77.7%
Brazil	Coqueiros do Sul	2017	81.6%	85.0%	78.4%
Brazil	Coração de Jesus	2000	82.0%	85.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Coração de Jesus	2017	82.6%	86.1%	78.0%
Brazil	Coração de Maria	2000	77.0%	80.3%	73.5%
Brazil	Coração de Maria	2017	77.7%	80.9%	74.3%
Brazil	Corbélia	2000	84.8%	88.3%	81.1%
Brazil	Corbélia	2017	85.3%	88.7%	81.6%
Brazil	Cordeiro	2000	80.5%	83.7%	76.9%
Brazil	Cordeiro	2017	81.1%	84.2%	77.6%
Brazil	Cordeirópolis	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Cordeirópolis	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Cordeiros	2000	79.6%	84.3%	74.0%
Brazil	Cordeiros	2017	80.2%	84.8%	74.7%
Brazil	Cordilheira Alta	2000	77.3%	81.4%	73.0%
Brazil	Cordilheira Alta	2017	78.0%	81.9%	73.8%
Brazil	Cordisburgo	2000	81.9%	86.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Cordisburgo	2017	82.5%	86.5%	78.1%
Brazil	Cordislândia	2000	82.1%	86.9%	76.0%
Brazil	Cordislândia	2017	82.7%	87.3%	76.7%
Brazil	Coreaú	2000	66.6%	70.7%	62.4%
Brazil	Coreaú	2017	67.5%	71.5%	63.3%
Brazil	Coremas	2000	67.9%	71.7%	64.3%
Brazil	Coremas	2017	68.7%	72.5%	65.2%
Brazil	Corguinho	2000	78.1%	82.0%	73.4%
Brazil	Corguinho	2017	78.9%	82.7%	74.2%
Brazil	Coribe	2000	78.9%	85.1%	70.5%
Brazil	Coribe	2017	79.5%	85.6%	71.3%
Brazil	Corinto	2000	81.8%	86.4%	75.6%
Brazil	Corinto	2017	82.3%	86.9%	76.3%
Brazil	Cornélio Procópio	2000	88.5%	90.5%	86.3%
Brazil	Cornélio Procópio	2017	88.9%	90.8%	86.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Coroaci	2000	81.3%	85.9%	74.8%
Brazil	Coroaci	2017	81.9%	86.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Coroados	2000	92.4%	94.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Coroados	2017	92.7%	94.7%	90.2%
Brazil	Coroatá	2000	61.7%	66.6%	56.5%
Brazil	Coroatá	2017	62.6%	67.4%	57.4%
Brazil	Coromandel	2000	80.2%	85.1%	74.1%
Brazil	Coromandel	2017	80.9%	85.6%	74.9%
Brazil	Coronel Barros	2000	81.9%	85.9%	77.3%
Brazil	Coronel Barros	2017	82.5%	86.4%	78.0%
Brazil	Coronel Bicaco	2000	81.2%	85.1%	76.8%
Brazil	Coronel Bicaco	2017	81.7%	85.6%	77.5%
Brazil	Coronel domingos	2000	81.0%	85.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Coronel domingos Soares	2017	81.6%	85.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Coronel Ezequiel	2000	79.4%	82.3%	75.9%
Brazil	Coronel Ezequiel	2017	80.0%	82.9%	76.6%
Brazil	Coronel Fabriciano	2000	81.2%	84.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Coronel Fabriciano	2017	81.8%	85.2%	77.8%
Brazil	Coronel Freitas	2000	76.9%	81.3%	72.2%
Brazil	Coronel Freitas	2017	76.6%	81.0%	71.9%
Brazil	Coronel João Pessoa	2000	74.8%	78.7%	70.8%
Brazil	Coronel João Pessoa	2017	75.6%	79.4%	71.6%
Brazil	Coronel João Sá	2000	75.8%	79.6%	71.9%
Brazil	Coronel João Sá	2017	76.5%	80.2%	72.7%
Brazil	Coronel José Dias	2000	63.2%	69.5%	56.5%
Brazil	Coronel José Dias	2017	64.1%	70.2%	57.5%
Brazil	Coronel Macedo	2000	90.8%	93.0%	88.0%
Brazil	Coronel Macedo	2017	91.1%	93.3%	88.4%
Brazil	Coronel Martins	2000	78.2%	82.6%	74.0%
Brazil	Coronel Martins	2017	78.8%	83.1%	74.7%
Brazil	Coronel Murta	2000	81.2%	85.7%	75.1%
Brazil	Coronel Murta	2017	81.8%	86.2%	75.9%
Brazil	Coronel Pacheco	2000	80.0%	83.1%	76.3%
Brazil	Coronel Pacheco	2017	80.6%	83.7%	77.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Coronel Sapucaia	2000	81.9%	86.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Coronel Sapucaia	2017	82.5%	87.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Coronel Vivida	2000	81.5%	85.3%	76.9%
Brazil	Coronel Vivida	2017	82.1%	85.8%	77.6%
Brazil	Coronel Xavier Chaves	2000	81.2%	85.4%	76.7%
Brazil	Coronel Xavier Chaves	2017	81.8%	85.8%	77.4%
Brazil	Córrego Danta	2000	82.1%	87.2%	76.7%
Brazil	Córrego Danta	2017	82.6%	87.6%	77.3%
Brazil	Córrego do Bom Jesus	2000	91.4%	93.0%	89.4%
Brazil	Córrego do Bom Jesus	2017	91.7%	93.2%	89.8%
Brazil	Córrego do Ouro	2000	76.0%	80.3%	70.6%
Brazil	Córrego do Ouro	2017	76.7%	80.9%	71.4%
Brazil	Córrego Fundo	2000	82.1%	86.2%	77.4%
Brazil	Córrego Fundo	2017	82.7%	86.7%	78.0%
Brazil	Córrego Novo	2000	81.4%	85.1%	77.8%
Brazil	Córrego Novo	2017	81.9%	85.5%	78.4%
Brazil	Correia Pinto	2000	77.4%	81.9%	72.6%
Brazil	Correia Pinto	2017	78.1%	82.4%	73.4%
Brazil	Corrente	2000	67.4%	74.9%	60.2%
Brazil	Corrente	2017	68.3%	75.7%	61.2%
Brazil	Correntes	2000	60.1%	63.4%	56.3%
Brazil	Correntes	2017	61.0%	64.3%	57.3%
Brazil	Correntina	2000	78.2%	84.4%	70.6%
Brazil	Correntina	2017	78.9%	84.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Cortes	2000	65.1%	68.9%	61.8%
Brazil	Cortes	2017	65.9%	69.7%	62.7%
Brazil	Corumbá	2000	77.9%	81.8%	73.3%
Brazil	Corumbá	2017	78.6%	82.3%	74.0%
Brazil	Corumbá de Goiás	2000	83.9%	86.3%	81.3%
Brazil	Corumbá de Goiás	2017	84.4%	86.8%	81.9%
Brazil	Corumbaíba	2000	79.4%	83.3%	74.7%
Brazil	Corumbaíba	2017	80.0%	83.9%	75.4%
Brazil	Corumbataí	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Corumbataí	2017	93.0%	94.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Corumbataí do Sul	2000	83.8%	87.0%	79.9%
Brazil	Corumbataí do Sul	2017	84.3%	87.4%	80.6%
Brazil	Corumbiara	2000	29.4%	34.7%	25.2%
Brazil	Corumbiara	2017	30.2%	35.6%	26.0%
Brazil	Corupá	2000	72.4%	76.7%	68.8%
Brazil	Corupá	2017	73.1%	77.4%	69.7%
Brazil	Coruripe	2000	64.8%	69.2%	60.8%
Brazil	Coruripe	2017	65.7%	70.0%	61.7%
Brazil	Cosmópolis	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Cosmópolis	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cosmorama	2000	91.3%	93.6%	88.5%
Brazil	Cosmorama	2017	91.6%	93.8%	88.9%
Brazil	Costa Marques	2000	31.0%	38.4%	24.6%
Brazil	Costa Marques	2017	31.9%	39.3%	25.3%
Brazil	Costa Rica	2000	78.7%	83.2%	72.7%
Brazil	Costa Rica	2017	79.3%	83.7%	73.5%
Brazil	Cotegipe	2000	74.3%	80.9%	65.3%
Brazil	Cotegipe	2017	75.0%	81.5%	66.3%
Brazil	Cotia	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Cotia	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Cotiporã	2000	81.7%	85.3%	78.5%
Brazil	Cotiporã	2017	82.3%	85.7%	79.1%
Brazil	Cotriguaçu	2000	66.6%	75.0%	59.1%
Brazil	Cotriguaçu	2017	67.5%	75.7%	60.1%
Brazil	Couto de Magalhães	2000	82.4%	87.3%	76.3%
Brazil	Couto de Magalhães	2017	83.0%	87.7%	77.0%
Brazil	Couto Magalhães	2000	63.7%	69.1%	57.9%
Brazil	Couto Magalhães	2017	64.6%	69.9%	58.8%
Brazil	Coxilha	2000	81.2%	84.6%	76.6%
Brazil	Coxilha	2017	81.8%	85.1%	77.3%
Brazil	Coxim	2000	77.9%	82.4%	71.6%
Brazil	Coxim	2017	78.5%	82.9%	72.4%
Brazil	Coxixola	2000	67.6%	72.0%	62.4%
Brazil	Coxixola	2017	68.4%	72.7%	63.2%
Brazil	Craíbas	2000	64.1%	66.8%	61.4%
Brazil	Craíbas	2017	65.0%	67.7%	62.3%
Brazil	Crateús	2000	67.0%	71.2%	62.7%
Brazil	Crateús	2017	67.8%	71.9%	63.6%
Brazil	Crato	2000	68.8%	72.3%	65.3%
Brazil	Crato	2017	69.6%	73.1%	66.2%
Brazil	Cravinhos	2000	92.9%	94.5%	90.8%
Brazil	Cravinhos	2017	93.1%	94.7%	91.1%
Brazil	Cravolândia	2000	82.2%	85.5%	77.6%
Brazil	Cravolândia	2017	82.8%	86.0%	78.2%
Brazil	Criciúma	2000	75.5%	79.5%	70.8%
Brazil	Criciúma	2017	76.2%	80.1%	71.6%
Brazil	Crisólita	2000	81.0%	85.3%	75.9%
Brazil	Crisólita	2017	81.5%	85.8%	76.5%
Brazil	Crisópolis	2000	79.2%	82.7%	75.5%
Brazil	Crisópolis	2017	79.1%	82.6%	75.5%
Brazil	Crissiumal	2000	80.6%	84.8%	75.9%
Brazil	Crissiumal	2017	81.1%	85.3%	76.5%
Brazil	Cristais	2000	81.4%	85.4%	76.6%
Brazil	Cristais	2017	82.7%	86.4%	78.1%
Brazil	Cristais Paulista	2000	87.9%	91.1%	84.1%
Brazil	Cristais Paulista	2017	88.3%	91.4%	84.6%
Brazil	Cristal	2000	81.3%	85.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Cristal	2017	81.9%	85.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Cristal do Sul	2000	78.0%	81.9%	73.5%
Brazil	Cristal do Sul	2017	78.7%	82.5%	74.3%
Brazil	Cristalândia	2000	75.7%	80.1%	70.4%
Brazil	Cristalândia	2017	76.4%	80.7%	71.2%
Brazil	Cristalândia do Piauí	2000	68.7%	76.3%	61.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cristalândia do Piauí	2017	69.5%	76.9%	62.6%
Brazil	Cristália	2000	82.3%	87.1%	76.6%
Brazil	Cristália	2017	82.9%	87.5%	77.3%
Brazil	Cristalina	2000	87.1%	89.9%	83.8%
Brazil	Cristalina	2017	87.7%	90.4%	84.5%
Brazil	Cristiano Otoni	2000	81.1%	84.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Cristiano Otoni	2017	81.7%	85.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Cristianópolis	2000	78.0%	81.4%	74.1%
Brazil	Cristianópolis	2017	78.7%	82.0%	74.9%
Brazil	Cristina	2000	88.8%	91.6%	85.8%
Brazil	Cristina	2017	89.3%	91.9%	86.3%
Brazil	Cristinápolis	2000	77.4%	80.7%	74.0%
Brazil	Cristinápolis	2017	78.1%	81.3%	74.8%
Brazil	Cristino Castro	2000	59.1%	67.0%	52.0%
Brazil	Cristino Castro	2017	60.1%	67.9%	53.0%
Brazil	Cristópolis	2000	75.9%	82.2%	67.4%
Brazil	Cristópolis	2017	76.6%	82.7%	68.2%
Brazil	Crixás	2000	77.7%	82.9%	71.9%
Brazil	Crixás	2017	78.4%	83.5%	72.7%
Brazil	Crixás do Tocantins	2000	75.7%	79.9%	71.8%
Brazil	Crixás do Tocantins	2017	76.4%	80.5%	72.5%
Brazil	Croata	2000	70.9%	75.3%	66.6%
Brazil	Croata	2017	71.7%	76.0%	67.4%
Brazil	Cromínia	2000	76.4%	79.7%	72.4%
Brazil	Cromínia	2017	77.1%	80.3%	73.1%
Brazil	Crucilândia	2000	80.6%	84.3%	76.5%
Brazil	Crucilândia	2017	81.2%	84.8%	77.2%
Brazil	Cruz	2000	67.4%	72.7%	61.9%
Brazil	Cruz	2017	68.2%	73.3%	62.7%
Brazil	Cruz Alta	2000	84.4%	87.9%	79.9%
Brazil	Cruz Alta	2017	84.9%	88.3%	80.5%
Brazil	Cruz das Almas	2000	77.2%	80.7%	72.9%
Brazil	Cruz das Almas	2017	78.1%	81.4%	73.8%
Brazil	Cruz do Espírito Santo	2000	67.4%	70.6%	64.5%
Brazil	Cruz do Espírito Santo	2017	68.3%	71.4%	65.4%
Brazil	Cruz Machado	2000	80.4%	84.0%	75.8%
Brazil	Cruz Machado	2017	81.0%	84.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Cruzália	2000	88.4%	90.8%	85.8%
Brazil	Cruzália	2017	88.8%	91.2%	86.3%
Brazil	Cruzeiro	2000	86.2%	88.7%	83.2%
Brazil	Cruzeiro	2017	86.9%	89.3%	84.1%
Brazil	Cruzeiro da Fortaleza	2000	81.3%	86.1%	75.6%
Brazil	Cruzeiro da Fortaleza	2017	81.9%	86.6%	76.3%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Iguaçu	2000	81.8%	85.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Iguaçu	2017	82.4%	86.0%	78.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Oeste	2000	84.5%	87.7%	81.0%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Oeste	2017	85.0%	88.1%	81.6%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	45.4%	49.5%	41.8%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	81.0%	84.5%	77.7%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	85.3%	88.1%	82.1%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	85.8%	88.5%	82.7%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	46.0%	50.0%	42.4%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	81.9%	85.2%	78.6%
Brazil	Cruzeta	2000	78.4%	81.8%	74.8%
Brazil	Cruzeta	2017	79.0%	82.3%	75.5%
Brazil	Cruzília	2000	86.3%	89.1%	82.8%
Brazil	Cruzília	2017	86.8%	89.5%	83.3%
Brazil	Cruzmalina	2000	83.3%	86.5%	79.7%
Brazil	Cruzmalina	2017	83.8%	87.0%	80.4%
Brazil	Cubatão	2000	87.5%	89.3%	85.6%
Brazil	Cubatão	2017	87.9%	89.7%	86.0%
Brazil	Cubati	2000	74.3%	77.9%	70.3%
Brazil	Cubati	2017	74.9%	78.4%	70.9%
Brazil	Cuiaba	2000	67.9%	70.9%	64.3%
Brazil	Cuiaba	2017	68.7%	71.6%	65.2%
Brazil	Cuité	2000	77.5%	80.7%	74.0%
Brazil	Cuité	2017	78.1%	81.2%	74.7%
Brazil	Cuité de Manguape	2000	68.3%	71.3%	65.2%
Brazil	Cuité de Manguape	2017	69.2%	72.1%	66.1%
Brazil	Cuitegi	2000	68.6%	71.4%	65.3%
Brazil	Cuitegi	2017	69.4%	72.2%	66.2%
Brazil	Cujubim	2000	28.9%	32.9%	24.3%
Brazil	Cujubim	2017	29.7%	33.7%	25.0%
Brazil	Cumari	2000	77.8%	82.5%	72.5%
Brazil	Cumari	2017	78.5%	83.0%	73.2%
Brazil	Cumarú	2000	65.8%	69.2%	62.7%
Brazil	Cumarú	2017	66.5%	69.9%	63.4%
Brazil	Cumarú do Norte	2000	43.7%	51.8%	35.6%
Brazil	Cumarú do Norte	2017	44.6%	52.8%	36.5%
Brazil	Cumbe	2000	73.7%	76.6%	70.4%
Brazil	Cumbe	2017	74.5%	77.3%	71.2%
Brazil	Cunha	2000	90.6%	92.7%	87.8%
Brazil	Cunha	2017	90.9%	93.0%	88.2%
Brazil	Cunha Porã	2000	79.9%	83.9%	75.7%
Brazil	Cunha Porã	2017	80.6%	84.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Cunhataí	2000	78.3%	82.1%	74.0%
Brazil	Cunhataí	2017	78.9%	82.7%	74.8%
Brazil	Cuparaque	2000	80.1%	84.3%	76.0%
Brazil	Cuparaque	2017	80.8%	84.8%	76.7%
Brazil	Cupira	2000	64.5%	68.2%	61.4%
Brazil	Cupira	2017	65.4%	69.1%	62.3%
Brazil	Curaçá	2000	67.7%	72.8%	62.2%
Brazil	Curaçá	2017	68.5%	73.6%	63.1%
Brazil	Curimatá	2000	63.8%	72.0%	56.9%
Brazil	Curimatá	2017	64.7%	72.7%	57.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Curionópolis	2000	46.5%	51.9%	40.6%
Brazil	Curionópolis	2017	47.2%	52.6%	41.4%
Brazil	Curitiba	2000	85.7%	87.5%	84.0%
Brazil	Curitiba	2017	86.2%	87.9%	84.5%
Brazil	Curitibanos	2000	77.4%	81.5%	72.5%
Brazil	Curitibanos	2017	78.1%	82.1%	73.3%
Brazil	Curiúva	2000	85.6%	88.6%	82.1%
Brazil	Curiúva	2017	86.0%	89.0%	82.6%
Brazil	Currais	2000	61.1%	68.8%	54.0%
Brazil	Currais	2017	61.9%	69.6%	54.9%
Brazil	Currais Novos	2000	83.5%	86.1%	80.6%
Brazil	Currais Novos	2017	84.0%	86.6%	81.1%
Brazil	Curral de Cima	2000	72.3%	75.0%	69.3%
Brazil	Curral de Cima	2017	73.1%	75.8%	70.2%
Brazil	Curral de dentro	2000	82.7%	87.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Curral de dentro	2017	83.3%	87.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Curral Novo do Piauí	2000	64.1%	68.9%	58.6%
Brazil	Curral Novo do Piauí	2017	65.0%	69.8%	59.6%
Brazil	Curral Velho	2000	67.7%	71.7%	63.4%
Brazil	Curral Velho	2017	68.6%	72.5%	64.3%
Brazil	Curralinho	2000	38.5%	43.6%	32.4%
Brazil	Curralinho	2017	39.4%	44.5%	33.2%
Brazil	Curralinhos	2000	59.9%	64.1%	55.3%
Brazil	Curralinhos	2017	60.8%	65.0%	56.2%
Brazil	Curuá	2000	40.4%	46.9%	33.5%
Brazil	Curuá	2017	41.4%	47.9%	34.4%
Brazil	Curuçá	2000	35.3%	40.6%	30.5%
Brazil	Curuçá	2017	36.2%	41.6%	31.3%
Brazil	Cururupu	2000	60.2%	67.4%	51.5%
Brazil	Cururupu	2017	61.1%	68.2%	52.5%
Brazil	Curvelo	2000	82.1%	86.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Curvelo	2017	82.7%	87.1%	76.8%
Brazil	Custódia	2000	67.4%	71.6%	62.8%
Brazil	Custódia	2017	68.3%	72.4%	63.8%
Brazil	Cutias	2000	47.8%	52.2%	43.5%
Brazil	Cutias	2017	48.8%	53.2%	44.5%
Brazil	Damianópolis	2000	82.1%	86.9%	76.1%
Brazil	Damianópolis	2017	82.6%	87.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Damião	2000	76.3%	79.4%	72.6%
Brazil	Damião	2017	76.9%	80.0%	73.3%
Brazil	Darcinópolis	2000	74.2%	78.4%	70.3%
Brazil	Darcinópolis	2017	74.8%	79.0%	71.0%
Brazil	Dário Meira	2000	78.5%	83.0%	73.4%
Brazil	Dário Meira	2017	79.2%	83.6%	74.2%
Brazil	Datas	2000	84.4%	88.6%	79.0%
Brazil	Datas	2017	84.9%	89.0%	79.6%
Brazil	David Canabarro	2000	80.6%	84.3%	76.3%
Brazil	David Canabarro	2017	81.2%	84.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2000	65.4%	69.6%	60.9%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2000	78.1%	83.3%	72.5%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2017	78.8%	83.8%	73.3%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2017	66.3%	70.5%	61.9%
Brazil	Delfim Moreira	2000	90.7%	92.7%	88.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Delfim Moreira	2017	91.1%	93.0%	89.0%
Brazil	Delfinópolis	2000	85.2%	89.3%	81.1%
Brazil	Delfinópolis	2017	85.7%	89.7%	81.7%
Brazil	Delmiro Gouveia	2000	65.2%	69.2%	61.0%
Brazil	Delmiro Gouveia	2017	66.1%	70.0%	62.0%
Brazil	Delta	2000	86.3%	89.7%	81.5%
Brazil	Delta	2017	87.8%	90.9%	83.5%
Brazil	Demerval Lobão	2000	59.1%	62.5%	55.4%
Brazil	Demerval Lobão	2017	60.0%	63.4%	56.3%
Brazil	Denise	2000	65.8%	71.4%	59.5%
Brazil	Denise	2017	66.6%	72.1%	60.4%
Brazil	Deodápolis	2000	80.0%	83.4%	76.2%
Brazil	Deodápolis	2017	80.7%	84.0%	76.9%
Brazil	Deputado Irapuan Pinheiro	2000	70.4%	75.0%	66.0%
Brazil	Deputado Irapuan Pinheiro	2017	71.2%	75.7%	66.8%
Brazil	Derrubadas	2000	79.8%	84.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Derrubadas	2017	80.3%	84.8%	75.3%
Brazil	Descalvado	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Descalvado	2017	92.7%	94.3%	90.7%
Brazil	Descanso	2000	79.6%	83.5%	75.6%
Brazil	Descanso	2017	80.2%	84.0%	76.3%
Brazil	Descoberto	2000	80.1%	83.5%	76.0%
Brazil	Descoberto	2017	80.7%	84.0%	76.7%
Brazil	Desterro	2000	70.6%	74.9%	66.4%
Brazil	Desterro	2017	71.4%	75.6%	67.2%
Brazil	Desterro de Entre Rios	2000	82.2%	85.9%	78.2%
Brazil	Desterro de Entre Rios	2017	82.7%	86.3%	78.8%
Brazil	Desterro de Malta	2000	75.5%	78.6%	71.6%
Brazil	Desterro de Malta	2017	76.2%	79.3%	72.5%
Brazil	Desterro do Melo	2000	80.9%	84.6%	76.6%
Brazil	Desterro do Melo	2017	81.5%	85.1%	77.3%
Brazil	Dezesseis de Novembro	2000	81.4%	86.5%	75.3%
Brazil	Dezesseis de Novembro	2017	82.0%	86.9%	76.1%
Brazil	Diadema	2000	94.8%	95.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Diadema	2017	95.0%	95.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Diamante	2000	67.7%	71.5%	63.4%
Brazil	Diamante	2017	68.6%	72.3%	64.4%
Brazil	Diamante d'Oeste	2000	83.8%	87.4%	80.1%
Brazil	Diamante d'Oeste	2017	84.3%	87.8%	80.7%
Brazil	Diamante do Norte	2000	83.2%	87.1%	79.0%
Brazil	Diamante do Norte	2017	83.7%	87.6%	79.6%
Brazil	Diamante do Sul	2000	83.4%	86.7%	79.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Diamante do Sul	2017	84.0%	87.2%	79.7%
Brazil	Diamantina	2000	84.2%	88.5%	78.1%
Brazil	Diamantina	2017	84.7%	88.9%	78.8%
Brazil	Diamantino	2000	69.8%	76.4%	63.9%
Brazil	Diamantino	2017	70.7%	77.0%	64.8%
Brazil	Dianopolis	2000	77.0%	82.3%	71.6%
Brazil	Dianopolis	2017	77.7%	82.9%	72.4%
Brazil	Dias d'vila	2000	76.1%	79.8%	72.6%
Brazil	Dias d'vila	2017	76.8%	80.4%	73.3%
Brazil	Dilermano de Aguiar	2000	81.2%	85.4%	75.9%
Brazil	Dilermano de Aguiar	2017	81.7%	85.9%	76.6%
Brazil	Diogo de Vasconcelos	2000	80.2%	83.9%	76.0%
Brazil	Diogo de Vasconcelos	2017	80.8%	84.4%	76.7%
Brazil	Dionísio	2000	81.1%	84.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Dionísio	2017	80.9%	84.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Dionísio	2000	82.1%	86.2%	77.3%
Brazil	Dionísio Cerqueira	2017	82.6%	86.7%	78.0%
Brazil	Diorama	2000	75.4%	81.1%	69.5%
Brazil	Diorama	2017	76.1%	81.7%	70.3%
Brazil	Dirce Reis	2000	88.9%	92.0%	85.3%
Brazil	Dirce Reis	2017	89.3%	92.3%	85.8%
Brazil	Dirceu Arcoverde	2000	65.4%	72.3%	58.2%
Brazil	Dirceu Arcoverde	2017	66.3%	73.1%	59.1%
Brazil	Divina Pastora	2000	74.0%	76.0%	71.3%
Brazil	Divina Pastora	2017	74.7%	76.7%	72.0%
Brazil	Divinésia	2000	80.6%	83.7%	76.4%
Brazil	Divinésia	2017	81.2%	84.2%	77.1%
Brazil	Divino	2000	81.6%	85.3%	78.2%
Brazil	Divino	2017	82.2%	85.7%	78.8%
Brazil	Divino das Laranjeiras	2000	79.6%	84.7%	74.9%
Brazil	Divino das Laranjeiras	2017	80.2%	85.2%	75.6%
Brazil	Divino de São Lourenço	2000	80.7%	83.8%	77.1%
Brazil	Divino de São Lourenço	2017	81.3%	84.3%	77.8%
Brazil	Divinolândia	2000	90.3%	92.7%	87.3%
Brazil	Divinolândia	2017	90.6%	92.9%	87.8%
Brazil	Divinolandia de Minas	2000	83.6%	87.4%	78.6%
Brazil	Divinolandia de Minas	2017	84.1%	87.9%	79.3%
Brazil	Divinópolis	2000	81.7%	85.7%	77.7%
Brazil	Divinópolis	2017	82.3%	86.2%	78.4%
Brazil	Divinópolis de Goiás	2000	78.0%	83.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Divinópolis de Goiás	2017	78.6%	83.8%	73.2%
Brazil	Divinópolis do Tocantins	2000	70.4%	74.9%	65.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Divinópolis do Tocantins	2017	71.3%	75.7%	66.7%
Brazil	Divisa Alegre	2000	80.7%	85.2%	75.2%
Brazil	Divisa Alegre	2017	81.3%	85.7%	76.0%
Brazil	Divisa Nova	2000	81.2%	85.3%	75.6%
Brazil	Divisa Nova	2017	81.8%	85.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Divisópolis	2000	85.6%	89.0%	81.5%
Brazil	Divisópolis	2017	86.1%	89.4%	82.1%
Brazil	Dobrada	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.2%
Brazil	Dobrada	2017	93.6%	95.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Dois Córregos	2000	93.7%	95.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Dois Córregos	2017	93.9%	95.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos	2000	81.6%	84.0%	78.9%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos	2017	82.2%	84.6%	79.6%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos das Missões	2000	80.7%	84.7%	76.4%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos das Missões	2017	81.3%	85.2%	77.1%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos do Buriti	2000	78.6%	82.1%	75.0%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos do Buriti	2017	79.2%	82.6%	75.7%
Brazil	Dois Lajeados	2000	81.4%	85.0%	77.8%
Brazil	Dois Lajeados	2017	82.0%	85.5%	78.4%
Brazil	Dois Riachos	2000	62.0%	65.1%	58.6%
Brazil	Dois Riachos	2017	62.9%	66.0%	59.5%
Brazil	Dois Vizinhos	2000	83.2%	86.7%	79.5%
Brazil	Dois Vizinhos	2017	83.8%	87.2%	80.2%
Brazil	Dom Aquino	2000	66.6%	72.0%	61.1%
Brazil	Dom Aquino	2017	67.6%	72.8%	62.1%
Brazil	Dom Basílio	2000	77.1%	82.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Dom Basílio	2017	77.8%	82.7%	72.7%
Brazil	Dom Bosco	2000	83.6%	89.3%	77.0%
Brazil	Dom Bosco	2017	84.1%	89.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Dom Cavati	2000	81.6%	85.5%	77.1%
Brazil	Dom Cavati	2017	82.2%	86.0%	77.8%
Brazil	Dom Eliseu	2000	52.7%	58.4%	46.4%
Brazil	Dom Eliseu	2017	53.6%	59.3%	47.2%
Brazil	Dom Expedito Lopes	2000	63.8%	68.3%	58.8%
Brazil	Dom Expedito Lopes	2017	64.8%	69.1%	59.8%
Brazil	Dom Feliciano	2000	82.4%	86.4%	78.1%
Brazil	Dom Feliciano	2017	82.9%	86.9%	78.7%
Brazil	Dom Inocência	2000	63.5%	70.2%	56.7%
Brazil	Dom Inocência	2017	64.4%	71.1%	57.6%
Brazil	Dom Joaquim	2000	80.2%	84.2%	74.3%
Brazil	Dom Joaquim	2017	80.8%	84.7%	75.0%
Brazil	Dom Macedo Costa	2000	76.3%	80.1%	71.8%
Brazil	Dom Macedo Costa	2017	77.0%	80.7%	72.5%
Brazil	Dom Pedrito	2000	84.9%	89.5%	79.9%
Brazil	Dom Pedrito	2017	86.3%	90.5%	81.6%
Brazil	Dom Pedro	2000	62.0%	68.0%	56.7%
Brazil	Dom Pedro	2017	62.9%	68.8%	57.6%
Brazil	Dom Pedro de Alcântara	2000	76.5%	81.2%	71.2%
Brazil	Dom Pedro de Alcântara	2017	77.2%	81.8%	72.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Dom Silvério	2000	81.2%	85.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Dom Silvério	2017	81.8%	85.5%	78.1%
Brazil	Dom Viçoso	2000	86.1%	89.0%	83.0%
Brazil	Dom Viçoso	2017	86.5%	89.4%	83.5%
Brazil	Domingos Martins	2000	81.0%	83.3%	78.7%
Brazil	Domingos Martins	2017	81.6%	83.9%	79.3%
Brazil	Domingos Mourão	2000	64.1%	68.2%	59.2%
Brazil	Domingos Mourão	2017	64.9%	69.0%	60.1%
Brazil	Dona Emma	2000	74.5%	78.6%	70.3%
Brazil	Dona Emma	2017	75.2%	79.3%	71.1%
Brazil	Dona Eusébia	2000	79.2%	82.8%	75.2%
Brazil	Dona Eusébia	2017	79.8%	83.3%	75.9%
Brazil	Dona Francisca	2000	81.2%	84.7%	76.7%
Brazil	Dona Francisca	2017	81.9%	85.3%	77.5%
Brazil	Dona Inês	2000	74.0%	76.9%	71.2%
Brazil	Dona Inês	2017	74.8%	77.7%	72.0%
Brazil	Dores de Campos	2000	81.0%	85.2%	76.8%
Brazil	Dores de Campos	2017	81.6%	85.7%	77.5%
Brazil	Dores de Guanhães	2000	80.5%	84.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Dores de Guanhães	2017	81.1%	85.4%	76.2%
Brazil	Dores do Indaiá	2000	81.9%	86.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Dores do Indaiá	2017	82.5%	87.2%	77.1%
Brazil	Dores do Rio Preto	2000	81.9%	85.1%	78.7%
Brazil	Dores do Rio Preto	2017	82.5%	85.6%	79.4%
Brazil	Dores do Turvo	2000	80.6%	84.1%	76.9%
Brazil	Dores do Turvo	2017	81.2%	84.6%	77.6%
Brazil	Doresópolis	2000	82.1%	86.8%	76.7%
Brazil	Doresópolis	2017	82.7%	87.2%	77.4%
Brazil	Dormentes	2000	65.0%	70.3%	59.6%
Brazil	Dormentes	2017	65.8%	71.1%	60.6%
Brazil	Douradina	2000	83.2%	86.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Douradina	2000	79.8%	83.1%	76.5%
Brazil	Douradina	2017	80.4%	83.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Douradina	2017	83.7%	86.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Dourado	2000	93.3%	95.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Dourado	2017	93.5%	95.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Douradoquara	2000	78.4%	83.1%	72.8%
Brazil	Douradoquara	2017	79.0%	83.7%	73.5%
Brazil	Dourados	2000	82.1%	84.6%	79.2%
Brazil	Dourados	2017	82.9%	85.3%	80.1%
Brazil	Doutor Carmargo	2000	83.0%	85.9%	79.6%
Brazil	Doutor Carmargo	2017	84.7%	87.4%	81.5%
Brazil	Doutor Maurício Cardoso	2000	79.8%	84.7%	74.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Doutor Maurício Cardoso	2017	80.3%	85.1%	74.9%
Brazil	Doutor Pedrinho	2000	77.1%	80.5%	73.6%
Brazil	Doutor Pedrinho	2017	77.8%	81.1%	74.4%
Brazil	Doutor Ricardo	2000	81.8%	85.2%	78.3%
Brazil	Doutor Ricardo	2017	82.4%	85.7%	79.0%
Brazil	Doutor Severiano	2000	74.1%	78.1%	70.1%
Brazil	Doutor Severiano	2017	74.9%	78.7%	70.8%
Brazil	Doutor Ulysses	2000	86.6%	89.9%	83.3%
Brazil	Doutor Ulysses	2017	87.1%	90.2%	83.8%
Brazil	Doverlândia	2000	75.1%	80.9%	67.8%
Brazil	Doverlândia	2017	74.5%	80.3%	67.0%
Brazil	Dracena	2000	88.6%	91.3%	85.3%
Brazil	Dracena	2017	89.0%	91.6%	85.7%
Brazil	Duartina	2000	93.4%	95.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Duartina	2017	93.7%	95.4%	91.8%
Brazil	Duas Barras	2000	81.5%	84.3%	78.5%
Brazil	Duas Barras	2017	82.1%	84.8%	79.1%
Brazil	Duas Estradas	2000	72.9%	75.6%	70.0%
Brazil	Duas Estradas	2017	73.6%	76.2%	70.8%
Brazil	Dueré	2000	75.3%	79.5%	71.2%
Brazil	Dueré	2017	76.0%	80.1%	72.0%
Brazil	Dulcinópolis	2000	88.3%	91.6%	84.7%
Brazil	Dulcinópolis	2017	88.7%	91.9%	85.2%
Brazil	Dumont	2000	93.2%	94.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Dumont	2017	93.4%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Duque Baccelar	2000	59.9%	64.4%	55.0%
Brazil	Duque Baccelar	2017	60.8%	65.2%	55.9%
Brazil	Duque de Caxias	2000	76.9%	78.4%	75.3%
Brazil	Duque de Caxias	2017	77.6%	79.1%	76.0%
Brazil	Durandé	2000	80.5%	83.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Durandé	2017	81.1%	84.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Echaporã	2000	91.8%	94.0%	89.0%
Brazil	Echaporã	2017	92.1%	94.2%	89.4%
Brazil	Ecoporanga	2000	79.4%	83.0%	75.2%
Brazil	Ecoporanga	2017	80.0%	83.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Edealina	2000	76.0%	79.9%	71.6%
Brazil	Edealina	2017	76.7%	80.5%	72.4%
Brazil	Edéia	2000	77.2%	81.5%	72.5%
Brazil	Edéia	2017	77.8%	82.0%	73.2%
Brazil	Eirunepé	2000	60.4%	67.3%	53.0%
Brazil	Eirunepé	2017	61.2%	68.0%	53.9%
Brazil	Eldorado	2000	81.9%	85.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Eldorado	2000	88.3%	91.6%	84.6%
Brazil	Eldorado	2017	88.8%	91.9%	85.1%
Brazil	Eldorado	2017	82.4%	86.3%	78.4%
Brazil	Eldorado do Sul	2000	81.2%	83.6%	79.2%
Brazil	Eldorado do Sul	2017	81.8%	84.2%	79.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Eldorado dos Carajás	2000	45.6%	51.1%	39.6%
Brazil	Eldorado dos Carajás	2017	46.5%	52.1%	40.5%
Brazil	Elesbão Veloso	2000	59.9%	64.7%	54.3%
Brazil	Elesbão Veloso	2017	61.7%	66.4%	56.2%
Brazil	Elias Fausto	2000	93.2%	94.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Elias Fausto	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Eliseu Martins	2000	61.1%	67.6%	53.5%
Brazil	Eliseu Martins	2017	62.0%	68.4%	54.5%
Brazil	Elisiário	2000	92.9%	94.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Elisiário	2017	93.1%	95.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Elísio Medrado	2000	79.7%	83.4%	74.5%
Brazil	Elísio Medrado	2017	80.3%	83.9%	75.2%
Brazil	Elói Mendes	2000	84.3%	87.5%	80.6%
Brazil	Elói Mendes	2017	84.8%	87.9%	81.2%
Brazil	Emas	2000	65.8%	69.7%	61.6%
Brazil	Emas	2017	66.7%	70.5%	62.5%
Brazil	Embaúba	2000	92.7%	94.2%	90.8%
Brazil	Embaúba	2017	92.9%	94.4%	91.1%
Brazil	Embu	2000	94.6%	95.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Embu	2017	94.8%	95.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Embu-Guaçu	2000	93.8%	94.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Embu-Guaçu	2017	94.1%	95.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Emilianópolis	2000	88.5%	91.2%	85.6%
Brazil	Emilianópolis	2017	88.9%	91.5%	86.1%
Brazil	Encantado	2000	80.7%	84.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Encantado	2017	81.3%	84.7%	77.7%
Brazil	Encanto	2000	74.7%	78.5%	70.8%
Brazil	Encanto	2017	75.4%	79.2%	71.6%
Brazil	Encruzilhada	2000	80.0%	84.3%	74.5%
Brazil	Encruzilhada	2017	80.5%	84.5%	75.1%
Brazil	Encruzilhada do Sul	2000	83.8%	87.8%	79.9%
Brazil	Encruzilhada do Sul	2017	84.3%	88.2%	80.6%
Brazil	Enéas Marques	2000	82.5%	86.3%	78.1%
Brazil	Enéas Marques	2017	83.0%	86.8%	78.8%
Brazil	Engenheiro Beltrão	2000	82.9%	86.1%	79.7%
Brazil	Engenheiro Beltrão	2017	83.5%	86.6%	80.3%
Brazil	Engenheiro Caldas	2000	79.9%	84.3%	75.5%
Brazil	Engenheiro Caldas	2017	80.6%	84.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Engenheiro Coelho	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Engenheiro Coelho	2017	92.6%	94.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Engenheiro Navarro	2000	82.5%	87.2%	77.5%
Brazil	Engenheiro Navarro	2017	83.1%	87.6%	78.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Engenheiro Paulo de Front	2000	81.8%	83.9%	79.1%
Brazil	Engenheiro Paulo de Front	2017	82.3%	84.4%	79.7%
Brazil	Engenho Velho	2000	79.0%	82.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Engenho Velho	2017	79.7%	83.0%	76.2%
Brazil	Entre Folhas	2000	81.5%	85.1%	77.0%
Brazil	Entre Folhas	2017	82.1%	85.5%	77.7%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2000	76.6%	81.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2000	76.7%	80.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2017	77.4%	81.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2017	77.4%	81.4%	73.5%
Brazil	Entre Rios de Minas	2000	82.0%	85.8%	78.5%
Brazil	Entre Rios de Minas	2017	82.6%	86.3%	79.2%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Oeste	2000	82.1%	86.2%	78.3%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Oeste	2017	82.7%	86.7%	78.9%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Sul	2000	78.7%	81.9%	75.4%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Sul	2017	79.4%	82.5%	76.2%
Brazil	Entre-Ijuís	2000	82.4%	86.4%	78.0%
Brazil	Entre-Ijuís	2017	82.9%	86.8%	78.6%
Brazil	Envira	2000	53.6%	59.8%	48.1%
Brazil	Envira	2017	53.8%	59.9%	48.8%
Brazil	Epitaciolândia	2000	42.4%	48.0%	37.9%
Brazil	Epitaciolândia	2017	43.4%	49.0%	38.8%
Brazil	Equador	2000	74.0%	77.7%	69.7%
Brazil	Equador	2017	74.7%	78.3%	70.5%
Brazil	Erebango	2000	79.8%	83.3%	75.9%
Brazil	Erebango	2017	80.4%	83.8%	76.6%
Brazil	Erechim	2000	80.8%	84.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Erechim	2017	81.4%	84.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Ererê	2000	74.5%	78.5%	70.3%
Brazil	Ererê	2017	75.2%	79.2%	71.1%
Brazil	Érico Cardoso	2000	78.7%	83.9%	72.8%
Brazil	Érico Cardoso	2017	79.3%	84.3%	73.5%
Brazil	Ermo	2000	77.6%	81.9%	73.0%
Brazil	Ermo	2017	78.3%	82.5%	73.7%
Brazil	Ernestina	2000	81.4%	84.9%	77.0%
Brazil	Ernestina	2017	82.0%	85.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Eerval	2000	81.9%	86.8%	76.5%
Brazil	Eerval	2017	82.5%	87.3%	77.3%
Brazil	Eerval Grande	2000	77.7%	81.1%	73.5%
Brazil	Eerval Grande	2017	78.3%	81.7%	74.3%
Brazil	Eerval Seco	2000	79.6%	83.8%	74.9%
Brazil	Eerval Seco	2017	80.1%	84.3%	75.6%
Brazil	Eerval Velho	2000	76.0%	80.3%	71.3%
Brazil	Eerval Velho	2017	76.7%	80.9%	72.1%
Brazil	Ervália	2000	83.4%	86.3%	79.4%
Brazil	Ervália	2017	83.9%	86.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Escada	2000	64.0%	67.0%	60.8%
Brazil	Escada	2017	64.9%	67.8%	61.7%
Brazil	Esmeralda	2000	77.9%	82.3%	74.1%
Brazil	Esmeralda	2017	78.6%	82.9%	74.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Esmeraldas	2000	81.6%	84.4%	78.8%
Brazil	Esmeraldas	2017	82.4%	85.0%	79.6%
Brazil	Espera Feliz	2000	81.1%	84.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Espera Feliz	2017	81.7%	84.9%	78.5%
Brazil	Esperança	2000	70.7%	73.7%	67.7%
Brazil	Esperança	2017	71.5%	74.4%	68.5%
Brazil	Esperança do Sul	2000	79.0%	83.7%	73.7%
Brazil	Esperança do Sul	2017	79.7%	84.3%	74.5%
Brazil	Esperança Nova	2000	83.0%	86.3%	78.8%
Brazil	Esperança Nova	2017	83.5%	86.8%	79.5%
Brazil	Esperantina	2000	59.5%	63.9%	55.1%
Brazil	Esperantina	2000	53.3%	58.7%	47.8%
Brazil	Esperantina	2017	60.4%	64.7%	56.0%
Brazil	Esperantina	2017	54.3%	59.6%	48.8%
Brazil	Esperantinópolis	2000	58.8%	64.4%	53.7%
Brazil	Esperantinópolis	2017	59.7%	65.3%	54.7%
Brazil	Espigão Alto do Iguaçu	2000	83.2%	86.8%	79.2%
Brazil	Espigão Alto do Iguaçu	2017	83.7%	87.2%	79.9%
Brazil	Espigão d'Oeste	2000	31.8%	36.8%	27.3%
Brazil	Espigão d'Oeste	2017	32.6%	37.7%	28.1%
Brazil	Espinosa	2000	80.1%	85.3%	74.0%
Brazil	Espinosa	2017	80.7%	85.8%	74.8%
Brazil	Espírito Santo	2000	79.0%	81.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Espírito Santo	2017	79.6%	82.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Dourado	2000	87.4%	90.1%	84.1%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Dourado	2017	87.9%	90.4%	84.7%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Pinhal	2000	90.5%	92.5%	88.2%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Pinhal	2017	90.8%	92.7%	88.6%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Turvo	2000	92.3%	94.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Turvo	2017	92.6%	94.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Esplanada	2000	77.6%	81.9%	73.7%
Brazil	Esplanada	2017	78.4%	82.6%	74.5%
Brazil	Espumoso	2000	81.9%	85.5%	77.1%
Brazil	Espumoso	2017	82.5%	86.0%	77.8%
Brazil	Estação	2000	80.5%	82.8%	78.0%
Brazil	Estação	2017	81.8%	84.0%	79.6%
Brazil	Estância	2000	77.1%	79.9%	74.2%
Brazil	Estância	2017	77.8%	80.5%	75.0%
Brazil	Estância Velha	2000	81.0%	84.4%	77.1%
Brazil	Estância Velha	2017	81.6%	84.9%	77.8%
Brazil	Esteio	2000	82.5%	84.2%	80.7%
Brazil	Esteio	2017	83.0%	84.7%	81.3%
Brazil	Estiva	2000	89.9%	92.0%	87.6%
Brazil	Estiva	2017	90.3%	92.3%	88.0%
Brazil	Estiva Gerbi	2000	91.3%	93.0%	89.1%
Brazil	Estiva Gerbi	2017	91.6%	93.3%	89.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Estreito	2000	71.4%	76.1%	66.4%
Brazil	Estreito	2017	72.2%	76.8%	67.2%
Brazil	Estrela	2000	80.6%	84.0%	77.1%
Brazil	Estrela	2017	81.3%	84.6%	77.9%
Brazil	Estrela dalva	2000	77.3%	80.8%	73.0%
Brazil	Estrela dalva	2017	78.0%	81.4%	73.7%
Brazil	Estrela de Alagoas	2000	62.5%	65.6%	59.2%
Brazil	Estrela de Alagoas	2017	63.4%	66.5%	60.1%
Brazil	Estrela do Indaiá	2000	81.0%	86.0%	74.6%
Brazil	Estrela do Indaiá	2017	81.6%	86.4%	75.4%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2000	86.6%	89.6%	83.2%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2000	76.9%	82.5%	71.0%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2017	87.1%	90.0%	83.8%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2017	77.5%	83.1%	71.8%
Brazil	Estrela do Oeste	2000	89.2%	92.4%	86.0%
Brazil	Estrela do Oeste	2017	89.6%	92.7%	86.5%
Brazil	Estrela do Sul	2000	80.3%	84.9%	74.9%
Brazil	Estrela do Sul	2017	80.9%	85.4%	75.6%
Brazil	Estrela Velha	2000	82.2%	85.8%	78.1%
Brazil	Estrela Velha	2017	82.8%	86.3%	78.8%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha	2000	77.6%	82.6%	71.9%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha	2017	78.3%	83.1%	72.7%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha Paulista	2000	83.6%	87.2%	79.2%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha Paulista	2017	84.1%	87.6%	79.9%
Brazil	Eugênio de Castro	2000	82.3%	86.2%	77.6%
Brazil	Eugênio de Castro	2017	82.8%	86.6%	78.3%
Brazil	Eugenópolis	2000	80.0%	83.5%	76.2%
Brazil	Eugenópolis	2017	80.6%	84.0%	76.9%
Brazil	Eunápolis	2000	78.3%	83.1%	72.0%
Brazil	Eunápolis	2017	79.0%	83.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Eusébio	2000	68.1%	70.1%	66.0%
Brazil	Eusébio	2017	69.6%	71.6%	67.5%
Brazil	Ewbank da Câmara	2000	79.9%	83.1%	75.8%
Brazil	Ewbank da Câmara	2017	80.5%	83.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Extrema	2000	93.5%	94.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Extrema	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Extremoz	2000	81.2%	83.3%	79.1%
Brazil	Extremoz	2017	81.8%	83.9%	79.8%
Brazil	Exu	2000	69.1%	73.0%	64.1%
Brazil	Exu	2017	69.9%	73.8%	65.0%
Brazil	Fagundes	2000	64.1%	67.3%	61.3%
Brazil	Fagundes	2017	65.0%	68.1%	62.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Fagundes Varela	2000	81.5%	84.9%	78.1%
Brazil	Fagundes Varela	2017	82.1%	85.4%	78.7%
Brazil	Faina	2000	75.1%	79.7%	69.6%
Brazil	Faina	2017	75.8%	80.4%	70.4%
Brazil	Fama	2000	83.6%	87.1%	79.1%
Brazil	Fama	2017	84.1%	87.5%	79.8%
Brazil	Faria Lemos	2000	80.3%	83.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Faria Lemos	2017	80.9%	84.1%	77.4%
Brazil	Farias Brito	2000	69.8%	73.7%	65.6%
Brazil	Farias Brito	2017	70.6%	74.4%	66.5%
Brazil	Faro	2000	50.2%	56.6%	44.3%
Brazil	Faro	2017	51.2%	57.4%	45.3%
Brazil	Farol	2000	84.4%	87.5%	80.6%
Brazil	Farol	2017	84.9%	87.9%	81.2%
Brazil	Farroupilha	2000	84.1%	86.6%	81.3%
Brazil	Farroupilha	2017	84.7%	87.1%	81.9%
Brazil	Fartura	2000	90.9%	93.2%	87.8%
Brazil	Fartura	2017	91.3%	93.5%	88.3%
Brazil	Fartura do Piauí	2000	66.2%	72.1%	58.2%
Brazil	Fartura do Piauí	2017	67.0%	72.8%	59.2%
Brazil	Fátima	2000	77.2%	81.0%	72.9%
Brazil	Fátima	2000	75.5%	80.5%	70.9%
Brazil	Fátima	2017	76.2%	81.1%	71.7%
Brazil	Fátima	2017	77.9%	81.6%	73.6%
Brazil	Fatima do Sul	2000	80.9%	84.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Fatima do Sul	2017	81.5%	84.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Faxinal	2000	85.0%	88.2%	81.3%
Brazil	Faxinal	2017	85.5%	88.6%	81.9%
Brazil	Faxinal do Soturno	2000	81.3%	84.7%	76.8%
Brazil	Faxinal do Soturno	2017	81.9%	85.2%	77.5%
Brazil	Faxinal dos Guedes	2000	76.8%	81.2%	72.2%
Brazil	Faxinal dos Guedes	2017	77.5%	81.8%	73.0%
Brazil	Faxinalzinho	2000	77.8%	81.2%	73.7%
Brazil	Faxinalzinho	2017	78.4%	81.7%	74.4%
Brazil	Fazenda Nova	2000	75.0%	79.5%	69.4%
Brazil	Fazenda Nova	2017	75.7%	80.1%	70.3%
Brazil	Fazenda Rio Grande	2000	84.7%	86.5%	82.8%
Brazil	Fazenda Rio Grande	2017	85.2%	87.0%	83.3%
Brazil	Fazenda Vilanova	2000	81.0%	84.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Fazenda Vilanova	2017	81.5%	84.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Feijó	2000	48.1%	52.4%	43.8%
Brazil	Feijó	2017	48.9%	53.2%	44.7%
Brazil	Feira da Mata	2000	78.3%	84.5%	70.1%
Brazil	Feira da Mata	2017	78.9%	85.0%	70.9%
Brazil	Feira de Santana	2000	79.0%	81.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Feira de Santana	2017	79.6%	82.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Feira Grande	2000	63.5%	66.6%	60.9%
Brazil	Feira Grande	2017	64.4%	67.4%	61.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Feira Nova	2000	74.5%	77.3%	71.4%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2000	67.2%	70.1%	64.4%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2017	75.4%	78.1%	72.4%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2017	68.0%	70.9%	65.2%
Brazil	Feira Nova do Maranhão	2000	70.3%	76.6%	62.9%
Brazil	Feira Nova do Maranhão	2017	71.1%	77.3%	63.8%
Brazil	Felício dos Santos	2000	82.6%	87.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Felício dos Santos	2017	83.1%	87.4%	77.7%
Brazil	Felipe Guerra	2000	78.9%	82.0%	75.4%
Brazil	Felipe Guerra	2017	79.5%	82.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Felisberto Caldeira	2000	82.4%	87.2%	76.8%
Brazil	Felisberto Caldeira	2017	83.0%	87.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Felisburgo	2000	82.6%	86.8%	77.4%
Brazil	Felisburgo	2017	83.2%	87.2%	78.1%
Brazil	Felixlândia	2000	81.8%	86.7%	75.3%
Brazil	Felixlândia	2017	82.3%	87.2%	76.0%
Brazil	Feliz	2000	80.0%	82.9%	77.4%
Brazil	Feliz	2017	80.6%	83.5%	78.1%
Brazil	Feliz Deserto	2000	61.6%	65.9%	57.3%
Brazil	Feliz Deserto	2017	62.5%	66.8%	58.3%
Brazil	Feliz Natal	2000	68.5%	74.4%	61.1%
Brazil	Feliz Natal	2017	70.8%	76.5%	63.7%
Brazil	Fênix	2000	81.8%	85.0%	78.0%
Brazil	Fênix	2017	82.4%	85.5%	78.7%
Brazil	Fernandes Pinheiro	2000	81.8%	85.4%	78.0%
Brazil	Fernandes Pinheiro	2017	82.4%	85.9%	78.6%
Brazil	Fernandes Tourinho	2000	80.0%	84.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Fernandes Tourinho	2017	80.6%	84.9%	76.2%
Brazil	Fernando de Noronha	2000	78.0%	91.8%	56.8%
Brazil	Fernando de Noronha	2017	78.6%	92.1%	57.8%
Brazil	Fernando Falcão	2000	61.4%	68.0%	53.8%
Brazil	Fernando Falcão	2017	62.3%	68.8%	54.8%
Brazil	Fernando Pedroza	2000	83.4%	86.2%	80.3%
Brazil	Fernando Pedroza	2017	84.0%	86.7%	80.9%
Brazil	Fernando Prestes	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Fernando Prestes	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Fernandópolis	2000	89.7%	92.7%	86.7%
Brazil	Fernandópolis	2017	90.1%	93.0%	87.2%
Brazil	Ferno	2000	92.9%	94.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Ferno	2017	93.1%	94.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Ferraz de Vascon	2000	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Ferraz de Vascon	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ferreira Gomes	2000	48.2%	52.3%	43.2%
Brazil	Ferreira Gomes	2017	49.1%	53.3%	44.2%
Brazil	Ferreiros	2000	70.2%	73.1%	67.4%
Brazil	Ferreiros	2017	71.1%	73.9%	68.3%
Brazil	Ferros	2000	80.8%	84.3%	76.5%
Brazil	Ferros	2017	81.4%	84.9%	77.2%
Brazil	Fervedouro	2000	82.0%	85.5%	78.4%
Brazil	Fervedouro	2017	82.6%	86.0%	79.0%
Brazil	Figueira	2000	85.5%	88.7%	82.1%
Brazil	Figueira	2017	86.0%	89.1%	82.7%
Brazil	Figueirópolis	2000	73.7%	78.3%	69.5%
Brazil	Figueirópolis	2017	74.4%	79.0%	70.3%
Brazil	Figueirópolis d'Oeste	2000	67.7%	74.9%	60.6%
Brazil	Figueirópolis d'Oeste	2017	68.5%	75.6%	61.5%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2000	75.1%	80.4%	68.3%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2000	75.4%	80.6%	70.5%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2017	75.8%	81.0%	69.2%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2017	76.1%	81.2%	71.3%
Brazil	Firmino Alves	2000	79.0%	82.7%	73.3%
Brazil	Firmino Alves	2017	79.6%	83.3%	74.1%
Brazil	Firminópolis	2000	76.3%	80.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Firminópolis	2017	77.0%	80.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Flexeiras	2000	60.5%	63.1%	57.7%
Brazil	Flexeiras	2017	61.4%	64.0%	58.7%
Brazil	Flor da Serra do Sul	2000	81.7%	85.8%	76.8%
Brazil	Flor da Serra do Sul	2017	82.3%	86.2%	77.5%
Brazil	Flor do Sertão	2000	77.7%	82.1%	73.1%
Brazil	Flor do Sertão	2017	78.3%	82.6%	73.8%
Brazil	Flora Rica	2000	88.8%	91.3%	85.7%
Brazil	Flora Rica	2017	89.1%	91.6%	86.1%
Brazil	Floraí	2000	83.9%	86.9%	80.2%
Brazil	Floraí	2017	84.4%	87.3%	80.9%
Brazil	Florânia	2000	81.1%	84.0%	77.9%
Brazil	Florânia	2017	81.7%	84.6%	78.7%
Brazil	Floreal	2000	91.0%	93.7%	87.9%
Brazil	Floreal	2017	91.3%	93.9%	88.3%
Brazil	Flores	2000	67.1%	71.4%	61.7%
Brazil	Flores	2017	68.0%	72.2%	62.6%
Brazil	Flores da Cunha	2000	81.6%	84.6%	78.0%
Brazil	Flores da Cunha	2017	82.1%	85.1%	78.6%
Brazil	Flores de Goiás	2000	82.8%	86.4%	78.0%
Brazil	Flores de Goiás	2017	83.3%	86.9%	78.6%
Brazil	Flores do Piauí	2000	62.9%	68.9%	56.3%
Brazil	Flores do Piauí	2017	63.8%	69.7%	57.3%
Brazil	Floresta	2000	83.0%	85.9%	79.7%
Brazil	Floresta	2000	64.4%	70.3%	58.4%
Brazil	Floresta	2017	83.6%	86.4%	80.3%
Brazil	Floresta	2017	65.3%	71.1%	59.4%
Brazil	Floresta Azul	2000	77.2%	81.1%	72.3%
Brazil	Floresta Azul	2017	78.0%	81.8%	73.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Floresta do Araguaia	2000	49.9%	55.8%	43.9%
Brazil	Floresta do Araguaia	2017	50.9%	56.8%	44.9%
Brazil	Floresta do Piauí	2000	60.6%	65.8%	54.6%
Brazil	Floresta do Piauí	2017	61.5%	66.7%	55.6%
Brazil	Florestal	2000	80.7%	84.1%	76.9%
Brazil	Florestal	2017	82.5%	85.6%	78.9%
Brazil	Florestópolis	2000	86.5%	89.2%	83.6%
Brazil	Florestópolis	2017	87.0%	89.6%	84.1%
Brazil	Floriano	2000	61.5%	66.3%	55.6%
Brazil	Floriano	2017	62.4%	67.2%	56.6%
Brazil	Floriano	2000	78.2%	82.2%	74.1%
Brazil	Floriano Peixoto	2017	78.9%	82.8%	74.9%
Brazil	Florianópolis	2000	72.8%	78.8%	66.5%
Brazil	Florianópolis	2017	73.6%	79.5%	67.4%
Brazil	Flórida	2000	85.1%	87.8%	82.3%
Brazil	Flórida	2017	85.6%	88.2%	82.8%
Brazil	Flórida Paulista	2000	89.6%	92.0%	86.5%
Brazil	Flórida Paulista	2017	90.0%	92.3%	87.0%
Brazil	Florínia	2000	87.9%	90.3%	85.1%
Brazil	Florínia	2017	88.3%	90.6%	85.6%
Brazil	Florinópolis	2000	73.1%	78.7%	67.3%
Brazil	Florinópolis	2017	73.8%	79.4%	68.1%
Brazil	Fonte Boa	2000	60.6%	68.1%	52.8%
Brazil	Fonte Boa	2017	61.4%	68.8%	53.7%
Brazil	Fontoura Xavier	2000	83.2%	86.4%	79.5%
Brazil	Fontoura Xavier	2017	83.8%	86.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Formiga	2000	82.6%	86.2%	77.6%
Brazil	Formiga	2017	83.1%	86.7%	78.3%
Brazil	Formigueiro	2000	81.7%	85.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Formigueiro	2017	82.3%	85.9%	77.9%
Brazil	Formosa	2000	89.9%	92.0%	87.5%
Brazil	Formosa	2017	90.3%	92.3%	87.9%
Brazil	Formosa da Serra Negra	2000	67.2%	73.7%	60.4%
Brazil	Formosa da Serra Negra	2017	68.1%	74.5%	61.4%
Brazil	Formosa do Oeste	2000	84.1%	87.7%	80.2%
Brazil	Formosa do Oeste	2017	83.6%	87.3%	79.7%
Brazil	Formosa do Rio Preto	2000	72.7%	79.6%	65.7%
Brazil	Formosa do Rio Preto	2017	73.5%	80.2%	66.5%
Brazil	Formosa do Sul	2000	77.6%	82.0%	73.4%
Brazil	Formosa do Sul	2017	78.3%	82.5%	74.1%
Brazil	Formoso	2000	78.5%	84.0%	73.1%
Brazil	Formoso	2000	84.0%	88.2%	78.6%
Brazil	Formoso	2017	79.2%	84.5%	73.9%
Brazil	Formoso	2017	84.5%	88.6%	79.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Formoso do Araguaia	2000	74.8%	79.4%	69.9%
Brazil	Formoso do Araguaia	2017	75.5%	80.0%	70.7%
Brazil	Forquilha	2000	70.3%	74.4%	66.0%
Brazil	Forquilha	2017	71.2%	75.2%	66.9%
Brazil	Forquilha	2000	77.5%	81.4%	73.5%
Brazil	Forquilha	2017	78.2%	82.0%	74.3%
Brazil	Fortaleza	2000	69.0%	71.1%	66.8%
Brazil	Fortaleza	2017	69.8%	71.9%	67.7%
Brazil	Fortaleza de Minas	2000	86.7%	90.0%	82.7%
Brazil	Fortaleza de Minas	2017	87.1%	90.4%	83.3%
Brazil	Fortaleza do Tabocão	2000	75.3%	79.9%	71.3%
Brazil	Fortaleza do Tabocão	2017	76.1%	80.5%	72.1%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Nogueiras	2000	68.8%	75.0%	60.5%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Nogueiras	2017	69.6%	75.8%	61.5%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Valos	2000	82.5%	86.0%	77.8%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Valos	2017	83.1%	86.4%	78.4%
Brazil	Fortim	2000	71.0%	75.2%	66.2%
Brazil	Fortim	2017	71.8%	76.0%	67.1%
Brazil	Fortuna	2000	61.6%	67.5%	55.0%
Brazil	Fortuna	2017	62.5%	68.3%	56.0%
Brazil	Fortuna de Minas	2000	79.8%	83.6%	75.2%
Brazil	Fortuna de Minas	2017	80.5%	84.1%	76.0%
Brazil	Foz do Iguaçu	2000	82.8%	87.0%	78.1%
Brazil	Foz do Iguaçu	2017	83.3%	87.4%	78.6%
Brazil	Foz do Jordão	2000	81.3%	85.3%	77.0%
Brazil	Foz do Jordão	2017	81.9%	85.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Fraiburgo	2000	78.1%	82.4%	73.9%
Brazil	Fraiburgo	2017	78.7%	82.9%	74.6%
Brazil	Franca	2000	88.9%	91.8%	85.1%
Brazil	Franca	2017	89.3%	92.1%	85.6%
Brazil	Francinópolis	2000	59.4%	64.1%	54.4%
Brazil	Francinópolis	2017	60.4%	65.0%	55.4%
Brazil	Francisco Alves	2000	83.1%	86.3%	79.0%
Brazil	Francisco Alves	2017	83.6%	86.8%	79.7%
Brazil	Francisco Ayres	2000	58.8%	63.8%	53.4%
Brazil	Francisco Ayres	2017	59.8%	64.7%	54.5%
Brazil	Francisco Badaró	2000	81.9%	87.1%	76.9%
Brazil	Francisco Badaró	2017	82.5%	87.5%	77.6%
Brazil	Francisco Beltrão	2000	83.0%	86.9%	78.7%
Brazil	Francisco Beltrão	2017	83.5%	87.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Francisco Dantas	2000	78.5%	81.5%	75.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Francisco Dantas	2017	79.2%	82.1%	76.1%
Brazil	Francisco Dumon	2000	81.9%	86.5%	75.6%
Brazil	Francisco Dumon	2017	82.4%	86.9%	76.3%
Brazil	Francisco Macêdo	2000	64.5%	69.0%	60.0%
Brazil	Francisco Macêdo	2017	65.4%	69.9%	60.9%
Brazil	Francisco Morato	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Francisco Morato	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Francisco Sá	2000	81.7%	85.2%	77.3%
Brazil	Francisco Sá	2017	82.3%	85.7%	77.9%
Brazil	Francisco Santos	2000	62.7%	67.2%	58.8%
Brazil	Francisco Santos	2017	63.6%	68.1%	59.7%
Brazil	Franciscópolis	2000	83.1%	87.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Franciscópolis	2017	83.6%	87.9%	78.8%
Brazil	Franco da Rocha	2000	94.1%	94.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Franco da Rocha	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Frecheirinha	2000	67.7%	71.7%	62.8%
Brazil	Frecheirinha	2017	68.5%	72.5%	63.7%
Brazil	Frederico Westphalen	2000	79.7%	83.4%	74.8%
Brazil	Frederico Westphalen	2017	80.4%	84.0%	75.6%
Brazil	Frei Gaspar	2000	81.8%	86.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Frei Gaspar	2017	82.4%	87.1%	76.8%
Brazil	Frei Inocêncio	2000	79.2%	84.4%	74.2%
Brazil	Frei Inocêncio	2017	79.9%	84.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Frei Lagonegro	2000	82.3%	86.7%	76.1%
Brazil	Frei Lagonegro	2017	82.8%	87.1%	76.9%
Brazil	Frei Martinho	2000	80.8%	83.5%	77.5%
Brazil	Frei Martinho	2017	81.4%	84.0%	78.2%
Brazil	Frei Miguelinho	2000	65.7%	69.0%	62.3%
Brazil	Frei Miguelinho	2017	66.6%	69.8%	63.3%
Brazil	Frei Paulo	2000	78.7%	81.1%	76.2%
Brazil	Frei Paulo	2017	79.3%	81.7%	76.9%
Brazil	Frei Rogério	2000	75.3%	80.1%	70.1%
Brazil	Frei Rogério	2017	76.0%	80.7%	70.9%
Brazil	Fronteira	2000	90.8%	93.1%	87.8%
Brazil	Fronteira	2017	91.1%	93.4%	88.3%
Brazil	Fronteira dos Vales	2000	81.3%	85.8%	76.2%
Brazil	Fronteira dos Vales	2017	81.8%	86.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Fronteiras	2000	66.8%	71.4%	62.1%
Brazil	Fronteiras	2017	67.7%	72.2%	63.0%
Brazil	Fruta de Leite	2000	81.3%	86.2%	75.7%
Brazil	Fruta de Leite	2017	81.9%	86.7%	76.3%
Brazil	Frutal	2000	89.1%	91.8%	85.7%
Brazil	Frutal	2017	89.5%	92.1%	86.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Frutuoso Gomes	2000	77.8%	81.1%	74.5%
Brazil	Frutuoso Gomes	2017	78.4%	81.6%	75.1%
Brazil	Fundão	2000	75.8%	78.5%	73.1%
Brazil	Fundão	2017	76.5%	79.1%	73.8%
Brazil	Funilândia	2000	80.5%	84.2%	76.2%
Brazil	Funilândia	2017	81.1%	84.7%	76.9%
Brazil	Gabriel Monteiro	2000	91.5%	93.8%	88.9%
Brazil	Gabriel Monteiro	2017	91.8%	94.0%	89.3%
Brazil	Gado Bravo	2000	64.2%	67.8%	60.9%
Brazil	Gado Bravo	2017	65.1%	68.6%	61.8%
Brazil	Gália	2000	92.8%	94.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Gália	2017	93.1%	94.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Galiléia	2000	78.5%	83.1%	74.0%
Brazil	Galiléia	2017	79.1%	83.6%	74.6%
Brazil	Galinhos	2000	82.3%	85.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Galinhos	2017	82.8%	86.1%	78.8%
Brazil	Galvão	2000	79.2%	83.3%	75.2%
Brazil	Galvão	2017	79.9%	83.8%	75.9%
Brazil	Gameleira	2000	62.1%	65.8%	58.4%
Brazil	Gameleira	2017	63.0%	66.7%	59.4%
Brazil	Gameleiras	2000	79.5%	85.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Gameleiras	2017	80.1%	85.6%	73.7%
Brazil	Gandu	2000	76.8%	81.2%	72.6%
Brazil	Gandu	2017	77.4%	81.8%	73.3%
Brazil	Garanhuns	2000	66.3%	69.5%	62.8%
Brazil	Garanhuns	2017	67.2%	70.4%	63.8%
Brazil	Gararu	2000	68.1%	71.0%	64.5%
Brazil	Gararu	2017	68.9%	71.8%	65.3%
Brazil	Garça	2000	93.3%	95.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Garça	2017	93.5%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Garibaldi	2000	84.1%	86.6%	81.4%
Brazil	Garibaldi	2017	84.6%	87.0%	82.0%
Brazil	Garopaba	2000	71.3%	76.7%	64.4%
Brazil	Garopaba	2017	72.5%	77.8%	65.8%
Brazil	Garrafão do Norte	2000	40.7%	46.4%	36.0%
Brazil	Garrafão do Norte	2017	41.7%	47.4%	36.9%
Brazil	Garruchos	2000	80.6%	86.4%	73.4%
Brazil	Garruchos	2017	81.2%	86.9%	74.1%
Brazil	Garuva	2000	76.1%	79.7%	71.9%
Brazil	Garuva	2017	76.8%	80.3%	72.7%
Brazil	Gaspar	2000	72.7%	75.8%	69.0%
Brazil	Gaspar	2017	74.0%	77.1%	70.5%
Brazil	Gastão Vidigal	2000	91.0%	93.6%	87.9%
Brazil	Gastão Vidigal	2017	91.3%	93.8%	88.3%
Brazil	Gaúcha do Norte	2000	66.2%	74.3%	58.2%
Brazil	Gaúcha do Norte	2017	67.1%	75.0%	59.2%
Brazil	Gaurama	2000	78.6%	82.3%	74.6%
Brazil	Gaurama	2017	79.3%	82.9%	75.3%
Brazil	Gavião	2000	77.0%	81.1%	71.6%
Brazil	Gavião	2017	77.6%	81.7%	72.3%
Brazil	Gavião Peixoto	2000	93.4%	95.0%	91.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Gavião Peixoto	2017	93.7%	95.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Geminiano	2000	61.2%	66.0%	56.9%
Brazil	Geminiano	2017	62.1%	66.8%	57.8%
Brazil	General Câmara	2000	80.6%	83.8%	77.2%
Brazil	General Câmara	2017	81.2%	84.4%	77.9%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2000	81.0%	84.9%	76.8%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2000	69.6%	75.2%	63.0%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2017	70.4%	75.8%	63.8%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2017	81.6%	85.4%	77.5%
Brazil	General Maynard	2000	72.2%	74.6%	69.4%
Brazil	General Maynard	2017	72.9%	75.3%	70.2%
Brazil	General Salgado	2000	90.4%	93.1%	87.4%
Brazil	General Salgado	2017	90.8%	93.3%	87.8%
Brazil	General Sampaio	2000	70.5%	74.1%	66.6%
Brazil	General Sampaio	2017	71.4%	74.8%	67.4%
Brazil	Gentil	2000	81.1%	84.5%	76.2%
Brazil	Gentil	2017	81.6%	85.0%	76.8%
Brazil	Gentio do Ouro	2000	76.2%	82.3%	68.8%
Brazil	Gentio do Ouro	2017	76.9%	82.9%	69.6%
Brazil	Getulina	2000	93.2%	95.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Getulina	2017	93.4%	95.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Getúlio Vargas	2000	81.1%	84.5%	77.3%
Brazil	Getúlio Vargas	2017	81.7%	85.1%	78.0%
Brazil	Gilbués	2000	65.4%	72.1%	58.0%
Brazil	Gilbués	2017	66.3%	72.9%	59.0%
Brazil	Girau do Ponciano	2000	66.7%	69.6%	63.8%
Brazil	Girau do Ponciano	2017	67.6%	70.5%	64.7%
Brazil	Giruí	2000	82.7%	86.8%	78.1%
Brazil	Giruí	2017	83.2%	87.3%	78.8%
Brazil	Glaucilândia	2000	81.9%	86.6%	76.3%
Brazil	Glaucilândia	2017	82.6%	87.1%	77.1%
Brazil	Glicério	2000	92.1%	94.1%	89.4%
Brazil	Glicério	2017	92.4%	94.3%	89.8%
Brazil	Glória	2000	64.8%	70.4%	59.2%
Brazil	Glória	2017	65.7%	71.2%	60.1%
Brazil	Glória d'Oeste	2000	68.3%	74.8%	60.3%
Brazil	Glória d'Oeste	2017	69.1%	75.5%	61.3%
Brazil	Glória de Dourados	2000	80.9%	84.5%	76.9%
Brazil	Glória de Dourados	2017	81.5%	85.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Glória do Goitá	2000	68.2%	70.8%	65.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Glória do Goitá	2017	69.0%	71.6%	66.5%
Brazil	Glorinha	2000	81.6%	84.1%	78.9%
Brazil	Glorinha	2017	82.2%	84.6%	79.6%
Brazil	Godofredo Viana	2000	45.3%	52.9%	38.2%
Brazil	Godofredo Viana	2017	47.4%	55.0%	40.2%
Brazil	Godoy Moreira	2000	82.6%	86.1%	78.2%
Brazil	Godoy Moreira	2017	83.1%	86.5%	78.9%
Brazil	Goiabeira	2000	76.1%	80.6%	71.3%
Brazil	Goiabeira	2017	76.8%	81.2%	72.1%
Brazil	Goianá	2000	79.7%	82.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Goianá	2000	65.7%	68.7%	62.3%
Brazil	Goianá	2017	80.3%	83.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Goianá	2017	66.6%	69.5%	63.2%
Brazil	Goianópolis	2000	80.0%	82.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Goianópolis	2017	80.6%	82.6%	78.3%
Brazil	Goiandira	2000	78.0%	82.7%	72.5%
Brazil	Goiandira	2017	78.7%	83.2%	73.2%
Brazil	Goianésia	2000	82.4%	85.9%	78.3%
Brazil	Goianésia	2017	82.9%	86.4%	79.0%
Brazil	Goianésia do Pará	2000	40.3%	45.9%	33.8%
Brazil	Goianésia do Pará	2017	41.4%	47.1%	34.8%
Brazil	Goiania	2000	78.6%	80.5%	76.7%
Brazil	Goiania	2017	79.2%	81.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Goianinha	2000	81.3%	83.6%	79.3%
Brazil	Goianinha	2017	82.0%	84.2%	79.9%
Brazil	Goianira	2000	77.4%	79.9%	75.2%
Brazil	Goianira	2017	78.1%	80.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Goianorte	2000	69.6%	74.8%	64.3%
Brazil	Goianorte	2017	70.3%	75.4%	65.1%
Brazil	Goiás	2000	76.6%	80.8%	72.2%
Brazil	Goiás	2017	77.3%	81.4%	73.0%
Brazil	Goiatins	2000	74.3%	79.3%	69.1%
Brazil	Goiatins	2017	75.0%	79.8%	69.9%
Brazil	Goiatuba	2000	79.3%	83.3%	75.0%
Brazil	Goiatuba	2017	79.9%	83.9%	75.7%
Brazil	Goioerê	2000	84.9%	88.4%	81.6%
Brazil	Goioerê	2017	85.4%	88.8%	82.2%
Brazil	Goioxim	2000	83.4%	87.1%	79.3%
Brazil	Goioxim	2017	83.9%	87.5%	80.0%
Brazil	Gonçalves	2000	91.3%	93.1%	89.3%
Brazil	Gonçalves	2017	91.6%	93.3%	89.6%
Brazil	Gonçalves Dias	2000	62.1%	68.1%	57.5%
Brazil	Gonçalves Dias	2017	63.0%	68.9%	58.5%
Brazil	Gongogi	2000	76.0%	80.6%	71.4%
Brazil	Gongogi	2017	75.9%	80.7%	71.3%
Brazil	Gonzaga	2000	82.6%	86.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Gonzaga	2017	83.1%	87.0%	78.8%
Brazil	Gouvea	2000	84.5%	88.9%	79.0%
Brazil	Gouvea	2017	85.1%	89.3%	79.7%
Brazil	Gouvelândia	2000	78.5%	83.3%	73.4%
Brazil	Gouvelândia	2017	79.2%	83.8%	74.2%
Brazil	Governador Archer	2000	62.7%	68.6%	57.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Governador Archer	2017	63.6%	69.5%	58.6%
Brazil	Governador Celso Ramos	2000	71.2%	76.5%	65.3%
Brazil	Governador Celso Ramos	2017	72.0%	77.2%	66.2%
Brazil	Governador Dix-Sept Rosad	2000	80.5%	83.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Governador Dix-Sept Rosad	2017	81.1%	83.6%	78.1%
Brazil	Governador Edison Lobão	2000	67.4%	71.8%	62.9%
Brazil	Governador Edison Lobão	2017	68.3%	72.7%	63.9%
Brazil	Governador Eugênio Barros	2000	61.6%	67.2%	56.7%
Brazil	Governador Eugênio Barros	2017	62.6%	68.1%	57.6%
Brazil	Governador Jorge Teixeira	2000	28.8%	33.3%	24.7%
Brazil	Governador Jorge Teixeira	2017	29.6%	34.2%	25.4%
Brazil	Governador Luiz Rocha	2000	60.8%	66.6%	54.7%
Brazil	Governador Luiz Rocha	2017	63.5%	69.1%	57.6%
Brazil	Governador Mangabeira	2000	76.6%	80.0%	72.7%
Brazil	Governador Mangabeira	2017	77.2%	80.6%	73.4%
Brazil	Governador Newton Bello	2000	59.0%	63.9%	53.7%
Brazil	Governador Newton Bello	2017	59.9%	64.8%	54.7%
Brazil	Governador Nunes Freire	2000	51.9%	57.9%	46.7%
Brazil	Governador Nunes Freire	2017	52.6%	58.6%	47.5%
Brazil	Governador Valadares	2000	80.1%	84.7%	75.9%
Brazil	Governador Valadares	2017	80.8%	85.2%	76.6%
Brazil	Graça	2000	67.4%	71.8%	63.5%
Brazil	Graça	2017	68.3%	72.6%	64.5%
Brazil	Graça Aranha	2000	61.8%	68.3%	56.5%
Brazil	Graça Aranha	2017	62.7%	69.2%	57.5%
Brazil	Gracho Cardoso	2000	71.9%	74.8%	68.6%
Brazil	Gracho Cardoso	2017	72.7%	75.5%	69.5%
Brazil	Grajaú	2000	63.0%	69.2%	56.4%
Brazil	Grajaú	2017	65.1%	71.1%	58.6%
Brazil	Gramado	2000	81.9%	84.6%	78.8%
Brazil	Gramado	2017	82.5%	85.1%	79.4%
Brazil	Gramado dos Loureiros	2000	78.9%	82.5%	74.7%
Brazil	Gramado dos Loureiros	2017	79.5%	83.0%	75.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Gramado Xavier	2000	83.7%	86.9%	80.0%
Brazil	Gramado Xavier	2017	84.1%	87.4%	80.5%
Brazil	Grandes Rios	2000	83.3%	86.8%	79.3%
Brazil	Grandes Rios	2017	83.9%	87.2%	79.9%
Brazil	Granito	2000	68.6%	72.8%	63.4%
Brazil	Granito	2017	69.4%	73.6%	64.3%
Brazil	Granja	2000	67.5%	71.8%	62.5%
Brazil	Granja	2017	68.4%	72.6%	63.4%
Brazil	Granjeiro	2000	68.9%	72.7%	65.2%
Brazil	Granjeiro	2017	69.8%	73.5%	66.1%
Brazil	Grão Mogol	2000	82.3%	87.1%	76.6%
Brazil	Grão Mogol	2017	82.9%	87.5%	77.3%
Brazil	Grão Pará	2000	73.4%	77.4%	69.1%
Brazil	Grão Pará	2017	74.1%	78.0%	69.9%
Brazil	Gravatá	2000	72.4%	75.4%	69.6%
Brazil	Gravatá	2017	73.2%	76.2%	70.4%
Brazil	Gravataí	2000	73.2%	77.7%	68.2%
Brazil	Gravataí	2000	82.2%	84.0%	80.2%
Brazil	Gravataí	2017	74.1%	78.4%	69.1%
Brazil	Gravataí	2017	82.7%	84.5%	80.7%
Brazil	Groaíras	2000	80.1%	83.4%	76.9%
Brazil	Groaíras	2000	68.0%	72.0%	63.1%
Brazil	Groaíras	2017	68.8%	72.7%	64.1%
Brazil	Groaíras	2017	80.7%	84.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Grupiara	2000	78.1%	83.0%	72.3%
Brazil	Grupiara	2017	78.8%	83.6%	73.1%
Brazil	Guabiju	2000	80.6%	84.3%	76.5%
Brazil	Guabiju	2017	81.2%	84.8%	77.2%
Brazil	Guabiruba	2000	70.8%	74.2%	66.6%
Brazil	Guabiruba	2017	71.6%	75.0%	67.5%
Brazil	Guaçuí	2000	79.6%	83.1%	76.2%
Brazil	Guaçuí	2017	80.3%	83.6%	77.0%
Brazil	Guadalupe	2000	61.6%	67.3%	55.8%
Brazil	Guadalupe	2017	62.5%	68.1%	56.7%
Brazil	Guaíba	2000	81.8%	84.3%	79.4%
Brazil	Guaíba	2017	82.4%	84.8%	80.0%
Brazil	Guaçara	2000	93.1%	95.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Guaçara	2017	93.2%	95.2%	91.0%
Brazil	Guaimbê	2000	93.0%	95.0%	90.5%
Brazil	Guaimbê	2017	93.2%	95.2%	90.8%
Brazil	Guaíra	2000	91.2%	93.8%	88.2%
Brazil	Guaíra	2000	82.5%	86.6%	78.8%
Brazil	Guaíra	2017	83.1%	87.0%	79.4%
Brazil	Guaíra	2017	91.5%	94.0%	88.6%
Brazil	Guairaçá	2000	85.3%	88.7%	81.7%
Brazil	Guairaçá	2017	85.8%	89.1%	82.3%
Brazil	Guaiúba	2000	68.9%	71.8%	65.9%
Brazil	Guaiúba	2017	69.8%	72.6%	66.7%
Brazil	Guajará	2000	46.1%	50.2%	42.2%
Brazil	Guajará	2017	46.1%	50.2%	42.2%
Brazil	Guajará-Mirim	2000	31.0%	37.5%	24.4%
Brazil	Guajará-Mirim	2017	31.8%	38.4%	25.2%
Brazil	Guajeru	2000	77.8%	83.0%	72.1%
Brazil	Guajeru	2017	78.5%	83.5%	72.9%
Brazil	Guamaré	2000	82.0%	85.5%	77.9%
Brazil	Guamaré	2017	82.7%	86.0%	78.7%
Brazil	Guamiranga	2000	82.0%	86.3%	77.8%
Brazil	Guamiranga	2017	82.6%	86.7%	78.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guanambi	2000	79.0%	84.4%	74.0%
Brazil	Guanambi	2017	79.7%	84.9%	74.7%
Brazil	Guanhães	2000	82.9%	86.7%	77.3%
Brazil	Guanhães	2017	82.5%	86.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Guapé	2000	82.3%	86.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Guapé	2017	82.9%	86.9%	77.9%
Brazil	Guapiaçu	2000	91.6%	93.8%	89.1%
Brazil	Guapiaçu	2017	91.9%	94.0%	89.4%
Brazil	Guapiara	2000	92.9%	94.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Guapiara	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Guapimirim	2000	75.8%	77.7%	73.3%
Brazil	Guapimirim	2017	76.5%	78.4%	74.0%
Brazil	Guapirama	2000	87.7%	90.5%	84.0%
Brazil	Guapirama	2017	88.2%	90.8%	84.5%
Brazil	Guapó	2000	76.4%	79.0%	73.6%
Brazil	Guapó	2017	77.1%	79.6%	74.3%
Brazil	Guaporé	2000	82.7%	85.9%	79.2%
Brazil	Guaporé	2017	83.3%	86.4%	79.9%
Brazil	Guaporema	2000	83.3%	86.3%	79.8%
Brazil	Guaporema	2017	83.9%	86.7%	80.4%
Brazil	Guará	2000	90.0%	92.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Guará	2017	90.3%	92.8%	87.4%
Brazil	Guarabira	2000	68.7%	71.5%	65.4%
Brazil	Guarabira	2017	69.5%	72.3%	66.3%
Brazil	Guaraçai	2000	88.9%	91.4%	85.8%
Brazil	Guaraçai	2017	89.2%	91.7%	86.2%
Brazil	Guaraci	2000	91.0%	93.3%	88.5%
Brazil	Guaraci	2000	86.1%	88.9%	83.2%
Brazil	Guaraci	2017	91.3%	93.6%	88.9%
Brazil	Guaraci	2017	86.6%	89.3%	83.7%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2000	80.3%	83.9%	76.1%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2000	81.2%	85.2%	76.7%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2017	80.9%	84.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2017	80.9%	85.0%	76.3%
Brazil	Guaraciaba do Norte	2000	71.0%	75.0%	67.0%
Brazil	Guaraciaba do Norte	2017	71.8%	75.7%	67.8%
Brazil	Guaraciama	2000	82.2%	86.9%	76.9%
Brazil	Guaraciama	2017	82.8%	87.3%	77.6%
Brazil	Guaraíta	2000	79.8%	84.8%	74.3%
Brazil	Guaraíta	2017	80.5%	85.3%	75.0%
Brazil	Guaramiranga	2000	73.7%	77.1%	70.0%
Brazil	Guaramiranga	2017	74.4%	77.8%	70.8%
Brazil	Guaramirim	2000	75.8%	79.2%	72.0%
Brazil	Guaramirim	2017	76.8%	80.1%	73.0%
Brazil	Guaranesia	2000	87.8%	90.6%	84.4%
Brazil	Guaranesia	2017	88.2%	90.9%	84.9%
Brazil	Guarani	2000	79.2%	82.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Guarani	2017	79.9%	83.4%	75.7%
Brazil	Guarani das Missões	2000	81.8%	86.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Guarani das Missões	2017	82.4%	86.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Guarani de Goiás	2000	76.7%	81.1%	72.2%
Brazil	Guarani de Goiás	2017	77.4%	81.7%	73.0%
Brazil	Guarani do Oeste	2000	88.3%	91.5%	84.9%
Brazil	Guarani do Oeste	2017	88.7%	91.8%	85.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guaraniaçu	2000	83.9%	87.0%	80.2%
Brazil	Guaraniaçu	2017	84.4%	87.5%	80.8%
Brazil	Guarantã	2000	93.0%	95.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Guarantã	2017	93.3%	95.4%	90.6%
Brazil	Guarantã do Norte	2000	63.8%	70.9%	55.8%
Brazil	Guarantã do Norte	2017	64.7%	71.7%	56.7%
Brazil	Guarapari	2000	76.0%	79.0%	72.3%
Brazil	Guarapari	2017	76.7%	79.7%	73.1%
Brazil	Guarapuava	2000	83.5%	87.1%	79.2%
Brazil	Guarapuava	2017	84.1%	87.5%	79.9%
Brazil	Guaraqueçaba	2000	80.0%	84.3%	75.1%
Brazil	Guaraqueçaba	2017	80.6%	84.8%	75.9%
Brazil	Guarará	2000	80.7%	83.7%	77.3%
Brazil	Guarará	2017	81.4%	84.3%	78.1%
Brazil	Guararapes	2000	90.0%	91.9%	87.7%
Brazil	Guararapes	2017	90.3%	92.1%	88.1%
Brazil	Guararema	2000	90.6%	93.1%	87.2%
Brazil	Guararema	2017	90.9%	93.4%	87.6%
Brazil	Guaratinga	2000	80.2%	84.8%	74.1%
Brazil	Guaratinga	2017	80.8%	85.3%	74.9%
Brazil	Guaratinguetá	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Guaratinguetá	2017	93.9%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Guaratuba	2000	75.8%	79.9%	71.1%
Brazil	Guaratuba	2017	76.5%	80.5%	71.9%
Brazil	Guarda-Mor	2000	79.8%	85.2%	73.4%
Brazil	Guarda-Mor	2017	80.4%	85.7%	74.2%
Brazil	Guareí	2000	93.2%	94.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Guareí	2017	93.4%	95.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Guariba	2000	92.7%	94.4%	90.4%
Brazil	Guariba	2017	93.0%	94.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Guaribas	2000	65.6%	72.2%	58.9%
Brazil	Guaribas	2017	66.5%	73.0%	59.8%
Brazil	Guarinos	2000	77.0%	81.8%	71.8%
Brazil	Guarinos	2017	77.7%	82.4%	72.6%
Brazil	Guarujá	2000	87.4%	89.6%	85.0%
Brazil	Guarujá	2017	87.8%	89.9%	85.4%
Brazil	Guarujá do Sul	2000	81.5%	85.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Guarujá do Sul	2017	82.0%	86.2%	77.2%
Brazil	Guarulhos	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Guarulhos	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Guatambú	2000	77.7%	81.0%	73.4%
Brazil	Guatambú	2017	78.3%	81.6%	74.2%
Brazil	Guataparã	2000	92.7%	94.3%	90.2%
Brazil	Guataparã	2017	92.9%	94.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Guaxupé	2000	88.2%	91.0%	84.9%
Brazil	Guaxupé	2017	88.6%	91.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Guia Branca	2000	78.9%	82.0%	75.1%
Brazil	Guia Branca	2017	79.5%	82.6%	75.8%
Brazil	Guia Lopes da Laguna	2000	79.8%	84.3%	74.9%
Brazil	Guia Lopes da Laguna	2017	80.6%	85.0%	75.8%
Brazil	Guidoval	2000	80.2%	83.7%	76.4%
Brazil	Guidoval	2017	80.8%	84.2%	77.1%
Brazil	Guimarães	2000	58.6%	64.4%	52.1%
Brazil	Guimarães	2017	59.5%	65.3%	53.0%
Brazil	Guimaranã	2000	81.4%	86.1%	75.7%
Brazil	Guimaranã	2017	82.0%	86.5%	76.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guiratinga	2000	72.1%	77.9%	66.1%
Brazil	Guiratinga	2017	72.9%	78.6%	66.9%
Brazil	Guiricema	2000	80.8%	84.3%	76.6%
Brazil	Guiricema	2017	81.4%	84.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Gurinhata	2000	83.0%	87.3%	78.7%
Brazil	Gurinhata	2017	83.5%	87.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Gurinhém	2000	67.6%	71.0%	64.1%
Brazil	Gurinhém	2017	68.4%	71.8%	65.0%
Brazil	Gurjão	2000	69.0%	73.3%	64.6%
Brazil	Gurjão	2017	69.8%	74.1%	65.5%
Brazil	Gurupá	2000	39.8%	45.3%	34.8%
Brazil	Gurupá	2017	40.7%	46.2%	35.6%
Brazil	Gurupi	2000	78.3%	82.1%	74.5%
Brazil	Gurupi	2017	79.1%	82.8%	75.5%
Brazil	Guzolandia	2000	89.4%	92.4%	86.2%
Brazil	Guzolandia	2017	89.7%	92.7%	86.6%
Brazil	Harmonia	2000	79.5%	82.2%	76.6%
Brazil	Harmonia	2017	80.2%	82.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Heitorai	2000	76.4%	80.5%	71.6%
Brazil	Heitorai	2017	77.1%	81.1%	72.4%
Brazil	Heliadora	2000	86.3%	89.3%	83.1%
Brazil	Heliadora	2017	86.7%	89.7%	83.6%
Brazil	Heliópolis	2000	77.2%	81.2%	73.0%
Brazil	Heliópolis	2017	77.8%	81.7%	73.8%
Brazil	Herculândia	2000	92.0%	94.4%	89.5%
Brazil	Herculândia	2017	92.3%	94.6%	89.8%
Brazil	Herval	2000	77.5%	81.6%	73.2%
Brazil	Herval d'Oeste	2017	76.9%	81.1%	72.5%
Brazil	Herveiras	2000	84.2%	87.2%	80.3%
Brazil	Herveiras	2017	84.6%	87.6%	80.9%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2000	78.5%	80.7%	75.9%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2000	67.3%	71.9%	62.8%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2017	68.1%	72.7%	63.8%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2017	79.6%	81.7%	77.0%
Brazil	Hidrolina	2000	78.1%	82.6%	72.6%
Brazil	Hidrolina	2017	78.7%	83.1%	73.4%
Brazil	Holambra	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Holambra	2017	92.5%	93.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Honório Serpa	2000	80.5%	84.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Honório Serpa	2017	81.1%	85.0%	76.9%
Brazil	Horizonte	2000	69.9%	72.8%	66.9%
Brazil	Horizonte	2017	72.5%	75.2%	69.6%
Brazil	Horizontina	2000	82.1%	86.4%	77.5%
Brazil	Horizontina	2017	82.6%	86.8%	78.2%
Brazil	Hortolândia	2000	93.5%	94.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Hortolândia	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Hugo Napoleão	2000	59.2%	64.0%	54.3%
Brazil	Hugo Napoleão	2017	60.1%	64.9%	55.2%
Brazil	Hulha Negra	2000	82.6%	87.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Hulha Negra	2017	83.2%	88.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Humaitá	2000	81.1%	85.3%	76.6%
Brazil	Humaitá	2000	53.5%	60.3%	46.6%
Brazil	Humaitá	2017	81.7%	85.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Humaitá	2017	54.5%	61.3%	47.5%
Brazil	Humberto Campos	2000	59.3%	65.2%	53.2%
Brazil	Humberto Campos	2017	60.3%	66.1%	54.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Iacanga	2000	93.4%	95.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Iacanga	2017	93.6%	95.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Iaciara	2000	80.0%	84.6%	74.7%
Brazil	Iaciara	2017	80.6%	85.1%	75.4%
Brazil	Iacri	2000	91.4%	93.7%	88.6%
Brazil	Iacri	2017	91.7%	93.9%	89.0%
Brazil	Iaçu	2000	80.9%	84.7%	76.3%
Brazil	Iaçu	2000	77.7%	82.6%	71.5%
Brazil	Iaçu	2017	81.5%	85.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Iaçu	2017	78.4%	83.1%	72.3%
Brazil	Iaras	2000	92.7%	94.6%	90.2%
Brazil	Iaras	2017	93.0%	94.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Iati	2000	64.7%	68.3%	60.8%
Brazil	Iati	2017	65.5%	69.1%	61.7%
Brazil	Ibaiti	2000	87.4%	90.1%	84.0%
Brazil	Ibaiti	2017	87.8%	90.5%	84.5%
Brazil	Ibarama	2000	83.2%	86.5%	79.1%
Brazil	Ibarama	2017	83.7%	86.9%	79.8%
Brazil	Ibaretama	2000	70.7%	74.6%	66.6%
Brazil	Ibaretama	2017	71.5%	75.3%	67.4%
Brazil	Ibaté	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.1%
Brazil	Ibaté	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Ibateguara	2000	62.6%	66.2%	59.4%
Brazil	Ibateguara	2017	63.5%	67.1%	60.3%
Brazil	Ibatiba	2000	80.8%	84.1%	77.4%
Brazil	Ibatiba	2017	81.4%	84.6%	78.1%
Brazil	Ibema	2000	84.4%	87.4%	80.7%
Brazil	Ibema	2017	84.9%	87.8%	81.3%
Brazil	Ibertioga	2000	83.9%	87.3%	79.8%
Brazil	Ibertioga	2017	84.4%	87.7%	80.4%
Brazil	Ibiá	2000	82.7%	87.2%	77.1%
Brazil	Ibiá	2017	83.2%	87.7%	77.8%
Brazil	Ibiaçá	2000	79.2%	83.5%	75.2%
Brazil	Ibiaçá	2017	79.9%	84.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Ibiaí	2000	81.1%	86.1%	74.4%
Brazil	Ibiaí	2017	81.7%	86.6%	75.1%
Brazil	Ibiam	2000	75.8%	79.8%	71.5%
Brazil	Ibiam	2017	76.5%	80.5%	72.4%
Brazil	Ibiapina	2000	70.5%	74.4%	66.6%
Brazil	Ibiapina	2017	71.3%	75.1%	67.5%
Brazil	Ibiara	2000	67.7%	71.7%	63.5%
Brazil	Ibiara	2017	68.6%	72.5%	64.4%
Brazil	Ibiassucê	2000	78.3%	83.7%	73.2%
Brazil	Ibiassucê	2017	78.1%	83.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Ibicaraí	2000	76.7%	80.5%	71.4%
Brazil	Ibicaraí	2017	77.4%	81.1%	72.2%
Brazil	Ibicaré	2000	75.6%	79.9%	71.2%
Brazil	Ibicaré	2017	76.3%	80.5%	72.0%
Brazil	Ibicoara	2000	79.5%	84.5%	73.5%
Brazil	Ibicoara	2017	80.2%	85.1%	74.3%
Brazil	Ibicuí	2000	79.3%	83.2%	74.8%
Brazil	Ibicuí	2017	80.1%	83.8%	75.7%
Brazil	Ibicuitinga	2000	71.3%	75.6%	66.9%
Brazil	Ibicuitinga	2017	72.1%	76.3%	67.8%
Brazil	Ibimirim	2000	65.0%	69.1%	60.7%
Brazil	Ibimirim	2017	65.8%	69.9%	61.6%
Brazil	Ibipeba	2000	75.7%	81.3%	68.4%
Brazil	Ibipeba	2017	76.5%	81.9%	69.3%
Brazil	Ibipitanga	2000	77.3%	82.4%	71.8%
Brazil	Ibipitanga	2017	78.0%	83.0%	72.6%
Brazil	Ibiporã	2000	86.4%	88.5%	83.7%
Brazil	Ibiporã	2017	86.8%	88.9%	84.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ibiquera	2000	78.4%	83.9%	72.8%
Brazil	Ibiquera	2017	79.0%	84.4%	73.5%
Brazil	Ibirá	2000	93.2%	94.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Ibirá	2017	93.4%	95.1%	91.3%
Brazil	Ibiracatu	2000	81.4%	86.5%	75.5%
Brazil	Ibiracatu	2017	82.0%	87.0%	76.2%
Brazil	Ibiraci	2000	87.7%	91.2%	83.9%
Brazil	Ibiraci	2017	88.1%	91.6%	84.5%
Brazil	Ibiraçu	2000	76.0%	78.7%	73.1%
Brazil	Ibiraçu	2017	76.7%	79.4%	73.9%
Brazil	Ibiraiaras	2000	80.0%	84.1%	76.0%
Brazil	Ibiraiaras	2017	80.6%	84.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Ibirajuba	2000	66.2%	69.6%	63.0%
Brazil	Ibirajuba	2017	67.1%	70.5%	63.9%
Brazil	Ibirama	2000	71.4%	75.1%	67.6%
Brazil	Ibirama	2017	72.1%	75.8%	68.4%
Brazil	Ibirapitanga	2000	76.3%	80.7%	71.6%
Brazil	Ibirapitanga	2017	77.0%	81.4%	72.4%
Brazil	Ibirapuã	2000	78.7%	83.3%	73.5%
Brazil	Ibirapuã	2017	79.4%	83.8%	74.2%
Brazil	Ibirapuitã	2000	82.0%	85.5%	78.0%
Brazil	Ibirapuitã	2017	82.5%	86.0%	78.6%
Brazil	Ibirarema	2000	90.4%	92.7%	87.4%
Brazil	Ibirarema	2017	90.7%	93.0%	87.8%
Brazil	Ibirataia	2000	76.9%	81.4%	72.1%
Brazil	Ibirataia	2017	77.6%	82.0%	72.9%
Brazil	Ibirité	2000	82.7%	85.1%	80.0%
Brazil	Ibirité	2017	83.2%	85.6%	80.5%
Brazil	Ibirubá	2000	83.4%	86.8%	79.0%
Brazil	Ibirubá	2017	84.0%	87.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Ibitiara	2000	79.1%	84.7%	73.1%
Brazil	Ibitiara	2017	79.8%	85.2%	73.9%
Brazil	Ibitinga	2000	93.8%	95.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Ibitinga	2017	94.0%	95.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Ibitirama	2000	80.6%	83.6%	77.5%
Brazil	Ibitirama	2017	81.2%	84.1%	78.1%
Brazil	Ibititá	2000	77.2%	82.7%	70.9%
Brazil	Ibititá	2017	77.9%	83.3%	71.7%
Brazil	Ibitiúra de Minas	2000	90.1%	92.3%	87.4%
Brazil	Ibitiúra de Minas	2017	90.5%	92.5%	87.8%
Brazil	Ibituruna	2000	79.8%	84.0%	75.2%
Brazil	Ibituruna	2017	80.4%	84.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Ibiúna	2000	94.6%	95.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Ibiúna	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Ibotirama	2000	74.8%	81.4%	65.9%
Brazil	Ibotirama	2017	75.6%	82.0%	66.8%
Brazil	Icapuí	2000	76.5%	80.5%	72.4%
Brazil	Icapuí	2017	77.7%	81.5%	73.6%
Brazil	Içara	2000	74.6%	78.8%	69.8%
Brazil	Içara	2017	75.3%	79.5%	70.6%
Brazil	Icaraí de Minas	2000	81.9%	87.3%	75.7%
Brazil	Icaraí de Minas	2017	82.5%	87.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Icaraíma	2000	82.8%	86.0%	78.5%
Brazil	Icaraíma	2017	83.3%	86.5%	79.1%
Brazil	Icatu	2000	59.0%	63.4%	53.7%
Brazil	Icatu	2017	59.9%	64.3%	54.6%
Brazil	Icém	2000	90.5%	92.9%	87.7%
Brazil	Icém	2017	90.9%	93.1%	88.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ichu	2000	78.9%	82.4%	74.8%
Brazil	Ichu	2017	79.5%	83.0%	75.5%
Brazil	Icó	2000	71.9%	75.9%	67.7%
Brazil	Icó	2017	72.7%	76.6%	68.6%
Brazil	Iconha	2000	77.9%	81.0%	74.4%
Brazil	Iconha	2017	78.5%	81.6%	75.0%
Brazil	Ielmo Marinho	2000	83.1%	85.3%	81.2%
Brazil	Ielmo Marinho	2017	83.7%	85.8%	81.7%
Brazil	Iepê	2000	88.1%	90.5%	85.5%
Brazil	Iepê	2017	88.5%	90.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Igaci	2000	60.8%	63.5%	58.2%
Brazil	Igaci	2017	61.8%	64.5%	59.3%
Brazil	Igaporã	2000	78.4%	83.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Igaporã	2017	79.0%	84.1%	73.6%
Brazil	Igaracu	2000	64.7%	67.1%	62.4%
Brazil	Igaracu	2017	66.2%	68.5%	63.9%
Brazil	Igaraçu do Tietê	2000	93.7%	95.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Igaraçu do Tietê	2017	93.9%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Igarapava	2000	86.7%	90.1%	82.1%
Brazil	Igarapava	2017	87.3%	90.6%	82.8%
Brazil	Igarapé	2000	81.9%	84.9%	78.7%
Brazil	Igarapé	2017	82.5%	85.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Igarapé do Meio	2000	60.2%	65.9%	55.0%
Brazil	Igarapé do Meio	2017	61.2%	66.8%	56.0%
Brazil	Igarapé Grande	2000	60.6%	65.5%	55.9%
Brazil	Igarapé Grande	2017	61.5%	66.3%	56.8%
Brazil	Igarapé-Açu	2000	37.2%	42.1%	33.4%
Brazil	Igarapé-Açu	2017	38.2%	43.0%	34.3%
Brazil	Igarapé-Miri	2000	37.6%	42.6%	33.5%
Brazil	Igarapé-Miri	2017	38.6%	43.6%	34.4%
Brazil	Igaratá	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Igaratá	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Igaratinga	2000	80.7%	84.5%	76.7%
Brazil	Igaratinga	2017	81.3%	85.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Igrapiúna	2000	71.7%	76.9%	66.4%
Brazil	Igrapiúna	2017	72.5%	77.5%	67.2%
Brazil	Igreja Nova	2000	65.4%	68.2%	62.8%
Brazil	Igreja Nova	2017	66.3%	69.1%	63.8%
Brazil	Igrejinha	2000	81.2%	84.0%	78.2%
Brazil	Igrejinha	2017	81.8%	84.5%	78.8%
Brazil	Iguaba Grande	2000	77.7%	81.0%	73.5%
Brazil	Iguaba Grande	2017	78.6%	81.8%	74.5%
Brazil	Iguaí	2000	79.6%	83.8%	74.4%
Brazil	Iguaí	2017	80.2%	84.3%	75.1%
Brazil	Iguape	2000	88.8%	92.1%	85.0%
Brazil	Iguape	2017	89.2%	92.4%	85.5%
Brazil	Iguaraci	2000	66.4%	70.4%	62.2%
Brazil	Iguaraci	2017	67.3%	71.2%	63.1%
Brazil	Iguaraçu	2000	85.5%	88.2%	82.9%
Brazil	Iguaraçu	2017	86.0%	88.6%	83.4%
Brazil	Iguatama	2000	81.8%	86.3%	76.7%
Brazil	Iguatama	2017	82.4%	86.8%	77.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Iguatemi	2000	82.6%	86.5%	78.1%
Brazil	Iguatemi	2017	83.2%	87.0%	78.9%
Brazil	Iguatu	2000	83.9%	87.7%	79.8%
Brazil	Iguatu	2000	69.7%	73.6%	65.9%
Brazil	Iguatu	2017	84.5%	88.2%	80.5%
Brazil	Iguatu	2017	70.6%	74.4%	66.8%
Brazil	Ijaci	2000	81.0%	84.8%	76.4%
Brazil	Ijaci	2017	81.6%	85.3%	77.1%
Brazil	Ijuí	2000	83.4%	86.9%	79.1%
Brazil	Ijuí	2017	83.9%	87.4%	79.7%
Brazil	Ilha das Flores	2000	66.7%	70.2%	63.2%
Brazil	Ilha das Flores	2017	67.6%	71.0%	64.1%
Brazil	Ilha Grande	2000	61.3%	66.2%	56.0%
Brazil	Ilha Grande	2017	62.2%	67.1%	56.9%
Brazil	Ilha Solteira	2000	85.4%	88.8%	80.9%
Brazil	Ilha Solteira	2017	86.4%	89.6%	82.2%
Brazil	Ilhabela	2000	90.4%	93.0%	87.5%
Brazil	Ilhabela	2017	90.8%	93.3%	88.0%
Brazil	Ilhéus	2000	74.6%	79.0%	69.2%
Brazil	Ilhéus	2017	75.3%	79.6%	70.1%
Brazil	Ilhota	2000	73.2%	76.4%	69.4%
Brazil	Ilhota	2017	74.0%	77.2%	70.3%
Brazil	Ilicínea	2000	83.0%	86.8%	78.1%
Brazil	Ilicínea	2017	83.5%	87.2%	78.7%
Brazil	Ilópolis	2000	83.4%	86.9%	79.7%
Brazil	Ilópolis	2017	84.0%	87.4%	80.3%
Brazil	Imaculada	2000	69.5%	73.7%	65.3%
Brazil	Imaculada	2017	70.3%	74.5%	66.2%
Brazil	Imaruí	2000	71.0%	76.2%	65.1%
Brazil	Imaruí	2017	71.8%	76.9%	66.0%
Brazil	Imbaú	2000	84.2%	87.9%	80.4%
Brazil	Imbaú	2017	84.7%	88.3%	81.0%
Brazil	Imbé	2000	78.7%	83.0%	73.6%
Brazil	Imbé	2017	79.4%	83.6%	74.3%
Brazil	Imbé de Minas	2000	81.3%	85.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Imbé de Minas	2017	82.0%	85.5%	77.7%
Brazil	Imbituba	2000	71.7%	76.8%	65.3%
Brazil	Imbituba	2017	72.5%	77.5%	66.2%
Brazil	Imbituva	2000	82.6%	86.2%	78.4%
Brazil	Imbituva	2017	83.2%	86.7%	79.0%
Brazil	Imbuia	2000	76.6%	80.3%	71.9%
Brazil	Imbuia	2017	77.3%	80.9%	72.7%
Brazil	Imigrante	2000	81.2%	84.2%	78.2%
Brazil	Imigrante	2017	81.7%	84.7%	78.8%
Brazil	Imperatriz	2000	66.1%	70.4%	61.7%
Brazil	Imperatriz	2017	67.0%	71.2%	62.6%
Brazil	Inácio Martins	2000	83.0%	86.5%	78.9%
Brazil	Inácio Martins	2017	83.5%	87.0%	79.6%
Brazil	Inaciolândia	2000	79.4%	83.8%	74.2%
Brazil	Inaciolândia	2017	80.0%	84.3%	74.9%
Brazil	Inajá	2000	85.5%	88.6%	82.1%
Brazil	Inajá	2000	64.4%	68.6%	60.0%
Brazil	Inajá	2017	86.0%	89.0%	82.6%
Brazil	Inajá	2017	65.2%	69.4%	60.8%
Brazil	Inconfidentes	2000	89.5%	91.6%	87.0%
Brazil	Inconfidentes	2017	89.9%	91.9%	87.5%
Brazil	Indaiabira	2000	81.4%	86.3%	75.5%
Brazil	Indaiabira	2017	82.0%	86.7%	76.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Indaial	2000	71.8%	75.5%	68.7%
Brazil	Indaial	2017	72.6%	76.2%	69.5%
Brazil	Indaiatuba	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Indaiatuba	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Independência	2000	71.2%	75.4%	67.0%
Brazil	Independência	2000	82.2%	86.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Independência	2017	71.0%	75.2%	66.8%
Brazil	Independência	2017	82.8%	86.9%	77.9%
Brazil	Indiana	2000	89.5%	92.3%	86.5%
Brazil	Indiana	2017	89.9%	92.5%	87.0%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2000	82.2%	86.3%	77.5%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2000	83.2%	86.2%	79.6%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2017	82.8%	86.8%	78.2%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2017	83.8%	86.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Indiaporã	2000	87.7%	91.1%	84.3%
Brazil	Indiaporã	2017	88.1%	91.4%	84.8%
Brazil	Indiara	2000	76.1%	80.1%	71.5%
Brazil	Indiara	2017	76.8%	80.8%	72.3%
Brazil	Indiaroba	2000	75.7%	79.3%	71.8%
Brazil	Indiaroba	2017	76.4%	79.9%	72.6%
Brazil	Indiavaí	2000	67.6%	73.8%	60.9%
Brazil	Indiavaí	2017	68.4%	74.5%	61.7%
Brazil	Ingá	2000	67.2%	70.2%	64.2%
Brazil	Ingá	2017	68.0%	71.0%	65.1%
Brazil	Ingáí	2000	81.6%	85.0%	76.8%
Brazil	Ingáí	2017	82.2%	85.4%	77.5%
Brazil	Ingazeira	2000	67.4%	71.2%	63.7%
Brazil	Ingazeira	2017	68.2%	72.0%	64.6%
Brazil	Inhacor	2000	81.8%	86.1%	76.9%
Brazil	Inhacor	2017	82.4%	86.5%	77.7%
Brazil	Inhambupe	2000	78.4%	81.9%	74.6%
Brazil	Inhambupe	2017	79.1%	82.6%	75.3%
Brazil	Inhangapi	2000	36.7%	40.1%	33.1%
Brazil	Inhangapi	2017	37.6%	41.0%	33.9%
Brazil	Inhapi	2000	63.9%	67.0%	60.1%
Brazil	Inhapi	2017	64.8%	67.9%	61.1%
Brazil	Inhapim	2000	81.2%	85.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Inhapim	2017	82.5%	86.1%	78.5%
Brazil	Inhaúma	2000	80.3%	84.0%	75.7%
Brazil	Inhaúma	2017	80.9%	84.6%	76.4%
Brazil	Inhuma	2000	62.3%	67.3%	57.5%
Brazil	Inhuma	2017	63.2%	68.2%	58.5%
Brazil	Inhumas	2000	78.1%	80.8%	75.3%
Brazil	Inhumas	2017	78.8%	81.4%	76.0%
Brazil	Inimutaba	2000	81.0%	85.8%	74.7%
Brazil	Inimutaba	2017	81.6%	86.3%	75.5%
Brazil	Inocência	2000	81.0%	85.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Inocência	2017	81.5%	85.7%	76.0%
Brazil	Inúbia	2000	90.9%	93.3%	87.8%
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Inúbia Paulista	2017	91.2%	93.5%	88.2%
Brazil	Iomerê	2000	76.6%	80.9%	72.6%
Brazil	Iomerê	2017	77.3%	81.5%	73.3%
Brazil	Ipaba	2000	80.0%	84.2%	75.4%
Brazil	Ipaba	2017	80.6%	84.7%	76.1%
Brazil	Ipameri	2000	79.8%	84.0%	74.6%
Brazil	Ipameri	2017	80.5%	84.6%	75.3%
Brazil	Ipanema	2000	78.8%	82.7%	74.0%
Brazil	Ipanema	2017	79.4%	83.3%	74.7%
Brazil	Ipanguaçu	2000	82.0%	84.9%	78.8%
Brazil	Ipanguaçu	2017	82.5%	85.4%	79.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ipaporanga	2000	67.1%	72.4%	62.1%
Brazil	Ipaporanga	2017	68.0%	73.2%	63.0%
Brazil	Ipatinga	2000	80.3%	84.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Ipatinga	2017	81.4%	84.9%	77.3%
Brazil	Ipaucu	2000	91.4%	93.5%	88.4%
Brazil	Ipaucu	2017	91.7%	93.8%	88.8%
Brazil	Ipaumirim	2000	70.3%	74.2%	66.7%
Brazil	Ipaumirim	2017	71.1%	75.0%	67.6%
Brazil	Ipê	2000	80.4%	83.7%	76.6%
Brazil	Ipê	2017	81.0%	84.3%	77.3%
Brazil	Ipecaetá	2000	77.5%	81.2%	73.5%
Brazil	Ipecaetá	2017	78.2%	81.8%	74.3%
Brazil	Iperó	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Iperó	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Ipeúna	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Ipeúna	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Ipiaçu	2000	80.5%	85.4%	75.8%
Brazil	Ipiaçu	2017	81.1%	85.8%	76.5%
Brazil	Ipiaú	2000	76.3%	80.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Ipiaú	2017	77.0%	81.4%	72.2%
Brazil	Ipiguá	2000	91.6%	93.7%	89.2%
Brazil	Ipiguá	2017	91.9%	93.9%	89.6%
Brazil	Ipirá	2000	78.6%	82.8%	74.4%
Brazil	Ipirá	2000	76.2%	80.0%	71.0%
Brazil	Ipirá	2017	79.3%	83.4%	75.2%
Brazil	Ipirá	2017	76.9%	80.6%	71.8%
Brazil	Ipiranga	2000	83.0%	86.8%	79.2%
Brazil	Ipiranga	2017	83.5%	87.2%	79.9%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Piauí	2000	62.4%	67.2%	57.5%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Piauí	2017	63.3%	68.0%	58.4%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Sul	2000	80.0%	83.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Sul	2017	80.6%	84.1%	76.6%
Brazil	Ipixuna	2000	53.9%	60.6%	47.7%
Brazil	Ipixuna	2017	54.8%	61.5%	48.6%
Brazil	Ipixuna do Pará	2000	39.0%	43.9%	34.3%
Brazil	Ipixuna do Pará	2017	40.0%	44.8%	35.2%
Brazil	Ipojuca	2000	60.9%	64.4%	57.3%
Brazil	Ipojuca	2017	61.8%	65.3%	58.2%
Brazil	Iporá	2000	83.4%	86.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Iporá	2000	75.9%	81.2%	70.6%
Brazil	Iporá	2017	83.9%	87.1%	79.9%
Brazil	Iporá	2017	76.6%	81.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Iporã	2000	82.8%	86.1%	78.9%
Brazil	Iporã	2017	83.4%	86.5%	79.5%
Brazil	Iporã do Oeste	2000	79.7%	83.6%	75.4%
Brazil	Iporã do Oeste	2017	80.3%	84.1%	76.1%
Brazil	Iporanga	2000	87.7%	90.7%	84.6%
Brazil	Iporanga	2017	88.1%	91.0%	85.1%
Brazil	Ipú	2000	66.5%	71.4%	62.3%
Brazil	Ipú	2017	67.3%	72.2%	63.3%
Brazil	Ipuã	2000	89.5%	92.3%	86.5%
Brazil	Ipuã	2017	89.9%	92.5%	86.9%
Brazil	Ipuaçu	2000	76.9%	81.3%	72.5%
Brazil	Ipuaçu	2017	77.6%	81.9%	73.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ipubi	2000	66.1%	70.8%	61.6%
Brazil	Ipubi	2017	66.9%	71.6%	62.5%
Brazil	Ipueira	2000	72.3%	76.4%	67.8%
Brazil	Ipueira	2017	73.0%	77.1%	68.7%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2000	74.9%	79.5%	70.0%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2000	67.3%	72.3%	62.8%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2017	68.1%	73.1%	63.7%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2017	75.7%	80.2%	70.8%
Brazil	Ipuiúna	2000	89.7%	91.7%	86.6%
Brazil	Ipuiúna	2017	90.8%	92.7%	88.0%
Brazil	Ipumirim	2000	76.3%	79.8%	71.2%
Brazil	Ipumirim	2017	77.0%	80.4%	72.0%
Brazil	Ipupiara	2000	75.8%	82.2%	68.1%
Brazil	Ipupiara	2017	76.5%	82.7%	68.9%
Brazil	Iracema	2000	76.1%	80.2%	71.6%
Brazil	Iracema	2000	86.1%	88.4%	83.0%
Brazil	Iracema	2017	87.3%	89.4%	84.4%
Brazil	Iracema	2017	76.7%	80.7%	72.4%
Brazil	Iracema do Oeste	2000	84.2%	88.0%	80.2%
Brazil	Iracema do Oeste	2017	84.8%	88.4%	80.9%
Brazil	Iracemápolis	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Iracemápolis	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Iraceminha	2000	77.9%	82.3%	73.4%
Brazil	Iraceminha	2017	78.6%	82.8%	74.2%
Brazil	Iraí	2000	76.7%	80.8%	71.8%
Brazil	Iraí	2017	77.3%	81.4%	72.5%
Brazil	Iraí de Minas	2000	81.6%	85.9%	76.2%
Brazil	Iraí de Minas	2017	82.2%	86.3%	76.9%
Brazil	Irajuba	2000	81.6%	85.6%	75.9%
Brazil	Irajuba	2017	82.2%	86.1%	76.6%
Brazil	Iramaia	2000	76.7%	81.5%	70.9%
Brazil	Iramaia	2017	77.3%	82.1%	71.7%
Brazil	Iranduba	2000	56.5%	59.0%	54.0%
Brazil	Iranduba	2017	57.4%	59.9%	55.0%
Brazil	Irani	2000	78.1%	81.8%	74.0%
Brazil	Irani	2017	78.7%	82.3%	74.7%
Brazil	Irapuã	2000	93.0%	94.7%	90.9%
Brazil	Irapuã	2017	93.3%	94.9%	91.2%
Brazil	Irapuru	2000	89.3%	91.6%	86.1%
Brazil	Irapuru	2017	89.7%	91.9%	86.6%
Brazil	Iraquara	2000	78.3%	83.4%	72.4%
Brazil	Iraquara	2017	79.0%	83.9%	73.1%
Brazil	Irará	2000	78.3%	81.7%	74.6%
Brazil	Irará	2017	78.9%	82.2%	75.4%
Brazil	Irati	2000	77.9%	82.0%	74.0%
Brazil	Irati	2000	83.1%	86.4%	79.3%
Brazil	Irati	2017	78.5%	82.5%	74.7%
Brazil	Irati	2017	83.6%	86.9%	80.0%
Brazil	Irauçuba	2000	71.7%	75.3%	67.1%
Brazil	Irauçuba	2017	73.1%	76.6%	68.6%
Brazil	Irecê	2000	78.1%	83.8%	72.4%
Brazil	Irecê	2017	78.8%	84.3%	73.2%
Brazil	Iretama	2000	84.6%	87.8%	80.9%
Brazil	Iretama	2017	85.1%	88.2%	81.5%
Brazil	Irineópolis	2000	78.1%	81.9%	73.5%
Brazil	Irineópolis	2017	78.8%	82.4%	74.3%
Brazil	Irituia	2000	38.0%	42.8%	34.2%
Brazil	Irituia	2017	39.2%	44.0%	35.4%
Brazil	Irupi	2000	81.0%	84.4%	77.7%
Brazil	Irupi	2017	82.4%	85.5%	79.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Isaiás Coelho	2000	59.4%	65.1%	53.3%
Brazil	Isaiás Coelho	2017	60.3%	66.0%	54.3%
Brazil	Israelândia	2000	74.5%	79.3%	68.5%
Brazil	Israelândia	2017	75.3%	79.9%	69.3%
Brazil	Itá	2000	76.3%	80.0%	72.2%
Brazil	Itá	2017	77.0%	80.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Itaara	2000	84.1%	87.6%	79.9%
Brazil	Itaara	2017	84.7%	88.0%	80.5%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2000	77.8%	79.8%	75.6%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2000	67.2%	70.2%	64.4%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2017	68.5%	71.5%	65.8%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2017	78.4%	80.4%	76.4%
Brazil	Itabaianinha	2000	78.2%	81.1%	75.4%
Brazil	Itabaianinha	2017	78.9%	81.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Itabela	2000	77.2%	82.2%	71.2%
Brazil	Itabela	2017	77.9%	82.8%	72.0%
Brazil	Itaberá	2000	91.0%	93.4%	88.0%
Brazil	Itaberá	2017	91.3%	93.7%	88.4%
Brazil	Itaberaba	2000	77.1%	82.1%	71.1%
Brazil	Itaberaba	2017	77.8%	82.7%	71.9%
Brazil	Itaberaí	2000	76.3%	80.0%	72.5%
Brazil	Itaberaí	2017	77.0%	80.6%	73.2%
Brazil	Itabi	2000	69.6%	72.7%	66.0%
Brazil	Itabi	2017	70.5%	73.5%	66.8%
Brazil	Itabira	2000	83.2%	86.2%	79.8%
Brazil	Itabira	2017	83.8%	86.6%	80.4%
Brazil	Itabirinha de Mantena	2000	80.8%	83.9%	77.3%
Brazil	Itabirinha de Mantena	2017	81.4%	84.5%	77.9%
Brazil	Itabirito	2000	81.5%	84.3%	78.8%
Brazil	Itabirito	2017	82.1%	84.8%	79.3%
Brazil	Itaboraí	2000	78.1%	80.2%	75.6%
Brazil	Itaboraí	2017	78.9%	81.0%	76.5%
Brazil	Itabuna	2000	76.9%	81.1%	71.7%
Brazil	Itabuna	2017	77.6%	81.7%	72.5%
Brazil	Itacajá	2000	76.2%	80.9%	70.9%
Brazil	Itacajá	2017	76.9%	81.5%	71.7%
Brazil	Itacarambi	2000	80.7%	84.2%	77.5%
Brazil	Itacarambi	2017	81.3%	84.7%	78.1%
Brazil	Itacarambira	2000	81.1%	86.7%	74.8%
Brazil	Itacarambira	2017	81.7%	87.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Itacaré	2000	72.7%	78.1%	67.1%
Brazil	Itacaré	2017	73.3%	78.5%	67.9%
Brazil	Itacoatiara	2000	59.5%	64.0%	54.4%
Brazil	Itacoatiara	2017	60.4%	64.9%	55.4%
Brazil	Itacuruba	2000	65.3%	71.1%	58.8%
Brazil	Itacuruba	2017	66.1%	71.8%	59.7%
Brazil	Itacurubi	2000	81.3%	86.6%	75.5%
Brazil	Itacurubi	2017	81.9%	87.1%	76.2%
Brazil	Itaeté	2000	75.7%	81.1%	68.9%
Brazil	Itaeté	2017	76.4%	81.7%	69.8%
Brazil	Itagi	2000	79.6%	84.0%	75.6%
Brazil	Itagi	2017	80.2%	84.4%	76.3%
Brazil	Itagibá	2000	77.1%	81.9%	72.6%
Brazil	Itagibá	2017	77.8%	82.4%	73.3%
Brazil	Itagimirim	2000	79.9%	84.6%	74.1%
Brazil	Itagimirim	2017	80.5%	85.2%	74.8%
Brazil	Itaguaçu	2000	79.0%	82.2%	75.5%
Brazil	Itaguaçu	2017	79.6%	82.8%	76.2%
Brazil	Itaguaçu da Bahia	2000	73.2%	79.6%	64.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itaguaçu da Bahia	2017	73.9%	80.2%	65.5%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2000	77.0%	79.4%	74.6%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2017	78.0%	80.3%	75.8%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2000	85.1%	88.3%	81.3%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2017	85.6%	88.7%	81.9%
Brazil	Itaguara	2000	83.6%	87.8%	78.4%
Brazil	Itaguara	2017	84.0%	88.2%	79.0%
Brazil	Itaguari	2000	76.8%	80.9%	72.5%
Brazil	Itaguari	2017	77.5%	81.5%	73.3%
Brazil	Itaguaru	2000	77.2%	81.4%	72.7%
Brazil	Itaguaru	2017	77.9%	82.0%	73.4%
Brazil	Itaguatins	2000	67.6%	71.6%	63.2%
Brazil	Itaguatins	2017	68.4%	72.4%	64.1%
Brazil	Itaí	2000	93.0%	94.9%	90.5%
Brazil	Itaí	2017	93.2%	95.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Itaíba	2000	62.6%	66.4%	58.6%
Brazil	Itaíba	2017	63.5%	67.3%	59.5%
Brazil	Itaíçaba	2000	73.4%	77.3%	69.2%
Brazil	Itaíçaba	2017	74.2%	78.0%	70.1%
Brazil	Itainópolis	2000	59.7%	64.5%	54.5%
Brazil	Itainópolis	2017	60.6%	65.5%	55.5%
Brazil	Itaiópolis	2000	78.5%	82.1%	75.0%
Brazil	Itaiópolis	2017	79.2%	82.7%	75.8%
Brazil	Itaipava do Grajaú	2000	60.3%	65.9%	55.1%
Brazil	Itaipava do Grajaú	2017	61.2%	66.8%	56.0%
Brazil	Itaipé	2000	82.2%	85.8%	78.2%
Brazil	Itaipé	2017	82.8%	86.3%	78.8%
Brazil	Itaipulândia	2000	82.2%	86.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Itaipulândia	2017	82.8%	87.0%	78.9%
Brazil	Itaitinga	2000	69.0%	71.1%	66.6%
Brazil	Itaitinga	2017	69.9%	71.9%	67.5%
Brazil	Itaituba	2000	46.0%	54.8%	38.3%
Brazil	Itaituba	2017	46.9%	55.8%	39.2%
Brazil	Itajá	2000	79.9%	84.7%	74.3%
Brazil	Itajá	2000	81.6%	84.6%	78.3%
Brazil	Itajá	2017	82.2%	85.1%	78.9%
Brazil	Itajá	2017	80.6%	85.2%	75.1%
Brazil	Itajaí	2000	74.5%	78.1%	70.7%
Brazil	Itajaí	2017	75.2%	78.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Itajobi	2000	93.0%	94.9%	90.6%
Brazil	Itajobi	2017	93.3%	95.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Itaju	2000	93.4%	95.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Itaju	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Itaju do Colônia	2000	79.0%	83.0%	73.3%
Brazil	Itaju do Colônia	2017	79.7%	83.6%	74.2%
Brazil	Itajubá	2000	88.1%	90.4%	85.7%
Brazil	Itajubá	2017	88.5%	90.7%	86.2%
Brazil	Itajuípe	2000	75.8%	79.8%	70.7%
Brazil	Itajuípe	2017	76.4%	80.4%	71.3%
Brazil	Italva	2000	77.4%	81.1%	73.4%
Brazil	Italva	2017	78.1%	81.7%	74.2%
Brazil	Itamaraju	2000	77.6%	83.2%	71.6%
Brazil	Itamaraju	2017	78.9%	84.2%	73.1%
Brazil	Itamarandiba	2000	86.0%	88.8%	82.0%
Brazil	Itamarandiba	2017	86.4%	89.2%	82.5%
Brazil	Itamarati	2000	58.0%	67.1%	48.1%
Brazil	Itamarati	2017	59.0%	68.0%	49.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itamarati de Minas	2000	83.6%	87.7%	78.3%
Brazil	Itamarati de Minas	2017	84.2%	88.1%	79.0%
Brazil	Itamari	2000	76.9%	81.3%	71.9%
Brazil	Itamari	2017	77.6%	81.9%	72.7%
Brazil	Itambacuri	2000	79.9%	82.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Itambacuri	2017	80.4%	83.1%	77.3%
Brazil	Itambaraca	2000	88.9%	91.1%	86.2%
Brazil	Itambaraca	2017	89.3%	91.4%	86.7%
Brazil	Itambaracá	2000	63.4%	65.9%	60.3%
Brazil	Itambaracá	2017	64.3%	66.7%	61.2%
Brazil	Itambé	2000	78.8%	83.8%	73.0%
Brazil	Itambé	2000	83.3%	86.4%	79.7%
Brazil	Itambé	2017	79.5%	84.3%	73.8%
Brazil	Itambé	2017	83.8%	86.9%	80.3%
Brazil	Itambé do Mato Dentro	2000	81.9%	86.9%	76.7%
Brazil	Itambé do Mato Dentro	2017	82.4%	87.4%	77.4%
Brazil	Itamogi	2000	80.5%	84.3%	76.4%
Brazil	Itamogi	2017	81.1%	84.8%	77.2%
Brazil	Itamonte	2000	89.0%	91.8%	85.5%
Brazil	Itamonte	2017	89.1%	91.9%	85.6%
Brazil	Itanagra	2000	74.5%	79.2%	69.8%
Brazil	Itanagra	2017	75.2%	79.9%	70.6%
Brazil	Itanhaém	2000	90.7%	92.7%	88.4%
Brazil	Itanhaém	2017	91.0%	93.0%	88.8%
Brazil	Itanhandu	2000	86.8%	89.1%	83.9%
Brazil	Itanhandu	2017	87.2%	89.5%	84.4%
Brazil	Itanhém	2000	80.6%	84.9%	74.8%
Brazil	Itanhém	2017	81.2%	85.4%	75.6%
Brazil	Itanhomi	2000	83.6%	85.9%	81.0%
Brazil	Itanhomi	2017	84.3%	86.5%	81.7%
Brazil	Itaobim	2000	79.1%	83.3%	74.8%
Brazil	Itaobim	2017	79.8%	83.8%	75.5%
Brazil	Itaóca	2000	87.4%	90.5%	84.0%
Brazil	Itaóca	2017	87.8%	90.9%	84.6%
Brazil	Itaocara	2000	77.8%	81.4%	74.0%
Brazil	Itaocara	2017	78.5%	82.0%	74.7%
Brazil	Itapaci	2000	77.9%	82.4%	72.8%
Brazil	Itapaci	2017	78.5%	83.0%	73.6%
Brazil	Itapagipe	2000	80.6%	85.3%	73.3%
Brazil	Itapagipe	2017	81.2%	85.8%	74.1%
Brazil	Itapajé	2000	73.2%	76.6%	69.4%
Brazil	Itapajé	2017	73.9%	77.3%	70.2%
Brazil	Itaparica	2000	71.9%	75.3%	68.6%
Brazil	Itaparica	2017	72.7%	76.0%	69.5%
Brazil	Itapé	2000	76.1%	80.2%	70.8%
Brazil	Itapé	2017	76.8%	80.8%	71.6%
Brazil	Itapebi	2000	79.0%	84.2%	73.3%
Brazil	Itapebi	2017	79.7%	84.7%	74.1%
Brazil	Itapecerica	2000	83.5%	86.2%	80.6%
Brazil	Itapecerica	2017	84.4%	86.9%	81.8%
Brazil	Itapecerica da Serra	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Itapecerica da Serra	2017	94.8%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Itapecuru Mirim	2000	62.4%	66.9%	58.2%
Brazil	Itapecuru Mirim	2017	63.3%	67.8%	59.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itapejara d'Oeste	2000	82.4%	86.1%	78.1%
Brazil	Itapejara d'Oeste	2017	83.0%	86.6%	78.8%
Brazil	Itapema	2000	72.9%	77.2%	67.8%
Brazil	Itapema	2017	75.0%	79.1%	70.1%
Brazil	Itapemirim	2000	75.1%	78.5%	71.2%
Brazil	Itapemirim	2017	75.8%	79.1%	72.0%
Brazil	Itaperuçu	2000	85.9%	88.4%	83.3%
Brazil	Itaperuçu	2017	86.4%	88.8%	83.9%
Brazil	Itaperuna	2000	76.8%	80.8%	73.0%
Brazil	Itaperuna	2017	77.5%	81.4%	73.7%
Brazil	Itapetim	2000	71.0%	75.7%	66.9%
Brazil	Itapetim	2017	71.9%	76.4%	67.8%
Brazil	Itapetinga	2000	80.5%	84.7%	74.9%
Brazil	Itapetinga	2017	81.1%	85.2%	75.7%
Brazil	Itapetininga	2000	93.9%	95.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Itapetininga	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Itapeva	2000	92.2%	94.3%	89.6%
Brazil	Itapeva	2000	81.3%	85.3%	76.3%
Brazil	Itapeva	2017	92.5%	94.5%	90.0%
Brazil	Itapeva	2017	81.8%	85.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Itapevi	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Itapevi	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Itapicuru	2000	78.7%	81.8%	75.1%
Brazil	Itapicuru	2017	79.3%	82.4%	75.8%
Brazil	Itapipoca	2000	69.8%	73.7%	66.1%
Brazil	Itapipoca	2017	70.6%	74.4%	66.9%
Brazil	Itapira	2000	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Itapira	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Itapiranga	2000	70.3%	74.2%	66.0%
Brazil	Itapiranga	2017	70.8%	74.7%	66.6%
Brazil	Itapirapuã	2000	74.1%	79.1%	68.3%
Brazil	Itapirapuã	2017	74.8%	79.7%	69.1%
Brazil	Itapirapuã Paulista	2000	87.6%	90.7%	84.0%
Brazil	Itapirapuã Paulista	2017	88.0%	91.0%	84.5%
Brazil	Itapiratins	2000	73.6%	78.4%	69.0%
Brazil	Itapiratins	2017	74.4%	79.2%	69.8%
Brazil	Itapissuma	2000	63.6%	66.3%	60.9%
Brazil	Itapissuma	2017	64.5%	67.2%	61.8%
Brazil	Itapitanga	2000	78.9%	83.3%	74.5%
Brazil	Itapitanga	2017	79.6%	83.9%	75.3%
Brazil	Itapiúna	2000	69.9%	74.1%	66.2%
Brazil	Itapiúna	2017	70.7%	74.9%	67.1%
Brazil	Itapoá	2000	73.9%	78.0%	69.1%
Brazil	Itapoá	2017	75.1%	79.0%	70.4%
Brazil	Itápolis	2000	93.8%	95.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Itápolis	2017	94.0%	95.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Itaporã	2000	80.9%	83.7%	78.0%
Brazil	Itaporã	2017	81.4%	84.2%	78.6%
Brazil	Itaporã do Tocantins	2000	73.0%	77.4%	68.3%
Brazil	Itaporã do Tocantins	2017	73.7%	78.0%	69.1%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2000	90.4%	92.6%	87.4%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2000	69.4%	73.0%	65.0%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2017	90.7%	92.9%	87.8%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2017	70.3%	73.8%	65.9%
Brazil	Itaporanga da-Juda	2000	76.5%	78.3%	74.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itaporanga da-Juda	2017	77.2%	78.9%	75.3%
Brazil	Itapororoca	2000	70.9%	73.6%	67.8%
Brazil	Itapororoca	2017	71.7%	74.4%	68.6%
Brazil	Itapuã do Oeste	2000	27.0%	31.4%	23.0%
Brazil	Itapuã do Oeste	2017	27.8%	32.3%	23.8%
Brazil	Itapuca	2000	82.3%	85.7%	78.6%
Brazil	Itapuca	2017	82.9%	86.2%	79.2%
Brazil	Itapuí	2000	93.2%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Itapuí	2017	93.5%	95.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Itapura	2000	84.7%	87.7%	80.6%
Brazil	Itapura	2017	85.2%	88.1%	81.2%
Brazil	Itapuranga	2000	76.0%	80.4%	71.6%
Brazil	Itapuranga	2017	76.7%	81.0%	72.4%
Brazil	Itaquaquecetuba	2000	94.5%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Itaquaquecetuba	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Itaquara	2000	82.3%	86.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Itaquara	2017	82.8%	86.4%	78.0%
Brazil	Itaqui	2000	85.5%	90.3%	79.2%
Brazil	Itaqui	2017	86.0%	90.7%	79.9%
Brazil	Itaquiraí	2000	81.9%	85.5%	77.8%
Brazil	Itaquiraí	2017	82.4%	86.0%	78.4%
Brazil	Itaquitinga	2000	67.1%	70.1%	64.5%
Brazil	Itaquitinga	2017	68.0%	71.0%	65.4%
Brazil	Itarana	2000	79.9%	82.8%	76.8%
Brazil	Itarana	2017	80.5%	83.4%	77.6%
Brazil	Itarantim	2000	81.0%	85.4%	75.7%
Brazil	Itarantim	2017	81.6%	85.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Itararé	2000	89.9%	92.8%	86.7%
Brazil	Itararé	2017	90.2%	93.0%	87.2%
Brazil	Itariri	2000	90.7%	93.0%	87.8%
Brazil	Itariri	2017	91.1%	93.4%	88.4%
Brazil	Itaruma	2000	79.4%	84.1%	73.7%
Brazil	Itaruma	2017	80.0%	84.6%	74.4%
Brazil	Itarumã	2000	67.0%	72.6%	61.4%
Brazil	Itarumã	2017	67.9%	73.4%	62.4%
Brazil	Itatiaia	2000	83.0%	86.1%	79.7%
Brazil	Itatiaia	2017	83.5%	86.5%	80.3%
Brazil	Itatiaiuçu	2000	87.3%	89.1%	85.4%
Brazil	Itatiaiuçu	2017	87.8%	89.5%	85.9%
Brazil	Itatiba	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Itatiba	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Itatiba do Sul	2000	76.8%	80.5%	72.8%
Brazil	Itatiba do Sul	2017	77.4%	81.0%	73.4%
Brazil	Itatim	2000	80.3%	84.3%	75.7%
Brazil	Itatim	2017	80.8%	84.8%	76.4%
Brazil	Itatinga	2000	93.2%	95.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Itatinga	2017	93.5%	95.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Itatira	2000	71.8%	76.4%	66.5%
Brazil	Itatira	2017	72.6%	77.1%	67.4%
Brazil	Itatuba	2000	65.2%	68.5%	62.3%
Brazil	Itatuba	2017	66.1%	69.3%	63.2%
Brazil	Itaú	2000	77.3%	80.8%	73.5%
Brazil	Itaú	2017	77.9%	81.4%	74.1%
Brazil	Itaú de Minas	2000	81.2%	84.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Itaú de Minas	2017	81.8%	85.1%	78.1%
Brazil	Itaúba	2000	68.5%	74.1%	62.2%
Brazil	Itaúba	2017	69.4%	74.7%	62.5%
Brazil	Itaubal	2000	46.7%	50.6%	41.7%
Brazil	Itaubal	2017	47.6%	51.6%	42.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itaçu	2000	77.3%	80.5%	73.9%
Brazil	Itaçu	2017	78.0%	81.1%	74.6%
Brazil	Itaueira	2000	61.7%	67.3%	55.1%
Brazil	Itaueira	2017	62.6%	68.2%	56.1%
Brazil	Itaúna	2000	81.3%	84.8%	77.1%
Brazil	Itaúna	2017	81.9%	85.3%	77.8%
Brazil	Itaúna do Sul	2000	84.3%	88.0%	80.3%
Brazil	Itaúna do Sul	2017	84.8%	88.4%	80.9%
Brazil	Itaverava	2000	82.0%	85.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Itaverava	2017	82.6%	86.1%	78.9%
Brazil	Itinga	2000	81.1%	85.8%	74.0%
Brazil	Itinga	2017	81.7%	86.3%	74.7%
Brazil	Itinga do Maranhão	2000	54.6%	60.3%	48.0%
Brazil	Itinga do Maranhão	2017	55.4%	61.1%	48.8%
Brazil	Itiquira	2000	75.3%	80.1%	69.7%
Brazil	Itiquira	2017	76.1%	80.9%	70.5%
Brazil	Itirapina	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Itirapina	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Itirapuã	2000	88.1%	91.3%	83.7%
Brazil	Itirapuã	2017	88.5%	91.6%	84.2%
Brazil	Itiruçu	2000	81.6%	85.7%	76.0%
Brazil	Itiruçu	2017	82.1%	86.2%	76.7%
Brazil	Itiúba	2000	75.5%	81.1%	68.3%
Brazil	Itiúba	2017	76.2%	81.7%	69.2%
Brazil	Itobi	2000	91.9%	93.7%	89.6%
Brazil	Itobi	2017	92.2%	94.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Itororó	2000	79.4%	83.1%	73.7%
Brazil	Itororó	2017	80.0%	83.6%	74.4%
Brazil	Itu	2000	93.5%	94.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Itu	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Ituaçu	2000	77.6%	82.2%	72.4%
Brazil	Ituaçu	2017	78.3%	82.8%	73.2%
Brazil	Ituberá	2000	72.0%	77.1%	66.4%
Brazil	Ituberá	2017	72.7%	77.8%	67.3%
Brazil	Itueta	2000	77.0%	81.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Itueta	2017	77.7%	81.6%	73.8%
Brazil	Ituiutaba	2000	83.5%	87.7%	78.7%
Brazil	Ituiutaba	2017	84.0%	88.1%	79.4%
Brazil	Itumbiara	2000	81.4%	85.1%	77.2%
Brazil	Itumbiara	2017	81.9%	85.6%	77.8%
Brazil	Itumirim	2000	80.7%	84.6%	76.0%
Brazil	Itumirim	2017	81.3%	85.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Itupeva	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Itupeva	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Itupiranga	2000	38.7%	44.5%	32.7%
Brazil	Itupiranga	2017	39.6%	45.6%	33.5%
Brazil	Ituporanga	2000	74.9%	79.1%	70.6%
Brazil	Ituporanga	2017	75.6%	79.7%	71.4%
Brazil	Iturama	2000	87.2%	90.5%	83.3%
Brazil	Iturama	2017	87.6%	90.8%	83.9%
Brazil	Itutinga	2000	80.7%	84.7%	75.8%
Brazil	Itutinga	2017	81.3%	85.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Ituverava	2000	88.8%	91.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Ituverava	2017	89.2%	91.9%	85.8%
Brazil	Iuiú	2000	78.9%	85.0%	72.1%
Brazil	Iuiú	2017	79.6%	85.5%	72.8%
Brazil	Iúna	2000	80.4%	83.7%	77.0%
Brazil	Iúna	2017	81.0%	84.2%	77.7%
Brazil	Ivaí	2000	82.6%	86.9%	78.4%
Brazil	Ivaí	2017	83.1%	87.3%	79.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ivaiporã	2000	83.9%	87.4%	80.3%
Brazil	Ivaiporã	2017	84.4%	87.8%	80.9%
Brazil	Ivaté	2000	82.7%	85.1%	80.2%
Brazil	Ivaté	2017	83.3%	85.5%	80.8%
Brazil	Ivatuva	2000	83.2%	86.3%	79.4%
Brazil	Ivatuva	2017	83.7%	86.8%	80.0%
Brazil	Ivinhema	2000	81.5%	85.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Ivinhema	2017	82.1%	85.5%	78.3%
Brazil	Ivolândia	2000	76.3%	81.2%	71.5%
Brazil	Ivolândia	2017	77.0%	81.8%	72.3%
Brazil	Ivorá	2000	82.7%	85.9%	77.9%
Brazil	Ivorá	2017	83.3%	86.5%	78.7%
Brazil	Ivoti	2000	80.5%	83.0%	77.8%
Brazil	Ivoti	2017	81.2%	83.6%	78.6%
Brazil	Jaboatão dos Guararapes	2000	65.2%	67.1%	63.0%
Brazil	Jaboatão dos Guararapes	2017	66.1%	68.0%	63.9%
Brazil	Jaborá	2000	76.5%	80.2%	71.8%
Brazil	Jaborá	2017	77.3%	80.9%	72.6%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2000	78.6%	84.8%	70.6%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2000	91.5%	93.9%	88.8%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2017	79.3%	85.2%	71.5%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2017	91.8%	94.1%	89.2%
Brazil	Jaboticaba	2000	79.9%	83.8%	76.0%
Brazil	Jaboticaba	2017	80.5%	84.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Jaboticatubas	2000	80.9%	84.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Jaboticatubas	2017	81.5%	84.8%	77.8%
Brazil	Jabuti	2000	87.2%	90.1%	83.6%
Brazil	Jabuti	2017	87.6%	90.4%	84.2%
Brazil	Jabuticabal	2000	93.3%	94.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Jabuticabal	2017	93.6%	95.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Jaçanã	2000	78.5%	81.5%	74.9%
Brazil	Jaçanã	2017	79.2%	82.1%	75.6%
Brazil	Jacaraci	2000	78.4%	83.5%	72.4%
Brazil	Jacaraci	2017	79.0%	84.1%	73.2%
Brazil	Jacaraú	2000	75.6%	78.4%	73.1%
Brazil	Jacaraú	2017	76.3%	79.0%	73.8%
Brazil	Jacaré dos Homens	2000	63.3%	66.5%	60.0%
Brazil	Jacaré dos Homens	2017	64.2%	67.4%	60.9%
Brazil	Jacareacanga	2000	54.9%	63.7%	44.9%
Brazil	Jacareacanga	2017	55.7%	64.5%	45.7%
Brazil	Jacareí	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Jacareí	2017	94.0%	94.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Jacarezinho	2000	91.1%	93.2%	88.3%
Brazil	Jacarezinho	2017	91.4%	93.4%	88.7%
Brazil	Jaci	2000	92.4%	94.1%	90.2%
Brazil	Jaci	2017	92.6%	94.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Jaciara	2000	67.1%	72.3%	61.6%
Brazil	Jaciara	2017	68.0%	73.1%	62.6%
Brazil	Jacinto	2000	80.1%	85.5%	74.3%
Brazil	Jacinto	2017	80.8%	86.0%	75.1%
Brazil	Jacinto Machado	2000	78.2%	82.4%	73.6%
Brazil	Jacinto Machado	2017	78.8%	82.9%	74.4%
Brazil	Jacobina	2000	78.5%	82.7%	74.0%
Brazil	Jacobina	2017	79.2%	83.3%	74.8%
Brazil	Jacobina do Piauí	2000	61.5%	66.1%	55.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jacobina do Piauí	2017	62.4%	67.0%	56.4%
Brazil	Jacuí	2000	86.8%	90.1%	82.8%
Brazil	Jacuí	2017	87.3%	90.4%	83.4%
Brazil	Jacuípe	2000	62.1%	65.5%	58.7%
Brazil	Jacuípe	2017	63.0%	66.4%	59.6%
Brazil	Jacundá	2000	39.7%	45.9%	33.3%
Brazil	Jacundá	2017	40.6%	46.9%	34.2%
Brazil	Jacupiranga	2000	88.0%	91.3%	84.1%
Brazil	Jacupiranga	2017	88.4%	91.6%	84.6%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2000	90.1%	92.2%	87.6%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2000	79.3%	82.6%	75.7%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2017	79.9%	83.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2017	90.5%	92.4%	88.0%
Brazil	Jaguapitã	2000	86.1%	88.5%	83.2%
Brazil	Jaguapitã	2017	86.6%	88.9%	83.7%
Brazil	Jaguaquara	2000	82.1%	85.9%	77.0%
Brazil	Jaguaquara	2017	82.7%	86.4%	77.7%
Brazil	Jaguaraçu	2000	82.2%	85.9%	78.5%
Brazil	Jaguaraçu	2017	81.9%	85.6%	78.1%
Brazil	Jaguarão	2000	81.0%	87.3%	73.2%
Brazil	Jaguarão	2017	80.1%	86.6%	72.0%
Brazil	Jaguarari	2000	74.5%	79.8%	68.3%
Brazil	Jaguarari	2017	75.3%	80.5%	69.1%
Brazil	Jaguaré	2000	75.8%	79.8%	71.3%
Brazil	Jaguaré	2017	76.5%	80.4%	72.1%
Brazil	Jaguaretama	2000	70.3%	75.3%	65.7%
Brazil	Jaguaretama	2017	71.1%	76.0%	66.5%
Brazil	Jaguari	2000	82.0%	86.2%	76.8%
Brazil	Jaguari	2017	82.6%	86.6%	77.5%
Brazil	Jaguariaíva	2000	87.5%	90.1%	84.3%
Brazil	Jaguariaíva	2017	87.9%	90.5%	84.8%
Brazil	Jaguaribara	2000	71.8%	76.4%	66.9%
Brazil	Jaguaribara	2017	72.6%	77.0%	67.8%
Brazil	Jaguaribe	2000	72.4%	76.6%	67.6%
Brazil	Jaguaribe	2017	73.1%	77.3%	68.4%
Brazil	Jaguaripe	2000	74.6%	78.3%	70.8%
Brazil	Jaguaripe	2017	75.3%	79.0%	71.6%
Brazil	Jaguariúna	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Jaguariúna	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Jaguaruana	2000	74.6%	78.4%	70.6%
Brazil	Jaguaruana	2017	75.4%	79.1%	71.4%
Brazil	Jaguaruna	2000	74.4%	78.8%	69.0%
Brazil	Jaguaruna	2017	75.1%	79.5%	69.8%
Brazil	Jaíba	2000	80.4%	85.8%	74.5%
Brazil	Jaíba	2017	81.0%	86.3%	75.2%
Brazil	Jaicós	2000	61.3%	65.6%	56.7%
Brazil	Jaicós	2017	62.2%	66.5%	57.7%
Brazil	Jales	2000	89.5%	92.5%	86.5%
Brazil	Jales	2017	89.9%	92.7%	86.9%
Brazil	Jambeiro	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Jambeiro	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Jampruca	2000	80.1%	85.4%	75.3%
Brazil	Jampruca	2017	80.7%	85.9%	76.0%
Brazil	Janaúba	2000	83.2%	87.8%	77.6%
Brazil	Janaúba	2017	83.8%	88.2%	78.2%
Brazil	Jandaia	2000	76.5%	80.7%	71.9%
Brazil	Jandaia	2017	77.2%	81.3%	72.7%
Brazil	Jandaia do Sul	2000	84.6%	87.1%	81.6%
Brazil	Jandaia do Sul	2017	85.1%	87.6%	82.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jandaíra	2000	76.3%	79.9%	72.1%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2000	85.2%	88.0%	82.3%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2017	76.9%	80.5%	72.8%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2017	85.7%	88.4%	82.9%
Brazil	Jandira	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Jandira	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Janduís	2000	79.1%	82.5%	75.6%
Brazil	Janduís	2017	79.8%	83.1%	76.3%
Brazil	Jangada	2000	67.4%	72.3%	62.4%
Brazil	Jangada	2017	68.2%	73.1%	63.3%
Brazil	Janiópolis	2000	84.5%	87.7%	80.6%
Brazil	Janiópolis	2017	85.0%	88.2%	81.2%
Brazil	Januária	2000	80.6%	85.9%	73.9%
Brazil	Januária	2017	81.2%	86.3%	74.7%
Brazil	Januário Cicco	2000	81.6%	83.8%	79.6%
Brazil	Januário Cicco	2017	82.2%	84.3%	80.2%
Brazil	Japaraíba	2000	81.1%	85.5%	76.0%
Brazil	Japaraíba	2017	81.7%	85.9%	76.7%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2000	62.5%	66.6%	57.1%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2017	63.5%	67.5%	58.0%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2000	72.9%	75.3%	70.1%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2017	73.7%	76.0%	70.9%
Brazil	Japeri	2000	76.2%	78.2%	73.7%
Brazil	Japeri	2017	76.9%	78.9%	74.5%
Brazil	Japi	2000	78.0%	80.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Japi	2017	78.7%	81.5%	75.7%
Brazil	Japira	2000	86.8%	89.6%	83.2%
Brazil	Japira	2017	87.2%	89.9%	83.8%
Brazil	Japoatã	2000	71.2%	73.9%	68.0%
Brazil	Japoatã	2017	72.0%	74.6%	68.8%
Brazil	Japonvar	2000	81.9%	86.8%	76.0%
Brazil	Japonvar	2017	82.5%	87.2%	76.7%
Brazil	Japorã	2000	81.5%	85.7%	76.7%
Brazil	Japorã	2017	82.1%	86.2%	77.4%
Brazil	Japurá	2000	84.8%	87.6%	81.4%
Brazil	Japurá	2000	54.7%	62.6%	46.3%
Brazil	Japurá	2017	85.3%	88.0%	82.0%
Brazil	Japurá	2017	55.7%	63.5%	47.3%
Brazil	Jaqueira	2000	64.6%	68.1%	61.4%
Brazil	Jaqueira	2017	65.4%	68.9%	62.2%
Brazil	Jaquirana	2000	80.0%	84.1%	75.2%
Brazil	Jaquirana	2017	80.6%	84.6%	75.9%
Brazil	Jaraguá	2000	79.0%	82.7%	74.5%
Brazil	Jaraguá	2017	79.6%	83.3%	75.2%
Brazil	Jaraguá do Sul	2000	74.1%	77.6%	70.7%
Brazil	Jaraguá do Sul	2017	74.8%	78.3%	71.5%
Brazil	Jaraguari	2000	79.0%	82.1%	75.4%
Brazil	Jaraguari	2017	79.6%	82.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Jaramataia	2000	64.1%	67.1%	60.9%
Brazil	Jaramataia	2017	64.9%	67.9%	61.8%
Brazil	Jardim	2000	69.2%	73.0%	64.9%
Brazil	Jardim	2000	80.1%	84.5%	75.1%
Brazil	Jardim	2017	70.1%	73.8%	65.8%
Brazil	Jardim	2017	82.1%	86.2%	77.5%
Brazil	Jardim Alegre	2000	84.4%	87.7%	80.8%
Brazil	Jardim Alegre	2017	84.1%	87.5%	80.6%
Brazil	Jardim de Angicos	2000	84.8%	87.4%	81.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jardim de Angicos	2017	85.3%	87.9%	82.5%
Brazil	Jardim do Mulato	2000	59.2%	63.6%	54.3%
Brazil	Jardim do Mulato	2017	60.1%	64.5%	55.2%
Brazil	Jardim do Seridó	2000	78.0%	81.3%	74.5%
Brazil	Jardim do Seridó	2017	78.6%	81.9%	75.2%
Brazil	Jardim Olinda	2000	84.7%	88.1%	80.6%
Brazil	Jardim Olinda	2017	85.1%	88.4%	81.2%
Brazil	Jardim-Piranhas	2000	77.3%	80.5%	73.6%
Brazil	Jardim-Piranhas	2017	77.9%	81.1%	74.3%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2000	77.6%	81.9%	73.2%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2000	91.6%	93.5%	89.1%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2017	78.2%	82.4%	74.0%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2017	91.9%	93.7%	89.5%
Brazil	Jari	2000	83.1%	87.1%	78.3%
Brazil	Jari	2017	83.7%	87.5%	79.0%
Brazil	Jarinu	2000	93.2%	94.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Jarinu	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Jaru	2000	30.0%	33.8%	26.8%
Brazil	Jaru	2017	30.9%	34.7%	27.6%
Brazil	Jataí	2000	79.5%	84.2%	73.4%
Brazil	Jataí	2017	80.1%	84.7%	74.1%
Brazil	Jataizinho	2000	86.7%	88.7%	84.2%
Brazil	Jataizinho	2017	87.1%	89.1%	84.7%
Brazil	Jataúba	2000	67.6%	71.1%	63.4%
Brazil	Jataúba	2017	68.4%	72.0%	64.2%
Brazil	Jateí	2000	80.2%	83.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Jateí	2017	80.8%	84.1%	76.8%
Brazil	Jati	2000	67.4%	71.3%	62.8%
Brazil	Jati	2017	68.2%	72.0%	63.7%
Brazil	Jatobá	2000	64.2%	69.6%	59.2%
Brazil	Jatobá	2000	61.8%	67.5%	55.6%
Brazil	Jatobá	2017	65.1%	70.4%	60.1%
Brazil	Jatobá	2017	62.8%	68.4%	56.6%
Brazil	Jatobá do Piauí	2000	60.5%	64.7%	55.6%
Brazil	Jatobá do Piauí	2017	61.4%	65.5%	56.5%
Brazil	Jaú	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Jaú	2017	94.1%	95.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Jaú do Tocantins	2000	77.9%	82.1%	72.7%
Brazil	Jaú do Tocantins	2017	78.5%	82.7%	73.4%
Brazil	Jaupaci	2000	74.4%	79.3%	68.6%
Brazil	Jaupaci	2017	75.1%	79.9%	69.4%
Brazil	Jauru	2000	68.7%	76.0%	61.3%
Brazil	Jauru	2017	69.6%	76.8%	62.2%
Brazil	Jeceaba	2000	81.0%	84.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Jeceaba	2017	81.7%	85.1%	78.3%
Brazil	Jenipapo de Minas	2000	82.4%	87.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Jenipapo de Minas	2017	82.9%	87.5%	77.4%
Brazil	Jenipapo dos Vieiras	2000	61.8%	68.7%	55.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jenipapo dos Vieiras	2017	62.7%	69.5%	56.8%
Brazil	Jequeri	2000	81.2%	85.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Jequeri	2017	81.8%	85.7%	77.7%
Brazil	Jequié	2000	79.1%	83.8%	74.6%
Brazil	Jequié	2017	79.8%	84.4%	75.4%
Brazil	Jequitaí	2000	81.5%	86.9%	74.5%
Brazil	Jequitaí	2017	82.0%	87.3%	75.2%
Brazil	Jequitibá	2000	80.9%	84.6%	76.2%
Brazil	Jequitibá	2017	81.5%	85.1%	76.9%
Brazil	Jequitinhonha	2000	79.5%	84.2%	73.2%
Brazil	Jequitinhonha	2017	80.1%	84.7%	73.9%
Brazil	Jeremoabo	2000	78.5%	81.9%	74.6%
Brazil	Jeremoabo	2017	79.1%	82.4%	75.2%
Brazil	Jericó	2000	74.4%	78.0%	70.7%
Brazil	Jericó	2017	75.1%	78.6%	71.5%
Brazil	Jeriquara	2000	88.2%	91.4%	84.2%
Brazil	Jeriquara	2017	88.6%	91.7%	84.7%
Brazil	Jerônimo Monteiro	2000	77.5%	81.0%	74.0%
Brazil	Jerônimo Monteiro	2017	78.2%	81.6%	74.8%
Brazil	Jerumenha	2000	60.2%	65.9%	53.9%
Brazil	Jerumenha	2017	61.1%	66.7%	54.9%
Brazil	Jesuânia	2000	86.3%	89.4%	82.5%
Brazil	Jesuânia	2017	86.7%	89.8%	83.0%
Brazil	Jesúitas	2000	84.8%	88.3%	81.0%
Brazil	Jesúitas	2017	85.3%	88.7%	81.6%
Brazil	Jesópolis	2000	77.5%	81.1%	73.5%
Brazil	Jesópolis	2017	78.2%	81.7%	74.3%
Brazil	Ji-Paraná	2000	30.0%	33.5%	26.8%
Brazil	Ji-Paraná	2017	30.8%	34.4%	27.5%
Brazil	Jijoca de Jericoacoara	2000	66.8%	72.4%	60.4%
Brazil	Jijoca de Jericoacoara	2017	67.7%	73.2%	61.3%
Brazil	Jiquiriçá	2000	72.6%	77.4%	67.7%
Brazil	Jiquiriçá	2017	73.4%	78.0%	68.6%
Brazil	Jitaúna	2000	76.7%	81.5%	71.9%
Brazil	Jitaúna	2017	77.4%	82.1%	72.7%
Brazil	Joaçaba	2000	77.4%	81.4%	72.7%
Brazil	Joaçaba	2017	78.1%	82.0%	73.5%
Brazil	Joáima	2000	80.8%	85.8%	75.0%
Brazil	Joáima	2017	81.4%	86.2%	75.8%
Brazil	Joanésia	2000	81.1%	85.1%	76.2%
Brazil	Joanésia	2017	81.7%	85.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Joanópolis	2000	93.2%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Joanópolis	2017	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	João Alfredo	2000	63.2%	67.0%	60.0%
Brazil	João Alfredo	2017	64.1%	67.9%	61.0%
Brazil	João Câmara	2000	86.0%	88.6%	83.3%
Brazil	João Câmara	2017	86.5%	89.0%	83.8%
Brazil	João Costa	2000	61.8%	67.6%	55.7%
Brazil	João Costa	2017	62.7%	68.5%	56.7%
Brazil	João Dias	2000	75.9%	79.6%	72.6%
Brazil	João Dias	2017	76.6%	80.2%	73.4%
Brazil	João Dourado	2000	78.4%	84.0%	72.9%
Brazil	João Dourado	2017	78.1%	83.6%	72.5%
Brazil	João Lisboa	2000	65.3%	69.6%	60.4%
Brazil	João Lisboa	2017	66.2%	70.5%	61.2%
Brazil	João Monlevade	2000	82.9%	86.4%	79.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	João Monlevade	2017	83.5%	86.9%	80.4%
Brazil	João Neiva	2000	76.4%	79.4%	73.4%
Brazil	João Neiva	2017	77.1%	80.0%	74.2%
Brazil	João Pessoa	2000	66.3%	68.7%	63.7%
Brazil	João Pessoa	2017	67.2%	69.6%	64.6%
Brazil	João Pinheiro	2000	80.7%	86.2%	73.9%
Brazil	João Pinheiro	2017	81.3%	86.6%	74.6%
Brazil	João Ramalho	2000	90.7%	93.1%	88.2%
Brazil	João Ramalho	2017	91.0%	93.4%	88.6%
Brazil	Joaquim Felício	2000	81.3%	85.9%	75.1%
Brazil	Joaquim Felício	2017	81.8%	86.4%	75.8%
Brazil	Joaquim Gomes	2000	60.6%	63.5%	57.8%
Brazil	Joaquim Gomes	2017	61.5%	64.4%	58.8%
Brazil	Joaquim Nabuco	2000	63.9%	67.7%	60.5%
Brazil	Joaquim Nabuco	2017	64.8%	68.5%	61.4%
Brazil	Joaquim Pires	2000	60.1%	64.7%	55.6%
Brazil	Joaquim Pires	2017	61.0%	65.6%	56.5%
Brazil	Joaquim Távora	2000	88.3%	90.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Joaquim Távora	2017	88.7%	91.1%	85.2%
Brazil	Joca Marques	2000	59.7%	64.2%	54.6%
Brazil	Joca Marques	2017	60.6%	65.0%	55.5%
Brazil	Jóia	2000	83.0%	86.6%	78.6%
Brazil	Jóia	2017	83.6%	87.0%	79.3%
Brazil	Joinville	2000	75.9%	79.2%	71.6%
Brazil	Joinville	2017	76.6%	79.9%	72.4%
Brazil	Jordânia	2000	82.6%	86.9%	77.3%
Brazil	Jordânia	2017	83.2%	87.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Jordão	2000	37.6%	43.3%	32.1%
Brazil	Jordão	2017	38.4%	44.2%	32.8%
Brazil	José Boiteux	2000	73.4%	77.6%	69.3%
Brazil	José Boiteux	2017	74.3%	78.4%	70.2%
Brazil	José Bonifácio	2000	93.2%	95.0%	91.1%
Brazil	José Bonifácio	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.4%
Brazil	José da Penha	2000	76.1%	79.8%	72.5%
Brazil	José da Penha	2017	76.9%	80.4%	73.3%
Brazil	José de Freitas	2000	61.6%	65.6%	58.1%
Brazil	José de Freitas	2017	62.5%	66.5%	59.1%
Brazil	José Gonçalves de Minas	2000	81.5%	86.2%	75.5%
Brazil	José Gonçalves de Minas	2017	82.1%	86.7%	76.2%
Brazil	José Raydan	2000	82.3%	87.1%	76.8%
Brazil	José Raydan	2017	82.8%	87.5%	77.5%
Brazil	Joselândia	2000	58.7%	64.4%	53.6%
Brazil	Joselândia	2017	59.6%	65.3%	54.6%
Brazil	Josenópolis	2000	81.3%	86.3%	76.2%
Brazil	Josenópolis	2017	81.8%	86.8%	76.8%
Brazil	Joviânia	2000	77.1%	81.4%	72.8%
Brazil	Joviânia	2017	77.7%	82.0%	73.6%
Brazil	Juara	2000	67.1%	74.6%	59.3%
Brazil	Juara	2017	68.2%	75.4%	60.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Juarez Távora	2000	66.6%	69.6%	63.3%
Brazil	Juarez Távora	2017	67.5%	70.5%	64.2%
Brazil	Juarina	2000	63.8%	68.9%	58.3%
Brazil	Juarina	2017	64.5%	69.6%	59.1%
Brazil	Juatuba	2000	80.7%	83.8%	77.1%
Brazil	Juatuba	2017	81.3%	84.3%	77.7%
Brazil	Juazeirinho	2000	71.8%	75.6%	67.5%
Brazil	Juazeirinho	2017	72.6%	76.3%	68.4%
Brazil	Juazeiro	2000	75.1%	79.3%	70.5%
Brazil	Juazeiro	2017	75.8%	80.0%	71.3%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Norte	2000	70.3%	73.5%	67.1%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Norte	2017	71.1%	74.2%	68.0%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Piauí	2000	61.5%	66.0%	56.1%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Piauí	2017	62.5%	66.9%	57.1%
Brazil	Jucás	2000	67.8%	71.7%	63.3%
Brazil	Jucás	2017	68.7%	72.5%	64.2%
Brazil	Jucati	2000	65.9%	69.1%	62.3%
Brazil	Jucati	2017	66.7%	70.0%	63.3%
Brazil	Jucuruçu	2000	81.3%	85.5%	75.7%
Brazil	Jucuruçu	2017	81.9%	85.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Jucurutu	2000	78.9%	82.3%	75.5%
Brazil	Jucurutu	2017	80.1%	83.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Juína	2000	62.0%	71.3%	52.4%
Brazil	Juína	2017	62.8%	72.0%	53.4%
Brazil	Juiz de Fora	2000	81.7%	84.8%	78.5%
Brazil	Juiz de Fora	2017	82.3%	85.3%	79.2%
Brazil	Júlio Borges	2000	66.4%	73.8%	58.4%
Brazil	Júlio Borges	2017	67.3%	74.5%	59.4%
Brazil	Júlio de Castilhos	2000	84.2%	87.6%	80.0%
Brazil	Júlio de Castilhos	2017	85.7%	88.7%	81.7%
Brazil	Júlio Mesquita	2000	92.9%	94.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Júlio Mesquita	2017	93.2%	95.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Jumirim	2000	92.9%	94.4%	91.1%
Brazil	Jumirim	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Junco	2000	80.2%	83.5%	76.9%
Brazil	Junco	2017	80.8%	84.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Junco do Maranhão	2000	48.1%	55.0%	42.4%
Brazil	Junco do Maranhão	2017	49.0%	55.8%	43.1%
Brazil	Junco do Seridó	2000	73.2%	76.9%	68.8%
Brazil	Junco do Seridó	2017	74.0%	77.6%	69.6%
Brazil	Jundiá	2000	61.5%	64.7%	58.3%
Brazil	Jundiá	2017	62.5%	65.6%	59.3%
Brazil	Jundiáí	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Jundiáí	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Jundiáí do Sul	2000	87.8%	90.5%	84.3%
Brazil	Jundiáí do Sul	2017	88.2%	90.8%	84.8%
Brazil	Junqueiro	2000	60.8%	63.8%	58.1%
Brazil	Junqueiro	2017	61.7%	64.7%	59.0%
Brazil	Junqueirópolis	2000	89.7%	92.1%	86.6%
Brazil	Junqueirópolis	2017	90.0%	92.3%	87.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jupia	2000	66.2%	69.2%	62.7%
Brazil	Jupia	2000	80.1%	84.2%	76.1%
Brazil	Jupia	2017	67.0%	70.1%	63.6%
Brazil	Jupia	2017	80.8%	84.7%	76.8%
Brazil	Juquiá	2000	89.9%	92.7%	86.7%
Brazil	Juquiá	2017	90.2%	93.0%	87.2%
Brazil	Juquitiba	2000	93.5%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Juquitiba	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Juramento	2000	82.6%	87.3%	76.7%
Brazil	Juramento	2017	83.1%	87.7%	77.3%
Brazil	Juranda	2000	83.7%	87.3%	79.5%
Brazil	Juranda	2017	84.2%	87.7%	80.1%
Brazil	Jurema	2000	64.8%	70.6%	57.6%
Brazil	Jurema	2000	67.7%	71.2%	64.4%
Brazil	Jurema	2017	68.6%	72.0%	65.3%
Brazil	Jurema	2017	65.6%	71.3%	58.7%
Brazil	Juripiranga	2000	69.0%	72.0%	66.0%
Brazil	Juripiranga	2017	69.9%	72.8%	66.9%
Brazil	Juru	2000	67.0%	71.0%	62.9%
Brazil	Juru	2017	67.9%	71.8%	63.8%
Brazil	Juruá	2000	56.4%	64.1%	50.1%
Brazil	Juruá	2017	58.9%	66.4%	52.4%
Brazil	Juruiaia	2000	86.4%	89.5%	82.4%
Brazil	Juruiaia	2017	87.6%	90.5%	84.0%
Brazil	Juruena	2000	64.9%	73.6%	56.2%
Brazil	Juruena	2017	65.8%	74.4%	57.2%
Brazil	Juruti	2000	45.8%	52.2%	38.7%
Brazil	Juruti	2017	47.1%	53.6%	39.9%
Brazil	Juscimeira	2000	69.3%	74.3%	64.1%
Brazil	Juscimeira	2017	70.1%	75.1%	65.0%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	75.1%	80.0%	69.7%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	84.0%	86.9%	80.6%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	76.5%	82.6%	69.2%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	77.2%	83.1%	70.0%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	75.2%	80.0%	69.7%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	84.5%	87.4%	81.2%
Brazil	Jussari	2000	77.5%	81.7%	72.0%
Brazil	Jussari	2017	78.1%	82.3%	72.8%
Brazil	Jussiape	2000	77.7%	82.8%	72.0%
Brazil	Jussiape	2017	78.3%	83.3%	72.7%
Brazil	Jutaí	2000	58.5%	66.7%	50.2%
Brazil	Jutaí	2017	59.4%	67.6%	51.2%
Brazil	Juti	2000	80.3%	84.1%	75.6%
Brazil	Juti	2017	80.9%	84.6%	76.3%
Brazil	Juvenília	2000	78.8%	85.3%	71.0%
Brazil	Juvenília	2017	79.5%	85.7%	71.9%
Brazil	Kaloré	2000	83.8%	86.7%	80.2%
Brazil	Kaloré	2017	84.3%	87.2%	80.8%
Brazil	Lábrea	2000	55.0%	62.9%	47.1%
Brazil	Lábrea	2017	55.9%	63.8%	48.1%
Brazil	Lacerdópolis	2000	75.9%	80.0%	71.2%
Brazil	Lacerdópolis	2017	76.6%	80.7%	72.0%
Brazil	Ladainha	2000	82.7%	87.5%	77.1%
Brazil	Ladainha	2017	83.3%	87.9%	77.8%
Brazil	Lafaiete Coutinho	2000	80.1%	84.6%	74.9%
Brazil	Lafaiete Coutinho	2017	80.7%	85.1%	75.7%
Brazil	Lagamar	2000	79.3%	85.0%	72.1%
Brazil	Lagamar	2017	79.9%	85.5%	72.9%
Brazil	Lagarto	2000	77.5%	79.9%	75.3%
Brazil	Lagarto	2017	78.4%	80.7%	76.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lages	2000	79.5%	83.5%	74.8%
Brazil	Lages	2017	79.9%	83.9%	75.4%
Brazil	Lago da Pedra	2000	60.6%	65.6%	55.6%
Brazil	Lago da Pedra	2017	61.6%	66.5%	56.6%
Brazil	Lago do Junco	2000	60.5%	66.0%	55.0%
Brazil	Lago do Junco	2017	61.4%	66.8%	56.0%
Brazil	Lago dos Rodrigues	2000	60.3%	66.2%	54.6%
Brazil	Lago dos Rodrigues	2017	61.2%	67.0%	55.6%
Brazil	Lago Verde	2000	60.3%	65.1%	54.8%
Brazil	Lago Verde	2017	62.4%	67.1%	56.9%
Brazil	Lagoa	2000	74.8%	78.4%	71.0%
Brazil	Lagoa	2017	75.5%	79.1%	71.8%
Brazil	Lagoa Alegre	2000	60.2%	65.2%	55.8%
Brazil	Lagoa Alegre	2017	61.1%	66.1%	56.7%
Brazil	Lagoa da Canoa	2000	64.5%	67.5%	61.6%
Brazil	Lagoa da Canoa	2017	65.3%	68.3%	62.5%
Brazil	Lagoa da Confusão	2000	70.9%	76.1%	64.3%
Brazil	Lagoa da Confusão	2017	72.1%	77.1%	65.8%
Brazil	Lagoa da Prata	2000	81.1%	85.5%	76.0%
Brazil	Lagoa da Prata	2017	81.6%	86.0%	76.7%
Brazil	Lagoa de Anta	2000	79.2%	81.8%	76.6%
Brazil	Lagoa de Anta	2017	79.8%	82.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Lagoa de dentro	2000	73.4%	76.0%	70.3%
Brazil	Lagoa de dentro	2017	74.1%	76.7%	71.1%
Brazil	Lagoa de Pedras	2000	80.6%	82.8%	78.4%
Brazil	Lagoa de Pedras	2017	81.2%	83.4%	79.0%
Brazil	Lagoa de São Francisco	2000	64.6%	69.0%	59.4%
Brazil	Lagoa de São Francisco	2017	65.5%	69.9%	60.4%
Brazil	Lagoa do Barro do Piauí	2000	64.4%	70.4%	57.9%
Brazil	Lagoa do Barro do Piauí	2017	65.2%	71.2%	58.8%
Brazil	Lagoa do Carro	2000	72.5%	75.3%	70.2%
Brazil	Lagoa do Carro	2017	73.4%	76.1%	71.1%
Brazil	Lagoa do Itaenga	2000	71.0%	73.7%	68.5%
Brazil	Lagoa do Itaenga	2017	71.9%	74.4%	69.4%
Brazil	Lagoa do Mato	2000	61.3%	67.3%	54.7%
Brazil	Lagoa do Mato	2017	63.5%	69.3%	57.1%
Brazil	Lagoa do Ouro	2000	60.1%	63.5%	56.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lagoa do Ouro	2017	61.0%	64.4%	57.3%
Brazil	Lagoa do Piauí	2000	59.1%	63.0%	55.0%
Brazil	Lagoa do Piauí	2017	60.1%	64.0%	55.9%
Brazil	Lagoa do Sítio	2000	62.4%	68.4%	57.0%
Brazil	Lagoa do Sítio	2017	63.3%	69.2%	57.9%
Brazil	Lagoa do Tocantins	2000	77.2%	82.3%	70.9%
Brazil	Lagoa do Tocantins	2017	77.9%	82.9%	71.7%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Gatos	2000	65.3%	69.2%	62.1%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Gatos	2017	66.1%	69.9%	62.9%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Patos	2000	80.9%	86.1%	73.9%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Patos	2017	81.5%	86.6%	74.7%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Três Cantos	2000	81.9%	85.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Três Cantos	2017	82.4%	86.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Lagoa dourada	2000	81.8%	85.9%	77.9%
Brazil	Lagoa dourada	2017	82.4%	86.4%	78.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Formosa	2000	81.0%	86.2%	74.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Formosa	2017	81.6%	86.7%	75.1%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2000	65.5%	70.8%	59.3%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2000	79.7%	85.2%	73.0%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2017	66.3%	71.6%	60.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2017	80.4%	85.8%	73.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande do Maranhão	2000	60.4%	65.7%	55.1%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande do Maranhão	2017	61.3%	66.6%	56.1%
Brazil	Lagoa Mirim	2000	78.6%	85.3%	70.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Mirim	2017	79.4%	85.7%	71.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Nova	2000	84.2%	86.8%	81.3%
Brazil	Lagoa Nova	2017	84.7%	87.2%	81.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Real	2000	77.6%	82.9%	72.5%
Brazil	Lagoa Real	2017	78.3%	83.4%	73.3%
Brazil	Lagoa Salgada	2000	81.1%	83.2%	78.8%
Brazil	Lagoa Salgada	2017	81.7%	83.8%	79.5%
Brazil	Lagoa Santa	2000	81.3%	84.1%	77.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Santa	2017	82.5%	85.2%	79.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Seca	2000	68.2%	71.1%	65.5%
Brazil	Lagoa Seca	2017	69.1%	71.9%	66.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Vermelha	2000	80.3%	83.9%	76.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Vermelha	2017	80.9%	84.4%	76.9%
Brazil	Lagoão	2000	83.7%	86.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Lagoão	2017	84.3%	87.3%	80.8%
Brazil	Lagoas de Velhos	2000	82.6%	85.2%	80.2%
Brazil	Lagoas de Velhos	2017	83.1%	85.7%	80.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lagoinha	2000	91.3%	93.2%	89.1%
Brazil	Lagoinha	2017	91.6%	93.5%	89.4%
Brazil	Lagoinha do Piauí	2000	60.3%	64.6%	55.1%
Brazil	Lagoinha do Piauí	2017	61.1%	65.5%	56.0%
Brazil	Laguna	2000	72.2%	77.7%	66.5%
Brazil	Laguna	2017	73.1%	78.5%	67.5%
Brazil	Laguna Carapã	2000	81.3%	84.6%	77.5%
Brazil	Laguna Carapã	2017	81.9%	85.1%	78.2%
Brazil	Laje	2000	76.7%	80.5%	72.1%
Brazil	Laje	2017	77.4%	81.1%	72.9%
Brazil	Laje do Muriaé	2000	77.7%	81.2%	73.6%
Brazil	Laje do Muriaé	2017	78.4%	81.8%	74.4%
Brazil	Lajeado do Bugre	2000	82.9%	86.4%	79.5%
Brazil	Lajeado do Bugre	2017	83.5%	86.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Lajeado Grande	2000	76.8%	81.4%	72.6%
Brazil	Lajeado Grande	2017	77.5%	82.0%	73.3%
Brazil	Lajeado Novo	2000	68.1%	73.7%	62.6%
Brazil	Lajeado Novo	2017	68.9%	74.4%	63.5%
Brazil	Lajedao	2000	81.1%	85.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Lajedao	2017	81.7%	86.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Lajedão	2000	80.8%	84.2%	77.6%
Brazil	Lajedão	2000	74.1%	78.2%	70.2%
Brazil	Lajedão	2017	74.9%	78.9%	71.1%
Brazil	Lajedão	2017	81.4%	84.7%	78.3%
Brazil	Lajedinho	2000	79.0%	84.1%	73.5%
Brazil	Lajedinho	2017	79.7%	84.6%	74.2%
Brazil	Lajedo	2000	67.0%	70.2%	63.9%
Brazil	Lajedo	2017	67.9%	71.1%	64.8%
Brazil	Lajedo do Tabocal	2000	81.6%	85.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Lajedo do Tabocal	2017	82.3%	86.3%	77.1%
Brazil	Lajes	2000	84.8%	87.5%	82.0%
Brazil	Lajes	2017	85.3%	87.9%	82.5%
Brazil	Lajes Pintadas	2000	81.4%	84.4%	78.4%
Brazil	Lajes Pintadas	2017	82.0%	84.9%	79.0%
Brazil	Lajinha	2000	80.0%	83.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Lajinha	2017	80.6%	84.1%	77.2%
Brazil	Lamarão	2000	78.5%	82.0%	74.4%
Brazil	Lamarão	2017	79.1%	82.6%	75.1%
Brazil	Lambari	2000	86.2%	89.4%	82.7%
Brazil	Lambari	2017	86.7%	89.8%	83.3%
Brazil	Lambari d'Oeste	2000	67.2%	73.0%	60.0%
Brazil	Lambari d'Oeste	2017	68.0%	73.7%	60.9%
Brazil	Lamim	2000	81.0%	84.4%	77.0%
Brazil	Lamim	2017	81.6%	84.9%	77.7%
Brazil	Landri Sales	2000	61.5%	67.7%	54.7%
Brazil	Landri Sales	2017	62.4%	68.6%	55.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lapão	2000	77.0%	82.7%	71.2%
Brazil	Lapão	2017	77.7%	83.2%	72.0%
Brazil	Laranja da Terra	2000	78.8%	82.4%	75.3%
Brazil	Laranja da Terra	2017	79.5%	83.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Laranjal	2000	83.3%	87.1%	78.9%
Brazil	Laranjal	2000	78.1%	81.8%	73.6%
Brazil	Laranjal	2017	83.8%	87.5%	79.6%
Brazil	Laranjal	2017	78.8%	82.4%	74.4%
Brazil	Laranjal do Jari	2000	47.2%	53.7%	40.7%
Brazil	Laranjal do Jari	2017	48.2%	54.6%	41.7%
Brazil	Laranjal Paulista	2000	92.9%	94.4%	91.1%
Brazil	Laranjal Paulista	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Laranjeiras	2000	75.4%	77.2%	73.4%
Brazil	Laranjeiras	2017	76.1%	77.9%	74.1%
Brazil	Laranjeiras do Sul	2000	83.8%	87.7%	79.4%
Brazil	Laranjeiras do Sul	2017	84.5%	88.2%	80.3%
Brazil	Lassance	2000	80.9%	86.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Lassance	2017	81.6%	86.9%	76.3%
Brazil	Lastro	2000	74.8%	78.2%	71.5%
Brazil	Lastro	2017	75.7%	79.0%	72.5%
Brazil	Laurentino	2000	73.4%	77.3%	69.2%
Brazil	Laurentino	2017	74.1%	78.0%	70.0%
Brazil	Lauro de Freitas	2000	77.6%	80.3%	74.4%
Brazil	Lauro de Freitas	2017	78.3%	80.9%	75.1%
Brazil	Lauro Muller	2000	74.6%	78.8%	70.0%
Brazil	Lauro Muller	2017	75.3%	79.5%	70.8%
Brazil	Lavandeira	2000	76.6%	81.5%	70.1%
Brazil	Lavandeira	2017	77.3%	82.1%	70.9%
Brazil	Lavínia	2000	90.2%	92.4%	87.5%
Brazil	Lavínia	2017	90.4%	92.6%	87.8%
Brazil	Lavras	2000	82.8%	86.3%	78.4%
Brazil	Lavras	2017	83.4%	86.8%	79.0%
Brazil	Lavras da Mangabeira	2000	68.3%	72.1%	64.6%
Brazil	Lavras da Mangabeira	2017	69.2%	72.9%	65.5%
Brazil	Lavras do Sul	2000	84.2%	88.6%	79.7%
Brazil	Lavras do Sul	2017	84.7%	89.0%	80.4%
Brazil	Lavrinhas	2000	85.8%	88.4%	83.0%
Brazil	Lavrinhas	2017	86.3%	88.8%	83.5%
Brazil	Leandro Ferreira	2000	80.0%	84.3%	75.5%
Brazil	Leandro Ferreira	2017	80.6%	84.8%	76.2%
Brazil	Lebon Régis	2000	78.3%	82.7%	73.8%
Brazil	Lebon Régis	2017	78.9%	83.3%	74.5%
Brazil	Leme	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Leme	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Leme do Prado	2000	82.3%	87.1%	76.5%
Brazil	Leme do Prado	2017	82.9%	87.5%	77.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lençóis	2000	75.4%	80.8%	68.8%
Brazil	Lençóis	2017	76.2%	81.4%	69.7%
Brazil	Lençóis Paulista	2000	93.8%	95.4%	91.8%
Brazil	Lençóis Paulista	2017	94.0%	95.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Leoberto Leal	2000	76.0%	79.5%	71.6%
Brazil	Leoberto Leal	2017	76.8%	80.2%	72.5%
Brazil	Leopoldina	2000	78.7%	82.3%	74.7%
Brazil	Leopoldina	2017	79.4%	82.9%	75.4%
Brazil	Leopoldo de Bulhões	2000	79.2%	81.5%	76.6%
Brazil	Leopoldo de Bulhões	2017	79.8%	82.0%	77.2%
Brazil	Leópolis	2000	87.5%	89.7%	85.0%
Brazil	Leópolis	2017	87.9%	90.0%	85.5%
Brazil	Liberato Salzano	2000	77.9%	81.6%	73.9%
Brazil	Liberato Salzano	2017	78.5%	82.1%	74.6%
Brazil	Liberdade	2000	84.5%	87.7%	81.2%
Brazil	Liberdade	2017	85.0%	88.1%	81.8%
Brazil	Licínio de Almeida	2000	78.4%	83.7%	72.7%
Brazil	Licínio de Almeida	2017	79.0%	84.2%	73.4%
Brazil	Lidianópolis	2000	83.2%	86.5%	79.7%
Brazil	Lidianópolis	2017	83.7%	86.9%	80.3%
Brazil	Lima Campos	2000	60.8%	66.2%	55.1%
Brazil	Lima Campos	2017	61.7%	67.0%	56.0%
Brazil	Lima Duarte	2000	82.0%	85.1%	78.3%
Brazil	Lima Duarte	2017	82.6%	85.6%	79.0%
Brazil	Limeira	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Limeira	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Limeira do Oeste	2000	83.8%	87.7%	79.4%
Brazil	Limeira do Oeste	2017	84.3%	88.1%	80.0%
Brazil	Limoeiro	2000	66.4%	70.1%	63.5%
Brazil	Limoeiro	2017	67.2%	70.9%	64.4%
Brazil	Limoeiro de Anadia	2000	61.0%	63.7%	58.7%
Brazil	Limoeiro de Anadia	2017	62.0%	64.6%	59.6%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Ajuru	2000	37.4%	43.0%	32.5%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Ajuru	2017	38.3%	43.9%	33.4%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Norte	2000	76.3%	79.9%	72.7%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Norte	2017	77.0%	80.5%	73.5%
Brazil	Lindoeste	2000	84.0%	87.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Lindoeste	2017	84.5%	87.5%	81.3%
Brazil	Lindóia	2000	91.2%	93.0%	89.3%
Brazil	Lindóia	2017	91.5%	93.2%	89.6%
Brazil	Lindóia do Sul	2000	76.7%	80.1%	72.0%
Brazil	Lindóia do Sul	2017	77.3%	80.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Lindolfo Col- lor	2000	79.9%	82.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Lindolfo Col- lor	2017	80.6%	82.9%	77.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Linha Nova	2000	81.7%	84.4%	79.1%
Brazil	Linha Nova	2017	82.3%	85.0%	79.8%
Brazil	Linhares	2000	75.8%	79.5%	71.2%
Brazil	Linhares	2017	76.5%	80.1%	71.9%
Brazil	Lins	2000	93.6%	95.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Lins	2017	93.8%	95.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Livramento	2000	69.6%	74.1%	64.9%
Brazil	Livramento	2017	70.5%	74.9%	65.8%
Brazil	Livramento do Brumado	2000	78.0%	83.2%	72.5%
Brazil	Livramento do Brumado	2017	79.2%	84.1%	73.9%
Brazil	Lizarda	2000	74.0%	80.0%	66.8%
Brazil	Lizarda	2017	74.8%	80.6%	67.7%
Brazil	Loanda	2000	84.7%	88.0%	81.2%
Brazil	Loanda	2017	85.2%	88.4%	81.8%
Brazil	Lobato	2000	85.7%	88.2%	82.8%
Brazil	Lobato	2017	86.2%	88.6%	83.4%
Brazil	Logradouro	2000	76.6%	79.2%	74.0%
Brazil	Logradouro	2017	77.2%	79.8%	74.7%
Brazil	Londrina	2000	86.7%	88.7%	84.1%
Brazil	Londrina	2017	87.2%	89.1%	84.6%
Brazil	Lontra	2000	81.8%	86.9%	76.1%
Brazil	Lontra	2017	82.4%	87.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Lontras	2000	73.1%	76.6%	69.6%
Brazil	Lontras	2017	73.8%	77.3%	70.4%
Brazil	Lorena	2000	88.1%	90.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Lorena	2017	88.5%	90.9%	85.8%
Brazil	Loreto	2000	63.0%	70.8%	55.1%
Brazil	Loreto	2017	63.9%	71.5%	56.1%
Brazil	Lourdes	2000	90.9%	93.5%	87.8%
Brazil	Lourdes	2017	91.2%	93.7%	88.2%
Brazil	Louveira	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Louveira	2017	94.0%	94.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Lucas do Rio Verde	2000	69.6%	76.2%	64.1%
Brazil	Lucas do Rio Verde	2017	70.5%	76.9%	65.0%
Brazil	Lucélia	2000	91.2%	93.4%	88.3%
Brazil	Lucélia	2017	91.5%	93.6%	88.7%
Brazil	Lucena	2000	67.8%	71.0%	64.0%
Brazil	Lucena	2017	68.6%	71.8%	64.9%
Brazil	Lucianópolis	2000	92.8%	94.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Lucianópolis	2017	93.0%	94.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Luciára	2000	67.2%	75.3%	58.2%
Brazil	Luciára	2017	67.9%	75.9%	59.1%
Brazil	Lucrécia	2000	76.9%	80.1%	73.3%
Brazil	Lucrécia	2017	77.6%	80.8%	74.1%
Brazil	Luís Antônio	2000	93.7%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Luís Antônio	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Luís Correia	2000	64.3%	68.8%	60.0%
Brazil	Luís Correia	2017	65.2%	69.7%	61.0%
Brazil	Luís Domingues	2000	47.7%	55.5%	40.6%
Brazil	Luís Domingues	2017	50.0%	57.7%	42.8%
Brazil	Luís Gomes	2000	75.8%	79.6%	72.0%
Brazil	Luís Gomes	2017	76.5%	80.2%	72.7%
Brazil	Luisburgo	2000	81.6%	84.9%	77.5%
Brazil	Luisburgo	2017	82.2%	85.4%	78.2%
Brazil	Luisiania	2000	92.0%	94.3%	89.7%
Brazil	Luisiania	2017	92.2%	94.5%	90.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Luislândia	2000	81.6%	86.5%	75.4%
Brazil	Luislândia	2017	82.1%	86.9%	76.1%
Brazil	Luiz Alves	2000	75.4%	78.4%	71.4%
Brazil	Luiz Alves	2017	76.1%	79.1%	72.2%
Brazil	Luiziânia	2000	84.0%	87.3%	80.2%
Brazil	Luiziânia	2017	84.5%	87.7%	80.8%
Brazil	Luminárias	2000	82.1%	85.5%	77.6%
Brazil	Luminárias	2017	82.7%	86.0%	78.3%
Brazil	Lunardelli	2000	83.3%	86.6%	79.7%
Brazil	Lunardelli	2017	83.8%	87.0%	80.3%
Brazil	Lupercio	2000	92.5%	94.3%	90.1%
Brazil	Lupercio	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Lupionópolis	2000	86.2%	88.7%	82.9%
Brazil	Lupionópolis	2017	86.7%	89.1%	83.5%
Brazil	Lutécia	2000	91.5%	93.8%	89.1%
Brazil	Lutécia	2017	91.8%	94.1%	89.5%
Brazil	Luz	2000	81.9%	86.5%	76.2%
Brazil	Luz	2017	82.5%	86.9%	76.9%
Brazil	Luzerna	2000	76.8%	80.9%	72.1%
Brazil	Luzerna	2017	77.5%	81.5%	72.9%
Brazil	Luziânia	2000	88.4%	89.8%	86.6%
Brazil	Luziânia	2017	88.9%	90.3%	87.2%
Brazil	Luzilândia	2000	61.3%	65.6%	56.6%
Brazil	Luzilândia	2017	62.2%	66.5%	57.5%
Brazil	Luzinópolis	2000	72.4%	76.4%	68.1%
Brazil	Luzinópolis	2017	73.2%	77.1%	68.9%
Brazil	Macaé	2000	76.3%	79.7%	72.6%
Brazil	Macaé	2017	77.6%	80.9%	74.1%
Brazil	Macaíba	2000	82.1%	83.7%	80.4%
Brazil	Macaíba	2017	82.7%	84.3%	81.1%
Brazil	Macaçuba	2000	78.9%	83.4%	73.6%
Brazil	Macaçuba	2017	79.6%	83.9%	74.4%
Brazil	Maçambara	2000	81.5%	86.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Maçambara	2000	78.5%	80.7%	76.3%
Brazil	Maçambara	2017	82.1%	86.5%	76.2%
Brazil	Maçambara	2017	79.1%	81.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Macapa	2000	43.3%	45.1%	41.7%
Brazil	Macapa	2017	44.4%	46.2%	42.8%
Brazil	Macaparana	2000	67.3%	70.6%	64.4%
Brazil	Macaparana	2017	68.1%	71.3%	65.2%
Brazil	Macarani	2000	81.1%	85.6%	75.5%
Brazil	Macarani	2017	81.7%	86.1%	76.2%
Brazil	Macatuba	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Macatuba	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Macau	2000	82.1%	85.3%	78.1%
Brazil	Macau	2017	82.6%	85.8%	78.8%
Brazil	Macaubal	2000	91.9%	94.1%	89.0%
Brazil	Macaubal	2017	92.2%	94.3%	89.4%
Brazil	Macaúbas	2000	77.4%	82.6%	71.6%
Brazil	Macaúbas	2017	78.1%	83.2%	72.4%
Brazil	Macedonia	2000	89.3%	92.4%	86.0%
Brazil	Macedonia	2017	89.6%	92.7%	86.5%
Brazil	Maceió (capital)	2000	60.2%	62.7%	57.8%
Brazil	Maceió (capital)	2017	61.2%	63.6%	58.7%
Brazil	Machacalis	2000	79.7%	84.2%	74.3%
Brazil	Machacalis	2017	80.3%	84.7%	75.0%
Brazil	Machadinho	2000	77.0%	80.6%	72.2%
Brazil	Machadinho	2000	33.8%	39.7%	28.3%
Brazil	Machadinho	2017	77.7%	81.2%	73.0%
Brazil	Machadinho	2017	34.6%	40.6%	29.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Machado	2000	84.9%	88.0%	80.3%
Brazil	Machado	2017	85.4%	88.4%	80.9%
Brazil	Machados	2000	66.3%	69.5%	63.2%
Brazil	Machados	2017	67.2%	70.4%	64.2%
Brazil	Macieira	2000	78.5%	82.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Macieira	2017	79.0%	82.8%	75.3%
Brazil	Macuco	2000	79.5%	83.0%	75.6%
Brazil	Macuco	2017	80.1%	83.5%	76.3%
Brazil	Macururé	2000	69.2%	75.1%	62.6%
Brazil	Macururé	2017	70.1%	75.9%	63.5%
Brazil	Madalena	2000	70.6%	75.2%	65.2%
Brazil	Madalena	2017	71.4%	75.9%	66.1%
Brazil	Madeiro	2000	59.5%	64.4%	54.6%
Brazil	Madeiro	2017	60.4%	65.2%	55.5%
Brazil	Madre de deus	2000	74.8%	77.8%	72.2%
Brazil	Madre de deus	2017	75.5%	78.4%	73.0%
Brazil	Madre de deus	2000	81.2%	85.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Madre de deus de Minas				
Brazil	Madre de deus de Minas	2017	81.8%	86.0%	77.2%
Brazil	Mãe d'Água	2000	69.9%	73.7%	65.8%
Brazil	Mãe d'Água	2017	70.7%	74.4%	66.6%
Brazil	Maetinga	2000	78.7%	83.4%	73.2%
Brazil	Maetinga	2017	79.2%	83.7%	73.9%
Brazil	Mafra	2000	80.2%	83.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Mafra	2017	80.8%	83.8%	77.2%
Brazil	Magalhães	2000	35.3%	39.9%	30.6%
Brazil	Barata				
Brazil	Magalhães	2017	36.1%	40.8%	31.4%
Brazil	Barata				
Brazil	Magalhães de Almeida	2000	59.7%	64.4%	54.9%
Brazil	Magalhães de Almeida	2017	60.7%	65.3%	55.9%
Brazil	Magda	2000	91.0%	93.6%	88.1%
Brazil	Magda	2017	91.3%	93.9%	88.5%
Brazil	Magé	2000	75.3%	77.3%	73.3%
Brazil	Magé	2017	76.0%	78.0%	74.0%
Brazil	Maiquinique	2000	80.8%	85.2%	75.2%
Brazil	Maiquinique	2017	81.4%	85.7%	75.9%
Brazil	Mairi	2000	79.7%	84.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Mairi	2017	80.4%	84.6%	76.2%
Brazil	Mairinque	2000	94.2%	95.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Mairinque	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Mairiporã	2000	93.9%	94.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Mairiporã	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Mairipotaba	2000	76.1%	79.8%	72.3%
Brazil	Mairipotaba	2017	76.8%	80.5%	73.1%
Brazil	Major Gercino	2000	74.1%	78.0%	69.3%
Brazil	Major Gercino	2017	74.9%	78.7%	70.1%
Brazil	Major Isidoro	2000	63.2%	66.3%	59.9%
Brazil	Major Isidoro	2017	64.1%	67.2%	60.8%
Brazil	Major Sales	2000	76.5%	80.1%	72.9%
Brazil	Major Sales	2017	77.2%	80.7%	73.7%
Brazil	Major Vieira	2000	77.4%	81.1%	73.4%
Brazil	Major Vieira	2017	78.1%	81.7%	74.2%
Brazil	Malacacheta	2000	82.9%	87.6%	77.2%
Brazil	Malacacheta	2017	83.5%	88.1%	77.9%
Brazil	Malhada	2000	78.7%	84.5%	71.5%
Brazil	Malhada	2017	79.3%	85.0%	72.3%
Brazil	Malhada de Pedras	2000	77.2%	82.4%	71.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Malhada de Pedras	2017	77.9%	82.9%	72.5%
Brazil	Malhada dos Bois	2000	72.2%	74.8%	69.1%
Brazil	Malhada dos Bois	2017	73.0%	75.5%	69.9%
Brazil	Malhador	2000	75.5%	77.6%	73.0%
Brazil	Malhador	2017	76.2%	78.2%	73.7%
Brazil	Mallet	2000	80.2%	84.5%	75.3%
Brazil	Mallet	2017	80.8%	85.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Malta	2000	70.9%	74.8%	66.7%
Brazil	Malta	2017	71.7%	75.6%	67.7%
Brazil	Mamanguape	2000	71.8%	74.7%	69.1%
Brazil	Mamanguape	2017	71.7%	74.6%	68.9%
Brazil	Mambaí	2000	81.8%	86.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Mambaí	2017	82.4%	87.3%	76.5%
Brazil	Mamborê	2000	84.4%	87.7%	80.3%
Brazil	Mamborê	2017	84.9%	88.2%	80.9%
Brazil	Mamonas	2000	79.6%	85.1%	73.2%
Brazil	Mamonas	2017	80.2%	85.5%	73.9%
Brazil	Mampituba	2000	78.4%	82.6%	73.7%
Brazil	Mampituba	2017	79.1%	83.1%	74.4%
Brazil	Manacapuru	2000	58.2%	62.3%	53.7%
Brazil	Manacapuru	2017	59.2%	63.2%	54.7%
Brazil	Manaíra	2000	67.6%	71.7%	63.2%
Brazil	Manaíra	2017	68.5%	72.4%	64.1%
Brazil	Manaquiri	2000	56.3%	60.2%	52.2%
Brazil	Manaquiri	2017	57.2%	61.2%	53.1%
Brazil	Manari	2000	63.2%	66.9%	59.0%
Brazil	Manari	2017	64.2%	67.8%	60.0%
Brazil	Mâncio Lima	2000	44.0%	48.3%	39.7%
Brazil	Mâncio Lima	2017	45.0%	49.4%	40.6%
Brazil	Mandaguaçu	2000	85.3%	87.7%	82.1%
Brazil	Mandaguaçu	2017	85.7%	88.1%	82.7%
Brazil	Mandaguari	2000	86.1%	88.4%	83.1%
Brazil	Mandaguari	2017	86.6%	88.8%	83.6%
Brazil	Mandirituba	2000	82.7%	85.0%	79.9%
Brazil	Mandirituba	2017	83.3%	85.5%	80.5%
Brazil	Manduri	2000	93.0%	94.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Manduri	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Manfrinópolis	2000	81.6%	85.7%	76.4%
Brazil	Manfrinópolis	2017	82.2%	86.2%	77.2%
Brazil	Manga	2000	80.2%	86.4%	73.5%
Brazil	Manga	2017	80.8%	86.8%	74.2%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2000	77.2%	80.6%	74.0%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2000	81.4%	83.9%	78.6%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2017	81.9%	84.4%	79.2%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2017	78.0%	81.3%	74.9%
Brazil	Mangueirinha	2000	81.3%	85.4%	76.7%
Brazil	Mangueirinha	2017	81.9%	85.9%	77.4%
Brazil	Manhuaçu	2000	82.2%	85.4%	78.2%
Brazil	Manhuaçu	2017	83.5%	86.5%	79.8%
Brazil	Manhumirim	2000	80.6%	83.7%	76.7%
Brazil	Manhumirim	2017	81.2%	84.2%	77.3%
Brazil	Manicore	2000	58.5%	64.7%	50.2%
Brazil	Manicore	2017	59.4%	65.5%	51.2%
Brazil	Manoel Emídio	2000	60.3%	67.3%	52.3%
Brazil	Manoel Emídio	2017	61.2%	68.1%	53.3%
Brazil	Manoel Ribas	2000	84.1%	87.7%	80.1%
Brazil	Manoel Ribas	2017	84.7%	88.2%	80.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Manoel Urbano	2000	44.4%	50.2%	39.0%
Brazil	Manoel Urbano	2017	47.1%	53.0%	41.8%
Brazil	Manoel Viana	2000	82.2%	86.4%	76.3%
Brazil	Manoel Viana	2017	82.7%	86.9%	77.0%
Brazil	Manoel Vitorino	2000	78.6%	83.3%	73.7%
Brazil	Manoel Vitorino	2017	79.3%	83.9%	74.5%
Brazil	Mansidão	2000	69.1%	76.2%	61.5%
Brazil	Mansidão	2017	70.0%	77.0%	62.4%
Brazil	Mantena	2000	80.1%	83.4%	76.1%
Brazil	Mantena	2017	80.7%	83.9%	76.8%
Brazil	Mantenópolis	2000	80.7%	84.5%	76.7%
Brazil	Mantenópolis	2017	81.3%	85.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Maquiné	2000	78.6%	82.6%	74.0%
Brazil	Maquiné	2017	79.1%	83.1%	74.5%
Brazil	Mar de Espanha	2000	79.7%	82.9%	76.3%
Brazil	Mar de Espanha	2017	80.4%	83.5%	77.0%
Brazil	Mar Vermelho	2000	59.6%	62.7%	56.8%
Brazil	Mar Vermelho	2017	60.5%	63.6%	57.7%
Brazil	Mara Rosa	2000	77.2%	82.5%	71.6%
Brazil	Mara Rosa	2017	77.8%	83.0%	72.4%
Brazil	Maraã	2000	57.8%	64.8%	50.0%
Brazil	Maraã	2017	58.8%	65.7%	51.0%
Brazil	Marabá	2000	43.4%	48.6%	39.3%
Brazil	Marabá	2017	44.6%	49.8%	40.4%
Brazil	Marabá Paulista	2000	86.4%	89.7%	82.7%
Brazil	Marabá Paulista	2017	86.8%	90.1%	83.3%
Brazil	Maracaçumé	2000	49.2%	55.9%	43.9%
Brazil	Maracaçumé	2017	50.2%	56.9%	45.0%
Brazil	Maracaí	2000	89.5%	92.0%	87.0%
Brazil	Maracaí	2017	89.9%	92.3%	87.5%
Brazil	Maracajá	2000	76.8%	81.0%	72.3%
Brazil	Maracajá	2017	77.5%	81.6%	73.1%
Brazil	Maracaju	2000	80.8%	84.2%	77.4%
Brazil	Maracaju	2017	81.4%	84.7%	78.1%
Brazil	Maracanã	2000	35.5%	40.4%	30.7%
Brazil	Maracanã	2017	36.4%	41.3%	31.5%
Brazil	Maracanaú	2000	69.4%	71.5%	67.4%
Brazil	Maracanaú	2017	70.2%	72.3%	68.3%
Brazil	Maracás	2000	79.9%	84.4%	74.5%
Brazil	Maracás	2017	80.6%	84.9%	75.2%
Brazil	Maragogi	2000	63.2%	67.3%	57.9%
Brazil	Maragogi	2017	63.9%	68.0%	58.7%
Brazil	Maragogipe	2000	74.7%	78.4%	70.8%
Brazil	Maragogipe	2017	75.7%	79.2%	71.8%
Brazil	Maraial	2000	64.5%	67.4%	61.8%
Brazil	Maraial	2017	65.2%	68.1%	62.5%
Brazil	Marajá do Sena	2000	61.0%	65.7%	56.1%
Brazil	Marajá do Sena	2017	61.9%	66.6%	57.1%
Brazil	Maranguape	2000	69.1%	71.4%	66.7%
Brazil	Maranguape	2017	70.2%	72.5%	67.8%
Brazil	Maranhãozinho	2000	52.6%	58.1%	47.4%
Brazil	Maranhãozinho	2017	53.8%	59.4%	48.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Marapanim	2000	35.1%	39.6%	30.6%
Brazil	Marapanim	2017	36.0%	40.6%	31.4%
Brazil	Marapoama	2000	93.0%	94.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Marapoama	2017	93.2%	95.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Maratá	2000	81.1%	83.9%	78.2%
Brazil	Maratá	2017	81.7%	84.4%	78.9%
Brazil	Marataízes	2000	74.9%	78.4%	70.8%
Brazil	Marataízes	2017	75.7%	79.1%	71.6%
Brazil	Maraú	2000	81.5%	84.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Maraú	2000	70.8%	76.7%	65.4%
Brazil	Maraú	2017	82.1%	85.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Maraú	2017	71.6%	77.3%	66.2%
Brazil	Maravilha	2000	78.8%	82.8%	74.7%
Brazil	Maravilha	2000	61.6%	64.9%	58.1%
Brazil	Maravilha	2017	81.0%	84.7%	77.1%
Brazil	Maravilha	2017	62.5%	65.8%	59.0%
Brazil	Maravilhas	2000	80.8%	85.1%	75.7%
Brazil	Maravilhas	2017	81.4%	85.6%	76.4%
Brazil	Marcação	2000	69.1%	72.5%	65.9%
Brazil	Marcação	2017	69.9%	73.2%	66.8%
Brazil	Marcelândia	2000	66.7%	73.4%	58.6%
Brazil	Marcelândia	2017	67.5%	74.0%	59.5%
Brazil	Marcelino Vieira	2000	76.0%	79.4%	72.6%
Brazil	Marcelino Vieira	2017	76.7%	80.0%	73.4%
Brazil	Marcionílio Dias	2000	75.5%	79.5%	70.8%
Brazil	Marcionílio Dias	2017	76.2%	80.1%	71.6%
Brazil	Marcionílio Souza	2000	75.7%	81.3%	69.8%
Brazil	Marcionílio Souza	2017	76.4%	81.9%	70.6%
Brazil	Marco	2000	68.4%	72.7%	63.1%
Brazil	Marco	2017	69.2%	73.5%	64.0%
Brazil	Marcolândia	2000	64.9%	69.3%	60.1%
Brazil	Marcolândia	2017	65.8%	70.1%	61.0%
Brazil	Marcos Parente	2000	62.2%	68.5%	55.4%
Brazil	Marcos Parente	2017	63.1%	69.4%	56.3%
Brazil	Marechal Cândido Rondon	2000	84.4%	87.8%	80.8%
Brazil	Marechal Cândido Rondon	2017	84.9%	88.3%	81.4%
Brazil	Marechal deodoro	2000	60.3%	63.1%	57.5%
Brazil	Marechal deodoro	2017	61.2%	64.0%	58.4%
Brazil	Marechal Florianópolis	2000	81.3%	83.6%	78.9%
Brazil	Marechal Florianópolis	2017	81.9%	84.2%	79.6%
Brazil	Marechal Thaumaturgo	2000	43.6%	50.1%	37.3%
Brazil	Marechal Thaumaturgo	2017	44.3%	50.8%	38.0%
Brazil	Marema	2000	75.9%	80.6%	71.0%
Brazil	Marema	2017	76.7%	81.3%	71.8%
Brazil	Mari	2000	67.6%	71.2%	64.3%
Brazil	Mari	2017	68.4%	71.9%	65.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Maria da Fé	2000	89.6%	92.1%	86.8%
Brazil	Maria da Fé	2017	90.0%	92.4%	87.3%
Brazil	Maria Helena	2000	83.3%	86.4%	79.7%
Brazil	Maria Helena	2017	83.8%	86.8%	80.3%
Brazil	Marialva	2000	85.8%	88.1%	82.7%
Brazil	Marialva	2017	86.3%	88.4%	83.3%
Brazil	Mariana	2000	80.7%	84.4%	77.1%
Brazil	Mariana	2017	81.3%	84.9%	77.8%
Brazil	Mariana	2000	82.3%	85.5%	79.0%
Brazil	Pimentel				
Brazil	Mariana	2017	82.8%	86.0%	79.6%
Brazil	Pimentel				
Brazil	Mariano Moro	2000	76.6%	80.1%	72.5%
Brazil	Mariano Moro	2017	77.2%	80.7%	73.2%
Brazil	Marianópolis	2000	66.7%	72.8%	59.9%
Brazil	Marianópolis do Tocantins				
Brazil	Marianópolis do Tocantins	2017	67.5%	73.6%	60.8%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2000	89.5%	92.2%	86.2%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2017	89.8%	92.5%	86.7%
Brazil	Maribondo	2000	58.2%	61.7%	55.4%
Brazil	Maribondo	2017	59.2%	62.6%	56.3%
Brazil	Maricá	2000	77.5%	79.7%	74.7%
Brazil	Maricá	2017	78.4%	80.6%	75.7%
Brazil	Marilac	2000	80.0%	85.1%	74.6%
Brazil	Marilac	2017	80.6%	85.6%	75.4%
Brazil	Marilândia	2000	79.0%	81.5%	75.8%
Brazil	Marilândia	2017	79.6%	82.1%	76.5%
Brazil	Marilândia do Sul	2000	84.6%	87.5%	81.5%
Brazil	Marilândia do Sul	2017	85.1%	87.9%	82.1%
Brazil	Marilena	2000	83.6%	87.2%	79.6%
Brazil	Marilena	2017	84.1%	87.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Marília	2000	93.0%	94.8%	90.3%
Brazil	Marília	2017	93.2%	95.0%	90.6%
Brazil	Mariluz	2000	85.0%	88.5%	81.6%
Brazil	Mariluz	2017	85.5%	88.9%	82.2%
Brazil	Maringá	2000	85.5%	88.0%	82.5%
Brazil	Maringá	2017	86.1%	88.5%	83.2%
Brazil	Marinópolis	2000	88.0%	91.3%	84.1%
Brazil	Marinópolis	2017	88.4%	91.6%	84.6%
Brazil	Mário Campos	2000	82.4%	85.0%	79.6%
Brazil	Mário Campos	2017	83.0%	85.5%	80.2%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2000	80.5%	84.6%	76.3%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2017	81.1%	85.1%	77.0%
Brazil	Maripá	2000	84.0%	87.3%	80.4%
Brazil	Maripá	2017	84.5%	87.8%	81.0%
Brazil	Maripá de Minas	2000	80.4%	83.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Maripá de Minas	2017	81.0%	84.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Marituba	2000	36.7%	39.2%	34.3%
Brazil	Marituba	2017	37.5%	40.0%	35.1%
Brazil	Marizópolis	2000	70.3%	74.1%	66.6%
Brazil	Marizópolis	2017	71.1%	74.9%	67.4%
Brazil	Marliéria	2000	81.0%	84.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Marliéria	2017	81.0%	84.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Marmeleiro	2000	81.6%	85.9%	76.8%
Brazil	Marmeleiro	2017	82.2%	86.4%	77.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Marmelópolis	2000	89.8%	92.0%	87.5%
Brazil	Marmelópolis	2017	90.1%	92.3%	87.9%
Brazil	Marques de Souza	2000	81.4%	85.0%	77.8%
Brazil	Marques de Souza	2017	82.0%	85.5%	78.4%
Brazil	Marquinho	2000	82.9%	86.6%	78.5%
Brazil	Marquinho	2017	83.5%	87.1%	79.2%
Brazil	Martinho Campos	2000	79.9%	84.8%	73.7%
Brazil	Martinho Campos	2017	80.5%	85.3%	74.5%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2000	69.7%	74.2%	64.5%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2017	70.6%	75.0%	65.5%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2000	90.0%	92.7%	87.2%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2017	90.3%	93.0%	87.6%
Brazil	Martins	2000	79.0%	82.0%	75.7%
Brazil	Martins	2017	79.6%	82.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Martins Soares	2000	81.3%	84.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Martins Soares	2017	81.9%	85.3%	78.4%
Brazil	Maruim	2000	73.6%	75.7%	70.9%
Brazil	Maruim	2017	74.4%	76.4%	71.7%
Brazil	Marumbi	2000	84.3%	87.1%	81.0%
Brazil	Marumbi	2017	84.8%	87.5%	81.6%
Brazil	Marzagão	2000	77.4%	81.4%	72.9%
Brazil	Marzagão	2017	78.1%	82.0%	73.7%
Brazil	Mascote	2000	77.8%	82.9%	71.9%
Brazil	Mascote	2017	78.4%	83.3%	72.6%
Brazil	Massapê	2000	68.6%	72.3%	64.7%
Brazil	Massapê	2017	69.4%	73.1%	65.6%
Brazil	Massapê do Piauí	2000	61.1%	65.8%	56.1%
Brazil	Massapê do Piauí	2017	61.9%	66.7%	57.1%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2000	66.3%	69.2%	63.0%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2000	76.1%	79.2%	72.6%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2017	67.1%	70.0%	63.9%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2017	76.8%	79.9%	73.4%
Brazil	Mata	2000	81.6%	86.0%	76.3%
Brazil	Mata	2017	82.2%	86.5%	77.0%
Brazil	Mata de São João	2000	76.4%	79.7%	72.9%
Brazil	Mata de São João	2017	77.1%	80.3%	73.6%
Brazil	Mata Grande	2000	63.5%	67.1%	59.2%
Brazil	Mata Grande	2017	64.5%	68.0%	60.1%
Brazil	Mata Roma	2000	61.6%	66.2%	56.7%
Brazil	Mata Roma	2017	62.5%	67.1%	57.6%
Brazil	Mata Verde	2000	81.8%	86.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Mata Verde	2017	82.4%	86.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Matão	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Matão	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Mataraca	2000	73.1%	76.4%	69.9%
Brazil	Mataraca	2017	75.6%	78.7%	72.6%
Brazil	Mateira	2000	80.5%	84.7%	75.6%
Brazil	Mateira	2017	81.1%	85.2%	76.3%
Brazil	Mateiros	2000	72.4%	79.6%	64.0%
Brazil	Mateiros	2017	73.1%	80.3%	64.9%
Brazil	Matelândia	2000	84.0%	87.4%	80.7%
Brazil	Matelândia	2017	84.5%	87.9%	81.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Materlândia	2000	81.9%	86.6%	75.9%
Brazil	Materlândia	2017	82.5%	87.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Mates do Norte	2000	61.0%	65.8%	55.8%
Brazil	Mates do Norte	2017	61.9%	66.7%	56.8%
Brazil	Mateus Leme	2000	81.0%	84.2%	77.2%
Brazil	Mateus Leme	2017	81.6%	84.7%	77.9%
Brazil	Mathias Lobato	2000	79.2%	84.4%	74.2%
Brazil	Mathias Lobato	2017	79.9%	84.9%	74.9%
Brazil	Matias Barbosa	2000	81.0%	84.1%	77.7%
Brazil	Matias Barbosa	2017	81.6%	84.6%	78.4%
Brazil	Matias Cardoso	2000	80.1%	86.2%	73.1%
Brazil	Matias Cardoso	2017	80.6%	86.5%	73.7%
Brazil	Matias Olímpio	2000	60.7%	65.3%	56.0%
Brazil	Matias Olímpio	2017	61.7%	66.2%	57.0%
Brazil	Matina	2000	77.6%	83.1%	71.5%
Brazil	Matina	2017	78.3%	83.6%	72.3%
Brazil	Matinha	2000	60.9%	65.6%	56.1%
Brazil	Matinha	2017	61.8%	66.5%	57.1%
Brazil	Matinhas	2000	66.1%	69.0%	62.9%
Brazil	Matinhas	2017	66.9%	69.8%	63.7%
Brazil	Matinhos	2000	76.7%	81.0%	71.9%
Brazil	Matinhos	2017	77.4%	81.6%	72.7%
Brazil	Matipó	2000	81.6%	85.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Matipó	2017	82.2%	85.5%	78.1%
Brazil	Mato Castelhano	2000	81.1%	84.4%	76.4%
Brazil	Mato Castelhano	2017	81.7%	84.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2000	75.4%	79.0%	72.0%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2000	59.0%	68.0%	49.0%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2017	60.6%	69.5%	50.8%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2017	76.2%	79.6%	72.8%
Brazil	Mato Leitão	2000	80.3%	83.8%	75.9%
Brazil	Mato Leitão	2017	80.9%	84.4%	76.6%
Brazil	Mato Rico	2000	83.9%	87.1%	79.9%
Brazil	Mato Rico	2017	84.5%	87.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Mato Verde	2000	80.3%	86.1%	74.4%
Brazil	Mato Verde	2017	81.0%	86.6%	75.2%
Brazil	Matões	2000	60.2%	64.7%	55.5%
Brazil	Matões	2017	61.1%	65.6%	56.5%
Brazil	Matos Costa	2000	80.5%	84.3%	76.5%
Brazil	Matos Costa	2017	81.0%	84.8%	77.1%
Brazil	Matozinhos	2000	81.1%	84.3%	77.7%
Brazil	Matozinhos	2017	81.7%	84.9%	78.4%
Brazil	Matrinchã	2000	73.8%	79.3%	67.2%
Brazil	Matrinchã	2017	74.6%	80.0%	68.1%
Brazil	Matriz de Camaragibe	2000	61.2%	64.0%	57.9%
Brazil	Matriz de Camaragibe	2017	62.1%	64.9%	58.9%
Brazil	Matupá	2000	65.3%	71.9%	57.6%
Brazil	Matupá	2017	66.2%	72.8%	58.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Maturéia	2000	74.1%	78.0%	69.8%
Brazil	Maturéia	2017	74.9%	78.7%	70.7%
Brazil	Matutina	2000	81.0%	86.2%	74.3%
Brazil	Matutina	2017	81.6%	86.6%	75.0%
Brazil	Mauá	2000	94.7%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Mauá	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Mauá da Serra	2000	85.5%	88.5%	82.1%
Brazil	Mauá da Serra	2017	86.0%	88.9%	82.7%
Brazil	Maués	2000	58.1%	59.7%	56.3%
Brazil	Maués	2017	59.1%	60.6%	57.3%
Brazil	Maurilândia	2000	78.1%	82.4%	73.3%
Brazil	Maurilândia	2017	78.8%	83.0%	74.0%
Brazil	Maurilândia	2000	69.3%	73.9%	64.2%
Brazil	Maurilândia do Tocantins	2017	70.2%	74.7%	65.3%
Brazil	Maxaranguape	2000	82.6%	85.3%	79.4%
Brazil	Maxaranguape	2017	83.2%	85.8%	80.0%
Brazil	Maximiliano de Almeida	2000	77.2%	81.0%	72.9%
Brazil	Maximiliano de Almeida	2017	77.9%	81.6%	73.7%
Brazil	Mazagão	2000	43.9%	47.0%	40.7%
Brazil	Mazagão	2017	44.8%	47.9%	41.6%
Brazil	Me do Rio	2000	39.3%	44.4%	34.8%
Brazil	Me do Rio	2017	40.3%	45.4%	35.7%
Brazil	Medeiros	2000	83.2%	87.7%	77.7%
Brazil	Medeiros	2017	83.7%	88.1%	78.4%
Brazil	Medeiros Neto	2000	79.3%	83.8%	73.7%
Brazil	Medeiros Neto	2017	79.9%	84.3%	74.5%
Brazil	Medianeira	2000	84.5%	87.8%	81.4%
Brazil	Medianeira	2017	85.1%	88.2%	82.0%
Brazil	Medicilândia	2000	41.5%	51.1%	32.6%
Brazil	Medicilândia	2017	42.5%	52.0%	33.4%
Brazil	Medina	2000	82.6%	87.0%	76.9%
Brazil	Medina	2017	83.2%	87.5%	77.6%
Brazil	Meleiro	2000	79.7%	83.5%	75.8%
Brazil	Meleiro	2017	80.3%	84.0%	76.5%
Brazil	Melgaco	2000	38.8%	45.7%	33.6%
Brazil	Melgaco	2017	39.7%	46.7%	34.4%
Brazil	Melgaço	2000	40.6%	47.7%	34.5%
Brazil	Melgaço	2017	41.6%	48.6%	35.4%
Brazil	Mendes	2000	82.2%	84.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Mendes	2017	82.8%	84.8%	80.2%
Brazil	Mendes	2000	79.5%	84.8%	75.2%
Brazil	Pimentel	2017	80.1%	85.3%	75.9%
Brazil	Mendes Pimentel	2000	92.9%	94.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Mendonça	2017	93.1%	94.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Mercedes	2000	82.6%	86.4%	78.8%
Brazil	Mercedes	2017	83.2%	86.8%	79.4%
Brazil	Mercês	2000	80.5%	84.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Mercês	2017	81.2%	84.5%	77.1%
Brazil	Meridiano	2000	90.2%	93.4%	87.1%
Brazil	Meridiano	2017	90.5%	93.6%	87.6%
Brazil	Meruoca	2000	71.5%	75.1%	67.9%
Brazil	Meruoca	2017	72.3%	75.7%	68.7%
Brazil	Mesópolis	2000	86.7%	90.6%	82.8%
Brazil	Mesópolis	2017	87.2%	90.9%	83.3%
Brazil	Mesquita	2000	80.2%	84.5%	75.3%
Brazil	Mesquita	2017	80.8%	85.0%	75.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Messias	2000	62.2%	64.7%	59.5%
Brazil	Messias	2017	63.1%	65.6%	60.4%
Brazil	Miguel Alves	2000	60.6%	64.8%	56.4%
Brazil	Miguel Alves	2017	61.6%	65.6%	57.3%
Brazil	Miguel Calmon	2000	80.5%	84.7%	75.8%
Brazil	Miguel Calmon	2017	81.1%	85.1%	76.5%
Brazil	Miguel Leão	2000	59.9%	65.0%	55.0%
Brazil	Miguel Leão	2017	60.8%	65.9%	56.0%
Brazil	Miguel Pereira	2000	81.7%	83.9%	79.2%
Brazil	Miguel Pereira	2017	82.2%	84.3%	79.8%
Brazil	Miguelópolis	2000	88.7%	91.7%	85.3%
Brazil	Miguelópolis	2017	89.0%	92.0%	85.8%
Brazil	Milagres	2000	66.9%	70.4%	63.4%
Brazil	Milagres	2000	81.4%	85.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Milagres	2017	67.8%	71.2%	64.3%
Brazil	Milagres	2017	82.0%	85.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Milagres do Maranhão	2000	59.9%	64.6%	54.6%
Brazil	Milagres do Maranhão	2017	60.8%	65.5%	55.6%
Brazil	Milhã	2000	70.3%	74.9%	65.7%
Brazil	Milhã	2017	71.1%	75.6%	66.6%
Brazil	Milton Brandão	2000	65.0%	69.3%	60.1%
Brazil	Milton Brandão	2017	65.8%	70.1%	61.0%
Brazil	Mimoso de Goiás	2000	87.7%	90.6%	84.4%
Brazil	Mimoso de Goiás	2017	88.1%	90.9%	84.9%
Brazil	Mimoso do Sul	2000	77.6%	80.9%	74.0%
Brazil	Mimoso do Sul	2017	78.2%	81.5%	74.7%
Brazil	Minaçu	2000	77.6%	83.5%	71.6%
Brazil	Minaçu	2017	78.3%	84.0%	72.4%
Brazil	Minador do Negrão	2000	63.1%	66.6%	59.3%
Brazil	Minador do Negrão	2017	64.0%	67.4%	60.3%
Brazil	Minas do Leão	2000	81.6%	85.4%	77.7%
Brazil	Minas do Leão	2017	82.2%	85.8%	78.4%
Brazil	Minas Novas	2000	83.1%	87.7%	77.8%
Brazil	Minas Novas	2017	83.6%	88.1%	78.4%
Brazil	Minduri	2000	83.9%	87.2%	80.0%
Brazil	Minduri	2017	84.4%	87.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Mineiros	2000	77.2%	83.0%	71.0%
Brazil	Mineiros	2017	77.9%	83.6%	71.8%
Brazil	Mineiros do Tietê	2000	93.5%	95.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Mineiros do Tietê	2017	93.8%	95.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Ministro Andreazza	2000	30.8%	34.4%	27.9%
Brazil	Ministro Andreazza	2017	31.6%	35.3%	28.6%
Brazil	Mira Estrela	2000	87.8%	91.2%	84.3%
Brazil	Mira Estrela	2017	88.2%	91.5%	84.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mirabela	2000	81.9%	86.9%	76.1%
Brazil	Mirabela	2017	82.5%	87.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Miracatu	2000	90.7%	93.0%	87.9%
Brazil	Miracatu	2017	91.0%	93.3%	88.3%
Brazil	Miracema	2000	77.8%	81.4%	73.4%
Brazil	Miracema	2017	78.4%	82.0%	74.2%
Brazil	Miracema do Tocantins	2000	74.0%	78.3%	70.0%
Brazil	Miracema do Tocantins	2017	74.7%	79.0%	70.8%
Brazil	Mirador	2000	60.2%	66.4%	53.2%
Brazil	Mirador	2000	83.3%	86.6%	79.8%
Brazil	Mirador	2017	61.2%	67.3%	54.3%
Brazil	Mirador	2017	83.9%	87.0%	80.4%
Brazil	Miradouro	2000	81.3%	84.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Miradouro	2017	81.9%	85.3%	78.2%
Brazil	Miraguai	2000	80.4%	84.4%	76.0%
Brazil	Miraguai	2017	81.1%	85.0%	76.7%
Brazil	Mirai	2000	81.0%	84.3%	77.4%
Brazil	Mirai	2017	81.6%	84.8%	78.1%
Brazil	Miraíma	2000	69.4%	73.1%	64.8%
Brazil	Miraíma	2017	70.2%	73.7%	65.5%
Brazil	Miranda	2000	79.7%	84.2%	73.5%
Brazil	Miranda	2017	80.0%	84.6%	74.0%
Brazil	Miranda do Norte	2000	62.2%	66.9%	58.2%
Brazil	Miranda do Norte	2017	63.1%	67.8%	59.1%
Brazil	Mirandiba	2000	66.7%	71.8%	61.6%
Brazil	Mirandiba	2017	67.5%	72.5%	62.5%
Brazil	Mirandópolis	2000	90.4%	92.6%	87.8%
Brazil	Mirandópolis	2017	90.7%	92.9%	88.2%
Brazil	Mirangaba	2000	78.0%	82.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Mirangaba	2017	78.7%	83.3%	73.5%
Brazil	Miranorte	2000	73.9%	78.7%	69.7%
Brazil	Miranorte	2017	74.6%	79.3%	70.4%
Brazil	Mirante	2000	77.6%	83.4%	71.8%
Brazil	Mirante	2017	78.3%	83.9%	72.6%
Brazil	Mirante da Serra	2000	29.7%	33.6%	26.0%
Brazil	Mirante da Serra	2017	30.6%	34.5%	26.8%
Brazil	Mirante do Parana-panema	2000	86.5%	89.7%	83.2%
Brazil	Mirante do Parana-panema	2017	87.0%	90.0%	83.7%
Brazil	Miraselva	2000	86.7%	89.3%	83.8%
Brazil	Miraselva	2017	87.1%	89.7%	84.3%
Brazil	Mirassol	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.1%
Brazil	Mirassol	2017	92.6%	94.2%	90.4%
Brazil	Mirassol d'Oeste	2000	71.0%	76.5%	63.7%
Brazil	Mirassol d'Oeste	2017	71.8%	77.3%	64.6%
Brazil	Mirassolândia	2000	91.4%	93.5%	88.8%
Brazil	Mirassolândia	2017	91.7%	93.7%	89.2%
Brazil	Miravânia	2000	79.6%	85.6%	72.0%
Brazil	Miravânia	2017	80.2%	86.1%	72.8%
Brazil	Mirim doce	2000	73.7%	78.2%	68.5%
Brazil	Mirim doce	2017	74.5%	78.9%	69.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mirinzal	2000	59.7%	65.8%	52.6%
Brazil	Mirinzal	2017	60.6%	66.7%	53.6%
Brazil	Missal	2000	82.8%	86.9%	78.9%
Brazil	Missal	2017	83.3%	87.4%	79.6%
Brazil	Misso Velha	2000	68.1%	71.3%	65.0%
Brazil	Misso Velha	2017	68.9%	72.1%	65.9%
Brazil	Mocajuba	2000	38.2%	44.4%	32.9%
Brazil	Mocajuba	2017	39.1%	45.4%	33.7%
Brazil	Mococa	2000	89.7%	92.0%	86.8%
Brazil	Mococa	2017	90.1%	92.2%	87.2%
Brazil	Modelo	2000	78.4%	82.3%	73.9%
Brazil	Modelo	2017	79.0%	82.9%	74.6%
Brazil	Moeda	2000	81.3%	84.4%	78.2%
Brazil	Moeda	2017	81.9%	84.9%	78.9%
Brazil	Moema	2000	81.0%	85.1%	76.0%
Brazil	Moema	2017	81.6%	85.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Mogi das Cruzes	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Mogi das Cruzes	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Mogi Guaçu	2000	92.1%	93.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Mogi Guaçu	2017	92.4%	93.9%	90.5%
Brazil	Mogi Mirim	2000	92.6%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Mogi Mirim	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Moiporá	2000	76.2%	81.0%	71.2%
Brazil	Moiporá	2017	76.9%	81.6%	72.0%
Brazil	Moita Bonita	2000	76.0%	78.3%	73.5%
Brazil	Moita Bonita	2017	76.8%	79.0%	74.2%
Brazil	Moju	2000	38.9%	42.7%	34.9%
Brazil	Moju	2017	39.8%	43.7%	35.8%
Brazil	Mombaça	2000	69.8%	73.9%	65.5%
Brazil	Mombaça	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Mombaça	2017	70.7%	74.6%	66.4%
Brazil	Mombaça	2017	93.4%	94.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Monção	2000	60.3%	64.7%	55.3%
Brazil	Monção	2017	61.2%	65.6%	56.3%
Brazil	Monções	2000	91.4%	93.9%	88.6%
Brazil	Monções	2017	91.7%	94.1%	89.0%
Brazil	Mondai	2000	76.9%	81.0%	72.0%
Brazil	Mondai	2017	77.7%	81.6%	72.8%
Brazil	Mongaguá	2000	89.4%	91.4%	86.9%
Brazil	Mongaguá	2017	89.7%	91.7%	87.3%
Brazil	Mongeiro	2000	67.8%	70.8%	64.9%
Brazil	Mongeiro	2017	67.4%	70.4%	64.4%
Brazil	Monjolos	2000	81.7%	86.6%	75.5%
Brazil	Monjolos	2017	82.3%	87.1%	76.2%
Brazil	Monsenhor Gil	2000	59.0%	63.6%	54.0%
Brazil	Monsenhor Gil	2017	59.9%	64.5%	54.9%
Brazil	Monsenhor Hipólito	2000	63.5%	68.2%	59.8%
Brazil	Monsenhor Hipólito	2017	64.5%	69.1%	60.8%
Brazil	Monsenhor Paulo	2000	84.8%	87.7%	81.1%
Brazil	Monsenhor Paulo	2017	85.3%	88.1%	81.7%
Brazil	Monsenhor Tabosa	2000	71.9%	76.4%	67.5%
Brazil	Monsenhor Tabosa	2017	72.6%	77.1%	68.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Montadas	2000	70.8%	73.8%	67.9%
Brazil	Montadas	2017	71.6%	74.6%	68.8%
Brazil	Montalvânia	2000	79.4%	85.5%	71.9%
Brazil	Montalvânia	2017	80.1%	86.0%	72.7%
Brazil	Montanha	2000	79.3%	83.5%	75.3%
Brazil	Montanha	2017	79.9%	84.1%	76.0%
Brazil	Montanhas	2000	75.7%	78.3%	73.3%
Brazil	Montanhas	2017	76.5%	78.9%	74.1%
Brazil	Montauri	2000	81.2%	84.5%	77.4%
Brazil	Montauri	2017	81.9%	85.0%	78.1%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2000	80.9%	83.0%	78.7%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2000	40.2%	47.3%	33.3%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2017	81.8%	83.9%	79.7%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2017	41.2%	48.2%	34.4%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Goiás	2000	77.4%	82.5%	71.8%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Goiás	2017	78.0%	83.1%	72.5%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Minas	2000	82.0%	86.1%	77.2%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Minas	2017	82.6%	86.6%	77.8%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Sergipe	2000	71.8%	74.6%	67.9%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Sergipe	2017	72.6%	75.4%	68.8%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Piauí	2000	64.4%	71.3%	57.3%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Piauí	2017	65.3%	72.0%	58.2%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Sul	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.2%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Sul	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Monte Alegre dos Campos	2000	79.6%	83.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Monte Alegre dos Campos	2017	80.2%	83.9%	76.2%
Brazil	Monte Alto	2000	93.7%	95.0%	91.8%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2000	92.0%	94.1%	89.2%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2017	92.3%	94.3%	89.6%
Brazil	Monte Azul	2000	79.9%	85.4%	74.0%
Brazil	Monte Azul	2017	80.5%	85.9%	74.8%
Brazil	Monte Azul Paulista	2000	92.7%	94.4%	90.8%
Brazil	Monte Azul Paulista	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Monte Belo	2000	85.5%	88.7%	81.7%
Brazil	Monte Belo	2017	86.0%	89.1%	82.3%
Brazil	Monte Belo do Sul	2000	81.9%	85.2%	78.9%
Brazil	Monte Belo do Sul	2017	82.5%	85.6%	79.5%
Brazil	Monte Carlo	2000	78.2%	82.5%	73.9%
Brazil	Monte Carlo	2017	78.8%	83.1%	74.7%
Brazil	Monte Carmelo	2000	81.2%	85.8%	75.8%
Brazil	Monte Carmelo	2017	81.8%	86.2%	76.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2000	76.9%	80.9%	72.7%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2000	87.5%	90.1%	83.9%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2017	77.5%	81.5%	73.5%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2017	87.9%	90.4%	84.5%
Brazil	Monte das Gameleiras	2000	80.6%	83.1%	77.8%
Brazil	Monte das Gameleiras	2017	81.1%	83.6%	78.4%
Brazil	Monte do Carmo	2000	76.9%	80.7%	72.9%
Brazil	Monte do Carmo	2017	77.5%	81.3%	73.7%
Brazil	Monte Formoso	2000	82.5%	86.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Monte Formoso	2017	83.1%	87.3%	77.8%
Brazil	Monte Horebe	2000	70.3%	74.2%	66.3%
Brazil	Monte Horebe	2017	71.1%	75.0%	67.2%
Brazil	Monte Mor	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Monte Mor	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Monte Negro	2000	28.5%	32.4%	24.8%
Brazil	Monte Negro	2017	29.2%	33.2%	25.6%
Brazil	Monte Santo	2000	75.5%	80.3%	69.6%
Brazil	Monte Santo	2017	76.2%	80.9%	70.4%
Brazil	Monte Santo de Minas	2000	89.3%	91.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Monte Santo de Minas	2017	89.6%	92.2%	86.4%
Brazil	Monte Santo do Tocantins	2000	73.4%	78.0%	68.9%
Brazil	Monte Santo do Tocantins	2017	74.1%	78.7%	69.7%
Brazil	Monte São	2000	90.5%	92.5%	88.3%
Brazil	Monte São	2017	90.8%	92.7%	88.7%
Brazil	Monteiro	2000	66.1%	70.0%	61.8%
Brazil	Monteiro	2017	66.9%	70.8%	62.8%
Brazil	Monteiro Lobato	2000	91.6%	93.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Monteiro Lobato	2017	91.9%	93.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Monteirópolis	2000	62.9%	66.2%	59.8%
Brazil	Monteirópolis	2017	63.8%	67.0%	60.7%
Brazil	Montenegro	2000	80.9%	83.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Montenegro	2017	81.5%	84.0%	78.8%
Brazil	Montes Altos	2000	69.3%	75.1%	63.9%
Brazil	Montes Altos	2017	70.1%	75.8%	64.8%
Brazil	Montes Claros	2000	83.5%	87.7%	78.2%
Brazil	Montes Claros	2017	84.0%	88.1%	78.9%
Brazil	Montes Claros de Goiás	2000	73.5%	79.0%	67.1%
Brazil	Montes Claros de Goiás	2017	74.2%	79.7%	68.0%
Brazil	Montezuma	2000	81.8%	86.9%	75.7%
Brazil	Montezuma	2017	82.4%	87.3%	76.4%
Brazil	Montividiu	2000	77.7%	82.4%	71.9%
Brazil	Montividiu	2017	78.4%	83.0%	72.8%
Brazil	Montividiu do Norte	2000	78.5%	83.1%	73.0%
Brazil	Montividiu do Norte	2017	79.1%	83.6%	73.7%
Brazil	Morada Nova	2000	71.9%	75.7%	67.8%
Brazil	Morada Nova	2017	72.7%	76.4%	68.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Morada Nova de Minas	2000	80.4%	85.8%	72.6%
Brazil	Morada Nova de Minas	2017	81.0%	86.3%	73.4%
Brazil	Moraújo	2000	66.3%	70.4%	62.0%
Brazil	Moraújo	2017	67.2%	71.3%	62.9%
Brazil	Moreira Sales	2000	84.1%	87.7%	80.1%
Brazil	Moreira Sales	2017	84.6%	88.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Moreno	2000	65.7%	68.0%	63.3%
Brazil	Moreno	2017	66.5%	68.8%	64.2%
Brazil	Mormaço	2000	81.7%	85.3%	77.3%
Brazil	Mormaço	2017	82.3%	85.8%	77.9%
Brazil	Morpará	2000	73.3%	80.4%	64.7%
Brazil	Morpará	2017	74.1%	81.0%	65.6%
Brazil	Morretes	2000	77.7%	81.1%	73.2%
Brazil	Morretes	2017	78.4%	81.7%	74.0%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2000	76.7%	80.4%	72.3%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2000	68.3%	72.4%	63.5%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2017	77.4%	81.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2017	69.2%	73.2%	64.4%
Brazil	Morrinhos do Sul	2000	77.4%	81.7%	72.5%
Brazil	Morrinhos do Sul	2017	78.0%	82.3%	73.2%
Brazil	Morro Agudo	2000	90.8%	93.2%	88.2%
Brazil	Morro Agudo	2017	91.1%	93.5%	88.6%
Brazil	Morro Agudo de Goiás	2000	77.1%	81.7%	72.2%
Brazil	Morro Agudo de Goiás	2017	77.8%	82.2%	73.0%
Brazil	Morro Cabeça No Tempo	2000	65.9%	72.4%	58.6%
Brazil	Morro Cabeça No Tempo	2017	66.7%	73.2%	59.6%
Brazil	Morro da Fumaça	2000	72.7%	76.9%	68.0%
Brazil	Morro da Fumaça	2017	73.5%	77.6%	68.8%
Brazil	Morro da Garça	2000	81.1%	86.1%	74.8%
Brazil	Morro da Garça	2017	81.7%	86.6%	75.5%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu	2000	79.6%	84.5%	75.1%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu	2017	80.2%	84.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu do Piauí	2000	60.8%	65.1%	56.5%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu do Piauí	2017	61.7%	66.0%	57.5%
Brazil	Morro do Pilar	2000	80.3%	84.1%	75.6%
Brazil	Morro do Pilar	2017	80.9%	84.6%	76.4%
Brazil	Morro Grande	2000	78.2%	82.4%	74.0%
Brazil	Morro Grande	2017	78.9%	83.0%	74.7%
Brazil	Morro Redondo	2000	82.2%	85.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Morro Redondo	2017	82.8%	86.1%	78.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Morro Reuter	2000	82.9%	85.3%	80.4%
Brazil	Morro Reuter	2017	83.4%	85.8%	81.0%
Brazil	Morros	2000	60.0%	64.4%	55.8%
Brazil	Morros	2017	61.0%	65.3%	55.8%
Brazil	Mortugaba	2000	79.5%	84.7%	72.5%
Brazil	Mortugaba	2017	80.1%	85.2%	73.2%
Brazil	Morungaba	2000	92.3%	93.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Morungaba	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Mosquito	2000	71.9%	76.1%	67.5%
Brazil	Mosquito	2017	72.7%	76.8%	68.3%
Brazil	Mossâmedes	2000	76.0%	80.3%	71.7%
Brazil	Mossâmedes	2017	76.7%	80.9%	72.5%
Brazil	Mossoró	2000	81.1%	83.4%	78.3%
Brazil	Mossoró	2017	81.9%	84.2%	79.2%
Brazil	Mostardas	2000	81.9%	87.0%	76.1%
Brazil	Mostardas	2017	82.4%	87.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Motuca	2000	94.0%	95.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Motuca	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Mozarlândia	2000	75.1%	81.2%	67.5%
Brazil	Mozarlândia	2017	75.9%	81.9%	68.5%
Brazil	Muaná	2000	36.0%	41.4%	31.1%
Brazil	Muaná	2017	36.9%	42.3%	31.9%
Brazil	Mucajaí	2000	85.6%	87.6%	83.4%
Brazil	Mucajaí	2017	86.1%	88.1%	84.0%
Brazil	Mucambo	2000	65.0%	69.4%	60.8%
Brazil	Mucambo	2017	65.9%	70.3%	61.8%
Brazil	Mucugê	2000	79.5%	84.5%	73.9%
Brazil	Mucugê	2017	80.2%	85.0%	74.7%
Brazil	Muçum	2000	78.6%	82.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Muçum	2017	79.3%	83.0%	75.5%
Brazil	Mucuri	2000	76.4%	81.4%	71.0%
Brazil	Mucuri	2017	77.1%	81.9%	71.7%
Brazil	Mucurici	2000	79.5%	83.5%	75.0%
Brazil	Mucurici	2017	80.1%	84.1%	75.7%
Brazil	Muitos	2000	79.4%	83.6%	75.3%
Brazil	Muitos Capões	2017	80.0%	84.2%	76.0%
Brazil	Muliterno	2000	80.2%	84.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Muliterno	2017	80.8%	84.5%	76.7%
Brazil	Mulungu	2000	72.9%	76.7%	69.1%
Brazil	Mulungu	2000	67.8%	70.8%	64.2%
Brazil	Mulungu	2017	74.8%	78.4%	71.3%
Brazil	Mulungu	2017	68.6%	71.6%	65.1%
Brazil	Mulungu do Morro	2000	78.8%	84.0%	73.3%
Brazil	Mulungu do Morro	2017	79.5%	84.5%	74.1%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	81.6%	86.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	78.6%	83.7%	74.0%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	74.2%	80.6%	66.4%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	79.2%	84.2%	74.7%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	74.9%	81.2%	67.3%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	82.1%	86.4%	78.1%
Brazil	Munhoz	2000	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Munhoz	2017	93.6%	94.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Munhoz de Melo	2000	85.7%	88.4%	83.1%
Brazil	Munhoz de Melo	2017	86.2%	88.8%	83.6%
Brazil	Muniz Ferreira	2000	76.1%	79.9%	71.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Muniz Ferreira	2017	76.7%	80.5%	72.5%
Brazil	Muniz Freire	2000	80.4%	83.6%	77.2%
Brazil	Muniz Freire	2017	81.0%	84.1%	77.9%
Brazil	Muquém de São Francisco	2000	75.5%	82.1%	66.2%
Brazil	Muquém de São Francisco	2017	76.1%	82.7%	67.0%
Brazil	Muqui	2000	78.0%	81.5%	74.5%
Brazil	Muqui	2017	78.7%	82.0%	75.3%
Brazil	Muriaé	2000	79.8%	83.3%	76.2%
Brazil	Muriaé	2017	80.4%	83.9%	76.9%
Brazil	Muribeca	2000	73.0%	75.6%	69.8%
Brazil	Muribeca	2017	73.8%	76.3%	70.6%
Brazil	Murici	2000	61.6%	64.8%	58.8%
Brazil	Murici	2017	62.5%	65.7%	59.7%
Brazil	Murici dos Portelas	2000	59.7%	64.5%	55.2%
Brazil	Murici dos Portelas	2017	60.6%	65.4%	56.2%
Brazil	Muricilândia	2000	68.1%	72.8%	63.4%
Brazil	Muricilândia	2017	69.2%	73.9%	64.6%
Brazil	Muritiba	2000	76.4%	80.0%	72.4%
Brazil	Muritiba	2017	77.1%	80.6%	73.1%
Brazil	Murutinga do Sul	2000	88.4%	90.9%	85.4%
Brazil	Murutinga do Sul	2017	88.8%	91.2%	85.9%
Brazil	Mutuípe	2000	78.0%	81.6%	73.5%
Brazil	Mutuípe	2017	78.7%	82.1%	74.3%
Brazil	Mutum	2000	78.2%	81.7%	74.6%
Brazil	Mutum	2017	78.9%	82.3%	75.4%
Brazil	Mutunópolis	2000	76.4%	81.9%	70.6%
Brazil	Mutunópolis	2017	77.1%	82.5%	71.3%
Brazil	Muzambinho	2000	86.9%	89.8%	83.3%
Brazil	Muzambinho	2017	87.4%	90.2%	83.9%
Brazil	Nacip Raydan	2000	81.4%	85.7%	76.0%
Brazil	Nacip Raydan	2017	82.0%	86.2%	76.7%
Brazil	Nantes	2000	88.0%	90.8%	85.4%
Brazil	Nantes	2017	88.4%	91.1%	85.9%
Brazil	Nanuque	2000	80.1%	84.3%	74.9%
Brazil	Nanuque	2017	80.7%	84.8%	75.6%
Brazil	Naque	2000	78.2%	82.4%	73.0%
Brazil	Naque	2017	78.8%	82.9%	73.7%
Brazil	Narandiba	2000	87.8%	90.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Narandiba	2017	88.3%	91.1%	85.3%
Brazil	Natal	2000	82.0%	83.7%	80.0%
Brazil	Natal	2017	82.5%	84.2%	80.7%
Brazil	Natalândia	2000	84.3%	89.5%	77.3%
Brazil	Natalândia	2017	84.8%	89.9%	77.9%
Brazil	Natércia	2000	87.5%	90.3%	84.2%
Brazil	Natércia	2017	87.9%	90.7%	84.8%
Brazil	Natividade	2000	76.9%	81.5%	71.4%
Brazil	Natividade	2000	79.4%	83.1%	76.0%
Brazil	Natividade	2017	80.0%	83.7%	76.7%
Brazil	Natividade	2017	77.6%	82.1%	72.3%
Brazil	Natividade da Serra	2000	92.8%	94.4%	90.7%
Brazil	Natividade da Serra	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Natuba	2000	66.2%	69.5%	63.3%
Brazil	Natuba	2017	67.2%	70.4%	64.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Navegantes	2000	73.6%	77.4%	69.5%
Brazil	Navegantes	2017	74.3%	78.1%	70.3%
Brazil	Naviraí	2000	82.1%	85.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Naviraí	2017	82.7%	86.0%	78.8%
Brazil	Nazaré	2000	73.3%	77.3%	69.0%
Brazil	Nazaré	2000	75.8%	79.4%	71.9%
Brazil	Nazaré	2017	74.1%	78.0%	69.8%
Brazil	Nazaré	2017	76.5%	80.0%	72.6%
Brazil	Nazaré da Mata	2000	67.3%	70.4%	64.6%
Brazil	Nazaré da Mata	2017	68.2%	71.2%	65.5%
Brazil	Nazaré do Piauí	2000	60.1%	65.1%	54.0%
Brazil	Nazaré do Piauí	2017	61.0%	66.0%	54.9%
Brazil	Nazaré Paulista	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Nazaré Paulista	2017	93.7%	94.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Nazareno	2000	80.2%	84.6%	75.4%
Brazil	Nazareno	2017	80.8%	85.1%	76.1%
Brazil	Nazarezinho	2000	70.5%	74.2%	66.5%
Brazil	Nazarezinho	2017	71.4%	75.0%	67.4%
Brazil	Nazário	2000	77.0%	80.1%	73.6%
Brazil	Nazário	2017	77.6%	80.7%	74.4%
Brazil	Neópolis	2000	69.0%	71.6%	66.4%
Brazil	Neópolis	2017	69.8%	72.4%	67.2%
Brazil	Nepomuceno	2000	82.2%	85.9%	77.8%
Brazil	Nepomuceno	2017	82.8%	86.4%	78.5%
Brazil	Nerópolis	2000	78.0%	80.3%	75.7%
Brazil	Nerópolis	2017	78.6%	80.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Neves Paulista	2000	92.2%	94.1%	89.8%
Brazil	Neves Paulista	2017	92.5%	94.3%	90.2%
Brazil	Nhamundá	2000	51.2%	57.8%	45.5%
Brazil	Nhamundá	2017	52.3%	58.8%	46.6%
Brazil	Nhandeara	2000	91.3%	93.8%	88.3%
Brazil	Nhandeara	2017	91.6%	94.0%	88.7%
Brazil	Nicolau Vergueiro	2000	81.4%	84.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Nicolau Vergueiro	2017	82.0%	85.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Nilo Peçanha	2000	73.1%	77.6%	68.4%
Brazil	Nilo Peçanha	2017	73.8%	78.2%	69.1%
Brazil	Nilópolis	2000	79.4%	80.6%	78.1%
Brazil	Nilópolis	2017	80.0%	81.2%	78.8%
Brazil	Nina Rodrigues	2000	60.6%	65.7%	54.7%
Brazil	Nina Rodrigues	2017	61.5%	66.6%	55.6%
Brazil	Ninheira	2000	79.5%	84.4%	74.2%
Brazil	Ninheira	2017	80.1%	84.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Nioaque	2000	79.3%	83.1%	74.6%
Brazil	Nioaque	2017	79.9%	83.6%	75.4%
Brazil	Nipoã	2000	92.3%	94.3%	89.9%
Brazil	Nipoã	2017	92.6%	94.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Niquelândia	2000	82.4%	86.6%	77.5%
Brazil	Niquelândia	2017	83.5%	87.5%	78.9%
Brazil	Nísia Floresta	2000	79.4%	81.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Nísia Floresta	2017	80.1%	82.1%	77.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Niterói	2000	77.6%	79.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Niterói	2017	78.2%	80.1%	76.2%
Brazil	Nobres	2000	67.0%	73.0%	60.5%
Brazil	Nobres	2017	67.9%	73.8%	61.5%
Brazil	Nonoai	2000	78.4%	81.7%	74.5%
Brazil	Nonoai	2017	79.0%	82.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Nordestina	2000	76.9%	81.7%	71.2%
Brazil	Nordestina	2017	77.6%	82.3%	71.9%
Brazil	Normandia	2000	85.4%	88.4%	81.0%
Brazil	Normandia	2017	85.9%	88.8%	81.7%
Brazil	Nortelândia	2000	67.2%	73.0%	60.1%
Brazil	Nortelândia	2017	68.0%	73.7%	61.0%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora Aprecido	2000	76.7%	79.2%	74.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora Aprecido	2017	77.4%	79.8%	75.0%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora da Glória	2000	75.5%	78.4%	72.4%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora da Glória	2017	76.2%	79.1%	73.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Dores	2000	74.6%	77.0%	71.6%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Dores	2017	75.3%	77.7%	72.4%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Graças	2000	85.4%	88.1%	82.1%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Graças	2017	85.9%	88.5%	82.7%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Lourdes	2000	68.5%	71.6%	65.0%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Lourdes	2017	69.3%	72.4%	65.9%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Nazaré	2000	59.3%	63.7%	54.9%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Nazaré	2017	60.2%	64.6%	55.9%
Brazil	Nossa Sen- hora do Livramento	2000	68.5%	72.4%	64.4%
Brazil	Nossa Sen- hora do Livramento	2017	69.3%	73.1%	65.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Socorro	2000	76.3%	78.0%	74.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Socorro	2017	77.0%	78.7%	75.0%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora dos Remédios	2000	60.3%	65.3%	55.7%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora dos Remédios	2017	61.3%	66.2%	56.7%
Brazil	Nova Aliança	2000	92.7%	94.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Nova Aliança	2017	93.0%	94.7%	90.9%
Brazil	Nova Aliança do Ivaí	2000	83.7%	86.7%	80.0%
Brazil	Nova Aliança do Ivaí	2017	84.3%	87.2%	80.7%
Brazil	Nova Alvo- rada	2000	81.4%	84.8%	77.4%
Brazil	Nova Alvo- rada	2017	82.0%	85.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Nova Alvo- rada do Sul	2000	79.7%	83.2%	76.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Alvorada do Sul	2017	80.3%	83.7%	77.0%
Brazil	Nova América	2000	77.0%	81.6%	71.1%
Brazil	Nova América	2017	77.7%	82.1%	71.9%
Brazil	Nova América da Colina	2000	86.8%	89.1%	84.4%
Brazil	Nova América da Colina	2017	87.3%	89.5%	84.9%
Brazil	Nova Andradina	2000	82.8%	86.3%	79.0%
Brazil	Nova Andradina	2017	83.4%	86.8%	79.6%
Brazil	Nova Araçá	2000	81.0%	84.5%	77.3%
Brazil	Nova Araçá	2017	81.6%	85.0%	78.0%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2000	77.3%	81.7%	71.7%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2000	83.9%	87.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2017	77.9%	82.3%	72.5%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2017	84.4%	88.2%	80.7%
Brazil	Nova Bandeirantes	2000	67.6%	74.8%	59.9%
Brazil	Nova Bandeirantes	2017	69.5%	76.5%	62.0%
Brazil	Nova Bassano	2000	81.1%	84.4%	77.5%
Brazil	Nova Bassano	2017	81.7%	84.9%	78.1%
Brazil	Nova Belém	2000	81.3%	85.2%	77.3%
Brazil	Nova Belém	2017	81.8%	85.6%	78.0%
Brazil	Nova Boa Vista	2000	80.3%	83.9%	76.5%
Brazil	Nova Boa Vista	2017	80.9%	84.4%	77.2%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia	2000	68.4%	75.0%	61.4%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia	2017	69.2%	75.7%	62.3%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia d'Oeste	2000	29.7%	33.3%	25.8%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia d'Oeste	2017	30.5%	34.1%	26.5%
Brazil	Nova Bréscia	2000	83.0%	86.4%	79.6%
Brazil	Nova Bréscia	2017	83.5%	86.9%	80.2%
Brazil	Nova Campina	2000	91.0%	93.5%	88.1%
Brazil	Nova Campina	2017	91.3%	93.7%	88.4%
Brazil	Nova Canaã	2000	80.6%	84.5%	75.5%
Brazil	Nova Canaã	2017	81.2%	85.1%	76.3%
Brazil	Nova Canaã do Norte	2000	68.7%	74.8%	61.7%
Brazil	Nova Canaã do Norte	2017	69.5%	75.6%	62.6%
Brazil	Nova Canaã Paulista	2000	87.4%	90.9%	83.9%
Brazil	Nova Canaã Paulista	2017	87.8%	91.2%	84.4%
Brazil	Nova Candelária	2000	79.6%	84.1%	74.9%
Brazil	Nova Candelária	2017	80.3%	84.6%	75.7%
Brazil	Nova Cantu	2000	83.8%	87.5%	80.0%
Brazil	Nova Cantu	2017	84.3%	87.9%	80.6%
Brazil	Nova Castilho	2000	90.4%	93.2%	87.4%
Brazil	Nova Castilho	2017	90.7%	93.4%	87.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Colinas	2000	67.8%	74.0%	58.6%
Brazil	Nova Colinas	2017	68.6%	74.6%	59.4%
Brazil	Nova Crixás	2000	74.0%	80.2%	66.3%
Brazil	Nova Crixás	2017	74.7%	80.8%	67.2%
Brazil	Nova Cruz	2000	77.3%	79.9%	74.6%
Brazil	Nova Cruz	2017	78.0%	80.5%	75.4%
Brazil	Nova Era	2000	82.2%	85.8%	78.4%
Brazil	Nova Era	2017	82.8%	86.3%	79.1%
Brazil	Nova Erechim	2000	76.6%	81.0%	72.0%
Brazil	Nova Erechim	2017	77.3%	81.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Nova Esperança	2000	84.3%	87.2%	80.8%
Brazil	Nova Esperança	2017	84.8%	87.6%	81.4%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Piriá	2000	42.2%	47.9%	36.8%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Piriá	2017	43.2%	48.9%	37.8%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sudoeste	2000	82.3%	86.0%	78.2%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sudoeste	2017	82.8%	86.5%	78.8%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sul	2000	82.7%	87.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sul	2017	83.3%	87.4%	78.2%
Brazil	Nova Europa	2000	93.2%	94.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Nova Europa	2017	93.4%	95.1%	91.3%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2000	78.7%	82.6%	73.6%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2000	87.9%	90.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2017	79.3%	83.1%	74.4%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2017	88.3%	90.6%	85.8%
Brazil	Nova Floresta	2000	78.2%	81.3%	74.6%
Brazil	Nova Floresta	2017	78.8%	81.9%	75.3%
Brazil	Nova Friburgo	2000	84.8%	86.9%	82.5%
Brazil	Nova Friburgo	2017	85.3%	87.4%	83.1%
Brazil	Nova Glória	2000	78.3%	82.7%	73.5%
Brazil	Nova Glória	2017	78.9%	83.3%	74.2%
Brazil	Nova Granada	2000	91.1%	93.5%	88.7%
Brazil	Nova Granada	2017	91.5%	93.7%	89.1%
Brazil	Nova Guarita	2000	65.4%	71.6%	58.1%
Brazil	Nova Guarita	2017	66.2%	72.3%	59.0%
Brazil	Nova Guataporanga	2000	87.5%	90.3%	84.0%
Brazil	Nova Guataporanga	2017	87.9%	90.7%	84.5%
Brazil	Nova Hartz	2000	80.7%	83.5%	77.9%
Brazil	Nova Hartz	2017	81.3%	84.0%	78.6%
Brazil	Nova Ibiá	2000	76.9%	81.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Nova Ibiá	2017	77.5%	81.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu	2000	78.2%	79.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu	2017	78.8%	80.1%	77.3%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu de Goiás	2000	77.0%	81.9%	71.3%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu de Goiás	2017	77.7%	82.5%	72.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Independência	2000	87.5%	90.2%	84.4%
Brazil	Nova Independência	2017	88.0%	90.6%	84.9%
Brazil	Nova Iorque	2000	60.0%	66.9%	53.1%
Brazil	Nova Iorque	2017	61.0%	67.7%	54.0%
Brazil	Nova Ipixuna	2000	41.7%	47.3%	36.1%
Brazil	Nova Ipixuna	2017	42.7%	48.2%	37.1%
Brazil	Nova Itaberaba	2000	76.1%	80.5%	71.5%
Brazil	Nova Itaberaba	2017	76.9%	81.2%	72.4%
Brazil	Nova Itarana	2000	81.7%	85.5%	76.7%
Brazil	Nova Itarana	2017	82.3%	86.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Nova Lacerda	2000	54.9%	64.3%	47.0%
Brazil	Nova Lacerda	2017	55.9%	65.3%	48.1%
Brazil	Nova Laranjeiras	2000	83.2%	86.9%	78.9%
Brazil	Nova Laranjeiras	2017	83.8%	87.3%	79.5%
Brazil	Nova Lima	2000	83.1%	85.5%	80.4%
Brazil	Nova Lima	2017	83.5%	86.0%	80.9%
Brazil	Nova Londrina	2000	84.6%	88.1%	80.5%
Brazil	Nova Londrina	2017	85.1%	88.5%	81.1%
Brazil	Nova Luzitânia	2000	90.9%	93.5%	87.9%
Brazil	Nova Luzitânia	2017	91.2%	93.7%	88.3%
Brazil	Nova Mamoré	2000	30.6%	36.2%	25.6%
Brazil	Nova Mamoré	2017	31.4%	37.1%	26.4%
Brazil	Nova Marilândia	2000	67.8%	73.5%	60.6%
Brazil	Nova Marilândia	2017	68.6%	74.2%	61.4%
Brazil	Nova Maringá	2000	67.8%	75.1%	60.7%
Brazil	Nova Maringá	2017	68.7%	75.8%	61.7%
Brazil	Nova Mógica	2000	81.5%	86.5%	76.8%
Brazil	Nova Mógica	2017	82.1%	86.9%	77.5%
Brazil	Nova Monte Verde	2000	68.0%	75.0%	59.4%
Brazil	Nova Monte Verde	2017	68.8%	75.7%	60.4%
Brazil	Nova Mutum	2000	69.0%	75.6%	62.6%
Brazil	Nova Mutum	2017	70.9%	77.3%	64.7%
Brazil	Nova Odessa	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Nova Odessa	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2000	66.1%	71.5%	60.5%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2000	83.8%	86.8%	80.4%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2017	67.0%	72.3%	61.5%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2017	84.3%	87.2%	81.0%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	67.0%	71.0%	62.6%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	74.5%	77.9%	70.7%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	69.0%	72.4%	64.7%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	75.2%	78.6%	71.5%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	67.9%	71.8%	63.5%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	69.8%	73.2%	65.6%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Maranhão	2000	57.3%	64.0%	51.0%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Maranhão	2017	58.2%	64.8%	52.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Norte	2000	57.5%	62.4%	52.0%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Norte	2017	58.4%	63.3%	52.9%
Brazil	Nova Pádua	2000	82.1%	85.6%	78.0%
Brazil	Nova Pádua	2017	82.8%	86.1%	78.8%
Brazil	Nova Palma	2000	81.8%	83.7%	79.0%
Brazil	Nova Palma	2017	82.3%	84.2%	79.7%
Brazil	Nova Palmeira	2000	76.6%	80.0%	72.3%
Brazil	Nova Palmeira	2017	77.3%	80.6%	73.0%
Brazil	Nova Petrópolis	2000	81.5%	84.1%	78.6%
Brazil	Nova Petrópolis	2017	82.1%	84.6%	79.3%
Brazil	Nova Ponte	2000	82.7%	86.6%	78.1%
Brazil	Nova Ponte	2017	83.3%	87.0%	78.7%
Brazil	Nova Porteirinha	2000	83.2%	87.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Nova Porteirinha	2017	83.8%	88.2%	78.1%
Brazil	Nova Prata	2000	81.0%	83.8%	77.6%
Brazil	Nova Prata	2017	81.6%	84.3%	78.4%
Brazil	Nova Prata do Iguaçu	2000	83.4%	86.9%	79.9%
Brazil	Nova Prata do Iguaçu	2017	83.9%	87.3%	80.5%
Brazil	Nova Ramada	2000	82.2%	86.0%	77.2%
Brazil	Nova Ramada	2017	82.7%	86.5%	77.9%
Brazil	Nova Rendeção	2000	75.4%	81.2%	68.8%
Brazil	Nova Rendeção	2017	76.1%	81.8%	69.6%
Brazil	Nova Resende	2000	86.4%	89.6%	82.4%
Brazil	Nova Resende	2017	86.8%	89.9%	82.9%
Brazil	Nova Roma	2000	78.9%	83.9%	73.0%
Brazil	Nova Roma	2017	79.5%	84.5%	73.8%
Brazil	Nova Roma do Sul	2000	81.3%	84.7%	77.9%
Brazil	Nova Roma do Sul	2017	81.9%	85.2%	78.6%
Brazil	Nova Rosalândia	2000	74.9%	79.3%	70.3%
Brazil	Nova Rosalândia	2017	75.6%	79.9%	71.1%
Brazil	Nova Russas	2000	68.3%	73.1%	63.5%
Brazil	Nova Russas	2017	69.2%	73.9%	64.4%
Brazil	Nova Santa Bárbara	2000	85.4%	88.1%	82.6%
Brazil	Nova Santa Bárbara	2017	85.9%	88.5%	83.2%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2000	81.6%	83.7%	79.5%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2000	61.2%	66.6%	54.8%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2017	82.6%	84.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2017	62.1%	67.3%	55.8%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rosa	2000	83.5%	87.0%	79.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Santa Rosa	2017	84.1%	87.4%	80.4%
Brazil	Nova Serrana	2000	81.9%	85.7%	77.7%
Brazil	Nova Serrana	2017	82.5%	86.2%	78.4%
Brazil	Nova Soure	2000	78.3%	81.8%	74.2%
Brazil	Nova Soure	2017	79.0%	82.4%	75.0%
Brazil	Nova Tebas	2000	83.5%	86.8%	79.5%
Brazil	Nova Tebas	2017	84.0%	87.3%	80.1%
Brazil	Nova Timboteua	2000	36.9%	41.3%	33.2%
Brazil	Nova Timboteua	2017	37.8%	42.3%	34.0%
Brazil	Nova Trento	2000	72.2%	76.2%	67.5%
Brazil	Nova Trento	2017	73.5%	77.3%	68.9%
Brazil	Nova Uiratã	2000	68.1%	74.1%	61.3%
Brazil	Nova Uiratã	2017	68.9%	74.8%	62.2%
Brazil	Nova União	2000	81.5%	84.8%	77.9%
Brazil	Nova União	2000	29.7%	33.1%	26.1%
Brazil	Nova União	2017	82.1%	85.3%	78.6%
Brazil	Nova União	2017	30.6%	34.0%	26.9%
Brazil	Nova Venécia	2000	77.6%	81.3%	74.3%
Brazil	Nova Venécia	2017	78.2%	81.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2000	76.4%	80.4%	71.9%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2000	78.7%	81.1%	76.4%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2017	77.0%	81.0%	72.6%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2017	79.3%	81.7%	77.1%
Brazil	Nova Viçosa	2000	77.1%	81.9%	71.5%
Brazil	Nova Viçosa	2017	77.8%	82.5%	72.3%
Brazil	Nova Xavantina	2000	72.0%	78.2%	65.1%
Brazil	Nova Xavantina	2017	72.8%	78.8%	65.9%
Brazil	Novais	2000	92.7%	94.3%	90.8%
Brazil	Novais	2017	93.0%	94.5%	91.1%
Brazil	Novo Acordo	2000	74.1%	79.2%	68.4%
Brazil	Novo Acordo	2017	74.8%	79.9%	69.3%
Brazil	Novo Airão	2000	60.6%	66.2%	55.2%
Brazil	Novo Airão	2017	63.1%	68.5%	57.7%
Brazil	Novo Alegre	2000	76.8%	81.8%	70.2%
Brazil	Novo Alegre	2017	77.5%	82.3%	71.0%
Brazil	Novo Aripuanã	2000	60.2%	68.2%	51.5%
Brazil	Novo Aripuanã	2017	61.1%	69.0%	52.4%
Brazil	Novo Barreiro	2000	79.5%	83.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Novo Barreiro	2017	80.1%	84.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Novo Brazil	2000	74.9%	79.7%	69.4%
Brazil	Novo Brazil	2017	75.6%	80.3%	70.2%
Brazil	Novo Cabrais	2000	80.8%	84.5%	76.3%
Brazil	Novo Cabrais	2017	81.5%	85.1%	77.1%
Brazil	Novo Cruzeiro	2000	83.8%	88.3%	78.4%
Brazil	Novo Cruzeiro	2017	84.4%	88.7%	79.1%
Brazil	Novo Gama	2000	90.3%	91.4%	88.9%
Brazil	Novo Gama	2017	90.6%	91.7%	89.2%
Brazil	Novo Hamburgo	2000	81.6%	83.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Novo Hamburgo	2017	82.2%	84.2%	80.0%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	79.7%	83.7%	75.8%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	93.6%	95.3%	91.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	79.5%	84.7%	74.3%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	80.0%	85.1%	75.0%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	80.3%	84.3%	76.5%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	93.8%	95.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Norte	2000	68.7%	75.8%	60.9%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Norte	2017	69.7%	76.7%	62.0%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Oeste	2000	28.6%	31.9%	24.6%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Oeste	2017	29.4%	32.7%	25.3%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Sul	2000	81.0%	84.7%	77.0%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Sul	2017	81.6%	85.2%	77.7%
Brazil	Novo Itacolomi	2000	83.8%	86.7%	80.3%
Brazil	Novo Itacolomi	2017	84.3%	87.1%	80.9%
Brazil	Novo Jardim	2000	75.1%	80.8%	68.7%
Brazil	Novo Jardim	2017	75.8%	81.4%	69.6%
Brazil	Novo Lino	2000	62.0%	65.4%	59.1%
Brazil	Novo Lino	2017	62.9%	66.2%	60.0%
Brazil	Novo Machado	2000	79.8%	84.4%	73.9%
Brazil	Novo Machado	2017	80.3%	84.9%	74.5%
Brazil	Novo Mundo	2000	62.4%	69.1%	54.4%
Brazil	Novo Mundo	2017	63.4%	70.0%	55.4%
Brazil	Novo Oriente	2000	68.0%	72.5%	63.0%
Brazil	Novo Oriente	2017	68.8%	73.3%	64.0%
Brazil	Novo Oriente de Minas	2000	82.5%	86.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Novo Oriente de Minas	2017	83.1%	87.3%	78.4%
Brazil	Novo Oriente do Piauí	2000	60.7%	65.5%	55.2%
Brazil	Novo Oriente do Piauí	2017	61.6%	66.4%	56.2%
Brazil	Novo Planalto	2000	75.0%	80.4%	68.5%
Brazil	Novo Planalto	2017	75.8%	81.1%	69.3%
Brazil	Novo Progresso	2000	44.5%	53.8%	34.2%
Brazil	Novo Progresso	2017	45.5%	54.8%	35.1%
Brazil	Novo Repartimento	2000	39.0%	44.4%	32.3%
Brazil	Novo Repartimento	2017	39.9%	45.4%	33.1%
Brazil	Novo Santo Antônio	2000	60.2%	64.5%	55.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Novo Santo Antônio	2017	61.1%	65.4%	56.6%
Brazil	Novo São Joaquim	2000	69.2%	75.8%	62.1%
Brazil	Novo São Joaquim	2017	70.0%	76.5%	63.0%
Brazil	Novo Tiradentes	2000	78.4%	82.3%	74.3%
Brazil	Novo Tiradentes	2017	79.1%	82.8%	75.1%
Brazil	Novo Triunfo	2000	75.2%	80.2%	70.3%
Brazil	Novo Triunfo	2017	76.0%	80.8%	71.1%
Brazil	Novorizonte	2000	81.2%	86.4%	75.6%
Brazil	Novorizonte	2017	81.7%	86.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Nuporanga	2000	91.2%	93.3%	88.3%
Brazil	Nuporanga	2017	91.6%	93.6%	88.7%
Brazil	Óbidos	2000	42.2%	49.7%	35.1%
Brazil	Óbidos	2017	43.2%	50.6%	36.0%
Brazil	Ocara	2000	72.5%	75.9%	68.8%
Brazil	Ocara	2017	73.3%	76.6%	69.6%
Brazil	Ocaçu	2000	92.3%	94.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Ocaçu	2017	92.6%	94.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Oeiras	2000	60.1%	64.9%	54.7%
Brazil	Oeiras	2017	61.4%	66.1%	56.0%
Brazil	Oeiras do Pará	2000	39.2%	45.1%	34.1%
Brazil	Oeiras do Pará	2017	40.1%	46.0%	35.0%
Brazil	Oiapoque	2000	48.6%	56.7%	41.0%
Brazil	Oiapoque	2017	49.5%	57.6%	41.9%
Brazil	Olaria	2000	82.4%	85.5%	78.4%
Brazil	Olaria	2017	82.9%	86.0%	79.1%
Brazil	Óleo	2000	92.3%	94.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Óleo	2017	92.5%	94.7%	90.2%
Brazil	Olho d'Água	2000	65.7%	69.2%	61.8%
Brazil	Olho d'Água	2017	66.6%	70.0%	62.7%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Cunhãs	2000	61.4%	66.2%	56.6%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Cunhãs	2017	62.3%	67.1%	57.5%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Flores	2000	61.7%	64.9%	58.7%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Flores	2017	62.6%	65.8%	59.6%
Brazil	Olho d'Água do Casado	2000	67.7%	71.1%	64.0%
Brazil	Olho d'Água do Casado	2017	68.6%	72.0%	64.9%
Brazil	Olho d'água do Piauí	2000	59.9%	64.2%	55.2%
Brazil	Olho d'água do Piauí	2017	60.8%	65.1%	56.1%
Brazil	Olho d'Água Grande	2000	66.3%	69.3%	63.3%
Brazil	Olho d'Água Grande	2017	67.1%	70.2%	64.2%
Brazil	Olho-d'Água do Borges	2000	78.7%	81.8%	75.1%
Brazil	Olho-d'Água do Borges	2017	79.3%	82.3%	75.8%
Brazil	Olhos-d'Água	2000	81.6%	86.3%	76.2%
Brazil	Olhos-d'Água	2017	82.2%	86.7%	76.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Olímpia	2000	91.9%	93.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Olímpia	2017	92.1%	94.0%	89.8%
Brazil	Olímpio	2000	87.1%	90.4%	83.7%
Brazil	Noronha				
Brazil	Olímpio	2017	87.5%	90.7%	84.2%
Brazil	Noronha				
Brazil	Olinda	2000	66.5%	68.4%	64.5%
Brazil	Olinda	2017	67.3%	69.2%	65.4%
Brazil	Olinda Nova	2000	60.8%	65.7%	55.9%
Brazil	do Maranhão				
Brazil	Olinda Nova	2017	61.7%	66.6%	56.8%
Brazil	do Maranhão				
Brazil	Olindina	2000	78.5%	82.2%	74.2%
Brazil	Olindina	2017	79.2%	82.8%	75.0%
Brazil	Olivedos	2000	72.4%	75.8%	68.2%
Brazil	Olivedos	2017	73.2%	76.6%	69.1%
Brazil	Oliveira	2000	80.8%	84.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Oliveira	2017	81.4%	85.4%	77.1%
Brazil	Oliveira de Fátima	2000	75.3%	79.8%	71.3%
Brazil	Oliveira de Fátima	2017	76.0%	80.4%	72.1%
Brazil	Oliveira	2000	81.2%	84.7%	77.0%
Brazil	Fortes				
Brazil	Oliveira	2017	81.9%	85.3%	77.8%
Brazil	Fortes				
Brazil	Olivença	2000	62.3%	65.1%	59.3%
Brazil	Olivença	2017	63.2%	66.0%	60.2%
Brazil	Oliveria dos	2000	75.8%	82.5%	68.7%
Brazil	Brejinhos				
Brazil	Oliveria dos	2017	76.6%	83.1%	69.5%
Brazil	Brejinhos				
Brazil	Onça de Pitangui	2000	80.8%	84.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Onça de Pitangui	2017	81.4%	85.2%	77.2%
Brazil	Onda Verde	2000	91.5%	93.7%	89.1%
Brazil	Onda Verde	2017	91.8%	93.9%	89.5%
Brazil	Oratórios	2000	81.0%	84.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Oratórios	2017	81.6%	85.3%	77.6%
Brazil	Oriente	2000	92.5%	94.5%	89.9%
Brazil	Oriente	2017	92.8%	94.7%	90.2%
Brazil	Orindiúva	2000	89.6%	92.1%	86.3%
Brazil	Orindiúva	2017	89.9%	92.4%	86.8%
Brazil	Oriximiná	2000	44.2%	51.8%	36.4%
Brazil	Oriximiná	2017	45.2%	52.8%	37.3%
Brazil	Orizânia	2000	81.8%	85.1%	78.0%
Brazil	Orizânia	2017	82.4%	85.6%	78.7%
Brazil	Orizona	2000	79.8%	83.3%	75.5%
Brazil	Orizona	2017	80.4%	83.8%	76.2%
Brazil	Orlandia	2000	91.3%	93.4%	88.6%
Brazil	Orlandia	2017	91.6%	93.6%	89.0%
Brazil	Orleaes	2000	73.1%	77.2%	68.8%
Brazil	Orleaes	2017	73.9%	77.9%	69.6%
Brazil	Orobó	2000	65.8%	68.5%	62.6%
Brazil	Orobó	2017	66.9%	69.6%	63.7%
Brazil	Orós	2000	70.1%	74.6%	66.0%
Brazil	Orós	2017	71.0%	75.3%	66.8%
Brazil	Ortigueira	2000	84.3%	87.5%	80.3%
Brazil	Ortigueira	2017	84.9%	87.9%	81.0%
Brazil	Osasco	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Osasco	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Oscar Bressane	2000	91.4%	93.6%	88.5%
Brazil	Oscar Bressane	2017	91.7%	93.8%	88.8%
Brazil	Osório	2000	79.4%	83.5%	74.8%
Brazil	Osório	2017	80.0%	84.0%	75.5%
Brazil	Oswaldo Cruz	2000	91.1%	93.5%	88.4%
Brazil	Oswaldo Cruz	2017	91.4%	93.7%	88.8%
Brazil	Otacílio Costa	2000	76.5%	81.2%	71.3%
Brazil	Otacílio Costa	2017	77.2%	81.8%	72.1%
Brazil	Ourém	2000	39.8%	44.8%	35.5%
Brazil	Ourém	2017	40.7%	45.7%	36.4%
Brazil	Ouriçangas	2000	78.4%	81.8%	74.9%
Brazil	Ouriçangas	2017	79.1%	82.4%	75.7%
Brazil	Ouricuri	2000	67.3%	71.8%	63.0%
Brazil	Ouricuri	2017	68.1%	72.6%	63.9%
Brazil	Ourilândia do Norte	2000	39.1%	46.7%	32.5%
Brazil	Ourilândia do Norte	2017	40.1%	47.7%	33.4%
Brazil	Ourinhos	2000	91.3%	93.5%	88.3%
Brazil	Ourinhos	2017	91.7%	93.8%	88.7%
Brazil	Ourizona	2000	83.8%	86.5%	80.4%
Brazil	Ourizona	2017	84.3%	87.0%	81.0%
Brazil	Ouro	2000	76.7%	80.6%	72.2%
Brazil	Ouro	2017	77.4%	81.3%	73.0%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	83.7%	86.9%	81.0%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	75.8%	79.7%	71.6%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	62.0%	65.6%	58.2%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	76.5%	80.3%	72.3%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	84.2%	87.4%	81.5%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	62.9%	66.4%	59.1%
Brazil	Ouro Fino	2000	89.4%	91.5%	86.8%
Brazil	Ouro Fino	2017	89.8%	91.8%	87.3%
Brazil	Ouro Preto	2000	83.2%	86.5%	80.2%
Brazil	Ouro Preto	2017	83.7%	86.9%	80.8%
Brazil	Ouro Preto do Oeste	2000	31.6%	34.7%	28.0%
Brazil	Ouro Preto do Oeste	2017	32.4%	35.6%	28.8%
Brazil	Ouro Velho	2000	66.9%	71.1%	62.7%
Brazil	Ouro Velho	2017	67.8%	71.9%	63.6%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2000	76.7%	80.9%	71.9%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2000	87.3%	90.2%	83.8%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2017	77.3%	81.5%	72.6%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2017	87.7%	90.6%	84.3%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Goiás	2000	78.2%	80.9%	75.6%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Goiás	2017	78.8%	81.5%	76.3%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Minas	2000	82.0%	86.3%	76.7%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Minas	2017	82.6%	86.8%	77.4%
Brazil	Ouro Verde do Oeste	2000	84.8%	88.1%	81.5%
Brazil	Ouro Verde do Oeste	2017	85.2%	88.5%	82.1%
Brazil	Ouroeste	2000	87.8%	91.0%	84.4%
Brazil	Ouroeste	2017	88.3%	91.4%	84.9%
Brazil	Ourolândia	2000	77.1%	82.7%	71.2%
Brazil	Ourolândia	2017	77.7%	83.2%	71.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ouvidor	2000	78.0%	82.8%	72.1%
Brazil	Ouvidor	2017	78.6%	83.3%	72.9%
Brazil	Pacaembu	2000	89.5%	91.9%	86.4%
Brazil	Pacaembu	2017	89.9%	92.2%	86.8%
Brazil	Pacajá	2000	39.1%	45.9%	31.5%
Brazil	Pacajá	2017	40.0%	46.9%	32.3%
Brazil	Pacajús	2000	71.0%	73.8%	67.8%
Brazil	Pacajús	2017	71.8%	74.5%	68.6%
Brazil	Pacaraima	2000	89.2%	92.1%	85.6%
Brazil	Pacaraima	2017	89.6%	92.4%	86.1%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2000	68.8%	72.0%	65.4%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2000	69.9%	72.0%	67.7%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2017	69.7%	72.8%	66.3%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2017	70.7%	72.8%	68.5%
Brazil	Paço do Lumiar	2000	60.4%	64.0%	56.1%
Brazil	Paço do Lumiar	2017	61.4%	65.0%	57.2%
Brazil	Pacoti	2000	72.7%	75.8%	69.5%
Brazil	Pacoti	2017	73.4%	76.4%	70.2%
Brazil	Pacujá	2000	65.3%	69.5%	61.0%
Brazil	Pacujá	2017	66.1%	70.3%	61.9%
Brazil	Padre Bernardo	2000	88.7%	91.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Padre Bernardo	2017	89.1%	91.4%	86.6%
Brazil	Padre Carvalho	2000	80.9%	86.1%	75.0%
Brazil	Padre Carvalho	2017	81.5%	86.6%	75.7%
Brazil	Padre Marcos	2000	63.4%	68.1%	58.7%
Brazil	Padre Marcos	2017	64.3%	68.9%	59.6%
Brazil	Padre Paraíso	2000	82.9%	87.4%	76.2%
Brazil	Padre Paraíso	2017	83.5%	87.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Paes Landim	2000	59.9%	65.6%	54.1%
Brazil	Paes Landim	2017	60.8%	66.5%	55.0%
Brazil	Pai Pedro	2000	80.3%	85.8%	74.6%
Brazil	Pai Pedro	2017	80.9%	86.2%	75.4%
Brazil	Paial	2000	75.5%	79.4%	71.2%
Brazil	Paial	2017	76.0%	79.8%	71.7%
Brazil	Paiçandu	2000	84.6%	87.1%	81.3%
Brazil	Paiçandu	2017	85.1%	87.5%	81.9%
Brazil	Paim Filho	2000	77.8%	81.7%	73.5%
Brazil	Paim Filho	2017	78.5%	82.3%	74.2%
Brazil	Paineiras	2000	79.9%	85.4%	72.9%
Brazil	Paineiras	2017	80.5%	85.9%	73.6%
Brazil	Painel	2000	79.6%	83.8%	74.6%
Brazil	Painel	2017	80.2%	84.4%	75.3%
Brazil	Pains	2000	81.9%	86.0%	76.9%
Brazil	Pains	2017	82.5%	86.5%	77.6%
Brazil	Paiva	2000	80.1%	83.4%	75.9%
Brazil	Paiva	2017	80.7%	84.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Pajeú do Piauí	2000	61.9%	68.3%	55.5%
Brazil	Pajeú do Piauí	2017	62.8%	69.1%	56.4%
Brazil	Palestina	2000	64.0%	67.5%	60.0%
Brazil	Palestina	2000	90.4%	92.9%	87.5%
Brazil	Palestina	2017	64.9%	68.4%	60.9%
Brazil	Palestina	2017	90.7%	93.2%	88.0%
Brazil	Palestina de Goiás	2000	75.8%	81.4%	69.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Palestina de Goiás	2017	76.5%	82.0%	70.3%
Brazil	Palestina do Pará	2000	62.0%	66.7%	57.0%
Brazil	Palestina do Pará	2017	62.9%	67.6%	58.0%
Brazil	Palhano	2000	73.5%	77.4%	69.5%
Brazil	Palhano	2017	74.3%	78.1%	70.4%
Brazil	Palhoça	2000	73.1%	78.3%	68.0%
Brazil	Palhoça	2017	73.9%	79.0%	68.9%
Brazil	Palma	2000	81.7%	85.5%	76.7%
Brazil	Palma	2017	82.0%	85.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Palma Sola	2000	81.2%	85.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Palma Sola	2017	81.8%	86.1%	77.2%
Brazil	Palmácia	2000	71.0%	74.0%	67.6%
Brazil	Palmácia	2017	71.8%	74.7%	68.4%
Brazil	Palmares	2000	61.3%	64.8%	57.9%
Brazil	Palmares	2017	62.2%	65.6%	58.8%
Brazil	Palmares do Sul	2000	81.0%	85.4%	76.0%
Brazil	Palmares do Sul	2017	81.6%	85.9%	76.7%
Brazil	Palmares Paulista	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Palmares Paulista	2017	94.4%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Palmas	2000	76.3%	78.9%	73.7%
Brazil	Palmas	2000	81.1%	84.7%	77.3%
Brazil	Palmas	2017	77.0%	79.6%	74.5%
Brazil	Palmas	2017	81.7%	85.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Palmas de Monte Alto	2000	77.8%	83.5%	72.4%
Brazil	Palmas de Monte Alto	2017	78.5%	84.0%	73.2%
Brazil	Palmeira	2000	82.1%	85.0%	78.6%
Brazil	Palmeira	2000	76.4%	80.9%	71.3%
Brazil	Palmeira	2017	77.1%	81.6%	72.1%
Brazil	Palmeira	2017	82.6%	85.5%	79.3%
Brazil	Palmeira das Missões	2000	81.2%	85.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Palmeira das Missões	2017	81.8%	85.5%	78.0%
Brazil	Palmeira do Oeste	2000	88.8%	91.9%	85.4%
Brazil	Palmeira do Oeste	2017	89.2%	92.2%	85.9%
Brazil	Palmeira do Piauí	2000	60.1%	67.8%	53.0%
Brazil	Palmeira do Piauí	2017	61.0%	68.6%	53.9%
Brazil	Palmeira dos índios	2000	61.2%	64.2%	58.4%
Brazil	Palmeira dos índios	2017	62.1%	65.1%	59.3%
Brazil	Palmeirais	2000	59.3%	64.0%	53.9%
Brazil	Palmeirais	2017	61.0%	65.6%	55.6%
Brazil	Palmeirândia	2000	60.4%	65.6%	55.4%
Brazil	Palmeirândia	2017	61.4%	66.5%	56.3%
Brazil	Palmeirante	2000	79.0%	83.8%	73.1%
Brazil	Palmeirante	2017	79.7%	84.4%	73.9%
Brazil	Palmeiras	2000	78.5%	83.8%	72.9%
Brazil	Palmeiras	2017	79.2%	84.3%	73.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Palmeiras de Goiás	2000	76.1%	80.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Palmeiras de Goiás	2017	76.8%	80.9%	73.1%
Brazil	Palmeirina	2000	62.2%	65.5%	58.4%
Brazil	Palmeirina	2017	63.1%	66.3%	59.3%
Brazil	Palmeirópolis	2000	73.5%	77.4%	68.8%
Brazil	Palmeirópolis	2017	74.3%	78.1%	69.7%
Brazil	Palmelo	2000	78.7%	82.5%	74.2%
Brazil	Palmelo	2017	79.3%	83.0%	74.9%
Brazil	Palminópolis	2000	76.4%	80.5%	72.0%
Brazil	Palminópolis	2017	77.1%	81.1%	72.8%
Brazil	Palmital	2000	90.1%	92.4%	87.3%
Brazil	Palmital	2000	84.0%	87.5%	80.0%
Brazil	Palmital	2017	90.4%	92.7%	87.7%
Brazil	Palmital	2017	84.5%	87.9%	80.6%
Brazil	Palmitinhos	2000	79.4%	83.2%	74.5%
Brazil	Palmitinhos	2017	80.1%	83.7%	75.2%
Brazil	Palmitos	2000	77.3%	81.3%	73.0%
Brazil	Palmitos	2017	78.7%	82.6%	74.6%
Brazil	Palmópolis	2000	77.2%	80.9%	72.4%
Brazil	Palmópolis	2017	77.9%	81.5%	73.2%
Brazil	Palotina	2000	83.0%	86.4%	78.8%
Brazil	Palotina	2017	83.6%	86.9%	79.4%
Brazil	Panamá	2000	77.9%	82.3%	73.5%
Brazil	Panamá	2017	78.6%	82.9%	74.3%
Brazil	Panambi	2000	82.9%	86.8%	78.6%
Brazil	Panambi	2017	83.4%	87.3%	79.2%
Brazil	Pancas	2000	78.6%	81.8%	75.1%
Brazil	Pancas	2017	79.2%	82.4%	75.8%
Brazil	Panelas	2000	69.0%	72.4%	65.9%
Brazil	Panelas	2017	69.8%	73.2%	66.7%
Brazil	Panorama	2000	85.5%	88.7%	81.3%
Brazil	Panorama	2017	86.0%	89.1%	81.9%
Brazil	Pantano Grande	2000	81.4%	85.5%	76.8%
Brazil	Pantano Grande	2017	81.9%	86.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Pão de Açúcar	2000	64.8%	68.1%	60.7%
Brazil	Pão de Açúcar	2017	65.7%	69.0%	61.6%
Brazil	Papagaios	2000	81.0%	85.4%	76.0%
Brazil	Papagaios	2017	81.6%	85.9%	76.7%
Brazil	Papanduva	2000	77.4%	81.3%	73.5%
Brazil	Papanduva	2017	78.0%	81.9%	74.3%
Brazil	Paquetá	2000	61.7%	66.6%	56.1%
Brazil	Paquetá	2017	62.6%	67.5%	57.1%
Brazil	Pará de Minas	2000	81.8%	85.3%	77.5%
Brazil	Pará de Minas	2017	82.4%	85.8%	78.2%
Brazil	Paracambi	2000	76.9%	79.2%	74.1%
Brazil	Paracambi	2017	77.6%	79.9%	74.8%
Brazil	Paracatu	2000	82.8%	87.9%	77.0%
Brazil	Paracatu	2017	83.3%	88.3%	77.7%
Brazil	Paracuru	2000	68.6%	72.3%	64.4%
Brazil	Paracuru	2017	69.4%	73.0%	65.3%
Brazil	Paragominas	2000	43.5%	50.2%	37.0%
Brazil	Paragominas	2017	45.3%	51.8%	38.8%
Brazil	Paraguaçu	2000	85.4%	88.3%	81.4%
Brazil	Paraguaçu	2017	85.9%	88.7%	82.0%
Brazil	Paraguaçu Paulista	2000	90.8%	93.1%	88.5%
Brazil	Paraguaçu Paulista	2017	91.1%	93.3%	88.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paraí	2000	80.9%	84.4%	77.2%
Brazil	Paraí	2017	81.5%	84.9%	77.9%
Brazil	Paraíba do Sul	2000	78.0%	81.1%	74.6%
Brazil	Paraíba do Sul	2017	78.7%	81.7%	75.4%
Brazil	Paraibano	2000	64.1%	70.5%	57.6%
Brazil	Paraibano	2017	65.0%	71.3%	58.6%
Brazil	Paraibuna	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Paraibuna	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Paraipaba	2000	70.1%	73.9%	65.9%
Brazil	Paraipaba	2017	70.9%	74.7%	66.8%
Brazil	Paraíso	2000	80.2%	84.5%	75.8%
Brazil	Paraíso	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.0%
Brazil	Paraíso	2017	80.9%	85.0%	76.5%
Brazil	Paraíso	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Paraíso do Norte	2000	83.5%	86.7%	80.0%
Brazil	Paraíso do Norte	2017	84.1%	87.1%	80.6%
Brazil	Paraíso do Sul	2000	81.8%	85.2%	77.3%
Brazil	Paraíso do Sul	2017	82.3%	85.6%	77.9%
Brazil	Paraíso do Tocantins	2000	76.0%	79.9%	72.1%
Brazil	Paraíso do Tocantins	2017	76.7%	80.5%	72.9%
Brazil	Paraisópolis	2000	90.6%	92.6%	88.2%
Brazil	Paraisópolis	2017	90.9%	92.9%	88.6%
Brazil	Parambu	2000	69.5%	73.9%	64.2%
Brazil	Parambu	2017	70.3%	74.6%	65.1%
Brazil	Paramirim	2000	77.8%	83.2%	71.7%
Brazil	Paramirim	2017	78.4%	83.7%	72.5%
Brazil	Paramoti	2000	70.7%	74.3%	66.5%
Brazil	Paramoti	2017	71.5%	75.0%	67.4%
Brazil	Paraná	2000	76.5%	80.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Paraná	2000	76.2%	81.3%	70.3%
Brazil	Paraná	2017	76.9%	81.9%	71.2%
Brazil	Paraná	2017	77.2%	80.6%	73.8%
Brazil	Paranacity	2000	86.6%	89.2%	83.6%
Brazil	Paranacity	2017	87.0%	89.5%	84.1%
Brazil	Paranaguá	2000	77.1%	80.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Paranaguá	2017	77.8%	81.4%	73.4%
Brazil	Paranaíba	2000	83.3%	87.8%	78.7%
Brazil	Paranaíba	2017	83.8%	88.2%	79.4%
Brazil	Paranaíta	2000	69.6%	77.0%	61.4%
Brazil	Paranaíta	2017	70.4%	77.7%	62.4%
Brazil	Paranaparema	2000	93.2%	95.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Paranaparema	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Paranapoema	2000	84.8%	88.1%	81.0%
Brazil	Paranapoema	2017	85.4%	88.6%	81.6%
Brazil	Paranapuã	2000	87.9%	91.3%	84.3%
Brazil	Paranapuã	2017	88.3%	91.6%	84.9%
Brazil	Paranatama	2000	65.2%	68.6%	61.7%
Brazil	Paranatama	2017	66.0%	69.5%	62.6%
Brazil	Paranatinga	2000	63.4%	70.8%	53.9%
Brazil	Paranatinga	2017	64.3%	71.6%	54.9%
Brazil	Paranavaí	2000	85.6%	88.4%	82.3%
Brazil	Paranavaí	2017	86.1%	88.8%	82.9%
Brazil	Paranhos	2000	81.5%	86.8%	75.2%
Brazil	Paranhos	2017	82.1%	87.3%	75.9%
Brazil	Paraopeba	2000	81.5%	85.6%	76.6%
Brazil	Paraopeba	2017	82.1%	86.1%	77.3%
Brazil	Parapuã	2000	90.9%	93.3%	88.1%
Brazil	Parapuã	2017	91.2%	93.5%	88.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Parari	2000	67.9%	72.1%	62.7%
Brazil	Parari	2017	68.9%	73.0%	63.7%
Brazil	Parati	2000	83.1%	86.9%	78.4%
Brazil	Parati	2017	83.6%	87.3%	79.0%
Brazil	Paratinga	2000	75.8%	81.7%	67.7%
Brazil	Paratinga	2017	76.5%	82.2%	68.5%
Brazil	Paraú	2000	80.5%	83.5%	77.1%
Brazil	Paraú	2017	81.1%	84.1%	77.8%
Brazil	Parauapebas	2000	40.8%	46.6%	35.9%
Brazil	Parauapebas	2017	41.8%	47.6%	36.8%
Brazil	Paraúna	2000	76.9%	81.6%	71.8%
Brazil	Paraúna	2017	77.6%	82.1%	72.6%
Brazil	Parazinho	2000	85.7%	88.6%	82.1%
Brazil	Parazinho	2017	86.2%	89.0%	82.7%
Brazil	Pardinho	2000	93.5%	95.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Pardinho	2017	93.8%	95.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Pareci Novo	2000	79.7%	82.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Pareci Novo	2017	80.3%	82.9%	77.5%
Brazil	Parecis	2000	29.5%	34.3%	25.0%
Brazil	Parecis	2017	30.4%	35.2%	25.8%
Brazil	Parelhas	2000	75.8%	79.2%	71.7%
Brazil	Parelhas	2017	76.5%	79.8%	72.5%
Brazil	Pariconha	2000	65.5%	69.1%	61.6%
Brazil	Pariconha	2017	66.4%	70.0%	62.5%
Brazil	Parintins	2000	56.2%	61.2%	51.3%
Brazil	Parintins	2017	57.1%	62.1%	52.2%
Brazil	Paripiranga	2000	78.7%	81.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Paripiranga	2017	79.4%	82.1%	76.8%
Brazil	Paripueira	2000	61.2%	64.4%	57.8%
Brazil	Paripueira	2017	62.2%	65.3%	58.8%
Brazil	Pariquera-Açu	2000	87.9%	91.3%	83.9%
Brazil	Pariquera-Açu	2017	88.3%	91.6%	84.4%
Brazil	Parisi	2000	90.2%	93.3%	87.0%
Brazil	Parisi	2017	90.5%	93.6%	87.4%
Brazil	Parnaguá	2000	65.9%	72.7%	59.0%
Brazil	Parnaguá	2017	68.1%	74.6%	61.4%
Brazil	Parnaíba	2000	63.4%	68.1%	58.4%
Brazil	Parnaíba	2017	64.3%	69.0%	59.4%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2000	66.7%	71.2%	61.4%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2000	81.9%	83.8%	79.9%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2017	67.5%	72.0%	62.3%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2017	82.5%	84.3%	80.5%
Brazil	Parnarama	2000	59.8%	64.8%	54.3%
Brazil	Parnarama	2017	60.8%	65.7%	55.3%
Brazil	Parobé	2000	81.3%	83.7%	78.8%
Brazil	Parobé	2017	81.9%	84.2%	79.5%
Brazil	Passa e Fica	2000	78.4%	81.1%	75.9%
Brazil	Passa e Fica	2017	79.1%	81.7%	76.6%
Brazil	Passa Quatro	2000	88.2%	90.6%	85.6%
Brazil	Passa Quatro	2017	88.5%	90.8%	86.0%
Brazil	Passa Sete	2000	84.1%	87.3%	80.4%
Brazil	Passa Sete	2017	84.7%	87.8%	81.1%
Brazil	Passa Tempo	2000	80.8%	84.8%	76.5%
Brazil	Passa Tempo	2017	81.4%	85.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Passa Vinte	2000	82.4%	85.6%	79.3%
Brazil	Passa Vinte	2017	83.0%	86.1%	79.9%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	79.5%	81.8%	77.2%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	70.3%	74.7%	65.6%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	81.0%	84.6%	77.0%
Brazil	Passabém	2017	80.1%	82.4%	77.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Passabém	2017	71.1%	75.4%	66.5%
Brazil	Passabém	2017	81.7%	85.1%	77.7%
Brazil	Passagem Franca	2000	62.4%	68.3%	55.5%
Brazil	Passagem Franca	2017	63.3%	69.2%	56.5%
Brazil	Passagem Franca do Piauí	2000	58.3%	62.8%	52.5%
Brazil	Passagem Franca do Piauí	2017	59.2%	63.7%	53.5%
Brazil	Passira	2000	65.0%	68.5%	61.9%
Brazil	Passira	2017	65.8%	69.3%	62.8%
Brazil	Passo de Camaragibe	2000	61.0%	64.2%	57.3%
Brazil	Passo de Camaragibe	2017	61.9%	65.1%	58.2%
Brazil	Passo de Torres	2000	74.4%	79.7%	68.9%
Brazil	Passo de Torres	2017	75.2%	80.4%	69.9%
Brazil	Passo do Sobrado	2000	80.6%	84.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Passo do Sobrado	2017	81.2%	85.1%	76.8%
Brazil	Passo Fundo	2000	82.9%	86.1%	78.9%
Brazil	Passo Fundo	2017	83.5%	86.6%	79.7%
Brazil	Passos	2000	86.5%	90.2%	82.1%
Brazil	Passos	2017	86.9%	90.5%	82.7%
Brazil	Passos Maia	2000	78.3%	82.5%	73.5%
Brazil	Passos Maia	2017	78.9%	83.0%	74.2%
Brazil	Pastos Bons	2000	61.7%	68.1%	54.7%
Brazil	Pastos Bons	2017	62.6%	68.9%	55.6%
Brazil	Patis	2000	81.9%	86.6%	76.0%
Brazil	Patis	2017	82.5%	87.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Pato Bragado	2000	82.3%	86.3%	78.5%
Brazil	Pato Bragado	2017	82.8%	86.8%	79.1%
Brazil	Pato Branco	2000	82.4%	86.2%	78.2%
Brazil	Pato Branco	2017	83.0%	86.6%	78.9%
Brazil	Patos	2000	69.3%	73.4%	65.2%
Brazil	Patos	2017	70.1%	74.2%	66.1%
Brazil	Patos de Minas	2000	81.3%	86.5%	74.2%
Brazil	Patos de Minas	2017	81.8%	87.0%	75.0%
Brazil	Patos do Piauí	2000	60.2%	65.4%	55.0%
Brazil	Patos do Piauí	2017	61.2%	66.3%	56.0%
Brazil	Patrocínio	2000	82.9%	87.2%	77.7%
Brazil	Patrocínio	2017	83.5%	87.7%	78.4%
Brazil	Patrocínio do Muriaé	2000	78.0%	81.5%	74.2%
Brazil	Patrocínio do Muriaé	2017	78.7%	82.1%	74.9%
Brazil	Patrocínio Paulista	2000	88.4%	91.3%	84.4%
Brazil	Patrocínio Paulista	2017	88.8%	91.6%	84.9%
Brazil	Patu	2000	81.2%	84.3%	78.1%
Brazil	Patu	2017	81.8%	84.8%	78.7%
Brazil	Paty do Alferes	2000	81.6%	83.7%	78.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paty do Alferes	2017	81.8%	83.9%	78.9%
Brazil	Pau Brazil	2000	79.6%	84.2%	74.4%
Brazil	Pau Brazil	2017	80.2%	84.7%	75.1%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2000	57.5%	63.0%	51.6%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2000	44.3%	51.0%	37.5%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2017	58.4%	63.9%	52.6%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2017	45.3%	51.9%	38.4%
Brazil	Pau dos Ferros	2000	75.8%	79.3%	72.2%
Brazil	Pau dos Ferros	2017	76.5%	79.9%	72.9%
Brazil	Paudalho	2000	67.2%	69.8%	65.0%
Brazil	Paudalho	2017	68.1%	70.7%	66.0%
Brazil	Pauini	2000	56.0%	64.6%	47.9%
Brazil	Pauini	2017	56.9%	65.4%	48.8%
Brazil	Paula Cândido	2000	81.8%	84.9%	78.0%
Brazil	Paula Cândido	2017	82.4%	85.3%	78.6%
Brazil	Paula Freitas	2000	78.8%	82.9%	73.6%
Brazil	Paula Freitas	2017	79.4%	83.5%	74.3%
Brazil	Paulicéia	2000	85.3%	88.3%	81.2%
Brazil	Paulicéia	2017	85.8%	88.7%	81.8%
Brazil	Paulínia	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Paulínia	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.8%
Brazil	Paulino Neves	2000	60.3%	66.2%	54.4%
Brazil	Paulino Neves	2017	61.2%	67.0%	55.4%
Brazil	Paulista	2000	66.1%	68.2%	63.9%
Brazil	Paulista	2000	73.9%	77.4%	70.0%
Brazil	Paulista	2017	67.0%	69.0%	64.8%
Brazil	Paulista	2017	74.7%	78.1%	70.8%
Brazil	Paulistana	2000	64.5%	69.5%	58.3%
Brazil	Paulistana	2017	65.3%	70.3%	59.2%
Brazil	Paulistânia	2000	92.8%	94.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Paulistânia	2017	93.1%	95.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Paulistas	2000	81.7%	86.0%	75.9%
Brazil	Paulistas	2017	82.3%	86.4%	76.6%
Brazil	Paulo Afonso	2000	66.0%	70.8%	61.0%
Brazil	Paulo Afonso	2017	66.9%	71.6%	62.0%
Brazil	Paulo de Faria	2000	88.7%	91.3%	84.7%
Brazil	Paulo de Faria	2017	89.1%	91.6%	85.2%
Brazil	Paulo Frontin	2000	79.2%	83.4%	74.0%
Brazil	Paulo Frontin	2017	79.8%	83.9%	74.8%
Brazil	Paulo Jacinto	2000	58.3%	61.6%	55.5%
Brazil	Paulo Jacinto	2017	59.3%	62.5%	56.4%
Brazil	Paulo Lopez	2000	71.4%	76.8%	64.7%
Brazil	Paulo Lopez	2017	72.2%	77.5%	65.7%
Brazil	Paulo Ramos	2000	60.7%	65.8%	55.6%
Brazil	Paulo Ramos	2017	61.6%	66.7%	56.6%
Brazil	Pavão	2000	80.8%	85.4%	75.4%
Brazil	Pavão	2017	81.4%	85.8%	76.2%
Brazil	Paverama	2000	81.0%	84.1%	77.8%
Brazil	Paverama	2017	81.6%	84.7%	78.5%
Brazil	Pavussu	2000	62.1%	67.8%	55.5%
Brazil	Pavussu	2017	63.0%	68.6%	56.5%
Brazil	Pé de Serra	2000	80.4%	84.0%	75.9%
Brazil	Pé de Serra	2017	81.1%	84.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Peabiru	2000	84.2%	87.3%	81.1%
Brazil	Peabiru	2017	84.7%	87.7%	81.7%
Brazil	Peçanha	2000	82.8%	86.9%	77.9%
Brazil	Peçanha	2017	83.3%	87.3%	78.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pederneiras	2000	93.6%	95.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Pederneiras	2017	93.8%	95.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Pedra	2000	66.8%	70.5%	63.1%
Brazil	Pedra	2017	67.7%	71.4%	64.0%
Brazil	Pedra Azul	2000	80.7%	85.1%	74.7%
Brazil	Pedra Azul	2017	81.3%	85.6%	75.4%
Brazil	Pedra Bela	2000	92.6%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Pedra Bela	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Pedra Bonita	2000	82.8%	86.1%	78.8%
Brazil	Pedra Bonita	2017	83.3%	86.6%	79.4%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2000	66.6%	70.8%	62.2%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2000	71.0%	75.2%	66.3%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2017	71.8%	76.0%	67.2%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2017	67.5%	71.6%	63.1%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2000	47.7%	52.9%	42.6%
Brazil	do Amapari				
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2017	48.7%	54.0%	43.5%
Brazil	do Amapari				
Brazil	Pedra do Anta	2000	81.1%	84.7%	77.0%
Brazil	Pedra do Anta	2017	81.7%	85.2%	77.7%
Brazil	Pedra do	2000	81.4%	85.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Indaiá				
Brazil	Pedra do	2017	82.0%	85.9%	77.5%
Brazil	Indaiá				
Brazil	Pedra	2000	81.2%	84.7%	77.6%
Brazil	dourada				
Brazil	Pedra	2017	81.8%	85.1%	78.2%
Brazil	dourada				
Brazil	Pedra Grande	2000	85.0%	88.3%	80.3%
Brazil	Pedra Grande	2017	85.5%	88.7%	81.0%
Brazil	Pedra	2000	75.3%	78.7%	71.1%
Brazil	Lavadra				
Brazil	Pedra	2017	76.0%	79.3%	71.9%
Brazil	Lavadra				
Brazil	Pedra Mole	2000	76.7%	79.6%	73.9%
Brazil	Pedra Mole	2017	77.4%	80.2%	74.6%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2000	69.2%	73.9%	64.4%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2000	84.9%	87.6%	82.2%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2017	85.4%	88.0%	82.8%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2017	70.0%	74.6%	65.3%
Brazil	Pedralva	2000	87.5%	90.5%	84.0%
Brazil	Pedralva	2017	88.0%	90.8%	84.5%
Brazil	Pedranópolis	2000	89.6%	92.7%	86.3%
Brazil	Pedranópolis	2017	90.0%	92.9%	86.8%
Brazil	Pedrão	2000	77.5%	80.6%	74.1%
Brazil	Pedrão	2017	78.2%	81.3%	74.9%
Brazil	Pedras de	2000	67.6%	70.4%	64.8%
Brazil	Fogo				
Brazil	Pedras de	2017	68.4%	71.2%	65.7%
Brazil	Fogo				
Brazil	Pedras de	2000	80.8%	86.2%	74.2%
Brazil	Maria da				
Brazil	Pedras de	2017	81.4%	86.7%	75.0%
Brazil	Maria da				
Brazil	Cruz				
Brazil	Pedras	2000	74.0%	77.9%	70.2%
Brazil	Grandes				
Brazil	Pedras	2017	74.8%	78.6%	71.0%
Brazil	Grandes				
Brazil	Pedregulho	2000	88.7%	91.9%	84.3%
Brazil	Pedregulho	2017	89.0%	92.2%	84.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pedreira	2000	92.6%	93.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Pedreira	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Pedreiras	2000	60.0%	65.4%	54.9%
Brazil	Pedreiras	2017	60.9%	66.3%	55.9%
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2000	77.5%	80.3%	75.0%
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2017	78.2%	80.9%	75.7%
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2000	87.9%	90.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Paulista Pedrinhas	2017	88.4%	90.6%	85.7%
Brazil	Pedrinópolis	2000	82.5%	86.5%	77.6%
Brazil	Pedrinópolis	2017	83.0%	86.9%	78.2%
Brazil	Pedro Afonso	2000	73.8%	78.3%	68.7%
Brazil	Pedro Afonso	2017	74.6%	79.0%	69.5%
Brazil	Pedro Alexandre	2000	73.4%	76.9%	69.4%
Brazil	Pedro Alexandre	2017	74.1%	77.5%	70.2%
Brazil	Pedro Avelino	2000	83.7%	86.6%	80.4%
Brazil	Pedro Avelino	2017	84.8%	87.5%	81.7%
Brazil	Pedro Canário	2000	76.2%	80.9%	71.0%
Brazil	Pedro Canário	2017	76.9%	81.5%	71.8%
Brazil	Pedro de Toledo	2000	90.9%	93.1%	88.4%
Brazil	Pedro de Toledo	2017	91.2%	93.3%	88.8%
Brazil	Pedro do Rosário	2000	60.3%	65.5%	55.3%
Brazil	Pedro do Rosário	2017	61.2%	66.3%	56.2%
Brazil	Pedro Gomes	2000	77.1%	82.8%	71.7%
Brazil	Pedro Gomes	2017	77.8%	83.3%	72.5%
Brazil	Pedro Laurentino	2000	61.4%	66.7%	55.0%
Brazil	Pedro Laurentino	2017	62.3%	67.6%	56.0%
Brazil	Pedro Leopoldo	2000	81.3%	84.1%	78.4%
Brazil	Pedro Leopoldo	2017	82.0%	84.6%	79.1%
Brazil	Pedro Li	2000	66.9%	71.3%	62.2%
Brazil	Pedro Li	2017	67.8%	72.1%	63.1%
Brazil	Pedro Osório	2000	80.6%	85.2%	74.9%
Brazil	Pedro Osório	2017	81.2%	85.6%	75.6%
Brazil	Pedro Régis	2000	74.1%	76.8%	71.2%
Brazil	Pedro Régis	2017	74.8%	77.5%	72.0%
Brazil	Pedro Teixeira	2000	80.6%	83.8%	76.6%
Brazil	Pedro Teixeira	2017	81.2%	84.3%	77.3%
Brazil	Pedro Velho	2000	75.7%	78.4%	73.1%
Brazil	Pedro Velho	2017	76.4%	79.1%	73.8%
Brazil	Peixe	2000	75.6%	79.7%	70.5%
Brazil	Peixe	2017	76.3%	80.3%	71.4%
Brazil	Peixe Boi	2000	37.2%	42.0%	33.6%
Brazil	Peixe Boi	2017	38.2%	43.0%	34.5%
Brazil	Peixoto de Azevedo	2000	62.9%	70.0%	55.3%
Brazil	Peixoto de Azevedo	2017	63.8%	70.8%	56.3%
Brazil	Pejuçara	2000	82.9%	86.8%	78.7%
Brazil	Pejuçara	2017	83.5%	87.2%	79.3%
Brazil	Pelotas	2000	82.6%	86.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Pelotas	2017	83.1%	86.5%	78.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Penaforte	2000	67.5%	71.5%	62.6%
Brazil	Penaforte	2017	68.4%	72.3%	63.5%
Brazil	Penalva	2000	61.0%	65.0%	56.2%
Brazil	Penalva	2017	61.9%	65.8%	57.2%
Brazil	Penápolis	2000	92.8%	94.7%	90.4%
Brazil	Penápolis	2017	93.0%	94.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Pendências	2000	83.3%	86.6%	80.0%
Brazil	Pendências	2017	83.8%	87.1%	80.6%
Brazil	Penedo	2000	70.2%	72.9%	67.5%
Brazil	Penedo	2017	70.9%	73.5%	68.2%
Brazil	Penha	2000	71.4%	75.4%	66.6%
Brazil	Penha	2017	72.2%	76.1%	67.5%
Brazil	Pentecoste	2000	71.2%	74.4%	67.8%
Brazil	Pentecoste	2017	72.0%	75.1%	68.6%
Brazil	Pequeri	2000	79.4%	82.6%	75.9%
Brazil	Pequeri	2017	80.1%	83.2%	76.6%
Brazil	Pequi	2000	80.7%	84.4%	76.1%
Brazil	Pequi	2017	81.3%	84.9%	76.9%
Brazil	Pequizeiro	2000	70.5%	75.2%	65.9%
Brazil	Pequizeiro	2017	71.4%	75.9%	66.7%
Brazil	Perdigão	2000	81.2%	85.0%	76.5%
Brazil	Perdigão	2017	81.8%	85.6%	77.3%
Brazil	Perdizes	2000	82.6%	86.9%	77.0%
Brazil	Perdizes	2017	83.2%	87.3%	77.8%
Brazil	Perdões	2000	81.4%	85.2%	76.6%
Brazil	Perdões	2017	82.0%	85.7%	77.3%
Brazil	Pereira Barreto	2000	88.8%	91.6%	85.5%
Brazil	Pereira Barreto	2017	89.2%	91.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Pereiras	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Pereiras	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Pereiro	2000	74.7%	78.9%	70.5%
Brazil	Pereiro	2017	75.5%	79.5%	71.4%
Brazil	Peri-Mirim	2000	60.6%	65.6%	55.6%
Brazil	Peri-Mirim	2017	61.5%	66.4%	56.6%
Brazil	Periquito	2000	78.6%	83.3%	73.5%
Brazil	Periquito	2017	79.2%	83.8%	74.3%
Brazil	Peritiba	2000	76.7%	80.3%	71.9%
Brazil	Peritiba	2017	77.4%	80.9%	72.6%
Brazil	Peritoró	2000	60.9%	65.6%	55.2%
Brazil	Peritoró	2017	61.8%	66.5%	56.1%
Brazil	Perobal	2000	83.3%	87.0%	79.7%
Brazil	Perobal	2017	83.8%	87.4%	80.3%
Brazil	Pérola	2000	83.6%	86.7%	79.8%
Brazil	Pérola	2017	84.2%	87.2%	80.4%
Brazil	Pérola d'Oeste	2000	82.7%	86.5%	78.8%
Brazil	Pérola d'Oeste	2017	83.2%	86.9%	79.4%
Brazil	Perolândia	2000	77.7%	83.0%	71.6%
Brazil	Perolândia	2017	78.3%	83.6%	72.4%
Brazil	Peruíbe	2000	91.1%	93.2%	88.3%
Brazil	Peruíbe	2017	91.3%	93.4%	88.7%
Brazil	Pescador	2000	81.4%	86.3%	76.3%
Brazil	Pescador	2017	82.0%	86.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Pesqueira	2000	66.0%	69.8%	61.7%
Brazil	Pesqueira	2017	66.9%	70.7%	62.6%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2000	64.8%	69.9%	59.7%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2000	74.2%	78.8%	69.3%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2017	74.9%	79.4%	70.1%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2017	67.5%	72.4%	62.6%
Brazil	Petrolina	2000	72.1%	76.5%	67.2%
Brazil	Petrolina	2017	73.3%	77.5%	68.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Petrolina de Goiás	2000	77.8%	81.0%	74.6%
Brazil	Petrolina de Goiás	2017	78.5%	81.6%	75.3%
Brazil	Petrópolis	2000	82.2%	83.9%	80.0%
Brazil	Petrópolis	2017	82.8%	84.4%	80.6%
Brazil	Piaçabuçu	2000	64.2%	67.9%	60.4%
Brazil	Piaçabuçu	2017	65.1%	68.7%	61.3%
Brazil	Piacatu	2000	91.3%	93.6%	88.8%
Brazil	Piacatu	2017	91.6%	93.8%	89.2%
Brazil	Piancó	2000	65.6%	69.2%	61.2%
Brazil	Piancó	2017	66.5%	70.0%	62.1%
Brazil	Piatã	2000	80.3%	85.2%	75.2%
Brazil	Piatã	2017	80.9%	85.7%	76.0%
Brazil	Piau	2000	80.0%	83.1%	76.0%
Brazil	Piau	2017	80.6%	83.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Picada Café	2000	80.4%	83.3%	77.4%
Brazil	Picada Café	2017	81.0%	83.8%	78.2%
Brazil	Piçarra	2000	57.5%	62.4%	52.5%
Brazil	Piçarra	2017	58.5%	63.4%	53.5%
Brazil	Piçarras	2000	73.4%	77.1%	68.7%
Brazil	Piçarras	2017	74.8%	78.3%	70.2%
Brazil	Picos	2000	61.8%	66.4%	57.3%
Brazil	Picos	2017	63.1%	67.7%	58.7%
Brazil	Picuí	2000	78.8%	81.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Picuí	2017	79.5%	82.4%	75.7%
Brazil	Piedade	2000	94.3%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Piedade	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Piedade de Caratinga	2000	82.6%	86.0%	78.6%
Brazil	Piedade de Caratinga	2017	84.3%	87.4%	80.5%
Brazil	Piedade do Ponte Nova	2000	80.7%	84.4%	76.4%
Brazil	Piedade do Ponte Nova	2017	81.3%	84.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Piedade do Rio Grande	2000	82.3%	86.1%	77.8%
Brazil	Piedade do Rio Grande	2017	82.8%	86.6%	78.4%
Brazil	Piedade dos Gerais	2000	80.5%	84.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Piedade dos Gerais	2017	81.1%	84.8%	77.1%
Brazil	Piên	2000	80.7%	83.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Piên	2017	81.3%	84.1%	78.1%
Brazil	Pilão Arcado	2000	69.4%	75.5%	60.9%
Brazil	Pilão Arcado	2017	70.2%	76.2%	61.8%
Brazil	Pilar	2000	67.2%	70.4%	64.1%
Brazil	Pilar	2000	57.2%	59.8%	54.6%
Brazil	Pilar	2017	58.1%	60.7%	55.5%
Brazil	Pilar	2017	68.0%	71.2%	64.9%
Brazil	Pilar de Goiás	2000	77.8%	82.1%	72.4%
Brazil	Pilar de Goiás	2017	78.5%	82.7%	73.2%
Brazil	Pilar do Sul	2000	93.8%	95.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Pilar do Sul	2017	94.1%	95.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Pilões	2000	76.1%	79.5%	72.4%
Brazil	Pilões	2000	67.0%	70.0%	63.7%
Brazil	Pilões	2017	76.8%	80.1%	73.2%
Brazil	Pilões	2017	67.8%	70.8%	64.6%
Brazil	Pilõesinhos	2000	67.9%	70.8%	64.8%
Brazil	Pilõesinhos	2017	68.7%	71.6%	65.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pimenta	2000	81.6%	86.2%	76.6%
Brazil	Pimenta	2017	83.4%	87.6%	78.8%
Brazil	Pimenta	2000	28.9%	33.3%	24.9%
Brazil	Bueno				
Brazil	Pimenta	2017	29.7%	34.2%	25.7%
Brazil	Bueno				
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2000	63.9%	69.1%	58.7%
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2017	64.8%	70.0%	59.6%
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2000	30.5%	36.5%	25.3%
Brazil	do Oeste				
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2017	31.3%	37.5%	26.0%
Brazil	do Oeste				
Brazil	Pindaí	2000	78.9%	84.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Pindaí	2017	79.5%	84.5%	73.8%
Brazil	Pindamonhangaba	2000	91.3%	93.1%	89.0%
Brazil	Pindamonhangaba	2017	91.6%	93.3%	89.3%
Brazil	Pindaré-Mirim	2000	60.3%	64.6%	55.5%
Brazil	Pindaré-Mirim	2017	61.2%	65.5%	56.5%
Brazil	Pindoba	2000	57.9%	61.3%	54.9%
Brazil	Pindoba	2017	58.9%	62.2%	55.8%
Brazil	Pindobaçu	2000	76.0%	81.1%	68.8%
Brazil	Pindobaçu	2017	76.7%	81.7%	69.7%
Brazil	Pindorama	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Pindorama	2017	93.8%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Pindorama do Tocantins	2000	76.6%	81.9%	71.0%
Brazil	Pindorama do Tocantins	2017	77.4%	82.5%	71.7%
Brazil	Pindoretama	2000	68.4%	71.3%	65.4%
Brazil	Pindoretama	2017	69.3%	72.1%	66.3%
Brazil	Pingo d'Água	2000	80.1%	83.7%	75.8%
Brazil	Pingo d'Água	2017	80.7%	84.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Pinhais	2000	86.4%	88.3%	84.4%
Brazil	Pinhais	2017	86.8%	88.7%	84.9%
Brazil	Pinhal	2000	89.9%	92.1%	87.4%
Brazil	Pinhal	2000	78.3%	82.1%	74.1%
Brazil	Pinhal	2017	90.2%	92.4%	87.9%
Brazil	Pinhal	2017	79.0%	82.7%	74.8%
Brazil	Pinhal de São Bento	2000	81.8%	85.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Pinhal de São Bento	2017	82.4%	86.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Pinhal Grande	2000	83.1%	86.2%	78.8%
Brazil	Pinhal Grande	2017	83.6%	86.7%	79.5%
Brazil	Pinhalão	2000	86.9%	89.8%	83.3%
Brazil	Pinhalão	2017	87.3%	90.1%	83.8%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2000	79.5%	83.3%	75.0%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2017	80.2%	83.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Pinhão	2000	77.7%	80.6%	74.8%
Brazil	Pinhão	2000	81.6%	85.3%	77.1%
Brazil	Pinhão	2017	82.2%	85.8%	77.8%
Brazil	Pinhão	2017	78.4%	81.2%	75.5%
Brazil	Pinheiral	2000	79.9%	82.5%	76.9%
Brazil	Pinheiral	2017	80.5%	83.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Pinheirinho do Vale	2000	77.9%	82.0%	72.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pinheirinho do Vale	2017	78.5%	82.5%	73.5%
Brazil	Pinheiro	2000	60.2%	65.1%	55.2%
Brazil	Pinheiro	2017	61.3%	66.2%	56.4%
Brazil	Pinheiro	2000	82.9%	87.5%	77.6%
Brazil	Machado Pinheiro	2017	83.4%	87.9%	78.3%
Brazil	Machado Pinheiro	2000	75.8%	80.2%	71.7%
Brazil	Preto Pinheiro	2017	76.5%	80.8%	72.5%
Brazil	Preto Pinheiros	2000	77.4%	82.1%	73.3%
Brazil	Pinheiros	2017	78.6%	83.0%	74.6%
Brazil	Pintadas	2000	79.0%	83.2%	74.6%
Brazil	Pintadas	2017	79.6%	83.7%	75.3%
Brazil	Pintópolis	2000	82.3%	87.6%	75.7%
Brazil	Pintópolis	2017	82.8%	88.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Pio IX	2000	66.9%	71.3%	62.3%
Brazil	Pio IX	2017	67.7%	72.0%	63.2%
Brazil	Pio XII	2000	60.4%	65.3%	55.0%
Brazil	Pio XII	2017	61.3%	66.2%	55.8%
Brazil	Piquerobi	2000	87.8%	90.4%	84.7%
Brazil	Piquerobi	2017	88.3%	90.8%	85.2%
Brazil	Piquet Carneiro	2000	69.9%	74.6%	65.7%
Brazil	Piquet Carneiro	2017	70.7%	75.3%	66.6%
Brazil	Piquete	2000	87.5%	89.9%	84.7%
Brazil	Piquete	2017	87.9%	90.3%	85.2%
Brazil	Piracaia	2000	93.3%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Piracaia	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Piracanjuba	2000	77.9%	81.1%	74.4%
Brazil	Piracanjuba	2017	78.6%	81.7%	75.1%
Brazil	Piracema	2000	80.4%	84.3%	75.8%
Brazil	Piracema	2017	81.0%	84.8%	76.5%
Brazil	Piracicaba	2000	93.4%	94.7%	91.9%
Brazil	Piracicaba	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.2%
Brazil	Piracununga	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.6%
Brazil	Piracununga	2017	92.8%	94.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Piracuruca	2000	61.0%	65.5%	56.3%
Brazil	Piracuruca	2017	61.9%	66.4%	57.3%
Brazil	Piraí	2000	78.2%	80.8%	75.3%
Brazil	Piraí	2017	78.8%	81.4%	76.0%
Brazil	Piraí do Norte	2000	75.5%	80.0%	70.5%
Brazil	Piraí do Norte	2017	76.3%	80.7%	71.3%
Brazil	Piraí do Sul	2000	87.6%	90.3%	84.8%
Brazil	Piraí do Sul	2017	88.0%	90.7%	85.3%
Brazil	Pirajuba	2000	87.8%	90.8%	83.8%
Brazil	Pirajuba	2017	88.2%	91.1%	84.3%
Brazil	Pirajui	2000	92.1%	93.8%	89.8%
Brazil	Pirajui	2017	92.4%	94.1%	90.3%
Brazil	Pirajuí	2000	93.1%	95.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Pirajuí	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Pirambu	2000	71.3%	74.0%	68.0%
Brazil	Pirambu	2017	72.1%	74.7%	68.8%
Brazil	Piranga	2000	80.6%	84.0%	76.7%
Brazil	Piranga	2017	81.7%	85.0%	77.9%
Brazil	Pirangi	2000	93.0%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Pirangi	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Piranguçu	2000	89.2%	91.6%	86.4%
Brazil	Piranguçu	2017	89.5%	91.8%	86.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Piranguinho	2000	87.8%	90.6%	84.7%
Brazil	Piranguinho	2017	88.2%	91.0%	85.2%
Brazil	Piranhas	2000	66.3%	70.0%	62.3%
Brazil	Piranhas	2000	74.3%	79.8%	68.2%
Brazil	Piranhas	2017	75.0%	80.4%	69.0%
Brazil	Piranhas	2017	67.2%	70.9%	63.3%
Brazil	Pirapemas	2000	61.4%	66.4%	56.3%
Brazil	Pirapemas	2017	62.3%	67.2%	57.2%
Brazil	Pirapetinga	2000	77.6%	81.0%	73.5%
Brazil	Pirapetinga	2017	78.2%	81.6%	74.2%
Brazil	Pirapó	2000	80.5%	86.1%	74.0%
Brazil	Pirapó	2017	81.1%	86.5%	74.7%
Brazil	Pirapora	2000	82.3%	87.4%	75.9%
Brazil	Pirapora	2017	82.8%	87.8%	76.6%
Brazil	Pirapora do Bom Jesus	2000	93.1%	94.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Pirapora do Bom Jesus	2017	93.3%	94.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Pirapozinho	2000	88.5%	91.4%	85.6%
Brazil	Pirapozinho	2017	88.9%	91.7%	86.0%
Brazil	Piraquara	2000	85.8%	87.9%	83.6%
Brazil	Piraquara	2017	86.2%	88.3%	84.1%
Brazil	Piraquê	2000	71.6%	75.1%	67.3%
Brazil	Piraquê	2017	72.3%	75.8%	68.2%
Brazil	Piratini	2000	82.4%	86.4%	77.7%
Brazil	Piratini	2017	83.0%	86.8%	78.4%
Brazil	Piratininga	2000	93.2%	95.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Piratininga	2017	93.5%	95.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Piratuba	2000	77.1%	80.6%	72.3%
Brazil	Piratuba	2017	77.8%	81.2%	73.1%
Brazil	Pirauba	2000	81.0%	84.2%	77.4%
Brazil	Pirauba	2017	81.6%	84.7%	78.0%
Brazil	Pirenópolis	2000	80.2%	83.0%	76.9%
Brazil	Pirenópolis	2017	80.9%	83.6%	77.6%
Brazil	Pires do Rio	2000	79.8%	83.7%	74.9%
Brazil	Pires do Rio	2017	80.4%	84.2%	75.7%
Brazil	Pires Ferreira	2000	65.1%	69.4%	60.8%
Brazil	Pires Ferreira	2017	65.9%	70.1%	61.7%
Brazil	Piripá	2000	79.0%	84.0%	73.1%
Brazil	Piripá	2017	79.7%	84.6%	73.9%
Brazil	Piripiri	2000	62.7%	67.5%	57.9%
Brazil	Piripiri	2017	63.6%	68.3%	58.8%
Brazil	Piritiba	2000	79.0%	83.6%	74.5%
Brazil	Piritiba	2017	79.7%	84.1%	75.3%
Brazil	Pirpirituba	2000	70.7%	73.3%	67.8%
Brazil	Pirpirituba	2017	71.6%	74.1%	68.7%
Brazil	Pitanga	2000	84.8%	88.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Pitanga	2017	85.3%	88.6%	81.3%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2000	86.0%	88.4%	83.1%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2000	92.8%	94.5%	90.7%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2017	86.4%	88.7%	83.6%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2017	93.1%	94.7%	91.0%
Brazil	Pitanguí	2000	81.5%	85.7%	77.3%
Brazil	Pitanguí	2017	82.1%	86.1%	78.0%
Brazil	Pitimbu	2000	64.5%	67.8%	61.1%
Brazil	Pitimbu	2017	65.4%	68.7%	62.1%
Brazil	Piui	2000	82.9%	87.1%	77.7%
Brazil	Piui	2017	83.5%	87.6%	78.4%
Brazil	Pium	2000	68.3%	73.0%	62.8%
Brazil	Pium	2017	68.1%	72.7%	62.5%
Brazil	Piúma	2000	77.3%	80.4%	73.1%
Brazil	Piúma	2017	78.0%	81.1%	73.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Placas	2000	38.7%	44.8%	32.2%
Brazil	Placas	2017	39.6%	45.7%	32.9%
Brazil	Plácido de Castro	2000	39.3%	43.6%	34.5%
Brazil	Plácido de Castro	2017	40.2%	44.6%	35.4%
Brazil	Planaltina	2000	91.8%	93.3%	90.0%
Brazil	Planaltina	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Planaltina do Paraná	2000	83.8%	87.2%	80.3%
Brazil	Planaltina do Paraná	2017	84.3%	87.6%	80.9%
Brazil	Planaltino	2000	79.8%	84.5%	74.2%
Brazil	Planaltino	2017	80.4%	85.0%	75.0%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	83.2%	86.7%	79.4%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	79.2%	82.6%	75.2%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	83.1%	87.2%	78.6%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	92.2%	94.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	83.7%	87.1%	80.0%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	83.7%	87.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	92.4%	94.6%	90.0%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	79.8%	83.2%	75.9%
Brazil	Planalto Alegre	2000	77.7%	81.2%	73.1%
Brazil	Planalto Alegre	2017	78.3%	81.8%	73.9%
Brazil	Planalto da Serra	2000	68.1%	75.4%	60.1%
Brazil	Planalto da Serra	2017	68.9%	76.1%	61.0%
Brazil	Planura	2000	89.2%	92.2%	86.0%
Brazil	Planura	2017	89.6%	92.5%	86.4%
Brazil	Platina	2000	90.7%	93.0%	88.1%
Brazil	Platina	2017	91.1%	93.3%	88.5%
Brazil	Poá	2000	94.6%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Poá	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Poção	2000	67.3%	71.1%	63.2%
Brazil	Poção	2017	68.2%	71.9%	64.1%
Brazil	Poção de Pedras	2000	60.8%	66.0%	55.6%
Brazil	Poção de Pedras	2017	61.7%	66.9%	56.6%
Brazil	Pocinhos	2000	72.7%	75.9%	69.1%
Brazil	Pocinhos	2017	73.5%	76.6%	69.9%
Brazil	Poço Branco	2000	84.2%	86.6%	81.6%
Brazil	Poço Branco	2017	84.7%	87.1%	82.2%
Brazil	Poço Dantas	2000	75.1%	79.3%	71.1%
Brazil	Poço Dantas	2017	75.8%	80.0%	71.8%
Brazil	Poço das Antas	2000	81.6%	84.3%	78.4%
Brazil	Poço das Antas	2017	82.1%	84.7%	78.9%
Brazil	Poço das Trincheiras	2000	61.3%	64.2%	57.9%
Brazil	Poço das Trincheiras	2017	62.2%	65.1%	58.9%
Brazil	Poço de José de Moura	2000	70.0%	74.0%	66.2%
Brazil	Poço de José de Moura	2017	70.9%	74.7%	67.1%
Brazil	Poço Fundo	2000	85.9%	88.8%	81.7%
Brazil	Poço Fundo	2017	86.4%	89.1%	82.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Poço Redondo	2000	69.1%	72.6%	65.0%
Brazil	Poço Redondo	2017	69.9%	73.3%	65.8%
Brazil	Poço Verde	2000	79.6%	82.7%	76.1%
Brazil	Poço Verde	2017	80.3%	83.3%	76.9%
Brazil	Poções	2000	83.6%	87.4%	79.6%
Brazil	Poções	2017	84.1%	87.8%	80.2%
Brazil	Poconé	2000	69.2%	75.0%	63.3%
Brazil	Poconé	2017	70.1%	75.7%	64.2%
Brazil	Poços de Caldas	2000	91.5%	93.4%	88.9%
Brazil	Poços de Caldas	2017	91.8%	93.7%	89.2%
Brazil	Pocrane	2000	78.2%	82.5%	74.1%
Brazil	Pocrane	2017	78.9%	83.0%	74.8%
Brazil	Pojuca	2000	76.2%	79.8%	72.4%
Brazil	Pojuca	2017	76.9%	80.4%	73.1%
Brazil	Poloni	2000	91.9%	94.2%	89.0%
Brazil	Poloni	2017	92.2%	94.4%	89.4%
Brazil	Pombal	2000	71.3%	74.3%	68.4%
Brazil	Pombal	2017	72.3%	75.2%	69.3%
Brazil	Pombos	2000	69.8%	72.9%	67.0%
Brazil	Pombos	2017	70.7%	73.7%	67.9%
Brazil	Pomerode	2000	72.5%	76.0%	69.1%
Brazil	Pomerode	2017	73.2%	76.7%	69.9%
Brazil	Pompéia	2000	92.9%	94.9%	90.6%
Brazil	Pompéia	2017	93.2%	95.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Pompéu	2000	81.7%	86.3%	76.4%
Brazil	Pompéu	2017	82.3%	86.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Pongaí	2000	93.1%	95.1%	90.5%
Brazil	Pongaí	2017	93.3%	95.3%	90.9%
Brazil	Ponta Alta	2000	75.6%	80.3%	70.4%
Brazil	Ponta Alta	2017	76.3%	80.9%	71.2%
Brazil	Ponta de Pedras	2000	36.2%	40.8%	32.4%
Brazil	Ponta de Pedras	2017	37.1%	41.7%	33.3%
Brazil	Ponta Grossa	2000	85.1%	87.6%	81.9%
Brazil	Ponta Grossa	2017	85.7%	88.1%	82.5%
Brazil	Ponta Porã	2000	83.8%	87.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Ponta Porã	2017	84.4%	87.7%	80.2%
Brazil	Pontal	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Pontal	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Pontal do Araguaia	2000	72.3%	78.2%	66.2%
Brazil	Pontal do Araguaia	2017	73.0%	78.8%	67.1%
Brazil	Pontal do Paraná	2000	74.7%	78.9%	69.8%
Brazil	Pontal do Paraná	2017	75.4%	79.6%	70.6%
Brazil	Pontalina	2000	77.8%	81.1%	74.0%
Brazil	Pontalina	2017	78.4%	81.7%	74.7%
Brazil	Pontalinda	2000	89.1%	92.3%	85.5%
Brazil	Pontalinda	2017	89.5%	92.5%	86.0%
Brazil	Pontão	2000	80.9%	84.3%	76.9%
Brazil	Pontão	2017	81.5%	84.8%	77.6%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Bom Jesus	2000	75.4%	81.0%	68.7%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Bom Jesus	2017	76.1%	81.6%	69.5%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2000	75.1%	80.5%	69.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2000	76.5%	80.9%	71.6%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2017	75.8%	81.1%	69.9%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2017	77.2%	81.5%	72.4%
Brazil	Ponte Branca	2000	71.8%	78.7%	63.9%
Brazil	Ponte Branca	2017	72.5%	79.4%	64.8%
Brazil	Ponte Nova	2000	81.4%	85.3%	77.0%
Brazil	Ponte Nova	2017	82.0%	85.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Ponte Preta	2000	79.1%	82.6%	75.6%
Brazil	Ponte Preta	2017	79.7%	83.1%	76.4%
Brazil	Ponte Serrada	2000	79.1%	82.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Ponte Serrada	2017	79.7%	83.5%	75.7%
Brazil	Pontes e Lacerda	2000	64.3%	72.3%	55.6%
Brazil	Pontes e Lacerda	2017	65.1%	72.9%	56.5%
Brazil	Pontes Gestral	2000	89.6%	92.4%	86.0%
Brazil	Pontes Gestral	2017	90.0%	92.6%	86.4%
Brazil	Ponto Belo	2000	79.1%	83.1%	74.7%
Brazil	Ponto Belo	2017	79.8%	83.6%	75.4%
Brazil	Ponto Chique	2000	81.8%	87.4%	75.2%
Brazil	Ponto Chique	2017	82.3%	87.8%	76.0%
Brazil	Ponto dos Volantes	2000	82.7%	87.3%	75.9%
Brazil	Ponto dos Volantes	2017	83.3%	87.7%	76.6%
Brazil	Ponto Novo	2000	75.7%	81.2%	69.2%
Brazil	Ponto Novo	2017	76.4%	81.8%	70.1%
Brazil	Populina	2000	86.7%	90.3%	82.7%
Brazil	Populina	2017	87.1%	90.6%	83.3%
Brazil	Poranga	2000	68.2%	73.3%	63.5%
Brazil	Poranga	2017	69.0%	74.1%	64.4%
Brazil	Porangaba	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.1%
Brazil	Porangaba	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.4%
Brazil	Porangatu	2000	77.9%	82.7%	71.5%
Brazil	Porangatu	2017	78.7%	83.4%	72.5%
Brazil	Porciúncula	2000	78.7%	82.2%	75.0%
Brazil	Porciúncula	2017	79.3%	82.7%	75.7%
Brazil	Porecatu	2000	86.8%	89.8%	83.8%
Brazil	Porecatu	2017	87.3%	90.1%	84.3%
Brazil	Portalegre	2000	78.1%	81.3%	74.8%
Brazil	Portalegre	2017	78.7%	81.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Portao	2000	80.5%	82.7%	78.2%
Brazil	Portao	2017	81.3%	83.3%	79.0%
Brazil	Porteiras	2000	68.1%	71.7%	64.0%
Brazil	Porteiras	2017	69.0%	72.4%	64.8%
Brazil	Porteirinha	2000	80.9%	85.9%	75.1%
Brazil	Porteirinha	2017	81.6%	86.4%	75.8%
Brazil	Porteiro	2000	76.3%	80.9%	71.4%
Brazil	Porteiro	2017	77.0%	81.5%	72.2%
Brazil	Portel	2000	39.6%	46.8%	33.6%
Brazil	Portel	2017	40.5%	47.7%	34.5%
Brazil	Portelândia	2000	76.5%	82.6%	71.1%
Brazil	Portelândia	2017	77.2%	83.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Porto	2000	59.3%	64.2%	54.7%
Brazil	Porto	2017	60.3%	65.1%	55.6%
Brazil	Porto Acre	2000	42.0%	45.3%	38.9%
Brazil	Porto Acre	2017	42.9%	46.2%	39.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Porto Alegre	2000	82.3%	84.4%	80.4%
Brazil	Porto Alegre	2017	82.9%	84.9%	81.0%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Norte	2000	68.2%	76.2%	57.5%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Norte	2017	69.0%	76.8%	58.4%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Piauí	2000	60.1%	67.4%	52.8%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Piauí	2017	61.0%	68.3%	53.8%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Tocantins	2000	76.6%	82.0%	70.9%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Tocantins	2017	77.3%	82.5%	71.7%
Brazil	Porto Amazonas	2000	81.6%	84.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Porto Amazonas	2017	82.1%	85.0%	78.8%
Brazil	Porto Barreiro	2000	82.2%	85.8%	77.8%
Brazil	Porto Barreiro	2017	82.7%	86.2%	78.4%
Brazil	Porto Belo	2000	72.7%	77.4%	67.6%
Brazil	Porto Belo	2017	73.7%	78.3%	68.8%
Brazil	Porto Calvo	2000	64.7%	68.0%	60.8%
Brazil	Porto Calvo	2017	65.6%	68.9%	61.7%
Brazil	Porto da Folha	2000	69.6%	72.6%	65.6%
Brazil	Porto da Folha	2017	70.5%	73.5%	66.6%
Brazil	Porto de Moz	2000	38.4%	45.3%	31.7%
Brazil	Porto de Moz	2017	40.1%	47.1%	33.3%
Brazil	Porto de Pedras	2000	63.7%	67.0%	59.4%
Brazil	Porto de Pedras	2017	64.7%	68.0%	60.6%
Brazil	Porto do Mangue	2000	82.0%	85.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Porto do Mangue	2017	82.6%	85.8%	78.7%
Brazil	Porto dos Gaúchos	2000	66.9%	74.4%	59.8%
Brazil	Porto dos Gaúchos	2017	67.7%	75.1%	60.8%
Brazil	Porto Esperidião	2000	67.8%	75.0%	59.6%
Brazil	Porto Esperidião	2017	68.6%	75.7%	60.5%
Brazil	Porto Estrela	2000	67.4%	72.6%	61.1%
Brazil	Porto Estrela	2017	68.3%	73.4%	62.1%
Brazil	Porto Feliz	2000	93.5%	94.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Porto Feliz	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Porto Ferreira	2000	92.7%	94.3%	90.8%
Brazil	Porto Ferreira	2017	93.0%	94.5%	91.1%
Brazil	Porto Firme	2000	80.6%	83.9%	76.7%
Brazil	Porto Firme	2017	81.2%	84.4%	77.4%
Brazil	Porto Franco	2000	71.8%	76.1%	66.9%
Brazil	Porto Franco	2017	72.6%	76.9%	67.8%
Brazil	Porto Grande	2000	49.0%	53.3%	44.5%
Brazil	Porto Grande	2017	50.0%	54.3%	45.5%
Brazil	Porto Lucena	2000	80.5%	86.1%	74.2%
Brazil	Porto Lucena	2017	81.1%	86.5%	75.0%
Brazil	Porto Mauá	2000	79.9%	85.0%	73.5%
Brazil	Porto Mauá	2017	80.5%	85.5%	74.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Porto Murtinho	2000	77.6%	85.2%	66.7%
Brazil	Porto Murtinho	2017	78.3%	85.6%	67.6%
Brazil	Porto Nacional	2000	75.2%	79.4%	71.3%
Brazil	Porto Nacional	2017	75.9%	80.0%	72.1%
Brazil	Porto Real	2000	81.3%	84.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Porto Real	2017	81.9%	84.7%	78.6%
Brazil	Porto Real do Colégio	2000	66.9%	69.6%	64.1%
Brazil	Porto Real do Colégio	2017	67.7%	70.4%	65.0%
Brazil	Porto Rico	2000	82.2%	86.0%	78.4%
Brazil	Porto Rico	2017	82.7%	86.4%	79.0%
Brazil	Porto Rico do Maranhão	2000	59.0%	66.1%	51.4%
Brazil	Porto Rico do Maranhão	2017	60.0%	67.0%	52.4%
Brazil	Porto Seguro	2000	76.1%	81.6%	69.9%
Brazil	Porto Seguro	2017	76.8%	82.2%	70.7%
Brazil	Porto União	2000	79.6%	83.8%	74.7%
Brazil	Porto União	2017	80.3%	84.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Porto Velho	2000	30.4%	32.9%	28.1%
Brazil	Porto Velho	2017	31.2%	33.8%	28.9%
Brazil	Porto Vera Cruz	2000	80.1%	85.8%	73.5%
Brazil	Porto Vera Cruz	2017	80.7%	86.3%	74.3%
Brazil	Porto Vitória	2000	79.8%	84.0%	74.9%
Brazil	Porto Vitória	2017	80.4%	84.5%	75.7%
Brazil	Porto Walter	2000	42.3%	46.6%	37.8%
Brazil	Porto Walter	2017	43.3%	47.6%	38.6%
Brazil	Porto Xavier	2000	81.1%	86.6%	74.5%
Brazil	Porto Xavier	2017	81.7%	87.0%	75.1%
Brazil	Posse	2000	81.2%	85.7%	75.9%
Brazil	Posse	2017	81.8%	86.2%	76.6%
Brazil	Poté	2000	82.9%	87.5%	77.6%
Brazil	Poté	2017	83.4%	87.9%	78.3%
Brazil	Potengi	2000	68.3%	72.4%	63.7%
Brazil	Potengi	2017	70.7%	74.6%	66.3%
Brazil	Potim	2000	89.5%	91.9%	86.9%
Brazil	Potim	2017	89.8%	92.1%	87.2%
Brazil	Potiraguá	2000	79.1%	84.0%	73.7%
Brazil	Potiraguá	2017	79.7%	84.5%	74.5%
Brazil	Potirendaba	2000	92.8%	94.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Potirendaba	2017	93.1%	94.7%	90.9%
Brazil	Potiretama	2000	76.1%	79.7%	71.5%
Brazil	Potiretama	2017	76.8%	80.4%	72.3%
Brazil	Pouso Alegre	2000	89.1%	91.4%	86.5%
Brazil	Pouso Alegre	2017	89.4%	91.7%	87.0%
Brazil	Pouso Alto	2000	85.6%	88.7%	82.4%
Brazil	Pouso Alto	2017	86.1%	89.1%	83.0%
Brazil	Pouso Novo	2000	82.5%	85.9%	78.8%
Brazil	Pouso Novo	2017	83.0%	86.3%	79.4%
Brazil	Pouso Redondo	2000	73.9%	78.1%	69.2%
Brazil	Pouso Redondo	2017	74.6%	78.8%	70.1%
Brazil	Poxoréo	2000	67.9%	73.7%	62.2%
Brazil	Poxoréo	2017	69.4%	75.0%	63.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pracinha	2000	89.7%	92.4%	86.4%
Brazil	Pracinha	2017	90.0%	92.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Pracuúba	2000	47.4%	53.6%	41.1%
Brazil	Pracuúba	2017	48.4%	54.5%	42.1%
Brazil	Prado	2000	76.9%	82.8%	69.9%
Brazil	Prado	2017	77.6%	83.3%	70.8%
Brazil	Prado Ferreira	2000	86.5%	88.9%	83.4%
Brazil	Prado Ferreira	2017	86.9%	89.2%	84.0%
Brazil	Pradópolis	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.0%
Brazil	Pradópolis	2017	92.7%	94.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Prados	2000	80.7%	85.0%	76.3%
Brazil	Prados	2017	81.3%	85.5%	77.0%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2000	77.0%	81.1%	72.1%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2000	87.0%	89.2%	84.8%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2017	77.7%	81.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2017	87.8%	89.9%	85.8%
Brazil	Praia Norte	2000	64.7%	69.0%	60.4%
Brazil	Praia Norte	2017	65.5%	69.7%	61.2%
Brazil	Prainha	2000	38.3%	45.3%	30.8%
Brazil	Prainha	2017	39.2%	46.2%	31.7%
Brazil	Pranchita	2000	82.5%	86.6%	78.3%
Brazil	Pranchita	2017	83.1%	87.1%	79.0%
Brazil	Prata	2000	66.4%	70.8%	62.0%
Brazil	Prata	2000	84.5%	88.2%	79.6%
Brazil	Prata	2017	67.3%	71.6%	62.9%
Brazil	Prata	2017	85.0%	88.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Prata do Piauí	2000	58.1%	63.2%	52.6%
Brazil	Prata do Piauí	2017	59.0%	64.1%	53.5%
Brazil	Pratânia	2000	93.9%	95.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Pratânia	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Pratópolis	2000	87.1%	90.8%	82.9%
Brazil	Pratópolis	2017	87.6%	91.1%	83.4%
Brazil	Pratinha	2000	84.7%	88.9%	79.9%
Brazil	Pratinha	2017	85.2%	89.3%	80.5%
Brazil	Presidente Alves	2000	93.1%	95.0%	90.6%
Brazil	Presidente Alves	2017	93.3%	95.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2000	88.7%	91.3%	86.0%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2000	80.3%	83.5%	76.6%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2017	89.1%	91.6%	86.5%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2017	80.9%	84.1%	77.3%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2000	84.8%	87.4%	81.4%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2000	76.2%	79.8%	71.2%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2017	76.9%	80.5%	72.1%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2017	85.3%	87.8%	82.0%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2000	76.7%	82.5%	70.2%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2000	60.8%	66.8%	56.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2017	77.4%	83.1%	71.1%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2017	63.1%	69.0%	58.8%
Brazil	Presidente Epitácio	2000	86.1%	88.9%	82.3%
Brazil	Presidente Epitácio	2017	86.6%	89.3%	82.8%
Brazil	Presidente Figueiredo	2000	61.2%	66.8%	55.9%
Brazil	Presidente Figueiredo	2017	62.1%	67.7%	56.8%
Brazil	Presidente Getúlio	2000	73.4%	77.2%	69.4%
Brazil	Presidente Getúlio	2017	74.1%	77.8%	70.2%
Brazil	Presidente Jânio Quadros	2000	78.8%	83.5%	73.1%
Brazil	Presidente Jânio Quadros	2017	79.4%	84.1%	73.8%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	82.4%	84.7%	80.3%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	81.3%	86.1%	75.1%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	60.6%	65.1%	55.8%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	82.9%	85.2%	80.9%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	81.9%	86.6%	75.8%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	61.5%	65.9%	56.8%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2000	74.9%	79.4%	70.2%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2000	74.8%	78.3%	70.9%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2017	75.5%	79.0%	71.7%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2017	75.6%	80.0%	71.0%
Brazil	Presidente Kubitschek	2000	84.4%	88.0%	79.3%
Brazil	Presidente Kubitschek	2017	84.9%	88.4%	79.9%
Brazil	Presidente Lucena	2000	80.1%	82.8%	77.4%
Brazil	Presidente Lucena	2017	80.7%	83.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2000	27.9%	31.0%	24.7%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2000	53.5%	59.5%	47.7%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2017	28.7%	31.9%	25.5%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2017	54.5%	60.5%	48.7%
Brazil	Presidente Nereu	2000	75.2%	78.8%	71.6%
Brazil	Presidente Nereu	2017	76.0%	79.5%	72.4%
Brazil	Presidente Olegário	2000	80.4%	86.0%	73.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Presidente Olegário	2017	81.0%	86.4%	74.3%
Brazil	Presidente Prudente	2000	89.9%	92.5%	87.5%
Brazil	Presidente Prudente	2017	90.3%	92.7%	88.0%
Brazil	Presidente Sarney	2000	57.7%	63.2%	52.1%
Brazil	Presidente Sarney	2017	58.6%	64.1%	53.1%
Brazil	Presidente Tancredo Neves	2000	76.4%	80.1%	71.8%
Brazil	Presidente Tancredo Neves	2017	77.1%	80.7%	72.6%
Brazil	Presidente Vargas	2000	60.5%	65.8%	55.2%
Brazil	Presidente Vargas	2017	61.5%	66.7%	56.2%
Brazil	Presidente Venceslau	2000	88.0%	90.4%	84.7%
Brazil	Presidente Venceslau	2017	88.4%	90.7%	85.2%
Brazil	Primavera	2000	66.9%	69.9%	63.7%
Brazil	Primavera	2000	37.2%	41.9%	32.6%
Brazil	Primavera	2017	67.7%	70.7%	64.6%
Brazil	Primavera	2017	38.1%	42.9%	33.4%
Brazil	Primavera de Rondônia	2000	27.7%	31.9%	23.8%
Brazil	Primavera de Rondônia	2017	28.5%	32.7%	24.6%
Brazil	Primavera do Leste	2000	70.2%	75.5%	64.4%
Brazil	Primavera do Leste	2017	71.0%	76.2%	65.3%
Brazil	Primeira Cruz	2000	59.4%	64.9%	52.7%
Brazil	Primeira Cruz	2017	60.3%	65.8%	53.7%
Brazil	Primeiro de Maio	2000	86.6%	89.0%	84.0%
Brazil	Primeiro de Maio	2017	87.1%	89.4%	84.5%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2000	81.2%	85.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2017	81.8%	86.2%	77.2%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2000	67.5%	71.5%	62.8%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2017	68.3%	72.3%	63.7%
Brazil	Professor Jamil	2000	75.9%	79.0%	72.3%
Brazil	Professor Jamil	2017	76.6%	79.6%	73.1%
Brazil	Progresso	2000	83.1%	86.5%	79.7%
Brazil	Progresso	2017	83.7%	87.0%	80.4%
Brazil	Promissão	2000	93.2%	95.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Promissão	2017	93.5%	95.4%	91.2%
Brazil	Propriá	2000	68.9%	71.9%	66.0%
Brazil	Propriá	2017	69.7%	72.7%	66.9%
Brazil	Protásio Alves	2000	80.7%	83.9%	76.8%
Brazil	Protásio Alves	2017	81.3%	84.5%	77.5%
Brazil	Prudente de Moraes	2000	80.8%	84.3%	77.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Prudente de Moraes	2017	81.4%	84.8%	77.9%
Brazil	Prudentópolis	2000	82.3%	86.1%	78.3%
Brazil	Prudentópolis	2017	82.9%	86.6%	79.0%
Brazil	Pugmil	2000	74.7%	78.5%	70.5%
Brazil	Pugmil	2017	75.4%	79.2%	71.3%
Brazil	Pureza	2000	84.6%	87.2%	81.5%
Brazil	Pureza	2017	85.1%	87.6%	82.1%
Brazil	Putinga	2000	82.7%	86.0%	79.1%
Brazil	Putinga	2017	83.2%	86.4%	79.7%
Brazil	Puxinanã	2000	70.8%	73.9%	68.3%
Brazil	Puxinanã	2017	71.6%	74.7%	69.1%
Brazil	Quadra	2000	93.4%	95.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Quadra	2017	93.6%	95.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Quaraí	2000	81.9%	88.1%	72.7%
Brazil	Quaraí	2017	82.4%	88.5%	73.5%
Brazil	Quartel Geral	2000	80.4%	85.5%	73.4%
Brazil	Quartel Geral	2017	81.0%	86.0%	74.2%
Brazil	Quarto Centenário	2000	83.3%	87.1%	79.1%
Brazil	Quarto Centenário	2017	83.9%	87.5%	79.7%
Brazil	Quatá	2000	91.1%	93.4%	88.6%
Brazil	Quatá	2017	91.4%	93.6%	89.0%
Brazil	Quatiguá	2000	88.4%	90.9%	85.0%
Brazil	Quatiguá	2017	88.8%	91.2%	85.5%
Brazil	Quatipuru	2000	37.4%	42.7%	32.2%
Brazil	Quatipuru	2017	38.3%	43.7%	33.1%
Brazil	Quatis	2000	81.4%	84.3%	78.2%
Brazil	Quatis	2017	81.9%	84.8%	78.8%
Brazil	Quatro Barras	2000	86.1%	88.4%	83.5%
Brazil	Quatro Barras	2017	86.6%	88.8%	84.0%
Brazil	Quatro Pontes	2000	83.9%	87.5%	80.3%
Brazil	Quatro Pontes	2017	84.4%	88.0%	80.9%
Brazil	Quebrangulo	2000	58.4%	61.9%	55.2%
Brazil	Quebrangulo	2017	59.4%	62.8%	56.1%
Brazil	Quedas do Iguaçu	2000	82.9%	86.2%	79.0%
Brazil	Quedas do Iguaçu	2017	83.4%	86.7%	79.6%
Brazil	Queimada Nova	2000	64.4%	70.1%	59.0%
Brazil	Queimada Nova	2017	65.4%	70.9%	60.0%
Brazil	Queimadas	2000	76.0%	81.5%	70.4%
Brazil	Queimadas	2000	69.2%	72.4%	66.4%
Brazil	Queimadas	2017	76.7%	82.0%	71.2%
Brazil	Queimadas	2017	70.1%	73.3%	67.5%
Brazil	Queimados	2000	76.2%	78.0%	74.1%
Brazil	Queimados	2017	76.8%	78.6%	74.8%
Brazil	Queiroz	2000	92.3%	94.5%	89.6%
Brazil	Queiroz	2017	92.5%	94.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Queluz	2000	84.9%	87.6%	81.7%
Brazil	Queluz	2017	85.4%	88.0%	82.3%
Brazil	Queluzita	2000	81.2%	85.2%	77.4%
Brazil	Queluzita	2017	81.8%	85.7%	78.0%
Brazil	Querência	2000	68.5%	76.7%	59.3%
Brazil	Querência	2017	70.9%	78.7%	62.1%
Brazil	Querência do Norte	2000	83.3%	86.8%	79.5%
Brazil	Querência do Norte	2017	83.9%	87.2%	80.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Quevedos	2000	83.1%	87.2%	78.8%
Brazil	Quevedos	2017	83.7%	87.6%	79.5%
Brazil	Quijingue	2000	77.1%	81.4%	71.6%
Brazil	Quijingue	2017	77.8%	82.0%	72.4%
Brazil	Quilombo	2000	77.3%	81.8%	72.9%
Brazil	Quilombo	2017	78.0%	82.3%	73.7%
Brazil	Quinta do Sol	2000	83.1%	86.3%	79.4%
Brazil	Quinta do Sol	2017	83.6%	86.8%	80.0%
Brazil	Quinze de Novembro	2000	81.8%	85.3%	77.0%
Brazil	Quinze de Novembro	2017	82.4%	85.8%	77.6%
Brazil	Quipapá	2000	66.1%	69.6%	62.9%
Brazil	Quipapá	2017	66.9%	70.5%	63.8%
Brazil	Quirinópolis	2000	78.9%	83.2%	74.0%
Brazil	Quirinópolis	2017	80.2%	84.3%	75.5%
Brazil	Quissamã	2000	75.1%	79.3%	70.7%
Brazil	Quissamã	2017	75.9%	80.0%	71.5%
Brazil	Quitana	2000	92.2%	94.4%	89.4%
Brazil	Quitana	2017	92.4%	94.6%	89.8%
Brazil	Quitandinha	2000	81.8%	84.5%	79.0%
Brazil	Quitandinha	2017	82.3%	85.0%	79.6%
Brazil	Quiterianópolis	2000	68.9%	73.8%	63.7%
Brazil	Quiterianópolis	2017	69.7%	74.5%	64.6%
Brazil	Quixabá	2000	67.0%	71.2%	62.2%
Brazil	Quixabá	2000	69.1%	73.7%	64.2%
Brazil	Quixabá	2017	67.9%	72.0%	63.1%
Brazil	Quixabá	2017	70.0%	74.4%	65.1%
Brazil	Quixabeira	2000	78.2%	82.5%	73.6%
Brazil	Quixabeira	2017	78.9%	83.1%	74.3%
Brazil	Quixada	2000	74.2%	78.1%	70.3%
Brazil	Quixada	2017	75.0%	78.8%	71.2%
Brazil	Quixelô	2000	71.4%	75.5%	67.3%
Brazil	Quixelô	2017	72.2%	76.2%	68.2%
Brazil	Quixeramobim	2000	69.5%	73.8%	65.3%
Brazil	Quixeramobim	2017	70.4%	74.5%	66.1%
Brazil	Quixeré	2000	75.9%	79.5%	72.0%
Brazil	Quixeré	2017	76.6%	80.1%	72.8%
Brazil	Rafael Fernandes	2000	75.4%	78.8%	71.8%
Brazil	Rafael Fernandes	2017	76.1%	79.5%	72.6%
Brazil	Rafael Godeiro	2000	78.8%	82.0%	75.2%
Brazil	Rafael Godeiro	2017	79.4%	82.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Rafael Jambeiro	2000	78.1%	82.1%	73.5%
Brazil	Rafael Jambeiro	2017	78.7%	82.6%	74.2%
Brazil	Rafard	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Rafard	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Ramilândia	2000	83.6%	87.1%	80.2%
Brazil	Ramilândia	2017	84.1%	87.5%	80.8%
Brazil	Rancharia	2000	90.6%	93.1%	88.2%
Brazil	Rancharia	2017	90.9%	93.3%	88.6%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre	2000	86.7%	88.9%	83.9%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre	2017	87.1%	89.3%	84.4%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre d'Oeste	2000	83.4%	87.0%	79.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rancho Alegre d'Oeste	2017	84.0%	87.4%	79.6%
Brazil	Rancho Queimado	2000	77.1%	81.0%	72.1%
Brazil	Rancho Queimado	2017	77.8%	81.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Raposa	2000	60.9%	65.0%	55.7%
Brazil	Raposa	2017	61.8%	65.9%	56.7%
Brazil	Raposos	2000	82.4%	85.1%	79.7%
Brazil	Raposos	2017	83.0%	85.6%	80.3%
Brazil	Raul Soares	2000	80.5%	84.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Raul Soares	2017	81.1%	84.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Realeza	2000	82.1%	85.4%	78.2%
Brazil	Realeza	2017	82.6%	85.9%	78.9%
Brazil	Rebouças	2000	81.9%	85.0%	78.0%
Brazil	Rebouças	2017	82.4%	85.5%	78.7%
Brazil	Recife	2000	65.4%	67.3%	63.5%
Brazil	Recife	2017	66.3%	68.1%	64.4%
Brazil	Recreio	2000	77.4%	81.1%	73.4%
Brazil	Recreio	2017	78.1%	81.7%	74.2%
Brazil	Recursolândia	2000	74.7%	80.6%	67.8%
Brazil	Recursolândia	2017	75.4%	81.2%	68.6%
Brazil	Redenção	2000	49.6%	56.4%	42.2%
Brazil	Redenção	2000	70.2%	73.5%	66.8%
Brazil	Redenção	2017	71.7%	74.8%	68.3%
Brazil	Redenção	2017	50.7%	57.4%	43.2%
Brazil	Redenção da Serra	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Redenção da Serra	2017	93.0%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Redenção do Gurguéia	2000	60.3%	67.5%	52.3%
Brazil	Redenção do Gurguéia	2017	61.3%	68.4%	53.3%
Brazil	Redentora	2000	80.7%	85.1%	76.3%
Brazil	Redentora	2017	81.3%	85.6%	77.0%
Brazil	Reduto	2000	81.1%	84.4%	77.0%
Brazil	Reduto	2017	82.2%	85.3%	78.2%
Brazil	Regeneração	2000	59.3%	63.9%	54.6%
Brazil	Regeneração	2017	60.2%	64.8%	55.6%
Brazil	Regente Feijó	2000	90.0%	92.5%	87.4%
Brazil	Regente Feijó	2017	90.4%	92.8%	87.8%
Brazil	Reginópolis	2000	93.2%	95.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Reginópolis	2017	93.8%	95.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Registro	2000	89.2%	92.3%	85.7%
Brazil	Registro	2017	89.6%	92.6%	86.2%
Brazil	Relvado	2000	82.4%	85.8%	78.8%
Brazil	Relvado	2017	82.9%	86.3%	79.5%
Brazil	Remanso	2000	67.4%	74.2%	59.9%
Brazil	Remanso	2017	68.3%	75.0%	60.8%
Brazil	Remígio	2000	68.3%	71.3%	65.1%
Brazil	Remígio	2017	69.1%	72.1%	65.9%
Brazil	Renascença	2000	81.3%	85.3%	76.3%
Brazil	Renascença	2017	81.9%	85.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Reriutaba	2000	66.4%	70.3%	62.3%
Brazil	Reriutaba	2017	67.4%	71.2%	63.3%
Brazil	Resende	2000	83.3%	86.3%	80.2%
Brazil	Resende	2017	83.9%	86.8%	80.8%
Brazil	Resende	2000	83.6%	87.5%	79.8%
Brazil	Resende Costa	2017	84.1%	87.9%	80.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Reserva	2000	83.9%	87.6%	79.2%
Brazil	Reserva	2017	84.4%	88.0%	79.8%
Brazil	Reserva do Cabaçal	2000	69.9%	75.8%	62.5%
Brazil	Reserva do Cabaçal	2017	70.6%	76.4%	63.4%
Brazil	Reserva do Iguaçu	2000	81.5%	85.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Reserva do Iguaçu	2017	82.1%	86.1%	77.4%
Brazil	Resplendor	2000	76.8%	80.8%	72.4%
Brazil	Resplendor	2017	77.6%	81.4%	73.2%
Brazil	Ressaquinha	2000	83.9%	87.0%	80.1%
Brazil	Ressaquinha	2017	84.3%	87.4%	80.6%
Brazil	Restinga	2000	88.9%	91.6%	85.0%
Brazil	Restinga	2017	89.3%	91.9%	85.5%
Brazil	Restinga Seca	2000	81.2%	84.7%	76.2%
Brazil	Restinga Seca	2017	81.7%	85.2%	76.9%
Brazil	Retirolândia	2000	79.3%	82.8%	74.8%
Brazil	Retirolândia	2017	79.9%	83.3%	75.5%
Brazil	Riachão	2000	71.2%	77.3%	63.3%
Brazil	Riachão	2017	72.0%	77.9%	64.2%
Brazil	Riachão das Neves	2000	73.4%	80.2%	66.3%
Brazil	Riachão das Neves	2017	74.1%	80.9%	67.2%
Brazil	Riachão do Bacamarte	2000	77.2%	79.9%	74.6%
Brazil	Riachão do Bacamarte	2017	77.9%	80.6%	75.4%
Brazil	Riachao do dantas	2000	77.8%	80.6%	75.2%
Brazil	Riachao do dantas	2017	78.5%	81.2%	75.9%
Brazil	Riachao do Jacuipe	2000	78.6%	82.4%	74.0%
Brazil	Riachao do Jacuipe	2017	79.2%	82.9%	74.8%
Brazil	Riachão do Poço	2000	68.4%	72.0%	64.7%
Brazil	Riachão do Poço	2017	69.3%	72.8%	65.6%
Brazil	Riachinho	2000	70.6%	74.6%	66.1%
Brazil	Riachinho	2000	83.6%	89.1%	77.9%
Brazil	Riachinho	2017	71.5%	75.4%	67.1%
Brazil	Riachinho	2017	84.6%	89.9%	79.2%
Brazil	Riacho	2000	66.0%	69.1%	63.0%
Brazil	Riacho	2017	66.9%	70.0%	63.9%
Brazil	Riacho da Cruz	2000	77.3%	80.6%	73.7%
Brazil	Riacho da Cruz	2017	77.9%	81.2%	74.5%
Brazil	Riacho das Almas	2000	66.9%	69.9%	63.9%
Brazil	Riacho das Almas	2017	67.7%	70.7%	64.7%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2000	77.0%	82.5%	70.8%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2000	75.5%	79.1%	71.9%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2017	76.2%	79.7%	72.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2017	77.7%	83.1%	71.6%
Brazil	Riacho de Santo Antônio	2000	67.1%	70.7%	63.7%
Brazil	Riacho de Santo Antônio	2017	67.9%	71.5%	64.6%
Brazil	Riacho dos Machados	2000	82.2%	86.8%	76.6%
Brazil	Riacho dos Machados	2017	82.7%	87.2%	77.3%
Brazil	Riacho Frio	2000	65.0%	72.1%	57.8%
Brazil	Riacho Frio	2017	65.9%	72.8%	58.7%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2000	83.8%	86.4%	81.1%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2000	74.3%	76.3%	71.8%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2017	84.3%	86.8%	81.7%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2017	75.0%	77.0%	72.6%
Brazil	Rialma	2000	77.7%	81.9%	73.5%
Brazil	Rialma	2017	78.3%	82.5%	74.2%
Brazil	Rianópolis	2000	77.9%	82.2%	73.9%
Brazil	Rianópolis	2017	78.6%	82.8%	74.7%
Brazil	Ribamar Fiquene	2000	68.4%	73.4%	63.4%
Brazil	Ribamar Fiquene	2017	69.3%	74.1%	64.3%
Brazil	Ribas do Rio Pardo	2000	78.5%	82.5%	73.8%
Brazil	Ribas do Rio Pardo	2017	79.1%	83.0%	74.6%
Brazil	Ribeira	2000	87.2%	90.3%	83.5%
Brazil	Ribeira	2017	87.6%	90.6%	84.0%
Brazil	Ribeira do Amparo	2000	77.8%	81.3%	73.6%
Brazil	Ribeira do Amparo	2017	78.4%	81.8%	74.3%
Brazil	Ribeira do Piauí	2000	59.9%	66.0%	53.6%
Brazil	Ribeira do Piauí	2017	60.9%	66.9%	54.6%
Brazil	Ribeira do Pombal	2000	77.4%	81.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Ribeira do Pombal	2017	78.1%	82.2%	73.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão	2000	62.5%	66.2%	59.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão	2017	63.4%	67.0%	60.0%
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2000	93.3%	94.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2017	93.5%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão Branco	2000	91.8%	94.1%	89.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão Branco	2017	92.1%	94.3%	89.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão Cascalheira	2000	72.0%	80.5%	63.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão Cascalheira	2017	72.6%	80.8%	64.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão Claro	2000	90.4%	92.7%	87.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão Claro	2017	90.7%	92.9%	87.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão Corrente	2000	88.8%	91.6%	85.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ribeirão Corrente	2017	89.2%	91.9%	85.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão das Neves	2000	82.0%	84.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Ribeirão das Neves	2017	82.7%	85.0%	80.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Largo	2000	79.6%	84.4%	73.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Largo	2017	80.0%	84.7%	74.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Pinhal	2000	87.4%	90.1%	84.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Pinhal	2017	87.9%	90.5%	85.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Sul	2000	91.3%	93.7%	88.4%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Sul	2017	91.6%	93.9%	88.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão dos índios	2000	88.3%	91.0%	85.4%
Brazil	Ribeirão dos índios	2017	88.7%	91.3%	85.9%
Brazil	Ribeirão Grande	2000	92.5%	94.5%	90.0%
Brazil	Ribeirão Grande	2017	92.7%	94.7%	90.4%
Brazil	Ribeirão Pires	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão Pires	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Ribeirao Preto	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Ribeirao Preto	2017	94.5%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão Vermelho	2000	81.4%	85.2%	77.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão Vermelho	2017	81.9%	85.7%	77.8%
Brazil	Ribeirãozinho	2000	71.8%	78.2%	64.7%
Brazil	Ribeirãozinho	2017	72.6%	78.9%	65.5%
Brazil	Ribeiro Gonçalves	2000	62.0%	69.8%	53.8%
Brazil	Ribeiro Gonçalves	2017	62.8%	70.6%	54.7%
Brazil	Ribeirópolis	2000	77.9%	80.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Ribeirópolis	2017	78.6%	80.8%	76.0%
Brazil	Ricaho dos Cavalos	2000	74.5%	78.1%	70.9%
Brazil	Ricaho dos Cavalos	2017	75.2%	78.7%	71.7%
Brazil	Rifaina	2000	85.8%	90.1%	80.8%
Brazil	Rifaina	2017	86.2%	90.4%	81.4%
Brazil	Rincão	2000	93.0%	94.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Rincão	2017	93.3%	94.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Rinópolis	2000	91.8%	94.0%	89.4%
Brazil	Rinópolis	2017	92.1%	94.2%	89.7%
Brazil	Rio Acima	2000	80.9%	84.1%	78.0%
Brazil	Rio Acima	2017	81.5%	84.6%	78.6%
Brazil	Rio Azul	2000	80.8%	84.7%	76.3%
Brazil	Rio Azul	2017	81.4%	85.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Rio Bananal	2000	77.5%	80.7%	73.4%
Brazil	Rio Bananal	2017	78.1%	81.3%	74.2%
Brazil	Rio Bom	2000	84.3%	87.2%	80.9%
Brazil	Rio Bom	2017	84.8%	87.6%	81.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rio Bonito	2000	77.9%	80.3%	74.8%
Brazil	Rio Bonito	2017	78.6%	80.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Rio Bonito do Iguaçu	2000	82.5%	86.2%	78.1%
Brazil	Rio Bonito do Iguaçu	2017	83.1%	86.7%	78.8%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2000	66.8%	73.0%	59.1%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2000	40.6%	42.3%	38.9%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2017	67.7%	73.8%	60.1%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2017	41.5%	43.3%	39.8%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Ivaí	2000	83.3%	87.1%	78.9%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Ivaí	2017	83.9%	87.6%	79.5%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Sul	2000	86.1%	88.6%	83.7%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Sul	2017	86.5%	88.9%	84.1%
Brazil	Rio Brilhante	2000	79.4%	83.0%	75.8%
Brazil	Rio Brilhante	2017	80.1%	83.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Rio Casca	2000	80.1%	83.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Rio Casca	2017	80.7%	84.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2000	83.8%	86.0%	81.4%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.7%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2017	84.3%	86.4%	82.0%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2017	93.5%	94.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Rio Crespo	2000	27.6%	31.9%	23.4%
Brazil	Rio Crespo	2017	28.4%	32.8%	24.1%
Brazil	Rio da Conceição	2000	75.5%	81.3%	69.5%
Brazil	Rio da Conceição	2017	76.2%	81.9%	70.4%
Brazil	Rio das Antas	2000	76.3%	81.0%	72.3%
Brazil	Rio das Antas	2017	77.0%	81.6%	73.1%
Brazil	Rio das Flores	2000	80.4%	83.4%	77.1%
Brazil	Rio das Flores	2017	81.0%	83.9%	77.8%
Brazil	Rio das Ostras	2000	76.8%	80.5%	73.0%
Brazil	Rio das Ostras	2017	77.4%	81.2%	73.8%
Brazil	Rio das Pedras	2000	93.7%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Rio das Pedras	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.5%
Brazil	Rio de Contas	2000	77.3%	82.4%	71.6%
Brazil	Rio de Contas	2017	78.0%	82.9%	72.4%
Brazil	Rio de Janeiro	2000	79.1%	80.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Rio de Janeiro	2017	79.7%	80.9%	78.6%
Brazil	Rio do Antônio	2000	77.6%	83.1%	72.1%
Brazil	Rio do Antônio	2017	78.2%	83.6%	72.8%
Brazil	Rio do Campo	2000	75.4%	79.9%	69.4%
Brazil	Rio do Campo	2017	76.1%	80.6%	70.3%
Brazil	Rio do Fogo	2000	83.2%	86.0%	79.6%
Brazil	Rio do Fogo	2017	83.7%	86.5%	80.3%
Brazil	Rio do Oeste	2000	73.0%	77.2%	68.6%
Brazil	Rio do Oeste	2017	73.8%	77.9%	69.4%
Brazil	Rio do Pires	2000	77.5%	83.0%	71.6%
Brazil	Rio do Pires	2017	78.1%	83.5%	72.4%
Brazil	Rio do Prado	2000	82.6%	86.4%	77.2%
Brazil	Rio do Prado	2017	83.1%	86.8%	77.9%
Brazil	Rio do Sul	2000	75.4%	79.2%	71.5%
Brazil	Rio do Sul	2017	76.1%	79.8%	72.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rio doce	2000	79.3%	83.4%	74.9%
Brazil	Rio doce	2017	79.9%	83.9%	75.6%
Brazil	Rio dos Bois	2000	74.0%	78.9%	69.3%
Brazil	Rio dos Bois	2017	74.7%	79.5%	70.2%
Brazil	Rio dos Cedros	2000	73.9%	77.6%	70.3%
Brazil	Rio dos Cedros	2017	74.7%	78.3%	71.2%
Brazil	Rio dos índios	2000	77.5%	81.2%	73.1%
Brazil	Rio dos índios	2017	78.2%	81.8%	73.9%
Brazil	Rio Espera	2000	81.0%	84.2%	76.9%
Brazil	Rio Espera	2017	81.5%	84.7%	77.6%
Brazil	Rio Formoso	2000	60.5%	64.3%	56.3%
Brazil	Rio Formoso	2017	61.4%	65.2%	57.3%
Brazil	Rio Fortuna	2000	73.3%	77.2%	68.3%
Brazil	Rio Fortuna	2017	74.0%	77.9%	69.2%
Brazil	Rio Grande	2000	80.7%	85.6%	75.0%
Brazil	Rio Grande	2017	81.3%	86.1%	75.7%
Brazil	Rio Grande da Serra	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.1%
Brazil	Rio Grande da Serra	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Rio Grande do Piauí	2000	62.1%	67.8%	55.5%
Brazil	Rio Grande do Piauí	2017	63.0%	68.6%	56.5%
Brazil	Rio Largo	2000	64.2%	66.9%	62.2%
Brazil	Rio Largo	2017	65.0%	67.7%	63.0%
Brazil	Rio Manso	2000	80.9%	84.2%	77.1%
Brazil	Rio Manso	2017	81.5%	84.7%	77.8%
Brazil	Rio Maria	2000	42.5%	49.4%	34.9%
Brazil	Rio Maria	2017	43.6%	50.4%	35.9%
Brazil	Rio Negrinho	2000	80.5%	83.6%	77.1%
Brazil	Rio Negrinho	2017	81.1%	84.1%	77.8%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2000	80.5%	83.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2000	77.7%	82.4%	72.1%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2017	81.2%	84.3%	77.6%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2017	78.4%	83.0%	72.9%
Brazil	Rio Novo	2000	79.3%	82.6%	75.2%
Brazil	Rio Novo	2017	79.9%	83.2%	75.9%
Brazil	Rio Novo do Sul	2000	77.3%	80.3%	73.5%
Brazil	Rio Novo do Sul	2017	78.0%	80.9%	74.3%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2000	81.6%	86.8%	74.5%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2017	82.1%	87.3%	75.2%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2000	81.5%	86.0%	76.3%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2017	82.1%	86.5%	77.0%
Brazil	Rio Pardo	2000	82.2%	85.4%	78.0%
Brazil	Rio Pardo	2017	82.7%	85.9%	78.6%
Brazil	Rio Pardo de Minas	2000	80.8%	84.6%	77.2%
Brazil	Rio Pardo de Minas	2017	81.5%	85.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Rio Pomba	2000	80.1%	83.5%	76.0%
Brazil	Rio Pomba	2017	80.7%	84.0%	76.7%
Brazil	Rio Preto	2000	81.1%	84.3%	77.8%
Brazil	Rio Preto	2017	81.7%	84.8%	78.4%
Brazil	Rio Preto da Eva	2000	56.8%	60.9%	52.6%
Brazil	Rio Preto da Eva	2017	57.8%	61.8%	53.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rio Quente	2000	78.0%	81.6%	73.4%
Brazil	Rio Quente	2017	78.7%	82.2%	74.2%
Brazil	Rio Real	2000	78.6%	81.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Rio Real	2017	80.2%	83.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Rio Rufino	2000	77.9%	81.9%	72.8%
Brazil	Rio Rufino	2017	78.5%	82.4%	73.5%
Brazil	Rio Sono	2000	74.9%	79.4%	69.7%
Brazil	Rio Sono	2017	75.5%	80.0%	70.3%
Brazil	Rio Tinto	2000	70.7%	73.8%	67.9%
Brazil	Rio Tinto	2017	71.7%	74.7%	68.9%
Brazil	Rio Verde	2000	78.3%	82.8%	73.8%
Brazil	Rio Verde	2017	78.9%	83.3%	74.5%
Brazil	Rio Verde de Mato Grosso	2000	79.8%	84.5%	74.1%
Brazil	Rio Verde de Mato Grosso	2017	80.4%	85.0%	74.9%
Brazil	Rio Vermelho	2000	81.9%	86.3%	76.1%
Brazil	Rio Vermelho	2017	82.5%	86.8%	76.8%
Brazil	Riolândia	2000	88.5%	91.2%	84.9%
Brazil	Riolândia	2017	88.9%	91.5%	85.4%
Brazil	Riozinho	2000	82.0%	85.3%	78.4%
Brazil	Riozinho	2017	82.5%	85.8%	79.0%
Brazil	Riqueza	2000	77.4%	81.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Riqueza	2017	78.0%	82.2%	73.6%
Brazil	Ritópolis	2000	81.9%	86.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Ritópolis	2017	82.4%	86.4%	78.2%
Brazil	Riversul	2000	90.1%	92.5%	87.2%
Brazil	Riversul	2017	90.0%	92.5%	87.2%
Brazil	Roca Sales	2000	78.9%	82.6%	75.0%
Brazil	Roca Sales	2017	79.8%	83.4%	75.9%
Brazil	Rochedo	2000	77.4%	81.2%	73.6%
Brazil	Rochedo	2017	78.1%	81.8%	74.2%
Brazil	Rochedo de Minas	2000	80.3%	83.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Rochedo de Minas	2017	80.9%	84.1%	77.2%
Brazil	Rodeio	2000	73.3%	76.9%	70.1%
Brazil	Rodeio	2017	74.0%	77.5%	70.9%
Brazil	Rodeio Bonito	2000	77.6%	81.4%	73.4%
Brazil	Rodeio Bonito	2017	78.3%	82.0%	74.2%
Brazil	Rodeiro	2000	79.7%	83.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Rodeiro	2017	80.3%	83.7%	76.2%
Brazil	Rodelas	2000	67.4%	73.1%	61.4%
Brazil	Rodelas	2017	68.3%	74.0%	62.2%
Brazil	Rodolfo	2000	77.1%	80.8%	72.9%
Brazil	Rodolfo Fernandes	2017	77.8%	81.4%	73.7%
Brazil	Rodrigues Alves	2000	43.4%	46.6%	40.0%
Brazil	Rodrigues Alves	2017	44.3%	47.6%	40.9%
Brazil	Rolândia	2000	86.6%	88.7%	83.9%
Brazil	Rolândia	2017	87.2%	89.2%	84.6%
Brazil	Rolante	2000	80.1%	83.2%	76.6%
Brazil	Rolante	2017	80.7%	83.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Rolim de Moura	2000	28.8%	32.6%	24.6%
Brazil	Rolim de Moura	2017	29.6%	33.4%	25.4%
Brazil	Romaria	2000	82.0%	86.5%	77.1%
Brazil	Romaria	2017	82.6%	87.0%	77.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Romelândia	2000	78.6%	82.7%	74.1%
Brazil	Romelândia	2017	79.3%	83.2%	74.9%
Brazil	Roncador	2000	84.6%	87.6%	80.7%
Brazil	Roncador	2017	85.1%	88.1%	81.4%
Brazil	Ronda Alta	2000	80.2%	83.7%	76.6%
Brazil	Ronda Alta	2017	80.8%	84.2%	77.3%
Brazil	Rondinha	2000	79.6%	83.1%	76.1%
Brazil	Rondinha	2017	80.2%	83.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Rondon	2000	83.3%	86.4%	79.8%
Brazil	Rondon	2017	83.9%	86.8%	80.4%
Brazil	Rondon do Pará	2000	51.9%	57.8%	45.8%
Brazil	Rondon do Pará	2017	52.9%	58.8%	46.8%
Brazil	Rondonópolis	2000	68.9%	73.9%	64.0%
Brazil	Rondonópolis	2017	69.7%	74.6%	64.9%
Brazil	Roque Gonzales	2000	80.8%	86.1%	74.7%
Brazil	Roque Gonzales	2017	81.4%	86.6%	75.5%
Brazil	Rorainópolis	2000	84.8%	87.8%	81.3%
Brazil	Rorainópolis	2017	85.9%	88.7%	82.7%
Brazil	Rosana	2000	82.9%	86.6%	78.7%
Brazil	Rosana	2017	83.5%	87.1%	79.3%
Brazil	Rosário	2000	59.5%	63.8%	54.9%
Brazil	Rosário	2017	60.5%	64.7%	55.8%
Brazil	Rosário da Limeira	2000	81.2%	84.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Rosário da Limeira	2017	81.8%	85.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Rosário do Catete	2000	72.8%	75.1%	69.9%
Brazil	Rosário do Catete	2017	73.5%	75.8%	70.7%
Brazil	Rosário do Ivaí	2000	83.1%	86.9%	77.8%
Brazil	Rosário do Ivaí	2017	83.6%	87.4%	78.5%
Brazil	Rosário do Sul	2000	82.9%	87.6%	77.9%
Brazil	Rosário do Sul	2017	83.5%	88.0%	78.6%
Brazil	Rosário Oeste	2000	67.7%	72.8%	61.7%
Brazil	Rosário Oeste	2017	68.6%	73.6%	62.8%
Brazil	Roseira	2000	89.8%	92.1%	87.2%
Brazil	Roseira	2017	90.1%	92.4%	87.6%
Brazil	Roteiro	2000	59.5%	63.0%	56.0%
Brazil	Roteiro	2017	59.3%	62.9%	55.8%
Brazil	Rubelita	2000	81.0%	85.6%	75.0%
Brazil	Rubelita	2017	81.6%	86.0%	75.8%
Brazil	Rubiácea	2000	90.9%	93.2%	88.1%
Brazil	Rubiácea	2017	91.2%	93.4%	88.5%
Brazil	Rubiataba	2000	78.3%	82.3%	73.4%
Brazil	Rubiataba	2017	78.9%	82.9%	74.1%
Brazil	Rubim	2000	81.1%	85.5%	75.5%
Brazil	Rubim	2017	81.7%	85.9%	76.2%
Brazil	Rubinéia	2000	85.9%	90.0%	81.7%
Brazil	Rubinéia	2017	86.4%	90.4%	82.4%
Brazil	Rurópolis	2000	41.9%	48.7%	34.4%
Brazil	Rurópolis	2017	42.8%	49.7%	35.3%
Brazil	Russas	2000	75.1%	78.5%	71.3%
Brazil	Russas	2017	75.8%	79.2%	72.1%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2000	84.2%	86.8%	81.4%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2000	78.6%	83.3%	73.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2017	84.7%	87.2%	82.0%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2017	79.3%	83.9%	74.4%
Brazil	Sabar	2000	82.0%	84.5%	79.2%
Brazil	Sabar	2017	82.5%	85.0%	79.9%
Brazil	Sabudia	2000	85.7%	87.9%	82.7%
Brazil	Sabudia	2017	86.2%	88.3%	83.2%
Brazil	Sabino	2000	92.8%	94.9%	90.5%
Brazil	Sabino	2017	93.1%	95.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Sabinpolis	2000	82.0%	86.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Sabinpolis	2017	82.6%	86.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Saboeiro	2000	68.7%	72.4%	64.3%
Brazil	Saboeiro	2017	69.6%	73.2%	65.3%
Brazil	Sacramento	2000	85.6%	89.6%	80.9%
Brazil	Sacramento	2017	86.1%	90.0%	81.4%
Brazil	Sagrada Famlia	2000	78.9%	82.8%	75.0%
Brazil	Sagrada Famlia	2017	79.6%	83.4%	75.8%
Brazil	Sagres	2000	90.2%	92.7%	87.1%
Brazil	Sagres	2017	90.4%	92.8%	87.3%
Brazil	Sair	2000	69.4%	72.8%	66.4%
Brazil	Sair	2017	70.2%	73.4%	67.2%
Brazil	Saldanha Marinho	2000	82.4%	85.9%	77.5%
Brazil	Saldanha Marinho	2017	82.9%	86.4%	78.2%
Brazil	Sales	2000	92.9%	94.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Sales	2017	93.1%	94.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Sales Oliveira	2000	90.6%	92.8%	87.7%
Brazil	Sales Oliveira	2017	90.9%	93.1%	88.2%
Brazil	Salespolis	2000	93.8%	94.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Salespolis	2017	94.1%	95.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Saete	2000	74.6%	79.0%	69.3%
Brazil	Saete	2017	75.4%	79.7%	70.1%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2000	72.0%	76.2%	67.9%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2000	62.7%	66.3%	59.4%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2017	63.5%	67.2%	60.3%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2017	72.9%	77.0%	68.8%
Brazil	Salgado	2000	77.4%	79.4%	75.4%
Brazil	Salgado	2017	78.1%	80.0%	76.1%
Brazil	Salgado de So Flix	2000	66.0%	69.0%	63.2%
Brazil	Salgado de So Flix	2017	66.8%	69.9%	64.0%
Brazil	Salgado Filho	2000	81.2%	85.3%	76.1%
Brazil	Salgado Filho	2017	81.8%	85.8%	76.8%
Brazil	Salgueiro	2000	66.9%	71.1%	62.3%
Brazil	Salgueiro	2017	67.8%	71.9%	63.3%
Brazil	Saldao	2000	67.0%	71.5%	62.7%
Brazil	Saldao	2017	68.4%	72.7%	64.3%
Brazil	Salinas	2000	80.9%	85.7%	74.4%
Brazil	Salinas	2017	81.7%	86.3%	75.4%
Brazil	Salinas da Margarida	2000	74.2%	77.7%	70.9%
Brazil	Salinas da Margarida	2017	74.9%	78.4%	71.7%
Brazil	Salinpolis	2000	35.1%	41.2%	29.7%
Brazil	Salinpolis	2017	36.0%	42.2%	30.5%
Brazil	Salitre	2000	66.8%	71.1%	62.2%
Brazil	Salitre	2017	67.6%	71.9%	63.1%
Brazil	Salmouro	2000	90.7%	93.1%	87.9%
Brazil	Salmouro	2017	91.0%	93.3%	88.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Saloá	2000	64.1%	67.7%	60.4%
Brazil	Saloá	2017	65.0%	68.5%	61.3%
Brazil	Saltinho	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Saltinho	2000	79.4%	83.4%	75.4%
Brazil	Saltinho	2017	80.0%	84.0%	76.2%
Brazil	Saltinho	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Salto	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Salto	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Salto da Divisa	2000	81.0%	85.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Salto da Divisa	2017	81.6%	86.4%	76.2%
Brazil	Salto do Céu	2000	69.4%	75.5%	62.1%
Brazil	Salto do Céu	2017	70.1%	76.1%	62.9%
Brazil	Salto do Itararé	2000	89.5%	92.0%	86.3%
Brazil	Salto do Itararé	2017	89.9%	92.3%	86.8%
Brazil	Salto do Jacuí	2000	82.6%	86.2%	78.3%
Brazil	Salto do Jacuí	2017	83.1%	86.7%	78.9%
Brazil	Salto do Londra	2000	82.4%	86.2%	78.5%
Brazil	Salto do Londra	2017	83.0%	86.6%	79.2%
Brazil	Salto do Pirapora	2000	93.8%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Salto do Pirapora	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Salto Grande	2000	91.2%	93.4%	88.1%
Brazil	Salto Grande	2017	91.5%	93.6%	88.5%
Brazil	Salto Veloso	2000	77.9%	81.6%	74.1%
Brazil	Salto Veloso	2017	78.5%	82.2%	74.8%
Brazil	Salvador	2000	76.1%	78.7%	73.0%
Brazil	Salvador	2017	76.8%	79.3%	73.8%
Brazil	Salvador das Missões	2000	81.1%	86.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Salvador das Missões	2017	81.7%	86.6%	76.0%
Brazil	Salvador do Sul	2000	83.8%	86.3%	81.1%
Brazil	Salvador do Sul	2017	84.3%	86.8%	81.7%
Brazil	Salvaterra	2000	35.7%	40.3%	31.1%
Brazil	Salvaterra	2017	36.6%	41.2%	32.0%
Brazil	Sambaíba	2000	62.3%	70.7%	54.5%
Brazil	Sambaíba	2017	63.2%	71.5%	55.5%
Brazil	Sampaio	2000	62.4%	67.0%	58.3%
Brazil	Sampaio	2017	63.2%	67.8%	59.1%
Brazil	San Antonio do Itambe	2000	81.8%	86.3%	75.7%
Brazil	San Antonio do Itambe	2017	82.3%	86.8%	76.4%
Brazil	San Antonio do Rio Abai	2000	80.2%	84.2%	75.3%
Brazil	San Antonio do Rio Abai	2017	80.8%	84.8%	76.0%
Brazil	Sananduva	2000	78.5%	82.4%	74.5%
Brazil	Sananduva	2017	79.1%	83.0%	75.2%
Brazil	Sanclerlândia	2000	75.5%	79.8%	70.5%
Brazil	Sanclerlândia	2017	76.2%	80.4%	71.3%
Brazil	Sandolândia	2000	73.8%	79.4%	66.5%
Brazil	Sandolândia	2017	74.6%	80.0%	67.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sandovalina	2000	86.4%	89.6%	83.2%
Brazil	Sandovalina	2017	86.9%	90.0%	83.8%
Brazil	Sangão	2000	73.0%	77.5%	67.6%
Brazil	Sangão	2017	73.7%	78.2%	68.4%
Brazil	Sanharó	2000	66.3%	69.8%	62.5%
Brazil	Sanharó	2017	67.2%	70.6%	63.4%
Brazil	Santa Adélia	2000	93.2%	94.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Santa Adélia	2017	93.5%	95.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Santa Albertina	2000	86.5%	90.7%	82.3%
Brazil	Santa Albertina	2017	86.9%	91.1%	82.9%
Brazil	Santa Amélia	2000	88.0%	90.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Santa Amélia	2017	88.4%	90.5%	85.7%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	82.0%	85.6%	78.8%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	78.1%	81.6%	74.2%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2017	82.5%	86.1%	79.4%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2017	78.8%	82.2%	74.9%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara d'Oeste	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara de Goiás	2000	75.8%	79.1%	72.9%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara de Goiás	2017	76.5%	79.8%	73.7%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Leste	2000	80.8%	84.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Leste	2017	81.4%	84.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Monte Verde	2000	82.1%	85.0%	78.8%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Monte Verde	2017	82.7%	85.6%	79.4%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Pará	2000	35.4%	37.9%	32.5%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Pará	2017	36.3%	38.9%	33.4%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Sul	2000	82.6%	86.2%	78.1%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Sul	2017	83.2%	86.7%	78.8%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Tugúrio	2000	81.3%	84.5%	77.8%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Tugúrio	2017	81.9%	85.0%	78.5%
Brazil	Santa Branca	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Santa Branca	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Santa Brígida	2000	68.8%	72.7%	64.0%
Brazil	Santa Brígida	2017	69.6%	73.4%	64.9%
Brazil	Santa Carmem	2000	69.2%	75.3%	63.0%
Brazil	Santa Carmem	2017	70.0%	76.0%	63.9%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2000	77.5%	81.5%	72.7%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2000	65.0%	68.5%	61.5%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2017	78.2%	82.1%	73.5%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2017	65.9%	69.4%	62.5%
Brazil	Santa Cecília do Pavão	2000	85.7%	88.4%	82.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Cecília do Pavão	2017	86.2%	88.8%	83.3%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Oeste	2000	86.0%	90.4%	81.6%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Oeste	2017	86.5%	90.7%	82.2%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Sul	2000	81.3%	85.0%	77.2%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Sul	2017	82.0%	85.6%	78.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2000	81.5%	83.5%	79.1%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2000	65.7%	70.9%	60.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2017	66.6%	71.7%	61.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2017	81.1%	83.3%	78.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2000	76.1%	81.4%	69.8%
Brazil	Cabrália				
Brazil	Santa Cruz Cabrália	2017	76.8%	82.0%	70.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Baixa Verde	2000	66.9%	71.6%	62.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Baixa Verde	2017	67.8%	72.4%	62.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Conceição	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Conceição	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Esperança	2000	90.5%	92.6%	87.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Esperança	2017	90.8%	92.9%	88.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Vitória	2000	80.3%	83.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Vitória	2017	81.0%	84.4%	76.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz das Palmeiras	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.1%
Brazil	Santa Cruz das Palmeiras	2017	91.7%	93.4%	89.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Goiás	2000	78.1%	81.9%	73.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Goiás	2017	78.8%	82.5%	74.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Minas	2000	82.9%	86.9%	79.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Minas	2017	83.5%	87.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Monte Caste	2000	83.1%	86.7%	79.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Monte Caste	2017	83.7%	87.2%	79.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Salinas	2000	81.9%	86.1%	76.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Salinas	2017	82.5%	86.6%	77.1%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Arari	2000	37.4%	46.1%	30.1%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Arari	2017	38.4%	47.1%	31.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Capibaribe	2000	66.6%	70.6%	62.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Capibaribe	2017	67.5%	71.4%	63.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Escalvado	2000	78.8%	83.0%	74.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Escalvado	2017	79.5%	83.6%	75.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Piaui	2000	59.6%	64.8%	54.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Piaui	2017	60.5%	65.6%	55.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Rio Pardo	2000	91.5%	93.7%	88.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Rio Pardo	2017	91.8%	93.9%	88.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Sul	2000	81.6%	85.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Sul	2017	82.1%	85.5%	77.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz dos Milagres	2000	59.4%	64.4%	53.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz dos Milagres	2017	60.3%	65.3%	54.3%
Brazil	Santa Efigênia de Minas	2000	82.7%	86.7%	78.6%
Brazil	Santa Efigênia de Minas	2017	83.3%	87.1%	79.3%
Brazil	Santa Ernestina	2000	93.8%	95.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Santa Ernestina	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Santa Fé	2000	85.3%	88.2%	82.3%
Brazil	Santa Fé	2017	85.7%	88.6%	82.9%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Goiás	2000	61.1%	67.8%	55.4%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Goiás	2017	62.0%	68.7%	56.4%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Minas	2000	82.4%	87.8%	75.9%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Minas	2017	82.9%	88.2%	76.6%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Araguaia	2000	66.5%	71.6%	61.2%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Araguaia	2017	67.4%	72.4%	62.1%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Sul	2000	86.9%	91.0%	83.0%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Sul	2017	87.3%	91.3%	83.5%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2000	65.4%	70.7%	60.1%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2000	63.6%	71.2%	56.1%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2017	66.2%	71.5%	61.0%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2017	64.5%	72.0%	56.8%
Brazil	Santa Filomena do Maranhão	2000	74.2%	80.2%	67.5%
Brazil	Santa Filomena do Maranhão	2017	74.9%	80.8%	68.4%
Brazil	Santa Gertrudes	2000	92.9%	94.4%	91.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Gertrudes	2017	93.1%	94.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	82.0%	86.2%	78.1%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	79.7%	83.8%	75.6%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	71.0%	74.8%	67.4%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	57.2%	62.8%	51.1%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	80.3%	84.3%	76.3%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	82.6%	86.7%	78.7%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	71.8%	75.5%	68.3%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	58.2%	63.7%	52.1%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Goiás	2000	78.3%	82.6%	73.8%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Goiás	2017	79.0%	83.1%	74.5%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Minas	2000	80.5%	85.3%	75.0%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Minas	2017	81.1%	85.8%	75.7%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	85.9%	88.8%	82.3%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	83.1%	86.2%	78.4%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	61.4%	65.6%	56.5%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	67.9%	72.3%	63.8%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	86.4%	89.2%	82.9%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	83.6%	86.7%	79.0%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	62.3%	66.4%	57.4%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	68.7%	73.0%	64.6%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2000	78.5%	82.8%	74.4%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2017	79.2%	83.4%	75.2%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2017	93.8%	94.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Ivaí	2000	85.2%	88.4%	81.6%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Ivaí	2017	85.7%	88.8%	82.1%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Oeste	2000	82.1%	85.7%	78.5%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Oeste	2017	82.7%	86.2%	79.1%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Pará	2000	36.8%	40.0%	34.1%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Pará	2017	37.7%	41.0%	35.0%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Rio Negro	2000	65.2%	75.4%	53.7%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Rio Negro	2017	66.6%	76.6%	55.3%
Brazil	Santa Juliana	2000	83.2%	87.3%	78.1%
Brazil	Santa Juliana	2017	83.7%	87.7%	78.8%
Brazil	Santa Leopoldina	2000	78.5%	80.8%	76.2%
Brazil	Santa Leopoldina	2017	79.2%	81.5%	76.9%
Brazil	Santa Lucia	2000	93.1%	94.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Santa Lucia	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Santa Lúcia	2000	83.3%	86.6%	79.6%
Brazil	Santa Lúcia	2017	83.8%	87.0%	80.3%
Brazil	Santa Luz	2000	59.9%	68.4%	52.9%
Brazil	Santa Luz	2017	60.9%	69.2%	53.9%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	60.6%	65.7%	56.0%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	81.8%	84.3%	79.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	77.2%	81.9%	71.3%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	74.9%	78.7%	70.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	75.6%	79.3%	71.5%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	61.6%	66.6%	57.0%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	77.8%	82.5%	72.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	82.6%	85.0%	80.0%
Brazil	Santa Luzia d'Oeste	2000	29.3%	33.4%	25.1%
Brazil	Santa Luzia d'Oeste	2017	30.1%	34.4%	25.9%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Itanhy	2000	76.0%	79.2%	72.9%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Itanhy	2017	76.7%	79.9%	73.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Norte	2000	55.7%	58.1%	53.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Norte	2017	56.7%	59.0%	54.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Pará	2000	40.0%	44.6%	35.5%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Pará	2017	40.9%	45.5%	36.4%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Paruá	2000	55.6%	61.7%	49.1%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Paruá	2017	56.5%	62.6%	50.1%
Brazil	Santa Margarida	2000	82.0%	85.3%	77.8%
Brazil	Santa Margarida	2017	82.6%	85.8%	78.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2000	83.5%	85.7%	81.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2000	81.7%	85.5%	76.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2017	84.0%	86.2%	81.8%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2017	82.3%	86.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Boa Vista	2000	66.3%	71.9%	60.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Boa Vista	2017	67.1%	72.7%	61.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Serra	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Serra	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Vitória	2000	78.3%	84.7%	71.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Vitória	2017	79.0%	85.2%	72.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria das Barreiras	2000	51.9%	58.8%	44.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria das Barreiras	2017	52.7%	59.5%	45.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Itabira	2000	81.5%	84.9%	77.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Itabira	2017	82.0%	85.3%	78.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Jetibá	2000	81.9%	84.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Jetibá	2017	82.4%	84.9%	80.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Cambucá	2000	66.6%	70.1%	63.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Cambucá	2017	67.5%	71.0%	64.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Herval	2000	82.9%	85.6%	80.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Maria do Herval	2017	83.4%	86.0%	80.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Oeste	2000	83.6%	86.7%	80.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Oeste	2017	84.2%	86.9%	80.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Pará	2000	39.1%	43.7%	35.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Pará	2017	40.0%	44.6%	36.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Salto	2000	83.3%	87.8%	78.3%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Salto	2017	83.9%	88.3%	79.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Suaçuí	2000	81.8%	86.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Suaçuí	2017	82.4%	86.9%	77.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Tocantins	2000	76.2%	81.4%	71.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Tocantins	2017	76.9%	82.0%	72.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria Madalena	2000	79.5%	82.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria Madalena	2017	80.1%	82.9%	76.7%
Brazil	Santa Mariana	2000	83.7%	87.6%	79.5%
Brazil	Santa Mariana	2017	84.3%	88.0%	80.1%
Brazil	Santa Mercedes	2000	87.0%	89.8%	83.4%
Brazil	Santa Mercedes	2017	87.4%	90.2%	83.9%
Brazil	Santa Mônica	2000	88.1%	90.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Santa Mônica	2017	88.5%	90.7%	85.9%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria	2000	70.3%	74.5%	66.2%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria	2017	71.1%	75.2%	67.1%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria do Maranhão	2000	60.9%	65.2%	55.9%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria do Maranhão	2017	61.8%	66.1%	56.9%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2000	60.1%	64.6%	55.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2000	67.4%	70.1%	64.6%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2017	61.1%	65.5%	56.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2017	68.2%	70.8%	65.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Araguaia	2000	74.9%	80.5%	68.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Araguaia	2017	75.6%	81.1%	69.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Caldas	2000	89.8%	91.8%	87.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Caldas	2017	90.2%	92.1%	87.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Cássia	2000	70.9%	77.7%	63.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Cássia	2017	71.7%	78.4%	63.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Rita de Jacutinga	2000	81.1%	84.4%	77.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Jacutinga	2017	81.7%	84.9%	78.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Minas	2000	83.0%	86.4%	78.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Minas	2017	83.5%	86.9%	79.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Ibitipoca	2000	87.4%	89.9%	84.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Ibitipoca	2017	87.9%	90.4%	85.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Novo destino	2000	80.6%	84.6%	76.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Novo destino	2017	81.2%	85.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Oeste	2000	86.8%	91.1%	82.9%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Oeste	2017	87.3%	91.4%	83.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Pardo	2000	82.3%	85.7%	78.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Pardo	2017	82.9%	86.1%	79.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Passa Quatro	2000	91.8%	93.6%	89.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Passa Quatro	2017	92.1%	93.8%	89.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Sapucaí	2000	82.9%	86.3%	78.8%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Sapucaí	2017	83.5%	86.8%	79.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Tocantins	2000	74.9%	79.0%	71.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Tocantins	2017	75.6%	79.6%	71.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita Ituetto	2000	79.0%	82.7%	75.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita Ituetto	2017	79.6%	83.3%	75.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa	2000	82.3%	86.8%	77.2%
Brazil	Santa Rosa	2017	83.4%	87.6%	78.6%
Brazil	Santa Rosa da Serra	2000	81.5%	86.4%	75.0%
Brazil	Santa Rosa da Serra	2017	82.1%	86.8%	75.8%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Goiás	2000	77.4%	80.9%	73.6%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Goiás	2017	78.0%	81.4%	74.3%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	75.2%	79.3%	70.3%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	74.7%	76.9%	72.1%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	75.4%	77.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	76.3%	80.2%	71.5%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2000	92.1%	94.0%	89.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2017	93.0%	94.7%	90.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Piauí	2000	60.5%	65.2%	55.2%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Piauí	2017	61.4%	66.1%	56.1%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Purus	2000	42.9%	48.9%	36.4%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Purus	2017	43.9%	49.9%	37.3%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Sul	2000	75.0%	80.0%	69.8%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Sul	2017	75.7%	80.6%	70.6%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Tocantins	2000	76.9%	81.5%	71.4%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Tocantins	2017	77.7%	82.1%	72.2%
Brazil	Santa Salete	2000	88.1%	91.7%	84.5%
Brazil	Santa Salete	2017	88.5%	92.0%	85.0%
Brazil	Santa Teresa	2000	80.4%	83.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Santa Teresa	2017	81.1%	83.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2000	79.3%	83.4%	74.5%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2000	69.1%	72.9%	64.7%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2017	80.0%	84.0%	75.2%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2017	69.9%	73.7%	65.6%
Brazil	Santa Tereza	2000	79.3%	82.8%	75.9%
Brazil	Santa Tereza	2017	79.9%	83.3%	76.6%
Brazil	Santa Tereza de Goiás	2000	76.8%	82.2%	70.6%
Brazil	Santa Tereza de Goiás	2017	77.5%	82.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Oeste	2000	85.9%	88.8%	82.8%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Oeste	2017	86.4%	89.2%	83.4%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Tocantins	2000	74.8%	79.3%	69.6%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Tocantins	2017	75.5%	79.9%	70.4%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	71.4%	75.6%	67.2%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	75.9%	80.3%	71.0%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	64.1%	71.7%	55.2%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	76.5%	80.9%	71.8%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	72.2%	76.3%	68.1%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	65.0%	72.4%	56.2%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Goiás	2000	76.4%	81.2%	70.3%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Goiás	2017	77.0%	81.8%	71.1%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Itaipu	2000	82.7%	86.7%	78.2%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Itaipu	2017	83.3%	87.1%	78.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Progresso	2000	78.7%	82.9%	74.6%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Progresso	2017	79.4%	83.5%	75.4%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Tocantins	2000	73.6%	77.3%	69.3%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Tocantins	2017	74.4%	78.0%	70.2%
Brazil	Santa Vitória	2000	80.6%	85.3%	76.0%
Brazil	Santa Vitória	2017	81.2%	85.8%	76.7%
Brazil	Santa Vitória do Palmar	2000	80.2%	88.5%	69.9%
Brazil	Santa Vitória do Palmar	2017	80.8%	88.9%	70.7%
Brazil	Santaluz	2000	77.0%	81.4%	72.1%
Brazil	Santaluz	2017	77.7%	82.0%	72.9%
Brazil	Santana	2000	75.6%	79.4%	71.5%
Brazil	Santana	2000	44.1%	46.2%	42.0%
Brazil	Santana	2000	76.5%	83.9%	68.6%
Brazil	Santana	2017	45.1%	47.2%	43.0%
Brazil	Santana	2017	76.3%	80.0%	72.2%
Brazil	Santana	2017	77.2%	84.4%	69.4%
Brazil	Santana da Boa Vista	2000	81.4%	85.6%	76.6%
Brazil	Santana da Boa Vista	2017	82.0%	86.0%	77.3%
Brazil	Santana da Ponte Pensa	2000	87.4%	91.2%	83.7%
Brazil	Santana da Ponte Pensa	2017	87.9%	91.5%	84.2%
Brazil	Santana da Vargem	2000	83.0%	86.4%	78.9%
Brazil	Santana da Vargem	2017	83.5%	86.9%	79.5%
Brazil	Santana de Cataguases	2000	79.6%	83.2%	75.7%
Brazil	Santana de Cataguases	2017	80.2%	83.7%	76.4%
Brazil	Santana de Mangueira	2000	67.8%	72.0%	63.5%
Brazil	Santana de Mangueira	2017	68.7%	72.8%	64.4%
Brazil	Santana de Parnaíba	2000	93.9%	94.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Santana de Parnaíba	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Santana de Pirapama	2000	81.3%	85.3%	75.9%
Brazil	Santana de Pirapama	2017	81.9%	85.8%	76.7%
Brazil	Santana do Acaraú	2000	69.0%	73.1%	65.3%
Brazil	Santana do Acaraú	2017	69.9%	73.8%	66.2%
Brazil	Santana do Araguaia	2000	57.5%	64.3%	49.8%
Brazil	Santana do Araguaia	2017	58.5%	65.3%	50.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santana do Cariri	2000	69.3%	73.1%	65.2%
Brazil	Santana do Cariri	2017	70.2%	73.9%	66.1%
Brazil	Santana do deserto	2000	78.4%	81.8%	74.8%
Brazil	Santana do deserto	2017	79.0%	82.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Santana do Garambéu	2000	81.6%	85.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Santana do Garambéu	2017	82.2%	85.5%	78.1%
Brazil	Santana do Ipanema	2000	62.2%	65.1%	59.1%
Brazil	Santana do Ipanema	2017	63.1%	66.0%	60.0%
Brazil	Santana do Itararé	2000	89.2%	91.9%	86.1%
Brazil	Santana do Itararé	2017	89.6%	92.1%	86.5%
Brazil	Santana do Jacaré	2000	81.0%	84.7%	76.0%
Brazil	Santana do Jacaré	2017	81.6%	85.2%	76.7%
Brazil	Santana do Livramento	2000	82.6%	88.0%	75.5%
Brazil	Santana do Livramento	2017	83.2%	88.5%	76.2%
Brazil	Santana do Manhuaçu	2000	81.0%	84.5%	76.8%
Brazil	Santana do Manhuaçu	2017	81.6%	85.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Santana do Maranhão	2000	60.8%	65.4%	55.3%
Brazil	Santana do Maranhão	2017	61.8%	66.3%	56.2%
Brazil	Santana do Matos	2000	81.3%	84.0%	78.1%
Brazil	Santana do Matos	2017	82.0%	84.6%	78.9%
Brazil	Santana do Mundaú	2000	60.1%	63.5%	56.7%
Brazil	Santana do Mundaú	2017	61.1%	64.4%	57.6%
Brazil	Santana do Paraíso	2000	79.1%	83.0%	74.4%
Brazil	Santana do Paraíso	2017	79.8%	83.7%	75.3%
Brazil	Santana do Piauí	2000	61.9%	66.9%	57.3%
Brazil	Santana do Piauí	2017	62.9%	67.8%	58.3%
Brazil	Santana do Riacho	2000	82.8%	86.0%	78.7%
Brazil	Santana do Riacho	2017	83.4%	86.5%	79.5%
Brazil	Santana do São Francisco	2000	68.0%	70.7%	65.2%
Brazil	Santana do São Francisco	2017	68.9%	71.5%	66.1%
Brazil	Santana dos Garrotes	2000	66.9%	70.9%	62.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santana dos Garrotes	2017	67.8%	71.7%	63.4%
Brazil	Santana dos Montes	2000	81.1%	84.6%	77.1%
Brazil	Santana dos Montes	2017	81.7%	85.1%	77.8%
Brazil	Santanópolis	2000	78.0%	81.6%	74.3%
Brazil	Santanópolis	2017	78.6%	82.1%	75.0%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	74.9%	78.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	39.3%	45.3%	34.2%
Brazil	Santarém	2017	40.3%	46.3%	35.1%
Brazil	Santarém	2017	75.6%	79.4%	72.2%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	36.2%	40.8%	31.8%
Brazil	Novo Santarém	2017	37.1%	41.8%	32.7%
Brazil	Novo Santiago	2000	83.7%	87.6%	78.6%
Brazil	Santiago	2017	84.2%	88.0%	79.2%
Brazil	Santiago do Sul	2000	77.4%	82.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Santiago do Sul	2017	78.1%	82.6%	73.7%
Brazil	Santo Afonso	2000	68.5%	73.8%	61.7%
Brazil	Santo Afonso	2017	69.3%	74.5%	62.6%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2000	74.7%	77.7%	71.0%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2017	75.4%	78.4%	71.8%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2000	72.0%	77.1%	66.7%
Brazil	da Imperatriz	2017	72.8%	77.8%	67.5%
Brazil	Santo Amaro da Imperatriz	2000	73.1%	75.3%	70.6%
Brazil	Santo Amaro das Brotas	2017	73.9%	76.0%	71.4%
Brazil	Santo Amaro das Brotas	2000	59.9%	66.2%	52.3%
Brazil	Santo Amaro do Maranhão	2017	60.8%	67.0%	53.3%
Brazil	Santo Amaro do Maranhão	2000	89.5%	92.0%	86.8%
Brazil	Santo Anastácio	2017	89.9%	92.2%	87.2%
Brazil	Santo André	2000	69.1%	73.5%	64.9%
Brazil	Santo André	2000	94.7%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Santo André	2017	70.0%	74.3%	65.8%
Brazil	Santo André	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Santo Ângelo	2000	82.7%	86.4%	78.2%
Brazil	Santo Ângelo	2017	83.2%	86.9%	78.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2000	79.2%	81.5%	76.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2017	79.9%	82.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2000	90.3%	93.1%	87.1%
Brazil	da Alegria	2017	90.7%	93.3%	87.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Alegria	2000	76.8%	81.2%	71.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Barra	2017	77.5%	81.8%	72.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Barra	2000	81.5%	84.4%	78.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Patrulha	2017	82.1%	84.9%	78.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Antonio da Platina	2000	89.5%	91.8%	86.6%
Brazil	Santo Antonio da Platina	2017	89.9%	92.1%	87.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio das Missões	2000	82.5%	87.5%	77.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio das Missões	2017	83.1%	87.9%	77.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Goiás	2000	77.9%	80.3%	75.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Goiás	2017	78.5%	80.8%	76.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Jesus	2000	77.4%	81.0%	72.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Jesus	2017	78.1%	81.6%	73.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Lisboa	2000	60.2%	64.9%	54.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Lisboa	2017	61.1%	65.8%	55.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Pádua	2000	76.9%	80.7%	72.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Pádua	2017	77.6%	81.3%	73.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Posse	2000	89.1%	91.8%	85.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Posse	2017	89.5%	92.1%	85.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Amparo	2000	80.7%	84.3%	76.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Amparo	2017	81.3%	84.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aracanguá	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aracanguá	2017	92.3%	93.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aventureiro	2000	80.5%	82.9%	77.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aventureiro	2017	81.1%	83.5%	77.8%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Caiuá	2000	85.3%	88.3%	81.4%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Caiuá	2017	85.8%	88.7%	82.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Descoberto	2000	87.9%	89.4%	86.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Descoberto	2017	88.5%	90.0%	87.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Grama	2000	80.4%	83.8%	76.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Grama	2017	81.0%	84.3%	77.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Içá	2000	57.3%	64.4%	49.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Içá	2017	58.3%	65.3%	51.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Jacinto	2000	81.7%	84.4%	78.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Jacinto	2017	82.3%	84.9%	79.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Leverger	2000	67.9%	71.7%	64.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Leverger	2017	69.3%	73.0%	65.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Monte	2000	83.0%	87.4%	78.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Monte	2017	83.5%	87.8%	79.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Palma	2000	81.1%	84.5%	76.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Palma	2017	81.7%	85.0%	77.1%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Paraíso	2000	86.4%	88.9%	83.6%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Paraíso	2017	86.8%	89.3%	84.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Pinhal	2000	91.3%	93.0%	89.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Pinhal	2017	91.6%	93.2%	89.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Planalto	2000	81.7%	85.3%	77.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Planalto	2017	82.3%	85.8%	78.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Retiro	2000	81.1%	85.2%	76.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Retiro	2017	81.7%	85.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Tauá	2000	35.6%	38.8%	32.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Tauá	2017	36.6%	39.7%	33.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Lopes	2000	60.1%	65.5%	55.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Lopes	2017	61.0%	66.3%	56.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Milagres	2000	62.0%	66.7%	58.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Milagres	2017	62.9%	67.6%	59.1%
Brazil	Santo Augusto	2000	83.7%	87.3%	79.3%
Brazil	Santo Augusto	2017	84.2%	87.7%	80.0%
Brazil	Santo Cristo	2000	82.1%	86.8%	76.5%
Brazil	Santo Cristo	2017	82.7%	87.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Santo Estêvão	2000	77.3%	81.1%	73.3%
Brazil	Santo Estêvão	2017	78.1%	81.8%	74.2%
Brazil	Santo Expedito	2000	88.8%	91.5%	85.9%
Brazil	Santo Expedito	2017	89.2%	91.8%	86.4%
Brazil	Santo Expedito do Sul	2000	77.9%	81.7%	73.4%
Brazil	Santo Expedito do Sul	2017	78.6%	82.2%	74.1%
Brazil	Santo Hipólito	2000	81.5%	86.6%	74.9%
Brazil	Santo Hipólito	2017	82.0%	87.0%	75.6%
Brazil	Santo Inácio	2000	85.6%	88.5%	81.9%
Brazil	Santo Inácio	2017	86.1%	88.9%	82.5%
Brazil	Santo Inácio do Piauí	2000	60.5%	65.8%	54.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Inácio do Piauí	2017	61.4%	66.7%	55.6%
Brazil	Santópolis do Aguapeí	2000	91.7%	93.8%	89.3%
Brazil	Santópolis do Aguapeí	2017	92.0%	94.1%	89.7%
Brazil	Santos	2000	87.3%	89.4%	85.1%
Brazil	Santos	2017	87.7%	89.8%	85.6%
Brazil	Santos Dumont	2000	80.7%	84.2%	76.7%
Brazil	Santos Dumont	2017	81.3%	84.7%	77.4%
Brazil	São Antonio de Sudoeste	2000	82.2%	86.4%	77.9%
Brazil	São Antonio de Sudoeste	2017	82.7%	86.8%	78.6%
Brazil	São Benedito	2000	69.6%	73.7%	65.6%
Brazil	São Benedito	2017	70.4%	74.4%	66.5%
Brazil	São Benedito do Rio Preto	2000	61.8%	67.2%	55.6%
Brazil	São Benedito do Rio Preto	2017	62.7%	68.0%	56.6%
Brazil	São Benedito do Sul	2000	64.6%	68.0%	61.4%
Brazil	São Benedito do Sul	2017	65.5%	68.8%	62.3%
Brazil	São Bentinho	2000	69.2%	72.7%	65.3%
Brazil	São Bentinho	2017	70.1%	73.5%	66.2%
Brazil	São Bento	2000	60.4%	65.4%	55.2%
Brazil	São Bento	2000	74.3%	77.7%	70.3%
Brazil	São Bento	2017	61.3%	66.2%	56.1%
Brazil	São Bento	2017	75.0%	78.3%	71.1%
Brazil	São Bento Abade	2000	82.7%	86.2%	77.8%
Brazil	São Bento Abade	2017	84.6%	87.7%	80.1%
Brazil	São Bento do Norte	2000	83.7%	87.2%	78.9%
Brazil	São Bento do Norte	2017	84.2%	87.6%	79.5%
Brazil	São Bento do Sapucaí	2000	90.6%	92.5%	88.4%
Brazil	São Bento do Sapucaí	2017	90.9%	92.8%	88.8%
Brazil	São Bento do Sul	2000	80.9%	84.2%	77.9%
Brazil	São Bento do Sul	2017	81.5%	84.8%	78.6%
Brazil	São Bento do Tocantins	2000	70.7%	74.9%	65.8%
Brazil	São Bento do Tocantins	2017	71.5%	75.6%	66.6%
Brazil	São Bento do Trairí	2000	79.8%	82.5%	77.0%
Brazil	São Bento do Trairí	2017	80.5%	83.1%	77.7%
Brazil	São Bento do Una	2000	67.8%	71.0%	64.7%
Brazil	São Bento do Una	2017	68.6%	71.8%	65.6%
Brazil	São Bernardino	2000	79.9%	84.2%	75.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Bernardino	2017	80.5%	84.8%	76.3%
Brazil	São Bernardo	2000	59.8%	64.3%	54.7%
Brazil	São Bernardo	2017	60.7%	65.2%	55.7%
Brazil	São Bernardo do Campo	2000	94.5%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	São Bernardo do Campo	2017	94.7%	95.5%	93.9%
Brazil	São Bonifácio	2000	75.9%	80.1%	70.8%
Brazil	São Bonifácio	2017	76.7%	80.8%	71.7%
Brazil	São Borja	2000	81.6%	87.0%	75.0%
Brazil	São Borja	2017	82.1%	87.4%	75.6%
Brazil	São Brás	2000	67.3%	70.2%	64.2%
Brazil	São Brás	2017	68.2%	71.0%	65.1%
Brazil	São Brás do Suaçuí	2000	81.0%	84.8%	77.6%
Brazil	São Brás do Suaçuí	2017	81.6%	85.3%	78.3%
Brazil	São Braz do Piauí	2000	64.5%	70.9%	57.6%
Brazil	São Braz do Piauí	2017	65.4%	71.7%	58.6%
Brazil	São Caetano de Odivelas	2000	34.7%	39.8%	30.4%
Brazil	São Caetano de Odivelas	2017	35.6%	40.7%	31.3%
Brazil	São Caetano do Sul	2000	94.8%	95.6%	94.2%
Brazil	São Caetano do Sul	2017	95.0%	95.7%	94.4%
Brazil	São Caitano	2000	67.9%	70.9%	64.7%
Brazil	São Caitano	2017	68.8%	71.7%	65.6%
Brazil	São Carlos	2000	93.7%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	São Carlos	2000	76.5%	80.1%	72.1%
Brazil	São Carlos	2017	77.1%	80.8%	72.9%
Brazil	São Carlos	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	São Carlos do Ivaí	2000	83.6%	86.5%	80.3%
Brazil	São Carlos do Ivaí	2017	84.1%	86.9%	80.9%
Brazil	São Cristóvão	2000	75.7%	77.3%	73.8%
Brazil	São Cristóvão	2017	76.4%	78.0%	74.5%
Brazil	São Cristóvão do Sul	2000	76.9%	81.3%	72.1%
Brazil	São Cristóvão do Sul	2017	77.6%	81.9%	72.9%
Brazil	São Desidério	2000	75.7%	82.0%	68.9%
Brazil	São Desidério	2017	76.4%	82.5%	69.7%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	78.6%	83.8%	72.8%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	77.9%	82.5%	72.9%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	78.7%	82.5%	73.9%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	77.7%	79.9%	75.5%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	79.3%	84.3%	73.6%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	78.6%	83.1%	73.6%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	78.4%	80.5%	76.2%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	79.4%	83.0%	74.6%
Brazil	São Domingos das Dores	2000	81.4%	85.1%	77.1%
Brazil	São Domingos das Dores	2017	82.1%	85.7%	78.0%
Brazil	São Domingos de Pombal	2000	69.6%	73.3%	65.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Domingos de Pombal	2017	70.4%	74.1%	66.8%
Brazil	São Domingos do Araguaia	2000	53.0%	58.3%	47.9%
Brazil	São Domingos do Araguaia	2017	54.0%	59.2%	48.8%
Brazil	São Domingos do Azeitão	2000	62.0%	69.4%	53.2%
Brazil	São Domingos do Azeitão	2017	64.9%	72.0%	56.3%
Brazil	São Domingos do Capim	2000	37.6%	41.8%	33.9%
Brazil	São Domingos do Capim	2017	38.5%	42.7%	34.7%
Brazil	São Domingos do Cariri	2000	66.3%	70.9%	61.5%
Brazil	São Domingos do Cariri	2017	67.2%	71.7%	62.4%
Brazil	São Domingos do Maranhão	2000	62.5%	68.3%	56.9%
Brazil	São Domingos do Maranhão	2017	63.4%	69.2%	57.9%
Brazil	São Domingos do Norte	2000	78.8%	81.6%	75.1%
Brazil	São Domingos do Norte	2017	79.4%	82.2%	75.7%
Brazil	São Domingos do Prata	2000	82.0%	85.5%	78.4%
Brazil	São Domingos do Prata	2017	82.5%	86.0%	79.1%
Brazil	São Domingos do Sul	2000	80.6%	84.1%	76.4%
Brazil	São Domingos do Sul	2017	81.2%	84.6%	77.1%
Brazil	São Felipe	2000	76.9%	80.6%	72.5%
Brazil	São Felipe	2017	77.5%	81.2%	73.3%
Brazil	São Felipe d'Oeste	2000	30.6%	34.6%	26.3%
Brazil	São Felipe d'Oeste	2017	31.1%	35.2%	26.8%
Brazil	São Félix	2000	76.0%	79.5%	72.1%
Brazil	São Félix	2017	76.8%	80.2%	73.0%
Brazil	São Félix de Balsas	2000	60.8%	68.9%	52.7%
Brazil	São Félix de Balsas	2017	61.7%	69.7%	53.8%
Brazil	São Félix de Minas	2000	81.2%	86.3%	76.5%
Brazil	São Félix de Minas	2017	81.7%	86.7%	77.2%
Brazil	São Félix do Coribe	2000	78.5%	84.9%	71.0%
Brazil	São Félix do Coribe	2017	79.1%	85.4%	71.8%
Brazil	São Félix do Piauí	2000	58.4%	63.4%	52.9%
Brazil	São Félix do Piauí	2017	59.3%	64.3%	53.9%
Brazil	São Félix do Tocantins	2000	72.2%	79.7%	64.5%
Brazil	São Félix do Tocantins	2017	73.1%	80.4%	65.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Félix do Xingu	2000	37.9%	46.1%	30.9%
Brazil	São Félix do Xingu	2017	38.9%	47.1%	31.8%
Brazil	São Félix Xingu	2000	69.2%	76.4%	60.6%
Brazil	São Félix Xingu	2017	70.1%	77.1%	61.7%
Brazil	São Fernando	2000	76.4%	79.8%	72.6%
Brazil	São Fernando	2017	77.1%	80.4%	73.3%
Brazil	São Fidélis	2000	76.1%	79.9%	71.9%
Brazil	São Fidélis	2017	76.8%	80.6%	72.7%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	74.7%	77.8%	71.1%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	88.5%	91.8%	84.9%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	81.8%	87.3%	76.0%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	71.6%	74.3%	68.5%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	75.3%	78.4%	71.8%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	82.4%	87.7%	76.7%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	72.4%	75.1%	69.4%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	88.9%	92.1%	85.4%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis	2000	81.2%	85.5%	75.2%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis	2017	81.8%	86.0%	75.9%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis do Piauí	2000	62.6%	68.0%	55.9%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis do Piauí	2017	63.5%	68.8%	57.0%
Brazil	São Francisco de Goiás	2000	77.8%	81.3%	74.1%
Brazil	São Francisco de Goiás	2017	78.5%	81.9%	74.8%
Brazil	São Francisco de Itabapoana	2000	74.3%	78.0%	69.7%
Brazil	São Francisco de Itabapoana	2017	75.0%	78.7%	70.5%
Brazil	São Francisco de Oliveira	2000	80.8%	84.9%	76.0%
Brazil	São Francisco de Oliveira	2017	81.4%	85.4%	76.7%
Brazil	São Francisco de Paula	2000	80.7%	83.9%	77.3%
Brazil	São Francisco de Paula	2017	81.3%	84.4%	78.0%
Brazil	São Francisco de Sales	2000	87.4%	90.5%	83.6%
Brazil	São Francisco de Sales	2017	87.9%	90.8%	84.1%
Brazil	São Francisco do Brejão	2000	65.7%	70.5%	60.4%
Brazil	São Francisco do Brejão	2017	66.6%	71.3%	61.3%
Brazil	São Francisco do Conde	2000	73.9%	77.0%	70.9%
Brazil	São Francisco do Conde	2017	74.7%	77.8%	71.8%
Brazil	São Francisco do Glória	2000	81.2%	84.6%	77.3%
Brazil	São Francisco do Glória	2017	81.8%	85.1%	78.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Francisco do Guaporé	2000	29.1%	33.4%	25.0%
Brazil	São Francisco do Guaporé	2017	29.9%	34.3%	25.8%
Brazil	São Francisco do Maranhão	2000	60.0%	64.5%	55.0%
Brazil	São Francisco do Maranhão	2017	61.5%	65.9%	56.5%
Brazil	São Francisco do Oeste	2000	75.8%	79.2%	71.9%
Brazil	São Francisco do Oeste	2017	76.5%	79.9%	72.7%
Brazil	São Francisco do Pará	2000	36.8%	40.4%	33.5%
Brazil	São Francisco do Pará	2017	38.1%	41.7%	34.8%
Brazil	São Francisco do Piauí	2000	60.1%	65.5%	53.9%
Brazil	São Francisco do Piauí	2017	61.0%	66.5%	55.0%
Brazil	São Francisco do Sul	2000	72.7%	76.8%	67.7%
Brazil	São Francisco do Sul	2017	73.6%	77.6%	68.7%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2000	76.2%	82.0%	69.8%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2000	83.0%	87.5%	78.2%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2017	76.9%	82.5%	70.6%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2017	83.6%	87.9%	78.8%
Brazil	São Gabriel da Palha	2000	77.7%	80.7%	73.6%
Brazil	São Gabriel da Palha	2017	78.3%	81.3%	74.4%
Brazil	São Gabriel de Cahoeira	2000	59.2%	69.1%	48.9%
Brazil	São Gabriel de Cahoeira	2017	60.2%	69.9%	49.9%
Brazil	São Gabriel do Oeste	2000	80.3%	84.8%	75.2%
Brazil	São Gabriel do Oeste	2017	81.0%	85.3%	75.9%
Brazil	São Geraldo	2000	80.7%	83.8%	76.4%
Brazil	São Geraldo	2017	81.3%	84.3%	77.1%
Brazil	São Geraldo da Piedade	2000	80.1%	84.4%	75.8%
Brazil	São Geraldo da Piedade	2017	80.7%	84.9%	76.5%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Araguaia	2000	62.0%	66.8%	57.1%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Araguaia	2017	62.7%	67.5%	57.9%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Baixio	2000	79.3%	83.4%	74.9%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Baixio	2017	79.9%	83.9%	75.7%
Brazil	São Gonçalo	2000	78.2%	79.9%	76.3%
Brazil	São Gonçalo	2017	78.9%	80.5%	77.0%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Abaeté	2000	80.5%	86.2%	73.6%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Abaeté	2017	81.1%	86.7%	74.3%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2000	82.3%	84.0%	80.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2000	68.5%	72.1%	64.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2017	83.0%	84.6%	81.2%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2017	69.4%	73.0%	65.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Gurguéia	2000	66.9%	74.0%	59.4%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Gurguéia	2017	67.8%	74.8%	60.4%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Pará	2000	80.0%	84.4%	75.6%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Pará	2017	80.6%	84.9%	76.4%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Piauí	2000	60.5%	65.1%	55.0%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Piauí	2017	61.4%	65.9%	55.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Rio Abaixo	2000	81.3%	85.1%	77.8%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Rio Abaixo	2017	81.8%	85.5%	78.4%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Sapucaí	2000	85.5%	88.7%	81.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Sapucaí	2017	86.0%	89.0%	82.5%
Brazil	São Gonçalo dos Campos	2000	77.8%	80.9%	74.6%
Brazil	São Gonçalo dos Campos	2017	78.5%	81.5%	75.4%
Brazil	São Gotardo	2000	81.3%	86.5%	74.5%
Brazil	São Gotardo	2017	81.9%	86.9%	75.2%
Brazil	São Jerônimo	2000	82.9%	85.7%	79.8%
Brazil	São Jerônimo	2017	83.4%	86.2%	80.5%
Brazil	São Jerônimo da Serra	2000	85.6%	88.6%	82.6%
Brazil	São Jerônimo da Serra	2017	86.1%	89.0%	83.1%
Brazil	São João	2000	68.1%	70.6%	65.2%
Brazil	São João	2000	82.4%	86.0%	78.0%
Brazil	São João	2017	68.9%	71.4%	66.1%
Brazil	São João	2017	83.0%	86.5%	78.7%
Brazil	São João Batista	2000	60.6%	65.4%	55.4%
Brazil	São João Batista	2000	70.2%	74.5%	65.5%
Brazil	São João Batista	2017	61.5%	66.3%	56.4%
Brazil	São João Batista	2017	71.0%	75.2%	66.3%
Brazil	São João Batista do Glória	2000	85.2%	89.1%	80.2%
Brazil	São João Batista do Glória	2017	85.6%	89.5%	80.8%
Brazil	São João d'Aliança	2000	86.1%	89.2%	81.9%
Brazil	São João d'Aliança	2017	87.1%	90.0%	83.1%
Brazil	São João da Baliza	2000	85.0%	88.0%	80.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João da Baliza	2017	85.5%	88.4%	81.1%
Brazil	São João da Barra	2000	74.5%	78.6%	69.3%
Brazil	São João da Barra	2017	76.0%	79.9%	71.0%
Brazil	São João da Boa Vista	2000	90.6%	92.8%	87.9%
Brazil	São João da Boa Vista	2017	90.9%	93.0%	88.4%
Brazil	São João da Canabrava	2000	61.7%	67.2%	56.8%
Brazil	São João da Canabrava	2017	62.6%	67.9%	57.7%
Brazil	São João da Fronteira	2000	66.0%	70.0%	61.5%
Brazil	São João da Fronteira	2017	67.0%	70.9%	62.5%
Brazil	São João da Lagoa	2000	81.3%	86.1%	74.1%
Brazil	São João da Lagoa	2017	81.9%	86.5%	74.9%
Brazil	São João da Mata	2000	87.6%	90.2%	84.2%
Brazil	São João da Mata	2017	88.0%	90.5%	84.7%
Brazil	São João da Paraúna	2000	76.8%	81.2%	72.1%
Brazil	São João da Paraúna	2017	77.4%	81.8%	72.9%
Brazil	São João da Ponta	2000	35.4%	40.1%	30.9%
Brazil	São João da Ponta	2017	36.3%	41.1%	31.7%
Brazil	São João da Ponte	2000	81.8%	86.5%	76.1%
Brazil	São João da Ponte	2017	82.4%	87.0%	76.8%
Brazil	São João da Serra	2000	60.0%	64.5%	54.7%
Brazil	São João da Serra	2017	60.9%	65.4%	55.7%
Brazil	São João da Urtiga	2000	77.9%	81.8%	73.8%
Brazil	São João da Urtiga	2017	78.6%	82.4%	74.6%
Brazil	São João da Varjota	2000	62.1%	67.0%	56.4%
Brazil	São João da Varjota	2017	63.0%	67.9%	57.4%
Brazil	São João das Duas Ponte	2000	89.6%	92.8%	86.5%
Brazil	São João das Duas Ponte	2017	90.0%	93.1%	86.9%
Brazil	São João das Missões	2000	80.0%	85.9%	72.7%
Brazil	São João das Missões	2017	80.6%	86.4%	73.5%
Brazil	São João de Iracema	2000	90.1%	92.9%	86.8%
Brazil	São João de Iracema	2017	90.4%	93.2%	87.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João de Meriti	2000	78.3%	79.5%	76.9%
Brazil	São João de Meriti	2017	78.9%	80.2%	77.6%
Brazil	São João de Pirabas	2000	36.5%	42.1%	31.3%
Brazil	São João de Pirabas	2017	37.2%	42.9%	32.0%
Brazil	São João del Rei	2000	82.8%	86.7%	78.7%
Brazil	São João del Rei	2017	83.3%	87.2%	79.3%
Brazil	São João do Araguaia	2000	49.4%	55.1%	44.8%
Brazil	São João do Araguaia	2017	50.3%	56.0%	45.7%
Brazil	São João do Arraial	2000	60.4%	64.8%	56.0%
Brazil	São João do Arraial	2017	61.3%	65.7%	57.0%
Brazil	São João do Belm	2000	69.5%	73.3%	65.8%
Brazil	São João do Belm	2017	70.3%	74.0%	66.7%
Brazil	São João do Belmonte	2000	66.3%	70.5%	61.8%
Brazil	São João do Belmonte	2017	67.1%	71.3%	62.7%
Brazil	São João do Caiuá	2000	85.0%	88.3%	81.2%
Brazil	São João do Caiuá	2017	85.5%	88.7%	81.8%
Brazil	São João do Cariri	2000	67.9%	72.6%	63.9%
Brazil	São João do Cariri	2017	68.8%	73.3%	64.8%
Brazil	São João do Carú	2000	56.4%	62.7%	50.0%
Brazil	São João do Carú	2017	57.4%	63.7%	51.0%
Brazil	São João do Itaperiú	2000	73.4%	76.5%	68.8%
Brazil	São João do Itaperiú	2017	74.2%	77.2%	69.7%
Brazil	São João do Ivaí	2000	82.2%	85.4%	78.6%
Brazil	São João do Ivaí	2017	82.8%	85.9%	79.2%
Brazil	São João do Jaguaribe	2000	72.7%	76.8%	68.0%
Brazil	São João do Jaguaribe	2017	73.5%	77.5%	68.9%
Brazil	São João do Manhuaçu	2000	81.8%	85.2%	78.0%
Brazil	São João do Manhuaçu	2017	82.4%	85.7%	78.7%
Brazil	São João do Mantenhina	2000	79.5%	83.1%	75.3%
Brazil	São João do Mantenhina	2017	80.2%	83.7%	76.0%
Brazil	São João do Oeste	2000	79.6%	83.8%	75.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João do Oeste	2017	80.2%	84.3%	75.9%
Brazil	São João do Oriente	2000	80.3%	84.5%	75.5%
Brazil	São João do Oriente	2017	80.9%	85.0%	76.3%
Brazil	São João do Pacuí	2000	81.4%	86.3%	74.8%
Brazil	São João do Pacuí	2017	82.0%	86.7%	75.5%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2000	80.2%	85.0%	73.7%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2000	71.9%	77.3%	65.5%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2017	80.8%	85.5%	74.4%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2017	72.8%	78.0%	66.5%
Brazil	São João do Pau d'Alho	2000	87.2%	90.1%	83.6%
Brazil	São João do Pau d'Alho	2017	87.6%	90.4%	84.1%
Brazil	São João do Polésine	2000	81.8%	85.1%	77.0%
Brazil	São João do Polésine	2017	82.4%	85.6%	77.7%
Brazil	São João do Rio do Peixe	2000	70.2%	73.9%	66.5%
Brazil	São João do Rio do Peixe	2017	71.0%	74.7%	67.4%
Brazil	São João do Sabugi	2000	71.9%	75.9%	67.5%
Brazil	São João do Sabugi	2017	72.6%	76.6%	68.3%
Brazil	São João do Soter	2000	59.9%	65.1%	55.0%
Brazil	São João do Soter	2017	60.9%	65.9%	56.0%
Brazil	São João do Sul	2000	76.9%	81.1%	71.6%
Brazil	São João do Sul	2017	77.6%	81.6%	72.4%
Brazil	São João do Tigre	2000	66.6%	70.4%	62.3%
Brazil	São João do Tigre	2017	67.4%	71.2%	63.2%
Brazil	São João do Triunfo	2000	80.7%	83.8%	76.9%
Brazil	São João do Triunfo	2017	81.3%	84.3%	77.6%
Brazil	São João dos Patos	2000	62.4%	68.9%	56.2%
Brazil	São João dos Patos	2017	63.4%	69.8%	57.2%
Brazil	São João Evangelista	2000	82.4%	86.6%	76.8%
Brazil	São João Evangelista	2017	83.0%	87.1%	77.5%
Brazil	São João Nepomuceno	2000	79.7%	82.9%	75.4%
Brazil	São João Nepomuceno	2017	80.3%	83.4%	76.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João Pi- auí	2000	60.8%	66.5%	54.6%
Brazil	São João Pi- auí	2017	61.7%	67.4%	55.6%
Brazil	São Joaquim	2000	81.5%	85.4%	77.1%
Brazil	São Joaquim	2017	82.1%	85.9%	77.7%
Brazil	São Joaquim da Barra	2000	90.5%	92.8%	87.6%
Brazil	São Joaquim da Barra	2017	90.8%	93.1%	88.0%
Brazil	São Joaquim de Bicas	2000	81.7%	84.8%	78.5%
Brazil	São Joaquim de Bicas	2017	82.3%	85.3%	79.2%
Brazil	São Joaquin do Monte	2000	68.0%	71.1%	65.0%
Brazil	São Joaquin do Monte	2017	68.9%	72.0%	65.9%
Brazil	São Jorge	2000	80.4%	84.2%	76.3%
Brazil	São Jorge	2017	81.0%	84.7%	77.0%
Brazil	São Jorge d'Oeste	2000	81.9%	85.6%	78.0%
Brazil	São Jorge d'Oeste	2017	82.5%	86.0%	78.6%
Brazil	São Jorge do Ivaí	2000	83.3%	86.4%	80.0%
Brazil	São Jorge do Ivaí	2017	83.9%	86.9%	80.6%
Brazil	São Jorge do Patrocínio	2000	82.9%	86.5%	78.8%
Brazil	São Jorge do Patrocínio	2017	83.4%	87.0%	79.4%
Brazil	São José	2000	72.6%	78.0%	66.8%
Brazil	São José	2017	73.4%	78.7%	67.7%
Brazil	São José da Barra	2000	83.5%	87.9%	78.1%
Brazil	São José da Barra	2017	84.1%	88.4%	78.8%
Brazil	São José da Bela Vista	2000	90.2%	92.5%	86.7%
Brazil	São José da Bela Vista	2017	90.5%	92.8%	87.1%
Brazil	São José da Boa Vista	2000	88.3%	91.0%	85.1%
Brazil	São José da Boa Vista	2017	88.7%	91.3%	85.6%
Brazil	São José da Coroa Grande	2000	64.3%	68.8%	59.0%
Brazil	São José da Coroa Grande	2017	63.4%	68.0%	58.0%
Brazil	São José da Lagoa Tapada	2000	70.1%	73.5%	65.9%
Brazil	São José da Lagoa Tapada	2017	70.8%	74.3%	66.7%
Brazil	São José da Laje	2000	64.1%	67.2%	60.8%
Brazil	São José da Laje	2017	63.1%	66.1%	59.8%
Brazil	São José da Lapa	2000	81.7%	84.3%	78.7%
Brazil	São José da Lapa	2017	82.2%	84.8%	79.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José da Safira	2000	80.3%	85.1%	75.1%
Brazil	São José da Safira	2017	80.9%	85.6%	75.9%
Brazil	São José da Tapera	2000	63.3%	66.9%	59.9%
Brazil	São José da Tapera	2017	64.2%	67.7%	60.8%
Brazil	São José da Varginha	2000	80.2%	83.9%	75.7%
Brazil	São José da Varginha	2017	80.8%	84.4%	76.4%
Brazil	São José da Vitória	2000	76.9%	81.3%	71.3%
Brazil	São José da Vitória	2017	77.6%	81.9%	72.1%
Brazil	São José das Missões	2000	79.0%	82.7%	75.2%
Brazil	São José das Missões	2017	79.7%	83.3%	75.9%
Brazil	São José das Palmeiras	2000	83.3%	87.0%	79.7%
Brazil	São José das Palmeiras	2017	83.8%	87.4%	80.3%
Brazil	São José de Caiana	2000	69.9%	73.4%	65.8%
Brazil	São José de Caiana	2017	70.8%	74.2%	66.7%
Brazil	São José de Espinharas	2000	71.9%	75.5%	67.7%
Brazil	São José de Espinharas	2017	72.7%	76.2%	68.6%
Brazil	São José de Mipibu	2000	80.2%	82.4%	77.8%
Brazil	São José de Mipibu	2017	80.8%	83.0%	78.4%
Brazil	São José de Piranhas	2000	69.9%	73.6%	66.0%
Brazil	São José de Piranhas	2017	70.7%	74.4%	66.9%
Brazil	São José de Princesa	2000	68.0%	72.2%	63.0%
Brazil	São José de Princesa	2017	68.8%	73.0%	63.9%
Brazil	São José de Ribamar	2000	61.4%	64.9%	57.1%
Brazil	São José de Ribamar	2017	62.3%	65.8%	58.1%
Brazil	São José de Ubá	2000	76.5%	80.3%	72.7%
Brazil	São José de Ubá	2017	77.3%	81.0%	73.5%
Brazil	São José do Alegre	2000	87.6%	90.5%	84.3%
Brazil	São José do Alegre	2017	88.0%	90.8%	84.8%
Brazil	São José do Barreiro	2000	85.2%	87.7%	82.3%
Brazil	São José do Barreiro	2017	85.7%	88.1%	82.9%
Brazil	São José do Belmonte	2000	77.6%	81.3%	74.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José do Belmonte	2017	78.3%	81.9%	74.8%
Brazil	São José do Bonfim	2000	69.8%	73.5%	65.5%
Brazil	São José do Bonfim	2017	70.6%	74.3%	66.3%
Brazil	São José do Calçado	2000	80.2%	83.6%	76.6%
Brazil	São José do Calçado	2017	80.7%	84.1%	77.3%
Brazil	São José do Campestre	2000	80.1%	82.5%	77.5%
Brazil	São José do Campestre	2017	80.8%	83.1%	78.2%
Brazil	São José do Cedro	2000	81.0%	85.1%	76.4%
Brazil	São José do Cedro	2017	81.5%	85.6%	77.1%
Brazil	São José do Cerrito	2000	76.1%	80.4%	71.0%
Brazil	São José do Cerrito	2017	76.8%	81.0%	71.8%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2000	59.4%	63.5%	55.0%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2000	81.4%	86.3%	77.0%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2017	60.4%	64.4%	55.9%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2017	82.0%	86.7%	77.6%
Brazil	São José do Goiabal	2000	79.8%	83.7%	75.8%
Brazil	São José do Goiabal	2017	80.2%	84.0%	76.3%
Brazil	São José do Herval	2000	82.7%	86.2%	78.9%
Brazil	São José do Herval	2017	83.2%	86.6%	79.5%
Brazil	São José do Hortêncio	2000	79.9%	82.5%	77.2%
Brazil	São José do Hortêncio	2017	80.5%	83.0%	77.9%
Brazil	São José do Inhacorá	2000	80.1%	84.7%	75.0%
Brazil	São José do Inhacorá	2017	80.7%	85.1%	75.7%
Brazil	São José do Jacuípe	2000	77.6%	82.0%	72.6%
Brazil	São José do Jacuípe	2017	78.2%	82.6%	73.4%
Brazil	São José do Jacuri	2000	81.6%	86.6%	75.6%
Brazil	São José do Jacuri	2017	82.2%	87.0%	76.3%
Brazil	São José do Mantimento	2000	79.7%	83.1%	75.2%
Brazil	São José do Mantimento	2017	80.4%	83.7%	76.0%
Brazil	São José do Ouro	2000	77.9%	81.6%	73.3%
Brazil	São José do Ouro	2017	78.5%	82.2%	74.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José do Peixe	2000	59.8%	65.2%	52.7%
Brazil	São José do Peixe	2017	60.8%	66.1%	53.7%
Brazil	São José do Piauí	2000	62.0%	67.1%	57.2%
Brazil	São José do Piauí	2017	62.9%	67.9%	58.2%
Brazil	São José do Povo	2000	67.9%	73.4%	63.0%
Brazil	São José do Povo	2017	68.7%	74.1%	63.9%
Brazil	São José do Rio Claro	2000	69.4%	75.0%	62.7%
Brazil	São José do Rio Claro	2017	70.2%	75.7%	63.6%
Brazil	São José do Rio Pardo	2000	90.1%	92.5%	87.3%
Brazil	São José do Rio Pardo	2017	90.4%	92.7%	87.8%
Brazil	São José do Rio Preto	2000	93.0%	94.5%	90.8%
Brazil	São José do Rio Preto	2017	93.1%	94.7%	91.1%
Brazil	São José do Sabugi	2000	76.1%	79.7%	72.2%
Brazil	São José do Sabugi	2017	76.8%	80.3%	73.0%
Brazil	São José do Seridó	2000	77.1%	80.6%	73.3%
Brazil	São José do Seridó	2017	77.8%	81.2%	74.1%
Brazil	São José do Vale do Rio Preto	2000	80.8%	83.7%	78.0%
Brazil	São José do Vale do Rio Preto	2017	81.8%	84.6%	79.2%
Brazil	São José do Xingu	2000	62.6%	71.2%	51.7%
Brazil	São José do Xingu	2017	63.4%	71.9%	52.6%
Brazil	São José dos Ausentes	2000	81.7%	85.8%	77.5%
Brazil	São José dos Ausentes	2017	82.3%	86.3%	78.2%
Brazil	São José dos Basílios	2000	61.1%	66.9%	56.0%
Brazil	São José dos Basílios	2017	62.0%	67.8%	57.0%
Brazil	São José dos Campos	2000	93.4%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	São José dos Campos	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	São José dos Cordeiros	2000	68.5%	73.1%	63.9%
Brazil	São José dos Cordeiros	2017	69.4%	73.8%	64.8%
Brazil	São José dos Pinhais	2000	85.9%	87.7%	84.1%
Brazil	São José dos Pinhais	2017	86.4%	88.2%	84.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José dos Quatro Marcos	2000	69.9%	75.4%	62.9%
Brazil	São José dos Quatro Marcos	2017	70.7%	76.1%	63.8%
Brazil	São José dos Ramos	2000	67.4%	70.8%	64.2%
Brazil	São José dos Ramos	2017	68.3%	71.7%	65.1%
Brazil	São Juliao	2000	64.8%	69.4%	60.2%
Brazil	São Juliao	2017	65.7%	70.2%	61.1%
Brazil	São Leopoldo	2000	81.9%	83.8%	79.8%
Brazil	São Leopoldo	2017	82.5%	84.4%	80.4%
Brazil	São Lourenço	2000	85.2%	88.4%	81.6%
Brazil	São Lourenço	2017	85.7%	88.8%	82.2%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Mata	2000	65.2%	67.4%	63.0%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Mata	2017	66.7%	68.8%	64.6%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Serra	2000	93.3%	94.4%	91.8%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Serra	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.1%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Oeste	2000	80.8%	85.2%	76.6%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Oeste	2017	81.4%	85.7%	77.3%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Piauí	2000	64.8%	71.2%	58.0%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Piauí	2017	65.7%	71.9%	59.0%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Sul	2000	81.1%	84.7%	76.3%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Sul	2017	81.7%	85.2%	77.0%
Brazil	São Ludgero	2000	73.1%	77.2%	68.9%
Brazil	São Ludgero	2017	73.8%	77.9%	69.7%
Brazil	São Luis	2000	61.2%	64.6%	57.2%
Brazil	São Luis	2017	62.1%	65.5%	58.2%
Brazil	São Luís de Montes Belos	2000	76.9%	80.8%	71.9%
Brazil	São Luís de Montes Belos	2017	77.6%	81.4%	72.7%
Brazil	São Luis do Piauí	2000	62.7%	68.1%	57.9%
Brazil	São Luis do Piauí	2017	63.6%	68.9%	58.9%
Brazil	São Luís do Quitunde	2000	61.6%	64.4%	58.2%
Brazil	São Luís do Quitunde	2017	62.5%	65.3%	59.2%
Brazil	São Luis Gonzaga do Maranhao	2000	60.0%	65.1%	54.8%
Brazil	São Luis Gonzaga do Maranhao	2017	60.9%	66.0%	55.8%
Brazil	São Luiz	2000	85.8%	89.0%	81.2%
Brazil	São Luiz	2017	86.3%	89.4%	81.8%
Brazil	São Luiz do Curu	2000	71.2%	74.4%	67.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Luiz do Curu	2017	72.0%	75.1%	68.0%
Brazil	São Luiz do Norte	2000	78.8%	83.7%	73.7%
Brazil	São Luiz do Norte	2017	79.4%	84.3%	74.5%
Brazil	São Luiz do Paraitinga	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.2%
Brazil	São Luiz do Paraitinga	2017	92.7%	94.4%	90.5%
Brazil	São Luiz Gonzaga	2000	81.7%	86.6%	76.7%
Brazil	São Luiz Gonzaga	2017	82.2%	87.0%	77.2%
Brazil	São Mamede	2000	73.9%	78.1%	69.7%
Brazil	São Mamede	2017	74.6%	78.8%	70.5%
Brazil	São Manoel do Paraná	2000	83.3%	86.4%	79.6%
Brazil	São Manoel do Paraná	2017	83.8%	86.8%	80.2%
Brazil	São Manuel	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	São Manuel	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	São Marcos	2000	82.1%	85.0%	78.2%
Brazil	São Marcos	2017	82.7%	85.5%	78.8%
Brazil	São Martinho	2000	81.3%	85.2%	76.3%
Brazil	São Martinho	2000	73.0%	77.5%	67.4%
Brazil	São Martinho	2017	73.8%	78.2%	68.4%
Brazil	São Martinho	2017	81.9%	85.7%	77.0%
Brazil	São Martinho da Serra	2000	83.2%	86.9%	78.9%
Brazil	São Martinho da Serra	2017	83.9%	87.5%	79.7%
Brazil	São Mateus	2000	76.3%	80.6%	71.3%
Brazil	São Mateus	2017	77.0%	81.3%	72.1%
Brazil	São Mateus do Maranhão	2000	60.7%	65.5%	55.8%
Brazil	São Mateus do Maranhão	2017	61.6%	66.4%	56.8%
Brazil	São Mateus do Sul	2000	79.2%	82.8%	75.1%
Brazil	São Mateus do Sul	2017	79.9%	83.4%	75.8%
Brazil	São Miguel	2000	74.8%	78.9%	70.5%
Brazil	São Miguel	2017	75.5%	79.5%	71.3%
Brazil	São Miguel Arcanjo	2000	93.7%	95.4%	91.8%
Brazil	São Miguel Arcanjo	2017	93.9%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	São Miguel da Baixa Grande	2000	58.9%	63.9%	53.7%
Brazil	São Miguel da Baixa Grande	2017	59.8%	64.9%	54.7%
Brazil	São Miguel da Boa Vista	2000	78.1%	82.1%	73.7%
Brazil	São Miguel da Boa Vista	2017	78.7%	82.7%	74.5%
Brazil	São Miguel das Matas	2000	78.5%	82.2%	73.9%
Brazil	São Miguel das Matas	2017	79.1%	82.7%	74.7%
Brazil	São Miguel das Misses	2000	82.2%	86.3%	77.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Miguel das Misses	2017	82.7%	86.8%	78.1%
Brazil	São Miguel de Touros	2000	85.2%	88.2%	81.1%
Brazil	São Miguel de Touros	2017	85.6%	88.6%	81.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Aleixo	2000	75.5%	77.9%	72.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Aleixo	2017	76.2%	78.5%	73.4%
Brazil	São Miguel do Anta	2000	82.2%	85.4%	78.4%
Brazil	São Miguel do Anta	2017	82.8%	85.9%	79.0%
Brazil	São Miguel do Araguaia	2000	74.4%	81.0%	67.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Araguaia	2017	75.1%	81.5%	68.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Fidalgo	2000	60.2%	65.9%	54.1%
Brazil	São Miguel do Fidalgo	2017	61.2%	66.8%	55.1%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guamá	2000	37.4%	41.8%	33.9%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guamá	2017	38.4%	42.8%	34.8%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guaporé	2000	29.1%	33.1%	25.8%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guaporé	2017	29.9%	34.0%	26.4%
Brazil	São Miguel do Iguaçu	2000	83.1%	86.8%	79.1%
Brazil	São Miguel do Iguaçu	2017	83.6%	87.2%	79.8%
Brazil	São Miguel do Oeste	2000	81.9%	85.8%	78.0%
Brazil	São Miguel do Oeste	2017	82.5%	86.3%	78.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Passa Quatro	2000	78.3%	81.7%	74.9%
Brazil	São Miguel do Passa Quatro	2017	79.0%	82.2%	75.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Tocantins	2000	66.5%	70.3%	62.1%
Brazil	São Miguel do Tocantins	2017	67.2%	71.1%	62.9%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Campos	2000	65.6%	68.7%	62.6%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Campos	2017	66.5%	69.5%	63.4%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Milagres	2000	62.0%	65.9%	57.3%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Milagres	2017	62.9%	66.8%	58.2%
Brazil	São Miguel Taipu	2000	67.0%	70.6%	63.9%
Brazil	São Miguel Taipu	2017	67.9%	71.4%	64.7%
Brazil	São Miguel Tapuio	2000	65.1%	70.2%	60.0%
Brazil	São Miguel Tapuio	2017	66.0%	70.9%	60.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Nicolau	2000	80.7%	86.3%	74.3%
Brazil	São Nicolau	2017	81.3%	86.7%	75.0%
Brazil	São Patrício	2000	76.7%	81.3%	72.4%
Brazil	São Patrício	2017	77.4%	81.9%	73.2%
Brazil	São Paulo	2000	94.5%	95.3%	93.9%
Brazil	São Paulo	2017	94.7%	95.4%	94.1%
Brazil	São Paulo das Missões	2000	81.1%	86.3%	75.1%
Brazil	São Paulo das Missões	2017	81.7%	86.8%	75.8%
Brazil	São Paulo de Olivença	2000	61.3%	67.7%	53.7%
Brazil	São Paulo de Olivença	2017	62.2%	68.5%	54.7%
Brazil	São Paulo do Potengi	2000	84.3%	86.4%	81.9%
Brazil	São Paulo do Potengi	2017	84.8%	86.8%	82.5%
Brazil	São Pedro	2000	83.3%	85.3%	81.1%
Brazil	São Pedro	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.7%
Brazil	São Pedro	2017	83.8%	85.8%	81.7%
Brazil	São Pedro	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	São Pedro da Água Branca	2000	51.3%	57.1%	45.1%
Brazil	São Pedro da Água Branca	2017	52.3%	58.1%	46.0%
Brazil	São Pedro da Aldeia	2000	77.8%	81.2%	73.7%
Brazil	São Pedro da Aldeia	2017	78.6%	81.9%	74.6%
Brazil	São Pedro da Cipa	2000	67.5%	72.7%	62.0%
Brazil	São Pedro da Cipa	2017	68.2%	73.4%	62.8%
Brazil	São Pedro da Serra	2000	84.5%	87.0%	81.8%
Brazil	São Pedro da Serra	2017	85.0%	87.4%	82.4%
Brazil	São Pedro da União	2000	86.4%	89.8%	82.6%
Brazil	São Pedro da União	2017	86.9%	90.1%	83.1%
Brazil	São Pedro de Alcântara	2000	73.8%	78.5%	68.4%
Brazil	São Pedro de Alcântara	2017	74.6%	79.2%	69.3%
Brazil	São Pedro do Butiá	2000	81.1%	86.1%	75.4%
Brazil	São Pedro do Butiá	2017	81.7%	86.5%	76.1%
Brazil	São Pedro do Iguaçu	2000	84.2%	87.6%	80.9%
Brazil	São Pedro do Iguaçu	2017	84.7%	88.0%	81.5%
Brazil	São Pedro do Ivaí	2000	83.0%	86.0%	79.4%
Brazil	São Pedro do Ivaí	2017	83.5%	86.5%	80.0%
Brazil	São Pedro do Paraná	2000	82.7%	86.4%	78.9%
Brazil	São Pedro do Paraná	2017	83.2%	86.8%	79.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Pedro do Piauí	2000	63.2%	67.4%	57.8%
Brazil	São Pedro do Piauí	2017	64.2%	68.3%	58.8%
Brazil	São Pedro do Suaçuí	2000	81.1%	86.0%	75.6%
Brazil	São Pedro do Suaçuí	2017	81.7%	86.4%	76.3%
Brazil	São Pedro do Sul	2000	81.7%	85.6%	76.8%
Brazil	São Pedro do Sul	2017	82.3%	86.1%	77.5%
Brazil	São Pedro do Turvo	2000	91.6%	93.9%	88.9%
Brazil	São Pedro do Turvo	2017	91.9%	94.1%	89.3%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Crentes	2000	70.0%	76.4%	62.3%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Crentes	2017	70.8%	77.1%	63.3%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Ferros	2000	81.4%	84.9%	77.3%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Ferros	2017	81.9%	85.4%	78.0%
Brazil	São Rafael	2000	80.6%	83.5%	77.1%
Brazil	São Rafael	2017	81.2%	84.0%	77.8%
Brazil	São Raimundo das Mangabeiras	2000	63.0%	71.1%	54.5%
Brazil	São Raimundo das Mangabeiras	2017	63.9%	71.9%	55.4%
Brazil	São Raimundo do Doca Bezerra	2000	59.4%	65.6%	54.1%
Brazil	São Raimundo do Doca Bezerra	2017	60.3%	66.5%	55.1%
Brazil	São Raimundo Nonato	2000	65.6%	71.8%	59.5%
Brazil	São Raimundo Nonato	2017	66.5%	72.6%	60.5%
Brazil	São Roberto	2000	58.9%	64.4%	53.8%
Brazil	São Roberto	2017	59.9%	65.3%	54.8%
Brazil	São Romão	2000	82.4%	87.9%	76.4%
Brazil	São Romão	2017	83.0%	88.3%	77.1%
Brazil	São Roque	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.2%
Brazil	São Roque	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.4%
Brazil	São Roque de Minas	2000	83.9%	88.4%	79.3%
Brazil	São Roque de Minas	2017	84.5%	88.8%	79.9%
Brazil	São Roque do Canaã	2000	79.3%	82.2%	76.1%
Brazil	São Roque do Canaã	2017	79.9%	82.7%	76.7%
Brazil	São Salvador do Tocantins	2000	76.0%	81.3%	70.2%
Brazil	São Salvador do Tocantins	2017	76.7%	81.9%	71.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2000	61.8%	65.1%	59.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2000	90.7%	93.1%	88.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Sebastião	2017	62.7%	66.0%	60.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2017	91.0%	93.4%	88.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Amoreira	2000	87.0%	89.3%	84.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Amoreira	2017	87.5%	89.7%	85.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Bela Vista	2000	87.4%	90.3%	84.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Bela Vista	2017	87.9%	90.6%	85.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Boa Vista	2000	38.6%	44.2%	33.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Boa Vista	2017	39.5%	45.2%	34.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Grama	2000	90.3%	92.7%	87.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Grama	2017	90.6%	93.0%	88.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião de Lagoa de Roça	2000	67.8%	70.8%	64.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião de Lagoa de Roça	2017	68.6%	71.6%	65.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Alto	2000	77.8%	81.5%	74.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Alto	2017	78.4%	82.0%	74.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Anta	2000	81.1%	84.8%	76.9%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Anta	2017	82.7%	86.2%	78.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Caí	2000	79.9%	82.4%	77.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Caí	2017	81.1%	83.5%	78.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Maranhão	2000	81.9%	86.6%	76.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Maranhão	2017	82.5%	87.0%	76.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Oeste	2000	80.9%	85.4%	76.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Oeste	2017	81.5%	85.9%	77.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Paraíso	2000	88.6%	91.4%	84.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Paraíso	2017	88.9%	91.7%	85.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Passé	2000	76.4%	79.2%	73.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Passé	2017	78.4%	81.0%	75.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Preto	2000	80.3%	84.1%	75.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Preto	2017	80.9%	84.6%	76.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Verde	2000	85.8%	89.0%	82.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Verde	2017	86.3%	89.4%	83.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Tocantins	2000	58.5%	63.6%	53.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Sebastião do Tocantins	2017	59.5%	64.5%	54.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Uatumã	2000	62.1%	68.2%	56.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Uatumã	2017	63.0%	69.0%	57.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Umbuzeiro	2000	65.0%	69.0%	60.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Umbuzeiro	2017	65.9%	69.8%	61.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Vargem Alegre	2000	81.7%	85.1%	78.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Vargem Alegre	2017	82.3%	85.5%	78.6%
Brazil	São Sepé	2000	81.2%	85.4%	76.4%
Brazil	São Sepé	2017	81.8%	85.9%	77.1%
Brazil	São Simão	2000	80.8%	84.8%	75.8%
Brazil	São Simão	2000	92.7%	94.2%	90.6%
Brazil	São Simão	2017	81.4%	85.3%	76.5%
Brazil	São Simão	2017	93.0%	94.4%	90.9%
Brazil	São Thomé das Letras	2000	85.0%	88.1%	80.9%
Brazil	São Thomé das Letras	2017	85.5%	88.5%	81.5%
Brazil	São Tiago	2000	81.6%	85.6%	77.6%
Brazil	São Tiago	2017	82.2%	86.1%	78.3%
Brazil	São Tomás de Aquino	2000	87.9%	91.1%	84.1%
Brazil	São Tomás de Aquino	2017	88.3%	91.4%	84.6%
Brazil	São Tomé	2000	83.6%	86.5%	80.1%
Brazil	São Tomé	2000	82.4%	85.1%	79.3%
Brazil	São Tomé	2017	83.0%	85.7%	80.0%
Brazil	São Tomé	2017	84.1%	86.9%	80.8%
Brazil	São Valentim	2000	79.4%	82.5%	76.0%
Brazil	São Valentim	2017	80.0%	83.0%	76.7%
Brazil	São Valentim do Sul	2000	81.9%	85.4%	77.2%
Brazil	São Valentim do Sul	2017	82.5%	85.9%	77.9%
Brazil	São Valério da Natividade	2000	76.0%	80.8%	70.4%
Brazil	São Valério da Natividade	2017	76.7%	81.4%	71.2%
Brazil	São Valério do Sul	2000	81.5%	85.7%	76.5%
Brazil	São Valério do Sul	2017	82.1%	86.1%	77.2%
Brazil	São Vendelino	2000	79.7%	82.6%	76.9%
Brazil	São Vendelino	2017	80.4%	83.3%	77.6%
Brazil	São Vicente	2000	82.8%	85.4%	79.9%
Brazil	São Vicente	2000	87.7%	89.8%	85.4%
Brazil	São Vicente	2017	83.4%	85.9%	80.5%
Brazil	São Vicente	2017	88.2%	90.3%	86.1%
Brazil	São Vicente de Minas	2000	82.7%	86.1%	79.0%
Brazil	São Vicente de Minas	2017	83.3%	86.5%	79.7%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2000	69.6%	72.6%	66.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2000	60.3%	65.5%	55.1%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2017	70.4%	73.3%	67.6%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2017	61.2%	66.4%	56.1%
Brazil	Sapé	2000	69.4%	72.7%	66.3%
Brazil	Sapé	2017	70.2%	73.4%	67.1%
Brazil	Sapeaçu	2000	76.6%	80.4%	71.9%
Brazil	Sapeaçu	2017	77.3%	81.0%	72.7%
Brazil	Sapezal	2000	80.4%	85.7%	74.4%
Brazil	Sapezal	2000	63.1%	70.6%	55.3%
Brazil	Sapezal	2017	64.0%	71.5%	56.2%
Brazil	Sapezal	2017	81.0%	86.2%	75.2%
Brazil	Sapiranga	2000	81.6%	84.1%	79.2%
Brazil	Sapiranga	2017	82.1%	84.6%	79.8%
Brazil	Sapopema	2000	84.8%	88.1%	81.4%
Brazil	Sapopema	2017	85.3%	88.5%	82.0%
Brazil	Sapucaí-Mirim	2000	91.0%	92.7%	89.0%
Brazil	Sapucaí-Mirim	2017	91.2%	92.9%	89.2%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2000	48.7%	55.2%	41.4%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2000	77.8%	81.3%	74.4%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2017	78.5%	81.9%	75.1%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2017	49.7%	56.1%	42.3%
Brazil	Sapucaia do Sul	2000	82.5%	84.2%	80.6%
Brazil	Sapucaia do Sul	2017	83.0%	84.7%	81.2%
Brazil	Saquarema	2000	78.1%	81.0%	74.8%
Brazil	Saquarema	2017	79.0%	81.8%	75.9%
Brazil	Sarandi	2000	85.8%	88.1%	83.0%
Brazil	Sarandi	2000	80.4%	83.9%	76.8%
Brazil	Sarandi	2017	81.1%	84.5%	77.5%
Brazil	Sarandi	2017	86.3%	88.5%	83.6%
Brazil	Sarapuí	2000	93.6%	95.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Sarapuí	2017	93.8%	95.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Sardoá	2000	82.7%	86.5%	78.4%
Brazil	Sardoá	2017	83.2%	86.9%	79.0%
Brazil	Sarutaiá	2000	91.2%	93.3%	88.1%
Brazil	Sarutaiá	2017	91.5%	93.5%	88.5%
Brazil	Sarzedo	2000	81.9%	84.5%	78.9%
Brazil	Sarzedo	2017	82.4%	85.0%	79.5%
Brazil	Sátiro Dias	2000	79.8%	83.2%	75.7%
Brazil	Sátiro Dias	2017	80.4%	83.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Satuba	2000	59.2%	61.5%	57.0%
Brazil	Satuba	2017	60.1%	62.4%	57.9%
Brazil	Satubinha	2000	60.7%	65.9%	54.8%
Brazil	Satubinha	2017	61.7%	66.8%	55.8%
Brazil	Saubara	2000	73.6%	77.1%	70.4%
Brazil	Saubara	2017	74.3%	77.7%	71.2%
Brazil	Saudade do Iguaçu	2000	82.1%	85.6%	77.3%
Brazil	Saudade do Iguaçu	2017	82.7%	86.0%	78.0%
Brazil	Saudades	2000	76.6%	80.5%	71.9%
Brazil	Saudades	2017	77.3%	81.1%	72.7%
Brazil	Saúde	2000	76.3%	81.1%	70.4%
Brazil	Saúde	2017	77.0%	81.8%	71.2%
Brazil	Schroeder	2000	72.7%	76.7%	69.0%
Brazil	Schroeder	2017	73.4%	77.4%	69.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Seabra	2000	79.8%	84.8%	74.8%
Brazil	Seabra	2017	80.4%	85.3%	75.5%
Brazil	Seara	2000	77.1%	80.7%	72.7%
Brazil	Seara	2017	77.7%	81.2%	73.4%
Brazil	Sebastianópolis do Sul	2000	91.5%	94.0%	88.8%
Brazil	Sebastianópolis do Sul	2017	91.8%	94.2%	89.2%
Brazil	Sebastião Barros	2000	68.6%	75.1%	60.9%
Brazil	Sebastião Barros	2017	69.4%	75.7%	61.9%
Brazil	Sebastião Laranjeiras	2000	78.2%	83.8%	71.9%
Brazil	Sebastião Laranjeiras	2017	78.9%	84.4%	72.7%
Brazil	Sebastião Leal	2000	62.4%	68.5%	55.0%
Brazil	Sebastião Leal	2017	63.3%	69.3%	55.9%
Brazil	Seberi	2000	79.7%	83.6%	75.5%
Brazil	Seberi	2017	80.2%	84.1%	76.2%
Brazil	Sede Nova	2000	81.4%	85.4%	76.1%
Brazil	Sede Nova	2017	81.9%	85.9%	76.8%
Brazil	Segredo	2000	83.2%	86.3%	79.3%
Brazil	Segredo	2017	83.7%	86.8%	80.0%
Brazil	Selbach	2000	81.8%	85.7%	77.1%
Brazil	Selbach	2017	82.4%	86.2%	77.7%
Brazil	Selvíria	2000	84.4%	88.0%	80.1%
Brazil	Selvíria	2017	84.9%	88.4%	80.7%
Brazil	Sem-Peixe	2000	80.3%	84.1%	76.4%
Brazil	Sem-Peixe	2017	80.9%	84.7%	77.1%
Brazil	Sena	2000	44.5%	49.2%	39.9%
Brazil	Madureira Sena	2017	45.4%	50.1%	40.9%
Brazil	Madureira Senador Alexandre Costa	2000	63.3%	68.8%	58.7%
Brazil	Madureira Senador Alexandre Costa	2017	64.2%	69.7%	59.7%
Brazil	Senador Amaral	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Senador Amaral	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Senador Canedo	2000	77.5%	79.3%	75.0%
Brazil	Senador Canedo	2017	78.4%	80.2%	76.0%
Brazil	Senador Cortes	2000	80.6%	84.0%	77.1%
Brazil	Senador Cortes	2017	81.2%	84.5%	77.8%
Brazil	Senador Elói de Souza	2000	82.6%	85.0%	80.4%
Brazil	Senador Elói de Souza	2017	83.2%	85.5%	81.1%
Brazil	Senador Firmino	2000	80.5%	83.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Senador Firmino	2017	81.9%	84.9%	78.5%
Brazil	Senador Georgino Avelino	2000	79.1%	81.5%	76.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Senador Georgino Avelino	2017	79.7%	82.0%	76.9%
Brazil	Senador Guiomard	2000	39.6%	41.8%	37.4%
Brazil	Senador Guiomard	2017	40.6%	42.8%	38.5%
Brazil	Senador José Bento	2000	88.7%	90.9%	85.5%
Brazil	Senador José Bento	2017	89.1%	91.3%	86.1%
Brazil	Senador José Porfírio	2000	38.4%	46.1%	30.1%
Brazil	Senador José Porfírio	2017	39.2%	46.8%	30.9%
Brazil	Senador La Rocque	2000	66.1%	70.8%	61.3%
Brazil	Senador La Rocque	2017	66.9%	71.6%	62.2%
Brazil	Senador Modestino Gonçalves	2000	82.6%	86.9%	77.0%
Brazil	Senador Modestino Gonçalves	2017	83.1%	87.3%	77.6%
Brazil	Senador Pompeu	2000	68.9%	73.6%	64.3%
Brazil	Senador Pompeu	2017	69.8%	74.4%	65.2%
Brazil	Senador Rui Palmeira	2000	63.5%	66.8%	59.9%
Brazil	Senador Rui Palmeira	2017	64.3%	67.6%	60.8%
Brazil	Senador Sá	2000	69.3%	73.4%	64.7%
Brazil	Senador Sá	2017	70.1%	74.2%	65.6%
Brazil	Senador Salgado Filho	2000	82.2%	86.5%	77.8%
Brazil	Senador Salgado Filho	2017	82.8%	86.9%	78.5%
Brazil	Senhor Sengés	2000	88.6%	91.6%	85.4%
Brazil	Senhor Sengés	2017	89.0%	91.9%	85.9%
Brazil	Senhor do Bonfim	2000	75.6%	81.4%	69.4%
Brazil	Senhor do Bonfim	2017	76.3%	82.0%	70.2%
Brazil	Senhora de Oliveira	2000	81.0%	84.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Senhora de Oliveira	2017	81.5%	84.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Senhora do Porto	2000	81.4%	85.6%	75.7%
Brazil	Senhora do Porto	2017	82.0%	86.1%	76.4%
Brazil	Senhora dos Remédios	2000	81.1%	84.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Senhora dos Remédios	2017	81.7%	85.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Sentinela do Sul	2000	82.7%	86.4%	79.0%
Brazil	Sentinela do Sul	2017	83.2%	86.8%	79.6%
Brazil	Sento Sé	2000	69.3%	75.4%	62.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sento Sé	2017	70.1%	76.1%	63.4%
Brazil	Serafina Cor-rêa	2000	82.6%	85.7%	78.9%
Brazil	Serafina Cor-rêa	2017	83.2%	86.2%	79.6%
Brazil	Sericita	2000	82.5%	86.2%	78.5%
Brazil	Sericita	2017	83.0%	86.7%	79.1%
Brazil	Seridó	2000	73.2%	76.9%	69.1%
Brazil	Seridó	2017	73.9%	77.6%	69.9%
Brazil	Seringueiras	2000	30.5%	36.6%	24.9%
Brazil	Seringueiras	2017	31.4%	37.5%	25.6%
Brazil	Sério	2000	84.1%	87.5%	80.4%
Brazil	Sério	2017	84.6%	87.9%	80.9%
Brazil	Seritinga	2000	84.3%	87.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Seritinga	2017	84.8%	88.0%	81.2%
Brazil	Seropédica	2000	76.7%	78.7%	74.6%
Brazil	Seropédica	2017	77.5%	79.5%	75.4%
Brazil	Serra	2000	76.7%	79.0%	73.7%
Brazil	Serra	2017	77.3%	79.6%	74.5%
Brazil	Serra Alta	2000	78.4%	82.5%	74.0%
Brazil	Serra Alta	2017	79.1%	83.0%	74.7%
Brazil	Serra Azul	2000	91.0%	93.2%	88.7%
Brazil	Serra Azul	2017	92.0%	93.9%	89.9%
Brazil	Serra Azul de Minas	2000	82.1%	86.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Serra Azul de Minas	2017	82.7%	87.0%	76.8%
Brazil	Serra Branca	2000	67.5%	72.3%	62.8%
Brazil	Serra Branca	2017	68.4%	73.0%	63.7%
Brazil	Serra da Raiz	2000	72.6%	75.2%	69.9%
Brazil	Serra da Raiz	2017	73.3%	76.0%	70.7%
Brazil	Serra da Saudad	2000	80.9%	86.1%	74.1%
Brazil	Serra da Saudad	2017	81.5%	86.6%	74.8%
Brazil	Serra de São Bento	2000	80.5%	83.0%	77.8%
Brazil	Serra de São Bento	2017	81.1%	83.5%	78.5%
Brazil	Serra do Mel	2000	83.1%	85.7%	80.0%
Brazil	Serra do Mel	2017	83.6%	86.2%	80.6%
Brazil	Serra do Navio	2000	47.7%	53.4%	41.7%
Brazil	Serra do Navio	2017	48.7%	54.4%	42.7%
Brazil	Serra do Ramalho	2000	75.9%	81.7%	68.2%
Brazil	Serra do Ramalho	2017	76.6%	82.3%	69.0%
Brazil	Serra do Salitre	2000	83.2%	87.8%	78.0%
Brazil	Serra do Salitre	2017	83.8%	88.2%	78.7%
Brazil	Serra dos Aimorés	2000	79.7%	84.1%	74.9%
Brazil	Serra dos Aimorés	2017	80.3%	84.6%	75.6%
Brazil	Serra dourada	2000	76.4%	83.4%	67.4%
Brazil	Serra dourada	2017	77.1%	83.9%	68.2%
Brazil	Serra Grande	2000	69.6%	73.0%	65.8%
Brazil	Serra Grande	2017	70.4%	73.7%	66.7%
Brazil	Serra Negra	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Serra Negra	2017	92.6%	94.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Serra Negra do Norte	2000	74.2%	77.8%	70.5%
Brazil	Serra Negra do Norte	2017	74.9%	78.5%	71.3%
Brazil	Serra Preta	2000	78.3%	82.2%	74.4%
Brazil	Serra Preta	2017	79.0%	82.8%	75.1%
Brazil	Serra Redonda	2000	66.0%	69.0%	62.7%
Brazil	Serra Redonda	2017	66.9%	69.8%	63.6%
Brazil	Serra Talhada	2000	66.6%	71.0%	61.9%
Brazil	Serra Talhada	2017	67.4%	71.8%	62.8%
Brazil	Serrana	2000	92.1%	94.0%	89.8%
Brazil	Serrana	2017	92.4%	94.2%	90.1%
Brazil	Serrania	2000	81.4%	86.4%	76.1%
Brazil	Serrania	2017	82.0%	86.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Serrano do Maranhão	2000	59.7%	66.2%	51.8%
Brazil	Serrano do Maranhão	2017	60.6%	67.1%	52.8%
Brazil	Serranópolis	2000	78.4%	83.8%	72.1%
Brazil	Serranópolis	2017	79.0%	84.3%	72.9%
Brazil	Serranópolis de Minas	2000	85.1%	88.3%	80.6%
Brazil	Serranópolis de Minas	2017	86.1%	89.1%	81.8%
Brazil	Serranópolis do Iguaçu	2000	82.5%	86.1%	78.6%
Brazil	Serranópolis do Iguaçu	2017	82.9%	86.5%	79.1%
Brazil	Serranos	2000	84.2%	87.5%	80.5%
Brazil	Serranos	2017	84.7%	87.9%	81.1%
Brazil	Serraria	2000	67.7%	70.8%	64.4%
Brazil	Serraria	2017	68.6%	71.6%	65.3%
Brazil	Serrinha	2000	80.3%	82.5%	77.8%
Brazil	Serrinha	2000	78.9%	82.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Serrinha	2017	80.9%	83.1%	78.4%
Brazil	Serrinha	2017	79.5%	82.9%	75.4%
Brazil	Serrinha dos Pintos	2000	79.0%	82.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Serrinha dos Pintos	2017	79.7%	82.5%	76.7%
Brazil	Serrita	2000	68.0%	72.0%	63.3%
Brazil	Serrita	2017	68.8%	72.8%	64.3%
Brazil	Serro	2000	82.5%	86.9%	76.9%
Brazil	Serro	2017	83.1%	87.4%	77.6%
Brazil	Serrolândia	2000	79.0%	83.2%	74.6%
Brazil	Serrolândia	2017	79.6%	83.7%	75.3%
Brazil	Sertaneja	2000	87.1%	89.5%	84.5%
Brazil	Sertaneja	2017	87.6%	89.8%	85.0%
Brazil	Sertânia	2000	65.4%	69.2%	61.3%
Brazil	Sertânia	2017	66.3%	70.0%	62.3%
Brazil	Sertanópolis	2000	86.3%	88.7%	83.6%
Brazil	Sertanópolis	2017	86.7%	89.1%	84.2%
Brazil	Sertão	2000	80.1%	83.7%	76.2%
Brazil	Sertão	2017	80.8%	84.3%	76.9%
Brazil	Sertão Santana	2000	82.3%	85.8%	78.7%
Brazil	Sertão Santana	2017	82.9%	86.2%	79.4%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2000	93.7%	95.2%	91.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2017	93.9%	95.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2000	71.7%	74.2%	68.7%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2017	72.5%	75.0%	69.5%
Brazil	Sete Barras	2000	88.6%	91.8%	85.0%
Brazil	Sete Barras	2017	89.0%	92.1%	85.5%
Brazil	Sete de Setembro	2000	82.1%	86.4%	77.3%
Brazil	Sete de Setembro	2017	82.7%	86.8%	77.9%
Brazil	Sete Lagoas	2000	82.4%	85.7%	78.8%
Brazil	Sete Lagoas	2017	83.0%	86.1%	79.5%
Brazil	Sete Quedas	2000	81.8%	86.4%	76.0%
Brazil	Sete Quedas	2017	82.3%	86.8%	76.6%
Brazil	Setubinha	2000	83.5%	87.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Setubinha	2017	84.0%	88.2%	78.4%
Brazil	Severiano de Almeida	2000	77.0%	80.5%	72.6%
Brazil	Severiano de Almeida	2017	77.7%	81.1%	73.4%
Brazil	Severiano Melo	2000	77.7%	81.2%	73.5%
Brazil	Severiano Melo	2017	78.3%	81.8%	74.3%
Brazil	Severínia	2000	92.3%	94.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Severínia	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Siderópolis	2000	75.0%	79.0%	70.3%
Brazil	Siderópolis	2017	75.6%	79.6%	71.0%
Brazil	Sidrolândia	2000	79.9%	83.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Sidrolândia	2017	80.6%	83.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Sigefredo Pacheco	2000	61.7%	65.9%	56.5%
Brazil	Sigefredo Pacheco	2017	62.6%	66.8%	57.4%
Brazil	Silva Jardim	2000	76.4%	79.0%	73.2%
Brazil	Silva Jardim	2017	77.1%	79.6%	74.0%
Brazil	Silvânia	2000	80.5%	83.0%	77.8%
Brazil	Silvânia	2017	81.1%	83.6%	78.5%
Brazil	Silvanópolis	2000	76.4%	81.4%	71.3%
Brazil	Silvanópolis	2017	77.1%	82.0%	72.1%
Brazil	Silveira Martins	2000	82.8%	86.0%	78.3%
Brazil	Silveira Martins	2017	83.3%	86.5%	79.0%
Brazil	Silveirânia	2000	80.4%	84.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Silveirânia	2017	81.0%	84.5%	77.3%
Brazil	Silveiras	2000	87.7%	90.0%	85.0%
Brazil	Silveiras	2017	88.1%	90.3%	85.5%
Brazil	Silves	2000	58.8%	64.0%	52.9%
Brazil	Silves	2017	59.7%	65.0%	53.8%
Brazil	Silvianópolis	2000	86.8%	89.7%	83.4%
Brazil	Silvianópolis	2017	87.2%	90.1%	83.9%
Brazil	Simão Dias	2000	78.0%	80.6%	75.4%
Brazil	Simão Dias	2017	78.6%	81.2%	76.2%
Brazil	Simão Pereira	2000	79.5%	82.9%	76.2%
Brazil	Simão Pereira	2017	80.1%	83.4%	76.9%
Brazil	Simões	2000	65.8%	70.4%	61.0%
Brazil	Simões	2017	66.6%	71.2%	61.9%
Brazil	Simões Filho	2000	76.1%	79.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Simões Filho	2017	76.4%	79.4%	73.2%
Brazil	Simolândia	2000	83.0%	88.0%	78.0%
Brazil	Simolândia	2017	83.6%	88.4%	78.7%
Brazil	Simonésia	2000	81.5%	84.9%	77.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Simonésia	2017	82.1%	85.4%	77.9%
Brazil	Simplício	2000	61.0%	66.2%	54.9%
Brazil	Mendes				
Brazil	Simplício	2017	61.9%	67.1%	55.9%
Brazil	Mendes				
Brazil	Sinimbu	2000	82.7%	86.2%	78.6%
Brazil	Sinimbu	2017	83.3%	86.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Sinop	2000	71.4%	77.5%	65.1%
Brazil	Sinop	2017	72.3%	78.3%	66.2%
Brazil	Siqueira Campos	2000	88.7%	91.1%	85.3%
Brazil	Siqueira Campos	2017	89.0%	91.4%	85.8%
Brazil	Sirinhaém	2000	60.0%	63.9%	55.8%
Brazil	Sirinhaém	2017	60.9%	64.8%	56.8%
Brazil	Siriri	2000	73.6%	76.0%	70.5%
Brazil	Siriri	2017	74.4%	76.7%	71.3%
Brazil	Sítio d'Abadia	2000	83.4%	87.9%	77.9%
Brazil	Sítio d'Abadia	2017	84.0%	88.3%	78.7%
Brazil	Sítio do Mato	2000	75.4%	82.0%	67.5%
Brazil	Sítio do Mato	2017	76.1%	82.6%	68.4%
Brazil	Sítio do Quinto	2000	74.8%	79.3%	69.9%
Brazil	Sítio do Quinto	2017	75.5%	79.9%	70.6%
Brazil	Sítio dos Mor-eiras	2000	68.8%	72.5%	63.9%
Brazil	Sítio dos Mor-eiras	2017	69.6%	73.3%	64.8%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2000	83.4%	86.0%	80.8%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2000	67.4%	74.1%	60.7%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2017	83.9%	86.5%	81.4%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2017	68.2%	74.8%	61.5%
Brazil	Sítio Novo do Tocantins	2000	68.2%	72.0%	64.3%
Brazil	Sítio Novo do Tocantins	2017	69.1%	72.8%	65.2%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2000	68.4%	73.5%	63.3%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2000	83.8%	86.9%	79.9%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2017	84.3%	87.3%	80.5%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2017	69.3%	74.2%	64.2%
Brazil	Sobrado	2000	67.3%	70.9%	63.9%
Brazil	Sobrado	2017	68.1%	71.7%	64.8%
Brazil	Sobral	2000	70.4%	73.8%	66.8%
Brazil	Sobral	2017	71.2%	74.6%	67.7%
Brazil	Sobralia	2000	79.7%	84.2%	75.2%
Brazil	Sobralia	2017	80.4%	84.7%	75.9%
Brazil	Socorro	2000	91.8%	93.3%	90.0%
Brazil	Socorro	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Socorro do Piauí	2000	60.9%	66.8%	54.6%
Brazil	Socorro do Piauí	2017	61.9%	67.7%	55.7%
Brazil	Solânea	2000	71.5%	74.5%	68.4%
Brazil	Solânea	2017	72.4%	75.3%	69.3%
Brazil	Soledade	2000	83.2%	86.2%	79.5%
Brazil	Soledade	2000	71.2%	75.1%	67.1%
Brazil	Soledade	2017	72.0%	75.9%	67.9%
Brazil	Soledade	2017	83.7%	86.7%	80.2%
Brazil	Soledade de Minas	2000	84.5%	87.9%	80.7%
Brazil	Soledade de Minas	2017	85.0%	88.3%	81.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Solonópole	2000	70.3%	74.8%	65.7%
Brazil	Solonópole	2017	71.1%	75.6%	66.6%
Brazil	Sombrio	2000	74.9%	79.9%	69.9%
Brazil	Sombrio	2017	75.6%	80.6%	70.7%
Brazil	Sonora	2000	76.4%	81.5%	70.2%
Brazil	Sonora	2017	77.1%	82.1%	71.0%
Brazil	Sooretama	2000	76.5%	80.3%	72.1%
Brazil	Sooretama	2017	77.2%	80.9%	72.9%
Brazil	Sorocaba	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Sorocaba	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Sossêgo	2000	75.3%	78.6%	71.5%
Brazil	Sossêgo	2017	76.1%	79.3%	72.3%
Brazil	Soure	2000	34.9%	40.1%	30.1%
Brazil	Soure	2017	35.8%	41.0%	30.9%
Brazil	Sousa	2000	72.2%	75.8%	68.8%
Brazil	Sousa	2017	73.0%	76.5%	69.6%
Brazil	Souto Soares	2000	78.7%	83.8%	73.6%
Brazil	Souto Soares	2017	79.3%	84.4%	74.3%
Brazil	Sucupira	2000	77.0%	81.2%	72.3%
Brazil	Sucupira	2017	77.7%	81.8%	73.0%
Brazil	Sucupira do Norte	2000	61.6%	67.7%	55.2%
Brazil	Sucupira do Norte	2017	62.5%	68.5%	56.1%
Brazil	Sucupira do Riachão	2000	62.1%	67.8%	55.8%
Brazil	Sucupira do Riachão	2017	63.0%	68.7%	56.8%
Brazil	Sud Mennucci	2000	88.3%	91.4%	84.7%
Brazil	Sud Mennucci	2017	88.7%	91.7%	85.2%
Brazil	Sul Brazil	2000	77.7%	81.9%	73.6%
Brazil	Sul Brazil	2017	78.3%	82.4%	74.2%
Brazil	Sulina	2000	82.0%	85.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Sulina	2017	82.5%	86.2%	78.1%
Brazil	Sumaré	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Sumaré	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Sumé	2000	67.4%	71.9%	62.6%
Brazil	Sumé	2017	68.2%	72.6%	63.5%
Brazil	Sumidouro	2000	82.6%	85.3%	79.8%
Brazil	Sumidouro	2017	83.2%	85.8%	80.5%
Brazil	Surubim	2000	65.5%	69.0%	62.1%
Brazil	Surubim	2017	66.4%	69.9%	63.0%
Brazil	Sussuapara	2000	61.1%	66.0%	56.7%
Brazil	Sussuapara	2017	62.0%	66.9%	57.6%
Brazil	Suzanópolis	2000	94.6%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Suzanópolis	2017	94.8%	95.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Suzano	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Suzano	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Tabaí	2000	80.1%	83.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Tabaí	2017	80.7%	83.8%	77.1%
Brazil	Tabaporã	2000	68.4%	75.7%	61.3%
Brazil	Tabaporã	2017	69.3%	76.4%	62.3%
Brazil	Tabapuã	2000	92.7%	94.3%	90.6%
Brazil	Tabapuã	2017	93.0%	94.5%	90.9%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2000	59.0%	64.9%	53.3%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2000	93.2%	94.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2017	59.9%	65.8%	54.3%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2017	93.5%	95.1%	91.3%
Brazil	Tabira	2000	67.5%	71.6%	63.0%
Brazil	Tabira	2017	68.3%	72.4%	63.9%
Brazil	Taboão da Serra	2000	94.5%	95.3%	93.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Taboão da Serra	2017	94.7%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Tabocas do Brejo Velho	2000	76.5%	83.2%	67.5%
Brazil	Tabocas do Brejo Velho	2017	77.2%	83.7%	68.3%
Brazil	Taboleiro Grande	2000	76.9%	80.5%	73.2%
Brazil	Taboleiro Grande	2017	77.6%	81.1%	73.9%
Brazil	Tabuleiro	2000	79.5%	82.9%	75.3%
Brazil	Tabuleiro	2017	80.1%	83.4%	76.1%
Brazil	Tabuleiro do Norte	2000	74.6%	78.4%	70.7%
Brazil	Tabuleiro do Norte	2017	75.4%	79.1%	71.5%
Brazil	Tacaimbó	2000	66.7%	69.9%	63.4%
Brazil	Tacaimbó	2017	67.5%	70.7%	64.3%
Brazil	Tacaratu	2000	64.8%	69.1%	60.5%
Brazil	Tacaratu	2017	65.8%	70.1%	61.6%
Brazil	Taciba	2000	88.7%	91.6%	86.0%
Brazil	Taciba	2017	89.0%	91.9%	86.4%
Brazil	Tacima	2000	76.2%	78.6%	73.8%
Brazil	Tacima	2017	77.0%	79.4%	74.6%
Brazil	Tacuru	2000	80.9%	85.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Tacuru	2017	81.5%	85.6%	76.3%
Brazil	Taguaí	2000	90.8%	93.2%	87.8%
Brazil	Taguaí	2017	91.2%	93.4%	88.2%
Brazil	Taguatinga	2000	76.0%	81.5%	69.5%
Brazil	Taguatinga	2017	76.7%	82.1%	70.4%
Brazil	Taiacu	2000	93.0%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Taiacu	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Tailândia	2000	41.1%	46.4%	35.6%
Brazil	Tailândia	2017	42.1%	47.4%	36.6%
Brazil	Taió	2000	73.1%	77.5%	68.0%
Brazil	Taió	2017	73.9%	78.2%	68.8%
Brazil	Taiobeiras	2000	81.0%	86.0%	75.0%
Brazil	Taiobeiras	2017	81.6%	86.5%	75.7%
Brazil	Taipas do Tocantins	2000	75.6%	81.1%	69.1%
Brazil	Taipas do Tocantins	2017	76.3%	81.7%	69.9%
Brazil	Taipu	2000	83.6%	85.9%	81.1%
Brazil	Taipu	2017	84.1%	86.4%	81.7%
Brazil	Taiúva	2000	92.8%	94.4%	90.7%
Brazil	Taiúva	2017	93.1%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Talismã	2000	76.0%	80.8%	70.2%
Brazil	Talismã	2017	76.7%	81.5%	71.0%
Brazil	Tamandaré	2000	64.3%	68.4%	60.1%
Brazil	Tamandaré	2017	65.2%	69.2%	61.0%
Brazil	Tamarana	2000	84.5%	87.3%	80.9%
Brazil	Tamarana	2017	85.0%	87.7%	81.5%
Brazil	Tambaú	2000	91.5%	93.5%	89.0%
Brazil	Tambaú	2017	91.8%	93.7%	89.3%
Brazil	Tambe	2000	70.0%	72.9%	67.2%
Brazil	Tambe	2017	70.9%	73.7%	68.1%
Brazil	Tamboara	2000	83.9%	86.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Tamboara	2017	84.4%	87.2%	80.8%
Brazil	Tamboril	2000	69.5%	73.6%	65.1%
Brazil	Tamboril	2017	70.3%	74.3%	65.9%
Brazil	Tamboril do Piauí	2000	61.5%	68.0%	54.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Tamboril do Piauí	2017	62.4%	68.8%	55.7%
Brazil	Tanabi	2000	91.9%	93.9%	89.3%
Brazil	Tanabi	2017	92.2%	94.2%	89.6%
Brazil	Tangará	2000	75.4%	79.7%	71.1%
Brazil	Tangará	2000	81.4%	84.2%	78.9%
Brazil	Tangará	2017	82.0%	84.7%	79.5%
Brazil	Tangará	2017	76.1%	80.4%	72.0%
Brazil	Tangará da Serra	2000	69.7%	74.9%	64.0%
Brazil	Tangará da Serra	2017	70.5%	75.7%	64.9%
Brazil	Tanguá	2000	77.2%	79.6%	74.1%
Brazil	Tanguá	2017	77.9%	80.2%	74.9%
Brazil	Tanhaçu	2000	76.1%	81.4%	70.5%
Brazil	Tanhaçu	2017	76.8%	82.0%	71.3%
Brazil	Tanque d'Arca	2000	60.0%	62.7%	57.5%
Brazil	Tanque d'Arca	2017	60.9%	63.6%	58.5%
Brazil	Tanque do Piauí	2000	62.9%	67.4%	57.8%
Brazil	Tanque do Piauí	2017	63.8%	68.2%	58.7%
Brazil	Tanque Novo	2000	78.9%	84.0%	73.3%
Brazil	Tanque Novo	2017	79.6%	84.6%	74.1%
Brazil	Tanquinho	2000	77.3%	81.0%	73.3%
Brazil	Tanquinho	2017	78.0%	81.6%	74.1%
Brazil	Taparuba	2000	79.2%	83.2%	74.9%
Brazil	Taparuba	2017	79.9%	83.8%	75.8%
Brazil	Tapauá	2000	58.2%	66.0%	49.9%
Brazil	Tapauá	2017	59.1%	66.8%	50.8%
Brazil	Tapejara	2000	79.6%	83.6%	75.4%
Brazil	Tapejara	2000	84.1%	87.2%	80.6%
Brazil	Tapejara	2017	84.6%	87.7%	81.2%
Brazil	Tapejara	2017	80.2%	84.1%	76.1%
Brazil	Tapera	2000	82.6%	86.1%	77.9%
Brazil	Tapera	2017	83.1%	86.5%	78.5%
Brazil	Taperoá	2000	72.6%	77.3%	67.8%
Brazil	Taperoá	2000	70.4%	74.9%	65.9%
Brazil	Taperoá	2017	71.2%	75.6%	66.8%
Brazil	Taperoá	2017	74.0%	78.5%	69.4%
Brazil	Tapes	2000	82.3%	86.1%	78.3%
Brazil	Tapes	2017	82.9%	86.6%	79.0%
Brazil	Tapira	2000	86.1%	89.7%	81.8%
Brazil	Tapira	2000	83.3%	86.2%	79.9%
Brazil	Tapira	2017	83.9%	86.7%	80.5%
Brazil	Tapira	2017	86.6%	90.1%	82.3%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2000	82.6%	87.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2000	93.3%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2017	93.5%	95.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2017	83.2%	87.9%	77.9%
Brazil	Tapiramutá	2000	78.3%	83.6%	73.3%
Brazil	Tapiramutá	2017	79.0%	84.1%	74.1%
Brazil	Tapiratiba	2000	88.1%	91.0%	84.7%
Brazil	Tapiratiba	2017	88.5%	91.3%	85.2%
Brazil	Tapurah	2000	69.0%	74.8%	64.0%
Brazil	Tapurah	2017	69.8%	75.5%	64.9%
Brazil	Taquara	2000	80.1%	82.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Taquara	2017	80.7%	83.3%	78.2%
Brazil	Taquaraçu de Minas	2000	81.1%	84.1%	77.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Taquaraçu de Minas	2017	81.7%	84.7%	78.4%
Brazil	Taquaral	2000	92.8%	94.4%	90.8%
Brazil	Taquaral	2017	93.1%	94.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Taquaral de Goiás	2000	77.5%	81.3%	73.6%
Brazil	Taquaral de Goiás	2017	78.2%	81.8%	74.3%
Brazil	Taquarana	2000	60.6%	63.3%	58.2%
Brazil	Taquarana	2017	61.5%	64.2%	59.1%
Brazil	Taquari	2000	80.9%	84.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Taquari	2017	81.4%	84.5%	77.7%
Brazil	Taquaritinga	2000	93.3%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Taquaritinga	2017	93.6%	95.0%	91.8%
Brazil	Taquaritinga do Norte	2000	67.5%	70.9%	64.1%
Brazil	Taquaritinga do Norte	2017	68.3%	71.7%	64.8%
Brazil	Taquarituba	2000	91.3%	93.6%	88.5%
Brazil	Taquarituba	2017	91.6%	93.8%	88.9%
Brazil	Taquarivaí	2000	92.0%	94.2%	89.2%
Brazil	Taquarivaí	2017	92.3%	94.4%	89.5%
Brazil	Taquaruçu do Sul	2000	79.9%	83.8%	75.1%
Brazil	Taquaruçu do Sul	2017	80.5%	84.3%	75.8%
Brazil	Taquarussu	2000	81.7%	85.4%	77.6%
Brazil	Taquarussu	2017	82.2%	85.8%	78.3%
Brazil	Tarabai	2000	89.1%	91.8%	86.1%
Brazil	Tarabai	2017	89.5%	92.1%	86.5%
Brazil	Tarauacá	2000	46.5%	50.8%	42.1%
Brazil	Tarauacá	2017	47.4%	51.8%	43.0%
Brazil	Tarrafas	2000	69.4%	73.4%	65.2%
Brazil	Tarrafas	2017	70.2%	74.1%	66.1%
Brazil	Tartarugalzinho	2000	48.9%	53.9%	43.6%
Brazil	Tartarugalzinho	2017	49.9%	54.9%	44.5%
Brazil	Tarumã	2000	89.8%	92.2%	87.6%
Brazil	Tarumã	2017	90.2%	92.5%	88.0%
Brazil	Tarumirim	2000	80.6%	84.5%	76.3%
Brazil	Tarumirim	2017	81.2%	85.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Tasso Fragoso	2000	64.9%	72.8%	56.8%
Brazil	Tasso Fragoso	2017	65.9%	73.8%	58.0%
Brazil	Tatuí	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Tatuí	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Tauá	2000	70.2%	74.8%	65.6%
Brazil	Tauá	2017	71.0%	75.5%	66.4%
Brazil	Taubaté	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.2%
Brazil	Taubaté	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.6%
Brazil	Tavares	2000	67.2%	71.4%	62.6%
Brazil	Tavares	2000	80.9%	86.0%	75.1%
Brazil	Tavares	2017	81.5%	86.5%	75.8%
Brazil	Tavares	2017	68.1%	72.2%	63.5%
Brazil	Tefé	2000	62.7%	68.7%	56.2%
Brazil	Tefé	2017	63.6%	69.5%	57.1%
Brazil	Teixeira	2000	69.9%	74.0%	65.7%
Brazil	Teixeira	2017	70.7%	74.8%	66.6%
Brazil	Teixeira de Freitas	2000	78.5%	83.8%	72.9%
Brazil	Teixeira de Freitas	2017	79.2%	84.3%	73.7%
Brazil	Teixeiras	2000	80.9%	84.3%	76.9%
Brazil	Teixeiras	2017	81.5%	84.8%	77.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Teixeirópolis	2000	28.0%	31.2%	24.8%
Brazil	Teixeirópolis	2017	28.8%	32.1%	25.6%
Brazil	Tejuçuoca	2000	70.6%	74.5%	66.6%
Brazil	Tejuçuoca	2017	71.5%	75.2%	67.4%
Brazil	Tejupa	2000	91.6%	93.8%	88.7%
Brazil	Tejupa	2017	91.9%	94.1%	89.1%
Brazil	Telêmaco	2000	85.3%	88.3%	81.7%
Brazil	Borba				
Brazil	Telêmaco	2017	85.8%	88.7%	82.3%
Brazil	Borba				
Brazil	Telha	2000	68.5%	71.5%	65.6%
Brazil	Telha	2017	69.4%	72.3%	66.5%
Brazil	Tenente Ananias	2000	76.6%	79.9%	73.3%
Brazil	Tenente Ananias	2017	77.4%	80.6%	74.1%
Brazil	Tenente Laurentino Cruz	2000	82.8%	85.4%	79.9%
Brazil	Tenente Laurentino Cruz	2017	83.4%	85.9%	80.5%
Brazil	Tenente Portela	2000	80.2%	84.2%	75.4%
Brazil	Tenente Portela	2017	80.8%	84.8%	76.1%
Brazil	Tenório	2000	73.3%	76.9%	69.0%
Brazil	Tenório	2017	74.1%	77.6%	69.8%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2000	76.7%	80.0%	72.9%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2000	85.4%	88.7%	81.7%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2017	77.4%	80.6%	73.6%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2017	85.9%	89.1%	82.3%
Brazil	Teofilândia	2000	78.8%	82.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Teofilândia	2017	79.4%	83.0%	75.4%
Brazil	Teófilo Otoni	2000	82.3%	87.0%	76.9%
Brazil	Teófilo Otoni	2017	82.9%	87.5%	77.6%
Brazil	Teolândia	2000	76.3%	80.1%	71.9%
Brazil	Teolândia	2017	77.5%	81.2%	73.2%
Brazil	Teotônio	2000	60.4%	63.8%	57.3%
Brazil	Vilela				
Brazil	Teotônio	2017	61.3%	64.7%	58.2%
Brazil	Vilela				
Brazil	Terenos	2000	78.5%	81.2%	75.8%
Brazil	Terenos	2017	79.1%	81.8%	76.5%
Brazil	Teresina	2000	60.9%	63.4%	58.5%
Brazil	Teresina	2017	61.8%	64.3%	59.5%
Brazil	Teresina de Goiás	2000	80.5%	85.0%	74.5%
Brazil	Teresina de Goiás	2017	81.0%	85.4%	75.2%
Brazil	Teresópolis	2000	83.8%	85.7%	81.3%
Brazil	Teresópolis	2017	84.3%	86.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Terezinha	2000	62.5%	66.0%	58.8%
Brazil	Terezinha	2017	63.4%	66.9%	59.7%
Brazil	Terezópolis de Goiás	2000	78.6%	80.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Terezópolis de Goiás	2017	79.2%	81.5%	77.0%
Brazil	Terra Alta	2000	36.3%	40.5%	31.8%
Brazil	Terra Alta	2017	37.2%	41.5%	32.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Terra Boa	2000	83.6%	86.8%	80.3%
Brazil	Terra Boa	2017	84.1%	87.2%	80.9%
Brazil	Terra de Areia	2000	77.8%	82.3%	72.5%
Brazil	Terra de Areia	2017	78.4%	82.9%	73.3%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2000	65.9%	71.2%	61.0%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2000	76.2%	79.4%	72.4%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2017	66.8%	72.0%	62.0%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2017	76.9%	80.1%	73.2%
Brazil	Terra Nova do Norte	2000	67.6%	73.5%	60.1%
Brazil	Terra Nova do Norte	2017	68.4%	74.3%	61.0%
Brazil	Terra Rica	2000	84.7%	88.4%	80.7%
Brazil	Terra Rica	2017	85.2%	88.9%	81.3%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2000	83.9%	87.4%	80.2%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2000	91.7%	93.9%	89.3%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2017	92.0%	94.1%	89.7%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2017	84.4%	87.8%	80.8%
Brazil	Terra Santa	2000	48.0%	54.9%	41.4%
Brazil	Terra Santa	2017	48.9%	55.8%	42.3%
Brazil	Tesouro	2000	69.4%	75.7%	62.7%
Brazil	Tesouro	2017	70.2%	76.4%	63.7%
Brazil	Teutônia	2000	81.1%	84.1%	77.9%
Brazil	Teutônia	2017	81.7%	84.7%	78.5%
Brazil	Texeira Soares	2000	82.2%	85.3%	78.4%
Brazil	Texeira Soares	2017	83.0%	86.0%	79.3%
Brazil	Theobroma	2000	29.9%	33.6%	26.3%
Brazil	Theobroma	2017	30.7%	34.5%	27.1%
Brazil	Tianguá	2000	73.4%	77.0%	68.9%
Brazil	Tianguá	2017	74.1%	77.6%	69.7%
Brazil	Tibaji	2000	84.3%	87.6%	80.7%
Brazil	Tibaji	2017	84.8%	88.0%	81.3%
Brazil	Tibau	2000	78.4%	81.9%	74.9%
Brazil	Tibau	2017	79.0%	82.5%	75.6%
Brazil	Tibau do Sul	2000	78.4%	81.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Tibau do Sul	2017	79.0%	81.7%	76.1%
Brazil	Tietê	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Tietê	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Tigrinhos	2000	79.2%	83.1%	75.0%
Brazil	Tigrinhos	2017	79.9%	83.7%	75.8%
Brazil	Tijucas	2000	71.2%	75.9%	65.6%
Brazil	Tijucas	2017	72.9%	77.4%	67.5%
Brazil	Tijucas do Sul	2000	82.6%	85.0%	79.7%
Brazil	Tijucas do Sul	2017	83.2%	85.5%	80.3%
Brazil	Timbaúba	2000	69.3%	72.4%	66.5%
Brazil	Timbaúba	2017	70.1%	73.1%	67.3%
Brazil	Timbaúba dos Batistas	2000	75.4%	78.8%	71.3%
Brazil	Timbaúba dos Batistas	2017	76.1%	79.5%	72.1%
Brazil	Timbé do Sul	2000	76.9%	81.6%	72.0%
Brazil	Timbé do Sul	2017	77.5%	82.1%	72.8%
Brazil	Timbiras	2000	62.4%	67.1%	57.5%
Brazil	Timbiras	2017	63.3%	68.0%	58.4%
Brazil	Timbó	2000	71.6%	75.2%	68.2%
Brazil	Timbó	2017	72.4%	75.9%	69.0%
Brazil	Timbó Grande	2000	78.1%	82.3%	74.0%
Brazil	Timbó Grande	2017	78.7%	82.9%	74.7%
Brazil	Timburi	2000	90.6%	92.9%	87.7%
Brazil	Timburi	2017	91.0%	93.1%	88.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Timon	2000	60.8%	63.5%	58.2%
Brazil	Timon	2017	61.8%	64.4%	59.2%
Brazil	Timóteo	2000	81.4%	84.9%	77.4%
Brazil	Timóteo	2017	81.9%	85.4%	78.1%
Brazil	Tiradentes	2000	81.3%	85.6%	77.0%
Brazil	Tiradentes	2017	81.8%	86.0%	77.7%
Brazil	Tiradentes do Sul	2000	78.0%	82.9%	72.5%
Brazil	Tiradentes do Sul	2017	78.7%	83.4%	73.3%
Brazil	Tiros	2000	81.4%	86.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Tiros	2017	81.5%	86.5%	74.8%
Brazil	Tobias Barreto	2000	79.5%	82.3%	76.4%
Brazil	Tobias Barreto	2017	80.1%	82.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Tocantínia	2000	74.3%	78.4%	70.0%
Brazil	Tocantínia	2017	75.1%	79.1%	70.9%
Brazil	Tocantinópolis	2000	70.0%	74.3%	65.2%
Brazil	Tocantinópolis	2017	70.9%	75.1%	66.2%
Brazil	Tocantins	2000	81.7%	84.9%	78.0%
Brazil	Tocantins	2017	82.2%	85.4%	78.6%
Brazil	Tocos do Moji	2000	90.1%	92.2%	87.7%
Brazil	Tocos do Moji	2017	90.5%	92.5%	88.2%
Brazil	Toledo	2000	86.4%	89.1%	83.1%
Brazil	Toledo	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Toledo	2017	86.8%	89.5%	83.7%
Brazil	Toledo	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Tomar do Geru	2000	78.4%	81.3%	75.1%
Brazil	Tomar do Geru	2017	79.0%	81.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Tomazina	2000	87.6%	90.2%	84.2%
Brazil	Tomazina	2017	88.0%	90.6%	84.8%
Brazil	Tombos	2000	79.7%	83.1%	76.3%
Brazil	Tombos	2017	80.3%	83.7%	77.1%
Brazil	Tomé-Açu	2000	36.5%	41.2%	31.7%
Brazil	Tomé-Açu	2017	37.4%	42.2%	32.5%
Brazil	Tonantins	2000	55.9%	64.7%	46.6%
Brazil	Tonantins	2017	56.8%	65.6%	47.5%
Brazil	Toritama	2000	67.6%	70.9%	64.4%
Brazil	Toritama	2017	68.4%	71.7%	65.3%
Brazil	Torixoréu	2000	71.5%	77.8%	64.7%
Brazil	Torixoréu	2017	72.3%	78.5%	65.6%
Brazil	Toropi	2000	81.7%	85.8%	76.5%
Brazil	Toropi	2017	82.3%	86.3%	77.3%
Brazil	Torre de Pedra	2000	93.1%	94.8%	91.0%
Brazil	Torre de Pedra	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Torres	2000	76.8%	81.8%	71.5%
Brazil	Torres	2017	77.8%	82.6%	72.6%
Brazil	Torrinha	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Torrinha	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Touros	2000	84.3%	87.4%	80.6%
Brazil	Touros	2017	84.9%	87.8%	81.2%
Brazil	Trabiju	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Trabiju	2017	93.7%	95.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Tracuateua	2000	38.1%	43.1%	33.5%
Brazil	Tracuateua	2017	39.1%	44.1%	34.4%
Brazil	Tracunhaém	2000	67.6%	70.7%	65.2%
Brazil	Tracunhaém	2017	69.6%	72.6%	67.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Traipu	2000	66.4%	69.3%	63.1%
Brazil	Traipu	2017	67.3%	70.1%	64.0%
Brazil	Trairão	2000	44.4%	53.1%	36.1%
Brazil	Trairão	2017	45.3%	54.1%	36.9%
Brazil	Trairi	2000	67.5%	71.6%	62.9%
Brazil	Trairi	2017	68.4%	72.4%	63.8%
Brazil	Trajano de Morais	2000	81.6%	84.6%	78.6%
Brazil	Trajano de Morais	2017	82.2%	85.1%	79.2%
Brazil	Tramandaí	2000	79.8%	84.4%	74.9%
Brazil	Tramandaí	2017	80.5%	84.9%	75.6%
Brazil	Travesseiro	2000	80.7%	84.5%	76.8%
Brazil	Travesseiro	2017	81.3%	85.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Tremedal	2000	78.7%	83.8%	72.6%
Brazil	Tremedal	2017	79.4%	84.3%	73.4%
Brazil	Tremembé	2000	91.3%	93.0%	89.2%
Brazil	Tremembé	2017	91.6%	93.3%	89.6%
Brazil	Três Arroios	2000	78.0%	81.4%	73.9%
Brazil	Três Arroios	2017	78.6%	82.0%	74.6%
Brazil	Três Barras do Paraná	2000	84.0%	87.1%	80.5%
Brazil	Três Barras do Paraná	2017	84.5%	87.6%	81.0%
Brazil	Três Ca- choeiras	2000	76.7%	81.2%	71.8%
Brazil	Três Ca- choeiras	2017	77.7%	82.0%	72.9%
Brazil	Três Corações	2000	84.6%	87.7%	80.6%
Brazil	Três Corações	2017	85.1%	88.1%	81.2%
Brazil	Três Coroas	2000	80.9%	83.9%	77.5%
Brazil	Três Coroas	2017	81.5%	84.4%	78.2%
Brazil	Três de Maio	2000	81.2%	85.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Três de Maio	2017	81.8%	86.3%	77.0%
Brazil	Três Forquil- has	2000	78.4%	82.6%	73.6%
Brazil	Três Forquil- has	2017	79.0%	83.2%	74.3%
Brazil	Três Fron- teiras	2000	86.8%	90.9%	82.9%
Brazil	Três Fron- teiras	2017	87.2%	91.2%	83.5%
Brazil	Três Lagoas	2000	85.5%	88.4%	82.0%
Brazil	Três Lagoas	2017	86.0%	88.8%	82.6%
Brazil	Três Marias	2000	81.9%	86.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Três Marias	2017	82.5%	87.4%	76.2%
Brazil	Três Palmeiras	2000	79.5%	82.8%	76.1%
Brazil	Três Palmeiras	2017	80.1%	83.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Três Passos	2000	81.2%	85.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Três Passos	2017	81.8%	85.9%	77.5%
Brazil	Três Pontas	2000	84.0%	87.5%	80.0%
Brazil	Três Pontas	2017	84.5%	87.9%	80.6%
Brazil	Três Ranchos	2000	77.9%	83.2%	72.2%
Brazil	Três Ranchos	2017	78.5%	83.7%	73.0%
Brazil	Três Rios	2000	76.9%	80.0%	73.3%
Brazil	Três Rios	2017	77.6%	80.6%	74.1%
Brazil	Treviso	2000	76.6%	80.4%	72.1%
Brazil	Treviso	2017	77.3%	81.0%	72.8%
Brazil	Treze de Maio	2000	73.7%	77.9%	69.3%
Brazil	Treze de Maio	2017	74.4%	78.5%	70.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Treze Tílias	2000	76.3%	80.3%	72.3%
Brazil	Treze Tílias	2017	77.0%	80.9%	73.0%
Brazil	Trindade	2000	78.3%	80.6%	76.3%
Brazil	Trindade	2000	67.9%	73.0%	63.5%
Brazil	Trindade	2017	68.8%	73.8%	64.4%
Brazil	Trindade	2017	79.1%	81.3%	77.1%
Brazil	Trindade do Sul	2000	79.2%	82.7%	75.7%
Brazil	Trindade do Sul	2017	79.9%	83.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	72.6%	76.2%	68.9%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	80.8%	83.6%	77.8%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	68.4%	73.0%	63.1%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	73.4%	76.9%	69.7%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	69.3%	73.8%	64.0%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	81.4%	84.1%	78.5%
Brazil	Triunfo Potiguar	2000	80.3%	83.3%	77.0%
Brazil	Triunfo Potiguar	2017	80.9%	83.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Trizidela do Vale	2000	59.9%	65.3%	54.8%
Brazil	Trizidela do Vale	2017	60.9%	66.2%	55.7%
Brazil	Trombas	2000	78.7%	84.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Trombas	2017	79.3%	84.5%	73.8%
Brazil	Trombudo Central	2000	72.8%	77.1%	68.2%
Brazil	Trombudo Central	2017	73.6%	77.8%	69.1%
Brazil	Tubarão	2000	73.8%	78.2%	68.8%
Brazil	Tubarão	2017	75.0%	79.3%	70.2%
Brazil	Tucano	2000	77.4%	81.4%	72.9%
Brazil	Tucano	2017	78.1%	82.0%	73.7%
Brazil	Tucumã	2000	39.0%	46.9%	31.9%
Brazil	Tucumã	2017	39.9%	47.9%	32.8%
Brazil	Tucunduva	2000	80.3%	84.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Tucunduva	2017	80.9%	85.4%	75.7%
Brazil	Tucuruí	2000	38.5%	43.2%	32.7%
Brazil	Tucuruí	2017	39.4%	44.1%	33.5%
Brazil	Tufilândia	2000	60.0%	65.4%	55.4%
Brazil	Tufilândia	2017	60.9%	66.3%	56.4%
Brazil	Tuiuti	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Tuiuti	2017	92.5%	93.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Tumiritinga	2000	78.1%	82.7%	73.5%
Brazil	Tumiritinga	2017	78.7%	83.2%	74.3%
Brazil	Tunápolis	2000	79.6%	83.8%	75.5%
Brazil	Tunápolis	2017	80.2%	84.2%	76.1%
Brazil	Tunas	2000	82.5%	85.9%	78.3%
Brazil	Tunas	2017	83.1%	86.4%	79.0%
Brazil	Tunas do Paraná	2000	87.1%	89.9%	84.0%
Brazil	Tunas do Paraná	2017	87.5%	90.3%	84.5%
Brazil	Tuneiras do Oeste	2000	84.2%	87.4%	80.8%
Brazil	Tuneiras do Oeste	2017	84.8%	87.8%	81.4%
Brazil	Tuntum	2000	61.9%	67.2%	56.7%
Brazil	Tuntum	2017	62.8%	68.1%	57.7%
Brazil	Tupã	2000	92.2%	94.4%	89.7%
Brazil	Tupã	2017	92.5%	94.6%	90.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Tupaciguara	2000	81.7%	85.5%	77.0%
Brazil	Tupaciguara	2017	82.3%	86.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Tupanatinga	2000	63.2%	67.3%	58.7%
Brazil	Tupanatinga	2017	64.0%	68.2%	59.6%
Brazil	Tupanci do Sul	2000	78.5%	82.3%	74.2%
Brazil	Tupanci do Sul	2017	79.1%	82.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Tupanciretã	2000	84.4%	87.9%	80.1%
Brazil	Tupanciretã	2017	84.9%	88.3%	80.7%
Brazil	Tupandi	2000	82.4%	85.1%	79.7%
Brazil	Tupandi	2017	83.0%	85.6%	80.4%
Brazil	Tuparendi	2000	82.2%	86.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Tuparendi	2017	82.8%	87.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Tuparetama	2000	67.6%	71.0%	63.4%
Brazil	Tuparetama	2017	68.4%	71.8%	64.3%
Brazil	Tupãssi	2000	84.6%	88.1%	80.8%
Brazil	Tupãssi	2017	85.1%	88.5%	81.4%
Brazil	Tupi Paulista	2000	88.8%	91.3%	85.6%
Brazil	Tupi Paulista	2017	89.2%	91.6%	86.1%
Brazil	Tupirama	2000	75.1%	79.3%	70.9%
Brazil	Tupirama	2017	75.8%	79.9%	71.7%
Brazil	Tupiratins	2000	73.6%	78.7%	68.8%
Brazil	Tupiratins	2017	74.3%	79.3%	69.6%
Brazil	Turiaçu	2000	52.8%	59.7%	46.5%
Brazil	Turiaçu	2017	53.9%	60.8%	47.7%
Brazil	Turilândia	2000	57.0%	62.8%	50.7%
Brazil	Turilândia	2017	58.0%	63.7%	51.7%
Brazil	Turiúba	2000	91.3%	93.7%	88.5%
Brazil	Turiúba	2017	91.6%	94.0%	88.9%
Brazil	Turmalina	2000	88.1%	91.3%	84.4%
Brazil	Turmalina	2000	82.2%	87.0%	77.1%
Brazil	Turmalina	2017	82.7%	87.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Turmalina	2017	88.5%	91.6%	84.9%
Brazil	Turuçu	2000	80.9%	84.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Turuçu	2017	81.5%	85.0%	76.8%
Brazil	Tururu	2000	70.2%	73.6%	65.8%
Brazil	Tururu	2017	72.1%	75.3%	67.8%
Brazil	Turvânia	2000	76.2%	79.8%	71.8%
Brazil	Turvânia	2017	76.9%	80.5%	72.6%
Brazil	Turvelândia	2000	76.9%	81.3%	72.0%
Brazil	Turvelândia	2017	77.6%	81.9%	72.8%
Brazil	Turvo	2000	79.5%	83.2%	75.4%
Brazil	Turvo	2000	83.5%	87.8%	79.5%
Brazil	Turvo	2017	80.1%	83.8%	76.1%
Brazil	Turvo	2017	84.0%	88.2%	80.1%
Brazil	Turvolandia	2000	85.9%	88.8%	82.5%
Brazil	Turvolandia	2017	86.3%	89.2%	83.1%
Brazil	Tutoia	2000	60.2%	65.5%	55.2%
Brazil	Tutoia	2017	61.1%	66.3%	56.2%
Brazil	Tutóia	2000	60.1%	65.7%	54.6%
Brazil	Tutóia	2017	61.0%	66.6%	55.6%
Brazil	Uarini	2000	57.4%	63.9%	50.4%
Brazil	Uarini	2017	58.4%	64.8%	51.4%
Brazil	Uauá	2000	74.5%	79.9%	68.4%
Brazil	Uauá	2017	75.2%	80.5%	69.2%
Brazil	Ubá	2000	80.7%	83.9%	76.9%
Brazil	Ubá	2017	81.3%	84.5%	77.6%
Brazil	Ubaí	2000	81.7%	87.1%	75.2%
Brazil	Ubaí	2017	82.3%	87.6%	75.9%
Brazil	Ubaíra	2000	79.4%	83.0%	74.3%
Brazil	Ubaíra	2017	80.0%	83.5%	75.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ubaitaba	2000	75.4%	80.2%	70.3%
Brazil	Ubaitaba	2017	76.3%	80.9%	71.4%
Brazil	Ubajara	2000	69.4%	73.3%	65.2%
Brazil	Ubajara	2017	70.2%	74.1%	66.1%
Brazil	Ubaporanga	2000	82.7%	86.2%	78.5%
Brazil	Ubaporanga	2017	83.2%	86.7%	79.1%
Brazil	Ubarana	2000	92.7%	94.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Ubarana	2017	93.0%	95.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Ubatã	2000	76.8%	81.2%	72.4%
Brazil	Ubatã	2017	77.5%	81.8%	73.2%
Brazil	Ubatuba	2000	88.3%	91.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Ubatuba	2017	88.7%	91.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Uberaba	2000	86.6%	89.7%	82.1%
Brazil	Uberaba	2017	87.1%	90.0%	82.7%
Brazil	Uberlândia	2000	82.6%	86.2%	78.3%
Brazil	Uberlândia	2017	83.2%	86.7%	79.0%
Brazil	Ubirajara	2000	92.4%	94.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Ubirajara	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Ubiratã	2000	83.1%	86.8%	78.7%
Brazil	Ubiratã	2017	83.6%	87.3%	79.4%
Brazil	Ubiretama	2000	81.4%	85.9%	76.2%
Brazil	Ubiretama	2017	82.0%	86.4%	77.0%
Brazil	Uchoa	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Uchoa	2017	92.7%	94.4%	90.6%
Brazil	Uibaí	2000	75.2%	81.1%	68.1%
Brazil	Uibaí	2017	76.0%	81.7%	69.0%
Brazil	Uiramutã	2000	88.2%	91.8%	83.7%
Brazil	Uiramutã	2017	88.6%	92.1%	84.2%
Brazil	Uirapuru	2000	75.5%	81.3%	67.8%
Brazil	Uirapuru	2017	76.2%	81.9%	68.7%
Brazil	Uiraúna	2000	75.5%	79.0%	72.1%
Brazil	Uiraúna	2017	76.2%	79.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Ulianópolis	2000	50.4%	57.0%	43.7%
Brazil	Ulianópolis	2017	51.4%	57.9%	44.8%
Brazil	Umari	2000	71.9%	75.7%	68.5%
Brazil	Umari	2017	72.7%	76.4%	69.4%
Brazil	Umarizal	2000	77.7%	80.7%	74.1%
Brazil	Umarizal	2017	78.4%	81.3%	74.9%
Brazil	Umbauba	2000	79.2%	82.2%	76.1%
Brazil	Umbauba	2017	79.9%	82.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Umburanas	2000	75.4%	81.6%	68.4%
Brazil	Umburanas	2017	76.1%	82.2%	69.2%
Brazil	Umburatiba	2000	79.1%	83.6%	73.6%
Brazil	Umburatiba	2017	79.7%	84.1%	74.3%
Brazil	Umbuzeiro	2000	63.6%	67.4%	60.6%
Brazil	Umbuzeiro	2017	64.6%	68.2%	61.6%
Brazil	Umirim	2000	71.6%	74.8%	67.6%
Brazil	Umirim	2017	72.4%	75.5%	68.5%
Brazil	Umuarama	2000	85.1%	88.3%	81.8%
Brazil	Umuarama	2017	85.6%	88.7%	82.4%
Brazil	Una	2000	75.9%	80.4%	70.1%
Brazil	Una	2017	76.6%	81.0%	71.0%
Brazil	Unaí	2000	86.3%	90.5%	81.1%
Brazil	Unaí	2017	86.7%	90.8%	81.7%
Brazil	União	2000	60.3%	64.1%	56.8%
Brazil	União	2017	61.3%	65.0%	57.7%
Brazil	União da Serra	2000	81.1%	84.6%	77.3%
Brazil	União da Serra	2017	81.7%	85.1%	78.0%
Brazil	União da Vitória	2000	80.1%	84.4%	75.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	União da Vitória	2017	80.7%	84.9%	76.1%
Brazil	União de Minas	2000	84.3%	88.1%	80.3%
Brazil	União de Minas	2017	84.8%	88.5%	80.9%
Brazil	União do Oeste	2000	77.6%	82.0%	73.2%
Brazil	União do Oeste	2017	78.3%	82.5%	74.0%
Brazil	União do Sul	2000	66.2%	73.3%	58.3%
Brazil	União do Sul	2017	67.0%	74.1%	59.2%
Brazil	União dos Palmares	2000	62.5%	65.2%	59.2%
Brazil	União dos Palmares	2017	63.4%	66.1%	60.2%
Brazil	União Paulista	2000	92.1%	94.3%	89.4%
Brazil	União Paulista	2017	92.4%	94.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Uniflor	2000	84.4%	87.2%	81.3%
Brazil	Uniflor	2017	84.9%	87.7%	81.9%
Brazil	Unistalda	2000	82.7%	86.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Unistalda	2017	83.3%	87.2%	77.6%
Brazil	Upanema	2000	81.0%	83.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Upanema	2017	81.6%	84.3%	78.3%
Brazil	Uraí	2000	86.9%	88.9%	84.1%
Brazil	Uraí	2017	87.3%	89.3%	84.6%
Brazil	Urundi	2000	78.9%	83.9%	72.7%
Brazil	Urundi	2017	79.5%	84.4%	73.5%
Brazil	Urânia	2000	89.0%	92.2%	85.7%
Brazil	Urânia	2017	89.4%	92.5%	86.2%
Brazil	Urbano Santos	2000	62.5%	68.0%	55.9%
Brazil	Urbano Santos	2017	63.4%	68.9%	56.9%
Brazil	urea	2000	78.0%	81.9%	73.9%
Brazil	urea	2017	78.7%	82.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Uru	2000	93.1%	95.0%	90.7%
Brazil	Uru	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.0%
Brazil	Uruaçu	2000	80.6%	85.1%	76.4%
Brazil	Uruaçu	2017	81.2%	85.6%	77.1%
Brazil	Uruana	2000	78.3%	82.1%	74.5%
Brazil	Uruana	2017	79.0%	82.7%	75.2%
Brazil	Uruana de Minas	2000	85.0%	90.1%	78.9%
Brazil	Uruana de Minas	2017	85.5%	90.4%	79.5%
Brazil	Uruará	2000	40.2%	47.7%	32.9%
Brazil	Uruará	2017	41.1%	48.6%	33.8%
Brazil	Urubici	2000	78.2%	82.1%	73.4%
Brazil	Urubici	2017	79.0%	82.7%	74.2%
Brazil	Uruburetama	2000	72.0%	75.4%	68.0%
Brazil	Uruburetama	2017	72.8%	76.2%	69.0%
Brazil	Urucânia	2000	80.9%	84.7%	76.6%
Brazil	Urucânia	2017	81.5%	85.2%	77.3%
Brazil	Urucará	2000	59.1%	64.7%	53.5%
Brazil	Urucará	2017	60.0%	65.6%	54.4%
Brazil	Uruçuca	2000	74.7%	79.1%	69.7%
Brazil	Uruçuca	2017	75.4%	79.8%	70.6%
Brazil	Uruçuí	2000	61.7%	68.4%	53.6%
Brazil	Uruçuí	2017	62.5%	69.2%	54.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Urucuaia	2000	83.0%	88.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Urucuaia	2017	83.9%	89.4%	78.5%
Brazil	Urucurituba	2000	59.9%	64.5%	53.7%
Brazil	Urucurituba	2017	60.9%	65.4%	54.6%
Brazil	Uruguaiana	2000	82.4%	89.2%	74.5%
Brazil	Uruguaiana	2017	82.9%	89.5%	75.2%
Brazil	Uruoca	2000	67.7%	72.3%	62.8%
Brazil	Uruoca	2017	68.6%	73.1%	63.7%
Brazil	Urupá	2000	27.9%	31.1%	24.6%
Brazil	Urupá	2017	28.6%	31.9%	25.4%
Brazil	Urupema	2000	80.8%	84.8%	76.4%
Brazil	Urupema	2017	81.4%	85.3%	77.1%
Brazil	Urupês	2000	92.9%	94.6%	90.7%
Brazil	Urupês	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.1%
Brazil	Urussanga	2000	73.1%	77.3%	68.9%
Brazil	Urussanga	2017	73.8%	78.0%	69.8%
Brazil	Urutaí	2000	78.7%	82.9%	73.5%
Brazil	Urutaí	2017	79.3%	83.5%	74.3%
Brazil	Utinga	2000	79.8%	84.8%	75.0%
Brazil	Utinga	2017	80.4%	85.3%	75.7%
Brazil	Vacaria	2000	81.2%	85.0%	77.1%
Brazil	Vacaria	2017	81.8%	85.5%	77.7%
Brazil	Vale do Anari	2000	31.9%	36.3%	26.9%
Brazil	Vale do Anari	2017	32.9%	37.3%	27.7%
Brazil	Vale do Paraíso	2000	30.4%	33.8%	26.7%
Brazil	Vale do Paraíso	2017	31.2%	34.7%	27.5%
Brazil	Vale do Sol	2000	81.0%	84.5%	76.4%
Brazil	Vale do Sol	2017	81.6%	85.0%	77.2%
Brazil	Vale Real	2000	81.3%	84.1%	78.6%
Brazil	Vale Real	2017	81.9%	84.7%	79.2%
Brazil	Vale Verde	2000	81.0%	84.7%	76.9%
Brazil	Vale Verde	2017	81.6%	85.2%	77.6%
Brazil	Valença	2000	73.0%	77.7%	68.3%
Brazil	Valença	2017	73.7%	78.4%	69.2%
Brazil	Valença do Piauí	2000	61.3%	67.0%	56.1%
Brazil	Valença do Piauí	2017	62.2%	67.9%	57.1%
Brazil	Valencia	2000	81.3%	84.3%	78.3%
Brazil	Valencia	2017	81.9%	84.8%	79.0%
Brazil	Valente	2000	79.0%	82.7%	74.1%
Brazil	Valente	2017	79.6%	83.3%	74.9%
Brazil	Valentim Gentil	2000	90.6%	93.6%	87.6%
Brazil	Valentim Gentil	2017	90.9%	93.8%	88.0%
Brazil	Valinhos	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Valinhos	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Valparaíso	2000	90.5%	93.0%	87.6%
Brazil	Valparaíso	2017	90.8%	93.2%	88.0%
Brazil	Valparaíso de Goiás	2000	90.7%	91.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Valparaíso de Goiás	2017	91.0%	92.1%	89.8%
Brazil	Vanini	2000	80.6%	84.2%	76.3%
Brazil	Vanini	2017	81.2%	84.7%	77.0%
Brazil	Vargeão	2000	76.9%	81.3%	72.0%
Brazil	Vargeão	2017	77.6%	81.9%	72.9%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	92.3%	93.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	75.4%	79.8%	69.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Vargem	2017	76.1%	80.4%	70.6%
Brazil	Vargem	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	81.2%	84.9%	76.8%
	Alegre				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	81.8%	85.3%	77.4%
	Alegre				
Brazil	Vargem Alta	2000	80.1%	82.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Vargem Alta	2017	80.7%	83.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	77.6%	81.7%	73.1%
	Bonita				
Brazil	Vargem	2000	83.7%	88.1%	78.7%
	Bonita				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	84.3%	88.6%	79.5%
	Bonita				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	78.2%	82.2%	73.8%
	Bonita				
Brazil	Vargem	2000	60.3%	65.3%	54.9%
	Grande				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	61.3%	66.2%	55.9%
	Grande				
Brazil	Vargem	2000	81.5%	86.4%	74.6%
	Grande do				
	Rio Pardo				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	82.1%	86.8%	75.3%
	Grande do				
	Rio Pardo				
Brazil	Vargem	2000	91.3%	93.3%	88.8%
	Grande do Sul				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	91.6%	93.5%	89.2%
	Grande do Sul				
Brazil	Vargem	2000	94.0%	95.0%	93.0%
	Grande				
	Paulista				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.3%
	Grande				
	Paulista				
Brazil	Varginha	2000	84.7%	87.7%	80.9%
Brazil	Varginha	2017	85.2%	88.1%	81.5%
Brazil	Varjao	2000	75.7%	79.1%	72.0%
Brazil	Varjao	2017	76.4%	79.7%	72.7%
Brazil	Varjão de Mi-	2000	80.6%	86.7%	73.0%
	nas				
Brazil	Varjão de Mi-	2017	81.2%	87.1%	73.8%
	nas				
Brazil	Varjota	2000	66.0%	70.6%	61.5%
Brazil	Varjota	2017	66.9%	71.4%	62.4%
Brazil	Varre-Sai	2000	80.2%	83.6%	77.0%
Brazil	Varre-Sai	2017	80.8%	84.1%	77.7%
Brazil	Várzea	2000	78.7%	80.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Várzea	2017	79.2%	81.1%	77.4%
Brazil	Várzea Alegre	2000	69.4%	73.0%	65.5%
Brazil	Várzea Alegre	2017	70.9%	74.3%	67.1%
Brazil	Várzea Branca	2000	65.1%	70.6%	57.3%
Brazil	Várzea Branca	2017	65.8%	71.2%	58.2%
Brazil	Várzea da	2000	81.1%	86.6%	75.1%
	Palma				
Brazil	Várzea da	2017	82.9%	87.9%	77.2%
	Palma				
Brazil	Várzea da	2000	78.9%	83.2%	74.6%
	Roça				
Brazil	Várzea da	2017	79.6%	83.7%	75.3%
	Roça				

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Várzea do Poço	2000	79.1%	83.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Várzea do Poço	2017	79.8%	84.0%	75.5%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2000	68.3%	71.2%	65.3%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2000	61.4%	65.9%	56.2%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2017	69.1%	72.0%	66.2%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2017	62.4%	66.9%	57.2%
Brazil	Várzea Nova	2000	80.4%	85.1%	75.7%
Brazil	Várzea Nova	2017	81.0%	85.6%	76.4%
Brazil	Várzea Paulista	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Várzea Paulista	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Varzedo	2000	78.9%	82.6%	74.5%
Brazil	Varzedo	2017	79.6%	83.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Varzelândia	2000	81.6%	86.6%	75.8%
Brazil	Varzelândia	2017	81.9%	86.8%	76.1%
Brazil	Vassouras	2000	80.4%	83.1%	77.4%
Brazil	Vassouras	2017	81.1%	83.6%	78.1%
Brazil	Venâncio Aires	2000	80.7%	84.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Venâncio Aires	2017	82.0%	85.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Venceslau Bras	2000	88.7%	91.3%	85.6%
Brazil	Venceslau Bras	2017	89.1%	91.6%	86.1%
Brazil	Venda Nova do Imigrante	2000	80.9%	84.0%	78.0%
Brazil	Venda Nova do Imigrante	2017	81.5%	84.5%	78.7%
Brazil	Venha-Ver	2000	76.1%	80.0%	72.2%
Brazil	Venha-Ver	2017	76.7%	80.5%	72.8%
Brazil	Ventania	2000	86.2%	89.0%	82.7%
Brazil	Ventania	2017	86.6%	89.4%	83.2%
Brazil	Venturosa	2000	65.8%	69.9%	61.4%
Brazil	Venturosa	2017	66.7%	70.7%	62.3%
Brazil	Vera	2000	67.9%	74.9%	61.8%
Brazil	Vera	2017	68.7%	75.6%	62.7%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	73.7%	77.0%	70.1%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	81.1%	84.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	81.7%	83.7%	79.5%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	93.4%	95.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	82.6%	84.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	81.7%	85.3%	77.0%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	74.5%	77.7%	71.0%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Vera Cruz do Oeste	2000	84.8%	88.0%	81.4%
Brazil	Vera Cruz do Oeste	2017	85.3%	88.4%	82.0%
Brazil	Vera Mendes	2000	59.4%	65.0%	53.9%
Brazil	Vera Mendes	2017	60.4%	65.9%	54.9%
Brazil	Veranópolis	2000	81.9%	85.2%	78.7%
Brazil	Veranópolis	2017	82.5%	85.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Verdejante	2000	67.8%	71.9%	63.1%
Brazil	Verdejante	2017	68.6%	72.7%	64.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Verdelândia	2000	81.2%	86.0%	75.4%
Brazil	Verdelândia	2017	81.8%	86.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Verê	2000	81.8%	85.5%	77.4%
Brazil	Verê	2017	82.3%	86.0%	78.0%
Brazil	Vereda	2000	80.3%	84.7%	74.6%
Brazil	Vereda	2017	80.9%	85.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Veredinha	2000	82.3%	87.1%	76.8%
Brazil	Veredinha	2017	82.9%	87.5%	77.5%
Brazil	Veríssimo	2000	86.0%	89.1%	82.0%
Brazil	Veríssimo	2017	86.5%	89.5%	82.6%
Brazil	Vermelho	2000	82.1%	85.8%	78.3%
Brazil	Novo Vermelho	2017	82.7%	86.2%	79.0%
Brazil	Vertente do Lério	2000	64.3%	67.8%	60.7%
Brazil	Vertente do Lério	2017	65.2%	68.7%	61.7%
Brazil	Vertentes	2000	68.7%	72.0%	65.5%
Brazil	Vertentes	2017	69.5%	72.7%	66.3%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2000	81.7%	84.1%	78.7%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2017	82.7%	85.1%	79.9%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2000	82.3%	85.6%	78.8%
Brazil	Correa Vespasiano	2017	82.9%	86.2%	79.5%
Brazil	Viadutos	2000	77.1%	80.9%	72.6%
Brazil	Viadutos	2017	77.8%	81.5%	73.4%
Brazil	Viamão	2000	83.0%	85.1%	81.0%
Brazil	Viamão	2017	83.6%	85.6%	81.6%
Brazil	Viana	2000	60.7%	64.9%	56.1%
Brazil	Viana	2000	75.8%	77.9%	73.2%
Brazil	Viana	2017	76.4%	78.6%	73.9%
Brazil	Viana	2017	61.6%	65.8%	57.1%
Brazil	Vianópolis	2000	80.6%	83.6%	77.3%
Brazil	Vianópolis	2017	81.3%	84.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Vicência	2000	67.0%	70.2%	64.1%
Brazil	Vicência	2017	67.9%	71.0%	65.0%
Brazil	Vicente Dutra	2000	77.1%	81.2%	72.2%
Brazil	Vicente Dutra	2017	77.7%	81.7%	72.9%
Brazil	Vicentina	2000	79.9%	83.4%	76.2%
Brazil	Vicentina	2017	80.5%	83.9%	76.9%
Brazil	Vicentinópolis	2000	77.0%	81.1%	72.4%
Brazil	Vicentinópolis	2017	77.7%	81.7%	73.2%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	78.4%	81.6%	75.1%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	59.4%	62.7%	56.5%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	81.9%	85.0%	77.9%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	79.0%	82.2%	75.8%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	60.3%	63.6%	57.4%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	82.5%	85.5%	78.6%
Brazil	Viçosa do Ceará	2000	69.6%	73.5%	65.1%
Brazil	Viçosa do Ceará	2017	70.4%	74.3%	66.0%
Brazil	Victor Graeff	2000	81.5%	85.2%	77.1%
Brazil	Victor Graeff	2017	82.1%	85.7%	77.7%
Brazil	Victorino Freire	2000	61.3%	66.5%	56.5%
Brazil	Victorino Freire	2017	62.2%	67.4%	57.5%
Brazil	Vidal Ramos	2000	75.0%	78.6%	70.7%
Brazil	Vidal Ramos	2017	75.8%	79.3%	71.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Vieira	2000	77.1%	81.7%	73.3%
Brazil	Vieira	2017	77.8%	82.3%	74.0%
Brazil	Vieiras	2000	81.4%	84.8%	77.8%
Brazil	Vieiras	2017	82.0%	85.3%	78.5%
Brazil	Vieirópolis	2000	74.7%	77.9%	71.2%
Brazil	Vieirópolis	2017	75.4%	78.6%	72.0%
Brazil	Vigia	2000	35.0%	40.0%	30.9%
Brazil	Vigia	2017	35.9%	40.9%	31.7%
Brazil	Vila Alta	2000	82.8%	86.0%	78.2%
Brazil	Vila Alta	2017	83.3%	86.4%	78.8%
Brazil	Vila Boa	2000	85.4%	89.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Vila Boa	2017	85.9%	89.5%	81.3%
Brazil	Vila Flor	2000	75.7%	78.8%	72.5%
Brazil	Vila Flor	2017	76.5%	79.5%	73.3%
Brazil	Vila Flores	2000	81.4%	84.8%	78.2%
Brazil	Vila Flores	2017	82.0%	85.3%	78.9%
Brazil	Vila Lângaro	2000	80.1%	83.9%	75.7%
Brazil	Vila Lângaro	2017	80.7%	84.4%	76.4%
Brazil	Vila Maria	2000	80.8%	84.1%	76.4%
Brazil	Vila Maria	2017	81.4%	84.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Piauí	2000	63.6%	68.0%	59.5%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Piauí	2017	64.5%	68.8%	60.4%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Sul	2000	81.9%	86.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Sul	2017	82.4%	87.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Vila Nova dos Martírios	2000	57.7%	62.6%	52.4%
Brazil	Vila Nova dos Martírios	2017	59.1%	63.8%	53.9%
Brazil	Vila Pavão	2000	78.6%	82.5%	74.8%
Brazil	Vila Pavão	2017	79.2%	83.0%	75.5%
Brazil	Vila Propício	2000	83.8%	86.7%	80.3%
Brazil	Vila Propício	2017	84.3%	87.1%	81.0%
Brazil	Vila Rica	2000	61.6%	70.0%	52.5%
Brazil	Vila Rica	2017	62.5%	70.8%	53.5%
Brazil	Vila Valério	2000	78.7%	81.8%	75.2%
Brazil	Vila Valério	2017	79.3%	82.3%	75.9%
Brazil	Vila Velha	2000	75.8%	78.1%	73.2%
Brazil	Vila Velha	2017	76.5%	78.7%	73.9%
Brazil	Vilhena	2000	38.0%	43.0%	32.8%
Brazil	Vilhena	2017	38.9%	44.0%	33.7%
Brazil	Vinhedo	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Vinhedo	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Viradouro	2000	91.9%	93.9%	89.5%
Brazil	Viradouro	2017	92.2%	94.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Virgem da Lapa	2000	81.6%	86.3%	75.4%
Brazil	Virgem da Lapa	2017	82.2%	86.8%	76.1%
Brazil	Virgínia	2000	88.1%	90.5%	85.5%
Brazil	Virgínia	2017	88.5%	90.8%	85.9%
Brazil	Virginópolis	2000	81.6%	85.9%	75.9%
Brazil	Virginópolis	2017	82.2%	86.4%	76.6%
Brazil	Virgolândia	2000	81.5%	86.1%	76.0%
Brazil	Virgolândia	2017	82.1%	86.5%	76.7%
Brazil	Virmond	2000	82.6%	86.4%	78.4%
Brazil	Virmond	2017	83.1%	86.8%	79.1%
Brazil	Visconde do Rio Branco	2000	81.0%	84.2%	77.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Visconde do Rio Branco	2017	81.6%	84.7%	77.7%
Brazil	Viseu	2000	44.4%	49.9%	39.5%
Brazil	Viseu	2017	45.3%	50.9%	40.4%
Brazil	Vista Alegre	2000	79.3%	83.0%	74.3%
Brazil	Vista Alegre	2017	79.9%	83.5%	75.1%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Alto	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Alto	2017	93.3%	94.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Prata	2000	81.2%	84.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Prata	2017	81.8%	85.0%	78.5%
Brazil	Vista Gaúcha	2000	77.9%	82.2%	72.8%
Brazil	Vista Gaúcha	2017	78.5%	82.8%	73.5%
Brazil	Vitor Meireles	2000	75.0%	79.5%	70.5%
Brazil	Vitor Meireles	2017	75.8%	80.1%	71.4%
Brazil	Vitoria	2000	76.7%	78.8%	74.1%
Brazil	Vitoria	2017	77.3%	79.4%	74.9%
Brazil	Vitória Brasil	2000	88.7%	92.0%	85.3%
Brazil	Vitória Brasil	2017	89.1%	92.3%	85.8%
Brazil	Vitória da Conquista	2000	81.6%	85.5%	76.9%
Brazil	Vitória da Conquista	2017	82.2%	86.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Vitória das Misses	2000	81.5%	85.7%	76.4%
Brazil	Vitória das Misses	2017	82.1%	86.2%	77.2%
Brazil	Vitória de Santo Antônio	2000	67.1%	69.8%	64.5%
Brazil	Vitória de Santo Antônio	2017	68.1%	70.8%	65.7%
Brazil	Vitória do Jari	2000	46.8%	52.3%	41.2%
Brazil	Vitória do Jari	2017	47.8%	53.3%	42.2%
Brazil	Vitória do Mearim	2000	60.4%	64.9%	55.5%
Brazil	Vitória do Mearim	2017	61.3%	65.8%	56.4%
Brazil	Vitória do Xingu	2000	39.6%	48.3%	30.4%
Brazil	Vitória do Xingu	2017	41.7%	50.5%	32.3%
Brazil	Vitorino	2000	81.9%	86.1%	77.9%
Brazil	Vitorino	2017	82.5%	86.6%	78.6%
Brazil	Volta Grande	2000	78.0%	81.5%	73.8%
Brazil	Volta Grande	2017	78.7%	82.1%	74.6%
Brazil	Volta Redonda	2000	81.7%	84.2%	79.1%
Brazil	Volta Redonda	2017	82.3%	84.7%	79.8%
Brazil	Votorantim	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Votorantim	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Votuporanga	2000	91.2%	93.9%	88.5%
Brazil	Votuporanga	2017	91.5%	94.1%	88.8%
Brazil	Wagner	2000	78.9%	84.3%	72.9%
Brazil	Wagner	2017	79.5%	84.8%	73.7%
Brazil	Wall Ferraz	2000	59.7%	65.1%	54.0%
Brazil	Wall Ferraz	2017	60.7%	66.0%	55.0%
Brazil	Wanderlândia	2000	74.2%	77.6%	70.4%
Brazil	Wanderlândia	2017	75.0%	78.3%	71.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Wenceslau Braz	2000	89.3%	91.7%	86.8%
Brazil	Wenceslau Braz	2017	89.7%	92.0%	87.3%
Brazil	Wenceslau Guimarães	2000	76.6%	80.6%	72.4%
Brazil	Wenceslau Guimarães	2017	77.6%	81.4%	73.5%
Brazil	Witmarsum	2000	74.4%	78.7%	69.9%
Brazil	Witmarsum	2017	75.1%	79.3%	70.7%
Brazil	Xambioá	2000	66.0%	70.5%	60.8%
Brazil	Xambioá	2017	67.0%	71.4%	61.9%
Brazil	Xambrê	2000	83.2%	86.1%	79.8%
Brazil	Xambrê	2017	83.7%	86.5%	80.4%
Brazil	Xangri-lá	2000	78.8%	83.1%	73.8%
Brazil	Xangri-lá	2017	79.4%	83.6%	74.5%
Brazil	Xanxerê	2000	77.1%	81.6%	72.5%
Brazil	Xanxerê	2017	77.8%	82.2%	73.2%
Brazil	Xapuri	2000	39.8%	44.4%	35.7%
Brazil	Xapuri	2017	40.9%	45.6%	36.7%
Brazil	Xavantina	2000	77.0%	81.0%	72.0%
Brazil	Xavantina	2017	77.6%	81.5%	72.7%
Brazil	Xaxim	2000	78.2%	82.3%	73.9%
Brazil	Xaxim	2017	78.4%	82.4%	74.1%
Brazil	Xexéu	2000	62.4%	66.2%	59.2%
Brazil	Xexéu	2017	63.3%	67.0%	60.2%
Brazil	Xinguara	2000	50.0%	56.2%	42.2%
Brazil	Xinguara	2017	51.0%	57.2%	43.2%
Brazil	Xique-Xique	2000	73.2%	80.0%	64.7%
Brazil	Xique-Xique	2017	74.0%	80.6%	65.6%
Brazil	Zabelê	2000	65.1%	69.1%	60.5%
Brazil	Zabelê	2017	66.0%	69.9%	61.4%
Brazil	Zacarias	2000	92.1%	94.2%	89.4%
Brazil	Zacarias	2017	92.4%	94.4%	89.8%
Brazil	Zé Doca	2000	60.7%	66.3%	55.5%
Brazil	Zé Doca	2017	61.6%	67.1%	56.5%
Brazil	Zortéa	2000	76.3%	80.2%	71.7%
Brazil	Zortéa	2017	77.0%	80.8%	72.5%
Colombia	Abejorral	2000	73.1%	99.8%	12.9%
Colombia	Abejorral	2017	51.4%	96.9%	3.5%
Colombia	Abrego	2000	62.4%	93.5%	19.0%
Colombia	Abrego	2017	39.9%	79.4%	8.4%
Colombia	Abriaquí	2000	88.7%	95.4%	70.8%
Colombia	Abriaquí	2017	67.2%	81.1%	41.6%
Colombia	Acacías	2000	88.9%	95.6%	80.3%
Colombia	Acacías	2017	53.3%	66.7%	36.8%
Colombia	Acandí	2000	68.3%	88.9%	53.4%
Colombia	Acandí	2017	45.5%	62.5%	34.9%
Colombia	Acevedo	2000	84.9%	88.9%	80.8%
Colombia	Acevedo	2017	44.7%	49.8%	38.3%
Colombia	Achí	2000	38.7%	51.4%	27.8%
Colombia	Achí	2017	20.6%	30.5%	13.5%
Colombia	Agrado	2000	20.2%	74.6%	1.9%
Colombia	Agrado	2017	9.4%	38.7%	0.3%
Colombia	Agua de Dios	2000	93.6%	97.3%	79.6%
Colombia	Agua de Dios	2017	61.7%	86.2%	26.5%
Colombia	Aguachica	2000	84.0%	92.2%	71.4%
Colombia	Aguachica	2017	36.4%	52.6%	25.9%
Colombia	Aguada	2000	71.0%	100.0%	0.3%
Colombia	Aguada	2017	53.1%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Aguadas	2000	59.0%	99.1%	3.6%
Colombia	Aguadas	2017	38.8%	94.5%	0.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Aguazul	2000	65.6%	84.0%	48.6%
Colombia	Aguazul	2017	26.3%	47.2%	13.6%
Colombia	Agustín Codazzi	2000	74.4%	88.0%	62.1%
Colombia	Agustín Codazzi	2017	43.4%	59.5%	31.7%
Colombia	Aipe	2000	84.5%	98.6%	57.3%
Colombia	Aipe	2017	59.8%	88.7%	18.8%
Colombia	Albán	2000	95.8%	100.0%	71.6%
Colombia	Albán	2000	86.4%	98.9%	66.1%
Colombia	Albán	2017	86.8%	99.8%	38.4%
Colombia	Albán	2017	60.0%	86.2%	49.2%
Colombia	Albania	2000	67.4%	96.7%	21.8%
Colombia	Albania	2000	76.0%	83.7%	71.3%
Colombia	Albania	2017	55.1%	57.4%	53.1%
Colombia	Albania	2017	33.7%	76.5%	6.8%
Colombia	Alcalá	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.4%
Colombia	Alcalá	2017	93.1%	95.7%	86.4%
Colombia	Aldana	2000	19.0%	22.7%	16.7%
Colombia	Aldana	2017	4.2%	5.5%	3.0%
Colombia	Alejandría	2000	49.1%	99.8%	1.7%
Colombia	Alejandría	2017	28.6%	97.8%	0.1%
Colombia	Algeciras	2000	79.2%	88.3%	70.3%
Colombia	Algeciras	2017	59.8%	68.3%	49.5%
Colombia	Almaguer	2000	55.7%	96.3%	7.2%
Colombia	Almaguer	2017	27.0%	82.2%	0.9%
Colombia	Almeida	2000	72.3%	97.0%	31.2%
Colombia	Almeida	2017	32.6%	77.1%	6.7%
Colombia	Alpujarra	2000	66.0%	99.5%	7.7%
Colombia	Alpujarra	2017	45.1%	94.2%	2.7%
Colombia	Altamira	2000	86.2%	98.4%	64.4%
Colombia	Altamira	2017	57.0%	80.3%	28.9%
Colombia	Alto Baudó	2000	30.3%	45.3%	19.1%
Colombia	Alto Baudó	2017	8.0%	17.2%	2.7%
Colombia	Altos del Rosario	2000	81.1%	95.2%	51.5%
Colombia	Altos del Rosario	2017	48.1%	79.1%	9.7%
Colombia	Alvarado	2000	84.0%	97.0%	56.1%
Colombia	Alvarado	2017	55.9%	82.4%	26.3%
Colombia	Amagá	2000	94.2%	98.1%	88.2%
Colombia	Amagá	2017	59.3%	75.2%	38.2%
Colombia	Amalfi	2000	72.4%	96.4%	40.4%
Colombia	Amalfi	2017	40.1%	80.0%	10.5%
Colombia	Ambalema	2000	91.0%	97.5%	74.7%
Colombia	Ambalema	2017	66.0%	82.4%	37.0%
Colombia	Anapoima	2000	45.3%	99.7%	0.7%
Colombia	Anapoima	2017	22.3%	96.9%	0.0%
Colombia	Ancuyá	2000	93.6%	95.3%	90.1%
Colombia	Ancuyá	2017	50.7%	61.7%	33.2%
Colombia	Andalucía	2000	79.4%	85.6%	69.6%
Colombia	Andalucía	2017	54.5%	62.2%	44.6%
Colombia	Andes	2000	86.1%	95.9%	67.8%
Colombia	Andes	2017	58.4%	79.9%	28.2%
Colombia	Angelópolis	2000	98.9%	99.6%	97.3%
Colombia	Angelópolis	2017	86.7%	93.7%	77.0%
Colombia	Angostura	2000	88.7%	95.9%	69.1%
Colombia	Angostura	2017	69.7%	86.7%	37.8%
Colombia	Anolaima	2000	95.5%	99.1%	81.0%
Colombia	Anolaima	2017	87.3%	97.9%	53.3%
Colombia	Anorí	2000	58.5%	96.9%	15.7%
Colombia	Anorí	2017	39.9%	84.0%	3.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Anserma	2000	89.0%	93.0%	84.6%
Colombia	Anserma	2017	58.9%	68.0%	47.0%
Colombia	Ansermanuevo	2000	96.1%	99.8%	83.0%
Colombia	Ansermanuevo	2017	83.6%	97.2%	54.0%
Colombia	Anzá	2000	67.0%	100.0%	4.7%
Colombia	Anzá	2017	49.9%	99.6%	0.8%
Colombia	Anzoátegui	2000	81.7%	99.3%	44.6%
Colombia	Anzoátegui	2017	60.5%	95.9%	14.2%
Colombia	Apartadó	2000	93.0%	95.6%	88.9%
Colombia	Apartadó	2017	55.0%	62.5%	46.8%
Colombia	Apía	2000	56.9%	62.6%	52.4%
Colombia	Apía	2017	25.3%	29.0%	21.6%
Colombia	Apulo	2000	41.1%	84.6%	13.6%
Colombia	Apulo	2017	13.4%	64.7%	3.4%
Colombia	Aquitania	2000	76.2%	89.5%	63.4%
Colombia	Aquitania	2017	42.3%	56.4%	32.0%
Colombia	Aracataca	2000	89.5%	94.3%	83.4%
Colombia	Aracataca	2017	49.7%	59.4%	37.1%
Colombia	Aranzazú	2000	99.0%	99.5%	96.9%
Colombia	Aranzazú	2017	87.8%	93.1%	69.7%
Colombia	Aratoca	2000	55.9%	98.8%	14.7%
Colombia	Aratoca	2017	31.6%	88.0%	8.1%
Colombia	Arauca	2000	81.2%	88.8%	61.3%
Colombia	Arauca	2017	52.1%	62.0%	38.3%
Colombia	Arauquita	2000	85.5%	99.4%	51.6%
Colombia	Arauquita	2017	67.3%	94.9%	26.7%
Colombia	Arbeláez	2000	58.2%	95.0%	11.1%
Colombia	Arbeláez	2017	27.4%	74.4%	0.9%
Colombia	Arboleda	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Colombia	Arboleda	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Colombia	Arboledas	2000	66.2%	100.0%	5.1%
Colombia	Arboledas	2017	50.8%	100.0%	1.1%
Colombia	Arboletes	2000	71.1%	99.1%	26.2%
Colombia	Arboletes	2017	50.6%	91.9%	8.0%
Colombia	Arcabuco	2000	46.9%	81.2%	14.1%
Colombia	Arcabuco	2017	22.0%	55.6%	1.9%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	53.9%	99.3%	8.1%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	54.6%	99.5%	2.1%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	89.7%	99.6%	54.1%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	32.7%	92.0%	3.1%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	33.6%	94.1%	0.2%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	68.4%	95.7%	24.5%
Colombia	Ariguaní	2000	40.6%	58.1%	28.2%
Colombia	Ariguaní	2017	18.5%	29.8%	11.2%
Colombia	Arjona	2000	78.1%	97.9%	33.3%
Colombia	Arjona	2017	45.7%	82.9%	9.6%
Colombia	Armenia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Colombia	Armenia	2000	46.7%	75.8%	17.5%
Colombia	Armenia	2017	84.2%	87.2%	80.8%
Colombia	Armenia	2017	27.4%	56.7%	2.5%
Colombia	Armero	2000	86.7%	94.8%	75.1%
Colombia	Armero	2017	61.4%	74.1%	49.8%
Colombia	Astrea	2000	51.2%	98.8%	0.8%
Colombia	Astrea	2017	36.0%	92.3%	0.1%
Colombia	Ataco	2000	75.9%	83.9%	64.3%
Colombia	Ataco	2017	49.6%	60.5%	37.5%
Colombia	Ayapel	2000	56.8%	85.9%	29.4%
Colombia	Ayapel	2017	37.1%	62.5%	13.8%
Colombia	Bagadó	2000	51.9%	76.5%	27.5%
Colombia	Bagadó	2017	24.8%	48.2%	8.7%
Colombia	Bahía Solano	2000	58.7%	97.8%	5.1%
Colombia	Bahía Solano	2017	43.9%	93.6%	0.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Bajo Baudó	2000	57.5%	81.2%	29.8%
Colombia	Bajo Baudó	2017	41.1%	65.3%	13.4%
Colombia	Balboa	2000	83.8%	100.0%	39.3%
Colombia	Balboa	2000	80.2%	96.3%	55.1%
Colombia	Balboa	2017	47.3%	70.8%	23.4%
Colombia	Balboa	2017	67.8%	99.6%	33.2%
Colombia	Baranoa	2000	91.4%	95.8%	85.6%
Colombia	Baranoa	2017	45.7%	57.1%	34.7%
Colombia	Baraya	2000	87.1%	99.7%	25.3%
Colombia	Baraya	2017	71.5%	97.4%	8.9%
Colombia	Barbacoas	2000	67.9%	85.4%	45.6%
Colombia	Barbacoas	2017	47.9%	70.7%	21.9%
Colombia	Barbosa	2000	93.6%	96.7%	88.8%
Colombia	Barbosa	2000	62.7%	85.2%	41.3%
Colombia	Barbosa	2017	59.9%	69.2%	49.7%
Colombia	Barbosa	2017	22.4%	36.5%	5.0%
Colombia	Barichara	2000	74.0%	100.0%	1.3%
Colombia	Barichara	2017	54.5%	99.9%	0.5%
Colombia	Barranca de Upía	2000	58.4%	93.2%	17.8%
Colombia	Barranca de Upía	2017	30.3%	76.3%	1.7%
Colombia	Barrancabermeja	2000	75.9%	88.7%	64.0%
Colombia	Barrancabermeja	2017	35.7%	49.7%	25.1%
Colombia	Barrancas	2000	89.7%	96.9%	67.1%
Colombia	Barrancas	2017	69.7%	85.5%	42.9%
Colombia	Barranco de Loba	2000	84.6%	97.6%	64.1%
Colombia	Barranco de Loba	2017	60.3%	86.0%	17.6%
Colombia	Barranco Minas	2000	38.9%	53.5%	26.3%
Colombia	Barranco Minas	2017	24.9%	38.3%	13.6%
Colombia	Barranquilla	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.1%
Colombia	Barranquilla	2017	70.1%	72.4%	67.7%
Colombia	Becerril	2000	67.0%	97.1%	17.9%
Colombia	Becerril	2017	45.7%	87.6%	8.4%
Colombia	Belalcázar	2000	49.3%	90.5%	32.5%
Colombia	Belalcázar	2017	33.6%	66.0%	16.4%
Colombia	Belén	2000	67.2%	98.4%	12.2%
Colombia	Belén	2000	87.3%	100.0%	4.4%
Colombia	Belén	2017	23.0%	77.8%	1.0%
Colombia	Belén	2017	78.4%	100.0%	0.4%
Colombia	Belén de los Andaquies	2000	62.4%	93.7%	27.1%
Colombia	Belén de los Andaquies	2017	36.8%	74.0%	7.5%
Colombia	Belén de Umbria	2000	81.5%	84.0%	79.0%
Colombia	Belén de Umbria	2017	27.2%	30.6%	23.5%
Colombia	Bello	2000	97.6%	97.8%	97.3%
Colombia	Bello	2017	69.7%	71.9%	67.7%
Colombia	Belmira	2000	86.8%	100.0%	42.0%
Colombia	Belmira	2017	74.7%	100.0%	19.9%
Colombia	Beltrán	2000	86.9%	99.9%	68.5%
Colombia	Beltrán	2017	76.5%	98.1%	58.0%
Colombia	Berbeo	2000	68.5%	100.0%	0.4%
Colombia	Berbeo	2017	52.4%	99.9%	0.0%
Colombia	Betania	2000	94.0%	98.2%	76.7%
Colombia	Betania	2017	78.7%	90.0%	45.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Beteitiva	2000	89.0%	100.0%	19.6%
Colombia	Beteitiva	2017	75.4%	100.0%	3.3%
Colombia	Betulia	2000	26.4%	46.7%	1.4%
Colombia	Betulia	2000	63.8%	98.5%	16.1%
Colombia	Betulia	2017	10.7%	25.8%	0.1%
Colombia	Betulia	2017	32.4%	86.0%	2.4%
Colombia	Bituima	2000	26.2%	35.4%	21.3%
Colombia	Bituima	2017	15.1%	16.6%	13.4%
Colombia	Boavita	2000	48.4%	66.4%	30.7%
Colombia	Boavita	2017	8.6%	20.5%	3.5%
Colombia	Bochalema	2000	77.2%	99.3%	36.2%
Colombia	Bochalema	2017	50.4%	89.5%	8.8%
Colombia	Bojacá	2000	86.3%	89.6%	82.2%
Colombia	Bojacá	2017	30.9%	35.6%	26.3%
Colombia	Bojayá	2000	45.7%	73.5%	16.6%
Colombia	Bojayá	2017	32.0%	58.7%	5.9%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	85.8%	99.6%	71.3%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	66.9%	86.3%	46.2%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	70.2%	96.1%	43.4%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	74.2%	79.3%	71.4%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	52.0%	85.4%	19.1%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	35.5%	59.9%	18.2%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	71.4%	94.8%	44.0%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	48.3%	55.3%	40.4%
Colombia	Bosconia	2000	30.3%	88.8%	3.1%
Colombia	Bosconia	2017	12.0%	65.7%	0.9%
Colombia	Boyacá	2000	34.3%	77.6%	10.7%
Colombia	Boyacá	2017	5.8%	36.7%	0.9%
Colombia	Briceño	2000	96.4%	98.2%	91.7%
Colombia	Briceño	2000	88.3%	100.0%	34.9%
Colombia	Briceño	2017	80.7%	89.3%	52.5%
Colombia	Briceño	2017	71.4%	99.6%	11.9%
Colombia	Bucaramanga	2000	83.2%	86.3%	80.2%
Colombia	Bucaramanga	2017	46.5%	49.4%	43.8%
Colombia	Bucarasica	2000	85.9%	99.9%	37.2%
Colombia	Bucarasica	2017	66.0%	98.2%	13.1%
Colombia	Buenaventura	2000	90.1%	94.3%	84.4%
Colombia	Buenaventura	2017	65.0%	73.0%	56.2%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	47.7%	75.5%	8.8%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	86.8%	89.0%	84.9%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	58.7%	80.5%	33.0%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	20.7%	55.1%	0.6%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	59.3%	62.1%	56.4%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.3%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	43.8%	65.9%	24.6%
Colombia	Buenos Aires	2000	67.6%	89.0%	55.4%
Colombia	Buenos Aires	2017	45.8%	57.1%	38.2%
Colombia	Buesaco	2000	98.0%	100.0%	85.3%
Colombia	Buesaco	2017	93.0%	100.0%	58.4%
Colombia	Bugalagrande	2000	87.6%	96.8%	71.6%
Colombia	Bugalagrande	2017	70.2%	83.9%	35.6%
Colombia	Buriticá	2000	79.8%	99.0%	36.1%
Colombia	Buriticá	2017	53.8%	89.7%	11.9%
Colombia	Busbanza	2000	97.8%	99.6%	90.4%
Colombia	Busbanza	2017	77.1%	92.3%	43.5%
Colombia	Cabrera	2000	76.4%	99.9%	15.2%
Colombia	Cabrera	2000	75.7%	100.0%	1.1%
Colombia	Cabrera	2017	47.2%	98.2%	1.3%
Colombia	Cabrera	2017	66.7%	100.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Cabuyaro	2000	58.6%	90.9%	27.4%
Colombia	Cabuyaro	2017	33.4%	66.2%	10.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Cacahual	2000	48.4%	61.3%	34.5%
Colombia	Cacahual	2017	15.5%	26.8%	6.3%
Colombia	Cáceres	2000	56.1%	70.8%	39.4%
Colombia	Cáceres	2017	31.6%	49.3%	14.4%
Colombia	Cachipay	2000	95.3%	100.0%	71.2%
Colombia	Cachipay	2017	81.1%	99.6%	18.5%
Colombia	Cáchira	2000	73.5%	99.6%	27.2%
Colombia	Cáchira	2017	53.5%	96.2%	7.4%
Colombia	Cácota	2000	37.7%	95.0%	0.6%
Colombia	Cácota	2017	22.1%	85.5%	0.0%
Colombia	Caicedo	2000	71.5%	100.0%	0.9%
Colombia	Caicedo	2017	56.8%	100.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Caicedonia	2000	93.2%	96.6%	87.7%
Colombia	Caicedonia	2017	63.0%	72.1%	52.3%
Colombia	Caimito	2000	74.3%	92.5%	34.1%
Colombia	Caimito	2017	49.0%	80.0%	14.9%
Colombia	Cajamarca	2000	85.9%	95.4%	72.8%
Colombia	Cajamarca	2017	56.9%	80.0%	33.6%
Colombia	Cajibío	2000	88.9%	98.9%	69.9%
Colombia	Cajibío	2017	64.7%	92.0%	33.4%
Colombia	Cajicá	2000	97.4%	98.5%	95.6%
Colombia	Cajicá	2017	75.9%	85.0%	60.3%
Colombia	Calamar	2000	94.0%	99.2%	72.6%
Colombia	Calamar	2000	53.4%	71.2%	33.0%
Colombia	Calamar	2017	76.8%	93.9%	38.8%
Colombia	Calamar	2017	38.1%	55.3%	19.0%
Colombia	Calarcá	2000	97.4%	99.4%	95.0%
Colombia	Calarcá	2017	88.7%	92.2%	84.2%
Colombia	Caldas	2000	75.2%	96.0%	30.8%
Colombia	Caldas	2000	94.4%	96.0%	91.3%
Colombia	Caldas	2017	32.8%	76.3%	5.6%
Colombia	Caldas	2017	52.1%	57.7%	44.3%
Colombia	Caldonó	2000	95.8%	97.4%	90.9%
Colombia	Caldonó	2017	80.0%	86.4%	67.2%
Colombia	California	2000	68.7%	100.0%	0.2%
Colombia	California	2017	54.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Calima	2000	67.7%	100.0%	2.8%
Colombia	Calima	2017	52.3%	99.8%	2.1%
Colombia	Caloto	2000	84.5%	96.2%	57.0%
Colombia	Caloto	2017	44.8%	69.8%	25.0%
Colombia	Campamento	2000	85.9%	97.3%	64.8%
Colombia	Campamento	2017	53.1%	77.3%	31.9%
Colombia	Campo de la Cruz	2000	97.6%	100.0%	70.4%
Colombia	Campo de la Cruz	2017	92.7%	100.0%	20.2%
Colombia	Campoalegre	2000	49.3%	83.9%	20.9%
Colombia	Campoalegre	2017	25.9%	48.8%	9.4%
Colombia	Campohermoso	2000	63.1%	99.9%	1.8%
Colombia	Campohermoso	2017	45.6%	98.8%	0.3%
Colombia	Canalete	2000	67.3%	96.1%	23.9%
Colombia	Canalete	2017	35.1%	74.5%	3.6%
Colombia	Cañasgordas	2000	95.0%	99.0%	78.7%
Colombia	Cañasgordas	2017	75.3%	88.8%	47.5%
Colombia	Candelaria	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Colombia	Candelaria	2000	99.2%	99.9%	90.6%
Colombia	Candelaria	2017	94.6%	99.3%	67.6%
Colombia	Candelaria	2017	75.6%	79.6%	71.3%
Colombia	Cantagallo	2000	74.6%	99.7%	17.9%
Colombia	Cantagallo	2017	58.3%	97.7%	4.2%
Colombia	Caparrapí	2000	72.3%	99.5%	28.8%
Colombia	Caparrapí	2017	49.2%	92.4%	11.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Capitanejo	2000	77.5%	88.2%	60.3%
Colombia	Capitanejo	2017	28.9%	47.6%	17.2%
Colombia	Cáqueza	2000	51.4%	58.5%	45.1%
Colombia	Cáqueza	2017	15.0%	19.8%	11.2%
Colombia	Caracolí	2000	87.3%	100.0%	33.2%
Colombia	Caracolí	2017	74.5%	99.8%	12.0%
Colombia	Caramanta	2000	73.6%	99.8%	17.1%
Colombia	Caramanta	2017	44.7%	96.9%	3.4%
Colombia	Carcasí	2000	38.4%	93.0%	1.3%
Colombia	Carcasí	2017	21.8%	83.3%	0.2%
Colombia	Carepa	2000	92.9%	97.0%	84.3%
Colombia	Carepa	2017	55.7%	68.9%	41.3%
Colombia	Carmen de Apicalá	2000	92.2%	99.6%	55.0%
Colombia	Carmen de Apicalá	2017	73.5%	94.9%	39.3%
Colombia	Carmen de Carupa	2000	96.7%	100.0%	68.2%
Colombia	Carmen de Carupa	2017	90.5%	99.8%	47.7%
Colombia	Carolina del Príncipe	2000	96.9%	100.0%	79.3%
Colombia	Carolina del Príncipe	2017	87.4%	99.8%	47.3%
Colombia	Cartagena de Indias	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.2%
Colombia	Cartagena de Indias	2017	61.5%	65.6%	55.9%
Colombia	Cartagena del Chairá	2000	66.8%	77.3%	54.4%
Colombia	Cartagena del Chairá	2017	46.6%	59.2%	33.3%
Colombia	Cartago	2000	79.3%	81.3%	76.9%
Colombia	Cartago	2017	75.9%	78.1%	72.3%
Colombia	Carurú	2000	16.3%	29.8%	6.4%
Colombia	Carurú	2017	7.5%	17.4%	1.2%
Colombia	Casabianca	2000	83.9%	97.8%	61.1%
Colombia	Casabianca	2017	51.4%	81.7%	21.6%
Colombia	Castilla la Nueva	2000	72.5%	98.8%	19.6%
Colombia	Castilla la Nueva	2017	44.6%	93.4%	4.9%
Colombia	Caucasia	2000	77.7%	88.8%	64.7%
Colombia	Caucasia	2017	36.6%	52.0%	22.0%
Colombia	Cepitá	2000	51.6%	99.5%	4.4%
Colombia	Cepitá	2017	29.0%	89.5%	3.7%
Colombia	Cereté	2000	90.8%	93.2%	87.0%
Colombia	Cereté	2017	60.2%	69.0%	42.1%
Colombia	Cerinsa	2000	97.3%	100.0%	72.1%
Colombia	Cerinsa	2017	91.7%	100.0%	42.5%
Colombia	Cerrito	2000	57.1%	100.0%	0.2%
Colombia	Cerrito	2017	43.7%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Cerro de San Antonio	2000	90.2%	100.0%	54.7%
Colombia	Cerro de San Antonio	2017	77.6%	99.3%	33.0%
Colombia	Chachagüí	2000	98.1%	100.0%	78.2%
Colombia	Chachagüí	2017	91.4%	99.5%	35.8%
Colombia	Chaguaní	2000	55.7%	90.4%	6.2%
Colombia	Chaguaní	2017	37.9%	88.4%	1.0%
Colombia	Chalán	2000	53.3%	95.0%	12.0%
Colombia	Chalán	2017	20.0%	72.6%	1.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Chámeza	2000	62.1%	97.9%	6.2%
Colombia	Chámeza	2017	34.4%	84.7%	2.6%
Colombia	Chaparral	2000	76.8%	86.6%	69.6%
Colombia	Chaparral	2017	43.4%	53.4%	35.6%
Colombia	Charalá	2000	71.4%	99.7%	8.3%
Colombia	Charalá	2017	54.1%	97.6%	1.9%
Colombia	Charta	2000	89.1%	100.0%	53.7%
Colombia	Charta	2017	78.2%	99.6%	33.7%
Colombia	Chía	2000	96.7%	98.1%	94.7%
Colombia	Chía	2017	75.7%	80.9%	69.4%
Colombia	Chigorodó	2000	84.2%	93.0%	70.1%
Colombia	Chigorodó	2017	42.4%	62.9%	21.9%
Colombia	Chimá	2000	11.2%	14.8%	9.1%
Colombia	Chimá	2000	65.7%	100.0%	0.3%
Colombia	Chimá	2017	50.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Chimá	2017	2.1%	3.6%	1.2%
Colombia	Chimichagua	2000	68.9%	91.4%	32.7%
Colombia	Chimichagua	2017	47.0%	80.8%	14.5%
Colombia	Chinácota	2000	77.9%	96.3%	40.1%
Colombia	Chinácota	2017	35.3%	78.0%	7.3%
Colombia	Chinavita	2000	79.3%	100.0%	14.3%
Colombia	Chinavita	2017	58.1%	99.8%	2.3%
Colombia	Chinchiná	2000	91.7%	99.7%	67.4%
Colombia	Chinchiná	2017	75.8%	96.7%	44.3%
Colombia	Chinú	2000	87.9%	91.1%	82.0%
Colombia	Chinú	2017	63.0%	72.5%	51.8%
Colombia	Chipaque	2000	91.9%	99.6%	66.3%
Colombia	Chipaque	2017	71.9%	93.4%	33.8%
Colombia	Chipatá	2000	93.8%	99.9%	56.1%
Colombia	Chipatá	2017	78.2%	98.6%	27.6%
Colombia	Chiquinquirá	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.7%
Colombia	Chiquinquirá	2017	41.4%	48.4%	35.2%
Colombia	Chíquiza	2000	69.4%	100.0%	5.2%
Colombia	Chíquiza	2017	40.1%	99.5%	0.4%
Colombia	Chiriguaná	2000	70.9%	82.5%	56.2%
Colombia	Chiriguaná	2017	36.8%	53.8%	18.0%
Colombia	Chiscas	2000	25.4%	77.0%	1.1%
Colombia	Chiscas	2017	16.5%	63.2%	0.3%
Colombia	Chita	2000	47.4%	98.5%	1.3%
Colombia	Chita	2017	31.2%	93.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Chitagá	2000	39.6%	95.4%	0.5%
Colombia	Chitagá	2017	28.1%	86.9%	0.0%
Colombia	Chitaraque	2000	83.2%	99.9%	26.6%
Colombia	Chitaraque	2017	59.8%	98.9%	5.4%
Colombia	Chivatá	2000	61.7%	76.4%	48.8%
Colombia	Chivatá	2017	12.5%	21.0%	7.9%
Colombia	Chivolo	2000	43.2%	93.0%	10.9%
Colombia	Chivolo	2017	25.6%	71.7%	2.0%
Colombia	Chivor	2000	47.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Chivor	2017	31.4%	99.9%	0.0%
Colombia	Choachí	2000	98.0%	99.9%	89.5%
Colombia	Choachí	2017	90.0%	98.5%	65.6%
Colombia	Chocontá	2000	56.2%	95.3%	7.8%
Colombia	Chocontá	2017	50.9%	93.1%	1.0%
Colombia	Cicuco	2000	89.4%	94.3%	83.8%
Colombia	Cicuco	2017	59.6%	68.1%	50.8%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2000	29.0%	99.7%	0.0%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2000	84.1%	92.0%	75.4%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2017	14.5%	95.3%	0.0%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2017	49.4%	59.0%	39.9%
Colombia	Ciénaga de Oro	2000	38.5%	76.1%	10.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Ciénaga de Oro	2017	14.5%	47.5%	1.9%
Colombia	Cimitarra	2000	69.5%	89.9%	32.2%
Colombia	Cimitarra	2017	43.5%	67.8%	15.5%
Colombia	Circasia	2000	98.8%	99.6%	96.5%
Colombia	Circasia	2017	83.6%	92.6%	70.5%
Colombia	Cisneros	2000	87.4%	99.7%	43.4%
Colombia	Cisneros	2017	56.4%	93.8%	12.6%
Colombia	Cocorná	2000	81.0%	93.7%	65.4%
Colombia	Cocorná	2017	41.1%	60.1%	25.1%
Colombia	Coello	2000	88.7%	99.6%	66.6%
Colombia	Coello	2017	70.3%	95.2%	33.0%
Colombia	Cogua	2000	93.4%	98.5%	83.9%
Colombia	Cogua	2017	67.7%	78.3%	57.5%
Colombia	Colombia	2000	77.6%	99.2%	42.5%
Colombia	Colombia	2017	60.7%	93.1%	21.6%
Colombia	Colón	2000	72.3%	97.6%	29.9%
Colombia	Colón	2000	81.6%	100.0%	20.7%
Colombia	Colón	2017	29.3%	75.1%	7.2%
Colombia	Colón	2017	59.5%	99.8%	4.2%
Colombia	Colosó	2000	39.0%	94.8%	1.1%
Colombia	Colosó	2017	13.8%	68.7%	0.1%
Colombia	Cómbita	2000	84.7%	87.3%	80.9%
Colombia	Cómbita	2017	37.0%	41.5%	31.8%
Colombia	Concepción	2000	64.0%	99.5%	8.5%
Colombia	Concepción	2000	60.5%	99.5%	5.2%
Colombia	Concepción	2017	31.7%	96.0%	0.3%
Colombia	Concepción	2017	39.0%	93.3%	3.5%
Colombia	Concordia	2000	77.2%	98.6%	28.1%
Colombia	Concordia	2017	55.3%	91.7%	11.7%
Colombia	Condoto	2000	56.5%	72.2%	41.0%
Colombia	Condoto	2017	30.5%	49.5%	15.8%
Colombia	Confines	2000	75.0%	100.0%	1.2%
Colombia	Confines	2017	57.6%	100.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Consacá	2000	99.0%	99.9%	89.5%
Colombia	Consacá	2017	95.2%	99.7%	72.6%
Colombia	Contadero	2000	94.4%	96.5%	92.1%
Colombia	Contadero	2017	51.2%	60.0%	41.9%
Colombia	Contratación	2000	66.6%	100.0%	0.2%
Colombia	Contratación	2017	50.9%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Convención	2000	61.5%	82.2%	38.9%
Colombia	Convención	2017	30.3%	55.0%	13.3%
Colombia	Copacabana	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Colombia	Copacabana	2017	92.9%	94.3%	90.9%
Colombia	Coper	2000	55.5%	79.5%	22.8%
Colombia	Coper	2017	27.5%	53.9%	3.4%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	95.4%	98.6%	83.1%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	98.2%	100.0%	87.4%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	61.7%	91.2%	10.5%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	44.0%	79.9%	6.7%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	70.1%	83.5%	39.6%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	89.2%	99.5%	58.2%
Colombia	Corinto	2000	66.7%	77.5%	60.1%
Colombia	Corinto	2017	25.6%	37.7%	16.3%
Colombia	Coromoro	2000	70.9%	99.7%	18.6%
Colombia	Coromoro	2017	51.5%	95.8%	5.9%
Colombia	Corozal	2000	94.4%	97.0%	83.8%
Colombia	Corozal	2017	72.9%	80.3%	59.9%
Colombia	Corrales	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.0%
Colombia	Corrales	2017	77.6%	84.5%	68.1%
Colombia	Cota	2000	88.5%	92.5%	84.5%
Colombia	Cota	2017	64.7%	69.0%	59.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Covarachía	2000	60.5%	97.4%	12.3%
Colombia	Covarachía	2017	26.1%	80.8%	1.1%
Colombia	Coyaima	2000	51.8%	90.9%	22.4%
Colombia	Coyaima	2017	28.2%	69.1%	5.6%
Colombia	Cravo Norte	2000	71.8%	96.8%	28.5%
Colombia	Cravo Norte	2017	60.0%	90.7%	19.7%
Colombia	Cuaspué	2000	59.1%	64.2%	54.2%
Colombia	Cuaspué	2017	11.3%	14.0%	8.5%
Colombia	Cubará	2000	65.8%	97.9%	15.7%
Colombia	Cubará	2017	54.1%	93.5%	6.7%
Colombia	Cucaita	2000	95.5%	99.0%	89.6%
Colombia	Cucaita	2017	59.7%	84.7%	33.0%
Colombia	Cucunubá	2000	94.4%	98.0%	82.9%
Colombia	Cucunubá	2017	66.9%	79.6%	46.9%
Colombia	Cucutilla	2000	59.3%	100.0%	0.2%
Colombia	Cucutilla	2017	47.7%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Cúitiva	2000	77.1%	100.0%	10.0%
Colombia	Cúitiva	2017	54.2%	99.5%	1.3%
Colombia	Cumaral	2000	63.6%	70.0%	57.6%
Colombia	Cumaral	2017	32.2%	40.6%	22.3%
Colombia	Cumaribo	2000	70.1%	79.8%	59.2%
Colombia	Cumaribo	2017	47.5%	58.0%	36.6%
Colombia	Cumbal	2000	59.7%	87.7%	25.6%
Colombia	Cumbal	2017	34.2%	70.7%	4.9%
Colombia	Cumbitara	2000	55.1%	99.4%	1.9%
Colombia	Cumbitara	2017	30.9%	92.8%	0.1%
Colombia	Cunday	2000	98.1%	100.0%	85.4%
Colombia	Cunday	2017	94.3%	99.8%	70.6%
Colombia	Curillo	2000	70.6%	96.3%	36.8%
Colombia	Curillo	2017	40.5%	77.9%	6.7%
Colombia	Curití	2000	44.1%	95.8%	1.1%
Colombia	Curití	2017	21.3%	87.4%	0.1%
Colombia	Curumaní	2000	82.0%	96.9%	41.2%
Colombia	Curumaní	2017	48.7%	80.7%	10.2%
Colombia	Dabeiba	2000	77.0%	96.3%	42.3%
Colombia	Dabeiba	2017	54.2%	81.9%	19.3%
Colombia	Dagua	2000	65.4%	96.2%	31.4%
Colombia	Dagua	2017	52.2%	87.2%	19.4%
Colombia	Dolores	2000	74.1%	100.0%	15.4%
Colombia	Dolores	2017	59.6%	99.7%	6.3%
Colombia	Don Matías	2000	95.8%	99.9%	79.5%
Colombia	Don Matías	2017	86.0%	99.2%	55.1%
Colombia	Dosquebradas	2000	97.2%	97.5%	96.9%
Colombia	Dosquebradas	2017	73.4%	76.4%	70.5%
Colombia	Duitama	2000	93.5%	96.1%	88.5%
Colombia	Duitama	2017	57.2%	63.8%	50.3%
Colombia	Durania	2000	81.7%	100.0%	19.3%
Colombia	Durania	2017	61.8%	99.8%	3.1%
Colombia	Ebéjico	2000	94.2%	100.0%	65.1%
Colombia	Ebéjico	2017	85.6%	99.9%	37.1%
Colombia	El Águila	2000	79.6%	100.0%	9.9%
Colombia	El Águila	2017	65.2%	99.9%	2.0%
Colombia	El Bagre	2000	50.7%	77.6%	27.6%
Colombia	El Bagre	2017	26.3%	49.7%	10.8%
Colombia	El Banco	2000	73.7%	81.3%	64.6%
Colombia	El Banco	2017	28.2%	37.7%	17.7%
Colombia	El Cairo	2000	72.2%	100.0%	3.0%
Colombia	El Cairo	2017	54.2%	100.0%	0.2%
Colombia	El Calvario	2000	78.5%	99.9%	22.8%
Colombia	El Calvario	2017	61.2%	98.7%	11.7%
Colombia	El Cantón del San Pablo	2000	58.6%	90.2%	25.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	El Cantón del San Pablo	2017	24.7%	62.2%	3.8%
Colombia	El Carmen	2000	60.9%	95.6%	21.9%
Colombia	El Carmen	2017	44.7%	83.2%	11.9%
Colombia	El Carmen de Atrato	2000	57.2%	84.1%	26.4%
Colombia	El Carmen de Atrato	2017	31.4%	60.3%	5.9%
Colombia	El Carmen de Bolívar	2000	22.7%	43.6%	9.7%
Colombia	El Carmen de Bolívar	2017	11.8%	26.2%	1.7%
Colombia	El Carmen de Chucurí	2000	69.8%	98.8%	20.2%
Colombia	El Carmen de Chucurí	2017	47.5%	90.7%	5.7%
Colombia	El Carmen de Viboral	2000	95.5%	98.1%	90.7%
Colombia	El Carmen de Viboral	2017	70.7%	81.2%	56.5%
Colombia	El Castillo	2000	64.8%	80.8%	50.7%
Colombia	El Castillo	2017	19.9%	35.6%	12.6%
Colombia	El Cerrito	2000	66.8%	78.9%	43.0%
Colombia	El Cerrito	2017	46.3%	61.9%	31.4%
Colombia	El Charco	2000	51.7%	78.8%	25.4%
Colombia	El Charco	2017	27.9%	51.8%	8.7%
Colombia	El Cocuy	2000	77.6%	99.8%	29.1%
Colombia	El Cocuy	2017	52.9%	96.3%	8.7%
Colombia	El Colegio	2000	72.2%	95.7%	38.6%
Colombia	El Colegio	2017	26.0%	60.4%	7.8%
Colombia	El Copey	2000	89.2%	95.8%	78.6%
Colombia	El Copey	2017	73.3%	84.4%	61.3%
Colombia	El Doncello	2000	57.7%	76.9%	37.0%
Colombia	El Doncello	2017	24.7%	42.9%	11.1%
Colombia	El Dorado	2000	83.2%	98.6%	51.9%
Colombia	El Dorado	2017	54.1%	86.5%	24.9%
Colombia	El Dovio	2000	72.7%	99.9%	34.7%
Colombia	El Dovio	2017	51.4%	98.0%	15.8%
Colombia	El Encanto	2000	49.8%	72.8%	26.1%
Colombia	El Encanto	2017	30.9%	51.1%	13.5%
Colombia	El Espino	2000	17.6%	81.1%	0.4%
Colombia	El Espino	2017	6.9%	63.7%	0.0%
Colombia	El Guacamayo	2000	67.3%	100.0%	0.8%
Colombia	El Guacamayo	2017	48.9%	99.8%	0.0%
Colombia	El Guamo	2000	79.5%	98.9%	47.3%
Colombia	El Guamo	2017	63.3%	92.8%	25.9%
Colombia	El Litoral del San Juan	2000	51.1%	76.4%	29.6%
Colombia	El Litoral del San Juan	2017	31.8%	54.1%	14.9%
Colombia	El Molino	2000	99.1%	100.0%	93.6%
Colombia	El Molino	2017	97.8%	100.0%	65.7%
Colombia	El Paso	2000	53.2%	99.4%	5.7%
Colombia	El Paso	2017	38.4%	95.9%	1.0%
Colombia	El Paujíl	2000	42.8%	59.4%	20.7%
Colombia	El Paujíl	2017	22.2%	38.4%	8.0%
Colombia	El Peñon	2000	49.7%	99.1%	5.8%
Colombia	El Peñon	2000	65.1%	98.6%	20.1%
Colombia	El Peñon	2017	34.6%	94.4%	0.4%
Colombia	El Peñon	2017	31.2%	83.5%	2.1%
Colombia	El Piñón	2000	84.1%	96.0%	65.4%
Colombia	El Piñón	2017	63.3%	84.0%	23.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	El Playón	2000	78.2%	100.0%	9.9%
Colombia	El Playón	2017	60.1%	99.8%	1.3%
Colombia	El Retorno	2000	61.3%	75.1%	48.2%
Colombia	El Retorno	2017	36.7%	52.3%	23.9%
Colombia	El Rosario	2000	43.5%	98.9%	1.1%
Colombia	El Rosario	2017	25.9%	92.9%	0.1%
Colombia	El Santuario	2000	54.8%	93.2%	14.3%
Colombia	El Santuario	2017	14.9%	48.2%	2.6%
Colombia	El Tablón de Gomez	2000	82.8%	99.1%	56.7%
Colombia	El Tablón de Gomez	2017	70.1%	95.6%	35.7%
Colombia	El Tambo	2000	97.9%	99.7%	85.2%
Colombia	El Tambo	2000	66.2%	94.7%	29.5%
Colombia	El Tambo	2017	83.0%	94.9%	49.7%
Colombia	El Tambo	2017	49.0%	83.2%	13.5%
Colombia	El Tarra	2000	54.3%	100.0%	0.2%
Colombia	El Tarra	2017	40.3%	99.1%	0.0%
Colombia	El Zulia	2000	66.0%	89.4%	40.3%
Colombia	El Zulia	2017	48.9%	79.3%	24.1%
Colombia	Elías	2000	36.6%	84.4%	4.5%
Colombia	Elías	2017	16.1%	66.6%	0.5%
Colombia	Encino	2000	73.6%	100.0%	7.2%
Colombia	Encino	2017	59.3%	99.7%	2.7%
Colombia	Enciso	2000	89.8%	96.9%	78.6%
Colombia	Enciso	2017	45.4%	67.9%	30.6%
Colombia	Entrerriós	2000	91.1%	100.0%	33.1%
Colombia	Entrerriós	2017	80.1%	100.0%	10.2%
Colombia	Envigado	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
Colombia	Envigado	2017	76.6%	80.8%	71.2%
Colombia	Espinal	2000	93.2%	95.9%	89.7%
Colombia	Espinal	2017	63.3%	70.8%	53.6%
Colombia	Facatativá	2000	92.7%	94.9%	88.6%
Colombia	Facatativá	2017	44.8%	51.2%	38.0%
Colombia	Falán	2000	94.5%	96.6%	91.3%
Colombia	Falán	2017	56.1%	64.6%	46.8%
Colombia	Filadelfia	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.0%
Colombia	Filadelfia	2017	79.4%	86.5%	65.9%
Colombia	Filandia	2000	99.3%	99.9%	96.0%
Colombia	Filandia	2017	92.5%	98.7%	72.5%
Colombia	Firavitoba	2000	80.4%	99.6%	37.9%
Colombia	Firavitoba	2017	58.5%	94.4%	18.2%
Colombia	Flandes	2000	91.8%	99.5%	64.7%
Colombia	Flandes	2017	57.3%	91.4%	20.9%
Colombia	Florencia	2000	77.3%	91.0%	58.5%
Colombia	Florencia	2000	75.8%	79.3%	71.9%
Colombia	Florencia	2017	32.1%	49.2%	18.7%
Colombia	Florencia	2017	39.5%	43.6%	35.7%
Colombia	Floresta	2000	93.1%	94.8%	86.2%
Colombia	Floresta	2017	51.3%	57.7%	39.6%
Colombia	Florián	2000	96.4%	100.0%	70.5%
Colombia	Florián	2017	91.3%	100.0%	52.8%
Colombia	Florida	2000	61.1%	76.5%	39.3%
Colombia	Florida	2017	20.2%	44.9%	7.5%
Colombia	Floridablanca	2000	94.7%	96.1%	92.8%
Colombia	Floridablanca	2017	59.9%	64.5%	55.6%
Colombia	Fómeque	2000	94.3%	99.4%	72.2%
Colombia	Fómeque	2017	83.1%	94.7%	52.4%
Colombia	Fonseca	2000	90.9%	100.0%	62.9%
Colombia	Fonseca	2017	82.0%	99.7%	47.4%
Colombia	Fortul	2000	62.6%	76.6%	39.7%
Colombia	Fortul	2017	43.4%	65.5%	17.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Fosca	2000	41.8%	60.5%	18.6%
Colombia	Fosca	2017	14.6%	33.8%	2.5%
Colombia	Francisco Pizarro	2000	77.5%	99.2%	25.4%
Colombia	Francisco Pizarro	2017	63.4%	96.0%	8.1%
Colombia	Fredonia	2000	83.3%	99.4%	33.4%
Colombia	Fredonia	2017	57.0%	94.6%	12.6%
Colombia	Fresno	2000	88.5%	93.1%	79.9%
Colombia	Fresno	2017	45.6%	55.4%	35.9%
Colombia	Frontino	2000	71.4%	93.8%	52.2%
Colombia	Frontino	2017	54.5%	82.7%	38.0%
Colombia	Fuente de Oro	2000	42.9%	56.6%	31.9%
Colombia	Fuente de Oro	2017	12.4%	18.9%	7.7%
Colombia	Fundación	2000	81.4%	91.9%	65.6%
Colombia	Fundación	2017	45.9%	63.4%	25.0%
Colombia	Funes	2000	50.1%	92.6%	16.3%
Colombia	Funes	2017	31.8%	73.8%	9.7%
Colombia	Funza	2000	92.8%	94.1%	91.0%
Colombia	Funza	2017	49.2%	53.6%	44.7%
Colombia	Fúquene	2000	77.0%	100.0%	9.4%
Colombia	Fúquene	2017	56.3%	99.5%	1.2%
Colombia	Fusagasugá	2000	85.4%	89.7%	80.3%
Colombia	Fusagasugá	2017	45.1%	50.2%	40.2%
Colombia	Gachalá	2000	69.0%	96.5%	32.6%
Colombia	Gachalá	2017	44.2%	77.2%	10.1%
Colombia	Gachancipá	2000	96.9%	99.8%	79.6%
Colombia	Gachancipá	2017	81.2%	97.4%	28.5%
Colombia	Gachantivá	2000	56.0%	98.5%	1.8%
Colombia	Gachantivá	2017	26.5%	86.1%	0.1%
Colombia	Gachetá	2000	54.2%	100.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Gachetá	2017	42.7%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Galán	2000	72.7%	100.0%	4.9%
Colombia	Galán	2017	54.7%	99.9%	0.8%
Colombia	Galapa	2000	91.4%	93.2%	89.4%
Colombia	Galapa	2017	48.5%	53.7%	43.4%
Colombia	Galeras	2000	97.5%	100.0%	80.6%
Colombia	Galeras	2017	87.9%	99.8%	37.4%
Colombia	Gama	2000	58.4%	100.0%	0.7%
Colombia	Gama	2017	42.0%	99.4%	0.0%
Colombia	Gamarra	2000	79.2%	97.8%	36.8%
Colombia	Gamarra	2017	47.1%	83.4%	7.9%
Colombia	Gámbita	2000	61.4%	97.2%	13.8%
Colombia	Gámbita	2017	39.8%	86.0%	2.2%
Colombia	Gámeza	2000	79.3%	99.7%	35.7%
Colombia	Gámeza	2017	59.9%	96.0%	21.6%
Colombia	Garagoa	2000	90.9%	96.7%	76.5%
Colombia	Garagoa	2017	48.9%	72.0%	27.2%
Colombia	Garzón	2000	57.0%	62.5%	53.1%
Colombia	Garzón	2017	45.2%	47.0%	43.9%
Colombia	Génova	2000	75.4%	79.6%	72.8%
Colombia	Génova	2017	60.3%	65.1%	45.4%
Colombia	Gigante	2000	70.1%	96.4%	20.6%
Colombia	Gigante	2017	62.6%	94.1%	13.5%
Colombia	Ginebra	2000	33.7%	44.3%	9.9%
Colombia	Ginebra	2017	17.2%	33.3%	3.1%
Colombia	Giraldo	2000	94.2%	100.0%	58.0%
Colombia	Giraldo	2017	82.6%	100.0%	16.4%
Colombia	Girardot	2000	91.7%	98.2%	79.2%
Colombia	Girardot	2017	61.1%	79.9%	40.4%
Colombia	Girardota	2000	99.2%	99.7%	97.0%
Colombia	Girardota	2017	88.2%	94.2%	74.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Girón	2000	80.6%	86.3%	72.9%
Colombia	Girón	2017	38.9%	45.9%	32.5%
Colombia	Gómez Plata	2000	92.4%	99.5%	53.5%
Colombia	Gómez Plata	2017	81.9%	96.2%	38.5%
Colombia	González	2000	52.6%	65.6%	42.8%
Colombia	González	2017	7.3%	10.6%	5.1%
Colombia	Gramalote	2000	84.4%	96.4%	66.1%
Colombia	Gramalote	2017	42.1%	69.7%	17.6%
Colombia	Granada	2000	67.4%	74.7%	60.7%
Colombia	Granada	2000	43.1%	84.5%	25.8%
Colombia	Granada	2017	22.1%	27.9%	14.8%
Colombia	Granada	2017	11.3%	28.9%	6.3%
Colombia	Guaca	2000	81.3%	100.0%	12.8%
Colombia	Guaca	2017	65.8%	99.5%	2.5%
Colombia	Guacamayas	2000	40.9%	58.1%	29.7%
Colombia	Guacamayas	2017	8.2%	12.9%	5.9%
Colombia	Guacarí	2000	80.4%	86.3%	76.2%
Colombia	Guacarí	2017	52.9%	58.2%	48.0%
Colombia	Guachetá	2000	77.1%	99.8%	10.1%
Colombia	Guachetá	2017	55.9%	98.7%	2.5%
Colombia	Guachucal	2000	20.7%	61.1%	1.4%
Colombia	Guachucal	2017	10.5%	50.7%	0.1%
Colombia	Guadalajara de Buga	2000	92.9%	98.8%	87.7%
Colombia	Guadalajara de Buga	2017	80.6%	90.0%	68.6%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	80.0%	98.9%	36.8%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	80.7%	88.7%	74.1%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	87.8%	98.3%	60.7%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	50.1%	87.0%	11.7%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	59.4%	84.5%	27.4%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	49.3%	58.1%	39.1%
Colombia	Guaduas	2000	88.6%	98.0%	64.3%
Colombia	Guaduas	2017	71.3%	90.4%	44.4%
Colombia	Guaitarilla	2000	91.0%	98.5%	69.6%
Colombia	Guaitarilla	2017	64.0%	82.8%	46.8%
Colombia	Gualmatán	2000	94.2%	97.7%	88.7%
Colombia	Gualmatán	2017	57.5%	69.3%	48.5%
Colombia	Guamal	2000	70.8%	98.7%	31.7%
Colombia	Guamal	2000	79.5%	99.6%	31.4%
Colombia	Guamal	2017	54.2%	96.0%	6.9%
Colombia	Guamal	2017	44.1%	91.2%	11.8%
Colombia	Guamo	2000	84.9%	87.4%	81.6%
Colombia	Guamo	2017	55.5%	61.5%	48.9%
Colombia	Guapí	2000	45.2%	68.6%	23.8%
Colombia	Guapí	2017	30.8%	57.1%	12.9%
Colombia	Guapotá	2000	80.0%	100.0%	10.6%
Colombia	Guapotá	2017	56.0%	99.3%	1.2%
Colombia	Guarandá	2000	23.1%	34.1%	13.0%
Colombia	Guarandá	2017	9.4%	17.8%	2.1%
Colombia	Guarne	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Colombia	Guarne	2017	97.0%	98.2%	94.9%
Colombia	Guasca	2000	58.4%	92.7%	16.0%
Colombia	Guasca	2017	38.0%	84.9%	2.9%
Colombia	Guatapé	2000	14.8%	84.6%	0.0%
Colombia	Guatapé	2017	6.3%	60.1%	0.0%
Colombia	Guataquí	2000	48.1%	99.7%	0.3%
Colombia	Guataquí	2017	28.3%	96.7%	0.0%
Colombia	Guatavita	2000	77.3%	100.0%	9.5%
Colombia	Guatavita	2017	61.6%	99.8%	1.3%
Colombia	Guateque	2000	69.5%	100.0%	0.4%
Colombia	Guateque	2017	51.8%	100.0%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Guática	2000	82.1%	99.8%	23.4%
Colombia	Guática	2017	51.7%	95.2%	3.0%
Colombia	Guavatá	2000	62.6%	81.6%	55.2%
Colombia	Guavatá	2017	21.2%	26.1%	17.7%
Colombia	Guayabal de Siquima	2000	98.6%	99.4%	96.8%
Colombia	Guayabal de Siquima	2017	91.8%	96.6%	83.8%
Colombia	Guayabetal	2000	37.5%	99.4%	0.2%
Colombia	Guayabetal	2017	24.7%	93.7%	0.0%
Colombia	Guayatá	2000	61.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Guayatá	2017	46.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Güepesa	2000	90.6%	100.0%	31.7%
Colombia	Güepesa	2017	72.7%	99.8%	5.1%
Colombia	Guicán	2000	63.6%	99.3%	16.6%
Colombia	Guicán	2017	46.9%	94.7%	4.4%
Colombia	Gutiérrez	2000	83.4%	99.8%	46.0%
Colombia	Gutiérrez	2017	68.7%	97.3%	19.3%
Colombia	Hacarí	2000	33.9%	99.1%	0.0%
Colombia	Hacarí	2017	19.7%	94.4%	0.0%
Colombia	Hatillo de Loba	2000	99.1%	99.8%	96.3%
Colombia	Hatillo de Loba	2017	91.7%	98.3%	73.0%
Colombia	Hato	2000	65.8%	100.0%	0.9%
Colombia	Hato	2017	46.9%	99.9%	0.1%
Colombia	Hato Corozal	2000	55.9%	72.4%	41.0%
Colombia	Hato Corozal	2017	26.6%	44.8%	13.4%
Colombia	Heliconia	2000	97.9%	100.0%	86.8%
Colombia	Heliconia	2017	93.7%	100.0%	71.3%
Colombia	Herrán	2000	69.9%	99.8%	5.0%
Colombia	Herrán	2017	48.0%	97.9%	0.8%
Colombia	Herveo	2000	69.2%	98.2%	21.3%
Colombia	Herveo	2017	50.8%	89.1%	11.1%
Colombia	Hispania	2000	96.2%	98.1%	91.3%
Colombia	Hispania	2017	61.9%	73.7%	48.8%
Colombia	Hobo	2000	65.4%	89.9%	12.8%
Colombia	Hobo	2017	54.9%	90.3%	2.7%
Colombia	Honda	2000	77.6%	92.1%	40.9%
Colombia	Honda	2017	60.1%	79.6%	25.4%
Colombia	Ibagué	2000	92.4%	94.7%	89.7%
Colombia	Ibagué	2017	53.5%	56.6%	50.1%
Colombia	Icononzo	2000	67.2%	100.0%	7.1%
Colombia	Icononzo	2017	45.6%	99.5%	1.2%
Colombia	Iles	2000	70.7%	97.0%	43.0%
Colombia	Iles	2017	23.7%	65.4%	11.9%
Colombia	Imués	2000	64.7%	94.0%	38.5%
Colombia	Imués	2017	21.2%	48.2%	7.2%
Colombia	Inzá	2000	82.0%	96.7%	48.4%
Colombia	Inzá	2017	52.9%	80.1%	22.6%
Colombia	Ipiales	2000	79.8%	87.3%	71.8%
Colombia	Ipiales	2017	30.6%	42.1%	22.5%
Colombia	Iquira	2000	91.2%	99.5%	57.3%
Colombia	Iquira	2017	73.0%	95.2%	30.1%
Colombia	Isnos	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.0%
Colombia	Isnos	2017	54.8%	60.0%	49.3%
Colombia	Istmina	2000	53.3%	67.5%	37.3%
Colombia	Istmina	2017	23.3%	39.7%	7.5%
Colombia	Itagüí	2000	98.0%	98.3%	97.5%
Colombia	Itagüí	2017	73.2%	76.7%	67.4%
Colombia	Ituango	2000	70.9%	96.3%	44.7%
Colombia	Ituango	2017	49.7%	78.6%	19.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Izá	2000	76.0%	100.0%	10.6%
Colombia	Izá	2017	53.4%	99.4%	0.9%
Colombia	Jambaló	2000	87.5%	91.3%	81.6%
Colombia	Jambaló	2017	52.4%	61.1%	41.0%
Colombia	Jamundí	2000	94.6%	97.5%	88.8%
Colombia	Jamundí	2017	74.2%	81.0%	63.7%
Colombia	Jardín	2000	69.3%	98.6%	23.7%
Colombia	Jardín	2017	38.4%	88.8%	9.2%
Colombia	Jenesano	2000	60.4%	94.4%	27.3%
Colombia	Jenesano	2017	19.3%	60.4%	4.7%
Colombia	Jericó	2000	75.6%	83.5%	63.9%
Colombia	Jericó	2000	39.7%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Jericó	2017	25.1%	99.6%	0.0%
Colombia	Jericó	2017	31.2%	39.3%	22.2%
Colombia	Jerusalén	2000	37.0%	96.2%	0.8%
Colombia	Jerusalén	2017	19.3%	80.6%	0.1%
Colombia	Jesús María	2000	50.3%	84.2%	15.1%
Colombia	Jesús María	2017	30.3%	58.6%	7.9%
Colombia	Jordán	2000	74.0%	99.7%	16.2%
Colombia	Jordán	2017	49.8%	94.1%	5.3%
Colombia	Juan de Acosta	2000	28.2%	98.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Juan de Acosta	2017	13.7%	86.4%	0.0%
Colombia	Junín	2000	60.0%	100.0%	1.7%
Colombia	Junín	2017	47.2%	99.8%	0.2%
Colombia	Juradó	2000	74.9%	100.0%	13.9%
Colombia	Juradó	2017	69.2%	100.0%	7.2%
Colombia	La Argentina	2000	84.2%	99.5%	61.7%
Colombia	La Argentina	2017	69.8%	92.7%	49.7%
Colombia	La Belleza	2000	86.8%	99.5%	66.3%
Colombia	La Belleza	2017	68.9%	93.6%	36.5%
Colombia	La Calera	2000	89.4%	91.2%	86.9%
Colombia	La Calera	2017	38.1%	44.1%	31.9%
Colombia	La Capilla	2000	86.6%	100.0%	27.6%
Colombia	La Capilla	2017	68.8%	99.5%	18.1%
Colombia	La Ceja	2000	90.4%	98.1%	71.4%
Colombia	La Ceja	2017	54.0%	83.9%	27.0%
Colombia	La Celia	2000	58.4%	99.6%	2.4%
Colombia	La Celia	2017	33.6%	94.7%	0.2%
Colombia	La Chorrera	2000	49.3%	65.2%	34.0%
Colombia	La Chorrera	2017	31.2%	47.4%	18.3%
Colombia	La Cruz	2000	63.5%	97.7%	21.5%
Colombia	La Cruz	2017	31.7%	78.9%	9.2%
Colombia	La Cumbre	2000	20.0%	71.4%	0.3%
Colombia	La Cumbre	2017	8.6%	54.3%	0.0%
Colombia	La Dorada	2000	92.0%	94.0%	87.3%
Colombia	La Dorada	2017	70.8%	78.6%	58.3%
Colombia	La Esperanza	2000	75.9%	98.9%	17.3%
Colombia	La Esperanza	2017	52.7%	92.2%	6.1%
Colombia	La Estrella	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Colombia	La Estrella	2017	80.1%	85.2%	72.9%
Colombia	La Florida	2000	99.5%	100.0%	97.6%
Colombia	La Florida	2017	97.0%	100.0%	79.9%
Colombia	La Gloria	2000	56.1%	99.6%	1.5%
Colombia	La Gloria	2017	40.0%	94.9%	0.2%
Colombia	La Guadalupe	2000	42.3%	86.0%	5.5%
Colombia	La Guadalupe	2017	25.7%	65.7%	0.6%
Colombia	La Jagua de Ibirico	2000	74.6%	97.8%	41.5%
Colombia	La Jagua de Ibirico	2017	46.6%	81.5%	17.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	La Llanada	2000	85.0%	99.8%	45.8%
Colombia	La Llanada	2017	67.1%	97.8%	15.5%
Colombia	La Macarena	2000	59.7%	74.9%	44.6%
Colombia	La Macarena	2017	37.0%	50.9%	25.0%
Colombia	La Merced	2000	85.8%	93.3%	76.5%
Colombia	La Merced	2017	35.0%	46.3%	25.8%
Colombia	La Mesa	2000	85.5%	95.4%	73.3%
Colombia	La Mesa	2017	40.6%	54.9%	26.9%
Colombia	La Montañita	2000	73.8%	82.8%	66.4%
Colombia	La Montañita	2017	58.2%	64.0%	53.6%
Colombia	La Palma	2000	49.9%	99.9%	0.6%
Colombia	La Palma	2017	30.3%	98.5%	0.0%
Colombia	La Paz	2000	75.4%	88.9%	57.3%
Colombia	La Paz	2000	70.2%	97.1%	19.5%
Colombia	La Paz	2017	49.1%	64.9%	31.1%
Colombia	La Paz	2017	45.4%	87.0%	3.3%
Colombia	La Pedrera	2000	49.1%	70.5%	26.1%
Colombia	La Pedrera	2017	31.7%	52.5%	15.2%
Colombia	La Peña	2000	66.5%	99.5%	9.8%
Colombia	La Peña	2017	41.5%	93.8%	2.2%
Colombia	La Plata	2000	87.4%	94.1%	70.8%
Colombia	La Plata	2017	73.0%	83.4%	56.9%
Colombia	La Playa de Belén	2000	38.2%	90.3%	4.6%
Colombia	La Playa de Belén	2017	17.8%	70.8%	0.5%
Colombia	La Primavera	2000	65.0%	76.5%	54.2%
Colombia	La Primavera	2017	41.6%	52.4%	31.1%
Colombia	La Salina	2000	50.3%	99.9%	0.0%
Colombia	La Salina	2017	32.8%	99.1%	0.0%
Colombia	La Sierra	2000	68.0%	98.0%	29.7%
Colombia	La Sierra	2017	40.1%	80.8%	7.6%
Colombia	La Tebaida	2000	89.3%	92.7%	85.8%
Colombia	La Tebaida	2017	82.8%	86.3%	73.5%
Colombia	La Tola	2000	67.4%	89.0%	37.6%
Colombia	La Tola	2017	40.5%	61.9%	10.0%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	33.7%	39.7%	30.9%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	76.8%	97.3%	55.0%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	95.4%	99.3%	82.4%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	72.9%	98.5%	16.3%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	53.2%	79.1%	31.0%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	47.3%	93.3%	4.0%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	15.4%	17.1%	11.1%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	77.6%	89.5%	59.6%
Colombia	La Uribe	2000	55.9%	80.4%	26.4%
Colombia	La Uribe	2017	37.0%	61.6%	14.2%
Colombia	La Uvita	2000	50.9%	89.9%	18.9%
Colombia	La Uvita	2017	17.7%	55.6%	1.8%
Colombia	La Vega	2000	78.0%	99.7%	15.2%
Colombia	La Vega	2000	75.1%	90.1%	55.3%
Colombia	La Vega	2017	38.2%	54.3%	23.6%
Colombia	La Vega	2017	53.3%	96.9%	1.9%
Colombia	La Victoria	2000	77.0%	97.3%	49.8%
Colombia	La Victoria	2000	24.5%	55.6%	3.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	La Victoria	2017	50.6%	71.9%	31.4%
Colombia	La Victoria	2017	8.3%	37.4%	0.4%
Colombia	La Virginia	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Colombia	La Virginia	2017	96.7%	99.1%	91.9%
Colombia	Labateca	2000	61.3%	77.3%	55.3%
Colombia	Labateca	2017	24.5%	30.9%	20.7%
Colombia	Labranzagrande	2000	15.7%	54.6%	0.2%
Colombia	Labranzagrande	2017	9.2%	48.6%	0.0%
Colombia	Landázuri	2000	74.8%	83.4%	60.3%
Colombia	Landázuri	2017	54.8%	65.1%	40.9%
Colombia	Lebrija	2000	86.5%	90.0%	82.6%
Colombia	Lebrija	2017	76.5%	79.1%	72.9%
Colombia	Leiva	2000	60.5%	95.8%	15.8%
Colombia	Leiva	2017	34.3%	84.7%	4.1%
Colombia	Lejanías	2000	75.2%	89.5%	50.8%
Colombia	Lejanías	2017	35.0%	55.4%	16.2%
Colombia	Lenguazaque	2000	85.8%	97.3%	52.7%
Colombia	Lenguazaque	2017	63.6%	90.9%	16.3%
Colombia	Lérida	2000	83.9%	98.5%	46.1%
Colombia	Lérida	2017	45.2%	82.4%	15.2%
Colombia	Leticia	2000	38.6%	46.9%	29.6%
Colombia	Leticia	2017	13.7%	22.7%	5.3%
Colombia	Líbano	2000	86.2%	93.8%	73.2%
Colombia	Líbano	2017	44.6%	61.5%	30.6%
Colombia	Liborina	2000	70.9%	100.0%	1.2%
Colombia	Liborina	2017	55.7%	100.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Linares	2000	96.5%	98.1%	88.7%
Colombia	Linares	2017	69.4%	83.6%	40.4%
Colombia	Lloró	2000	76.0%	98.6%	32.3%
Colombia	Lloró	2017	57.5%	93.1%	12.3%
Colombia	López de Micay	2000	47.1%	82.3%	16.7%
Colombia	López de Micay	2017	33.2%	68.8%	5.5%
Colombia	Los Andes	2000	70.9%	95.7%	34.6%
Colombia	Los Andes	2017	42.0%	78.7%	14.4%
Colombia	Los Córdoba	2000	67.9%	99.0%	11.5%
Colombia	Los Córdoba	2017	46.3%	92.4%	1.3%
Colombia	Los Palmitos	2000	94.2%	99.0%	75.4%
Colombia	Los Palmitos	2017	69.7%	87.0%	41.0%
Colombia	Los Patios	2000	82.8%	99.5%	31.8%
Colombia	Los Patios	2017	58.2%	96.0%	6.1%
Colombia	Los Santos	2000	98.0%	100.0%	87.5%
Colombia	Los Santos	2017	93.4%	99.4%	73.3%
Colombia	Lourdes	2000	88.0%	99.5%	41.4%
Colombia	Lourdes	2017	61.9%	93.4%	11.0%
Colombia	Luruaco	2000	43.8%	82.7%	21.3%
Colombia	Luruaco	2017	16.8%	47.2%	9.4%
Colombia	Macanal	2000	73.5%	94.7%	44.8%
Colombia	Macanal	2017	30.9%	69.3%	7.7%
Colombia	Macaravita	2000	2.1%	5.9%	0.6%
Colombia	Macaravita	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Colombia	Maceo	2000	83.4%	100.0%	17.8%
Colombia	Maceo	2017	68.9%	99.7%	4.9%
Colombia	Machetá	2000	63.8%	100.0%	0.5%
Colombia	Machetá	2017	50.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Madrid	2000	87.2%	90.2%	83.5%
Colombia	Madrid	2017	37.1%	40.5%	33.2%
Colombia	Magüí	2000	51.1%	72.9%	23.9%
Colombia	Magüí	2017	32.9%	54.5%	13.2%
Colombia	Mahates	2000	55.6%	85.7%	23.7%
Colombia	Mahates	2017	21.4%	51.8%	5.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Maicao	2000	59.3%	66.3%	50.0%
Colombia	Maicao	2017	24.8%	30.8%	18.9%
Colombia	Majagual	2000	62.8%	83.2%	34.7%
Colombia	Majagual	2017	33.7%	55.9%	9.3%
Colombia	Málaga	2000	86.0%	96.8%	63.6%
Colombia	Málaga	2017	44.3%	61.0%	28.1%
Colombia	Malambo	2000	95.0%	96.2%	91.9%
Colombia	Malambo	2017	58.9%	63.8%	53.5%
Colombia	Mallama	2000	71.6%	100.0%	12.9%
Colombia	Mallama	2017	57.4%	99.8%	3.3%
Colombia	Manatí	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.1%
Colombia	Manatí	2017	97.3%	99.8%	82.3%
Colombia	Manaure	2000	71.3%	86.8%	48.0%
Colombia	Manaure	2000	64.1%	69.3%	59.9%
Colombia	Manaure	2017	71.8%	85.6%	35.6%
Colombia	Manaure	2017	41.7%	46.3%	37.5%
Colombia	Maní	2000	70.5%	92.6%	36.8%
Colombia	Maní	2017	49.6%	80.6%	16.5%
Colombia	Manizales	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Colombia	Manizales	2017	79.6%	82.9%	75.5%
Colombia	Manta	2000	64.7%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Manta	2017	48.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Manzanares	2000	86.3%	99.9%	23.5%
Colombia	Manzanares	2017	61.6%	98.5%	4.3%
Colombia	Mapiripán	2000	60.7%	75.3%	44.2%
Colombia	Mapiripán	2017	40.3%	55.9%	23.8%
Colombia	Margarita	2000	75.6%	100.0%	16.6%
Colombia	Margarita	2017	59.0%	99.1%	11.2%
Colombia	María la Baja	2000	52.2%	98.9%	7.7%
Colombia	María la Baja	2017	27.8%	89.3%	1.3%
Colombia	Marinilla	2000	84.4%	95.3%	69.5%
Colombia	Marinilla	2017	39.9%	53.1%	30.6%
Colombia	Maripí	2000	60.5%	68.8%	52.3%
Colombia	Maripí	2017	13.4%	17.8%	10.1%
Colombia	Marmato	2000	95.0%	99.2%	84.1%
Colombia	Marmato	2017	68.8%	86.9%	46.1%
Colombia	Marquetalia	2000	83.4%	100.0%	21.6%
Colombia	Marquetalia	2017	57.5%	99.5%	2.3%
Colombia	Marsella	2000	54.2%	68.9%	47.4%
Colombia	Marsella	2017	38.0%	47.2%	30.8%
Colombia	Marulanda	2000	92.2%	100.0%	42.9%
Colombia	Marulanda	2017	82.7%	99.8%	23.3%
Colombia	Matanza	2000	69.7%	93.7%	32.9%
Colombia	Matanza	2017	52.3%	86.4%	24.8%
Colombia	Medellín	2000	97.4%	97.6%	97.2%
Colombia	Medellín	2017	66.1%	68.4%	64.1%
Colombia	Medina	2000	70.8%	90.5%	49.1%
Colombia	Medina	2017	42.2%	63.2%	22.6%
Colombia	Melgar	2000	75.8%	99.9%	10.8%
Colombia	Melgar	2017	52.0%	98.2%	1.2%
Colombia	Mercaderes	2000	53.5%	76.4%	37.4%
Colombia	Mercaderes	2017	23.4%	43.0%	13.1%
Colombia	Mesetas	2000	48.7%	58.7%	39.3%
Colombia	Mesetas	2017	31.9%	41.9%	21.3%
Colombia	Milán	2000	36.6%	46.6%	29.3%
Colombia	Milán	2017	18.6%	27.5%	12.6%
Colombia	Miraflores	2000	67.4%	100.0%	1.8%
Colombia	Miraflores	2000	49.5%	68.7%	29.3%
Colombia	Miraflores	2017	49.2%	99.9%	0.2%
Colombia	Miraflores	2017	34.0%	52.5%	17.0%
Colombia	Miranda	2000	86.5%	95.8%	75.4%
Colombia	Miranda	2017	44.8%	63.3%	31.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Mirití-Paraná	2000	48.5%	75.0%	21.2%
Colombia	Mirití-Paraná	2017	32.9%	58.0%	9.8%
Colombia	Mistrato	2000	64.3%	99.0%	26.2%
Colombia	Mistrato	2017	47.5%	92.7%	17.3%
Colombia	Mitú	2000	25.7%	36.1%	16.1%
Colombia	Mitú	2017	13.1%	24.1%	5.2%
Colombia	Mogotes	2000	71.6%	84.4%	52.0%
Colombia	Mogotes	2017	30.8%	47.0%	14.2%
Colombia	Molagavita	2000	65.5%	98.8%	16.0%
Colombia	Molagavita	2017	32.6%	85.9%	2.1%
Colombia	Momil	2000	71.9%	96.5%	25.5%
Colombia	Momil	2017	40.4%	81.4%	3.1%
Colombia	Mompós	2000	70.0%	86.0%	53.1%
Colombia	Mompós	2017	58.0%	72.1%	42.7%
Colombia	Mongua	2000	39.4%	82.0%	7.4%
Colombia	Mongua	2017	16.2%	58.3%	1.3%
Colombia	Monguí	2000	61.3%	73.3%	52.0%
Colombia	Monguí	2017	23.5%	27.1%	20.6%
Colombia	Moniquirá	2000	61.7%	88.0%	30.0%
Colombia	Moniquirá	2017	25.4%	48.8%	6.6%
Colombia	Moñitos	2000	68.8%	97.8%	12.9%
Colombia	Moñitos	2017	43.0%	87.6%	2.0%
Colombia	Montebello	2000	80.7%	99.8%	23.9%
Colombia	Montebello	2017	52.2%	96.5%	4.5%
Colombia	Montecristo	2000	59.5%	90.8%	24.6%
Colombia	Montecristo	2017	38.7%	73.2%	9.3%
Colombia	Montelíbano	2000	75.5%	93.0%	38.5%
Colombia	Montelíbano	2017	54.0%	80.3%	20.5%
Colombia	Montenegro	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Colombia	Montenegro	2017	89.2%	91.7%	85.4%
Colombia	Montería	2000	70.6%	85.5%	51.8%
Colombia	Montería	2017	40.8%	59.0%	17.4%
Colombia	Monterrey	2000	73.7%	94.4%	43.0%
Colombia	Monterrey	2017	30.4%	62.3%	8.2%
Colombia	Morales	2000	79.1%	91.5%	62.0%
Colombia	Morales	2000	66.6%	92.2%	35.4%
Colombia	Morales	2017	38.3%	58.0%	19.2%
Colombia	Morales	2017	47.0%	75.0%	17.9%
Colombia	Morelia	2000	29.3%	85.3%	0.1%
Colombia	Morelia	2017	16.5%	53.5%	0.0%
Colombia	Morroa	2000	92.0%	97.9%	82.2%
Colombia	Morroa	2017	68.3%	76.5%	62.2%
Colombia	Mosquera	2000	90.6%	92.0%	89.1%
Colombia	Mosquera	2000	46.8%	99.4%	3.9%
Colombia	Mosquera	2017	43.2%	46.8%	39.4%
Colombia	Mosquera	2017	31.9%	95.4%	0.7%
Colombia	Motavita	2000	66.9%	99.8%	7.4%
Colombia	Motavita	2017	35.2%	95.4%	0.7%
Colombia	Murillo	2000	68.2%	100.0%	2.4%
Colombia	Murillo	2017	54.8%	100.0%	0.2%
Colombia	Murindó	2000	62.5%	100.0%	1.2%
Colombia	Murindó	2017	53.7%	100.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Mutatá	2000	45.0%	97.1%	2.1%
Colombia	Mutatá	2017	32.6%	90.4%	0.7%
Colombia	Mutiscua	2000	61.9%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Mutiscua	2017	49.5%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Muzo	2000	70.7%	77.0%	64.1%
Colombia	Muzo	2017	20.0%	25.7%	14.8%
Colombia	Nariño	2000	68.7%	98.6%	20.5%
Colombia	Nariño	2000	66.4%	99.9%	8.6%
Colombia	Nariño	2017	35.5%	91.7%	2.1%
Colombia	Nariño	2017	45.9%	98.0%	1.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Nátaga	2000	95.4%	100.0%	53.1%
Colombia	Nátaga	2017	83.9%	99.1%	24.8%
Colombia	Natagaima	2000	71.6%	99.6%	10.4%
Colombia	Natagaima	2017	53.5%	96.0%	3.8%
Colombia	Nechí	2000	42.8%	73.6%	16.9%
Colombia	Nechí	2017	21.4%	54.9%	3.0%
Colombia	Necoclí	2000	75.6%	98.8%	42.0%
Colombia	Necoclí	2017	55.5%	93.8%	18.4%
Colombia	Neira	2000	97.0%	98.2%	95.4%
Colombia	Neira	2017	80.4%	84.7%	73.9%
Colombia	Neiva	2000	95.8%	97.5%	92.2%
Colombia	Neiva	2017	70.3%	74.5%	65.3%
Colombia	Nemocón	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Colombia	Nemocón	2017	95.0%	98.5%	85.2%
Colombia	Nilo	2000	75.8%	99.1%	21.6%
Colombia	Nilo	2017	44.6%	90.8%	4.5%
Colombia	Nimaima	2000	81.6%	95.4%	59.1%
Colombia	Nimaima	2017	40.0%	62.6%	19.2%
Colombia	Nobsa	2000	94.8%	95.6%	93.9%
Colombia	Nobsa	2017	48.7%	54.0%	43.4%
Colombia	Nocaima	2000	78.0%	98.0%	42.2%
Colombia	Nocaima	2017	38.7%	73.4%	7.0%
Colombia	Novita	2000	48.8%	68.4%	36.3%
Colombia	Novita	2017	24.5%	42.5%	15.4%
Colombia	Nuevo Colón	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Colombia	Nuevo Colón	2017	59.9%	66.4%	52.7%
Colombia	Nunchía	2000	71.4%	94.0%	42.5%
Colombia	Nunchía	2017	41.3%	67.2%	16.0%
Colombia	Nuquí	2000	40.8%	86.8%	5.1%
Colombia	Nuquí	2017	23.5%	68.1%	0.8%
Colombia	Obando	2000	64.6%	71.9%	57.5%
Colombia	Obando	2017	35.1%	44.3%	26.8%
Colombia	Ocamonte	2000	75.0%	100.0%	0.6%
Colombia	Ocamonte	2017	58.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Ocaña	2000	89.6%	91.2%	87.7%
Colombia	Ocaña	2017	64.5%	68.7%	60.3%
Colombia	Oiba	2000	86.5%	97.7%	45.9%
Colombia	Oiba	2017	52.7%	81.6%	16.4%
Colombia	Oicatá	2000	85.2%	87.2%	82.7%
Colombia	Oicatá	2017	31.5%	34.8%	28.0%
Colombia	Olaya	2000	78.0%	100.0%	2.6%
Colombia	Olaya	2017	59.0%	99.9%	0.2%
Colombia	Olaya Herrera	2000	59.2%	88.9%	19.2%
Colombia	Olaya Herrera	2017	37.4%	72.7%	4.5%
Colombia	Onzaga	2000	57.7%	99.2%	2.3%
Colombia	Onzaga	2017	39.1%	95.3%	0.3%
Colombia	Oporapa	2000	46.9%	96.5%	5.1%
Colombia	Oporapa	2017	25.5%	87.2%	0.7%
Colombia	Orito	2000	61.9%	79.4%	48.2%
Colombia	Orito	2017	32.5%	49.3%	22.4%
Colombia	Orocué	2000	63.3%	92.1%	22.9%
Colombia	Orocué	2017	45.6%	80.3%	13.7%
Colombia	Ortega	2000	53.7%	87.0%	13.4%
Colombia	Ortega	2017	28.0%	72.4%	3.5%
Colombia	Ospina	2000	88.8%	97.4%	71.9%
Colombia	Ospina	2017	55.1%	79.5%	17.7%
Colombia	Otanche	2000	87.4%	100.0%	41.1%
Colombia	Otanche	2017	72.9%	99.5%	14.5%
Colombia	Ovejas	2000	93.1%	97.8%	86.4%
Colombia	Ovejas	2017	76.5%	86.7%	64.6%
Colombia	Pachavita	2000	93.5%	99.9%	59.5%
Colombia	Pachavita	2017	74.9%	98.9%	23.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Pacho	2000	69.1%	98.1%	17.1%
Colombia	Pacho	2017	41.2%	89.1%	3.5%
Colombia	Pacoa	2000	37.1%	56.6%	20.1%
Colombia	Pacoa	2017	23.2%	40.8%	9.1%
Colombia	Pácora	2000	80.5%	92.8%	55.8%
Colombia	Pácora	2017	45.3%	63.7%	29.1%
Colombia	Padilla	2000	89.0%	93.0%	82.4%
Colombia	Padilla	2017	41.0%	50.9%	32.1%
Colombia	Páez	2000	82.4%	94.9%	65.4%
Colombia	Páez	2000	68.5%	100.0%	0.6%
Colombia	Páez	2017	53.9%	99.9%	0.1%
Colombia	Páez	2017	69.2%	83.9%	54.0%
Colombia	Paicol	2000	90.5%	99.4%	76.8%
Colombia	Paicol	2017	79.3%	95.1%	58.9%
Colombia	Pailitas	2000	92.6%	99.6%	60.1%
Colombia	Pailitas	2017	76.6%	94.6%	27.5%
Colombia	Paime	2000	49.3%	99.3%	0.1%
Colombia	Paime	2017	30.0%	94.7%	0.0%
Colombia	Paipa	2000	86.0%	99.6%	55.0%
Colombia	Paipa	2017	67.3%	96.4%	30.2%
Colombia	Pajarito	2000	31.5%	98.9%	0.1%
Colombia	Pajarito	2017	23.5%	97.4%	0.0%
Colombia	Palermo	2000	96.3%	99.3%	85.9%
Colombia	Palermo	2017	83.2%	94.0%	63.1%
Colombia	Palestina	2000	49.3%	88.0%	14.0%
Colombia	Palestina	2000	95.4%	99.6%	81.2%
Colombia	Palestina	2017	24.6%	68.6%	3.9%
Colombia	Palestina	2017	83.2%	98.0%	60.2%
Colombia	Palmar	2000	70.4%	100.0%	0.7%
Colombia	Palmar	2017	44.5%	99.8%	0.0%
Colombia	Palmar de Varela	2000	76.1%	99.5%	30.7%
Colombia	Palmar de Varela	2017	46.7%	92.9%	20.4%
Colombia	Palmas del Socorro	2000	74.5%	100.0%	1.3%
Colombia	Palmas del Socorro	2017	54.7%	100.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Palmira	2000	93.7%	94.8%	93.1%
Colombia	Palmira	2017	76.9%	78.8%	74.8%
Colombia	Pamplona	2000	73.9%	98.3%	9.1%
Colombia	Pamplona	2017	48.6%	96.5%	1.9%
Colombia	Pamplonita	2000	72.1%	99.7%	9.4%
Colombia	Pamplonita	2017	44.0%	95.9%	2.8%
Colombia	Pana Pana	2000	43.0%	65.4%	19.7%
Colombia	Pana Pana	2017	27.7%	46.4%	9.4%
Colombia	Pandi	2000	59.5%	100.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Pandi	2017	41.9%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Panqueba	2000	70.1%	97.0%	47.8%
Colombia	Panqueba	2017	34.7%	74.2%	13.0%
Colombia	Papunahua	2000	39.6%	68.2%	13.0%
Colombia	Papunahua	2017	23.9%	51.4%	4.4%
Colombia	Páramo	2000	83.6%	99.6%	25.0%
Colombia	Páramo	2017	54.0%	95.8%	3.0%
Colombia	Paratebueno	2000	62.1%	80.4%	40.6%
Colombia	Paratebueno	2017	29.1%	51.9%	9.5%
Colombia	Pasca	2000	3.2%	11.8%	0.0%
Colombia	Pasca	2017	1.2%	5.8%	0.0%
Colombia	Patía	2000	64.7%	93.1%	27.5%
Colombia	Patía	2017	35.1%	71.1%	7.0%
Colombia	Pauna	2000	84.8%	94.4%	59.1%
Colombia	Pauna	2017	59.8%	80.2%	25.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Paya	2000	46.5%	97.4%	2.5%
Colombia	Paya	2017	32.2%	91.0%	0.4%
Colombia	Paz de Ariporo	2000	62.1%	72.4%	50.0%
Colombia	Paz de Ariporo	2017	35.7%	47.1%	25.5%
Colombia	Paz de Río	2000	72.9%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Paz de Río	2017	58.6%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Pedraza	2000	75.4%	99.9%	34.8%
Colombia	Pedraza	2017	62.2%	98.8%	22.5%
Colombia	Pelaya	2000	62.2%	99.4%	8.1%
Colombia	Pelaya	2017	40.8%	94.0%	2.3%
Colombia	Peñol	2000	32.2%	89.8%	0.8%
Colombia	Peñol	2017	13.2%	64.2%	0.1%
Colombia	Pensilvania	2000	89.8%	99.7%	53.1%
Colombia	Pensilvania	2017	73.9%	97.0%	23.3%
Colombia	Pequé	2000	83.5%	98.9%	57.0%
Colombia	Pequé	2017	54.8%	87.2%	25.9%
Colombia	Pereira	2000	94.0%	95.0%	92.6%
Colombia	Pereira	2017	72.9%	75.2%	70.2%
Colombia	Pesca	2000	38.8%	98.3%	0.8%
Colombia	Pesca	2017	21.8%	88.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Piedecuesta	2000	93.4%	95.5%	89.7%
Colombia	Piedecuesta	2017	51.3%	56.1%	46.5%
Colombia	Piedras	2000	50.3%	86.2%	8.6%
Colombia	Piedras	2017	29.5%	68.1%	0.6%
Colombia	Piendamó	2000	95.8%	97.4%	92.6%
Colombia	Piendamó	2017	67.5%	76.4%	57.6%
Colombia	Pijao	2000	99.2%	100.0%	92.2%
Colombia	Pijao	2017	95.3%	99.6%	72.2%
Colombia	Pinchote	2000	88.0%	95.4%	73.5%
Colombia	Pinchote	2017	45.6%	58.7%	33.8%
Colombia	Pinillos	2000	73.7%	94.0%	47.6%
Colombia	Pinillos	2017	52.2%	82.3%	19.9%
Colombia	Piojó	2000	22.2%	81.0%	0.6%
Colombia	Piojó	2017	8.0%	49.3%	0.0%
Colombia	Pisba	2000	41.1%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Pisba	2017	29.6%	99.7%	0.0%
Colombia	Pital	2000	22.8%	59.7%	6.5%
Colombia	Pital	2017	15.2%	42.7%	2.1%
Colombia	Pitalito	2000	83.7%	85.9%	82.0%
Colombia	Pitalito	2017	44.6%	48.2%	41.1%
Colombia	Pivijay	2000	84.6%	99.0%	61.2%
Colombia	Pivijay	2017	69.3%	94.1%	39.4%
Colombia	Planadas	2000	77.7%	96.0%	59.6%
Colombia	Planadas	2017	64.4%	85.3%	47.1%
Colombia	Planeta Rica	2000	60.1%	82.4%	38.3%
Colombia	Planeta Rica	2017	29.7%	54.1%	10.3%
Colombia	Plato	2000	76.3%	95.7%	50.2%
Colombia	Plato	2017	55.3%	83.8%	25.7%
Colombia	Policarpa	2000	59.0%	91.3%	26.6%
Colombia	Policarpa	2017	27.1%	63.5%	7.4%
Colombia	Polonuevo	2000	94.3%	99.2%	69.3%
Colombia	Polonuevo	2017	63.2%	88.8%	14.6%
Colombia	Ponedera	2000	98.5%	99.1%	97.2%
Colombia	Ponedera	2017	81.0%	86.8%	72.0%
Colombia	Popayán	2000	92.5%	94.1%	89.6%
Colombia	Popayán	2017	50.4%	56.1%	42.5%
Colombia	Pore	2000	66.8%	94.2%	37.9%
Colombia	Pore	2017	33.3%	67.9%	7.2%
Colombia	Potosí	2000	82.5%	91.6%	65.7%
Colombia	Potosí	2017	32.1%	46.2%	15.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Pradera	2000	74.0%	78.0%	70.2%
Colombia	Pradera	2017	39.7%	44.2%	35.1%
Colombia	Prado	2000	98.4%	99.8%	94.2%
Colombia	Prado	2017	87.6%	96.8%	62.5%
Colombia	Providencia	2000	96.2%	99.0%	83.4%
Colombia	Providencia	2017	76.5%	94.3%	25.0%
Colombia	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	21.4%	78.2%	0.3%
Colombia	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	13.9%	58.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Pueblo Rico	2000	31.1%	80.6%	3.5%
Colombia	Pueblo Rico	2017	17.8%	61.5%	1.5%
Colombia	Pueblo Viejo	2000	48.9%	72.1%	34.5%
Colombia	Pueblo Viejo	2017	19.5%	29.8%	9.8%
Colombia	Pueblorrico	2000	64.1%	92.4%	15.4%
Colombia	Pueblorrico	2017	27.5%	57.4%	2.3%
Colombia	Puente Nacional	2000	12.3%	44.7%	3.1%
Colombia	Puente Nacional	2017	3.2%	11.4%	0.3%
Colombia	Puerres	2000	94.9%	99.2%	79.8%
Colombia	Puerres	2017	76.4%	89.3%	44.4%
Colombia	Puerto Asís	2000	48.6%	60.0%	35.8%
Colombia	Puerto Asís	2017	18.9%	30.1%	9.7%
Colombia	Puerto Berrío	2000	89.5%	99.0%	67.0%
Colombia	Puerto Berrío	2017	69.5%	88.0%	43.6%
Colombia	Puerto Boyacá	2000	89.0%	95.5%	80.1%
Colombia	Puerto Boyacá	2017	51.8%	64.4%	38.7%
Colombia	Puerto Caicedo	2000	35.6%	59.4%	23.0%
Colombia	Puerto Caicedo	2017	9.8%	22.7%	3.6%
Colombia	Puerto Carreño	2000	74.6%	84.1%	60.0%
Colombia	Puerto Carreño	2017	39.2%	51.0%	28.0%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2000	42.2%	64.7%	21.9%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2000	98.5%	99.5%	95.6%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2017	81.5%	90.3%	58.4%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2017	25.1%	43.7%	8.7%
Colombia	Puerto Concordia	2000	61.5%	76.5%	38.4%
Colombia	Puerto Concordia	2017	41.3%	61.2%	16.3%
Colombia	Puerto Escondido	2000	62.9%	99.7%	5.5%
Colombia	Puerto Escondido	2017	43.5%	96.7%	0.6%
Colombia	Puerto Gaitán	2000	66.2%	82.2%	47.9%
Colombia	Puerto Gaitán	2017	49.2%	70.0%	25.1%
Colombia	Puerto Guzmán	2000	61.4%	95.8%	19.9%
Colombia	Puerto Guzmán	2017	46.1%	87.3%	8.0%
Colombia	Puerto Inírida	2000	38.2%	48.2%	28.9%
Colombia	Puerto Inírida	2017	16.3%	23.9%	9.3%
Colombia	Puerto Leguízamo	2000	54.5%	84.9%	21.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Puerto Leguízamo	2017	42.7%	73.8%	10.8%
Colombia	Puerto Libertador	2000	72.9%	89.1%	48.1%
Colombia	Puerto Libertador	2017	59.4%	80.3%	26.6%
Colombia	Puerto Lleras	2000	71.1%	92.1%	45.2%
Colombia	Puerto Lleras	2017	45.0%	68.7%	24.8%
Colombia	Puerto López	2000	73.6%	88.7%	53.1%
Colombia	Puerto López	2017	43.9%	65.1%	22.5%
Colombia	Puerto Nare	2000	85.0%	99.0%	53.2%
Colombia	Puerto Nare	2017	57.6%	90.5%	22.4%
Colombia	Puerto Nariño	2000	14.5%	22.4%	7.1%
Colombia	Puerto Nariño	2017	3.8%	9.2%	0.8%
Colombia	Puerto Parra	2000	62.8%	99.9%	3.2%
Colombia	Puerto Parra	2017	49.0%	99.4%	0.3%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2000	69.9%	88.6%	46.0%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2000	66.9%	82.6%	53.1%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2017	30.7%	48.3%	17.8%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2017	41.5%	61.8%	20.2%
Colombia	Puerto Rondón	2000	70.7%	86.7%	50.2%
Colombia	Puerto Rondón	2017	50.2%	76.9%	24.5%
Colombia	Puerto Salgar	2000	95.1%	96.8%	90.6%
Colombia	Puerto Salgar	2017	57.7%	65.0%	43.8%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2000	48.1%	74.5%	20.9%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2000	98.2%	100.0%	78.4%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2017	34.5%	62.5%	7.8%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2017	93.3%	99.8%	58.0%
Colombia	Puerto Tejada	2000	91.4%	93.0%	89.6%
Colombia	Puerto Tejada	2017	58.6%	64.6%	52.2%
Colombia	Puerto Trunfo	2000	94.1%	98.7%	83.9%
Colombia	Puerto Trunfo	2017	69.0%	85.9%	33.4%
Colombia	Puerto Wilches	2000	77.1%	91.3%	42.2%
Colombia	Puerto Wilches	2017	57.1%	81.0%	16.3%
Colombia	Pulí	2000	43.5%	99.9%	0.4%
Colombia	Pulí	2017	26.3%	98.2%	0.0%
Colombia	Pupiales	2000	65.4%	74.8%	57.8%
Colombia	Pupiales	2017	30.4%	40.8%	23.5%
Colombia	Puracé	2000	95.9%	100.0%	53.5%
Colombia	Puracé	2017	90.3%	100.0%	39.2%
Colombia	Purificación	2000	97.1%	98.1%	95.9%
Colombia	Purificación	2017	81.0%	88.5%	72.7%
Colombia	Purísima	2000	51.2%	95.2%	9.4%
Colombia	Purísima	2017	20.3%	69.6%	0.8%
Colombia	Quebradanegra	2000	70.3%	99.5%	17.5%
Colombia	Quebradanegra	2017	36.8%	90.2%	2.7%
Colombia	Quetame	2000	9.8%	30.6%	2.3%
Colombia	Quetame	2017	3.2%	15.8%	0.6%
Colombia	Quibdó	2000	48.0%	55.9%	39.6%
Colombia	Quibdó	2017	26.9%	34.6%	19.4%
Colombia	Quimbaya	2000	98.9%	99.4%	98.1%
Colombia	Quimbaya	2017	87.3%	92.4%	77.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Quinchía	2000	97.5%	100.0%	87.3%
Colombia	Quinchía	2017	89.7%	99.5%	57.8%
Colombia	Quípama	2000	97.1%	98.3%	95.2%
Colombia	Quípama	2017	81.3%	89.0%	68.0%
Colombia	Quipile	2000	43.7%	91.1%	3.0%
Colombia	Quipile	2017	22.9%	79.7%	0.2%
Colombia	Ragonvalia	2000	65.5%	99.6%	3.9%
Colombia	Ragonvalia	2017	41.1%	95.5%	0.3%
Colombia	Ramiriquí	2000	38.4%	98.5%	0.4%
Colombia	Ramiriquí	2017	22.4%	84.8%	0.0%
Colombia	Ráquira	2000	54.4%	98.7%	3.4%
Colombia	Ráquira	2017	28.5%	89.4%	0.2%
Colombia	Recetor	2000	43.7%	81.9%	10.5%
Colombia	Recetor	2017	18.6%	63.1%	1.8%
Colombia	Remedios	2000	69.9%	97.3%	20.9%
Colombia	Remedios	2017	49.3%	90.3%	6.3%
Colombia	Remolino	2000	93.6%	99.9%	76.3%
Colombia	Remolino	2017	84.8%	97.9%	66.5%
Colombia	Repelón	2000	83.8%	96.4%	72.3%
Colombia	Repelón	2017	72.0%	82.8%	66.3%
Colombia	Restrepo	2000	44.4%	92.1%	1.6%
Colombia	Restrepo	2000	77.7%	80.2%	75.4%
Colombia	Restrepo	2017	17.9%	19.9%	16.1%
Colombia	Restrepo	2017	19.5%	74.7%	0.1%
Colombia	Retiro	2000	98.8%	99.4%	97.6%
Colombia	Retiro	2017	84.7%	90.1%	78.1%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2000	93.7%	96.9%	87.3%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2000	71.7%	95.6%	34.6%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2017	50.8%	81.8%	16.7%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2017	58.4%	75.2%	41.0%
Colombia	Río de Oro	2000	82.5%	91.6%	69.8%
Colombia	Río de Oro	2017	35.6%	60.3%	18.5%
Colombia	Río Viejo	2000	52.1%	96.8%	1.3%
Colombia	Río Viejo	2017	32.7%	87.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Rioblanco	2000	61.2%	85.7%	38.0%
Colombia	Rioblanco	2017	49.1%	74.7%	20.8%
Colombia	Riofrío	2000	83.2%	100.0%	17.2%
Colombia	Riofrío	2017	71.5%	100.0%	2.3%
Colombia	Riohacha	2000	80.1%	86.5%	72.9%
Colombia	Riohacha	2017	46.4%	54.9%	38.4%
Colombia	Rionegro	2000	92.9%	95.8%	88.8%
Colombia	Rionegro	2000	40.6%	53.2%	20.2%
Colombia	Rionegro	2017	55.2%	61.6%	47.8%
Colombia	Rionegro	2017	30.9%	48.8%	12.2%
Colombia	Riosucio	2000	59.6%	84.2%	35.7%
Colombia	Riosucio	2000	91.1%	93.3%	88.9%
Colombia	Riosucio	2017	44.6%	74.2%	21.8%
Colombia	Riosucio	2017	74.9%	78.6%	69.4%
Colombia	Risaralda	2000	67.2%	87.0%	56.8%
Colombia	Risaralda	2017	25.3%	36.8%	18.9%
Colombia	Rivera	2000	74.7%	97.9%	47.3%
Colombia	Rivera	2017	58.1%	88.6%	38.9%
Colombia	Roberto Payán	2000	63.4%	93.9%	31.0%
Colombia	Roberto Payán	2017	37.1%	70.5%	10.7%
Colombia	Roldanillo	2000	96.5%	98.4%	90.3%
Colombia	Roldanillo	2017	88.9%	95.8%	69.2%
Colombia	Roncesvalles	2000	40.1%	92.6%	6.9%
Colombia	Roncesvalles	2017	25.6%	80.0%	2.5%
Colombia	Rondón	2000	41.5%	99.8%	0.0%
Colombia	Rondón	2017	26.5%	97.7%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Rosas	2000	50.7%	99.2%	7.0%
Colombia	Rosas	2017	26.2%	89.1%	0.9%
Colombia	Rovira	2000	61.8%	98.8%	11.6%
Colombia	Rovira	2017	40.7%	91.6%	4.7%
Colombia	Sabana de Torres	2000	75.0%	90.6%	35.2%
Colombia	Sabana de Torres	2017	52.7%	80.1%	13.6%
Colombia	Sabanagrande	2000	95.1%	99.9%	65.0%
Colombia	Sabanagrande	2017	75.1%	99.1%	25.4%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	65.4%	98.0%	21.2%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	92.8%	95.0%	89.0%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	68.6%	99.9%	18.0%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	47.0%	55.7%	37.8%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	45.2%	98.0%	2.3%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	35.0%	85.2%	2.7%
Colombia	Sabaneta	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Colombia	Sabaneta	2017	78.2%	82.3%	73.2%
Colombia	Saboyá	2000	81.7%	85.8%	77.3%
Colombia	Saboyá	2017	20.8%	25.4%	17.3%
Colombia	Sácama	2000	47.7%	92.0%	7.2%
Colombia	Sácama	2017	25.1%	67.6%	1.1%
Colombia	Sáchica	2000	80.5%	95.1%	56.5%
Colombia	Sáchica	2017	30.5%	56.2%	14.5%
Colombia	Saladoblanco	2000	81.3%	98.0%	57.5%
Colombia	Saladoblanco	2017	55.0%	80.0%	31.7%
Colombia	Salamina	2000	83.3%	87.0%	78.4%
Colombia	Salamina	2000	92.9%	95.0%	89.6%
Colombia	Salamina	2017	56.0%	60.6%	49.5%
Colombia	Salamina	2017	61.1%	66.3%	50.0%
Colombia	Salazar de las Palmas	2000	84.0%	97.4%	62.5%
Colombia	Salazar de las Palmas	2017	51.7%	74.3%	26.8%
Colombia	Saldaña	2000	82.4%	97.0%	70.1%
Colombia	Saldaña	2017	50.9%	65.8%	39.7%
Colombia	Salento	2000	94.9%	98.4%	90.7%
Colombia	Salento	2017	72.6%	84.2%	58.8%
Colombia	Salgar	2000	85.8%	96.5%	75.2%
Colombia	Salgar	2017	59.8%	74.7%	34.2%
Colombia	Samacá	2000	80.2%	98.4%	44.8%
Colombia	Samacá	2017	39.9%	83.4%	10.9%
Colombia	Samaná	2000	66.5%	98.3%	33.0%
Colombia	Samaná	2017	46.9%	87.7%	17.8%
Colombia	Samaniego	2000	93.7%	97.4%	85.7%
Colombia	Samaniego	2017	65.1%	79.2%	39.9%
Colombia	Sampués	2000	93.5%	95.6%	91.3%
Colombia	Sampués	2017	81.2%	84.8%	74.6%
Colombia	San Agustín	2000	55.6%	69.5%	36.8%
Colombia	San Agustín	2017	47.2%	61.1%	23.6%
Colombia	San Alberto	2000	68.2%	91.9%	32.0%
Colombia	San Alberto	2017	42.7%	74.4%	12.7%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2000	89.2%	99.8%	31.7%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2000	62.3%	99.8%	2.5%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2017	69.6%	97.6%	9.5%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2017	43.2%	97.0%	0.2%
Colombia	San Andrés de Sotavento	2000	41.9%	48.2%	36.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Andrés de Sotavento	2017	13.9%	19.1%	10.2%
Colombia	San Antero	2000	77.5%	99.6%	7.0%
Colombia	San Antero	2017	52.8%	94.5%	2.3%
Colombia	San Antonio	2000	56.6%	98.0%	6.6%
Colombia	San Antonio	2017	34.5%	90.2%	1.1%
Colombia	San Antonio de Palmito	2000	84.6%	97.7%	51.6%
Colombia	San Antonio de Palmito	2017	53.6%	84.8%	11.4%
Colombia	San Antonio del Tequendama	2000	98.8%	99.6%	97.3%
Colombia	San Antonio del Tequendama	2017	85.2%	92.9%	72.5%
Colombia	San Benito	2000	78.0%	100.0%	3.5%
Colombia	San Benito	2017	60.6%	100.0%	0.2%
Colombia	San Benito Abad	2000	71.1%	96.3%	33.8%
Colombia	San Benito Abad	2017	53.0%	84.4%	17.8%
Colombia	San Bernardino de Sahagún	2000	58.5%	86.2%	26.3%
Colombia	San Bernardino de Sahagún	2017	28.2%	64.0%	5.3%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2000	62.6%	100.0%	0.2%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2000	66.6%	98.0%	26.0%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2017	49.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2017	22.0%	78.3%	3.6%
Colombia	San Bernardo del Viento	2000	75.9%	83.9%	63.7%
Colombia	San Bernardo del Viento	2017	45.6%	57.5%	31.9%
Colombia	San Calixto	2000	50.4%	88.8%	10.8%
Colombia	San Calixto	2017	24.9%	65.3%	2.4%
Colombia	San Carlos	2000	62.3%	74.5%	36.1%
Colombia	San Carlos	2000	69.5%	98.9%	8.1%
Colombia	San Carlos	2017	43.1%	60.8%	12.3%
Colombia	San Carlos	2017	49.5%	95.1%	1.6%
Colombia	San Carlos de Guaroa	2000	61.5%	87.4%	39.9%
Colombia	San Carlos de Guaroa	2017	36.2%	65.3%	12.0%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2000	83.7%	100.0%	33.8%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2000	91.0%	100.0%	37.1%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2017	73.0%	99.8%	28.5%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2017	77.4%	99.8%	8.9%
Colombia	San Diego	2000	86.1%	99.2%	32.8%
Colombia	San Diego	2017	76.3%	95.0%	18.9%
Colombia	San Eduardo	2000	66.8%	99.9%	2.5%
Colombia	San Eduardo	2017	45.3%	98.7%	0.4%
Colombia	San Estanislao de Kostka	2000	98.7%	99.5%	94.9%
Colombia	San Estanislao de Kostka	2017	91.2%	95.6%	79.0%
Colombia	San Felipe	2000	39.9%	66.1%	15.6%
Colombia	San Felipe	2017	23.3%	45.9%	5.1%
Colombia	San Fernando	2000	89.4%	97.7%	68.2%
Colombia	San Fernando	2017	60.1%	79.7%	35.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	83.2%	99.2%	27.5%
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	45.9%	98.7%	0.6%
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	66.6%	93.9%	31.1%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	29.7%	90.3%	0.0%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	58.4%	93.6%	10.8%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	29.4%	69.7%	7.2%
Colombia	San Gil	2000	71.5%	99.1%	24.2%
Colombia	San Gil	2017	35.3%	89.5%	3.8%
Colombia	San Jacinto	2000	72.9%	90.2%	53.4%
Colombia	San Jacinto	2017	35.3%	57.1%	16.7%
Colombia	San Jerónimo	2000	99.0%	100.0%	92.9%
Colombia	San Jerónimo	2017	95.4%	100.0%	70.2%
Colombia	San Joaquín	2000	57.1%	96.1%	8.4%
Colombia	San Joaquín	2017	27.7%	77.4%	0.8%
Colombia	San José de Cúcuta	2000	92.0%	95.3%	88.2%
Colombia	San José de Cúcuta	2017	65.3%	71.0%	61.4%
Colombia	San José de la Montaña	2000	83.9%	99.9%	27.7%
Colombia	San José de la Montaña	2017	63.1%	99.1%	6.5%
Colombia	San José de Miranda	2000	85.7%	93.6%	69.5%
Colombia	San José de Miranda	2017	38.8%	58.5%	20.6%
Colombia	San Jose de Ocune	2000	59.3%	69.7%	48.9%
Colombia	San Jose de Ocune	2017	37.9%	49.3%	28.3%
Colombia	San José de Pare	2000	84.9%	91.7%	63.6%
Colombia	San José de Pare	2017	35.8%	49.4%	12.9%
Colombia	San José del Fragua	2000	57.6%	86.0%	23.3%
Colombia	San José del Fragua	2017	29.6%	60.1%	3.7%
Colombia	San José del Guaviare	2000	54.7%	60.9%	49.2%
Colombia	San José del Guaviare	2017	30.5%	35.8%	25.7%
Colombia	San José del Palmar	2000	71.9%	97.6%	18.2%
Colombia	San José del Palmar	2017	45.5%	86.7%	4.5%
Colombia	San Juan de Arama	2000	78.1%	95.2%	50.1%
Colombia	San Juan de Arama	2017	59.0%	85.4%	26.5%
Colombia	San Juan de Betulia	2000	98.2%	99.8%	85.4%
Colombia	San Juan de Betulia	2017	88.0%	96.0%	66.2%
Colombia	San Juan de Pasto	2000	88.8%	94.9%	84.4%
Colombia	San Juan de Pasto	2017	61.4%	67.7%	55.8%
Colombia	San Juan de Río Seco	2000	50.0%	67.6%	36.1%
Colombia	San Juan de Río Seco	2017	43.9%	61.3%	19.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Juan de Urabá	2000	72.9%	99.4%	24.6%
Colombia	San Juan de Urabá	2017	50.1%	93.2%	7.9%
Colombia	San Juan del Cesar	2000	29.5%	53.0%	15.8%
Colombia	San Juan del Cesar	2017	25.5%	41.6%	15.5%
Colombia	San Juan Nepomuceno	2000	17.5%	29.8%	10.6%
Colombia	San Juan Nepomuceno	2017	10.4%	19.9%	4.2%
Colombia	San Juanito	2000	85.6%	100.0%	16.3%
Colombia	San Juanito	2017	74.3%	100.0%	3.3%
Colombia	San Lorenzo	2000	98.7%	99.9%	95.7%
Colombia	San Lorenzo	2017	91.6%	98.5%	80.6%
Colombia	San Luis	2000	56.5%	95.4%	13.9%
Colombia	San Luis	2017	27.7%	75.3%	3.9%
Colombia	San Luís	2000	81.2%	90.9%	72.0%
Colombia	San Luís	2017	48.8%	57.9%	39.1%
Colombia	San Luis de Cubarral	2000	62.7%	91.6%	31.2%
Colombia	San Luis de Cubarral	2017	37.0%	76.2%	12.4%
Colombia	San Luis de Gaceno	2000	48.4%	99.9%	0.1%
Colombia	San Luis de Gaceno	2017	32.3%	98.7%	0.0%
Colombia	San Luis de Palenque	2000	56.4%	90.4%	20.4%
Colombia	San Luis de Palenque	2017	43.8%	83.7%	6.5%
Colombia	San Marcos	2000	74.3%	82.0%	65.0%
Colombia	San Marcos	2017	42.1%	56.2%	27.9%
Colombia	San Martín	2000	73.9%	87.1%	52.6%
Colombia	San Martín	2000	64.8%	89.9%	32.6%
Colombia	San Martín	2017	42.3%	62.2%	23.1%
Colombia	San Martín	2017	29.9%	66.4%	6.9%
Colombia	San Martín de Loba	2000	72.7%	87.0%	53.4%
Colombia	San Martín de Loba	2017	38.9%	57.5%	25.0%
Colombia	San Mateo	2000	66.7%	75.1%	58.3%
Colombia	San Mateo	2017	16.3%	22.5%	12.3%
Colombia	San Miguel	2000	60.3%	70.6%	53.5%
Colombia	San Miguel	2017	24.2%	33.6%	16.0%
Colombia	San Miguel de Mocoa	2000	89.2%	94.1%	82.3%
Colombia	San Miguel de Mocoa	2017	46.9%	55.0%	38.6%
Colombia	San Miguel de Sema	2000	70.3%	85.5%	56.9%
Colombia	San Miguel de Sema	2017	28.8%	35.1%	23.7%
Colombia	San Onofre	2000	84.0%	97.8%	56.6%
Colombia	San Onofre	2017	63.1%	86.0%	34.2%
Colombia	San Pablo	2000	96.2%	97.9%	93.9%
Colombia	San Pablo	2000	83.8%	98.0%	56.9%
Colombia	San Pablo	2017	69.3%	76.7%	58.9%
Colombia	San Pablo	2017	65.0%	90.8%	19.2%
Colombia	San Pablo de Borbur	2000	88.5%	97.6%	58.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Pablo de Borbur	2017	55.6%	85.4%	14.2%
Colombia	San Pedro	2000	99.6%	100.0%	96.2%
Colombia	San Pedro	2000	62.3%	79.4%	44.3%
Colombia	San Pedro	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.4%
Colombia	San Pedro	2017	37.1%	62.0%	14.2%
Colombia	San Pedro de Cartago	2000	98.5%	99.4%	96.8%
Colombia	San Pedro de Cartago	2017	83.6%	90.3%	74.9%
Colombia	San Pedro de los Milagros	2000	99.1%	100.0%	89.3%
Colombia	San Pedro de los Milagros	2017	97.4%	100.0%	76.0%
Colombia	San Pedro de Urabá	2000	73.0%	82.5%	60.0%
Colombia	San Pedro de Urabá	2017	41.1%	54.1%	27.1%
Colombia	San Pelayo	2000	30.0%	52.4%	11.3%
Colombia	San Pelayo	2017	13.0%	33.4%	1.3%
Colombia	San Rafael	2000	51.8%	99.8%	2.3%
Colombia	San Rafael	2017	35.2%	96.3%	0.2%
Colombia	San Roque	2000	86.2%	98.5%	52.0%
Colombia	San Roque	2017	54.3%	82.5%	20.5%
Colombia	San Sebastián	2000	73.3%	99.6%	23.2%
Colombia	San Sebastián	2017	56.4%	97.8%	13.2%
Colombia	San Sebastián de Buenavista	2000	78.7%	99.9%	22.6%
Colombia	San Sebastián de Buenavista	2017	61.4%	98.9%	7.1%
Colombia	San Sebastian de Mariquita	2000	90.9%	95.0%	86.3%
Colombia	San Sebastian de Mariquita	2017	56.0%	61.9%	49.7%
Colombia	San Vicente	2000	91.0%	99.8%	68.0%
Colombia	San Vicente	2017	76.5%	96.9%	43.3%
Colombia	San Vicente de Chucurí	2000	60.4%	76.2%	42.0%
Colombia	San Vicente de Chucurí	2017	35.5%	56.6%	14.9%
Colombia	San Vicente del Caguán	2000	74.5%	83.6%	66.4%
Colombia	San Vicente del Caguán	2017	50.6%	59.1%	42.1%
Colombia	San Zenón	2000	92.3%	99.4%	71.3%
Colombia	San Zenón	2017	70.2%	92.3%	25.0%
Colombia	Sandoná	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.4%
Colombia	Sandoná	2017	95.0%	98.3%	85.7%
Colombia	Santa Ana	2000	62.1%	86.7%	33.1%
Colombia	Santa Ana	2017	42.7%	70.2%	15.1%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	98.4%	100.0%	88.4%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	78.4%	98.2%	47.2%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	45.7%	86.9%	9.6%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	46.4%	80.0%	12.8%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	94.8%	99.8%	75.7%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	29.0%	71.4%	3.0%
Colombia	Santa Catalina	2000	28.2%	56.8%	10.6%
Colombia	Santa Catalina	2017	6.7%	22.8%	1.1%
Colombia	Santa Cruz	2000	89.9%	99.8%	59.2%
Colombia	Santa Cruz	2017	73.8%	96.3%	24.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Santa Cruz de Lorica	2000	63.0%	86.0%	34.3%
Colombia	Santa Cruz de Lorica	2017	27.4%	57.8%	4.9%
Colombia	Santa Fe de Antioquia	2000	83.5%	99.9%	31.4%
Colombia	Santa Fe de Antioquia	2017	65.9%	98.1%	17.3%
Colombia	Santa Helena del Opón	2000	61.3%	99.7%	3.3%
Colombia	Santa Helena del Opón	2017	44.7%	97.7%	0.4%
Colombia	Santa Isabel	2000	76.1%	99.7%	27.4%
Colombia	Santa Isabel	2017	56.7%	97.4%	12.9%
Colombia	Santa Lucía	2000	98.2%	100.0%	87.5%
Colombia	Santa Lucía	2017	92.1%	100.0%	36.9%
Colombia	Santa María	2000	84.9%	100.0%	16.5%
Colombia	Santa María	2000	40.2%	98.7%	0.0%
Colombia	Santa María	2017	73.2%	100.0%	7.9%
Colombia	Santa María	2017	25.5%	92.5%	0.0%
Colombia	Santa Marta (Dist. Esp.)	2000	86.1%	88.2%	83.9%
Colombia	Santa Marta (Dist. Esp.)	2017	34.5%	38.0%	31.3%
Colombia	Santa Rita	2000	60.0%	77.8%	43.9%
Colombia	Santa Rita	2017	40.0%	56.8%	24.9%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2000	79.4%	92.1%	60.8%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2000	55.5%	85.8%	23.2%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2017	40.1%	71.8%	14.3%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2017	24.1%	41.1%	11.5%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Cabal	2000	94.4%	97.0%	87.6%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Cabal	2017	54.7%	63.9%	45.4%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Osos	2000	88.6%	98.8%	46.4%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Osos	2017	66.4%	89.0%	25.6%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2000	97.9%	98.5%	96.7%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2017	72.8%	77.6%	66.5%
Colombia	Santa Rosa del Sur	2000	39.4%	59.2%	22.3%
Colombia	Santa Rosa del Sur	2017	25.2%	44.1%	12.7%
Colombia	Santa Rosalía	2000	63.9%	81.6%	46.2%
Colombia	Santa Rosalía	2017	37.4%	52.8%	23.3%
Colombia	Santa Sofía	2000	57.4%	90.4%	18.4%
Colombia	Santa Sofía	2017	17.2%	49.6%	2.4%
Colombia	Santafé de Bogotá	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Colombia	Santafé de Bogotá	2017	85.3%	86.3%	84.1%
Colombia	Santana	2000	79.8%	100.0%	8.4%
Colombia	Santana	2017	61.0%	100.0%	0.6%
Colombia	Santander de Quilichao	2000	79.9%	86.5%	71.2%
Colombia	Santander de Quilichao	2017	43.4%	51.2%	34.7%
Colombia	Santiago	2000	75.0%	99.9%	11.1%
Colombia	Santiago	2000	74.8%	96.3%	43.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Santiago	2017	52.1%	98.6%	0.8%
Colombia	Santiago	2017	45.3%	78.4%	13.3%
Colombia	Santiago de Cali	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.8%
Colombia	Santiago de Cali	2017	83.8%	85.4%	81.8%
Colombia	Santo Domingo	2000	79.2%	99.3%	34.5%
Colombia	Santo Domingo	2017	50.0%	90.0%	8.4%
Colombia	Santo Domingo de Silos	2000	65.5%	100.0%	1.0%
Colombia	Santo Domingo de Silos	2017	54.3%	100.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Santo Tomás	2000	81.3%	99.9%	24.0%
Colombia	Santo Tomás	2017	52.3%	98.4%	2.9%
Colombia	Santuario	2000	80.2%	87.6%	66.9%
Colombia	Santuario	2017	33.4%	49.7%	22.1%
Colombia	Sapuyes	2000	65.2%	97.5%	7.9%
Colombia	Sapuyes	2017	47.5%	93.6%	1.2%
Colombia	Saravena	2000	92.2%	96.8%	85.0%
Colombia	Saravena	2017	66.7%	76.1%	56.4%
Colombia	Sardinata	2000	70.8%	87.4%	46.9%
Colombia	Sardinata	2017	47.2%	67.9%	24.8%
Colombia	Sasaima	2000	81.7%	99.8%	28.1%
Colombia	Sasaima	2017	60.6%	97.7%	6.2%
Colombia	Sativanorte	2000	58.2%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Sativanorte	2017	44.9%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Sativasur	2000	64.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Sativasur	2017	50.8%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Segovia	2000	76.7%	96.4%	47.5%
Colombia	Segovia	2017	47.2%	76.8%	15.1%
Colombia	Sesquilé	2000	94.6%	100.0%	59.1%
Colombia	Sesquilé	2017	89.4%	100.0%	23.5%
Colombia	Sevilla	2000	74.4%	90.3%	57.2%
Colombia	Sevilla	2017	51.8%	76.4%	19.9%
Colombia	Siachoque	2000	27.0%	71.6%	5.1%
Colombia	Siachoque	2017	6.4%	34.0%	0.3%
Colombia	Sibaté	2000	61.1%	90.3%	20.9%
Colombia	Sibaté	2017	30.2%	80.0%	3.5%
Colombia	Sibundoy	2000	85.9%	98.3%	46.0%
Colombia	Sibundoy	2017	48.0%	84.2%	6.2%
Colombia	Silvania	2000	83.3%	87.1%	79.7%
Colombia	Silvania	2017	35.6%	44.0%	28.3%
Colombia	Silvia	2000	51.7%	74.0%	42.4%
Colombia	Silvia	2017	29.5%	39.8%	22.7%
Colombia	Simacota	2000	61.5%	98.6%	17.3%
Colombia	Simacota	2017	46.3%	91.9%	6.0%
Colombia	Simijaca	2000	94.6%	97.1%	88.9%
Colombia	Simijaca	2017	67.3%	77.6%	53.8%
Colombia	Simití	2000	69.5%	93.8%	43.6%
Colombia	Simití	2017	57.2%	86.2%	30.3%
Colombia	Sincé	2000	92.5%	98.7%	80.7%
Colombia	Sincé	2017	74.1%	86.6%	46.2%
Colombia	Sincelejo	2000	94.9%	95.5%	94.2%
Colombia	Sincelejo	2017	63.3%	65.8%	60.2%
Colombia	Sipí	2000	37.8%	88.1%	3.0%
Colombia	Sipí	2017	23.8%	77.4%	0.3%
Colombia	Soacha	2000	90.8%	97.4%	82.6%
Colombia	Soacha	2017	65.8%	75.0%	57.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Soatá	2000	55.3%	96.0%	3.6%
Colombia	Soatá	2017	17.2%	66.5%	0.1%
Colombia	Socha	2000	69.9%	99.3%	6.9%
Colombia	Socha	2017	51.8%	96.6%	1.0%
Colombia	Socorro	2000	83.0%	99.3%	41.4%
Colombia	Socorro	2017	47.3%	91.5%	8.8%
Colombia	Socotá	2000	54.0%	99.5%	1.3%
Colombia	Socotá	2017	40.4%	94.6%	0.1%
Colombia	Sogamoso	2000	93.9%	96.4%	90.5%
Colombia	Sogamoso	2017	57.1%	67.2%	46.4%
Colombia	Solano	2000	54.0%	67.1%	41.3%
Colombia	Solano	2017	39.6%	56.0%	25.8%
Colombia	Soledad	2000	96.0%	96.3%	95.8%
Colombia	Soledad	2017	57.4%	59.5%	55.4%
Colombia	Somondoco	2000	69.4%	99.7%	4.1%
Colombia	Somondoco	2017	45.2%	98.0%	1.0%
Colombia	Sonsón	2000	73.0%	94.2%	44.6%
Colombia	Sonsón	2017	43.7%	74.7%	16.8%
Colombia	Sopetrán	2000	91.1%	99.6%	54.1%
Colombia	Sopetrán	2017	66.1%	91.7%	26.9%
Colombia	Soplaviento	2000	99.1%	99.7%	96.9%
Colombia	Soplaviento	2017	93.7%	96.6%	85.1%
Colombia	Sopó	2000	92.7%	95.5%	88.4%
Colombia	Sopó	2017	55.4%	67.3%	39.7%
Colombia	Sora	2000	84.7%	99.7%	40.9%
Colombia	Sora	2017	47.1%	93.8%	5.7%
Colombia	Soracá	2000	19.5%	70.5%	6.4%
Colombia	Soracá	2017	5.8%	15.8%	3.2%
Colombia	Sotaquirá	2000	83.1%	97.9%	38.7%
Colombia	Sotaquirá	2017	49.9%	80.1%	15.5%
Colombia	Sotará	2000	88.4%	94.0%	76.7%
Colombia	Sotará	2017	65.8%	77.9%	46.9%
Colombia	Suaita	2000	72.1%	99.6%	12.5%
Colombia	Suaita	2017	48.4%	95.0%	3.6%
Colombia	Suan	2000	97.6%	100.0%	72.8%
Colombia	Suan	2017	89.6%	99.8%	35.8%
Colombia	Suárez	2000	92.2%	96.1%	83.1%
Colombia	Suárez	2000	45.8%	97.9%	8.5%
Colombia	Suárez	2017	22.0%	85.9%	1.2%
Colombia	Suárez	2017	56.9%	70.9%	45.7%
Colombia	Suaza	2000	52.1%	87.9%	14.3%
Colombia	Suaza	2017	26.2%	76.3%	2.2%
Colombia	Subachoque	2000	97.7%	99.2%	93.2%
Colombia	Subachoque	2017	86.0%	91.5%	78.4%
Colombia	Sucre	2000	48.5%	94.0%	4.5%
Colombia	Sucre	2000	65.5%	90.4%	27.9%
Colombia	Sucre	2017	33.1%	82.4%	1.0%
Colombia	Sucre	2017	39.9%	73.7%	5.2%
Colombia	Suesca	2000	92.4%	98.1%	84.2%
Colombia	Suesca	2017	91.1%	96.5%	61.5%
Colombia	Supatá	2000	79.2%	100.0%	7.7%
Colombia	Supatá	2017	60.3%	99.9%	0.7%
Colombia	Supía	2000	98.9%	99.4%	98.1%
Colombia	Supía	2017	84.3%	90.2%	76.5%
Colombia	Suratá	2000	70.9%	100.0%	1.7%
Colombia	Suratá	2017	55.1%	100.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Susa	2000	86.9%	98.4%	49.4%
Colombia	Susa	2017	60.5%	88.7%	18.8%
Colombia	Susacón	2000	53.1%	99.9%	0.0%
Colombia	Susacón	2017	37.1%	98.5%	0.0%
Colombia	Sutamarchán	2000	65.9%	86.3%	40.8%
Colombia	Sutamarchán	2017	14.6%	38.1%	4.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Sutatausa	2000	94.1%	99.0%	72.3%
Colombia	Sutatausa	2017	66.8%	86.8%	28.6%
Colombia	Sutatenza	2000	79.4%	100.0%	15.9%
Colombia	Sutatenza	2017	57.8%	99.7%	2.3%
Colombia	Tabio	2000	97.9%	99.3%	94.6%
Colombia	Tabio	2017	79.2%	92.8%	51.1%
Colombia	Tadó	2000	54.4%	61.8%	45.5%
Colombia	Tadó	2017	17.0%	23.4%	11.8%
Colombia	Talaigua	2000	43.9%	98.3%	3.1%
Colombia	Nuevo Talaigua	2017	22.6%	84.6%	0.3%
Colombia	Tamalameque	2000	46.9%	93.0%	7.3%
Colombia	Tamalameque	2017	29.5%	77.5%	1.3%
Colombia	Támara	2000	46.4%	83.4%	6.7%
Colombia	Támara	2017	28.0%	70.2%	0.9%
Colombia	Tame	2000	61.7%	74.6%	50.7%
Colombia	Tame	2017	46.0%	57.5%	36.0%
Colombia	Támesis	2000	37.4%	79.8%	10.4%
Colombia	Támesis	2017	16.2%	56.9%	1.6%
Colombia	Taminango	2000	90.6%	99.5%	62.5%
Colombia	Taminango	2017	70.7%	92.9%	42.6%
Colombia	Tangua	2000	34.2%	93.2%	1.2%
Colombia	Tangua	2017	17.9%	88.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Taraira	2000	45.6%	80.7%	8.6%
Colombia	Taraira	2017	33.2%	68.1%	4.1%
Colombia	Tarapacá	2000	39.9%	56.6%	26.5%
Colombia	Tarapacá	2017	24.6%	42.1%	13.2%
Colombia	Tarazá	2000	68.9%	91.9%	48.3%
Colombia	Tarazá	2017	45.6%	67.4%	25.7%
Colombia	Tarquí	2000	78.8%	99.7%	25.1%
Colombia	Tarquí	2017	59.8%	97.8%	11.0%
Colombia	Tarso	2000	95.8%	100.0%	75.9%
Colombia	Tarso	2017	84.8%	99.4%	38.9%
Colombia	Tasco	2000	78.5%	99.8%	16.1%
Colombia	Tasco	2017	59.3%	97.6%	3.3%
Colombia	Tauramena	2000	55.3%	70.3%	39.0%
Colombia	Tauramena	2017	37.3%	47.5%	26.4%
Colombia	Tausa	2000	89.2%	93.4%	82.5%
Colombia	Tausa	2017	55.9%	66.4%	43.5%
Colombia	Tello	2000	98.9%	100.0%	91.3%
Colombia	Tello	2017	97.1%	100.0%	85.0%
Colombia	Tena	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.0%
Colombia	Tena	2017	51.9%	60.2%	42.3%
Colombia	Tenerife	2000	65.9%	78.3%	50.5%
Colombia	Tenerife	2017	41.8%	57.1%	22.3%
Colombia	Tenjo	2000	61.1%	70.0%	53.5%
Colombia	Tenjo	2017	30.7%	33.7%	27.8%
Colombia	Tenza	2000	87.9%	99.9%	41.3%
Colombia	Tenza	2017	67.7%	99.1%	15.8%
Colombia	Teorama	2000	80.9%	93.8%	61.3%
Colombia	Teorama	2017	46.1%	70.2%	23.1%
Colombia	Teruel	2000	95.3%	99.7%	79.4%
Colombia	Teruel	2017	84.5%	97.4%	53.6%
Colombia	Tesalia	2000	96.4%	100.0%	76.4%
Colombia	Tesalia	2017	88.4%	99.7%	55.8%
Colombia	Tibacuy	2000	78.1%	98.2%	44.9%
Colombia	Tibacuy	2017	39.7%	89.5%	9.9%
Colombia	Tibaná	2000	82.8%	98.4%	52.7%
Colombia	Tibaná	2017	51.2%	87.0%	21.7%
Colombia	Tibasosa	2000	98.0%	99.1%	95.5%
Colombia	Tibasosa	2017	81.4%	88.3%	68.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Tibirita	2000	67.6%	100.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Tibirita	2017	50.1%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Tibú	2000	55.9%	74.4%	39.8%
Colombia	Tibú	2017	39.3%	58.3%	23.8%
Colombia	Tierralta	2000	51.0%	79.5%	23.2%
Colombia	Tierralta	2017	29.9%	59.8%	9.3%
Colombia	Timaná	2000	51.8%	70.6%	33.9%
Colombia	Timaná	2017	29.3%	52.7%	19.2%
Colombia	Timbío	2000	87.7%	98.0%	59.3%
Colombia	Timbío	2017	69.3%	84.8%	45.6%
Colombia	Timbiquí	2000	48.5%	74.7%	22.1%
Colombia	Timbiquí	2017	28.5%	52.9%	8.4%
Colombia	Tinjacá	2000	53.8%	93.1%	19.1%
Colombia	Tinjacá	2017	12.8%	50.8%	1.9%
Colombia	Tipacoque	2000	53.9%	97.6%	5.3%
Colombia	Tipacoque	2017	21.4%	76.7%	0.3%
Colombia	Titiribí	2000	86.2%	91.9%	76.9%
Colombia	Titiribí	2017	52.8%	65.9%	36.7%
Colombia	Toca	2000	5.3%	27.8%	1.1%
Colombia	Toca	2017	1.1%	9.9%	0.1%
Colombia	Tocaima	2000	81.7%	89.6%	74.1%
Colombia	Tocaima	2017	57.3%	64.9%	45.5%
Colombia	Tocancipá	2000	87.0%	92.7%	77.8%
Colombia	Tocancipá	2017	33.6%	48.8%	21.0%
Colombia	Toguí	2000	89.2%	98.2%	61.5%
Colombia	Toguí	2017	52.3%	79.9%	12.3%
Colombia	Toledo	2000	88.9%	99.3%	53.9%
Colombia	Toledo	2000	72.1%	83.9%	55.4%
Colombia	Toledo	2017	59.8%	91.1%	11.9%
Colombia	Toledo	2017	35.8%	48.1%	19.8%
Colombia	Tolú	2000	87.5%	97.1%	64.2%
Colombia	Tolú	2017	58.2%	80.6%	32.6%
Colombia	Toluviejo	2000	54.1%	92.0%	13.7%
Colombia	Toluviejo	2017	21.0%	72.5%	3.2%
Colombia	Tona	2000	91.5%	99.3%	64.0%
Colombia	Tona	2017	66.9%	90.7%	35.5%
Colombia	Topagá	2000	93.0%	94.8%	90.9%
Colombia	Topagá	2017	52.7%	57.4%	48.1%
Colombia	Topaipí	2000	45.3%	99.3%	0.9%
Colombia	Topaipí	2017	24.5%	93.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Toribío	2000	63.1%	94.1%	33.0%
Colombia	Toribío	2017	23.9%	61.6%	10.8%
Colombia	Toro	2000	36.8%	44.0%	30.9%
Colombia	Toro	2017	19.6%	24.7%	14.5%
Colombia	Tota	2000	58.1%	99.2%	11.9%
Colombia	Tota	2017	34.5%	91.2%	3.4%
Colombia	Totoró	2000	93.3%	99.6%	74.8%
Colombia	Totoró	2017	78.2%	94.4%	51.7%
Colombia	Trinidad	2000	62.5%	84.5%	37.3%
Colombia	Trinidad	2017	38.1%	68.5%	10.8%
Colombia	Trujillo	2000	78.9%	100.0%	12.3%
Colombia	Trujillo	2017	60.1%	99.9%	2.1%
Colombia	Tubará	2000	77.7%	99.8%	33.8%
Colombia	Tubará	2017	61.0%	97.0%	18.2%
Colombia	Tuluá	2000	91.6%	98.2%	84.9%
Colombia	Tuluá	2017	82.5%	90.8%	71.7%
Colombia	Tumaco	2000	57.9%	67.5%	48.7%
Colombia	Tumaco	2017	22.4%	32.3%	15.7%
Colombia	Tunja	2000	85.3%	89.1%	81.5%
Colombia	Tunja	2017	31.7%	36.3%	26.9%
Colombia	Tunungua	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Colombia	Tunungua	2017	98.4%	100.0%	86.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Túquerres	2000	94.6%	99.9%	64.5%
Colombia	Túquerres	2017	90.0%	99.3%	71.4%
Colombia	Turbaco	2000	75.2%	93.2%	36.6%
Colombia	Turbaco	2017	29.8%	63.6%	7.6%
Colombia	Turbaná	2000	88.4%	97.9%	67.7%
Colombia	Turbaná	2017	52.0%	77.3%	25.8%
Colombia	Turbo	2000	64.3%	73.6%	50.9%
Colombia	Turbo	2017	41.4%	55.2%	25.4%
Colombia	Turmequé	2000	95.0%	99.2%	82.2%
Colombia	Turmequé	2017	66.7%	89.5%	44.9%
Colombia	Tuta	2000	63.2%	77.8%	50.6%
Colombia	Tuta	2017	27.1%	44.4%	15.0%
Colombia	Tutazá	2000	79.5%	100.0%	0.3%
Colombia	Tutazá	2017	68.1%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Ubalá	2000	53.5%	99.9%	0.7%
Colombia	Ubalá	2017	35.2%	98.7%	0.0%
Colombia	Ubaque	2000	95.4%	99.9%	78.8%
Colombia	Ubaque	2017	83.2%	99.1%	53.9%
Colombia	Ulloa	2000	98.8%	99.4%	97.8%
Colombia	Ulloa	2017	97.2%	97.9%	95.7%
Colombia	Umbita	2000	89.7%	100.0%	45.5%
Colombia	Umbita	2017	69.7%	99.5%	17.7%
Colombia	Une	2000	84.9%	98.8%	54.0%
Colombia	Une	2017	59.3%	80.0%	37.6%
Colombia	Unguía	2000	80.0%	90.9%	69.0%
Colombia	Unguía	2017	61.2%	75.9%	40.1%
Colombia	Uramita	2000	91.0%	100.0%	39.9%
Colombia	Uramita	2017	78.8%	100.0%	9.5%
Colombia	Uribia	2000	35.4%	52.3%	19.6%
Colombia	Uribia	2017	18.7%	32.0%	6.9%
Colombia	Urrao	2000	68.4%	93.8%	28.3%
Colombia	Urrao	2017	48.3%	81.9%	12.7%
Colombia	Urumita	2000	73.1%	75.7%	70.0%
Colombia	Urumita	2017	45.6%	49.3%	41.4%
Colombia	Usiacurí	2000	77.4%	97.5%	60.8%
Colombia	Usiacurí	2017	43.2%	76.3%	16.9%
Colombia	Útica	2000	63.8%	100.0%	0.9%
Colombia	Útica	2017	43.6%	99.4%	0.1%
Colombia	Valdivia	2000	92.8%	99.3%	81.0%
Colombia	Valdivia	2017	74.1%	90.3%	51.5%
Colombia	Valencia	2000	37.6%	66.8%	13.5%
Colombia	Valencia	2017	13.8%	39.0%	1.2%
Colombia	Valle de San José	2000	80.7%	99.9%	11.2%
Colombia	Valle de San José	2017	54.3%	98.4%	1.5%
Colombia	Valle de San Juan	2000	59.7%	97.9%	11.3%
Colombia	Valle de San Juan	2017	33.1%	85.3%	2.2%
Colombia	Valle del Guamuez	2000	68.9%	86.1%	44.7%
Colombia	Valle del Guamuez	2017	42.9%	61.7%	14.8%
Colombia	Valledupar	2000	85.5%	91.3%	77.5%
Colombia	Valledupar	2017	60.4%	67.3%	52.6%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2000	44.8%	99.0%	0.7%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2000	58.7%	87.5%	28.5%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2017	24.5%	93.4%	0.1%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2017	31.7%	61.6%	6.8%
Colombia	Vegachí	2000	60.5%	100.0%	1.0%
Colombia	Vegachí	2017	46.3%	99.7%	0.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Vélez	2000	89.0%	95.2%	77.4%
Colombia	Vélez	2017	62.4%	75.4%	44.7%
Colombia	Venadillo	2000	87.4%	99.5%	52.0%
Colombia	Venadillo	2017	61.7%	94.8%	11.6%
Colombia	Venecia	2000	71.7%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Venecia	2000	96.1%	99.4%	86.3%
Colombia	Venecia	2017	61.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Venecia	2017	79.5%	93.8%	36.7%
Colombia	Ventaquemada	2000	91.0%	95.8%	80.9%
Colombia	Ventaquemada	2017	58.8%	73.1%	33.6%
Colombia	Vergara	2000	83.8%	99.9%	26.3%
Colombia	Vergara	2017	57.4%	97.8%	4.1%
Colombia	Versalles	2000	65.7%	99.2%	27.3%
Colombia	Versalles	2017	36.9%	93.0%	9.3%
Colombia	Vetas	2000	66.2%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Vetas	2017	54.7%	100.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Vianí	2000	12.5%	25.0%	4.7%
Colombia	Vianí	2017	3.2%	9.5%	1.2%
Colombia	Victoria	2000	67.5%	84.6%	50.3%
Colombia	Victoria	2017	56.3%	73.4%	31.2%
Colombia	Vigía del Fuerte	2000	60.1%	99.3%	8.6%
Colombia	Vigía del Fuerte	2017	46.7%	96.9%	1.8%
Colombia	Vijes	2000	84.5%	97.4%	67.4%
Colombia	Vijes	2017	64.6%	82.3%	49.6%
Colombia	Villa Caro	2000	77.4%	100.0%	4.2%
Colombia	Villa Caro	2017	63.6%	99.9%	1.1%
Colombia	Villa de Leyva	2000	67.8%	97.8%	13.1%
Colombia	Villa de Leyva	2017	34.0%	88.1%	1.6%
Colombia	Villa de San Diego de Ubaté	2000	81.1%	100.0%	12.5%
Colombia	Villa de San Diego de Ubaté	2017	56.3%	99.7%	1.6%
Colombia	Villa del Rosario	2000	95.7%	97.3%	91.8%
Colombia	Villa del Rosario	2017	70.3%	75.9%	63.6%
Colombia	Villagarzón	2000	81.5%	90.8%	68.7%
Colombia	Villagarzón	2017	35.4%	42.8%	29.4%
Colombia	Villagómez	2000	65.4%	100.0%	2.7%
Colombia	Villagómez	2017	43.2%	99.2%	0.1%
Colombia	Villahermosa	2000	77.2%	95.6%	51.7%
Colombia	Villahermosa	2017	44.3%	72.3%	16.6%
Colombia	Villamaría	2000	98.2%	99.8%	93.8%
Colombia	Villamaría	2017	85.9%	96.2%	70.7%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	75.5%	94.6%	39.1%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	70.3%	100.0%	1.7%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	93.8%	99.5%	70.3%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	89.5%	95.5%	52.5%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	36.0%	71.4%	8.4%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	56.4%	73.1%	18.4%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	51.1%	100.0%	0.1%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	72.7%	94.2%	20.4%
Colombia	Villapinzón	2000	63.4%	98.9%	16.2%
Colombia	Villapinzón	2017	35.5%	95.7%	3.0%
Colombia	Villarrica	2000	92.8%	100.0%	31.6%
Colombia	Villarrica	2017	88.1%	100.0%	22.4%
Colombia	Villavicencio	2000	73.2%	77.2%	69.0%
Colombia	Villavicencio	2017	20.0%	23.7%	17.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Villavieja	2000	77.3%	99.6%	34.2%
Colombia	Villavieja	2017	59.9%	96.7%	17.8%
Colombia	Villeta	2000	59.6%	97.2%	14.2%
Colombia	Villeta	2017	22.2%	75.9%	3.2%
Colombia	Viotá	2000	84.9%	96.6%	63.2%
Colombia	Viotá	2017	39.2%	67.0%	18.7%
Colombia	Viracachá	2000	19.6%	90.6%	0.0%
Colombia	Viracachá	2017	8.2%	65.0%	0.0%
Colombia	Vista Hermosa	2000	67.0%	85.0%	44.9%
Colombia	Vista Hermosa	2017	33.5%	54.4%	16.6%
Colombia	Viterbo	2000	82.6%	89.5%	77.3%
Colombia	Viterbo	2017	36.6%	42.2%	31.5%
Colombia	Yacopí	2000	79.8%	94.5%	65.7%
Colombia	Yacopí	2017	56.3%	72.9%	40.5%
Colombia	Yacuanquer	2000	73.1%	99.9%	19.5%
Colombia	Yacuanquer	2017	55.2%	98.8%	7.0%
Colombia	Yaguará	2000	87.9%	100.0%	22.0%
Colombia	Yaguará	2017	75.3%	100.0%	5.8%
Colombia	Yalí	2000	59.7%	100.0%	1.6%
Colombia	Yalí	2017	45.2%	99.7%	0.1%
Colombia	Yarumal	2000	94.4%	98.7%	81.8%
Colombia	Yarumal	2017	70.8%	88.0%	43.1%
Colombia	Yavaraté	2000	32.3%	66.3%	5.9%
Colombia	Yavaraté	2017	19.5%	53.1%	0.9%
Colombia	Yolombó	2000	77.8%	96.4%	47.2%
Colombia	Yolombó	2017	51.7%	79.1%	22.0%
Colombia	Yondó	2000	55.8%	91.2%	21.6%
Colombia	Yondó	2017	37.8%	77.5%	8.2%
Colombia	Yopal	2000	67.4%	78.7%	57.2%
Colombia	Yopal	2017	30.7%	36.7%	24.3%
Colombia	Yotoco	2000	87.0%	100.0%	31.3%
Colombia	Yotoco	2017	73.7%	99.9%	13.4%
Colombia	Yumbo	2000	82.5%	88.5%	77.7%
Colombia	Yumbo	2017	55.2%	57.7%	52.3%
Colombia	Zambrano	2000	92.2%	99.9%	59.1%
Colombia	Zambrano	2017	79.3%	99.0%	33.9%
Colombia	Zapatoca	2000	76.4%	96.8%	5.7%
Colombia	Zapatoca	2017	64.1%	94.8%	2.3%
Colombia	Zaragoza	2000	76.8%	93.7%	50.0%
Colombia	Zaragoza	2017	45.6%	68.4%	24.7%
Colombia	Zarzal	2000	88.4%	98.7%	54.5%
Colombia	Zarzal	2017	74.3%	97.8%	32.3%
Colombia	Zetaquirá	2000	62.9%	98.6%	7.4%
Colombia	Zetaquirá	2017	40.4%	92.0%	1.6%
Colombia	Zipacón	2000	98.2%	99.8%	89.6%
Colombia	Zipacón	2017	82.1%	96.8%	31.9%
Colombia	Zipaquirá	2000	89.0%	96.2%	78.3%
Colombia	Zipaquirá	2017	53.9%	66.5%	43.2%
Dominican Republic	Altamira	2000	55.8%	94.1%	5.4%
Dominican Republic	Altamira	2017	22.7%	61.1%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Arenoso	2000	47.5%	96.4%	2.1%
Dominican Republic	Arenoso	2017	16.9%	71.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Azua de Compostela	2000	54.2%	92.7%	14.9%
Dominican Republic	Azua de Compostela	2017	6.7%	45.0%	0.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Bajos Haina	2000	22.6%	66.0%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Bajos Haina	2017	7.7%	40.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Baní	2000	58.3%	85.6%	27.4%
Dominican Republic	Baní	2017	14.6%	39.4%	3.1%
Dominican Republic	Banica	2000	52.2%	95.0%	11.8%
Dominican Republic	Banica	2017	23.4%	71.1%	0.5%
Dominican Republic	Bayaguana	2000	47.9%	83.9%	11.4%
Dominican Republic	Bayaguana	2017	16.4%	53.1%	1.6%
Dominican Republic	Boca Chica	2000	47.0%	97.7%	1.5%
Dominican Republic	Boca Chica	2017	15.1%	77.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Bohechio	2000	55.0%	93.9%	12.2%
Dominican Republic	Bohechio	2017	25.3%	77.2%	1.3%
Dominican Republic	Bonao	2000	47.8%	80.3%	13.5%
Dominican Republic	Bonao	2017	8.1%	24.3%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Cabral	2000	68.6%	99.8%	10.8%
Dominican Republic	Cabral	2017	34.0%	92.6%	1.7%
Dominican Republic	Cabrera	2000	46.5%	90.9%	3.1%
Dominican Republic	Cabrera	2017	17.2%	53.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Cambita	2000	53.4%	95.3%	7.4%
Dominican Republic	Garabito	2017	18.7%	67.9%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Castañuela	2000	55.5%	95.0%	13.9%
Dominican Republic	Castañuela	2017	17.4%	44.6%	10.4%
Dominican Republic	Castillo	2000	53.1%	98.7%	5.4%
Dominican Republic	Castillo	2017	20.2%	85.6%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Cayetano Germosén	2000	46.6%	100.0%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Cayetano Germosén	2017	22.0%	96.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Cevicos	2000	49.4%	88.2%	10.2%
Dominican Republic	Cevicos	2017	19.3%	65.1%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	Comendador	2000	49.0%	79.9%	14.9%
Dominican Republic	Comendador	2017	12.1%	46.8%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Concepción de la Vega	2000	40.0%	68.6%	15.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Concepción de la Vega	2017	9.6%	26.2%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	Constanza	2000	63.5%	93.5%	23.4%
Dominican Republic	Constanza	2017	27.2%	75.4%	2.5%
Dominican Republic	Consuelo	2000	48.2%	96.8%	1.4%
Dominican Republic	Consuelo	2017	17.9%	73.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Cotuí	2000	44.6%	70.2%	15.5%
Dominican Republic	Cotuí	2017	15.4%	37.5%	3.1%
Dominican Republic	Cristobal	2000	57.9%	99.1%	5.9%
Dominican Republic	Cristobal	2017	25.2%	76.1%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Dajabón	2000	53.3%	96.1%	5.7%
Dominican Republic	Dajabón	2017	19.6%	84.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Distrito Nacional	2000	23.9%	69.4%	1.9%
Dominican Republic	Distrito Nacional	2017	3.9%	21.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Duvergé	2000	57.0%	92.2%	14.8%
Dominican Republic	Duvergé	2017	23.7%	61.2%	0.9%
Dominican Republic	El Cercado	2000	55.6%	97.0%	10.1%
Dominican Republic	El Cercado	2017	25.3%	81.4%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	El Factor	2000	55.5%	98.0%	5.2%
Dominican Republic	El Factor	2017	22.5%	80.8%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	El Llano	2000	59.3%	98.1%	11.0%
Dominican Republic	El Llano	2017	19.8%	80.9%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	El Peñón	2000	56.2%	100.0%	0.7%
Dominican Republic	El Peñón	2017	24.1%	97.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	El Pino	2000	56.3%	94.6%	16.1%
Dominican Republic	El Pino	2017	10.5%	44.0%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	El Valle	2000	49.3%	97.8%	2.6%
Dominican Republic	El Valle	2017	18.1%	75.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Enriquillo	2000	55.7%	97.2%	7.8%
Dominican Republic	Enriquillo	2017	22.6%	79.6%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	Esperalvilla	2000	37.5%	91.0%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	Esperalvilla	2017	9.0%	44.7%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Esperanza	2000	9.7%	37.0%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Esperanza	2017	1.9%	12.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Estebania	2000	52.3%	94.6%	5.5%
Dominican Republic	Estebania	2017	19.6%	64.0%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Fantino	2000	54.8%	99.7%	3.0%
Dominican Republic	Fantino	2017	22.1%	87.8%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Fundación	2000	50.2%	99.8%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Fundación	2017	21.6%	85.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Galvan	2000	67.9%	93.8%	35.1%
Dominican Republic	Galvan	2017	21.4%	48.2%	4.0%
Dominican Republic	Gaspar Hernández	2000	49.7%	91.5%	7.4%
Dominican Republic	Gaspar Hernández	2017	18.4%	59.5%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Guananico	2000	40.2%	82.0%	2.2%
Dominican Republic	Guananico	2017	9.5%	45.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Guayabal	2000	54.7%	94.6%	10.8%
Dominican Republic	Guayabal	2017	21.9%	67.3%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Guayacanes	2000	60.0%	99.0%	7.5%
Dominican Republic	Guayacanes	2017	23.1%	80.6%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Guaymate	2000	54.5%	91.6%	10.6%
Dominican Republic	Guaymate	2017	20.8%	60.4%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	Guayubín	2000	56.6%	88.8%	22.4%
Dominican Republic	Guayubín	2017	35.0%	71.3%	9.5%
Dominican Republic	Guerra	2000	46.6%	92.7%	4.0%
Dominican Republic	Guerra	2017	17.4%	71.2%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Hato Mayor del Rey	2000	64.2%	92.0%	36.3%
Dominican Republic	Hato Mayor del Rey	2017	45.2%	72.8%	26.5%
Dominican Republic	Hondo Valle	2000	59.0%	99.5%	1.9%
Dominican Republic	Hondo Valle	2017	25.4%	93.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Hostos	2000	49.6%	98.1%	2.1%
Dominican Republic	Hostos	2017	19.0%	76.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Imbert	2000	57.3%	97.3%	10.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Imbert	2017	20.2%	70.9%	0.7%
Dominican Republic	Jamao al Norte	2000	52.2%	94.9%	5.0%
Dominican Republic	Jamao al Norte	2017	19.3%	74.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Janico	2000	54.0%	89.3%	17.5%
Dominican Republic	Janico	2017	23.0%	63.6%	1.3%
Dominican Republic	Jaquimeyes	2000	54.3%	97.2%	8.9%
Dominican Republic	Jaquimeyes	2017	23.3%	81.3%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Jarabacoa	2000	56.0%	90.1%	14.1%
Dominican Republic	Jarabacoa	2017	23.1%	72.8%	1.7%
Dominican Republic	Jima Abajo	2000	43.4%	97.2%	1.0%
Dominican Republic	Jima Abajo	2017	15.3%	80.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Jimaní	2000	55.8%	93.4%	18.0%
Dominican Republic	Jimaní	2017	24.2%	69.1%	1.4%
Dominican Republic	Juan de Herrera	2000	66.7%	99.5%	20.0%
Dominican Republic	Juan de Herrera	2017	17.4%	64.3%	1.2%
Dominican Republic	Juan Santiago	2000	56.0%	98.5%	4.5%
Dominican Republic	Juan Santiago	2017	21.7%	74.3%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	La Cienaga	2000	59.6%	97.9%	8.1%
Dominican Republic	La Cienaga	2017	25.9%	79.0%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	La Descubierta	2000	57.7%	97.0%	9.9%
Dominican Republic	La Descubierta	2017	22.1%	83.6%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	La Isabela	2000	49.0%	96.7%	5.7%
Dominican Republic	La Isabela	2017	20.1%	75.4%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	La Laguna de Nisibón	2000	52.1%	90.8%	8.4%
Dominican Republic	La Laguna de Nisibón	2017	20.8%	66.4%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	La Mata	2000	88.3%	98.8%	70.7%
Dominican Republic	La Mata	2017	69.2%	91.3%	34.6%
Dominican Republic	La Romana	2000	36.7%	82.3%	6.5%
Dominican Republic	La Romana	2017	6.9%	33.5%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Laguna Salada	2000	36.6%	87.4%	3.6%
Dominican Republic	Laguna Salada	2017	8.8%	58.0%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Las Charcas	2000	51.7%	94.9%	9.8%
Dominican Republic	Las Charcas	2017	19.1%	60.1%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Guaranas	2000	63.2%	99.8%	3.2%
Dominican Republic	Las Guaranas	2017	26.9%	91.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Farfan	2000	61.7%	90.2%	25.8%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Farfan	2017	21.6%	64.8%	2.3%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Santa Cruz	2000	82.3%	99.7%	23.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Santa Cruz	2017	76.3%	95.7%	40.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Salinas	2000	57.2%	99.9%	1.5%
Dominican Republic	Las Salinas	2017	25.7%	89.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Terrenas	2000	63.3%	98.8%	8.9%
Dominican Republic	Las Terrenas	2017	29.0%	83.7%	2.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Yayas de Viajama	2000	51.9%	89.4%	10.5%
Dominican Republic	Las Yayas de Viajama	2017	17.1%	48.0%	0.7%
Dominican Republic	Licey al Medio	2000	41.4%	95.6%	0.7%
Dominican Republic	Licey al Medio	2017	6.8%	52.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Loma de Cabrera	2000	48.2%	80.1%	16.1%
Dominican Republic	Loma de Cabrera	2017	11.2%	37.5%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Los Alcarrizos	2000	41.1%	98.3%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Los Alcarrizos	2017	13.2%	79.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Almácigos	2000	50.1%	95.7%	4.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Almácigos	2017	15.5%	70.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Cacaos	2000	56.7%	96.2%	11.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Cacaos	2017	25.4%	75.7%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Los Hidalgos	2000	28.8%	93.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Hidalgos	2017	8.8%	57.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Llanos	2000	54.9%	83.6%	19.6%
Dominican Republic	Los Llanos	2017	24.8%	55.4%	3.2%
Dominican Republic	Los Rios	2000	56.9%	97.5%	7.2%
Dominican Republic	Los Rios	2017	24.7%	84.1%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Luperon	2000	47.8%	91.4%	3.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Luperon	2017	17.2%	58.2%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Maimón	2000	16.9%	70.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Maimón	2017	4.1%	38.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Mao	2000	55.8%	86.3%	16.2%
Dominican Republic	Mao	2017	10.5%	33.4%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Mella	2000	57.8%	94.7%	15.3%
Dominican Republic	Mella	2017	24.8%	68.7%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	Miches	2000	46.7%	91.2%	8.0%
Dominican Republic	Miches	2017	15.0%	58.9%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Moca	2000	59.7%	89.9%	27.5%
Dominican Republic	Moca	2017	13.1%	45.2%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Monción	2000	50.4%	98.4%	3.1%
Dominican Republic	Monción	2017	18.2%	80.1%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Monte Plata	2000	27.6%	53.2%	9.0%
Dominican Republic	Monte Plata	2017	7.5%	20.4%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Montellano	2000	48.0%	96.8%	2.1%
Dominican Republic	Montellano	2017	16.6%	80.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Nagua	2000	58.3%	85.9%	15.4%
Dominican Republic	Nagua	2017	20.7%	59.4%	0.8%
Dominican Republic	Neyba	2000	82.0%	99.8%	43.2%
Dominican Republic	Neyba	2017	45.4%	90.9%	4.2%
Dominican Republic	Nigua	2000	58.0%	99.7%	2.1%
Dominican Republic	Nigua	2017	20.7%	89.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Nizao	2000	50.4%	99.5%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Nizao	2017	18.1%	87.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Padre Casas	Las 2000	56.1%	91.6%	14.4%
Dominican Republic	Padre Casas	Las 2017	23.0%	61.6%	1.2%
Dominican Republic	Paraiso	2000	56.6%	99.9%	4.3%
Dominican Republic	Paraiso	2017	24.1%	93.6%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Partido	2000	53.6%	96.8%	6.3%
Dominican Republic	Partido	2017	15.8%	77.1%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Pedernales	2000	57.3%	82.4%	27.8%
Dominican Republic	Pedernales	2017	23.2%	52.7%	4.6%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Brand	2000	48.0%	91.3%	5.5%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Brand	2017	19.3%	67.8%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Santana	2000	54.7%	85.0%	18.6%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Santana	2017	22.2%	60.2%	1.2%
Dominican Republic	Pepillo Salcedo	2000	51.5%	96.7%	2.3%
Dominican Republic	Pepillo Salcedo	2017	18.8%	72.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Peralta	2000	51.7%	94.4%	6.6%
Dominican Republic	Peralta	2017	16.4%	71.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Piedra Blanca	2000	27.8%	71.1%	3.0%
Dominican Republic	Piedra Blanca	2017	9.7%	41.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Pimentel	2000	60.8%	97.5%	7.6%
Dominican Republic	Pimentel	2017	24.2%	85.1%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Polo	2000	63.2%	99.0%	10.5%
Dominican Republic	Polo	2017	30.5%	86.8%	1.3%
Dominican Republic	Postrer Rio	2000	57.2%	98.2%	11.5%
Dominican Republic	Postrer Rio	2017	23.4%	81.0%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Pueblo Viejo	2000	57.6%	93.7%	15.9%
Dominican Republic	Pueblo Viejo	2017	16.8%	56.4%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Puñal	2000	40.3%	99.1%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Puñal	2017	9.5%	71.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Quisquella	2000	63.1%	94.5%	15.2%
Dominican Republic	Quisquella	2017	21.8%	67.8%	0.8%
Dominican Republic	Ramón Santana	2000	52.1%	95.1%	10.4%
Dominican Republic	Ramón Santana	2017	19.7%	66.2%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Rancho Arriba	2000	71.8%	99.2%	17.8%
Dominican Republic	Rancho Arriba	2017	36.5%	87.7%	2.7%
Dominican Republic	Restauración	2000	52.7%	94.5%	5.8%
Dominican Republic	Restauración	2017	19.6%	67.8%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Rio San Juan	2000	46.2%	89.4%	5.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Rio San Juan	2017	16.4%	61.3%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Sabana de la Mar	2000	46.1%	87.3%	10.8%
Dominican Republic	Sabana de la Mar	2017	17.0%	70.9%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Boyá	2000	46.4%	90.6%	9.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Boyá	2017	15.9%	56.3%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Palenque	2000	49.6%	100.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Palenque	2017	21.6%	91.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Iglesia	2000	49.1%	99.3%	1.2%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Iglesia	2017	23.9%	88.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Larga	2000	70.5%	99.2%	30.9%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Larga	2017	29.6%	79.5%	8.4%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Yegua	2000	59.2%	99.3%	1.2%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Yegua	2017	18.7%	93.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Salcedo	2000	64.1%	90.0%	34.8%
Dominican Republic	Salcedo	2017	42.0%	68.0%	4.2%
Dominican Republic	Salvaleón de Higüey	2000	48.1%	69.6%	25.2%
Dominican Republic	Salvaleón de Higüey	2017	9.9%	24.8%	2.4%
Dominican Republic	San Cristóbal	2000	48.3%	75.6%	12.6%
Dominican Republic	San Cristóbal	2017	12.2%	44.5%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	San Felipe de Puerto Plata	2000	50.1%	92.0%	7.8%
Dominican Republic	San Felipe de Puerto Plata	2017	15.3%	64.4%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	San Fernando de Monte Cristi	2000	56.3%	88.6%	20.7%
Dominican Republic	San Fernando de Monte Cristi	2017	23.1%	60.9%	1.9%
Dominican Republic	San Francisco de Macorís	2000	50.8%	90.6%	10.7%
Dominican Republic	San Francisco de Macorís	2017	13.1%	48.0%	0.7%
Dominican Republic	San Gregorio de Yaguatae	2000	54.2%	98.3%	1.7%
Dominican Republic	San Gregorio de Yaguatae	2017	20.5%	76.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Ignacio de Sabaneta	2000	51.2%	85.4%	22.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	San Ignacio de Sabaneta	2017	15.2%	48.7%	2.2%
Dominican Republic	San José de Las Matas	2000	54.7%	81.5%	25.8%
Dominican Republic	San José de Las Matas	2017	19.8%	52.1%	4.0%
Dominican Republic	San José de Ocoa	2000	61.9%	94.3%	23.3%
Dominican Republic	San José de Ocoa	2017	29.2%	72.6%	7.3%
Dominican Republic	San Juan de la Maguana	2000	62.8%	91.1%	27.7%
Dominican Republic	San Juan de la Maguana	2017	19.0%	49.3%	4.8%
Dominican Republic	San Pedro de Macorís	2000	46.3%	92.1%	5.1%
Dominican Republic	San Pedro de Macorís	2017	11.6%	67.4%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	San Rafael del Yuma	2000	49.2%	81.4%	20.1%
Dominican Republic	San Rafael del Yuma	2017	19.2%	43.7%	2.2%
Dominican Republic	Sánchez	2000	44.9%	85.8%	9.4%
Dominican Republic	Sánchez	2017	16.0%	53.3%	1.5%
Dominican Republic	Santa Bárbara de Samaná	2000	46.2%	87.7%	11.2%
Dominican Republic	Santa Bárbara de Samaná	2017	15.9%	50.2%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz de Barahona	2000	37.8%	95.5%	5.1%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz de Barahona	2017	10.6%	48.3%	0.7%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz del Seybo	2000	66.5%	84.6%	45.7%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz del Seybo	2017	41.7%	61.0%	21.5%
Dominican Republic	Santiago de los Caballeros	2000	42.4%	65.2%	18.5%
Dominican Republic	Santiago de los Caballeros	2017	5.5%	17.5%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Este	2000	11.4%	42.1%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Este	2017	3.5%	25.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Norte	2000	29.9%	59.3%	3.0%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Norte	2017	9.0%	40.9%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Oeste	2000	33.3%	90.3%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Oeste	2017	6.7%	46.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sosua	2000	50.5%	91.3%	9.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Sosua	2017	18.3%	63.6%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Tamayo	2000	55.4%	96.1%	8.4%
Dominican Republic	Tamayo	2017	23.8%	72.7%	1.4%
Dominican Republic	Tamboril	2000	48.4%	99.2%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Tamboril	2017	18.6%	88.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Tenares	2000	66.0%	98.9%	31.0%
Dominican Republic	Tenares	2017	41.8%	75.6%	3.3%
Dominican Republic	Vallejuelo	2000	57.3%	98.9%	9.0%
Dominican Republic	Vallejuelo	2017	25.1%	83.4%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	Vicente Noble	2000	55.4%	96.8%	6.8%
Dominican Republic	Vicente Noble	2017	24.2%	75.1%	0.5%
Dominican Republic	Villa Altagracia	2000	48.7%	91.7%	7.9%
Dominican Republic	Villa Altagracia	2017	20.0%	66.1%	0.5%
Dominican Republic	Villa Bisonó	2000	42.8%	98.1%	0.8%
Dominican Republic	Villa Bisonó	2017	17.6%	84.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Gonzalez	2000	48.3%	93.6%	5.2%
Dominican Republic	Villa Gonzalez	2017	16.0%	72.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Hermosa	2000	42.3%	88.9%	2.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Hermosa	2017	9.7%	59.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Jaragua	2000	65.0%	99.4%	12.7%
Dominican Republic	Villa Jaragua	2017	33.7%	89.9%	1.3%
Dominican Republic	Villa Rivas	2000	48.8%	92.8%	10.8%
Dominican Republic	Villa Rivas	2017	18.7%	64.9%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tabara Arriba	2000	52.8%	91.9%	10.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tabara Arriba	2017	18.6%	68.5%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tapia	2000	77.0%	99.9%	34.7%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tapia	2017	57.0%	95.8%	3.4%
Dominican Republic	Villa Vázquez	2000	52.7%	93.4%	7.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Vázquez	2017	25.4%	77.5%	2.0%
Dominican Republic	Yamasá	2000	27.3%	58.2%	6.0%
Dominican Republic	Yamasá	2017	6.3%	22.4%	0.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	24 De Mayo	2000	48.8%	96.8%	1.8%
Ecuador	24 De Mayo	2017	48.4%	96.8%	1.7%
Ecuador	Aguarico	2000	54.7%	99.6%	0.6%
Ecuador	Aguarico	2017	54.6%	99.7%	0.4%
Ecuador	Alausí	2000	89.8%	98.0%	76.4%
Ecuador	Alausí	2017	90.2%	97.8%	78.4%
Ecuador	Alfredo Baquerizo Moreno	2000	67.2%	95.3%	23.6%
Ecuador	Alfredo Baquerizo Moreno	2017	66.7%	96.1%	20.8%
Ecuador	Ambato	2000	94.7%	96.1%	91.8%
Ecuador	Ambato	2017	94.8%	96.1%	92.0%
Ecuador	Antonio Ante	2000	65.2%	100.0%	0.1%
Ecuador	Antonio Ante	2017	65.2%	100.0%	0.1%
Ecuador	Arajuno	2000	60.9%	98.0%	16.3%
Ecuador	Arajuno	2017	60.8%	98.4%	14.3%
Ecuador	Archidona	2000	68.4%	91.0%	38.9%
Ecuador	Archidona	2017	69.4%	90.2%	38.2%
Ecuador	Arenillas	2000	86.5%	97.9%	65.3%
Ecuador	Arenillas	2017	87.8%	97.6%	69.1%
Ecuador	Atacames	2000	66.2%	84.2%	42.4%
Ecuador	Atacames	2017	69.4%	83.6%	53.2%
Ecuador	Atahualpa	2000	96.2%	99.5%	81.8%
Ecuador	Atahualpa	2017	96.3%	99.4%	83.0%
Ecuador	Azogues	2000	96.3%	98.0%	94.1%
Ecuador	Azogues	2017	95.9%	97.8%	93.5%
Ecuador	Baba	2000	51.8%	69.8%	33.4%
Ecuador	Baba	2017	50.1%	67.3%	33.2%
Ecuador	Babahoyo	2000	81.8%	92.8%	60.8%
Ecuador	Babahoyo	2017	82.3%	92.4%	62.8%
Ecuador	Balao	2000	93.3%	99.5%	77.1%
Ecuador	Balao	2017	93.2%	99.5%	78.6%
Ecuador	Balsas	2000	96.0%	99.2%	86.8%
Ecuador	Balsas	2017	96.3%	99.2%	89.0%
Ecuador	Balzar	2000	76.9%	96.9%	47.0%
Ecuador	Balzar	2017	77.2%	97.0%	50.2%
Ecuador	Baños de Agua Santa	2000	95.3%	99.2%	84.5%
Ecuador	Baños de Agua Santa	2017	95.2%	99.1%	84.8%
Ecuador	Biblián	2000	98.1%	99.1%	96.2%
Ecuador	Biblián	2017	98.0%	99.0%	96.1%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2000	80.5%	91.1%	64.1%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2000	27.7%	57.2%	5.8%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2017	80.3%	91.1%	64.4%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2017	26.5%	55.6%	4.9%
Ecuador	Buena Fé	2000	66.2%	91.7%	38.4%
Ecuador	Buena Fé	2017	66.2%	92.1%	38.8%
Ecuador	Caluma	2000	89.0%	97.7%	72.4%
Ecuador	Caluma	2017	87.7%	97.7%	67.2%
Ecuador	Calvas	2000	86.8%	99.0%	63.0%
Ecuador	Calvas	2017	86.0%	99.0%	61.1%
Ecuador	Camilo Ponce Enriquez	2000	82.0%	100.0%	17.1%
Ecuador	Camilo Ponce Enriquez	2017	82.1%	100.0%	17.5%
Ecuador	Cañar	2000	89.0%	96.8%	74.8%
Ecuador	Cañar	2017	88.9%	96.8%	74.7%
Ecuador	Carlos Julio Arosemena Tola	2000	79.4%	100.0%	18.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Carlos Julio Arosemena Tola	2017	81.0%	100.0%	14.6%
Ecuador	Cascales	2000	65.4%	99.1%	16.8%
Ecuador	Cascales	2017	67.5%	98.7%	23.9%
Ecuador	Catamayo	2000	94.4%	98.8%	84.9%
Ecuador	Catamayo	2017	94.4%	98.7%	85.1%
Ecuador	Cayambe	2000	86.7%	99.5%	36.3%
Ecuador	Cayambe	2017	86.5%	99.4%	37.0%
Ecuador	Celica	2000	70.0%	100.0%	3.3%
Ecuador	Celica	2017	69.6%	100.0%	3.1%
Ecuador	Centinela del Cóndor	2000	87.7%	99.9%	38.1%
Ecuador	Centinela del Cóndor	2017	86.6%	99.9%	31.8%
Ecuador	Cevallos	2000	84.6%	89.4%	77.8%
Ecuador	Cevallos	2017	84.1%	89.0%	77.1%
Ecuador	Chaguarpamba	2000	94.9%	99.4%	74.8%
Ecuador	Chaguarpamba	2017	94.4%	99.4%	72.3%
Ecuador	Chambo	2000	64.7%	97.9%	16.3%
Ecuador	Chambo	2017	64.6%	97.7%	16.4%
Ecuador	Chilla	2000	82.0%	100.0%	26.8%
Ecuador	Chilla	2017	81.2%	100.0%	24.5%
Ecuador	Chillanes	2000	81.0%	95.3%	54.7%
Ecuador	Chillanes	2017	80.8%	95.0%	54.4%
Ecuador	Chimbo	2000	89.5%	93.9%	83.2%
Ecuador	Chimbo	2017	88.8%	93.7%	81.4%
Ecuador	Chinchi	2000	73.4%	99.9%	15.2%
Ecuador	Chinchi	2017	73.7%	99.9%	13.0%
Ecuador	Chone	2000	62.1%	88.8%	25.2%
Ecuador	Chone	2017	61.9%	88.3%	26.0%
Ecuador	Chordeleg	2000	84.7%	99.9%	31.9%
Ecuador	Chordeleg	2017	80.3%	99.9%	28.2%
Ecuador	Chunchi	2000	89.1%	99.5%	46.0%
Ecuador	Chunchi	2017	88.9%	99.5%	45.9%
Ecuador	Colimes	2000	52.6%	99.5%	1.2%
Ecuador	Colimes	2017	52.8%	99.3%	1.4%
Ecuador	Colta	2000	97.5%	99.6%	90.9%
Ecuador	Colta	2017	97.4%	99.6%	90.3%
Ecuador	Coronel	2000	85.0%	99.8%	47.8%
Ecuador	Marcelino Maridueña	2017	86.1%	99.8%	49.7%
Ecuador	Cotacachi	2000	64.7%	99.8%	5.9%
Ecuador	Cotacachi	2017	64.3%	99.8%	6.5%
Ecuador	Cuenca	2000	76.8%	98.6%	20.5%
Ecuador	Cuenca	2017	76.2%	98.5%	19.8%
Ecuador	Cumanda	2000	89.5%	99.6%	62.2%
Ecuador	Cumanda	2017	89.7%	99.5%	62.5%
Ecuador	Cuyabeno	2000	58.5%	99.9%	1.9%
Ecuador	Cuyabeno	2017	58.3%	99.9%	1.7%
Ecuador	Daule	2000	52.1%	68.1%	34.4%
Ecuador	Daule	2017	50.0%	67.0%	31.6%
Ecuador	Déleg	2000	97.1%	98.8%	92.9%
Ecuador	Déleg	2017	97.1%	98.8%	92.6%
Ecuador	Durán	2000	94.1%	99.0%	82.0%
Ecuador	Durán	2017	94.7%	99.1%	84.2%
Ecuador	Echeandía	2000	88.1%	97.5%	67.5%
Ecuador	Echeandía	2017	86.5%	97.7%	59.1%
Ecuador	El Carmen	2000	51.7%	78.6%	24.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	El Carmen	2017	51.4%	78.2%	25.5%
Ecuador	El Chaco	2000	85.4%	97.7%	64.2%
Ecuador	El Chaco	2017	87.5%	98.3%	67.5%
Ecuador	El Empalme	2000	61.1%	88.7%	25.6%
Ecuador	El Empalme	2017	60.4%	87.6%	26.8%
Ecuador	El Guabo	2000	92.2%	98.0%	80.7%
Ecuador	El Guabo	2017	92.1%	97.9%	81.2%
Ecuador	El Pan	2000	82.7%	100.0%	24.3%
Ecuador	El Pan	2017	82.5%	100.0%	26.4%
Ecuador	El Pangui	2000	52.4%	99.9%	0.2%
Ecuador	El Pangui	2017	52.1%	99.8%	0.2%
Ecuador	El Tambo	2000	97.4%	98.5%	95.8%
Ecuador	El Tambo	2017	97.3%	98.4%	95.9%
Ecuador	El Triunfo	2000	83.7%	98.8%	48.4%
Ecuador	El Triunfo	2017	84.1%	98.9%	47.2%
Ecuador	Eloy Alfaro	2000	52.8%	75.4%	23.3%
Ecuador	Eloy Alfaro	2017	52.8%	75.7%	23.5%
Ecuador	Esmeraldas	2000	78.3%	94.1%	54.2%
Ecuador	Esmeraldas	2017	78.2%	93.6%	54.9%
Ecuador	Espejo	2000	95.7%	98.9%	88.4%
Ecuador	Espejo	2017	96.1%	98.9%	90.2%
Ecuador	Espíndola	2000	65.6%	100.0%	0.1%
Ecuador	Espíndola	2017	65.4%	100.0%	0.1%
Ecuador	Flavio Alfaro	2000	36.1%	68.3%	5.6%
Ecuador	Flavio Alfaro	2017	35.3%	66.2%	5.3%
Ecuador	General Antonio Elizalde	2000	85.8%	99.9%	37.2%
Ecuador	General Antonio Elizalde	2017	87.5%	99.8%	46.1%
Ecuador	Girón	2000	57.2%	99.0%	4.2%
Ecuador	Girón	2017	56.3%	99.0%	3.8%
Ecuador	Gonzalo Pizarro	2000	65.3%	99.8%	7.1%
Ecuador	Gonzalo Pizarro	2017	65.3%	99.8%	5.9%
Ecuador	Gonzanamá	2000	84.3%	98.8%	52.5%
Ecuador	Gonzanamá	2017	85.1%	98.9%	54.3%
Ecuador	Guachapala	2000	96.7%	99.5%	88.2%
Ecuador	Guachapala	2017	96.9%	99.5%	88.8%
Ecuador	Gualaceo	2000	71.6%	97.7%	23.7%
Ecuador	Gualaceo	2017	72.3%	97.8%	25.1%
Ecuador	Gualaquiza	2000	78.8%	94.4%	60.7%
Ecuador	Gualaquiza	2017	79.8%	94.3%	63.1%
Ecuador	Guamote	2000	81.4%	97.8%	56.8%
Ecuador	Guamote	2017	81.9%	97.8%	59.1%
Ecuador	Guano	2000	97.2%	98.3%	94.5%
Ecuador	Guano	2017	97.1%	98.2%	94.1%
Ecuador	Guaranda	2000	92.2%	97.8%	80.5%
Ecuador	Guaranda	2017	91.9%	97.7%	80.6%
Ecuador	Guayaquil	2000	81.0%	94.3%	60.0%
Ecuador	Guayaquil	2017	81.8%	93.7%	64.2%
Ecuador	Huamboya	2000	68.5%	100.0%	0.1%
Ecuador	Huamboya	2017	68.5%	100.0%	0.1%
Ecuador	Huaquillas	2000	96.5%	99.2%	88.8%
Ecuador	Huaquillas	2017	96.8%	99.2%	90.8%
Ecuador	Ibarra	2000	63.6%	99.3%	5.5%
Ecuador	Ibarra	2017	63.2%	99.2%	4.9%
Ecuador	Isabela	2000	49.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Isabela	2017	49.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Isidro Ayora	2000	68.2%	96.7%	23.5%
Ecuador	Isidro Ayora	2017	68.4%	96.7%	24.6%
Ecuador	Jama	2000	40.9%	99.7%	0.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Jama	2017	40.8%	99.7%	0.1%
Ecuador	Jaramijó	2000	58.4%	99.2%	6.2%
Ecuador	Jaramijó	2017	60.7%	99.3%	6.2%
Ecuador	Jipijapa	2000	51.8%	79.9%	27.4%
Ecuador	Jipijapa	2017	50.5%	78.7%	27.4%
Ecuador	Junín	2000	54.9%	74.2%	29.6%
Ecuador	Junín	2017	56.7%	75.9%	32.8%
Ecuador	La Concordia	2000	65.6%	100.0%	0.4%
Ecuador	La Concordia	2017	65.0%	100.0%	0.4%
Ecuador	La Joya de los Sachas	2000	60.4%	98.3%	7.1%
Ecuador	La Joya de los Sachas	2017	59.9%	98.2%	6.6%
Ecuador	La Libertad	2000	79.9%	99.9%	17.2%
Ecuador	La Libertad	2017	86.0%	99.9%	27.5%
Ecuador	La Maná	2000	86.2%	96.7%	72.3%
Ecuador	La Maná	2017	85.9%	96.5%	72.0%
Ecuador	La Troncal	2000	92.8%	96.1%	86.2%
Ecuador	La Troncal	2017	92.7%	96.1%	85.1%
Ecuador	Lago Agrio	2000	56.1%	82.8%	31.7%
Ecuador	Lago Agrio	2017	55.3%	81.8%	31.3%
Ecuador	Las Lajas	2000	77.3%	96.5%	46.3%
Ecuador	Las Lajas	2017	77.3%	96.4%	46.3%
Ecuador	Las Naves	2000	80.1%	86.5%	73.5%
Ecuador	Las Naves	2017	77.3%	84.6%	70.1%
Ecuador	Latacunga	2000	97.6%	98.8%	95.8%
Ecuador	Latacunga	2017	97.5%	98.7%	95.6%
Ecuador	Limón In-danza	2000	71.2%	100.0%	7.5%
Ecuador	Limón In-danza	2017	70.3%	100.0%	5.3%
Ecuador	Logroño	2000	60.3%	99.9%	4.7%
Ecuador	Logroño	2017	60.5%	99.9%	3.9%
Ecuador	Loja	2000	93.0%	99.3%	80.5%
Ecuador	Loja	2017	92.9%	99.3%	80.8%
Ecuador	Lomas de Sargentillo	2000	81.0%	93.3%	63.0%
Ecuador	Lomas de Sargentillo	2017	80.7%	93.2%	62.5%
Ecuador	Loreto	2000	51.9%	94.9%	3.2%
Ecuador	Loreto	2017	51.6%	94.4%	3.1%
Ecuador	Macará	2000	68.1%	100.0%	5.4%
Ecuador	Macará	2017	68.2%	100.0%	5.7%
Ecuador	Machala	2000	89.4%	97.6%	67.7%
Ecuador	Machala	2017	89.6%	97.3%	69.3%
Ecuador	Manta	2000	71.0%	95.7%	35.5%
Ecuador	Manta	2017	70.8%	95.9%	35.3%
Ecuador	Marcabelí	2000	83.3%	99.8%	36.2%
Ecuador	Marcabelí	2017	84.5%	99.8%	36.4%
Ecuador	Mejía	2000	88.7%	99.9%	39.5%
Ecuador	Mejía	2000	94.1%	99.0%	81.3%
Ecuador	Mejía	2017	87.8%	99.9%	38.6%
Ecuador	Mejía	2017	94.4%	99.1%	81.2%
Ecuador	Mera	2000	95.1%	99.6%	76.3%
Ecuador	Mera	2017	94.8%	99.6%	73.7%
Ecuador	Milagro	2000	87.8%	98.3%	70.6%
Ecuador	Milagro	2017	88.2%	98.3%	71.7%
Ecuador	Mira	2000	87.7%	98.8%	63.8%
Ecuador	Mira	2017	88.5%	98.7%	69.0%
Ecuador	Mocache	2000	52.4%	86.2%	18.9%
Ecuador	Mocache	2017	52.4%	84.1%	21.6%
Ecuador	Mocha	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Mocha	2017	95.2%	96.6%	93.2%
Ecuador	Montalvo	2000	79.2%	99.8%	21.0%
Ecuador	Montalvo	2017	79.2%	99.8%	21.4%
Ecuador	Montecristi	2000	47.2%	72.8%	20.7%
Ecuador	Montecristi	2017	47.7%	73.0%	20.9%
Ecuador	Montúfar	2000	99.0%	99.5%	97.8%
Ecuador	Montúfar	2017	99.0%	99.5%	97.7%
Ecuador	Morona	2000	81.3%	95.1%	65.6%
Ecuador	Morona	2017	80.8%	94.1%	64.8%
Ecuador	Muisne	2000	41.3%	68.8%	16.7%
Ecuador	Muisne	2017	40.1%	69.5%	15.3%
Ecuador	Nabón	2000	60.5%	99.2%	8.4%
Ecuador	Nabón	2017	60.2%	99.2%	8.1%
Ecuador	Nangaritza	2000	79.9%	99.8%	32.6%
Ecuador	Nangaritza	2017	80.1%	99.8%	30.8%
Ecuador	Naranjal	2000	79.3%	97.2%	52.7%
Ecuador	Naranjal	2017	80.9%	97.1%	57.3%
Ecuador	Naranjito	2000	90.7%	99.3%	64.8%
Ecuador	Naranjito	2017	90.9%	99.3%	65.3%
Ecuador	Nobol	2000	74.5%	97.7%	30.5%
Ecuador	Nobol	2017	75.3%	97.3%	33.6%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2000	93.2%	99.5%	74.8%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2000	56.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2017	92.6%	99.5%	72.9%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2017	56.1%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Oña	2000	68.2%	97.9%	18.0%
Ecuador	Oña	2017	68.9%	97.6%	21.5%
Ecuador	Orellana	2000	68.9%	92.3%	24.0%
Ecuador	Orellana	2017	69.1%	92.4%	25.0%
Ecuador	Otavalo	2000	69.4%	100.0%	3.8%
Ecuador	Otavalo	2017	69.4%	100.0%	3.9%
Ecuador	Pablo Sexto	2000	60.5%	98.8%	8.1%
Ecuador	Pablo Sexto	2017	61.4%	98.5%	9.1%
Ecuador	Paján	2000	35.3%	75.2%	3.7%
Ecuador	Paján	2017	34.3%	74.0%	3.5%
Ecuador	Palanda	2000	67.2%	100.0%	4.3%
Ecuador	Palanda	2017	67.1%	100.0%	3.5%
Ecuador	Palenque	2000	43.2%	85.6%	9.7%
Ecuador	Palenque	2017	42.9%	85.7%	9.6%
Ecuador	Palestina	2000	47.4%	94.0%	5.7%
Ecuador	Palestina	2017	47.2%	94.1%	5.6%
Ecuador	Pallatanga	2000	85.2%	97.8%	60.9%
Ecuador	Pallatanga	2017	85.1%	97.7%	61.6%
Ecuador	Palora	2000	75.1%	99.9%	16.3%
Ecuador	Palora	2017	75.1%	99.9%	16.4%
Ecuador	Paltas	2000	85.7%	98.0%	66.2%
Ecuador	Paltas	2017	84.8%	97.8%	64.3%
Ecuador	Pangua	2000	86.7%	97.2%	63.2%
Ecuador	Pangua	2017	86.7%	96.9%	65.8%
Ecuador	Paquisha	2000	80.9%	100.0%	27.7%
Ecuador	Paquisha	2017	82.3%	100.0%	32.2%
Ecuador	Pasaje	2000	98.4%	99.2%	96.9%
Ecuador	Pasaje	2017	98.3%	99.1%	96.8%
Ecuador	Pastaza	2000	88.4%	97.3%	72.5%
Ecuador	Pastaza	2017	88.6%	97.4%	72.4%
Ecuador	Patate	2000	96.7%	99.1%	90.2%
Ecuador	Patate	2017	96.5%	99.1%	89.3%
Ecuador	Paute	2000	89.7%	98.2%	70.4%
Ecuador	Paute	2017	90.5%	98.2%	72.8%
Ecuador	Pedernales	2000	51.1%	88.6%	15.3%
Ecuador	Pedernales	2017	50.3%	88.1%	14.6%
Ecuador	Pedro Carbo	2000	28.5%	63.9%	5.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Pedro Carbo	2017	27.7%	60.5%	5.4%
Ecuador	Pedro Moncayo	2000	84.8%	99.7%	35.2%
Ecuador	Pedro Moncayo	2017	84.0%	99.7%	32.8%
Ecuador	Pedro Vicente Maldonado	2000	83.3%	99.6%	43.8%
Ecuador	Pedro Vicente Maldonado	2017	83.0%	99.6%	41.3%
Ecuador	Penipe	2000	93.1%	99.9%	70.3%
Ecuador	Penipe	2017	93.1%	99.9%	70.7%
Ecuador	Pichincha	2000	55.9%	99.8%	1.9%
Ecuador	Pichincha	2017	55.7%	99.8%	2.3%
Ecuador	Pimampiro	2000	62.4%	97.4%	11.7%
Ecuador	Pimampiro	2017	61.7%	97.6%	10.6%
Ecuador	Piñas	2000	93.9%	98.6%	85.4%
Ecuador	Piñas	2017	94.3%	98.7%	86.5%
Ecuador	Pindal	2000	64.2%	100.0%	3.7%
Ecuador	Pindal	2017	63.7%	100.0%	3.6%
Ecuador	Playas	2000	86.3%	99.7%	56.4%
Ecuador	Playas	2017	87.9%	99.6%	62.5%
Ecuador	Portovelo	2000	94.5%	99.0%	83.5%
Ecuador	Portovelo	2017	94.2%	99.0%	82.6%
Ecuador	Portoviejo	2000	73.5%	90.3%	50.1%
Ecuador	Portoviejo	2017	74.4%	90.6%	51.7%
Ecuador	Pucará	2000	75.9%	97.4%	26.2%
Ecuador	Pucará	2017	75.6%	96.6%	27.1%
Ecuador	Pueblo Viejo	2000	61.1%	81.1%	25.1%
Ecuador	Pueblo Viejo	2017	61.5%	82.3%	23.8%
Ecuador	Puerto López	2000	68.3%	100.0%	1.1%
Ecuador	Puerto López	2017	68.2%	100.0%	1.1%
Ecuador	Puerto Quito	2000	75.4%	99.4%	41.1%
Ecuador	Puerto Quito	2017	75.5%	99.3%	40.4%
Ecuador	Pujilí	2000	88.8%	96.1%	75.7%
Ecuador	Pujilí	2017	88.5%	95.8%	76.1%
Ecuador	Putumayo	2000	56.7%	99.9%	0.3%
Ecuador	Putumayo	2017	56.6%	99.9%	0.3%
Ecuador	Puyango	2000	83.9%	98.7%	61.9%
Ecuador	Puyango	2017	83.8%	98.7%	62.2%
Ecuador	Quero	2000	96.3%	97.6%	94.2%
Ecuador	Quero	2017	96.2%	97.6%	94.2%
Ecuador	Quevedo	2000	71.3%	89.4%	48.8%
Ecuador	Quevedo	2017	71.1%	89.3%	47.5%
Ecuador	Quijos	2000	80.9%	98.6%	36.9%
Ecuador	Quijos	2017	81.1%	98.4%	37.1%
Ecuador	Quilanga	2000	69.4%	100.0%	0.8%
Ecuador	Quilanga	2017	69.3%	100.0%	0.7%
Ecuador	Quinindé	2000	59.4%	84.8%	31.2%
Ecuador	Quinindé	2017	60.0%	84.8%	32.6%
Ecuador	Quinsaloma	2000	55.2%	86.6%	16.6%
Ecuador	Quinsaloma	2017	53.1%	84.8%	17.2%
Ecuador	Quito	2000	97.2%	99.2%	92.1%
Ecuador	Quito	2017	97.2%	99.2%	92.3%
Ecuador	Río Verde	2000	41.6%	73.3%	16.4%
Ecuador	Río Verde	2017	41.7%	73.3%	17.6%
Ecuador	Riobamba	2000	93.6%	98.4%	87.1%
Ecuador	Riobamba	2017	93.4%	98.3%	86.4%
Ecuador	Rocafuerte	2000	79.7%	97.5%	53.7%
Ecuador	Rocafuerte	2017	79.6%	97.3%	54.8%
Ecuador	Rumiñahui	2000	98.5%	99.5%	95.5%
Ecuador	Rumiñahui	2017	98.5%	99.5%	95.9%
Ecuador	Salcedo	2000	94.5%	96.4%	91.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Salcedo	2017	94.3%	96.3%	91.6%
Ecuador	Salinas	2000	83.0%	99.2%	45.7%
Ecuador	Salinas	2017	83.1%	99.1%	46.6%
Ecuador	Samborondón	2000	72.4%	98.5%	38.5%
Ecuador	Samborondón	2017	73.1%	98.7%	39.7%
Ecuador	San Cristóbal	2000	51.2%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	San Cristóbal	2017	51.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	San Fernando	2000	62.8%	100.0%	1.5%
Ecuador	San Fernando	2017	62.1%	100.0%	1.4%
Ecuador	San Jacinto de Yaguachi	2000	85.5%	99.2%	47.7%
Ecuador	San Jacinto de Yaguachi	2017	85.7%	99.3%	49.1%
Ecuador	San Juan Bosco	2000	75.3%	100.0%	8.8%
Ecuador	San Juan Bosco	2017	74.4%	100.0%	7.7%
Ecuador	San Lorenzo	2000	75.3%	97.3%	41.3%
Ecuador	San Lorenzo	2017	77.4%	97.0%	46.0%
Ecuador	San Miguel	2000	86.1%	90.2%	79.4%
Ecuador	San Miguel	2017	85.8%	90.2%	78.1%
Ecuador	San Miguel de los Bancos	2000	75.9%	98.5%	36.0%
Ecuador	San Miguel de los Bancos	2017	75.7%	98.6%	34.7%
Ecuador	San Miguel de Urcuquí	2000	69.0%	99.9%	7.6%
Ecuador	San Miguel de Urcuquí	2017	68.8%	99.9%	6.9%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Huaca	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.4%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Huaca	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.2%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Pelileo	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.1%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Pelileo	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.4%
Ecuador	San Vicente	2000	55.7%	91.5%	14.5%
Ecuador	San Vicente	2017	56.6%	92.5%	14.1%
Ecuador	Santa Ana	2000	65.3%	90.7%	36.7%
Ecuador	Santa Ana	2017	65.0%	90.7%	35.7%
Ecuador	Santa Clara	2000	87.9%	99.9%	58.4%
Ecuador	Santa Clara	2017	89.6%	99.8%	65.9%
Ecuador	Santa Cruz	2000	51.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Santa Cruz	2017	51.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Santa Elena	2000	69.8%	93.0%	44.6%
Ecuador	Santa Elena	2017	69.9%	92.6%	44.5%
Ecuador	Santa Isabel	2000	81.5%	96.1%	57.3%
Ecuador	Santa Isabel	2017	80.7%	95.8%	56.0%
Ecuador	Santa Lucia	2000	25.4%	48.0%	6.9%
Ecuador	Santa Lucia	2017	23.9%	44.2%	6.6%
Ecuador	Santa Rosa	2000	95.7%	99.6%	88.2%
Ecuador	Santa Rosa	2017	96.1%	99.6%	89.4%
Ecuador	Santiago	2000	71.9%	98.9%	21.2%
Ecuador	Santiago	2017	71.2%	99.1%	18.6%
Ecuador	Santiago de Pillaro	2000	95.9%	98.6%	89.0%
Ecuador	Santiago de Pillaro	2017	95.8%	98.6%	88.6%
Ecuador	Santo Domingo	2000	69.3%	90.5%	43.3%
Ecuador	Santo Domingo	2017	70.4%	90.7%	46.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Saquisili	2000	90.3%	95.4%	83.5%
Ecuador	Saquisili	2017	89.9%	95.2%	83.0%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2000	96.9%	98.8%	88.1%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2017	96.7%	98.7%	88.1%
Ecuador	Saraguro	2000	85.4%	97.0%	65.6%
Ecuador	Saraguro	2017	84.7%	96.6%	64.6%
Ecuador	Sevilla de Oro	2000	77.6%	100.0%	10.0%
Ecuador	Sevilla de Oro	2017	77.6%	100.0%	10.6%
Ecuador	Shushufindi	2000	73.4%	93.1%	48.5%
Ecuador	Shushufindi	2017	72.3%	93.1%	46.4%
Ecuador	Sigchos	2000	79.1%	97.2%	47.1%
Ecuador	Sigchos	2017	78.9%	97.2%	46.7%
Ecuador	Sigsig	2000	79.3%	92.6%	53.7%
Ecuador	Sigsig	2017	79.3%	92.8%	52.1%
Ecuador	Simon Bolivar	2000	73.6%	100.0%	9.2%
Ecuador	Simon Bolivar	2017	73.2%	100.0%	8.7%
Ecuador	Sozoranga	2000	77.0%	99.3%	28.9%
Ecuador	Sozoranga	2017	76.9%	99.3%	29.2%
Ecuador	Sucre	2000	69.1%	94.7%	38.0%
Ecuador	Sucre	2017	68.8%	94.9%	38.1%
Ecuador	Sucúa	2000	74.6%	98.3%	46.6%
Ecuador	Sucúa	2017	75.9%	98.1%	47.0%
Ecuador	Sucumbíos	2000	86.1%	99.6%	49.6%
Ecuador	Sucumbíos	2017	84.5%	99.6%	44.6%
Ecuador	Suscal	2000	89.0%	95.0%	66.8%
Ecuador	Suscal	2017	88.7%	94.5%	67.9%
Ecuador	Taisha	2000	43.2%	85.7%	3.3%
Ecuador	Taisha	2017	42.9%	87.2%	3.3%
Ecuador	Tena	2000	79.2%	92.0%	60.3%
Ecuador	Tena	2017	78.8%	91.4%	59.8%
Ecuador	Tisaleo	2000	93.9%	96.0%	91.0%
Ecuador	Tisaleo	2017	93.6%	95.8%	90.6%
Ecuador	Tiwintza	2000	55.5%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Tiwintza	2017	55.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Tosagua	2000	58.9%	82.0%	24.3%
Ecuador	Tosagua	2017	58.7%	81.3%	26.2%
Ecuador	Tulcán	2000	94.0%	99.0%	83.6%
Ecuador	Tulcán	2017	93.9%	98.9%	83.3%
Ecuador	Urbina Jado	2000	43.1%	95.2%	1.2%
Ecuador	Urbina Jado	2017	42.7%	95.3%	1.1%
Ecuador	Urdaneta	2000	78.8%	99.8%	18.2%
Ecuador	Urdaneta	2017	78.4%	99.8%	17.6%
Ecuador	Valencia	2000	67.0%	93.9%	29.9%
Ecuador	Valencia	2017	66.6%	92.2%	32.9%
Ecuador	Ventanas	2000	62.5%	81.2%	41.9%
Ecuador	Ventanas	2017	62.5%	80.9%	42.6%
Ecuador	Vinces	2000	55.5%	69.4%	41.7%
Ecuador	Vinces	2017	55.0%	68.7%	42.6%
Ecuador	Yacuambi	2000	76.1%	98.0%	43.3%
Ecuador	Yacuambi	2017	77.0%	97.9%	46.6%
Ecuador	Yantzaza	2000	84.5%	99.6%	53.5%
Ecuador	Yantzaza	2017	84.4%	99.6%	51.7%
Ecuador	Zamora	2000	86.6%	98.0%	62.0%
Ecuador	Zamora	2017	86.1%	98.0%	62.7%
Ecuador	Zapotillo	2000	57.5%	94.2%	12.7%
Ecuador	Zapotillo	2017	57.2%	94.2%	12.6%
Ecuador	Zaruma	2000	87.2%	95.1%	77.1%
Ecuador	Zaruma	2017	86.8%	94.8%	76.4%
El Salvador	Acajutla	2000	33.2%	54.6%	12.9%
El Salvador	Acajutla	2017	82.7%	94.5%	59.2%
El Salvador	Agua Caliente	2000	61.3%	84.8%	31.7%
El Salvador	Agua Caliente	2017	93.9%	99.0%	82.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Aguilares	2000	37.3%	56.1%	23.7%
El Salvador	Aguilares	2017	88.1%	93.3%	78.0%
El Salvador	Ahuachapán	2000	49.3%	70.3%	29.8%
El Salvador	Ahuachapán	2017	88.4%	97.4%	76.5%
El Salvador	Alegria	2000	82.7%	92.9%	70.9%
El Salvador	Alegria	2017	98.0%	99.5%	92.4%
El Salvador	Anamorós	2000	16.9%	43.8%	2.2%
El Salvador	Anamorós	2017	56.6%	80.5%	26.6%
El Salvador	Antiguo Cuscatlán	2000	85.9%	94.5%	69.0%
El Salvador	Antiguo Cuscatlán	2017	98.5%	99.7%	92.6%
El Salvador	Apaneca	2000	91.4%	99.3%	72.0%
El Salvador	Apaneca	2017	98.6%	100.0%	93.0%
El Salvador	Apastepeque	2000	35.1%	47.3%	22.0%
El Salvador	Apastepeque	2017	86.6%	91.6%	73.0%
El Salvador	Apopa	2000	20.2%	22.7%	18.1%
El Salvador	Apopa	2017	57.6%	64.8%	51.7%
El Salvador	Arambala	2000	32.1%	55.8%	12.2%
El Salvador	Arambala	2017	85.3%	95.3%	65.9%
El Salvador	Arcatao	2000	78.4%	98.2%	34.6%
El Salvador	Arcatao	2017	97.6%	99.9%	87.4%
El Salvador	Armenia	2000	67.7%	82.3%	52.5%
El Salvador	Armenia	2017	96.0%	98.8%	87.3%
El Salvador	Atiquizaya	2000	61.9%	70.2%	49.6%
El Salvador	Atiquizaya	2017	96.0%	97.3%	92.1%
El Salvador	Ayutuxtepeque	2000	66.1%	68.2%	63.7%
El Salvador	Ayutuxtepeque	2017	95.6%	96.1%	95.0%
El Salvador	Azacualpa	2000	50.2%	92.9%	5.6%
El Salvador	Azacualpa	2017	89.0%	99.6%	50.8%
El Salvador	Berlín	2000	77.2%	93.8%	56.4%
El Salvador	Berlín	2017	95.5%	99.6%	85.0%
El Salvador	Bolívar	2000	25.0%	53.0%	11.2%
El Salvador	Bolívar	2017	70.8%	91.9%	40.6%
El Salvador	Cacaopera	2000	59.1%	88.5%	28.2%
El Salvador	Cacaopera	2017	89.5%	99.0%	64.2%
El Salvador	California	2000	80.3%	90.2%	65.7%
El Salvador	California	2017	98.4%	99.3%	96.7%
El Salvador	Caluco	2000	56.0%	90.9%	14.0%
El Salvador	Caluco	2017	90.1%	99.3%	53.5%
El Salvador	Candelaria	2000	67.2%	70.4%	64.3%
El Salvador	Candelaria	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
El Salvador	Candelaria de la Frontera	2000	54.9%	76.4%	35.7%
El Salvador	Candelaria de la Frontera	2017	91.6%	98.0%	81.5%
El Salvador	Carolina	2000	19.4%	34.2%	8.4%
El Salvador	Carolina	2017	77.5%	88.9%	61.9%
El Salvador	Chalatenango	2000	59.8%	83.5%	34.4%
El Salvador	Chalatenango	2017	93.5%	99.0%	80.4%
El Salvador	Chalchuapa	2000	69.6%	77.8%	59.9%
El Salvador	Chalchuapa	2017	88.7%	97.3%	81.3%
El Salvador	Chapeltique	2000	44.3%	67.0%	20.7%
El Salvador	Chapeltique	2017	88.8%	96.8%	71.1%
El Salvador	Chilanga	2000	42.4%	49.3%	35.1%
El Salvador	Chilanga	2017	90.7%	92.0%	87.3%
El Salvador	Chiltiupán	2000	66.4%	93.4%	35.9%
El Salvador	Chiltiupán	2017	91.4%	99.6%	65.2%
El Salvador	Chinameca	2000	59.1%	72.3%	45.6%
El Salvador	Chinameca	2017	95.1%	97.9%	89.8%
El Salvador	Chirilagua	2000	54.3%	80.0%	27.2%
El Salvador	Chirilagua	2017	88.4%	98.3%	69.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Cinquera	2000	75.3%	89.0%	60.7%
El Salvador	Cinquera	2017	97.3%	99.4%	91.1%
El Salvador	Citalá	2000	96.9%	99.9%	84.2%
El Salvador	Citalá	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
El Salvador	Ciudad Arce	2000	50.7%	71.1%	30.2%
El Salvador	Ciudad Arce	2017	93.5%	97.7%	85.6%
El Salvador	Ciudad Barrios	2000	38.8%	49.7%	29.3%
El Salvador	Ciudad Barrios	2017	89.9%	93.3%	83.7%
El Salvador	Coatepeque	2000	39.3%	69.3%	14.8%
El Salvador	Coatepeque	2017	82.3%	96.1%	59.3%
El Salvador	Cojutepeque	2000	71.9%	75.3%	68.2%
El Salvador	Cojutepeque	2017	97.1%	97.4%	96.8%
El Salvador	Colón	2000	60.7%	67.0%	53.9%
El Salvador	Colón	2017	96.4%	97.5%	94.7%
El Salvador	Comacarán	2000	41.5%	67.0%	13.6%
El Salvador	Comacarán	2017	88.7%	95.2%	66.5%
El Salvador	Comalapa	2000	93.1%	96.7%	87.7%
El Salvador	Comalapa	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
El Salvador	Comasagua	2000	67.8%	79.3%	56.3%
El Salvador	Comasagua	2017	96.9%	98.5%	92.9%
El Salvador	Concepción Batres	2000	37.0%	65.0%	17.7%
El Salvador	Concepción Batres	2017	78.9%	94.8%	52.9%
El Salvador	Concepción de Ataco	2000	81.6%	88.7%	75.4%
El Salvador	Concepción de Ataco	2017	97.7%	99.1%	94.7%
El Salvador	Concepción de Oriente	2000	29.7%	59.4%	7.4%
El Salvador	Concepción de Oriente	2017	77.5%	94.1%	46.7%
El Salvador	Concepción Quezaltepeque	2000	76.9%	84.3%	68.1%
El Salvador	Concepción Quezaltepeque	2017	98.4%	99.1%	96.5%
El Salvador	Conchagua	2000	44.5%	80.1%	14.6%
El Salvador	Conchagua	2017	82.1%	97.8%	48.6%
El Salvador	Corinto	2000	43.5%	92.2%	3.1%
El Salvador	Corinto	2017	81.3%	99.5%	23.9%
El Salvador	Cuisnahuat	2000	55.2%	88.6%	20.0%
El Salvador	Cuisnahuat	2017	87.8%	99.2%	52.3%
El Salvador	Cuscatancingo	2000	45.8%	48.8%	43.0%
El Salvador	Cuscatancingo	2017	88.5%	90.2%	86.4%
El Salvador	Cuyultitán	2000	46.8%	71.0%	30.6%
El Salvador	Cuyultitán	2017	92.4%	98.3%	79.4%
El Salvador	Delgado	2000	56.7%	58.9%	54.3%
El Salvador	Delgado	2017	84.5%	87.7%	81.5%
El Salvador	Delicias de Concepción	2000	67.4%	77.5%	52.6%
El Salvador	Delicias de Concepción	2017	97.2%	98.4%	93.4%
El Salvador	Dolores	2000	39.4%	72.5%	15.7%
El Salvador	Dolores	2017	81.9%	97.2%	54.3%
El Salvador	Dulce Nombre de María	2000	88.1%	94.0%	76.6%
El Salvador	Dulce Nombre de María	2017	98.9%	99.6%	96.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	El Carmen	2000	34.0%	63.3%	8.2%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2000	23.6%	25.7%	21.6%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2017	80.9%	82.9%	78.6%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2017	80.3%	92.6%	53.0%
El Salvador	El Carrizal	2000	91.4%	98.5%	70.4%
El Salvador	El Carrizal	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.4%
El Salvador	El Congo	2000	46.5%	72.8%	18.5%
El Salvador	El Congo	2017	88.8%	97.7%	70.0%
El Salvador	El Divisadero	2000	39.8%	56.7%	22.8%
El Salvador	El Divisadero	2017	90.3%	94.3%	81.3%
El Salvador	El Paisnal	2000	37.9%	62.6%	17.6%
El Salvador	El Paisnal	2017	86.0%	96.8%	63.7%
El Salvador	El Paraíso	2000	45.4%	52.6%	38.4%
El Salvador	El Paraíso	2017	94.0%	95.5%	92.1%
El Salvador	El Porvenir	2000	62.7%	77.2%	49.5%
El Salvador	El Porvenir	2017	90.5%	96.8%	80.8%
El Salvador	El Refugio	2000	79.4%	83.8%	75.2%
El Salvador	El Refugio	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.4%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	15.3%	44.9%	1.9%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	23.8%	27.7%	20.2%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	51.2%	65.1%	37.5%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	85.9%	87.7%	83.8%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	51.4%	66.1%	23.4%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	89.7%	95.6%	76.0%
El Salvador	El Sauce	2000	28.3%	52.8%	9.1%
El Salvador	El Sauce	2017	73.9%	92.4%	50.8%
El Salvador	El Tránsito	2000	47.5%	84.1%	13.4%
El Salvador	El Tránsito	2017	85.5%	98.4%	52.5%
El Salvador	El Triunfo	2000	83.9%	98.1%	53.8%
El Salvador	El Triunfo	2017	97.9%	99.9%	90.4%
El Salvador	Embalse Cer- ron Grande	2000	47.1%	70.9%	23.1%
El Salvador	Embalse Cer- ron Grande	2017	87.2%	96.9%	67.4%
El Salvador	Ereguayquín	2000	29.6%	72.5%	1.7%
El Salvador	Ereguayquín	2017	69.2%	88.7%	22.5%
El Salvador	Estanzuelas	2000	79.5%	97.0%	52.3%
El Salvador	Estanzuelas	2017	97.3%	99.8%	87.2%
El Salvador	Guacotecti	2000	90.2%	94.8%	82.2%
El Salvador	Guacotecti	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.6%
El Salvador	Guadalupe	2000	76.0%	88.3%	63.1%
El Salvador	Guadalupe	2017	97.0%	99.2%	92.1%
El Salvador	Gualococti	2000	29.6%	40.2%	19.4%
El Salvador	Gualococti	2017	84.6%	89.2%	76.0%
El Salvador	Guatajiagua	2000	38.5%	61.9%	18.3%
El Salvador	Guatajiagua	2017	87.4%	95.2%	70.3%
El Salvador	Guaymango	2000	50.9%	62.4%	37.4%
El Salvador	Guaymango	2017	88.6%	93.2%	78.0%
El Salvador	Guazapa	2000	36.1%	54.4%	16.8%
El Salvador	Guazapa	2017	76.3%	92.9%	56.0%
El Salvador	Huizúcar	2000	65.1%	85.2%	30.6%
El Salvador	Huizúcar	2017	95.5%	98.9%	83.4%
El Salvador	Ilobasco	2000	36.9%	48.2%	26.1%
El Salvador	Ilobasco	2017	88.2%	93.1%	79.9%
El Salvador	Ilopango	2000	66.1%	71.2%	60.4%
El Salvador	Ilopango	2017	97.1%	97.5%	96.6%
El Salvador	Intipucá	2000	72.7%	93.5%	44.0%
El Salvador	Intipucá	2017	95.2%	99.6%	84.4%
El Salvador	Izalco	2000	39.7%	56.3%	22.9%
El Salvador	Izalco	2017	87.4%	92.8%	74.3%
El Salvador	Jayaque	2000	86.1%	94.5%	71.0%
El Salvador	Jayaque	2017	98.8%	99.6%	95.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Jerusalén	2000	91.8%	96.0%	86.5%
El Salvador	Jerusalén	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.2%
El Salvador	Jicalapa	2000	43.5%	87.8%	8.4%
El Salvador	Jicalapa	2017	81.1%	99.1%	44.4%
El Salvador	Jiquilisco	2000	31.8%	55.3%	14.0%
El Salvador	Jiquilisco	2017	74.7%	92.5%	54.7%
El Salvador	Joateca	2000	64.2%	96.8%	23.0%
El Salvador	Joateca	2017	92.0%	99.8%	58.9%
El Salvador	Jocoaitique	2000	23.3%	42.9%	14.5%
El Salvador	Jocoaitique	2017	75.8%	92.4%	49.5%
El Salvador	Jocoro	2000	18.7%	26.5%	13.1%
El Salvador	Jocoro	2017	77.1%	83.3%	69.4%
El Salvador	Juayúa	2000	76.9%	86.0%	65.6%
El Salvador	Juayúa	2017	92.8%	95.1%	89.8%
El Salvador	Jucuapa	2000	86.7%	96.4%	62.2%
El Salvador	Jucuapa	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.6%
El Salvador	Jucuarán	2000	65.4%	88.1%	40.6%
El Salvador	Jucuarán	2017	93.1%	99.1%	80.4%
El Salvador	Jujutla	2000	43.8%	74.9%	19.0%
El Salvador	Jujutla	2017	84.1%	97.1%	61.5%
El Salvador	Jutiapa	2000	33.2%	48.6%	16.7%
El Salvador	Jutiapa	2017	85.9%	91.8%	75.9%
El Salvador	La Laguna	2000	94.5%	97.7%	89.7%
El Salvador	La Laguna	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
El Salvador	La Libertad	2000	43.7%	65.3%	20.0%
El Salvador	La Libertad	2017	85.7%	94.9%	67.0%
El Salvador	La Palma	2000	93.9%	99.5%	80.9%
El Salvador	La Palma	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.2%
El Salvador	La Reina	2000	69.5%	86.9%	46.9%
El Salvador	La Reina	2017	95.8%	99.1%	86.0%
El Salvador	La Unión	2000	43.0%	77.9%	15.1%
El Salvador	La Unión	2017	79.9%	97.0%	47.1%
El Salvador	Lago de Coatepeque	2000	48.5%	91.9%	3.7%
El Salvador	Lago de Coatepeque	2017	86.1%	99.6%	36.2%
El Salvador	Lago de Guija	2000	52.3%	94.4%	8.1%
El Salvador	Lago de Guija	2017	87.1%	99.5%	50.6%
El Salvador	Lago de Llopango	2000	72.8%	78.6%	64.4%
El Salvador	Lago de Llopango	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
El Salvador	Las Vueltas	2000	88.5%	96.1%	73.4%
El Salvador	Las Vueltas	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.7%
El Salvador	Lislique	2000	41.6%	87.1%	4.1%
El Salvador	Lislique	2017	80.8%	99.0%	31.3%
El Salvador	Lolotique	2000	84.0%	95.4%	62.1%
El Salvador	Lolotique	2017	98.2%	99.7%	92.9%
El Salvador	Lolotiquillo	2000	23.6%	25.8%	21.2%
El Salvador	Lolotiquillo	2017	84.9%	86.1%	83.7%
El Salvador	Masahuat	2000	34.3%	53.9%	18.0%
El Salvador	Masahuat	2017	85.5%	95.1%	70.4%
El Salvador	Meanguera	2000	69.9%	80.4%	55.7%
El Salvador	Meanguera	2017	93.1%	94.9%	89.8%
El Salvador	Meanguera del Golfo	2000	25.0%	59.7%	1.0%
El Salvador	Meanguera del Golfo	2017	52.7%	68.8%	15.4%
El Salvador	Mejicanos	2000	67.7%	70.5%	64.8%
El Salvador	Mejicanos	2017	97.6%	97.8%	97.3%
El Salvador	Mercedes La Ceiba	2000	94.1%	97.1%	88.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Mercedes La Ceiba	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.3%
El Salvador	Mercedes Umaña	2000	84.1%	95.3%	63.8%
El Salvador	Mercedes Umaña	2017	98.2%	99.7%	93.7%
El Salvador	Metapán	2000	57.6%	80.5%	31.5%
El Salvador	Metapán	2017	91.5%	98.3%	77.8%
El Salvador	Moncagua	2000	44.8%	70.0%	23.1%
El Salvador	Moncagua	2017	89.4%	96.7%	76.9%
El Salvador	Monte San Juan	2000	55.3%	58.9%	51.8%
El Salvador	Monte San Juan	2017	92.9%	93.7%	91.9%
El Salvador	Nahuizalco	2000	67.0%	70.7%	61.4%
El Salvador	Nahuizalco	2017	89.4%	90.3%	88.6%
El Salvador	Nahulingo	2000	20.5%	25.7%	15.7%
El Salvador	Nahulingo	2017	80.8%	83.6%	77.5%
El Salvador	Nejapa	2000	52.1%	56.1%	48.4%
El Salvador	Nejapa	2017	75.2%	77.9%	72.1%
El Salvador	Nombre de Jesús	2000	56.2%	67.8%	42.3%
El Salvador	Nombre de Jesús	2017	95.3%	97.8%	89.1%
El Salvador	Nueva Concepción	2000	42.5%	67.9%	21.6%
El Salvador	Nueva Concepción	2017	83.3%	96.4%	65.1%
El Salvador	Nueva Esparta	2000	44.2%	78.6%	9.1%
El Salvador	Nueva Esparta	2017	80.8%	97.6%	45.4%
El Salvador	Nueva Granada	2000	78.4%	97.1%	47.4%
El Salvador	Nueva Granada	2017	96.5%	99.8%	83.5%
El Salvador	Nueva Guadalupe	2000	73.6%	91.1%	46.4%
El Salvador	Nueva Guadalupe	2017	97.9%	99.5%	93.3%
El Salvador	Nueva San Salvador	2000	85.3%	90.5%	76.9%
El Salvador	Nueva San Salvador	2017	98.7%	99.4%	95.3%
El Salvador	Nueva Trinidad	2000	71.2%	97.6%	25.1%
El Salvador	Nueva Trinidad	2017	95.0%	99.9%	76.7%
El Salvador	Nuevo Cuscatlán	2000	78.8%	98.5%	25.7%
El Salvador	Nuevo Cuscatlán	2017	97.8%	99.9%	86.9%
El Salvador	Nuevo Edén de San Juan	2000	25.5%	55.2%	6.3%
El Salvador	Nuevo Edén de San Juan	2017	72.8%	91.0%	46.4%
El Salvador	Ojos de Agua	2000	86.9%	96.3%	70.5%
El Salvador	Ojos de Agua	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.0%
El Salvador	Olocuilta	2000	42.9%	61.7%	26.7%
El Salvador	Olocuilta	2017	89.9%	95.1%	78.7%
El Salvador	Opico	2000	45.5%	72.0%	22.6%
El Salvador	Opico	2017	88.9%	97.3%	67.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Oratorio de Concepción	2000	28.6%	37.6%	17.9%
El Salvador	Oratorio de Concepción	2017	85.6%	88.2%	79.4%
El Salvador	Osicala	2000	68.0%	73.8%	58.6%
El Salvador	Osicala	2017	94.8%	95.5%	93.6%
El Salvador	Ozatlán	2000	30.7%	71.0%	7.0%
El Salvador	Ozatlán	2017	78.9%	97.0%	50.3%
El Salvador	Panchimalco	2000	35.1%	42.6%	28.1%
El Salvador	Panchimalco	2017	84.2%	87.6%	78.8%
El Salvador	Paraíso de Osorio	2000	64.7%	68.9%	56.9%
El Salvador	Paraíso de Osorio	2017	71.2%	75.8%	68.9%
El Salvador	Pasaquina	2000	51.2%	72.2%	28.9%
El Salvador	Pasaquina	2017	85.1%	96.7%	63.2%
El Salvador	Perquín	2000	43.2%	74.1%	18.1%
El Salvador	Perquín	2017	85.5%	98.0%	57.4%
El Salvador	Polorós	2000	41.4%	77.6%	8.8%
El Salvador	Polorós	2017	82.8%	97.3%	48.4%
El Salvador	Potonico	2000	40.3%	78.4%	8.1%
El Salvador	Potonico	2017	83.8%	98.2%	45.7%
El Salvador	Puerto El Triunfo	2000	15.3%	32.9%	4.2%
El Salvador	Puerto El Triunfo	2017	67.5%	87.1%	40.5%
El Salvador	Quelepa	2000	21.4%	33.2%	12.9%
El Salvador	Quelepa	2017	78.3%	84.2%	67.7%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque	2000	52.3%	67.3%	39.6%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque	2017	92.5%	97.2%	82.2%
El Salvador	Rosario de Mora	2000	32.8%	59.5%	14.0%
El Salvador	Rosario de Mora	2017	81.9%	93.9%	58.9%
El Salvador	Sacacoyo	2000	87.3%	93.8%	76.4%
El Salvador	Sacacoyo	2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.4%
El Salvador	Salcoatitán	2000	79.8%	88.6%	58.4%
El Salvador	Salcoatitán	2017	98.3%	99.2%	95.5%
El Salvador	San Agustín	2000	53.7%	84.8%	20.7%
El Salvador	San Agustín	2017	87.7%	98.9%	56.4%
El Salvador	San Alejo	2000	30.1%	53.6%	11.0%
El Salvador	San Alejo	2017	73.5%	89.0%	52.6%
El Salvador	San Antonio	2000	18.2%	37.5%	6.3%
El Salvador	San Antonio	2017	78.3%	91.8%	56.8%
El Salvador	San Antonio de la Cruz	2000	85.9%	98.1%	65.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio de la Cruz	2017	95.9%	99.9%	77.6%
El Salvador	San Antonio del Monte	2000	56.2%	66.8%	42.5%
El Salvador	San Antonio del Monte	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.1%
El Salvador	San Antonio Los Ranchos	2000	57.0%	97.2%	8.3%
El Salvador	San Antonio Los Ranchos	2017	91.0%	99.9%	56.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio Masahuat	2000	79.7%	96.5%	41.7%
El Salvador	San Antonio Masahuat	2017	96.9%	99.8%	86.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio Pajonal	2000	58.6%	93.6%	13.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Antonio Pajonal	2017	89.7%	99.6%	50.9%
El Salvador	San Bartolomé Perulapía	2000	25.5%	28.4%	22.3%
El Salvador	San Bartolomé Perulapía	2017	86.4%	87.5%	84.9%
El Salvador	San Buenaventura	2000	88.2%	97.9%	58.1%
El Salvador	San Buenaventura	2017	98.9%	99.8%	95.0%
El Salvador	San Carlos	2000	69.5%	92.6%	36.4%
El Salvador	San Carlos	2017	95.7%	99.5%	81.9%
El Salvador	San Cayetano Istepeque	2000	22.8%	27.6%	18.4%
El Salvador	San Cayetano Istepeque	2017	88.1%	90.4%	85.2%
El Salvador	San Cristóbal	2000	98.4%	99.3%	96.4%
El Salvador	San Cristóbal	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
El Salvador	San Dionisio	2000	61.5%	82.9%	35.6%
El Salvador	San Dionisio	2017	92.5%	98.5%	76.3%
El Salvador	San Emigdio	2000	83.1%	93.1%	63.6%
El Salvador	San Emigdio	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.4%
El Salvador	San Esteban Catarina	2000	18.1%	27.2%	12.0%
El Salvador	San Esteban Catarina	2017	75.6%	83.4%	66.7%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2000	97.0%	100.0%	86.3%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2000	33.7%	89.8%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.7%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2017	74.0%	99.1%	10.3%
El Salvador	San Francisco Chinameca	2000	50.0%	83.4%	14.4%
El Salvador	San Francisco Chinameca	2017	90.5%	98.9%	66.4%
El Salvador	San Francisco Gotera	2000	35.4%	44.8%	24.8%
El Salvador	San Francisco Gotera	2017	89.3%	92.3%	83.4%
El Salvador	San Francisco Javier	2000	47.5%	84.4%	19.0%
El Salvador	San Francisco Javier	2017	86.5%	98.8%	58.7%
El Salvador	San Francisco Lempa	2000	47.5%	93.5%	2.8%
El Salvador	San Francisco Lempa	2017	83.8%	99.7%	34.4%
El Salvador	San Francisco Menéndez	2000	34.3%	62.8%	13.8%
El Salvador	San Francisco Menéndez	2017	80.2%	95.5%	58.8%
El Salvador	San Francisco Morazán	2000	73.0%	90.8%	46.7%
El Salvador	San Francisco Morazán	2017	95.9%	99.5%	81.9%
El Salvador	San Gerardo	2000	19.7%	41.1%	5.5%
El Salvador	San Gerardo	2017	68.8%	82.2%	49.1%
El Salvador	San Ignacio	2000	98.2%	99.8%	92.1%
El Salvador	San Ignacio	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
El Salvador	San Ildefonso	2000	62.1%	86.7%	33.0%
El Salvador	San Ildefonso	2017	92.4%	99.1%	75.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Isidro	2000	23.8%	69.6%	2.4%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2000	38.5%	44.7%	27.9%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2017	56.6%	59.3%	53.4%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2017	72.8%	97.4%	23.7%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2000	59.9%	96.0%	19.6%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2017	92.4%	99.8%	61.4%
El Salvador	San Jorge	2000	33.8%	49.9%	20.6%
El Salvador	San Jorge	2017	84.7%	91.0%	73.6%
El Salvador	San José	2000	52.1%	61.4%	41.2%
El Salvador	San José	2017	86.4%	92.2%	79.3%
El Salvador	San José Can- casque	2000	52.3%	97.1%	5.1%
El Salvador	San José Can- casque	2017	87.3%	99.9%	38.2%
El Salvador	San José	2000	73.0%	85.0%	56.9%
El Salvador	San José	2017	95.6%	97.2%	89.9%
El Salvador	San José Las Flores	2000	69.1%	98.3%	20.1%
El Salvador	San José Las Flores	2017	92.3%	99.9%	61.9%
El Salvador	San José Vil- lanueva	2000	78.1%	88.3%	60.7%
El Salvador	San José Vil- lanueva	2017	98.1%	99.2%	95.2%
El Salvador	San Juan	2000	21.3%	35.4%	11.9%
El Salvador	San Juan	2017	74.7%	87.2%	60.1%
El Salvador	San Juan	2000	75.8%	84.0%	67.3%
El Salvador	San Juan	2017	96.8%	98.6%	92.7%
El Salvador	San Juan Te- pezontes	2000	86.2%	97.0%	66.3%
El Salvador	San Juan Te- pezontes	2017	97.7%	99.8%	86.3%
El Salvador	San Julián	2000	79.2%	91.7%	57.0%
El Salvador	San Julián	2017	97.4%	99.5%	91.8%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2000	13.6%	16.0%	11.7%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2000	60.9%	73.5%	44.1%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2017	74.9%	78.7%	70.6%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2017	95.3%	97.9%	89.9%
El Salvador	San Luis de la Reina	2000	31.8%	58.3%	12.0%
El Salvador	San Luis de la Reina	2017	83.7%	94.0%	66.5%
El Salvador	San Luis del Carmen	2000	51.9%	97.5%	3.7%
El Salvador	San Luis del Carmen	2017	88.7%	99.9%	42.3%
El Salvador	San Luis La Herradura	2000	42.4%	83.0%	6.7%
El Salvador	San Luis La Herradura	2017	79.5%	98.2%	40.3%
El Salvador	San Luis	2000	50.4%	63.6%	40.2%
El Salvador	San Luis	2017	84.6%	93.7%	71.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Marcos	2000	50.4%	58.2%	39.1%
El Salvador	San Marcos	2017	94.1%	95.1%	90.1%
El Salvador	San Martín	2000	36.1%	38.1%	34.1%
El Salvador	San Martín	2017	91.1%	91.6%	90.6%
El Salvador	San Matías	2000	44.2%	84.6%	9.1%
El Salvador	San Matías	2017	85.5%	98.8%	48.4%
El Salvador	San Miguel	2000	29.9%	45.7%	16.2%
El Salvador	San Miguel	2017	79.5%	87.7%	66.8%
El Salvador	San Miguel de Mercedes	2000	54.0%	94.7%	7.9%
El Salvador	San Miguel de Mercedes	2017	90.4%	99.7%	53.8%
El Salvador	San Miguel Tepezontes	2000	77.2%	95.8%	46.0%
El Salvador	San Miguel Tepezontes	2017	96.3%	99.8%	77.2%
El Salvador	San Pablo Tacachico	2000	31.5%	58.0%	9.8%
El Salvador	San Pablo Tacachico	2017	81.2%	94.9%	58.8%
El Salvador	San Pedro Masahuat	2000	55.1%	77.9%	35.4%
El Salvador	San Pedro Masahuat	2017	89.5%	97.9%	68.9%
El Salvador	San Pedro Nonualco	2000	20.2%	29.0%	12.5%
El Salvador	San Pedro Nonualco	2017	39.3%	50.5%	31.2%
El Salvador	San Pedro Perulapán	2000	42.9%	50.8%	34.2%
El Salvador	San Pedro Perulapán	2017	92.9%	94.7%	88.1%
El Salvador	San Pedro Puxtla	2000	68.3%	75.2%	60.1%
El Salvador	San Pedro Puxtla	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2000	60.4%	70.3%	46.4%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2000	25.6%	45.9%	11.5%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2017	96.0%	97.5%	93.1%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2017	80.6%	90.7%	58.2%
El Salvador	San Rafael Cedros	2000	24.8%	28.1%	22.0%
El Salvador	San Rafael Cedros	2017	83.0%	84.7%	81.3%
El Salvador	San Rafael Obrajuelo	2000	18.3%	23.4%	14.5%
El Salvador	San Rafael Obrajuelo	2017	80.3%	84.1%	76.1%
El Salvador	San Ramón	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
El Salvador	San Ramón	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
El Salvador	San Salvador	2000	69.4%	73.5%	65.7%
El Salvador	San Salvador	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
El Salvador	San Sebastián	2000	29.3%	35.9%	25.0%
El Salvador	San Sebastián	2017	85.8%	89.8%	78.6%
El Salvador	San Sebastián Salitrillo	2000	70.0%	87.9%	51.6%
El Salvador	San Sebastián Salitrillo	2017	96.2%	99.3%	87.2%
El Salvador	San Simón	2000	35.7%	55.9%	18.9%
El Salvador	San Simón	2017	87.2%	94.0%	74.3%
El Salvador	San Vicente	2000	34.9%	47.8%	21.1%
El Salvador	San Vicente	2017	80.7%	91.3%	67.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Santa Ana	2000	34.3%	44.1%	23.6%
El Salvador	Santa Ana	2017	84.1%	90.9%	74.6%
El Salvador	Santa Catarina Masahuat	2000	69.5%	75.7%	62.1%
El Salvador	Santa Catarina Masahuat	2017	97.2%	98.0%	95.9%
El Salvador	Santa Clara	2000	42.0%	80.2%	9.0%
El Salvador	Santa Clara	2017	82.6%	97.9%	48.6%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Analquito	2000	84.4%	91.7%	72.8%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Analquito	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.2%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Michapa	2000	55.3%	58.6%	52.2%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Michapa	2017	95.2%	95.6%	94.8%
El Salvador	Santa Elena	2000	50.0%	70.9%	33.0%
El Salvador	Santa Elena	2017	90.3%	97.6%	73.2%
El Salvador	Santa Isabel Ishuatán	2000	42.7%	65.3%	19.2%
El Salvador	Santa Isabel Ishuatán	2017	84.6%	94.2%	66.8%
El Salvador	Santa María	2000	29.7%	58.9%	12.2%
El Salvador	Santa María	2017	75.4%	88.9%	42.5%
El Salvador	Santa María Ostuma	2000	28.4%	34.3%	23.7%
El Salvador	Santa María Ostuma	2017	43.8%	53.9%	37.5%
El Salvador	Santa Rita	2000	73.1%	93.0%	48.2%
El Salvador	Santa Rita	2017	95.0%	99.5%	76.7%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	39.7%	61.6%	22.0%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	80.6%	94.6%	65.9%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa Guachipilín	2000	43.9%	69.2%	15.8%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa Guachipilín	2017	89.8%	97.4%	69.3%
El Salvador	Santiago de la Frontera	2000	87.5%	97.4%	68.9%
El Salvador	Santiago de la Frontera	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.4%
El Salvador	Santiago de María	2000	94.9%	98.6%	88.2%
El Salvador	Santiago de María	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
El Salvador	Santiago Nonualco	2000	35.4%	54.8%	18.6%
El Salvador	Santiago Nonualco	2017	82.1%	90.0%	66.2%
El Salvador	Santiago Texacuangos	2000	53.7%	63.0%	44.1%
El Salvador	Santiago Texacuangos	2017	94.8%	96.8%	88.8%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2000	65.0%	73.4%	54.8%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2000	59.0%	64.8%	48.7%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.2%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2017	93.9%	94.6%	92.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Santo Tomás	2000	27.2%	29.9%	24.7%
El Salvador	Santo Tomás	2017	86.0%	87.2%	84.8%
El Salvador	Sensembra	2000	49.5%	70.7%	30.4%
El Salvador	Sensembra	2017	93.1%	97.9%	82.1%
El Salvador	Sensuntepeque	2000	62.0%	80.2%	45.3%
El Salvador	Sensuntepeque	2017	92.3%	98.3%	80.1%
El Salvador	Sesori	2000	40.0%	70.7%	13.6%
El Salvador	Sesori	2017	83.4%	97.1%	60.2%
El Salvador	Sociedad	2000	21.4%	44.0%	6.0%
El Salvador	Sociedad	2017	69.6%	87.7%	44.2%
El Salvador	Sonsonate	2000	53.3%	70.6%	38.1%
El Salvador	Sonsonate	2017	91.2%	96.1%	80.4%
El Salvador	Sonzacate	2000	55.9%	65.4%	44.0%
El Salvador	Sonzacate	2017	94.7%	96.2%	92.7%
El Salvador	Soyapango	2000	44.6%	48.8%	41.0%
El Salvador	Soyapango	2017	93.9%	94.8%	93.2%
El Salvador	Suchitoto	2000	56.4%	83.6%	24.3%
El Salvador	Suchitoto	2017	89.3%	98.6%	70.4%
El Salvador	Tacuba	2000	40.7%	55.9%	25.4%
El Salvador	Tacuba	2017	87.2%	93.2%	74.8%
El Salvador	Talnique	2000	85.3%	94.1%	70.3%
El Salvador	Talnique	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.5%
El Salvador	Tamanique	2000	61.7%	92.7%	25.5%
El Salvador	Tamanique	2017	91.4%	99.4%	63.6%
El Salvador	Tapalhuaca	2000	91.1%	99.2%	69.9%
El Salvador	Tapalhuaca	2017	99.0%	100.0%	96.1%
El Salvador	Tecapán	2000	65.0%	82.8%	43.1%
El Salvador	Tecapán	2017	92.0%	97.8%	75.5%
El Salvador	Tecoluca	2000	34.0%	58.0%	12.0%
El Salvador	Tecoluca	2017	76.5%	92.2%	53.9%
El Salvador	Tejutepeque	2000	32.1%	36.3%	27.3%
El Salvador	Tejutepeque	2017	88.5%	89.8%	86.4%
El Salvador	Tejutla	2000	67.4%	86.9%	41.3%
El Salvador	Tejutla	2017	94.0%	99.2%	74.9%
El Salvador	Tenancingo	2000	69.9%	82.0%	52.0%
El Salvador	Tenancingo	2017	96.5%	98.4%	90.5%
El Salvador	Teotepeque	2000	35.5%	58.4%	17.4%
El Salvador	Teotepeque	2017	76.4%	91.0%	54.2%
El Salvador	Tepecoyo	2000	91.7%	97.4%	83.3%
El Salvador	Tepecoyo	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.0%
El Salvador	Tepetitán	2000	48.2%	51.6%	43.4%
El Salvador	Tepetitán	2017	91.7%	93.3%	89.9%
El Salvador	Texistepeque	2000	36.8%	63.3%	15.8%
El Salvador	Texistepeque	2017	80.5%	92.7%	63.2%
El Salvador	Tonacatepeque	2000	54.3%	57.0%	51.8%
El Salvador	Tonacatepeque	2017	83.5%	87.6%	80.2%
El Salvador	Torola	2000	29.2%	56.2%	9.2%
El Salvador	Torola	2017	84.9%	95.3%	65.1%
El Salvador	Turín	2000	55.0%	65.2%	42.3%
El Salvador	Turín	2017	95.9%	97.4%	93.7%
El Salvador	Uluazapa	2000	74.0%	91.6%	47.2%
El Salvador	Uluazapa	2017	95.1%	99.4%	83.8%
El Salvador	Usulután	2000	36.1%	46.7%	27.8%
El Salvador	Usulután	2017	86.9%	91.5%	79.8%
El Salvador	Verapaz	2000	95.1%	97.9%	87.0%
El Salvador	Verapaz	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
El Salvador	Victoria	2000	43.0%	61.4%	25.8%
El Salvador	Victoria	2017	87.3%	95.2%	74.1%
El Salvador	Yamabal	2000	50.5%	85.2%	20.8%
El Salvador	Yamabal	2017	87.9%	98.8%	65.1%
El Salvador	Yayantique	2000	55.8%	81.4%	31.1%
El Salvador	Yayantique	2017	92.7%	98.5%	76.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Yoloaiquín	2000	60.2%	67.7%	51.5%
El Salvador	Yoloaiquín	2017	96.6%	97.6%	94.9%
El Salvador	Yucuaiquín	2000	37.9%	53.1%	27.0%
El Salvador	Yucuaiquín	2017	88.6%	91.8%	81.4%
El Salvador	Zacatecoluca	2000	25.4%	52.2%	9.5%
El Salvador	Zacatecoluca	2017	73.1%	90.9%	50.7%
El Salvador	Zaragoza	2000	86.9%	96.0%	69.4%
El Salvador	Zaragoza	2017	98.5%	99.8%	93.8%
Guatemala	Acatenango	2000	87.2%	98.6%	57.9%
Guatemala	Acatenango	2017	81.7%	96.9%	49.7%
Guatemala	Agua Blanca	2000	76.7%	93.2%	39.4%
Guatemala	Agua Blanca	2017	67.1%	88.7%	29.7%
Guatemala	Aguacatán	2000	76.3%	96.0%	48.6%
Guatemala	Aguacatán	2017	67.6%	92.2%	38.5%
Guatemala	Almolonga	2000	93.5%	95.4%	90.4%
Guatemala	Almolonga	2017	81.6%	87.0%	74.6%
Guatemala	Alotenango	2000	92.0%	95.8%	84.4%
Guatemala	Alotenango	2017	85.5%	91.8%	74.4%
Guatemala	Amatitlán	2000	60.8%	67.0%	55.4%
Guatemala	Amatitlán	2017	46.6%	51.0%	43.0%
Guatemala	Antigua	2000	79.3%	80.6%	77.9%
Guatemala	Guatemala	2017	66.5%	68.1%	64.8%
Guatemala	Asunción Mita	2000	80.4%	96.5%	57.5%
Guatemala	Asunción Mita	2017	73.7%	93.2%	51.8%
Guatemala	Atescatempa	2000	86.1%	94.0%	67.8%
Guatemala	Atescatempa	2017	77.6%	89.3%	55.5%
Guatemala	Ayutla	2000	60.5%	87.0%	26.0%
Guatemala	Ayutla	2017	47.4%	77.0%	16.5%
Guatemala	Barberena	2000	73.8%	88.1%	49.7%
Guatemala	Barberena	2017	61.3%	79.9%	37.0%
Guatemala	Cabañas	2000	87.0%	95.9%	71.0%
Guatemala	Cabañas	2017	78.9%	91.8%	60.8%
Guatemala	Cabricán	2000	96.7%	99.3%	89.9%
Guatemala	Cabricán	2017	94.7%	98.5%	86.5%
Guatemala	Cajolá	2000	94.2%	96.3%	90.8%
Guatemala	Cajolá	2017	88.1%	92.1%	82.4%
Guatemala	Camotán	2000	85.2%	96.4%	69.8%
Guatemala	Camotán	2017	78.9%	93.0%	63.2%
Guatemala	Canillá	2000	70.9%	98.2%	23.8%
Guatemala	Canillá	2017	62.9%	96.4%	17.8%
Guatemala	Cantel	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.6%
Guatemala	Cantel	2017	86.9%	89.4%	83.3%
Guatemala	Casillas	2000	54.0%	74.4%	36.3%
Guatemala	Casillas	2017	43.8%	66.6%	26.7%
Guatemala	Catarina	2000	44.9%	66.6%	23.6%
Guatemala	Catarina	2017	27.2%	45.8%	13.2%
Guatemala	Chahal	2000	69.1%	89.9%	39.6%
Guatemala	Chahal	2017	58.8%	85.6%	28.8%
Guatemala	Chajul	2000	77.7%	92.2%	58.6%
Guatemala	Chajul	2017	70.9%	87.7%	50.2%
Guatemala	Champerico	2000	54.2%	71.8%	33.8%
Guatemala	Champerico	2017	43.2%	61.5%	24.0%
Guatemala	Chiantla	2000	76.7%	91.7%	51.9%
Guatemala	Chiantla	2017	69.6%	86.5%	43.0%
Guatemala	Chicacao	2000	85.7%	95.0%	73.7%
Guatemala	Chicacao	2017	80.3%	91.1%	69.0%
Guatemala	Chicaman	2000	69.1%	82.9%	52.3%
Guatemala	Chicaman	2017	61.8%	76.4%	45.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Chiché	2000	64.7%	73.5%	48.0%
Guatemala	Chiché	2017	52.8%	64.8%	37.2%
Guatemala	Chichicastenango	2000	93.3%	97.8%	79.4%
Guatemala	Chichicastenango	2017	88.4%	95.6%	70.8%
Guatemala	Chimaltenango	2000	81.2%	82.6%	79.2%
Guatemala	Chimaltenango	2017	67.3%	69.6%	64.7%
Guatemala	Chinautla	2000	63.9%	72.8%	51.7%
Guatemala	Chinautla	2017	47.1%	58.0%	35.0%
Guatemala	Chinique	2000	75.9%	98.1%	30.2%
Guatemala	Chinique	2017	66.5%	96.0%	22.0%
Guatemala	Chiquimula	2000	74.3%	79.6%	67.0%
Guatemala	Chiquimula	2017	64.4%	70.8%	56.7%
Guatemala	Chiquimulilla	2000	70.9%	85.8%	53.7%
Guatemala	Chiquimulilla	2017	61.1%	78.8%	42.6%
Guatemala	Chisec	2000	66.1%	79.1%	51.5%
Guatemala	Chisec	2017	57.5%	71.5%	42.8%
Guatemala	Chuarrancho	2000	67.2%	97.5%	21.5%
Guatemala	Chuarrancho	2017	57.6%	94.8%	14.4%
Guatemala	Ciudad Vieja	2000	89.7%	91.2%	88.2%
Guatemala	Ciudad Vieja	2017	81.4%	83.7%	78.9%
Guatemala	Coatepeque	2000	33.6%	43.0%	25.2%
Guatemala	Coatepeque	2017	24.3%	32.3%	17.5%
Guatemala	Cobán	2000	64.7%	74.2%	53.6%
Guatemala	Cobán	2017	52.4%	63.1%	41.1%
Guatemala	Colomba	2000	81.8%	87.7%	70.8%
Guatemala	Colomba	2017	74.5%	81.7%	62.4%
Guatemala	Colotenango	2000	93.1%	98.0%	86.0%
Guatemala	Colotenango	2017	89.2%	96.4%	77.5%
Guatemala	Comalapa	2000	82.3%	84.5%	79.3%
Guatemala	Comalapa	2017	69.5%	72.8%	65.5%
Guatemala	Comapa	2000	77.3%	92.5%	48.0%
Guatemala	Comapa	2017	68.1%	86.1%	37.9%
Guatemala	Comitancillo	2000	91.3%	94.9%	82.3%
Guatemala	Comitancillo	2017	88.0%	92.6%	74.9%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	86.9%	98.9%	63.7%
Guatemala	Concepción	2017	80.2%	97.7%	51.1%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	93.7%	96.1%	89.0%
Guatemala	Chiquirichapa	2017	87.2%	91.5%	80.6%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	83.3%	98.2%	52.2%
Guatemala	Huista	2017	76.1%	96.3%	41.6%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	52.6%	65.1%	36.6%
Guatemala	Las Minas	2017	42.9%	57.6%	27.4%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	87.3%	98.7%	67.1%
Guatemala	Tutuapa	2017	81.3%	97.3%	56.1%
Guatemala	Conguaco	2000	88.0%	98.4%	66.7%
Guatemala	Conguaco	2017	83.5%	96.9%	62.3%
Guatemala	Cubulco	2000	75.4%	88.7%	59.3%
Guatemala	Cubulco	2017	66.1%	81.5%	48.5%
Guatemala	Cuilapa	2000	85.1%	92.7%	68.6%
Guatemala	Cuilapa	2017	77.2%	87.5%	59.7%
Guatemala	Cuilco	2000	78.6%	94.9%	57.5%
Guatemala	Cuilco	2017	72.1%	90.6%	49.1%
Guatemala	Cunén	2000	81.1%	96.9%	53.0%
Guatemala	Cunén	2017	73.5%	94.5%	41.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Cuyotenango	2000	51.6%	67.7%	32.0%
Guatemala	Cuyotenango	2017	38.6%	57.5%	21.3%
Guatemala	Dolores	2000	72.2%	85.1%	58.1%
Guatemala	Dolores	2017	63.6%	78.2%	48.5%
Guatemala	El Adelanto	2000	91.9%	97.0%	75.4%
Guatemala	El Adelanto	2017	86.0%	94.5%	62.7%
Guatemala	El Asintal	2000	45.0%	58.8%	33.3%
Guatemala	El Asintal	2017	31.7%	45.0%	22.4%
Guatemala	El Estor	2000	67.3%	81.7%	51.2%
Guatemala	El Estor	2017	59.2%	75.1%	42.9%
Guatemala	El Jícaro	2000	81.6%	88.5%	69.2%
Guatemala	El Jícaro	2017	72.9%	83.0%	56.9%
Guatemala	El Palmar	2000	75.5%	95.3%	35.0%
Guatemala	El Palmar	2017	66.8%	91.9%	27.9%
Guatemala	El Progreso	2000	47.1%	69.7%	27.4%
Guatemala	El Progreso	2017	34.6%	54.6%	19.9%
Guatemala	El Quetzal	2000	70.4%	85.6%	46.8%
Guatemala	El Quetzal	2017	59.3%	78.1%	35.9%
Guatemala	El Rodeo	2000	56.3%	92.1%	12.8%
Guatemala	El Rodeo	2017	46.8%	86.6%	8.0%
Guatemala	El Tejar	2000	81.9%	83.9%	79.5%
Guatemala	El Tejar	2017	68.7%	71.3%	65.8%
Guatemala	El Tumbador	2000	65.7%	87.0%	38.1%
Guatemala	El Tumbador	2017	55.2%	80.1%	28.5%
Guatemala	Escuintla	2000	61.1%	70.0%	52.1%
Guatemala	Escuintla	2017	47.9%	58.0%	39.9%
Guatemala	Esquipulas	2000	70.4%	85.3%	52.2%
Guatemala	Esquipulas	2017	61.3%	77.9%	42.7%
Guatemala	Esquipulas Palo Gordo	2000	85.5%	91.1%	77.2%
Guatemala	Esquipulas Palo Gordo	2017	75.2%	83.4%	64.9%
Guatemala	Estanzuela	2000	67.8%	75.5%	59.3%
Guatemala	Estanzuela	2017	54.4%	62.0%	46.1%
Guatemala	Flores	2000	47.5%	56.5%	38.3%
Guatemala	Flores	2017	39.8%	50.1%	30.2%
Guatemala	Flores Costa Cuca	2000	83.9%	89.4%	72.9%
Guatemala	Flores Costa Cuca	2017	78.0%	84.1%	68.8%
Guatemala	Fraijanes	2000	61.8%	92.2%	20.0%
Guatemala	Fraijanes	2017	50.3%	85.9%	11.9%
Guatemala	Fray Bartolomé de las Casas	2000	68.2%	86.0%	46.7%
Guatemala	Fray Bartolomé de las Casas	2017	59.8%	80.2%	36.0%
Guatemala	Génova	2000	67.9%	91.8%	36.6%
Guatemala	Génova	2017	62.2%	85.5%	35.9%
Guatemala	Granados	2000	82.0%	95.3%	62.3%
Guatemala	Granados	2017	76.1%	91.3%	56.5%
Guatemala	Gualán	2000	72.0%	82.1%	59.4%
Guatemala	Gualán	2017	63.1%	74.5%	49.9%
Guatemala	Guanagazapa	2000	71.4%	89.3%	46.7%
Guatemala	Guanagazapa	2017	61.8%	83.9%	37.1%
Guatemala	Guastatoya	2000	79.0%	84.5%	70.0%
Guatemala	Guastatoya	2017	67.4%	74.5%	56.5%
Guatemala	Guazacapán	2000	65.0%	90.5%	31.3%
Guatemala	Guazacapán	2017	53.5%	84.3%	21.9%
Guatemala	Huehuetenango	2000	63.2%	84.6%	32.3%
Guatemala	Huehuetenango	2017	51.3%	78.0%	19.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Huitán	2000	96.4%	98.6%	86.4%
Guatemala	Huitán	2017	94.0%	97.6%	76.8%
Guatemala	Huité	2000	83.8%	90.8%	72.8%
Guatemala	Huité	2017	74.1%	83.9%	59.9%
Guatemala	Ipala	2000	81.8%	96.9%	47.2%
Guatemala	Ipala	2017	73.6%	94.6%	35.8%
Guatemala	Ixcán	2000	64.3%	82.5%	42.6%
Guatemala	Ixcán	2017	55.3%	75.5%	32.8%
Guatemala	Ixchiguan	2000	92.5%	97.6%	81.9%
Guatemala	Ixchiguan	2017	88.9%	95.8%	77.4%
Guatemala	Iztapa	2000	67.4%	92.9%	25.5%
Guatemala	Iztapa	2017	56.3%	86.4%	19.5%
Guatemala	Jacaltenango	2000	81.1%	98.0%	49.6%
Guatemala	Jacaltenango	2017	74.5%	96.4%	38.7%
Guatemala	Jalapa	2000	74.6%	83.9%	62.1%
Guatemala	Jalapa	2017	65.0%	77.0%	54.0%
Guatemala	Jalpatagua	2000	82.1%	96.0%	58.6%
Guatemala	Jalpatagua	2017	74.8%	92.4%	48.6%
Guatemala	Jerez	2000	87.0%	98.3%	56.3%
Guatemala	Jerez	2017	79.8%	96.7%	48.7%
Guatemala	Jocotán	2000	79.7%	93.4%	57.1%
Guatemala	Jocotán	2017	69.5%	87.9%	44.3%
Guatemala	Jocotenango	2000	77.3%	78.9%	75.4%
Guatemala	Jocotenango	2017	63.0%	64.9%	60.7%
Guatemala	Joyabaj	2000	80.2%	94.7%	58.3%
Guatemala	Joyabaj	2017	72.3%	90.2%	49.4%
Guatemala	Jutiapa	2000	56.0%	62.2%	47.8%
Guatemala	Jutiapa	2017	44.8%	52.1%	35.4%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2000	62.4%	87.9%	31.3%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2000	70.0%	84.7%	46.9%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2017	62.1%	80.4%	36.0%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2017	51.0%	80.3%	22.3%
Guatemala	La Esperanza	2000	88.4%	92.1%	83.7%
Guatemala	La Esperanza	2017	78.8%	85.5%	70.7%
Guatemala	La Gomera	2000	61.7%	84.0%	36.6%
Guatemala	La Gomera	2017	52.0%	76.3%	27.9%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2000	80.7%	94.8%	49.2%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2000	66.1%	74.9%	55.3%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2017	74.3%	93.3%	40.3%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2017	57.5%	67.5%	44.7%
Guatemala	La Reforma	2000	58.2%	77.4%	26.0%
Guatemala	La Reforma	2017	51.6%	74.4%	20.0%
Guatemala	La Unión	2000	79.2%	89.1%	67.4%
Guatemala	La Unión	2017	71.2%	82.1%	59.3%
Guatemala	Lanquín	2000	63.2%	90.4%	22.2%
Guatemala	Lanquín	2017	54.0%	84.5%	15.9%
Guatemala	Livingston	2000	65.6%	80.3%	48.7%
Guatemala	Livingston	2017	54.9%	71.4%	37.7%
Guatemala	Los Amates	2000	73.1%	88.0%	53.3%
Guatemala	Los Amates	2017	65.1%	82.2%	44.3%
Guatemala	Magdalena	2000	90.3%	92.2%	88.1%
Guatemala	Magdalena Milpas Altas	2017	80.2%	83.6%	76.6%
Guatemala	Malacatán	2000	63.9%	82.6%	43.0%
Guatemala	Malacatán	2017	52.1%	71.8%	33.0%
Guatemala	Malacatancito	2000	67.5%	86.5%	46.7%
Guatemala	Malacatancito	2017	53.4%	77.1%	33.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Masagua	2000	60.4%	81.8%	31.3%
Guatemala	Masagua	2017	49.8%	75.2%	21.0%
Guatemala	Mataquesuintla	2000	93.3%	98.0%	82.0%
Guatemala	Mataquesuintla	2017	89.4%	96.4%	75.8%
Guatemala	Mazatenango	2000	38.8%	44.0%	32.7%
Guatemala	Mazatenango	2017	23.9%	28.1%	19.7%
Guatemala	Melchor de Mencos	2000	68.1%	84.6%	48.5%
Guatemala	Melchor de Mencos	2017	59.1%	77.2%	39.8%
Guatemala	Mixco	2000	58.2%	60.8%	55.5%
Guatemala	Mixco	2017	42.8%	45.2%	40.4%
Guatemala	Momostenango	2000	72.0%	78.7%	63.7%
Guatemala	Momostenango	2017	61.7%	70.0%	53.1%
Guatemala	Monjas	2000	79.1%	89.7%	65.8%
Guatemala	Monjas	2017	69.9%	82.3%	53.0%
Guatemala	Morales	2000	57.5%	69.6%	45.8%
Guatemala	Morales	2017	46.7%	59.0%	34.9%
Guatemala	Morazán	2000	79.7%	92.2%	65.2%
Guatemala	Morazán	2017	71.2%	86.5%	54.6%
Guatemala	Moyuta	2000	66.9%	88.6%	35.0%
Guatemala	Moyuta	2017	59.6%	83.6%	29.4%
Guatemala	NA	2000	74.8%	88.5%	56.0%
Guatemala	NA	2000	48.3%	59.4%	40.5%
Guatemala	NA	2017	67.4%	84.5%	44.6%
Guatemala	NA	2017	32.5%	40.6%	26.9%
Guatemala	Nahualá	2000	95.5%	97.0%	91.8%
Guatemala	Nahualá	2017	91.0%	93.3%	85.6%
Guatemala	Nebaj	2000	78.6%	92.7%	60.3%
Guatemala	Nebaj	2017	71.4%	88.1%	52.3%
Guatemala	Nentón	2000	78.0%	92.8%	58.9%
Guatemala	Nentón	2017	70.7%	88.8%	49.0%
Guatemala	Nueva Concepción	2000	45.9%	65.9%	27.3%
Guatemala	Nueva Concepción	2017	36.1%	56.5%	19.1%
Guatemala	Nueva Santa Rosa	2000	54.7%	61.7%	48.5%
Guatemala	Nueva Santa Rosa	2017	43.6%	49.8%	38.6%
Guatemala	Nuevo Progreso	2000	55.2%	80.8%	25.5%
Guatemala	Nuevo Progreso	2017	45.7%	72.1%	17.8%
Guatemala	Nuevo San Carlos	2000	60.6%	64.3%	54.5%
Guatemala	Nuevo San Carlos	2017	46.5%	50.2%	40.5%
Guatemala	Ocos	2000	63.1%	89.8%	22.3%
Guatemala	Ocos	2017	53.8%	85.9%	15.4%
Guatemala	Olintepeque	2000	80.9%	83.3%	78.2%
Guatemala	Olintepeque	2017	64.1%	67.7%	60.2%
Guatemala	Olopa	2000	71.1%	88.2%	47.6%
Guatemala	Olopa	2017	58.2%	78.4%	34.2%
Guatemala	Oratorio	2000	57.4%	76.9%	36.9%
Guatemala	Oratorio	2017	50.2%	71.1%	29.0%
Guatemala	Ostuncalco	2000	94.7%	97.8%	85.8%
Guatemala	Ostuncalco	2017	90.0%	95.0%	77.0%
Guatemala	Pachalúm	2000	82.5%	99.1%	35.6%
Guatemala	Pachalúm	2017	75.5%	98.1%	26.6%
Guatemala	Pajapita	2000	46.7%	75.6%	21.0%
Guatemala	Pajapita	2017	35.7%	66.0%	11.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Palencia	2000	75.7%	88.0%	56.2%
Guatemala	Palencia	2017	64.8%	80.5%	44.7%
Guatemala	Palestina de Los Altos	2000	95.5%	98.4%	84.5%
Guatemala	Palestina de Los Altos	2017	88.9%	95.6%	74.3%
Guatemala	Palín	2000	61.5%	84.7%	36.3%
Guatemala	Palín	2017	53.6%	79.0%	29.9%
Guatemala	Panajachel	2000	34.3%	61.8%	20.4%
Guatemala	Panajachel	2017	26.6%	45.7%	16.8%
Guatemala	Panzós	2000	72.4%	86.9%	55.0%
Guatemala	Panzós	2017	62.3%	78.9%	42.0%
Guatemala	Parramos	2000	84.4%	88.4%	79.4%
Guatemala	Parramos	2017	71.3%	77.8%	64.1%
Guatemala	Pasaco	2000	69.2%	93.2%	31.6%
Guatemala	Pasaco	2017	60.0%	88.5%	22.3%
Guatemala	Pastores	2000	77.4%	79.6%	75.1%
Guatemala	Pastores	2017	62.3%	65.0%	59.5%
Guatemala	Patulul	2000	48.2%	62.1%	37.4%
Guatemala	Patulul	2017	40.0%	54.4%	31.5%
Guatemala	Patzicía	2000	77.6%	85.8%	60.4%
Guatemala	Patzicía	2017	64.8%	76.1%	47.7%
Guatemala	Patzité	2000	90.4%	94.3%	80.0%
Guatemala	Patzité	2017	81.3%	87.5%	69.5%
Guatemala	Patzún	2000	80.0%	88.8%	61.2%
Guatemala	Patzún	2017	69.3%	81.0%	49.6%
Guatemala	Petapa	2000	67.6%	70.9%	64.3%
Guatemala	Petapa	2017	50.8%	54.3%	47.4%
Guatemala	Pochuta	2000	92.3%	99.6%	71.7%
Guatemala	Pochuta	2017	88.0%	99.1%	62.1%
Guatemala	Poptún	2000	71.4%	84.7%	53.9%
Guatemala	Poptún	2017	61.2%	75.7%	44.7%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2000	70.2%	82.1%	44.2%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2017	57.0%	75.6%	27.0%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2000	80.9%	97.2%	59.0%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2017	74.4%	95.1%	50.2%
Guatemala	Puerto Barrios	2000	47.3%	58.8%	35.4%
Guatemala	Puerto Barrios	2017	36.4%	47.3%	24.9%
Guatemala	Purulhá	2000	77.0%	91.1%	57.4%
Guatemala	Purulhá	2017	69.4%	86.2%	48.9%
Guatemala	Quetzaltenango	2000	82.0%	84.8%	77.3%
Guatemala	Quetzaltenango	2017	70.6%	74.2%	64.7%
Guatemala	Quezada	2000	59.8%	79.1%	22.7%
Guatemala	Quezada	2017	50.4%	73.0%	16.5%
Guatemala	Quezaltepeque	2000	72.5%	78.6%	62.8%
Guatemala	Quezaltepeque	2017	60.5%	67.2%	52.1%
Guatemala	Rabinal	2000	90.2%	95.6%	78.9%
Guatemala	Rabinal	2017	82.8%	90.7%	69.4%
Guatemala	Retalhuleu	2000	52.6%	64.2%	38.3%
Guatemala	Retalhuleu	2017	39.9%	50.8%	27.9%
Guatemala	Río Blanco	2000	98.1%	99.7%	93.3%
Guatemala	Río Blanco	2017	96.5%	99.2%	89.5%
Guatemala	Río Bravo	2000	76.5%	85.5%	61.2%
Guatemala	Río Bravo	2017	68.0%	78.6%	51.8%
Guatemala	Río Hondo	2000	77.8%	89.5%	60.1%
Guatemala	Río Hondo	2017	69.4%	84.1%	49.2%
Guatemala	Sacapulas	2000	80.5%	94.5%	60.7%
Guatemala	Sacapulas	2017	73.1%	90.1%	51.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Salamá	2000	70.4%	79.3%	61.2%
Guatemala	Salamá	2017	60.5%	69.5%	51.4%
Guatemala	Salcajá	2000	76.8%	79.1%	74.5%
Guatemala	Salcajá	2017	63.0%	66.0%	60.1%
Guatemala	Samayac	2000	48.2%	52.3%	44.2%
Guatemala	Samayac	2017	35.0%	38.2%	31.6%
Guatemala	San Agustín	2000	81.1%	87.1%	70.2%
Guatemala	Acasaguastlán San Agustín	2017	71.8%	79.2%	60.5%
Guatemala	Acasaguastlán San Andrés	2000	58.3%	70.0%	46.1%
Guatemala	San Andrés	2017	50.7%	62.0%	39.0%
Guatemala	San Andrés	2000	82.6%	85.7%	77.9%
Guatemala	Itzapa San Andrés	2017	69.3%	73.7%	63.0%
Guatemala	Itzapa San Andrés	2000	73.1%	93.1%	41.9%
Guatemala	Sajcabajá San Andrés	2017	64.6%	87.7%	30.9%
Guatemala	Sajcabajá San Andrés	2000	79.1%	88.6%	69.8%
Guatemala	Semetabaj San Andrés	2017	73.4%	82.0%	61.2%
Guatemala	Semetabaj San Andrés	2000	54.1%	68.4%	39.2%
Guatemala	Villa Seca San Andrés	2017	43.6%	60.1%	29.0%
Guatemala	Villa Seca San Andrés	2000	75.2%	77.4%	72.7%
Guatemala	Xecul San Andrés	2017	58.4%	61.8%	54.7%
Guatemala	Xecul San Antonio	2000	77.4%	80.0%	74.8%
Guatemala	Agua Calientes San Antonio	2017	63.5%	66.9%	60.2%
Guatemala	Agua Calientes San Antonio	2000	87.4%	98.0%	67.9%
Guatemala	Huista San Antonio	2017	80.9%	96.3%	56.7%
Guatemala	Huista San Antonio	2000	70.0%	77.6%	57.6%
Guatemala	Ilotenango San Antonio	2017	51.8%	59.1%	42.7%
Guatemala	Ilotenango San Antonio	2000	69.3%	77.4%	57.9%
Guatemala	La Paz San Antonio	2017	58.7%	68.6%	42.2%
Guatemala	La Paz San Antonio	2000	64.5%	81.3%	49.4%
Guatemala	Palopó San Antonio	2017	49.4%	68.4%	36.2%
Guatemala	Palopó San Antonio	2000	92.5%	99.5%	70.0%
Guatemala	Sacatepéquez San Antonio	2017	88.8%	98.3%	68.0%
Guatemala	Sacatepéquez San Antonio	2000	66.8%	75.1%	59.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Antonio Suchitepéquez	2017	50.3%	60.1%	42.2%
Guatemala	San Bartolo	2000	57.3%	76.3%	40.1%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Jocotenango	2017	45.2%	64.5%	26.9%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Milpas Altas	2000	69.7%	98.2%	18.7%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Milpas Altas	2017	60.9%	96.6%	12.9%
Guatemala	San Benito	2000	85.6%	89.2%	82.1%
Guatemala	San Benito	2017	74.2%	79.5%	69.1%
Guatemala	San Bernardino	2000	79.0%	86.4%	70.5%
Guatemala	San Bernardino	2017	67.5%	76.0%	55.9%
Guatemala	San Bernardino	2000	48.7%	52.4%	45.3%
Guatemala	San Carlos Alzatate	2017	33.0%	36.1%	30.2%
Guatemala	San Carlos Alzatate	2000	98.0%	99.6%	90.8%
Guatemala	San Carlos Sija	2017	96.5%	99.2%	86.0%
Guatemala	San Carlos Sija	2000	77.4%	86.6%	66.8%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Acasaguastlán	2017	71.4%	81.5%	60.3%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Acasaguastlán	2000	84.9%	92.0%	74.6%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Cucho	2017	77.7%	87.0%	66.6%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Cucho	2000	96.8%	98.7%	88.2%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Totonicapán	2017	93.8%	97.2%	82.6%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Totonicapán	2000	84.0%	85.6%	81.9%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Verapaz	2017	71.9%	74.7%	68.5%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Verapaz	2000	67.2%	91.4%	36.9%
Guatemala	San Diego	2017	58.3%	85.8%	28.8%
Guatemala	San Diego	2000	80.3%	94.0%	52.8%
Guatemala	San Felipe	2017	70.6%	88.8%	38.6%
Guatemala	San Felipe	2000	50.7%	61.5%	28.8%
Guatemala	San Francisco El Alto	2017	40.3%	55.3%	17.5%
Guatemala	San Francisco El Alto	2000	81.4%	93.5%	61.9%
Guatemala	San Francisco La Unión	2017	75.3%	88.5%	56.8%
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2000	89.5%	90.6%	88.2%
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2017	79.5%	81.5%	77.0%
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2000	85.2%	90.7%	76.4%
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2017	71.5%	79.6%	59.3%
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2000	65.2%	75.2%	46.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2017	49.6%	63.7%	29.1%
Guatemala	San Gabriel	2000	30.4%	35.0%	26.3%
Guatemala	San Gabriel	2017	17.3%	20.3%	14.5%
Guatemala	San Gaspar Ixchil	2000	85.1%	94.1%	71.4%
Guatemala	San Gaspar Ixchil	2017	77.1%	90.6%	61.7%
Guatemala	San Idefonso Ixtahuacán	2000	49.8%	66.6%	33.4%
Guatemala	San Idefonso Ixtahuacán	2017	42.8%	59.4%	26.2%
Guatemala	San Jacinto	2000	87.7%	91.6%	83.1%
Guatemala	San Jacinto	2017	77.9%	83.3%	72.2%
Guatemala	San Jerónimo	2000	65.4%	75.4%	48.9%
Guatemala	San Jerónimo	2017	53.9%	67.5%	34.8%
Guatemala	San José	2000	65.8%	87.1%	37.1%
Guatemala	San José	2000	53.7%	71.7%	32.6%
Guatemala	San José	2017	54.2%	78.8%	26.4%
Guatemala	San José	2017	43.1%	61.8%	24.7%
Guatemala	San José Acatempa	2000	71.7%	90.1%	51.2%
Guatemala	San José Acatempa	2017	64.5%	85.7%	44.1%
Guatemala	San José Chacayá	2000	78.6%	81.9%	75.2%
Guatemala	San José Chacayá	2017	64.1%	68.4%	59.5%
Guatemala	San José del Golfo	2000	53.7%	74.3%	32.4%
Guatemala	San José del Golfo	2017	42.0%	64.3%	22.2%
Guatemala	San José El Idolo	2000	57.8%	91.3%	14.9%
Guatemala	San José El Idolo	2017	48.8%	86.5%	10.2%
Guatemala	San José La Arada	2000	81.6%	97.6%	37.3%
Guatemala	San José La Arada	2017	72.8%	95.8%	25.7%
Guatemala	San José Ojetenam	2000	86.1%	99.7%	50.7%
Guatemala	San José Ojetenam	2017	79.1%	99.1%	38.3%
Guatemala	San José Pinula	2000	82.9%	93.7%	61.7%
Guatemala	San José Pinula	2017	75.1%	88.2%	51.8%
Guatemala	San José Poaquil	2000	92.5%	99.9%	71.0%
Guatemala	San José Poaquil	2017	88.7%	99.6%	60.7%
Guatemala	San Juan Atitán	2000	89.5%	99.2%	55.7%
Guatemala	San Juan Atitán	2017	85.2%	98.3%	46.7%
Guatemala	San Juan Bautista	2000	37.8%	52.4%	33.0%
Guatemala	San Juan Bautista	2017	25.2%	34.7%	21.5%
Guatemala	San Juan Chamelco	2000	74.6%	85.9%	60.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Juan Chamelco	2017	63.1%	76.6%	48.1%
Guatemala	San Juan Cotzal	2000	71.2%	94.9%	32.9%
Guatemala	San Juan Cotzal	2017	62.2%	90.0%	22.4%
Guatemala	San Juan Ermita	2000	86.8%	89.8%	83.3%
Guatemala	San Juan Ermita	2017	76.5%	80.8%	71.0%
Guatemala	San Juan Ixcoy	2000	76.5%	97.1%	40.4%
Guatemala	San Juan Ixcoy	2017	67.6%	94.4%	28.5%
Guatemala	San Juan La Laguna	2000	94.8%	97.9%	77.2%
Guatemala	San Juan La Laguna	2017	90.5%	95.6%	69.1%
Guatemala	San Juan Sacatepéquez	2000	58.1%	64.0%	50.0%
Guatemala	San Juan Sacatepéquez	2017	46.1%	54.0%	37.0%
Guatemala	San Juan Tecuaco	2000	77.1%	96.2%	39.8%
Guatemala	San Juan Tecuaco	2017	67.5%	92.6%	28.9%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2000	63.9%	86.0%	35.6%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2000	84.9%	99.4%	34.8%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2017	77.8%	98.8%	24.5%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2017	53.9%	77.0%	27.2%
Guatemala	San Lucas Sacatepéquez	2000	79.3%	83.2%	74.3%
Guatemala	San Lucas Sacatepéquez	2017	66.1%	71.5%	59.3%
Guatemala	San Lucas Tolimán	2000	87.1%	93.4%	63.8%
Guatemala	San Lucas Tolimán	2017	78.8%	90.8%	45.3%
Guatemala	San Luis	2000	61.6%	85.0%	34.0%
Guatemala	San Luis	2000	70.1%	81.0%	55.8%
Guatemala	San Luis	2017	62.3%	74.6%	47.2%
Guatemala	San Luis	2017	52.4%	77.7%	24.8%
Guatemala	San Luis Jilotepeque	2000	91.0%	97.0%	79.4%
Guatemala	San Luis Jilotepeque	2017	86.1%	94.3%	73.6%
Guatemala	San Manuel Chaparrón	2000	80.6%	89.7%	65.2%
Guatemala	San Manuel Chaparrón	2017	70.1%	82.1%	54.6%
Guatemala	San Marcos	2000	85.3%	94.7%	66.8%
Guatemala	San Marcos	2017	76.7%	89.8%	55.1%
Guatemala	San Marcos La Laguna	2000	92.3%	93.6%	90.5%
Guatemala	San Marcos La Laguna	2017	83.3%	85.7%	80.2%
Guatemala	San Martín Jilotepeque	2000	84.1%	93.8%	63.1%
Guatemala	San Martín Jilotepeque	2017	75.9%	88.6%	52.4%
Guatemala	San Martín Sacatepéquez	2000	94.1%	99.4%	77.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Martín Sacatepéquez	2017	90.3%	99.1%	64.4%
Guatemala	San Martín Zapotitlán	2000	31.0%	38.4%	24.1%
Guatemala	San Martín Zapotitlán	2017	17.8%	22.8%	13.6%
Guatemala	San Mateo	2000	92.5%	94.8%	89.4%
Guatemala	San Mateo	2017	85.1%	89.5%	79.2%
Guatemala	San Mateo Ixtatán	2000	71.0%	93.8%	41.4%
Guatemala	San Mateo Ixtatán	2017	62.8%	89.0%	31.8%
Guatemala	San Miguel Acatán	2000	91.7%	98.5%	78.5%
Guatemala	San Miguel Acatán	2017	86.1%	97.1%	68.9%
Guatemala	San Miguel Chicaj	2000	89.8%	93.6%	82.0%
Guatemala	San Miguel Chicaj	2017	84.3%	90.3%	73.1%
Guatemala	San Miguel Dueñas	2000	76.6%	84.0%	67.2%
Guatemala	San Miguel Dueñas	2017	65.1%	73.8%	54.7%
Guatemala	San Miguel Ixtahuacán	2000	76.1%	96.2%	46.4%
Guatemala	San Miguel Ixtahuacán	2017	66.1%	93.8%	32.0%
Guatemala	San Miguel Panán	2000	74.8%	79.0%	70.3%
Guatemala	San Miguel Panán	2017	59.4%	64.9%	53.8%
Guatemala	San Miguel Sigüilá	2000	88.7%	92.5%	83.7%
Guatemala	San Miguel Sigüilá	2017	79.3%	85.6%	72.0%
Guatemala	San Pablo	2000	76.3%	95.4%	38.3%
Guatemala	San Pablo	2017	67.2%	91.2%	30.4%
Guatemala	San Pablo Jocopilas	2000	73.5%	77.8%	68.5%
Guatemala	San Pablo Jocopilas	2017	59.0%	63.9%	53.3%
Guatemala	San Pablo La Laguna	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.1%
Guatemala	San Pablo La Laguna	2017	88.2%	90.8%	84.9%
Guatemala	San Pedro Ayampuc	2000	52.3%	66.0%	34.8%
Guatemala	San Pedro Ayampuc	2017	37.2%	50.0%	22.4%
Guatemala	San Pedro Carchá	2000	62.0%	75.2%	46.0%
Guatemala	San Pedro Carchá	2017	51.7%	66.2%	36.3%
Guatemala	San Pedro Jocopilas	2000	72.2%	90.6%	45.9%
Guatemala	San Pedro Jocopilas	2017	62.2%	82.6%	37.6%
Guatemala	San Pedro La Laguna	2000	82.2%	91.5%	55.5%
Guatemala	San Pedro La Laguna	2017	71.4%	83.4%	45.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Pedro Necta	2000	70.9%	93.4%	25.2%
Guatemala	San Pedro Necta	2017	64.3%	93.5%	17.5%
Guatemala	San Pedro Pinula	2000	65.2%	86.7%	38.7%
Guatemala	San Pedro Pinula	2017	55.5%	81.1%	29.1%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2000	88.9%	97.4%	68.8%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2000	79.9%	82.9%	76.5%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2017	62.5%	66.2%	57.6%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2017	82.2%	94.9%	61.3%
Guatemala	San Rafael La Independencia	2000	93.4%	99.8%	64.1%
Guatemala	San Rafael La Independencia	2017	88.9%	99.5%	49.6%
Guatemala	San Rafael Las Flores	2000	66.3%	89.6%	33.2%
Guatemala	San Rafael Las Flores	2017	56.0%	85.1%	25.0%
Guatemala	San Rafael Petzal	2000	96.9%	98.9%	91.2%
Guatemala	San Rafael Petzal	2017	95.0%	98.4%	84.9%
Guatemala	San Rafael Pie de la Cuesta	2000	83.8%	97.1%	57.3%
Guatemala	San Rafael Pie de la Cuesta	2017	76.9%	94.4%	49.1%
Guatemala	San Raymundo	2000	38.1%	58.8%	11.6%
Guatemala	San Raymundo	2017	29.8%	52.5%	5.8%
Guatemala	San Sebastián	2000	32.8%	38.7%	28.2%
Guatemala	San Sebastián	2017	19.3%	23.5%	16.0%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Coatán	2000	90.6%	99.7%	68.2%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Coatán	2017	86.9%	99.4%	61.7%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Huehuetenango	2000	91.6%	95.6%	85.2%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Huehuetenango	2017	86.2%	92.0%	79.7%
Guatemala	San Sibinal	2000	81.2%	99.5%	42.5%
Guatemala	San Sibinal	2017	75.0%	98.9%	33.4%
Guatemala	San Vicente Pacaya	2000	51.8%	83.7%	11.2%
Guatemala	San Vicente Pacaya	2017	41.7%	78.1%	6.6%
Guatemala	Sanarate	2000	66.7%	73.9%	60.0%
Guatemala	Sanarate	2017	55.8%	63.4%	48.3%
Guatemala	Sansare	2000	71.5%	82.6%	56.7%
Guatemala	Sansare	2017	60.5%	72.6%	43.0%
Guatemala	Santa Ana	2000	52.2%	69.5%	35.6%
Guatemala	Santa Ana	2017	45.1%	63.6%	28.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santa Ana Huista	2000	81.0%	96.3%	49.7%
Guatemala	Santa Ana Huista	2017	74.8%	93.7%	42.4%
Guatemala	Santa Apolonia	2000	88.2%	91.6%	76.8%
Guatemala	Santa Apolonia	2017	79.8%	84.7%	66.9%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2000	82.6%	87.9%	75.2%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2000	74.7%	95.9%	39.6%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2017	74.6%	80.4%	66.4%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2017	65.5%	91.5%	29.2%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Barahona	2000	83.0%	87.2%	78.4%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Barahona	2017	63.8%	71.0%	56.2%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Ixtahuacan	2000	95.7%	97.1%	89.3%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Ixtahuacan	2017	91.9%	94.2%	82.2%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Mita	2000	83.8%	93.6%	67.3%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Mita	2017	74.6%	88.2%	54.8%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Palopó	2000	29.1%	60.7%	11.0%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Palopó	2017	19.2%	43.3%	8.1%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Pinula	2000	54.2%	60.4%	48.7%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Pinula	2017	39.3%	44.5%	34.6%
Guatemala	Santa Clara La Laguna	2000	97.4%	98.7%	93.4%
Guatemala	Santa Clara La Laguna	2017	94.6%	97.2%	86.3%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Balanyá	2000	82.5%	88.0%	75.4%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Balanyá	2017	69.4%	77.2%	60.6%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Barillas	2000	70.7%	84.3%	53.1%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Barillas	2017	62.1%	77.8%	44.2%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz del Quiché	2000	51.0%	56.9%	44.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz del Quiché	2017	35.5%	40.9%	29.8%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz El Chol	2000	86.4%	99.1%	55.2%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz El Chol	2017	81.5%	98.2%	50.4%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz La Laguna	2000	70.8%	77.2%	64.6%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz La Laguna	2017	49.2%	55.1%	43.7%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Muluá	2000	46.5%	52.2%	39.6%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Muluá	2017	30.6%	35.6%	25.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Naranjo	2000	81.7%	86.9%	75.1%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Naranjo	2017	69.9%	76.4%	61.9%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Verapaz	2000	78.1%	90.8%	57.8%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Verapaz	2017	66.0%	82.8%	44.2%
Guatemala	Santa Eulalia	2000	73.2%	92.6%	46.4%
Guatemala	Santa Eulalia	2017	65.9%	88.3%	38.7%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Cotzumalguapa	2000	54.0%	75.0%	32.9%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Cotzumalguapa	2017	41.7%	63.1%	21.8%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía La Reforma	2000	48.7%	67.5%	31.3%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía La Reforma	2017	35.4%	51.7%	23.8%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Milpas Altas	2000	86.7%	89.6%	83.5%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Milpas Altas	2017	71.3%	77.1%	65.9%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Utatlán	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.6%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Utatlán	2017	85.7%	88.2%	83.3%
Guatemala	Santa María Cahabón	2000	73.4%	93.5%	43.6%
Guatemala	Santa María Cahabón	2017	65.3%	88.7%	34.9%
Guatemala	Santa María Chiquimula	2000	87.9%	94.7%	73.9%
Guatemala	Santa María Chiquimula	2017	80.7%	90.1%	65.4%
Guatemala	Santa María de Jesús	2000	88.8%	93.3%	83.7%
Guatemala	Santa María de Jesús	2017	82.6%	88.1%	76.5%
Guatemala	Santa María Ixhutatán	2000	83.3%	94.5%	64.0%
Guatemala	Santa María Ixhutatán	2017	77.8%	90.9%	59.9%
Guatemala	Santa María Visitación	2000	98.6%	99.9%	93.4%
Guatemala	Santa María Visitación	2017	97.0%	99.8%	84.6%
Guatemala	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	47.5%	73.5%	25.1%
Guatemala	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	39.6%	64.2%	17.5%
Guatemala	Santiago Atitlán	2000	84.2%	88.3%	78.9%
Guatemala	Santiago Atitlán	2017	78.7%	83.2%	72.1%
Guatemala	Santiago Chimaltenango	2000	75.2%	98.5%	22.9%
Guatemala	Santiago Chimaltenango	2017	67.2%	97.0%	16.9%
Guatemala	Santiago Sacatepéquez	2000	82.9%	85.5%	80.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santiago Sacatepéquez	2017	70.7%	74.3%	67.4%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Suchitepequez	2000	45.9%	60.9%	29.6%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Suchitepequez	2017	33.4%	47.4%	20.3%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Xenacoj	2000	87.5%	90.1%	84.4%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Xenacoj	2017	76.8%	81.2%	72.0%
Guatemala	Santo Tomás La Unión	2000	92.5%	94.4%	89.0%
Guatemala	Santo Tomás La Unión	2017	86.4%	89.5%	80.3%
Guatemala	Sayaxché	2000	66.9%	77.6%	53.3%
Guatemala	Sayaxché	2017	58.0%	69.7%	44.5%
Guatemala	Senahú	2000	73.4%	86.3%	54.4%
Guatemala	Senahú	2017	63.9%	80.3%	44.1%
Guatemala	Sibilia	2000	94.2%	97.5%	84.7%
Guatemala	Sibilia	2017	87.9%	94.1%	71.1%
Guatemala	Sipacapa	2000	74.8%	98.0%	41.4%
Guatemala	Sipacapa	2017	64.1%	95.8%	26.6%
Guatemala	Siquinalá	2000	60.3%	74.7%	43.4%
Guatemala	Siquinalá	2017	44.7%	61.2%	30.1%
Guatemala	Sololá	2000	71.7%	80.6%	56.6%
Guatemala	Sololá	2017	60.0%	70.1%	45.2%
Guatemala	Soloma	2000	89.8%	98.1%	73.2%
Guatemala	Soloma	2017	83.2%	95.5%	64.1%
Guatemala	Sumpango	2000	90.2%	91.6%	89.0%
Guatemala	Sumpango	2017	82.2%	84.2%	79.9%
Guatemala	Tacaná	2000	85.4%	97.1%	65.4%
Guatemala	Tacaná	2017	78.4%	94.6%	54.7%
Guatemala	Tactic	2000	83.7%	95.4%	64.5%
Guatemala	Tactic	2017	74.9%	90.7%	54.0%
Guatemala	Tajumulco	2000	86.5%	97.6%	62.8%
Guatemala	Tajumulco	2017	80.8%	95.7%	53.4%
Guatemala	Tamahú	2000	67.8%	98.0%	26.6%
Guatemala	Tamahú	2017	59.1%	96.2%	19.0%
Guatemala	Taxisco	2000	55.9%	77.3%	34.3%
Guatemala	Taxisco	2017	46.6%	69.2%	27.0%
Guatemala	Tecpán	2000	77.3%	85.2%	67.5%
Guatemala	Guatemala Tecpán	2017	66.0%	76.8%	55.6%
Guatemala	Tectitán	2000	78.2%	97.2%	48.3%
Guatemala	Tectitán	2017	70.9%	94.6%	39.0%
Guatemala	Teculután	2000	55.6%	64.8%	45.4%
Guatemala	Teculután	2017	41.8%	52.9%	31.3%
Guatemala	Tejutla	2000	84.2%	93.5%	65.6%
Guatemala	Tejutla	2017	75.6%	88.8%	53.9%
Guatemala	Tiquisate	2000	62.1%	74.8%	47.1%
Guatemala	Tiquisate	2017	51.2%	65.1%	35.6%
Guatemala	Todos Santos Cuchumatán	2000	80.9%	97.7%	49.0%
Guatemala	Todos Santos Cuchumatán	2017	74.8%	95.8%	41.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Totonicapán	2000	93.1%	96.1%	86.7%
Guatemala	Totonicapán	2017	88.3%	92.2%	82.1%
Guatemala	Tucurú	2000	77.3%	96.2%	47.7%
Guatemala	Tucurú	2017	68.8%	93.2%	39.6%
Guatemala	Uspantán	2000	79.4%	93.0%	62.4%
Guatemala	Uspantán	2017	72.7%	88.3%	54.4%
Guatemala	Usulután	2000	76.2%	82.9%	66.2%
Guatemala	Usulután	2017	63.1%	71.6%	52.2%
Guatemala	Villa Canales	2000	67.8%	77.3%	52.3%
Guatemala	Villa Canales	2017	56.1%	68.5%	40.2%
Guatemala	Villa Nueva	2000	67.6%	69.6%	65.7%
Guatemala	Villa Nueva	2017	50.3%	52.2%	48.1%
Guatemala	Yepocapa	2000	89.5%	97.1%	77.3%
Guatemala	Yepocapa	2017	84.0%	94.7%	68.7%
Guatemala	Yupiltepeque	2000	90.8%	97.4%	65.6%
Guatemala	Yupiltepeque	2017	84.9%	94.9%	57.9%
Guatemala	Zacapa	2000	76.3%	82.3%	66.8%
Guatemala	Zacapa	2017	65.2%	73.3%	53.2%
Guatemala	Zacualpa	2000	76.7%	96.6%	45.7%
Guatemala	Zacualpa	2017	68.9%	94.5%	35.1%
Guatemala	Zapotitlán	2000	82.0%	99.1%	40.0%
Guatemala	Zapotitlán	2017	74.5%	98.3%	28.3%
Guatemala	Zaragoza	2000	83.2%	87.4%	75.2%
Guatemala	Zaragoza	2017	69.7%	75.7%	59.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 1	2000	56.1%	58.3%	53.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 1	2017	38.1%	40.2%	36.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 10	2000	49.4%	54.4%	45.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 10	2017	32.0%	35.9%	28.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 11	2000	46.4%	50.4%	42.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 11	2017	29.8%	32.7%	27.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 12	2000	58.9%	61.7%	55.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 12	2017	42.8%	45.3%	40.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 13	2000	49.6%	53.9%	45.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 13	2017	32.4%	35.5%	29.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 14	2000	48.2%	52.5%	43.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 14	2017	30.9%	34.4%	27.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 15	2000	55.0%	58.6%	51.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 15	2017	37.5%	40.4%	34.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 16	2000	67.0%	70.1%	63.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 16	2017	49.6%	53.3%	46.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 17	2000	62.5%	64.8%	60.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 17	2017	44.7%	47.1%	42.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 18	2000	61.0%	63.7%	58.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 18	2017	43.2%	45.4%	40.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 19	2000	44.9%	48.6%	41.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 19	2017	28.3%	30.8%	26.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 2	2000	59.3%	61.3%	57.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 2	2017	42.1%	44.2%	40.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 22	2000	68.3%	70.6%	66.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 22	2017	52.0%	54.0%	49.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 24	2000	66.8%	71.5%	61.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 24	2017	51.6%	56.4%	46.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 25	2000	65.8%	69.9%	61.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 25	2017	51.9%	56.1%	47.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 3	2000	54.7%	56.9%	52.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 3	2017	36.8%	38.7%	35.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 4	2000	50.2%	54.9%	45.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 4	2017	32.8%	36.5%	29.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 5	2000	57.1%	59.2%	54.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 5	2017	39.3%	41.1%	37.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 6	2000	61.0%	63.3%	58.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 6	2017	43.0%	45.1%	41.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	ZONA 7	2000	49.0%	51.5%	46.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 7	2017	31.9%	33.8%	30.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 8	2000	50.1%	54.9%	45.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 8	2017	32.7%	36.4%	29.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 9	2000	49.7%	54.8%	45.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 9	2017	32.3%	36.3%	28.7%
Guatemala	Zunil	2000	88.0%	99.7%	45.7%
Guatemala	Zunil	2017	82.0%	99.5%	33.2%
Guatemala	Zunilito	2000	79.4%	96.9%	40.5%
Guatemala	Zunilito	2017	70.1%	94.6%	29.3%
Guyana	Abary / Mahaicony	2000	80.0%	96.2%	51.9%
Guyana	Abary / Mahaicony	2017	58.6%	88.1%	26.2%
Guyana	Agatash	2000	50.7%	54.8%	46.7%
Guyana	Agatash	2017	22.2%	26.0%	18.6%
Guyana	Aishalton - Karaudanawa, Achiwib	2000	24.2%	37.5%	13.6%
Guyana	Aishalton - Karaudanawa, Achiwib	2017	13.2%	23.0%	6.1%
Guyana	Amsterdam (Demerara River) / Vriesland	2000	49.5%	57.3%	44.0%
Guyana	Amsterdam (Demerara River) / Vriesland	2017	25.6%	33.1%	21.6%
Guyana	Anna Regina	2000	11.1%	15.6%	7.9%
Guyana	Anna Regina	2017	3.0%	4.4%	2.1%
Guyana	Arau	2000	31.0%	66.2%	7.3%
Guyana	Arau	2017	17.0%	44.9%	2.2%
Guyana	Barima / Amakura	2000	26.7%	36.2%	19.4%
Guyana	Barima / Amakura	2017	12.7%	19.8%	8.1%
Guyana	Bartica	2000	59.5%	64.6%	54.2%
Guyana	Bartica	2017	25.6%	30.2%	21.3%
Guyana	Bel Air / Woodlands	2000	74.9%	80.2%	66.8%
Guyana	Bel Air / Woodlands	2017	41.8%	49.5%	34.7%
Guyana	Berbice River Settlements	2000	30.9%	52.2%	17.0%
Guyana	Berbice River Settlements	2017	17.9%	31.6%	8.9%
Guyana	Black Bush Polder land Development Scheme	2000	79.7%	90.2%	56.6%
Guyana	Black Bush Polder land Development Scheme	2017	52.1%	67.4%	36.7%
Guyana	Blankenburg / Hague	2000	57.5%	62.1%	52.4%
Guyana	Blankenburg / Hague	2017	24.7%	28.5%	21.4%
Guyana	Bonasika / Boerasirie	2000	25.2%	33.6%	19.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Bonasika / Boerasirie	2017	8.1%	12.7%	5.5%
Guyana	Borlam (No.37) / Kintyre	2000	55.9%	62.0%	50.3%
Guyana	Borlam (No.37) / Kintyre	2017	28.9%	34.5%	24.7%
Guyana	Bush Lot / Adventure	2000	85.1%	88.5%	81.0%
Guyana	Bush Lot / Adventure	2017	58.0%	64.9%	50.9%
Guyana	Canal No. 2 (part) + The Belle + Little Alliance	2000	56.7%	61.2%	51.1%
Guyana	Canal No. 2 (part) + The Belle + Little Alliance	2017	23.3%	26.4%	19.8%
Guyana	Canals Polder	2000	54.8%	59.1%	50.1%
Guyana	Canals Polder	2017	22.4%	25.5%	19.5%
Guyana	Cane Field / Enterprise	2000	59.8%	61.4%	58.2%
Guyana	Cane Field / Enterprise	2017	31.3%	33.7%	29.3%
Guyana	Cane Grove Land Development Scheme	2000	66.6%	70.9%	61.4%
Guyana	Cane Grove Land Development Scheme	2017	46.2%	54.2%	37.5%
Guyana	Chance / Hamlet	2000	78.0%	82.7%	73.2%
Guyana	Chance / Hamlet	2017	47.6%	53.4%	42.3%
Guyana	Charity / Urasara	2000	18.6%	31.4%	6.8%
Guyana	Charity / Urasara	2017	9.0%	18.9%	2.6%
Guyana	Chenapau River	2000	13.9%	42.1%	2.4%
Guyana	Chenapau River	2017	5.5%	26.2%	0.5%
Guyana	City of Georgetown	2000	33.5%	35.8%	31.4%
Guyana	City of Georgetown	2017	11.2%	11.9%	10.4%
Guyana	Coomaka Lands	2000	53.6%	66.9%	41.5%
Guyana	Coomaka Lands	2017	27.5%	41.3%	16.6%
Guyana	Corentyne River	2000	68.3%	71.0%	64.5%
Guyana	Corentyne River	2017	42.3%	47.9%	37.5%
Guyana	Cornelia Ida / Stewartville	2000	53.9%	57.9%	49.6%
Guyana	Cornelia Ida / Stewartville	2017	22.6%	25.7%	19.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Corriverton	2000	65.9%	88.0%	26.7%
Guyana	Corriverton	2017	42.9%	80.2%	9.4%
Guyana	Demerara Conservancy	2000	59.2%	70.6%	46.5%
Guyana	Demerara Conservancy	2017	33.1%	47.4%	21.3%
Guyana	Diamond / Golden Grove	2000	53.3%	58.6%	48.2%
Guyana	Diamond / Golden Grove	2017	22.5%	28.6%	17.8%
Guyana	East Bank Berbice	2000	22.8%	31.5%	15.5%
Guyana	East Bank Berbice	2017	12.1%	17.5%	7.4%
Guyana	Eccles / Ramsburg	2000	57.2%	60.8%	53.5%
Guyana	Eccles / Ramsburg	2017	23.4%	25.8%	21.1%
Guyana	Enfield / New Doe Park	2000	80.9%	91.5%	69.0%
Guyana	Enfield / New Doe Park	2017	55.9%	74.0%	41.5%
Guyana	Enmore / Hope	2000	55.3%	60.8%	50.7%
Guyana	Enmore / Hope	2017	25.5%	30.6%	21.7%
Guyana	Farm / Woodlands	2000	37.3%	56.4%	26.5%
Guyana	Farm / Woodlands	2017	24.1%	38.2%	17.2%
Guyana	Foulis / Buxton	2000	43.5%	47.6%	39.6%
Guyana	Foulis / Buxton	2017	18.8%	21.3%	16.8%
Guyana	Fyrish / Gibraltar	2000	22.6%	34.5%	13.6%
Guyana	Fyrish / Gibraltar	2017	6.4%	10.3%	3.7%
Guyana	Gelderland / No. 3	2000	77.7%	82.2%	70.9%
Guyana	Gelderland / No. 3	2017	46.4%	53.3%	39.3%
Guyana	Good Hope / Hydronie	2000	35.9%	45.3%	28.7%
Guyana	Good Hope / Hydronie	2017	11.4%	15.9%	8.5%
Guyana	Good Hope / Pomona	2000	5.1%	10.3%	1.7%
Guyana	Good Hope / Pomona	2017	2.4%	9.0%	0.5%
Guyana	Good Success / Caledonia	2000	49.3%	59.6%	38.7%
Guyana	Good Success / Caledonia	2017	21.6%	31.9%	14.4%
Guyana	Grove / Haslington	2000	51.4%	57.6%	45.4%
Guyana	Grove / Haslington	2017	20.9%	25.9%	17.2%
Guyana	Hampshire / Kilcoy	2000	85.7%	90.4%	80.1%
Guyana	Hampshire / Kilcoy	2017	59.7%	71.4%	48.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Herstelling / Little Diamond	2000	51.0%	54.5%	47.2%
Guyana	Herstelling / Little Diamond	2017	19.5%	21.5%	17.5%
Guyana	Hogstye / Lancaster	2000	88.7%	90.5%	86.6%
Guyana	Hogstye / Lancaster	2017	65.7%	70.2%	61.0%
Guyana	Ireng / Sawarivau (Including St. Ignatius)	2000	43.5%	52.8%	33.3%
Guyana	Ireng / Sawarivau (Including St. Ignatius)	2017	21.0%	29.3%	13.8%
Guyana	Ituni	2000	30.7%	62.4%	11.7%
Guyana	Ituni	2017	15.9%	43.5%	3.9%
Guyana	Jackson Creek / Crabwood Creek	2000	46.1%	60.9%	33.3%
Guyana	Jackson Creek / Crabwood Creek	2017	27.4%	39.6%	14.3%
Guyana	Jawalla, Kubenang River	2000	19.9%	40.6%	3.1%
Guyana	Jawalla, Kubenang River	2017	9.5%	26.7%	0.7%
Guyana	John / Port Mourant	2000	85.9%	90.2%	80.8%
Guyana	John / Port Mourant	2017	61.7%	70.2%	54.1%
Guyana	Joppa / Macedonia	2000	85.3%	87.4%	82.9%
Guyana	Joppa / Macedonia	2017	57.4%	61.8%	53.0%
Guyana	Kaibarupai	2000	26.7%	59.1%	8.1%
Guyana	Kaibarupai	2017	11.5%	37.9%	2.2%
Guyana	Kamarang	2000	23.0%	38.7%	10.9%
Guyana	Kamarang	2017	11.3%	22.8%	3.8%
Guyana	Karambaru to Kukui River + Phillipi	2000	19.7%	35.9%	8.6%
Guyana	Karambaru to Kukui River + Phillipi	2017	9.4%	19.5%	2.9%
Guyana	Klein Pouderoyen / Best	2000	44.1%	49.2%	39.7%
Guyana	Klein Pouderoyen / Best	2017	15.1%	17.8%	13.0%
Guyana	Kopanang, Waipa, Kenepai	2000	27.8%	49.7%	10.8%
Guyana	Kopanang, Waipa, Kenepai	2017	17.6%	34.8%	9.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Kwakwani	2000	45.5%	79.7%	20.6%
Guyana	Kwakwani	2017	30.1%	61.8%	14.0%
Guyana	La Bonne Intention / Better Hope	2000	44.0%	47.0%	41.1%
Guyana	La Bonne Intention / Better Hope	2017	16.6%	18.7%	14.8%
Guyana	La Reconnaissance / Mon Repos	2000	43.2%	46.4%	40.2%
Guyana	La Reconnaissance / Mon Repos	2017	16.8%	18.8%	14.9%
Guyana	Leguan (Essequibo Islands)	2000	38.1%	69.6%	14.0%
Guyana	Leguan (Essequibo Islands)	2017	16.4%	45.0%	4.0%
Guyana	Linden	2000	76.2%	80.1%	72.7%
Guyana	Linden	2017	46.5%	51.2%	42.5%
Guyana	Lower West Demerara	2000	29.6%	62.3%	8.1%
Guyana	Lower West Demerara	2017	15.8%	44.8%	2.5%
Guyana	Mabaruma / Kumaka / Hosororo	2000	41.0%	52.9%	30.7%
Guyana	Mabaruma / Kumaka / Hosororo	2017	20.3%	34.0%	11.9%
Guyana	Mabura Hills	2000	33.6%	54.3%	16.8%
Guyana	Mabura Hills	2017	19.4%	34.1%	8.5%
Guyana	Madhia + Kurubrong River + Mona Falls	2000	21.4%	33.5%	12.5%
Guyana	Madhia + Kurubrong River + Mona Falls	2017	10.1%	19.4%	4.4%
Guyana	Makouria River	2000	60.1%	65.4%	54.7%
Guyana	Makouria River	2017	25.9%	30.5%	21.6%
Guyana	Maripari River + Kurukabaru	2000	23.6%	40.7%	10.5%
Guyana	Maripari River + Kurukabaru	2017	12.9%	26.3%	4.6%
Guyana	Marudi	2000	23.5%	46.2%	9.0%
Guyana	Marudi	2017	11.8%	28.6%	3.1%
Guyana	Matthews Ridge / Arakaka (Matakai) / Port Kaituma	2000	22.1%	43.4%	8.0%
Guyana	Matthews Ridge / Arakaka (Matakai) / Port Kaituma	2017	10.4%	24.2%	2.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Meer Zorgen / Malgre Tout	2000	51.8%	54.9%	49.3%
Guyana	Meer Zorgen / Malgre Tout	2017	20.2%	21.7%	18.7%
Guyana	Mocha / Arcadia	2000	66.9%	74.7%	57.8%
Guyana	Mocha / Arcadia	2017	31.8%	39.3%	23.7%
Guyana	Monkey Mountain	2000	39.5%	74.7%	12.0%
Guyana	Monkey Mountain	2017	22.5%	57.8%	4.1%
Guyana	Naarstigheid / Union	2000	81.7%	86.7%	73.4%
Guyana	Naarstigheid / Union	2017	55.1%	62.1%	47.1%
Guyana	New Amsterdam	2000	78.2%	80.5%	75.4%
Guyana	New Amsterdam	2017	45.1%	48.9%	41.1%
Guyana	Nismes / La Grange	2000	59.4%	61.9%	56.8%
Guyana	Nismes / La Grange	2017	25.0%	26.8%	23.3%
Guyana	No. 38 / Ordnance Fortlands	2000	66.2%	68.6%	63.6%
Guyana	No. 38 / Ordnance Fortlands	2017	34.5%	37.2%	31.9%
Guyana	No.51 Village / Good Hope	2000	85.9%	89.1%	82.1%
Guyana	No.51 Village / Good Hope	2017	58.8%	65.5%	52.1%
Guyana	No.74 Village / No.52 Village	2000	83.3%	89.0%	73.9%
Guyana	No.74 Village / No.52 Village	2017	56.9%	66.4%	46.8%
Guyana	Nouvelle Flanders / La Jalousie	2000	53.9%	58.2%	49.2%
Guyana	Nouvelle Flanders / La Jalousie	2017	22.5%	27.3%	18.0%
Guyana	Paradise / Evergreen (including Somerset and Berks)	2000	20.3%	29.4%	13.4%
Guyana	Paradise / Evergreen (including Somerset and Berks)	2017	6.2%	11.6%	3.3%
Guyana	Paramakatoi	2000	25.9%	44.6%	10.8%
Guyana	Paramakatoi	2017	10.6%	28.0%	3.3%
Guyana	Parika / Mora	2000	9.5%	21.6%	4.3%
Guyana	Parika / Mora	2017	2.5%	5.9%	1.2%
Guyana	Paruima	2000	26.2%	41.7%	10.9%
Guyana	Paruima	2017	13.5%	26.8%	4.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Patentia / Toevlugt	2000	50.7%	54.3%	46.9%
Guyana	Patentia / Toevlugt	2017	18.9%	21.1%	17.0%
Guyana	Plaisance / Industry	2000	42.4%	45.4%	39.5%
Guyana	Plaisance / Industry	2017	16.2%	18.3%	14.2%
Guyana	Rest of Region 1	2000	26.1%	38.9%	14.0%
Guyana	Rest of Region 1	2017	13.7%	24.9%	5.8%
Guyana	Rest of Region 10	2000	32.9%	47.4%	21.1%
Guyana	Rest of Region 10	2017	18.3%	27.3%	11.1%
Guyana	Rest of Region 7	2000	27.1%	37.7%	19.3%
Guyana	Rest of Region 7	2017	13.8%	18.1%	10.5%
Guyana	Rest of Region 8	2000	22.8%	28.8%	16.4%
Guyana	Rest of Region 8	2017	12.0%	16.1%	8.0%
Guyana	Rest of Region 9	2000	29.9%	39.4%	21.5%
Guyana	Rest of Region 9	2017	16.4%	23.7%	10.7%
Guyana	Rising Sun / Profit	2000	72.2%	81.6%	59.6%
Guyana	Rising Sun / Profit	2017	53.5%	65.1%	41.1%
Guyana	Riverstown / Annandale	2000	6.0%	10.3%	2.8%
Guyana	Riverstown / Annandale	2017	2.9%	6.7%	0.9%
Guyana	Rose Hall	2000	86.1%	90.4%	80.7%
Guyana	Rose Hall	2017	60.6%	71.1%	50.3%
Guyana	Rosignol / Zeelust	2000	80.4%	82.5%	78.0%
Guyana	Rosignol / Zeelust	2017	48.7%	52.3%	45.2%
Guyana	Sand Creek - Dadanawa, Catunarib, Sawariwau	2000	30.8%	46.3%	18.0%
Guyana	Sand Creek - Dadanawa, Catunarib, Sawariwau	2017	17.5%	29.5%	8.2%
Guyana	Soesdyke-Linden highway (including Timehri)	2000	31.5%	43.7%	20.5%
Guyana	Soesdyke-Linden highway (including Timehri)	2017	14.2%	23.9%	7.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Sparta / Bonasika and Rest of Essequibo Islands	2000	21.4%	47.8%	4.3%
Guyana	Sparta / Bonasika and Rest of Essequibo Islands	2017	10.3%	29.9%	1.0%
Guyana	St. Cuthberts / Orange Nassau (Mahaica River)	2000	51.2%	61.9%	40.7%
Guyana	St. Cuthberts / Orange Nassau (Mahaica River)	2017	30.3%	41.9%	19.1%
Guyana	St. Francis Mission	2000	36.9%	56.8%	17.3%
Guyana	St. Francis Mission	2017	20.9%	36.5%	6.8%
Guyana	Supernaam River, Bethany and Mashabo Villages	2000	32.4%	58.6%	12.8%
Guyana	Supernaam River, Bethany and Mashabo Villages	2017	16.7%	41.8%	4.9%
Guyana	Tarlogie / Maida	2000	84.4%	86.7%	82.2%
Guyana	Tarlogie / Maida	2017	56.1%	60.6%	51.4%
Guyana	Te Huist Coverden / Soesdyke	2000	34.8%	48.1%	23.1%
Guyana	Te Huist Coverden / Soesdyke	2017	12.5%	22.8%	7.1%
Guyana	Tempe / Seafield	2000	94.7%	96.7%	91.6%
Guyana	Tempe / Seafield	2017	82.8%	87.7%	75.8%
Guyana	Toka - Jakaretinga	2000	36.1%	56.0%	17.6%
Guyana	Toka - Jakaretinga	2017	21.7%	37.8%	7.6%
Guyana	Triumph / Beterverwagting	2000	41.7%	45.1%	38.7%
Guyana	Triumph / Beterverwagting	2017	15.3%	17.4%	13.6%
Guyana	Uitvlugt / Tuschen	2000	31.9%	36.5%	27.7%
Guyana	Uitvlugt / Tuschen	2017	9.6%	12.2%	7.8%
Guyana	Vereeniging / Unity	2000	64.9%	69.1%	60.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Vereeniging / Unity	2017	30.4%	34.5%	26.5%
Guyana	Vergenoegen / Greenwich Park	2000	26.4%	34.4%	20.3%
Guyana	Vergenoegen / Greenwich Park	2017	8.2%	11.4%	5.9%
Guyana	Waini	2000	28.8%	40.8%	18.1%
Guyana	Waini	2017	16.0%	25.5%	8.3%
Guyana	Wakenaam (Essequibo Islands)	2000	14.5%	40.3%	1.4%
Guyana	Wakenaam (Essequibo Islands)	2017	5.9%	24.5%	0.4%
Guyana	Waramadan	2000	22.8%	47.2%	7.8%
Guyana	Waramadan	2017	8.6%	21.7%	2.2%
Guyana	West bank Berbice	2000	76.3%	79.7%	72.7%
Guyana	West bank Berbice	2017	45.2%	49.9%	40.3%
Guyana	Whim / Bloomfield	2000	87.8%	90.3%	84.0%
Guyana	Whim / Bloomfield	2017	64.8%	69.0%	59.6%
Guyana	Woodley Park / Bath	2000	73.3%	78.9%	64.0%
Guyana	Woodley Park / Bath	2017	39.4%	46.8%	32.2%
Guyana	Yakarinta - Wowetta, Surama	2000	29.2%	42.5%	17.5%
Guyana	Yakarinta - Wowetta, Surama	2017	16.6%	27.2%	8.0%
Guyana	Yarong Paru - Good Hope	2000	28.0%	44.5%	13.7%
Guyana	Yarong Paru - Good Hope	2017	15.0%	28.8%	5.6%
Guyana	Zorg-en-Vlygt / Aberdeen	2000	6.8%	21.5%	1.6%
Guyana	Zorg-en-Vlygt / Aberdeen	2017	2.1%	8.4%	0.3%
Haiti	Anse d'Hainault	2000	37.4%	41.0%	34.1%
Haiti	Anse d'Hainault	2017	19.3%	22.1%	16.5%
Haiti	Aquin	2000	54.1%	57.8%	49.5%
Haiti	Aquin	2017	36.8%	40.5%	31.9%
Haiti	Bainet	2000	34.1%	37.7%	29.7%
Haiti	Bainet	2017	24.7%	29.3%	18.2%
Haiti	Belle-Anse	2000	57.7%	62.9%	51.1%
Haiti	Belle-Anse	2017	46.4%	52.4%	37.6%
Haiti	Borgne	2000	31.1%	45.9%	23.1%
Haiti	Borgne	2017	21.5%	33.2%	15.7%
Haiti	Cerca La Source	2000	29.8%	41.5%	19.3%
Haiti	Cerca La Source	2017	16.8%	27.8%	7.8%
Haiti	Corail	2000	16.3%	21.2%	13.9%
Haiti	Corail	2017	8.1%	11.2%	6.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Haiti	Croix-des-Bouquets	2000	28.0%	31.3%	25.0%
Haiti	Croix-des-Bouquets	2017	11.9%	14.6%	9.9%
Haiti	Dessalines	2000	25.9%	30.1%	22.8%
Haiti	Dessalines	2017	11.5%	14.3%	9.2%
Haiti	Fort-Liberté	2000	40.1%	48.7%	33.5%
Haiti	Fort-Liberté	2017	18.5%	25.2%	15.0%
Haiti	Grande-Rivière du Nord	2000	21.1%	23.6%	18.8%
Haiti	Grande-Rivière du Nord	2017	8.9%	10.1%	7.8%
Haiti	Gros-Morne	2000	30.7%	39.1%	20.5%
Haiti	Gros-Morne	2017	25.6%	38.2%	9.5%
Haiti	Hinche	2000	39.9%	45.0%	35.4%
Haiti	Hinche	2017	23.8%	27.6%	19.8%
Haiti	Jacmel	2000	54.8%	56.7%	52.2%
Haiti	Jacmel	2017	32.8%	36.0%	29.0%
Haiti	Jérémie	2000	28.4%	30.5%	26.8%
Haiti	Jérémie	2017	15.7%	17.8%	14.1%
Haiti	l'Acul-du-Nord	2000	44.1%	45.8%	42.6%
Haiti	l'Acul-du-Nord	2017	22.8%	24.1%	21.6%
Haiti	l'Anse-à-Veau	2000	30.9%	32.6%	29.5%
Haiti	l'Anse-à-Veau	2017	14.2%	15.3%	13.2%
Haiti	l'Arcahaie	2000	39.9%	55.8%	25.8%
Haiti	l'Arcahaie	2017	24.6%	38.1%	14.8%
Haiti	La Gonâve	2000	29.2%	47.7%	15.8%
Haiti	La Gonâve	2017	18.5%	38.9%	7.1%
Haiti	Lascahobas	2000	43.1%	46.7%	39.3%
Haiti	Lascahobas	2017	27.7%	30.9%	24.3%
Haiti	le Cap-Haïtien	2000	41.3%	42.5%	39.9%
Haiti	le Cap-Haïtien	2017	22.0%	23.0%	20.9%
Haiti	le Limbé	2000	67.6%	76.4%	61.4%
Haiti	le Limbé	2017	59.7%	64.9%	55.8%
Haiti	le Trou-du-Nord	2000	29.0%	33.1%	25.7%
Haiti	le Trou-du-Nord	2017	18.2%	21.5%	16.0%
Haiti	Léogâne	2000	45.5%	51.9%	41.5%
Haiti	Léogâne	2017	40.5%	45.5%	36.5%
Haiti	les Cayes	2000	50.2%	53.1%	45.6%
Haiti	les Cayes	2017	33.2%	35.7%	29.2%
Haiti	les Chardonnières	2000	43.5%	50.6%	38.7%
Haiti	les Chardonnières	2017	29.7%	35.1%	25.2%
Haiti	les Côteaux	2000	49.8%	63.1%	32.1%
Haiti	les Côteaux	2017	33.9%	52.4%	15.8%
Haiti	les Gonaïves	2000	23.8%	29.3%	18.5%
Haiti	les Gonaïves	2017	11.8%	16.9%	7.1%
Haiti	Marmelade	2000	26.0%	40.0%	17.0%
Haiti	Marmelade	2017	13.5%	26.4%	6.7%
Haiti	Miragoâne	2000	64.9%	66.4%	63.5%
Haiti	Miragoâne	2017	42.6%	44.1%	41.3%
Haiti	Mirebalais	2000	34.9%	40.9%	30.0%
Haiti	Mirebalais	2017	16.6%	21.6%	12.9%
Haiti	Môle Saint-Nicolas	2000	47.6%	53.3%	41.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Haiti	Môle Saint-Nicolas	2017	27.6%	33.4%	22.0%
Haiti	Ouanaminthe	2000	30.5%	35.2%	28.5%
Haiti	Ouanaminthe	2017	11.1%	14.8%	10.1%
Haiti	Plaisance	2000	39.8%	55.0%	29.0%
Haiti	Plaisance	2017	20.6%	38.4%	13.0%
Haiti	Port-au-Prince	2000	62.8%	63.7%	62.0%
Haiti	Port-au-Prince	2017	32.2%	32.9%	31.4%
Haiti	Port-de-Paix	2000	51.9%	55.5%	49.6%
Haiti	Port-de-Paix	2017	32.5%	35.1%	30.8%
Haiti	Port-Salut	2000	45.2%	57.3%	32.8%
Haiti	Port-Salut	2017	26.3%	39.4%	13.6%
Haiti	Saint-Louis du Nord	2000	55.0%	57.5%	53.2%
Haiti	Saint-Louis du Nord	2017	28.6%	30.8%	27.3%
Haiti	Saint-Marc	2000	48.3%	53.0%	42.5%
Haiti	Saint-Marc	2017	30.4%	34.5%	25.6%
Haiti	Saint-Raphaël	2000	34.0%	42.5%	30.0%
Haiti	Saint-Raphaël	2017	16.1%	21.9%	13.7%
Haiti	Vallières	2000	30.5%	39.0%	23.4%
Haiti	Vallières	2017	13.3%	21.7%	8.0%
Honduras	Aguaqueterique	2000	73.0%	94.2%	39.3%
Honduras	Aguaqueterique	2017	52.5%	82.7%	22.8%
Honduras	Ahuas	2000	58.6%	87.1%	26.8%
Honduras	Ahuas	2017	43.3%	77.3%	15.0%
Honduras	Ajuterique	2000	84.8%	87.6%	81.9%
Honduras	Ajuterique	2017	66.9%	71.1%	59.5%
Honduras	Alauca	2000	80.4%	92.2%	57.3%
Honduras	Alauca	2017	62.2%	81.5%	34.4%
Honduras	Alianza	2000	82.8%	91.9%	72.1%
Honduras	Alianza	2017	63.7%	77.4%	50.0%
Honduras	Alubarén	2000	92.8%	97.3%	84.8%
Honduras	Alubarén	2017	80.7%	89.7%	70.1%
Honduras	Amapala	2000	86.0%	94.0%	74.3%
Honduras	Amapala	2017	66.0%	80.1%	49.9%
Honduras	Apacilagua	2000	58.6%	85.5%	27.0%
Honduras	Apacilagua	2017	40.8%	70.1%	13.6%
Honduras	Arada	2000	56.0%	77.4%	29.2%
Honduras	Arada	2017	43.2%	75.1%	17.6%
Honduras	Aramecina	2000	81.5%	98.6%	54.0%
Honduras	Aramecina	2017	68.6%	94.5%	39.8%
Honduras	Arenal	2000	65.4%	93.8%	27.5%
Honduras	Arenal	2017	49.6%	85.6%	13.1%
Honduras	Arizona	2000	57.4%	81.3%	35.7%
Honduras	Arizona	2017	37.1%	60.0%	16.8%
Honduras	Atima	2000	64.1%	85.9%	39.3%
Honduras	Atima	2017	44.0%	67.6%	24.5%
Honduras	Azacualpa	2000	72.1%	86.7%	52.9%
Honduras	Azacualpa	2017	44.2%	64.7%	25.0%
Honduras	Balfate	2000	60.6%	77.2%	42.1%
Honduras	Balfate	2017	44.5%	63.5%	27.5%
Honduras	Belen	2000	84.1%	97.4%	57.7%
Honduras	Belen	2017	74.2%	95.2%	47.1%
Honduras	Belén Gualcho	2000	85.0%	91.4%	74.8%
Honduras	Belén Gualcho	2017	59.9%	72.6%	47.2%
Honduras	Bonito Oriental	2000	80.5%	89.8%	68.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Bonito Oriental	2017	62.5%	74.3%	48.8%
Honduras	Brus Laguna	2000	61.5%	75.4%	45.1%
Honduras	Brus Laguna	2017	45.2%	60.3%	29.8%
Honduras	Cabañas	2000	85.2%	98.8%	62.3%
Honduras	Cabañas	2000	73.6%	91.2%	51.5%
Honduras	Cabañas	2017	74.7%	95.7%	48.0%
Honduras	Cabañas	2017	50.0%	74.3%	25.2%
Honduras	Camasca	2000	98.8%	99.5%	94.6%
Honduras	Camasca	2017	95.7%	97.8%	90.7%
Honduras	Campamento	2000	65.2%	87.1%	37.5%
Honduras	Campamento	2017	44.4%	71.1%	19.2%
Honduras	Candelaria	2000	49.4%	72.3%	25.4%
Honduras	Candelaria	2017	24.3%	46.7%	8.2%
Honduras	Cane	2000	74.8%	77.2%	71.8%
Honduras	Cane	2017	44.5%	47.8%	40.1%
Honduras	Caridad	2000	92.2%	96.4%	85.4%
Honduras	Caridad	2017	78.4%	86.3%	66.7%
Honduras	Catacamas	2000	61.6%	68.2%	54.0%
Honduras	Catacamas	2017	44.1%	50.6%	36.9%
Honduras	Cedros	2000	70.5%	86.4%	47.2%
Honduras	Cedros	2017	53.3%	72.9%	28.2%
Honduras	Ceguaca	2000	74.7%	89.5%	47.3%
Honduras	Ceguaca	2017	57.1%	80.0%	25.9%
Honduras	Chinacla	2000	98.1%	98.4%	97.7%
Honduras	Chinacla	2017	93.2%	94.1%	91.9%
Honduras	Chinda	2000	61.7%	84.1%	31.7%
Honduras	Chinda	2017	43.2%	73.9%	21.3%
Honduras	Choloma	2000	45.6%	49.8%	40.9%
Honduras	Choloma	2017	23.0%	26.0%	19.0%
Honduras	Choluteca	2000	75.6%	84.6%	65.6%
Honduras	Choluteca	2017	60.4%	68.4%	52.2%
Honduras	Cololaca	2000	86.4%	96.0%	72.4%
Honduras	Cololaca	2017	71.1%	85.7%	54.4%
Honduras	Colomoncagua	2000	75.4%	89.3%	53.7%
Honduras	Colomoncagua	2017	58.4%	78.5%	36.4%
Honduras	Comayagua	2000	79.4%	82.8%	74.6%
Honduras	Comayagua	2017	54.6%	59.7%	48.6%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	82.1%	93.3%	69.6%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	55.6%	59.9%	52.2%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	54.2%	71.4%	26.3%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	31.8%	54.6%	9.0%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	46.5%	50.6%	44.4%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	64.9%	78.2%	53.0%
Honduras	Concepción de Maria	2000	92.7%	96.3%	85.2%
Honduras	Concepción de Maria	2017	83.6%	90.0%	72.8%
Honduras	Concepción del Norte	2000	42.5%	78.8%	9.6%
Honduras	Concepción del Norte	2017	28.9%	70.0%	3.6%
Honduras	Concepción del Sur	2000	59.9%	89.9%	12.4%
Honduras	Concepción del Sur	2017	41.9%	75.3%	3.5%
Honduras	Concordia	2000	67.9%	95.2%	28.4%
Honduras	Concordia	2017	51.8%	88.6%	15.1%
Honduras	Copán Ruinas	2000	72.8%	84.8%	58.4%
Honduras	Copán Ruinas	2017	53.9%	70.2%	41.6%
Honduras	Corquín	2000	76.6%	90.4%	54.0%
Honduras	Corquín	2017	51.5%	73.6%	29.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Cucuyagua	2000	60.9%	68.1%	52.7%
Honduras	Cucuyagua	2017	48.8%	57.7%	39.5%
Honduras	Curarén	2000	79.9%	96.5%	53.0%
Honduras	Curarén	2017	63.5%	86.6%	35.7%
Honduras	Danlí	2000	70.7%	80.1%	60.0%
Honduras	Danlí	2017	47.1%	58.9%	35.5%
Honduras	Distrito Central	2000	73.5%	78.9%	67.7%
Honduras	Distrito Central	2017	48.1%	53.9%	41.7%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	89.0%	93.2%	77.4%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	76.5%	94.3%	51.4%
Honduras	Dolores	2017	68.9%	75.9%	59.4%
Honduras	Dolores	2017	53.3%	77.7%	34.3%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	74.2%	94.9%	44.9%
Honduras	Merendon				
Honduras	Dolores Merendon	2017	51.3%	80.2%	23.0%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre	2000	84.2%	90.9%	79.6%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre	2017	61.1%	68.8%	53.4%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre de Culmí	2000	69.4%	82.6%	54.0%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre de Culmí	2017	54.2%	70.1%	37.1%
Honduras	Duyure	2000	70.8%	96.8%	20.7%
Honduras	Duyure	2017	52.5%	93.4%	6.9%
Honduras	El Corpus	2000	76.8%	86.4%	60.6%
Honduras	El Corpus	2017	59.2%	71.7%	43.3%
Honduras	El Negrito	2000	74.7%	85.9%	60.4%
Honduras	El Negrito	2017	52.5%	69.0%	35.6%
Honduras	El Nispero	2000	85.5%	92.4%	64.8%
Honduras	El Nispero	2017	78.3%	89.3%	50.4%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2000	79.6%	87.8%	67.2%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2000	61.5%	76.8%	43.1%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2017	58.0%	70.0%	44.5%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2017	38.1%	53.9%	24.2%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2000	78.8%	95.0%	55.9%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2000	74.6%	86.1%	58.9%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2017	62.6%	85.0%	37.7%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2017	45.8%	59.7%	30.2%
Honduras	El Progreso	2000	65.9%	73.8%	56.3%
Honduras	El Progreso	2017	37.1%	45.8%	29.2%
Honduras	El Rosario	2000	87.1%	98.3%	67.0%
Honduras	El Rosario	2000	89.7%	99.7%	63.8%
Honduras	El Rosario	2017	78.9%	98.4%	45.6%
Honduras	El Rosario	2017	75.1%	93.5%	51.3%
Honduras	El Triunfo	2000	65.5%	87.7%	41.4%
Honduras	El Triunfo	2017	41.7%	64.6%	24.8%
Honduras	Erandique	2000	80.0%	90.9%	60.5%
Honduras	Erandique	2017	57.0%	74.1%	36.3%
Honduras	Esparta	2000	76.1%	92.7%	54.2%
Honduras	Esparta	2017	60.4%	81.5%	37.5%
Honduras	Esquíás	2000	81.0%	97.0%	49.7%
Honduras	Esquíás	2017	68.4%	91.8%	33.9%
Honduras	Esquipulas del Norte	2000	72.5%	92.7%	50.3%
Honduras	Esquipulas del Norte	2017	58.1%	83.1%	34.0%
Honduras	Florida	2000	76.7%	89.0%	57.5%
Honduras	Florida	2017	55.1%	71.2%	36.2%
Honduras	Fraternidad	2000	84.5%	91.4%	72.6%
Honduras	Fraternidad	2017	62.4%	75.7%	46.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Goascorán	2000	64.8%	71.1%	58.9%
Honduras	Goascorán	2017	45.2%	51.8%	38.3%
Honduras	Gracias	2000	84.8%	90.1%	78.7%
Honduras	Gracias	2017	72.5%	79.0%	63.2%
Honduras	Guaimaca	2000	61.8%	77.6%	43.9%
Honduras	Guaimaca	2017	41.1%	60.5%	20.9%
Honduras	Guajiquire	2000	85.6%	97.6%	68.4%
Honduras	Guajiquire	2017	71.7%	90.5%	52.3%
Honduras	Gualaco	2000	65.5%	84.8%	41.6%
Honduras	Gualaco	2017	50.5%	73.8%	29.2%
Honduras	Gualala	2000	78.1%	97.7%	39.3%
Honduras	Gualala	2017	60.6%	91.6%	27.9%
Honduras	Gualcince	2000	73.9%	84.5%	48.9%
Honduras	Gualcince	2017	58.4%	76.8%	29.4%
Honduras	Guanaja	2000	72.2%	85.9%	50.7%
Honduras	Guanaja	2017	44.0%	59.9%	25.3%
Honduras	Guarita	2000	75.1%	98.3%	36.9%
Honduras	Guarita	2017	59.4%	92.9%	21.3%
Honduras	Guarizama	2000	70.8%	97.1%	27.9%
Honduras	Guarizama	2017	58.3%	92.7%	16.7%
Honduras	Guata	2000	87.5%	96.0%	75.7%
Honduras	Guata	2017	77.9%	89.9%	62.9%
Honduras	Guayape	2000	76.2%	97.8%	36.7%
Honduras	Guayape	2017	62.0%	92.9%	22.8%
Honduras	Guinope	2000	79.3%	99.0%	41.8%
Honduras	Guinope	2017	64.9%	95.9%	24.0%
Honduras	Humuya	2000	68.5%	90.1%	31.8%
Honduras	Humuya	2017	41.4%	75.3%	12.7%
Honduras	Ilama	2000	67.6%	92.7%	36.8%
Honduras	Ilama	2017	51.6%	84.7%	22.4%
Honduras	Intibucá	2000	80.3%	88.8%	71.6%
Honduras	Intibucá	2017	57.0%	67.2%	48.8%
Honduras	Iriona	2000	74.9%	87.8%	58.4%
Honduras	Iriona	2017	61.3%	77.6%	43.0%
Honduras	Jacaleapa	2000	62.2%	83.5%	39.0%
Honduras	Jacaleapa	2017	40.4%	61.7%	19.4%
Honduras	Jano	2000	73.6%	95.3%	45.4%
Honduras	Jano	2017	60.6%	90.6%	27.2%
Honduras	Jesús de Otoro	2000	87.6%	92.2%	80.8%
Honduras	Jesús de Otoro	2017	70.3%	78.2%	59.6%
Honduras	Jocón	2000	70.6%	93.1%	42.7%
Honduras	Jocón	2017	51.9%	78.8%	24.6%
Honduras	José Santos Guardiola	2000	31.9%	48.4%	16.8%
Honduras	José Santos Guardiola	2017	16.5%	26.2%	6.4%
Honduras	Juan Francisco Bulnes	2000	69.9%	88.2%	49.4%
Honduras	Juan Francisco Bulnes	2017	50.5%	72.4%	29.7%
Honduras	Jutiapa	2000	74.6%	92.9%	50.5%
Honduras	Jutiapa	2017	58.9%	83.8%	33.3%
Honduras	Juticalpa	2000	67.3%	75.6%	56.7%
Honduras	Juticalpa	2017	41.8%	52.8%	31.3%
Honduras	La Campa	2000	90.7%	98.7%	73.1%
Honduras	La Campa	2017	80.3%	94.7%	58.7%
Honduras	La Ceiba	2000	61.4%	66.9%	55.7%
Honduras	La Ceiba	2017	30.6%	35.1%	26.2%
Honduras	La Encarnación	2000	73.2%	79.7%	66.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	La Encarnación	2017	47.7%	54.5%	42.2%
Honduras	La Esperanza	2000	47.0%	58.2%	36.2%
Honduras	La Esperanza	2017	31.7%	45.4%	23.8%
Honduras	La Iguala	2000	88.5%	97.8%	69.3%
Honduras	La Iguala	2017	78.6%	93.9%	55.3%
Honduras	La Jigua	2000	61.2%	77.1%	41.9%
Honduras	La Jigua	2017	30.4%	46.1%	16.4%
Honduras	La Labor	2000	86.1%	93.0%	71.4%
Honduras	La Labor	2017	63.2%	74.9%	50.2%
Honduras	La Libertad	2000	92.8%	99.1%	59.2%
Honduras	La Libertad	2000	87.1%	97.3%	72.6%
Honduras	La Libertad	2017	74.2%	91.5%	54.0%
Honduras	La Libertad	2017	82.0%	95.8%	46.9%
Honduras	La Lima	2000	61.0%	70.1%	52.6%
Honduras	La Lima	2017	30.6%	38.1%	24.5%
Honduras	La Masica	2000	79.7%	90.1%	65.0%
Honduras	La Masica	2017	59.5%	76.6%	42.1%
Honduras	La Paz	2000	59.7%	62.3%	57.7%
Honduras	La Paz	2017	41.9%	44.6%	39.6%
Honduras	La Trinidad	2000	91.9%	99.0%	70.5%
Honduras	La Trinidad	2017	81.2%	96.7%	47.4%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	69.9%	89.7%	39.8%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	54.4%	72.4%	31.9%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	91.0%	97.4%	71.9%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	50.0%	78.3%	20.6%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	37.7%	57.9%	16.9%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	77.6%	90.5%	58.8%
Honduras	La Venta	2000	80.9%	98.8%	43.2%
Honduras	La Venta	2017	68.4%	95.0%	30.4%
Honduras	La Virtud	2000	56.2%	67.4%	41.9%
Honduras	La Virtud	2017	28.3%	41.4%	17.3%
Honduras	Lamaní	2000	59.5%	85.6%	27.1%
Honduras	Lamaní	2017	43.5%	74.3%	12.7%
Honduras	Langue	2000	89.8%	92.0%	86.8%
Honduras	Langue	2017	76.0%	80.9%	69.8%
Honduras	Las Flores	2000	86.1%	89.6%	79.4%
Honduras	Las Flores	2017	65.0%	71.9%	52.3%
Honduras	Las Lajas	2000	90.9%	99.6%	56.2%
Honduras	Las Lajas	2017	81.8%	98.5%	37.9%
Honduras	Las Vegas	2000	74.7%	90.9%	38.6%
Honduras	Las Vegas	2017	54.7%	82.6%	19.1%
Honduras	Lauterique	2000	81.6%	95.2%	62.4%
Honduras	Lauterique	2017	63.6%	80.0%	45.1%
Honduras	Lejamaní	2000	34.1%	49.7%	26.9%
Honduras	Lejamaní	2017	24.9%	31.2%	22.6%
Honduras	Lepaera	2000	90.6%	96.7%	79.6%
Honduras	Lepaera	2017	77.6%	88.3%	64.3%
Honduras	Lepaterique	2000	73.0%	93.9%	44.0%
Honduras	Lepaterique	2017	56.5%	85.4%	25.9%
Honduras	Limón	2000	77.5%	92.4%	58.1%
Honduras	Limón	2017	60.6%	80.8%	38.0%
Honduras	Liure	2000	14.3%	37.9%	1.3%
Honduras	Liure	2017	6.4%	20.8%	0.4%
Honduras	Lucerna	2000	78.8%	84.5%	71.4%
Honduras	Lucerna	2017	53.3%	60.8%	45.4%
Honduras	Macuelizo	2000	82.2%	90.9%	69.1%
Honduras	Macuelizo	2017	58.8%	73.7%	42.6%
Honduras	Magdalena	2000	98.7%	99.3%	97.3%
Honduras	Magdalena	2017	94.1%	96.7%	89.0%
Honduras	Mangulile	2000	62.8%	86.9%	31.2%
Honduras	Mangulile	2017	45.6%	74.8%	13.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Manto	2000	85.9%	98.0%	67.9%
Honduras	Manto	2017	76.0%	94.8%	50.7%
Honduras	Mapulaca	2000	56.7%	69.2%	42.5%
Honduras	Mapulaca	2017	23.7%	34.6%	14.4%
Honduras	Maraita	2000	77.8%	98.3%	40.9%
Honduras	Maraita	2017	63.5%	93.1%	25.9%
Honduras	Marale	2000	77.6%	95.8%	53.1%
Honduras	Marale	2017	66.7%	90.6%	33.6%
Honduras	Marcala	2000	88.9%	93.9%	82.3%
Honduras	Marcala	2017	76.6%	83.1%	70.2%
Honduras	Marcovia	2000	66.3%	80.7%	51.8%
Honduras	Marcovia	2017	51.6%	69.5%	38.0%
Honduras	Masaguara	2000	89.2%	95.1%	80.5%
Honduras	Masaguara	2017	73.9%	83.9%	64.1%
Honduras	Meámbar	2000	77.0%	95.9%	44.3%
Honduras	Meámbar	2017	62.4%	88.4%	28.9%
Honduras	Mercedes	2000	40.5%	45.2%	34.6%
Honduras	Mercedes	2017	24.3%	29.0%	18.8%
Honduras	Mercedes de Oriente	2000	72.3%	99.4%	18.9%
Honduras	Mercedes de Oriente	2017	57.3%	97.1%	13.3%
Honduras	Minas de Oro	2000	80.8%	96.1%	57.2%
Honduras	Minas de Oro	2017	67.5%	89.2%	41.2%
Honduras	Morazán	2000	64.8%	79.1%	50.6%
Honduras	Morazán	2017	40.3%	53.9%	27.3%
Honduras	Moroceíl	2000	75.7%	90.0%	53.2%
Honduras	Moroceíl	2017	55.1%	75.4%	30.9%
Honduras	Morolica	2000	70.0%	91.3%	42.8%
Honduras	Morolica	2017	53.8%	80.7%	23.9%
Honduras	Nacaome	2000	73.6%	79.2%	68.0%
Honduras	Nacaome	2017	48.9%	55.1%	43.3%
Honduras	Namasigue	2000	83.6%	93.6%	68.9%
Honduras	Namasigue	2017	67.9%	81.8%	51.9%
Honduras	Naranjito	2000	81.3%	94.0%	59.1%
Honduras	Naranjito	2017	59.4%	79.5%	34.8%
Honduras	Nueva Arcadia	2000	70.9%	77.7%	62.5%
Honduras	Nueva Arcadia	2017	37.1%	45.1%	28.6%
Honduras	Nueva Armenia	2000	70.8%	92.8%	37.1%
Honduras	Nueva Armenia	2017	52.3%	83.0%	17.2%
Honduras	Nueva Frontera	2000	77.9%	95.1%	51.2%
Honduras	Nueva Frontera	2017	55.1%	82.4%	24.4%
Honduras	Nuevo Celilac	2000	63.5%	89.6%	29.7%
Honduras	Nuevo Celilac	2017	43.8%	74.0%	12.7%
Honduras	Ocotepeque	2000	59.9%	72.9%	35.6%
Honduras	Ocotepeque	2017	35.9%	57.7%	18.3%
Honduras	Ojo de Agua	2000	83.1%	93.2%	66.8%
Honduras	Ojo de Agua	2017	60.1%	78.5%	40.3%
Honduras	Ojojona	2000	51.1%	71.2%	25.8%
Honduras	Ojojona	2017	39.2%	63.2%	14.1%
Honduras	Olanchito	2000	64.2%	76.3%	51.5%
Honduras	Olanchito	2017	40.1%	54.9%	28.6%
Honduras	Omoa	2000	76.3%	91.2%	58.8%
Honduras	Omoa	2017	61.5%	80.2%	44.1%
Honduras	Opatoro	2000	90.8%	98.7%	72.2%
Honduras	Opatoro	2017	79.7%	94.7%	53.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Orica	2000	82.5%	96.1%	65.1%
Honduras	Orica	2017	66.0%	87.2%	44.1%
Honduras	Orocuina	2000	51.4%	78.0%	31.6%
Honduras	Orocuina	2017	40.9%	66.7%	24.2%
Honduras	Oropolí	2000	71.6%	98.6%	21.3%
Honduras	Oropolí	2017	57.5%	95.2%	10.0%
Honduras	Patuca	2000	67.7%	87.3%	44.0%
Honduras	Patuca	2017	52.2%	75.0%	28.5%
Honduras	Pespire	2000	71.6%	87.4%	53.4%
Honduras	Pespire	2017	49.4%	67.7%	32.3%
Honduras	Pettoa	2000	72.6%	93.0%	41.2%
Honduras	Pettoa	2017	52.9%	78.0%	21.9%
Honduras	Pimienta	2000	53.2%	61.3%	44.7%
Honduras	Pimienta	2017	23.1%	29.8%	17.1%
Honduras	Piraera	2000	86.2%	98.4%	58.9%
Honduras	Piraera	2017	74.2%	93.4%	46.0%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2000	62.5%	80.5%	42.8%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2000	86.2%	98.9%	60.4%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2017	74.2%	95.4%	43.5%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2017	32.3%	49.1%	23.1%
Honduras	Protección	2000	81.4%	94.3%	53.3%
Honduras	Protección	2017	60.3%	82.1%	31.7%
Honduras	Puerto Cortés	2000	68.3%	78.3%	58.3%
Honduras	Puerto Cortés	2017	40.3%	49.1%	31.5%
Honduras	Puerto Lem-pira	2000	61.4%	71.5%	49.1%
Honduras	Puerto Lem-pira	2017	49.3%	60.2%	35.8%
Honduras	Quimistán	2000	64.6%	81.2%	46.8%
Honduras	Quimistán	2017	46.7%	67.3%	27.0%
Honduras	Ramón Villeda Morales	2000	47.7%	71.6%	24.4%
Honduras	Ramón Villeda Morales	2017	33.4%	56.5%	12.4%
Honduras	Reitoca	2000	65.2%	86.0%	46.1%
Honduras	Reitoca	2017	43.8%	67.2%	24.3%
Honduras	Roatán	2000	20.9%	30.0%	14.3%
Honduras	Roatán	2017	8.6%	14.0%	4.5%
Honduras	Sabá	2000	58.7%	72.3%	45.2%
Honduras	Sabá	2017	31.9%	47.5%	19.5%
Honduras	Sabanagrande	2000	83.4%	97.3%	52.2%
Honduras	Sabanagrande	2017	70.0%	92.9%	35.3%
Honduras	Salamá	2000	76.5%	95.1%	49.3%
Honduras	Salamá	2017	60.9%	87.5%	34.5%
Honduras	San Agustín	2000	76.0%	99.7%	28.2%
Honduras	San Agustín	2017	62.9%	98.5%	18.5%
Honduras	San Andrés	2000	79.5%	93.1%	59.7%
Honduras	San Andrés	2017	60.6%	79.6%	40.2%
Honduras	San Antonio	2000	87.6%	91.3%	80.7%
Honduras	San Antonio	2000	88.1%	100.0%	49.7%
Honduras	San Antonio	2017	66.1%	73.3%	57.6%
Honduras	San Antonio	2017	78.2%	99.8%	29.8%
Honduras	San Antonio de Cortés	2000	39.9%	66.6%	21.9%
Honduras	San Antonio de Cortés	2017	24.5%	45.4%	13.4%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2000	79.6%	98.7%	37.6%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2000	78.3%	94.6%	50.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2017	58.6%	86.2%	25.4%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2017	58.8%	94.6%	16.8%
Honduras	San Antonio de Oriente	2000	76.8%	87.9%	60.6%
Honduras	San Antonio de Oriente	2017	61.0%	74.4%	47.3%
Honduras	San Antonio del Norte	2000	73.9%	95.8%	39.8%
Honduras	San Antonio del Norte	2017	52.9%	85.5%	17.5%
Honduras	San Buenaventura	2000	72.4%	97.2%	21.1%
Honduras	San Buenaventura	2017	54.5%	89.7%	8.1%
Honduras	San Esteban	2000	69.7%	87.4%	46.7%
Honduras	San Esteban	2017	54.2%	77.7%	32.2%
Honduras	San Fernando	2000	82.9%	87.9%	77.1%
Honduras	San Fernando	2017	53.6%	61.9%	46.3%
Honduras	San Francisco	2000	63.7%	73.4%	52.0%
Honduras	San Francisco	2000	79.3%	90.6%	58.9%
Honduras	San Francisco	2017	53.2%	72.2%	31.0%
Honduras	San Francisco	2017	46.1%	57.5%	32.3%
Honduras	San Francisco de Becerra	2000	53.4%	73.6%	31.4%
Honduras	San Francisco de Becerra	2017	31.9%	53.6%	12.9%
Honduras	San Francisco de Coray	2000	93.3%	95.7%	87.5%
Honduras	San Francisco de Coray	2017	77.6%	83.4%	68.2%
Honduras	San Francisco de la Paz	2000	62.7%	85.0%	33.6%
Honduras	San Francisco de la Paz	2017	40.6%	68.8%	21.1%
Honduras	San Francisco de Ojuera	2000	66.7%	92.6%	30.4%
Honduras	San Francisco de Ojuera	2017	48.4%	82.6%	14.8%
Honduras	San Francisco de Opalaca	2000	59.4%	79.6%	35.7%
Honduras	San Francisco de Opalaca	2017	39.0%	60.2%	19.7%
Honduras	San Francisco de Yojoa	2000	77.4%	96.8%	38.0%
Honduras	San Francisco de Yojoa	2017	59.8%	92.6%	25.6%
Honduras	San Francisco del Valle	2000	77.5%	79.5%	75.6%
Honduras	San Francisco del Valle	2017	53.2%	56.3%	50.2%
Honduras	San Ignacio	2000	85.4%	95.7%	69.1%
Honduras	San Ignacio	2017	67.7%	86.1%	45.9%
Honduras	San Isidro	2000	59.4%	87.7%	26.9%
Honduras	San Isidro	2000	94.9%	99.6%	74.8%
Honduras	San Isidro	2017	40.1%	79.1%	14.7%
Honduras	San Isidro	2017	87.7%	98.2%	61.2%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2000	81.6%	98.2%	51.0%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2000	88.3%	95.3%	67.6%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2017	69.2%	82.7%	48.9%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2017	68.1%	94.8%	34.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Jorge	2000	82.5%	94.5%	57.2%
Honduras	San Jorge	2017	60.1%	82.2%	32.2%
Honduras	San José	2000	89.7%	95.1%	76.6%
Honduras	San José	2000	97.3%	98.1%	94.7%
Honduras	San José	2000	86.5%	95.7%	73.4%
Honduras	San José	2017	90.6%	92.3%	87.8%
Honduras	San José	2017	71.2%	85.1%	52.4%
Honduras	San José	2017	68.8%	82.5%	54.5%
Honduras	San José de Colinas	2000	85.6%	98.4%	57.9%
Honduras	San José de Colinas	2017	73.4%	94.4%	41.6%
Honduras	San José de Comayagua	2000	79.1%	98.7%	39.2%
Honduras	San José de Comayagua	2017	63.0%	94.3%	23.6%
Honduras	San José del Potrero	2000	76.6%	98.0%	40.0%
Honduras	San José del Potrero	2017	61.9%	92.3%	25.2%
Honduras	San Juan	2000	87.3%	97.7%	68.5%
Honduras	San Juan	2000	69.9%	99.6%	12.0%
Honduras	San Juan	2017	74.5%	91.4%	55.2%
Honduras	San Juan	2017	54.3%	98.3%	6.8%
Honduras	San Juan de Flores	2000	70.9%	95.1%	39.3%
Honduras	San Juan de Flores	2017	52.8%	86.9%	22.0%
Honduras	San Juan de Opoa	2000	73.2%	89.2%	65.6%
Honduras	San Juan de Opoa	2017	48.6%	69.7%	40.2%
Honduras	San Juan Guarita	2000	68.8%	99.8%	9.5%
Honduras	San Juan Guarita	2017	55.4%	99.2%	2.9%
Honduras	San Lorenzo	2000	79.2%	82.1%	71.4%
Honduras	San Lorenzo	2017	56.6%	61.8%	40.7%
Honduras	San Lucas	2000	80.4%	95.4%	54.1%
Honduras	San Lucas	2017	64.6%	87.9%	36.1%
Honduras	San Luis	2000	84.6%	95.5%	66.9%
Honduras	San Luis	2000	91.3%	99.6%	62.7%
Honduras	San Luis	2017	84.8%	98.2%	52.3%
Honduras	San Luis	2017	71.5%	85.8%	52.0%
Honduras	San Manuel	2000	59.1%	71.6%	47.6%
Honduras	San Manuel	2017	28.2%	39.4%	22.0%
Honduras	San Manuel Colohete	2000	81.6%	97.2%	55.2%
Honduras	San Manuel Colohete	2017	66.7%	88.9%	38.5%
Honduras	San Marcos	2000	63.8%	83.2%	39.0%
Honduras	San Marcos	2000	72.5%	76.0%	68.0%
Honduras	San Marcos	2017	52.2%	57.8%	46.0%
Honduras	San Marcos	2017	43.9%	71.5%	19.3%
Honduras	San Marcos de Caiquín	2000	78.8%	98.6%	42.6%
Honduras	San Marcos de Caiquín	2017	63.2%	93.0%	26.5%
Honduras	San Marcos de Colón	2000	73.7%	91.6%	50.2%
Honduras	San Marcos de Colón	2017	53.2%	78.8%	30.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Marcos de la Sierra	2000	43.9%	65.4%	19.3%
Honduras	San Marcos de la Sierra	2017	24.6%	48.6%	5.3%
Honduras	San Matías	2000	64.2%	89.6%	35.8%
Honduras	San Matías	2017	41.2%	69.8%	16.7%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2000	95.0%	99.5%	77.0%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2000	67.0%	83.3%	45.6%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2017	87.8%	97.6%	65.5%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2017	45.0%	63.5%	24.8%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2000	64.2%	86.5%	37.8%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2000	55.9%	79.1%	34.8%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2017	30.7%	56.3%	13.9%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2017	37.8%	66.0%	18.0%
Honduras	San Pedro	2000	68.0%	70.7%	55.4%
Honduras	San Pedro	2017	59.5%	64.2%	36.2%
Honduras	San Pedro de Tutule	2000	97.9%	98.7%	96.7%
Honduras	San Pedro de Tutule	2017	90.8%	94.2%	86.0%
Honduras	San Pedro Sula	2000	45.3%	49.2%	41.6%
Honduras	San Pedro Sula	2017	17.1%	20.0%	14.9%
Honduras	San Pedro Zapaca	2000	80.4%	97.7%	46.1%
Honduras	San Pedro Zapaca	2017	65.6%	92.0%	27.6%
Honduras	San Rafael	2000	79.7%	99.1%	35.3%
Honduras	San Rafael	2017	64.8%	96.8%	16.1%
Honduras	San Sebastian	2000	86.1%	95.7%	68.5%
Honduras	San Sebastian	2017	71.3%	85.1%	51.8%
Honduras	San Sebastián	2000	58.4%	68.8%	42.7%
Honduras	San Sebastián	2017	41.4%	53.2%	27.9%
Honduras	San Vicente Centenario	2000	21.9%	43.9%	9.4%
Honduras	San Vicente Centenario	2017	9.3%	34.1%	2.7%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2000	82.1%	93.4%	60.5%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2000	56.9%	78.7%	29.3%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2017	60.9%	78.5%	38.5%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2017	33.2%	59.9%	11.2%
Honduras	Santa Ana de Yusguare	2000	94.1%	96.4%	90.3%
Honduras	Santa Ana de Yusguare	2017	78.6%	84.6%	72.3%
Honduras	Santa Bárbara	2000	64.6%	72.2%	55.8%
Honduras	Santa Bárbara	2017	43.7%	53.4%	33.1%
Honduras	Santa Cruz	2000	74.8%	97.5%	35.3%
Honduras	Santa Cruz	2017	59.4%	91.8%	19.6%
Honduras	Santa Cruz de Yojoa	2000	80.9%	90.3%	68.1%
Honduras	Santa Cruz de Yojoa	2017	61.5%	74.2%	48.4%
Honduras	Santa Elena	2000	64.4%	80.0%	48.0%
Honduras	Santa Elena	2017	41.8%	55.6%	30.3%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2000	62.4%	80.5%	35.5%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2000	68.9%	97.3%	27.4%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2017	37.1%	61.3%	12.6%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2017	53.9%	90.6%	14.5%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2000	98.4%	99.9%	85.3%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2000	87.7%	92.2%	80.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2017	94.7%	99.6%	65.9%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2017	64.4%	76.3%	50.3%
Honduras	Santa María	2000	93.4%	98.2%	78.6%
Honduras	Santa María	2017	81.5%	92.1%	65.0%
Honduras	Santa Maria del Real	2000	82.1%	88.4%	73.0%
Honduras	Santa Maria del Real	2017	61.4%	68.6%	53.9%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	86.1%	96.3%	67.6%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	72.6%	86.1%	46.2%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	39.5%	79.6%	10.5%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	71.5%	87.4%	49.1%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	43.4%	59.5%	24.4%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	22.5%	58.0%	3.2%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Aguán	2000	62.0%	86.9%	23.6%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Aguán	2017	44.2%	72.6%	11.3%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Copán	2000	49.5%	61.7%	37.5%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Copán	2017	31.5%	45.1%	20.4%
Honduras	Santiago de Puringla	2000	95.5%	99.4%	86.6%
Honduras	Santiago de Puringla	2017	90.1%	97.1%	79.7%
Honduras	Sensenti	2000	74.2%	79.7%	67.4%
Honduras	Sensenti	2017	48.6%	54.8%	42.3%
Honduras	Siguatepeque	2000	77.5%	84.6%	65.8%
Honduras	Siguatepeque	2017	50.2%	61.2%	38.5%
Honduras	Silca	2000	77.4%	96.9%	43.4%
Honduras	Silca	2017	63.7%	87.8%	34.1%
Honduras	Sinuapa	2000	33.1%	41.7%	19.6%
Honduras	Sinuapa	2017	21.2%	31.2%	11.2%
Honduras	Soledad	2000	54.5%	81.2%	25.0%
Honduras	Soledad	2017	36.0%	70.6%	9.1%
Honduras	Sonaguera	2000	73.0%	80.6%	63.5%
Honduras	Sonaguera	2017	45.3%	53.2%	37.2%
Honduras	Sulaco	2000	73.2%	96.9%	40.7%
Honduras	Sulaco	2017	57.8%	89.0%	28.4%
Honduras	Talanga	2000	64.6%	82.2%	42.0%
Honduras	Talanga	2017	39.3%	58.0%	20.7%
Honduras	Talgua	2000	92.4%	94.5%	88.8%
Honduras	Talgua	2017	77.2%	83.2%	68.0%
Honduras	Tambla	2000	84.5%	99.5%	41.9%
Honduras	Tambla	2017	71.6%	97.6%	25.3%
Honduras	Tatumbra	2000	88.5%	99.5%	62.1%
Honduras	Tatumbra	2017	77.2%	97.8%	41.5%
Honduras	Taulabe	2000	70.8%	89.7%	45.0%
Honduras	Taulabe	2017	52.6%	78.7%	29.2%
Honduras	Tela	2000	65.2%	72.6%	57.4%
Honduras	Tela	2017	39.7%	48.0%	30.0%
Honduras	Teupasenti	2000	74.8%	90.6%	54.4%
Honduras	Teupasenti	2017	56.2%	76.4%	35.1%
Honduras	Texiguat	2000	50.0%	75.9%	31.2%
Honduras	Texiguat	2017	35.0%	56.8%	20.3%
Honduras	Tocoa	2000	57.6%	63.3%	51.5%
Honduras	Tocoa	2017	28.7%	34.2%	23.2%
Honduras	Tomalá	2000	87.0%	99.9%	32.1%
Honduras	Tomalá	2017	76.4%	99.6%	19.8%
Honduras	Trinidad	2000	47.6%	70.2%	26.2%
Honduras	Trinidad	2017	29.3%	47.2%	16.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Trinidad de Copán	2000	58.9%	77.5%	39.6%
Honduras	Trinidad de Copán	2017	36.5%	54.1%	21.7%
Honduras	Trojes	2000	66.1%	81.4%	47.8%
Honduras	Trojes	2017	45.0%	61.8%	29.2%
Honduras	Trujillo	2000	66.0%	78.0%	49.6%
Honduras	Trujillo	2017	43.7%	56.6%	30.6%
Honduras	Utila	2000	36.3%	65.0%	8.4%
Honduras	Utila	2017	19.5%	37.4%	2.0%
Honduras	Vado Ancho	2000	53.4%	91.9%	12.0%
Honduras	Vado Ancho	2017	33.5%	82.1%	5.6%
Honduras	Valladolid	2000	78.8%	82.7%	67.0%
Honduras	Valladolid	2017	71.5%	80.7%	45.3%
Honduras	Valle de Ángeles	2000	82.5%	93.8%	60.2%
Honduras	Valle de Ángeles	2017	57.9%	78.9%	33.8%
Honduras	Vallecillo	2000	66.6%	95.8%	25.0%
Honduras	Vallecillo	2017	49.5%	88.7%	13.4%
Honduras	Veracruz	2000	91.1%	93.9%	85.9%
Honduras	Veracruz	2017	69.9%	78.4%	58.0%
Honduras	Victoria	2000	71.4%	88.1%	48.5%
Honduras	Victoria	2017	54.4%	77.7%	29.3%
Honduras	Villa de San Antonio	2000	56.2%	70.4%	35.6%
Honduras	Villa de San Antonio	2017	35.7%	52.9%	18.8%
Honduras	Villa de San Francisco	2000	74.9%	93.6%	44.5%
Honduras	Villa de San Francisco	2017	50.3%	79.8%	23.7%
Honduras	Villanueva	2000	44.4%	53.3%	36.3%
Honduras	Villanueva	2017	18.7%	24.7%	13.9%
Honduras	Virginia	2000	38.7%	66.0%	16.0%
Honduras	Virginia	2017	20.5%	39.1%	5.1%
Honduras	Wampusirpi	2000	61.3%	83.7%	37.0%
Honduras	Wampusirpi	2017	45.5%	71.1%	21.7%
Honduras	Yamaranguila	2000	75.0%	85.4%	61.1%
Honduras	Yamaranguila	2017	55.9%	70.2%	40.8%
Honduras	Yarula	2000	73.3%	91.5%	52.4%
Honduras	Yarula	2017	57.4%	82.4%	35.4%
Honduras	Yauyupe	2000	89.3%	99.9%	62.8%
Honduras	Yauyupe	2017	83.3%	99.2%	49.9%
Honduras	Yocón	2000	74.5%	93.4%	46.1%
Honduras	Yocón	2017	59.3%	83.2%	31.2%
Honduras	Yorito	2000	67.7%	93.5%	33.9%
Honduras	Yorito	2017	49.4%	84.0%	17.9%
Honduras	Yoro	2000	76.1%	85.0%	67.2%
Honduras	Yoro	2017	62.3%	73.1%	51.0%
Honduras	Yuscarán	2000	71.3%	93.6%	36.2%
Honduras	Yuscarán	2017	54.8%	86.6%	18.6%
Mexico	Abala	2000	94.0%	99.5%	76.4%
Mexico	Abala	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	83.0%	97.0%	58.6%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	95.2%	99.9%	70.1%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	93.4%	98.9%	81.9%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	79.4%	99.3%	34.3%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.1%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	97.6%	99.7%	87.2%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	95.0%	100.0%	64.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Abejones	2000	73.9%	99.7%	15.0%
Mexico	Abejones	2017	92.1%	100.0%	41.1%
Mexico	Acacoyagua	2000	42.0%	64.1%	24.6%
Mexico	Acacoyagua	2017	89.5%	95.8%	79.4%
Mexico	Acajete	2000	59.6%	70.1%	40.3%
Mexico	Acajete	2000	94.0%	97.7%	86.9%
Mexico	Acajete	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Acajete	2017	93.3%	96.2%	88.5%
Mexico	Acala	2000	83.0%	95.2%	60.5%
Mexico	Acala	2017	97.1%	99.7%	88.0%
Mexico	Acambaro	2000	87.0%	94.4%	74.6%
Mexico	Acambaro	2017	97.2%	99.4%	93.0%
Mexico	Acambay	2000	90.4%	98.6%	73.3%
Mexico	Acambay	2017	98.4%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Acanceh	2000	86.0%	99.8%	34.2%
Mexico	Acanceh	2017	97.9%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	Acapetahua	2000	49.3%	73.4%	24.2%
Mexico	Acapetahua	2017	87.6%	95.6%	68.2%
Mexico	Acaponeta	2000	85.9%	96.8%	59.0%
Mexico	Acaponeta	2017	97.4%	99.7%	87.1%
Mexico	Acapulco De Juarez	2000	57.3%	67.9%	44.3%
Mexico	Acapulco De Juarez	2017	87.4%	91.3%	79.6%
Mexico	Acateno	2000	33.7%	54.7%	10.4%
Mexico	Acateno	2017	79.6%	94.2%	50.4%
Mexico	Acatepec	2000	69.9%	90.7%	38.1%
Mexico	Acatepec	2017	92.4%	99.1%	76.5%
Mexico	Acatic	2000	82.7%	99.4%	23.2%
Mexico	Acatic	2017	96.4%	100.0%	68.5%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	80.8%	99.8%	22.1%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	42.9%	57.9%	23.9%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	92.4%	99.2%	74.7%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	96.9%	100.0%	80.6%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	86.7%	93.6%	68.2%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.2%
Mexico	Acatlan De Juarez	2000	82.4%	96.6%	60.6%
Mexico	Acatlan De Juarez	2017	97.8%	99.7%	92.4%
Mexico	Acatlan De Perez Figueroa	2000	74.7%	95.4%	39.2%
Mexico	Acatlan De Perez Figueroa	2017	94.3%	99.7%	73.0%
Mexico	Acatzingo	2000	89.7%	93.7%	81.7%
Mexico	Acatzingo	2017	98.7%	99.4%	96.1%
Mexico	Acaxochitlan	2000	78.1%	91.8%	55.9%
Mexico	Acaxochitlan	2017	96.7%	99.1%	90.4%
Mexico	Acayucan	2000	62.1%	86.8%	29.1%
Mexico	Acayucan	2017	92.6%	98.8%	76.8%
Mexico	Acolman	2000	94.6%	99.8%	78.1%
Mexico	Acolman	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.7%
Mexico	Aconchi	2000	97.7%	99.9%	88.5%
Mexico	Aconchi	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Acteopan	2000	77.3%	94.1%	45.9%
Mexico	Acteopan	2017	96.8%	99.6%	82.4%
Mexico	Actopan	2000	71.0%	90.6%	44.2%
Mexico	Actopan	2000	79.5%	99.0%	26.1%
Mexico	Actopan	2017	93.7%	98.8%	80.3%
Mexico	Actopan	2017	95.4%	99.9%	67.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Acuamanala De Miguel Hidalgo	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	Acuamanala De Miguel Hidalgo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Acuitzio	2000	80.4%	99.8%	24.9%
Mexico	Acuitzio	2017	95.9%	100.0%	72.5%
Mexico	Acula	2000	76.0%	99.2%	17.4%
Mexico	Acula	2017	95.4%	99.9%	66.3%
Mexico	Aculco	2000	87.9%	98.7%	65.2%
Mexico	Aculco	2017	97.6%	99.9%	88.8%
Mexico	Acultzingo	2000	89.7%	97.9%	67.0%
Mexico	Acultzingo	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.2%
Mexico	Acuna	2000	95.3%	98.6%	87.6%
Mexico	Acuna	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Mexico	Agua Blanca De Iturbide	2000	95.8%	99.5%	85.4%
Mexico	Agua Blanca De Iturbide	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Agua Dulce	2000	65.8%	97.6%	6.9%
Mexico	Agua Dulce	2017	88.0%	99.3%	26.6%
Mexico	Agua Prieta	2000	98.2%	99.6%	94.8%
Mexico	Agua Prieta	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Agualeguas	2000	76.0%	97.8%	34.0%
Mexico	Agualeguas	2017	94.4%	99.9%	72.0%
Mexico	Aguascalientes	2000	89.4%	95.5%	81.7%
Mexico	Aguascalientes	2017	97.1%	99.6%	93.5%
Mexico	Aguililla	2000	76.0%	97.4%	34.9%
Mexico	Aguililla	2017	94.1%	99.7%	62.2%
Mexico	Ahome	2000	90.9%	95.3%	84.0%
Mexico	Ahome	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.4%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2000	91.9%	97.9%	74.4%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2000	42.5%	90.0%	6.6%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2017	79.3%	99.2%	32.6%
Mexico	Ahuacuotzingo	2000	66.5%	89.2%	40.2%
Mexico	Ahuacuotzingo	2017	92.2%	98.6%	78.3%
Mexico	Ahualulco	2000	47.8%	69.6%	23.8%
Mexico	Ahualulco	2017	81.6%	94.8%	60.7%
Mexico	Ahualulco De Mercado	2000	80.8%	99.5%	15.3%
Mexico	Ahualulco De Mercado	2017	95.9%	100.0%	65.9%
Mexico	Ahuatlan	2000	78.2%	99.6%	24.3%
Mexico	Ahuatlan	2017	94.7%	100.0%	63.8%
Mexico	Ahuazotepic	2000	74.9%	99.8%	15.0%
Mexico	Ahuazotepic	2017	93.7%	100.0%	49.7%
Mexico	Ahuehuetitla	2000	71.5%	99.3%	12.6%
Mexico	Ahuehuetitla	2017	93.6%	99.9%	49.3%
Mexico	Ahumada	2000	83.2%	97.3%	42.9%
Mexico	Ahumada	2017	97.6%	99.7%	89.9%
Mexico	Ajacuba	2000	90.0%	99.7%	61.1%
Mexico	Ajacuba	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.0%
Mexico	Ajalpan	2000	74.3%	92.1%	44.0%
Mexico	Ajalpan	2017	95.8%	99.2%	82.7%
Mexico	Ajuchitlan	2000	72.5%	92.7%	33.4%
Mexico	Ajuchitlan Del Progreso	2017	92.9%	98.7%	74.7%
Mexico	Akil	2000	96.6%	99.2%	89.2%
Mexico	Akil	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Alamos	2000	67.5%	77.5%	57.2%
Mexico	Alamos	2017	95.7%	98.2%	91.5%
Mexico	Alaquines	2000	65.2%	94.8%	27.0%
Mexico	Alaquines	2017	89.0%	99.0%	69.9%
Mexico	Albino Zertuche	2000	84.9%	99.9%	25.3%
Mexico	Albino Zertuche	2017	97.2%	100.0%	80.3%
Mexico	Alcozauca De Guerrero	2000	69.1%	86.9%	47.2%
Mexico	Alcozauca De Guerrero	2017	92.7%	98.5%	75.6%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	87.1%	96.3%	67.4%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	72.9%	99.7%	6.9%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	82.9%	93.2%	69.6%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	91.0%	100.0%	39.0%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	98.8%	99.7%	95.9%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	96.8%	99.1%	92.2%
Mexico	Alfajayucan	2000	78.2%	98.4%	30.8%
Mexico	Alfajayucan	2017	94.5%	99.9%	71.7%
Mexico	Aljojuca	2000	78.1%	99.8%	13.4%
Mexico	Aljojuca	2017	95.1%	100.0%	60.2%
Mexico	Allende	2000	83.7%	97.7%	49.1%
Mexico	Allende	2000	92.4%	98.4%	77.8%
Mexico	Allende	2000	93.0%	97.6%	86.0%
Mexico	Allende	2017	97.5%	99.8%	89.6%
Mexico	Allende	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.1%
Mexico	Allende	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Almoleya	2000	77.6%	99.2%	26.0%
Mexico	Almoleya	2017	94.0%	99.9%	60.5%
Mexico	Almoleya De Alquisiras	2000	77.1%	89.2%	58.8%
Mexico	Almoleya De Alquisiras	2017	97.1%	99.2%	90.2%
Mexico	Almoleya De Juarez	2000	65.9%	82.9%	48.4%
Mexico	Almoleya De Juarez	2017	91.2%	98.3%	81.5%
Mexico	Almoleya Del Rio	2000	75.1%	91.9%	58.1%
Mexico	Almoleya Del Rio	2017	98.0%	99.6%	95.0%
Mexico	Alpatlahuac	2000	87.3%	99.9%	41.3%
Mexico	Alpatlahuac	2017	97.8%	100.0%	86.6%
Mexico	Alpoyeca	2000	78.2%	99.4%	22.0%
Mexico	Alpoyeca	2017	95.1%	99.9%	65.9%
Mexico	Altamira	2000	94.3%	97.6%	89.3%
Mexico	Altamira	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.3%
Mexico	Altamirano	2000	83.8%	96.4%	67.9%
Mexico	Altamirano	2017	95.8%	99.7%	84.8%
Mexico	Altar	2000	89.6%	99.3%	56.7%
Mexico	Altar	2017	98.8%	99.9%	93.5%
Mexico	Altepexi	2000	88.3%	94.7%	78.0%
Mexico	Altepexi	2017	98.7%	99.6%	94.9%
Mexico	Alto Lucero De Gutierrez Barrios	2000	75.1%	96.2%	38.0%
Mexico	Alto Lucero De Gutierrez Barrios	2017	93.3%	99.7%	71.4%
Mexico	Altotonga	2000	69.6%	86.6%	47.6%
Mexico	Altotonga	2017	90.4%	98.8%	80.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Altzayanca	2000	86.0%	99.0%	51.5%
Mexico	Altzayanca	2017	97.8%	99.9%	85.1%
Mexico	Alvarado	2000	76.4%	94.2%	40.8%
Mexico	Alvarado	2017	93.7%	99.5%	69.0%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.7%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2000	68.5%	98.6%	17.6%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2017	90.0%	99.9%	49.6%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Mexico	Amacueca	2000	76.4%	99.6%	15.9%
Mexico	Amacueca	2017	94.3%	100.0%	57.7%
Mexico	Amacuzac	2000	87.5%	95.2%	78.5%
Mexico	Amacuzac	2017	98.4%	99.7%	95.0%
Mexico	Amanalco	2000	61.6%	97.6%	9.3%
Mexico	Amanalco	2017	87.1%	99.8%	43.0%
Mexico	Amatan	2000	70.8%	97.1%	23.6%
Mexico	Amatan	2017	91.7%	99.8%	59.8%
Mexico	Amatenango De La Frontera	2000	70.5%	95.8%	31.0%
Mexico	Amatenango De La Frontera	2017	93.2%	99.7%	72.1%
Mexico	Amatenango Del Valle	2000	75.3%	99.0%	18.3%
Mexico	Amatenango Del Valle	2017	95.0%	99.9%	68.8%
Mexico	Amatepec	2000	76.2%	98.0%	41.9%
Mexico	Amatepec	2017	94.7%	99.8%	80.8%
Mexico	Amatitan	2000	79.9%	99.9%	11.5%
Mexico	Amatitan	2017	95.8%	100.0%	67.5%
Mexico	Amatitlan	2000	72.9%	99.0%	19.3%
Mexico	Amatitlan	2017	92.5%	99.9%	52.5%
Mexico	Amatlan De Canas	2000	74.3%	95.2%	40.7%
Mexico	Amatlan De Canas	2017	94.9%	99.7%	76.7%
Mexico	Amatlan De Los Reyes	2000	60.2%	74.1%	46.1%
Mexico	Amatlan De Los Reyes	2017	93.6%	97.1%	87.9%
Mexico	Amamaxac De Guerrero	2000	95.2%	97.4%	92.7%
Mexico	Amamaxac De Guerrero	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Mexico	Amealco De Bonfil	2000	78.5%	92.6%	59.2%
Mexico	Amealco De Bonfil	2017	96.2%	99.4%	87.3%
Mexico	Ameca	2000	87.0%	98.6%	49.9%
Mexico	Ameca	2017	97.5%	99.9%	83.7%
Mexico	Amecameca	2000	86.4%	99.8%	31.0%
Mexico	Amecameca	2017	97.4%	100.0%	81.6%
Mexico	Amixtlan	2000	64.4%	83.1%	41.6%
Mexico	Amixtlan	2017	95.0%	98.7%	85.3%
Mexico	Amozoc	2000	96.6%	99.4%	87.9%
Mexico	Amozoc	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Anahuac	2000	96.8%	99.5%	84.1%
Mexico	Anahuac	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Angamacutiro	2000	79.1%	97.8%	35.9%
Mexico	Angamacutiro	2017	95.9%	99.9%	72.4%
Mexico	Angangueo	2000	79.9%	99.8%	25.8%
Mexico	Angangueo	2017	96.7%	100.0%	82.5%
Mexico	Angel Albino Corzo	2000	62.0%	93.0%	23.7%
Mexico	Angel Albino Corzo	2017	90.2%	99.2%	62.6%
Mexico	Angel R. Cabada	2000	63.4%	83.4%	39.4%
Mexico	Angel R. Cabada	2017	92.8%	98.3%	80.6%
Mexico	Angostura	2000	86.5%	97.6%	63.3%
Mexico	Angostura	2017	97.8%	99.8%	87.9%
Mexico	Animas Trujano	2000	55.4%	76.1%	34.0%
Mexico	Animas Trujano	2017	94.9%	98.2%	89.4%
Mexico	Antiguo Morelos	2000	87.0%	98.6%	64.2%
Mexico	Antiguo Morelos	2017	97.9%	99.9%	90.5%
Mexico	Apan	2000	80.5%	98.5%	26.5%
Mexico	Apan	2017	95.0%	99.9%	62.8%
Mexico	Apaseo El Alto	2000	82.1%	95.5%	56.8%
Mexico	Apaseo El Alto	2017	97.1%	99.6%	87.5%
Mexico	Apaseo El Grande	2000	82.5%	97.1%	50.9%
Mexico	Apaseo El Grande	2017	96.7%	99.8%	87.3%
Mexico	Apatzingan	2000	65.4%	76.1%	50.1%
Mexico	Apatzingan	2017	96.1%	97.4%	92.4%
Mexico	Apaxco	2000	94.7%	99.8%	68.4%
Mexico	Apaxco	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Apaxtla	2000	77.9%	97.8%	32.6%
Mexico	Apaxtla	2017	95.2%	99.9%	75.5%
Mexico	Apazapan	2000	73.1%	98.9%	20.4%
Mexico	Apazapan	2017	92.5%	99.9%	57.8%
Mexico	Apetatitlan De Antonio Carvajal	2000	98.1%	98.8%	96.9%
Mexico	Apetatitlan De Antonio Carvajal	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Apizaco	2000	98.3%	99.3%	96.8%
Mexico	Apizaco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Apodaca	2000	95.9%	99.0%	89.4%
Mexico	Apodaca	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Aporo	2000	75.5%	99.8%	8.1%
Mexico	Aporo	2017	92.9%	100.0%	44.1%
Mexico	Apozol	2000	97.1%	99.7%	87.9%
Mexico	Apozol	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.2%
Mexico	Apulco	2000	73.5%	97.7%	26.1%
Mexico	Apulco	2017	94.5%	99.8%	73.0%
Mexico	Aquila	2000	79.0%	92.5%	58.0%
Mexico	Aquila	2000	82.4%	99.9%	23.7%
Mexico	Aquila	2017	95.6%	100.0%	67.6%
Mexico	Aquila	2017	95.7%	99.2%	87.4%
Mexico	Aquiles Serdan	2000	86.6%	97.8%	60.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Aquiles Serdan	2017	97.7%	99.9%	87.4%
Mexico	Aquismon	2000	55.9%	81.5%	29.9%
Mexico	Aquismon	2017	87.2%	96.7%	62.6%
Mexico	Aquixtla	2000	70.5%	98.9%	14.2%
Mexico	Aquixtla	2017	90.8%	99.9%	48.4%
Mexico	Aramberri	2000	85.0%	93.8%	72.6%
Mexico	Aramberri	2017	97.4%	99.4%	93.1%
Mexico	Arandas	2000	76.6%	98.7%	25.1%
Mexico	Arandas	2017	94.4%	99.9%	57.6%
Mexico	Arcelia	2000	64.8%	94.8%	25.3%
Mexico	Arcelia	2017	91.7%	99.5%	58.9%
Mexico	Ario	2000	80.0%	96.2%	41.9%
Mexico	Ario	2017	96.5%	99.7%	83.0%
Mexico	Arivechi	2000	78.6%	99.0%	28.3%
Mexico	Arivechi	2017	95.1%	99.9%	69.4%
Mexico	Arizpe	2000	84.9%	98.3%	47.9%
Mexico	Arizpe	2017	97.1%	99.9%	79.1%
Mexico	Armadillo De Los Infante	2000	77.1%	96.9%	50.5%
Mexico	Armadillo De Los Infante	2017	94.5%	99.8%	77.0%
Mexico	Armeria	2000	95.9%	99.7%	85.5%
Mexico	Armeria	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Arriaga	2000	72.7%	94.3%	33.5%
Mexico	Arriaga	2017	95.5%	99.5%	80.6%
Mexico	Arroyo Seco	2000	78.1%	93.5%	54.4%
Mexico	Arroyo Seco	2017	95.2%	99.5%	80.3%
Mexico	Arteaga	2000	90.8%	97.6%	78.0%
Mexico	Arteaga	2000	78.7%	94.7%	41.5%
Mexico	Arteaga	2017	98.4%	99.8%	93.6%
Mexico	Arteaga	2017	95.3%	99.4%	80.6%
Mexico	Ascension	2000	92.9%	97.8%	83.4%
Mexico	Ascension	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.8%
Mexico	Asientos	2000	87.0%	97.3%	65.1%
Mexico	Asientos	2017	97.8%	99.8%	89.1%
Mexico	Astacinga	2000	73.2%	99.5%	10.5%
Mexico	Astacinga	2017	94.1%	100.0%	62.4%
Mexico	Asuncion	2000	65.9%	99.3%	7.6%
Mexico	Cacalotepec				
Mexico	Asuncion Cacalotepec	2017	89.0%	100.0%	40.5%
Mexico	Asuncion Cuyotepeji	2000	91.3%	99.9%	55.6%
Mexico	Asuncion Cuyotepeji	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Asuncion Ixtaltepec	2000	64.4%	81.5%	43.0%
Mexico	Asuncion Ixtaltepec	2017	90.0%	98.5%	69.1%
Mexico	Asuncion Nochixtlan	2000	68.1%	88.9%	38.4%
Mexico	Asuncion Nochixtlan	2017	94.5%	98.8%	80.0%
Mexico	Asuncion Ocotlan	2000	8.7%	39.0%	0.5%
Mexico	Asuncion Ocotlan	2017	48.7%	91.2%	8.2%
Mexico	Asuncion Tlacolulita	2000	77.8%	99.3%	27.9%
Mexico	Asuncion Tlacolulita	2017	95.6%	100.0%	75.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Atarjea	2000	61.1%	82.5%	31.5%
Mexico	Atarjea	2017	90.9%	98.7%	75.3%
Mexico	Atemajac De Brizuela	2000	84.0%	99.8%	16.3%
Mexico	Atemajac De Brizuela	2017	96.6%	100.0%	69.0%
Mexico	Atempan	2000	75.7%	84.7%	62.9%
Mexico	Atempan	2017	97.6%	99.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Atenango Del Rio	2000	69.8%	91.6%	32.6%
Mexico	Atenango Del Rio	2017	94.7%	99.3%	78.8%
Mexico	Atenco	2000	96.1%	97.8%	93.0%
Mexico	Atenco	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Atengo	2000	82.9%	99.5%	30.9%
Mexico	Atengo	2017	96.8%	100.0%	75.4%
Mexico	Atenguillo	2000	80.7%	99.2%	27.6%
Mexico	Atenguillo	2017	95.7%	99.9%	67.8%
Mexico	Atexcal	2000	78.4%	99.5%	26.6%
Mexico	Atexcal	2017	93.9%	100.0%	49.9%
Mexico	Atil	2000	80.4%	99.9%	18.1%
Mexico	Atil	2017	96.2%	100.0%	69.8%
Mexico	Atitalaquia	2000	98.6%	99.7%	95.7%
Mexico	Atitalaquia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Atizapan	2000	58.9%	89.1%	31.6%
Mexico	Atizapan	2017	96.4%	99.6%	89.6%
Mexico	Atizapan De Zaragoza	2000	86.4%	93.0%	74.5%
Mexico	Atizapan De Zaragoza	2017	98.9%	99.6%	96.8%
Mexico	Atlacomulco	2000	85.3%	96.6%	65.5%
Mexico	Atlacomulco	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.1%
Mexico	Atlahuilco	2000	72.8%	92.5%	40.3%
Mexico	Atlahuilco	2017	95.3%	99.5%	77.4%
Mexico	Atlamajalcingo Del Monte	2000	65.5%	98.5%	10.1%
Mexico	Atlamajalcingo Del Monte	2017	89.9%	99.8%	47.3%
Mexico	Atlangatepec	2000	92.5%	99.8%	60.6%
Mexico	Atlangatepec	2017	98.5%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	Atlapexco	2000	75.8%	90.2%	42.5%
Mexico	Atlapexco	2017	94.4%	97.7%	83.2%
Mexico	Atlatlahucan	2000	92.7%	99.9%	62.0%
Mexico	Atlatlahucan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Atlautla	2000	82.7%	99.8%	27.7%
Mexico	Atlautla	2017	95.8%	100.0%	71.1%
Mexico	Atlequizayan	2000	64.3%	72.2%	54.5%
Mexico	Atlequizayan	2017	96.4%	97.6%	94.1%
Mexico	Atlixco	2000	76.9%	87.5%	58.6%
Mexico	Atlixco	2017	97.5%	98.8%	93.4%
Mexico	Atlixco	2000	60.5%	78.9%	34.9%
Mexico	Atlixco	2017	87.5%	94.5%	74.5%
Mexico	Atolinga	2000	79.8%	99.3%	27.4%
Mexico	Atolinga	2017	95.8%	100.0%	71.0%
Mexico	Atotonilco De Tula	2000	87.3%	92.0%	79.7%
Mexico	Atotonilco De Tula	2017	98.5%	99.6%	94.3%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Alto	2000	80.3%	99.2%	29.2%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Alto	2017	96.5%	100.0%	79.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Atotonilco El Grande	2000	73.5%	98.8%	27.4%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Grande	2017	91.7%	99.9%	53.4%
Mexico	Atoyac	2000	90.3%	98.2%	75.7%
Mexico	Atoyac	2000	78.0%	98.0%	36.6%
Mexico	Atoyac	2017	98.2%	99.9%	92.0%
Mexico	Atoyac	2017	96.0%	99.9%	82.0%
Mexico	Atoyac De Alvarez	2000	74.4%	93.2%	43.1%
Mexico	Atoyac De Alvarez	2017	95.4%	99.4%	86.9%
Mexico	Atoyatempan	2000	69.4%	99.4%	11.6%
Mexico	Atoyatempan	2017	91.8%	100.0%	53.5%
Mexico	Atzacan	2000	45.8%	57.6%	32.3%
Mexico	Atzacan	2017	80.6%	86.7%	75.0%
Mexico	Atzala	2000	68.8%	74.1%	62.3%
Mexico	Atzala	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Mexico	Atzalan	2000	69.4%	92.9%	37.6%
Mexico	Atzalan	2017	91.4%	99.4%	69.8%
Mexico	Atzitzihuacan	2000	76.6%	97.7%	30.8%
Mexico	Atzitzihuacan	2017	95.5%	99.8%	74.9%
Mexico	Atzitzintla	2000	69.3%	98.4%	13.8%
Mexico	Atzitzintla	2017	91.9%	99.9%	51.8%
Mexico	Autlan De Navarro	2000	91.8%	96.1%	83.0%
Mexico	Autlan De Navarro	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.0%
Mexico	Axapusco	2000	87.8%	98.4%	68.0%
Mexico	Axapusco	2017	98.0%	99.9%	90.4%
Mexico	Axochiapan	2000	75.1%	99.4%	17.9%
Mexico	Axochiapan	2017	93.6%	100.0%	55.9%
Mexico	Axtla De Terrazas	2000	11.3%	20.4%	4.2%
Mexico	Axtla De Terrazas	2017	38.3%	58.2%	22.1%
Mexico	Axutla	2000	80.4%	99.7%	21.6%
Mexico	Axutla	2017	96.5%	100.0%	72.9%
Mexico	Ayahualulco	2000	36.7%	75.8%	11.4%
Mexico	Ayahualulco	2017	65.0%	97.7%	21.2%
Mexico	Ayala	2000	91.3%	98.9%	76.4%
Mexico	Ayala	2017	98.4%	99.9%	92.0%
Mexico	Ayapango	2000	89.5%	99.9%	49.0%
Mexico	Ayapango	2017	97.9%	100.0%	82.8%
Mexico	Ayoquezco De Aldama	2000	80.8%	99.2%	28.9%
Mexico	Ayoquezco De Aldama	2017	97.2%	99.9%	85.6%
Mexico	Ayotlan	2000	83.5%	95.7%	62.5%
Mexico	Ayotlan	2017	97.6%	99.7%	89.3%
Mexico	Ayotoxco De Guerrero	2000	71.6%	98.5%	17.7%
Mexico	Ayotoxco De Guerrero	2017	91.3%	99.9%	50.0%
Mexico	Ayotzintepic	2000	57.3%	95.4%	8.6%
Mexico	Ayotzintepic	2017	84.9%	99.7%	28.3%
Mexico	Ayutla	2000	81.7%	98.6%	33.8%
Mexico	Ayutla	2017	96.5%	99.9%	79.6%
Mexico	Ayutla De Los Libres	2000	68.0%	90.6%	35.0%
Mexico	Ayutla De Los Libres	2017	92.1%	98.9%	66.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Azcapotzalco	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Mexico	Azcapotzalco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Azoyu	2000	73.8%	96.0%	24.7%
Mexico	Azoyu	2017	93.7%	99.8%	61.6%
Mexico	Baca	2000	85.3%	99.8%	35.9%
Mexico	Baca	2017	97.9%	100.0%	87.6%
Mexico	Bacadehuachi	2000	82.8%	99.7%	20.8%
Mexico	Bacadehuachi	2017	97.3%	100.0%	80.2%
Mexico	Bacanora	2000	80.8%	99.1%	31.5%
Mexico	Bacanora	2017	96.2%	99.9%	81.7%
Mexico	Bacerac	2000	82.0%	98.7%	26.3%
Mexico	Bacerac	2017	96.3%	99.9%	78.1%
Mexico	Bachiniva	2000	83.2%	97.4%	57.2%
Mexico	Bachiniva	2017	97.1%	99.8%	87.5%
Mexico	Bacoachi	2000	83.7%	99.7%	26.9%
Mexico	Bacoachi	2017	94.5%	100.0%	37.0%
Mexico	Bacum	2000	95.6%	99.4%	84.5%
Mexico	Bacum	2017	99.4%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Badiraguato	2000	54.2%	64.1%	40.2%
Mexico	Badiraguato	2017	78.9%	86.7%	68.6%
Mexico	Bahía De Banderas	2000	72.2%	89.4%	54.0%
Mexico	Bahía De Banderas	2017	94.1%	99.2%	82.5%
Mexico	Balancan	2000	69.2%	81.9%	55.9%
Mexico	Balancan	2017	91.1%	97.6%	81.5%
Mexico	Balleza	2000	81.8%	91.4%	66.7%
Mexico	Balleza	2017	96.7%	99.1%	91.5%
Mexico	Banamichi	2000	96.3%	99.9%	80.5%
Mexico	Banamichi	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.9%
Mexico	Banderilla	2000	91.1%	94.5%	86.5%
Mexico	Banderilla	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Mexico	Batopilas	2000	76.3%	91.5%	50.6%
Mexico	Batopilas	2017	94.9%	99.0%	86.8%
Mexico	Baviacora	2000	95.0%	99.8%	82.2%
Mexico	Baviacora	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.5%
Mexico	Bavispe	2000	82.7%	99.3%	24.9%
Mexico	Bavispe	2017	96.3%	99.9%	74.0%
Mexico	Bejucal De Ocampo	2000	69.0%	99.0%	21.6%
Mexico	Bejucal De Ocampo	2017	91.7%	99.9%	58.6%
Mexico	Bella Vista	2000	69.1%	95.0%	29.6%
Mexico	Bella Vista	2017	93.4%	99.6%	64.2%
Mexico	Benemerito De Las Americas	2000	70.3%	91.9%	33.6%
Mexico	Benemerito De Las Americas	2017	92.6%	99.2%	72.4%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.6%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	94.3%	99.7%	77.3%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	90.3%	99.0%	70.6%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	69.9%	83.4%	54.9%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	92.3%	99.7%	62.1%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	72.5%	97.4%	24.2%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	79.0%	88.2%	67.4%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	95.6%	98.7%	90.4%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	92.8%	99.8%	68.7%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	93.1%	98.9%	86.0%
Mexico	Benjamin Hill	2000	93.4%	99.6%	72.6%
Mexico	Benjamin Hill	2017	98.3%	100.0%	87.9%
Mexico	Berriozabal	2000	68.3%	86.2%	46.6%
Mexico	Berriozabal	2017	92.8%	98.4%	82.2%
Mexico	Boca Del Rio	2000	88.4%	91.2%	84.4%
Mexico	Boca Del Rio	2017	95.2%	96.6%	93.8%
Mexico	Bochil	2000	82.9%	92.1%	69.9%
Mexico	Bochil	2017	97.1%	99.2%	92.9%
Mexico	Bocoyna	2000	45.1%	53.8%	37.6%
Mexico	Bocoyna	2017	85.4%	90.5%	80.2%
Mexico	Bokoba	2000	92.4%	99.7%	63.5%
Mexico	Bokoba	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.9%
Mexico	Bolanos	2000	73.7%	95.6%	39.5%
Mexico	Bolanos	2017	93.1%	99.6%	71.6%
Mexico	Brisenas	2000	97.9%	99.8%	90.8%
Mexico	Brisenas	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Buctzotz	2000	75.4%	99.3%	21.8%
Mexico	Buctzotz	2017	94.3%	100.0%	64.9%
Mexico	Buenaventura	2000	93.6%	98.0%	83.6%
Mexico	Buenaventura	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Buenavista	2000	79.4%	97.0%	47.9%
Mexico	Buenavista	2017	96.2%	99.8%	80.6%
Mexico	Buenavista De Cuellar	2000	65.0%	95.3%	11.3%
Mexico	Buenavista De Cuellar	2017	92.5%	99.0%	65.5%
Mexico	Burgos	2000	75.0%	94.2%	44.3%
Mexico	Burgos	2017	93.3%	99.4%	66.0%
Mexico	Bustamante	2000	96.4%	99.8%	81.6%
Mexico	Bustamante	2000	87.2%	96.7%	72.1%
Mexico	Bustamante	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Bustamante	2017	97.8%	99.7%	91.8%
Mexico	Cabo Corrientes	2000	77.6%	96.6%	42.0%
Mexico	Cabo Corrientes	2017	94.9%	99.7%	76.1%
Mexico	Caborca	2000	92.3%	96.3%	85.4%
Mexico	Caborca	2017	98.9%	99.7%	97.3%
Mexico	Cacahoatan	2000	71.6%	86.8%	50.0%
Mexico	Cacahoatan	2017	95.9%	98.9%	85.8%
Mexico	Cacalchen	2000	87.6%	99.9%	24.6%
Mexico	Cacalchen	2017	97.4%	100.0%	77.8%
Mexico	Cadereyta De Montes	2000	87.4%	97.4%	65.3%
Mexico	Cadereyta De Montes	2017	97.8%	99.8%	92.8%
Mexico	Cadereyta Jimenez	2000	83.1%	91.9%	68.2%
Mexico	Cadereyta Jimenez	2017	96.3%	98.7%	92.4%
Mexico	Cajeme	2000	98.5%	99.3%	96.7%
Mexico	Cajeme	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Calakmul	2000	71.2%	80.4%	57.7%
Mexico	Calakmul	2017	94.7%	97.3%	89.4%
Mexico	Calchahualco	2000	78.9%	98.0%	41.6%
Mexico	Calchahualco	2017	96.4%	99.9%	83.1%
Mexico	Calera	2000	92.1%	96.5%	82.9%
Mexico	Calera	2017	99.3%	99.7%	97.6%
Mexico	Calihuala	2000	77.2%	99.8%	12.7%
Mexico	Calihuala	2017	93.9%	100.0%	51.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Calimaya	2000	88.9%	99.5%	54.0%
Mexico	Calimaya	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.9%
Mexico	Calkini	2000	82.9%	91.3%	71.2%
Mexico	Calkini	2017	94.7%	98.5%	87.7%
Mexico	Calnali	2000	68.8%	97.3%	17.1%
Mexico	Calnali	2017	90.2%	99.8%	55.7%
Mexico	Calotmul	2000	81.3%	99.2%	25.0%
Mexico	Calotmul	2017	95.9%	100.0%	75.4%
Mexico	Calpan	2000	93.1%	96.4%	85.7%
Mexico	Calpan	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Mexico	Calpulalpan	2000	94.5%	98.4%	87.9%
Mexico	Calpulalpan	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Caltepec	2000	76.6%	98.1%	30.6%
Mexico	Caltepec	2017	93.7%	99.9%	67.8%
Mexico	Calvillo	2000	90.0%	98.5%	68.1%
Mexico	Calvillo	2017	98.3%	99.9%	90.7%
Mexico	Camargo	2000	81.9%	99.0%	31.4%
Mexico	Camargo	2000	91.0%	97.5%	76.1%
Mexico	Camargo	2017	96.2%	99.9%	76.7%
Mexico	Camargo	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.6%
Mexico	Camaron De Tejada	2000	70.1%	98.5%	21.2%
Mexico	Camaron De Tejada	2017	92.9%	99.9%	63.8%
Mexico	Camerino Z. Mendoza	2000	38.4%	50.6%	28.5%
Mexico	Camerino Z. Mendoza	2017	88.0%	93.7%	80.1%
Mexico	Camocuautila	2000	92.4%	98.1%	80.8%
Mexico	Camocuautila	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Mexico	Campeche	2000	60.4%	71.2%	48.7%
Mexico	Campeche	2017	83.5%	90.0%	76.1%
Mexico	Canada Morelos	2000	80.0%	98.5%	28.9%
Mexico	Canada Morelos	2017	96.1%	99.9%	73.0%
Mexico	Canadas De Obregon	2000	81.8%	99.6%	28.2%
Mexico	Canadas De Obregon	2017	96.5%	100.0%	75.7%
Mexico	Cananea	2000	97.1%	99.5%	89.0%
Mexico	Cananea	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Canatlan	2000	86.0%	95.8%	67.8%
Mexico	Canatlan	2017	97.5%	99.5%	92.7%
Mexico	Candela	2000	84.2%	99.2%	48.7%
Mexico	Candela	2017	97.0%	99.9%	84.0%
Mexico	Candelaria	2000	59.4%	69.3%	49.2%
Mexico	Candelaria	2017	87.8%	94.2%	80.9%
Mexico	Candelaria	2000	65.4%	87.9%	20.3%
Mexico	Loxicha	2017	93.5%	98.0%	73.1%
Mexico	Canelas	2000	82.7%	95.5%	61.9%
Mexico	Canelas	2017	97.1%	99.5%	91.0%
Mexico	Canitas De Felipe Pescador	2000	91.5%	98.6%	70.0%
Mexico	Canitas De Felipe Pescador	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.0%
Mexico	Cansahcab	2000	95.8%	99.9%	82.7%
Mexico	Cansahcab	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Cantamayec	2000	76.9%	99.6%	23.0%
Mexico	Cantamayec	2017	94.7%	100.0%	62.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Capulalpam De Mendez	2000	72.7%	96.8%	16.1%
Mexico	Capulalpam De Mendez	2017	95.7%	99.8%	71.4%
Mexico	Capulhuac	2000	47.1%	86.6%	15.8%
Mexico	Capulhuac	2017	89.0%	99.2%	65.4%
Mexico	Caracuaro	2000	79.8%	96.6%	45.2%
Mexico	Caracuaro	2017	95.6%	99.7%	78.3%
Mexico	Carbo	2000	91.6%	99.6%	55.5%
Mexico	Carbo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Cardenas	2000	73.5%	80.6%	64.9%
Mexico	Cardenas	2000	60.6%	77.8%	39.2%
Mexico	Cardenas	2017	87.9%	96.4%	76.2%
Mexico	Cardenas	2017	91.3%	97.0%	87.3%
Mexico	Cardonal	2000	76.4%	99.0%	33.3%
Mexico	Cardonal	2017	94.0%	99.9%	66.6%
Mexico	Carichi	2000	74.9%	87.4%	56.8%
Mexico	Carichi	2017	95.4%	98.5%	89.1%
Mexico	Carlos A. Carrillo	2000	67.4%	93.9%	25.8%
Mexico	Carlos A. Carrillo	2017	93.4%	99.3%	74.8%
Mexico	Carmen	2000	96.7%	99.4%	90.1%
Mexico	Carmen	2000	75.5%	83.4%	64.2%
Mexico	Carmen	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Carmen	2017	96.2%	98.1%	93.3%
Mexico	Carrillo Puerto	2000	73.8%	99.3%	19.4%
Mexico	Carrillo Puerto	2017	93.0%	100.0%	58.3%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2000	77.7%	93.4%	53.7%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2017	95.0%	99.4%	84.8%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2000	86.6%	95.4%	70.4%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2017	98.1%	99.6%	93.4%
Mexico	Casimiro Castillo	2000	76.6%	99.1%	13.8%
Mexico	Casimiro Castillo	2017	94.2%	99.9%	56.5%
Mexico	Castanos	2000	80.6%	99.0%	22.4%
Mexico	Castanos	2017	96.3%	99.9%	78.2%
Mexico	Castillo De Teayo	2000	90.5%	99.9%	62.0%
Mexico	Castillo De Teayo	2017	98.2%	100.0%	89.4%
Mexico	Catazaja	2000	68.3%	93.5%	29.7%
Mexico	Catazaja	2017	90.9%	99.4%	65.5%
Mexico	Catemaco	2000	46.2%	60.1%	28.6%
Mexico	Catemaco	2017	90.7%	96.0%	81.5%
Mexico	Catorce	2000	78.3%	96.6%	46.2%
Mexico	Catorce	2017	94.9%	99.7%	78.2%
Mexico	Caxhuacan	2000	50.4%	54.5%	46.3%
Mexico	Caxhuacan	2017	92.5%	94.3%	90.2%
Mexico	Cazones	2000	74.4%	99.1%	28.6%
Mexico	Cazones	2017	93.5%	99.9%	72.0%
Mexico	Cedral	2000	94.4%	99.0%	86.2%
Mexico	Cedral	2017	98.9%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Celaya	2000	88.5%	94.5%	77.6%
Mexico	Celaya	2017	98.2%	99.5%	95.1%
Mexico	Celestun	2000	76.3%	99.9%	3.6%
Mexico	Celestun	2017	92.7%	100.0%	35.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cenotillo	2000	74.9%	99.3%	12.0%
Mexico	Cenotillo	2017	93.0%	100.0%	39.7%
Mexico	Centla	2000	61.5%	82.0%	33.3%
Mexico	Centla	2017	90.6%	97.5%	75.7%
Mexico	Centro	2000	78.7%	86.5%	67.4%
Mexico	Centro	2017	96.6%	98.7%	92.5%
Mexico	Cerralvo	2000	94.7%	98.6%	85.6%
Mexico	Cerralvo	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.7%
Mexico	Cerritos	2000	78.7%	94.6%	44.5%
Mexico	Cerritos	2017	97.1%	99.7%	89.0%
Mexico	Cerro Azul	2000	97.3%	99.9%	86.7%
Mexico	Cerro Azul	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Cerro De San Pedro	2000	27.4%	45.1%	18.0%
Mexico	Cerro De San Pedro	2017	71.5%	91.0%	47.4%
Mexico	Chacaltianguis	2000	51.4%	75.2%	23.8%
Mexico	Chacaltianguis	2017	78.1%	92.3%	56.8%
Mexico	Chacsinkin	2000	84.8%	99.6%	41.3%
Mexico	Chacsinkin	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Chahuites	2000	79.1%	99.7%	8.5%
Mexico	Chahuites	2017	95.7%	99.9%	64.2%
Mexico	Chalcatongo	2000	63.8%	85.0%	37.4%
Mexico	Chalcatongo De Hidalgo	2017	95.7%	99.2%	86.7%
Mexico	Chalchicomula De Sesma	2000	74.1%	97.2%	28.3%
Mexico	Chalchicomula De Sesma	2017	94.6%	99.8%	67.1%
Mexico	Chalchihuitan	2000	52.3%	75.0%	27.0%
Mexico	Chalchihuitan	2017	88.5%	97.2%	68.4%
Mexico	Chalchihuites	2000	79.9%	98.3%	32.1%
Mexico	Chalchihuites	2017	95.4%	99.8%	72.9%
Mexico	Chalco	2000	96.2%	98.5%	91.3%
Mexico	Chalco	2017	99.6%	99.9%	97.5%
Mexico	Chalma	2000	51.7%	86.2%	12.1%
Mexico	Chalma	2017	85.0%	97.9%	50.4%
Mexico	Champoton	2000	67.7%	87.9%	41.8%
Mexico	Champoton	2017	90.9%	98.6%	67.9%
Mexico	Chamula	2000	76.9%	86.1%	60.6%
Mexico	Chamula	2017	97.2%	98.6%	91.4%
Mexico	Chanal	2000	75.9%	98.7%	26.1%
Mexico	Chanal	2017	95.2%	99.9%	70.3%
Mexico	Chankom	2000	80.3%	98.0%	41.3%
Mexico	Chankom	2017	95.9%	99.8%	76.9%
Mexico	Chapa De Mota	2000	83.8%	99.0%	36.8%
Mexico	Chapa De Mota	2017	97.5%	99.9%	83.0%
Mexico	Chapab	2000	75.3%	99.7%	22.8%
Mexico	Chapab	2017	94.8%	100.0%	65.9%
Mexico	Chapala	2000	82.1%	98.5%	42.8%
Mexico	Chapala	2017	96.6%	99.9%	79.0%
Mexico	Chapantongo	2000	78.2%	99.2%	33.7%
Mexico	Chapantongo	2017	94.9%	99.9%	75.1%
Mexico	Chapulco	2000	95.0%	99.5%	82.7%
Mexico	Chapulco	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Chapulhuacan	2000	76.4%	90.1%	53.0%
Mexico	Chapulhuacan	2017	96.7%	99.2%	87.7%
Mexico	Chapultenango	2000	55.7%	95.9%	5.5%
Mexico	Chapultenango	2017	84.0%	99.5%	31.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chapultepec	2000	94.4%	98.2%	87.0%
Mexico	Chapultepec	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Charapan	2000	80.5%	99.1%	41.2%
Mexico	Charapan	2017	96.2%	99.9%	81.2%
Mexico	Charcas	2000	69.5%	81.9%	49.4%
Mexico	Charcas	2017	95.6%	98.9%	85.4%
Mexico	Charo	2000	22.7%	46.0%	4.7%
Mexico	Charo	2017	43.6%	75.9%	18.8%
Mexico	Chavinda	2000	69.1%	98.9%	16.7%
Mexico	Chavinda	2017	91.2%	99.9%	54.2%
Mexico	Chemax	2000	91.3%	97.3%	80.7%
Mexico	Chemax	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.6%
Mexico	Chenalho	2000	56.7%	94.5%	15.9%
Mexico	Chenalho	2017	85.5%	99.6%	45.2%
Mexico	Cheran	2000	75.0%	97.8%	28.1%
Mexico	Cheran	2017	94.3%	99.9%	66.1%
Mexico	Chiapa De Corzo	2000	56.4%	73.9%	36.8%
Mexico	Chiapa De Corzo	2017	92.1%	96.9%	80.9%
Mexico	Chiapilla	2000	75.0%	98.5%	23.8%
Mexico	Chiapilla	2017	94.5%	99.9%	60.2%
Mexico	Chiautempan	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.3%
Mexico	Chiautempan	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Chiautla	2000	82.7%	94.3%	63.9%
Mexico	Chiautla	2000	89.7%	99.9%	44.3%
Mexico	Chiautla	2017	97.2%	99.6%	87.7%
Mexico	Chiautla	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.2%
Mexico	Chiautzingo	2000	80.3%	85.6%	73.9%
Mexico	Chiautzingo	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Chichimila	2000	74.0%	97.0%	29.5%
Mexico	Chichimila	2017	93.5%	99.7%	75.6%
Mexico	Chichiquila	2000	50.6%	68.2%	32.1%
Mexico	Chichiquila	2017	91.6%	96.2%	81.6%
Mexico	Chicoasen	2000	73.4%	99.7%	9.1%
Mexico	Chicoasen	2017	91.8%	100.0%	43.0%
Mexico	Chicoloapan	2000	95.2%	96.7%	90.6%
Mexico	Chicoloapan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Mexico	Chicomuselo	2000	76.1%	95.5%	41.1%
Mexico	Chicomuselo	2017	94.4%	99.6%	73.9%
Mexico	Chiconamel	2000	27.0%	47.5%	8.7%
Mexico	Chiconamel	2017	74.8%	87.4%	53.7%
Mexico	Chiconcuac	2000	90.3%	99.8%	44.9%
Mexico	Chiconcuac	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Chiconcuautla	2000	92.0%	95.8%	85.5%
Mexico	Chiconcuautla	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.5%
Mexico	Chiconquiaco	2000	72.7%	98.6%	20.8%
Mexico	Chiconquiaco	2017	92.4%	99.9%	60.8%
Mexico	Chicontepec	2000	66.0%	87.3%	37.0%
Mexico	Chicontepec	2017	91.5%	98.2%	75.5%
Mexico	Chicxulub Pueblo	2000	79.1%	99.8%	16.7%
Mexico	Chicxulub Pueblo	2017	96.4%	100.0%	75.1%
Mexico	Chietla	2000	60.6%	73.5%	39.2%
Mexico	Chietla	2017	94.7%	97.0%	86.7%
Mexico	Chigmecatitlan	2000	81.1%	99.9%	7.8%
Mexico	Chigmecatitlan	2017	95.6%	100.0%	54.7%
Mexico	Chignahuapan	2000	78.4%	96.8%	39.2%
Mexico	Chignahuapan	2017	93.2%	99.7%	62.4%
Mexico	Chignautla	2000	66.2%	83.9%	38.4%
Mexico	Chignautla	2017	97.7%	99.1%	92.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chihuahua	2000	95.0%	96.5%	92.5%
Mexico	Chihuahua	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Mexico	Chikindzonot	2000	76.6%	99.0%	23.5%
Mexico	Chikindzonot	2017	93.9%	99.9%	58.5%
Mexico	Chila	2000	81.7%	99.8%	26.0%
Mexico	Chila	2017	95.8%	100.0%	70.5%
Mexico	Chila De La Sal	2000	96.0%	99.7%	84.3%
Mexico	Chila De La Sal	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Chilapa De Alvarez	2000	52.5%	69.4%	36.0%
Mexico	Chilapa De Alvarez	2017	90.9%	95.6%	82.3%
Mexico	Chilchota	2000	91.9%	97.5%	78.9%
Mexico	Chilchota	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.9%
Mexico	Chilchotla	2000	74.6%	83.8%	61.3%
Mexico	Chilchotla	2017	93.8%	97.4%	88.5%
Mexico	Chilcuautila	2000	92.5%	99.7%	73.5%
Mexico	Chilcuautila	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Chilon	2000	65.1%	84.6%	43.9%
Mexico	Chilon	2017	89.2%	97.5%	75.2%
Mexico	Chilpancingo De Los Bravo	2000	58.5%	69.8%	47.7%
Mexico	Chilpancingo De Los Bravo	2017	90.9%	95.7%	83.3%
Mexico	Chimalhuacan	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Mexico	Chimalhuacan	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Mexico	Chimaltitan	2000	82.4%	98.7%	53.0%
Mexico	Chimaltitan	2017	96.3%	99.9%	81.9%
Mexico	China	2000	86.3%	98.0%	36.5%
Mexico	China	2017	97.1%	99.7%	84.3%
Mexico	Chinameca	2000	57.5%	94.8%	9.4%
Mexico	Chinameca	2017	89.2%	99.4%	47.4%
Mexico	Chinampa De Gorostiza	2000	50.4%	67.9%	33.2%
Mexico	Chinampa De Gorostiza	2017	91.1%	96.9%	82.7%
Mexico	Chinantla	2000	71.8%	98.7%	14.6%
Mexico	Chinantla	2017	94.6%	99.9%	61.9%
Mexico	Chinicuila	2000	75.5%	95.2%	45.8%
Mexico	Chinicuila	2017	94.0%	99.4%	78.4%
Mexico	Chinipas	2000	79.4%	92.9%	52.8%
Mexico	Chinipas	2017	95.3%	99.3%	82.8%
Mexico	Chiquihuitlan De Benito Juarez	2000	47.6%	90.9%	4.5%
Mexico	Chiquihuitlan De Benito Juarez	2017	86.2%	99.3%	36.9%
Mexico	Chiquilistlan	2000	90.8%	98.1%	76.1%
Mexico	Chiquilistlan	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Chocaman	2000	66.3%	73.6%	61.2%
Mexico	Chocaman	2017	77.0%	91.3%	64.5%
Mexico	Chochola	2000	79.5%	99.7%	18.7%
Mexico	Chochola	2017	95.1%	100.0%	65.3%
Mexico	Choix	2000	86.9%	95.0%	73.2%
Mexico	Choix	2017	97.2%	99.6%	90.6%
Mexico	Chontla	2000	86.1%	98.8%	55.8%
Mexico	Chontla	2017	97.4%	99.9%	88.7%
Mexico	Chucandiro	2000	81.8%	99.8%	29.1%
Mexico	Chucandiro	2017	96.1%	100.0%	74.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chumatlan	2000	26.5%	69.3%	0.4%
Mexico	Chumatlan	2017	62.9%	95.2%	6.8%
Mexico	Chumayel	2000	88.8%	99.8%	35.3%
Mexico	Chumayel	2017	97.9%	100.0%	83.5%
Mexico	Churintzio	2000	81.9%	99.6%	29.7%
Mexico	Churintzio	2017	96.4%	100.0%	72.2%
Mexico	Churumuco	2000	77.2%	96.6%	43.8%
Mexico	Churumuco	2017	94.2%	99.6%	69.4%
Mexico	Cienega De Flores	2000	83.6%	99.9%	24.6%
Mexico	Cienega De Flores	2017	97.0%	100.0%	74.6%
Mexico	Cienega De Zimatlan	2000	56.4%	99.5%	2.3%
Mexico	Cienega De Zimatlan	2017	86.0%	100.0%	24.3%
Mexico	Cihuatlan	2000	80.3%	99.3%	37.9%
Mexico	Cihuatlan	2017	96.2%	100.0%	77.3%
Mexico	Cintalapa	2000	74.6%	91.5%	49.4%
Mexico	Cintalapa	2017	95.9%	99.1%	89.4%
Mexico	Citlaltepetl	2000	95.7%	99.7%	82.2%
Mexico	Citlaltepetl	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Ciudad Del Maiz	2000	78.0%	87.2%	67.3%
Mexico	Ciudad Del Maiz	2017	95.8%	98.9%	89.7%
Mexico	Ciudad Fernandez	2000	85.0%	89.8%	79.6%
Mexico	Ciudad Fernandez	2017	98.5%	99.3%	96.9%
Mexico	Ciudad Ixteppec	2000	70.4%	99.0%	3.8%
Mexico	Ciudad Ixteppec	2017	90.9%	99.9%	25.9%
Mexico	Ciudad Madero	2000	95.6%	97.4%	92.7%
Mexico	Ciudad Madero	2017	98.7%	99.7%	96.1%
Mexico	Ciudad Valles	2000	77.7%	87.4%	64.6%
Mexico	Ciudad Valles	2017	96.8%	98.9%	90.0%
Mexico	Coacalco De Berriozabal	2000	99.0%	99.8%	97.0%
Mexico	Coacalco De Berriozabal	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Coacoatzintla	2000	96.0%	99.7%	85.4%
Mexico	Coacoatzintla	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.9%
Mexico	Coahuayana	2000	78.1%	99.4%	19.4%
Mexico	Coahuayana	2017	94.7%	100.0%	61.5%
Mexico	Coahuayutla	2000	77.5%	93.4%	56.2%
Mexico	De Jose Maria Izazaga	2017	94.9%	99.2%	85.7%
Mexico	Coahuayutla De Jose Maria Izazaga	2017	94.9%	99.2%	85.7%
Mexico	Coahuatlan	2000	70.3%	99.0%	4.9%
Mexico	Coahuatlan	2017	90.6%	99.9%	40.9%
Mexico	Coalcoman De Vazquez Palares	2000	81.0%	96.3%	41.0%
Mexico	Coalcoman De Vazquez Palares	2017	96.3%	99.6%	83.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Coapilla	2000	73.4%	97.5%	21.5%
Mexico	Coapilla	2017	93.9%	99.9%	63.3%
Mexico	Coatecas Altas	2000	71.3%	99.0%	10.8%
Mexico	Coatecas Altas	2017	93.5%	99.9%	61.6%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	54.7%	59.3%	44.6%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	86.4%	97.6%	61.1%
Mexico	Coatepec	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.1%
Mexico	Coatepec	2017	56.4%	71.3%	50.1%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	78.3%	99.1%	25.0%
Mexico	Coatepec Harinas	2017	94.7%	99.9%	65.5%
Mexico	Coatlan Del Rio	2000	76.8%	92.7%	58.9%
Mexico	Coatlan Del Rio	2017	96.4%	99.6%	89.1%
Mexico	Coatzacoalcos	2000	58.0%	76.0%	40.3%
Mexico	Coatzacoalcos	2017	93.6%	98.0%	80.4%
Mexico	Coatzingo	2000	77.0%	99.9%	8.8%
Mexico	Coatzingo	2017	93.2%	100.0%	38.5%
Mexico	Coatzintla	2000	67.6%	76.9%	52.4%
Mexico	Coatzintla	2017	96.7%	97.8%	93.6%
Mexico	Cochoapa El Grande	2000	76.2%	96.8%	37.3%
Mexico	Cochoapa El Grande	2017	93.3%	99.7%	61.3%
Mexico	Cocotitlan	2000	98.5%	99.7%	95.3%
Mexico	Cocotitlan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Cocula	2000	88.0%	97.9%	69.7%
Mexico	Cocula	2000	85.1%	99.3%	32.8%
Mexico	Cocula	2017	97.6%	99.9%	86.1%
Mexico	Cocula	2017	96.8%	100.0%	78.0%
Mexico	Coeneo	2000	63.0%	90.0%	18.9%
Mexico	Coeneo	2017	86.5%	98.9%	57.7%
Mexico	Coetzala	2000	36.9%	81.2%	9.9%
Mexico	Coetzala	2017	85.0%	98.6%	57.1%
Mexico	Cohetzala	2000	77.0%	99.4%	25.7%
Mexico	Cohetzala	2017	95.2%	99.9%	73.6%
Mexico	Cohuecan	2000	72.6%	87.9%	50.7%
Mexico	Cohuecan	2017	96.5%	98.7%	89.4%
Mexico	Coicoyan De Las Flores	2000	73.6%	99.0%	23.2%
Mexico	Coicoyan De Las Flores	2017	93.4%	99.9%	70.3%
Mexico	Cojumatlan De Regules	2000	80.9%	99.7%	18.0%
Mexico	Cojumatlan De Regules	2017	95.8%	100.0%	71.0%
Mexico	Colima	2000	97.3%	98.6%	95.1%
Mexico	Colima	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Colipa	2000	70.5%	90.9%	42.7%
Mexico	Colipa	2017	93.5%	98.9%	81.6%
Mexico	Colon	2000	89.8%	98.4%	66.5%
Mexico	Colon	2017	98.3%	99.9%	90.7%
Mexico	Colotlan	2000	83.8%	99.0%	20.3%
Mexico	Colotlan	2017	96.4%	99.9%	72.8%
Mexico	Comala	2000	95.9%	98.9%	88.6%
Mexico	Comala	2017	99.6%	99.9%	97.7%
Mexico	Comalcalco	2000	50.9%	73.0%	31.5%
Mexico	Comalcalco	2017	88.6%	96.4%	74.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Comapa	2000	75.1%	93.8%	44.8%
Mexico	Comapa	2017	94.9%	99.6%	80.1%
Mexico	Comitan De Dominguez	2000	88.0%	95.2%	73.1%
Mexico	Comitan De Dominguez	2017	98.2%	99.5%	94.6%
Mexico	Comondu	2000	93.2%	96.6%	86.6%
Mexico	Comondu	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.3%
Mexico	Comonfort	2000	75.2%	88.6%	58.4%
Mexico	Comonfort	2017	96.2%	99.1%	87.0%
Mexico	Compostela	2000	77.3%	95.8%	46.8%
Mexico	Compostela	2017	95.6%	99.6%	80.0%
Mexico	Concepcion Buenavista	2000	74.3%	99.1%	21.2%
Mexico	Concepcion Buenavista	2017	93.3%	99.9%	55.4%
Mexico	Concepcion De Buenos Aires	2000	78.8%	99.7%	17.2%
Mexico	Concepcion De Buenos Aires	2017	95.2%	100.0%	62.9%
Mexico	Concepcion Del Oro	2000	81.0%	95.7%	43.6%
Mexico	Concepcion Del Oro	2017	97.1%	99.6%	87.1%
Mexico	Concepcion Papalo	2000	87.3%	96.5%	65.5%
Mexico	Concepcion Papalo	2017	98.2%	99.7%	91.4%
Mexico	Concordia	2000	88.0%	96.3%	75.7%
Mexico	Concordia	2017	97.8%	99.6%	93.1%
Mexico	Coneto De Comonfort	2000	80.5%	97.3%	45.5%
Mexico	Coneto De Comonfort	2017	96.4%	99.8%	82.6%
Mexico	Conkal	2000	85.1%	99.8%	32.6%
Mexico	Conkal	2017	97.3%	100.0%	83.3%
Mexico	Constancia Del Rosario	2000	69.5%	95.6%	33.0%
Mexico	Constancia Del Rosario	2017	95.3%	99.7%	83.0%
Mexico	Contepec	2000	79.9%	95.9%	52.2%
Mexico	Contepec	2017	96.6%	99.7%	85.4%
Mexico	Contla De Juan Cua-matzi	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.0%
Mexico	Contla De Juan Cua-matzi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Copainala	2000	88.6%	98.9%	66.6%
Mexico	Copainala	2017	97.7%	99.9%	89.8%
Mexico	Copala	2000	88.8%	98.8%	65.9%
Mexico	Copala	2017	98.0%	99.9%	90.4%
Mexico	Copalillo	2000	68.8%	93.3%	34.3%
Mexico	Copalillo	2017	93.1%	99.1%	75.9%
Mexico	Copanatoyac	2000	23.6%	43.8%	7.0%
Mexico	Copanatoyac	2017	70.0%	87.0%	41.1%
Mexico	Copandaro	2000	82.9%	95.8%	53.8%
Mexico	Copandaro	2017	97.1%	99.6%	84.2%
Mexico	Coquimatlan	2000	96.3%	99.7%	87.4%
Mexico	Coquimatlan	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cordoba	2000	79.6%	83.9%	75.0%
Mexico	Cordoba	2017	98.0%	98.6%	96.4%
Mexico	Coronado	2000	82.7%	97.3%	48.0%
Mexico	Coronado	2017	97.1%	99.8%	86.8%
Mexico	Coronango	2000	62.8%	67.3%	57.1%
Mexico	Coronango	2017	95.1%	96.1%	94.0%
Mexico	Coroneo	2000	79.8%	99.5%	23.2%
Mexico	Coroneo	2017	95.7%	100.0%	70.2%
Mexico	Corregidora	2000	93.9%	98.0%	86.5%
Mexico	Corregidora	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	Cortazar	2000	88.9%	94.0%	81.0%
Mexico	Cortazar	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.0%
Mexico	Cosala	2000	83.3%	94.8%	59.2%
Mexico	Cosala	2017	96.3%	99.5%	88.4%
Mexico	Cosamaloapan De Carpio	2000	62.3%	76.9%	46.2%
Mexico	Cosamaloapan De Carpio	2017	83.5%	95.8%	71.0%
Mexico	Cosautlan De Carvajal	2000	87.2%	99.9%	33.7%
Mexico	Cosautlan De Carvajal	2017	98.0%	100.0%	84.7%
Mexico	Coscomatepec	2000	75.2%	83.7%	66.5%
Mexico	Coscomatepec	2017	82.5%	96.9%	74.3%
Mexico	Cosio	2000	88.8%	99.6%	51.9%
Mexico	Cosio	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Cosolapa	2000	68.6%	99.4%	16.7%
Mexico	Cosolapa	2017	92.4%	100.0%	57.2%
Mexico	Cosoleacaque	2000	55.8%	66.9%	43.5%
Mexico	Cosoleacaque	2017	92.6%	96.4%	84.6%
Mexico	Cosoltepec	2000	81.5%	99.8%	21.3%
Mexico	Cosoltepec	2017	95.9%	100.0%	73.6%
Mexico	Cotaxtla	2000	77.1%	97.3%	36.9%
Mexico	Cotaxtla	2017	94.7%	99.8%	73.2%
Mexico	Cotija	2000	81.3%	99.4%	22.6%
Mexico	Cotija	2017	96.1%	100.0%	72.5%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2000	70.8%	87.7%	51.3%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2000	50.2%	95.9%	4.1%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2017	95.6%	99.1%	84.1%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2017	82.7%	99.4%	33.6%
Mexico	Coxquihui	2000	32.3%	72.1%	5.4%
Mexico	Coxquihui	2017	66.7%	93.3%	25.9%
Mexico	Coyame Del Sotol	2000	82.9%	96.5%	52.0%
Mexico	Coyame Del Sotol	2017	95.4%	99.5%	75.0%
Mexico	Coyoacan	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Mexico	Coyoacan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Coyomeapan	2000	62.4%	77.4%	46.6%
Mexico	Coyomeapan	2017	94.5%	97.8%	87.5%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2000	89.1%	99.7%	53.3%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2000	79.7%	99.5%	26.6%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2017	97.8%	100.0%	77.9%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2017	96.0%	100.0%	76.7%
Mexico	Coyuca De Benitez	2000	69.2%	90.5%	37.0%
Mexico	Coyuca De Benitez	2017	92.0%	99.1%	74.3%
Mexico	Coyuca De Catalan	2000	62.8%	84.4%	41.2%
Mexico	Coyuca De Catalan	2017	90.0%	97.4%	73.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Coyutla	2000	56.6%	87.1%	18.8%
Mexico	Coyutla	2017	86.5%	99.0%	47.3%
Mexico	Cozumel	2000	87.5%	95.0%	75.3%
Mexico	Cozumel	2017	98.6%	99.7%	94.1%
Mexico	Cruillas	2000	74.3%	95.5%	41.9%
Mexico	Cruillas	2017	93.7%	99.5%	75.6%
Mexico	Cuajimalpa De Morelos	2000	95.5%	98.5%	89.8%
Mexico	Cuajimalpa De Morelos	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.3%
Mexico	Cuajinicuilapa	2000	70.4%	93.2%	33.2%
Mexico	Cuajinicuilapa	2017	92.9%	99.5%	71.6%
Mexico	Cualac	2000	77.4%	97.2%	23.4%
Mexico	Cualac	2017	95.6%	99.8%	70.0%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla	2000	91.8%	99.6%	53.1%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla De Madero	2000	86.3%	95.9%	52.0%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla De Madero	2017	98.4%	99.6%	90.0%
Mexico	Cuatrocienegas	2000	89.4%	98.5%	45.3%
Mexico	Cuatrocienegas	2017	98.4%	99.8%	91.0%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	86.6%	98.6%	56.2%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.9%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	85.3%	95.4%	58.9%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	87.9%	93.7%	80.0%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.4%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	98.2%	99.9%	89.0%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	97.8%	99.7%	83.8%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Cuautempan	2000	59.6%	99.1%	3.6%
Mexico	Cuautempan	2017	87.2%	99.9%	27.9%
Mexico	Cuautepec	2000	54.4%	67.6%	41.8%
Mexico	Cuautepec	2017	92.1%	97.6%	81.8%
Mexico	Cuautepec De Hinojosa	2000	83.5%	92.1%	71.9%
Mexico	Cuautepec De Hinojosa	2017	96.9%	99.3%	90.0%
Mexico	Cuautinchan	2000	84.9%	99.5%	48.1%
Mexico	Cuautinchan	2017	97.4%	100.0%	87.2%
Mexico	Cuautitlan	2000	90.5%	96.3%	78.6%
Mexico	Cuautitlan	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.3%
Mexico	Cuautitlan De Garcia Barragan	2000	81.8%	97.0%	57.1%
Mexico	Cuautitlan De Garcia Barragan	2017	96.2%	99.7%	84.6%
Mexico	Cuautitlan Izcalli	2000	89.1%	93.9%	81.0%
Mexico	Cuautitlan Izcalli	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.2%
Mexico	Cuautla	2000	94.8%	97.6%	89.7%
Mexico	Cuautla	2000	81.4%	98.4%	37.6%
Mexico	Cuautla	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.1%
Mexico	Cuautla	2017	95.9%	99.9%	77.7%
Mexico	Cuautlancingo	2000	49.5%	54.2%	45.1%
Mexico	Cuautlancingo	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.7%
Mexico	Cuaxomulco	2000	99.5%	100.0%	97.3%
Mexico	Cuaxomulco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Cuayuca De Andrade	2000	80.4%	99.7%	21.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cuayuca De Andrade	2017	95.6%	100.0%	64.5%
Mexico	Cucurpe	2000	83.6%	99.4%	29.7%
Mexico	Cucurpe	2017	96.9%	99.9%	78.5%
Mexico	Cuencame	2000	79.3%	88.7%	66.9%
Mexico	Cuencame	2017	96.7%	98.8%	92.5%
Mexico	Cueramaro	2000	96.1%	99.7%	83.9%
Mexico	Cueramaro	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Cuernavaca	2000	88.8%	93.3%	81.5%
Mexico	Cuernavaca	2017	98.7%	99.5%	96.0%
Mexico	Cuetzala Del Progreso	2000	81.2%	98.8%	35.1%
Mexico	Cuetzala Del Progreso	2017	96.2%	99.9%	79.2%
Mexico	Cuetzalan Del Progreso	2000	68.3%	97.4%	22.0%
Mexico	Cuetzalan Del Progreso	2017	92.2%	99.8%	63.4%
Mexico	Cuichapa	2000	49.9%	91.5%	9.1%
Mexico	Cuichapa	2017	85.9%	99.3%	43.7%
Mexico	Cuilapam De Guerrero	2000	65.9%	77.6%	53.6%
Mexico	Cuilapam De Guerrero	2017	95.8%	97.5%	92.4%
Mexico	Cuitlahuac	2000	78.7%	99.1%	26.0%
Mexico	Cuitlahuac	2017	95.3%	99.9%	77.7%
Mexico	Cuitzeo	2000	77.9%	97.5%	36.7%
Mexico	Cuitzeo	2017	96.1%	99.8%	79.9%
Mexico	Culiacan	2000	92.3%	94.5%	89.2%
Mexico	Culiacan	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.2%
Mexico	Cumpas	2000	90.8%	99.4%	66.1%
Mexico	Cumpas	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.0%
Mexico	Cuncunul	2000	77.1%	99.8%	19.9%
Mexico	Cuncunul	2017	95.2%	100.0%	74.0%
Mexico	Cunduacan	2000	60.1%	82.5%	39.2%
Mexico	Cunduacan	2017	89.6%	98.3%	73.9%
Mexico	Cuquio	2000	46.5%	60.7%	30.3%
Mexico	Cuquio	2017	85.9%	93.1%	73.2%
Mexico	Cusihuiachi	2000	76.5%	96.7%	46.4%
Mexico	Cusihuiachi	2017	95.3%	99.6%	82.3%
Mexico	Cutzamala De Pinzon	2000	76.1%	93.5%	49.7%
Mexico	Cutzamala De Pinzon	2017	94.8%	99.4%	82.8%
Mexico	Cuyamecalco Villa De Zaragoza	2000	67.6%	96.5%	10.4%
Mexico	Cuyamecalco Villa De Zaragoza	2017	91.3%	99.7%	46.4%
Mexico	Cuyoaco	2000	79.4%	98.9%	40.7%
Mexico	Cuyoaco	2017	95.6%	99.9%	79.2%
Mexico	Cuzama	2000	87.5%	99.8%	40.4%
Mexico	Cuzama	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.4%
Mexico	Degollado	2000	90.0%	99.2%	72.4%
Mexico	Degollado	2017	97.9%	99.9%	87.9%
Mexico	Del Nayar	2000	70.8%	81.8%	55.6%
Mexico	Del Nayar	2017	92.3%	95.8%	86.7%
Mexico	Delicias	2000	90.6%	96.1%	76.2%
Mexico	Delicias	2017	98.8%	99.7%	95.3%
Mexico	Divisaderos	2000	83.8%	99.9%	16.4%
Mexico	Divisaderos	2017	97.1%	100.0%	76.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Doctor Mora	2000	87.3%	98.1%	66.6%
Mexico	Doctor Mora	2017	98.3%	99.8%	91.9%
Mexico	Dolores Hidalgo Cuna De La Independenc	2000	86.9%	94.8%	74.3%
Mexico	Dolores Hidalgo Cuna De La Independenc	2017	97.5%	99.6%	92.3%
Mexico	Domingo Arenas	2000	91.0%	95.5%	83.1%
Mexico	Domingo Arenas	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Mexico	Donato Guerra	2000	58.0%	84.0%	30.6%
Mexico	Donato Guerra	2017	90.8%	98.6%	71.5%
Mexico	Dr. Arroyo	2000	83.9%	93.3%	71.7%
Mexico	Dr. Arroyo	2017	97.6%	99.3%	94.1%
Mexico	Dr. Belisario Dominguez	2000	84.3%	99.1%	50.7%
Mexico	Dr. Belisario Dominguez	2017	97.0%	99.9%	82.0%
Mexico	Dr. Coss	2000	85.6%	98.7%	58.1%
Mexico	Dr. Coss	2017	96.9%	99.9%	81.7%
Mexico	Dr. Gonzalez	2000	64.3%	94.5%	24.5%
Mexico	Dr. Gonzalez	2017	90.5%	99.4%	59.8%
Mexico	Durango	2000	93.1%	96.1%	87.7%
Mexico	Durango	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.6%
Mexico	Dzan	2000	78.2%	98.4%	32.4%
Mexico	Dzan	2017	95.9%	99.9%	76.8%
Mexico	Dzemul	2000	80.9%	99.8%	17.4%
Mexico	Dzemul	2017	97.4%	100.0%	81.7%
Mexico	Dzidzantun	2000	82.9%	99.9%	12.8%
Mexico	Dzidzantun	2017	95.8%	100.0%	63.2%
Mexico	Dzilam De Bravo	2000	72.0%	99.7%	5.4%
Mexico	Dzilam De Bravo	2017	93.3%	100.0%	45.6%
Mexico	Dzilam Gonzalez	2000	88.4%	99.6%	30.9%
Mexico	Dzilam Gonzalez	2017	98.1%	100.0%	87.1%
Mexico	Dzitas	2000	74.2%	99.3%	26.4%
Mexico	Dzitas	2017	93.6%	100.0%	56.1%
Mexico	Dzoncauich	2000	75.0%	99.9%	9.4%
Mexico	Dzoncauich	2017	96.2%	100.0%	69.2%
Mexico	Ebano	2000	73.0%	94.0%	39.2%
Mexico	Ebano	2017	94.0%	99.5%	74.6%
Mexico	Ecatepec De Morelos	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Mexico	Ecatepec De Morelos	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Mexico	Ecatzingo	2000	86.7%	99.7%	45.7%
Mexico	Ecatzingo	2017	97.1%	100.0%	72.9%
Mexico	Ecuandureo	2000	76.5%	96.0%	36.2%
Mexico	Ecuandureo	2017	93.4%	99.6%	77.5%
Mexico	Eduardo Neri	2000	46.1%	81.8%	24.5%
Mexico	Eduardo Neri	2017	73.8%	94.9%	42.1%
Mexico	Ejutla	2000	81.0%	99.4%	40.8%
Mexico	Ejutla	2017	96.3%	100.0%	81.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	El Arenal	2000	75.4%	99.6%	16.1%
Mexico	El Arenal	2000	87.2%	96.7%	67.8%
Mexico	El Arenal	2017	98.2%	99.7%	90.2%
Mexico	El Arenal	2017	95.5%	100.0%	67.2%
Mexico	El Barrio De La Soledad	2000	80.6%	93.0%	61.2%
Mexico	El Barrio De La Soledad	2017	97.9%	99.5%	92.7%
Mexico	El Bosque	2000	58.6%	93.3%	12.1%
Mexico	El Bosque	2017	89.0%	99.4%	48.0%
Mexico	El Carmen Tequexquitla	2000	97.2%	99.8%	88.2%
Mexico	El Carmen Tequexquitla	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	El Espinal	2000	61.2%	66.3%	55.5%
Mexico	El Espinal	2017	95.9%	97.1%	93.8%
Mexico	El Fuerte	2000	88.7%	94.2%	82.0%
Mexico	El Fuerte	2017	98.3%	99.5%	95.9%
Mexico	El Grullo	2000	88.2%	96.5%	72.6%
Mexico	El Grullo	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.6%
Mexico	El Higo	2000	82.3%	92.6%	67.1%
Mexico	El Higo	2017	96.1%	99.4%	90.2%
Mexico	El Limon	2000	80.8%	99.5%	22.2%
Mexico	El Limon	2017	96.4%	100.0%	73.4%
Mexico	El Llano	2000	83.9%	98.6%	47.4%
Mexico	El Llano	2017	96.5%	99.9%	80.1%
Mexico	El Mante	2000	90.9%	95.5%	84.3%
Mexico	El Mante	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.5%
Mexico	El Marques	2000	94.0%	98.9%	82.6%
Mexico	El Marques	2017	99.0%	99.9%	94.5%
Mexico	El Naranjo	2000	69.3%	90.2%	38.2%
Mexico	El Naranjo	2017	93.8%	99.2%	81.0%
Mexico	El Oro	2000	66.4%	97.0%	18.5%
Mexico	El Oro	2000	82.5%	91.8%	66.6%
Mexico	El Oro	2017	97.1%	99.1%	92.2%
Mexico	El Oro	2017	90.9%	99.7%	59.9%
Mexico	El Plateado De Joaquin Amaro	2000	82.6%	99.2%	45.8%
Mexico	El Plateado De Joaquin Amaro	2017	97.2%	100.0%	82.1%
Mexico	El Porvenir	2000	55.2%	80.3%	30.1%
Mexico	El Porvenir	2017	92.4%	98.6%	67.8%
Mexico	El Salto	2000	97.8%	99.0%	95.0%
Mexico	El Salto	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	El Salvador	2000	83.0%	99.4%	48.4%
Mexico	El Salvador	2017	96.4%	100.0%	74.0%
Mexico	El Tule	2000	85.9%	98.1%	56.3%
Mexico	El Tule	2017	98.3%	99.8%	90.5%
Mexico	Elota	2000	88.9%	97.0%	74.3%
Mexico	Elota	2017	98.3%	99.7%	93.7%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2000	71.9%	88.2%	52.7%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2000	76.1%	99.5%	17.4%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2017	95.2%	98.6%	86.0%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2017	94.7%	100.0%	59.0%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan De Flores Magon	2000	77.7%	91.9%	50.1%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan De Flores Magon	2017	95.9%	98.7%	83.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	84.5%	99.0%	47.2%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	88.8%	93.0%	82.2%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	89.5%	97.4%	76.2%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	59.6%	80.4%	27.6%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	73.0%	99.7%	7.6%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.2%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	97.6%	99.8%	91.0%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	97.9%	99.9%	89.5%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	92.8%	100.0%	38.6%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	89.9%	98.1%	62.1%
Mexico	Empalme	2000	96.9%	99.4%	90.8%
Mexico	Empalme	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Encarnacion De Diaz	2000	94.1%	98.5%	86.8%
Mexico	Encarnacion De Diaz	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.1%
Mexico	Ensenada	2000	86.5%	91.2%	80.3%
Mexico	Ensenada	2017	98.5%	99.2%	97.2%
Mexico	Epatlan	2000	83.1%	99.9%	23.2%
Mexico	Epatlan	2017	96.8%	100.0%	75.1%
Mexico	Epazoyucan	2000	96.2%	99.9%	80.0%
Mexico	Epazoyucan	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Epitacio Huerta	2000	78.6%	98.0%	38.6%
Mexico	Epitacio Huerta	2017	95.1%	99.8%	78.5%
Mexico	Erongaricuario	2000	74.7%	98.6%	22.8%
Mexico	Erongaricuario	2017	93.3%	99.9%	59.5%
Mexico	Escarcega	2000	84.5%	91.1%	78.2%
Mexico	Escarcega	2017	96.9%	99.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Escobedo	2000	83.3%	98.9%	44.5%
Mexico	Escobedo	2017	96.7%	99.9%	82.5%
Mexico	Escuinapa	2000	77.6%	95.8%	42.5%
Mexico	Escuinapa	2017	95.9%	99.7%	85.5%
Mexico	Escuintla	2000	61.5%	93.8%	20.6%
Mexico	Escuintla	2017	90.4%	99.3%	58.8%
Mexico	Espanita	2000	96.9%	99.6%	88.4%
Mexico	Espanita	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Esperanza	2000	75.0%	97.7%	24.4%
Mexico	Esperanza	2017	95.3%	99.8%	77.8%
Mexico	Espinal	2000	59.7%	88.4%	27.6%
Mexico	Espinal	2017	89.3%	98.8%	66.7%
Mexico	Espita	2000	94.0%	99.0%	80.1%
Mexico	Espita	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Etchojoa	2000	96.1%	99.6%	87.1%
Mexico	Etchojoa	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.2%
Mexico	Etzatlan	2000	83.5%	99.3%	32.8%
Mexico	Etzatlan	2017	97.0%	100.0%	76.9%
Mexico	Ezequiel Montes	2000	90.0%	99.4%	58.0%
Mexico	Ezequiel Montes	2017	98.8%	99.9%	92.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Felipe Carrillo Puerto	2000	72.9%	82.0%	62.7%
Mexico	Felipe Carrillo Puerto	2017	93.2%	96.9%	87.0%
Mexico	Filomeno Mata	2000	39.9%	79.4%	6.5%
Mexico	Filomeno Mata	2017	86.3%	97.7%	49.0%
Mexico	Florencio Villarreal	2000	72.3%	92.2%	36.9%
Mexico	Florencio Villarreal	2017	95.6%	99.1%	80.5%
Mexico	Fortin	2000	87.8%	92.1%	83.3%
Mexico	Fortin	2017	96.0%	98.8%	92.8%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2000	87.3%	94.8%	70.8%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2000	95.9%	98.7%	87.4%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2017	98.7%	99.5%	95.9%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Francisco Leon	2000	64.8%	96.3%	13.5%
Mexico	Francisco Leon	2017	89.2%	99.8%	50.5%
Mexico	Francisco Z. Mena	2000	81.0%	98.7%	45.8%
Mexico	Francisco Z. Mena	2017	96.0%	99.9%	83.7%
Mexico	Fresnillo	2000	88.0%	92.2%	82.9%
Mexico	Fresnillo	2017	98.6%	99.3%	97.2%
Mexico	Fresnillo De Trujano	2000	68.2%	96.5%	16.7%
Mexico	Fresnillo De Trujano	2017	91.9%	99.8%	47.6%
Mexico	Frontera	2000	96.4%	98.3%	93.1%
Mexico	Frontera	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Frontera Co-malapa	2000	68.9%	82.2%	53.1%
Mexico	Frontera Co-malapa	2017	94.1%	98.0%	85.0%
Mexico	Frontera Hidalgo	2000	82.5%	99.2%	46.6%
Mexico	Frontera Hidalgo	2017	96.8%	99.9%	84.6%
Mexico	Fronteras	2000	92.3%	99.5%	60.5%
Mexico	Fronteras	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Gabriel Zamora	2000	84.8%	94.9%	66.3%
Mexico	Gabriel Zamora	2017	97.5%	99.6%	91.4%
Mexico	Galeana	2000	82.3%	90.4%	71.6%
Mexico	Galeana	2000	86.3%	98.7%	53.5%
Mexico	Galeana	2017	96.6%	99.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Galeana	2017	97.8%	99.9%	82.6%
Mexico	Garcia	2000	96.6%	98.7%	92.9%
Mexico	Garcia	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Genaro Codina	2000	80.0%	98.0%	35.9%
Mexico	Genaro Codina	2017	96.4%	99.8%	79.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	General Bravo	2000	90.1%	98.4%	61.8%
Mexico	General Bravo	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.3%
Mexico	General Canuto A. Neri	2000	78.3%	98.8%	36.5%
Mexico	General Canuto A. Neri	2017	95.6%	100.0%	77.5%
Mexico	General Cepeda	2000	84.1%	93.9%	65.1%
Mexico	General Cepeda	2017	97.2%	99.1%	92.0%
Mexico	General Enrique Estrada	2000	85.8%	97.5%	53.2%
Mexico	General Enrique Estrada	2017	98.5%	99.7%	94.2%
Mexico	General Felipe Angeles	2000	87.4%	99.9%	37.8%
Mexico	General Felipe Angeles	2017	97.4%	100.0%	78.7%
Mexico	General Francisco R. Murguia	2000	75.0%	88.4%	58.4%
Mexico	General Francisco R. Murguia	2017	95.7%	98.8%	90.4%
Mexico	General Heliodoro Castillo	2000	49.3%	65.8%	30.9%
Mexico	General Heliodoro Castillo	2017	71.4%	83.1%	54.0%
Mexico	General Panfilo Natera	2000	93.4%	98.3%	81.8%
Mexico	General Panfilo Natera	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.0%
Mexico	General Plutarco Elias Calles	2000	94.0%	99.3%	74.2%
Mexico	General Plutarco Elias Calles	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.6%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	85.8%	98.9%	56.2%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	80.7%	99.5%	25.7%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	86.1%	97.6%	62.8%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	96.6%	100.0%	71.0%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	97.7%	99.9%	88.4%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	98.2%	99.8%	92.1%
Mexico	Gomez Palacio	2000	94.6%	96.2%	92.0%
Mexico	Gomez Palacio	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.0%
Mexico	Gonzalez	2000	85.3%	95.9%	66.6%
Mexico	Gonzalez	2017	96.8%	99.6%	88.3%
Mexico	Gral. Escobedo	2000	98.4%	99.1%	96.9%
Mexico	Gral. Escobedo	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	Gral. Simon Bolivar	2000	88.9%	97.1%	77.0%
Mexico	Gral. Simon Bolivar	2017	98.1%	99.8%	93.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Gral. Teran	2000	80.3%	92.1%	60.2%
Mexico	Gral. Teran	2017	97.2%	99.2%	91.5%
Mexico	Gral. Trevino	2000	75.0%	99.7%	9.4%
Mexico	Gral. Trevino	2017	93.3%	100.0%	41.9%
Mexico	Gral. Zaragoza	2000	75.4%	94.0%	41.9%
Mexico	Gral. Zaragoza	2017	95.1%	99.5%	78.2%
Mexico	Gral. Zuazua	2000	87.6%	99.6%	46.0%
Mexico	Gral. Zuazua	2017	97.8%	100.0%	88.1%
Mexico	Gran Morelos	2000	92.3%	99.1%	74.6%
Mexico	Gran Morelos	2017	98.8%	99.9%	93.1%
Mexico	Granados	2000	80.4%	100.0%	8.5%
Mexico	Granados	2017	95.3%	100.0%	61.4%
Mexico	Guachinango	2000	79.2%	97.2%	46.3%
Mexico	Guachinango	2017	94.9%	99.8%	73.8%
Mexico	Guachochi	2000	52.3%	57.6%	45.4%
Mexico	Guachochi	2017	87.5%	90.8%	83.2%
Mexico	Guadalajara	2000	87.4%	92.7%	78.2%
Mexico	Guadalajara	2017	97.6%	99.2%	94.4%
Mexico	Guadalcazar	2000	80.4%	91.8%	64.6%
Mexico	Guadalcazar	2017	96.1%	99.2%	89.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	98.4%	99.1%	97.6%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	92.8%	98.8%	78.9%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	66.4%	93.8%	22.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	96.2%	98.9%	91.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	93.9%	99.5%	72.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.0%
Mexico	Guadalupe De Ramirez	2000	67.0%	99.6%	8.9%
Mexico	Guadalupe De Ramirez	2017	89.3%	100.0%	45.5%
Mexico	Guadalupe Etna	2000	55.9%	64.0%	47.8%
Mexico	Guadalupe Etna	2017	95.6%	96.8%	92.6%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2000	69.2%	80.2%	55.0%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2000	82.8%	94.5%	65.0%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2017	95.3%	99.0%	87.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2017	94.5%	97.3%	89.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe Y Calvo	2000	50.0%	57.7%	42.6%
Mexico	Guadalupe Y Calvo	2017	84.9%	89.0%	80.6%
Mexico	Guanacevi	2000	75.7%	91.5%	52.4%
Mexico	Guanacevi	2017	94.3%	98.8%	83.0%
Mexico	Guanajuato	2000	71.7%	83.1%	58.4%
Mexico	Guanajuato	2017	91.2%	97.9%	83.7%
Mexico	Guasave	2000	80.2%	89.3%	68.0%
Mexico	Guasave	2017	95.2%	98.0%	89.8%
Mexico	Guaymas	2000	93.9%	97.4%	86.3%
Mexico	Guaymas	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Mexico	Guazapares	2000	82.8%	93.6%	67.3%
Mexico	Guazapares	2017	96.7%	99.3%	91.1%
Mexico	Guelatao De Juarez	2000	75.4%	99.8%	4.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Guelatao De Juarez	2017	94.3%	100.0%	42.3%
Mexico	Guemez	2000	89.2%	97.9%	70.6%
Mexico	Guemez	2017	98.2%	99.8%	93.7%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	80.7%	98.2%	45.5%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	84.8%	92.9%	73.1%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	84.6%	97.6%	54.9%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	95.6%	99.8%	72.9%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	97.5%	99.8%	88.9%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	97.8%	99.3%	95.0%
Mexico	Guevea De Humboldt	2000	67.0%	93.3%	28.2%
Mexico	Guevea De Humboldt	2017	92.0%	99.6%	58.3%
Mexico	Gustavo A. Madero	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Mexico	Gustavo A. Madero	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Gustavo Diaz Ordaz	2000	81.0%	99.5%	27.9%
Mexico	Gustavo Diaz Ordaz	2017	96.1%	100.0%	73.3%
Mexico	Gutierrez Zamora	2000	56.5%	69.0%	42.3%
Mexico	Gutierrez Zamora	2017	93.5%	97.9%	85.2%
Mexico	Halacho	2000	33.2%	72.0%	10.3%
Mexico	Halacho	2017	71.3%	97.3%	33.6%
Mexico	Hecelchakan	2000	77.8%	93.4%	49.0%
Mexico	Hecelchakan	2017	96.1%	99.5%	80.9%
Mexico	Hermenegildo Galeana	2000	57.4%	90.5%	13.4%
Mexico	Hermenegildo Galeana	2017	90.8%	99.2%	59.1%
Mexico	Hermosillo	2000	98.0%	98.9%	97.1%
Mexico	Hermosillo	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Ejutla De Crespo	2000	64.1%	87.3%	25.4%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Ejutla De Crespo	2017	92.4%	98.8%	68.4%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Huajuapam De Leon	2000	66.7%	90.2%	30.2%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Huajuapam De Leon	2017	95.6%	99.2%	83.4%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Tlaxiaco	2000	60.9%	73.8%	45.9%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Tlaxiaco	2017	95.1%	97.4%	90.8%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	64.0%	75.7%	51.1%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	82.2%	99.5%	18.1%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	81.9%	95.8%	60.8%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	97.4%	99.9%	87.2%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	85.9%	97.4%	56.3%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	93.6%	97.5%	87.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	95.9%	99.7%	86.5%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	96.3%	100.0%	70.4%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	97.6%	99.7%	88.8%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Hidalgo Del Parral	2000	92.9%	96.9%	86.7%
Mexico	Hidalgo Del Parral	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.0%
Mexico	Hidalgotitlan	2000	66.0%	90.5%	39.8%
Mexico	Hidalgotitlan	2017	88.7%	98.7%	63.5%
Mexico	Higueras	2000	80.9%	99.7%	13.0%
Mexico	Higueras	2017	96.4%	100.0%	67.3%
Mexico	Hocaba	2000	85.8%	99.9%	34.7%
Mexico	Hocaba	2017	97.7%	100.0%	82.7%
Mexico	Hoctun	2000	96.5%	99.9%	85.7%
Mexico	Hoctun	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.9%
Mexico	Homun	2000	92.9%	98.7%	77.5%
Mexico	Homun	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.1%
Mexico	Honey	2000	76.4%	99.7%	16.4%
Mexico	Honey	2017	94.5%	100.0%	57.9%
Mexico	Hopelchen	2000	81.3%	89.1%	72.5%
Mexico	Hopelchen	2017	95.9%	98.7%	91.0%
Mexico	Hostotipaquillo	2000	79.2%	98.4%	31.6%
Mexico	Hostotipaquillo	2017	95.2%	99.9%	71.4%
Mexico	Huachinera	2000	79.3%	98.9%	28.8%
Mexico	Huachinera	2017	94.7%	99.9%	66.5%
Mexico	Huajicori	2000	80.7%	95.2%	57.9%
Mexico	Huajicori	2017	96.3%	99.3%	89.3%
Mexico	Hualahuises	2000	87.0%	99.8%	33.9%
Mexico	Hualahuises	2017	98.1%	100.0%	82.8%
Mexico	Huamantla	2000	96.6%	98.5%	93.0%
Mexico	Huamantla	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Huamuxtitlan	2000	60.4%	79.5%	36.6%
Mexico	Huamuxtitlan	2017	93.3%	98.1%	79.9%
Mexico	Huandacareo	2000	97.3%	99.8%	88.8%
Mexico	Huandacareo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Huanimaro	2000	94.5%	99.8%	77.1%
Mexico	Huanimaro	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Huaniqueo	2000	82.5%	99.7%	38.6%
Mexico	Huaniqueo	2017	96.7%	100.0%	83.3%
Mexico	Huanusco	2000	80.4%	99.2%	38.4%
Mexico	Huanusco	2017	95.7%	99.9%	76.3%
Mexico	Huaquechula	2000	77.9%	98.7%	31.5%
Mexico	Huaquechula	2017	95.0%	99.9%	71.1%
Mexico	Huasabas	2000	84.3%	99.9%	20.2%
Mexico	Huasabas	2017	97.1%	100.0%	79.9%
Mexico	Huasca De Ocampo	2000	80.1%	99.0%	25.4%
Mexico	Huasca De Ocampo	2017	94.1%	99.9%	60.3%
Mexico	Huatabampo	2000	91.8%	97.0%	82.5%
Mexico	Huatabampo	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.9%
Mexico	Huatlatlauca	2000	81.1%	99.6%	26.0%
Mexico	Huatlatlauca	2017	96.4%	100.0%	79.7%
Mexico	Huatusco	2000	86.5%	91.8%	78.0%
Mexico	Huatusco	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.0%
Mexico	Huauchinango	2000	88.5%	95.0%	75.0%
Mexico	Huauchinango	2017	98.7%	99.6%	96.3%
Mexico	Huautepec	2000	54.7%	85.7%	23.2%
Mexico	Huautepec	2017	91.3%	98.9%	62.1%
Mexico	Huautla	2000	77.0%	97.7%	31.1%
Mexico	Huautla	2017	95.3%	99.9%	76.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huautla De Jimenez	2000	45.1%	52.5%	38.8%
Mexico	Huautla De Jimenez	2017	90.4%	94.3%	83.4%
Mexico	Huayacocotla	2000	77.5%	97.7%	40.6%
Mexico	Huayacocotla	2017	94.4%	99.8%	76.5%
Mexico	Huazalingo	2000	76.2%	98.9%	25.5%
Mexico	Huazalingo	2017	94.5%	99.9%	67.2%
Mexico	Huehuetan	2000	72.0%	98.8%	20.8%
Mexico	Huehuetan	2017	92.8%	99.9%	58.7%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2000	72.0%	92.8%	35.7%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2000	30.0%	33.9%	26.7%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2017	74.3%	78.9%	69.9%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2017	92.5%	99.6%	61.9%
Mexico	Huehuetlan	2000	72.1%	91.2%	25.7%
Mexico	Huehuetlan	2017	94.3%	99.2%	66.5%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Chico	2000	80.4%	99.4%	29.0%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Chico	2017	95.7%	100.0%	72.2%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Grande	2000	78.1%	99.6%	22.1%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Grande	2017	94.9%	100.0%	69.8%
Mexico	Huehuetoca	2000	89.3%	99.9%	48.2%
Mexico	Huehuetoca	2017	98.4%	100.0%	84.7%
Mexico	Huejotitan	2000	83.7%	98.9%	52.8%
Mexico	Huejotitan	2017	97.1%	99.9%	85.7%
Mexico	Huejotzingo	2000	70.7%	74.4%	67.2%
Mexico	Huejotzingo	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.8%
Mexico	Huejucar	2000	80.0%	99.1%	26.2%
Mexico	Huejucar	2017	95.8%	99.9%	70.7%
Mexico	Huejuquilla El Alto	2000	79.6%	98.9%	24.5%
Mexico	Huejuquilla El Alto	2017	95.1%	99.9%	64.8%
Mexico	Huejutla De Reyes	2000	54.4%	69.6%	32.9%
Mexico	Huejutla De Reyes	2017	87.1%	92.7%	69.7%
Mexico	Huepac	2000	94.2%	99.9%	65.9%
Mexico	Huepac	2017	98.8%	100.0%	87.3%
Mexico	Huetamo	2000	58.4%	77.2%	36.9%
Mexico	Huetamo	2017	91.4%	96.7%	82.1%
Mexico	Hueyapan	2000	83.8%	95.7%	66.4%
Mexico	Hueyapan	2017	97.9%	99.7%	93.7%
Mexico	Hueyapan De Ocampo	2000	68.8%	93.9%	30.5%
Mexico	Hueyapan De Ocampo	2017	91.7%	99.4%	69.0%
Mexico	Hueyotlipan	2000	97.8%	99.4%	94.4%
Mexico	Hueyotlipan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Hueypoxtla	2000	95.6%	99.9%	76.2%
Mexico	Hueypoxtla	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Hueytamalco	2000	69.0%	95.5%	26.6%
Mexico	Hueytamalco	2017	91.6%	99.7%	67.2%
Mexico	Hueytlalpan	2000	70.9%	77.4%	62.0%
Mexico	Hueytlalpan	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.0%
Mexico	Huhi	2000	79.6%	99.8%	16.5%
Mexico	Huhi	2017	96.2%	100.0%	73.1%
Mexico	Huichapan	2000	82.5%	96.9%	57.0%
Mexico	Huichapan	2017	97.0%	99.7%	86.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huiloapan	2000	52.3%	68.8%	35.4%
Mexico	Huiloapan	2017	94.4%	96.8%	89.9%
Mexico	Huimanguillo	2000	54.5%	73.8%	34.7%
Mexico	Huimanguillo	2017	84.0%	93.2%	69.3%
Mexico	Huimilpan	2000	82.8%	96.4%	60.3%
Mexico	Huimilpan	2017	97.3%	99.8%	88.2%
Mexico	Huiramba	2000	69.2%	97.8%	9.1%
Mexico	Huiramba	2017	91.6%	99.7%	54.7%
Mexico	Huitiupan	2000	77.0%	90.2%	54.3%
Mexico	Huitiupan	2017	96.6%	99.1%	89.7%
Mexico	Huitzilac	2000	63.8%	79.0%	47.5%
Mexico	Huitzilac	2017	95.2%	98.2%	88.4%
Mexico	Huitzilan De Serdan	2000	76.8%	98.7%	27.2%
Mexico	Huitzilan De Serdan	2017	96.1%	99.9%	72.7%
Mexico	Huitziltepec	2000	67.6%	98.9%	10.7%
Mexico	Huitziltepec	2017	91.6%	99.9%	52.8%
Mexico	Huitzucu De Los Figueroa	2000	53.3%	83.4%	23.5%
Mexico	Huitzucu De Los Figueroa	2017	83.9%	97.0%	50.6%
Mexico	Huixquilucan	2000	91.4%	94.9%	85.5%
Mexico	Huixquilucan	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.2%
Mexico	Huixtan	2000	73.1%	97.0%	25.9%
Mexico	Huixtan	2017	93.8%	99.8%	67.6%
Mexico	Huixtla	2000	56.9%	68.7%	43.1%
Mexico	Huixtla	2017	93.6%	96.3%	87.2%
Mexico	Hunucma	2000	89.8%	94.8%	82.6%
Mexico	Hunucma	2017	98.9%	99.6%	96.3%
Mexico	Ignacio De La Llave	2000	75.4%	99.0%	18.8%
Mexico	Ignacio De La Llave	2017	93.9%	99.9%	51.3%
Mexico	Ignacio Zaragoza	2000	86.1%	98.1%	53.4%
Mexico	Ignacio Zaragoza	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.2%
Mexico	Iguala De La Independencia	2000	66.6%	80.2%	45.0%
Mexico	Iguala De La Independencia	2017	94.6%	97.5%	88.0%
Mexico	Igualapa	2000	61.8%	84.1%	31.7%
Mexico	Igualapa	2017	93.0%	98.1%	78.2%
Mexico	Ilamatlan	2000	68.5%	98.9%	17.9%
Mexico	Ilamatlan	2017	90.9%	99.9%	59.2%
Mexico	Iliatenco	2000	69.2%	98.5%	13.7%
Mexico	Iliatenco	2017	90.2%	99.8%	43.7%
Mexico	Imuris	2000	93.1%	99.6%	63.9%
Mexico	Imuris	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Indaparapeo	2000	71.7%	96.0%	29.6%
Mexico	Indaparapeo	2017	95.2%	99.6%	77.3%
Mexico	Inde	2000	80.4%	96.0%	55.7%
Mexico	Inde	2017	95.4%	99.6%	83.6%
Mexico	Irapuato	2000	89.7%	94.0%	82.2%
Mexico	Irapuato	2017	98.7%	99.6%	95.5%
Mexico	Irimbo	2000	71.5%	92.7%	37.1%
Mexico	Irimbo	2017	96.3%	99.6%	82.1%
Mexico	Isidro Fabela	2000	82.0%	96.8%	46.1%
Mexico	Isidro Fabela	2017	97.6%	99.8%	85.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Isla	2000	69.4%	92.3%	23.1%
Mexico	Isla	2017	90.9%	98.8%	57.3%
Mexico	Isla Mujeres	2000	46.2%	95.3%	10.0%
Mexico	Isla Mujeres	2017	84.6%	99.4%	42.0%
Mexico	Iturbide	2000	56.5%	89.0%	25.1%
Mexico	Iturbide	2017	89.1%	99.0%	58.0%
Mexico	Ixcamilpa De Guerrero	2000	78.9%	99.4%	34.6%
Mexico	Ixcamilpa De Guerrero	2017	95.8%	100.0%	78.4%
Mexico	Ixcaquixtla	2000	77.3%	99.4%	23.8%
Mexico	Ixcaquixtla	2017	92.8%	100.0%	55.8%
Mexico	Ixcateopan De Cuauhtemoc	2000	81.2%	99.4%	33.6%
Mexico	Ixcateopan De Cuauhtemoc	2017	95.7%	100.0%	75.1%
Mexico	Ixcatepec	2000	91.7%	99.5%	73.2%
Mexico	Ixcatepec	2017	98.5%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Ixhuacan De Los Reyes	2000	56.7%	90.0%	17.8%
Mexico	Ixhuacan De Los Reyes	2017	82.2%	99.1%	44.2%
Mexico	Ixhuatan	2000	52.1%	75.2%	27.6%
Mexico	Ixhuatan	2017	90.5%	96.8%	76.1%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan De Madero	2000	58.6%	79.9%	32.6%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan De Madero	2017	89.8%	97.6%	71.8%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Cafe	2000	93.8%	98.9%	82.8%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Cafe	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.0%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Sureste	2000	72.3%	94.7%	30.3%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Sureste	2017	95.0%	99.3%	81.2%
Mexico	Ixhuatlancillo	2000	84.2%	88.7%	79.2%
Mexico	Ixhuatlancillo	2017	98.6%	99.2%	97.5%
Mexico	Ixil	2000	81.1%	99.6%	22.8%
Mexico	Ixil	2017	96.7%	100.0%	77.7%
Mexico	Ixmatlahuacan	2000	73.9%	98.3%	20.5%
Mexico	Ixmatlahuacan	2017	95.0%	99.8%	71.5%
Mexico	Ixmiquilpan	2000	79.2%	93.9%	56.6%
Mexico	Ixmiquilpan	2017	97.5%	99.6%	91.3%
Mexico	Ixpantepec Nieves	2000	73.0%	98.6%	17.1%
Mexico	Ixpantepec Nieves	2017	94.7%	99.9%	63.2%
Mexico	Ixtacamaxtitlan	2000	75.3%	93.6%	44.6%
Mexico	Ixtacamaxtitlan	2017	94.8%	99.5%	79.6%
Mexico	Ixtacomitan	2000	53.0%	97.5%	2.6%
Mexico	Ixtacomitan	2017	83.1%	99.8%	19.2%
Mexico	Ixtacuixtla De Mariano Matamoros	2000	75.9%	81.3%	68.6%
Mexico	Ixtacuixtla De Mariano Matamoros	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.4%
Mexico	Ixtaczoquitlan	2000	76.5%	84.3%	62.2%
Mexico	Ixtaczoquitlan	2017	94.6%	96.9%	88.8%
Mexico	Ixtapa	2000	77.2%	97.8%	25.3%
Mexico	Ixtapa	2017	94.7%	99.8%	73.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Ixtapaluca	2000	97.6%	99.5%	91.5%
Mexico	Ixtapaluca	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Ixtapan De La Sal	2000	75.4%	95.9%	36.4%
Mexico	Ixtapan De La Sal	2017	96.7%	99.7%	84.1%
Mexico	Ixtapan Del Oro	2000	79.3%	99.7%	21.5%
Mexico	Ixtapan Del Oro	2017	96.3%	100.0%	75.0%
Mexico	Ixtapangajoya	2000	69.1%	90.4%	46.5%
Mexico	Ixtapangajoya	2017	92.7%	99.2%	76.1%
Mexico	Ixtenco	2000	99.1%	99.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Ixtenco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Ixtepec	2000	61.3%	66.9%	54.3%
Mexico	Ixtepec	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.2%
Mexico	Ixtlahuaca	2000	74.9%	93.0%	52.7%
Mexico	Ixtlahuaca	2017	94.1%	99.5%	80.7%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2000	82.7%	96.1%	66.6%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2017	97.4%	99.7%	89.8%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2000	80.6%	98.5%	38.3%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan De Los Membrillos	2017	96.9%	99.9%	83.2%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan De Los Membrillos	2000	49.7%	71.5%	25.0%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan Del Rio	2017	84.5%	94.3%	66.2%
Mexico	Ixtlan	2000	80.3%	94.1%	54.5%
Mexico	Ixtlan	2017	95.9%	99.3%	85.9%
Mexico	Ixtlan De Juarez	2000	68.1%	91.0%	40.4%
Mexico	Ixtlan De Juarez	2017	92.6%	99.2%	76.3%
Mexico	Ixtlan Del Rio	2000	89.2%	94.8%	78.0%
Mexico	Ixtlan Del Rio	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.1%
Mexico	Izamal	2000	83.8%	94.0%	63.4%
Mexico	Izamal	2017	98.4%	99.6%	94.0%
Mexico	Iztacalco	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Mexico	Iztacalco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Iztapalapa	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Mexico	Iztapalapa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Izucar De Matamoros	2000	91.6%	96.2%	83.9%
Mexico	Izucar De Matamoros	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.2%
Mexico	Jacala De Ledezma	2000	91.2%	99.5%	71.8%
Mexico	Jacala De Ledezma	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	Jacona	2000	88.6%	93.1%	81.2%
Mexico	Jacona	2017	99.1%	99.5%	97.5%
Mexico	Jala	2000	90.4%	96.6%	71.8%
Mexico	Jala	2017	99.2%	99.8%	96.8%
Mexico	Jalacingo	2000	88.6%	99.1%	68.6%
Mexico	Jalacingo	2017	98.3%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	Jalapa	2000	54.5%	80.9%	24.0%
Mexico	Jalapa	2017	86.0%	97.8%	65.5%
Mexico	Jalcomulco	2000	77.7%	99.7%	11.4%
Mexico	Jalcomulco	2017	95.5%	100.0%	56.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jalostotitlan	2000	80.8%	99.4%	26.9%
Mexico	Jalostotitlan	2017	94.8%	100.0%	61.6%
Mexico	Jalpa	2000	90.6%	97.5%	78.2%
Mexico	Jalpa	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	Jalpa De Mendez	2000	62.7%	77.8%	44.7%
Mexico	Jalpa De Mendez	2017	94.3%	98.0%	86.7%
Mexico	Jalpan	2000	72.0%	97.9%	25.5%
Mexico	Jalpan	2017	92.5%	99.9%	63.2%
Mexico	Jalpan De Serra	2000	80.1%	91.9%	63.8%
Mexico	Jalpan De Serra	2017	97.1%	99.5%	91.3%
Mexico	Jaltenco	2000	98.5%	99.2%	97.4%
Mexico	Jaltenco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Jaltipan	2000	64.8%	97.1%	14.3%
Mexico	Jaltipan	2017	91.0%	99.8%	56.3%
Mexico	Jaltocan	2000	46.9%	63.0%	31.9%
Mexico	Jaltocan	2017	91.4%	95.3%	83.5%
Mexico	Jamapa	2000	57.8%	96.9%	12.7%
Mexico	Jamapa	2017	81.5%	98.6%	38.8%
Mexico	Jamay	2000	95.6%	99.5%	77.7%
Mexico	Jamay	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Janos	2000	85.8%	95.2%	69.5%
Mexico	Janos	2017	97.7%	99.6%	91.2%
Mexico	Jantetelco	2000	24.8%	41.8%	10.3%
Mexico	Jantetelco	2017	76.1%	86.7%	59.6%
Mexico	Jaral Del Progreso	2000	87.8%	99.9%	34.7%
Mexico	Jaral Del Progreso	2017	97.1%	100.0%	70.5%
Mexico	Jaumave	2000	85.7%	96.0%	66.7%
Mexico	Jaumave	2017	97.5%	99.7%	90.9%
Mexico	Jerecuaro	2000	72.7%	92.0%	45.8%
Mexico	Jerecuaro	2017	94.1%	99.2%	80.0%
Mexico	Jerez	2000	85.2%	91.1%	78.0%
Mexico	Jerez	2017	98.2%	99.4%	95.6%
Mexico	Jesus Caranza	2000	70.1%	91.3%	37.2%
Mexico	Jesus Caranza	2017	92.5%	99.1%	70.2%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2000	91.5%	97.0%	82.5%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2000	82.2%	98.7%	37.2%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2017	96.4%	99.9%	78.6%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2017	98.3%	99.8%	95.6%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2000	93.1%	98.2%	83.4%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2000	82.4%	96.6%	52.6%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2017	96.9%	99.8%	86.2%
Mexico	Jilotlan De Los Dolores	2000	78.3%	95.9%	51.9%
Mexico	Jilotlan De Los Dolores	2017	94.5%	99.6%	80.7%
Mexico	Jilotzingo	2000	67.9%	82.4%	47.7%
Mexico	Jilotzingo	2017	96.5%	98.9%	89.6%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	87.6%	99.6%	44.0%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	97.0%	98.9%	93.2%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	93.3%	98.0%	82.6%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	59.5%	73.3%	44.5%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	97.6%	100.0%	81.6%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	86.3%	95.1%	76.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.4%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Jimenez Del Teul	2000	75.7%	97.2%	30.2%
Mexico	Jimenez Del Teul	2017	95.1%	99.7%	77.7%
Mexico	Jiquilpan	2000	88.9%	95.5%	76.1%
Mexico	Jiquilpan	2017	98.2%	99.5%	95.3%
Mexico	Jiquipilas	2000	74.1%	95.1%	44.7%
Mexico	Jiquipilas	2017	93.3%	99.6%	71.0%
Mexico	Jiquipilco	2000	81.2%	99.5%	32.6%
Mexico	Jiquipilco	2017	96.3%	100.0%	76.1%
Mexico	Jitotol	2000	54.8%	88.0%	16.7%
Mexico	Jitotol	2017	87.6%	98.6%	57.2%
Mexico	Jiutepec	2000	90.3%	93.5%	84.1%
Mexico	Jiutepec	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.8%
Mexico	Jocotepec	2000	86.9%	99.1%	50.2%
Mexico	Jocotepec	2017	97.4%	99.9%	85.8%
Mexico	Jocotitlan	2000	63.6%	84.6%	35.4%
Mexico	Jocotitlan	2017	88.0%	98.1%	71.9%
Mexico	Jojutla	2000	98.3%	99.4%	94.6%
Mexico	Jojutla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Jolalpan	2000	77.9%	99.1%	27.4%
Mexico	Jolalpan	2017	93.7%	99.9%	54.3%
Mexico	Jonacatepec	2000	49.5%	93.4%	5.8%
Mexico	Jonacatepec	2017	83.8%	99.3%	30.0%
Mexico	Jonotla	2000	67.7%	87.0%	41.8%
Mexico	Jonotla	2017	92.9%	99.1%	68.3%
Mexico	Jonuta	2000	54.9%	72.5%	36.5%
Mexico	Jonuta	2017	83.1%	94.3%	69.2%
Mexico	Jopala	2000	63.4%	90.4%	20.2%
Mexico	Jopala	2017	91.9%	98.5%	71.8%
Mexico	Joquicingo	2000	71.5%	87.4%	44.1%
Mexico	Joquicingo	2017	96.0%	99.2%	79.9%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2000	77.4%	95.6%	35.1%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2000	58.9%	80.1%	27.5%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2017	95.9%	99.6%	77.1%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2017	85.3%	94.8%	72.8%
Mexico	Jose Joaquin De Herrera	2000	70.2%	99.1%	12.9%
Mexico	Jose Joaquin De Herrera	2017	91.5%	99.9%	53.3%
Mexico	Jose Maria Morelos	2000	78.5%	89.8%	61.8%
Mexico	Jose Maria Morelos	2017	94.3%	98.7%	86.2%
Mexico	Jose Sixto Verduzco	2000	85.4%	99.4%	44.9%
Mexico	Jose Sixto Verduzco	2017	97.5%	100.0%	84.7%
Mexico	Juan Aldama	2000	84.5%	93.8%	66.3%
Mexico	Juan Aldama	2017	98.2%	99.7%	93.0%
Mexico	Juan C. Bonilla	2000	57.9%	67.3%	45.7%
Mexico	Juan C. Bonilla	2017	96.4%	97.6%	94.2%
Mexico	Juan Galindo	2000	61.1%	68.5%	54.3%
Mexico	Juan Galindo	2017	95.3%	97.0%	92.9%
Mexico	Juan N. Mendez	2000	76.7%	99.0%	26.0%
Mexico	Juan N. Mendez	2017	93.7%	100.0%	67.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Juan R. Escudero	2000	86.2%	94.6%	72.1%
Mexico	Juan R. Escudero	2017	96.9%	99.7%	86.1%
Mexico	Juan Rodriguez Clara	2000	72.1%	91.6%	44.5%
Mexico	Juan Rodriguez Clara	2017	94.4%	99.3%	79.9%
Mexico	Juanacatlan	2000	77.7%	90.2%	59.8%
Mexico	Juanacatlan	2017	96.6%	99.6%	81.7%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	84.4%	98.8%	44.4%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	79.8%	87.2%	72.6%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	78.2%	99.8%	15.6%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	84.0%	89.0%	78.9%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	58.9%	89.8%	24.1%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	96.5%	98.7%	93.3%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	94.2%	100.0%	59.9%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	94.2%	98.2%	87.8%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	87.3%	98.8%	53.3%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	97.3%	99.9%	87.1%
Mexico	Juarez Hidalgo	2000	86.4%	94.8%	71.8%
Mexico	Juarez Hidalgo	2017	98.4%	99.7%	93.8%
Mexico	Juchipila	2000	97.1%	99.5%	89.6%
Mexico	Juchipila	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Juchique De Ferrer	2000	74.5%	99.4%	18.2%
Mexico	Juchique De Ferrer	2017	93.5%	100.0%	65.0%
Mexico	Juchitan	2000	74.7%	99.0%	13.8%
Mexico	Juchitan	2017	93.5%	99.9%	56.5%
Mexico	Juchitan De Zaragoza	2000	81.9%	92.1%	61.3%
Mexico	Juchitan De Zaragoza	2017	98.0%	99.3%	94.9%
Mexico	Juchitepec	2000	96.7%	99.8%	84.9%
Mexico	Juchitepec	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Juchitlan	2000	75.1%	99.7%	18.4%
Mexico	Juchitlan	2017	94.1%	100.0%	68.2%
Mexico	Julimes	2000	84.4%	99.3%	43.3%
Mexico	Julimes	2017	97.6%	99.9%	82.3%
Mexico	Jungapeo	2000	49.8%	70.8%	27.9%
Mexico	Jungapeo	2017	89.4%	97.2%	72.1%
Mexico	Kanasin	2000	89.3%	92.6%	85.3%
Mexico	Kanasin	2017	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
Mexico	Kantunil	2000	91.6%	99.7%	66.9%
Mexico	Kantunil	2017	97.7%	100.0%	79.0%
Mexico	Kaua	2000	77.9%	99.6%	17.0%
Mexico	Kaua	2017	95.0%	100.0%	63.3%
Mexico	Kinchil	2000	80.2%	99.7%	19.1%
Mexico	Kinchil	2017	96.3%	100.0%	75.9%
Mexico	Kopoma	2000	78.6%	99.9%	17.5%
Mexico	Kopoma	2017	95.0%	100.0%	68.5%
Mexico	La Antigua	2000	82.3%	99.8%	36.0%
Mexico	La Antigua	2017	96.5%	100.0%	73.8%
Mexico	La Barca	2000	91.7%	97.7%	79.5%
Mexico	La Barca	2017	98.8%	99.8%	94.7%
Mexico	La Colorada	2000	80.0%	96.6%	56.3%
Mexico	La Colorada	2017	95.3%	99.7%	81.3%
Mexico	La Compania	2000	75.5%	99.4%	16.3%
Mexico	La Compania	2017	95.2%	100.0%	62.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	La Concordia	2000	79.5%	92.0%	62.0%
Mexico	La Concordia	2017	95.4%	98.6%	87.0%
Mexico	La Cruz	2000	81.2%	99.8%	29.1%
Mexico	La Cruz	2017	96.0%	100.0%	74.7%
Mexico	La Grandeza	2000	57.9%	98.4%	6.5%
Mexico	La Grandeza	2017	86.1%	99.9%	37.8%
Mexico	La Huacana	2000	79.2%	95.9%	52.5%
Mexico	La Huacana	2017	95.3%	99.6%	84.5%
Mexico	La Huerta	2000	79.8%	95.8%	53.2%
Mexico	La Huerta	2017	95.9%	99.6%	88.2%
Mexico	La Independencia	2000	71.7%	90.7%	45.0%
Mexico	La Independencia	2017	94.5%	99.1%	79.4%
Mexico	La Libertad	2000	77.4%	94.8%	50.0%
Mexico	La Libertad	2017	93.6%	99.5%	78.7%
Mexico	La Magdalena Contreras	2000	80.7%	85.4%	74.8%
Mexico	La Magdalena Contreras	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlaltelulco	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlaltelulco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlatlauquitepec	2000	77.7%	99.9%	10.9%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlatlauquitepec	2017	93.8%	100.0%	50.9%
Mexico	La Manzanilla De La Paz	2000	82.4%	99.6%	27.6%
Mexico	La Manzanilla De La Paz	2017	94.9%	100.0%	50.6%
Mexico	La Mision	2000	84.3%	99.1%	42.1%
Mexico	La Mision	2017	96.9%	99.9%	83.1%
Mexico	La Paz	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Mexico	La Paz	2000	93.7%	97.0%	88.3%
Mexico	La Paz	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Mexico	La Paz	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.3%
Mexico	La Pe	2000	71.9%	99.4%	12.9%
Mexico	La Pe	2017	93.1%	100.0%	56.1%
Mexico	La Perla	2000	50.5%	83.5%	18.5%
Mexico	La Perla	2017	76.6%	96.1%	39.4%
Mexico	La Piedad	2000	87.7%	96.7%	67.4%
Mexico	La Piedad	2017	98.5%	99.7%	94.8%
Mexico	La Reforma	2000	68.7%	97.8%	16.3%
Mexico	La Reforma	2017	91.0%	99.8%	48.3%
Mexico	La Trinidad Vista Hermosa	2000	73.9%	99.9%	4.1%
Mexico	La Trinidad Vista Hermosa	2017	92.2%	100.0%	30.3%
Mexico	La Trinitaria	2000	74.6%	91.3%	48.5%
Mexico	La Trinitaria	2017	94.5%	99.4%	81.4%
Mexico	La Union De Isidoro Montes De Oca	2000	76.2%	92.7%	50.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	La Union De Isidoro Montes De Oca	2017	95.0%	99.2%	79.9%
Mexico	La Yesca	2000	76.5%	91.5%	55.0%
Mexico	La Yesca	2017	93.9%	98.8%	81.1%
Mexico	Lafragua	2000	58.8%	67.6%	39.2%
Mexico	Lafragua	2017	70.8%	91.7%	62.6%
Mexico	Lagos De Moreno	2000	91.9%	96.6%	85.0%
Mexico	Lagos De Moreno	2017	98.8%	99.7%	96.8%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2000	78.1%	98.5%	20.7%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2000	78.5%	97.7%	43.0%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2017	96.0%	99.9%	74.5%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2017	95.4%	99.8%	80.3%
Mexico	Lamadrid	2000	88.4%	99.8%	41.6%
Mexico	Lamadrid	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.9%
Mexico	Lampazos De Naranjo	2000	86.3%	99.5%	36.8%
Mexico	Lampazos De Naranjo	2017	98.0%	99.9%	88.6%
Mexico	Landa De Matamoros	2000	80.0%	98.4%	53.1%
Mexico	Landa De Matamoros	2017	95.4%	99.9%	84.2%
Mexico	Landero Y Coss	2000	73.7%	99.7%	6.4%
Mexico	Landero Y Coss	2017	94.3%	100.0%	43.5%
Mexico	Larrainzar	2000	70.9%	97.5%	20.0%
Mexico	Larrainzar	2017	91.4%	99.8%	54.7%
Mexico	Las Choapas	2000	66.8%	88.7%	26.0%
Mexico	Las Choapas	2017	90.0%	98.1%	52.7%
Mexico	Las Margaritas	2000	75.9%	89.6%	53.7%
Mexico	Las Margaritas	2017	94.4%	98.6%	84.1%
Mexico	Las Minas	2000	61.3%	94.0%	20.2%
Mexico	Las Minas	2017	89.4%	99.6%	49.9%
Mexico	Las Rosas	2000	75.7%	98.7%	13.3%
Mexico	Las Rosas	2017	94.6%	99.9%	60.4%
Mexico	Las Vigas De Ramirez	2000	75.0%	99.6%	12.6%
Mexico	Las Vigas De Ramirez	2017	94.7%	100.0%	58.8%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	88.8%	97.0%	71.3%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	75.4%	99.7%	6.5%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	84.8%	96.1%	64.9%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	97.0%	99.6%	86.6%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	98.6%	99.7%	94.7%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	94.4%	100.0%	51.5%
Mexico	Leon	2000	74.8%	80.5%	67.7%
Mexico	Leon	2017	89.6%	92.3%	86.3%
Mexico	Leonardo Bravo	2000	59.2%	84.4%	16.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Leonardo Bravo	2017	82.9%	95.5%	54.4%
Mexico	Lerdo	2000	93.7%	95.8%	91.3%
Mexico	Lerdo	2017	99.5%	99.7%	98.9%
Mexico	Lerdo De Tejada	2000	73.4%	99.6%	8.8%
Mexico	Lerdo De Tejada	2017	92.9%	100.0%	36.2%
Mexico	Lerma	2000	67.6%	77.8%	52.5%
Mexico	Lerma	2017	93.4%	96.5%	88.7%
Mexico	Libres	2000	93.0%	99.3%	78.2%
Mexico	Libres	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Linares	2000	91.4%	96.3%	82.9%
Mexico	Linares	2017	98.8%	99.6%	97.2%
Mexico	Llera	2000	72.1%	83.9%	54.6%
Mexico	Llera	2017	95.1%	98.1%	87.1%
Mexico	Lolotla	2000	88.3%	99.7%	58.9%
Mexico	Lolotla	2017	97.9%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	Loma Bonita	2000	60.1%	86.8%	29.7%
Mexico	Loma Bonita	2017	92.8%	98.6%	79.3%
Mexico	Lopez	2000	84.1%	99.6%	31.4%
Mexico	Lopez	2017	97.2%	100.0%	83.3%
Mexico	Loreto	2000	84.4%	95.3%	64.1%
Mexico	Loreto	2000	93.0%	97.6%	85.5%
Mexico	Loreto	2017	98.3%	99.5%	96.1%
Mexico	Loreto	2017	99.1%	99.8%	96.5%
Mexico	Los Aldamas	2000	85.4%	99.5%	50.1%
Mexico	Los Aldamas	2017	96.0%	100.0%	70.1%
Mexico	Los Cabos	2000	95.2%	97.6%	89.7%
Mexico	Los Cabos	2017	99.6%	99.8%	98.5%
Mexico	Los Herreras	2000	96.2%	99.6%	86.1%
Mexico	Los Herreras	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.9%
Mexico	Los Ramones	2000	81.8%	95.6%	59.1%
Mexico	Los Ramones	2017	96.2%	99.7%	87.2%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2000	70.9%	96.3%	20.8%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2000	69.0%	89.7%	45.8%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2017	91.5%	99.8%	39.2%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2017	94.0%	99.3%	77.8%
Mexico	Los Reyes De Juarez	2000	90.9%	97.1%	70.6%
Mexico	Los Reyes De Juarez	2017	99.2%	99.7%	97.6%
Mexico	Luis Moya	2000	94.6%	97.2%	88.6%
Mexico	Luis Moya	2017	99.6%	99.8%	98.6%
Mexico	Luvianos	2000	70.7%	97.7%	23.6%
Mexico	Luvianos	2017	92.5%	99.8%	59.4%
Mexico	Macuspana	2000	42.9%	58.6%	29.1%
Mexico	Macuspana	2017	82.2%	90.4%	70.0%
Mexico	Madera	2000	93.1%	97.0%	85.1%
Mexico	Madera	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.7%
Mexico	Madero	2000	79.4%	96.6%	39.3%
Mexico	Madero	2017	95.3%	99.7%	77.1%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	85.6%	99.7%	29.6%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	65.3%	99.8%	2.5%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	97.3%	99.7%	88.8%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	88.8%	100.0%	29.0%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	97.4%	100.0%	81.0%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	48.3%	73.2%	22.6%
Mexico	Apasco				
Mexico	Magdalena Apasco	2017	91.2%	97.8%	74.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Magdalena Jaltepec	2000	73.6%	98.7%	26.1%
Mexico	Magdalena Jaltepec	2017	92.8%	99.9%	66.9%
Mexico	Magdalena Mixtepec	2000	31.8%	82.2%	1.4%
Mexico	Magdalena Mixtepec	2017	67.9%	97.9%	12.9%
Mexico	Magdalena Ocotlan	2000	28.5%	74.1%	1.5%
Mexico	Magdalena Ocotlan	2017	65.6%	97.6%	17.7%
Mexico	Magdalena Penasco	2000	73.5%	98.1%	21.4%
Mexico	Magdalena Penasco	2017	95.8%	99.7%	79.3%
Mexico	Magdalena Teitipac	2000	80.7%	99.8%	17.4%
Mexico	Magdalena Teitipac	2017	96.7%	100.0%	75.2%
Mexico	Magdalena Tequisistlan	2000	76.4%	98.4%	15.0%
Mexico	Magdalena Tequisistlan	2017	93.5%	99.8%	48.8%
Mexico	Magdalena Tlacotepec	2000	78.1%	99.9%	8.5%
Mexico	Magdalena Tlacotepec	2017	95.4%	100.0%	55.4%
Mexico	Magdalena Yodocono De Porfirio Diaz	2000	71.4%	99.6%	12.1%
Mexico	Magdalena Yodocono De Porfirio Diaz	2017	93.2%	100.0%	61.2%
Mexico	Magdalena Zahuatlan	2000	66.3%	96.8%	20.0%
Mexico	Magdalena Zahuatlan	2017	90.4%	99.9%	36.0%
Mexico	Maguarichi	2000	81.9%	96.8%	45.6%
Mexico	Maguarichi	2017	96.4%	99.7%	81.9%
Mexico	Mainero	2000	79.2%	99.1%	24.6%
Mexico	Mainero	2017	94.9%	99.9%	67.1%
Mexico	Malinalco	2000	73.4%	97.6%	22.5%
Mexico	Malinalco	2017	93.3%	99.8%	67.7%
Mexico	Malinaltepec	2000	47.6%	73.5%	14.4%
Mexico	Malinaltepec	2017	74.8%	88.6%	49.7%
Mexico	Maltrata	2000	77.4%	98.2%	22.3%
Mexico	Maltrata	2017	95.6%	99.9%	69.9%
Mexico	Mama	2000	92.4%	99.9%	61.6%
Mexico	Mama	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Mani	2000	79.4%	98.9%	26.2%
Mexico	Mani	2017	95.7%	99.9%	64.8%
Mexico	Manlio Fabio Altamirano	2000	71.5%	91.3%	40.1%
Mexico	Manlio Fabio Altamirano	2017	95.0%	99.3%	83.8%
Mexico	Manuel Benavides	2000	90.6%	97.0%	80.9%
Mexico	Manuel Benavides	2017	98.1%	99.7%	92.4%
Mexico	Manuel Doblado	2000	90.8%	98.8%	76.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Manuel Doblado	2017	98.2%	99.9%	92.3%
Mexico	Manzanillo	2000	88.6%	97.8%	64.6%
Mexico	Manzanillo	2017	98.3%	99.8%	91.5%
Mexico	Mapastepec	2000	48.6%	63.3%	32.0%
Mexico	Mapastepec	2017	90.0%	95.6%	80.3%
Mexico	Mapimi	2000	84.7%	94.2%	65.8%
Mexico	Mapimi	2017	98.3%	99.5%	95.6%
Mexico	Maravatio	2000	75.5%	89.6%	53.9%
Mexico	Maravatio	2017	96.4%	99.2%	88.4%
Mexico	Maravilla	2000	69.6%	97.5%	24.6%
Mexico	Tenejapa Maravilla	2017	92.0%	99.7%	67.4%
Mexico	Tenejapa Marcos Castellanos	2000	81.5%	98.8%	37.9%
Mexico	Tenejapa Marcos Castellanos	2017	96.6%	99.9%	80.4%
Mexico	Mariano Escobedo	2000	68.9%	86.8%	43.7%
Mexico	Mariano Escobedo	2017	95.4%	98.6%	86.1%
Mexico	Marin	2000	84.9%	99.0%	42.0%
Mexico	Marin	2017	96.7%	99.9%	74.5%
Mexico	Mariscal De Juarez	2000	66.9%	94.0%	16.9%
Mexico	Mariscal De Juarez	2017	92.8%	99.6%	58.9%
Mexico	Marquelia	2000	80.2%	98.8%	28.1%
Mexico	Marquelia	2017	94.4%	99.9%	68.8%
Mexico	Marques De Comillas	2000	69.4%	92.8%	36.3%
Mexico	Marques De Comillas	2017	92.6%	99.4%	78.3%
Mexico	Martinez De La Torre	2000	72.3%	88.0%	46.7%
Mexico	Martinez De La Torre	2017	96.7%	98.9%	90.7%
Mexico	Martir De Cuilapan	2000	76.5%	95.3%	38.7%
Mexico	Martir De Cuilapan	2017	94.3%	99.7%	62.1%
Mexico	Martires De Tacubaya	2000	74.1%	99.8%	12.2%
Mexico	Martires De Tacubaya	2017	93.4%	100.0%	53.2%
Mexico	Mascota	2000	80.5%	96.4%	50.7%
Mexico	Mascota	2017	96.4%	99.7%	85.2%
Mexico	Matachi	2000	81.7%	99.2%	33.1%
Mexico	Matachi	2017	96.8%	99.9%	81.4%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	87.9%	95.6%	73.1%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	83.1%	97.4%	48.7%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	91.3%	96.3%	81.9%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	98.2%	99.7%	91.3%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.5%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	96.0%	99.8%	82.5%
Mexico	Matehuala	2000	78.5%	91.2%	57.0%
Mexico	Matehuala	2017	97.6%	99.2%	94.5%
Mexico	Matias Romero Avendano	2000	72.7%	83.6%	58.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Matias Romero Avendano	2017	95.3%	98.3%	87.9%
Mexico	Matlapa	2000	62.1%	75.6%	46.8%
Mexico	Matlapa	2017	94.2%	97.2%	87.4%
Mexico	Maxcanu	2000	86.9%	97.4%	63.7%
Mexico	Maxcanu	2017	97.9%	99.8%	87.8%
Mexico	Mayapan	2000	82.8%	100.0%	11.1%
Mexico	Mayapan	2017	96.3%	100.0%	64.8%
Mexico	Mazamitla	2000	85.3%	99.4%	30.6%
Mexico	Mazamitla	2017	97.4%	100.0%	82.3%
Mexico	Mazapa De Madero	2000	68.0%	99.3%	13.8%
Mexico	Mazapa De Madero	2017	89.7%	100.0%	41.2%
Mexico	Mazapil	2000	77.7%	86.7%	66.7%
Mexico	Mazapil	2017	95.1%	98.2%	88.7%
Mexico	Mazapiltepec	2000	90.0%	97.5%	71.9%
Mexico	Mazapiltepec De Juarez	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.3%
Mexico	Mazatan	2000	88.3%	99.7%	37.4%
Mexico	Mazatan	2000	74.9%	98.7%	26.1%
Mexico	Mazatan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.5%
Mexico	Mazatan	2017	94.2%	99.9%	70.7%
Mexico	Mazatecochco	2000	99.0%	99.8%	96.6%
Mexico	Mazatecochco De Jose Maria Morelos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Mazatepec	2000	63.1%	92.7%	26.0%
Mexico	Mazatepec	2017	93.8%	99.5%	76.3%
Mexico	Mazatlan	2000	95.9%	98.1%	91.4%
Mexico	Mazatlan	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.6%
Mexico	Mazatlan	2000	55.8%	75.5%	27.8%
Mexico	Mazatlan De Villa Flores	2017	92.6%	97.0%	80.0%
Mexico	Mecatlan	2000	28.9%	49.8%	12.5%
Mexico	Mecatlan	2017	77.5%	91.1%	55.9%
Mexico	Mecayapan	2000	71.5%	96.5%	18.9%
Mexico	Mecayapan	2017	92.3%	99.7%	63.3%
Mexico	Medellin	2000	45.8%	56.9%	34.0%
Mexico	Medellin	2017	69.0%	80.6%	53.6%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	90.2%	94.9%	83.1%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	77.6%	95.9%	44.0%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	97.5%	99.6%	90.7%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.6%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	94.6%	99.7%	77.6%
Mexico	Mendez	2000	81.1%	95.1%	54.5%
Mexico	Mendez	2017	95.5%	99.4%	82.7%
Mexico	Meoqui	2000	79.3%	92.0%	58.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Meoqui	2017	97.9%	99.5%	94.4%
Mexico	Merida	2000	87.4%	91.3%	82.9%
Mexico	Merida	2017	99.0%	99.5%	98.3%
Mexico	Mesones Hidalgo	2000	67.0%	97.4%	19.5%
Mexico	Mesones Hidalgo	2017	92.9%	99.9%	64.5%
Mexico	Metapa	2000	95.3%	99.4%	84.0%
Mexico	Metapa	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Metepec	2000	93.1%	95.8%	87.6%
Mexico	Metepec	2000	82.2%	91.8%	63.9%
Mexico	Metepec	2017	98.1%	99.4%	92.9%
Mexico	Metepec	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Mexico	Metlatonoc	2000	70.5%	89.6%	46.1%
Mexico	Metlatonoc	2017	92.7%	98.9%	79.3%
Mexico	Metztitlan	2000	77.6%	97.2%	39.3%
Mexico	Metztitlan	2017	94.8%	99.8%	71.3%
Mexico	Mexicali	2000	95.9%	97.7%	92.1%
Mexico	Mexicali	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Mexico	Mexicaltzingo	2000	96.5%	99.5%	83.1%
Mexico	Mexicaltzingo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Mexquitic De Carmona	2000	57.6%	75.0%	42.7%
Mexico	Mexquitic De Carmona	2017	89.7%	95.9%	75.1%
Mexico	Mexticacan	2000	75.9%	98.7%	20.6%
Mexico	Mexticacan	2017	94.9%	99.9%	68.5%
Mexico	Mezquital	2000	81.8%	89.7%	69.9%
Mexico	Mezquital	2017	96.2%	98.5%	91.1%
Mexico	Mezquital Del Oro	2000	80.2%	99.4%	20.6%
Mexico	Mezquital Del Oro	2017	95.0%	100.0%	61.2%
Mexico	Mezquitic	2000	56.5%	73.9%	36.3%
Mexico	Mezquitic	2017	82.6%	93.7%	67.8%
Mexico	Miacatlan	2000	70.0%	89.1%	48.6%
Mexico	Miacatlan	2017	95.6%	99.2%	87.7%
Mexico	Miahuatlan	2000	78.8%	99.8%	23.6%
Mexico	Miahuatlan	2017	95.2%	100.0%	63.5%
Mexico	Miahuatlan De Porfirio Diaz	2000	61.5%	72.1%	48.2%
Mexico	Miahuatlan De Porfirio Diaz	2017	93.8%	97.2%	81.6%
Mexico	Mier	2000	67.3%	97.7%	18.7%
Mexico	Mier	2017	94.2%	99.9%	70.5%
Mexico	Mier Y Noriega	2000	83.7%	96.9%	60.8%
Mexico	Mier Y Noriega	2017	96.9%	99.7%	88.9%
Mexico	Miguel Aleman	2000	82.4%	96.5%	57.0%
Mexico	Miguel Aleman	2017	97.8%	99.8%	93.2%
Mexico	Miguel Auza	2000	91.0%	96.8%	81.7%
Mexico	Miguel Auza	2017	98.4%	99.8%	93.4%
Mexico	Miguel Hidalgo	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Mexico	Miguel Hidalgo	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Mexico	Milpa Alta	2000	94.7%	99.6%	78.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Milpa Alta	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Mina	2000	95.8%	99.2%	84.8%
Mexico	Mina	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.1%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2000	70.4%	76.3%	63.7%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2000	95.7%	99.6%	87.5%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2017	94.9%	96.9%	91.5%
Mexico	Mineral De La Reforma	2000	91.3%	97.9%	79.5%
Mexico	Mineral De La Reforma	2017	99.2%	99.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Mineral Del Chico	2000	87.0%	99.5%	66.7%
Mexico	Mineral Del Chico	2017	96.0%	100.0%	82.5%
Mexico	Mineral Del Monte	2000	60.1%	97.8%	8.7%
Mexico	Mineral Del Monte	2017	88.6%	99.9%	45.2%
Mexico	Miquihuana	2000	81.5%	98.5%	44.8%
Mexico	Miquihuana	2017	96.1%	99.9%	76.0%
Mexico	Misantla	2000	28.0%	40.6%	14.0%
Mexico	Misantla	2017	61.8%	84.0%	41.0%
Mexico	Mitontic	2000	62.9%	98.8%	8.7%
Mexico	Mitontic	2017	88.1%	99.9%	35.7%
Mexico	Mixistlan De La Reforma	2000	67.1%	99.8%	1.5%
Mexico	Mixistlan De La Reforma	2017	89.6%	100.0%	16.5%
Mexico	Mixquiahuala De Juarez	2000	97.9%	99.6%	89.2%
Mexico	Mixquiahuala De Juarez	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Mixtla	2000	83.7%	97.7%	49.4%
Mexico	Mixtla	2017	98.6%	99.8%	93.3%
Mexico	Mixtla De Altamirano	2000	74.6%	99.5%	14.9%
Mexico	Mixtla De Altamirano	2017	94.0%	100.0%	55.8%
Mexico	Mixtlan	2000	82.3%	98.5%	51.2%
Mexico	Mixtlan	2017	96.8%	99.9%	85.6%
Mexico	Mochitlan	2000	76.7%	97.8%	32.6%
Mexico	Mochitlan	2017	95.0%	99.8%	77.0%
Mexico	Mococha	2000	80.1%	99.9%	19.0%
Mexico	Mococha	2017	96.1%	100.0%	63.2%
Mexico	Mocorito	2000	83.0%	94.1%	66.6%
Mexico	Mocorito	2017	96.1%	99.3%	88.7%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2000	87.0%	99.9%	19.6%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2000	76.8%	91.1%	58.3%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2017	97.7%	100.0%	78.6%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2017	95.7%	99.3%	85.9%
Mexico	Molango De Escamilla	2000	93.5%	99.6%	79.7%
Mexico	Molango De Escamilla	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.0%
Mexico	Molcaxac	2000	70.6%	99.0%	17.3%
Mexico	Molcaxac	2017	92.7%	99.9%	56.9%
Mexico	Moloacan	2000	45.5%	84.4%	3.2%
Mexico	Moloacan	2017	75.0%	96.6%	19.7%
Mexico	Momax	2000	83.3%	99.7%	28.4%
Mexico	Momax	2017	96.7%	100.0%	79.7%
Mexico	Monclova	2000	97.2%	98.4%	95.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Monclova	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	Monjas	2000	69.7%	97.3%	17.5%
Mexico	Monjas	2017	94.0%	99.8%	66.9%
Mexico	Monte Es-cobedo	2000	78.5%	95.0%	45.1%
Mexico	Monte Es-cobedo	2017	95.7%	99.5%	83.3%
Mexico	Montecristo De Guerrero	2000	69.9%	98.3%	16.8%
Mexico	Montecristo De Guerrero	2017	92.5%	99.9%	56.3%
Mexico	Montemorelos	2000	88.9%	95.5%	78.5%
Mexico	Montemorelos	2017	98.9%	99.7%	97.5%
Mexico	Monterrey	2000	98.1%	99.0%	96.9%
Mexico	Monterrey	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	Morelia	2000	66.5%	78.4%	49.1%
Mexico	Morelia	2017	83.7%	86.3%	77.5%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	64.0%	82.7%	40.1%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	96.3%	99.6%	72.1%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	78.0%	93.8%	49.0%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	91.1%	98.4%	72.7%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	93.7%	99.0%	72.5%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.3%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	89.4%	96.8%	78.3%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	96.2%	99.6%	84.0%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	Moris	2000	77.8%	96.1%	42.6%
Mexico	Moris	2017	95.0%	99.7%	77.6%
Mexico	Moroleon	2000	97.9%	99.7%	90.2%
Mexico	Moroleon	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Motozintla	2000	77.3%	94.6%	51.4%
Mexico	Motozintla	2017	94.8%	99.6%	80.1%
Mexico	Motul	2000	82.1%	88.6%	74.9%
Mexico	Motul	2017	98.2%	99.1%	95.7%
Mexico	Moyahua De Estrada	2000	78.6%	99.1%	31.9%
Mexico	Moyahua De Estrada	2017	95.1%	99.9%	73.5%
Mexico	Mugica	2000	79.1%	98.6%	29.6%
Mexico	Mugica	2017	96.9%	99.9%	80.3%
Mexico	Mulege	2000	63.2%	70.6%	54.7%
Mexico	Mulege	2017	92.3%	94.9%	88.6%
Mexico	Muna	2000	97.2%	99.5%	89.3%
Mexico	Muna	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Munoz De Domingo Arenas	2000	98.5%	99.9%	90.1%
Mexico	Munoz De Domingo Arenas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Muxupip	2000	94.6%	98.2%	86.1%
Mexico	Muxupip	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Mexico	Muzquiz	2000	94.3%	98.3%	81.9%
Mexico	Muzquiz	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Mexico	Nacajuca	2000	78.8%	90.3%	59.2%
Mexico	Nacajuca	2017	96.6%	99.3%	85.5%
Mexico	Naco	2000	89.8%	99.7%	43.7%
Mexico	Naco	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Nacori Chico	2000	79.5%	97.8%	37.7%
Mexico	Nacori Chico	2017	96.0%	99.8%	83.5%
Mexico	Nacozari De Garcia	2000	94.4%	99.9%	65.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Nacozari De Garcia	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.0%
Mexico	Nadadores	2000	92.5%	99.0%	65.9%
Mexico	Nadadores	2017	99.0%	99.9%	94.0%
Mexico	Nahuatzen	2000	83.5%	98.0%	50.8%
Mexico	Nahuatzen	2017	97.4%	99.9%	87.9%
Mexico	Namiquipa	2000	56.5%	65.6%	46.9%
Mexico	Namiquipa	2017	90.9%	95.2%	84.0%
Mexico	Nanacamilpa De Mariano Arista	2000	98.1%	99.9%	90.2%
Mexico	Nanacamilpa De Mariano Arista	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Nanchital De Lazaro Cardenas Del Rio	2000	82.9%	89.2%	75.2%
Mexico	Nanchital De Lazaro Cardenas Del Rio	2017	98.7%	99.2%	97.9%
Mexico	Naolinco	2000	82.9%	99.8%	37.6%
Mexico	Naolinco	2017	97.1%	100.0%	82.3%
Mexico	Naranjal	2000	62.6%	94.5%	18.3%
Mexico	Naranjal	2017	91.5%	99.6%	66.4%
Mexico	Naranjos Amatlan	2000	74.3%	84.0%	63.7%
Mexico	Naranjos Amatlan	2017	96.4%	98.4%	93.4%
Mexico	Natividad	2000	73.1%	98.3%	13.5%
Mexico	Natividad	2017	96.1%	99.9%	72.5%
Mexico	Nativitas	2000	84.4%	87.5%	80.7%
Mexico	Nativitas	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Mexico	Naucalpan De Juarez	2000	90.5%	95.8%	81.3%
Mexico	Naucalpan De Juarez	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.7%
Mexico	Naupan	2000	77.1%	99.5%	24.6%
Mexico	Naupan	2017	95.2%	100.0%	66.7%
Mexico	Nautla	2000	55.6%	82.7%	24.4%
Mexico	Nautla	2017	87.6%	97.9%	67.8%
Mexico	Nauzontla	2000	68.0%	99.6%	6.1%
Mexico	Nauzontla	2017	90.6%	100.0%	42.4%
Mexico	Nava	2000	88.4%	97.9%	64.4%
Mexico	Nava	2017	98.9%	99.8%	94.8%
Mexico	Navojoa	2000	88.4%	91.5%	83.8%
Mexico	Navojoa	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.1%
Mexico	Navolato	2000	88.4%	95.2%	76.1%
Mexico	Navolato	2017	98.3%	99.7%	94.6%
Mexico	Nazareno Etna	2000	55.3%	61.9%	48.9%
Mexico	Nazareno Etna	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.5%
Mexico	Nazas	2000	87.4%	98.2%	57.2%
Mexico	Nazas	2017	98.0%	99.8%	90.0%
Mexico	Nealtican	2000	86.6%	92.7%	76.0%
Mexico	Nealtican	2017	98.9%	99.5%	97.9%
Mexico	Nejapa De Madero	2000	75.6%	98.2%	23.0%
Mexico	Nejapa De Madero	2017	93.8%	99.8%	58.4%
Mexico	Nextlalpan	2000	93.0%	96.0%	89.0%
Mexico	Nextlalpan	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Mexico	Nezahualcoyotl	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Mexico	Nezahualcoyotl	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Nicolas Bravo	2000	88.9%	98.7%	61.5%
Mexico	Nicolas Bravo	2017	98.1%	99.9%	84.3%
Mexico	Nicolas Flores	2000	78.3%	99.4%	23.4%
Mexico	Nicolas Flores	2017	94.7%	100.0%	65.8%
Mexico	Nicolas Romero	2000	87.5%	94.4%	74.4%
Mexico	Nicolas Romero	2017	98.7%	99.7%	93.5%
Mexico	Nicolas Ruiz	2000	97.9%	99.7%	91.7%
Mexico	Nicolas Ruiz	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Nochistlan De Mejia	2000	89.8%	95.1%	82.4%
Mexico	Nochistlan De Mejia	2017	98.1%	99.6%	94.2%
Mexico	Nocupetaro	2000	77.9%	98.0%	33.5%
Mexico	Nocupetaro	2017	94.8%	99.8%	76.3%
Mexico	Nogales	2000	39.6%	51.8%	27.9%
Mexico	Nogales	2000	97.6%	99.3%	93.4%
Mexico	Nogales	2017	89.3%	93.9%	81.5%
Mexico	Nogales	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Nombre De Dios	2000	85.1%	96.1%	67.3%
Mexico	Nombre De Dios	2017	97.1%	99.7%	87.5%
Mexico	Nonoava	2000	82.1%	97.5%	36.8%
Mexico	Nonoava	2017	96.4%	99.8%	83.0%
Mexico	Nopala De Villagran	2000	77.4%	98.4%	32.1%
Mexico	Nopala De Villagran	2017	94.9%	99.9%	77.6%
Mexico	Nopaltepec	2000	86.6%	98.9%	48.1%
Mexico	Nopaltepec	2017	97.7%	99.9%	82.6%
Mexico	Nopalucan	2000	88.5%	99.8%	43.8%
Mexico	Nopalucan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	85.8%
Mexico	Noria De Angeles	2000	89.0%	99.2%	67.3%
Mexico	Noria De Angeles	2017	97.8%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	Nuevo Casas Grandes	2000	93.2%	97.1%	87.4%
Mexico	Nuevo Casas Grandes	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.8%
Mexico	Nuevo Ideal	2000	81.7%	94.3%	65.7%
Mexico	Nuevo Ideal	2017	96.2%	99.4%	89.2%
Mexico	Nuevo Laredo	2000	78.8%	89.3%	63.0%
Mexico	Nuevo Laredo	2017	95.4%	99.0%	87.6%
Mexico	Nuevo Morelos	2000	77.1%	99.5%	14.4%
Mexico	Nuevo Morelos	2017	93.0%	100.0%	37.4%
Mexico	Nuevo Parangaricutiro	2000	94.7%	99.6%	80.4%
Mexico	Nuevo Parangaricutiro	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Nuevo Urecho	2000	76.9%	99.1%	24.0%
Mexico	Nuevo Urecho	2017	94.5%	100.0%	67.9%
Mexico	Nuevo Zoquiapam	2000	75.4%	99.7%	13.1%
Mexico	Nuevo Zoquiapam	2017	93.6%	100.0%	53.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Numaran	2000	77.2%	99.8%	29.6%
Mexico	Numaran	2017	94.3%	100.0%	71.8%
Mexico	Oaxaca De	2000	71.3%	75.2%	67.0%
	Juarez				
Mexico	Oaxaca De	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
	Juarez				
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	71.2%	85.2%	52.5%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	80.9%	96.5%	55.4%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	82.5%	97.0%	58.6%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	21.8%	28.9%	16.2%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	78.4%	99.7%	28.8%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	72.7%	87.7%	55.9%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	95.3%	98.8%	85.9%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	96.8%	99.8%	90.7%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	96.0%	99.7%	83.8%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	51.0%	70.2%	34.6%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	96.6%	100.0%	83.8%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	95.1%	98.9%	88.0%
Mexico	Ocosingo	2000	68.4%	77.3%	56.8%
Mexico	Ocosingo	2017	91.3%	95.9%	84.8%
Mexico	Ocotepec	2000	68.9%	97.0%	17.6%
Mexico	Ocotepec	2000	79.2%	99.9%	16.2%
Mexico	Ocotepec	2017	94.7%	99.8%	70.4%
Mexico	Ocotepec	2017	95.0%	100.0%	62.8%
Mexico	Ocotlan	2000	68.9%	81.3%	50.4%
Mexico	Ocotlan	2017	95.9%	97.7%	93.2%
Mexico	Ocotlan De	2000	14.2%	28.0%	6.3%
	Morelos				
Mexico	Ocotlan De	2017	52.9%	78.5%	26.3%
	Morelos				
Mexico	Ocoyoacac	2000	56.1%	91.5%	19.1%
Mexico	Ocoyoacac	2017	92.2%	99.5%	70.2%
Mexico	Ocoyucan	2000	15.2%	18.4%	12.8%
Mexico	Ocoyucan	2017	61.4%	75.5%	48.0%
Mexico	Ocozocoautla	2000	79.3%	86.6%	70.2%
	De Espinosa				
Mexico	Ocozocoautla	2017	95.3%	97.9%	90.8%
	De Espinosa				
Mexico	Ocuilan	2000	75.1%	98.5%	22.3%
Mexico	Ocuilan	2017	93.6%	99.9%	63.6%
Mexico	Ocuituco	2000	95.8%	98.3%	91.2%
Mexico	Ocuituco	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Ojinaga	2000	86.2%	95.9%	64.1%
Mexico	Ojinaga	2017	98.4%	99.6%	93.8%
Mexico	Ojocaliente	2000	87.1%	97.2%	58.2%
Mexico	Ojocaliente	2017	97.9%	99.8%	87.5%
Mexico	Ojuelos De	2000	82.5%	96.8%	49.3%
	Jalisco				
Mexico	Ojuelos De	2017	96.8%	99.8%	88.2%
	Jalisco				
Mexico	Olinala	2000	73.8%	93.9%	35.9%
Mexico	Olinala	2017	94.9%	99.6%	78.8%
Mexico	Olintla	2000	33.3%	42.4%	23.9%
Mexico	Olintla	2017	82.0%	86.4%	76.9%
Mexico	Oluta	2000	67.7%	99.4%	4.4%
Mexico	Oluta	2017	92.0%	100.0%	41.4%
Mexico	Omealca	2000	64.7%	88.1%	30.9%
Mexico	Omealca	2017	92.5%	98.8%	77.8%
Mexico	Ometepec	2000	65.4%	76.9%	50.4%
Mexico	Ometepec	2017	95.2%	97.9%	90.1%
Mexico	Omitlan De	2000	64.9%	98.8%	8.6%
	Juarez				

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Omitlan De Juarez	2017	87.4%	99.9%	43.5%
Mexico	Onavas	2000	79.4%	99.5%	10.8%
Mexico	Onavas	2017	94.3%	100.0%	60.8%
Mexico	Opichen	2000	72.6%	99.5%	10.5%
Mexico	Opichen	2017	91.7%	100.0%	38.9%
Mexico	Opodepe	2000	86.1%	99.2%	49.7%
Mexico	Opodepe	2017	97.8%	99.9%	87.0%
Mexico	Oquitoa	2000	78.8%	99.8%	6.3%
Mexico	Oquitoa	2017	94.1%	100.0%	43.6%
Mexico	Oriental	2000	87.5%	99.4%	56.3%
Mexico	Oriental	2017	96.9%	100.0%	86.6%
Mexico	Orizaba	2000	74.4%	78.2%	69.9%
Mexico	Orizaba	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Mexico	Ostuacan	2000	57.9%	89.8%	14.8%
Mexico	Ostuacan	2017	85.6%	99.0%	44.1%
Mexico	Osumacinta	2000	65.7%	97.8%	7.0%
Mexico	Osumacinta	2017	89.5%	99.8%	43.2%
Mexico	Otaez	2000	77.9%	94.6%	48.9%
Mexico	Otaez	2017	95.5%	99.4%	82.1%
Mexico	Otatitlan	2000	38.3%	85.5%	0.5%
Mexico	Otatitlan	2017	63.6%	96.0%	6.4%
Mexico	Oteapan	2000	58.4%	99.1%	3.9%
Mexico	Oteapan	2017	88.0%	99.9%	34.9%
Mexico	Othon Blanco	P. 2000	73.2%	82.6%	64.3%
Mexico	Othon Blanco	P. 2017	95.5%	98.1%	90.7%
Mexico	Otumba	2000	90.5%	99.8%	47.3%
Mexico	Otumba	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.3%
Mexico	Otzoloapan	2000	77.1%	99.1%	25.7%
Mexico	Otzoloapan	2017	94.8%	99.9%	72.2%
Mexico	Otzolotepec	2000	93.4%	99.9%	60.8%
Mexico	Otzolotepec	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Oxchuc	2000	69.0%	92.1%	28.4%
Mexico	Oxchuc	2017	93.1%	99.3%	72.4%
Mexico	Oxkutzcab	2000	81.2%	98.6%	42.4%
Mexico	Oxkutzcab	2017	96.5%	99.9%	82.5%
Mexico	Ozuluama De Mascarenas	2000	69.7%	89.2%	40.4%
Mexico	Ozuluama De Mascarenas	2017	91.0%	98.6%	73.1%
Mexico	Ozumba	2000	85.8%	99.9%	30.7%
Mexico	Ozumba	2017	96.8%	100.0%	77.1%
Mexico	Pabellon De Arteaga	2000	98.9%	99.6%	97.1%
Mexico	Pabellon De Arteaga	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Pachuca De Soto	2000	93.7%	97.8%	88.0%
Mexico	Pachuca De Soto	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.4%
Mexico	Pacula	2000	82.8%	99.3%	38.1%
Mexico	Pacula	2017	96.1%	100.0%	76.8%
Mexico	Padilla	2000	84.1%	99.3%	42.6%
Mexico	Padilla	2017	96.9%	99.9%	80.9%
Mexico	Pahuatlan	2000	77.2%	99.4%	18.2%
Mexico	Pahuatlan	2017	95.9%	100.0%	68.0%
Mexico	Pajacuaran	2000	82.6%	99.7%	38.1%
Mexico	Pajacuaran	2017	96.6%	100.0%	77.2%
Mexico	Pajapan	2000	68.8%	98.1%	16.6%
Mexico	Pajapan	2017	91.3%	99.8%	48.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Palenque	2000	69.0%	82.5%	51.7%
Mexico	Palenque	2017	91.1%	96.3%	84.3%
Mexico	Palizada	2000	82.5%	90.2%	67.0%
Mexico	Palizada	2017	94.4%	97.6%	89.9%
Mexico	Palmar De Bravo	2000	79.7%	91.1%	58.0%
Mexico	Palmar De Bravo	2017	97.5%	99.2%	92.0%
Mexico	Palmillas	2000	83.4%	98.7%	48.1%
Mexico	Palmillas	2017	97.5%	99.9%	86.2%
Mexico	Panaba	2000	82.7%	98.9%	41.8%
Mexico	Panaba	2017	95.8%	99.9%	64.7%
Mexico	Panindicuaro	2000	83.6%	98.8%	34.8%
Mexico	Panindicuaro	2017	97.1%	99.9%	81.5%
Mexico	Panotla	2000	98.4%	99.5%	95.1%
Mexico	Panotla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Pantelho	2000	58.5%	81.8%	35.9%
Mexico	Pantelho	2017	91.6%	98.2%	78.5%
Mexico	Pantepec	2000	47.5%	66.5%	21.8%
Mexico	Pantepec	2000	69.9%	96.8%	23.4%
Mexico	Pantepec	2017	92.6%	99.8%	60.9%
Mexico	Pantepec	2017	90.9%	96.6%	74.4%
Mexico	Panuco	2000	68.9%	85.2%	44.8%
Mexico	Panuco	2000	87.4%	98.5%	62.8%
Mexico	Panuco	2017	98.2%	99.9%	91.3%
Mexico	Panuco	2017	93.8%	97.9%	85.5%
Mexico	Panuco De Coronado	2000	91.3%	98.4%	73.5%
Mexico	Panuco De Coronado	2017	98.3%	99.9%	93.4%
Mexico	Papalotla	2000	88.8%	100.0%	17.6%
Mexico	Papalotla	2017	97.6%	100.0%	77.7%
Mexico	Papalotla De Xicohtencatl	2000	94.7%	97.2%	90.2%
Mexico	Papalotla De Xicohtencatl	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Mexico	Papantla	2000	51.0%	70.1%	32.6%
Mexico	Papantla	2017	88.0%	96.0%	74.1%
Mexico	Paracho	2000	76.0%	98.6%	19.0%
Mexico	Paracho	2017	93.8%	99.9%	56.9%
Mexico	Paracuaro	2000	79.6%	96.5%	51.0%
Mexico	Paracuaro	2017	96.1%	99.8%	83.3%
Mexico	Paraiso	2000	74.6%	89.4%	49.6%
Mexico	Paraiso	2017	95.6%	99.2%	85.1%
Mexico	Paras	2000	81.8%	99.3%	29.4%
Mexico	Paras	2017	96.0%	99.9%	75.3%
Mexico	Parras	2000	90.8%	96.3%	81.3%
Mexico	Parras	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.7%
Mexico	Paso De Ovejas	2000	80.2%	98.4%	36.4%
Mexico	Paso De Ovejas	2017	96.0%	99.9%	77.8%
Mexico	Paso Del Macho	2000	44.3%	64.7%	23.7%
Mexico	Paso Del Macho	2017	84.7%	95.2%	65.9%
Mexico	Patzcuaro	2000	89.7%	95.3%	82.1%
Mexico	Patzcuaro	2017	97.9%	99.6%	93.7%
Mexico	Pedro Ascencio Alquisiras	2000	75.8%	98.3%	26.3%
Mexico	Pedro Ascencio Alquisiras	2017	93.6%	99.9%	62.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Pedro Es-cobedo	2000	93.3%	99.1%	78.2%
Mexico	Pedro Es-cobedo	2017	99.2%	99.9%	94.8%
Mexico	Penamiller	2000	75.8%	93.7%	48.8%
Mexico	Penamiller	2017	94.5%	99.4%	78.5%
Mexico	Penjamillo	2000	72.4%	91.6%	43.7%
Mexico	Penjamillo	2017	94.9%	99.4%	75.6%
Mexico	Penjamo	2000	78.3%	95.2%	53.8%
Mexico	Penjamo	2017	95.9%	99.6%	84.1%
Mexico	Penon Blanco	2000	81.7%	93.8%	60.5%
Mexico	Penon Blanco	2017	96.9%	99.6%	88.3%
Mexico	Periban	2000	70.8%	94.0%	29.3%
Mexico	Periban	2017	95.3%	99.8%	73.8%
Mexico	Perote	2000	68.6%	84.7%	48.6%
Mexico	Perote	2017	94.6%	98.7%	88.1%
Mexico	Pesqueria	2000	81.5%	88.6%	74.0%
Mexico	Pesqueria	2017	98.1%	99.2%	95.6%
Mexico	Petatlan	2000	80.2%	97.4%	36.6%
Mexico	Petatlan	2017	95.2%	99.7%	72.7%
Mexico	Petlalcingo	2000	92.0%	99.8%	70.7%
Mexico	Petlalcingo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Peto	2000	75.1%	96.2%	26.7%
Mexico	Peto	2017	95.8%	99.6%	80.0%
Mexico	Piaxtla	2000	71.7%	94.8%	38.5%
Mexico	Piaxtla	2017	94.9%	99.5%	80.2%
Mexico	Pichucalco	2000	62.0%	86.1%	34.9%
Mexico	Pichucalco	2017	91.9%	98.6%	79.7%
Mexico	Piedras Negras	2000	91.7%	97.6%	82.6%
Mexico	Piedras Negras	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.4%
Mexico	Pihuamo	2000	79.9%	98.6%	29.7%
Mexico	Pihuamo	2017	95.7%	99.9%	75.2%
Mexico	Pijijiapan	2000	55.8%	70.0%	40.1%
Mexico	Pijijiapan	2017	90.7%	95.7%	82.2%
Mexico	Pilcaya	2000	43.7%	74.8%	8.1%
Mexico	Pilcaya	2017	69.3%	84.6%	35.4%
Mexico	Pinal De Amoles	2000	57.4%	70.1%	41.2%
Mexico	Pinal De Amoles	2017	80.3%	92.1%	69.4%
Mexico	Pinos	2000	70.5%	81.9%	56.9%
Mexico	Pinos	2017	92.0%	96.8%	84.2%
Mexico	Pinotepa De Don Luis	2000	69.7%	99.2%	8.3%
Mexico	Pinotepa De Don Luis	2017	92.1%	99.9%	41.0%
Mexico	Pisaflores	2000	67.5%	96.7%	18.5%
Mexico	Pisaflores	2017	92.9%	99.7%	66.6%
Mexico	Pitiquito	2000	89.8%	98.9%	68.0%
Mexico	Pitiquito	2017	97.3%	99.8%	80.7%
Mexico	Platon Sanchez	2000	56.9%	96.3%	10.9%
Mexico	Platon Sanchez	2017	86.0%	99.6%	36.8%
Mexico	Playa Vicente	2000	74.6%	91.6%	49.1%
Mexico	Playa Vicente	2017	94.6%	99.3%	84.8%
Mexico	Playas De Rosarito	2000	94.2%	98.0%	87.3%
Mexico	Playas De Rosarito	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Pluma Hidalgo	2000	73.8%	99.7%	14.0%
Mexico	Pluma Hidalgo	2017	94.2%	100.0%	63.2%
Mexico	Poanas	2000	86.4%	93.2%	76.0%
Mexico	Poanas	2017	98.0%	99.6%	93.0%
Mexico	Polotitlan	2000	77.3%	99.5%	26.6%
Mexico	Polotitlan	2017	94.1%	100.0%	68.0%
Mexico	Poncitlan	2000	82.3%	92.6%	63.1%
Mexico	Poncitlan	2017	96.5%	99.1%	88.5%
Mexico	Poza Rica De Hidalgo	2000	49.7%	63.8%	36.2%
Mexico	Poza Rica De Hidalgo	2017	92.8%	96.7%	81.8%
Mexico	Praxedis Guerrero	2000	86.4%	99.6%	50.4%
Mexico	Praxedis Guerrero	2017	97.4%	100.0%	82.1%
Mexico	Progreso	2000	87.0%	97.2%	66.6%
Mexico	Progreso	2000	83.4%	95.3%	65.9%
Mexico	Progreso	2017	97.8%	99.7%	91.7%
Mexico	Progreso	2017	98.0%	99.8%	91.5%
Mexico	Progreso De Obregon	2000	97.2%	99.2%	92.1%
Mexico	Progreso De Obregon	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Puebla	2000	67.6%	72.6%	62.8%
Mexico	Puebla	2017	93.5%	96.0%	90.8%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	83.2%	99.6%	27.6%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	80.1%	87.8%	70.0%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	97.2%	100.0%	75.3%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	95.9%	97.7%	93.3%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	60.7%	95.5%	16.4%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo Solistahuacan	2017	87.9%	99.6%	48.3%
Mexico	Pueblo Viejo	2000	97.3%	99.3%	92.9%
Mexico	Pueblo Viejo	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Mexico	Puente De Ixtla	2000	88.1%	93.4%	80.4%
Mexico	Puente De Ixtla	2017	98.4%	99.5%	95.3%
Mexico	Puente Nacional	2000	74.3%	93.2%	46.4%
Mexico	Puente Nacional	2017	93.3%	99.3%	72.0%
Mexico	Puerto Peñasco	2000	94.2%	99.7%	64.1%
Mexico	Puerto Peñasco	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Puerto Vallarta	2000	87.9%	93.8%	80.4%
Mexico	Puerto Vallarta	2017	98.0%	99.6%	94.7%
Mexico	Pungarabato	2000	71.0%	96.5%	33.6%
Mexico	Pungarabato	2017	94.7%	99.8%	77.4%
Mexico	Purepero	2000	85.1%	96.8%	58.7%
Mexico	Purepero	2017	98.4%	99.8%	93.1%
Mexico	Purisima Del Rincon	2000	91.4%	98.1%	80.3%
Mexico	Purisima Del Rincon	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Puruandiro	2000	91.1%	98.3%	76.3%
Mexico	Puruandiro	2017	98.3%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Putla Villa De Guerrero	2000	70.3%	86.0%	51.1%
Mexico	Putla Villa De Guerrero	2017	94.8%	98.6%	84.5%
Mexico	Quecholac	2000	94.6%	99.8%	73.8%
Mexico	Quecholac	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	Quechultenango	2000	71.1%	93.6%	33.3%
Mexico	Quechultenango	2017	94.1%	99.4%	72.5%
Mexico	Querendaro	2000	75.0%	96.9%	31.6%
Mexico	Querendaro	2017	96.2%	99.8%	81.3%
Mexico	Queretaro	2000	82.1%	89.5%	75.6%
Mexico	Queretaro	2017	91.5%	97.2%	85.7%
Mexico	Quimixtlan	2000	66.0%	96.4%	26.7%
Mexico	Quimixtlan	2017	90.5%	99.7%	62.9%
Mexico	Quintana Roo	2000	79.1%	99.9%	12.9%
Mexico	Quintana Roo	2017	96.2%	100.0%	73.5%
Mexico	Quiriego	2000	83.9%	96.9%	59.7%
Mexico	Quiriego	2017	96.5%	99.7%	86.7%
Mexico	Quiroga	2000	77.9%	99.2%	18.4%
Mexico	Quiroga	2017	94.9%	100.0%	64.8%
Mexico	Quitupan	2000	73.6%	97.5%	36.2%
Mexico	Quitupan	2017	93.1%	99.8%	68.9%
Mexico	Rafael Delgado	2000	56.7%	84.3%	28.2%
Mexico	Rafael Delgado	2017	93.2%	98.8%	81.5%
Mexico	Rafael Lara Grajales	2000	89.4%	100.0%	37.5%
Mexico	Rafael Lara Grajales	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.9%
Mexico	Rafael Lucio	2000	85.4%	93.2%	70.9%
Mexico	Rafael Lucio	2017	98.9%	99.5%	97.5%
Mexico	Ramos Arizpe	2000	97.2%	98.7%	95.1%
Mexico	Ramos Arizpe	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	61.6%	88.3%	25.2%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	83.7%	99.9%	26.1%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	40.3%	61.1%	20.3%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	94.6%	98.6%	81.1%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	97.9%	100.0%	86.0%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	88.8%	96.2%	73.7%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	85.5%	97.3%	55.1%
Mexico	Rayones	2000	82.6%	97.8%	54.5%
Mexico	Rayones	2017	96.7%	99.8%	85.2%
Mexico	Reforma	2000	80.9%	95.0%	56.9%
Mexico	Reforma	2017	97.7%	99.6%	93.2%
Mexico	Reforma De Pineda	2000	70.3%	98.8%	15.7%
Mexico	Reforma De Pineda	2017	94.1%	99.9%	61.1%
Mexico	Reyes Etla	2000	52.5%	62.4%	42.9%
Mexico	Reyes Etla	2017	93.5%	96.7%	86.5%
Mexico	Reynosa	2000	75.8%	83.6%	69.2%
Mexico	Reynosa	2017	96.2%	98.6%	90.3%
Mexico	Rincon De Romos	2000	96.9%	99.7%	89.4%
Mexico	Rincon De Romos	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Rio Blanco	2000	70.7%	76.5%	65.3%
Mexico	Rio Blanco	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Rio Bravo	2000	87.9%	93.3%	77.6%
Mexico	Rio Bravo	2017	97.5%	99.4%	90.8%
Mexico	Rio Grande	2000	92.8%	97.8%	81.4%
Mexico	Rio Grande	2017	98.8%	99.8%	93.5%
Mexico	Rio Lagartos	2000	70.4%	99.6%	8.6%
Mexico	Rio Lagartos	2017	92.0%	100.0%	37.3%
Mexico	Rioverde	2000	84.7%	91.0%	77.3%
Mexico	Rioverde	2017	97.8%	99.3%	94.9%
Mexico	Riva Palacio	2000	81.9%	96.9%	54.4%
Mexico	Riva Palacio	2017	96.6%	99.7%	88.8%
Mexico	Rodeo	2000	79.4%	95.5%	53.3%
Mexico	Rodeo	2017	96.7%	99.7%	89.6%
Mexico	Rojas De Cuauhtemoc	2000	57.1%	95.3%	10.6%
Mexico	Rojas De Cuauhtemoc	2017	91.4%	99.7%	52.3%
Mexico	Romita	2000	70.6%	95.3%	34.7%
Mexico	Romita	2017	92.5%	99.5%	73.9%
Mexico	Rosales	2000	60.7%	88.5%	38.1%
Mexico	Rosales	2017	91.2%	98.9%	72.6%
Mexico	Rosamorada	2000	77.0%	90.4%	58.0%
Mexico	Rosamorada	2017	95.1%	99.3%	84.9%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	76.9%	95.8%	38.2%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	86.1%	96.1%	58.3%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	83.2%	98.8%	45.6%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	97.4%	99.6%	89.5%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	96.9%	99.9%	80.5%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	94.3%	99.6%	70.5%
Mexico	Ruiz	2000	81.6%	97.8%	39.0%
Mexico	Ruiz	2017	96.7%	99.8%	82.4%
Mexico	Sabanilla	2000	82.4%	99.4%	37.5%
Mexico	Sabanilla	2017	96.3%	100.0%	75.2%
Mexico	Sabinas	2000	95.2%	98.8%	86.9%
Mexico	Sabinas	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Sabinas Hidalgo	2000	90.1%	97.3%	76.4%
Mexico	Sabinas Hidalgo	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.0%
Mexico	Sacalum	2000	92.6%	99.3%	52.7%
Mexico	Sacalum	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Sacramento	2000	88.7%	99.8%	34.4%
Mexico	Sacramento	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Sahuaripa	2000	88.1%	97.3%	59.2%
Mexico	Sahuaripa	2017	98.0%	99.7%	92.8%
Mexico	Sahuayo	2000	89.0%	94.8%	79.6%
Mexico	Sahuayo	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.5%
Mexico	Sain Alto	2000	82.8%	94.8%	65.3%
Mexico	Sain Alto	2017	96.8%	99.6%	89.2%
Mexico	Salamanca	2000	91.4%	97.9%	76.9%
Mexico	Salamanca	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.8%
Mexico	Salina Cruz	2000	83.6%	94.3%	63.2%
Mexico	Salina Cruz	2017	98.3%	99.4%	95.7%
Mexico	Salinas	2000	74.9%	93.5%	38.9%
Mexico	Salinas	2017	95.5%	99.2%	87.0%
Mexico	Salinas Victoria	2000	96.9%	99.4%	90.4%
Mexico	Salinas Victoria	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Saltabarranca	2000	76.5%	96.7%	22.5%
Mexico	Saltabarranca	2017	96.3%	99.7%	76.0%
Mexico	Saltillo	2000	96.7%	98.1%	94.2%
Mexico	Saltillo	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Salto De Agua	2000	69.1%	90.5%	40.6%
Mexico	Salto De Agua	2017	91.4%	99.1%	76.7%
Mexico	Salvador	2000	92.5%	97.2%	85.1%
Mexico	Alvarado	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.2%
Mexico	Salvador Escalante	2000	89.8%	98.9%	70.8%
Mexico	Salvador Escalante	2017	98.1%	99.9%	90.9%
Mexico	Salvatierra	2000	84.9%	98.1%	62.1%
Mexico	Salvatierra	2017	97.4%	99.8%	88.1%
Mexico	Samahil	2000	81.0%	99.7%	26.5%
Mexico	Samahil	2017	96.8%	100.0%	74.8%
Mexico	San Agustin Amatengo	2000	70.8%	97.3%	17.8%
Mexico	San Agustin Amatengo	2017	94.9%	99.8%	73.9%
Mexico	San Agustin Atenango	2000	72.2%	99.8%	6.5%
Mexico	San Agustin Atenango	2017	92.8%	100.0%	43.3%
Mexico	San Agustin Chayuco	2000	71.8%	99.1%	19.6%
Mexico	San Agustin Chayuco	2017	92.9%	99.9%	63.0%
Mexico	San Agustin De Las Juntas	2000	66.2%	73.1%	58.6%
Mexico	San Agustin De Las Juntas	2017	96.3%	97.7%	94.2%
Mexico	San Agustin Etna	2000	50.4%	85.1%	16.4%
Mexico	San Agustin Etna	2017	95.4%	99.3%	82.6%
Mexico	San Agustin Loxicha	2000	61.1%	87.8%	30.3%
Mexico	San Agustin Loxicha	2017	92.2%	98.7%	73.6%
Mexico	San Agustin Metzquitlan	2000	68.4%	87.8%	43.1%
Mexico	San Agustin Metzquitlan	2017	94.9%	99.0%	84.9%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlacotepec	2000	75.1%	99.9%	4.6%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlacotepec	2017	93.2%	100.0%	43.2%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlaxiaca	2000	97.4%	99.9%	89.0%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlaxiaca	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.9%
Mexico	San Agustin Yatareni	2000	79.2%	83.7%	73.4%
Mexico	San Agustin Yatareni	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.5%
Mexico	San Andres Cabecera Nueva	2000	73.7%	98.5%	21.7%
Mexico	San Andres Cabecera Nueva	2017	92.9%	99.9%	60.1%
Mexico	San Andres Cholula	2000	34.1%	36.9%	31.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Andres Cholula	2017	84.3%	88.6%	80.5%
Mexico	San Andres Dinicuiti	2000	82.4%	99.8%	27.8%
Mexico	San Andres Dinicuiti	2017	97.5%	100.0%	83.1%
Mexico	San Andres Duraznal	2000	65.2%	78.1%	47.3%
Mexico	San Andres Duraznal	2017	96.2%	97.9%	91.1%
Mexico	San Andres Huaxpaltepec	2000	63.3%	98.3%	11.5%
Mexico	San Andres Huaxpaltepec	2017	89.3%	99.9%	49.1%
Mexico	San Andres Huayapam	2000	81.2%	96.0%	52.1%
Mexico	San Andres Huayapam	2017	98.3%	99.7%	94.3%
Mexico	San Andres Ixtlahuaca	2000	67.2%	93.9%	19.6%
Mexico	San Andres Ixtlahuaca	2017	94.7%	99.8%	66.8%
Mexico	San Andres Lagunas	2000	75.9%	99.6%	8.6%
Mexico	San Andres Lagunas	2017	94.8%	100.0%	57.4%
Mexico	San Andres Nuxino	2000	78.4%	99.8%	15.7%
Mexico	San Andres Nuxino	2017	94.7%	100.0%	61.9%
Mexico	San Andres Paxtlan	2000	73.6%	99.7%	8.8%
Mexico	San Andres Paxtlan	2017	93.7%	100.0%	49.6%
Mexico	San Andres Sinaxtla	2000	60.9%	85.1%	24.5%
Mexico	San Andres Sinaxtla	2017	94.5%	98.9%	74.2%
Mexico	San Andres Solaga	2000	73.5%	96.4%	32.8%
Mexico	San Andres Solaga	2017	95.4%	99.8%	75.4%
Mexico	San Andres Tenejapan	2000	54.5%	95.6%	8.7%
Mexico	San Andres Tenejapan	2017	84.6%	99.8%	29.0%
Mexico	San Andres Teotilalpam	2000	66.8%	92.2%	28.4%
Mexico	San Andres Teotilalpam	2017	90.4%	99.5%	49.2%
Mexico	San Andres Tepetlapa	2000	76.8%	99.9%	14.4%
Mexico	San Andres Tepetlapa	2017	93.3%	100.0%	55.1%
Mexico	San Andres Tuxtla	2000	70.5%	82.0%	55.2%
Mexico	San Andres Tuxtla	2017	94.3%	97.9%	86.1%
Mexico	San Andres Yaa	2000	73.0%	99.9%	8.3%
Mexico	San Andres Yaa	2017	94.5%	100.0%	64.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Andres Zabache	2000	81.3%	100.0%	9.8%
Mexico	San Andres Zabache	2017	96.0%	100.0%	63.4%
Mexico	San Andres Zautla	2000	51.9%	68.9%	33.4%
Mexico	San Andres Zautla	2017	93.5%	97.3%	81.8%
Mexico	San Antonino Castillo Velasco	2000	19.2%	74.7%	0.6%
Mexico	San Antonino Castillo Velasco	2017	59.0%	97.9%	5.6%
Mexico	San Antonino El Alto	2000	78.4%	99.8%	23.3%
Mexico	San Antonino El Alto	2017	94.9%	100.0%	68.0%
Mexico	San Antonino Monte Verde	2000	79.8%	99.9%	19.2%
Mexico	San Antonino Monte Verde	2017	95.9%	100.0%	71.5%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2000	32.5%	42.4%	21.0%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2017	82.4%	88.6%	74.1%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2000	70.3%	99.8%	5.0%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2017	92.2%	100.0%	39.6%
Mexico	San Antonio Canada	2000	75.7%	98.1%	30.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Canada	2017	95.9%	99.9%	73.6%
Mexico	San Antonio De La Cal	2000	69.9%	76.5%	62.0%
Mexico	San Antonio De La Cal	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Huitepec	2000	77.8%	98.1%	26.7%
Mexico	San Antonio Huitepec	2017	96.2%	99.9%	78.4%
Mexico	San Antonio La Isla	2000	98.0%	99.8%	91.4%
Mexico	San Antonio La Isla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	San Antonio Nanahuatipam	2000	75.3%	99.2%	18.8%
Mexico	San Antonio Nanahuatipam	2017	95.3%	100.0%	69.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Sinicahua	2000	76.2%	99.8%	8.3%
Mexico	San Antonio Sinicahua	2017	94.2%	100.0%	54.5%
Mexico	San Antonio Tepetlapa	2000	68.0%	99.0%	6.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Tepetlapa	2017	90.8%	99.9%	34.6%
Mexico	San Baltazar Chichicapam	2000	74.9%	100.0%	2.6%
Mexico	San Baltazar Chichicapam	2017	92.3%	100.0%	29.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Baltazar Loxicha	2000	71.9%	99.0%	10.0%
Mexico	San Baltazar Loxicha	2017	94.3%	99.9%	63.3%
Mexico	San Baltazar Yatzachi El Bajo	2000	76.8%	97.2%	32.5%
Mexico	San Baltazar Yatzachi El Bajo	2017	96.3%	99.8%	73.2%
Mexico	San Bartolo Coyotepec	2000	60.5%	96.9%	10.0%
Mexico	San Bartolo Coyotepec	2017	91.9%	99.7%	61.2%
Mexico	San Bartolo Soyaltepec	2000	73.4%	99.1%	26.5%
Mexico	San Bartolo Soyaltepec	2017	94.0%	99.9%	66.0%
Mexico	San Bartolo Tutotepec	2000	68.7%	84.0%	45.0%
Mexico	San Bartolo Tutotepec	2017	93.9%	98.4%	83.1%
Mexico	San Bartolo Yautepec	2000	79.0%	99.9%	15.5%
Mexico	San Bartolo Yautepec	2017	95.5%	100.0%	73.1%
Mexico	San Bartolome Ayautla	2000	52.1%	98.8%	1.9%
Mexico	San Bartolome Ayautla	2017	81.7%	99.9%	18.7%
Mexico	San Bartolome Loxicha	2000	73.0%	99.2%	11.3%
Mexico	San Bartolome Loxicha	2017	93.3%	99.9%	54.8%
Mexico	San Bartolome Quialana	2000	79.0%	99.7%	14.5%
Mexico	San Bartolome Quialana	2017	96.0%	100.0%	64.5%
Mexico	San Bartolome Yucucane	2000	76.2%	99.8%	13.4%
Mexico	San Bartolome Yucucane	2017	93.9%	100.0%	54.3%
Mexico	San Bartolome Zoogocho	2000	76.6%	92.9%	49.2%
Mexico	San Bartolome Zoogocho	2017	97.7%	99.5%	92.8%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2000	78.2%	95.8%	48.5%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2017	94.8%	99.5%	79.4%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2000	72.5%	97.6%	15.7%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2017	93.5%	99.9%	62.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Blas	2000	76.2%	93.2%	49.2%
Mexico	San Blas	2017	95.1%	99.4%	84.9%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2000	76.3%	96.8%	36.7%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2017	95.2%	99.7%	74.7%
Mexico	San Buenaventura	2000	92.6%	98.3%	77.4%
Mexico	San Buenaventura	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.7%
Mexico	San Carlos	2000	76.8%	91.4%	54.6%
Mexico	San Carlos	2017	93.7%	98.9%	79.4%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2000	74.2%	90.6%	55.6%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2017	94.1%	99.0%	85.0%
Mexico	San Ciro De Acosta	2000	90.1%	98.4%	57.1%
Mexico	San Ciro De Acosta	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.3%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amatlan	2000	76.7%	99.9%	13.5%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amatlan	2017	93.0%	100.0%	43.8%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amoltepec	2000	72.1%	99.0%	20.5%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amoltepec	2017	94.9%	99.9%	74.5%
Mexico	San Cristobal De La Barranca	2000	78.0%	98.8%	28.4%
Mexico	San Cristobal De La Barranca	2017	95.4%	99.9%	76.8%
Mexico	San Cristobal De Las Casas	2000	74.4%	79.6%	66.5%
Mexico	San Cristobal De Las Casas	2017	97.5%	98.2%	95.0%
Mexico	San Cristobal Lachirioag	2000	70.1%	99.5%	9.1%
Mexico	San Cristobal Lachirioag	2017	92.8%	100.0%	55.7%
Mexico	San Cristobal Suchixtlahuaca	2000	72.4%	99.4%	5.5%
Mexico	San Cristobal Suchixtlahuaca	2017	92.9%	100.0%	27.5%
Mexico	San Damian Texoloc	2000	97.9%	98.9%	95.8%
Mexico	San Damian Texoloc	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	San Diego De Alejandria	2000	89.0%	99.3%	49.1%
Mexico	San Diego De Alejandria	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	San Diego De La Union	2000	80.3%	97.5%	51.5%
Mexico	San Diego De La Union	2017	95.8%	99.8%	80.4%
Mexico	San Diego La Mesa Tochimiltzingo	2000	82.0%	99.6%	28.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Diego La Mesa Tochimiltzingo	2017	96.5%	100.0%	77.7%
Mexico	San Dimas	2000	69.2%	84.3%	51.4%
Mexico	San Dimas	2017	93.1%	97.3%	82.5%
Mexico	San Dionisio Del Mar	2000	75.2%	98.0%	22.1%
Mexico	San Dionisio Del Mar	2017	94.5%	99.9%	65.1%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotepc	2000	76.7%	95.1%	41.6%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotepc	2017	94.8%	99.7%	69.8%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotlan	2000	13.4%	35.4%	3.3%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotlan	2017	49.3%	83.4%	23.9%
Mexico	San Esteban Atatlahuca	2000	71.4%	99.5%	7.0%
Mexico	San Esteban Atatlahuca	2017	91.7%	99.9%	41.9%
Mexico	San Felipe	2000	87.5%	95.9%	73.8%
Mexico	San Felipe	2000	72.6%	99.8%	2.6%
Mexico	San Felipe	2017	90.7%	100.0%	22.1%
Mexico	San Felipe	2017	97.5%	99.6%	93.1%
Mexico	San Felipe De Jesus	2000	95.9%	99.9%	80.6%
Mexico	San Felipe De Jesus	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	San Felipe Del Progreso	2000	58.8%	75.9%	40.2%
Mexico	San Felipe Del Progreso	2017	89.7%	97.1%	77.1%
Mexico	San Felipe Jalapa De Diaz	2000	18.1%	27.1%	11.3%
Mexico	San Felipe Jalapa De Diaz	2017	67.4%	76.1%	56.7%
Mexico	San Felipe Orizatlan	2000	64.0%	73.8%	54.1%
Mexico	San Felipe Orizatlan	2017	84.9%	90.3%	75.9%
Mexico	San Felipe Tejalapam	2000	73.0%	91.0%	41.3%
Mexico	San Felipe Tejalapam	2017	96.7%	99.4%	85.1%
Mexico	San Felipe Teotlalcingo	2000	89.9%	96.6%	74.9%
Mexico	San Felipe Teotlalcingo	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.4%
Mexico	San Felipe Tepatlan	2000	57.8%	94.3%	11.8%
Mexico	San Felipe Tepatlan	2017	89.3%	99.4%	46.4%
Mexico	San Felipe Usila	2000	55.9%	95.8%	10.8%
Mexico	San Felipe Usila	2017	85.1%	99.6%	39.6%
Mexico	San Fernando	2000	65.2%	92.5%	18.6%
Mexico	San Fernando	2000	80.0%	92.5%	57.6%
Mexico	San Fernando	2017	96.5%	99.2%	91.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Fernando	2017	91.8%	99.4%	51.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Cahuacua	2000	76.7%	98.8%	31.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Cahuacua	2017	95.1%	99.9%	75.2%
Mexico	San Francisco Cajonos	2000	76.6%	99.2%	19.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Cajonos	2017	96.1%	99.9%	75.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Chapulapa	2000	48.3%	72.0%	23.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Chapulapa	2017	91.8%	97.7%	76.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Chindua	2000	64.3%	97.4%	8.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Chindua	2017	92.9%	99.8%	55.5%
Mexico	San Francisco De Borja	2000	82.2%	99.4%	33.5%
Mexico	San Francisco De Borja	2017	96.5%	99.9%	77.7%
Mexico	San Francisco De Conchos	2000	79.7%	99.3%	30.3%
Mexico	San Francisco De Conchos	2017	95.6%	100.0%	72.7%
Mexico	San Francisco De Los Romo	2000	72.9%	91.6%	58.1%
Mexico	San Francisco De Los Romo	2017	93.6%	99.4%	81.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Mar	2000	71.2%	98.2%	18.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Mar	2017	92.4%	99.8%	63.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Oro	2000	86.0%	99.0%	40.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Oro	2017	98.5%	99.9%	91.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Rincon	2000	94.4%	98.1%	86.2%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Rincon	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Huehuetlan	2000	95.2%	99.5%	84.2%
Mexico	San Francisco Huehuetlan	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Ixhuatan	2000	71.3%	98.9%	17.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Ixhuatan	2017	93.2%	99.9%	63.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Jaltepetongo	2000	62.8%	98.1%	7.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Jaltepetongo	2017	90.1%	99.9%	40.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Lachigolo	2000	63.2%	96.3%	11.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Lachigolo	2017	92.0%	99.8%	49.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Logueche	2000	83.1%	99.9%	23.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Logueche	2017	96.7%	100.0%	75.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Nuxano	2000	71.3%	99.6%	9.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Francisco Nuxano	2017	93.7%	100.0%	54.2%
Mexico	San Francisco Ozolotepec	2000	78.4%	99.9%	9.5%
Mexico	San Francisco Ozolotepec	2017	95.0%	100.0%	56.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Sola	2000	80.4%	99.5%	32.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Sola	2017	97.0%	100.0%	80.5%
Mexico	San Francisco Telixtlahuaca	2000	69.9%	87.9%	42.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Telixtlahuaca	2017	96.4%	99.3%	87.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Teopan	2000	72.9%	99.9%	4.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Teopan	2017	91.2%	100.0%	35.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Tetlanohcan	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Tetlanohcan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Tlapancingo	2000	77.2%	99.7%	25.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Tlapancingo	2017	95.6%	100.0%	77.0%
Mexico	San Gabriel	2000	81.6%	98.6%	37.2%
Mexico	San Gabriel	2017	96.5%	99.9%	80.5%
Mexico	San Gabriel Chilac	2000	95.3%	98.3%	88.5%
Mexico	San Gabriel Chilac	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	San Gabriel Mixtepec	2000	66.0%	94.2%	14.0%
Mexico	San Gabriel Mixtepec	2017	91.0%	99.5%	43.4%
Mexico	San Gregorio Atzompa	2000	45.1%	51.5%	38.5%
Mexico	San Gregorio Atzompa	2017	94.4%	95.5%	92.9%
Mexico	San Ignacio	2000	79.1%	94.4%	50.9%
Mexico	San Ignacio	2017	95.3%	99.2%	81.1%
Mexico	San Ignacio Rio Muerto	2000	93.8%	99.6%	79.5%
Mexico	San Ignacio Rio Muerto	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Amatlan	2000	71.9%	97.5%	20.3%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Amatlan	2017	94.7%	99.9%	63.3%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Sola	2000	73.7%	99.0%	24.2%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Sola	2017	95.4%	99.9%	78.3%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Villa Alta	2000	69.8%	98.7%	14.3%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Villa Alta	2017	91.8%	99.9%	55.9%
Mexico	San Jacinto Amilpas	2000	72.0%	77.1%	66.9%
Mexico	San Jacinto Amilpas	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Jacinto Tlacotepec	2000	78.7%	99.8%	18.1%
Mexico	San Jacinto Tlacotepec	2017	95.2%	100.0%	60.4%
Mexico	San Javier	2000	85.9%	99.8%	25.5%
Mexico	San Javier	2017	97.8%	100.0%	80.9%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Coatlan	2000	82.1%	98.2%	51.8%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Coatlan	2017	95.6%	99.8%	78.3%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Silacayoapilla	2000	75.2%	99.5%	7.7%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Silacayoapilla	2017	94.3%	100.0%	52.8%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Sosola	2000	74.4%	98.6%	28.2%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Sosola	2017	93.5%	99.9%	65.5%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Taviche	2000	71.3%	93.8%	27.2%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Taviche	2017	97.0%	99.2%	91.6%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecoatl	2000	96.3%	98.7%	91.9%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecoatl	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecuanipan	2000	60.2%	68.0%	51.9%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecuanipan	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tlachahuaya	2000	74.5%	99.4%	8.8%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tlachahuaya	2017	93.2%	99.9%	55.7%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Xayacatlan	2000	79.6%	99.8%	11.5%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Xayacatlan	2017	94.5%	100.0%	59.3%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Zacualpan	2000	98.8%	99.4%	97.9%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Zacualpan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	San Joaquin	2000	78.8%	96.5%	47.0%
Mexico	San Joaquin	2017	96.3%	99.7%	84.9%
Mexico	San Jorge Nuchita	2000	71.4%	98.6%	14.5%
Mexico	San Jorge Nuchita	2017	93.8%	100.0%	55.0%
Mexico	San Jose Ayuquila	2000	77.7%	99.9%	9.6%
Mexico	San Jose Ayuquila	2017	93.9%	100.0%	39.9%
Mexico	San Jose Chiapa	2000	90.3%	99.8%	45.9%
Mexico	San Jose Chiapa	2017	98.2%	100.0%	86.7%
Mexico	San Jose Chiltepec	2000	64.8%	97.7%	13.9%
Mexico	San Jose Chiltepec	2017	90.5%	99.9%	51.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Jose De Gracia	2000	96.5%	99.7%	88.1%
Mexico	San Jose De Gracia	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	San Jose Del Penasco	2000	69.1%	85.9%	46.9%
Mexico	San Jose Del Penasco	2017	96.3%	98.6%	90.9%
Mexico	San Jose Del Progreso	2000	44.0%	86.8%	4.6%
Mexico	San Jose Del Progreso	2017	76.5%	98.9%	25.4%
Mexico	San Jose Del Rincon	2000	78.6%	97.4%	41.8%
Mexico	San Jose Del Rincon	2017	94.9%	99.7%	78.9%
Mexico	San Jose Estancia Grande	2000	65.2%	98.9%	2.2%
Mexico	San Jose Estancia Grande	2017	89.6%	99.9%	23.0%
Mexico	San Jose Independencia	2000	62.9%	99.2%	5.9%
Mexico	San Jose Independencia	2017	88.6%	100.0%	44.7%
Mexico	San Jose Iturbide	2000	93.0%	99.2%	79.7%
Mexico	San Jose Iturbide	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	San Jose Lachiguiri	2000	81.9%	99.9%	14.0%
Mexico	San Jose Lachiguiri	2017	95.7%	100.0%	56.6%
Mexico	San Jose Miahuatlan	2000	87.3%	99.6%	39.7%
Mexico	San Jose Miahuatlan	2017	98.0%	100.0%	89.0%
Mexico	San Jose Teacalco	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	San Jose Teacalco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	San Jose Tenango	2000	44.2%	84.6%	9.0%
Mexico	San Jose Tenango	2017	80.7%	98.3%	41.7%
Mexico	San Juan Achiutla	2000	76.9%	99.5%	10.6%
Mexico	San Juan Achiutla	2017	94.8%	100.0%	55.3%
Mexico	San Juan Atenco	2000	79.5%	99.7%	22.7%
Mexico	San Juan Atenco	2017	94.8%	100.0%	68.7%
Mexico	San Juan Atepec	2000	75.3%	99.8%	8.7%
Mexico	San Juan Atepec	2017	94.2%	100.0%	50.6%
Mexico	San Juan Atzompa	2000	82.8%	99.9%	20.7%
Mexico	San Juan Atzompa	2017	97.0%	100.0%	79.0%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Atatlahuca	2000	75.6%	99.3%	30.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Atlatlahuca	2017	92.5%	100.0%	44.2%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Coixtlahuaca	2000	75.5%	98.6%	15.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Coixtlahuaca	2017	93.2%	99.9%	50.8%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Cuicatlan	2000	68.8%	90.8%	33.7%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Cuicatlan	2017	93.3%	99.2%	69.6%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Guelache	2000	45.7%	84.1%	10.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Guelache	2017	87.9%	98.8%	50.2%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Jayacatlan	2000	76.8%	99.7%	15.0%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Jayacatlan	2017	94.8%	100.0%	61.9%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Lo De Soto	2000	72.4%	99.8%	15.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Lo De Soto	2017	91.8%	100.0%	42.7%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Suchitepec	2000	82.4%	99.9%	16.9%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Suchitepec	2017	96.7%	100.0%	74.9%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlachichilco	2000	65.2%	96.1%	19.2%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlachichilco	2017	93.2%	99.7%	65.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlacoatzintepec	2000	62.2%	99.6%	2.0%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlacoatzintepec	2017	87.6%	100.0%	23.6%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tuxtepec	2000	71.0%	77.9%	60.0%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tuxtepec	2017	91.0%	95.8%	83.2%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Valle Nacional	2000	53.2%	90.7%	16.7%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Valle Nacional	2017	86.8%	99.0%	55.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Cacahuatepec	2000	83.7%	99.7%	26.5%
Mexico	San Juan Cacahuatepec	2017	96.3%	100.0%	70.8%
Mexico	San Juan Cancuc	2000	58.0%	93.8%	13.4%
Mexico	San Juan Cancuc	2017	86.5%	99.3%	55.2%
Mexico	San Juan Chicomezuchil	2000	76.8%	99.8%	6.3%
Mexico	San Juan Chicomezuchil	2017	94.3%	100.0%	46.2%
Mexico	San Juan Chilalteca	2000	19.9%	76.5%	0.4%
Mexico	San Juan Chilalteca	2017	59.4%	98.0%	5.4%
Mexico	San Juan Cieneguilla	2000	77.1%	99.3%	23.3%
Mexico	San Juan Cieneguilla	2017	95.0%	100.0%	70.6%
Mexico	San Juan Coatzospam	2000	59.2%	91.3%	17.2%
Mexico	San Juan Coatzospam	2017	91.2%	99.4%	66.5%
Mexico	San Juan Colorado	2000	70.7%	99.4%	13.5%
Mexico	San Juan Colorado	2017	92.2%	100.0%	45.4%
Mexico	San Juan Comaltepec	2000	58.8%	92.2%	23.7%
Mexico	San Juan Comaltepec	2017	90.2%	99.5%	61.0%
Mexico	San Juan Cotzocon	2000	70.8%	91.0%	45.6%
Mexico	San Juan Cotzocon	2017	92.3%	99.0%	75.5%
Mexico	San Juan De Guadalupe	2000	84.2%	95.5%	67.0%
Mexico	San Juan De Guadalupe	2017	97.1%	99.6%	89.6%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Cues	2000	67.1%	88.8%	31.4%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Cues	2017	95.2%	98.6%	86.4%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Lagos	2000	89.6%	96.9%	75.8%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Lagos	2017	98.6%	99.8%	95.1%
Mexico	San Juan De Sabinas	2000	97.7%	99.4%	94.1%
Mexico	San Juan De Sabinas	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	San Juan Del Estado	2000	64.4%	97.4%	16.9%
Mexico	San Juan Del Estado	2017	92.1%	99.9%	55.9%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	90.7%	96.8%	79.9%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	76.7%	87.3%	60.3%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	84.1%	99.9%	14.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	95.3%	98.8%	84.3%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	96.7%	100.0%	70.3%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	98.9%	99.8%	95.8%
Mexico	San Juan Dixi	2000	75.2%	99.8%	13.4%
Mexico	San Juan Dixi	2017	94.0%	100.0%	58.2%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2000	72.2%	93.6%	38.2%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2017	92.5%	99.3%	74.1%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2000	76.0%	99.8%	10.7%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista Analco	2017	95.4%	100.0%	65.1%
Mexico	San Juan Guelavia	2000	79.0%	99.9%	11.1%
Mexico	San Juan Guelavia	2017	94.4%	100.0%	56.2%
Mexico	San Juan Guichicovi	2000	73.7%	89.3%	50.5%
Mexico	San Juan Guichicovi	2017	93.5%	98.4%	79.0%
Mexico	San Juan Huactzinco	2000	99.1%	99.7%	97.7%
Mexico	San Juan Huactzinco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	San Juan Ihualtepec	2000	78.5%	99.6%	12.4%
Mexico	San Juan Ihualtepec	2017	94.0%	100.0%	50.9%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Mixes	2000	63.8%	95.0%	17.9%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Mixes	2017	90.9%	99.6%	61.9%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Vijanos	2000	66.0%	96.9%	17.8%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Vijanos	2017	94.1%	99.8%	73.1%
Mexico	San Juan Lachao	2000	60.8%	95.0%	15.6%
Mexico	San Juan Lachao	2017	90.3%	99.0%	61.3%
Mexico	San Juan Lachigalla	2000	77.7%	99.8%	14.0%
Mexico	San Juan Lachigalla	2017	95.0%	100.0%	64.9%
Mexico	San Juan Lajarcia	2000	80.2%	99.8%	17.2%
Mexico	San Juan Lajarcia	2017	96.4%	100.0%	66.5%
Mexico	San Juan Lalana	2000	48.3%	70.0%	23.4%
Mexico	San Juan Lalana	2017	83.2%	93.4%	59.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Mazatlan	2000	69.4%	89.2%	42.4%
Mexico	San Juan Mazatlan	2017	91.4%	98.7%	74.9%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 08 -	2000	81.4%	98.8%	37.2%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 08 -	2017	96.5%	99.9%	80.6%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2000	80.2%	99.9%	5.4%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2017	95.0%	100.0%	47.8%
Mexico	San Juan Numi	2000	77.7%	98.9%	34.0%
Mexico	San Juan Numi	2017	95.1%	99.9%	71.4%
Mexico	San Juan Ozolotepec	2000	77.3%	99.2%	26.2%
Mexico	San Juan Ozolotepec	2017	94.1%	99.9%	66.9%
Mexico	San Juan Petlapa	2000	62.2%	97.1%	10.7%
Mexico	San Juan Petlapa	2017	87.5%	99.7%	43.9%
Mexico	San Juan Quiahije	2000	77.3%	99.6%	11.2%
Mexico	San Juan Quiahije	2017	95.1%	100.0%	65.6%
Mexico	San Juan Quiotepec	2000	77.4%	99.5%	19.7%
Mexico	San Juan Quiotepec	2017	95.1%	100.0%	65.8%
Mexico	San Juan Sayultepec	2000	59.0%	88.5%	17.6%
Mexico	San Juan Sayultepec	2017	93.8%	99.0%	72.4%
Mexico	San Juan Tabaa	2000	68.1%	99.7%	6.2%
Mexico	San Juan Tabaa	2017	91.3%	100.0%	41.2%
Mexico	San Juan Tamazola	2000	73.1%	96.9%	34.2%
Mexico	San Juan Tamazola	2017	94.0%	99.7%	74.5%
Mexico	San Juan Teita	2000	72.0%	99.1%	12.1%
Mexico	San Juan Teita	2017	92.2%	100.0%	53.8%
Mexico	San Juan Teitipac	2000	73.1%	99.8%	6.0%
Mexico	San Juan Teitipac	2017	92.0%	100.0%	37.0%
Mexico	San Juan Tepeuxila	2000	76.4%	98.8%	33.9%
Mexico	San Juan Tepeuxila	2017	94.9%	99.9%	74.6%
Mexico	San Juan Teposcolula	2000	74.8%	99.5%	17.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Teposcolula	2017	94.5%	100.0%	69.4%
Mexico	San Juan Yaee	2000	65.5%	96.8%	12.4%
Mexico	San Juan Yaee	2017	92.6%	99.7%	56.9%
Mexico	San Juan Yat-zona	2000	68.2%	99.7%	6.5%
Mexico	San Juan Yat-zona	2017	91.3%	100.0%	43.1%
Mexico	San Juan Yucuita	2000	65.6%	83.1%	41.6%
Mexico	San Juan Yucuita	2017	96.5%	98.7%	90.9%
Mexico	San Juanito De Escobedo	2000	85.0%	99.3%	37.6%
Mexico	San Juanito De Escobedo	2017	97.8%	100.0%	85.5%
Mexico	San Julian	2000	81.3%	99.8%	16.4%
Mexico	San Julian	2017	95.5%	100.0%	53.7%
Mexico	San Lorenzo	2000	69.5%	99.8%	10.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo	2017	91.0%	100.0%	49.6%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Albarradas	2000	78.7%	99.6%	23.4%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Albarradas	2017	95.3%	100.0%	58.6%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Axocomanitla	2000	98.9%	99.6%	97.8%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Axocomanitla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cacaotepec	2000	68.8%	75.3%	61.3%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cacaotepec	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cuaunecuiltla	2000	89.1%	99.2%	59.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cuaunecuiltla	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Texmelucan	2000	68.5%	96.2%	15.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Texmelucan	2017	94.1%	99.4%	67.4%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Victoria	2000	71.3%	98.1%	16.6%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Victoria	2017	95.2%	99.8%	73.7%
Mexico	San Lucas	2000	72.6%	93.1%	39.9%
Mexico	San Lucas	2000	80.0%	97.6%	24.3%
Mexico	San Lucas	2017	94.8%	99.4%	79.4%
Mexico	San Lucas	2017	97.2%	99.9%	79.4%
Mexico	San Lucas Camotlan	2000	74.8%	99.5%	12.8%
Mexico	San Lucas Camotlan	2017	94.5%	100.0%	56.6%
Mexico	San Lucas Ojitlan	2000	52.7%	66.4%	37.1%
Mexico	San Lucas Ojitlan	2017	90.1%	94.8%	80.6%
Mexico	San Lucas Quiavini	2000	72.3%	96.5%	14.5%
Mexico	San Lucas Quiavini	2017	95.4%	100.0%	65.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Lucas Tecopilco	2000	99.3%	99.9%	96.0%
Mexico	San Lucas Tecopilco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	San Lucas Zoquiapam	2000	79.3%	82.9%	74.3%
Mexico	San Lucas Zoquiapam	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Mexico	San Luis Acatlan	2000	68.6%	87.6%	43.1%
Mexico	San Luis Acatlan	2017	93.8%	98.6%	81.6%
Mexico	San Luis Amatlan	2000	77.2%	98.9%	35.3%
Mexico	San Luis Amatlan	2017	95.1%	99.9%	74.1%
Mexico	San Luis De La Paz	2000	92.0%	97.9%	76.4%
Mexico	San Luis De La Paz	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.4%
Mexico	San Luis Del Cordero	2000	82.7%	99.8%	24.4%
Mexico	San Luis Del Cordero	2017	96.0%	100.0%	71.3%
Mexico	San Luis Potosi	2000	76.5%	81.0%	71.8%
Mexico	San Luis Potosi	2017	93.9%	97.0%	88.8%
Mexico	San Luis Rio Colorado	2000	85.8%	93.5%	75.0%
Mexico	San Luis Rio Colorado	2017	98.5%	99.6%	96.6%
Mexico	San Marcial Ozolotepec	2000	74.7%	99.7%	13.5%
Mexico	San Marcial Ozolotepec	2017	94.2%	100.0%	65.6%
Mexico	San Marcos	2000	72.5%	91.5%	44.7%
Mexico	San Marcos	2000	81.2%	99.5%	28.5%
Mexico	San Marcos	2017	92.8%	99.1%	74.4%
Mexico	San Marcos	2017	95.6%	100.0%	63.4%
Mexico	San Marcos Arteaga	2000	75.3%	97.7%	21.7%
Mexico	San Marcos Arteaga	2017	93.9%	99.8%	65.5%
Mexico	San Martin Chalchicautla	2000	47.2%	63.1%	24.2%
Mexico	San Martin Chalchicautla	2017	74.5%	87.7%	53.1%
Mexico	San Martin De Bolanos	2000	82.2%	99.5%	22.0%
Mexico	San Martin De Bolanos	2017	95.9%	100.0%	59.1%
Mexico	San Martin De Las Piramides	2000	96.4%	99.9%	73.1%
Mexico	San Martin De Las Piramides	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	San Martin De Los Cansecos	2000	64.1%	99.8%	2.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Martin De Los Cansecos	2017	89.4%	100.0%	25.7%
Mexico	San Martin Hidalgo	2000	92.5%	98.8%	73.9%
Mexico	San Martin Hidalgo	2017	99.1%	99.9%	94.9%
Mexico	San Martin Huamelulpam	2000	76.7%	99.8%	12.4%
Mexico	San Martin Huamelulpam	2017	94.6%	100.0%	53.0%
Mexico	San Martin Itunyoso	2000	76.7%	99.7%	10.4%
Mexico	San Martin Itunyoso	2017	93.4%	100.0%	53.5%
Mexico	San Martin Lachila	2000	81.2%	99.9%	11.7%
Mexico	San Martin Lachila	2017	96.0%	100.0%	65.9%
Mexico	San Martin Peras	2000	77.4%	99.2%	20.3%
Mexico	San Martin Peras	2017	95.2%	99.9%	71.8%
Mexico	San Martin Texmelucan	2000	81.7%	84.2%	78.3%
Mexico	San Martin Texmelucan	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.6%
Mexico	San Martin Tilcajete	2000	38.5%	96.8%	1.4%
Mexico	San Martin Tilcajete	2017	74.6%	99.8%	17.1%
Mexico	San Martin Totoltepec	2000	81.8%	100.0%	14.7%
Mexico	San Martin Totoltepec	2017	96.6%	100.0%	73.2%
Mexico	San Martin Toxpalan	2000	68.9%	89.1%	43.7%
Mexico	San Martin Toxpalan	2017	96.6%	99.2%	91.0%
Mexico	San Martin Zacatepec	2000	59.5%	82.3%	29.9%
Mexico	San Martin Zacatepec	2017	93.8%	98.8%	78.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Atenco	2000	49.6%	56.5%	43.4%
Mexico	San Mateo Atenco	2017	84.1%	91.3%	76.1%
Mexico	San Mateo Cajonos	2000	76.3%	99.8%	13.7%
Mexico	San Mateo Cajonos	2017	94.4%	100.0%	61.3%
Mexico	San Mateo Del Mar	2000	70.6%	97.7%	21.1%
Mexico	San Mateo Del Mar	2017	90.7%	99.8%	54.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Etlatongo	2000	64.8%	86.7%	38.4%
Mexico	San Mateo Etlatongo	2017	94.6%	98.7%	86.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Nejapam	2000	75.8%	99.5%	13.4%
Mexico	San Mateo Nejapam	2017	94.5%	100.0%	60.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Mateo Peñasco	2000	77.4%	99.8%	11.1%
Mexico	San Mateo Peñasco	2017	95.5%	100.0%	65.3%
Mexico	San Mateo Pinas	2000	73.6%	99.5%	17.7%
Mexico	San Mateo Pinas	2017	92.8%	100.0%	62.6%
Mexico	San Mateo Rio Hondo	2000	76.1%	98.0%	36.6%
Mexico	San Mateo Rio Hondo	2017	94.9%	99.9%	77.2%
Mexico	San Mateo Sindihui	2000	50.3%	83.0%	15.8%
Mexico	San Mateo Sindihui	2017	88.4%	96.1%	76.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Tlapiltepec	2000	73.7%	100.0%	4.8%
Mexico	San Mateo Tlapiltepec	2017	92.6%	100.0%	43.3%
Mexico	San Mateo Yoloxochitlan	2000	67.4%	74.1%	60.2%
Mexico	San Mateo Yoloxochitlan	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.1%
Mexico	San Matias Tlalancaleca	2000	88.1%	95.9%	73.9%
Mexico	San Matias Tlalancaleca	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.8%
Mexico	San Melchor Betaza	2000	75.3%	99.8%	6.0%
Mexico	San Melchor Betaza	2017	95.3%	100.0%	63.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Achiutla	2000	74.8%	99.6%	12.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Achiutla	2017	94.2%	100.0%	58.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Ahuehuetitlan	2000	77.3%	99.7%	17.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Ahuehuetitlan	2017	95.6%	100.0%	59.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Aloapam	2000	79.2%	99.9%	11.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Aloapam	2017	95.4%	100.0%	55.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatitlan	2000	70.6%	97.1%	17.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatitlan	2017	91.8%	99.8%	48.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatlan	2000	77.3%	99.2%	28.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatlan	2017	94.9%	99.9%	64.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Chicahua	2000	70.5%	99.7%	4.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Chicahua	2017	91.7%	100.0%	34.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Chimalapa	2000	76.8%	96.9%	30.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Chimalapa	2017	94.4%	99.7%	72.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Miguel Coatlan	2000	74.1%	99.6%	10.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Coatlan	2017	93.7%	100.0%	52.7%
Mexico	San Miguel De Allende	2000	83.8%	92.0%	75.3%
Mexico	San Miguel De Allende	2017	97.0%	99.3%	92.3%
Mexico	San Miguel De Horcasitas	2000	93.5%	99.4%	79.0%
Mexico	San Miguel De Horcasitas	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Puerto	2000	66.9%	88.0%	36.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Puerto	2017	94.7%	99.2%	83.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Rio	2000	75.8%	99.7%	9.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Rio	2017	93.9%	100.0%	43.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Ejutla	2000	64.8%	98.2%	10.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Ejutla	2017	93.7%	99.9%	57.8%
Mexico	San Miguel El Alto	2000	83.5%	99.1%	28.4%
Mexico	San Miguel El Alto	2017	96.6%	99.9%	83.7%
Mexico	San Miguel El Grande	2000	68.4%	95.2%	20.8%
Mexico	San Miguel El Grande	2017	93.8%	99.7%	70.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Huautla	2000	73.5%	99.9%	9.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Huautla	2017	93.3%	100.0%	53.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Ix-itlan	2000	78.5%	99.8%	19.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Ix-itlan	2017	94.3%	100.0%	59.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Mixtepec	2000	80.2%	99.8%	28.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Mixtepec	2017	96.1%	100.0%	74.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Panixt-lahuaca	2000	72.4%	99.1%	8.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Panixt-lahuaca	2017	93.7%	99.8%	55.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Peras	2000	71.1%	99.4%	19.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Peras	2017	92.8%	100.0%	62.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Piedras	2000	75.1%	97.1%	24.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Piedras	2017	95.7%	99.8%	75.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Quetzaltepec	2000	70.3%	98.3%	16.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Quetzaltepec	2017	92.4%	99.9%	46.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Miguel Santa Flor	2000	79.6%	90.0%	65.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Santa Flor	2017	96.0%	99.0%	88.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Soyaltepec	2000	78.9%	93.9%	56.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Soyaltepec	2017	95.2%	99.5%	81.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Suchixtepec	2000	74.9%	99.9%	8.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Suchixtepec	2017	93.9%	100.0%	49.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Tecomatlan	2000	60.1%	97.0%	10.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Tecomatlan	2017	92.1%	99.8%	61.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Tenango	2000	73.0%	95.9%	35.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Tenango	2017	95.4%	99.7%	83.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Tequixtepec	2000	74.6%	99.3%	21.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Tequixtepec	2017	94.0%	99.9%	58.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Tilquiapam	2000	83.2%	93.3%	53.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Tilquiapam	2017	98.4%	99.5%	94.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacamama	2000	52.0%	82.3%	19.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacamama	2017	89.6%	97.8%	62.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacotepec	2000	80.0%	87.6%	69.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacotepec	2017	98.2%	99.3%	95.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Totolapan	2000	58.6%	83.1%	27.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Totolapan	2017	88.1%	96.2%	66.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Tulancingo	2000	68.4%	99.5%	12.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Tulancingo	2017	92.9%	100.0%	63.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Xoxtla	2000	85.8%	89.5%	80.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Xoxtla	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Yotao	2000	61.7%	79.4%	36.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Yotao	2017	95.3%	97.9%	87.3%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2000	75.4%	99.7%	8.9%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2000	79.9%	98.7%	32.9%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2017	94.6%	100.0%	54.1%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2017	95.2%	99.9%	75.2%
Mexico	San Nicolas Buenos Aires	2000	76.9%	98.3%	36.2%
Mexico	San Nicolas Buenos Aires	2017	94.9%	99.8%	75.3%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Garza	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Garza	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Ranchos	2000	97.0%	99.8%	87.7%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Ranchos	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	San Nicolas Hidalgo	2000	62.4%	99.8%	2.2%
Mexico	San Nicolas Hidalgo	2017	86.6%	100.0%	22.8%
Mexico	San Nicolas Tolentino	2000	81.3%	99.0%	44.6%
Mexico	San Nicolas Tolentino	2017	96.0%	99.9%	79.2%
Mexico	San Pablo Anicano	2000	48.3%	74.8%	22.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Anicano	2017	91.7%	97.3%	81.7%
Mexico	San Pablo Coatlan	2000	79.9%	99.4%	35.7%
Mexico	San Pablo Coatlan	2017	95.7%	100.0%	79.5%
Mexico	San Pablo Cuatro Venados	2000	55.9%	95.8%	11.6%
Mexico	San Pablo Cuatro Venados	2017	83.5%	99.1%	40.5%
Mexico	San Pablo Del Monte	2000	83.4%	88.4%	75.6%
Mexico	San Pablo Del Monte	2017	98.8%	99.2%	97.6%
Mexico	San Pablo ETLA	2000	62.1%	69.2%	55.0%
Mexico	San Pablo ETLA	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.1%
Mexico	San Pablo Huitzo	2000	66.7%	76.9%	51.7%
Mexico	San Pablo Huitzo	2017	96.1%	98.7%	85.8%
Mexico	San Pablo Huixtepec	2000	77.2%	93.7%	54.7%
Mexico	San Pablo Huixtepec	2017	97.4%	99.6%	89.6%
Mexico	San Pablo Macuiltian-guis	2000	79.2%	99.8%	13.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Macuiltian-guis	2017	95.9%	100.0%	62.8%
Mexico	San Pablo Tlaxaltepec	2000	68.2%	97.9%	14.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Tlaxaltepec	2017	93.4%	99.9%	61.9%
Mexico	San Pablo Villa De Mitla	2000	71.8%	98.2%	19.3%
Mexico	San Pablo Villa De Mitla	2017	95.5%	99.9%	74.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Yaganiza	2000	76.7%	99.9%	7.6%
Mexico	San Pablo Yaganiza	2017	94.9%	100.0%	57.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro	2000	82.2%	90.2%	70.5%
Mexico	San Pedro	2017	97.3%	99.0%	94.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Amuzgos	2000	78.5%	99.9%	7.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Amuzgos	2017	96.1%	100.0%	60.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Apostol	2000	18.1%	64.9%	1.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Apostol	2017	62.1%	96.2%	12.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2000	70.0%	99.7%	5.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2017	90.7%	100.0%	34.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Cajonos	2000	76.8%	99.9%	6.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Cajonos	2017	95.0%	100.0%	57.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Cholula	2000	46.0%	51.8%	38.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Cholula	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Comitancillo	2000	78.9%	100.0%	7.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Comitancillo	2017	95.2%	100.0%	54.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Coxcaltepec	2000	71.9%	98.0%	11.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Coxcaltepec Cantaros	2017	91.3%	99.9%	40.0%
Mexico	San Pedro De La Cueva	2000	87.0%	99.4%	40.1%
Mexico	San Pedro De La Cueva	2017	97.8%	99.9%	83.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Del Gallo	2000	81.3%	98.0%	47.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Del Gallo	2017	95.3%	99.8%	73.2%
Mexico	San Pedro El Alto	2000	75.4%	99.8%	10.5%
Mexico	San Pedro El Alto	2017	94.4%	100.0%	58.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Garza Garcia	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Garza Garcia	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Huamelula	2000	77.4%	98.5%	37.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Huamelula	2017	95.0%	99.9%	76.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Huilotepec	2000	64.8%	97.9%	4.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Huilotepec	2017	92.6%	99.8%	45.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixcatlan	2000	33.7%	55.8%	14.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixcatlan	2017	82.0%	90.6%	61.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixtlahuaca	2000	61.9%	85.0%	29.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Ixtlahuaca	2017	96.1%	99.3%	82.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Jaltepetongo	2000	66.7%	93.4%	15.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Jaltepetongo	2017	90.7%	99.7%	37.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Jicayan	2000	65.1%	95.6%	15.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Jicayan	2017	93.0%	99.7%	62.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Jocotipac	2000	73.8%	99.8%	15.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Jocotipac	2017	94.6%	100.0%	69.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Juchatengo	2000	96.0%	100.0%	80.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Juchatengo	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Lagunillas	2000	88.1%	98.9%	61.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Lagunillas	2017	97.4%	99.9%	87.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir	2000	9.4%	38.4%	0.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir	2017	41.2%	85.9%	5.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Quiechapa	2000	77.4%	99.8%	20.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Quiechapa	2017	94.9%	100.0%	68.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Yucuxaco	2000	78.1%	99.7%	15.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Yucuxaco	2017	95.9%	100.0%	69.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 22 -	2000	76.2%	92.8%	42.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 22 -	2017	96.1%	99.4%	85.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2000	82.9%	99.7%	17.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2017	96.4%	100.0%	75.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Molinos	2000	76.7%	99.8%	11.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Molinos	2017	95.1%	100.0%	62.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Nopala	2000	72.1%	99.8%	5.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Nopala	2017	92.1%	100.0%	42.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocopetatillo	2000	93.0%	99.6%	72.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocopetatillo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Ocotepc	2000	66.4%	99.7%	7.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocotepc	2017	90.6%	100.0%	47.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Pochutla	2000	64.9%	90.2%	31.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Pochutla	2017	93.0%	98.8%	75.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Quiatoni	2000	78.7%	94.4%	49.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Quiatoni	2017	95.9%	99.7%	81.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Sochiapam	2000	65.7%	97.2%	15.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Sochiapam	2017	91.8%	99.8%	59.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Tapanatepec	2000	72.9%	91.8%	41.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Tapanatepec	2017	95.2%	99.4%	80.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Taviche	2000	74.1%	99.9%	9.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Taviche	2017	92.7%	100.0%	49.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Teozacoalco	2000	69.7%	98.0%	11.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Teozacoalco	2017	93.8%	99.9%	57.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Teutila	2000	58.3%	93.0%	11.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Teutila	2017	86.0%	99.5%	40.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Tidaa	2000	70.9%	99.7%	8.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Tidaa	2017	93.3%	100.0%	59.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Topiltepec	2000	68.4%	99.4%	3.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Topiltepec	2017	91.5%	100.0%	31.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Totolapa	2000	81.8%	99.6%	31.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Totolapa	2017	96.1%	100.0%	74.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Ayutla	2000	73.4%	98.4%	23.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Ayutla	2017	93.9%	99.9%	69.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Teposcolula	2000	78.1%	99.7%	10.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Teposcolula	2017	95.0%	100.0%	56.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Tequixtepec	2000	79.5%	99.2%	39.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Tequixtepec	2017	95.6%	99.9%	76.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Yaneri	2000	68.9%	98.1%	18.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Yaneri	2017	95.0%	99.9%	73.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Yeloixtlahuaca	2000	66.8%	95.1%	17.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Yeloixtlahuaca	2017	93.7%	99.7%	70.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Yoloxtol	2000	75.2%	98.7%	23.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Yoloxtol	2017	93.8%	99.9%	67.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Yucunama	2000	73.9%	99.9%	5.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Yucunama	2017	92.6%	100.0%	39.3%
Mexico	San Rafael	2000	60.2%	92.1%	16.9%
Mexico	San Rafael	2017	91.2%	99.3%	61.9%
Mexico	San Raymundo Jalpan	2000	72.9%	88.2%	55.0%
Mexico	San Raymundo Jalpan	2017	97.5%	99.1%	94.9%
Mexico	San Salvador	2000	81.8%	97.9%	50.6%
Mexico	San Salvador	2017	96.9%	99.8%	84.7%
Mexico	San Salvador El Seco	2000	82.0%	98.9%	37.8%
Mexico	San Salvador El Seco	2017	97.0%	99.9%	82.7%
Mexico	San Salvador El Verde	2000	94.5%	98.3%	85.1%
Mexico	San Salvador El Verde	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	San Salvador Huixcolotla	2000	97.0%	99.9%	85.5%
Mexico	San Salvador Huixcolotla	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	San Sebastian Abasolo	2000	66.1%	99.4%	5.9%
Mexico	San Sebastian Abasolo	2017	90.5%	99.9%	38.3%
Mexico	San Sebastian Coatlan	2000	80.4%	99.3%	41.4%
Mexico	San Sebastian Coatlan	2017	96.1%	100.0%	80.8%
Mexico	San Sebastian Del Oeste	2000	79.1%	97.4%	47.5%
Mexico	San Sebastian Del Oeste	2017	94.4%	99.8%	69.3%
Mexico	San Sebastian Ixcapa	2000	72.4%	99.3%	6.3%
Mexico	San Sebastian Ixcapa	2017	93.1%	100.0%	44.8%
Mexico	San Sebastian Nicananduta	2000	76.7%	99.9%	5.5%
Mexico	San Sebastian Nicananduta	2017	94.0%	100.0%	46.3%
Mexico	San Sebastian Rio Hondo	2000	83.9%	98.4%	42.0%
Mexico	San Sebastian Rio Hondo	2017	97.8%	99.9%	87.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Sebastian Tecomaxt-lahuaca	2000	79.6%	95.4%	58.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tecomaxt-lahuaca	2017	96.3%	99.7%	82.4%
Mexico	San Sebastian Teitipac	2000	69.9%	99.7%	3.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Teitipac	2017	90.6%	100.0%	30.7%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tlacotepec	2000	71.5%	95.9%	34.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tlacotepec	2017	93.2%	99.7%	70.4%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tutla	2000	77.7%	82.3%	72.4%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tutla	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Mexico	San Simon Almolongas	2000	76.0%	98.9%	22.5%
Mexico	San Simon Almolongas	2017	95.4%	99.9%	68.3%
Mexico	San Simon De Guerrero	2000	74.3%	99.4%	14.7%
Mexico	San Simon De Guerrero	2017	93.3%	100.0%	58.3%
Mexico	San Simon Zahuatlan	2000	67.1%	98.7%	9.9%
Mexico	San Simon Zahuatlan	2017	91.2%	99.9%	50.5%
Mexico	San Vicente Coatlan	2000	80.6%	99.9%	8.9%
Mexico	San Vicente Coatlan	2017	95.4%	100.0%	57.5%
Mexico	San Vicente Lachixio	2000	73.7%	97.5%	15.7%
Mexico	San Vicente Lachixio	2017	93.4%	99.8%	59.4%
Mexico	San Vicente Nunu	2000	75.3%	99.2%	26.8%
Mexico	San Vicente Nunu	2017	94.0%	99.9%	62.9%
Mexico	San Vicente Tancuayalab	2000	76.9%	98.5%	26.2%
Mexico	San Vicente Tancuayalab	2017	94.7%	99.9%	68.4%
Mexico	Sanahcat	2000	90.2%	99.6%	55.6%
Mexico	Sanahcat	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Sanctorum De Lazaro Cardenas	2000	98.3%	99.7%	94.3%
Mexico	Sanctorum De Lazaro Cardenas	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2000	94.6%	98.5%	85.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2000	75.6%	96.6%	39.2%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2017	97.0%	99.7%	88.5%
Mexico	Santa Ana Ateixtlahuaca	2000	82.9%	99.5%	40.8%
Mexico	Santa Ana Ateixtlahuaca	2017	96.1%	100.0%	71.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Ana Cuauhtemoc	2000	51.1%	90.9%	7.3%
Mexico	Santa Ana Cuauhtemoc	2017	88.0%	99.0%	48.8%
Mexico	Santa Ana Del Valle	2000	91.2%	98.6%	69.7%
Mexico	Santa Ana Del Valle	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Santa Ana Maya	2000	81.0%	99.8%	25.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana Maya	2017	95.9%	100.0%	66.3%
Mexico	Santa Ana Nopalucan	2000	87.3%	90.5%	83.3%
Mexico	Santa Ana Nopalucan	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tavela	2000	66.4%	90.8%	33.4%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tavela	2017	96.3%	99.3%	88.8%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tlapacoyan	2000	81.8%	96.9%	49.6%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tlapacoyan	2017	98.0%	99.8%	91.1%
Mexico	Santa Ana Yareni	2000	73.1%	99.9%	7.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Yareni	2017	93.1%	100.0%	52.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana Zegache	2000	58.5%	84.9%	25.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Zegache	2017	91.5%	99.0%	71.5%
Mexico	Santa Apolonia Teacalco	2000	95.5%	97.1%	93.3%
Mexico	Santa Apolonia Teacalco	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Mexico	Santa Barbara	2000	82.2%	96.7%	47.4%
Mexico	Santa Barbara	2017	98.0%	99.8%	91.9%
Mexico	Santa Catalina Quieri	2000	78.4%	99.8%	14.1%
Mexico	Santa Catalina Quieri	2017	93.9%	100.0%	56.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	73.6%	94.3%	38.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	80.1%	99.7%	19.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	99.0%	99.7%	96.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	95.6%	100.0%	67.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	93.4%	99.6%	71.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ayometla	2000	98.1%	99.4%	95.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ayometla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Cuixtla	2000	64.3%	88.8%	31.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Catarina Cuixtla	2017	95.4%	99.2%	84.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ixtepeji	2000	73.8%	98.8%	26.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ixtepeji	2017	93.4%	99.9%	68.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Juquila	2000	70.6%	95.9%	26.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Juquila	2017	93.3%	99.7%	62.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Lachatao	2000	76.4%	99.0%	35.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Lachatao	2017	94.2%	99.9%	68.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Loxicha	2000	64.4%	88.7%	20.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Loxicha	2017	93.7%	99.1%	74.0%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Mechoacan	2000	59.4%	91.9%	20.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Mechoacan	2017	90.7%	99.9%	40.0%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Minas	2000	57.3%	78.7%	40.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Minas	2017	88.1%	98.5%	68.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiane	2000	60.2%	99.6%	3.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiane	2017	89.3%	100.0%	32.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quioquitani	2000	81.1%	100.0%	9.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quioquitani	2017	94.0%	100.0%	41.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tayata	2000	74.8%	99.4%	12.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tayata	2017	94.0%	100.0%	52.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ticua	2000	73.3%	99.7%	7.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ticua	2017	94.6%	100.0%	57.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tlaltempan	2000	80.1%	99.7%	13.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tlaltempan	2017	95.2%	100.0%	57.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Yasonotu	2000	72.4%	99.5%	8.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Yasonotu	2017	93.4%	100.0%	50.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Zapouquila	2000	80.7%	99.7%	14.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Zapouquila	2017	96.3%	100.0%	67.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Clara	2000	91.1%	98.2%	78.7%
Mexico	Santa Clara	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.4%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	86.5%	99.6%	43.8%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	97.1%	100.0%	77.4%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	78.2%	83.5%	71.0%
Mexico	Acatepec				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Mexico	Acatepec				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	78.1%	82.7%	72.9%
Mexico	Amilpas				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Mexico	Amilpas				
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Bravo	2000	77.8%	99.8%	11.3%
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Bravo	2017	93.3%	100.0%	48.8%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	85.5%	95.7%	70.1%
Mexico	De Juventino				
Mexico	Rosas				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	97.1%	99.6%	90.2%
Mexico	De Juventino				
Mexico	Rosas				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	52.0%	79.0%	21.5%
Mexico	Itundujia				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	84.5%	97.5%	59.2%
Mexico	Itundujia				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	80.0%	98.1%	39.2%
Mexico	Mixtepec				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	96.3%	99.9%	79.2%
Mexico	Mixtepec				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	80.0%	90.5%	67.9%
Mexico	Nundaco				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	98.1%	99.3%	94.8%
Mexico	Nundaco				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	73.0%	99.9%	4.3%
Mexico	Papalutla				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	91.6%	100.0%	31.9%
Mexico	Papalutla				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Mexico	Quilehltla				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Quilehltla				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	66.8%	99.5%	6.2%
Mexico	Tacache De				
Mexico	Mina				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	91.9%	100.0%	47.3%
Mexico	Tacache De				
Mexico	Mina				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	74.7%	99.5%	9.3%
Mexico	Tacahua				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	93.2%	100.0%	41.6%
Mexico	Tacahua				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	75.6%	99.9%	6.0%
Mexico	Tayata				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	93.5%	100.0%	46.7%
Mexico	Tayata				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	95.6%	97.5%	93.4%
Mexico	Tlaxcala				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Mexico	Tlaxcala				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	71.5%	90.1%	41.0%
Mexico	Xitla				

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xitla	2017	96.2%	99.3%	87.4%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xoxocotlan	2000	61.2%	65.2%	57.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xoxocotlan	2017	96.0%	96.6%	95.2%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Zenzontepec	2000	75.1%	97.6%	30.8%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Zenzontepec	2017	94.7%	99.7%	75.5%
Mexico	Santa Elena	2000	75.3%	99.3%	18.4%
Mexico	Santa Elena	2017	94.7%	99.9%	64.9%
Mexico	Santa Gertrudis	2000	78.1%	91.1%	55.0%
Mexico	Santa Gertrudis	2017	97.6%	99.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Santa Ines Ahuatempan	2000	79.1%	99.7%	14.0%
Mexico	Santa Ines Ahuatempan	2017	95.4%	100.0%	60.7%
Mexico	Santa Ines De Zaragoza	2000	77.0%	99.8%	10.3%
Mexico	Santa Ines De Zaragoza	2017	92.9%	100.0%	44.4%
Mexico	Santa Ines Del Monte	2000	7.8%	22.1%	1.5%
Mexico	Santa Ines Del Monte	2017	40.6%	65.6%	17.2%
Mexico	Santa Ines Yatzeche	2000	78.6%	93.5%	59.9%
Mexico	Santa Ines Yatzeche	2017	98.3%	99.6%	96.0%
Mexico	Santa Isabel	2000	84.7%	98.3%	48.5%
Mexico	Santa Isabel	2017	97.8%	99.9%	88.5%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Cholula	2000	55.4%	64.6%	43.9%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Cholula	2017	93.7%	95.5%	90.9%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Xiloxotla	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Xiloxotla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Del Camino	2000	78.5%	82.7%	74.0%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Del Camino	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Miahuatlan	2000	75.0%	99.7%	9.3%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Miahuatlan	2017	93.8%	100.0%	54.0%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Monteverde	2000	72.3%	99.3%	11.0%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Monteverde	2017	91.8%	99.9%	55.3%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Ocotlan	2000	19.8%	74.9%	2.2%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Ocotlan	2017	60.1%	98.0%	17.1%
Mexico	Santa Magdalena Jicotlan	2000	73.3%	99.9%	8.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Magdalena Jicotlan	2017	91.7%	100.0%	41.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Alotepec	2000	69.6%	99.0%	14.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Alotepec	2017	91.5%	99.9%	55.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Apazco	2000	66.7%	86.9%	40.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Apazco	2017	95.3%	99.0%	87.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Atzompa	2000	68.1%	76.0%	58.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Atzompa	2017	97.3%	98.2%	95.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Camotlan	2000	96.6%	99.8%	83.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Camotlan	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chachoapam	2000	68.3%	85.4%	44.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chachoapam	2017	95.9%	98.9%	86.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chilchotla	2000	56.2%	96.3%	14.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chilchotla	2017	85.3%	99.7%	49.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chimalapa	2000	66.0%	86.2%	41.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chimalapa	2017	90.8%	97.8%	77.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Colotepec	2000	78.6%	99.1%	27.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Colotepec	2017	95.0%	99.9%	73.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Cortijo	2000	72.9%	99.7%	7.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Cortijo	2017	92.7%	100.0%	42.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Coyotepec	2000	54.4%	73.8%	32.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Coyotepec	2017	94.4%	97.8%	87.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria De La Paz	2000	79.2%	99.5%	24.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria De La Paz	2017	95.6%	100.0%	71.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria De Los Angeles	2000	77.6%	98.7%	27.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria De Los Angeles	2017	94.6%	99.9%	66.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2000	80.3%	98.4%	43.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2000	83.0%	94.7%	63.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2017	95.0%	99.9%	78.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2017	97.2%	99.6%	90.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rio	2000	76.7%	94.3%	52.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rio	2017	95.3%	99.5%	80.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rosario	2000	72.7%	99.6%	10.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rosario	2017	92.6%	100.0%	52.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Tule	2000	73.8%	96.0%	38.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Tule	2017	95.2%	99.8%	72.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ecatepec	2000	76.3%	96.8%	43.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ecatepec	2017	93.8%	99.7%	77.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guelace	2000	63.3%	98.7%	6.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guelace	2017	91.8%	99.9%	48.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guienagati	2000	69.7%	98.3%	19.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guienagati	2017	93.5%	99.8%	53.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huatulco	2000	74.6%	98.5%	31.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huatulco	2017	93.6%	99.9%	65.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huazolotitlan	2000	65.3%	97.2%	22.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huazolotitlan	2017	89.9%	99.8%	58.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ipalapa	2000	69.5%	99.5%	6.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ipalapa	2017	90.1%	100.0%	41.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ixcatlan	2000	72.2%	99.2%	20.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ixcatlan	2017	93.0%	99.9%	63.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jacatepec	2000	68.9%	89.9%	36.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jacatepec	2017	92.7%	99.0%	75.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jalapa Del Marques	2000	76.4%	99.2%	17.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jalapa Del Marques	2017	95.2%	99.9%	67.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jaltianguis	2000	75.2%	99.7%	19.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jaltianguis	2017	94.3%	100.0%	55.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria La Asuncion	2000	41.4%	76.8%	10.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria La Asuncion	2017	89.4%	98.3%	63.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Lachixio	2000	77.0%	99.9%	7.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Lachixio	2017	94.3%	100.0%	52.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Mixtequilla	2000	77.7%	99.7%	18.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Mixtequilla	2017	95.9%	100.0%	71.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nativitas	2000	73.5%	99.8%	11.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nativitas	2017	93.7%	100.0%	51.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nduayaco	2000	73.0%	99.8%	5.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nduayaco	2017	92.2%	100.0%	44.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ozolotepec	2000	78.4%	99.6%	30.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ozolotepec	2017	94.9%	100.0%	71.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Papalo	2000	78.1%	98.4%	18.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Papalo	2017	96.1%	99.8%	70.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Penoles	2000	74.9%	97.7%	30.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Penoles	2017	95.3%	99.7%	76.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Petapa	2000	72.8%	81.0%	59.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Petapa	2017	97.3%	98.7%	92.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Quiegolani	2000	82.3%	99.8%	14.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Quiegolani	2017	96.7%	100.0%	74.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Sola	2000	41.5%	86.2%	3.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Sola	2017	81.5%	98.3%	28.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tataltepec	2000	71.2%	100.0%	4.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tataltepec	2017	90.0%	100.0%	36.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tecomavaca	2000	70.9%	98.6%	19.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tecomavaca	2017	93.0%	100.0%	48.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcalapa	2000	68.5%	99.7%	9.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcalapa	2017	92.4%	100.0%	57.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcaltepec	2000	68.0%	81.7%	47.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcaltepec	2017	94.5%	96.2%	90.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Teopoxco	2000	97.8%	99.6%	93.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Teopoxco	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tepantlali	2000	65.9%	98.5%	6.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tepantlali	2017	90.4%	99.9%	36.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Texcatitlan	2000	71.7%	99.8%	9.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Texcatitlan	2017	94.4%	100.0%	62.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlahuitoltepec	2000	68.7%	97.7%	20.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlahuitoltepec	2017	93.9%	99.7%	61.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlalixtac	2000	48.0%	84.9%	10.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlalixtac	2017	88.8%	98.8%	52.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tonameca	2000	59.6%	86.6%	29.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tonameca	2017	91.0%	98.8%	67.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Totolapilla	2000	71.3%	98.4%	17.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Totolapilla	2017	95.5%	99.9%	71.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Xadani	2000	48.1%	97.6%	2.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Xadani	2017	77.8%	99.8%	11.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yalina	2000	73.2%	93.0%	42.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yalina	2017	96.4%	99.5%	87.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yavesia	2000	74.0%	99.7%	8.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yavesia	2017	94.7%	100.0%	58.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yolotepec	2000	76.7%	99.7%	9.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yolotepec	2017	93.6%	100.0%	49.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yosoyua	2000	77.0%	99.8%	17.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yosoyua	2017	95.4%	100.0%	67.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yucuhiti	2000	70.9%	98.7%	16.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yucuhiti	2017	94.6%	99.9%	69.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zacatepec	2000	72.8%	95.6%	26.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zacatepec	2017	93.6%	99.7%	65.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zaniza	2000	79.8%	99.4%	23.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zaniza	2017	95.4%	100.0%	66.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zoquitlan	2000	80.5%	99.5%	27.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zoquitlan	2017	96.1%	100.0%	76.1%
Mexico	Santiago	2000	76.6%	84.9%	64.2%
Mexico	Santiago	2017	96.7%	98.7%	93.9%
Mexico	Santiago Amoltepec	2000	54.5%	74.9%	33.8%
Mexico	Santiago Amoltepec	2017	90.9%	97.5%	78.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Apoala	2000	68.9%	97.7%	10.5%
Mexico	Santiago Apoala	2017	91.6%	99.8%	45.1%
Mexico	Santiago Apostol	2000	36.6%	60.8%	16.1%
Mexico	Santiago Apostol	2017	72.7%	89.4%	48.9%
Mexico	Santiago Astata	2000	80.3%	99.8%	12.1%
Mexico	Santiago Astata	2017	95.9%	100.0%	68.1%
Mexico	Santiago Atitlan	2000	65.7%	99.5%	11.6%
Mexico	Santiago Atitlan	2017	90.2%	100.0%	48.0%
Mexico	Santiago Ayuquililla	2000	75.9%	99.8%	7.1%
Mexico	Santiago Ayuquililla	2017	93.3%	100.0%	54.5%
Mexico	Santiago Cacaloxtepec	2000	81.6%	99.3%	28.6%
Mexico	Santiago Cacaloxtepec	2017	97.2%	100.0%	80.9%
Mexico	Santiago Camotlan	2000	62.1%	94.0%	19.5%
Mexico	Santiago Camotlan	2017	87.8%	99.6%	51.4%
Mexico	Santiago Chazumba	2000	79.7%	99.2%	28.6%
Mexico	Santiago Chazumba	2017	95.6%	99.9%	74.3%
Mexico	Santiago Choapam	2000	68.7%	95.7%	19.5%
Mexico	Santiago Choapam	2017	90.4%	99.6%	56.4%
Mexico	Santiago Comaltepec	2000	75.4%	97.9%	22.4%
Mexico	Santiago Comaltepec	2017	93.6%	99.8%	59.1%
Mexico	Santiago De Anaya	2000	75.3%	98.8%	18.1%
Mexico	Santiago De Anaya	2017	94.4%	99.9%	62.5%
Mexico	Santiago Del Rio	2000	74.2%	99.6%	11.6%
Mexico	Santiago Del Rio	2017	93.8%	100.0%	46.8%
Mexico	Santiago El Pinar	2000	68.6%	99.9%	5.5%
Mexico	Santiago El Pinar	2017	90.8%	100.0%	39.8%
Mexico	Santiago Huajolotitlan	2000	77.7%	91.1%	57.5%
Mexico	Santiago Huajolotitlan	2017	98.2%	99.4%	95.6%
Mexico	Santiago Huaucilla	2000	75.9%	99.2%	23.4%
Mexico	Santiago Huaucilla	2017	93.3%	100.0%	66.0%
Mexico	Santiago Ihuitlan Plumas	2000	76.9%	99.7%	10.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Ihuitlan Plumas	2017	94.6%	100.0%	54.6%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintepec	2000	79.7%	99.8%	24.0%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintepec	2017	94.2%	100.0%	48.6%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintla	2000	76.7%	90.5%	57.9%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintla	2017	95.1%	99.2%	84.2%
Mexico	Santiago Ixtayutla	2000	39.9%	84.2%	14.7%
Mexico	Santiago Ixtayutla	2017	72.7%	98.1%	37.2%
Mexico	Santiago Jamiltepec	2000	66.2%	76.6%	49.6%
Mexico	Santiago Jamiltepec	2017	95.4%	98.0%	88.5%
Mexico	Santiago Jocotepec	2000	56.1%	82.8%	25.4%
Mexico	Santiago Jocotepec	2017	85.7%	97.1%	59.1%
Mexico	Santiago Juxtahuaca	2000	66.0%	85.6%	39.8%
Mexico	Santiago Juxtahuaca	2017	91.3%	98.4%	72.8%
Mexico	Santiago Lachiguiri	2000	83.0%	98.2%	51.4%
Mexico	Santiago Lachiguiri	2017	96.0%	99.8%	77.2%
Mexico	Santiago Lalopa	2000	66.7%	99.3%	11.0%
Mexico	Santiago Lalopa	2017	91.0%	100.0%	47.5%
Mexico	Santiago Laolaga	2000	85.6%	99.7%	41.5%
Mexico	Santiago Laolaga	2017	98.1%	100.0%	88.0%
Mexico	Santiago Laxopa	2000	74.4%	98.1%	26.3%
Mexico	Santiago Laxopa	2017	95.9%	99.9%	77.9%
Mexico	Santiago Llano Grande	2000	74.2%	99.6%	12.5%
Mexico	Santiago Llano Grande	2017	93.3%	100.0%	58.0%
Mexico	Santiago Maravatio	2000	88.3%	99.9%	46.9%
Mexico	Santiago Maravatio	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.0%
Mexico	Santiago Matatlan	2000	70.0%	97.0%	20.9%
Mexico	Santiago Matatlan	2017	93.0%	99.9%	65.4%
Mexico	Santiago Miahuatlan	2000	77.4%	88.4%	61.8%
Mexico	Santiago Miahuatlan	2017	97.5%	99.1%	93.2%
Mexico	Santiago Miltepec	2000	81.3%	99.8%	32.0%
Mexico	Santiago Miltepec	2017	96.9%	100.0%	80.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Minas	2000	82.7%	99.3%	37.6%
Mexico	Santiago Minas	2017	96.8%	100.0%	75.5%
Mexico	Santiago Nacaltepec	2000	74.6%	99.5%	18.7%
Mexico	Santiago Nacaltepec	2017	92.8%	100.0%	51.0%
Mexico	Santiago Nejapilla	2000	70.9%	99.8%	5.7%
Mexico	Santiago Nejapilla	2017	92.6%	100.0%	49.4%
Mexico	Santiago Nilotepic	2000	78.4%	98.2%	27.6%
Mexico	Santiago Nilotepic	2017	95.6%	99.9%	73.1%
Mexico	Santiago Nundiche	2000	67.7%	93.3%	20.2%
Mexico	Santiago Nundiche	2017	94.2%	99.2%	77.6%
Mexico	Santiago Nuyoo	2000	72.3%	99.5%	11.1%
Mexico	Santiago Nuyoo	2017	93.8%	100.0%	62.5%
Mexico	Santiago Papasquiario	2000	79.9%	91.2%	59.6%
Mexico	Santiago Papasquiario	2017	96.9%	99.0%	92.6%
Mexico	Santiago Pinotepa Nacional	2000	57.1%	82.4%	27.3%
Mexico	Santiago Pinotepa Nacional	2017	89.7%	97.5%	66.2%
Mexico	Santiago Sochiapa	2000	69.3%	96.2%	27.5%
Mexico	Santiago Sochiapa	2017	91.6%	99.7%	66.3%
Mexico	Santiago Suchilquitongo	2000	58.5%	66.4%	49.3%
Mexico	Santiago Suchilquitongo	2017	95.3%	96.8%	92.1%
Mexico	Santiago Tamazola	2000	73.3%	98.5%	28.8%
Mexico	Santiago Tamazola	2017	93.4%	99.9%	70.1%
Mexico	Santiago Tapextla	2000	77.0%	99.3%	20.9%
Mexico	Santiago Tapextla	2017	94.8%	100.0%	69.5%
Mexico	Santiago Tenango	2000	72.8%	99.6%	11.1%
Mexico	Santiago Tenango	2017	92.9%	100.0%	53.4%
Mexico	Santiago Tepetlapa	2000	75.7%	99.9%	5.2%
Mexico	Santiago Tepetlapa	2017	94.2%	100.0%	47.7%
Mexico	Santiago Tetepec	2000	73.2%	93.6%	37.7%
Mexico	Santiago Tetepec	2017	95.2%	99.4%	81.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Texcalcingo	2000	89.7%	94.8%	80.1%
Mexico	Santiago Texcalcingo	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.1%
Mexico	Santiago Textitlan	2000	80.2%	99.6%	18.6%
Mexico	Santiago Textitlan	2017	95.4%	100.0%	55.9%
Mexico	Santiago Tilantongo	2000	73.3%	99.6%	15.1%
Mexico	Santiago Tilantongo	2017	92.6%	99.9%	55.5%
Mexico	Santiago Tillo	2000	64.7%	96.1%	12.6%
Mexico	Santiago Tillo	2017	92.9%	99.7%	46.3%
Mexico	Santiago Tlazoyaltepec	2000	74.1%	99.5%	13.7%
Mexico	Santiago Tlazoyaltepec	2017	94.6%	100.0%	65.4%
Mexico	Santiago Tulantepec De Lugo Guerrero	2000	69.4%	78.3%	60.1%
Mexico	Santiago Tulantepec De Lugo Guerrero	2017	95.4%	97.7%	91.5%
Mexico	Santiago Tuxtla	2000	69.6%	96.4%	27.5%
Mexico	Santiago Tuxtla	2017	93.1%	99.7%	70.9%
Mexico	Santiago Xanica	2000	75.5%	99.6%	13.7%
Mexico	Santiago Xanica	2017	93.0%	100.0%	49.0%
Mexico	Santiago Xiacui	2000	73.2%	98.6%	13.4%
Mexico	Santiago Xiacui	2017	95.3%	99.9%	66.8%
Mexico	Santiago Yaitepec	2000	68.3%	99.3%	4.9%
Mexico	Santiago Yaitepec	2017	92.2%	99.7%	46.1%
Mexico	Santiago Yaveo	2000	73.5%	93.0%	48.9%
Mexico	Santiago Yaveo	2017	93.4%	99.3%	79.8%
Mexico	Santiago Yolomecatl	2000	74.5%	99.9%	7.1%
Mexico	Santiago Yolomecatl	2017	92.7%	100.0%	31.4%
Mexico	Santiago Yosondua	2000	74.2%	98.0%	24.6%
Mexico	Santiago Yosondua	2017	93.0%	99.9%	59.5%
Mexico	Santiago Yucuyachi	2000	75.1%	99.8%	15.0%
Mexico	Santiago Yucuyachi	2017	94.6%	100.0%	58.1%
Mexico	Santiago Zcatepec	2000	78.7%	93.4%	51.3%
Mexico	Santiago Zcatepec	2017	97.8%	99.4%	92.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Zochila	2000	77.4%	91.9%	49.8%
Mexico	Santiago Zochila	2017	97.8%	99.5%	92.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo	2000	79.1%	94.1%	56.1%
Mexico	Santo Domingo	2017	95.3%	99.2%	85.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Albarradas	2000	77.0%	99.6%	19.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Albarradas	2017	94.7%	100.0%	66.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Armenta	2000	73.0%	99.6%	6.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Armenta	2017	93.0%	100.0%	57.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Chihuitan	2000	78.6%	99.8%	11.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Chihuitan	2017	96.1%	100.0%	72.1%
Mexico	Santo Domingo De Morelos	2000	27.2%	55.6%	7.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo De Morelos	2017	76.0%	89.5%	50.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ingenio	2000	72.0%	98.5%	23.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ingenio	2017	94.8%	99.9%	70.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ixcatlan	2000	77.6%	99.9%	6.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ixcatlan	2017	94.1%	100.0%	51.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Nuxaa	2000	77.4%	99.5%	27.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Nuxaa	2017	94.5%	100.0%	68.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ozolotepec	2000	79.2%	99.8%	16.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ozolotepec	2017	95.2%	100.0%	61.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Petapa	2000	76.5%	98.7%	17.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Petapa	2017	94.3%	99.9%	60.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santo Domingo Roayaga	2000	67.6%	97.9%	14.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Roayaga	2017	92.8%	99.9%	60.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tehuantepec	2000	80.8%	95.6%	48.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tehuantepec	2017	96.6%	99.5%	84.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Teojomulco	2000	77.5%	99.7%	27.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Teojomulco	2017	95.0%	100.0%	66.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tepuxtepec	2000	74.5%	99.7%	10.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tepuxtepec	2017	92.9%	100.0%	39.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tlatayapam	2000	70.7%	99.8%	5.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tlatayapam	2017	92.8%	100.0%	47.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tomaltepec	2000	69.6%	78.8%	56.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tomaltepec	2017	97.1%	98.2%	95.1%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonalá	2000	72.7%	99.5%	10.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonalá	2017	92.5%	100.0%	39.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonaltepec	2000	74.6%	99.8%	16.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonaltepec	2017	94.3%	100.0%	59.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Xagacia	2000	76.8%	99.8%	7.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Xagacia	2017	94.4%	100.0%	53.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yanhuitlan	2000	74.2%	99.6%	6.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yanhuitlan	2017	93.8%	100.0%	38.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yodohino	2000	79.8%	99.8%	13.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yodohino	2017	95.4%	100.0%	60.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Zanatepec	2000	69.5%	96.0%	19.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Zanatepec	2017	91.4%	99.7%	48.6%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2000	81.0%	99.5%	28.2%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2017	96.9%	100.0%	83.7%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2000	77.8%	94.5%	40.1%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Jalieza	2017	97.6%	99.6%	89.8%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Jalieza	2000	38.4%	94.3%	2.8%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Mazaltepec	2017	71.9%	99.6%	17.0%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Mazaltepec	2000	64.8%	87.2%	29.2%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Mazaltepec	2017	93.4%	98.2%	77.7%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Ocotepc	2000	73.4%	98.4%	24.8%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Ocotepc	2017	95.1%	99.9%	71.4%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Tamazulapan	2000	66.3%	97.0%	16.4%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Tamazulapan	2017	93.7%	99.8%	67.3%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Nopala	2000	82.0%	94.5%	60.3%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Nopala	2017	97.3%	99.5%	89.9%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Papalo	2000	83.8%	99.0%	34.3%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Papalo	2017	97.0%	99.9%	82.9%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Tepejillo	2000	73.0%	99.8%	8.6%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Tepejillo	2017	93.1%	100.0%	49.1%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Yucuna	2000	67.9%	99.1%	6.8%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Yucuna	2017	91.4%	99.9%	45.8%
Mexico	Saric	2000	83.6%	99.2%	47.3%
Mexico	Saric	2017	96.7%	99.9%	79.2%
Mexico	Satevo	2000	85.2%	96.3%	65.2%
Mexico	Satevo	2017	97.4%	99.7%	91.5%
Mexico	Saucillo	2000	85.4%	95.7%	70.8%
Mexico	Saucillo	2017	98.2%	99.7%	93.3%
Mexico	Sayula	2000	80.1%	99.7%	20.2%
Mexico	Sayula	2017	96.0%	100.0%	72.7%
Mexico	Sayula De Aleman	2000	63.4%	90.6%	26.5%
Mexico	Sayula De Aleman	2017	90.0%	99.2%	54.8%
Mexico	Senguio	2000	77.4%	99.2%	22.5%
Mexico	Senguio	2017	95.3%	99.9%	67.1%
Mexico	Seye	2000	86.2%	98.3%	61.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Seye	2017	97.0%	99.9%	78.3%
Mexico	Sierra Mojada	2000	83.2%	96.6%	47.5%
Mexico	Sierra Mojada	2017	96.6%	99.5%	82.8%
Mexico	Silacayoapam	2000	73.6%	95.5%	33.9%
Mexico	Silacayoapam	2017	93.9%	99.6%	69.4%
Mexico	Silao	2000	84.9%	93.3%	74.6%
Mexico	Silao	2017	96.6%	99.4%	90.6%
Mexico	Siltepec	2000	64.7%	86.6%	39.7%
Mexico	Siltepec	2017	91.4%	98.3%	77.5%
Mexico	Simojovel	2000	69.9%	90.4%	38.4%
Mexico	Simojovel	2017	94.4%	99.2%	79.1%
Mexico	Sinaloa	2000	57.9%	69.3%	47.6%
Mexico	Sinaloa	2017	80.5%	85.4%	72.8%
Mexico	Sinanche	2000	77.3%	99.8%	6.6%
Mexico	Sinanche	2017	93.3%	100.0%	38.8%
Mexico	Singuilucan	2000	82.7%	93.8%	59.6%
Mexico	Singuilucan	2017	97.0%	99.5%	87.3%
Mexico	Sitala	2000	15.3%	36.2%	6.0%
Mexico	Sitala	2017	54.3%	82.6%	27.2%
Mexico	Sitio De Xitlapehua	2000	65.1%	94.8%	17.3%
Mexico	Sitio De Xitlapehua	2017	93.7%	99.5%	66.1%
Mexico	Sochiapa	2000	89.9%	96.8%	79.1%
Mexico	Sochiapa	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.2%
Mexico	Socoltenango	2000	77.7%	93.4%	56.4%
Mexico	Socoltenango	2017	96.5%	99.5%	87.3%
Mexico	Soconusco	2000	66.9%	93.2%	28.3%
Mexico	Soconusco	2017	94.7%	99.3%	80.5%
Mexico	Soledad	2000	61.8%	93.3%	16.4%
Mexico	Atzompa	2017	89.9%	99.7%	47.0%
Mexico	Soledad De Doblado	2000	76.2%	98.4%	25.4%
Mexico	Soledad De Doblado	2017	93.0%	99.9%	50.9%
Mexico	Soledad De Graciano Sanchez	2000	61.8%	71.6%	54.6%
Mexico	Soledad De Graciano Sanchez	2017	89.0%	96.1%	80.1%
Mexico	Soledad Etlá	2000	66.9%	74.1%	59.5%
Mexico	Soledad Etlá	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Mexico	Solidaridad	2000	93.0%	97.3%	85.3%
Mexico	Solidaridad	2017	98.6%	99.8%	92.6%
Mexico	Solosuchiapa	2000	56.5%	91.4%	10.9%
Mexico	Solosuchiapa	2017	89.7%	99.2%	58.9%
Mexico	Soltepec	2000	84.0%	94.4%	67.0%
Mexico	Soltepec	2017	98.4%	99.7%	94.0%
Mexico	Sombrerete	2000	85.9%	94.7%	73.5%
Mexico	Sombrerete	2017	97.3%	99.4%	92.9%
Mexico	Soteapan	2000	69.9%	97.1%	21.1%
Mexico	Soteapan	2017	91.6%	99.7%	58.0%
Mexico	Soto La Marina	2000	84.7%	93.1%	72.0%
Mexico	Soto La Marina	2017	96.8%	99.1%	91.4%
Mexico	Sotuta	2000	72.6%	99.0%	19.5%
Mexico	Sotuta	2017	93.0%	99.9%	61.9%
Mexico	Soyalo	2000	72.5%	99.1%	14.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Soyalo	2017	93.4%	99.9%	57.4%
Mexico	Soyaniquilpan De Juarez	2000	76.4%	99.4%	21.0%
Mexico	Soyaniquilpan De Juarez	2017	95.1%	100.0%	69.6%
Mexico	Soyopa	2000	80.8%	98.7%	48.0%
Mexico	Soyopa	2017	96.0%	99.8%	80.6%
Mexico	Suaqui Grande	2000	86.7%	99.8%	16.7%
Mexico	Suaqui Grande	2017	97.6%	100.0%	75.1%
Mexico	Suchiapa	2000	60.2%	68.3%	51.6%
Mexico	Suchiapa	2017	42.7%	45.5%	40.5%
Mexico	Suchiate	2000	73.2%	98.0%	19.7%
Mexico	Suchiate	2017	93.5%	99.9%	58.5%
Mexico	Suchil	2000	72.0%	97.0%	25.8%
Mexico	Suchil	2017	93.3%	99.7%	63.6%
Mexico	Sucila	2000	82.1%	99.9%	17.1%
Mexico	Sucila	2017	97.1%	100.0%	75.4%
Mexico	Sudzal	2000	84.3%	99.3%	32.6%
Mexico	Sudzal	2017	96.8%	100.0%	82.1%
Mexico	Sultepec	2000	75.1%	96.6%	36.3%
Mexico	Sultepec	2017	94.2%	99.8%	74.1%
Mexico	Suma	2000	95.9%	99.4%	85.9%
Mexico	Suma	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Sunuapa	2000	49.0%	97.7%	1.4%
Mexico	Sunuapa	2017	79.7%	99.9%	15.9%
Mexico	Susticacan	2000	79.6%	99.8%	20.0%
Mexico	Susticacan	2017	94.3%	100.0%	66.5%
Mexico	Susupuato	2000	52.1%	79.1%	23.5%
Mexico	Susupuato	2017	88.3%	97.6%	70.1%
Mexico	Tabasco	2000	85.2%	97.5%	53.9%
Mexico	Tabasco	2017	98.0%	99.8%	89.5%
Mexico	Tacambaro	2000	79.4%	90.8%	59.1%
Mexico	Tacambaro	2017	97.2%	99.3%	91.1%
Mexico	Tacotalpa	2000	66.2%	83.0%	41.2%
Mexico	Tacotalpa	2017	93.3%	98.4%	81.6%
Mexico	Tahdziu	2000	85.8%	99.5%	33.1%
Mexico	Tahdziu	2017	97.4%	100.0%	80.1%
Mexico	Tahmek	2000	79.6%	99.9%	21.3%
Mexico	Tahmek	2017	94.3%	100.0%	59.7%
Mexico	Tala	2000	85.6%	98.5%	54.5%
Mexico	Tala	2017	98.0%	99.9%	89.9%
Mexico	Talpa De Al-lende	2000	80.6%	96.4%	33.1%
Mexico	Talpa De Al-lende	2017	94.4%	99.7%	59.1%
Mexico	Tamalin	2000	60.5%	85.5%	30.3%
Mexico	Tamalin	2017	87.3%	98.6%	65.8%
Mexico	Tamasopo	2000	72.6%	92.0%	44.0%
Mexico	Tamasopo	2017	93.3%	99.3%	74.1%
Mexico	Tamazula	2000	79.4%	90.4%	61.9%
Mexico	Tamazula	2017	95.1%	98.6%	88.4%
Mexico	Tamazula De Gordiano	2000	81.4%	98.7%	35.1%
Mexico	Tamazula De Gordiano	2017	96.4%	99.9%	79.2%
Mexico	Tamazulapam Del Espiritu Santo	2000	71.4%	96.2%	24.5%
Mexico	Tamazulapam Del Espiritu Santo	2017	94.2%	99.7%	72.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tamazunchale	2000	71.7%	88.6%	53.9%
Mexico	Tamazunchale	2017	94.9%	99.0%	86.7%
Mexico	Tamiahua	2000	44.9%	75.2%	22.0%
Mexico	Tamiahua	2017	74.8%	91.8%	51.3%
Mexico	Tampacan	2000	42.4%	79.5%	6.6%
Mexico	Tampacan	2017	78.1%	97.4%	36.1%
Mexico	Tampamolón Corona	2000	42.9%	62.6%	21.4%
Mexico	Tampamolón Corona	2017	87.3%	95.5%	71.1%
Mexico	Tampico	2000	97.2%	98.7%	94.1%
Mexico	Tampico	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	Tampico Alto	2000	76.2%	95.5%	46.6%
Mexico	Tampico Alto	2017	93.9%	99.5%	79.6%
Mexico	Tamuín	2000	76.2%	92.8%	43.2%
Mexico	Tamuín	2017	95.5%	99.2%	86.0%
Mexico	Tancanhuitz	2000	33.0%	47.7%	22.0%
Mexico	Tancanhuitz	2017	81.6%	91.6%	69.2%
Mexico	Tancitaro	2000	91.1%	99.0%	74.8%
Mexico	Tancitaro	2017	98.4%	99.9%	92.5%
Mexico	Tancoco	2000	84.7%	97.6%	57.4%
Mexico	Tancoco	2017	97.9%	99.9%	88.3%
Mexico	Tanetze De Zaragoza	2000	67.3%	88.2%	36.1%
Mexico	Tanetze De Zaragoza	2017	95.9%	98.5%	86.6%
Mexico	Tangamandapio	2000	70.9%	90.2%	42.1%
Mexico	Tangamandapio	2017	94.8%	99.4%	76.3%
Mexico	Tangancicuaro	2000	76.8%	95.6%	42.9%
Mexico	Tangancicuaro	2017	95.6%	99.7%	80.5%
Mexico	Tanhuato	2000	59.9%	93.1%	12.9%
Mexico	Tanhuato	2017	85.6%	99.1%	48.8%
Mexico	Taniche	2000	68.8%	96.9%	23.6%
Mexico	Taniche	2017	94.8%	99.8%	68.8%
Mexico	Tanlajas	2000	47.4%	58.1%	35.9%
Mexico	Tanlajas	2017	82.2%	92.0%	65.5%
Mexico	Tanquian De Escobedo	2000	94.8%	98.6%	84.8%
Mexico	Tanquian De Escobedo	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Mexico	Tantima	2000	53.1%	76.4%	28.2%
Mexico	Tantima	2017	79.5%	95.9%	59.1%
Mexico	Tantoyuca	2000	69.5%	94.0%	27.1%
Mexico	Tantoyuca	2017	92.4%	99.3%	61.7%
Mexico	Tapachula	2000	53.6%	66.8%	40.7%
Mexico	Tapachula	2017	92.9%	96.2%	87.2%
Mexico	Tapalapa	2000	61.8%	83.0%	37.9%
Mexico	Tapalapa	2017	94.2%	98.5%	82.1%
Mexico	Tapalpa	2000	82.2%	98.7%	41.6%
Mexico	Tapalpa	2017	96.3%	99.9%	79.6%
Mexico	Tapilula	2000	44.0%	79.7%	14.7%
Mexico	Tapilula	2017	88.6%	98.8%	64.1%
Mexico	Tarandacuao	2000	69.8%	99.1%	10.0%
Mexico	Tarandacuao	2017	92.1%	99.9%	50.9%
Mexico	Taretan	2000	47.6%	88.7%	3.8%
Mexico	Taretan	2017	73.5%	97.6%	20.8%
Mexico	Tarimbaro	2000	57.1%	72.7%	39.2%
Mexico	Tarimbaro	2017	89.1%	96.4%	74.8%
Mexico	Tarimoro	2000	83.5%	95.8%	55.9%
Mexico	Tarimoro	2017	95.6%	99.6%	85.9%
Mexico	Tasquillo	2000	71.6%	84.7%	52.5%
Mexico	Tasquillo	2017	96.7%	98.8%	88.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tatahuicapan De Juarez	2000	69.5%	97.7%	24.7%
Mexico	Tatahuicapan De Juarez	2017	90.9%	99.8%	60.8%
Mexico	Tataltepec De Valdes	2000	76.4%	99.6%	24.2%
Mexico	Tataltepec De Valdes	2017	93.9%	100.0%	59.7%
Mexico	Tatatila	2000	65.4%	99.1%	12.3%
Mexico	Tatatila	2017	90.3%	99.9%	50.5%
Mexico	Taxco De Alarcon	2000	49.4%	65.5%	34.7%
Mexico	Taxco De Alarcon	2017	88.8%	94.5%	81.1%
Mexico	Teabo	2000	96.7%	99.6%	90.4%
Mexico	Teabo	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Teapa	2000	90.1%	97.4%	76.4%
Mexico	Teapa	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.5%
Mexico	Tecali De Herrera	2000	82.5%	98.4%	48.8%
Mexico	Tecali De Herrera	2017	97.1%	99.9%	84.2%
Mexico	Tecalitlan	2000	83.3%	98.3%	34.4%
Mexico	Tecalitlan	2017	97.3%	99.8%	84.8%
Mexico	Tecamamac	2000	94.0%	97.4%	88.9%
Mexico	Tecamamac	2017	99.0%	99.8%	95.8%
Mexico	Tecamachalco	2000	90.0%	96.5%	79.0%
Mexico	Tecamachalco	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.5%
Mexico	Tecate	2000	94.6%	98.4%	87.1%
Mexico	Tecate	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.4%
Mexico	Techaluta De Montenegro	2000	77.8%	99.9%	15.0%
Mexico	Techaluta De Montenegro	2017	95.6%	100.0%	67.0%
Mexico	Tecoanapa	2000	70.3%	93.0%	29.1%
Mexico	Tecoanapa	2017	93.5%	99.4%	69.7%
Mexico	Tecoh	2000	83.4%	99.3%	25.9%
Mexico	Tecoh	2017	96.2%	100.0%	73.3%
Mexico	Tecolotlan	2000	74.7%	97.8%	26.1%
Mexico	Tecolotlan	2017	93.1%	99.8%	60.8%
Mexico	Tecolutla	2000	53.4%	76.9%	30.2%
Mexico	Tecolutla	2017	84.1%	96.4%	66.7%
Mexico	Tecoman	2000	95.4%	98.6%	90.0%
Mexico	Tecoman	2017	99.3%	99.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Tecomatlan	2000	74.2%	98.2%	18.5%
Mexico	Tecomatlan	2017	94.9%	99.8%	67.0%
Mexico	Tecoautla	2000	90.3%	98.9%	70.6%
Mexico	Tecoautla	2017	98.4%	99.9%	92.5%
Mexico	Tecpan De Galeana	2000	67.5%	87.3%	40.2%
Mexico	Tecpan De Galeana	2017	93.9%	98.4%	80.5%
Mexico	Tecpatan	2000	65.6%	84.9%	39.6%
Mexico	Tecpatan	2017	91.8%	98.5%	78.6%
Mexico	Tecuala	2000	74.1%	82.5%	62.4%
Mexico	Tecuala	2017	96.4%	98.3%	91.6%
Mexico	Tehuacan	2000	84.8%	91.6%	73.6%
Mexico	Tehuacan	2017	97.4%	99.3%	93.3%
Mexico	Tehuipango	2000	75.5%	94.8%	34.4%
Mexico	Tehuipango	2017	96.7%	99.7%	82.0%
Mexico	Tehuitzingo	2000	78.2%	98.5%	30.9%
Mexico	Tehuitzingo	2017	95.4%	99.9%	76.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tejupilco	2000	42.0%	63.3%	26.3%
Mexico	Tejupilco	2017	85.1%	94.4%	66.9%
Mexico	Tekal De Venegas	2000	86.9%	99.5%	41.7%
Mexico	Tekal De Venegas	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.0%
Mexico	Tekanto	2000	97.4%	99.8%	88.9%
Mexico	Tekanto	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Tekax	2000	75.1%	89.9%	48.6%
Mexico	Tekax	2017	96.8%	98.9%	91.8%
Mexico	Tekit	2000	96.1%	99.7%	78.5%
Mexico	Tekit	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Tekom	2000	76.5%	99.5%	19.2%
Mexico	Tekom	2017	93.6%	100.0%	50.4%
Mexico	Telchac Pueblo	2000	74.3%	100.0%	3.1%
Mexico	Telchac Pueblo	2017	93.1%	100.0%	38.2%
Mexico	Telchac Puerto	2000	91.3%	99.9%	41.9%
Mexico	Telchac Puerto	2017	98.8%	100.0%	89.3%
Mexico	Teloloapan	2000	71.6%	91.8%	30.5%
Mexico	Teloloapan	2017	94.4%	99.2%	73.4%
Mexico	Temamatla	2000	99.3%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	Temamatla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Temapache	2000	83.5%	92.4%	68.7%
Mexico	Temapache	2017	94.1%	98.4%	83.2%
Mexico	Temascalapa	2000	85.6%	95.9%	62.6%
Mexico	Temascalapa	2017	97.2%	99.6%	86.9%
Mexico	Temascalcingo	2000	78.6%	98.0%	35.8%
Mexico	Temascalcingo	2017	95.1%	99.9%	73.5%
Mexico	Temascaltepec	2000	73.7%	94.1%	36.8%
Mexico	Temascaltepec	2017	92.7%	99.5%	69.8%
Mexico	Temax	2000	84.2%	99.8%	48.6%
Mexico	Temax	2017	97.5%	100.0%	84.4%
Mexico	Temixco	2000	93.0%	95.2%	90.2%
Mexico	Temixco	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Mexico	Temoac	2000	41.7%	48.1%	36.3%
Mexico	Temoac	2017	88.3%	90.9%	84.6%
Mexico	Temoaya	2000	87.8%	99.7%	33.6%
Mexico	Temoaya	2017	97.8%	100.0%	80.9%
Mexico	Temosachi	2000	81.1%	93.7%	63.7%
Mexico	Temosachi	2017	96.1%	99.2%	88.4%
Mexico	Temozon	2000	69.1%	98.1%	27.3%
Mexico	Temozon	2017	90.8%	99.8%	59.9%
Mexico	Tempoal	2000	67.6%	84.8%	43.6%
Mexico	Tempoal	2017	94.2%	98.2%	84.8%
Mexico	Tenabo	2000	81.4%	98.9%	22.6%
Mexico	Tenabo	2017	94.3%	99.9%	60.5%
Mexico	Tenamaxtlan	2000	83.6%	98.0%	47.2%
Mexico	Tenamaxtlan	2017	97.1%	99.9%	85.6%
Mexico	Tenampa	2000	80.6%	99.8%	18.5%
Mexico	Tenampa	2017	96.2%	100.0%	72.4%
Mexico	Tenamapulco	2000	69.9%	98.4%	19.1%
Mexico	Tenamapulco	2017	90.5%	99.9%	55.2%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2000	91.7%	97.2%	80.9%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2000	90.0%	99.7%	68.1%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2017	98.1%	100.0%	88.1%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.6%
Mexico	Tenango De Doria	2000	80.2%	98.1%	32.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tenango De Doria	2017	96.1%	99.9%	74.5%
Mexico	Tenango Del Aire	2000	98.1%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	Tenango Del Aire	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Tenango Del Valle	2000	63.9%	81.2%	41.3%
Mexico	Tenango Del Valle	2017	94.8%	98.5%	85.7%
Mexico	Tenejapa	2000	58.2%	89.2%	18.1%
Mexico	Tenejapa	2017	90.8%	99.0%	64.0%
Mexico	Tenochtitlan	2000	45.9%	97.0%	2.1%
Mexico	Tenochtitlan	2017	75.4%	99.6%	12.3%
Mexico	Tenosique	2000	56.7%	83.9%	25.6%
Mexico	Tenosique	2017	87.6%	97.7%	51.8%
Mexico	Teocaltiche	2000	85.6%	96.3%	66.2%
Mexico	Teocaltiche	2017	98.1%	99.7%	93.7%
Mexico	Teocelo	2000	96.4%	99.9%	85.6%
Mexico	Teocelo	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.7%
Mexico	Teococuilco	2000	72.8%	99.5%	17.8%
Mexico	De Marcos Perez				
Mexico	Teococuilco De Marcos Perez	2017	93.0%	99.9%	58.8%
Mexico	Teocuitatlan De Corona	2000	78.2%	98.8%	31.5%
Mexico	Teocuitatlan De Corona	2017	95.0%	99.9%	71.2%
Mexico	Teolocholco	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	Teolocholco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Teoloyucan	2000	85.1%	96.4%	62.9%
Mexico	Teoloyucan	2017	98.0%	99.7%	89.5%
Mexico	Teopantlan	2000	79.9%	98.9%	33.4%
Mexico	Teopantlan	2017	96.2%	99.9%	82.1%
Mexico	Teopisca	2000	82.5%	99.6%	32.4%
Mexico	Teopisca	2017	95.9%	100.0%	66.0%
Mexico	Teotihuacan	2000	89.2%	99.8%	46.6%
Mexico	Teotihuacan	2017	97.5%	100.0%	81.1%
Mexico	Teotitlan De Flores Magon	2000	71.9%	95.7%	25.3%
Mexico	Teotitlan De Flores Magon	2017	95.7%	99.8%	76.6%
Mexico	Teotitlan Del Valle	2000	78.3%	98.7%	12.3%
Mexico	Teotitlan Del Valle	2017	95.2%	99.9%	59.2%
Mexico	Teotlalco	2000	77.4%	99.6%	16.6%
Mexico	Teotlalco	2017	95.4%	100.0%	69.2%
Mexico	Teotongo	2000	72.1%	99.7%	6.5%
Mexico	Teotongo	2017	92.7%	100.0%	40.9%
Mexico	Tepache	2000	81.6%	99.9%	8.8%
Mexico	Tepache	2017	95.7%	100.0%	61.4%
Mexico	Tepakan	2000	87.6%	99.6%	39.1%
Mexico	Tepakan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.6%
Mexico	Tepalcatepec	2000	81.1%	98.0%	31.7%
Mexico	Tepalcatepec	2017	94.8%	99.9%	56.2%
Mexico	Tepalcingo	2000	74.8%	94.5%	44.0%
Mexico	Tepalcingo	2017	95.9%	99.6%	84.1%
Mexico	Tepanco De Lopez	2000	53.3%	95.9%	9.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tepanco De Lopez	2017	81.0%	99.7%	40.7%
Mexico	Tepango De Rodriguez	2000	77.0%	97.0%	45.0%
Mexico	Tepango De Rodriguez	2017	97.4%	99.8%	86.7%
Mexico	Tepatitlan De Morelos	2000	90.1%	97.1%	76.1%
Mexico	Tepatitlan De Morelos	2017	98.4%	99.8%	94.9%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco	2000	77.6%	99.5%	21.0%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco	2017	95.4%	100.0%	66.5%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco De Hidalgo	2000	92.5%	97.5%	82.8%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco De Hidalgo	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.8%
Mexico	Tepeaca	2000	88.8%	94.6%	79.0%
Mexico	Tepeaca	2017	98.7%	99.6%	95.0%
Mexico	Tepeapulco	2000	79.7%	99.0%	24.9%
Mexico	Tepeapulco	2017	96.2%	99.9%	74.8%
Mexico	Tepechitlan	2000	79.2%	98.9%	26.5%
Mexico	Tepechitlan	2017	95.4%	99.9%	70.9%
Mexico	Tepecoacuilco De Trujano	2000	62.4%	87.9%	32.2%
Mexico	Tepecoacuilco De Trujano	2017	87.7%	98.6%	64.6%
Mexico	Tepehuacan De Guerrero	2000	82.5%	98.6%	50.0%
Mexico	Tepehuacan De Guerrero	2017	96.6%	99.9%	84.3%
Mexico	Tepehuanes	2000	73.1%	81.9%	61.3%
Mexico	Tepehuanes	2017	95.7%	97.5%	93.1%
Mexico	Tepeji Del Rio De Ocampo	2000	76.5%	86.8%	61.5%
Mexico	Tepeji Del Rio De Ocampo	2017	93.9%	98.6%	85.4%
Mexico	Tepelmeme De Villa Morelos	2000	77.5%	98.4%	28.4%
Mexico	Tepelmeme De Villa Morelos	2017	94.5%	99.9%	59.1%
Mexico	Tepemaxalco	2000	79.2%	99.7%	15.5%
Mexico	Tepemaxalco	2017	95.9%	100.0%	66.5%
Mexico	Tepeojuma	2000	80.2%	99.8%	22.5%
Mexico	Tepeojuma	2017	95.7%	100.0%	67.3%
Mexico	Tepetitla De Lardizabal	2000	60.9%	65.9%	54.0%
Mexico	Tepetitla De Lardizabal	2017	96.1%	96.9%	94.5%
Mexico	Tepetitlan	2000	83.0%	99.8%	36.3%
Mexico	Tepetitlan	2017	97.3%	100.0%	84.2%
Mexico	Tepetlan	2000	77.1%	99.4%	21.9%
Mexico	Tepetlan	2017	95.1%	100.0%	64.4%
Mexico	Tepetlaoxtoc	2000	85.0%	99.9%	32.6%
Mexico	Tepetlaoxtoc	2017	96.5%	100.0%	73.2%
Mexico	Tepetlixpa	2000	86.9%	99.9%	29.3%
Mexico	Tepetlixpa	2017	97.5%	100.0%	83.5%
Mexico	Tepetongo	2000	84.0%	96.9%	60.2%
Mexico	Tepetongo	2017	96.6%	99.8%	84.1%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2000	86.8%	99.8%	36.0%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2000	45.7%	94.9%	4.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2017	81.1%	99.6%	32.4%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2017	97.2%	100.0%	77.9%
Mexico	Tepexco	2000	74.5%	99.4%	12.7%
Mexico	Tepexco	2017	94.2%	100.0%	62.5%
Mexico	Tepexi De Rodriguez	2000	77.2%	95.5%	38.4%
Mexico	Tepexi De Rodriguez	2017	96.2%	99.6%	80.4%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco	2000	74.2%	97.5%	27.7%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco	2017	93.2%	99.8%	65.9%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco De Cuauhtemoc	2000	66.1%	99.6%	5.0%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco De Cuauhtemoc	2017	89.9%	100.0%	39.7%
Mexico	Tepeyanco	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.7%
Mexico	Tepeyanco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Tepezala	2000	81.9%	95.7%	54.6%
Mexico	Tepezala	2017	97.6%	99.7%	87.9%
Mexico	Tepic	2000	90.7%	97.6%	72.9%
Mexico	Tepic	2017	98.6%	99.8%	90.9%
Mexico	Tepotzotlan	2000	84.3%	96.9%	57.0%
Mexico	Tepotzotlan	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.3%
Mexico	Tepoztlan	2000	91.9%	96.5%	82.8%
Mexico	Tepoztlan	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.5%
Mexico	Tequila	2000	82.4%	97.9%	37.3%
Mexico	Tequila	2000	61.9%	95.7%	15.4%
Mexico	Tequila	2017	97.9%	99.8%	90.7%
Mexico	Tequila	2017	90.2%	99.7%	59.5%
Mexico	Tequisquiapan	2000	91.8%	99.4%	64.1%
Mexico	Tequisquiapan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.3%
Mexico	Tequixquiac	2000	96.3%	99.9%	73.5%
Mexico	Tequixquiac	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Terrenate	2000	71.2%	99.2%	10.7%
Mexico	Terrenate	2017	93.2%	100.0%	53.2%
Mexico	Tetecala	2000	75.1%	97.5%	25.2%
Mexico	Tetecala	2017	95.1%	99.8%	72.0%
Mexico	Tetela De Ocampo	2000	70.3%	91.9%	41.0%
Mexico	Tetela De Ocampo	2017	94.7%	99.4%	81.4%
Mexico	Tetela Del Volcan	2000	86.8%	92.2%	79.2%
Mexico	Tetela Del Volcan	2017	98.6%	99.5%	95.8%
Mexico	Teteles De Avila Castillo	2000	73.0%	95.9%	23.8%
Mexico	Teteles De Avila Castillo	2017	96.8%	99.7%	85.9%
Mexico	Tetepango	2000	97.9%	99.9%	90.7%
Mexico	Tetepango	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Tetipac	2000	15.6%	29.6%	2.4%
Mexico	Tetipac	2017	28.7%	42.9%	14.6%
Mexico	Tetiz	2000	83.5%	99.7%	37.4%
Mexico	Tetiz	2017	97.6%	100.0%	84.3%
Mexico	Tetla De La Solidaridad	2000	96.3%	99.6%	89.6%
Mexico	Tetla De La Solidaridad	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Tetlatlahuca	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Mexico	Tetlatlahuca	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Teuchitlan	2000	83.5%	99.5%	35.8%
Mexico	Teuchitlan	2017	97.1%	100.0%	82.8%
Mexico	Teul De Gonzalez Ortega	2000	83.3%	97.8%	52.0%
Mexico	Teul De Gonzalez Ortega	2017	97.0%	99.8%	84.3%
Mexico	Texcaltitlan	2000	75.8%	99.2%	17.3%
Mexico	Texcaltitlan	2017	93.9%	100.0%	54.0%
Mexico	Texcalyacac	2000	78.5%	87.4%	68.3%
Mexico	Texcalyacac	2017	98.3%	99.2%	97.0%
Mexico	Texcatepec	2000	67.5%	91.6%	30.1%
Mexico	Texcatepec	2017	94.2%	99.2%	73.2%
Mexico	Texcoco	2000	97.3%	99.5%	86.4%
Mexico	Texcoco	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.2%
Mexico	Texhuacan	2000	73.2%	99.4%	12.1%
Mexico	Texhuacan	2017	93.0%	100.0%	52.4%
Mexico	Texistepec	2000	65.8%	93.4%	22.8%
Mexico	Texistepec	2017	90.3%	99.5%	53.7%
Mexico	Teya	2000	89.1%	99.9%	36.1%
Mexico	Teya	2017	97.4%	100.0%	75.1%
Mexico	Teziutlan	2000	91.8%	95.8%	83.8%
Mexico	Teziutlan	2017	99.3%	99.7%	97.5%
Mexico	Tezoatlan De Segura Y Luna	2000	79.9%	98.7%	34.1%
Mexico	Tezoatlan De Segura Y Luna	2017	95.5%	99.9%	71.5%
Mexico	Tezonapa	2000	69.9%	89.5%	39.1%
Mexico	Tezonapa	2017	93.5%	99.1%	77.1%
Mexico	Tezontepec De Aldama	2000	98.4%	99.9%	93.7%
Mexico	Tezontepec De Aldama	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Tezoyuca	2000	96.1%	99.8%	82.5%
Mexico	Tezoyuca	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Tianguismanalco	2000	78.5%	92.9%	51.7%
Mexico	Tianguismanalco	2017	97.4%	99.1%	92.9%
Mexico	Tianguistenco	2000	56.8%	88.3%	25.9%
Mexico	Tianguistenco	2017	90.4%	99.3%	64.2%
Mexico	Tianguistengo	2000	75.1%	97.6%	33.5%
Mexico	Tianguistengo	2017	94.4%	99.7%	73.4%
Mexico	Ticul	2000	93.4%	98.4%	85.4%
Mexico	Ticul	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.4%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2000	83.6%	99.5%	25.2%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2000	73.5%	92.2%	42.4%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2017	94.6%	98.6%	87.1%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2017	95.9%	100.0%	70.3%
Mexico	Tierra Nueva	2000	82.5%	99.7%	31.0%
Mexico	Tierra Nueva	2017	96.7%	100.0%	81.2%
Mexico	Tihuatlan	2000	59.8%	76.2%	37.4%
Mexico	Tihuatlan	2017	91.2%	96.2%	76.2%
Mexico	Tijuana	2000	96.5%	98.7%	92.0%
Mexico	Tijuana	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.8%
Mexico	Tila	2000	84.3%	96.7%	62.9%
Mexico	Tila	2017	97.0%	99.7%	88.3%
Mexico	Tilapa	2000	83.3%	95.3%	61.2%
Mexico	Tilapa	2017	98.4%	99.7%	93.8%
Mexico	Timilpan	2000	86.1%	99.1%	53.6%
Mexico	Timilpan	2017	98.0%	99.9%	87.8%
Mexico	Timucuy	2000	95.6%	99.5%	85.9%
Mexico	Timucuy	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tingambato	2000	87.7%	97.9%	64.1%
Mexico	Tingambato	2017	97.3%	99.8%	90.4%
Mexico	Tinguindin	2000	88.7%	99.6%	54.5%
Mexico	Tinguindin	2017	97.9%	100.0%	88.7%
Mexico	Tinum	2000	83.4%	99.2%	41.4%
Mexico	Tinum	2017	97.0%	99.9%	81.7%
Mexico	Tiquicheo	2000	77.6%	95.5%	50.4%
Mexico	De Nicolas Romero	2017	94.7%	99.6%	78.9%
Mexico	Tixcacalcupul	2000	72.8%	97.6%	28.9%
Mexico	Tixcacalcupul	2017	92.9%	99.8%	65.1%
Mexico	Tixkokob	2000	93.0%	98.3%	83.0%
Mexico	Tixkokob	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.9%
Mexico	Tixmehuac	2000	79.5%	99.8%	21.0%
Mexico	Tixmehuac	2017	95.3%	100.0%	64.6%
Mexico	Tixpehual	2000	84.9%	99.6%	36.4%
Mexico	Tixpehual	2017	97.9%	100.0%	85.4%
Mexico	Tixtla De Guerrero	2000	69.4%	97.8%	15.1%
Mexico	Tixtla De Guerrero	2017	93.1%	99.7%	62.2%
Mexico	Tizapan El Alto	2000	85.3%	99.8%	31.6%
Mexico	Tizapan El Alto	2017	97.3%	100.0%	75.8%
Mexico	Tizayuca	2000	90.4%	95.3%	84.1%
Mexico	Tizayuca	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.0%
Mexico	Tizimin	2000	86.7%	93.0%	77.2%
Mexico	Tizimin	2017	98.3%	99.3%	95.8%
Mexico	Tlachichilco	2000	66.8%	94.1%	24.8%
Mexico	Tlachichilco	2017	90.9%	99.5%	64.5%
Mexico	Tlachichuca	2000	83.8%	96.6%	59.3%
Mexico	Tlachichuca	2017	97.4%	99.8%	88.3%
Mexico	Tlacoachistlahuaca	2000	69.6%	90.8%	45.5%
Mexico	Tlacoachistlahuaca	2017	92.5%	99.2%	76.1%
Mexico	Tlacoapa	2000	52.1%	84.7%	14.9%
Mexico	Tlacoapa	2017	85.3%	98.3%	50.1%
Mexico	Tlacojalpan	2000	63.1%	99.2%	2.6%
Mexico	Tlacojalpan	2017	84.9%	99.9%	21.6%
Mexico	Tlacolula De Matamoros	2000	85.3%	97.3%	39.8%
Mexico	Tlacolula De Matamoros	2017	98.3%	99.8%	88.6%
Mexico	Tlacolulan	2000	81.3%	99.0%	32.7%
Mexico	Tlacolulan	2017	95.9%	99.9%	68.0%
Mexico	Tlacotalpan	2000	89.2%	98.6%	73.7%
Mexico	Tlacotalpan	2017	97.7%	99.9%	91.5%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Benito Juarez	2000	88.9%	98.8%	65.3%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Benito Juarez	2017	98.2%	99.9%	91.0%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Mejia	2000	77.5%	98.4%	25.2%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Mejia	2017	96.2%	99.9%	78.8%
Mexico	Tlacotepec Plumas	2000	75.8%	99.8%	8.3%
Mexico	Tlacotepec Plumas	2017	93.5%	100.0%	51.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tlacuilotepec	2000	75.0%	98.4%	29.3%
Mexico	Tlacuilotepec	2017	94.1%	99.9%	68.3%
Mexico	Tlahuac	2000	99.0%	99.9%	93.5%
Mexico	Tlahuac	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Tlahualilo	2000	89.7%	95.4%	80.6%
Mexico	Tlahualilo	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.7%
Mexico	Tlahuapan	2000	93.1%	98.8%	80.4%
Mexico	Tlahuapan	2017	99.2%	99.9%	94.6%
Mexico	Tlahuelilpan	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.7%
Mexico	Tlahuelilpan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Tlahuiltepa	2000	82.5%	97.7%	52.0%
Mexico	Tlahuiltepa	2017	96.3%	99.8%	82.9%
Mexico	Tlajomulco De Zuniga	2000	82.0%	93.1%	66.7%
Mexico	Tlajomulco De Zuniga	2017	94.1%	99.4%	79.6%
Mexico	Tlalchapa	2000	78.7%	98.4%	34.1%
Mexico	Tlalchapa	2017	95.7%	99.8%	79.1%
Mexico	Tlalixcoyan	2000	73.3%	93.5%	44.9%
Mexico	Tlalixcoyan	2017	95.1%	99.4%	83.2%
Mexico	Tlalixtac De Cabrera	2000	78.3%	88.5%	59.0%
Mexico	Tlalixtac De Cabrera	2017	98.0%	99.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Tlalixtaquilla De Maldonado	2000	52.8%	76.4%	23.5%
Mexico	Tlalixtaquilla De Maldonado	2017	91.5%	97.9%	78.6%
Mexico	Tlalmanalco	2000	95.1%	98.7%	86.8%
Mexico	Tlalmanalco	2017	99.3%	99.9%	95.6%
Mexico	Tlalnelhuayocan	2000	33.5%	36.5%	30.6%
Mexico	Tlalnelhuayocan	2017	64.9%	76.6%	59.8%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla	2000	84.1%	94.7%	66.6%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla	2017	98.7%	99.7%	94.8%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla De Baz	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla De Baz	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Tlalpan	2000	90.6%	94.4%	84.8%
Mexico	Tlalpan	2017	98.9%	99.6%	96.2%
Mexico	Tlalpujahuac	2000	61.6%	79.1%	39.1%
Mexico	Tlalpujahuac	2017	94.9%	98.1%	87.2%
Mexico	Tlaltenango	2000	80.4%	84.5%	75.0%
Mexico	Tlaltenango	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Mexico	Tlaltenango De Sanchez Roman	2000	91.8%	96.9%	83.9%
Mexico	Tlaltenango De Sanchez Roman	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.4%
Mexico	Tlaltetela	2000	86.6%	99.1%	60.2%
Mexico	Tlaltetela	2017	97.6%	99.9%	88.8%
Mexico	Tlaltizapan	2000	83.4%	99.5%	44.3%
Mexico	Tlaltizapan	2017	96.6%	100.0%	81.6%
Mexico	Tlanalapa	2000	73.5%	99.7%	12.5%
Mexico	Tlanalapa	2017	93.7%	100.0%	58.0%
Mexico	Tlanchinol	2000	88.6%	99.6%	63.9%
Mexico	Tlanchinol	2017	97.3%	100.0%	84.8%
Mexico	Tlanepantla	2000	77.3%	98.7%	22.3%
Mexico	Tlanepantla	2017	96.3%	99.9%	74.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tlaola	2000	49.3%	62.6%	33.1%
Mexico	Tlaola	2017	88.4%	94.6%	76.3%
Mexico	Tlapa De Comonfort	2000	53.4%	72.4%	39.7%
Mexico	Tlapa De Comonfort	2017	93.3%	97.8%	85.9%
Mexico	Tlapacoya	2000	59.3%	96.7%	7.7%
Mexico	Tlapacoya	2017	88.1%	99.8%	40.8%
Mexico	Tlapacoyan	2000	70.0%	98.4%	18.3%
Mexico	Tlapacoyan	2017	92.2%	99.9%	60.4%
Mexico	Tlapanala	2000	79.2%	99.9%	24.2%
Mexico	Tlapanala	2017	95.8%	100.0%	73.4%
Mexico	Tlapehuala	2000	69.1%	90.6%	30.8%
Mexico	Tlapehuala	2017	93.8%	99.2%	71.3%
Mexico	Tlaquepaque	2000	93.1%	94.8%	90.9%
Mexico	Tlaquepaque	2017	99.5%	99.7%	98.9%
Mexico	Tlaquilpa	2000	74.1%	99.6%	10.7%
Mexico	Tlaquilpa	2017	94.2%	100.0%	55.6%
Mexico	Tlaquiltenango	2000	93.2%	99.0%	80.7%
Mexico	Tlaquiltenango	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	Tlatlauquitepec	2000	83.8%	97.9%	54.5%
Mexico	Tlatlauquitepec	2017	97.4%	99.9%	88.2%
Mexico	Tlatlaya	2000	79.9%	97.4%	47.5%
Mexico	Tlatlaya	2017	95.2%	99.8%	81.5%
Mexico	Tlaxcala	2000	98.7%	99.3%	97.7%
Mexico	Tlaxcala	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2000	88.2%	98.6%	69.8%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2000	74.8%	99.4%	11.8%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2017	97.6%	99.9%	88.8%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2017	93.8%	100.0%	54.5%
Mexico	Tlaxcoapan	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.7%
Mexico	Tlaxcoapan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Tlayacapan	2000	93.5%	99.7%	69.6%
Mexico	Tlayacapan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.8%
Mexico	Tlazazalca	2000	79.6%	99.5%	19.7%
Mexico	Tlazazalca	2017	95.2%	100.0%	62.8%
Mexico	Tlilapan	2000	56.9%	86.2%	25.5%
Mexico	Tlilapan	2017	93.6%	99.1%	77.7%
Mexico	Tocatlan	2000	94.2%	99.9%	58.9%
Mexico	Tocatlan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Tochimilco	2000	76.8%	98.1%	28.1%
Mexico	Tochimilco	2017	95.3%	99.8%	69.2%
Mexico	Tochtepec	2000	69.7%	97.4%	16.6%
Mexico	Tochtepec	2017	93.9%	99.8%	64.2%
Mexico	Tocumbo	2000	80.7%	95.8%	57.3%
Mexico	Tocumbo	2017	95.7%	99.6%	86.1%
Mexico	Tolcayuca	2000	98.4%	100.0%	90.5%
Mexico	Tolcayuca	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Toliman	2000	90.2%	98.4%	72.5%
Mexico	Toliman	2000	84.5%	98.2%	54.7%
Mexico	Toliman	2017	98.3%	99.9%	90.9%
Mexico	Toliman	2017	97.4%	99.9%	87.4%
Mexico	Toluca	2000	84.9%	89.8%	76.2%
Mexico	Toluca	2017	96.7%	98.8%	94.3%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2000	75.9%	91.9%	47.3%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2000	97.6%	99.5%	93.0%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2017	94.6%	99.2%	80.4%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Tonala	2000	80.4%	87.0%	68.0%
Mexico	Tonala	2000	78.7%	92.6%	58.8%
Mexico	Tonala	2017	95.3%	99.3%	84.8%
Mexico	Tonala	2017	97.7%	98.9%	94.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tonanitla	2000	96.7%	98.4%	93.6%
Mexico	Tonanitla	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Tonatico	2000	62.5%	97.4%	8.0%
Mexico	Tonatico	2017	89.3%	99.8%	51.6%
Mexico	Tonaya	2000	81.0%	99.4%	27.4%
Mexico	Tonaya	2017	96.1%	100.0%	76.5%
Mexico	Tonayan	2000	84.6%	99.7%	41.5%
Mexico	Tonayan	2017	96.8%	100.0%	77.6%
Mexico	Tonila	2000	83.8%	99.9%	25.2%
Mexico	Tonila	2017	96.5%	100.0%	71.7%
Mexico	Topia	2000	82.7%	96.4%	60.7%
Mexico	Topia	2017	96.3%	99.6%	85.8%
Mexico	Torreón	2000	96.2%	98.1%	91.6%
Mexico	Torreón	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Totatiche	2000	75.4%	98.8%	23.6%
Mexico	Totatiche	2017	93.5%	99.9%	59.3%
Mexico	Totolac	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
Mexico	Totolac	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Totolapa	2000	93.5%	97.8%	85.3%
Mexico	Totolapa	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Totolapan	2000	92.0%	99.3%	64.9%
Mexico	Totolapan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Totoltepec De Guerrero	2000	81.2%	99.7%	27.0%
Mexico	Totoltepec De Guerrero	2017	96.3%	100.0%	76.5%
Mexico	Totontepec Villa De Morelos	2000	65.3%	94.6%	24.2%
Mexico	Totontepec Villa De Morelos	2017	90.8%	99.6%	56.0%
Mexico	Tototlan	2000	71.2%	98.5%	18.9%
Mexico	Tototlan	2017	93.6%	99.9%	58.3%
Mexico	Totutla	2000	87.3%	99.4%	48.7%
Mexico	Totutla	2017	97.7%	100.0%	85.4%
Mexico	Trancoso	2000	88.4%	96.9%	72.4%
Mexico	Trancoso	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.2%
Mexico	Tres Valles	2000	56.1%	87.6%	17.8%
Mexico	Tres Valles	2017	83.2%	97.9%	47.3%
Mexico	Trincheras	2000	84.2%	99.2%	33.2%
Mexico	Trincheras	2017	96.1%	99.9%	73.0%
Mexico	Trinidad Garcia De La Cadena	2000	87.7%	98.8%	54.8%
Mexico	Trinidad Garcia De La Cadena	2017	97.2%	99.9%	86.5%
Mexico	Trinidad Zaachila	2000	59.3%	96.0%	11.2%
Mexico	Trinidad Zaachila	2017	92.7%	99.7%	65.7%
Mexico	Tubutama	2000	81.4%	99.1%	41.2%
Mexico	Tubutama	2017	95.2%	99.9%	67.9%
Mexico	Tula	2000	83.0%	93.8%	64.3%
Mexico	Tula	2017	97.0%	99.4%	89.7%
Mexico	Tula De Alende	2000	74.0%	89.0%	55.6%
Mexico	Tula De Alende	2017	94.7%	99.2%	87.9%
Mexico	Tulancingo De Bravo	2000	81.1%	86.9%	72.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tulancingo De Bravo	2017	98.0%	98.9%	95.9%
Mexico	Tulcingo	2000	92.4%	99.5%	78.4%
Mexico	Tulcingo	2017	98.1%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Tultepec	2000	97.7%	99.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Tultepec	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	Tultitlan	2000	98.3%	99.0%	97.3%
Mexico	Tultitlan	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Tumbala	2000	61.9%	80.1%	42.5%
Mexico	Tumbala	2017	93.5%	98.2%	83.5%
Mexico	Tumbiscatio	2000	78.5%	95.5%	47.5%
Mexico	Tumbiscatio	2017	95.1%	99.5%	78.3%
Mexico	Tunkas	2000	78.7%	99.6%	19.6%
Mexico	Tunkas	2017	95.3%	100.0%	63.9%
Mexico	Turicato	2000	77.3%	95.8%	40.9%
Mexico	Turicato	2017	94.0%	99.5%	69.5%
Mexico	Tuxcacuesco	2000	78.7%	98.5%	28.2%
Mexico	Tuxcacuesco	2017	94.4%	99.9%	58.8%
Mexico	Tuxcueca	2000	79.0%	99.6%	25.4%
Mexico	Tuxcueca	2017	94.7%	100.0%	67.4%
Mexico	Tuxpam	2000	64.2%	76.2%	48.7%
Mexico	Tuxpam	2017	94.6%	98.2%	85.9%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	84.3%	94.6%	68.3%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	73.0%	78.1%	66.7%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	67.2%	98.8%	14.2%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	97.4%	98.1%	95.9%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	98.1%	99.7%	92.6%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	92.8%	99.9%	60.3%
Mexico	Tuxtilla	2000	65.7%	99.4%	2.8%
Mexico	Tuxtilla	2017	89.8%	100.0%	31.2%
Mexico	Tuxtla Chico	2000	57.8%	84.9%	30.4%
Mexico	Tuxtla Chico	2017	92.2%	98.9%	70.9%
Mexico	Tuxtla Gutierrez	2000	50.3%	52.5%	47.4%
Mexico	Tuxtla Gutierrez	2017	83.0%	85.6%	80.4%
Mexico	Tuzamapan De Galeana	2000	58.2%	80.2%	27.6%
Mexico	Tuzamapan De Galeana	2017	88.3%	97.6%	58.4%
Mexico	Tuzantan	2000	51.6%	83.8%	21.6%
Mexico	Tuzantan	2017	89.2%	98.3%	71.2%
Mexico	Tuzantla	2000	74.9%	88.6%	56.5%
Mexico	Tuzantla	2017	95.7%	99.0%	87.9%
Mexico	Tzicatlacoyan	2000	76.7%	98.7%	38.1%
Mexico	Tzicatlacoyan	2017	94.2%	99.9%	74.9%
Mexico	Tzimol	2000	80.2%	97.7%	45.8%
Mexico	Tzimol	2017	96.7%	99.8%	85.0%
Mexico	Tzintzuntzan	2000	72.0%	94.7%	22.9%
Mexico	Tzintzuntzan	2017	93.7%	99.1%	69.4%
Mexico	Tzitzio	2000	78.6%	97.3%	43.4%
Mexico	Tzitzio	2017	94.8%	99.7%	77.3%
Mexico	Tzompantepec	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.1%
Mexico	Tzompantepec	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Tzucacab	2000	69.7%	98.7%	15.9%
Mexico	Tzucacab	2017	89.8%	99.9%	30.2%
Mexico	Uayma	2000	77.6%	99.3%	11.1%
Mexico	Uayma	2017	93.4%	100.0%	33.6%
Mexico	Ucu	2000	84.0%	98.7%	35.0%
Mexico	Ucu	2017	97.6%	100.0%	82.3%
Mexico	Uman	2000	85.3%	91.0%	73.8%
Mexico	Uman	2017	98.6%	99.4%	96.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Union De San Antonio	2000	76.0%	92.1%	40.4%
Mexico	Union De San Antonio	2017	93.3%	97.3%	81.7%
Mexico	Union De Tula	2000	82.1%	99.3%	29.2%
Mexico	Union De Tula	2017	95.3%	99.9%	68.5%
Mexico	Union Hidalgo	2000	55.6%	78.5%	20.3%
Mexico	Union Hidalgo	2017	93.6%	98.2%	78.1%
Mexico	Union Juarez	2000	94.0%	98.8%	78.1%
Mexico	Union Juarez	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Ures	2000	94.7%	99.1%	84.7%
Mexico	Ures	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Uriangato	2000	97.2%	99.5%	89.5%
Mexico	Uriangato	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Urique	2000	73.6%	88.4%	56.8%
Mexico	Urique	2017	93.7%	98.1%	85.6%
Mexico	Ursulo Galvan	2000	89.8%	95.7%	74.0%
Mexico	Ursulo Galvan	2017	98.7%	99.5%	96.2%
Mexico	Uruachi	2000	68.1%	79.4%	56.1%
Mexico	Uruachi	2017	93.6%	97.3%	86.8%
Mexico	Uruapan	2000	64.6%	72.5%	54.9%
Mexico	Uruapan	2017	94.0%	96.3%	90.0%
Mexico	Uxpanapa	2000	55.8%	80.7%	29.7%
Mexico	Uxpanapa	2017	84.8%	96.3%	65.8%
Mexico	Valerio Trujano	2000	65.8%	98.4%	7.8%
Mexico	Valerio Trujano	2017	93.0%	99.9%	56.6%
Mexico	Valladolid	2000	90.0%	96.3%	79.6%
Mexico	Valladolid	2017	97.9%	99.7%	93.6%
Mexico	Valle De Bravo	2000	88.6%	97.0%	66.6%
Mexico	Valle De Bravo	2017	98.3%	99.8%	91.8%
Mexico	Valle De Chalco Solidaridad	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.3%
Mexico	Valle De Chalco Solidaridad	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Valle De Guadalupe	2000	78.2%	99.5%	17.5%
Mexico	Valle De Guadalupe	2017	94.4%	100.0%	54.4%
Mexico	Valle De Juarez	2000	78.7%	99.6%	24.2%
Mexico	Valle De Juarez	2017	95.6%	100.0%	71.7%
Mexico	Valle De Santiago	2000	88.5%	97.9%	59.7%
Mexico	Valle De Santiago	2017	98.5%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Valle De Zaragoza	2000	86.5%	97.6%	56.3%
Mexico	Valle De Zaragoza	2017	97.8%	99.8%	92.0%
Mexico	Valle Hermoso	2000	89.8%	98.3%	66.8%
Mexico	Valle Hermoso	2017	98.9%	99.8%	95.9%
Mexico	Vallecillo	2000	79.4%	96.9%	47.8%
Mexico	Vallecillo	2017	95.1%	99.7%	76.9%
Mexico	Valparaiso	2000	75.5%	81.9%	67.9%
Mexico	Valparaiso	2017	92.0%	96.3%	87.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Vanegas	2000	77.3%	93.9%	50.0%
Mexico	Vanegas	2017	96.2%	99.5%	86.6%
Mexico	Vega De Alatorre	2000	91.8%	99.1%	72.4%
Mexico	Vega De Alatorre	2017	98.4%	99.9%	94.4%
Mexico	Venado	2000	64.1%	79.0%	43.7%
Mexico	Venado	2017	94.6%	98.0%	86.4%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	78.4%	94.6%	48.5%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	76.0%	99.3%	18.0%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	77.2%	98.3%	27.9%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	95.8%	99.6%	80.9%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	93.3%	99.9%	51.8%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	95.2%	99.9%	68.5%
Mexico	Veracruz	2000	86.1%	92.8%	74.6%
Mexico	Veracruz	2017	98.4%	99.4%	95.5%
Mexico	Vetagrande	2000	92.3%	98.9%	73.8%
Mexico	Vetagrande	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.2%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2000	90.5%	97.7%	76.3%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2000	75.1%	94.8%	35.6%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.1%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2017	95.3%	99.7%	68.5%
Mexico	Victoria	2000	80.5%	97.8%	43.1%
Mexico	Victoria	2000	88.0%	95.2%	77.3%
Mexico	Victoria	2017	96.0%	99.8%	81.3%
Mexico	Victoria	2017	98.6%	99.6%	94.3%
Mexico	Viesca	2000	91.2%	97.3%	79.4%
Mexico	Viesca	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.7%
Mexico	Villa Aldama	2000	69.1%	84.8%	45.3%
Mexico	Villa Aldama	2017	96.6%	98.9%	91.2%
Mexico	Villa Comaltitlan	2000	63.5%	82.9%	33.2%
Mexico	Villa Comaltitlan	2017	92.8%	98.9%	75.5%
Mexico	Villa Corona	2000	90.7%	98.1%	72.4%
Mexico	Villa Corona	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	Villa Corzo	2000	70.0%	85.7%	48.9%
Mexico	Villa Corzo	2017	93.6%	98.4%	82.5%
Mexico	Villa De Alendé	2000	34.1%	69.2%	9.0%
Mexico	Villa De Alendé	2017	59.5%	89.0%	26.6%
Mexico	Villa De Alvarez	2000	97.6%	98.7%	95.8%
Mexico	Villa De Alvarez	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	Villa De Arista	2000	74.4%	96.4%	31.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Villa De Arista	2017	95.7%	99.6%	80.5%
Mexico	Villa De Arriaga	2000	77.5%	96.8%	40.1%
Mexico	Villa De Arriaga	2017	94.8%	99.7%	78.2%
Mexico	Villa De Chilapa De Diaz	2000	80.3%	99.7%	27.4%
Mexico	Villa De Chilapa De Diaz	2017	96.7%	100.0%	74.4%
Mexico	Villa De Cos	2000	89.0%	95.1%	79.8%
Mexico	Villa De Cos	2017	98.3%	99.5%	95.5%
Mexico	Villa De ETLA	2000	54.2%	64.0%	43.8%
Mexico	Villa De ETLA	2017	95.0%	96.8%	91.1%
Mexico	Villa De Guadalupe	2000	80.7%	95.8%	55.3%
Mexico	Villa De Guadalupe	2017	95.7%	99.5%	83.4%
Mexico	Villa De La Paz	2000	73.4%	98.1%	18.5%
Mexico	Villa De La Paz	2017	94.9%	99.8%	63.6%
Mexico	Villa De Ramos	2000	78.1%	90.3%	61.7%
Mexico	Villa De Ramos	2017	96.4%	99.1%	89.6%
Mexico	Villa De Reyes	2000	67.0%	85.8%	42.7%
Mexico	Villa De Reyes	2017	93.6%	98.4%	80.2%
Mexico	Villa De Tamazulapam Del Progreso	2000	72.8%	99.6%	13.8%
Mexico	Villa De Tamazulapam Del Progreso	2017	92.8%	100.0%	42.7%
Mexico	Villa De Tezontepec	2000	88.7%	99.9%	34.4%
Mexico	Villa De Tezontepec	2017	97.7%	100.0%	86.2%
Mexico	Villa De Tututepec De Melchor Ocampo	2000	67.4%	90.9%	33.3%
Mexico	Villa De Tututepec De Melchor Ocampo	2017	90.7%	99.2%	62.8%
Mexico	Villa De Zaachila	2000	60.7%	93.5%	16.2%
Mexico	Villa De Zaachila	2017	91.9%	99.2%	61.9%
Mexico	Villa Del Carbon	2000	85.7%	96.6%	69.7%
Mexico	Villa Del Carbon	2017	97.9%	99.7%	91.3%
Mexico	Villa Diaz Ordaz	2000	88.3%	98.2%	65.0%
Mexico	Villa Diaz Ordaz	2017	98.6%	99.9%	91.8%
Mexico	Villa Garcia	2000	83.7%	98.8%	42.2%
Mexico	Villa Garcia	2017	97.0%	99.9%	82.6%
Mexico	Villa Gonzalez Ortega	2000	88.5%	97.5%	60.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Villa Gonzalez Ortega	2017	98.1%	99.8%	90.2%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2000	41.5%	74.0%	17.4%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2000	85.8%	98.4%	50.9%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2017	97.2%	99.9%	81.9%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2017	86.9%	97.6%	64.3%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	83.1%	99.7%	31.7%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	75.6%	99.5%	12.1%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	74.8%	89.9%	48.9%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	81.6%	95.1%	59.1%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	80.8%	99.6%	24.3%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	95.2%	99.1%	82.1%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	95.6%	100.0%	62.3%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	96.4%	100.0%	74.4%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	93.9%	100.0%	50.3%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	97.0%	99.7%	87.8%
Mexico	Villa Juarez	2000	68.9%	90.3%	42.8%
Mexico	Villa Juarez	2017	93.7%	99.3%	80.6%
Mexico	Villa Pesqueira	2000	88.7%	99.5%	57.0%
Mexico	Villa Pesqueira	2017	98.7%	99.9%	92.5%
Mexico	Villa Purificacion	2000	77.7%	96.0%	38.5%
Mexico	Villa Purificacion	2017	94.1%	99.6%	60.1%
Mexico	Villa Sola De Vega	2000	82.8%	94.9%	64.1%
Mexico	Villa Sola De Vega	2017	96.6%	99.3%	89.1%
Mexico	Villa Talea De Castro	2000	68.6%	99.4%	8.4%
Mexico	Villa Talea De Castro	2017	91.6%	100.0%	50.6%
Mexico	Villa Tejupam De La Union	2000	64.5%	90.6%	30.4%
Mexico	Villa Tejupam De La Union	2017	93.8%	98.6%	82.8%
Mexico	Villa Union	2000	91.3%	98.6%	66.4%
Mexico	Villa Union	2017	99.0%	99.9%	93.9%
Mexico	Villa Victoria	2000	46.7%	64.0%	30.3%
Mexico	Villa Victoria	2017	74.6%	89.0%	58.5%
Mexico	Villaflora	2000	69.1%	89.8%	39.4%
Mexico	Villaflora	2017	92.9%	98.9%	78.9%
Mexico	Villagran	2000	71.5%	89.2%	34.8%
Mexico	Villagran	2000	79.9%	96.4%	55.3%
Mexico	Villagran	2017	95.2%	98.6%	85.4%
Mexico	Villagran	2017	96.3%	99.7%	87.6%
Mexico	Villaldama	2000	91.0%	99.5%	64.6%
Mexico	Villaldama	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.0%
Mexico	Villamar	2000	49.4%	81.0%	21.3%
Mexico	Villamar	2017	78.5%	97.7%	48.8%
Mexico	Villanueva	2000	82.0%	90.4%	68.9%
Mexico	Villanueva	2017	96.7%	99.0%	90.0%
Mexico	Vista Hermosa	2000	91.8%	96.2%	86.3%
Mexico	Vista Hermosa	2017	98.2%	99.7%	92.8%
Mexico	Xalapa	2000	65.1%	71.8%	54.7%
Mexico	Xalapa	2017	85.9%	92.0%	82.0%
Mexico	Xalatlaco	2000	44.1%	91.6%	2.5%
Mexico	Xalatlaco	2017	81.6%	99.5%	27.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Xalisco	2000	96.7%	98.8%	93.2%
Mexico	Xalisco	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Mexico	Xaloztoc	2000	96.2%	99.9%	79.9%
Mexico	Xaloztoc	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.2%
Mexico	Xalpatlahuac	2000	48.1%	73.0%	35.0%
Mexico	Xalpatlahuac	2017	80.6%	95.9%	60.0%
Mexico	Xaltocan	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Xaltocan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Xayacatlan	2000	71.2%	99.8%	4.3%
Mexico	Xayacatlan De Bravo	2017	90.3%	100.0%	24.1%
Mexico	Xichu	2000	66.8%	87.0%	45.0%
Mexico	Xichu	2017	93.0%	98.7%	81.1%
Mexico	Xico	2000	96.9%	99.6%	90.4%
Mexico	Xico	2017	98.9%	99.8%	95.2%
Mexico	Xicohtzinco	2000	95.1%	96.7%	92.3%
Mexico	Xicohtzinco	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Mexico	Xicotencatl	2000	93.4%	98.7%	82.9%
Mexico	Xicotencatl	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.6%
Mexico	Xicotepec	2000	64.9%	73.5%	53.1%
Mexico	Xicotepec	2017	94.5%	97.1%	91.2%
Mexico	Xicotlan	2000	84.5%	99.5%	38.0%
Mexico	Xicotlan	2017	96.8%	100.0%	79.8%
Mexico	Xilitla	2000	72.3%	86.5%	51.4%
Mexico	Xilitla	2017	94.7%	98.9%	87.0%
Mexico	Xiutetelco	2000	86.7%	93.7%	76.4%
Mexico	Xiutetelco	2017	98.9%	99.7%	95.8%
Mexico	Xocchel	2000	95.4%	99.9%	80.1%
Mexico	Xocchel	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Xochiapulco	2000	84.3%	99.9%	33.1%
Mexico	Xochiapulco	2017	96.5%	100.0%	72.3%
Mexico	Xochiatipan	2000	66.3%	98.7%	14.0%
Mexico	Xochiatipan	2017	89.7%	99.9%	50.3%
Mexico	Xochicoatlan	2000	86.5%	98.5%	59.3%
Mexico	Xochicoatlan	2017	97.1%	99.9%	85.9%
Mexico	Xochihuehuetlan	2000	77.1%	99.3%	23.0%
Mexico	Xochihuehuetlan	2017	95.0%	99.9%	71.3%
Mexico	Xochiltepec	2000	81.7%	99.9%	18.0%
Mexico	Xochiltepec	2017	96.5%	100.0%	75.6%
Mexico	Xochimilco	2000	97.8%	99.5%	92.2%
Mexico	Xochimilco	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Xochistlahuaca	2000	84.6%	95.5%	64.4%
Mexico	Xochistlahuaca	2017	96.7%	98.8%	91.6%
Mexico	Xochitepec	2000	89.4%	94.0%	82.8%
Mexico	Xochitepec	2017	98.9%	99.6%	96.6%
Mexico	Xochitlan	2000	63.1%	94.6%	14.8%
Mexico	Xochitlan De Vicente Suarez	2017	92.0%	99.6%	60.8%
Mexico	Xochitlan Todos Santos	2000	56.2%	65.3%	46.4%
Mexico	Xochitlan Todos Santos	2017	94.9%	97.4%	89.7%
Mexico	Xonacatlan	2000	92.9%	99.8%	67.8%
Mexico	Xonacatlan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.6%
Mexico	Xoxocotla	2000	73.9%	99.8%	10.9%
Mexico	Xoxocotla	2017	94.7%	100.0%	61.1%
Mexico	Yahualica	2000	24.2%	39.9%	9.3%
Mexico	Yahualica	2017	51.5%	74.4%	27.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Yahualica De Gonzalez Gallo	2000	89.0%	97.3%	72.2%
Mexico	Yahualica De Gonzalez Gallo	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.2%
Mexico	Yajalon	2000	70.9%	90.4%	48.4%
Mexico	Yajalon	2017	95.4%	99.1%	86.1%
Mexico	Yanga	2000	72.1%	95.8%	29.9%
Mexico	Yanga	2017	95.7%	99.7%	77.9%
Mexico	Yaonahuac	2000	85.1%	98.2%	52.6%
Mexico	Yaonahuac	2017	97.8%	99.9%	85.3%
Mexico	Yauhquemecan	2000	98.6%	99.4%	97.4%
Mexico	Yauhquemecan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Yautepec	2000	86.4%	97.4%	59.6%
Mexico	Yautepec	2017	98.5%	99.8%	93.5%
Mexico	Yaxcaba	2000	75.8%	94.5%	48.4%
Mexico	Yaxcaba	2017	93.9%	99.6%	79.4%
Mexico	Yaxe	2000	74.8%	100.0%	3.1%
Mexico	Yaxe	2017	91.9%	100.0%	27.0%
Mexico	Yaxkukul	2000	85.0%	99.5%	36.2%
Mexico	Yaxkukul	2017	98.2%	100.0%	85.3%
Mexico	Yecapixtla	2000	91.4%	97.4%	64.9%
Mexico	Yecapixtla	2017	98.9%	99.8%	90.6%
Mexico	Yecora	2000	83.2%	97.9%	48.3%
Mexico	Yecora	2017	96.7%	99.8%	84.3%
Mexico	Yecuatla	2000	62.5%	81.4%	37.0%
Mexico	Yecuatla	2017	93.0%	97.2%	83.4%
Mexico	Yehualtepec	2000	63.0%	93.7%	16.8%
Mexico	Yehualtepec	2017	92.2%	99.5%	60.9%
Mexico	Yobain	2000	80.4%	99.9%	6.9%
Mexico	Yobain	2017	93.7%	100.0%	34.0%
Mexico	Yogana	2000	73.9%	98.9%	16.2%
Mexico	Yogana	2017	95.0%	99.9%	70.1%
Mexico	Yurecuaro	2000	79.3%	98.8%	25.0%
Mexico	Yurecuaro	2017	95.3%	99.9%	76.6%
Mexico	Yuriria	2000	87.3%	96.9%	69.3%
Mexico	Yuriria	2017	97.4%	99.7%	87.6%
Mexico	Yutanduchi De Guerrero	2000	64.8%	97.7%	12.4%
Mexico	Yutanduchi De Guerrero	2017	92.5%	99.9%	56.2%
Mexico	Zacapala	2000	77.0%	96.4%	43.0%
Mexico	Zacapala	2017	95.9%	99.6%	80.7%
Mexico	Zacapoaxtla	2000	81.5%	99.5%	28.6%
Mexico	Zacapoaxtla	2017	95.5%	100.0%	64.1%
Mexico	Zacapu	2000	88.8%	93.1%	82.1%
Mexico	Zacapu	2017	97.4%	99.4%	94.9%
Mexico	Zacatecas	2000	97.8%	98.7%	95.9%
Mexico	Zacatecas	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	Zacatelco	2000	97.0%	98.2%	94.6%
Mexico	Zacatelco	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Mexico	Zacatepec De Hidalgo	2000	95.5%	97.8%	90.5%
Mexico	Zacatepec De Hidalgo	2017	99.6%	99.8%	98.7%
Mexico	Zacatlan	2000	82.9%	93.2%	67.6%
Mexico	Zacatlan	2017	97.2%	99.5%	91.1%
Mexico	Zacazonapan	2000	74.8%	99.3%	14.8%
Mexico	Zacazonapan	2017	95.0%	100.0%	66.0%
Mexico	Zacoalco De Torres	2000	78.7%	99.2%	27.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zacoalco De Torres	2017	95.2%	99.9%	57.3%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2000	76.8%	98.6%	28.4%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2000	74.1%	98.1%	32.8%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2017	93.9%	99.9%	72.5%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2017	93.8%	99.9%	71.6%
Mexico	Zacualpan De Amilpas	2000	76.9%	84.2%	69.0%
Mexico	Zacualpan De Amilpas	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.0%
Mexico	Zacualtipan De Angeles	2000	81.6%	98.6%	35.5%
Mexico	Zacualtipan De Angeles	2017	97.2%	99.9%	81.3%
Mexico	Zamora	2000	82.2%	92.7%	63.4%
Mexico	Zamora	2017	97.8%	99.4%	94.3%
Mexico	Zapopan	2000	79.6%	84.1%	74.0%
Mexico	Zapopan	2017	96.2%	98.2%	93.6%
Mexico	Zapotiltic	2000	81.7%	92.7%	62.8%
Mexico	Zapotiltic	2017	98.0%	99.5%	93.0%
Mexico	Zapotitlan	2000	78.4%	97.8%	39.0%
Mexico	Zapotitlan	2017	94.3%	99.8%	74.5%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Mendez	2000	78.0%	89.3%	56.3%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Mendez	2017	98.2%	99.3%	94.7%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Vadillo	2000	92.0%	99.3%	72.7%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Vadillo	2017	98.8%	99.9%	92.6%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Del Rio	2000	71.3%	98.2%	24.6%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Del Rio	2017	92.5%	99.8%	65.9%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Lagunas	2000	75.7%	99.2%	19.5%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Lagunas	2017	93.8%	99.9%	57.4%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Palmas	2000	68.0%	99.2%	7.4%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Palmas	2017	92.7%	99.9%	53.9%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Tablas	2000	30.7%	38.2%	21.9%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Tablas	2017	70.9%	82.2%	60.9%
Mexico	Zapotlan De Juarez	2000	94.1%	100.0%	58.3%
Mexico	Zapotlan De Juarez	2017	98.8%	100.0%	85.2%
Mexico	Zapotlan Del Rey	2000	77.4%	93.7%	53.2%
Mexico	Zapotlan Del Rey	2017	95.1%	99.3%	84.4%
Mexico	Zapotlan El Grande	2000	93.4%	98.0%	84.7%
Mexico	Zapotlan El Grande	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.2%
Mexico	Zapotlanejo	2000	77.7%	95.6%	34.9%
Mexico	Zapotlanejo	2017	94.4%	99.6%	76.2%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	83.9%	99.9%	20.7%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	65.7%	92.8%	29.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	91.4%	98.6%	60.5%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	64.9%	95.5%	21.5%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	96.0%	100.0%	66.2%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	92.1%	99.4%	72.7%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	99.0%	99.9%	94.8%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	93.1%	99.7%	66.4%
Mexico	Zautla	2000	87.9%	99.4%	61.5%
Mexico	Zautla	2017	98.6%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	Zempoala	2000	91.5%	99.6%	74.4%
Mexico	Zempoala	2017	98.3%	100.0%	91.0%
Mexico	Zentla	2000	75.5%	88.1%	54.7%
Mexico	Zentla	2017	95.7%	98.8%	89.0%
Mexico	Zihuateutla	2000	61.8%	79.6%	31.1%
Mexico	Zihuateutla	2017	87.8%	96.2%	71.8%
Mexico	Zimapan	2000	79.7%	97.1%	42.9%
Mexico	Zimapan	2017	95.5%	99.8%	77.4%
Mexico	Zimatlan De Alvarez	2000	69.8%	95.6%	28.4%
Mexico	Zimatlan De Alvarez	2017	93.3%	99.5%	68.2%
Mexico	Zinacantan	2000	73.2%	89.5%	52.1%
Mexico	Zinacantan	2017	96.8%	99.2%	88.7%
Mexico	Zinacantepec	2000	67.3%	84.3%	50.0%
Mexico	Zinacantepec	2017	92.1%	98.5%	83.4%
Mexico	Zinacatepec	2000	91.0%	99.4%	54.8%
Mexico	Zinacatepec	2017	98.8%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Zinaparo	2000	77.8%	99.3%	24.3%
Mexico	Zinaparo	2017	95.7%	100.0%	65.8%
Mexico	Zinapécuaro	2000	78.0%	97.1%	48.1%
Mexico	Zinapécuaro	2017	95.8%	99.8%	86.3%
Mexico	Ziracuaretiro	2000	20.5%	54.6%	2.4%
Mexico	Ziracuaretiro	2017	60.9%	89.3%	24.0%
Mexico	Zirandaro	2000	69.4%	90.6%	41.2%
Mexico	Zirandaro	2017	91.7%	99.0%	73.6%
Mexico	Zitacuaro	2000	90.2%	96.3%	78.9%
Mexico	Zitacuaro	2017	98.6%	99.7%	94.2%
Mexico	Zitlala	2000	62.7%	92.6%	23.2%
Mexico	Zitlala	2017	92.1%	99.5%	64.7%
Mexico	Zitlaltepec	2000	97.8%	99.9%	88.7%
Mexico	De Trinidad Sanchez Santos	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Mexico	Zongolica	2000	63.5%	88.8%	28.4%
Mexico	Zongolica	2017	91.5%	98.9%	71.7%
Mexico	Zongozotla	2000	84.4%	97.9%	54.6%
Mexico	Zongozotla	2017	97.6%	99.9%	88.5%
Mexico	Zontecomatlan De Lopez Y Fuentes	2000	72.5%	97.1%	26.5%
Mexico	Zontecomatlan De Lopez Y Fuentes	2017	93.7%	99.8%	67.6%
Mexico	Zoquiapan	2000	69.7%	84.6%	49.0%
Mexico	Zoquiapan	2017	95.0%	98.9%	85.3%
Mexico	Zoquitlan	2000	54.9%	78.5%	28.8%
Mexico	Zoquitlan	2017	89.9%	98.0%	66.0%
Mexico	Zozocolco De Hidalgo	2000	13.4%	29.4%	3.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zozocolco De Hidalgo	2017	41.2%	75.0%	16.4%
Mexico	Zumpahuacan	2000	79.1%	97.2%	48.9%
Mexico	Zumpahuacan	2017	94.8%	99.2%	81.4%
Mexico	Zumpango	2000	90.4%	95.8%	83.0%
Mexico	Zumpango	2017	98.8%	99.7%	96.4%
Nicaragua	Achuapa	2000	71.4%	97.0%	33.7%
Nicaragua	Achuapa	2017	73.0%	98.0%	35.2%
Nicaragua	Acoyapa	2000	51.1%	77.1%	20.9%
Nicaragua	Acoyapa	2017	55.0%	80.1%	27.7%
Nicaragua	Altagracia	2000	60.4%	95.6%	15.9%
Nicaragua	Altagracia	2017	64.1%	95.4%	22.2%
Nicaragua	Belén	2000	52.1%	85.1%	9.8%
Nicaragua	Belén	2017	53.5%	85.1%	13.2%
Nicaragua	Bluefields	2000	55.0%	74.2%	33.8%
Nicaragua	Bluefields	2017	57.3%	71.4%	42.3%
Nicaragua	Boaco	2000	53.1%	82.2%	22.6%
Nicaragua	Boaco	2017	56.1%	83.9%	25.7%
Nicaragua	Bocana de Paiwas	2000	58.2%	77.9%	37.8%
Nicaragua	Bocana de Paiwas	2017	60.5%	80.1%	40.1%
Nicaragua	Bonanza	2000	57.5%	76.4%	34.3%
Nicaragua	Bonanza	2017	59.6%	76.8%	38.9%
Nicaragua	Buenos Aires	2000	9.1%	14.5%	0.3%
Nicaragua	Buenos Aires	2017	8.6%	20.8%	1.2%
Nicaragua	Camoapa	2000	54.8%	82.1%	26.2%
Nicaragua	Camoapa	2017	57.7%	82.4%	31.7%
Nicaragua	Catarina	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Nicaragua	Catarina	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Nicaragua	Chichigalpa	2000	53.1%	81.8%	10.6%
Nicaragua	Chichigalpa	2017	56.8%	82.9%	11.5%
Nicaragua	Chinandega	2000	50.5%	84.6%	14.4%
Nicaragua	Chinandega	2017	53.2%	86.4%	13.7%
Nicaragua	Cinco Pinos	2000	76.8%	100.0%	38.8%
Nicaragua	Cinco Pinos	2017	79.8%	100.0%	51.4%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Antigua	2000	53.5%	92.3%	15.7%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Antigua	2017	57.1%	92.5%	20.1%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Darío	2000	58.7%	88.0%	23.7%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Darío	2017	61.6%	89.3%	26.8%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Sandino	2000	55.5%	85.0%	16.2%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Sandino	2017	60.0%	86.1%	25.3%
Nicaragua	Comalapa	2000	59.1%	89.5%	33.2%
Nicaragua	Comalapa	2017	61.4%	89.9%	34.9%
Nicaragua	Condega	2000	79.9%	96.8%	57.4%
Nicaragua	Condega	2017	82.0%	97.9%	61.7%
Nicaragua	Corinto	2000	62.3%	98.7%	28.4%
Nicaragua	Corinto	2017	62.2%	98.7%	23.8%
Nicaragua	Dipilto	2000	30.1%	61.5%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Dipilto	2017	34.0%	64.6%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Diriá	2000	92.9%	100.0%	81.0%
Nicaragua	Diriá	2017	95.2%	100.0%	85.6%
Nicaragua	Diriamba	2000	63.6%	84.7%	42.8%
Nicaragua	Diriamba	2017	68.1%	89.5%	44.3%
Nicaragua	Diriomo	2000	88.7%	100.0%	73.8%
Nicaragua	Diriomo	2017	91.5%	100.0%	78.9%
Nicaragua	Dolores	2000	77.3%	100.0%	21.3%
Nicaragua	Dolores	2017	81.5%	100.0%	19.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	El Almendro	2000	47.7%	69.4%	25.2%
Nicaragua	El Almendro	2017	49.5%	72.2%	25.9%
Nicaragua	El Cuá	2000	55.8%	70.9%	41.6%
Nicaragua	El Cuá	2017	58.3%	72.5%	43.7%
Nicaragua	El Jicaral	2000	62.4%	91.6%	31.9%
Nicaragua	El Jicaral	2017	64.7%	92.6%	36.1%
Nicaragua	El Rama	2000	55.5%	68.0%	41.4%
Nicaragua	El Rama	2017	58.5%	71.6%	45.0%
Nicaragua	El Realejo	2000	42.5%	86.5%	6.2%
Nicaragua	El Realejo	2017	44.6%	89.3%	9.9%
Nicaragua	El Rosario	2000	89.2%	100.0%	43.6%
Nicaragua	El Rosario	2017	90.7%	100.0%	42.5%
Nicaragua	El Sauce	2000	61.6%	87.4%	31.7%
Nicaragua	El Sauce	2017	65.8%	89.3%	36.9%
Nicaragua	El Viejo	2000	55.5%	84.3%	25.9%
Nicaragua	El Viejo	2017	58.2%	83.8%	30.0%
Nicaragua	Esquipulas	2000	57.0%	70.1%	42.4%
Nicaragua	Esquipulas	2017	63.5%	76.6%	48.5%
Nicaragua	Estelí	2000	68.3%	87.7%	42.8%
Nicaragua	Estelí	2017	71.9%	89.4%	50.2%
Nicaragua	Granada	2000	68.8%	88.9%	34.9%
Nicaragua	Granada	2017	72.6%	91.1%	41.4%
Nicaragua	Jalapa	2000	60.7%	89.5%	29.1%
Nicaragua	Jalapa	2017	63.7%	91.2%	33.5%
Nicaragua	Jinotega	2000	57.5%	83.6%	27.3%
Nicaragua	Jinotega	2017	60.1%	84.2%	30.8%
Nicaragua	Jinotepe	2000	76.2%	99.1%	20.1%
Nicaragua	Jinotepe	2017	79.1%	99.8%	19.0%
Nicaragua	Juigalpa	2000	56.1%	84.7%	25.2%
Nicaragua	Juigalpa	2017	59.0%	85.7%	25.5%
Nicaragua	Kukra Hill	2000	53.2%	80.8%	22.6%
Nicaragua	Kukra Hill	2017	56.0%	78.9%	30.0%
Nicaragua	La Concepción	2000	66.4%	100.0%	19.9%
Nicaragua	La Concepción	2017	70.6%	100.0%	17.7%
Nicaragua	La Concordia	2000	62.0%	98.9%	20.4%
Nicaragua	La Concordia	2017	65.6%	100.0%	26.8%
Nicaragua	La Conquista	2000	85.8%	100.0%	64.5%
Nicaragua	La Conquista	2017	89.5%	100.0%	69.9%
Nicaragua	La Cruz de Río Grande	2000	54.9%	66.9%	43.3%
Nicaragua	La Cruz de Río Grande	2017	57.4%	69.5%	45.8%
Nicaragua	La Libertad	2000	55.5%	85.4%	20.4%
Nicaragua	La Libertad	2017	58.0%	86.9%	21.7%
Nicaragua	La Paz Centro	2000	56.6%	81.7%	23.5%
Nicaragua	La Paz Centro	2017	59.6%	84.2%	23.9%
Nicaragua	La Paz de Carazo	2000	99.5%	100.0%	97.1%
Nicaragua	La Paz de Carazo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.4%
Nicaragua	La Trinidad	2000	49.8%	77.3%	16.8%
Nicaragua	La Trinidad	2017	54.2%	79.9%	20.3%
Nicaragua	Lago de Managua	2000	57.6%	97.9%	5.4%
Nicaragua	Lago de Managua	2017	59.7%	98.3%	7.3%
Nicaragua	Lago de Nicaragua	2000	55.9%	79.1%	28.7%
Nicaragua	Lago de Nicaragua	2017	59.3%	79.9%	30.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Laguna de Perlas	2000	54.3%	71.6%	36.1%
Nicaragua	Laguna de Perlas	2017	56.8%	72.9%	39.2%
Nicaragua	Larreynaga-Malpaisillo	2000	62.3%	85.5%	37.8%
Nicaragua	Larreynaga-Malpaisillo	2017	64.2%	86.7%	39.1%
Nicaragua	Las Sabanas	2000	46.9%	82.4%	25.1%
Nicaragua	Las Sabanas	2017	42.9%	76.6%	21.6%
Nicaragua	León	2000	49.0%	80.7%	15.7%
Nicaragua	León	2017	51.6%	84.6%	16.3%
Nicaragua	Macuelizo	2000	42.8%	76.2%	11.7%
Nicaragua	Macuelizo	2017	46.1%	79.7%	15.0%
Nicaragua	Managua	2000	67.8%	97.1%	30.4%
Nicaragua	Managua	2017	70.5%	97.6%	32.6%
Nicaragua	Masatepe	2000	71.3%	100.0%	45.9%
Nicaragua	Masatepe	2017	74.6%	100.0%	45.9%
Nicaragua	Masaya	2000	76.6%	98.8%	55.1%
Nicaragua	Masaya	2017	78.9%	98.9%	58.3%
Nicaragua	Matagalpa	2000	60.9%	88.8%	34.3%
Nicaragua	Matagalpa	2017	64.0%	89.3%	36.8%
Nicaragua	Mateare	2000	64.9%	97.2%	26.7%
Nicaragua	Mateare	2017	66.9%	98.4%	26.8%
Nicaragua	Matiguás	2000	54.8%	74.3%	32.7%
Nicaragua	Matiguás	2017	57.4%	76.8%	35.9%
Nicaragua	Morrito	2000	45.2%	67.1%	23.1%
Nicaragua	Morrito	2017	48.5%	71.4%	27.2%
Nicaragua	Moyogalpa	2000	68.8%	97.1%	11.1%
Nicaragua	Moyogalpa	2017	71.7%	97.2%	13.4%
Nicaragua	Mozonte	2000	53.5%	90.3%	12.5%
Nicaragua	Mozonte	2017	59.1%	92.2%	18.1%
Nicaragua	Muelle de los Bueyes	2000	52.0%	72.8%	30.2%
Nicaragua	Muelle de los Bueyes	2017	53.2%	73.0%	32.3%
Nicaragua	Murra	2000	47.0%	78.7%	18.4%
Nicaragua	Murra	2017	50.6%	82.0%	20.9%
Nicaragua	Muy Muy	2000	47.6%	79.9%	11.1%
Nicaragua	Muy Muy	2017	48.7%	78.6%	11.1%
Nicaragua	Nagarote	2000	55.0%	87.1%	19.4%
Nicaragua	Nagarote	2017	57.4%	90.4%	19.9%
Nicaragua	Nandaime	2000	65.1%	92.9%	36.5%
Nicaragua	Nandaime	2017	69.0%	94.1%	43.4%
Nicaragua	Nandasmo	2000	81.0%	100.0%	67.9%
Nicaragua	Nandasmo	2017	83.4%	100.0%	70.7%
Nicaragua	Nindirí	2000	68.9%	100.0%	36.0%
Nicaragua	Nindirí	2017	70.5%	100.0%	35.3%
Nicaragua	Niquinohomo	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Nicaragua	Niquinohomo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Nicaragua	Nueva Guinea	2000	56.2%	73.6%	37.3%
Nicaragua	Nueva Guinea	2017	58.8%	74.4%	40.7%
Nicaragua	Ocotal	2000	69.0%	95.8%	26.2%
Nicaragua	Ocotal	2017	74.4%	97.0%	25.3%
Nicaragua	Palacagüina	2000	58.5%	81.3%	45.2%
Nicaragua	Palacagüina	2017	60.9%	85.7%	47.6%
Nicaragua	Posoltega	2000	65.7%	100.0%	7.2%
Nicaragua	Posoltega	2017	73.0%	100.0%	10.8%
Nicaragua	Potosí	2000	42.0%	61.8%	11.4%
Nicaragua	Potosí	2017	41.3%	64.3%	13.6%
Nicaragua	Prinzapolka	2000	55.6%	65.8%	43.9%
Nicaragua	Prinzapolka	2017	58.2%	67.1%	46.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	56.7%	79.6%	26.8%
Nicaragua	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	57.0%	76.3%	28.4%
Nicaragua	Puerto Cabezas	2000	55.8%	69.2%	39.3%
Nicaragua	Puerto Cabezas	2017	58.1%	70.4%	44.3%
Nicaragua	Puerto Morazán	2000	58.1%	94.2%	19.9%
Nicaragua	Puerto Morazán	2017	61.3%	95.1%	24.0%
Nicaragua	Quezalguaque	2000	44.6%	78.5%	2.5%
Nicaragua	Quezalguaque	2017	52.0%	83.4%	3.1%
Nicaragua	Quilalí	2000	64.7%	93.7%	30.8%
Nicaragua	Quilalí	2017	67.3%	94.4%	32.5%
Nicaragua	Rancho Grande	2000	54.5%	82.4%	25.0%
Nicaragua	Rancho Grande	2017	57.2%	84.2%	27.1%
Nicaragua	Río Blanco	2000	55.7%	72.7%	37.8%
Nicaragua	Río Blanco	2017	58.6%	73.9%	42.0%
Nicaragua	Rivas	2000	29.9%	67.7%	0.6%
Nicaragua	Rivas	2017	31.6%	66.8%	0.9%
Nicaragua	Rosita	2000	56.4%	72.0%	36.7%
Nicaragua	Rosita	2017	58.5%	72.1%	42.8%
Nicaragua	San Carlos	2000	56.6%	72.3%	40.1%
Nicaragua	San Carlos	2017	58.9%	72.6%	43.3%
Nicaragua	San Dionisio	2000	45.5%	78.6%	5.1%
Nicaragua	San Dionisio	2017	52.5%	82.7%	10.5%
Nicaragua	San Fernando	2000	47.5%	76.9%	16.5%
Nicaragua	San Fernando	2017	48.4%	77.9%	18.3%
Nicaragua	San Francisco del Norte	2000	59.4%	100.0%	10.6%
Nicaragua	San Francisco del Norte	2017	63.8%	100.0%	8.7%
Nicaragua	San Francisco Libre	2000	49.6%	80.4%	14.3%
Nicaragua	San Francisco Libre	2017	49.1%	80.6%	14.5%
Nicaragua	San Isidro	2000	55.5%	88.8%	21.4%
Nicaragua	San Isidro	2017	63.1%	89.1%	27.5%
Nicaragua	San Jorge	2000	12.5%	19.4%	0.0%
Nicaragua	San Jorge	2017	13.1%	18.8%	0.1%
Nicaragua	San José de Cusmapa	2000	36.8%	64.7%	2.7%
Nicaragua	San José de Cusmapa	2017	42.6%	80.1%	11.8%
Nicaragua	San José de los Remates	2000	62.9%	94.4%	34.3%
Nicaragua	San José de los Remates	2017	65.3%	95.6%	35.6%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Limay	2000	63.2%	88.4%	33.5%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Limay	2017	67.2%	89.7%	40.4%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Oriente	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Oriente	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Norte	2000	54.1%	76.1%	33.0%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Norte	2017	57.1%	77.8%	36.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	San Juan del Río Coco	2000	81.1%	99.2%	53.9%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Río Coco	2017	83.2%	99.7%	57.8%
Nicaragua	San Lorenzo	2000	47.7%	76.5%	20.2%
Nicaragua	San Lorenzo	2017	48.5%	77.8%	21.7%
Nicaragua	San Lucas	2000	56.2%	82.3%	17.5%
Nicaragua	San Lucas	2017	58.6%	84.6%	15.7%
Nicaragua	San Marcos	2000	63.0%	98.6%	23.4%
Nicaragua	San Marcos	2017	68.7%	98.8%	19.7%
Nicaragua	San Miguelito	2000	56.8%	79.7%	32.1%
Nicaragua	San Miguelito	2017	58.9%	80.9%	34.9%
Nicaragua	San Nicolás	2000	56.0%	83.2%	22.7%
Nicaragua	San Nicolás	2017	56.9%	80.8%	20.1%
Nicaragua	San Pedro de Lóvago	2000	51.8%	77.5%	25.0%
Nicaragua	San Pedro de Lóvago	2017	53.9%	78.4%	28.6%
Nicaragua	San Pedro del Norte	2000	76.1%	100.0%	19.8%
Nicaragua	San Pedro del Norte	2017	79.5%	100.0%	28.5%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Norte	2000	60.6%	87.1%	25.7%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Norte	2017	63.5%	88.6%	29.8%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Sur	2000	57.3%	96.9%	10.4%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Sur	2017	61.5%	97.3%	12.4%
Nicaragua	San Ramón	2000	54.5%	88.9%	21.8%
Nicaragua	San Ramón	2017	57.8%	91.2%	23.7%
Nicaragua	San Sebastián de Yalí	2000	73.4%	97.2%	34.8%
Nicaragua	San Sebastián de Yalí	2017	77.0%	98.4%	37.1%
Nicaragua	Santa Lucía	2000	42.8%	77.3%	6.2%
Nicaragua	Santa Lucía	2017	48.2%	82.1%	9.4%
Nicaragua	Santa María	2000	60.7%	99.2%	13.6%
Nicaragua	Santa María	2017	63.3%	100.0%	20.2%
Nicaragua	Santa María de Pantasma	2000	57.3%	83.2%	27.8%
Nicaragua	Santa María de Pantasma	2017	59.9%	86.7%	29.4%
Nicaragua	Santa Rosa del Peñón	2000	73.0%	97.2%	44.4%
Nicaragua	Santa Rosa del Peñón	2017	75.5%	96.7%	47.9%
Nicaragua	Santo Domingo	2000	56.2%	85.9%	23.2%
Nicaragua	Santo Domingo	2017	58.4%	88.4%	25.9%
Nicaragua	Santo Tomás	2000	54.7%	89.6%	15.8%
Nicaragua	Santo Tomás	2017	57.3%	90.4%	17.9%
Nicaragua	Sébaco	2000	42.4%	73.8%	6.0%
Nicaragua	Sébaco	2017	47.7%	77.8%	7.8%
Nicaragua	Siuna	2000	56.5%	69.5%	42.3%
Nicaragua	Siuna	2017	58.2%	70.1%	43.9%
Nicaragua	Somotillo	2000	57.1%	81.2%	33.0%
Nicaragua	Somotillo	2017	59.7%	84.2%	30.1%
Nicaragua	Somoto	2000	54.1%	83.9%	17.7%
Nicaragua	Somoto	2017	58.5%	85.9%	21.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Telica	2000	38.7%	73.9%	13.6%
Nicaragua	Telica	2017	41.7%	77.5%	13.3%
Nicaragua	Telpaneca	2000	64.2%	93.2%	32.3%
Nicaragua	Telpaneca	2017	65.9%	95.4%	30.3%
Nicaragua	Terrabona	2000	45.6%	80.2%	16.8%
Nicaragua	Terrabona	2017	49.7%	83.5%	18.1%
Nicaragua	Teustepe	2000	53.9%	84.8%	23.6%
Nicaragua	Teustepe	2017	57.3%	86.2%	27.0%
Nicaragua	Ticuanetepe	2000	73.0%	100.0%	27.2%
Nicaragua	Ticuanetepe	2017	76.5%	100.0%	35.1%
Nicaragua	Tipitapa	2000	55.0%	85.6%	26.5%
Nicaragua	Tipitapa	2017	57.4%	88.3%	27.4%
Nicaragua	Tisma	2000	55.9%	68.5%	16.8%
Nicaragua	Tisma	2017	56.0%	66.3%	28.9%
Nicaragua	Tola	2000	54.9%	79.3%	27.0%
Nicaragua	Tola	2017	57.4%	80.5%	30.6%
Nicaragua	Totogalpa	2000	84.0%	99.0%	61.3%
Nicaragua	Totogalpa	2017	89.4%	99.3%	73.7%
Nicaragua	Tuma-La	2000	54.1%	92.1%	19.1%
Nicaragua	Dalia				
Nicaragua	Tuma-La	2017	56.5%	93.0%	20.8%
Nicaragua	Dalia				
Nicaragua	Villa Carlos	2000	61.6%	87.8%	31.8%
Nicaragua	Fonseca				
Nicaragua	Villa Carlos	2017	64.6%	89.7%	32.3%
Nicaragua	Fonseca				
Nicaragua	Villa Sandino	2000	54.7%	76.9%	28.1%
Nicaragua	Villa Sandino	2017	57.3%	79.8%	32.3%
Nicaragua	Villanueva	2000	54.6%	81.9%	22.4%
Nicaragua	Villanueva	2017	56.8%	82.7%	25.3%
Nicaragua	Waslala	2000	55.9%	77.0%	33.9%
Nicaragua	Waslala	2017	58.5%	77.9%	37.6%
Nicaragua	Waspán	2000	56.4%	66.0%	45.7%
Nicaragua	Waspán	2017	58.4%	67.8%	48.8%
Nicaragua	Wiwilí	2000	57.5%	73.0%	40.4%
Nicaragua	Wiwilí	2017	59.9%	73.2%	45.1%
Nicaragua	Yalagüina	2000	86.9%	100.0%	62.4%
Nicaragua	Yalagüina	2017	89.0%	100.0%	64.6%
Panama	Aguadulce	2000	95.4%	97.6%	91.7%
Panama	Aguadulce	2017	95.5%	97.7%	91.9%
Panama	Alanje	2000	74.6%	86.6%	58.0%
Panama	Alanje	2017	75.9%	87.3%	59.8%
Panama	Antón	2000	95.5%	97.8%	92.5%
Panama	Antón	2017	95.7%	98.0%	92.9%
Panama	Arraiján	2000	95.2%	97.2%	92.2%
Panama	Arraiján	2017	95.5%	97.4%	92.8%
Panama	Atalaya	2000	90.5%	95.7%	83.3%
Panama	Atalaya	2017	91.1%	96.0%	84.6%
Panama	Balboa	2000	84.4%	99.3%	45.9%
Panama	Balboa	2017	85.0%	99.4%	46.6%
Panama	Barú	2000	71.8%	88.3%	49.2%
Panama	Barú	2017	72.5%	88.5%	50.0%
Panama	Besiko	2000	35.3%	51.2%	20.3%
Panama	Besiko	2017	36.4%	52.2%	21.2%
Panama	Bocas del	2000	50.9%	77.7%	26.2%
Panama	Toro				
Panama	Bocas del	2017	52.1%	78.8%	26.7%
Panama	Toro				
Panama	Boquerón	2000	77.7%	90.5%	58.3%
Panama	Boquerón	2017	79.0%	91.1%	60.4%
Panama	Boquete	2000	68.9%	86.7%	43.5%
Panama	Boquete	2017	69.6%	87.2%	44.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Bugaba	2000	79.6%	89.6%	68.4%
Panama	Bugaba	2017	80.6%	90.1%	69.8%
Panama	Calobre	2000	92.8%	97.2%	85.4%
Panama	Calobre	2017	93.2%	97.4%	86.3%
Panama	Cañazas	2000	86.7%	92.9%	77.6%
Panama	Cañazas	2017	86.6%	92.9%	77.7%
Panama	Capira	2000	93.3%	97.1%	88.1%
Panama	Capira	2017	93.7%	97.3%	88.6%
Panama	Cémaco	2000	32.1%	44.4%	21.3%
Panama	Cémaco	2017	33.7%	45.9%	22.5%
Panama	Chagres	2000	78.0%	95.2%	49.1%
Panama	Chagres	2017	78.9%	95.5%	50.8%
Panama	Chame	2000	95.9%	98.5%	89.7%
Panama	Chame	2017	96.1%	98.6%	90.0%
Panama	Changuinola	2000	57.8%	70.7%	44.4%
Panama	Changuinola	2017	59.1%	71.9%	46.2%
Panama	Chepigana	2000	63.2%	73.4%	52.4%
Panama	Chepigana	2017	64.8%	74.8%	53.9%
Panama	Chepo	2000	87.3%	93.5%	73.9%
Panama	Chepo	2017	88.0%	93.8%	76.0%
Panama	Chimán	2000	80.2%	95.2%	56.0%
Panama	Chimán	2017	80.7%	95.3%	57.8%
Panama	Chiriquí Grande	2000	41.4%	54.4%	30.4%
Panama	Chiriquí Grande	2017	42.8%	55.7%	31.9%
Panama	Chitré	2000	96.1%	97.6%	94.1%
Panama	Chitré	2017	96.3%	97.8%	94.4%
Panama	Colón	2000	75.7%	85.9%	60.8%
Panama	Colón	2017	76.8%	86.7%	62.4%
Panama	David	2000	74.4%	82.7%	63.7%
Panama	David	2017	75.5%	83.5%	65.5%
Panama	Dolega	2000	72.4%	85.1%	54.7%
Panama	Dolega	2017	73.4%	85.7%	56.0%
Panama	Donoso	2000	78.4%	91.3%	59.1%
Panama	Donoso	2017	79.4%	91.7%	60.8%
Panama	Gualaca	2000	52.4%	73.2%	28.5%
Panama	Gualaca	2017	52.7%	73.7%	29.8%
Panama	Guararé	2000	99.2%	99.7%	98.1%
Panama	Guararé	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.2%
Panama	Kankintú	2000	23.6%	37.0%	15.1%
Panama	Kankintú	2017	24.6%	38.6%	15.7%
Panama	Kuna Yala	2000	63.8%	83.7%	34.4%
Panama	Kuna Yala	2017	64.2%	83.5%	34.9%
Panama	Kusapín	2000	32.6%	58.3%	14.4%
Panama	Kusapín	2017	33.2%	59.4%	14.4%
Panama	La Chorrera	2000	95.2%	97.3%	92.5%
Panama	La Chorrera	2017	95.4%	97.5%	92.9%
Panama	La Mesa	2000	92.5%	96.7%	85.6%
Panama	La Mesa	2017	92.9%	96.9%	86.3%
Panama	La Pintada	2000	92.2%	96.6%	85.1%
Panama	La Pintada	2017	92.7%	96.8%	85.9%
Panama	Lago Alajuela	2000	83.9%	94.2%	66.2%
Panama	Lago Alajuela	2017	86.2%	95.4%	70.5%
Panama	Lago Bayano	2000	88.8%	96.8%	66.2%
Panama	Lago Bayano	2017	89.5%	97.2%	67.8%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2000	85.5%	95.4%	69.6%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2000	78.0%	86.7%	66.6%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2017	86.4%	95.7%	71.4%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2017	78.9%	87.4%	67.7%
Panama	Las Minas	2000	91.6%	96.5%	82.5%
Panama	Las Minas	2017	92.0%	96.7%	83.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Las Palmas	2000	86.4%	94.0%	70.3%
Panama	Las Palmas	2017	86.6%	94.1%	70.6%
Panama	Las Tablas	2000	99.4%	99.9%	98.3%
Panama	Las Tablas	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Panama	Los Pozos	2000	95.4%	99.1%	88.0%
Panama	Los Pozos	2017	95.8%	99.2%	88.7%
Panama	Los Santos	2000	97.7%	98.8%	95.9%
Panama	Los Santos	2017	97.8%	98.8%	96.1%
Panama	Macaracas	2000	98.1%	99.7%	93.5%
Panama	Macaracas	2017	98.2%	99.7%	93.7%
Panama	Mironó	2000	40.2%	62.1%	23.2%
Panama	Mironó	2017	41.3%	63.1%	24.1%
Panama	Montijo	2000	91.3%	96.0%	83.8%
Panama	Montijo	2017	91.7%	96.2%	84.4%
Panama	Müna	2000	35.7%	44.5%	25.3%
Panama	Müna	2017	36.2%	44.8%	25.8%
Panama	Natá	2000	96.1%	98.1%	93.2%
Panama	Natá	2017	96.3%	98.2%	93.6%
Panama	Nole Duima	2000	42.7%	56.7%	29.3%
Panama	Nole Duima	2017	43.5%	57.3%	30.2%
Panama	Ñürüm	2000	55.2%	68.7%	43.0%
Panama	Ñürüm	2017	54.0%	67.5%	42.2%
Panama	Ocú	2000	90.9%	95.8%	82.4%
Panama	Ocú	2017	91.4%	96.0%	83.3%
Panama	Olá	2000	93.3%	98.3%	83.8%
Panama	Olá	2017	93.8%	98.5%	84.9%
Panama	Panamá	2000	94.7%	96.3%	92.7%
Panama	Panamá	2017	95.2%	96.6%	93.3%
Panama	Parita	2000	93.3%	96.2%	89.0%
Panama	Parita	2017	93.6%	96.5%	89.8%
Panama	Pedasí	2000	98.5%	99.9%	92.8%
Panama	Pedasí	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.0%
Panama	Penonomé	2000	94.0%	96.7%	89.4%
Panama	Penonomé	2017	94.4%	97.0%	89.9%
Panama	Pesé	2000	94.8%	97.9%	89.2%
Panama	Pesé	2017	94.8%	98.0%	89.1%
Panama	Pinogana	2000	48.3%	61.5%	36.5%
Panama	Pinogana	2017	49.4%	63.0%	38.0%
Panama	Pocrí	2000	99.2%	99.9%	96.3%
Panama	Pocrí	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.4%
Panama	Portobelo	2000	67.0%	92.7%	34.3%
Panama	Portobelo	2017	68.1%	93.3%	34.9%
Panama	Remedios	2000	73.1%	85.6%	59.0%
Panama	Remedios	2017	73.7%	85.6%	60.4%
Panama	Renacimiento	2000	81.4%	94.2%	55.1%
Panama	Renacimiento	2017	82.2%	94.5%	56.6%
Panama	Río de Jesús	2000	91.7%	97.0%	80.2%
Panama	Río de Jesús	2017	92.1%	97.2%	81.2%
Panama	Sambú	2000	72.1%	92.3%	37.3%
Panama	Sambú	2017	73.2%	92.7%	39.0%
Panama	San Carlos	2000	95.4%	98.4%	88.9%
Panama	San Carlos	2017	95.7%	98.5%	89.3%
Panama	San Félix	2000	68.4%	87.0%	47.6%
Panama	San Félix	2017	69.6%	87.6%	49.1%
Panama	San Francisco	2000	92.9%	97.5%	85.3%
Panama	San Francisco	2017	93.3%	97.7%	86.1%
Panama	San Lorenzo	2000	64.2%	85.5%	36.9%
Panama	San Lorenzo	2017	64.7%	85.4%	37.6%
Panama	San Miguelito	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.3%
Panama	San Miguelito	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Panama	Santa Fe	2000	86.4%	93.9%	77.3%
Panama	Santa Fe	2017	87.0%	94.2%	78.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Santa Isabel	2000	71.3%	96.6%	30.7%
Panama	Santa Isabel	2017	72.4%	96.8%	31.9%
Panama	Santa María	2000	91.4%	96.7%	82.2%
Panama	Santa María	2017	91.8%	96.9%	83.0%
Panama	Santiago	2000	91.7%	94.6%	88.1%
Panama	Santiago	2017	92.2%	94.9%	88.8%
Panama	Soná	2000	92.2%	96.4%	85.6%
Panama	Soná	2017	92.6%	96.6%	86.3%
Panama	Taboga	2000	92.9%	99.0%	78.6%
Panama	Taboga	2017	93.4%	99.1%	79.6%
Panama	Tolé	2000	71.9%	83.8%	51.6%
Panama	Tolé	2017	72.1%	83.8%	52.2%
Panama	Tonosí	2000	97.7%	99.8%	91.6%
Panama	Tonosí	2017	97.8%	99.8%	92.0%
Paraguay	25 de Diciembre	2000	43.3%	60.5%	26.7%
Paraguay	25 de Diciembre	2017	70.5%	86.0%	50.2%
Paraguay	3 de Febrero	2000	23.4%	56.7%	5.4%
Paraguay	3 de Febrero	2017	45.2%	82.1%	15.4%
Paraguay	Abaí	2000	36.9%	48.5%	27.0%
Paraguay	Abaí	2017	62.6%	73.7%	52.8%
Paraguay	Acahay	2000	42.4%	74.7%	16.3%
Paraguay	Acahay	2017	69.3%	91.6%	38.3%
Paraguay	Alberdi	2000	20.2%	29.3%	13.1%
Paraguay	Alberdi	2017	48.7%	62.0%	34.3%
Paraguay	Alto Verá	2000	27.8%	41.0%	15.9%
Paraguay	Alto Verá	2017	55.5%	68.7%	40.5%
Paraguay	Altos	2000	66.9%	75.4%	55.2%
Paraguay	Altos	2017	88.4%	91.8%	82.3%
Paraguay	Antequera	2000	36.6%	64.1%	14.9%
Paraguay	Antequera	2017	62.8%	87.2%	27.8%
Paraguay	Areguá	2000	77.2%	93.7%	44.9%
Paraguay	Areguá	2017	92.1%	98.4%	74.1%
Paraguay	Arroyos y Esteros	2000	52.5%	73.8%	28.0%
Paraguay	Arroyos y Esteros	2017	78.5%	91.1%	58.5%
Paraguay	Asunción	2000	53.6%	59.7%	44.6%
Paraguay	Asunción	2017	80.1%	82.7%	75.5%
Paraguay	Atyrá	2000	66.2%	73.5%	58.4%
Paraguay	Atyrá	2017	85.9%	91.3%	77.5%
Paraguay	Ayolas	2000	43.2%	56.8%	30.4%
Paraguay	Ayolas	2017	72.4%	82.3%	57.7%
Paraguay	Belén	2000	68.4%	82.3%	52.2%
Paraguay	Belén	2017	88.3%	94.3%	80.6%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2000	28.6%	46.5%	15.1%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2000	35.7%	50.4%	23.3%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2017	57.8%	74.2%	39.1%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2017	63.4%	74.6%	47.7%
Paraguay	Benjamín Aceval	2000	28.6%	41.9%	18.7%
Paraguay	Benjamín Aceval	2017	57.2%	70.7%	43.6%
Paraguay	Borja	2000	27.2%	53.7%	10.0%
Paraguay	Borja	2017	50.7%	76.6%	25.1%
Paraguay	Caacupé	2000	65.1%	76.2%	52.9%
Paraguay	Caacupé	2017	87.2%	91.8%	80.5%
Paraguay	Caaguazú	2000	26.2%	33.1%	19.3%
Paraguay	Caaguazú	2017	52.4%	58.7%	44.9%
Paraguay	Caapucú	2000	36.6%	56.0%	20.7%
Paraguay	Caapucú	2017	63.4%	79.0%	45.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Caazapá	2000	24.9%	36.0%	16.5%
Paraguay	Caazapá	2017	52.5%	64.9%	41.4%
Paraguay	Cambyreta	2000	30.7%	39.2%	22.6%
Paraguay	Cambyreta	2017	61.6%	69.2%	52.6%
Paraguay	Capiatá	2000	61.7%	68.5%	52.6%
Paraguay	Capiatá	2017	85.7%	88.5%	80.8%
Paraguay	Capitán Bado	2000	29.7%	40.9%	19.9%
Paraguay	Capitán Bado	2017	57.1%	67.6%	46.3%
Paraguay	Capitán Mauricio José Troche	2000	23.2%	54.0%	3.9%
Paraguay	Capitán Mauricio José Troche	2017	47.4%	79.7%	13.3%
Paraguay	Capitán Meza	2000	28.7%	39.6%	18.8%
Paraguay	Capitán Meza	2017	56.1%	68.3%	44.5%
Paraguay	Capitán Miranda	2000	14.2%	35.0%	3.9%
Paraguay	Capitán Miranda	2017	36.4%	67.3%	13.7%
Paraguay	Caraguatay	2000	53.2%	65.1%	43.0%
Paraguay	Caraguatay	2017	79.5%	87.5%	70.8%
Paraguay	Carapeguá	2000	39.2%	61.9%	18.5%
Paraguay	Carapeguá	2017	66.9%	85.0%	41.8%
Paraguay	Carayaó	2000	39.4%	58.1%	20.0%
Paraguay	Carayaó	2017	66.7%	82.7%	41.7%
Paraguay	Carlos Antonio López	2000	27.6%	50.8%	12.3%
Paraguay	Carlos Antonio López	2017	53.2%	74.1%	31.9%
Paraguay	Carmen del Paraná	2000	28.5%	52.4%	11.7%
Paraguay	Carmen del Paraná	2017	57.8%	81.3%	28.9%
Paraguay	Cerrito	2000	27.1%	46.4%	12.9%
Paraguay	Cerrito	2017	52.6%	75.9%	32.2%
Paraguay	Choré	2000	42.7%	46.0%	39.6%
Paraguay	Choré	2017	70.4%	72.9%	68.0%
Paraguay	Ciudad del Este	2000	12.3%	13.0%	11.7%
Paraguay	Ciudad del Este	2017	36.8%	37.6%	35.8%
Paraguay	Concepción	2000	49.9%	54.4%	45.3%
Paraguay	Concepción	2017	77.4%	80.4%	73.6%
Paraguay	Coronel Bogado	2000	27.8%	38.5%	18.5%
Paraguay	Coronel Bogado	2017	56.7%	68.0%	44.0%
Paraguay	Coronel Martínez	2000	37.7%	76.3%	7.8%
Paraguay	Coronel Martínez	2017	63.8%	92.8%	23.1%
Paraguay	Coronel Oviedo	2000	38.8%	46.2%	31.1%
Paraguay	Coronel Oviedo	2017	67.1%	72.1%	60.7%
Paraguay	Corpus Christi	2000	29.9%	43.8%	17.8%
Paraguay	Corpus Christi	2017	57.4%	69.5%	43.8%
Paraguay	Desmochados	2000	26.5%	46.9%	11.3%
Paraguay	Desmochados	2017	53.7%	74.0%	31.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Doctor Botrell	2000	39.0%	72.4%	10.7%
Paraguay	Doctor Botrell	2017	64.9%	90.4%	29.5%
Paraguay	Doctor Cecilio Báez	2000	36.7%	48.7%	25.7%
Paraguay	Doctor Cecilio Báez	2017	67.8%	74.1%	59.7%
Paraguay	Doctor J. Eulogio Estigarribia	2000	34.3%	40.3%	28.9%
Paraguay	Doctor J. Eulogio Estigarribia	2017	65.8%	70.3%	61.0%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan León Mallorquín	2000	14.4%	19.4%	10.2%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan León Mallorquín	2017	39.0%	46.9%	31.3%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan Manuel Frutos	2000	20.9%	31.7%	13.3%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan Manuel Frutos	2017	46.7%	61.3%	35.1%
Paraguay	Doctor Moisés S. Bertoni	2000	19.9%	39.8%	7.9%
Paraguay	Doctor Moisés S. Bertoni	2017	42.1%	67.4%	22.9%
Paraguay	Doctor Pedro P. Peña	2000	65.6%	71.6%	58.5%
Paraguay	Doctor Pedro P. Peña	2017	85.2%	88.6%	80.7%
Paraguay	Domingo Martínez de Irala	2000	23.0%	43.5%	7.9%
Paraguay	Domingo Martínez de Irala	2017	49.1%	70.8%	23.9%
Paraguay	Edelira	2000	23.5%	39.4%	12.1%
Paraguay	Edelira	2017	49.5%	67.6%	32.0%
Paraguay	Emboscada	2000	52.1%	84.2%	24.3%
Paraguay	Emboscada	2017	75.5%	95.0%	48.0%
Paraguay	Emboscada (Caazapa)	2000	21.0%	50.7%	5.7%
Paraguay	Emboscada (Caazapa)	2017	45.8%	77.7%	19.1%
Paraguay	Encarnación	2000	26.6%	35.8%	18.5%
Paraguay	Encarnación	2017	56.0%	66.3%	45.9%
Paraguay	Escobar	2000	40.4%	58.2%	23.9%
Paraguay	Escobar	2017	69.8%	82.2%	51.2%
Paraguay	Eusebio Ayala	2000	73.3%	84.2%	58.8%
Paraguay	Eusebio Ayala	2017	90.9%	95.3%	83.2%
Paraguay	Félix Perez Cardozo	2000	43.3%	81.5%	10.1%
Paraguay	Félix Perez Cardozo	2017	69.6%	94.6%	27.9%
Paraguay	Fernando de la Mora	2000	44.1%	46.7%	41.2%
Paraguay	Fernando de la Mora	2017	75.5%	77.7%	72.8%
Paraguay	Fram	2000	24.1%	52.1%	5.7%
Paraguay	Fram	2017	50.4%	80.1%	15.2%
Paraguay	Fuerte Olimpo	2000	46.8%	55.0%	38.8%
Paraguay	Fuerte Olimpo	2017	71.8%	78.6%	64.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Fulgencio Yegros	2000	23.0%	44.7%	10.8%
Paraguay	Fulgencio Yegros	2017	48.0%	73.4%	27.1%
Paraguay	General Artigas	2000	23.2%	41.2%	10.8%
Paraguay	General Artigas	2017	48.6%	70.3%	27.1%
Paraguay	General Bernardino Caballero	2000	41.2%	54.7%	27.6%
Paraguay	General Bernardino Caballero	2017	71.3%	82.5%	55.3%
Paraguay	General Delgado	2000	28.3%	48.4%	14.0%
Paraguay	General Delgado	2017	56.6%	73.4%	37.9%
Paraguay	General Elizardo Aquino	2000	43.5%	54.5%	34.0%
Paraguay	General Elizardo Aquino	2017	72.9%	81.3%	62.2%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2000	65.6%	73.3%	58.1%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2000	42.9%	74.6%	16.5%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2017	84.9%	89.7%	79.7%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2017	68.8%	91.2%	37.4%
Paraguay	General Francisco C. Alvarez	2000	28.2%	36.3%	21.5%
Paraguay	General Francisco C. Alvarez	2017	57.1%	63.9%	49.6%
Paraguay	General Higinio Morínigo	2000	32.8%	63.8%	9.5%
Paraguay	General Higinio Morínigo	2017	60.5%	85.3%	26.0%
Paraguay	General Isidoro Resquín	2000	48.2%	60.2%	38.3%
Paraguay	General Isidoro Resquín	2017	69.7%	79.5%	60.2%
Paraguay	General José Eduvigis Díaz	2000	29.4%	46.6%	14.7%
Paraguay	General José Eduvigis Díaz	2017	57.4%	71.0%	39.8%
Paraguay	Guarambaré	2000	45.5%	63.1%	26.9%
Paraguay	Guarambaré	2017	73.0%	86.5%	53.9%
Paraguay	Guazú Cuá	2000	34.1%	53.4%	14.6%
Paraguay	Guazú Cuá	2017	60.9%	79.0%	36.0%
Paraguay	Hernandarias	2000	13.9%	18.3%	10.6%
Paraguay	Hernandarias	2017	37.7%	44.8%	31.1%
Paraguay	Hohenau	2000	24.9%	37.9%	15.6%
Paraguay	Hohenau	2017	54.4%	68.5%	40.7%
Paraguay	Horqueta	2000	37.5%	44.9%	30.6%
Paraguay	Horqueta	2017	68.0%	73.8%	61.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Humaitá	2000	33.2%	61.7%	13.5%
Paraguay	Humaitá	2017	60.1%	84.4%	32.5%
Paraguay	Independencia	2000	38.6%	53.4%	23.7%
Paraguay	Independencia	2017	63.5%	77.6%	47.9%
Paraguay	Isla Pucú	2000	68.4%	80.1%	51.4%
Paraguay	Isla Pucú	2017	89.1%	93.9%	79.9%
Paraguay	Isla Umbú	2000	45.0%	64.6%	26.6%
Paraguay	Isla Umbú	2017	73.6%	86.8%	56.4%
Paraguay	Itá	2000	23.2%	29.1%	17.9%
Paraguay	Itá	2017	46.2%	53.8%	37.8%
Paraguay	Itacurubí de la Cordillera	2000	45.7%	83.0%	15.2%
Paraguay	Itacurubí de la Cordillera	2017	69.6%	95.3%	31.1%
Paraguay	Itacurubí del Rosario	2000	30.9%	42.4%	21.6%
Paraguay	Itacurubí del Rosario	2017	57.0%	69.5%	46.0%
Paraguay	Itakyry	2000	19.3%	28.6%	12.0%
Paraguay	Itakyry	2017	43.6%	54.7%	34.2%
Paraguay	Itanara	2000	25.3%	47.7%	8.1%
Paraguay	Itanara	2017	49.9%	72.9%	27.5%
Paraguay	Itapé	2000	28.4%	58.0%	9.3%
Paraguay	Itapé	2017	54.0%	82.7%	21.3%
Paraguay	Itauguá	2000	93.8%	96.6%	89.5%
Paraguay	Itauguá	2017	98.1%	99.0%	96.8%
Paraguay	Iturbe	2000	33.6%	63.7%	10.8%
Paraguay	Iturbe	2017	60.4%	86.8%	27.8%
Paraguay	Jesús	2000	20.6%	31.2%	12.0%
Paraguay	Jesús	2017	49.2%	63.6%	34.6%
Paraguay	Jose A. Fassardi	2000	41.1%	62.8%	17.4%
Paraguay	Jose A. Fassardi	2017	65.6%	84.2%	41.7%
Paraguay	José Domingo Ocampos	2000	17.2%	46.0%	4.3%
Paraguay	José Domingo Ocampos	2017	38.4%	71.6%	13.8%
Paraguay	José Leandro Oviedo	2000	34.1%	59.1%	17.2%
Paraguay	José Leandro Oviedo	2017	63.2%	84.5%	40.9%
Paraguay	Juan Augusto Saldívar	2000	59.7%	63.7%	55.5%
Paraguay	Juan Augusto Saldívar	2017	83.1%	85.7%	80.4%
Paraguay	Juan de Mena	2000	37.8%	63.0%	18.1%
Paraguay	Juan de Mena	2017	63.3%	84.6%	37.9%
Paraguay	Juan Emilio O'Leary	2000	17.1%	44.6%	5.5%
Paraguay	Juan Emilio O'Leary	2017	40.2%	72.8%	16.6%
Paraguay	La Colmena	2000	36.7%	45.1%	29.0%
Paraguay	La Colmena	2017	68.5%	75.7%	60.8%
Paraguay	La Pastora	2000	33.4%	65.1%	9.2%
Paraguay	La Pastora	2017	59.9%	87.8%	24.5%
Paraguay	La Paz	2000	18.2%	45.3%	3.3%
Paraguay	La Paz	2017	40.6%	74.9%	11.2%
Paraguay	La Victoria	2000	49.2%	57.6%	41.5%
Paraguay	La Victoria	2017	74.2%	80.2%	68.6%
Paraguay	Lambaré	2000	68.7%	85.1%	45.0%
Paraguay	Lambaré	2017	88.8%	95.7%	75.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Laureles	2000	30.5%	49.1%	17.2%
Paraguay	Laureles	2017	58.4%	75.0%	41.3%
Paraguay	Lima	2000	61.3%	70.3%	50.1%
Paraguay	Lima	2017	85.2%	90.0%	76.6%
Paraguay	Limpio	2000	38.4%	61.6%	18.6%
Paraguay	Limpio	2017	67.5%	85.8%	42.5%
Paraguay	Loma Grande	2000	60.3%	65.9%	53.2%
Paraguay	Loma Grande	2017	84.9%	88.1%	80.6%
Paraguay	Loreto	2000	42.2%	59.3%	27.0%
Paraguay	Loreto	2017	70.6%	83.7%	54.4%
Paraguay	Los Cedrales	2000	25.7%	49.3%	8.1%
Paraguay	Los Cedrales	2017	50.5%	72.0%	24.3%
Paraguay	Luque	2000	54.6%	60.1%	47.8%
Paraguay	Luque	2017	81.9%	84.9%	77.9%
Paraguay	Maciel	2000	25.7%	53.4%	7.4%
Paraguay	Maciel	2017	49.7%	76.6%	19.1%
Paraguay	Mariano	2000	36.7%	48.5%	25.6%
Paraguay	Roque Alonso Mariano	2017	68.0%	79.0%	52.3%
Paraguay	Roque Alonso Mariscal Francisco Solano López	2000	28.2%	44.6%	14.5%
Paraguay	Mariscal Francisco Solano López	2017	53.7%	71.7%	33.9%
Paraguay	Mariscal José Félix Estigarribia	2000	70.1%	83.2%	53.1%
Paraguay	Mariscal José Félix Estigarribia	2017	87.8%	94.1%	75.4%
Paraguay	Mayor José J. Martínez	2000	33.6%	65.3%	10.3%
Paraguay	Mayor José J. Martínez	2017	61.1%	82.8%	28.8%
Paraguay	Mayor Julio D. Otaño	2000	22.1%	37.0%	11.1%
Paraguay	Mayor Julio D. Otaño	2017	46.1%	65.0%	25.0%
Paraguay	Mayor Pablo Lagerenza	2000	53.6%	63.1%	43.9%
Paraguay	Mayor Pablo Lagerenza	2017	75.4%	81.3%	68.7%
Paraguay	Mbaracayú	2000	15.9%	23.7%	10.4%
Paraguay	Mbaracayú	2017	39.3%	50.7%	29.4%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Guairá	2000	40.5%	73.2%	10.2%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Guairá	2017	66.4%	90.4%	29.2%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Yhaguy	2000	46.5%	74.9%	22.9%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Yhaguy	2017	72.3%	91.9%	47.9%
Paraguay	Mbuyapey	2000	36.6%	60.9%	17.0%
Paraguay	Mbuyapey	2017	63.0%	83.2%	39.6%
Paraguay	Minga Guazú	2000	16.9%	19.3%	14.8%
Paraguay	Minga Guazú	2017	44.8%	48.5%	41.0%
Paraguay	Minga Porá	2000	21.4%	38.6%	10.2%
Paraguay	Minga Porá	2017	47.9%	68.2%	28.1%
Paraguay	Ñacunday	2000	22.9%	35.7%	12.8%
Paraguay	Ñacunday	2017	48.6%	65.4%	33.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Naranjal	2000	17.4%	31.5%	8.4%
Paraguay	Naranjal	2017	42.1%	62.0%	23.2%
Paraguay	Natalicio Talavera	2000	19.6%	54.5%	3.0%
Paraguay	Natalicio Talavera	2017	43.9%	82.7%	11.2%
Paraguay	Natalio	2000	33.8%	58.4%	15.7%
Paraguay	Natalio	2017	60.2%	80.3%	38.7%
Paraguay	Ñemby	2000	60.5%	66.5%	52.7%
Paraguay	Ñemby	2017	84.5%	87.8%	79.9%
Paraguay	Nueva Alborada	2000	12.5%	32.0%	3.1%
Paraguay	Nueva Alborada	2017	29.2%	57.1%	10.0%
Paraguay	Nueva Colombia	2000	76.7%	87.8%	63.4%
Paraguay	Nueva Colombia	2017	91.7%	96.3%	85.9%
Paraguay	Nueva Germania	2000	43.4%	54.0%	31.4%
Paraguay	Nueva Germania	2017	72.4%	82.4%	55.7%
Paraguay	Nueva Italia	2000	37.7%	77.1%	11.3%
Paraguay	Nueva Italia	2017	64.3%	92.1%	27.4%
Paraguay	Nueva Londres	2000	32.1%	51.8%	17.9%
Paraguay	Nueva Londres	2017	57.6%	77.3%	39.2%
Paraguay	Ñumí	2000	31.1%	63.4%	6.8%
Paraguay	Ñumí	2017	58.4%	86.6%	21.4%
Paraguay	Obligado	2000	25.3%	33.3%	19.6%
Paraguay	Obligado	2017	55.3%	63.6%	47.8%
Paraguay	Paraguarí	2000	34.3%	45.0%	24.9%
Paraguay	Paraguarí	2017	64.9%	74.1%	54.4%
Paraguay	Paso de Patria	2000	38.4%	74.7%	7.7%
Paraguay	Paso de Patria	2017	65.1%	91.4%	21.1%
Paraguay	Pedro Juan Caballero	2000	37.2%	43.7%	32.0%
Paraguay	Pedro Juan Caballero	2017	67.6%	73.2%	61.4%
Paraguay	Pilar	2000	30.2%	40.5%	20.7%
Paraguay	Pilar	2017	60.8%	69.4%	50.5%
Paraguay	Pirapó	2000	26.2%	53.7%	9.3%
Paraguay	Pirapó	2017	51.4%	79.7%	25.3%
Paraguay	Pirayú	2000	59.1%	79.3%	41.8%
Paraguay	Pirayú	2017	84.1%	93.4%	71.9%
Paraguay	Piribebuy	2000	36.0%	49.0%	25.5%
Paraguay	Piribebuy	2017	62.6%	75.9%	47.4%
Paraguay	Pozo Colorado	2000	49.6%	55.8%	42.9%
Paraguay	Pozo Colorado	2017	75.0%	79.4%	70.1%
Paraguay	Presidente Franco	2000	16.5%	18.2%	14.5%
Paraguay	Presidente Franco	2017	42.5%	44.7%	39.7%
Paraguay	Primero de Marzo	2000	66.6%	79.1%	48.9%
Paraguay	Primero de Marzo	2017	88.1%	93.3%	78.3%
Paraguay	Puerto Pinasco	2000	36.3%	45.1%	29.0%
Paraguay	Puerto Pinasco	2017	64.8%	72.2%	57.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Quiindy	2000	35.6%	61.7%	15.5%
Paraguay	Quiindy	2017	64.8%	86.2%	35.7%
Paraguay	Quyquyhó	2000	45.0%	52.1%	38.0%
Paraguay	Quyquyhó	2017	74.4%	79.1%	68.8%
Paraguay	R. I. 3 Corrales	2000	37.4%	52.3%	24.8%
Paraguay	R. I. 3 Corrales	2017	57.6%	74.0%	39.9%
Paraguay	Raúl Arsenio Oviedo	2000	25.9%	38.3%	16.4%
Paraguay	Raúl Arsenio Oviedo	2017	50.8%	63.8%	37.6%
Paraguay	Repatriación	2000	13.2%	24.7%	4.8%
Paraguay	Repatriación	2017	28.0%	42.7%	12.8%
Paraguay	Salto del Guairá	2000	24.0%	31.6%	16.3%
Paraguay	Salto del Guairá	2017	48.1%	59.5%	36.2%
Paraguay	San Alberto	2000	18.2%	30.2%	11.1%
Paraguay	San Alberto	2017	43.8%	59.1%	30.7%
Paraguay	San Antonio	2000	77.4%	91.3%	55.4%
Paraguay	San Antonio	2017	91.9%	97.4%	80.7%
Paraguay	San Bernardino	2000	81.3%	94.9%	57.3%
Paraguay	San Bernardino	2017	94.0%	98.7%	82.6%
Paraguay	San Carlos	2000	37.8%	55.6%	22.1%
Paraguay	San Carlos	2017	64.7%	80.2%	48.4%
Paraguay	San Cosme y Damián	2000	29.9%	49.0%	12.4%
Paraguay	San Cosme y Damián	2017	55.2%	78.2%	28.3%
Paraguay	San Cristóbal	2000	26.3%	42.2%	13.9%
Paraguay	San Cristóbal	2017	55.6%	72.6%	35.5%
Paraguay	San Estanislao	2000	44.1%	49.9%	39.1%
Paraguay	San Estanislao	2017	71.0%	75.3%	66.8%
Paraguay	San Ignacio	2000	48.5%	55.2%	41.2%
Paraguay	San Ignacio	2017	76.2%	80.1%	71.4%
Paraguay	San Joaquín	2000	23.4%	34.7%	13.9%
Paraguay	San Joaquín	2017	50.8%	63.9%	37.1%
Paraguay	San José de los Arroyos	2000	36.0%	52.8%	22.6%
Paraguay	San José de los Arroyos	2017	65.6%	79.0%	51.3%
Paraguay	San José Obrero	2000	61.7%	82.1%	32.5%
Paraguay	San José Obrero	2017	84.1%	94.5%	62.2%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista de las Misiones	2000	46.6%	58.6%	37.7%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista de las Misiones	2017	72.0%	83.0%	58.5%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista del Ñeembucu	2000	25.4%	41.0%	12.7%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista del Ñeembucu	2017	49.3%	66.4%	31.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	San Juan del Paraná	2000	12.7%	35.0%	3.8%
Paraguay	San Juan del Paraná	2017	32.1%	64.8%	12.0%
Paraguay	San Juan Nepomuceno	2000	26.4%	40.1%	15.8%
Paraguay	San Juan Nepomuceno	2017	55.1%	67.9%	40.1%
Paraguay	San Lázaro	2000	47.9%	60.5%	36.3%
Paraguay	San Lázaro	2017	76.1%	84.9%	66.6%
Paraguay	San Lorenzo	2000	46.6%	48.7%	44.1%
Paraguay	San Lorenzo	2017	76.0%	77.6%	74.3%
Paraguay	San Miguel	2000	44.0%	57.2%	32.0%
Paraguay	San Miguel	2017	72.3%	82.3%	59.2%
Paraguay	San Pablo	2000	43.0%	59.5%	26.0%
Paraguay	San Pablo	2017	70.1%	83.1%	52.8%
Paraguay	San Patricio	2000	54.7%	63.0%	46.5%
Paraguay	San Patricio	2017	81.9%	86.8%	76.3%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Paraná	2000	24.6%	34.3%	17.5%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Paraná	2017	51.7%	60.5%	42.2%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Ycuamandyú	2000	40.8%	49.2%	32.7%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Ycuamandyú	2017	69.5%	75.8%	61.3%
Paraguay	San Rafael del Paraná	2000	23.9%	39.6%	12.9%
Paraguay	San Rafael del Paraná	2017	48.6%	66.9%	32.5%
Paraguay	San Roque González de Santa Cruz	2000	24.0%	33.7%	17.3%
Paraguay	San Roque González de Santa Cruz	2017	51.4%	66.4%	40.1%
Paraguay	San Salvador	2000	18.1%	37.1%	4.5%
Paraguay	San Salvador	2017	39.5%	66.2%	14.1%
Paraguay	Santa Elena	2000	51.3%	79.0%	23.4%
Paraguay	Santa Elena	2017	77.3%	93.8%	48.8%
Paraguay	Santa María	2000	53.1%	76.6%	29.6%
Paraguay	Santa María	2017	78.6%	93.0%	56.6%
Paraguay	Santa Rita	2000	18.4%	30.1%	8.6%
Paraguay	Santa Rita	2017	44.2%	60.3%	26.2%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa	2000	47.3%	54.0%	39.5%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa	2017	75.4%	80.2%	69.6%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Mbutuy	2000	40.4%	62.7%	23.9%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Mbutuy	2017	68.3%	84.3%	50.1%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Monday	2000	21.9%	38.1%	11.3%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Monday	2017	46.4%	62.7%	29.6%
Paraguay	Santiago	2000	42.6%	57.8%	30.0%
Paraguay	Santiago	2017	68.6%	82.8%	53.8%
Paraguay	Sapucaí	2000	40.9%	52.1%	31.6%
Paraguay	Sapucaí	2017	71.2%	80.7%	60.1%
Paraguay	Simón Bolívar	2000	36.5%	50.8%	23.7%
Paraguay	Simón Bolívar	2017	66.5%	77.4%	51.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Tabaí	2000	23.6%	40.6%	11.1%
Paraguay	Tabaí	2017	50.0%	67.4%	32.1%
Paraguay	Tacuaras	2000	34.0%	52.3%	17.4%
Paraguay	Tacuaras	2017	60.1%	77.6%	38.9%
Paraguay	Tacuatí	2000	38.7%	47.6%	31.0%
Paraguay	Tacuatí	2017	64.6%	74.1%	54.3%
Paraguay	Tebicuarymí	2000	32.4%	66.6%	9.0%
Paraguay	Tebicuarymí	2017	58.9%	87.5%	26.1%
Paraguay	Tobatí	2000	50.9%	78.4%	23.9%
Paraguay	Tobatí	2017	76.3%	93.5%	50.6%
Paraguay	Tomás Romero Pereira	2000	22.5%	38.4%	10.2%
Paraguay	Tomás Romero Pereira	2017	50.2%	70.7%	26.6%
Paraguay	Trinidad	2000	22.3%	43.4%	8.6%
Paraguay	Trinidad	2017	47.8%	71.1%	23.7%
Paraguay	Unión	2000	38.3%	55.9%	21.0%
Paraguay	Unión	2017	67.3%	80.1%	48.7%
Paraguay	Valenzuela	2000	35.6%	71.7%	9.8%
Paraguay	Valenzuela	2017	62.3%	90.6%	26.4%
Paraguay	Villa del Rosario	2000	44.9%	58.7%	31.3%
Paraguay	Villa del Rosario	2017	72.1%	82.9%	58.6%
Paraguay	Villa Elisa	2000	63.2%	81.3%	36.3%
Paraguay	Villa Elisa	2017	84.8%	93.3%	68.8%
Paraguay	Villa Florida	2000	43.0%	82.2%	11.7%
Paraguay	Villa Florida	2017	68.6%	95.2%	29.7%
Paraguay	Villa Franca	2000	29.2%	52.4%	12.3%
Paraguay	Villa Franca	2017	58.6%	78.7%	34.3%
Paraguay	Villa Hayes	2000	45.1%	53.5%	35.4%
Paraguay	Villa Hayes	2017	72.0%	78.7%	63.5%
Paraguay	Villa Oliva	2000	32.1%	48.2%	17.2%
Paraguay	Villa Oliva	2017	58.6%	76.8%	38.6%
Paraguay	Villa San Isidro Curguaty	2000	30.4%	36.5%	24.0%
Paraguay	Villa San Isidro Curguaty	2017	55.3%	61.5%	48.4%
Paraguay	Villa Ygatimí	2000	24.0%	34.1%	15.2%
Paraguay	Villa Ygatimí	2017	51.4%	62.0%	37.9%
Paraguay	Villalbín	2000	32.8%	56.3%	13.7%
Paraguay	Villalbín	2017	60.9%	79.9%	38.6%
Paraguay	Villarrica	2000	44.5%	75.2%	18.0%
Paraguay	Villarrica	2017	71.9%	91.8%	34.6%
Paraguay	Villeta	2000	66.9%	83.9%	48.1%
Paraguay	Villeta	2017	83.2%	93.8%	69.5%
Paraguay	Water body	2000	86.5%	97.7%	66.5%
Paraguay	Water body	2017	96.0%	99.4%	88.5%
Paraguay	Yabebyry	2000	41.3%	61.4%	24.2%
Paraguay	Yabebyry	2017	67.5%	83.0%	49.4%
Paraguay	Yaguarón	2000	36.0%	56.3%	18.3%
Paraguay	Yaguarón	2017	64.2%	79.4%	42.1%
Paraguay	Yataity del Guairá	2000	48.7%	86.4%	11.5%
Paraguay	Yataity del Guairá	2017	73.1%	96.1%	26.5%
Paraguay	Yataity del Norte	2000	43.5%	57.2%	29.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Yataity del Norte	2017	72.9%	83.2%	60.7%
Paraguay	Yatytay	2000	31.6%	54.5%	15.3%
Paraguay	Yatytay	2017	59.4%	75.8%	40.7%
Paraguay	Yby Yaù	2000	36.9%	47.4%	27.4%
Paraguay	Yby Yaù	2017	67.4%	76.0%	58.3%
Paraguay	Ybycui	2000	45.8%	64.1%	27.0%
Paraguay	Ybycui	2017	73.6%	87.7%	49.5%
Paraguay	Ybytimí	2000	35.8%	60.6%	17.4%
Paraguay	Ybytimí	2017	63.0%	84.5%	37.9%
Paraguay	Yguazú	2000	21.4%	38.5%	9.2%
Paraguay	Yguazú	2017	47.2%	66.4%	26.6%
Paraguay	Yhú	2000	28.0%	36.2%	21.1%
Paraguay	Yhú	2017	54.6%	63.2%	46.5%
Paraguay	Ypacaraí	2000	88.5%	96.8%	71.3%
Paraguay	Ypacaraí	2017	96.7%	99.2%	90.6%
Paraguay	Ypané	2000	94.5%	97.7%	88.6%
Paraguay	Ypané	2017	98.2%	99.4%	95.2%
Paraguay	Ypejhú	2000	23.4%	35.9%	13.5%
Paraguay	Ypejhú	2017	49.7%	67.1%	33.6%
Paraguay	Yuty	2000	22.6%	34.9%	13.3%
Paraguay	Yuty	2017	48.9%	63.9%	34.9%
Peru	Abancay	2000	75.6%	83.2%	64.9%
Peru	Abancay	2017	87.3%	91.5%	80.7%
Peru	Acobamba	2000	61.9%	67.1%	56.9%
Peru	Acobamba	2017	77.7%	80.7%	74.4%
Peru	Acomayo	2000	64.4%	83.3%	43.4%
Peru	Acomayo	2017	78.2%	91.7%	59.2%
Peru	Aija	2000	71.6%	89.4%	46.0%
Peru	Aija	2017	80.8%	95.9%	55.1%
Peru	Alto Amazonas	2000	32.8%	38.6%	27.0%
Peru	Alto Amazonas	2017	44.8%	50.4%	39.5%
Peru	Ambo	2000	53.0%	61.1%	45.6%
Peru	Ambo	2017	70.0%	77.1%	63.2%
Peru	Andahuaylas	2000	78.9%	83.1%	74.2%
Peru	Andahuaylas	2017	90.3%	93.1%	86.8%
Peru	Angaraes	2000	77.7%	82.9%	71.8%
Peru	Angaraes	2017	87.5%	92.8%	77.1%
Peru	Anta	2000	72.6%	81.4%	62.0%
Peru	Anta	2017	83.2%	89.7%	75.8%
Peru	Antabamba	2000	54.0%	75.8%	38.1%
Peru	Antabamba	2017	62.9%	81.3%	46.5%
Peru	Antonio Raymondi	2000	73.8%	87.8%	55.1%
Peru	Antonio Raymondi	2017	85.0%	94.7%	67.8%
Peru	Arequipa	2000	90.0%	90.8%	89.2%
Peru	Arequipa	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.0%
Peru	Ascope	2000	67.2%	76.6%	54.0%
Peru	Ascope	2017	79.3%	87.1%	66.5%
Peru	Asunción	2000	70.4%	86.4%	48.0%
Peru	Asunción	2017	82.5%	94.9%	62.3%
Peru	Atalaya	2000	42.3%	50.0%	33.0%
Peru	Atalaya	2017	52.0%	59.2%	43.1%
Peru	Ayabaca	2000	57.5%	69.0%	45.5%
Peru	Ayabaca	2017	67.3%	78.2%	55.5%
Peru	Aymaraes	2000	61.2%	74.5%	49.9%
Peru	Aymaraes	2017	73.1%	85.0%	60.4%
Peru	Azángaro	2000	44.9%	53.8%	37.2%
Peru	Azángaro	2017	56.5%	65.0%	48.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Bagua	2000	58.6%	63.8%	53.3%
Peru	Bagua	2017	70.4%	76.5%	64.1%
Peru	Barranca	2000	76.0%	81.1%	68.9%
Peru	Barranca	2017	87.4%	89.9%	84.0%
Peru	Bellavista	2000	32.9%	43.8%	24.5%
Peru	Bellavista	2017	43.0%	56.7%	30.8%
Peru	Bolívar	2000	60.1%	90.7%	24.8%
Peru	Bolívar	2017	66.7%	94.6%	31.6%
Peru	Bolognesi	2000	57.6%	73.8%	40.2%
Peru	Bolognesi	2017	67.1%	82.1%	50.4%
Peru	Bongará	2000	66.3%	76.2%	56.0%
Peru	Bongará	2017	77.9%	85.6%	69.8%
Peru	Cajabamba	2000	46.1%	63.6%	30.1%
Peru	Cajabamba	2017	56.9%	71.8%	41.4%
Peru	Cajamarca	2000	75.4%	83.4%	65.5%
Peru	Cajamarca	2017	87.3%	92.8%	78.8%
Peru	Cajatambo	2000	49.9%	81.2%	17.9%
Peru	Cajatambo	2017	56.6%	86.3%	23.9%
Peru	Calca	2000	57.3%	67.5%	47.1%
Peru	Calca	2017	68.1%	77.8%	57.4%
Peru	Callao	2000	81.5%	86.7%	69.2%
Peru	Callao	2017	92.9%	94.8%	85.9%
Peru	Camaná	2000	79.5%	90.8%	61.8%
Peru	Camaná	2017	85.0%	94.1%	73.1%
Peru	Canas	2000	63.6%	76.5%	49.7%
Peru	Canas	2017	76.1%	86.5%	63.1%
Peru	Canchis	2000	72.7%	81.1%	64.6%
Peru	Canchis	2017	84.4%	90.4%	77.9%
Peru	Candarave	2000	72.7%	87.6%	52.2%
Peru	Candarave	2017	81.9%	93.3%	66.1%
Peru	Cañete	2000	76.2%	81.6%	70.7%
Peru	Cañete	2017	85.4%	90.8%	79.2%
Peru	Cangallo	2000	71.1%	82.5%	57.0%
Peru	Cangallo	2017	84.3%	92.1%	74.6%
Peru	Canta	2000	70.1%	81.5%	54.0%
Peru	Canta	2017	84.0%	92.1%	71.1%
Peru	Carabaya	2000	53.0%	68.9%	38.7%
Peru	Carabaya	2017	61.9%	76.6%	48.3%
Peru	Caravelí	2000	51.2%	63.9%	39.3%
Peru	Caravelí	2017	60.6%	75.9%	46.7%
Peru	Carhuaz	2000	79.9%	88.3%	68.4%
Peru	Carhuaz	2017	90.3%	95.0%	83.2%
Peru	Carlos Fermin Fitzcarrald	2000	61.7%	74.0%	47.2%
Peru	Carlos Fermin Fitzcarrald	2017	75.0%	84.7%	61.0%
Peru	Casma	2000	77.1%	86.9%	65.6%
Peru	Casma	2017	86.8%	94.6%	76.8%
Peru	Castilla	2000	65.8%	80.4%	50.6%
Peru	Castilla	2017	81.3%	90.1%	68.5%
Peru	Castrovirreyna	2000	55.7%	69.7%	42.7%
Peru	Castrovirreyna	2017	66.4%	79.6%	54.1%
Peru	Caylloma	2000	60.1%	72.3%	47.4%
Peru	Caylloma	2017	72.7%	82.3%	61.9%
Peru	Celendín	2000	65.1%	77.9%	51.8%
Peru	Celendín	2017	77.8%	88.0%	66.2%
Peru	Chachapoyas	2000	65.9%	74.2%	56.5%
Peru	Chachapoyas	2017	79.3%	85.7%	72.0%
Peru	Chanchamayo	2000	67.0%	75.9%	58.7%
Peru	Chanchamayo	2017	78.6%	87.1%	69.6%
Peru	Chepén	2000	71.4%	80.3%	60.5%
Peru	Chepén	2017	83.9%	90.7%	74.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Chiclayo	2000	81.3%	82.6%	80.0%
Peru	Chiclayo	2017	92.5%	93.2%	91.5%
Peru	Chincha	2000	78.3%	81.2%	75.9%
Peru	Chincha	2017	90.6%	92.6%	88.4%
Peru	Chincheros	2000	73.9%	80.5%	67.5%
Peru	Chincheros	2017	85.6%	90.7%	80.4%
Peru	Chota	2000	53.6%	60.9%	45.7%
Peru	Chota	2017	69.2%	75.9%	62.5%
Peru	Chucuító	2000	54.7%	66.2%	45.3%
Peru	Chucuító	2017	58.8%	73.4%	45.7%
Peru	Chumbivilcas	2000	65.9%	75.3%	52.3%
Peru	Chumbivilcas	2017	77.7%	85.2%	66.2%
Peru	Chupaca	2000	77.8%	82.0%	73.1%
Peru	Chupaca	2017	90.4%	92.8%	87.6%
Peru	Churcampa	2000	65.0%	69.9%	59.2%
Peru	Churcampa	2017	82.1%	85.3%	78.0%
Peru	Concepción	2000	71.5%	83.6%	60.8%
Peru	Concepción	2017	81.9%	91.5%	71.8%
Peru	Condesuyos	2000	61.9%	79.9%	40.2%
Peru	Condesuyos	2017	70.0%	87.5%	45.8%
Peru	Condorcanqui	2000	45.4%	56.2%	33.7%
Peru	Condorcanqui	2017	54.0%	63.7%	42.3%
Peru	Contralmirante Villar	2000	57.7%	73.9%	42.3%
Peru	Contralmirante Villar	2017	70.1%	85.3%	52.2%
Peru	Contumazá	2000	57.5%	75.6%	40.2%
Peru	Contumazá	2017	66.8%	83.7%	48.8%
Peru	Coronel Portillo	2000	43.0%	46.8%	39.1%
Peru	Coronel Portillo	2017	68.1%	71.4%	63.8%
Peru	Corongo	2000	64.9%	82.3%	45.6%
Peru	Corongo	2017	75.4%	92.1%	56.9%
Peru	Cotabambas	2000	64.2%	73.1%	54.0%
Peru	Cotabambas	2017	78.4%	87.3%	64.8%
Peru	Cusco	2000	83.9%	89.0%	78.7%
Peru	Cusco	2017	92.9%	96.6%	85.6%
Peru	Cutervo	2000	65.9%	74.7%	55.8%
Peru	Cutervo	2017	77.7%	84.6%	68.9%
Peru	Daniel Alcides Carrión	2000	59.5%	67.0%	51.6%
Peru	Daniel Alcides Carrión	2017	71.2%	78.9%	63.5%
Peru	Dos de Mayo	2000	46.7%	56.6%	38.5%
Peru	Dos de Mayo	2017	59.9%	70.1%	48.8%
Peru	El Collao	2000	51.3%	57.8%	45.2%
Peru	El Collao	2017	69.0%	74.8%	63.5%
Peru	El Dorado	2000	62.5%	71.9%	52.5%
Peru	El Dorado	2017	77.2%	86.5%	66.2%
Peru	Espinar	2000	47.1%	60.5%	36.2%
Peru	Espinar	2017	60.8%	74.8%	49.3%
Peru	Ferreñafe	2000	63.9%	73.6%	55.3%
Peru	Ferreñafe	2017	75.7%	84.3%	66.8%
Peru	General Sánchez Cerro	2000	71.8%	79.4%	63.5%
Peru	General Sánchez Cerro	2017	81.7%	87.9%	74.9%
Peru	Gran Chimú	2000	61.5%	81.5%	37.3%
Peru	Gran Chimú	2017	73.2%	88.7%	50.0%
Peru	Grau	2000	64.7%	74.7%	51.4%
Peru	Grau	2017	74.8%	83.9%	62.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Huacaybamba	2000	52.2%	76.0%	24.0%
Peru	Huacaybamba	2017	61.4%	83.5%	34.8%
Peru	Hualgayoc	2000	62.6%	75.3%	48.7%
Peru	Hualgayoc	2017	77.2%	90.0%	61.5%
Peru	Huallaga	2000	42.2%	55.5%	28.1%
Peru	Huallaga	2017	59.0%	72.2%	43.2%
Peru	Huamalíes	2000	47.0%	54.6%	40.2%
Peru	Huamalíes	2017	60.3%	69.0%	50.8%
Peru	Huamanga	2000	77.3%	79.2%	75.5%
Peru	Huamanga	2017	89.7%	91.1%	88.3%
Peru	Huanca Sancos	2000	65.5%	79.5%	51.2%
Peru	Huanca Sancos	2017	74.7%	88.4%	60.7%
Peru	Huancabamba	2000	57.2%	71.3%	39.4%
Peru	Huancabamba	2017	66.5%	80.3%	49.6%
Peru	Huancane	2000	41.4%	52.6%	31.4%
Peru	Huancane	2017	52.7%	63.7%	42.3%
Peru	Huancavelica	2000	73.1%	77.3%	68.6%
Peru	Huancavelica	2017	84.7%	88.1%	80.9%
Peru	Huancayo	2000	85.9%	87.8%	84.1%
Peru	Huancayo	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.2%
Peru	Huanta	2000	73.8%	83.1%	63.5%
Peru	Huanta	2017	84.8%	90.2%	78.9%
Peru	Huaral	2000	73.0%	77.4%	68.0%
Peru	Huaral	2017	88.3%	91.1%	84.2%
Peru	Huaraz	2000	83.4%	87.9%	77.6%
Peru	Huaraz	2017	92.8%	95.6%	89.2%
Peru	Huari	2000	69.0%	80.9%	57.7%
Peru	Huari	2017	80.1%	90.4%	67.9%
Peru	Huarmey	2000	58.2%	81.3%	34.0%
Peru	Huarmey	2017	67.8%	89.2%	44.2%
Peru	Huarochari	2000	59.6%	71.0%	47.9%
Peru	Huarochari	2017	64.5%	74.1%	52.5%
Peru	Huaura	2000	71.9%	78.2%	64.8%
Peru	Huaura	2017	85.6%	89.9%	80.2%
Peru	Huaylas	2000	69.4%	80.6%	60.2%
Peru	Huaylas	2017	82.9%	91.1%	74.6%
Peru	Huaytara	2000	54.0%	65.1%	40.5%
Peru	Huaytara	2017	66.2%	77.1%	55.2%
Peru	Huenuco	2000	60.2%	63.7%	56.9%
Peru	Huenuco	2017	76.6%	79.6%	73.5%
Peru	Ica	2000	66.2%	71.8%	62.1%
Peru	Ica	2017	84.0%	88.0%	80.8%
Peru	Ilo	2000	79.2%	86.1%	69.8%
Peru	Ilo	2017	90.7%	94.5%	84.3%
Peru	Islay	2000	67.5%	81.9%	48.3%
Peru	Islay	2017	76.0%	89.5%	58.3%
Peru	Jaén	2000	66.3%	74.3%	57.3%
Peru	Jaén	2017	79.4%	85.4%	72.8%
Peru	Jauja	2000	69.9%	75.8%	61.3%
Peru	Jauja	2017	82.2%	87.4%	74.9%
Peru	Jorge Basadre	2000	57.9%	85.0%	33.3%
Peru	Jorge Basadre	2017	69.7%	91.4%	45.1%
Peru	Julcan	2000	55.7%	70.3%	41.6%
Peru	Julcan	2017	72.3%	82.2%	60.0%
Peru	Junín	2000	64.2%	78.2%	53.0%
Peru	Junín	2017	76.1%	86.4%	66.6%
Peru	La Convención	2000	65.0%	71.7%	58.9%
Peru	La Convención	2017	72.4%	80.5%	63.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	La Mar	2000	65.3%	73.8%	55.7%
Peru	La Mar	2017	78.6%	86.0%	70.7%
Peru	La Unión	2000	58.9%	74.2%	42.3%
Peru	La Unión	2017	69.5%	84.2%	53.9%
Peru	Lago Titicaca	2000	60.0%	67.7%	52.2%
Peru	Lago Titicaca	2017	74.1%	80.0%	67.6%
Peru	Lamas	2000	44.6%	51.3%	37.1%
Peru	Lamas	2017	60.2%	67.0%	53.1%
Peru	Lambayeque	2000	67.9%	74.2%	60.3%
Peru	Lambayeque	2017	80.7%	85.7%	74.0%
Peru	Lampa	2000	40.9%	55.8%	26.9%
Peru	Lampa	2017	52.5%	68.9%	36.7%
Peru	Lauricocha	2000	53.8%	65.7%	42.1%
Peru	Lauricocha	2017	67.0%	78.8%	55.2%
Peru	Leoncio Prado	2000	40.5%	47.3%	33.8%
Peru	Leoncio Prado	2017	57.0%	64.5%	48.6%
Peru	Lima	2000	81.8%	82.4%	81.1%
Peru	Lima	2017	90.1%	90.7%	89.6%
Peru	Loreto	2000	32.2%	41.0%	24.4%
Peru	Loreto	2017	41.9%	51.2%	33.6%
Peru	Lucanas	2000	67.3%	76.5%	56.7%
Peru	Lucanas	2017	73.7%	82.7%	63.4%
Peru	Luya	2000	69.2%	77.5%	60.0%
Peru	Luya	2017	81.1%	87.7%	73.8%
Peru	Manu	2000	42.9%	52.6%	33.5%
Peru	Manu	2017	60.0%	67.9%	49.7%
Peru	Marañón	2000	56.2%	70.6%	38.0%
Peru	Marañón	2017	65.5%	77.7%	50.3%
Peru	Mariscal Cáceres	2000	43.1%	52.1%	33.1%
Peru	Mariscal Cáceres	2017	51.5%	59.3%	44.5%
Peru	Mariscal Luzuriaga	2000	55.2%	67.0%	41.6%
Peru	Mariscal Luzuriaga	2017	71.2%	80.8%	61.0%
Peru	Mariscal Nieto	2000	80.3%	84.2%	75.1%
Peru	Mariscal Nieto	2017	88.8%	91.9%	84.8%
Peru	Mariscal Ramón Castilla	2000	32.7%	41.3%	24.1%
Peru	Mariscal Ramón Castilla	2017	40.6%	48.6%	31.1%
Peru	Maynas	2000	34.8%	49.3%	24.3%
Peru	Maynas	2017	49.1%	62.9%	37.6%
Peru	Melgar	2000	53.6%	65.3%	43.1%
Peru	Melgar	2017	65.9%	75.6%	56.3%
Peru	Moho	2000	49.4%	71.1%	26.7%
Peru	Moho	2017	62.8%	83.4%	37.8%
Peru	Morropón	2000	69.4%	78.8%	59.3%
Peru	Morropón	2017	81.4%	88.7%	73.6%
Peru	Moyobamba	2000	51.0%	60.5%	38.9%
Peru	Moyobamba	2017	69.5%	76.0%	58.5%
Peru	Nazca	2000	54.4%	61.4%	48.0%
Peru	Nazca	2017	74.2%	79.4%	69.1%
Peru	Ocros	2000	61.7%	84.9%	39.0%
Peru	Ocros	2017	69.8%	91.2%	42.2%
Peru	Otuzco	2000	60.7%	71.3%	49.7%
Peru	Otuzco	2017	71.1%	80.9%	61.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Oxapampa	2000	59.4%	66.1%	53.7%
Peru	Oxapampa	2017	67.5%	73.2%	61.9%
Peru	Oyon	2000	67.8%	85.5%	47.0%
Peru	Oyon	2017	78.0%	91.6%	62.0%
Peru	Pacasmayo	2000	62.7%	72.1%	52.6%
Peru	Pacasmayo	2017	78.9%	86.0%	68.6%
Peru	Pachitea	2000	42.6%	53.8%	33.7%
Peru	Pachitea	2017	57.3%	66.9%	49.0%
Peru	Padre Abad	2000	42.1%	53.7%	32.5%
Peru	Padre Abad	2017	52.8%	65.3%	40.8%
Peru	Paita	2000	49.4%	68.1%	31.1%
Peru	Paita	2017	64.1%	79.1%	44.8%
Peru	Pallasca	2000	66.2%	81.6%	48.2%
Peru	Pallasca	2017	74.0%	88.4%	55.8%
Peru	Palpa	2000	77.0%	86.7%	64.8%
Peru	Palpa	2017	88.5%	94.5%	80.0%
Peru	Parinacochas	2000	66.8%	81.1%	50.7%
Peru	Parinacochas	2017	71.5%	86.8%	54.7%
Peru	Paruro	2000	70.2%	83.9%	52.2%
Peru	Paruro	2017	79.3%	90.7%	63.4%
Peru	Pasco	2000	62.8%	66.2%	59.6%
Peru	Pasco	2017	75.9%	79.2%	72.6%
Peru	Pataz	2000	62.3%	77.1%	47.3%
Peru	Pataz	2017	73.9%	85.9%	60.9%
Peru	Paucar del Sara Sara	2000	62.9%	76.3%	49.1%
Peru	Paucar del Sara Sara	2017	76.5%	86.8%	65.0%
Peru	Paucartambo	2000	64.6%	77.6%	50.8%
Peru	Paucartambo	2017	76.9%	87.4%	62.9%
Peru	Picota	2000	52.3%	61.9%	41.3%
Peru	Picota	2017	67.2%	77.4%	53.5%
Peru	Pisco	2000	74.5%	82.2%	64.9%
Peru	Pisco	2017	88.4%	92.5%	81.2%
Peru	Piura	2000	55.5%	57.9%	52.7%
Peru	Piura	2017	72.0%	74.5%	69.1%
Peru	Pomabamba	2000	63.2%	77.2%	48.1%
Peru	Pomabamba	2017	75.9%	85.6%	62.5%
Peru	Puerto Inca	2000	40.3%	54.7%	27.4%
Peru	Puerto Inca	2017	48.4%	62.8%	35.4%
Peru	Puno	2000	54.1%	59.0%	48.0%
Peru	Puno	2017	64.9%	69.7%	59.7%
Peru	Purús	2000	47.3%	91.0%	6.5%
Peru	Purús	2017	49.6%	84.7%	14.0%
Peru	Quispicanchi	2000	62.8%	75.3%	48.0%
Peru	Quispicanchi	2017	73.8%	84.6%	61.6%
Peru	Recuay	2000	67.1%	86.4%	41.1%
Peru	Recuay	2017	75.4%	92.0%	51.9%
Peru	Requena	2000	35.2%	46.9%	25.3%
Peru	Requena	2017	40.9%	50.6%	31.4%
Peru	Rioja	2000	50.3%	53.9%	46.7%
Peru	Rioja	2017	69.5%	73.3%	65.6%
Peru	Rodríguez de Mendoza	2000	65.3%	71.4%	58.3%
Peru	Rodríguez de Mendoza	2017	77.8%	84.1%	70.4%
Peru	San Antonio de Putina	2000	58.2%	72.8%	41.5%
Peru	San Antonio de Putina	2017	70.9%	81.6%	58.3%
Peru	San Ignacio	2000	64.4%	74.1%	51.4%
Peru	San Ignacio	2017	74.2%	83.4%	63.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	San Marcos	2000	63.6%	74.2%	50.5%
Peru	San Marcos	2017	79.0%	87.4%	69.4%
Peru	San Martín	2000	48.7%	51.5%	45.8%
Peru	San Martín	2017	68.8%	71.3%	66.6%
Peru	San Miguel	2000	56.8%	69.9%	42.7%
Peru	San Miguel	2017	69.5%	81.8%	56.3%
Peru	San Pablo	2000	67.8%	79.6%	53.0%
Peru	San Pablo	2017	80.4%	90.1%	67.0%
Peru	San Román	2000	53.6%	66.9%	43.4%
Peru	San Román	2017	71.3%	79.7%	61.2%
Peru	Sánchez Carrión	2000	65.9%	75.1%	57.0%
Peru	Sánchez Carrión	2017	80.0%	88.0%	70.1%
Peru	Sandia	2000	47.6%	62.0%	33.9%
Peru	Sandia	2017	55.1%	68.9%	43.1%
Peru	Santa	2000	75.7%	83.1%	67.7%
Peru	Santa	2017	89.3%	93.3%	83.8%
Peru	Santa Cruz	2000	53.5%	63.9%	38.4%
Peru	Santa Cruz	2017	70.3%	79.9%	57.2%
Peru	Santiago de Chuco	2000	58.5%	70.7%	44.5%
Peru	Santiago de Chuco	2017	70.1%	83.2%	55.8%
Peru	Satipo	2000	61.8%	68.1%	54.3%
Peru	Satipo	2017	72.5%	79.0%	65.1%
Peru	Sechura	2000	61.5%	77.8%	41.4%
Peru	Sechura	2017	71.2%	85.1%	53.2%
Peru	Sihuas	2000	65.8%	83.6%	37.9%
Peru	Sihuas	2017	76.2%	90.4%	50.8%
Peru	Sucre	2000	53.3%	77.1%	31.2%
Peru	Sucre	2017	64.8%	85.9%	41.3%
Peru	Sullana	2000	56.0%	61.1%	51.1%
Peru	Sullana	2017	70.8%	76.2%	64.9%
Peru	Tacna	2000	83.1%	87.7%	77.7%
Peru	Tacna	2017	90.6%	93.5%	87.3%
Peru	Tahuamanu	2000	39.9%	53.2%	28.0%
Peru	Tahuamanu	2017	51.8%	64.5%	40.4%
Peru	Talara	2000	57.4%	67.3%	46.1%
Peru	Talara	2017	72.1%	80.5%	62.1%
Peru	Tambopata	2000	47.9%	51.9%	44.0%
Peru	Tambopata	2017	67.9%	70.6%	64.9%
Peru	Tarata	2000	66.4%	84.6%	45.4%
Peru	Tarata	2017	76.6%	91.8%	57.1%
Peru	Tarma	2000	73.3%	83.4%	62.7%
Peru	Tarma	2017	83.3%	91.3%	74.8%
Peru	Tayacaja	2000	59.2%	65.2%	52.4%
Peru	Tayacaja	2017	71.5%	77.4%	64.8%
Peru	Tocache	2000	34.9%	44.3%	26.5%
Peru	Tocache	2017	48.5%	57.7%	39.7%
Peru	Trujillo	2000	72.2%	73.6%	70.6%
Peru	Trujillo	2017	88.8%	89.8%	87.8%
Peru	Tumbes	2000	60.3%	64.2%	57.5%
Peru	Tumbes	2017	82.7%	85.2%	80.5%
Peru	Ucayali	2000	38.3%	47.7%	30.3%
Peru	Ucayali	2017	46.9%	56.4%	38.0%
Peru	Urubamba	2000	71.0%	78.0%	63.1%
Peru	Urubamba	2017	84.5%	89.9%	77.8%
Peru	Utcubamba	2000	62.1%	66.9%	56.9%
Peru	Utcubamba	2017	76.2%	80.4%	71.2%
Peru	Victor Fajardo	2000	66.4%	77.7%	53.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Victor Fa-jardo	2017	80.7%	88.0%	71.2%
Peru	Vilcas Huamán	2000	58.1%	70.4%	44.1%
Peru	Vilcas Huamán	2017	72.8%	82.6%	60.1%
Peru	Viru	2000	55.7%	70.3%	41.0%
Peru	Viru	2017	66.9%	80.4%	54.8%
Peru	Yarowilca	2000	66.3%	72.8%	55.4%
Peru	Yarowilca	2017	85.1%	88.5%	78.7%
Peru	Yauli	2000	77.1%	87.6%	61.9%
Peru	Yauli	2017	84.9%	92.9%	73.5%
Peru	Yauyos	2000	52.8%	76.0%	29.9%
Peru	Yauyos	2017	60.8%	82.6%	37.8%
Peru	Yungay	2000	73.7%	82.1%	65.0%
Peru	Yungay	2017	85.5%	91.4%	78.3%
Peru	Yunguyo	2000	79.5%	83.7%	74.4%
Peru	Yunguyo	2017	91.2%	93.2%	88.5%
Peru	Zarumilla	2000	47.9%	52.9%	43.4%
Peru	Zarumilla	2017	71.2%	75.1%	67.0%
North Africa and Middle East					
Afghanistan	Ab Band	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ab Band	2017	16.2%	37.8%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Ab Kamari	2000	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ab Kamari	2017	16.4%	35.7%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Achin	2000	0.2%	2.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Achin	2017	22.0%	63.6%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Adraskan	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Adraskan	2017	19.7%	31.2%	11.5%
Afghanistan	Ajristan	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ajristan	2017	18.3%	38.8%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Alasay	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Alasay	2017	15.9%	63.8%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Ali abad	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ali abad	2017	10.3%	29.7%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Alingar	2000	0.3%	2.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Alingar	2017	24.3%	47.3%	11.7%
Afghanistan	Alishing	2000	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Alishing	2017	13.4%	43.3%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Almar	2000	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Almar	2017	18.4%	39.1%	4.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Anar Dara	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Anar Dara	2017	15.8%	24.8%	9.1%
Afghanistan	Andar	2000	0.1%	1.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Andar	2017	12.4%	31.8%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Andarab	2000	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Andarab	2017	8.6%	21.6%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Andkhoy	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Andkhoy	2017	9.2%	19.8%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Aqcha	2000	12.5%	17.8%	8.9%
Afghanistan	Aqcha	2017	35.8%	40.9%	31.2%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2000	0.4%	2.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2017	28.1%	47.5%	13.1%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2017	4.8%	15.5%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Arghistan	2000	0.5%	3.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Arghistan	2017	28.4%	46.7%	15.0%
Afghanistan	Asad abad	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Asad abad	2017	11.6%	22.3%	6.3%
Afghanistan	Atghar	2000	0.2%	2.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Atghar	2017	24.1%	50.7%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Aybak	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Aybak	2017	11.6%	34.4%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Azro	2000	0.2%	1.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Azro	2017	17.4%	44.3%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Baghlan City	2000	5.4%	16.5%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Baghlan City	2017	33.9%	54.5%	17.8%
Afghanistan	Baghlani Jaidid	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Baghlani Jaidid	2017	12.2%	41.7%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Bagram	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bagram	2017	5.4%	13.6%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Bagrami	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Bagrami	2017	12.5%	32.6%	4.6%
Afghanistan	Baharak	2000	10.3%	14.4%	8.0%
Afghanistan	Baharak	2017	41.4%	57.1%	27.8%
Afghanistan	Bak	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bak	2017	17.8%	52.2%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Bakwa	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bakwa	2017	11.9%	26.6%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Bala Buluk	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bala Buluk	2017	12.8%	27.2%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Balkh	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Balkh	2017	41.1%	66.8%	18.6%
Afghanistan	Balkhab	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Balkhab	2017	14.8%	27.4%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Bamyan City	2000	1.1%	5.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bamyan City	2017	40.2%	52.6%	27.1%
Afghanistan	Bangi	2000	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bangi	2017	12.8%	35.0%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Bar Kunar	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bar Kunar	2017	16.8%	34.3%	6.8%
Afghanistan	Baraki Barak	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Baraki Barak	2017	10.5%	25.8%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Bargi Matal	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bargi Matal	2017	15.7%	33.2%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Bati Kot	2000	0.4%	3.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bati Kot	2017	29.3%	86.9%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Bilchiragh	2000	0.8%	3.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bilchiragh	2017	19.9%	31.1%	9.9%
Afghanistan	Bughran	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bughran	2017	21.3%	38.4%	9.4%
Afghanistan	Burka	2000	0.6%	4.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Burka	2017	35.0%	62.1%	10.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Burmul	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Burmul	2017	30.9%	49.0%	14.3%
Afghanistan	Chaghcharan	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chaghcharan	2017	17.4%	27.0%	9.1%
Afghanistan	Chah Ab	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chah Ab	2017	19.9%	42.1%	6.1%
Afghanistan	Chahar Asyab	2000	1.2%	7.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chahar Asyab	2017	72.3%	93.9%	34.4%
Afghanistan	Chaharikar	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chaharikar	2017	4.3%	13.2%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Chak	2000	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chak	2017	14.5%	42.9%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Chakhansur	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chakhansur	2017	18.7%	30.4%	9.8%
Afghanistan	Chal	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chal	2017	16.2%	51.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Chamkani	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chamkani	2017	22.4%	30.2%	14.9%
Afghanistan	Chapa Dara	2000	0.3%	4.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chapa Dara	2017	25.7%	70.9%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Chaparhar	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chaparhar	2017	23.1%	58.1%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Char Bolak	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Char Bolak	2017	10.1%	19.2%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Char Burjak	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Char Burjak	2017	17.0%	23.8%	11.2%
Afghanistan	Char Dara	2000	0.2%	1.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Char Dara	2017	16.1%	46.5%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Charkh	2000	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Charkh	2017	8.4%	23.3%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Charkint	2000	0.2%	1.9%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Charkint	2017	19.1%	50.0%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Chawkay	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chawkay	2017	52.3%	60.4%	41.7%
Afghanistan	Chimtal	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chimtal	2017	11.8%	26.0%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Chishti Sharif	2000	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chishti Sharif	2017	21.2%	43.2%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Chora	2000	1.4%	3.9%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Chora	2017	27.9%	43.6%	15.4%
Afghanistan	Dahana-I-Ghori	2000	0.1%	1.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dahana-I-Ghori	2017	21.0%	46.5%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Daman	2000	14.5%	20.0%	3.9%
Afghanistan	Daman	2017	46.9%	64.1%	39.4%
Afghanistan	Dand Patan	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dand Patan	2017	30.4%	64.9%	6.6%
Afghanistan	Dangam	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dangam	2017	13.2%	42.4%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Dara-I-Nur	2000	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dara-I-Nur	2017	25.3%	66.0%	6.1%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Pech	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Pech	2017	20.1%	45.5%	4.0%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Suf	2000	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Suf	2017	15.8%	31.7%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Darqad	2000	0.3%	3.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Darqad	2017	30.6%	69.9%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Darwaz	2000	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Darwaz	2017	18.8%	37.2%	7.4%
Afghanistan	Darzab	2000	3.8%	21.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Darzab	2017	40.0%	53.4%	26.4%
Afghanistan	Dashti Archi	2000	2.5%	10.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dashti Archi	2017	35.4%	53.9%	14.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2000	0.6%	2.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2017	26.1%	39.0%	13.9%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2017	8.5%	27.8%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Dawlat Shah	2000	0.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dawlat Shah	2017	20.4%	41.6%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Day Kundi	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Day Kundi	2017	15.2%	25.4%	7.5%
Afghanistan	Daychopan	2000	0.9%	3.0%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Daychopan	2017	31.2%	47.0%	17.2%
Afghanistan	Daymirdad	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Daymirdad	2017	14.6%	38.1%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Dih Bala	2000	0.2%	1.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dih Bala	2017	25.9%	63.2%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Dih Sabz	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dih Sabz	2017	10.3%	21.5%	6.7%
Afghanistan	Dihdadi	2000	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dihdadi	2017	27.4%	32.4%	21.9%
Afghanistan	Dihrawud	2000	0.6%	2.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dihrawud	2017	18.0%	32.0%	7.3%
Afghanistan	Dihyak	2000	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dihyak	2017	15.6%	39.1%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Dila	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dila	2017	16.0%	37.9%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Disho	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Disho	2017	14.1%	21.6%	6.6%
Afghanistan	Doshi	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Doshi	2017	16.4%	38.1%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Dur Baba	2000	0.4%	3.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dur Baba	2017	21.7%	63.7%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Farah City	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Farah City	2017	14.4%	33.4%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Farkhar	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Farkhar	2017	16.3%	39.5%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Farsi	2000	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Farsi	2017	22.5%	40.6%	8.7%
Afghanistan	Fayz abad	2000	9.1%	13.4%	6.4%
Afghanistan	Fayz abad	2017	30.3%	44.0%	26.2%
Afghanistan	Fayzabad	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Fayzabad	2017	23.4%	37.3%	15.6%
Afghanistan	Gardez	2000	0.1%	1.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Gardez	2017	21.2%	51.4%	4.0%
Afghanistan	Garmser	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Garmser	2017	16.7%	28.6%	7.0%
Afghanistan	Gayan	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Gayan	2017	17.5%	42.0%	6.2%
Afghanistan	Gelan	2000	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Gelan	2017	13.9%	37.6%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Ghazni	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ghazni	2017	4.5%	27.2%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Ghorak	2000	0.1%	1.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ghorak	2017	17.7%	44.0%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Ghorband	2000	7.5%	25.0%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Ghorband	2017	48.3%	67.3%	32.5%
Afghanistan	Ghormach	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ghormach	2017	7.6%	23.4%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Ghoryan	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ghoryan	2017	13.5%	24.8%	6.5%
Afghanistan	Giro	2000	0.3%	2.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Giro	2017	19.5%	46.8%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Gizab	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Gizab	2017	12.1%	23.3%	3.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Gomal	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Gomal	2017	18.6%	30.8%	6.6%
Afghanistan	Goshta	2000	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Goshta	2017	20.6%	59.7%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Gul dara	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Gul dara	2017	18.2%	54.1%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Gulistan	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Gulistan	2017	15.9%	28.1%	7.1%
Afghanistan	Gulran	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Gulran	2017	18.5%	28.8%	10.0%
Afghanistan	Gurbuz	2000	0.2%	2.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Gurbuz	2017	25.3%	67.7%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Guzara	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Guzara	2017	22.0%	52.6%	6.4%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Imam	2000	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Imam	2017	24.0%	49.7%	6.6%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Sultan	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Sultan	2017	10.9%	32.9%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Hirat City	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Hirat City	2017	9.2%	11.9%	7.0%
Afghanistan	Hisa-i-Awali Bihsud	2000	0.1%	1.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Hisa-i-Awali Bihsud	2017	14.5%	36.8%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Awali Panjsher	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Awali Panjsher	2017	15.2%	33.2%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Duwum Panjsher	2000	0.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Duwum Panjsher	2017	26.0%	62.5%	3.8%
Afghanistan	Hisarak	2000	0.4%	3.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Hisarak	2017	27.2%	71.4%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Injil	2000	5.9%	13.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Injil	2017	38.6%	56.6%	24.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Ishkamish	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ishkamish	2017	13.5%	36.9%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Ishkashim	2000	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ishkashim	2017	19.3%	40.8%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Istalif	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Istalif	2017	18.6%	60.9%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Jabalussaraj	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Jabalussaraj	2017	4.5%	13.8%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Jadran	2000	0.1%	2.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Jadran	2017	16.0%	42.6%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Jaghatu	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Jaghatu	2017	9.2%	18.7%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Jaghuri	2000	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Jaghuri	2017	14.0%	30.3%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Jaji	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Jaji	2017	12.4%	34.1%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Jaji Maydan	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Jaji Maydan	2017	12.3%	38.1%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Jalal abad	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Jalal abad	2017	12.3%	14.5%	10.8%
Afghanistan	Jalrez	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Jalrez	2017	8.9%	26.6%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Jani Khel	2000	4.6%	8.8%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Jani Khel	2017	33.0%	55.1%	17.4%
Afghanistan	Jawand	2000	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Jawand	2017	17.2%	26.6%	9.3%
Afghanistan	Jurm	2000	5.5%	11.4%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Jurm	2017	33.6%	46.5%	20.7%
Afghanistan	Kabul City	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kabul City	2017	29.3%	36.9%	19.6%
Afghanistan	Kahmard	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Kahmard	2017	13.0%	26.4%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Kajaki	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kajaki	2017	13.4%	29.4%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Kalafgan	2000	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kalafgan	2017	11.6%	34.5%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Kalakan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kalakan	2017	13.6%	68.0%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Kaldar	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kaldar	2017	6.2%	32.9%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Kama	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kama	2017	12.2%	30.1%	5.8%
Afghanistan	Kamdesh	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kamdesh	2017	14.5%	37.3%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Kandahar City	2000	3.3%	6.2%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Kandahar City	2017	29.7%	41.1%	24.8%
Afghanistan	Kang	2000	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kang	2017	18.5%	55.9%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Karukh	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Karukh	2017	15.4%	35.8%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Khaki Jabar	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khaki Jabar	2017	19.1%	49.7%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Khaki Safed	2000	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khaki Safed	2017	21.7%	41.9%	9.4%
Afghanistan	Khakrez	2000	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khakrez	2017	17.4%	37.1%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Khamyab	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khamyab	2017	11.5%	35.9%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Khan Abad	2000	0.2%	2.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khan Abad	2017	23.6%	53.2%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Khan Char Bagh	2000	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khan Char Bagh	2017	12.2%	37.7%	0.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Khas Kunar	2000	0.4%	2.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khas Kunar	2017	44.2%	76.8%	19.0%
Afghanistan	Khas Uruzgan	2000	0.2%	1.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khas Uruzgan	2017	17.6%	40.3%	4.6%
Afghanistan	Khash Rod	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khash Rod	2017	20.4%	34.7%	8.7%
Afghanistan	Khinjan	2000	0.4%	2.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khinjan	2017	19.5%	50.1%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Khogyani	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khogyani	2017	17.7%	58.3%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Khost (Matun)	2000	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khost (Matun)	2017	18.0%	51.0%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Khost Wa Firing	2000	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khost Wa Firing	2017	8.0%	18.5%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Khulm	2000	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khulm	2017	19.5%	36.4%	9.7%
Afghanistan	Khuram Wa Sarbagh	2000	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khuram Wa Sarbagh	2017	14.3%	31.2%	3.8%
Afghanistan	Khushi	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khushi	2017	10.5%	39.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Khwahan	2000	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khwahan	2017	22.5%	42.7%	8.7%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Du Koh	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Du Koh	2017	11.3%	34.4%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Ghar	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Ghar	2017	9.8%	30.3%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Sabz Posh	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Sabz Posh	2017	12.1%	30.1%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Kijran	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kijran	2017	15.2%	32.9%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Kishim	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Kishim	2017	13.6%	25.0%	5.8%
Afghanistan	Kishindih	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kishindih	2017	14.5%	30.8%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Kohband	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kohband	2017	1.4%	8.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kohi Safi	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kohi Safi	2017	4.2%	16.9%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2000	0.2%	1.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2017	2.4%	13.3%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2017	22.8%	43.8%	7.3%
Afghanistan	Kohistanat	2000	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kohistanat	2017	18.9%	33.4%	9.3%
Afghanistan	Kuhsan	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kuhsan	2017	23.1%	41.7%	10.0%
Afghanistan	Kunduz	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kunduz	2017	16.2%	60.2%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Kuran Wa Munjan	2000	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kuran Wa Munjan	2017	19.6%	38.8%	9.0%
Afghanistan	Kushk	2000	0.6%	2.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kushk	2017	26.5%	44.9%	12.8%
Afghanistan	Kushki Kuhna	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kushki Kuhna	2017	14.6%	28.5%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Kuz Kunar	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kuz Kunar	2017	14.7%	37.7%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Lal Pur	2000	0.3%	2.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Lal Pur	2017	20.8%	64.4%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Lal Wa Sarjanganal	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Lal Wa Sarjanganal	2017	11.9%	24.1%	4.0%
Afghanistan	Lash Wa Juwayn	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Lash Wa Juwayn	2017	19.4%	32.0%	11.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Lashkargah	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Lashkargah	2017	6.8%	17.3%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Lija Mangal	2000	1.0%	4.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Lija Mangal	2017	28.4%	49.3%	14.4%
Afghanistan	Mahmud Raqi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Mahmud Raqi	2017	1.6%	10.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Malistan	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Malistan	2017	9.8%	26.2%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Mando Zayi	2000	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Mando Zayi	2017	19.5%	53.9%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Mandol	2000	0.7%	2.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Mandol	2017	25.1%	42.0%	12.9%
Afghanistan	Mardyan	2000	13.9%	15.6%	10.8%
Afghanistan	Mardyan	2017	40.7%	53.1%	32.3%
Afghanistan	Markazi Bih-sud	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Markazi Bih-sud	2017	17.3%	35.6%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Marmul	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Marmul	2017	8.6%	28.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Maruf	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Maruf	2017	21.0%	35.2%	8.2%
Afghanistan	Marwara	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Marwara	2017	15.9%	29.1%	7.8%
Afghanistan	Mata Khan	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Mata Khan	2017	16.4%	47.0%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Maydan Shahr	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Maydan Shahr	2017	15.9%	50.3%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Maymana	2000	0.6%	3.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Maymana	2017	21.9%	49.1%	5.8%
Afghanistan	Maywand	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Maywand	2017	13.5%	27.3%	3.9%
Afghanistan	Mazar-i-Sharif	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Mazar-i-Sharif	2017	25.2%	32.7%	19.5%
Afghanistan	Mihtarlam	2000	3.0%	12.5%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Mihtarlam	2017	70.1%	82.4%	47.2%
Afghanistan	Mingajik	2000	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Mingajik	2017	13.5%	37.7%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Mirbacha Kot	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Mirbacha Kot	2017	6.2%	19.0%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Mizan	2000	0.3%	2.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Mizan	2017	20.0%	58.3%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Muhammad Agha	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Muhammad Agha	2017	16.9%	43.4%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Muhmand Dara	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Muhmand Dara	2017	18.8%	54.2%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2017	33.2%	58.2%	10.4%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2017	11.9%	38.9%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Murghab	2000	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Murghab	2017	21.0%	40.0%	7.3%
Afghanistan	Musa Khel	2000	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Musa Khel	2017	18.1%	52.3%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Musa Qala	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Musa Qala	2017	13.4%	29.7%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Musayi	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Musayi	2017	20.9%	57.8%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Nad Ali	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nad Ali	2017	9.4%	19.2%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Nadir Shah Kot	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nadir Shah Kot	2017	18.1%	44.9%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Nahri Sarraj	2000	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nahri Sarraj	2017	14.5%	32.3%	3.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Nahri Shahi	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nahri Shahi	2017	11.0%	21.8%	6.9%
Afghanistan	Nahrin	2000	0.4%	1.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nahrin	2017	16.2%	40.0%	4.0%
Afghanistan	Nali	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nali	2017	17.2%	42.1%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Narang Wa Badil	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Narang Wa Badil	2017	28.6%	45.8%	20.4%
Afghanistan	Naw Zad	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Naw Zad	2017	16.9%	34.3%	4.7%
Afghanistan	Nawa	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nawa	2017	14.4%	30.8%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Nawa-i-Barak Zayi	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nawa-i-Barak Zayi	2017	8.6%	31.2%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Nawur	2000	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nawur	2017	21.2%	34.0%	10.6%
Afghanistan	Nazyan	2000	0.5%	3.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nazyan	2017	22.2%	91.0%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Nesh	2000	1.0%	4.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nesh	2017	26.7%	54.4%	10.1%
Afghanistan	Nijrab	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nijrab	2017	4.4%	19.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nika	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nika	2017	25.5%	64.5%	3.8%
Afghanistan	Nirkh	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nirkh	2017	12.1%	31.9%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Nurgal	2000	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nurgal	2017	36.3%	81.9%	10.8%
Afghanistan	Nuristan	2000	0.6%	3.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nuristan	2017	22.5%	41.2%	8.0%
Afghanistan	Obe	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Obe	2017	18.9%	46.3%	4.5%
Afghanistan	Omna	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Omna	2017	13.7%	34.1%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Pachir Wa	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Agam Pachir Wa	2017	25.6%	69.3%	5.9%
Afghanistan	Agam Paghman	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Paghman	2017	10.4%	22.9%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Panjab	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Panjab	2017	16.3%	34.3%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Panjsher	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Panjsher	2017	18.8%	34.0%	7.7%
Afghanistan	Panjwayi	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Panjwayi	2017	10.3%	18.2%	5.1%
Afghanistan	Pasaband	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Pasaband	2017	16.9%	29.8%	6.9%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Kot	2000	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Kot	2017	26.7%	62.6%	6.3%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Zarghun	2000	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Zarghun	2017	11.3%	28.7%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Puli Alam	2000	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Puli Alam	2017	13.2%	30.1%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Puli Khumri	2000	0.6%	2.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Puli Khumri	2017	12.8%	21.5%	6.7%
Afghanistan	Purchaman	2000	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Purchaman	2017	18.5%	30.5%	9.4%
Afghanistan	Pusht Rod	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Pusht Rod	2017	6.2%	18.5%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Qadis	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qadis	2017	16.4%	30.2%	5.1%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Kah	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Kah	2017	16.5%	30.1%	6.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Naw	2000	0.3%	3.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Naw	2017	23.2%	59.0%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Zal	2000	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Zal	2017	16.5%	34.8%	4.0%
Afghanistan	Qalandar	2000	0.3%	3.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qalandar	2017	19.1%	77.3%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Qalat	2000	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qalat	2017	19.0%	41.8%	5.7%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2000	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2017	7.4%	25.8%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2017	21.3%	41.8%	8.4%
Afghanistan	Qaram Qol	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qaram Qol	2017	11.8%	22.4%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Qarghayi	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qarghayi	2017	35.7%	51.8%	23.8%
Afghanistan	Qarqin	2000	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qarqin	2017	14.3%	41.2%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Qaysar	2000	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qaysar	2017	18.2%	50.1%	5.6%
Afghanistan	Ragh	2000	0.3%	3.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ragh	2017	39.5%	61.7%	23.5%
Afghanistan	Reg	2000	0.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Reg	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Reg	2017	20.8%	37.1%	8.9%
Afghanistan	Reg	2017	16.1%	24.6%	9.0%
Afghanistan	Rodat	2000	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Rodat	2017	22.9%	46.1%	7.9%
Afghanistan	Royi Du Ab	2000	0.2%	1.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Royi Du Ab	2017	16.9%	44.9%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Rustaq	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Rustaq	2017	23.6%	47.0%	10.2%
Afghanistan	Sabari	2000	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sabari	2017	17.5%	50.5%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Saghar	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Saghar	2017	14.4%	30.3%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Salang	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Salang	2017	14.7%	46.6%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Sangcharak	2000	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sangcharak	2017	9.0%	22.4%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Sangin	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sangin	2017	8.3%	23.3%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Sar Hawza	2000	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sar Hawza	2017	22.2%	43.9%	6.0%
Afghanistan	Sar-i-Pul City	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sar-i-Pul City	2017	11.4%	22.2%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Sarobi	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sarobi	2017	22.2%	52.7%	5.7%
Afghanistan	Sayid Abad	2000	0.2%	1.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sayid Abad	2017	18.2%	47.9%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Sayid Karam	2000	2.4%	6.4%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Sayid Karam	2017	32.9%	57.1%	16.5%
Afghanistan	Sayyad	2000	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sayyad	2017	10.2%	33.3%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Shah Wali Kot	2000	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shah Wali Kot	2017	19.2%	36.0%	6.4%
Afghanistan	Shahidi Hasas	2000	4.4%	7.3%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Shahidi Hasas	2017	25.2%	40.3%	13.6%
Afghanistan	Shahjoy	2000	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shahjoy	2017	13.8%	35.5%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Shahrak	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shahrak	2017	17.5%	27.9%	8.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Shahri Buzurg	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shahri Buzurg	2017	22.8%	42.4%	8.6%
Afghanistan	Shahristan	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shahristan	2017	13.0%	24.7%	4.0%
Afghanistan	Shakar Dara	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shakar Dara	2017	3.5%	9.7%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Shamul	2000	0.3%	2.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shamul	2017	24.9%	89.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shamul zayi	2000	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shamul zayi	2017	24.4%	43.3%	8.0%
Afghanistan	Sharan	2000	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sharan	2017	22.6%	43.0%	7.8%
Afghanistan	Shekh Ali	2000	0.3%	3.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shekh Ali	2017	21.6%	48.4%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Sherzad	2000	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sherzad	2017	19.5%	37.1%	7.5%
Afghanistan	Shib Koh	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shib Koh	2017	15.5%	34.7%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Shibar	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shibar	2017	17.6%	35.3%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Shibirghan	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shibirghan	2017	11.0%	24.4%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Shighnan	2000	0.8%	2.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Shighnan	2017	25.5%	43.3%	13.5%
Afghanistan	Shindand	2000	3.0%	13.2%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Shindand	2017	38.5%	51.7%	21.6%
Afghanistan	Shinkay	2000	0.2%	2.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shinkay	2017	20.4%	43.3%	3.8%
Afghanistan	Shinwar	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shinwar	2017	16.5%	46.0%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Shinwari	2000	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Shinwari	2017	22.2%	67.5%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Shirin Tagab	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shirin Tagab	2017	13.4%	27.2%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Sholgara	2000	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sholgara	2017	18.6%	45.5%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Shorabak	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shorabak	2017	19.1%	33.2%	8.1%
Afghanistan	Shortepa	2000	0.1%	2.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shortepa	2017	18.5%	46.7%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Shwak	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shwak	2017	6.5%	15.5%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Sirkanay	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sirkanay	2017	31.2%	56.7%	16.3%
Afghanistan	Sozma Qala	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sozma Qala	2017	14.2%	38.6%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Spera	2000	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Spera	2017	14.4%	43.6%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Spin Boldak	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Spin Boldak	2017	18.6%	39.4%	7.4%
Afghanistan	Surkh Rod	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Surkh Rod	2017	14.4%	29.0%	5.5%
Afghanistan	Surkhi Parsa	2000	1.6%	6.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Surkhi Parsa	2017	29.2%	54.6%	12.0%
Afghanistan	Surobi	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Surobi	2017	16.2%	31.7%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Tagab	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Tagab	2017	14.1%	53.4%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Tala Wa Bar-fak	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Tala Wa Bar-fak	2017	12.6%	30.0%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Taluqan	2000	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Taluqan	2017	8.7%	15.6%	5.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Tani	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Tani	2017	17.3%	55.7%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Tarnak Wa	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Jaldak Wa	2017	16.0%	40.2%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Taywara	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Taywara	2017	11.8%	24.2%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Tere Zayi	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Tere Zayi	2017	12.0%	29.2%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Tirin Kot	2000	0.9%	2.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Tirin Kot	2017	19.3%	37.5%	7.7%
Afghanistan	Tulak	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Tulak	2017	16.3%	31.4%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Urgun	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Urgun	2017	13.3%	32.6%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Wakhan	2000	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Wakhan	2017	20.7%	34.5%	10.0%
Afghanistan	Wama	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Wama	2017	21.6%	38.5%	8.8%
Afghanistan	Waras	2000	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Waras	2017	13.5%	25.7%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Warsaj	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Warsaj	2017	16.8%	33.9%	5.9%
Afghanistan	Washer	2000	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Washer	2017	18.1%	33.0%	5.8%
Afghanistan	Waygal	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Waygal	2017	14.8%	42.1%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Wazakhwa	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Wazakhwa	2017	21.6%	44.9%	7.7%
Afghanistan	Wolmamay	2000	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Wolmamay	2017	16.0%	33.5%	4.5%
Afghanistan	Yakawlang	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Yakawlang	2017	15.8%	40.4%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Yangi Qala	2000	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Yangi Qala	2017	14.5%	24.8%	6.6%
Afghanistan	Zana Khan	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Zana Khan	2017	8.8%	39.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Zaranj	2000	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Zaranj	2017	20.0%	59.4%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Zarghun Shahr	2000	1.0%	5.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Zarghun Shahr	2017	30.3%	43.8%	18.6%
Afghanistan	Zebak	2000	0.3%	3.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Zebak	2017	24.2%	60.2%	6.0%
Afghanistan	Ziluk	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ziluk	2017	16.2%	55.0%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Zinda Jan	2000	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Zinda Jan	2017	16.8%	36.2%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Zurmat	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Zurmat	2017	13.6%	28.1%	3.4%
Algeria	Abadla	2000	48.7%	53.8%	42.9%
Algeria	Abadla	2017	48.9%	53.9%	43.1%
Algeria	Abalissa	2000	48.7%	54.6%	42.6%
Algeria	Abalissa	2017	48.7%	54.5%	43.1%
Algeria	Abi Youcef	2000	77.5%	80.4%	74.1%
Algeria	Abi Youcef	2017	77.7%	80.6%	74.2%
Algeria	Abou El Has-sen	2000	75.4%	80.3%	70.8%
Algeria	Abou El Has-sen	2017	75.5%	80.4%	70.9%
Algeria	Achaacha	2000	70.4%	74.8%	65.8%
Algeria	Achaacha	2017	70.5%	74.8%	65.9%
Algeria	Adekar	2000	78.0%	81.2%	74.2%
Algeria	Adekar	2017	78.0%	81.2%	74.3%
Algeria	Adrar	2000	49.3%	53.5%	45.0%
Algeria	Adrar	2017	49.5%	53.7%	45.4%
Algeria	Afir	2000	78.1%	82.1%	74.2%
Algeria	Afir	2017	78.0%	82.0%	74.1%
Algeria	Aflou	2000	80.6%	83.1%	77.8%
Algeria	Aflou	2017	80.8%	83.3%	77.9%
Algeria	Aghbal	2000	76.9%	81.8%	71.4%
Algeria	Aghbal	2017	76.9%	81.8%	71.4%
Algeria	Aghbalou	2000	74.7%	78.3%	71.2%
Algeria	Aghbalou	2017	74.6%	78.3%	71.1%
Algeria	Aghlal	2000	71.2%	74.6%	67.9%
Algeria	Aghlal	2017	71.4%	74.9%	68.2%
Algeria	Aghni-Goughrane	2000	76.2%	79.3%	72.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Aghni-Goughrane	2017	76.2%	79.4%	73.0%
Algeria	Aghrib	2000	79.9%	82.7%	76.8%
Algeria	Aghrib	2017	80.1%	82.9%	77.0%
Algeria	Ahl El Ksar	2000	76.6%	80.3%	73.0%
Algeria	Ahl El Ksar	2017	76.8%	80.4%	73.2%
Algeria	Ahmed	2000	80.4%	82.2%	78.7%
Algeria	Rachedi				
Algeria	Ahmed	2017	80.6%	82.4%	78.9%
Algeria	Ahmer El Ain	2000	75.0%	77.9%	72.0%
Algeria	Ahmer El Ain	2017	75.3%	78.2%	72.3%
Algeria	Ahnif	2000	74.9%	79.1%	70.3%
Algeria	Ahnif	2017	75.0%	79.2%	70.4%
Algeria	Ain Abessa	2000	64.9%	67.7%	61.7%
Algeria	Ain Abessa	2017	65.1%	68.0%	61.9%
Algeria	Ain Abid	2000	76.5%	79.0%	74.0%
Algeria	Ain Abid	2017	76.6%	79.1%	74.1%
Algeria	Ain Adden	2000	70.5%	73.8%	67.5%
Algeria	Ain Adden	2017	70.7%	74.0%	67.7%
Algeria	Ain Arnat	2000	60.5%	62.3%	58.3%
Algeria	Ain Arnat	2017	60.7%	62.6%	58.5%
Algeria	Ain Azel	2000	62.8%	65.6%	59.5%
Algeria	Ain Azel	2017	63.0%	65.7%	59.6%
Algeria	Ain Bebouche	2000	65.0%	68.4%	61.4%
Algeria	Ain Bebouche	2017	65.0%	68.5%	61.5%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	62.7%	66.8%	58.5%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	48.6%	51.7%	45.7%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2017	62.9%	66.9%	58.7%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2017	48.9%	51.9%	46.1%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	75.2%	78.2%	72.5%
Algeria	Harriche				
Algeria	Ain Beida	2017	75.5%	78.5%	72.7%
Algeria	Harriche				
Algeria	Ain Ben Beida	2000	80.7%	82.3%	78.9%
Algeria	Ain Ben Beida	2017	80.9%	82.5%	79.2%
Algeria	Ain Ben	2000	77.6%	82.3%	72.4%
Algeria	Khelil				
Algeria	Ain Ben	2017	77.7%	82.3%	72.8%
Algeria	Khelil				
Algeria	Ain Benian	2000	74.8%	77.2%	72.6%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2000	76.4%	79.0%	73.8%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2017	75.0%	77.4%	72.9%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2017	76.4%	79.0%	73.8%
Algeria	Ain Biya	2000	69.5%	72.1%	66.8%
Algeria	Ain Biya	2017	69.7%	72.3%	67.1%
Algeria	Ain Bouchekif	2000	78.6%	80.1%	77.0%
Algeria	Ain Bouchekif	2017	78.7%	80.1%	77.2%
Algeria	Ain Boucif	2000	79.1%	82.4%	75.2%
Algeria	Ain Boucif	2017	79.5%	82.8%	75.7%
Algeria	Ain Boudinar	2000	71.2%	73.7%	68.6%
Algeria	Ain Boudinar	2017	71.4%	73.9%	68.8%
Algeria	Ain Bouihi	2000	76.8%	79.7%	73.5%
Algeria	Ain Bouihi	2017	76.8%	79.8%	73.6%
Algeria	Ain Bouziane	2000	81.2%	83.1%	79.2%
Algeria	Ain Bouziane	2017	81.3%	83.3%	79.4%
Algeria	Ain Charchar	2000	80.4%	82.5%	77.8%
Algeria	Ain Charchar	2017	80.6%	82.7%	78.0%
Algeria	Ain Chouhada	2000	80.6%	83.8%	77.5%
Algeria	Ain Chouhada	2017	80.8%	83.9%	77.8%
Algeria	Ain Defla	2000	75.7%	78.5%	73.0%
Algeria	Ain Defla	2017	76.0%	78.8%	73.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Deheb	2000	79.2%	81.5%	76.4%
Algeria	Ain Deheb	2017	79.4%	81.7%	76.7%
Algeria	Ain Djasser	2000	66.3%	69.9%	62.4%
Algeria	Ain Djasser	2017	66.9%	70.4%	63.0%
Algeria	Ain El Arbaa	2000	70.4%	73.3%	66.9%
Algeria	Ain El Arbaa	2017	70.6%	73.5%	67.1%
Algeria	Ain El Assel	2000	79.3%	82.3%	76.1%
Algeria	Ain El Assel	2017	79.4%	82.4%	76.2%
Algeria	Ain El Berd	2000	71.7%	75.2%	68.4%
Algeria	Ain El Berd	2017	71.8%	75.4%	68.6%
Algeria	Ain El Berda	2000	80.4%	82.3%	78.3%
Algeria	Ain El Berda	2017	80.6%	82.5%	78.4%
Algeria	Ain El Diss	2000	67.3%	70.7%	64.1%
Algeria	Ain El Diss	2017	67.4%	70.8%	64.3%
Algeria	Ain El Fakroun	2000	64.0%	67.1%	60.5%
Algeria	Ain El Fakroun	2017	64.2%	67.3%	60.7%
Algeria	Ain El Hadid	2000	78.4%	80.8%	75.9%
Algeria	Ain El Hadid	2017	78.6%	81.0%	76.0%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2000	76.9%	79.2%	74.3%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2000	77.7%	79.6%	75.9%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2017	77.9%	79.9%	76.2%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2017	77.0%	79.3%	74.4%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjel	2000	81.0%	84.6%	77.3%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjel	2017	81.1%	84.7%	77.5%
Algeria	Ain El Ibel	2000	80.8%	83.4%	78.5%
Algeria	Ain El Ibel	2017	81.1%	83.6%	78.8%
Algeria	Ain El Kebira	2000	65.0%	67.1%	62.0%
Algeria	Ain El Kebira	2017	65.0%	67.1%	62.0%
Algeria	Ain El Kercha	2000	62.1%	65.6%	58.4%
Algeria	Ain El Kercha	2017	62.3%	65.8%	58.6%
Algeria	Ain El Melh	2000	78.1%	81.7%	74.1%
Algeria	Ain El Melh	2017	78.4%	81.9%	74.4%
Algeria	Ain El Orak	2000	78.5%	81.8%	74.7%
Algeria	Ain El Orak	2017	78.7%	81.7%	75.1%
Algeria	Ain Errich	2000	77.2%	80.9%	72.6%
Algeria	Ain Errich	2017	77.3%	80.8%	72.7%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2000	73.1%	75.7%	70.3%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2000	73.9%	77.9%	68.9%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2017	73.2%	75.8%	70.5%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2017	74.0%	77.9%	69.1%
Algeria	Ain Fekan	2000	72.1%	75.1%	69.0%
Algeria	Ain Fekan	2017	72.2%	75.2%	69.1%
Algeria	Ain Fekka	2000	81.6%	85.4%	78.0%
Algeria	Ain Fekka	2017	81.7%	85.5%	78.2%
Algeria	Ain Ferah	2000	75.4%	78.2%	72.5%
Algeria	Ain Ferah	2017	75.5%	78.4%	72.7%
Algeria	Ain Fettah	2000	68.5%	71.7%	65.1%
Algeria	Ain Fettah	2017	69.0%	72.1%	65.6%
Algeria	Ain Fezza	2000	70.2%	72.8%	66.9%
Algeria	Ain Fezza	2017	70.5%	73.2%	67.3%
Algeria	Ain Frass	2000	71.2%	74.6%	67.7%
Algeria	Ain Frass	2017	71.4%	74.8%	67.9%
Algeria	Ain Ghoraba	2000	70.5%	74.4%	66.2%
Algeria	Ain Ghoraba	2017	70.8%	74.6%	66.6%
Algeria	Ain Kada	2000	70.8%	74.0%	67.4%
Algeria	Ain Kada	2017	71.0%	74.2%	67.7%
Algeria	Ain Kebira	2000	68.8%	72.1%	65.4%
Algeria	Ain Kebira	2017	69.0%	72.3%	65.7%
Algeria	Ain Kechra	2000	82.0%	84.1%	79.5%
Algeria	Ain Kechra	2017	82.0%	84.1%	79.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2000	80.1%	83.1%	76.5%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2000	70.1%	74.3%	65.3%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2017	79.9%	83.0%	76.4%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2017	70.4%	74.6%	65.7%
Algeria	Ain Kermes	2000	78.6%	81.3%	76.0%
Algeria	Ain Kermes	2017	78.7%	81.5%	76.2%
Algeria	Ain Khadra	2000	77.5%	80.3%	74.8%
Algeria	Ain Khadra	2017	77.6%	80.5%	75.0%
Algeria	Ain Kihel	2000	70.9%	74.1%	67.9%
Algeria	Ain Kihel	2017	71.1%	74.2%	68.1%
Algeria	Ain Lahdjar	2000	61.0%	64.5%	57.6%
Algeria	Ain Lahdjar	2017	61.2%	64.7%	57.9%
Algeria	Ain Laloui	2000	77.2%	79.6%	74.2%
Algeria	Ain Laloui	2017	77.3%	79.8%	74.4%
Algeria	Ain Larbi	2000	78.2%	81.5%	75.2%
Algeria	Ain Larbi	2017	78.3%	81.6%	75.3%
Algeria	Ain Lechiakh	2000	76.7%	79.9%	73.5%
Algeria	Ain Lechiakh	2017	76.8%	80.0%	73.6%
Algeria	Ain M'Lila	2000	65.9%	68.8%	63.1%
Algeria	Ain M'Lila	2017	65.8%	68.8%	62.9%
Algeria	Ain Maabed	2000	81.3%	83.8%	79.2%
Algeria	Ain Maabed	2017	81.6%	84.1%	79.5%
Algeria	Ain Madhi	2000	80.1%	84.0%	76.3%
Algeria	Ain Madhi	2017	79.9%	83.6%	76.3%
Algeria	Ain Makhlouf	2000	77.1%	80.3%	74.2%
Algeria	Ain Makhlouf	2017	77.4%	80.5%	74.5%
Algeria	Ain Mellouk	2000	79.1%	80.8%	76.7%
Algeria	Ain Mellouk	2017	79.3%	81.0%	76.9%
Algeria	Ain Merrane	2000	75.4%	78.1%	72.8%
Algeria	Ain Merrane	2017	75.9%	78.5%	73.2%
Algeria	Ain Naga	2000	48.5%	53.4%	43.9%
Algeria	Ain Naga	2017	48.7%	53.5%	44.1%
Algeria	Ain Nehala	2000	70.5%	74.5%	66.9%
Algeria	Ain Nehala	2017	70.8%	74.7%	67.2%
Algeria	Ain Nouissy	2000	70.5%	73.0%	68.2%
Algeria	Ain Nouissy	2017	70.8%	73.3%	68.6%
Algeria	Ain Ouksir	2000	78.5%	82.1%	74.2%
Algeria	Ain Ouksir	2017	78.7%	82.3%	74.5%
Algeria	Ain Oulmane	2000	63.5%	66.3%	60.0%
Algeria	Ain Oulmane	2017	63.8%	66.5%	60.3%
Algeria	Ain Oussera	2000	81.6%	83.3%	79.8%
Algeria	Ain Oussera	2017	81.8%	83.6%	80.0%
Algeria	Ain Rahma	2000	71.5%	74.3%	68.2%
Algeria	Ain Rahma	2017	71.6%	74.4%	68.4%
Algeria	Ain Rekada	2000	78.4%	81.0%	75.6%
Algeria	Ain Rekada	2017	78.6%	81.2%	75.8%
Algeria	Ain Romana	2000	76.3%	78.9%	73.3%
Algeria	Ain Romana	2017	76.3%	78.9%	73.4%
Algeria	Ain Roua	2000	64.5%	67.9%	61.6%
Algeria	Ain Roua	2017	64.6%	67.9%	61.8%
Algeria	Ain Safra	2000	79.3%	81.7%	76.4%
Algeria	Ain Safra	2017	79.5%	81.8%	76.7%
Algeria	Ain Sandel	2000	78.8%	82.0%	75.8%
Algeria	Ain Sandel	2017	79.0%	82.1%	76.0%
Algeria	Ain Sekhouana	2000	78.5%	82.3%	73.8%
Algeria	Ain Sekhouana	2017	78.6%	82.5%	73.7%
Algeria	Ain Semara	2000	78.3%	80.3%	76.3%
Algeria	Ain Semara	2017	78.0%	80.1%	75.9%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Ali	2000	79.8%	83.5%	75.6%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Ali	2017	79.9%	83.6%	75.8%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Cherif	2000	70.1%	72.4%	67.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Sidi Cherif	2017	70.3%	72.7%	68.0%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	77.3%	80.3%	74.5%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	76.8%	80.4%	73.4%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	76.0%	78.9%	72.6%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	76.3%	79.1%	72.8%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	76.8%	80.5%	73.4%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	77.6%	80.5%	74.9%
Algeria	Ain Taghrout	2000	61.0%	63.6%	58.1%
Algeria	Ain Taghrout	2017	61.3%	63.9%	58.3%
Algeria	Ain Tagourait	2000	75.5%	78.5%	72.4%
Algeria	Ain Tagourait	2017	75.7%	78.7%	72.6%
Algeria	Ain Tallout	2000	70.2%	73.7%	66.8%
Algeria	Ain Tallout	2017	70.3%	73.9%	67.0%
Algeria	Ain Tarek	2000	73.7%	76.7%	70.4%
Algeria	Ain Tarek	2017	73.9%	76.9%	70.6%
Algeria	Ain Tedles	2000	71.1%	73.5%	68.8%
Algeria	Ain Tedles	2017	71.5%	73.9%	69.2%
Algeria	Ain Temouchent	2000	70.7%	73.3%	67.8%
Algeria	Ain Temouchent	2017	70.9%	73.4%	67.9%
Algeria	Ain Tesra	2000	63.3%	66.0%	60.6%
Algeria	Ain Tesra	2017	63.6%	66.3%	60.8%
Algeria	Ain Thrid	2000	70.7%	73.2%	68.1%
Algeria	Ain Thrid	2017	70.9%	73.4%	68.3%
Algeria	Ain Tindamine	2000	70.9%	75.0%	66.8%
Algeria	Ain Tindamine	2017	71.0%	75.1%	66.9%
Algeria	Ain Tine	2000	81.7%	84.0%	79.6%
Algeria	Ain Tine	2017	81.8%	84.1%	79.7%
Algeria	Ain Tolba	2000	69.8%	72.9%	66.5%
Algeria	Ain Tolba	2017	69.8%	72.9%	66.5%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	77.4%	79.7%	75.1%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	77.7%	79.9%	75.3%
Algeria	Ain Touila	2000	62.1%	66.2%	57.5%
Algeria	Ain Touila	2017	62.3%	66.4%	57.8%
Algeria	Ain Touta	2000	59.2%	62.2%	56.3%
Algeria	Ain Touta	2017	59.4%	62.4%	56.5%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	69.2%	72.3%	65.6%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	76.6%	79.2%	73.5%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	69.4%	72.7%	65.7%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	76.7%	79.4%	73.8%
Algeria	Ain Yagout	2000	64.2%	66.8%	61.5%
Algeria	Ain Yagout	2017	64.4%	66.9%	61.8%
Algeria	Ain Youcef	2000	68.2%	71.2%	64.7%
Algeria	Ain Youcef	2017	68.4%	71.4%	64.9%
Algeria	Ain Zaatout	2000	56.8%	60.1%	53.5%
Algeria	Ain Zaatout	2017	57.4%	60.6%	53.9%
Algeria	Ain Zana	2000	80.9%	84.1%	77.1%
Algeria	Ain Zana	2017	81.1%	84.2%	77.2%
Algeria	Ain Zarit	2000	77.8%	80.2%	75.1%
Algeria	Ain Zarit	2017	77.9%	80.3%	75.3%
Algeria	Ain Zerga	2000	63.9%	68.9%	58.3%
Algeria	Ain Zerga	2017	64.0%	69.2%	58.4%
Algeria	Ain Zitoun	2000	61.1%	64.7%	57.7%
Algeria	Ain Zitoun	2017	61.3%	64.8%	57.9%
Algeria	Ain Zouit	2000	80.6%	83.2%	77.9%
Algeria	Ain Zouit	2017	80.9%	83.4%	78.2%
Algeria	Ain-Bessem	2000	77.7%	80.2%	74.6%
Algeria	Ain-Bessem	2017	77.9%	80.4%	74.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain-El-Hammam	2000	77.4%	80.2%	74.0%
Algeria	Ain-El-Hammam	2017	77.6%	80.4%	74.2%
Algeria	Ain-Legradj	2000	67.7%	70.7%	64.8%
Algeria	Ain-Legradj	2017	67.8%	70.8%	65.0%
Algeria	Ain-Sebt	2000	74.6%	77.8%	71.4%
Algeria	Ain-Sebt	2017	74.9%	78.1%	71.7%
Algeria	Ain-Zaouia	2000	76.0%	78.7%	72.9%
Algeria	Ain-Zaouia	2017	76.1%	78.9%	73.1%
Algeria	Aissaouia	2000	77.3%	80.7%	73.8%
Algeria	Aissaouia	2017	77.5%	80.8%	74.0%
Algeria	Ait Ag-gouacha	2000	78.6%	81.0%	76.1%
Algeria	Ait Ag-gouacha	2017	78.7%	81.0%	76.2%
Algeria	Ait Aissa Mimoun	2000	77.0%	79.4%	74.8%
Algeria	Ait Aissa Mimoun	2017	77.3%	79.7%	75.1%
Algeria	Ait Bouadou	2000	78.3%	81.1%	75.3%
Algeria	Ait Bouadou	2017	78.5%	81.3%	75.4%
Algeria	Ait Boumehdi	2000	77.8%	80.8%	74.5%
Algeria	Ait Boumehdi	2017	77.9%	80.9%	74.6%
Algeria	Ait Khelili	2000	77.9%	80.2%	75.4%
Algeria	Ait Khelili	2017	78.2%	80.5%	75.6%
Algeria	Ait Laaziz	2000	77.5%	80.3%	74.4%
Algeria	Ait Laaziz	2017	77.6%	80.4%	74.6%
Algeria	Ait Naoual Mezada	2000	70.8%	74.1%	67.6%
Algeria	Ait Naoual Mezada	2017	70.8%	74.1%	67.6%
Algeria	Ait Oumalou	2000	78.7%	81.0%	76.3%
Algeria	Ait Oumalou	2017	78.5%	80.8%	76.2%
Algeria	Ait R'Zine	2000	71.9%	75.5%	68.1%
Algeria	Ait R'Zine	2017	72.1%	75.7%	68.4%
Algeria	Ait Toudert	2000	76.7%	79.9%	73.7%
Algeria	Ait Toudert	2017	76.9%	80.1%	73.9%
Algeria	Ait Yahia Moussa	2000	76.6%	79.4%	73.9%
Algeria	Ait Yahia Moussa	2017	76.6%	79.3%	74.0%
Algeria	Ait-Chaffaa	2000	78.1%	81.9%	74.1%
Algeria	Ait-Chaffaa	2017	78.3%	82.3%	74.4%
Algeria	Ait-Mahmoud	2000	76.9%	79.2%	74.1%
Algeria	Ait-Mahmoud	2017	77.1%	79.4%	74.4%
Algeria	Ait-Smail	2000	72.1%	75.5%	68.6%
Algeria	Ait-Smail	2017	72.4%	75.8%	68.8%
Algeria	Ait-Tizi	2000	70.9%	74.2%	67.8%
Algeria	Ait-Tizi	2017	71.0%	74.3%	67.9%
Algeria	Ait-Yahia	2000	77.3%	79.9%	74.1%
Algeria	Ait-Yahia	2017	77.5%	80.1%	74.3%
Algeria	Akabli	2000	48.8%	57.3%	40.8%
Algeria	Akabli	2017	48.7%	56.9%	41.1%
Algeria	Akbil	2000	77.8%	80.9%	74.4%
Algeria	Akbil	2017	78.0%	81.0%	74.6%
Algeria	Akbou	2000	73.0%	75.8%	70.1%
Algeria	Akbou	2017	73.0%	75.8%	70.1%
Algeria	Akerrou	2000	78.7%	82.3%	74.9%
Algeria	Akerrou	2017	79.0%	82.5%	75.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Akfadou	2000	77.1%	79.8%	74.4%
Algeria	Akfadou	2017	77.2%	79.8%	74.4%
Algeria	Alaimia	2000	69.9%	73.0%	65.9%
Algeria	Alaimia	2017	70.1%	73.2%	66.2%
Algeria	Amalou	2000	72.2%	75.0%	69.2%
Algeria	Amalou	2017	72.4%	75.1%	69.5%
Algeria	Amernas	2000	71.0%	73.4%	68.8%
Algeria	Amernas	2017	71.2%	73.7%	69.0%
Algeria	Amieur	2000	69.5%	72.6%	66.3%
Algeria	Amieur	2017	69.8%	72.9%	66.7%
Algeria	Amirat Arres	2000	82.5%	84.5%	80.5%
Algeria	Amirat Arres	2017	82.7%	84.6%	80.7%
Algeria	Amizour	2000	73.5%	76.6%	70.3%
Algeria	Amizour	2017	73.8%	76.9%	70.5%
Algeria	Ammal	2000	76.8%	79.3%	74.4%
Algeria	Ammal	2017	76.8%	79.3%	74.5%
Algeria	Ammari	2000	79.5%	81.4%	77.2%
Algeria	Ammari	2017	79.5%	81.5%	77.4%
Algeria	Ammi Moussa	2000	72.8%	75.7%	69.4%
Algeria	Ammi Moussa	2017	73.0%	75.9%	69.5%
Algeria	Amoucha	2000	65.6%	68.2%	62.6%
Algeria	Amoucha	2017	65.9%	68.5%	63.0%
Algeria	Amourah	2000	76.6%	80.9%	70.7%
Algeria	Amourah	2017	76.0%	80.4%	70.0%
Algeria	Annaba	2000	80.4%	82.6%	78.0%
Algeria	Annaba	2017	80.6%	82.7%	78.1%
Algeria	Aokas	2000	73.4%	76.9%	69.6%
Algeria	Aokas	2017	73.7%	77.3%	69.9%
Algeria	Aomar	2000	76.5%	79.1%	73.5%
Algeria	Aomar	2017	76.6%	79.3%	73.7%
Algeria	Aoubellil	2000	70.4%	73.9%	66.7%
Algeria	Aoubellil	2017	70.7%	74.2%	66.9%
Algeria	Aouf	2000	75.7%	79.1%	72.5%
Algeria	Aouf	2017	75.9%	79.3%	72.7%
Algeria	Aougrouit	2000	49.4%	55.4%	42.6%
Algeria	Aougrouit	2017	49.6%	55.6%	42.9%
Algeria	Aoulef	2000	48.2%	53.3%	44.2%
Algeria	Aoulef	2017	48.3%	53.8%	44.3%
Algeria	Arbaouat	2000	79.1%	82.4%	75.4%
Algeria	Arbaouat	2017	79.2%	82.6%	75.6%
Algeria	Arib	2000	76.5%	79.7%	73.4%
Algeria	Arib	2017	76.6%	79.7%	73.5%
Algeria	Arris	2000	62.0%	66.0%	58.4%
Algeria	Arris	2017	62.1%	66.0%	58.6%
Algeria	Arzew	2000	69.0%	71.8%	66.2%
Algeria	Arzew	2017	69.3%	72.0%	66.4%
Algeria	Asfour	2000	80.3%	82.9%	77.4%
Algeria	Asfour	2017	80.4%	83.0%	77.5%
Algeria	Assela	2000	80.0%	83.3%	76.3%
Algeria	Assela	2017	80.3%	83.5%	76.7%
Algeria	Assi Youcef	2000	78.0%	81.0%	75.0%
Algeria	Assi Youcef	2017	78.1%	81.1%	75.1%
Algeria	Ath Mansour	2000	73.5%	77.9%	69.1%
Algeria	Ath Mansour Taourirt	2017	73.7%	78.0%	69.3%
Algeria	Azails	2000	69.4%	73.6%	64.7%
Algeria	Azails	2017	69.2%	73.2%	64.5%
Algeria	Azazga	2000	78.0%	80.2%	75.5%
Algeria	Azazga	2017	78.2%	80.4%	75.6%
Algeria	Azil Ab-delkader (Metkouak)	2000	73.5%	76.5%	70.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Azil Abdelkader (Metkouak)	2017	73.9%	76.9%	70.9%
Algeria	Azzaba	2000	80.7%	82.9%	78.4%
Algeria	Azzaba	2017	80.9%	83.1%	78.7%
Algeria	Azzefoun	2000	78.0%	81.7%	74.0%
Algeria	Azzefoun	2017	78.0%	81.8%	73.8%
Algeria	Azziz	2000	77.5%	80.6%	73.5%
Algeria	Azziz	2017	77.7%	80.9%	73.7%
Algeria	Azzizia	2000	77.3%	80.5%	73.6%
Algeria	Azzizia	2017	77.4%	80.7%	73.8%
Algeria	Baata	2000	77.5%	80.8%	73.6%
Algeria	Baata	2017	78.0%	81.4%	74.1%
Algeria	Bab El Assa	2000	68.4%	72.9%	63.2%
Algeria	Bab El Assa	2017	68.5%	73.0%	63.2%
Algeria	Babar	2000	60.0%	63.5%	56.2%
Algeria	Babar	2017	59.5%	63.2%	55.5%
Algeria	Babor	2000	69.1%	72.2%	65.6%
Algeria	Babor	2017	68.9%	72.0%	65.4%
Algeria	Badredine El Mokrani	2000	70.7%	74.4%	67.1%
Algeria	Badredine El Mokrani	2017	70.9%	74.6%	67.3%
Algeria	Baghai	2000	61.9%	64.9%	58.8%
Algeria	Baghai	2017	62.2%	65.2%	59.1%
Algeria	Baghlia	2000	76.6%	79.7%	73.1%
Algeria	Baghlia	2017	76.7%	79.9%	73.2%
Algeria	Baladiet	2000	48.7%	53.1%	44.4%
Algeria	Amor Baladiet	2017	49.0%	53.5%	44.7%
Algeria	Amor Baraki	2000	75.3%	76.8%	73.8%
Algeria	Amor Baraki	2017	75.5%	77.0%	74.0%
Algeria	Barbouche	2000	76.7%	80.3%	73.4%
Algeria	Barbouche	2017	76.8%	80.4%	73.6%
Algeria	Barika	2000	62.6%	66.1%	59.3%
Algeria	Barika	2017	62.8%	66.3%	59.4%
Algeria	Bathia	2000	78.0%	80.8%	75.0%
Algeria	Bathia	2017	78.2%	81.0%	75.1%
Algeria	Batna	2000	61.6%	64.4%	58.8%
Algeria	Batna	2017	61.9%	64.6%	59.0%
Algeria	Bayadha	2000	48.3%	51.8%	45.5%
Algeria	Bayadha	2017	48.6%	52.1%	45.8%
Algeria	Bazer-Sakra	2000	62.4%	64.8%	60.0%
Algeria	Bazer-Sakra	2017	62.7%	65.1%	60.3%
Algeria	Bechar	2000	48.3%	52.0%	44.7%
Algeria	Bechar	2017	48.5%	52.3%	44.9%
Algeria	Bechloul	2000	75.9%	79.6%	72.5%
Algeria	Bechloul	2017	75.8%	79.6%	72.4%
Algeria	Bedjene	2000	62.2%	65.9%	57.9%
Algeria	Bedjene	2017	62.5%	66.3%	58.2%
Algeria	Beidha Bordj	2000	61.9%	65.3%	58.2%
Algeria	Beidha Bordj	2017	62.1%	65.5%	58.4%
Algeria	Bejaia	2000	75.6%	78.8%	71.6%
Algeria	Bejaia	2017	75.7%	79.0%	71.8%
Algeria	Bekkaria	2000	64.1%	68.3%	59.8%
Algeria	Bekkaria	2017	64.5%	68.6%	60.3%
Algeria	Bekkouche	2000	80.4%	82.4%	77.7%
Algeria	Lakhdar Bekkouche	2017	80.5%	82.5%	77.9%
Algeria	Belaas	2000	77.7%	80.6%	74.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Belaas	2017	77.9%	80.7%	74.8%
Algeria	Belaassel	2000	70.1%	73.0%	67.5%
	Bouzagza				
Algeria	Belaassel	2017	70.4%	73.2%	67.8%
	Bouzagza				
Algeria	Belaiba	2000	72.9%	75.5%	70.6%
Algeria	Belaiba	2017	73.2%	75.7%	70.8%
Algeria	Belala	2000	62.6%	67.0%	58.2%
Algeria	Belala	2017	62.7%	67.2%	58.4%
Algeria	Belarbi	2000	72.0%	75.9%	68.6%
Algeria	Belarbi	2017	72.3%	76.1%	68.8%
Algeria	Belimour	2000	67.6%	70.6%	64.4%
Algeria	Belimour	2017	67.7%	70.7%	64.5%
Algeria	Belkheir	2000	78.3%	80.8%	76.0%
Algeria	Belkheir	2017	78.4%	80.9%	76.2%
Algeria	Bellaa	2000	70.2%	73.1%	67.6%
Algeria	Bellaa	2017	70.1%	72.9%	67.5%
Algeria	Ben Allal	2000	76.7%	79.5%	73.3%
Algeria	Ben Allal	2017	77.0%	79.8%	73.5%
Algeria	Ben Azzouz	2000	80.4%	82.7%	78.0%
Algeria	Ben Azzouz	2017	80.5%	82.8%	78.1%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2000	78.3%	80.7%	75.8%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2000	70.3%	73.9%	66.7%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2017	78.4%	80.7%	75.8%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2017	70.6%	74.1%	67.0%
Algeria	Ben Chicao	2000	76.5%	79.9%	72.9%
Algeria	Ben Chicao	2017	76.7%	80.0%	73.1%
Algeria	Ben Choud	2000	76.9%	80.3%	73.0%
Algeria	Ben Choud	2017	77.0%	80.5%	73.2%
Algeria	Ben Daoud	2000	70.7%	73.2%	68.0%
Algeria	Ben Daoud	2017	71.1%	73.5%	68.4%
Algeria	Ben Djerrah	2000	79.5%	81.5%	77.4%
Algeria	Ben Djerrah	2017	79.7%	81.7%	77.6%
Algeria	Ben Freha	2000	70.1%	72.6%	67.6%
Algeria	Ben Freha	2017	70.3%	72.8%	67.9%
Algeria	Ben Guecha	2000	52.5%	58.9%	46.3%
Algeria	Ben Guecha	2017	52.4%	58.7%	46.3%
Algeria	Ben M'Hidi	2000	80.2%	82.4%	77.5%
Algeria	Ben M'Hidi	2017	80.4%	82.6%	77.7%
Algeria	Ben Srour	2000	79.3%	82.3%	76.2%
Algeria	Ben Srour	2017	79.4%	82.4%	76.2%
Algeria	Benabdelmalek	2000	71.2%	74.6%	67.7%
	Ramdane				
Algeria	Benabdelmalek	2017	71.5%	74.8%	67.9%
	Ramdane				
Algeria	Benaceur	2000	48.2%	53.1%	43.3%
Algeria	Benaceur	2017	48.9%	54.5%	43.2%
Algeria	Benaicha Che- lia	2000	70.7%	74.2%	66.9%
Algeria	Benaicha Che- lia	2017	70.9%	74.4%	67.2%
Algeria	Benairia	2000	77.3%	81.2%	73.8%
Algeria	Benairia	2017	77.5%	81.3%	74.0%
Algeria	Bendaoud	2000	77.7%	81.5%	74.1%
Algeria	Bendaoud	2017	77.8%	81.6%	74.3%
Algeria	Benhar	2000	80.9%	83.0%	78.7%
Algeria	Benhar	2017	81.1%	83.3%	78.7%
Algeria	Beni Abbes	2000	49.6%	55.8%	42.3%
Algeria	Beni Abbes	2017	49.8%	56.0%	42.7%
Algeria	Beni Aissi	2000	76.4%	78.6%	74.3%
Algeria	Beni Aissi	2017	76.6%	78.8%	74.5%
Algeria	Beni Amrane	2000	76.1%	78.6%	74.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Beni Amrane	2017	76.2%	78.8%	74.1%
Algeria	Beni Bahdel	2000	69.1%	73.3%	64.5%
Algeria	Beni Bahdel	2017	69.1%	73.2%	64.4%
Algeria	Beni Bechir	2000	80.8%	82.8%	78.9%
Algeria	Beni Bechir	2017	81.0%	82.9%	79.1%
Algeria	Beni Bouat-tab	2000	76.5%	79.4%	73.6%
Algeria	Beni Bouat-tab	2017	76.7%	79.6%	73.8%
Algeria	Beni Boussaid	2000	69.5%	74.0%	65.1%
Algeria	Beni Boussaid	2017	69.7%	74.2%	65.2%
Algeria	Beni Chaib	2000	78.0%	80.7%	75.4%
Algeria	Beni Chaib	2017	78.1%	80.8%	75.5%
Algeria	Beni Chebana	2000	69.2%	72.5%	65.9%
Algeria	Beni Chebana	2017	69.3%	72.6%	66.1%
Algeria	Beni Dejlil	2000	74.2%	77.4%	70.6%
Algeria	Beni Dejlil	2017	74.4%	77.6%	70.9%
Algeria	Beni Dergoun	2000	71.1%	74.2%	68.3%
Algeria	Beni Dergoun	2017	71.2%	74.4%	68.4%
Algeria	Beni Fouda	2000	65.3%	67.6%	62.4%
Algeria	Beni Fouda	2017	65.7%	67.9%	62.8%
Algeria	Beni Foudala	2000	60.5%	63.4%	57.7%
Algeria	El Hakania				
Algeria	Beni Foudala	2017	60.1%	62.8%	57.3%
Algeria	El Hakania				
Algeria	Beni Hamidane	2000	81.4%	83.7%	79.2%
Algeria	Beni Hamidane	2017	81.5%	83.8%	79.4%
Algeria	Beni Haoua	2000	75.8%	80.9%	70.3%
Algeria	Beni Haoua	2017	75.8%	80.9%	70.3%
Algeria	Beni Ikhlef	2000	49.7%	56.6%	44.0%
Algeria	Beni Ikhlef	2017	49.7%	56.1%	44.0%
Algeria	Beni Ilmane	2000	78.0%	81.6%	74.9%
Algeria	Beni Ilmane	2017	78.2%	81.7%	75.1%
Algeria	Beni K'Sila	2000	77.1%	81.6%	72.2%
Algeria	Beni K'Sila	2017	77.4%	81.9%	72.3%
Algeria	Beni Khellad	2000	68.0%	72.0%	63.4%
Algeria	Beni Khellad	2017	68.4%	72.3%	63.9%
Algeria	Beni Lahcene	2000	78.3%	80.8%	75.7%
Algeria	Beni Lahcene	2017	78.4%	80.9%	75.7%
Algeria	Beni Merad	2000	75.4%	77.5%	73.0%
Algeria	Beni Merad	2017	75.5%	77.6%	73.2%
Algeria	Beni Mester	2000	69.5%	71.9%	66.9%
Algeria	Beni Mester	2017	69.8%	72.2%	67.3%
Algeria	Beni Mezline	2000	79.0%	81.3%	76.3%
Algeria	Beni Mezline	2017	79.2%	81.5%	76.6%
Algeria	Beni Mileuk	2000	77.1%	81.5%	72.9%
Algeria	Beni Mileuk	2017	77.2%	81.5%	73.0%
Algeria	Beni Ouarsous	2000	68.1%	71.9%	64.4%
Algeria	Beni Ouarsous	2017	68.4%	72.1%	64.6%
Algeria	Beni Oulbane	2000	81.5%	83.7%	79.2%
Algeria	Beni Oulbane	2017	81.5%	83.7%	79.2%
Algeria	Beni Ounif	2000	54.3%	59.9%	48.5%
Algeria	Beni Ounif	2017	55.2%	60.5%	49.7%
Algeria	Beni Oussine	2000	62.9%	66.2%	59.9%
Algeria	Beni Oussine	2017	63.3%	66.6%	60.3%
Algeria	Beni Rached	2000	77.8%	80.5%	75.1%
Algeria	Beni Rached	2017	78.1%	80.8%	75.4%
Algeria	Beni Saf	2000	69.2%	72.9%	64.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Beni Saf	2017	69.4%	73.2%	64.9%
Algeria	Beni Slimane	2000	76.5%	79.9%	73.0%
Algeria	Beni Slimane	2017	76.8%	80.1%	73.2%
Algeria	Beni Smiel	2000	69.9%	73.7%	65.7%
Algeria	Beni Smiel	2017	69.8%	73.8%	65.5%
Algeria	Beni Snous	2000	70.0%	74.5%	65.6%
Algeria	Beni Snous	2017	69.9%	74.4%	65.4%
Algeria	Beni Yenni	2000	76.6%	79.3%	73.9%
Algeria	Beni Yenni	2017	76.8%	79.5%	74.1%
Algeria	Beni Zentis	2000	71.9%	75.2%	68.9%
Algeria	Beni Zentis	2017	72.0%	75.2%	69.1%
Algeria	Beni Zid	2000	81.3%	83.6%	78.6%
Algeria	Beni Zid	2017	81.3%	83.6%	78.5%
Algeria	Beni Zmenzer	2000	77.1%	79.3%	74.7%
Algeria	Beni Zmenzer	2017	77.2%	79.4%	74.8%
Algeria	Beni-Aziz	2000	72.0%	74.9%	68.8%
Algeria	Beni-Aziz	2017	72.1%	75.0%	69.0%
Algeria	Beni-Douala	2000	77.3%	79.6%	74.7%
Algeria	Beni-Douala	2017	77.5%	79.8%	75.0%
Algeria	Beni-Mellikeche	2000	75.3%	78.6%	71.9%
Algeria	Beni-Mellikeche	2017	75.1%	78.6%	71.7%
Algeria	Beni-Mouhli	2000	70.9%	74.1%	67.6%
Algeria	Beni-Mouhli	2017	71.2%	74.4%	67.9%
Algeria	Beni-Quartilane	2000	68.3%	71.8%	64.7%
Algeria	Beni-Quartilane	2017	68.5%	72.0%	64.9%
Algeria	Beni-Tamou	2000	75.4%	77.3%	73.3%
Algeria	Beni-Tamou	2017	75.6%	77.5%	73.4%
Algeria	Beni-Zikki	2000	78.2%	80.6%	75.8%
Algeria	Beni-Zikki	2017	78.3%	80.7%	76.0%
Algeria	Benian	2000	75.1%	78.4%	72.3%
Algeria	Benian	2017	75.3%	78.6%	72.6%
Algeria	Benimaouche	2000	71.6%	74.8%	68.2%
Algeria	Benimaouche	2017	71.9%	75.2%	68.5%
Algeria	Benkhelil	2000	74.8%	76.5%	72.8%
Algeria	Benkhelil	2017	75.1%	76.8%	73.1%
Algeria	Bensekrane	2000	69.9%	73.5%	66.6%
Algeria	Bensekrane	2017	70.0%	73.6%	66.7%
Algeria	Benyacoub	2000	80.9%	83.8%	77.7%
Algeria	Benyacoub	2017	80.9%	83.8%	77.7%
Algeria	Benyahia Abderrahmane	2000	75.2%	77.7%	72.6%
Algeria	Benyahia Abderrahmane	2017	75.4%	77.9%	72.9%
Algeria	Benzouh	2000	81.9%	84.8%	78.7%
Algeria	Benzouh	2017	82.0%	84.9%	78.9%
Algeria	Berbacha	2000	72.3%	75.4%	69.2%
Algeria	Berbacha	2017	72.3%	75.5%	69.3%
Algeria	Berhoum	2000	78.4%	81.0%	76.0%
Algeria	Berhoum	2017	78.7%	81.2%	76.2%
Algeria	Berrahal	2000	80.4%	82.8%	78.0%
Algeria	Berrahal	2017	80.6%	83.0%	78.2%
Algeria	Berriane	2000	61.4%	65.0%	58.0%
Algeria	Berriane	2017	59.5%	63.5%	55.9%
Algeria	Berriche	2000	64.2%	67.8%	60.3%
Algeria	Berriche	2017	64.3%	67.8%	60.5%
Algeria	Berrihane	2000	79.5%	82.6%	76.7%
Algeria	Berrihane	2017	79.6%	82.8%	76.7%
Algeria	Berrouaghia	2000	75.9%	79.6%	72.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Berrouaghia	2017	76.0%	79.7%	72.2%
Algeria	Besbes	2000	80.4%	82.3%	78.3%
Algeria	Besbes	2000	55.6%	59.8%	51.9%
Algeria	Besbes	2017	80.6%	82.6%	78.4%
Algeria	Besbes	2017	55.3%	59.5%	51.7%
Algeria	Bethioua	2000	69.1%	71.8%	66.0%
Algeria	Bethioua	2017	69.4%	72.0%	66.2%
Algeria	Bhir El Chergui	2000	64.6%	69.1%	59.9%
Algeria	Bhir El Chergui	2017	64.7%	69.2%	59.9%
Algeria	Bin El Ouiden	2000	80.8%	83.2%	78.3%
Algeria	Bin El Ouiden	2017	80.9%	83.3%	78.4%
Algeria	Bir Ben Laabed	2000	76.5%	80.2%	72.6%
Algeria	Bir Ben Laabed	2017	76.6%	80.4%	72.8%
Algeria	Bir	2000	71.7%	76.0%	67.1%
Algeria	Bouhouche	2017	72.0%	76.3%	67.4%
Algeria	Bir Chouhada	2000	67.5%	70.8%	64.0%
Algeria	Bir Chouhada	2017	67.7%	71.0%	64.2%
Algeria	Bir Dheb	2000	62.5%	66.1%	58.7%
Algeria	Bir Dheb	2017	62.7%	66.3%	58.9%
Algeria	Bir El Ater	2000	63.4%	67.4%	59.0%
Algeria	Bir El Ater	2017	63.6%	67.6%	59.4%
Algeria	Bir El Djir	2000	70.8%	73.1%	68.4%
Algeria	Bir El Djir	2017	71.3%	73.6%	68.8%
Algeria	Bir El Hammam	2000	74.4%	79.0%	69.3%
Algeria	Bir El Hammam	2017	74.9%	79.2%	70.1%
Algeria	Bir Foda	2000	80.9%	83.8%	77.7%
Algeria	Bir Foda	2017	80.9%	83.7%	77.6%
Algeria	Bir Ghalou	2000	77.3%	80.4%	73.7%
Algeria	Bir Ghalou	2017	77.4%	80.5%	73.9%
Algeria	Bir Haddada	2000	61.2%	64.5%	57.9%
Algeria	Bir Haddada	2017	61.5%	64.8%	58.1%
Algeria	Bir Kasdali	2000	61.4%	64.0%	58.6%
Algeria	Bir Kasdali	2017	61.6%	64.1%	58.7%
Algeria	Bir Mokkadem	2000	61.6%	65.1%	58.1%
Algeria	Bir Mokkadem	2017	61.9%	65.4%	58.4%
Algeria	Bir Ould Khe-lifa	2000	75.7%	78.5%	72.2%
Algeria	Bir Ould Khe-lifa	2017	75.9%	78.7%	72.4%
Algeria	Bir-El-Arch	2000	66.4%	69.2%	63.7%
Algeria	Bir-El-Arch	2017	66.6%	69.4%	63.9%
Algeria	Birine	2000	80.3%	83.5%	76.5%
Algeria	Birine	2017	80.5%	83.8%	76.8%
Algeria	Birtouta	2000	75.5%	77.4%	73.8%
Algeria	Birtouta	2017	75.6%	77.6%	74.0%
Algeria	Biskra	2000	47.8%	50.1%	45.2%
Algeria	Biskra	2017	48.2%	50.5%	45.6%
Algeria	Bitam	2000	60.2%	63.2%	56.1%
Algeria	Bitam	2017	60.5%	63.5%	56.4%
Algeria	Blida	2000	75.9%	77.9%	73.4%
Algeria	Blida	2017	76.1%	78.2%	73.6%
Algeria	Boghni	2000	77.0%	79.9%	74.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Boghni	2017	77.0%	79.9%	74.2%
Algeria	Bordj Badji Mokhtar	2000	43.3%	48.6%	38.0%
Algeria	Bordj Badji Mokhtar	2017	42.8%	48.5%	37.1%
Algeria	Bordj Ben Az-zouz	2000	49.6%	52.4%	46.3%
Algeria	Bordj Ben Az-zouz	2017	49.8%	52.7%	46.5%
Algeria	Bordj Bou Ar-reridj	2000	63.5%	65.8%	61.0%
Algeria	Bordj Bou Ar-reridj	2017	63.9%	66.1%	61.4%
Algeria	Bordj Bounaama	2000	77.9%	80.8%	74.9%
Algeria	Bordj Bounaama	2017	78.1%	81.0%	75.1%
Algeria	Bordj El Emir Abdelkader	2000	78.2%	80.9%	75.2%
Algeria	Bordj El Emir Abdelkader	2017	78.5%	81.2%	75.4%
Algeria	Bordj El Haouasse	2000	51.8%	61.2%	41.1%
Algeria	Bordj El Haouasse	2017	52.1%	61.5%	41.5%
Algeria	Bordj El Kif-fan	2000	75.4%	77.0%	73.6%
Algeria	Bordj El Kif-fan	2017	75.6%	77.2%	73.8%
Algeria	Bordj Emir Khaled	2000	76.8%	80.0%	73.8%
Algeria	Bordj Emir Khaled	2017	77.0%	80.2%	74.0%
Algeria	Bordj Ghdir	2000	71.0%	74.0%	67.9%
Algeria	Bordj Ghdir	2017	71.4%	74.4%	68.3%
Algeria	Bordj Menaiel	2000	75.9%	78.7%	73.1%
Algeria	Bordj Menaiel	2017	76.0%	78.8%	73.2%
Algeria	Bordj Okhriss	2000	77.7%	81.2%	74.1%
Algeria	Bordj Okhriss	2017	78.0%	81.5%	74.6%
Algeria	Bordj Omar Driss	2000	49.9%	57.7%	40.6%
Algeria	Bordj Omar Driss	2017	49.8%	57.1%	40.7%
Algeria	Bordj Sebbat	2000	80.1%	82.8%	77.5%
Algeria	Bordj Sebbat	2017	80.3%	83.0%	77.7%
Algeria	Bordj Tahar	2000	83.8%	86.0%	81.5%
Algeria	Bordj Tahar	2017	84.0%	86.2%	81.7%
Algeria	Bordj Zemmoura	2000	64.2%	67.4%	60.9%
Algeria	Bordj Zemmoura	2017	64.6%	67.7%	61.3%
Algeria	Bou Caid	2000	78.2%	81.0%	75.2%
Algeria	Bou Caid	2017	78.3%	81.2%	75.4%
Algeria	Bou Hachana	2000	78.8%	82.1%	75.8%
Algeria	Bou Hachana	2017	79.0%	82.3%	76.0%
Algeria	Bou Hamdane	2000	80.5%	83.1%	77.8%
Algeria	Bou Hamdane	2017	80.7%	83.3%	78.1%
Algeria	Bou Henni	2000	68.4%	70.9%	65.8%
Algeria	Bou Henni	2017	68.6%	71.1%	66.0%
Algeria	Bou Ismail	2000	74.8%	77.8%	71.5%
Algeria	Bou Ismail	2017	75.0%	78.0%	71.8%
Algeria	Bou Saada	2000	81.4%	83.5%	79.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bou Saada	2017	81.6%	83.6%	79.6%
Algeria	Bou Zedjar	2000	69.3%	74.1%	64.1%
Algeria	Bou Zedjar	2017	69.5%	74.3%	64.3%
Algeria	Bouaarfa	2000	76.5%	78.7%	73.8%
Algeria	Bouaarfa	2017	76.7%	78.8%	73.9%
Algeria	Bouaiche	2000	78.0%	81.2%	74.9%
Algeria	Bouaiche	2017	78.2%	81.4%	75.1%
Algeria	Bouaichoune	2000	76.7%	79.6%	73.2%
Algeria	Bouaichoune	2017	76.8%	79.8%	73.4%
Algeria	Boualem	2000	79.7%	83.5%	75.9%
Algeria	Boualem	2017	79.9%	83.6%	76.2%
Algeria	Bouandas	2000	69.9%	73.1%	66.8%
Algeria	Bouandas	2017	69.7%	72.9%	66.5%
Algeria	Bouati Mahmoud	2000	80.1%	82.5%	77.7%
Algeria	Bouati Mahmoud	2017	80.4%	82.8%	77.9%
Algeria	Bouchakroune	2000	49.0%	52.5%	45.9%
Algeria	Bouchakroune	2017	49.2%	52.8%	46.1%
Algeria	Bouchekouf	2000	79.7%	81.6%	77.4%
Algeria	Bouchekouf	2017	79.4%	81.4%	77.1%
Algeria	Boucherahil	2000	76.7%	80.1%	73.0%
Algeria	Boucherahil	2017	76.9%	80.2%	73.1%
Algeria	Bouchetata	2000	81.1%	83.2%	78.7%
Algeria	Bouchetata	2017	81.2%	83.3%	78.9%
Algeria	Bouda	2000	48.9%	54.6%	43.0%
Algeria	Bouda	2017	49.1%	54.8%	43.3%
Algeria	Bouderbala	2000	77.5%	80.3%	74.6%
Algeria	Bouderbala	2017	77.7%	80.4%	74.8%
Algeria	Boudjebaa El Bordj	2000	70.0%	73.7%	66.8%
Algeria	Boudjebaa El Bordj	2017	70.1%	73.7%	67.0%
Algeria	Boudjellil	2000	72.4%	76.6%	68.7%
Algeria	Boudjellil	2017	72.6%	76.7%	69.0%
Algeria	Boudjeriou Messaoud	2000	81.3%	83.6%	78.8%
Algeria	Boudjeriou Messaoud	2017	81.3%	83.7%	78.9%
Algeria	Boudjima	2000	77.9%	81.1%	74.6%
Algeria	Boudjima	2017	78.0%	81.1%	74.7%
Algeria	Boudouaou	2000	76.1%	78.2%	74.2%
Algeria	Boudouaou	2017	76.2%	78.3%	74.3%
Algeria	Boudouaou El Bahri	2000	75.3%	77.6%	73.1%
Algeria	Boudouaou El Bahri	2017	75.5%	77.7%	73.3%
Algeria	Boudria Beniadjis	2000	79.7%	82.4%	76.7%
Algeria	Boudria Beniadjis	2017	79.9%	82.5%	76.9%
Algeria	Boufarik	2000	74.9%	77.1%	72.6%
Algeria	Boufarik	2017	75.1%	77.3%	72.9%
Algeria	Boufatis	2000	70.2%	72.8%	67.6%
Algeria	Boufatis	2017	70.5%	73.0%	67.9%
Algeria	Bougaa	2000	64.6%	67.8%	61.6%
Algeria	Bougaa	2017	64.8%	68.0%	61.8%
Algeria	Bougara	2000	76.1%	78.9%	73.1%
Algeria	Bougara	2000	78.7%	81.0%	76.0%
Algeria	Bougara	2017	76.1%	78.9%	73.1%
Algeria	Bougara	2017	78.9%	81.2%	76.3%
Algeria	Boughar	2000	76.5%	80.0%	73.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Boughar	2017	76.7%	80.2%	73.4%
Algeria	Boughezoul	2000	78.6%	82.1%	75.4%
Algeria	Boughezoul	2017	78.9%	82.3%	75.7%
Algeria	Bougous	2000	80.8%	84.0%	77.3%
Algeria	Bougous	2017	80.6%	83.9%	77.2%
Algeria	Bougtoub	2000	78.3%	81.0%	75.4%
Algeria	Bougtoub	2017	78.4%	81.2%	75.6%
Algeria	Bouguirat	2000	70.4%	72.5%	67.8%
Algeria	Bouguirat	2017	70.5%	72.6%	67.9%
Algeria	Bouhadjar	2000	79.8%	82.9%	76.4%
Algeria	Bouhadjar	2017	79.9%	83.1%	76.6%
Algeria	Bouhamza	2000	71.5%	74.4%	68.1%
Algeria	Bouhamza	2017	71.7%	74.6%	68.3%
Algeria	Bouhanifia	2000	70.2%	73.1%	67.2%
Algeria	Bouhanifia	2017	70.4%	73.3%	67.4%
Algeria	Bouhatem	2000	78.5%	80.7%	76.2%
Algeria	Bouhatem	2017	78.6%	80.9%	76.3%
Algeria	Bouhlou	2000	68.1%	71.6%	64.5%
Algeria	Bouhlou	2017	68.7%	72.2%	65.0%
Algeria	Bouhmama	2000	61.6%	66.3%	56.4%
Algeria	Bouhmama	2017	61.5%	66.4%	56.2%
Algeria	Bouihi	2000	71.6%	76.3%	66.6%
Algeria	Bouihi	2017	71.8%	76.4%	66.8%
Algeria	Bouinan	2000	75.8%	78.4%	73.1%
Algeria	Bouinan	2017	75.9%	78.5%	73.2%
Algeria	Bouira	2000	76.4%	79.3%	73.5%
Algeria	Bouira	2017	76.6%	79.5%	73.7%
Algeria	Bouira	2000	81.3%	83.4%	79.1%
Algeria	Lahdab				
Algeria	Bouira	2017	81.5%	83.5%	79.3%
Algeria	Lahdab				
Algeria	Boukadir	2000	73.2%	75.8%	70.6%
Algeria	Boukadir	2017	73.4%	76.0%	70.7%
Algeria	Boukais	2000	52.7%	59.9%	46.2%
Algeria	Boukais	2017	53.0%	60.2%	46.5%
Algeria	Boukhadra	2000	64.1%	68.5%	60.7%
Algeria	Boukhadra	2017	64.1%	68.3%	60.6%
Algeria	Boukhenifis	2000	71.3%	74.6%	68.6%
Algeria	Boukhenifis	2017	71.6%	74.8%	68.8%
Algeria	Boukhelifa	2000	74.1%	76.8%	70.9%
Algeria	Boukhelifa	2017	74.6%	77.2%	71.5%
Algeria	Boukram	2000	77.6%	80.7%	74.5%
Algeria	Boukram	2017	77.9%	80.9%	74.8%
Algeria	Boulhaf Dyr	2000	62.4%	65.4%	59.3%
Algeria	Boulhaf Dyr	2017	62.3%	65.3%	59.2%
Algeria	Boulhilat	2000	61.2%	64.9%	57.4%
Algeria	Boulhilat	2017	61.6%	65.3%	57.7%
Algeria	Boumahra	2000	78.1%	80.9%	75.4%
Algeria	Ahmed				
Algeria	Boumahra	2017	78.3%	81.0%	75.6%
Algeria	Ahmed				
Algeria	Boumedfaa	2000	76.1%	78.8%	73.2%
Algeria	Boumedfaa	2017	76.2%	79.0%	73.3%
Algeria	Boumegueur	2000	62.2%	65.6%	59.1%
Algeria	Boumegueur	2017	62.4%	65.7%	59.3%
Algeria	Boumerdes	2000	75.5%	78.3%	73.0%
Algeria	Boumerdes	2017	75.7%	78.6%	73.3%
Algeria	Boumia	2000	62.2%	65.2%	59.4%
Algeria	Boumia	2017	62.4%	65.4%	59.7%
Algeria	Bounouh	2000	76.9%	79.9%	74.0%
Algeria	Bounouh	2017	76.9%	79.9%	74.0%
Algeria	Bounoura	2000	49.3%	52.7%	46.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bounoura	2017	49.4%	52.8%	46.3%
Algeria	Bourached	2000	76.7%	79.0%	74.2%
Algeria	Bourached	2017	76.9%	79.3%	74.5%
Algeria	Bouraoui Bel-hadef	2000	84.5%	86.9%	82.0%
Algeria	Bouraoui Bel-hadef	2017	84.6%	87.1%	82.1%
Algeria	Bourkika	2000	75.4%	78.6%	72.3%
Algeria	Bourkika	2017	75.6%	78.7%	72.4%
Algeria	Bousfer	2000	69.7%	73.5%	65.7%
Algeria	Bousfer	2017	69.7%	73.5%	65.8%
Algeria	Bouskene	2000	76.5%	79.9%	72.8%
Algeria	Bouskene	2017	76.6%	79.9%	72.8%
Algeria	Bousselam	2000	69.3%	72.4%	66.4%
Algeria	Bousselam	2017	69.4%	72.6%	66.5%
Algeria	Boussemgoun	2000	78.8%	82.1%	74.5%
Algeria	Boussemgoun	2017	79.2%	82.5%	75.0%
Algeria	Boussif Ouled Askeur	2000	84.1%	86.5%	81.8%
Algeria	Boussif Ouled Askeur	2017	84.2%	86.6%	81.9%
Algeria	Boutaleb	2000	71.5%	74.3%	68.5%
Algeria	Boutaleb	2017	71.4%	74.2%	68.4%
Algeria	Bouteldja	2000	79.7%	82.6%	77.2%
Algeria	Bouteldja	2017	79.9%	82.8%	77.3%
Algeria	Bouti Sayeh	2000	80.9%	84.4%	77.4%
Algeria	Bouti Sayeh	2017	81.0%	84.5%	77.6%
Algeria	Boutlelis	2000	70.8%	74.4%	67.3%
Algeria	Boutlelis	2017	70.9%	74.5%	67.5%
Algeria	Bouzareah	2000	75.5%	77.3%	73.6%
Algeria	Bouzareah	2017	75.7%	77.5%	73.8%
Algeria	Bouzeghaia	2000	76.0%	79.9%	72.2%
Algeria	Bouzeghaia	2017	76.2%	80.1%	72.4%
Algeria	Bouzeguene	2000	77.6%	80.0%	75.2%
Algeria	Bouzeguene	2017	77.9%	80.2%	75.4%
Algeria	Bouzegza Ked-dara	2000	77.1%	79.5%	74.8%
Algeria	Bouzegza Ked-dara	2017	77.4%	79.8%	75.1%
Algeria	Bouzina	2000	60.9%	64.6%	57.1%
Algeria	Bouzina	2017	61.7%	65.4%	58.1%
Algeria	Branis	2000	49.4%	52.0%	47.1%
Algeria	Branis	2017	49.6%	52.1%	47.2%
Algeria	Breira	2000	76.8%	81.2%	72.4%
Algeria	Breira	2017	76.9%	81.2%	72.5%
Algeria	Brezina	2000	76.4%	81.3%	71.9%
Algeria	Brezina	2017	75.2%	80.2%	70.4%
Algeria	Brida	2000	80.1%	83.4%	76.4%
Algeria	Brida	2017	80.2%	83.7%	76.5%
Algeria	Chaabet El Ham	2000	70.8%	73.2%	68.1%
Algeria	Chaabet El Ham	2017	71.0%	73.4%	68.2%
Algeria	Chabet El Aneur	2000	76.3%	78.9%	73.9%
Algeria	Chabet El Aneur	2017	76.4%	79.0%	74.0%
Algeria	Chahbounia	2000	78.9%	82.1%	75.0%
Algeria	Chahbounia	2017	79.1%	82.3%	75.1%
Algeria	Chahna	2000	82.5%	84.9%	80.7%
Algeria	Chahna	2017	82.6%	84.9%	80.8%
Algeria	Chaiba	2000	75.4%	77.8%	72.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Chaiba	2017	75.6%	78.0%	73.0%
Algeria	Charef	2000	81.6%	84.3%	78.6%
Algeria	Charef	2017	81.9%	84.7%	78.9%
Algeria	Charouine	2000	49.2%	55.4%	42.5%
Algeria	Charouine	2017	49.4%	55.4%	43.1%
Algeria	Chebaita	2000	80.5%	82.1%	78.5%
Algeria	Mokhtar Chebaita	2017	80.5%	82.1%	78.5%
Algeria	Mokhtar				
Algeria	Chebli	2000	75.7%	78.2%	73.2%
Algeria	Chebli	2017	76.0%	78.4%	73.4%
Algeria	Chechar	2000	63.4%	68.7%	58.4%
Algeria	Chechar	2017	63.8%	69.1%	58.7%
Algeria	Chefia	2000	80.2%	83.0%	77.1%
Algeria	Chefia	2017	80.3%	83.1%	77.2%
Algeria	Cheguig	2000	78.8%	82.5%	74.6%
Algeria	Cheguig	2017	78.9%	82.7%	74.9%
Algeria	Cehaima	2000	78.4%	80.7%	75.5%
Algeria	Cehaima	2017	78.7%	80.9%	75.8%
Algeria	Chekfa	2000	81.6%	83.5%	79.5%
Algeria	Chekfa	2017	81.9%	83.7%	79.8%
Algeria	Chelghoum	2000	77.3%	79.2%	75.3%
Algeria	Laid Chelghoum	2017	77.6%	79.4%	75.7%
Algeria	Laid				
Algeria	Chelia	2000	60.1%	63.3%	56.4%
Algeria	Chelia	2017	60.0%	63.3%	56.3%
Algeria	Chellal	2000	80.5%	83.2%	77.9%
Algeria	Chellal	2017	80.6%	83.2%	77.9%
Algeria	Chellala	2000	80.0%	83.9%	75.7%
Algeria	Chellala	2017	80.2%	83.9%	75.9%
Algeria	Chellalet Lad- haoura	2000	77.5%	81.4%	73.1%
Algeria	Chellalet Lad- haoura	2017	77.7%	81.6%	73.2%
Algeria	Chellata	2000	75.3%	77.7%	72.9%
Algeria	Chellata	2017	75.4%	77.7%	73.0%
Algeria	Chemini	2000	76.3%	78.9%	73.9%
Algeria	Chemini	2017	76.4%	79.1%	74.1%
Algeria	Chemora	2000	61.0%	65.0%	57.3%
Algeria	Chemora	2017	61.3%	65.3%	57.7%
Algeria	Cheniguel	2000	77.6%	81.4%	73.3%
Algeria	Cheniguel	2017	77.7%	81.4%	73.3%
Algeria	Chentouf	2000	71.3%	74.0%	68.6%
Algeria	Chentouf	2017	71.5%	74.2%	68.8%
Algeria	Cheraga	2000	75.5%	77.4%	73.7%
Algeria	Cheraga	2000	82.5%	84.8%	80.2%
Algeria	Cheraga	2017	82.8%	85.1%	80.6%
Algeria	Cheraga	2017	75.8%	77.6%	74.0%
Algeria	Cheraia	2000	81.5%	84.5%	77.9%
Algeria	Cheraia	2017	81.6%	84.6%	78.0%
Algeria	Cherchel	2000	75.6%	80.2%	70.6%
Algeria	Cherchel	2017	75.7%	80.3%	70.6%
Algeria	Chetaibi	2000	80.7%	84.7%	76.3%
Algeria	Chetaibi	2017	80.8%	84.7%	76.5%
Algeria	Chetma	2000	47.3%	50.4%	44.7%
Algeria	Chetma	2017	47.4%	50.5%	44.8%
Algeria	Chetouane	2000	69.5%	72.0%	66.8%
Algeria	Chetouane	2017	69.8%	72.3%	67.1%
Algeria	Chetouane Be- laila	2000	70.5%	73.9%	67.0%
Algeria	Chetouane Be- laila	2017	70.7%	74.1%	67.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Chettia	2000	74.8%	77.0%	72.6%
Algeria	Chettia	2017	75.0%	77.2%	72.8%
Algeria	Chiffa	2000	75.8%	78.1%	73.3%
Algeria	Chiffa	2017	76.0%	78.3%	73.4%
Algeria	Chihani	2000	80.4%	82.3%	78.2%
Algeria	Chihani	2017	80.6%	82.5%	78.3%
Algeria	Chir	2000	60.6%	64.6%	56.7%
Algeria	Chir	2017	61.4%	65.3%	57.5%
Algeria	Chlef	2000	75.0%	76.9%	72.9%
Algeria	Chlef	2017	75.2%	77.1%	73.1%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	73.3%	77.3%	69.0%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	80.3%	82.2%	78.1%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	69.8%	72.3%	67.5%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	73.5%	77.5%	69.2%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	80.4%	82.2%	78.2%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	69.9%	72.5%	67.5%
Algeria	Chouaiba Ouled Rahma	2000	58.4%	62.1%	54.8%
Algeria	Chouaiba Ouled Rahma	2017	59.0%	62.6%	55.3%
Algeria	Chrea	2000	61.4%	64.8%	58.1%
Algeria	Chrea	2000	77.5%	80.0%	74.8%
Algeria	Chrea	2017	77.6%	80.0%	74.9%
Algeria	Chrea	2017	61.6%	65.0%	58.2%
Algeria	Colla	2000	68.4%	71.9%	64.8%
Algeria	Colla	2017	68.8%	72.4%	65.1%
Algeria	Collo	2000	81.0%	84.1%	77.0%
Algeria	Collo	2017	81.1%	84.3%	77.1%
Algeria	Constantine	2000	79.9%	81.2%	78.6%
Algeria	Constantine	2017	80.0%	81.3%	78.7%
Algeria	Corso	2000	76.0%	78.7%	73.7%
Algeria	Corso	2017	76.1%	78.8%	73.9%
Algeria	Dahmouni	2000	79.5%	81.4%	77.9%
Algeria	Dahmouni	2017	79.5%	81.3%	77.9%
Algeria	Dahouara	2000	79.7%	82.2%	77.1%
Algeria	Dahouara	2017	79.9%	82.4%	77.3%
Algeria	Dahra	2000	72.0%	75.4%	68.4%
Algeria	Dahra	2017	72.3%	75.7%	68.6%
Algeria	Damous	2000	75.8%	81.1%	70.4%
Algeria	Damous	2017	76.0%	81.2%	70.8%
Algeria	Daoussen	2000	49.9%	53.0%	46.6%
Algeria	Daoussen	2017	50.1%	53.2%	46.7%
Algeria	Dar Ben Ab- delah	2000	73.4%	76.3%	70.7%
Algeria	Dar Ben Ab- delah	2017	73.5%	76.3%	70.8%
Algeria	Dar Chioukh	2000	82.0%	84.3%	79.9%
Algeria	Dar Chioukh	2017	82.3%	84.5%	80.1%
Algeria	Dar El Beida	2000	75.3%	76.6%	73.8%
Algeria	Dar El Beida	2017	75.5%	76.8%	74.0%
Algeria	Dar Yagh- mouracene	2000	67.4%	71.4%	63.3%
Algeria	Dar Yagh- mouracene	2017	67.6%	71.6%	63.5%
Algeria	Darguina	2000	71.5%	74.9%	67.5%
Algeria	Darguina	2017	71.8%	75.2%	67.8%
Algeria	Debdeb	2000	50.2%	56.6%	42.9%
Algeria	Debdeb	2017	50.4%	56.4%	43.3%
Algeria	Debila	2000	48.5%	52.5%	44.3%
Algeria	Debila	2017	48.7%	52.7%	44.5%
Algeria	Dechmia	2000	77.5%	80.9%	74.0%
Algeria	Dechmia	2017	77.7%	81.1%	74.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Deahna	2000	76.2%	79.1%	73.4%
Algeria	Deahna	2017	76.6%	79.4%	73.8%
Algeria	Dehamcha	2000	68.9%	71.7%	66.0%
Algeria	Dehamcha	2017	69.0%	71.9%	66.1%
Algeria	Deldoul	2000	49.1%	55.0%	43.0%
Algeria	Deldoul	2000	80.9%	82.9%	79.2%
Algeria	Deldoul	2017	49.3%	55.2%	43.3%
Algeria	Deldoul	2017	81.0%	82.9%	79.4%
Algeria	Dellys	2000	77.4%	81.0%	73.2%
Algeria	Dellys	2017	77.6%	81.3%	73.5%
Algeria	Derradji Bous-selah	2000	75.5%	78.3%	72.6%
Algeria	Derradji Bous-selah	2017	75.7%	78.5%	72.8%
Algeria	Derrag	2000	78.5%	81.4%	74.7%
Algeria	Derrag	2017	78.6%	81.6%	74.9%
Algeria	Deux Bassins	2000	78.2%	81.5%	74.9%
Algeria	Deux Bassins	2017	78.4%	81.7%	75.1%
Algeria	Dhaya	2000	71.8%	76.1%	66.9%
Algeria	Dhaya	2017	71.9%	76.2%	67.0%
Algeria	Dhayet Bend-hahoua	2000	63.1%	67.0%	57.9%
Algeria	Dhayet Bend-hahoua	2017	62.4%	66.1%	57.9%
Algeria	Didouche Mourad	2000	81.1%	83.1%	79.2%
Algeria	Didouche Mourad	2017	81.3%	83.2%	79.3%
Algeria	Dirrah	2000	78.7%	81.6%	75.2%
Algeria	Dirrah	2017	79.0%	82.0%	75.5%
Algeria	Djaafra	2000	68.8%	72.1%	65.1%
Algeria	Djaafra	2017	69.0%	72.3%	65.3%
Algeria	Djamaa	2000	49.3%	52.1%	46.4%
Algeria	Djamaa	2017	49.6%	52.3%	46.6%
Algeria	Djamora	2000	51.8%	55.1%	48.3%
Algeria	Djamora	2017	52.0%	55.2%	48.6%
Algeria	Djanet	2000	50.6%	60.3%	42.0%
Algeria	Djanet	2017	50.5%	59.4%	41.9%
Algeria	Djasr	2000	75.6%	77.0%	74.1%
Algeria	Djasr Kasentina	2017	75.7%	77.1%	74.3%
Algeria	Djababra	2000	76.6%	79.2%	73.9%
Algeria	Djababra	2017	76.8%	79.4%	74.1%
Algeria	Djebahia	2000	76.1%	78.6%	73.2%
Algeria	Djebahia	2017	76.1%	78.7%	73.2%
Algeria	Djebala	2000	69.1%	72.3%	66.2%
Algeria	Djebala	2017	69.2%	72.4%	66.2%
Algeria	Djebala El Khemissi	2000	78.9%	81.1%	76.5%
Algeria	Djebala El Khemissi	2017	78.9%	81.1%	76.4%
Algeria	Djebel Mes-saad	2000	81.3%	84.0%	78.0%
Algeria	Djebel Mes-saad	2017	81.4%	84.2%	78.1%
Algeria	Djebilet Rosfa	2000	76.9%	79.9%	73.3%
Algeria	Djebilet Rosfa	2017	77.2%	80.1%	73.7%
Algeria	Djelfa	2000	81.5%	82.7%	80.2%
Algeria	Djelfa	2017	81.5%	82.7%	80.3%
Algeria	Djelida	2000	76.1%	79.1%	72.9%
Algeria	Djelida	2017	76.2%	79.2%	72.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Djellal	2000	62.3%	68.0%	57.1%
Algeria	Djellal	2017	62.3%	68.0%	57.2%
Algeria	Djemaa Beni Habibi	2000	83.0%	85.3%	80.4%
Algeria	Djemaa Beni Habibi	2017	83.1%	85.4%	80.4%
Algeria	Djemaa Ouled Cheikh	2000	76.8%	79.8%	73.7%
Algeria	Djemaa Ouled Cheikh	2017	77.0%	80.0%	73.9%
Algeria	Djemila	2000	70.3%	73.2%	66.9%
Algeria	Djemila	2000	81.3%	84.2%	78.6%
Algeria	Djemila	2017	70.0%	72.9%	66.7%
Algeria	Djemila	2017	81.2%	84.0%	78.5%
Algeria	Djendel	2000	76.1%	79.0%	72.9%
Algeria	Djendel	2017	76.2%	79.2%	72.9%
Algeria	Djendel Saadi Mohamed	2000	80.8%	82.9%	78.5%
Algeria	Djendel Saadi Mohamed	2017	80.9%	83.0%	78.6%
Algeria	Djeniane Bourzeg	2000	71.6%	75.9%	66.8%
Algeria	Djeniane Bourzeg	2017	71.2%	75.6%	66.3%
Algeria	Djerma	2000	63.6%	66.4%	60.6%
Algeria	Djerma	2017	63.8%	66.6%	60.8%
Algeria	Djezzar	2000	68.2%	71.1%	65.6%
Algeria	Djezzar	2017	69.0%	72.0%	66.3%
Algeria	Djidiouia	2000	69.8%	72.3%	66.7%
Algeria	Djidiouia	2017	70.0%	72.5%	66.9%
Algeria	Djillali Ben Ammar	2000	75.8%	78.7%	73.6%
Algeria	Djillali Ben Ammar	2017	76.2%	79.0%	74.1%
Algeria	Djinet	2000	76.6%	79.9%	72.6%
Algeria	Djinet	2017	76.7%	80.0%	72.8%
Algeria	Djouab	2000	77.2%	80.9%	73.7%
Algeria	Djouab	2017	77.3%	81.0%	73.7%
Algeria	Douaouda	2000	74.9%	77.3%	72.2%
Algeria	Douaouda	2017	75.1%	77.5%	72.4%
Algeria	Douar El Ma	2000	49.3%	55.4%	41.6%
Algeria	Douar El Ma	2017	49.1%	55.6%	41.9%
Algeria	Douera	2000	75.6%	77.3%	73.9%
Algeria	Douera	2017	75.9%	77.6%	74.2%
Algeria	Doui Thabet	2000	76.6%	78.8%	74.2%
Algeria	Doui Thabet	2017	76.7%	79.0%	74.1%
Algeria	Douis	2000	81.2%	84.3%	78.1%
Algeria	Douis	2017	81.3%	84.3%	77.9%
Algeria	Draa El Caid	2000	67.4%	70.7%	64.1%
Algeria	Draa El Caid	2017	67.8%	71.0%	64.5%
Algeria	Draa El Mizan	2000	76.8%	79.5%	73.9%
Algeria	Draa El Mizan	2017	77.0%	79.7%	74.2%
Algeria	Draa Smar	2000	76.0%	79.2%	72.5%
Algeria	Draa Smar	2017	76.1%	79.2%	72.6%
Algeria	Draa-Ben-Khedda	2000	76.2%	78.7%	73.6%
Algeria	Draa-Ben-Khedda	2017	76.3%	78.9%	73.8%
Algeria	Draa-Kebila	2000	67.2%	70.1%	64.3%
Algeria	Draa-Kebila	2017	67.4%	70.3%	64.6%
Algeria	Draria	2000	76.0%	77.6%	74.6%
Algeria	Draria	2017	76.2%	77.8%	74.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Drea	2000	74.9%	77.6%	72.1%
Algeria	Drea	2017	75.3%	77.9%	72.4%
Algeria	Drean	2000	80.2%	81.9%	77.9%
Algeria	Drean	2017	80.3%	82.0%	78.1%
Algeria	Echatt	2000	80.6%	82.6%	78.5%
Algeria	Echatt	2017	80.8%	82.9%	78.6%
Algeria	El Abadia	2000	76.1%	79.0%	72.9%
Algeria	El Abadia	2017	76.2%	79.1%	72.9%
Algeria	El Ach	2000	74.2%	76.5%	71.7%
Algeria	El Ach	2017	74.0%	76.3%	71.6%
Algeria	El Achir	2000	68.1%	71.3%	65.2%
Algeria	El Achir	2017	68.1%	71.1%	65.3%
Algeria	El Achour	2000	76.1%	77.6%	74.6%
Algeria	El Achour	2017	76.3%	77.7%	74.8%
Algeria	El Adjiba	2000	75.6%	79.7%	71.7%
Algeria	El Adjiba	2017	75.7%	79.8%	71.7%
Algeria	El Aioun	2000	80.5%	84.9%	75.9%
Algeria	El Aioun	2017	80.7%	85.1%	76.1%
Algeria	El Allia	2000	50.3%	55.1%	45.6%
Algeria	El Allia	2017	50.9%	55.7%	45.9%
Algeria	El Amiria	2000	70.6%	73.0%	67.9%
Algeria	El Amiria	2017	70.7%	73.1%	67.9%
Algeria	El Amra	2000	76.3%	79.1%	73.2%
Algeria	El Amra	2017	76.3%	79.1%	73.2%
Algeria	El Amria	2000	70.3%	74.3%	66.0%
Algeria	El Amria	2017	70.8%	74.8%	66.6%
Algeria	El Ançar	2000	70.0%	74.1%	65.9%
Algeria	El Ançar	2017	70.2%	74.3%	66.1%
Algeria	El Ancer	2000	82.6%	85.0%	79.8%
Algeria	El Ancer	2017	82.6%	85.0%	79.8%
Algeria	El Anseur	2000	64.2%	66.7%	61.3%
Algeria	El Anseur	2017	64.4%	66.9%	61.4%
Algeria	El Aouana	2000	78.6%	81.7%	75.1%
Algeria	El Aouana	2017	78.7%	81.8%	75.1%
Algeria	El Aricha	2000	72.6%	78.1%	66.8%
Algeria	El Aricha	2017	72.8%	78.3%	67.0%
Algeria	El Asnam	2000	76.4%	79.6%	72.9%
Algeria	El Asnam	2017	76.1%	79.5%	72.7%
Algeria	El Assafia	2000	82.0%	84.0%	79.7%
Algeria	El Assafia	2017	82.2%	84.2%	79.9%
Algeria	El Attaf	2000	76.0%	78.6%	72.9%
Algeria	El Attaf	2017	76.1%	78.7%	73.0%
Algeria	El Atteuf	2000	47.8%	51.6%	44.2%
Algeria	El Atteuf	2017	48.1%	52.0%	44.4%
Algeria	El Bayadh	2000	78.7%	80.9%	76.5%
Algeria	El Bayadh	2017	78.9%	81.1%	76.7%
Algeria	El Beidha	2000	79.7%	83.4%	75.9%
Algeria	El Beidha	2017	79.9%	83.6%	76.1%
Algeria	El Biod	2000	77.4%	80.0%	74.7%
Algeria	El Biod	2017	77.6%	80.4%	74.9%
Algeria	El Biodh Sidi Cheikh	2000	77.9%	81.2%	74.8%
Algeria	El Biodh Sidi Cheikh	2017	77.5%	80.8%	74.3%
Algeria	El Bnouid	2000	72.7%	77.3%	67.7%
Algeria	El Bnouid	2017	70.5%	75.4%	64.8%
Algeria	El Bordj	2000	73.8%	76.6%	70.4%
Algeria	El Bordj	2017	74.0%	76.8%	70.6%
Algeria	El Borma	2000	49.8%	55.1%	43.6%
Algeria	El Borma	2017	50.0%	55.2%	43.7%
Algeria	El Bouni	2000	80.5%	82.0%	78.8%
Algeria	El Bouni	2017	80.6%	82.1%	79.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Braya	2000	69.8%	72.0%	67.9%
Algeria	El Braya	2017	70.0%	72.2%	68.0%
Algeria	El Dhaala	2000	60.9%	65.7%	56.3%
Algeria	El Dhaala	2017	61.1%	65.9%	56.6%
Algeria	El Djazia	2000	62.6%	67.4%	57.8%
Algeria	El Djazia	2017	62.6%	67.5%	57.7%
Algeria	El Eulma	2000	62.4%	64.7%	59.9%
Algeria	El Eulma	2000	80.1%	82.4%	77.4%
Algeria	El Eulma	2017	62.6%	64.9%	60.2%
Algeria	El Eulma	2017	80.2%	82.6%	77.5%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2000	78.5%	80.8%	76.1%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2017	78.8%	81.0%	76.3%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2000	59.8%	63.8%	55.3%
Algeria	Bouhrara Saoudi				
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2017	59.9%	64.0%	55.4%
Algeria	Bouhrara Saoudi				
Algeria	El Fehoul	2000	70.1%	73.6%	67.3%
Algeria	El Fehoul	2017	70.0%	73.5%	67.2%
Algeria	El Feidh	2000	51.8%	57.6%	45.7%
Algeria	El Feidh	2017	51.8%	57.7%	45.8%
Algeria	El Gaada	2000	70.6%	73.3%	67.7%
Algeria	El Gaada	2017	70.9%	73.6%	67.9%
Algeria	El Ghedir	2000	80.7%	83.0%	78.4%
Algeria	El Ghedir	2017	80.8%	83.1%	78.5%
Algeria	El Ghicha	2000	80.7%	83.5%	77.5%
Algeria	El Ghicha	2017	80.8%	83.7%	77.9%
Algeria	El Ghomri	2000	69.5%	71.7%	66.4%
Algeria	El Ghomri	2017	69.7%	71.9%	66.7%
Algeria	El Ghrous	2000	50.3%	53.4%	46.8%
Algeria	El Ghrous	2017	50.7%	53.7%	47.1%
Algeria	El Gor	2000	70.7%	75.8%	65.3%
Algeria	El Gor	2017	70.8%	75.9%	65.3%
Algeria	El Guedid	2000	80.5%	83.4%	77.6%
Algeria	El Guedid	2017	80.6%	83.6%	77.6%
Algeria	El Guelb El Kebir	2000	77.1%	80.7%	73.2%
Algeria	El Guelb El Kebir	2017	77.4%	80.9%	73.5%
Algeria	El Guerrarra	2000	51.7%	56.9%	46.6%
Algeria	El Guerrarra	2017	52.1%	57.2%	46.9%
Algeria	El Guettana	2000	68.9%	71.9%	66.2%
Algeria	El Guettana	2017	69.1%	72.1%	66.3%
Algeria	El Guettar	2000	72.2%	74.5%	69.5%
Algeria	El Guettar	2017	72.6%	75.0%	69.9%
Algeria	El H'Madna	2000	69.7%	72.8%	66.4%
Algeria	El H'Madna	2017	69.9%	73.0%	66.6%
Algeria	El Hacaiba	2000	70.1%	74.1%	66.0%
Algeria	El Hacaiba	2017	70.4%	74.5%	66.2%
Algeria	El Hachem	2000	74.1%	77.5%	70.2%
Algeria	El Hachem	2017	74.3%	77.6%	70.5%
Algeria	El Hachimia	2000	77.6%	80.1%	74.8%
Algeria	El Hachimia	2017	77.9%	80.3%	75.0%
Algeria	El Hadaiek	2000	80.0%	82.4%	77.8%
Algeria	El Hadaiek	2017	80.3%	82.5%	78.0%
Algeria	El Hadjab	2000	48.1%	50.4%	45.5%
Algeria	El Hadjab	2017	48.5%	50.7%	45.9%
Algeria	El Hadjadj	2000	76.1%	79.0%	73.0%
Algeria	El Hadjadj	2017	76.2%	79.1%	73.1%
Algeria	El Hadjar	2000	80.4%	81.9%	78.8%
Algeria	El Hadjar	2017	80.5%	81.9%	78.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Hadjira	2000	48.7%	53.1%	44.3%
Algeria	El Hadjira	2017	48.9%	53.5%	44.3%
Algeria	El Hakimia	2000	77.1%	80.6%	73.4%
Algeria	El Hakimia	2017	77.3%	80.6%	73.5%
Algeria	El Hamadia	2000	69.4%	72.2%	66.7%
Algeria	El Hamadia	2017	69.6%	72.5%	66.9%
Algeria	El Hamdania	2000	77.0%	79.8%	73.8%
Algeria	El Hamdania	2017	77.3%	80.1%	74.2%
Algeria	El Hamma	2000	62.1%	65.0%	59.0%
Algeria	El Hamma	2017	62.3%	65.2%	59.2%
Algeria	El Haouaita	2000	80.6%	84.3%	76.0%
Algeria	El Haouaita	2017	80.7%	84.4%	76.0%
Algeria	El Haouch	2000	48.8%	53.6%	44.6%
Algeria	El Haouch	2017	49.0%	53.8%	44.8%
Algeria	El Harmilia	2000	62.8%	66.1%	59.6%
Algeria	El Harmilia	2017	63.0%	66.3%	59.8%
Algeria	El Harrouch	2000	80.4%	82.2%	78.3%
Algeria	El Harrouch	2017	80.5%	82.3%	78.4%
Algeria	El Hassania	2000	77.4%	80.4%	74.4%
Algeria	El Hassania	2017	77.6%	80.6%	74.6%
Algeria	El Hassasna	2000	78.7%	81.2%	76.3%
Algeria	El Hassasna	2017	79.0%	81.4%	76.6%
Algeria	El Hassi	2000	73.8%	76.5%	70.5%
Algeria	El Hassi	2000	63.9%	67.8%	60.3%
Algeria	El Hassi	2017	74.2%	76.9%	70.9%
Algeria	El Hassi	2017	64.2%	68.0%	60.5%
Algeria	El Houamed	2000	80.1%	82.5%	77.9%
Algeria	El Houamed	2017	80.2%	82.5%	78.1%
Algeria	El Houidjbet	2000	63.4%	68.3%	57.7%
Algeria	El Houidjbet	2017	63.6%	68.5%	58.0%
Algeria	El Idrissia	2000	80.8%	83.3%	78.1%
Algeria	El Idrissia	2017	81.1%	83.6%	78.3%
Algeria	El Kaf Lakhdar	2000	78.4%	82.0%	73.5%
Algeria	El Kaf Lakhdar	2017	78.6%	82.1%	73.7%
Algeria	El Kala	2000	79.6%	82.7%	76.4%
Algeria	El Kala	2017	79.8%	83.0%	76.6%
Algeria	El Karimia	2000	75.5%	78.5%	72.6%
Algeria	El Karimia	2017	75.6%	78.6%	72.6%
Algeria	El Kennar Nouchfi	2000	82.9%	84.9%	80.7%
Algeria	El Kennar Nouchfi	2017	83.0%	85.0%	80.9%
Algeria	El Kentara	2000	52.0%	55.7%	48.8%
Algeria	El Kentara	2017	52.4%	55.9%	49.3%
Algeria	El Kerma	2000	69.8%	72.0%	67.8%
Algeria	El Kerma	2017	70.0%	72.2%	68.1%
Algeria	El Keurt	2000	72.6%	75.0%	70.2%
Algeria	El Keurt	2017	72.8%	75.2%	70.5%
Algeria	El Khabouzia	2000	77.5%	80.4%	74.3%
Algeria	El Khabouzia	2017	77.7%	80.6%	74.4%
Algeria	El Kharrouba	2000	77.0%	79.5%	74.8%
Algeria	El Kharrouba	2017	77.3%	79.8%	75.1%
Algeria	El Kheither	2000	78.0%	80.9%	75.2%
Algeria	El Kheither	2017	78.2%	81.0%	75.4%
Algeria	El Khemis	2000	80.4%	82.8%	77.7%
Algeria	El Khemis	2017	80.6%	82.9%	78.0%
Algeria	El Khroub	2000	76.5%	78.7%	74.3%
Algeria	El Khroub	2017	76.7%	78.9%	74.4%
Algeria	El Kouif	2000	64.3%	68.9%	59.7%
Algeria	El Kouif	2017	64.6%	69.3%	59.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Kseur	2000	74.2%	77.7%	70.5%
Algeria	El Kseur	2017	74.2%	77.7%	70.5%
Algeria	El M'Ghair	2000	49.7%	52.7%	46.6%
Algeria	El M'Ghair	2017	50.0%	53.1%	46.9%
Algeria	El M'Hir	2000	73.4%	77.6%	69.3%
Algeria	El M'Hir	2017	73.2%	77.7%	69.2%
Algeria	El Madher	2000	63.8%	66.9%	61.0%
Algeria	El Madher	2017	64.1%	67.1%	61.2%
Algeria	El Mahmal	2000	63.2%	66.7%	59.7%
Algeria	El Mahmal	2017	63.4%	67.0%	59.9%
Algeria	El Main	2000	68.5%	71.8%	64.4%
Algeria	El Main	2017	68.6%	71.9%	64.6%
Algeria	El Maine	2000	77.1%	80.0%	74.1%
Algeria	El Maine	2017	77.0%	80.0%	74.0%
Algeria	El Malabiodh	2000	63.0%	66.8%	59.1%
Algeria	El Malabiodh	2017	63.2%	67.1%	59.1%
Algeria	El Malah	2000	70.3%	72.7%	67.2%
Algeria	El Malah	2017	70.4%	72.9%	67.4%
Algeria	El Mamounia	2000	72.3%	74.8%	69.9%
Algeria	El Mamounia	2017	72.5%	75.0%	70.1%
Algeria	El Marsa	2000	73.0%	77.3%	67.9%
Algeria	El Marsa	2000	80.7%	84.3%	76.9%
Algeria	El Marsa	2017	73.2%	77.6%	68.2%
Algeria	El Marsa	2017	80.9%	84.4%	77.1%
Algeria	El Matmar	2000	70.3%	73.2%	67.2%
Algeria	El Matmar	2017	70.6%	73.4%	67.5%
Algeria	El Matmor	2000	72.1%	74.9%	69.5%
Algeria	El Matmor	2017	72.3%	75.1%	69.7%
Algeria	El Mechira	2000	71.3%	74.1%	68.3%
Algeria	El Mechira	2017	71.3%	74.1%	68.2%
Algeria	El Mehara	2000	78.8%	81.8%	76.0%
Algeria	El Mehara	2017	79.0%	81.9%	76.1%
Algeria	El Menaouer	2000	73.3%	76.6%	69.5%
Algeria	El Menaouer	2017	73.5%	76.8%	69.7%
Algeria	El Meniaa	2000	50.1%	54.4%	46.1%
Algeria	El Meniaa	2017	50.4%	54.7%	46.5%
Algeria	El Meridj	2000	67.8%	73.1%	62.7%
Algeria	El Meridj	2017	67.8%	73.2%	62.8%
Algeria	El Messaid	2000	69.9%	73.7%	65.6%
Algeria	El Messaid	2017	70.1%	73.9%	65.8%
Algeria	El Mezeraa	2000	62.4%	66.4%	58.3%
Algeria	El Mezeraa	2017	62.7%	66.9%	58.8%
Algeria	El Milia	2000	82.6%	85.0%	80.1%
Algeria	El Milia	2017	82.7%	85.1%	80.1%
Algeria	El Mokrani El Madjen	2000	77.0%	80.1%	73.8%
Algeria	El Mokrani El Madjen	2017	77.1%	80.1%	73.9%
Algeria	El Ogl	2000	62.7%	67.3%	58.0%
Algeria	El Ogl	2000	48.4%	52.6%	44.3%
Algeria	El Ogl	2017	63.1%	67.7%	58.4%
Algeria	El Ogl	2017	48.6%	52.8%	44.5%
Algeria	El Ouata	2000	49.5%	56.1%	43.9%
Algeria	El Ouata	2017	49.3%	55.0%	44.0%
Algeria	El Oued	2000	48.4%	51.3%	46.1%
Algeria	El Oued	2017	48.7%	51.6%	46.4%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	59.5%	65.0%	53.7%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	72.5%	74.9%	70.0%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	69.3%	72.3%	66.2%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	72.4%	74.8%	69.9%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	69.9%	72.9%	66.9%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	59.4%	64.9%	53.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Ouinet	2000	66.7%	70.3%	62.6%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2000	78.6%	82.3%	74.8%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2017	78.9%	82.5%	75.0%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2017	66.9%	70.5%	62.8%
Algeria	El Ouitaya	2000	49.3%	52.0%	46.4%
Algeria	El Ouitaya	2017	49.5%	52.2%	46.5%
Algeria	El Oumaria	2000	76.7%	80.3%	72.8%
Algeria	El Oumaria	2017	76.5%	80.3%	72.5%
Algeria	El Ouricia	2000	62.9%	65.1%	60.4%
Algeria	El Ouricia	2017	63.0%	65.2%	60.4%
Algeria	El Rahia	2000	63.5%	68.0%	57.9%
Algeria	El Rahia	2017	63.6%	68.2%	58.0%
Algeria	El Tarf	2000	79.7%	82.5%	76.9%
Algeria	El Tarf	2017	79.7%	82.6%	77.0%
Algeria	El Youssoufia	2000	78.6%	81.3%	76.2%
Algeria	El Youssoufia	2017	78.7%	81.4%	76.2%
Algeria	El-Affroun	2000	75.3%	78.0%	72.4%
Algeria	El-Affroun	2017	75.7%	78.4%	72.8%
Algeria	Elayadi	2000	76.3%	79.3%	73.4%
Algeria	Barbes				
Algeria	Elayadi	2017	76.5%	79.4%	73.6%
Algeria	Barbes				
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2000	80.9%	82.6%	79.2%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2000	68.5%	71.9%	64.7%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2017	68.6%	72.0%	64.9%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2017	81.0%	82.7%	79.2%
Algeria	Emjez Edchich	2000	80.3%	82.1%	78.4%
Algeria	Emjez Edchich	2017	80.6%	82.3%	78.7%
Algeria	Ensigha	2000	62.9%	65.3%	60.5%
Algeria	Ensigha	2017	62.9%	65.3%	60.4%
Algeria	Erg Ferradj	2000	49.3%	54.7%	43.7%
Algeria	Erg Ferradj	2017	49.7%	55.3%	43.6%
Algeria	Erraguene	2000	74.2%	77.4%	71.1%
Algeria	Erraguene	2017	74.3%	77.5%	71.2%
Algeria	Es Sebt	2000	80.6%	83.0%	78.2%
Algeria	Es Sebt	2017	80.7%	83.1%	78.3%
Algeria	Es Senia	2000	69.9%	72.0%	68.0%
Algeria	Es Senia	2017	70.0%	72.1%	68.1%
Algeria	Faidh El Botma	2000	80.2%	83.7%	76.2%
Algeria	Faidh El Botma	2017	80.4%	83.9%	76.4%
Algeria	Faidja	2000	79.3%	82.4%	76.3%
Algeria	Faidja	2017	79.4%	82.5%	76.4%
Algeria	Fellaoucene	2000	68.0%	71.3%	63.9%
Algeria	Fellaoucene	2017	68.3%	71.6%	64.3%
Algeria	Fenoughil	2000	48.7%	54.7%	42.1%
Algeria	Fenoughil	2017	48.6%	54.2%	42.8%
Algeria	Feraoun	2000	73.2%	76.2%	70.0%
Algeria	Feraoun	2017	73.5%	76.5%	70.3%
Algeria	Ferdjioua	2000	77.1%	79.8%	74.9%
Algeria	Ferdjioua	2017	77.2%	79.8%	74.9%
Algeria	Ferkane	2000	57.1%	63.7%	51.4%
Algeria	Ferkane	2017	57.2%	63.8%	51.4%
Algeria	Ferraguig	2000	71.0%	73.1%	68.3%
Algeria	Ferraguig	2017	71.1%	73.2%	68.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Fesdis	2000	62.0%	64.8%	59.2%
Algeria	Fesdis	2017	62.3%	65.1%	59.6%
Algeria	Filfila	2000	80.3%	83.1%	77.4%
Algeria	Filfila	2017	80.3%	83.2%	77.4%
Algeria	Fkirina	2000	62.4%	66.5%	58.5%
Algeria	Fkirina	2017	62.4%	66.6%	58.5%
Algeria	Foggaret Az-zouia	2000	47.1%	54.0%	40.6%
Algeria	Foggaret Az-zouia	2017	46.9%	54.1%	40.0%
Algeria	Fornaka	2000	69.5%	72.1%	66.6%
Algeria	Fornaka	2017	69.7%	72.3%	66.9%
Algeria	Foughala	2000	50.0%	53.4%	46.4%
Algeria	Foughala	2017	50.1%	53.5%	46.5%
Algeria	Fouka	2000	75.4%	77.9%	72.9%
Algeria	Fouka	2017	75.6%	78.1%	73.1%
Algeria	Foum Toub	2000	62.3%	66.4%	58.5%
Algeria	Foum Toub	2017	62.3%	66.3%	58.5%
Algeria	Freha	2000	76.7%	79.2%	74.2%
Algeria	Freha	2017	76.9%	79.4%	74.4%
Algeria	Frenda	2000	78.5%	81.0%	76.1%
Algeria	Frenda	2017	78.8%	81.3%	76.4%
Algeria	Frikat	2000	76.9%	79.7%	74.0%
Algeria	Frikat	2017	76.8%	79.6%	74.0%
Algeria	Froha	2000	71.6%	74.0%	69.0%
Algeria	Froha	2017	71.8%	74.2%	69.3%
Algeria	Gdyel	2000	70.7%	73.4%	68.0%
Algeria	Gdyel	2017	70.8%	73.5%	68.2%
Algeria	Ghardaia	2000	49.8%	53.6%	46.7%
Algeria	Ghardaia	2017	50.1%	54.0%	46.9%
Algeria	Gharrous	2000	75.6%	78.9%	72.0%
Algeria	Gharrous	2017	75.8%	79.1%	72.2%
Algeria	Ghassoul	2000	78.7%	82.5%	74.7%
Algeria	Ghassoul	2017	78.8%	82.6%	74.7%
Algeria	Ghazaouet	2000	67.3%	72.2%	62.9%
Algeria	Ghazaouet	2017	67.5%	72.3%	63.0%
Algeria	Ghebala	2000	83.3%	85.7%	80.8%
Algeria	Ghebala	2017	83.3%	85.8%	80.8%
Algeria	Gherouaou	2000	75.6%	77.9%	73.0%
Algeria	Gherouaou	2017	75.7%	78.0%	73.1%
Algeria	Ghessira	2000	58.8%	63.1%	54.6%
Algeria	Ghessira	2017	58.8%	63.2%	54.8%
Algeria	Ghilassa	2000	73.5%	76.7%	70.2%
Algeria	Ghilassa	2017	73.6%	76.9%	70.3%
Algeria	Ghriss	2000	73.2%	76.1%	70.4%
Algeria	Ghriss	2017	73.6%	76.4%	70.9%
Algeria	Gosbat	2000	65.8%	68.6%	62.4%
Algeria	Gosbat	2017	66.1%	68.9%	62.7%
Algeria	Gouraya	2000	74.9%	80.2%	69.2%
Algeria	Gouraya	2017	75.0%	80.3%	69.2%
Algeria	Grarem	2000	81.8%	84.1%	79.3%
Algeria	Gouga				
Algeria	Grarem	2017	81.8%	84.2%	79.3%
Algeria	Gouga				
Algeria	Guellal	2000	61.1%	64.1%	57.9%
Algeria	Guellal	2017	61.3%	64.3%	58.1%
Algeria	Guelma	2000	78.0%	80.4%	75.8%
Algeria	Guelma	2017	78.3%	80.7%	76.1%
Algeria	Guelta Zerka	2000	64.1%	66.5%	61.5%
Algeria	Guelta Zerka	2017	64.4%	66.7%	61.7%
Algeria	Gueltat Sidi Saad	2000	80.1%	83.3%	76.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Gueltat Sidi Saad	2017	80.3%	83.4%	77.0%
Algeria	Guemar	2000	48.1%	51.4%	45.1%
Algeria	Guemar	2017	48.4%	51.7%	45.2%
Algeria	Guenzet Tassameurt	2000	67.2%	70.5%	63.7%
Algeria	Guenzet Tassameurt	2017	67.5%	70.8%	64.1%
Algeria	Guerdjoum	2000	72.5%	75.3%	69.7%
Algeria	Guerdjoum	2017	72.7%	75.4%	69.9%
Algeria	Guernini	2000	81.0%	83.7%	78.1%
Algeria	Guernini	2017	81.2%	83.9%	78.3%
Algeria	Guerrouma	2000	77.5%	80.9%	74.3%
Algeria	Guerrouma	2017	77.7%	81.2%	74.5%
Algeria	Guertoufa	2000	78.5%	80.2%	76.6%
Algeria	Guertoufa	2017	78.5%	80.3%	76.7%
Algeria	Guettara	2000	62.6%	68.3%	57.7%
Algeria	Guettara	2017	64.5%	69.7%	59.9%
Algeria	Guidjel	2000	60.7%	63.3%	58.0%
Algeria	Guidjel	2017	60.9%	63.6%	58.2%
Algeria	Guiga	2000	62.9%	66.1%	59.5%
Algeria	Guiga	2017	63.2%	66.4%	59.8%
Algeria	Guorriguer	2000	61.9%	66.2%	57.9%
Algeria	Guorriguer	2017	62.1%	66.4%	58.1%
Algeria	Hacine	2000	68.8%	71.5%	66.1%
Algeria	Hacine	2017	69.0%	71.7%	66.2%
Algeria	Had Echkalla	2000	75.2%	78.1%	72.3%
Algeria	Had Echkalla	2017	75.1%	77.9%	72.0%
Algeria	Had Sahary	2000	81.7%	84.8%	78.7%
Algeria	Had Sahary	2017	81.9%	85.0%	78.9%
Algeria	Haddada	2000	78.0%	82.1%	73.0%
Algeria	Haddada	2017	78.3%	82.4%	73.4%
Algeria	Hadj Mechri	2000	79.5%	83.2%	75.8%
Algeria	Hadj Mechri	2017	79.8%	83.3%	76.1%
Algeria	Hadjadj	2000	71.5%	75.2%	67.6%
Algeria	Hadjadj	2017	71.8%	75.5%	68.0%
Algeria	Hadjera Zerga	2000	79.6%	82.6%	76.6%
Algeria	Hadjera Zerga	2017	79.8%	82.7%	76.8%
Algeria	Hadjout	2000	75.0%	78.7%	71.7%
Algeria	Hadjout	2017	75.2%	78.9%	71.9%
Algeria	Hadjret En-nous	2000	75.3%	80.8%	69.5%
Algeria	Hadjret En-nous	2017	75.4%	81.0%	69.7%
Algeria	Haizer	2000	76.8%	80.0%	73.6%
Algeria	Haizer	2017	76.9%	80.1%	73.6%
Algeria	Hamadi Krouma	2000	80.0%	82.3%	77.5%
Algeria	Hamadi Krouma	2017	80.1%	82.4%	77.7%
Algeria	Hamadia	2000	79.2%	81.6%	76.9%
Algeria	Hamadia	2017	79.4%	81.7%	77.0%
Algeria	Hamala	2000	83.1%	85.5%	80.6%
Algeria	Hamala	2017	83.3%	85.7%	80.8%
Algeria	Hamma	2000	68.8%	71.7%	65.8%
Algeria	Hamma	2017	69.1%	72.0%	66.0%
Algeria	Hamma Bouziane	2000	80.6%	82.6%	78.9%
Algeria	Hamma Bouziane	2017	80.8%	82.8%	79.1%
Algeria	Hammadi	2000	75.5%	77.0%	73.9%
Algeria	Hammadi	2017	75.7%	77.2%	74.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Hamмам Ben Salah	2000	80.6%	83.3%	77.7%
Algeria	Hamмам Ben Salah	2017	80.9%	83.6%	78.0%
Algeria	Hamмам Boughrara	2000	67.6%	70.7%	64.6%
Algeria	Hamмам Boughrara	2017	67.9%	71.0%	64.9%
Algeria	Hamмам Bouhadjar	2000	71.3%	73.8%	68.5%
Algeria	Hamмам Bouhadjar	2017	71.5%	74.0%	68.8%
Algeria	Hamмам Dalaa	2000	76.7%	80.1%	72.8%
Algeria	Hamмам Dalaa	2017	76.8%	80.3%	73.0%
Algeria	Hamмам De-bagh	2000	79.7%	82.0%	77.3%
Algeria	Hamмам De-bagh	2017	79.8%	82.1%	77.3%
Algeria	Hamмам Guergour	2000	64.6%	67.3%	61.9%
Algeria	Hamмам Guergour	2017	64.8%	67.5%	62.0%
Algeria	Hamмам Melouane	2000	76.9%	80.0%	74.0%
Algeria	Hamмам Melouane	2017	76.9%	80.0%	74.1%
Algeria	Hamмам N'Bail	2000	79.8%	82.5%	77.0%
Algeria	Hamмам N'Bail	2017	80.0%	82.7%	77.3%
Algeria	Hamмам Righa	2000	76.5%	78.8%	74.1%
Algeria	Hamмам Righa	2017	76.7%	79.0%	74.3%
Algeria	Hamмам Soukhna	2000	63.3%	67.1%	60.1%
Algeria	Hamмам Soukhna	2017	63.5%	67.4%	60.2%
Algeria	Hammamet	2000	62.6%	66.0%	59.2%
Algeria	Hammamet	2017	63.0%	66.3%	59.5%
Algeria	Hamraia	2000	49.5%	54.9%	45.0%
Algeria	Hamraia	2017	49.8%	55.1%	45.3%
Algeria	Hamri	2000	70.1%	73.0%	67.1%
Algeria	Hamri	2000	81.4%	83.7%	78.7%
Algeria	Hamri	2017	70.2%	73.1%	67.2%
Algeria	Hamri	2017	81.5%	83.8%	78.9%
Algeria	Hanchir Toumghani	2000	62.9%	66.1%	59.5%
Algeria	Hanchir Toumghani	2017	63.1%	66.4%	59.7%
Algeria	Hanench	2000	80.4%	82.4%	78.4%
Algeria	Hanench	2017	80.6%	82.6%	78.6%
Algeria	Hannacha	2000	76.8%	80.2%	73.1%
Algeria	Hannacha	2017	77.1%	80.4%	73.3%
Algeria	Haraoua	2000	75.6%	77.5%	73.5%
Algeria	Haraoua	2017	75.8%	77.7%	73.7%
Algeria	Haraza	2000	75.8%	79.8%	71.4%
Algeria	Haraza	2017	75.8%	79.8%	71.4%
Algeria	Harbil	2000	66.2%	69.0%	63.5%
Algeria	Harbil	2017	66.4%	69.1%	63.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Harchoune	2000	75.7%	78.1%	73.1%
Algeria	Harchoune	2017	75.8%	78.3%	73.2%
Algeria	Hasnaoua	2000	63.8%	66.4%	60.8%
Algeria	Hasnaoua	2017	64.0%	66.6%	61.1%
Algeria	Hassaine Beni Yahi	2000	69.4%	71.7%	66.5%
Algeria	Hassaine Beni Yahi	2017	69.6%	71.9%	66.7%
Algeria	Hassani Abdelkrim	2000	48.6%	51.7%	45.8%
Algeria	Hassani Abdelkrim	2017	48.9%	52.0%	46.1%
Algeria	Hassasna	2000	70.9%	74.3%	67.5%
Algeria	Hassasna	2017	71.1%	74.5%	67.7%
Algeria	Hassi Bahbah	2000	80.8%	82.7%	78.8%
Algeria	Hassi Bahbah	2017	80.9%	82.8%	78.9%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Abdellah	2000	48.6%	52.0%	45.4%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Abdellah	2017	48.9%	52.1%	45.8%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Okba	2000	70.4%	72.9%	67.7%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Okba	2017	70.8%	73.3%	68.2%
Algeria	Hassi Bounif	2000	70.3%	72.6%	68.2%
Algeria	Hassi Bounif	2017	70.6%	72.9%	68.4%
Algeria	Hassi Dahou	2000	71.5%	74.8%	68.3%
Algeria	Hassi Dahou	2017	71.6%	75.0%	68.5%
Algeria	Hassi Delaa	2000	78.9%	81.8%	76.0%
Algeria	Hassi Delaa	2017	78.5%	81.3%	75.6%
Algeria	Hassi El Euch	2000	81.5%	83.9%	79.5%
Algeria	Hassi El Euch	2017	81.6%	83.9%	79.6%
Algeria	Hassi El Ghella	2000	70.5%	73.1%	67.5%
Algeria	Hassi El Ghella	2017	70.6%	73.2%	67.5%
Algeria	Hassi Fedoul	2000	79.1%	81.9%	76.3%
Algeria	Hassi Fedoul	2017	79.3%	81.9%	76.6%
Algeria	Hassi Fehal	2000	48.9%	55.4%	42.9%
Algeria	Hassi Fehal	2017	49.3%	55.7%	43.7%
Algeria	Hassi Gara	2000	49.9%	53.7%	46.0%
Algeria	Hassi Gara	2017	50.0%	54.2%	45.6%
Algeria	Hassi Khalifa	2000	48.8%	53.7%	44.1%
Algeria	Hassi Khalifa	2017	49.0%	54.0%	44.3%
Algeria	Hassi Mameche	2000	71.1%	73.2%	69.3%
Algeria	Hassi Mameche	2017	71.3%	73.4%	69.5%
Algeria	Hassi Mef-soukh	2000	70.0%	72.6%	67.8%
Algeria	Hassi Mef-soukh	2017	70.3%	72.8%	68.0%
Algeria	Hassi MESSAoud	2000	48.9%	51.9%	45.6%
Algeria	Hassi MESSAoud	2017	49.1%	51.9%	46.2%
Algeria	Hassi R'Mel	2000	77.3%	80.1%	74.0%
Algeria	Hassi R'Mel	2017	77.5%	80.4%	74.2%
Algeria	Hassi Zehana	2000	70.7%	74.7%	67.0%
Algeria	Hassi Zehana	2017	70.9%	74.9%	67.2%
Algeria	Hattatba	2000	75.5%	78.1%	73.1%
Algeria	Hattatba	2017	75.7%	78.3%	73.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Helliopolis	2000	78.6%	80.7%	76.1%
Algeria	Helliopolis	2017	79.0%	81.1%	76.6%
Algeria	Hennaya	2000	69.1%	71.8%	66.3%
Algeria	Hennaya	2017	69.4%	72.1%	66.6%
Algeria	Herenfa	2000	74.4%	77.4%	71.0%
Algeria	Herenfa	2017	74.3%	77.4%	71.0%
Algeria	Hidoussa	2000	62.7%	65.9%	59.3%
Algeria	Hidoussa	2017	63.1%	66.2%	59.6%
Algeria	Hoceinia	2000	76.2%	78.8%	73.3%
Algeria	Hoceinia	2017	76.2%	78.9%	73.4%
Algeria	Honaine	2000	67.2%	71.6%	62.5%
Algeria	Honaine	2017	67.1%	71.7%	62.3%
Algeria	Houari	2000	79.3%	82.1%	76.7%
Algeria	Boumedi- ene Houari	2017	79.5%	82.1%	76.8%
Algeria	Boumedi- ene Hounet	2000	73.2%	76.6%	69.7%
Algeria	Hounet	2017	73.4%	76.8%	69.8%
Algeria	Ibn Ziad	2000	80.4%	82.7%	78.5%
Algeria	Ibn Ziad	2017	80.4%	82.6%	78.5%
Algeria	Iboudraren	2000	77.0%	80.0%	73.5%
Algeria	Iboudraren	2017	77.1%	80.1%	73.7%
Algeria	Ichmoul	2000	62.5%	67.2%	58.3%
Algeria	Ichmoul	2017	62.6%	67.4%	58.4%
Algeria	Idjeur	2000	78.7%	81.3%	75.8%
Algeria	Idjeur	2017	78.8%	81.5%	75.9%
Algeria	Idles	2000	50.6%	57.0%	44.2%
Algeria	Idles	2017	50.8%	56.7%	44.6%
Algeria	Ifelain Ilma- then	2000	74.3%	77.6%	70.7%
Algeria	Ifelain Ilma- then	2017	74.2%	77.5%	70.6%
Algeria	Iferhounene	2000	77.6%	80.4%	74.5%
Algeria	Iferhounene	2017	77.7%	80.5%	74.5%
Algeria	Ifigha	2000	77.3%	79.5%	74.8%
Algeria	Ifigha	2017	77.5%	79.7%	75.0%
Algeria	Iflissen	2000	78.3%	81.7%	74.2%
Algeria	Iflissen	2017	78.6%	82.0%	74.5%
Algeria	Ighil-Ali	2000	72.0%	75.8%	68.2%
Algeria	Ighil-Ali	2017	72.3%	76.1%	68.5%
Algeria	Ighrem	2000	74.8%	77.7%	71.8%
Algeria	Ighrem	2017	74.7%	77.7%	71.7%
Algeria	Igli	2000	49.5%	57.0%	43.3%
Algeria	Igli	2017	49.7%	56.9%	43.4%
Algeria	Illilten	2000	76.9%	79.8%	73.8%
Algeria	Illilten	2017	76.9%	79.9%	73.8%
Algeria	Illizi	2000	48.8%	56.9%	41.4%
Algeria	Illizi	2017	48.7%	56.9%	41.3%
Algeria	Illoula	2000	77.6%	80.0%	74.9%
Algeria	Oumalou Illoula	2017	77.9%	80.3%	75.2%
Algeria	Oumalou	2000	77.6%	80.1%	74.5%
Algeria	Imsoihal	2017	77.9%	80.3%	74.7%
Algeria	In Amenas	2000	49.9%	57.3%	41.2%
Algeria	In Amenas	2017	50.0%	57.3%	41.1%
Algeria	In Ghar	2000	48.0%	53.3%	42.7%
Algeria	In Ghar	2017	47.9%	52.7%	42.7%
Algeria	In Guezzam	2000	48.5%	58.4%	39.2%
Algeria	In Guezzam	2017	48.5%	58.9%	39.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	In M'Guel	2000	48.1%	54.3%	41.4%
Algeria	In M'Guel	2017	48.0%	53.6%	41.7%
Algeria	In Salah	2000	49.8%	54.6%	45.2%
Algeria	In Salah	2017	50.0%	54.4%	45.4%
Algeria	In Zghmir	2000	49.0%	56.3%	43.2%
Algeria	In Zghmir	2017	48.6%	55.3%	42.9%
Algeria	Inoughissen	2000	63.1%	67.6%	58.4%
Algeria	Inoughissen	2017	63.4%	67.7%	58.6%
Algeria	Irdjen	2000	76.4%	78.6%	74.0%
Algeria	Irdjen	2017	76.5%	78.7%	74.1%
Algeria	Isser	2000	75.5%	78.0%	73.1%
Algeria	Isser	2017	75.7%	78.2%	73.3%
Algeria	Jijel	2000	79.6%	82.4%	76.4%
Algeria	Jijel	2017	79.8%	82.5%	76.6%
Algeria	Kadiria	2000	76.5%	79.1%	73.8%
Algeria	Kadiria	2017	76.4%	79.1%	73.7%
Algeria	Kais	2000	60.0%	63.3%	56.3%
Algeria	Kais	2017	60.2%	63.5%	56.4%
Algeria	Kalaa	2000	72.2%	74.9%	68.8%
Algeria	Kalaa	2017	72.1%	74.9%	68.9%
Algeria	Kalaat Bous- baa	2000	80.9%	83.0%	78.4%
Algeria	Kalaat Bous- baa	2017	81.1%	83.2%	78.6%
Algeria	Kanoua	2000	83.7%	87.6%	79.7%
Algeria	Kanoua	2017	84.1%	88.0%	80.2%
Algeria	Kasdir	2000	75.3%	79.1%	70.6%
Algeria	Kasdir	2017	76.1%	79.8%	71.1%
Algeria	Kef El Ahmar	2000	78.9%	82.6%	75.5%
Algeria	Kef El Ahmar	2017	79.0%	82.7%	75.6%
Algeria	Kenadsa	2000	48.7%	53.0%	44.5%
Algeria	Kenadsa	2017	48.8%	53.1%	44.6%
Algeria	Kendira	2000	71.3%	74.5%	68.6%
Algeria	Kendira	2017	71.4%	74.6%	68.7%
Algeria	Kerkera	2000	80.8%	83.4%	77.6%
Algeria	Kerkera	2017	81.0%	83.6%	77.7%
Algeria	Kerzaz	2000	49.8%	57.0%	42.9%
Algeria	Kerzaz	2017	49.7%	55.8%	43.7%
Algeria	Khadra	2000	70.5%	74.9%	65.7%
Algeria	Khadra	2017	70.7%	75.1%	65.9%
Algeria	Khalouia	2000	73.1%	75.8%	70.1%
Algeria	Khalouia	2017	73.3%	76.0%	70.3%
Algeria	Khams Djoua- maa	2000	77.1%	80.7%	73.2%
Algeria	Khams Djoua- maa	2017	77.4%	81.0%	73.5%
Algeria	Khatouti Sed Eldjir	2000	81.1%	83.9%	77.9%
Algeria	Khatouti Sed Eldjir	2017	81.2%	84.0%	78.0%
Algeria	Khedara	2000	79.7%	83.5%	75.0%
Algeria	Khedara	2017	79.8%	83.6%	75.1%
Algeria	Kheir Oued Adjoul	2000	81.9%	84.7%	79.0%
Algeria	Kheir Oued Adjoul	2017	82.2%	84.9%	79.2%
Algeria	Kheiredine	2000	71.5%	73.6%	69.0%
Algeria	Kheiredine	2017	71.7%	73.9%	69.2%
Algeria	Khelil	2000	62.2%	64.7%	59.3%
Algeria	Khelil	2017	62.2%	64.8%	59.3%
Algeria	Khemis El Khechna	2000	75.7%	77.6%	73.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Khemis El Khechna	2017	75.9%	77.8%	73.9%
Algeria	Khemis Miliana	2000	76.4%	78.9%	73.2%
Algeria	Khemis Miliana	2017	76.6%	79.0%	73.4%
Algeria	Khemissa	2000	77.7%	81.0%	73.9%
Algeria	Khemissa	2017	77.8%	81.2%	74.2%
Algeria	Khemisti	2000	78.7%	80.9%	76.0%
Algeria	Khemisti	2017	78.9%	81.1%	76.2%
Algeria	Khenchela	2000	63.6%	66.2%	61.2%
Algeria	Khenchela	2017	63.8%	66.3%	61.4%
Algeria	Kheneg	2000	82.2%	84.5%	80.1%
Algeria	Kheneg	2017	82.3%	84.6%	80.2%
Algeria	Kheng Maoun	2000	83.0%	86.3%	79.4%
Algeria	Kheng Maoun	2017	83.2%	86.5%	79.6%
Algeria	Khenguet Sidi Nadji	2000	55.9%	62.0%	49.3%
Algeria	Khenguet Sidi Nadji	2017	56.0%	62.2%	49.4%
Algeria	Kherrata	2000	70.0%	73.4%	66.4%
Algeria	Kherrata	2017	70.3%	73.6%	66.7%
Algeria	Khezzara	2000	78.2%	81.3%	75.0%
Algeria	Khezzara	2017	78.3%	81.4%	75.0%
Algeria	Khirane	2000	63.2%	68.1%	58.3%
Algeria	Khirane	2017	63.6%	68.5%	58.8%
Algeria	Khoubana	2000	79.9%	82.9%	76.6%
Algeria	Khoubana	2017	80.0%	83.0%	76.7%
Algeria	Khraicia	2000	75.7%	77.6%	74.0%
Algeria	Khraicia	2017	75.9%	77.8%	74.2%
Algeria	Kimmel	2000	60.1%	64.5%	55.6%
Algeria	Kimmel	2017	60.2%	64.6%	55.7%
Algeria	Kolea	2000	75.4%	77.5%	73.2%
Algeria	Kolea	2017	75.6%	77.7%	73.4%
Algeria	Kouas	2000	80.2%	82.0%	77.9%
Algeria	Kouas	2017	80.4%	82.2%	78.2%
Algeria	Kouba	2000	75.6%	77.3%	73.9%
Algeria	Kouba	2017	75.8%	77.5%	74.1%
Algeria	Kouinine	2000	48.3%	50.8%	45.9%
Algeria	Kouinine	2017	48.5%	51.1%	46.2%
Algeria	Krakda	2000	78.8%	82.2%	74.8%
Algeria	Krakda	2017	78.9%	82.1%	75.2%
Algeria	Ksabi	2000	49.5%	58.8%	40.8%
Algeria	Ksabi	2017	49.5%	58.3%	40.8%
Algeria	Ksar Bellezma	2000	62.5%	65.7%	59.2%
Algeria	Ksar Bellezma	2017	62.7%	65.7%	59.3%
Algeria	Ksar Chellala	2000	79.2%	81.5%	76.8%
Algeria	Ksar Chellala	2017	79.4%	81.7%	77.1%
Algeria	Ksar El Abtal	2000	63.2%	66.2%	59.6%
Algeria	Ksar El Abtal	2017	63.3%	66.4%	59.8%
Algeria	Ksar El Boukhari	2000	76.6%	80.2%	72.9%
Algeria	Ksar El Boukhari	2017	76.8%	80.4%	73.2%
Algeria	Ksar El Sbihi	2000	71.3%	75.4%	67.2%
Algeria	Ksar El Sbihi	2017	71.3%	75.5%	67.2%
Algeria	Ksar Hirane	2000	81.7%	84.1%	78.6%
Algeria	Ksar Hirane	2017	82.1%	84.4%	79.0%
Algeria	Ksar Kaddour	2000	50.0%	55.0%	44.4%
Algeria	Ksar Kaddour	2017	50.8%	55.8%	45.1%
Algeria	Ksour	2000	71.0%	74.1%	67.8%
Algeria	Ksour	2017	71.1%	74.1%	67.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Labioud Med-jadja	2000	76.9%	79.4%	74.1%
Algeria	Labioud Med-jadja	2017	77.0%	79.5%	74.3%
Algeria	Lac Des Oiseaux	2000	79.7%	83.0%	76.6%
Algeria	Lac Des Oiseaux	2017	79.8%	83.2%	76.7%
Algeria	Laghouat	2000	82.7%	84.3%	80.9%
Algeria	Laghouat	2017	82.9%	84.5%	81.1%
Algeria	Lahlef	2000	71.1%	73.5%	68.8%
Algeria	Lahlef	2017	71.4%	73.7%	69.0%
Algeria	Lahmar	2000	49.3%	55.1%	43.8%
Algeria	Lahmar	2017	49.8%	55.5%	44.4%
Algeria	Lakhdaria	2000	76.2%	78.9%	73.5%
Algeria	Lakhdaria	2017	76.4%	79.1%	73.7%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	62.1%	65.9%	58.5%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	75.5%	78.1%	72.7%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	78.1%	81.0%	75.5%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	78.0%	80.6%	75.4%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	75.7%	77.9%	73.2%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	62.5%	66.4%	58.9%
Algeria	Larbaa-Nath-Irathen	2000	78.8%	81.0%	76.5%
Algeria	Larbaa-Nath-Irathen	2017	79.1%	81.3%	76.8%
Algeria	Larbatache	2000	76.1%	78.3%	73.9%
Algeria	Larbatache	2017	76.4%	78.6%	74.3%
Algeria	Lardjem	2000	77.8%	80.0%	75.5%
Algeria	Lardjem	2017	77.9%	80.3%	75.6%
Algeria	Larhat	2000	75.7%	80.9%	69.8%
Algeria	Larhat	2017	75.7%	80.9%	69.6%
Algeria	Layoune	2000	78.5%	80.9%	76.2%
Algeria	Layoune	2017	78.8%	81.1%	76.3%
Algeria	Lazharia	2000	77.6%	80.6%	74.7%
Algeria	Lazharia	2017	77.8%	80.7%	74.8%
Algeria	Lazrou	2000	65.0%	68.1%	61.6%
Algeria	Lazrou	2017	65.2%	68.4%	61.9%
Algeria	Leghata	2000	75.6%	78.6%	72.5%
Algeria	Leghata	2017	75.8%	78.8%	72.7%
Algeria	Lemsane	2000	62.1%	65.6%	58.4%
Algeria	Lemsane	2017	62.4%	66.0%	58.7%
Algeria	Lemtar	2000	71.1%	74.4%	67.9%
Algeria	Lemtar	2017	71.3%	74.6%	68.1%
Algeria	Les Eucalyptus	2000	75.2%	76.5%	73.7%
Algeria	Les Eucalyptus	2017	75.5%	76.8%	74.1%
Algeria	Lichana	2000	49.2%	52.6%	46.3%
Algeria	Lichana	2017	49.5%	52.8%	46.5%
Algeria	Lioua	2000	49.1%	51.9%	46.0%
Algeria	Lioua	2017	49.3%	52.2%	46.1%
Algeria	M_Ziraa	2000	54.3%	58.8%	49.1%
Algeria	M_Ziraa	2017	54.1%	58.8%	48.9%
Algeria	M'Chedallah	2000	74.1%	78.2%	69.5%
Algeria	M'Chedallah	2017	74.2%	78.4%	69.6%
Algeria	M'Cid	2000	72.0%	75.7%	68.1%
Algeria	M'Cid	2017	72.1%	75.8%	68.3%
Algeria	M'Cif	2000	77.2%	80.7%	73.3%
Algeria	M'Cif	2017	77.1%	80.7%	73.3%
Algeria	M'Daourouche	2000	75.0%	78.0%	71.0%
Algeria	M'Daourouche	2017	74.9%	78.0%	70.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	M'Doukal	2000	61.3%	66.4%	55.9%
Algeria	M'Doukal	2017	61.3%	66.4%	55.9%
Algeria	M'Kira	2000	76.7%	79.4%	73.9%
Algeria	M'Kira	2017	76.9%	79.6%	74.1%
Algeria	M'Lili	2000	48.4%	51.9%	44.8%
Algeria	M'Lili	2017	48.6%	52.2%	45.0%
Algeria	M'Liliha	2000	81.9%	84.2%	79.4%
Algeria	M'Liliha	2017	82.1%	84.3%	79.6%
Algeria	M'Naguer	2000	48.5%	51.1%	45.5%
Algeria	M'Naguer	2017	48.7%	51.5%	45.7%
Algeria	M'Rara	2000	49.8%	55.4%	43.9%
Algeria	M'Rara	2017	50.3%	56.2%	44.3%
Algeria	M'Sara	2000	63.1%	67.4%	58.3%
Algeria	M'Sara	2017	63.1%	67.5%	58.5%
Algeria	M'Sila	2000	81.6%	83.3%	80.1%
Algeria	M'Sila	2017	81.8%	83.5%	80.3%
Algeria	M'Tarfa	2000	81.3%	82.9%	79.8%
Algeria	M'Tarfa	2017	81.5%	83.1%	80.0%
Algeria	M'Toussa	2000	62.4%	66.2%	58.7%
Algeria	M'Toussa	2017	62.5%	66.2%	58.8%
Algeria	Maacem	2000	79.1%	81.3%	76.9%
Algeria	Maacem	2017	79.2%	81.3%	76.8%
Algeria	Maadid	2000	77.7%	80.0%	75.1%
Algeria	Maadid	2017	78.2%	80.4%	75.6%
Algeria	Maafa	2000	57.9%	60.9%	55.3%
Algeria	Maafa	2017	58.1%	61.1%	55.5%
Algeria	Maala	2000	76.5%	79.7%	73.6%
Algeria	Maala	2017	76.7%	79.9%	73.8%
Algeria	Maamora	2000	77.8%	81.3%	74.1%
Algeria	Maamora	2000	78.4%	81.5%	75.0%
Algeria	Maamora	2017	77.9%	81.5%	74.2%
Algeria	Maamora	2017	78.6%	81.8%	75.2%
Algeria	Maaouia	2000	70.8%	73.7%	67.6%
Algeria	Maaouia	2017	70.8%	73.8%	67.7%
Algeria	Maarif	2000	80.3%	83.0%	77.3%
Algeria	Maarif	2017	80.5%	83.1%	77.4%
Algeria	Maatkas	2000	77.3%	79.7%	74.6%
Algeria	Maatkas	2017	77.3%	79.7%	74.7%
Algeria	Machroha	2000	81.0%	83.7%	78.7%
Algeria	Machroha	2017	81.3%	83.8%	78.9%
Algeria	Madna	2000	78.0%	81.2%	74.2%
Algeria	Madna	2017	78.2%	81.3%	74.4%
Algeria	Maghnia	2000	68.2%	72.0%	65.0%
Algeria	Maghnia	2017	68.5%	72.2%	65.3%
Algeria	Magra	2000	76.4%	78.5%	74.1%
Algeria	Magra	2017	76.6%	78.7%	74.4%
Algeria	Magrane	2000	48.5%	53.8%	44.4%
Algeria	Magrane	2017	48.8%	54.1%	44.7%
Algeria	Magtaa Douz	2000	69.0%	71.4%	66.3%
Algeria	Magtaa Douz	2017	69.1%	71.5%	66.5%
Algeria	Mahdia	2000	78.3%	80.5%	75.8%
Algeria	Mahdia	2017	78.6%	80.8%	76.2%
Algeria	Mahelma	2000	75.5%	77.4%	73.6%
Algeria	Mahelma	2017	75.7%	77.6%	74.0%
Algeria	Makhda	2000	74.6%	78.4%	71.6%
Algeria	Makhda	2017	74.9%	78.6%	71.8%
Algeria	Makman Ben Amer	2000	77.5%	81.4%	73.5%
Algeria	Makman Ben Amer	2017	77.7%	81.4%	73.6%
Algeria	Makouda	2000	78.2%	81.3%	75.1%
Algeria	Makouda	2017	78.3%	81.3%	75.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Mansoura	2000	48.8%	54.5%	43.9%
Algeria	Mansoura	2000	71.8%	75.9%	68.2%
Algeria	Mansoura	2017	49.4%	54.7%	44.5%
Algeria	Mansoura	2017	72.1%	76.2%	68.5%
Algeria	Mansourah	2000	71.2%	73.5%	68.8%
Algeria	Mansourah	2000	70.7%	72.6%	68.4%
Algeria	Mansourah	2017	70.8%	72.8%	68.5%
Algeria	Mansourah	2017	71.6%	73.8%	69.2%
Algeria	Maouaklane	2000	65.3%	68.4%	62.1%
Algeria	Maouaklane	2017	65.5%	68.7%	62.4%
Algeria	Maoussa	2000	72.0%	74.3%	69.6%
Algeria	Maoussa	2017	72.2%	74.4%	69.8%
Algeria	Marsa Ben M'Hidi	2000	68.3%	75.0%	61.6%
Algeria	Marsa Ben M'Hidi	2017	68.5%	75.2%	61.9%
Algeria	Marsat El Hadjadj	2000	69.4%	72.3%	65.8%
Algeria	Marsat El Hadjadj	2017	69.6%	72.6%	66.1%
Algeria	Mascara	2000	72.8%	75.2%	70.5%
Algeria	Mascara	2017	73.1%	75.5%	70.7%
Algeria	Mazouna	2000	72.3%	74.8%	69.7%
Algeria	Mazouna	2017	72.7%	75.2%	70.1%
Algeria	Mecheria	2000	77.5%	80.2%	74.5%
Algeria	Mecheria	2017	77.8%	80.4%	74.8%
Algeria	Mechouneche	2000	51.1%	55.3%	47.2%
Algeria	Mechouneche	2017	50.9%	55.0%	47.2%
Algeria	Mechraa Houari Boumedi-ene	2000	49.5%	55.9%	42.9%
Algeria	Mechraa Houari Boumedi-ene	2017	49.5%	56.0%	43.0%
Algeria	Mechraa Safa	2000	78.1%	80.7%	75.8%
Algeria	Mechraa Safa	2017	78.4%	81.0%	76.2%
Algeria	Mechtrass	2000	76.8%	79.9%	73.9%
Algeria	Mechtrass	2017	77.0%	80.0%	74.1%
Algeria	Medea	2000	76.5%	79.5%	73.0%
Algeria	Medea	2017	76.7%	79.7%	73.1%
Algeria	Mediouna	2000	71.4%	74.2%	68.9%
Algeria	Mediouna	2017	71.6%	74.3%	69.1%
Algeria	Medjana	2000	65.6%	68.1%	62.9%
Algeria	Medjana	2017	65.9%	68.4%	63.1%
Algeria	Medjaz Ammar	2000	78.7%	81.1%	76.2%
Algeria	Medjaz Ammar	2017	78.7%	81.0%	76.2%
Algeria	Medjaz Sfa	2000	79.8%	81.9%	77.1%
Algeria	Medjaz Sfa	2017	79.9%	82.0%	77.2%
Algeria	Medjebar	2000	77.2%	80.4%	73.9%
Algeria	Medjebar	2017	77.2%	80.6%	74.0%
Algeria	Medjedel	2000	81.2%	83.4%	78.4%
Algeria	Medjedel	2017	81.2%	83.5%	78.6%
Algeria	Medrissa	2000	78.9%	81.5%	76.2%
Algeria	Medrissa	2017	79.1%	81.7%	76.4%
Algeria	Medroussa	2000	78.3%	80.8%	76.2%
Algeria	Medroussa	2017	78.6%	81.1%	76.4%
Algeria	Meftah	2000	75.8%	78.0%	73.5%
Algeria	Meftah	2017	75.9%	78.0%	73.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Meftaha	2000	77.1%	80.2%	73.9%
Algeria	Meftaha	2017	77.4%	80.5%	74.1%
Algeria	Megarine	2000	49.2%	52.7%	45.6%
Algeria	Megarine	2017	49.4%	53.0%	45.9%
Algeria	Megheraoua	2000	76.8%	80.2%	73.2%
Algeria	Megheraoua	2017	77.0%	80.3%	73.4%
Algeria	Meghila	2000	78.1%	80.3%	75.5%
Algeria	Meghila	2017	78.3%	80.5%	75.7%
Algeria	Mekhadma	2000	48.6%	52.0%	45.1%
Algeria	Mekhadma	2017	48.8%	52.3%	45.4%
Algeria	Mekhareg	2000	82.4%	84.2%	80.3%
Algeria	Mekhareg	2017	82.6%	84.4%	80.5%
Algeria	Mekhatria	2000	76.2%	79.1%	73.1%
Algeria	Mekhatria	2017	76.2%	79.1%	73.2%
Algeria	Mekkedra	2000	71.1%	74.3%	68.0%
Algeria	Mekkedra	2017	71.2%	74.4%	68.2%
Algeria	Mekla	2000	76.9%	79.1%	74.6%
Algeria	Mekla	2017	77.0%	79.2%	74.8%
Algeria	Melaab	2000	78.1%	80.8%	74.9%
Algeria	Melaab	2017	78.3%	80.9%	75.1%
Algeria	Melbou	2000	73.5%	77.0%	69.4%
Algeria	Melbou	2017	73.6%	77.2%	69.5%
Algeria	Mellakou	2000	77.7%	79.8%	75.9%
Algeria	Mellakou	2017	77.9%	79.9%	76.1%
Algeria	Menaa	2000	59.3%	63.0%	55.2%
Algeria	Menaa	2000	80.7%	83.1%	77.9%
Algeria	Menaa	2017	80.8%	83.3%	77.9%
Algeria	Menaa	2017	59.5%	63.3%	55.5%
Algeria	Menaceur	2000	76.3%	80.1%	72.2%
Algeria	Menaceur	2017	76.5%	80.3%	72.4%
Algeria	Mendes	2000	76.0%	79.0%	73.2%
Algeria	Mendes	2017	75.7%	78.7%	72.9%
Algeria	Merad	2000	75.5%	78.6%	72.4%
Algeria	Merad	2017	75.7%	78.8%	72.6%
Algeria	Merahna	2000	79.1%	82.4%	75.0%
Algeria	Merahna	2017	79.3%	82.6%	75.2%
Algeria	Merdja Sidi Abed	2000	71.5%	74.1%	68.9%
Algeria	Merdja Sidi Abed	2017	71.7%	74.3%	69.1%
Algeria	Merhoum	2000	76.9%	80.7%	72.6%
Algeria	Merhoum	2017	77.1%	80.9%	72.9%
Algeria	Meridja	2000	51.9%	59.7%	43.0%
Algeria	Meridja	2017	53.1%	60.6%	43.9%
Algeria	Merine	2000	73.6%	78.1%	69.3%
Algeria	Merine	2017	73.8%	78.3%	69.5%
Algeria	Merouana	2000	62.1%	65.4%	58.7%
Algeria	Merouana	2017	62.3%	65.6%	58.9%
Algeria	Mers El Kebir	2000	70.5%	72.9%	67.7%
Algeria	Mers El Kebir	2017	70.3%	73.0%	67.3%
Algeria	Meskiana	2000	62.6%	67.3%	58.1%
Algeria	Meskiana	2017	62.8%	67.5%	58.2%
Algeria	Mesra	2000	71.2%	73.3%	68.9%
Algeria	Mesra	2017	71.6%	73.6%	69.4%
Algeria	Messaad	2000	81.2%	82.9%	79.3%
Algeria	Messaad	2017	81.4%	83.1%	79.4%
Algeria	Messelmoun	2000	76.6%	81.1%	71.9%
Algeria	Messelmoun	2017	76.1%	81.1%	71.1%
Algeria	Metarfa	2000	49.0%	54.5%	42.5%
Algeria	Metarfa	2017	49.2%	54.4%	42.6%
Algeria	Metlili	2000	49.9%	53.9%	46.4%
Algeria	Metlili	2017	49.6%	53.6%	46.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Mezaourou	2000	70.8%	74.1%	66.9%
Algeria	Mezaourou	2017	71.0%	74.3%	67.1%
Algeria	Mezdour	2000	77.7%	81.6%	74.3%
Algeria	Mezdour	2017	78.0%	81.8%	74.6%
Algeria	Mezghrane	2000	70.2%	72.5%	68.1%
Algeria	Mezghrane	2017	70.4%	72.7%	68.3%
Algeria	Mezloug	2000	60.5%	62.8%	58.2%
Algeria	Mezloug	2017	60.9%	63.2%	58.6%
Algeria	Mezrenna	2000	77.2%	80.7%	73.1%
Algeria	Mezrenna	2017	77.3%	80.8%	73.2%
Algeria	Mih Ouansa	2000	47.9%	52.3%	43.6%
Algeria	Mih Ouansa	2017	48.3%	52.7%	43.8%
Algeria	Mihoub	2000	76.8%	80.3%	73.0%
Algeria	Mihoub	2017	77.0%	80.5%	73.2%
Algeria	Mila	2000	81.7%	83.9%	79.5%
Algeria	Mila	2017	81.9%	84.0%	79.7%
Algeria	Miliana	2000	76.3%	78.9%	73.1%
Algeria	Miliana	2017	76.5%	79.1%	73.2%
Algeria	Minar Zarza	2000	80.5%	83.1%	78.2%
Algeria	Minar Zarza	2017	80.6%	83.1%	78.3%
Algeria	Misserghin	2000	70.2%	72.4%	67.8%
Algeria	Misserghin	2017	70.5%	72.8%	68.0%
Algeria	Mizrana	2000	78.9%	82.5%	75.0%
Algeria	Mizrana	2017	79.0%	82.5%	75.0%
Algeria	Mogheul	2000	53.2%	59.7%	46.7%
Algeria	Mogheul	2017	53.6%	60.1%	47.1%
Algeria	Moghrar	2000	76.1%	80.1%	72.5%
Algeria	Moghrar	2017	75.9%	79.7%	72.5%
Algeria	Mohamed Boudiaf	2000	78.5%	82.0%	74.6%
Algeria	Mohamed Boudiaf	2017	78.5%	82.1%	74.6%
Algeria	Mohammadia	2000	69.5%	71.8%	66.7%
Algeria	Mohammadia	2017	69.7%	71.9%	66.9%
Algeria	Morsot	2000	63.7%	67.2%	59.8%
Algeria	Morsot	2017	63.9%	67.5%	60.0%
Algeria	Mostaganem	2000	70.8%	73.1%	68.2%
Algeria	Mostaganem	2017	71.0%	73.4%	68.4%
Algeria	Moudjebara	2000	80.9%	82.9%	79.0%
Algeria	Moudjebara	2017	81.1%	83.1%	79.2%
Algeria	Moulay Larbi	2000	77.2%	80.4%	73.6%
Algeria	Moulay Larbi	2017	77.4%	80.5%	73.8%
Algeria	Moulay Slissen	2000	69.8%	72.7%	66.8%
Algeria	Moulay Slissen	2017	70.1%	72.9%	67.1%
Algeria	Moussadek	2000	75.6%	79.6%	71.5%
Algeria	Moussadek	2017	76.1%	80.1%	71.9%
Algeria	Mouzaia	2000	75.5%	77.8%	73.1%
Algeria	Mouzaia	2017	75.8%	78.0%	73.3%
Algeria	Msirda	2000	68.5%	74.0%	62.7%
Algeria	Fouaga Msirda	2017	68.6%	74.1%	62.9%
Algeria	Mustafa Ben Brahim	2000	72.3%	76.2%	68.6%
Algeria	Mustafa Ben Brahim	2017	72.5%	76.5%	68.8%
Algeria	N'Gaous	2000	61.4%	65.3%	57.5%
Algeria	N'Gaous	2017	61.3%	65.2%	57.5%
Algeria	N'Goussa	2000	48.3%	52.8%	43.4%
Algeria	N'Goussa	2017	48.6%	53.1%	43.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Naama	2000	78.1%	81.6%	74.6%
Algeria	Naama	2017	78.4%	81.9%	74.9%
Algeria	Naciria	2000	77.0%	79.6%	74.6%
Algeria	Naciria	2017	77.2%	79.8%	74.8%
Algeria	Nador	2000	75.3%	79.3%	71.5%
Algeria	Nador	2017	75.6%	79.6%	71.8%
Algeria	Nadorah	2000	78.4%	81.5%	75.1%
Algeria	Nadorah	2017	78.6%	81.7%	75.4%
Algeria	Naima	2000	78.9%	80.8%	76.7%
Algeria	Naima	2017	78.9%	80.7%	76.9%
Algeria	Nakhla	2000	48.4%	52.1%	44.6%
Algeria	Nakhla	2017	48.7%	52.4%	44.8%
Algeria	Nechemaya	2000	80.6%	82.7%	78.1%
Algeria	Nechemaya	2017	80.8%	82.9%	78.3%
Algeria	Nedroma	2000	68.2%	71.8%	64.7%
Algeria	Nedroma	2017	68.4%	72.0%	64.9%
Algeria	Negrine	2000	57.9%	64.8%	51.4%
Algeria	Negrine	2017	57.9%	64.6%	51.5%
Algeria	Nekmaria	2000	70.5%	74.5%	66.4%
Algeria	Nekmaria	2017	70.7%	74.6%	66.4%
Algeria	Nesmoth	2000	76.0%	79.3%	72.7%
Algeria	Nesmoth	2017	76.1%	79.5%	73.0%
Algeria	Nezla	2000	48.9%	51.9%	45.8%
Algeria	Nezla	2017	49.2%	52.1%	46.0%
Algeria	Oggaz	2000	69.6%	72.2%	66.7%
Algeria	Oggaz	2017	69.8%	72.5%	67.0%
Algeria	Ogla Melha	2000	63.1%	66.7%	59.6%
Algeria	Ogla Melha	2017	63.4%	67.1%	59.8%
Algeria	Oran	2000	70.5%	72.4%	68.6%
Algeria	Oran	2017	70.7%	72.5%	68.8%
Algeria	Ouacif	2000	76.6%	79.7%	73.3%
Algeria	Ouacif	2017	76.8%	79.9%	73.6%
Algeria	Ouadhia	2000	76.3%	78.9%	73.5%
Algeria	Ouadhia	2017	76.6%	79.2%	73.8%
Algeria	Ouaguenoun	2000	77.5%	80.4%	75.0%
Algeria	Ouaguenoun	2017	77.3%	80.1%	74.7%
Algeria	Ouamri	2000	77.0%	80.4%	73.7%
Algeria	Ouamri	2017	77.5%	80.9%	74.2%
Algeria	Ouanougha	2000	77.9%	81.5%	74.4%
Algeria	Ouanougha	2017	78.1%	81.6%	74.6%
Algeria	Ouargla	2000	48.3%	51.4%	45.4%
Algeria	Ouargla	2017	48.6%	51.6%	45.8%
Algeria	Ouarizane	2000	69.9%	72.5%	66.6%
Algeria	Ouarizane	2017	70.1%	72.7%	66.8%
Algeria	Oudjana	2000	82.5%	84.6%	80.6%
Algeria	Oudjana	2017	82.7%	84.8%	80.9%
Algeria	Oued Athmenia	2000	79.4%	81.1%	77.0%
Algeria	Oued Athmenia	2017	79.6%	81.3%	77.2%
Algeria	Oued Berkeche	2000	70.7%	74.0%	66.7%
Algeria	Oued Berkeche	2017	70.9%	74.3%	67.0%
Algeria	Oued Chaaba	2000	62.1%	65.0%	59.1%
Algeria	Oued Chaaba	2017	62.5%	65.4%	59.5%
Algeria	Oued Cheham	2000	80.6%	83.0%	78.0%
Algeria	Oued Cheham	2017	80.9%	83.2%	78.3%
Algeria	Oued Chorfa	2000	76.3%	79.8%	72.8%
Algeria	Oued Chorfa	2017	76.6%	80.0%	73.1%
Algeria	Oued Chouly	2000	69.4%	73.0%	65.7%
Algeria	Oued Chouly	2017	69.7%	73.3%	66.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Oued Djemaa	2000	77.6%	80.5%	74.2%
Algeria	Oued Djemaa	2017	77.7%	80.6%	74.3%
Algeria	Oued Djer	2000	75.8%	78.6%	72.6%
Algeria	Oued Djer	2017	75.8%	78.6%	72.5%
Algeria	Oued El Abtal	2000	73.6%	76.9%	70.1%
Algeria	Oued El Abtal	2017	73.7%	77.1%	70.1%
Algeria	Oued El Al-enda	2000	48.2%	51.7%	45.3%
Algeria	Oued El Al-enda	2017	48.5%	52.0%	45.5%
Algeria	Oued El Alleug	2000	75.3%	77.1%	73.4%
Algeria	Oued El Alleug	2017	75.5%	77.2%	73.6%
Algeria	Oued El Aneb	2000	81.1%	83.0%	78.9%
Algeria	Oued El Aneb	2017	81.3%	83.2%	79.1%
Algeria	Oued El Barad	2000	68.9%	72.2%	65.1%
Algeria	Oued El Barad	2017	69.3%	72.7%	65.5%
Algeria	Oued El Berdi	2000	76.7%	79.6%	73.6%
Algeria	Oued El Berdi	2017	77.0%	79.8%	73.8%
Algeria	Oued El Djemaa	2000	69.9%	72.5%	67.1%
Algeria	Oued El Djemaa	2017	70.1%	72.8%	67.3%
Algeria	Oued El Kheir	2000	71.1%	73.7%	68.4%
Algeria	Oued El Kheir	2017	71.3%	73.9%	68.5%
Algeria	Oued El Ma	2000	62.6%	65.7%	59.1%
Algeria	Oued El Ma	2017	62.5%	65.6%	59.1%
Algeria	Oued Endja	2000	80.7%	82.3%	79.1%
Algeria	Oued Endja	2017	80.8%	82.4%	79.3%
Algeria	Oued Essalem	2000	75.7%	78.5%	73.2%
Algeria	Oued Essalem	2017	76.0%	78.7%	73.4%
Algeria	Oued Fodda	2000	75.7%	78.0%	73.3%
Algeria	Oued Fodda	2017	76.0%	78.3%	73.5%
Algeria	Oued Fragha	2000	80.5%	82.2%	78.8%
Algeria	Oued Fragha	2017	80.6%	82.3%	78.9%
Algeria	Oued Ghir	2000	75.1%	78.2%	71.6%
Algeria	Oued Ghir	2017	75.3%	78.4%	71.8%
Algeria	Oued Gous-sine	2000	75.9%	81.0%	70.5%
Algeria	Oued Gous-sine	2017	75.8%	81.0%	70.3%
Algeria	Oued Harbil	2000	76.4%	79.6%	72.9%
Algeria	Oued Harbil	2017	76.6%	79.8%	73.0%
Algeria	Oued Kebrit	2000	69.8%	72.9%	65.7%
Algeria	Oued Kebrit	2017	70.4%	73.5%	66.3%
Algeria	Oued Lilli	2000	78.8%	80.6%	77.1%
Algeria	Oued Lilli	2017	79.1%	80.8%	77.4%
Algeria	Oued M'Zi	2000	80.8%	83.4%	78.1%
Algeria	Oued M'Zi	2017	80.9%	83.5%	78.2%
Algeria	Oued Mora	2000	80.6%	83.4%	77.5%
Algeria	Oued Mora	2017	80.8%	83.5%	77.7%
Algeria	Oued Nini	2000	62.1%	66.8%	58.0%
Algeria	Oued Nini	2017	62.3%	66.9%	58.2%
Algeria	Oued Rhiou	2000	70.2%	72.4%	67.8%
Algeria	Oued Rhiou	2017	70.5%	72.6%	68.0%
Algeria	Oued Sebaa	2000	72.4%	77.4%	66.7%
Algeria	Oued Sebaa	2017	72.6%	77.4%	66.9%
Algeria	Oued Sebbah	2000	70.5%	73.5%	66.7%
Algeria	Oued Sebbah	2017	70.8%	73.9%	67.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Oued Sefioune	2000	71.9%	76.1%	67.6%
Algeria	Oued Sefioune	2017	72.1%	76.3%	67.8%
Algeria	Oued Seguen	2000	76.2%	78.7%	73.7%
Algeria	Oued Seguen	2017	76.3%	78.7%	73.7%
Algeria	Oued Sly	2000	74.3%	76.5%	71.9%
Algeria	Oued Sly	2017	74.5%	76.7%	72.1%
Algeria	Oued Taga	2000	62.1%	65.6%	58.4%
Algeria	Oued Taga	2017	62.5%	66.0%	58.8%
Algeria	Oued Taourira	2000	73.7%	77.7%	69.2%
Algeria	Oued Taourira	2017	73.8%	77.9%	69.2%
Algeria	Oued Taria	2000	73.8%	76.5%	70.5%
Algeria	Oued Taria	2017	74.0%	76.7%	70.9%
Algeria	Oued Tlelat	2000	69.7%	72.8%	66.7%
Algeria	Oued Tlelat	2017	70.1%	73.2%	67.1%
Algeria	Oued Zenati	2000	79.1%	81.9%	76.4%
Algeria	Oued Zenati	2017	79.3%	82.1%	76.6%
Algeria	Oued Zhour	2000	82.9%	85.5%	79.9%
Algeria	Oued Zhour	2017	83.0%	85.6%	79.9%
Algeria	Oued Zitoun	2000	80.6%	84.0%	77.5%
Algeria	Oued Zitoun	2017	80.8%	84.2%	77.7%
Algeria	Ouenza	2000	69.9%	74.5%	65.0%
Algeria	Ouenza	2017	70.1%	74.8%	65.1%
Algeria	Ouezra	2000	76.8%	80.1%	73.4%
Algeria	Ouezra	2017	77.1%	80.4%	73.7%
Algeria	Ouillen	2000	79.9%	83.1%	76.4%
Algeria	Ouillen	2017	80.0%	83.2%	76.5%
Algeria	Ouldja Boulbalout	2000	82.1%	84.3%	79.9%
Algeria	Ouldja Boulbalout	2017	82.3%	84.5%	80.1%
Algeria	Ouled Abbes	2000	76.0%	78.1%	73.4%
Algeria	Ouled Abbes	2017	76.1%	78.2%	73.5%
Algeria	Ouled Addi Guebala	2000	78.8%	81.4%	76.5%
Algeria	Ouled Addi Guebala	2017	79.0%	81.6%	76.7%
Algeria	Ouled Ad-douane	2000	65.9%	68.0%	63.0%
Algeria	Ouled Ad-douane	2017	66.1%	68.2%	63.2%
Algeria	Ouled Ahmed Temmi	2000	49.3%	53.6%	45.2%
Algeria	Ouled Ahmed Temmi	2017	49.6%	53.8%	45.4%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2000	49.4%	54.6%	44.9%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2000	77.1%	80.5%	73.6%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2017	77.3%	80.7%	73.7%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2017	49.7%	54.8%	45.1%
Algeria	Ouled Ammar	2000	70.7%	73.5%	67.8%
Algeria	Ouled Ammar	2017	71.0%	73.9%	68.2%
Algeria	Ouled Antar	2000	77.9%	80.8%	74.9%
Algeria	Ouled Antar	2017	78.0%	81.0%	75.1%
Algeria	Ouled Aouf	2000	62.1%	65.8%	58.5%
Algeria	Ouled Aouf	2017	62.4%	66.1%	58.9%
Algeria	Ouled Attia	2000	84.6%	87.9%	81.0%
Algeria	Ouled Attia	2017	85.0%	88.4%	81.5%
Algeria	Ouled Ben Abdelkader	2000	74.9%	77.6%	72.0%
Algeria	Ouled Ben Abdelkader	2017	74.8%	77.4%	71.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Bessem	2000	78.6%	80.9%	76.3%
Algeria	Ouled Bessem	2017	78.7%	81.0%	76.4%
Algeria	Ouled Bouachra	2000	76.7%	79.5%	73.5%
Algeria	Ouled Bouachra	2017	76.9%	79.7%	73.8%
Algeria	Ouled Boudjemaa	2000	69.6%	73.4%	65.2%
Algeria	Ouled Boudjemaa	2017	69.9%	73.9%	65.4%
Algeria	Ouled Boughalem	2000	70.0%	75.1%	64.8%
Algeria	Ouled Boughalem	2017	70.3%	75.4%	65.0%
Algeria	Ouled Brahem	2000	68.4%	71.8%	64.9%
Algeria	Ouled Brahem	2017	68.6%	72.1%	65.1%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2000	77.5%	80.2%	74.8%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2000	76.9%	80.9%	73.3%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2017	77.0%	80.9%	73.3%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2017	77.6%	80.3%	74.9%
Algeria	Ouled Chebel	2000	75.5%	77.6%	73.7%
Algeria	Ouled Chebel	2017	75.9%	77.9%	74.1%
Algeria	Ouled Dahmane	2000	65.0%	68.0%	61.6%
Algeria	Ouled Dahmane	2017	65.5%	68.5%	62.1%
Algeria	Ouled Daid	2000	77.4%	80.7%	73.7%
Algeria	Ouled Daid	2017	77.5%	80.7%	73.7%
Algeria	Ouled Derradj	2000	80.4%	82.6%	78.3%
Algeria	Ouled Derradj	2017	80.6%	82.8%	78.6%
Algeria	Ouled Djellal	2000	49.3%	51.7%	46.9%
Algeria	Ouled Djellal	2017	49.7%	52.1%	47.3%
Algeria	Ouled Driss	2000	81.0%	83.9%	78.3%
Algeria	Ouled Driss	2017	81.0%	84.0%	78.3%
Algeria	Ouled Fadhel	2000	60.0%	64.0%	56.3%
Algeria	Ouled Fadhel	2017	60.2%	64.2%	56.5%
Algeria	Ouled Fares	2000	75.3%	77.8%	72.6%
Algeria	Ouled Fares	2017	75.3%	77.8%	72.6%
Algeria	Ouled Fayet	2000	75.5%	77.3%	73.8%
Algeria	Ouled Fayet	2017	75.7%	77.5%	74.0%
Algeria	Ouled Gacem	2000	66.4%	68.9%	64.1%
Algeria	Ouled Gacem	2017	66.6%	69.1%	64.3%
Algeria	Ouled Hamla	2000	71.8%	74.6%	68.8%
Algeria	Ouled Hamla	2017	72.0%	74.9%	69.0%
Algeria	Ouled Hebbaba	2000	80.7%	82.7%	78.4%
Algeria	Ouled Hebbaba	2017	80.9%	82.8%	78.6%
Algeria	Ouled Hedadj	2000	75.6%	77.4%	73.9%
Algeria	Ouled Hedadj	2017	75.8%	77.6%	74.2%
Algeria	Ouled Hellal	2000	78.0%	81.1%	74.7%
Algeria	Ouled Hellal	2017	78.2%	81.3%	75.0%
Algeria	Ouled Khaled	2000	78.3%	79.9%	76.5%
Algeria	Ouled Khaled	2017	78.4%	80.1%	76.6%
Algeria	Ouled Khelouf	2000	70.8%	73.6%	67.6%
Algeria	Ouled Khelouf	2017	71.4%	74.1%	68.4%
Algeria	Ouled Khoudir	2000	49.5%	58.4%	41.6%
Algeria	Ouled Khoudir	2017	49.6%	58.0%	42.2%
Algeria	Ouled Kihel	2000	69.2%	72.5%	64.8%
Algeria	Ouled Kihel	2017	69.3%	72.7%	65.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Maalah	2000	70.0%	73.5%	66.8%
Algeria	Ouled Maalah	2017	70.4%	73.8%	67.2%
Algeria	Ouled Maaraf	2000	78.6%	81.7%	75.5%
Algeria	Ouled Maaraf	2017	78.8%	81.9%	75.8%
Algeria	Ouled Madhi	2000	81.0%	83.2%	78.6%
Algeria	Ouled Madhi	2017	81.1%	83.4%	78.8%
Algeria	Ouled Mansour	2000	80.8%	82.8%	78.7%
Algeria	Ouled Mansour	2017	81.0%	83.0%	78.9%
Algeria	Ouled Mimoun	2000	70.2%	73.9%	66.4%
Algeria	Ouled Mimoun	2017	70.6%	74.3%	66.8%
Algeria	Ouled Moumen	2000	79.8%	83.9%	74.8%
Algeria	Ouled Moumen	2017	80.0%	84.1%	75.1%
Algeria	Ouled Moussa	2000	75.8%	77.8%	74.1%
Algeria	Ouled Moussa	2017	76.0%	78.0%	74.3%
Algeria	Ouled Rabah	2000	83.5%	85.8%	81.6%
Algeria	Ouled Rabah	2017	83.6%	85.9%	81.8%
Algeria	Ouled Rached	2000	76.8%	80.5%	72.8%
Algeria	Ouled Rached	2017	77.0%	80.8%	73.1%
Algeria	Ouled Rahmoune	2000	73.2%	75.8%	70.7%
Algeria	Ouled Rahmoune	2017	73.6%	76.2%	71.2%
Algeria	Ouled Rechache	2000	62.3%	66.6%	58.0%
Algeria	Ouled Rechache	2017	62.4%	66.7%	58.1%
Algeria	Ouled Riyah	2000	67.3%	70.6%	63.6%
Algeria	Ouled Riyah	2017	67.5%	70.8%	63.9%
Algeria	Ouled Saber	2000	62.3%	64.2%	59.8%
Algeria	Ouled Saber	2017	62.6%	64.5%	60.2%
Algeria	Ouled Said	2000	49.4%	55.1%	43.3%
Algeria	Ouled Said	2017	49.7%	55.3%	43.5%
Algeria	Ouled Sellem	2000	62.7%	66.7%	59.0%
Algeria	Ouled Sellem	2017	63.0%	66.9%	59.4%
Algeria	Ouled Si Ahmed	2000	67.1%	70.1%	63.1%
Algeria	Ouled Si Ahmed	2017	67.3%	70.3%	63.4%
Algeria	Ouled Si Slimane	2000	62.6%	66.3%	59.1%
Algeria	Ouled Si Slimane	2017	62.5%	66.2%	59.1%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2000	81.0%	83.5%	78.5%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2000	72.8%	77.0%	68.4%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2017	81.3%	83.7%	78.9%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2017	73.0%	77.3%	68.7%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Mihoub	2000	69.4%	72.5%	66.1%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Mihoub	2017	69.6%	72.7%	66.2%
Algeria	Ouled Slama	2000	75.7%	78.5%	72.5%
Algeria	Ouled Slama	2017	75.9%	78.6%	72.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Slimane	2000	72.2%	76.8%	68.0%
Algeria	Ouled Slimane	2017	72.2%	76.8%	67.9%
Algeria	Ouled Tebben	2000	72.4%	75.7%	69.1%
Algeria	Ouled Tebben	2017	72.6%	75.9%	69.2%
Algeria	Ouled Yahia Khadrouche	2000	83.8%	86.2%	81.2%
Algeria	Ouled Yahia Khadrouche	2017	83.9%	86.3%	81.3%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2000	71.3%	74.0%	68.4%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2000	76.0%	78.3%	73.5%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2017	71.6%	74.2%	68.5%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2017	76.1%	78.3%	73.6%
Algeria	Ouled Zaoui	2000	64.7%	67.7%	61.4%
Algeria	Ouled Zaoui	2017	64.8%	67.8%	61.7%
Algeria	Oulhaca El Gheraba	2000	68.3%	72.6%	64.0%
Algeria	Oulhaca El Gheraba	2017	68.6%	72.8%	64.3%
Algeria	Oultene	2000	80.8%	83.3%	78.2%
Algeria	Oultene	2017	81.0%	83.5%	78.4%
Algeria	Oum Ali	2000	62.9%	68.2%	57.6%
Algeria	Oum Ali	2017	63.1%	68.4%	57.7%
Algeria	Oum Drou	2000	75.5%	77.4%	73.3%
Algeria	Oum Drou	2017	75.7%	77.6%	73.5%
Algeria	Oum El Adhaim	2000	71.8%	76.4%	66.8%
Algeria	Oum El Adhaim	2017	71.9%	76.6%	67.0%
Algeria	Oum El Assel	2000	49.6%	55.7%	43.7%
Algeria	Oum El Assel	2017	49.7%	55.8%	43.7%
Algeria	Oum El Bouaghi	2000	64.0%	66.9%	60.8%
Algeria	Oum El Bouaghi	2017	64.1%	67.0%	60.8%
Algeria	Oum El Djellil	2000	77.1%	80.7%	73.4%
Algeria	Oum El Djellil	2017	77.2%	80.9%	73.6%
Algeria	Oum Laadham	2000	66.9%	72.2%	61.4%
Algeria	Oum Laadham	2017	67.5%	72.8%	62.2%
Algeria	Oum Toub	2000	81.4%	83.7%	79.0%
Algeria	Oum Toub	2017	81.4%	83.8%	79.0%
Algeria	Oum Touyour	2000	49.2%	53.6%	44.7%
Algeria	Oum Touyour	2017	49.4%	53.5%	45.1%
Algeria	Oumache	2000	48.5%	51.5%	45.6%
Algeria	Oumache	2017	48.8%	51.8%	45.9%
Algeria	Ourlal	2000	48.6%	52.1%	45.1%
Algeria	Ourlal	2017	48.9%	52.4%	45.3%
Algeria	Ourmes	2000	48.1%	51.1%	45.4%
Algeria	Ourmes	2017	48.5%	51.4%	45.8%
Algeria	Ouyoun El Asafir	2000	62.9%	66.1%	60.1%
Algeria	Ouyoun El Asafir	2017	63.1%	66.3%	60.3%
Algeria	Ouzzelaguen	2000	74.1%	76.7%	71.8%
Algeria	Ouzzelaguen	2017	74.0%	76.6%	71.8%
Algeria	Rabta	2000	71.9%	74.7%	68.8%
Algeria	Rabta	2017	71.7%	74.6%	68.5%
Algeria	Ragouba	2000	76.7%	79.9%	73.1%
Algeria	Ragouba	2017	76.6%	79.7%	73.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Rahouia	2000	78.3%	80.8%	76.0%
Algeria	Rahouia	2017	78.7%	81.1%	76.3%
Algeria	Ramdane	2000	80.4%	82.3%	78.5%
Algeria	Djamel				
Algeria	Ramdane	2017	80.5%	82.4%	78.6%
Algeria	Djamel				
Algeria	Ramka	2000	76.6%	79.4%	73.6%
Algeria	Ramka	2017	76.7%	79.5%	73.8%
Algeria	Raml Souk	2000	79.2%	83.0%	75.0%
Algeria	Raml Souk	2017	79.4%	83.2%	75.2%
Algeria	Raouraoua	2000	77.5%	80.4%	73.9%
Algeria	Raouraoua	2017	77.8%	80.6%	74.2%
Algeria	Ras Ain	2000	69.2%	72.0%	66.0%
Algeria	Amirouche				
Algeria	Ras Ain	2017	69.4%	72.2%	66.2%
Algeria	Amirouche				
Algeria	Ras El Agba	2000	79.6%	82.4%	76.7%
Algeria	Ras El Agba	2017	79.7%	82.6%	76.9%
Algeria	Ras El Aioun	2000	62.9%	66.7%	59.5%
Algeria	Ras El Aioun	2017	63.1%	66.7%	59.5%
Algeria	Ras El Ma	2000	73.2%	79.0%	67.3%
Algeria	Ras El Ma	2017	73.5%	79.3%	67.7%
Algeria	Ras El Oued	2000	67.8%	71.3%	64.3%
Algeria	Ras El Oued	2017	68.5%	72.0%	65.0%
Algeria	Ras Mi-	2000	62.1%	67.6%	56.6%
Algeria	aad Ouled				
Algeria	Sassi				
Algeria	Ras Mi-	2017	61.8%	67.1%	56.4%
Algeria	aad Ouled				
Algeria	Sassi				
Algeria	Rechaiga	2000	79.2%	81.8%	76.7%
Algeria	Rechaiga	2017	79.4%	82.0%	76.9%
Algeria	Redjem De-	2000	72.4%	78.7%	66.3%
Algeria	mouche				
Algeria	Redjem De-	2017	72.6%	78.8%	66.5%
Algeria	mouche				
Algeria	Reggane	2000	46.8%	51.2%	42.4%
Algeria	Reggane	2017	46.5%	51.6%	40.8%
Algeria	Reghaia	2000	75.6%	77.5%	73.8%
Algeria	Reghaia	2017	75.7%	77.7%	74.0%
Algeria	Reguiba	2000	48.0%	52.0%	44.0%
Algeria	Reguiba	2017	48.3%	52.3%	44.5%
Algeria	Rehbat	2000	62.6%	65.9%	59.0%
Algeria	Rehbat	2017	62.9%	66.2%	59.4%
Algeria	Relizane	2000	70.6%	73.0%	68.1%
Algeria	Relizane	2017	70.9%	73.3%	68.4%
Algeria	Remchi	2000	68.1%	71.3%	64.6%
Algeria	Remchi	2017	68.3%	71.5%	64.7%
Algeria	Remila	2000	60.7%	64.7%	56.9%
Algeria	Remila	2017	61.0%	65.0%	57.1%
Algeria	Ridane	2000	78.0%	81.5%	74.4%
Algeria	Ridane	2017	78.1%	81.6%	74.4%
Algeria	Robbah	2000	48.4%	52.1%	45.2%
Algeria	Robbah	2017	48.7%	52.4%	45.3%
Algeria	Rogassa	2000	78.6%	82.4%	74.9%
Algeria	Rogassa	2017	78.8%	82.5%	75.2%
Algeria	Roknia	2000	80.3%	82.9%	77.8%
Algeria	Roknia	2017	80.4%	83.1%	78.0%
Algeria	Rosfa	2000	68.7%	71.7%	65.4%
Algeria	Rosfa	2017	68.5%	71.5%	65.2%
Algeria	Rouached	2000	80.2%	82.2%	78.2%
Algeria	Rouached	2017	80.4%	82.4%	78.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Roubia	2000	76.9%	80.5%	72.8%
Algeria	Roubia	2017	77.0%	80.6%	72.9%
Algeria	Rouiba	2000	75.5%	77.0%	73.9%
Algeria	Rouiba	2017	75.7%	77.2%	74.1%
Algeria	Rouina	2000	76.0%	78.7%	73.2%
Algeria	Rouina	2017	76.2%	78.8%	73.3%
Algeria	Rouissat	2000	48.3%	52.3%	44.9%
Algeria	Rouissat	2017	48.5%	52.9%	45.0%
Algeria	Sabra	2000	68.9%	72.5%	65.6%
Algeria	Sabra	2017	69.4%	73.0%	65.9%
Algeria	Safel El Ouiden	2000	69.3%	73.5%	64.4%
Algeria	Safel El Ouiden	2017	69.5%	73.6%	64.6%
Algeria	Safsaf	2000	71.9%	75.1%	69.0%
Algeria	Safsaf	2017	72.1%	75.3%	69.1%
Algeria	Safsaf El Ouesra	2000	62.5%	66.9%	57.4%
Algeria	Safsaf El Ouesra	2017	62.8%	67.1%	58.0%
Algeria	Saharidj	2000	77.0%	80.6%	73.2%
Algeria	Saharidj	2017	76.9%	80.6%	73.1%
Algeria	Saida	2000	78.8%	80.3%	77.1%
Algeria	Saida	2017	79.1%	80.5%	77.4%
Algeria	Salah Bey	2000	64.8%	67.6%	61.6%
Algeria	Salah Bey	2017	65.0%	67.8%	61.7%
Algeria	Salah Bouchaour	2000	80.2%	82.3%	78.0%
Algeria	Salah Bouchaour	2017	80.3%	82.4%	78.2%
Algeria	Sali	2000	47.6%	52.9%	42.2%
Algeria	Sali	2017	46.9%	52.3%	41.5%
Algeria	Saneg	2000	77.1%	80.8%	73.7%
Algeria	Saneg	2017	77.3%	81.0%	73.8%
Algeria	Saoula	2000	75.7%	77.1%	74.3%
Algeria	Saoula	2017	75.9%	77.3%	74.5%
Algeria	Sayada	2000	71.3%	73.4%	68.9%
Algeria	Sayada	2017	71.5%	73.5%	69.2%
Algeria	Sebaa	2000	49.1%	53.7%	43.5%
Algeria	Sebaa	2017	49.3%	53.5%	44.0%
Algeria	Sebaine	2000	78.8%	80.6%	76.8%
Algeria	Sebaine	2017	78.9%	80.9%	76.9%
Algeria	Sebbaa	2000	69.7%	72.5%	66.6%
Algeria	Chioukh Sebbaa	2017	70.0%	72.9%	67.0%
Algeria	Sebdou	2000	70.1%	74.5%	65.1%
Algeria	Sebdou	2017	70.2%	74.6%	65.2%
Algeria	Sebgag	2000	80.4%	83.2%	77.3%
Algeria	Sebgag	2017	80.6%	83.3%	77.7%
Algeria	Sebseb	2000	50.4%	55.1%	46.3%
Algeria	Sebseb	2017	51.7%	56.1%	47.6%
Algeria	Sebt	2000	77.6%	80.2%	74.9%
Algeria	Sebt	2017	78.1%	80.7%	75.3%
Algeria	Sed Rahal	2000	79.9%	82.6%	77.3%
Algeria	Sed Rahal	2017	80.1%	82.8%	77.6%
Algeria	Seddouk	2000	72.8%	75.9%	70.0%
Algeria	Seddouk	2017	73.0%	76.1%	70.2%
Algeria	Sedjerara	2000	70.8%	73.4%	67.7%
Algeria	Sedjerara	2017	70.9%	73.4%	67.7%
Algeria	Sedrata	2000	76.2%	80.3%	72.5%
Algeria	Sedrata	2017	76.3%	80.3%	72.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sedraya	2000	76.9%	80.3%	72.9%
Algeria	Sedraya	2017	77.0%	80.4%	73.0%
Algeria	Sefiane	2000	60.6%	64.3%	57.2%
Algeria	Sefiane	2017	60.9%	64.4%	57.5%
Algeria	Seggana	2000	60.2%	63.1%	57.0%
Algeria	Seggana	2017	60.2%	63.2%	57.1%
Algeria	Seghouane	2000	77.8%	81.2%	74.2%
Algeria	Seghouane	2017	77.9%	81.2%	74.4%
Algeria	Sehailia	2000	73.0%	76.2%	69.3%
Algeria	Sehailia	2017	73.3%	76.4%	69.6%
Algeria	Sehala	2000	70.7%	73.8%	67.3%
Algeria	Thaoura	2017	70.9%	74.0%	67.5%
Algeria	Selaoua	2000	79.2%	82.0%	76.4%
Algeria	Selaoua	2017	79.5%	82.3%	76.7%
Algeria	Selma Benzi- ada	2000	77.7%	80.7%	74.4%
Algeria	Selma Benzi- ada	2017	78.0%	81.0%	74.7%
Algeria	Selmana	2000	79.1%	81.6%	76.2%
Algeria	Selmana	2017	79.2%	81.6%	76.3%
Algeria	Sendjas	2000	75.8%	78.0%	73.5%
Algeria	Sendjas	2017	75.8%	78.0%	73.5%
Algeria	Seraidi	2000	81.3%	83.2%	79.1%
Algeria	Seraidi	2017	81.5%	83.4%	79.3%
Algeria	Serdj-El- Ghoul	2000	69.6%	72.4%	66.5%
Algeria	Serdj-El- Ghoul	2017	69.6%	72.4%	66.4%
Algeria	Serghine	2000	79.9%	82.4%	77.2%
Algeria	Serghine	2017	80.1%	82.4%	77.5%
Algeria	Seriana	2000	64.4%	67.3%	61.3%
Algeria	Seriana	2017	64.6%	67.5%	61.5%
Algeria	Setif	2000	60.3%	62.1%	58.3%
Algeria	Setif	2017	60.6%	62.4%	58.6%
Algeria	Settara	2000	82.3%	84.7%	79.7%
Algeria	Settara	2017	82.4%	84.8%	79.8%
Algeria	Sfisef	2000	72.6%	76.3%	68.7%
Algeria	Sfisef	2017	72.8%	76.5%	69.0%
Algeria	Sfissifa	2000	77.1%	81.2%	73.0%
Algeria	Sfissifa	2017	77.0%	81.3%	72.6%
Algeria	Si Abdelghani	2000	78.0%	80.2%	75.4%
Algeria	Si Abdelghani	2017	78.2%	80.4%	75.6%
Algeria	Si El Mahd- joub	2000	76.5%	79.4%	72.9%
Algeria	Si El Mahd- joub	2017	76.8%	79.7%	73.3%
Algeria	Si Mustapha	2000	75.5%	78.2%	72.6%
Algeria	Si Mustapha	2017	75.7%	78.4%	72.8%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelaziz	2000	82.2%	84.6%	79.3%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelaziz	2017	82.2%	84.7%	79.3%
Algeria	Sidi Abdeldje- bar	2000	72.5%	76.4%	68.7%
Algeria	Sidi Abdeldje- bar	2017	72.7%	76.6%	68.9%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelli	2000	70.1%	73.8%	66.7%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelli	2017	70.4%	74.0%	66.9%
Algeria	Sidi Abdel- moumene	2000	69.8%	72.2%	67.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Abdelmoumene	2017	70.0%	72.4%	67.5%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2000	78.0%	81.0%	74.7%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2000	74.8%	80.1%	69.4%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2017	78.2%	81.2%	75.0%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2017	74.8%	80.2%	69.3%
Algeria	Sidi Abed	2000	78.6%	81.0%	76.4%
Algeria	Sidi Abed	2017	78.5%	80.7%	76.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ahmed	2000	78.2%	81.2%	75.6%
Algeria	Sidi Ahmed	2017	78.3%	81.2%	75.7%
Algeria	Sidi Aissa	2000	79.8%	82.7%	76.9%
Algeria	Sidi Aissa	2017	80.1%	83.0%	77.3%
Algeria	Sidi Akkacha	2000	76.1%	80.9%	71.1%
Algeria	Sidi Akkacha	2017	76.2%	81.0%	71.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ali	2000	71.3%	75.2%	67.7%
Algeria	Sidi Ali	2017	71.6%	75.5%	68.0%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Benyoub	2000	69.7%	73.0%	66.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Benyoub	2017	70.0%	73.2%	66.6%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Bous-sidi	2000	71.3%	74.7%	68.0%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Bous-sidi	2017	71.4%	74.9%	68.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Mellal	2000	76.7%	79.1%	74.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Mellal	2017	76.7%	79.1%	74.3%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	76.7%	78.9%	74.3%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	75.2%	79.2%	71.1%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	80.5%	81.9%	79.1%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	80.6%	82.0%	79.2%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	75.4%	79.4%	71.2%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	76.7%	78.9%	74.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ameur	2000	79.1%	82.9%	75.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ameur	2000	81.8%	85.1%	78.7%
Algeria	Sidi Ameur	2017	79.3%	83.0%	75.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ameur	2017	81.9%	85.2%	78.7%
Algeria	Sidi Amrane	2000	49.2%	51.8%	46.2%
Algeria	Sidi Amrane	2017	49.4%	52.0%	46.5%
Algeria	Sidi Aoun	2000	48.3%	52.2%	44.6%
Algeria	Sidi Aoun	2017	48.6%	52.4%	44.8%
Algeria	Sidi Baizid	2000	81.4%	83.7%	79.1%
Algeria	Sidi Baizid	2017	81.6%	83.8%	79.3%
Algeria	Sidi Bakhti	2000	78.6%	81.1%	76.5%
Algeria	Sidi Bakhti	2017	78.8%	81.3%	76.7%
Algeria	Sidi Bel Abbes	2000	70.7%	73.2%	68.6%
Algeria	Sidi Bel Abbes	2017	71.0%	73.4%	68.8%
Algeria	Sidi Belattar	2000	70.5%	73.4%	67.6%
Algeria	Sidi Belattar	2017	70.7%	73.6%	67.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Adda	2000	70.6%	73.1%	67.4%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Adda	2017	70.6%	73.1%	67.4%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Yebka	2000	70.3%	72.9%	67.7%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Yebka	2017	70.6%	73.1%	68.0%
Algeria	Sidi Boubekeur	2000	75.3%	78.1%	72.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Boubekour	2017	75.6%	78.2%	72.8%
Algeria	Sidi Boumediene	2000	71.1%	74.0%	67.7%
Algeria	Sidi Boumediene	2017	71.3%	74.2%	67.9%
Algeria	Sidi Boussaid	2000	73.7%	76.7%	71.0%
Algeria	Sidi Boussaid	2017	73.9%	76.9%	71.3%
Algeria	Sidi Boutouchent	2000	79.4%	81.5%	76.9%
Algeria	Sidi Boutouchent	2017	79.6%	81.8%	77.2%
Algeria	Sidi Bouzid	2000	80.3%	82.8%	77.1%
Algeria	Sidi Bouzid	2017	80.4%	83.0%	77.3%
Algeria	Sidi Brahim	2000	70.2%	73.3%	67.1%
Algeria	Sidi Brahim	2017	70.4%	73.5%	67.3%
Algeria	Sidi Chahmi	2000	70.4%	72.4%	68.3%
Algeria	Sidi Chahmi	2017	70.6%	72.8%	68.6%
Algeria	Sidi Chouab	2000	73.7%	78.6%	68.4%
Algeria	Sidi Chouab	2017	73.7%	78.7%	68.5%
Algeria	Sidi Dahou Zair	2000	70.7%	74.2%	67.4%
Algeria	Sidi Dahou Zair	2017	70.8%	74.3%	67.5%
Algeria	Sidi Damed	2000	77.9%	81.7%	73.5%
Algeria	Sidi Damed	2017	78.0%	81.8%	73.7%
Algeria	Sidi Daoud	2000	76.1%	79.9%	71.9%
Algeria	Sidi Daoud	2017	76.3%	80.1%	72.2%
Algeria	Sidi Djilali	2000	71.8%	76.3%	66.2%
Algeria	Sidi Djilali	2017	71.7%	76.3%	66.2%
Algeria	Sidi Embarek	2000	62.3%	64.9%	59.1%
Algeria	Sidi Embarek	2017	62.4%	65.1%	59.2%
Algeria	Sidi Errabia	2000	76.6%	80.2%	72.6%
Algeria	Sidi Errabia	2017	76.8%	80.4%	72.8%
Algeria	Sidi Fredj	2000	73.9%	78.1%	68.5%
Algeria	Sidi Fredj	2017	74.2%	78.4%	69.0%
Algeria	Sidi Ghiles	2000	75.9%	81.1%	70.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ghiles	2017	75.9%	81.2%	70.7%
Algeria	Sidi Hadjeres	2000	81.2%	84.2%	78.1%
Algeria	Sidi Hadjeres	2017	81.3%	84.3%	78.2%
Algeria	Sidi Hamadouche	2000	71.1%	74.6%	67.8%
Algeria	Sidi Hamadouche	2017	71.3%	74.7%	68.0%
Algeria	Sidi Hosni	2000	79.3%	81.5%	77.5%
Algeria	Sidi Hosni	2017	79.4%	81.4%	77.4%
Algeria	Sidi Kada	2000	73.7%	76.7%	70.4%
Algeria	Sidi Kada	2017	74.0%	77.1%	70.7%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2000	49.4%	52.1%	46.7%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2000	71.5%	74.3%	69.1%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2017	71.8%	74.5%	69.4%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2017	49.7%	52.4%	47.0%
Algeria	Sidi Khelifa	2000	81.3%	83.2%	79.3%
Algeria	Sidi Khelifa	2017	81.4%	83.3%	79.5%
Algeria	Sidi Khelil	2000	48.8%	52.4%	45.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khelil	2017	49.1%	52.6%	46.1%
Algeria	Sidi Khettab	2000	69.7%	72.7%	66.2%
Algeria	Sidi Khettab	2017	69.9%	72.7%	66.4%
Algeria	Sidi Khouiled	2000	48.3%	51.6%	45.5%
Algeria	Sidi Khouiled	2017	48.6%	51.7%	45.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ladjel	2000	79.4%	82.4%	75.7%
Algeria	Sidi Ladjel	2017	79.6%	82.6%	76.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Lahcene	2000	71.4%	73.8%	69.2%
Algeria	Sidi Lahcene	2017	71.7%	74.0%	69.5%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2000	76.0%	79.1%	72.4%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2000	71.0%	75.5%	66.7%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2017	71.4%	75.8%	67.1%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2017	76.2%	79.2%	72.6%
Algeria	Sidi Lantri	2000	78.5%	80.8%	76.0%
Algeria	Sidi Lantri	2017	77.9%	80.1%	75.4%
Algeria	Sidi Lazreg	2000	75.0%	78.3%	72.1%
Algeria	Sidi Lazreg	2017	75.2%	78.6%	72.2%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	77.2%	80.6%	72.7%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	77.3%	80.7%	72.8%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	72.3%	75.1%	69.6%
Algeria	Benali				
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	72.4%	75.3%	69.8%
Algeria	Benali				
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	71.8%	75.2%	68.6%
Algeria	Benaouda				
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	71.9%	75.3%	68.6%
Algeria	Benaouda				
Algeria	Sidi Makhlouf	2000	81.1%	83.7%	78.7%
Algeria	Sidi Makhlouf	2017	81.2%	84.1%	78.4%
Algeria	Sidi Marouf	2000	82.2%	84.4%	79.7%
Algeria	Sidi Marouf	2017	82.3%	84.4%	79.8%
Algeria	Sidi Medjahed	2000	67.8%	71.9%	64.0%
Algeria	Sidi Medjahed	2017	67.9%	72.1%	64.1%
Algeria	Sidi Merouane	2000	81.4%	83.7%	79.2%
Algeria	Sidi Merouane	2017	81.6%	83.9%	79.4%
Algeria	Sidi	2000	80.6%	82.4%	78.4%
Algeria	Mezghiche				
Algeria	Sidi	2017	80.7%	82.4%	78.5%
Algeria	Mezghiche				
Algeria	Sidi Moussa	2000	75.7%	77.7%	73.9%
Algeria	Sidi Moussa	2017	75.9%	77.8%	74.0%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2000	77.2%	80.0%	74.5%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2000	77.3%	80.8%	74.0%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2017	77.4%	80.9%	73.9%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2017	77.3%	80.1%	74.6%
Algeria	Sidi Okba	2000	48.0%	51.9%	44.0%
Algeria	Sidi Okba	2017	48.3%	52.2%	44.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ouri-ache Tadmaya	2000	68.6%	72.4%	64.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ouri-ache Tadmaya	2017	68.9%	72.7%	64.8%
Algeria	Sidi Rached	2000	75.0%	78.3%	71.8%
Algeria	Sidi Rached	2017	75.2%	78.5%	72.0%
Algeria	Sidi Saada	2000	70.7%	73.3%	68.1%
Algeria	Sidi Saada	2017	70.8%	73.3%	68.2%
Algeria	Sidi Safi	2000	69.1%	72.9%	65.2%
Algeria	Sidi Safi	2017	69.4%	73.0%	65.6%
Algeria	Sidi Said	2000	74.1%	77.6%	70.9%
Algeria	Sidi Said	2017	74.4%	77.8%	71.2%
Algeria	Sidi Semiane	2000	76.5%	81.1%	72.1%
Algeria	Sidi Semiane	2017	76.8%	81.3%	72.5%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	49.0%	52.0%	45.7%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	78.1%	81.2%	75.2%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	80.2%	84.0%	76.2%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	80.4%	84.1%	76.4%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	78.7%	81.7%	75.9%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	49.2%	52.2%	46.0%
Algeria	Sidi Tifour	2000	79.6%	83.6%	75.4%
Algeria	Sidi Tifour	2017	79.7%	83.8%	75.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Yacoub	2000	71.3%	74.3%	68.3%
Algeria	Sidi Yacoub	2017	71.6%	74.5%	68.5%
Algeria	Sidi Zahar	2000	77.8%	81.3%	73.8%
Algeria	Sidi Zahar	2017	78.2%	81.6%	74.1%
Algeria	Sidi Ziane	2000	76.9%	80.7%	72.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ziane	2017	77.0%	80.8%	72.4%
Algeria	Sig	2000	69.4%	72.0%	67.0%
Algeria	Sig	2017	69.6%	72.2%	67.2%
Algeria	Sigous	2000	69.9%	72.4%	67.1%
Algeria	Sigous	2017	69.7%	72.4%	67.0%
Algeria	Sirat	2000	69.8%	72.2%	66.9%
Algeria	Sirat	2017	70.0%	72.4%	67.2%
Algeria	Skikda	2000	79.7%	82.3%	77.0%
Algeria	Skikda	2017	79.8%	82.4%	77.2%
Algeria	Slim	2000	81.4%	84.2%	78.2%
Algeria	Slim	2017	81.7%	84.4%	78.5%
Algeria	Smaoun	2000	73.0%	76.0%	69.2%
Algeria	Smaoun	2017	73.2%	76.2%	69.4%
Algeria	Sobha	2000	73.2%	75.7%	70.3%
Algeria	Sobha	2017	73.5%	75.9%	70.7%
Algeria	Souafia	2000	71.4%	73.7%	68.8%
Algeria	Souafia	2017	71.7%	74.0%	69.1%
Algeria	Souagui	2000	76.7%	80.2%	72.7%
Algeria	Souagui	2017	76.9%	80.4%	72.9%
Algeria	Souahlia	2000	67.9%	72.3%	63.4%
Algeria	Souahlia	2017	68.1%	72.5%	63.6%
Algeria	Souamaa	2000	76.7%	78.9%	74.3%
Algeria	Souamaa	2017	76.9%	79.0%	74.5%
Algeria	Souani	2000	69.7%	73.7%	65.3%
Algeria	Souani	2017	69.9%	73.9%	65.6%
Algeria	Souarekh	2000	79.2%	83.3%	74.9%
Algeria	Souarekh	2017	79.3%	83.4%	75.0%
Algeria	Sougueur	2000	78.3%	80.1%	76.2%
Algeria	Sougueur	2017	78.7%	80.4%	76.6%
Algeria	Souhan	2000	77.0%	80.1%	73.6%
Algeria	Souhan	2017	77.2%	80.3%	73.9%
Algeria	Souidania	2000	75.4%	77.4%	73.5%
Algeria	Souidania	2017	75.7%	77.5%	73.8%
Algeria	Souk Ahras	2000	80.5%	83.2%	78.1%
Algeria	Souk Ahras	2017	80.7%	83.3%	78.3%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2000	76.0%	78.7%	73.9%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2000	76.0%	78.9%	73.0%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2017	75.9%	78.8%	72.9%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2017	76.0%	78.7%	73.8%
Algeria	Souk El Khemis	2000	77.3%	80.0%	74.3%
Algeria	Souk El Khemis	2017	77.4%	80.2%	74.5%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2000	73.0%	76.6%	68.8%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2000	77.4%	79.9%	74.8%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2017	73.3%	76.9%	69.0%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2017	77.5%	80.0%	74.9%
Algeria	Souk Naamane	2000	66.4%	69.7%	63.0%
Algeria	Souk Naamane	2017	66.6%	69.9%	63.2%
Algeria	Souk Oufella	2000	75.1%	78.1%	72.4%
Algeria	Souk Oufella	2017	75.1%	78.2%	72.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Souk Tleta	2000	67.9%	72.7%	63.1%
Algeria	Souk Tleta	2017	68.0%	72.9%	63.1%
Algeria	Soumaa	2000	79.8%	82.0%	77.6%
Algeria	Soumaa	2000	75.5%	77.9%	73.0%
Algeria	Soumaa	2017	75.7%	78.0%	73.2%
Algeria	Soumaa	2017	79.9%	82.1%	77.7%
Algeria	Sour	2000	71.2%	73.8%	68.6%
Algeria	Sour	2017	71.3%	74.0%	68.9%
Algeria	Sour El Ghouzlane	2000	77.1%	80.5%	73.7%
Algeria	Sour El Ghouzlane	2017	77.2%	80.6%	73.8%
Algeria	Stah Guentis	2000	62.9%	68.1%	57.9%
Algeria	Stah Guentis	2017	63.1%	68.3%	58.3%
Algeria	Staoueli	2000	74.9%	77.1%	72.4%
Algeria	Staoueli	2017	75.0%	77.3%	72.5%
Algeria	Stidia	2000	69.8%	72.4%	67.3%
Algeria	Stidia	2017	70.0%	72.6%	67.5%
Algeria	Still	2000	48.9%	52.4%	45.1%
Algeria	Still	2017	49.1%	52.5%	45.2%
Algeria	Stitten	2000	79.0%	82.1%	75.9%
Algeria	Stitten	2017	79.1%	82.2%	76.0%
Algeria	T Kout	2000	61.2%	65.8%	57.4%
Algeria	T Kout	2017	61.4%	65.9%	57.6%
Algeria	Tabelbala	2000	49.5%	57.8%	41.5%
Algeria	Tabelbala	2017	49.4%	57.1%	42.0%
Algeria	Tabia	2000	70.9%	74.0%	67.7%
Algeria	Tabia	2017	71.1%	74.3%	68.0%
Algeria	Tablat	2000	77.7%	81.2%	74.0%
Algeria	Tablat	2017	77.8%	81.3%	74.1%
Algeria	Tacheta	2000	77.4%	81.2%	73.9%
Algeria	Zegagha				
Algeria	Tacheta	2017	77.7%	81.6%	74.3%
Algeria	Zegagha				
Algeria	Tachouda	2000	68.6%	71.6%	65.4%
Algeria	Tachouda	2017	68.7%	71.7%	65.5%
Algeria	Tadjemout	2000	80.7%	83.1%	77.9%
Algeria	Tadjemout	2017	80.9%	83.3%	78.0%
Algeria	Tadjena	2000	76.2%	80.0%	72.4%
Algeria	Tadjena	2017	76.7%	80.5%	73.1%
Algeria	Tadjenanet	2000	71.4%	74.0%	68.6%
Algeria	Tadjenanet	2017	71.7%	74.4%	68.9%
Algeria	Tadjrouna	2000	79.4%	84.4%	74.3%
Algeria	Tadjrouna	2017	79.6%	84.6%	74.4%
Algeria	Tadmait	2000	76.5%	79.1%	73.7%
Algeria	Tadmait	2017	76.7%	79.3%	73.9%
Algeria	Tadmit	2000	81.3%	84.0%	78.6%
Algeria	Tadmit	2017	81.5%	84.4%	78.6%
Algeria	Tafissour	2000	75.2%	79.2%	70.5%
Algeria	Tafissour	2017	75.4%	79.3%	70.6%
Algeria	Tafraoui	2000	70.5%	73.4%	67.4%
Algeria	Tafraoui	2017	70.7%	73.6%	67.7%
Algeria	Tafraout	2000	77.4%	81.0%	72.6%
Algeria	Tafraout	2017	77.6%	81.1%	72.8%
Algeria	Tafreg	2000	67.9%	71.4%	64.0%
Algeria	Tafreg	2017	68.1%	71.5%	64.2%
Algeria	Tagdemt	2000	78.6%	80.5%	76.6%
Algeria	Tagdemt	2017	78.4%	80.3%	76.4%
Algeria	Taghit	2000	49.7%	56.3%	42.9%
Algeria	Taghit	2017	49.8%	56.0%	43.3%
Algeria	Taghlimet	2000	71.2%	75.1%	66.6%
Algeria	Taghlimet	2017	71.4%	75.4%	66.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Taghzout	2000	76.4%	79.5%	73.3%
Algeria	Taghzout	2000	48.2%	51.4%	45.6%
Algeria	Taghzout	2017	48.5%	51.8%	45.9%
Algeria	Taghzout	2017	76.6%	79.5%	73.4%
Algeria	Taglait	2000	74.5%	77.8%	71.9%
Algeria	Taglait	2017	74.7%	78.0%	72.1%
Algeria	Taguedit	2000	78.8%	81.8%	76.2%
Algeria	Taguedit	2017	79.1%	82.0%	76.5%
Algeria	Taher	2000	80.9%	82.6%	79.3%
Algeria	Taher	2017	81.1%	82.7%	79.6%
Algeria	Taibet	2000	48.2%	52.4%	43.8%
Algeria	Taibet	2017	48.6%	52.4%	44.2%
Algeria	Takhemaret	2000	77.7%	80.1%	75.2%
Algeria	Takhemaret	2017	78.0%	80.5%	75.6%
Algeria	Tala Hamza	2000	74.7%	77.6%	71.4%
Algeria	Tala Hamza	2017	74.9%	77.7%	71.6%
Algeria	Tala-Ifacene	2000	67.8%	71.2%	64.6%
Algeria	Tala-Ifacene	2017	68.0%	71.3%	64.8%
Algeria	Taleb Larbi	2000	49.9%	56.2%	43.5%
Algeria	Taleb Larbi	2017	50.1%	56.5%	43.8%
Algeria	Talkhamt	2000	62.3%	65.9%	58.1%
Algeria	Talkhamt	2017	62.4%	66.0%	58.1%
Algeria	Talmine	2000	49.4%	57.1%	42.9%
Algeria	Talmine	2017	49.6%	57.3%	42.8%
Algeria	Tamalous	2000	80.4%	82.7%	77.7%
Algeria	Tamalous	2017	80.4%	82.8%	77.6%
Algeria	Tamantit	2000	48.8%	52.6%	44.0%
Algeria	Tamantit	2017	48.8%	54.3%	43.8%
Algeria	Tamekten	2000	48.4%	53.9%	44.0%
Algeria	Tamekten	2017	48.6%	54.2%	43.8%
Algeria	Tamelaht	2000	78.1%	80.7%	75.5%
Algeria	Tamelaht	2017	78.2%	80.7%	75.7%
Algeria	Tamenghasset	2000	48.7%	53.2%	43.6%
Algeria	Tamenghasset	2017	49.1%	53.5%	44.1%
Algeria	Tamest	2000	48.8%	54.8%	42.7%
Algeria	Tamest	2017	48.3%	53.9%	42.6%
Algeria	Tamezguida	2000	76.8%	80.0%	73.2%
Algeria	Tamezguida	2017	76.9%	80.1%	73.3%
Algeria	Tamlouka	2000	73.2%	75.9%	69.9%
Algeria	Tamlouka	2017	73.7%	76.5%	70.4%
Algeria	Tamokra	2000	70.9%	74.1%	67.3%
Algeria	Tamokra	2017	71.1%	74.3%	67.4%
Algeria	Tamridjet	2000	72.1%	75.5%	68.4%
Algeria	Tamridjet	2017	72.2%	75.6%	68.5%
Algeria	Tamsa	2000	81.2%	83.5%	78.9%
Algeria	Tamsa	2017	81.4%	83.7%	79.1%
Algeria	Tamtert	2000	49.8%	55.7%	43.9%
Algeria	Tamtert	2017	49.8%	56.0%	44.5%
Algeria	Tamza	2000	61.5%	65.0%	57.8%
Algeria	Tamza	2017	61.6%	65.1%	57.9%
Algeria	Tamzoura	2000	70.3%	73.4%	66.5%
Algeria	Tamzoura	2017	70.4%	73.5%	66.7%
Algeria	Taouala	2000	80.4%	84.3%	76.2%
Algeria	Taouala	2017	80.5%	84.3%	76.3%
Algeria	Taoudmout	2000	76.2%	80.2%	72.4%
Algeria	Taoudmout	2017	76.4%	80.3%	72.6%
Algeria	Taougrit	2000	74.4%	77.4%	71.1%
Algeria	Taougrit	2017	74.5%	77.6%	71.3%
Algeria	Taoura	2000	76.4%	79.4%	72.9%
Algeria	Taoura	2017	77.3%	80.2%	73.9%
Algeria	Taourga	2000	77.5%	80.7%	74.3%
Algeria	Taourga	2017	77.6%	80.8%	74.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Taourit Ighil	2000	76.3%	79.7%	72.4%
Algeria	Taourit Ighil	2017	76.4%	79.6%	72.5%
Algeria	Taouzianat	2000	60.9%	64.5%	57.4%
Algeria	Taouzianat	2017	61.0%	64.7%	57.6%
Algeria	Tarik Ibn-Ziad	2000	78.1%	80.8%	75.3%
Algeria	Tarik Ibn-Ziad	2017	78.1%	80.9%	75.3%
Algeria	Tarmount	2000	79.5%	82.3%	76.4%
Algeria	Tarmount	2017	79.7%	82.5%	76.6%
Algeria	Taskriout	2000	72.3%	75.6%	68.7%
Algeria	Taskriout	2017	72.5%	75.7%	68.9%
Algeria	Tassadane Haddada	2000	78.2%	80.9%	75.7%
Algeria	Tassadane Haddada	2017	78.5%	81.1%	76.0%
Algeria	Tassala	2000	71.8%	74.5%	68.5%
Algeria	Tassala	2017	72.2%	75.0%	69.0%
Algeria	Taxlent	2000	62.8%	66.5%	59.2%
Algeria	Taxlent	2017	62.9%	66.7%	59.4%
Algeria	Taya	2000	65.2%	69.1%	61.2%
Algeria	Taya	2017	65.5%	69.5%	61.6%
Algeria	Tazgait	2000	71.6%	75.6%	68.1%
Algeria	Tazgait	2017	71.8%	75.8%	68.2%
Algeria	Tazmalt	2000	72.5%	76.6%	68.7%
Algeria	Tazmalt	2017	72.7%	76.7%	68.9%
Algeria	Tazoult	2000	61.5%	64.8%	58.8%
Algeria	Tazoult	2017	62.0%	65.0%	59.2%
Algeria	Tazrouk	2000	48.1%	54.7%	41.0%
Algeria	Tazrouk	2017	46.7%	53.0%	40.5%
Algeria	Tebesbest	2000	48.9%	51.8%	45.8%
Algeria	Tebesbest	2017	49.1%	52.1%	46.0%
Algeria	Tebessa	2000	62.4%	65.4%	59.5%
Algeria	Tebessa	2017	62.6%	65.6%	59.7%
Algeria	Telaa	2000	61.9%	65.2%	58.0%
Algeria	Telaa	2017	62.1%	65.5%	58.2%
Algeria	Telagh	2000	71.5%	75.4%	67.0%
Algeria	Telagh	2017	71.7%	75.7%	67.1%
Algeria	Telassa	2000	74.6%	79.5%	69.6%
Algeria	Telassa	2017	74.8%	79.7%	69.9%
Algeria	Teleghma	2000	74.7%	77.5%	72.0%
Algeria	Teleghma	2017	74.7%	77.5%	71.9%
Algeria	Temacine	2000	48.8%	52.2%	45.0%
Algeria	Temacine	2017	49.0%	52.7%	45.0%
Algeria	Tenedla	2000	49.0%	52.8%	45.6%
Algeria	Tenedla	2017	49.3%	53.0%	45.8%
Algeria	Tenes	2000	75.8%	81.2%	70.5%
Algeria	Tenes	2017	75.9%	81.3%	70.6%
Algeria	Teniet El Abed	2000	62.8%	66.6%	59.2%
Algeria	Teniet El Abed	2017	63.0%	66.8%	59.4%
Algeria	Teniet En Nasr	2000	70.2%	73.9%	66.1%
Algeria	Teniet En Nasr	2017	70.6%	74.3%	66.5%
Algeria	Tenira	2000	71.0%	74.6%	67.0%
Algeria	Tenira	2017	71.1%	74.7%	67.1%
Algeria	Terga	2000	69.5%	72.7%	65.7%
Algeria	Terga	2017	69.8%	73.1%	66.0%
Algeria	Terny Beni Hediel	2000	72.2%	74.4%	69.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Terny Beni Hediél	2017	72.4%	74.5%	69.9%
Algeria	Terraguelt	2000	67.1%	71.7%	62.6%
Algeria	Terraguelt	2017	67.5%	72.0%	63.0%
Algeria	Terrai Bain-nane	2000	83.0%	85.0%	81.2%
Algeria	Terrai Bain-nane	2017	83.1%	85.1%	81.3%
Algeria	Tesmart	2000	65.7%	68.9%	62.2%
Algeria	Tesmart	2017	65.9%	69.1%	62.4%
Algeria	Tessala Lam-tai	2000	82.2%	84.6%	80.0%
Algeria	Tessala Lam-tai	2017	82.4%	84.7%	80.2%
Algeria	Tessala-El-Merdja	2000	75.1%	77.0%	73.3%
Algeria	Tessala-El-Merdja	2017	75.4%	77.3%	73.6%
Algeria	Texenna	2000	80.9%	83.3%	78.6%
Algeria	Texenna	2017	81.2%	83.6%	78.8%
Algeria	Thelidjene	2000	62.6%	67.1%	58.2%
Algeria	Thelidjene	2017	62.8%	67.2%	58.4%
Algeria	Thenia	2000	76.6%	79.4%	74.0%
Algeria	Thenia	2017	76.7%	79.6%	74.1%
Algeria	Theniet El Had	2000	79.1%	81.4%	76.7%
Algeria	Theniet El Had	2017	79.5%	81.7%	77.1%
Algeria	Thleth Douair	2000	77.8%	81.2%	74.0%
Algeria	Thleth Douair	2017	78.1%	81.5%	74.3%
Algeria	Tianet	2000	67.8%	71.5%	63.9%
Algeria	Tianet	2017	67.9%	71.6%	64.0%
Algeria	Tiaret	2000	79.1%	80.7%	77.5%
Algeria	Tiaret	2017	79.2%	80.8%	77.8%
Algeria	Tiberguent	2000	79.7%	81.5%	78.0%
Algeria	Tiberguent	2017	79.9%	81.7%	78.2%
Algeria	Tiberkanine	2000	76.1%	78.8%	73.6%
Algeria	Tiberkanine	2017	76.5%	79.1%	73.9%
Algeria	Tichy	2000	74.0%	77.1%	70.3%
Algeria	Tichy	2017	74.3%	77.4%	70.6%
Algeria	Tidda	2000	77.4%	79.6%	75.0%
Algeria	Tidda	2017	77.6%	79.7%	75.1%
Algeria	Tidjelabine	2000	76.1%	79.0%	73.9%
Algeria	Tidjelabine	2017	76.3%	79.2%	74.1%
Algeria	Tiffech	2000	78.8%	81.0%	76.5%
Algeria	Tiffech	2017	79.0%	81.2%	76.6%
Algeria	Tifra	2000	76.1%	79.1%	73.0%
Algeria	Tifra	2017	76.3%	79.2%	73.1%
Algeria	Tighanimine	2000	61.5%	65.3%	57.8%
Algeria	Tighanimine	2017	61.5%	65.2%	57.9%
Algeria	Tigharghar	2000	56.5%	60.3%	52.5%
Algeria	Tigharghar	2017	56.6%	60.5%	52.6%
Algeria	Tighenif	2000	72.9%	75.6%	69.6%
Algeria	Tighenif	2017	73.1%	75.8%	69.8%
Algeria	Tigzirt	2000	77.1%	80.7%	72.4%
Algeria	Tigzirt	2017	76.9%	80.6%	72.3%
Algeria	Tilatou	2000	58.9%	61.9%	56.3%
Algeria	Tilatou	2017	59.3%	62.5%	56.6%
Algeria	Tilmouni	2000	71.6%	74.6%	68.9%
Algeria	Tilmouni	2017	71.9%	74.7%	69.2%
Algeria	Timezrit	2000	77.3%	79.9%	74.2%
Algeria	Timezrit	2000	74.4%	77.8%	71.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Timezrit	2017	74.7%	78.0%	71.2%
Algeria	Timezrit	2017	77.5%	80.1%	74.4%
Algeria	Timgad	2000	60.4%	63.7%	57.2%
Algeria	Timgad	2017	60.3%	63.9%	57.0%
Algeria	Timiaouine	2000	50.4%	59.9%	40.9%
Algeria	Timiaouine	2017	50.2%	58.8%	40.5%
Algeria	Timizart	2000	78.4%	81.5%	75.4%
Algeria	Timizart	2017	78.6%	81.7%	75.7%
Algeria	Timmimoun	2000	49.7%	53.8%	45.0%
Algeria	Timmimoun	2017	49.9%	53.7%	45.5%
Algeria	Timoudi	2000	49.5%	57.4%	42.0%
Algeria	Timoudi	2017	49.3%	56.6%	42.8%
Algeria	Tin Zaouatine	2000	47.2%	55.7%	37.6%
Algeria	Tin Zaouatine	2017	46.9%	55.0%	37.9%
Algeria	Tindouf	2000	50.0%	55.4%	44.9%
Algeria	Tindouf	2017	50.3%	55.6%	45.1%
Algeria	Tinedbar	2000	74.3%	77.6%	71.2%
Algeria	Tinedbar	2017	74.4%	77.7%	71.3%
Algeria	Tinerkouk	2000	50.1%	54.5%	45.6%
Algeria	Tinerkouk	2017	50.4%	55.4%	45.5%
Algeria	Tiout	2000	79.1%	81.8%	76.3%
Algeria	Tiout	2017	79.2%	82.0%	76.3%
Algeria	Tipaza	2000	75.4%	79.4%	72.0%
Algeria	Tipaza	2017	75.6%	79.6%	72.2%
Algeria	Tircine	2000	78.2%	81.2%	75.6%
Algeria	Tircine	2017	78.2%	81.1%	75.7%
Algeria	Tirmitine	2000	76.6%	78.9%	74.2%
Algeria	Tirmitine	2017	76.8%	79.1%	74.5%
Algeria	Tissemsilt	2000	79.1%	80.7%	77.3%
Algeria	Tissemsilt	2017	79.2%	80.9%	77.5%
Algeria	Tit	2000	48.8%	55.9%	41.4%
Algeria	Tit	2017	48.9%	55.9%	41.8%
Algeria	Tixter	2000	62.9%	66.0%	59.8%
Algeria	Tixter	2017	63.0%	66.1%	59.9%
Algeria	Tizi	2000	71.1%	73.6%	68.3%
Algeria	Tizi	2017	71.4%	73.9%	68.7%
Algeria	Tizi Mahdi	2000	76.9%	79.8%	73.3%
Algeria	Tizi Mahdi	2017	77.1%	80.0%	73.4%
Algeria	Tizi N'Bechar	2000	66.6%	69.8%	62.9%
Algeria	Tizi N'Bechar	2017	67.0%	70.2%	63.3%
Algeria	Tizi N'Tleta	2000	78.0%	80.7%	75.1%
Algeria	Tizi N'Tleta	2017	78.2%	80.9%	75.3%
Algeria	Tizi Ouzou	2000	76.7%	79.0%	74.9%
Algeria	Tizi Ouzou	2017	76.9%	79.2%	75.1%
Algeria	Tizi-Ghenif	2000	77.1%	79.8%	74.3%
Algeria	Tizi-Ghenif	2017	77.3%	80.0%	74.5%
Algeria	Tizi-N'Berber	2000	72.7%	75.8%	69.1%
Algeria	Tizi-N'Berber	2017	73.0%	76.1%	69.3%
Algeria	Tizi-Rached	2000	76.6%	79.0%	74.2%
Algeria	Tizi-Rached	2017	76.8%	79.2%	74.4%
Algeria	Tlemcen	2000	70.5%	72.4%	68.1%
Algeria	Tlemcen	2017	70.7%	72.6%	68.4%
Algeria	Tolga	2000	50.5%	53.5%	47.4%
Algeria	Tolga	2017	50.8%	53.9%	47.7%
Algeria	Touahria	2000	70.3%	72.5%	67.6%
Algeria	Touahria	2017	70.5%	72.7%	67.8%
Algeria	Toudja	2000	76.9%	80.5%	73.1%
Algeria	Toudja	2017	77.1%	80.7%	73.3%
Algeria	Touggourt	2000	48.9%	51.9%	45.8%
Algeria	Touggourt	2017	49.2%	52.1%	46.1%
Algeria	Tousmouline	2000	77.8%	81.4%	73.6%
Algeria	Tousmouline	2017	78.1%	81.7%	74.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Tousnina	2000	77.9%	80.3%	75.6%
Algeria	Tousnina	2017	78.2%	80.5%	75.8%
Algeria	Treat	2000	80.6%	83.7%	77.8%
Algeria	Treat	2017	80.7%	83.7%	77.9%
Algeria	Trifaoui	2000	48.6%	52.0%	45.2%
Algeria	Trifaoui	2017	48.9%	52.3%	45.3%
Algeria	Tsabit	2000	49.1%	54.0%	43.5%
Algeria	Tsabit	2017	49.3%	54.9%	43.5%
Algeria	Yabous	2000	60.9%	64.9%	57.2%
Algeria	Yabous	2017	61.1%	65.2%	57.4%
Algeria	Yahia Be-niguecha	2000	78.4%	80.8%	76.2%
Algeria	Yahia Be-niguecha	2017	78.8%	81.2%	76.7%
Algeria	Yakourene	2000	79.4%	82.1%	76.3%
Algeria	Yakourene	2017	79.5%	82.2%	76.5%
Algeria	Yatafene	2000	77.1%	80.2%	73.6%
Algeria	Yatafene	2017	77.3%	80.4%	73.8%
Algeria	Yellel	2000	70.6%	73.2%	67.7%
Algeria	Yellel	2017	70.7%	73.2%	67.8%
Algeria	Youb	2000	73.5%	77.9%	69.6%
Algeria	Youb	2017	73.6%	78.0%	69.6%
Algeria	Zaafrane	2000	80.9%	83.4%	78.3%
Algeria	Zaafrane	2017	81.2%	83.6%	78.7%
Algeria	Zaarouria	2000	80.1%	82.6%	77.6%
Algeria	Zaarouria	2017	80.4%	82.9%	77.8%
Algeria	Zaccar	2000	81.6%	83.9%	79.2%
Algeria	Zaccar	2017	81.6%	83.9%	79.3%
Algeria	Zahana	2000	70.1%	73.7%	67.5%
Algeria	Zahana	2017	70.3%	73.8%	67.8%
Algeria	Zanet El Beida	2000	65.6%	68.9%	62.0%
Algeria	Zanet El Beida	2017	66.0%	69.2%	62.2%
Algeria	Zaouia El Abidia	2000	48.7%	51.7%	45.6%
Algeria	Zaouia El Abidia	2017	49.0%	52.0%	46.0%
Algeria	Zaouiet Kounta	2000	49.0%	55.7%	42.6%
Algeria	Zaouiet Kounta	2017	48.8%	55.1%	43.2%
Algeria	Zarzour	2000	74.3%	78.6%	69.9%
Algeria	Zarzour	2017	74.5%	78.7%	70.0%
Algeria	Zbarbar El Is- seri	2000	77.1%	80.3%	74.1%
Algeria	Zbarbar El Is- seri	2017	77.3%	80.5%	74.3%
Algeria	Zeboudja	2000	77.3%	81.4%	73.4%
Algeria	Zeboudja	2017	77.4%	81.4%	73.7%
Algeria	Zeddine	2000	76.1%	78.8%	73.3%
Algeria	Zeddine	2017	76.4%	79.1%	73.6%
Algeria	Zeghaia	2000	81.3%	83.1%	79.5%
Algeria	Zeghaia	2017	81.5%	83.3%	79.8%
Algeria	Zekri	2000	78.7%	82.1%	74.8%
Algeria	Zekri	2017	78.8%	82.2%	74.9%
Algeria	Zelfana	2000	47.6%	52.2%	43.1%
Algeria	Zelfana	2017	47.8%	52.5%	43.3%
Algeria	Zelmata	2000	75.6%	79.2%	72.6%
Algeria	Zelmata	2017	75.8%	79.4%	72.8%
Algeria	Zemmoura	2000	71.7%	74.6%	68.9%
Algeria	Zemmoura	2017	71.9%	74.9%	69.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Zemmouri	2000	76.1%	79.1%	72.7%
Algeria	Zemmouri	2017	76.4%	79.3%	73.0%
Algeria	Zenata	2000	67.7%	70.8%	64.1%
Algeria	Zenata	2017	67.9%	71.0%	64.4%
Algeria	Zeralda	2000	75.0%	77.4%	72.5%
Algeria	Zeralda	2017	75.2%	77.6%	72.8%
Algeria	Zerdeza	2000	81.2%	83.2%	79.0%
Algeria	Zerdeza	2017	81.4%	83.4%	79.2%
Algeria	Zeribet El Oued	2000	51.5%	57.7%	45.6%
Algeria	Zeribet El Oued	2017	51.6%	57.9%	45.5%
Algeria	Zerizer	2000	80.0%	82.5%	77.1%
Algeria	Zerizer	2017	80.2%	82.6%	77.3%
Algeria	Zerouala	2000	71.2%	74.7%	68.0%
Algeria	Zerouala	2017	71.4%	75.0%	68.2%
Algeria	Ziama Mansouria	2000	75.3%	78.7%	71.8%
Algeria	Ziama Mansouria	2017	75.6%	79.1%	72.2%
Algeria	Zighoud Youcef	2000	82.1%	84.1%	80.1%
Algeria	Zighoud Youcef	2017	82.2%	84.2%	80.2%
Algeria	Zitouna	2000	80.5%	83.3%	77.5%
Algeria	Zitouna	2000	83.4%	86.5%	80.1%
Algeria	Zitouna	2017	83.4%	86.4%	80.2%
Algeria	Zitouna	2017	80.4%	83.1%	77.3%
Algeria	Zmalet El Emir Abdelkader	2000	79.2%	81.8%	76.0%
Algeria	Zmalet El Emir Abdelkader	2017	79.4%	82.1%	76.2%
Algeria	Zorg	2000	65.4%	69.3%	60.6%
Algeria	Zorg	2017	65.5%	69.4%	60.7%
Algeria	Zouabi	2000	74.1%	78.3%	70.1%
Algeria	Zouabi	2017	74.3%	78.6%	70.5%
Algeria	Zoubiria	2000	77.0%	80.3%	73.5%
Algeria	Zoubiria	2017	77.4%	80.8%	73.9%
Egypt	'Abdin	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.0%
Egypt	'Abdin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	'Ain Schams	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.5%
Egypt	'Ain Schams	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	'Ataqah	2000	96.2%	99.3%	89.9%
Egypt	'Ataqah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 1	2000	89.3%	100.0%	9.3%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 1	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 2	2000	87.5%	100.0%	16.9%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 2	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.2%
Egypt	15 Mayu	2000	99.6%	100.0%	97.9%
Egypt	15 Mayu	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abnub	2000	93.9%	96.4%	88.3%
Egypt	Abnub	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu al-Matamir	2000	90.0%	97.7%	75.7%
Egypt	Abu al-Matamir	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.7%
Egypt	Abu Hammad	2000	63.8%	64.7%	62.8%
Egypt	Abu Hammad	2017	72.6%	74.5%	71.2%
Egypt	Abu Hummus	2000	99.1%	99.9%	93.7%
Egypt	Abu Hummus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Abu Kabir	2000	60.2%	62.2%	57.7%
Egypt	Abu Kabir	2017	76.0%	78.7%	73.5%
Egypt	Abu Qurqas	2000	69.6%	73.4%	64.2%
Egypt	Abu Qurqas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Abu Radis	2000	82.1%	94.6%	54.1%
Egypt	Abu Radis	2017	98.1%	99.9%	92.9%
Egypt	Abu Tij	2000	96.2%	98.7%	90.0%
Egypt	Abu Tij	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu Tisht	2000	60.9%	64.8%	57.0%
Egypt	Abu Tisht	2017	98.9%	99.8%	97.7%
Egypt	Abu Zenima	2000	92.4%	100.0%	16.0%
Egypt	Abu Zenima	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Ad-Dab'ah	2000	90.1%	97.2%	79.4%
Egypt	Ad-Dab'ah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.0%
Egypt	Ad-Darb al-Ahmar	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.9%
Egypt	Ad-Darb al-Ahmar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dawahy	2000	98.9%	99.8%	96.7%
Egypt	Ad-Dawahy	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dilinat	2000	91.7%	96.1%	83.6%
Egypt	Ad-Dilinat	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Egypt	Ad- Dukhaylah	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Egypt	Ad- Dukhaylah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Duqi	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.6%
Egypt	Ad-Duqi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Aja	2000	82.9%	85.4%	80.1%
Egypt	Aja	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Akhmim	2000	88.5%	92.5%	82.4%
Egypt	Akhmim	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-'Ajuzah	2000	95.6%	97.1%	93.5%
Egypt	Al-'Ajuzah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Amriyah	2000	97.3%	99.8%	89.9%
Egypt	Al-'Amriyah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Al-'Arab	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-'Arab	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 1	2000	98.7%	99.9%	93.3%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 2	2000	97.9%	100.0%	84.7%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 2	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 3	2000	92.4%	100.0%	51.6%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 3	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.2%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 4	2000	91.1%	100.0%	52.3%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 4	2017	99.5%	100.0%	98.1%
Egypt	Al-'Atarin	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.2%
Egypt	Al-'Atarin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Ayyat	2000	47.7%	52.6%	42.7%
Egypt	Al-'Ayyat	2017	96.7%	98.4%	94.6%
Egypt	Al-'Idwah	2000	93.8%	95.1%	91.9%
Egypt	Al-'Idwah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Ubur	2000	83.4%	97.1%	63.6%
Egypt	Al-'Ubur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al- 'Umranayah	2000	98.4%	99.1%	97.3%
Egypt	Al- 'Umranayah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Usayrat	2000	16.2%	20.5%	12.6%
Egypt	Al-'Usayrat	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Ahram	2000	93.1%	97.0%	85.7%
Egypt	Al-Ahram	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Arb'in	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Egypt	Al-Arb'in	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Azbakiyah	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.3%
Egypt	Al-Azbakiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Badari	2000	75.1%	86.2%	62.8%
Egypt	Al-Badari	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Badrashayn	2000	69.6%	74.0%	64.7%
Egypt	Al-Badrashayn	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Bajur	2000	72.8%	76.8%	68.3%
Egypt	Al-Bajur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Baliyana	2000	69.8%	75.2%	65.4%
Egypt	Al-Baliyana	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Egypt	Al-Basatin	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.3%
Egypt	Al-Basatin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Burulus	2000	30.1%	48.0%	15.7%
Egypt	Al-Burulus	2017	96.7%	99.2%	89.9%
Egypt	Al-Fashn	2000	90.1%	92.6%	86.9%
Egypt	Al-Fashn	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Fath	2000	98.0%	98.9%	96.6%
Egypt	Al-Fath	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum	2000	99.2%	99.7%	97.9%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum City	2000	99.6%	100.0%	97.9%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub	2000	92.7%	98.0%	82.9%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub 2	2000	81.8%	87.9%	72.8%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub 2	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Al-Ghanayim	2000	88.6%	91.4%	85.5%
Egypt	Al-Ghanayim	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah	2000	79.1%	88.4%	68.1%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.0%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah 2	2000	72.4%	94.3%	42.2%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah 2	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.2%
Egypt	Al-Hammam	2000	90.9%	98.0%	72.5%
Egypt	Al-Hammam	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.9%
Egypt	Al-Hamul	2000	66.6%	76.5%	57.1%
Egypt	Al-Hamul	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.7%
Egypt	Al-Hasanah	2000	86.6%	96.7%	63.9%
Egypt	Al-Hasanah	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.1%
Egypt	Al-Hawamidiyah	2000	86.1%	87.7%	84.0%
Egypt	Al-Hawamidiyah	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Husayniyah	2000	66.5%	74.6%	61.2%
Egypt	Al-Husayniyah	2017	95.1%	99.2%	87.8%
Egypt	Al-Ibrahimiya	2000	63.8%	65.8%	61.7%
Egypt	Al-Ibrahimiya	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2000	96.0%	98.9%	90.6%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Janayin	2000	96.3%	99.9%	82.5%
Egypt	Al-Janayin	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Egypt	Al-Jumruk	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Egypt	Al-Jumruk	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Kawtar	2000	93.3%	96.1%	89.8%
Egypt	Al-Kawtar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Khalifa	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Khalifa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Khankah	2000	80.5%	84.0%	77.1%
Egypt	Al-Khankah	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Khusus	2000	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Egypt	Al-Khusus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Laban	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.2%
Egypt	Al-Laban	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ma'adi	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.3%
Egypt	Al-Ma'adi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra	2000	90.0%	91.6%	88.1%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 1	2000	94.5%	96.3%	92.6%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 2	2000	92.5%	94.6%	90.2%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mahmudiyah	2000	97.2%	99.1%	91.2%
Egypt	Al-Mahmudiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manakh	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Egypt	Al-Manakh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manasrah	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.2%
Egypt	Al-Manasrah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manshah	2000	65.3%	71.9%	56.4%
Egypt	Al-Manshah	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Manshiyah	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.2%
Egypt	Al-Manshiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah	2000	91.9%	93.5%	89.4%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 1	2000	93.7%	95.7%	91.3%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 2	2000	94.2%	96.2%	91.8%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manzilah	2000	83.6%	94.9%	65.0%
Egypt	Al-Manzilah	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Egypt	Al-Maraghah	2000	83.1%	87.2%	78.3%
Egypt	Al-Maraghah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Marj	2000	98.9%	99.5%	98.1%
Egypt	Al-Marj	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2000	97.5%	99.6%	90.7%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Minya	2000	74.7%	78.8%	69.6%
Egypt	Al-Minya	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Minya City	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Egypt	Al-Minya City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Muntazah	2000	98.8%	99.4%	97.8%
Egypt	Al-Muntazah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Muski	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
Egypt	Al-Muski	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qanatir al-Khayriyah	2000	87.7%	89.6%	85.3%
Egypt	Al-Qanatir al-Khayriyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qanayat	2000	16.4%	20.0%	13.6%
Egypt	Al-Qanayat	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah	2000	83.1%	87.4%	77.1%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah ash-Sharqiyah	2000	92.5%	97.3%	81.6%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah ash-Sharqiyah	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Egypt	Al-Qurayn	2000	3.0%	9.8%	0.2%
Egypt	Al-Qurayn	2017	10.2%	10.4%	9.6%
Egypt	Al-Qusayr	2000	90.0%	96.8%	79.9%
Egypt	Al-Qusayr	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.0%
Egypt	Al-Qusiyah	2000	78.7%	82.8%	73.6%
Egypt	Al-Qusiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Bahariyah	2000	82.0%	99.0%	21.1%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Bahariyah	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.8%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Kharijah	2000	89.1%	94.6%	80.6%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Kharijah	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Waili	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Waili	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Waqf	2000	91.6%	95.2%	86.6%
Egypt	Al-Waqf	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Warraq	2000	87.0%	88.8%	85.4%
Egypt	Al-Warraq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Wasta	2000	75.8%	79.8%	71.8%
Egypt	Al-Wasta	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Egypt	An-Nuzhah	2000	97.9%	99.0%	95.8%
Egypt	An-Nuzhah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ancient Cairo	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Egypt	Ancient Cairo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ar-Rahmaniyah	2000	92.5%	97.3%	83.3%
Egypt	Ar-Rahmaniyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 1	2000	99.2%	99.7%	98.3%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 2	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.6%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ar-Riyad	2000	77.8%	83.0%	68.6%
Egypt	Ar-Riyad	2017	91.4%	94.3%	89.5%
Egypt	Armant	2000	89.5%	93.9%	80.5%
Egypt	Armant	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Saff	2000	51.7%	57.3%	44.1%
Egypt	As-Saff	2017	98.1%	99.8%	92.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	As-Sajil	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Egypt	As-Sajil	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Salam	2000	99.2%	99.7%	98.3%
Egypt	As-Salam	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Salum	2000	38.4%	55.0%	19.3%
Egypt	As-Salum	2017	97.0%	98.9%	91.9%
Egypt	As-Santah	2000	85.6%	91.9%	75.5%
Egypt	As-Santah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	As-Sayidah	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Egypt	As-Sayidah Zaynab	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Sinbillawayn	2000	29.6%	33.4%	26.2%
Egypt	As-Sinbillawayn	2017	94.8%	99.5%	87.4%
Egypt	Ash-Shalatin	2000	81.5%	90.3%	66.8%
Egypt	Ash-Shalatin	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.6%
Egypt	Ash-Sharabiyah	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.2%
Egypt	Ash-Sharabiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Sharq	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Egypt	Ash-Sharq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Shruq	2000	94.1%	99.8%	71.9%
Egypt	Ash-Shruq	2017	99.7%	100.0%	96.9%
Egypt	Ash-Shuhada	2000	86.6%	89.5%	83.3%
Egypt	Ash-Shuhada	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ashmun	2000	89.0%	91.1%	86.5%
Egypt	Ashmun	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Aswan	2000	98.3%	99.8%	92.9%
Egypt	Aswan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Aswan City	2000	91.9%	100.0%	48.1%
Egypt	Aswan City	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.3%
Egypt	Asyut	2000	98.8%	99.4%	97.8%
Egypt	Asyut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Asyut 1	2000	98.4%	99.2%	97.4%
Egypt	Asyut 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Asyut 2	2000	97.7%	98.7%	96.3%
Egypt	Asyut 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	At-Tall al-Kabir	2000	87.2%	95.5%	74.4%
Egypt	At-Tall al-Kabir	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.2%
Egypt	At-Tebin	2000	95.8%	99.2%	88.1%
Egypt	At-Tebin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	At-Tur	2000	90.7%	97.4%	78.9%
Egypt	At-Tur	2017	99.0%	99.9%	97.0%
Egypt	Atfih	2000	44.3%	50.6%	39.5%
Egypt	Atfih	2017	97.9%	99.6%	91.7%
Egypt	Awlad Saqr	2000	57.0%	80.3%	41.3%
Egypt	Awlad Saqr	2017	95.0%	99.8%	79.8%
Egypt	Az-Zahir	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Egypt	Az-Zahir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zaytun	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Egypt	Az-Zaytun	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zohur	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Egypt	Az-Zohur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bab ash-Sha'riyah	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Egypt	Bab ash-Sha'riyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Bab Sharqi	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Egypt	Bab Sharqi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Badr	2000	55.2%	70.2%	42.5%
Egypt	Badr	2000	84.1%	100.0%	8.3%
Egypt	Badr	2017	97.3%	99.9%	88.1%
Egypt	Badr	2017	99.2%	100.0%	91.7%
Egypt	Banha	2000	87.7%	89.8%	85.2%
Egypt	Banha	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bani Mazar	2000	81.2%	83.9%	78.1%
Egypt	Bani Mazar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf	2000	91.4%	93.7%	88.1%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf City	2000	96.1%	99.3%	80.3%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Bani Ubayd	2000	89.2%	98.4%	64.9%
Egypt	Bani Ubayd	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Baris Shurtah	2000	88.2%	100.0%	23.6%
Egypt	Baris Shurtah	2017	99.6%	100.0%	94.4%
Egypt	Basyun	2000	83.9%	87.6%	79.6%
Egypt	Basyun	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Biba	2000	85.5%	89.8%	80.8%
Egypt	Biba	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bilbays	2000	67.8%	72.1%	64.0%
Egypt	Bilbays	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.3%
Egypt	Bilqas	2000	78.8%	90.9%	60.4%
Egypt	Bilqas	2017	97.3%	99.9%	90.9%
Egypt	Bir al-'Abd	2000	89.8%	98.4%	69.7%
Egypt	Bir al-'Abd	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.8%
Egypt	Birkat as-Sab'	2000	70.2%	74.2%	64.8%
Egypt	Birkat as-Sab'	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Biyala	2000	82.7%	88.5%	63.8%
Egypt	Biyala	2017	96.9%	99.0%	93.2%
Egypt	Bulaq	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.4%
Egypt	Bulaq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bulaq al-Dakrur	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Egypt	Bulaq al-Dakrur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Burj al-'Arab	2000	88.7%	99.2%	57.4%
Egypt	Burj al-'Arab	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.6%
Egypt	Dahab	2000	73.9%	98.2%	36.6%
Egypt	Dahab	2017	97.6%	100.0%	85.2%
Egypt	Damanhur	2000	94.0%	97.3%	88.0%
Egypt	Damanhur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Damietta	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.4%
Egypt	Damietta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Damietta 1	2000	99.3%	100.0%	97.0%
Egypt	Damietta 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Damietta 2	2000	99.5%	100.0%	98.1%
Egypt	Damietta 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Dar as-Salam	2000	74.6%	79.7%	67.0%
Egypt	Dar as-Salam	2017	99.1%	99.8%	98.1%
Egypt	Daraw	2000	99.2%	99.9%	96.7%
Egypt	Daraw	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Dayr Mawas	2000	77.9%	81.0%	73.8%
Egypt	Dayr Mawas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Dayrut	2000	85.4%	88.5%	81.6%
Egypt	Dayrut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Dikirnis	2000	94.4%	98.0%	85.6%
Egypt	Dikirnis	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Dishna	2000	89.8%	95.3%	75.9%
Egypt	Dishna	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Disuq	2000	98.5%	99.3%	95.0%
Egypt	Disuq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Diyarb Najm	2000	31.5%	35.3%	28.0%
Egypt	Diyarb Najm	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.3%
Egypt	Fa'id	2000	88.7%	97.5%	61.0%
Egypt	Fa'id	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.4%
Egypt	Faisal	2000	95.4%	99.8%	83.8%
Egypt	Faisal	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.9%
Egypt	Faqus	2000	84.3%	86.5%	81.5%
Egypt	Faqus	2017	94.5%	94.7%	94.2%
Egypt	Faraskur	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.6%
Egypt	Faraskur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Farshut	2000	75.1%	80.2%	69.2%
Egypt	Farshut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Fuwah	2000	99.0%	100.0%	92.9%
Egypt	Fuwah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Gamsa	2000	98.4%	100.0%	87.6%
Egypt	Gamsa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Giza	2000	83.5%	84.0%	83.0%
Egypt	Giza	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.7%
Egypt	Hada'iq al-Qubbah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Hada'iq al-Qubbah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Hawsh 'Isa	2000	91.3%	96.0%	79.8%
Egypt	Hawsh 'Isa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Heliopolis	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Egypt	Heliopolis	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Helwan	2000	98.9%	99.6%	97.0%
Egypt	Helwan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Hihya	2000	58.1%	59.8%	56.4%
Egypt	Hihya	2017	88.8%	91.4%	84.9%
Egypt	Ibshaway	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Egypt	Ibshaway	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Idfu	2000	98.4%	99.6%	94.8%
Egypt	Idfu	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Idku	2000	95.4%	99.3%	80.5%
Egypt	Idku	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ihnasiya	2000	93.4%	96.7%	88.8%
Egypt	Ihnasiya	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Imbabah	2000	85.4%	87.4%	83.1%
Egypt	Imbabah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ismailia	2000	85.9%	90.5%	79.7%
Egypt	Ismailia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Ismailia 1	2000	98.2%	99.2%	95.9%
Egypt	Ismailia 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ismailia 2	2000	97.6%	98.6%	95.8%
Egypt	Ismailia 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ismailia 3	2000	99.1%	99.7%	98.1%
Egypt	Ismailia 3	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Isna	2000	96.0%	99.0%	84.1%
Egypt	Isna	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Itsa	2000	99.1%	99.9%	95.6%
Egypt	Itsa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ityay al-Barud	2000	61.6%	67.8%	56.6%
Egypt	Ityay al-Barud	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Jirja	2000	72.4%	74.9%	69.3%
Egypt	Jirja	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Juhaynah al-Gharbiyah	2000	94.8%	97.6%	90.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Juhaynah al-Gharbiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kafr ad-Dawwar	2000	97.8%	99.1%	95.0%
Egypt	Kafr ad-Dawwar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Kafr ash-Shaykh	2000	95.6%	97.2%	92.8%
Egypt	Kafr ash-Shaykh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kafr az-Zayyat	2000	75.1%	77.9%	71.6%
Egypt	Kafr az-Zayyat	2017	96.6%	99.9%	91.8%
Egypt	Kafr Sa'd	2000	98.5%	99.4%	96.4%
Egypt	Kafr Sa'd	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kafr Saqr	2000	59.1%	66.5%	49.0%
Egypt	Kafr Saqr	2017	98.8%	99.9%	97.1%
Egypt	Kafr Shukr	2000	90.5%	92.9%	86.9%
Egypt	Kafr Shukr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Karmuz	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.2%
Egypt	Karmuz	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kawm Hamadah	2000	65.5%	71.7%	60.2%
Egypt	Kawm Hamadah	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.1%
Egypt	Kawm Umbu	2000	96.6%	98.8%	91.4%
Egypt	Kawm Umbu	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kirdasah	2000	87.0%	88.8%	85.1%
Egypt	Kirdasah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Luxor	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.6%
Egypt	Luxor	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Maghaghah	2000	85.6%	88.5%	82.1%
Egypt	Maghaghah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mahalat Dimnah	2000	97.9%	99.7%	92.0%
Egypt	Mahalat Dimnah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mallawi	2000	84.5%	86.7%	82.0%
Egypt	Mallawi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mallawi City	2000	87.2%	90.1%	82.5%
Egypt	Mallawi City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Manfalut	2000	94.5%	96.8%	88.1%
Egypt	Manfalut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Marina al-'Alamayn as-Siyahiyah	2000	89.6%	100.0%	52.4%
Egypt	Marina al-'Alamayn as-Siyahiyah	2017	99.7%	100.0%	96.7%
Egypt	Marsa 'Alam	2000	80.5%	91.4%	65.4%
Egypt	Marsa 'Alam	2017	98.6%	99.8%	95.8%
Egypt	Marsa Matruh	2000	58.4%	65.1%	49.2%
Egypt	Marsa Matruh	2017	98.6%	99.6%	96.5%
Egypt	Mashtul as-Suq	2000	62.5%	67.3%	56.7%
Egypt	Mashtul as-Suq	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Egypt	Matay	2000	73.7%	76.6%	70.6%
Egypt	Matay	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Minuf	2000	77.6%	82.1%	72.1%
Egypt	Minuf	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Minuf City	2000	74.2%	84.3%	60.9%
Egypt	Minuf City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Minya al-Qamh	2000	69.6%	74.2%	64.4%
Egypt	Minya al-Qamh	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Minyat an-Nasr	2000	98.5%	99.8%	94.4%
Egypt	Minyat an-Nasr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Mit Ghamr	2000	68.2%	75.3%	62.3%
Egypt	Mit Ghamr	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Mit Salsil	2000	97.5%	99.6%	91.6%
Egypt	Mit Salsil	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Monshat Nasr	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Egypt	Monshat Nasr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mubarak - Sharq at-Tafri'tah	2000	86.7%	94.7%	72.7%
Egypt	Mubarak - Sharq at-Tafri'tah	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Egypt	Muharam Bik	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
Egypt	Muharam Bik	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mutubis	2000	82.9%	90.7%	64.0%
Egypt	Mutubis	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.7%
Egypt	Nabaruh	2000	98.8%	99.9%	94.1%
Egypt	Nabaruh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Naj' Ham-madi	2000	81.3%	86.7%	75.6%
Egypt	Naj' Ham-madi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Nakhl	2000	77.8%	92.7%	58.6%
Egypt	Nakhl	2017	98.1%	99.9%	92.8%
Egypt	Naqadah	2000	91.9%	95.1%	86.4%
Egypt	Naqadah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nasir Bush	2000	87.6%	90.3%	84.6%
Egypt	Nasir Bush	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nasr	2000	96.4%	99.2%	88.1%
Egypt	Nasr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Nasr City 1	2000	94.1%	97.8%	87.0%
Egypt	Nasr City 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nasr City 2	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Egypt	Nasr City 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Akhmim City	2000	56.1%	100.0%	0.1%
Egypt	New Akhmim City	2017	95.8%	100.0%	54.3%
Egypt	New Asyut City	2000	94.6%	98.9%	78.3%
Egypt	New Asyut City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Burj al-'Arab City	2000	86.3%	100.0%	22.8%
Egypt	New Burj al-'Arab City	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.2%
Egypt	New Cairo 1	2000	86.9%	98.5%	63.2%
Egypt	New Cairo 1	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Egypt	New Cairo 2	2000	74.0%	96.5%	44.6%
Egypt	New Cairo 2	2017	99.5%	100.0%	93.0%
Egypt	New Cairo 3	2000	96.6%	100.0%	81.6%
Egypt	New Cairo 3	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	New Damietta City	2000	98.7%	99.9%	90.4%
Egypt	New Damietta City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Minya City	2000	97.8%	99.1%	95.0%
Egypt	New Minya City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Salhiyah	2000	76.2%	82.9%	71.1%
Egypt	New Salhiyah	2017	90.4%	91.0%	89.6%
Egypt	New Sawhaj City	2000	99.5%	100.0%	97.0%
Egypt	New Sawhaj City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Tushka City	2000	89.2%	100.0%	11.1%
Egypt	New Tushka City	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Egypt	Nuweiba'	2000	75.8%	92.9%	48.4%
Egypt	Nuweiba'	2017	97.2%	99.9%	89.2%
Egypt	Port al-Basal	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.5%
Egypt	Port al-Basal	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Alexandria Police Department	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Egypt	Port Alexandria Police Department	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Fuad	2000	99.2%	99.8%	98.1%
Egypt	Port Fuad	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Fuad 2	2000	98.1%	99.7%	94.2%
Egypt	Port Fuad 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port of Damietta Police Department	2000	99.4%	100.0%	96.7%
Egypt	Port of Damietta Police Department	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Sa'id Police Department	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Egypt	Port Sa'id Police Department	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Suez Police Department	2000	98.0%	100.0%	81.2%
Egypt	Port Suez Police Department	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Qaha	2000	58.8%	65.3%	52.2%
Egypt	Qaha	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Egypt	Qallin	2000	82.5%	86.0%	78.0%
Egypt	Qallin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qalyub	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Egypt	Qalyub	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qasr an-Nil	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.1%
Egypt	Qasr an-Nil	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qift	2000	97.0%	99.2%	90.8%
Egypt	Qift	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qina	2000	90.4%	93.2%	83.4%
Egypt	Qina	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Qina City	2000	95.3%	99.7%	80.5%
Egypt	Qina City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qus	2000	81.4%	85.5%	76.7%
Egypt	Qus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qutur	2000	92.4%	94.0%	89.5%
Egypt	Qutur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Quwaysina	2000	67.9%	72.4%	61.1%
Egypt	Quwaysina	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Rafah	2000	37.2%	47.2%	23.0%
Egypt	Rafah	2017	96.5%	99.4%	88.7%
Egypt	Ras Gharib	2000	69.4%	85.7%	51.4%
Egypt	Ras Gharib	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.9%
Egypt	Ras Sidr	2000	89.9%	97.3%	75.2%
Egypt	Ras Sidr	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.2%
Egypt	Rosetta	2000	81.8%	90.0%	65.0%
Egypt	Rosetta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Rud al-Faraj	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.6%
Egypt	Rud al-Faraj	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sadat City	2000	95.8%	99.1%	84.5%
Egypt	Sadat City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Egypt	Safaja	2000	68.0%	89.7%	47.0%
Egypt	Safaja	2017	97.1%	100.0%	82.9%
Egypt	Sahil Salim	2000	93.9%	96.6%	90.2%
Egypt	Sahil Salim	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Samalut	2000	77.0%	79.3%	74.5%
Egypt	Samalut	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Samannud	2000	91.0%	93.1%	87.9%
Egypt	Samannud	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sant Katrin	2000	61.4%	80.0%	21.3%
Egypt	Sant Katrin	2017	91.6%	98.7%	80.8%
Egypt	Saqltah	2000	78.0%	82.9%	72.2%
Egypt	Saqltah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Sawhaj	2000	91.5%	93.7%	88.3%
Egypt	Sawhaj	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sawhaj 2	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.8%
Egypt	Sawhaj 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sharm el-Sheikh	2000	47.9%	76.5%	14.7%
Egypt	Sharm el-Sheikh	2017	87.3%	98.9%	69.3%
Egypt	Sheikh Zawid	2000	81.5%	96.6%	50.1%
Egypt	Sheikh Zawid	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.6%
Egypt	Sheikh Zayed	2000	97.7%	99.9%	86.6%
Egypt	Sheikh Zayed	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shibin al-Kawm	2000	93.4%	95.5%	90.6%
Egypt	Shibin al-Kawm	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shibin al-Qanatir	2000	83.1%	85.2%	80.2%
Egypt	Shibin al-Qanatir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shirbin	2000	81.2%	86.0%	75.8%
Egypt	Shirbin	2017	98.6%	99.9%	95.2%
Egypt	Shubra	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
Egypt	Shubra	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 1	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 2	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shubra Khit	2000	92.9%	97.4%	75.3%
Egypt	Shubra Khit	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Dakhlah	2000	95.3%	99.5%	87.4%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Dakhlah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.8%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Farafirah	2000	89.7%	100.0%	55.6%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Farafirah	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.0%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Qasimah	2000	81.1%	97.9%	55.1%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Qasimah	2017	97.9%	100.0%	87.2%
Egypt	Shurtah Rumanah	2000	92.1%	100.0%	49.5%
Egypt	Shurtah Rumanah	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.9%
Egypt	Sidfa	2000	91.5%	94.4%	86.6%
Egypt	Sidfa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sidi Barrani	2000	91.7%	99.7%	48.2%
Egypt	Sidi Barrani	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.1%
Egypt	Sidi Jabir	2000	99.2%	99.7%	98.4%
Egypt	Sidi Jabir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sidi Salim	2000	73.3%	75.8%	69.2%
Egypt	Sidi Salim	2017	89.5%	92.0%	87.1%
Egypt	Sinnuris	2000	96.5%	98.3%	92.1%
Egypt	Sinnuris	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sirs al-Layyanah	2000	82.6%	89.1%	73.9%
Egypt	Sirs al-Layyanah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Siwa	2000	93.4%	99.8%	71.6%
Egypt	Siwa	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Egypt	Sixth of October 1 City	2000	97.2%	100.0%	76.8%
Egypt	Sixth of October 1 City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sixth of October 2 City	2000	91.4%	99.9%	59.5%
Egypt	Sixth of October 2 City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Suez	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Egypt	Suez	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sumusta al-Waqf	2000	81.1%	88.4%	73.4%
Egypt	Sumusta al-Waqf	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Taba	2000	83.4%	100.0%	13.0%
Egypt	Taba	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.6%
Egypt	Tahta	2000	97.3%	98.3%	95.3%
Egypt	Tahta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tahta City	2000	99.1%	99.8%	97.2%
Egypt	Tahta City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tala	2000	68.3%	78.2%	60.1%
Egypt	Tala	2017	97.1%	99.8%	95.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Talkha	2000	97.5%	98.9%	94.5%
Egypt	Talkha	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tamiyah	2000	97.9%	99.3%	94.1%
Egypt	Tamiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tanta	2000	82.1%	84.7%	79.4%
Egypt	Tanta	2017	99.0%	99.9%	98.2%
Egypt	Tanta 1	2000	82.5%	86.0%	78.5%
Egypt	Tanta 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tanta 2	2000	83.2%	87.2%	78.1%
Egypt	Tanta 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tibah Police Dept.	2000	98.0%	99.4%	94.5%
Egypt	Tibah Police Dept.	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tima	2000	88.3%	91.9%	83.9%
Egypt	Tima	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Timay al-Imdid	2000	91.7%	92.9%	88.2%
Egypt	Timay al-Imdid	2017	97.8%	99.9%	94.6%
Egypt	Tukh	2000	78.8%	84.4%	70.8%
Egypt	Tukh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Turah	2000	98.3%	99.1%	97.0%
Egypt	Turah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Buhayrah	2000	98.3%	99.9%	91.3%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Buhayrah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Fayoum	2000	99.0%	99.9%	96.4%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Fayoum	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Iskandariyah	2000	87.9%	100.0%	46.8%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Iskandariyah	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.5%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Jizah	2000	63.8%	67.6%	59.5%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Jizah	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Minya	2000	81.0%	85.8%	75.3%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Minya	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qahirah	2000	96.9%	99.8%	88.6%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qahirah	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.5%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qalyubiyah	2000	71.0%	80.8%	56.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qalyubiyah	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.8%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Uqsur	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.4%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Uqsur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Unorganized in Ash Sharqiyah	2000	55.5%	60.9%	30.2%
Egypt	Unorganized in Ash Sharqiyah	2017	95.6%	99.3%	89.2%
Egypt	Unorganized in Aswan	2000	95.3%	98.1%	90.2%
Egypt	Unorganized in Aswan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Unorganized in Asyut	2000	79.5%	82.6%	76.1%
Egypt	Unorganized in Asyut	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Bani Suwayf	2000	87.4%	91.0%	83.5%
Egypt	Unorganized in Bani Suwayf	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Qina	2000	82.8%	86.5%	78.8%
Egypt	Unorganized in Qina	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Unorganized in Suhaj	2000	64.4%	69.2%	58.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Suhaj	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.7%
Egypt	Wadi Al-Natron	2000	98.3%	100.0%	87.8%
Egypt	Wadi Al-Natron	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	West Nubariyah	2000	90.3%	99.9%	57.4%
Egypt	West Nubariyah	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.0%
Egypt	Yusuf as-Sidiq	2000	98.7%	99.9%	93.0%
Egypt	Yusuf as-Sidiq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zamalik	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.4%
Egypt	Zamalik	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zaqaziq	2000	45.4%	49.4%	41.6%
Egypt	Zaqaziq	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.8%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 1	2000	61.9%	69.5%	53.3%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 1	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 2	2000	56.1%	63.6%	47.5%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 2	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Egypt	Zarqa	2000	99.3%	99.9%	96.7%
Egypt	Zarqa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zawiyya Al-Hamra	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Zawiyya Al-Hamra	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zifta	2000	81.7%	85.8%	77.3%
Egypt	Zifta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Iraq	Abu al Khasib	2000	56.1%	73.4%	38.7%
Iraq	Abu al Khasib	2017	38.5%	57.7%	21.6%
Iraq	Abu Ghraib	2000	91.6%	98.2%	79.8%
Iraq	Abu Ghraib	2017	79.8%	93.3%	57.7%
Iraq	Ad Diwaniyah	2000	78.9%	91.0%	62.1%
Iraq	Ad Diwaniyah	2017	58.0%	75.8%	40.4%
Iraq	Adhamiya	2000	90.9%	97.5%	81.4%
Iraq	Adhamiya	2017	79.5%	91.8%	65.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Afak	2000	76.4%	92.4%	52.8%
Iraq	Afak	2017	58.0%	78.5%	36.3%
Iraq	Ain Al Tamur	2000	69.5%	91.0%	41.0%
Iraq	Ain Al Tamur	2017	49.7%	75.8%	25.0%
Iraq	Akre	2000	82.0%	94.7%	60.8%
Iraq	Akre	2017	65.4%	83.3%	43.6%
Iraq	Al Amarah	2000	68.7%	77.0%	60.2%
Iraq	Al Amarah	2017	53.2%	63.0%	43.1%
Iraq	Al Ba'aj	2000	78.0%	86.0%	68.8%
Iraq	Al Ba'aj	2017	59.2%	71.1%	47.1%
Iraq	Al Door	2000	77.3%	92.7%	56.9%
Iraq	Al Door	2017	59.7%	81.1%	35.9%
Iraq	Al Fallujah	2000	86.5%	90.1%	81.0%
Iraq	Al Fallujah	2017	70.7%	77.2%	63.0%
Iraq	Al Faw	2000	83.4%	96.5%	58.0%
Iraq	Al Faw	2017	70.4%	89.5%	38.4%
Iraq	Al Haditha	2000	82.7%	90.8%	72.9%
Iraq	Al Haditha	2017	62.0%	75.1%	50.6%
Iraq	Al Hamdaniyah	2000	71.9%	85.4%	54.1%
Iraq	Al Hamdaniyah	2017	53.6%	71.4%	34.2%
Iraq	Al Hamza	2000	76.8%	90.3%	57.2%
Iraq	Al Hamza	2017	58.9%	78.0%	36.7%
Iraq	Al Hashimiyah	2000	73.6%	90.2%	54.6%
Iraq	Al Hashimiyah	2017	52.5%	75.3%	32.0%
Iraq	Al Hayy	2000	76.2%	90.7%	54.6%
Iraq	Al Hayy	2017	58.5%	76.8%	36.3%
Iraq	Al Hillah	2000	73.9%	89.1%	55.6%
Iraq	Al Hillah	2017	50.1%	70.3%	34.4%
Iraq	Al Jadwal al Gharbi	2000	74.7%	90.0%	54.0%
Iraq	Al Jadwal al Gharbi	2017	53.7%	76.4%	30.5%
Iraq	Al Kahla	2000	71.8%	90.2%	47.5%
Iraq	Al Kahla	2017	53.0%	76.7%	32.2%
Iraq	Al Khalis	2000	70.3%	88.0%	47.3%
Iraq	Al Khalis	2017	49.8%	72.2%	28.2%
Iraq	Al Khithir	2000	60.6%	70.3%	50.0%
Iraq	Al Khithir	2017	35.6%	44.8%	26.5%
Iraq	Al Kufa	2000	77.9%	88.6%	58.1%
Iraq	Al Kufa	2017	58.8%	77.1%	36.9%
Iraq	Al Kut	2000	79.2%	88.3%	67.0%
Iraq	Al Kut	2017	61.8%	73.4%	48.2%
Iraq	Al Madiana	2000	66.6%	81.6%	46.8%
Iraq	Al Madiana	2017	46.7%	63.6%	27.9%
Iraq	Al Mahawil	2000	76.4%	91.9%	53.9%
Iraq	Al Mahawil	2017	57.2%	79.6%	34.6%
Iraq	Al Manathera	2000	81.2%	92.0%	65.3%
Iraq	Al Manathera	2017	63.3%	78.5%	46.1%
Iraq	Al Miamona	2000	68.7%	88.5%	41.7%
Iraq	Al Miamona	2017	52.4%	75.7%	30.1%
Iraq	Al Mijar al Kabir	2000	64.4%	81.9%	35.6%
Iraq	Al Mijar al Kabir	2017	44.9%	65.5%	20.9%
Iraq	Al Miqdadiyah	2000	72.6%	90.0%	51.8%
Iraq	Al Miqdadiyah	2017	49.6%	72.8%	28.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Al Misiab	2000	70.0%	92.6%	35.4%
Iraq	Al Misiab	2017	49.9%	83.0%	17.3%
Iraq	Al Noamania	2000	72.5%	87.3%	53.3%
Iraq	Al Noamania	2017	49.4%	68.8%	30.1%
Iraq	Al Qa'im	2000	76.2%	84.2%	66.8%
Iraq	Al Qa'im	2017	56.2%	67.1%	44.9%
Iraq	Al Qurnah	2000	64.6%	76.5%	49.8%
Iraq	Al Qurnah	2017	47.4%	62.1%	30.9%
Iraq	Al Shikhan	2000	86.7%	96.6%	69.7%
Iraq	Al Shikhan	2017	72.0%	88.9%	46.9%
Iraq	Al Shirkat	2000	62.0%	73.2%	47.8%
Iraq	Al Shirkat	2017	39.5%	52.8%	25.9%
Iraq	Al Zubair	2000	76.4%	85.6%	65.9%
Iraq	Al Zubair	2017	58.9%	72.3%	45.5%
Iraq	Al-Faris	2000	70.1%	89.7%	48.2%
Iraq	Al-Faris	2017	48.9%	71.6%	28.7%
Iraq	Al-Mada'in	2000	85.3%	96.6%	61.7%
Iraq	Al-Mada'in	2017	69.5%	89.9%	41.5%
Iraq	Ali al Gharbi	2000	75.7%	87.0%	61.0%
Iraq	Ali al Gharbi	2017	59.3%	74.9%	43.2%
Iraq	Amedi	2000	82.9%	92.4%	69.3%
Iraq	Amedi	2017	68.9%	82.8%	51.3%
Iraq	An Nasiriyah	2000	82.9%	91.1%	71.0%
Iraq	An Nasiriyah	2017	62.8%	75.3%	49.0%
Iraq	Anah	2000	71.0%	83.9%	57.3%
Iraq	Anah	2017	51.6%	67.7%	36.3%
Iraq	Ar Ramadi	2000	88.1%	92.1%	83.6%
Iraq	Ar Ramadi	2017	71.0%	77.9%	64.2%
Iraq	Ar Rutbah	2000	70.0%	75.3%	64.6%
Iraq	Ar Rutbah	2017	53.2%	60.1%	46.5%
Iraq	Arbil	2000	88.5%	95.0%	79.9%
Iraq	Arbil	2017	75.5%	86.8%	61.2%
Iraq	As Salman	2000	81.8%	89.4%	72.0%
Iraq	As Salman	2017	64.5%	76.7%	50.9%
Iraq	As Samawah	2000	69.2%	76.4%	61.6%
Iraq	As Samawah	2017	39.4%	47.3%	32.5%
Iraq	As Suwayrah	2000	74.0%	82.7%	63.0%
Iraq	As Suwayrah	2017	51.7%	63.3%	38.9%
Iraq	Ba'qubah	2000	74.1%	88.4%	54.5%
Iraq	Ba'qubah	2017	52.1%	72.3%	30.3%
Iraq	Badrah	2000	86.0%	94.2%	73.9%
Iraq	Badrah	2017	71.8%	85.6%	58.7%
Iraq	Balad	2000	67.8%	89.5%	38.0%
Iraq	Balad	2017	46.9%	72.0%	25.0%
Iraq	Balad Ruz	2000	80.4%	90.2%	69.6%
Iraq	Balad Ruz	2017	63.6%	76.9%	49.7%
Iraq	Basrah	2000	63.6%	75.8%	48.2%
Iraq	Basrah	2017	45.0%	60.9%	27.5%
Iraq	Bayji	2000	80.8%	90.7%	65.1%
Iraq	Bayji	2017	61.8%	77.1%	41.8%
Iraq	Chamchamal	2000	68.2%	82.1%	50.7%
Iraq	Chamchamal	2017	48.9%	66.2%	31.9%
Iraq	Chibayish	2000	77.4%	91.6%	54.3%
Iraq	Chibayish	2017	60.2%	78.9%	36.0%
Iraq	Choman	2000	88.4%	97.4%	74.1%
Iraq	Choman	2017	73.4%	91.1%	53.9%
Iraq	Dahuk	2000	74.8%	87.6%	58.5%
Iraq	Dahuk	2017	58.7%	76.9%	39.2%
Iraq	Daquq	2000	81.4%	92.9%	65.6%
Iraq	Daquq	2017	66.6%	83.5%	49.9%
Iraq	Darbandokeh	2000	69.3%	84.0%	45.6%
Iraq	Darbandokeh	2017	53.3%	73.7%	30.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Dibis	2000	76.7%	96.1%	46.2%
Iraq	Dibis	2017	57.4%	86.5%	24.7%
Iraq	Dukan	2000	62.6%	84.5%	37.3%
Iraq	Dukan	2017	42.6%	66.8%	20.9%
Iraq	Halabja	2000	81.5%	92.1%	68.7%
Iraq	Halabja	2017	64.7%	80.1%	49.9%
Iraq	Hatra	2000	74.1%	86.9%	56.5%
Iraq	Hatra	2017	55.1%	71.9%	36.1%
Iraq	Haweeja	2000	86.4%	95.2%	73.5%
Iraq	Haweeja	2017	74.3%	87.0%	59.8%
Iraq	Hit	2000	84.5%	89.3%	79.0%
Iraq	Hit	2017	65.2%	72.6%	57.7%
Iraq	Kadhimiya	2000	95.6%	98.8%	87.6%
Iraq	Kadhimiya	2017	87.0%	95.3%	74.2%
Iraq	Kalar	2000	75.6%	91.7%	50.2%
Iraq	Kalar	2017	56.8%	80.2%	33.1%
Iraq	Karbala	2000	72.5%	82.1%	60.0%
Iraq	Karbala	2017	53.6%	65.4%	39.6%
Iraq	Khanaqin	2000	76.8%	89.2%	60.2%
Iraq	Khanaqin	2017	56.9%	73.4%	39.6%
Iraq	Kifri	2000	76.0%	89.3%	58.5%
Iraq	Kifri	2017	58.0%	74.7%	38.3%
Iraq	Kirkuk	2000	84.6%	93.1%	73.3%
Iraq	Kirkuk	2017	70.3%	83.3%	56.9%
Iraq	Koisanjaq	2000	75.2%	90.4%	55.8%
Iraq	Koisanjaq	2017	59.7%	78.0%	41.2%
Iraq	Mahmudiya	2000	79.9%	95.5%	57.7%
Iraq	Mahmudiya	2017	60.7%	87.0%	34.7%
Iraq	Makhmur	2000	80.3%	92.9%	64.4%
Iraq	Makhmur	2017	64.6%	81.7%	48.1%
Iraq	Mergasur	2000	74.3%	91.1%	50.3%
Iraq	Mergasur	2017	56.7%	80.1%	32.1%
Iraq	Mosul	2000	76.3%	84.9%	66.0%
Iraq	Mosul	2017	56.6%	69.0%	42.8%
Iraq	Najaf	2000	71.3%	80.0%	63.2%
Iraq	Najaf	2017	56.0%	63.9%	46.9%
Iraq	Penjwin	2000	73.3%	89.2%	53.7%
Iraq	Penjwin	2017	53.8%	75.7%	32.1%
Iraq	Pshdar	2000	83.7%	97.1%	61.4%
Iraq	Pshdar	2017	69.7%	91.1%	42.5%
Iraq	Qal'at Salih	2000	77.4%	93.0%	51.5%
Iraq	Qal'at Salih	2017	59.5%	81.2%	36.7%
Iraq	Rania	2000	82.7%	95.1%	63.2%
Iraq	Rania	2017	66.4%	86.5%	42.9%
Iraq	Refai	2000	76.1%	86.7%	63.9%
Iraq	Refai	2017	55.9%	71.1%	43.5%
Iraq	Rumaiitha	2000	61.6%	71.5%	51.6%
Iraq	Rumaiitha	2017	37.4%	47.4%	27.2%
Iraq	Samarra	2000	79.3%	89.0%	67.0%
Iraq	Samarra	2017	57.9%	71.3%	43.0%
Iraq	Shamiya	2000	76.1%	89.1%	54.7%
Iraq	Shamiya	2017	53.8%	71.2%	32.6%
Iraq	Shaqlawawa	2000	83.0%	95.5%	65.9%
Iraq	Shaqlawawa	2017	68.0%	87.4%	47.0%
Iraq	Sharbazher	2000	82.5%	95.9%	57.0%
Iraq	Sharbazher	2017	65.5%	86.9%	38.8%
Iraq	Shatrah	2000	79.8%	94.0%	52.6%
Iraq	Shatrah	2017	61.5%	82.3%	38.4%
Iraq	Shatt Al Arab	2000	52.8%	76.8%	27.3%
Iraq	Shatt Al Arab	2017	33.5%	60.4%	12.6%
Iraq	Shekhan	2000	78.5%	94.9%	53.6%
Iraq	Shekhan	2017	59.8%	86.0%	30.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Simele	2000	71.3%	90.3%	48.5%
Iraq	Simele	2017	53.9%	76.8%	31.4%
Iraq	Sinjar	2000	74.4%	87.1%	60.1%
Iraq	Sinjar	2017	55.6%	74.1%	39.3%
Iraq	Soran	2000	80.3%	90.8%	65.3%
Iraq	Soran	2017	61.7%	76.5%	44.7%
Iraq	Sulaymaniya	2000	76.7%	85.5%	66.1%
Iraq	Sulaymaniya	2017	57.9%	71.2%	44.6%
Iraq	Suq ash	2000	77.0%	92.1%	53.7%
Iraq	Shuyukh				
Iraq	Suq ash	2017	56.8%	79.3%	33.5%
Iraq	Talafar	2000	74.8%	85.3%	61.1%
Iraq	Talafar	2017	55.3%	69.0%	40.5%
Iraq	Tikrit	2000	72.5%	86.0%	54.8%
Iraq	Tikrit	2017	50.8%	68.5%	33.1%
Iraq	Tilkef	2000	68.8%	87.6%	46.5%
Iraq	Tilkef	2017	51.4%	75.5%	27.2%
Iraq	Touz Hour-mato	2000	81.0%	91.3%	69.0%
Iraq	Touz Hour-mato	2017	60.1%	76.8%	45.2%
Iraq	Zakho	2000	78.3%	88.1%	66.8%
Iraq	Zakho	2017	68.5%	82.3%	50.8%
Jordan	Aghwar	2000	94.1%	94.8%	93.2%
Jordan	Shamaliyyeh				
Jordan	Aghwar	2017	61.0%	64.4%	56.5%
Jordan	Shamaliyyeh				
Jordan	Ajloun	2000	85.2%	85.7%	84.7%
Jordan	Ajloun	2017	38.7%	39.4%	38.0%
Jordan	Al-Balqa	2000	94.0%	94.6%	93.4%
Jordan	Al-Balqa	2017	61.8%	64.1%	59.7%
Jordan	Amman	2000	91.8%	92.2%	91.4%
Jordan	Amman	2017	54.8%	55.8%	54.0%
Jordan	Aqaba	2000	97.4%	98.6%	94.6%
Jordan	Aqaba	2017	83.9%	88.6%	74.7%
Jordan	Ar-Ruwayshid	2000	92.0%	94.5%	89.2%
Jordan	Ar-Ruwayshid	2017	70.2%	75.4%	64.9%
Jordan	Ardhah	2000	95.2%	95.6%	94.6%
Jordan	Ardhah	2017	65.4%	67.3%	63.2%
Jordan	Ayy	2000	95.3%	96.1%	93.8%
Jordan	Ayy	2017	75.6%	79.3%	69.8%
Jordan	Azraq	2000	93.5%	96.3%	86.6%
Jordan	Azraq	2017	77.6%	84.1%	67.3%
Jordan	Bal'ama	2000	95.1%	95.5%	94.7%
Jordan	Bal'ama	2017	63.9%	65.6%	62.3%
Jordan	Bani Kenanah	2000	71.4%	73.4%	69.2%
Jordan	Bani Kenanah	2017	24.2%	25.6%	22.6%
Jordan	Bierain	2000	94.4%	94.7%	93.9%
Jordan	Bierain	2017	61.7%	63.3%	59.8%
Jordan	Bsaira	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Jordan	Bsaira	2017	86.9%	88.2%	85.6%
Jordan	Dair Alla	2000	97.5%	97.8%	97.2%
Jordan	Dair Alla	2017	81.5%	83.2%	79.5%
Jordan	Dhiban	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.4%
Jordan	Dhiban	2017	58.4%	62.1%	55.0%
Jordan	Faqqoo'	2000	89.5%	90.5%	88.4%
Jordan	Faqqoo'	2017	53.4%	55.4%	51.1%
Jordan	Ghour El-Mazra'ah	2000	93.7%	94.8%	91.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Jordan	Ghour El-Mazra'ah	2017	63.7%	68.2%	58.9%
Jordan	Ghour Essafi	2000	89.7%	92.9%	85.3%
Jordan	Ghour Essafi	2017	69.4%	77.6%	58.1%
Jordan	Hariema	2000	85.2%	86.0%	84.4%
Jordan	Hariema	2017	35.4%	36.9%	33.9%
Jordan	Hesa	2000	97.7%	98.6%	95.6%
Jordan	Hesa	2017	84.5%	88.8%	77.6%
Jordan	Husseiniyyeh	2000	95.8%	97.4%	92.4%
Jordan	Husseiniyyeh	2017	78.0%	83.2%	71.2%
Jordan	Iel	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
Jordan	Iel	2017	75.3%	78.9%	70.8%
Jordan	Irbid	2000	82.5%	83.7%	81.4%
Jordan	Irbid	2017	32.4%	34.4%	30.5%
Jordan	Jarash	2000	90.1%	90.5%	89.7%
Jordan	Jarash	2017	49.4%	50.3%	48.3%
Jordan	Jizeh	2000	91.3%	93.5%	88.5%
Jordan	Jizeh	2017	60.0%	65.8%	54.4%
Jordan	Karak	2000	91.3%	91.8%	90.6%
Jordan	Karak	2017	48.6%	50.4%	46.7%
Jordan	Kofranjah	2000	89.9%	90.6%	89.1%
Jordan	Kofranjah	2017	46.6%	48.6%	44.9%
Jordan	Kora	2000	78.8%	80.0%	77.5%
Jordan	Kora	2017	26.3%	27.8%	24.5%
Jordan	Ma'an	2000	89.2%	91.1%	86.6%
Jordan	Ma'an	2017	57.5%	60.8%	54.0%
Jordan	Madaba	2000	95.4%	95.7%	94.8%
Jordan	Madaba	2017	67.4%	68.5%	66.1%
Jordan	Mafraq	2000	94.3%	94.7%	93.9%
Jordan	Mafraq	2017	62.7%	64.1%	61.3%
Jordan	Mazar	2000	92.2%	92.6%	91.7%
Jordan	Janoobi				
Jordan	Mazar	2017	53.7%	55.1%	52.1%
Jordan	Janoobi				
Jordan	Mazar	2000	76.5%	77.8%	75.0%
Jordan	Shamali				
Jordan	Mazar	2017	27.3%	28.5%	25.8%
Jordan	Shamali				
Jordan	Mowaqqar	2000	87.4%	89.9%	83.7%
Jordan	Mowaqqar	2017	48.4%	55.0%	42.6%
Jordan	Na'oor	2000	92.6%	93.1%	92.0%
Jordan	Na'oor	2017	55.4%	58.1%	52.8%
Jordan	Qasr	2000	88.0%	89.1%	86.7%
Jordan	Qasr	2017	44.6%	46.7%	42.2%
Jordan	Quaira	2000	96.9%	98.3%	95.0%
Jordan	Quaira	2017	85.4%	89.9%	80.4%
Jordan	Ramtha	2000	90.5%	91.8%	89.1%
Jordan	Ramtha	2017	51.0%	54.3%	47.9%
Jordan	Sabha	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.4%
Jordan	Sabha	2017	74.0%	77.3%	69.2%
Jordan	Sahab	2000	93.8%	94.6%	92.0%
Jordan	Sahab	2017	60.8%	63.9%	55.8%
Jordan	Salt	2000	92.7%	93.1%	92.4%
Jordan	Salt	2017	58.1%	59.3%	57.1%
Jordan	Sama Serhan	2000	95.5%	95.9%	94.9%
Jordan	Sama Serhan	2017	70.1%	72.1%	67.7%
Jordan	Shoabak	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Jordan	Shoabak	2017	73.8%	77.9%	68.2%
Jordan	Shooneh	2000	87.7%	89.4%	85.6%
Jordan	Janoobiyyeh				
Jordan	Shooneh	2017	60.2%	64.1%	56.0%
Jordan	Janoobiyyeh				

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Jordan	Tafileh	2000	96.2%	97.1%	94.3%
Jordan	Tafileh	2017	81.1%	83.5%	77.9%
Jordan	Tayybeh	2000	78.8%	79.8%	77.7%
Jordan	Tayybeh	2017	37.0%	37.8%	36.1%
Jordan	Um El-Basatien	2000	91.2%	92.1%	90.2%
Jordan	Um El-Basatien	2017	51.4%	54.6%	48.7%
Jordan	Wadi Arabah	2000	94.0%	98.2%	85.8%
Jordan	Wadi Arabah	2017	75.7%	88.1%	57.6%
Jordan	Wadi Essier	2000	94.4%	94.6%	94.2%
Jordan	Wadi Essier	2017	61.6%	62.5%	60.7%
Jordan	Wadi Musa	2000	94.0%	94.5%	93.5%
Jordan	Wadi Musa	2017	58.5%	60.3%	56.9%
Jordan	Wastiyyeh	2000	85.7%	86.4%	85.1%
Jordan	Wastiyyeh	2017	36.4%	37.4%	35.3%
Jordan	Zarqa	2000	95.3%	95.8%	94.5%
Jordan	Zarqa	2017	67.0%	69.0%	64.9%
Libya	Al Butnan	2000	82.7%	86.4%	77.4%
Libya	Al Butnan	2017	83.2%	86.9%	77.9%
Libya	Al Jabal al Akhdar	2000	69.3%	71.9%	66.2%
Libya	Al Jabal al Akhdar	2017	70.2%	73.1%	66.9%
Libya	Al Jabal al Gharbi	2000	35.2%	40.3%	29.7%
Libya	Al Jabal al Gharbi	2017	33.5%	38.5%	28.7%
Libya	Al Jifarah	2000	41.6%	48.7%	35.0%
Libya	Al Jifarah	2017	42.3%	49.5%	35.7%
Libya	Al Jufrah	2000	92.5%	93.5%	91.5%
Libya	Al Jufrah	2017	92.8%	93.6%	91.9%
Libya	Al Kufrah	2000	97.3%	99.0%	94.5%
Libya	Al Kufrah	2017	97.1%	98.9%	94.1%
Libya	Al Marj	2000	50.7%	55.6%	45.8%
Libya	Al Marj	2017	51.7%	56.5%	46.5%
Libya	Al Marqab	2000	23.0%	27.1%	19.8%
Libya	Al Marqab	2017	23.9%	28.0%	20.8%
Libya	Al Wahat	2000	94.1%	95.2%	92.9%
Libya	Al Wahat	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Libya	An Nuqat al Khams	2000	21.2%	24.8%	18.1%
Libya	An Nuqat al Khams	2017	21.3%	25.1%	18.1%
Libya	Az Zawiyah	2000	57.3%	61.9%	53.4%
Libya	Az Zawiyah	2017	57.7%	62.7%	53.6%
Libya	Benghazi	2000	96.0%	96.5%	95.5%
Libya	Benghazi	2017	96.2%	96.6%	95.6%
Libya	Darnah	2000	94.1%	94.9%	92.9%
Libya	Darnah	2017	94.1%	95.0%	92.8%
Libya	Ghat	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.7%
Libya	Ghat	2017	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Libya	Misratah	2000	73.4%	75.4%	71.5%
Libya	Misratah	2017	74.2%	76.1%	72.2%
Libya	Murzuq	2000	93.9%	97.4%	87.4%
Libya	Murzuq	2017	93.8%	97.6%	87.0%
Libya	Nalut	2000	67.1%	69.7%	64.3%
Libya	Nalut	2017	68.0%	70.8%	65.1%
Libya	Sabha	2000	99.7%	99.7%	99.5%
Libya	Sabha	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Libya	Surt	2000	90.0%	91.1%	88.8%
Libya	Surt	2017	90.3%	91.5%	89.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Libya	Tripoli	2000	31.7%	36.1%	27.0%
Libya	Tripoli	2017	31.3%	35.4%	27.2%
Libya	Wadi al Hayat	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Libya	Wadi al Hayat	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Libya	Wadi ash	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Libya	Wadi ash Shati'	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Morocco	Agadir-Ida ou Tanane	2000	89.9%	95.7%	81.2%
Morocco	Agadir-Ida ou Tanane	2017	89.6%	95.2%	81.0%
Morocco	Al Haouz	2000	48.0%	61.6%	33.9%
Morocco	Al Haouz	2017	47.7%	61.0%	33.8%
Morocco	Al Hoceïma	2000	30.5%	44.2%	17.7%
Morocco	Al Hoceïma	2017	28.3%	41.9%	15.8%
Morocco	Assa-Zag	2000	55.2%	99.2%	1.0%
Morocco	Assa-Zag	2017	54.4%	99.0%	0.9%
Morocco	Azilal	2000	29.1%	43.5%	17.2%
Morocco	Azilal	2017	28.1%	42.6%	16.5%
Morocco	Ben Slimane	2000	77.3%	91.0%	60.9%
Morocco	Ben Slimane	2017	76.6%	90.2%	60.0%
Morocco	Béni Mellal	2000	65.3%	81.8%	44.0%
Morocco	Béni Mellal	2017	64.1%	80.9%	43.0%
Morocco	Berkane	2000	54.8%	90.5%	14.1%
Morocco	Taurirt	2017	53.1%	89.0%	13.6%
Morocco	Boulemane	2000	40.0%	76.2%	9.8%
Morocco	Boulemane	2017	38.9%	74.9%	9.1%
Morocco	Casablanca	2000	92.3%	96.2%	87.8%
Morocco	Casablanca	2017	90.5%	95.3%	85.2%
Morocco	Chefchaouen	2000	30.4%	50.4%	15.9%
Morocco	Chefchaouen	2017	29.4%	50.1%	14.8%
Morocco	Chichaoua	2000	14.7%	28.5%	4.9%
Morocco	Chichaoua	2017	13.7%	27.7%	4.4%
Morocco	Chtouka-Aït Baha	2000	70.1%	88.3%	42.9%
Morocco	Chtouka-Aït Baha	2017	69.9%	87.8%	42.1%
Morocco	El Hajeb	2000	39.3%	57.2%	14.6%
Morocco	El Hajeb	2017	38.2%	55.3%	13.8%
Morocco	El Jadida	2000	53.3%	65.4%	38.6%
Morocco	El Jadida	2017	53.2%	66.0%	37.6%
Morocco	El Kelaâ des Sraghna	2000	41.7%	63.3%	22.1%
Morocco	El Kelaâ des Sraghna	2017	40.7%	62.3%	21.6%
Morocco	Errachidia	2000	68.1%	86.6%	51.8%
Morocco	Errachidia	2017	67.0%	85.6%	51.3%
Morocco	Essaouira	2000	39.0%	64.8%	13.2%
Morocco	Essaouira	2017	38.5%	63.9%	12.7%
Morocco	Fahs Anjra	2000	44.1%	59.6%	30.0%
Morocco	Fahs Anjra	2017	40.8%	59.0%	26.0%
Morocco	Fès	2000	93.4%	98.4%	78.2%
Morocco	Fès	2017	92.2%	98.1%	76.9%
Morocco	Figuig	2000	43.5%	80.4%	12.4%
Morocco	Figuig	2017	43.3%	80.1%	11.9%
Morocco	Guelmim	2000	88.7%	97.8%	70.2%
Morocco	Guelmim	2017	88.8%	98.0%	70.7%
Morocco	Ifrane	2000	82.9%	96.5%	60.0%
Morocco	Ifrane	2017	81.2%	95.9%	59.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Morocco	Inezgane-Ait Melloul	2000	88.3%	93.2%	82.2%
Morocco	Inezgane-Ait Melloul	2017	85.6%	91.0%	78.8%
Morocco	Jerada	2000	32.3%	67.4%	6.3%
Morocco	Jerada	2017	31.2%	64.9%	6.1%
Morocco	Kénitra	2000	68.5%	82.2%	52.8%
Morocco	Kénitra	2017	66.9%	80.7%	50.6%
Morocco	Khémisset	2000	35.9%	88.6%	15.2%
Morocco	Khémisset	2017	35.3%	88.0%	15.0%
Morocco	Khénifra	2000	65.6%	84.2%	45.2%
Morocco	Khénifra	2017	64.2%	83.5%	43.3%
Morocco	Khouribga	2000	38.8%	50.8%	31.0%
Morocco	Khouribga	2017	38.2%	49.6%	31.0%
Morocco	Laâyoune	2000	39.3%	98.7%	0.0%
Morocco	Laâyoune	2017	38.7%	98.7%	0.0%
Morocco	Larache	2000	65.0%	78.8%	50.9%
Morocco	Larache	2017	63.2%	77.7%	48.9%
Morocco	Marrakech	2000	88.9%	94.6%	80.8%
Morocco	Marrakech	2017	88.8%	94.6%	81.1%
Morocco	Meknès	2000	85.6%	91.3%	73.4%
Morocco	Meknès	2017	84.9%	91.6%	71.0%
Morocco	Mohammedia	2000	97.8%	99.6%	94.4%
Morocco	Mohammedia	2017	97.6%	99.5%	94.0%
Morocco	Nador	2000	47.4%	66.1%	31.4%
Morocco	Nador	2017	47.7%	66.9%	30.8%
Morocco	Ouarzazate	2000	59.8%	77.5%	37.5%
Morocco	Ouarzazate	2017	58.7%	76.2%	36.7%
Morocco	Oujda Angad	2000	93.4%	98.4%	84.9%
Morocco	Oujda Angad	2017	91.9%	97.7%	82.2%
Morocco	Rabat	2000	98.5%	99.4%	96.5%
Morocco	Rabat	2017	98.1%	99.1%	95.3%
Morocco	Safi	2000	45.6%	59.3%	35.3%
Morocco	Safi	2017	45.3%	58.5%	35.6%
Morocco	Salé	2000	97.1%	99.2%	92.6%
Morocco	Salé	2017	96.7%	99.0%	90.9%
Morocco	Sefrou	2000	64.6%	78.6%	47.0%
Morocco	Sefrou	2017	62.9%	78.0%	44.4%
Morocco	Settat	2000	23.7%	40.7%	11.1%
Morocco	Settat	2017	23.2%	37.9%	11.4%
Morocco	Sidi Kacem	2000	48.7%	62.8%	38.2%
Morocco	Sidi Kacem	2017	47.6%	60.4%	37.7%
Morocco	Skhirate-Témara	2000	91.9%	98.8%	78.5%
Morocco	Skhirate-Témara	2017	91.5%	98.8%	78.8%
Morocco	Tan-Tan	2000	38.7%	66.6%	12.3%
Morocco	Tan-Tan	2017	37.4%	68.9%	8.9%
Morocco	Tanger-Assilah	2000	47.4%	54.6%	40.0%
Morocco	Tanger-Assilah	2017	43.4%	51.0%	35.9%
Morocco	Taounate	2000	12.3%	21.6%	5.0%
Morocco	Taounate	2017	11.9%	21.2%	4.7%
Morocco	Taroudannt	2000	58.6%	73.9%	43.2%
Morocco	Taroudannt	2017	57.7%	73.1%	42.0%
Morocco	Tata	2000	59.2%	87.6%	21.7%
Morocco	Tata	2017	58.8%	87.7%	21.8%
Morocco	Taza	2000	54.7%	66.5%	41.8%
Morocco	Taza	2017	54.3%	66.4%	41.2%
Morocco	Tétouan	2000	64.1%	73.7%	50.9%
Morocco	Tétouan	2017	62.5%	72.9%	46.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Morocco	Tiznit	2000	72.1%	90.3%	44.3%
Morocco	Tiznit	2017	71.3%	89.7%	43.9%
Morocco	Zagora	2000	74.5%	96.2%	49.5%
Morocco	Zagora	2017	74.5%	96.5%	48.5%
Morocco	Zouagha-Moulay Yacoub	2000	75.8%	85.0%	66.3%
Morocco	Zouagha-Moulay Yacoub	2017	74.4%	83.1%	64.6%
Sudan	Abu Hamad	2000	61.1%	64.0%	58.2%
Sudan	Abu Hamad	2017	44.4%	47.7%	41.2%
Sudan	Abu Jubaiyah	2000	4.5%	6.4%	3.2%
Sudan	Abu Jubaiyah	2017	2.2%	3.1%	1.6%
Sudan	Abyei	2000	6.5%	8.3%	5.3%
Sudan	Abyei	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.7%
Sudan	Ad Damazin	2000	25.3%	33.6%	19.2%
Sudan	Ad Damazin	2017	15.1%	22.1%	10.5%
Sudan	Ad Damer	2000	57.2%	66.8%	47.4%
Sudan	Ad Damer	2017	40.3%	51.7%	30.3%
Sudan	Ad Dinder	2000	48.1%	52.0%	44.2%
Sudan	Ad Dinder	2017	32.0%	36.4%	28.3%
Sudan	Ad Douiem	2000	40.5%	45.6%	35.5%
Sudan	Ad Douiem	2017	25.7%	29.7%	21.6%
Sudan	Addabah	2000	71.3%	74.0%	68.2%
Sudan	Addabah	2017	55.6%	58.8%	52.4%
Sudan	Al Deain	2000	18.4%	20.8%	16.4%
Sudan	Al Deain	2017	10.3%	11.8%	8.9%
Sudan	Al Fasher	2000	13.8%	15.5%	12.0%
Sudan	Al Fasher	2017	7.4%	8.5%	6.4%
Sudan	Al Faw	2000	32.0%	35.1%	28.7%
Sudan	Al Faw	2017	19.6%	21.8%	17.3%
Sudan	Al Fushqa	2000	36.1%	39.7%	33.1%
Sudan	Al Fushqa	2017	22.7%	25.5%	20.6%
Sudan	Al Gadaref	2000	36.3%	42.1%	30.4%
Sudan	Al Gadaref	2017	22.1%	26.7%	17.7%
Sudan	Al Galabat	2000	28.8%	32.3%	25.3%
Sudan	Al Galabat	2017	16.7%	19.3%	14.5%
Sudan	Al Gash	2000	41.8%	47.1%	36.4%
Sudan	Al Gash	2017	26.7%	31.2%	22.3%
Sudan	Al Geneina	2000	15.0%	17.0%	13.5%
Sudan	Al Geneina	2017	8.2%	9.5%	7.1%
Sudan	Al Gutaina	2000	36.9%	41.2%	32.5%
Sudan	Al Gutaina	2017	23.1%	26.6%	20.2%
Sudan	Al Jabalian	2000	41.4%	48.6%	35.6%
Sudan	Al Jabalian	2017	25.3%	31.0%	20.7%
Sudan	Al Kamlin	2000	70.1%	73.6%	65.7%
Sudan	Al Kamlin	2017	52.7%	57.7%	47.4%
Sudan	Al Kurumik	2000	20.0%	24.7%	15.4%
Sudan	Al Kurumik	2017	11.2%	14.3%	8.2%
Sudan	Al Mahagil	2000	71.0%	75.0%	66.4%
Sudan	Al Mahagil	2017	56.3%	61.6%	50.7%
Sudan	Al Matammah	2000	60.3%	64.5%	56.1%
Sudan	Al Matammah	2017	43.6%	48.5%	39.6%
Sudan	Al Rahd	2000	31.9%	36.6%	27.3%
Sudan	Al Rahd	2017	19.3%	22.9%	16.0%
Sudan	Al Roseires	2000	24.4%	29.1%	19.6%
Sudan	Al Roseires	2017	14.3%	18.6%	10.7%
Sudan	As Salam	2000	5.6%	7.9%	3.9%
Sudan	As Salam	2017	3.0%	4.4%	2.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Atbara	2000	56.5%	62.6%	50.1%
Sudan	Atbara	2017	39.0%	46.0%	33.0%
Sudan	Bara	2000	24.0%	28.7%	20.1%
Sudan	Bara	2017	13.9%	17.4%	11.0%
Sudan	Baw	2000	20.3%	25.2%	16.3%
Sudan	Baw	2017	11.5%	15.0%	8.8%
Sudan	Berber	2000	54.0%	60.1%	48.7%
Sudan	Berber	2017	37.3%	43.4%	32.3%
Sudan	Buram	2000	19.2%	21.9%	16.6%
Sudan	Buram	2017	10.8%	12.4%	9.0%
Sudan	Dilling	2000	3.6%	4.9%	2.8%
Sudan	Dilling	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.5%
Sudan	Dongola	2000	71.9%	74.3%	69.2%
Sudan	Dongola	2017	56.3%	59.5%	52.7%
Sudan	East al Gazera	2000	77.3%	80.5%	74.1%
Sudan	East al Gazera	2017	63.6%	68.0%	59.5%
Sudan	En Nuhud	2000	7.7%	8.9%	6.8%
Sudan	En Nuhud	2017	4.0%	4.7%	3.5%
Sudan	Geissan	2000	18.4%	22.4%	15.2%
Sudan	Geissan	2017	10.2%	12.8%	8.2%
Sudan	Ghebeish	2000	7.7%	9.3%	6.1%
Sudan	Ghebeish	2017	4.0%	4.9%	3.2%
Sudan	Halayeb	2000	33.6%	40.5%	26.7%
Sudan	Halayeb	2017	24.5%	32.9%	16.3%
Sudan	Hamashkorieb	2000	44.5%	50.6%	38.5%
Sudan	Hamashkorieb	2017	29.1%	34.8%	24.2%
Sudan	Id El Ghanem	2000	17.7%	20.6%	14.9%
Sudan	Id El Ghanem	2017	9.8%	11.7%	8.2%
Sudan	Jebrat al Sheikh	2000	29.3%	31.9%	26.4%
Sudan	Jebrat al Sheikh	2017	17.7%	20.0%	15.5%
Sudan	Kabkabiya	2000	14.6%	16.7%	12.7%
Sudan	Kabkabiya	2017	7.9%	9.0%	6.8%
Sudan	Kadugli	2000	3.4%	4.2%	2.8%
Sudan	Kadugli	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.4%
Sudan	Karary	2000	67.9%	71.4%	63.9%
Sudan	Karary	2017	50.8%	55.1%	45.9%
Sudan	Kas	2000	17.4%	24.0%	12.3%
Sudan	Kas	2017	9.5%	13.5%	6.4%
Sudan	Kassala	2000	39.7%	46.2%	33.6%
Sudan	Kassala	2017	25.1%	30.6%	20.7%
Sudan	Khartoum	2000	67.2%	70.9%	63.2%
Sudan	Khartoum	2017	50.4%	54.9%	45.8%
Sudan	Khartoum	2000	64.4%	70.8%	58.8%
Sudan	Bahri	2017	47.7%	55.4%	41.8%
Sudan	Kosti	2000	37.7%	44.0%	32.0%
Sudan	Kosti	2017	23.5%	28.9%	18.9%
Sudan	Kutum	2000	14.9%	17.1%	12.9%
Sudan	Kutum	2017	8.1%	9.5%	6.9%
Sudan	Lagawa	2000	5.0%	6.2%	4.0%
Sudan	Lagawa	2017	2.6%	3.2%	2.1%
Sudan	Mellit	2000	15.4%	17.7%	13.3%
Sudan	Mellit	2017	8.5%	10.0%	7.2%
Sudan	Merawi	2000	71.4%	74.1%	68.8%
Sudan	Merawi	2017	55.5%	59.1%	51.9%
Sudan	Mukjar	2000	15.3%	17.8%	13.2%
Sudan	Mukjar	2017	8.4%	10.0%	7.1%
Sudan	Nahr Atbara	2000	38.1%	42.1%	34.2%
Sudan	Nahr Atbara	2017	23.8%	27.1%	20.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	North al Gazera	2000	74.4%	79.3%	68.6%
Sudan	North al Gazera	2017	59.4%	66.0%	51.6%
Sudan	Nyala	2000	17.0%	20.7%	14.0%
Sudan	Nyala	2000	16.5%	19.8%	13.4%
Sudan	Nyala	2017	9.3%	11.4%	7.5%
Sudan	Nyala	2017	9.1%	11.3%	7.1%
Sudan	Omdurman	2000	60.9%	69.2%	52.4%
Sudan	Omdurman	2017	44.3%	53.6%	35.5%
Sudan	Port Sudan	2000	25.1%	30.4%	20.2%
Sudan	Port Sudan	2017	15.2%	18.8%	12.3%
Sudan	Rashad	2000	4.4%	5.8%	3.3%
Sudan	Rashad	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.7%
Sudan	Sennar	2000	49.2%	52.9%	45.1%
Sudan	Sennar	2017	33.0%	36.2%	29.8%
Sudan	Seteet	2000	38.9%	43.1%	34.9%
Sudan	Seteet	2017	24.8%	28.2%	21.6%
Sudan	Sharg En Nile	2000	62.0%	68.3%	54.2%
Sudan	Sharg En Nile	2017	44.7%	52.4%	36.4%
Sudan	Sharq al Gazera	2000	71.3%	76.2%	63.7%
Sudan	Sharq al Gazera	2017	55.3%	61.9%	47.4%
Sudan	Sheikan	2000	28.5%	32.1%	25.9%
Sudan	Sheikan	2017	16.7%	18.8%	14.9%
Sudan	Shendi	2000	58.6%	62.6%	54.9%
Sudan	Shendi	2017	41.9%	46.3%	37.8%
Sudan	Singa	2000	47.6%	51.7%	43.8%
Sudan	Singa	2017	31.7%	36.1%	28.2%
Sudan	Sinkat	2000	30.9%	33.1%	28.4%
Sudan	Sinkat	2017	18.3%	20.1%	16.7%
Sudan	South al Gazera	2000	71.2%	75.1%	67.2%
Sudan	South al Gazera	2017	56.5%	62.0%	51.0%
Sudan	South Khartoum	2000	66.1%	70.7%	61.4%
Sudan	South Khartoum	2017	49.3%	54.8%	43.7%
Sudan	Sowdari	2000	27.6%	30.6%	24.4%
Sudan	Sowdari	2017	16.4%	18.8%	14.2%
Sudan	Talodi	2000	4.1%	5.7%	3.0%
Sudan	Talodi	2017	2.0%	2.8%	1.4%
Sudan	Tokar	2000	30.3%	32.4%	28.5%
Sudan	Tokar	2017	18.3%	19.8%	16.8%
Sudan	Tulus	2000	18.3%	25.0%	12.6%
Sudan	Tulus	2017	10.1%	14.4%	6.7%
Sudan	Um Al Gura	2000	77.7%	83.8%	70.0%
Sudan	Um Al Gura	2017	63.9%	72.6%	54.1%
Sudan	Um Badda	2000	57.2%	65.8%	49.6%
Sudan	Um Badda	2017	39.9%	48.9%	32.7%
Sudan	Um Kadada	2000	13.6%	15.8%	11.8%
Sudan	Um Kadada	2017	7.3%	8.6%	6.3%
Sudan	Um Rawaba	2000	25.1%	27.4%	22.8%
Sudan	Um Rawaba	2017	14.8%	16.5%	13.2%
Sudan	Wadi Halfa	2000	70.4%	74.2%	66.3%
Sudan	Wadi Halfa	2017	55.5%	61.4%	49.9%
Sudan	Zallingi	2000	14.2%	16.2%	12.0%
Sudan	Zallingi	2017	7.8%	8.9%	6.6%
Syria	'Ayn al-'Arab	2000	59.8%	77.2%	39.9%
Syria	'Ayn al-'Arab	2017	59.4%	76.3%	41.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Syria	A'zaz	2000	75.2%	88.5%	58.2%
Syria	A'zaz	2017	75.4%	88.6%	57.9%
Syria	Abu Kamal	2000	80.7%	87.6%	72.1%
Syria	Abu Kamal	2017	81.4%	88.0%	73.4%
Syria	Afrin	2000	51.1%	68.7%	32.6%
Syria	Afrin	2017	51.7%	69.4%	33.9%
Syria	Al Bab	2000	56.8%	71.3%	41.1%
Syria	Al Bab	2017	57.7%	71.1%	42.3%
Syria	Al Qamishli	2000	80.3%	93.4%	57.3%
Syria	Al Qamishli	2017	80.3%	92.9%	57.8%
Syria	Al-Haffah	2000	84.1%	93.9%	68.1%
Syria	Al-Haffah	2017	84.8%	94.2%	69.6%
Syria	Al-Hasakah	2000	83.4%	93.5%	63.2%
Syria	Al-Hasakah	2017	83.3%	93.2%	65.0%
Syria	Al-Malikiyah	2000	70.2%	88.4%	46.3%
Syria	Al-Malikiyah	2017	71.0%	88.2%	46.3%
Syria	Al-Mukharram	2000	75.3%	86.8%	60.8%
Syria	Al-Mukharram	2017	75.5%	86.9%	61.4%
Syria	Al-Qusayr	2000	71.3%	85.2%	54.0%
Syria	Al-Qusayr	2017	71.7%	85.3%	53.5%
Syria	Al-Qutayfah	2000	81.4%	95.3%	54.3%
Syria	Al-Qutayfah	2017	81.7%	94.8%	56.8%
Syria	An-Nabk	2000	97.4%	99.8%	88.0%
Syria	An-Nabk	2017	97.2%	99.8%	88.3%
Syria	Ar-Raqqah	2000	94.7%	96.7%	92.0%
Syria	Ar-Raqqah	2017	94.1%	96.3%	91.2%
Syria	Ar-Rastan	2000	82.6%	92.8%	68.0%
Syria	Ar-Rastan	2017	82.6%	92.6%	67.7%
Syria	Arihah	2000	81.7%	93.3%	64.3%
Syria	Arihah	2017	81.6%	93.0%	65.7%
Syria	As-Safirah	2000	55.8%	69.9%	40.4%
Syria	As-Safirah	2017	59.2%	73.5%	44.8%
Syria	As-Sanamayn	2000	92.0%	97.2%	82.7%
Syria	As-Sanamayn	2017	91.4%	97.0%	82.0%
Syria	As-Suqaylabiyah	2000	89.6%	96.3%	80.2%
Syria	As-Suqaylabiyah	2017	89.7%	96.5%	80.4%
Syria	As-Suwayda	2000	98.7%	99.5%	96.5%
Syria	As-Suwayda	2017	98.5%	99.5%	96.3%
Syria	Ash-Shaykh Badr	2000	85.8%	92.7%	75.4%
Syria	Ash-Shaykh Badr	2017	85.9%	92.8%	75.7%
Syria	At-Tall	2000	97.4%	99.6%	91.5%
Syria	At-Tall	2017	97.7%	99.6%	92.4%
Syria	Ath-Thawrah	2000	82.9%	89.6%	73.7%
Syria	Ath-Thawrah	2017	82.1%	88.7%	73.1%
Syria	Baniyas	2000	95.2%	98.6%	89.2%
Syria	Baniyas	2017	95.2%	98.7%	89.0%
Syria	Damascus	2000	95.8%	97.3%	93.7%
Syria	Damascus	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.0%
Syria	Daraa	2000	91.8%	97.4%	82.0%
Syria	Daraa	2017	91.8%	97.4%	82.3%
Syria	Darayya	2000	44.9%	56.8%	31.7%
Syria	Darayya	2017	44.5%	56.0%	31.8%
Syria	Deir ez-Zor	2000	86.0%	91.5%	77.9%
Syria	Deir ez-Zor	2017	86.2%	91.6%	78.3%
Syria	Duma	2000	63.4%	71.8%	53.1%
Syria	Duma	2017	63.3%	72.1%	53.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Syria	Duraykish	2000	90.4%	96.3%	78.0%
Syria	Duraykish	2017	90.7%	96.4%	79.0%
Syria	Hama	2000	91.1%	95.8%	82.2%
Syria	Hama	2017	90.7%	95.5%	82.2%
Syria	Harem	2000	75.4%	94.1%	50.3%
Syria	Harem	2017	75.1%	93.9%	49.1%
Syria	Hims	2000	87.0%	93.1%	77.8%
Syria	Hims	2017	86.8%	92.8%	77.3%
Syria	Idlib	2000	81.1%	95.7%	54.2%
Syria	Idlib	2017	80.9%	95.6%	54.5%
Syria	Izra'	2000	89.7%	97.7%	71.0%
Syria	Izra'	2017	89.5%	97.6%	70.9%
Syria	Jabal Sam'an	2000	89.5%	94.8%	80.4%
Syria	Jabal Sam'an	2017	88.9%	94.8%	79.6%
Syria	Jableh	2000	87.6%	95.1%	74.8%
Syria	Jableh	2017	87.2%	95.3%	73.3%
Syria	Jarabulus	2000	55.7%	77.6%	34.2%
Syria	Jarabulus	2017	54.8%	77.4%	33.1%
Syria	Jisr ash-Shugur	2000	81.6%	93.0%	64.1%
Syria	Jisr ash-Shugur	2017	81.7%	93.0%	65.0%
Syria	Latakia	2000	86.5%	95.2%	70.8%
Syria	Latakia	2017	86.7%	95.5%	71.3%
Syria	Ma'arrat al-Numan	2000	79.7%	89.4%	63.4%
Syria	Ma'arrat al-Numan	2017	79.6%	89.4%	63.9%
Syria	Manbij	2000	52.8%	68.1%	39.3%
Syria	Manbij	2017	53.8%	67.8%	40.3%
Syria	Markaz Rif Dimashq	2000	61.5%	71.7%	50.0%
Syria	Markaz Rif Dimashq	2017	61.7%	71.7%	49.6%
Syria	Masyaf	2000	90.5%	96.1%	80.0%
Syria	Masyaf	2017	90.9%	96.5%	81.0%
Syria	Mayadin	2000	97.2%	99.0%	94.1%
Syria	Mayadin	2017	96.9%	98.8%	94.2%
Syria	Muhardeh	2000	98.1%	99.5%	94.9%
Syria	Muhardeh	2017	98.0%	99.5%	94.8%
Syria	Palmyra	2000	87.8%	91.7%	83.3%
Syria	Palmyra	2017	88.1%	91.9%	84.1%
Syria	Qardaha	2000	70.4%	85.6%	48.3%
Syria	Qardaha	2017	70.6%	85.4%	48.9%
Syria	Qatana	2000	75.7%	89.5%	58.6%
Syria	Qatana	2017	76.2%	89.9%	59.3%
Syria	Quneitra	2000	95.0%	98.7%	87.7%
Syria	Quneitra	2017	93.9%	98.5%	84.7%
Syria	Ra's al-'Ayn	2000	71.2%	82.7%	54.8%
Syria	Ra's al-'Ayn	2017	71.4%	82.8%	55.6%
Syria	Safita	2000	96.6%	98.7%	92.8%
Syria	Safita	2017	96.6%	98.7%	92.7%
Syria	Salamiyah	2000	68.4%	81.1%	49.2%
Syria	Salamiyah	2017	69.4%	81.2%	52.4%
Syria	Salkhad	2000	98.1%	99.5%	94.9%
Syria	Salkhad	2017	98.1%	99.5%	94.9%
Syria	Shahba	2000	95.7%	98.6%	90.2%
Syria	Shahba	2017	95.7%	98.6%	89.0%
Syria	Tal Abyad	2000	81.1%	90.2%	67.8%
Syria	Tal Abyad	2017	81.2%	90.5%	68.7%
Syria	Talkalakh	2000	94.0%	98.2%	86.5%
Syria	Talkalakh	2017	94.2%	98.2%	87.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Syria	Tartus	2000	92.7%	97.4%	85.8%
Syria	Tartus	2017	92.7%	97.4%	85.6%
Syria	Yabrud	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.3%
Syria	Yabrud	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Syria	Zabadani	2000	96.9%	99.6%	89.1%
Syria	Zabadani	2017	97.1%	99.6%	90.3%
Tunisia	Agareb	2000	49.9%	67.0%	36.0%
Tunisia	Agareb	2017	50.0%	67.5%	36.1%
Tunisia	Aïn Draham	2000	71.3%	76.1%	66.1%
Tunisia	Aïn Draham	2017	71.2%	76.0%	65.9%
Tunisia	Akouada	2000	57.2%	70.4%	41.5%
Tunisia	Akouada	2017	57.3%	70.5%	41.7%
Tunisia	Alaa	2000	54.9%	59.7%	50.3%
Tunisia	Alaa	2017	54.9%	59.6%	50.2%
Tunisia	Amdoun	2000	75.0%	79.3%	70.1%
Tunisia	Amdoun	2017	75.2%	79.5%	70.2%
Tunisia	Ariana Médina	2000	78.1%	81.2%	74.9%
Tunisia	Ariana Médina	2017	78.6%	81.6%	75.4%
Tunisia	Ayoun	2000	80.7%	84.1%	77.4%
Tunisia	Ayoun	2017	80.7%	84.1%	77.5%
Tunisia	Bab Bhar	2000	78.2%	80.9%	75.8%
Tunisia	Bab Bhar	2017	78.2%	80.9%	75.8%
Tunisia	Bab Souika	2000	78.8%	81.0%	76.6%
Tunisia	Bab Souika	2017	78.8%	81.0%	76.6%
Tunisia	Balta Bou Aouane	2000	75.3%	78.9%	70.7%
Tunisia	Balta Bou Aouane	2017	75.4%	79.1%	70.8%
Tunisia	Bardo	2000	78.9%	80.5%	77.4%
Tunisia	Bardo	2017	78.9%	80.6%	77.4%
Tunisia	Bargou	2000	76.2%	81.9%	70.1%
Tunisia	Bargou	2017	76.0%	81.7%	69.9%
Tunisia	Béja Nord	2000	76.0%	79.9%	71.3%
Tunisia	Béja Nord	2017	76.0%	80.0%	71.2%
Tunisia	Béja Sud	2000	76.4%	79.8%	72.6%
Tunisia	Béja Sud	2017	76.4%	79.8%	72.6%
Tunisia	Bekalta	2000	58.0%	71.9%	42.2%
Tunisia	Bekalta	2017	60.3%	73.6%	44.8%
Tunisia	Belkhir	2000	62.9%	68.8%	57.2%
Tunisia	Belkhir	2017	63.1%	68.8%	57.5%
Tunisia	Bembla	2000	59.4%	74.3%	42.8%
Tunisia	Bembla	2017	59.9%	74.7%	43.3%
Tunisia	Ben Arous	2000	79.0%	80.9%	77.1%
Tunisia	Ben Arous	2017	79.0%	81.0%	77.0%
Tunisia	Ben Guerdane	2000	47.2%	52.7%	41.6%
Tunisia	Ben Guerdane	2017	47.3%	52.6%	41.6%
Tunisia	Beni Hassen	2000	58.8%	72.9%	43.2%
Tunisia	Beni Hassen	2017	59.1%	73.1%	43.5%
Tunisia	Beni Khalled	2000	76.8%	79.9%	73.4%
Tunisia	Beni Khalled	2017	75.8%	79.1%	72.3%
Tunisia	Beni Khedache	2000	47.3%	54.4%	41.7%
Tunisia	Beni Khedache	2017	47.1%	54.0%	41.7%
Tunisia	Beni Khiar	2000	72.7%	77.7%	66.6%
Tunisia	Beni Khiar	2017	72.7%	77.8%	66.5%
Tunisia	Bir Ali Ben Khélifa	2000	48.9%	59.7%	38.0%
Tunisia	Bir Ali Ben Khélifa	2017	48.9%	59.9%	37.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Bir El Hfay	2000	49.9%	55.0%	44.5%
Tunisia	Bir El Hfay	2017	49.8%	55.0%	44.4%
Tunisia	Bir Lahmar	2000	45.7%	52.5%	38.4%
Tunisia	Bir Lahmar	2017	45.6%	52.1%	38.5%
Tunisia	Bir Mchergua	2000	75.5%	80.5%	70.1%
Tunisia	Bir Mchergua	2017	75.5%	80.4%	70.3%
Tunisia	Bizerte Nord	2000	74.9%	80.2%	67.8%
Tunisia	Bizerte Nord	2017	74.9%	80.2%	67.8%
Tunisia	Bizerte Sud	2000	72.9%	78.0%	66.0%
Tunisia	Bizerte Sud	2017	72.9%	78.1%	65.9%
Tunisia	Borj El Amri	2000	75.2%	81.8%	68.8%
Tunisia	Borj El Amri	2017	75.3%	81.8%	68.8%
Tunisia	Bou Argoub	2000	74.0%	77.7%	69.6%
Tunisia	Bou Argoub	2017	74.7%	78.5%	70.2%
Tunisia	Bouarada	2000	75.0%	80.1%	69.3%
Tunisia	Bouarada	2017	74.9%	80.0%	69.2%
Tunisia	Bouficha	2000	69.2%	78.8%	59.4%
Tunisia	Bouficha	2017	69.1%	78.9%	59.2%
Tunisia	Bouhaira	2000	77.5%	81.9%	72.8%
Tunisia	Bouhaira	2017	77.5%	81.9%	72.8%
Tunisia	Bouhajla	2000	53.0%	58.4%	47.7%
Tunisia	Bouhajla	2017	54.0%	59.4%	48.8%
Tunisia	Boumerdès	2000	57.6%	72.1%	41.3%
Tunisia	Boumerdès	2017	57.6%	72.0%	41.1%
Tunisia	Boumhel	2000	78.3%	81.7%	74.9%
Tunisia	Boumhel	2017	78.2%	81.5%	74.8%
Tunisia	Bourouis	2000	75.1%	82.1%	68.4%
Tunisia	Bourouis	2017	75.1%	82.1%	68.5%
Tunisia	Bousalem	2000	73.2%	76.8%	69.0%
Tunisia	Bousalem	2017	74.9%	78.4%	70.5%
Tunisia	Carthage	2000	76.1%	81.2%	70.1%
Tunisia	Carthage	2017	76.2%	81.3%	70.3%
Tunisia	Chebba	2000	57.0%	71.6%	42.4%
Tunisia	Chebba	2017	57.1%	71.7%	42.4%
Tunisia	Chebika	2000	50.7%	55.6%	45.5%
Tunisia	Chebika	2017	50.4%	55.3%	45.3%
Tunisia	Chorbane	2000	55.9%	69.4%	42.6%
Tunisia	Chorbane	2017	55.8%	69.2%	42.5%
Tunisia	Chrarda	2000	53.0%	61.3%	45.7%
Tunisia	Chrarda	2017	53.2%	61.5%	45.8%
Tunisia	Cité El Khadra	2000	78.1%	81.4%	74.6%
Tunisia	Cité El Khadra	2017	78.1%	81.3%	74.4%
Tunisia	Dahmani	2000	76.4%	81.4%	70.7%
Tunisia	Dahmani	2017	77.4%	82.1%	71.9%
Tunisia	Dar Chaabane El Fehri	2000	73.1%	78.1%	67.1%
Tunisia	Dar Chaabane El Fehri	2017	73.2%	78.3%	67.0%
Tunisia	Degueche	2000	74.4%	78.6%	70.3%
Tunisia	Degueche	2017	74.4%	78.8%	70.5%
Tunisia	Dhiba	2000	54.0%	65.8%	42.4%
Tunisia	Dhiba	2017	53.9%	65.7%	42.3%
Tunisia	Djerba Ajim	2000	44.0%	50.2%	38.0%
Tunisia	Djerba Ajim	2017	44.0%	50.1%	38.0%
Tunisia	Djerba Midoun	2000	45.9%	50.7%	41.0%
Tunisia	Djerba Midoun	2017	46.3%	51.2%	41.4%
Tunisia	Douar Hicher	2000	76.1%	78.8%	73.6%
Tunisia	Douar Hicher	2017	76.1%	78.8%	73.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Douz	2000	73.4%	77.4%	69.3%
Tunisia	Douz	2017	73.3%	77.2%	69.2%
Tunisia	El Alia	2000	73.7%	78.0%	70.0%
Tunisia	El Alia	2017	73.7%	78.0%	70.0%
Tunisia	El Amra	2000	55.4%	72.1%	38.6%
Tunisia	El Amra	2017	55.3%	72.0%	38.8%
Tunisia	El Battan	2000	75.8%	81.5%	69.8%
Tunisia	El Battan	2017	75.8%	81.6%	69.9%
Tunisia	El Ghraiba	2000	47.6%	60.1%	32.2%
Tunisia	El Ghraiba	2017	47.6%	60.1%	32.2%
Tunisia	El Jem	2000	56.9%	72.7%	41.4%
Tunisia	El Jem	2017	57.9%	73.7%	42.4%
Tunisia	El Krib	2000	77.2%	82.6%	71.7%
Tunisia	El Krib	2017	76.9%	82.3%	71.6%
Tunisia	El Menzah	2000	78.6%	81.4%	75.8%
Tunisia	El Menzah	2017	78.6%	81.4%	75.7%
Tunisia	El Mida	2000	73.5%	78.8%	68.2%
Tunisia	El Mida	2017	74.0%	79.2%	68.7%
Tunisia	El Mourouj	2000	79.2%	82.2%	76.3%
Tunisia	El Mourouj	2017	79.2%	82.1%	76.4%
Tunisia	El Ouardia	2000	79.0%	80.7%	77.0%
Tunisia	El Ouardia	2017	79.0%	80.7%	77.0%
Tunisia	El Tahrir	2000	79.0%	80.9%	77.3%
Tunisia	El Tahrir	2017	79.0%	81.0%	77.3%
Tunisia	Enfidha	2000	64.1%	74.0%	51.6%
Tunisia	Enfidha	2017	63.9%	73.8%	51.3%
Tunisia	Es Sers	2000	76.1%	82.2%	70.0%
Tunisia	Es Sers	2017	76.3%	82.4%	70.3%
Tunisia	Ettadhamen	2000	78.4%	80.6%	76.4%
Tunisia	Ettadhamen	2017	78.6%	80.8%	76.6%
Tunisia	Ezzahra	2000	78.1%	81.1%	75.0%
Tunisia	Ezzahra	2017	78.1%	81.1%	75.1%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2000	81.4%	83.3%	79.1%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2000	78.9%	80.7%	77.2%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2017	81.4%	83.3%	79.1%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2017	78.9%	80.6%	77.2%
Tunisia	Fahs	2000	74.5%	78.6%	70.4%
Tunisia	Fahs	2017	74.5%	78.7%	70.3%
Tunisia	Faouar	2000	73.3%	78.6%	67.0%
Tunisia	Faouar	2017	73.3%	78.6%	67.1%
Tunisia	Feriana	2000	80.4%	83.7%	77.1%
Tunisia	Feriana	2017	79.9%	83.2%	76.3%
Tunisia	Fernana	2000	72.6%	77.5%	67.2%
Tunisia	Fernana	2017	72.6%	77.6%	67.3%
Tunisia	Fouchana	2000	79.2%	82.5%	76.0%
Tunisia	Fouchana	2017	79.2%	82.5%	76.0%
Tunisia	Foussana	2000	80.2%	84.2%	75.2%
Tunisia	Foussana	2017	80.2%	84.2%	75.2%
Tunisia	Gaafour	2000	76.4%	81.8%	71.3%
Tunisia	Gaafour	2017	76.2%	81.7%	71.2%
Tunisia	Gabès Médina	2000	46.9%	50.7%	42.8%
Tunisia	Gabès Médina	2017	46.9%	50.5%	42.9%
Tunisia	Gabès Ouest	2000	46.4%	50.3%	43.1%
Tunisia	Gabès Ouest	2017	46.1%	50.0%	42.9%
Tunisia	Gabès Sud	2000	45.6%	49.3%	42.1%
Tunisia	Gabès Sud	2017	45.6%	49.3%	42.1%
Tunisia	Gafsa Nord	2000	70.8%	75.5%	67.1%
Tunisia	Gafsa Nord	2017	70.9%	75.5%	67.1%
Tunisia	Gafsa Sud	2000	76.3%	79.1%	73.6%
Tunisia	Gafsa Sud	2017	76.2%	79.0%	73.6%
Tunisia	Ghannouch	2000	46.8%	50.9%	41.9%
Tunisia	Ghannouch	2017	46.8%	50.9%	41.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Ghar El Melh	2000	73.5%	80.2%	66.3%
Tunisia	Ghar El Melh	2017	73.5%	80.2%	66.4%
Tunisia	Ghardimaou	2000	76.1%	80.3%	72.1%
Tunisia	Ghardimaou	2017	76.1%	80.2%	72.2%
Tunisia	Ghazala	2000	73.1%	76.9%	68.6%
Tunisia	Ghazala	2017	73.1%	77.0%	68.5%
Tunisia	Ghomrassen	2000	47.9%	53.7%	41.8%
Tunisia	Ghomrassen	2017	47.8%	53.8%	41.7%
Tunisia	Goubellat	2000	74.7%	80.3%	69.8%
Tunisia	Goubellat	2017	74.7%	80.3%	69.9%
Tunisia	Grombalia	2000	77.3%	80.2%	74.0%
Tunisia	Grombalia	2017	77.6%	80.6%	74.3%
Tunisia	Guetar	2000	75.6%	80.2%	70.3%
Tunisia	Guetar	2017	75.3%	79.7%	69.8%
Tunisia	Haffouz	2000	49.0%	55.0%	43.1%
Tunisia	Haffouz	2017	49.2%	55.1%	43.3%
Tunisia	Hajeb El Ayoun	2000	47.9%	54.2%	41.0%
Tunisia	Hajeb El Ayoun	2017	48.0%	54.4%	41.2%
Tunisia	Hamma	2000	50.2%	54.8%	45.1%
Tunisia	Hamma	2017	50.4%	54.9%	45.4%
Tunisia	Hamмам Chott	2000	76.5%	80.2%	72.1%
Tunisia	Hamмам Chott	2017	76.5%	80.2%	72.0%
Tunisia	Hamмам Ghezaz	2000	73.2%	79.7%	65.9%
Tunisia	Hamмам Ghezaz	2017	73.1%	79.7%	65.8%
Tunisia	Hamмам Lif	2000	77.1%	80.4%	73.3%
Tunisia	Hamмам Lif	2017	77.1%	80.4%	73.3%
Tunisia	Hamмам Sousse	2000	58.6%	72.8%	42.4%
Tunisia	Hamмам Sousse	2017	58.7%	72.9%	42.6%
Tunisia	Hammamet	2000	73.5%	79.6%	66.3%
Tunisia	Hammamet	2017	73.7%	79.9%	66.8%
Tunisia	Haouaria	2000	72.8%	78.8%	65.5%
Tunisia	Haouaria	2017	72.7%	78.6%	65.6%
Tunisia	Hassi El Ferid	2000	70.9%	75.8%	65.6%
Tunisia	Hassi El Ferid	2017	70.7%	75.3%	65.3%
Tunisia	Hazoua	2000	71.5%	79.2%	62.1%
Tunisia	Hazoua	2017	71.6%	79.2%	62.1%
Tunisia	Hbira	2000	54.3%	65.4%	44.3%
Tunisia	Hbira	2017	54.2%	65.3%	44.1%
Tunisia	Hencha	2000	55.3%	70.9%	41.8%
Tunisia	Hencha	2017	55.3%	70.6%	41.6%
Tunisia	Hergla	2000	58.7%	69.5%	45.2%
Tunisia	Hergla	2017	59.6%	70.1%	46.6%
Tunisia	Hidra	2000	80.2%	85.5%	74.0%
Tunisia	Hidra	2017	80.1%	85.5%	73.9%
Tunisia	Houmt Souk	2000	45.5%	50.9%	39.9%
Tunisia	Houmt Souk	2017	46.0%	51.5%	40.3%
Tunisia	Hrairia	2000	78.8%	80.7%	77.2%
Tunisia	Hrairia	2017	78.9%	80.7%	77.2%
Tunisia	Jammel	2000	57.7%	71.4%	41.4%
Tunisia	Jammel	2017	57.8%	71.4%	41.5%
Tunisia	Jebel Jelloud	2000	79.0%	80.8%	77.1%
Tunisia	Jebel Jelloud	2017	79.0%	80.8%	77.1%
Tunisia	Jebeniana	2000	56.5%	72.6%	40.3%
Tunisia	Jebeniana	2017	56.6%	72.6%	40.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Jedaida	2000	76.3%	82.0%	70.3%
Tunisia	Jedaida	2017	76.6%	82.2%	70.7%
Tunisia	Jedeliane	2000	78.6%	82.1%	74.2%
Tunisia	Jedeliane	2017	78.4%	82.0%	74.1%
Tunisia	Jelma	2000	49.6%	55.2%	44.6%
Tunisia	Jelma	2017	49.0%	54.6%	43.9%
Tunisia	Jendouba	2000	73.1%	77.4%	67.7%
Tunisia	Jendouba Nord	2017	73.3%	77.6%	68.0%
Tunisia	Jendouba Sud	2000	74.1%	78.1%	69.7%
Tunisia	Jendouba Sud	2017	74.2%	78.2%	69.7%
Tunisia	Jerissa	2000	75.5%	81.2%	68.6%
Tunisia	Jerissa	2017	75.5%	81.1%	68.6%
Tunisia	Joumine	2000	75.0%	79.9%	69.8%
Tunisia	Joumine	2017	74.9%	79.8%	69.7%
Tunisia	Kabaria	2000	79.0%	80.8%	77.1%
Tunisia	Kabaria	2017	79.0%	80.8%	77.1%
Tunisia	Kairouan	2000	51.6%	56.5%	47.1%
Tunisia	Kairouan Nord	2017	52.0%	56.9%	47.4%
Tunisia	Kairouan Sud	2000	53.1%	56.2%	49.9%
Tunisia	Kairouan Sud	2017	53.2%	56.3%	50.1%
Tunisia	Kalaa Kebira	2000	57.0%	69.3%	42.5%
Tunisia	Kalaa Kebira	2017	57.1%	69.3%	42.8%
Tunisia	Kalaa Khesba	2000	78.0%	84.0%	70.7%
Tunisia	Kalaa Khesba	2017	77.9%	83.9%	70.6%
Tunisia	Kalaa Sghira	2000	59.5%	71.7%	44.9%
Tunisia	Kalaa Sghira	2017	59.5%	71.6%	44.9%
Tunisia	Kalaat El Andalous	2000	74.5%	79.4%	69.9%
Tunisia	Kalaat El Andalous	2017	76.1%	80.5%	71.4%
Tunisia	Kalaat Senan	2000	76.4%	84.1%	68.2%
Tunisia	Kalaat Senan	2017	76.4%	84.0%	68.2%
Tunisia	Kasserine	2000	79.4%	81.9%	76.6%
Tunisia	Kasserine Nord	2017	79.4%	82.0%	76.6%
Tunisia	Kasserine Sud	2000	80.5%	82.4%	78.3%
Tunisia	Kasserine Sud	2017	80.5%	82.4%	78.3%
Tunisia	Kebili Nord	2000	75.0%	79.1%	70.7%
Tunisia	Kebili Nord	2017	74.8%	78.8%	70.6%
Tunisia	Kebili Sud	2000	74.9%	78.7%	70.7%
Tunisia	Kebili Sud	2017	75.2%	79.0%	71.0%
Tunisia	Kef Est	2000	78.8%	83.5%	73.0%
Tunisia	Kef Est	2017	78.7%	83.4%	73.0%
Tunisia	Kef Ouest	2000	77.5%	82.4%	71.6%
Tunisia	Kef Ouest	2017	77.7%	82.5%	71.7%
Tunisia	Kelibia	2000	73.6%	79.4%	67.1%
Tunisia	Kelibia	2017	73.5%	79.4%	67.1%
Tunisia	Kerkennah	2000	51.6%	67.4%	35.6%
Tunisia	Kerkennah	2017	51.7%	67.6%	35.7%
Tunisia	Kesra	2000	71.3%	77.8%	64.9%
Tunisia	Kesra	2017	71.7%	78.2%	65.5%
Tunisia	Kondar	2000	55.8%	63.9%	45.7%
Tunisia	Kondar	2017	55.9%	64.0%	45.8%
Tunisia	Korba	2000	74.0%	78.4%	68.3%
Tunisia	Korba	2017	74.0%	78.4%	68.3%
Tunisia	Ksar	2000	76.9%	80.3%	73.3%
Tunisia	Ksar	2017	75.2%	78.6%	71.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Ksar Hellal	2000	59.6%	74.2%	44.5%
Tunisia	Ksar Hellal	2017	59.5%	74.1%	44.4%
Tunisia	Ksibet El Mediouni	2000	57.0%	72.0%	41.0%
Tunisia	Ksibet El Mediouni	2017	58.8%	73.5%	43.0%
Tunisia	Ksour	2000	77.1%	81.4%	72.0%
Tunisia	Ksour	2017	77.1%	81.4%	72.0%
Tunisia	Ksour Essef	2000	57.9%	72.7%	42.5%
Tunisia	Ksour Essef	2017	58.2%	73.0%	42.6%
Tunisia	La Goulette	2000	77.4%	81.5%	72.4%
Tunisia	La Goulette	2017	77.5%	81.5%	72.5%
Tunisia	La Marsa	2000	76.3%	81.3%	69.6%
Tunisia	La Marsa	2017	76.7%	81.6%	70.1%
Tunisia	Lake Ichkeul	2000	71.4%	77.8%	65.1%
Tunisia	Lake Ichkeul	2017	71.6%	78.0%	65.1%
Tunisia	Laroussa	2000	75.4%	81.6%	68.7%
Tunisia	Laroussa	2017	75.3%	81.5%	68.7%
Tunisia	M'Hamdia	2000	77.8%	82.7%	73.3%
Tunisia	M'Hamdia	2017	77.8%	82.7%	73.4%
Tunisia	M'Saken	2000	56.6%	68.8%	41.8%
Tunisia	M'Saken	2017	57.1%	69.4%	42.3%
Tunisia	Mahdia	2000	59.3%	73.3%	42.7%
Tunisia	Mahdia	2017	59.2%	73.2%	42.7%
Tunisia	Mahres	2000	50.5%	66.3%	36.4%
Tunisia	Mahres	2017	50.5%	66.5%	36.5%
Tunisia	Majel Be-labbes	2000	77.2%	82.2%	71.5%
Tunisia	Majel Be-labbes	2017	77.5%	82.3%	72.0%
Tunisia	Makthar	2000	76.2%	79.9%	71.7%
Tunisia	Makthar	2017	76.2%	80.1%	71.6%
Tunisia	Manouba	2000	78.2%	80.5%	76.4%
Tunisia	Manouba	2017	78.4%	80.6%	76.5%
Tunisia	Mareth	2000	46.1%	51.8%	40.0%
Tunisia	Mareth	2017	46.1%	51.9%	40.0%
Tunisia	Mateur	2000	72.9%	78.4%	66.2%
Tunisia	Mateur	2017	73.1%	78.6%	66.4%
Tunisia	Matmata	2000	50.9%	58.1%	43.0%
Tunisia	Matmata	2017	51.1%	58.0%	43.3%
Tunisia	Matmata Nouvelle	2000	47.2%	53.2%	40.8%
Tunisia	Matmata Nouvelle	2017	47.2%	53.0%	41.0%
Tunisia	Mazzouna	2000	49.9%	57.8%	41.5%
Tunisia	Mazzouna	2017	49.8%	57.6%	41.3%
Tunisia	Mdhilla	2000	74.8%	80.5%	69.4%
Tunisia	Mdhilla	2017	75.3%	80.9%	69.9%
Tunisia	Médenine Nord	2000	45.0%	50.2%	40.6%
Tunisia	Médenine Nord	2017	44.9%	50.2%	40.5%
Tunisia	Médenine Sud	2000	46.2%	51.0%	41.8%
Tunisia	Médenine Sud	2017	46.9%	51.6%	42.4%
Tunisia	Médina	2000	78.7%	81.0%	76.6%
Tunisia	Médina	2017	78.7%	81.0%	76.6%
Tunisia	Mégrine	2000	79.1%	80.7%	77.5%
Tunisia	Mégrine	2017	79.1%	80.7%	77.5%
Tunisia	Mejez El Bab	2000	76.1%	81.1%	71.1%
Tunisia	Mejez El Bab	2017	76.0%	81.0%	71.0%
Tunisia	Meknassi	2000	49.1%	53.6%	45.2%
Tunisia	Meknassi	2017	49.3%	53.8%	45.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Melloulech	2000	56.1%	71.4%	40.5%
Tunisia	Melloulech	2017	56.0%	71.3%	40.4%
Tunisia	Menzel Bourguiba	2000	74.2%	78.8%	68.8%
Tunisia	Menzel Bourguiba	2017	74.2%	78.8%	68.9%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzaiene	2000	54.4%	61.4%	48.3%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzaiene	2017	55.3%	62.1%	49.1%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzelifa	2000	75.7%	79.6%	71.4%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzelifa	2017	75.7%	79.6%	71.4%
Tunisia	Menzel Chaker	2000	52.0%	65.0%	40.5%
Tunisia	Menzel Chaker	2017	52.1%	65.1%	40.8%
Tunisia	Menzel Habib	2000	53.4%	60.1%	46.9%
Tunisia	Menzel Habib	2017	52.7%	59.4%	46.3%
Tunisia	Menzel Jemil	2000	73.7%	76.9%	70.2%
Tunisia	Menzel Jemil	2017	74.0%	77.3%	70.5%
Tunisia	Menzel Temime	2000	74.6%	79.2%	69.8%
Tunisia	Menzel Temime	2017	74.7%	79.4%	69.8%
Tunisia	Metlaoui	2000	73.7%	78.3%	68.7%
Tunisia	Metlaoui	2017	73.8%	78.3%	69.0%
Tunisia	Metouia	2000	44.3%	50.3%	38.0%
Tunisia	Metouia	2017	44.3%	50.3%	37.9%
Tunisia	Mnihla	2000	78.0%	80.4%	75.8%
Tunisia	Mnihla	2017	78.5%	80.9%	76.1%
Tunisia	Moknine	2000	60.4%	74.1%	45.5%
Tunisia	Moknine	2017	60.5%	74.3%	45.7%
Tunisia	Monastir	2000	57.1%	70.6%	40.6%
Tunisia	Monastir	2017	57.3%	70.8%	40.9%
Tunisia	Mornag	2000	77.5%	81.4%	73.5%
Tunisia	Mornag	2017	77.2%	81.2%	73.3%
Tunisia	Mornaguia	2000	76.9%	81.8%	72.1%
Tunisia	Mornaguia	2017	76.9%	81.8%	72.1%
Tunisia	Nabeul	2000	74.3%	79.8%	67.4%
Tunisia	Nabeul	2017	74.1%	79.6%	67.3%
Tunisia	Nadhour	2000	66.9%	72.7%	60.7%
Tunisia	Nadhour	2017	66.9%	72.8%	60.7%
Tunisia	Nasrallah	2000	49.9%	55.7%	44.0%
Tunisia	Nasrallah	2017	49.8%	55.7%	44.0%
Tunisia	Nebeur	2000	76.2%	79.8%	72.2%
Tunisia	Nebeur	2017	76.2%	79.7%	72.1%
Tunisia	Nefta	2000	74.2%	78.5%	69.2%
Tunisia	Nefta	2017	74.1%	78.5%	69.3%
Tunisia	Nefza	2000	73.9%	78.4%	68.9%
Tunisia	Nefza	2017	73.9%	78.5%	68.8%
Tunisia	Nouvelle Médina	2000	78.8%	81.6%	76.0%
Tunisia	Nouvelle Médina	2017	78.8%	81.7%	76.0%
Tunisia	Omrane	2000	78.8%	81.1%	76.6%
Tunisia	Omrane	2017	78.8%	81.1%	76.6%
Tunisia	Omrane Supérieur	2000	79.0%	81.2%	76.8%
Tunisia	Omrane Supérieur	2017	79.0%	81.2%	76.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Oued Ellil	2000	77.3%	81.2%	73.5%
Tunisia	Oued Ellil	2017	77.3%	81.3%	73.6%
Tunisia	Oued Mliz	2000	73.5%	77.6%	68.9%
Tunisia	Oued Mliz	2017	73.3%	77.5%	68.6%
Tunisia	Ouerdanine	2000	57.9%	71.8%	42.1%
Tunisia	Ouerdanine	2017	58.2%	71.9%	42.4%
Tunisia	Oueslatia	2000	61.7%	69.1%	54.0%
Tunisia	Oueslatia	2017	61.7%	69.0%	53.8%
Tunisia	Ouled	2000	56.4%	66.3%	43.7%
Tunisia	Chamekh				
Tunisia	Ouled	2017	56.0%	66.1%	43.4%
Tunisia	Chamekh				
Tunisia	Ouled Haffouz	2000	48.5%	54.8%	42.3%
Tunisia	Ouled Haffouz	2017	48.8%	55.0%	42.8%
Tunisia	Oum Larais	2000	78.4%	83.7%	72.7%
Tunisia	Oum Larais	2017	78.4%	83.5%	72.8%
Tunisia	Radès	2000	78.7%	80.5%	76.3%
Tunisia	Radès	2017	78.7%	80.6%	76.3%
Tunisia	Raoued	2000	76.6%	80.6%	72.1%
Tunisia	Raoued	2017	77.2%	81.2%	72.7%
Tunisia	Ras Jebel	2000	74.2%	81.3%	66.8%
Tunisia	Ras Jebel	2017	74.5%	81.4%	67.4%
Tunisia	Redeyef	2000	78.0%	82.2%	73.3%
Tunisia	Redeyef	2017	77.8%	81.9%	73.1%
Tunisia	Regueb	2000	47.9%	52.2%	43.1%
Tunisia	Regueb	2017	47.8%	52.2%	43.1%
Tunisia	Remada	2000	56.3%	62.5%	49.7%
Tunisia	Remada	2017	55.7%	62.1%	49.6%
Tunisia	Rouhia	2000	72.5%	77.0%	66.8%
Tunisia	Rouhia	2017	72.3%	76.8%	66.6%
Tunisia	Sabalat Ouled	2000	55.3%	60.7%	50.1%
Tunisia	Asker				
Tunisia	Sabalat Ouled	2017	55.4%	60.9%	50.3%
Tunisia	Asker				
Tunisia	Sabkhet	2000	78.5%	80.6%	76.3%
Tunisia	Sijoumi				
Tunisia	Sabkhet	2017	78.5%	80.7%	76.3%
Tunisia	Sijoumi				
Tunisia	Sahline	2000	57.5%	71.2%	41.6%
Tunisia	Sahline	2017	57.6%	71.3%	41.7%
Tunisia	Sakiet	Ed-	2000	52.1%	67.6%
Tunisia	daier				
Tunisia	Sakiet	Ed-	2017	51.9%	67.5%
Tunisia	daier				
Tunisia	Sakiet Ezzit	2000	49.7%	66.8%	33.7%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ezzit	2017	49.6%	66.7%	33.7%
Tunisia	Sakiet	Sidi	2000	78.5%	84.3%
Tunisia	Youssef				
Tunisia	Sakiet	Sidi	2017	78.5%	84.4%
Tunisia	Youssef				
Tunisia	Samar	2000	47.3%	54.4%	40.8%
Tunisia	Samar	2017	48.0%	54.9%	41.5%
Tunisia	Saouaf	2000	70.2%	77.8%	62.2%
Tunisia	Saouaf	2017	70.2%	77.8%	62.3%
Tunisia	Sayada-	2000	59.1%	74.0%	45.0%
Tunisia	Lamta-Bou				
Tunisia	Hjar				
Tunisia	Sayada-	2017	59.1%	73.9%	44.9%
Tunisia	Lamta-Bou				
Tunisia	Hjar				
Tunisia	Sbeitla	2000	74.7%	78.0%	71.7%
Tunisia	Sbeitla	2017	74.4%	77.7%	71.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Sbiba	2000	73.8%	77.9%	69.4%
Tunisia	Sbiba	2017	74.2%	78.2%	70.1%
Tunisia	Sbikha	2000	56.7%	61.2%	51.5%
Tunisia	Sbikha	2017	56.6%	61.3%	51.2%
Tunisia	Sebkhat Sidi El Hani	2000	55.6%	66.9%	41.3%
Tunisia	Sebkhat Sidi El Hani	2017	55.8%	67.1%	41.5%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Ariana	2000	74.6%	79.5%	68.5%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Ariana	2017	75.6%	80.4%	69.7%
Tunisia	Sebkhet El Moknine	2000	60.5%	74.7%	45.8%
Tunisia	Sebkhet El Moknine	2017	61.6%	75.5%	47.0%
Tunisia	Sebkhit El Kabla	2000	54.5%	63.1%	43.6%
Tunisia	Sebkhit El Kabla	2017	54.6%	63.2%	43.5%
Tunisia	Sejnane	2000	74.2%	80.6%	67.7%
Tunisia	Sejnane	2017	74.2%	80.6%	67.6%
Tunisia	Sened	2000	65.9%	70.5%	61.2%
Tunisia	Sened	2017	65.6%	70.1%	60.8%
Tunisia	Sfax Médina	2000	53.1%	68.5%	35.7%
Tunisia	Sfax Médina	2017	53.1%	68.5%	35.7%
Tunisia	Sfax Ouest	2000	52.9%	68.8%	35.8%
Tunisia	Sfax Ouest	2017	52.9%	68.8%	35.8%
Tunisia	Sfax Sud	2000	51.0%	67.4%	35.5%
Tunisia	Sfax Sud	2017	50.8%	67.2%	35.2%
Tunisia	Sidi Aich	2000	72.4%	79.5%	64.5%
Tunisia	Sidi Aich	2017	72.4%	79.6%	64.6%
Tunisia	Sidi Ali Ben Aoun	2000	54.5%	59.2%	48.4%
Tunisia	Sidi Ali Ben Aoun	2017	54.4%	59.2%	48.2%
Tunisia	Sidi Alouane	2000	57.4%	73.4%	41.3%
Tunisia	Sidi Alouane	2017	57.5%	73.4%	41.4%
Tunisia	Sidi Bou Ali	2000	57.7%	69.1%	45.2%
Tunisia	Sidi Bou Ali	2017	58.4%	69.7%	46.3%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Est	2000	46.5%	49.9%	43.1%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Est	2017	46.9%	50.4%	43.4%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Ouest	2000	47.9%	52.5%	43.5%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Ouest	2017	48.0%	52.6%	43.6%
Tunisia	Sidi El Béchir	2000	78.5%	80.7%	76.3%
Tunisia	Sidi El Béchir	2017	78.5%	80.6%	76.3%
Tunisia	Sidi El Heni	2000	52.3%	61.0%	41.4%
Tunisia	Sidi El Heni	2017	52.2%	60.9%	41.4%
Tunisia	Sidi Hassine	2000	78.6%	81.2%	76.0%
Tunisia	Sidi Hassine	2017	78.8%	81.5%	76.1%
Tunisia	Sidi Makhlouf	2000	44.6%	49.7%	39.5%
Tunisia	Sidi Makhlouf	2017	44.5%	49.8%	39.2%
Tunisia	Sidi Thabet	2000	76.4%	80.4%	72.0%
Tunisia	Sidi Thabet	2017	76.9%	80.9%	72.7%
Tunisia	Sijoumi	2000	78.8%	81.0%	76.6%
Tunisia	Sijoumi	2017	78.8%	81.0%	76.6%
Tunisia	Siliana Nord	2000	76.7%	80.2%	73.1%
Tunisia	Siliana Nord	2017	76.7%	80.2%	73.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Siliana Sud	2000	76.3%	80.7%	71.2%
Tunisia	Siliana Sud	2017	76.5%	80.9%	71.6%
Tunisia	Skhira	2000	47.2%	57.9%	34.7%
Tunisia	Skhira	2017	47.3%	58.1%	34.9%
Tunisia	Soliman	2000	76.8%	80.8%	72.7%
Tunisia	Soliman	2017	77.7%	81.6%	73.7%
Tunisia	Souassi	2000	57.0%	70.2%	43.6%
Tunisia	Souassi	2017	57.2%	70.6%	43.2%
Tunisia	Souk El Ahed	2000	75.9%	79.9%	71.1%
Tunisia	Souk El Ahed	2017	75.7%	79.6%	71.1%
Tunisia	Souk Jedid	2000	46.7%	52.5%	40.9%
Tunisia	Souk Jedid	2017	46.6%	52.4%	40.8%
Tunisia	Soukra	2000	77.7%	81.4%	73.2%
Tunisia	Soukra	2017	77.7%	81.5%	73.2%
Tunisia	Sousse	2000	60.4%	73.5%	44.3%
Tunisia	Jaouhara				
Tunisia	Sousse	2017	60.3%	73.5%	44.2%
Tunisia	Jaouhara				
Tunisia	Sousse Méd-ina	2000	60.1%	73.6%	43.9%
Tunisia	Sousse Méd-ina	2017	60.1%	73.7%	43.9%
Tunisia	Sousse Riadh	2000	60.2%	73.2%	44.5%
Tunisia	Sousse Riadh	2017	60.2%	73.1%	44.5%
Tunisia	Sousse Sidi Abdelhamid	2000	60.4%	73.9%	44.1%
Tunisia	Sousse Sidi Abdelhamid	2017	60.4%	73.9%	44.1%
Tunisia	Tabarka	2000	72.3%	78.2%	65.4%
Tunisia	Tabarka	2017	71.8%	77.9%	64.7%
Tunisia	Tajerouine	2000	74.1%	79.7%	69.1%
Tunisia	Tajerouine	2017	74.1%	79.7%	69.2%
Tunisia	Takelsa	2000	73.7%	79.9%	67.6%
Tunisia	Takelsa	2017	73.7%	79.8%	67.7%
Tunisia	Tamaghza	2000	75.4%	81.7%	66.9%
Tunisia	Tamaghza	2017	75.2%	81.7%	66.7%
Tunisia	Tataouine	2000	48.0%	52.5%	43.3%
Tunisia	Nord				
Tunisia	Tataouine Nord	2017	48.1%	52.5%	43.3%
Tunisia	Tataouine Sud	2000	49.2%	54.3%	44.2%
Tunisia	Tataouine Sud	2017	49.4%	54.8%	44.2%
Tunisia	Teboulba	2000	60.3%	73.9%	45.6%
Tunisia	Teboulba	2017	60.1%	73.8%	45.5%
Tunisia	Tebourba	2000	74.4%	80.2%	67.4%
Tunisia	Tebourba	2017	74.5%	80.3%	67.5%
Tunisia	Téboursouk	2000	78.2%	84.0%	73.0%
Tunisia	Téboursouk	2017	78.1%	83.8%	72.9%
Tunisia	Testour	2000	75.2%	79.5%	70.1%
Tunisia	Testour	2017	75.2%	79.4%	69.9%
Tunisia	Thala	2000	79.9%	83.5%	74.7%
Tunisia	Thala	2017	79.6%	83.2%	74.3%
Tunisia	Thibar	2000	76.7%	81.1%	71.8%
Tunisia	Thibar	2017	76.4%	80.8%	71.4%
Tunisia	Tinja	2000	73.0%	78.2%	67.3%
Tunisia	Tinja	2017	73.2%	78.4%	67.3%
Tunisia	Tozeur	2000	74.3%	78.6%	69.6%
Tunisia	Tozeur	2017	74.1%	78.5%	69.6%
Tunisia	Unknown	2000	79.0%	80.6%	77.6%
Tunisia	Unknown	2017	79.0%	80.6%	77.6%
Tunisia	Unknown1	2000	58.6%	73.3%	42.1%
Tunisia	Unknown1	2017	58.6%	73.3%	42.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Utique	2000	73.5%	78.9%	68.8%
Tunisia	Utique	2017	73.4%	78.9%	68.8%
Tunisia	Zaghouan	2000	75.7%	80.2%	70.6%
Tunisia	Zaghouan	2017	75.7%	80.2%	70.7%
Tunisia	Zarzis	2000	49.3%	54.8%	44.3%
Tunisia	Zarzis	2017	49.4%	54.9%	44.5%
Tunisia	Zeramdine	2000	57.6%	69.9%	42.1%
Tunisia	Zeramdine	2017	57.9%	70.2%	42.1%
Tunisia	Zriba	2000	73.7%	80.3%	66.8%
Tunisia	Zriba	2017	73.7%	80.3%	66.7%
Yemen	Abs	2000	54.2%	74.1%	31.0%
Yemen	Abs	2017	44.0%	66.2%	18.6%
Yemen	Ad Dahi	2000	37.3%	71.0%	3.3%
Yemen	Ad Dahi	2017	31.0%	63.3%	1.0%
Yemen	Ad Dhale'e	2000	63.7%	75.5%	50.0%
Yemen	Ad Dhale'e	2017	55.6%	71.7%	40.0%
Yemen	Ad Dis	2000	37.4%	73.3%	8.5%
Yemen	Ad Dis	2017	31.6%	65.0%	5.7%
Yemen	Ad Durayhimi	2000	49.8%	86.4%	14.5%
Yemen	Ad Durayhimi	2017	42.8%	81.8%	10.4%
Yemen	Adh Dhli'a'ah	2000	45.2%	69.7%	18.8%
Yemen	Adh Dhli'a'ah	2017	40.2%	62.2%	17.5%
Yemen	Aflah Al Ya-man	2000	1.3%	2.9%	0.4%
Yemen	Aflah Al Ya-man	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Yemen	Aflah Ash Shawm	2000	1.4%	12.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Aflah Ash Shawm	2017	0.3%	2.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Ahwar	2000	46.5%	78.1%	15.9%
Yemen	Ahwar	2017	41.1%	71.9%	13.9%
Yemen	Ain	2000	47.7%	83.0%	14.7%
Yemen	Ain	2017	35.2%	75.3%	6.7%
Yemen	Al Hawtah	2000	94.1%	99.5%	85.3%
Yemen	Al Hawtah	2017	81.5%	96.4%	47.4%
Yemen	Al Mukha	2000	49.6%	74.1%	25.9%
Yemen	Al Mukha	2017	38.5%	61.6%	17.3%
Yemen	Al A'rsh	2000	49.4%	85.5%	10.2%
Yemen	Al A'rsh	2017	37.9%	79.7%	6.9%
Yemen	Al Abdiyah	2000	44.7%	79.5%	11.3%
Yemen	Al Abdiyah	2017	38.5%	74.0%	6.0%
Yemen	Al Abr	2000	40.7%	69.0%	16.6%
Yemen	Al Abr	2017	36.1%	60.8%	15.7%
Yemen	Al Ashah	2000	38.2%	70.7%	12.7%
Yemen	Al Ashah	2017	30.8%	64.4%	6.5%
Yemen	Al Azariq	2000	65.0%	77.2%	52.0%
Yemen	Al Azariq	2017	64.0%	77.4%	53.4%
Yemen	Al Bayda	2000	28.8%	43.5%	12.0%
Yemen	Al Bayda	2017	19.4%	38.4%	3.7%
Yemen	Al Bayda City	2000	6.6%	9.3%	4.0%
Yemen	Al Bayda City	2017	1.4%	2.8%	0.6%
Yemen	Al Buraiqeh	2000	59.5%	91.3%	33.4%
Yemen	Al Buraiqeh	2017	56.3%	88.4%	33.1%
Yemen	Al Dhaher	2000	16.6%	40.9%	0.8%
Yemen	Al Dhaher	2017	9.9%	30.4%	0.1%
Yemen	Al Dhihar	2000	74.6%	80.4%	68.7%
Yemen	Al Dhihar	2017	29.2%	35.9%	23.3%
Yemen	Al Garrahi	2000	49.7%	76.4%	22.6%
Yemen	Al Garrahi	2017	36.2%	65.0%	12.4%
Yemen	Al Ghaydah	2000	38.7%	57.9%	19.5%
Yemen	Al Ghaydah	2017	33.0%	52.1%	14.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Ghayl	2000	23.3%	44.7%	3.5%
Yemen	Al Ghayl	2017	15.5%	34.2%	0.6%
Yemen	Al Had	2000	29.3%	64.4%	5.4%
Yemen	Al Had	2017	20.7%	54.9%	1.7%
Yemen	Al Hada	2000	49.3%	72.0%	23.7%
Yemen	Al Hada	2017	41.3%	64.7%	14.3%
Yemen	Al Hajjaylah	2000	32.1%	83.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Hajjaylah	2017	26.2%	77.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Hali	2000	61.3%	90.8%	36.9%
Yemen	Al Hali	2017	47.1%	77.8%	26.1%
Yemen	Al Hashwah	2000	49.1%	90.2%	6.8%
Yemen	Al Hashwah	2017	44.4%	87.9%	5.9%
Yemen	Al Hawak	2000	43.4%	99.9%	0.1%
Yemen	Al Hawak	2017	35.9%	99.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Haymah	2000	32.3%	52.7%	19.5%
Yemen	Ad Dakhiliyah				
Yemen	Al Haymah	2017	16.5%	39.6%	5.4%
Yemen	Ad Dakhiliyah				
Yemen	Al Haymah Al	2000	34.7%	62.4%	9.8%
Yemen	Kharijiyah				
Yemen	Al Haymah Al	2017	25.1%	51.2%	3.3%
Yemen	Kharijiyah				
Yemen	Al Hazm	2000	37.1%	75.8%	9.5%
Yemen	Al Hazm	2017	29.4%	69.3%	5.8%
Yemen	Al Humaydat	2000	34.1%	64.2%	3.9%
Yemen	Al Humaydat	2017	28.0%	58.3%	1.8%
Yemen	Al Husha	2000	23.7%	37.5%	14.0%
Yemen	Al Husha	2017	20.9%	34.8%	13.6%
Yemen	Al Husn	2000	49.4%	82.2%	17.3%
Yemen	Al Husn	2017	39.4%	77.9%	8.5%
Yemen	Al Hussein	2000	38.8%	70.8%	25.4%
Yemen	Al Hussein	2017	29.4%	62.0%	10.4%
Yemen	Al Jabin	2000	15.1%	23.5%	9.2%
Yemen	Al Jabin	2017	5.9%	14.4%	2.1%
Yemen	Al Jafariyah	2000	29.0%	35.0%	21.7%
Yemen	Al Jafariyah	2017	19.6%	25.6%	13.0%
Yemen	Al Jamimah	2000	57.1%	69.1%	26.4%
Yemen	Al Jamimah	2017	47.8%	64.1%	14.9%
Yemen	Al Jubah	2000	51.8%	77.5%	25.8%
Yemen	Al Jubah	2017	41.2%	71.1%	15.2%
Yemen	Al Khabt	2000	21.5%	59.0%	12.4%
Yemen	Al Khabt	2017	11.7%	40.3%	6.8%
Yemen	Al Khalq	2000	40.0%	84.3%	0.5%
Yemen	Al Khalq	2017	31.9%	84.2%	0.1%
Yemen	Al Khawkhah	2000	49.4%	81.4%	18.7%
Yemen	Al Khawkhah	2017	38.6%	70.4%	9.7%
Yemen	Al Ma'afer	2000	37.7%	49.7%	27.5%
Yemen	Al Ma'afer	2017	18.8%	30.5%	10.5%
Yemen	Al Madan	2000	9.7%	38.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Madan	2017	8.0%	36.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Madaribah	2000	49.0%	68.3%	31.4%
Yemen	Wa Al Arah				
Yemen	Al Madaribah	2017	44.6%	63.6%	28.0%
Yemen	Wa Al Arah				
Yemen	Al Maflahy	2000	19.9%	46.2%	0.4%
Yemen	Al Maflahy	2017	15.2%	39.5%	0.1%
Yemen	Al Maghrabah	2000	13.3%	28.1%	5.7%
Yemen	Al Maghrabah	2017	4.3%	12.0%	1.1%
Yemen	Al Ma-	2000	15.6%	19.7%	12.2%
Yemen	habishah				
Yemen	Al Ma-	2017	3.0%	4.4%	2.0%
Yemen	habishah				

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Mahfad	2000	49.7%	73.7%	27.3%
Yemen	Al Mahfad	2017	43.6%	67.5%	21.3%
Yemen	Al Mahwait	2000	70.4%	76.6%	65.7%
Yemen	Al Mahwait	2017	45.6%	50.9%	41.7%
Yemen	Al Mahwait City	2000	92.2%	93.4%	91.0%
Yemen	Al Mahwait City	2017	69.1%	72.8%	64.8%
Yemen	Al Makhadir	2000	12.7%	34.3%	2.9%
Yemen	Al Makhadir	2017	5.9%	18.4%	0.7%
Yemen	Al Malagim	2000	49.4%	74.5%	22.1%
Yemen	Al Malagim	2017	37.7%	65.6%	10.8%
Yemen	Al Manar	2000	42.7%	63.3%	26.8%
Yemen	Al Manar	2017	28.5%	49.9%	14.3%
Yemen	Al Mansura	2000	99.1%	99.2%	98.9%
Yemen	Al Mansura	2017	94.0%	94.9%	93.0%
Yemen	Al Mansuriyah	2000	49.7%	85.1%	21.3%
Yemen	Al Mansuriyah	2017	40.7%	72.7%	13.0%
Yemen	Al Maqatirah	2000	31.6%	49.5%	13.6%
Yemen	Al Maqatirah	2017	23.3%	41.8%	7.9%
Yemen	Al Marawi'ah	2000	58.7%	89.8%	30.9%
Yemen	Al Marawi'ah	2017	50.2%	87.0%	21.9%
Yemen	Al Mashannah	2000	74.4%	75.8%	73.0%
Yemen	Al Mashannah	2017	29.9%	31.9%	27.8%
Yemen	Al Masilah	2000	52.0%	70.1%	33.2%
Yemen	Al Masilah	2017	45.8%	64.9%	25.8%
Yemen	Al Maslub	2000	26.8%	58.8%	3.0%
Yemen	Al Maslub	2017	22.4%	50.9%	1.1%
Yemen	Al Matammah	2000	54.1%	81.5%	20.0%
Yemen	Al Matammah	2017	46.3%	75.5%	15.7%
Yemen	Al Maton	2000	26.9%	45.4%	8.5%
Yemen	Al Maton	2017	17.9%	32.6%	2.7%
Yemen	Al Mawasit	2000	34.4%	51.7%	31.5%
Yemen	Al Mawasit	2017	12.5%	23.6%	10.8%
Yemen	Al Miftah	2000	61.3%	64.9%	47.9%
Yemen	Al Miftah	2017	58.7%	62.9%	18.5%
Yemen	Al Mighlaf	2000	70.2%	83.8%	41.8%
Yemen	Al Mighlaf	2017	57.4%	74.0%	33.5%
Yemen	Al Milah	2000	46.9%	77.1%	23.9%
Yemen	Al Milah	2017	39.8%	70.6%	16.4%
Yemen	Al Mina	2000	45.3%	99.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mina	2017	34.8%	99.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Misrakh	2000	11.9%	13.5%	10.3%
Yemen	Al Misrakh	2017	2.6%	3.0%	2.2%
Yemen	Al Mualla	2000	92.0%	93.7%	90.4%
Yemen	Al Mualla	2017	66.8%	71.8%	62.8%
Yemen	Al Mudhaffar	2000	40.3%	44.2%	36.7%
Yemen	Al Mudhaffar	2017	8.9%	10.1%	8.0%
Yemen	Al Mukalla	2000	35.0%	63.4%	10.6%
Yemen	Al Mukalla	2017	30.9%	61.4%	7.9%
Yemen	Al Mukalla City	2000	37.1%	81.9%	1.9%
Yemen	Al Mukalla City	2017	32.0%	77.4%	0.6%
Yemen	Al Munirah	2000	45.8%	76.0%	19.6%
Yemen	Al Munirah	2017	37.3%	68.5%	11.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Musaymir	2000	53.2%	90.0%	21.5%
Yemen	Al Musaymir	2017	48.2%	85.1%	18.6%
Yemen	Al Qabbaytah	2000	47.7%	73.7%	23.0%
Yemen	Al Qabbaytah	2017	41.5%	66.9%	19.0%
Yemen	Al Qaf	2000	45.8%	57.6%	33.7%
Yemen	Al Qaf	2017	41.1%	54.2%	29.1%
Yemen	Al Qaflah	2000	40.6%	64.3%	16.1%
Yemen	Al Qaflah	2017	33.2%	56.9%	9.9%
Yemen	Al Qafr	2000	24.1%	47.4%	4.8%
Yemen	Al Qafr	2017	17.8%	43.4%	1.1%
Yemen	Al Qahirah	2000	42.3%	46.7%	38.4%
Yemen	Al Qahirah	2017	9.4%	11.2%	8.0%
Yemen	Al Qanawis	2000	66.1%	92.9%	36.3%
Yemen	Al Qanawis	2017	62.1%	87.8%	31.6%
Yemen	Al Qatn	2000	41.6%	72.8%	13.6%
Yemen	Al Qatn	2017	35.1%	65.8%	9.9%
Yemen	Al Quraishyah	2000	51.6%	69.8%	34.3%
Yemen	Al Quraishyah	2017	38.2%	56.6%	20.5%
Yemen	Al Talh	2000	45.2%	69.3%	21.1%
Yemen	Al Talh	2017	40.0%	64.0%	16.7%
Yemen	Al Udayn	2000	43.6%	58.6%	26.7%
Yemen	Al Udayn	2017	22.3%	42.7%	7.9%
Yemen	Al Wade'a	2000	45.6%	81.4%	10.6%
Yemen	Al Wade'a	2017	40.6%	74.3%	6.9%
Yemen	Al Wahdah	2000	38.0%	40.2%	35.7%
Yemen	Al Wahdah	2017	7.8%	8.4%	7.3%
Yemen	Al Wazi'iyah	2000	55.6%	93.7%	24.1%
Yemen	Al Wazi'iyah	2017	49.4%	89.9%	18.0%
Yemen	Alluheyah	2000	29.8%	52.1%	12.9%
Yemen	Alluheyah	2017	23.9%	45.1%	7.9%
Yemen	Amd	2000	48.4%	85.1%	15.5%
Yemen	Amd	2017	42.1%	82.2%	9.4%
Yemen	Amran	2000	33.5%	43.6%	21.7%
Yemen	Amran	2017	18.8%	43.6%	3.9%
Yemen	An Nadirah	2000	49.1%	80.4%	25.8%
Yemen	An Nadirah	2017	33.9%	71.3%	12.2%
Yemen	Anss	2000	38.5%	67.5%	14.3%
Yemen	Anss	2017	29.6%	59.4%	8.2%
Yemen	Ar Radmah	2000	28.0%	65.7%	1.3%
Yemen	Ar Radmah	2017	20.7%	56.8%	0.4%
Yemen	Ar Rawdah	2000	45.7%	75.4%	16.2%
Yemen	Ar Rawdah	2017	40.1%	72.0%	11.0%
Yemen	Ar Raydah	2000	45.3%	69.1%	19.6%
Yemen	Ar Raydah	2017	39.1%	67.2%	14.8%
Yemen	Ar Rujum	2000	51.7%	58.1%	47.3%
Yemen	Ar Rujum	2017	28.0%	33.3%	24.5%
Yemen	Ar Ryashyyah	2000	39.6%	50.4%	32.6%
Yemen	Ar Ryashyyah	2017	36.7%	48.6%	28.9%
Yemen	Arhab	2000	23.6%	44.8%	5.8%
Yemen	Arhab	2017	14.5%	28.5%	2.9%
Yemen	Arma	2000	43.3%	69.5%	18.8%
Yemen	Arma	2017	38.5%	63.6%	15.6%
Yemen	As Sabain	2000	31.3%	33.7%	29.2%
Yemen	As Sabain	2017	6.0%	6.6%	5.5%
Yemen	As Sabrah	2000	40.2%	51.8%	33.1%
Yemen	As Sabrah	2017	38.8%	52.2%	28.9%
Yemen	As Saddah	2000	43.8%	98.2%	0.1%
Yemen	As Saddah	2017	35.0%	92.2%	0.0%
Yemen	As Safra	2000	44.5%	55.5%	34.8%
Yemen	As Safra	2017	30.5%	41.7%	20.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	As Said	2000	48.3%	79.8%	16.8%
Yemen	As Said	2017	42.4%	77.1%	11.5%
Yemen	As Salafiyah	2000	23.1%	45.1%	5.3%
Yemen	As Salafiyah	2017	17.4%	39.7%	1.3%
Yemen	As Salif	2000	43.7%	97.8%	0.3%
Yemen	As Salif	2017	39.0%	92.2%	0.2%
Yemen	As Sawadiyah	2000	22.8%	47.8%	4.9%
Yemen	As Sawadiyah	2017	14.9%	37.9%	2.0%
Yemen	As Sawd	2000	3.1%	17.3%	0.0%
Yemen	As Sawd	2017	2.1%	16.0%	0.0%
Yemen	As Sawm	2000	44.4%	69.4%	24.1%
Yemen	As Sawm	2017	40.3%	65.1%	20.8%
Yemen	As Sawma'ah	2000	58.1%	84.5%	32.7%
Yemen	As Sawma'ah	2017	46.0%	74.2%	20.3%
Yemen	As Sayyani	2000	74.3%	76.2%	69.5%
Yemen	As Sayyani	2017	66.1%	70.1%	57.8%
Yemen	As Silw	2000	21.1%	47.8%	6.8%
Yemen	As Silw	2017	10.8%	30.5%	1.3%
Yemen	As Sudah	2000	5.1%	27.2%	0.1%
Yemen	As Sudah	2017	2.3%	13.1%	0.0%
Yemen	As Sukhnah	2000	44.1%	83.2%	13.1%
Yemen	As Sukhnah	2017	35.0%	69.0%	8.8%
Yemen	Ash Sha'ir	2000	70.1%	93.4%	43.2%
Yemen	Ash Sha'ir	2017	48.8%	76.2%	20.2%
Yemen	Ash Shaghadi- rah	2000	10.5%	13.6%	8.0%
Yemen	Ash Shaghadi- rah	2017	5.1%	6.2%	3.7%
Yemen	Ash Shahil	2000	61.4%	67.7%	56.1%
Yemen	Ash Shahil	2017	26.4%	32.1%	21.1%
Yemen	Ash Shaikh Outhman	2000	80.8%	96.7%	68.0%
Yemen	Ash Shaikh Outhman	2017	71.9%	85.7%	66.9%
Yemen	Ash Shamay- atayn	2000	24.2%	39.2%	10.6%
Yemen	Ash Shamay- atayn	2017	17.0%	32.3%	5.4%
Yemen	Ash Sharyah	2000	41.7%	75.0%	10.8%
Yemen	Ash Sharyah	2017	36.6%	71.3%	7.5%
Yemen	Ash Shihr	2000	44.8%	70.2%	15.7%
Yemen	Ash Shihr	2017	33.8%	61.9%	11.9%
Yemen	Ash Shu'ayb	2000	18.3%	41.6%	0.4%
Yemen	Ash Shu'ayb	2017	11.7%	32.5%	0.1%
Yemen	Aslem	2000	8.3%	16.6%	0.9%
Yemen	Aslem	2017	5.8%	11.9%	0.1%
Yemen	Assafi'yah	2000	33.1%	34.6%	31.5%
Yemen	Assafi'yah	2017	6.4%	7.0%	5.9%
Yemen	At Ta'iziyah	2000	28.0%	34.9%	22.2%
Yemen	At Ta'iziyah	2017	11.8%	17.0%	7.4%
Yemen	At Taffah	2000	50.6%	72.5%	28.0%
Yemen	At Taffah	2017	45.3%	65.3%	25.6%
Yemen	At Tahrir	2000	38.9%	41.1%	36.7%
Yemen	At Tahrir	2017	8.0%	8.7%	7.5%
Yemen	At Tawilah	2000	42.6%	45.3%	39.6%
Yemen	At Tawilah	2017	15.7%	17.9%	13.6%
Yemen	At Tuhayat	2000	47.9%	82.7%	18.2%
Yemen	At Tuhayat	2017	38.7%	76.8%	10.7%
Yemen	Ataq	2000	46.4%	78.5%	14.3%
Yemen	Ataq	2017	35.4%	67.4%	9.1%
Yemen	Ath'thaorah	2000	44.5%	46.9%	41.8%
Yemen	Ath'thaorah	2017	10.0%	10.8%	9.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Attawahi	2000	95.7%	96.4%	95.1%
Yemen	Attawahi	2017	81.3%	83.5%	79.6%
Yemen	Attyal	2000	53.4%	66.2%	39.5%
Yemen	Attyal	2017	58.7%	68.8%	47.3%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2000	13.8%	44.5%	0.3%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2000	37.8%	51.2%	24.8%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2017	8.1%	26.5%	0.1%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2017	22.8%	44.2%	12.0%
Yemen	Az Zaydiyah	2000	55.7%	86.1%	29.7%
Yemen	Az Zaydiyah	2017	49.2%	75.8%	25.4%
Yemen	Az Zuhrah	2000	42.5%	65.1%	23.7%
Yemen	Az Zuhrah	2017	31.8%	55.8%	13.7%
Yemen	Az'zal	2000	33.3%	34.8%	31.7%
Yemen	Az'zal	2017	6.5%	7.0%	6.0%
Yemen	Ba'dan	2000	39.7%	59.5%	22.5%
Yemen	Ba'dan	2017	24.4%	45.6%	9.3%
Yemen	Bajil	2000	39.7%	58.2%	19.7%
Yemen	Bajil	2017	32.1%	53.1%	12.8%
Yemen	Bakil Al Mir	2000	47.0%	76.6%	16.9%
Yemen	Bakil Al Mir	2017	39.9%	72.9%	11.4%
Yemen	Bani Al Awam	2000	55.7%	58.2%	53.3%
Yemen	Bani Al Awam	2017	35.8%	40.5%	30.6%
Yemen	Bani Al Harith	2000	40.2%	45.5%	36.0%
Yemen	Bani Al Harith	2017	14.1%	18.6%	11.4%
Yemen	Bani Dhabyan	2000	46.6%	68.7%	21.9%
Yemen	Bani Dhabyan	2017	41.7%	63.6%	17.3%
Yemen	Bani Hushaysh	2000	40.0%	59.6%	26.5%
Yemen	Bani Hushaysh	2017	18.4%	39.2%	6.9%
Yemen	Bani Matar	2000	20.5%	27.2%	14.8%
Yemen	Bani Matar	2017	8.5%	14.2%	4.2%
Yemen	Bani Qa'is	2000	18.1%	39.6%	0.5%
Yemen	Bani Qa'is	2017	14.3%	33.4%	0.1%
Yemen	Bani Sa'd	2000	13.3%	29.3%	5.8%
Yemen	Bani Sa'd	2017	6.3%	19.5%	1.5%
Yemen	Bani Suraim	2000	52.9%	79.2%	20.4%
Yemen	Bani Suraim	2017	42.2%	70.3%	13.8%
Yemen	Baqim	2000	38.2%	68.9%	13.0%
Yemen	Baqim	2017	32.1%	61.4%	11.1%
Yemen	Bart Al Anan	2000	37.3%	62.2%	13.4%
Yemen	Bart Al Anan	2017	28.2%	50.3%	9.4%
Yemen	Bayhan	2000	37.2%	74.1%	8.6%
Yemen	Bayhan	2017	30.1%	72.5%	3.9%
Yemen	Bayt Al Faqiah	2000	57.9%	78.1%	40.3%
Yemen	Bayt Al Faqiah	2017	51.4%	69.7%	35.5%
Yemen	Bidbadah	2000	15.3%	40.4%	1.2%
Yemen	Bidbadah	2017	9.6%	31.5%	0.2%
Yemen	Bilad Ar Rus	2000	59.3%	84.7%	31.4%
Yemen	Bilad Ar Rus	2017	46.3%	72.8%	13.7%
Yemen	Bilad At Ta'am	2000	19.5%	37.1%	1.6%
Yemen	Bilad At Ta'am	2017	17.4%	35.3%	0.4%
Yemen	Brom Mayfa	2000	40.5%	67.2%	15.5%
Yemen	Brom Mayfa	2017	36.0%	66.7%	9.9%
Yemen	Bura	2000	9.7%	33.7%	0.5%
Yemen	Bura	2017	5.0%	22.9%	0.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Craiter	2000	92.9%	94.0%	91.8%
Yemen	Craiter	2017	69.0%	72.7%	66.3%
Yemen	Damt	2000	30.7%	46.7%	19.9%
Yemen	Damt	2017	19.2%	33.8%	9.4%
Yemen	Dar Sad	2000	81.5%	95.0%	69.0%
Yemen	Dar Sad	2017	60.2%	79.9%	46.7%
Yemen	Daw'an	2000	45.5%	75.7%	19.8%
Yemen	Daw'an	2017	39.9%	72.1%	14.2%
Yemen	Dawran Aness	2000	35.8%	51.2%	20.5%
Yemen	Dawran Aness	2017	20.7%	32.3%	10.2%
Yemen	Dhamar City	2000	61.2%	78.7%	45.0%
Yemen	Dhamar City	2017	54.4%	75.6%	39.2%
Yemen	Dhar	2000	45.3%	75.5%	12.6%
Yemen	Dhar	2017	40.1%	68.8%	11.0%
Yemen	Dhi As Sufal	2000	55.7%	58.2%	53.3%
Yemen	Dhi As Sufal	2017	43.5%	45.9%	40.7%
Yemen	Dhi Bin	2000	37.3%	70.1%	11.3%
Yemen	Dhi Bin	2017	25.9%	57.5%	3.4%
Yemen	Dhi Na'im	2000	56.1%	64.1%	42.8%
Yemen	Dhi Na'im	2017	28.1%	40.2%	15.3%
Yemen	Dhubab	2000	41.2%	76.7%	13.6%
Yemen	Dhubab	2017	35.5%	69.8%	11.7%
Yemen	Dimnat	2000	19.7%	50.6%	0.8%
Yemen	Dimnat	2017	15.7%	51.4%	0.2%
Yemen	Far Al Udayn	2000	24.7%	50.6%	2.4%
Yemen	Far Al Udayn	2017	18.5%	41.4%	0.5%
Yemen	Ghamr	2000	28.7%	31.0%	21.2%
Yemen	Ghamr	2017	23.1%	25.5%	15.5%
Yemen	Ghayl Ba	2000	46.9%	82.1%	15.4%
Yemen	Ghayl Ba	2017	37.8%	73.9%	7.6%
Yemen	Ghayl Bin	2000	48.4%	72.3%	24.1%
Yemen	Ghayl Bin	2017	43.9%	67.4%	20.9%
Yemen	Habban	2000	44.4%	80.8%	9.4%
Yemen	Habban	2017	39.4%	77.5%	6.3%
Yemen	Habil Jabr	2000	46.9%	90.7%	14.3%
Yemen	Habil Jabr	2017	39.3%	81.7%	9.3%
Yemen	Habur Zu-	2000	18.2%	62.4%	0.3%
Yemen	Habur Zu-	2017	14.2%	57.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Hagr As Sai'ar	2000	45.9%	70.4%	22.3%
Yemen	Hagr As Sai'ar	2017	41.3%	65.6%	20.3%
Yemen	Hajjah	2000	19.3%	24.2%	14.8%
Yemen	Hajjah	2017	6.3%	7.6%	5.3%
Yemen	Hajjah City	2000	84.6%	87.4%	81.7%
Yemen	Hajjah City	2017	51.9%	56.5%	47.2%
Yemen	Hajr	2000	44.4%	67.4%	22.8%
Yemen	Hajr	2017	38.5%	61.0%	17.0%
Yemen	Halimayn	2000	38.7%	81.5%	1.2%
Yemen	Halimayn	2017	35.4%	82.1%	0.5%
Yemen	Hamdan	2000	33.7%	46.3%	21.0%
Yemen	Hamdan	2017	15.8%	30.9%	4.9%
Yemen	Harad	2000	51.6%	79.1%	21.6%
Yemen	Harad	2017	44.3%	73.9%	16.0%
Yemen	Harf Sufyan	2000	47.9%	69.2%	27.3%
Yemen	Harf Sufyan	2017	43.4%	64.7%	23.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Harib	2000	51.7%	75.5%	26.6%
Yemen	Harib	2017	36.2%	60.5%	13.9%
Yemen	Harib Al Qaramish	2000	45.0%	87.7%	10.1%
Yemen	Harib Al Qaramish	2017	31.8%	76.1%	2.5%
Yemen	Hat	2000	48.1%	58.3%	37.1%
Yemen	Hat	2017	43.5%	55.7%	32.2%
Yemen	Hatib	2000	48.1%	87.3%	11.4%
Yemen	Hatib	2017	43.3%	81.6%	9.1%
Yemen	Hawf	2000	47.8%	83.0%	19.2%
Yemen	Hawf	2017	47.3%	76.7%	20.4%
Yemen	Haydan	2000	22.6%	37.3%	7.8%
Yemen	Haydan	2017	17.8%	38.2%	2.0%
Yemen	Hayfan	2000	28.9%	49.7%	12.2%
Yemen	Hayfan	2017	16.8%	40.6%	3.1%
Yemen	Hayran	2000	41.3%	99.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Hayran	2017	36.0%	99.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Hays	2000	60.5%	95.7%	23.2%
Yemen	Hays	2017	53.9%	91.8%	19.4%
Yemen	Hazm Al Udayn	2000	50.0%	74.5%	29.3%
Yemen	Hazm Al Udayn	2017	30.7%	52.5%	14.3%
Yemen	Hidaybu	2000	43.3%	71.1%	18.2%
Yemen	Hidaybu	2017	37.2%	64.6%	14.5%
Yemen	Hubaysh	2000	36.9%	46.1%	29.2%
Yemen	Hubaysh	2017	25.0%	38.3%	15.9%
Yemen	Hufash	2000	3.4%	6.8%	2.0%
Yemen	Hufash	2017	0.7%	3.8%	0.3%
Yemen	Huraidhah	2000	39.3%	73.7%	8.1%
Yemen	Huraidhah	2017	34.6%	69.3%	4.9%
Yemen	Huswain	2000	34.3%	61.1%	10.1%
Yemen	Huswain	2017	31.4%	62.3%	6.9%
Yemen	Huth	2000	36.8%	82.5%	1.9%
Yemen	Huth	2017	32.0%	81.1%	0.4%
Yemen	Ibb	2000	59.7%	64.0%	55.9%
Yemen	Ibb	2017	24.3%	28.8%	21.0%
Yemen	Iyal Surayh	2000	34.9%	45.6%	21.7%
Yemen	Iyal Surayh	2017	13.4%	28.0%	6.2%
Yemen	Jabal Ash sharq	2000	35.4%	56.5%	16.4%
Yemen	Jabal Ash sharq	2017	25.3%	48.9%	6.6%
Yemen	Jabal Habashy	2000	23.8%	39.2%	13.4%
Yemen	Jabal Habashy	2017	10.0%	22.7%	2.5%
Yemen	Jabal Iyal Yazid	2000	22.4%	41.9%	5.6%
Yemen	Jabal Iyal Yazid	2017	13.9%	35.9%	0.9%
Yemen	Jabal Murad	2000	36.7%	64.3%	13.7%
Yemen	Jabal Murad	2017	30.4%	54.8%	7.4%
Yemen	Jabal Ra's	2000	45.8%	81.7%	8.9%
Yemen	Jabal Ra's	2017	42.2%	78.6%	6.4%
Yemen	Jahaf	2000	34.3%	39.5%	33.1%
Yemen	Jahaf	2017	29.8%	30.8%	28.2%
Yemen	Jahran	2000	55.5%	74.1%	36.8%
Yemen	Jahran	2017	38.4%	62.3%	19.8%
Yemen	Jardan	2000	48.2%	66.5%	32.3%
Yemen	Jardan	2017	40.8%	61.8%	20.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Jayshan	2000	44.5%	84.0%	12.0%
Yemen	Jayshan	2017	38.7%	80.6%	8.4%
Yemen	Jiblah	2000	76.9%	78.5%	74.7%
Yemen	Jiblah	2017	44.9%	47.8%	39.4%
Yemen	Jihanah	2000	52.6%	74.5%	33.3%
Yemen	Jihanah	2017	57.1%	80.2%	26.0%
Yemen	Juban	2000	54.6%	80.9%	30.5%
Yemen	Juban	2017	47.2%	75.2%	24.7%
Yemen	Kamaran	2000	43.1%	99.4%	0.1%
Yemen	Kamaran	2017	39.1%	96.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	2000	45.5%	60.5%	30.4%
Yemen	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	2017	40.3%	55.3%	25.2%
Yemen	Khamir	2000	24.8%	33.9%	18.9%
Yemen	Khamir	2017	16.8%	23.8%	12.0%
Yemen	Khanfir	2000	36.9%	66.8%	13.8%
Yemen	Khanfir	2017	32.5%	57.5%	13.2%
Yemen	Kharab Al Marashi	2000	24.8%	54.3%	2.6%
Yemen	Kharab Al Marashi	2017	20.0%	45.6%	0.9%
Yemen	Kharif	2000	11.9%	34.9%	2.1%
Yemen	Kharif	2017	4.8%	21.5%	0.3%
Yemen	Khayran Al Muharraq	2000	5.5%	15.6%	0.1%
Yemen	Khayran Al Muharraq	2017	3.9%	11.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Khur Maksar	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Yemen	Khur Maksar	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.1%
Yemen	Khwlan	2000	30.5%	60.0%	7.0%
Yemen	Khwlan	2017	21.7%	52.0%	2.1%
Yemen	Kitaf wa Al Boqe'e	2000	48.6%	69.6%	26.1%
Yemen	Kitaf wa Al Boqe'e	2017	42.9%	60.3%	24.9%
Yemen	Ku'aydinah	2000	27.3%	49.5%	13.1%
Yemen	Ku'aydinah	2017	14.5%	34.9%	3.1%
Yemen	Kuhlan Affar	2000	10.6%	20.1%	6.2%
Yemen	Kuhlan Affar	2017	4.2%	6.6%	2.5%
Yemen	Kuhlan Ash Sharaf	2000	56.0%	62.7%	23.1%
Yemen	Kuhlan Ash Sharaf	2017	51.7%	62.3%	9.1%
Yemen	Kushar	2000	25.0%	59.7%	0.9%
Yemen	Kushar	2017	18.7%	49.8%	0.2%
Yemen	Kusmah	2000	6.0%	6.6%	5.4%
Yemen	Kusmah	2017	3.3%	3.6%	3.1%
Yemen	Lawdar	2000	38.5%	59.7%	16.6%
Yemen	Lawdar	2017	33.8%	55.5%	12.5%
Yemen	Ma'ain	2000	33.5%	36.9%	30.7%
Yemen	Ma'ain	2017	7.4%	8.6%	6.5%
Yemen	Mabyan	2000	74.3%	85.4%	63.1%
Yemen	Mabyan	2017	61.6%	74.6%	47.3%
Yemen	Maghirib Ans	2000	19.6%	37.4%	4.4%
Yemen	Maghirib Ans	2017	9.9%	24.8%	0.8%
Yemen	Mahliyah	2000	44.3%	78.5%	9.7%
Yemen	Mahliyah	2017	38.3%	73.9%	7.7%
Yemen	Majz	2000	39.3%	64.2%	16.4%
Yemen	Majz	2017	29.4%	55.0%	8.6%
Yemen	Majzar	2000	36.2%	65.3%	11.0%
Yemen	Majzar	2017	29.8%	58.2%	6.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Man'ar	2000	47.3%	61.9%	31.9%
Yemen	Man'ar	2017	42.1%	54.2%	29.0%
Yemen	Manakhah	2000	29.1%	40.4%	18.0%
Yemen	Manakhah	2017	21.7%	32.1%	11.9%
Yemen	Maqbanah	2000	43.8%	67.3%	24.1%
Yemen	Maqbanah	2017	35.7%	58.5%	15.2%
Yemen	Marib	2000	57.9%	73.8%	41.1%
Yemen	Marib	2017	43.1%	57.1%	30.8%
Yemen	Marib City	2000	58.6%	92.0%	30.1%
Yemen	Marib City	2017	39.5%	72.2%	14.6%
Yemen	Mashra'a Wa Hadnan	2000	30.3%	34.2%	27.4%
Yemen	Mashra'a Wa Hadnan	2017	6.0%	7.1%	5.2%
Yemen	Maswar	2000	13.5%	15.5%	11.7%
Yemen	Maswar	2017	2.7%	3.3%	2.2%
Yemen	Maswarah	2000	47.0%	81.2%	16.0%
Yemen	Maswarah	2017	42.7%	79.2%	11.8%
Yemen	Mawiyah	2000	14.8%	33.9%	0.7%
Yemen	Mawiyah	2017	9.4%	24.2%	0.2%
Yemen	Mawza	2000	40.4%	77.5%	5.2%
Yemen	Mawza	2017	34.2%	70.0%	5.1%
Yemen	Mayfa'a	2000	73.4%	87.4%	58.7%
Yemen	Mayfa'a	2017	71.8%	86.6%	57.2%
Yemen	Mayfa'at Anss	2000	48.8%	83.8%	14.2%
Yemen	Mayfa'at Anss	2017	39.6%	75.9%	7.5%
Yemen	Mazhar	2000	15.8%	21.3%	10.3%
Yemen	Mazhar	2017	5.6%	8.8%	3.0%
Yemen	Medghal	2000	46.1%	86.2%	7.9%
Yemen	Medghal	2017	38.5%	81.4%	5.0%
Yemen	Merkhah Al Ulya	2000	38.4%	59.9%	14.4%
Yemen	Merkhah Al Ulya	2017	28.3%	49.0%	7.2%
Yemen	Merkhah As Sufla	2000	40.7%	59.3%	24.0%
Yemen	Merkhah As Sufla	2017	35.0%	53.3%	18.7%
Yemen	Midi	2000	36.6%	73.1%	5.7%
Yemen	Midi	2017	30.7%	61.8%	7.1%
Yemen	Milhan	2000	3.6%	12.7%	0.3%
Yemen	Milhan	2017	2.3%	10.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Monabbih	2000	32.2%	48.0%	18.5%
Yemen	Monabbih	2017	26.1%	41.8%	11.7%
Yemen	Mudhaykhirah	2000	21.6%	26.7%	17.2%
Yemen	Mudhaykhirah	2017	5.6%	7.6%	4.0%
Yemen	Mudiyah	2000	44.6%	75.8%	15.2%
Yemen	Mudiyah	2017	40.5%	71.2%	11.0%
Yemen	Mukayras	2000	45.0%	75.7%	20.2%
Yemen	Mukayras	2017	41.2%	74.5%	17.9%
Yemen	Mustaba	2000	34.1%	61.2%	3.7%
Yemen	Mustaba	2017	26.3%	53.3%	0.9%
Yemen	Na'man	2000	37.1%	85.3%	1.1%
Yemen	Na'man	2017	31.0%	77.2%	0.2%
Yemen	Najrah	2000	21.3%	24.0%	19.8%
Yemen	Najrah	2017	17.6%	18.3%	16.8%
Yemen	Nati'	2000	38.8%	75.0%	5.5%
Yemen	Nati'	2017	34.3%	71.0%	2.6%
Yemen	Nihm	2000	45.2%	73.8%	18.3%
Yemen	Nihm	2017	40.1%	70.3%	14.7%
Yemen	Nisab	2000	43.1%	70.3%	18.5%
Yemen	Nisab	2017	37.4%	65.6%	13.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Old City	2000	34.0%	35.4%	32.6%
Yemen	Old City	2017	6.6%	7.1%	6.2%
Yemen	Qa'atabah	2000	55.9%	59.8%	51.1%
Yemen	Qa'atabah	2017	39.4%	44.9%	31.1%
Yemen	Qafil Shamer	2000	15.2%	20.5%	11.7%
Yemen	Qafil Shamer	2017	3.0%	4.3%	2.1%
Yemen	Qarah	2000	33.8%	68.5%	4.5%
Yemen	Qarah	2017	30.0%	64.7%	2.4%
Yemen	Qatabir	2000	39.0%	93.2%	2.2%
Yemen	Qatabir	2017	33.3%	87.0%	0.5%
Yemen	Qishn	2000	27.2%	60.8%	8.5%
Yemen	Qishn	2017	23.1%	59.8%	5.9%
Yemen	Qulensya Wa Abd Al Kuri	2000	44.5%	75.1%	17.8%
Yemen	Qulensya Wa Abd Al Kuri	2017	40.2%	74.3%	13.8%
Yemen	Rada'	2000	60.7%	76.9%	44.4%
Yemen	Rada'	2017	33.1%	49.3%	20.5%
Yemen	Radfan	2000	47.6%	74.5%	23.8%
Yemen	Radfan	2017	41.2%	68.7%	18.8%
Yemen	Radman Al Awad	2000	36.7%	60.9%	20.0%
Yemen	Radman Al Awad	2017	21.3%	44.6%	9.0%
Yemen	Raghwan	2000	40.7%	76.2%	9.6%
Yemen	Raghwan	2017	34.1%	69.9%	6.8%
Yemen	Rahabah	2000	51.4%	83.9%	17.7%
Yemen	Rahabah	2017	47.3%	81.7%	14.2%
Yemen	Rajuzah	2000	39.8%	70.3%	9.9%
Yemen	Rajuzah	2017	33.2%	61.4%	7.1%
Yemen	Rakhyah	2000	42.0%	81.1%	5.2%
Yemen	Rakhyah	2017	37.5%	78.7%	5.0%
Yemen	Rasad	2000	32.7%	67.5%	5.5%
Yemen	Rasad	2017	24.6%	55.2%	3.8%
Yemen	Raydah	2000	17.6%	45.1%	0.5%
Yemen	Raydah	2017	9.5%	34.6%	0.1%
Yemen	Razih	2000	15.0%	28.9%	4.5%
Yemen	Razih	2017	9.9%	20.6%	2.8%
Yemen	Rudum	2000	48.5%	66.6%	30.2%
Yemen	Rudum	2017	43.9%	63.4%	26.2%
Yemen	Rumah	2000	48.1%	61.5%	37.0%
Yemen	Rumah	2017	43.8%	55.4%	31.8%
Yemen	Sa'adah	2000	55.3%	58.5%	52.0%
Yemen	Sa'adah	2017	19.0%	21.8%	16.2%
Yemen	Sa'fan	2000	10.9%	29.9%	0.1%
Yemen	Sa'fan	2017	7.5%	27.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Sabah	2000	41.4%	79.1%	10.5%
Yemen	Sabah	2017	34.5%	68.4%	10.3%
Yemen	Sabir Al Mawadim	2000	23.8%	26.8%	21.4%
Yemen	Sabir Al Mawadim	2017	4.7%	5.8%	3.9%
Yemen	Sah	2000	45.0%	75.7%	21.8%
Yemen	Sah	2017	39.6%	71.2%	18.9%
Yemen	Sahar	2000	30.8%	42.1%	21.9%
Yemen	Sahar	2017	20.8%	32.2%	12.8%
Yemen	Salh	2000	35.3%	39.7%	32.0%
Yemen	Salh	2017	7.4%	9.0%	6.3%
Yemen	Sama	2000	4.0%	11.2%	2.0%
Yemen	Sama	2017	1.0%	4.9%	0.3%
Yemen	Sanhan	2000	30.6%	37.1%	25.2%
Yemen	Sanhan	2017	11.4%	20.1%	6.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Saqayn	2000	21.8%	42.0%	4.6%
Yemen	Saqayn	2017	13.6%	30.9%	1.1%
Yemen	Sarar	2000	41.7%	67.0%	16.2%
Yemen	Sarar	2017	34.7%	58.2%	11.3%
Yemen	Sayhut	2000	60.2%	83.6%	37.4%
Yemen	Sayhut	2017	56.7%	82.9%	29.9%
Yemen	Sayun	2000	57.3%	93.5%	21.0%
Yemen	Sayun	2017	50.8%	89.7%	18.2%
Yemen	Shada'a	2000	19.4%	54.6%	0.7%
Yemen	Shada'a	2017	14.7%	49.4%	0.1%
Yemen	Shahan	2000	46.0%	61.6%	29.3%
Yemen	Shahan	2017	41.3%	57.8%	24.5%
Yemen	Shaharah	2000	26.7%	36.5%	21.7%
Yemen	Shaharah	2017	20.8%	28.2%	16.0%
Yemen	Shara'b Ar Rawnah	2000	14.1%	48.8%	0.5%
Yemen	Shara'b Ar Rawnah	2017	11.5%	42.9%	0.2%
Yemen	Shara'b As Salam	2000	4.2%	5.9%	2.7%
Yemen	Shara'b As Salam	2017	1.9%	4.1%	0.6%
Yemen	Sharas	2000	51.2%	55.2%	45.8%
Yemen	Sharas	2017	28.1%	36.2%	16.5%
Yemen	Shibam	2000	57.1%	93.6%	15.7%
Yemen	Shibam	2017	45.6%	85.7%	8.3%
Yemen	Shibam Kawkaban	2000	44.0%	47.9%	40.6%
Yemen	Shibam Kawkaban	2017	16.5%	18.6%	14.4%
Yemen	Shu'aub	2000	43.0%	44.4%	41.5%
Yemen	Shu'aub	2017	9.8%	10.6%	9.1%
Yemen	Sibah	2000	26.4%	54.1%	0.1%
Yemen	Sibah	2017	23.3%	52.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Sirwah	2000	43.1%	69.8%	18.6%
Yemen	Sirwah	2017	36.3%	63.6%	13.9%
Yemen	Suwayr	2000	21.4%	55.0%	1.9%
Yemen	Suwayr	2017	16.4%	49.2%	0.3%
Yemen	Tarim	2000	45.6%	76.5%	18.7%
Yemen	Tarim	2017	38.0%	68.9%	11.9%
Yemen	Thamud	2000	47.6%	58.2%	35.5%
Yemen	Thamud	2017	42.8%	54.5%	31.2%
Yemen	Thula	2000	10.7%	18.2%	5.5%
Yemen	Thula	2017	2.7%	7.5%	0.9%
Yemen	Tuban	2000	61.9%	80.5%	44.6%
Yemen	Tuban	2017	51.8%	73.9%	33.1%
Yemen	Tur Al Bahah	2000	42.0%	65.7%	18.1%
Yemen	Tur Al Bahah	2017	36.4%	63.0%	13.2%
Yemen	Usaylan	2000	44.2%	77.3%	12.4%
Yemen	Usaylan	2017	38.5%	72.2%	10.5%
Yemen	Utmah	2000	11.9%	23.4%	4.0%
Yemen	Utmah	2017	5.9%	14.5%	0.8%
Yemen	Wadhrah	2000	1.3%	11.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Wadhrah	2017	0.7%	10.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Wadi Al Ayn	2000	41.5%	72.0%	14.9%
Yemen	Wadi Al Ayn	2017	36.2%	66.4%	11.9%
Yemen	Wald Rabi'	2000	47.0%	77.5%	17.3%
Yemen	Wald Rabi'	2017	34.2%	63.5%	9.6%
Yemen	Washhah	2000	9.8%	22.5%	0.1%
Yemen	Washhah	2017	7.6%	19.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Wusab Al Ali	2000	16.8%	27.2%	9.9%
Yemen	Wusab Al Ali	2017	9.3%	17.8%	4.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Wusab As Safil	2000	25.2%	41.6%	9.8%
Yemen	Wusab As Safil	2017	18.4%	34.8%	4.4%
Yemen	Yabuth	2000	43.2%	73.0%	16.1%
Yemen	Yabuth	2017	38.4%	68.9%	11.9%
Yemen	Yafa'a	2000	41.6%	73.4%	11.0%
Yemen	Yafa'a	2017	31.2%	61.9%	3.3%
Yemen	Yahr	2000	38.9%	58.9%	21.0%
Yemen	Yahr	2017	31.9%	53.9%	15.3%
Yemen	Yarim	2000	32.8%	60.2%	10.7%
Yemen	Yarim	2017	19.9%	43.6%	3.6%
Yemen	Zabid	2000	53.2%	79.1%	29.4%
Yemen	Zabid	2017	39.4%	69.3%	16.7%
Yemen	Zamakh wa Manwakh	2000	43.8%	54.0%	34.1%
Yemen	Zamakh wa Manwakh	2017	38.7%	50.5%	28.9%
Yemen	Zingibar	2000	33.5%	98.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Zingibar	2017	29.5%	98.2%	0.0%
South Asia					
Bangladesh	Bagerhat	2000	25.0%	33.0%	18.1%
Bangladesh	Bagerhat	2017	7.5%	11.3%	4.3%
Bangladesh	Bandarban	2000	13.5%	23.4%	7.6%
Bangladesh	Bandarban	2017	2.6%	6.4%	0.6%
Bangladesh	Barguna	2000	15.2%	22.8%	8.0%
Bangladesh	Barguna	2017	2.2%	5.5%	0.5%
Bangladesh	Barisal	2000	13.7%	19.0%	10.1%
Bangladesh	Barisal	2017	1.6%	3.9%	0.5%
Bangladesh	Bhola	2000	12.0%	18.4%	6.6%
Bangladesh	Bhola	2017	1.7%	3.7%	0.4%
Bangladesh	Bogra	2000	24.2%	30.2%	19.2%
Bangladesh	Bogra	2017	4.9%	7.7%	2.9%
Bangladesh	Brahamanbaria	2000	17.3%	24.6%	12.0%
Bangladesh	Brahamanbaria	2017	2.5%	5.5%	1.1%
Bangladesh	Chandpur	2000	24.1%	33.5%	15.6%
Bangladesh	Chandpur	2017	7.0%	13.0%	2.9%
Bangladesh	Chittagong	2000	39.8%	43.3%	37.0%
Bangladesh	Chittagong	2017	8.4%	9.8%	7.1%
Bangladesh	Chuadanga	2000	22.2%	33.1%	15.0%
Bangladesh	Chuadanga	2017	4.2%	8.4%	2.0%
Bangladesh	Comilla	2000	15.2%	20.4%	10.7%
Bangladesh	Comilla	2017	1.8%	3.6%	0.8%
Bangladesh	Cox'S Bazar	2000	15.1%	25.3%	7.8%
Bangladesh	Cox'S Bazar	2017	2.7%	7.2%	0.8%
Bangladesh	Dhaka	2000	83.8%	85.0%	82.7%
Bangladesh	Dhaka	2017	44.1%	45.4%	42.7%
Bangladesh	Dinajpur	2000	18.9%	26.5%	13.8%
Bangladesh	Dinajpur	2017	2.9%	5.7%	1.1%
Bangladesh	Faridpur	2000	12.6%	21.4%	5.9%
Bangladesh	Faridpur	2017	2.2%	5.8%	0.5%
Bangladesh	Feni	2000	27.7%	37.9%	20.3%
Bangladesh	Feni	2017	5.8%	10.4%	3.0%
Bangladesh	Gaibandha	2000	16.8%	23.2%	11.1%
Bangladesh	Gaibandha	2017	5.0%	8.7%	2.8%
Bangladesh	Gazipur	2000	26.4%	33.6%	20.7%
Bangladesh	Gazipur	2017	7.3%	10.9%	5.2%
Bangladesh	Gopalganj	2000	16.9%	31.9%	7.7%
Bangladesh	Gopalganj	2017	3.8%	9.5%	0.7%
Bangladesh	Habiganj	2000	16.8%	22.3%	12.7%
Bangladesh	Habiganj	2017	4.3%	6.2%	2.9%
Bangladesh	Jamalpur	2000	12.8%	22.8%	5.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bangladesh	Jamalpur	2017	2.1%	7.2%	0.3%
Bangladesh	Jessore	2000	12.1%	18.9%	7.0%
Bangladesh	Jessore	2017	1.7%	4.2%	0.5%
Bangladesh	Jhalokati	2000	9.5%	16.9%	4.2%
Bangladesh	Jhalokati	2017	1.1%	3.6%	0.2%
Bangladesh	Jhenaidah	2000	18.4%	27.0%	11.1%
Bangladesh	Jhenaidah	2017	3.0%	7.8%	0.7%
Bangladesh	Joypurhat	2000	18.9%	33.5%	8.7%
Bangladesh	Joypurhat	2017	2.5%	9.2%	0.4%
Bangladesh	Khagrachhari	2000	11.1%	21.9%	4.4%
Bangladesh	Khagrachhari	2017	1.8%	5.8%	0.3%
Bangladesh	Khulna	2000	19.6%	23.6%	16.7%
Bangladesh	Khulna	2017	2.4%	3.7%	1.5%
Bangladesh	Kishoreganj	2000	17.6%	29.0%	9.4%
Bangladesh	Kishoreganj	2017	2.9%	6.6%	1.0%
Bangladesh	Kurigram	2000	14.3%	21.1%	9.3%
Bangladesh	Kurigram	2017	2.4%	4.7%	1.2%
Bangladesh	Kushtia	2000	16.5%	22.7%	10.9%
Bangladesh	Kushtia	2017	1.9%	3.9%	0.7%
Bangladesh	Lakshmipur	2000	21.2%	32.7%	13.9%
Bangladesh	Lakshmipur	2017	9.5%	13.9%	6.3%
Bangladesh	Lalmonirhat	2000	10.2%	18.7%	3.3%
Bangladesh	Lalmonirhat	2017	1.4%	4.9%	0.1%
Bangladesh	Madaripur	2000	14.9%	25.8%	6.8%
Bangladesh	Madaripur	2017	2.2%	6.6%	0.4%
Bangladesh	Magura	2000	10.7%	20.1%	3.4%
Bangladesh	Magura	2017	1.5%	5.4%	0.1%
Bangladesh	Manikganj	2000	23.5%	33.7%	15.7%
Bangladesh	Manikganj	2017	12.5%	16.9%	9.9%
Bangladesh	Maulvibazar	2000	20.1%	28.0%	14.2%
Bangladesh	Maulvibazar	2017	5.5%	8.5%	3.4%
Bangladesh	Meherpur	2000	25.0%	36.7%	16.9%
Bangladesh	Meherpur	2017	5.2%	11.5%	2.9%
Bangladesh	Munshiganj	2000	14.3%	26.4%	7.5%
Bangladesh	Munshiganj	2017	3.2%	6.3%	1.2%
Bangladesh	Mymensingh	2000	14.6%	20.5%	9.6%
Bangladesh	Mymensingh	2017	2.5%	5.3%	1.0%
Bangladesh	Naogaon	2000	21.1%	28.4%	14.5%
Bangladesh	Naogaon	2017	3.7%	6.8%	1.4%
Bangladesh	Narail	2000	19.4%	33.3%	10.5%
Bangladesh	Narail	2017	3.0%	8.5%	0.6%
Bangladesh	Narayanganj	2000	57.1%	64.0%	52.6%
Bangladesh	Narayanganj	2017	10.8%	12.0%	9.7%
Bangladesh	Narsingdi	2000	28.2%	36.9%	21.0%
Bangladesh	Narsingdi	2017	4.3%	8.0%	2.1%
Bangladesh	Natore	2000	19.5%	29.6%	10.8%
Bangladesh	Natore	2017	3.2%	6.7%	1.1%
Bangladesh	Nawabganj	2000	23.4%	33.7%	15.5%
Bangladesh	Nawabganj	2017	5.4%	9.6%	2.8%
Bangladesh	Netrakona	2000	23.2%	32.7%	15.9%
Bangladesh	Netrakona	2017	6.1%	9.8%	3.2%
Bangladesh	Nilphamari	2000	13.1%	19.4%	7.6%
Bangladesh	Nilphamari	2017	1.6%	4.1%	0.5%
Bangladesh	Noakhali	2000	16.5%	23.7%	11.1%
Bangladesh	Noakhali	2017	2.5%	5.5%	1.1%
Bangladesh	Pabna	2000	13.5%	22.4%	6.9%
Bangladesh	Pabna	2017	2.1%	5.4%	0.4%
Bangladesh	Panchagarh	2000	12.6%	22.9%	4.4%
Bangladesh	Panchagarh	2017	2.4%	7.0%	0.2%
Bangladesh	Patuakhali	2000	11.9%	18.9%	6.8%
Bangladesh	Patuakhali	2017	2.1%	5.5%	0.5%
Bangladesh	Pirojpur	2000	16.2%	26.3%	9.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bangladesh	Pirojpur	2017	3.9%	7.7%	1.9%
Bangladesh	Rajbari	2000	21.1%	34.9%	9.1%
Bangladesh	Rajbari	2017	4.7%	10.7%	0.6%
Bangladesh	Rajshahi	2000	29.2%	35.6%	22.1%
Bangladesh	Rajshahi	2017	5.5%	8.5%	3.3%
Bangladesh	Rangamati	2000	16.4%	26.0%	8.7%
Bangladesh	Rangamati	2017	2.7%	6.6%	0.7%
Bangladesh	Rangpur	2000	23.1%	30.1%	17.1%
Bangladesh	Rangpur	2017	5.0%	8.1%	2.3%
Bangladesh	Satkhira	2000	18.1%	26.2%	11.8%
Bangladesh	Satkhira	2017	3.7%	7.3%	1.5%
Bangladesh	Shariatpur	2000	12.7%	23.9%	5.3%
Bangladesh	Shariatpur	2017	1.9%	6.1%	0.2%
Bangladesh	Sherpur	2000	13.3%	23.1%	6.4%
Bangladesh	Sherpur	2017	2.5%	6.5%	0.4%
Bangladesh	Sirajganj	2000	14.2%	22.7%	7.4%
Bangladesh	Sirajganj	2017	2.3%	5.9%	0.5%
Bangladesh	Sunamganj	2000	20.7%	27.2%	15.1%
Bangladesh	Sunamganj	2017	7.0%	9.7%	4.6%
Bangladesh	Sylhet	2000	26.0%	30.7%	22.0%
Bangladesh	Sylhet	2017	3.7%	5.7%	2.5%
Bangladesh	Tangail	2000	14.1%	22.9%	6.8%
Bangladesh	Tangail	2017	2.5%	5.8%	0.5%
Bangladesh	Thakurgaon	2000	9.9%	18.9%	4.2%
Bangladesh	Thakurgaon	2017	1.5%	5.0%	0.2%
India	Adilabad	2000	53.7%	68.7%	37.3%
India	Adilabad	2017	60.7%	74.1%	45.0%
India	Agar Malwa	2000	34.2%	38.4%	30.2%
India	Agar Malwa	2017	43.1%	47.4%	38.6%
India	Agra	2000	18.2%	20.0%	16.4%
India	Agra	2017	24.8%	26.9%	22.8%
India	Ahmadnagar	2000	58.5%	62.8%	53.7%
India	Ahmadnagar	2017	67.5%	71.9%	62.8%
India	Ahmedabad	2000	75.3%	77.7%	72.7%
India	Ahmedabad	2017	82.2%	84.5%	79.5%
India	Aizawl	2000	67.1%	71.2%	62.7%
India	Aizawl	2017	72.9%	76.2%	69.2%
India	Ajmer	2000	59.6%	63.2%	56.4%
India	Ajmer	2017	67.5%	70.8%	64.2%
India	Akola	2000	74.5%	77.7%	71.0%
India	Akola	2017	80.5%	83.5%	76.8%
India	Alappuzha	2000	33.3%	35.8%	30.3%
India	Alappuzha	2017	41.8%	44.4%	38.8%
India	Aligarh	2000	10.4%	11.5%	9.4%
India	Aligarh	2017	14.2%	15.6%	13.0%
India	Alipurduar	2000	24.7%	33.5%	18.2%
India	Alipurduar	2017	30.5%	39.4%	22.8%
India	Alirajpur	2000	32.6%	35.0%	30.5%
India	Alirajpur	2017	41.3%	43.6%	39.1%
India	Allahabad	2000	18.1%	22.0%	14.9%
India	Allahabad	2017	24.3%	28.2%	20.7%
India	Almora	2000	71.5%	73.9%	68.1%
India	Almora	2017	78.7%	81.1%	75.5%
India	Alwar	2000	32.2%	34.7%	29.9%
India	Alwar	2017	42.5%	45.1%	40.0%
India	Ambala	2000	77.9%	80.3%	75.3%
India	Ambala	2017	85.1%	87.2%	82.8%
India	Ambedkar Na- gar	2000	5.9%	7.8%	4.8%
India	Ambedkar Na- gar	2017	9.4%	11.8%	8.0%
India	Amethi	2000	7.4%	10.7%	5.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Amethi	2017	11.4%	15.5%	8.5%
India	Amravati	2000	62.0%	66.6%	56.8%
India	Amravati	2017	68.2%	72.9%	63.0%
India	Amreli	2000	71.2%	75.9%	66.1%
India	Amreli	2017	78.4%	82.5%	73.5%
India	Amritsar	2000	47.1%	50.5%	44.3%
India	Amritsar	2017	55.4%	59.3%	51.9%
India	Amroha	2000	4.7%	5.3%	4.1%
India	Amroha	2017	7.8%	8.7%	7.0%
India	Anand	2000	75.1%	77.6%	72.7%
India	Anand	2017	83.6%	85.8%	81.5%
India	Anantapur	2000	49.8%	55.4%	43.2%
India	Anantapur	2017	58.9%	64.5%	52.3%
India	Anantnag	2000	71.1%	73.9%	67.9%
India	Anantnag	2017	79.3%	81.6%	76.6%
India	Angul	2000	13.6%	17.4%	10.6%
India	Angul	2017	19.2%	23.9%	15.5%
India	Anjaw	2000	71.0%	78.3%	64.0%
India	Anjaw	2017	77.1%	84.6%	70.0%
India	Anuppur	2000	17.3%	19.1%	15.7%
India	Anuppur	2017	23.2%	25.6%	21.2%
India	Araria	2000	2.2%	3.0%	1.8%
India	Araria	2017	3.8%	4.9%	3.2%
India	Ariyalur	2000	80.3%	84.2%	75.9%
India	Ariyalur	2017	87.3%	89.9%	83.9%
India	Arvalli	2000	59.0%	67.2%	51.6%
India	Arvalli	2017	67.5%	75.1%	59.2%
India	Arwal	2000	1.7%	2.1%	1.5%
India	Arwal	2017	3.0%	3.6%	2.6%
India	Ashoknagar	2000	29.2%	33.7%	24.6%
India	Ashoknagar	2017	36.6%	41.1%	31.9%
India	Auraiya	2000	5.9%	7.2%	4.9%
India	Auraiya	2017	9.8%	11.8%	8.2%
India	Aurangabad	2000	58.2%	63.3%	53.3%
India	Aurangabad	2000	3.6%	5.6%	2.6%
India	Aurangabad	2017	67.9%	72.6%	63.0%
India	Aurangabad	2017	6.0%	8.2%	4.7%
India	Azamgarh	2000	10.4%	12.5%	8.9%
India	Azamgarh	2017	14.2%	16.6%	12.2%
India	Badgam	2000	70.8%	74.5%	66.6%
India	Badgam	2017	80.7%	83.4%	77.1%
India	Bagalkot	2000	65.0%	68.6%	60.8%
India	Bagalkot	2017	74.1%	77.4%	70.0%
India	Bageshwar	2000	60.3%	64.3%	56.1%
India	Bageshwar	2017	69.5%	72.8%	65.8%
India	Baghpat	2000	33.7%	37.2%	30.5%
India	Baghpat	2017	41.4%	44.8%	37.6%
India	Bahraich	2000	13.2%	16.1%	10.8%
India	Bahraich	2017	19.3%	23.1%	16.1%
India	Baksa	2000	12.0%	14.0%	10.4%
India	Baksa	2017	16.1%	18.4%	14.3%
India	Balaghat	2000	22.1%	27.2%	17.4%
India	Balaghat	2017	29.1%	34.9%	23.9%
India	Balangir	2000	23.2%	27.9%	18.9%
India	Balangir	2017	29.6%	34.2%	24.7%
India	Baleshwar	2000	19.1%	21.6%	17.2%
India	Baleshwar	2017	25.8%	28.7%	23.5%
India	Ballary	2000	73.4%	78.8%	68.1%
India	Ballary	2017	79.7%	85.2%	74.0%
India	Ballia	2000	8.1%	9.3%	7.3%
India	Ballia	2017	12.4%	14.0%	11.1%
India	Balod	2000	48.3%	56.2%	40.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Balod	2017	55.9%	63.3%	47.8%
India	Baloda Bazar	2000	22.3%	29.9%	17.6%
India	Baloda Bazar	2017	30.4%	39.2%	23.9%
India	Balrampur	2000	13.3%	15.4%	11.2%
India	Balrampur	2000	19.7%	27.7%	12.9%
India	Balrampur	2017	18.4%	20.6%	16.3%
India	Balrampur	2017	25.3%	33.9%	17.5%
India	Banaskantha	2000	59.8%	66.6%	53.1%
India	Banaskantha	2017	68.2%	75.1%	61.6%
India	Banda	2000	18.4%	22.4%	15.0%
India	Banda	2017	25.8%	30.7%	21.6%
India	Bandipore	2000	69.3%	71.2%	67.6%
India	Bandipore	2017	77.8%	79.6%	76.3%
India	Bangalore	2000	72.0%	74.1%	69.9%
India	Bangalore	2017	81.0%	83.3%	78.5%
India	Bangalore Rural	2000	52.7%	55.7%	50.1%
India	Bangalore Rural	2017	66.9%	69.5%	64.1%
India	Banka	2000	3.4%	4.7%	2.5%
India	Banka	2017	5.4%	6.9%	4.1%
India	Bankura	2000	18.3%	22.8%	14.9%
India	Bankura	2017	24.1%	28.7%	20.1%
India	Banswara	2000	39.3%	44.1%	34.8%
India	Banswara	2017	50.0%	54.3%	45.3%
India	Barabanki	2000	10.6%	13.6%	8.7%
India	Barabanki	2017	15.8%	19.2%	13.5%
India	Baramulla	2000	72.8%	75.2%	70.1%
India	Baramulla	2017	81.1%	82.9%	78.7%
India	Baran	2000	35.0%	40.4%	30.1%
India	Baran	2017	44.5%	50.2%	38.6%
India	Bareilly	2000	9.6%	10.4%	8.8%
India	Bareilly	2017	14.7%	15.8%	13.7%
India	Bargarh	2000	11.6%	14.5%	9.6%
India	Bargarh	2017	17.2%	20.5%	14.8%
India	Barmer	2000	35.2%	40.3%	29.8%
India	Barmer	2017	43.0%	48.4%	37.0%
India	Barnala	2000	59.6%	62.8%	56.3%
India	Barnala	2017	69.8%	72.6%	66.5%
India	Barpeta	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.7%
India	Barpeta	2017	7.3%	9.0%	6.2%
India	Barwani	2000	31.3%	34.9%	27.7%
India	Barwani	2017	39.4%	43.1%	35.6%
India	Bastar	2000	20.2%	33.5%	12.1%
India	Bastar	2017	26.8%	41.0%	16.5%
India	Basti	2000	7.4%	8.5%	6.7%
India	Basti	2017	11.6%	13.2%	10.7%
India	Bathinda	2000	54.8%	58.4%	51.5%
India	Bathinda	2017	65.2%	68.7%	61.7%
India	Begusarai	2000	3.2%	4.0%	2.7%
India	Begusarai	2017	5.3%	6.6%	4.5%
India	Belagavi	2000	66.1%	70.8%	61.0%
India	Belagavi	2017	74.3%	78.5%	69.4%
India	Bemetara	2000	22.8%	30.8%	16.3%
India	Bemetara	2017	30.6%	38.6%	23.2%
India	Betul	2000	31.5%	37.5%	26.4%
India	Betul	2017	40.3%	46.3%	34.8%
India	Bhadradri Kothagudem	2000	56.2%	67.0%	43.3%
India	Bhadradri Kothagudem	2017	63.5%	73.8%	50.2%
India	Bhadrak	2000	11.0%	12.5%	9.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Bhadrak	2017	17.2%	19.1%	15.6%
India	Bhagalpur	2000	8.5%	10.4%	7.2%
India	Bhagalpur	2017	12.5%	14.7%	10.8%
India	Bhandara	2000	41.2%	44.0%	38.3%
India	Bhandara	2017	50.2%	53.0%	47.2%
India	Bharatpur	2000	21.8%	25.3%	19.6%
India	Bharatpur	2017	30.6%	34.5%	27.7%
India	Bharuch	2000	64.8%	71.3%	57.3%
India	Bharuch	2017	73.6%	79.6%	66.8%
India	Bhavnagar	2000	69.8%	75.5%	63.1%
India	Bhavnagar	2017	77.4%	81.9%	71.4%
India	Bhilwara	2000	44.4%	49.8%	39.6%
India	Bhilwara	2017	53.2%	58.3%	48.1%
India	Bhind	2000	20.0%	24.1%	17.1%
India	Bhind	2017	27.9%	32.8%	24.3%
India	Bhiwani	2000	54.1%	58.5%	50.2%
India	Bhiwani	2017	64.0%	68.3%	60.2%
India	Bhojpur	2000	3.8%	4.7%	3.0%
India	Bhojpur	2017	6.0%	7.0%	5.1%
India	Bhopal	2000	46.3%	49.8%	43.4%
India	Bhopal	2017	57.0%	60.8%	53.7%
India	Bid	2000	54.7%	59.5%	48.5%
India	Bid	2017	62.4%	67.4%	55.9%
India	Bidar	2000	56.0%	60.6%	51.0%
India	Bidar	2017	64.1%	68.8%	59.4%
India	Bijapur	2000	21.7%	26.7%	16.5%
India	Bijapur	2017	26.8%	32.2%	21.3%
India	Bijnor	2000	20.6%	23.2%	18.1%
India	Bijnor	2017	27.8%	30.4%	25.0%
India	Bikaner	2000	63.4%	66.8%	60.2%
India	Bikaner	2017	68.7%	72.4%	65.2%
India	Bilaspur	2000	40.7%	44.2%	37.4%
India	Bilaspur	2000	63.9%	66.1%	62.2%
India	Bilaspur	2017	47.5%	51.5%	43.5%
India	Bilaspur	2017	74.3%	76.4%	72.5%
India	Birbhum	2000	22.8%	26.6%	19.5%
India	Birbhum	2017	29.8%	34.0%	26.2%
India	Bishnupur	2000	24.6%	25.2%	24.0%
India	Bishnupur	2017	36.7%	37.3%	36.0%
India	Biswanath	2000	35.0%	42.7%	25.1%
India	Biswanath	2017	42.7%	50.0%	33.2%
India	Bokaro	2000	16.5%	17.1%	15.9%
India	Bokaro	2017	23.8%	24.6%	23.1%
India	Bongaigaon	2000	4.3%	4.7%	3.7%
India	Bongaigaon	2017	7.1%	7.9%	6.3%
India	Botad	2000	69.5%	82.2%	55.6%
India	Botad	2017	75.7%	87.5%	62.6%
India	Boudh	2000	12.4%	20.1%	8.1%
India	Boudh	2017	17.8%	27.5%	12.0%
India	Budaun	2000	9.1%	10.8%	7.8%
India	Budaun	2017	13.7%	15.8%	12.2%
India	Bulandshahr	2000	10.5%	16.4%	6.8%
India	Bulandshahr	2017	15.2%	21.4%	10.5%
India	Buldana	2000	57.8%	62.3%	53.4%
India	Buldana	2017	64.9%	68.9%	60.1%
India	Bundi	2000	38.2%	43.1%	33.8%
India	Bundi	2017	47.7%	52.0%	43.4%
India	Burhanpur	2000	61.0%	62.9%	59.1%
India	Burhanpur	2017	68.8%	70.4%	67.2%
India	Buxar	2000	6.3%	8.2%	4.5%
India	Buxar	2017	9.4%	11.6%	7.3%
India	Cachar	2000	27.4%	30.5%	25.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Cachar	2017	36.5%	40.0%	33.6%
India	Central	2000	89.2%	89.7%	88.7%
India	Central	2017	93.6%	93.9%	93.3%
India	Chamba	2000	68.3%	71.5%	64.7%
India	Chamba	2017	76.2%	78.9%	73.2%
India	Chamoli	2000	78.0%	84.0%	72.6%
India	Chamoli	2017	84.3%	89.7%	79.2%
India	Champawat	2000	57.8%	63.0%	52.6%
India	Champawat	2017	67.4%	72.6%	61.8%
India	Champhai	2000	71.2%	72.7%	69.6%
India	Champhai	2017	78.2%	79.6%	76.8%
India	Chamrajnagar	2000	69.2%	74.7%	63.0%
India	Chamrajnagar	2017	76.0%	80.9%	70.6%
India	Chandauli	2000	15.0%	16.6%	13.8%
India	Chandauli	2017	21.4%	23.2%	20.0%
India	Chandel	2000	18.4%	27.0%	13.4%
India	Chandel	2017	25.1%	32.9%	19.9%
India	Chandigarh	2000	90.4%	90.9%	89.7%
India	Chandigarh	2017	93.8%	94.2%	93.4%
India	Chandrapur	2000	58.4%	62.2%	54.2%
India	Chandrapur	2017	65.4%	69.2%	61.0%
India	Changlang	2000	46.8%	48.5%	44.8%
India	Changlang	2017	55.0%	56.6%	53.2%
India	Charaideo	2000	14.8%	19.3%	11.5%
India	Charaideo	2017	20.4%	25.3%	16.2%
India	Charkhi Dadri	2000	52.4%	56.7%	47.8%
India	Charkhi Dadri	2017	64.6%	68.9%	59.5%
India	Chatra	2000	11.1%	13.3%	9.4%
India	Chatra	2017	17.0%	19.6%	15.0%
India	Chennai	2000	66.8%	68.1%	65.7%
India	Chennai	2017	77.7%	78.8%	76.8%
India	Chhatarpur	2000	21.2%	24.9%	18.1%
India	Chhatarpur	2017	28.5%	33.1%	24.6%
India	Chhindwara	2000	39.2%	43.8%	34.8%
India	Chhindwara	2017	46.9%	51.7%	42.3%
India	Chhotaudepur	2000	43.4%	50.4%	35.0%
India	Chhotaudepur	2017	50.3%	56.9%	41.9%
India	Chikballapura	2000	54.4%	60.0%	47.6%
India	Chikballapura	2017	66.2%	71.2%	59.9%
India	Chikmagalur	2000	60.4%	66.3%	53.2%
India	Chikmagalur	2017	68.9%	73.9%	63.5%
India	Chirang	2000	5.0%	6.6%	4.1%
India	Chirang	2017	7.9%	9.4%	6.7%
India	Chitradurga	2000	67.9%	72.9%	62.5%
India	Chitradurga	2017	75.5%	80.1%	70.9%
India	Chitrakoot	2000	19.1%	21.1%	17.1%
India	Chitrakoot	2017	26.0%	28.3%	23.5%
India	Chittaurgarh	2000	51.2%	56.0%	46.7%
India	Chittaurgarh	2017	61.7%	66.3%	57.2%
India	Chittoor	2000	44.9%	51.2%	39.7%
India	Chittoor	2017	53.1%	59.2%	48.1%
India	Churachandpur	2000	24.2%	27.9%	20.9%
India	Churachandpur	2017	32.9%	36.5%	29.5%
India	Churu	2000	43.1%	47.8%	38.1%
India	Churu	2017	52.7%	57.7%	47.2%
India	Coimbatore	2000	75.8%	81.4%	70.7%
India	Coimbatore	2017	83.6%	88.1%	78.9%
India	Cuddalore	2000	83.7%	86.6%	81.1%
India	Cuddalore	2017	88.9%	91.3%	86.8%
India	Cuttack	2000	27.2%	30.4%	24.5%
India	Cuttack	2017	37.9%	41.2%	35.2%
India	Dadra and Nagar Haveli	2000	45.0%	46.7%	43.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Dadra and Nagar Haveli	2017	58.0%	59.9%	56.0%
India	Dakshin Dinajpur	2000	12.4%	16.1%	9.9%
India	Dakshin Dinajpur	2017	17.5%	21.5%	14.5%
India	Dakshina Kannada	2000	53.3%	58.5%	48.5%
India	Dakshina Kannada	2017	63.0%	68.3%	57.3%
India	Daman	2000	72.5%	73.5%	71.4%
India	Daman	2017	82.5%	83.2%	81.7%
India	Damoh	2000	30.4%	35.0%	26.6%
India	Damoh	2017	38.3%	42.8%	34.5%
India	Dang	2000	24.8%	26.3%	23.2%
India	Dang	2017	30.6%	32.4%	28.9%
India	Dantewada	2000	19.4%	25.7%	14.1%
India	Dantewada	2017	24.0%	30.1%	18.9%
India	Darbhanga	2000	4.2%	4.7%	3.7%
India	Darbhanga	2017	7.3%	8.1%	6.5%
India	Darjiling	2000	26.5%	29.9%	23.7%
India	Darjiling	2017	35.4%	38.8%	32.3%
India	Darrang	2000	5.4%	6.1%	4.7%
India	Darrang	2017	8.3%	9.2%	7.4%
India	Datia	2000	23.1%	25.4%	21.4%
India	Datia	2017	32.9%	35.3%	30.8%
India	Dausa	2000	34.6%	36.8%	32.8%
India	Dausa	2017	43.7%	45.8%	41.5%
India	Davanagere	2000	68.7%	74.0%	62.6%
India	Davanagere	2017	77.0%	81.6%	71.5%
India	Dehradun	2000	79.2%	80.3%	77.9%
India	Dehradun	2017	86.6%	87.4%	85.6%
India	Deogarh	2000	13.9%	17.4%	11.3%
India	Deogarh	2017	20.2%	24.5%	17.1%
India	Deoghar	2000	10.2%	12.9%	7.9%
India	Deoghar	2017	15.1%	18.1%	12.4%
India	Deoria	2000	8.4%	10.1%	7.2%
India	Deoria	2017	13.1%	15.2%	11.5%
India	Devbhumi Dwarka	2000	54.4%	64.5%	44.6%
India	Devbhumi Dwarka	2017	59.9%	69.5%	50.0%
India	Dewas	2000	36.4%	41.9%	31.9%
India	Dewas	2017	45.2%	50.4%	40.2%
India	Dhalai	2000	32.2%	35.0%	29.4%
India	Dhalai	2017	41.3%	44.5%	38.2%
India	Dhamtari	2000	29.7%	34.0%	25.8%
India	Dhamtari	2017	40.1%	44.8%	35.8%
India	Dhanbad	2000	44.1%	45.4%	42.9%
India	Dhanbad	2017	53.3%	54.6%	52.0%
India	Dhar	2000	40.8%	46.5%	35.2%
India	Dhar	2017	50.0%	55.6%	44.2%
India	Dharmapuri	2000	82.0%	86.2%	76.4%
India	Dharmapuri	2017	88.0%	91.4%	83.3%
India	Dharwad	2000	74.7%	80.9%	68.1%
India	Dharwad	2017	81.3%	87.0%	74.4%
India	Dhaulpur	2000	21.8%	24.4%	19.7%
India	Dhaulpur	2017	29.8%	32.1%	27.7%
India	Dhemaji	2000	8.3%	9.8%	7.1%
India	Dhemaji	2017	13.0%	15.1%	11.2%
India	Dhenkanal	2000	12.5%	14.7%	10.7%
India	Dhenkanal	2017	18.6%	21.3%	16.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Dhubri	2000	9.3%	12.5%	7.1%
India	Dhubri	2017	13.9%	17.5%	11.0%
India	Dhule	2000	68.6%	74.4%	62.7%
India	Dhule	2017	75.6%	81.2%	69.8%
India	Dibang Valley	2000	71.2%	79.9%	59.0%
India	Dibang Valley	2017	75.9%	84.9%	62.7%
India	Dibrugarh	2000	9.9%	12.9%	8.0%
India	Dibrugarh	2017	14.9%	18.7%	12.2%
India	Dima Hasao	2000	27.6%	31.9%	23.7%
India	Dima Hasao	2017	36.3%	40.9%	32.1%
India	Dimapur	2000	20.4%	21.1%	19.6%
India	Dimapur	2017	29.8%	30.8%	28.8%
India	Dindigul	2000	85.7%	88.7%	81.9%
India	Dindigul	2017	90.5%	93.1%	86.9%
India	Dindori	2000	15.4%	18.4%	12.8%
India	Dindori	2017	21.2%	24.6%	18.4%
India	Diu	2000	73.6%	74.4%	72.8%
India	Diu	2017	82.0%	82.6%	81.3%
India	Doda	2000	59.2%	61.9%	56.2%
India	Doda	2017	70.9%	73.5%	68.0%
India	Dohad	2000	25.9%	29.0%	23.3%
India	Dohad	2017	32.4%	35.7%	29.7%
India	Dumka	2000	10.0%	12.7%	7.9%
India	Dumka	2017	14.7%	17.7%	12.2%
India	Dungarpur	2000	31.4%	34.7%	28.2%
India	Dungarpur	2017	41.6%	45.5%	38.0%
India	Durg	2000	49.7%	51.5%	47.7%
India	Durg	2017	60.9%	62.8%	58.8%
India	East	2000	83.8%	85.0%	82.5%
India	East	2017	89.4%	90.3%	88.5%
India	East Garo Hills	2000	24.5%	28.9%	21.3%
India	East Garo Hills	2017	32.2%	36.7%	28.4%
India	East Godavari	2000	63.4%	67.7%	58.5%
India	East Godavari	2017	72.8%	76.7%	68.0%
India	East Jaintia Hills	2000	35.6%	41.8%	30.1%
India	East Jaintia Hills	2017	40.0%	52.4%	33.3%
India	East Kameng	2000	70.0%	75.4%	64.6%
India	East Kameng	2017	75.7%	80.7%	70.5%
India	East Khasi Hills	2000	52.3%	55.1%	49.0%
India	East Khasi Hills	2017	62.5%	65.0%	59.2%
India	East Nimar	2000	47.9%	54.9%	41.0%
India	East Nimar	2017	57.8%	64.3%	51.0%
India	East Siang	2000	51.7%	56.6%	47.4%
India	East Siang	2017	64.3%	69.1%	59.3%
India	East Sikkim	2000	87.1%	88.1%	85.9%
India	East Sikkim	2017	91.4%	92.2%	90.3%
India	Ernakulam	2000	53.8%	56.6%	50.0%
India	Ernakulam	2017	62.6%	65.4%	58.9%
India	Erode	2000	83.6%	87.5%	78.6%
India	Erode	2017	88.4%	91.8%	83.5%
India	Etah	2000	6.6%	8.6%	5.3%
India	Etah	2017	10.4%	12.4%	8.8%
India	Etawah	2000	23.3%	26.4%	19.7%
India	Etawah	2017	31.9%	35.1%	28.0%
India	Faizabad	2000	8.1%	10.2%	6.3%
India	Faizabad	2017	12.6%	15.1%	10.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Faridabad	2000	45.5%	47.2%	43.6%
India	Faridabad	2017	58.8%	60.5%	56.6%
India	Faridkot	2000	43.9%	46.5%	41.7%
India	Faridkot	2017	54.6%	57.5%	52.0%
India	Farrukhabad	2000	22.8%	25.2%	19.9%
India	Farrukhabad	2017	31.0%	33.1%	27.9%
India	Fatehabad	2000	68.8%	73.7%	64.7%
India	Fatehabad	2017	76.9%	81.7%	72.5%
India	Fatehgarh	2000	55.7%	57.2%	54.2%
India	Fatehgarh Sahib	2017	67.0%	68.3%	65.6%
India	Fatehpur	2000	22.4%	24.4%	20.3%
India	Fatehpur	2017	30.5%	32.3%	28.5%
India	Fazilka	2000	45.9%	53.9%	38.2%
India	Fazilka	2017	54.9%	63.4%	46.5%
India	Firozabad	2000	29.7%	31.8%	27.6%
India	Firozabad	2017	39.5%	41.8%	37.1%
India	Firozpur	2000	53.2%	57.9%	47.6%
India	Firozpur	2017	62.2%	67.5%	56.0%
India	Gadag	2000	64.5%	69.4%	59.2%
India	Gadag	2017	74.0%	78.2%	69.1%
India	Gadchiroli	2000	35.9%	41.4%	30.2%
India	Gadchiroli	2017	43.6%	49.1%	38.1%
India	Gajapati	2000	21.6%	26.9%	18.0%
India	Gajapati	2017	29.8%	36.3%	25.4%
India	Ganderbal	2000	60.0%	65.3%	54.6%
India	Ganderbal	2017	70.7%	75.1%	65.7%
India	Gandhinagar	2000	79.2%	82.0%	76.2%
India	Gandhinagar	2017	86.2%	88.5%	83.4%
India	Ganganagar	2000	61.5%	66.6%	56.1%
India	Ganganagar	2017	68.1%	73.0%	62.6%
India	Ganjam	2000	22.7%	26.6%	19.2%
India	Ganjam	2017	29.7%	33.9%	25.9%
India	Garhwa	2000	24.7%	28.4%	21.1%
India	Garhwa	2017	31.4%	35.5%	27.7%
India	Gariaband	2000	20.8%	30.8%	13.3%
India	Gariaband	2017	27.7%	38.7%	19.3%
India	Gautam Buddha Nagar	2000	29.0%	29.7%	28.4%
India	Gautam Buddha Nagar	2017	32.9%	33.7%	32.1%
India	Gaya	2000	7.2%	10.8%	4.3%
India	Gaya	2017	10.8%	15.3%	6.9%
India	Ghaziabad	2000	26.0%	26.7%	25.3%
India	Ghaziabad	2017	34.7%	35.6%	33.9%
India	Ghazipur	2000	8.6%	12.0%	6.3%
India	Ghazipur	2017	12.8%	16.5%	9.9%
India	Gir Somnath	2000	80.2%	84.0%	76.6%
India	Gir Somnath	2017	83.7%	86.9%	80.4%
India	Giridih	2000	12.3%	14.9%	9.7%
India	Giridih	2017	16.7%	19.6%	14.0%
India	Goalpara	2000	6.2%	7.1%	5.3%
India	Goalpara	2017	9.1%	10.3%	7.9%
India	Godda	2000	5.9%	6.7%	5.2%
India	Godda	2017	9.8%	11.0%	8.8%
India	Golaghat	2000	19.1%	21.5%	17.1%
India	Golaghat	2017	25.6%	28.4%	23.3%
India	Gomati	2000	43.1%	48.3%	38.0%
India	Gomati	2017	51.3%	56.5%	45.5%
India	Gonda	2000	7.4%	8.9%	6.4%
India	Gonda	2017	11.4%	13.3%	9.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Gondiya	2000	30.0%	34.1%	27.0%
India	Gondiya	2017	39.9%	44.5%	36.2%
India	Gopalganj	2000	8.0%	8.8%	7.3%
India	Gopalganj	2017	13.2%	14.3%	12.2%
India	Gorakhpur	2000	8.4%	9.9%	7.2%
India	Gorakhpur	2017	12.7%	14.4%	11.0%
India	Gumla	2000	16.2%	20.9%	12.5%
India	Gumla	2017	22.4%	27.4%	18.0%
India	Guna	2000	22.2%	27.3%	17.6%
India	Guna	2017	28.0%	33.6%	22.6%
India	Guntur	2000	47.3%	52.2%	42.6%
India	Guntur	2017	57.2%	62.1%	52.1%
India	Gurdaspur	2000	54.0%	60.4%	47.6%
India	Gurdaspur	2017	64.9%	70.5%	58.9%
India	Gurugram	2000	60.8%	62.4%	59.2%
India	Gurugram	2017	72.5%	74.1%	70.9%
India	Gwalior	2000	41.6%	43.8%	39.3%
India	Gwalior	2017	52.8%	55.0%	50.4%
India	Hailakandi	2000	21.8%	23.3%	20.2%
India	Hailakandi	2017	30.5%	32.2%	28.7%
India	Hamirpur	2000	17.6%	19.4%	16.1%
India	Hamirpur	2000	70.9%	72.3%	69.6%
India	Hamirpur	2017	23.7%	25.7%	22.0%
India	Hamirpur	2017	79.6%	80.7%	78.6%
India	Hanumangarh	2000	59.8%	64.0%	55.3%
India	Hanumangarh	2017	67.3%	71.1%	63.0%
India	Haora	2000	26.7%	29.9%	23.9%
India	Haora	2017	37.5%	40.8%	34.4%
India	Hapur	2000	10.3%	11.1%	9.4%
India	Hapur	2017	17.0%	18.1%	15.7%
India	Harda	2000	30.6%	36.0%	27.1%
India	Harda	2017	40.5%	45.7%	36.8%
India	Hardoi	2000	11.5%	15.3%	8.8%
India	Hardoi	2017	15.8%	20.2%	12.7%
India	Hardwar	2000	38.6%	40.3%	36.9%
India	Hardwar	2017	47.4%	49.0%	45.9%
India	Hassan	2000	65.9%	70.1%	61.5%
India	Hassan	2017	74.0%	77.6%	69.9%
India	Hathras	2000	2.8%	3.2%	2.4%
India	Hathras	2017	5.0%	5.7%	4.2%
India	Haveri	2000	75.7%	78.6%	71.7%
India	Haveri	2017	82.9%	85.4%	79.4%
India	Hazaribagh	2000	20.9%	24.4%	18.7%
India	Hazaribagh	2017	26.8%	30.1%	24.4%
India	Hingoli	2000	50.0%	53.6%	46.1%
India	Hingoli	2017	59.1%	62.8%	55.1%
India	Hisar	2000	60.0%	63.5%	56.4%
India	Hisar	2017	66.6%	70.0%	62.8%
India	Hojai	2000	14.6%	17.6%	11.7%
India	Hojai	2017	19.4%	22.2%	16.7%
India	Hoshangabad	2000	32.0%	35.5%	29.1%
India	Hoshangabad	2017	41.0%	44.9%	37.7%
India	Hoshiarpur	2000	65.9%	69.6%	61.4%
India	Hoshiarpur	2017	75.1%	78.0%	71.3%
India	Hugli	2000	26.3%	29.4%	24.4%
India	Hugli	2017	34.8%	38.2%	32.2%
India	Hyderabad	2000	79.6%	80.6%	78.4%
India	Hyderabad	2017	86.6%	87.3%	85.7%
India	Idukki	2000	28.7%	33.0%	25.0%
India	Idukki	2017	36.8%	41.0%	32.6%
India	Imphal East	2000	27.3%	27.9%	26.8%
India	Imphal East	2017	37.4%	38.1%	36.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Imphal West	2000	31.2%	31.7%	30.7%
India	Imphal West	2017	43.8%	44.4%	43.2%
India	Indore	2000	49.4%	52.0%	47.0%
India	Indore	2017	60.3%	63.4%	57.6%
India	Jabalpur	2000	42.2%	43.6%	41.1%
India	Jabalpur	2017	51.6%	53.0%	50.4%
India	Jagatsinghapur	2000	22.6%	24.7%	20.6%
India	Jagatsinghapur	2017	29.1%	31.6%	27.0%
India	Jagitial	2000	47.3%	62.7%	33.0%
India	Jagitial	2017	57.1%	71.7%	42.9%
India	Jaipur	2000	52.0%	53.8%	50.2%
India	Jaipur	2017	62.0%	63.9%	60.3%
India	Jaisalmer	2000	33.1%	39.4%	25.8%
India	Jaisalmer	2017	40.8%	47.5%	34.1%
India	Jajapur	2000	15.4%	17.1%	13.8%
India	Jajapur	2017	22.4%	24.5%	20.6%
India	Jalandhar	2000	65.5%	67.5%	63.1%
India	Jalandhar	2017	76.2%	77.8%	74.3%
India	Jalaun	2000	10.9%	14.0%	9.0%
India	Jalaun	2017	16.8%	20.8%	14.3%
India	Jalgaon	2000	70.2%	74.7%	65.1%
India	Jalgaon	2017	77.3%	81.3%	72.8%
India	Jalna	2000	47.6%	53.3%	41.7%
India	Jalna	2017	56.5%	62.3%	50.3%
India	Jalor	2000	45.8%	50.5%	41.7%
India	Jalor	2017	54.4%	59.2%	49.9%
India	Jalpaiguri	2000	22.7%	26.4%	19.3%
India	Jalpaiguri	2017	29.8%	33.9%	25.7%
India	Jammu	2000	64.8%	67.4%	62.3%
India	Jammu	2017	73.5%	75.7%	71.4%
India	Jamnagar	2000	58.1%	68.5%	49.7%
India	Jamnagar	2017	64.7%	74.5%	56.3%
India	Jamtara	2000	16.4%	19.8%	13.9%
India	Jamtara	2017	22.3%	26.1%	19.3%
India	Jamui	2000	6.9%	9.3%	5.4%
India	Jamui	2017	10.4%	13.1%	8.4%
India	Jangoan	2000	40.3%	61.1%	23.1%
India	Jangoan	2017	48.2%	68.8%	29.1%
India	Janjgir-Champa	2000	21.1%	24.9%	18.1%
India	Janjgir-Champa	2017	29.8%	34.2%	26.3%
India	Jashpur	2000	18.4%	21.7%	15.2%
India	Jashpur	2017	23.6%	27.4%	20.3%
India	Jaunpur	2000	9.5%	11.2%	8.1%
India	Jaunpur	2017	14.2%	16.3%	12.6%
India	Jayashankar Bhupalapal	2000	52.2%	64.6%	38.7%
India	Jayashankar Bhupalapal	2017	60.8%	73.4%	47.0%
India	Jehanabad	2000	2.7%	3.0%	2.4%
India	Jehanabad	2017	4.6%	5.1%	4.1%
India	Jhabua	2000	31.0%	34.7%	27.8%
India	Jhabua	2017	41.6%	45.3%	38.0%
India	Jhajjar	2000	66.0%	67.9%	64.4%
India	Jhajjar	2017	75.8%	77.5%	74.3%
India	Jhalawar	2000	39.7%	44.6%	34.9%
India	Jhalawar	2017	48.5%	53.7%	43.6%
India	Jhansi	2000	19.6%	20.8%	18.6%
India	Jhansi	2017	27.9%	29.1%	26.8%
India	Jhargram	2000	22.2%	32.9%	14.9%
India	Jhargram	2017	28.1%	39.5%	20.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Jharsuguda	2000	26.1%	29.0%	24.2%
India	Jharsuguda	2017	34.9%	38.1%	32.8%
India	Jhunjhunun	2000	55.1%	58.0%	51.5%
India	Jhunjhunun	2017	64.4%	67.1%	61.3%
India	Jind	2000	44.9%	48.5%	41.3%
India	Jind	2017	55.8%	59.7%	51.9%
India	Jiribam	2000	2.6%	3.7%	1.9%
India	Jiribam	2017	4.7%	6.5%	3.4%
India	Jodhpur	2000	51.9%	55.4%	48.2%
India	Jodhpur	2017	59.5%	63.2%	55.9%
India	Jogulamba	2000	39.0%	55.8%	22.7%
India	Jogulamba	2017	46.9%	64.0%	29.7%
India	Jorhat	2000	28.9%	30.3%	27.8%
India	Jorhat	2017	37.2%	38.8%	35.9%
India	Junagadh	2000	72.8%	77.8%	67.6%
India	Junagadh	2017	78.1%	82.9%	73.3%
India	Kabeerddham	2000	25.1%	28.2%	22.4%
India	Kabeerddham	2017	34.4%	37.7%	31.5%
India	Kachchh	2000	62.0%	68.3%	55.6%
India	Kachchh	2017	69.6%	75.4%	62.8%
India	Kaimur	2000	5.0%	7.0%	4.1%
India	Kaimur	2017	8.1%	10.2%	6.8%
India	Kaithal	2000	51.8%	53.9%	49.4%
India	Kaithal	2017	62.2%	64.0%	59.8%
India	Kakching	2000	20.5%	21.4%	19.6%
India	Kakching	2017	30.9%	32.0%	29.6%
India	Kalaburgi	2000	66.3%	71.5%	60.6%
India	Kalaburgi	2017	73.8%	78.6%	68.3%
India	Kalahandi	2000	15.1%	21.0%	10.8%
India	Kalahandi	2017	21.1%	27.8%	16.2%
India	Kalimpong	2000	51.4%	75.9%	26.1%
India	Kalimpong	2017	60.1%	80.9%	35.9%
India	Kamareddy	2000	57.1%	66.1%	48.1%
India	Kamareddy	2017	65.1%	73.9%	56.5%
India	Kamjong	2000	21.2%	35.4%	12.5%
India	Kamjong	2017	29.1%	44.9%	18.2%
India	Kamle	2000	76.6%	88.7%	62.7%
India	Kamle	2017	84.1%	93.1%	72.6%
India	Kamrup	2000	10.2%	12.4%	8.2%
India	Kamrup	2017	15.6%	18.3%	13.0%
India	Kamrup	2000	31.0%	32.8%	29.3%
India	Kamrup	2017	43.0%	45.2%	40.4%
India	Kancheepuram	2000	64.6%	69.1%	59.1%
India	Kancheepuram	2017	73.0%	77.0%	67.8%
India	Kandhamal	2000	16.5%	22.2%	11.8%
India	Kandhamal	2017	22.3%	28.2%	16.9%
India	Kangpokpi	2000	25.0%	26.0%	24.2%
India	Kangpokpi	2017	35.1%	36.3%	34.1%
India	Kangra	2000	65.7%	69.4%	61.4%
India	Kangra	2017	73.2%	76.6%	69.2%
India	Kannauj	2000	11.9%	13.9%	10.0%
India	Kannauj	2017	18.5%	20.5%	16.4%
India	Kanniyakumari	2000	60.6%	64.2%	57.7%
India	Kanniyakumari	2017	69.7%	72.5%	67.2%
India	Kannur	2000	19.0%	21.9%	15.5%
India	Kannur	2017	26.6%	29.6%	23.3%
India	Kanpur Dehat	2000	14.8%	18.5%	11.8%
India	Kanpur Dehat	2017	22.5%	26.9%	18.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Kanpur Nagar	2000	14.4%	15.0%	13.8%
India	Kanpur Nagar	2017	21.7%	22.4%	20.9%
India	Kapurthala	2000	56.3%	59.4%	53.1%
India	Kapurthala	2017	69.5%	72.4%	66.0%
India	Karaikal	2000	89.9%	91.8%	87.3%
India	Karaikal	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.0%
India	Karauli	2000	28.8%	31.4%	26.5%
India	Karauli	2017	38.2%	40.6%	35.6%
India	Karbi Ang-long	2000	20.5%	25.6%	15.9%
India	Karbi Ang-long	2017	25.8%	31.1%	21.0%
India	Kargil	2000	53.9%	60.0%	47.9%
India	Kargil	2017	62.7%	68.1%	56.6%
India	Karimganj	2000	17.1%	21.8%	14.0%
India	Karimganj	2017	25.2%	29.3%	21.5%
India	Karimnagar	2000	59.2%	66.9%	50.1%
India	Karimnagar	2017	67.8%	75.2%	58.8%
India	Karnal	2000	67.4%	69.2%	64.9%
India	Karnal	2017	74.2%	75.8%	71.7%
India	Karur	2000	82.7%	88.2%	76.9%
India	Karur	2017	88.4%	92.5%	83.0%
India	Kasaragod	2000	19.9%	21.9%	18.3%
India	Kasaragod	2017	27.1%	29.9%	25.0%
India	Kasganj	2000	6.0%	7.7%	5.2%
India	Kasganj	2017	10.4%	12.8%	9.3%
India	Kathua	2000	55.5%	59.9%	51.7%
India	Kathua	2017	65.5%	69.7%	61.7%
India	Katihar	2000	4.2%	4.8%	3.6%
India	Katihar	2017	7.1%	8.1%	6.2%
India	Katni	2000	22.0%	25.1%	19.6%
India	Katni	2017	28.2%	31.5%	25.1%
India	Kaushambi	2000	26.2%	28.0%	25.0%
India	Kaushambi	2017	28.0%	30.4%	26.6%
India	Kendrapara	2000	17.2%	19.4%	15.8%
India	Kendrapara	2017	24.4%	26.2%	22.8%
India	Kendujhar	2000	17.7%	21.9%	14.3%
India	Kendujhar	2017	23.7%	28.2%	19.5%
India	Khagaria	2000	2.3%	3.6%	1.8%
India	Khagaria	2017	4.1%	5.9%	3.2%
India	Khammam	2000	55.3%	62.8%	48.3%
India	Khammam	2017	63.8%	70.6%	56.9%
India	Khargone	2000	54.1%	58.0%	50.2%
India	Khargone	2017	62.9%	66.1%	59.0%
India	Kheda	2000	66.6%	69.0%	63.2%
India	Kheda	2017	76.5%	78.6%	73.4%
India	Khordha	2000	24.6%	25.7%	23.6%
India	Khordha	2017	34.1%	35.5%	32.9%
India	Khowai	2000	24.4%	27.7%	21.0%
India	Khowai	2017	35.1%	39.0%	30.5%
India	Khunti	2000	7.2%	10.4%	5.5%
India	Khunti	2017	11.3%	15.1%	9.0%
India	Kinnaur	2000	79.7%	83.5%	75.2%
India	Kinnaur	2017	85.6%	88.7%	81.9%
India	Kiphire	2000	70.5%	72.0%	68.9%
India	Kiphire	2017	79.2%	80.4%	77.9%
India	Kishanganj	2000	3.8%	5.7%	2.9%
India	Kishanganj	2017	6.3%	8.7%	4.9%
India	Kishtwar	2000	65.0%	71.8%	58.4%
India	Kishtwar	2017	71.5%	77.6%	65.2%
India	Koch Bihar	2000	21.0%	23.3%	19.1%
India	Koch Bihar	2017	26.2%	29.4%	23.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Kodagu	2000	54.9%	59.3%	50.3%
India	Kodagu	2017	64.8%	69.3%	60.0%
India	Koderma	2000	9.7%	11.4%	8.2%
India	Koderma	2017	16.0%	17.8%	14.3%
India	Kohima	2000	42.6%	44.7%	40.6%
India	Kohima	2017	54.3%	56.3%	52.5%
India	Kokrajhar	2000	8.4%	10.9%	7.1%
India	Kokrajhar	2017	12.1%	14.8%	10.4%
India	Kolar	2000	53.3%	57.8%	48.2%
India	Kolar	2017	63.5%	67.3%	58.8%
India	Kolasib	2000	74.5%	75.7%	73.1%
India	Kolasib	2017	82.8%	83.8%	81.7%
India	Kolhapur	2000	71.4%	76.8%	65.5%
India	Kolhapur	2017	78.1%	83.0%	72.6%
India	Kolkata	2000	41.0%	42.6%	39.5%
India	Kolkata	2017	55.2%	56.8%	53.7%
India	Kollam	2000	16.5%	18.5%	14.6%
India	Kollam	2017	22.5%	24.7%	20.3%
India	Kondagaon	2000	20.5%	29.1%	13.9%
India	Kondagaon	2017	26.6%	36.0%	19.4%
India	Koppal	2000	61.4%	65.1%	56.4%
India	Koppal	2017	67.9%	71.5%	63.7%
India	Koraput	2000	24.3%	29.5%	20.1%
India	Koraput	2017	31.0%	36.5%	26.2%
India	Korba	2000	19.6%	23.3%	17.2%
India	Korba	2017	26.9%	30.3%	24.3%
India	Koriya	2000	29.3%	32.5%	26.5%
India	Koriya	2017	38.0%	41.3%	34.7%
India	Kota	2000	50.7%	52.3%	49.2%
India	Kota	2017	60.7%	62.2%	59.2%
India	Kottayam	2000	18.9%	20.3%	17.3%
India	Kottayam	2017	28.0%	29.4%	26.3%
India	Kozhikode	2000	19.1%	22.8%	16.2%
India	Kozhikode	2017	26.7%	31.8%	22.7%
India	Kra Daddi	2000	89.9%	95.2%	78.6%
India	Kra Daddi	2017	92.8%	97.1%	84.1%
India	Krishna	2000	55.1%	59.3%	50.8%
India	Krishna	2017	64.2%	68.2%	60.1%
India	Krishnagiri	2000	77.0%	81.4%	71.4%
India	Krishnagiri	2017	84.0%	87.8%	79.3%
India	Kulgam	2000	78.8%	80.8%	75.8%
India	Kulgam	2017	86.1%	87.4%	83.7%
India	Kullu	2000	80.4%	84.8%	74.1%
India	Kullu	2017	86.2%	89.6%	81.0%
India	Kumuram Bheem Asifabad	2000	52.0%	66.2%	36.8%
India	Kumuram Bheem Asifabad	2017	59.0%	72.7%	43.6%
India	Kupwara	2000	57.1%	59.9%	53.8%
India	Kupwara	2017	69.1%	71.2%	66.6%
India	Kurnool	2000	59.0%	64.9%	53.5%
India	Kurnool	2017	67.1%	72.5%	61.7%
India	Kurukshetra	2000	70.0%	72.7%	66.5%
India	Kurukshetra	2017	78.5%	80.5%	75.6%
India	Kurung Kumey	2000	62.9%	70.7%	56.6%
India	Kurung Kumey	2017	71.4%	79.1%	65.9%
India	Kushinagar	2000	6.6%	7.8%	5.8%
India	Kushinagar	2017	11.3%	12.7%	10.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Lahul & Spiti	2000	80.7%	85.4%	74.9%
India	Lahul & Spiti	2017	84.4%	88.8%	79.0%
India	Lakhimpur	2000	12.3%	13.6%	11.2%
India	Lakhimpur	2017	18.9%	20.5%	17.4%
India	Lakhimpur	2000	19.7%	23.1%	16.5%
India	Lakhimpur Kheri	2017	26.1%	29.7%	22.5%
India	Lakhisarai	2000	3.0%	3.5%	2.5%
India	Lakhisarai	2017	5.3%	6.1%	4.6%
India	Lakshadweep	2000	9.3%	13.6%	5.4%
India	Lakshadweep	2017	13.6%	18.8%	9.2%
India	Lalitpur	2000	16.1%	22.2%	10.9%
India	Lalitpur	2017	23.2%	30.5%	16.1%
India	Latehar	2000	16.0%	19.3%	13.2%
India	Latehar	2017	22.4%	26.0%	19.3%
India	Latur	2000	50.9%	55.3%	46.4%
India	Latur	2017	59.7%	64.4%	54.8%
India	Lawangtlai	2000	43.9%	48.7%	40.4%
India	Lawangtlai	2017	53.0%	59.0%	49.1%
India	Leh (Ladakh)	2000	52.3%	57.6%	46.6%
India	Leh (Ladakh)	2017	61.3%	66.5%	55.9%
India	Lohardaga	2000	9.8%	11.9%	8.5%
India	Lohardaga	2017	15.7%	18.0%	14.0%
India	Lohit	2000	62.8%	68.2%	56.5%
India	Lohit	2017	72.1%	76.5%	66.2%
India	Longding	2000	58.8%	61.0%	56.6%
India	Longding	2017	65.0%	67.0%	62.9%
India	Longleng	2000	26.8%	28.0%	25.5%
India	Longleng	2017	41.4%	42.9%	39.8%
India	Lower Dibang Valley	2000	56.9%	60.2%	53.4%
India	Lower Dibang Valley	2017	63.6%	66.5%	60.8%
India	Lower Siang	2000	62.5%	68.2%	54.0%
India	Lower Siang	2017	69.6%	74.5%	62.4%
India	Lower Suban- siri	2000	91.8%	93.9%	88.8%
India	Lower Suban- siri	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.3%
India	Lucknow	2000	24.2%	25.2%	23.1%
India	Lucknow	2017	33.7%	35.0%	32.4%
India	Ludhiana	2000	54.1%	58.4%	49.2%
India	Ludhiana	2017	64.3%	68.9%	59.3%
India	Lunglei	2000	64.9%	68.6%	61.8%
India	Lunglei	2017	71.8%	75.6%	68.6%
India	Madhepura	2000	1.9%	2.2%	1.7%
India	Madhepura	2017	3.4%	3.9%	3.0%
India	Madhubani	2000	5.4%	7.6%	4.2%
India	Madhubani	2017	8.7%	11.5%	6.9%
India	Madurai	2000	72.4%	76.0%	67.8%
India	Madurai	2017	81.2%	84.2%	76.9%
India	Maharajganj	2000	5.9%	9.1%	4.2%
India	Maharajganj	2017	9.7%	13.2%	7.3%
India	Mahasamund	2000	23.1%	26.5%	20.3%
India	Mahasamund	2017	30.9%	34.7%	27.6%
India	Mahbubnagar	2000	60.9%	72.3%	49.8%
India	Mahbubnagar	2017	69.3%	80.0%	57.6%
India	Mahe	2000	65.6%	74.4%	44.0%
India	Mahe	2017	75.8%	82.6%	56.2%
India	Mahendragarh	2000	68.3%	70.1%	66.6%
India	Mahendragarh	2017	76.8%	78.5%	75.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Mahesana	2000	71.8%	75.1%	68.4%
India	Mahesana	2017	79.1%	82.2%	75.8%
India	Mahisagar	2000	41.8%	48.0%	36.4%
India	Mahisagar	2017	47.9%	54.3%	41.8%
India	Mahoba	2000	10.0%	11.8%	8.7%
India	Mahoba	2017	15.5%	17.7%	13.8%
India	Mahuababad	2000	53.4%	66.5%	41.2%
India	Mahuababad	2017	62.0%	74.7%	49.3%
India	Mainpuri	2000	9.6%	10.9%	8.7%
India	Mainpuri	2017	15.5%	17.2%	14.1%
India	Majuli	2000	10.3%	16.5%	6.5%
India	Majuli	2017	13.7%	22.5%	8.8%
India	Malappuram	2000	20.0%	22.6%	18.1%
India	Malappuram	2017	27.0%	30.0%	24.5%
India	Maldah	2000	17.3%	19.6%	15.5%
India	Maldah	2017	25.0%	27.7%	22.7%
India	Malkangiri	2000	16.7%	21.6%	12.6%
India	Malkangiri	2017	22.6%	27.9%	18.3%
India	Mamit	2000	58.7%	62.2%	55.6%
India	Mamit	2017	66.2%	69.4%	63.5%
India	Mancherial	2000	43.3%	55.8%	34.0%
India	Mancherial	2017	50.8%	63.7%	41.3%
India	Mandi	2000	71.4%	73.9%	68.7%
India	Mandi	2017	78.7%	80.8%	76.5%
India	Mandla	2000	17.4%	20.9%	14.9%
India	Mandla	2017	24.2%	28.3%	21.1%
India	Mandsaur	2000	32.3%	36.6%	28.3%
India	Mandsaur	2017	41.7%	46.3%	37.4%
India	Mandya	2000	70.8%	73.9%	66.9%
India	Mandya	2017	78.7%	81.9%	74.7%
India	Mansa	2000	58.1%	60.3%	56.3%
India	Mansa	2017	68.5%	70.7%	66.7%
India	Mathura	2000	10.8%	13.9%	8.4%
India	Mathura	2017	15.8%	19.5%	12.9%
India	Mau	2000	5.0%	5.7%	4.4%
India	Mau	2017	8.4%	9.3%	7.5%
India	Mayurbhanj	2000	16.6%	20.9%	13.1%
India	Mayurbhanj	2017	23.4%	28.0%	19.3%
India	Medak	2000	56.1%	68.1%	45.6%
India	Medak	2017	64.7%	75.5%	54.0%
India	Medchal	2000	68.0%	74.3%	63.1%
India	Malkajgiri	2017	75.2%	81.7%	69.7%
India	Meerut	2000	26.6%	27.5%	25.8%
India	Meerut	2017	33.4%	34.6%	32.5%
India	Mewat	2000	41.1%	42.5%	39.8%
India	Mewat	2017	53.5%	54.8%	52.2%
India	Mirzapur	2000	8.2%	11.4%	6.2%
India	Mirzapur	2017	12.1%	15.7%	9.8%
India	Moga	2000	61.9%	65.9%	55.9%
India	Moga	2017	71.2%	74.9%	64.9%
India	Mokokchung	2000	37.9%	40.2%	35.6%
India	Mokokchung	2017	50.3%	53.0%	47.7%
India	Mon	2000	52.0%	53.5%	50.4%
India	Mon	2017	58.8%	60.3%	57.2%
India	Moradabad	2000	15.0%	15.7%	14.3%
India	Moradabad	2017	20.5%	21.3%	19.7%
India	Morbi	2000	54.2%	65.7%	43.4%
India	Morbi	2017	62.5%	72.8%	51.9%
India	Morena	2000	29.6%	32.9%	26.4%
India	Morena	2017	37.5%	40.6%	34.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Morigaon	2000	7.4%	8.4%	6.6%
India	Morigaon	2017	11.1%	12.7%	9.8%
India	Muktsar	2000	57.1%	59.6%	54.6%
India	Muktsar	2017	66.5%	68.6%	64.2%
India	Mumbai City	2000	65.4%	66.9%	63.1%
India	Mumbai City	2017	75.6%	77.0%	74.0%
India	Mumbai Sub-urban	2000	84.1%	85.0%	83.2%
India	Mumbai Sub-urban	2017	89.6%	90.2%	89.0%
India	Mungeli	2000	23.3%	28.7%	18.1%
India	Mungeli	2017	28.9%	34.2%	23.4%
India	Munger	2000	5.0%	5.6%	4.5%
India	Munger	2017	8.3%	9.1%	7.5%
India	Murshidabad	2000	22.2%	25.6%	18.5%
India	Murshidabad	2017	30.5%	34.1%	26.3%
India	Muzaffarnagar	2000	15.0%	18.3%	12.4%
India	Muzaffarnagar	2017	21.4%	25.0%	18.2%
India	Muzaffarpur	2000	5.9%	8.3%	4.7%
India	Muzaffarpur	2017	9.7%	12.4%	8.0%
India	Mysuru	2000	68.4%	73.0%	61.8%
India	Mysuru	2017	75.3%	79.0%	70.3%
India	Nabarangapur	2000	13.5%	18.1%	9.5%
India	Nabarangapur	2017	19.5%	24.7%	14.8%
India	Nadia	2000	27.3%	30.2%	24.5%
India	Nadia	2017	35.7%	39.3%	32.6%
India	Nagaon	2000	9.9%	11.8%	8.0%
India	Nagaon	2017	13.9%	15.9%	11.9%
India	Nagappattinam	2000	78.8%	83.4%	71.9%
India	Nagappattinam	2017	85.8%	89.3%	79.6%
India	Nagarkurnool	2000	56.5%	69.6%	43.0%
India	Nagarkurnool	2017	63.5%	76.2%	51.4%
India	Nagaur	2000	35.1%	39.6%	30.6%
India	Nagaur	2017	44.5%	49.0%	40.1%
India	Nagpur	2000	73.9%	76.7%	70.6%
India	Nagpur	2017	80.6%	83.2%	77.5%
India	Nainital	2000	68.2%	70.4%	66.1%
India	Nainital	2017	75.1%	77.2%	73.1%
India	Nalanda	2000	6.3%	6.9%	5.6%
India	Nalanda	2017	9.8%	10.7%	8.9%
India	Nalbari	2000	4.1%	4.7%	3.5%
India	Nalbari	2017	6.6%	7.6%	5.7%
India	Nalgonda	2000	48.8%	59.5%	40.5%
India	Nalgonda	2017	58.4%	68.9%	49.9%
India	Namakkal	2000	80.9%	85.1%	76.2%
India	Namakkal	2017	87.3%	90.8%	82.5%
India	Namsai	2000	8.2%	9.0%	7.3%
India	Namsai	2017	12.3%	13.4%	11.3%
India	Nanded	2000	51.9%	58.4%	46.7%
India	Nanded	2017	61.0%	67.5%	55.5%
India	Nandurbar	2000	55.3%	58.8%	51.6%
India	Nandurbar	2017	64.2%	67.3%	60.5%
India	Narayanpur	2000	13.7%	19.1%	9.8%
India	Narayanpur	2017	20.2%	26.0%	15.4%
India	Narmada	2000	55.1%	60.4%	49.4%
India	Narmada	2017	61.8%	66.6%	56.6%
India	Narsimhapur	2000	30.3%	33.9%	26.8%
India	Narsimhapur	2017	39.8%	43.4%	35.7%
India	Nashik	2000	64.4%	69.5%	60.0%
India	Nashik	2017	72.6%	77.0%	68.3%
India	Navsari	2000	46.5%	49.9%	43.1%
India	Navsari	2017	57.8%	61.2%	54.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Nawada	2000	3.2%	5.2%	2.0%
India	Nawada	2017	5.1%	7.7%	3.4%
India	Nayagarh	2000	13.1%	15.5%	11.2%
India	Nayagarh	2017	19.5%	22.1%	17.3%
India	Neemuch	2000	47.0%	51.2%	43.5%
India	Neemuch	2017	57.9%	61.6%	54.8%
India	Nellore	2000	46.4%	53.0%	40.1%
India	Nellore	2017	56.2%	62.7%	50.0%
India	New Delhi	2000	84.4%	84.9%	83.8%
India	New Delhi	2017	90.2%	90.6%	89.9%
India	Nicobars	2000	74.6%	84.9%	63.6%
India	Nicobars	2017	82.1%	90.2%	72.3%
India	Nirmal	2000	46.7%	54.5%	39.3%
India	Nirmal	2017	53.8%	61.6%	45.8%
India	Niwari	2000	22.9%	25.4%	20.2%
India	Niwari	2017	31.5%	34.2%	28.9%
India	Nizamabad	2000	56.6%	65.3%	47.7%
India	Nizamabad	2017	66.1%	74.6%	57.1%
India	Noney	2000	34.2%	49.2%	20.7%
India	Noney	2017	32.3%	40.3%	21.9%
India	North	2000	84.3%	85.1%	83.6%
India	North	2017	89.9%	90.5%	89.3%
India	North & Middle Andaman	2000	72.7%	82.3%	59.8%
India	North & Middle Andaman	2017	81.5%	88.4%	71.4%
India	North 24 Parganas	2000	36.7%	39.4%	34.3%
India	North 24 Parganas	2017	46.1%	49.0%	43.5%
India	North East	2000	75.2%	76.3%	73.9%
India	North East	2017	82.8%	83.7%	81.7%
India	North Garo Hills	2000	5.4%	6.6%	4.5%
India	North Garo Hills	2017	9.3%	11.3%	7.7%
India	North Goa	2000	82.9%	85.3%	80.2%
India	North Goa	2017	88.8%	90.6%	86.5%
India	North Sikkim	2000	81.3%	84.8%	76.6%
India	North Sikkim	2017	87.2%	89.0%	84.4%
India	North Tripura	2000	40.7%	44.2%	37.3%
India	North Tripura	2017	49.5%	52.5%	46.3%
India	North West	2000	72.7%	74.0%	71.4%
India	North West	2017	82.4%	83.4%	81.4%
India	Nuapada	2000	12.0%	17.1%	8.7%
India	Nuapada	2017	16.1%	21.7%	12.4%
India	Osmanabad	2000	59.1%	63.9%	54.3%
India	Osmanabad	2017	65.9%	70.7%	61.4%
India	Pakke Kessang	2000	55.4%	61.1%	49.6%
India	Pakke Kessang	2017	64.8%	69.4%	59.9%
India	Pakur	2000	6.8%	8.1%	5.8%
India	Pakur	2017	11.6%	13.6%	10.2%
India	Palakkad	2000	24.0%	27.7%	20.9%
India	Palakkad	2017	32.8%	36.8%	29.7%
India	Palamu	2000	14.4%	16.9%	12.7%
India	Palamu	2017	21.6%	24.7%	19.4%
India	Palghar	2000	60.3%	67.0%	53.2%
India	Palghar	2017	68.6%	74.5%	62.2%
India	Pali	2000	48.9%	54.0%	44.0%
India	Pali	2017	56.4%	61.2%	51.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Palwal	2000	59.0%	61.2%	56.9%
India	Palwal	2017	71.3%	73.2%	69.4%
India	Panch Mahals	2000	42.4%	45.6%	39.2%
India	Panch Mahals	2017	50.8%	53.6%	47.4%
India	Panchkula	2000	78.3%	79.4%	77.2%
India	Panchkula	2017	85.7%	86.4%	85.0%
India	Panipat	2000	61.4%	62.7%	60.0%
India	Panipat	2017	73.4%	74.7%	72.1%
India	Panna	2000	26.6%	33.1%	21.2%
India	Panna	2017	34.7%	41.0%	28.9%
India	Papum Pare	2000	58.7%	60.7%	56.5%
India	Papum Pare	2017	68.2%	69.7%	66.6%
India	Parbhani	2000	51.7%	56.3%	46.6%
India	Parbhani	2017	61.3%	65.8%	56.2%
India	Paschimi	2000	48.8%	51.0%	46.2%
India	Barddhama Paschimi	2017	58.3%	60.4%	56.0%
India	Pashchim Champaran	2000	10.7%	13.6%	8.1%
India	Pashchim Champaran	2017	16.2%	19.7%	13.1%
India	Pashchim Me- dinipur	2000	28.5%	33.2%	24.0%
India	Pashchim Me- dinipur	2017	37.5%	42.7%	32.3%
India	Pashchimi Singhbhum	2000	16.0%	20.0%	12.7%
India	Pashchimi Singhbhum	2017	22.7%	26.9%	19.0%
India	Patan	2000	73.8%	77.8%	69.3%
India	Patan	2017	79.8%	83.1%	76.0%
India	Pathanamthitta	2000	19.3%	21.6%	17.9%
India	Pathanamthitta	2017	29.0%	32.0%	27.1%
India	Pathankot	2000	43.7%	52.9%	34.9%
India	Pathankot	2017	55.5%	64.8%	46.2%
India	Patiala	2000	61.5%	64.3%	58.3%
India	Patiala	2017	71.2%	73.8%	68.4%
India	Patna	2000	10.5%	11.2%	10.1%
India	Patna	2017	16.5%	17.2%	15.8%
India	Pauri	2000	68.0%	71.3%	65.3%
India	Garhwal Pauri	2017	74.6%	77.6%	72.2%
India	Peddapalli	2000	44.0%	52.8%	36.2%
India	Peddapalli	2017	51.9%	59.9%	44.4%
India	Perambalur	2000	81.3%	83.2%	79.4%
India	Perambalur	2017	88.2%	89.6%	86.9%
India	Peren	2000	27.0%	29.0%	25.2%
India	Peren	2017	35.3%	37.9%	33.3%
India	Phek	2000	67.8%	69.4%	65.9%
India	Phek	2017	76.0%	77.8%	74.3%
India	Pherzawl	2000	51.1%	58.5%	41.1%
India	Pherzawl	2017	53.1%	61.6%	43.4%
India	Pilibhit	2000	7.4%	10.7%	5.4%
India	Pilibhit	2017	10.1%	13.1%	7.9%
India	Pithoragarh	2000	61.1%	63.8%	57.9%
India	Pithoragarh	2017	69.9%	72.5%	67.3%
India	Poonch	2000	43.9%	51.2%	37.8%
India	Poonch	2017	54.1%	60.7%	49.1%
India	Porbandar	2000	57.8%	62.0%	52.6%
India	Porbandar	2017	64.4%	67.9%	59.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Prakasam	2000	41.4%	47.7%	35.7%
India	Prakasam	2017	49.8%	56.1%	43.6%
India	Pratapgarh	2000	26.9%	29.4%	24.5%
India	Pratapgarh	2000	9.7%	13.4%	7.0%
India	Pratapgarh	2017	14.6%	19.2%	11.1%
India	Pratapgarh	2017	34.8%	37.7%	31.8%
India	Puducherry	2000	55.7%	57.1%	54.3%
India	Puducherry	2017	64.6%	66.0%	63.0%
India	Pudukkottai	2000	70.5%	76.5%	64.6%
India	Pudukkottai	2017	77.5%	82.6%	72.3%
India	Pulwama	2000	75.0%	77.0%	72.6%
India	Pulwama	2017	83.6%	85.1%	81.8%
India	Pune	2000	75.8%	78.6%	72.6%
India	Pune	2017	83.1%	85.7%	80.1%
India	Purba Bardhaman	2000	25.1%	29.4%	21.4%
India	Purba Bardhaman	2017	32.5%	36.7%	27.9%
India	Purba Champaran	2000	4.8%	7.2%	3.4%
India	Purba Champaran	2017	7.8%	11.0%	5.6%
India	Purba Medinipur	2000	20.4%	24.5%	17.2%
India	Purba Medinipur	2017	29.5%	33.9%	25.9%
India	Purbi Singhbhum	2000	22.7%	23.8%	21.7%
India	Purbi Singhbhum	2017	30.9%	32.2%	29.8%
India	Puri	2000	22.4%	24.5%	20.9%
India	Puri	2017	30.3%	32.5%	28.5%
India	Purnia	2000	4.7%	6.6%	3.3%
India	Purnia	2017	7.3%	9.5%	5.7%
India	Puruliya	2000	15.2%	19.8%	12.0%
India	Puruliya	2017	20.9%	26.1%	16.8%
India	Rae Bareli	2000	16.4%	19.1%	14.2%
India	Rae Bareli	2017	22.6%	25.9%	19.7%
India	Raichur	2000	55.4%	60.4%	50.4%
India	Raichur	2017	64.1%	68.8%	59.0%
India	Raigad	2000	67.2%	72.0%	61.8%
India	Raigad	2017	75.7%	80.1%	70.4%
India	Raigarh	2000	27.9%	31.5%	25.0%
India	Raigarh	2017	34.5%	38.9%	31.1%
India	Raipur	2000	40.3%	41.9%	39.0%
India	Raipur	2017	50.0%	51.9%	48.5%
India	Raisen	2000	19.5%	23.6%	16.6%
India	Raisen	2017	27.3%	31.9%	23.8%
India	Rajanna Sircilla	2000	46.7%	59.7%	32.8%
India	Rajanna Sircilla	2017	56.5%	68.9%	43.3%
India	Rajgarh	2000	21.4%	25.9%	18.0%
India	Rajgarh	2017	29.4%	34.3%	25.4%
India	Rajkot	2000	71.7%	76.5%	66.6%
India	Rajkot	2017	78.8%	83.2%	74.3%
India	Rajnandgaon	2000	36.7%	40.2%	32.9%
India	Rajnandgaon	2017	43.9%	47.6%	39.9%
India	Rajouri	2000	37.3%	40.7%	33.3%
India	Rajouri	2017	46.1%	49.0%	42.6%
India	Rajsamand	2000	50.4%	54.7%	46.6%
India	Rajsamand	2017	59.5%	63.9%	55.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Ramanagara	2000	78.5%	82.6%	73.4%
India	Ramanagara	2017	84.7%	88.2%	79.7%
India	Ramanathapuram	2000	56.7%	65.6%	49.5%
India	Ramanathapuram	2017	64.7%	72.9%	58.1%
India	Ramban	2000	58.8%	60.6%	56.9%
India	Ramban	2017	68.9%	70.6%	67.3%
India	Ramgarh	2000	25.9%	27.2%	24.9%
India	Ramgarh	2017	35.5%	37.0%	34.1%
India	Rampur	2000	14.2%	15.3%	13.1%
India	Rampur	2017	19.4%	20.8%	18.2%
India	Ranchi	2000	22.7%	25.7%	20.3%
India	Ranchi	2017	29.4%	32.4%	26.7%
India	Ranga Reddy	2000	56.3%	61.4%	51.9%
India	Ranga Reddy	2017	62.5%	67.6%	57.8%
India	Ratlam	2000	40.2%	43.1%	37.4%
India	Ratlam	2017	50.5%	53.4%	47.7%
India	Ratnagiri	2000	57.0%	64.7%	49.8%
India	Ratnagiri	2017	65.9%	73.6%	58.3%
India	Rayagada	2000	24.8%	29.9%	19.4%
India	Rayagada	2017	32.3%	37.7%	26.5%
India	Reasi	2000	53.3%	56.0%	50.5%
India	Reasi	2017	63.6%	66.0%	61.2%
India	Rewa	2000	27.9%	31.5%	24.2%
India	Rewa	2017	36.4%	40.0%	32.3%
India	Rewari	2000	65.8%	67.5%	64.2%
India	Rewari	2017	76.0%	77.4%	74.7%
India	Ri Bhoi	2000	39.3%	43.2%	35.4%
India	Ri Bhoi	2017	48.4%	51.8%	44.9%
India	Rohtak	2000	65.6%	68.9%	62.7%
India	Rohtak	2017	73.7%	76.4%	70.9%
India	Rohtas	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.3%
India	Rohtas	2017	6.9%	8.7%	5.5%
India	Rudraprayag	2000	74.7%	78.3%	71.7%
India	Rudraprayag	2017	83.3%	85.4%	81.2%
India	Rupnagar	2000	74.9%	76.2%	73.3%
India	Rupnagar	2017	82.2%	83.2%	81.1%
India	Sabar Kantha	2000	65.1%	70.9%	59.6%
India	Sabar Kantha	2017	72.5%	77.7%	67.4%
India	Sagar	2000	27.6%	32.5%	23.6%
India	Sagar	2017	35.8%	41.2%	30.6%
India	Saharanpur	2000	33.8%	35.2%	32.0%
India	Saharanpur	2017	42.1%	43.4%	40.5%
India	Saharsa	2000	4.2%	4.8%	3.8%
India	Saharsa	2017	7.4%	8.3%	6.7%
India	Sahibganj	2000	9.8%	11.3%	8.7%
India	Sahibganj	2017	16.4%	18.0%	14.9%
India	Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar	2000	81.9%	83.1%	80.5%
India	Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar	2017	88.6%	89.5%	87.5%
India	Saiha	2000	56.1%	58.3%	53.4%
India	Saiha	2017	65.4%	67.3%	63.1%
India	Salem	2000	82.1%	84.8%	79.1%
India	Salem	2017	87.2%	89.6%	84.6%
India	Samastipur	2000	5.9%	6.5%	5.3%
India	Samastipur	2017	9.7%	10.5%	8.8%
India	Samba	2000	51.1%	52.7%	49.3%
India	Samba	2017	65.1%	66.6%	63.4%
India	Sambalpur	2000	18.9%	22.6%	16.0%
India	Sambalpur	2017	26.3%	30.5%	22.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Sambhal	2000	13.5%	16.9%	10.5%
India	Sambhal	2017	17.9%	21.4%	14.6%
India	Sangareddy	2000	65.7%	72.8%	58.0%
India	Sangareddy	2017	73.9%	80.4%	66.4%
India	Sangli	2000	74.1%	78.3%	68.8%
India	Sangli	2017	81.0%	84.5%	76.7%
India	Sangrur	2000	54.4%	58.1%	50.8%
India	Sangrur	2017	62.6%	66.5%	58.4%
India	Sant Kabir Nagar	2000	8.3%	11.5%	4.9%
India	Sant Kabir Nagar	2017	11.4%	14.0%	8.3%
India	Sant Ravi Das Nagar	2000	8.5%	9.1%	7.8%
India	Sant Ravi Das Nagar	2017	13.6%	14.6%	12.7%
India	Saraikela Kharsawan	2000	22.3%	26.1%	19.4%
India	Saraikela Kharsawan	2017	30.2%	34.1%	26.6%
India	Saran	2000	6.2%	7.6%	5.4%
India	Saran	2017	9.9%	12.0%	8.7%
India	Satara	2000	65.4%	71.3%	57.8%
India	Satara	2017	73.5%	79.2%	66.1%
India	Satna	2000	26.5%	30.2%	23.0%
India	Satna	2017	35.7%	39.4%	32.1%
India	Sawai Madhopur	2000	33.8%	36.7%	30.9%
India	Sawai Madhopur	2017	43.2%	46.2%	40.0%
India	Sehore	2000	29.8%	34.5%	26.3%
India	Sehore	2017	38.5%	43.4%	34.6%
India	Senapati	2000	44.9%	49.9%	39.5%
India	Senapati	2017	51.5%	57.0%	45.2%
India	Seoni	2000	31.4%	36.7%	26.7%
India	Seoni	2017	39.2%	44.7%	33.9%
India	Serchhip	2000	66.0%	67.7%	64.1%
India	Serchhip	2017	73.5%	75.1%	71.5%
India	Shahdara	2000	83.4%	84.4%	82.3%
India	Shahdara	2017	89.3%	90.0%	88.5%
India	Shahdol	2000	14.8%	19.8%	11.5%
India	Shahdol	2017	20.7%	26.6%	16.7%
India	Shahid Bhagat Singh Nagar	2000	67.1%	68.5%	65.7%
India	Shahid Bhagat Singh Nagar	2017	77.1%	78.3%	75.9%
India	Shahjahanpur	2000	6.8%	8.4%	5.7%
India	Shahjahanpur	2017	10.7%	12.8%	9.2%
India	Shajapur	2000	29.5%	33.6%	26.3%
India	Shajapur	2017	38.2%	42.8%	34.4%
India	Shamli	2000	20.5%	25.9%	16.1%
India	Shamli	2017	29.8%	35.2%	24.5%
India	Sheikhpura	2000	2.7%	3.1%	2.3%
India	Sheikhpura	2017	4.7%	5.4%	4.1%
India	Sheohar	2000	7.0%	7.6%	6.5%
India	Sheohar	2017	10.8%	11.7%	10.0%
India	Sheopur	2000	23.1%	28.4%	18.8%
India	Sheopur	2017	30.7%	36.2%	26.1%
India	Shi Yomi	2000	90.4%	93.5%	85.6%
India	Shi Yomi	2017	93.1%	95.8%	88.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Shimla	2000	77.5%	80.6%	73.7%
India	Shimla	2017	83.9%	86.5%	80.6%
India	Shivamogga	2000	66.0%	71.3%	61.0%
India	Shivamogga	2017	74.1%	78.9%	69.7%
India	Shivpuri	2000	21.9%	27.5%	17.8%
India	Shivpuri	2017	28.5%	34.6%	23.8%
India	Shravasti	2000	14.3%	17.5%	11.6%
India	Shravasti	2017	20.0%	23.6%	16.9%
India	Shupiyan	2000	76.5%	77.8%	75.0%
India	Shupiyan	2017	84.5%	85.6%	83.1%
India	Siang	2000	82.8%	88.6%	74.5%
India	Siang	2017	87.4%	92.6%	79.9%
India	Siddharth Nagar	2000	9.0%	10.9%	7.5%
India	Siddharth Nagar	2017	13.7%	16.1%	11.9%
India	Siddipet	2000	59.5%	71.8%	46.3%
India	Siddipet	2017	66.9%	79.1%	53.9%
India	Sidhi	2000	20.3%	24.6%	16.6%
India	Sidhi	2017	26.4%	31.0%	22.1%
India	Sikar	2000	44.2%	48.4%	39.6%
India	Sikar	2017	53.5%	58.0%	49.0%
India	Simdega	2000	10.7%	15.3%	8.1%
India	Simdega	2017	15.2%	20.4%	11.9%
India	Sindhudurg	2000	38.6%	45.1%	32.4%
India	Sindhudurg	2017	47.6%	53.9%	41.6%
India	Singrauli	2000	30.0%	35.0%	26.3%
India	Singrauli	2017	34.1%	38.8%	29.9%
India	Sipahijala	2000	34.8%	40.1%	26.9%
India	Sipahijala	2017	46.1%	50.8%	38.2%
India	Sirmaur	2000	71.8%	74.6%	68.0%
India	Sirmaur	2017	80.3%	82.8%	76.9%
India	Sirohi	2000	52.2%	55.8%	47.6%
India	Sirohi	2017	61.1%	64.2%	57.1%
India	Sirsa	2000	82.7%	85.5%	80.1%
India	Sirsa	2017	85.9%	88.7%	83.3%
India	Sitamarhi	2000	3.1%	5.0%	2.4%
India	Sitamarhi	2017	5.2%	7.6%	4.2%
India	Sitapur	2000	12.9%	15.9%	10.0%
India	Sitapur	2017	18.9%	22.2%	15.6%
India	Sivaganga	2000	66.9%	71.9%	61.1%
India	Sivaganga	2017	75.1%	79.7%	69.4%
India	Sivasagar	2000	20.7%	22.4%	19.5%
India	Sivasagar	2017	29.1%	30.9%	27.6%
India	Siwan	2000	9.6%	13.4%	6.9%
India	Siwan	2017	14.9%	18.9%	11.6%
India	Solan	2000	64.3%	67.8%	61.5%
India	Solan	2017	73.4%	76.5%	70.6%
India	Solapur	2000	56.4%	62.1%	51.1%
India	Solapur	2017	63.6%	69.4%	58.2%
India	Sonbhadra	2000	20.3%	24.3%	17.1%
India	Sonbhadra	2017	26.1%	30.1%	22.9%
India	Sonepur	2000	13.5%	15.2%	11.9%
India	Sonepur	2017	19.9%	22.2%	17.8%
India	Sonipat	2000	49.6%	53.8%	45.6%
India	Sonipat	2017	60.2%	63.8%	56.3%
India	Sonitpur	2000	14.3%	17.8%	11.2%
India	Sonitpur	2017	19.1%	22.7%	15.5%
India	South	2000	63.0%	65.2%	61.1%
India	South	2017	75.3%	77.1%	73.7%
India	South 24 Parganas	2000	21.5%	26.1%	17.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	South 24 Parganas	2017	28.6%	34.0%	24.5%
India	South Andaman	2000	79.6%	86.0%	73.5%
India	South Andaman	2017	90.3%	93.1%	87.5%
India	South East	2000	64.8%	66.7%	63.2%
India	South East	2017	74.6%	76.3%	73.3%
India	South Garo Hills	2000	35.0%	39.9%	29.5%
India	South Garo Hills	2017	44.0%	47.9%	39.4%
India	South Goa	2000	82.9%	85.5%	80.1%
India	South Goa	2017	88.7%	90.7%	86.1%
India	South Salmara Mancachar	2000	5.9%	8.1%	4.5%
India	South Salmara Mancachar	2017	9.3%	12.8%	7.0%
India	South Sikkim	2000	71.5%	72.7%	70.4%
India	South Sikkim	2017	78.8%	80.0%	77.8%
India	South Tripura	2000	32.3%	38.2%	25.3%
India	South Tripura	2017	41.9%	47.9%	35.5%
India	South West	2000	78.9%	80.3%	77.6%
India	South West	2017	86.3%	87.2%	85.4%
India	South West Garo Hills	2000	17.9%	20.4%	15.1%
India	South West Garo Hills	2017	25.5%	28.2%	22.6%
India	South West Khasi Hills	2000	40.2%	51.6%	31.1%
India	South West Khasi Hills	2017	48.7%	60.6%	39.0%
India	Srikakulam	2000	49.5%	53.4%	45.7%
India	Srikakulam	2017	59.3%	63.2%	55.4%
India	Srinagar	2000	73.3%	75.3%	71.3%
India	Srinagar	2017	82.9%	84.3%	81.4%
India	Sukma	2000	18.0%	26.3%	10.8%
India	Sukma	2017	22.6%	31.6%	14.8%
India	Sultanpur	2000	9.7%	12.3%	7.7%
India	Sultanpur	2017	15.2%	18.3%	12.8%
India	Sundargarh	2000	24.8%	27.3%	22.6%
India	Sundargarh	2017	33.3%	36.0%	30.8%
India	Supaul	2000	2.2%	3.3%	1.7%
India	Supaul	2017	3.9%	5.3%	3.1%
India	Surajpur	2000	15.8%	22.0%	11.1%
India	Surajpur	2017	21.7%	28.6%	15.9%
India	Surat	2000	77.8%	85.5%	51.7%
India	Surat	2017	84.1%	91.3%	55.7%
India	Surendranagar	2000	66.7%	72.7%	59.3%
India	Surendranagar	2017	73.3%	78.9%	65.9%
India	Surguja	2000	32.4%	38.2%	27.1%
India	Surguja	2017	38.9%	44.4%	33.2%
India	Suryapet	2000	36.2%	50.5%	24.3%
India	Suryapet	2017	45.0%	59.1%	32.5%
India	Tamenglong	2000	16.6%	21.0%	13.9%
India	Tamenglong	2017	22.3%	27.3%	19.1%
India	Tapi	2000	49.2%	51.6%	46.0%
India	Tapi	2017	58.3%	60.3%	55.9%
India	Tarn Taran	2000	46.3%	52.5%	42.0%
India	Tarn Taran	2017	55.8%	62.8%	51.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Tawang	2000	82.0%	87.0%	75.7%
India	Tawang	2017	87.7%	91.9%	82.3%
India	Tehri Garhwal	2000	63.5%	66.2%	59.7%
India	Tehri Garhwal	2017	73.3%	75.8%	70.1%
India	Tengnoupal	2000	12.6%	21.9%	7.4%
India	Tengnoupal	2017	18.3%	26.5%	12.1%
India	Thane	2000	70.5%	72.8%	68.1%
India	Thane	2017	79.6%	81.5%	77.4%
India	Thanjavur	2000	79.6%	82.7%	76.5%
India	Thanjavur	2017	86.7%	89.2%	83.9%
India	The Nilgiris	2000	74.2%	77.0%	71.3%
India	The Nilgiris	2017	79.6%	81.8%	77.2%
India	Theni	2000	75.7%	78.4%	72.5%
India	Theni	2017	82.7%	85.0%	80.1%
India	Thiruvallur	2000	69.1%	74.7%	61.6%
India	Thiruvallur	2017	78.2%	83.0%	70.9%
India	Thiruvananthapuram	2000	19.7%	22.6%	17.6%
India	Thiruvananthapuram	2017	26.6%	29.7%	24.1%
India	Thiruvarur	2000	86.4%	87.5%	84.8%
India	Thiruvarur	2017	91.6%	92.3%	90.6%
India	Thoubal	2000	20.0%	20.6%	19.3%
India	Thoubal	2017	31.1%	31.9%	30.2%
India	Thrissur	2000	23.2%	28.5%	18.7%
India	Thrissur	2017	31.0%	36.8%	26.2%
India	Tikamgarh	2000	29.0%	32.5%	26.3%
India	Tikamgarh	2017	37.7%	41.7%	34.5%
India	Tinsukia	2000	14.6%	15.8%	13.5%
India	Tinsukia	2017	19.0%	20.4%	17.7%
India	Tirap	2000	68.9%	70.2%	67.3%
India	Tirap	2017	73.7%	75.0%	72.2%
India	Tiruchirappalli	2000	78.9%	82.7%	75.0%
India	Tiruchirappalli	2017	85.1%	88.7%	81.6%
India	Tirunelveli	2000	83.4%	87.6%	77.4%
India	Tirunelveli	2017	88.7%	92.0%	83.8%
India	Tiruppur	2000	82.4%	86.7%	76.1%
India	Tiruppur	2017	88.0%	91.8%	82.3%
India	Tiruvannamalai	2000	83.4%	87.3%	78.4%
India	Tiruvannamalai	2017	89.2%	92.3%	85.1%
India	Tonk	2000	44.2%	51.2%	36.5%
India	Tonk	2017	53.0%	59.7%	44.6%
India	Tuensang	2000	68.1%	69.7%	65.7%
India	Tuensang	2017	76.1%	77.5%	73.8%
India	Tumakuru	2000	53.4%	57.7%	48.4%
India	Tumakuru	2017	63.5%	67.7%	58.4%
India	Tuticorin	2000	77.9%	83.0%	71.8%
India	Tuticorin	2017	84.4%	88.9%	79.0%
India	Udaipur	2000	35.1%	38.5%	31.2%
India	Udaipur	2017	44.5%	47.9%	40.7%
India	Udalguri	2000	13.3%	14.5%	12.0%
India	Udalguri	2017	18.0%	19.5%	16.3%
India	Udham Singh Nagar	2000	33.4%	34.6%	32.2%
India	Udham Singh Nagar	2017	43.5%	44.9%	42.1%
India	Udhampur	2000	27.3%	29.0%	25.7%
India	Udhampur	2017	37.9%	39.9%	36.0%
India	Udupi	2000	29.0%	33.4%	25.2%
India	Udupi	2017	38.1%	43.1%	33.2%
India	Ujjain	2000	32.6%	35.2%	30.3%
India	Ujjain	2017	41.8%	44.6%	39.1%
India	Ukhrul	2000	18.0%	22.6%	15.3%
India	Ukhrul	2017	24.8%	29.9%	21.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Umaria	2000	20.4%	25.2%	16.8%
India	Umaria	2017	28.1%	33.0%	24.0%
India	Una	2000	80.4%	84.0%	76.5%
India	Una	2017	86.9%	89.5%	83.4%
India	Unnao	2000	15.3%	18.4%	13.1%
India	Unnao	2017	21.2%	24.7%	18.6%
India	Unokoti	2000	41.9%	47.0%	36.5%
India	Unokoti	2017	54.7%	59.9%	48.7%
India	Upper Siang	2000	70.4%	75.2%	65.2%
India	Upper Siang	2017	78.3%	82.6%	73.9%
India	Upper Subansiri	2000	71.6%	80.4%	62.2%
India	Upper Subansiri	2017	78.0%	86.5%	68.1%
India	Uttar Bastar Kanker	2000	19.7%	24.6%	15.3%
India	Uttar Bastar Kanker	2017	26.6%	32.0%	21.7%
India	Uttar Dinajpur	2000	11.9%	14.1%	9.9%
India	Uttar Dinajpur	2017	17.8%	20.4%	15.5%
India	Uttara Kanna	2000	35.4%	41.1%	30.6%
India	Uttara Kanna	2017	43.1%	48.4%	38.3%
India	Uttarkashi	2000	62.9%	67.3%	58.3%
India	Uttarkashi	2017	71.0%	74.7%	67.3%
India	Vadodara	2000	68.6%	71.7%	65.0%
India	Vadodara	2017	78.4%	80.8%	75.2%
India	Vaishali	2000	8.0%	9.1%	7.3%
India	Vaishali	2017	12.5%	14.2%	11.6%
India	Valsad	2000	40.1%	45.7%	35.3%
India	Valsad	2017	51.7%	56.9%	46.5%
India	Varanasi	2000	22.4%	23.5%	21.4%
India	Varanasi	2017	28.5%	29.6%	27.4%
India	Vellore	2000	70.5%	74.7%	66.1%
India	Vellore	2017	77.8%	81.5%	73.8%
India	Vidisha	2000	30.5%	35.1%	25.8%
India	Vidisha	2017	40.6%	45.3%	35.4%
India	Vijaypura	2000	55.0%	62.2%	48.3%
India	Vijaypura	2017	64.5%	71.5%	57.7%
India	Vikarabad	2000	66.7%	83.0%	50.8%
India	Vikarabad	2017	73.4%	88.3%	58.4%
India	Viluppuram	2000	81.2%	85.7%	74.9%
India	Viluppuram	2017	86.6%	90.3%	81.6%
India	Virudunagar	2000	69.0%	73.6%	64.3%
India	Virudunagar	2017	76.6%	80.5%	71.8%
India	Visakhapatnam	2000	62.8%	66.8%	58.5%
India	Visakhapatnam	2017	71.2%	75.1%	67.4%
India	Vizianagaram	2000	52.5%	57.2%	48.3%
India	Vizianagaram	2017	61.8%	66.7%	57.5%
India	Wanaparthi	2000	63.0%	71.3%	53.2%
India	Wanaparthi	2017	69.1%	76.5%	60.4%
India	Warangal Rural	2000	42.1%	53.4%	33.2%
India	Warangal Rural	2017	51.8%	62.7%	42.6%
India	Warangal Urban	2000	51.3%	58.6%	45.2%
India	Warangal Urban	2017	60.9%	68.9%	53.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Wardha	2000	63.8%	71.1%	57.0%
India	Wardha	2017	71.0%	77.6%	64.2%
India	Washim	2000	52.7%	56.7%	47.9%
India	Washim	2017	60.9%	65.0%	56.5%
India	Wayanad	2000	34.6%	37.5%	31.7%
India	Wayanad	2017	45.2%	47.8%	42.5%
India	West	2000	75.4%	76.4%	74.4%
India	West	2017	82.6%	83.5%	81.6%
India	West Garo Hills	2000	22.0%	24.2%	20.6%
India	West Garo Hills	2017	28.6%	31.8%	26.7%
India	West Godavari	2000	54.3%	58.7%	49.5%
India	West Godavari	2017	64.0%	68.2%	59.3%
India	West Jaintia Hills	2000	40.4%	44.8%	37.0%
India	West Jaintia Hills	2017	47.2%	52.7%	42.2%
India	West Kameng	2000	83.4%	88.3%	77.6%
India	West Kameng	2017	87.0%	90.7%	81.8%
India	West Karbi Anglong	2000	15.6%	21.4%	11.0%
India	West Karbi Anglong	2017	19.6%	24.5%	15.3%
India	West Khasi Hills	2000	45.7%	49.8%	42.0%
India	West Khasi Hills	2017	56.1%	60.5%	51.9%
India	West Siang	2000	66.7%	77.7%	52.6%
India	West Siang	2017	73.8%	83.2%	60.5%
India	West Sikkim	2000	79.0%	80.5%	77.3%
India	West Sikkim	2017	84.5%	85.8%	83.0%
India	West Tripura	2000	23.6%	31.9%	20.2%
India	West Tripura	2017	33.6%	44.0%	28.7%
India	Wokha	2000	27.4%	29.7%	25.2%
India	Wokha	2017	40.0%	42.3%	37.5%
India	Y.S.R.	2000	43.8%	48.9%	38.6%
India	Y.S.R.	2017	52.8%	57.7%	47.1%
India	Yadadri Bhuvanagiri	2000	33.6%	51.3%	19.9%
India	Yadadri Bhuvanagiri	2017	41.1%	58.5%	25.8%
India	Yadgir	2000	51.6%	57.4%	44.9%
India	Yadgir	2017	59.8%	64.8%	53.7%
India	Yamunanagar	2000	78.1%	80.7%	74.3%
India	Yamunanagar	2017	84.1%	86.4%	80.1%
India	Yanam	2000	89.2%	90.4%	87.1%
India	Yanam	2017	94.1%	94.8%	92.7%
India	Yavatmal	2000	49.0%	54.1%	44.7%
India	Yavatmal	2017	56.2%	61.1%	51.9%
India	Zunheboto	2000	75.6%	77.1%	73.8%
India	Zunheboto	2017	82.9%	84.0%	81.6%
Nepal	Bagmati	2000	49.9%	59.0%	43.0%
Nepal	Bagmati	2017	88.1%	89.9%	86.1%
Nepal	Bheri	2000	34.6%	41.0%	29.4%
Nepal	Bheri	2017	49.4%	56.0%	43.7%
Nepal	Dhaulagiri	2000	54.2%	60.5%	47.7%
Nepal	Dhaulagiri	2017	77.1%	83.0%	69.9%
Nepal	Gandaki	2000	52.4%	57.3%	46.0%
Nepal	Gandaki	2017	77.2%	80.5%	72.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nepal	Janakpur	2000	16.8%	21.7%	12.6%
Nepal	Janakpur	2017	27.2%	33.8%	22.0%
Nepal	Karnali	2000	45.6%	50.2%	41.5%
Nepal	Karnali	2017	70.8%	78.9%	61.9%
Nepal	Koshi	2000	33.2%	37.9%	28.0%
Nepal	Koshi	2017	47.4%	58.8%	37.5%
Nepal	Lumbini	2000	27.1%	33.6%	22.0%
Nepal	Lumbini	2017	43.1%	49.7%	37.3%
Nepal	Mahakali	2000	53.3%	62.0%	42.9%
Nepal	Mahakali	2017	47.3%	60.1%	37.7%
Nepal	Mechi	2000	40.0%	48.1%	32.5%
Nepal	Mechi	2017	62.7%	72.7%	53.8%
Nepal	Narayani	2000	18.6%	23.6%	14.5%
Nepal	Narayani	2017	32.7%	43.6%	25.8%
Nepal	Rapti	2000	43.4%	51.8%	34.1%
Nepal	Rapti	2017	64.9%	73.0%	57.5%
Nepal	Sagarmatha	2000	36.1%	43.0%	28.7%
Nepal	Sagarmatha	2017	31.7%	37.9%	25.9%
Nepal	Seti	2000	36.0%	42.1%	29.1%
Nepal	Seti	2017	43.9%	51.6%	36.8%
Pakistan	Azad Kashmir	2000	31.6%	39.3%	24.6%
Pakistan	Azad Kashmir	2017	45.9%	54.4%	37.7%
Pakistan	Bahawalpur	2000	23.3%	26.4%	20.5%
Pakistan	Bahawalpur	2017	37.5%	41.5%	34.1%
Pakistan	Bannu	2000	21.7%	32.5%	14.2%
Pakistan	Bannu	2017	35.7%	47.3%	26.2%
Pakistan	Dera Ghazi Khan	2000	22.8%	26.1%	19.5%
Pakistan	Dera Ghazi Khan	2017	36.6%	40.6%	32.5%
Pakistan	Dera Ismail Khan	2000	23.0%	30.7%	16.6%
Pakistan	Dera Ismail Khan	2017	36.7%	45.1%	29.3%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 1	2000	26.1%	31.7%	21.5%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 1	2017	39.9%	45.7%	34.1%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 2	2000	24.9%	43.0%	12.3%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 2	2017	38.8%	56.7%	22.6%
Pakistan	Faisalabad	2000	21.3%	27.1%	17.0%
Pakistan	Faisalabad	2017	35.2%	42.1%	29.4%
Pakistan	Gujranwala	2000	22.3%	25.8%	19.1%
Pakistan	Gujranwala	2017	36.3%	40.9%	32.2%
Pakistan	Hazara	2000	29.0%	34.4%	24.8%
Pakistan	Hazara	2017	44.5%	50.3%	39.3%
Pakistan	Hyderabad	2000	20.3%	24.5%	17.0%
Pakistan	Hyderabad	2017	33.6%	38.0%	29.2%
Pakistan	Islamabad	2000	22.1%	33.6%	12.2%
Pakistan	Islamabad	2017	36.7%	50.1%	23.2%
Pakistan	Kalat	2000	24.4%	26.9%	22.0%
Pakistan	Kalat	2017	38.5%	41.0%	35.7%
Pakistan	Karachi	2000	30.6%	33.2%	27.9%
Pakistan	Karachi	2017	46.4%	49.6%	43.2%
Pakistan	Kohat	2000	22.0%	26.6%	17.4%
Pakistan	Kohat	2017	35.7%	41.5%	29.8%
Pakistan	Lahore	2000	21.0%	25.5%	16.8%
Pakistan	Lahore	2017	34.0%	39.4%	27.9%
Pakistan	Larkana	2000	23.6%	27.7%	20.3%
Pakistan	Larkana	2017	37.2%	42.2%	33.0%
Pakistan	Makran	2000	26.2%	29.9%	22.4%
Pakistan	Makran	2017	39.9%	44.0%	35.1%
Pakistan	Malakand	2000	26.4%	30.9%	22.8%
Pakistan	Malakand	2017	40.6%	45.7%	36.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Pakistan	Mardan	2000	25.5%	32.2%	19.8%
Pakistan	Mardan	2017	40.5%	49.4%	32.8%
Pakistan	Mirpur Khas	2000	22.1%	25.7%	19.3%
Pakistan	Mirpur Khas	2017	35.7%	40.0%	32.1%
Pakistan	Multan	2000	22.6%	26.1%	19.7%
Pakistan	Multan	2017	37.1%	40.9%	33.7%
Pakistan	Nasirabad	2000	25.2%	28.8%	21.8%
Pakistan	Nasirabad	2017	40.3%	44.3%	36.3%
Pakistan	Northern Areas	2000	37.4%	40.6%	34.2%
Pakistan	Northern Areas	2017	52.9%	56.7%	49.3%
Pakistan	Peshawar	2000	34.6%	39.8%	30.4%
Pakistan	Peshawar	2017	51.2%	56.9%	46.1%
Pakistan	Quetta	2000	39.0%	42.0%	36.5%
Pakistan	Quetta	2017	51.4%	54.4%	48.5%
Pakistan	Rann of Kutch	2000	31.7%	43.7%	23.9%
Pakistan	Rann of Kutch	2017	43.1%	55.8%	33.6%
Pakistan	Rawalpindi	2000	26.7%	30.5%	22.9%
Pakistan	Rawalpindi	2017	40.9%	45.2%	36.5%
Pakistan	Sargodha	2000	20.8%	24.5%	17.3%
Pakistan	Sargodha	2017	34.0%	38.5%	29.6%
Pakistan	Sibi	2000	25.4%	30.2%	22.3%
Pakistan	Sibi	2017	39.8%	44.6%	36.1%
Pakistan	Sukkur	2000	22.7%	26.1%	19.7%
Pakistan	Sukkur	2017	36.3%	40.4%	32.9%
Pakistan	Zhob	2000	25.0%	28.7%	22.3%
Pakistan	Zhob	2017	39.6%	43.8%	36.3%
Southeast Asia, East Asia, and Oceania					
Cambodia	Aek Phnum	2000	1.0%	2.7%	0.3%
Cambodia	Aek Phnum	2017	54.0%	64.8%	45.0%
Cambodia	Andoung Meas	2000	2.2%	8.6%	0.1%
Cambodia	Andoung Meas	2017	46.8%	70.7%	24.6%
Cambodia	Angk Snuol	2000	1.8%	7.4%	0.5%
Cambodia	Angk Snuol	2017	71.6%	87.5%	56.9%
Cambodia	Angkor Borei	2000	0.8%	5.5%	0.0%
Cambodia	Angkor Borei	2017	46.2%	74.8%	19.0%
Cambodia	Angkor Chey	2000	5.5%	12.1%	1.0%
Cambodia	Angkor Chey	2017	67.9%	83.5%	53.2%
Cambodia	Angkor Chum	2000	0.3%	2.6%	0.0%
Cambodia	Angkor Chum	2017	26.2%	56.2%	8.0%
Cambodia	Angkor Thum	2000	0.6%	5.9%	0.0%
Cambodia	Angkor Thum	2017	42.2%	72.7%	14.3%
Cambodia	Anlong Veaeng	2000	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Cambodia	Anlong Veaeng	2017	24.5%	37.3%	12.9%
Cambodia	Aoral	2000	1.1%	4.2%	0.1%
Cambodia	Aoral	2017	32.3%	52.0%	17.0%
Cambodia	Ba Phnum	2000	0.4%	2.6%	0.0%
Cambodia	Ba Phnum	2017	38.7%	68.0%	12.3%
Cambodia	Bakan	2000	0.7%	2.2%	0.2%
Cambodia	Bakan	2017	50.0%	60.7%	38.4%
Cambodia	Ban Lung	2000	1.1%	2.1%	0.4%
Cambodia	Ban Lung	2017	77.6%	82.1%	73.9%
Cambodia	Banan	2000	1.3%	6.3%	0.1%
Cambodia	Banan	2017	58.9%	74.8%	41.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Banteay Ampil	2000	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Cambodia	Banteay Ampil	2017	25.3%	44.1%	12.3%
Cambodia	Banteay Meas	2000	1.0%	4.5%	0.3%
Cambodia	Banteay Meas	2017	46.0%	65.9%	26.4%
Cambodia	Banteay Srei	2000	0.3%	3.5%	0.0%
Cambodia	Banteay Srei	2017	27.0%	51.8%	9.9%
Cambodia	Bar Kaev	2000	2.8%	14.6%	0.0%
Cambodia	Bar Kaev	2017	59.8%	87.5%	23.7%
Cambodia	Baray	2000	1.0%	2.5%	0.3%
Cambodia	Baray	2017	52.8%	65.0%	41.1%
Cambodia	Baribour	2000	0.7%	3.5%	0.0%
Cambodia	Baribour	2017	35.4%	62.5%	15.3%
Cambodia	Basedth	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Cambodia	Basedth	2017	37.5%	57.4%	20.7%
Cambodia	Bat Dambang	2000	11.5%	14.1%	8.7%
Cambodia	Bat Dambang	2017	49.3%	63.1%	37.9%
Cambodia	Batheay	2000	0.7%	2.8%	0.1%
Cambodia	Batheay	2017	40.9%	57.9%	25.0%
Cambodia	Bati	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Cambodia	Bati	2017	43.9%	56.3%	31.2%
Cambodia	Bavel	2000	0.7%	2.9%	0.0%
Cambodia	Bavel	2017	40.4%	58.9%	24.3%
Cambodia	Botum Sakor	2000	3.3%	11.1%	0.3%
Cambodia	Botum Sakor	2017	55.0%	72.8%	36.7%
Cambodia	Bourei Cholsar	2000	0.8%	4.5%	0.0%
Cambodia	Bourei Cholsar	2017	44.2%	71.1%	17.7%
Cambodia	Chamkar Leu	2000	2.6%	6.4%	0.5%
Cambodia	Chamkar Leu	2017	51.8%	74.2%	35.6%
Cambodia	Chantrea	2000	0.7%	4.0%	0.1%
Cambodia	Chantrea	2017	48.5%	73.9%	24.7%
Cambodia	Chbar Mon	2000	3.3%	4.3%	2.5%
Cambodia	Chbar Mon	2017	76.8%	81.0%	72.5%
Cambodia	Cheung Prey	2000	1.5%	4.2%	0.2%
Cambodia	Cheung Prey	2017	33.3%	51.2%	13.7%
Cambodia	Chey Saen	2000	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Cambodia	Chey Saen	2017	5.3%	13.3%	0.6%
Cambodia	Chhaeb	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Cambodia	Chhaeb	2017	4.8%	12.9%	0.2%
Cambodia	Chhloung	2000	9.4%	14.6%	7.7%
Cambodia	Chhloung	2017	58.0%	73.0%	40.9%
Cambodia	Chhuk	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Cambodia	Chhuk	2017	46.2%	62.7%	31.4%
Cambodia	Chi Kraeng	2000	1.9%	4.6%	0.2%
Cambodia	Chi Kraeng	2017	41.4%	51.5%	31.2%
Cambodia	Choam	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Cambodia	Khsant				
Cambodia	Choam	2017	8.1%	17.0%	2.7%
Cambodia	Khsant				
Cambodia	Chol Kiri	2000	0.6%	4.4%	0.0%
Cambodia	Chol Kiri	2017	41.2%	75.5%	16.8%
Cambodia	Chong Kal	2000	0.3%	2.3%	0.0%
Cambodia	Chong Kal	2017	28.0%	50.5%	10.9%
Cambodia	Chum Kiri	2000	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
Cambodia	Chum Kiri	2017	47.5%	62.9%	32.1%
Cambodia	Dambae	2000	1.0%	3.9%	0.6%
Cambodia	Dambae	2017	24.1%	48.3%	7.3%
Cambodia	Dang Tong	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Cambodia	Dang Tong	2017	39.4%	60.0%	21.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Dangkao	2000	13.9%	15.1%	12.8%
Cambodia	Dangkao	2017	93.5%	95.5%	91.0%
Cambodia	Doun Kaev	2000	10.1%	14.3%	6.9%
Cambodia	Doun Kaev	2017	72.0%	90.3%	60.5%
Cambodia	Kaeb	2000	0.3%	2.9%	0.1%
Cambodia	Kaeb	2017	71.9%	84.2%	60.7%
Cambodia	Kaev Seima	2000	1.5%	4.7%	0.1%
Cambodia	Kaev Seima	2017	33.7%	59.5%	14.0%
Cambodia	Kamchay	2000	0.4%	3.1%	0.0%
Cambodia	Mear				
Cambodia	Kamchay	2017	35.8%	60.1%	15.2%
Cambodia	Mear				
Cambodia	Kampong Bay	2000	9.7%	14.9%	5.6%
Cambodia	Kampong Bay	2017	92.2%	98.5%	84.3%
Cambodia	Kampong Cham	2000	6.6%	33.8%	1.8%
Cambodia	Kampong Cham	2017	96.5%	99.3%	87.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Chhnang	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Chhnang	2017	63.5%	91.5%	45.4%
Cambodia	Kampong Leaeng	2000	1.5%	4.3%	0.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Leaeng	2017	52.5%	67.3%	38.5%
Cambodia	Kampong Leav	2000	5.8%	11.3%	2.7%
Cambodia	Kampong Leav	2017	78.3%	90.6%	63.5%
Cambodia	Kampong Rou	2000	0.5%	4.6%	0.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Rou	2017	49.4%	68.2%	32.9%
Cambodia	Kampong Seila	2000	4.6%	12.1%	0.4%
Cambodia	Kampong Seila	2017	49.5%	69.1%	30.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2000	0.4%	0.9%	0.3%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2000	4.9%	11.0%	2.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2017	56.0%	74.7%	40.9%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2017	34.0%	59.5%	24.8%
Cambodia	Kampong Svay	2000	0.8%	2.9%	0.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Svay	2017	37.5%	52.6%	25.8%
Cambodia	Kampong Tra-baek	2000	0.3%	2.2%	0.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Tra-baek	2017	47.7%	64.6%	30.6%
Cambodia	Kampong Trach	2000	5.4%	8.4%	2.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Trach	2017	55.1%	76.5%	38.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Tralach	2000	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Tralach	2017	46.8%	61.5%	31.9%
Cambodia	Kampot	2000	2.8%	6.4%	1.5%
Cambodia	Kampot	2017	54.4%	68.6%	42.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Kandal Stuong	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Cambodia	Kandal Stuong	2017	21.0%	31.2%	12.3%
Cambodia	Kandieng	2000	3.7%	7.7%	2.1%
Cambodia	Kandieng	2017	68.4%	86.6%	51.3%
Cambodia	Kang Meas	2000	10.3%	17.5%	4.0%
Cambodia	Kang Meas	2017	77.9%	89.4%	64.9%
Cambodia	Kanhchriech	2000	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Cambodia	Kanhchriech	2017	37.2%	59.8%	16.9%
Cambodia	Kaoh Andaet	2000	0.5%	2.9%	0.0%
Cambodia	Kaoh Andaet	2017	40.7%	65.1%	19.2%
Cambodia	Kaoh Kong	2000	1.9%	7.3%	0.2%
Cambodia	Kaoh Kong	2017	55.3%	73.6%	33.1%
Cambodia	Kaoh Nheak	2000	0.8%	3.2%	0.0%
Cambodia	Kaoh Nheak	2017	11.9%	22.5%	5.2%
Cambodia	Kaoh Soutin	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%
Cambodia	Kaoh Soutin	2017	30.9%	49.9%	14.8%
Cambodia	Kaoh Thum	2000	1.9%	7.9%	0.6%
Cambodia	Kaoh Thum	2017	50.4%	66.5%	35.2%
Cambodia	Khsach Kandal	2000	4.9%	7.2%	4.1%
Cambodia	Khsach Kandal	2017	63.0%	74.6%	51.8%
Cambodia	Kien Svay	2000	2.0%	3.5%	1.6%
Cambodia	Kien Svay	2017	83.3%	88.8%	78.3%
Cambodia	Kiri Sakor	2000	3.5%	16.0%	0.2%
Cambodia	Kiri Sakor	2017	61.6%	81.1%	36.1%
Cambodia	Kiri Vong	2000	1.9%	5.7%	0.2%
Cambodia	Kiri Vong	2017	51.4%	68.8%	37.3%
Cambodia	Kong Pisei	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Cambodia	Kong Pisei	2017	40.2%	58.9%	23.5%
Cambodia	Koun Mom	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Cambodia	Koun Mom	2017	6.7%	16.1%	2.2%
Cambodia	Kracheh	2000	1.4%	3.2%	0.8%
Cambodia	Kracheh	2017	61.2%	74.0%	49.7%
Cambodia	Krakor	2000	1.8%	5.6%	0.2%
Cambodia	Krakor	2017	46.9%	60.3%	33.4%
Cambodia	Kralanh	2000	0.4%	2.7%	0.0%
Cambodia	Kralanh	2017	32.2%	56.1%	15.1%
Cambodia	Krouch Chhmar	2000	10.2%	20.5%	3.9%
Cambodia	Krouch Chhmar	2017	64.1%	80.6%	47.7%
Cambodia	Kuleaen	2000	0.2%	2.1%	0.0%
Cambodia	Kuleaen	2017	14.6%	27.7%	6.3%
Cambodia	Leuk Daek	2000	1.4%	5.7%	0.1%
Cambodia	Leuk Daek	2017	50.2%	73.3%	26.6%
Cambodia	Lumphat	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Cambodia	Lumphat	2017	19.5%	36.9%	7.0%
Cambodia	Lvea Aem	2000	25.0%	30.8%	21.8%
Cambodia	Lvea Aem	2017	85.1%	90.5%	79.2%
Cambodia	Malai	2000	0.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Cambodia	Malai	2017	30.0%	52.5%	11.6%
Cambodia	Me Sang	2000	0.3%	2.3%	0.0%
Cambodia	Me Sang	2017	34.5%	61.5%	14.2%
Cambodia	Mean Chey	2000	32.0%	33.6%	30.4%
Cambodia	Mean Chey	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Cambodia	Memot	2000	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Cambodia	Memot	2017	28.1%	42.4%	16.0%
Cambodia	Mittakpheap	2000	4.7%	5.6%	4.0%
Cambodia	Mittakpheap	2017	95.2%	97.2%	93.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Mondol Seima	2000	6.3%	14.9%	3.3%
Cambodia	Mondol Seima	2017	67.7%	74.7%	60.4%
Cambodia	Mongkol Borei	2000	6.6%	9.5%	4.9%
Cambodia	Mongkol Borei	2017	59.9%	71.9%	47.7%
Cambodia	Moung Ruessei	2000	0.7%	3.0%	0.1%
Cambodia	Moung Ruessei	2017	38.4%	52.1%	27.0%
Cambodia	Mukh Kampul	2000	1.3%	5.4%	0.7%
Cambodia	Mukh Kampul	2017	59.4%	75.5%	45.0%
Cambodia	Odongk	2000	1.2%	5.6%	0.1%
Cambodia	Odongk	2017	47.9%	67.6%	32.5%
Cambodia	Ou Chrov	2000	1.3%	6.0%	0.0%
Cambodia	Ou Chrov	2017	48.3%	69.7%	23.6%
Cambodia	Ou Chum	2000	0.6%	3.5%	0.1%
Cambodia	Ou Chum	2017	36.2%	59.8%	17.8%
Cambodia	Ou Reang	2000	1.7%	7.2%	0.0%
Cambodia	Ou Reang	2017	46.1%	66.5%	24.5%
Cambodia	Ou Reang Ov	2000	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Cambodia	Ou Reang Ov	2017	34.6%	56.8%	14.7%
Cambodia	Ou Ya Dav	2000	1.6%	5.3%	0.1%
Cambodia	Ou Ya Dav	2017	48.7%	73.0%	25.8%
Cambodia	Pailin	2000	14.8%	17.8%	12.6%
Cambodia	Pailin	2017	74.1%	90.0%	60.2%
Cambodia	Pea Reang	2000	2.3%	4.7%	1.7%
Cambodia	Pea Reang	2017	44.5%	61.9%	25.1%
Cambodia	Peam Chor	2000	1.1%	6.2%	0.0%
Cambodia	Peam Chor	2017	41.3%	64.3%	19.8%
Cambodia	Peam Ro	2000	2.9%	8.8%	0.5%
Cambodia	Peam Ro	2017	58.4%	78.5%	40.1%
Cambodia	Pechr Chenda	2000	3.9%	10.2%	0.4%
Cambodia	Pechr Chenda	2017	33.0%	43.0%	22.1%
Cambodia	Phnom Penh	2000	30.0%	32.0%	28.1%
Cambodia	Phnom Penh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cambodia	Phnum Kravanh	2000	0.7%	2.0%	0.1%
Cambodia	Phnum Kravanh	2017	46.8%	61.5%	31.6%
Cambodia	Phnum Proek	2000	1.0%	4.6%	0.0%
Cambodia	Phnum Proek	2017	34.4%	54.6%	15.7%
Cambodia	Phnum Srok	2000	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Cambodia	Phnum Srok	2017	21.7%	41.8%	7.0%
Cambodia	Phnum Sruoch	2000	0.6%	3.2%	0.0%
Cambodia	Phnum Sruoch	2017	40.4%	59.1%	24.1%
Cambodia	Ponhea Kraek	2000	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Cambodia	Ponhea Kraek	2017	35.2%	58.3%	15.9%
Cambodia	Ponhea Lueu	2000	1.5%	11.0%	0.3%
Cambodia	Ponhea Lueu	2017	71.0%	89.0%	43.7%
Cambodia	Prasat Bakong	2000	0.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Cambodia	Prasat Bakong	2017	48.0%	67.7%	30.7%
Cambodia	Prasat Balangk	2000	0.9%	5.3%	0.0%
Cambodia	Prasat Balangk	2017	32.9%	55.4%	15.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Prasat Sambour	2000	0.9%	4.7%	0.0%
Cambodia	Prasat Sambour	2017	42.6%	66.8%	19.7%
Cambodia	Preaek Prasab	2000	6.6%	11.4%	2.0%
Cambodia	Preaek Prasab	2017	66.3%	79.2%	53.6%
Cambodia	Preah Netr	2000	5.8%	10.6%	2.2%
Cambodia	Preah Netr	2017	51.8%	64.7%	41.6%
Cambodia	Preah Sdach	2000	0.9%	6.8%	0.0%
Cambodia	Preah Sdach	2017	43.8%	66.6%	20.8%
Cambodia	Prey Chhor	2000	2.0%	6.3%	0.2%
Cambodia	Prey Chhor	2017	46.8%	66.2%	31.7%
Cambodia	Prey Kabbas	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Cambodia	Prey Kabbas	2017	42.6%	58.6%	28.3%
Cambodia	Prey Nob	2000	4.8%	7.7%	3.0%
Cambodia	Prey Nob	2017	61.2%	73.6%	49.7%
Cambodia	Prey Veang	2000	0.4%	3.3%	0.0%
Cambodia	Prey Veang	2017	35.5%	57.3%	15.6%
Cambodia	Puok	2000	2.2%	5.2%	0.5%
Cambodia	Puok	2017	65.7%	78.7%	52.4%
Cambodia	Rolea B'ier	2000	0.2%	1.7%	0.0%
Cambodia	Rolea B'ier	2017	47.6%	66.0%	31.3%
Cambodia	Romeas Haek	2000	0.4%	2.4%	0.0%
Cambodia	Romeas Haek	2017	42.0%	63.2%	22.2%
Cambodia	Rotanak Mondol	2000	0.5%	3.0%	0.0%
Cambodia	Rotanak Mondol	2017	31.8%	52.2%	14.2%
Cambodia	Rovieng	2000	0.4%	2.4%	0.0%
Cambodia	Rovieng	2017	23.5%	39.6%	11.5%
Cambodia	Ruessei Kaev	2000	22.6%	24.0%	21.2%
Cambodia	Ruessei Kaev	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Cambodia	Rumduol	2000	2.1%	7.8%	0.6%
Cambodia	Rumduol	2017	53.2%	76.5%	32.2%
Cambodia	S'ang	2000	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Cambodia	S'ang	2017	78.6%	85.6%	72.1%
Cambodia	Saen	2000	1.4%	6.0%	0.0%
Cambodia	Monourom Saen	2017	68.2%	88.3%	48.4%
Cambodia	Sala Krau	2000	4.8%	8.8%	3.7%
Cambodia	Sala Krau	2017	38.8%	65.4%	12.8%
Cambodia	Sambour	2000	1.4%	4.6%	0.3%
Cambodia	Sambour	2017	44.0%	55.9%	32.2%
Cambodia	Sameakki	2000	0.6%	4.5%	0.0%
Cambodia	Mean Chey Sameakki	2017	35.9%	55.7%	16.2%
Cambodia	Mean Chey Samlout	2000	1.1%	3.8%	0.0%
Cambodia	Mean Chey Samlout	2017	37.0%	58.4%	18.8%
Cambodia	Sampov Meas	2000	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Cambodia	Sampov Meas	2017	49.1%	56.2%	43.6%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	2.7%	4.9%	0.9%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Cambodia	Samraong	2017	37.7%	49.1%	25.4%
Cambodia	Samraong	2017	30.3%	53.6%	15.8%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	1.6%	3.3%	0.5%
Cambodia	Tong Samraong	2017	46.3%	54.1%	39.8%
Cambodia	Tong				

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Sandan	2000	0.5%	1.9%	0.0%
Cambodia	Sandan	2017	35.5%	54.1%	19.6%
Cambodia	Sangkae	2000	0.9%	2.7%	0.2%
Cambodia	Sangkae	2017	68.8%	81.2%	57.3%
Cambodia	Sangkom	2000	0.3%	1.6%	0.0%
Cambodia	Thmei				
Cambodia	Sangkom	2017	21.5%	44.5%	7.5%
Cambodia	Thmei				
Cambodia	Santuk	2000	1.8%	4.8%	0.3%
Cambodia	Santuk	2017	56.8%	65.3%	47.5%
Cambodia	Serei	2000	3.4%	4.5%	2.7%
Cambodia	Saophoan				
Cambodia	Serei	2017	83.1%	88.8%	77.0%
Cambodia	Saophoan				
Cambodia	Sesan	2000	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Cambodia	Sesan	2017	13.1%	24.7%	4.3%
Cambodia	Siem Bouk	2000	0.6%	4.1%	0.0%
Cambodia	Siem Bouk	2017	27.7%	49.6%	7.0%
Cambodia	Siem Pang	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Cambodia	Siem Pang	2017	2.0%	5.9%	0.1%
Cambodia	Siem Reab	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Cambodia	Siem Reab	2017	78.0%	82.8%	74.7%
Cambodia	Sithor Kandal	2000	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Cambodia	Sithor Kandal	2017	32.4%	58.8%	11.3%
Cambodia	Smach Mean	2000	8.7%	10.7%	7.3%
Cambodia	Chey				
Cambodia	Smach Mean	2017	97.9%	99.4%	96.0%
Cambodia	Chey				
Cambodia	Snuol	2000	0.8%	2.7%	0.1%
Cambodia	Snuol	2017	37.1%	54.4%	24.7%
Cambodia	Soutr Nikom	2000	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Cambodia	Soutr Nikom	2017	44.6%	62.4%	28.0%
Cambodia	Srae Ambel	2000	2.9%	5.6%	1.4%
Cambodia	Srae Ambel	2017	53.0%	67.0%	39.2%
Cambodia	Srei Santhor	2000	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%
Cambodia	Srei Santhor	2017	44.9%	59.7%	31.0%
Cambodia	Srei Snam	2000	0.1%	1.4%	0.0%
Cambodia	Srei Snam	2017	19.5%	43.2%	3.4%
Cambodia	Stoung	2000	0.7%	2.3%	0.2%
Cambodia	Stoung	2017	51.1%	67.0%	38.1%
Cambodia	Stueng hav	2000	18.9%	33.4%	10.2%
Cambodia	Stueng hav	2017	87.9%	98.0%	73.8%
Cambodia	Stueng Saen	2000	0.3%	1.2%	0.1%
Cambodia	Stueng Saen	2017	62.2%	72.5%	53.2%
Cambodia	Stueng Traeng	2000	1.1%	2.4%	0.4%
Cambodia	Stueng Traeng	2017	50.7%	64.0%	42.4%
Cambodia	Stueng Trang	2000	10.2%	18.3%	5.8%
Cambodia	Stueng Trang	2017	61.6%	76.8%	45.7%
Cambodia	Svay Chek	2000	0.5%	4.2%	0.0%
Cambodia	Svay Chek	2017	37.1%	59.5%	18.3%
Cambodia	Svay Chrum	2000	2.5%	5.3%	1.1%
Cambodia	Svay Chrum	2017	46.3%	59.3%	32.1%
Cambodia	Svay Leu	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Cambodia	Svay Leu	2017	12.1%	28.8%	3.4%
Cambodia	Svay Pao	2000	6.2%	7.9%	4.7%
Cambodia	Svay Pao	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Cambodia	Svay Rieng	2000	13.3%	13.5%	13.3%
Cambodia	Svay Rieng	2017	81.0%	89.2%	69.1%
Cambodia	Svay Teab	2000	7.7%	13.2%	6.8%
Cambodia	Svay Teab	2017	63.9%	80.2%	46.7%
Cambodia	Ta Khmau	2000	5.9%	6.6%	5.3%
Cambodia	Ta Khmau	2017	68.5%	69.5%	68.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Ta Veang	2000	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Cambodia	Ta Veang	2017	5.4%	11.7%	1.7%
Cambodia	Tbaeng Mean chey	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Cambodia	Tbaeng Mean chey	2017	30.5%	59.6%	8.0%
Cambodia	Tboung Khmum	2000	1.7%	3.3%	1.1%
Cambodia	Tboung Khmum	2017	55.5%	66.0%	45.3%
Cambodia	Thala Barivat	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.1%
Cambodia	Thala Barivat	2017	13.1%	19.8%	7.5%
Cambodia	Thma Bang	2000	0.8%	4.7%	0.0%
Cambodia	Thma Bang	2017	19.8%	35.8%	7.6%
Cambodia	Thma Puok	2000	0.3%	1.6%	0.0%
Cambodia	Thma Puok	2017	24.4%	43.6%	7.9%
Cambodia	Thpong	2000	0.5%	3.6%	0.0%
Cambodia	Thpong	2017	34.7%	56.5%	16.9%
Cambodia	Tram Kak	2000	0.5%	6.6%	0.0%
Cambodia	Tram Kak	2017	41.6%	59.5%	24.5%
Cambodia	Treang	2000	0.9%	4.2%	0.0%
Cambodia	Treang	2017	41.1%	64.0%	19.5%
Cambodia	Tuek Phos	2000	0.9%	3.7%	0.0%
Cambodia	Tuek Phos	2017	37.6%	55.7%	21.3%
Cambodia	Varin	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Cambodia	Varin	2017	17.0%	28.3%	7.4%
Cambodia	Veal Veang	2000	4.0%	12.5%	0.5%
Cambodia	Veal Veang	2017	46.2%	60.0%	29.8%
Cambodia	Veun Sai	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Cambodia	Veun Sai	2017	3.5%	11.4%	0.1%
China	Aksu	2000	38.0%	76.5%	9.3%
China	Aksu	2017	74.0%	95.1%	40.1%
China	Altay	2000	36.1%	76.6%	6.7%
China	Altay	2017	71.8%	95.1%	29.7%
China	Alxa	2000	24.5%	39.4%	12.2%
China	Alxa	2017	64.6%	79.7%	44.5%
China	Ankang	2000	20.2%	24.6%	16.4%
China	Ankang	2017	61.2%	66.9%	56.0%
China	Anqing	2000	44.8%	53.8%	36.4%
China	Anqing	2017	82.4%	86.1%	77.0%
China	Anshan	2000	76.5%	82.5%	69.3%
China	Anshan	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.6%
China	Anshun	2000	51.2%	57.7%	44.5%
China	Anshun	2017	86.8%	89.2%	84.2%
China	Anyang	2000	63.0%	69.5%	57.1%
China	Anyang	2017	91.3%	93.1%	89.1%
China	Baicheng	2000	11.1%	14.1%	8.1%
China	Baicheng	2017	42.9%	50.5%	35.6%
China	Baise	2000	39.9%	46.4%	34.2%
China	Baise	2017	80.4%	84.2%	76.7%
China	Baishan	2000	7.3%	9.8%	5.2%
China	Baishan	2017	33.1%	40.8%	25.7%
China	Baiyin	2000	21.6%	24.9%	18.3%
China	Baiyin	2017	63.4%	67.6%	59.3%
China	Baoding	2000	77.2%	81.2%	72.5%
China	Baoding	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
China	Baoji	2000	20.0%	24.5%	16.4%
China	Baoji	2017	61.1%	66.0%	56.0%
China	Baoshan	2000	36.3%	43.4%	29.5%
China	Baoshan	2017	78.1%	82.2%	73.5%
China	Baotou	2000	26.5%	47.6%	8.5%
China	Baotou	2017	66.9%	85.3%	37.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Bayin'gholin Mongol	2000	34.7%	73.1%	7.3%
China	Bayin'gholin Mongol	2017	71.0%	93.6%	31.3%
China	Baynnur	2000	27.8%	53.9%	8.5%
China	Baynnur	2017	67.0%	87.7%	36.5%
China	Bazhong	2000	16.2%	19.6%	13.1%
China	Bazhong	2017	54.9%	60.7%	49.9%
China	Beihai	2000	39.8%	48.2%	31.9%
China	Beihai	2017	80.6%	85.7%	75.8%
China	Beijing	2000	70.6%	76.2%	64.4%
China	Beijing	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.3%
China	Bengbu	2000	61.3%	70.3%	53.0%
China	Bengbu	2017	90.7%	93.8%	87.4%
China	Benxi	2000	64.6%	72.0%	56.5%
China	Benxi	2017	90.6%	93.1%	87.2%
China	Bijie	2000	47.9%	52.1%	43.4%
China	Bijie	2017	85.2%	86.8%	83.2%
China	Binzhou	2000	21.9%	26.4%	18.3%
China	Binzhou	2017	63.4%	68.0%	58.1%
China	Börtala Mon- gol	2000	37.6%	77.9%	7.6%
China	Börtala Mon- gol	2017	74.1%	95.6%	34.5%
China	Bozhou	2000	69.5%	76.8%	61.9%
China	Bozhou	2017	93.5%	95.4%	91.1%
China	Cangzhou	2000	61.2%	66.2%	56.5%
China	Cangzhou	2017	90.0%	91.8%	88.0%
China	Central and Western	2000	33.8%	42.5%	25.9%
China	Central and Western	2017	76.2%	82.3%	69.5%
China	Chamdo	2000	22.9%	42.8%	9.5%
China	Chamdo	2017	61.0%	80.3%	38.6%
China	Changchun	2000	8.6%	10.0%	7.3%
China	Changchun	2017	36.3%	39.6%	33.0%
China	Changde	2000	23.7%	29.5%	19.1%
China	Changde	2017	66.0%	72.0%	60.8%
China	Changji Hui	2000	37.0%	73.8%	9.9%
China	Changji Hui	2017	73.5%	94.2%	40.0%
China	Changsha	2000	22.4%	26.2%	18.1%
China	Changsha	2017	64.4%	68.9%	58.7%
China	Changzhi	2000	33.7%	37.9%	29.8%
China	Changzhi	2017	76.0%	79.0%	73.6%
China	Changzhou	2000	33.7%	37.6%	29.7%
China	Changzhou	2017	76.3%	78.7%	73.2%
China	Chaohu	2000	58.6%	67.1%	49.5%
China	Chaohu	2017	89.7%	92.6%	86.4%
China	Chaoyang	2000	75.5%	83.1%	67.6%
China	Chaoyang	2017	95.1%	96.8%	92.9%
China	Chaozhou	2000	35.4%	40.0%	30.5%
China	Chaozhou	2017	77.6%	80.7%	74.5%
China	Chengde	2000	74.7%	81.8%	65.7%
China	Chengde	2017	94.8%	96.6%	92.3%
China	Chengdu	2000	16.0%	18.2%	14.4%
China	Chengdu	2017	54.7%	57.7%	51.5%
China	Chenzhou	2000	25.4%	31.0%	20.7%
China	Chenzhou	2017	67.9%	73.2%	62.0%
China	Chifeng	2000	64.6%	78.2%	47.0%
China	Chifeng	2017	90.7%	95.7%	81.6%
China	Chizhou	2000	43.9%	52.4%	35.0%
China	Chizhou	2017	82.1%	86.4%	76.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Chongqing	2000	27.6%	30.2%	25.4%
China	Chongqing	2017	70.6%	71.7%	69.4%
China	Chongzuo	2000	38.3%	47.5%	31.2%
China	Chongzuo	2017	79.4%	84.7%	73.8%
China	Chuxiong Yi	2000	34.0%	40.0%	28.5%
China	Chuxiong Yi	2017	76.3%	80.5%	71.4%
China	Chuzhou	2000	48.5%	55.4%	42.1%
China	Chuzhou	2017	85.0%	88.1%	81.4%
China	Dali Bai	2000	36.7%	42.5%	31.0%
China	Dali Bai	2017	78.4%	82.4%	73.4%
China	Dalian	2000	69.0%	76.6%	59.3%
China	Dalian	2017	93.3%	95.3%	90.5%
China	Dandong	2000	64.4%	74.9%	51.8%
China	Dandong	2017	91.6%	94.7%	87.7%
China	Daqing	2000	47.2%	54.0%	40.5%
China	Daqing	2017	82.9%	86.1%	79.6%
China	Datong	2000	36.0%	40.2%	32.2%
China	Datong	2017	77.8%	80.1%	75.1%
China	Daxing'anling	2000	56.4%	76.2%	33.9%
China	Daxing'anling	2017	88.1%	95.2%	75.1%
China	Dazhou	2000	18.9%	21.6%	16.1%
China	Dazhou	2017	59.4%	63.0%	55.8%
China	Dehong Dai and Jingpo	2000	36.2%	47.5%	26.7%
China	Dehong Dai and Jingpo	2017	77.8%	84.5%	68.9%
China	Dêqên Tibetan	2000	34.9%	46.1%	24.8%
China	Dêqên Tibetan	2017	76.5%	83.7%	66.9%
China	Deyang	2000	16.1%	18.9%	13.6%
China	Deyang	2017	54.8%	59.3%	50.2%
China	Dezhou	2000	30.7%	35.9%	26.4%
China	Dezhou	2017	72.3%	76.2%	68.5%
China	Dingxi	2000	21.6%	24.4%	18.5%
China	Dingxi	2017	63.5%	66.7%	60.0%
China	Dongguan	2000	37.7%	41.1%	34.5%
China	Dongguan	2017	79.3%	81.2%	77.3%
China	Dongying	2000	19.8%	24.5%	15.8%
China	Dongying	2017	60.6%	67.4%	53.9%
China	Eastern	2000	36.9%	46.2%	27.7%
China	Eastern	2017	78.5%	84.3%	71.7%
China	Enshi Tujia and Miao	2000	27.4%	32.0%	23.4%
China	Enshi Tujia and Miao	2017	70.2%	74.5%	65.4%
China	Ezhou	2000	25.2%	30.3%	20.9%
China	Ezhou	2017	68.0%	72.4%	62.7%
China	Fangchenggang	2000	37.6%	47.6%	28.8%
China	Fangchenggang	2017	79.0%	85.5%	72.2%
China	Foshan	2000	38.0%	42.5%	34.2%
China	Foshan	2017	79.6%	81.8%	77.5%
China	Fushun	2000	58.8%	66.6%	50.3%
China	Fushun	2017	88.5%	91.4%	85.3%
China	Fuxin	2000	69.7%	78.3%	61.2%
China	Fuxin	2017	93.4%	95.6%	90.6%
China	Fuyang	2000	71.6%	79.7%	63.4%
China	Fuyang	2017	94.1%	96.0%	91.7%
China	Fuzhou	2000	18.1%	20.6%	16.2%
China	Fuzhou	2000	25.9%	29.0%	23.1%
China	Fuzhou	2017	68.8%	71.3%	66.2%
China	Fuzhou	2017	58.3%	60.9%	55.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Gannan Tibetan	2000	21.8%	26.7%	17.1%
China	Gannan Tibetan	2017	63.5%	69.0%	57.2%
China	Ganzhou	2000	18.9%	21.1%	17.1%
China	Ganzhou	2017	59.6%	61.7%	57.1%
China	Garzê Tibetan	2000	18.5%	25.8%	13.1%
China	Garzê Tibetan	2017	56.9%	66.7%	47.7%
China	Golog Tibetan	2000	18.4%	33.1%	8.3%
China	Golog Tibetan	2017	56.2%	74.6%	36.0%
China	Guang'an	2000	20.6%	24.5%	17.5%
China	Guang'an	2017	61.9%	66.1%	57.8%
China	Guangyuan	2000	16.6%	20.3%	13.1%
China	Guangyuan	2017	55.5%	61.1%	49.7%
China	Guangzhou	2000	37.9%	41.8%	34.4%
China	Guangzhou	2017	79.5%	81.2%	77.6%
China	Guigang	2000	38.2%	44.8%	33.3%
China	Guigang	2017	79.5%	83.3%	76.1%
China	Guilin	2000	36.1%	42.1%	30.6%
China	Guilin	2017	77.9%	81.7%	73.6%
China	Guiyang	2000	51.7%	57.8%	46.4%
China	Guiyang	2017	87.1%	89.2%	84.7%
China	Guyuan	2000	21.6%	28.0%	16.5%
China	Guyuan	2017	63.3%	70.6%	56.2%
China	Gyêgu Tibetan	2000	20.1%	43.5%	5.5%
China	Gyêgu Tibetan	2017	56.8%	82.4%	26.7%
China	Haibei Tibetan	2000	19.5%	28.0%	11.8%
China	Haibei Tibetan	2017	59.2%	69.9%	45.7%
China	Haidong	2000	22.2%	31.9%	14.7%
China	Haidong	2017	63.7%	73.8%	52.7%
China	Haikou	2000	38.5%	55.0%	21.1%
China	Haikou	2017	78.9%	89.0%	64.3%
China	Hainan	2000	40.2%	61.2%	17.2%
China	Hainan	2017	78.9%	91.0%	57.3%
China	Hainan Tibetan	2000	22.5%	41.6%	9.6%
China	Hainan Tibetan	2017	62.1%	81.0%	39.7%
China	Haixi Mongol and Tibetan	2000	25.0%	48.2%	9.0%
China	Haixi Mongol and Tibetan	2017	62.9%	83.3%	35.5%
China	Hami	2000	30.4%	64.2%	8.6%
China	Hami	2017	68.8%	91.8%	36.6%
China	Handan	2000	67.8%	72.9%	62.2%
China	Handan	2017	92.8%	93.9%	91.3%
China	Hangzhou	2000	30.2%	34.9%	26.5%
China	Hangzhou	2017	73.2%	76.1%	69.8%
China	Hanzhong	2000	18.5%	22.8%	15.0%
China	Hanzhong	2017	58.7%	63.7%	53.0%
China	Harbin	2000	53.9%	59.5%	48.3%
China	Harbin	2017	87.1%	89.2%	85.0%
China	Hebi	2000	63.8%	71.9%	55.3%
China	Hebi	2017	91.8%	94.0%	89.0%
China	Hechi	2000	40.5%	47.4%	33.5%
China	Hechi	2017	80.9%	85.0%	76.7%
China	Hefei	2000	64.9%	73.4%	54.5%
China	Hefei	2017	92.1%	94.5%	88.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Hegang	2000	60.2%	69.3%	51.0%
China	Hegang	2017	90.4%	93.5%	86.7%
China	Heihe	2000	61.2%	71.1%	49.6%
China	Heihe	2017	90.6%	94.0%	85.9%
China	Hengshui	2000	68.0%	73.7%	63.1%
China	Hengshui	2017	92.7%	94.1%	91.1%
China	Hengyang	2000	22.7%	27.4%	18.4%
China	Hengyang	2017	64.8%	70.3%	59.6%
China	Heyuan	2000	34.8%	39.7%	30.1%
China	Heyuan	2017	76.9%	80.1%	73.9%
China	Heze	2000	27.0%	31.8%	22.7%
China	Heze	2017	68.8%	72.7%	64.8%
China	Hezhou	2000	36.8%	44.3%	30.0%
China	Hezhou	2017	78.5%	83.3%	73.0%
China	Hohhot	2000	32.3%	51.2%	16.6%
China	Hohhot	2017	73.6%	86.3%	56.5%
China	Honghe Hani and Yi	2000	36.2%	42.1%	31.4%
China	Honghe Hani and Yi	2017	78.0%	81.7%	74.3%
China	Huai'an	2000	34.3%	39.4%	29.9%
China	Huai'an	2017	76.7%	79.9%	73.4%
China	Huaibei	2000	58.0%	65.2%	50.4%
China	Huaibei	2017	89.6%	92.3%	86.5%
China	Huaihua	2000	29.2%	35.7%	23.3%
China	Huaihua	2017	71.4%	76.8%	65.8%
China	Huainan	2000	68.5%	78.2%	59.7%
China	Huainan	2017	93.2%	95.9%	90.2%
China	Huanggang	2000	28.3%	32.9%	24.1%
China	Huanggang	2017	70.7%	74.4%	66.3%
China	Huangnan Tibetan	2000	22.2%	33.6%	12.9%
China	Huangnan Tibetan	2017	63.0%	75.3%	48.0%
China	Huangshan	2000	34.8%	43.3%	26.6%
China	Huangshan	2017	76.7%	82.2%	69.6%
China	Huangshi	2000	23.9%	28.3%	20.0%
China	Huangshi	2017	66.3%	71.9%	61.8%
China	Huizhou	2000	37.8%	42.4%	33.8%
China	Huizhou	2017	79.4%	82.1%	76.8%
China	Huludao	2000	78.1%	85.7%	70.3%
China	Huludao	2017	95.7%	97.3%	93.8%
China	Hulunbuir	2000	52.7%	72.5%	34.1%
China	Hulunbuir	2017	85.7%	93.8%	72.1%
China	Huzhou	2000	30.9%	36.2%	25.9%
China	Huzhou	2017	73.9%	78.1%	69.8%
China	Ilhas	2000	40.5%	48.2%	34.4%
China	Ilhas	2017	81.1%	85.2%	76.1%
China	Ili Kazakh	2000	39.1%	77.6%	8.2%
China	Ili Kazakh	2017	75.0%	95.5%	34.8%
China	Islands	2000	37.7%	46.3%	29.9%
China	Islands	2017	79.2%	84.1%	73.2%
China	Ji'an	2000	17.7%	20.1%	15.8%
China	Ji'an	2017	57.7%	60.1%	55.2%
China	Jiamusi	2000	61.3%	69.7%	52.9%
China	Jiamusi	2017	90.8%	93.4%	88.1%
China	Jiangmen	2000	37.3%	41.6%	33.0%
China	Jiangmen	2017	79.0%	81.8%	76.0%
China	Jiaozuo	2000	59.0%	65.7%	52.7%
China	Jiaozuo	2017	90.0%	92.2%	87.6%
China	Jiaxing	2000	30.2%	34.7%	26.1%
China	Jiaxing	2017	73.3%	76.9%	69.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Jiayuguan	2000	22.6%	29.9%	16.7%
China	Jiayuguan	2017	64.7%	72.1%	56.1%
China	Jieyang	2000	38.5%	42.7%	33.8%
China	Jieyang	2017	79.9%	82.1%	77.3%
China	Jilin	2000	8.1%	9.9%	6.6%
China	Jilin	2017	35.4%	39.8%	30.3%
China	Jinan	2000	17.5%	21.0%	14.6%
China	Jinan	2017	57.0%	62.4%	52.1%
China	Jinchang	2000	21.0%	25.8%	15.7%
China	Jinchang	2017	62.5%	69.0%	55.0%
China	Jincheng	2000	34.7%	39.3%	30.6%
China	Jincheng	2017	77.0%	79.7%	74.0%
China	Jingdezhen	2000	17.9%	20.4%	15.5%
China	Jingdezhen	2017	57.9%	61.5%	54.8%
China	Jingmen	2000	25.5%	30.5%	21.1%
China	Jingmen	2017	68.3%	73.3%	62.9%
China	Jingzhou	2000	25.7%	29.5%	21.8%
China	Jingzhou	2017	68.5%	72.6%	64.7%
China	Jinhua	2000	29.4%	34.6%	24.3%
China	Jinhua	2017	72.4%	76.5%	67.7%
China	Jining	2000	18.4%	21.5%	15.3%
China	Jining	2017	58.6%	62.5%	54.5%
China	Jinzhong	2000	33.1%	36.8%	30.1%
China	Jinzhong	2017	75.5%	77.7%	73.5%
China	Jinzhou	2000	75.6%	82.9%	67.6%
China	Jinzhou	2017	95.1%	96.6%	93.1%
China	Jiujiang	2000	18.9%	21.1%	16.9%
China	Jiujiang	2017	59.4%	61.6%	56.8%
China	Jiuquan	2000	21.9%	27.5%	17.9%
China	Jiuquan	2017	63.4%	69.1%	57.8%
China	Jixi	2000	58.9%	68.1%	48.5%
China	Jixi	2017	89.9%	93.0%	85.4%
China	Jiyuan shi	2000	49.1%	57.1%	41.5%
China	Jiyuan shi	2017	85.8%	88.5%	82.3%
China	Kaifeng	2000	63.8%	70.4%	56.7%
China	Kaifeng	2017	91.5%	93.3%	89.2%
China	Karamay	2000	39.2%	76.1%	9.8%
China	Karamay	2017	75.8%	95.5%	39.9%
China	Kashgar	2000	38.4%	79.7%	7.6%
China	Kashgar	2017	73.9%	96.1%	32.4%
China	Khotan	2000	37.6%	76.1%	10.7%
China	Khotan	2017	74.3%	95.1%	44.2%
China	Kizilsu Kirghiz	2000	36.7%	79.2%	6.6%
China	Kizilsu Kirghiz	2017	71.8%	95.7%	30.4%
China	Kowloon City	2000	37.1%	45.7%	28.8%
China	Kowloon City	2017	78.7%	84.1%	72.7%
China	Kunming	2000	35.3%	40.4%	30.9%
China	Kunming	2017	77.5%	80.5%	74.4%
China	Kwai Tsing	2000	37.1%	44.6%	29.4%
China	Kwai Tsing	2017	78.7%	83.6%	73.1%
China	Kwun Tong	2000	37.8%	47.1%	28.9%
China	Kwun Tong	2017	79.2%	84.7%	72.9%
China	Laibin	2000	39.1%	45.3%	32.8%
China	Laibin	2017	80.1%	84.0%	75.9%
China	Laiwu	2000	16.9%	21.5%	13.1%
China	Laiwu	2017	56.2%	62.5%	49.6%
China	Langfang	2000	59.4%	64.0%	54.1%
China	Langfang	2017	89.9%	91.4%	88.4%
China	Lanzhou	2000	21.2%	24.0%	18.7%
China	Lanzhou	2017	63.0%	66.3%	60.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Leshan	2000	16.0%	19.1%	13.3%
China	Leshan	2017	54.6%	59.6%	49.2%
China	Lhasa	2000	26.9%	67.5%	3.9%
China	Lhasa	2017	62.7%	92.4%	21.0%
China	Liangshan Yi	2000	19.2%	23.2%	16.0%
China	Liangshan Yi	2017	59.4%	63.8%	54.4%
China	Lianyun gang	2000	31.0%	35.3%	26.8%
China	Lianyun gang	2017	73.8%	77.1%	70.4%
China	Liaocheng	2000	29.9%	34.2%	25.6%
China	Liaocheng	2017	71.3%	75.4%	67.2%
China	Liaoyang	2000	75.6%	81.8%	69.1%
China	Liaoyang	2017	95.1%	96.7%	93.7%
China	Liaoyuan	2000	10.5%	13.4%	8.0%
China	Liaoyuan	2017	42.3%	48.6%	36.4%
China	Lijiang	2000	31.2%	38.8%	25.0%
China	Lijiang	2017	73.6%	79.2%	68.2%
China	Lincang	2000	36.1%	43.4%	28.9%
China	Lincang	2017	77.9%	82.3%	73.1%
China	Linfen	2000	31.3%	34.7%	28.2%
China	Linfen	2017	74.2%	76.3%	71.7%
China	Linxia Hui	2000	21.6%	24.9%	18.4%
China	Linxia Hui	2017	63.5%	67.2%	59.8%
China	Linyi	2000	20.2%	23.3%	17.3%
China	Linyi	2017	61.1%	64.7%	57.7%
China	Lishui	2000	29.1%	34.9%	24.5%
China	Lishui	2017	72.0%	76.4%	66.9%
China	Liupanshui	2000	49.2%	54.7%	43.4%
China	Liupanshui	2017	85.9%	88.4%	83.3%
China	Liuzhou	2000	40.2%	46.8%	33.8%
China	Liuzhou	2017	80.8%	84.2%	76.8%
China	Longnan	2000	21.3%	24.9%	18.2%
China	Longnan	2017	63.1%	66.6%	59.3%
China	Longyan	2000	25.2%	29.0%	21.7%
China	Longyan	2017	68.0%	71.5%	64.4%
China	Loudi	2000	23.0%	29.2%	18.2%
China	Loudi	2017	65.1%	71.0%	58.9%
China	Lu'an	2000	64.5%	73.1%	56.7%
China	Lu'an	2017	91.8%	94.3%	88.8%
China	Luliang	2000	31.3%	35.5%	27.8%
China	Luliang	2017	74.3%	76.4%	71.9%
China	Luohe	2000	74.7%	81.4%	67.4%
China	Luohe	2017	94.9%	96.6%	93.1%
China	Luoyang	2000	61.0%	67.8%	53.0%
China	Luoyang	2017	90.7%	92.8%	88.2%
China	Luzhou	2000	23.3%	27.0%	20.2%
China	Luzhou	2017	64.9%	68.8%	61.2%
China	Ma'anshan	2000	44.7%	53.1%	36.2%
China	Ma'anshan	2017	83.5%	87.4%	78.7%
China	Macau	2000	40.3%	47.3%	34.2%
China	Macau	2017	81.0%	84.8%	76.8%
China	Maoming	2000	38.0%	42.6%	33.8%
China	Maoming	2017	79.5%	81.9%	76.9%
China	Meishan	2000	15.6%	18.5%	13.3%
China	Meishan	2017	53.9%	57.8%	49.8%
China	Meizhou	2000	35.8%	40.9%	30.8%
China	Meizhou	2017	77.8%	80.7%	74.1%
China	Mianyang	2000	16.3%	19.1%	13.7%
China	Mianyang	2017	55.0%	59.4%	50.4%
China	Mudanjiang	2000	50.0%	59.5%	41.1%
China	Mudanjiang	2017	85.5%	89.1%	80.7%
China	Nagchu	2000	21.6%	50.2%	4.2%
China	Nagchu	2017	56.3%	84.8%	21.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Nanchang	2000	17.5%	19.8%	15.9%
China	Nanchang	2017	57.5%	59.8%	55.0%
China	Nanchong	2000	16.4%	19.0%	13.9%
China	Nanchong	2017	55.3%	59.3%	51.4%
China	Nanjing	2000	35.5%	39.8%	31.3%
China	Nanjing	2017	77.6%	80.2%	75.3%
China	Nanning	2000	38.4%	44.4%	33.1%
China	Nanning	2017	79.7%	83.0%	76.2%
China	Nanping	2000	25.0%	28.7%	21.7%
China	Nanping	2017	67.7%	70.7%	64.3%
China	Nantong	2000	32.8%	37.3%	28.8%
China	Nantong	2017	75.5%	78.5%	72.1%
China	Nanyang	2000	57.5%	64.5%	50.4%
China	Nanyang	2017	89.1%	91.5%	86.3%
China	Neijiang	2000	17.8%	21.4%	14.8%
China	Neijiang	2017	57.7%	61.7%	53.1%
China	Neijiang]]	2000	17.5%	20.5%	14.8%
China	Neijiang]]	2017	57.3%	61.5%	52.8%
China	Ngari	2000	21.6%	50.1%	4.2%
China	Ngari	2017	55.2%	84.7%	20.3%
China	Ngawa Tibetan and Qiang	2000	17.3%	21.6%	13.6%
China	Ngawa Tibetan and Qiang	2017	55.9%	61.9%	50.4%
China	Ningbo	2000	28.9%	33.1%	24.2%
China	Ningbo	2017	71.9%	75.7%	67.8%
China	Ningde	2000	25.6%	29.0%	21.8%
China	Ningde	2017	68.5%	71.6%	64.9%
China	North	2000	38.0%	43.6%	32.9%
China	North	2017	79.5%	82.9%	76.0%
China	Nujiang Lisu	2000	39.4%	51.1%	29.2%
China	Nujiang Lisu	2017	80.0%	86.2%	72.0%
China	Nyingtri	2000	29.7%	59.6%	9.1%
China	Nyingtri	2017	66.9%	88.9%	34.1%
China	Ordos	2000	24.7%	36.6%	13.5%
China	Ordos	2017	65.7%	78.1%	49.6%
China	Panjin	2000	79.0%	85.3%	71.4%
China	Panjin	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.1%
China	Panzhuhua	2000	21.8%	27.5%	16.1%
China	Panzhuhua	2017	63.4%	70.3%	56.6%
China	Pingdingshan	2000	72.9%	79.0%	64.8%
China	Pingdingshan	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.3%
China	Pingliang	2000	21.6%	25.0%	18.4%
China	Pingliang	2017	63.4%	67.5%	59.8%
China	Pingxiang	2000	18.1%	21.0%	15.4%
China	Pingxiang	2017	58.4%	62.0%	54.2%
China	Pu'er	2000	36.3%	43.2%	30.6%
China	Pu'er	2017	77.9%	81.7%	73.6%
China	Putian	2000	25.4%	29.2%	21.9%
China	Putian	2017	68.3%	71.2%	65.5%
China	Puyang	2000	43.0%	49.6%	37.7%
China	Puyang	2017	81.4%	84.3%	77.8%
China	Qiandongnan Miao and Dong	2000	49.5%	54.9%	43.6%
China	Qiandongnan Miao and Dong	2017	85.9%	88.1%	83.4%
China	Qianjiang	2000	26.3%	32.0%	21.3%
China	Qianjiang	2017	69.3%	74.5%	64.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Qiannan Buyei and Miao	2000	51.1%	56.8%	45.7%
China	Qiannan Buyei and Miao	2017	86.8%	88.9%	84.4%
China	Qianxinan Buyei and Miao	2000	48.8%	55.0%	42.7%
China	Qianxinan Buyei and Miao	2017	85.7%	88.2%	82.7%
China	Qingdao	2000	18.3%	22.1%	15.2%
China	Qingdao	2017	58.5%	63.5%	53.7%
China	Qingyang	2000	21.3%	24.4%	18.3%
China	Qingyang	2017	63.0%	66.9%	58.4%
China	Qingyuan	2000	37.1%	41.5%	32.2%
China	Qingyuan	2017	78.8%	81.8%	75.5%
China	Qinhuangdao	2000	76.9%	83.2%	70.2%
China	Qinhuangdao	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.4%
China	Qinzhou	2000	37.8%	44.1%	31.8%
China	Qinzhou	2017	79.3%	82.8%	74.9%
China	Qiqihar	2000	58.0%	64.7%	50.4%
China	Qiqihar	2017	89.2%	91.7%	86.0%
China	Qitaihe	2000	62.1%	72.1%	51.1%
China	Qitaihe	2017	91.1%	94.4%	86.7%
China	Quanzhou	2000	25.4%	28.1%	22.8%
China	Quanzhou	2017	68.4%	70.7%	66.4%
China	Qujing	2000	39.0%	44.2%	33.9%
China	Qujing	2017	79.9%	82.7%	77.0%
China	Quzhou	2000	25.0%	30.4%	19.9%
China	Quzhou	2017	67.6%	72.6%	61.6%
China	Rizhao	2000	19.9%	24.6%	15.8%
China	Rizhao	2017	60.9%	66.9%	55.3%
China	Sai Kung	2000	37.4%	47.0%	28.5%
China	Sai Kung	2017	78.9%	84.5%	72.7%
China	Sanmenxia	2000	41.3%	47.8%	35.2%
China	Sanmenxia	2017	81.2%	84.6%	77.6%
China	Sanming	2000	25.0%	28.9%	21.3%
China	Sanming	2017	67.7%	71.6%	63.5%
China	Sanya	2000	42.9%	77.7%	16.1%
China	Sanya	2017	79.6%	95.6%	55.9%
China	Sha Tin	2000	35.7%	43.9%	28.5%
China	Sha Tin	2017	77.7%	82.9%	72.2%
China	Sham Shui Po	2000	36.4%	44.5%	28.7%
China	Sham Shui Po	2017	78.3%	83.3%	72.2%
China	Shanghai	2000	31.3%	35.3%	28.2%
China	Shanghai	2017	74.3%	76.4%	71.8%
China	Shangluo	2000	23.4%	28.0%	18.7%
China	Shangluo	2017	65.2%	70.5%	59.7%
China	Shangqiu	2000	54.9%	60.4%	49.0%
China	Shangqiu	2017	88.1%	90.4%	85.5%
China	Shangrao	2000	18.2%	20.4%	16.4%
China	Shangrao	2017	58.4%	60.6%	56.1%
China	Shannan	2000	26.8%	64.8%	4.4%
China	Shannan	2017	62.6%	91.5%	22.2%
China	Shantou	2000	37.1%	42.2%	32.1%
China	Shantou	2017	78.9%	82.0%	76.1%
China	Shanwei	2000	38.8%	44.9%	32.9%
China	Shanwei	2017	80.0%	84.0%	76.1%
China	Shaoguan	2000	31.9%	37.0%	26.6%
China	Shaoguan	2017	74.3%	78.1%	70.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Shaoxing	2000	29.2%	34.5%	24.9%
China	Shaoxing	2017	72.2%	76.6%	68.3%
China	Shaoyang	2000	24.5%	29.7%	19.5%
China	Shaoyang	2017	66.8%	71.8%	60.7%
China	Shennongjia	2000	27.4%	35.3%	20.5%
China	Shennongjia	2017	70.1%	77.7%	61.5%
China	Shenyang	2000	72.2%	77.6%	65.4%
China	Shenyang	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.6%
China	Shenzhen	2000	38.4%	42.5%	34.9%
China	Shenzhen	2017	79.8%	81.9%	77.9%
China	Shigatse	2000	24.3%	61.5%	4.5%
China	Shigatse	2017	59.2%	89.8%	23.3%
China	Shihezi	2000	40.9%	77.1%	9.9%
China	Shihezi	2017	77.4%	95.4%	40.0%
China	Shijiazhuang	2000	75.1%	80.1%	69.7%
China	Shijiazhuang	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.7%
China	Shiyan	2000	27.7%	33.5%	22.2%
China	Shiyan	2017	70.3%	75.2%	64.3%
China	Shizuishan	2000	22.0%	43.1%	8.8%
China	Shizuishan	2017	61.4%	82.7%	36.7%
China	Shuangyashan	2000	60.6%	69.8%	50.2%
China	Shuangyashan	2017	90.5%	93.6%	86.6%
China	Shuozhou	2000	31.3%	36.1%	27.4%
China	Shuozhou	2017	74.3%	77.2%	71.3%
China	Siping	2000	10.4%	12.4%	8.3%
China	Siping	2017	41.3%	45.7%	36.4%
China	Songyuan	2000	10.4%	12.3%	8.4%
China	Songyuan	2017	41.3%	47.0%	36.7%
China	Southern	2000	36.0%	45.5%	27.3%
China	Southern	2017	77.8%	83.8%	71.3%
China	Suihua	2000	61.5%	67.9%	53.6%
China	Suihua	2017	90.8%	92.9%	88.2%
China	Suining	2000	17.4%	20.7%	14.6%
China	Suining	2017	57.0%	61.9%	52.8%
China	Suizhou Shi	2000	32.1%	37.8%	25.8%
China	Suizhou Shi	2017	74.5%	78.9%	69.4%
China	Suqian	2000	34.7%	39.5%	29.6%
China	Suqian	2017	77.0%	80.0%	73.8%
China	Suzhou	2000	49.0%	55.2%	42.9%
China	Suzhou	2000	33.1%	36.8%	29.5%
China	Suzhou	2017	85.4%	87.9%	82.7%
China	Suzhou	2017	75.8%	78.3%	73.2%
China	Tacheng	2000	37.5%	71.5%	9.2%
China	Tacheng	2017	74.5%	93.8%	39.0%
China	Tai Po	2000	37.3%	44.1%	31.0%
China	Tai Po	2017	79.0%	83.3%	74.5%
China	Tai'an	2000	16.4%	19.6%	13.4%
China	Tai'an	2017	55.4%	59.7%	50.7%
China	Taiyuan	2000	30.3%	34.1%	26.6%
China	Taiyuan	2017	73.4%	76.1%	71.1%
China	Taizhou	2000	33.8%	37.9%	29.8%
China	Taizhou	2000	29.7%	34.5%	24.9%
China	Taizhou	2017	76.4%	78.8%	73.6%
China	Taizhou	2017	72.7%	76.3%	68.7%
China	Tangshan	2000	65.5%	71.6%	59.4%
China	Tangshan	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.3%
China	Tianjin	2000	36.5%	39.7%	33.4%
China	Tianjin	2017	78.2%	79.7%	76.8%
China	Tianmen	2000	26.2%	32.0%	22.0%
China	Tianmen	2017	69.1%	74.6%	64.1%
China	Tianshui	2000	21.6%	24.7%	18.9%
China	Tianshui	2017	63.4%	66.7%	60.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Tieling	2000	57.9%	62.7%	52.3%
China	Tieling	2017	87.3%	89.3%	84.9%
China	Tongchuan	2000	18.8%	23.6%	14.5%
China	Tongchuan	2017	59.4%	66.2%	53.2%
China	Tonghua	2000	10.9%	13.7%	8.6%
China	Tonghua	2017	42.6%	48.6%	36.6%
China	Tongliao	2000	31.8%	44.2%	21.3%
China	Tongliao	2017	70.1%	78.8%	58.9%
China	Tongling	2000	57.0%	68.0%	46.2%
China	Tongling	2017	89.2%	92.9%	84.7%
China	Tongren	2000	45.2%	51.5%	39.7%
China	Tongren	2017	83.6%	86.3%	80.8%
China	Tsuen Wan	2000	36.8%	43.8%	29.6%
China	Tsuen Wan	2017	78.5%	83.3%	73.2%
China	Tuen Mun	2000	38.4%	44.7%	32.3%
China	Tuen Mun	2017	79.7%	83.7%	75.5%
China	Turfan	2000	34.3%	72.8%	5.7%
China	Turfan	2017	71.0%	94.6%	26.5%
China	Ulaan Chab	2000	43.7%	59.4%	29.5%
China	Ulaan Chab	2017	81.8%	89.5%	72.1%
China	Ürümqi	2000	35.8%	78.4%	7.1%
China	Ürümqi	2017	72.6%	95.7%	31.1%
China	Wan Chai	2000	37.5%	46.7%	28.6%
China	Wan Chai	2017	78.9%	84.4%	72.6%
China	Weifang	2000	18.1%	21.3%	15.0%
China	Weifang	2017	58.3%	62.0%	53.8%
China	Weihai	2000	20.3%	25.8%	14.9%
China	Weihai	2017	61.2%	69.0%	53.2%
China	Weinan	2000	21.4%	25.0%	17.8%
China	Weinan	2017	62.9%	67.0%	58.9%
China	Wenshan	2000	37.2%	43.8%	31.4%
China	Zhuang and Miao				
China	Wenshan	2017	78.7%	82.6%	74.1%
China	Zhuang and Miao				
China	Wenzhou	2000	28.8%	33.7%	24.6%
China	Wenzhou	2017	71.9%	75.9%	67.7%
China	Wong Tai Sin	2000	34.8%	43.2%	27.3%
China	Wong Tai Sin	2017	77.0%	82.6%	71.0%
China	Wuhai	2000	23.3%	45.8%	7.4%
China	Wuhai	2017	62.4%	84.5%	33.8%
China	Wuhan	2000	26.4%	30.3%	23.2%
China	Wuhan	2017	69.4%	73.3%	66.0%
China	Wuhu	2000	52.0%	62.7%	41.7%
China	Wuhu	2017	87.1%	90.8%	81.9%
China	Wuwei	2000	21.0%	25.6%	17.5%
China	Wuwei	2017	62.5%	67.4%	57.9%
China	Wuxi	2000	33.6%	37.4%	29.6%
China	Wuxi	2017	76.2%	79.0%	73.3%
China	Wuzhong	2000	21.9%	32.7%	12.9%
China	Wuzhong	2017	62.8%	75.4%	48.7%
China	Wuzhou	2000	38.9%	45.3%	33.4%
China	Wuzhou	2017	80.0%	84.1%	76.1%
China	Xi'an	2000	18.9%	22.1%	16.1%
China	Xi'an	2017	59.6%	63.4%	55.6%
China	Xiamen	2000	25.8%	29.4%	23.0%
China	Xiamen	2017	68.9%	72.2%	65.7%
China	Xiangfan	2000	31.6%	38.4%	26.6%
China	Xiangfan	2017	74.0%	79.0%	69.6%
China	Xiangtan	2000	22.5%	27.2%	18.0%
China	Xiangtan	2017	64.5%	69.4%	58.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Xiangxi Tujia and Miao	2000	27.7%	34.6%	22.4%
China	Xiangxi Tujia and Miao	2017	70.4%	76.5%	64.1%
China	Xianning	2000	23.8%	28.5%	19.3%
China	Xianning	2017	66.2%	70.8%	61.2%
China	Xiantao	2000	26.2%	32.0%	21.4%
China	Xiantao	2017	69.1%	74.4%	63.9%
China	Xianyang	2000	19.7%	22.9%	16.8%
China	Xianyang	2017	60.7%	64.1%	56.4%
China	Xiaogan	2000	27.0%	31.6%	22.6%
China	Xiaogan	2017	69.7%	73.8%	65.1%
China	Xilin Gol	2000	58.9%	78.7%	35.5%
China	Xilin Gol	2017	87.8%	95.3%	73.6%
China	Xing'an	2000	21.6%	29.7%	14.5%
China	Xing'an	2017	59.6%	70.9%	46.6%
China	Xingtai	2000	69.6%	74.1%	64.6%
China	Xingtai	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.8%
China	Xining	2000	23.4%	35.5%	12.3%
China	Xining	2017	64.7%	77.6%	46.8%
China	Xinxiang	2000	62.7%	69.3%	56.0%
China	Xinxiang	2017	91.2%	93.2%	88.9%
China	Xinyang	2000	59.0%	65.9%	50.6%
China	Xinyang	2017	89.7%	92.2%	86.4%
China	Xinyu	2000	17.8%	20.5%	15.4%
China	Xinyu	2017	57.9%	61.6%	54.0%
China	Xinzhou	2000	32.1%	36.2%	28.8%
China	Xinzhou	2017	74.9%	77.3%	71.9%
China	Xishuangbanna Dai	2000	35.5%	46.9%	25.6%
China	Xishuangbanna Dai	2017	77.1%	84.2%	69.1%
China	Xuancheng	2000	41.4%	49.9%	34.1%
China	Xuancheng	2017	81.3%	85.9%	76.2%
China	Xuchang	2000	74.3%	79.9%	67.0%
China	Xuchang	2017	94.8%	96.2%	92.8%
China	Xuzhou	2000	32.7%	37.1%	28.6%
China	Xuzhou	2017	75.1%	77.8%	72.4%
China	Ya'an	2000	16.4%	20.5%	12.6%
China	Ya'an	2017	55.1%	61.5%	47.4%
China	Yan'an	2000	21.5%	26.8%	16.8%
China	Yan'an	2017	63.0%	69.4%	57.4%
China	Yanbian Korean	2000	9.7%	12.5%	7.4%
China	Yanbian Korean	2017	39.6%	46.2%	34.3%
China	Yancheng	2000	33.1%	37.4%	28.7%
China	Yancheng	2017	75.7%	78.4%	72.5%
China	Yangjiang	2000	38.3%	44.3%	33.3%
China	Yangjiang	2017	79.6%	83.0%	75.6%
China	Yangquan	2000	37.3%	43.1%	32.0%
China	Yangquan	2017	78.8%	82.1%	75.3%
China	Yangzhou	2000	32.7%	36.8%	28.4%
China	Yangzhou	2017	75.4%	78.3%	72.3%
China	Yantai	2000	20.1%	23.3%	16.3%
China	Yantai	2017	61.0%	65.3%	55.2%
China	Yau Mong Tsim	2000	37.3%	45.7%	29.0%
China	Yau Mong Tsim	2017	78.9%	84.0%	72.7%
China	Yibin	2000	18.4%	21.9%	15.6%
China	Yibin	2017	58.5%	63.7%	53.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Yichang	2000	25.8%	31.5%	20.9%
China	Yichang	2017	68.6%	73.6%	63.0%
China	Yichun	2000	61.8%	70.1%	52.4%
China	Yichun	2000	17.8%	20.0%	16.0%
China	Yichun	2017	90.9%	93.8%	87.1%
China	Yichun	2017	57.9%	60.1%	55.7%
China	Yinchuan	2000	22.8%	38.2%	11.5%
China	Yinchuan	2017	63.5%	79.6%	44.9%
China	Yingtian	2000	17.7%	20.2%	15.2%
China	Yingtian	2017	57.6%	61.3%	54.3%
China	Yiyang	2000	23.9%	28.5%	19.8%
China	Yiyang	2017	66.2%	71.1%	61.5%
China	Yongzhou	2000	27.1%	33.4%	21.6%
China	Yongzhou	2017	69.8%	74.8%	63.9%
China	Yuen Long	2000	37.9%	43.5%	32.4%
China	Yuen Long	2017	79.5%	82.9%	75.7%
China	Yueyang	2000	23.1%	27.6%	18.8%
China	Yueyang	2017	65.3%	69.7%	59.6%
China	Yulin	2000	22.8%	28.2%	18.4%
China	Yulin	2000	38.3%	43.6%	33.2%
China	Yulin	2017	64.4%	70.0%	58.9%
China	Yulin	2017	79.6%	82.8%	76.2%
China	Yuncheng	2000	32.3%	36.2%	29.1%
China	Yuncheng	2017	75.0%	77.0%	72.7%
China	Yunfu	2000	37.7%	43.5%	33.0%
China	Yunfu	2017	79.3%	82.7%	75.8%
China	Yuxi	2000	36.4%	43.0%	30.8%
China	Yuxi	2017	78.3%	82.0%	73.8%
China	Zaozhuang	2000	20.0%	23.7%	17.1%
China	Zaozhuang	2017	61.0%	64.9%	56.7%
China	Zhangjiajie	2000	23.3%	29.4%	17.4%
China	Zhangjiajie	2017	65.4%	72.9%	57.4%
China	Zhangjiakou	2000	67.5%	74.4%	58.1%
China	Zhangjiakou	2017	92.6%	94.6%	90.2%
China	Zhangye	2000	21.7%	27.5%	17.0%
China	Zhangye	2017	63.4%	69.4%	57.3%
China	Zhangzhou	2000	26.7%	29.9%	23.7%
China	Zhangzhou	2017	69.8%	72.3%	67.0%
China	Zhanjiang	2000	38.3%	43.3%	33.5%
China	Zhanjiang	2017	79.7%	82.6%	76.7%
China	Zhaoqing	2000	37.7%	42.7%	32.5%
China	Zhaoqing	2017	79.3%	82.0%	75.9%
China	Zhaotong	2000	32.4%	36.6%	28.7%
China	Zhaotong	2017	74.6%	77.4%	71.3%
China	Zhengzhou	2000	69.1%	74.8%	63.0%
China	Zhengzhou	2017	93.4%	95.0%	91.5%
China	Zhenjiang	2000	32.5%	36.6%	28.2%
China	Zhenjiang	2017	75.3%	78.1%	72.1%
China	Zhongshan	2000	37.7%	42.1%	33.2%
China	Zhongshan	2017	79.3%	82.3%	76.1%
China	Zhongwei	2000	21.4%	29.0%	14.4%
China	Zhongwei	2017	62.6%	71.8%	51.4%
China	Zhoukou	2000	71.7%	77.6%	64.6%
China	Zhoukou	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.5%
China	Zhoushan	2000	30.0%	39.7%	23.0%
China	Zhoushan	2017	72.7%	80.0%	65.8%
China	Zhuhai	2000	38.6%	44.7%	33.2%
China	Zhuhai	2017	79.9%	83.4%	75.9%
China	Zhumadian	2000	68.7%	75.8%	61.4%
China	Zhumadian	2017	93.2%	95.1%	90.7%
China	Zhuzhou	2000	20.4%	24.1%	16.9%
China	Zhuzhou	2017	61.7%	66.0%	56.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Zibo	2000	18.4%	22.2%	15.2%
China	Zibo	2017	58.6%	64.1%	53.6%
China	Zigong	2000	16.3%	19.4%	13.5%
China	Zigong	2017	55.1%	59.7%	50.5%
China	Ziyang	2000	17.5%	20.6%	14.8%
China	Ziyang	2017	57.0%	60.8%	52.4%
China	Zunyi	2000	45.9%	50.7%	41.3%
China	Zunyi	2017	83.8%	85.7%	81.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat	2000	5.5%	7.6%	4.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat	2017	1.8%	2.9%	1.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat Daya	2000	9.1%	13.6%	5.8%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat Daya	2017	4.2%	7.5%	1.9%
Indonesia	Aceh Besar	2000	14.3%	17.8%	11.9%
Indonesia	Aceh Besar	2017	6.2%	8.8%	4.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Jaya	2000	3.1%	5.2%	1.8%
Indonesia	Aceh Jaya	2017	1.0%	1.9%	0.5%
Indonesia	Aceh Selatan	2000	14.0%	20.2%	9.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Selatan	2017	7.9%	13.1%	4.6%
Indonesia	Aceh Singkil	2000	17.6%	22.9%	13.8%
Indonesia	Aceh Singkil	2017	9.8%	13.6%	7.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Tamiang	2000	13.7%	15.1%	12.8%
Indonesia	Aceh Tamiang	2017	4.2%	5.2%	3.8%
Indonesia	Aceh Tengah	2000	17.2%	21.9%	14.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Tengah	2017	8.5%	12.2%	6.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Teng- gara	2000	14.6%	18.2%	12.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Teng- gara	2017	6.5%	9.9%	4.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Timur	2000	10.3%	12.4%	8.6%
Indonesia	Aceh Timur	2017	5.7%	7.8%	4.2%
Indonesia	Aceh Utara	2000	14.3%	15.8%	13.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Utara	2017	7.2%	8.2%	6.3%
Indonesia	Agam	2000	19.9%	21.8%	18.7%
Indonesia	Agam	2017	7.9%	8.8%	7.3%
Indonesia	Alor	2000	77.3%	86.5%	66.1%
Indonesia	Alor	2017	68.5%	78.9%	57.3%
Indonesia	Ambon	2000	37.6%	44.7%	33.5%
Indonesia	Ambon	2017	21.6%	26.2%	19.4%
Indonesia	Asahan	2000	20.9%	22.4%	20.0%
Indonesia	Asahan	2017	11.1%	12.3%	10.3%
Indonesia	Asmat	2000	21.6%	30.8%	12.4%
Indonesia	Asmat	2017	14.7%	21.0%	9.1%
Indonesia	Badung	2000	32.9%	35.2%	30.9%
Indonesia	Badung	2017	14.7%	16.5%	13.5%
Indonesia	Balangan	2000	19.5%	20.8%	18.5%
Indonesia	Balangan	2017	8.9%	9.7%	8.2%
Indonesia	Balikpapan	2000	62.6%	70.2%	55.5%
Indonesia	Balikpapan	2017	43.0%	50.3%	34.8%
Indonesia	Banda Aceh	2000	42.3%	43.4%	41.3%
Indonesia	Banda Aceh	2017	16.2%	17.0%	15.5%
Indonesia	Bandar Lam- pung	2000	29.8%	30.5%	29.2%
Indonesia	Bandar Lam- pung	2017	12.3%	12.9%	11.7%
Indonesia	Bandung	2000	13.4%	14.0%	13.0%
Indonesia	Bandung	2017	4.1%	4.4%	4.0%
Indonesia	Bandung Barat	2000	18.5%	19.3%	17.8%
Indonesia	Bandung Barat	2017	9.2%	9.7%	8.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Banggai	2000	19.4%	22.8%	16.6%
Indonesia	Banggai	2017	9.7%	12.5%	7.6%
Indonesia	Banggai Kepulauan	2000	19.0%	21.0%	17.0%
Indonesia	Banggai Kepulauan	2017	10.0%	12.1%	8.2%
Indonesia	Bangka	2000	7.6%	10.2%	5.9%
Indonesia	Bangka	2017	3.6%	4.9%	2.6%
Indonesia	Bangka Barat	2000	3.1%	4.7%	1.8%
Indonesia	Bangka Barat	2017	1.1%	2.1%	0.5%
Indonesia	Bangka Selatan	2000	4.5%	8.5%	2.0%
Indonesia	Bangka Selatan	2017	2.1%	5.3%	0.6%
Indonesia	Bangka Tengah	2000	4.4%	9.6%	2.4%
Indonesia	Bangka Tengah	2017	1.7%	4.6%	0.7%
Indonesia	Bangkalan	2000	12.5%	13.1%	11.8%
Indonesia	Bangkalan	2017	4.2%	4.5%	3.9%
Indonesia	Bangli	2000	36.1%	36.7%	35.5%
Indonesia	Bangli	2017	23.2%	23.6%	22.7%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	20.3%	25.2%	16.3%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	36.2%	37.4%	35.4%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	8.1%	10.8%	6.2%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	21.6%	22.7%	20.9%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	35.0%	39.3%	31.3%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	17.1%	19.3%	15.2%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	79.7%	80.2%	79.2%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	55.3%	55.9%	54.7%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	6.5%	6.9%	6.2%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	2.6%	2.8%	2.4%
Indonesia	Bantaeng	2000	34.1%	35.3%	33.1%
Indonesia	Bantaeng	2017	17.8%	19.9%	16.0%
Indonesia	Bantul	2000	7.1%	7.6%	6.7%
Indonesia	Bantul	2017	1.9%	2.2%	1.8%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2000	14.4%	15.8%	13.0%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2017	6.5%	7.8%	5.4%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2000	13.1%	13.6%	12.6%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2017	5.0%	5.2%	4.7%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2000	13.3%	14.6%	12.2%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2017	5.2%	6.0%	4.6%
Indonesia	Barito Kuala	2000	33.1%	33.9%	32.2%
Indonesia	Barito Kuala	2017	15.1%	15.9%	14.4%
Indonesia	Barito Selatan	2000	27.0%	30.3%	24.2%
Indonesia	Barito Selatan	2017	13.7%	16.3%	11.8%
Indonesia	Barito Timur	2000	12.2%	15.8%	9.6%
Indonesia	Barito Timur	2017	5.6%	8.1%	3.8%
Indonesia	Barito Utara	2000	27.5%	31.7%	23.3%
Indonesia	Barito Utara	2017	12.0%	15.0%	9.5%
Indonesia	Barru	2000	24.9%	26.2%	23.7%
Indonesia	Barru	2017	8.7%	9.8%	7.8%
Indonesia	Batam	2000	38.0%	40.8%	35.9%
Indonesia	Batam	2017	19.8%	22.3%	18.1%
Indonesia	Batang	2000	13.6%	14.1%	13.2%
Indonesia	Batang	2017	5.4%	5.6%	5.2%
Indonesia	Batang Hari	2000	11.9%	13.7%	10.3%
Indonesia	Batang Hari	2017	5.3%	6.8%	4.4%
Indonesia	Batu	2000	48.0%	48.7%	47.3%
Indonesia	Batu	2017	23.1%	23.7%	22.5%
Indonesia	Batu Bara	2000	17.9%	20.0%	16.1%
Indonesia	Batu Bara	2017	7.6%	9.2%	6.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Bau-Bau	2000	68.4%	69.7%	67.4%
Indonesia	Bau-Bau	2017	43.7%	44.9%	42.5%
Indonesia	Bekasi	2000	19.9%	20.6%	19.3%
Indonesia	Bekasi	2017	8.0%	8.5%	7.5%
Indonesia	Belitung	2000	2.2%	3.3%	1.6%
Indonesia	Belitung	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.5%
Indonesia	Belitung	2000	5.7%	8.6%	3.5%
Indonesia	Timur Belitung	2017	2.2%	4.2%	1.0%
Indonesia	Belu	2000	47.8%	56.3%	36.5%
Indonesia	Belu	2017	38.8%	47.9%	27.4%
Indonesia	Bener Meriah	2000	22.3%	30.4%	18.6%
Indonesia	Bener Meriah	2017	9.5%	16.0%	7.2%
Indonesia	Bengkalis	2000	4.6%	7.6%	2.8%
Indonesia	Bengkalis	2017	1.8%	3.6%	0.9%
Indonesia	Bengkayang	2000	27.7%	44.3%	13.3%
Indonesia	Bengkayang	2017	19.2%	33.6%	7.7%
Indonesia	Bengkulu	2000	22.0%	23.1%	20.7%
Indonesia	Bengkulu	2017	7.3%	7.9%	6.7%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Se- latan	2000	6.7%	8.3%	5.4%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Se- latan	2017	2.0%	3.0%	1.4%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Ten- gah	2000	8.7%	10.7%	7.3%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Ten- gah	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.5%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Utara	2000	18.4%	23.6%	13.9%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Utara	2017	9.3%	14.5%	5.2%
Indonesia	Berau	2000	50.4%	58.0%	43.3%
Indonesia	Berau	2017	31.5%	39.1%	25.5%
Indonesia	Biak Numfor	2000	50.4%	68.6%	20.5%
Indonesia	Biak Numfor	2017	40.4%	59.8%	11.9%
Indonesia	Bima	2000	15.6%	17.6%	13.9%
Indonesia	Bima	2017	6.9%	8.8%	5.5%
Indonesia	Bintan	2000	14.8%	23.2%	9.7%
Indonesia	Bintan	2017	5.9%	11.7%	3.7%
Indonesia	Bireuen	2000	7.7%	10.7%	5.2%
Indonesia	Bireuen	2017	3.5%	5.9%	1.8%
Indonesia	Bitung	2000	38.8%	41.0%	36.6%
Indonesia	Bitung	2017	19.8%	21.7%	18.3%
Indonesia	Blitar	2000	9.5%	10.1%	9.0%
Indonesia	Blitar	2017	3.4%	3.6%	3.1%
Indonesia	Blora	2000	7.5%	8.4%	6.8%
Indonesia	Blora	2017	2.6%	3.0%	2.3%
Indonesia	Boalemo	2000	27.5%	43.4%	19.0%
Indonesia	Boalemo	2017	26.4%	37.7%	19.3%
Indonesia	Bogor	2000	7.0%	7.4%	6.7%
Indonesia	Bogor	2017	2.2%	2.4%	2.1%
Indonesia	Bojonegoro	2000	9.5%	9.9%	9.0%
Indonesia	Bojonegoro	2017	3.3%	3.4%	3.1%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mon- gondow	2000	13.5%	15.4%	11.9%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mon- gondow	2017	6.9%	8.5%	5.6%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mon- gondow Sela- tan	2000	13.2%	27.6%	3.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Selatan	2017	8.2%	23.0%	1.0%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Timur	2000	36.7%	40.6%	30.4%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Timur	2017	22.2%	26.0%	16.4%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Utara	2000	9.7%	15.2%	6.7%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Utara	2017	6.6%	9.9%	3.9%
Indonesia	Bombana	2000	8.0%	12.4%	5.7%
Indonesia	Bombana	2017	3.3%	6.0%	2.1%
Indonesia	Bondowoso	2000	7.9%	8.7%	7.3%
Indonesia	Bondowoso	2017	2.3%	2.8%	2.0%
Indonesia	Bone	2000	17.6%	18.7%	16.7%
Indonesia	Bone	2017	9.2%	9.8%	8.6%
Indonesia	Bone Bolango	2000	18.9%	27.2%	11.9%
Indonesia	Bone Bolango	2017	9.1%	16.0%	4.5%
Indonesia	Bontang	2000	66.8%	74.7%	58.0%
Indonesia	Bontang	2017	52.8%	61.6%	41.0%
Indonesia	Boven Digoel	2000	22.9%	29.1%	17.6%
Indonesia	Boven Digoel	2017	15.5%	21.0%	11.6%
Indonesia	Boyolali	2000	11.7%	12.2%	11.3%
Indonesia	Boyolali	2017	3.9%	4.1%	3.6%
Indonesia	Brebes	2000	19.5%	19.9%	19.0%
Indonesia	Brebes	2017	10.2%	10.5%	9.8%
Indonesia	Bukittinggi	2000	48.0%	48.7%	47.3%
Indonesia	Bukittinggi	2017	19.7%	20.2%	19.1%
Indonesia	Buleleng	2000	42.8%	43.9%	41.8%
Indonesia	Buleleng	2017	25.6%	26.4%	24.9%
Indonesia	Bulukumba	2000	22.8%	23.9%	21.7%
Indonesia	Bulukumba	2017	12.5%	13.8%	11.4%
Indonesia	Bulungan	2000	41.9%	51.5%	34.3%
Indonesia	Bulungan	2017	26.1%	34.3%	19.3%
Indonesia	Bungo	2000	5.1%	6.4%	4.3%
Indonesia	Bungo	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.3%
Indonesia	Buol	2000	26.0%	30.1%	21.8%
Indonesia	Buol	2017	12.4%	15.4%	9.6%
Indonesia	Buru	2000	15.5%	21.5%	10.8%
Indonesia	Buru	2017	9.0%	15.4%	5.2%
Indonesia	Buru Selatan	2000	20.1%	31.6%	11.5%
Indonesia	Buru Selatan	2017	12.7%	23.2%	5.8%
Indonesia	Buton	2000	38.8%	40.3%	37.5%
Indonesia	Buton	2017	24.2%	25.7%	22.9%
Indonesia	Buton Utara	2000	15.8%	19.1%	12.9%
Indonesia	Buton Utara	2017	9.0%	13.7%	6.4%
Indonesia	Ciamis	2000	5.9%	6.7%	5.2%
Indonesia	Ciamis	2017	2.2%	2.7%	1.9%
Indonesia	Cianjur	2000	5.9%	6.4%	5.5%
Indonesia	Cianjur	2017	1.7%	1.9%	1.6%
Indonesia	Cilacap	2000	7.9%	8.5%	7.4%
Indonesia	Cilacap	2017	3.6%	4.2%	3.0%
Indonesia	Cilegon	2000	13.2%	14.0%	12.3%
Indonesia	Cilegon	2017	4.2%	4.9%	3.8%
Indonesia	Cimahi	2000	26.6%	27.1%	26.0%
Indonesia	Cimahi	2017	9.2%	9.4%	8.9%
Indonesia	Cirebon	2000	20.4%	20.8%	19.9%
Indonesia	Cirebon	2017	10.6%	10.9%	10.2%
Indonesia	Dairi	2000	19.9%	21.5%	18.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Dairi	2017	8.2%	8.9%	7.8%
Indonesia	Danau	2000	38.7%	56.4%	20.4%
Indonesia	Danau	2017	20.4%	37.7%	6.9%
Indonesia	Danau Lim- boto	2000	22.8%	28.5%	19.1%
Indonesia	Danau Lim- boto	2017	7.2%	10.3%	5.7%
Indonesia	Deiyai	2000	17.3%	29.4%	9.0%
Indonesia	Deiyai	2017	11.7%	23.5%	4.2%
Indonesia	Deli Serdang	2000	22.6%	23.3%	22.0%
Indonesia	Deli Serdang	2017	8.6%	8.9%	8.2%
Indonesia	Demak	2000	23.1%	23.7%	22.6%
Indonesia	Demak	2017	10.2%	10.6%	9.9%
Indonesia	Denpasar	2000	26.4%	27.0%	25.7%
Indonesia	Denpasar	2017	9.3%	9.5%	9.1%
Indonesia	Depok	2000	10.0%	10.4%	9.7%
Indonesia	Depok	2017	2.9%	3.0%	2.7%
Indonesia	Dharmasraya	2000	11.5%	14.7%	9.0%
Indonesia	Dharmasraya	2017	6.4%	9.5%	3.9%
Indonesia	Dogiyai	2000	26.0%	31.7%	20.5%
Indonesia	Dogiyai	2017	19.1%	24.6%	14.0%
Indonesia	Dompu	2000	18.0%	21.0%	16.0%
Indonesia	Dompu	2017	9.1%	11.6%	7.8%
Indonesia	Donggala	2000	12.5%	15.6%	10.0%
Indonesia	Donggala	2017	5.3%	7.5%	3.6%
Indonesia	Dumai	2000	15.1%	21.8%	9.0%
Indonesia	Dumai	2017	11.9%	18.4%	4.7%
Indonesia	Empat Lawang	2000	7.5%	9.7%	5.8%
Indonesia	Empat Lawang	2017	3.1%	4.5%	2.1%
Indonesia	Ende	2000	43.7%	68.2%	23.2%
Indonesia	Ende	2017	34.5%	58.9%	15.5%
Indonesia	Enrekang	2000	19.4%	20.4%	18.4%
Indonesia	Enrekang	2017	9.7%	10.6%	8.4%
Indonesia	Fakfak	2000	45.0%	58.1%	33.2%
Indonesia	Fakfak	2017	32.5%	44.4%	21.4%
Indonesia	Flores Timur	2000	34.6%	54.8%	18.3%
Indonesia	Flores Timur	2017	25.5%	43.9%	12.3%
Indonesia	Garut	2000	7.2%	7.9%	6.7%
Indonesia	Garut	2017	2.4%	2.6%	2.2%
Indonesia	Gayo Lues	2000	12.5%	16.4%	9.4%
Indonesia	Gayo Lues	2017	6.0%	9.2%	3.8%
Indonesia	Gianyar	2000	56.2%	56.8%	55.6%
Indonesia	Gianyar	2017	31.9%	32.5%	31.3%
Indonesia	Gorontalo	2000	25.6%	31.1%	21.6%
Indonesia	Gorontalo	2017	12.1%	16.3%	9.1%
Indonesia	Gorontalo Utara	2000	14.1%	28.3%	5.8%
Indonesia	Gorontalo Utara	2017	8.4%	21.4%	2.2%
Indonesia	Gowa	2000	18.1%	18.5%	17.7%
Indonesia	Gowa	2017	7.5%	7.7%	7.2%
Indonesia	Gresik	2000	24.8%	25.5%	24.1%
Indonesia	Gresik	2017	9.3%	9.7%	8.9%
Indonesia	Grobogan	2000	7.4%	8.1%	6.8%
Indonesia	Grobogan	2017	2.6%	3.0%	2.4%
Indonesia	Gunung Kidul	2000	21.8%	22.4%	21.2%
Indonesia	Gunung Kidul	2017	9.5%	9.9%	9.1%
Indonesia	Gunung Mas	2000	20.6%	27.3%	16.1%
Indonesia	Gunung Mas	2017	9.9%	15.0%	7.1%
Indonesia	Gunungsitoli	2000	18.8%	29.9%	9.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Gunungsitoli	2017	9.2%	19.6%	3.1%
Indonesia	Halmahera Barat	2000	27.8%	30.4%	25.5%
Indonesia	Halmahera Barat	2017	15.1%	17.0%	13.1%
Indonesia	Halmahera Selatan	2000	17.5%	23.1%	12.2%
Indonesia	Halmahera Selatan	2017	11.6%	17.2%	6.0%
Indonesia	Halmahera Tengah	2000	8.2%	11.7%	6.1%
Indonesia	Halmahera Tengah	2017	5.1%	7.8%	2.9%
Indonesia	Halmahera Timur	2000	10.3%	14.9%	6.6%
Indonesia	Halmahera Timur	2017	7.2%	11.8%	3.7%
Indonesia	Halmahera Utara	2000	17.1%	21.8%	13.5%
Indonesia	Halmahera Utara	2017	12.5%	16.2%	9.4%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Selatan	2000	25.6%	26.6%	24.7%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Selatan	2017	9.9%	10.6%	9.2%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Tengah	2000	17.0%	17.9%	16.3%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Tengah	2017	5.3%	5.8%	5.0%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Utara	2000	30.5%	31.4%	29.8%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Utara	2017	13.1%	13.7%	12.7%
Indonesia	Humbang Hasundutan	2000	4.3%	4.9%	3.7%
Indonesia	Humbang Hasundutan	2017	1.2%	1.4%	1.0%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hilir	2000	1.4%	2.7%	0.7%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hilir	2017	0.7%	1.6%	0.2%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hulu	2000	16.1%	18.3%	13.3%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hulu	2017	7.9%	9.9%	6.2%
Indonesia	Indramayu	2000	27.3%	28.2%	26.5%
Indonesia	Indramayu	2017	15.3%	15.9%	14.7%
Indonesia	Intan Jaya	2000	32.2%	44.7%	20.2%
Indonesia	Intan Jaya	2017	23.5%	36.1%	13.6%
Indonesia	Jakarta Barat	2000	52.2%	52.6%	51.8%
Indonesia	Jakarta Barat	2017	24.7%	25.1%	24.4%
Indonesia	Jakarta Pusat	2000	53.0%	53.4%	52.7%
Indonesia	Jakarta Pusat	2017	24.2%	24.5%	24.0%
Indonesia	Jakarta Selatan	2000	13.3%	13.5%	13.1%
Indonesia	Jakarta Selatan	2017	3.8%	3.9%	3.7%
Indonesia	Jakarta Timur	2000	26.6%	27.0%	26.2%
Indonesia	Jakarta Timur	2017	9.2%	9.4%	9.1%
Indonesia	Jakarta Utara	2000	71.9%	72.4%	71.5%
Indonesia	Jakarta Utara	2017	42.9%	43.5%	42.3%
Indonesia	Jambi	2000	39.1%	40.1%	37.9%
Indonesia	Jambi	2017	16.6%	18.2%	15.0%
Indonesia	Jayapura	2000	38.1%	48.5%	30.0%
Indonesia	Jayapura	2017	27.4%	35.3%	21.9%
Indonesia	Jayawijaya	2000	18.1%	21.8%	14.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Jayawijaya	2017	10.6%	13.9%	7.6%
Indonesia	Jember	2000	6.8%	7.3%	6.4%
Indonesia	Jember	2017	2.4%	2.7%	2.3%
Indonesia	Jembrana	2000	28.3%	30.7%	26.4%
Indonesia	Jembrana	2017	13.0%	14.2%	12.1%
Indonesia	Jeneponto	2000	27.6%	28.5%	26.7%
Indonesia	Jeneponto	2017	16.5%	17.5%	15.5%
Indonesia	Jepara	2000	8.7%	9.1%	8.2%
Indonesia	Jepara	2017	3.3%	3.6%	3.1%
Indonesia	Jombang	2000	7.4%	7.8%	7.1%
Indonesia	Jombang	2017	2.3%	2.4%	2.2%
Indonesia	Kaimana	2000	32.9%	43.5%	23.6%
Indonesia	Kaimana	2017	22.0%	30.7%	14.3%
Indonesia	Kampar	2000	5.2%	7.4%	3.5%
Indonesia	Kampar	2017	2.4%	4.5%	1.2%
Indonesia	Kapuas	2000	18.2%	23.6%	13.4%
Indonesia	Kapuas	2017	11.4%	16.7%	7.3%
Indonesia	Kapuas Hulu	2000	28.1%	34.9%	21.7%
Indonesia	Kapuas Hulu	2017	16.6%	23.1%	11.0%
Indonesia	Karanganyar	2000	16.6%	17.2%	16.1%
Indonesia	Karanganyar	2017	5.8%	6.1%	5.6%
Indonesia	Karangasem	2000	44.8%	46.2%	43.1%
Indonesia	Karangasem	2017	29.5%	31.1%	27.6%
Indonesia	Karawang	2000	15.3%	16.0%	14.7%
Indonesia	Karawang	2017	5.7%	6.0%	5.4%
Indonesia	Karimun	2000	10.6%	12.3%	9.5%
Indonesia	Karimun	2017	3.8%	4.9%	3.3%
Indonesia	Karo	2000	33.1%	34.1%	32.2%
Indonesia	Karo	2017	16.9%	17.8%	16.3%
Indonesia	Katingan	2000	10.2%	14.9%	6.7%
Indonesia	Katingan	2017	5.1%	8.4%	2.9%
Indonesia	Kaur	2000	8.6%	13.3%	5.1%
Indonesia	Kaur	2017	3.7%	6.6%	1.9%
Indonesia	Kayong Utara	2000	26.9%	44.3%	13.9%
Indonesia	Kayong Utara	2017	20.0%	36.3%	8.6%
Indonesia	Kebumen	2000	5.9%	6.3%	5.5%
Indonesia	Kebumen	2017	2.2%	2.4%	2.0%
Indonesia	Kediri	2000	5.8%	6.1%	5.4%
Indonesia	Kediri	2017	2.1%	2.3%	1.9%
Indonesia	Keerom	2000	23.6%	32.8%	14.2%
Indonesia	Keerom	2017	13.4%	21.1%	7.3%
Indonesia	Kendal	2000	29.8%	30.4%	29.2%
Indonesia	Kendal	2017	13.8%	14.3%	13.4%
Indonesia	Kendari	2000	40.7%	41.5%	40.1%
Indonesia	Kendari	2017	17.8%	18.2%	17.3%
Indonesia	Kepahiang	2000	18.3%	19.7%	16.9%
Indonesia	Kepahiang	2017	6.1%	6.7%	5.5%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Anambas	2000	22.1%	30.6%	13.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Anambas	2017	15.0%	23.4%	8.4%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Aru	2000	13.8%	19.1%	9.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Aru	2017	7.5%	11.5%	4.4%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Mentawai	2000	10.7%	16.2%	6.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Mentawai	2017	6.8%	11.0%	3.8%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Meranti	2000	4.6%	11.8%	0.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Meranti	2017	2.8%	8.9%	0.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sangihe	2000	37.9%	39.2%	36.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sangihe	2017	18.9%	20.0%	17.6%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Selayar	2000	17.2%	20.0%	15.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Selayar	2017	8.0%	10.3%	6.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Seribu	2000	95.4%	100.0%	63.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Seribu	2017	93.5%	99.8%	67.4%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sula	2000	14.5%	19.6%	9.8%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sula	2017	7.7%	11.2%	4.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Talaud	2000	18.7%	21.1%	17.1%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Talaud	2017	6.7%	8.3%	5.8%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Yapen	2000	41.1%	55.0%	20.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Yapen	2017	27.6%	39.5%	10.5%
Indonesia	Kerinci	2000	38.9%	41.3%	36.9%
Indonesia	Kerinci	2017	20.9%	22.9%	19.5%
Indonesia	Ketapang	2000	27.9%	35.6%	20.9%
Indonesia	Ketapang	2017	16.1%	22.2%	11.0%
Indonesia	Klaten	2000	7.4%	7.8%	7.1%
Indonesia	Klaten	2017	2.2%	2.4%	2.1%
Indonesia	Klungkung	2000	54.0%	55.0%	53.0%
Indonesia	Klungkung	2017	38.6%	39.5%	37.8%
Indonesia	Kolaka	2000	28.1%	29.9%	26.4%
Indonesia	Kolaka	2017	15.4%	17.4%	13.4%
Indonesia	Kolaka Utara	2000	19.1%	22.4%	16.7%
Indonesia	Kolaka Utara	2017	14.0%	16.7%	11.7%
Indonesia	Konawe	2000	11.4%	13.9%	9.9%
Indonesia	Konawe	2017	4.8%	6.7%	3.8%
Indonesia	Konawe Selatan	2000	10.1%	11.2%	8.9%
Indonesia	Konawe Selatan	2017	5.8%	6.5%	4.9%
Indonesia	Konawe Utara	2000	11.1%	17.2%	6.0%
Indonesia	Konawe Utara	2017	4.7%	8.5%	1.9%
Indonesia	Kota Bandung	2000	36.5%	37.2%	35.8%
Indonesia	Kota Bandung	2017	13.3%	13.7%	13.0%
Indonesia	Kota Baru	2000	14.4%	18.0%	11.6%
Indonesia	Kota Baru	2017	7.5%	9.2%	6.1%
Indonesia	Kota Bekasi	2000	12.9%	13.3%	12.5%
Indonesia	Kota Bekasi	2017	3.7%	3.9%	3.6%
Indonesia	Kota Bima	2000	42.3%	46.9%	39.2%
Indonesia	Kota Bima	2017	19.1%	22.0%	16.6%
Indonesia	Kota Binjai	2000	16.5%	17.3%	15.8%
Indonesia	Kota Binjai	2017	5.1%	5.3%	4.8%
Indonesia	Kota Blitar	2000	11.6%	12.1%	11.1%
Indonesia	Kota Blitar	2017	3.5%	3.7%	3.4%
Indonesia	Kota Bogor	2000	33.8%	34.6%	33.2%
Indonesia	Kota Bogor	2017	12.2%	12.6%	11.9%
Indonesia	Kota Cirebon	2000	63.4%	64.2%	62.8%
Indonesia	Kota Cirebon	2017	40.5%	41.5%	39.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kota Gorontalo	2000	64.8%	66.8%	61.6%
Indonesia	Kota Gorontalo	2017	38.1%	41.9%	33.1%
Indonesia	Kota Jayapura	2000	38.1%	51.0%	26.2%
Indonesia	Kota Jayapura	2017	21.6%	33.2%	14.3%
Indonesia	Kota Kediri	2000	9.3%	9.8%	8.8%
Indonesia	Kota Kediri	2017	2.6%	2.7%	2.4%
Indonesia	Kota Kupang	2000	47.3%	56.7%	35.8%
Indonesia	Kota Kupang	2017	25.1%	36.7%	16.5%
Indonesia	Kota Madiun	2000	45.3%	46.0%	44.5%
Indonesia	Kota Madiun	2017	18.3%	18.8%	17.8%
Indonesia	Kota Magelang	2000	70.8%	71.6%	70.0%
Indonesia	Kota Magelang	2017	40.8%	41.8%	39.7%
Indonesia	Kota Malang	2000	42.3%	43.0%	41.7%
Indonesia	Kota Malang	2017	16.9%	17.4%	16.5%
Indonesia	Kota Medan	2000	55.9%	56.4%	55.4%
Indonesia	Kota Medan	2017	27.6%	28.1%	27.1%
Indonesia	Kota Mojokerto	2000	5.9%	6.3%	5.5%
Indonesia	Kota Mojokerto	2017	1.6%	1.7%	1.5%
Indonesia	Kota Pasuruan	2000	46.3%	47.2%	45.6%
Indonesia	Kota Pasuruan	2017	20.5%	21.0%	20.0%
Indonesia	Kota Pekalongan	2000	28.7%	29.5%	27.8%
Indonesia	Kota Pekalongan	2017	10.3%	10.8%	9.9%
Indonesia	Kota Pontianak	2000	19.6%	20.7%	18.5%
Indonesia	Kota Pontianak	2017	17.0%	18.2%	15.1%
Indonesia	Kota Probolinggo	2000	18.9%	21.3%	16.2%
Indonesia	Kota Probolinggo	2017	6.4%	8.8%	4.9%
Indonesia	Kota Semarang	2000	45.2%	45.9%	44.5%
Indonesia	Kota Semarang	2017	20.1%	20.6%	19.6%
Indonesia	Kota Serang	2000	7.3%	7.8%	6.7%
Indonesia	Kota Serang	2017	2.3%	2.6%	2.1%
Indonesia	Kota Solok	2000	53.6%	54.9%	52.4%
Indonesia	Kota Solok	2017	25.6%	26.7%	24.7%
Indonesia	Kota Sorong	2000	29.2%	52.3%	12.1%
Indonesia	Kota Sorong	2017	13.2%	32.0%	4.5%
Indonesia	Kota Sukabumi	2000	14.9%	15.8%	14.0%
Indonesia	Kota Sukabumi	2017	4.3%	4.6%	4.0%
Indonesia	Kota Tangerang	2000	26.3%	26.9%	25.7%
Indonesia	Kota Tangerang	2017	9.1%	9.3%	8.8%
Indonesia	Kota Tanjungbalai	2000	68.0%	69.2%	66.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kota Tanjungbalai	2017	44.3%	45.7%	42.9%
Indonesia	Kota Tasikmalaya	2000	12.0%	13.0%	11.2%
Indonesia	Kota Tasikmalaya	2017	3.4%	3.8%	3.2%
Indonesia	Kota Tegal	2000	77.6%	78.3%	76.9%
Indonesia	Kota Tegal	2017	57.6%	58.3%	56.7%
Indonesia	Kota Yogyakarta	2000	14.2%	14.6%	13.7%
Indonesia	Kota Yogyakarta	2017	4.1%	4.3%	4.0%
Indonesia	Kotamobagu	2000	48.1%	48.7%	47.5%
Indonesia	Kotamobagu	2017	20.5%	20.9%	20.1%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Barat	2000	15.2%	17.2%	13.4%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Barat	2017	6.8%	8.5%	5.3%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Timur	2000	19.9%	23.7%	16.9%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Timur	2017	9.9%	12.8%	7.6%
Indonesia	Kuantan Singingi	2000	1.9%	4.0%	1.1%
Indonesia	Kuantan Singingi	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Indonesia	Kubu Raya	2000	25.1%	32.5%	19.0%
Indonesia	Kubu Raya	2017	16.9%	23.1%	12.5%
Indonesia	Kudus	2000	13.0%	13.5%	12.6%
Indonesia	Kudus	2017	3.9%	4.1%	3.7%
Indonesia	Kulon Progo	2000	9.2%	9.7%	8.6%
Indonesia	Kulon Progo	2017	3.4%	3.8%	3.1%
Indonesia	Kuningan	2000	6.8%	7.5%	6.1%
Indonesia	Kuningan	2017	2.5%	2.7%	2.3%
Indonesia	Kupang	2000	38.9%	53.5%	27.6%
Indonesia	Kupang	2017	32.4%	45.4%	22.5%
Indonesia	Kutai Barat	2000	37.6%	43.8%	30.4%
Indonesia	Kutai Barat	2017	20.8%	26.1%	15.5%
Indonesia	Kutai Kartanegara	2000	47.6%	53.4%	42.0%
Indonesia	Kutai Kartanegara	2017	26.4%	30.9%	21.8%
Indonesia	Kutai Timur	2000	39.9%	45.3%	34.1%
Indonesia	Kutai Timur	2017	23.4%	28.7%	17.7%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu	2000	7.3%	8.9%	6.4%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu	2017	2.7%	4.2%	2.1%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Selatan	2000	8.0%	11.3%	5.9%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Selatan	2017	5.3%	8.0%	3.2%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Utara	2000	7.9%	10.6%	5.7%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Utara	2017	3.4%	5.2%	2.1%
Indonesia	Lahat	2000	11.9%	16.0%	8.5%
Indonesia	Lahat	2017	4.6%	7.2%	2.9%
Indonesia	Lake Toba	2000	20.5%	22.8%	18.5%
Indonesia	Lake Toba	2017	10.9%	13.3%	9.4%
Indonesia	Lamandau	2000	7.3%	11.7%	4.5%
Indonesia	Lamandau	2017	3.0%	6.1%	1.4%
Indonesia	Lamongan	2000	18.0%	18.7%	17.4%
Indonesia	Lamongan	2017	7.7%	8.1%	7.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Lampung Barat	2000	12.0%	14.3%	10.0%
Indonesia	Lampung Barat	2017	5.4%	7.0%	4.3%
Indonesia	Lampung Selatan	2000	2.7%	3.3%	2.2%
Indonesia	Lampung Selatan	2017	1.4%	2.1%	1.0%
Indonesia	Lampung Tengah	2000	3.0%	4.9%	2.0%
Indonesia	Lampung Tengah	2017	1.2%	2.4%	0.6%
Indonesia	Lampung Timur	2000	1.8%	4.3%	1.1%
Indonesia	Lampung Timur	2017	0.6%	3.2%	0.3%
Indonesia	Lampung Utara	2000	2.5%	3.2%	2.1%
Indonesia	Lampung Utara	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.5%
Indonesia	Landak	2000	20.5%	27.5%	14.4%
Indonesia	Landak	2017	13.0%	18.3%	7.9%
Indonesia	Langkat	2000	12.3%	14.0%	10.3%
Indonesia	Langkat	2017	5.4%	6.5%	4.2%
Indonesia	Langsa	2000	16.8%	17.4%	16.2%
Indonesia	Langsa	2017	7.9%	8.2%	7.6%
Indonesia	Lanny Jaya	2000	28.9%	39.1%	19.8%
Indonesia	Lanny Jaya	2017	19.9%	29.7%	11.6%
Indonesia	Lebak	2000	8.3%	9.3%	7.3%
Indonesia	Lebak	2017	3.8%	4.6%	3.2%
Indonesia	Lebong	2000	20.0%	24.4%	17.7%
Indonesia	Lebong	2017	8.8%	11.9%	7.6%
Indonesia	Lembata	2000	25.3%	51.0%	7.3%
Indonesia	Lembata	2017	19.3%	45.0%	4.2%
Indonesia	Lhokseumawe	2000	18.9%	23.0%	16.0%
Indonesia	Lhokseumawe	2017	6.4%	8.8%	4.9%
Indonesia	Lima Puluh Kota	2000	10.4%	11.9%	9.1%
Indonesia	Lima Puluh Kota	2017	4.1%	5.3%	3.3%
Indonesia	Lingga	2000	13.6%	17.3%	10.5%
Indonesia	Lingga	2017	10.4%	14.4%	7.4%
Indonesia	Lombok Barat	2000	17.6%	19.4%	16.6%
Indonesia	Lombok Barat	2017	8.3%	9.7%	7.6%
Indonesia	Lombok Tengah	2000	11.7%	12.2%	11.2%
Indonesia	Lombok Tengah	2017	4.4%	4.6%	4.2%
Indonesia	Lombok Timur	2000	17.2%	19.4%	15.7%
Indonesia	Lombok Timur	2017	8.0%	10.5%	6.7%
Indonesia	Lombok Utara	2000	23.2%	27.4%	18.9%
Indonesia	Lombok Utara	2017	9.7%	13.3%	6.8%
Indonesia	Lubuklinggau	2000	23.5%	25.0%	22.2%
Indonesia	Lubuklinggau	2017	10.9%	11.9%	9.9%
Indonesia	Lumajang	2000	17.8%	18.5%	17.2%
Indonesia	Lumajang	2017	7.0%	7.4%	6.6%
Indonesia	Luwu	2000	6.0%	6.9%	5.3%
Indonesia	Luwu	2017	2.4%	2.9%	2.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Luwu Timur	2000	32.7%	35.0%	30.4%
Indonesia	Luwu Timur	2017	23.3%	26.5%	20.3%
Indonesia	Luwu Utara	2000	8.1%	9.7%	6.4%
Indonesia	Luwu Utara	2017	4.4%	6.1%	3.1%
Indonesia	Madiun	2000	21.6%	22.2%	21.0%
Indonesia	Madiun	2017	8.9%	9.4%	8.5%
Indonesia	Magelang	2000	23.5%	24.0%	23.1%
Indonesia	Magelang	2017	12.3%	12.6%	12.0%
Indonesia	Magetan	2000	39.1%	39.7%	38.6%
Indonesia	Magetan	2017	25.3%	25.9%	24.8%
Indonesia	Majalengka	2000	5.2%	5.6%	4.8%
Indonesia	Majalengka	2017	1.7%	1.9%	1.6%
Indonesia	Majene	2000	26.4%	28.4%	24.9%
Indonesia	Majene	2017	18.2%	19.6%	16.9%
Indonesia	Makassar	2000	60.3%	60.9%	59.7%
Indonesia	Makassar	2017	34.6%	35.3%	33.9%
Indonesia	Malang	2000	24.7%	25.3%	24.1%
Indonesia	Malang	2017	11.4%	12.0%	10.9%
Indonesia	Malinau	2000	47.9%	56.7%	38.4%
Indonesia	Malinau	2017	30.9%	38.3%	23.9%
Indonesia	Maluku Barat Daya	2000	13.3%	20.7%	6.9%
Indonesia	Maluku Barat Daya	2017	13.0%	20.5%	5.4%
Indonesia	Maluku Tengah	2000	24.9%	30.6%	20.0%
Indonesia	Maluku Tengah	2017	14.6%	19.0%	10.8%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara	2000	34.5%	38.7%	30.5%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara	2017	24.3%	27.9%	21.0%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara Barat	2000	13.1%	19.3%	8.8%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara Barat	2017	7.8%	13.5%	4.1%
Indonesia	Mamasa	2000	11.2%	13.8%	9.2%
Indonesia	Mamasa	2017	7.5%	9.1%	6.5%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Raya	2000	19.6%	31.5%	10.8%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Raya	2017	12.6%	23.2%	6.4%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Tengah	2000	19.5%	38.7%	7.9%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Tengah	2017	10.0%	22.7%	3.6%
Indonesia	Mamuju	2000	9.9%	13.2%	7.3%
Indonesia	Mamuju	2017	5.9%	8.3%	3.8%
Indonesia	Mamuju Utara	2000	10.2%	14.1%	7.4%
Indonesia	Mamuju Utara	2017	5.6%	8.7%	3.7%
Indonesia	Manado	2000	28.0%	29.1%	27.0%
Indonesia	Manado	2017	10.0%	10.9%	9.4%
Indonesia	Mandailing Natal	2000	7.1%	8.8%	5.7%
Indonesia	Mandailing Natal	2017	3.0%	4.4%	2.0%
Indonesia	Manggarai	2000	30.9%	47.9%	17.8%
Indonesia	Manggarai	2017	17.8%	35.1%	6.9%
Indonesia	Manggarai Barat	2000	36.8%	51.8%	21.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Manggarai Barat	2017	24.6%	37.9%	11.1%
Indonesia	Manggarai Timur	2000	37.4%	49.0%	27.7%
Indonesia	Manggarai Timur	2017	27.7%	37.9%	19.4%
Indonesia	Manokwari	2000	28.9%	36.3%	20.3%
Indonesia	Manokwari	2017	18.2%	25.1%	11.7%
Indonesia	Mappi	2000	16.5%	22.3%	10.6%
Indonesia	Mappi	2017	11.8%	16.9%	7.0%
Indonesia	Maros	2000	21.2%	21.7%	20.7%
Indonesia	Maros	2017	7.6%	7.9%	7.3%
Indonesia	Mataram	2000	40.4%	42.2%	39.2%
Indonesia	Mataram	2017	17.5%	18.7%	16.7%
Indonesia	Maybrat	2000	31.0%	50.7%	21.0%
Indonesia	Maybrat	2017	24.1%	37.5%	16.6%
Indonesia	Melawi	2000	21.8%	32.6%	13.4%
Indonesia	Melawi	2017	13.5%	22.0%	6.9%
Indonesia	Merangin	2000	10.3%	13.1%	8.5%
Indonesia	Merangin	2017	4.0%	5.5%	3.1%
Indonesia	Merauke	2000	27.4%	34.5%	20.7%
Indonesia	Merauke	2017	17.3%	22.8%	12.5%
Indonesia	Mesuji	2000	4.0%	6.2%	2.6%
Indonesia	Mesuji	2017	2.1%	3.4%	1.0%
Indonesia	Metro	2000	1.4%	1.6%	1.1%
Indonesia	Metro	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%
Indonesia	Mimika	2000	26.8%	35.4%	18.9%
Indonesia	Mimika	2017	17.7%	24.5%	11.2%
Indonesia	Minahasa	2000	30.0%	30.9%	29.1%
Indonesia	Minahasa	2017	15.2%	15.7%	14.5%
Indonesia	Minahasa Selatan	2000	24.6%	25.9%	23.3%
Indonesia	Minahasa Selatan	2017	13.9%	15.0%	12.7%
Indonesia	Minahasa Tenggara	2000	18.9%	20.6%	17.5%
Indonesia	Minahasa Tenggara	2017	10.1%	11.5%	8.7%
Indonesia	Minahasa Utara	2000	23.6%	25.1%	22.6%
Indonesia	Minahasa Utara	2017	9.9%	11.4%	9.2%
Indonesia	Mojokerto	2000	9.8%	10.3%	9.4%
Indonesia	Mojokerto	2017	3.3%	3.5%	3.1%
Indonesia	Morowali	2000	16.2%	20.8%	12.6%
Indonesia	Morowali	2017	7.8%	10.5%	5.6%
Indonesia	Muara Enim	2000	18.8%	20.6%	17.3%
Indonesia	Muara Enim	2017	10.2%	11.6%	9.1%
Indonesia	Muaro Jambi	2000	14.0%	15.3%	13.0%
Indonesia	Muaro Jambi	2017	5.4%	6.6%	4.5%
Indonesia	Mukomuko	2000	9.8%	12.5%	7.4%
Indonesia	Mukomuko	2017	4.4%	6.7%	2.4%
Indonesia	Muna	2000	26.8%	29.5%	24.3%
Indonesia	Muna	2017	10.7%	12.3%	9.4%
Indonesia	Murung Raya	2000	16.9%	21.5%	13.3%
Indonesia	Murung Raya	2017	10.3%	13.7%	7.3%
Indonesia	Musi	2000	14.0%	16.5%	12.0%
Indonesia	Banyuasin				
Indonesia	Musi	2017	8.3%	10.9%	6.2%
Indonesia	Banyuasin				
Indonesia	Musi Rawas	2000	10.0%	11.9%	8.3%
Indonesia	Musi Rawas	2017	3.6%	4.9%	2.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Nabire	2000	32.9%	42.5%	23.0%
Indonesia	Nabire	2017	21.3%	28.3%	14.5%
Indonesia	Nagan Raya	2000	3.5%	6.6%	1.9%
Indonesia	Nagan Raya	2017	1.1%	2.7%	0.5%
Indonesia	Nagekeo	2000	30.8%	52.0%	11.4%
Indonesia	Nagekeo	2017	19.9%	40.3%	4.3%
Indonesia	Natuna	2000	18.0%	30.9%	7.2%
Indonesia	Natuna	2017	11.2%	22.1%	3.2%
Indonesia	Nduga	2000	25.2%	35.6%	16.5%
Indonesia	Nduga	2017	17.7%	24.3%	11.5%
Indonesia	Ngada	2000	39.0%	54.9%	20.7%
Indonesia	Ngada	2017	29.3%	44.6%	11.9%
Indonesia	Nganjuk	2000	9.5%	10.0%	8.9%
Indonesia	Nganjuk	2017	3.2%	3.5%	3.0%
Indonesia	Ngawi	2000	12.2%	12.8%	11.7%
Indonesia	Ngawi	2017	5.2%	5.4%	4.9%
Indonesia	Nias	2000	2.9%	4.3%	2.0%
Indonesia	Nias	2017	0.9%	1.6%	0.5%
Indonesia	Nias Barat	2000	4.2%	6.5%	3.2%
Indonesia	Nias Barat	2017	1.4%	3.1%	0.9%
Indonesia	Nias Selatan	2000	7.0%	8.8%	5.7%
Indonesia	Nias Selatan	2017	4.6%	7.1%	2.9%
Indonesia	Nias Utara	2000	4.0%	5.7%	2.9%
Indonesia	Nias Utara	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.9%
Indonesia	Nunukan	2000	35.7%	46.3%	24.6%
Indonesia	Nunukan	2017	23.2%	33.0%	15.2%
Indonesia	Ogan Ilir	2000	14.6%	18.7%	12.4%
Indonesia	Ogan Ilir	2017	6.4%	9.5%	5.0%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ilir	2000	10.2%	14.1%	7.0%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ilir	2017	4.9%	7.8%	2.8%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu	2000	18.7%	20.2%	17.9%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu	2017	9.7%	10.7%	9.0%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Sela- tan	2000	21.5%	24.1%	18.5%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Sela- tan	2017	10.7%	12.7%	8.7%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Timur	2000	10.6%	11.7%	9.6%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Timur	2017	5.9%	6.8%	5.1%
Indonesia	Pacitan	2000	10.2%	11.4%	9.3%
Indonesia	Pacitan	2017	4.1%	5.1%	3.5%
Indonesia	Padang	2000	42.3%	43.2%	41.3%
Indonesia	Padang	2017	18.7%	19.5%	17.9%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas	2000	6.6%	9.6%	4.6%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas	2017	2.9%	4.7%	1.7%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas Utara	2000	3.9%	6.3%	2.5%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas Utara	2017	2.0%	3.6%	1.2%
Indonesia	Padang Pan- jang	2000	58.6%	59.4%	57.9%
Indonesia	Padang Pan- jang	2017	30.5%	31.0%	30.0%
Indonesia	Padang Paria- man	2000	9.6%	11.3%	8.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Padang Pariaman	2017	3.2%	4.0%	2.6%
Indonesia	Padangsidempuan	2000	32.3%	37.3%	28.3%
Indonesia	Padangsidempuan	2017	11.6%	13.6%	10.1%
Indonesia	Pagar Alam	2000	10.3%	11.4%	9.3%
Indonesia	Pagar Alam	2017	3.2%	3.7%	3.0%
Indonesia	Pakpak Barat	2000	7.5%	10.2%	5.7%
Indonesia	Pakpak Barat	2017	2.9%	4.2%	2.1%
Indonesia	Palangka Raya	2000	17.7%	25.6%	12.5%
Indonesia	Palangka Raya	2017	5.7%	10.2%	3.4%
Indonesia	Palembang	2000	51.0%	51.7%	50.4%
Indonesia	Palembang	2017	23.7%	24.1%	23.2%
Indonesia	Palopo	2000	38.7%	40.4%	37.1%
Indonesia	Palopo	2017	18.1%	19.9%	16.5%
Indonesia	Palu	2000	22.1%	23.0%	21.1%
Indonesia	Palu	2017	7.7%	8.5%	7.1%
Indonesia	Pamekasan	2000	10.8%	11.6%	9.8%
Indonesia	Pamekasan	2017	3.9%	4.8%	2.9%
Indonesia	Pandeglang	2000	6.3%	7.4%	5.5%
Indonesia	Pandeglang	2017	3.6%	4.6%	3.2%
Indonesia	Pangkajene Dan Kepulauan	2000	31.8%	32.6%	31.0%
Indonesia	Pangkajene Dan Kepulauan	2017	12.0%	12.4%	11.5%
Indonesia	Pangkalpinang	2000	7.6%	10.0%	5.9%
Indonesia	Pangkalpinang	2017	2.6%	4.2%	1.8%
Indonesia	Paniai	2000	22.7%	29.8%	16.6%
Indonesia	Paniai	2017	16.3%	22.9%	10.5%
Indonesia	Parepare	2000	46.2%	47.1%	45.4%
Indonesia	Parepare	2017	21.6%	22.1%	21.1%
Indonesia	Pariaman	2000	4.2%	4.8%	3.7%
Indonesia	Pariaman	2017	1.0%	1.1%	0.9%
Indonesia	Parigi Moutong	2000	10.2%	13.1%	8.1%
Indonesia	Parigi Moutong	2017	4.2%	5.9%	3.0%
Indonesia	Pasaman	2000	27.8%	31.9%	23.7%
Indonesia	Pasaman	2017	13.2%	16.9%	10.1%
Indonesia	Pasaman Barat	2000	24.6%	30.3%	19.1%
Indonesia	Pasaman Barat	2017	14.6%	19.1%	10.0%
Indonesia	Paser	2000	54.0%	60.4%	46.9%
Indonesia	Paser	2017	39.1%	45.6%	32.8%
Indonesia	Pasuruan	2000	15.6%	16.2%	15.1%
Indonesia	Pasuruan	2017	6.6%	6.9%	6.3%
Indonesia	Pati	2000	12.1%	12.5%	11.7%
Indonesia	Pati	2017	8.2%	8.4%	8.0%
Indonesia	Payakumbuh	2000	44.3%	45.4%	43.4%
Indonesia	Payakumbuh	2017	20.3%	21.0%	19.6%
Indonesia	Pegunungan Bintang	2000	25.0%	33.8%	17.2%
Indonesia	Pegunungan Bintang	2017	16.2%	23.5%	10.7%
Indonesia	Pekalongan	2000	9.9%	10.2%	9.5%
Indonesia	Pekalongan	2017	3.1%	3.3%	3.0%
Indonesia	Pekanbaru	2000	5.7%	10.2%	3.4%
Indonesia	Pekanbaru	2017	2.3%	5.6%	1.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Pelalawan	2000	4.7%	7.5%	3.1%
Indonesia	Pelalawan	2017	2.3%	4.3%	1.3%
Indonesia	Pemalang	2000	7.6%	8.1%	7.2%
Indonesia	Pemalang	2017	2.9%	3.2%	2.7%
Indonesia	Pematangsiantar	2000	84.6%	85.4%	83.6%
Indonesia	Pematangsiantar	2017	60.8%	62.6%	58.7%
Indonesia	Penajam Paser Utara	2000	45.6%	58.1%	33.8%
Indonesia	Penajam Paser Utara	2017	32.7%	42.7%	23.5%
Indonesia	Pesawaran	2000	8.8%	10.0%	7.7%
Indonesia	Pesawaran	2017	6.0%	7.1%	5.1%
Indonesia	Pesisir Selatan	2000	18.3%	24.8%	13.9%
Indonesia	Pesisir Selatan	2017	8.1%	12.3%	5.1%
Indonesia	Pidie	2000	8.4%	10.3%	7.0%
Indonesia	Pidie	2017	3.8%	5.2%	2.6%
Indonesia	Pidie Jaya	2000	11.6%	17.0%	6.0%
Indonesia	Pidie Jaya	2017	5.8%	9.5%	2.2%
Indonesia	Pinrang	2000	12.4%	13.1%	11.8%
Indonesia	Pinrang	2017	4.3%	4.6%	4.0%
Indonesia	Pohuwato	2000	21.6%	35.2%	13.2%
Indonesia	Pohuwato	2017	12.1%	23.2%	6.0%
Indonesia	Polewali Mandar	2000	20.9%	22.0%	20.0%
Indonesia	Polewali Mandar	2017	8.9%	9.8%	8.2%
Indonesia	Ponorogo	2000	11.2%	11.7%	10.7%
Indonesia	Ponorogo	2017	4.2%	4.5%	4.0%
Indonesia	Pontianak	2000	27.7%	35.7%	20.3%
Indonesia	Pontianak	2017	20.0%	27.6%	13.1%
Indonesia	Poso	2000	33.0%	36.7%	29.8%
Indonesia	Poso	2017	19.3%	21.9%	16.7%
Indonesia	Prabumulih	2000	7.7%	8.4%	7.1%
Indonesia	Prabumulih	2017	2.2%	2.4%	2.1%
Indonesia	Pringsewu	2000	2.1%	2.4%	1.8%
Indonesia	Pringsewu	2017	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%
Indonesia	Probolinggo	2000	10.7%	11.8%	10.0%
Indonesia	Probolinggo	2017	4.5%	4.9%	4.2%
Indonesia	Pulang Pisau	2000	17.2%	23.7%	11.8%
Indonesia	Pulang Pisau	2017	8.5%	12.9%	5.2%
Indonesia	Pulau Morotai	2000	16.9%	26.1%	10.3%
Indonesia	Pulau Morotai	2017	9.4%	17.3%	4.8%
Indonesia	Puncak	2000	33.9%	42.0%	25.2%
Indonesia	Puncak	2017	28.2%	36.7%	20.1%
Indonesia	Puncak Jaya	2000	30.5%	38.1%	22.5%
Indonesia	Puncak Jaya	2017	21.8%	28.6%	14.3%
Indonesia	Purbalingga	2000	14.2%	14.6%	13.8%
Indonesia	Purbalingga	2017	7.0%	7.3%	6.8%
Indonesia	Purwakarta	2000	14.7%	15.3%	14.1%
Indonesia	Purwakarta	2017	5.1%	5.4%	4.9%
Indonesia	Purworejo	2000	11.0%	11.6%	10.4%
Indonesia	Purworejo	2017	3.8%	4.2%	3.6%
Indonesia	Raja Ampat	2000	38.3%	53.0%	19.8%
Indonesia	Raja Ampat	2017	34.4%	49.3%	17.9%
Indonesia	Rejang Lebong	2000	19.5%	21.4%	18.0%
Indonesia	Rejang Lebong	2017	7.2%	8.1%	6.6%
Indonesia	Rembang	2000	17.1%	17.8%	16.5%
Indonesia	Rembang	2017	9.4%	9.8%	9.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Rokan Hilir	2000	3.1%	6.0%	1.2%
Indonesia	Rokan Hilir	2017	1.6%	3.8%	0.4%
Indonesia	Rokan Hulu	2000	4.5%	7.0%	2.5%
Indonesia	Rokan Hulu	2017	1.9%	3.6%	0.8%
Indonesia	Rote Ndao	2000	72.2%	95.2%	56.0%
Indonesia	Rote Ndao	2017	67.3%	92.8%	51.1%
Indonesia	Sabang	2000	49.5%	52.5%	46.9%
Indonesia	Sabang	2017	28.7%	31.0%	26.3%
Indonesia	Sabu Raijua	2000	39.2%	68.0%	9.4%
Indonesia	Sabu Raijua	2017	30.0%	59.4%	4.2%
Indonesia	Salatiga	2000	66.1%	67.0%	65.1%
Indonesia	Salatiga	2017	37.1%	38.0%	36.1%
Indonesia	Samarinda	2000	49.1%	51.6%	46.5%
Indonesia	Samarinda	2017	22.6%	25.2%	20.6%
Indonesia	Sambas	2000	18.2%	26.2%	11.0%
Indonesia	Sambas	2017	10.4%	16.8%	5.2%
Indonesia	Samosir	2000	8.5%	9.9%	7.6%
Indonesia	Samosir	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.7%
Indonesia	Sampang	2000	15.9%	17.5%	14.7%
Indonesia	Sampang	2017	7.0%	8.2%	6.2%
Indonesia	Sanggau	2000	29.2%	39.4%	21.2%
Indonesia	Sanggau	2017	18.8%	27.3%	12.3%
Indonesia	Sarmi	2000	18.6%	30.3%	8.6%
Indonesia	Sarmi	2017	13.2%	23.5%	4.9%
Indonesia	Sarolangun	2000	10.0%	11.7%	8.5%
Indonesia	Sarolangun	2017	4.1%	5.2%	3.2%
Indonesia	Sawahlunto	2000	35.2%	36.2%	34.2%
Indonesia	Sawahlunto	2017	20.4%	21.3%	19.7%
Indonesia	Sekadau	2000	20.7%	31.4%	12.2%
Indonesia	Sekadau	2017	10.9%	18.4%	5.8%
Indonesia	Seluma	2000	1.9%	3.5%	1.0%
Indonesia	Seluma	2017	0.6%	1.4%	0.3%
Indonesia	Semarang	2000	18.0%	18.5%	17.6%
Indonesia	Semarang	2017	8.9%	9.2%	8.6%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Barat	2000	24.7%	29.6%	19.7%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Barat	2017	16.3%	20.5%	12.4%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Timur	2000	24.0%	40.0%	9.6%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Timur	2017	17.2%	33.4%	5.2%
Indonesia	Serang	2000	9.9%	10.7%	9.1%
Indonesia	Serang	2017	3.1%	3.6%	2.8%
Indonesia	Serdang Bedagai	2000	11.4%	12.3%	10.8%
Indonesia	Serdang Bedagai	2017	4.1%	4.5%	3.8%
Indonesia	Seruyan	2000	12.2%	17.5%	8.0%
Indonesia	Seruyan	2017	6.3%	10.2%	3.8%
Indonesia	Siak	2000	9.0%	14.9%	5.0%
Indonesia	Siak	2017	4.1%	8.2%	1.9%
Indonesia	Siau Tagulandang Biaro	2000	16.9%	21.3%	14.9%
Indonesia	Siau Tagulandang Biaro	2017	5.4%	14.7%	4.1%
Indonesia	Sibolga	2000	90.5%	91.3%	89.7%
Indonesia	Sibolga	2017	77.7%	79.7%	75.4%
Indonesia	Sidenreng Rappang	2000	12.9%	13.5%	12.3%
Indonesia	Sidenreng Rappang	2017	4.2%	4.6%	4.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Sidoarjo	2000	43.3%	43.9%	42.7%
Indonesia	Sidoarjo	2017	19.4%	20.0%	18.8%
Indonesia	Sigi	2000	18.2%	20.9%	16.1%
Indonesia	Sigi	2017	8.2%	10.2%	6.1%
Indonesia	Sijunjung	2000	22.2%	25.5%	19.2%
Indonesia	Sijunjung	2017	10.0%	12.6%	7.9%
Indonesia	Sikka	2000	60.1%	71.8%	50.1%
Indonesia	Sikka	2017	51.0%	61.7%	41.5%
Indonesia	Simalungun	2000	26.2%	27.2%	25.2%
Indonesia	Simalungun	2017	15.6%	17.0%	14.5%
Indonesia	Simeulue	2000	4.6%	8.6%	2.3%
Indonesia	Simeulue	2017	2.0%	4.5%	0.7%
Indonesia	Singkawang	2000	28.8%	37.1%	21.7%
Indonesia	Singkawang	2017	18.5%	24.9%	12.8%
Indonesia	Sinjai	2000	19.3%	21.2%	17.1%
Indonesia	Sinjai	2017	10.3%	12.5%	8.6%
Indonesia	Sintang	2000	21.5%	26.9%	16.8%
Indonesia	Sintang	2017	12.8%	17.5%	9.3%
Indonesia	Situbondo	2000	13.8%	15.5%	12.9%
Indonesia	Situbondo	2017	5.6%	6.5%	5.2%
Indonesia	Sleman	2000	6.3%	6.6%	6.0%
Indonesia	Sleman	2017	1.8%	1.9%	1.7%
Indonesia	Solok	2000	31.8%	34.1%	29.7%
Indonesia	Solok	2017	12.8%	14.6%	11.5%
Indonesia	Solok Selatan	2000	26.4%	34.2%	20.1%
Indonesia	Solok Selatan	2017	12.6%	19.7%	7.5%
Indonesia	Soppeng	2000	17.6%	18.2%	17.1%
Indonesia	Soppeng	2017	7.2%	7.5%	6.8%
Indonesia	Sorong	2000	27.9%	34.2%	21.8%
Indonesia	Sorong	2017	19.7%	26.0%	14.6%
Indonesia	Sorong Selatan	2000	40.4%	58.3%	25.7%
Indonesia	Sorong Selatan	2017	31.8%	47.2%	16.7%
Indonesia	Sragen	2000	22.3%	22.9%	21.7%
Indonesia	Sragen	2017	9.2%	9.6%	8.8%
Indonesia	Subang	2000	12.9%	13.5%	12.3%
Indonesia	Subang	2017	4.2%	4.4%	3.9%
Indonesia	Subulussalam	2000	32.7%	46.9%	22.9%
Indonesia	Subulussalam	2017	17.3%	28.2%	10.3%
Indonesia	Sukabumi	2000	6.0%	6.7%	5.5%
Indonesia	Sukabumi	2017	1.9%	2.3%	1.7%
Indonesia	Sukamara	2000	8.3%	17.7%	3.6%
Indonesia	Sukamara	2017	3.1%	8.5%	1.0%
Indonesia	Sukoharjo	2000	13.8%	14.1%	13.4%
Indonesia	Sukoharjo	2017	4.2%	4.3%	4.1%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat	2000	25.0%	42.5%	13.4%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat	2017	22.0%	36.6%	10.0%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat Daya	2000	77.5%	87.6%	64.0%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat Daya	2017	73.2%	82.8%	59.8%
Indonesia	Sumba Tengah	2000	56.9%	69.7%	36.8%
Indonesia	Sumba Tengah	2017	52.9%	64.9%	35.1%
Indonesia	Sumba Timur	2000	39.0%	57.3%	22.8%
Indonesia	Sumba Timur	2017	29.9%	46.0%	15.8%
Indonesia	Sumbawa	2000	21.3%	23.6%	19.0%
Indonesia	Sumbawa	2017	9.9%	12.2%	7.9%
Indonesia	Sumbawa Barat	2000	29.1%	39.4%	22.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Sumbawa Barat	2017	16.5%	22.4%	13.2%
Indonesia	Sumedang	2000	14.4%	15.0%	13.8%
Indonesia	Sumedang	2017	5.6%	5.9%	5.3%
Indonesia	Sumenep	2000	18.4%	19.3%	17.6%
Indonesia	Sumenep	2017	8.9%	9.7%	8.3%
Indonesia	Sungai Penuh	2000	74.8%	76.1%	73.6%
Indonesia	Sungai Penuh	2017	51.7%	53.8%	49.7%
Indonesia	Supiori	2000	21.5%	50.7%	2.6%
Indonesia	Supiori	2017	16.3%	46.6%	0.9%
Indonesia	Surabaya	2000	67.4%	67.9%	66.8%
Indonesia	Surabaya	2017	35.5%	36.1%	34.9%
Indonesia	Surakarta	2000	35.8%	36.6%	35.0%
Indonesia	Surakarta	2017	12.8%	13.1%	12.4%
Indonesia	Tabalong	2000	35.5%	36.4%	34.7%
Indonesia	Tabalong	2017	17.3%	18.0%	16.7%
Indonesia	Tabanan	2000	51.7%	52.6%	50.8%
Indonesia	Tabanan	2017	28.6%	29.4%	27.8%
Indonesia	Takalar	2000	8.1%	8.6%	7.7%
Indonesia	Takalar	2017	3.9%	4.3%	3.6%
Indonesia	Tambrauw	2000	24.3%	43.5%	8.8%
Indonesia	Tambrauw	2017	20.0%	33.8%	7.7%
Indonesia	Tana Tidung	2000	41.4%	60.4%	23.6%
Indonesia	Tana Tidung	2017	29.5%	46.7%	14.6%
Indonesia	Tana Toraja	2000	20.9%	22.3%	19.3%
Indonesia	Tana Toraja	2017	10.5%	11.8%	9.5%
Indonesia	Tanah Bumbu	2000	17.6%	21.3%	14.7%
Indonesia	Tanah Bumbu	2017	7.9%	10.1%	6.4%
Indonesia	Tanah Datar	2000	27.2%	28.5%	26.1%
Indonesia	Tanah Datar	2017	11.6%	12.9%	10.7%
Indonesia	Tanah Laut	2000	11.4%	13.9%	9.4%
Indonesia	Tanah Laut	2017	4.8%	6.7%	3.6%
Indonesia	Tangerang	2000	17.4%	18.1%	16.9%
Indonesia	Tangerang	2017	6.3%	6.6%	6.0%
Indonesia	Tangerang Selatan	2000	9.8%	10.3%	9.4%
Indonesia	Tangerang Selatan	2017	2.8%	3.0%	2.6%
Indonesia	Tanggamus	2000	7.9%	10.4%	6.2%
Indonesia	Tanggamus	2017	5.2%	7.3%	4.0%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung B	2000	9.0%	12.3%	6.4%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung B	2017	3.6%	5.5%	2.6%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung T	2000	5.5%	9.5%	4.2%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung T	2017	3.5%	6.5%	2.5%
Indonesia	Tanjungpinang	2000	40.8%	53.2%	30.3%
Indonesia	Tanjungpinang	2017	20.9%	30.1%	14.2%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Selatan	2000	7.3%	9.0%	6.1%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Selatan	2017	2.6%	3.5%	2.1%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Tengah	2000	31.6%	33.7%	29.7%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Tengah	2017	15.4%	16.8%	14.3%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Utara	2000	14.7%	15.7%	13.9%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Utara	2017	6.1%	6.6%	5.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Tapin	2000	27.4%	28.4%	26.5%
Indonesia	Tapin	2017	9.1%	9.7%	8.6%
Indonesia	Tarakan	2000	36.8%	51.9%	22.2%
Indonesia	Tarakan	2017	18.9%	34.7%	8.1%
Indonesia	Tasikmalaya	2000	3.3%	3.5%	3.1%
Indonesia	Tasikmalaya	2017	1.2%	1.3%	1.1%
Indonesia	Tebingtinggi	2000	21.8%	22.5%	20.9%
Indonesia	Tebingtinggi	2017	6.6%	6.9%	6.3%
Indonesia	Tebo	2000	6.5%	8.5%	4.8%
Indonesia	Tebo	2017	4.4%	6.7%	2.3%
Indonesia	Tegal	2000	16.1%	16.5%	15.8%
Indonesia	Tegal	2017	7.7%	8.0%	7.5%
Indonesia	Teluk Bintuni	2000	20.1%	28.6%	12.5%
Indonesia	Teluk Bintuni	2017	13.3%	21.7%	7.2%
Indonesia	Teluk Wondama	2000	33.1%	51.2%	19.2%
Indonesia	Teluk Wondama	2017	26.2%	37.4%	15.7%
Indonesia	Temanggung	2000	16.3%	16.9%	15.8%
Indonesia	Temanggung	2017	7.0%	7.3%	6.7%
Indonesia	Ternate	2000	31.6%	35.5%	28.6%
Indonesia	Ternate	2017	13.8%	16.4%	11.8%
Indonesia	Tidore Kepulauan	2000	22.6%	41.3%	12.5%
Indonesia	Tidore Kepulauan	2017	13.9%	29.1%	6.3%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Selatan	2000	24.3%	39.0%	12.5%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Selatan	2017	15.1%	28.7%	5.9%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Utara	2000	43.3%	54.6%	30.3%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Utara	2017	34.2%	44.7%	21.5%
Indonesia	Toba Samosir	2000	12.3%	14.4%	10.9%
Indonesia	Toba Samosir	2017	6.1%	8.0%	5.1%
Indonesia	Tojo Una-Una	2000	15.6%	22.6%	10.3%
Indonesia	Tojo Una-Una	2017	8.5%	14.2%	4.8%
Indonesia	Toli-Toli	2000	31.4%	35.5%	28.3%
Indonesia	Toli-Toli	2017	16.0%	19.0%	14.0%
Indonesia	Tolikara	2000	26.3%	41.1%	14.6%
Indonesia	Tolikara	2017	16.5%	27.9%	8.3%
Indonesia	Tomohon	2000	38.0%	38.8%	37.4%
Indonesia	Tomohon	2017	14.8%	15.2%	14.4%
Indonesia	Toraja Utara	2000	20.8%	22.4%	19.3%
Indonesia	Toraja Utara	2017	8.7%	9.9%	7.8%
Indonesia	Trenggalek	2000	6.2%	6.7%	5.8%
Indonesia	Trenggalek	2017	1.7%	1.8%	1.5%
Indonesia	Tual	2000	42.7%	49.9%	38.4%
Indonesia	Tual	2017	37.5%	45.4%	33.3%
Indonesia	Tuban	2000	13.8%	14.3%	13.4%
Indonesia	Tuban	2017	5.7%	5.9%	5.4%
Indonesia	Tulang Bawang Barat	2000	1.4%	3.5%	0.5%
Indonesia	Tulang Bawang Barat	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.1%
Indonesia	Tulangbawang	2000	7.6%	15.0%	4.2%
Indonesia	Tulangbawang	2017	2.5%	6.8%	1.0%
Indonesia	Tulungagung	2000	6.4%	6.8%	6.0%
Indonesia	Tulungagung	2017	1.8%	1.9%	1.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Waduk Cirata	2000	7.5%	18.0%	2.8%
Indonesia	Waduk Cirata	2017	2.3%	6.7%	0.7%
Indonesia	Waduk Kedungombo	2000	5.4%	7.9%	4.0%
Indonesia	Waduk Kedungombo	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.3%
Indonesia	Wajo	2000	12.8%	13.7%	12.0%
Indonesia	Wajo	2017	3.9%	4.3%	3.6%
Indonesia	Wakatobi	2000	22.2%	24.7%	20.5%
Indonesia	Wakatobi	2017	10.3%	11.6%	9.2%
Indonesia	Waropen	2000	15.4%	26.1%	7.3%
Indonesia	Waropen	2017	10.8%	19.4%	4.6%
Indonesia	Way Kanan	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.8%
Indonesia	Way Kanan	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Indonesia	Wonogiri	2000	14.7%	15.3%	14.2%
Indonesia	Wonogiri	2017	6.2%	6.6%	5.9%
Indonesia	Wonosobo	2000	28.5%	29.1%	27.8%
Indonesia	Wonosobo	2017	13.9%	14.4%	13.5%
Indonesia	Yahukimo	2000	26.1%	31.4%	21.7%
Indonesia	Yahukimo	2017	17.6%	22.0%	14.3%
Indonesia	Yalimo	2000	22.7%	31.3%	15.4%
Indonesia	Yalimo	2017	12.1%	18.9%	6.5%
Laos	Atsaphangthong	2000	8.4%	25.2%	0.7%
Laos	Atsaphangthong	2017	7.2%	23.5%	0.5%
Laos	Atsaphone	2000	9.7%	28.7%	1.7%
Laos	Atsaphone	2017	8.3%	27.4%	1.1%
Laos	Bachiangchaleunsok	2000	3.6%	13.3%	0.3%
Laos	Bachiangchaleunsok	2017	3.0%	12.0%	0.2%
Laos	Beng	2000	31.3%	59.0%	14.3%
Laos	Beng	2017	27.7%	55.0%	12.4%
Laos	Bolikhanh	2000	10.3%	30.6%	1.7%
Laos	Bolikhanh	2017	8.9%	28.7%	1.2%
Laos	Boon Neua	2000	18.6%	42.8%	3.7%
Laos	Boon Neua	2017	16.2%	40.4%	2.9%
Laos	Boontai	2000	18.1%	38.3%	4.3%
Laos	Boontai	2017	15.4%	35.3%	3.1%
Laos	Botene	2000	45.6%	62.5%	29.7%
Laos	Botene	2017	43.3%	59.8%	28.3%
Laos	Bualapha	2000	15.0%	30.7%	4.6%
Laos	Bualapha	2017	13.0%	28.6%	3.9%
Laos	Champpassack	2000	6.2%	17.3%	0.9%
Laos	Champpassack	2017	5.2%	15.6%	0.7%
Laos	Champhone	2000	6.6%	18.2%	0.5%
Laos	Champhone	2017	5.5%	16.4%	0.4%
Laos	Chanthabuly	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Laos	Chanthabuly	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Laos	Chomphet	2000	13.7%	29.5%	3.8%
Laos	Chomphet	2017	11.1%	24.5%	2.8%
Laos	Dakcheung	2000	15.9%	31.7%	4.5%
Laos	Dakcheung	2017	13.7%	28.4%	3.6%
Laos	Feuang	2000	14.4%	39.8%	1.5%
Laos	Feuang	2017	12.4%	36.2%	1.1%
Laos	Hadxaifong	2000	8.1%	29.3%	0.6%
Laos	Hadxaifong	2017	6.9%	25.5%	0.3%
Laos	Hinboon	2000	11.2%	22.4%	3.5%
Laos	Hinboon	2017	9.6%	20.9%	2.8%
Laos	Hinhurp	2000	10.0%	26.7%	1.0%
Laos	Hinhurp	2017	9.0%	25.3%	0.6%
Laos	Hom	2000	15.0%	42.9%	2.1%
Laos	Hom	2017	13.0%	40.0%	2.1%
Laos	Hongsa	2000	19.5%	49.1%	4.4%
Laos	Hongsa	2017	16.6%	44.7%	3.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Hoon	2000	30.9%	51.1%	12.6%
Laos	Hoon	2017	28.3%	48.4%	10.7%
Laos	Houixai	2000	16.2%	34.4%	2.9%
Laos	Houixai	2017	14.1%	31.3%	2.3%
Laos	Huameuang	2000	24.9%	41.9%	11.3%
Laos	Huameuang	2017	22.1%	40.1%	9.3%
Laos	Kaleum	2000	16.3%	37.3%	4.9%
Laos	Kaleum	2017	14.2%	33.9%	4.0%
Laos	Kasy	2000	13.6%	29.0%	2.5%
Laos	Kasy	2017	11.8%	26.9%	2.3%
Laos	Kenethao	2000	19.6%	41.2%	4.6%
Laos	Kenethao	2017	17.1%	38.4%	3.2%
Laos	Keo Oudom	2000	1.8%	9.2%	0.0%
Laos	Keo Oudom	2017	1.6%	8.7%	0.0%
Laos	Kham	2000	19.7%	47.4%	5.5%
Laos	Kham	2017	17.1%	43.6%	4.5%
Laos	Khamkheuth	2000	17.3%	35.1%	6.8%
Laos	Khamkheuth	2017	14.9%	30.6%	5.5%
Laos	Khanthabouly	2000	9.3%	27.1%	1.9%
Laos	Khanthabouly	2017	7.5%	22.4%	1.4%
Laos	Khong	2000	7.2%	23.4%	0.8%
Laos	Khong	2017	6.0%	21.8%	0.5%
Laos	Khongxedone	2000	4.4%	17.2%	0.8%
Laos	Khongxedone	2017	3.5%	13.9%	0.6%
Laos	Khop	2000	25.8%	57.8%	5.2%
Laos	Khop	2017	23.5%	54.9%	4.4%
Laos	Khoune	2000	29.3%	52.2%	9.4%
Laos	Khoune	2017	26.2%	48.2%	8.3%
Laos	Khua	2000	26.9%	43.4%	13.4%
Laos	Khua	2017	24.1%	40.6%	11.4%
Laos	La	2000	41.5%	60.1%	27.5%
Laos	La	2017	39.8%	57.6%	27.1%
Laos	Lakhonepheng	2000	7.2%	21.4%	0.8%
Laos	Lakhonepheng	2017	6.0%	18.7%	0.4%
Laos	Lamarm	2000	22.8%	34.9%	12.7%
Laos	Lamarm	2017	18.4%	31.5%	10.2%
Laos	Lao Ngarm	2000	6.1%	15.3%	1.0%
Laos	Lao Ngarm	2017	5.1%	13.1%	0.8%
Laos	Long	2000	22.5%	40.4%	9.6%
Laos	Long	2017	19.4%	38.2%	7.7%
Laos	Longsane	2000	13.3%	45.0%	1.2%
Laos	Longsane	2017	11.8%	41.7%	0.8%
Laos	Louangphrabang	2000	7.2%	15.5%	2.2%
Laos	Louangphrabang	2017	5.5%	13.1%	1.5%
Laos	Mad	2000	19.4%	41.6%	4.5%
Laos	Mad	2017	16.7%	38.3%	3.7%
Laos	Mahaxay	2000	11.9%	27.3%	2.1%
Laos	Mahaxay	2017	10.1%	24.8%	1.5%
Laos	May	2000	18.2%	35.3%	8.8%
Laos	May	2017	15.9%	30.5%	7.2%
Laos	Mayparkngum	2000	10.2%	33.5%	0.2%
Laos	Mayparkngum	2017	8.8%	29.8%	0.2%
Laos	Meung	2000	19.6%	44.5%	3.6%
Laos	Meung	2017	18.2%	38.6%	2.7%
Laos	Moonlapamok	2000	6.7%	19.5%	1.6%
Laos	Moonlapamok	2017	5.7%	17.1%	1.2%
Laos	Morkmay	2000	25.1%	47.8%	8.2%
Laos	Morkmay	2017	21.7%	43.8%	6.3%
Laos	Muang Et	2000	26.5%	61.4%	7.9%
Laos	Muang Et	2017	23.2%	58.7%	6.3%
Laos	Nakai	2000	14.8%	27.8%	5.8%
Laos	Nakai	2017	13.2%	25.6%	5.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Nalae	2000	21.9%	49.4%	4.7%
Laos	Nalae	2017	19.3%	43.5%	3.6%
Laos	Nam You	2000	5.4%	23.8%	0.1%
Laos	Nam You	2017	4.3%	21.3%	0.1%
Laos	Nambak	2000	24.2%	42.0%	11.2%
Laos	Nambak	2017	21.7%	39.3%	8.9%
Laos	Namor	2000	30.9%	48.5%	17.5%
Laos	Namor	2017	27.3%	44.9%	14.4%
Laos	Namtha	2000	11.0%	25.1%	3.2%
Laos	Namtha	2017	8.8%	21.2%	2.2%
Laos	Nan	2000	10.8%	25.4%	2.2%
Laos	Nan	2017	9.0%	21.6%	1.6%
Laos	Naxaithong	2000	2.9%	12.9%	0.2%
Laos	Naxaithong	2017	2.3%	11.5%	0.1%
Laos	Nga	2000	20.4%	39.5%	6.3%
Laos	Nga	2017	17.8%	35.8%	4.9%
Laos	Ngeun	2000	16.0%	49.2%	1.7%
Laos	Ngeun	2017	13.7%	47.1%	1.2%
Laos	Ngoi	2000	22.5%	38.1%	10.0%
Laos	Ngoi	2017	20.1%	35.5%	8.5%
Laos	Nhommalath	2000	11.7%	37.2%	1.7%
Laos	Nhommalath	2017	10.1%	32.4%	1.3%
Laos	Nhot Ou	2000	24.8%	40.8%	11.0%
Laos	Nhot Ou	2017	22.2%	37.4%	9.3%
Laos	Nong	2000	15.9%	38.8%	3.1%
Laos	Nong	2017	13.9%	36.2%	2.2%
Laos	Nongbok	2000	2.9%	16.7%	0.1%
Laos	Nongbok	2017	2.7%	17.2%	0.0%
Laos	Nonghed	2000	21.9%	40.6%	7.2%
Laos	Nonghed	2017	19.2%	37.2%	5.5%
Laos	Outhoomphone	2000	9.9%	21.9%	1.1%
Laos	Outhoomphone	2017	8.4%	20.4%	0.7%
Laos	Pak Xeng	2000	22.7%	40.7%	5.8%
Laos	Pak Xeng	2017	20.0%	35.3%	5.2%
Laos	Pakbeng	2000	17.8%	37.1%	4.3%
Laos	Pakbeng	2017	16.0%	35.0%	3.3%
Laos	Pakkading	2000	7.3%	19.6%	0.9%
Laos	Pakkading	2017	6.3%	16.5%	0.8%
Laos	Paksane	2000	5.9%	19.7%	0.4%
Laos	Paksane	2017	4.8%	16.4%	0.3%
Laos	Paksong	2000	6.7%	15.5%	1.7%
Laos	Paksong	2017	5.7%	14.4%	1.2%
Laos	Paktha	2000	26.3%	51.8%	6.0%
Laos	Paktha	2017	23.8%	49.3%	5.0%
Laos	Pakxe	2000	4.2%	7.9%	2.8%
Laos	Pakxe	2017	2.9%	5.3%	1.8%
Laos	Park Ou	2000	12.3%	33.5%	2.2%
Laos	Park Ou	2017	10.4%	29.2%	1.5%
Laos	Parklai	2000	12.6%	29.7%	3.3%
Laos	Parklai	2017	11.3%	27.6%	2.8%
Laos	Pathoomphone	2000	6.3%	15.3%	0.8%
Laos	Pathoomphone	2017	5.3%	13.5%	0.6%
Laos	Pek	2000	19.7%	31.8%	11.2%
Laos	Pek	2017	16.9%	28.8%	9.1%
Laos	Pha Oudom	2000	16.6%	34.9%	6.3%
Laos	Pha Oudom	2017	14.2%	31.5%	4.7%
Laos	Phaxay	2000	37.3%	71.2%	13.5%
Laos	Phaxay	2017	34.2%	64.3%	13.1%
Laos	Phiang	2000	10.8%	28.0%	2.5%
Laos	Phiang	2017	9.3%	25.6%	1.9%
Laos	Phine	2000	11.2%	25.1%	3.3%
Laos	Phine	2017	9.4%	20.8%	2.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Phongsaly	2000	15.8%	31.2%	5.7%
Laos	Phongsaly	2017	13.5%	27.4%	4.8%
Laos	Phonhong	2000	4.6%	27.5%	0.2%
Laos	Phonhong	2017	3.7%	22.9%	0.1%
Laos	Phonthong	2000	11.9%	22.9%	3.0%
Laos	Phonthong	2017	10.1%	20.7%	2.2%
Laos	Phonxay	2000	30.4%	55.5%	13.6%
Laos	Phonxay	2017	27.4%	53.1%	11.9%
Laos	Phookood	2000	23.0%	39.8%	8.6%
Laos	Phookood	2017	20.5%	36.6%	7.5%
Laos	Phoukhoun	2000	19.7%	41.1%	4.6%
Laos	Phoukhoun	2017	17.2%	39.3%	3.3%
Laos	Phouvong	2000	17.4%	44.3%	3.8%
Laos	Phouvong	2017	15.3%	39.6%	2.6%
Laos	Phun	2000	14.6%	32.5%	3.7%
Laos	Phun	2017	12.9%	29.4%	3.1%
Laos	Samakkhixay	2000	13.0%	29.7%	4.2%
Laos	Samakkhixay	2017	10.4%	26.5%	2.9%
Laos	Samphanh	2000	20.4%	39.6%	6.2%
Laos	Samphanh	2017	17.9%	35.8%	5.1%
Laos	Samuoi	2000	14.7%	40.2%	0.7%
Laos	Samuoi	2017	12.6%	37.9%	0.5%
Laos	Sanamxay	2000	11.0%	30.6%	1.8%
Laos	Sanamxay	2017	9.6%	28.5%	1.5%
Laos	Sanasomboon	2000	5.8%	18.0%	1.0%
Laos	Sanasomboon	2017	4.6%	16.2%	0.7%
Laos	Sangthong	2000	13.7%	37.9%	0.8%
Laos	Sangthong	2017	12.1%	36.2%	0.6%
Laos	Sanxay	2000	14.5%	34.0%	3.9%
Laos	Sanxay	2017	12.9%	31.8%	3.1%
Laos	Saravane	2000	17.6%	31.9%	8.5%
Laos	Saravane	2017	15.5%	28.4%	7.7%
Laos	Sepone	2000	16.9%	38.7%	3.8%
Laos	Sepone	2017	14.9%	37.0%	3.1%
Laos	Sikhottabong	2000	2.4%	11.0%	0.9%
Laos	Sikhottabong	2017	1.7%	9.2%	0.6%
Laos	Sing	2000	27.4%	62.5%	5.5%
Laos	Sing	2017	24.9%	60.4%	4.2%
Laos	Sisattanak	2000	1.7%	2.8%	1.1%
Laos	Sisattanak	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Laos	Songkhone	2000	12.1%	29.5%	2.4%
Laos	Songkhone	2017	10.6%	26.2%	1.7%
Laos	Sopbao	2000	21.3%	45.0%	5.9%
Laos	Sopbao	2017	19.5%	43.9%	4.6%
Laos	Sukhuma	2000	7.1%	22.5%	1.0%
Laos	Sukhuma	2017	5.7%	20.1%	0.7%
Laos	Ta Oi	2000	19.9%	34.7%	7.4%
Laos	Ta Oi	2017	17.4%	32.3%	6.3%
Laos	Thakhek	2000	3.8%	13.5%	0.3%
Laos	Thakhek	2017	3.1%	11.8%	0.2%
Laos	Thapangthong	2000	11.2%	24.2%	2.6%
Laos	Thapangthong	2017	9.6%	21.4%	2.1%
Laos	Thaphabath	2000	10.0%	27.8%	1.5%
Laos	Thaphabath	2017	8.5%	25.9%	1.3%
Laos	Thaphalanxay	2000	11.2%	36.3%	0.8%
Laos	Thaphalanxay	2017	9.5%	32.9%	0.5%
Laos	Thateng	2000	7.1%	23.1%	0.6%
Laos	Thateng	2017	6.2%	21.1%	0.4%
Laos	Thathom	2000	16.1%	37.7%	2.2%
Laos	Thathom	2017	14.0%	34.0%	1.8%
Laos	Thongmyxay	2000	11.2%	33.6%	1.4%
Laos	Thongmyxay	2017	9.6%	31.9%	1.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Thoulakhom	2000	5.2%	17.9%	0.4%
Laos	Thoulakhom	2017	4.4%	16.1%	0.3%
Laos	Tonpheung	2000	17.1%	43.3%	1.6%
Laos	Tonpheung	2017	15.0%	40.5%	1.1%
Laos	Toomlarn	2000	12.6%	35.5%	0.5%
Laos	Toomlarn	2017	10.9%	32.6%	0.3%
Laos	Vangvieng	2000	8.6%	24.3%	0.9%
Laos	Vangvieng	2017	7.1%	20.8%	0.7%
Laos	Vapy	2000	13.6%	32.2%	4.0%
Laos	Vapy	2017	11.4%	28.8%	3.1%
Laos	Viengkham	2000	22.7%	36.9%	11.2%
Laos	Viengkham	2000	5.7%	37.2%	0.2%
Laos	Viengkham	2017	4.9%	39.2%	0.1%
Laos	Viengkham	2017	20.0%	33.5%	9.0%
Laos	Viengphoukha	2000	16.8%	47.7%	4.0%
Laos	Viengphoukha	2017	14.8%	43.2%	3.1%
Laos	Viengthong	2000	17.3%	36.2%	5.9%
Laos	Viengthong	2000	24.5%	38.0%	13.7%
Laos	Viengthong	2017	15.0%	32.8%	4.8%
Laos	Viengthong	2017	21.8%	34.9%	12.0%
Laos	Viengxay	2000	18.7%	41.6%	5.3%
Laos	Viengxay	2017	16.3%	37.9%	4.4%
Laos	Vilabuly	2000	13.0%	29.9%	2.9%
Laos	Vilabuly	2017	11.2%	27.3%	2.3%
Laos	Xamneua	2000	32.9%	49.5%	19.0%
Laos	Xamneua	2017	28.3%	42.4%	16.7%
Laos	Xamtay	2000	15.7%	24.9%	7.9%
Laos	Xamtay	2017	13.7%	22.2%	6.8%
Laos	Xanakharm	2000	18.8%	35.4%	6.2%
Laos	Xanakharm	2017	16.4%	34.9%	5.0%
Laos	Xay	2000	27.6%	53.1%	12.7%
Laos	Xay	2017	23.6%	48.9%	10.5%
Laos	Xayabury	2000	13.5%	29.5%	4.6%
Laos	Xayabury	2017	11.5%	26.1%	3.6%
Laos	Xaybuathong	2000	9.0%	26.0%	0.6%
Laos	Xaybuathong	2017	7.7%	23.7%	0.4%
Laos	Xaybuly	2000	9.4%	29.6%	0.6%
Laos	Xaybuly	2017	8.1%	26.2%	0.5%
Laos	Xayphoothong	2000	14.7%	37.5%	2.1%
Laos	Xayphoothong	2017	12.4%	32.8%	1.5%
Laos	Xaysetha	2000	2.9%	14.4%	0.8%
Laos	Xaysetha	2000	7.9%	19.5%	1.3%
Laos	Xaysetha	2017	6.2%	16.1%	1.0%
Laos	Xaysetha	2017	2.3%	12.2%	0.5%
Laos	Xaysomboun	2000	19.9%	47.2%	6.2%
Laos	Xaysomboun	2017	17.6%	43.6%	4.8%
Laos	Xaythany	2000	3.4%	14.6%	0.1%
Laos	Xaythany	2017	2.9%	13.9%	0.1%
Laos	Xebangfay	2000	8.6%	31.7%	0.4%
Laos	Xebangfay	2017	7.6%	29.7%	0.3%
Laos	Xieng Ngeun	2000	14.3%	36.9%	2.7%
Laos	Xieng Ngeun	2017	12.5%	33.4%	2.2%
Laos	Xienghone	2000	17.3%	45.1%	3.6%
Laos	Xienghone	2017	15.6%	42.8%	2.9%
Laos	Xiengkhor	2000	31.6%	66.0%	9.6%
Laos	Xiengkhor	2017	30.3%	60.1%	9.4%
Laos	Xonbuly	2000	14.1%	34.9%	2.6%
Laos	Xonbuly	2017	12.1%	31.9%	1.8%
Myanmar	Bassein	2000	16.0%	21.5%	11.7%
Myanmar	Bassein	2017	10.2%	14.3%	7.2%
Myanmar	Bawlake	2000	29.0%	37.1%	22.3%
Myanmar	Bawlake	2017	19.9%	26.5%	14.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Myanmar	Bhamo	2000	23.6%	29.2%	18.9%
Myanmar	Bhamo	2017	16.0%	20.2%	12.9%
Myanmar	Buthidaung	2000	14.3%	19.8%	9.7%
Myanmar	Buthidaung	2017	9.2%	12.8%	6.1%
Myanmar	Dawei	2000	19.2%	24.1%	15.1%
Myanmar	Dawei	2017	12.8%	16.3%	10.0%
Myanmar	Hinthada	2000	18.1%	24.7%	13.1%
Myanmar	Hinthada	2017	12.2%	17.2%	8.6%
Myanmar	Hkamti	2000	24.9%	28.9%	20.2%
Myanmar	Hkamti	2017	17.1%	20.5%	13.3%
Myanmar	Hpa-an	2000	16.5%	22.8%	11.6%
Myanmar	Hpa-an	2017	10.8%	15.4%	7.1%
Myanmar	Kalemyo	2000	17.1%	22.4%	12.7%
Myanmar	Kalemyo	2017	11.1%	15.4%	7.8%
Myanmar	Katha	2000	20.7%	26.0%	16.9%
Myanmar	Katha	2017	13.6%	17.2%	10.6%
Myanmar	Kawkareik	2000	12.0%	15.7%	8.7%
Myanmar	Kawkareik	2017	8.0%	10.6%	5.5%
Myanmar	Kawthoung	2000	18.4%	23.6%	14.6%
Myanmar	Kawthoung	2017	12.6%	16.9%	9.6%
Myanmar	Kengtung	2000	30.9%	37.5%	25.0%
Myanmar	Kengtung	2017	20.5%	25.8%	15.9%
Myanmar	Kunlong	2000	19.3%	34.9%	9.6%
Myanmar	Kunlong	2017	12.8%	24.1%	6.5%
Myanmar	Kyaukme	2000	21.4%	25.5%	17.8%
Myanmar	Kyaukme	2017	14.0%	17.0%	11.3%
Myanmar	Kyaukse	2000	22.7%	33.1%	15.3%
Myanmar	Kyaukse	2017	14.6%	23.8%	8.9%
Myanmar	Kyaunkpyu	2000	15.4%	22.0%	11.1%
Myanmar	Kyaunkpyu	2017	10.0%	15.0%	7.0%
Myanmar	Lasho	2000	24.1%	28.6%	20.2%
Myanmar	Lasho	2017	16.1%	19.2%	13.4%
Myanmar	Lauking	2000	27.6%	43.3%	13.8%
Myanmar	Lauking	2017	18.7%	31.0%	8.5%
Myanmar	Loikaw	2000	16.4%	19.5%	13.9%
Myanmar	Loikaw	2017	11.6%	14.0%	9.7%
Myanmar	Loilen	2000	23.1%	28.0%	18.9%
Myanmar	Loilen	2017	15.5%	19.1%	12.5%
Myanmar	Magwe Minbu	2000	15.9%	21.0%	12.1%
Myanmar	Magwe Minbu	2017	10.1%	13.9%	7.4%
Myanmar	Mandalay	2000	32.0%	36.0%	28.9%
Myanmar	Mandalay	2017	21.2%	24.5%	18.5%
Myanmar	Maubin	2000	15.7%	22.4%	10.3%
Myanmar	Maubin	2017	10.2%	15.3%	6.2%
Myanmar	Maungtaw	2000	17.1%	28.0%	9.0%
Myanmar	Maungtaw	2017	11.7%	25.2%	4.9%
Myanmar	Mawlamyine	2000	12.5%	18.8%	8.3%
Myanmar	Mawlamyine	2017	7.7%	11.7%	4.9%
Myanmar	Mawleik	2000	20.8%	28.6%	14.2%
Myanmar	Mawleik	2017	13.6%	19.7%	8.8%
Myanmar	Meiktila	2000	20.4%	27.2%	14.6%
Myanmar	Meiktila	2017	13.4%	18.9%	9.2%
Myanmar	Mergui	2000	21.0%	25.8%	17.2%
Myanmar	Mergui	2017	13.7%	16.8%	11.2%
Myanmar	Minbu	2000	14.5%	20.5%	10.1%
Myanmar	Minbu	2017	9.2%	13.9%	6.2%
Myanmar	Mindat	2000	20.9%	24.5%	17.6%
Myanmar	Mindat	2017	14.0%	16.8%	11.7%
Myanmar	Mongphat	2000	26.6%	35.5%	20.2%
Myanmar	Mongphat	2017	19.8%	27.6%	14.6%
Myanmar	Mongsat	2000	23.2%	29.2%	18.4%
Myanmar	Mongsat	2017	16.1%	20.6%	12.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Myanmar	Monywa	2000	19.7%	26.0%	14.7%
Myanmar	Monywa	2017	12.5%	17.3%	9.1%
Myanmar	Muse	2000	24.7%	32.8%	18.0%
Myanmar	Muse	2017	16.6%	24.0%	11.5%
Myanmar	Myawady	2000	16.7%	26.1%	9.8%
Myanmar	Myawady	2017	11.1%	17.9%	6.2%
Myanmar	Myingyan	2000	18.5%	24.1%	13.7%
Myanmar	Myingyan	2017	12.7%	17.2%	9.3%
Myanmar	Myitkyina	2000	21.0%	24.0%	18.1%
Myanmar	Myitkyina	2017	13.6%	15.6%	11.7%
Myanmar	Myoungmya	2000	15.4%	21.2%	11.1%
Myanmar	Myoungmya	2017	10.1%	14.2%	6.8%
Myanmar	Naypyitaw	2000	17.2%	32.0%	9.9%
Myanmar	Naypyitaw	2017	11.6%	22.5%	6.6%
Myanmar	Pakokku	2000	20.8%	25.3%	16.5%
Myanmar	Pakokku	2017	14.6%	17.7%	11.2%
Myanmar	Palam	2000	26.7%	31.9%	22.3%
Myanmar	Palam	2017	19.7%	23.3%	16.5%
Myanmar	Pegu	2000	14.1%	18.6%	10.6%
Myanmar	Pegu	2017	9.4%	12.6%	6.9%
Myanmar	Pharpon	2000	15.4%	22.0%	9.8%
Myanmar	Pharpon	2017	10.2%	15.5%	6.2%
Myanmar	Putao	2000	24.1%	34.6%	17.0%
Myanmar	Putao	2017	16.4%	24.9%	11.1%
Myanmar	Pyay	2000	16.4%	21.8%	12.0%
Myanmar	Pyay	2017	10.8%	14.9%	7.6%
Myanmar	Pyin-Oo-Lwin	2000	27.6%	34.8%	21.9%
Myanmar	Pyin-Oo-Lwin	2017	19.2%	24.6%	14.8%
Myanmar	Sagaing	2000	19.3%	26.3%	14.0%
Myanmar	Sagaing	2017	12.0%	16.4%	8.7%
Myanmar	Shwebo	2000	18.7%	24.0%	14.6%
Myanmar	Shwebo	2017	12.2%	16.1%	9.3%
Myanmar	Sittwe	2000	12.4%	16.7%	9.1%
Myanmar	Sittwe	2017	7.9%	11.0%	5.6%
Myanmar	Tamu	2000	18.9%	32.8%	8.9%
Myanmar	Tamu	2017	12.6%	24.6%	5.5%
Myanmar	Tarchilaik	2000	19.6%	29.7%	12.7%
Myanmar	Tarchilaik	2017	13.4%	20.5%	8.6%
Myanmar	Taunggye	2000	21.6%	25.5%	17.7%
Myanmar	Taunggye	2017	14.0%	16.8%	11.4%
Myanmar	Taungoo	2000	15.8%	21.1%	10.5%
Myanmar	Taungoo	2017	10.4%	14.4%	6.7%
Myanmar	Thandwe	2000	19.3%	25.6%	14.1%
Myanmar	Thandwe	2017	13.0%	18.1%	9.2%
Myanmar	Thaton	2000	13.7%	19.8%	8.8%
Myanmar	Thaton	2017	8.8%	12.9%	5.3%
Myanmar	Thayarwady	2000	15.3%	21.4%	10.1%
Myanmar	Thayarwady	2017	10.1%	14.5%	6.3%
Myanmar	Thayetmyo	2000	17.9%	22.9%	13.8%
Myanmar	Thayetmyo	2017	11.9%	15.7%	8.8%
Myanmar	Yamethin	2000	15.5%	18.6%	12.6%
Myanmar	Yamethin	2017	10.4%	13.2%	8.1%
Myanmar	Yangon-E	2000	16.2%	19.8%	13.4%
Myanmar	Yangon-E	2017	9.8%	12.5%	7.8%
Myanmar	Yangon-N	2000	16.7%	21.8%	12.3%
Myanmar	Yangon-N	2017	10.8%	14.7%	7.5%
Myanmar	Yangon-S	2000	14.5%	21.7%	10.5%
Myanmar	Yangon-S	2017	9.8%	15.8%	6.8%
Myanmar	Yangon-W	2000	21.5%	23.7%	19.9%
Myanmar	Yangon-W	2017	12.8%	14.3%	11.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Abau	2000	21.0%	39.7%	7.4%
Papua New Guinea	Abau	2017	20.6%	38.8%	7.5%
Papua New Guinea	Aitape-Lumi	2000	15.7%	32.0%	3.3%
Papua New Guinea	Aitape-Lumi	2017	16.1%	31.5%	3.3%
Papua New Guinea	Alotau	2000	18.1%	35.0%	4.4%
Papua New Guinea	Alotau	2017	18.2%	36.5%	4.7%
Papua New Guinea	Ambunti-Dreikikir	2000	17.7%	30.5%	6.5%
Papua New Guinea	Ambunti-Dreikikir	2017	16.9%	31.7%	5.8%
Papua New Guinea	Anglimp-South Waghi	2000	54.6%	73.9%	32.2%
Papua New Guinea	Anglimp-South Waghi	2017	56.6%	75.5%	33.4%
Papua New Guinea	Angoram	2000	15.6%	33.9%	2.6%
Papua New Guinea	Angoram	2017	15.4%	33.4%	2.8%
Papua New Guinea	Bogia	2000	17.3%	31.5%	4.6%
Papua New Guinea	Bogia	2017	17.8%	31.8%	5.4%
Papua New Guinea	Bulolo	2000	58.0%	71.1%	44.0%
Papua New Guinea	Bulolo	2017	59.1%	72.6%	44.2%
Papua New Guinea	Central Bougainville	2000	53.3%	75.9%	29.3%
Papua New Guinea	Central Bougainville	2017	52.4%	74.8%	28.3%
Papua New Guinea	Chuave	2000	38.9%	68.7%	18.9%
Papua New Guinea	Chuave	2017	40.5%	65.1%	22.0%
Papua New Guinea	Daulo	2000	63.3%	82.2%	45.0%
Papua New Guinea	Daulo	2017	62.5%	81.8%	44.3%
Papua New Guinea	Dei	2000	31.6%	85.2%	1.2%
Papua New Guinea	Dei	2017	31.5%	84.9%	1.6%
Papua New Guinea	Esa'ala	2000	53.6%	81.0%	25.4%
Papua New Guinea	Esa'ala	2017	53.2%	80.5%	24.9%
Papua New Guinea	Finschhafen	2000	73.6%	92.4%	52.5%
Papua New Guinea	Finschhafen	2017	72.8%	92.5%	51.9%
Papua New Guinea	Gazelle	2000	11.1%	25.4%	0.9%
Papua New Guinea	Gazelle	2017	12.1%	27.7%	1.0%
Papua New Guinea	Goilala	2000	18.7%	36.4%	8.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Goilala	2017	18.5%	36.3%	8.2%
Papua New Guinea	Goroka	2000	76.8%	89.2%	61.1%
Papua New Guinea	Goroka	2017	76.8%	88.5%	60.4%
Papua New Guinea	Gumine	2000	73.6%	89.7%	42.9%
Papua New Guinea	Gumine	2017	73.2%	89.0%	42.0%
Papua New Guinea	Henganofi	2000	17.5%	39.3%	2.9%
Papua New Guinea	Henganofi	2017	15.9%	36.0%	2.5%
Papua New Guinea	Huon	2000	40.3%	54.5%	28.2%
Papua New Guinea	Huon	2017	36.7%	52.8%	23.6%
Papua New Guinea	Ialibu-Pangia	2000	26.1%	43.9%	8.2%
Papua New Guinea	Ialibu-Pangia	2017	25.0%	41.2%	8.6%
Papua New Guinea	Ijivitari	2000	17.7%	30.3%	9.7%
Papua New Guinea	Ijivitari	2017	16.0%	28.8%	8.2%
Papua New Guinea	Imbonggu	2000	59.6%	73.9%	45.6%
Papua New Guinea	Imbonggu	2017	54.2%	68.8%	40.9%
Papua New Guinea	Jimi	2000	25.3%	44.9%	9.2%
Papua New Guinea	Jimi	2017	25.1%	44.1%	9.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kabwum	2000	56.8%	79.4%	31.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kabwum	2017	57.0%	79.2%	31.2%
Papua New Guinea	Kagua-Erave	2000	44.4%	80.6%	13.6%
Papua New Guinea	Kagua-Erave	2017	44.2%	82.0%	12.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kainantu	2000	30.9%	48.3%	14.7%
Papua New Guinea	Kainantu	2017	29.3%	48.1%	13.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kairuku-Hiri	2000	40.2%	44.6%	35.7%
Papua New Guinea	Kairuku-Hiri	2017	38.1%	43.0%	33.2%
Papua New Guinea	Kandep	2000	47.5%	84.6%	17.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kandep	2017	47.9%	84.0%	17.7%
Papua New Guinea	Kandrian-Gloucest	2000	14.0%	29.5%	2.8%
Papua New Guinea	Kandrian-Gloucest	2017	14.2%	29.5%	3.1%
Papua New Guinea	Karimui-Nomane	2000	54.0%	85.7%	19.1%
Papua New Guinea	Karimui-Nomane	2017	56.1%	86.7%	21.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Kavieng	2000	27.3%	47.6%	2.7%
Papua New Guinea	Kavieng	2017	28.6%	49.3%	3.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kerema	2000	6.3%	16.0%	0.2%
Papua New Guinea	Kerema	2017	6.8%	16.9%	0.2%
Papua New Guinea	Kerowagi	2000	61.9%	85.0%	41.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kerowagi	2017	62.3%	85.4%	41.4%
Papua New Guinea	Kikori	2000	42.2%	64.5%	15.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kikori	2017	42.0%	64.6%	15.9%
Papua New Guinea	Kiriwina-Goodenough	2000	18.0%	39.2%	2.1%
Papua New Guinea	Kiriwina-Goodenough	2017	19.2%	41.8%	2.7%
Papua New Guinea	Kokopo	2000	53.3%	72.1%	34.4%
Papua New Guinea	Kokopo	2017	58.4%	75.0%	39.5%
Papua New Guinea	Komo-Magarima	2000	15.7%	43.7%	1.2%
Papua New Guinea	Komo-Magarima	2017	15.2%	42.1%	1.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kompiani-Ambum	2000	43.0%	69.3%	19.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kompiani-Ambum	2017	43.5%	70.3%	20.1%
Papua New Guinea	Koroba-Kopiago	2000	9.4%	29.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Koroba-Kopiago	2017	8.8%	26.9%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kundiawa-Gembogl	2000	80.6%	92.1%	69.1%
Papua New Guinea	Kundiawa-Gembogl	2017	79.4%	92.3%	68.2%
Papua New Guinea	Lae	2000	95.2%	97.5%	91.2%
Papua New Guinea	Lae	2017	94.9%	97.5%	89.8%
Papua New Guinea	Lagaip-Porgera	2000	53.5%	76.7%	33.0%
Papua New Guinea	Lagaip-Porgera	2017	53.7%	77.3%	33.6%
Papua New Guinea	Lufa	2000	6.3%	25.7%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Lufa	2017	6.0%	24.3%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Madang	2000	62.0%	83.6%	40.8%
Papua New Guinea	Madang	2017	61.2%	82.5%	40.4%
Papua New Guinea	Manus	2000	11.4%	37.2%	3.9%
Papua New Guinea	Manus	2017	11.7%	37.2%	3.4%
Papua New Guinea	Maprik	2000	0.7%	3.6%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Maprik	2017	0.6%	2.8%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Markham	2000	16.6%	37.1%	5.6%
Papua New Guinea	Markham	2017	15.8%	35.8%	5.1%
Papua New Guinea	Mendi-Munihu	2000	56.9%	67.2%	46.0%
Papua New Guinea	Mendi-Munihu	2017	52.3%	63.4%	40.5%
Papua New Guinea	Menyamya	2000	7.8%	24.1%	0.1%
Papua New Guinea	Menyamya	2017	8.9%	25.9%	0.1%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Fly	2000	24.7%	40.0%	10.8%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Fly	2017	23.6%	38.3%	10.2%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Ramu	2000	3.7%	12.7%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Ramu	2017	3.5%	12.1%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Mount Hagen	2000	39.9%	66.3%	19.3%
Papua New Guinea	Mount Hagen	2017	37.7%	66.5%	18.1%
Papua New Guinea	Mul-Baiyer	2000	47.1%	85.9%	5.7%
Papua New Guinea	Mul-Baiyer	2017	47.5%	86.1%	6.2%
Papua New Guinea	Namatanai	2000	26.8%	56.8%	5.1%
Papua New Guinea	Namatanai	2017	27.1%	56.6%	5.9%
Papua New Guinea	National Capital District	2000	69.7%	69.8%	68.9%
Papua New Guinea	National Capital District	2017	69.6%	69.7%	68.8%
Papua New Guinea	Nawae	2000	59.1%	80.9%	35.0%
Papua New Guinea	Nawae	2017	56.9%	79.1%	33.0%
Papua New Guinea	Nipa-Kutubu	2000	30.6%	63.3%	6.5%
Papua New Guinea	Nipa-Kutubu	2017	30.1%	63.7%	6.8%
Papua New Guinea	North Bougainville	2000	26.1%	45.7%	11.8%
Papua New Guinea	North Bougainville	2017	26.0%	43.5%	12.6%
Papua New Guinea	North Fly	2000	21.4%	42.1%	3.1%
Papua New Guinea	North Fly	2017	22.7%	43.3%	2.9%
Papua New Guinea	North Waghi	2000	32.8%	51.7%	23.0%
Papua New Guinea	North Waghi	2017	34.3%	51.3%	25.2%
Papua New Guinea	Nuku	2000	0.9%	8.2%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Nuku	2017	0.9%	8.3%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Obura-Wonenara	2000	3.5%	20.2%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Obura-Wonenara	2017	3.6%	20.3%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Okapa	2000	5.3%	19.4%	0.9%
Papua New Guinea	Okapa	2017	5.5%	20.1%	0.9%
Papua New Guinea	Pomio	2000	32.6%	56.4%	12.2%
Papua New Guinea	Pomio	2017	33.8%	58.3%	13.6%
Papua New Guinea	Rabaul	2000	0.9%	5.9%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Rabaul	2017	1.1%	7.7%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Rai Coast	2000	57.9%	75.4%	38.9%
Papua New Guinea	Rai Coast	2017	58.1%	75.7%	38.9%
Papua New Guinea	Rigo	2000	55.3%	81.3%	34.2%
Papua New Guinea	Rigo	2017	55.2%	81.9%	32.9%
Papua New Guinea	Samarai-Murua	2000	46.7%	71.0%	21.6%
Papua New Guinea	Samarai-Murua	2017	45.5%	70.9%	19.8%
Papua New Guinea	Sina Sina-Yonggomugl	2000	71.1%	84.0%	56.0%
Papua New Guinea	Sina Sina-Yonggomugl	2017	69.5%	83.1%	53.7%
Papua New Guinea	Sohe	2000	15.5%	28.0%	5.5%
Papua New Guinea	Sohe	2017	15.1%	28.2%	4.7%
Papua New Guinea	South Bougainville	2000	18.8%	34.2%	4.3%
Papua New Guinea	South Bougainville	2017	19.5%	35.4%	4.4%
Papua New Guinea	South Fly	2000	38.7%	60.3%	14.8%
Papua New Guinea	South Fly	2017	38.7%	60.0%	14.7%
Papua New Guinea	Sumkar	2000	3.7%	15.7%	0.1%
Papua New Guinea	Sumkar	2017	3.9%	16.4%	0.1%
Papua New Guinea	Talasea	2000	24.9%	35.7%	13.8%
Papua New Guinea	Talasea	2017	23.5%	34.6%	12.1%
Papua New Guinea	Tambul-Nebilyer	2000	55.5%	73.8%	40.0%
Papua New Guinea	Tambul-Nebilyer	2017	55.8%	75.1%	40.3%
Papua New Guinea	Tari-Pori	2000	7.0%	25.6%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Tari-Pori	2017	7.5%	24.9%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Telefomin	2000	26.6%	46.0%	6.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Telefomin	2017	26.7%	48.0%	6.0%
Papua New Guinea	Tewae-Siassi	2000	78.6%	98.4%	56.3%
Papua New Guinea	Tewae-Siassi	2017	77.9%	98.4%	55.5%
Papua New Guinea	Unggai-Bena	2000	39.2%	54.7%	24.1%
Papua New Guinea	Unggai-Bena	2017	36.7%	51.1%	22.6%
Papua New Guinea	Usino-Bundi	2000	43.5%	64.8%	22.9%
Papua New Guinea	Usino-Bundi	2017	40.6%	63.5%	20.8%
Papua New Guinea	Vanimo-Green River	2000	18.8%	33.8%	6.6%
Papua New Guinea	Vanimo-Green River	2017	17.8%	33.5%	6.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wabag	2000	36.9%	70.8%	9.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wabag	2017	40.0%	72.3%	11.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wapenamanda	2000	39.8%	58.8%	24.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wapenamanda	2017	41.6%	60.0%	25.1%
Papua New Guinea	Wewak	2000	32.5%	48.9%	14.9%
Papua New Guinea	Wewak	2017	31.8%	47.6%	15.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wosera-Gawi	2000	1.7%	15.3%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wosera-Gawi	2017	1.5%	13.8%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Yangoro-Saussia	2000	3.6%	21.6%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Yangoro-Saussia	2017	3.3%	20.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Aborlan	2000	34.0%	69.7%	6.2%
Philippines	Aborlan	2017	18.4%	52.6%	1.6%
Philippines	Abra de Ilog	2000	44.2%	84.1%	10.9%
Philippines	Abra de Ilog	2017	25.7%	67.0%	2.8%
Philippines	Abucay	2000	72.5%	77.7%	66.7%
Philippines	Abucay	2017	32.7%	38.6%	26.7%
Philippines	Abulug	2000	17.8%	59.3%	0.9%
Philippines	Abulug	2017	8.0%	46.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Abuyog	2000	72.4%	97.9%	29.4%
Philippines	Abuyog	2017	49.7%	90.1%	12.4%
Philippines	Adams	2000	59.1%	99.7%	3.1%
Philippines	Adams	2017	42.5%	98.7%	0.6%
Philippines	Agdangan	2000	37.3%	69.6%	10.1%
Philippines	Agdangan	2017	13.2%	45.4%	2.0%
Philippines	Aglipay	2000	51.6%	66.0%	36.6%
Philippines	Aglipay	2017	35.3%	50.1%	24.4%
Philippines	Agno	2000	51.4%	95.9%	10.2%
Philippines	Agno	2017	30.4%	81.6%	2.1%
Philippines	Agoncillo	2000	85.9%	92.6%	72.6%
Philippines	Agoncillo	2017	71.2%	83.1%	44.1%
Philippines	Agoo	2000	49.2%	99.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Agoo	2017	30.8%	97.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Aguilar	2000	5.0%	18.1%	0.7%
Philippines	Aguilar	2017	0.9%	3.5%	0.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Aguinaldo	2000	46.1%	76.4%	17.4%
Philippines	Aguinaldo	2017	25.3%	54.6%	6.0%
Philippines	Agutaya	2000	45.2%	83.9%	6.7%
Philippines	Agutaya	2017	28.9%	68.2%	1.6%
Philippines	Ajuy	2000	48.0%	92.4%	8.0%
Philippines	Ajuy	2017	27.8%	75.9%	1.7%
Philippines	Akbar	2000	95.5%	98.0%	86.5%
Philippines	Akbar	2017	77.4%	83.4%	69.4%
Philippines	Al-Barka	2000	81.8%	92.4%	54.5%
Philippines	Al-Barka	2017	39.6%	63.8%	18.5%
Philippines	Alabat	2000	47.0%	99.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Alabat	2017	30.7%	96.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Alabel	2000	53.1%	74.1%	36.2%
Philippines	Alabel	2017	27.3%	46.7%	17.3%
Philippines	Alamada	2000	42.2%	70.6%	13.5%
Philippines	Alamada	2017	27.4%	55.2%	4.2%
Philippines	Alaminos	2000	78.0%	89.7%	59.1%
Philippines	Alaminos	2017	34.1%	55.0%	16.4%
Philippines	Alaminos City	2000	39.4%	59.5%	27.4%
Philippines	Alaminos City	2017	14.5%	24.5%	7.7%
Philippines	Alangalang	2000	83.9%	95.0%	55.8%
Philippines	Alangalang	2017	57.0%	79.4%	32.3%
Philippines	Albuera	2000	55.0%	99.8%	3.4%
Philippines	Albuera	2017	36.7%	98.5%	0.6%
Philippines	Albuquerque	2000	80.8%	93.7%	48.7%
Philippines	Albuquerque	2017	49.9%	75.2%	23.4%
Philippines	Alcala	2000	49.5%	63.2%	28.0%
Philippines	Alcala	2000	34.0%	41.7%	26.7%
Philippines	Alcala	2017	24.4%	45.0%	6.2%
Philippines	Alcala	2017	8.0%	11.2%	5.4%
Philippines	Alcantara	2000	45.6%	71.1%	22.3%
Philippines	Alcantara	2000	70.8%	88.5%	26.2%
Philippines	Alcantara	2017	15.8%	39.5%	4.3%
Philippines	Alcantara	2017	42.0%	78.8%	7.8%
Philippines	Alcoy	2000	79.4%	93.1%	58.8%
Philippines	Alcoy	2017	63.9%	84.1%	46.5%
Philippines	Alegria	2000	89.5%	99.9%	39.2%
Philippines	Alegria	2000	53.6%	91.0%	12.8%
Philippines	Alegria	2017	80.6%	99.4%	20.1%
Philippines	Alegria	2017	31.0%	77.4%	2.7%
Philippines	Aleosan	2000	51.5%	62.8%	37.6%
Philippines	Aleosan	2017	32.3%	46.5%	11.6%
Philippines	Alfonso	2000	68.7%	99.1%	12.7%
Philippines	Alfonso	2017	40.1%	93.8%	2.4%
Philippines	Alfonso Cas- taneda	2000	49.0%	92.0%	7.5%
Philippines	Alfonso Cas- taneda	2017	30.8%	79.0%	1.8%
Philippines	Alfonso Lista	2000	41.5%	71.4%	13.3%
Philippines	Alfonso Lista	2017	21.0%	46.4%	4.2%
Philippines	Aliaga	2000	50.9%	98.6%	3.9%
Philippines	Aliaga	2017	30.9%	90.3%	1.2%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	46.2%	97.5%	0.8%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	40.7%	78.0%	11.3%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	8.4%	14.8%	5.0%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	28.9%	89.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	2.9%	7.3%	1.7%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	25.3%	64.3%	7.6%
Philippines	Alilem	2000	52.4%	97.9%	0.4%
Philippines	Alilem	2017	35.8%	93.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Alimodian	2000	23.6%	43.1%	4.4%
Philippines	Alimodian	2017	12.9%	33.7%	0.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Alitagtag	2000	74.8%	99.0%	21.3%
Philippines	Alitagtag	2017	47.3%	93.6%	8.4%
Philippines	Allacapan	2000	16.3%	47.0%	2.3%
Philippines	Allacapan	2017	7.7%	32.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Allen	2000	89.6%	98.4%	60.6%
Philippines	Allen	2017	68.2%	92.0%	35.9%
Philippines	Almagro	2000	44.6%	99.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Almagro	2017	30.8%	97.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Almeria	2000	94.3%	97.7%	88.0%
Philippines	Almeria	2017	77.2%	84.4%	69.1%
Philippines	Aloguinsan	2000	51.2%	97.7%	3.2%
Philippines	Aloguinsan	2017	28.4%	88.1%	0.5%
Philippines	Aloran	2000	98.5%	99.4%	97.5%
Philippines	Aloran	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.8%
Philippines	Altavas	2000	17.3%	59.6%	1.3%
Philippines	Altavas	2017	6.8%	35.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Alubijid	2000	65.8%	84.9%	31.6%
Philippines	Alubijid	2017	37.3%	63.0%	11.4%
Philippines	Amadeo	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Philippines	Amadeo	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Philippines	Ambaguio	2000	56.8%	95.8%	11.0%
Philippines	Ambaguio	2017	32.4%	83.5%	2.4%
Philippines	Amlan	2000	74.4%	89.9%	51.0%
Philippines	Amlan	2017	41.0%	61.2%	24.5%
Philippines	Ampatuan	2000	18.3%	55.0%	1.5%
Philippines	Ampatuan	2017	10.5%	34.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Amulung	2000	15.1%	44.8%	3.6%
Philippines	Amulung	2017	5.0%	28.2%	0.6%
Philippines	Anahawan	2000	62.2%	93.0%	25.2%
Philippines	Anahawan	2017	31.3%	70.2%	5.1%
Philippines	Anao	2000	78.7%	83.9%	72.6%
Philippines	Anao	2017	52.1%	57.3%	39.4%
Philippines	Anda	2000	42.8%	98.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Anda	2000	99.4%	100.0%	96.5%
Philippines	Anda	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.0%
Philippines	Anda	2017	27.0%	92.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Angadanan	2000	7.2%	14.1%	4.2%
Philippines	Angadanan	2017	3.1%	6.4%	1.9%
Philippines	Angat	2000	9.6%	50.8%	1.3%
Philippines	Angat	2017	2.4%	15.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Angeles City	2000	59.0%	62.8%	55.4%
Philippines	Angeles City	2017	16.9%	18.9%	15.1%
Philippines	Angono	2000	84.8%	86.4%	83.1%
Philippines	Angono	2017	42.2%	45.1%	39.0%
Philippines	Anilao	2000	42.7%	73.1%	21.4%
Philippines	Anilao	2017	22.4%	54.9%	8.9%
Philippines	Anini-Y	2000	42.1%	81.2%	6.5%
Philippines	Anini-Y	2017	17.9%	55.8%	1.1%
Philippines	Antequera	2000	74.3%	82.0%	60.2%
Philippines	Antequera	2017	41.5%	53.5%	30.6%
Philippines	Antipas	2000	49.7%	89.9%	8.9%
Philippines	Antipas	2017	29.4%	74.4%	1.7%
Philippines	Antipolo City	2000	81.6%	83.5%	79.5%
Philippines	Antipolo City	2017	39.3%	43.4%	35.6%
Philippines	Apalit	2000	68.5%	72.3%	64.4%
Philippines	Apalit	2017	25.5%	28.8%	22.6%
Philippines	Aparri	2000	21.5%	72.5%	1.0%
Philippines	Aparri	2017	10.2%	55.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Araceli	2000	38.4%	92.7%	0.9%
Philippines	Araceli	2017	23.4%	76.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Arakan	2000	47.8%	90.2%	9.7%
Philippines	Arakan	2017	31.4%	77.9%	3.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Arayat	2000	58.8%	98.8%	5.1%
Philippines	Arayat	2017	38.4%	93.5%	1.2%
Philippines	Argao	2000	78.2%	88.3%	62.4%
Philippines	Argao	2017	52.8%	69.5%	34.2%
Philippines	Aringay	2000	64.6%	96.9%	13.0%
Philippines	Aringay	2017	40.6%	90.5%	4.4%
Philippines	Aritao	2000	52.1%	67.5%	38.9%
Philippines	Aritao	2017	20.0%	34.8%	10.9%
Philippines	Aroroy	2000	49.4%	64.9%	30.5%
Philippines	Aroroy	2017	25.6%	43.1%	10.8%
Philippines	Arteche	2000	84.0%	90.0%	78.5%
Philippines	Arteche	2017	66.9%	71.4%	61.9%
Philippines	Asingan	2000	41.9%	54.4%	27.3%
Philippines	Asingan	2017	13.0%	23.8%	6.2%
Philippines	Asipulo	2000	61.0%	92.7%	29.0%
Philippines	Asipulo	2017	37.7%	77.7%	10.3%
Philippines	Asturias	2000	54.7%	93.7%	10.4%
Philippines	Asturias	2017	34.5%	83.7%	3.0%
Philippines	Asuncion	2000	69.8%	84.5%	56.5%
Philippines	Asuncion	2017	36.5%	52.5%	25.6%
Philippines	Atimonan	2000	42.3%	67.8%	21.4%
Philippines	Atimonan	2017	15.3%	36.1%	5.3%
Philippines	Atok	2000	41.1%	93.8%	2.7%
Philippines	Atok	2017	22.3%	76.6%	0.7%
Philippines	Aurora	2000	28.8%	55.5%	11.4%
Philippines	Aurora	2000	29.0%	58.8%	15.0%
Philippines	Aurora	2017	10.2%	26.2%	3.7%
Philippines	Aurora	2017	6.4%	17.5%	2.7%
Philippines	Ayungon	2000	48.3%	95.7%	3.8%
Philippines	Ayungon	2017	34.1%	89.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Baao	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Baao	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Babatngon	2000	60.9%	98.6%	16.3%
Philippines	Babatngon	2017	44.2%	94.0%	4.9%
Philippines	Bacacay	2000	68.6%	81.7%	52.8%
Philippines	Bacacay	2017	33.6%	49.3%	21.0%
Philippines	Bacarra	2000	76.6%	79.3%	73.6%
Philippines	Bacarra	2017	34.7%	39.1%	30.7%
Philippines	Baclayon	2000	60.8%	99.8%	5.9%
Philippines	Baclayon	2017	40.7%	98.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Bacnotan	2000	70.8%	73.4%	61.9%
Philippines	Bacnotan	2017	63.8%	71.8%	37.2%
Philippines	Baco	2000	58.2%	86.6%	28.4%
Philippines	Baco	2017	24.3%	58.5%	6.4%
Philippines	Bacolod	2000	71.8%	92.4%	58.5%
Philippines	Bacolod	2017	41.6%	66.1%	27.3%
Philippines	Bacolod City	2000	49.5%	53.3%	46.0%
Philippines	Bacolod City	2017	11.7%	13.2%	10.4%
Philippines	Bacolod	2000	25.2%	50.7%	10.1%
Philippines	Kalawi				
Philippines	Bacolod	2017	6.2%	24.1%	1.5%
Philippines	Kalawi				
Philippines	Bacolor	2000	67.1%	70.8%	64.1%
Philippines	Bacolor	2017	22.4%	26.1%	19.7%
Philippines	Bacong	2000	84.9%	95.8%	63.0%
Philippines	Bacong	2017	57.2%	82.0%	32.9%
Philippines	Bacoor	2000	52.7%	55.4%	49.9%
Philippines	Bacoor	2017	14.1%	15.5%	12.9%
Philippines	Bacuag	2000	49.3%	98.8%	7.7%
Philippines	Bacuag	2017	31.3%	91.7%	3.9%
Philippines	Bacungan	2000	42.7%	79.2%	8.8%
Philippines	Bacungan	2017	22.7%	66.9%	1.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Badian	2000	77.2%	99.0%	25.3%
Philippines	Badian	2017	60.3%	97.8%	13.2%
Philippines	Badiangan	2000	51.8%	98.6%	2.6%
Philippines	Badiangan	2017	35.8%	94.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Badoc	2000	48.7%	91.4%	14.8%
Philippines	Badoc	2017	23.1%	74.7%	4.4%
Philippines	Bagabag	2000	87.9%	88.7%	87.0%
Philippines	Bagabag	2017	81.8%	82.5%	81.1%
Philippines	Bagac	2000	96.2%	99.5%	89.9%
Philippines	Bagac	2017	90.6%	96.9%	81.7%
Philippines	Bagamanoc	2000	45.3%	98.9%	0.7%
Philippines	Bagamanoc	2017	27.3%	95.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Baganga	2000	47.5%	72.2%	22.2%
Philippines	Baganga	2017	26.3%	49.7%	9.1%
Philippines	Baggao	2000	37.0%	74.6%	7.0%
Philippines	Baggao	2017	21.7%	57.3%	1.7%
Philippines	Bago City	2000	55.3%	68.6%	43.8%
Philippines	Bago City	2017	20.7%	32.5%	14.5%
Philippines	Baguio City	2000	67.3%	69.4%	64.7%
Philippines	Baguio City	2017	22.1%	23.3%	21.0%
Philippines	Bagulin	2000	38.9%	70.8%	13.9%
Philippines	Bagulin	2017	16.3%	42.5%	2.6%
Philippines	Bagumbayan	2000	42.3%	66.7%	19.6%
Philippines	Bagumbayan	2017	22.1%	48.9%	5.4%
Philippines	Bais City	2000	69.8%	87.2%	49.3%
Philippines	Bais City	2017	31.3%	50.4%	14.7%
Philippines	Bakun	2000	56.0%	78.8%	35.3%
Philippines	Bakun	2017	26.8%	54.5%	9.8%
Philippines	Balabac	2000	47.1%	80.1%	17.2%
Philippines	Balabac	2017	31.5%	64.7%	5.9%
Philippines	Balabagan	2000	15.1%	43.1%	2.9%
Philippines	Balabagan	2017	4.1%	16.9%	0.5%
Philippines	Balagtas	2000	53.8%	62.4%	44.6%
Philippines	Balagtas	2017	13.6%	18.0%	9.7%
Philippines	Balamban	2000	54.0%	79.4%	18.1%
Philippines	Balamban	2017	27.7%	67.4%	5.3%
Philippines	Balanga City	2000	86.8%	89.7%	82.9%
Philippines	Balanga City	2017	49.9%	56.4%	43.3%
Philippines	Balangiga	2000	34.8%	80.4%	5.8%
Philippines	Balangiga	2017	18.7%	58.1%	1.4%
Philippines	Balangkayan	2000	52.8%	92.5%	13.6%
Philippines	Balangkayan	2017	24.5%	72.2%	3.0%
Philippines	Balaoan	2000	7.7%	19.5%	2.9%
Philippines	Balaoan	2017	1.4%	4.6%	0.5%
Philippines	Balasan	2000	48.5%	98.7%	1.2%
Philippines	Balasan	2017	33.0%	94.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Balatan	2000	54.0%	94.9%	3.3%
Philippines	Balatan	2017	34.7%	88.1%	1.5%
Philippines	Balayan	2000	49.9%	90.6%	7.2%
Philippines	Balayan	2017	26.4%	75.1%	2.2%
Philippines	Balbalan	2000	29.8%	65.6%	4.6%
Philippines	Balbalan	2017	16.9%	45.7%	1.2%
Philippines	Baleno	2000	14.4%	26.3%	9.2%
Philippines	Baleno	2017	5.2%	8.9%	2.4%
Philippines	Baler	2000	35.1%	65.1%	11.6%
Philippines	Baler	2017	7.6%	23.4%	2.0%
Philippines	Balete	2000	95.5%	99.1%	86.9%
Philippines	Balete	2000	50.3%	80.5%	22.7%
Philippines	Balete	2017	77.9%	93.5%	51.9%
Philippines	Balete	2017	23.6%	51.6%	9.0%
Philippines	Baliangao	2000	13.1%	38.8%	7.5%
Philippines	Baliangao	2017	6.0%	20.9%	2.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Baliguian	2000	45.1%	82.6%	9.3%
Philippines	Baliguian	2017	25.6%	62.8%	2.6%
Philippines	Balilihan	2000	40.6%	48.5%	35.8%
Philippines	Balilihan	2017	12.2%	14.1%	10.9%
Philippines	Balindong	2000	25.5%	32.6%	20.4%
Philippines	Balindong	2017	5.4%	9.6%	3.9%
Philippines	Balingasag	2000	73.3%	78.6%	69.2%
Philippines	Balingasag	2017	55.2%	63.0%	46.2%
Philippines	Balingoan	2000	84.4%	100.0%	21.2%
Philippines	Balingoan	2017	71.9%	99.7%	8.5%
Philippines	Baliuag	2000	81.1%	83.4%	78.8%
Philippines	Baliuag	2017	51.3%	55.7%	46.8%
Philippines	Ballesteros	2000	23.7%	49.0%	7.2%
Philippines	Ballesteros	2017	6.6%	19.3%	1.1%
Philippines	Baloi	2000	71.2%	76.5%	67.3%
Philippines	Baloi	2017	63.5%	67.3%	58.3%
Philippines	Balud	2000	45.4%	85.3%	4.5%
Philippines	Balud	2017	30.2%	72.9%	1.1%
Philippines	Balungao	2000	84.6%	94.2%	60.8%
Philippines	Balungao	2017	68.6%	88.4%	35.7%
Philippines	Bamban	2000	49.5%	71.5%	27.0%
Philippines	Bamban	2017	20.3%	29.8%	14.7%
Philippines	Bambang	2000	59.9%	65.9%	54.1%
Philippines	Bambang	2017	19.8%	25.2%	15.9%
Philippines	Banate	2000	35.8%	74.2%	3.9%
Philippines	Banate	2017	18.7%	59.7%	1.3%
Philippines	Banaue	2000	26.3%	57.3%	11.6%
Philippines	Banaue	2017	9.5%	35.8%	2.9%
Philippines	Banaybanay	2000	21.0%	63.1%	1.9%
Philippines	Banaybanay	2017	9.8%	45.0%	0.4%
Philippines	Banayoyo	2000	55.3%	66.0%	44.8%
Philippines	Banayoyo	2017	15.7%	22.2%	11.1%
Philippines	Banga	2000	66.2%	71.5%	61.9%
Philippines	Banga	2000	74.0%	86.3%	55.4%
Philippines	Banga	2017	41.9%	45.0%	38.7%
Philippines	Banga	2017	38.1%	55.7%	24.1%
Philippines	Bangar	2000	68.0%	73.7%	59.7%
Philippines	Bangar	2017	34.4%	47.4%	20.4%
Philippines	Bangued	2000	87.0%	89.3%	83.8%
Philippines	Bangued	2017	52.0%	57.9%	45.4%
Philippines	Bangui	2000	50.3%	99.1%	1.1%
Philippines	Bangui	2017	32.4%	95.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Bani	2000	57.7%	92.6%	12.1%
Philippines	Bani	2017	32.4%	75.2%	2.6%
Philippines	Banisilan	2000	46.8%	71.5%	23.7%
Philippines	Banisilan	2017	40.9%	64.8%	19.6%
Philippines	Banna	2000	23.1%	71.1%	2.1%
Philippines	Banna	2017	9.5%	43.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Bansalan	2000	60.4%	93.2%	31.5%
Philippines	Bansalan	2017	31.0%	69.7%	10.5%
Philippines	Bansud	2000	42.6%	76.4%	10.3%
Philippines	Bansud	2017	19.5%	52.6%	1.8%
Philippines	Bantay	2000	42.0%	44.9%	39.5%
Philippines	Bantay	2017	12.2%	13.7%	10.8%
Philippines	Bantayan	2000	45.8%	95.7%	1.1%
Philippines	Bantayan	2017	32.3%	89.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Banton	2000	32.7%	93.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Banton	2017	19.1%	84.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Baras	2000	81.2%	85.5%	77.2%
Philippines	Baras	2000	92.9%	99.9%	62.1%
Philippines	Baras	2017	81.3%	99.4%	45.2%
Philippines	Baras	2017	40.1%	45.9%	34.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Barbaza	2000	90.3%	98.5%	70.8%
Philippines	Barbaza	2017	81.9%	95.5%	45.2%
Philippines	Barcelona	2000	76.4%	90.0%	58.6%
Philippines	Barcelona	2017	39.4%	59.5%	25.3%
Philippines	Barili	2000	70.4%	95.5%	38.0%
Philippines	Barili	2017	43.1%	85.1%	13.5%
Philippines	Barira	2000	38.2%	92.8%	0.6%
Philippines	Barira	2017	21.4%	77.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Barlig	2000	34.4%	65.3%	14.8%
Philippines	Barlig	2017	14.8%	35.1%	4.0%
Philippines	Barobo	2000	66.0%	82.1%	46.6%
Philippines	Barobo	2017	27.5%	44.4%	13.4%
Philippines	Barotac Nuevo	2000	40.0%	82.3%	10.1%
Philippines	Barotac Nuevo	2017	17.5%	59.5%	1.6%
Philippines	Barotac Viejo	2000	39.3%	92.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Barotac Viejo	2017	24.6%	80.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Baroy	2000	64.8%	84.5%	31.5%
Philippines	Baroy	2017	27.5%	58.3%	8.3%
Philippines	Barugo	2000	39.6%	49.0%	29.9%
Philippines	Barugo	2017	19.8%	32.9%	10.7%
Philippines	Basay	2000	47.6%	98.6%	1.8%
Philippines	Basay	2017	32.7%	96.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Basco	2000	94.2%	96.6%	91.6%
Philippines	Basco	2017	76.9%	83.0%	68.3%
Philippines	Basey	2000	44.0%	54.9%	34.2%
Philippines	Basey	2017	15.9%	26.4%	8.5%
Philippines	Basilisa	2000	80.4%	89.2%	64.8%
Philippines	Basilisa	2017	46.1%	61.2%	34.9%
Philippines	Basista	2000	36.6%	59.2%	18.9%
Philippines	Basista	2017	9.0%	28.0%	3.0%
Philippines	Basud	2000	92.5%	95.9%	84.6%
Philippines	Basud	2017	81.0%	86.4%	70.1%
Philippines	Batac City	2000	29.1%	34.0%	25.1%
Philippines	Batac City	2017	7.3%	9.2%	6.0%
Philippines	Batad	2000	50.5%	97.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Batad	2017	31.2%	87.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Batan	2000	26.5%	36.1%	22.8%
Philippines	Batan	2017	8.3%	12.2%	7.0%
Philippines	Batangas City	2000	90.4%	95.4%	81.7%
Philippines	Batangas City	2017	69.5%	81.7%	50.3%
Philippines	Bataraza	2000	52.0%	81.1%	20.5%
Philippines	Bataraza	2017	30.2%	60.5%	7.0%
Philippines	Bato	2000	48.4%	61.8%	35.7%
Philippines	Bato	2000	56.0%	70.7%	36.8%
Philippines	Bato	2000	75.4%	98.9%	17.6%
Philippines	Bato	2017	18.2%	29.5%	10.8%
Philippines	Bato	2017	31.0%	51.8%	21.8%
Philippines	Bato	2017	49.3%	92.9%	3.8%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2000	60.5%	72.7%	44.8%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2000	73.8%	76.8%	70.3%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2017	26.5%	29.4%	23.1%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2017	17.5%	26.2%	10.2%
Philippines	Batuan	2000	48.3%	97.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Batuan	2000	36.3%	70.8%	8.1%
Philippines	Batuan	2017	32.0%	91.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Batuan	2017	16.5%	52.6%	1.4%
Philippines	Bauan	2000	68.4%	95.8%	26.6%
Philippines	Bauan	2017	40.6%	79.2%	13.5%
Philippines	Bauang	2000	31.8%	56.6%	23.8%
Philippines	Bauang	2017	11.8%	20.3%	8.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Bauko	2000	41.7%	49.9%	30.1%
Philippines	Bauko	2017	22.9%	36.9%	10.4%
Philippines	Baungon	2000	46.6%	67.3%	31.1%
Philippines	Baungon	2017	28.0%	47.1%	13.6%
Philippines	Bautista	2000	37.3%	52.6%	27.2%
Philippines	Bautista	2017	9.3%	13.7%	6.5%
Philippines	Bay	2000	67.3%	93.7%	46.5%
Philippines	Bay	2017	37.9%	69.5%	25.5%
Philippines	Bayabas	2000	38.5%	68.3%	5.4%
Philippines	Bayabas	2017	18.1%	51.6%	0.9%
Philippines	Bayambang	2000	29.3%	65.6%	9.5%
Philippines	Bayambang	2017	12.1%	55.0%	1.8%
Philippines	Bayang	2000	18.8%	76.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Bayang	2017	7.0%	36.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Bayawan City	2000	54.2%	83.4%	22.9%
Philippines	Bayawan City	2017	30.9%	61.1%	7.7%
Philippines	Baybay City	2000	84.0%	94.8%	66.5%
Philippines	Baybay City	2017	64.3%	79.4%	47.5%
Philippines	Bayog	2000	51.9%	68.5%	28.0%
Philippines	Bayog	2017	34.1%	52.6%	11.9%
Philippines	Bayombong	2000	74.1%	79.4%	66.9%
Philippines	Bayombong	2017	31.9%	38.9%	24.7%
Philippines	Bayugan City	2000	55.0%	64.4%	43.3%
Philippines	Bayugan City	2017	39.8%	52.0%	29.4%
Philippines	Belison	2000	22.7%	83.7%	4.3%
Philippines	Belison	2017	6.5%	44.3%	0.7%
Philippines	Benito Soliven	2000	62.6%	92.3%	30.4%
Philippines	Benito Soliven	2017	37.3%	78.3%	9.9%
Philippines	Besao	2000	4.7%	18.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Besao	2017	1.8%	10.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Bien Unido	2000	40.6%	94.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Bien Unido	2017	26.7%	86.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Bilar	2000	43.0%	93.4%	1.3%
Philippines	Bilar	2017	26.8%	86.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Biliran	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.2%
Philippines	Biliran	2017	74.0%	79.7%	65.9%
Philippines	Binalbagan	2000	46.3%	95.6%	4.0%
Philippines	Binalbagan	2017	30.5%	87.4%	0.8%
Philippines	Binalonan	2000	32.1%	51.4%	12.2%
Philippines	Binalonan	2017	12.8%	27.5%	2.3%
Philippines	Biñan	2000	72.1%	74.6%	69.3%
Philippines	Biñan	2017	25.1%	27.5%	22.7%
Philippines	Binangonan	2000	85.5%	89.7%	80.4%
Philippines	Binangonan	2017	51.2%	58.4%	44.4%
Philippines	Bindoy	2000	67.3%	93.5%	37.2%
Philippines	Bindoy	2017	38.0%	72.3%	12.1%
Philippines	Bingawan	2000	43.9%	91.7%	3.4%
Philippines	Bingawan	2017	22.1%	77.5%	0.6%
Philippines	Binidayan	2000	22.8%	65.8%	4.6%
Philippines	Binidayan	2017	6.1%	24.5%	0.5%
Philippines	Binmaley	2000	59.6%	82.8%	33.8%
Philippines	Binmaley	2017	26.0%	54.0%	10.2%
Philippines	Binuangan	2000	84.1%	99.4%	33.5%
Philippines	Binuangan	2017	65.7%	96.5%	14.5%
Philippines	Biri	2000	41.2%	96.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Biri	2017	25.0%	82.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Bislig City	2000	51.6%	71.7%	27.3%
Philippines	Bislig City	2017	18.6%	35.0%	7.1%
Philippines	Boac	2000	69.9%	75.6%	65.1%
Philippines	Boac	2017	39.0%	43.2%	32.6%
Philippines	Bobon	2000	48.9%	97.6%	5.0%
Philippines	Bobon	2017	31.5%	89.6%	1.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Bocau	2000	70.9%	77.0%	64.1%
Philippines	Bocau	2017	26.9%	31.7%	22.1%
Philippines	Bogo City	2000	54.3%	75.1%	36.4%
Philippines	Bogo City	2017	19.7%	43.7%	10.7%
Philippines	Bokod	2000	42.4%	83.4%	6.8%
Philippines	Bokod	2017	24.5%	64.8%	1.8%
Philippines	Bolinao	2000	47.5%	87.0%	9.3%
Philippines	Bolinao	2017	25.3%	66.3%	1.6%
Philippines	Boliney	2000	28.0%	72.5%	1.0%
Philippines	Boliney	2017	14.6%	52.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Boljoon	2000	67.1%	99.7%	4.1%
Philippines	Boljoon	2017	48.2%	97.6%	0.6%
Philippines	Bombon	2000	48.9%	53.3%	46.3%
Philippines	Bombon	2017	24.8%	27.7%	22.2%
Philippines	Bongabon	2000	52.3%	93.2%	16.8%
Philippines	Bongabon	2017	23.1%	72.3%	3.7%
Philippines	Bongabong	2000	52.1%	72.2%	35.0%
Philippines	Bongabong	2017	19.2%	35.8%	8.9%
Philippines	Bongao	2000	40.8%	53.6%	27.9%
Philippines	Bongao	2017	12.0%	20.1%	5.7%
Philippines	Bonifacio	2000	82.5%	88.8%	69.8%
Philippines	Bonifacio	2017	47.8%	58.5%	36.4%
Philippines	Bontoc	2000	84.8%	92.2%	75.6%
Philippines	Bontoc	2000	45.0%	50.0%	39.9%
Philippines	Bontoc	2017	66.7%	77.9%	56.4%
Philippines	Bontoc	2017	30.8%	33.8%	28.2%
Philippines	Borbon	2000	46.7%	99.3%	0.6%
Philippines	Borbon	2017	30.1%	96.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Borongan	2000	19.7%	54.1%	2.3%
Philippines	Borongan City	2017	9.7%	30.9%	0.5%
Philippines	Boston	2000	47.6%	95.4%	3.6%
Philippines	Boston	2017	31.7%	85.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Botolan	2000	53.2%	93.8%	5.9%
Philippines	Botolan	2017	36.7%	87.4%	1.9%
Philippines	Braulio E. Dujali	2000	32.3%	45.0%	24.2%
Philippines	Braulio E. Dujali	2017	10.6%	22.3%	6.7%
Philippines	Brooke's Point	2000	33.9%	68.3%	7.5%
Philippines	Brooke's Point	2017	19.4%	50.3%	2.2%
Philippines	Buadiposo-Buntong	2000	61.0%	96.5%	7.9%
Philippines	Buadiposo-Buntong	2017	30.2%	83.9%	1.1%
Philippines	Bubong	2000	55.2%	96.4%	6.5%
Philippines	Bubong	2017	32.6%	84.5%	0.9%
Philippines	Bucay	2000	72.2%	88.1%	60.9%
Philippines	Bucay	2017	54.8%	67.4%	45.8%
Philippines	Bucloc	2000	26.3%	76.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Bucloc	2017	13.0%	64.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	45.2%	94.4%	3.5%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	70.3%	74.8%	65.9%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	22.0%	29.7%	12.9%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	74.5%	92.4%	55.0%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	70.6%	95.3%	39.7%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	24.7%	28.6%	21.1%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	28.9%	85.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	42.5%	70.5%	20.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	11.3%	21.0%	3.8%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	55.6%	88.0%	27.3%
Philippines	Bugallon	2000	42.5%	81.3%	4.8%
Philippines	Bugallon	2017	20.4%	64.6%	0.8%
Philippines	Bugasong	2000	54.0%	83.2%	20.8%
Philippines	Bugasong	2017	25.6%	65.6%	3.1%
Philippines	Buguey	2000	13.9%	38.4%	1.9%
Philippines	Buguey	2017	4.6%	19.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Buguias	2000	56.3%	63.1%	50.7%
Philippines	Buguias	2017	30.4%	36.6%	24.7%
Philippines	Buhi	2000	37.9%	43.8%	23.0%
Philippines	Buhi	2017	27.5%	40.7%	11.0%
Philippines	Buhi Lake	2000	90.1%	98.6%	68.8%
Philippines	Buhi Lake	2017	67.7%	90.5%	36.1%
Philippines	Bula	2000	67.8%	70.8%	60.0%
Philippines	Bula	2017	58.3%	68.6%	40.6%
Philippines	Bulacan	2000	43.5%	52.7%	35.1%
Philippines	Bulacan	2017	10.1%	13.5%	7.4%
Philippines	Bulalacao	2000	44.8%	90.6%	5.3%
Philippines	Bulalacao	2017	28.9%	78.8%	1.3%
Philippines	Bulan	2000	59.2%	96.7%	4.1%
Philippines	Bulan	2017	42.5%	91.7%	1.0%
Philippines	Buldon	2000	28.3%	62.0%	2.4%
Philippines	Buldon	2017	15.9%	50.7%	0.5%
Philippines	Buluan	2000	27.6%	86.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Buluan	2017	21.5%	92.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2000	7.2%	49.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2000	13.1%	61.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2017	1.7%	16.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2017	16.2%	68.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Bulusan	2000	67.5%	87.8%	39.7%
Philippines	Bulusan	2017	31.4%	58.4%	12.4%
Philippines	Bumbaran	2000	43.9%	93.7%	3.0%
Philippines	Bumbaran	2017	24.2%	70.0%	0.9%
Philippines	Bunawan	2000	69.3%	90.4%	41.2%
Philippines	Bunawan	2017	50.5%	78.6%	22.3%
Philippines	Burauen	2000	60.0%	98.7%	5.5%
Philippines	Burauen	2017	40.4%	94.4%	1.7%
Philippines	Burdeos	2000	48.6%	89.1%	9.3%
Philippines	Burdeos	2017	32.2%	76.5%	3.3%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	32.2%	95.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	17.9%	26.7%	11.2%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	51.7%	97.8%	8.0%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	41.7%	90.1%	7.4%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	44.2%	99.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	55.8%	91.8%	15.6%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	15.9%	82.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	4.1%	7.1%	2.3%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	33.2%	87.3%	4.0%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	20.7%	76.0%	1.9%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	30.7%	67.9%	3.3%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	26.5%	95.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Buruanga	2000	66.5%	88.2%	27.0%
Philippines	Buruanga	2017	36.3%	69.6%	6.5%
Philippines	Bustos	2000	37.6%	45.2%	31.3%
Philippines	Bustos	2017	9.6%	12.3%	7.7%
Philippines	Busuanga	2000	45.4%	86.2%	8.4%
Philippines	Busuanga	2017	29.2%	73.6%	2.3%
Philippines	Butig	2000	7.7%	48.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Butig	2017	2.1%	15.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Butuan City	2000	63.8%	71.2%	55.7%
Philippines	Butuan City	2017	25.9%	33.9%	20.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Buug	2000	92.5%	100.0%	54.8%
Philippines	Buug	2017	84.1%	99.9%	30.0%
Philippines	Caba	2000	82.0%	94.9%	57.3%
Philippines	Caba	2017	51.1%	75.8%	26.1%
Philippines	Cabadbaran	2000	72.9%	80.2%	66.4%
Philippines	Cabadbaran City	2017	36.6%	45.2%	30.0%
Philippines	Cabagan	2000	72.9%	94.7%	40.3%
Philippines	Cabagan	2017	55.4%	84.6%	21.8%
Philippines	Cabanatuan	2000	78.8%	83.2%	73.6%
Philippines	Cabanatuan City	2017	40.9%	47.1%	34.8%
Philippines	Cabangan	2000	52.5%	66.2%	37.1%
Philippines	Cabangan	2017	23.7%	40.0%	13.0%
Philippines	Cabanglasan	2000	78.6%	89.4%	64.2%
Philippines	Cabanglasan	2017	41.2%	59.1%	27.5%
Philippines	Cabarroguis	2000	74.2%	89.9%	52.7%
Philippines	Cabarroguis	2017	48.6%	74.5%	23.9%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2000	49.4%	90.2%	10.1%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2000	10.7%	39.4%	3.4%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2017	30.6%	78.8%	3.5%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2017	2.4%	10.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Cabiao	2000	63.7%	77.7%	51.1%
Philippines	Cabiao	2017	36.5%	48.2%	22.8%
Philippines	Cabucgayan	2000	75.0%	92.0%	54.6%
Philippines	Cabucgayan	2017	41.4%	59.5%	29.0%
Philippines	Cabugao	2000	37.0%	98.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Cabugao	2017	23.2%	92.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Cabusao	2000	59.8%	92.9%	21.2%
Philippines	Cabusao	2017	30.0%	71.5%	3.7%
Philippines	Cabuyao	2000	70.4%	74.7%	64.0%
Philippines	Cabuyao	2017	24.0%	29.1%	19.0%
Philippines	Cadiz City	2000	49.8%	94.5%	7.4%
Philippines	Cadiz City	2017	32.9%	84.5%	2.1%
Philippines	Cagayan de Oro City	2000	84.1%	87.2%	81.3%
Philippines	Cagayan de Oro City	2017	47.0%	51.8%	42.6%
Philippines	Cagayancillo	2000	41.8%	96.7%	0.6%
Philippines	Cagayancillo	2017	26.6%	86.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Cagdianao	2000	52.4%	63.3%	44.5%
Philippines	Cagdianao	2017	37.6%	49.5%	30.2%
Philippines	Cagwait	2000	67.3%	78.9%	55.8%
Philippines	Cagwait	2017	34.4%	45.0%	25.6%
Philippines	Caibiran	2000	98.4%	99.9%	92.0%
Philippines	Caibiran	2017	93.9%	98.9%	83.0%
Philippines	Cainta	2000	87.3%	88.2%	86.3%
Philippines	Cainta	2017	46.9%	49.0%	44.6%
Philippines	Cajidiocan	2000	55.9%	95.1%	10.4%
Philippines	Cajidiocan	2017	35.6%	78.8%	2.7%
Philippines	Calabanga	2000	69.2%	72.3%	64.1%
Philippines	Calabanga	2017	44.9%	52.4%	36.5%
Philippines	Calaca	2000	69.7%	96.4%	30.9%
Philippines	Calaca	2017	48.7%	88.4%	19.4%
Philippines	Calamba	2000	73.1%	89.5%	53.5%
Philippines	Calamba	2017	37.0%	61.7%	17.6%
Philippines	Calamba City	2000	78.1%	82.3%	72.5%
Philippines	Calamba City	2017	34.3%	40.9%	28.1%
Philippines	Calanasan	2000	59.7%	92.8%	24.2%
Philippines	Calanasan	2017	42.6%	83.0%	13.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Calanogas	2000	7.5%	29.4%	0.6%
Philippines	Calanogas	2017	1.6%	10.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Calapan City	2000	67.3%	75.3%	60.2%
Philippines	Calapan City	2017	33.7%	42.9%	25.9%
Philippines	Calape	2000	52.1%	97.4%	4.9%
Philippines	Calape	2017	29.4%	90.4%	0.7%
Philippines	Calasiao	2000	29.7%	39.2%	21.7%
Philippines	Calasiao	2017	6.7%	9.4%	4.6%
Philippines	Calatagan	2000	47.1%	97.2%	1.5%
Philippines	Calatagan	2017	32.0%	91.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Calatrava	2000	68.4%	88.4%	35.6%
Philippines	Calatrava	2000	32.5%	74.8%	2.0%
Philippines	Calatrava	2017	37.6%	70.3%	15.8%
Philippines	Calatrava	2017	19.5%	60.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Calauag	2000	45.1%	72.6%	17.3%
Philippines	Calauag	2017	22.0%	46.1%	4.4%
Philippines	Calauan	2000	77.5%	91.3%	65.3%
Philippines	Calauan	2017	45.1%	65.1%	34.2%
Philippines	Calayan	2000	48.6%	83.8%	15.3%
Philippines	Calayan	2017	32.6%	68.5%	5.8%
Philippines	Calbayog City	2000	76.1%	90.3%	53.4%
Philippines	Calbayog City	2017	51.3%	73.6%	31.3%
Philippines	Calbiga	2000	84.8%	93.3%	72.8%
Philippines	Calbiga	2017	58.1%	71.6%	44.4%
Philippines	Calinog	2000	32.5%	80.9%	1.1%
Philippines	Calinog	2017	17.6%	65.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Calintaan	2000	44.8%	93.9%	2.8%
Philippines	Calintaan	2017	29.2%	81.8%	0.6%
Philippines	Calubian	2000	62.0%	86.4%	29.4%
Philippines	Calubian	2017	31.0%	63.5%	8.8%
Philippines	Calumpit	2000	69.6%	72.2%	67.2%
Philippines	Calumpit	2017	22.8%	25.1%	21.0%
Philippines	Caluya	2000	44.8%	92.8%	7.1%
Philippines	Caluya	2017	29.5%	86.3%	2.4%
Philippines	Camalaniugan	2000	2.5%	10.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Camalaniugan	2017	0.7%	4.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Camalig	2000	37.1%	46.1%	29.4%
Philippines	Camalig	2017	11.0%	14.8%	8.5%
Philippines	Camaligan	2000	71.4%	74.0%	68.7%
Philippines	Camaligan	2017	26.9%	29.2%	24.5%
Philippines	Camiling	2000	43.0%	73.2%	15.9%
Philippines	Camiling	2017	15.1%	38.5%	3.1%
Philippines	Can-Avid	2000	27.0%	56.4%	8.6%
Philippines	Can-Avid	2017	15.8%	32.0%	4.2%
Philippines	Canaman	2000	66.3%	68.7%	64.1%
Philippines	Canaman	2017	29.7%	32.6%	27.0%
Philippines	Candaba	2000	63.6%	90.3%	32.1%
Philippines	Candaba	2017	40.9%	74.6%	14.9%
Philippines	Candelaria	2000	39.5%	83.7%	7.4%
Philippines	Candelaria	2000	59.0%	73.1%	47.6%
Philippines	Candelaria	2017	21.5%	35.4%	15.9%
Philippines	Candelaria	2017	16.9%	56.0%	1.5%
Philippines	Candijay	2000	56.3%	87.4%	27.4%
Philippines	Candijay	2017	39.1%	69.5%	17.0%
Philippines	Candon City	2000	42.8%	52.4%	33.0%
Philippines	Candon City	2017	8.6%	11.5%	6.2%
Philippines	Candoni	2000	44.8%	94.0%	3.7%
Philippines	Candoni	2017	27.5%	79.6%	0.6%
Philippines	Canlaon City	2000	41.0%	96.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Canlaon City	2017	25.8%	86.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Cantilan	2000	51.7%	71.9%	27.8%
Philippines	Cantilan	2017	19.2%	41.4%	5.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Caoayan	2000	4.3%	16.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Caoayan	2017	0.9%	4.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Capalonga	2000	63.3%	98.4%	21.1%
Philippines	Capalonga	2017	44.6%	90.8%	11.2%
Philippines	Capas	2000	79.0%	86.2%	62.9%
Philippines	Capas	2017	69.9%	82.5%	39.8%
Philippines	Capoocan	2000	18.5%	62.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Capoocan	2017	10.8%	47.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Capul	2000	56.7%	99.8%	0.6%
Philippines	Capul	2017	40.1%	98.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Caraga	2000	60.1%	93.7%	24.0%
Philippines	Caraga	2017	43.7%	82.0%	11.4%
Philippines	Caramoan	2000	54.1%	95.2%	11.2%
Philippines	Caramoan	2017	39.4%	88.7%	3.6%
Philippines	Caramoran	2000	40.3%	80.1%	14.3%
Philippines	Caramoran	2017	21.8%	63.3%	5.0%
Philippines	Carasi	2000	66.1%	98.4%	17.1%
Philippines	Carasi	2017	51.4%	94.0%	7.0%
Philippines	Carcar	2000	64.8%	75.4%	52.6%
Philippines	Carcar	2017	22.4%	33.0%	16.5%
Philippines	Cardona	2000	78.3%	94.6%	56.1%
Philippines	Cardona	2017	51.0%	74.6%	34.4%
Philippines	Carigara	2000	6.2%	23.1%	0.5%
Philippines	Carigara	2017	2.7%	13.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Carles	2000	43.7%	77.0%	15.6%
Philippines	Carles	2017	21.9%	53.0%	3.6%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	57.5%	95.1%	8.2%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	21.7%	33.6%	15.5%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	53.1%	97.9%	4.0%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	65.1%	94.7%	31.4%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	52.8%	72.3%	33.3%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	43.7%	89.2%	4.7%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	37.2%	86.4%	1.7%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	17.5%	34.0%	7.9%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	4.9%	11.7%	3.1%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	43.4%	82.0%	11.8%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	28.2%	76.1%	0.9%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	32.6%	92.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Carmona	2000	75.3%	78.1%	72.7%
Philippines	Carmona	2017	28.4%	31.4%	25.4%
Philippines	Carranglan	2000	51.9%	71.8%	33.7%
Philippines	Carranglan	2017	33.0%	54.1%	15.8%
Philippines	Carrascal	2000	53.5%	96.5%	4.6%
Philippines	Carrascal	2017	36.6%	90.5%	1.2%
Philippines	Casiguran	2000	73.2%	84.1%	56.7%
Philippines	Casiguran	2000	41.1%	71.3%	9.8%
Philippines	Casiguran	2017	36.0%	50.7%	24.0%
Philippines	Casiguran	2017	22.5%	52.9%	3.1%
Philippines	Castilla	2000	44.6%	92.4%	3.8%
Philippines	Castilla	2017	26.0%	83.3%	0.8%
Philippines	Castillejos	2000	12.6%	19.6%	8.7%
Philippines	Castillejos	2017	3.4%	4.9%	2.4%
Philippines	Cataingan	2000	52.5%	93.3%	16.3%
Philippines	Cataingan	2017	35.1%	81.9%	9.4%
Philippines	Catanauan	2000	42.4%	94.4%	1.5%
Philippines	Catanauan	2017	26.5%	83.3%	0.3%
Philippines	Catarman	2000	28.5%	62.6%	9.6%
Philippines	Catarman	2000	95.1%	99.3%	74.6%
Philippines	Catarman	2017	17.3%	41.6%	6.0%
Philippines	Catarman	2017	83.3%	95.1%	62.7%
Philippines	Catbalogan City	2000	64.9%	92.1%	25.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Catbalogan City	2017	31.1%	71.6%	6.6%
Philippines	Cateel	2000	47.5%	63.1%	32.0%
Philippines	Cateel	2017	19.9%	32.9%	8.3%
Philippines	Catigbian	2000	44.3%	88.4%	5.2%
Philippines	Catigbian	2017	22.3%	78.1%	0.9%
Philippines	Catmon	2000	50.4%	98.7%	1.2%
Philippines	Catmon	2017	32.4%	92.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Catubig	2000	70.5%	95.7%	27.8%
Philippines	Catubig	2017	50.6%	87.7%	14.1%
Philippines	Cauayan	2000	38.2%	79.4%	8.6%
Philippines	Cauayan	2017	20.1%	60.3%	1.6%
Philippines	Cauayan City	2000	52.1%	56.4%	47.5%
Philippines	Cauayan City	2017	23.2%	28.4%	18.0%
Philippines	Cavinti	2000	85.5%	99.8%	25.4%
Philippines	Cavinti	2017	71.6%	99.1%	7.6%
Philippines	Cavite City	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Cavite City	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Cawayan	2000	29.5%	59.0%	4.5%
Philippines	Cawayan	2017	14.6%	40.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Cebu City	2000	60.6%	64.6%	55.4%
Philippines	Cebu City	2017	19.8%	24.4%	16.8%
Philippines	Cervantes	2000	12.4%	40.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Cervantes	2017	4.8%	20.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Clarín	2000	64.2%	99.5%	5.7%
Philippines	Clarín	2000	66.1%	84.4%	42.4%
Philippines	Clarín	2017	42.7%	97.3%	0.9%
Philippines	Clarín	2017	27.7%	54.3%	11.5%
Philippines	Claver	2000	63.3%	96.6%	16.1%
Philippines	Claver	2017	41.6%	89.3%	3.4%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	55.8%	79.6%	32.7%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	47.3%	92.5%	8.7%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	52.6%	82.4%	21.0%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	32.5%	67.1%	10.7%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	39.5%	68.0%	21.9%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	32.5%	79.1%	2.1%
Philippines	Columbio	2000	31.6%	63.0%	13.9%
Philippines	Columbio	2017	22.2%	55.1%	8.1%
Philippines	Compostela	2000	42.0%	88.0%	2.3%
Philippines	Compostela	2000	82.6%	98.6%	33.4%
Philippines	Compostela	2017	24.9%	81.2%	0.6%
Philippines	Compostela	2017	70.7%	95.9%	26.3%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	45.5%	91.7%	5.2%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	10.9%	34.5%	3.4%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	42.9%	98.8%	0.6%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	61.1%	97.5%	16.4%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	32.9%	83.6%	2.8%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	28.3%	95.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	29.5%	80.7%	0.9%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	4.6%	13.7%	1.0%
Philippines	Conner	2000	20.2%	31.5%	12.5%
Philippines	Conner	2017	8.4%	16.0%	4.2%
Philippines	Consolacion	2000	40.2%	63.4%	20.4%
Philippines	Consolacion	2017	11.4%	28.6%	3.7%
Philippines	Corcuera	2000	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Corcuera	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Cordoba	2000	13.0%	18.3%	9.2%
Philippines	Cordoba	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.3%
Philippines	Cordon	2000	38.6%	52.5%	19.1%
Philippines	Cordon	2017	18.5%	34.8%	4.0%
Philippines	Corella	2000	56.8%	96.1%	7.0%
Philippines	Corella	2017	34.8%	91.1%	2.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Coron	2000	48.7%	83.6%	13.9%
Philippines	Coron	2017	30.1%	68.9%	5.9%
Philippines	Cortes	2000	49.8%	97.9%	1.4%
Philippines	Cortes	2000	54.9%	97.2%	8.2%
Philippines	Cortes	2017	32.4%	91.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Cortes	2017	36.6%	93.1%	3.7%
Philippines	Cotabato City	2000	78.8%	85.5%	70.8%
Philippines	Cotabato City	2017	43.4%	49.8%	37.6%
Philippines	Cuartero	2000	17.2%	41.1%	4.8%
Philippines	Cuartero	2017	6.4%	23.3%	0.8%
Philippines	Cuenca	2000	80.3%	97.2%	47.0%
Philippines	Cuenca	2017	46.3%	83.4%	12.5%
Philippines	Culaba	2000	83.4%	98.3%	57.2%
Philippines	Culaba	2017	63.9%	88.1%	42.4%
Philippines	Culasi	2000	18.7%	61.6%	1.7%
Philippines	Culasi	2017	10.7%	48.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Culion	2000	46.8%	86.7%	15.6%
Philippines	Culion	2017	30.7%	75.6%	6.3%
Philippines	Currimao	2000	0.7%	4.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Currimao	2017	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Cuyapo	2000	68.5%	84.3%	47.2%
Philippines	Cuyapo	2017	35.9%	57.5%	18.6%
Philippines	Cuyo	2000	41.1%	68.4%	16.3%
Philippines	Cuyo	2017	20.8%	46.0%	4.1%
Philippines	Daanbantayan	2000	46.0%	97.9%	2.6%
Philippines	Daanbantayan	2017	30.6%	91.2%	0.4%
Philippines	Daet	2000	78.9%	91.8%	55.0%
Philippines	Daet	2017	40.7%	64.0%	22.4%
Philippines	Dagami	2000	82.5%	93.5%	66.8%
Philippines	Dagami	2017	62.3%	79.9%	47.6%
Philippines	Dagohoy	2000	57.2%	97.2%	8.7%
Philippines	Dagohoy	2017	34.5%	88.9%	2.2%
Philippines	Daguioan	2000	43.6%	98.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Daguioan	2017	26.8%	89.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Dagupan City	2000	49.2%	53.2%	44.4%
Philippines	Dagupan City	2017	16.4%	19.7%	13.4%
Philippines	Dalaguete	2000	84.8%	96.8%	39.4%
Philippines	Dalaguete	2017	70.3%	93.2%	26.5%
Philippines	Damulog	2000	40.2%	85.7%	1.8%
Philippines	Damulog	2017	25.6%	72.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Danao	2000	53.1%	97.5%	3.2%
Philippines	Danao	2017	38.4%	92.4%	0.6%
Philippines	Danao City	2000	50.6%	93.6%	5.9%
Philippines	Danao City	2017	30.8%	86.8%	1.2%
Philippines	Danao Lake	2000	48.8%	99.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Danao Lake	2017	32.0%	99.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Dangcagan	2000	75.8%	91.4%	54.3%
Philippines	Dangcagan	2017	50.5%	73.1%	38.2%
Philippines	Danglas	2000	31.5%	81.6%	1.3%
Philippines	Danglas	2017	13.9%	58.5%	0.5%
Philippines	Dao	2000	0.9%	2.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Dao	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Dapa	2000	71.0%	84.7%	51.2%
Philippines	Dapa	2017	50.4%	70.5%	29.4%
Philippines	Dapao Lake	2000	3.9%	19.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Dapao Lake	2017	0.5%	2.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Dapitan City	2000	69.4%	76.0%	62.6%
Philippines	Dapitan City	2017	50.9%	59.6%	38.2%
Philippines	Daraga	2000	44.2%	49.6%	37.6%
Philippines	Daraga	2017	18.5%	24.1%	13.1%
Philippines	Daram	2000	71.5%	97.6%	42.5%
Philippines	Daram	2017	56.5%	89.3%	27.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Dasmariñas	2000	78.5%	80.8%	76.5%
Philippines	Dasmariñas	2017	37.9%	40.1%	36.0%
Philippines	Dasol	2000	39.8%	93.0%	1.3%
Philippines	Dasol	2017	25.4%	83.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Datu Abdul-lah Sanki	2000	32.6%	57.4%	14.7%
Philippines	Datu Abdul-lah Sanki	2017	7.8%	25.5%	1.2%
Philippines	Datu Anggal Midtimbang	2000	49.6%	97.3%	1.2%
Philippines	Datu Anggal Midtimbang	2017	26.6%	92.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Datu Blah T. Sinsuat	2000	48.6%	71.3%	26.9%
Philippines	Datu Blah T. Sinsuat	2017	41.8%	59.7%	29.2%
Philippines	Datu Odin Sinsuat	2000	64.2%	89.1%	35.9%
Philippines	Datu Odin Sinsuat	2017	51.4%	71.4%	35.8%
Philippines	Datu Paglas	2000	23.7%	47.5%	15.1%
Philippines	Datu Paglas	2017	13.2%	26.5%	5.7%
Philippines	Datu Piang	2000	14.3%	26.1%	7.0%
Philippines	Datu Piang	2017	2.5%	9.8%	0.7%
Philippines	Datu Saudi-Ampatuan	2000	23.4%	49.5%	7.1%
Philippines	Datu Saudi-Ampatuan	2017	7.9%	26.3%	1.0%
Philippines	Datu Unsay	2000	13.0%	41.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Datu Unsay	2017	6.3%	32.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Dauin	2000	68.7%	94.5%	30.8%
Philippines	Dauin	2017	45.2%	81.4%	18.6%
Philippines	Daus	2000	30.5%	80.9%	0.5%
Philippines	Daus	2017	13.6%	61.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Davao City	2000	72.1%	76.9%	67.7%
Philippines	Davao City	2017	50.6%	55.3%	46.5%
Philippines	Del Carmen	2000	68.8%	77.5%	58.3%
Philippines	Del Carmen	2017	47.9%	58.7%	38.2%
Philippines	Del Gallego	2000	43.0%	91.9%	1.3%
Philippines	Del Gallego	2017	26.2%	80.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Delfin Albano	2000	45.4%	93.9%	4.7%
Philippines	Delfin Albano	2017	26.0%	80.6%	1.0%
Philippines	Diadi	2000	72.0%	80.1%	57.8%
Philippines	Diadi	2017	49.1%	65.2%	30.8%
Philippines	Diffun	2000	46.1%	52.1%	37.1%
Philippines	Diffun	2017	21.4%	33.6%	13.1%
Philippines	Digos City	2000	64.3%	72.7%	49.2%
Philippines	Digos City	2017	29.7%	41.3%	15.4%
Philippines	Dilasag	2000	42.4%	84.9%	5.5%
Philippines	Dilasag	2017	25.4%	69.1%	1.2%
Philippines	Dimasalang	2000	25.4%	59.9%	5.2%
Philippines	Dimasalang	2017	9.5%	33.9%	1.0%
Philippines	Dimataling	2000	48.9%	98.1%	2.0%
Philippines	Dimataling	2017	31.6%	91.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Dimiao	2000	49.4%	98.4%	1.6%
Philippines	Dimiao	2017	29.5%	93.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Dinagat	2000	20.5%	31.8%	15.9%
Philippines	Dinagat	2017	6.1%	9.0%	4.6%
Philippines	Dinalungan	2000	41.3%	97.0%	0.7%
Philippines	Dinalungan	2017	26.0%	88.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Dinalupihan	2000	70.4%	79.0%	60.2%
Philippines	Dinalupihan	2017	31.4%	44.5%	21.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Dinapigue	2000	49.1%	94.8%	6.0%
Philippines	Dinapigue	2017	33.8%	86.4%	2.4%
Philippines	Dinas	2000	59.9%	95.8%	15.7%
Philippines	Dinas	2017	34.8%	80.8%	4.0%
Philippines	Dingalan	2000	50.4%	93.0%	6.4%
Philippines	Dingalan	2017	33.7%	84.1%	2.5%
Philippines	Dingle	2000	59.1%	64.2%	53.4%
Philippines	Dingle	2017	33.9%	39.6%	24.0%
Philippines	Dingras	2000	66.5%	73.7%	52.2%
Philippines	Dingras	2017	38.2%	50.1%	16.2%
Philippines	Dipaculao	2000	50.0%	70.1%	27.5%
Philippines	Dipaculao	2017	21.3%	39.3%	7.8%
Philippines	Diplahan	2000	60.6%	88.3%	51.9%
Philippines	Diplahan	2017	47.4%	59.9%	40.8%
Philippines	Dipolog City	2000	29.8%	33.1%	25.6%
Philippines	Dipolog City	2017	15.4%	20.0%	11.6%
Philippines	Ditsaan-Ramain	2000	68.2%	97.0%	20.7%
Philippines	Ditsaan-Ramain	2017	48.2%	86.7%	10.7%
Philippines	Divilacan	2000	45.6%	95.1%	5.7%
Philippines	Divilacan	2017	29.7%	87.8%	1.4%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	14.7%	36.7%	7.2%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	9.0%	13.1%	5.9%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	55.8%	71.6%	46.1%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	4.0%	13.8%	1.6%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	39.9%	50.0%	30.9%
Philippines	Don Carlos	2000	77.6%	88.3%	59.8%
Philippines	Don Carlos	2017	52.4%	68.5%	37.8%
Philippines	Don Marcelino	2000	39.2%	79.1%	6.0%
Philippines	Don Marcelino	2017	20.1%	60.4%	0.9%
Philippines	Don Victoriano Chiongbian	2000	63.0%	97.8%	30.7%
Philippines	Don Victoriano Chiongbian	2017	50.6%	90.8%	25.9%
Philippines	Doña Remedios Trinidad	2000	35.4%	67.5%	6.7%
Philippines	Doña Remedios Trinidad	2017	22.9%	49.8%	3.3%
Philippines	Donsol	2000	44.9%	95.1%	1.4%
Philippines	Donsol	2017	29.0%	87.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Duenas	2000	29.6%	53.9%	15.9%
Philippines	Duenas	2017	13.3%	28.6%	7.1%
Philippines	Duero	2000	44.8%	96.1%	6.1%
Philippines	Duero	2017	25.7%	86.0%	1.1%
Philippines	Dulag	2000	45.0%	90.2%	9.6%
Philippines	Dulag	2017	19.8%	68.7%	1.7%
Philippines	Dumaguete City	2000	82.4%	95.5%	60.2%
Philippines	Dumaguete City	2017	48.5%	75.9%	20.7%
Philippines	Dumalag	2000	43.9%	83.4%	5.4%
Philippines	Dumalag	2017	20.2%	66.4%	0.8%
Philippines	Dumalinao	2000	88.9%	98.9%	62.4%
Philippines	Dumalinao	2017	72.6%	92.3%	37.1%
Philippines	Dumalneg	2000	50.0%	99.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Dumalneg	2017	29.8%	96.5%	0.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Dumangas	2000	49.5%	87.9%	10.8%
Philippines	Dumangas	2017	21.5%	64.7%	1.3%
Philippines	Dumanjug	2000	54.0%	95.1%	4.5%
Philippines	Dumanjug	2017	35.5%	89.7%	1.8%
Philippines	Dumaran	2000	39.5%	70.4%	8.8%
Philippines	Dumaran	2017	24.1%	57.4%	2.4%
Philippines	Dumarao	2000	27.5%	65.8%	3.9%
Philippines	Dumarao	2017	12.2%	40.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Dumingag	2000	59.7%	93.1%	23.5%
Philippines	Dumingag	2017	39.4%	80.8%	8.6%
Philippines	Dupax Del Norte	2000	56.4%	86.8%	30.9%
Philippines	Dupax Del Norte	2017	29.4%	63.4%	10.2%
Philippines	Dupax Del Sur	2000	54.9%	81.9%	30.2%
Philippines	Dupax Del Sur	2017	27.8%	56.1%	9.7%
Philippines	Echague	2000	19.6%	30.0%	12.3%
Philippines	Echague	2017	8.8%	18.8%	4.4%
Philippines	El Nido	2000	48.9%	82.8%	19.5%
Philippines	El Nido	2017	29.5%	64.4%	6.5%
Philippines	El Salvador City	2000	73.5%	95.4%	37.5%
Philippines	El Salvador City	2017	49.4%	80.3%	22.7%
Philippines	Enrile	2000	51.9%	71.6%	33.3%
Philippines	Enrile	2017	23.0%	44.0%	10.1%
Philippines	Enrique B. Magalona	2000	58.6%	84.3%	25.0%
Philippines	Enrique B. Magalona	2017	28.0%	60.6%	5.8%
Philippines	Enrique Villanueva	2000	72.3%	95.1%	31.9%
Philippines	Enrique Villanueva	2017	40.1%	80.2%	10.7%
Philippines	Escalante City	2000	34.9%	68.9%	7.0%
Philippines	Escalante City	2017	16.2%	52.3%	1.2%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	25.8%	70.1%	0.5%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	69.9%	91.7%	39.1%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	36.1%	58.6%	16.3%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	21.0%	38.3%	4.8%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	53.0%	81.5%	24.0%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	13.8%	51.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Estancia	2000	47.2%	99.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Estancia	2017	31.0%	98.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Famy	2000	50.7%	88.7%	12.4%
Philippines	Famy	2017	20.5%	62.0%	1.8%
Philippines	Ferrol	2000	10.5%	33.1%	3.7%
Philippines	Ferrol	2017	2.0%	6.5%	0.7%
Philippines	Flora	2000	42.6%	72.9%	17.9%
Philippines	Flora	2017	19.2%	53.0%	3.8%
Philippines	Floridablanca	2000	53.0%	90.2%	16.5%
Philippines	Floridablanca	2017	28.0%	71.9%	4.7%
Philippines	Gabaldon	2000	57.5%	98.4%	11.0%
Philippines	Gabaldon	2017	40.9%	93.4%	4.7%
Philippines	Gainza	2000	61.8%	67.4%	56.5%
Philippines	Gainza	2017	19.0%	22.9%	15.6%
Philippines	Galimuyod	2000	69.5%	75.0%	61.2%
Philippines	Galimuyod	2017	30.1%	40.7%	18.2%
Philippines	Gamay	2000	20.4%	75.8%	0.6%
Philippines	Gamay	2017	9.8%	63.5%	0.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Gamu	2000	10.7%	32.4%	2.5%
Philippines	Gamu	2017	2.8%	11.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Ganassi	2000	16.0%	70.4%	1.3%
Philippines	Ganassi	2017	5.0%	41.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Gandara	2000	53.4%	95.6%	9.0%
Philippines	Gandara	2017	35.4%	85.9%	3.3%
Philippines	Gapan City	2000	77.2%	86.4%	66.9%
Philippines	Gapan City	2017	37.9%	49.1%	28.0%
Philippines	Garchitorena	2000	67.4%	95.4%	35.7%
Philippines	Garchitorena	2017	48.0%	83.1%	16.4%
Philippines	Garcia Hernandez	2000	63.1%	95.5%	19.2%
Philippines	Garcia Hernandez	2017	41.1%	87.5%	4.8%
Philippines	Gasán	2000	69.1%	96.2%	34.4%
Philippines	Gasán	2017	45.8%	85.5%	14.3%
Philippines	Gattaran	2000	21.5%	59.2%	3.2%
Philippines	Gattaran	2017	11.0%	42.1%	0.7%
Philippines	Gen. S. K. Pendatun	2000	24.5%	67.5%	1.6%
Philippines	Gen. S. K. Pendatun	2017	13.0%	47.9%	0.3%
Philippines	General Emilio Aguinaldo	2000	51.3%	99.5%	0.6%
Philippines	General Emilio Aguinaldo	2017	31.0%	97.3%	0.1%
Philippines	General Luna	2000	29.0%	82.5%	0.2%
Philippines	General Luna	2000	20.8%	34.5%	10.1%
Philippines	General Luna	2017	15.9%	70.2%	0.0%
Philippines	General Luna	2017	8.7%	18.9%	2.5%
Philippines	General Macarthur	2000	63.7%	99.5%	8.2%
Philippines	General Macarthur	2017	46.5%	97.6%	3.4%
Philippines	General Mamerto Natividad	2000	43.4%	52.6%	35.1%
Philippines	General Mamerto Natividad	2017	9.9%	13.7%	7.3%
Philippines	General Mariano Alvarez	2000	77.3%	80.3%	74.1%
Philippines	General Mariano Alvarez	2017	32.7%	36.2%	29.2%
Philippines	General Nakar	2000	51.0%	84.7%	17.7%
Philippines	General Nakar	2017	34.3%	74.8%	7.3%
Philippines	General Santos City	2000	84.2%	88.3%	79.5%
Philippines	General Santos City	2017	61.3%	67.5%	53.2%
Philippines	General Tinio	2000	61.9%	94.9%	13.9%
Philippines	General Tinio	2017	36.1%	82.4%	3.9%
Philippines	General Trias	2000	79.7%	82.1%	77.7%
Philippines	General Trias	2017	39.9%	43.2%	37.1%
Philippines	Gerona	2000	64.8%	69.8%	58.2%
Philippines	Gerona	2017	38.9%	44.6%	30.7%
Philippines	Gigaquit	2000	73.8%	99.6%	12.1%
Philippines	Gigaquit	2017	55.6%	97.6%	6.2%
Philippines	Gigmoto	2000	84.4%	94.5%	62.6%
Philippines	Gigmoto	2017	58.0%	80.2%	26.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Ginatilan	2000	44.3%	99.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Ginatilan	2017	27.3%	98.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Gingoog City	2000	82.2%	87.5%	73.2%
Philippines	Gingoog City	2017	64.1%	76.7%	50.4%
Philippines	Giporlos	2000	56.6%	99.9%	3.7%
Philippines	Giporlos	2017	39.7%	99.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Gitagum	2000	87.1%	91.8%	80.2%
Philippines	Gitagum	2017	73.5%	81.5%	61.7%
Philippines	Glan	2000	56.3%	77.7%	32.6%
Philippines	Glan	2017	27.3%	49.0%	10.3%
Philippines	Gloria	2000	49.8%	66.7%	35.4%
Philippines	Gloria	2017	27.8%	41.4%	14.6%
Philippines	Goa	2000	68.9%	95.2%	29.1%
Philippines	Goa	2017	44.2%	81.2%	15.3%
Philippines	Godod	2000	49.0%	95.8%	0.9%
Philippines	Godod	2017	30.6%	84.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Gonzaga	2000	18.5%	48.4%	7.9%
Philippines	Gonzaga	2017	6.7%	24.8%	1.7%
Philippines	Governor Generoso	2000	51.8%	87.6%	10.2%
Philippines	Governor Generoso	2017	32.2%	72.5%	2.8%
Philippines	Gregorio Del Pilar	2000	95.0%	99.9%	73.6%
Philippines	Gregorio Del Pilar	2017	84.8%	99.5%	40.1%
Philippines	Guagua	2000	62.5%	83.6%	42.3%
Philippines	Guagua	2017	26.1%	53.5%	14.2%
Philippines	Gubat	2000	67.4%	96.4%	23.6%
Philippines	Gubat	2017	41.1%	84.8%	6.2%
Philippines	Guiguinto	2000	57.1%	64.3%	49.3%
Philippines	Guiguinto	2017	16.0%	20.0%	12.3%
Philippines	Guihulngan City	2000	43.3%	63.6%	25.8%
Philippines	Guihulngan City	2017	18.4%	37.3%	6.9%
Philippines	Guimba	2000	83.3%	99.8%	41.1%
Philippines	Guimba	2017	72.8%	99.2%	30.7%
Philippines	Guimbal	2000	20.8%	57.1%	1.8%
Philippines	Guimbal	2017	8.5%	38.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Guinayangan	2000	54.8%	92.5%	17.1%
Philippines	Guinayangan	2017	32.3%	78.2%	5.5%
Philippines	Guindulman	2000	68.5%	79.3%	60.4%
Philippines	Guindulman	2017	47.2%	57.9%	34.4%
Philippines	Guindulungan	2000	40.7%	92.2%	1.3%
Philippines	Guindulungan	2017	18.6%	78.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Guinobatan	2000	6.4%	14.9%	2.4%
Philippines	Guinobatan	2017	1.6%	4.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Guinsiliban	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.2%
Philippines	Guinsiliban	2017	98.6%	100.0%	94.0%
Philippines	Guipos	2000	69.2%	89.6%	37.9%
Philippines	Guipos	2017	30.0%	62.3%	11.3%
Philippines	Guiuan	2000	30.6%	50.3%	15.6%
Philippines	Guiuan	2017	14.5%	28.3%	5.1%
Philippines	Gumaca	2000	37.1%	83.8%	2.5%
Philippines	Gumaca	2017	22.4%	71.8%	0.4%
Philippines	Gutalac	2000	24.8%	57.3%	1.4%
Philippines	Gutalac	2017	13.2%	37.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Hadji Mohammad Ajul	2000	81.6%	99.5%	52.8%
Philippines	Hadji Mohammad Ajul	2017	67.2%	95.6%	28.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Hadji Panglima Tahil	2000	66.5%	88.8%	44.5%
Philippines	Hadji Panglima Tahil	2017	50.4%	77.2%	26.2%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2000	76.8%	94.9%	33.5%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2000	73.4%	79.0%	66.9%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2017	29.8%	36.0%	24.4%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2017	44.8%	80.5%	11.5%
Philippines	Hamtic	2000	42.1%	91.8%	3.4%
Philippines	Hamtic	2017	24.2%	75.1%	0.6%
Philippines	Hermosa	2000	70.7%	75.5%	66.2%
Philippines	Hermosa	2017	29.6%	34.8%	25.2%
Philippines	Hernani	2000	68.7%	95.9%	27.9%
Philippines	Hernani	2017	37.6%	81.7%	7.4%
Philippines	Hilongos	2000	74.5%	84.3%	65.6%
Philippines	Hilongos	2017	49.8%	60.1%	44.3%
Philippines	Himamaylan City	2000	47.4%	93.9%	5.4%
Philippines	Himamaylan City	2017	31.4%	85.8%	1.7%
Philippines	Hinabangan	2000	67.1%	93.1%	35.1%
Philippines	Hinabangan	2017	43.1%	77.1%	12.2%
Philippines	Hinatuan	2000	67.2%	93.9%	22.7%
Philippines	Hinatuan	2017	38.9%	80.1%	5.8%
Philippines	Hindang	2000	70.4%	99.7%	25.4%
Philippines	Hindang	2017	51.7%	98.0%	20.6%
Philippines	Hingyon	2000	50.9%	61.0%	41.5%
Philippines	Hingyon	2017	16.9%	23.7%	11.9%
Philippines	Hinigaran	2000	44.3%	72.4%	17.2%
Philippines	Hinigaran	2017	15.8%	38.8%	2.9%
Philippines	Hinoba-An	2000	46.0%	92.4%	1.8%
Philippines	Hinoba-An	2017	31.5%	85.0%	0.5%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2000	74.4%	95.9%	48.9%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2000	59.6%	100.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2017	49.0%	82.7%	20.5%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2017	40.4%	99.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Hinundayan	2000	61.6%	99.4%	4.1%
Philippines	Hinundayan	2017	40.4%	95.9%	0.7%
Philippines	Hungduan	2000	18.7%	55.5%	1.0%
Philippines	Hungduan	2017	9.5%	41.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Iba	2000	50.6%	98.5%	1.4%
Philippines	Iba	2017	33.7%	92.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Ibaan	2000	58.0%	76.5%	38.3%
Philippines	Ibaan	2017	19.3%	34.4%	9.6%
Philippines	Ibajay	2000	13.1%	47.0%	1.5%
Philippines	Ibajay	2017	5.0%	20.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Igbaras	2000	44.0%	65.2%	28.0%
Philippines	Igbaras	2017	22.8%	51.7%	10.5%
Philippines	Iguig	2000	25.2%	42.6%	15.3%
Philippines	Iguig	2017	13.1%	20.4%	7.8%
Philippines	Ilagan	2000	30.8%	68.4%	8.5%
Philippines	Ilagan	2017	14.0%	50.6%	2.3%
Philippines	Iligan City	2000	76.0%	80.6%	70.9%
Philippines	Iligan City	2017	37.7%	43.4%	33.9%
Philippines	Ilog	2000	52.0%	87.5%	16.1%
Philippines	Ilog	2017	27.8%	63.9%	3.6%
Philippines	Iloilo City	2000	57.8%	62.7%	53.4%
Philippines	Iloilo City	2017	16.7%	19.6%	14.2%
Philippines	Imelda	2000	80.0%	81.7%	78.1%
Philippines	Imelda	2017	49.0%	53.9%	43.7%
Philippines	Impasug-Ong	2000	54.7%	88.9%	10.8%
Philippines	Impasug-Ong	2017	38.0%	80.3%	3.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Imus	2000	58.4%	61.1%	55.6%
Philippines	Imus	2017	18.4%	20.5%	16.8%
Philippines	Inabanga	2000	78.0%	99.8%	29.2%
Philippines	Inabanga	2017	65.3%	99.5%	17.9%
Philippines	Indanan	2000	92.4%	96.3%	87.1%
Philippines	Indanan	2017	75.5%	84.0%	67.6%
Philippines	Indang	2000	74.2%	85.6%	59.2%
Philippines	Indang	2017	45.7%	56.7%	38.0%
Philippines	Infanta	2000	57.0%	95.5%	9.6%
Philippines	Infanta	2000	17.8%	56.4%	0.7%
Philippines	Infanta	2017	7.9%	30.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Infanta	2017	33.8%	80.5%	1.5%
Philippines	Initao	2000	40.3%	98.2%	0.4%
Philippines	Initao	2017	23.8%	90.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Inopacan	2000	68.9%	98.6%	15.4%
Philippines	Inopacan	2017	51.4%	94.4%	7.2%
Philippines	Ipil	2000	63.0%	75.3%	47.8%
Philippines	Ipil	2017	25.6%	39.4%	15.5%
Philippines	Iriga City	2000	33.9%	38.5%	24.8%
Philippines	Iriga City	2017	15.7%	24.6%	7.4%
Philippines	Irosin	2000	58.3%	73.7%	39.7%
Philippines	Irosin	2017	20.8%	37.4%	11.0%
Philippines	Isabel	2000	66.9%	98.5%	13.5%
Philippines	Isabel	2017	40.7%	94.3%	4.2%
Philippines	Isabela	2000	23.2%	61.1%	1.2%
Philippines	Isabela	2017	12.1%	43.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Isabela City	2000	78.1%	80.9%	75.1%
Philippines	Isabela City	2017	43.4%	46.9%	39.9%
Philippines	Isulan	2000	38.7%	46.7%	30.5%
Philippines	Isulan	2017	16.0%	25.7%	8.0%
Philippines	Itbayat	2000	68.5%	99.4%	14.9%
Philippines	Itbayat	2017	49.3%	96.3%	6.2%
Philippines	Itogon	2000	62.1%	70.5%	53.6%
Philippines	Itogon	2017	23.8%	32.9%	17.2%
Philippines	Ivana	2000	94.8%	97.6%	90.1%
Philippines	Ivana	2017	74.7%	84.8%	64.2%
Philippines	Ivisan	2000	29.5%	40.9%	21.8%
Philippines	Ivisan	2017	13.0%	18.1%	7.7%
Philippines	Jabonga	2000	58.7%	98.2%	8.5%
Philippines	Jabonga	2017	42.5%	92.0%	2.4%
Philippines	Jaen	2000	48.7%	56.8%	42.2%
Philippines	Jaen	2017	18.7%	23.7%	15.4%
Philippines	Jagna	2000	61.8%	99.0%	4.2%
Philippines	Jagna	2017	42.5%	93.8%	1.1%
Philippines	Jala-Jala	2000	34.7%	72.2%	8.0%
Philippines	Jala-Jala	2017	14.6%	47.6%	2.3%
Philippines	Jamindan	2000	27.9%	69.1%	3.0%
Philippines	Jamindan	2017	14.5%	55.4%	0.6%
Philippines	Janiuay	2000	54.4%	97.7%	5.6%
Philippines	Janiuay	2017	38.1%	92.2%	1.3%
Philippines	Jaro	2000	51.7%	97.7%	2.5%
Philippines	Jaro	2017	36.2%	91.8%	0.9%
Philippines	Jasaan	2000	87.9%	94.5%	70.1%
Philippines	Jasaan	2017	66.0%	80.6%	44.8%
Philippines	Javier	2000	73.1%	79.8%	63.9%
Philippines	Javier	2017	39.1%	50.7%	26.7%
Philippines	Jetafe	2000	43.2%	87.0%	5.0%
Philippines	Jetafe	2017	23.7%	69.8%	0.7%
Philippines	Jiabong	2000	70.9%	93.6%	35.7%
Philippines	Jiabong	2017	37.8%	73.5%	10.1%
Philippines	Jimalalud	2000	32.4%	75.1%	11.3%
Philippines	Jimalalud	2017	13.3%	48.6%	2.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Jimenez	2000	81.5%	94.1%	63.2%
Philippines	Jimenez	2017	48.3%	70.1%	29.3%
Philippines	Jipapad	2000	81.9%	95.7%	61.9%
Philippines	Jipapad	2017	74.3%	92.0%	55.8%
Philippines	Jolo	2000	67.4%	72.7%	62.4%
Philippines	Jolo	2017	24.5%	26.7%	22.1%
Philippines	Jomalig	2000	46.5%	99.6%	0.6%
Philippines	Jomalig	2017	31.6%	97.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Jones	2000	26.1%	52.0%	3.8%
Philippines	Jones	2017	13.9%	37.5%	0.7%
Philippines	Jordan	2000	30.1%	37.5%	23.0%
Philippines	Jordan	2017	8.1%	12.3%	5.3%
Philippines	Jose Abad Santos	2000	42.4%	68.5%	14.1%
Philippines	Jose Abad Santos	2017	26.7%	52.5%	4.1%
Philippines	Jose Dalman	2000	31.0%	63.4%	7.4%
Philippines	Jose Dalman	2017	12.1%	36.3%	1.1%
Philippines	Jose Pangani-ban	2000	64.4%	74.8%	52.2%
Philippines	Jose Pangani-ban	2017	28.4%	41.6%	19.7%
Philippines	Josefina	2000	95.6%	99.4%	87.6%
Philippines	Josefina	2017	82.5%	96.2%	52.1%
Philippines	Jovellar	2000	54.1%	88.9%	12.2%
Philippines	Jovellar	2017	30.7%	80.2%	2.4%
Philippines	Juban	2000	84.1%	88.2%	78.5%
Philippines	Juban	2017	59.0%	64.3%	54.6%
Philippines	Julita	2000	51.7%	78.8%	22.6%
Philippines	Julita	2017	22.0%	46.2%	6.0%
Philippines	Kabacan	2000	57.8%	65.9%	48.7%
Philippines	Kabacan	2017	26.7%	38.5%	18.1%
Philippines	Kabankalan City	2000	39.6%	74.3%	9.7%
Philippines	Kabankalan City	2017	23.9%	57.9%	3.0%
Philippines	Kabasalan	2000	35.9%	90.2%	1.0%
Philippines	Kabasalan	2017	22.2%	81.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Kabayan	2000	46.2%	85.0%	10.6%
Philippines	Kabayan	2017	24.1%	56.7%	2.5%
Philippines	Kabugao	2000	63.9%	88.9%	29.2%
Philippines	Kabugao	2017	43.5%	74.6%	13.6%
Philippines	Kabuntalan	2000	65.7%	92.6%	14.4%
Philippines	Kabuntalan	2017	38.1%	82.2%	2.5%
Philippines	Kadingilan	2000	81.7%	97.5%	59.1%
Philippines	Kadingilan	2017	60.2%	83.8%	41.2%
Philippines	Kalamansig	2000	10.5%	26.3%	4.3%
Philippines	Kalamansig	2017	4.6%	12.6%	1.4%
Philippines	Kalawit	2000	46.5%	90.9%	7.2%
Philippines	Kalawit	2017	28.5%	74.2%	1.5%
Philippines	Kalayaan	2000	19.9%	58.2%	0.4%
Philippines	Kalayaan	2017	9.0%	39.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Kalibato Lake	2000	3.1%	15.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Kalibato Lake	2017	0.5%	2.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Kalibo	2000	83.4%	87.9%	76.6%
Philippines	Kalibo	2017	47.1%	59.4%	37.8%
Philippines	Kalilangan	2000	16.0%	35.4%	2.0%
Philippines	Kalilangan	2017	9.1%	26.8%	0.4%
Philippines	Kalingalan Caluang	2000	21.6%	64.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Kalingalan Caluang	2017	11.9%	55.7%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Kalookan City	2000	75.1%	76.7%	73.6%
Philippines	Kalookan City	2017	29.5%	30.7%	28.2%
Philippines	Kananga	2000	50.1%	90.5%	11.5%
Philippines	Kananga	2017	25.5%	69.2%	2.2%
Philippines	Kapai	2000	81.8%	95.2%	59.5%
Philippines	Kapai	2017	70.2%	85.1%	47.0%
Philippines	Kapalong	2000	62.6%	78.1%	42.1%
Philippines	Kapalong	2017	32.8%	51.7%	16.4%
Philippines	Kapangan	2000	33.6%	74.1%	5.4%
Philippines	Kapangan	2017	15.4%	49.6%	1.1%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2000	83.1%	93.8%	68.0%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2000	31.7%	82.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2017	51.9%	71.7%	33.5%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2017	14.3%	59.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Kasibu	2000	41.6%	86.3%	4.5%
Philippines	Kasibu	2017	26.2%	72.0%	1.0%
Philippines	Katipunan	2000	29.9%	46.9%	17.0%
Philippines	Katipunan	2017	14.2%	28.3%	6.6%
Philippines	Kauswagan	2000	63.3%	85.4%	53.1%
Philippines	Kauswagan	2017	26.0%	44.6%	18.8%
Philippines	Kawayan	2000	86.7%	99.6%	61.1%
Philippines	Kawayan	2017	73.0%	97.0%	46.8%
Philippines	Kawit	2000	10.3%	13.7%	8.7%
Philippines	Kawit	2017	3.4%	4.2%	2.6%
Philippines	Kayapa	2000	47.5%	84.0%	13.0%
Philippines	Kayapa	2017	26.8%	61.2%	3.5%
Philippines	Kiamba	2000	40.9%	71.0%	14.6%
Philippines	Kiamba	2017	17.6%	40.7%	3.4%
Philippines	Kiangan	2000	52.4%	74.4%	31.1%
Philippines	Kiangan	2017	20.9%	42.7%	9.1%
Philippines	Kibawe	2000	60.3%	88.9%	45.4%
Philippines	Kibawe	2017	45.5%	68.1%	35.4%
Philippines	Kiblawan	2000	67.0%	73.8%	59.3%
Philippines	Kiblawan	2017	29.5%	35.4%	23.4%
Philippines	Kibungan	2000	50.4%	98.9%	2.1%
Philippines	Kibungan	2017	33.9%	94.5%	0.4%
Philippines	Kidapawan City	2000	71.2%	78.0%	63.8%
Philippines	Kidapawan City	2017	51.0%	57.4%	44.3%
Philippines	Kinoguitan	2000	96.5%	99.5%	85.6%
Philippines	Kinoguitan	2017	89.9%	97.6%	74.8%
Philippines	Kitaotao	2000	44.3%	74.3%	27.5%
Philippines	Kitaotao	2017	25.7%	54.2%	15.0%
Philippines	Kitcharao	2000	74.2%	99.9%	5.9%
Philippines	Kitcharao	2017	59.0%	99.3%	0.9%
Philippines	Kolambugan	2000	70.1%	98.1%	17.4%
Philippines	Kolambugan	2017	44.5%	91.7%	3.9%
Philippines	Koronadal City	2000	44.3%	49.9%	39.9%
Philippines	Koronadal City	2017	26.4%	30.4%	20.8%
Philippines	Kumalarang	2000	81.7%	92.1%	64.7%
Philippines	Kumalarang	2017	56.6%	73.5%	37.1%
Philippines	La Carlota City	2000	24.1%	62.5%	3.0%
Philippines	La Carlota City	2017	10.6%	40.9%	0.5%
Philippines	La Castellana	2000	32.4%	65.2%	10.7%
Philippines	La Castellana	2017	14.6%	50.6%	2.2%
Philippines	La Libertad	2000	17.5%	38.9%	5.7%
Philippines	La Libertad	2000	47.9%	96.1%	5.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	La Libertad	2017	5.5%	15.1%	1.3%
Philippines	La Libertad	2017	28.9%	85.6%	0.9%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	53.9%	84.1%	23.1%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	42.7%	50.9%	35.3%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	58.9%	99.3%	1.7%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	47.1%	76.5%	13.1%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	19.5%	28.8%	12.0%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	34.7%	73.3%	10.7%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	39.6%	96.3%	0.3%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	23.4%	58.0%	2.4%
Philippines	La Trinidad	2000	71.0%	72.8%	69.0%
Philippines	La Trinidad	2017	24.2%	26.1%	22.5%
Philippines	Laak	2000	43.2%	66.9%	21.4%
Philippines	Laak	2017	22.4%	45.6%	6.6%
Philippines	Labangan	2000	39.8%	61.0%	21.2%
Philippines	Labangan	2017	19.8%	34.0%	9.2%
Philippines	Labason	2000	41.6%	81.4%	11.7%
Philippines	Labason	2017	16.8%	48.8%	2.0%
Philippines	Labo	2000	52.3%	60.9%	44.9%
Philippines	Labo	2017	24.7%	31.8%	19.6%
Philippines	Labrador	2000	41.3%	97.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Labrador	2017	25.9%	90.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Lacub	2000	48.1%	94.5%	2.7%
Philippines	Lacub	2017	31.2%	87.0%	0.4%
Philippines	Lagangilang	2000	41.2%	50.8%	33.8%
Philippines	Lagangilang	2017	21.1%	26.8%	17.1%
Philippines	Lagawe	2000	61.8%	72.4%	49.2%
Philippines	Lagawe	2017	27.9%	38.0%	16.0%
Philippines	Lagayan	2000	9.7%	41.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Lagayan	2017	5.0%	24.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Lagonglong	2000	93.1%	98.8%	62.1%
Philippines	Lagonglong	2017	77.3%	93.9%	41.6%
Philippines	Lagonoy	2000	59.5%	90.5%	23.8%
Philippines	Lagonoy	2017	32.9%	68.0%	7.2%
Philippines	Laguindingan	2000	90.0%	93.0%	86.0%
Philippines	Laguindingan	2017	70.5%	78.9%	58.4%
Philippines	Laguna lake	2000	67.2%	72.0%	62.7%
Philippines	Laguna lake	2017	27.4%	33.1%	23.7%
Philippines	Lake Sebu	2000	21.9%	41.0%	6.7%
Philippines	Lake Sebu	2017	13.2%	32.6%	1.8%
Philippines	Lakewood	2000	56.9%	97.5%	10.6%
Philippines	Lakewood	2017	33.8%	88.4%	3.2%
Philippines	Lakewood	2000	54.2%	99.5%	1.0%
Philippines	Lakewood	2017	31.1%	96.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Lal-Lo	2000	14.9%	48.3%	1.3%
Philippines	Lal-Lo	2017	7.0%	30.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Lala	2000	65.8%	70.7%	60.2%
Philippines	Lala	2017	28.2%	32.7%	24.6%
Philippines	Lambayong	2000	46.3%	82.3%	5.1%
Philippines	Lambayong	2017	29.3%	72.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Lambunao	2000	47.0%	79.7%	16.4%
Philippines	Lambunao	2017	21.7%	51.2%	2.7%
Philippines	Lamitan City	2000	88.6%	90.7%	86.3%
Philippines	Lamitan City	2017	57.8%	61.0%	54.7%
Philippines	Lamut	2000	80.1%	98.6%	41.6%
Philippines	Lamut	2017	68.2%	95.0%	31.4%
Philippines	Lanao Lake	2000	37.1%	62.6%	18.4%
Philippines	Lanao Lake	2017	20.8%	41.8%	11.8%
Philippines	Langiden	2000	85.2%	88.3%	81.9%
Philippines	Langiden	2017	52.3%	58.1%	45.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Languyan	2000	31.8%	68.7%	4.3%
Philippines	Languyan	2017	19.2%	59.0%	1.1%
Philippines	Lantapan	2000	65.6%	98.2%	21.1%
Philippines	Lantapan	2017	44.3%	90.9%	8.4%
Philippines	Lantawan	2000	68.4%	88.3%	46.7%
Philippines	Lantawan	2017	40.8%	63.7%	20.6%
Philippines	Lanuza	2000	49.7%	80.4%	19.3%
Philippines	Lanuza	2017	21.6%	55.4%	4.1%
Philippines	Laoac	2000	65.9%	92.0%	29.1%
Philippines	Laoac	2017	41.8%	80.0%	9.8%
Philippines	Laoag City	2000	57.9%	63.2%	53.0%
Philippines	Laoag City	2017	19.3%	22.7%	16.2%
Philippines	Laoang	2000	11.0%	37.8%	2.1%
Philippines	Laoang	2017	4.0%	16.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Lapinig	2000	40.1%	51.2%	30.2%
Philippines	Lapinig	2017	14.4%	19.9%	9.7%
Philippines	Lapu-Lapu City	2000	31.9%	36.0%	28.6%
Philippines	Lapu-Lapu City	2017	5.9%	7.5%	4.9%
Philippines	Lapuyan	2000	26.2%	68.6%	5.7%
Philippines	Lapuyan	2017	10.2%	34.0%	1.7%
Philippines	Larena	2000	86.1%	89.4%	82.1%
Philippines	Larena	2017	46.9%	53.5%	41.3%
Philippines	Las Navas	2000	75.5%	99.2%	41.3%
Philippines	Las Navas	2017	61.0%	96.0%	30.7%
Philippines	Las Nieves	2000	71.5%	83.8%	56.9%
Philippines	Las Nieves	2017	49.7%	63.6%	38.5%
Philippines	Las Piñas	2000	52.8%	55.4%	50.5%
Philippines	Las Piñas	2017	15.2%	16.4%	14.0%
Philippines	Lasam	2000	22.7%	67.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Lasam	2017	12.9%	52.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Laua-An	2000	73.1%	95.7%	18.8%
Philippines	Laua-An	2017	50.1%	86.3%	5.6%
Philippines	Laur	2000	66.5%	93.8%	33.1%
Philippines	Laur	2017	36.1%	78.6%	7.7%
Philippines	Laurel	2000	74.3%	83.4%	57.2%
Philippines	Laurel	2017	41.0%	56.1%	24.1%
Philippines	Lavezares	2000	55.6%	68.5%	48.1%
Philippines	Lavezares	2017	35.5%	45.6%	23.5%
Philippines	Lawaan	2000	40.3%	97.0%	0.9%
Philippines	Lawaan	2017	23.7%	93.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Lazi	2000	65.1%	79.7%	54.0%
Philippines	Lazi	2017	36.9%	45.3%	30.7%
Philippines	Lebak	2000	39.2%	78.4%	6.1%
Philippines	Lebak	2017	23.3%	64.0%	1.5%
Philippines	Leganes	2000	50.3%	55.9%	44.1%
Philippines	Leganes	2017	20.7%	23.9%	16.7%
Philippines	Legazpi City	2000	59.3%	64.0%	53.1%
Philippines	Legazpi City	2017	30.5%	38.8%	21.8%
Philippines	Lemery	2000	77.5%	99.4%	26.1%
Philippines	Lemery	2000	30.8%	78.3%	1.4%
Philippines	Lemery	2017	61.2%	96.9%	15.6%
Philippines	Lemery	2017	16.9%	68.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Leon	2000	65.0%	89.8%	24.2%
Philippines	Leon	2017	35.2%	69.8%	5.5%
Philippines	Leyte	2000	41.3%	90.0%	10.7%
Philippines	Leyte	2017	23.9%	76.7%	3.7%
Philippines	Lezo	2000	77.3%	80.4%	73.6%
Philippines	Lezo	2017	33.4%	37.8%	29.5%
Philippines	Lian	2000	51.7%	99.0%	1.9%
Philippines	Lian	2017	34.4%	94.7%	0.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Lianga	2000	60.6%	99.5%	3.5%
Philippines	Lianga	2017	39.8%	96.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Libacao	2000	45.4%	96.4%	2.3%
Philippines	Libacao	2017	30.7%	89.0%	0.3%
Philippines	Libagon	2000	52.7%	89.4%	21.6%
Philippines	Libagon	2017	24.1%	67.0%	6.2%
Philippines	Libertad	2000	63.7%	95.4%	24.2%
Philippines	Libertad	2000	46.4%	72.0%	19.2%
Philippines	Libertad	2017	22.3%	52.5%	3.7%
Philippines	Libertad	2017	37.0%	80.1%	7.4%
Philippines	Libjo	2000	86.9%	97.8%	63.5%
Philippines	Libjo	2017	67.2%	85.4%	47.0%
Philippines	Libmanan	2000	25.9%	59.7%	4.5%
Philippines	Libmanan	2017	14.6%	45.2%	0.9%
Philippines	Libon	2000	33.1%	52.1%	20.6%
Philippines	Libon	2017	11.0%	26.0%	5.2%
Philippines	Libona	2000	72.6%	78.1%	69.1%
Philippines	Libona	2017	54.4%	58.8%	51.0%
Philippines	Libungan	2000	52.5%	72.2%	33.5%
Philippines	Libungan	2017	22.2%	42.8%	8.9%
Philippines	Licab	2000	50.3%	99.7%	2.2%
Philippines	Licab	2017	35.7%	97.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Licuan-Baay	2000	61.5%	83.6%	39.3%
Philippines	Licuan-Baay	2017	35.2%	50.4%	23.0%
Philippines	Lidlidda	2000	41.3%	56.4%	26.7%
Philippines	Lidlidda	2017	12.9%	24.5%	4.9%
Philippines	Ligao City	2000	10.3%	20.5%	3.7%
Philippines	Ligao City	2017	4.4%	13.0%	0.8%
Philippines	Lila	2000	49.1%	99.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Lila	2017	30.6%	98.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Liliw	2000	60.4%	93.8%	18.1%
Philippines	Liliw	2017	37.5%	82.2%	13.3%
Philippines	Liloan	2000	44.3%	91.2%	4.0%
Philippines	Liloan	2000	60.6%	92.0%	19.1%
Philippines	Liloan	2017	21.0%	79.5%	0.8%
Philippines	Liloan	2017	31.5%	69.7%	5.4%
Philippines	Liloy	2000	49.9%	98.5%	3.1%
Philippines	Liloy	2017	32.1%	92.3%	0.5%
Philippines	Limasawa	2000	51.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Limasawa	2017	35.2%	99.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Limay	2000	83.4%	96.1%	58.1%
Philippines	Limay	2017	55.4%	78.2%	37.8%
Philippines	Linamon	2000	79.9%	88.1%	74.1%
Philippines	Linamon	2017	36.4%	46.7%	29.7%
Philippines	Linapacan	2000	46.4%	85.8%	7.6%
Philippines	Linapacan	2017	30.5%	72.6%	2.9%
Philippines	Lingayen	2000	44.8%	99.2%	0.8%
Philippines	Lingayen	2017	26.4%	94.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Lingig	2000	49.2%	93.2%	6.3%
Philippines	Lingig	2017	33.7%	84.6%	1.6%
Philippines	Lipa City	2000	89.1%	97.2%	74.4%
Philippines	Lipa City	2017	71.4%	90.1%	39.4%
Philippines	Llanera	2000	60.6%	90.1%	24.2%
Philippines	Llanera	2017	29.7%	69.1%	4.9%
Philippines	Llorente	2000	62.1%	96.2%	17.0%
Philippines	Llorente	2017	37.5%	87.6%	6.0%
Philippines	Loay	2000	73.7%	96.3%	29.3%
Philippines	Loay	2017	42.6%	83.4%	15.6%
Philippines	Lobo	2000	59.4%	95.3%	8.2%
Philippines	Lobo	2017	39.9%	87.2%	2.2%
Philippines	Loboc	2000	58.3%	78.0%	37.1%
Philippines	Loboc	2017	22.4%	45.9%	9.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Looc	2000	49.4%	93.6%	5.4%
Philippines	Looc	2000	31.3%	78.0%	5.3%
Philippines	Looc	2017	30.4%	76.7%	1.0%
Philippines	Looc	2017	13.4%	52.0%	1.0%
Philippines	Loon	2000	52.5%	98.2%	3.0%
Philippines	Loon	2017	33.9%	93.4%	0.7%
Philippines	Lope de Vega	2000	90.3%	98.1%	74.2%
Philippines	Lope de Vega	2017	72.3%	88.7%	49.3%
Philippines	Lopez	2000	46.2%	91.5%	4.9%
Philippines	Lopez	2017	28.1%	73.7%	1.3%
Philippines	Lopez Jaena	2000	81.4%	93.6%	53.9%
Philippines	Lopez Jaena	2017	60.0%	80.1%	35.4%
Philippines	Loreto	2000	63.1%	97.0%	12.5%
Philippines	Loreto	2000	30.2%	58.3%	8.7%
Philippines	Loreto	2017	16.7%	43.6%	2.9%
Philippines	Loreto	2017	40.1%	88.1%	2.9%
Philippines	Los Baños	2000	73.0%	79.9%	66.9%
Philippines	Los Baños	2017	32.8%	42.1%	27.6%
Philippines	Luba	2000	31.9%	63.1%	12.7%
Philippines	Luba	2017	13.5%	33.6%	6.2%
Philippines	Lubang	2000	45.6%	93.2%	1.9%
Philippines	Lubang	2017	29.6%	79.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Lubao	2000	57.0%	96.1%	10.5%
Philippines	Lubao	2017	30.2%	85.7%	2.8%
Philippines	Lubuagan	2000	65.0%	68.6%	61.4%
Philippines	Lubuagan	2017	51.0%	58.2%	32.6%
Philippines	Lucban	2000	9.3%	63.6%	0.5%
Philippines	Lucban	2017	4.5%	32.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Lucena City	2000	77.1%	84.4%	70.1%
Philippines	Lucena City	2017	40.1%	47.4%	34.7%
Philippines	Lugait	2000	20.9%	82.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Lugait	2017	6.4%	39.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Lugus	2000	68.0%	89.0%	44.4%
Philippines	Lugus	2017	28.5%	52.6%	10.9%
Philippines	Luisiana	2000	70.3%	99.8%	17.5%
Philippines	Luisiana	2017	48.2%	98.1%	5.3%
Philippines	Lumba-Bayabao	2000	24.6%	50.1%	11.4%
Philippines	Lumba-Bayabao	2017	12.7%	28.2%	5.1%
Philippines	Lumbaca Un-ayan	2000	23.6%	99.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Lumbaca Un-ayan	2017	11.6%	94.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Lumban	2000	37.7%	55.0%	24.4%
Philippines	Lumban	2017	17.6%	26.3%	7.5%
Philippines	Lumbatan	2000	11.1%	58.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Lumbatan	2017	4.4%	34.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Lumbayanague	2000	5.6%	30.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Lumbayanague	2017	0.9%	7.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Luna	2000	40.3%	62.7%	25.0%
Philippines	Luna	2000	41.4%	54.7%	26.5%
Philippines	Luna	2000	5.0%	12.3%	2.3%
Philippines	Luna	2017	15.8%	34.7%	6.2%
Philippines	Luna	2017	1.0%	2.1%	0.5%
Philippines	Luna	2017	14.8%	26.4%	6.2%
Philippines	Lupao	2000	67.2%	95.2%	27.8%
Philippines	Lupao	2017	40.7%	80.6%	7.9%
Philippines	Lupi	2000	56.1%	91.4%	16.3%
Philippines	Lupi	2017	35.6%	73.4%	5.7%
Philippines	Lupon	2000	34.2%	65.3%	3.6%
Philippines	Lupon	2017	19.6%	52.3%	0.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Lutayan	2000	2.0%	4.9%	0.9%
Philippines	Lutayan	2017	0.6%	1.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Luuk	2000	79.2%	89.5%	59.0%
Philippines	Luuk	2017	52.6%	63.8%	39.4%
Philippines	M'Lang	2000	64.2%	92.2%	26.9%
Philippines	M'Lang	2017	41.9%	79.0%	12.4%
Philippines	Ma-Ayon	2000	32.6%	63.5%	5.8%
Philippines	Ma-Ayon	2017	15.2%	44.1%	1.0%
Philippines	Maasim	2000	45.8%	88.5%	6.7%
Philippines	Maasim	2017	28.8%	75.4%	1.8%
Philippines	Maasin	2000	47.0%	94.9%	1.6%
Philippines	Maasin	2017	32.0%	88.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Maasin City	2000	65.0%	88.3%	26.3%
Philippines	Maasin City	2017	41.2%	72.1%	13.1%
Philippines	Mabalacat	2000	59.6%	65.7%	53.9%
Philippines	Mabalacat	2017	17.5%	21.0%	14.7%
Philippines	Mabinay	2000	50.3%	96.1%	7.8%
Philippines	Mabinay	2017	33.5%	88.5%	2.6%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	53.5%	99.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	59.9%	99.6%	4.8%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	80.4%	93.6%	62.6%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	50.7%	81.4%	15.3%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	53.2%	76.8%	27.3%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	34.7%	97.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	43.9%	97.9%	0.8%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	28.2%	70.5%	4.2%
Philippines	Mabitac	2000	46.2%	81.1%	15.8%
Philippines	Mabitac	2017	18.6%	49.5%	2.7%
Philippines	Mabuhay	2000	48.8%	98.2%	1.2%
Philippines	Mabuhay	2017	32.5%	91.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Macabebe	2000	72.4%	77.0%	67.4%
Philippines	Macabebe	2017	25.5%	30.5%	21.0%
Philippines	Macalelon	2000	11.8%	42.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Macalelon	2017	4.5%	28.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Macarthur	2000	54.5%	71.6%	37.5%
Philippines	Macarthur	2017	22.1%	37.5%	10.7%
Philippines	Maco	2000	58.1%	85.9%	24.6%
Philippines	Maco	2017	32.9%	64.0%	8.5%
Philippines	Maconacon	2000	47.5%	99.2%	0.9%
Philippines	Maconacon	2017	31.8%	96.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Macrohon	2000	68.0%	94.9%	29.7%
Philippines	Macrohon	2017	39.5%	79.7%	7.4%
Philippines	Madalag	2000	57.0%	91.8%	18.3%
Philippines	Madalag	2017	33.0%	77.0%	6.1%
Philippines	Madalum	2000	23.3%	51.5%	7.8%
Philippines	Madalum	2017	5.1%	15.9%	1.2%
Philippines	Madamba	2000	20.4%	54.7%	3.2%
Philippines	Madamba	2017	5.9%	29.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Maddela	2000	70.9%	77.8%	61.6%
Philippines	Maddela	2017	36.9%	50.7%	23.3%
Philippines	Madrid	2000	46.9%	63.8%	30.7%
Philippines	Madrid	2017	13.9%	29.1%	5.9%
Philippines	Madrideojos	2000	45.1%	99.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Madrideojos	2017	28.1%	97.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Magalang	2000	46.2%	72.6%	21.5%
Philippines	Magalang	2017	16.4%	44.3%	4.8%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	74.6%	99.9%	22.9%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	51.5%	99.6%	1.3%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	61.9%	69.0%	54.9%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	60.1%	99.4%	16.5%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	19.1%	24.1%	15.1%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	36.0%	97.7%	0.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Magarao	2000	63.3%	65.5%	61.4%
Philippines	Magarao	2017	27.3%	30.1%	24.6%
Philippines	Magdalena	2000	90.6%	92.8%	87.4%
Philippines	Magdalena	2017	68.0%	76.6%	56.4%
Philippines	Magdiwang	2000	74.9%	95.9%	45.6%
Philippines	Magdiwang	2017	48.7%	80.7%	25.9%
Philippines	Magpet	2000	55.7%	92.5%	12.1%
Philippines	Magpet	2017	40.4%	82.0%	5.9%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	40.7%	64.5%	19.6%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	58.2%	97.1%	11.6%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	65.0%	82.5%	38.1%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	67.6%	93.8%	30.8%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	32.7%	90.4%	2.0%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	13.8%	36.5%	3.9%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	39.9%	92.5%	2.8%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	35.2%	77.3%	9.4%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	36.4%	62.4%	10.3%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	15.1%	67.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Magsingal	2000	19.0%	55.2%	4.2%
Philippines	Magsingal	2017	5.2%	20.2%	0.8%
Philippines	Maguing	2000	22.9%	54.4%	5.6%
Philippines	Maguing	2017	8.9%	27.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Mahaplag	2000	57.6%	99.2%	3.8%
Philippines	Mahaplag	2017	40.3%	95.0%	1.1%
Philippines	Mahatao	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.2%
Philippines	Mahatao	2017	87.6%	91.5%	82.6%
Philippines	Mahayag	2000	84.4%	90.4%	78.5%
Philippines	Mahayag	2017	67.2%	76.2%	56.7%
Philippines	Mahinog	2000	91.0%	96.5%	80.1%
Philippines	Mahinog	2017	68.5%	81.0%	55.7%
Philippines	Maigo	2000	62.6%	99.4%	6.1%
Philippines	Maigo	2017	42.3%	95.5%	1.9%
Philippines	Maimbung	2000	61.1%	91.2%	28.9%
Philippines	Maimbung	2017	29.5%	63.9%	11.5%
Philippines	Mainit	2000	96.1%	98.6%	91.1%
Philippines	Mainit	2017	89.3%	94.0%	82.6%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2000	73.2%	100.0%	2.5%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2000	96.2%	100.0%	73.4%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2017	54.0%	99.6%	0.6%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2017	91.4%	99.8%	66.2%
Philippines	Maitum	2000	54.6%	83.3%	27.4%
Philippines	Maitum	2017	25.1%	52.7%	8.3%
Philippines	Majayjay	2000	47.0%	92.1%	14.4%
Philippines	Majayjay	2017	30.1%	90.1%	9.4%
Philippines	Makati City	2000	84.2%	85.2%	83.3%
Philippines	Makati City	2017	41.0%	42.5%	39.6%
Philippines	Makato	2000	50.3%	71.8%	28.5%
Philippines	Makato	2017	14.6%	28.4%	7.5%
Philippines	Makilala	2000	72.6%	87.0%	45.2%
Philippines	Makilala	2017	50.5%	70.2%	23.8%
Philippines	Malabang	2000	5.9%	31.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Malabang	2017	1.3%	13.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Malabon	2000	85.8%	87.0%	84.4%
Philippines	Malabon	2017	43.4%	45.6%	41.3%
Philippines	Malabuyoc	2000	62.8%	94.7%	23.6%
Philippines	Malabuyoc	2017	37.4%	78.7%	11.3%
Philippines	Malalag	2000	58.4%	78.4%	33.8%
Philippines	Malalag	2017	29.4%	52.3%	12.7%
Philippines	Malangas	2000	47.0%	51.4%	40.9%
Philippines	Malangas	2017	32.4%	39.3%	25.0%
Philippines	Malapatan	2000	60.5%	92.6%	15.9%
Philippines	Malapatan	2017	40.7%	78.9%	4.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Malasiqui	2000	5.5%	7.2%	4.0%
Philippines	Malasiqui	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Philippines	Malay	2000	82.3%	95.9%	64.8%
Philippines	Malay	2017	55.8%	80.2%	32.0%
Philippines	Malaybalay	2000	79.4%	87.8%	69.3%
Philippines	Malaybalay City	2017	47.2%	57.4%	37.7%
Philippines	Malibcong	2000	44.9%	97.2%	0.8%
Philippines	Malibcong	2017	28.3%	89.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Malilipot	2000	51.2%	61.1%	40.7%
Philippines	Malilipot	2017	12.9%	18.2%	8.3%
Philippines	Malimono	2000	91.6%	99.9%	72.6%
Philippines	Malimono	2017	86.7%	99.5%	62.6%
Philippines	Malinao	2000	57.7%	73.3%	40.7%
Philippines	Malinao	2000	55.9%	93.6%	16.5%
Philippines	Malinao	2017	29.2%	80.1%	4.5%
Philippines	Malinao	2017	22.2%	36.2%	11.9%
Philippines	Malita	2000	43.3%	56.1%	31.3%
Philippines	Malita	2017	20.0%	31.4%	11.8%
Philippines	Malitbog	2000	46.0%	92.0%	2.5%
Philippines	Malitbog	2000	55.3%	91.2%	13.7%
Philippines	Malitbog	2017	28.2%	82.3%	0.6%
Philippines	Malitbog	2017	32.6%	75.7%	3.8%
Philippines	Mallig	2000	45.3%	65.6%	25.0%
Philippines	Mallig	2017	21.2%	53.0%	7.3%
Philippines	Malolos City	2000	52.8%	61.6%	43.9%
Philippines	Malolos City	2017	13.8%	17.9%	10.5%
Philippines	Malungon	2000	52.8%	81.8%	21.4%
Philippines	Malungon	2017	26.7%	59.0%	5.7%
Philippines	Maluso	2000	84.5%	98.6%	54.0%
Philippines	Maluso	2017	61.4%	90.2%	25.5%
Philippines	Malvar	2000	88.8%	96.6%	73.0%
Philippines	Malvar	2017	60.0%	80.4%	35.8%
Philippines	Mamasapano	2000	18.4%	27.1%	11.4%
Philippines	Mamasapano	2017	2.5%	4.2%	1.3%
Philippines	Mambajao	2000	93.8%	96.1%	90.4%
Philippines	Mambajao	2017	70.7%	77.1%	64.1%
Philippines	Mamburao	2000	73.9%	90.8%	58.3%
Philippines	Mamburao	2017	43.8%	62.9%	28.5%
Philippines	Mambusao	2000	26.7%	84.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Mambusao	2017	13.5%	72.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Manabo	2000	41.5%	65.1%	27.4%
Philippines	Manabo	2017	15.9%	24.8%	11.5%
Philippines	Manaoag	2000	56.9%	73.6%	28.7%
Philippines	Manaoag	2017	31.0%	58.8%	6.5%
Philippines	Manapla	2000	47.2%	98.3%	0.7%
Philippines	Manapla	2017	30.4%	92.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Manay	2000	44.6%	55.2%	34.2%
Philippines	Manay	2017	25.8%	36.9%	17.3%
Philippines	Mandaluyong	2000	88.4%	89.1%	87.8%
Philippines	Mandaluyong	2017	50.3%	51.3%	49.2%
Philippines	Mandaon	2000	50.1%	94.0%	3.6%
Philippines	Mandaon	2017	32.5%	82.4%	1.2%
Philippines	Mandaue City	2000	53.7%	57.3%	50.7%
Philippines	Mandaue City	2017	13.1%	14.5%	11.7%
Philippines	Mangaldan	2000	24.4%	30.9%	19.0%
Philippines	Mangaldan	2017	6.0%	8.2%	4.2%
Philippines	Mangatarem	2000	10.4%	43.8%	0.4%
Philippines	Mangatarem	2017	4.2%	25.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Mangudadatu	2000	10.9%	44.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Mangudadatu	2017	8.1%	33.4%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Manila	2000	85.2%	86.6%	83.8%
Philippines	Manila	2017	45.9%	48.6%	43.0%
Philippines	Manito	2000	80.2%	98.7%	42.7%
Philippines	Manito	2017	59.6%	93.2%	20.2%
Philippines	Manjuyod	2000	51.5%	84.1%	17.6%
Philippines	Manjuyod	2017	23.9%	59.2%	3.9%
Philippines	Mankayan	2000	26.0%	34.5%	19.1%
Philippines	Mankayan	2017	5.9%	8.7%	3.8%
Philippines	Manolo Fortich	2000	65.6%	85.4%	42.9%
Philippines	Manolo Fortich	2017	30.4%	54.0%	15.5%
Philippines	Mansalay	2000	39.3%	70.1%	12.2%
Philippines	Mansalay	2017	18.7%	49.6%	2.0%
Philippines	Manticao	2000	38.9%	92.3%	1.1%
Philippines	Manticao	2017	22.0%	72.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Manukan	2000	34.6%	81.6%	3.0%
Philippines	Manukan	2017	19.5%	66.3%	0.5%
Philippines	Mapanas	2000	15.6%	68.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Mapanas	2017	7.9%	42.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Mapandan	2000	1.3%	3.3%	0.6%
Philippines	Mapandan	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Mapun	2000	51.9%	97.8%	3.4%
Philippines	Mapun	2017	33.9%	93.8%	1.0%
Philippines	Marabut	2000	48.0%	90.4%	9.5%
Philippines	Marabut	2017	25.1%	73.0%	1.6%
Philippines	Maragondon	2000	47.2%	93.5%	4.3%
Philippines	Maragondon	2017	26.2%	80.6%	1.0%
Philippines	Maragusan	2000	27.0%	55.7%	3.9%
Philippines	Maragusan	2017	11.5%	37.8%	0.9%
Philippines	Maramag	2000	61.5%	94.1%	22.5%
Philippines	Maramag	2017	42.6%	83.4%	9.0%
Philippines	Marantao	2000	12.0%	47.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Marantao	2017	6.4%	37.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Marawi City	2000	93.9%	96.7%	84.0%
Philippines	Marawi City	2017	88.3%	93.9%	72.2%
Philippines	Marcos	2000	31.0%	58.3%	15.1%
Philippines	Marcos	2017	10.4%	26.6%	3.3%
Philippines	Margosatubig	2000	65.8%	91.2%	36.3%
Philippines	Margosatubig	2017	41.6%	78.5%	10.3%
Philippines	Maria	2000	78.0%	85.2%	69.3%
Philippines	Maria	2017	43.5%	51.3%	35.8%
Philippines	Maria Aurora	2000	34.6%	58.5%	15.8%
Philippines	Maria Aurora	2017	11.9%	28.6%	2.8%
Philippines	Maribojoc	2000	63.4%	97.0%	18.7%
Philippines	Maribojoc	2017	38.6%	91.0%	6.1%
Philippines	Marihatag	2000	24.4%	74.4%	1.7%
Philippines	Marihatag	2017	13.0%	51.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Marikina	2000	87.5%	88.4%	86.5%
Philippines	Marikina	2017	47.5%	49.7%	45.1%
Philippines	Marilao	2000	76.5%	78.2%	74.5%
Philippines	Marilao	2017	29.5%	31.7%	27.3%
Philippines	Maripipi	2000	50.2%	98.8%	0.7%
Philippines	Maripipi	2017	31.3%	92.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Mariveles	2000	57.8%	77.7%	38.3%
Philippines	Mariveles	2017	25.3%	41.5%	15.6%
Philippines	Marogong	2000	36.9%	75.4%	8.5%
Philippines	Marogong	2017	17.5%	61.1%	1.3%
Philippines	Masantol	2000	71.7%	76.6%	66.6%
Philippines	Masantol	2017	32.5%	38.5%	26.6%
Philippines	Masbate City	2000	30.6%	91.2%	1.8%
Philippines	Masbate City	2017	15.6%	79.3%	0.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Masinloc	2000	54.7%	67.5%	41.7%
Philippines	Masinloc	2017	15.6%	26.6%	9.0%
Philippines	Masiu	2000	26.7%	96.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Masiu	2017	14.9%	86.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Maslog	2000	83.3%	100.0%	38.8%
Philippines	Maslog	2017	74.1%	99.9%	30.8%
Philippines	Mataas Na Kahoy	2000	91.9%	99.8%	52.0%
Philippines	Mataas Na Kahoy	2017	73.2%	98.9%	14.2%
Philippines	Matag-Ob	2000	57.5%	98.0%	1.6%
Philippines	Matag-Ob	2017	35.7%	92.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Matalam	2000	27.1%	52.7%	13.2%
Philippines	Matalam	2017	10.5%	22.9%	3.7%
Philippines	Matalom	2000	47.8%	77.2%	11.5%
Philippines	Matalom	2017	23.9%	65.0%	2.7%
Philippines	Matanao	2000	69.0%	81.6%	53.9%
Philippines	Matanao	2017	32.8%	47.7%	21.8%
Philippines	Matanog	2000	40.7%	98.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Matanog	2017	23.3%	93.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Mati City	2000	54.4%	69.8%	41.6%
Philippines	Mati City	2017	21.9%	36.7%	13.9%
Philippines	Matnog	2000	59.4%	83.6%	30.5%
Philippines	Matnog	2017	33.2%	64.5%	10.9%
Philippines	Matuguinao	2000	55.3%	96.9%	12.1%
Philippines	Matuguinao	2017	39.7%	86.3%	3.1%
Philippines	Matungao	2000	40.9%	76.2%	25.0%
Philippines	Matungao	2017	10.8%	33.8%	4.3%
Philippines	Mauban	2000	55.2%	93.4%	10.9%
Philippines	Mauban	2017	38.4%	85.8%	2.9%
Philippines	Mawab	2000	40.6%	88.5%	5.2%
Philippines	Mawab	2017	18.7%	63.6%	1.0%
Philippines	Mayantoc	2000	51.9%	94.6%	9.7%
Philippines	Mayantoc	2017	29.2%	89.3%	2.0%
Philippines	Maydolong	2000	36.9%	91.2%	2.0%
Philippines	Maydolong	2017	20.3%	77.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Mayorga	2000	45.1%	98.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Mayorga	2017	29.0%	95.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Mayoyao	2000	70.7%	84.7%	53.4%
Philippines	Mayoyao	2017	37.0%	53.9%	23.7%
Philippines	Medellin	2000	48.6%	93.1%	7.4%
Philippines	Medellin	2017	26.6%	79.2%	1.4%
Philippines	Medina	2000	62.4%	98.3%	13.1%
Philippines	Medina	2017	44.8%	93.4%	6.2%
Philippines	Mendez	2000	75.4%	87.1%	53.0%
Philippines	Mendez	2017	33.4%	53.8%	13.6%
Philippines	Mercedes	2000	51.1%	68.0%	33.2%
Philippines	Mercedes	2000	73.2%	85.1%	56.0%
Philippines	Mercedes	2017	19.5%	42.7%	9.8%
Philippines	Mercedes	2017	41.7%	56.5%	26.3%
Philippines	Merida	2000	74.8%	96.9%	38.6%
Philippines	Merida	2017	54.2%	86.0%	24.9%
Philippines	Mexico	2000	68.7%	79.4%	55.4%
Philippines	Mexico	2017	30.4%	45.4%	18.9%
Philippines	Meycauayan City	2000	81.5%	83.1%	79.8%
Philippines	Meycauayan City	2017	36.6%	39.1%	34.1%
Philippines	Miagao	2000	41.3%	75.9%	15.2%
Philippines	Miagao	2017	17.6%	43.5%	4.7%
Philippines	Midsalip	2000	59.4%	97.6%	11.6%
Philippines	Midsalip	2017	41.5%	88.6%	3.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Midsayap	2000	15.9%	31.8%	9.2%
Philippines	Midsayap	2017	4.7%	11.9%	2.2%
Philippines	Milagros	2000	42.8%	77.1%	10.4%
Philippines	Milagros	2017	26.9%	64.2%	3.1%
Philippines	Milaor	2000	61.6%	68.4%	54.8%
Philippines	Milaor	2017	18.6%	24.1%	15.1%
Philippines	Mina	2000	55.7%	76.7%	27.9%
Philippines	Mina	2017	27.8%	58.8%	9.1%
Philippines	Minalabac	2000	80.7%	87.1%	71.7%
Philippines	Minalabac	2017	52.0%	60.2%	41.2%
Philippines	Minalin	2000	66.5%	70.1%	63.4%
Philippines	Minalin	2017	22.6%	25.9%	19.6%
Philippines	Minglanilla	2000	54.4%	71.1%	35.1%
Philippines	Minglanilla	2017	21.4%	40.6%	8.5%
Philippines	Moalboal	2000	88.8%	98.4%	73.2%
Philippines	Moalboal	2017	68.9%	89.8%	44.9%
Philippines	Mobo	2000	39.0%	77.6%	10.4%
Philippines	Mobo	2017	18.9%	52.6%	3.1%
Philippines	Mogpog	2000	66.5%	73.0%	59.4%
Philippines	Mogpog	2017	23.5%	28.4%	18.8%
Philippines	Moises Padilla	2000	23.1%	65.3%	0.6%
Philippines	Moises Padilla	2017	13.0%	52.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Molave	2000	74.7%	84.2%	59.3%
Philippines	Molave	2017	49.9%	64.5%	37.0%
Philippines	Moncada	2000	65.5%	70.2%	59.6%
Philippines	Moncada	2017	20.1%	23.8%	16.0%
Philippines	Mondragon	2000	43.6%	93.9%	3.2%
Philippines	Mondragon	2017	29.1%	87.5%	0.8%
Philippines	Monkayo	2000	57.8%	85.9%	27.6%
Philippines	Monkayo	2017	34.5%	63.5%	12.6%
Philippines	Monreal	2000	47.5%	98.4%	0.6%
Philippines	Monreal	2017	29.8%	93.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Montevista	2000	23.6%	41.4%	10.9%
Philippines	Montevista	2017	9.7%	28.4%	2.4%
Philippines	Morong	2000	80.6%	84.4%	76.6%
Philippines	Morong	2000	70.7%	79.1%	61.5%
Philippines	Morong	2017	38.0%	44.5%	32.5%
Philippines	Morong	2017	31.1%	42.0%	23.8%
Philippines	Motiong	2000	69.6%	99.1%	24.0%
Philippines	Motiong	2017	49.3%	95.0%	5.3%
Philippines	Mulanay	2000	40.8%	85.0%	9.1%
Philippines	Mulanay	2017	20.4%	68.3%	1.9%
Philippines	Mulondo	2000	34.1%	57.8%	18.4%
Philippines	Mulondo	2017	9.1%	29.3%	3.5%
Philippines	Munai	2000	23.4%	55.3%	3.9%
Philippines	Munai	2017	14.7%	61.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Muñoz City	2000	49.4%	70.7%	24.0%
Philippines	Muñoz City	2017	22.0%	45.7%	5.7%
Philippines	Muntinlupa	2000	63.9%	66.1%	61.8%
Philippines	Muntinlupa	2017	19.0%	20.5%	17.6%
Philippines	Murcia	2000	56.8%	68.2%	46.0%
Philippines	Murcia	2017	24.4%	35.3%	15.9%
Philippines	Mutia	2000	66.8%	97.7%	20.7%
Philippines	Mutia	2017	42.0%	89.3%	4.3%
Philippines	Naawan	2000	42.7%	99.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Naawan	2017	29.4%	95.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Nabas	2000	20.1%	43.2%	5.0%
Philippines	Nabas	2017	8.9%	29.7%	0.9%
Philippines	Nabua	2000	16.5%	19.5%	14.8%
Philippines	Nabua	2017	5.7%	7.7%	4.7%
Philippines	Nabunturan	2000	33.9%	48.1%	20.8%
Philippines	Nabunturan	2017	20.8%	36.2%	14.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Naga	2000	51.5%	95.6%	9.7%
Philippines	Naga	2017	32.7%	82.9%	3.5%
Philippines	Naga City	2000	50.4%	63.1%	38.6%
Philippines	Naga City	2000	42.6%	49.0%	38.2%
Philippines	Naga City	2017	15.4%	20.4%	12.9%
Philippines	Naga City	2017	21.6%	35.0%	11.8%
Philippines	Nagbukel	2000	38.8%	57.3%	21.7%
Philippines	Nagbukel	2017	8.3%	15.6%	3.6%
Philippines	Nagcarlan	2000	66.1%	77.0%	58.4%
Philippines	Nagcarlan	2017	42.9%	55.4%	31.9%
Philippines	Nagtipunan	2000	57.2%	74.9%	33.5%
Philippines	Nagtipunan	2017	36.6%	59.3%	14.5%
Philippines	Naguilian	2000	36.1%	39.7%	31.6%
Philippines	Naguilian	2000	49.1%	66.8%	36.1%
Philippines	Naguilian	2017	15.7%	31.6%	8.8%
Philippines	Naguilian	2017	11.7%	18.2%	8.0%
Philippines	Naic	2000	58.6%	76.9%	39.3%
Philippines	Naic	2017	19.5%	36.3%	10.4%
Philippines	Nampicuan	2000	94.4%	96.8%	90.8%
Philippines	Nampicuan	2017	84.7%	87.6%	71.9%
Philippines	Narra	2000	46.8%	84.2%	10.9%
Philippines	Narra	2017	29.7%	68.5%	3.1%
Philippines	Narvacan	2000	53.6%	68.7%	41.2%
Philippines	Narvacan	2017	16.3%	27.7%	10.6%
Philippines	Nasipit	2000	9.1%	22.1%	3.7%
Philippines	Nasipit	2017	2.3%	6.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Nasugbu	2000	55.7%	93.0%	16.2%
Philippines	Nasugbu	2017	36.7%	83.1%	6.2%
Philippines	Natividad	2000	16.0%	58.2%	0.4%
Philippines	Natividad	2017	7.1%	41.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Natonin	2000	13.5%	29.3%	7.3%
Philippines	Natonin	2017	5.7%	14.2%	2.2%
Philippines	Naujan	2000	52.2%	67.6%	35.7%
Philippines	Naujan	2017	34.9%	52.2%	13.1%
Philippines	Naujan Lake	2000	68.4%	99.4%	24.1%
Philippines	Naujan Lake	2017	47.2%	96.5%	6.7%
Philippines	Naval	2000	95.6%	98.0%	92.1%
Philippines	Naval	2017	81.8%	86.4%	77.1%
Philippines	Navotas	2000	86.0%	87.3%	84.4%
Philippines	Navotas	2017	43.6%	46.4%	40.9%
Philippines	New Bataan	2000	39.4%	59.4%	27.0%
Philippines	New Bataan	2017	29.2%	50.0%	17.0%
Philippines	New Corella	2000	44.6%	76.4%	23.2%
Philippines	New Corella	2017	19.8%	52.2%	7.9%
Philippines	New Lucena	2000	31.3%	39.3%	23.5%
Philippines	New Lucena	2017	12.2%	19.1%	5.4%
Philippines	New Washington	2000	81.6%	84.9%	78.5%
Philippines	New Washington	2017	49.1%	54.8%	43.7%
Philippines	Norala	2000	28.6%	35.5%	23.2%
Philippines	Norala	2017	5.7%	7.9%	4.3%
Philippines	Northern Kabuntalan	2000	49.0%	81.5%	21.5%
Philippines	Northern Kabuntalan	2017	19.2%	53.2%	3.1%
Philippines	Norzagaray	2000	67.9%	84.3%	58.2%
Philippines	Norzagaray	2017	36.7%	47.6%	29.9%
Philippines	Noveleta	2000	1.5%	4.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Noveleta	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Nueva Era	2000	39.3%	74.1%	11.6%
Philippines	Nueva Era	2017	25.9%	62.8%	6.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Nueva Valencia	2000	34.0%	64.8%	9.1%
Philippines	Nueva Valencia	2017	16.2%	41.0%	2.6%
Philippines	Numancia	2000	65.4%	83.7%	44.9%
Philippines	Numancia	2017	27.4%	43.6%	18.9%
Philippines	Nunungan	2000	31.7%	67.9%	2.2%
Philippines	Nunungan	2017	25.4%	69.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Oas	2000	20.3%	37.7%	7.6%
Philippines	Oas	2017	8.3%	22.3%	1.7%
Philippines	Obando	2000	84.1%	85.8%	81.7%
Philippines	Obando	2017	40.4%	43.6%	36.8%
Philippines	Ocampo	2000	29.8%	81.1%	1.1%
Philippines	Ocampo	2017	15.1%	67.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Odiangan	2000	32.9%	49.4%	22.5%
Philippines	Odiangan	2017	7.0%	12.7%	4.1%
Philippines	Old Panamao	2000	3.4%	13.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Old Panamao	2017	1.9%	6.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Olongapo City	2000	85.2%	87.4%	81.7%
Philippines	Olongapo City	2017	48.1%	54.5%	39.6%
Philippines	Olutanga	2000	70.9%	96.3%	36.6%
Philippines	Olutanga	2017	48.1%	83.9%	13.4%
Philippines	Opol	2000	87.4%	94.7%	78.6%
Philippines	Opol	2017	56.0%	69.8%	41.5%
Philippines	Orani	2000	70.4%	74.5%	66.6%
Philippines	Orani	2017	30.4%	34.8%	25.8%
Philippines	Oras	2000	82.3%	95.6%	24.4%
Philippines	Oras	2017	62.1%	89.6%	6.8%
Philippines	Orion	2000	89.8%	92.6%	85.3%
Philippines	Orion	2017	58.2%	64.1%	52.3%
Philippines	Ormoc City	2000	51.4%	68.8%	36.2%
Philippines	Ormoc City	2017	28.6%	48.0%	15.0%
Philippines	Oroquieta City	2000	92.8%	96.1%	87.0%
Philippines	Oroquieta City	2017	84.8%	90.4%	63.2%
Philippines	Oslob	2000	48.8%	95.2%	4.2%
Philippines	Oslob	2017	29.3%	84.3%	0.7%
Philippines	Oton	2000	33.5%	42.4%	29.7%
Philippines	Oton	2017	10.4%	13.1%	8.8%
Philippines	Ozamis City	2000	86.1%	90.0%	81.8%
Philippines	Ozamis City	2017	54.2%	61.3%	47.6%
Philippines	Padada	2000	77.9%	90.1%	48.9%
Philippines	Padada	2017	38.9%	63.6%	17.6%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2000	36.2%	93.9%	1.3%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2000	77.2%	87.9%	51.1%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2017	47.0%	59.8%	32.1%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2017	15.8%	73.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Padre Garcia	2000	51.4%	97.7%	2.2%
Philippines	Padre Garcia	2017	32.2%	93.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Paete	2000	27.2%	81.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Paete	2017	15.3%	69.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Pagadian City	2000	87.9%	94.0%	78.9%
Philippines	Pagadian City	2017	71.0%	83.2%	52.8%
Philippines	Pagagawan	2000	75.6%	92.1%	47.9%
Philippines	Pagagawan	2017	47.9%	75.1%	20.8%
Philippines	Pagalungan	2000	58.7%	85.9%	22.6%
Philippines	Pagalungan	2017	42.5%	85.1%	8.2%
Philippines	Pagayawan	2000	16.2%	34.8%	6.4%
Philippines	Pagayawan	2017	2.4%	7.7%	0.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pagbilao	2000	78.9%	91.2%	62.6%
Philippines	Pagbilao	2017	50.1%	68.3%	35.3%
Philippines	Paglat	2000	39.9%	99.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Paglat	2017	24.1%	95.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Pagsanghan	2000	67.0%	96.5%	23.0%
Philippines	Pagsanghan	2017	39.9%	84.1%	6.4%
Philippines	Pagsanjan	2000	75.5%	82.3%	66.7%
Philippines	Pagsanjan	2017	36.9%	44.1%	28.8%
Philippines	Pagudpud	2000	52.3%	86.5%	14.3%
Philippines	Pagudpud	2017	27.7%	62.6%	3.3%
Philippines	Pakil	2000	26.1%	70.7%	1.2%
Philippines	Pakil	2017	13.4%	64.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Palakpakin Lake	2000	67.0%	78.4%	54.4%
Philippines	Palakpakin Lake	2017	21.7%	31.8%	14.2%
Philippines	Palanan	2000	48.3%	93.1%	7.4%
Philippines	Palanan	2017	30.5%	77.8%	3.2%
Philippines	Palanas	2000	38.0%	68.1%	20.2%
Philippines	Palanas	2017	15.8%	39.4%	8.6%
Philippines	Palapag	2000	7.7%	21.0%	1.7%
Philippines	Palapag	2017	2.1%	7.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Palauig	2000	51.3%	95.1%	7.5%
Philippines	Palauig	2017	28.0%	83.8%	1.9%
Philippines	Palayan City	2000	60.8%	84.6%	35.0%
Philippines	Palayan City	2017	25.8%	58.5%	9.0%
Philippines	Palimbang	2000	34.4%	64.7%	7.7%
Philippines	Palimbang	2017	18.7%	41.9%	1.7%
Philippines	Palo	2000	73.2%	81.1%	64.5%
Philippines	Palo	2017	31.2%	39.6%	24.7%
Philippines	Palompon	2000	59.6%	95.4%	18.5%
Philippines	Palompon	2017	36.7%	83.1%	8.3%
Philippines	Paluan	2000	48.7%	90.2%	14.0%
Philippines	Paluan	2017	31.3%	76.9%	5.3%
Philippines	Pambujan	2000	39.4%	96.5%	1.9%
Philippines	Pambujan	2017	23.9%	91.9%	0.5%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	33.6%	61.1%	20.5%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	27.1%	41.4%	15.5%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	70.1%	86.5%	42.5%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	18.5%	41.9%	8.4%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	9.5%	21.3%	3.8%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	40.2%	63.2%	20.2%
Philippines	Panabo City	2000	50.8%	62.1%	35.6%
Philippines	Panabo City	2017	25.7%	39.5%	11.0%
Philippines	Panaon	2000	90.8%	93.8%	80.5%
Philippines	Panaon	2017	63.3%	73.7%	42.4%
Philippines	Panay	2000	84.3%	90.3%	75.2%
Philippines	Panay	2017	67.2%	78.4%	54.8%
Philippines	Pandag	2000	35.5%	94.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Pandag	2017	22.6%	92.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Pandami	2000	73.6%	96.0%	33.5%
Philippines	Pandami	2017	43.4%	78.5%	10.9%
Philippines	Pandan	2000	74.7%	90.4%	53.5%
Philippines	Pandan	2000	56.0%	97.3%	4.9%
Philippines	Pandan	2017	60.9%	81.9%	25.9%
Philippines	Pandan	2017	35.0%	89.3%	1.8%
Philippines	Pandi	2000	41.8%	46.8%	36.9%
Philippines	Pandi	2017	9.0%	10.8%	7.5%
Philippines	Panganiban	2000	41.6%	77.8%	10.5%
Philippines	Panganiban	2017	14.8%	48.3%	1.6%
Philippines	Pangantucan	2000	43.6%	75.3%	15.8%
Philippines	Pangantucan	2017	25.9%	56.3%	4.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pangil	2000	34.6%	94.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Pangil	2017	19.4%	90.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Panglao	2000	21.4%	65.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Panglao	2017	10.7%	44.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Panglima Estino	2000	2.8%	5.5%	1.5%
Philippines	Panglima Estino	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Panglima Sugala	2000	32.6%	63.0%	6.2%
Philippines	Panglima Sugala	2017	18.0%	40.8%	1.2%
Philippines	Pangutaran	2000	48.4%	94.6%	4.0%
Philippines	Pangutaran	2017	33.1%	86.4%	1.1%
Philippines	Paniqui	2000	38.4%	46.2%	31.6%
Philippines	Paniqui	2017	20.6%	27.7%	11.0%
Philippines	Panitan	2000	24.7%	28.9%	20.0%
Philippines	Panitan	2017	7.7%	11.3%	5.0%
Philippines	Pantabangan	2000	31.9%	63.6%	7.2%
Philippines	Pantabangan	2017	19.7%	52.8%	2.5%
Philippines	Pantao Ragat	2000	9.2%	25.9%	3.2%
Philippines	Pantao Ragat	2017	4.2%	14.1%	0.8%
Philippines	Pantar	2000	35.2%	58.5%	18.6%
Philippines	Pantar	2017	48.3%	64.2%	12.5%
Philippines	Pantukan	2000	19.4%	45.4%	5.5%
Philippines	Pantukan	2017	6.9%	24.2%	1.1%
Philippines	Panukulan	2000	50.2%	96.0%	2.5%
Philippines	Panukulan	2017	34.5%	88.2%	0.4%
Philippines	Paoay	2000	31.8%	34.5%	29.0%
Philippines	Paoay	2017	14.7%	19.0%	10.5%
Philippines	Paoay Lake	2000	72.9%	81.1%	62.0%
Philippines	Paoay Lake	2017	38.6%	51.4%	26.6%
Philippines	Paombong	2000	52.5%	64.8%	39.9%
Philippines	Paombong	2017	14.5%	20.1%	9.8%
Philippines	Paracale	2000	45.3%	57.3%	35.6%
Philippines	Paracale	2017	14.8%	25.6%	9.1%
Philippines	Paracelis	2000	30.1%	60.2%	6.7%
Philippines	Paracelis	2017	17.5%	44.6%	1.4%
Philippines	Parañaque	2000	63.0%	65.3%	60.6%
Philippines	Parañaque	2017	19.3%	20.5%	18.1%
Philippines	Paranas	2000	70.6%	95.7%	30.0%
Philippines	Paranas	2017	50.2%	87.3%	12.8%
Philippines	Parang	2000	51.0%	95.7%	11.7%
Philippines	Parang	2000	34.0%	80.1%	3.3%
Philippines	Parang	2017	16.9%	58.5%	0.5%
Philippines	Parang	2017	27.4%	77.6%	4.7%
Philippines	Pasacao	2000	49.4%	90.8%	10.4%
Philippines	Pasacao	2017	25.6%	75.0%	2.2%
Philippines	Pasay City	2000	74.1%	76.1%	72.0%
Philippines	Pasay City	2017	33.4%	35.5%	31.1%
Philippines	Pasig City	2000	87.1%	88.0%	86.2%
Philippines	Pasig City	2017	46.7%	48.5%	44.9%
Philippines	Pasil	2000	69.9%	86.8%	24.7%
Philippines	Pasil	2017	58.6%	87.8%	8.4%
Philippines	Passi City	2000	53.0%	72.9%	37.6%
Philippines	Passi City	2017	22.8%	41.8%	13.6%
Philippines	Pastrana	2000	77.6%	93.8%	51.7%
Philippines	Pastrana	2017	47.0%	74.7%	27.4%
Philippines	Pasuquin	2000	55.0%	96.2%	8.8%
Philippines	Pasuquin	2017	32.2%	84.3%	2.6%
Philippines	Pata	2000	43.1%	99.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Pata	2017	26.9%	96.2%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pateros	2000	85.6%	86.9%	84.2%
Philippines	Pateros	2017	43.2%	45.6%	40.8%
Philippines	Patikul	2000	57.7%	64.0%	52.8%
Philippines	Patikul	2017	16.4%	19.4%	14.2%
Philippines	Patnanungan	2000	48.4%	98.6%	1.4%
Philippines	Patnanungan	2017	31.6%	92.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Patnongon	2000	14.7%	49.4%	0.7%
Philippines	Patnongon	2017	7.5%	36.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Pavia	2000	59.1%	62.4%	55.0%
Philippines	Pavia	2017	15.8%	17.6%	13.8%
Philippines	Payao	2000	53.7%	71.7%	40.2%
Philippines	Payao	2017	23.7%	39.0%	16.2%
Philippines	Peñablanca	2000	51.8%	71.1%	34.5%
Philippines	Peñablanca	2017	22.4%	41.4%	10.9%
Philippines	Peñaranda	2000	82.1%	93.1%	56.5%
Philippines	Peñaranda	2017	46.8%	72.0%	26.4%
Philippines	Peñarrubia	2000	76.4%	84.1%	69.7%
Philippines	Peñarrubia	2017	40.0%	44.9%	35.8%
Philippines	Perez	2000	46.2%	98.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Perez	2017	29.5%	93.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Piagapo	2000	5.5%	34.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Piagapo	2017	3.4%	13.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Piat	2000	10.7%	36.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Piat	2017	5.9%	27.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Picong	2000	27.0%	75.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Picong	2017	18.5%	60.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Piddig	2000	66.6%	93.0%	26.6%
Philippines	Piddig	2017	45.4%	85.6%	7.7%
Philippines	Pidigan	2000	77.8%	84.7%	68.9%
Philippines	Pidigan	2017	41.8%	50.3%	33.0%
Philippines	Pigkawayan	2000	50.6%	77.2%	27.2%
Philippines	Pigkawayan	2017	18.2%	37.0%	5.5%
Philippines	Pikit	2000	46.8%	92.2%	3.9%
Philippines	Pikit	2017	30.1%	82.8%	1.0%
Philippines	Pila	2000	39.2%	78.2%	16.0%
Philippines	Pila	2017	13.2%	39.6%	6.4%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	1.3%	3.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	46.1%	99.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	14.7%	46.4%	2.1%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	34.8%	75.7%	5.5%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	85.6%	89.5%	79.9%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	52.5%	92.6%	9.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	53.6%	97.3%	2.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	30.5%	97.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	31.8%	89.6%	0.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	19.8%	60.4%	1.2%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	49.0%	56.9%	40.4%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	4.6%	20.9%	0.7%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	29.5%	75.2%	2.0%
Philippines	Pili	2000	36.2%	78.6%	7.6%
Philippines	Pili	2017	18.1%	57.1%	5.2%
Philippines	Pililla	2000	63.2%	97.2%	22.4%
Philippines	Pililla	2017	40.9%	86.8%	5.7%
Philippines	Pinabacdao	2000	76.9%	96.4%	50.9%
Philippines	Pinabacdao	2017	52.9%	85.8%	21.8%
Philippines	Pinamalayan	2000	62.8%	95.8%	10.1%
Philippines	Pinamalayan	2017	45.0%	87.9%	3.2%
Philippines	Pinamungahan	2000	60.9%	90.0%	26.4%
Philippines	Pinamungahan	2017	23.8%	60.9%	9.4%
Philippines	Pinan	2000	65.8%	89.9%	34.4%
Philippines	Pinan	2017	39.0%	66.1%	18.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pinili	2000	47.1%	65.1%	28.6%
Philippines	Pinili	2017	17.8%	37.2%	6.6%
Philippines	Pintuyan	2000	71.5%	96.0%	35.3%
Philippines	Pintuyan	2017	43.9%	79.6%	13.4%
Philippines	Pinukpuk	2000	48.7%	84.6%	13.4%
Philippines	Pinukpuk	2017	27.5%	70.4%	4.0%
Philippines	Pio Duran	2000	40.5%	93.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Pio Duran	2017	24.7%	85.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Pio V. Corpuz	2000	20.1%	60.7%	0.6%
Philippines	Pio V. Corpuz	2017	10.1%	39.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Pitogo	2000	2.2%	10.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Pitogo	2000	63.1%	87.9%	33.2%
Philippines	Pitogo	2017	0.6%	3.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Pitogo	2017	29.7%	59.2%	8.5%
Philippines	Placer	2000	54.3%	95.4%	20.0%
Philippines	Placer	2000	23.7%	62.4%	3.3%
Philippines	Placer	2017	27.5%	83.0%	7.0%
Philippines	Placer	2017	10.8%	45.8%	0.9%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	38.1%	97.6%	2.1%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	68.5%	72.3%	64.6%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	58.8%	91.4%	14.6%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	25.1%	73.6%	2.3%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	22.1%	25.4%	19.0%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	21.6%	91.6%	0.5%
Philippines	Pola	2000	70.5%	97.6%	33.7%
Philippines	Pola	2017	49.7%	90.1%	16.0%
Philippines	Polanco	2000	40.0%	46.4%	36.0%
Philippines	Polanco	2017	24.4%	29.2%	19.2%
Philippines	Polangui	2000	2.1%	2.4%	2.0%
Philippines	Polangui	2017	0.9%	1.0%	0.8%
Philippines	Polillo	2000	49.9%	95.7%	5.6%
Philippines	Polillo	2017	33.6%	86.5%	2.3%
Philippines	Polomolok	2000	73.0%	82.2%	61.5%
Philippines	Polomolok	2017	36.7%	49.1%	27.4%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2000	33.9%	82.0%	1.8%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2000	45.0%	56.7%	34.1%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2017	16.7%	27.6%	11.9%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2017	19.2%	62.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Poona	2000	22.5%	86.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Bayabao				
Philippines	Poona	2017	8.6%	53.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Bayabao				
Philippines	Poona Pia-gapo	2000	15.5%	79.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Poona Pia-gapo	2017	6.7%	47.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Porac	2000	46.2%	68.6%	29.3%
Philippines	Porac	2017	15.0%	31.5%	6.5%
Philippines	Poro	2000	47.5%	99.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Poro	2017	33.4%	97.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Pototan	2000	45.9%	53.4%	37.1%
Philippines	Pototan	2017	11.3%	15.7%	7.8%
Philippines	Pozzorubio	2000	80.9%	88.0%	63.5%
Philippines	Pozzorubio	2017	72.4%	82.6%	33.9%
Philippines	Pres. Carlos P. Garcia	2000	41.4%	94.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Pres. Carlos P. Garcia	2017	25.1%	84.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Pres. Manuel A. Roxas	2000	24.2%	75.3%	0.6%
Philippines	Pres. Manuel A. Roxas	2017	11.6%	52.6%	0.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Presentacion	2000	53.4%	98.9%	2.8%
Philippines	Presentacion	2017	37.5%	94.3%	0.9%
Philippines	President	2000	19.2%	44.1%	5.2%
Philippines	Quirino	2017	10.4%	38.4%	0.9%
Philippines	President	2000	55.3%	93.9%	7.1%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	29.4%	64.6%	4.5%
Philippines	President	2017	28.5%	81.3%	1.3%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	14.4%	44.0%	0.8%
Philippines	Prieto Diaz	2000	70.0%	97.4%	27.5%
Philippines	Prieto Diaz	2017	41.7%	84.9%	8.2%
Philippines	Prosperidad	2000	86.3%	96.4%	69.1%
Philippines	Prosperidad	2017	64.1%	80.4%	43.9%
Philippines	Pualas	2000	6.1%	29.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Pualas	2017	0.9%	6.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Pudtol	2000	48.2%	57.3%	39.3%
Philippines	Pudtol	2017	13.6%	20.3%	8.6%
Philippines	Puerto Galera	2000	48.1%	95.1%	2.0%
Philippines	Puerto Galera	2017	30.7%	93.3%	0.3%
Philippines	Puerto Princesa	2000	69.5%	77.3%	62.8%
Philippines	Puerto Princesa City	2017	44.7%	52.0%	38.9%
Philippines	Pugo	2000	7.7%	29.1%	0.9%
Philippines	Pugo	2017	4.9%	30.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Pulilan	2000	76.5%	80.0%	72.8%
Philippines	Pulilan	2017	29.8%	33.3%	26.7%
Philippines	Pulupandan	2000	50.7%	98.2%	3.8%
Philippines	Pulupandan	2017	28.9%	92.7%	1.0%
Philippines	Pura	2000	90.6%	97.2%	75.1%
Philippines	Pura	2017	80.5%	92.9%	52.2%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	56.8%	99.6%	3.8%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	46.8%	98.9%	1.7%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	58.0%	73.8%	43.5%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	34.9%	59.3%	12.8%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	18.1%	40.3%	5.7%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	56.4%	96.5%	5.4%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	41.1%	98.2%	0.8%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	31.7%	93.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	34.2%	50.5%	21.9%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	18.4%	42.7%	3.2%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	36.7%	90.1%	1.5%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	8.7%	30.8%	1.6%
Philippines	Quezon City	2000	77.7%	78.9%	76.4%
Philippines	Quezon City	2017	34.2%	35.2%	33.1%
Philippines	Quinapondan	2000	68.6%	99.6%	15.0%
Philippines	Quinapondan	2017	54.4%	98.2%	10.4%
Philippines	Quirino	2000	14.0%	50.8%	0.6%
Philippines	Quirino	2000	75.9%	87.8%	56.7%
Philippines	Quirino	2017	5.4%	34.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Quirino	2017	62.7%	77.7%	30.0%
Philippines	Ragay	2000	45.5%	93.2%	2.4%
Philippines	Ragay	2017	30.5%	83.0%	0.5%
Philippines	Rajah Buayan	2000	39.9%	56.5%	25.7%
Philippines	Rajah Buayan	2017	12.4%	39.8%	3.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Ramon	2000	28.9%	80.0%	0.5%
Philippines	Ramon	2017	14.3%	63.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Ramon	2000	69.2%	93.2%	36.7%
Philippines	Magsaysay				
Philippines	Ramon	2017	46.4%	80.1%	16.0%
Philippines	Magsaysay				
Philippines	Ramos	2000	98.3%	99.9%	88.1%
Philippines	Ramos	2017	92.3%	99.6%	59.3%
Philippines	Rapu-Rapu	2000	56.0%	93.2%	17.0%
Philippines	Rapu-Rapu	2017	32.2%	74.5%	4.5%
Philippines	Real	2000	51.2%	92.8%	4.0%
Philippines	Real	2017	34.0%	82.0%	0.8%
Philippines	Reina Mercedes	2000	28.9%	44.6%	17.1%
Philippines	Reina Mercedes	2017	6.6%	12.2%	3.4%
Philippines	Remedios T. Romualdez	2000	64.0%	93.3%	22.3%
Philippines	Remedios T. Romualdez	2017	30.7%	76.8%	4.6%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	82.5%	92.3%	66.1%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	43.9%	80.6%	12.4%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	2.7%	10.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	8.5%	20.7%	5.5%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	63.6%	87.1%	40.9%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	11.3%	36.0%	1.3%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	22.7%	61.2%	5.2%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	0.6%	2.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	7.3%	34.4%	1.1%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	4.4%	7.2%	3.1%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	56.9%	73.3%	36.3%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	32.8%	59.5%	18.5%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	29.7%	64.4%	5.0%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	5.9%	32.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Rodriguez	2000	30.0%	43.0%	19.2%
Philippines	Rodriguez	2017	8.6%	20.4%	3.7%
Philippines	Romblon	2000	47.1%	90.0%	5.9%
Philippines	Romblon	2017	24.2%	74.4%	0.9%
Philippines	Ronda	2000	60.8%	92.4%	8.8%
Philippines	Ronda	2017	37.9%	87.8%	1.5%
Philippines	Rosales	2000	78.3%	85.7%	70.9%
Philippines	Rosales	2017	54.2%	59.3%	43.2%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	16.1%	60.4%	1.5%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	34.7%	86.3%	9.7%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	47.6%	94.1%	4.1%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	67.3%	85.9%	47.2%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	31.5%	36.3%	26.7%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	15.8%	71.3%	2.3%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	26.5%	82.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	4.1%	21.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	9.6%	13.1%	6.9%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	33.0%	53.5%	18.3%
Philippines	Roseller Lim	2000	64.4%	83.5%	43.0%
Philippines	Roseller Lim	2017	36.1%	56.3%	22.6%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	33.2%	54.1%	15.9%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	39.2%	65.2%	12.5%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	36.6%	47.6%	27.5%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	9.5%	27.1%	2.6%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	23.1%	48.1%	5.1%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	8.4%	11.8%	5.8%
Philippines	Roxas City	2000	81.7%	86.6%	74.6%
Philippines	Roxas City	2017	52.0%	59.4%	43.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Sabangan	2000	82.2%	93.9%	57.3%
Philippines	Sabangan	2017	53.4%	73.1%	26.1%
Philippines	Sablan	2000	53.1%	65.9%	42.1%
Philippines	Sablan	2017	16.0%	23.0%	11.6%
Philippines	Sablayan	2000	38.6%	59.4%	22.0%
Philippines	Sablayan	2017	20.3%	38.7%	9.4%
Philippines	Sabtang	2000	66.4%	100.0%	1.0%
Philippines	Sabtang	2017	50.2%	99.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Sadanga	2000	63.5%	99.6%	18.7%
Philippines	Sadanga	2017	46.4%	97.1%	6.3%
Philippines	Sagada	2000	24.3%	52.6%	9.9%
Philippines	Sagada	2017	8.3%	23.3%	3.0%
Philippines	Sagay	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Philippines	Sagay	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.2%
Philippines	Sagay City	2000	54.4%	77.6%	29.3%
Philippines	Sagay City	2017	27.0%	57.0%	8.7%
Philippines	Sagbayan	2000	50.9%	99.6%	1.0%
Philippines	Sagbayan	2017	33.9%	97.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Sagnay	2000	59.4%	98.3%	9.9%
Philippines	Sagnay	2017	42.5%	93.7%	3.5%
Philippines	Saguday	2000	9.6%	16.1%	6.9%
Philippines	Saguday	2017	3.7%	4.8%	3.1%
Philippines	Saguiaran	2000	81.8%	98.4%	69.1%
Philippines	Saguiaran	2017	79.6%	97.4%	61.1%
Philippines	Saint Bernard	2000	68.0%	93.9%	40.3%
Philippines	Saint Bernard	2017	36.0%	74.1%	10.9%
Philippines	Salay	2000	90.2%	99.9%	36.1%
Philippines	Salay	2017	77.8%	99.5%	21.8%
Philippines	Salcedo	2000	79.8%	86.3%	64.0%
Philippines	Salcedo	2000	59.8%	96.1%	12.1%
Philippines	Salcedo	2017	53.6%	65.7%	30.6%
Philippines	Salcedo	2017	36.6%	85.0%	3.3%
Philippines	Sallapadan	2000	38.4%	54.3%	26.2%
Philippines	Sallapadan	2017	26.6%	38.7%	17.8%
Philippines	Salug	2000	57.6%	80.0%	32.0%
Philippines	Salug	2017	24.2%	44.8%	7.6%
Philippines	Salvador	2000	55.0%	94.4%	14.5%
Philippines	Salvador	2017	22.9%	72.2%	3.6%
Philippines	Salvador	2000	25.2%	66.3%	3.7%
Philippines	Benedicto				
Philippines	Salvador	2017	10.7%	46.3%	0.6%
Philippines	Benedicto				
Philippines	Samal	2000	76.4%	79.8%	72.4%
Philippines	Samal	2017	24.5%	28.3%	20.4%
Philippines	Samal City	2000	59.1%	89.3%	34.5%
Philippines	Samal City	2017	39.1%	69.6%	19.9%
Philippines	Samboan	2000	46.7%	99.5%	0.4%
Philippines	Samboan	2017	31.9%	96.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2000	74.9%	89.7%	56.0%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2017	35.8%	60.2%	16.0%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2000	60.1%	68.2%	52.5%
Philippines	Lake				
Philippines	Sampaloc	2017	18.8%	25.8%	13.5%
Philippines	Lake				
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	53.7%	98.1%	9.3%
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	43.8%	79.1%	8.8%
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	46.2%	89.7%	11.5%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	34.5%	89.2%	3.0%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	21.3%	53.0%	1.4%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	24.3%	71.3%	2.7%
Philippines	San Andres	2000	44.5%	94.4%	2.8%
Philippines	San Andres	2000	62.4%	72.2%	47.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Andres	2000	26.0%	65.7%	5.9%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	29.6%	84.5%	0.5%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	10.1%	37.6%	1.4%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	33.5%	41.1%	22.1%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	75.3%	88.2%	62.7%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	11.7%	48.1%	0.1%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	69.0%	100.0%	3.7%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	46.1%	69.2%	24.9%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	49.9%	99.8%	0.5%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	16.3%	43.2%	5.0%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	49.7%	67.0%	38.6%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	6.0%	40.4%	0.0%
Philippines	San Benito	2000	43.3%	96.9%	0.9%
Philippines	San Benito	2017	26.1%	89.9%	0.2%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2000	13.6%	34.7%	1.1%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2000	48.3%	65.9%	38.0%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2017	5.6%	18.7%	0.1%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2017	21.3%	33.8%	12.2%
Philippines	San Clemente	2000	25.3%	82.1%	5.3%
Philippines	San Clemente	2017	10.1%	55.4%	1.2%
Philippines	San Dionisio	2000	47.2%	87.3%	9.8%
Philippines	San Dionisio	2017	27.7%	73.5%	2.3%
Philippines	San Emilio	2000	49.1%	88.1%	9.9%
Philippines	San Emilio	2017	27.8%	69.4%	1.9%
Philippines	San Enrique	2000	13.6%	48.5%	0.7%
Philippines	San Enrique	2000	59.0%	66.0%	52.5%
Philippines	San Enrique	2017	33.3%	38.2%	28.6%
Philippines	San Enrique	2017	4.2%	26.9%	0.1%
Philippines	San Esteban	2000	31.2%	98.2%	0.2%
Philippines	San Esteban	2017	17.0%	90.6%	0.0%
Philippines	San Fabian	2000	61.4%	73.2%	50.2%
Philippines	San Fabian	2017	28.2%	39.6%	20.4%
Philippines	San Felipe	2000	55.1%	84.3%	27.0%
Philippines	San Felipe	2017	18.2%	43.4%	7.3%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	55.2%	69.2%	37.9%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	52.9%	73.0%	27.9%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	63.2%	91.7%	34.6%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	47.5%	99.7%	0.3%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	53.6%	91.1%	11.6%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	18.0%	34.8%	8.2%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	33.7%	76.5%	2.5%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	22.6%	46.7%	7.4%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	33.1%	97.9%	0.0%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	35.9%	70.0%	12.3%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2000	31.2%	40.2%	25.4%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2000	72.1%	77.0%	65.9%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2017	27.2%	34.5%	21.9%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2017	12.8%	16.8%	8.9%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	68.9%	77.1%	61.6%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	42.6%	85.6%	3.6%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	40.6%	88.5%	1.0%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	45.8%	98.3%	0.8%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	58.6%	98.7%	3.8%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	28.0%	71.0%	0.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	31.3%	41.0%	23.8%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	23.9%	79.4%	0.1%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	38.6%	94.2%	0.5%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	29.7%	92.2%	0.1%
Philippines	San Gabriel	2000	67.3%	90.8%	33.9%
Philippines	San Gabriel	2017	51.5%	83.5%	18.6%
Philippines	San Guillermo	2000	31.2%	82.8%	1.8%
Philippines	San Guillermo	2017	20.1%	64.3%	0.5%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2000	58.7%	63.7%	53.5%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2000	37.3%	88.3%	3.5%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2017	17.1%	21.0%	13.7%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2017	18.0%	71.3%	0.5%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	47.9%	84.2%	11.5%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	57.2%	85.2%	26.3%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	37.1%	44.5%	32.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	14.0%	48.2%	0.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	71.2%	86.1%	56.7%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	73.8%	92.0%	43.1%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	29.2%	51.8%	11.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	54.3%	89.9%	12.7%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	52.6%	88.1%	14.5%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	33.7%	74.5%	5.4%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	6.4%	32.8%	0.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	23.1%	58.0%	4.9%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	6.4%	13.7%	1.8%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	19.7%	60.5%	2.1%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	35.4%	52.2%	25.6%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	15.7%	21.0%	11.5%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	53.1%	79.4%	22.2%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	33.2%	73.8%	2.9%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2000	48.3%	98.6%	0.2%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2000	29.8%	34.8%	23.8%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2017	32.1%	94.5%	0.0%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2017	16.6%	21.6%	11.3%
Philippines	San Joaquin	2000	39.5%	81.6%	11.2%
Philippines	San Joaquin	2017	19.2%	64.9%	2.6%
Philippines	San Jorge	2000	55.2%	96.8%	8.8%
Philippines	San Jorge	2017	36.8%	89.5%	1.5%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	53.7%	76.0%	28.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	59.0%	98.9%	3.8%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	41.7%	70.3%	14.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	49.7%	99.4%	1.1%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	57.8%	81.2%	33.6%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	83.3%	88.0%	71.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	83.0%	85.5%	80.6%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	75.7%	97.7%	34.7%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	41.2%	90.8%	2.6%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	16.3%	51.1%	2.7%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	36.1%	92.7%	0.8%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	31.1%	95.4%	0.2%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	20.3%	41.4%	6.8%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	22.1%	76.9%	0.4%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	61.8%	92.6%	21.3%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	21.7%	48.7%	9.1%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	61.0%	64.8%	54.3%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	45.0%	59.3%	29.9%
Philippines	San Jose City	2000	75.2%	81.4%	64.1%
Philippines	San Jose City	2017	41.9%	53.3%	29.9%
Philippines	San Jose de Buan	2000	56.9%	99.3%	3.3%
Philippines	San Jose de Buan	2017	40.9%	95.2%	0.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Jose del Monte City	2000	63.6%	69.2%	58.3%
Philippines	San Jose del Monte City	2017	23.3%	26.5%	20.3%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	30.9%	98.8%	0.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	47.0%	89.8%	5.7%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	0.7%	4.5%	0.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	76.3%	96.5%	35.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	88.1%	90.8%	85.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	90.6%	91.1%	90.1%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	87.5%	93.9%	68.8%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	71.8%	83.7%	38.8%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	26.7%	75.5%	1.2%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	17.7%	94.6%	0.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	37.5%	78.8%	6.8%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	55.3%	56.4%	54.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	51.0%	57.0%	43.9%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	0.3%	2.4%	0.0%
Philippines	San Julian	2000	44.8%	97.2%	0.7%
Philippines	San Julian	2017	29.5%	94.0%	0.1%
Philippines	San Leonardo	2000	76.1%	78.8%	73.3%
Philippines	San Leonardo	2017	32.3%	36.4%	28.5%
Philippines	San Lorenzo	2000	40.6%	48.7%	33.1%
Philippines	San Lorenzo	2017	9.7%	12.4%	7.7%
Philippines	San Lorenzo Ruiz	2000	81.7%	97.6%	41.4%
Philippines	San Lorenzo Ruiz	2017	61.0%	89.1%	22.5%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	46.3%	59.6%	33.6%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	69.8%	98.1%	32.1%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	42.4%	62.2%	25.0%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	60.8%	99.9%	0.3%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	43.3%	99.6%	0.0%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	46.6%	89.3%	22.6%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	15.7%	34.9%	5.2%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	24.1%	38.3%	12.6%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	45.5%	59.1%	32.5%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	1.5%	7.1%	0.0%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	68.3%	75.8%	60.1%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	13.1%	28.3%	6.9%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	0.6%	2.8%	0.0%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	28.6%	35.9%	22.0%
Philippines	San Marcelino	2000	32.0%	80.8%	4.0%
Philippines	San Marcelino	2017	16.0%	59.9%	0.7%
Philippines	San Mariano	2000	34.2%	74.3%	9.1%
Philippines	San Mariano	2017	21.1%	57.4%	3.1%
Philippines	San Mateo	2000	73.6%	75.8%	71.6%
Philippines	San Mateo	2000	9.6%	52.7%	0.2%
Philippines	San Mateo	2017	26.4%	28.6%	24.2%
Philippines	San Mateo	2017	4.1%	33.9%	0.0%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	45.3%	76.5%	15.8%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	89.6%	96.1%	80.2%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	59.0%	88.1%	31.5%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	46.5%	95.4%	2.8%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	33.2%	36.1%	30.2%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	34.6%	62.3%	14.2%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	66.6%	78.7%	52.0%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	23.8%	58.5%	4.3%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	28.8%	85.4%	0.7%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	13.0%	36.3%	3.0%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	35.1%	54.7%	21.9%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	66.2%	79.0%	53.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	6.6%	7.5%	5.8%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	22.6%	57.2%	7.8%
Philippines	San Narciso	2000	33.8%	94.7%	1.7%
Philippines	San Narciso	2000	35.3%	80.4%	1.7%
Philippines	San Narciso	2017	17.8%	81.4%	0.3%
Philippines	San Narciso	2017	21.2%	64.2%	0.2%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	91.0%	99.8%	49.3%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	44.0%	50.2%	38.2%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	9.0%	28.4%	0.4%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	9.6%	11.8%	7.8%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	77.9%	98.9%	38.3%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	3.8%	14.4%	0.1%
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	59.9%	96.0%	18.8%
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	55.5%	99.5%	4.0%
Philippines	San Pablo	2017	38.4%	96.1%	0.7%
Philippines	San Pablo	2017	43.0%	89.8%	10.2%
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	55.0%	60.4%	49.6%
Philippines	San Pablo City	2017	18.7%	23.6%	14.7%
Philippines	San Pascual	2000	80.5%	86.6%	71.8%
Philippines	San Pascual	2000	53.5%	93.2%	13.1%
Philippines	San Pascual	2017	31.8%	77.4%	3.6%
Philippines	San Pascual	2017	42.3%	52.4%	30.8%
Philippines	San Pedro	2000	75.1%	78.5%	71.0%
Philippines	San Pedro	2017	27.8%	31.8%	24.0%
Philippines	San Policarpo	2000	81.2%	98.5%	46.1%
Philippines	San Policarpo	2017	60.2%	91.6%	30.0%
Philippines	San Quintin	2000	77.2%	82.3%	71.2%
Philippines	San Quintin	2000	45.3%	98.4%	2.4%
Philippines	San Quintin	2017	32.0%	39.0%	24.9%
Philippines	San Quintin	2017	29.4%	93.3%	0.4%
Philippines	San Rafael	2000	8.7%	30.9%	0.2%
Philippines	San Rafael	2000	31.0%	43.7%	25.4%
Philippines	San Rafael	2017	2.8%	16.0%	0.0%
Philippines	San Rafael	2017	13.6%	17.8%	10.4%
Philippines	San Remigio	2000	20.9%	36.9%	10.1%
Philippines	San Remigio	2000	49.1%	94.7%	5.6%
Philippines	San Remigio	2017	31.0%	81.6%	1.1%
Philippines	San Remigio	2017	7.1%	16.6%	2.4%
Philippines	San Ricardo	2000	67.7%	95.7%	31.5%
Philippines	San Ricardo	2017	40.5%	82.0%	8.0%
Philippines	San Roque	2000	43.1%	98.5%	0.8%
Philippines	San Roque	2017	29.9%	95.9%	0.1%
Philippines	San Sebastian	2000	85.9%	94.1%	66.6%
Philippines	San Sebastian	2017	51.5%	69.9%	34.8%
Philippines	San Simon	2000	76.9%	89.2%	57.9%
Philippines	San Simon	2017	41.5%	61.1%	28.7%
Philippines	San Teodoro	2000	69.6%	84.6%	48.1%
Philippines	San Teodoro	2017	38.8%	64.3%	18.9%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	47.7%	99.4%	0.1%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	88.4%	99.3%	47.2%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	54.9%	77.8%	26.9%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	59.3%	81.4%	35.8%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	30.4%	96.3%	0.0%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	67.4%	96.1%	18.2%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	35.7%	59.2%	12.1%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	18.5%	40.5%	7.6%
Philippines	Sanchez-Mira	2000	50.2%	65.8%	32.3%
Philippines	Sanchez-Mira	2017	19.1%	28.5%	9.1%
Philippines	Santa	2000	16.2%	22.7%	13.0%
Philippines	Santa	2017	5.8%	7.9%	4.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Santa Ana	2000	63.4%	97.9%	14.6%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2000	40.4%	91.8%	2.9%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2017	35.2%	89.0%	3.7%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2017	23.8%	77.2%	0.6%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2000	0.7%	2.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2000	48.6%	61.1%	33.7%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2017	20.4%	34.3%	9.3%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2000	52.3%	91.8%	10.5%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2000	38.3%	73.0%	19.6%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2017	10.8%	28.0%	3.2%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2017	31.3%	75.9%	3.2%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	51.2%	76.9%	22.4%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	47.4%	53.2%	37.7%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	30.7%	83.1%	1.0%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	30.4%	52.4%	14.8%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	41.1%	85.0%	6.7%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	53.4%	62.6%	42.8%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	14.1%	19.2%	9.7%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	17.6%	70.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	36.8%	45.2%	26.1%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	26.0%	58.2%	6.1%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	23.0%	71.7%	2.3%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	9.1%	20.9%	3.2%
Philippines	Santa Elena	2000	42.6%	84.1%	6.8%
Philippines	Santa Elena	2017	23.0%	66.3%	1.3%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	44.3%	99.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	31.6%	82.3%	1.1%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	87.5%	89.6%	84.7%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	43.0%	95.9%	1.7%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	28.8%	96.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	50.3%	55.2%	44.5%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	29.1%	83.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	18.5%	66.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Santa Ignacia	2000	68.6%	99.6%	22.0%
Philippines	Santa Ignacia	2017	51.0%	97.6%	10.6%
Philippines	Santa Josefa	2000	49.5%	88.5%	6.6%
Philippines	Santa Josefa	2017	31.2%	77.5%	3.1%
Philippines	Santa Lucia	2000	23.4%	42.0%	12.2%
Philippines	Santa Lucia	2017	6.4%	19.6%	2.7%
Philippines	Santa Magdalena	2000	64.3%	97.7%	15.4%
Philippines	Santa Magdalena	2017	37.5%	89.7%	4.0%
Philippines	Santa Marcela	2000	35.2%	78.4%	10.1%
Philippines	Santa Marcela	2017	12.0%	40.4%	2.4%
Philippines	Santa Margarita	2000	57.4%	98.1%	7.2%
Philippines	Santa Margarita	2017	32.9%	89.0%	1.8%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	67.0%	87.8%	47.1%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	38.0%	89.9%	5.5%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	43.3%	86.8%	8.8%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	68.7%	95.1%	32.1%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	66.6%	68.8%	64.0%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	65.4%	71.5%	58.3%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	44.7%	84.2%	12.0%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	28.1%	36.6%	21.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	19.0%	60.0%	2.0%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	37.9%	77.0%	5.9%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	43.6%	63.7%	25.4%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	23.0%	24.4%	21.4%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	24.8%	72.1%	2.4%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	14.8%	62.2%	0.8%
Philippines	Santa Monica	2000	46.8%	99.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Santa Monica	2017	28.6%	96.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Praxedes	2000	79.3%	100.0%	25.6%
Philippines	Santa Praxedes	2017	66.4%	99.7%	8.5%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2000	53.9%	94.2%	13.7%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2000	55.7%	90.8%	21.0%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2017	28.7%	82.4%	4.0%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2017	33.9%	75.8%	6.8%
Philippines	Santa Rosa	2000	52.4%	63.3%	41.4%
Philippines	Santa Rosa	2017	21.5%	31.1%	13.5%
Philippines	Santa Rosa City	2000	66.5%	70.5%	61.9%
Philippines	Santa Rosa City	2017	20.5%	23.4%	17.5%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2000	75.0%	100.0%	23.7%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2000	14.7%	51.1%	1.3%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2017	57.8%	99.8%	6.4%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2017	5.6%	30.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Santander	2000	66.4%	93.1%	29.8%
Philippines	Santander	2017	29.8%	68.1%	5.9%
Philippines	Santiago	2000	62.5%	91.0%	26.9%
Philippines	Santiago	2000	30.8%	80.4%	2.3%
Philippines	Santiago	2017	29.3%	63.2%	9.7%
Philippines	Santiago	2017	13.2%	65.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Santiago City	2000	4.2%	8.5%	2.2%
Philippines	Santiago City	2017	2.1%	3.5%	1.2%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	63.6%	95.3%	24.9%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	87.3%	90.6%	81.5%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	38.9%	53.3%	26.2%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	11.7%	21.0%	6.5%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	36.8%	77.7%	6.2%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	58.1%	66.1%	49.3%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2000	30.1%	67.9%	10.0%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2000	45.0%	99.6%	0.5%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2017	7.3%	28.1%	1.6%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2017	29.7%	97.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Santo Niño	2000	25.2%	60.7%	5.0%
Philippines	Santo Niño	2017	13.0%	39.4%	2.2%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	66.6%	73.1%	57.9%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	82.2%	92.2%	63.3%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	66.8%	97.1%	19.2%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	41.5%	81.4%	7.4%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	16.5%	34.8%	7.3%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	38.8%	52.5%	29.5%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	22.2%	31.5%	15.7%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	8.5%	22.2%	3.3%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	41.7%	84.4%	4.5%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	10.5%	15.8%	6.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	18.3%	59.7%	1.4%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	47.8%	68.7%	26.1%
Philippines	Santol	2000	17.3%	43.5%	1.9%
Philippines	Santol	2017	7.3%	26.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Sapa-Sapa	2000	26.1%	68.1%	1.2%
Philippines	Sapa-Sapa	2017	13.3%	46.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Sapad	2000	59.4%	96.0%	14.4%
Philippines	Sapad	2017	33.7%	82.7%	4.4%
Philippines	Sapang	2000	19.5%	39.3%	7.8%
Philippines	Dalaga				
Philippines	Sapang	2017	7.3%	20.1%	2.4%
Philippines	Dalaga				
Philippines	Sapi-An	2000	14.7%	61.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Sapi-An	2017	7.5%	44.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Sara	2000	41.0%	88.5%	4.3%
Philippines	Sara	2017	21.2%	75.3%	0.7%
Philippines	Sarangani	2000	26.7%	73.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Sarangani	2017	13.6%	53.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Sariaya	2000	31.5%	43.5%	24.4%
Philippines	Sariaya	2017	12.9%	22.4%	8.5%
Philippines	Sarrat	2000	40.0%	46.3%	34.8%
Philippines	Sarrat	2017	8.7%	11.3%	6.9%
Philippines	Sasmuan	2000	68.2%	75.4%	60.8%
Philippines	Sasmuan	2017	24.3%	30.8%	18.9%
Philippines	Sebaste	2000	49.9%	99.3%	2.7%
Philippines	Sebaste	2017	34.8%	96.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Sen. Ninoy	2000	46.8%	91.4%	4.9%
Philippines	Aquino				
Philippines	Sen. Ninoy	2017	25.4%	75.4%	0.9%
Philippines	Aquino				
Philippines	Sergio Os-	2000	40.9%	81.3%	6.8%
Philippines	mena Sr.				
Philippines	Sergio Os-	2017	26.1%	66.8%	1.6%
Philippines	mena Sr.				
Philippines	Sevilla	2000	39.9%	78.6%	10.4%
Philippines	Sevilla	2017	17.3%	60.1%	1.9%
Philippines	Shariff Aguak	2000	2.8%	23.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Shariff Aguak	2017	0.8%	7.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Siasi	2000	50.1%	76.3%	24.0%
Philippines	Siasi	2017	22.9%	52.7%	5.8%
Philippines	Siaton	2000	41.1%	62.8%	19.1%
Philippines	Siaton	2017	20.6%	41.7%	4.6%
Philippines	Siay	2000	43.2%	64.9%	27.6%
Philippines	Siay	2017	16.0%	32.8%	9.4%
Philippines	Siayan	2000	15.6%	35.8%	2.3%
Philippines	Siayan	2017	6.3%	19.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Sibagat	2000	41.5%	67.3%	14.2%
Philippines	Sibagat	2017	29.9%	57.1%	8.5%
Philippines	Sibalom	2000	50.8%	57.7%	44.2%
Philippines	Sibalom	2017	14.6%	18.5%	11.4%
Philippines	Sibonga	2000	65.6%	90.6%	16.3%
Philippines	Sibonga	2017	34.5%	77.8%	3.6%
Philippines	Sibuco	2000	46.0%	88.9%	11.1%
Philippines	Sibuco	2017	30.1%	73.0%	3.4%
Philippines	Sibulan	2000	74.5%	85.4%	57.3%
Philippines	Sibulan	2017	41.4%	58.2%	25.3%
Philippines	Sibunag	2000	36.1%	45.5%	28.9%
Philippines	Sibunag	2017	12.1%	19.4%	7.6%
Philippines	Sibutad	2000	6.9%	25.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Sibutad	2017	3.1%	11.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Sibutu	2000	17.5%	48.9%	1.0%
Philippines	Sibutu	2017	7.0%	30.8%	0.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Sierra Bul- lones	2000	60.2%	99.8%	0.7%
Philippines	Sierra Bul- lones	2017	41.3%	98.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Sigay	2000	63.9%	99.7%	15.8%
Philippines	Sigay	2017	49.9%	97.5%	8.3%
Philippines	Sigma	2000	4.2%	9.6%	2.3%
Philippines	Sigma	2017	0.7%	2.0%	0.3%
Philippines	Sikatuna	2000	55.9%	65.0%	44.2%
Philippines	Sikatuna	2017	14.9%	20.1%	9.7%
Philippines	Silago	2000	68.8%	97.1%	25.6%
Philippines	Silago	2017	46.9%	87.8%	11.0%
Philippines	Silang	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Philippines	Silang	2017	93.2%	94.1%	92.1%
Philippines	Silay City	2000	60.3%	69.0%	52.0%
Philippines	Silay City	2017	19.9%	29.2%	14.8%
Philippines	Silvino Lobos	2000	50.2%	98.3%	4.4%
Philippines	Silvino Lobos	2017	34.8%	93.0%	1.0%
Philippines	Simunul	2000	13.4%	47.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Simunul	2017	6.8%	37.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Sinacaban	2000	75.2%	92.4%	50.5%
Philippines	Sinacaban	2017	38.7%	67.7%	17.4%
Philippines	Sinait	2000	45.5%	97.5%	5.5%
Philippines	Sinait	2017	26.1%	91.0%	1.7%
Philippines	Sindangan	2000	34.8%	52.5%	17.9%
Philippines	Sindangan	2017	13.6%	34.9%	4.0%
Philippines	Siniloan	2000	40.2%	88.0%	3.5%
Philippines	Siniloan	2017	18.6%	68.2%	0.6%
Philippines	Siocon	2000	50.8%	84.0%	16.4%
Philippines	Siocon	2017	27.1%	65.7%	4.2%
Philippines	Sipalay City	2000	17.0%	38.0%	1.9%
Philippines	Sipalay City	2017	8.4%	24.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Sipocot	2000	52.4%	94.8%	7.0%
Philippines	Sipocot	2017	35.9%	84.3%	1.5%
Philippines	Siquijor	2000	90.3%	92.5%	88.1%
Philippines	Siquijor	2017	58.2%	63.7%	53.6%
Philippines	Sirawai	2000	52.0%	96.2%	3.1%
Philippines	Sirawai	2017	36.6%	89.5%	0.6%
Philippines	Siruma	2000	49.1%	97.0%	2.6%
Philippines	Siruma	2017	33.3%	87.1%	0.6%
Philippines	Sison	2000	66.4%	73.4%	59.2%
Philippines	Sison	2000	61.1%	92.7%	15.0%
Philippines	Sison	2017	32.2%	41.3%	26.0%
Philippines	Sison	2017	43.6%	85.6%	6.4%
Philippines	Sitangkai	2000	30.6%	76.4%	0.8%
Philippines	Sitangkai	2017	17.6%	62.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Socorro	2000	74.8%	91.8%	50.4%
Philippines	Socorro	2000	53.1%	99.5%	0.9%
Philippines	Socorro	2017	37.1%	96.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Socorro	2017	47.9%	70.9%	23.8%
Philippines	Sofronio Espanola	2000	44.8%	82.9%	12.0%
Philippines	Sofronio Espanola	2017	25.1%	61.8%	2.4%
Philippines	Sogod	2000	72.0%	94.0%	44.8%
Philippines	Sogod	2000	51.2%	99.3%	0.7%
Philippines	Sogod	2017	35.8%	70.2%	15.9%
Philippines	Sogod	2017	34.8%	97.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Solana	2000	56.5%	72.9%	38.8%
Philippines	Solana	2017	33.3%	53.4%	19.2%
Philippines	Solano	2000	46.4%	51.1%	41.9%
Philippines	Solano	2017	14.0%	16.8%	11.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Solsona	2000	94.3%	99.8%	69.6%
Philippines	Solsona	2017	87.1%	98.8%	42.2%
Philippines	Sominot	2000	74.6%	99.5%	25.3%
Philippines	Sominot	2017	57.3%	97.5%	11.8%
Philippines	Sorsogon City	2000	58.7%	69.1%	46.7%
Philippines	Sorsogon City	2017	22.4%	32.7%	13.3%
Philippines	South Ubian	2000	45.0%	97.1%	2.2%
Philippines	South Ubian	2017	26.3%	85.5%	0.5%
Philippines	South Upi	2000	36.9%	86.2%	1.8%
Philippines	South Upi	2017	22.5%	71.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Sual	2000	58.5%	98.0%	8.8%
Philippines	Sual	2017	38.5%	92.7%	2.3%
Philippines	Subic	2000	69.5%	77.7%	62.1%
Philippines	Subic	2017	34.3%	46.5%	23.0%
Philippines	Sudipen	2000	63.1%	93.2%	25.9%
Philippines	Sudipen	2017	38.1%	83.4%	7.9%
Philippines	Sugbongcogon	2000	83.1%	99.4%	22.4%
Philippines	Sugbongcogon	2017	64.5%	95.7%	14.3%
Philippines	Sugpon	2000	45.8%	93.7%	1.5%
Philippines	Sugpon	2017	30.1%	80.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Sulat	2000	40.9%	98.2%	1.0%
Philippines	Sulat	2017	27.3%	94.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Sulop	2000	54.4%	65.4%	38.3%
Philippines	Sulop	2017	22.8%	37.2%	10.7%
Philippines	Sultan Dumlondong	2000	21.3%	55.1%	1.1%
Philippines	Sultan Dumlondong	2017	11.7%	37.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Sultan Kudarat	2000	66.8%	74.6%	56.0%
Philippines	Sultan Kudarat	2017	38.3%	46.3%	31.2%
Philippines	Sultan Mastura	2000	22.6%	42.0%	10.6%
Philippines	Sultan Mastura	2017	3.6%	9.2%	1.7%
Philippines	Sultan Naga Dimaporo	2000	54.8%	83.2%	27.1%
Philippines	Sultan Naga Dimaporo	2017	26.1%	60.0%	7.0%
Philippines	Sultan Sa Barongis	2000	32.1%	55.3%	15.3%
Philippines	Sultan Sa Barongis	2017	6.3%	18.7%	1.7%
Philippines	Sumilao	2000	62.5%	96.6%	14.0%
Philippines	Sumilao	2017	40.7%	84.5%	4.4%
Philippines	Sumisip	2000	51.2%	82.9%	14.4%
Philippines	Sumisip	2017	25.8%	57.4%	3.7%
Philippines	Surallah	2000	41.5%	73.8%	17.2%
Philippines	Surallah	2017	22.1%	51.8%	8.4%
Philippines	Surigao City	2000	54.0%	65.5%	44.5%
Philippines	Surigao City	2017	20.4%	30.6%	14.4%
Philippines	Suyo	2000	59.2%	99.0%	7.9%
Philippines	Suyo	2017	45.2%	95.2%	3.3%
Philippines	T'Boli	2000	42.6%	59.2%	29.8%
Philippines	T'Boli	2017	27.2%	41.8%	12.8%
Philippines	Taal	2000	81.9%	100.0%	14.5%
Philippines	Taal	2017	63.8%	99.8%	4.8%
Philippines	Taal lake	2000	73.8%	82.7%	61.7%
Philippines	Taal lake	2017	49.9%	66.0%	34.4%
Philippines	Tabaco City	2000	47.4%	69.4%	28.4%
Philippines	Tabaco City	2017	16.4%	39.2%	5.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tabango	2000	51.5%	97.8%	1.1%
Philippines	Tabango	2017	33.9%	89.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Tabina	2000	70.2%	97.3%	26.6%
Philippines	Tabina	2017	41.7%	88.5%	7.9%
Philippines	Tabogon	2000	49.2%	94.4%	6.9%
Philippines	Tabogon	2017	27.1%	80.7%	1.4%
Philippines	Tabontabon	2000	69.8%	78.3%	59.4%
Philippines	Tabontabon	2017	38.3%	49.1%	28.6%
Philippines	Tabuelan	2000	46.7%	99.2%	0.8%
Philippines	Tabuelan	2017	30.0%	94.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Tabuk City	2000	56.8%	64.9%	46.4%
Philippines	Tabuk City	2017	31.6%	38.8%	24.6%
Philippines	Tacloban City	2000	72.0%	77.6%	66.5%
Philippines	Tacloban City	2017	36.4%	40.1%	33.6%
Philippines	Tacurong City	2000	57.2%	80.4%	28.1%
Philippines	Tacurong City	2017	22.9%	49.5%	6.5%
Philippines	Tadian	2000	3.6%	10.8%	0.6%
Philippines	Tadian	2017	0.4%	1.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Taft	2000	39.3%	93.7%	2.7%
Philippines	Taft	2017	24.5%	86.9%	0.7%
Philippines	Tagana-An	2000	81.2%	94.7%	62.9%
Philippines	Tagana-An	2017	56.5%	77.1%	39.0%
Philippines	Tagapul-An	2000	46.9%	99.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Tagapul-An	2017	30.9%	97.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Tagaytay City	2000	81.8%	86.4%	74.0%
Philippines	Tagaytay City	2017	68.8%	77.8%	59.7%
Philippines	Tagbilaran City	2000	39.6%	99.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Tagbilaran City	2017	22.5%	93.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Tagbina	2000	65.9%	97.3%	17.2%
Philippines	Tagbina	2017	43.7%	86.8%	6.4%
Philippines	Tagkawayan	2000	60.6%	88.3%	23.0%
Philippines	Tagkawayan	2017	36.2%	71.6%	10.4%
Philippines	Tago	2000	37.3%	46.8%	26.2%
Philippines	Tago	2017	13.2%	22.8%	6.5%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2000	64.2%	69.4%	59.2%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2000	80.9%	86.1%	73.4%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2017	42.6%	46.1%	39.9%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2017	45.3%	53.0%	38.4%
Philippines	Tagoloan II	2000	37.9%	84.7%	4.0%
Philippines	Tagoloan II	2017	23.8%	66.9%	3.5%
Philippines	Tagudin	2000	85.6%	99.5%	49.6%
Philippines	Tagudin	2017	70.9%	97.4%	16.0%
Philippines	Taguig	2000	76.9%	78.7%	74.8%
Philippines	Taguig	2017	30.2%	32.0%	28.0%
Philippines	Tagum City	2000	51.6%	60.8%	43.0%
Philippines	Tagum City	2017	15.9%	23.8%	11.2%
Philippines	Talacogon	2000	43.2%	53.3%	34.8%
Philippines	Talacogon	2017	15.0%	20.1%	11.6%
Philippines	Talaingod	2000	47.2%	81.6%	10.7%
Philippines	Talaingod	2017	31.7%	72.3%	3.4%
Philippines	Talakag	2000	55.0%	84.4%	25.7%
Philippines	Talakag	2017	39.2%	70.6%	12.9%
Philippines	Talalora	2000	28.9%	50.8%	16.7%
Philippines	Talalora	2017	19.7%	33.9%	9.7%
Philippines	Talavera	2000	62.0%	80.8%	40.7%
Philippines	Talavera	2017	25.9%	45.5%	11.1%
Philippines	Talayan	2000	43.3%	93.6%	1.5%
Philippines	Talayan	2017	22.9%	83.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Talibon	2000	48.8%	96.8%	2.7%
Philippines	Talibon	2017	32.2%	91.6%	0.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Talipao	2000	57.5%	66.4%	48.6%
Philippines	Talipao	2017	25.7%	41.0%	16.8%
Philippines	Talisay	2000	89.7%	94.3%	79.6%
Philippines	Talisay	2000	58.9%	63.6%	55.3%
Philippines	Talisay	2017	67.1%	76.5%	57.0%
Philippines	Talisay	2017	45.0%	47.3%	41.3%
Philippines	Talisay City	2000	51.8%	65.5%	40.8%
Philippines	Talisay City	2000	57.8%	62.7%	53.4%
Philippines	Talisay City	2017	16.5%	25.4%	9.6%
Philippines	Talisay City	2017	16.4%	20.6%	13.5%
Philippines	Talisayan	2000	73.7%	99.9%	8.4%
Philippines	Talisayan	2017	60.2%	99.4%	3.8%
Philippines	Talitay	2000	55.3%	99.3%	2.2%
Philippines	Talitay	2017	29.6%	93.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Talugtug	2000	71.1%	97.2%	13.7%
Philippines	Talugtug	2017	49.8%	92.0%	4.0%
Philippines	Talusan	2000	53.3%	98.0%	5.8%
Philippines	Talusan	2017	36.3%	88.4%	1.0%
Philippines	Tambulig	2000	66.5%	87.0%	41.7%
Philippines	Tambulig	2017	33.9%	60.6%	17.0%
Philippines	Tampakan	2000	92.2%	97.4%	79.2%
Philippines	Tampakan	2017	74.5%	89.1%	56.4%
Philippines	Tamparan	2000	31.2%	89.3%	1.2%
Philippines	Tamparan	2017	12.6%	60.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Tampilisan	2000	60.7%	97.0%	14.1%
Philippines	Tampilisan	2017	37.9%	88.1%	4.7%
Philippines	Tanauan	2000	46.1%	81.8%	14.9%
Philippines	Tanauan	2017	17.3%	54.9%	2.8%
Philippines	Tanauan City	2000	65.1%	72.1%	57.3%
Philippines	Tanauan City	2017	33.7%	44.6%	22.6%
Philippines	Tanay	2000	63.5%	92.4%	26.3%
Philippines	Tanay	2017	37.0%	75.4%	8.9%
Philippines	Tandag City	2000	10.7%	56.7%	1.3%
Philippines	Tandag City	2017	3.9%	18.7%	0.4%
Philippines	Tandubas	2000	19.8%	67.9%	0.7%
Philippines	Tandubas	2017	9.7%	52.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Tangalan	2000	37.8%	55.3%	21.2%
Philippines	Tangalan	2017	11.9%	25.0%	5.1%
Philippines	Tangcal	2000	46.7%	97.2%	3.8%
Philippines	Tangcal	2017	29.9%	93.5%	1.4%
Philippines	Tangub City	2000	84.8%	91.8%	74.0%
Philippines	Tangub City	2017	50.3%	65.2%	33.8%
Philippines	Tanjay City	2000	72.9%	87.6%	53.4%
Philippines	Tanjay City	2017	43.6%	63.6%	29.8%
Philippines	Tantangan	2000	67.4%	80.0%	57.7%
Philippines	Tantangan	2017	46.1%	58.0%	24.1%
Philippines	Tanudan	2000	71.7%	96.2%	39.9%
Philippines	Tanudan	2017	56.3%	89.3%	25.5%
Philippines	Tanza	2000	67.9%	73.6%	62.3%
Philippines	Tanza	2017	23.3%	28.4%	19.7%
Philippines	Tapaz	2000	30.9%	77.7%	2.8%
Philippines	Tapaz	2017	17.0%	60.6%	0.5%
Philippines	Tapul	2000	55.6%	98.4%	2.7%
Philippines	Tapul	2017	37.7%	93.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Taraka	2000	31.7%	77.1%	2.9%
Philippines	Taraka	2017	6.8%	26.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Tarangnan	2000	54.7%	98.1%	4.5%
Philippines	Tarangnan	2017	37.3%	90.5%	0.7%
Philippines	Tarlac City	2000	61.7%	69.0%	54.2%
Philippines	Tarlac City	2017	29.2%	37.7%	20.6%
Philippines	Tarragona	2000	29.1%	66.5%	3.8%
Philippines	Tarragona	2017	14.5%	51.1%	0.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tayabas City	2000	17.6%	24.2%	12.3%
Philippines	Tayabas City	2017	5.8%	10.2%	3.6%
Philippines	Tayasan	2000	36.2%	75.8%	3.7%
Philippines	Tayasan	2017	20.2%	55.8%	0.5%
Philippines	Taysan	2000	62.2%	99.1%	7.7%
Philippines	Taysan	2017	38.0%	93.4%	1.2%
Philippines	Taytay	2000	84.9%	86.0%	83.4%
Philippines	Taytay	2000	47.9%	72.0%	21.8%
Philippines	Taytay	2017	30.7%	55.9%	11.3%
Philippines	Taytay	2017	41.8%	43.9%	39.4%
Philippines	Tayug	2000	16.5%	41.5%	2.7%
Philippines	Tayug	2017	5.5%	21.7%	0.4%
Philippines	Tayum	2000	69.8%	72.8%	67.5%
Philippines	Tayum	2017	31.7%	34.1%	29.0%
Philippines	Teresa	2000	81.2%	83.3%	79.1%
Philippines	Teresa	2017	37.2%	40.2%	34.2%
Philippines	Ternate	2000	47.0%	99.3%	0.3%
Philippines	Ternate	2017	27.9%	97.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Tiaong	2000	55.1%	61.2%	48.4%
Philippines	Tiaong	2017	14.8%	18.7%	11.4%
Philippines	Tibiao	2000	54.6%	69.7%	41.6%
Philippines	Tibiao	2017	41.2%	57.8%	24.4%
Philippines	Tigaon	2000	50.7%	99.5%	0.6%
Philippines	Tigaon	2017	36.8%	98.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Tigbao	2000	66.6%	97.9%	8.4%
Philippines	Tigbao	2017	50.0%	93.9%	2.3%
Philippines	Tigbauan	2000	19.3%	32.4%	14.8%
Philippines	Tigbauan	2017	7.1%	10.2%	5.0%
Philippines	Tinambac	2000	81.5%	99.1%	57.3%
Philippines	Tinambac	2017	73.8%	97.0%	52.5%
Philippines	Tineg	2000	42.5%	82.2%	10.1%
Philippines	Tineg	2017	27.1%	71.7%	3.1%
Philippines	Tinglayan	2000	71.7%	83.5%	53.4%
Philippines	Tinglayan	2017	44.4%	67.3%	24.8%
Philippines	Tingloy	2000	46.9%	98.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Tingloy	2017	31.4%	92.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Tinoc	2000	43.8%	91.5%	5.0%
Philippines	Tinoc	2017	27.6%	79.6%	0.8%
Philippines	Tipo-Tipo	2000	82.8%	95.8%	51.4%
Philippines	Tipo-Tipo	2017	39.8%	69.5%	16.2%
Philippines	Titay	2000	50.0%	86.9%	6.3%
Philippines	Titay	2017	31.9%	76.6%	2.0%
Philippines	Tiwi	2000	83.4%	94.8%	64.0%
Philippines	Tiwi	2017	52.2%	74.3%	32.0%
Philippines	Tobias Fornier	2000	42.1%	82.6%	10.9%
Philippines	Tobias Fornier	2017	19.2%	61.8%	1.9%
Philippines	Toboso	2000	44.5%	97.5%	0.6%
Philippines	Toboso	2017	27.5%	89.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Toledo City	2000	54.1%	62.1%	46.0%
Philippines	Toledo City	2017	22.9%	30.4%	16.8%
Philippines	Tolosa	2000	41.1%	95.2%	2.1%
Philippines	Tolosa	2017	19.3%	83.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Tomas Oppus	2000	18.8%	44.4%	5.1%
Philippines	Tomas Oppus	2017	5.1%	21.1%	0.7%
Philippines	Tongkil	2000	45.2%	82.0%	9.9%
Philippines	Tongkil	2017	27.9%	69.2%	2.5%
Philippines	Torrijos	2000	75.7%	85.2%	67.3%
Philippines	Torrijos	2017	59.2%	66.7%	51.3%
Philippines	Trece Martires City	2000	68.8%	73.1%	64.5%
Philippines	Trece Martires City	2017	31.8%	35.8%	28.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Trento	2000	52.8%	95.0%	5.9%
Philippines	Trento	2017	34.9%	88.2%	1.7%
Philippines	Trinidad	2000	39.2%	75.8%	9.5%
Philippines	Trinidad	2017	15.4%	46.1%	1.6%
Philippines	Tuao	2000	18.1%	61.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Tuao	2017	10.0%	45.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Tuba	2000	38.4%	45.7%	30.2%
Philippines	Tuba	2017	11.3%	15.4%	8.1%
Philippines	Tubajon	2000	81.1%	96.0%	57.6%
Philippines	Tubajon	2017	50.9%	78.9%	23.3%
Philippines	Tubao	2000	39.4%	58.6%	15.1%
Philippines	Tubao	2017	15.8%	42.0%	3.1%
Philippines	Tubaran	2000	13.3%	32.3%	1.2%
Philippines	Tubaran	2017	5.5%	24.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Tubay	2000	76.4%	86.7%	63.9%
Philippines	Tubay	2017	35.7%	50.3%	25.5%
Philippines	Tubigon	2000	70.0%	90.7%	38.9%
Philippines	Tubigon	2017	32.1%	58.8%	8.3%
Philippines	Tublay	2000	56.4%	67.3%	42.4%
Philippines	Tublay	2017	17.8%	31.0%	11.6%
Philippines	Tubo	2000	43.7%	86.8%	8.6%
Philippines	Tubo	2017	29.9%	74.9%	4.9%
Philippines	Tubod	2000	65.9%	94.9%	30.7%
Philippines	Tubod	2000	64.2%	93.5%	20.4%
Philippines	Tubod	2017	37.4%	75.2%	4.9%
Philippines	Tubod	2017	29.2%	70.9%	7.8%
Philippines	Tubungan	2000	56.6%	96.0%	5.6%
Philippines	Tubungan	2017	34.4%	87.9%	1.5%
Philippines	Tuburan	2000	69.1%	90.5%	45.2%
Philippines	Tuburan	2000	95.6%	98.4%	88.4%
Philippines	Tuburan	2017	74.7%	88.8%	51.2%
Philippines	Tuburan	2017	38.8%	66.9%	14.3%
Philippines	Tudela	2000	71.1%	89.5%	44.7%
Philippines	Tudela	2000	46.4%	99.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Tudela	2017	33.8%	64.3%	14.4%
Philippines	Tudela	2017	30.7%	97.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Tugaya	2000	27.6%	41.9%	17.4%
Philippines	Tugaya	2017	5.1%	8.7%	2.8%
Philippines	Tuguegarao	2000	68.8%	75.4%	61.5%
Philippines	Tuguegarao City	2017	33.3%	43.5%	23.3%
Philippines	Tukuran	2000	17.9%	34.2%	7.0%
Philippines	Tukuran	2017	4.7%	12.5%	1.3%
Philippines	Tulunan	2000	44.0%	73.8%	15.7%
Philippines	Tulunan	2017	20.0%	55.5%	2.8%
Philippines	Tumauini	2000	44.5%	72.3%	19.6%
Philippines	Tumauini	2017	19.0%	44.0%	4.0%
Philippines	Tunga	2000	46.0%	91.5%	8.3%
Philippines	Tunga	2017	26.7%	82.2%	1.9%
Philippines	Tungawan	2000	43.1%	85.2%	13.9%
Philippines	Tungawan	2017	22.5%	63.4%	3.8%
Philippines	Tupi	2000	84.1%	95.4%	68.4%
Philippines	Tupi	2017	57.9%	76.3%	42.7%
Philippines	Tuy	2000	56.8%	76.1%	35.0%
Philippines	Tuy	2017	23.5%	45.3%	10.2%
Philippines	Ubay	2000	26.5%	64.4%	2.8%
Philippines	Ubay	2017	12.9%	42.5%	0.4%
Philippines	Umingan	2000	55.8%	98.6%	4.6%
Philippines	Umingan	2017	39.1%	92.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Ungkaya Pukan	2000	78.7%	94.7%	53.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Ungkaya Pukan	2017	38.7%	67.9%	18.8%
Philippines	Unisan	2000	32.1%	81.9%	8.0%
Philippines	Unisan	2017	13.8%	60.1%	1.7%
Philippines	Upi	2000	62.2%	72.9%	50.3%
Philippines	Upi	2017	48.4%	57.0%	39.9%
Philippines	Urbiztondo	2000	24.5%	75.9%	0.9%
Philippines	Urbiztondo	2017	12.8%	54.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Urdaneta City	2000	10.5%	13.1%	7.1%
Philippines	Urdaneta City	2017	4.2%	9.5%	1.6%
Philippines	Uson	2000	36.2%	83.9%	1.8%
Philippines	Uson	2017	19.7%	67.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Uyugan	2000	95.1%	97.9%	90.2%
Philippines	Uyugan	2017	76.8%	87.4%	61.8%
Philippines	Valderrama	2000	16.2%	50.8%	1.3%
Philippines	Valderrama	2017	8.3%	27.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Valencia	2000	54.7%	88.6%	18.2%
Philippines	Valencia	2000	76.3%	98.8%	29.1%
Philippines	Valencia	2017	26.8%	67.0%	3.1%
Philippines	Valencia	2017	52.9%	94.5%	8.4%
Philippines	Valencia City	2000	72.9%	87.0%	56.2%
Philippines	Valencia City	2017	42.5%	58.1%	28.3%
Philippines	Valenzuela	2000	81.7%	82.8%	80.4%
Philippines	Valenzuela	2017	37.1%	38.7%	35.6%
Philippines	Valladolid	2000	35.9%	62.3%	17.6%
Philippines	Valladolid	2017	12.3%	40.4%	3.5%
Philippines	Vallehermoso	2000	25.5%	53.4%	6.5%
Philippines	Vallehermoso	2017	9.6%	31.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Veruela	2000	49.1%	69.0%	25.1%
Philippines	Veruela	2017	22.1%	44.3%	5.8%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	58.5%	84.5%	25.2%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	42.7%	94.4%	3.6%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	82.7%	89.3%	67.6%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	54.6%	72.1%	37.3%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	39.2%	63.3%	9.4%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	71.7%	80.7%	48.5%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	22.6%	41.1%	10.1%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	22.1%	80.5%	0.5%
Philippines	Victorias City	2000	57.8%	98.9%	3.1%
Philippines	Victorias City	2017	38.2%	94.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Viga	2000	43.4%	67.2%	19.5%
Philippines	Viga	2017	16.9%	42.6%	3.8%
Philippines	Vigan City	2000	8.1%	23.7%	1.5%
Philippines	Vigan City	2017	1.5%	8.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Villaba	2000	52.1%	99.2%	0.9%
Philippines	Villaba	2017	35.6%	96.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Villanueva	2000	84.3%	88.4%	79.7%
Philippines	Villanueva	2017	45.6%	52.9%	38.3%
Philippines	Villareal	2000	46.8%	93.7%	7.8%
Philippines	Villareal	2017	30.8%	82.1%	3.2%
Philippines	Villasis	2000	15.4%	30.9%	7.8%
Philippines	Villasis	2017	3.7%	8.5%	1.4%
Philippines	Villaverde	2000	81.1%	91.4%	57.9%
Philippines	Villaverde	2017	60.1%	78.8%	39.0%
Philippines	Villaviciosa	2000	52.8%	74.6%	37.1%
Philippines	Villaviciosa	2017	23.5%	41.4%	11.9%
Philippines	Vincenzo A. Sagun	2000	79.2%	96.9%	51.1%
Philippines	Vincenzo A. Sagun	2017	58.7%	86.6%	22.2%
Philippines	Vintar	2000	42.2%	65.9%	18.5%
Philippines	Vintar	2017	17.8%	42.4%	3.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Vinzons	2000	89.0%	96.8%	81.6%
Philippines	Vinzons	2017	73.4%	83.4%	65.2%
Philippines	Virac	2000	88.2%	93.5%	79.3%
Philippines	Virac	2017	59.5%	73.6%	42.6%
Philippines	Wao	2000	30.3%	60.2%	4.9%
Philippines	Wao	2017	17.1%	50.3%	1.4%
Philippines	Waterbody	2000	65.8%	78.0%	52.8%
Philippines	Waterbody	2017	20.2%	30.9%	12.2%
Philippines	Zamboanga	2000	79.8%	85.4%	73.7%
Philippines	Zamboanga	2017	50.0%	57.7%	42.9%
Philippines	Zamboanguita	2000	39.8%	95.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Zamboanguita	2017	21.7%	88.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Zaragoza	2000	46.5%	98.2%	1.2%
Philippines	Zaragoza	2017	28.8%	92.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Zarraga	2000	16.7%	31.3%	7.9%
Philippines	Zarraga	2017	3.0%	6.0%	1.2%
Philippines	Zumarraga	2000	73.9%	95.7%	43.3%
Philippines	Zumarraga	2017	46.7%	78.6%	30.1%
Sri Lanka	Addalachchenai	2000	29.4%	74.6%	3.6%
Sri Lanka	Addalachchenai	2017	37.3%	82.8%	5.1%
Sri Lanka	Agalawatta	2000	33.6%	68.6%	5.5%
Sri Lanka	Agalawatta	2017	42.2%	77.3%	8.4%
Sri Lanka	Akkaraipattu	2000	34.5%	81.9%	5.5%
Sri Lanka	Akkaraipattu	2017	42.2%	87.1%	8.5%
Sri Lanka	Akmeemana	2000	18.7%	55.3%	2.5%
Sri Lanka	Akmeemana	2017	25.1%	66.1%	3.6%
Sri Lanka	Akurana	2000	21.5%	62.0%	2.6%
Sri Lanka	Akurana	2017	28.5%	72.0%	4.1%
Sri Lanka	Akuressa	2000	17.3%	38.9%	5.2%
Sri Lanka	Akuressa	2017	23.2%	47.1%	8.3%
Sri Lanka	Alawwa	2000	25.8%	66.1%	4.8%
Sri Lanka	Alawwa	2017	33.3%	75.0%	7.6%
Sri Lanka	Alayadiwembu	2000	30.6%	78.1%	4.9%
Sri Lanka	Alayadiwembu	2017	38.4%	85.3%	7.3%
Sri Lanka	Ambagamuwa	2000	27.7%	52.6%	10.2%
Sri Lanka	Ambagamuwa	2017	35.7%	61.4%	14.8%
Sri Lanka	Ambalangoda	2000	19.5%	57.3%	3.7%
Sri Lanka	Ambalangoda	2017	26.0%	67.2%	5.7%
Sri Lanka	Ambalanthota	2000	21.9%	48.8%	4.6%
Sri Lanka	Ambalanthota	2017	28.9%	58.2%	7.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambanganga	2000	26.9%	69.2%	4.6%
Sri Lanka	Ambanganga	2017	35.0%	77.7%	7.6%
Sri Lanka	Ambanpola	2000	20.8%	43.0%	6.3%
Sri Lanka	Ambanpola	2017	28.1%	52.3%	9.7%
Sri Lanka	Ampara	2000	35.9%	70.6%	9.9%
Sri Lanka	Ampara	2017	44.7%	78.0%	14.6%
Sri Lanka	Anamaduwa	2000	30.3%	64.0%	8.6%
Sri Lanka	Anamaduwa	2017	38.7%	73.2%	12.5%
Sri Lanka	Angunakolapelessa	2000	31.5%	61.2%	10.8%
Sri Lanka	Angunakolapelessa	2017	40.2%	69.5%	15.4%
Sri Lanka	Arachchikattuwa	2000	33.5%	68.0%	8.8%
Sri Lanka	Arachchikattuwa	2017	42.0%	76.1%	12.9%
Sri Lanka	Aranayaka	2000	26.1%	61.5%	5.4%
Sri Lanka	Aranayaka	2017	34.0%	71.5%	8.3%
Sri Lanka	Athuraliya	2000	18.7%	33.6%	8.0%
Sri Lanka	Athuraliya	2017	24.4%	39.5%	11.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Attanagalla	2000	15.5%	45.7%	2.4%
Sri Lanka	Attanagalla	2017	21.1%	56.4%	3.9%
Sri Lanka	Ayagama	2000	26.3%	59.0%	5.2%
Sri Lanka	Ayagama	2017	34.0%	67.9%	8.0%
Sri Lanka	Badalkumbura	2000	20.4%	49.4%	5.9%
Sri Lanka	Badalkumbura	2017	27.1%	59.2%	8.9%
Sri Lanka	Baddegama	2000	25.6%	52.6%	8.3%
Sri Lanka	Baddegama	2017	34.1%	62.4%	12.4%
Sri Lanka	Badulla	2000	16.5%	50.8%	1.5%
Sri Lanka	Badulla	2017	22.4%	62.4%	2.5%
Sri Lanka	Balangoda	2000	24.0%	54.1%	5.0%
Sri Lanka	Balangoda	2017	31.1%	63.7%	7.7%
Sri Lanka	Balapitiya	2000	17.1%	48.4%	1.9%
Sri Lanka	Balapitiya	2017	23.4%	58.7%	3.1%
Sri Lanka	Bamunakotuwa	2000	23.4%	66.4%	3.5%
Sri Lanka	Bamunakotuwa	2017	30.4%	76.3%	4.8%
Sri Lanka	Bandaragama	2000	23.2%	56.6%	2.9%
Sri Lanka	Bandaragama	2017	30.7%	67.7%	4.5%
Sri Lanka	Bandarawela	2000	17.0%	54.3%	2.7%
Sri Lanka	Bandarawela	2017	23.1%	64.3%	4.2%
Sri Lanka	Beliatta	2000	31.1%	67.0%	5.2%
Sri Lanka	Beliatta	2017	39.1%	75.2%	7.7%
Sri Lanka	Bentota	2000	20.3%	52.3%	2.9%
Sri Lanka	Bentota	2017	27.1%	61.3%	4.5%
Sri Lanka	Beruwala	2000	20.5%	60.6%	2.4%
Sri Lanka	Beruwala	2017	27.3%	70.1%	3.8%
Sri Lanka	Bibile	2000	36.1%	71.2%	10.8%
Sri Lanka	Bibile	2017	44.8%	79.0%	15.6%
Sri Lanka	Bingiriya	2000	30.8%	64.7%	7.7%
Sri Lanka	Bingiriya	2017	39.2%	73.5%	10.8%
Sri Lanka	Biyagama	2000	29.5%	46.5%	15.9%
Sri Lanka	Biyagama	2017	38.7%	55.6%	22.5%
Sri Lanka	Bope-Poddala	2000	16.1%	45.2%	1.6%
Sri Lanka	Bope-Poddala	2017	22.2%	56.2%	2.8%
Sri Lanka	Bulathkohupitiya	2000	15.8%	35.3%	6.5%
Sri Lanka	Bulathkohupitiya	2017	22.1%	43.6%	10.0%
Sri Lanka	Bulathsinhala	2000	28.7%	61.3%	8.3%
Sri Lanka	Bulathsinhala	2017	36.8%	69.9%	12.4%
Sri Lanka	Buttala	2000	20.5%	41.3%	6.9%
Sri Lanka	Buttala	2017	27.6%	51.1%	10.4%
Sri Lanka	Chilaw	2000	39.6%	80.8%	9.9%
Sri Lanka	Chilaw	2017	48.6%	87.1%	14.8%
Sri Lanka	Colombo	2000	41.8%	72.8%	16.2%
Sri Lanka	Colombo	2017	52.1%	80.1%	23.2%
Sri Lanka	Damana	2000	38.0%	66.4%	13.1%
Sri Lanka	Damana	2017	46.8%	73.9%	18.8%
Sri Lanka	Dambulla	2000	34.2%	66.8%	11.4%
Sri Lanka	Dambulla	2017	42.8%	74.7%	16.1%
Sri Lanka	Dankotuwa	2000	29.4%	65.7%	4.8%
Sri Lanka	Dankotuwa	2017	37.4%	74.2%	7.5%
Sri Lanka	Dehiattakandiya	2000	29.4%	56.2%	12.0%
Sri Lanka	Dehiattakandiya	2017	37.9%	64.3%	17.4%
Sri Lanka	Dehiovita	2000	23.7%	56.0%	4.9%
Sri Lanka	Dehiovita	2017	31.1%	65.8%	7.7%
Sri Lanka	Dehiwala-Mount Lavinia	2000	23.9%	67.8%	2.7%
Sri Lanka	Dehiwala-Mount Lavinia	2017	31.2%	76.7%	4.4%
Sri Lanka	Delft	2000	27.4%	65.8%	4.0%
Sri Lanka	Delft	2017	35.6%	77.1%	6.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Delthota	2000	33.9%	73.7%	8.6%
Sri Lanka	Delthota	2017	42.7%	81.3%	12.9%
Sri Lanka	Deraniyagala	2000	26.1%	64.7%	6.1%
Sri Lanka	Deraniyagala	2017	33.6%	73.6%	9.1%
Sri Lanka	Devinuwara	2000	20.4%	60.1%	2.5%
Sri Lanka	Devinuwara	2017	27.3%	70.8%	4.0%
Sri Lanka	Dickwella	2000	22.7%	59.0%	3.0%
Sri Lanka	Dickwella	2017	29.4%	68.6%	4.5%
Sri Lanka	Dimbulagala	2000	31.2%	55.4%	11.9%
Sri Lanka	Dimbulagala	2017	39.7%	63.9%	17.1%
Sri Lanka	Divulapitiya	2000	24.3%	57.2%	5.8%
Sri Lanka	Divulapitiya	2017	31.8%	66.6%	8.8%
Sri Lanka	Dodangoda	2000	33.4%	62.6%	10.6%
Sri Lanka	Dodangoda	2017	42.5%	71.0%	15.5%
Sri Lanka	Doluwa	2000	35.9%	71.1%	10.2%
Sri Lanka	Doluwa	2017	44.6%	79.6%	14.7%
Sri Lanka	Dompe	2000	25.8%	57.5%	6.5%
Sri Lanka	Dompe	2017	33.4%	67.0%	9.7%
Sri Lanka	Eheliyagoda	2000	23.6%	62.4%	5.5%
Sri Lanka	Eheliyagoda	2017	31.2%	71.1%	8.3%
Sri Lanka	Ehetuwewa	2000	32.4%	65.7%	8.4%
Sri Lanka	Ehetuwewa	2017	40.7%	74.0%	12.8%
Sri Lanka	Elahera	2000	34.0%	66.3%	8.5%
Sri Lanka	Elahera	2017	42.3%	73.4%	12.5%
Sri Lanka	Elapatha	2000	21.7%	51.2%	5.8%
Sri Lanka	Elapatha	2017	29.1%	61.8%	8.9%
Sri Lanka	Ella	2000	22.8%	57.9%	4.7%
Sri Lanka	Ella	2017	30.3%	67.6%	7.1%
Sri Lanka	Elpitiya	2000	27.0%	57.7%	6.3%
Sri Lanka	Elpitiya	2017	34.8%	67.8%	9.6%
Sri Lanka	Embilipitiya	2000	24.7%	53.0%	7.8%
Sri Lanka	Embilipitiya	2017	32.1%	63.2%	11.8%
Sri Lanka	Eragama	2000	39.3%	74.3%	11.9%
Sri Lanka	Eragama	2017	48.5%	81.6%	17.1%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Pattu	2000	35.6%	76.1%	7.3%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Pattu	2017	44.0%	82.3%	10.8%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Town	2000	27.2%	77.5%	3.2%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Town	2017	35.0%	83.7%	5.0%
Sri Lanka	Galenbindunuwewa	2000	36.9%	71.7%	8.6%
Sri Lanka	Galenbindunuwewa	2017	45.8%	79.2%	13.1%
Sri Lanka	Galewela	2000	36.1%	71.2%	9.7%
Sri Lanka	Galewela	2017	44.7%	78.8%	14.1%
Sri Lanka	Galgamuwa	2000	28.6%	55.7%	8.6%
Sri Lanka	Galgamuwa	2017	36.6%	64.7%	12.7%
Sri Lanka	Galigamuwa	2000	21.9%	44.7%	7.3%
Sri Lanka	Galigamuwa	2017	29.4%	54.6%	11.0%
Sri Lanka	Galle Four Gravets	2000	14.9%	46.1%	1.5%
Sri Lanka	Galle Four Gravets	2017	20.4%	56.1%	2.3%
Sri Lanka	Galnewa	2000	48.1%	85.2%	14.9%
Sri Lanka	Galnewa	2017	57.1%	89.8%	21.4%
Sri Lanka	Gampaha	2000	17.4%	43.2%	4.3%
Sri Lanka	Gampaha	2017	23.6%	52.9%	6.3%
Sri Lanka	Ganewatta	2000	30.5%	61.6%	6.6%
Sri Lanka	Ganewatta	2017	39.1%	71.6%	10.1%
Sri Lanka	Ganga Ihala Korale	2000	20.4%	53.1%	4.8%
Sri Lanka	Ganga Ihala Korale	2017	27.0%	62.8%	7.3%
Sri Lanka	Giribawa	2000	26.3%	60.6%	6.2%
Sri Lanka	Giribawa	2017	33.8%	70.0%	9.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Godakawela	2000	29.9%	52.5%	12.0%
Sri Lanka	Godakawela	2017	38.2%	61.6%	17.3%
Sri Lanka	Gomarankadawala	2000	34.1%	68.0%	9.3%
Sri Lanka	Gomarankadawala	2017	42.3%	76.1%	12.5%
Sri Lanka	Habaraduwa	2000	14.6%	38.4%	2.5%
Sri Lanka	Habaraduwa	2017	20.4%	48.6%	4.0%
Sri Lanka	Hakmana	2000	34.8%	76.4%	6.8%
Sri Lanka	Hakmana	2017	43.7%	84.1%	10.6%
Sri Lanka	Hali-Ela	2000	21.7%	45.6%	9.4%
Sri Lanka	Hali-Ela	2017	29.4%	56.7%	14.0%
Sri Lanka	Hambantota	2000	18.0%	42.5%	5.4%
Sri Lanka	Hambantota	2017	24.1%	51.4%	7.5%
Sri Lanka	Hanguranketha	2000	24.4%	59.1%	5.5%
Sri Lanka	Hanguranketha	2017	31.8%	67.9%	8.1%
Sri Lanka	Hanwella	2000	27.1%	64.9%	5.2%
Sri Lanka	Hanwella	2017	35.0%	74.0%	7.9%
Sri Lanka	Haputale	2000	15.7%	45.4%	2.5%
Sri Lanka	Haputale	2017	21.3%	55.6%	3.8%
Sri Lanka	Harispattuwa	2000	20.9%	56.1%	3.3%
Sri Lanka	Harispattuwa	2017	28.2%	67.5%	5.0%
Sri Lanka	Hatharaliyadda	2000	26.4%	63.6%	4.0%
Sri Lanka	Hatharaliyadda	2017	34.3%	72.0%	6.1%
Sri Lanka	Hikkaduwa	2000	18.8%	50.8%	4.5%
Sri Lanka	Hikkaduwa	2017	25.3%	60.7%	7.1%
Sri Lanka	Hildummulla	2000	19.4%	42.8%	5.9%
Sri Lanka	Hildummulla	2017	25.9%	52.1%	8.9%
Sri Lanka	Hingurakgoda	2000	29.1%	59.6%	10.2%
Sri Lanka	Hingurakgoda	2017	37.2%	68.9%	14.0%
Sri Lanka	Homagama	2000	29.8%	68.0%	5.4%
Sri Lanka	Homagama	2017	38.1%	75.7%	8.3%
Sri Lanka	Horana	2000	29.3%	75.7%	4.2%
Sri Lanka	Horana	2017	36.9%	81.6%	6.5%
Sri Lanka	Horowpothana	2000	30.2%	50.5%	14.1%
Sri Lanka	Horowpothana	2017	38.6%	60.6%	19.9%
Sri Lanka	Ibbagamuwa	2000	25.5%	51.3%	6.9%
Sri Lanka	Ibbagamuwa	2017	33.2%	61.8%	10.6%
Sri Lanka	Imaduwa	2000	21.9%	61.7%	3.0%
Sri Lanka	Imaduwa	2017	28.8%	69.6%	4.7%
Sri Lanka	Imbulpe	2000	22.2%	49.2%	4.2%
Sri Lanka	Imbulpe	2017	29.1%	59.1%	6.3%
Sri Lanka	Ingiriya	2000	30.7%	75.9%	4.4%
Sri Lanka	Ingiriya	2017	38.5%	82.8%	6.9%
Sri Lanka	Ipalogama	2000	50.3%	77.4%	24.6%
Sri Lanka	Ipalogama	2017	58.8%	82.8%	32.0%
Sri Lanka	Island South (Velanai)	2000	37.0%	69.9%	12.2%
Sri Lanka	Island South (Velanai)	2017	44.7%	77.5%	17.2%
Sri Lanka	Islands North (Kayts)	2000	33.8%	72.2%	7.9%
Sri Lanka	Islands North (Kayts)	2017	42.4%	80.2%	11.7%
Sri Lanka	Ja-Ela	2000	28.7%	66.9%	4.6%
Sri Lanka	Ja-Ela	2017	36.4%	75.5%	7.1%
Sri Lanka	Jaffna	2000	42.6%	81.3%	7.4%
Sri Lanka	Jaffna	2017	51.7%	87.6%	11.5%
Sri Lanka	K.F.G. & G. Korale	2000	30.4%	43.4%	19.6%
Sri Lanka	K.F.G. & G. Korale	2017	39.7%	55.1%	27.1%
Sri Lanka	Kaduwela	2000	42.3%	64.1%	27.1%
Sri Lanka	Kaduwela	2017	51.3%	73.5%	33.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Kahatagasdigiliya	2000	39.3%	69.5%	14.4%
Sri Lanka	Kahatagasdigiliya	2017	48.4%	77.7%	19.9%
Sri Lanka	Kahawatta	2000	31.1%	68.2%	5.4%
Sri Lanka	Kahawatta	2017	40.0%	75.9%	8.1%
Sri Lanka	Kalawana	2000	28.6%	62.2%	7.7%
Sri Lanka	Kalawana	2017	36.5%	71.0%	11.4%
Sri Lanka	Kalmunai	2000	31.3%	86.5%	3.0%
Sri Lanka	Kalmunai	2017	39.3%	90.7%	4.9%
Sri Lanka	Kalpitiya	2000	24.8%	48.0%	6.7%
Sri Lanka	Kalpitiya	2017	32.0%	56.3%	9.9%
Sri Lanka	Kalutara	2000	20.7%	49.8%	4.1%
Sri Lanka	Kalutara	2017	27.4%	60.5%	6.4%
Sri Lanka	Kamburupitiya	2000	33.8%	69.1%	7.5%
Sri Lanka	Kamburupitiya	2017	42.9%	76.9%	11.3%
Sri Lanka	Kandaketiya	2000	27.6%	61.0%	6.5%
Sri Lanka	Kandaketiya	2017	35.6%	70.2%	9.6%
Sri Lanka	Kandawali	2000	31.1%	63.1%	7.7%
Sri Lanka	Kandawali	2017	38.8%	70.7%	11.8%
Sri Lanka	Kantalai	2000	28.3%	66.5%	5.4%
Sri Lanka	Kantalai	2017	36.5%	76.0%	7.6%
Sri Lanka	Karachchi	2000	28.5%	59.1%	8.8%
Sri Lanka	Karachchi	2017	36.4%	67.7%	12.3%
Sri Lanka	Karandeniya	2000	20.8%	62.5%	3.0%
Sri Lanka	Karandeniya	2017	27.8%	71.7%	4.7%
Sri Lanka	Karativu	2000	24.4%	70.8%	3.2%
Sri Lanka	Karativu	2017	31.8%	79.9%	5.3%
Sri Lanka	Karuwalagaswewa	2000	28.0%	52.7%	9.7%
Sri Lanka	Karuwalagaswewa	2017	35.9%	62.1%	14.7%
Sri Lanka	Katana	2000	30.1%	54.5%	11.4%
Sri Lanka	Katana	2017	39.1%	63.7%	17.0%
Sri Lanka	Katharagama	2000	26.7%	65.1%	4.5%
Sri Lanka	Katharagama	2017	34.9%	74.7%	6.2%
Sri Lanka	Kattankudy	2000	23.7%	70.6%	1.3%
Sri Lanka	Kattankudy	2017	31.1%	79.3%	2.1%
Sri Lanka	Katupotha	2000	27.8%	61.8%	7.4%
Sri Lanka	Katupotha	2017	36.4%	71.9%	11.4%
Sri Lanka	Katuwana	2000	24.4%	53.1%	6.3%
Sri Lanka	Katuwana	2017	31.8%	63.1%	9.7%
Sri Lanka	Kebithigollewa	2000	39.4%	67.3%	15.7%
Sri Lanka	Kebithigollewa	2017	48.2%	75.2%	21.6%
Sri Lanka	Kegalle	2000	23.1%	49.1%	6.8%
Sri Lanka	Kegalle	2017	31.1%	59.6%	10.5%
Sri Lanka	Kekirawa	2000	26.6%	49.1%	10.9%
Sri Lanka	Kekirawa	2017	34.4%	58.8%	16.3%
Sri Lanka	Kelaniya	2000	33.9%	75.0%	12.9%
Sri Lanka	Kelaniya	2017	43.0%	81.9%	18.5%
Sri Lanka	Kesbewa	2000	25.0%	60.5%	4.9%
Sri Lanka	Kesbewa	2017	32.6%	70.9%	7.3%
Sri Lanka	Kinniya	2000	36.5%	79.6%	6.0%
Sri Lanka	Kinniya	2017	44.6%	85.1%	9.1%
Sri Lanka	Kiriella	2000	22.5%	53.0%	5.9%
Sri Lanka	Kiriella	2017	30.1%	63.3%	9.1%
Sri Lanka	Kirinda-Puhulwella	2000	35.1%	80.0%	5.7%
Sri Lanka	Kirinda-Puhulwella	2017	43.7%	85.9%	8.7%
Sri Lanka	Kobeigane	2000	31.4%	65.9%	6.5%
Sri Lanka	Kobeigane	2017	39.8%	74.3%	10.2%
Sri Lanka	Kolonna	2000	17.3%	42.8%	4.0%
Sri Lanka	Kolonna	2017	23.0%	51.5%	6.2%
Sri Lanka	Kolonnawa	2000	60.5%	84.7%	33.1%
Sri Lanka	Kolonnawa	2017	69.9%	89.7%	43.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu (Valachchenai)	2000	33.1%	75.7%	5.6%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu (Valachchenai)	2017	41.0%	81.9%	8.7%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu North	2000	28.7%	53.8%	8.4%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu North	2017	36.8%	64.0%	12.6%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu West (Odd-amavadi)	2000	30.8%	72.3%	6.0%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu West (Odd-amavadi)	2017	38.5%	74.9%	9.0%
Sri Lanka	Kotapola	2000	27.6%	66.5%	4.1%
Sri Lanka	Kotapola	2017	35.4%	75.6%	6.4%
Sri Lanka	Kotavehera	2000	30.0%	63.1%	6.9%
Sri Lanka	Kotavehera	2017	38.4%	71.4%	10.7%
Sri Lanka	Kothmale	2000	20.5%	47.9%	6.5%
Sri Lanka	Kothmale	2017	27.7%	58.3%	9.7%
Sri Lanka	Kuchchaveli	2000	26.4%	53.8%	6.9%
Sri Lanka	Kuchchaveli	2017	33.7%	63.2%	10.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya East	2000	26.6%	59.6%	5.7%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya East	2017	34.7%	69.2%	8.6%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya West	2000	11.1%	24.0%	3.4%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya West	2017	15.9%	31.5%	5.4%
Sri Lanka	Kundasale	2000	27.4%	48.2%	14.3%
Sri Lanka	Kundasale	2017	36.4%	58.1%	20.2%
Sri Lanka	Kurunegala	2000	13.0%	40.1%	2.2%
Sri Lanka	Kurunegala	2017	17.7%	46.7%	3.9%
Sri Lanka	Kuruvita	2000	21.3%	43.4%	8.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuruvita	2017	28.5%	53.3%	11.9%
Sri Lanka	Laggala-Pallegama	2000	31.6%	63.0%	8.9%
Sri Lanka	Laggala-Pallegama	2017	39.8%	70.2%	13.3%
Sri Lanka	Lahugala	2000	29.2%	51.6%	13.6%
Sri Lanka	Lahugala	2017	37.5%	62.1%	18.1%
Sri Lanka	Lankapura	2000	22.7%	56.9%	4.9%
Sri Lanka	Lankapura	2017	30.0%	66.9%	7.2%
Sri Lanka	Lunugala	2000	25.8%	65.9%	4.6%
Sri Lanka	Lunugala	2017	33.7%	74.0%	7.1%
Sri Lanka	Lunugamvehera	2000	28.2%	50.8%	11.0%
Sri Lanka	Lunugamvehera	2017	36.2%	59.7%	16.0%
Sri Lanka	Madampe	2000	38.1%	71.2%	11.4%
Sri Lanka	Madampe	2017	47.1%	78.2%	17.1%
Sri Lanka	Madhu	2000	32.2%	56.6%	12.8%
Sri Lanka	Madhu	2017	41.0%	66.0%	17.7%
Sri Lanka	Madulla	2000	32.2%	57.9%	12.0%
Sri Lanka	Madulla	2017	40.5%	66.9%	17.1%
Sri Lanka	Madurawala	2000	24.7%	53.5%	8.5%
Sri Lanka	Madurawala	2017	33.1%	63.6%	12.4%
Sri Lanka	Maha Vialachchiya	2000	32.9%	64.4%	10.6%
Sri Lanka	Maha Vialachchiya	2017	41.3%	73.6%	15.2%
Sri Lanka	Mahakumbukkad	2000	27.9%	57.5%	9.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahakumbukkad	2017	36.1%	67.9%	13.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Mahaoya	2000	30.8%	61.2%	10.3%
Sri Lanka	Mahaoya	2017	39.0%	69.9%	15.1%
Sri Lanka	Mahara	2000	22.8%	54.4%	4.7%
Sri Lanka	Mahara	2017	29.7%	63.4%	7.3%
Sri Lanka	Maharagama	2000	43.6%	73.1%	18.5%
Sri Lanka	Maharagama	2017	52.9%	81.6%	24.5%
Sri Lanka	Mahawa	2000	27.6%	52.8%	7.8%
Sri Lanka	Mahawa	2017	35.5%	62.4%	11.7%
Sri Lanka	Mahawewa	2000	26.7%	49.9%	9.2%
Sri Lanka	Mahawewa	2017	35.3%	59.9%	14.2%
Sri Lanka	Mahiyanganaya	2000	33.0%	54.9%	12.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahiyanganaya	2017	41.5%	63.6%	16.9%
Sri Lanka	Malimbada	2000	24.4%	59.0%	3.5%
Sri Lanka	Malimbada	2017	32.2%	69.7%	5.5%
Sri Lanka	Mallawapitiya	2000	12.7%	33.5%	3.4%
Sri Lanka	Mallawapitiya	2017	17.5%	41.9%	5.4%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai	2000	22.9%	62.2%	2.8%
Sri Lanka	North Manmunai	2017	30.3%	70.9%	4.5%
Sri Lanka	North Manmunai	2000	20.3%	40.9%	6.4%
Sri Lanka	Pattu (Araipattai) Manmunai	2017	27.7%	50.0%	10.0%
Sri Lanka	Pattu (Araipattai) Manmunai	2000	29.4%	69.1%	5.7%
Sri Lanka	South and Eruvilpattu Manmunai	2017	37.8%	77.3%	8.9%
Sri Lanka	South and Eruvilpattu Manmunai	2000	21.2%	46.5%	7.1%
Sri Lanka	South-West Manmunai	2017	28.2%	56.1%	10.7%
Sri Lanka	South-West Manmunai	2000	28.4%	59.4%	7.9%
Sri Lanka	West Manmunai	2017	36.4%	68.8%	12.0%
Sri Lanka	Mannar Town	2000	32.4%	73.1%	6.8%
Sri Lanka	Mannar Town	2017	40.6%	79.6%	10.3%
Sri Lanka	Manthai East	2000	24.3%	57.5%	7.2%
Sri Lanka	Manthai East	2017	31.6%	65.6%	11.0%
Sri Lanka	Manthai West	2000	32.9%	57.0%	12.9%
Sri Lanka	Manthai West	2017	41.1%	65.6%	18.2%
Sri Lanka	Maritimepattu	2000	26.5%	54.0%	9.5%
Sri Lanka	Maritimepattu	2017	34.3%	63.4%	13.7%
Sri Lanka	Maspotha	2000	15.8%	44.7%	3.0%
Sri Lanka	Maspotha	2017	21.2%	55.4%	4.7%
Sri Lanka	Matale	2000	21.8%	52.0%	4.9%
Sri Lanka	Matale	2017	29.0%	62.1%	7.5%
Sri Lanka	Matara Four Gravets	2000	18.3%	55.7%	1.6%
Sri Lanka	Matara Four Gravets	2017	24.8%	66.3%	2.5%
Sri Lanka	Mathugama	2000	34.8%	71.7%	9.2%
Sri Lanka	Mathugama	2017	43.0%	78.4%	13.5%
Sri Lanka	Mawanella	2000	25.0%	63.3%	5.2%
Sri Lanka	Mawanella	2017	32.5%	72.2%	7.7%
Sri Lanka	Mawathagama	2000	21.6%	61.6%	3.4%
Sri Lanka	Mawathagama	2017	28.4%	70.3%	5.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Medadumbara	2000	25.1%	58.9%	5.8%
Sri Lanka	Medadumbara	2017	32.8%	67.8%	8.9%
Sri Lanka	Medagama	2000	36.6%	67.6%	11.6%
Sri Lanka	Medagama	2017	45.7%	76.1%	16.7%
Sri Lanka	Medawachchiya	2000	33.7%	62.2%	10.9%
Sri Lanka	Medawachchiya	2017	41.9%	71.0%	15.7%
Sri Lanka	Medirigiriya	2000	30.3%	59.9%	11.6%
Sri Lanka	Medirigiriya	2017	38.7%	69.3%	16.5%
Sri Lanka	Meegahakivula	2000	29.2%	64.8%	5.2%
Sri Lanka	Meegahakivula	2017	37.1%	73.2%	7.9%
Sri Lanka	Mihinhale	2000	24.0%	45.9%	10.6%
Sri Lanka	Mihinhale	2017	31.9%	56.1%	15.3%
Sri Lanka	Millaniya	2000	25.5%	55.0%	7.2%
Sri Lanka	Millaniya	2017	33.8%	63.8%	10.5%
Sri Lanka	Minipe	2000	29.9%	59.8%	7.6%
Sri Lanka	Minipe	2017	37.9%	69.9%	11.0%
Sri Lanka	Minuwangoda	2000	24.1%	48.0%	7.4%
Sri Lanka	Minuwangoda	2017	32.4%	59.0%	11.0%
Sri Lanka	Mirigama	2000	26.0%	60.2%	4.6%
Sri Lanka	Mirigama	2017	33.3%	69.5%	7.3%
Sri Lanka	Moneragala	2000	30.2%	59.6%	7.7%
Sri Lanka	Moneragala	2017	39.2%	68.3%	12.0%
Sri Lanka	Moratuwa	2000	14.5%	53.4%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Moratuwa	2017	19.6%	62.4%	1.6%
Sri Lanka	Morawewa	2000	30.9%	62.3%	9.6%
Sri Lanka	Morawewa	2017	39.1%	72.5%	13.3%
Sri Lanka	Mulatiyana	2000	32.9%	69.8%	8.5%
Sri Lanka	Mulatiyana	2017	41.6%	77.9%	12.4%
Sri Lanka	Mundalama	2000	27.2%	62.2%	5.3%
Sri Lanka	Mundalama	2017	35.0%	70.1%	8.2%
Sri Lanka	Musali	2000	33.6%	67.8%	9.7%
Sri Lanka	Musali	2017	42.2%	76.4%	14.5%
Sri Lanka	Muttur	2000	43.8%	74.9%	12.4%
Sri Lanka	Muttur	2017	53.0%	81.7%	17.3%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha Central	2000	26.2%	43.0%	13.1%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha Central	2017	35.0%	54.3%	19.3%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha East	2000	24.8%	39.6%	13.7%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha East	2017	34.1%	49.9%	20.7%
Sri Lanka	Nachchadoowa	2000	22.2%	42.9%	10.0%
Sri Lanka	Nachchadoowa	2017	30.5%	53.9%	14.1%
Sri Lanka	Nagoda	2000	32.8%	68.1%	9.6%
Sri Lanka	Nagoda	2017	41.5%	76.5%	14.7%
Sri Lanka	Nallur	2000	41.4%	78.3%	7.0%
Sri Lanka	Nallur	2017	50.7%	85.2%	11.0%
Sri Lanka	Nanaddan	2000	30.5%	61.2%	10.9%
Sri Lanka	Nanaddan	2017	39.1%	70.7%	14.9%
Sri Lanka	Narammala	2000	25.1%	59.2%	6.0%
Sri Lanka	Narammala	2017	32.8%	67.2%	9.1%
Sri Lanka	Nattandiya	2000	25.7%	56.7%	4.9%
Sri Lanka	Nattandiya	2017	33.6%	67.4%	7.4%
Sri Lanka	Naula	2000	34.9%	66.9%	9.1%
Sri Lanka	Naula	2017	43.5%	74.5%	13.5%
Sri Lanka	Navithanveli	2000	27.3%	79.0%	5.0%
Sri Lanka	Navithanveli	2017	34.9%	85.2%	7.9%
Sri Lanka	Nawagattegama	2000	29.1%	70.4%	6.6%
Sri Lanka	Nawagattegama	2017	37.1%	79.4%	9.7%
Sri Lanka	Negombo	2000	20.5%	51.5%	5.0%
Sri Lanka	Negombo	2017	27.9%	62.1%	7.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Neluwa	2000	32.1%	68.5%	7.1%
Sri Lanka	Neluwa	2017	40.2%	76.8%	10.0%
Sri Lanka	Nikaweratiya	2000	30.2%	67.2%	6.7%
Sri Lanka	Nikaweratiya	2017	38.3%	74.9%	10.3%
Sri Lanka	Ninthavur	2000	31.7%	76.1%	3.8%
Sri Lanka	Ninthavur	2017	39.7%	82.8%	6.3%
Sri Lanka	Nivithigala	2000	23.6%	59.6%	4.8%
Sri Lanka	Nivithigala	2017	30.4%	69.0%	7.1%
Sri Lanka	Niyagama	2000	32.6%	66.1%	5.3%
Sri Lanka	Niyagama	2017	41.0%	74.9%	8.2%
Sri Lanka	Nochchiyagama	2000	29.1%	48.6%	12.8%
Sri Lanka	Nochchiyagama	2017	38.0%	58.7%	18.4%
Sri Lanka	Nuwara Eliya	2000	19.1%	39.0%	5.8%
Sri Lanka	Nuwara Eliya	2017	25.4%	46.6%	8.6%
Sri Lanka	Oddusuddan	2000	36.2%	64.6%	15.0%
Sri Lanka	Oddusuddan	2017	43.5%	68.2%	21.5%
Sri Lanka	Okewela	2000	26.0%	57.3%	6.5%
Sri Lanka	Okewela	2017	34.4%	67.2%	10.1%
Sri Lanka	Opanayaka	2000	33.2%	77.0%	5.8%
Sri Lanka	Opanayaka	2017	42.2%	84.7%	9.2%
Sri Lanka	Pachchilapalli	2000	24.4%	57.5%	4.6%
Sri Lanka	Pachchilapalli	2017	32.0%	66.8%	7.1%
Sri Lanka	Padavi Sri Pura	2000	32.8%	67.9%	7.0%
Sri Lanka	Padavi Sri Pura	2017	40.0%	74.7%	9.9%
Sri Lanka	Padaviya	2000	32.9%	72.0%	6.6%
Sri Lanka	Padaviya	2017	41.2%	79.3%	10.2%
Sri Lanka	Padiyathalawa	2000	35.7%	71.5%	10.4%
Sri Lanka	Padiyathalawa	2017	44.3%	79.4%	15.3%
Sri Lanka	Padukka	2000	30.3%	71.8%	5.1%
Sri Lanka	Padukka	2017	38.3%	79.8%	8.0%
Sri Lanka	Palagala	2000	33.1%	69.1%	8.3%
Sri Lanka	Palagala	2017	41.5%	75.9%	12.2%
Sri Lanka	Palindanuwara	2000	29.4%	64.2%	6.2%
Sri Lanka	Palindanuwara	2017	37.6%	72.9%	9.4%
Sri Lanka	Pallama	2000	21.7%	41.9%	8.5%
Sri Lanka	Pallama	2017	29.1%	50.3%	12.9%
Sri Lanka	Pallepola	2000	22.3%	55.5%	5.3%
Sri Lanka	Pallepola	2017	29.9%	64.0%	8.4%
Sri Lanka	Palugaswewa	2000	33.4%	66.3%	9.1%
Sri Lanka	Palugaswewa	2017	41.8%	75.7%	13.0%
Sri Lanka	Panadura	2000	15.1%	45.9%	1.6%
Sri Lanka	Panadura	2017	20.9%	56.3%	2.7%
Sri Lanka	Panduwasnuwara	2000	23.6%	46.9%	7.7%
Sri Lanka	Panduwasnuwara	2017	31.0%	55.8%	11.6%
Sri Lanka	Pannala	2000	21.4%	47.4%	5.8%
Sri Lanka	Pannala	2017	28.5%	58.2%	8.8%
Sri Lanka	Panvila	2000	25.4%	68.8%	5.0%
Sri Lanka	Panvila	2017	33.2%	76.7%	7.9%
Sri Lanka	Pasbage	2000	22.1%	49.1%	6.0%
Sri Lanka	Pasbage Korale	2017	29.5%	59.0%	9.3%
Sri Lanka	Pasgoda	2000	26.0%	62.5%	3.5%
Sri Lanka	Pasgoda	2017	33.6%	71.0%	5.6%
Sri Lanka	Passara	2000	23.2%	62.0%	4.1%
Sri Lanka	Passara	2017	30.4%	70.9%	6.3%
Sri Lanka	Pathadumbara	2000	25.9%	54.4%	10.6%
Sri Lanka	Pathadumbara	2017	34.9%	64.4%	15.3%
Sri Lanka	Pathahewaheta	2000	38.2%	66.2%	15.1%
Sri Lanka	Pathahewaheta	2017	47.6%	75.2%	20.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Pelmadulla	2000	21.0%	53.7%	4.8%
Sri Lanka	Pelmadulla	2017	27.9%	63.2%	7.6%
Sri Lanka	Pitabeddara	2000	30.4%	65.9%	5.7%
Sri Lanka	Pitabeddara	2017	38.6%	74.8%	8.7%
Sri Lanka	Polgahawela	2000	22.6%	62.4%	2.6%
Sri Lanka	Polgahawela	2017	29.9%	72.5%	4.3%
Sri Lanka	Polpithigama	2000	35.1%	64.6%	11.3%
Sri Lanka	Polpithigama	2017	43.5%	71.8%	16.2%
Sri Lanka	Poojapitiya	2000	20.4%	54.2%	3.0%
Sri Lanka	Poojapitiya	2017	27.3%	63.4%	4.6%
Sri Lanka	Poonakary	2000	32.5%	61.5%	10.9%
Sri Lanka	Poonakary	2017	40.8%	68.0%	16.3%
Sri Lanka	Porativu	2000	27.8%	60.9%	6.5%
Sri Lanka	Pattu				
Sri Lanka	Porativu	2017	35.7%	69.6%	9.6%
Sri Lanka	Pothuvil	2000	28.5%	64.1%	6.8%
Sri Lanka	Pothuvil	2017	36.9%	73.8%	9.7%
Sri Lanka	Puthukudiyiruppu	2000	25.1%	55.5%	5.4%
Sri Lanka	Puthukudiyiruppu	2017	32.2%	62.9%	9.1%
Sri Lanka	Puttalam	2000	23.4%	50.4%	7.3%
Sri Lanka	Puttalam	2017	30.7%	61.0%	10.9%
Sri Lanka	Rajanganaya	2000	28.7%	64.5%	7.0%
Sri Lanka	Rajanganaya	2017	36.7%	73.8%	10.6%
Sri Lanka	Rambewa	2000	32.0%	64.0%	9.3%
Sri Lanka	Rambewa	2017	40.4%	72.3%	13.6%
Sri Lanka	Rambukkana	2000	23.6%	55.0%	6.1%
Sri Lanka	Rambukkana	2017	31.4%	65.4%	9.1%
Sri Lanka	Rasnayakapura	2000	26.5%	59.5%	6.1%
Sri Lanka	Rasnayakapura	2017	34.4%	68.9%	9.5%
Sri Lanka	Ratnapura	2000	17.6%	33.5%	9.1%
Sri Lanka	Ratnapura	2017	24.6%	43.9%	13.6%
Sri Lanka	Rattota	2000	20.3%	53.6%	2.2%
Sri Lanka	Rattota	2017	27.1%	64.0%	3.5%
Sri Lanka	Rideegama	2000	21.2%	47.5%	4.5%
Sri Lanka	Rideegama	2017	28.2%	57.6%	7.0%
Sri Lanka	Rideemaliyadda	2000	32.0%	53.8%	14.9%
Sri Lanka	Rideemaliyadda	2017	40.9%	63.1%	21.5%
Sri Lanka	Ruwanwella	2000	20.5%	53.5%	3.6%
Sri Lanka	Ruwanwella	2017	27.4%	63.9%	5.4%
Sri Lanka	Sainthamarathu	2000	26.4%	71.6%	2.7%
Sri Lanka	Sainthamarathu	2017	33.6%	78.9%	4.3%
Sri Lanka	Samanthurai	2000	29.2%	69.2%	7.3%
Sri Lanka	Samanthurai	2017	37.9%	76.5%	11.5%
Sri Lanka	Seruvila	2000	42.6%	69.0%	21.1%
Sri Lanka	Seruvila	2017	51.5%	77.1%	28.2%
Sri Lanka	Sevanagala	2000	18.9%	44.3%	3.7%
Sri Lanka	Sevanagala	2017	25.5%	53.9%	5.6%
Sri Lanka	Siyambalanduwa	2000	29.3%	51.9%	11.5%
Sri Lanka	Siyambalanduwa	2017	37.3%	60.2%	16.3%
Sri Lanka	Sooriyawewa	2000	18.3%	37.3%	7.1%
Sri Lanka	Sooriyawewa	2017	25.3%	46.5%	10.7%
Sri Lanka	Soranathota	2000	20.2%	60.3%	2.8%
Sri Lanka	Soranathota	2017	27.0%	70.1%	4.4%
Sri Lanka	Sri Jayawar-	2000	66.0%	81.3%	52.7%
	danapura				
Sri Lanka	Kotte				
Sri Lanka	Sri Jayawar-	2017	73.7%	87.0%	60.9%
	danapura				
	Kotte				
Sri Lanka	Tangalle	2000	22.7%	53.7%	4.5%
Sri Lanka	Tangalle	2017	29.9%	63.4%	6.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Thalawa	2000	33.9%	65.5%	10.2%
Sri Lanka	Thalawa	2017	42.2%	72.8%	15.1%
Sri Lanka	Thamankaduwa	2000	21.1%	40.5%	8.1%
Sri Lanka	Thamankaduwa	2017	28.6%	50.6%	12.4%
Sri Lanka	Thambuttegama	2000	30.2%	68.5%	6.9%
Sri Lanka	Thambuttegama	2017	38.4%	76.9%	10.4%
Sri Lanka	Thampalakamam	2000	29.4%	66.9%	6.5%
Sri Lanka	Thampalakamam	2017	37.2%	76.3%	9.3%
Sri Lanka	Thanamalvila	2000	25.2%	40.7%	11.8%
Sri Lanka	Thanamalvila	2017	33.1%	50.3%	17.8%
Sri Lanka	Thawalama	2000	33.2%	63.6%	8.8%
Sri Lanka	Thawalama	2017	41.6%	73.6%	13.4%
Sri Lanka	Thenmaradchy (Chavakachcheri)	2000	32.5%	66.5%	6.8%
Sri Lanka	Thenmaradchy (Chavakachcheri)	2017	41.1%	75.3%	10.0%
Sri Lanka	Thihagoda	2000	26.1%	69.6%	2.8%
Sri Lanka	Thihagoda	2017	34.1%	78.0%	4.6%
Sri Lanka	Thimbirigasyaya	2000	48.4%	72.1%	29.7%
Sri Lanka	Thimbirigasyaya	2017	58.9%	80.5%	38.5%
Sri Lanka	Thirappane	2000	33.7%	62.0%	9.9%
Sri Lanka	Thirappane	2017	41.9%	70.2%	14.5%
Sri Lanka	Thirukkovil	2000	32.3%	72.6%	5.1%
Sri Lanka	Thirukkovil	2017	40.7%	80.5%	7.8%
Sri Lanka	Thissamaharama	2000	30.0%	60.1%	9.2%
Sri Lanka	Thissamaharama	2017	38.5%	69.8%	13.2%
Sri Lanka	Thumpane	2000	24.1%	61.4%	3.5%
Sri Lanka	Thumpane	2017	31.8%	71.0%	5.5%
Sri Lanka	Thunukkai	2000	22.4%	43.2%	8.5%
Sri Lanka	Thunukkai	2017	30.6%	51.8%	13.2%
Sri Lanka	Trincomalee Town and Gravets	2000	22.5%	59.8%	2.5%
Sri Lanka	Trincomalee Town and Gravets	2017	29.5%	69.7%	3.9%
Sri Lanka	Udadumbara	2000	27.1%	61.2%	6.3%
Sri Lanka	Udadumbara	2017	34.9%	69.9%	9.3%
Sri Lanka	Udawalata	2000	25.8%	56.5%	6.0%
Sri Lanka	Udawalata	2017	33.5%	66.3%	8.9%
Sri Lanka	Udubaddawa	2000	26.5%	59.6%	5.7%
Sri Lanka	Udubaddawa	2017	34.1%	69.3%	8.6%
Sri Lanka	Udunuwara	2000	33.5%	70.3%	8.1%
Sri Lanka	Udunuwara	2017	41.7%	78.3%	11.3%
Sri Lanka	Uhana	2000	32.7%	65.6%	10.0%
Sri Lanka	Uhana	2017	41.1%	74.0%	13.7%
Sri Lanka	Ukuwela	2000	19.4%	55.9%	2.7%
Sri Lanka	Ukuwela	2017	25.9%	65.9%	4.1%
Sri Lanka	Uva Paranagama	2000	21.0%	46.6%	5.7%
Sri Lanka	Uva Paranagama	2017	28.2%	56.1%	8.9%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchi South-West	2000	26.6%	69.6%	3.5%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchi South-West	2017	34.2%	78.7%	5.5%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy East	2000	27.4%	56.3%	8.1%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy East	2017	35.1%	66.0%	11.9%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy North	2000	26.3%	73.1%	2.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy North	2017	34.2%	81.2%	4.5%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam East	2000	27.2%	46.9%	13.2%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam East	2017	36.1%	56.5%	19.6%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam North	2000	24.7%	68.3%	4.0%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam North	2017	32.4%	78.0%	5.4%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South	2000	28.0%	61.1%	7.6%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South	2017	36.7%	69.0%	11.5%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South-West	2000	33.2%	72.4%	8.2%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South-West	2017	41.9%	79.4%	12.8%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam West	2000	32.9%	74.7%	6.1%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam West	2017	41.7%	80.5%	10.0%
Sri Lanka	Vanathavilluwa	2000	25.9%	56.1%	6.9%
Sri Lanka	Vanathavilluwa	2017	33.6%	65.4%	10.8%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya	2000	25.7%	49.7%	9.2%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya	2017	33.9%	60.0%	13.5%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya North	2000	34.9%	61.0%	14.6%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya North	2017	43.9%	70.6%	19.0%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya South	2000	33.1%	77.5%	5.2%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya South	2017	41.5%	84.3%	8.4%
Sri Lanka	Vengalcheddikulam	2000	32.6%	64.4%	9.0%
Sri Lanka	Vengalcheddikulam	2017	41.0%	73.0%	13.9%
Sri Lanka	Verugal	2000	32.0%	65.7%	7.8%
Sri Lanka	Verugal	2017	38.6%	73.4%	10.2%
Sri Lanka	Walallawita	2000	30.6%	64.4%	7.4%
Sri Lanka	Walallawita	2017	38.5%	71.9%	11.4%
Sri Lanka	Walapane	2000	24.1%	55.2%	5.5%
Sri Lanka	Walapane	2017	31.2%	64.0%	8.4%
Sri Lanka	Warakapola	2000	24.7%	52.9%	5.7%
Sri Lanka	Warakapola	2017	32.0%	61.7%	8.6%
Sri Lanka	Wariyapola	2000	32.8%	63.1%	9.3%
Sri Lanka	Wariyapola	2017	41.0%	70.5%	14.2%
Sri Lanka	Wattala	2000	24.4%	59.2%	4.2%
Sri Lanka	Wattala	2017	32.3%	68.6%	6.6%
Sri Lanka	Weeraketiya	2000	33.4%	56.3%	14.9%
Sri Lanka	Weeraketiya	2017	42.5%	65.2%	21.7%
Sri Lanka	Weerambugedara	2000	21.1%	55.2%	4.0%
Sri Lanka	Weerambugedara	2017	28.6%	64.9%	6.5%
Sri Lanka	Weligama	2000	20.6%	55.3%	2.8%
Sri Lanka	Weligama	2017	27.1%	65.3%	4.4%
Sri Lanka	Weligepola	2000	26.4%	51.0%	10.3%
Sri Lanka	Weligepola	2017	34.5%	60.0%	14.9%
Sri Lanka	Welikanda	2000	28.6%	58.2%	7.5%
Sri Lanka	Welikanda	2017	36.6%	68.6%	10.8%
Sri Lanka	Welimada	2000	18.8%	49.0%	3.2%
Sri Lanka	Welimada	2017	25.3%	59.0%	5.1%
Sri Lanka	Welipitiya	2000	23.5%	59.9%	2.8%
Sri Lanka	Welipitiya	2017	30.4%	68.3%	4.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Welivitiya-Divithura	2000	21.5%	43.9%	10.4%
Sri Lanka	Welivitiya-Divithura	2017	29.1%	53.5%	14.7%
Sri Lanka	Wellawaya	2000	24.3%	50.7%	7.1%
Sri Lanka	Wellawaya	2017	31.9%	58.8%	10.9%
Sri Lanka	Wennappuwa	2000	30.2%	71.3%	3.8%
Sri Lanka	Wennappuwa	2017	37.9%	78.8%	5.9%
Sri Lanka	Wilgamuwa	2000	31.0%	67.1%	5.8%
Sri Lanka	Wilgamuwa	2017	39.0%	75.3%	9.0%
Sri Lanka	Yakkalamulla	2000	27.7%	63.0%	5.3%
Sri Lanka	Yakkalamulla	2017	35.5%	71.3%	8.2%
Sri Lanka	Yatawatta	2000	18.5%	47.3%	3.2%
Sri Lanka	Yatawatta	2017	25.5%	57.7%	5.1%
Sri Lanka	Yatinuwara	2000	21.4%	56.1%	4.1%
Sri Lanka	Yatinuwara	2017	28.8%	66.3%	6.3%
Sri Lanka	Yatyanthota	2000	19.2%	50.7%	4.2%
Sri Lanka	Yatyanthota	2017	25.6%	60.4%	6.5%
Thailand	Akat Amnuai	2000	13.2%	15.2%	11.3%
Thailand	Akat Amnuai	2017	8.0%	9.3%	6.8%
Thailand	Amphawa	2000	38.8%	41.5%	36.0%
Thailand	Amphawa	2017	26.6%	28.8%	24.3%
Thailand	Amphoe Muang Ya-sothon	2000	13.5%	15.7%	11.4%
Thailand	Amphoe Muang Ya-sothon	2017	8.2%	9.6%	6.9%
Thailand	Amphoe Sai Mun	2000	13.0%	15.4%	10.9%
Thailand	Amphoe Sai Mun	2017	7.9%	9.4%	6.5%
Thailand	Ao Luk	2000	17.0%	19.7%	14.4%
Thailand	Ao Luk	2017	10.5%	12.2%	8.7%
Thailand	Aranyaprathet	2000	40.7%	44.7%	36.6%
Thailand	Aranyaprathet	2017	28.6%	32.3%	24.9%
Thailand	At Samat	2000	12.9%	14.8%	11.3%
Thailand	At Samat	2017	7.8%	9.1%	6.8%
Thailand	Bacho	2000	16.8%	18.9%	14.5%
Thailand	Bacho	2017	10.5%	11.8%	8.8%
Thailand	Bamnet Narong	2000	15.8%	18.6%	13.5%
Thailand	Bamnet Narong	2017	9.7%	11.5%	8.2%
Thailand	Ban Bung	2000	40.6%	43.2%	37.9%
Thailand	Ban Bung	2017	28.5%	30.7%	26.2%
Thailand	Ban Chang	2000	39.6%	43.4%	36.2%
Thailand	Ban Chang	2017	27.6%	30.7%	24.8%
Thailand	Ban Dan Lan Hoi	2000	33.4%	37.0%	30.2%
Thailand	Ban Dan Lan Hoi	2017	22.3%	25.1%	19.8%
Thailand	Ban Dung	2000	12.8%	14.9%	10.9%
Thailand	Ban Dung	2017	7.7%	9.1%	6.5%
Thailand	Ban Fang	2000	12.8%	14.7%	10.9%
Thailand	Ban Fang	2017	7.7%	9.0%	6.6%
Thailand	Ban Hong	2000	34.8%	38.7%	31.4%
Thailand	Ban Hong	2017	23.3%	26.5%	20.6%
Thailand	Ban Khai	2000	41.0%	43.6%	37.7%
Thailand	Ban Khai	2017	28.4%	30.7%	25.6%
Thailand	Ban Khok	2000	27.8%	33.6%	22.7%
Thailand	Ban Khok	2017	18.0%	22.4%	14.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Ban Khwao	2000	13.7%	16.1%	11.5%
Thailand	Ban Khwao	2017	8.3%	9.9%	6.9%
Thailand	Ban Kruat	2000	15.9%	18.7%	13.3%
Thailand	Ban Kruat	2017	9.7%	11.5%	8.0%
Thailand	Ban Laem	2000	39.2%	42.5%	35.9%
Thailand	Ban Laem	2017	27.0%	29.8%	24.3%
Thailand	Ban Lat	2000	40.7%	44.0%	37.2%
Thailand	Ban Lat	2017	28.3%	31.2%	25.4%
Thailand	Ban Luam	2000	12.2%	14.4%	10.3%
Thailand	Ban Luam	2017	7.3%	8.7%	6.2%
Thailand	Ban Luang	2000	32.4%	36.5%	28.6%
Thailand	Ban Luang	2017	21.5%	24.8%	18.5%
Thailand	Ban Mai Chai Pho	2000	12.9%	15.1%	11.0%
Thailand	Ban Mai Chai Pho	2017	7.8%	9.2%	6.6%
Thailand	Ban Mi	2000	42.1%	45.4%	38.4%
Thailand	Ban Mi	2017	29.4%	32.2%	26.5%
Thailand	Ban Mo	2000	40.5%	43.0%	37.7%
Thailand	Ban Mo	2017	28.0%	30.2%	25.7%
Thailand	Ban Muang	2000	12.8%	14.9%	10.8%
Thailand	Ban Muang	2017	7.7%	9.1%	6.5%
Thailand	Ban Na	2000	37.4%	40.5%	34.4%
Thailand	Ban Na	2017	25.4%	28.0%	23.0%
Thailand	Ban Na Doem	2000	16.1%	18.5%	14.2%
Thailand	Ban Na Doem	2017	9.9%	11.5%	8.6%
Thailand	Ban Na San	2000	15.9%	18.2%	14.1%
Thailand	Ban Na San	2017	9.7%	11.3%	8.6%
Thailand	Ban Phaeng	2000	14.3%	17.5%	11.6%
Thailand	Ban Phaeng	2017	8.6%	10.8%	6.8%
Thailand	Ban Phaeo	2000	40.9%	43.8%	38.3%
Thailand	Ban Phaeo	2017	28.8%	31.3%	26.7%
Thailand	Ban Phai	2000	13.5%	15.9%	11.4%
Thailand	Ban Phai	2017	8.2%	9.7%	6.8%
Thailand	Ban Pho	2000	41.3%	43.9%	39.0%
Thailand	Ban Pho	2017	29.1%	31.2%	27.1%
Thailand	Ban Phraek	2000	40.3%	43.2%	37.3%
Thailand	Ban Phraek	2017	29.0%	31.6%	26.6%
Thailand	Ban Phu	2000	12.7%	15.0%	10.6%
Thailand	Ban Phu	2017	7.6%	9.2%	6.3%
Thailand	Ban Pong	2000	40.1%	42.8%	37.7%
Thailand	Ban Pong	2017	27.8%	29.9%	25.7%
Thailand	Ban Rai	2000	34.5%	37.9%	30.8%
Thailand	Ban Rai	2017	23.2%	26.0%	20.3%
Thailand	Ban Sang	2000	41.3%	44.3%	38.3%
Thailand	Ban Sang	2017	28.6%	31.2%	26.1%
Thailand	Ban Ta Khun	2000	14.6%	17.4%	12.2%
Thailand	Ban Ta Khun	2017	8.8%	10.6%	7.3%
Thailand	Ban Tak	2000	35.7%	39.7%	31.5%
Thailand	Ban Tak	2017	24.1%	27.3%	20.8%
Thailand	Ban Thaen	2000	13.6%	16.0%	11.6%
Thailand	Ban Thaen	2017	8.2%	9.8%	6.9%
Thailand	Ban Thi	2000	35.7%	38.2%	32.7%
Thailand	Ban Thi	2017	24.0%	26.2%	21.7%
Thailand	Bang Ban	2000	39.9%	42.3%	37.5%
Thailand	Bang Ban	2017	27.5%	29.6%	25.6%
Thailand	Bang Bo	2000	42.2%	44.5%	40.0%
Thailand	Bang Bo	2017	29.9%	31.9%	27.9%
Thailand	Bang Bon	2000	42.5%	44.2%	40.6%
Thailand	Bang Bon	2017	29.7%	31.1%	28.1%
Thailand	Bang Bua Thong	2000	40.9%	42.8%	38.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Bang Bua Thong	2017	28.3%	29.8%	26.7%
Thailand	Bang Kaeo	2000	16.7%	18.9%	14.5%
Thailand	Bang Kaeo	2017	10.2%	11.7%	8.8%
Thailand	Bang Kapi	2000	43.8%	45.7%	42.0%
Thailand	Bang Kapi	2017	30.8%	32.4%	29.2%
Thailand	Bang Khae	2000	43.3%	44.8%	41.7%
Thailand	Bang Khae	2017	30.4%	31.6%	29.0%
Thailand	Bang Khan	2000	15.1%	17.3%	12.8%
Thailand	Bang Khan	2017	9.2%	10.7%	7.7%
Thailand	Bang Khen	2000	43.1%	44.4%	41.6%
Thailand	Bang Khen	2017	30.2%	31.4%	29.0%
Thailand	Bang Khla	2000	40.3%	43.3%	38.0%
Thailand	Bang Khla	2017	28.0%	30.4%	26.1%
Thailand	Bang Kho Laem	2000	40.4%	41.8%	39.0%
Thailand	Bang Kho Laem	2017	27.9%	29.1%	26.7%
Thailand	Bang Khon Ti	2000	38.9%	41.3%	36.3%
Thailand	Bang Khon Ti	2017	26.7%	28.7%	24.5%
Thailand	Bang Klam	2000	16.9%	18.7%	15.1%
Thailand	Bang Klam	2017	10.6%	11.9%	9.4%
Thailand	Bang Krathum	2000	34.5%	37.3%	31.9%
Thailand	Bang Krathum	2017	23.2%	25.4%	21.1%
Thailand	Bang Kruai	2000	40.8%	42.4%	39.1%
Thailand	Bang Kruai	2017	28.3%	29.7%	27.0%
Thailand	Bang Lamung	2000	40.0%	43.3%	36.8%
Thailand	Bang Lamung	2017	27.6%	30.4%	25.1%
Thailand	Bang Len	2000	38.2%	40.9%	35.5%
Thailand	Bang Len	2017	26.0%	28.2%	23.8%
Thailand	Bang Mun Nak	2000	34.5%	37.2%	31.6%
Thailand	Bang Mun Nak	2017	23.2%	25.3%	20.9%
Thailand	Bang Na	2000	42.9%	44.7%	41.3%
Thailand	Bang Na	2017	30.0%	31.4%	28.6%
Thailand	Bang Nam Prieo	2000	41.1%	44.1%	38.6%
Thailand	Bang Nam Prieo	2017	28.5%	31.0%	26.4%
Thailand	Bang Pa-In	2000	39.5%	41.8%	37.4%
Thailand	Bang Pa-In	2017	27.3%	29.1%	25.6%
Thailand	Bang Pahan	2000	39.5%	42.0%	36.9%
Thailand	Bang Pahan	2017	27.4%	29.4%	25.3%
Thailand	Bang Pakong	2000	41.6%	44.2%	39.0%
Thailand	Bang Pakong	2017	29.0%	31.2%	26.8%
Thailand	Bang Phae	2000	39.3%	41.6%	36.8%
Thailand	Bang Phae	2017	27.2%	29.1%	25.2%
Thailand	Bang Pla Ma	2000	40.1%	42.9%	37.6%
Thailand	Bang Pla Ma	2017	27.8%	30.2%	25.5%
Thailand	Bang Plad	2000	40.8%	42.2%	39.4%
Thailand	Bang Plad	2017	28.2%	29.5%	27.1%
Thailand	Bang Plee	2000	43.4%	45.6%	41.2%
Thailand	Bang Plee	2017	30.7%	32.6%	28.8%
Thailand	Bang Rachan	2000	40.3%	42.9%	37.6%
Thailand	Bang Rachan	2017	28.0%	30.2%	25.7%
Thailand	Bang Rak	2000	41.3%	42.8%	39.9%
Thailand	Bang Rak	2017	28.6%	29.9%	27.5%
Thailand	Bang Rakam	2000	32.7%	35.3%	30.0%
Thailand	Bang Rakam	2017	21.7%	23.7%	19.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Bang Sai	2000	38.8%	41.1%	36.3%
Thailand	Bang Sai	2017	26.6%	28.4%	24.6%
Thailand	Bang Saphan	2000	32.8%	37.8%	28.0%
Thailand	Bang Saphan	2017	21.7%	25.6%	18.1%
Thailand	Bang Saphan Noi	2000	24.4%	28.6%	20.4%
Thailand	Bang Saphan Noi	2017	15.5%	18.5%	12.8%
Thailand	Bang Su	2000	40.8%	42.5%	39.3%
Thailand	Bang Su	2017	28.2%	29.7%	26.8%
Thailand	Bang Yai	2000	41.3%	43.1%	39.4%
Thailand	Bang Yai	2017	28.6%	30.2%	27.1%
Thailand	Bangkhuntien	2000	40.8%	42.7%	38.7%
Thailand	Bangkhuntien	2017	28.2%	29.8%	26.5%
Thailand	Bangkok Noi	2000	40.8%	42.3%	39.4%
Thailand	Bangkok Noi	2017	28.2%	29.5%	27.0%
Thailand	Bangkok Yai	2000	41.5%	43.1%	40.0%
Thailand	Bangkok Yai	2017	28.8%	30.2%	27.6%
Thailand	Bannang Star	2000	15.2%	17.6%	13.1%
Thailand	Bannang Star	2017	9.3%	10.9%	7.9%
Thailand	Banphot Phisai	2000	36.0%	39.2%	33.1%
Thailand	Banphot Phisai	2017	24.4%	27.0%	22.0%
Thailand	Batong	2000	15.4%	19.5%	12.1%
Thailand	Batong	2017	9.4%	12.3%	7.2%
Thailand	Benchalak	2000	13.3%	15.8%	11.2%
Thailand	Benchalak	2017	8.0%	9.6%	6.7%
Thailand	Bo Klue	2000	29.3%	35.0%	23.6%
Thailand	Bo Klue	2017	19.4%	23.6%	15.2%
Thailand	Bo Phloi	2000	39.8%	43.7%	35.7%
Thailand	Bo Phloi	2017	27.4%	30.8%	24.1%
Thailand	Bo Rai	2000	39.3%	45.3%	33.3%
Thailand	Bo Rai	2017	27.2%	32.3%	22.5%
Thailand	Bo Thong	2000	39.5%	43.0%	36.0%
Thailand	Bo Thong	2017	27.3%	30.1%	24.3%
Thailand	Borabu	2000	12.6%	14.5%	10.9%
Thailand	Borabu	2017	7.6%	8.7%	6.5%
Thailand	Bua Chet	2000	14.8%	17.7%	12.4%
Thailand	Bua Chet	2017	8.9%	11.1%	7.3%
Thailand	Bua Yai	2000	12.5%	14.6%	10.7%
Thailand	Bua Yai	2017	7.5%	8.9%	6.4%
Thailand	Buang Sam Phan	2000	34.3%	38.1%	31.1%
Thailand	Buang Sam Phan	2017	23.0%	25.9%	20.5%
Thailand	Bung Bun	2000	13.1%	15.3%	11.1%
Thailand	Bung Bun	2017	7.9%	9.3%	6.6%
Thailand	Bung Kan	2000	13.8%	17.3%	10.9%
Thailand	Bung Kan	2017	8.4%	10.7%	6.5%
Thailand	Bung Khong Long	2000	13.7%	16.4%	11.0%
Thailand	Bung Khong Long	2017	8.3%	10.1%	6.6%
Thailand	Bung Kum	2000	43.9%	45.6%	42.2%
Thailand	Bung Kum	2017	30.9%	32.3%	29.4%
Thailand	Buntharik	2000	12.9%	15.8%	10.0%
Thailand	Buntharik	2017	7.8%	9.8%	6.0%
Thailand	Cha-Am	2000	38.0%	42.1%	33.7%
Thailand	Cha-Am	2017	25.9%	29.1%	22.4%
Thailand	Cha-uat	2000	16.4%	18.5%	14.8%
Thailand	Cha-uat	2017	10.2%	11.6%	9.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Chae Hom	2000	31.4%	34.8%	27.7%
Thailand	Chae Hom	2017	20.7%	23.3%	18.0%
Thailand	Chai Badan	2000	34.0%	36.9%	30.8%
Thailand	Chai Badan	2017	22.7%	25.0%	20.2%
Thailand	Chai Prakarn	2000	36.1%	40.5%	31.9%
Thailand	Chai Prakarn	2017	24.3%	28.0%	21.0%
Thailand	Chai Wan	2000	12.5%	14.7%	10.5%
Thailand	Chai Wan	2017	7.5%	8.9%	6.3%
Thailand	Chaiburi	2000	16.1%	18.4%	14.0%
Thailand	Chaiburi	2017	9.9%	11.4%	8.4%
Thailand	Chaiya	2000	17.4%	20.3%	14.6%
Thailand	Chaiya	2017	10.7%	12.6%	8.9%
Thailand	Chaiyo	2000	38.8%	41.9%	36.0%
Thailand	Chaiyo	2017	26.6%	29.2%	24.3%
Thailand	Chakkarat	2000	12.4%	14.3%	10.7%
Thailand	Chakkarat	2017	7.5%	8.7%	6.4%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	13.2%	15.2%	11.3%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	35.2%	38.0%	31.9%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	31.9%	38.8%	25.5%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	14.4%	16.8%	12.5%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	17.5%	19.5%	15.3%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	23.9%	26.2%	21.5%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	8.0%	9.3%	6.7%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	21.1%	26.7%	16.3%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	8.8%	10.3%	7.5%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	10.9%	12.3%	9.4%
Thailand	Cham Ni	2000	12.8%	14.8%	11.1%
Thailand	Cham Ni	2017	7.8%	9.1%	6.7%
Thailand	Chana	2000	17.7%	19.8%	15.5%
Thailand	Chana	2017	11.0%	12.6%	9.5%
Thailand	Changhan	2000	13.7%	16.0%	12.0%
Thailand	Changhan	2017	8.3%	9.7%	7.1%
Thailand	Chanuman	2000	13.2%	15.8%	10.9%
Thailand	Chanuman	2017	8.0%	9.7%	6.5%
Thailand	Chareon Silp	2000	12.7%	15.0%	10.6%
Thailand	Chareon Silp	2017	7.6%	9.1%	6.4%
Thailand	Chat Trakan	2000	30.5%	34.4%	26.8%
Thailand	Chat Trakan	2017	20.1%	23.1%	17.4%
Thailand	Chatturat	2000	13.6%	15.9%	11.5%
Thailand	Chatturat	2017	8.2%	9.7%	6.9%
Thailand	Chatuchak	2000	41.6%	43.1%	40.2%
Thailand	Chatuchak	2017	28.9%	30.4%	27.7%
Thailand	Chaturaphak Phim	2000	13.3%	15.4%	11.6%
Thailand	Chaturaphak Phim	2017	8.1%	9.5%	6.9%
Thailand	Chawang	2000	15.6%	17.6%	13.6%
Thailand	Chawang	2017	9.5%	10.8%	8.2%
Thailand	Chian Yai	2000	18.0%	20.4%	15.4%
Thailand	Chian Yai	2017	11.1%	12.9%	9.5%
Thailand	Chiang Dao	2000	34.3%	38.7%	30.3%
Thailand	Chiang Dao	2017	22.9%	26.4%	19.9%
Thailand	Chiang Kham	2000	35.4%	39.1%	31.6%
Thailand	Chiang Kham	2017	23.7%	26.8%	20.7%
Thailand	Chiang Khan	2000	17.5%	21.2%	14.2%
Thailand	Chiang Khan	2017	10.8%	13.4%	8.7%
Thailand	Chiang Khong	2000	34.8%	39.1%	30.9%
Thailand	Chiang Khong	2017	23.5%	27.2%	20.4%
Thailand	Chiang Klang	2000	35.3%	40.4%	31.0%
Thailand	Chiang Klang	2017	23.8%	28.0%	20.5%
Thailand	Chiang Muan	2000	32.1%	36.9%	28.2%
Thailand	Chiang Muan	2017	21.3%	25.0%	18.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Chiang Saen	2000	34.9%	39.2%	31.3%
Thailand	Chiang Saen	2017	23.4%	26.9%	20.7%
Thailand	Chiang Yun	2000	13.8%	16.0%	12.0%
Thailand	Chiang Yun	2017	8.4%	9.8%	7.3%
Thailand	Cho-I-rong	2000	16.4%	18.9%	14.2%
Thailand	Cho-I-rong	2017	10.1%	11.9%	8.7%
Thailand	Chok Chai	2000	14.8%	17.0%	12.7%
Thailand	Chok Chai	2017	9.2%	10.7%	7.7%
Thailand	Chom Bung	2000	37.7%	41.7%	34.0%
Thailand	Chom Bung	2017	25.6%	28.8%	22.6%
Thailand	Chom Phra	2000	13.2%	15.3%	11.1%
Thailand	Chom Phra	2017	7.9%	9.3%	6.6%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2000	35.2%	39.2%	31.7%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2000	41.1%	42.6%	39.7%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2017	23.4%	26.4%	20.8%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2017	28.5%	29.7%	27.2%
Thailand	Chon Daen	2000	34.3%	37.3%	30.6%
Thailand	Chon Daen	2017	22.9%	25.3%	20.1%
Thailand	Chonnabot	2000	12.9%	15.1%	10.7%
Thailand	Chonnabot	2017	7.8%	9.2%	6.4%
Thailand	Chulaphon	2000	15.2%	17.2%	13.4%
Thailand	Chulaphon	2017	9.3%	10.6%	8.2%
Thailand	Chum Phae	2000	14.7%	16.8%	12.6%
Thailand	Chum Phae	2017	9.1%	10.5%	7.7%
Thailand	Chum Phuang	2000	12.6%	14.7%	11.0%
Thailand	Chum Phuang	2017	7.6%	9.0%	6.6%
Thailand	Chumphon	2000	13.6%	15.5%	11.7%
Thailand	Buri				
Thailand	Chumphon	2017	8.2%	9.5%	7.0%
Thailand	Chumsaeng	2000	35.4%	38.6%	32.7%
Thailand	Chumsaeng	2017	24.0%	26.6%	21.8%
Thailand	Chun	2000	35.9%	38.9%	32.6%
Thailand	Chun	2017	24.2%	26.6%	21.5%
Thailand	Damnoen Sad-uak	2000	39.4%	41.7%	37.0%
Thailand	Damnoen Sad-uak	2017	27.4%	29.3%	25.4%
Thailand	Dan Chang	2000	36.7%	41.1%	32.6%
Thailand	Dan Chang	2017	24.8%	28.4%	21.6%
Thailand	Dan Khun Thot	2000	14.9%	17.0%	12.8%
Thailand	Dan Khun Thot	2017	9.1%	10.4%	7.7%
Thailand	Dan Makham Tia	2000	39.6%	43.7%	35.2%
Thailand	Dan Makham Tia	2017	27.1%	30.7%	23.5%
Thailand	Dan Sai	2000	26.9%	30.6%	23.3%
Thailand	Dan Sai	2017	17.5%	20.3%	14.8%
Thailand	Den Chai	2000	31.8%	35.3%	28.6%
Thailand	Den Chai	2017	21.3%	24.0%	18.9%
Thailand	Det Udom	2000	14.1%	16.3%	11.6%
Thailand	Det Udom	2017	8.6%	10.0%	6.9%
Thailand	Din Dang	2000	42.1%	43.7%	40.8%
Thailand	Din Dang	2017	29.3%	30.8%	28.1%
Thailand	Doembang	2000	40.2%	43.6%	37.5%
Thailand	Nangbua				
Thailand	Doembang	2017	27.8%	30.8%	25.6%
Thailand	Doi Saket	2000	34.7%	37.7%	32.2%
Thailand	Doi Saket	2017	23.4%	25.9%	21.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Doi Tao	2000	34.8%	39.5%	30.6%
Thailand	Doi Tao	2017	23.4%	27.3%	20.1%
Thailand	Dok Kham Tai	2000	35.8%	38.8%	32.6%
Thailand	Dok Kham Tai	2017	24.1%	26.5%	21.7%
Thailand	Don Chedi	2000	38.9%	42.3%	35.8%
Thailand	Don Chedi	2017	26.6%	29.4%	24.0%
Thailand	Don Muang	2000	41.0%	42.8%	39.3%
Thailand	Don Muang	2017	28.3%	29.8%	26.9%
Thailand	Don Phut	2000	41.1%	44.0%	37.8%
Thailand	Don Phut	2017	28.5%	31.0%	25.9%
Thailand	Don Sak	2000	16.6%	19.4%	14.3%
Thailand	Don Sak	2017	10.2%	12.1%	8.7%
Thailand	Don Tan	2000	13.8%	16.7%	11.4%
Thailand	Don Tan	2017	8.4%	10.3%	6.9%
Thailand	Don Tum	2000	38.8%	41.4%	35.9%
Thailand	Don Tum	2017	27.0%	29.0%	24.6%
Thailand	Dong Luang	2000	13.3%	15.6%	11.2%
Thailand	Dong Luang	2017	8.1%	9.5%	6.7%
Thailand	Donmotdaeng	2000	13.7%	15.9%	11.7%
Thailand	Donmotdaeng	2017	8.3%	9.7%	7.1%
Thailand	Dusit	2000	41.4%	42.9%	40.1%
Thailand	Dusit	2017	28.7%	30.1%	27.5%
Thailand	Fak Tha	2000	29.3%	34.6%	24.2%
Thailand	Fak Tha	2017	19.1%	23.1%	15.3%
Thailand	Fang	2000	36.2%	41.0%	32.3%
Thailand	Fang	2017	24.6%	28.6%	21.5%
Thailand	Han Kha	2000	39.9%	42.8%	36.6%
Thailand	Han Kha	2017	27.7%	30.0%	24.9%
Thailand	Hang Chat	2000	33.7%	37.0%	30.8%
Thailand	Hang Chat	2017	22.5%	25.1%	20.3%
Thailand	Hang Dong	2000	36.3%	39.1%	33.7%
Thailand	Hang Dong	2017	24.6%	26.8%	22.4%
Thailand	Hat Yai	2000	16.8%	18.4%	15.1%
Thailand	Hat Yai	2017	10.4%	11.6%	9.3%
Thailand	Hot	2000	35.5%	39.3%	31.4%
Thailand	Hot	2017	23.9%	27.0%	20.6%
Thailand	Hua Hin	2000	36.8%	41.0%	33.2%
Thailand	Hua Hin	2017	25.2%	28.7%	22.3%
Thailand	Hua Sai	2000	17.1%	20.1%	14.5%
Thailand	Hua Sai	2017	10.6%	12.7%	8.9%
Thailand	Hua Taphan	2000	13.3%	15.8%	11.0%
Thailand	Hua Taphan	2017	8.0%	9.6%	6.6%
Thailand	Huai Khot	2000	37.2%	41.3%	33.5%
Thailand	Huai Khot	2017	25.2%	28.6%	22.3%
Thailand	Huai Kra Chao	2000	39.5%	43.2%	36.0%
Thailand	Huai Kra Chao	2017	27.1%	30.2%	24.3%
Thailand	Huai Kwang	2000	42.6%	44.2%	41.2%
Thailand	Huai Kwang	2017	29.8%	31.2%	28.5%
Thailand	Huai Mek	2000	12.6%	14.5%	10.8%
Thailand	Huai Mek	2017	7.6%	8.8%	6.5%
Thailand	Huai Phung	2000	13.8%	16.4%	11.6%
Thailand	Huai Phung	2017	8.4%	10.1%	7.0%
Thailand	Huai Rat	2000	13.3%	15.3%	11.6%
Thailand	Huai Rat	2017	8.1%	9.3%	7.0%
Thailand	Huai Thalang	2000	12.5%	14.3%	10.8%
Thailand	Huai Thalang	2017	7.6%	8.7%	6.5%
Thailand	Huai Thap Than	2000	13.3%	15.2%	11.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Huai Thap Than	2017	8.0%	9.2%	6.9%
Thailand	Huai Yot	2000	15.7%	17.6%	13.6%
Thailand	Huai Yot	2017	9.6%	10.9%	8.2%
Thailand	In Buri	2000	40.6%	43.4%	37.4%
Thailand	In Buri	2017	28.0%	30.4%	25.4%
Thailand	Ja-Nae	2000	14.8%	17.7%	12.5%
Thailand	Ja-Nae	2017	9.0%	10.9%	7.4%
Thailand	K. Ban Dan	2000	13.6%	15.6%	11.7%
Thailand	K. Ban Dan	2017	8.2%	9.4%	7.0%
Thailand	K. Ban Haet	2000	13.8%	16.4%	11.9%
Thailand	K. Ban Haet	2017	8.3%	10.1%	7.2%
Thailand	K. Ban Kha	2000	33.7%	38.9%	28.6%
Thailand	K. Ban Kha	2017	22.7%	26.6%	18.8%
Thailand	K. Bang Sao Thon	2000	42.9%	45.3%	40.7%
Thailand	K. Bang Sao Thon	2017	30.5%	32.4%	28.6%
Thailand	K. Bua Lai	2000	12.5%	14.6%	10.6%
Thailand	K. Bua Lai	2017	7.6%	8.8%	6.3%
Thailand	K. Bung Khla	2000	13.8%	17.4%	10.6%
Thailand	K. Bung Khla	2017	8.5%	10.9%	6.4%
Thailand	K. Bung Narang	2000	32.8%	35.9%	29.6%
Thailand	K. Bung Narang	2017	21.7%	24.2%	19.3%
Thailand	K. Bung Samakki	2000	33.8%	37.0%	31.0%
Thailand	K. Bung Samakki	2017	22.7%	25.3%	20.4%
Thailand	K. Chang Klang	2000	14.9%	16.7%	13.1%
Thailand	K. Chang Klang	2017	9.0%	10.2%	7.8%
Thailand	K. Chiang Kwan	2000	13.5%	15.8%	11.5%
Thailand	K. Chiang Kwan	2017	8.2%	9.6%	6.9%
Thailand	K. Chum Ta Bong	2000	33.5%	36.8%	30.1%
Thailand	K. Chum Ta Bong	2017	22.4%	25.0%	19.8%
Thailand	K. Chun Chom	2000	12.8%	14.7%	10.9%
Thailand	K. Chun Chom	2017	7.7%	8.9%	6.6%
Thailand	K. Daen Kong	2000	13.6%	15.9%	11.7%
Thailand	K. Daen Kong	2017	8.2%	9.7%	7.0%
Thailand	K. Doi Lo	2000	35.9%	39.1%	32.5%
Thailand	K. Doi Lo	2017	24.2%	26.8%	21.6%
Thailand	K. Doi Luang	2000	34.1%	38.0%	30.6%
Thailand	K. Doi Luang	2017	22.8%	26.1%	20.0%
Thailand	K. Don Chan	2000	12.8%	15.0%	10.9%
Thailand	K. Don Chan	2017	7.8%	9.1%	6.5%
Thailand	K. Dong Charoen	2000	34.5%	38.1%	31.6%
Thailand	K. Dong Charoen	2017	23.1%	25.9%	20.8%
Thailand	K. Erawan	2000	15.1%	17.7%	12.7%
Thailand	K. Erawan	2017	9.2%	11.0%	7.6%
Thailand	K. Fao Rai	2000	12.9%	15.3%	10.6%
Thailand	K. Fao Rai	2017	7.8%	9.3%	6.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Hat Samran	2000	15.7%	18.0%	13.1%
Thailand	K. Hat Samran	2017	9.6%	11.1%	7.9%
Thailand	K. Kao Kichakut	2000	41.6%	45.9%	37.3%
Thailand	K. Kao Kichakut	2017	29.0%	32.8%	25.4%
Thailand	K. Khao Chamao	2000	41.2%	45.1%	37.0%
Thailand	K. Khao Chamao	2017	28.6%	32.0%	25.0%
Thailand	K. Khlong Khuan	2000	40.0%	43.1%	37.5%
Thailand	K. Khlong Khuan	2017	28.1%	30.7%	26.1%
Thailand	K. Khok Pho Cha	2000	13.2%	15.4%	11.1%
Thailand	K. Khok Pho Cha	2017	8.0%	9.4%	6.7%
Thailand	K. Ko Chan	2000	39.7%	42.4%	36.5%
Thailand	K. Ko Chan	2017	27.4%	29.7%	24.9%
Thailand	K. Ko Chang	2000	31.0%	39.6%	23.6%
Thailand	K. Ko Chang	2017	20.9%	27.8%	15.5%
Thailand	K. Ko Kut	2000	24.7%	34.9%	16.3%
Thailand	K. Ko Kut	2017	15.7%	23.2%	9.8%
Thailand	K. Ko Sam Pi Nakhon	2000	34.0%	38.0%	30.5%
Thailand	K. Ko Sam Pi Nakhon	2017	23.0%	26.2%	20.4%
Thailand	K. Kok Sung	2000	34.4%	38.9%	29.9%
Thailand	K. Kok Sung	2017	23.2%	26.8%	19.7%
Thailand	K. Kong Chai	2000	13.8%	16.0%	11.9%
Thailand	K. Kong Chai	2017	8.4%	9.7%	7.2%
Thailand	K. Krong Pi Nung	2000	16.4%	18.3%	14.6%
Thailand	K. Krong Pi Nung	2017	10.0%	11.3%	8.9%
Thailand	K. Ku Kao	2000	12.9%	15.0%	11.1%
Thailand	K. Ku Kao	2017	7.7%	9.0%	6.6%
Thailand	K. Kut Rang	2000	12.7%	14.8%	10.8%
Thailand	K. Kut Rang	2017	7.7%	9.0%	6.4%
Thailand	K. Kwao Si Narin	2000	13.3%	15.6%	11.3%
Thailand	K. Kwao Si Narin	2017	8.0%	9.4%	6.7%
Thailand	K. Lam Tha Men Chai	2000	12.6%	14.6%	10.7%
Thailand	K. Lam Tha Men Chai	2017	7.6%	8.9%	6.4%
Thailand	K. Lao Sua Kok	2000	13.6%	15.7%	11.4%
Thailand	K. Lao Sua Kok	2017	8.3%	9.7%	6.9%
Thailand	K. Ma Nang	2000	14.8%	17.0%	12.5%
Thailand	K. Ma Nang	2017	9.0%	10.4%	7.5%
Thailand	K. Mae On	2000	30.6%	34.3%	27.3%
Thailand	K. Mae On	2017	20.2%	23.1%	17.7%
Thailand	K. Mae Poen	2000	32.8%	37.0%	28.7%
Thailand	K. Mae Poen	2017	21.8%	25.1%	18.7%
Thailand	K. Muang Yang	2000	13.4%	15.6%	11.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Muang Yang	2017	8.1%	9.5%	6.9%
Thailand	K. Na Du	2000	13.4%	15.8%	11.2%
Thailand	K. Na Du	2017	8.1%	9.7%	6.7%
Thailand	K. Na Tan	2000	13.3%	17.1%	10.3%
Thailand	K. Na Tan	2017	8.1%	10.5%	6.2%
Thailand	K. Na Yai Am	2000	42.6%	46.6%	38.6%
Thailand	K. Na Yai Am	2017	29.8%	33.3%	26.4%
Thailand	K. Na Yia	2000	13.4%	15.5%	11.1%
Thailand	K. Na Yia	2017	8.1%	9.5%	6.7%
Thailand	K. Nam Khun	2000	13.5%	16.5%	10.8%
Thailand	K. Nam Khun	2017	8.2%	10.2%	6.5%
Thailand	K. Nikhom Pattan	2000	39.2%	42.1%	36.2%
Thailand	K. Nikhom Pattan	2017	26.9%	29.3%	24.5%
Thailand	K. Noen Kham	2000	37.4%	40.8%	33.8%
Thailand	K. Noen Kham	2017	25.5%	28.3%	22.7%
Thailand	K. Non Narai	2000	12.9%	14.9%	11.1%
Thailand	K. Non Narai	2017	7.8%	9.2%	6.7%
Thailand	K. Non Sila	2000	13.5%	15.8%	11.4%
Thailand	K. Non Sila	2017	8.2%	9.6%	6.8%
Thailand	K. Nong Hi	2000	12.9%	15.2%	10.9%
Thailand	K. Nong Hi	2017	7.9%	9.4%	6.7%
Thailand	K. Nong Hin	2000	15.8%	18.4%	13.4%
Thailand	K. Nong Hin	2017	9.7%	11.4%	8.1%
Thailand	K. Nong Ma Mong	2000	36.0%	39.2%	32.2%
Thailand	K. Nong Ma Mong	2017	24.3%	27.0%	21.4%
Thailand	K. Nong Na Kham	2000	13.7%	15.7%	11.6%
Thailand	K. Nong Na Kham	2017	8.3%	9.6%	6.9%
Thailand	K. Nophi Tam	2000	13.8%	15.8%	12.0%
Thailand	K. Nophi Tam	2017	8.3%	9.7%	7.1%
Thailand	K. Phanom Dong Rak	2000	15.4%	18.5%	12.6%
Thailand	K. Phanom Dong Rak	2017	9.4%	11.5%	7.6%
Thailand	K. Pho Si Suwan	2000	12.9%	14.9%	10.9%
Thailand	K. Pho Si Suwan	2017	7.8%	9.0%	6.6%
Thailand	K. Pho Tak	2000	14.3%	17.3%	11.5%
Thailand	K. Pho Tak	2017	8.7%	10.6%	6.9%
Thailand	K. Phra Thong Kham	2000	12.4%	14.3%	10.4%
Thailand	K. Phra Thong Kham	2017	7.5%	8.7%	6.2%
Thailand	K. Phu Kam Yao	2000	35.2%	38.3%	32.0%
Thailand	K. Phu Kam Yao	2017	23.7%	26.3%	21.1%
Thailand	K. Phu Pieng	2000	35.9%	40.0%	32.0%
Thailand	K. Phu Pieng	2017	24.6%	27.8%	21.5%
Thailand	K. Phu Sang	2000	34.8%	38.5%	30.6%
Thailand	K. Phu Sang	2017	23.2%	26.3%	19.8%
Thailand	K. Prachak Silapakhom	2000	13.4%	15.4%	11.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Prachak Silapakhom	2017	8.2%	9.5%	6.9%
Thailand	K. Rattana Wapi	2000	13.7%	17.3%	10.8%
Thailand	K. Rattana Wapi	2017	8.3%	10.7%	6.5%
Thailand	K. Sa Khrai	2000	12.5%	14.8%	10.9%
Thailand	K. Sa Khrai	2017	7.6%	9.0%	6.6%
Thailand	K. Sak Lek	2000	36.4%	39.6%	33.2%
Thailand	K. Sak Lek	2017	24.7%	27.4%	22.1%
Thailand	K. Sam Chai	2000	13.1%	15.2%	11.0%
Thailand	K. Sam Chai	2017	7.9%	9.3%	6.6%
Thailand	K. Sam Roi Yot	2000	39.7%	44.1%	35.5%
Thailand	K. Sam Roi Yot	2017	27.1%	30.8%	23.8%
Thailand	K. Sam Sung	2000	13.4%	15.4%	11.7%
Thailand	K. Sam Sung	2017	8.1%	9.3%	7.0%
Thailand	K. Sap Yai	2000	15.2%	17.7%	12.7%
Thailand	K. Sap Yai	2017	9.3%	11.1%	7.7%
Thailand	K. Sawang Weeraw	2000	14.1%	16.4%	12.1%
Thailand	K. Sawang Weeraw	2017	8.5%	10.0%	7.2%
Thailand	K. Sida	2000	12.9%	15.0%	10.9%
Thailand	K. Sida	2017	7.8%	9.1%	6.5%
Thailand	K. Sila Lat	2000	12.9%	15.1%	10.9%
Thailand	K. Sila Lat	2017	7.8%	9.1%	6.5%
Thailand	K. Sri Nakarin	2000	14.8%	16.9%	13.2%
Thailand	K. Sri Nakarin	2017	9.0%	10.3%	8.0%
Thailand	K. Sri Narong	2000	13.1%	15.3%	11.0%
Thailand	K. Sri Narong	2017	7.9%	9.3%	6.6%
Thailand	K. Suk Samran	2000	18.3%	23.5%	13.6%
Thailand	K. Suk Samran	2017	11.4%	15.0%	8.2%
Thailand	K. The Pha Rak	2000	18.0%	20.5%	15.4%
Thailand	K. The Pha Rak	2017	11.3%	12.9%	9.5%
Thailand	K. Thung Kao Lua	2000	13.2%	15.1%	11.2%
Thailand	K. Thung Kao Lua	2017	7.9%	9.1%	6.7%
Thailand	K. Wang Chao	2000	36.1%	40.7%	32.4%
Thailand	K. Wang Chao	2017	24.2%	27.9%	21.4%
Thailand	K. Wang Sombun	2000	39.9%	44.6%	36.1%
Thailand	K. Wang Sombun	2017	27.4%	31.5%	24.2%
Thailand	K. Wang Yang	2000	13.2%	15.3%	11.2%
Thailand	K. Wang Yang	2017	8.0%	9.3%	6.7%
Thailand	K. Wiang Nong Long	2000	37.3%	41.4%	33.6%
Thailand	K. Wiang Nong Long	2017	25.3%	28.7%	22.3%
Thailand	K. Wieng Chiang	2000	34.5%	38.0%	31.5%
Thailand	K. Wieng Chiang	2017	23.1%	26.0%	20.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Wipawadi	2000	15.1%	18.3%	12.4%
Thailand	K. Wipawadi	2017	9.2%	11.3%	7.5%
Thailand	Ka Bang	2000	14.7%	17.2%	12.4%
Thailand	Ka Bang	2017	9.0%	10.7%	7.5%
Thailand	Ka Pho	2000	16.4%	18.8%	14.4%
Thailand	Ka Pho	2017	10.1%	11.6%	8.7%
Thailand	Kabin Buri	2000	37.5%	41.1%	34.5%
Thailand	Kabin Buri	2017	25.5%	28.3%	23.1%
Thailand	Kae Dam	2000	12.8%	14.7%	11.0%
Thailand	Kae Dam	2017	7.7%	8.9%	6.6%
Thailand	Kaeng Khlo	2000	12.4%	14.5%	10.7%
Thailand	Kaeng Khlo	2017	7.5%	8.8%	6.4%
Thailand	Kaeng Khoi	2000	34.9%	38.3%	31.7%
Thailand	Kaeng Khoi	2017	23.6%	26.4%	21.2%
Thailand	Kaeng Krachan	2000	33.2%	38.0%	28.5%
Thailand	Kaeng Krachan	2017	22.5%	26.3%	19.0%
Thailand	Kaeng Sanam Nang	2000	12.4%	14.2%	10.4%
Thailand	Kaeng Sanam Nang	2017	7.4%	8.6%	6.2%
Thailand	Kamalasai	2000	14.0%	16.2%	12.0%
Thailand	Kamalasai	2017	8.5%	9.9%	7.3%
Thailand	Kamphaeng Saen	2000	39.5%	42.0%	36.6%
Thailand	Kamphaeng Saen	2017	27.2%	29.2%	24.9%
Thailand	Kanchanadit	2000	17.1%	19.2%	14.9%
Thailand	Kanchanadit	2017	10.5%	12.0%	9.1%
Thailand	Kang Hang Maeo	2000	40.8%	45.5%	36.3%
Thailand	Kang Hang Maeo	2017	28.2%	32.2%	24.5%
Thailand	Kantharalak	2000	13.4%	15.8%	11.1%
Thailand	Kantharalak	2017	8.2%	9.7%	6.7%
Thailand	Kanthararom	2000	14.0%	16.1%	12.2%
Thailand	Kanthararom	2017	8.5%	9.9%	7.3%
Thailand	Kantharawichai	2000	12.8%	14.5%	11.1%
Thailand	Kantharawichai	2017	7.8%	8.9%	6.7%
Thailand	Kantrang	2000	16.5%	18.9%	14.3%
Thailand	Kantrang	2017	10.2%	11.8%	8.8%
Thailand	Kao Cha Kan	2000	40.2%	43.7%	36.3%
Thailand	Kao Cha Kan	2017	27.8%	30.7%	24.7%
Thailand	Kao Lio	2000	35.4%	38.7%	32.5%
Thailand	Kao Lio	2017	23.8%	26.4%	21.5%
Thailand	Kap Choeng	2000	15.0%	18.2%	12.6%
Thailand	Kap Choeng	2017	9.3%	11.5%	7.7%
Thailand	Kapoe	2000	17.5%	21.8%	13.9%
Thailand	Kapoe	2017	10.8%	13.6%	8.4%
Thailand	Kapong	2000	16.4%	19.9%	13.6%
Thailand	Kapong	2017	10.1%	12.4%	8.2%
Thailand	Kaset Sombon	2000	14.5%	16.7%	12.4%
Thailand	Kaset Sombon	2017	8.8%	10.3%	7.5%
Thailand	Kaset Wisai	2000	13.7%	15.9%	11.8%
Thailand	Kaset Wisai	2017	8.3%	9.8%	7.0%
Thailand	Kathu	2000	17.8%	21.1%	15.0%
Thailand	Kathu	2017	11.0%	13.3%	9.1%
Thailand	Khai Bang Rachan	2000	40.6%	43.5%	38.0%
Thailand	Khai Bang Rachan	2017	28.1%	30.5%	26.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Kham Khuan Kaeo	2000	13.3%	15.3%	11.2%
Thailand	Kham Khuan Kaeo	2017	8.0%	9.4%	6.7%
Thailand	Kham Muang	2000	13.1%	15.5%	11.0%
Thailand	Kham Muang	2017	7.9%	9.5%	6.6%
Thailand	Kham Sakae Saeng	2000	12.4%	14.3%	10.5%
Thailand	Kham Sakae Saeng	2017	7.5%	8.6%	6.3%
Thailand	Kham Ta Kla	2000	12.8%	15.0%	10.9%
Thailand	Kham Ta Kla	2017	7.7%	9.1%	6.5%
Thailand	Kham Thala So	2000	13.7%	15.8%	11.7%
Thailand	Kham Thala So	2017	8.3%	9.7%	7.1%
Thailand	Khamcha-i	2000	14.0%	16.5%	11.8%
Thailand	Khamcha-i	2017	8.6%	10.2%	7.1%
Thailand	Khan Na Yao	2000	43.7%	45.4%	41.9%
Thailand	Khan Na Yao	2017	30.7%	32.1%	29.2%
Thailand	Khanom	2000	15.8%	18.7%	13.3%
Thailand	Khanom	2017	9.6%	11.6%	8.0%
Thailand	Khanu	2000	35.8%	38.6%	32.9%
Thailand	Khanu Woralaksaburi	2017	24.0%	26.4%	21.8%
Thailand	Khao Chaison	2000	16.3%	18.5%	14.3%
Thailand	Khao Chaison	2017	10.0%	11.4%	8.8%
Thailand	Khao Kho	2000	32.6%	36.7%	29.1%
Thailand	Khao Kho	2017	21.6%	24.9%	18.9%
Thailand	Khao Phanom	2000	16.0%	18.3%	14.1%
Thailand	Khao Phanom	2017	9.8%	11.4%	8.5%
Thailand	Khao Saming	2000	39.9%	44.8%	34.7%
Thailand	Khao Saming	2017	27.5%	31.7%	23.3%
Thailand	Khao Suan Kwang	2000	12.7%	14.6%	10.7%
Thailand	Khao Suan Kwang	2017	7.7%	8.9%	6.5%
Thailand	Khao Wong	2000	13.5%	15.7%	11.4%
Thailand	Khao Wong	2017	8.2%	9.6%	6.9%
Thailand	Khao Yoi	2000	39.1%	42.3%	36.1%
Thailand	Khao Yoi	2017	26.7%	29.4%	24.2%
Thailand	Khemarat	2000	13.2%	16.4%	10.6%
Thailand	Khemarat	2017	8.0%	10.2%	6.4%
Thailand	Khian Sa	2000	16.4%	18.6%	14.2%
Thailand	Khian Sa	2017	10.0%	11.5%	8.6%
Thailand	Khiri Mat	2000	33.1%	36.0%	30.0%
Thailand	Khiri Mat	2017	22.0%	24.3%	19.6%
Thailand	Khiri Ratthanikhom	2000	16.1%	18.8%	13.2%
Thailand	Khiri Ratthanikhom	2017	9.8%	11.6%	8.0%
Thailand	Khlong Hat	2000	39.4%	43.0%	35.9%
Thailand	Khlong Hat	2017	26.8%	30.0%	23.5%
Thailand	Khlong Hoi Kong	2000	15.9%	17.8%	14.3%
Thailand	Khlong Hoi Kong	2017	9.8%	11.1%	8.8%
Thailand	Khlong Luang	2000	40.2%	41.9%	38.4%
Thailand	Khlong Luang	2017	28.2%	29.7%	26.7%
Thailand	Khlong Sam Wa	2000	43.2%	45.1%	41.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Khlong Sam Wa	2017	30.3%	31.9%	28.6%
Thailand	Khlong San	2000	41.4%	43.0%	40.0%
Thailand	Khlong San	2017	28.7%	30.1%	27.6%
Thailand	Khlong Thom	2000	16.6%	19.0%	14.3%
Thailand	Khlong Thom	2017	10.2%	11.8%	8.7%
Thailand	Khlong Toey	2000	41.9%	43.4%	40.3%
Thailand	Khlong Toey	2017	29.1%	30.5%	27.8%
Thailand	Khlong Yai	2000	26.5%	36.7%	17.5%
Thailand	Khlong Yai	2017	17.1%	24.9%	10.7%
Thailand	Khlung	2000	40.6%	45.2%	36.3%
Thailand	Khlung	2017	28.2%	32.2%	24.7%
Thailand	Kho Wang	2000	13.3%	15.3%	11.5%
Thailand	Kho Wang	2017	8.1%	9.4%	6.9%
Thailand	Khok Charoen	2000	35.6%	39.3%	32.1%
Thailand	Khok Charoen	2017	23.9%	26.8%	21.2%
Thailand	Khok Pho	2000	17.0%	19.4%	15.2%
Thailand	Khok Pho	2017	10.5%	12.2%	9.2%
Thailand	Khok Sam-rong	2000	40.2%	43.6%	37.2%
Thailand	Khok Sam-rong	2017	27.8%	30.7%	25.2%
Thailand	Khok Sri Supan	2000	13.4%	15.5%	11.3%
Thailand	Khok Sri Supan	2017	8.1%	9.5%	6.8%
Thailand	Khon Buri	2000	17.6%	20.6%	15.0%
Thailand	Khon Buri	2017	10.9%	12.9%	9.2%
Thailand	Khon San	2000	16.2%	18.4%	13.9%
Thailand	Khon San	2017	10.0%	11.5%	8.4%
Thailand	Khon Sawan	2000	12.7%	14.7%	10.7%
Thailand	Khon Sawan	2017	7.7%	9.0%	6.4%
Thailand	Khong	2000	13.3%	15.2%	11.4%
Thailand	Khong	2017	8.0%	9.3%	6.8%
Thailand	Khong Chiam	2000	12.7%	15.7%	10.0%
Thailand	Khong Chiam	2017	7.7%	9.7%	6.0%
Thailand	Khu Muang	2000	13.4%	15.6%	11.8%
Thailand	Khu Muang	2017	8.1%	9.6%	7.1%
Thailand	Khuan Don	2000	15.9%	18.2%	13.6%
Thailand	Khuan Don	2017	9.7%	11.3%	8.3%
Thailand	Khuan Ka Long	2000	15.2%	17.3%	13.3%
Thailand	Khuan Ka Long	2017	9.2%	10.7%	8.0%
Thailand	Khuan Khanun	2000	15.6%	17.6%	14.0%
Thailand	Khuan Khanun	2017	9.6%	10.8%	8.5%
Thailand	Khuan Niang	2000	17.2%	19.2%	15.1%
Thailand	Khuan Niang	2017	10.6%	12.0%	9.3%
Thailand	Khuang Nai	2000	13.6%	15.9%	11.6%
Thailand	Khuang Nai	2017	8.3%	9.8%	7.0%
Thailand	Khukhan	2000	13.3%	15.3%	11.5%
Thailand	Khukhan	2017	8.0%	9.4%	6.9%
Thailand	Khun Han	2000	13.5%	16.0%	11.1%
Thailand	Khun Han	2017	8.2%	9.8%	6.7%
Thailand	Khun Tan	2000	35.2%	38.9%	31.9%
Thailand	Khun Tan	2017	23.7%	26.8%	21.2%
Thailand	Khun Yuam	2000	34.4%	40.4%	28.5%
Thailand	Khun Yuam	2017	23.1%	27.8%	18.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Khura Buri	2000	18.6%	24.1%	14.4%
Thailand	Khura Buri	2017	11.5%	15.3%	8.7%
Thailand	Klaeng	2000	42.6%	46.4%	38.5%
Thailand	Klaeng	2017	29.9%	33.3%	26.5%
Thailand	Klong Khlung	2000	36.2%	39.4%	32.9%
Thailand	Klong Khlung	2017	24.5%	27.1%	21.9%
Thailand	Klong Lan	2000	32.3%	36.1%	28.7%
Thailand	Klong Lan	2017	21.4%	24.4%	18.7%
Thailand	Ko Kha	2000	35.3%	38.8%	32.2%
Thailand	Ko Kha	2017	24.0%	26.8%	21.5%
Thailand	Ko Lanta	2000	16.2%	19.3%	13.5%
Thailand	Ko Lanta	2017	9.9%	12.1%	8.1%
Thailand	Ko Phangan	2000	15.1%	19.9%	10.9%
Thailand	Ko Phangan	2017	9.3%	12.5%	6.5%
Thailand	Ko Samui	2000	17.1%	21.3%	13.1%
Thailand	Ko Samui	2017	10.7%	13.6%	8.0%
Thailand	Ko Sichang	2000	37.2%	41.6%	33.5%
Thailand	Ko Sichang	2017	26.3%	30.0%	23.3%
Thailand	Ko Yao	2000	16.9%	19.8%	14.4%
Thailand	Ko Yao	2017	10.4%	12.4%	8.8%
Thailand	Kong Krailat	2000	34.5%	37.5%	31.5%
Thailand	Kong Krailat	2017	23.1%	25.4%	20.7%
Thailand	Kong Ra	2000	14.8%	17.1%	13.1%
Thailand	Kong Ra	2017	9.0%	10.6%	7.9%
Thailand	Kosum Phisai	2000	13.6%	15.7%	11.8%
Thailand	Kosum Phisai	2017	8.3%	9.6%	7.1%
Thailand	Kra Buri	2000	16.2%	19.6%	12.9%
Thailand	Kra Buri	2017	9.9%	12.1%	7.8%
Thailand	Kranuan	2000	12.3%	14.2%	10.7%
Thailand	Kranuan	2017	7.4%	8.6%	6.4%
Thailand	Krasae Sinthu	2000	15.7%	18.5%	13.1%
Thailand	Krasae Sinthu	2017	9.6%	11.5%	7.9%
Thailand	Krasang	2000	13.1%	15.2%	11.4%
Thailand	Krasang	2017	8.0%	9.3%	6.9%
Thailand	Krathum Baen	2000	43.0%	44.8%	41.2%
Thailand	Krathum Baen	2017	30.1%	31.6%	28.5%
Thailand	Krok Phra	2000	35.0%	38.0%	31.8%
Thailand	Krok Phra	2017	23.5%	26.0%	21.0%
Thailand	Kuchinarai	2000	13.5%	15.8%	11.3%
Thailand	Kuchinarai	2017	8.2%	9.7%	6.8%
Thailand	Kui Buri	2000	40.5%	44.9%	35.7%
Thailand	Kui Buri	2017	28.0%	31.8%	24.1%
Thailand	Kumphawapi	2000	13.8%	15.8%	11.9%
Thailand	Kumphawapi	2017	8.4%	9.7%	7.2%
Thailand	Kusuman	2000	13.6%	15.6%	11.8%
Thailand	Kusuman	2017	8.2%	9.6%	7.1%
Thailand	Kut Bak	2000	12.6%	14.6%	10.5%
Thailand	Kut Bak	2017	7.6%	8.9%	6.3%
Thailand	Kut Chap	2000	13.2%	15.6%	11.2%
Thailand	Kut Chap	2017	8.0%	9.5%	6.8%
Thailand	Kut Chum	2000	13.1%	15.3%	10.9%
Thailand	Kut Chum	2017	7.9%	9.4%	6.5%
Thailand	Kut Khao Pun	2000	13.0%	15.7%	10.8%
Thailand	Kut Khao Pun	2017	7.8%	9.6%	6.5%
Thailand	La-Un	2000	16.0%	19.1%	12.9%
Thailand	La-Un	2017	9.8%	12.0%	7.9%
Thailand	Laem Ngop	2000	36.7%	43.4%	30.3%
Thailand	Laem Ngop	2017	24.8%	30.6%	19.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Laem Sing	2000	41.0%	45.5%	36.4%
Thailand	Laem Sing	2017	28.6%	32.5%	24.8%
Thailand	Lahan Sai	2000	18.4%	21.2%	15.9%
Thailand	Lahan Sai	2017	11.5%	13.4%	9.8%
Thailand	Lak Si	2000	40.4%	41.7%	39.0%
Thailand	Lak Si	2017	27.9%	29.0%	26.8%
Thailand	Lam Luk Ka	2000	41.5%	43.1%	39.6%
Thailand	Lam Luk Ka	2017	28.9%	30.3%	27.5%
Thailand	Lam Plai Mat	2000	12.9%	15.0%	11.2%
Thailand	Lam Plai Mat	2017	7.8%	9.1%	6.6%
Thailand	Lam Son Thi	2000	24.1%	26.9%	21.1%
Thailand	Lam Son Thi	2017	15.3%	17.4%	13.3%
Thailand	Lam Thap	2000	15.1%	17.9%	12.8%
Thailand	Lam Thap	2017	9.3%	11.1%	7.7%
Thailand	Lamae	2000	16.7%	19.8%	13.9%
Thailand	Lamae	2017	10.2%	12.3%	8.3%
Thailand	Lamduan	2000	14.0%	16.1%	11.9%
Thailand	Lamduan	2017	8.5%	9.9%	7.2%
Thailand	Lan Krabu	2000	32.8%	35.5%	29.5%
Thailand	Lan Krabu	2017	21.8%	24.0%	19.4%
Thailand	Lan Sak	2000	36.0%	39.7%	32.2%
Thailand	Lan Sak	2017	24.2%	27.3%	21.2%
Thailand	Lan Saka	2000	14.0%	15.7%	12.5%
Thailand	Lan Saka	2017	8.5%	9.6%	7.5%
Thailand	Lang Suan	2000	17.4%	20.8%	14.7%
Thailand	Lang Suan	2017	10.8%	13.1%	8.9%
Thailand	Langu	2000	15.7%	18.6%	12.8%
Thailand	Langu	2017	9.7%	11.6%	7.8%
Thailand	Lao Khwan	2000	38.5%	42.7%	35.0%
Thailand	Lao Khwan	2017	26.4%	29.9%	23.5%
Thailand	Laplae	2000	35.5%	39.3%	32.3%
Thailand	Laplae	2017	23.6%	26.5%	21.0%
Thailand	Lat Bua Luang	2000	38.3%	41.4%	35.3%
Thailand	Lat Bua Luang	2017	26.2%	28.6%	23.8%
Thailand	Lat Krabang	2000	43.3%	45.5%	40.9%
Thailand	Lat Krabang	2017	30.8%	32.8%	28.7%
Thailand	Lat Lum Kaeo	2000	39.4%	41.7%	37.3%
Thailand	Lat Lum Kaeo	2017	27.2%	29.1%	25.5%
Thailand	Lat Phrao	2000	43.3%	44.9%	41.9%
Thailand	Lat Phrao	2017	30.4%	31.8%	29.1%
Thailand	Lat Yao	2000	34.6%	37.4%	31.9%
Thailand	Lat Yao	2017	23.2%	25.5%	21.0%
Thailand	Li	2000	33.8%	38.0%	29.8%
Thailand	Li	2017	22.6%	26.0%	19.4%
Thailand	Loeng Nok Tha	2000	13.4%	15.7%	11.3%
Thailand	Loeng Nok Tha	2017	8.1%	9.6%	6.8%
Thailand	Lom Kao	2000	29.8%	33.2%	26.1%
Thailand	Lom Kao	2017	19.6%	22.2%	16.9%
Thailand	Lom Sak	2000	32.8%	36.4%	29.5%
Thailand	Lom Sak	2017	21.7%	24.6%	19.3%
Thailand	Long	2000	30.6%	34.1%	27.5%
Thailand	Long	2017	20.0%	22.5%	17.8%
Thailand	Lu Amnat	2000	13.7%	16.0%	11.5%
Thailand	Lu Amnat	2017	8.3%	9.8%	6.9%
Thailand	Mae Ai	2000	35.4%	40.7%	31.6%
Thailand	Mae Ai	2017	23.7%	28.0%	20.8%
Thailand	Mae Chaem	2000	33.6%	37.9%	29.8%
Thailand	Mae Chaem	2017	22.5%	26.0%	19.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Mae Chai	2000	36.5%	40.3%	33.0%
Thailand	Mae Chai	2017	24.7%	27.8%	21.9%
Thailand	Mae Chan	2000	35.7%	39.4%	32.7%
Thailand	Mae Chan	2017	23.9%	26.7%	21.5%
Thailand	Mae Charim	2000	29.2%	34.7%	24.6%
Thailand	Mae Charim	2017	19.1%	23.4%	15.7%
Thailand	Mae Fa Luang	2000	33.0%	37.2%	29.5%
Thailand	Mae Fa Luang	2017	21.8%	25.2%	19.1%
Thailand	Mae La Noi	2000	33.7%	39.2%	28.1%
Thailand	Mae La Noi	2017	22.5%	27.0%	18.2%
Thailand	Mae Lan	2000	16.3%	18.1%	14.6%
Thailand	Mae Lan	2017	10.1%	11.4%	9.0%
Thailand	Mae Lao	2000	36.2%	39.9%	33.0%
Thailand	Mae Lao	2017	24.4%	27.4%	22.1%
Thailand	Mae Mo	2000	29.7%	33.1%	26.6%
Thailand	Mae Mo	2017	19.5%	22.0%	17.1%
Thailand	Mae Phrik	2000	34.5%	38.9%	29.9%
Thailand	Mae Phrik	2017	23.1%	26.7%	19.6%
Thailand	Mae Ramat	2000	34.3%	38.8%	30.4%
Thailand	Mae Ramat	2017	23.0%	26.7%	20.1%
Thailand	Mae Rim	2000	35.5%	38.5%	33.0%
Thailand	Mae Rim	2017	24.0%	26.4%	22.0%
Thailand	Mae Sai	2000	36.2%	40.6%	31.7%
Thailand	Mae Sai	2017	24.6%	28.2%	21.0%
Thailand	Mae Sariang	2000	33.8%	39.3%	28.4%
Thailand	Mae Sariang	2017	22.7%	27.1%	18.7%
Thailand	Mae Sot	2000	36.6%	40.9%	32.2%
Thailand	Mae Sot	2017	25.1%	28.7%	21.5%
Thailand	Mae Suai	2000	34.9%	38.6%	31.4%
Thailand	Mae Suai	2017	23.2%	26.1%	20.7%
Thailand	Mae Taeng	2000	34.8%	38.3%	31.5%
Thailand	Mae Taeng	2017	23.3%	26.2%	20.8%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2000	31.5%	34.9%	28.2%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2000	32.7%	35.7%	29.7%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2017	20.8%	23.5%	18.3%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2017	21.8%	24.2%	19.5%
Thailand	Mae Wang	2000	33.9%	37.2%	30.7%
Thailand	Mae Wang	2017	22.4%	24.9%	19.9%
Thailand	Mae Wong	2000	33.2%	36.9%	29.7%
Thailand	Mae Wong	2017	22.1%	25.0%	19.5%
Thailand	Maha Chana Chai	2000	13.3%	15.3%	11.5%
Thailand	Maha Chana Chai	2017	8.0%	9.3%	6.9%
Thailand	Maha Rat	2000	39.3%	42.4%	36.2%
Thailand	Maha Rat	2017	27.0%	29.5%	24.5%
Thailand	Mai Kaen	2000	17.3%	20.0%	14.6%
Thailand	Mai Kaen	2017	10.7%	12.5%	8.8%
Thailand	Makham	2000	43.2%	48.1%	38.8%
Thailand	Makham	2017	30.4%	34.7%	26.7%
Thailand	Mancha Khiri	2000	12.4%	14.3%	10.7%
Thailand	Mancha Khiri	2017	7.5%	8.7%	6.4%
Thailand	Manorom	2000	38.7%	41.5%	35.7%
Thailand	Manorom	2017	26.4%	28.7%	23.9%
Thailand	Mayo	2000	16.1%	18.2%	14.1%
Thailand	Mayo	2017	9.8%	11.1%	8.5%
Thailand	Min Buri	2000	43.5%	45.7%	41.3%
Thailand	Min Buri	2017	30.6%	32.5%	28.6%
Thailand	Moei Wadi	2000	13.3%	15.6%	11.1%
Thailand	Moei Wadi	2017	8.1%	9.5%	6.7%
Thailand	Muak Lek	2000	27.7%	31.0%	24.9%
Thailand	Muak Lek	2017	18.0%	20.7%	16.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Amnat Charoen	2000	13.3%	15.6%	11.0%
Thailand	Muang Amnat Charoen	2017	8.1%	9.6%	6.6%
Thailand	Muang Ang Thong	2000	37.5%	40.4%	34.7%
Thailand	Muang Ang Thong	2017	25.5%	27.8%	23.1%
Thailand	Muang Buri Ram	2000	14.6%	16.7%	12.7%
Thailand	Muang Buri Ram	2017	9.0%	10.4%	7.8%
Thailand	Muang Chachoengsao	2000	41.6%	44.3%	39.2%
Thailand	Muang Chachoengsao	2017	29.1%	31.3%	27.1%
Thailand	Muang Chai Nat	2000	40.5%	43.4%	37.7%
Thailand	Muang Chai Nat	2017	28.0%	30.6%	25.6%
Thailand	Muang Chaiyaphum	2000	13.3%	15.2%	11.2%
Thailand	Muang Chaiyaphum	2017	8.1%	9.4%	6.8%
Thailand	Muang Chan	2000	13.0%	15.1%	11.3%
Thailand	Muang Chan	2017	7.9%	9.2%	6.7%
Thailand	Muang Chanthaburi	2000	43.3%	47.5%	39.3%
Thailand	Muang Chanthaburi	2017	30.4%	34.1%	27.0%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Mai	2000	36.1%	38.5%	33.7%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Mai	2017	24.4%	26.4%	22.7%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Rai	2000	35.4%	38.2%	32.5%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Rai	2017	23.9%	26.3%	21.6%
Thailand	Muang Chon Buri	2000	40.6%	43.5%	37.8%
Thailand	Muang Chon Buri	2017	28.2%	30.6%	26.0%
Thailand	Muang Chumphon	2000	18.5%	21.8%	15.8%
Thailand	Muang Chumphon	2017	11.6%	13.8%	9.8%
Thailand	Muang Kalasin	2000	14.0%	16.0%	12.1%
Thailand	Muang Kalasin	2017	8.6%	9.9%	7.4%
Thailand	Muang Kanchanaburi	2000	40.0%	43.0%	36.7%
Thailand	Muang Kanchanaburi	2017	27.7%	30.2%	25.0%
Thailand	Muang Khon Kaen	2000	14.5%	16.4%	12.9%
Thailand	Muang Khon Kaen	2017	8.9%	10.2%	7.8%
Thailand	Muang Krabi	2000	17.5%	20.4%	15.2%
Thailand	Muang Krabi	2017	10.8%	12.7%	9.2%
Thailand	Muang Lampang	2000	35.4%	38.1%	33.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Lam-pang	2017	24.1%	26.3%	22.2%
Thailand	Muang Lam-phun	2000	35.8%	38.7%	32.6%
Thailand	Muang Lam-phun	2017	24.0%	26.3%	21.7%
Thailand	Muang Loei	2000	17.7%	21.0%	15.0%
Thailand	Muang Loei	2017	11.0%	13.2%	9.2%
Thailand	Muang Lop Buri	2000	40.8%	43.4%	38.0%
Thailand	Muang Lop Buri	2017	28.4%	30.6%	26.1%
Thailand	Muang Mae Hong Son	2000	33.6%	39.6%	27.5%
Thailand	Muang Mae Hong Son	2017	22.5%	27.3%	17.7%
Thailand	Muang Maha Sarakam	2000	13.3%	15.5%	11.6%
Thailand	Muang Maha Sarakam	2017	8.1%	9.4%	7.0%
Thailand	Muang Muk-dahan	2000	14.5%	17.4%	12.0%
Thailand	Muang Muk-dahan	2017	8.9%	10.9%	7.3%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Nayok	2000	36.2%	39.5%	32.8%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Nayok	2017	24.6%	27.3%	22.0%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Pathom	2000	39.8%	42.0%	37.5%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Pathom	2017	27.8%	29.7%	25.9%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Phanom	2000	15.3%	19.2%	12.3%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Phanom	2017	9.4%	12.2%	7.5%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Ratchasima	2000	14.0%	15.9%	12.2%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Ratchasima	2017	8.6%	9.9%	7.4%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Sawan	2000	34.9%	37.5%	32.0%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Sawan	2017	23.3%	25.4%	21.1%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Si Thammarat	2000	17.3%	18.9%	15.7%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Si Thammarat	2017	10.8%	12.0%	9.7%
Thailand	Muang Nan	2000	35.8%	39.4%	32.0%
Thailand	Muang Nan	2017	24.5%	27.5%	21.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Narathiwat	2000	17.3%	19.9%	14.6%
Thailand	Muang Narathiwat	2017	10.6%	12.4%	8.9%
Thailand	Muang Nong Bua Lam Phu	2000	12.6%	14.9%	10.8%
Thailand	Muang Nong Bua Lam Phu	2017	7.7%	9.1%	6.5%
Thailand	Muang Nong Khai	2000	13.8%	16.2%	11.8%
Thailand	Muang Nong Khai	2017	8.4%	10.0%	7.1%
Thailand	Muang Non-thaburi	2000	39.9%	41.4%	38.4%
Thailand	Muang Non-thaburi	2017	27.5%	28.8%	26.2%
Thailand	Muang Pathum Thani	2000	39.4%	41.2%	37.7%
Thailand	Muang Pathum Thani	2017	27.0%	28.5%	25.5%
Thailand	Muang Pattani	2000	17.7%	20.6%	14.9%
Thailand	Muang Pattani	2017	10.9%	13.0%	9.1%
Thailand	Muang Phangnga	2000	17.5%	20.3%	14.9%
Thailand	Muang Phangnga	2017	10.9%	12.8%	9.0%
Thailand	Muang Phatthalung	2000	16.6%	18.4%	14.7%
Thailand	Muang Phatthalung	2017	10.3%	11.6%	9.1%
Thailand	Muang Phayao	2000	35.1%	38.7%	32.1%
Thailand	Muang Phayao	2017	23.4%	26.2%	21.1%
Thailand	Muang Phetchabun	2000	32.4%	35.8%	28.7%
Thailand	Muang Phetchabun	2017	21.7%	24.5%	18.9%
Thailand	Muang Phetchaburi	2000	41.3%	44.6%	37.9%
Thailand	Muang Phetchaburi	2017	28.8%	31.6%	25.9%
Thailand	Muang Phichit	2000	36.2%	38.8%	33.4%
Thailand	Muang Phichit	2017	24.6%	26.7%	22.4%
Thailand	Muang Phitsanulok	2000	36.7%	39.2%	34.1%
Thailand	Muang Phitsanulok	2017	24.9%	26.9%	22.8%
Thailand	Muang Phrae	2000	35.6%	38.8%	32.2%
Thailand	Muang Phrae	2017	24.1%	26.7%	21.5%
Thailand	Muang Phuket	2000	18.6%	21.9%	15.7%
Thailand	Muang Phuket	2017	11.6%	13.9%	9.6%
Thailand	Muang Prachin Buri	2000	38.4%	41.4%	35.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Prachin Buri	2017	26.1%	28.6%	23.4%
Thailand	Muang Prachuap Khiri Khan	2000	40.6%	45.3%	35.5%
Thailand	Muang Prachuap Khiri Khan	2017	28.0%	32.2%	23.9%
Thailand	Muang Ratanong	2000	19.2%	23.5%	15.1%
Thailand	Muang Ratanong	2017	12.1%	15.1%	9.3%
Thailand	Muang Ratchaburi	2000	39.8%	42.3%	36.7%
Thailand	Muang Ratchaburi	2017	27.6%	29.7%	25.1%
Thailand	Muang Rayong	2000	41.6%	45.3%	38.4%
Thailand	Muang Rayong	2017	29.1%	32.3%	26.5%
Thailand	Muang Roi Et	2000	13.3%	15.2%	11.5%
Thailand	Muang Roi Et	2017	8.1%	9.3%	6.9%
Thailand	Muang Sa Kaeo	2000	37.9%	41.6%	34.5%
Thailand	Muang Sa Kaeo	2017	26.1%	29.2%	23.4%
Thailand	Muang Sakon Nakhon	2000	13.5%	15.6%	11.5%
Thailand	Muang Sakon Nakhon	2017	8.2%	9.6%	6.9%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sakhon	2000	13.9%	16.2%	11.8%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sakhon	2017	8.5%	9.9%	7.1%
Thailand	Muang Samut Prakan	2000	41.7%	44.0%	39.4%
Thailand	Muang Samut Prakan	2017	29.0%	30.9%	27.2%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sakhon	2000	40.4%	42.9%	38.0%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sakhon	2017	28.1%	30.4%	26.1%
Thailand	Muang Samut Songkhram	2000	38.3%	41.3%	35.0%
Thailand	Muang Samut Songkhram	2017	25.8%	28.3%	23.3%
Thailand	Muang Saraburi	2000	40.2%	43.0%	37.0%
Thailand	Muang Saraburi	2017	27.9%	30.3%	25.3%
Thailand	Muang Satun	2000	16.1%	19.0%	13.4%
Thailand	Muang Satun	2017	10.0%	12.0%	8.3%
Thailand	Muang Si Sa Ket	2000	13.9%	16.0%	12.1%
Thailand	Muang Si Sa Ket	2017	8.5%	9.8%	7.3%
Thailand	Muang Sing Buri	2000	41.0%	43.7%	38.1%
Thailand	Muang Sing Buri	2017	28.5%	30.9%	26.0%
Thailand	Muang Songkhla	2000	16.8%	19.1%	14.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Songkhla	2017	10.3%	11.8%	9.0%
Thailand	Muang Suang	2000	12.9%	14.8%	11.3%
Thailand	Muang Suang	2017	7.8%	9.0%	6.8%
Thailand	Muang Sukhothai	2000	35.4%	38.6%	32.4%
Thailand	Muang Sukhothai	2017	23.7%	26.4%	21.3%
Thailand	Muang Suphanburi	2000	41.3%	44.2%	38.4%
Thailand	Muang Suphanburi	2017	28.7%	31.2%	26.2%
Thailand	Muang Surat Thani	2000	17.6%	19.4%	15.6%
Thailand	Muang Surat Thani	2017	10.9%	12.2%	9.5%
Thailand	Muang Surin	2000	14.5%	16.7%	12.5%
Thailand	Muang Surin	2017	8.8%	10.4%	7.6%
Thailand	Muang Tak	2000	35.0%	38.9%	31.5%
Thailand	Muang Tak	2017	23.7%	26.6%	20.7%
Thailand	Muang Trang	2000	16.9%	18.9%	15.0%
Thailand	Muang Trang	2017	10.4%	11.8%	9.2%
Thailand	Muang Trat	2000	37.7%	43.9%	31.4%
Thailand	Muang Trat	2017	25.9%	31.3%	20.8%
Thailand	Muang Ubon Ratchatani	2000	14.2%	16.1%	12.1%
Thailand	Muang Ubon Ratchatani	2017	8.7%	9.9%	7.4%
Thailand	Muang Udon Thani	2000	13.7%	15.7%	12.0%
Thailand	Muang Udon Thani	2017	8.4%	9.7%	7.3%
Thailand	Muang Uthai Thani	2000	38.4%	41.7%	35.1%
Thailand	Muang Uthai Thani	2017	26.7%	29.3%	23.9%
Thailand	Muang Utaradit	2000	35.8%	39.2%	32.4%
Thailand	Muang Utaradit	2017	24.2%	26.9%	21.4%
Thailand	Muang Yala	2000	18.1%	19.8%	16.3%
Thailand	Muang Yala	2017	11.3%	12.5%	10.1%
Thailand	Mueang Kamphaeng Phet	2000	36.0%	38.9%	32.9%
Thailand	Mueang Kamphaeng Phet	2017	24.7%	27.2%	22.2%
Thailand	Mueang Pan	2000	30.2%	33.4%	26.6%
Thailand	Mueang Pan	2017	19.7%	22.2%	17.1%
Thailand	Na Bon	2000	15.8%	17.9%	13.9%
Thailand	Na Bon	2017	9.7%	10.9%	8.4%
Thailand	Na Chaluai	2000	13.4%	16.7%	10.4%
Thailand	Na Chaluai	2017	8.1%	10.2%	6.3%
Thailand	Na Chuak	2000	12.6%	14.6%	10.8%
Thailand	Na Chuak	2017	7.7%	8.9%	6.5%
Thailand	Na Di	2000	30.4%	34.3%	27.1%
Thailand	Na Di	2017	20.1%	23.0%	17.6%
Thailand	Na Duang	2000	14.8%	17.5%	12.3%
Thailand	Na Duang	2017	9.1%	10.9%	7.4%
Thailand	Na Dun	2000	12.7%	14.7%	10.9%
Thailand	Na Dun	2017	7.7%	8.9%	6.5%
Thailand	Na Haeo	2000	29.2%	33.9%	24.6%
Thailand	Na Haeo	2017	19.1%	22.7%	15.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Na Kae	2000	13.5%	15.9%	11.4%
Thailand	Na Kae	2017	8.2%	9.8%	6.8%
Thailand	Na Klang	2000	12.7%	15.0%	10.5%
Thailand	Na Klang	2017	7.6%	9.1%	6.2%
Thailand	Na Mom	2000	15.8%	17.6%	14.1%
Thailand	Na Mom	2017	9.7%	10.9%	8.6%
Thailand	Na Mon	2000	13.2%	15.7%	10.9%
Thailand	Na Mon	2017	8.0%	9.6%	6.6%
Thailand	Na Mun	2000	29.8%	33.9%	26.1%
Thailand	Na Mun	2017	19.5%	22.5%	16.8%
Thailand	Na Noi	2000	31.8%	35.8%	27.8%
Thailand	Na Noi	2017	20.9%	24.1%	17.9%
Thailand	Na Pho	2000	12.7%	14.8%	10.7%
Thailand	Na Pho	2017	7.6%	9.0%	6.4%
Thailand	Na Thawi	2000	15.7%	18.1%	13.6%
Thailand	Na Thawi	2017	9.6%	11.3%	8.2%
Thailand	Na Thom	2000	13.6%	16.3%	11.2%
Thailand	Na Thom	2017	8.3%	10.0%	6.7%
Thailand	Na Wa	2000	13.7%	15.8%	11.5%
Thailand	Na Wa	2017	8.3%	9.7%	6.8%
Thailand	Na Wang	2000	13.9%	16.5%	11.6%
Thailand	Na Wang	2017	8.5%	10.1%	7.0%
Thailand	Na Yong	2000	15.4%	17.2%	13.8%
Thailand	Na Yong	2017	9.5%	10.6%	8.4%
Thailand	Na Yung	2000	15.2%	18.8%	12.1%
Thailand	Na Yung	2017	9.3%	11.7%	7.3%
Thailand	Nakhon Chaisi	2000	39.9%	42.3%	37.7%
Thailand	Nakhon Chaisi	2017	27.5%	29.3%	25.6%
Thailand	Nakhon Luang	2000	40.2%	43.0%	37.2%
Thailand	Nakhon Luang	2017	27.7%	30.1%	25.4%
Thailand	Nakhon Thai	2000	31.4%	35.0%	28.2%
Thailand	Nakhon Thai	2017	20.8%	23.5%	18.3%
Thailand	Nam Kliang	2000	13.0%	15.0%	11.1%
Thailand	Nam Kliang	2017	7.9%	9.2%	6.6%
Thailand	Nam Nao	2000	21.6%	24.8%	18.6%
Thailand	Nam Nao	2017	13.4%	15.7%	11.4%
Thailand	Nam Pat	2000	30.7%	35.2%	26.9%
Thailand	Nam Pat	2017	20.2%	23.5%	17.3%
Thailand	Nam Phong	2000	13.8%	15.7%	12.1%
Thailand	Nam Phong	2017	8.5%	9.6%	7.3%
Thailand	Nam Som	2000	13.7%	16.2%	11.2%
Thailand	Nam Som	2017	8.3%	9.9%	6.7%
Thailand	Nam Yun	2000	13.7%	17.1%	10.9%
Thailand	Nam Yun	2017	8.3%	10.4%	6.4%
Thailand	Nang Rong	2000	14.3%	16.2%	12.5%
Thailand	Nang Rong	2017	8.7%	10.0%	7.5%
Thailand	Ngao	2000	32.7%	36.8%	28.6%
Thailand	Ngao	2017	21.7%	24.9%	18.6%
Thailand	Nikhom Kham Soi	2000	14.3%	16.9%	11.9%
Thailand	Nikhom Kham Soi	2017	8.7%	10.4%	7.2%
Thailand	Nikhom Nam Un	2000	12.7%	14.6%	10.5%
Thailand	Nikhom Nam Un	2017	7.6%	8.9%	6.3%
Thailand	Noen Maprang	2000	35.6%	39.0%	32.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Noen Maprang	2017	24.1%	27.0%	21.5%
Thailand	Noen Sa-Nga	2000	12.4%	14.5%	10.6%
Thailand	Noen Sa-Nga	2017	7.5%	8.8%	6.3%
Thailand	Non Daeng	2000	13.5%	15.6%	11.4%
Thailand	Non Daeng	2017	8.1%	9.5%	6.9%
Thailand	Non Din Daeng	2000	19.8%	22.6%	17.0%
Thailand	Non Din Daeng	2017	12.6%	14.6%	10.7%
Thailand	Non Khun	2000	13.1%	15.4%	11.1%
Thailand	Non Khun	2017	7.9%	9.3%	6.7%
Thailand	Non Sa-at	2000	12.8%	14.8%	10.9%
Thailand	Non Sa-at	2017	7.6%	9.0%	6.4%
Thailand	Non Sang	2000	12.6%	14.7%	10.7%
Thailand	Non Sang	2017	7.6%	8.9%	6.4%
Thailand	Non Sung	2000	13.6%	15.6%	11.5%
Thailand	Non Sung	2017	8.3%	9.5%	7.0%
Thailand	Non Suwan	2000	14.6%	16.9%	12.6%
Thailand	Non Suwan	2017	8.9%	10.4%	7.6%
Thailand	Non Thai	2000	13.3%	15.0%	11.2%
Thailand	Non Thai	2017	8.0%	9.2%	6.7%
Thailand	Nong Bua	2000	33.0%	36.6%	30.0%
Thailand	Nong Bua	2017	22.1%	24.9%	19.8%
Thailand	Nong Bua Daeng	2000	16.2%	18.7%	13.7%
Thailand	Nong Bua Daeng	2017	10.0%	11.7%	8.3%
Thailand	Nong Bua Rawae	2000	15.6%	17.9%	12.9%
Thailand	Nong Bua Rawae	2017	9.5%	11.1%	7.8%
Thailand	Nong Bunnak	2000	13.7%	15.9%	11.6%
Thailand	Nong Bunnak	2017	8.3%	9.7%	6.9%
Thailand	Nong Chang	2000	38.9%	42.3%	35.4%
Thailand	Nong Chang	2017	26.7%	29.5%	23.9%
Thailand	Nong Chik	2000	17.5%	20.2%	15.4%
Thailand	Nong Chik	2017	10.8%	12.7%	9.4%
Thailand	Nong Chok	2000	42.4%	44.7%	39.9%
Thailand	Nong Chok	2017	30.0%	32.0%	27.9%
Thailand	Nong Don	2000	40.1%	42.5%	37.4%
Thailand	Nong Don	2017	27.7%	29.9%	25.5%
Thailand	Nong Han	2000	12.9%	15.1%	11.1%
Thailand	Nong Han	2017	7.8%	9.2%	6.6%
Thailand	Nong Hong	2000	12.5%	14.5%	10.8%
Thailand	Nong Hong	2017	7.5%	8.7%	6.4%
Thailand	Nong Khae	2000	41.5%	44.3%	38.5%
Thailand	Nong Khae	2017	29.1%	31.4%	26.7%
Thailand	Nong Khayang	2000	39.4%	42.6%	36.1%
Thailand	Nong Khayang	2017	27.1%	29.7%	24.5%
Thailand	Nong Ki	2000	13.5%	15.8%	11.5%
Thailand	Nong Ki	2017	8.2%	9.7%	6.9%
Thailand	Nong Kung Si	2000	12.6%	14.5%	10.6%
Thailand	Nong Kung Si	2017	7.6%	8.8%	6.3%
Thailand	Nong Muang	2000	35.7%	39.2%	32.4%
Thailand	Nong Muang	2017	24.1%	26.8%	21.5%
Thailand	Nong Muang Kai	2000	35.2%	39.3%	31.8%
Thailand	Nong Muang Kai	2017	23.8%	27.0%	21.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Nong Phai	2000	32.8%	36.4%	29.1%
Thailand	Nong Phai	2017	21.8%	24.5%	19.1%
Thailand	Nong Phok	2000	13.3%	15.6%	11.0%
Thailand	Nong Phok	2017	8.1%	9.5%	6.6%
Thailand	Nong Prue	2000	39.4%	44.4%	34.8%
Thailand	Nong Prue	2017	26.9%	31.1%	23.4%
Thailand	Nong Rua	2000	13.8%	16.1%	11.9%
Thailand	Nong Rua	2017	8.4%	9.9%	7.2%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2000	41.7%	44.6%	38.7%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2000	12.3%	14.2%	10.4%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2017	29.5%	32.0%	26.9%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2017	7.4%	8.7%	6.2%
Thailand	Nong Song Hong	2000	12.7%	14.8%	10.9%
Thailand	Nong Song Hong	2017	7.7%	9.0%	6.5%
Thailand	Nong Sua	2000	40.2%	42.9%	37.8%
Thailand	Nong Sua	2017	28.0%	30.2%	26.0%
Thailand	Nong Sung	2000	13.8%	16.2%	11.5%
Thailand	Nong Sung	2017	8.4%	9.9%	7.0%
Thailand	Nong Wua So	2000	12.4%	14.5%	10.7%
Thailand	Nong Wua So	2017	7.4%	8.7%	6.3%
Thailand	Nong Ya Plong	2000	33.5%	37.9%	29.4%
Thailand	Nong Ya Plong	2017	23.0%	26.5%	19.8%
Thailand	Nong Ya Sai	2000	38.1%	41.8%	34.5%
Thailand	Nong Ya Sai	2017	25.9%	29.0%	23.1%
Thailand	Nong Yai	2000	39.4%	42.7%	36.4%
Thailand	Nong Yai	2017	27.2%	30.0%	24.8%
Thailand	Nongkheam	2000	43.8%	45.5%	41.8%
Thailand	Nongkheam	2017	30.8%	32.2%	29.0%
Thailand	Nua Khlong	2000	18.0%	20.9%	15.4%
Thailand	Nua Khlong	2017	11.1%	13.1%	9.4%
Thailand	Omkoï	2000	33.9%	38.6%	29.5%
Thailand	Omkoï	2017	22.7%	26.4%	19.3%
Thailand	Ongkharak	2000	39.3%	42.2%	36.8%
Thailand	Ongkharak	2017	27.1%	29.7%	25.1%
Thailand	Pa Bon	2000	16.0%	18.2%	14.3%
Thailand	Pa Bon	2017	9.8%	11.2%	8.6%
Thailand	Pa Daet	2000	34.7%	38.0%	31.5%
Thailand	Pa Daet	2017	23.3%	25.7%	20.8%
Thailand	Pa Kham	2000	18.3%	21.1%	15.7%
Thailand	Pa Kham	2017	11.2%	13.1%	9.5%
Thailand	Pa Mok	2000	38.5%	41.1%	35.9%
Thailand	Pa Mok	2017	26.4%	28.6%	24.2%
Thailand	Pa Payom	2000	14.7%	16.8%	12.8%
Thailand	Pa Payom	2017	9.0%	10.4%	7.8%
Thailand	Pa Sang	2000	35.4%	39.0%	31.5%
Thailand	Pa Sang	2017	23.9%	26.8%	20.9%
Thailand	Pa Tiu	2000	13.3%	15.5%	11.0%
Thailand	Pa Tiu	2017	8.1%	9.5%	6.6%
Thailand	Pai	2000	33.6%	38.8%	28.9%
Thailand	Pai	2017	22.3%	26.5%	18.7%
Thailand	Pak Chom	2000	16.3%	19.9%	13.1%
Thailand	Pak Chom	2017	10.1%	12.5%	7.9%
Thailand	Pak Chong	2000	24.3%	27.6%	21.6%
Thailand	Pak Chong	2017	15.8%	18.2%	13.8%
Thailand	Pak Khat	2000	14.6%	18.5%	11.2%
Thailand	Pak Khat	2017	9.0%	11.6%	6.8%
Thailand	Pak Kret	2000	39.1%	40.9%	37.7%
Thailand	Pak Kret	2017	27.1%	28.6%	25.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Pak Phanang	2000	17.0%	19.1%	14.9%
Thailand	Pak Phanang	2017	10.5%	12.0%	9.1%
Thailand	Pak Phayun	2000	16.0%	18.1%	14.2%
Thailand	Pak Phayun	2017	9.8%	11.2%	8.6%
Thailand	Pak Phli	2000	36.7%	39.6%	33.7%
Thailand	Pak Phli	2017	25.1%	27.6%	22.6%
Thailand	Pak Tho	2000	38.3%	41.6%	34.9%
Thailand	Pak Tho	2017	26.1%	28.8%	23.4%
Thailand	Pak Thong Chai	2000	16.0%	18.4%	13.8%
Thailand	Pak Thong Chai	2017	9.8%	11.5%	8.4%
Thailand	Palian	2000	16.0%	17.9%	14.0%
Thailand	Palian	2017	9.7%	11.0%	8.4%
Thailand	Panare	2000	15.5%	18.3%	12.9%
Thailand	Panare	2017	9.5%	11.3%	7.8%
Thailand	Pang Ma Pha	2000	34.0%	41.4%	27.1%
Thailand	Pang Ma Pha	2017	22.9%	28.8%	17.7%
Thailand	Pang Sila Thong	2000	33.2%	37.2%	29.9%
Thailand	Pang Sila Thong	2017	22.2%	25.2%	19.7%
Thailand	Panom Phrai	2000	13.1%	15.1%	11.2%
Thailand	Panom Phrai	2017	7.9%	9.2%	6.8%
Thailand	Pathiu	2000	19.6%	23.2%	16.4%
Thailand	Pathiu	2017	12.1%	14.6%	10.0%
Thailand	Pathum Rat	2000	12.9%	15.2%	10.9%
Thailand	Pathum Rat	2017	7.8%	9.3%	6.5%
Thailand	Pathum Rat-wongsa	2000	12.9%	15.4%	10.7%
Thailand	Pathum Rat-wongsa	2017	7.8%	9.4%	6.4%
Thailand	Pathum Wan	2000	41.4%	42.8%	40.2%
Thailand	Pathum Wan	2017	28.8%	30.0%	27.7%
Thailand	Pha Khao	2000	13.9%	16.0%	11.3%
Thailand	Pha Khao	2017	8.4%	9.8%	6.8%
Thailand	Phachi	2000	41.0%	43.8%	38.0%
Thailand	Phachi	2017	28.5%	31.0%	26.0%
Thailand	Phaisali	2000	33.7%	36.9%	30.7%
Thailand	Phaisali	2017	22.4%	25.0%	20.1%
Thailand	Phak Hai	2000	38.2%	41.0%	35.7%
Thailand	Phak Hai	2017	26.1%	28.4%	24.0%
Thailand	Phakdi	2000	20.4%	23.9%	17.3%
Thailand	Chumphol Phakdi	2017	12.9%	15.2%	10.7%
Thailand	Phan	2000	37.2%	40.7%	34.0%
Thailand	Phan	2017	25.2%	28.0%	22.6%
Thailand	Phan Thong	2000	44.2%	47.5%	41.5%
Thailand	Phan Thong	2017	31.6%	34.6%	29.2%
Thailand	Phana	2000	14.0%	16.9%	11.7%
Thailand	Phana	2017	8.4%	10.2%	7.0%
Thailand	Phanat Nikhom	2000	42.2%	44.9%	39.6%
Thailand	Phanat Nikhom	2017	29.7%	32.1%	27.5%
Thailand	Phang Khon	2000	13.3%	15.6%	11.1%
Thailand	Phang Khon	2017	8.1%	9.5%	6.7%
Thailand	Phanna Nikhom	2000	13.3%	15.1%	11.3%
Thailand	Phanna Nikhom	2017	8.1%	9.3%	6.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Phanom	2000	15.6%	18.4%	13.2%
Thailand	Phanom	2017	9.5%	11.4%	8.0%
Thailand	Phanom	2000	40.0%	43.4%	36.9%
	Sarakham				
Thailand	Phanom	2017	27.5%	30.5%	25.1%
	Sarakham				
Thailand	Phanom	2000	41.6%	44.6%	38.6%
	Thuan				
Thailand	Phanom	2017	28.8%	31.6%	26.4%
	Thuan				
Thailand	Phasi	2000	41.8%	43.4%	40.3%
	Charoen				
Thailand	Phasi	2017	29.1%	30.5%	27.7%
	Charoen				
Thailand	Phato	2000	15.2%	17.7%	12.9%
Thailand	Phato	2017	9.3%	11.0%	7.9%
Thailand	Phatthana	2000	34.8%	37.9%	31.7%
	Nikhom				
Thailand	Phatthana	2017	23.4%	25.8%	20.9%
	Nikhom				
Thailand	Phaya Men- grai	2000	34.5%	38.1%	31.4%
Thailand	Phaya Men- grai	2017	23.1%	26.0%	20.6%
Thailand	Phaya Thai	2000	41.8%	43.4%	40.4%
Thailand	Phaya Thai	2017	29.1%	30.4%	27.9%
Thailand	Phayakkhaphum	2000	12.8%	15.1%	10.9%
	Phisai				
Thailand	Phayakkhaphum	2017	7.8%	9.3%	6.6%
	Phisai				
Thailand	Phayu	2000	13.0%	15.1%	11.2%
Thailand	Phayu	2017	7.8%	9.0%	6.6%
Thailand	Phayuha	2000	35.6%	38.6%	32.5%
	Khiri				
Thailand	Phayuha	2017	24.2%	26.5%	21.7%
	Khiri				
Thailand	Phen	2000	12.7%	14.8%	11.0%
Thailand	Phen	2017	7.7%	9.0%	6.5%
Thailand	Phi Pun	2000	13.8%	15.8%	12.1%
Thailand	Phi Pun	2017	8.4%	9.7%	7.3%
Thailand	Phibun	2000	13.8%	16.1%	11.4%
	Mangsahan				
Thailand	Phibun	2017	8.5%	9.9%	6.9%
	Mangsahan				
Thailand	Phibun Rak	2000	12.9%	14.9%	11.2%
Thailand	Phibun Rak	2017	7.8%	9.1%	6.7%
Thailand	Phichai	2000	35.7%	38.6%	32.5%
Thailand	Phichai	2017	24.1%	26.5%	21.5%
Thailand	Phimai	2000	13.3%	15.0%	11.7%
Thailand	Phimai	2017	8.1%	9.2%	7.0%
Thailand	Phlapphlachai	2000	13.3%	15.2%	11.4%
Thailand	Phlapphlachai	2017	8.0%	9.3%	6.9%
Thailand	Pho Chai	2000	12.9%	15.1%	11.2%
Thailand	Pho Chai	2017	7.8%	9.2%	6.7%
Thailand	Pho Prathap Chan	2000	33.3%	36.1%	30.5%
Thailand	Pho Prathap Chan	2017	22.2%	24.4%	20.1%
Thailand	Pho Si	2000	13.0%	16.5%	10.2%
Thailand	Pho Si	2017	7.9%	10.2%	6.1%
Thailand	Pho Thale	2000	34.5%	37.3%	31.5%
Thailand	Pho Thale	2017	23.1%	25.4%	20.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Pho Thong	2000	39.0%	42.0%	36.4%
Thailand	Pho Thong	2017	26.6%	29.0%	24.5%
Thailand	Phon	2000	13.2%	15.4%	11.2%
Thailand	Phon	2017	8.1%	9.5%	6.7%
Thailand	Phon Charoen	2000	13.2%	15.8%	11.0%
Thailand	Phon Charoen	2017	8.0%	9.6%	6.6%
Thailand	Phon Na Kaeo	2000	13.3%	15.6%	11.5%
Thailand	Phon Na Kaeo	2017	8.1%	9.5%	7.0%
Thailand	Phon Phisai	2000	13.3%	15.6%	11.0%
Thailand	Phon Phisai	2017	8.0%	9.5%	6.7%
Thailand	Phon Sai	2000	14.0%	16.4%	11.9%
Thailand	Phon Sai	2017	8.5%	10.1%	7.2%
Thailand	Phon Sawan	2000	14.2%	16.8%	11.6%
Thailand	Phon Sawan	2017	8.6%	10.4%	7.0%
Thailand	Phon Thong	2000	13.1%	15.4%	11.3%
Thailand	Phon Thong	2017	7.9%	9.4%	6.8%
Thailand	Phop Phra	2000	36.6%	41.3%	32.4%
Thailand	Phop Phra	2017	24.9%	28.7%	21.5%
Thailand	Photharam	2000	39.5%	42.1%	36.8%
Thailand	Photharam	2017	27.2%	29.2%	25.0%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2000	41.1%	42.5%	39.7%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2017	28.4%	29.6%	27.3%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon Si Ayutthaya	2000	40.6%	42.8%	38.4%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon Si Ayutthaya	2017	28.1%	30.0%	26.1%
Thailand	Phra Phrom	2000	16.9%	18.7%	15.1%
Thailand	Phra Phrom	2017	10.2%	11.4%	9.0%
Thailand	Phra Phutthabat	2000	35.1%	37.7%	32.2%
Thailand	Phra Phutthabat	2017	23.7%	25.8%	21.5%
Thailand	Phra Pra Daeng	2000	40.3%	42.2%	38.7%
Thailand	Phra Pra Daeng	2017	27.8%	29.3%	26.4%
Thailand	Phra Samut Jadee	2000	39.0%	41.2%	36.6%
Thailand	Phra Samut Jadee	2017	26.8%	28.6%	24.9%
Thailand	Phra Yun	2000	13.6%	16.0%	11.7%
Thailand	Phra Yun	2017	8.2%	9.8%	7.0%
Thailand	Phrai Bung	2000	13.1%	15.4%	11.2%
Thailand	Phrai Bung	2017	8.0%	9.3%	6.7%
Thailand	Phran Kratai	2000	33.8%	36.5%	30.6%
Thailand	Phran Kratai	2017	22.6%	24.6%	20.1%
Thailand	Phrao	2000	34.2%	37.9%	30.5%
Thailand	Phrao	2017	22.8%	25.6%	20.0%
Thailand	Phrasat	2000	14.1%	16.4%	11.9%
Thailand	Phrasat	2017	8.6%	10.1%	7.2%
Thailand	Phrom Buri	2000	41.1%	44.0%	38.4%
Thailand	Phrom Buri	2017	28.0%	30.7%	25.9%
Thailand	Phrom Phiram	2000	36.0%	39.2%	32.8%
Thailand	Phrom Phiram	2017	24.4%	26.8%	21.9%
Thailand	Phrommakhiri	2000	15.6%	17.3%	14.0%
Thailand	Phrommakhiri	2017	9.6%	10.8%	8.6%
Thailand	Phu Khieo	2000	14.0%	16.3%	12.0%
Thailand	Phu Khieo	2017	8.5%	10.0%	7.2%
Thailand	Phu Kradung	2000	15.8%	18.5%	13.3%
Thailand	Phu Kradung	2017	9.7%	11.4%	8.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Phu Luang	2000	19.1%	22.9%	16.1%
Thailand	Phu Luang	2017	11.9%	14.4%	9.8%
Thailand	Phu Phan	2000	12.8%	15.0%	10.8%
Thailand	Phu Phan	2017	7.7%	9.2%	6.5%
Thailand	Phu Rua	2000	22.5%	26.9%	19.2%
Thailand	Phu Rua	2017	14.4%	17.6%	12.0%
Thailand	Phu Sing	2000	14.0%	17.0%	11.6%
Thailand	Phu Sing	2017	8.6%	10.5%	7.0%
Thailand	Phu Wiang	2000	12.9%	15.1%	11.0%
Thailand	Phu Wiang	2017	7.8%	9.2%	6.6%
Thailand	Phunphin	2000	17.3%	19.3%	15.2%
Thailand	Phunphin	2017	10.7%	12.1%	9.3%
Thailand	Phupa Man	2000	16.1%	18.9%	13.6%
Thailand	Phupa Man	2017	9.8%	11.8%	8.2%
Thailand	Phuttha Mon Thon	2000	41.0%	43.0%	38.8%
Thailand	Phuttha Mon Thon	2017	28.4%	30.1%	26.5%
Thailand	Phutthaisong	2000	13.1%	15.4%	11.1%
Thailand	Phutthaisong	2017	7.9%	9.4%	6.7%
Thailand	Pla Pak	2000	13.6%	15.9%	11.2%
Thailand	Pla Pak	2017	8.2%	9.6%	6.7%
Thailand	Plaeng Yao	2000	39.5%	42.5%	36.6%
Thailand	Plaeng Yao	2017	27.1%	29.7%	24.7%
Thailand	Plai Phraya	2000	16.5%	19.1%	14.0%
Thailand	Plai Phraya	2017	10.2%	11.9%	8.6%
Thailand	Pluak Daeng	2000	39.1%	42.2%	36.5%
Thailand	Pluak Daeng	2017	27.1%	29.8%	25.0%
Thailand	Pom Pram Sattru	2000	41.5%	42.8%	40.1%
Thailand	Pom Pram Sattru	2017	28.8%	30.0%	27.6%
Thailand	Pong	2000	33.0%	36.6%	29.4%
Thailand	Pong	2017	21.9%	24.7%	19.0%
Thailand	Pong Nam Ron	2000	41.6%	46.1%	36.8%
Thailand	Pong Nam Ron	2017	29.5%	33.5%	25.1%
Thailand	Pra Thai	2000	13.1%	15.1%	11.4%
Thailand	Pra Thai	2017	7.9%	9.2%	6.8%
Thailand	Prachantakham	2000	33.4%	37.0%	30.2%
Thailand	Prachantakham	2017	22.7%	25.8%	20.2%
Thailand	Prakanong	2000	42.9%	44.6%	41.2%
Thailand	Prakanong	2017	30.0%	31.4%	28.5%
Thailand	Prakhon Chai	2000	14.0%	16.1%	12.1%
Thailand	Prakhon Chai	2017	8.6%	9.9%	7.3%
Thailand	Pran Buri	2000	40.0%	44.7%	36.0%
Thailand	Pran Buri	2017	27.4%	31.3%	24.1%
Thailand	Prang Ku	2000	13.1%	15.0%	11.2%
Thailand	Prang Ku	2017	7.9%	9.2%	6.7%
Thailand	Prasaeng	2000	16.4%	18.6%	14.2%
Thailand	Prasaeng	2017	10.1%	11.5%	8.6%
Thailand	Prawet	2000	43.9%	45.9%	41.9%
Thailand	Prawet	2017	30.9%	32.7%	29.3%
Thailand	Pua	2000	33.7%	38.6%	29.9%
Thailand	Pua	2017	22.5%	26.4%	19.5%
Thailand	Puai Noi	2000	12.6%	15.0%	10.5%
Thailand	Puai Noi	2017	7.6%	9.2%	6.3%
Thailand	Raman	2000	17.1%	19.1%	15.3%
Thailand	Raman	2017	10.5%	11.7%	9.4%
Thailand	Rangae	2000	15.5%	17.6%	13.4%
Thailand	Rangae	2017	9.5%	11.0%	8.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Ranot	2000	17.1%	20.7%	14.5%
Thailand	Ranot	2017	10.4%	12.9%	8.7%
Thailand	Rasada	2000	15.1%	17.0%	13.2%
Thailand	Rasada	2017	9.2%	10.4%	8.0%
Thailand	Rasi Salai	2000	13.3%	15.2%	11.4%
Thailand	Rasi Salai	2017	8.1%	9.3%	6.8%
Thailand	Rat Burana	2000	40.4%	41.8%	39.0%
Thailand	Rat Burana	2017	27.8%	29.1%	26.6%
Thailand	Ratchasan	2000	40.9%	44.3%	37.9%
Thailand	Ratchasan	2017	28.4%	31.3%	25.9%
Thailand	Ratchathewi	2000	41.7%	43.2%	40.4%
Thailand	Ratchathewi	2017	29.0%	30.3%	27.9%
Thailand	Rattana Buri	2000	13.9%	15.8%	11.9%
Thailand	Rattana Buri	2017	8.4%	9.7%	7.1%
Thailand	Rattaphum	2000	16.2%	18.1%	14.4%
Thailand	Rattaphum	2017	10.1%	11.4%	8.9%
Thailand	Renu Nakhon	2000	13.3%	16.3%	11.0%
Thailand	Renu Nakhon	2017	8.0%	10.0%	6.6%
Thailand	Ron Phi Pun	2000	15.2%	17.1%	13.5%
Thailand	Ron Phi Pun	2017	9.4%	10.7%	8.3%
Thailand	Rong Kham	2000	12.9%	15.3%	11.0%
Thailand	Rong Kham	2017	7.8%	9.3%	6.6%
Thailand	Rong Kwang	2000	33.0%	36.5%	29.3%
Thailand	Rong Kwang	2017	21.9%	24.7%	19.1%
Thailand	Ruso	2000	15.3%	17.4%	13.5%
Thailand	Ruso	2017	9.3%	10.6%	8.1%
Thailand	Saba Yoi	2000	15.1%	17.5%	13.1%
Thailand	Saba Yoi	2017	9.2%	10.8%	7.9%
Thailand	Sadao	2000	16.0%	18.8%	13.9%
Thailand	Sadao	2017	9.9%	11.7%	8.5%
Thailand	Sahatsakhan	2000	13.0%	15.2%	11.1%
Thailand	Sahatsakhan	2017	7.8%	9.2%	6.5%
Thailand	Sai Buri	2000	16.7%	19.2%	14.2%
Thailand	Sai Buri	2017	10.3%	12.0%	8.6%
Thailand	Sai Mai	2000	42.6%	44.2%	41.1%
Thailand	Sai Mai	2017	29.7%	31.1%	28.5%
Thailand	Sai Ngam	2000	34.2%	37.9%	31.2%
Thailand	Sai Ngam	2017	22.9%	25.8%	20.7%
Thailand	Sai Noi	2000	39.7%	42.2%	37.2%
Thailand	Sai Noi	2017	27.9%	29.9%	25.8%
Thailand	Sai Thong	2000	32.8%	36.6%	29.5%
Thailand	Sai Thong Watthana	2017	21.9%	24.9%	19.3%
Thailand	Sai Yok	2000	38.9%	43.7%	34.6%
Thailand	Sai Yok	2017	26.7%	30.7%	23.1%
Thailand	Sam Chuk	2000	40.7%	43.9%	37.9%
Thailand	Sam Chuk	2017	28.2%	30.9%	25.9%
Thailand	Sam Khok	2000	37.9%	39.9%	35.9%
Thailand	Sam Khok	2017	26.3%	27.9%	24.7%
Thailand	Sam Ngam	2000	32.6%	35.4%	30.0%
Thailand	Sam Ngam	2017	21.7%	23.8%	19.8%
Thailand	Sam Ngao	2000	34.3%	38.5%	30.0%
Thailand	Sam Ngao	2017	22.8%	26.2%	19.5%
Thailand	Sam Phran	2000	41.8%	43.8%	39.9%
Thailand	Sam Phran	2017	29.2%	30.8%	27.5%
Thailand	Samko	2000	40.0%	42.7%	37.2%
Thailand	Samko	2017	27.5%	30.0%	25.2%
Thailand	Samoeng	2000	32.2%	36.1%	28.7%
Thailand	Samoeng	2017	21.3%	24.5%	18.6%
Thailand	Samphantawong	2000	41.3%	42.8%	39.9%
Thailand	Samphantawong	2017	28.6%	29.9%	27.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Samrong	2000	13.7%	15.9%	11.4%
Thailand	Samrong	2017	8.3%	9.8%	6.9%
Thailand	Samrong	2000	13.3%	15.2%	11.4%
	Thap				
Thailand	Samrong	2017	8.1%	9.3%	6.8%
	Thap				
Thailand	San Kam-phaeng	2000	35.4%	37.8%	32.6%
Thailand	San Kam-phaeng	2017	24.0%	26.0%	21.8%
Thailand	San Pa Tong	2000	36.9%	40.0%	33.7%
Thailand	San Pa Tong	2017	25.0%	27.6%	22.4%
Thailand	San Sai	2000	36.2%	38.9%	33.9%
Thailand	San Sai	2017	24.6%	26.7%	22.7%
Thailand	Sanam	2000	39.5%	42.9%	36.0%
	Chaikhet				
Thailand	Sanam	2017	27.2%	29.9%	24.5%
	Chaikhet				
Thailand	Sang Khom	2000	13.4%	15.6%	11.2%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2000	15.5%	19.5%	11.9%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2017	8.1%	9.6%	6.7%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2017	9.5%	12.3%	7.2%
Thailand	Sangkha	2000	14.0%	16.3%	11.9%
Thailand	Sangkha	2017	8.5%	10.0%	7.1%
Thailand	Sangkha Buri	2000	38.4%	46.0%	31.8%
Thailand	Sangkha Buri	2017	26.5%	33.2%	21.1%
Thailand	Sankha Buri	2000	42.0%	45.0%	39.3%
Thailand	Sankha Buri	2017	29.3%	31.7%	27.0%
Thailand	Sanom	2000	12.6%	14.8%	10.6%
Thailand	Sanom	2017	7.6%	9.0%	6.4%
Thailand	Sanphaya	2000	41.1%	44.0%	38.1%
Thailand	Sanphaya	2017	28.5%	30.8%	26.2%
Thailand	Santi Suk	2000	32.6%	36.8%	28.2%
Thailand	Santi Suk	2017	21.7%	25.0%	18.3%
Thailand	Sao Hai	2000	39.6%	42.1%	36.6%
Thailand	Sao Hai	2017	27.5%	29.6%	25.0%
Thailand	Saphan Sung	2000	43.9%	46.2%	41.6%
Thailand	Saphan Sung	2017	30.9%	32.9%	28.8%
Thailand	Saraphi	2000	36.2%	38.7%	33.6%
Thailand	Saraphi	2017	25.0%	26.9%	22.9%
Thailand	Sathing Phra	2000	17.9%	21.2%	15.0%
Thailand	Sathing Phra	2017	11.1%	13.4%	9.1%
Thailand	Sathorn	2000	41.3%	42.7%	40.0%
Thailand	Sathorn	2017	28.6%	29.9%	27.6%
Thailand	Sattahip	2000	39.0%	43.5%	35.2%
Thailand	Sattahip	2017	27.2%	30.9%	24.1%
Thailand	Satuk	2000	13.2%	15.1%	11.2%
Thailand	Satuk	2017	8.0%	9.2%	6.8%
Thailand	Sawaengha	2000	40.6%	43.5%	38.1%
Thailand	Sawaengha	2017	28.3%	30.8%	26.1%
Thailand	Sawang Arom	2000	36.0%	39.5%	32.8%
Thailand	Sawang Arom	2017	24.2%	27.0%	21.8%
Thailand	Sawang Daen Din	2000	12.8%	14.9%	10.6%
Thailand	Sawang Daen Din	2017	7.8%	9.1%	6.3%
Thailand	Sawankhalok	2000	35.8%	39.0%	32.6%
Thailand	Sawankhalok	2017	24.2%	26.7%	21.7%
Thailand	Sawi	2000	17.3%	20.8%	14.6%
Thailand	Sawi	2017	10.6%	12.9%	8.8%
Thailand	Seka	2000	13.4%	15.8%	11.0%
Thailand	Seka	2017	8.1%	9.7%	6.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Selaphum	2000	13.3%	15.3%	11.3%
Thailand	Selaphum	2017	8.0%	9.3%	6.7%
Thailand	Sena	2000	39.1%	41.8%	36.0%
Thailand	Sena	2017	27.0%	29.3%	24.4%
Thailand	Senangkhanikhon	2000	13.1%	15.4%	11.0%
Thailand	Senangkhanikhon	2017	7.9%	9.4%	6.6%
Thailand	Si Banphot	2000	14.7%	16.9%	12.9%
Thailand	Si Banphot	2017	9.0%	10.4%	7.8%
Thailand	Si Bun Ruang	2000	13.4%	15.5%	11.4%
Thailand	Si Bun Ruang	2017	8.1%	9.5%	6.9%
Thailand	Si Chiang Mai	2000	15.3%	18.8%	12.3%
Thailand	Si Chiang Mai	2017	9.4%	11.7%	7.5%
Thailand	Si Chomphu	2000	13.5%	15.9%	11.5%
Thailand	Si Chomphu	2017	8.2%	9.8%	7.0%
Thailand	Si Mahosot	2000	41.1%	44.2%	38.0%
Thailand	Si Mahosot	2017	28.1%	30.7%	25.6%
Thailand	Si Muang Mai	2000	12.7%	15.4%	10.4%
Thailand	Si Muang Mai	2017	7.7%	9.5%	6.2%
Thailand	Si Nakhon	2000	34.7%	37.7%	31.2%
Thailand	Si Nakhon	2017	23.3%	25.6%	20.5%
Thailand	Si Prachan	2000	41.7%	44.4%	39.0%
Thailand	Si Prachan	2017	29.2%	31.5%	26.8%
Thailand	Si Racha	2000	39.2%	42.3%	36.3%
Thailand	Si Racha	2017	27.2%	29.8%	24.9%
Thailand	Si Rin Ton	2000	12.9%	15.7%	10.4%
Thailand	Si Rin Ton	2017	7.8%	9.5%	6.1%
Thailand	Si Sakhon	2000	15.0%	17.8%	12.7%
Thailand	Si Sakhon	2017	9.2%	11.1%	7.7%
Thailand	Si Sam Rong	2000	35.3%	38.3%	32.3%
Thailand	Si Sam Rong	2017	23.8%	26.1%	21.4%
Thailand	Si Satchanalai	2000	32.5%	35.5%	29.2%
Thailand	Si Satchanalai	2017	21.4%	23.8%	19.0%
Thailand	Si Sawat	2000	38.2%	42.8%	33.7%
Thailand	Si Sawat	2017	26.2%	30.0%	22.6%
Thailand	Si Somdet	2000	12.8%	14.8%	11.1%
Thailand	Si Somdet	2017	7.8%	9.0%	6.7%
Thailand	Si Songkhram	2000	14.0%	16.5%	11.4%
Thailand	Si Songkhram	2017	8.5%	10.2%	6.8%
Thailand	Si That	2000	12.9%	14.9%	10.9%
Thailand	Si That	2017	7.8%	9.1%	6.6%
Thailand	Si Thep	2000	33.7%	37.7%	30.5%
Thailand	Si Thep	2017	22.4%	25.6%	19.9%
Thailand	Si Wilai	2000	13.4%	16.1%	10.8%
Thailand	Si Wilai	2017	8.1%	10.0%	6.4%
Thailand	Sichon	2000	16.5%	19.3%	14.0%
Thailand	Sichon	2017	10.1%	12.0%	8.5%
Thailand	Sikao	2000	16.0%	18.9%	13.6%
Thailand	Sikao	2017	9.8%	11.7%	8.2%
Thailand	Sikhiu	2000	17.8%	20.4%	15.4%
Thailand	Sikhiu	2017	11.0%	12.7%	9.4%
Thailand	Sikhoraphum	2000	13.3%	15.2%	11.6%
Thailand	Sikhoraphum	2017	8.1%	9.3%	6.9%
Thailand	Singha Nakhon	2000	17.8%	20.7%	15.2%
Thailand	Singha Nakhon	2017	11.1%	13.0%	9.3%
Thailand	So Phisai	2000	13.6%	16.2%	11.1%
Thailand	So Phisai	2017	8.2%	9.9%	6.7%
Thailand	Soem Ngam	2000	32.1%	35.5%	28.4%
Thailand	Soem Ngam	2017	21.1%	23.7%	18.5%
Thailand	Soeng Sang	2000	17.8%	20.8%	15.1%
Thailand	Soeng Sang	2017	11.0%	13.0%	9.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Somdet	2000	13.2%	15.5%	11.1%
Thailand	Somdet	2017	8.0%	9.5%	6.7%
Thailand	Song	2000	32.8%	36.5%	29.0%
Thailand	Song	2017	21.8%	24.7%	18.8%
Thailand	Song Dao	2000	12.8%	15.0%	10.7%
Thailand	Song Dao	2017	7.7%	9.1%	6.4%
Thailand	Song Kwae	2000	32.9%	37.1%	28.4%
Thailand	Song Kwae	2017	21.9%	25.3%	18.5%
Thailand	Song Phi Nong	2000	40.2%	42.6%	37.5%
Thailand	Song Phi Nong	2017	27.7%	29.7%	25.5%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2000	15.6%	17.9%	13.2%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2000	15.8%	17.8%	13.8%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2017	9.5%	11.1%	8.0%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2017	9.6%	11.0%	8.3%
Thailand	Sop Moei	2000	34.5%	39.6%	29.2%
Thailand	Sop Moei	2017	23.1%	27.2%	19.1%
Thailand	Sop Prap	2000	34.5%	37.7%	31.2%
Thailand	Sop Prap	2017	23.0%	25.6%	20.5%
Thailand	Soydow	2000	40.5%	44.2%	36.2%
Thailand	Soydow	2017	28.1%	31.2%	24.5%
Thailand	Sra Both	2000	38.1%	41.5%	34.6%
Thailand	Sra Both	2017	25.9%	28.7%	23.1%
Thailand	Sri Mahar Pho	2000	39.5%	42.9%	36.6%
Thailand	Sri Mahar Pho	2017	27.2%	30.0%	24.8%
Thailand	Sri Ratana	2000	13.2%	15.3%	11.1%
Thailand	Sri Ratana	2017	7.9%	9.3%	6.6%
Thailand	Su-ngai Ko Lok	2000	16.5%	20.1%	13.7%
Thailand	Su-ngai Ko Lok	2017	10.1%	12.5%	8.2%
Thailand	Suan Luang	2000	43.5%	45.6%	41.5%
Thailand	Suan Luang	2017	30.5%	32.3%	28.9%
Thailand	Suan Phung	2000	35.9%	41.9%	30.8%
Thailand	Suan Phung	2017	24.3%	29.2%	20.3%
Thailand	Sukhirin	2000	15.0%	18.3%	12.1%
Thailand	Sukhirin	2017	9.2%	11.3%	7.3%
Thailand	Sung Men	2000	35.0%	38.0%	31.9%
Thailand	Sung Men	2017	23.6%	25.9%	21.2%
Thailand	Sung Noen	2000	15.6%	17.9%	13.6%
Thailand	Sung Noen	2017	9.6%	11.1%	8.3%
Thailand	Sungai Padi	2000	16.1%	18.7%	13.6%
Thailand	Sungai Padi	2017	9.9%	11.7%	8.3%
Thailand	Suwan Khuha	2000	12.9%	15.1%	10.7%
Thailand	Suwan Khuha	2017	7.7%	9.2%	6.4%
Thailand	Suwannaphum	2000	13.4%	15.3%	11.4%
Thailand	Suwannaphum	2017	8.1%	9.4%	6.9%
Thailand	Ta Phraya	2000	28.5%	33.4%	24.8%
Thailand	Ta Phraya	2017	18.6%	22.2%	15.9%
Thailand	Tak Bai	2000	18.0%	21.8%	14.8%
Thailand	Tak Bai	2017	11.1%	13.7%	9.0%
Thailand	Tak Fa	2000	33.2%	36.7%	30.0%
Thailand	Tak Fa	2017	22.1%	24.8%	19.6%
Thailand	Takhli	2000	37.0%	40.0%	34.1%
Thailand	Takhli	2017	25.2%	27.7%	23.0%
Thailand	Takua Pa	2000	18.3%	22.9%	13.9%
Thailand	Takua Pa	2017	11.4%	14.5%	8.5%
Thailand	Takua Thung	2000	17.7%	21.3%	14.1%
Thailand	Takua Thung	2017	10.9%	13.3%	8.5%
Thailand	Taling Chan	2000	41.5%	43.1%	39.8%
Thailand	Taling Chan	2017	28.8%	30.2%	27.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Tamot	2000	15.3%	17.4%	13.4%
Thailand	Tamot	2017	9.3%	10.7%	8.1%
Thailand	Tan Sum	2000	13.1%	15.4%	11.1%
Thailand	Tan Sum	2017	7.9%	9.4%	6.6%
Thailand	Tao Ngoi	2000	13.6%	15.8%	11.5%
Thailand	Tao Ngoi	2017	8.2%	9.6%	6.9%
Thailand	Taphan Hin	2000	35.9%	38.5%	33.1%
Thailand	Taphan Hin	2017	24.3%	26.4%	22.1%
Thailand	Tha Bo	2000	14.0%	16.3%	11.5%
Thailand	Tha Bo	2017	8.5%	10.1%	6.9%
Thailand	Tha Chana	2000	16.8%	19.8%	13.8%
Thailand	Tha Chana	2017	10.3%	12.3%	8.3%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2000	40.8%	43.7%	38.3%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2000	17.4%	19.8%	15.4%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2017	28.2%	30.9%	26.1%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2017	10.7%	12.2%	9.3%
Thailand	Tha Khantho	2000	12.6%	14.5%	10.5%
Thailand	Tha Khantho	2017	7.6%	8.9%	6.3%
Thailand	Tha Li	2000	20.4%	24.5%	16.9%
Thailand	Tha Li	2017	12.8%	15.7%	10.4%
Thailand	Tha Luang	2000	31.0%	34.5%	27.6%
Thailand	Tha Luang	2017	20.5%	23.2%	17.9%
Thailand	Tha Mai	2000	42.4%	46.5%	38.5%
Thailand	Tha Mai	2017	29.5%	33.3%	26.2%
Thailand	Tha Maka	2000	42.5%	45.3%	39.9%
Thailand	Tha Maka	2017	29.8%	32.1%	27.6%
Thailand	Tha Muang	2000	41.5%	44.6%	39.1%
Thailand	Tha Muang	2017	29.0%	31.7%	26.8%
Thailand	Tha Phae	2000	16.1%	18.7%	13.3%
Thailand	Tha Phae	2017	9.9%	11.7%	8.2%
Thailand	Tha Pla	2000	30.3%	34.2%	26.6%
Thailand	Tha Pla	2017	20.1%	23.0%	17.4%
Thailand	Tha Rua	2000	40.6%	43.5%	37.5%
Thailand	Tha Rua	2017	28.2%	30.7%	25.7%
Thailand	Tha Sae	2000	17.9%	20.8%	15.3%
Thailand	Tha Sae	2017	11.0%	13.0%	9.3%
Thailand	Tha Sala	2000	17.1%	19.5%	14.9%
Thailand	Tha Sala	2017	10.6%	12.2%	9.2%
Thailand	Tha Song Yang	2000	35.4%	40.4%	30.3%
Thailand	Tha Song Yang	2017	23.9%	28.2%	19.7%
Thailand	Tha Ta Kieb	2000	39.1%	43.2%	35.0%
Thailand	Tha Ta Kieb	2017	26.9%	30.4%	23.6%
Thailand	Tha Tako	2000	34.7%	37.9%	31.5%
Thailand	Tha Tako	2017	23.2%	25.8%	20.6%
Thailand	Tha Tum	2000	13.5%	16.1%	11.4%
Thailand	Tha Tum	2017	8.2%	9.8%	6.8%
Thailand	Tha Uthen	2000	15.2%	18.2%	12.4%
Thailand	Tha Uthen	2017	9.3%	11.4%	7.4%
Thailand	Tha Wang Pha	2000	34.4%	38.2%	30.8%
Thailand	Tha Wang Pha	2017	23.2%	26.3%	20.3%
Thailand	Tha Wung	2000	42.1%	45.1%	39.2%
Thailand	Tha Wung	2017	29.3%	31.8%	26.7%
Thailand	Tha Yang	2000	38.6%	42.4%	34.6%
Thailand	Tha Yang	2017	26.4%	29.5%	23.3%
Thailand	Thai Charoen	2000	13.2%	15.5%	10.9%
Thailand	Thai Charoen	2017	8.0%	9.4%	6.6%
Thailand	Thai Muang	2000	18.5%	21.7%	14.8%
Thailand	Thai Muang	2017	11.4%	13.6%	9.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Thalang	2000	18.4%	21.8%	15.2%
Thailand	Thalang	2017	11.5%	13.9%	9.4%
Thailand	Tham Phan-nara	2000	16.6%	18.8%	14.5%
Thailand	Tham Phan-nara	2017	10.2%	11.6%	8.8%
Thailand	Than To	2000	14.7%	17.6%	12.1%
Thailand	Than To	2017	9.0%	10.9%	7.3%
Thailand	Thanyaburi	2000	40.9%	42.8%	39.2%
Thailand	Thanyaburi	2017	28.6%	30.2%	27.1%
Thailand	Thap Khlo	2000	34.8%	38.1%	31.8%
Thailand	Thap Khlo	2017	23.4%	26.2%	21.0%
Thailand	Thap Put	2000	18.0%	20.8%	15.1%
Thailand	Thap Put	2017	11.2%	13.2%	9.3%
Thailand	Thap Sakae	2000	39.6%	45.7%	33.6%
Thailand	Thap Sakae	2017	27.3%	32.6%	22.3%
Thailand	Thap Than	2000	37.6%	40.8%	34.1%
Thailand	Thap Than	2017	25.6%	28.3%	23.0%
Thailand	That Phanom	2000	13.7%	17.0%	11.3%
Thailand	That Phanom	2017	8.4%	10.5%	6.8%
Thailand	Thawatchaburi	2000	13.2%	15.2%	11.3%
Thailand	Thawatchaburi	2017	8.0%	9.2%	6.7%
Thailand	Thawi Wata-tana	2000	43.3%	45.0%	41.4%
Thailand	Thawi Wata-tana	2017	30.3%	31.8%	28.7%
Thailand	Thep Sathit	2000	18.8%	21.7%	16.5%
Thailand	Thep Sathit	2017	11.8%	13.7%	10.1%
Thailand	Thepha	2000	17.0%	19.5%	15.1%
Thailand	Thepha	2017	10.5%	12.2%	9.2%
Thailand	Thoen	2000	33.5%	37.0%	29.7%
Thailand	Thoen	2017	22.2%	25.0%	19.3%
Thailand	Thoeng	2000	34.7%	37.8%	31.6%
Thailand	Thoeng	2017	23.3%	25.7%	20.9%
Thailand	Thon Buri	2000	41.4%	42.9%	39.9%
Thailand	Thon Buri	2017	28.8%	30.1%	27.5%
Thailand	Thong Pha Phum	2000	39.0%	44.8%	33.5%
Thailand	Thong Pha Phum	2017	26.9%	31.9%	22.4%
Thailand	Thong Saen Khan	2000	33.6%	37.3%	29.6%
Thailand	Thong Saen Khan	2017	22.4%	25.3%	19.3%
Thailand	Thung Chang	2000	32.5%	37.7%	27.9%
Thailand	Thung Chang	2017	21.6%	25.7%	18.0%
Thailand	Thung Fon	2000	12.5%	14.7%	10.6%
Thailand	Thung Fon	2017	7.5%	8.9%	6.3%
Thailand	Thung Hua Chang	2000	32.7%	36.2%	28.7%
Thailand	Thung Hua Chang	2017	21.7%	24.6%	18.7%
Thailand	Thung Saliang	2000	33.0%	36.6%	29.7%
Thailand	Thung Saliang	2017	22.0%	25.0%	19.5%
Thailand	Thung Si Udom	2000	13.3%	15.7%	11.0%
Thailand	Thung Si Udom	2017	8.0%	9.6%	6.6%
Thailand	Thung Song	2000	15.9%	17.9%	14.0%
Thailand	Thung Song	2017	9.9%	11.2%	8.6%
Thailand	Thung Tako	2000	17.4%	21.0%	15.1%
Thailand	Thung Tako	2017	10.8%	13.2%	9.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Thung Wa	2000	14.9%	17.5%	12.4%
Thailand	Thung Wa	2017	9.1%	10.8%	7.4%
Thailand	Thung Yai	2000	16.3%	18.7%	14.2%
Thailand	Thung Yai	2017	9.9%	11.5%	8.5%
Thailand	Thung Yang Daeng	2000	15.8%	17.7%	13.9%
Thailand	Thung Yang Daeng	2017	9.7%	10.8%	8.4%
Thailand	Thungkru	2000	39.4%	41.4%	37.3%
Thailand	Thungkru	2017	27.0%	28.7%	25.3%
Thailand	Trakan Phutphon	2000	13.5%	16.2%	11.5%
Thailand	Trakan Phutphon	2017	8.2%	9.9%	7.0%
Thailand	Tron	2000	37.7%	40.7%	34.1%
Thailand	Tron	2017	26.0%	28.5%	23.0%
Thailand	U Thong	2000	39.2%	42.0%	36.4%
Thailand	U Thong	2017	26.9%	29.4%	24.7%
Thailand	Ubol Ratana	2000	12.5%	14.4%	10.7%
Thailand	Ubol Ratana	2017	7.6%	8.7%	6.4%
Thailand	Umphang	2000	31.6%	37.3%	26.4%
Thailand	Umphang	2017	21.0%	25.5%	17.0%
Thailand	Uthai	2000	40.4%	42.8%	37.7%
Thailand	Uthai	2017	27.9%	30.0%	25.8%
Thailand	Uthumphon Phisai	2000	13.3%	15.2%	11.5%
Thailand	Uthumphon Phisai	2017	8.0%	9.2%	6.9%
Thailand	Wachira Barami	2000	32.5%	35.4%	29.7%
Thailand	Wachira Barami	2017	21.6%	23.7%	19.4%
Thailand	Waeng	2000	16.3%	20.5%	13.3%
Thailand	Waeng	2017	10.0%	12.9%	8.0%
Thailand	Waeng Noi	2000	12.6%	14.7%	10.4%
Thailand	Waeng Noi	2017	7.6%	9.0%	6.2%
Thailand	Waeng Yai	2000	12.8%	15.1%	10.5%
Thailand	Waeng Yai	2017	7.8%	9.3%	6.3%
Thailand	Wan Yai	2000	14.7%	17.6%	12.0%
Thailand	Wan Yai	2017	9.0%	10.9%	7.2%
Thailand	Wang Chan	2000	41.1%	44.7%	37.2%
Thailand	Wang Chan	2017	28.4%	31.6%	25.2%
Thailand	Wang Chin	2000	30.5%	33.7%	27.2%
Thailand	Wang Chin	2017	20.1%	22.4%	17.8%
Thailand	Wang Hin	2000	13.5%	15.6%	11.5%
Thailand	Wang Hin	2017	8.2%	9.4%	6.9%
Thailand	Wang Muang	2000	32.6%	36.0%	29.7%
Thailand	Wang Muang	2017	21.9%	24.6%	19.6%
Thailand	Wang Nam Yen	2000	40.9%	45.1%	37.2%
Thailand	Wang Nam Yen	2017	28.3%	31.9%	25.3%
Thailand	Wang Noi	2000	40.3%	42.6%	37.7%
Thailand	Wang Noi	2017	28.0%	29.9%	25.9%
Thailand	Wang Nua	2000	33.7%	37.2%	30.2%
Thailand	Wang Nua	2017	22.5%	25.3%	19.9%
Thailand	Wang Num Khiaw	2000	21.3%	24.2%	18.7%
Thailand	Wang Num Khiaw	2017	13.7%	15.8%	11.7%
Thailand	Wang Pong	2000	33.1%	36.1%	29.5%
Thailand	Wang Pong	2017	22.0%	24.4%	19.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Wang Sai Phun	2000	34.6%	37.6%	31.6%
Thailand	Wang Sai Phun	2017	23.3%	25.8%	20.9%
Thailand	Wang Sam Mo	2000	12.9%	15.0%	10.9%
Thailand	Wang Sam Mo	2017	7.8%	9.2%	6.6%
Thailand	Wang Sa-phung	2000	17.1%	19.7%	14.2%
Thailand	Wang Sa-phung	2017	10.5%	12.3%	8.6%
Thailand	Wang Thong	2000	33.2%	36.1%	30.4%
Thailand	Wang Thong	2017	22.1%	24.4%	19.9%
Thailand	Wang Thonglang	2000	43.5%	45.2%	42.0%
Thailand	Wang Thonglang	2017	30.5%	31.8%	29.1%
Thailand	Wang Wiset	2000	16.0%	18.6%	14.0%
Thailand	Wang Wiset	2017	9.8%	11.5%	8.4%
Thailand	Wanon Niwat	2000	12.7%	14.7%	10.9%
Thailand	Wanon Niwat	2017	7.7%	8.9%	6.5%
Thailand	Wapi Pathum	2000	13.0%	15.1%	11.2%
Thailand	Wapi Pathum	2017	7.9%	9.2%	6.8%
Thailand	Warin Chamrap	2000	14.3%	16.3%	12.2%
Thailand	Warin Chamrap	2017	8.7%	10.0%	7.4%
Thailand	Waritchaphum	2000	12.9%	15.1%	10.8%
Thailand	Waritchaphum	2017	7.8%	9.2%	6.5%
Thailand	Wat Bot	2000	34.1%	37.4%	30.9%
Thailand	Wat Bot	2017	23.0%	25.6%	20.6%
Thailand	Wat Phleng	2000	39.6%	42.1%	36.9%
Thailand	Wat Phleng	2017	27.2%	29.3%	25.1%
Thailand	Wat Sing	2000	38.7%	41.9%	35.7%
Thailand	Wat Sing	2017	26.4%	29.1%	24.0%
Thailand	Wattana	2000	42.4%	44.1%	40.7%
Thailand	Wattana	2017	29.6%	31.1%	28.2%
Thailand	Watthana Nakhon	2000	36.1%	39.6%	32.8%
Thailand	Watthana Nakhon	2017	24.7%	27.4%	22.1%
Thailand	Wiang Chai	2000	36.0%	39.1%	33.0%
Thailand	Wiang Chai	2017	24.3%	26.7%	22.0%
Thailand	Wiang Haeng	2000	33.2%	38.7%	27.5%
Thailand	Wiang Haeng	2017	22.2%	26.6%	17.8%
Thailand	Wiang Kao	2000	13.0%	15.0%	11.2%
Thailand	Wiang Kao	2017	7.8%	9.1%	6.7%
Thailand	Wiang Pa Pao	2000	33.6%	36.9%	30.2%
Thailand	Wiang Pa Pao	2017	22.3%	24.9%	19.8%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2000	17.1%	19.4%	15.1%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2000	33.6%	37.6%	29.6%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2017	22.4%	25.7%	19.3%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2017	10.5%	12.1%	9.2%
Thailand	Wichian Buri	2000	33.5%	37.3%	30.0%
Thailand	Wichian Buri	2017	22.3%	25.4%	19.5%
Thailand	Wieng Kaen	2000	34.1%	38.8%	29.6%
Thailand	Wieng Kaen	2017	22.8%	26.8%	19.1%
Thailand	Wihan Daeng	2000	38.7%	41.8%	35.9%
Thailand	Wihan Daeng	2017	26.7%	29.2%	24.4%
Thailand	Wiset Chai Chan	2000	38.0%	40.7%	35.1%
Thailand	Wiset Chai Chan	2017	26.0%	28.4%	23.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Yaha	2000	15.4%	17.5%	13.5%
Thailand	Yaha	2017	9.4%	10.9%	8.1%
Thailand	Yan Ta Khao	2000	15.8%	17.9%	13.9%
Thailand	Yan Ta Khao	2017	9.7%	11.0%	8.4%
Thailand	Yang Chum Noi	2000	13.4%	15.4%	11.8%
Thailand	Yang Chum Noi	2017	8.1%	9.4%	7.1%
Thailand	Yang Si Surat	2000	12.5%	14.6%	10.7%
Thailand	Yang Si Surat	2017	7.5%	8.7%	6.3%
Thailand	Yang Talat	2000	13.5%	15.3%	11.7%
Thailand	Yang Talat	2017	8.2%	9.3%	7.0%
Thailand	Yannawa	2000	40.5%	41.9%	39.0%
Thailand	Yannawa	2017	28.0%	29.2%	26.7%
Thailand	Yarang	2000	17.8%	19.9%	15.8%
Thailand	Yarang	2017	11.0%	12.3%	9.7%
Thailand	Yaring	2000	17.1%	19.7%	14.6%
Thailand	Yaring	2017	10.6%	12.4%	8.9%
Thailand	Yi-ngo	2000	17.0%	19.3%	14.8%
Thailand	Yi-ngo	2017	10.4%	11.9%	9.0%
Timor-Leste	Aileu	2000	31.8%	33.8%	30.2%
Timor-Leste	Aileu	2017	63.0%	65.3%	60.9%
Timor-Leste	Ainaro	2000	35.9%	38.5%	33.2%
Timor-Leste	Ainaro	2017	65.0%	67.0%	63.1%
Timor-Leste	Alas	2000	25.4%	42.9%	13.9%
Timor-Leste	Alas	2017	40.7%	59.6%	25.9%
Timor-Leste	Atabai	2000	53.5%	63.4%	37.9%
Timor-Leste	Atabai	2017	70.4%	76.9%	57.2%
Timor-Leste	Atauro	2000	46.1%	65.4%	28.7%
Timor-Leste	Atauro	2017	64.6%	80.8%	48.6%
Timor-Leste	Atsabe	2000	41.6%	43.6%	39.4%
Timor-Leste	Atsabe	2017	73.7%	75.4%	72.0%
Timor-Leste	Baguia	2000	13.4%	15.9%	11.2%
Timor-Leste	Baguia	2017	35.1%	39.6%	30.7%
Timor-Leste	Balibó	2000	56.5%	67.1%	49.0%
Timor-Leste	Balibó	2017	65.5%	75.9%	55.5%
Timor-Leste	Barique	2000	24.3%	44.2%	9.5%
Timor-Leste	Barique	2017	44.8%	63.7%	24.8%
Timor-Leste	Baucau	2000	27.8%	29.9%	25.8%
Timor-Leste	Baucau	2017	56.7%	58.6%	54.9%
Timor-Leste	Bazar Tete	2000	52.7%	59.9%	47.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Bazar Tete	2017	78.3%	84.0%	71.1%
Timor-Leste	Bobonaro	2000	35.0%	38.1%	32.2%
Timor-Leste	Bobonaro	2017	65.9%	69.1%	62.9%
Timor-Leste	Cailaco	2000	42.9%	45.5%	40.5%
Timor-Leste	Cailaco	2017	69.1%	70.9%	67.6%
Timor-Leste	Dili Barat	2000	31.7%	33.1%	30.5%
Timor-Leste	Dili Barat	2017	66.3%	67.8%	65.0%
Timor-Leste	Dili Timur	2000	33.7%	35.5%	31.7%
Timor-Leste	Dili Timur	2017	67.7%	69.7%	65.5%
Timor-Leste	Ermera	2000	47.2%	49.8%	44.9%
Timor-Leste	Ermera	2017	78.8%	80.5%	77.0%
Timor-Leste	Fato Berliu	2000	18.1%	27.6%	10.7%
Timor-Leste	Fato Berliu	2017	35.6%	48.3%	26.1%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Fulic	2000	77.4%	83.2%	71.6%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Fulic	2017	93.7%	95.6%	91.5%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Mean	2000	19.8%	37.0%	9.8%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Mean	2017	35.6%	61.9%	17.4%
Timor-Leste	Fohorem	2000	54.6%	64.5%	46.2%
Timor-Leste	Fohorem	2017	80.1%	86.0%	71.4%
Timor-Leste	Hato Bulico	2000	35.9%	38.1%	33.6%
Timor-Leste	Hato Bulico	2017	64.3%	66.2%	62.2%
Timor-Leste	Hato Hudo	2000	37.8%	43.6%	31.9%
Timor-Leste	Hato Hudo	2017	62.9%	69.5%	55.3%
Timor-Leste	Hatólia	2000	30.1%	33.6%	27.2%
Timor-Leste	Hatólia	2017	59.2%	63.1%	55.3%
Timor-Leste	Iliomar	2000	25.2%	39.8%	14.4%
Timor-Leste	Iliomar	2017	40.5%	52.9%	29.0%
Timor-Leste	Laclo	2000	38.4%	50.6%	28.5%
Timor-Leste	Laclo	2017	61.9%	72.3%	50.5%
Timor-Leste	Lachubar	2000	48.5%	55.4%	41.9%
Timor-Leste	Lachubar	2017	75.0%	80.5%	67.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Lacluta	2000	46.0%	61.8%	30.6%
Timor-Leste	Lacluta	2017	55.3%	67.8%	42.6%
Timor-Leste	Laga	2000	13.0%	18.1%	9.7%
Timor-Leste	Laga	2017	32.9%	38.1%	28.2%
Timor-Leste	Laleia	2000	51.3%	78.2%	24.3%
Timor-Leste	Laleia	2017	66.7%	89.0%	39.7%
Timor-Leste	Lau Lara	2000	50.8%	54.0%	47.7%
Timor-Leste	Lau Lara	2017	79.9%	81.8%	77.5%
Timor-Leste	Lautém	2000	37.3%	46.9%	28.6%
Timor-Leste	Lautém	2017	61.6%	70.2%	51.2%
Timor-Leste	Lequidoe	2000	44.6%	49.2%	40.3%
Timor-Leste	Lequidoe	2017	72.2%	77.0%	67.2%
Timor-Leste	Letefoho	2000	33.9%	35.8%	31.9%
Timor-Leste	Letefoho	2017	69.9%	71.8%	67.8%
Timor-Leste	Liquiçá	2000	55.8%	59.1%	52.7%
Timor-Leste	Liquiçá	2017	84.6%	86.4%	82.8%
Timor-Leste	Lolotoi	2000	65.0%	72.1%	56.8%
Timor-Leste	Lolotoi	2017	83.7%	88.3%	75.3%
Timor-Leste	Los Palos	2000	23.4%	28.7%	18.7%
Timor-Leste	Los Palos	2017	48.7%	53.4%	43.2%
Timor-Leste	Luro	2000	20.9%	31.5%	12.9%
Timor-Leste	Luro	2017	41.6%	57.1%	30.3%
Timor-Leste	Maliana	2000	58.5%	63.9%	51.4%
Timor-Leste	Maliana	2017	83.2%	85.9%	78.5%
Timor-Leste	Manatuto	2000	53.0%	60.0%	45.7%
Timor-Leste	Manatuto	2017	81.0%	85.0%	75.8%
Timor-Leste	Mape	2000	26.4%	30.2%	21.1%
Timor-Leste	Mape	2017	50.7%	53.7%	47.2%
Timor-Leste	Maubara	2000	47.9%	53.2%	43.5%
Timor-Leste	Maubara	2017	69.9%	74.7%	63.7%
Timor-Leste	Maubisse	2000	16.9%	18.4%	15.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Maubisse	2017	41.2%	43.7%	39.1%
Timor-Leste	Metinaro	2000	20.4%	24.4%	17.2%
Timor-Leste	Metinaro	2017	29.2%	38.4%	22.0%
Timor-Leste	Nitibe	2000	28.2%	37.5%	21.2%
Timor-Leste	Nitibe	2017	52.2%	61.1%	43.1%
Timor-Leste	Oe Silo	2000	39.2%	43.5%	34.2%
Timor-Leste	Oe Silo	2017	68.6%	71.4%	66.0%
Timor-Leste	Ossu	2000	38.8%	48.8%	28.0%
Timor-Leste	Ossu	2017	62.7%	71.2%	49.9%
Timor-Leste	Pante Macassar	2000	26.5%	31.0%	23.1%
Timor-Leste	Pante Macassar	2017	55.5%	60.3%	50.4%
Timor-Leste	Passabe	2000	79.9%	88.2%	65.1%
Timor-Leste	Passabe	2017	94.4%	97.3%	87.1%
Timor-Leste	Quelicai	2000	17.8%	18.9%	16.8%
Timor-Leste	Quelicai	2017	32.6%	34.9%	30.5%
Timor-Leste	Railaco	2000	48.6%	51.4%	46.4%
Timor-Leste	Railaco	2017	78.9%	80.6%	77.2%
Timor-Leste	Remexio	2000	65.3%	67.3%	63.4%
Timor-Leste	Remexio	2017	80.0%	82.5%	77.5%
Timor-Leste	Same	2000	54.7%	57.6%	50.9%
Timor-Leste	Same	2017	77.8%	79.7%	75.2%
Timor-Leste	Soibada	2000	44.0%	60.4%	27.4%
Timor-Leste	Soibada	2017	68.0%	79.9%	52.5%
Timor-Leste	Suai Kota	2000	41.5%	45.2%	37.8%
Timor-Leste	Suai Kota	2017	65.8%	68.3%	63.0%
Timor-Leste	Tilomar	2000	29.0%	45.1%	17.3%
Timor-Leste	Tilomar	2017	57.8%	73.1%	43.0%
Timor-Leste	Turisciai	2000	14.8%	20.7%	11.7%
Timor-Leste	Turisciai	2017	34.4%	43.4%	28.5%
Timor-Leste	Tutuala	2000	28.9%	51.9%	10.2%
Timor-Leste	Tutuala	2017	45.7%	73.1%	21.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Uato Carbau	2000	25.2%	30.4%	20.4%
Timor-Leste	Uato Carbau	2017	49.6%	54.7%	45.2%
Timor-Leste	Uatolari	2000	35.1%	41.6%	30.9%
Timor-Leste	Uatolari	2017	51.0%	56.1%	46.7%
Timor-Leste	Vemasse	2000	24.1%	35.0%	16.5%
Timor-Leste	Vemasse	2017	51.5%	63.6%	40.7%
Timor-Leste	Venilale	2000	21.2%	23.2%	19.3%
Timor-Leste	Venilale	2017	44.9%	48.1%	41.9%
Timor-Leste	Viqueque	2000	40.8%	49.5%	32.0%
Timor-Leste	Viqueque	2017	61.8%	68.7%	51.4%
Vietnam	A Lưới	2000	7.4%	21.6%	1.3%
Vietnam	A Lưới	2017	12.4%	32.0%	2.8%
Vietnam	An Biên	2000	7.1%	21.0%	0.8%
Vietnam	An Biên	2017	12.7%	28.7%	2.7%
Vietnam	An Dương	2000	48.6%	57.8%	39.3%
Vietnam	An Dương	2017	66.7%	77.0%	57.1%
Vietnam	An Khê	2000	5.2%	25.4%	1.2%
Vietnam	An Khê	2017	11.7%	40.7%	3.8%
Vietnam	An Lão	2000	9.4%	35.2%	0.4%
Vietnam	An Lão	2000	11.3%	36.7%	2.2%
Vietnam	An Lão	2017	23.4%	58.5%	5.5%
Vietnam	An Lão	2017	17.8%	54.0%	1.5%
Vietnam	An Minh	2000	3.0%	10.5%	0.2%
Vietnam	An Minh	2017	5.7%	18.8%	0.5%
Vietnam	An Nhơn	2000	7.8%	31.7%	1.0%
Vietnam	An Nhơn	2017	15.0%	45.8%	3.0%
Vietnam	An Phú	2000	15.3%	45.3%	2.2%
Vietnam	An Phú	2017	26.0%	59.1%	6.2%
Vietnam	Ấn Thi	2000	1.1%	5.9%	0.0%
Vietnam	Ấn Thi	2017	2.4%	14.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Anh Sơn	2000	8.9%	24.7%	1.1%
Vietnam	Anh Sơn	2017	15.0%	39.4%	2.6%
Vietnam	Ayun Pa	2000	18.1%	44.2%	3.9%
Vietnam	Ayun Pa	2017	34.0%	62.1%	11.3%
Vietnam	Ba Bể	2000	7.7%	19.8%	1.5%
Vietnam	Ba Bể	2017	13.9%	31.3%	3.8%
Vietnam	Ba Chẽ	2000	9.6%	31.7%	0.7%
Vietnam	Ba Chẽ	2017	15.9%	40.7%	2.0%
Vietnam	Ba Đình	2000	50.1%	53.2%	46.7%
Vietnam	Ba Đình	2017	76.7%	78.7%	74.5%
Vietnam	Ba Đồn	2000	9.3%	44.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Ba Đồn	2017	15.5%	57.7%	0.3%
Vietnam	Bà Rịa	2000	20.4%	62.0%	4.3%
Vietnam	Bà Rịa	2017	37.0%	76.4%	11.4%
Vietnam	Bá Thước	2000	9.5%	26.8%	1.2%
Vietnam	Bá Thước	2017	16.2%	38.1%	3.2%
Vietnam	Ba Tơ	2000	6.4%	16.5%	0.9%
Vietnam	Ba Tơ	2017	11.7%	26.4%	2.2%
Vietnam	Ba Tri	2000	8.3%	29.4%	0.6%
Vietnam	Ba Tri	2017	15.1%	44.8%	1.7%
Vietnam	Ba Vì	2000	9.7%	28.8%	2.1%
Vietnam	Ba Vì	2017	16.7%	42.5%	2.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Bác Ái	2000	14.4%	32.8%	5.1%
Vietnam	Bác Ái	2017	22.3%	42.3%	9.1%
Vietnam	Bắc Bình	2000	14.7%	32.0%	4.8%
Vietnam	Bắc Bình	2017	24.5%	44.4%	10.1%
Vietnam	Bắc Giang	2000	72.5%	78.9%	59.4%
Vietnam	Bắc Giang	2017	81.1%	86.4%	71.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Hà	2000	6.2%	21.9%	0.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Hà	2017	11.2%	35.1%	1.7%
Vietnam	Bạc Liêu	2000	13.8%	58.3%	1.1%
Vietnam	Bạc Liêu	2017	24.4%	74.5%	3.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Mê	2000	7.2%	23.4%	0.8%
Vietnam	Bắc Mê	2017	12.7%	29.3%	2.3%
Vietnam	Bắc Ninh	2000	1.2%	13.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Bắc Ninh	2017	2.4%	18.0%	0.0%
Vietnam	Bắc Quang	2000	9.8%	30.4%	1.1%
Vietnam	Bắc Quang	2017	16.7%	40.1%	2.9%
Vietnam	Bắc Sơn	2000	5.4%	13.7%	1.5%
Vietnam	Bắc Sơn	2017	15.6%	28.3%	7.5%
Vietnam	Bắc Tân Uyên	2000	7.4%	25.1%	0.3%
Vietnam	Bắc Tân Uyên	2017	13.3%	38.9%	1.1%
Vietnam	Bắc Trà My	2000	7.7%	26.1%	1.0%
Vietnam	Bắc Trà My	2017	13.9%	35.9%	2.8%
Vietnam	Bắc Từ Liêm	2000	58.7%	89.2%	14.5%
Vietnam	Bắc Từ Liêm	2017	75.5%	95.3%	32.4%
Vietnam	Bắc Yên	2000	11.1%	23.9%	4.7%
Vietnam	Bắc Yên	2017	19.1%	34.4%	9.7%
Vietnam	Bạch Thông	2000	7.6%	21.5%	1.1%
Vietnam	Bạch Thông	2017	15.0%	34.7%	3.1%
Vietnam	Bảo Lạc	2000	9.7%	31.0%	1.1%
Vietnam	Bảo Lạc	2017	16.0%	39.9%	3.1%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2000	6.7%	19.3%	1.0%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2000	10.7%	17.5%	5.2%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2017	18.9%	27.1%	12.0%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2017	12.8%	29.0%	2.8%
Vietnam	Bảo Lộc	2000	7.9%	11.7%	6.0%
Vietnam	Bảo Lộc	2017	18.8%	25.6%	15.0%
Vietnam	Bảo Thắng	2000	6.9%	24.4%	0.7%
Vietnam	Bảo Thắng	2017	12.7%	33.8%	1.8%
Vietnam	Bảo Yên	2000	2.8%	8.3%	0.3%
Vietnam	Bảo Yên	2017	5.6%	14.7%	1.1%
Vietnam	Bát Xát	2000	10.1%	27.1%	2.3%
Vietnam	Bát Xát	2017	19.2%	38.4%	5.9%
Vietnam	Bàu Bàng	2000	12.4%	34.5%	0.9%
Vietnam	Bàu Bàng	2017	23.6%	55.5%	2.8%
Vietnam	Bến Cát	2000	9.0%	29.2%	1.3%
Vietnam	Bến Cát	2017	15.8%	37.0%	4.5%
Vietnam	Bến Cầu	2000	4.5%	13.4%	1.3%
Vietnam	Bến Cầu	2017	9.9%	22.3%	3.3%
Vietnam	Bến Lức	2000	11.9%	33.5%	3.7%
Vietnam	Bến Lức	2017	21.8%	44.7%	9.9%
Vietnam	Bến Tre	2000	8.2%	30.4%	0.7%
Vietnam	Bến Tre	2017	16.7%	49.9%	2.3%
Vietnam	Biên Hòa	2000	18.6%	21.5%	16.0%
Vietnam	Biên Hòa	2017	37.1%	40.9%	33.3%
Vietnam	Bỉm Sơn	2000	10.5%	50.2%	0.0%
Vietnam	Bỉm Sơn	2017	21.8%	76.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Bình Chánh	2000	27.4%	31.5%	24.8%
Vietnam	Bình Chánh	2017	42.2%	48.2%	38.9%
Vietnam	Bình Đại	2000	9.5%	29.2%	0.8%
Vietnam	Bình Đại	2017	16.4%	39.6%	2.5%
Vietnam	Bình Gia	2000	6.8%	18.7%	1.1%
Vietnam	Bình Gia	2017	11.8%	27.1%	3.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Bình Giang	2000	2.2%	15.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Bình Giang	2017	5.0%	30.0%	0.2%
Vietnam	Bình Liêu	2000	6.4%	27.2%	0.4%
Vietnam	Bình Liêu	2017	11.6%	37.5%	1.3%
Vietnam	Bình Long	2000	3.4%	28.0%	0.0%
Vietnam	Bình Long	2017	7.3%	48.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Bình Lục	2000	5.3%	21.3%	0.6%
Vietnam	Bình Lục	2017	10.8%	36.8%	1.7%
Vietnam	Bình Minh	2000	27.9%	54.3%	10.9%
Vietnam	Bình Minh	2017	46.3%	72.3%	25.5%
Vietnam	Bình Sơn	2000	18.2%	42.6%	3.7%
Vietnam	Bình Sơn	2017	29.4%	58.0%	8.4%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2000	8.4%	27.0%	2.1%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2000	17.1%	19.2%	14.9%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2017	17.2%	40.2%	5.6%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2017	39.3%	42.8%	36.0%
Vietnam	Bình Thạnh	2000	69.0%	72.8%	65.2%
Vietnam	Bình Thạnh	2017	87.8%	89.4%	86.0%
Vietnam	Bình Thủy	2000	13.7%	37.3%	3.8%
Vietnam	Bình Thủy	2017	34.1%	61.9%	11.6%
Vietnam	Bình Xuyên	2000	1.4%	8.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Bình Xuyên	2017	2.8%	12.1%	0.2%
Vietnam	Bố Trạch	2000	10.7%	27.2%	2.4%
Vietnam	Bố Trạch	2017	18.7%	37.6%	6.3%
Vietnam	Bù Đăng	2000	8.8%	25.2%	1.0%
Vietnam	Bù Đăng	2017	15.5%	34.6%	2.8%
Vietnam	Bù Đốp	2000	3.2%	14.1%	0.1%
Vietnam	Bù Đốp	2017	6.3%	21.3%	0.5%
Vietnam	Bù Gia Mập	2000	7.3%	19.8%	1.1%
Vietnam	Bù Gia Mập	2017	14.2%	30.5%	3.6%
Vietnam	Buôn Đôn	2000	6.6%	16.6%	2.0%
Vietnam	Buôn Đôn	2017	12.7%	27.2%	5.2%
Vietnam	Buôn Ma Thuột	2000	16.3%	20.8%	14.3%
Vietnam	Buôn Ma Thuột	2017	36.5%	42.0%	33.4%
Vietnam	Cà Mau	2000	8.2%	23.3%	0.9%
Vietnam	Cà Mau	2017	19.5%	43.4%	4.1%
Vietnam	Cái Bè	2000	10.3%	27.3%	2.5%
Vietnam	Cái Bè	2017	18.4%	38.4%	6.4%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy	2000	24.0%	45.0%	6.2%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy	2017	38.2%	63.0%	15.0%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy (Thị xã)	2000	22.2%	45.5%	5.7%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy (Thị xã)	2017	36.5%	61.4%	13.4%
Vietnam	Cái Nước	2000	14.8%	42.6%	1.2%
Vietnam	Cái Nước	2017	24.9%	59.5%	2.9%
Vietnam	Cái Răng	2000	39.4%	50.3%	28.5%
Vietnam	Cái Răng	2017	64.5%	74.1%	54.6%
Vietnam	Cẩm Giàng	2000	6.6%	13.7%	3.5%
Vietnam	Cẩm Giàng	2017	10.0%	23.0%	6.0%
Vietnam	Cẩm Khê	2000	28.5%	41.4%	16.5%
Vietnam	Cẩm Khê	2017	33.6%	45.9%	19.5%
Vietnam	Cam Lâm	2000	16.3%	41.7%	2.1%
Vietnam	Cam Lâm	2017	27.5%	58.8%	5.0%
Vietnam	Cẩm Lệ	2000	69.6%	76.8%	53.8%
Vietnam	Cẩm Lệ	2017	84.2%	87.4%	76.4%
Vietnam	Cam Lộ	2000	11.3%	30.4%	2.0%
Vietnam	Cam Lộ	2017	17.2%	38.6%	4.2%
Vietnam	Cẩm Mỹ	2000	9.1%	24.8%	0.6%
Vietnam	Cẩm Mỹ	2017	17.5%	42.5%	2.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Cẩm Phả	2000	5.1%	17.0%	0.7%
Vietnam	Cẩm Phả	2017	11.0%	29.0%	2.5%
Vietnam	Cam Ranh	2000	24.6%	49.4%	7.5%
Vietnam	Cam Ranh	2017	39.7%	66.0%	17.5%
Vietnam	Cẩm Thủy	2000	13.3%	32.0%	2.8%
Vietnam	Cẩm Thủy	2017	21.6%	43.7%	6.2%
Vietnam	Cẩm Xuyên	2000	16.0%	38.2%	5.1%
Vietnam	Cẩm Xuyên	2017	24.9%	49.3%	7.3%
Vietnam	Cần Đước	2000	6.7%	24.0%	1.1%
Vietnam	Cần Đước	2017	11.7%	32.6%	3.3%
Vietnam	Cần Giờ	2000	23.1%	40.3%	12.5%
Vietnam	Cần Giờ	2017	30.9%	48.8%	16.0%
Vietnam	Cần Giuộc	2000	9.4%	27.8%	0.9%
Vietnam	Cần Giuộc	2017	18.4%	45.0%	3.1%
Vietnam	Can Lộc	2000	8.3%	31.7%	0.3%
Vietnam	Can Lộc	2017	14.2%	43.5%	0.8%
Vietnam	Càng Long	2000	4.4%	14.4%	0.6%
Vietnam	Càng Long	2017	9.7%	24.7%	2.0%
Vietnam	Cao Bằng	2000	34.6%	51.1%	10.7%
Vietnam	Cao Bằng	2017	43.9%	60.0%	23.5%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2000	11.7%	30.9%	2.8%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2017	21.8%	42.9%	7.2%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2000	13.7%	38.8%	3.5%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh (Thành phố)	2017	28.6%	56.5%	9.7%
Vietnam	Cao Lộc	2000	47.5%	59.0%	34.6%
Vietnam	Cao Lộc	2017	52.0%	63.5%	40.1%
Vietnam	Cao Phong	2000	6.9%	27.0%	0.2%
Vietnam	Cao Phong	2017	12.5%	40.5%	0.8%
Vietnam	Cát Hải	2000	5.8%	24.8%	0.2%
Vietnam	Cát Hải	2017	10.8%	34.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Cát Tiên	2000	6.2%	30.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Cát Tiên	2017	12.0%	45.6%	0.8%
Vietnam	Cầu Giấy	2000	53.3%	57.1%	49.0%
Vietnam	Cầu Giấy	2017	78.8%	81.2%	75.8%
Vietnam	Cầu Kè	2000	5.9%	26.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Cầu Kè	2017	11.3%	42.3%	0.3%
Vietnam	Cầu Ngang	2000	2.5%	11.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Cầu Ngang	2017	5.3%	20.5%	0.4%
Vietnam	Châu Đốc	2000	21.2%	56.8%	2.4%
Vietnam	Châu Đốc	2017	43.6%	78.4%	9.2%
Vietnam	Châu Đức	2000	10.6%	30.2%	2.3%
Vietnam	Châu Đức	2017	17.3%	43.2%	4.6%
Vietnam	Châu Phú	2000	19.8%	46.4%	5.8%
Vietnam	Châu Phú	2017	29.0%	60.7%	10.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	7.4%	29.6%	0.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	11.3%	34.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	13.3%	40.5%	1.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	7.5%	31.6%	0.4%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	15.6%	38.9%	2.1%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	16.3%	43.3%	3.0%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	5.3%	16.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	25.7%	47.7%	10.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	10.7%	33.7%	2.2%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	10.2%	32.8%	2.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	13.8%	43.0%	1.8%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	14.9%	46.4%	1.4%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	27.1%	52.2%	6.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	22.6%	54.4%	3.9%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	29.8%	60.6%	8.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	34.7%	58.0%	17.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	10.5%	26.8%	1.6%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	20.2%	51.3%	4.8%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	19.2%	45.3%	2.8%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	19.3%	46.4%	6.6%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	3.8%	14.7%	0.3%
Vietnam	Châu Thành A	2017	8.6%	25.8%	1.2%
Vietnam	Chi Lăng	2000	9.7%	32.5%	1.5%
Vietnam	Chi Lăng	2017	17.1%	48.2%	3.6%
Vietnam	Chí Linh	2000	15.5%	50.8%	2.1%
Vietnam	Chí Linh	2017	26.1%	68.1%	4.7%
Vietnam	Chiêm Hóa	2000	8.4%	23.8%	1.6%
Vietnam	Chiêm Hóa	2017	15.4%	38.3%	4.2%
Vietnam	Chợ Đồn	2000	6.6%	20.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Chợ Đồn	2017	11.7%	34.6%	2.0%
Vietnam	Chợ Gạo	2000	5.7%	20.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Chợ Gạo	2017	11.5%	33.4%	1.4%
Vietnam	Chợ Lách	2000	14.9%	51.1%	0.7%
Vietnam	Chợ Lách	2017	24.3%	64.8%	2.1%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2000	7.0%	20.7%	0.4%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2000	27.3%	48.3%	13.9%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2017	12.8%	32.2%	1.3%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2017	43.1%	64.3%	25.6%
Vietnam	Chơn Thành	2000	9.3%	40.5%	0.4%
Vietnam	Chơn Thành	2017	16.5%	56.7%	1.5%
Vietnam	Chư Păh	2000	3.8%	13.1%	0.4%
Vietnam	Chư Păh	2017	6.9%	19.5%	1.2%
Vietnam	Chư Prông	2000	4.2%	10.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Chư Prông	2017	7.9%	17.6%	2.3%
Vietnam	Chư Pưh	2000	9.4%	22.7%	2.2%
Vietnam	Chư Pưh	2017	19.7%	38.6%	7.8%
Vietnam	Chư Sê	2000	2.2%	8.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Chư Sê	2017	4.2%	12.2%	0.4%
Vietnam	Chương Mỹ	2000	6.6%	19.7%	1.3%
Vietnam	Chương Mỹ	2017	11.0%	28.5%	2.8%
Vietnam	Cờ Đỏ	2000	6.6%	26.0%	0.3%
Vietnam	Cờ Đỏ	2017	11.5%	37.3%	0.7%
Vietnam	Cô Tô	2000	8.9%	41.4%	0.2%
Vietnam	Cô Tô	2017	16.0%	56.0%	0.9%
Vietnam	Con Cuông	2000	7.4%	15.3%	1.8%
Vietnam	Con Cuông	2017	11.3%	21.0%	4.2%
Vietnam	Củ Chi	2000	4.1%	13.1%	0.4%
Vietnam	Củ Chi	2017	8.4%	21.3%	1.5%
Vietnam	Cư Jút	2000	7.2%	17.3%	2.2%
Vietnam	Cư Jút	2017	14.1%	33.9%	5.0%
Vietnam	Cư Kuin	2000	1.8%	7.8%	0.2%
Vietnam	Cư Kuin	2017	3.9%	15.1%	0.4%
Vietnam	Cù Lao Dung	2000	6.6%	29.0%	0.2%
Vietnam	Cù Lao Dung	2017	11.4%	35.0%	0.7%
Vietnam	Cư M'gar	2000	6.3%	17.2%	1.3%
Vietnam	Cư M'gar	2017	13.5%	26.3%	5.1%
Vietnam	Cửa Lò	2000	49.1%	94.6%	4.1%
Vietnam	Cửa Lò	2017	57.5%	97.8%	9.6%
Vietnam	Đà Bắc	2000	7.9%	18.5%	2.2%
Vietnam	Đà Bắc	2017	13.3%	27.7%	4.8%
Vietnam	Đạ Huoai	2000	6.2%	29.3%	0.3%
Vietnam	Đạ Huoai	2017	11.5%	39.9%	0.8%
Vietnam	Đa Krông	2000	6.8%	18.5%	1.0%
Vietnam	Đa Krông	2017	12.0%	26.4%	2.5%
Vietnam	Đà Lạt	2000	42.6%	50.3%	34.7%
Vietnam	Đà Lạt	2017	64.3%	71.1%	57.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Đạ Tễh	2000	6.3%	16.0%	2.2%
Vietnam	Đạ Tễh	2017	13.8%	27.9%	6.1%
Vietnam	Đại Lộc	2000	5.1%	17.1%	0.8%
Vietnam	Đại Lộc	2017	11.2%	30.1%	2.5%
Vietnam	Đại Từ	2000	7.8%	21.4%	1.9%
Vietnam	Đại Từ	2017	11.9%	30.7%	2.8%
Vietnam	Đăk Đoa	2000	8.0%	16.0%	2.8%
Vietnam	Đăk Đoa	2017	17.3%	29.4%	7.7%
Vietnam	Đăk Glei	2000	11.6%	27.9%	2.6%
Vietnam	Đăk Glei	2017	18.8%	35.9%	5.7%
Vietnam	Đăk Glong	2000	5.4%	11.4%	1.4%
Vietnam	Đăk Glong	2017	10.8%	19.8%	4.1%
Vietnam	Đăk Hà	2000	2.5%	8.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Đăk Hà	2017	4.7%	16.1%	0.6%
Vietnam	Đăk Mil	2000	4.3%	11.3%	1.6%
Vietnam	Đăk Mil	2017	13.5%	26.3%	7.7%
Vietnam	Đăk Pơ	2000	12.6%	34.8%	2.1%
Vietnam	Đăk Pơ	2017	21.6%	51.6%	5.2%
Vietnam	Đăk R'Lấp	2000	3.9%	11.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Đăk R'Lấp	2017	6.6%	16.5%	1.2%
Vietnam	Đăk Song	2000	4.6%	17.8%	0.4%
Vietnam	Đăk Song	2017	9.5%	27.4%	1.7%
Vietnam	Đăk Tô	2000	1.8%	7.8%	0.1%
Vietnam	Đăk Tô	2017	4.3%	16.9%	0.4%
Vietnam	Đầm Dơi	2000	6.9%	19.9%	1.3%
Vietnam	Đầm Dơi	2017	12.6%	28.8%	3.3%
Vietnam	Đầm Hà	2000	6.1%	30.0%	0.2%
Vietnam	Đầm Hà	2017	10.6%	44.5%	0.6%
Vietnam	Đam Rông	2000	6.1%	20.7%	0.8%
Vietnam	Đam Rông	2017	11.3%	28.7%	2.5%
Vietnam	Đan Phượng	2000	28.1%	66.1%	7.6%
Vietnam	Đan Phượng	2017	45.7%	80.0%	13.8%
Vietnam	Đất Đỏ	2000	15.3%	47.5%	0.4%
Vietnam	Đất Đỏ	2017	24.1%	66.0%	1.2%
Vietnam	Dầu Tiếng	2000	9.9%	26.8%	1.2%
Vietnam	Dầu Tiếng	2017	17.6%	38.5%	3.9%
Vietnam	Dĩ An	2000	3.9%	5.1%	3.2%
Vietnam	Dĩ An	2017	7.0%	11.9%	5.5%
Vietnam	Di Linh	2000	12.3%	19.7%	8.1%
Vietnam	Di Linh	2017	17.9%	28.2%	11.1%
Vietnam	Điện Bàn	2000	17.3%	40.0%	2.7%
Vietnam	Điện Bàn	2017	29.8%	56.2%	8.1%
Vietnam	Điện Biên	2000	8.2%	18.1%	3.0%
Vietnam	Điện Biên	2017	12.9%	24.2%	5.4%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Đông	2000	6.8%	15.7%	1.8%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Đông	2017	12.7%	26.8%	4.5%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Phủ	2000	36.7%	73.2%	8.5%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Phủ	2017	58.0%	86.9%	22.8%
Vietnam	Diễn Châu	2000	11.9%	39.5%	0.9%
Vietnam	Diễn Châu	2017	21.2%	56.1%	2.8%
Vietnam	Diên Khánh	2000	13.6%	42.5%	2.0%
Vietnam	Diên Khánh	2017	26.3%	63.5%	6.2%
Vietnam	Định Hóa	2000	6.7%	22.1%	1.2%
Vietnam	Định Hóa	2017	11.7%	28.7%	3.8%
Vietnam	Đình Lập	2000	6.8%	19.1%	1.2%
Vietnam	Đình Lập	2017	11.9%	31.4%	2.8%
Vietnam	Định Quán	2000	8.9%	25.6%	1.4%
Vietnam	Định Quán	2017	16.7%	36.9%	4.0%
Vietnam	Đô Lương	2000	10.8%	33.7%	1.5%
Vietnam	Đô Lương	2017	20.0%	48.7%	3.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Đồ Sơn	2000	7.0%	22.2%	0.8%
Vietnam	Đồ Sơn	2017	16.6%	42.0%	2.8%
Vietnam	Đoan Hùng	2000	11.1%	32.2%	1.1%
Vietnam	Đoan Hùng	2017	21.2%	54.9%	2.9%
Vietnam	Đơn Dương	2000	13.1%	26.9%	6.3%
Vietnam	Đơn Dương	2017	25.9%	39.4%	13.7%
Vietnam	Đông Anh	2000	39.6%	58.8%	25.7%
Vietnam	Đông Anh	2017	52.9%	73.0%	36.9%
Vietnam	Đống Đa	2000	50.3%	53.5%	47.0%
Vietnam	Đống Đa	2017	76.8%	78.8%	74.5%
Vietnam	Đông Giang	2000	7.1%	21.7%	0.9%
Vietnam	Đông Giang	2017	12.5%	28.3%	2.8%
Vietnam	Đông Hà	2000	74.4%	88.5%	53.7%
Vietnam	Đông Hà	2017	85.6%	94.7%	74.1%
Vietnam	Đông Hải	2000	16.1%	34.5%	5.9%
Vietnam	Đông Hải	2017	23.4%	46.9%	9.9%
Vietnam	Đông Hòa	2000	16.0%	55.2%	0.7%
Vietnam	Đông Hòa	2017	25.7%	69.3%	2.6%
Vietnam	Đông Hưng	2000	4.5%	18.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Đông Hưng	2017	9.9%	28.5%	2.8%
Vietnam	Đồng Hỷ	2000	17.7%	36.0%	5.9%
Vietnam	Đồng Hỷ	2017	31.4%	57.2%	13.4%
Vietnam	Đồng Phú	2000	10.7%	25.1%	3.0%
Vietnam	Đồng Phú	2017	20.5%	38.8%	8.0%
Vietnam	Đông Sơn	2000	28.8%	56.1%	4.5%
Vietnam	Đông Sơn	2017	41.9%	69.5%	7.7%
Vietnam	Đông Triều	2000	15.4%	41.2%	4.0%
Vietnam	Đông Triều	2017	26.9%	56.7%	9.5%
Vietnam	Đồng Văn	2000	11.8%	38.2%	0.8%
Vietnam	Đồng Văn	2017	19.8%	50.8%	2.8%
Vietnam	Đồng Xoài	2000	6.5%	33.6%	0.2%
Vietnam	Đồng Xoài	2017	13.3%	51.6%	0.6%
Vietnam	Đồng Xuân	2000	9.2%	22.9%	2.2%
Vietnam	Đồng Xuân	2017	20.5%	38.8%	8.9%
Vietnam	Đức Cơ	2000	2.8%	9.6%	0.2%
Vietnam	Đức Cơ	2017	5.4%	15.3%	0.6%
Vietnam	Đức Hòa	2000	5.8%	22.2%	0.4%
Vietnam	Đức Hòa	2017	11.1%	33.2%	1.5%
Vietnam	Đức Huệ	2000	9.3%	35.4%	0.6%
Vietnam	Đức Huệ	2017	16.4%	47.9%	1.8%
Vietnam	Đức Linh	2000	5.3%	15.3%	0.6%
Vietnam	Đức Linh	2017	10.9%	24.2%	2.3%
Vietnam	Đức Phổ	2000	7.3%	26.8%	0.4%
Vietnam	Đức Phổ	2017	14.7%	40.6%	1.5%
Vietnam	Đức Thọ	2000	6.4%	32.0%	0.1%
Vietnam	Đức Thọ	2017	12.7%	44.9%	0.4%
Vietnam	Đức Trọng	2000	5.0%	9.6%	1.3%
Vietnam	Đức Trọng	2017	8.6%	14.5%	3.6%
Vietnam	Dương Kinh	2000	27.4%	69.1%	6.7%
Vietnam	Dương Kinh	2017	49.9%	84.2%	18.1%
Vietnam	Dương Minh Châu	2000	5.2%	15.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Dương Minh Châu	2017	10.2%	26.8%	1.8%
Vietnam	Duy Tiên	2000	8.6%	22.1%	2.9%
Vietnam	Duy Tiên	2017	23.5%	40.0%	12.6%
Vietnam	Duy Xuyên	2000	2.7%	11.3%	0.3%
Vietnam	Duy Xuyên	2017	5.5%	19.1%	0.8%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải	2000	6.2%	25.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải	2017	10.3%	36.7%	0.4%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải (Thị xã)	2000	7.4%	41.7%	0.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Duyên Hải (Thị xã)	2017	13.5%	55.2%	0.3%
Vietnam	Ea H'leo	2000	3.5%	10.8%	0.5%
Vietnam	Ea H'leo	2017	6.8%	16.1%	1.4%
Vietnam	Ea Kar	2000	2.8%	8.9%	0.4%
Vietnam	Ea Kar	2017	5.4%	14.6%	1.2%
Vietnam	Ea Súp	2000	7.6%	19.4%	2.1%
Vietnam	Ea Súp	2017	13.9%	29.5%	5.3%
Vietnam	Gia Bình	2000	7.8%	30.2%	0.7%
Vietnam	Gia Bình	2017	14.8%	42.6%	2.0%
Vietnam	Gia Lâm	2000	37.8%	58.7%	24.7%
Vietnam	Gia Lâm	2017	55.2%	75.3%	38.9%
Vietnam	Gia Lộc	2000	3.7%	20.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Gia Lộc	2017	8.1%	34.9%	0.4%
Vietnam	Gia Nghĩa	2000	3.5%	22.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Gia Nghĩa	2017	6.4%	29.0%	0.5%
Vietnam	Giá Rai	2000	12.4%	29.4%	2.3%
Vietnam	Giá Rai	2017	22.0%	39.8%	6.6%
Vietnam	Gia Viễn	2000	3.5%	17.0%	0.3%
Vietnam	Gia Viễn	2017	7.4%	30.4%	0.9%
Vietnam	Giang Thành	2000	5.7%	22.7%	0.4%
Vietnam	Giang Thành	2017	9.7%	31.1%	1.1%
Vietnam	Giao Thủy	2000	4.0%	16.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Giao Thủy	2017	7.6%	26.0%	0.4%
Vietnam	Gio Linh	2000	8.4%	25.4%	1.2%
Vietnam	Gio Linh	2017	14.7%	37.1%	3.0%
Vietnam	Giồng Riềng	2000	7.2%	24.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Giồng Riềng	2017	13.6%	37.0%	1.7%
Vietnam	Giồng Trôm	2000	4.1%	15.8%	0.4%
Vietnam	Giồng Trôm	2017	10.3%	31.6%	1.8%
Vietnam	Gò Công	2000	3.2%	11.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Gò Công	2017	7.3%	18.6%	1.4%
Vietnam	Gò Công Đông	2000	4.9%	21.0%	0.2%
Vietnam	Gò Công Đông	2017	9.6%	32.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Gò Công Tây	2000	7.9%	21.9%	1.7%
Vietnam	Gò Công Tây	2017	15.7%	34.8%	4.7%
Vietnam	Gò Dầu	2000	4.9%	18.0%	1.1%
Vietnam	Gò Dầu	2017	10.3%	31.6%	2.5%
Vietnam	Gò Quao	2000	4.2%	15.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Gò Quao	2017	8.8%	26.9%	2.3%
Vietnam	Gò Vấp	2000	37.8%	39.9%	35.8%
Vietnam	Gò Vấp	2017	57.8%	60.6%	55.4%
Vietnam	Hà Đông	2000	73.6%	86.8%	61.4%
Vietnam	Hà Đông	2017	84.7%	95.3%	74.1%
Vietnam	Hà Giang	2000	6.7%	47.2%	0.0%
Vietnam	Hà Giang	2017	13.0%	66.1%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hạ Hoà	2000	6.4%	24.0%	0.4%
Vietnam	Hạ Hoà	2017	10.6%	32.4%	1.1%
Vietnam	Hạ Lang	2000	6.7%	24.1%	0.3%
Vietnam	Hạ Lang	2017	13.1%	38.4%	0.9%
Vietnam	Hạ Long	2000	15.6%	42.9%	3.3%
Vietnam	Hạ Long	2017	28.7%	59.4%	9.0%
Vietnam	Hà Quảng	2000	8.3%	28.4%	0.6%
Vietnam	Hà Quảng	2017	14.7%	38.4%	1.9%
Vietnam	Hà Tiên	2000	9.1%	52.5%	0.3%
Vietnam	Hà Tiên	2017	18.8%	67.6%	1.2%
Vietnam	Hà Tĩnh	2000	51.7%	71.3%	34.0%
Vietnam	Hà Tĩnh	2017	80.0%	87.2%	67.9%
Vietnam	Hà Trung	2000	8.8%	32.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Hà Trung	2017	15.6%	46.6%	0.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Hải An	2000	52.1%	75.3%	36.0%
Vietnam	Hải An	2017	67.7%	85.3%	46.8%
Vietnam	Hai Bà Trưng	2000	47.4%	51.1%	43.1%
Vietnam	Hai Bà Trưng	2017	73.0%	75.5%	70.1%
Vietnam	Hải Châu	2000	72.7%	75.8%	68.6%
Vietnam	Hải Châu	2017	86.6%	88.5%	84.5%
Vietnam	Hải Dương	2000	9.0%	15.0%	5.8%
Vietnam	Hải Dương	2017	25.7%	37.4%	17.3%
Vietnam	Hải Hà	2000	9.8%	35.2%	0.6%
Vietnam	Hải Hà	2017	17.4%	52.5%	1.4%
Vietnam	Hải Hậu	2000	4.5%	19.1%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hải Hậu	2017	8.8%	31.0%	0.5%
Vietnam	Hải Lăng	2000	7.0%	22.2%	0.4%
Vietnam	Hải Lăng	2017	11.2%	30.2%	1.2%
Vietnam	Hàm Tân	2000	13.4%	35.5%	1.9%
Vietnam	Hàm Tân	2017	22.1%	51.4%	5.1%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Bắc	2000	18.9%	33.5%	8.6%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Bắc	2017	31.4%	48.2%	16.9%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Nam	2000	10.4%	24.5%	2.2%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Nam	2017	18.6%	38.9%	5.9%
Vietnam	Hàm Yên	2000	7.7%	25.6%	1.0%
Vietnam	Hàm Yên	2017	13.6%	32.9%	2.9%
Vietnam	Hậu Lộc	2000	3.0%	16.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hậu Lộc	2017	6.8%	25.9%	0.5%
Vietnam	Hiệp Đức	2000	7.5%	28.9%	0.4%
Vietnam	Hiệp Đức	2017	13.2%	38.5%	1.3%
Vietnam	Hiệp Hòa	2000	2.1%	12.8%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hiệp Hòa	2017	5.2%	21.4%	0.3%
Vietnam	Hoà An	2000	19.2%	35.1%	9.2%
Vietnam	Hoà An	2017	27.7%	47.1%	15.0%
Vietnam	Hoà Bình	2000	10.0%	35.3%	0.7%
Vietnam	Hoà Bình	2017	18.0%	49.0%	2.0%
Vietnam	Hòa Bình	2000	40.7%	57.0%	27.7%
Vietnam	Hòa Bình	2017	58.9%	73.6%	45.4%
Vietnam	Hoa Lư	2000	18.7%	41.6%	3.8%
Vietnam	Hoa Lư	2017	29.7%	54.0%	9.8%
Vietnam	Hòa Thành	2000	1.0%	6.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hòa Thành	2017	2.7%	15.4%	0.2%
Vietnam	Hòa Vang	2000	48.1%	67.6%	28.8%
Vietnam	Hòa Vang	2017	64.5%	80.4%	47.0%
Vietnam	Hoài Ân	2000	11.0%	30.7%	1.4%
Vietnam	Hoài Ân	2017	18.6%	47.2%	3.5%
Vietnam	Hoài Đức	2000	58.4%	83.2%	30.2%
Vietnam	Hoài Đức	2017	74.2%	91.8%	46.8%
Vietnam	Hoài Nhơn	2000	14.3%	37.3%	1.9%
Vietnam	Hoài Nhơn	2017	24.5%	53.1%	4.9%
Vietnam	Hoàn Kiếm	2000	36.5%	40.5%	32.9%
Vietnam	Hoàn Kiếm	2017	65.8%	69.1%	61.9%
Vietnam	Hoàng Hóa	2000	12.6%	27.1%	2.7%
Vietnam	Hoàng Hóa	2017	24.0%	41.0%	8.0%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2000	77.0%	85.5%	65.6%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2000	5.3%	19.0%	0.5%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2017	10.3%	28.8%	1.5%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2017	90.6%	94.3%	85.3%
Vietnam	Hoàng Su Phì	2000	8.6%	26.2%	1.0%
Vietnam	Hoàng Su Phì	2017	15.5%	40.3%	3.1%
Vietnam	Hoành Bồ	2000	11.9%	35.3%	1.5%
Vietnam	Hoành Bồ	2017	21.6%	53.9%	3.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Hóc Môn	2000	7.4%	21.1%	2.6%
Vietnam	Hóc Môn	2017	14.8%	28.5%	8.0%
Vietnam	Hội An	2000	4.8%	30.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hội An	2017	10.9%	56.9%	0.3%
Vietnam	Hòn Đất	2000	6.8%	21.2%	0.8%
Vietnam	Hòn Đất	2017	12.7%	32.6%	2.0%
Vietnam	Hớn Quản	2000	6.6%	19.5%	0.7%
Vietnam	Hớn Quản	2017	12.4%	30.4%	2.1%
Vietnam	Hồng Bàng	2000	64.2%	72.8%	55.3%
Vietnam	Hồng Bàng	2017	84.9%	89.5%	78.8%
Vietnam	Hồng Dân	2000	9.1%	24.8%	1.6%
Vietnam	Hồng Dân	2017	18.1%	39.0%	5.4%
Vietnam	Hồng Lĩnh	2000	5.4%	33.4%	0.0%
Vietnam	Hồng Lĩnh	2017	9.8%	56.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự	2000	29.7%	52.0%	13.6%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự	2017	49.1%	72.8%	25.4%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự (Thị xã)	2000	21.3%	63.8%	4.0%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự (Thị xã)	2017	40.6%	82.8%	5.6%
Vietnam	Huế	2000	52.8%	65.9%	41.4%
Vietnam	Huế	2017	74.1%	85.6%	64.6%
Vietnam	Hưng Hà	2000	4.6%	18.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hưng Hà	2017	8.6%	29.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Hưng Nguyên	2000	17.3%	44.7%	3.7%
Vietnam	Hưng Nguyên	2017	31.4%	58.1%	10.0%
Vietnam	Hưng Yên	2000	2.2%	9.4%	0.2%
Vietnam	Hưng Yên	2017	5.4%	18.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Hướng Hóa	2000	6.0%	21.1%	0.7%
Vietnam	Hướng Hóa	2017	10.9%	29.1%	2.0%
Vietnam	Hương Khê	2000	5.9%	18.0%	0.9%
Vietnam	Hương Khê	2017	10.4%	27.8%	1.7%
Vietnam	Hương Sơn	2000	8.1%	24.3%	0.9%
Vietnam	Hương Sơn	2017	16.0%	39.3%	2.4%
Vietnam	Hương Thủy	2000	41.1%	63.4%	23.9%
Vietnam	Hương Thủy	2017	57.0%	78.7%	39.5%
Vietnam	Hương Trà	2000	37.8%	51.2%	25.7%
Vietnam	Hương Trà	2017	53.8%	67.9%	39.9%
Vietnam	Hữu Lũng	2000	6.6%	20.5%	0.9%
Vietnam	Hữu Lũng	2017	12.5%	34.8%	2.2%
Vietnam	Ia Grai	2000	5.5%	11.3%	2.6%
Vietnam	Ia Grai	2017	18.5%	28.6%	12.7%
Vietnam	Ia H' Drai	2000	7.2%	18.4%	0.6%
Vietnam	Ia H' Drai	2017	12.4%	25.6%	1.7%
Vietnam	Ia Pa	2000	7.4%	23.6%	0.9%
Vietnam	Ia Pa	2017	14.0%	37.3%	2.4%
Vietnam	KBang	2000	8.9%	21.7%	3.2%
Vietnam	KBang	2017	15.6%	31.8%	6.7%
Vietnam	Kế Sách	2000	7.7%	27.3%	0.7%
Vietnam	Kế Sách	2017	14.5%	41.2%	2.3%
Vietnam	Khánh Sơn	2000	3.9%	20.8%	0.1%
Vietnam	Khánh Sơn	2017	8.0%	33.5%	0.2%
Vietnam	Khánh Vĩnh	2000	10.6%	36.8%	1.2%
Vietnam	Khánh Vĩnh	2017	16.8%	41.4%	3.5%
Vietnam	Khoái Châu	2000	6.3%	32.1%	0.1%
Vietnam	Khoái Châu	2017	10.2%	38.8%	0.4%
Vietnam	Kiến An	2000	21.4%	25.4%	17.9%
Vietnam	Kiến An	2017	43.7%	48.7%	38.3%
Vietnam	Kiên Hải	2000	5.4%	27.8%	0.0%
Vietnam	Kiên Hải	2017	10.3%	45.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Kiên Lương	2000	9.2%	43.6%	0.9%
Vietnam	Kiên Lương	2017	17.0%	50.6%	3.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Kiến Thủy	2000	7.5%	32.7%	1.4%
Vietnam	Kiến Thủy	2017	17.4%	50.4%	4.1%
Vietnam	Kiến Tường	2000	7.2%	39.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Kiến Tường	2017	13.7%	58.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Kiến Xương	2000	2.1%	8.5%	0.2%
Vietnam	Kiến Xương	2017	4.4%	12.9%	0.6%
Vietnam	Kim Bảng	2000	3.8%	18.0%	0.1%
Vietnam	Kim Bảng	2017	6.8%	25.8%	0.4%
Vietnam	Kim Bôi	2000	9.9%	27.8%	1.0%
Vietnam	Kim Bôi	2017	17.6%	40.7%	2.9%
Vietnam	Kim Động	2000	5.9%	25.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Kim Động	2017	12.0%	40.1%	0.5%
Vietnam	Kim Sơn	2000	5.3%	22.6%	0.5%
Vietnam	Kim Sơn	2017	10.2%	35.7%	1.1%
Vietnam	Kim Thành	2000	11.6%	36.9%	1.9%
Vietnam	Kim Thành	2017	22.9%	51.8%	5.1%
Vietnam	Kinh Môn	2000	22.9%	56.0%	3.2%
Vietnam	Kinh Môn	2017	34.7%	71.7%	6.8%
Vietnam	Kon Plông	2000	9.9%	24.8%	2.3%
Vietnam	Kon Plông	2017	15.8%	30.9%	5.8%
Vietnam	Kon Rẫy	2000	5.1%	17.6%	0.8%
Vietnam	Kon Rẫy	2017	10.0%	30.1%	2.1%
Vietnam	Kon Tum	2000	4.1%	11.6%	1.6%
Vietnam	Kon Tum	2017	14.3%	25.6%	7.7%
Vietnam	Kông Chro	2000	4.7%	15.9%	0.8%
Vietnam	Kông Chro	2017	9.4%	25.6%	2.1%
Vietnam	Krông A Na	2000	2.1%	7.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Krông A Na	2017	5.0%	14.9%	1.1%
Vietnam	Krông Bông	2000	5.3%	19.1%	0.4%
Vietnam	Krông Bông	2017	10.2%	33.1%	1.4%
Vietnam	Krông Búk	2000	4.2%	21.8%	0.2%
Vietnam	Krông Búk	2017	7.9%	32.8%	0.4%
Vietnam	Krông Năng	2000	3.6%	9.7%	0.8%
Vietnam	Krông Năng	2017	8.2%	19.1%	2.4%
Vietnam	Krông Nô	2000	3.0%	10.6%	0.4%
Vietnam	Krông Nô	2017	7.0%	18.3%	1.3%
Vietnam	Krông Pa	2000	7.1%	23.7%	1.4%
Vietnam	Krông Pa	2017	12.8%	34.5%	3.0%
Vietnam	Krông Pắc	2000	4.5%	10.8%	1.4%
Vietnam	Krông Pắc	2017	9.6%	18.7%	4.0%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh	2000	8.7%	25.1%	1.1%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh	2017	15.3%	40.6%	2.6%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh (Thị xã)	2000	13.2%	43.0%	0.7%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh (Thị xã)	2017	21.3%	62.8%	1.7%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2000	8.6%	23.7%	1.9%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2000	13.3%	43.5%	1.8%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2017	25.5%	57.8%	5.7%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2017	14.7%	31.2%	5.1%
Vietnam	La Gi	2000	16.9%	51.6%	0.6%
Vietnam	La Gi	2017	27.6%	70.8%	1.9%
Vietnam	Lạc Dương	2000	22.5%	43.7%	8.7%
Vietnam	Lạc Dương	2017	30.8%	52.7%	13.1%
Vietnam	Lạc Sơn	2000	7.7%	29.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Lạc Sơn	2017	13.8%	43.9%	1.3%
Vietnam	Lạc Thủy	2000	9.4%	26.6%	2.4%
Vietnam	Lạc Thủy	2017	18.6%	37.3%	8.2%
Vietnam	Lai Châu	2000	2.8%	22.9%	0.0%
Vietnam	Lai Châu	2017	6.3%	40.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Lai Vung	2000	11.6%	37.2%	1.7%
Vietnam	Lai Vung	2017	18.9%	46.9%	3.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Lắk	2000	6.8%	20.7%	2.3%
Vietnam	Lắk	2017	13.8%	32.8%	5.8%
Vietnam	Lâm Bình	2000	8.2%	23.1%	1.3%
Vietnam	Lâm Bình	2017	14.5%	32.5%	3.4%
Vietnam	Lâm Hà	2000	5.2%	11.0%	2.0%
Vietnam	Lâm Hà	2017	10.4%	19.3%	4.2%
Vietnam	Lâm Thao	2000	17.7%	36.4%	3.1%
Vietnam	Lâm Thao	2017	25.8%	46.4%	8.5%
Vietnam	Lang Chánh	2000	7.0%	22.4%	0.3%
Vietnam	Lang Chánh	2017	12.7%	35.9%	0.8%
Vietnam	Lạng Giang	2000	2.5%	10.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Lạng Giang	2017	5.3%	17.7%	1.4%
Vietnam	Lạng Sơn	2000	51.2%	60.4%	45.5%
Vietnam	Lạng Sơn	2017	63.9%	70.8%	57.3%
Vietnam	Lào Cai	2000	14.7%	22.4%	8.0%
Vietnam	Lào Cai	2017	24.2%	34.9%	13.7%
Vietnam	Lập Thạch	2000	10.1%	39.7%	0.3%
Vietnam	Lập Thạch	2017	18.8%	59.2%	0.7%
Vietnam	Lấp Vò	2000	20.5%	34.7%	11.9%
Vietnam	Lấp Vò	2017	34.0%	48.6%	22.2%
Vietnam	Lê Chân	2000	56.8%	67.0%	47.6%
Vietnam	Lê Chân	2017	79.7%	87.2%	72.1%
Vietnam	Lệ Thủy	2000	11.3%	31.2%	1.9%
Vietnam	Lệ Thủy	2017	20.1%	45.2%	4.8%
Vietnam	Liên Chiểu	2000	64.6%	72.1%	51.9%
Vietnam	Liên Chiểu	2017	82.4%	87.1%	74.7%
Vietnam	Lộc Bình	2000	22.5%	41.2%	9.3%
Vietnam	Lộc Bình	2017	34.5%	52.8%	19.2%
Vietnam	Lộc Hà	2000	2.4%	11.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Lộc Hà	2017	5.1%	18.7%	0.4%
Vietnam	Lộc Ninh	2000	5.3%	18.6%	0.6%
Vietnam	Lộc Ninh	2017	10.3%	31.0%	1.7%
Vietnam	Long Biên	2000	60.4%	66.2%	53.9%
Vietnam	Long Biên	2017	80.5%	84.2%	76.1%
Vietnam	Long Điền	2000	29.6%	66.1%	14.7%
Vietnam	Long Điền	2017	50.7%	81.8%	30.2%
Vietnam	Long Hồ	2000	38.0%	63.0%	20.3%
Vietnam	Long Hồ	2017	50.9%	72.8%	28.8%
Vietnam	Long Khánh	2000	10.5%	46.5%	1.6%
Vietnam	Long Khánh	2017	20.6%	63.1%	4.5%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ	2000	7.0%	26.9%	0.8%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ	2017	13.5%	36.8%	2.3%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ (Thị xã)	2000	6.0%	20.6%	0.8%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ (Thị xã)	2017	13.9%	36.5%	2.4%
Vietnam	Long Phú	2000	9.8%	25.9%	1.5%
Vietnam	Long Phú	2017	18.0%	38.9%	4.1%
Vietnam	Long Thành	2000	5.2%	14.2%	0.8%
Vietnam	Long Thành	2017	11.4%	27.9%	2.3%
Vietnam	Long Xuyên	2000	35.7%	40.3%	30.8%
Vietnam	Long Xuyên	2017	56.6%	60.3%	52.6%
Vietnam	Lục Nam	2000	7.5%	26.6%	0.7%
Vietnam	Lục Nam	2017	13.7%	43.9%	2.0%
Vietnam	Lục Ngạn	2000	12.2%	24.2%	5.2%
Vietnam	Lục Ngạn	2017	23.0%	39.1%	11.6%
Vietnam	Lục Yên	2000	10.6%	26.5%	1.9%
Vietnam	Lục Yên	2017	19.0%	39.9%	4.2%
Vietnam	Lương Sơn	2000	12.6%	33.6%	2.3%
Vietnam	Lương Sơn	2017	19.8%	47.3%	4.7%
Vietnam	Lương Tài	2000	11.9%	27.6%	6.8%
Vietnam	Lương Tài	2017	19.5%	41.6%	9.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Lý Nhân	2000	6.7%	23.4%	0.6%
Vietnam	Lý Nhân	2017	12.6%	33.1%	1.9%
Vietnam	Lý Sơn	2000	16.4%	68.8%	0.0%
Vietnam	Lý Sơn	2017	26.6%	81.7%	0.1%
Vietnam	M'Đrắk	2000	5.7%	18.3%	0.6%
Vietnam	M'Đrắk	2017	10.0%	27.2%	1.4%
Vietnam	Mai Châu	2000	9.1%	30.5%	1.5%
Vietnam	Mai Châu	2017	16.4%	40.0%	4.5%
Vietnam	Mai Sơn	2000	21.0%	32.8%	12.0%
Vietnam	Mai Sơn	2017	32.3%	45.4%	21.7%
Vietnam	Mang Thít	2000	13.3%	32.6%	2.2%
Vietnam	Mang Thít	2017	22.3%	47.5%	4.5%
Vietnam	Mang Yang	2000	7.0%	16.6%	1.5%
Vietnam	Mang Yang	2017	12.3%	25.0%	4.0%
Vietnam	Mê Linh	2000	3.6%	14.0%	0.4%
Vietnam	Mê Linh	2017	7.9%	26.3%	1.4%
Vietnam	Mèo Vạc	2000	12.7%	31.6%	2.5%
Vietnam	Mèo Vạc	2017	20.1%	44.7%	4.9%
Vietnam	Minh Hóa	2000	10.3%	21.2%	5.1%
Vietnam	Minh Hóa	2017	15.2%	29.4%	6.7%
Vietnam	Minh Long	2000	6.7%	29.2%	0.2%
Vietnam	Minh Long	2017	12.0%	40.6%	0.6%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Bắc	2000	11.0%	38.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Bắc	2017	18.2%	53.5%	1.3%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Nam	2000	6.2%	26.1%	0.3%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Nam	2017	15.3%	46.2%	1.1%
Vietnam	Mộ Đức	2000	8.1%	26.7%	0.9%
Vietnam	Mộ Đức	2017	15.7%	42.2%	2.7%
Vietnam	Mộc Châu	2000	17.4%	29.5%	8.3%
Vietnam	Mộc Châu	2017	26.9%	41.2%	15.7%
Vietnam	Mộc Hóa	2000	7.3%	31.6%	0.2%
Vietnam	Mộc Hóa	2017	13.9%	50.3%	0.6%
Vietnam	Móng Cái	2000	10.1%	27.6%	2.2%
Vietnam	Móng Cái	2017	21.0%	45.1%	6.0%
Vietnam	Mù Căng Chải	2000	14.5%	27.6%	5.5%
Vietnam	Mù Căng Chải	2017	22.7%	39.3%	10.1%
Vietnam	Mường Ảng	2000	5.0%	18.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Mường Ảng	2017	8.9%	31.2%	0.8%
Vietnam	Mường Chà	2000	9.8%	20.3%	2.6%
Vietnam	Mường Chà	2017	17.4%	31.5%	6.0%
Vietnam	Mường	2000	2.5%	10.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Khương				
Vietnam	Mường	2017	5.2%	19.1%	0.4%
Vietnam	Khương				
Vietnam	Mường La	2000	6.8%	14.7%	1.8%
Vietnam	Mường La	2017	11.2%	22.2%	4.2%
Vietnam	Mường Lát	2000	11.8%	32.3%	1.4%
Vietnam	Mường Lát	2017	19.5%	44.3%	3.8%
Vietnam	Mường Nhé	2000	3.0%	8.6%	0.2%
Vietnam	Mường Nhé	2017	4.9%	12.1%	0.7%
Vietnam	Mường Tè	2000	5.8%	13.0%	1.3%
Vietnam	Mường Tè	2017	9.8%	20.6%	3.3%
Vietnam	Mỹ Đức	2000	2.5%	13.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Mỹ Đức	2017	4.8%	19.4%	0.2%
Vietnam	Mỹ Hòa	2000	0.7%	4.5%	0.0%
Vietnam	Mỹ Hòa	2017	2.1%	11.2%	0.2%
Vietnam	Mỹ Lộc	2000	42.7%	74.7%	18.7%
Vietnam	Mỹ Lộc	2017	56.7%	84.6%	25.7%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tho	2000	14.6%	34.1%	4.7%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tho	2017	30.9%	53.9%	14.3%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tú	2000	4.0%	16.0%	0.4%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tú	2017	7.9%	22.3%	0.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Mỹ Xuyên	2000	6.9%	17.9%	1.7%
Vietnam	Mỹ Xuyên	2017	14.3%	33.9%	4.5%
Vietnam	Nà Hang	2000	5.6%	16.0%	1.1%
Vietnam	Nà Hang	2017	10.0%	23.0%	2.5%
Vietnam	Na Rì	2000	9.2%	26.0%	1.6%
Vietnam	Na Rì	2017	16.4%	41.8%	3.8%
Vietnam	Năm Căn	2000	9.8%	36.7%	1.2%
Vietnam	Năm Căn	2017	16.7%	47.1%	2.8%
Vietnam	Nam Đàn	2000	7.6%	30.3%	0.3%
Vietnam	Nam Đàn	2017	14.6%	45.9%	0.8%
Vietnam	Nam Định	2000	81.8%	96.5%	60.4%
Vietnam	Nam Định	2017	90.4%	98.7%	70.2%
Vietnam	Nam Đông	2000	7.5%	29.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Nam Đông	2017	12.7%	39.2%	1.6%
Vietnam	Nam Giang	2000	6.8%	18.7%	1.4%
Vietnam	Nam Giang	2017	11.9%	26.9%	3.9%
Vietnam	Nậm Nhùn	2000	10.1%	22.1%	2.8%
Vietnam	Nậm Nhùn	2017	16.3%	32.1%	6.4%
Vietnam	Nậm Pồ	2000	7.4%	16.4%	1.9%
Vietnam	Nậm Pồ	2017	12.8%	24.6%	4.5%
Vietnam	Nam Sách	2000	14.0%	34.4%	5.3%
Vietnam	Nam Sách	2017	27.1%	49.2%	12.7%
Vietnam	Nam Trà My	2000	12.2%	35.0%	1.2%
Vietnam	Nam Trà My	2017	18.5%	41.5%	3.2%
Vietnam	Nam Trực	2000	38.0%	58.4%	22.3%
Vietnam	Nam Trực	2017	50.4%	68.2%	31.9%
Vietnam	Nam Từ Liêm	2000	64.1%	69.6%	57.7%
Vietnam	Nam Từ Liêm	2017	83.5%	87.3%	78.4%
Vietnam	Ngã Bảy	2000	2.3%	13.0%	0.1%
Vietnam	Ngã Bảy	2017	5.9%	27.7%	0.4%
Vietnam	Ngã Năm	2000	7.0%	43.8%	0.1%
Vietnam	Ngã Năm	2017	12.9%	53.6%	0.3%
Vietnam	Nga Sơn	2000	1.7%	9.7%	0.1%
Vietnam	Nga Sơn	2017	3.5%	14.5%	0.4%
Vietnam	Ngân Sơn	2000	7.5%	23.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Ngân Sơn	2017	13.9%	35.5%	2.3%
Vietnam	Nghi Lộc	2000	24.9%	42.2%	14.7%
Vietnam	Nghi Lộc	2017	30.3%	51.9%	17.8%
Vietnam	Nghi Xuân	2000	33.4%	54.2%	19.3%
Vietnam	Nghi Xuân	2017	47.0%	68.7%	29.3%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Đàn	2000	8.1%	22.4%	1.3%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Đàn	2017	14.1%	31.8%	2.9%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hành	2000	7.4%	26.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hành	2017	14.2%	38.0%	0.8%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hưng	2000	7.2%	22.4%	1.4%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hưng	2017	12.6%	32.2%	3.3%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Lộ	2000	0.7%	4.5%	0.0%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Lộ	2017	2.0%	11.1%	0.1%
Vietnam	Ngô Quyền	2000	63.5%	70.4%	56.4%
Vietnam	Ngô Quyền	2017	84.9%	88.4%	80.9%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hiến	2000	6.5%	17.2%	0.6%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hiến	2017	12.0%	28.6%	1.8%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hồi	2000	3.3%	12.1%	0.4%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hồi	2017	5.9%	18.5%	0.9%
Vietnam	Ngọc Lặc	2000	19.2%	41.1%	7.2%
Vietnam	Ngọc Lặc	2017	25.7%	50.7%	10.9%
Vietnam	Ngũ Hành Sơn	2000	63.4%	74.0%	53.8%
Vietnam	Ngũ Hành Sơn	2017	76.4%	84.6%	67.6%
Vietnam	Nguyên Bình	2000	6.5%	20.3%	0.6%
Vietnam	Nguyên Bình	2017	11.4%	33.0%	1.8%
Vietnam	Nhà Bè	2000	50.4%	57.6%	42.4%
Vietnam	Nhà Bè	2017	67.1%	74.3%	56.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Nha Trang	2000	32.2%	49.4%	22.4%
Vietnam	Nha Trang	2017	49.6%	67.3%	36.5%
Vietnam	Nho Quan	2000	8.0%	27.9%	0.7%
Vietnam	Nho Quan	2017	14.9%	38.2%	2.8%
Vietnam	Nhơn Trạch	2000	23.8%	46.0%	8.9%
Vietnam	Nhơn Trạch	2017	39.1%	61.6%	19.5%
Vietnam	Như Thanh	2000	8.2%	27.6%	0.7%
Vietnam	Như Thanh	2017	14.1%	37.3%	2.1%
Vietnam	Như Xuân	2000	6.7%	20.5%	0.5%
Vietnam	Như Xuân	2017	11.8%	29.6%	1.5%
Vietnam	Ninh Bình	2000	24.8%	41.6%	9.0%
Vietnam	Ninh Bình	2017	45.5%	60.7%	22.7%
Vietnam	Ninh Giang	2000	4.0%	19.0%	0.1%
Vietnam	Ninh Giang	2017	8.2%	30.1%	0.4%
Vietnam	Ninh Hải	2000	28.2%	53.1%	5.8%
Vietnam	Ninh Hải	2017	41.6%	68.1%	14.8%
Vietnam	Ninh Hòa	2000	17.6%	38.2%	4.4%
Vietnam	Ninh Hòa	2017	28.9%	51.6%	9.6%
Vietnam	Ninh Kiều	2000	33.5%	40.9%	27.0%
Vietnam	Ninh Kiều	2017	60.7%	67.9%	53.4%
Vietnam	Ninh Phước	2000	33.6%	50.6%	24.1%
Vietnam	Ninh Phước	2017	55.1%	70.3%	44.0%
Vietnam	Ninh Sơn	2000	25.5%	46.5%	12.1%
Vietnam	Ninh Sơn	2017	41.7%	66.3%	24.9%
Vietnam	Nông Cống	2000	21.8%	37.8%	11.8%
Vietnam	Nông Cống	2017	33.1%	52.2%	19.9%
Vietnam	Nông Sơn	2000	6.9%	28.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Nông Sơn	2017	12.7%	42.7%	1.1%
Vietnam	Núi Thành	2000	11.9%	31.8%	1.5%
Vietnam	Núi Thành	2017	22.3%	48.5%	3.5%
Vietnam	Ô Môn	2000	4.8%	22.7%	0.1%
Vietnam	Ô Môn	2017	11.4%	41.9%	0.4%
Vietnam	Pác Nặm	2000	8.5%	25.1%	0.5%
Vietnam	Pác Nặm	2017	14.1%	38.0%	1.5%
Vietnam	Phan Rang- Tháp Chàm	2000	43.5%	74.6%	20.1%
Vietnam	Phan Rang- Tháp Chàm	2017	62.1%	88.6%	35.0%
Vietnam	Phan Thiết	2000	38.3%	51.1%	28.6%
Vietnam	Phan Thiết	2017	52.3%	68.6%	40.4%
Vietnam	Phổ Yên	2000	3.8%	12.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Phổ Yên	2017	8.2%	21.8%	2.6%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2000	16.1%	38.8%	5.2%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2000	8.7%	35.5%	0.6%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2017	26.2%	48.7%	10.7%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2017	18.3%	56.0%	2.1%
Vietnam	Phong Thổ	2000	8.5%	23.0%	1.9%
Vietnam	Phong Thổ	2017	14.6%	35.8%	3.3%
Vietnam	Phú Bình	2000	20.3%	29.3%	13.8%
Vietnam	Phú Bình	2017	29.1%	40.0%	21.2%
Vietnam	Phù Cát	2000	13.1%	32.4%	2.1%
Vietnam	Phù Cát	2017	21.5%	44.9%	4.8%
Vietnam	Phù Cừ	2000	1.0%	5.0%	0.0%
Vietnam	Phù Cừ	2017	2.5%	11.8%	0.1%
Vietnam	Phú Giáo	2000	11.5%	33.3%	1.4%
Vietnam	Phú Giáo	2017	21.2%	53.0%	3.3%
Vietnam	Phú Hoà	2000	4.9%	17.2%	0.5%
Vietnam	Phú Hoà	2017	9.4%	24.2%	1.7%
Vietnam	Phú Lộc	2000	14.8%	37.4%	3.8%
Vietnam	Phú Lộc	2017	26.0%	51.5%	9.1%
Vietnam	Phú Lương	2000	3.4%	13.8%	0.4%
Vietnam	Phú Lương	2017	8.5%	24.6%	1.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Phủ Lý	2000	12.8%	55.2%	1.0%
Vietnam	Phủ Lý	2017	22.7%	73.0%	2.5%
Vietnam	Phù Mỹ	2000	6.5%	18.4%	0.9%
Vietnam	Phù Mỹ	2017	12.4%	30.1%	2.8%
Vietnam	Phú Nhuận	2000	66.8%	69.8%	63.5%
Vietnam	Phú Nhuận	2017	87.0%	88.5%	85.5%
Vietnam	Phú Ninh	2000	13.1%	51.0%	1.4%
Vietnam	Phú Ninh	2017	24.3%	65.9%	4.5%
Vietnam	Phù Ninh	2000	3.0%	9.1%	0.7%
Vietnam	Phù Ninh	2017	7.2%	15.7%	2.1%
Vietnam	Phú Quí	2000	14.5%	71.3%	0.0%
Vietnam	Phú Quí	2017	26.1%	86.8%	0.2%
Vietnam	Phú Quốc	2000	13.0%	32.6%	2.3%
Vietnam	Phú Quốc	2017	23.8%	50.0%	6.3%
Vietnam	Phú Riềng	2000	8.1%	25.4%	0.7%
Vietnam	Phú Riềng	2017	17.6%	39.5%	2.9%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2000	18.1%	46.4%	3.2%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2000	13.0%	37.0%	1.8%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2017	30.8%	64.3%	9.1%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2017	22.4%	57.6%	3.4%
Vietnam	Phú Thiện	2000	3.8%	17.3%	0.2%
Vietnam	Phú Thiện	2017	6.5%	22.8%	0.6%
Vietnam	Phú Thọ	2000	36.7%	43.7%	23.1%
Vietnam	Phú Thọ	2017	50.5%	56.0%	34.3%
Vietnam	Phú Vang	2000	39.0%	63.5%	21.4%
Vietnam	Phú Vang	2017	49.7%	74.9%	29.5%
Vietnam	Phú Xuyên	2000	12.6%	40.1%	1.6%
Vietnam	Phú Xuyên	2017	22.5%	55.9%	4.7%
Vietnam	Phù Yên	2000	7.9%	22.9%	1.5%
Vietnam	Phù Yên	2017	14.9%	36.6%	4.0%
Vietnam	Phục Hoà	2000	9.2%	38.7%	0.2%
Vietnam	Phục Hoà	2017	16.3%	56.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Phước Thọ	2000	24.0%	36.3%	16.0%
Vietnam	Phước Thọ	2017	38.7%	54.3%	25.7%
Vietnam	Phước Yên	2000	2.2%	16.3%	0.0%
Vietnam	Phước Yên	2017	4.9%	28.1%	0.1%
Vietnam	Phụng Hiệp	2000	3.3%	10.7%	0.4%
Vietnam	Phụng Hiệp	2017	6.1%	16.2%	1.3%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2000	9.4%	25.7%	1.4%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2000	17.2%	62.4%	1.0%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2017	30.1%	79.1%	2.8%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2017	17.2%	43.4%	2.9%
Vietnam	Phước Sơn	2000	6.2%	17.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Phước Sơn	2017	11.5%	26.8%	2.0%
Vietnam	Pleiku	2000	2.8%	5.3%	1.8%
Vietnam	Pleiku	2017	11.8%	17.8%	8.7%
Vietnam	Quận 1	2000	66.1%	69.4%	62.8%
Vietnam	Quận 1	2017	86.7%	88.4%	84.9%
Vietnam	Quận 10	2000	50.6%	53.5%	47.9%
Vietnam	Quận 10	2017	75.1%	77.2%	73.2%
Vietnam	Quận 11	2000	32.5%	35.3%	29.8%
Vietnam	Quận 11	2017	61.7%	64.5%	58.9%
Vietnam	Quận 12	2000	11.1%	12.7%	9.9%
Vietnam	Quận 12	2017	26.5%	29.8%	23.7%
Vietnam	Quận 2	2000	69.1%	74.7%	64.1%
Vietnam	Quận 2	2017	87.6%	90.4%	84.6%
Vietnam	Quận 3	2000	65.4%	68.8%	61.7%
Vietnam	Quận 3	2017	86.2%	88.1%	84.2%
Vietnam	Quận 4	2000	66.9%	70.3%	63.5%
Vietnam	Quận 4	2017	87.0%	88.7%	85.1%
Vietnam	Quận 5	2000	53.8%	56.5%	51.2%
Vietnam	Quận 5	2017	77.3%	79.2%	75.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Quận 6	2000	58.5%	61.5%	55.3%
Vietnam	Quận 6	2017	78.4%	79.8%	77.0%
Vietnam	Quận 7	2000	41.1%	44.8%	37.7%
Vietnam	Quận 7	2017	67.0%	70.4%	63.5%
Vietnam	Quận 8	2000	61.6%	65.8%	57.3%
Vietnam	Quận 8	2017	79.5%	82.0%	76.9%
Vietnam	Quận 9	2000	34.7%	47.4%	25.1%
Vietnam	Quận 9	2017	54.7%	64.5%	43.7%
Vietnam	Quần Bạ	2000	7.4%	34.5%	0.6%
Vietnam	Quần Bạ	2017	13.1%	41.3%	1.9%
Vietnam	Quan Hóa	2000	8.3%	18.4%	2.6%
Vietnam	Quan Hóa	2017	15.1%	28.9%	6.3%
Vietnam	Quan Sơn	2000	6.9%	20.3%	0.8%
Vietnam	Quan Sơn	2017	12.1%	28.6%	2.2%
Vietnam	Quang Bình	2000	6.0%	19.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Quang Bình	2017	10.0%	28.2%	1.5%
Vietnam	Quảng Điền	2000	61.0%	76.2%	45.3%
Vietnam	Quảng Điền	2017	70.2%	86.5%	57.0%
Vietnam	Quảng Ngãi	2000	2.5%	9.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Quảng Ngãi	2017	5.7%	19.2%	0.9%
Vietnam	Quảng Ninh	2000	8.5%	26.0%	0.7%
Vietnam	Quảng Ninh	2017	14.6%	35.5%	1.8%
Vietnam	Quảng Trạch	2000	6.4%	19.9%	0.5%
Vietnam	Quảng Trạch	2017	11.8%	30.7%	1.4%
Vietnam	Quảng Trị	2000	12.9%	63.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Quảng Trị	2017	21.5%	76.8%	0.2%
Vietnam	Quảng Uyên	2000	10.7%	38.2%	1.1%
Vietnam	Quảng Uyên	2017	17.7%	58.6%	1.7%
Vietnam	Quảng Xương	2000	14.1%	26.6%	8.6%
Vietnam	Quảng Xương	2017	27.9%	45.7%	19.2%
Vietnam	Quảng Yên	2000	16.2%	54.5%	0.7%
Vietnam	Quảng Yên	2017	25.4%	65.5%	2.1%
Vietnam	Quế Phong	2000	7.6%	16.6%	2.0%
Vietnam	Quế Phong	2017	12.6%	23.9%	4.5%
Vietnam	Quế Sơn	2000	5.3%	17.6%	1.1%
Vietnam	Quế Sơn	2017	11.1%	26.1%	3.1%
Vietnam	Quế Võ	2000	3.2%	10.0%	1.1%
Vietnam	Quế Võ	2017	6.5%	18.4%	2.4%
Vietnam	Qui Nhơn	2000	25.1%	39.0%	15.9%
Vietnam	Qui Nhơn	2017	42.6%	57.9%	28.9%
Vietnam	Quốc Oai	2000	25.0%	44.0%	15.3%
Vietnam	Quốc Oai	2017	33.1%	58.6%	18.7%
Vietnam	Quỳ Châu	2000	6.0%	19.4%	0.9%
Vietnam	Quỳ Châu	2017	11.5%	28.4%	2.8%
Vietnam	Quỳ Hợp	2000	5.8%	20.1%	0.7%
Vietnam	Quỳ Hợp	2017	10.7%	29.5%	2.0%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Lưu	2000	9.2%	36.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Lưu	2017	16.4%	49.0%	1.7%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Nhai	2000	8.3%	22.0%	1.7%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Nhai	2017	15.1%	33.2%	4.2%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Phụ	2000	5.4%	17.7%	0.9%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Phụ	2017	11.6%	29.3%	2.7%
Vietnam	Rạch Giá	2000	4.0%	11.2%	1.3%
Vietnam	Rạch Giá	2017	10.1%	18.9%	4.0%
Vietnam	Sa Đéc	2000	21.1%	53.4%	3.0%
Vietnam	Sa Đéc	2017	36.6%	71.6%	8.1%
Vietnam	Sa Pa	2000	9.0%	22.3%	2.0%
Vietnam	Sa Pa	2017	15.3%	34.2%	4.0%
Vietnam	Sa Thầy	2000	4.6%	13.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Sa Thầy	2017	8.2%	20.3%	1.5%
Vietnam	Sầm Sơn	2000	16.0%	48.1%	1.4%
Vietnam	Sầm Sơn	2017	29.6%	69.2%	4.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Si Ma Cai	2000	3.9%	23.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Si Ma Cai	2017	6.7%	34.4%	0.2%
Vietnam	Sin Hồ	2000	7.1%	16.0%	1.8%
Vietnam	Sin Hồ	2017	12.1%	23.5%	4.4%
Vietnam	Sóc Sơn	2000	5.3%	23.6%	0.2%
Vietnam	Sóc Sơn	2017	10.2%	35.4%	0.6%
Vietnam	Sóc Trăng	2000	9.1%	15.3%	5.1%
Vietnam	Sóc Trăng	2017	21.7%	29.9%	15.0%
Vietnam	Sơn Động	2000	6.3%	24.0%	0.7%
Vietnam	Sơn Động	2017	12.5%	36.6%	2.0%
Vietnam	Sơn Dương	2000	12.7%	25.9%	3.9%
Vietnam	Sơn Dương	2017	21.9%	39.5%	8.9%
Vietnam	Sơn Hà	2000	5.1%	21.4%	0.6%
Vietnam	Sơn Hà	2017	9.7%	31.2%	1.4%
Vietnam	Sơn Hòa	2000	7.6%	24.2%	1.0%
Vietnam	Sơn Hòa	2017	13.7%	33.2%	2.8%
Vietnam	Sơn La	2000	20.1%	39.7%	8.6%
Vietnam	Sơn La	2017	38.3%	58.9%	21.6%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2000	4.4%	18.1%	0.1%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2000	12.4%	42.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2017	21.8%	59.1%	2.6%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2017	9.4%	30.1%	0.3%
Vietnam	Sơn Tĩnh	2000	7.0%	29.5%	1.1%
Vietnam	Sơn Tĩnh	2017	14.1%	40.2%	4.1%
Vietnam	Sơn Trà	2000	81.9%	91.0%	72.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Trà	2017	91.8%	97.0%	84.6%
Vietnam	Sông Cầu	2000	11.9%	35.8%	1.0%
Vietnam	Sông Cầu	2017	20.4%	48.4%	2.9%
Vietnam	Sông Công	2000	4.6%	15.3%	1.5%
Vietnam	Sông Công	2017	12.7%	27.6%	5.2%
Vietnam	Sông Hình	2000	11.4%	26.1%	3.1%
Vietnam	Sông Hình	2017	18.9%	34.9%	6.3%
Vietnam	Sông Lô	2000	14.8%	33.4%	5.3%
Vietnam	Sông Lô	2017	22.9%	47.2%	7.7%
Vietnam	Sông Mã	2000	7.9%	17.6%	2.2%
Vietnam	Sông Mã	2017	14.2%	27.0%	5.4%
Vietnam	Sốp Cộp	2000	8.9%	20.4%	2.3%
Vietnam	Sốp Cộp	2017	15.2%	30.8%	4.8%
Vietnam	Tam Bình	2000	15.7%	37.4%	4.4%
Vietnam	Tam Bình	2017	27.4%	53.8%	8.0%
Vietnam	Tam Đảo	2000	9.6%	35.1%	0.2%
Vietnam	Tam Đảo	2017	15.2%	50.9%	0.6%
Vietnam	Tam Điệp	2000	7.7%	40.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Tam Điệp	2017	13.2%	55.4%	0.2%
Vietnam	Tam Dương	2000	6.0%	29.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Tam Dương	2017	11.9%	45.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Tam Đường	2000	9.1%	25.4%	1.5%
Vietnam	Tam Đường	2017	14.5%	36.2%	3.1%
Vietnam	Tam Kỳ	2000	45.7%	70.7%	22.1%
Vietnam	Tam Kỳ	2017	64.1%	82.6%	36.4%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2000	31.7%	52.0%	10.6%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2000	10.4%	26.6%	1.4%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2017	41.2%	60.8%	21.0%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2017	18.4%	37.3%	4.5%
Vietnam	Tân An	2000	8.2%	36.4%	1.4%
Vietnam	Tân An	2017	18.6%	60.5%	4.1%
Vietnam	Tân Biên	2000	5.3%	17.2%	0.9%
Vietnam	Tân Biên	2017	10.0%	25.3%	2.1%
Vietnam	Tân Bình	2000	43.1%	45.3%	40.2%
Vietnam	Tân Bình	2017	70.4%	72.0%	68.6%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2000	31.8%	55.1%	15.7%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2000	5.3%	14.3%	1.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2017	10.3%	24.3%	2.9%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2017	52.0%	74.7%	29.9%
Vietnam	Tân Hiệp	2000	9.4%	28.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Tân Hiệp	2017	16.0%	40.0%	1.5%
Vietnam	Tân Hồng	2000	9.5%	35.1%	0.3%
Vietnam	Tân Hồng	2017	18.3%	55.7%	1.2%
Vietnam	Tân Hưng	2000	6.0%	21.0%	0.4%
Vietnam	Tân Hưng	2017	11.2%	32.8%	1.2%
Vietnam	Tân Kỳ	2000	10.6%	33.1%	1.0%
Vietnam	Tân Kỳ	2017	17.9%	44.5%	2.8%
Vietnam	Tân Lạc	2000	7.7%	26.6%	0.5%
Vietnam	Tân Lạc	2017	13.6%	38.9%	1.6%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2000	29.5%	31.4%	27.7%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2000	6.0%	19.7%	0.8%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2017	55.3%	57.6%	53.3%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2017	11.1%	31.0%	2.1%
Vietnam	Tân Phú Đông	2000	4.5%	22.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Tân Phú Đông	2017	9.1%	34.5%	0.5%
Vietnam	Tân Phước	2000	3.3%	13.1%	0.2%
Vietnam	Tân Phước	2017	7.2%	24.1%	0.8%
Vietnam	Tân Sơn	2000	14.8%	37.4%	4.2%
Vietnam	Tân Sơn	2017	23.1%	47.1%	8.1%
Vietnam	Tân Thành	2000	10.6%	36.2%	1.2%
Vietnam	Tân Thành	2017	19.4%	48.5%	3.6%
Vietnam	Tân Thạnh	2000	7.3%	26.6%	0.7%
Vietnam	Tân Thạnh	2017	14.2%	42.9%	1.9%
Vietnam	Tân Trụ	2000	10.0%	34.9%	1.7%
Vietnam	Tân Trụ	2017	19.5%	50.4%	5.1%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2000	6.8%	20.0%	1.4%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2000	2.7%	17.0%	0.2%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2017	7.0%	26.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2017	12.0%	33.6%	3.0%
Vietnam	Tân Yên	2000	3.9%	17.0%	0.8%
Vietnam	Tân Yên	2017	9.1%	25.7%	2.1%
Vietnam	Tánh Linh	2000	7.6%	23.3%	1.0%
Vietnam	Tánh Linh	2017	13.5%	35.9%	2.6%
Vietnam	Tây Giang	2000	10.5%	26.4%	1.8%
Vietnam	Tây Giang	2017	17.6%	35.6%	5.0%
Vietnam	Tây Hồ	2000	40.1%	51.5%	29.0%
Vietnam	Tây Hồ	2017	67.7%	76.2%	56.6%
Vietnam	Tây Hoà	2000	9.5%	30.5%	0.6%
Vietnam	Tây Hoà	2017	15.4%	41.0%	1.7%
Vietnam	Tây Ninh	2000	1.8%	6.1%	0.3%
Vietnam	Tây Ninh	2017	4.9%	15.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Tây Sơn	2000	12.2%	26.8%	4.1%
Vietnam	Tây Sơn	2017	24.7%	42.7%	10.7%
Vietnam	Tây Trà	2000	7.8%	31.0%	0.4%
Vietnam	Tây Trà	2017	13.8%	44.3%	1.3%
Vietnam	Thạch An	2000	8.8%	24.9%	1.3%
Vietnam	Thạch An	2017	14.4%	40.2%	3.0%
Vietnam	Thạch Hà	2000	44.8%	60.0%	32.7%
Vietnam	Thạch Hà	2017	56.0%	71.3%	43.9%
Vietnam	Thạch Thành	2000	10.1%	35.2%	1.1%
Vietnam	Thạch Thành	2017	17.1%	45.9%	3.3%
Vietnam	Thạch Thất	2000	20.1%	34.6%	9.7%
Vietnam	Thạch Thất	2017	32.8%	50.6%	17.7%
Vietnam	Thái Bình	2000	17.3%	38.6%	4.4%
Vietnam	Thái Bình	2017	32.4%	61.2%	13.6%
Vietnam	Thái Hoà	2000	11.8%	34.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Thái Hoà	2017	19.8%	44.8%	3.4%
Vietnam	Thái Nguyên	2000	20.0%	32.8%	13.7%
Vietnam	Thái Nguyên	2017	42.9%	56.3%	31.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Thái Thụy	2000	3.6%	16.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Thái Thụy	2017	7.2%	21.8%	0.4%
Vietnam	Than Uyên	2000	3.2%	10.3%	0.3%
Vietnam	Than Uyên	2017	5.7%	16.3%	0.8%
Vietnam	Thăng Bình	2000	10.3%	32.9%	1.4%
Vietnam	Thăng Bình	2017	16.9%	46.3%	3.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Ba	2000	30.9%	35.7%	25.1%
Vietnam	Thanh Ba	2017	38.6%	43.7%	33.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Bình	2000	17.3%	44.6%	2.8%
Vietnam	Thanh Bình	2017	28.9%	58.9%	6.6%
Vietnam	Thanh Chương	2000	10.9%	22.0%	4.4%
Vietnam	Thanh Chương	2017	17.8%	32.5%	8.8%
Vietnam	Thanh Hà	2000	19.1%	48.1%	4.6%
Vietnam	Thanh Hà	2017	32.0%	63.6%	10.8%
Vietnam	Thanh Hóa	2000	68.3%	79.0%	58.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Hóa	2017	73.9%	85.1%	63.8%
Vietnam	Thạch Hóa	2000	7.2%	33.1%	0.2%
Vietnam	Thạch Hóa	2017	13.9%	46.6%	0.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Khê	2000	45.8%	50.2%	41.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Khê	2017	71.3%	75.2%	67.1%
Vietnam	Thanh Liêm	2000	5.1%	24.2%	0.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Liêm	2017	9.2%	32.7%	0.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Miện	2000	1.8%	8.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Thanh Miện	2017	4.1%	15.6%	0.4%
Vietnam	Thanh Oai	2000	6.7%	16.0%	1.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Oai	2017	12.1%	23.1%	3.8%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Bắc Kạn	2000	16.8%	30.5%	6.5%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Bắc Kạn	2017	33.7%	53.1%	16.6%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Đồng Hới	2000	16.8%	60.4%	0.2%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Đồng Hới	2017	25.2%	75.0%	0.6%
Vietnam	Thạch Phú	2000	9.7%	28.6%	0.6%
Vietnam	Thạch Phú	2017	17.9%	46.3%	2.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Sơn	2000	4.7%	14.0%	0.4%
Vietnam	Thanh Sơn	2017	8.8%	22.8%	1.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Thủy	2000	2.3%	12.1%	0.1%
Vietnam	Thanh Thủy	2017	6.1%	24.4%	0.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Trì	2000	84.6%	92.1%	69.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Trì	2017	91.4%	95.3%	81.2%
Vietnam	Thạch Trị	2000	9.7%	34.0%	1.2%
Vietnam	Thạch Trị	2017	18.0%	45.4%	3.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Xuân	2000	79.4%	82.5%	74.8%
Vietnam	Thanh Xuân	2017	91.4%	92.9%	89.5%
Vietnam	Tháp Mười	2000	10.8%	33.2%	1.6%
Vietnam	Tháp Mười	2017	19.1%	48.7%	5.1%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Buôn Hồ	2000	5.6%	13.9%	1.8%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Buôn Hồ	2017	13.0%	25.8%	5.5%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Mường Lay	2000	53.6%	80.7%	33.7%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Mường Lay	2017	62.8%	89.0%	41.3%
Vietnam	Thịệu Hóa	2000	5.0%	16.7%	0.4%
Vietnam	Thịệu Hóa	2017	9.1%	26.3%	1.3%
Vietnam	Thọ Xuân	2000	6.0%	17.7%	1.2%
Vietnam	Thọ Xuân	2017	10.6%	27.7%	3.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Thoại Sơn	2000	14.7%	34.2%	3.4%
Vietnam	Thoại Sơn	2017	24.7%	51.0%	8.0%
Vietnam	Thới Bình	2000	10.6%	30.8%	1.3%
Vietnam	Thới Bình	2017	19.4%	44.9%	3.7%
Vietnam	Thới Lai	2000	5.8%	18.4%	1.1%
Vietnam	Thới Lai	2017	13.9%	33.5%	4.2%
Vietnam	Thống Nhất	2000	10.5%	35.2%	0.4%
Vietnam	Thống Nhất	2017	19.1%	49.3%	1.3%
Vietnam	Thông Nông	2000	15.2%	31.3%	7.9%
Vietnam	Thông Nông	2017	20.7%	40.3%	10.3%
Vietnam	Thốt Nốt	2000	10.3%	32.3%	1.0%
Vietnam	Thốt Nốt	2017	17.9%	40.1%	2.8%
Vietnam	Thủ Dầu Một	2000	6.1%	8.3%	4.6%
Vietnam	Thủ Dầu Một	2017	18.6%	25.7%	14.7%
Vietnam	Thủ Đức	2000	27.2%	30.7%	24.1%
Vietnam	Thủ Đức	2017	47.5%	51.6%	43.1%
Vietnam	Thủ Thừa	2000	9.0%	20.9%	2.5%
Vietnam	Thủ Thừa	2017	20.8%	40.0%	9.8%
Vietnam	Thuận An	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.4%
Vietnam	Thuận An	2017	6.9%	8.4%	5.7%
Vietnam	Thuận Bắc	2000	22.5%	54.1%	4.1%
Vietnam	Thuận Bắc	2017	34.9%	67.3%	9.5%
Vietnam	Thuận Châu	2000	7.5%	18.4%	1.5%
Vietnam	Thuận Châu	2017	12.7%	26.6%	3.6%
Vietnam	Thuận Nam	2000	15.9%	41.1%	3.6%
Vietnam	Thuận Nam	2017	25.0%	52.3%	6.9%
Vietnam	Thuận Thành	2000	30.9%	61.6%	12.1%
Vietnam	Thuận Thành	2017	49.3%	79.7%	23.8%
Vietnam	Thường Tín	2000	13.2%	27.1%	4.0%
Vietnam	Thường Tín	2017	22.2%	38.0%	10.2%
Vietnam	Thường Xuân	2000	21.5%	33.6%	14.1%
Vietnam	Thường Xuân	2017	28.8%	43.3%	18.3%
Vietnam	Thủy Nguyên	2000	47.3%	62.7%	37.5%
Vietnam	Thủy Nguyên	2017	57.9%	77.3%	44.5%
Vietnam	Tiên Du	2000	7.3%	35.2%	1.2%
Vietnam	Tiên Du	2017	13.3%	48.0%	2.4%
Vietnam	Tiền Hải	2000	3.3%	18.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Tiền Hải	2017	8.3%	33.4%	0.3%
Vietnam	Tiền Lãng	2000	7.3%	28.8%	0.5%
Vietnam	Tiền Lãng	2017	14.8%	46.3%	1.5%
Vietnam	Tiên Lữ	2000	2.4%	15.4%	0.0%
Vietnam	Tiên Lữ	2017	4.6%	24.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Tiên Phước	2000	6.0%	21.0%	0.3%
Vietnam	Tiên Phước	2017	11.2%	32.1%	0.8%
Vietnam	Tiên Yên	2000	15.9%	33.4%	3.6%
Vietnam	Tiên Yên	2017	29.9%	53.1%	10.6%
Vietnam	Tiểu Cần	2000	3.4%	12.5%	0.4%
Vietnam	Tiểu Cần	2017	8.4%	26.0%	1.5%
Vietnam	Tịnh Biên	2000	13.2%	37.0%	2.7%
Vietnam	Tịnh Biên	2017	23.5%	52.7%	6.4%
Vietnam	Tĩnh Gia	2000	7.4%	26.2%	0.5%
Vietnam	Tĩnh Gia	2017	14.4%	39.1%	1.8%
Vietnam	Trà Bồng	2000	6.5%	26.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Trà Bồng	2017	11.8%	38.9%	1.2%
Vietnam	Trà Cú	2000	5.6%	20.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Trà Cú	2017	10.4%	32.5%	1.1%
Vietnam	Trà Lĩnh	2000	11.2%	31.0%	1.7%
Vietnam	Trà Lĩnh	2017	20.7%	43.1%	4.7%
Vietnam	Trà Ôn	2000	10.6%	29.2%	2.0%
Vietnam	Trà Ôn	2017	19.7%	43.9%	5.5%
Vietnam	Trà Vinh	2000	11.2%	34.1%	2.6%
Vietnam	Trà Vinh	2017	23.0%	52.3%	7.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Trạm Tầu	2000	8.9%	23.7%	1.9%
Vietnam	Trạm Tầu	2017	14.5%	35.2%	4.2%
Vietnam	Trần Đề	2000	8.4%	28.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Trần Đề	2017	15.3%	41.6%	1.1%
Vietnam	Trần Văn Thời	2000	9.8%	24.2%	1.8%
Vietnam	Trần Văn Thời	2017	16.8%	35.3%	4.2%
Vietnam	Trần Yên	2000	31.1%	44.8%	20.3%
Vietnam	Trần Yên	2017	43.9%	59.9%	30.9%
Vietnam	Trảng Bàng	2000	5.7%	20.7%	0.7%
Vietnam	Trảng Bàng	2017	11.9%	32.6%	2.2%
Vietnam	Trảng Bom	2000	3.2%	12.6%	0.5%
Vietnam	Trảng Bom	2017	8.7%	24.5%	2.6%
Vietnam	Trảng Định	2000	6.7%	16.8%	1.7%
Vietnam	Trảng Định	2017	13.4%	27.7%	4.4%
Vietnam	Tri Tôn	2000	10.1%	31.4%	1.4%
Vietnam	Tri Tôn	2017	20.1%	48.2%	4.5%
Vietnam	Triệu Phong	2000	14.0%	34.9%	3.3%
Vietnam	Triệu Phong	2017	24.7%	50.0%	8.0%
Vietnam	Triệu Sơn	2000	7.7%	28.2%	0.9%
Vietnam	Triệu Sơn	2017	13.5%	38.6%	2.6%
Vietnam	Trực Ninh	2000	4.7%	15.8%	0.9%
Vietnam	Trực Ninh	2017	9.7%	24.6%	2.5%
Vietnam	Trùng Khánh	2000	7.6%	25.5%	0.5%
Vietnam	Trùng Khánh	2017	14.3%	46.9%	1.2%
Vietnam	Tứ Kỳ	2000	19.8%	44.4%	5.7%
Vietnam	Tứ Kỳ	2017	32.1%	56.0%	12.8%
Vietnam	Tu Mơ Rông	2000	7.7%	18.2%	1.3%
Vietnam	Tu Mơ Rông	2017	13.2%	27.3%	3.0%
Vietnam	Tư Nghĩa	2000	3.2%	14.5%	0.3%
Vietnam	Tư Nghĩa	2017	6.4%	20.2%	0.9%
Vietnam	Từ Sơn	2000	4.0%	23.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Từ Sơn	2017	8.7%	33.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Tủa Chùa	2000	6.4%	22.1%	0.5%
Vietnam	Tủa Chùa	2017	11.7%	34.9%	1.5%
Vietnam	Tuần Giáo	2000	6.3%	16.5%	1.5%
Vietnam	Tuần Giáo	2017	12.1%	28.1%	3.6%
Vietnam	Tương Dương	2000	6.7%	15.0%	2.1%
Vietnam	Tương Dương	2017	11.4%	24.8%	4.3%
Vietnam	Tuy An	2000	11.6%	30.9%	3.1%
Vietnam	Tuy An	2017	22.9%	47.5%	9.0%
Vietnam	Tuy Đức	2000	4.9%	15.2%	0.9%
Vietnam	Tuy Đức	2017	9.8%	24.2%	2.6%
Vietnam	Tuy Hoà	2000	6.9%	23.6%	0.7%
Vietnam	Tuy Hoà	2017	18.0%	42.0%	3.3%
Vietnam	Tuy Phong	2000	16.8%	33.9%	8.0%
Vietnam	Tuy Phong	2017	30.7%	51.1%	17.6%
Vietnam	Tuy Phước	2000	16.7%	41.9%	4.4%
Vietnam	Tuy Phước	2017	31.4%	59.4%	10.4%
Vietnam	Tuyên Hóa	2000	8.3%	23.6%	1.3%
Vietnam	Tuyên Hóa	2017	14.4%	36.8%	3.0%
Vietnam	Tuyên Quang	2000	3.7%	16.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Tuyên Quang	2017	8.0%	26.2%	0.6%
Vietnam	U Minh	2000	15.0%	29.4%	4.8%
Vietnam	U Minh	2017	25.6%	47.4%	9.3%
Vietnam	U Minh Thượng	2000	5.2%	24.3%	0.3%
Vietnam	U Minh Thượng	2017	10.9%	34.0%	0.9%
Vietnam	Ứng Hòa	2000	3.1%	17.7%	0.1%
Vietnam	Ứng Hòa	2017	6.2%	25.8%	0.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Uông Bí	2000	7.3%	23.5%	1.3%
Vietnam	Uông Bí	2017	14.5%	36.3%	3.9%
Vietnam	Văn Bàn	2000	9.5%	20.7%	3.3%
Vietnam	Văn Bàn	2017	16.4%	28.9%	7.5%
Vietnam	Vân Canh	2000	9.6%	29.7%	0.9%
Vietnam	Vân Canh	2017	16.5%	42.9%	2.7%
Vietnam	Văn Chấn	2000	4.5%	13.6%	0.9%
Vietnam	Văn Chấn	2017	8.1%	17.9%	2.3%
Vietnam	Vân Đồn	2000	7.4%	19.0%	1.5%
Vietnam	Vân Đồn	2017	13.6%	28.8%	3.6%
Vietnam	Văn Giang	2000	17.0%	30.8%	12.5%
Vietnam	Văn Giang	2017	34.0%	52.3%	27.2%
Vietnam	Vân Hồ	2000	8.2%	20.4%	1.5%
Vietnam	Vân Hồ	2017	15.3%	33.2%	4.1%
Vietnam	Văn Lâm	2000	11.5%	49.0%	0.8%
Vietnam	Văn Lâm	2017	22.7%	65.4%	2.4%
Vietnam	Văn Lãng	2000	8.3%	27.0%	0.7%
Vietnam	Văn Lãng	2017	14.3%	42.8%	1.8%
Vietnam	Vạn Ninh	2000	18.1%	41.3%	2.2%
Vietnam	Vạn Ninh	2017	28.9%	55.8%	6.2%
Vietnam	Văn Quan	2000	7.3%	25.6%	0.5%
Vietnam	Văn Quan	2017	14.0%	45.2%	1.3%
Vietnam	Văn Yên	2000	8.6%	22.2%	1.0%
Vietnam	Văn Yên	2017	14.4%	31.8%	2.7%
Vietnam	Vị Thanh	2000	7.0%	34.8%	0.5%
Vietnam	Vị Thanh	2017	15.4%	50.2%	1.8%
Vietnam	Vị Thủy	2000	9.5%	31.4%	2.0%
Vietnam	Vị Thủy	2017	19.4%	46.4%	6.3%
Vietnam	Vị Xuyên	2000	7.2%	19.6%	1.7%
Vietnam	Vị Xuyên	2017	12.9%	27.8%	4.5%
Vietnam	Việt Trì	2000	23.8%	42.4%	12.3%
Vietnam	Việt Trì	2017	41.4%	58.4%	25.7%
Vietnam	Việt Yên	2000	3.6%	10.5%	1.2%
Vietnam	Việt Yên	2017	9.0%	21.5%	3.0%
Vietnam	Vinh	2000	55.1%	65.0%	46.8%
Vietnam	Vinh	2017	70.7%	77.3%	63.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Bảo	2000	11.4%	46.4%	0.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Bảo	2017	18.8%	61.1%	0.7%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Châu	2000	9.9%	24.4%	1.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Châu	2017	17.3%	36.6%	4.5%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Cửu	2000	5.0%	13.1%	0.7%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Cửu	2017	9.9%	22.9%	2.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Hưng	2000	7.1%	21.3%	0.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Hưng	2017	13.6%	36.5%	2.1%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Linh	2000	10.1%	31.8%	1.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Linh	2017	18.2%	49.6%	3.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lộc	2000	30.5%	57.5%	5.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lộc	2017	43.7%	65.4%	12.7%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lợi	2000	16.2%	31.5%	7.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lợi	2017	29.3%	48.6%	17.7%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Long	2000	37.2%	61.5%	23.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Long	2017	50.1%	77.7%	32.7%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2000	9.0%	27.3%	1.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2000	6.7%	20.5%	0.3%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2017	18.0%	44.5%	4.1%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2017	12.0%	31.7%	1.0%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thuận	2000	8.5%	30.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thuận	2017	15.3%	48.5%	1.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Tường	2000	9.8%	22.6%	3.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Tường	2017	20.7%	43.9%	9.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Yên	2000	0.5%	3.0%	0.0%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Yên	2017	1.2%	6.0%	0.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Võ Nhài	2000	13.0%	29.1%	4.4%
Vietnam	Võ Nhài	2017	21.6%	39.5%	8.6%
Vietnam	Vụ Bản	2000	49.3%	70.1%	30.5%
Vietnam	Vụ Bản	2017	63.0%	81.3%	44.7%
Vietnam	Vũ Quang	2000	7.4%	24.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Vũ Quang	2017	13.1%	36.6%	1.6%
Vietnam	Vũ Thư	2000	23.4%	40.0%	10.8%
Vietnam	Vũ Thư	2017	39.7%	55.5%	21.3%
Vietnam	Vũng Liêm	2000	13.2%	44.6%	1.5%
Vietnam	Vũng Liêm	2017	22.0%	59.3%	3.2%
Vietnam	Vũng Tàu	2000	46.2%	62.1%	33.0%
Vietnam	Vũng Tàu	2017	64.4%	79.4%	49.2%
Vietnam	Xín Mần	2000	7.7%	20.3%	1.1%
Vietnam	Xín Mần	2017	14.3%	32.7%	3.2%
Vietnam	Xuân Lộc	2000	10.3%	25.7%	2.3%
Vietnam	Xuân Lộc	2017	21.8%	43.7%	7.5%
Vietnam	Xuân Trường	2000	13.0%	43.7%	3.5%
Vietnam	Xuân Trường	2017	23.8%	59.1%	7.6%
Vietnam	Xuyên Mộc	2000	10.7%	26.6%	2.3%
Vietnam	Xuyên Mộc	2017	18.6%	41.7%	5.4%
Vietnam	Ý Yên	2000	13.3%	37.2%	1.7%
Vietnam	Ý Yên	2017	24.5%	53.5%	5.2%
Vietnam	Yên Bái	2000	26.9%	39.9%	16.1%
Vietnam	Yên Bái	2017	43.7%	59.0%	28.6%
Vietnam	Yên Bình	2000	13.4%	31.6%	3.9%
Vietnam	Yên Bình	2017	20.9%	46.1%	6.6%
Vietnam	Yên Châu	2000	10.3%	29.8%	1.1%
Vietnam	Yên Châu	2017	18.2%	42.8%	3.1%
Vietnam	Yên Định	2000	21.8%	50.1%	1.7%
Vietnam	Yên Định	2017	32.8%	63.6%	4.3%
Vietnam	Yên Dũng	2000	27.0%	42.0%	19.5%
Vietnam	Yên Dũng	2017	31.6%	52.7%	22.6%
Vietnam	Yên Khánh	2000	7.4%	23.1%	3.2%
Vietnam	Yên Khánh	2017	16.0%	37.5%	8.6%
Vietnam	Yên Lạc	2000	9.1%	18.0%	2.9%
Vietnam	Yên Lạc	2017	12.8%	24.9%	5.7%
Vietnam	Yên Lập	2000	9.5%	26.9%	0.8%
Vietnam	Yên Lập	2017	15.3%	38.7%	2.1%
Vietnam	Yên Minh	2000	9.4%	29.7%	0.8%
Vietnam	Yên Minh	2017	16.2%	41.1%	2.2%
Vietnam	Yên Mô	2000	1.6%	10.6%	0.0%
Vietnam	Yên Mô	2017	3.4%	20.7%	0.1%
Vietnam	Yên Mỹ	2000	1.6%	13.0%	0.0%
Vietnam	Yên Mỹ	2017	4.6%	24.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Yên Phong	2000	0.9%	5.5%	0.0%
Vietnam	Yên Phong	2017	2.1%	9.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Yên Sơn	2000	9.1%	18.8%	4.0%
Vietnam	Yên Sơn	2017	14.3%	26.9%	6.0%
Vietnam	Yên Thành	2000	4.3%	15.7%	0.4%
Vietnam	Yên Thành	2017	9.1%	28.1%	1.3%
Vietnam	Yên Thế	2000	15.5%	33.1%	6.3%
Vietnam	Yên Thế	2017	22.4%	40.1%	11.5%
Vietnam	Yên Thủy	2000	2.7%	12.4%	0.2%
Vietnam	Yên Thủy	2017	5.9%	18.7%	0.8%
Sub-Saharan Africa					
Angola	Alto Cauale	2000	28.6%	47.7%	13.7%
Angola	Alto Cauale	2017	19.7%	37.3%	7.3%
Angola	Alto Zambeze	2000	28.8%	35.5%	22.8%
Angola	Alto Zambeze	2017	20.5%	26.3%	15.4%
Angola	Ambaca	2000	26.4%	39.7%	14.5%
Angola	Ambaca	2017	17.9%	28.3%	9.4%
Angola	Amboim	2000	25.0%	40.1%	11.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Amboim	2017	14.1%	24.3%	5.7%
Angola	Ambriz	2000	40.9%	60.5%	22.8%
Angola	Ambriz	2017	33.7%	51.1%	19.3%
Angola	Ambuila	2000	39.2%	56.8%	22.0%
Angola	Ambuila	2017	29.0%	44.5%	15.8%
Angola	Andulo	2000	27.4%	37.3%	17.3%
Angola	Andulo	2017	19.1%	27.9%	11.0%
Angola	Baía Farta	2000	46.9%	60.4%	34.8%
Angola	Baía Farta	2017	39.1%	49.6%	29.4%
Angola	Bailundo	2000	27.6%	38.1%	17.0%
Angola	Bailundo	2017	18.5%	27.0%	10.4%
Angola	Balombo	2000	22.0%	37.2%	9.4%
Angola	Balombo	2017	14.5%	27.0%	5.0%
Angola	Banga	2000	35.2%	70.8%	10.8%
Angola	Banga	2017	25.7%	63.6%	5.7%
Angola	Belize	2000	22.4%	42.2%	7.7%
Angola	Belize	2017	12.8%	29.2%	3.0%
Angola	Bembe	2000	30.8%	47.5%	15.1%
Angola	Bembe	2017	21.6%	35.6%	10.5%
Angola	Benguela	2000	60.1%	69.2%	52.4%
Angola	Benguela	2017	57.9%	62.6%	54.4%
Angola	Bibala	2000	43.8%	52.4%	35.0%
Angola	Bibala	2017	35.3%	44.9%	26.9%
Angola	Bocoio	2000	31.3%	46.8%	18.5%
Angola	Bocoio	2017	21.7%	33.9%	11.7%
Angola	Bolongongo	2000	46.6%	68.0%	26.0%
Angola	Bolongongo	2017	36.5%	57.0%	19.8%
Angola	Buco Zau	2000	35.9%	65.2%	16.0%
Angola	Buco Zau	2017	28.5%	47.8%	14.4%
Angola	Buengas	2000	25.6%	40.4%	12.0%
Angola	Buengas	2017	17.9%	32.1%	6.3%
Angola	Bula Atumba	2000	23.7%	48.5%	7.1%
Angola	Bula Atumba	2017	15.6%	37.1%	3.2%
Angola	Bungo	2000	26.2%	46.1%	9.7%
Angola	Bungo	2017	16.7%	37.5%	4.5%
Angola	Caála	2000	24.4%	40.0%	12.3%
Angola	Caála	2017	14.9%	27.8%	6.1%
Angola	Cabinda	2000	34.7%	46.2%	24.4%
Angola	Cabinda	2017	34.5%	47.3%	26.3%
Angola	Cacolo	2000	29.0%	40.0%	19.3%
Angola	Cacolo	2017	19.7%	28.0%	12.0%
Angola	Caconda	2000	30.5%	45.1%	16.9%
Angola	Caconda	2017	19.7%	33.1%	8.9%
Angola	Cacuaco	2000	80.4%	82.5%	77.9%
Angola	Cacuaco	2017	69.3%	71.0%	67.4%
Angola	Cacuzo	2000	29.4%	40.9%	18.7%
Angola	Cacuzo	2017	21.7%	34.4%	11.2%
Angola	Cahama	2000	32.5%	46.8%	20.0%
Angola	Cahama	2017	23.6%	34.6%	14.8%
Angola	Caiambambo	2000	43.8%	62.7%	25.7%
Angola	Caiambambo	2017	33.7%	53.0%	17.1%
Angola	Calai	2000	34.6%	48.1%	23.1%
Angola	Calai	2017	23.3%	37.3%	14.0%
Angola	Calandula	2000	28.5%	40.2%	16.5%
Angola	Calandula	2017	18.9%	28.1%	9.8%
Angola	Caluquembe	2000	28.6%	43.0%	15.0%
Angola	Caluquembe	2017	18.8%	30.2%	8.6%
Angola	Camacuio	2000	33.4%	43.6%	22.4%
Angola	Camacuio	2017	23.1%	32.5%	14.9%
Angola	Camacupa	2000	29.5%	40.6%	17.9%
Angola	Camacupa	2017	19.3%	29.4%	11.1%
Angola	Camanongue	2000	28.3%	40.2%	17.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Camanongue	2017	18.5%	28.2%	10.1%
Angola	Cambambe	2000	45.7%	60.8%	31.7%
Angola	Cambambe	2017	40.5%	50.4%	31.5%
Angola	Cambulo	2000	28.7%	39.0%	19.5%
Angola	Cambulo	2017	19.1%	28.0%	11.9%
Angola	Cambundi-Catembo	2000	31.4%	47.5%	17.4%
Angola	Cambundi-Catembo	2017	21.8%	35.1%	11.8%
Angola	Cameia	2000	19.1%	28.1%	11.5%
Angola	Cameia	2017	12.6%	18.9%	6.5%
Angola	Cangandala	2000	26.9%	41.5%	15.1%
Angola	Cangandala	2017	19.9%	32.0%	11.3%
Angola	Caombo	2000	28.2%	45.8%	14.4%
Angola	Caombo	2017	20.2%	35.9%	9.3%
Angola	Capenda	2000	33.9%	48.0%	23.1%
Angola	Capenda	2017	23.3%	35.8%	14.4%
Angola	Cassongue	2000	31.7%	48.0%	18.2%
Angola	Cassongue	2017	21.6%	35.4%	11.4%
Angola	Catabola	2000	33.0%	47.2%	19.1%
Angola	Catabola	2017	22.1%	34.9%	11.1%
Angola	Catchiungo	2000	20.2%	33.3%	8.9%
Angola	Catchiungo	2017	13.8%	25.5%	4.8%
Angola	Caungula	2000	33.1%	45.8%	22.6%
Angola	Caungula	2017	22.6%	32.2%	14.7%
Angola	Cazenga	2000	82.2%	82.8%	81.5%
Angola	Cazenga	2017	63.9%	65.2%	62.8%
Angola	Cazengo	2000	76.0%	86.5%	65.1%
Angola	Cazengo	2017	77.0%	84.3%	70.3%
Angola	Chibia	2000	33.8%	47.1%	22.1%
Angola	Chibia	2017	23.5%	38.0%	13.2%
Angola	Chicomba	2000	43.6%	55.1%	31.7%
Angola	Chicomba	2017	31.4%	42.4%	20.5%
Angola	Chinguar	2000	23.0%	38.1%	10.5%
Angola	Chinguar	2017	14.8%	28.3%	5.2%
Angola	Chipindo	2000	35.2%	55.0%	16.8%
Angola	Chipindo	2017	24.1%	38.9%	11.9%
Angola	Chitato	2000	35.2%	45.1%	26.5%
Angola	Chitato	2017	30.7%	38.6%	23.7%
Angola	Chitembo	2000	30.6%	38.1%	22.9%
Angola	Chitembo	2017	21.3%	27.8%	15.3%
Angola	Chongoroi	2000	37.5%	51.5%	22.7%
Angola	Chongoroi	2017	27.0%	39.6%	15.0%
Angola	Conda	2000	33.0%	56.0%	16.9%
Angola	Conda	2017	21.9%	40.7%	10.0%
Angola	Cuaba Nzogo	2000	33.2%	51.1%	18.7%
Angola	Cuaba Nzogo	2017	23.3%	39.5%	10.6%
Angola	Cuangar	2000	33.5%	45.8%	22.6%
Angola	Cuangar	2017	24.1%	35.5%	13.2%
Angola	Cuango	2000	39.0%	55.6%	27.2%
Angola	Cuango	2017	27.8%	41.0%	19.5%
Angola	Cuanhama	2000	38.1%	48.0%	29.2%
Angola	Cuanhama	2017	30.1%	38.8%	23.3%
Angola	Cubal	2000	34.2%	51.1%	21.0%
Angola	Cubal	2017	23.8%	37.6%	13.3%
Angola	Cuchi	2000	30.1%	39.8%	20.8%
Angola	Cuchi	2017	20.7%	29.5%	12.7%
Angola	Cuemba	2000	31.8%	44.2%	21.9%
Angola	Cuemba	2017	22.8%	33.3%	14.2%
Angola	Cuilo	2000	32.2%	42.6%	22.4%
Angola	Cuilo	2017	23.0%	31.7%	15.1%
Angola	Cuimba	2000	42.5%	62.5%	28.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Cuimba	2017	31.4%	45.2%	20.1%
Angola	Cuito Cuanavale	2000	33.4%	40.9%	26.3%
Angola	Cuito Cuanavale	2017	24.3%	31.8%	18.2%
Angola	Cunda-dia-Baza	2000	29.2%	47.0%	13.4%
Angola	Cunda-dia-Baza	2017	20.0%	37.5%	7.5%
Angola	Cunhinga	2000	22.3%	40.4%	8.7%
Angola	Cunhinga	2017	15.4%	32.0%	4.5%
Angola	Curoca	2000	30.5%	48.5%	17.1%
Angola	Curoca	2017	21.7%	34.0%	12.0%
Angola	Cuvelai	2000	37.7%	48.1%	26.6%
Angola	Cuvelai	2017	27.5%	37.4%	18.7%
Angola	Dala	2000	30.5%	40.4%	21.0%
Angola	Dala	2017	21.1%	29.9%	13.8%
Angola	Damba	2000	30.2%	43.5%	20.0%
Angola	Damba	2017	21.3%	32.8%	12.1%
Angola	Dande	2000	40.7%	52.1%	30.4%
Angola	Dande	2017	27.6%	37.1%	19.6%
Angola	Dembos	2000	29.8%	53.4%	11.2%
Angola	Dembos	2017	18.3%	37.2%	5.0%
Angola	Dirico	2000	36.8%	48.7%	27.6%
Angola	Dirico	2017	29.4%	42.3%	20.6%
Angola	Ebo	2000	39.4%	55.2%	25.0%
Angola	Ebo	2017	26.4%	40.0%	14.6%
Angola	Ekunha	2000	25.9%	47.3%	7.7%
Angola	Ekunha	2017	17.3%	37.0%	3.7%
Angola	Gambos	2000	36.7%	53.0%	22.1%
Angola	Gambos	2017	27.0%	40.0%	15.8%
Angola	Ganda	2000	34.3%	48.6%	20.5%
Angola	Ganda	2017	22.6%	34.1%	12.2%
Angola	Golungo Alto	2000	42.2%	58.4%	28.3%
Angola	Golungo Alto	2017	32.2%	45.9%	22.4%
Angola	Huambo	2000	27.5%	36.9%	20.7%
Angola	Huambo	2017	15.1%	20.7%	11.3%
Angola	Humpata	2000	38.2%	63.2%	21.9%
Angola	Humpata	2017	28.8%	49.2%	19.1%
Angola	Icolo e Bengo	2000	37.5%	52.4%	22.7%
Angola	Icolo e Bengo	2017	23.0%	42.2%	10.6%
Angola	Ingombota	2000	86.0%	88.4%	81.5%
Angola	Ingombota	2017	74.1%	77.4%	70.7%
Angola	Jamba	2000	37.3%	52.3%	24.1%
Angola	Jamba	2017	27.4%	39.8%	16.6%
Angola	Kilamba	2000	76.0%	76.9%	74.9%
Angola	Kiaxi				
Angola	Kilamba	2017	50.1%	51.4%	48.7%
Angola	Kuito	2000	27.0%	41.0%	15.3%
Angola	Kuito	2017	18.1%	28.4%	10.0%
Angola	Kuvango	2000	35.2%	49.0%	23.0%
Angola	Kuvango	2017	25.2%	37.5%	14.7%
Angola	Landana	2000	31.7%	59.2%	8.8%
Angola	Landana	2017	23.5%	51.7%	4.6%
Angola	Léua	2000	20.2%	37.6%	8.3%
Angola	Léua	2017	12.7%	26.3%	4.0%
Angola	Libolo	2000	34.2%	53.8%	21.6%
Angola	Libolo	2017	23.3%	39.2%	13.3%
Angola	Lobito	2000	68.3%	80.4%	56.4%
Angola	Lobito	2017	68.9%	77.2%	60.8%
Angola	Londumbale	2000	36.1%	52.0%	20.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Londumbale	2017	25.6%	40.1%	12.0%
Angola	Longonjo	2000	25.8%	51.7%	9.8%
Angola	Longonjo	2017	18.3%	41.9%	6.5%
Angola	Luau	2000	25.2%	36.6%	15.4%
Angola	Luau	2017	20.5%	30.1%	12.9%
Angola	Lubalo	2000	31.8%	43.9%	22.7%
Angola	Lubalo	2017	22.7%	33.2%	15.0%
Angola	Lubango	2000	29.0%	40.7%	18.5%
Angola	Lubango	2017	16.4%	22.0%	11.4%
Angola	Lucala	2000	48.3%	65.6%	28.1%
Angola	Lucala	2017	34.2%	49.2%	18.5%
Angola	Lucano	2000	27.3%	39.8%	18.8%
Angola	Lucano	2017	18.0%	26.0%	11.8%
Angola	Lucapa	2000	36.1%	46.1%	27.6%
Angola	Lucapa	2017	25.3%	33.2%	17.9%
Angola	Luchazes	2000	28.9%	34.5%	22.7%
Angola	Luchazes	2017	20.6%	25.3%	15.7%
Angola	Lumbala-Nguimbo	2000	31.8%	38.4%	25.5%
Angola	Lumbala-Nguimbo	2017	23.8%	30.9%	17.8%
Angola	Luquembo	2000	29.5%	39.2%	19.9%
Angola	Luquembo	2017	20.6%	28.7%	13.3%
Angola	M'Banza Congo	2000	37.0%	47.2%	27.7%
Angola	M'Banza Congo	2017	24.6%	33.3%	17.0%
Angola	Maianga	2000	85.4%	86.3%	84.6%
Angola	Maianga	2017	67.8%	68.9%	66.6%
Angola	Malanje	2000	23.3%	33.4%	14.8%
Angola	Malanje	2017	15.9%	21.8%	11.5%
Angola	Maquela do Zombo	2000	28.3%	38.9%	17.8%
Angola	Maquela do Zombo	2017	17.9%	26.2%	10.0%
Angola	Marimba	2000	27.3%	40.3%	16.0%
Angola	Marimba	2017	18.8%	30.2%	9.5%
Angola	Massango	2000	25.6%	38.0%	14.4%
Angola	Massango	2017	16.5%	27.9%	8.5%
Angola	Matala	2000	32.8%	42.7%	22.6%
Angola	Matala	2017	23.4%	31.6%	15.5%
Angola	Mavinga	2000	33.8%	42.3%	26.0%
Angola	Mavinga	2017	24.3%	31.6%	18.0%
Angola	Menongue	2000	33.3%	40.3%	26.7%
Angola	Menongue	2017	24.7%	30.0%	19.7%
Angola	Milunga	2000	22.4%	36.6%	11.1%
Angola	Milunga	2017	15.1%	24.6%	6.6%
Angola	Moxico	2000	30.5%	37.7%	24.2%
Angola	Moxico	2017	21.4%	26.5%	16.7%
Angola	Mucaba	2000	28.7%	52.2%	11.4%
Angola	Mucaba	2017	19.5%	40.1%	5.7%
Angola	Mucari	2000	25.1%	44.9%	8.1%
Angola	Mucari	2017	16.8%	32.9%	4.3%
Angola	Muconda	2000	31.2%	39.1%	23.7%
Angola	Muconda	2017	23.1%	29.7%	16.8%
Angola	Mungo	2000	32.1%	47.8%	18.9%
Angola	Mungo	2017	23.4%	38.6%	12.6%
Angola	Mussende	2000	29.1%	40.7%	18.2%
Angola	Mussende	2017	21.0%	31.4%	12.9%
Angola	Muxima	2000	52.0%	68.0%	35.7%
Angola	Muxima	2017	34.1%	49.7%	20.7%
Angola	N'Zeto	2000	30.6%	44.4%	18.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	N'Zeto	2017	19.3%	31.4%	10.2%
Angola	Namakunde	2000	36.2%	48.2%	26.6%
Angola	Namakunde	2017	26.9%	37.8%	18.5%
Angola	Nambuanguongo	2000	32.4%	46.3%	19.8%
Angola	Nambuanguongo	2017	21.8%	34.3%	11.5%
Angola	Namibe	2000	43.0%	50.7%	35.4%
Angola	Namibe	2017	42.0%	46.3%	38.0%
Angola	Nancova	2000	29.0%	42.0%	16.6%
Angola	Nancova	2017	20.2%	32.1%	9.6%
Angola	Negage	2000	39.5%	56.1%	24.4%
Angola	Negage	2017	28.8%	44.2%	17.8%
Angola	Ngonguembo	2000	30.8%	53.4%	9.2%
Angola	Ngonguembo	2017	21.5%	43.6%	4.7%
Angola	Nharea	2000	30.6%	44.5%	17.8%
Angola	Nharea	2017	21.8%	34.4%	11.1%
Angola	Noqui	2000	29.7%	45.7%	14.9%
Angola	Noqui	2017	20.2%	33.3%	9.3%
Angola	Ombadja	2000	33.5%	44.1%	24.9%
Angola	Ombadja	2017	23.2%	32.7%	15.6%
Angola	Pango	2000	27.3%	46.3%	12.6%
Angola	Aluquém				
Angola	Pango	2017	18.2%	35.5%	5.7%
Angola	Porto Am-boim	2000	40.9%	60.4%	23.8%
Angola	Porto Am-boim	2017	33.2%	49.0%	21.3%
Angola	Puri	2000	29.9%	50.2%	15.4%
Angola	Puri	2017	22.6%	41.5%	9.9%
Angola	Quela	2000	36.1%	53.2%	21.4%
Angola	Quela	2017	25.1%	39.2%	14.0%
Angola	Quibala	2000	32.5%	46.6%	21.9%
Angola	Quibala	2017	22.8%	36.5%	13.7%
Angola	Quiculungo	2000	72.0%	86.6%	53.6%
Angola	Quiculungo	2017	65.2%	79.8%	47.4%
Angola	Quilenda	2000	37.3%	60.5%	20.0%
Angola	Quilenda	2017	29.3%	51.6%	14.8%
Angola	Quilengues	2000	34.8%	55.4%	18.8%
Angola	Quilengues	2017	24.3%	43.7%	10.7%
Angola	Quimbele	2000	17.8%	28.5%	8.5%
Angola	Quimbele	2017	11.4%	19.6%	4.7%
Angola	Quirima	2000	30.7%	45.0%	19.4%
Angola	Quirima	2017	22.6%	35.2%	12.3%
Angola	Quitexe	2000	39.8%	57.8%	22.6%
Angola	Quitexe	2017	30.6%	48.8%	16.1%
Angola	Rangel	2000	87.7%	88.3%	87.1%
Angola	Rangel	2017	70.4%	71.6%	69.2%
Angola	Rivungo	2000	32.6%	38.9%	25.9%
Angola	Rivungo	2017	23.5%	29.2%	18.1%
Angola	Samba	2000	63.4%	74.7%	51.5%
Angola	Samba	2017	45.3%	54.3%	38.6%
Angola	Samba Cajú	2000	43.3%	59.9%	26.6%
Angola	Samba Cajú	2017	35.0%	51.6%	20.1%
Angola	Sambizanga	2000	72.3%	74.2%	70.5%
Angola	Sambizanga	2017	50.3%	52.0%	48.9%
Angola	Sanza Pombo	2000	28.3%	48.7%	13.1%
Angola	Sanza Pombo	2017	19.8%	40.4%	7.2%
Angola	Saurimo	2000	27.9%	35.2%	20.6%
Angola	Saurimo	2017	21.3%	27.1%	16.3%
Angola	Seles	2000	33.2%	47.5%	21.2%
Angola	Seles	2017	22.9%	36.0%	12.6%
Angola	Songo	2000	34.7%	50.3%	20.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Songo	2017	24.8%	38.3%	14.3%
Angola	Soyo	2000	36.2%	49.4%	23.8%
Angola	Soyo	2017	31.2%	40.0%	24.1%
Angola	Sumbe	2000	40.6%	57.6%	25.6%
Angola	Sumbe	2017	35.1%	47.1%	23.9%
Angola	Tchicala-Tcholoanga	2000	31.6%	48.1%	19.4%
Angola	Tchicala-Tcholoanga	2017	22.8%	36.9%	12.4%
Angola	Tchindjenje	2000	29.3%	71.5%	4.1%
Angola	Tchindjenje	2017	20.7%	61.8%	2.0%
Angola	Tchipungo	2000	34.4%	51.2%	21.4%
Angola	Tchipungo	2017	24.7%	39.9%	13.1%
Angola	Tomboco	2000	29.7%	42.9%	19.7%
Angola	Tomboco	2017	21.0%	33.0%	12.7%
Angola	Tombwa	2000	41.1%	53.7%	31.2%
Angola	Tombwa	2017	35.2%	43.7%	27.4%
Angola	Uíge	2000	24.4%	41.4%	13.7%
Angola	Uíge	2017	15.6%	22.6%	11.3%
Angola	Ukuma	2000	26.0%	44.6%	11.7%
Angola	Ukuma	2017	18.0%	34.3%	6.4%
Angola	Viana	2000	56.7%	64.1%	49.3%
Angola	Viana	2017	39.7%	44.1%	35.9%
Angola	Virei	2000	33.5%	45.7%	21.8%
Angola	Virei	2017	23.9%	33.7%	15.7%
Angola	Waku Kungo	2000	30.1%	42.0%	18.8%
Angola	Waku Kungo	2017	20.4%	30.9%	11.1%
Angola	Xá Muteba	2000	38.8%	48.4%	29.0%
Angola	Xá Muteba	2017	26.5%	34.3%	19.4%
Benin	Abomey	2000	41.0%	43.7%	38.7%
Benin	Abomey	2017	31.8%	34.4%	29.8%
Benin	Abomey-Calavi	2000	64.7%	65.6%	63.7%
Benin	Abomey-Calavi	2017	51.1%	52.2%	50.1%
Benin	Adja-Ouèrè	2000	35.1%	40.4%	30.6%
Benin	Adja-Ouèrè	2017	28.1%	33.5%	23.9%
Benin	Adjarra	2000	48.6%	50.1%	46.8%
Benin	Adjarra	2017	34.9%	36.3%	33.1%
Benin	Adjohoun	2000	34.8%	38.6%	29.4%
Benin	Adjohoun	2017	28.5%	31.6%	23.4%
Benin	Agbangnizoun	2000	15.6%	17.6%	14.0%
Benin	Agbangnizoun	2017	11.6%	13.1%	10.3%
Benin	Aguégués	2000	87.5%	88.4%	86.6%
Benin	Aguégués	2017	82.2%	83.6%	81.0%
Benin	Akpro-Missérété	2000	49.2%	50.5%	48.1%
Benin	Akpro-Missérété	2017	36.9%	38.1%	35.7%
Benin	Allada	2000	63.6%	66.1%	61.7%
Benin	Allada	2017	58.0%	60.5%	55.9%
Benin	Aplahoué	2000	27.8%	34.9%	21.5%
Benin	Aplahoué	2017	25.3%	32.5%	19.3%
Benin	Athiémé	2000	56.8%	59.8%	53.9%
Benin	Athiémé	2017	50.5%	54.1%	47.3%
Benin	Avrankou	2000	19.0%	20.5%	17.7%
Benin	Avrankou	2017	12.9%	14.0%	12.0%
Benin	Banikoara	2000	25.3%	31.1%	19.4%
Benin	Banikoara	2017	21.4%	27.2%	15.5%
Benin	Bantè	2000	34.4%	44.7%	23.9%
Benin	Bantè	2017	30.0%	38.9%	20.4%
Benin	Bassila	2000	30.6%	38.4%	24.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Benin	Bassila	2017	26.9%	33.6%	21.1%
Benin	Bembéréké	2000	29.7%	39.3%	21.6%
Benin	Bembéréké	2017	26.3%	35.6%	19.5%
Benin	Bohicon	2000	32.5%	34.7%	30.9%
Benin	Bohicon	2017	21.9%	24.9%	20.4%
Benin	Bonou	2000	36.6%	45.7%	28.1%
Benin	Bonou	2017	30.1%	38.2%	23.1%
Benin	Bopa	2000	30.7%	35.9%	27.5%
Benin	Bopa	2017	25.4%	30.2%	22.5%
Benin	Boukoumbé	2000	24.0%	37.5%	13.6%
Benin	Boukoumbé	2017	20.4%	33.2%	11.4%
Benin	Cobly	2000	34.8%	49.2%	21.9%
Benin	Cobly	2017	30.7%	45.0%	18.4%
Benin	Comè	2000	64.1%	67.1%	61.4%
Benin	Comè	2017	56.0%	58.7%	53.1%
Benin	Copargo	2000	19.8%	32.1%	10.0%
Benin	Copargo	2017	14.5%	23.7%	7.1%
Benin	Cotonou	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Benin	Cotonou	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.5%
Benin	Covè	2000	43.6%	54.5%	33.8%
Benin	Covè	2017	34.7%	44.8%	26.0%
Benin	Dangbo	2000	50.0%	51.9%	48.2%
Benin	Dangbo	2017	42.0%	44.2%	40.1%
Benin	Dassa-Zoumè	2000	36.9%	45.0%	28.9%
Benin	Dassa-Zoumè	2017	33.8%	42.9%	26.0%
Benin	Djakotomey	2000	38.5%	41.4%	36.0%
Benin	Djakotomey	2017	30.3%	33.2%	28.0%
Benin	Djidja	2000	26.4%	34.7%	18.9%
Benin	Djidja	2017	22.0%	29.3%	15.3%
Benin	Djougou	2000	28.2%	35.1%	22.1%
Benin	Djougou	2017	22.6%	29.0%	17.4%
Benin	Dogbo	2000	48.4%	51.6%	45.9%
Benin	Dogbo	2017	40.2%	43.5%	37.6%
Benin	Glazoué	2000	30.0%	38.5%	22.1%
Benin	Glazoué	2017	24.9%	32.4%	17.5%
Benin	Gogounou	2000	22.0%	30.4%	14.6%
Benin	Gogounou	2017	18.8%	25.6%	12.1%
Benin	Grand-Popo	2000	57.3%	62.3%	51.2%
Benin	Grand-Popo	2017	48.0%	53.3%	41.6%
Benin	Houéyogbé	2000	37.9%	40.1%	36.0%
Benin	Houéyogbé	2017	31.8%	33.8%	30.2%
Benin	Ifangni	2000	21.2%	24.3%	18.7%
Benin	Ifangni	2017	15.8%	18.0%	14.0%
Benin	Kalalé	2000	25.3%	32.6%	18.2%
Benin	Kalalé	2017	21.6%	28.6%	15.1%
Benin	Kandi	2000	21.5%	32.4%	12.7%
Benin	Kandi	2017	18.6%	27.9%	11.2%
Benin	Karimama	2000	17.5%	23.8%	10.7%
Benin	Karimama	2017	14.9%	20.6%	9.0%
Benin	Kérou	2000	23.7%	33.8%	15.5%
Benin	Kérou	2017	20.1%	28.6%	13.2%
Benin	Kétou	2000	46.6%	53.8%	38.5%
Benin	Kétou	2017	40.3%	48.7%	31.5%
Benin	Klouékanmè	2000	21.1%	22.7%	19.6%
Benin	Klouékanmè	2017	16.9%	18.7%	15.6%
Benin	Kouandé	2000	19.1%	25.9%	13.1%
Benin	Kouandé	2017	15.7%	21.6%	10.6%
Benin	Kpomassè	2000	34.1%	38.3%	30.5%
Benin	Kpomassè	2017	31.3%	34.9%	28.0%
Benin	Lalo	2000	56.6%	59.0%	53.7%
Benin	Lalo	2017	49.6%	52.2%	46.0%
Benin	Malanville	2000	17.1%	25.5%	9.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Benin	Malanville	2017	14.9%	22.1%	8.7%
Benin	Matéri	2000	26.9%	37.4%	18.4%
Benin	Matéri	2017	22.2%	32.8%	14.1%
Benin	N'Dali	2000	28.2%	36.9%	20.5%
Benin	N'Dali	2017	23.7%	32.2%	16.6%
Benin	Natitingou	2000	23.3%	31.1%	16.5%
Benin	Natitingou	2017	19.2%	26.9%	12.8%
Benin	Nikki	2000	32.7%	42.5%	24.1%
Benin	Nikki	2017	30.5%	40.1%	22.5%
Benin	Ouaké	2000	28.1%	41.3%	14.5%
Benin	Ouaké	2017	25.4%	37.7%	12.5%
Benin	Ouèssè	2000	45.4%	54.7%	37.4%
Benin	Ouèssè	2017	40.2%	50.2%	31.7%
Benin	Ouidah	2000	44.1%	58.9%	31.8%
Benin	Ouidah	2017	33.3%	45.6%	24.3%
Benin	Ouinhi	2000	65.9%	72.2%	59.2%
Benin	Ouinhi	2017	58.4%	65.7%	50.6%
Benin	Parakou	2000	45.4%	52.2%	39.2%
Benin	Parakou	2017	35.8%	43.2%	29.7%
Benin	Péhunco	2000	21.7%	33.8%	12.2%
Benin	Péhunco	2017	17.3%	27.0%	9.9%
Benin	Pèrèrè	2000	29.1%	40.1%	19.1%
Benin	Pèrèrè	2017	24.6%	34.8%	16.1%
Benin	Pobè	2000	41.1%	51.3%	28.8%
Benin	Pobè	2017	37.2%	49.6%	23.7%
Benin	Porto-Novo	2000	70.4%	72.1%	68.8%
Benin	Porto-Novo	2017	62.0%	63.8%	60.2%
Benin	Sakété	2000	45.2%	48.2%	42.5%
Benin	Sakété	2017	36.5%	39.5%	33.9%
Benin	Savalou	2000	27.2%	35.8%	19.6%
Benin	Savalou	2017	24.4%	32.4%	16.8%
Benin	Savè	2000	41.6%	55.8%	30.8%
Benin	Savè	2017	35.5%	48.5%	25.2%
Benin	Segbana	2000	23.1%	31.7%	14.5%
Benin	Segbana	2017	20.0%	27.6%	12.1%
Benin	Sèmè-Kpodji	2000	70.1%	72.4%	67.8%
Benin	Sèmè-Kpodji	2017	60.2%	62.7%	57.9%
Benin	Sinendé	2000	23.4%	32.7%	15.2%
Benin	Sinendé	2017	18.8%	26.9%	12.4%
Benin	Sô-Ava	2000	85.1%	86.4%	83.5%
Benin	Sô-Ava	2017	74.1%	75.6%	72.3%
Benin	Tanguiéta	2000	25.8%	32.4%	19.2%
Benin	Tanguiéta	2017	21.4%	28.2%	15.3%
Benin	Tchaourou	2000	31.2%	38.0%	23.3%
Benin	Tchaourou	2017	26.6%	33.7%	19.9%
Benin	Toffo	2000	50.1%	56.3%	44.3%
Benin	Toffo	2017	45.0%	50.7%	39.5%
Benin	Tori-Bossito	2000	18.5%	19.9%	17.3%
Benin	Tori-Bossito	2017	15.4%	16.6%	14.3%
Benin	Toucountouna	2000	20.2%	37.3%	7.8%
Benin	Toucountouna	2017	17.6%	32.7%	6.4%
Benin	Toviklin	2000	35.3%	36.5%	34.0%
Benin	Toviklin	2017	28.0%	29.3%	26.9%
Benin	Za-Kpota	2000	22.3%	30.3%	17.1%
Benin	Za-Kpota	2017	15.3%	22.3%	11.2%
Benin	Zagnanado	2000	40.7%	54.1%	27.9%
Benin	Zagnanado	2017	33.0%	45.4%	22.0%
Benin	Zè	2000	41.6%	46.6%	37.2%
Benin	Zè	2017	35.1%	38.8%	31.8%
Benin	Zogbodomey	2000	44.0%	52.1%	35.7%
Benin	Zogbodomey	2017	35.1%	43.8%	27.1%
Botswana	Barolong	2000	94.2%	96.1%	91.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Botswana	Barolong	2017	93.3%	95.6%	90.4%
Botswana	Bobonong	2000	90.5%	91.5%	89.2%
Botswana	Bobonong	2017	89.2%	90.3%	87.9%
Botswana	Chobe	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.6%
Botswana	Chobe	2017	94.1%	95.1%	93.2%
Botswana	Francistown	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.7%
Botswana	Francistown	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.5%
Botswana	Gaborone	2000	92.5%	95.5%	90.2%
Botswana	Gaborone	2017	92.0%	95.2%	89.5%
Botswana	Gemsbok	2000	89.0%	90.4%	87.2%
Botswana	Gemsbok	2017	87.9%	89.8%	86.1%
Botswana	Ghanzi	2000	91.0%	91.7%	90.2%
Botswana	Ghanzi	2017	90.2%	91.0%	89.4%
Botswana	Hukunsti	2000	90.1%	90.9%	89.2%
Botswana	Hukunsti	2017	89.3%	90.3%	88.4%
Botswana	Jwaneng	2000	98.8%	99.5%	97.8%
Botswana	Jwaneng	2017	98.7%	99.5%	97.5%
Botswana	Kgatleng	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.3%
Botswana	Kgatleng	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.5%
Botswana	Kweneng	2000	94.0%	94.8%	93.0%
Botswana	Kweneng North	2017	93.6%	94.4%	92.5%
Botswana	Kweneng South	2000	93.0%	93.8%	92.0%
Botswana	Kweneng South	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.4%
Botswana	Lethlakane	2000	92.6%	93.4%	91.6%
Botswana	Lethlakane	2017	91.9%	92.7%	90.9%
Botswana	Lobatse	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Botswana	Lobatse	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Botswana	Machaneng	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.6%
Botswana	Machaneng	2017	92.3%	93.8%	90.8%
Botswana	Mahalapye	2000	93.3%	94.1%	92.4%
Botswana	Mahalapye	2017	92.7%	93.6%	91.7%
Botswana	Masungu	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Botswana	Masungu	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Botswana	Ngamiland East	2000	92.4%	93.2%	91.5%
Botswana	Ngamiland East	2017	91.7%	92.6%	90.6%
Botswana	Ngamiland West	2000	92.1%	92.8%	91.5%
Botswana	Ngamiland West	2017	91.4%	92.1%	90.6%
Botswana	Ngwaketse Central	2000	93.2%	95.0%	90.8%
Botswana	Ngwaketse Central	2017	92.6%	94.5%	90.3%
Botswana	Ngwaketse North	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.9%
Botswana	Ngwaketse North	2017	92.8%	93.9%	91.3%
Botswana	Ngwaketse South	2000	93.3%	94.5%	92.0%
Botswana	Ngwaketse South	2017	92.6%	94.0%	91.2%
Botswana	Palapye	2000	93.0%	94.2%	91.6%
Botswana	Palapye	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.6%
Botswana	Selibe Phikwe	2000	94.9%	95.9%	94.1%
Botswana	Selibe Phikwe	2017	93.9%	95.1%	92.9%
Botswana	Serowe	2000	93.1%	93.8%	92.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Botswana	Serowe	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.4%
Botswana	South East	2000	92.9%	94.5%	91.1%
Botswana	South East	2017	92.3%	94.2%	90.6%
Botswana	Sowa	2000	96.8%	98.1%	95.0%
Botswana	Sowa	2017	96.5%	97.9%	94.5%
Botswana	Tshabong	2000	91.0%	91.8%	90.0%
Botswana	Tshabong	2017	90.3%	91.1%	89.4%
Botswana	Tuli	2000	93.7%	95.3%	92.1%
Botswana	Tuli	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.9%
Botswana	Tutume	2000	92.7%	93.3%	92.1%
Botswana	Tutume	2017	92.0%	92.6%	91.3%
Burkina Faso	Balé	2000	20.4%	28.5%	13.2%
Burkina Faso	Balé	2017	13.4%	19.7%	7.9%
Burkina Faso	Bam	2000	20.2%	28.2%	13.0%
Burkina Faso	Bam	2017	13.1%	19.8%	8.2%
Burkina Faso	Banwa	2000	19.4%	27.5%	13.5%
Burkina Faso	Banwa	2017	12.8%	18.7%	8.1%
Burkina Faso	Bazèga	2000	21.4%	28.7%	14.9%
Burkina Faso	Bazèga	2017	14.3%	19.7%	9.6%
Burkina Faso	Bougouriba	2000	22.6%	30.2%	16.6%
Burkina Faso	Bougouriba	2017	15.6%	21.2%	10.8%
Burkina Faso	Boulgou	2000	21.1%	27.5%	16.0%
Burkina Faso	Boulgou	2017	14.2%	18.9%	10.2%
Burkina Faso	Boulkiemdé	2000	25.3%	32.1%	19.7%
Burkina Faso	Boulkiemdé	2017	17.6%	23.1%	13.0%
Burkina Faso	Comoé	2000	25.7%	32.4%	19.9%
Burkina Faso	Comoé	2017	16.7%	21.9%	12.8%
Burkina Faso	Ganzourgou	2000	20.5%	28.8%	14.0%
Burkina Faso	Ganzourgou	2017	12.8%	19.4%	7.9%
Burkina Faso	Gnagna	2000	23.9%	30.3%	18.0%
Burkina Faso	Gnagna	2017	16.2%	21.3%	11.5%
Burkina Faso	Gourma	2000	21.5%	28.2%	16.2%
Burkina Faso	Gourma	2017	15.8%	20.6%	11.9%
Burkina Faso	Houet	2000	32.2%	39.1%	26.9%
Burkina Faso	Houet	2017	27.1%	32.3%	23.0%
Burkina Faso	Ioba	2000	20.7%	28.2%	14.6%
Burkina Faso	Ioba	2017	14.1%	21.0%	8.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Kadiogo	2000	63.2%	67.0%	59.9%
Burkina Faso	Kadiogo	2017	57.4%	60.9%	54.3%
Burkina Faso	Kéné Dougou	2000	21.5%	28.4%	15.2%
Burkina Faso	Kéné Dougou	2017	14.0%	20.0%	9.1%
Burkina Faso	Komandjoari	2000	17.9%	26.2%	10.4%
Burkina Faso	Komandjoari	2017	11.8%	18.5%	6.3%
Burkina Faso	Kompienga	2000	26.5%	36.1%	16.9%
Burkina Faso	Kompienga	2017	16.8%	24.6%	10.0%
Burkina Faso	Kossi	2000	16.1%	23.0%	10.5%
Burkina Faso	Kossi	2017	10.1%	15.2%	6.0%
Burkina Faso	Koupléogo	2000	18.6%	27.5%	11.4%
Burkina Faso	Koupléogo	2017	11.4%	19.0%	6.0%
Burkina Faso	Kouritenga	2000	27.1%	35.4%	20.0%
Burkina Faso	Kouritenga	2017	21.1%	27.1%	15.1%
Burkina Faso	Kourwéogo	2000	11.3%	18.9%	5.4%
Burkina Faso	Kourwéogo	2017	6.8%	13.3%	2.6%
Burkina Faso	Léraba	2000	16.9%	25.7%	9.6%
Burkina Faso	Léraba	2017	10.6%	18.1%	5.0%
Burkina Faso	Loroum	2000	18.8%	28.0%	10.6%
Burkina Faso	Loroum	2017	11.8%	18.4%	6.0%
Burkina Faso	Mouhoun	2000	18.1%	23.9%	12.3%
Burkina Faso	Mouhoun	2017	11.5%	16.1%	7.2%
Burkina Faso	Nahouri	2000	17.6%	26.3%	10.5%
Burkina Faso	Nahouri	2017	13.0%	19.4%	7.7%
Burkina Faso	Namentenga	2000	18.0%	24.5%	11.4%
Burkina Faso	Namentenga	2017	11.8%	16.9%	7.1%
Burkina Faso	Nayala	2000	17.5%	26.3%	10.2%
Burkina Faso	Nayala	2017	11.1%	18.1%	5.4%
Burkina Faso	Noumbiel	2000	17.2%	28.0%	8.9%
Burkina Faso	Noumbiel	2017	10.5%	18.8%	4.3%
Burkina Faso	Oubritenga	2000	18.1%	26.8%	11.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Oubritenga	2017	12.2%	19.4%	6.3%
Burkina Faso	Oudalan	2000	17.5%	23.6%	12.0%
Burkina Faso	Oudalan	2017	10.9%	15.5%	6.7%
Burkina Faso	Passoré	2000	22.9%	32.4%	14.3%
Burkina Faso	Passoré	2017	15.1%	23.0%	8.6%
Burkina Faso	Poni	2000	27.2%	34.4%	20.3%
Burkina Faso	Poni	2017	20.0%	25.9%	14.9%
Burkina Faso	Sanguié	2000	30.5%	36.9%	24.5%
Burkina Faso	Sanguié	2017	23.7%	29.2%	18.7%
Burkina Faso	Sanmatenga	2000	18.1%	23.1%	13.4%
Burkina Faso	Sanmatenga	2017	12.5%	17.1%	8.5%
Burkina Faso	Séno	2000	14.8%	20.9%	9.9%
Burkina Faso	Séno	2017	9.2%	13.6%	5.9%
Burkina Faso	Sissili	2000	25.0%	33.3%	19.2%
Burkina Faso	Sissili	2017	18.0%	24.6%	12.8%
Burkina Faso	Soum	2000	18.2%	24.0%	12.3%
Burkina Faso	Soum	2017	11.4%	15.6%	7.0%
Burkina Faso	Sourou	2000	22.0%	30.0%	14.5%
Burkina Faso	Sourou	2017	14.0%	20.0%	8.8%
Burkina Faso	Tapoa	2000	18.8%	24.1%	13.3%
Burkina Faso	Tapoa	2017	11.9%	15.6%	8.2%
Burkina Faso	Tuy	2000	22.7%	29.5%	15.9%
Burkina Faso	Tuy	2017	15.5%	20.7%	10.0%
Burkina Faso	Yagha	2000	16.1%	24.2%	10.5%
Burkina Faso	Yagha	2017	10.4%	17.2%	6.4%
Burkina Faso	Yatenga	2000	18.4%	25.2%	12.6%
Burkina Faso	Yatenga	2017	13.4%	18.7%	9.1%
Burkina Faso	Ziro	2000	23.6%	31.9%	16.2%
Burkina Faso	Ziro	2017	16.2%	22.8%	10.8%
Burkina Faso	Zonдома	2000	29.2%	39.8%	20.8%
Burkina Faso	Zonдома	2017	21.1%	29.7%	13.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Zoundwéogo	2000	18.1%	25.5%	11.6%
Burkina Faso	Zoundwéogo	2017	11.0%	16.5%	6.2%
Burundi	Bisoro	2000	8.4%	10.8%	6.8%
Burundi	Bisoro	2017	25.5%	31.0%	20.8%
Burundi	Bubanza	2000	16.5%	18.2%	15.1%
Burundi	Bubanza	2017	46.5%	49.7%	43.2%
Burundi	Bugabira	2000	2.6%	14.1%	0.1%
Burundi	Bugabira	2017	6.6%	21.8%	1.0%
Burundi	Buganda	2000	49.8%	57.5%	34.9%
Burundi	Buganda	2017	62.0%	66.7%	46.6%
Burundi	Bugarama	2000	17.8%	23.0%	14.0%
Burundi	Bugarama	2017	45.4%	55.6%	40.1%
Burundi	Bugendana	2000	1.7%	2.7%	0.8%
Burundi	Bugendana	2017	4.8%	8.0%	3.9%
Burundi	Bugenyuzi	2000	3.9%	4.4%	3.5%
Burundi	Bugenyuzi	2017	15.3%	17.5%	13.8%
Burundi	Buhiga	2000	20.2%	23.5%	16.8%
Burundi	Buhiga	2017	45.7%	47.6%	43.5%
Burundi	Buhinyuza	2000	6.7%	21.1%	0.3%
Burundi	Buhinyuza	2017	15.6%	34.2%	2.9%
Burundi	Bukemba	2000	23.6%	37.2%	8.6%
Burundi	Bukemba	2017	54.0%	61.0%	38.3%
Burundi	Bukeye	2000	3.8%	4.3%	3.5%
Burundi	Bukeye	2017	20.3%	21.9%	18.9%
Burundi	Bukinanyana	2000	23.6%	26.9%	20.0%
Burundi	Bukinanyana	2017	36.8%	45.7%	34.5%
Burundi	Bukirasazi	2000	3.9%	5.0%	2.3%
Burundi	Bukirasazi	2017	8.7%	12.6%	6.6%
Burundi	Burambi	2000	20.2%	26.6%	15.3%
Burundi	Burambi	2017	43.2%	52.3%	35.4%
Burundi	Buraza	2000	3.3%	11.9%	1.4%
Burundi	Buraza	2017	12.5%	22.3%	7.8%
Burundi	Bururi	2000	15.2%	18.2%	13.1%
Burundi	Bururi	2017	38.3%	43.4%	34.9%
Burundi	Busiga	2000	3.2%	13.7%	1.8%
Burundi	Busiga	2017	15.2%	26.1%	11.2%
Burundi	Busoni	2000	23.8%	37.0%	11.1%
Burundi	Busoni	2017	40.7%	50.4%	24.7%
Burundi	Butaganzwa1	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.7%
Burundi	Butaganzwa1	2017	7.6%	8.7%	6.8%
Burundi	Butaganzwa2	2000	9.5%	20.5%	5.5%
Burundi	Butaganzwa2	2017	27.2%	39.4%	18.8%
Burundi	Buterere	2000	93.1%	94.1%	92.2%
Burundi	Buterere	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Burundi	Butezi	2000	12.0%	28.1%	5.9%
Burundi	Butezi	2017	28.9%	51.1%	16.8%
Burundi	Butihinda	2000	4.9%	6.4%	3.6%
Burundi	Butihinda	2017	11.2%	12.5%	10.2%
Burundi	Buyengero	2000	8.5%	19.2%	5.2%
Burundi	Buyengero	2017	27.0%	40.7%	18.5%
Burundi	Buyenze	2000	94.8%	95.5%	94.1%
Burundi	Buyenze	2017	99.2%	99.3%	99.1%
Burundi	Bwambarangwe	2000	5.9%	7.2%	4.9%
Burundi	Bwambarangwe	2017	18.7%	20.7%	17.0%
Burundi	Bweru	2000	11.7%	20.6%	7.3%
Burundi	Bweru	2017	25.1%	34.5%	17.5%
Burundi	Bwiza	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.4%
Burundi	Bwiza	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Burundi	Cankuzo	2000	18.8%	29.4%	13.5%
Burundi	Cankuzo	2017	39.9%	52.0%	32.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Cendajuru	2000	5.1%	12.3%	0.9%
Burundi	Cendajuru	2017	12.1%	17.6%	5.2%
Burundi	Cibitoke	2000	93.6%	94.3%	92.8%
Burundi	Cibitoke	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.9%
Burundi	Gahombo	2000	5.4%	7.0%	3.9%
Burundi	Gahombo	2017	25.4%	29.8%	21.1%
Burundi	Gashikanwa	2000	18.6%	29.0%	10.9%
Burundi	Gashikanwa	2017	35.8%	45.0%	28.5%
Burundi	Gashoho	2000	11.9%	19.2%	4.6%
Burundi	Gashoho	2017	23.8%	26.6%	18.1%
Burundi	Gasorwe	2000	6.0%	8.0%	4.6%
Burundi	Gasorwe	2017	15.9%	20.2%	13.5%
Burundi	Gatara	2000	4.1%	5.1%	3.2%
Burundi	Gatara	2017	21.4%	23.2%	19.9%
Burundi	Gihanga	2000	58.6%	68.5%	48.3%
Burundi	Gihanga	2017	77.8%	85.8%	67.8%
Burundi	Giharo	2000	16.1%	24.5%	9.6%
Burundi	Giharo	2017	44.7%	56.8%	33.5%
Burundi	Giheta	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Burundi	Giheta	2017	7.8%	10.5%	6.3%
Burundi	Gihogazi	2000	14.4%	16.1%	11.3%
Burundi	Gihogazi	2017	22.2%	24.0%	20.1%
Burundi	Gihosha	2000	87.7%	88.9%	86.3%
Burundi	Gihosha	2017	98.1%	98.3%	97.9%
Burundi	Gisagara	2000	16.3%	25.1%	10.1%
Burundi	Gisagara	2017	36.3%	45.4%	26.8%
Burundi	Gishubi	2000	3.8%	4.3%	3.3%
Burundi	Gishubi	2017	20.3%	22.3%	18.3%
Burundi	Gisozi	2000	12.1%	13.3%	11.2%
Burundi	Gisozi	2017	37.7%	39.0%	36.4%
Burundi	Gisuru	2000	12.4%	15.0%	10.6%
Burundi	Gisuru	2017	34.8%	37.8%	31.8%
Burundi	Gitanga	2000	26.0%	34.6%	20.6%
Burundi	Gitanga	2017	53.7%	63.5%	46.8%
Burundi	Gitaramuka	2000	1.6%	1.9%	1.4%
Burundi	Gitaramuka	2017	9.6%	10.7%	8.6%
Burundi	Gitega	2000	9.3%	10.3%	8.4%
Burundi	Gitega	2017	34.8%	36.3%	33.4%
Burundi	Giteranyi	2000	2.3%	8.3%	0.2%
Burundi	Giteranyi	2017	6.5%	16.2%	1.6%
Burundi	Gitobe	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Burundi	Gitobe	2017	6.0%	8.2%	3.9%
Burundi	Isale	2000	40.2%	42.0%	38.4%
Burundi	Isale	2017	73.8%	75.2%	72.4%
Burundi	Itaba	2000	11.3%	14.5%	4.1%
Burundi	Itaba	2017	16.5%	19.4%	11.9%
Burundi	Kabarore	2000	7.8%	14.5%	4.9%
Burundi	Kabarore	2017	28.2%	36.7%	22.7%
Burundi	Kabezi	2000	7.6%	8.5%	6.9%
Burundi	Kabezi	2017	36.3%	39.8%	33.1%
Burundi	Kamenge	2000	87.9%	89.2%	86.4%
Burundi	Kamenge	2017	98.2%	98.4%	97.9%
Burundi	Kanyosha1	2000	35.4%	36.9%	33.8%
Burundi	Kanyosha1	2017	78.8%	79.7%	77.8%
Burundi	Kanyosha2	2000	61.1%	62.7%	59.2%
Burundi	Kanyosha2	2017	82.5%	84.3%	80.9%
Burundi	Kayanza	2000	6.0%	6.6%	5.4%
Burundi	Kayanza	2017	31.4%	33.1%	29.8%
Burundi	Kayogoro	2000	32.6%	49.4%	20.1%
Burundi	Kayogoro	2017	59.3%	73.6%	43.3%
Burundi	Kayokwe	2000	8.5%	9.4%	7.6%
Burundi	Kayokwe	2017	35.1%	36.7%	33.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Kibago	2000	27.7%	47.5%	16.3%
Burundi	Kibago	2017	59.9%	79.9%	40.9%
Burundi	Kigamba	2000	15.1%	32.5%	6.0%
Burundi	Kigamba	2017	29.0%	51.9%	14.2%
Burundi	Kiganda	2000	1.5%	1.7%	1.3%
Burundi	Kiganda	2017	9.8%	11.1%	8.6%
Burundi	Kinama	2000	90.0%	90.9%	88.9%
Burundi	Kinama	2017	98.4%	98.6%	98.2%
Burundi	Kinindo	2000	83.4%	85.9%	80.7%
Burundi	Kinindo	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Burundi	Kinyinya	2000	10.0%	19.9%	3.4%
Burundi	Kinyinya	2017	28.3%	40.6%	15.6%
Burundi	Kiremba	2000	10.8%	17.1%	4.5%
Burundi	Kiremba	2017	20.1%	26.2%	14.2%
Burundi	Kirundo	2000	3.4%	5.8%	2.1%
Burundi	Kirundo	2017	16.0%	19.1%	13.2%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	79.7%	89.5%	69.4%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	80.8%	83.5%	77.8%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	34.9%	40.0%	32.0%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	81.2%	95.4%	37.2%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	94.3%	98.3%	86.4%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	92.3%	98.7%	51.8%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	61.6%	65.5%	58.5%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	95.8%	96.5%	94.8%
Burundi	Mabanda	2000	26.8%	40.9%	18.5%
Burundi	Mabanda	2017	61.5%	72.5%	49.3%
Burundi	Mabayi	2000	32.2%	47.9%	24.1%
Burundi	Mabayi	2017	48.1%	65.1%	34.8%
Burundi	Makamba	2000	19.6%	21.1%	17.9%
Burundi	Makamba	2017	52.4%	54.5%	50.2%
Burundi	Makebuko	2000	5.1%	5.8%	4.6%
Burundi	Makebuko	2017	24.6%	27.0%	22.6%
Burundi	Marangara	2000	0.7%	2.4%	0.3%
Burundi	Marangara	2017	3.9%	10.9%	2.2%
Burundi	Matana	2000	17.2%	21.3%	12.3%
Burundi	Matana	2017	48.5%	51.2%	45.6%
Burundi	Matongo	2000	2.0%	3.1%	1.4%
Burundi	Matongo	2017	11.7%	14.6%	9.3%
Burundi	Mbuye	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.8%
Burundi	Mbuye	2017	6.2%	7.5%	5.4%
Burundi	Mishiha	2000	9.5%	17.0%	5.1%
Burundi	Mishiha	2017	30.3%	38.5%	23.1%
Burundi	Mpanda	2000	54.2%	56.3%	51.6%
Burundi	Mpanda	2017	83.3%	84.2%	82.3%
Burundi	Mpinga-Kayove	2000	5.9%	12.5%	3.2%
Burundi	Mpinga-Kayove	2017	22.1%	29.0%	16.1%
Burundi	Mubimbi	2000	14.4%	15.4%	13.5%
Burundi	Mubimbi	2017	34.5%	36.4%	32.7%
Burundi	Mugamba	2000	22.1%	24.7%	18.4%
Burundi	Mugamba	2017	46.4%	50.2%	43.9%
Burundi	Mugina	2000	18.4%	22.4%	15.5%
Burundi	Mugina	2017	42.8%	50.9%	35.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Mugongomanga	2000	13.2%	14.7%	11.8%
Burundi	Mugongomanga	2017	43.0%	44.8%	40.9%
Burundi	Muhanga	2000	1.5%	2.9%	1.0%
Burundi	Muhanga	2017	8.1%	13.1%	6.0%
Burundi	Muhuta	2000	7.4%	8.7%	6.4%
Burundi	Muhuta	2017	32.9%	35.7%	30.3%
Burundi	Mukike	2000	10.9%	22.3%	8.0%
Burundi	Mukike	2017	35.4%	50.9%	28.1%
Burundi	Muramvya	2000	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%
Burundi	Muramvya	2017	7.6%	8.4%	6.9%
Burundi	Muruta	2000	20.5%	23.1%	16.9%
Burundi	Muruta	2017	44.9%	48.0%	42.1%
Burundi	Murwi	2000	21.6%	23.6%	19.0%
Burundi	Murwi	2017	38.4%	40.9%	35.8%
Burundi	Musaga	2000	72.6%	74.4%	70.8%
Burundi	Musaga	2017	95.2%	95.6%	94.7%
Burundi	Musigati	2000	10.7%	14.3%	6.6%
Burundi	Musigati	2017	24.1%	27.3%	21.1%
Burundi	Musongati	2000	10.3%	14.8%	5.1%
Burundi	Musongati	2017	25.7%	33.0%	20.6%
Burundi	Mutaho	2000	2.8%	3.3%	2.3%
Burundi	Mutaho	2017	16.1%	18.6%	14.1%
Burundi	Mutambu	2000	4.0%	4.5%	3.5%
Burundi	Mutambu	2017	19.2%	21.6%	17.1%
Burundi	Mutimbuzi	2000	66.1%	77.7%	59.3%
Burundi	Mutimbuzi	2017	82.8%	89.5%	74.9%
Burundi	Mutumba	2000	3.6%	13.0%	0.8%
Burundi	Mutumba	2017	10.4%	20.8%	4.9%
Burundi	Muyinga	2000	28.5%	33.9%	24.0%
Burundi	Muyinga	2017	42.4%	48.2%	37.5%
Burundi	Mwakiro	2000	5.0%	19.4%	0.3%
Burundi	Mwakiro	2017	10.5%	27.0%	2.0%
Burundi	Mwumba	2000	4.9%	6.7%	3.1%
Burundi	Mwumba	2017	18.0%	22.4%	14.5%
Burundi	Ndava	2000	1.9%	2.2%	1.7%
Burundi	Ndava	2017	13.0%	14.6%	11.6%
Burundi	Ngagara	2000	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Burundi	Ngagara	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Burundi	Ngozi	2000	2.0%	2.3%	1.8%
Burundi	Ngozi	2017	13.2%	15.1%	11.7%
Burundi	Ntega	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Burundi	Ntega	2017	8.4%	11.2%	6.6%
Burundi	Nyabihanga	2000	4.5%	5.0%	4.1%
Burundi	Nyabihanga	2017	22.4%	23.8%	21.1%
Burundi	Nyabikere	2000	2.8%	15.9%	0.4%
Burundi	Nyabikere	2017	10.0%	29.8%	2.9%
Burundi	Nyabiraba	2000	17.8%	20.9%	15.4%
Burundi	Nyabiraba	2017	49.2%	53.3%	44.0%
Burundi	Nyabitsinda	2000	8.6%	11.7%	7.2%
Burundi	Nyabitsinda	2017	27.9%	32.1%	25.1%
Burundi	Nyakabiga	2000	81.9%	83.4%	80.3%
Burundi	Nyakabiga	2017	97.0%	97.3%	96.7%
Burundi	Nyamurenza	2000	13.8%	17.8%	7.8%
Burundi	Nyamurenza	2017	28.8%	31.7%	24.8%
Burundi	Nyanrusange	2000	3.4%	4.3%	2.7%
Burundi	Nyanrusange	2017	11.4%	12.8%	10.2%
Burundi	Nyanza-Lac	2000	44.8%	53.3%	35.2%
Burundi	Nyanza-Lac	2017	81.1%	85.7%	73.0%
Burundi	Rango	2000	1.2%	3.7%	0.7%
Burundi	Rango	2017	7.7%	17.7%	4.6%
Burundi	Roherero	2000	81.9%	84.1%	79.7%
Burundi	Roherero	2017	97.0%	97.4%	96.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Rugazi	2000	14.2%	15.0%	13.4%
Burundi	Rugazi	2017	37.1%	39.4%	35.3%
Burundi	Rugombo	2000	56.4%	72.0%	45.2%
Burundi	Rugombo	2017	76.2%	91.3%	64.0%
Burundi	Ruhororo	2000	3.3%	7.9%	2.2%
Burundi	Ruhororo	2017	17.1%	26.7%	13.0%
Burundi	Rumonge	2000	65.8%	70.0%	61.1%
Burundi	Rumonge	2017	88.6%	90.1%	85.6%
Burundi	Rusaka	2000	1.3%	1.6%	1.1%
Burundi	Rusaka	2017	8.4%	10.1%	7.1%
Burundi	Rutana	2000	12.2%	22.2%	7.8%
Burundi	Rutana	2017	38.4%	48.5%	29.2%
Burundi	Rutegama	2000	1.3%	1.5%	1.1%
Burundi	Rutegama	2017	10.6%	12.1%	9.3%
Burundi	Rutovu	2000	14.6%	22.1%	10.4%
Burundi	Rutovu	2017	40.1%	47.3%	35.1%
Burundi	Ruyigi	2000	15.5%	20.1%	12.1%
Burundi	Ruyigi	2017	38.3%	42.7%	34.5%
Burundi	Ryansoro	2000	37.1%	42.7%	27.7%
Burundi	Ryansoro	2017	59.7%	63.4%	55.3%
Burundi	Shombo	2000	2.7%	7.3%	1.1%
Burundi	Shombo	2017	8.2%	23.1%	3.7%
Burundi	Songa	2000	26.0%	30.6%	22.0%
Burundi	Songa	2017	64.1%	67.9%	60.7%
Burundi	Tangara	2000	10.7%	20.8%	2.8%
Burundi	Tangara	2017	25.0%	38.2%	13.5%
Burundi	Vugizo	2000	7.5%	9.1%	6.7%
Burundi	Vugizo	2017	28.7%	32.0%	25.9%
Burundi	Vumbi	2000	3.5%	12.5%	0.6%
Burundi	Vumbi	2017	10.8%	19.0%	4.4%
Burundi	Vyanda	2000	13.0%	22.5%	10.9%
Burundi	Vyanda	2017	32.2%	44.3%	27.9%
Cameroon	Bamboutos	2000	24.7%	31.0%	20.6%
Cameroon	Bamboutos	2017	24.2%	29.6%	20.3%
Cameroon	Bénoué	2000	21.3%	26.3%	16.6%
Cameroon	Bénoué	2017	20.4%	26.4%	15.9%
Cameroon	Boumba et Ngoko	2000	16.7%	22.8%	11.4%
Cameroon	Boumba et Ngoko	2017	16.3%	22.1%	11.3%
Cameroon	Boyo	2000	42.4%	56.6%	31.0%
Cameroon	Boyo	2017	41.7%	55.0%	30.6%
Cameroon	Bui	2000	56.1%	66.3%	45.7%
Cameroon	Bui	2017	56.7%	67.0%	46.1%
Cameroon	Diamaré	2000	13.8%	21.8%	7.9%
Cameroon	Diamaré	2017	14.4%	23.4%	8.0%
Cameroon	Dja et Lobo	2000	17.4%	24.2%	11.4%
Cameroon	Dja et Lobo	2017	17.2%	23.8%	11.3%
Cameroon	Djerem	2000	22.6%	31.2%	15.4%
Cameroon	Djerem	2017	22.1%	29.9%	15.0%
Cameroon	Donga Mantung	2000	35.1%	44.5%	25.2%
Cameroon	Donga Mantung	2017	31.1%	40.1%	22.2%
Cameroon	Fako	2000	69.3%	74.9%	64.0%
Cameroon	Fako	2017	65.8%	72.4%	59.7%
Cameroon	Faro	2000	20.1%	34.0%	12.7%
Cameroon	Faro	2017	19.6%	35.8%	11.5%
Cameroon	Faro et Déo	2000	21.7%	29.8%	14.6%
Cameroon	Faro et Déo	2017	19.3%	29.8%	12.1%
Cameroon	Haut Nkam	2000	40.2%	51.2%	31.6%
Cameroon	Haut Nkam	2017	41.5%	52.5%	33.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cameroon	Haut Nyong	2000	17.8%	24.0%	12.3%
Cameroon	Haut Nyong	2017	19.1%	25.1%	13.9%
Cameroon	Haute Sanaga	2000	26.1%	35.6%	17.7%
Cameroon	Haute Sanaga	2017	25.4%	34.6%	17.7%
Cameroon	Hauts Plateaux	2000	24.0%	30.5%	18.4%
Cameroon	Hauts Plateaux	2017	23.6%	30.2%	17.6%
Cameroon	Kadey	2000	16.3%	24.1%	9.8%
Cameroon	Kadey	2017	16.0%	23.9%	9.4%
Cameroon	Koung Khi	2000	31.1%	44.6%	20.3%
Cameroon	Koung Khi	2017	31.7%	46.7%	19.7%
Cameroon	Koupé Manengouba	2000	56.7%	65.6%	42.3%
Cameroon	Koupé Manengouba	2017	51.4%	63.1%	36.6%
Cameroon	Lebialem	2000	16.8%	33.5%	9.8%
Cameroon	Lebialem	2017	21.0%	36.1%	11.3%
Cameroon	Lekié	2000	21.2%	26.5%	16.6%
Cameroon	Lekié	2017	21.3%	27.8%	16.0%
Cameroon	Logone et Chari	2000	18.4%	26.9%	11.9%
Cameroon	Logone et Chari	2017	18.1%	26.7%	11.3%
Cameroon	Lom Djerem	2000	17.8%	23.7%	12.9%
Cameroon	Lom Djerem	2017	18.1%	23.9%	13.1%
Cameroon	Manyu	2000	39.7%	51.5%	29.9%
Cameroon	Manyu	2017	41.3%	53.1%	31.0%
Cameroon	Mayo Banyo	2000	23.8%	35.3%	15.5%
Cameroon	Mayo Banyo	2017	27.0%	35.9%	19.8%
Cameroon	Mayo Danay	2000	18.5%	28.6%	10.8%
Cameroon	Mayo Danay	2017	17.7%	29.0%	10.1%
Cameroon	Mayo Kani	2000	14.0%	24.4%	6.1%
Cameroon	Mayo Kani	2017	14.9%	25.8%	6.0%
Cameroon	Mayo Louti	2000	24.6%	32.1%	18.1%
Cameroon	Mayo Louti	2017	24.4%	31.2%	18.3%
Cameroon	Mayo Rey	2000	19.8%	25.5%	15.0%
Cameroon	Mayo Rey	2017	19.4%	24.9%	14.5%
Cameroon	Mayo Sava	2000	27.1%	36.7%	19.9%
Cameroon	Mayo Sava	2017	25.0%	33.8%	18.0%
Cameroon	Mayo Tsanaga	2000	18.8%	28.6%	12.5%
Cameroon	Mayo Tsanaga	2017	17.1%	27.1%	11.1%
Cameroon	Mbam et Inoubou	2000	25.5%	35.0%	16.7%
Cameroon	Mbam et Inoubou	2017	24.9%	34.7%	16.5%
Cameroon	Mbam et Kim	2000	22.5%	31.0%	16.3%
Cameroon	Mbam et Kim	2017	22.7%	29.9%	16.5%
Cameroon	Mbéré	2000	22.3%	29.9%	15.0%
Cameroon	Mbéré	2017	21.8%	29.5%	14.6%
Cameroon	Mefou et Afamba	2000	31.1%	36.2%	27.6%
Cameroon	Mefou et Afamba	2017	32.5%	36.5%	29.0%
Cameroon	Mefou et Akono	2000	33.3%	37.6%	29.0%
Cameroon	Mefou et Akono	2017	34.5%	37.7%	30.7%
Cameroon	Meme	2000	54.1%	66.4%	42.4%
Cameroon	Meme	2017	50.9%	63.3%	39.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cameroon	Menchum	2000	36.5%	49.1%	24.4%
Cameroon	Menchum	2017	35.0%	47.9%	23.2%
Cameroon	Menoua	2000	20.5%	29.8%	15.4%
Cameroon	Menoua	2017	20.5%	28.8%	15.9%
Cameroon	Mezam	2000	55.9%	61.7%	50.8%
Cameroon	Mezam	2017	56.4%	62.0%	51.3%
Cameroon	Mfoundi	2000	56.3%	57.4%	55.1%
Cameroon	Mfoundi	2017	56.4%	57.4%	55.3%
Cameroon	Mifi	2000	47.8%	53.0%	44.1%
Cameroon	Mifi	2017	46.7%	53.6%	42.0%
Cameroon	Momo	2000	44.7%	58.3%	32.6%
Cameroon	Momo	2017	45.3%	58.5%	34.4%
Cameroon	Moungo	2000	50.0%	54.5%	45.4%
Cameroon	Moungo	2017	49.1%	54.3%	44.1%
Cameroon	Mvila	2000	31.5%	40.8%	23.5%
Cameroon	Mvila	2017	27.1%	36.9%	19.2%
Cameroon	Ndé	2000	35.7%	54.6%	20.8%
Cameroon	Ndé	2017	34.2%	54.0%	18.6%
Cameroon	Ndian	2000	35.7%	52.5%	21.8%
Cameroon	Ndian	2017	37.0%	52.5%	24.1%
Cameroon	Ngo Ketunjia	2000	52.3%	62.6%	38.8%
Cameroon	Ngo Ketunjia	2017	48.0%	60.8%	36.9%
Cameroon	Nkam	2000	25.3%	43.9%	13.2%
Cameroon	Nkam	2017	26.7%	46.7%	13.3%
Cameroon	Noun	2000	31.2%	38.3%	24.6%
Cameroon	Noun	2017	29.9%	37.7%	23.4%
Cameroon	Nyong et Kélé	2000	27.3%	35.9%	19.3%
Cameroon	Nyong et Kélé	2017	24.9%	33.0%	16.8%
Cameroon	Nyong et Mfoumou	2000	29.6%	40.4%	19.8%
Cameroon	Nyong et Mfoumou	2017	30.0%	40.6%	20.5%
Cameroon	Nyong et So'o	2000	52.5%	62.2%	43.8%
Cameroon	Nyong et So'o	2017	47.8%	59.0%	38.5%
Cameroon	Océan	2000	34.9%	46.7%	25.8%
Cameroon	Océan	2017	36.3%	45.9%	28.7%
Cameroon	Sanaga Maritime	2000	30.4%	41.4%	21.0%
Cameroon	Sanaga Maritime	2017	27.8%	41.6%	17.2%
Cameroon	Vallée du Ntem	2000	18.2%	31.5%	9.5%
Cameroon	Vallée du Ntem	2017	16.6%	28.4%	8.9%
Cameroon	Vina	2000	31.8%	40.5%	24.6%
Cameroon	Vina	2017	30.1%	38.8%	23.0%
Cameroon	Wouri	2000	49.9%	55.0%	46.3%
Cameroon	Wouri	2017	49.2%	53.9%	45.7%
Central African Republic	Alindao	2000	7.1%	12.3%	3.9%
Central African Republic	Alindao	2017	3.3%	6.1%	1.6%
Central African Republic	Baboua	2000	12.4%	16.5%	9.0%
Central African Republic	Baboua	2017	6.3%	8.8%	4.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Bakala	2000	8.3%	14.3%	4.7%
Central African Republic	Bakala	2017	4.0%	8.0%	2.0%
Central African Republic	Bakouma	2000	9.0%	13.4%	5.6%
Central African Republic	Bakouma	2017	4.1%	6.5%	2.5%
Central African Republic	Bambari	2000	10.6%	15.2%	7.6%
Central African Republic	Bambari	2017	5.0%	7.6%	3.4%
Central African Republic	Bambio	2000	5.4%	9.9%	2.5%
Central African Republic	Bambio	2017	2.6%	5.3%	1.0%
Central African Republic	Bamingui	2000	16.7%	22.3%	12.4%
Central African Republic	Bamingui	2017	8.9%	12.6%	6.2%
Central African Republic	Bangassou	2000	9.1%	14.9%	5.0%
Central African Republic	Bangassou	2017	4.2%	7.0%	2.2%
Central African Republic	Bangui	2000	53.2%	67.7%	39.2%
Central African Republic	Bangui	2017	35.4%	49.2%	25.4%
Central African Republic	Baoro	2000	10.2%	13.9%	7.0%
Central African Republic	Baoro	2017	4.8%	6.9%	3.1%
Central African Republic	Batangafu	2000	11.4%	15.7%	8.5%
Central African Republic	Batangafu	2017	5.4%	7.7%	3.6%
Central African Republic	Berbérati	2000	23.1%	32.8%	15.3%
Central African Republic	Berbérati	2017	12.6%	18.9%	7.7%
Central African Republic	Bimbo	2000	39.8%	51.9%	25.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Bimbo	2017	25.2%	37.9%	13.0%
Central African Republic	Birao	2000	23.8%	31.3%	17.7%
Central African Republic	Birao	2017	13.2%	18.0%	9.5%
Central African Republic	Boali	2000	22.7%	37.9%	11.9%
Central African Republic	Boali	2017	12.1%	21.5%	5.7%
Central African Republic	Bocaranga	2000	10.8%	14.7%	7.3%
Central African Republic	Bocaranga	2017	5.4%	7.6%	3.4%
Central African Republic	Boda	2000	10.5%	14.9%	6.7%
Central African Republic	Boda	2017	5.0%	7.7%	3.0%
Central African Republic	Bossangoa	2000	10.4%	14.6%	7.6%
Central African Republic	Bossangoa	2017	5.0%	7.8%	3.4%
Central African Republic	Bouar	2000	8.1%	14.8%	4.8%
Central African Republic	Bouar	2017	3.9%	7.3%	2.2%
Central African Republic	Bouca	2000	11.4%	16.7%	7.5%
Central African Republic	Bouca	2017	5.5%	9.0%	3.5%
Central African Republic	Bozoum	2000	7.1%	10.3%	4.6%
Central African Republic	Bozoum	2017	3.3%	4.9%	2.0%
Central African Republic	Bria	2000	4.5%	6.9%	2.7%
Central African Republic	Bria	2017	1.9%	3.0%	1.2%
Central African Republic	Carnot	2000	23.8%	30.0%	18.2%
Central African Republic	Carnot	2017	13.1%	18.4%	9.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Damara	2000	19.0%	26.6%	13.5%
Central African Republic	Damara	2017	10.2%	16.4%	6.5%
Central African Republic	Dékoa	2000	8.1%	14.2%	4.3%
Central African Republic	Dékoa	2017	3.8%	6.8%	1.8%
Central African Republic	Djemah	2000	6.5%	14.1%	3.5%
Central African Republic	Djemah	2017	3.0%	5.9%	1.8%
Central African Republic	Gambo-Ouango	2000	7.0%	11.0%	4.2%
Central African Republic	Gambo-Ouango	2017	3.2%	5.3%	1.8%
Central African Republic	Gamboula	2000	22.5%	30.9%	15.6%
Central African Republic	Gamboula	2017	12.1%	18.8%	7.5%
Central African Republic	Grimari	2000	11.2%	17.6%	6.3%
Central African Republic	Grimari	2017	5.3%	8.6%	2.9%
Central African Republic	Ippy	2000	8.7%	13.1%	5.6%
Central African Republic	Ippy	2017	4.1%	6.4%	2.4%
Central African Republic	Kabo	2000	15.9%	28.0%	8.9%
Central African Republic	Kabo	2017	8.1%	17.5%	3.7%
Central African Republic	Kaga-Bandoro	2000	7.9%	12.8%	5.0%
Central African Republic	Kaga-Bandoro	2017	3.7%	5.9%	2.2%
Central African Republic	Kembé	2000	4.2%	7.2%	1.9%
Central African Republic	Kembé	2017	1.9%	3.3%	0.8%
Central African Republic	Kouango	2000	8.1%	12.3%	4.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Kouango	2017	3.8%	6.2%	2.0%
Central African Republic	M'Baïki	2000	5.9%	9.4%	3.5%
Central African Republic	M'Baïki	2017	2.8%	4.8%	1.5%
Central African Republic	Markounda	2000	14.0%	19.8%	9.5%
Central African Republic	Markounda	2017	7.2%	10.7%	4.6%
Central African Republic	Mbrès	2000	7.7%	13.7%	4.1%
Central African Republic	Mbrès	2017	3.6%	7.3%	1.6%
Central African Republic	Mingala	2000	10.7%	17.5%	5.4%
Central African Republic	Mingala	2017	5.1%	9.2%	2.4%
Central African Republic	Mobaye	2000	4.0%	7.7%	1.8%
Central African Republic	Mobaye	2017	1.8%	3.7%	0.8%
Central African Republic	Mongoumba	2000	7.1%	14.7%	2.6%
Central African Republic	Mongoumba	2017	3.3%	8.1%	1.1%
Central African Republic	Ndélé	2000	23.8%	28.5%	18.9%
Central African Republic	Ndélé	2017	13.1%	17.6%	9.8%
Central African Republic	Nola	2000	8.6%	13.3%	5.3%
Central African Republic	Nola	2017	3.9%	6.4%	2.2%
Central African Republic	Obo	2000	6.4%	11.5%	3.6%
Central African Republic	Obo	2017	2.9%	5.4%	1.5%
Central African Republic	Ouadda	2000	7.3%	10.7%	5.0%
Central African Republic	Ouadda	2017	3.2%	4.8%	2.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Ouanda Djallé	2000	18.0%	28.0%	10.2%
Central African Republic	Ouanda Djallé	2017	9.4%	17.1%	4.6%
Central African Republic	Paoua	2000	13.9%	18.9%	10.1%
Central African Republic	Paoua	2017	7.2%	10.1%	5.1%
Central African Republic	Rafaï	2000	7.1%	10.9%	4.5%
Central African Republic	Rafaï	2017	3.4%	6.9%	1.9%
Central African Republic	Sibut	2000	8.3%	12.8%	5.1%
Central African Republic	Sibut	2017	3.8%	6.5%	2.4%
Central African Republic	Yalinga	2000	7.9%	12.3%	5.2%
Central African Republic	Yalinga	2017	3.6%	6.1%	2.2%
Central African Republic	Yaloké	2000	14.7%	19.9%	10.3%
Central African Republic	Yaloké	2017	7.5%	10.7%	4.9%
Central African Republic	Zémio	2000	6.7%	11.3%	3.6%
Central African Republic	Zémio	2017	3.1%	5.4%	1.6%
Chad	Aboudeïa	2000	10.8%	15.0%	7.5%
Chad	Aboudeïa	2017	10.7%	14.8%	7.5%
Chad	Assoungha	2000	14.1%	19.4%	10.0%
Chad	Assoungha	2017	13.9%	18.8%	10.1%
Chad	Baguirmi	2000	14.1%	17.1%	11.6%
Chad	Baguirmi	2017	14.0%	17.1%	11.4%
Chad	Barh Azoum	2000	11.9%	14.7%	9.5%
Chad	Barh Azoum	2017	12.0%	14.8%	9.5%
Chad	Barh El Gazel	2000	14.6%	17.3%	12.5%
Chad	Barh El Gazel	2017	14.2%	16.8%	12.2%
Chad	Barh Köh	2000	12.4%	16.3%	9.7%
Chad	Barh Köh	2017	12.3%	16.2%	9.5%
Chad	Barh Sara	2000	12.6%	16.7%	8.6%
Chad	Barh Sara	2017	12.5%	16.5%	8.6%
Chad	Barh Signaka	2000	17.9%	22.7%	13.9%
Chad	Barh Signaka	2017	17.7%	22.3%	13.8%
Chad	Batha Est	2000	16.1%	19.1%	13.0%
Chad	Batha Est	2017	15.7%	18.7%	12.9%
Chad	Batha Oues	2000	13.2%	16.3%	10.2%
Chad	Batha Oues	2017	13.4%	16.4%	10.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Chad	Béré	2000	8.4%	16.8%	3.0%
Chad	Béré	2017	8.4%	17.4%	3.1%
Chad	Biltine	2000	14.3%	17.8%	11.6%
Chad	Biltine	2017	14.1%	17.2%	11.4%
Chad	Bitkine	2000	12.0%	15.9%	8.4%
Chad	Bitkine	2017	11.8%	15.5%	8.1%
Chad	Borkou	2000	14.5%	16.8%	12.7%
Chad	Borkou	2017	14.3%	16.4%	12.6%
Chad	Dababa	2000	14.3%	17.7%	11.3%
Chad	Dababa	2017	14.2%	17.7%	11.2%
Chad	Dagana	2000	14.9%	19.6%	11.2%
Chad	Dagana	2017	14.9%	19.2%	11.1%
Chad	Dar Tama	2000	11.1%	14.9%	7.6%
Chad	Dar Tama	2017	10.8%	14.4%	7.3%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2000	11.6%	17.6%	7.0%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2000	12.3%	16.1%	9.2%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2017	11.5%	17.5%	6.9%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2017	12.2%	15.7%	9.1%
Chad	Dodjé	2000	10.1%	16.9%	5.5%
Chad	Dodjé	2017	10.1%	16.6%	5.4%
Chad	Ennedi Est	2000	13.4%	15.8%	11.2%
Chad	Ennedi Est	2017	13.3%	15.7%	11.1%
Chad	Ennedi Ouest	2000	11.5%	13.4%	9.4%
Chad	Ennedi Ouest	2017	11.2%	13.1%	9.3%
Chad	Fitri	2000	13.5%	16.0%	11.3%
Chad	Fitri	2017	13.4%	16.1%	11.2%
Chad	Grande Sido	2000	11.3%	17.6%	6.7%
Chad	Grande Sido	2017	11.3%	17.6%	6.7%
Chad	Guéra	2000	9.8%	12.1%	7.6%
Chad	Guéra	2017	9.7%	11.8%	7.6%
Chad	Haraze Al Biar	2000	15.6%	21.0%	10.5%
Chad	Haraze Al Biar	2017	15.6%	21.4%	10.6%
Chad	Haraze Manguaigne	2000	9.4%	11.8%	7.3%
Chad	Haraze Manguaigne	2017	9.3%	11.6%	7.2%
Chad	Kabbia	2000	8.7%	13.9%	4.9%
Chad	Kabbia	2017	9.2%	14.4%	5.4%
Chad	Kanem	2000	13.9%	17.2%	10.7%
Chad	Kanem	2017	13.6%	16.5%	10.6%
Chad	Kobé	2000	12.1%	15.4%	9.1%
Chad	Kobé	2017	12.0%	15.0%	9.4%
Chad	Lac Iro	2000	13.2%	16.9%	9.9%
Chad	Lac Iro	2017	12.9%	16.3%	9.8%
Chad	Lac Léré	2000	9.3%	13.2%	5.7%
Chad	Lac Léré	2017	9.4%	13.4%	5.9%
Chad	Lac Wey	2000	11.8%	16.5%	8.3%
Chad	Lac Wey	2017	12.3%	16.9%	8.7%
Chad	Lanya	2000	11.9%	18.5%	7.0%
Chad	Lanya	2017	12.3%	19.1%	7.3%
Chad	Loug Chari	2000	12.8%	16.8%	10.0%
Chad	Loug Chari	2017	12.6%	16.3%	9.7%
Chad	Mamdi	2000	13.4%	17.5%	10.4%
Chad	Mamdi	2017	13.3%	17.1%	10.4%
Chad	Mandoul Occidental	2000	11.3%	18.5%	5.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Chad	Mandoul Occidental	2017	11.2%	18.6%	5.5%
Chad	Mandoul Oriental	2000	11.4%	15.6%	7.6%
Chad	Mandoul Oriental	2017	11.2%	15.5%	7.5%
Chad	Mangalmé	2000	12.7%	17.0%	9.1%
Chad	Mangalmé	2017	12.5%	16.6%	8.9%
Chad	Mayo-Boneye	2000	13.7%	18.4%	9.9%
Chad	Mayo-Boneye	2017	13.5%	17.9%	10.1%
Chad	Mayo-Dallah	2000	9.7%	13.3%	6.4%
Chad	Mayo-Dallah	2017	9.6%	12.9%	6.4%
Chad	Mont Illi	2000	11.7%	18.9%	6.8%
Chad	Mont Illi	2017	11.6%	18.3%	6.8%
Chad	Monts de Lam	2000	9.4%	13.8%	5.8%
Chad	Monts de Lam	2017	9.2%	13.6%	5.7%
Chad	N'Djamena	2000	46.2%	53.4%	39.6%
Chad	N'Djamena	2000	19.4%	26.1%	14.3%
Chad	N'Djamena	2017	19.4%	26.8%	13.9%
Chad	N'Djamena	2017	47.4%	55.1%	40.7%
Chad	Ngourkosso	2000	9.8%	16.8%	4.9%
Chad	Ngourkosso	2017	9.8%	16.9%	4.9%
Chad	Nokou	2000	15.4%	18.7%	12.8%
Chad	Nokou	2017	15.1%	17.6%	12.5%
Chad	Nya Pendé	2000	12.9%	18.0%	8.9%
Chad	Nya Pendé	2017	13.1%	18.4%	8.8%
Chad	Ouara	2000	12.2%	15.6%	9.5%
Chad	Ouara	2017	12.2%	15.4%	9.6%
Chad	Pendé	2000	10.8%	15.6%	6.8%
Chad	Pendé	2017	10.6%	15.4%	6.7%
Chad	Sila	2000	11.4%	13.8%	9.3%
Chad	Sila	2017	11.7%	14.2%	9.4%
Chad	Tandjilé Est	2000	11.6%	15.9%	8.6%
Chad	Tandjilé Est	2017	11.3%	15.3%	8.5%
Chad	Tandjilé Ouest	2000	12.5%	18.1%	8.1%
Chad	Tandjilé Ouest	2017	12.1%	17.8%	7.6%
Chad	Tibesti	2000	6.0%	7.1%	4.9%
Chad	Tibesti	2017	6.2%	7.4%	5.2%
Chad	Wayi	2000	16.3%	22.9%	10.9%
Chad	Wayi	2017	16.3%	22.4%	11.1%
Comoros	Mwali	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Comoros	Mwali	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
Comoros	Njazidja	2000	38.0%	41.1%	35.2%
Comoros	Njazidja	2017	38.6%	41.8%	35.6%
Comoros	Nzwani	2000	92.0%	92.1%	91.5%
Comoros	Nzwani	2017	91.2%	91.5%	90.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan	2000	90.1%	92.0%	87.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Agnéby-Tiassa	2000	22.6%	28.9%	17.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Agnéby-Tiassa	2017	39.5%	46.6%	32.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bafing	2000	14.3%	18.7%	10.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bafing	2017	26.4%	32.4%	21.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bagoué	2000	17.0%	20.9%	13.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Côte d'Ivoire	Bagoué	2017	29.8%	35.1%	24.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béliér	2000	33.0%	40.6%	26.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béliér	2017	50.2%	57.8%	42.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béré	2000	19.2%	23.4%	15.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béré	2017	31.0%	36.0%	26.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bounkani	2000	19.3%	24.5%	15.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bounkani	2017	33.6%	39.8%	28.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Cavally	2000	13.3%	17.0%	10.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Cavally	2017	25.0%	30.1%	19.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Folon	2000	10.3%	15.2%	6.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Folon	2017	20.6%	28.7%	14.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbeke	2000	21.3%	26.3%	17.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbeke	2017	39.7%	45.5%	34.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbôkle	2000	20.9%	27.8%	15.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbôkle	2017	35.0%	42.7%	28.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gôh	2000	11.6%	16.3%	8.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gôh	2017	22.9%	29.8%	17.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gontougo	2000	24.7%	28.3%	21.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gontougo	2017	40.0%	43.8%	35.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Grands Ponts	2000	32.7%	42.0%	23.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Grands Ponts	2017	47.1%	56.8%	37.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Guémon	2000	10.2%	14.6%	6.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Guémon	2017	20.1%	26.5%	14.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Hambol	2000	26.3%	30.7%	22.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Hambol	2017	43.1%	47.5%	38.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Haut-Sassandra	2000	11.9%	15.6%	9.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Haut-Sassandra	2017	24.4%	29.5%	20.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Iffou	2000	26.8%	32.7%	21.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Iffou	2017	43.1%	49.7%	35.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Indénié-Djuablin	2000	17.6%	21.7%	13.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Indénié-Djuablin	2017	35.7%	40.7%	30.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Côte d'Ivoire	Kabadougou	2000	16.7%	20.1%	13.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Kabadougou	2017	26.5%	31.0%	22.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	La Mé	2000	25.5%	31.8%	19.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	La Mé	2017	42.1%	49.7%	34.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Lôh-Djiboua	2000	16.9%	21.8%	11.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Lôh-Djiboua	2017	30.2%	36.9%	23.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Marahoué	2000	16.8%	22.3%	12.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Marahoué	2017	30.3%	37.3%	24.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Moronou	2000	27.1%	35.8%	19.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Moronou	2017	44.1%	53.3%	34.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	N'zi	2000	41.3%	51.0%	33.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	N'zi	2017	54.7%	64.5%	45.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Nawa	2000	12.0%	15.6%	8.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Nawa	2017	23.2%	27.8%	18.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Poro	2000	21.6%	25.0%	18.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Poro	2017	36.0%	40.3%	32.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	San-Pédro	2000	29.0%	33.2%	24.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	San-Pédro	2017	43.5%	48.3%	37.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Sud Comoé	2000	39.4%	48.6%	31.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Sud Comoé	2017	57.2%	66.0%	48.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tchologo	2000	14.7%	18.9%	11.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tchologo	2017	27.5%	33.1%	22.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tonkpi	2000	14.4%	17.8%	11.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tonkpi	2017	27.2%	31.8%	22.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Worodougou	2000	18.8%	22.5%	15.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Worodougou	2017	31.4%	36.1%	27.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Yamoussoukro	2000	30.7%	37.1%	25.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Yamoussoukro	2017	50.2%	57.6%	43.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aba	2000	14.7%	70.6%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aba	2017	5.8%	41.3%	0.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi	2000	13.8%	23.7%	6.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi	2017	7.7%	14.4%	3.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi (ville)	2000	2.4%	15.6%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi (ville)	2017	0.6%	5.0%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ango	2000	14.8%	24.3%	7.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ango	2017	8.8%	22.8%	3.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ariwara	2000	3.8%	27.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ariwara	2017	3.0%	22.8%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru	2000	11.9%	23.3%	5.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru	2017	6.5%	14.7%	2.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru (ville)	2000	8.2%	32.1%	2.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru (ville)	2017	3.8%	27.6%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bafwasende	2000	13.5%	24.4%	7.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bafwasende	2017	7.8%	16.6%	3.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bagata	2000	16.8%	24.6%	10.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bagata	2017	9.9%	16.2%	5.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bambesa	2000	14.1%	26.1%	6.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bambesa	2017	7.4%	16.3%	2.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Banalia	2000	14.0%	25.2%	6.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Banalia	2017	8.4%	16.6%	3.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bandundu	2000	37.6%	57.0%	24.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bandundu	2017	17.3%	35.4%	9.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bangu	2000	22.2%	93.8%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bangu	2017	14.2%	80.0%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Baraka	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Baraka	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu	2000	12.6%	21.7%	6.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu	2017	7.1%	14.1%	2.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu (ville)	2000	8.9%	52.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu (ville)	2017	5.3%	35.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko	2000	13.9%	21.0%	7.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko	2017	8.1%	13.6%	3.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko (ville)	2000	3.6%	9.7%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko (ville)	2017	0.9%	3.2%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Befale	2000	12.7%	23.9%	6.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Befale	2017	7.4%	16.6%	2.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bena-Dibele	2000	13.4%	95.0%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bena-Dibele	2017	7.8%	80.9%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Beni	2000	35.3%	46.2%	23.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Beni	2017	18.3%	32.0%	8.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bikoro	2000	12.4%	23.7%	5.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bikoro	2017	7.0%	15.1%	2.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende	2000	13.2%	21.8%	6.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende	2017	7.6%	13.9%	3.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende (ville)	2000	5.9%	19.0%	1.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende (ville)	2017	1.8%	11.4%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bokungu	2000	10.7%	18.3%	4.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bokungu	2017	6.0%	11.6%	2.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo	2000	14.0%	31.3%	3.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo	2017	8.0%	22.4%	1.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo (ville)	2000	10.9%	94.0%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo (ville)	2017	6.0%	76.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolomba	2000	11.7%	19.5%	6.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolomba	2017	6.9%	12.9%	3.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boma	2000	79.2%	86.7%	75.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boma	2017	70.0%	76.8%	63.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bomongo	2000	13.5%	18.9%	8.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bomongo	2017	7.0%	14.1%	3.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo	2000	13.6%	20.7%	6.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo	2017	7.8%	15.7%	3.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo (ville)	2000	23.7%	97.9%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo (ville)	2017	16.0%	92.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bongandanga	2000	12.0%	19.5%	6.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bongandanga	2017	7.0%	12.6%	3.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bosobolo	2000	13.2%	22.3%	5.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bosobolo	2017	7.5%	14.6%	2.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Budjala	2000	12.8%	21.0%	5.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Budjala	2017	7.5%	14.3%	2.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukama	2000	19.3%	29.0%	11.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukama	2017	11.4%	18.1%	6.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukavu	2000	61.1%	62.6%	59.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukavu	2017	31.5%	34.1%	29.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu	2000	31.2%	36.9%	25.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu	2017	24.5%	30.0%	20.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu (ville)	2000	41.9%	54.6%	34.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu (ville)	2017	37.2%	40.8%	34.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba	2000	15.7%	23.6%	9.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba	2017	10.0%	16.5%	5.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba (ville)	2000	6.9%	30.4%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba (ville)	2017	3.6%	23.0%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bunia	2000	29.5%	39.5%	24.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bunia	2017	17.3%	21.6%	15.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Businga	2000	11.2%	19.1%	4.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Businga	2017	6.0%	12.1%	2.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta	2000	13.2%	23.3%	6.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta	2017	7.5%	15.7%	2.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta (ville)	2000	3.5%	9.9%	1.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta (ville)	2017	1.9%	4.1%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Butembo	2000	26.4%	31.8%	22.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Butembo	2017	9.6%	12.2%	7.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dekese	2000	12.6%	23.6%	6.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dekese	2017	7.2%	16.7%	2.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Demba	2000	13.1%	25.3%	4.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Demba	2017	7.6%	16.5%	1.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya	2000	11.2%	20.6%	4.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya	2017	6.6%	14.1%	2.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya-Lubwe	2000	9.9%	61.5%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya-Lubwe	2017	3.7%	25.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dilolo	2000	18.5%	28.6%	11.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dilolo	2017	11.0%	17.8%	5.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dimbelenge	2000	14.5%	28.7%	5.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dimbelenge	2017	9.0%	19.8%	2.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dingila	2000	21.9%	99.6%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dingila	2017	13.8%	98.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djolu	2000	11.7%	21.6%	5.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djolu	2017	6.6%	13.7%	2.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djugu	2000	23.4%	32.2%	16.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djugu	2017	13.9%	21.0%	8.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu	2000	16.9%	24.8%	10.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu	2017	10.1%	16.6%	5.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu (ville)	2000	23.2%	95.8%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu (ville)	2017	15.3%	90.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Faradje	2000	16.1%	25.0%	9.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Faradje	2017	9.1%	16.6%	4.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Feshi	2000	14.7%	23.6%	8.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Feshi	2017	8.3%	14.8%	3.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Fizi	2000	15.4%	23.6%	8.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Fizi	2017	9.0%	14.9%	4.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gbadolite	2000	16.6%	27.1%	10.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gbadolite	2017	10.8%	18.8%	6.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena	2000	11.1%	20.3%	5.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena	2017	6.3%	11.9%	2.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena (ville)	2000	5.1%	18.5%	0.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena (ville)	2017	1.8%	12.7%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Goma	2000	30.3%	34.0%	28.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Goma	2017	10.4%	13.5%	9.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu	2000	18.5%	26.3%	11.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu	2017	11.7%	17.7%	7.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu (ville)	2000	93.2%	97.8%	84.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu (ville)	2017	75.9%	90.1%	53.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa	2000	14.1%	20.2%	8.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa	2017	7.9%	12.4%	4.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa (ville)	2000	7.4%	38.4%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa (ville)	2017	2.9%	22.8%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idjwi	2000	25.5%	47.3%	12.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idjwi	2017	13.9%	29.1%	5.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ikela	2000	10.4%	22.0%	4.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ikela	2017	5.8%	14.2%	1.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo	2000	15.4%	23.3%	8.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo	2017	9.5%	16.1%	4.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo (ville)	2000	19.0%	52.8%	1.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo (ville)	2017	10.0%	39.9%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingbokolo	2000	9.2%	44.5%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingbokolo	2017	2.4%	13.3%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingende	2000	11.7%	19.4%	5.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingende	2017	6.8%	13.5%	2.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inkisi	2000	19.2%	93.7%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inkisi	2017	11.9%	85.6%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo	2000	10.3%	17.4%	4.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo	2017	5.7%	11.3%	2.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo (ville)	2000	2.8%	19.4%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo (ville)	2017	1.0%	8.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Irumu	2000	24.3%	34.6%	17.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Irumu	2017	17.0%	24.4%	12.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi	2000	14.0%	25.2%	5.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi	2017	8.0%	16.8%	2.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi (ville)	2000	28.7%	99.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi (ville)	2017	19.4%	98.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isiro	2000	4.6%	9.0%	1.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isiro	2017	1.0%	2.4%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabalo	2000	16.0%	26.1%	8.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabalo	2017	9.6%	17.1%	4.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabambare	2000	11.1%	17.6%	5.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabambare	2017	6.1%	10.7%	2.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabare	2000	21.3%	28.2%	17.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabare	2017	13.1%	17.9%	9.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabeya-Kamwanga	2000	19.7%	41.0%	5.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabeya-Kamwanga	2017	10.7%	25.4%	2.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda	2000	22.4%	32.7%	15.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda	2017	15.1%	23.5%	9.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda (ville)	2000	13.2%	16.3%	10.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda (ville)	2017	9.6%	12.9%	6.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabongo	2000	17.1%	27.6%	9.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabongo	2017	10.1%	17.9%	5.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba	2000	14.0%	21.6%	8.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba	2017	8.2%	14.7%	4.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba (ville)	2000	5.4%	41.7%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba (ville)	2017	2.4%	26.9%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kailo	2000	7.7%	13.2%	3.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kailo	2017	4.4%	9.4%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalehe	2000	10.7%	19.1%	4.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalehe	2017	6.5%	14.8%	1.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie	2000	16.6%	29.7%	8.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie	2017	10.4%	19.2%	4.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie (ville)	2000	15.7%	89.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie (ville)	2017	10.4%	84.7%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalima	2000	14.0%	62.9%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalima	2017	7.1%	41.7%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kambove	2000	21.8%	33.9%	13.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kambove	2017	14.1%	22.5%	8.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamiji	2000	19.4%	49.8%	1.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamiji	2017	11.0%	32.8%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina	2000	18.8%	25.0%	12.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina	2017	11.5%	16.4%	6.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina (ville)	2000	23.4%	40.0%	12.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina (ville)	2017	8.4%	19.3%	2.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamituga	2000	10.2%	66.8%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamituga	2017	9.2%	62.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamonia	2000	15.0%	20.4%	9.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamonia	2017	9.1%	13.8%	5.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kananga	2000	1.9%	4.2%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kananga	2017	0.6%	1.5%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaniama	2000	17.8%	30.0%	8.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaniama	2017	10.5%	20.0%	4.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaoze	2000	32.9%	99.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaoze	2017	22.3%	97.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kapanga	2000	16.3%	24.6%	9.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kapanga	2017	9.8%	15.9%	5.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasaji	2000	24.9%	72.2%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasaji	2017	13.6%	57.9%	0.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu	2000	22.2%	38.7%	11.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu	2017	15.4%	29.2%	6.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu (ville)	2000	52.6%	77.8%	21.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu (ville)	2017	23.5%	55.3%	4.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasenga	2000	18.6%	27.3%	12.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasenga	2017	11.0%	17.0%	6.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo	2000	10.5%	16.4%	5.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo	2017	5.8%	10.2%	2.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo (ville)	2000	3.0%	16.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo (ville)	2017	1.2%	9.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda	2000	13.1%	18.0%	8.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda	2017	7.4%	11.8%	3.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda (ville)	2000	2.2%	17.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda (ville)	2017	0.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katako-Kombe	2000	13.0%	18.4%	7.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katako-Kombe	2017	7.5%	11.5%	3.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katanda	2000	30.9%	43.6%	21.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katanda	2017	18.6%	28.2%	12.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kazumba	2000	10.3%	15.9%	5.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kazumba	2017	6.2%	11.4%	2.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge	2000	14.0%	21.4%	8.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge	2017	7.8%	13.7%	4.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge (ville)	2000	4.6%	25.4%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge (ville)	2017	2.5%	14.2%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kibombo	2000	12.6%	19.7%	6.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kibombo	2017	7.5%	13.9%	3.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kikwit	2000	62.7%	66.3%	58.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kikwit	2017	42.7%	46.1%	38.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kimvula	2000	12.4%	29.0%	1.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kimvula	2017	7.0%	17.0%	1.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kindu	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kindu	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kinshasa	2000	71.8%	73.3%	70.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kinshasa	2017	47.4%	49.1%	45.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi	2000	28.2%	40.5%	18.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi	2017	19.2%	30.5%	11.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi (ville)	2000	49.4%	54.0%	42.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi (ville)	2017	43.1%	52.5%	24.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kiri	2000	13.1%	30.2%	5.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kiri	2017	7.4%	20.7%	2.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kisangani	2000	34.6%	40.3%	29.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kisangani	2017	21.0%	26.6%	17.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kole	2000	11.1%	17.7%	5.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kole	2017	6.1%	10.7%	2.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kolwezi	2000	65.6%	82.6%	49.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kolwezi	2017	51.8%	69.0%	38.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo	2000	17.7%	29.1%	8.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo	2017	10.1%	18.1%	4.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo (ville)	2000	13.3%	32.3%	2.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo (ville)	2017	7.7%	23.8%	1.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kungu	2000	12.8%	21.2%	5.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kungu	2017	7.5%	13.9%	2.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kutu	2000	12.6%	19.4%	6.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kutu	2017	7.1%	12.8%	2.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kwamouth	2000	31.0%	38.2%	23.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kwamouth	2017	21.4%	29.5%	14.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Libenge	2000	13.4%	22.4%	6.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Libenge	2017	7.6%	13.9%	3.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Likasi	2000	70.4%	81.7%	62.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Likasi	2017	58.4%	68.9%	49.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala	2000	11.8%	19.2%	4.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala	2017	6.3%	11.5%	2.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala (ville)	2000	2.1%	5.9%	0.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala (ville)	2017	0.6%	2.3%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja	2000	17.5%	24.9%	10.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja	2017	9.1%	16.3%	4.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja (ville)	2000	9.5%	12.1%	6.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja (ville)	2017	4.0%	8.3%	1.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lomela	2000	10.5%	14.5%	6.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lomela	2017	5.5%	8.2%	3.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao	2000	17.2%	24.9%	10.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao	2017	10.2%	16.9%	5.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao (ville)	2000	20.6%	51.5%	2.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao (ville)	2017	7.0%	27.0%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubefu	2000	14.4%	22.8%	7.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubefu	2017	8.0%	14.3%	3.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubero	2000	14.6%	22.2%	10.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubero	2017	7.6%	13.5%	4.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubudi	2000	19.4%	33.8%	10.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubudi	2017	12.0%	23.3%	5.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubumbashi	2000	53.1%	58.6%	47.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubumbashi	2017	30.7%	37.3%	22.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu	2000	8.4%	14.2%	3.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu	2017	4.7%	9.5%	1.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu (ville)	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu (ville)	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo	2000	12.2%	22.2%	4.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo	2017	7.7%	15.2%	2.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo (ville)	2000	1.2%	4.4%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo (ville)	2017	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luilu	2000	27.7%	34.7%	20.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luilu	2017	18.5%	25.2%	12.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luiza	2000	12.9%	20.8%	6.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luiza	2017	7.5%	13.0%	3.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukalaba	2000	1.3%	8.9%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukalaba	2017	0.9%	7.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukolela	2000	7.5%	18.9%	2.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukolela	2017	3.8%	10.7%	1.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula	2000	9.9%	23.9%	1.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula	2017	5.3%	14.7%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula (ville)	2000	14.4%	64.8%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula (ville)	2017	4.4%	30.2%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luozi	2000	23.6%	37.8%	13.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luozi	2017	19.0%	29.8%	11.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lupatapata	2000	40.5%	45.1%	37.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lupatapata	2017	21.2%	24.8%	18.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo	2000	12.8%	22.6%	5.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo	2017	7.4%	15.4%	2.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo (ville)	2000	11.9%	49.6%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo (ville)	2017	3.9%	24.2%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Madimba	2000	10.9%	22.4%	4.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Madimba	2017	5.8%	14.6%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi	2000	19.9%	30.4%	12.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi	2017	10.0%	18.0%	4.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi (ville)	2000	11.5%	47.3%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi (ville)	2017	4.6%	32.6%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Makanza	2000	10.7%	22.9%	3.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Makanza	2017	6.0%	16.6%	1.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Malemba-Nkulu	2000	18.5%	28.9%	9.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Malemba-Nkulu	2017	10.2%	18.6%	4.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mambasa	2000	16.2%	28.1%	7.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mambasa	2017	9.6%	18.1%	3.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mangai	2000	0.2%	2.0%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mangai	2017	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono	2000	20.0%	29.2%	12.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono	2017	12.0%	18.6%	7.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono (ville)	2000	9.5%	26.3%	1.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono (ville)	2017	3.2%	11.8%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba	2000	15.8%	22.7%	9.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba	2017	9.6%	15.2%	4.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba (ville)	2000	41.9%	60.9%	23.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba (ville)	2017	11.8%	21.3%	5.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masisi	2000	12.9%	28.9%	4.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masisi	2017	7.3%	19.6%	1.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Matadi	2000	96.6%	98.5%	90.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Matadi	2017	90.5%	94.6%	80.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbandaka	2000	8.4%	26.4%	1.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbandaka	2017	5.2%	20.6%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu	2000	19.6%	33.2%	9.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu	2017	12.2%	22.2%	5.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu (ville)	2000	70.6%	82.0%	47.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu (ville)	2017	59.2%	76.0%	36.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbuji-Mayi	2000	57.8%	58.9%	56.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbuji-Mayi	2017	32.2%	34.0%	30.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi	2000	17.6%	30.4%	8.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi	2017	8.8%	17.5%	3.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi (ville)	2000	3.1%	9.8%	0.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi (ville)	2017	0.7%	2.6%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mitwaba	2000	18.7%	28.0%	11.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mitwaba	2017	10.9%	17.5%	5.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda	2000	25.7%	38.8%	17.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda	2017	19.3%	28.4%	13.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda (ville)	2000	20.5%	87.9%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda (ville)	2017	10.9%	70.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moba	2000	19.1%	31.7%	10.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moba	2017	11.2%	20.7%	5.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo	2000	14.7%	28.8%	4.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo	2017	8.6%	19.9%	2.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo (ville)	2000	14.1%	94.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo (ville)	2017	8.5%	79.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mongwalu	2000	37.1%	94.4%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mongwalu	2017	16.1%	75.1%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Monkoto	2000	11.8%	21.8%	5.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Monkoto	2017	6.7%	13.5%	2.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mushie	2000	13.1%	22.6%	5.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mushie	2017	8.0%	15.9%	2.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mutshatsha	2000	33.1%	43.7%	23.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mutshatsha	2017	22.5%	31.8%	16.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mweka	2000	12.9%	20.5%	6.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mweka	2017	7.3%	12.9%	3.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwene-Ditu	2000	6.8%	13.6%	3.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwene-Ditu	2017	2.2%	5.0%	1.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwenga	2000	11.3%	20.6%	5.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwenga	2017	6.4%	13.3%	1.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Namoya	2000	9.3%	83.0%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Namoya	2017	5.4%	81.0%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika	2000	15.2%	25.3%	7.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika	2017	9.3%	16.8%	3.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika (ville)	2000	8.3%	19.0%	3.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika (ville)	2017	1.9%	4.7%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Niangara	2000	14.1%	23.1%	6.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Niangara	2017	8.0%	14.6%	2.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nioki	2000	4.7%	19.7%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nioki	2017	1.2%	7.7%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyiragongo	2000	19.7%	54.8%	7.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyiragongo	2017	8.8%	37.0%	2.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyunzu	2000	26.0%	36.7%	17.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyunzu	2017	16.0%	25.3%	9.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oicha	2000	23.4%	34.6%	15.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oïcha	2017	15.2%	23.3%	9.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oïcha (ville)	2000	1.0%	4.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oïcha (ville)	2017	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Opala	2000	13.4%	22.0%	6.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Opala	2017	7.6%	14.9%	2.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oshwe	2000	11.7%	17.7%	7.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oshwe	2017	6.7%	11.8%	3.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pangi	2000	12.0%	19.9%	6.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pangi	2017	6.5%	12.5%	2.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Poko	2000	14.6%	24.7%	6.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Poko	2017	8.3%	15.7%	3.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Popokabaka	2000	14.1%	25.5%	5.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Popokabaka	2017	7.4%	15.4%	2.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia	2000	9.8%	17.0%	5.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia	2017	5.1%	10.1%	2.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia (ville)	2000	0.8%	4.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia (ville)	2017	0.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pweto	2000	21.3%	32.3%	13.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pweto	2017	14.3%	22.3%	9.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rungu	2000	14.0%	25.9%	6.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rungu	2017	8.0%	16.8%	2.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru	2000	22.7%	33.2%	13.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru	2017	14.4%	24.8%	6.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru (ville)	2000	55.7%	71.5%	37.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru (ville)	2017	24.1%	40.6%	10.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sakania	2000	20.0%	37.4%	8.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sakania	2017	12.2%	26.8%	4.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sandoa	2000	20.3%	28.9%	13.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sandoa	2017	12.3%	19.7%	6.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Seke-Banza	2000	30.7%	44.1%	19.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Seke-Banza	2017	20.1%	32.6%	11.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda	2000	14.5%	22.1%	8.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda	2017	8.1%	13.5%	3.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda (ville)	2000	1.6%	3.4%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda (ville)	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Songololo	2000	25.3%	37.6%	16.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Songololo	2017	15.7%	25.3%	9.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela	2000	28.8%	36.6%	22.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela	2017	25.0%	31.5%	19.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela (ville)	2000	27.9%	36.6%	24.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela (ville)	2017	27.1%	35.7%	21.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshikapa	2000	3.0%	8.0%	0.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshikapa	2017	0.7%	2.3%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge	2000	9.5%	25.7%	2.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge	2017	5.2%	19.3%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge (ville)	2000	33.9%	67.9%	10.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge (ville)	2017	11.7%	38.3%	2.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshimbulu	2000	5.6%	8.3%	3.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshimbulu	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ubundu	2000	13.3%	23.2%	6.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ubundu	2017	7.6%	15.0%	2.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira	2000	23.9%	38.7%	12.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira	2017	14.4%	27.9%	5.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira (ville)	2000	42.9%	50.1%	35.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira (ville)	2017	13.1%	18.0%	9.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walikale	2000	13.8%	21.5%	7.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walikale	2017	7.2%	13.0%	3.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walungu	2000	14.6%	33.6%	3.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walungu	2017	7.8%	21.5%	0.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba	2000	17.3%	34.3%	6.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba	2017	10.3%	22.0%	2.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba (ville)	2000	15.1%	76.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba (ville)	2017	9.0%	61.5%	0.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa	2000	16.8%	25.9%	9.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa	2017	9.1%	16.0%	4.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa (ville)	2000	12.2%	39.2%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa (ville)	2017	5.0%	27.1%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yahuma	2000	13.6%	24.1%	6.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yahuma	2017	7.7%	15.4%	2.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yakoma	2000	11.7%	24.4%	4.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yakoma	2017	6.8%	16.5%	2.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yangambi	2000	13.2%	85.6%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yangambi	2017	7.4%	74.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yumbi	2000	9.8%	30.7%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yumbi	2017	4.9%	17.8%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Zongo	2000	8.4%	44.2%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Zongo	2017	4.5%	30.3%	0.0%
Eritrea	Adi Keyih	2000	22.6%	32.0%	13.5%
Eritrea	Adi Keyih	2017	22.9%	32.0%	13.9%
Eritrea	Adi Kwala	2000	14.1%	22.8%	7.6%
Eritrea	Adi Kwala	2017	14.4%	23.3%	7.6%
Eritrea	Adi Teklezan	2000	36.6%	45.7%	28.6%
Eritrea	Adi Teklezan	2017	37.9%	47.9%	28.9%
Eritrea	Afabet	2000	37.3%	43.1%	31.7%
Eritrea	Afabet	2017	38.9%	44.8%	33.1%
Eritrea	Akordat	2000	34.0%	48.5%	21.9%
Eritrea	Akordat	2017	34.4%	49.1%	22.2%
Eritrea	Areta'	2000	49.2%	56.0%	43.6%
Eritrea	Areta'	2017	49.7%	56.5%	44.0%
Eritrea	Areza	2000	16.5%	21.2%	12.5%
Eritrea	Areza	2017	16.7%	21.3%	12.7%
Eritrea	Asmara City	2000	78.1%	86.3%	68.3%
Eritrea	Asmara City	2017	78.6%	86.7%	68.9%
Eritrea	Asmat	2000	27.3%	32.5%	21.6%
Eritrea	Asmat	2017	27.7%	32.9%	22.1%
Eritrea	Barentu	2000	34.5%	42.7%	27.7%
Eritrea	Barentu	2017	35.0%	43.1%	28.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Eritrea	Berikh	2000	81.0%	86.3%	76.0%
Eritrea	Berikh	2017	79.0%	84.6%	73.6%
Eritrea	Central So. Red-Sea	2000	49.3%	57.3%	41.1%
Eritrea	Central So. Red-Sea	2017	49.3%	57.6%	41.1%
Eritrea	Dahlak	2000	46.2%	62.8%	30.2%
Eritrea	Dahlak	2017	46.7%	63.7%	30.3%
Eritrea	Dekemehare	2000	16.1%	20.6%	12.0%
Eritrea	Dekemehare	2017	16.3%	20.9%	12.1%
Eritrea	Dghe	2000	35.8%	44.0%	27.9%
Eritrea	Dghe	2017	35.8%	43.6%	28.0%
Eritrea	Dibarwa	2000	17.4%	26.6%	11.1%
Eritrea	Dibarwa	2017	18.0%	27.7%	11.5%
Eritrea	Elabered	2000	30.6%	38.3%	24.0%
Eritrea	Elabered	2017	31.2%	39.0%	24.8%
Eritrea	Foro	2000	41.5%	49.5%	33.6%
Eritrea	Foro	2017	43.7%	52.3%	35.6%
Eritrea	Forto	2000	41.6%	51.7%	31.2%
Eritrea	Forto	2017	41.7%	51.6%	31.5%
Eritrea	Ghala Nefhi	2000	46.1%	56.8%	37.3%
Eritrea	Ghala Nefhi	2017	45.3%	56.4%	36.9%
Eritrea	Ghelaelo'	2000	37.2%	45.9%	29.6%
Eritrea	Ghelaelo'	2017	37.8%	46.1%	29.8%
Eritrea	Gheleb	2000	32.7%	43.8%	22.4%
Eritrea	Gheleb	2017	33.3%	44.7%	22.4%
Eritrea	Ghida'e	2000	40.8%	47.6%	33.9%
Eritrea	Ghida'e	2017	41.0%	47.7%	34.0%
Eritrea	Gogne	2000	35.6%	42.6%	28.1%
Eritrea	Gogne	2017	36.0%	42.4%	28.7%
Eritrea	Habero	2000	30.2%	38.1%	22.9%
Eritrea	Habero	2017	30.5%	38.6%	23.3%
Eritrea	Hagaz	2000	36.0%	43.3%	28.8%
Eritrea	Hagaz	2017	35.6%	42.8%	28.6%
Eritrea	Halhal	2000	29.5%	36.9%	23.7%
Eritrea	Halhal	2017	29.9%	37.2%	23.9%
Eritrea	Haykota	2000	35.4%	45.3%	26.6%
Eritrea	Haykota	2017	35.7%	46.1%	26.7%
Eritrea	Karora	2000	33.1%	38.7%	27.4%
Eritrea	Karora	2017	33.6%	39.5%	27.8%
Eritrea	Keren	2000	29.6%	38.8%	21.9%
Eritrea	Keren	2017	29.9%	39.0%	22.2%
Eritrea	Kerke Bet	2000	29.1%	36.5%	22.3%
Eritrea	Kerke Bet	2017	29.4%	37.0%	22.1%
Eritrea	Kudo Bu'er	2000	13.2%	21.5%	8.2%
Eritrea	Kudo Bu'er	2017	13.4%	21.5%	8.3%
Eritrea	La'Elay Gash	2000	35.1%	43.0%	28.3%
Eritrea	La'Elay Gash	2017	35.6%	42.6%	29.6%
Eritrea	Logo Anseba	2000	40.5%	49.3%	32.5%
Eritrea	Logo Anseba	2017	41.2%	50.1%	33.0%
Eritrea	Mansura	2000	35.9%	43.0%	30.3%
Eritrea	Mansura	2017	36.3%	43.3%	30.9%
Eritrea	May Mine	2000	20.5%	29.8%	13.6%
Eritrea	May Mine	2017	21.0%	30.7%	14.0%
Eritrea	Mendefera	2000	13.4%	21.4%	7.6%
Eritrea	Mendefera	2017	13.0%	20.8%	7.4%
Eritrea	Mitswa'e City	2000	41.5%	52.2%	30.3%
Eritrea	Mitswa'e City	2017	42.2%	53.2%	30.7%
Eritrea	Mogolo	2000	34.7%	43.1%	26.2%
Eritrea	Mogolo	2017	35.2%	43.4%	26.9%
Eritrea	Nakfa	2000	37.9%	49.2%	27.4%
Eritrea	Nakfa	2017	38.2%	49.5%	28.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Eritrea	Omhajer	2000	35.8%	41.5%	29.6%
Eritrea	Omhajer	2017	36.2%	42.1%	29.7%
Eritrea	Segeneyiti	2000	16.5%	25.0%	11.0%
Eritrea	Segeneyiti	2017	17.2%	25.6%	11.4%
Eritrea	Sel'a	2000	29.4%	34.0%	24.5%
Eritrea	Sel'a	2017	29.9%	34.7%	24.9%
Eritrea	Senafe	2000	21.5%	30.4%	14.1%
Eritrea	Senafe	2017	21.4%	30.1%	14.1%
Eritrea	Serejeka	2000	60.8%	72.1%	47.1%
Eritrea	Serejeka	2017	60.5%	71.7%	47.0%
Eritrea	Sheib	2000	45.3%	52.5%	36.8%
Eritrea	Sheib	2017	46.0%	53.4%	37.8%
Eritrea	Shemboko	2000	33.6%	39.2%	28.6%
Eritrea	Shemboko	2017	34.2%	40.1%	28.9%
Eritrea	So. Southern Red-Sea	2000	48.4%	54.7%	41.4%
Eritrea	So. Southern Red-Sea	2017	48.9%	55.3%	41.8%
Eritrea	Teseneye	2000	49.5%	64.4%	34.7%
Eritrea	Teseneye	2017	50.8%	65.6%	35.8%
Eritrea	Tsorena	2000	17.9%	27.3%	10.0%
Eritrea	Tsorena	2017	18.4%	27.4%	10.4%
Ethiopia	Addis Abeba	2000	90.6%	91.5%	89.7%
Ethiopia	Addis Abeba	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.0%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 1	2000	25.9%	30.0%	22.1%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 1	2017	41.2%	45.9%	36.2%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 2	2000	25.0%	30.3%	19.5%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 2	2017	41.1%	47.7%	34.0%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 3	2000	32.9%	38.8%	26.9%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 3	2017	44.1%	51.1%	36.8%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 4	2000	20.4%	27.0%	15.8%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 4	2017	36.8%	45.0%	30.3%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 5	2000	24.5%	30.5%	19.2%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 5	2017	39.5%	46.7%	32.9%
Ethiopia	Afder	2000	21.5%	25.5%	17.6%
Ethiopia	Afder	2017	36.8%	41.4%	32.0%
Ethiopia	Agew Awi	2000	23.1%	31.5%	15.4%
Ethiopia	Agew Awi	2017	38.0%	46.7%	29.3%
Ethiopia	Agnuak	2000	17.5%	20.7%	14.6%
Ethiopia	Agnuak	2017	35.3%	39.5%	31.9%
Ethiopia	Alaba	2000	45.1%	57.2%	35.0%
Ethiopia	Alaba	2017	66.0%	76.3%	57.3%
Ethiopia	Alle	2000	28.3%	52.0%	10.9%
Ethiopia	Alle	2017	47.1%	72.6%	21.7%
Ethiopia	Amaro	2000	23.5%	41.9%	9.7%
Ethiopia	Amaro	2017	39.5%	59.9%	19.7%
Ethiopia	Argoba	2000	9.5%	18.8%	4.0%
Ethiopia	Argoba	2017	27.0%	40.2%	14.1%
Ethiopia	Arsi	2000	21.6%	26.3%	16.7%
Ethiopia	Arsi	2017	39.1%	44.1%	33.0%
Ethiopia	Asosa	2000	17.1%	19.5%	14.8%
Ethiopia	Asosa	2017	31.8%	35.0%	28.6%
Ethiopia	Bahir Dar Special Zone	2000	48.1%	52.4%	40.7%
Ethiopia	Bahir Dar Special Zone	2017	71.8%	76.2%	66.6%
Ethiopia	Bale	2000	21.9%	27.7%	16.5%
Ethiopia	Bale	2017	38.0%	45.5%	31.7%
Ethiopia	Basketo	2000	37.4%	70.0%	9.2%
Ethiopia	Basketo	2017	51.6%	80.8%	23.1%
Ethiopia	Bench Maji	2000	20.6%	27.9%	14.4%
Ethiopia	Bench Maji	2017	32.6%	42.0%	24.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Borena	2000	21.9%	27.6%	17.1%
Ethiopia	Borena	2017	37.0%	43.3%	31.5%
Ethiopia	Burji	2000	23.4%	50.8%	5.3%
Ethiopia	Burji	2017	38.4%	63.2%	14.4%
Ethiopia	Dawro	2000	24.5%	37.9%	13.0%
Ethiopia	Dawro	2017	40.7%	57.1%	27.3%
Ethiopia	Debub	2000	17.4%	22.4%	13.3%
Ethiopia	Gondar				
Ethiopia	Debub	2017	30.5%	37.3%	25.0%
Ethiopia	Gondar				
Ethiopia	Debub Mirab	2000	29.8%	36.9%	22.1%
Ethiopia	Shewa				
Ethiopia	Debub Mirab	2017	47.6%	55.5%	38.6%
Ethiopia	Shewa				
Ethiopia	Debub Omo	2000	20.4%	29.4%	13.3%
Ethiopia	Debub Omo	2017	36.8%	46.5%	27.7%
Ethiopia	Debub Wollo	2000	24.3%	29.6%	19.6%
Ethiopia	Debub Wollo	2017	40.3%	46.2%	34.1%
Ethiopia	Debubawi	2000	42.5%	47.3%	38.4%
Ethiopia	Debubawi	2017	59.3%	63.9%	54.7%
Ethiopia	Derashe	2000	10.5%	26.4%	1.6%
Ethiopia	Derashe	2017	21.1%	43.7%	5.9%
Ethiopia	Dire Dawa	2000	57.4%	59.1%	55.5%
Ethiopia	Dire Dawa	2017	81.6%	82.9%	80.6%
Ethiopia	Doolo	2000	22.6%	29.0%	17.0%
Ethiopia	Doolo	2017	39.5%	46.3%	32.9%
Ethiopia	Fafan	2000	21.2%	26.6%	17.1%
Ethiopia	Fafan	2017	39.0%	44.5%	33.9%
Ethiopia	Gamo Gofa	2000	19.6%	26.7%	13.9%
Ethiopia	Gamo Gofa	2017	34.1%	41.8%	26.8%
Ethiopia	Gedeo	2000	26.8%	37.9%	19.6%
Ethiopia	Gedeo	2017	43.3%	53.9%	33.0%
Ethiopia	Guji	2000	17.3%	23.4%	12.1%
Ethiopia	Guji	2017	29.9%	37.3%	22.9%
Ethiopia	Gurage	2000	17.5%	22.8%	12.2%
Ethiopia	Gurage	2017	33.6%	40.0%	27.5%
Ethiopia	Hadiya	2000	20.9%	25.5%	16.9%
Ethiopia	Hadiya	2017	40.1%	45.5%	34.7%
Ethiopia	Hareri	2000	28.1%	29.5%	26.9%
Ethiopia	Hareri	2017	54.5%	55.6%	53.2%
Ethiopia	Horo Guduru	2000	19.3%	25.9%	13.1%
Ethiopia	Horo Guduru	2017	38.4%	46.8%	30.5%
Ethiopia	Ilubabor	2000	22.2%	28.8%	17.0%
Ethiopia	Ilubabor	2017	38.7%	45.6%	32.1%
Ethiopia	Jarar	2000	21.5%	28.0%	16.0%
Ethiopia	Jarar	2017	37.6%	45.0%	31.0%
Ethiopia	Jimma	2000	23.3%	29.0%	19.0%
Ethiopia	Jimma	2017	40.0%	45.9%	34.9%
Ethiopia	Keffa	2000	18.7%	25.6%	13.0%
Ethiopia	Keffa	2017	32.9%	41.3%	25.2%
Ethiopia	Kelem	2000	23.9%	33.3%	15.9%
Ethiopia	Wellega				
Ethiopia	Kelem	2017	41.1%	51.2%	31.8%
Ethiopia	Wellega				
Ethiopia	Kemashi	2000	18.1%	23.1%	13.5%
Ethiopia	Kemashi	2017	32.1%	38.2%	25.5%
Ethiopia	Kembata	2000	33.5%	44.9%	24.6%
Ethiopia	Tembaro				
Ethiopia	Kembata	2017	53.3%	62.3%	43.9%
Ethiopia	Tembaro				
Ethiopia	Konso	2000	20.6%	40.0%	7.4%
Ethiopia	Konso	2017	35.1%	55.8%	17.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Konta	2000	19.0%	39.1%	5.4%
Ethiopia	Konta	2017	34.1%	54.2%	15.6%
Ethiopia	Korahe	2000	20.9%	25.5%	16.4%
Ethiopia	Korahe	2017	36.3%	42.8%	30.5%
Ethiopia	Liben	2000	22.0%	26.6%	18.0%
Ethiopia	Liben	2017	38.2%	43.4%	33.4%
Ethiopia	Majang	2000	5.9%	8.5%	4.1%
Ethiopia	Majang	2017	17.0%	20.7%	14.1%
Ethiopia	Mehakegnaw	2000	24.2%	28.7%	19.9%
Ethiopia	Mehakegnaw	2017	37.4%	42.9%	31.7%
Ethiopia	Metekel	2000	13.4%	16.5%	10.6%
Ethiopia	Metekel	2017	25.7%	29.4%	22.6%
Ethiopia	Mi'irabawi	2000	27.3%	34.3%	21.4%
Ethiopia	Mi'irabawi	2017	44.3%	52.4%	36.7%
Ethiopia	Mirab Arsi	2000	25.8%	30.6%	21.9%
Ethiopia	Mirab Arsi	2017	43.5%	49.4%	38.3%
Ethiopia	Mirab Gojjam	2000	24.7%	30.0%	19.9%
Ethiopia	Mirab Gojjam	2017	41.8%	47.9%	35.5%
Ethiopia	Mirab	2000	22.0%	27.5%	17.6%
Ethiopia	Hararghe				
Ethiopia	Mirab Hararghe	2017	37.9%	44.2%	32.3%
Ethiopia	Mirab Shewa	2000	25.4%	30.2%	21.1%
Ethiopia	Mirab Shewa	2017	42.2%	47.7%	37.2%
Ethiopia	Mirab Welega	2000	20.6%	26.4%	15.4%
Ethiopia	Mirab Welega	2017	37.8%	44.8%	31.8%
Ethiopia	Misraq Gojjam	2000	19.1%	25.1%	14.2%
Ethiopia	Misraq Gojjam	2017	34.2%	41.9%	27.5%
Ethiopia	Misraq Harerge	2000	16.7%	19.5%	13.8%
Ethiopia	Misraq Harerge	2017	32.1%	36.4%	28.6%
Ethiopia	Misraq Shewa	2000	35.1%	40.5%	30.6%
Ethiopia	Misraq Shewa	2017	51.7%	58.3%	45.2%
Ethiopia	Misraq Wellega	2000	18.4%	25.0%	12.8%
Ethiopia	Misraq Wellega	2017	35.0%	43.0%	27.3%
Ethiopia	Misraqawi	2000	25.2%	31.3%	20.4%
Ethiopia	Misraqawi	2017	42.9%	49.4%	37.3%
Ethiopia	Nogob	2000	23.5%	30.7%	16.9%
Ethiopia	Nogob	2017	40.8%	48.6%	33.6%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2000	24.7%	30.6%	19.5%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2000	17.9%	21.9%	13.7%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2017	44.5%	51.2%	38.3%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2017	32.9%	37.9%	27.3%
Ethiopia	Nuer	2000	12.0%	16.5%	8.6%
Ethiopia	Nuer	2017	23.8%	29.5%	18.9%
Ethiopia	Oromia	2000	14.3%	22.8%	8.6%
Ethiopia	Oromia	2017	28.1%	37.6%	19.8%
Ethiopia	Semen Gondar	2000	20.8%	25.5%	17.0%
Ethiopia	Semen Gondar	2017	36.1%	41.2%	31.1%
Ethiopia	Semen Wello	2000	19.6%	24.9%	14.9%
Ethiopia	Semen Wello	2017	35.0%	42.1%	28.4%
Ethiopia	Semien Mi'irabaw	2000	25.1%	31.0%	20.1%
Ethiopia	Semien Mi'irabaw	2017	40.6%	47.4%	33.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Shabelle	2000	19.4%	27.1%	14.5%
Ethiopia	Shabelle	2017	34.2%	43.7%	27.9%
Ethiopia	Sheka	2000	19.5%	32.1%	9.4%
Ethiopia	Sheka	2017	32.9%	48.3%	18.9%
Ethiopia	Sidama	2000	19.7%	23.9%	16.2%
Ethiopia	Sidama	2017	34.6%	39.9%	29.6%
Ethiopia	Silti	2000	27.1%	37.7%	18.4%
Ethiopia	Silti	2017	47.4%	59.1%	35.1%
Ethiopia	Siti	2000	25.6%	30.7%	21.0%
Ethiopia	Siti	2017	40.7%	46.2%	35.3%
Ethiopia	Wag Himra	2000	19.5%	28.7%	11.2%
Ethiopia	Wag Himra	2017	35.4%	45.5%	24.1%
Ethiopia	Wolayita	2000	26.3%	31.5%	22.2%
Ethiopia	Wolayita	2017	52.2%	57.4%	47.1%
Ethiopia	Yem	2000	16.7%	40.5%	3.3%
Ethiopia	Yem	2017	30.6%	51.2%	12.5%
Gabon	Abanga-Bigné	2000	40.2%	50.9%	28.9%
Gabon	Abanga-Bigné	2017	48.9%	59.8%	36.2%
Gabon	Basse Banio	2000	38.1%	49.9%	26.0%
Gabon	Basse Banio	2017	46.3%	58.2%	33.0%
Gabon	Bendjé	2000	48.2%	58.9%	36.0%
Gabon	Bendjé	2017	55.7%	65.8%	42.8%
Gabon	Boumi-lowetsi	2000	34.1%	45.9%	23.5%
Gabon	Boumi-lowetsi	2017	42.5%	56.2%	30.7%
Gabon	Dola	2000	47.0%	60.0%	34.6%
Gabon	Dola	2017	54.0%	66.9%	41.5%
Gabon	Douigny	2000	39.0%	57.5%	23.3%
Gabon	Douigny	2017	48.3%	66.4%	31.1%
Gabon	Douya Onoye	2000	56.7%	70.7%	44.3%
Gabon	Douya Onoye	2017	61.6%	73.9%	48.3%
Gabon	Étimboué	2000	41.7%	51.4%	32.3%
Gabon	Étimboué	2017	50.3%	60.1%	40.1%
Gabon	Haut-Como	2000	31.3%	51.9%	15.6%
Gabon	Haut-Como	2017	39.5%	61.0%	21.8%
Gabon	Haut-Ntem	2000	27.8%	35.6%	20.6%
Gabon	Haut-Ntem	2017	35.8%	44.4%	27.2%
Gabon	Haute-Banio	2000	30.1%	51.3%	12.1%
Gabon	Haute-Banio	2017	40.4%	60.5%	20.2%
Gabon	Ivindo	2000	36.2%	43.5%	29.0%
Gabon	Ivindo	2017	44.2%	52.3%	36.6%
Gabon	Komo	2000	43.1%	58.9%	28.3%
Gabon	Komo	2017	52.1%	66.6%	36.5%
Gabon	Komo-Mondah	2000	86.3%	90.7%	81.0%
Gabon	Komo-Mondah	2017	90.3%	93.9%	86.0%
Gabon	Léboumbi-Leyou	2000	56.2%	70.5%	42.5%
Gabon	Léboumbi-Leyou	2017	58.7%	73.8%	44.2%
Gabon	Léconi-Djoué	2000	37.1%	50.0%	23.9%
Gabon	Léconi-Djoué	2017	44.5%	57.7%	31.4%
Gabon	Lékoko	2000	36.7%	47.7%	26.2%
Gabon	Lékoko	2017	44.9%	57.4%	33.1%
Gabon	Lolo Bouen-guidi	2000	35.9%	44.2%	27.4%
Gabon	Lolo Bouen-guidi	2017	43.4%	52.6%	33.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Gabon	Lombo-Bouenguidi	2000	32.2%	45.3%	21.9%
Gabon	Lombo-Bouenguidi	2017	39.9%	54.5%	27.4%
Gabon	Lopé	2000	31.6%	40.9%	23.5%
Gabon	Lopé	2017	40.4%	50.2%	31.7%
Gabon	Louetsi-Wano	2000	36.5%	55.0%	16.6%
Gabon	Louetsi-Wano	2017	47.1%	71.0%	21.3%
Gabon	Mougoutsi	2000	39.9%	49.7%	30.6%
Gabon	Mougoutsi	2017	47.9%	58.4%	37.0%
Gabon	Mouloudnou	2000	39.4%	47.7%	31.3%
Gabon	Mouloudnou	2017	46.8%	55.3%	37.0%
Gabon	Mpassa	2000	42.3%	52.7%	32.0%
Gabon	Mpassa	2017	47.7%	59.0%	36.6%
Gabon	Mvoug	2000	34.7%	49.1%	23.1%
Gabon	Mvoug	2017	42.7%	59.3%	29.2%
Gabon	Ndolou	2000	41.4%	56.3%	29.1%
Gabon	Ndolou	2017	50.1%	65.9%	36.7%
Gabon	Ndougou	2000	42.5%	52.8%	32.9%
Gabon	Ndougou	2017	50.2%	60.8%	39.7%
Gabon	Noya	2000	43.4%	57.0%	30.3%
Gabon	Noya	2017	52.1%	66.5%	38.0%
Gabon	Ntem	2000	29.5%	45.8%	15.9%
Gabon	Ntem	2017	36.8%	53.1%	23.2%
Gabon	Ogooué et des Lacs	2000	44.3%	54.6%	35.0%
Gabon	Ogooué et des Lacs	2017	51.9%	62.3%	41.8%
Gabon	Ogoulou	2000	35.4%	44.7%	26.3%
Gabon	Ogoulou	2017	43.8%	54.7%	33.2%
Gabon	Okano	2000	37.6%	47.3%	27.9%
Gabon	Okano	2017	46.4%	57.1%	35.8%
Gabon	Plateaux	2000	37.1%	51.3%	24.0%
Gabon	Plateaux	2017	45.0%	59.6%	30.6%
Gabon	Sébé-Brikolo	2000	35.7%	45.6%	26.2%
Gabon	Sébé-Brikolo	2017	44.5%	55.1%	34.3%
Gabon	Tsamba Mangotsi	2000	41.7%	51.7%	31.7%
Gabon	Tsamba Mangotsi	2017	50.2%	61.2%	39.8%
Gabon	Woleu	2000	36.0%	45.4%	26.5%
Gabon	Woleu	2017	43.0%	54.6%	33.2%
Gabon	Zadié	2000	26.8%	34.9%	19.2%
Gabon	Zadié	2017	34.6%	44.5%	25.7%
Gambia	Banjul	2000	19.7%	28.9%	15.7%
Gambia	Banjul	2017	99.3%	100.0%	98.0%
Gambia	Central Bad-dibu	2000	23.5%	43.5%	1.3%
Gambia	Central Bad-dibu	2017	48.0%	67.4%	22.9%
Gambia	Foni Bintang Karanai	2000	50.4%	91.7%	11.7%
Gambia	Foni Bintang Karanai	2017	67.3%	98.0%	30.0%
Gambia	Foni Bondali	2000	53.2%	100.0%	2.3%
Gambia	Foni Bondali	2017	75.3%	100.0%	30.9%
Gambia	Foni Brefet	2000	18.5%	47.3%	0.0%
Gambia	Foni Brefet	2017	24.2%	53.4%	1.3%
Gambia	Foni Jarrol	2000	68.2%	100.0%	32.8%
Gambia	Foni Jarrol	2017	79.6%	100.0%	30.7%
Gambia	Foni Kansala	2000	44.4%	82.7%	5.9%
Gambia	Foni Kansala	2017	74.0%	100.0%	43.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Gambia	Fulladu East	2000	48.6%	76.9%	21.6%
Gambia	Fulladu East	2017	69.9%	93.1%	43.3%
Gambia	Fulladu West	2000	57.1%	79.1%	32.3%
Gambia	Fulladu West	2017	74.4%	93.2%	48.9%
Gambia	Janjanbureh	2000	82.9%	94.4%	71.1%
Gambia	Janjanbureh	2017	97.8%	100.0%	88.8%
Gambia	Jarra Central	2000	70.8%	100.0%	35.8%
Gambia	Jarra Central	2017	82.2%	100.0%	50.3%
Gambia	Jarra East	2000	59.8%	99.2%	29.1%
Gambia	Jarra East	2017	73.3%	100.0%	36.8%
Gambia	Jarra West	2000	76.8%	89.5%	58.0%
Gambia	Jarra West	2017	92.8%	100.0%	69.7%
Gambia	Jokadu	2000	61.8%	96.7%	19.2%
Gambia	Jokadu	2017	77.6%	100.0%	43.2%
Gambia	Kanifing	2000	72.9%	95.5%	49.5%
Gambia	Kanifing	2017	90.5%	99.6%	66.2%
Gambia	Kantora	2000	50.3%	83.6%	18.1%
Gambia	Kantora	2017	69.9%	96.5%	33.5%
Gambia	Kiang Central	2000	49.9%	91.9%	12.0%
Gambia	Kiang Central	2017	73.5%	100.0%	39.8%
Gambia	Kiang East	2000	43.4%	82.0%	13.3%
Gambia	Kiang East	2017	80.8%	100.0%	41.5%
Gambia	Kiang West	2000	50.4%	71.7%	24.4%
Gambia	Kiang West	2017	66.7%	87.9%	40.3%
Gambia	Kombo Central	2000	74.6%	99.6%	47.7%
Gambia	Kombo Central	2017	90.2%	100.0%	65.0%
Gambia	Kombo East	2000	59.2%	88.5%	31.7%
Gambia	Kombo East	2017	81.6%	94.2%	64.5%
Gambia	Kombo Saint Mary	2000	42.0%	55.2%	26.9%
Gambia	Kombo Saint Mary	2017	45.3%	61.4%	24.9%
Gambia	Kombo South	2000	61.1%	88.9%	31.3%
Gambia	Kombo South	2017	76.4%	97.2%	46.7%
Gambia	Lower Bad-dibu	2000	78.3%	96.9%	59.2%
Gambia	Lower Bad-dibu	2017	89.6%	100.0%	70.2%
Gambia	Lower Nuimi	2000	55.4%	93.4%	16.7%
Gambia	Lower Nuimi	2017	72.2%	98.9%	33.6%
Gambia	Lower Saloum	2000	72.5%	100.0%	32.4%
Gambia	Lower Saloum	2017	81.6%	100.0%	37.7%
Gambia	Niamina Dankunku	2000	49.5%	81.1%	22.6%
Gambia	Niamina Dankunku	2017	70.2%	93.2%	35.9%
Gambia	Niamina East	2000	32.4%	62.0%	8.0%
Gambia	Niamina East	2017	61.5%	88.3%	31.8%
Gambia	Niamina West	2000	34.7%	79.2%	0.0%
Gambia	Niamina West	2017	57.9%	89.5%	21.4%
Gambia	Niani	2000	46.3%	75.9%	16.4%
Gambia	Niani	2017	65.2%	91.1%	35.7%
Gambia	Nianija	2000	28.8%	69.5%	0.2%
Gambia	Nianija	2017	59.6%	87.0%	24.5%
Gambia	Sami	2000	61.4%	85.2%	35.2%
Gambia	Sami	2017	75.2%	96.3%	49.0%
Gambia	Sandu	2000	52.6%	88.6%	15.2%
Gambia	Sandu	2017	69.5%	97.6%	37.4%
Gambia	Upper Bad-dibu	2000	57.2%	81.1%	31.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Gambia	Upper Bad-dibu	2017	74.7%	94.6%	54.0%
Gambia	Upper Nuimi	2000	50.4%	82.5%	16.6%
Gambia	Upper Nuimi	2017	66.5%	94.1%	31.8%
Gambia	Upper Saloum	2000	46.4%	83.1%	13.0%
Gambia	Upper Saloum	2017	69.0%	99.6%	34.6%
Gambia	Wuli	2000	57.0%	82.5%	26.6%
Gambia	Wuli	2017	74.3%	94.5%	46.7%
Ghana	Abura-Asebu-Kwamankese	2000	41.7%	47.0%	38.7%
Ghana	Abura-Asebu-Kwamankese	2017	49.2%	54.7%	46.2%
Ghana	Accra	2000	26.7%	27.4%	26.1%
Ghana	Accra	2017	30.5%	31.2%	29.9%
Ghana	Adaklu	2000	52.3%	65.4%	41.7%
Ghana	Anyigbe	2017	53.6%	66.5%	43.2%
Ghana	Adansi North	2000	25.2%	32.8%	19.2%
Ghana	Adansi North	2017	30.4%	38.7%	23.3%
Ghana	Adansi South	2000	31.2%	49.8%	15.4%
Ghana	Adansi South	2017	33.1%	50.7%	17.1%
Ghana	Afigya Sekyere	2000	20.8%	32.1%	11.3%
Ghana	Afigya Sekyere	2017	23.6%	35.2%	13.4%
Ghana	Afram Plains	2000	18.7%	28.5%	11.1%
Ghana	Afram Plains	2017	19.9%	29.2%	12.4%
Ghana	Agona	2000	44.7%	47.5%	40.1%
Ghana	Agona	2017	46.8%	49.5%	41.9%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano North	2000	26.8%	39.9%	17.3%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano North	2017	27.2%	41.5%	17.9%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano South	2000	28.7%	47.6%	14.2%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano South	2017	31.3%	49.1%	16.7%
Ghana	Ahanta West	2000	23.8%	32.3%	15.1%
Ghana	Ahanta West	2017	24.6%	30.8%	19.0%
Ghana	Ajumako-Enyan-Esiam	2000	30.9%	34.3%	25.3%
Ghana	Ajumako-Enyan-Esiam	2017	35.0%	38.5%	29.8%
Ghana	Akatsi	2000	39.7%	42.7%	35.9%
Ghana	Akatsi	2017	42.9%	46.1%	38.8%
Ghana	Akwapim North	2000	17.3%	22.5%	14.0%
Ghana	Akwapim North	2017	19.4%	24.9%	15.9%
Ghana	Akwapim South	2000	17.6%	29.0%	11.4%
Ghana	Akwapim South	2017	22.5%	36.0%	13.9%
Ghana	Amansie Central	2000	20.0%	30.6%	12.2%
Ghana	Amansie Central	2017	22.0%	33.5%	13.7%
Ghana	Amansie East	2000	42.1%	54.6%	27.6%
Ghana	Amansie East	2017	45.4%	59.0%	30.0%
Ghana	Amansie West	2000	19.9%	31.7%	8.2%
Ghana	Amansie West	2017	22.7%	34.4%	10.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Aowin-Suaman	2000	29.6%	40.8%	16.8%
Ghana	Aowin-Suaman	2017	31.1%	42.9%	18.0%
Ghana	Asante Akim North	2000	31.1%	49.0%	17.1%
Ghana	Asante Akim North	2017	31.3%	50.3%	15.8%
Ghana	Asante Akim South	2000	26.7%	38.9%	11.5%
Ghana	Asante Akim South	2017	28.6%	41.2%	12.6%
Ghana	Asikuma Odoben Brakwa	2000	38.7%	45.1%	32.6%
Ghana	Asikuma Odoben Brakwa	2017	40.2%	47.7%	34.3%
Ghana	Assin North	2000	17.7%	22.8%	13.3%
Ghana	Assin North	2017	18.6%	23.5%	14.4%
Ghana	Assin South	2000	10.8%	22.1%	4.1%
Ghana	Assin South	2017	13.0%	24.5%	5.4%
Ghana	Asunafo North	2000	40.2%	56.6%	28.3%
Ghana	Asunafo North	2017	41.9%	58.8%	28.8%
Ghana	Asunafo South	2000	17.2%	24.1%	10.9%
Ghana	Asunafo South	2017	18.1%	25.0%	11.6%
Ghana	Asuogyaman	2000	55.5%	69.9%	41.3%
Ghana	Asuogyaman	2017	58.3%	73.8%	44.0%
Ghana	Asutifi	2000	24.0%	35.8%	12.9%
Ghana	Asutifi	2017	24.0%	33.3%	15.2%
Ghana	Atebubu-Amantin	2000	31.8%	45.8%	20.3%
Ghana	Atebubu-Amantin	2017	32.6%	46.1%	21.7%
Ghana	Atiwa	2000	32.6%	51.2%	18.6%
Ghana	Atiwa	2017	35.1%	54.8%	20.6%
Ghana	Atwima	2000	24.4%	28.4%	21.5%
Ghana	Atwima	2017	30.2%	33.3%	27.8%
Ghana	Atwima Mponua	2000	33.5%	45.4%	21.8%
Ghana	Atwima Mponua	2017	34.2%	48.8%	21.4%
Ghana	Awutu Senya	2000	23.2%	24.8%	21.4%
Ghana	Awutu Senya	2017	27.5%	29.1%	25.6%
Ghana	Bawku Municipal	2000	2.8%	4.6%	2.1%
Ghana	Bawku Municipal	2017	3.9%	6.5%	2.9%
Ghana	Bawku West	2000	8.1%	11.8%	5.9%
Ghana	Bawku West	2017	9.8%	13.6%	7.5%
Ghana	Berekum	2000	32.8%	43.9%	23.1%
Ghana	Berekum	2017	38.2%	50.2%	27.5%
Ghana	Bia	2000	27.4%	43.1%	16.8%
Ghana	Bia	2017	28.0%	43.9%	17.0%
Ghana	Bibiani Anhwiaso Bekwai	2000	35.4%	43.0%	28.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Bibiani	2017	36.8%	44.7%	29.1%
	Anhwiaso				
	Bekwai				
Ghana	Birim North	2000	30.4%	39.3%	22.4%
Ghana	Birim North	2017	31.1%	40.5%	22.6%
Ghana	Birim South	2000	18.0%	26.5%	11.8%
Ghana	Birim South	2017	21.3%	30.8%	14.5%
Ghana	Bole	2000	49.1%	65.3%	31.6%
Ghana	Bole	2017	49.5%	65.3%	32.9%
Ghana	Bolgatanga	2000	11.4%	12.1%	10.7%
Ghana	Bolgatanga	2017	13.3%	14.1%	12.6%
Ghana	Bongo	2000	4.8%	9.0%	3.6%
Ghana	Bongo	2017	5.5%	9.8%	4.3%
Ghana	Bosomtwe-Kwanwoma	2000	16.1%	19.7%	12.8%
Ghana	Bosomtwe-Kwanwoma	2017	17.8%	20.9%	14.8%
Ghana	Builsa	2000	7.5%	14.7%	2.3%
Ghana	Builsa	2017	8.5%	16.4%	2.8%
Ghana	Bunkpurugu Yunyoo	2000	11.8%	19.2%	7.1%
Ghana	Bunkpurugu Yunyoo	2017	13.3%	20.8%	8.4%
Ghana	Cape Coast	2000	28.9%	34.7%	24.8%
Ghana	Cape Coast	2017	34.2%	38.8%	30.3%
Ghana	Central Gonja	2000	31.7%	46.1%	18.8%
Ghana	Central Gonja	2017	32.2%	45.6%	19.8%
Ghana	Dangbe East	2000	49.2%	57.9%	40.9%
Ghana	Dangbe East	2017	52.6%	61.6%	44.0%
Ghana	Dangbe West	2000	26.7%	42.7%	14.7%
Ghana	Dangbe West	2017	26.9%	43.4%	14.5%
Ghana	Dormaa	2000	19.3%	27.9%	11.7%
Ghana	Dormaa	2017	21.7%	31.6%	13.7%
Ghana	East Akim	2000	31.3%	34.3%	28.7%
Ghana	East Akim	2017	35.4%	38.4%	33.0%
Ghana	East Gonja	2000	20.4%	29.8%	13.1%
Ghana	East Gonja	2017	21.8%	31.2%	14.4%
Ghana	East Mamprusi	2000	32.6%	42.8%	24.5%
Ghana	East Mamprusi	2017	37.2%	48.0%	28.5%
Ghana	Ejisu-Juabeng	2000	22.2%	26.8%	18.3%
Ghana	Ejisu-Juabeng	2017	25.4%	29.8%	21.9%
Ghana	Ejura Sekyedumase	2000	25.6%	42.6%	13.5%
Ghana	Ejura Sekyedumase	2017	26.7%	42.9%	14.7%
Ghana	Fanteakwa	2000	22.5%	29.1%	16.2%
Ghana	Fanteakwa	2017	23.5%	31.1%	17.3%
Ghana	Ga East	2000	9.0%	11.8%	6.9%
Ghana	Ga East	2017	12.1%	15.4%	9.4%
Ghana	Ga West	2000	22.7%	30.7%	17.2%
Ghana	Ga West	2017	30.3%	37.2%	24.4%
Ghana	Garu Tempane	2000	10.2%	14.9%	6.8%
Ghana	Garu Tempane	2017	11.7%	16.8%	8.1%
Ghana	Gomoa	2000	35.9%	38.4%	33.3%
Ghana	Gomoa	2017	39.5%	42.1%	36.9%
Ghana	Gushiegu	2000	23.4%	32.3%	14.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Gushiegu	2017	25.6%	34.2%	17.1%
Ghana	Ho	2000	39.7%	42.3%	37.3%
Ghana	Ho	2017	44.1%	46.7%	41.8%
Ghana	Hohoe	2000	38.1%	39.7%	36.6%
Ghana	Hohoe	2017	41.1%	42.4%	39.4%
Ghana	Jaman North	2000	65.1%	74.6%	58.0%
Ghana	Jaman North	2017	66.3%	76.5%	58.7%
Ghana	Jaman South	2000	41.4%	53.2%	27.3%
Ghana	Jaman South	2017	43.5%	55.4%	28.4%
Ghana	Jasikan	2000	49.7%	62.5%	37.5%
Ghana	Jasikan	2017	50.8%	62.2%	40.2%
Ghana	Jirapa Lam- bussie	2000	12.5%	17.5%	9.8%
Ghana	Jirapa Lam- bussie	2017	16.7%	21.3%	14.1%
Ghana	Jomoro	2000	34.0%	46.2%	18.9%
Ghana	Jomoro	2017	36.4%	49.6%	21.5%
Ghana	Juabeso	2000	28.7%	42.7%	16.9%
Ghana	Juabeso	2017	30.2%	44.3%	18.8%
Ghana	Kadjebi	2000	43.5%	54.6%	32.8%
Ghana	Kadjebi	2017	43.9%	54.5%	33.5%
Ghana	Karaga	2000	26.8%	37.5%	17.9%
Ghana	Karaga	2017	28.4%	39.0%	18.9%
Ghana	Kassena	2000	13.5%	26.6%	5.4%
Ghana	Nankana Kassena Nankana	2017	15.1%	28.2%	6.6%
Ghana	Keta	2000	41.6%	55.2%	30.9%
Ghana	Keta	2017	45.2%	59.7%	32.9%
Ghana	Ketu	2000	26.0%	30.1%	22.7%
Ghana	Ketu	2017	29.9%	33.9%	26.8%
Ghana	Kintampo North	2000	27.5%	46.7%	15.3%
Ghana	Kintampo North	2017	29.0%	47.8%	17.2%
Ghana	Kintampo South	2000	17.4%	26.8%	11.3%
Ghana	Kintampo South	2017	17.9%	26.8%	11.2%
Ghana	Komenda- Edina-Eguafo- Abirem	2000	32.6%	37.1%	28.9%
Ghana	Komenda- Edina-Eguafo- Abirem	2017	36.6%	41.0%	32.3%
Ghana	Kpandu	2000	41.0%	55.0%	20.3%
Ghana	Kpandu	2017	42.0%	53.9%	22.5%
Ghana	Krachi	2000	42.4%	59.0%	27.0%
Ghana	Krachi	2017	46.3%	62.1%	30.7%
Ghana	Krachi East	2000	35.2%	56.3%	17.3%
Ghana	Krachi East	2017	37.2%	57.3%	19.4%
Ghana	Kumasi	2000	26.5%	27.1%	26.0%
Ghana	Kumasi	2017	31.5%	32.0%	30.9%
Ghana	Kwabibirem	2000	32.9%	39.3%	28.1%
Ghana	Kwabibirem	2017	34.9%	42.9%	28.8%
Ghana	Kwabre	2000	19.0%	22.8%	16.4%
Ghana	Kwabre	2017	25.5%	29.6%	22.2%
Ghana	Kwahu South	2000	21.2%	36.3%	7.9%
Ghana	Kwahu South	2017	23.8%	40.3%	10.1%
Ghana	Kwahu West	2000	30.7%	41.1%	19.6%
Ghana	Kwahu West	2017	35.0%	47.1%	22.0%
Ghana	Lawra	2000	9.6%	13.0%	7.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Lawra	2017	13.1%	16.5%	10.5%
Ghana	Lower Denkyira	2000	25.1%	34.0%	17.9%
Ghana	Lower Denkyira	2017	27.9%	36.0%	19.8%
Ghana	Manya Krobo	2000	19.4%	32.8%	11.8%
Ghana	Manya Krobo	2017	21.8%	36.1%	13.6%
Ghana	Mfantsiman	2000	60.4%	63.1%	57.8%
Ghana	Mfantsiman	2017	62.6%	65.3%	59.8%
Ghana	Mpohor	2000	32.9%	42.6%	24.9%
Ghana	Wassa East				
Ghana	Mpohor	2017	35.8%	44.9%	28.2%
Ghana	Wassa East				
Ghana	Nadowli	2000	9.5%	14.4%	5.9%
Ghana	Nadowli	2017	10.5%	15.5%	6.8%
Ghana	Nanumba	2000	12.2%	22.4%	5.5%
Ghana	North				
Ghana	Nanumba	2017	13.7%	24.3%	6.5%
Ghana	North				
Ghana	Nanumba	2000	15.2%	24.4%	8.8%
Ghana	South				
Ghana	Nanumba	2017	16.8%	25.9%	9.8%
Ghana	South				
Ghana	New Juaben	2000	25.2%	35.7%	18.5%
Ghana	New Juaben	2017	30.0%	42.6%	21.1%
Ghana	Nkoranza	2000	45.5%	57.9%	32.1%
Ghana	Nkoranza	2017	46.7%	59.2%	33.8%
Ghana	Nkwanta	2000	30.6%	44.3%	17.3%
Ghana	Nkwanta	2017	32.9%	46.7%	19.6%
Ghana	North Tongu	2000	46.5%	54.9%	38.0%
Ghana	North Tongu	2017	48.1%	56.3%	39.5%
Ghana	Nzema East	2000	23.8%	37.5%	12.5%
Ghana	Nzema East	2017	27.0%	42.8%	14.0%
Ghana	Obuasi Municipal	2000	54.2%	75.0%	28.3%
Ghana	Obuasi Municipal	2017	57.6%	76.5%	32.2%
Ghana	Offinso	2000	26.8%	38.9%	14.8%
Ghana	Offinso	2017	28.6%	41.2%	16.8%
Ghana	Pru	2000	18.3%	33.3%	6.6%
Ghana	Pru	2017	20.5%	34.7%	8.1%
Ghana	Saboba Chereponi	2000	24.3%	34.0%	14.4%
Ghana	Saboba Chereponi	2017	25.6%	35.6%	14.9%
Ghana	Savelugu Nanton	2000	24.6%	31.9%	18.4%
Ghana	Savelugu Nanton	2017	25.3%	33.0%	19.0%
Ghana	Sawa-Tuna-Kalba	2000	32.6%	44.5%	20.4%
Ghana	Sawa-Tuna-Kalba	2017	34.0%	45.0%	22.5%
Ghana	Sefwi Wiawso	2000	19.8%	28.2%	11.5%
Ghana	Sefwi Wiawso	2017	21.9%	30.2%	13.8%
Ghana	Sekyere East	2000	34.5%	47.3%	19.3%
Ghana	Sekyere East	2017	36.9%	50.3%	20.5%
Ghana	Sekyere West	2000	22.3%	34.0%	11.0%
Ghana	Sekyere West	2017	24.5%	36.8%	13.4%
Ghana	Sene	2000	30.3%	43.4%	15.7%
Ghana	Sene	2017	30.9%	44.2%	16.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Shama	2000	41.9%	43.2%	40.7%
	Ahanta				
	East				
Ghana	Shama	2017	46.3%	47.4%	45.0%
	Ahanta				
	East				
Ghana	Sissala East	2000	35.2%	49.2%	21.4%
Ghana	Sissala East	2017	36.8%	51.1%	22.0%
Ghana	Sissala West	2000	18.7%	33.3%	8.2%
Ghana	Sissala West	2017	19.4%	32.7%	9.3%
Ghana	South Dayi	2000	35.4%	60.3%	26.5%
Ghana	South Dayi	2017	36.9%	62.4%	27.0%
Ghana	South Tongu	2000	53.1%	73.4%	38.9%
Ghana	South Tongu	2017	58.9%	77.9%	44.4%
Ghana	Suhum	2000	17.9%	26.4%	10.1%
	Krabo				
	Coaltar				
Ghana	Suhum	2017	20.5%	29.5%	11.7%
	Krabo				
	Coaltar				
Ghana	Sunyani	2000	19.3%	27.2%	13.3%
Ghana	Sunyani	2017	23.4%	31.9%	16.5%
Ghana	Tain	2000	35.0%	47.1%	26.4%
Ghana	Tain	2017	36.5%	49.4%	26.5%
Ghana	Talensi Nabdam	2000	30.0%	35.6%	23.8%
Ghana	Talensi Nabdam	2017	27.7%	32.7%	22.5%
Ghana	Tamale	2000	47.3%	52.0%	40.2%
Ghana	Tamale	2017	51.2%	55.5%	44.1%
Ghana	Tano North	2000	20.2%	28.8%	15.1%
Ghana	Tano North	2017	23.3%	33.1%	17.8%
Ghana	Tano South	2000	22.7%	30.2%	17.5%
Ghana	Tano South	2017	26.9%	34.3%	21.6%
Ghana	Techiman	2000	31.1%	35.6%	25.5%
Ghana	Techiman	2017	32.7%	37.2%	26.8%
Ghana	Tema	2000	30.3%	32.7%	28.2%
Ghana	Tema	2017	33.9%	36.2%	31.7%
Ghana	Tolon-Kumbungu	2000	15.5%	20.4%	11.6%
Ghana	Tolon-Kumbungu	2017	15.3%	20.6%	11.4%
Ghana	Upper Denkyira	2000	15.0%	26.6%	8.4%
Ghana	Upper Denkyira	2017	17.0%	28.6%	10.2%
Ghana	Wa	2000	23.7%	29.4%	18.6%
Ghana	Wa	2017	26.3%	31.6%	21.2%
Ghana	Wa East	2000	38.5%	56.7%	17.7%
Ghana	Wa East	2017	40.4%	59.2%	19.4%
Ghana	Wa West	2000	16.1%	21.0%	12.0%
Ghana	Wa West	2017	18.3%	23.5%	13.9%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi East	2000	25.5%	41.9%	14.0%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi East	2017	30.2%	45.7%	18.0%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi West	2000	19.8%	32.6%	10.3%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi West	2017	21.4%	34.7%	11.4%
Ghana	Wassa West	2000	30.1%	41.9%	20.0%
Ghana	Wassa West	2017	31.8%	43.4%	22.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	West Akim	2000	6.8%	10.2%	4.8%
Ghana	West Akim	2017	7.9%	11.7%	5.7%
Ghana	West Gonja	2000	35.9%	48.8%	24.0%
Ghana	West Gonja	2017	36.1%	48.8%	24.5%
Ghana	West Mamprusi	2000	27.2%	38.6%	15.5%
Ghana	West Mamprusi	2017	27.0%	38.4%	15.6%
Ghana	Yendi	2000	21.5%	29.0%	15.4%
Ghana	Yendi	2017	25.1%	32.8%	18.5%
Ghana	Yilo Krobo	2000	23.6%	27.6%	19.8%
Ghana	Yilo Krobo	2017	24.0%	27.8%	20.3%
Ghana	Zabzugu	2000	14.2%	22.0%	8.0%
Ghana	Tatale Zabzugu	2017	15.9%	23.4%	9.5%
Ghana	Tatale				
Guinea	Beyla	2000	5.6%	9.5%	2.6%
Guinea	Beyla	2017	8.6%	13.7%	4.6%
Guinea	Boffa	2000	13.0%	20.3%	7.4%
Guinea	Boffa	2017	19.5%	28.7%	12.2%
Guinea	Boké	2000	18.5%	24.9%	13.1%
Guinea	Boké	2017	23.4%	30.5%	18.0%
Guinea	Conakry	2000	69.8%	76.0%	62.2%
Guinea	Conakry	2017	81.1%	86.8%	71.4%
Guinea	Coyah	2000	51.1%	54.3%	47.6%
Guinea	Coyah	2017	69.4%	71.8%	66.7%
Guinea	Dabola	2000	7.1%	11.6%	3.7%
Guinea	Dabola	2017	11.8%	17.9%	7.0%
Guinea	Dalaba	2000	4.9%	9.7%	1.6%
Guinea	Dalaba	2017	7.4%	13.3%	3.1%
Guinea	Dinguiraye	2000	4.1%	8.0%	1.6%
Guinea	Dinguiraye	2017	6.2%	11.1%	2.8%
Guinea	Dubréka	2000	11.6%	19.5%	5.1%
Guinea	Dubréka	2017	17.3%	27.5%	9.0%
Guinea	Faranah	2000	5.7%	9.7%	3.1%
Guinea	Faranah	2017	9.6%	16.0%	5.6%
Guinea	Forécariah	2000	17.4%	25.4%	11.9%
Guinea	Forécariah	2017	22.7%	30.9%	15.8%
Guinea	Fria	2000	37.2%	53.1%	23.5%
Guinea	Fria	2017	43.7%	59.9%	29.3%
Guinea	Gaoual	2000	5.4%	9.6%	2.3%
Guinea	Gaoual	2017	8.6%	14.0%	4.1%
Guinea	Guéckédou	2000	5.6%	10.3%	2.2%
Guinea	Guéckédou	2017	8.0%	13.2%	3.6%
Guinea	Kankan	2000	16.0%	19.2%	12.7%
Guinea	Kankan	2017	23.0%	28.5%	17.9%
Guinea	Kérouané	2000	8.7%	14.7%	5.4%
Guinea	Kérouané	2017	12.8%	20.5%	7.8%
Guinea	Kindia	2000	21.1%	25.0%	17.0%
Guinea	Kindia	2017	28.2%	32.9%	23.9%
Guinea	Kissidougou	2000	10.7%	17.9%	5.5%
Guinea	Kissidougou	2017	16.0%	23.2%	10.1%
Guinea	Koubia	2000	4.6%	10.9%	1.1%
Guinea	Koubia	2017	7.4%	15.7%	2.1%
Guinea	Koundara	2000	5.4%	12.4%	2.0%
Guinea	Koundara	2017	10.3%	18.9%	5.1%
Guinea	Kouroussa	2000	5.5%	9.4%	2.8%
Guinea	Kouroussa	2017	8.9%	14.7%	4.8%
Guinea	Labé	2000	5.8%	8.5%	4.1%
Guinea	Labé	2017	10.7%	14.1%	8.4%
Guinea	Lélouma	2000	4.4%	9.3%	1.7%
Guinea	Lélouma	2017	8.0%	13.5%	4.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea	Lola	2000	5.2%	10.9%	1.9%
Guinea	Lola	2017	8.6%	16.0%	3.8%
Guinea	Macenta	2000	7.1%	12.1%	3.5%
Guinea	Macenta	2017	10.7%	17.5%	6.0%
Guinea	Mali	2000	3.4%	6.9%	1.2%
Guinea	Mali	2017	5.4%	10.0%	2.4%
Guinea	Mamou	2000	5.0%	7.7%	3.0%
Guinea	Mamou	2017	8.6%	12.1%	5.9%
Guinea	Mandiana	2000	4.8%	8.3%	2.4%
Guinea	Mandiana	2017	8.0%	13.0%	4.4%
Guinea	Nzérékoré	2000	6.2%	13.5%	2.5%
Guinea	Nzérékoré	2017	11.0%	21.3%	5.2%
Guinea	Pita	2000	5.2%	8.4%	2.9%
Guinea	Pita	2017	11.4%	15.4%	8.0%
Guinea	Siguiiri	2000	6.8%	10.1%	4.2%
Guinea	Siguiiri	2017	10.7%	15.9%	6.8%
Guinea	Télimélé	2000	7.1%	11.4%	4.0%
Guinea	Télimélé	2017	10.9%	16.2%	6.9%
Guinea	Tougué	2000	6.7%	12.6%	2.7%
Guinea	Tougué	2017	10.3%	17.3%	5.0%
Guinea	Yamou	2000	12.2%	19.9%	5.9%
Guinea	Yamou	2017	17.1%	26.2%	10.2%
Guinea-	Bafata	2000	28.0%	70.2%	1.3%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bafata	2017	26.5%	68.8%	0.7%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bambadinca	2000	24.0%	49.3%	3.1%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bambadinca	2017	22.0%	47.9%	2.6%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bedanda	2000	22.3%	46.6%	5.3%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bedanda	2017	20.7%	44.3%	4.4%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bigene	2000	24.7%	51.7%	2.9%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bigene	2017	23.3%	49.8%	2.2%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bissau	2000	18.4%	68.5%	0.0%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bissau	2017	15.3%	62.5%	0.0%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bissora	2000	25.1%	53.7%	2.9%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bissora	2017	23.3%	50.3%	2.2%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Boe	2000	31.4%	53.0%	9.5%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Boe	2017	29.5%	49.7%	9.7%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bolama	2000	30.4%	84.9%	0.0%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bolama	2017	28.9%	85.7%	0.0%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Buba	2000	33.1%	66.9%	6.7%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Buba	2017	31.0%	65.6%	4.9%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bubaque	2000	28.1%	53.5%	6.2%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bubaque	2017	26.4%	52.8%	5.6%
Bissau					

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea-Bissau	Bula	2000	28.8%	63.7%	2.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Bula	2017	27.1%	62.7%	2.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacheu	2000	28.6%	59.2%	5.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacheu	2017	26.8%	56.6%	5.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacine	2000	27.6%	67.5%	2.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacine	2017	25.8%	67.2%	2.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Caio	2000	22.5%	56.6%	1.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Caio	2017	21.2%	55.1%	1.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Canghungo	2000	18.7%	44.9%	0.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Canghungo	2017	17.5%	44.6%	0.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Caravela	2000	28.6%	71.0%	3.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Caravela	2017	27.0%	67.8%	3.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Catio	2000	27.7%	60.3%	4.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Catio	2017	25.7%	61.4%	3.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Contuboel	2000	28.0%	58.1%	6.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Contuboel	2017	26.3%	56.2%	6.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Empada	2000	31.5%	65.7%	4.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Empada	2017	29.7%	65.0%	3.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Farim	2000	25.9%	50.7%	8.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Farim	2017	24.2%	47.2%	7.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Fulacunda	2000	30.8%	67.0%	3.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Fulacunda	2017	28.8%	65.0%	2.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Gabu	2000	44.8%	61.5%	29.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Gabu	2017	40.8%	57.0%	26.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Galomaro	2000	28.3%	68.9%	5.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Galomaro	2017	26.5%	67.8%	4.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Gamamundo	2000	26.8%	56.4%	3.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Gamamundo	2017	24.8%	55.0%	3.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansaba	2000	28.1%	57.9%	7.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansaba	2017	26.5%	54.8%	6.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansoa	2000	27.3%	53.8%	6.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea-Bissau	Mansoa	2017	25.5%	51.9%	5.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Nhacra	2000	29.1%	69.0%	5.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Nhacra	2017	26.1%	73.4%	3.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Piche	2000	28.8%	51.0%	10.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Piche	2017	26.9%	45.7%	9.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Pirada	2000	36.6%	66.8%	15.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Pirada	2017	33.8%	64.1%	13.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Prabis	2000	14.0%	62.7%	0.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Prabis	2017	12.5%	60.3%	0.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Quebo	2000	32.9%	62.5%	9.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Quebo	2017	31.1%	61.0%	8.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Quinhamel	2000	15.1%	44.1%	0.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Quinhamel	2017	14.3%	40.3%	0.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Safim	2000	22.2%	84.6%	0.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Safim	2017	21.1%	83.8%	0.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Sao Domingos	2000	22.3%	52.2%	4.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Sao Domingos	2017	20.7%	50.0%	3.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Sonaco	2000	25.9%	57.0%	2.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Sonaco	2017	23.9%	54.3%	1.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Tite	2000	23.6%	57.8%	1.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Tite	2017	22.2%	55.7%	0.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Xitole	2000	30.5%	59.6%	6.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Xitole	2017	29.2%	57.0%	6.0%
Kenya	805	2000	16.1%	39.4%	3.5%
Kenya	805	2017	15.6%	36.9%	4.2%
Kenya	Ainabkoi	2000	27.0%	28.6%	25.3%
Kenya	Ainabkoi	2017	28.8%	30.5%	27.0%
Kenya	Ainamoi	2000	53.1%	54.9%	51.3%
Kenya	Ainamoi	2017	51.0%	52.9%	49.2%
Kenya	Aldai	2000	6.2%	7.0%	5.5%
Kenya	Aldai	2017	6.5%	7.4%	5.7%
Kenya	Alego Usonga	2000	9.3%	10.3%	8.6%
Kenya	Alego Usonga	2017	8.7%	9.6%	7.9%
Kenya	Awendo	2000	4.4%	5.9%	3.3%
Kenya	Awendo	2017	4.4%	6.3%	3.3%
Kenya	Bahati	2000	65.7%	68.0%	63.4%
Kenya	Bahati	2017	66.2%	68.6%	63.6%
Kenya	Balambala	2000	27.6%	41.6%	16.3%
Kenya	Balambala	2017	27.2%	42.0%	16.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Banissa	2000	18.5%	42.9%	3.5%
Kenya	Banissa	2017	16.9%	38.1%	4.1%
Kenya	Baringo Central	2000	32.7%	47.0%	24.8%
Kenya	Baringo Central	2017	32.1%	46.7%	23.8%
Kenya	Baringo North	2000	6.1%	10.1%	3.0%
Kenya	Baringo North	2017	6.1%	10.0%	3.2%
Kenya	Baringo South	2000	6.7%	13.5%	2.5%
Kenya	Baringo South	2017	6.8%	13.7%	2.7%
Kenya	Belgut	2000	32.0%	33.3%	30.7%
Kenya	Belgut	2017	29.4%	30.7%	28.2%
Kenya	Bobasi	2000	3.2%	3.9%	2.7%
Kenya	Bobasi	2017	3.0%	3.7%	2.5%
Kenya	Bomachoge	2000	5.5%	6.7%	4.6%
Kenya	Borabu	2017	4.7%	5.7%	3.8%
Kenya	Bomachoge	2000	10.9%	12.7%	9.3%
Kenya	Chache	2017	9.7%	11.3%	8.2%
Kenya	Bomet Central	2000	6.1%	7.9%	4.9%
Kenya	Bomet Central	2017	5.7%	7.7%	4.6%
Kenya	Bomet East	2000	5.1%	6.5%	3.9%
Kenya	Bomet East	2017	5.3%	6.9%	4.1%
Kenya	Bonchari	2000	3.4%	4.1%	2.7%
Kenya	Bonchari	2017	3.2%	3.9%	2.5%
Kenya	Bondo	2000	16.7%	19.5%	14.4%
Kenya	Bondo	2017	18.3%	21.0%	16.1%
Kenya	Borabu	2000	6.0%	6.8%	5.3%
Kenya	Borabu	2017	5.5%	6.3%	4.9%
Kenya	Budalangi	2000	19.7%	21.5%	17.8%
Kenya	Budalangi	2017	22.3%	23.9%	20.2%
Kenya	Bumula	2000	3.4%	3.9%	2.9%
Kenya	Bumula	2017	3.0%	3.4%	2.5%
Kenya	Bura	2000	28.4%	39.0%	20.9%
Kenya	Bura	2017	34.6%	43.5%	27.5%
Kenya	Bureti	2000	8.5%	10.1%	7.2%
Kenya	Bureti	2017	7.4%	8.8%	6.3%
Kenya	Butere	2000	8.9%	10.1%	7.9%
Kenya	Butere	2017	8.2%	9.2%	7.2%
Kenya	Butula	2000	2.5%	3.2%	2.0%
Kenya	Butula	2017	2.2%	2.8%	1.7%
Kenya	Buuri	2000	64.9%	73.8%	56.9%
Kenya	Buuri	2017	64.5%	73.3%	56.6%
Kenya	Central	2000	66.0%	68.5%	63.2%
Kenya	Imenti	2017	64.0%	66.6%	61.1%
Kenya	Changamwe	2000	81.5%	83.0%	79.5%
Kenya	Changamwe	2017	81.2%	82.7%	79.2%
Kenya	Chepalungu	2000	9.6%	10.6%	8.3%
Kenya	Chepalungu	2017	10.6%	11.6%	9.4%
Kenya	Cherangany	2000	12.3%	14.4%	10.7%
Kenya	Cherangany	2017	11.5%	13.3%	10.0%
Kenya	Chesumei	2000	13.9%	15.8%	11.9%
Kenya	Chesumei	2017	13.2%	15.1%	11.3%
Kenya	Chuka/Igambang	2000	22.9%	24.1%	21.6%
Kenya	Chuka/Igambang	2017	21.5%	22.8%	20.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Daadab	2000	45.2%	69.9%	17.9%
Kenya	Daadab	2017	46.8%	72.0%	16.0%
Kenya	Dagoretti North	2000	79.4%	80.6%	78.3%
Kenya	Dagoretti North	2017	78.3%	79.5%	77.2%
Kenya	Dagoretti South	2000	68.7%	70.5%	67.0%
Kenya	Dagoretti South	2017	67.8%	69.5%	65.9%
Kenya	Eldama Ravine	2000	38.1%	44.0%	33.3%
Kenya	Eldama Ravine	2017	38.3%	44.1%	33.5%
Kenya	Eldas	2000	20.2%	34.9%	8.3%
Kenya	Eldas	2017	20.5%	35.4%	8.5%
Kenya	Embakasi Central	2000	87.4%	88.7%	86.0%
Kenya	Embakasi Central	2017	86.5%	87.8%	85.1%
Kenya	Embakasi East	2000	76.7%	78.9%	74.4%
Kenya	Embakasi East	2017	76.4%	78.7%	74.2%
Kenya	Embakasi North	2000	92.9%	93.7%	92.1%
Kenya	Embakasi North	2017	92.5%	93.3%	91.6%
Kenya	Embakasi South	2000	84.8%	86.7%	82.6%
Kenya	Embakasi South	2017	83.8%	85.8%	81.4%
Kenya	Embakasi West	2000	89.4%	90.4%	88.2%
Kenya	Embakasi West	2017	88.7%	89.8%	87.4%
Kenya	Emgwen	2000	10.5%	12.1%	9.3%
Kenya	Emgwen	2017	10.5%	12.0%	9.2%
Kenya	Emuhaya	2000	2.1%	2.5%	1.7%
Kenya	Emuhaya	2017	1.9%	2.3%	1.6%
Kenya	Emurua Dikirr	2000	28.8%	35.0%	24.5%
Kenya	Emurua Dikirr	2017	29.7%	36.1%	25.1%
Kenya	Endebess	2000	14.3%	28.6%	8.1%
Kenya	Endebess	2017	13.6%	27.0%	7.9%
Kenya	Fafi	2000	32.4%	62.2%	10.0%
Kenya	Fafi	2017	31.6%	62.4%	9.2%
Kenya	Funyula	2000	5.0%	6.3%	3.9%
Kenya	Funyula	2017	5.3%	6.5%	4.2%
Kenya	Galole	2000	11.0%	18.2%	4.9%
Kenya	Galole	2017	11.5%	19.6%	5.4%
Kenya	Ganze	2000	41.2%	54.4%	30.1%
Kenya	Ganze	2017	41.4%	54.6%	30.0%
Kenya	Garissa Township	2000	91.3%	93.7%	88.1%
Kenya	Garissa Township	2017	91.1%	93.8%	87.7%
Kenya	Garsen	2000	11.7%	20.3%	5.0%
Kenya	Garsen	2017	11.7%	20.8%	5.1%
Kenya	Gatanga	2000	23.9%	25.9%	22.3%
Kenya	Gatanga	2017	22.3%	24.3%	20.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Gatundu North	2000	50.3%	53.5%	46.7%
Kenya	Gatundu North	2017	47.1%	50.4%	43.4%
Kenya	Gatundu South	2000	40.2%	42.6%	37.9%
Kenya	Gatundu South	2017	38.6%	40.9%	36.3%
Kenya	Gem	2000	5.7%	6.8%	4.8%
Kenya	Gem	2017	5.3%	6.3%	4.5%
Kenya	Gichugu	2000	52.5%	54.8%	50.2%
Kenya	Gichugu	2017	47.4%	49.5%	45.1%
Kenya	Gilgil	2000	36.7%	49.9%	25.1%
Kenya	Gilgil	2017	34.4%	46.1%	24.5%
Kenya	Githunguri	2000	21.5%	22.9%	20.0%
Kenya	Githunguri	2017	21.2%	22.7%	19.6%
Kenya	Hamisi	2000	4.1%	4.7%	3.6%
Kenya	Hamisi	2017	3.9%	4.5%	3.4%
Kenya	Homa Bay Town	2000	35.8%	40.6%	31.4%
Kenya	Homa Bay Town	2017	36.9%	41.9%	32.5%
Kenya	Igembe Central	2000	14.1%	19.1%	10.3%
Kenya	Igembe Central	2017	14.4%	19.9%	10.3%
Kenya	Igembe North	2000	20.2%	37.7%	10.7%
Kenya	Igembe North	2017	19.2%	37.1%	9.7%
Kenya	Igembe South	2000	46.7%	50.6%	43.4%
Kenya	Igembe South	2000	18.0%	21.2%	15.7%
Kenya	Igembe South	2017	39.7%	43.5%	36.4%
Kenya	Igembe South	2017	18.7%	22.2%	16.3%
Kenya	Ijara	2000	31.5%	39.3%	24.1%
Kenya	Ijara	2017	28.5%	38.3%	19.2%
Kenya	Ikolomani	2000	8.1%	9.4%	7.0%
Kenya	Ikolomani	2017	7.2%	8.4%	6.2%
Kenya	Isiolo North	2000	41.6%	46.7%	37.3%
Kenya	Isiolo North	2017	38.8%	44.6%	34.0%
Kenya	Isiolo South	2000	36.7%	46.5%	27.9%
Kenya	Isiolo South	2017	34.4%	44.6%	25.7%
Kenya	Jomvu	2000	80.5%	81.8%	78.8%
Kenya	Jomvu	2017	79.4%	80.8%	77.7%
Kenya	Juja	2000	60.2%	62.1%	58.0%
Kenya	Juja	2017	61.2%	63.0%	59.0%
Kenya	Kabete	2000	38.2%	41.1%	35.7%
Kenya	Kabete	2017	37.4%	40.2%	35.1%
Kenya	Kabondo Kasipul	2000	3.7%	4.7%	3.0%
Kenya	Kabondo Kasipul	2017	4.0%	5.1%	3.2%
Kenya	Kabuchai	2000	17.4%	18.4%	16.3%
Kenya	Kabuchai	2017	14.9%	15.8%	14.1%
Kenya	Kacheliba	2000	18.5%	33.4%	8.1%
Kenya	Kacheliba	2017	18.5%	32.5%	8.3%
Kenya	Kaiti	2000	21.8%	31.9%	15.9%
Kenya	Kaiti	2017	21.2%	31.1%	15.5%
Kenya	Kajiado Central	2000	24.4%	34.9%	15.2%
Kenya	Kajiado Central	2017	23.6%	34.3%	14.6%
Kenya	Kajiado East	2000	43.2%	49.2%	37.6%
Kenya	Kajiado East	2017	41.3%	47.2%	36.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kajiado North	2000	60.7%	63.3%	58.1%
Kenya	Kajiado North	2017	65.3%	67.7%	62.7%
Kenya	Kajiado South	2000	16.5%	29.6%	7.3%
Kenya	Kajiado South	2017	16.1%	28.7%	7.1%
Kenya	Kajiado West	2000	29.6%	37.1%	23.7%
Kenya	Kajiado West	2017	30.2%	37.5%	24.6%
Kenya	Kaloleni	2000	43.0%	44.2%	41.9%
Kenya	Kaloleni	2017	43.7%	44.9%	42.6%
Kenya	Kamukunji	2000	85.8%	86.5%	85.1%
Kenya	Kamukunji	2017	84.9%	85.7%	84.1%
Kenya	Kandara	2000	14.8%	16.0%	13.5%
Kenya	Kandara	2017	14.0%	15.2%	12.8%
Kenya	Kanduyi	2000	16.4%	17.7%	15.3%
Kenya	Kanduyi	2017	16.3%	17.6%	15.1%
Kenya	Kangema	2000	22.6%	25.0%	20.2%
Kenya	Kangema	2017	23.7%	26.2%	21.2%
Kenya	Kangundo	2000	12.8%	16.6%	9.6%
Kenya	Kangundo	2017	12.7%	16.3%	9.8%
Kenya	Kapenguria	2000	13.7%	17.8%	10.6%
Kenya	Kapenguria	2017	13.7%	18.0%	10.4%
Kenya	Kapseret	2000	51.9%	53.9%	49.8%
Kenya	Kapseret	2017	52.2%	54.2%	50.1%
Kenya	Karachuonyo	2000	10.3%	14.1%	6.2%
Kenya	Karachuonyo	2017	10.4%	14.2%	6.0%
Kenya	Kasarani	2000	84.1%	87.4%	81.3%
Kenya	Kasarani	2017	83.8%	87.6%	81.0%
Kenya	Kasipul	2000	17.9%	19.6%	16.0%
Kenya	Kasipul	2017	17.4%	19.0%	15.6%
Kenya	Kathiani	2000	14.8%	17.2%	12.9%
Kenya	Kathiani	2017	14.7%	17.1%	12.7%
Kenya	Keiyo North	2000	37.0%	39.1%	34.8%
Kenya	Keiyo North	2017	35.1%	37.2%	33.0%
Kenya	Keiyo South	2000	13.7%	15.0%	12.5%
Kenya	Keiyo South	2017	12.4%	13.7%	11.3%
Kenya	Kesses	2000	44.5%	46.3%	42.5%
Kenya	Kesses	2017	44.7%	46.7%	42.6%
Kenya	Khwisero	2000	5.9%	7.1%	4.9%
Kenya	Khwisero	2017	5.4%	6.5%	4.4%
Kenya	Kiambaa	2000	33.7%	35.4%	32.4%
Kenya	Kiambaa	2017	32.0%	33.6%	30.7%
Kenya	Kiambu	2000	58.5%	60.1%	57.1%
Kenya	Kiambu	2017	60.8%	62.2%	59.5%
Kenya	Kibra	2000	86.0%	87.1%	84.8%
Kenya	Kibra	2017	85.2%	86.3%	83.8%
Kenya	Kibwezi East	2000	29.1%	39.9%	20.1%
Kenya	Kibwezi East	2017	28.0%	38.9%	19.1%
Kenya	Kibwezi West	2000	33.7%	45.0%	24.6%
Kenya	Kibwezi West	2017	33.5%	44.2%	24.7%
Kenya	Kieni	2000	59.4%	64.8%	55.5%
Kenya	Kieni	2017	57.9%	64.1%	53.7%
Kenya	Kigumo	2000	23.7%	32.4%	16.2%
Kenya	Kigumo	2017	22.3%	31.5%	14.7%
Kenya	Kiharu	2000	27.0%	28.4%	25.7%
Kenya	Kiharu	2017	26.0%	27.4%	24.7%
Kenya	Kikuyu	2000	53.8%	56.5%	50.9%
Kenya	Kikuyu	2017	52.3%	55.0%	49.4%
Kenya	Kilgoris	2000	5.6%	9.4%	2.8%
Kenya	Kilgoris	2017	5.7%	9.8%	2.8%
Kenya	Kilifi North	2000	67.1%	70.1%	63.7%
Kenya	Kilifi North	2017	66.0%	69.2%	62.2%
Kenya	Kilifi South	2000	57.9%	60.3%	55.5%
Kenya	Kilifi South	2017	57.7%	60.0%	55.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kilome	2000	26.8%	35.3%	19.4%
Kenya	Kilome	2017	26.7%	34.8%	19.0%
Kenya	Kimilili	2000	18.2%	20.2%	16.1%
Kenya	Kimilili	2017	15.6%	17.5%	13.8%
Kenya	Kiminini	2000	13.4%	14.3%	12.5%
Kenya	Kiminini	2017	13.1%	13.8%	12.2%
Kenya	Kinango	2000	36.9%	42.5%	31.7%
Kenya	Kinango	2017	36.8%	42.8%	31.6%
Kenya	Kinangop	2000	35.6%	37.7%	31.7%
Kenya	Kinangop	2017	33.2%	35.2%	29.6%
Kenya	Kipipiri	2000	19.0%	21.1%	15.9%
Kenya	Kipipiri	2017	20.5%	22.8%	17.6%
Kenya	Kipkelion	2000	14.4%	17.4%	11.8%
Kenya	East Kipkelion	2017	14.6%	17.6%	11.9%
Kenya	West Kipkelion	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.5%
Kenya	West Kipkelion	2017	5.3%	6.5%	4.2%
Kenya	Central Kirinyaga	2000	39.6%	41.8%	37.6%
Kenya	Central Kirinyaga	2017	36.1%	38.1%	34.2%
Kenya	Kisauni	2000	72.9%	74.6%	71.2%
Kenya	Kisauni	2017	71.9%	73.6%	70.2%
Kenya	Central Kisumu	2000	67.0%	68.7%	65.0%
Kenya	Central Kisumu	2017	68.1%	69.9%	66.2%
Kenya	Kisumu East	2000	54.0%	56.1%	51.8%
Kenya	Kisumu East	2017	54.0%	56.2%	51.8%
Kenya	Kisumu West	2000	18.0%	20.1%	16.7%
Kenya	Kisumu West	2017	17.0%	18.9%	15.8%
Kenya	Central Kitui	2000	19.3%	23.6%	16.7%
Kenya	Central Kitui	2017	19.7%	24.1%	17.1%
Kenya	East Kitui	2000	25.0%	34.8%	16.7%
Kenya	East Kitui	2017	24.3%	34.2%	16.5%
Kenya	Rural Kitui	2000	21.0%	28.5%	14.9%
Kenya	Rural Kitui	2017	20.0%	27.3%	13.8%
Kenya	South Kitui	2000	13.0%	21.4%	7.0%
Kenya	South Kitui	2017	12.6%	20.6%	6.8%
Kenya	West Kitui	2000	12.7%	17.9%	9.1%
Kenya	West Kitui	2017	13.0%	18.1%	9.6%
Kenya	Kitutu	2000	5.1%	6.0%	4.3%
Kenya	North Chache Kitutu	2017	4.2%	4.9%	3.5%
Kenya	North Chache Kitutu	2000	4.5%	5.1%	4.0%
Kenya	South Chache Kitutu	2017	5.2%	5.9%	4.6%
Kenya	South Chache Kitutu	2000	6.1%	6.7%	5.6%
Kenya	Masaba Kitutu	2017	5.4%	5.9%	4.9%
Kenya	Konoin	2000	28.0%	30.5%	26.1%
Kenya	Konoin	2017	26.8%	29.5%	24.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kuresoi North	2000	6.5%	15.0%	2.0%
Kenya	Kuresoi North	2017	6.6%	15.0%	1.9%
Kenya	Kuresoi South	2000	7.0%	26.8%	0.4%
Kenya	Kuresoi South	2017	7.1%	27.6%	0.5%
Kenya	Kuria East	2000	1.8%	3.8%	0.9%
Kenya	Kuria East	2017	1.8%	4.0%	0.9%
Kenya	Kuria West	2000	1.8%	4.2%	0.9%
Kenya	Kuria West	2017	1.9%	4.7%	0.9%
Kenya	Kwanza	2000	11.9%	14.6%	9.7%
Kenya	Kwanza	2017	12.3%	14.7%	10.3%
Kenya	Lafey	2000	16.7%	32.4%	6.3%
Kenya	Lafey	2017	15.9%	30.7%	6.4%
Kenya	Lagdera	2000	18.4%	31.3%	8.6%
Kenya	Lagdera	2017	18.8%	31.2%	9.1%
Kenya	Laikipia East	2000	43.5%	51.6%	36.5%
Kenya	Laikipia East	2017	43.6%	50.0%	37.2%
Kenya	Laikipia North	2000	25.8%	36.1%	17.9%
Kenya	Laikipia North	2017	23.1%	32.7%	15.3%
Kenya	Laikipia West	2000	18.4%	24.9%	14.7%
Kenya	Laikipia West	2017	18.3%	24.3%	14.8%
Kenya	Laisamis	2000	21.4%	30.1%	13.7%
Kenya	Laisamis	2017	21.6%	29.6%	14.4%
Kenya	Lamu East	2000	19.3%	36.8%	5.8%
Kenya	Lamu East	2017	19.5%	38.7%	5.4%
Kenya	Lamu West	2000	34.1%	37.6%	31.4%
Kenya	Lamu West	2017	34.1%	37.4%	31.3%
Kenya	Langata	2000	82.5%	83.6%	81.4%
Kenya	Langata	2017	82.1%	83.3%	80.9%
Kenya	Lari	2000	19.1%	21.0%	17.3%
Kenya	Lari	2017	17.9%	19.6%	16.2%
Kenya	Likoni	2000	44.2%	46.5%	41.7%
Kenya	Likoni	2017	41.8%	44.0%	39.4%
Kenya	Likuyani	2000	6.2%	7.6%	4.8%
Kenya	Likuyani	2017	5.4%	6.8%	3.8%
Kenya	Limuru	2000	57.1%	60.4%	54.2%
Kenya	Limuru	2017	55.3%	58.7%	52.1%
Kenya	Loima	2000	27.6%	37.8%	17.9%
Kenya	Loima	2017	26.0%	35.5%	17.2%
Kenya	Luanda	2000	4.4%	5.0%	4.0%
Kenya	Luanda	2017	4.1%	4.6%	3.7%
Kenya	Lugari	2000	3.5%	4.8%	2.8%
Kenya	Lugari	2000	4.6%	5.7%	3.6%
Kenya	Lugari	2017	3.2%	4.5%	2.6%
Kenya	Lugari	2017	4.0%	5.0%	3.1%
Kenya	Lungalunga	2000	8.6%	14.7%	3.5%
Kenya	Lungalunga	2017	7.6%	13.6%	3.0%
Kenya	Lurambi	2000	21.8%	23.4%	20.3%
Kenya	Lurambi	2017	21.6%	23.1%	20.1%
Kenya	Maara	2000	49.1%	51.3%	47.1%
Kenya	Maara	2017	46.6%	48.8%	44.5%
Kenya	Machakos Town	2000	35.2%	38.1%	32.0%
Kenya	Machakos Town	2017	34.0%	37.1%	30.5%
Kenya	Magarini	2000	25.4%	37.9%	18.5%
Kenya	Magarini	2017	24.1%	36.0%	17.6%
Kenya	Makadara	2000	86.7%	87.6%	85.8%
Kenya	Makadara	2017	85.9%	86.8%	85.0%
Kenya	Makueni	2000	13.7%	19.5%	9.3%
Kenya	Makueni	2017	13.6%	19.6%	9.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Malava	2000	3.4%	7.8%	2.3%
Kenya	Malava	2017	2.7%	6.5%	1.9%
Kenya	Malindi	2000	78.7%	81.1%	76.1%
Kenya	Malindi	2017	79.1%	81.7%	76.2%
Kenya	Mandera East	2000	30.2%	40.1%	22.3%
Kenya	Mandera East	2017	30.0%	39.8%	22.2%
Kenya	Mandera North	2000	23.6%	33.3%	14.9%
Kenya	Mandera North	2017	24.8%	35.9%	16.2%
Kenya	Mandera South	2000	11.4%	19.3%	5.2%
Kenya	Mandera South	2017	11.3%	19.0%	5.0%
Kenya	Mandera West	2000	15.4%	25.9%	6.0%
Kenya	Mandera West	2017	15.3%	25.4%	6.4%
Kenya	Manyatta	2000	66.3%	68.1%	64.5%
Kenya	Manyatta	2017	63.7%	65.6%	61.7%
Kenya	Maragwa	2000	9.2%	10.9%	7.7%
Kenya	Maragwa	2017	9.2%	10.7%	7.8%
Kenya	Marakwet East	2000	12.0%	18.1%	7.8%
Kenya	Marakwet East	2017	13.0%	19.5%	8.4%
Kenya	Marakwet West	2000	23.7%	26.2%	21.2%
Kenya	Marakwet West	2017	22.7%	25.2%	20.3%
Kenya	Masinga	2000	6.7%	15.9%	1.5%
Kenya	Masinga	2017	6.8%	15.9%	1.4%
Kenya	Matayos	2000	12.1%	14.4%	9.7%
Kenya	Matayos	2017	12.2%	14.3%	9.9%
Kenya	Mathare	2000	86.2%	86.9%	85.5%
Kenya	Mathare	2017	85.4%	86.2%	84.6%
Kenya	Mathioya	2000	15.8%	17.7%	14.1%
Kenya	Mathioya	2017	15.8%	17.8%	14.0%
Kenya	Mathira	2000	53.7%	55.8%	51.6%
Kenya	Mathira	2017	50.4%	52.4%	48.3%
Kenya	Matuga	2000	45.0%	51.3%	39.7%
Kenya	Matuga	2017	44.3%	50.5%	38.9%
Kenya	Matungu	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.6%
Kenya	Matungu	2017	2.7%	3.1%	2.4%
Kenya	Matungulu	2000	22.3%	26.4%	18.6%
Kenya	Matungulu	2017	21.5%	25.5%	17.6%
Kenya	Mavoko	2000	55.5%	63.0%	48.6%
Kenya	Mavoko	2017	53.0%	60.4%	46.3%
Kenya	Mbeere North	2000	21.8%	28.2%	17.4%
Kenya	Mbeere North	2017	22.1%	29.3%	17.2%
Kenya	Mbeere South	2000	8.8%	16.3%	5.1%
Kenya	Mbeere South	2017	8.8%	16.3%	5.2%
Kenya	Mbita	2000	10.4%	16.3%	8.5%
Kenya	Mbita	2017	11.2%	16.8%	9.3%
Kenya	Mbooni	2000	14.7%	22.5%	8.8%
Kenya	Mbooni	2017	14.2%	22.0%	8.3%
Kenya	Mogotio	2000	17.6%	25.5%	12.4%
Kenya	Mogotio	2017	17.4%	25.4%	12.2%
Kenya	Moiben	2000	31.9%	38.3%	28.1%
Kenya	Moiben	2017	31.7%	37.6%	28.2%
Kenya	Molo	2000	18.9%	22.5%	15.8%
Kenya	Molo	2017	18.7%	22.5%	15.5%
Kenya	Mosop	2000	6.0%	11.9%	3.5%
Kenya	Mosop	2017	6.7%	12.7%	3.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Moyale	2000	12.5%	17.3%	8.5%
Kenya	Moyale	2017	12.6%	18.5%	8.3%
Kenya	Msambweni	2000	23.4%	27.6%	20.8%
Kenya	Msambweni	2017	23.2%	28.1%	20.6%
Kenya	Mt. Elgon	2000	36.0%	39.0%	31.4%
Kenya	Mt. Elgon	2017	33.8%	37.3%	28.8%
Kenya	Muhoroni	2000	12.0%	13.7%	10.9%
Kenya	Muhoroni	2017	12.2%	13.8%	11.2%
Kenya	Mukurweini	2000	19.2%	21.2%	17.4%
Kenya	Mukurweini	2017	18.5%	20.4%	16.7%
Kenya	Mumias East	2000	5.0%	5.8%	4.3%
Kenya	Mumias East	2017	4.3%	5.0%	3.7%
Kenya	Mumias West	2000	14.0%	15.3%	12.6%
Kenya	Mumias West	2017	12.3%	13.5%	11.0%
Kenya	Mvita	2000	57.1%	59.1%	55.3%
Kenya	Mvita	2017	55.6%	57.5%	53.9%
Kenya	Mwala	2000	21.3%	30.5%	15.4%
Kenya	Mwala	2017	21.2%	30.3%	15.6%
Kenya	Mwatate	2000	39.5%	43.9%	35.9%
Kenya	Mwatate	2017	36.8%	41.5%	32.9%
Kenya	Mwea	2000	25.0%	26.6%	23.7%
Kenya	Mwea	2017	24.7%	26.2%	23.3%
Kenya	Mwingi Central	2000	21.8%	28.5%	16.1%
Kenya	Mwingi Central	2017	22.4%	28.6%	16.9%
Kenya	Mwingi North	2000	16.0%	24.7%	9.1%
Kenya	Mwingi North	2017	15.5%	24.0%	8.4%
Kenya	Mwingi West	2000	9.4%	13.0%	7.6%
Kenya	Mwingi West	2017	8.6%	12.5%	6.9%
Kenya	Naivasha	2000	52.8%	58.8%	47.7%
Kenya	Naivasha	2017	50.1%	55.6%	45.4%
Kenya	Nakuru Town East	2000	62.6%	67.6%	59.0%
Kenya	Nakuru Town East	2017	64.5%	69.8%	60.7%
Kenya	Nakuru Town West	2000	74.4%	78.9%	69.9%
Kenya	Nakuru Town West	2017	73.6%	78.2%	68.9%
Kenya	Nambale	2000	3.9%	4.7%	3.2%
Kenya	Nambale	2017	4.0%	4.9%	3.3%
Kenya	Nandi Hills	2000	10.9%	12.3%	9.6%
Kenya	Nandi Hills	2017	12.1%	13.6%	10.7%
Kenya	Narok East	2000	19.4%	34.0%	10.5%
Kenya	Narok East	2017	17.5%	33.6%	8.3%
Kenya	Narok North	2000	27.7%	35.2%	20.0%
Kenya	Narok North	2017	26.5%	33.9%	19.5%
Kenya	Narok South	2000	14.7%	25.7%	7.7%
Kenya	Narok South	2017	15.7%	26.7%	8.7%
Kenya	Narok West	2000	16.8%	27.5%	7.8%
Kenya	Narok West	2017	17.0%	26.7%	7.8%
Kenya	Navakholo	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.3%
Kenya	Navakholo	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Kenya	Ndaragwa	2000	8.8%	10.1%	7.6%
Kenya	Ndaragwa	2017	9.5%	10.9%	8.3%
Kenya	Ndhiwa	2000	2.6%	4.4%	2.0%
Kenya	Ndhiwa	2017	2.8%	4.9%	2.1%
Kenya	Ndia	2000	35.0%	38.1%	32.0%
Kenya	Ndia	2017	30.3%	33.3%	27.6%
Kenya	Njoro	2000	31.9%	39.6%	26.8%
Kenya	Njoro	2017	29.5%	36.5%	24.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	North Horr	2000	15.0%	20.6%	9.6%
Kenya	North Horr	2017	14.6%	19.4%	10.2%
Kenya	North Imenti	2000	76.5%	79.2%	73.5%
Kenya	North Imenti	2017	75.3%	78.1%	72.2%
Kenya	North Mugirango	2000	3.4%	4.4%	2.6%
Kenya	North Mugirango	2017	2.7%	3.4%	2.0%
Kenya	Nyakach	2000	19.3%	26.7%	12.0%
Kenya	Nyakach	2017	17.4%	24.4%	10.9%
Kenya	Nyali	2000	72.6%	74.8%	70.5%
Kenya	Nyali	2017	71.7%	73.9%	69.7%
Kenya	Nyando	2000	7.4%	8.3%	6.6%
Kenya	Nyando	2017	7.2%	8.1%	6.5%
Kenya	Nyaribari	2000	14.8%	16.3%	13.6%
Kenya	Nyaribari Chache	2017	13.7%	15.2%	12.5%
Kenya	Nyaribari Masaba	2000	5.5%	6.5%	4.6%
Kenya	Nyaribari Masaba	2017	5.1%	6.1%	4.3%
Kenya	Nyatike	2000	13.8%	33.8%	2.2%
Kenya	Nyatike	2017	12.5%	31.3%	2.0%
Kenya	Nyeri Town	2000	69.4%	71.6%	67.0%
Kenya	Nyeri Town	2017	69.7%	71.9%	67.4%
Kenya	Ol Jorok	2000	9.1%	10.7%	7.7%
Kenya	Ol Jorok	2017	9.0%	10.7%	7.5%
Kenya	Ol Kalou	2000	8.4%	12.9%	6.2%
Kenya	Ol Kalou	2017	8.2%	14.0%	5.9%
Kenya	Othaya	2000	30.3%	33.1%	27.8%
Kenya	Othaya	2017	27.3%	29.8%	25.0%
Kenya	Pokot South	2000	27.8%	33.2%	20.7%
Kenya	Pokot South	2017	26.7%	31.9%	19.8%
Kenya	Rabai	2000	64.6%	69.4%	59.8%
Kenya	Rabai	2017	63.3%	67.8%	58.7%
Kenya	Rangwe	2000	4.0%	5.7%	2.9%
Kenya	Rangwe	2017	3.9%	5.7%	2.8%
Kenya	Rarieda	2000	23.3%	24.8%	21.6%
Kenya	Rarieda	2017	24.2%	25.6%	22.8%
Kenya	Rongai	2000	54.1%	60.5%	47.7%
Kenya	Rongai	2017	52.8%	59.2%	46.4%
Kenya	Rongo	2000	5.5%	7.0%	4.4%
Kenya	Rongo	2017	5.7%	7.1%	4.5%
Kenya	Roysambu	2000	94.3%	94.9%	93.6%
Kenya	Roysambu	2017	94.2%	94.8%	93.5%
Kenya	Ruaraka	2000	92.0%	92.5%	91.5%
Kenya	Ruaraka	2017	91.5%	92.0%	90.9%
Kenya	Ruiru	2000	66.5%	68.6%	64.3%
Kenya	Ruiru	2017	66.5%	68.6%	64.3%
Kenya	Runyenjes	2000	37.4%	39.6%	35.2%
Kenya	Runyenjes	2017	37.1%	39.4%	34.9%
Kenya	Sabatia	2000	6.1%	6.9%	5.5%
Kenya	Sabatia	2017	5.7%	6.4%	5.1%
Kenya	Saboti	2000	29.4%	31.9%	27.2%
Kenya	Saboti	2017	33.3%	35.5%	31.3%
Kenya	Saku	2000	38.7%	46.3%	32.9%
Kenya	Saku	2017	41.5%	49.1%	35.3%
Kenya	Samburu East	2000	27.4%	36.3%	19.8%
Kenya	Samburu East	2017	25.8%	34.1%	19.0%
Kenya	Samburu North	2000	23.4%	31.9%	15.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Samburu North	2017	24.0%	32.7%	15.5%
Kenya	Samburu West	2000	17.8%	24.0%	14.3%
Kenya	Samburu West	2017	19.0%	24.5%	15.7%
Kenya	Seme	2000	15.6%	19.6%	13.6%
Kenya	Seme	2017	16.0%	19.9%	14.0%
Kenya	Shinyalu	2000	13.3%	15.3%	11.6%
Kenya	Shinyalu	2017	13.0%	14.9%	11.4%
Kenya	Sigor	2000	14.5%	23.8%	7.1%
Kenya	Sigor	2017	14.0%	23.5%	6.9%
Kenya	Sigowet/Soin	2000	7.2%	8.1%	6.4%
Kenya	Sigowet/Soin	2017	6.6%	7.6%	5.8%
Kenya	Sirisia	2000	23.7%	25.4%	21.8%
Kenya	Sirisia	2017	21.6%	23.3%	19.8%
Kenya	Sotik	2000	18.5%	20.5%	16.9%
Kenya	Sotik	2017	18.0%	20.0%	16.4%
Kenya	South Imenti	2000	63.7%	66.3%	61.2%
Kenya	South Imenti	2017	62.5%	65.2%	59.9%
Kenya	South Mugi-rango	2000	10.0%	11.4%	9.1%
Kenya	South Mugi-rango	2017	9.3%	10.5%	8.5%
Kenya	Soy	2000	17.3%	18.6%	14.6%
Kenya	Soy	2017	16.8%	18.2%	14.1%
Kenya	Starehe	2000	85.8%	86.5%	85.1%
Kenya	Starehe	2017	84.9%	85.7%	84.2%
Kenya	Suba	2000	18.4%	35.2%	8.3%
Kenya	Suba	2017	18.3%	34.1%	8.1%
Kenya	Subukia	2000	36.9%	45.6%	28.3%
Kenya	Subukia	2017	35.8%	45.3%	27.1%
Kenya	Suna East	2000	8.2%	9.6%	6.9%
Kenya	Suna East	2017	7.6%	9.0%	6.4%
Kenya	Suna West	2000	15.0%	21.8%	9.0%
Kenya	Suna West	2017	14.4%	21.4%	8.5%
Kenya	Tarbaj	2000	21.1%	34.9%	9.4%
Kenya	Tarbaj	2017	21.4%	35.1%	9.9%
Kenya	Taveta	2000	62.4%	70.1%	51.9%
Kenya	Taveta	2017	60.2%	68.0%	47.9%
Kenya	Teso North	2000	3.6%	5.5%	2.6%
Kenya	Teso North	2017	3.8%	6.1%	2.6%
Kenya	Teso South	2000	7.2%	9.2%	5.7%
Kenya	Teso South	2017	6.0%	7.6%	4.6%
Kenya	Tetu	2000	54.0%	57.1%	50.8%
Kenya	Tetu	2017	52.5%	55.5%	49.3%
Kenya	Tharaka	2000	15.4%	23.3%	11.1%
Kenya	Tharaka	2017	15.4%	22.9%	11.0%
Kenya	Thika Town	2000	59.0%	60.9%	57.0%
Kenya	Thika Town	2017	59.0%	61.1%	57.1%
Kenya	Tiaty	2000	21.5%	34.5%	10.5%
Kenya	Tiaty	2017	21.6%	33.7%	10.2%
Kenya	Tigania East	2000	39.1%	46.6%	31.6%
Kenya	Tigania East	2017	39.4%	47.0%	31.4%
Kenya	Tigania West	2000	39.5%	47.0%	27.6%
Kenya	Tigania West	2017	39.8%	47.6%	27.5%
Kenya	Tinderet	2000	11.3%	13.2%	10.3%
Kenya	Tinderet	2017	12.0%	13.8%	10.9%
Kenya	Tongaren	2000	7.2%	7.9%	6.6%
Kenya	Tongaren	2017	6.1%	6.7%	5.6%
Kenya	Turbo	2000	23.1%	25.2%	21.2%
Kenya	Turbo	2017	23.5%	25.7%	21.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Turkana Central	2000	25.8%	36.5%	18.2%
Kenya	Turkana Central	2017	24.6%	35.0%	16.6%
Kenya	Turkana East	2000	17.7%	28.7%	8.5%
Kenya	Turkana East	2017	17.7%	27.5%	9.5%
Kenya	Turkana North	2000	16.5%	25.7%	8.5%
Kenya	Turkana North	2017	16.5%	25.8%	8.3%
Kenya	Turkana South	2000	23.5%	36.5%	12.1%
Kenya	Turkana South	2017	23.6%	36.8%	12.3%
Kenya	Turkana West	2000	27.3%	36.6%	19.4%
Kenya	Turkana West	2017	25.6%	35.3%	17.9%
Kenya	Ugenya	2000	9.9%	11.7%	8.3%
Kenya	Ugenya	2017	9.3%	11.1%	7.8%
Kenya	Ugunja	2000	16.0%	17.8%	14.2%
Kenya	Ugunja	2017	14.0%	15.6%	12.3%
Kenya	unknown 1	2000	17.9%	41.8%	2.7%
Kenya	unknown 1	2017	18.8%	40.3%	2.7%
Kenya	unknown 2	2000	17.0%	31.6%	5.4%
Kenya	unknown 2	2017	17.6%	30.5%	5.4%
Kenya	unknown 4	2000	1.5%	2.2%	1.1%
Kenya	unknown 4	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Kenya	unknown 5	2000	47.4%	56.6%	37.5%
Kenya	unknown 5	2017	44.2%	53.2%	34.9%
Kenya	unknown 6	2000	3.9%	11.4%	1.4%
Kenya	unknown 6	2017	3.8%	10.1%	1.7%
Kenya	unknown 7	2000	30.5%	58.5%	5.2%
Kenya	unknown 7	2017	24.5%	45.2%	5.3%
Kenya	Uriri	2000	4.0%	9.4%	2.3%
Kenya	Uriri	2017	4.0%	9.1%	2.5%
Kenya	Vihiga	2000	7.4%	8.7%	6.2%
Kenya	Vihiga	2017	7.0%	8.3%	5.9%
Kenya	Voi	2000	60.6%	65.9%	53.9%
Kenya	Voi	2017	62.0%	68.6%	54.7%
Kenya	Wajir East	2000	7.1%	11.6%	3.2%
Kenya	Wajir East	2017	6.8%	11.0%	3.2%
Kenya	Wajir North	2000	21.6%	45.5%	7.1%
Kenya	Wajir North	2017	21.2%	38.3%	9.6%
Kenya	Wajir South	2000	18.9%	28.1%	11.3%
Kenya	Wajir South	2017	19.9%	28.6%	13.0%
Kenya	Wajir West	2000	17.8%	31.4%	8.6%
Kenya	Wajir West	2017	18.7%	31.7%	10.2%
Kenya	Webute West	2000	5.7%	6.4%	5.2%
Kenya	Webute West	2017	5.3%	6.0%	4.8%
Kenya	Webuye East	2000	11.8%	13.2%	10.4%
Kenya	Webuye East	2017	11.2%	12.6%	9.7%
Kenya	West Mugi-rango	2000	6.1%	6.6%	5.6%
Kenya	West Mugi-rango	2017	6.3%	6.9%	5.7%
Kenya	Westlands	2000	68.3%	69.5%	67.1%
Kenya	Westlands	2017	68.5%	69.7%	67.4%
Kenya	Wundanyi	2000	29.8%	34.4%	24.9%
Kenya	Wundanyi	2017	28.2%	33.1%	23.6%
Kenya	Yatta	2000	6.5%	15.7%	1.5%
Kenya	Yatta	2017	6.1%	14.9%	1.4%
Lesotho	Berea	2000	63.9%	66.4%	61.5%
Lesotho	Berea	2017	79.8%	82.0%	77.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Lesotho	Butha-Buthe	2000	56.4%	61.9%	49.8%
Lesotho	Butha-Buthe	2017	72.5%	78.0%	65.7%
Lesotho	Leribe	2000	44.3%	46.6%	42.4%
Lesotho	Leribe	2017	62.7%	64.9%	60.8%
Lesotho	Mafeteng	2000	50.3%	52.6%	47.6%
Lesotho	Mafeteng	2017	67.7%	70.0%	64.7%
Lesotho	Maseru	2000	64.3%	66.6%	61.8%
Lesotho	Maseru	2017	76.4%	78.6%	74.1%
Lesotho	Mohale's Hoek	2000	53.5%	55.8%	51.2%
Lesotho	Mohale's Hoek	2017	68.6%	70.6%	66.4%
Lesotho	Mokhotlong	2000	52.1%	62.0%	41.9%
Lesotho	Mokhotlong	2017	62.7%	72.3%	52.0%
Lesotho	Qacha's Nek	2000	69.1%	72.3%	65.4%
Lesotho	Qacha's Nek	2017	79.2%	82.4%	75.6%
Lesotho	Quthing	2000	60.4%	66.0%	57.0%
Lesotho	Quthing	2017	73.4%	79.3%	69.6%
Lesotho	Thaba-Tseka	2000	42.2%	46.6%	37.6%
Lesotho	Thaba-Tseka	2017	53.7%	58.2%	48.7%
Liberia	Barrobo	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Liberia	Barrobo	2017	1.4%	4.8%	0.3%
Liberia	Belleh	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Liberia	Belleh	2017	1.2%	3.0%	0.3%
Liberia	Bokomu	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Liberia	Bokomu	2017	2.3%	5.2%	0.8%
Liberia	Bopolu	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.3%
Liberia	Bopolu	2017	5.4%	9.1%	3.4%
Liberia	Buah	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Liberia	Buah	2017	1.4%	5.5%	0.2%
Liberia	Butaw	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Liberia	Butaw	2017	2.7%	5.6%	0.9%
Liberia	Careysburg	2000	2.4%	7.1%	0.6%
Liberia	Careysburg	2017	17.2%	28.6%	9.4%
Liberia	Commnwealth	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Liberia	Commnwealth	2017	2.9%	10.1%	0.6%
Liberia	District # 1	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Liberia	District # 1	2017	2.1%	6.1%	0.5%
Liberia	District # 2	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Liberia	District # 2	2017	1.9%	4.4%	0.4%
Liberia	District # 3	2000	1.3%	2.4%	0.8%
Liberia	District # 3	2017	17.0%	20.4%	14.4%
Liberia	District # 4	2000	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Liberia	District # 4	2017	4.1%	10.7%	1.1%
Liberia	Dugbe River	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Liberia	Dugbe River	2017	1.9%	8.0%	0.2%
Liberia	Firestone	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Liberia	Firestone	2017	3.8%	7.2%	2.0%
Liberia	Foya	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Liberia	Foya	2017	1.5%	6.6%	0.2%
Liberia	Fuamah	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Liberia	Fuamah	2017	1.9%	5.8%	0.4%
Liberia	Garwula	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%
Liberia	Garwula	2017	3.3%	6.8%	1.5%
Liberia	Gbarma	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Liberia	Gbarma	2017	1.9%	5.7%	0.4%
Liberia	Gbarzon	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Liberia	Gbarzon	2017	1.1%	2.2%	0.4%
Liberia	Gbeapo	2000	1.4%	2.5%	0.4%
Liberia	Gbeapo	2017	5.4%	7.7%	3.5%
Liberia	Gbehlageh	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Liberia	Gbehlageh	2017	1.3%	3.7%	0.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Liberia	Gibi	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Liberia	Gibi	2017	1.6%	5.6%	0.2%
Liberia	Golakonneh	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Liberia	Golakonneh	2017	2.5%	6.0%	0.7%
Liberia	Greater Monrovia	2000	5.5%	6.8%	4.9%
Liberia	Greater Monrovia	2017	47.2%	49.4%	44.5%
Liberia	Greenville	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Liberia	Greenville	2017	2.7%	7.4%	0.5%
Liberia	Jaedae	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Liberia	Jaedepo	2017	1.4%	3.7%	0.4%
Liberia	Jorquelleh	2000	0.3%	1.2%	0.1%
Liberia	Jorquelleh	2017	4.5%	9.0%	1.4%
Liberia	Juarzon	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Liberia	Juarzon	2017	2.4%	6.8%	0.7%
Liberia	Kakata	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Liberia	Kakata	2017	1.9%	4.0%	0.8%
Liberia	Klay	2000	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Liberia	Klay	2017	5.2%	8.4%	3.5%
Liberia	Kokoyah	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Liberia	Kokoyah	2017	1.4%	5.2%	0.2%
Liberia	Kolahun	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Liberia	Kolahun	2017	1.5%	4.0%	0.3%
Liberia	Kongba	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Liberia	Kongba	2017	1.8%	4.1%	0.4%
Liberia	Konobo	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Liberia	Konobo	2017	1.4%	2.9%	0.5%
Liberia	Kpayan	2000	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Liberia	Kpayan	2017	2.4%	6.7%	0.4%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2017	2.3%	10.7%	0.2%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2017	1.4%	5.6%	0.2%
Liberia	Mambah-Kaba	2000	5.4%	12.9%	1.5%
Liberia	Mambah-Kaba	2017	30.3%	41.2%	17.6%
Liberia	Mecca	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Liberia	Mecca	2017	2.3%	10.4%	0.2%
Liberia	Morweh	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Liberia	Morweh	2017	1.6%	4.2%	0.3%
Liberia	Owensgrove	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Liberia	Owensgrove	2017	2.0%	7.1%	0.2%
Liberia	Panta-Kpa	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Liberia	Panta-Kpa	2017	1.1%	4.1%	0.2%
Liberia	Pleebo/Sodeken	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Liberia	Pleebo/Sodeken	2017	1.1%	2.5%	0.5%
Liberia	Porkpa	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Liberia	Porkpa	2017	2.5%	6.5%	0.5%
Liberia	Pyneston	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Liberia	Pyneston	2017	2.4%	8.1%	0.7%
Liberia	Saclepea	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Liberia	Saclepea	2017	1.2%	3.0%	0.4%
Liberia	Salala	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Liberia	Salala	2017	1.2%	3.9%	0.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Liberia	Salayea	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Liberia	Salayea	2017	1.4%	4.3%	0.2%
Liberia	Sanayea	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Liberia	Sanayea	2017	2.0%	5.5%	0.4%
Liberia	Sanniquelleh-Mahn	2000	1.7%	3.9%	0.2%
Liberia	Sanniquelleh-Mahn	2017	5.8%	9.2%	3.1%
Liberia	Sasstown 180606	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Liberia	Sasstown 180606	2017	1.7%	6.2%	0.3%
Liberia	St Paul River	2000	1.9%	2.3%	1.5%
Liberia	St Paul River	2017	17.5%	20.7%	15.6%
Liberia	Stjohnriver	2000	1.0%	2.0%	0.5%
Liberia	Stjohnriver	2017	11.9%	18.3%	7.7%
Liberia	Suakoko	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Liberia	Suakoko	2017	1.4%	3.5%	0.4%
Liberia	Tappita	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Liberia	Tappita	2017	1.3%	2.8%	0.4%
Liberia	Tchien	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Liberia	Tchien	2017	1.0%	2.5%	0.4%
Liberia	Tewor	2000	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Liberia	Tewor	2017	2.4%	8.7%	0.3%
Liberia	Timbo	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Liberia	Timbo	2017	3.2%	5.3%	1.9%
Liberia	Todee	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Liberia	Todee	2017	3.4%	5.7%	2.1%
Liberia	Upperkrucoast	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Liberia	Upperkrucoast	2017	1.3%	3.8%	0.3%
Liberia	Voinjama	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Liberia	Voinjama	2017	1.2%	3.1%	0.3%
Liberia	Webbo	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Liberia	Webbo	2017	1.4%	3.2%	0.4%
Liberia	Yarwein-Mehnsohnne	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Liberia	Yarwein-Mehnsohnne	2017	1.3%	3.6%	0.3%
Liberia	Zoegeh	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Liberia	Zoegeh	2017	1.4%	4.2%	0.3%
Liberia	Zorzor	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Liberia	Zorzor	2017	1.1%	2.8%	0.3%
Liberia	Zota	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Liberia	Zota	2017	1.3%	4.6%	0.1%
Madagascar	Alaotra-Mangoro	2000	26.0%	32.2%	21.2%
Madagascar	Alaotra-Mangoro	2017	17.7%	22.9%	13.9%
Madagascar	Amoron'i mania	2000	23.1%	30.3%	17.2%
Madagascar	Amoron'i mania	2017	15.6%	21.0%	11.2%
Madagascar	Analamanga	2000	51.9%	54.9%	48.9%
Madagascar	Analamanga	2017	37.9%	40.6%	35.8%
Madagascar	Analanjirofo	2000	23.4%	28.4%	18.6%
Madagascar	Analanjirofo	2017	15.9%	20.5%	12.2%
Madagascar	Androy	2000	28.7%	33.8%	23.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Madagascar	Androy	2017	18.6%	22.8%	14.4%
Madagascar	Anosy	2000	31.0%	36.0%	26.9%
Madagascar	Anosy	2017	22.2%	26.1%	18.8%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Andrefana	2000	31.7%	36.4%	27.4%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Andrefana	2017	23.2%	28.2%	19.8%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Atsinana	2000	24.1%	29.8%	18.5%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Atsinana	2017	15.9%	20.5%	11.7%
Madagascar	Atsinanana	2000	28.3%	34.9%	22.6%
Madagascar	Atsinanana	2017	19.0%	28.4%	13.8%
Madagascar	Betsiboka	2000	24.1%	28.7%	20.3%
Madagascar	Betsiboka	2017	16.9%	20.3%	13.9%
Madagascar	Boeny	2000	24.2%	35.8%	17.6%
Madagascar	Boeny	2017	16.1%	25.5%	11.5%
Madagascar	Bongolava	2000	24.8%	31.3%	19.2%
Madagascar	Bongolava	2017	17.1%	22.5%	12.9%
Madagascar	Diana	2000	24.4%	29.4%	19.8%
Madagascar	Diana	2017	16.4%	20.6%	13.0%
Madagascar	Haute matsiatra	2000	33.5%	40.3%	26.7%
Madagascar	Haute matsiatra	2017	25.7%	32.5%	18.9%
Madagascar	Ihorombe	2000	25.2%	29.5%	20.5%
Madagascar	Ihorombe	2017	17.0%	20.7%	13.5%
Madagascar	Itasy	2000	34.2%	41.2%	27.1%
Madagascar	Itasy	2017	22.8%	28.9%	17.1%
Madagascar	Melaky	2000	21.5%	26.0%	17.8%
Madagascar	Melaky	2017	13.8%	17.2%	10.8%
Madagascar	Menabe	2000	29.3%	34.0%	25.1%
Madagascar	Menabe	2017	20.6%	24.1%	17.2%
Madagascar	Sava	2000	28.9%	34.8%	23.4%
Madagascar	Sava	2017	19.8%	24.3%	15.4%
Madagascar	Sofia	2000	25.6%	28.8%	22.3%
Madagascar	Sofia	2017	16.9%	19.8%	14.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Madagascar	Vakinankaratra	2000	27.4%	34.3%	20.4%
Madagascar	Vakinankaratra	2017	18.3%	25.3%	12.4%
Madagascar	Vatovavy Fitovinany	2000	30.9%	36.3%	25.7%
Madagascar	Vatovavy Fitovinany	2017	22.9%	28.1%	18.7%
Malawi	Balaka	2000	31.7%	34.8%	29.3%
Malawi	Balaka	2017	35.4%	38.1%	33.0%
Malawi	Blantyre	2000	57.3%	58.3%	56.6%
Malawi	Blantyre	2017	61.4%	62.4%	60.7%
Malawi	Chikwawa	2000	25.9%	29.5%	23.6%
Malawi	Chikwawa	2017	26.8%	30.9%	24.1%
Malawi	Chiradzulu	2000	16.7%	17.6%	15.8%
Malawi	Chiradzulu	2017	21.3%	22.4%	20.3%
Malawi	Chitipa	2000	31.1%	36.6%	26.1%
Malawi	Chitipa	2017	35.4%	40.9%	30.5%
Malawi	Dedza	2000	11.4%	13.9%	9.3%
Malawi	Dedza	2017	13.1%	15.9%	10.9%
Malawi	Dowa	2000	7.8%	10.0%	6.3%
Malawi	Dowa	2017	9.2%	11.6%	7.6%
Malawi	Karonga	2000	42.1%	45.1%	39.0%
Malawi	Karonga	2017	46.5%	49.5%	43.7%
Malawi	Kasungu	2000	19.4%	21.9%	17.0%
Malawi	Kasungu	2017	21.5%	24.5%	18.9%
Malawi	Likoma	2000	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Malawi	Likoma	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Malawi	Lilongwe	2000	31.8%	33.4%	30.4%
Malawi	Lilongwe	2017	39.1%	40.7%	37.7%
Malawi	Machinga	2000	18.1%	20.2%	16.4%
Malawi	Machinga	2017	19.5%	21.8%	17.7%
Malawi	Mangochi	2000	22.3%	25.3%	19.7%
Malawi	Mangochi	2017	24.1%	27.3%	21.3%
Malawi	Mchinji	2000	9.4%	12.7%	7.0%
Malawi	Mchinji	2017	11.2%	14.8%	8.5%
Malawi	Mulanje	2000	34.9%	35.9%	34.0%
Malawi	Mulanje	2017	39.7%	40.9%	38.7%
Malawi	Mwanza	2000	22.8%	24.8%	21.3%
Malawi	Mwanza	2017	26.4%	29.5%	24.4%
Malawi	Mzimba	2000	36.7%	40.7%	33.3%
Malawi	Mzimba	2017	39.6%	43.5%	36.2%
Malawi	Neno	2000	7.1%	9.5%	4.8%
Malawi	Neno	2017	8.4%	10.9%	6.0%
Malawi	Nkhata Bay	2000	29.8%	34.3%	25.2%
Malawi	Nkhata Bay	2017	30.8%	34.8%	27.0%
Malawi	Nkhotakota	2000	29.2%	33.1%	25.6%
Malawi	Nkhotakota	2017	27.1%	30.8%	23.8%
Malawi	Nsanje	2000	8.8%	13.3%	5.0%
Malawi	Nsanje	2017	11.0%	16.0%	6.6%
Malawi	Ntcheu	2000	24.3%	27.2%	21.9%
Malawi	Ntcheu	2017	27.3%	30.8%	24.7%
Malawi	Ntchisi	2000	14.9%	16.4%	13.9%
Malawi	Ntchisi	2017	16.3%	17.9%	15.2%
Malawi	Phalombe	2000	50.8%	51.8%	49.5%
Malawi	Phalombe	2017	55.5%	56.6%	54.2%
Malawi	Rumphi	2000	51.1%	55.8%	47.1%
Malawi	Rumphi	2017	52.5%	57.6%	48.4%
Malawi	Salima	2000	12.4%	14.9%	10.7%
Malawi	Salima	2017	14.0%	16.3%	12.4%
Malawi	Thyolo	2000	11.6%	12.3%	10.9%
Malawi	Thyolo	2017	14.1%	15.0%	13.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Malawi	Zomba	2000	31.5%	33.1%	30.0%
Malawi	Zomba	2017	34.8%	36.3%	33.4%
Mali	Abeïbara	2000	23.1%	32.0%	15.5%
Mali	Abeïbara	2017	24.0%	33.8%	15.8%
Mali	Ansongo	2000	24.3%	33.2%	16.5%
Mali	Ansongo	2017	27.3%	35.7%	19.5%
Mali	Bafoulabé	2000	25.8%	35.5%	17.7%
Mali	Bafoulabé	2017	28.5%	38.4%	20.4%
Mali	Bamako	2000	78.4%	79.0%	77.8%
Mali	Bamako	2017	85.7%	86.1%	85.3%
Mali	Banamba	2000	24.9%	35.6%	17.3%
Mali	Banamba	2017	27.9%	37.9%	19.6%
Mali	Bandiagara	2000	11.2%	15.3%	8.0%
Mali	Bandiagara	2017	11.3%	15.6%	7.7%
Mali	Bankass	2000	26.6%	33.4%	20.8%
Mali	Bankass	2017	27.7%	35.2%	21.5%
Mali	Barouéli	2000	30.5%	41.8%	21.6%
Mali	Barouéli	2017	32.2%	43.7%	23.6%
Mali	Bla	2000	16.2%	24.1%	9.3%
Mali	Bla	2017	18.7%	26.9%	11.5%
Mali	Bougouni	2000	25.3%	32.5%	19.7%
Mali	Bougouni	2017	27.8%	34.2%	22.1%
Mali	Bourem	2000	19.2%	26.7%	13.1%
Mali	Bourem	2017	21.7%	27.7%	16.3%
Mali	Diéma	2000	27.5%	36.3%	20.1%
Mali	Diéma	2017	30.5%	39.2%	22.8%
Mali	Dioïla	2000	18.7%	25.4%	12.3%
Mali	Dioïla	2017	20.4%	27.4%	13.9%
Mali	Diré	2000	31.3%	45.3%	20.2%
Mali	Diré	2017	35.5%	49.9%	23.6%
Mali	Djenné	2000	21.7%	29.6%	14.9%
Mali	Djenné	2017	26.3%	32.9%	20.6%
Mali	Douentza	2000	27.4%	36.1%	18.6%
Mali	Douentza	2017	30.0%	39.2%	21.3%
Mali	Gao	2000	41.1%	44.7%	38.0%
Mali	Gao	2017	42.8%	47.5%	39.1%
Mali	Goundam	2000	29.7%	37.4%	22.6%
Mali	Goundam	2017	29.1%	36.6%	21.8%
Mali	Gourma-Rharous	2000	23.6%	30.0%	17.8%
Mali	Gourma-Rharous	2017	25.9%	33.0%	19.9%
Mali	Kadiolo	2000	22.4%	32.8%	13.8%
Mali	Kadiolo	2017	27.5%	39.6%	17.4%
Mali	Kangaba	2000	22.5%	34.4%	12.0%
Mali	Kangaba	2017	25.5%	36.4%	14.7%
Mali	Kati	2000	33.9%	37.2%	30.5%
Mali	Kati	2017	48.5%	50.6%	46.3%
Mali	Kayes	2000	46.3%	51.8%	41.5%
Mali	Kayes	2017	53.8%	58.9%	49.3%
Mali	Kéniéba	2000	21.7%	29.3%	14.3%
Mali	Kéniéba	2017	22.4%	29.2%	16.1%
Mali	Kidal	2000	23.5%	31.1%	17.2%
Mali	Kidal	2017	26.1%	35.9%	17.7%
Mali	Kita	2000	30.0%	35.3%	24.9%
Mali	Kita	2017	32.7%	37.7%	27.9%
Mali	Kolokani	2000	23.6%	32.8%	16.3%
Mali	Kolokani	2017	26.8%	35.9%	18.6%
Mali	Kolondiéba	2000	20.8%	28.2%	14.2%
Mali	Kolondiéba	2017	22.8%	30.6%	15.7%
Mali	Koro	2000	19.9%	26.0%	14.3%
Mali	Koro	2017	23.2%	29.2%	17.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mali	Koulikoro	2000	21.4%	27.9%	16.3%
Mali	Koulikoro	2017	27.3%	33.2%	22.1%
Mali	Koutiala	2000	19.6%	25.3%	14.3%
Mali	Koutiala	2017	24.2%	29.5%	19.3%
Mali	Macina	2000	20.9%	30.5%	12.9%
Mali	Macina	2017	22.5%	32.4%	14.8%
Mali	Ménaka	2000	29.6%	36.1%	23.6%
Mali	Ménaka	2017	32.8%	39.5%	26.3%
Mali	Mopti	2000	37.0%	41.4%	32.2%
Mali	Mopti	2017	43.6%	48.0%	39.1%
Mali	Nara	2000	25.9%	33.1%	19.9%
Mali	Nara	2017	28.3%	35.4%	22.4%
Mali	Niafunké	2000	27.1%	35.9%	19.3%
Mali	Niafunké	2017	29.8%	39.0%	21.8%
Mali	Niono	2000	12.6%	16.4%	9.3%
Mali	Niono	2017	15.0%	19.5%	11.3%
Mali	Nioro	2000	18.2%	24.5%	13.2%
Mali	Nioro	2017	21.4%	28.0%	16.1%
Mali	San	2000	17.1%	24.3%	11.6%
Mali	San	2017	19.3%	26.6%	13.7%
Mali	Ségou	2000	29.3%	33.5%	26.0%
Mali	Ségou	2017	31.7%	35.6%	28.2%
Mali	Sikasso	2000	20.3%	26.4%	15.3%
Mali	Sikasso	2017	25.2%	31.5%	20.1%
Mali	Ténenkou	2000	19.8%	27.4%	13.1%
Mali	Ténenkou	2017	21.5%	29.1%	14.2%
Mali	Tessalit	2000	22.2%	29.3%	15.0%
Mali	Tessalit	2017	24.0%	32.5%	15.5%
Mali	Tin-Essako	2000	19.6%	32.4%	8.8%
Mali	Tin-Essako	2017	20.1%	34.3%	9.5%
Mali	Tombouctou	2000	24.9%	29.4%	20.9%
Mali	Tombouctou	2017	26.6%	30.2%	23.3%
Mali	Tominian	2000	15.8%	24.2%	8.2%
Mali	Tominian	2017	17.4%	26.3%	9.4%
Mali	Yanfolila	2000	22.5%	30.2%	14.6%
Mali	Yanfolila	2017	25.6%	33.5%	18.4%
Mali	Yélimané	2000	21.1%	31.1%	13.4%
Mali	Yélimané	2017	24.1%	34.3%	15.6%
Mali	Yorosso	2000	27.7%	36.0%	21.2%
Mali	Yorosso	2017	28.1%	36.7%	21.2%
Mali	Youwarou	2000	26.3%	38.1%	17.2%
Mali	Youwarou	2017	30.1%	42.9%	21.3%
Mauritania	Aïoun	2000	52.6%	64.2%	39.4%
Mauritania	Aïoun	2017	71.4%	80.8%	59.6%
Mauritania	Akjoujt	2000	43.1%	59.2%	28.7%
Mauritania	Akjoujt	2017	59.8%	73.2%	44.4%
Mauritania	Aleg	2000	46.2%	55.0%	36.7%
Mauritania	Aleg	2017	62.0%	70.1%	53.1%
Mauritania	Amourj	2000	34.9%	47.1%	24.0%
Mauritania	Amourj	2017	50.9%	63.1%	39.5%
Mauritania	Aoujeft	2000	36.4%	51.9%	21.0%
Mauritania	Aoujeft	2017	50.3%	67.6%	33.2%
Mauritania	Atar	2000	16.1%	29.7%	8.0%
Mauritania	Atar	2017	36.1%	50.2%	19.5%
Mauritania	Bababé	2000	32.0%	67.1%	6.7%
Mauritania	Bababé	2017	63.1%	88.1%	28.4%
Mauritania	Barkéol	2000	43.6%	64.5%	27.4%
Mauritania	Barkéol	2017	52.2%	73.6%	35.9%
Mauritania	Bassikounou	2000	25.6%	38.6%	13.5%
Mauritania	Bassikounou	2017	33.2%	50.9%	17.7%
Mauritania	Bir Moghreïn	2000	45.2%	63.4%	28.2%
Mauritania	Bir Moghreïn	2017	48.7%	67.9%	29.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mauritania	Boghé	2000	51.2%	69.5%	39.7%
Mauritania	Boghé	2017	66.3%	80.7%	53.1%
Mauritania	Boumdeïd	2000	47.4%	72.5%	22.3%
Mauritania	Boumdeïd	2017	56.1%	82.7%	27.4%
Mauritania	Boutilimit	2000	29.1%	36.4%	21.0%
Mauritania	Boutilimit	2017	46.4%	54.8%	37.6%
Mauritania	Chinguetti	2000	44.7%	60.1%	30.8%
Mauritania	Chinguetti	2017	50.9%	66.1%	35.5%
Mauritania	Djiguenni	2000	29.0%	44.3%	15.7%
Mauritania	Djiguenni	2017	44.4%	62.1%	28.7%
Mauritania	F'Dérik	2000	22.1%	46.1%	4.6%
Mauritania	F'Dérik	2017	40.6%	61.9%	14.8%
Mauritania	Guérou	2000	47.5%	64.3%	21.5%
Mauritania	Guérou	2017	64.1%	77.5%	44.2%
Mauritania	Kaédi	2000	35.5%	50.0%	21.5%
Mauritania	Kaédi	2017	58.2%	71.7%	43.9%
Mauritania	Kankossa	2000	43.1%	60.2%	23.1%
Mauritania	Kankossa	2017	51.6%	65.9%	33.5%
Mauritania	Keur-Macène	2000	64.9%	83.1%	48.8%
Mauritania	Keur-Macène	2017	73.3%	89.6%	57.8%
Mauritania	Kiffa	2000	45.0%	58.3%	29.1%
Mauritania	Kiffa	2017	56.0%	75.1%	42.6%
Mauritania	Kobenni	2000	37.0%	52.8%	21.7%
Mauritania	Kobenni	2017	47.6%	66.9%	30.8%
Mauritania	M'Bagne	2000	24.7%	40.9%	11.3%
Mauritania	M'Bagne	2017	54.6%	77.6%	33.7%
Mauritania	M'Bout	2000	35.0%	51.2%	17.1%
Mauritania	M'Bout	2017	43.0%	58.8%	24.0%
Mauritania	Maghama	2000	58.0%	72.7%	41.5%
Mauritania	Maghama	2017	64.8%	79.7%	47.1%
Mauritania	Magta-Lahjar	2000	60.1%	71.9%	45.9%
Mauritania	Magta-Lahjar	2017	69.3%	82.2%	55.7%
Mauritania	Méderdra	2000	29.2%	50.7%	11.3%
Mauritania	Méderdra	2017	35.5%	56.9%	16.8%
Mauritania	Monguel	2000	33.1%	49.6%	18.2%
Mauritania	Monguel	2017	43.8%	60.3%	28.1%
Mauritania	Moudjéria	2000	35.0%	47.0%	23.5%
Mauritania	Moudjéria	2017	50.0%	62.7%	37.9%
Mauritania	Néma	2000	28.3%	40.6%	17.3%
Mauritania	Néma	2017	53.8%	67.3%	38.4%
Mauritania	Nouadhibou	2000	43.4%	52.6%	2.9%
Mauritania	Nouadhibou	2017	38.1%	44.3%	1.5%
Mauritania	Nouakchott	2000	10.0%	25.6%	5.3%
Mauritania	Nouakchott	2017	66.6%	77.4%	57.8%
Mauritania	Ouad-Naga	2000	43.3%	72.5%	17.3%
Mauritania	Ouad-Naga	2017	48.5%	73.6%	24.8%
Mauritania	Ouadane	2000	74.6%	85.3%	62.7%
Mauritania	Ouadane	2017	78.8%	87.7%	69.6%
Mauritania	Ould Yengé	2000	51.4%	69.0%	35.3%
Mauritania	Ould Yengé	2017	54.7%	71.6%	38.6%
Mauritania	R'Kiz	2000	52.0%	72.9%	29.5%
Mauritania	R'Kiz	2017	62.6%	83.2%	40.7%
Mauritania	Rosso	2000	54.5%	67.9%	45.1%
Mauritania	Rosso	2017	58.4%	74.5%	48.4%
Mauritania	Sélibaby	2000	43.7%	54.6%	32.9%
Mauritania	Sélibaby	2017	51.4%	64.5%	37.0%
Mauritania	Tamchakett	2000	35.3%	52.6%	19.4%
Mauritania	Tamchakett	2017	42.7%	61.1%	26.6%
Mauritania	Tichitt	2000	43.3%	56.6%	31.1%
Mauritania	Tichitt	2017	50.3%	66.6%	34.8%
Mauritania	Tidjikja	2000	36.9%	50.5%	23.9%
Mauritania	Tidjikja	2017	49.2%	63.2%	33.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mauritania	Timbédra	2000	33.4%	50.5%	18.2%
Mauritania	Timbédra	2017	46.6%	63.7%	29.3%
Mauritania	Tintane	2000	47.4%	62.1%	30.7%
Mauritania	Tintane	2017	54.9%	69.5%	37.8%
Mauritania	Zouérate	2000	9.5%	19.7%	2.3%
Mauritania	Zouérate	2017	55.3%	61.1%	48.3%
Mozambique	Alto Molocue	2000	10.4%	25.0%	1.5%
Mozambique	Alto Molocue	2017	26.7%	46.5%	11.1%
Mozambique	Ancuabe	2000	11.2%	18.9%	5.5%
Mozambique	Ancuabe	2017	24.6%	39.7%	15.0%
Mozambique	Angoche	2000	33.0%	48.1%	16.8%
Mozambique	Angoche	2017	52.3%	67.2%	33.2%
Mozambique	Angónia	2000	12.0%	23.7%	4.3%
Mozambique	Angónia	2017	38.2%	55.3%	23.7%
Mozambique	Balama	2000	9.6%	22.5%	2.3%
Mozambique	Balama	2017	25.4%	40.8%	12.3%
Mozambique	Barue	2000	18.3%	33.7%	6.5%
Mozambique	Barue	2017	42.7%	63.1%	22.2%
Mozambique	Bilene	2000	24.3%	31.1%	17.1%
Mozambique	Bilene	2017	51.7%	59.8%	43.6%
Mozambique	Boane	2000	24.2%	28.5%	20.4%
Mozambique	Boane	2017	38.9%	41.4%	37.4%
Mozambique	Buzi	2000	23.4%	35.9%	12.0%
Mozambique	Buzi	2017	47.6%	63.5%	32.0%
Mozambique	Cahora Bassa	2000	21.0%	37.2%	7.1%
Mozambique	Cahora Bassa	2017	43.7%	61.6%	25.8%
Mozambique	Caia	2000	21.9%	37.4%	7.5%
Mozambique	Caia	2017	42.4%	56.6%	28.4%
Mozambique	Changara	2000	25.0%	32.0%	17.8%
Mozambique	Changara	2017	48.8%	57.4%	40.6%
Mozambique	Chemba	2000	24.9%	58.6%	1.8%
Mozambique	Chemba	2017	38.5%	72.9%	8.1%
Mozambique	Cheringoma	2000	37.5%	63.1%	9.8%
Mozambique	Cheringoma	2017	55.7%	80.6%	26.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Chibabava	2000	25.7%	43.3%	11.0%
Mozambique	Chibabava	2017	47.8%	67.6%	30.1%
Mozambique	Chibuto	2000	23.2%	29.6%	17.3%
Mozambique	Chibuto	2017	58.4%	65.9%	50.5%
Mozambique	Chicualacuala	2000	34.7%	55.7%	15.5%
Mozambique	Chicualacuala	2017	49.6%	68.2%	28.9%
Mozambique	Chifunde	2000	25.8%	41.5%	10.2%
Mozambique	Chifunde	2017	45.7%	64.3%	22.4%
Mozambique	Chigubo	2000	41.7%	67.6%	17.6%
Mozambique	Chigubo	2017	53.7%	80.7%	25.6%
Mozambique	Chinde	2000	22.8%	46.5%	8.0%
Mozambique	Chinde	2017	36.6%	66.3%	15.6%
Mozambique	Chiúre	2000	7.9%	17.6%	1.2%
Mozambique	Chiúre	2017	24.7%	39.5%	12.2%
Mozambique	Chiuta	2000	26.0%	46.7%	9.5%
Mozambique	Chiuta	2017	43.1%	62.8%	23.4%
Mozambique	Chókwè	2000	26.0%	33.3%	18.2%
Mozambique	Chókwè	2017	62.8%	70.0%	55.3%
Mozambique	Cidade de Matola	2000	44.1%	47.0%	41.3%
Mozambique	Cidade de Matola	2017	95.5%	97.2%	93.3%
Mozambique	Cuamba	2000	41.4%	53.5%	32.1%
Mozambique	Cuamba	2017	63.8%	75.2%	52.9%
Mozambique	Dondo	2000	54.1%	58.3%	49.6%
Mozambique	Dondo	2017	79.6%	83.9%	75.9%
Mozambique	Erati	2000	14.5%	32.8%	3.3%
Mozambique	Erati	2017	29.3%	52.4%	14.6%
Mozambique	Funhalouro	2000	30.0%	53.1%	12.0%
Mozambique	Funhalouro	2017	45.4%	68.5%	24.8%
Mozambique	Gile	2000	17.4%	31.8%	5.7%
Mozambique	Gile	2017	33.2%	51.8%	17.4%
Mozambique	Gondola	2000	12.0%	17.2%	7.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Gondola	2017	50.9%	60.5%	42.9%
Mozambique	Gorongosa	2000	26.6%	50.2%	9.5%
Mozambique	Gorongosa	2017	39.9%	60.6%	22.3%
Mozambique	Govuro	2000	22.8%	49.3%	2.8%
Mozambique	Govuro	2017	40.2%	67.6%	11.7%
Mozambique	Guijá	2000	36.8%	51.9%	17.3%
Mozambique	Guijá	2017	55.6%	67.1%	40.1%
Mozambique	Guro	2000	23.4%	50.9%	7.3%
Mozambique	Guro	2017	41.5%	72.6%	16.9%
Mozambique	Gurue	2000	11.2%	24.9%	2.6%
Mozambique	Gurue	2017	32.1%	50.7%	17.4%
Mozambique	Homoine	2000	16.7%	23.3%	12.4%
Mozambique	Homoine	2017	41.3%	51.2%	33.3%
Mozambique	Ile	2000	15.9%	37.1%	4.1%
Mozambique	Ile	2017	29.8%	49.6%	13.5%
Mozambique	Inharrime	2000	19.9%	36.9%	5.3%
Mozambique	Inharrime	2017	44.1%	62.3%	27.4%
Mozambique	Inhassoro	2000	23.1%	46.4%	4.3%
Mozambique	Inhassoro	2017	38.7%	69.0%	12.8%
Mozambique	Inhassunge	2000	11.6%	20.7%	4.7%
Mozambique	Inhassunge	2017	38.4%	53.7%	28.7%
Mozambique	Jangamo	2000	20.7%	29.9%	13.5%
Mozambique	Jangamo	2017	56.1%	69.3%	44.3%
Mozambique	Lago	2000	21.5%	41.8%	5.2%
Mozambique	Lago	2017	37.7%	57.4%	19.0%
Mozambique	Lalaua	2000	28.1%	56.4%	5.6%
Mozambique	Lalaua	2017	43.7%	72.5%	15.4%
Mozambique	Lichinga	2000	8.5%	14.1%	4.6%
Mozambique	Lichinga	2017	29.5%	36.9%	23.3%
Mozambique	Lugela	2000	11.9%	33.2%	1.1%
Mozambique	Lugela	2017	23.0%	48.2%	6.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Mabalane	2000	26.4%	46.9%	8.7%
Mozambique	Mabalane	2017	40.9%	67.0%	18.6%
Mozambique	Mabote	2000	28.3%	48.4%	11.5%
Mozambique	Mabote	2017	41.7%	65.1%	21.6%
Mozambique	Macanga	2000	19.5%	35.8%	7.0%
Mozambique	Macanga	2017	34.6%	52.6%	16.9%
Mozambique	Machanga	2000	26.0%	54.5%	7.6%
Mozambique	Machanga	2017	43.8%	71.6%	21.0%
Mozambique	Machaze	2000	25.1%	38.5%	13.6%
Mozambique	Machaze	2017	40.3%	54.8%	28.7%
Mozambique	Macomia	2000	28.1%	52.5%	7.4%
Mozambique	Macomia	2017	42.1%	62.4%	18.9%
Mozambique	Macossa	2000	33.5%	63.9%	10.5%
Mozambique	Macossa	2017	49.6%	82.8%	18.9%
Mozambique	Maganja da Costa	2000	9.7%	22.8%	2.0%
Mozambique	Maganja da Costa	2017	21.7%	36.7%	8.9%
Mozambique	Magoe	2000	33.7%	54.1%	15.2%
Mozambique	Magoe	2017	47.6%	67.1%	27.4%
Mozambique	Magude	2000	26.3%	44.9%	10.6%
Mozambique	Magude	2017	54.5%	74.4%	32.6%
Mozambique	Majune	2000	33.7%	68.5%	6.4%
Mozambique	Majune	2017	51.2%	81.8%	17.3%
Mozambique	Malema	2000	18.4%	35.2%	3.6%
Mozambique	Malema	2017	37.0%	58.0%	13.8%
Mozambique	Mandimba	2000	19.4%	42.2%	3.4%
Mozambique	Mandimba	2017	40.5%	63.8%	17.2%
Mozambique	Mandlakazi	2000	6.4%	13.9%	2.1%
Mozambique	Mandlakazi	2017	29.6%	44.4%	19.0%
Mozambique	Manhiça	2000	37.4%	47.4%	28.5%
Mozambique	Manhiça	2017	75.2%	84.5%	64.9%
Mozambique	Manica	2000	20.6%	29.0%	14.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Manica	2017	44.2%	57.8%	33.5%
Mozambique	Maputo	2000	63.9%	65.6%	62.3%
Mozambique	Maputo	2017	93.9%	94.7%	93.2%
Mozambique	Maravia	2000	25.1%	40.4%	11.5%
Mozambique	Maravia	2017	39.3%	57.0%	21.6%
Mozambique	Maringue	2000	21.3%	44.6%	6.7%
Mozambique	Maringue	2017	39.1%	64.6%	18.2%
Mozambique	Marracuene	2000	47.1%	54.8%	39.9%
Mozambique	Marracuene	2017	81.5%	91.3%	73.2%
Mozambique	Marromeu	2000	23.2%	41.2%	9.3%
Mozambique	Marromeu	2017	43.9%	62.5%	26.9%
Mozambique	Marrupa	2000	31.4%	48.1%	13.4%
Mozambique	Marrupa	2017	50.1%	67.8%	31.3%
Mozambique	Massangena	2000	44.1%	84.1%	7.2%
Mozambique	Massangena	2017	56.6%	89.7%	15.5%
Mozambique	Massinga	2000	10.2%	20.6%	3.8%
Mozambique	Massinga	2017	31.6%	50.4%	18.4%
Mozambique	Massingir	2000	48.8%	74.3%	16.5%
Mozambique	Massingir	2017	66.4%	86.6%	36.9%
Mozambique	Matutuíne	2000	31.6%	53.5%	10.9%
Mozambique	Matutuíne	2017	46.5%	64.2%	26.2%
Mozambique	Maúá	2000	27.1%	45.4%	10.5%
Mozambique	Maúá	2017	51.5%	70.5%	32.2%
Mozambique	Mavago	2000	33.7%	56.6%	13.8%
Mozambique	Mavago	2017	51.7%	75.2%	26.7%
Mozambique	Mecanhelas	2000	7.8%	14.9%	2.7%
Mozambique	Mecanhelas	2017	19.6%	29.6%	11.8%
Mozambique	Meconta	2000	18.6%	43.2%	2.8%
Mozambique	Meconta	2017	44.5%	68.8%	22.1%
Mozambique	Mecuburi	2000	18.5%	35.5%	3.2%
Mozambique	Mecuburi	2017	32.3%	53.2%	10.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Mecufi	2000	15.2%	37.2%	1.1%
Mozambique	Mecufi	2017	43.6%	73.8%	16.3%
Mozambique	Mecula	2000	46.6%	72.0%	17.4%
Mozambique	Mecula	2017	57.4%	81.3%	30.8%
Mozambique	Meluco	2000	21.6%	49.0%	3.8%
Mozambique	Meluco	2017	32.3%	64.5%	9.3%
Mozambique	Memba	2000	11.3%	24.7%	1.7%
Mozambique	Memba	2017	30.3%	49.9%	13.1%
Mozambique	Metarica	2000	23.5%	51.8%	5.9%
Mozambique	Metarica	2017	39.1%	68.9%	14.8%
Mozambique	Milange	2000	9.8%	18.2%	3.3%
Mozambique	Milange	2017	26.5%	39.5%	14.6%
Mozambique	Moamba	2000	23.6%	53.4%	5.0%
Mozambique	Moamba	2017	45.7%	71.0%	21.7%
Mozambique	Moatize	2000	26.2%	38.0%	16.3%
Mozambique	Moatize	2017	35.9%	52.3%	24.5%
Mozambique	Mocimboa da Praia	2000	33.9%	47.5%	18.8%
Mozambique	Mocimboa da Praia	2017	45.2%	58.1%	32.0%
Mozambique	Mocuba	2000	12.4%	26.2%	2.8%
Mozambique	Mocuba	2017	27.7%	45.7%	13.0%
Mozambique	Mogovolas	2000	10.9%	23.7%	1.8%
Mozambique	Mogovolas	2017	25.7%	42.8%	11.5%
Mozambique	Moma	2000	9.7%	21.5%	1.3%
Mozambique	Moma	2017	26.4%	43.9%	11.0%
Mozambique	Monapo	2000	14.5%	31.8%	4.9%
Mozambique	Monapo	2017	41.7%	64.3%	24.2%
Mozambique	Mongincual	2000	14.9%	32.1%	2.9%
Mozambique	Mongincual	2017	19.8%	40.3%	6.2%
Mozambique	Montepuez	2000	7.9%	16.5%	3.4%
Mozambique	Montepuez	2017	16.8%	28.9%	9.6%
Mozambique	Mopeia	2000	24.1%	46.0%	3.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Mopeia	2017	35.5%	62.7%	10.8%
Mozambique	Morrumbala	2000	14.1%	25.5%	5.8%
Mozambique	Morrumbala	2017	28.0%	44.9%	15.3%
Mozambique	Morrumbene	2000	6.8%	17.3%	0.9%
Mozambique	Morrumbene	2017	26.0%	42.8%	12.4%
Mozambique	Mossuril	2000	35.9%	52.6%	17.0%
Mozambique	Mossuril	2017	60.9%	77.6%	43.4%
Mozambique	Mossurize	2000	19.2%	31.7%	8.2%
Mozambique	Mossurize	2017	46.0%	60.2%	32.3%
Mozambique	Muanza	2000	24.7%	59.7%	3.6%
Mozambique	Muanza	2017	39.5%	77.1%	9.2%
Mozambique	Muecate	2000	33.4%	64.0%	8.1%
Mozambique	Muecate	2017	53.1%	79.7%	25.5%
Mozambique	Mueda	2000	23.4%	37.4%	11.1%
Mozambique	Mueda	2017	39.0%	55.8%	23.7%
Mozambique	Muembe	2000	20.5%	49.5%	3.8%
Mozambique	Muembe	2017	38.5%	70.6%	12.8%
Mozambique	Muidumbe	2000	26.0%	49.6%	2.5%
Mozambique	Muidumbe	2017	42.4%	66.9%	14.1%
Mozambique	Murupula	2000	14.9%	35.7%	1.1%
Mozambique	Murupula	2017	33.6%	57.2%	11.3%
Mozambique	Mutarara	2000	11.2%	22.4%	2.4%
Mozambique	Mutarara	2017	27.4%	43.2%	13.8%
Mozambique	N'gauma	2000	7.9%	22.0%	0.8%
Mozambique	N'gauma	2017	20.9%	41.5%	6.1%
Mozambique	Nacala Velha	2000	54.3%	66.8%	38.8%
Mozambique	Nacala Velha	2017	73.7%	86.2%	60.3%
Mozambique	Nacaroa	2000	19.4%	47.7%	2.9%
Mozambique	Nacaroa	2017	40.3%	70.9%	17.2%
Mozambique	Namaacha	2000	61.6%	71.0%	54.1%
Mozambique	Namaacha	2017	77.0%	85.5%	70.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Namacurra	2000	8.7%	21.5%	0.7%
Mozambique	Namacurra	2017	26.8%	47.1%	9.1%
Mozambique	Namarroi	2000	10.0%	23.6%	1.0%
Mozambique	Namarroi	2017	26.6%	50.1%	8.6%
Mozambique	Nampula	2000	21.1%	26.5%	15.0%
Mozambique	Nampula	2017	62.4%	69.9%	54.5%
Mozambique	Namuno	2000	17.3%	34.9%	2.9%
Mozambique	Namuno	2017	33.3%	51.4%	12.0%
Mozambique	Nangade	2000	20.7%	45.6%	4.4%
Mozambique	Nangade	2017	39.5%	65.3%	17.3%
Mozambique	Nhamatanda	2000	22.2%	32.0%	13.5%
Mozambique	Nhamatanda	2017	50.7%	62.4%	38.6%
Mozambique	Nicoadala	2000	19.5%	30.1%	10.9%
Mozambique	Nicoadala	2017	58.2%	67.9%	48.4%
Mozambique	Nipepe	2000	33.7%	66.7%	8.1%
Mozambique	Nipepe	2017	49.3%	81.9%	18.7%
Mozambique	Palma	2000	20.7%	44.2%	4.1%
Mozambique	Palma	2017	32.4%	61.7%	9.9%
Mozambique	Panda	2000	17.7%	38.8%	3.3%
Mozambique	Panda	2017	33.2%	58.4%	11.8%
Mozambique	Pebane	2000	18.2%	34.4%	3.8%
Mozambique	Pebane	2017	30.0%	46.9%	13.5%
Mozambique	Pemba	2000	48.1%	57.7%	39.2%
Mozambique	Pemba	2017	73.7%	89.0%	58.0%
Mozambique	Quissanga	2000	23.1%	45.9%	1.0%
Mozambique	Quissanga	2017	39.5%	66.4%	9.3%
Mozambique	Ribaue	2000	26.4%	42.6%	10.6%
Mozambique	Ribaue	2017	49.2%	66.2%	29.2%
Mozambique	Sanga	2000	13.1%	23.3%	4.3%
Mozambique	Sanga	2017	28.9%	45.1%	13.7%
Mozambique	Sussundenga	2000	22.4%	45.4%	8.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Sussundenga	2017	41.2%	63.8%	22.2%
Mozambique	Tambara	2000	31.9%	62.9%	4.6%
Mozambique	Tambara	2017	47.8%	76.5%	11.8%
Mozambique	Tsangano	2000	19.2%	41.1%	3.2%
Mozambique	Tsangano	2017	35.7%	56.9%	14.2%
Mozambique	Vilanculos	2000	17.2%	31.4%	5.7%
Mozambique	Vilanculos	2017	45.1%	60.4%	29.8%
Mozambique	Xai-Xai	2000	26.3%	34.7%	19.8%
Mozambique	Xai-Xai	2017	62.8%	72.6%	52.5%
Mozambique	Zavala	2000	13.7%	31.1%	2.4%
Mozambique	Zavala	2017	39.4%	60.0%	20.9%
Mozambique	Zumbu	2000	42.4%	63.9%	18.4%
Mozambique	Zumbu	2017	52.5%	73.1%	24.9%
Namibia	Aminius	2000	66.1%	74.4%	56.7%
Namibia	Aminius	2017	73.2%	80.3%	65.2%
Namibia	Anamulenge	2000	57.1%	67.6%	41.3%
Namibia	Anamulenge	2017	66.1%	75.7%	50.8%
Namibia	Arandis	2000	90.5%	97.4%	77.6%
Namibia	Arandis	2017	94.0%	98.4%	85.2%
Namibia	Berseba	2000	75.9%	81.9%	70.2%
Namibia	Berseba	2017	81.1%	85.8%	75.8%
Namibia	Daures	2000	64.7%	75.5%	53.7%
Namibia	Daures	2017	72.7%	82.3%	60.8%
Namibia	Eenhana	2000	50.9%	64.6%	36.6%
Namibia	Eenhana	2017	58.7%	72.0%	44.3%
Namibia	Elim	2000	54.6%	68.0%	43.2%
Namibia	Elim	2017	62.0%	74.2%	49.6%
Namibia	Endola	2000	48.8%	55.2%	42.1%
Namibia	Endola	2017	60.8%	66.0%	53.9%
Namibia	Engela	2000	65.8%	78.1%	52.8%
Namibia	Engela	2017	78.4%	87.0%	67.9%
Namibia	Engodi	2000	52.3%	63.2%	38.6%
Namibia	Engodi	2017	60.0%	70.8%	46.3%
Namibia	Epembe	2000	43.8%	60.4%	27.2%
Namibia	Epembe	2017	51.4%	67.0%	34.4%
Namibia	Epukiro	2000	59.9%	71.2%	47.2%
Namibia	Epukiro	2017	67.1%	78.0%	54.5%
Namibia	Epupa	2000	51.7%	59.5%	43.5%
Namibia	Epupa	2017	59.8%	67.2%	51.8%
Namibia	Etayi	2000	40.8%	55.0%	27.1%
Namibia	Etayi	2017	51.7%	66.5%	37.1%
Namibia	Gibeon	2000	77.8%	81.9%	71.4%
Namibia	Gibeon	2017	82.2%	85.9%	77.5%
Namibia	Gobabis	2000	87.0%	89.7%	84.4%
Namibia	Gobabis	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.6%
Namibia	Grootfontein	2000	82.7%	86.1%	79.6%
Namibia	Grootfontein	2017	85.8%	89.1%	82.8%
Namibia	Guinas	2000	60.1%	74.0%	46.1%
Namibia	Guinas	2017	67.7%	79.9%	54.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Kabe	2000	28.6%	38.6%	18.5%
Namibia	Kabe	2017	36.3%	46.9%	25.9%
Namibia	Kahenge	2000	43.6%	54.8%	34.3%
Namibia	Kahenge	2017	51.8%	63.1%	42.7%
Namibia	Kalahari	2000	66.5%	74.6%	57.7%
Namibia	Kalahari	2017	74.4%	81.0%	66.6%
Namibia	Kamanjab	2000	66.3%	73.4%	53.5%
Namibia	Kamanjab	2017	72.6%	79.1%	62.2%
Namibia	Kapako	2000	53.3%	67.4%	38.5%
Namibia	Kapako	2017	59.8%	73.9%	44.7%
Namibia	Karas	2000	79.5%	85.0%	74.1%
Namibia	Karas	2017	84.4%	89.1%	78.9%
Namibia	Karibib	2000	90.1%	94.0%	84.4%
Namibia	Karibib	2017	92.6%	95.5%	87.8%
Namibia	Katima	2000	57.1%	62.5%	52.4%
Namibia	Muliro Rural				
Namibia	Katima	2017	65.7%	70.7%	61.2%
Namibia	Muliro Rural				
Namibia	Katima	2000	73.2%	78.3%	67.6%
Namibia	Muliro Urban				
Namibia	Katima	2017	84.7%	88.1%	81.0%
Namibia	Muliro Urban				
Namibia	Katutura Central	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Namibia	Katutura Central	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Namibia	Katutura East	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Namibia	Katutura East	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Rural	2000	69.0%	81.8%	47.1%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Rural	2017	75.2%	85.5%	56.9%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Urban	2000	98.7%	99.7%	96.6%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Urban	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.2%
Namibia	Khomasdalen	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Namibia	Khomasdalen	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Namibia	Khorixas	2000	64.6%	76.6%	50.1%
Namibia	Khorixas	2017	73.6%	83.2%	56.9%
Namibia	Kongola	2000	33.4%	46.2%	23.2%
Namibia	Kongola	2017	37.3%	51.2%	27.1%
Namibia	Linyandi	2000	40.7%	54.8%	27.9%
Namibia	Linyandi	2017	48.4%	62.9%	34.9%
Namibia	Luderitz	2000	95.4%	98.8%	90.3%
Namibia	Luderitz	2017	96.5%	99.1%	92.6%
Namibia	Mariental Rural	2000	83.0%	86.1%	78.6%
Namibia	Mariental Rural	2017	87.6%	90.1%	84.1%
Namibia	Mariental Urban	2000	87.5%	91.4%	82.8%
Namibia	Mariental Urban	2017	89.8%	93.7%	83.9%
Namibia	Mashare	2000	43.4%	62.3%	24.8%
Namibia	Mashare	2017	51.4%	69.0%	31.2%
Namibia	Moses Garoeb	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.8%
Namibia	Moses Garoeb	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Namibia	Mpungu	2000	42.8%	53.5%	31.8%
Namibia	Mpungu	2017	50.5%	62.5%	38.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Mukwe	2000	31.6%	45.0%	19.7%
Namibia	Mukwe	2017	40.4%	53.5%	26.4%
Namibia	Ndiyona	2000	29.9%	42.1%	19.8%
Namibia	Ndiyona	2017	38.9%	50.9%	28.2%
Namibia	Ogongo	2000	51.0%	62.4%	38.1%
Namibia	Ogongo	2017	56.4%	66.7%	44.2%
Namibia	Ohangwena	2000	38.3%	53.5%	21.2%
Namibia	Ohangwena	2017	49.7%	62.4%	31.3%
Namibia	Okahandja	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.4%
Namibia	Okahandja	2017	95.5%	96.9%	93.9%
Namibia	Okahao	2000	70.4%	80.5%	55.2%
Namibia	Okahao	2017	77.9%	86.4%	62.4%
Namibia	Okakarara	2000	66.0%	72.6%	58.1%
Namibia	Okakarara	2017	73.5%	79.2%	66.2%
Namibia	Okaku	2000	63.8%	71.1%	57.7%
Namibia	Okaku	2017	75.2%	81.2%	69.0%
Namibia	Okalongo	2000	47.3%	61.3%	34.9%
Namibia	Okalongo	2017	55.5%	69.4%	41.6%
Namibia	Okankolo	2000	52.8%	64.7%	40.0%
Namibia	Okankolo	2017	61.0%	71.8%	48.7%
Namibia	Okatana	2000	70.6%	76.1%	64.4%
Namibia	Okatana	2017	76.4%	81.5%	71.0%
Namibia	Okatyali	2000	64.9%	91.3%	27.7%
Namibia	Okatyali	2017	71.7%	94.6%	34.8%
Namibia	Okongo	2000	46.7%	58.5%	35.4%
Namibia	Okongo	2017	54.5%	66.6%	41.8%
Namibia	Olukonda	2000	73.1%	90.0%	48.1%
Namibia	Olukonda	2017	82.1%	95.1%	61.3%
Namibia	Omaruru	2000	86.2%	89.6%	81.6%
Namibia	Omaruru	2017	89.6%	92.7%	86.2%
Namibia	Omatako	2000	73.2%	80.5%	61.7%
Namibia	Omatako	2017	81.3%	86.3%	71.3%
Namibia	Ompundja	2000	58.3%	78.6%	36.7%
Namibia	Ompundja	2017	67.3%	85.3%	45.7%
Namibia	Omulonga	2000	26.9%	34.9%	18.1%
Namibia	Omulonga	2017	34.8%	42.9%	24.8%
Namibia	Omundaungilo	2000	43.1%	63.1%	21.8%
Namibia	Omundaungilo	2017	48.6%	68.2%	26.0%
Namibia	Omuntele	2000	60.7%	74.2%	46.3%
Namibia	Omuntele	2017	69.3%	81.5%	54.5%
Namibia	Omuthiyagwipund	2000	56.5%	70.3%	43.4%
Namibia	Omuthiyagwipund	2017	66.0%	78.5%	52.3%
Namibia	Onayena	2000	56.5%	66.0%	48.6%
Namibia	Onayena	2017	66.2%	74.9%	57.6%
Namibia	Ondangwa	2000	85.5%	87.3%	83.4%
Namibia	Ondangwa	2017	91.9%	93.0%	90.7%
Namibia	Ondobe	2000	28.8%	39.3%	19.9%
Namibia	Ondobe	2017	38.1%	48.4%	28.6%
Namibia	Onesi	2000	43.3%	63.6%	24.8%
Namibia	Onesi	2017	53.0%	71.8%	34.1%
Namibia	Ongenga	2000	57.7%	65.4%	50.0%
Namibia	Ongenga	2017	68.7%	76.4%	61.1%
Namibia	Ongwediva	2000	83.9%	85.7%	81.7%
Namibia	Ongwediva	2017	91.2%	92.4%	89.8%
Namibia	Oniipa	2000	56.5%	63.4%	49.8%
Namibia	Oniipa	2017	67.8%	74.2%	61.4%
Namibia	Onyaanya	2000	54.2%	68.5%	40.3%
Namibia	Onyaanya	2017	63.8%	77.3%	50.7%
Namibia	Opuwo	2000	56.9%	62.7%	49.6%
Namibia	Opuwo	2017	66.8%	72.4%	61.1%
Namibia	Oranjemund	2000	83.9%	96.3%	70.5%
Namibia	Oranjemund	2017	88.4%	97.7%	76.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Oshakati East	2000	87.1%	90.7%	82.4%
Namibia	Oshakati East	2017	92.6%	95.0%	89.1%
Namibia	Oshakati West	2000	95.3%	98.1%	91.5%
Namibia	Oshakati West	2017	96.6%	98.8%	92.9%
Namibia	Oshikango	2000	58.6%	62.0%	54.2%
Namibia	Oshikango	2017	71.4%	74.0%	68.1%
Namibia	Oshikuku	2000	59.6%	74.7%	46.7%
Namibia	Oshikuku	2017	67.7%	82.0%	53.6%
Namibia	Otamanzi	2000	46.8%	64.2%	28.5%
Namibia	Otamanzi	2017	55.2%	71.0%	35.4%
Namibia	Otavi	2000	73.6%	79.9%	66.3%
Namibia	Otavi	2017	78.8%	83.9%	73.4%
Namibia	Otjinene	2000	70.4%	78.5%	59.4%
Namibia	Otjinene	2017	76.0%	81.9%	68.3%
Namibia	Otjiwarongo	2000	92.4%	95.2%	89.3%
Namibia	Otjiwarongo	2017	94.9%	97.0%	92.1%
Namibia	Otjombinde	2000	60.2%	70.9%	47.2%
Namibia	Otjombinde	2017	67.6%	76.9%	55.6%
Namibia	Outapi	2000	44.7%	58.2%	33.3%
Namibia	Outapi	2017	54.2%	66.8%	42.1%
Namibia	Outjo	2000	77.1%	82.8%	70.5%
Namibia	Outjo	2017	83.5%	87.7%	78.9%
Namibia	Rehoboth East	2000	92.4%	94.8%	88.7%
Namibia	Rehoboth East	2017	95.9%	97.3%	93.5%
Namibia	Rehoboth Rural	2000	68.9%	76.2%	61.1%
Namibia	Rehoboth Rural	2017	75.7%	82.5%	68.8%
Namibia	Rehoboth West	2000	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Namibia	Rehoboth West	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Namibia	Ruacana	2000	67.9%	79.5%	52.8%
Namibia	Ruacana	2017	74.9%	85.7%	60.3%
Namibia	Rundu Rural East	2000	55.5%	64.5%	44.9%
Namibia	Rundu Rural East	2017	60.9%	68.4%	51.0%
Namibia	Rundu Rural West	2000	69.7%	74.2%	65.1%
Namibia	Rundu Rural West	2017	78.7%	82.5%	74.4%
Namibia	Rundu Urban	2000	89.4%	91.0%	86.9%
Namibia	Rundu Urban	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.1%
Namibia	Sesfontein	2000	63.2%	72.6%	53.8%
Namibia	Sesfontein	2017	70.8%	79.1%	61.9%
Namibia	Sibinda	2000	55.2%	72.7%	37.8%
Namibia	Sibinda	2017	61.7%	78.3%	44.5%
Namibia	Soweto	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Namibia	Soweto	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Namibia	Steinhausen	2000	60.7%	71.8%	47.7%
Namibia	Steinhausen	2017	67.5%	77.7%	54.1%
Namibia	Swakopmund	2000	94.8%	96.9%	88.8%
Namibia	Swakopmund	2017	97.1%	98.5%	91.7%
Namibia	Tobias Hainyeko	2000	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
Namibia	Tobias Hainyeko	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Namibia	Tsandi	2000	48.8%	63.6%	33.7%
Namibia	Tsandi	2017	58.4%	71.9%	43.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Tsumeb	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.1%
Namibia	Tsumeb	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Namibia	Tsumkwe	2000	53.7%	66.9%	38.0%
Namibia	Tsumkwe	2017	61.4%	74.2%	46.9%
Namibia	Uukwiyu	2000	87.4%	94.8%	76.1%
Namibia	Uukwiyu	2017	91.8%	97.0%	81.7%
Namibia	Uuvudhiya	2000	45.6%	66.5%	26.4%
Namibia	Uuvudhiya	2017	53.0%	72.7%	34.3%
Namibia	Walvisbay Rural	2000	94.7%	98.3%	82.4%
Namibia	Walvisbay Rural	2017	96.0%	99.1%	82.6%
Namibia	Walvisbay Urban	2000	97.3%	98.3%	96.2%
Namibia	Walvisbay Urban	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.0%
Namibia	Wanaheda	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Namibia	Wanaheda	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Namibia	Windhoek East	2000	85.2%	89.6%	79.2%
Namibia	Windhoek East	2017	91.9%	94.6%	87.7%
Namibia	Windhoek Rural	2000	83.8%	87.1%	79.5%
Namibia	Windhoek Rural	2017	88.1%	90.7%	84.9%
Namibia	Windhoek West	2000	96.1%	97.5%	93.4%
Namibia	Windhoek West	2017	97.8%	98.7%	95.1%
Niger	Aguié	2000	23.2%	29.2%	18.4%
Niger	Aguié	2017	48.9%	56.2%	42.0%
Niger	Arlit	2000	54.3%	59.0%	50.6%
Niger	Arlit	2017	78.0%	81.4%	74.8%
Niger	Bilma	2000	54.7%	58.4%	50.6%
Niger	Bilma	2017	78.0%	80.6%	74.8%
Niger	Bkonni	2000	29.5%	34.0%	25.6%
Niger	Bkonni	2017	55.7%	59.5%	51.6%
Niger	Boboye	2000	17.3%	21.2%	14.3%
Niger	Boboye	2017	39.1%	45.1%	34.1%
Niger	Bouza	2000	23.4%	26.4%	20.9%
Niger	Bouza	2017	49.5%	53.1%	46.1%
Niger	Dakoro	2000	23.2%	28.7%	18.7%
Niger	Dakoro	2017	47.7%	53.7%	41.8%
Niger	Diffa	2000	33.4%	37.2%	29.6%
Niger	Diffa	2017	62.3%	66.2%	58.3%
Niger	Dogon-Doutchi	2000	19.9%	22.9%	16.9%
Niger	Dogon-Doutchi	2017	44.6%	48.8%	40.0%
Niger	Dosso	2000	16.2%	20.7%	12.8%
Niger	Dosso	2017	37.7%	44.6%	31.5%
Niger	Filingué	2000	13.0%	15.6%	11.1%
Niger	Filingué	2017	32.0%	36.5%	28.0%
Niger	Gaya	2000	21.3%	26.9%	16.8%
Niger	Gaya	2017	46.7%	54.5%	39.6%
Niger	Gouré	2000	22.7%	25.4%	20.5%
Niger	Gouré	2017	48.2%	51.5%	45.0%
Niger	Groumdji	2000	27.7%	34.6%	20.5%
Niger	Groumdji	2017	55.8%	62.7%	47.6%
Niger	Illéla	2000	21.9%	24.4%	19.9%
Niger	Illéla	2017	47.4%	51.1%	44.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Niger	Keita	2000	22.4%	25.8%	19.7%
Niger	Keita	2017	48.9%	53.9%	45.0%
Niger	Kollo	2000	15.5%	18.8%	13.0%
Niger	Kollo	2017	35.2%	39.9%	31.2%
Niger	Loga	2000	15.2%	19.4%	11.5%
Niger	Loga	2017	35.9%	42.4%	29.6%
Niger	Madaoua	2000	22.7%	26.3%	19.3%
Niger	Madaoua	2017	48.4%	53.1%	43.7%
Niger	Madarounfa	2000	17.4%	20.7%	14.2%
Niger	Madarounfa	2017	45.1%	50.4%	40.1%
Niger	Magaria	2000	19.0%	21.1%	16.7%
Niger	Magaria	2017	44.9%	48.3%	41.3%
Niger	Mainé-Soroa	2000	33.0%	36.0%	30.6%
Niger	Mainé-Soroa	2017	61.3%	64.6%	58.0%
Niger	Matameye	2000	24.5%	27.8%	20.9%
Niger	Matameye	2017	53.3%	57.3%	49.0%
Niger	Mayahi	2000	19.2%	23.4%	15.6%
Niger	Mayahi	2017	42.4%	47.9%	37.3%
Niger	Mirriah	2000	18.1%	20.1%	16.3%
Niger	Mirriah	2017	42.1%	45.7%	38.9%
Niger	N'Guigmi	2000	33.7%	38.9%	29.3%
Niger	N'Guigmi	2017	61.1%	66.1%	55.8%
Niger	Niamey	2000	55.9%	61.0%	51.4%
Niger	Niamey	2017	74.2%	77.7%	70.9%
Niger	Ouallam	2000	12.6%	14.6%	10.6%
Niger	Ouallam	2017	31.6%	35.5%	27.6%
Niger	Say	2000	18.8%	23.4%	14.7%
Niger	Say	2017	39.6%	45.4%	34.1%
Niger	Tahoua	2000	23.2%	26.8%	19.6%
Niger	Tahoua	2017	49.2%	53.9%	44.4%
Niger	Tanout	2000	23.0%	25.9%	20.3%
Niger	Tanout	2017	48.5%	52.2%	44.9%
Niger	Tchighozerine	2000	62.8%	65.1%	60.1%
Niger	Tchighozerine	2017	83.9%	85.4%	82.3%
Niger	Tchin-Tabarade	2000	26.2%	30.6%	22.1%
Niger	Tchin-Tabarade	2017	51.5%	57.4%	46.1%
Niger	Téra	2000	12.0%	13.9%	9.8%
Niger	Téra	2017	31.2%	35.6%	27.2%
Niger	Tessaoua	2000	21.4%	25.4%	17.7%
Niger	Tessaoua	2017	45.9%	51.3%	40.2%
Niger	Tillabéry	2000	15.0%	18.0%	12.5%
Niger	Tillabéry	2017	36.3%	41.4%	31.2%
Nigeria	Aba North	2000	7.1%	8.2%	6.1%
Nigeria	Aba North	2017	1.7%	1.9%	1.4%
Nigeria	Aba South	2000	10.6%	12.6%	8.8%
Nigeria	Aba South	2017	2.4%	3.0%	1.9%
Nigeria	Abadam	2000	24.1%	43.3%	8.1%
Nigeria	Abadam	2017	14.4%	30.0%	3.4%
Nigeria	Abaji	2000	17.7%	29.2%	9.7%
Nigeria	Abaji	2017	10.5%	18.3%	5.2%
Nigeria	Abak	2000	8.0%	16.2%	4.4%
Nigeria	Abak	2017	2.4%	7.5%	1.1%
Nigeria	Abakalik	2000	13.8%	16.2%	12.1%
Nigeria	Abakalik	2017	4.0%	4.7%	3.4%
Nigeria	Abeokuta South	2000	72.9%	76.0%	69.5%
Nigeria	Abeokuta South	2017	43.6%	48.9%	37.2%
Nigeria	AbeokutaNorth	2000	44.5%	55.9%	34.5%
Nigeria	AbeokutaNorth	2017	23.1%	36.1%	14.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Abi	2000	17.5%	23.3%	13.2%
Nigeria	Abi	2017	5.3%	8.9%	3.3%
Nigeria	Aboh-Mba	2000	8.4%	10.5%	6.5%
Nigeria	Aboh-Mba	2017	2.0%	2.5%	1.5%
Nigeria	Abua/Odu	2000	16.4%	27.6%	10.6%
Nigeria	Abua/Odu	2017	5.4%	14.3%	2.7%
Nigeria	AbujaMun	2000	49.1%	53.0%	46.3%
Nigeria	AbujaMun	2017	22.0%	25.6%	20.0%
Nigeria	Adavi	2000	19.3%	28.5%	12.9%
Nigeria	Adavi	2017	9.3%	18.1%	3.9%
Nigeria	Ado	2000	8.2%	24.7%	0.9%
Nigeria	Ado	2017	3.3%	12.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ado-Ekiti	2000	25.7%	28.6%	23.5%
Nigeria	Ado-Ekiti	2017	7.8%	9.3%	6.9%
Nigeria	AdoOdo/Ota	2000	17.4%	21.0%	15.0%
Nigeria	AdoOdo/Ota	2017	5.0%	6.3%	4.3%
Nigeria	Afijio	2000	10.1%	25.6%	4.0%
Nigeria	Afijio	2017	4.1%	14.1%	1.0%
Nigeria	Afikpo	2000	7.0%	14.6%	4.2%
Nigeria	Afikpo	2017	2.3%	7.1%	1.1%
Nigeria	AfikpoSo	2000	7.9%	23.2%	2.6%
Nigeria	AfikpoSo	2017	2.8%	13.7%	0.5%
Nigeria	Agai	2000	25.9%	40.3%	15.1%
Nigeria	Agai	2017	11.8%	21.4%	5.5%
Nigeria	Agatu	2000	12.7%	32.9%	1.2%
Nigeria	Agatu	2017	7.3%	22.8%	0.3%
Nigeria	Agege	2000	16.7%	18.5%	15.1%
Nigeria	Agege	2017	3.7%	4.2%	3.4%
Nigeria	Aguata	2000	4.1%	7.5%	1.8%
Nigeria	Aguata	2017	1.2%	2.5%	0.4%
Nigeria	Agwara	2000	16.2%	31.3%	6.2%
Nigeria	Agwara	2017	7.8%	19.1%	2.1%
Nigeria	Ahizu-Mb	2000	6.4%	7.5%	5.4%
Nigeria	Ahizu-Mb	2017	1.3%	1.5%	1.1%
Nigeria	Ahoda East	2000	33.2%	36.2%	30.5%
Nigeria	Ahoda East	2017	17.4%	20.7%	13.9%
Nigeria	Ahoda West	2000	25.3%	29.0%	20.6%
Nigeria	Ahoda West	2017	14.1%	17.3%	10.0%
Nigeria	Ajaokuta	2000	50.5%	63.0%	38.6%
Nigeria	Ajaokuta	2017	37.8%	50.6%	26.3%
Nigeria	Ajeromi/Ifelodun	2000	26.8%	28.4%	24.9%
Nigeria	Ajeromi/Ifelodun	2017	6.7%	7.1%	6.3%
Nigeria	Ajingi	2000	17.8%	27.3%	13.3%
Nigeria	Ajingi	2017	7.5%	15.7%	5.3%
Nigeria	Akamkpa	2000	18.7%	29.2%	11.9%
Nigeria	Akamkpa	2017	12.3%	19.9%	8.1%
Nigeria	Akinyele	2000	8.4%	15.3%	5.4%
Nigeria	Akinyele	2017	3.0%	10.7%	1.1%
Nigeria	Akko	2000	26.4%	31.2%	23.7%
Nigeria	Akko	2017	10.3%	14.4%	8.3%
Nigeria	Akoko North-East	2000	25.9%	38.4%	19.1%
Nigeria	Akoko North-East	2017	13.5%	25.2%	7.7%
Nigeria	Akoko South-East	2000	9.5%	28.9%	1.9%
Nigeria	Akoko South-East	2017	3.9%	15.8%	0.4%
Nigeria	Akoko South-West	2000	8.2%	23.3%	2.2%
Nigeria	Akoko South-West	2017	3.8%	15.4%	0.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Akoko-Ed	2000	18.7%	36.4%	5.4%
Nigeria	Akoko-Ed	2017	9.0%	21.1%	1.5%
Nigeria	AkokoNorthWest	2000	23.1%	28.6%	20.4%
Nigeria	AkokoNorthWest	2017	14.3%	17.4%	12.2%
Nigeria	Akpabuyo	2000	19.4%	28.4%	11.6%
Nigeria	Akpabuyo	2017	7.3%	13.7%	3.2%
Nigeria	Akukutor	2000	14.3%	35.9%	2.4%
Nigeria	Akukutor	2017	7.6%	28.0%	0.5%
Nigeria	Akure North	2000	16.8%	23.8%	11.3%
Nigeria	Akure North	2017	6.4%	13.1%	2.9%
Nigeria	Akure South	2000	22.2%	28.6%	18.1%
Nigeria	Akure South	2017	8.0%	16.1%	4.7%
Nigeria	Akwanga	2000	13.6%	22.5%	9.4%
Nigeria	Akwanga	2017	4.1%	11.9%	2.0%
Nigeria	Albasu	2000	5.1%	9.5%	2.3%
Nigeria	Albasu	2017	1.5%	3.7%	0.6%
Nigeria	Aleiro	2000	35.9%	44.7%	27.5%
Nigeria	Aleiro	2017	27.6%	39.2%	19.9%
Nigeria	Alimosho	2000	17.8%	19.8%	15.8%
Nigeria	Alimosho	2017	4.1%	4.6%	3.7%
Nigeria	Alkaleri	2000	14.2%	24.7%	6.5%
Nigeria	Alkaleri	2017	7.3%	15.9%	2.3%
Nigeria	Amuwo Od- ofin	2000	21.7%	26.6%	18.4%
Nigeria	Amuwo Od- ofin	2017	5.5%	8.9%	4.4%
Nigeria	Anambra East	2000	5.3%	7.6%	3.8%
Nigeria	Anambra East	2017	1.3%	2.2%	0.8%
Nigeria	Anambra West	2000	34.6%	56.1%	17.8%
Nigeria	Anambra West	2017	17.7%	41.7%	5.5%
Nigeria	Anaocha	2000	2.0%	3.0%	1.3%
Nigeria	Anaocha	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Nigeria	Andoni/O	2000	9.6%	23.4%	4.8%
Nigeria	Andoni/O	2017	4.1%	12.7%	1.7%
Nigeria	Aninri	2000	7.2%	14.5%	3.0%
Nigeria	Aninri	2017	1.9%	4.7%	0.7%
Nigeria	AniochaN	2000	7.3%	12.8%	3.8%
Nigeria	AniochaN	2017	2.4%	5.3%	1.1%
Nigeria	AniochaS	2000	21.0%	38.7%	9.0%
Nigeria	AniochaS	2017	9.9%	23.0%	2.8%
Nigeria	Anka	2000	17.4%	29.4%	8.0%
Nigeria	Anka	2017	8.8%	16.8%	2.5%
Nigeria	Ankpa	2000	19.5%	26.9%	13.4%
Nigeria	Ankpa	2017	12.2%	19.0%	6.9%
Nigeria	Apa	2000	14.1%	38.5%	2.0%
Nigeria	Apa	2017	7.3%	24.3%	0.5%
Nigeria	Apapa	2000	19.8%	24.9%	17.0%
Nigeria	Apapa	2017	5.1%	6.3%	4.4%
Nigeria	Ardo-Kola	2000	13.7%	19.2%	9.8%
Nigeria	Ardo-Kola	2017	7.1%	12.3%	4.2%
Nigeria	Arewa	2000	18.1%	30.9%	8.4%
Nigeria	Arewa	2017	9.2%	17.6%	2.9%
Nigeria	Argungu	2000	19.7%	32.7%	10.8%
Nigeria	Argungu	2017	7.9%	19.3%	2.9%
Nigeria	Arochukw	2000	3.6%	16.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Arochukw	2017	1.6%	13.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	Asa	2000	29.9%	36.6%	25.4%
Nigeria	Asa	2017	10.5%	14.8%	7.8%
Nigeria	Asari-To	2000	28.7%	34.1%	24.0%
Nigeria	Asari-To	2017	9.6%	12.2%	7.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Askira/U	2000	18.8%	34.1%	8.3%
Nigeria	Askira/U	2017	9.9%	20.3%	3.1%
Nigeria	Atakumosa East	2000	11.0%	19.1%	5.7%
Nigeria	Atakumosa East	2017	3.7%	8.9%	1.2%
Nigeria	Atakumosa West	2000	10.6%	18.7%	6.0%
Nigeria	Atakumosa West	2017	3.0%	7.9%	1.4%
Nigeria	Atiba	2000	17.7%	35.2%	5.3%
Nigeria	Atiba	2017	9.0%	25.3%	1.4%
Nigeria	Atisbo	2000	19.5%	33.0%	9.9%
Nigeria	Atisbo	2017	10.8%	19.7%	4.7%
Nigeria	Augie	2000	11.2%	20.1%	5.6%
Nigeria	Augie	2017	4.1%	9.6%	1.6%
Nigeria	Auyo	2000	29.2%	60.3%	11.4%
Nigeria	Auyo	2017	17.2%	41.9%	6.4%
Nigeria	Awe	2000	8.8%	20.5%	2.2%
Nigeria	Awe	2017	4.2%	12.4%	0.5%
Nigeria	Awgu	2000	10.2%	12.0%	8.5%
Nigeria	Awgu	2017	3.0%	3.9%	2.4%
Nigeria	AwkaNort	2000	12.2%	28.8%	2.5%
Nigeria	AwkaNort	2017	5.5%	18.4%	0.5%
Nigeria	AwkaSout	2000	3.0%	6.5%	1.2%
Nigeria	AwkaSout	2017	0.9%	3.3%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ayamelum	2000	19.1%	35.6%	5.6%
Nigeria	Ayamelum	2017	9.0%	24.5%	1.0%
Nigeria	Ayedaade	2000	28.4%	37.0%	23.0%
Nigeria	Ayedaade	2017	17.1%	23.4%	13.2%
Nigeria	Ayedire	2000	9.8%	14.8%	6.3%
Nigeria	Ayedire	2017	4.4%	8.7%	2.0%
Nigeria	Babura	2000	53.7%	65.7%	42.8%
Nigeria	Babura	2017	39.0%	50.5%	30.3%
Nigeria	Badagary	2000	25.9%	37.7%	19.3%
Nigeria	Badagary	2017	8.6%	19.1%	5.2%
Nigeria	Bade	2000	25.1%	47.2%	8.1%
Nigeria	Bade	2017	15.6%	32.5%	2.6%
Nigeria	Bagudo	2000	17.1%	26.5%	9.8%
Nigeria	Bagudo	2017	10.4%	17.5%	5.1%
Nigeria	Bagwai	2000	29.6%	53.5%	15.5%
Nigeria	Bagwai	2017	17.8%	38.8%	5.1%
Nigeria	Bakassi	2000	22.0%	74.2%	0.6%
Nigeria	Bakassi	2017	12.3%	50.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Bakori	2000	20.7%	39.4%	8.5%
Nigeria	Bakori	2017	10.2%	25.9%	3.1%
Nigeria	Bakura	2000	17.1%	27.3%	10.3%
Nigeria	Bakura	2017	6.3%	13.4%	2.5%
Nigeria	Balanga	2000	9.5%	19.9%	3.1%
Nigeria	Balanga	2017	4.7%	12.0%	0.9%
Nigeria	Bali	2000	12.2%	20.5%	6.5%
Nigeria	Bali	2017	6.8%	15.1%	2.3%
Nigeria	Bama	2000	19.2%	34.4%	8.6%
Nigeria	Bama	2017	10.5%	22.5%	3.0%
Nigeria	Barkin Ladi	2000	8.7%	21.0%	2.2%
Nigeria	Barkin Ladi	2017	4.2%	14.6%	0.5%
Nigeria	Baruten	2000	21.6%	28.2%	15.2%
Nigeria	Baruten	2017	12.4%	17.4%	7.7%
Nigeria	Bassa	2000	7.8%	16.9%	1.9%
Nigeria	Bassa	2000	22.4%	31.6%	13.5%
Nigeria	Bassa	2017	15.7%	23.7%	9.4%
Nigeria	Bassa	2017	4.1%	11.4%	0.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Batagarawa	2000	48.2%	52.7%	44.0%
Nigeria	Batagarawa	2017	29.9%	33.8%	25.5%
Nigeria	Batsari	2000	34.4%	55.2%	19.6%
Nigeria	Batsari	2017	22.2%	39.9%	10.7%
Nigeria	Bauchi	2000	30.2%	38.0%	24.9%
Nigeria	Bauchi	2017	18.8%	25.1%	14.3%
Nigeria	Baure	2000	27.0%	42.9%	16.1%
Nigeria	Baure	2017	11.3%	25.1%	5.4%
Nigeria	Bayo	2000	20.1%	37.8%	5.3%
Nigeria	Bayo	2017	10.1%	24.0%	1.4%
Nigeria	Bebeji	2000	25.5%	36.5%	12.8%
Nigeria	Bebeji	2017	13.0%	22.7%	3.2%
Nigeria	Bekwarra	2000	1.5%	8.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	Bekwarra	2017	0.4%	2.4%	0.0%
Nigeria	Bende	2000	5.7%	17.9%	1.9%
Nigeria	Bende	2017	1.9%	7.7%	0.4%
Nigeria	Biase	2000	17.4%	33.0%	4.4%
Nigeria	Biase	2017	9.6%	23.2%	1.7%
Nigeria	Bichi	2000	16.8%	20.8%	14.4%
Nigeria	Bichi	2017	7.3%	10.0%	6.2%
Nigeria	Bida	2000	29.9%	32.6%	27.6%
Nigeria	Bida	2017	10.7%	11.7%	9.6%
Nigeria	Billiri	2000	15.4%	25.3%	6.1%
Nigeria	Billiri	2017	6.4%	13.4%	1.4%
Nigeria	Bindawa	2000	12.3%	31.6%	3.6%
Nigeria	Bindawa	2017	6.7%	21.5%	1.5%
Nigeria	Binji	2000	13.6%	22.9%	9.7%
Nigeria	Binji	2017	5.5%	11.0%	3.5%
Nigeria	Biriniwa	2000	27.7%	40.1%	18.2%
Nigeria	Biriniwa	2017	22.0%	35.7%	13.9%
Nigeria	Birnin-G	2000	18.1%	32.3%	9.7%
Nigeria	Birnin-G	2017	9.9%	19.6%	4.3%
Nigeria	Birnin-Magaji/Kiyaw	2000	18.0%	34.4%	7.0%
Nigeria	Birnin-Magaji/Kiyaw	2017	10.5%	25.0%	2.4%
Nigeria	BirninKe	2000	46.7%	54.2%	42.0%
Nigeria	BirninKe	2017	32.5%	37.5%	29.4%
Nigeria	BirninKu	2000	22.6%	40.6%	12.0%
Nigeria	BirninKu	2017	12.0%	28.2%	4.2%
Nigeria	Biu	2000	28.3%	42.7%	17.7%
Nigeria	Biu	2017	14.6%	26.2%	7.7%
Nigeria	Bodinga	2000	20.4%	26.2%	15.6%
Nigeria	Bodinga	2017	18.1%	24.9%	12.4%
Nigeria	Bogoro	2000	9.9%	29.1%	0.6%
Nigeria	Bogoro	2017	5.0%	18.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Boki	2000	11.0%	23.1%	3.1%
Nigeria	Boki	2017	5.4%	15.6%	0.9%
Nigeria	Bokkos	2000	14.8%	37.5%	3.1%
Nigeria	Bokkos	2017	7.4%	23.4%	0.7%
Nigeria	Boluwaduro	2000	19.2%	23.8%	15.5%
Nigeria	Boluwaduro	2017	4.5%	5.8%	3.5%
Nigeria	Bomadi	2000	12.5%	17.2%	9.3%
Nigeria	Bomadi	2017	4.3%	6.5%	2.6%
Nigeria	Bonny	2000	10.8%	19.4%	6.7%
Nigeria	Bonny	2017	6.2%	13.8%	2.6%
Nigeria	Borgu	2000	19.2%	27.0%	12.4%
Nigeria	Borgu	2017	9.8%	15.5%	5.4%
Nigeria	Boripe	2000	9.4%	22.4%	5.9%
Nigeria	Boripe	2017	3.0%	11.6%	1.4%
Nigeria	Borsari	2000	12.4%	21.2%	5.9%
Nigeria	Borsari	2017	7.1%	14.1%	2.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Bosso	2000	36.2%	46.7%	27.3%
Nigeria	Bosso	2017	17.1%	27.9%	10.7%
Nigeria	Brass	2000	14.1%	26.2%	6.2%
Nigeria	Brass	2017	7.0%	16.6%	2.0%
Nigeria	Buji	2000	23.2%	38.8%	11.8%
Nigeria	Buji	2017	9.9%	20.9%	4.0%
Nigeria	Bukkuyum	2000	13.4%	25.8%	5.3%
Nigeria	Bukkuyum	2017	6.7%	15.5%	1.5%
Nigeria	Bungudu	2000	10.5%	24.9%	4.4%
Nigeria	Bungudu	2017	4.2%	12.9%	1.2%
Nigeria	Bunkure	2000	7.8%	16.2%	2.8%
Nigeria	Bunkure	2017	3.1%	8.7%	0.7%
Nigeria	Bunza	2000	36.0%	46.0%	27.0%
Nigeria	Bunza	2017	25.3%	36.2%	18.1%
Nigeria	Buruku	2000	17.5%	28.4%	10.8%
Nigeria	Buruku	2017	8.4%	19.6%	3.7%
Nigeria	Burutu	2000	10.3%	20.5%	3.6%
Nigeria	Burutu	2017	4.5%	11.6%	1.0%
Nigeria	Bwari	2000	31.1%	35.9%	28.5%
Nigeria	Bwari	2017	12.7%	15.7%	11.3%
Nigeria	Calabar	2000	17.4%	32.7%	12.9%
Nigeria	Calabar	2017	5.3%	15.5%	3.4%
Nigeria	Calabar South	2000	4.8%	14.6%	1.3%
Nigeria	Calabar South	2017	1.4%	4.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Chanchaga	2000	48.3%	53.4%	43.7%
Nigeria	Chanchaga	2017	17.1%	21.7%	14.4%
Nigeria	Charanchi	2000	30.4%	52.7%	14.0%
Nigeria	Charanchi	2017	15.1%	32.3%	5.4%
Nigeria	Chibok	2000	17.2%	40.8%	3.4%
Nigeria	Chibok	2017	9.1%	31.7%	0.8%
Nigeria	Chikun	2000	24.5%	32.4%	17.6%
Nigeria	Chikun	2017	10.9%	17.7%	6.5%
Nigeria	Dala	2000	39.3%	41.4%	37.2%
Nigeria	Dala	2017	14.1%	16.0%	12.3%
Nigeria	Damaturu	2000	39.1%	51.6%	29.2%
Nigeria	Damaturu	2017	28.9%	38.2%	22.5%
Nigeria	Damban	2000	27.3%	39.5%	17.6%
Nigeria	Damban	2017	15.6%	24.4%	9.8%
Nigeria	Dambatta	2000	14.7%	23.2%	8.3%
Nigeria	Dambatta	2017	6.8%	13.7%	2.8%
Nigeria	Damboa	2000	18.9%	32.6%	9.1%
Nigeria	Damboa	2017	9.7%	19.6%	4.1%
Nigeria	Dandi	2000	31.4%	42.2%	23.6%
Nigeria	Dandi	2017	18.6%	27.0%	12.6%
Nigeria	Dandume	2000	13.0%	31.0%	3.6%
Nigeria	Dandume	2017	5.7%	20.4%	0.7%
Nigeria	Dange-Shuni	2000	20.6%	34.7%	12.4%
Nigeria	Dange-Shuni	2017	8.6%	19.3%	4.1%
Nigeria	Danja	2000	14.1%	43.0%	1.3%
Nigeria	Danja	2017	7.6%	29.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	Danko	2000	18.1%	32.6%	10.7%
Nigeria	Wasagu				
Nigeria	Danko	2017	7.6%	17.8%	3.0%
Nigeria	Wasagu				
Nigeria	Danmusa	2000	18.5%	37.7%	5.5%
Nigeria	Danmusa	2017	8.9%	24.2%	1.3%
Nigeria	Darazo	2000	18.8%	37.2%	5.8%
Nigeria	Darazo	2017	10.2%	24.9%	2.0%
Nigeria	Dass	2000	10.2%	36.6%	0.5%
Nigeria	Dass	2017	4.6%	21.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	Daura	2000	37.1%	46.5%	22.0%
Nigeria	Daura	2017	20.0%	30.5%	6.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	DawakinK	2000	27.7%	31.8%	22.1%
Nigeria	DawakinK	2017	17.1%	21.1%	12.4%
Nigeria	DawakinT	2000	13.4%	20.6%	10.5%
Nigeria	DawakinT	2017	6.9%	11.8%	4.4%
Nigeria	Degema	2000	20.9%	34.1%	12.3%
Nigeria	Degema	2017	10.0%	20.2%	4.3%
Nigeria	Dekina	2000	20.2%	29.9%	13.6%
Nigeria	Dekina	2017	9.2%	15.8%	4.5%
Nigeria	Demsa	2000	12.3%	18.4%	8.8%
Nigeria	Demsa	2017	4.0%	7.9%	2.3%
Nigeria	Dikwa	2000	15.5%	34.0%	3.2%
Nigeria	Dikwa	2017	8.3%	20.5%	1.0%
Nigeria	Doguwa	2000	24.0%	45.9%	8.1%
Nigeria	Doguwa	2017	12.3%	29.5%	2.7%
Nigeria	Doma	2000	12.4%	21.5%	6.8%
Nigeria	Doma	2017	6.0%	13.4%	2.0%
Nigeria	Donga	2000	12.4%	24.6%	4.7%
Nigeria	Donga	2017	6.5%	13.7%	1.6%
Nigeria	Dukku	2000	22.8%	33.9%	14.8%
Nigeria	Dukku	2017	11.1%	18.4%	5.7%
Nigeria	Dunukofia	2000	1.0%	2.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	Dunukofia	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Dutse	2000	8.4%	17.1%	3.4%
Nigeria	Dutse	2017	3.0%	8.2%	0.8%
Nigeria	Dutsi	2000	15.1%	29.0%	3.9%
Nigeria	Dutsi	2017	8.5%	19.6%	1.1%
Nigeria	Dutsin-M	2000	39.0%	52.2%	27.5%
Nigeria	Dutsin-M	2017	30.2%	42.2%	21.9%
Nigeria	Eastern Obolo	2000	3.7%	27.3%	0.0%
Nigeria	Eastern Obolo	2017	1.7%	14.8%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ebonyi	2000	33.4%	36.6%	30.3%
Nigeria	Ebonyi	2017	11.3%	13.5%	9.5%
Nigeria	Edati	2000	18.2%	39.8%	6.1%
Nigeria	Edati	2017	8.7%	24.2%	1.8%
Nigeria	Ede North	2000	80.1%	82.8%	76.2%
Nigeria	Ede North	2017	49.0%	54.7%	42.1%
Nigeria	Ede South	2000	58.0%	63.9%	53.2%
Nigeria	Ede South	2017	32.2%	39.0%	27.9%
Nigeria	Edu	2000	21.0%	29.1%	15.3%
Nigeria	Edu	2017	9.9%	15.5%	5.4%
Nigeria	Efon	2000	22.2%	39.4%	15.4%
Nigeria	Efon	2017	8.6%	20.1%	5.5%
Nigeria	EgbadoNorth	2000	10.8%	21.0%	4.4%
Nigeria	EgbadoNorth	2017	5.3%	13.6%	1.4%
Nigeria	EgbadoSouth	2000	29.4%	43.3%	18.8%
Nigeria	EgbadoSouth	2017	11.7%	21.9%	5.4%
Nigeria	Egbeda	2000	13.5%	17.0%	10.8%
Nigeria	Egbeda	2017	3.9%	6.4%	2.5%
Nigeria	Egbedore	2000	55.8%	60.3%	52.5%
Nigeria	Egbedore	2017	25.4%	29.9%	23.0%
Nigeria	Egor	2000	9.5%	11.1%	8.4%
Nigeria	Egor	2017	2.2%	2.6%	1.9%
Nigeria	Ehime-Mb	2000	7.1%	24.3%	2.9%
Nigeria	Ehime-Mb	2017	2.6%	18.4%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ejigbo	2000	12.5%	14.8%	10.8%
Nigeria	Ejigbo	2017	3.8%	5.1%	3.2%
Nigeria	Ekeremor	2000	6.6%	11.1%	3.5%
Nigeria	Ekeremor	2017	2.3%	5.4%	0.9%
Nigeria	Eket	2000	22.1%	25.8%	19.2%
Nigeria	Eket	2017	5.6%	7.1%	4.6%
Nigeria	Ekiti	2000	21.5%	32.1%	13.8%
Nigeria	Ekiti	2017	10.3%	21.7%	5.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	EkitiEas	2000	22.9%	26.1%	18.8%
Nigeria	EkitiEas	2017	14.6%	19.3%	8.0%
Nigeria	EkitiSouth-West	2000	35.9%	43.6%	22.8%
Nigeria	EkitiSouth-West	2017	17.3%	26.1%	7.1%
Nigeria	EkitiWest	2000	28.5%	32.0%	25.6%
Nigeria	EkitiWest	2017	9.3%	10.7%	8.1%
Nigeria	Ekwusigo	2000	5.4%	8.2%	3.5%
Nigeria	Ekwusigo	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Nigeria	Eleme	2000	9.9%	11.9%	8.4%
Nigeria	Eleme	2017	2.6%	3.1%	2.1%
Nigeria	Emuoha	2000	17.1%	28.7%	9.9%
Nigeria	Emuoha	2017	7.1%	15.3%	2.9%
Nigeria	Emure/Ise/Orun	2000	5.8%	16.7%	1.6%
Nigeria	Emure/Ise/Orun	2017	2.8%	10.6%	0.5%
Nigeria	Enugu East	2000	33.9%	44.0%	28.7%
Nigeria	Enugu East	2017	15.3%	22.7%	11.5%
Nigeria	Enugu North	2000	45.0%	47.6%	42.0%
Nigeria	Enugu North	2017	19.6%	22.4%	16.7%
Nigeria	EnuguSou	2000	28.8%	35.5%	21.8%
Nigeria	EnuguSou	2017	15.0%	20.8%	10.6%
Nigeria	Epe	2000	7.4%	13.9%	3.4%
Nigeria	Epe	2017	2.8%	6.9%	0.8%
Nigeria	EsanCent	2000	3.2%	8.2%	1.6%
Nigeria	EsanCent	2017	0.7%	2.2%	0.3%
Nigeria	EsanNort	2000	10.1%	16.7%	6.8%
Nigeria	EsanNort	2017	2.7%	6.4%	1.5%
Nigeria	EsanSout	2000	22.0%	30.8%	15.7%
Nigeria	EsanSout	2017	16.4%	23.9%	10.8%
Nigeria	EsanWest	2000	11.2%	24.5%	3.6%
Nigeria	EsanWest	2017	3.9%	14.8%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ese-Odo	2000	8.5%	21.2%	2.9%
Nigeria	Ese-Odo	2017	2.6%	9.1%	0.6%
Nigeria	Esit Eket	2000	16.1%	36.6%	9.3%
Nigeria	Esit Eket	2017	5.1%	19.3%	2.1%
Nigeria	Essien-U	2000	11.1%	19.7%	6.4%
Nigeria	Essien-U	2017	3.2%	6.3%	1.8%
Nigeria	Etche	2000	38.5%	60.6%	24.4%
Nigeria	Etche	2017	22.1%	45.9%	12.6%
Nigeria	Ethiope West	2000	12.4%	18.5%	8.9%
Nigeria	Ethiope West	2017	3.8%	7.4%	2.5%
Nigeria	EthiopeE	2000	17.9%	29.5%	10.5%
Nigeria	EthiopeE	2017	5.9%	11.0%	3.3%
Nigeria	Eti-Osa	2000	18.5%	31.1%	13.6%
Nigeria	Eti-Osa	2017	5.2%	13.7%	2.9%
Nigeria	EtimEkpo	2000	12.1%	25.5%	5.2%
Nigeria	EtimEkpo	2017	5.1%	14.3%	1.5%
Nigeria	Etinan	2000	7.4%	14.5%	4.3%
Nigeria	Etinan	2017	3.0%	5.6%	1.5%
Nigeria	Etsako Central	2000	20.5%	29.9%	13.6%
Nigeria	Etsako Central	2017	9.8%	18.2%	4.7%
Nigeria	EtsakoEa	2000	9.6%	18.5%	4.1%
Nigeria	EtsakoEa	2017	5.0%	11.2%	1.8%
Nigeria	EtsakoWe	2000	17.4%	24.4%	10.4%
Nigeria	EtsakoWe	2017	9.0%	16.3%	3.4%
Nigeria	Etung	2000	7.5%	19.9%	1.1%
Nigeria	Etung	2017	2.9%	11.9%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ewekoro	2000	11.4%	23.8%	3.9%
Nigeria	Ewekoro	2017	5.0%	15.9%	0.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ezeagu	2000	25.5%	43.4%	14.3%
Nigeria	Ezeagu	2017	13.6%	31.1%	6.4%
Nigeria	Ezinihit	2000	6.4%	7.8%	5.2%
Nigeria	Ezinihit	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%
Nigeria	Ezza North	2000	3.2%	4.4%	2.3%
Nigeria	Ezza North	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ezza South	2000	5.3%	15.3%	3.1%
Nigeria	Ezza South	2017	1.8%	10.4%	0.6%
Nigeria	Fagge	2000	42.3%	44.0%	40.9%
Nigeria	Fagge	2017	12.7%	13.3%	12.1%
Nigeria	Fakai	2000	14.9%	31.3%	4.9%
Nigeria	Fakai	2017	8.2%	20.6%	1.5%
Nigeria	Faskari	2000	16.2%	31.5%	4.6%
Nigeria	Faskari	2017	7.9%	19.7%	1.3%
Nigeria	Fika	2000	31.6%	46.6%	19.6%
Nigeria	Fika	2017	20.1%	31.3%	12.0%
Nigeria	Fufore	2000	26.5%	39.6%	17.0%
Nigeria	Fufore	2017	15.8%	26.1%	9.0%
Nigeria	Funakaye	2000	20.7%	30.3%	14.5%
Nigeria	Funakaye	2017	10.2%	19.0%	5.7%
Nigeria	Fune	2000	23.2%	34.2%	13.8%
Nigeria	Fune	2017	13.3%	23.0%	7.1%
Nigeria	Funtua	2000	21.1%	30.5%	14.8%
Nigeria	Funtua	2017	8.4%	14.9%	4.6%
Nigeria	Gabasawa	2000	30.1%	39.1%	22.0%
Nigeria	Gabasawa	2017	16.5%	24.4%	10.7%
Nigeria	Gada	2000	13.6%	21.3%	7.9%
Nigeria	Gada	2017	9.8%	17.1%	5.4%
Nigeria	Gagarawa	2000	35.6%	46.5%	26.5%
Nigeria	Gagarawa	2017	19.4%	29.4%	11.7%
Nigeria	Gamawa	2000	27.7%	38.8%	19.3%
Nigeria	Gamawa	2017	16.7%	25.6%	10.1%
Nigeria	Gamjuwa	2000	16.2%	27.8%	7.3%
Nigeria	Gamjuwa	2017	9.1%	19.4%	2.9%
Nigeria	Ganye	2000	11.0%	27.0%	2.0%
Nigeria	Ganye	2017	5.0%	16.2%	0.5%
Nigeria	Garki	2000	22.8%	37.1%	14.6%
Nigeria	Garki	2017	9.5%	20.8%	4.3%
Nigeria	Garko	2000	32.5%	38.3%	25.6%
Nigeria	Garko	2017	18.4%	25.4%	12.4%
Nigeria	Garum Mal-lam	2000	14.7%	29.2%	6.5%
Nigeria	Garum Mal-lam	2017	5.5%	13.1%	1.8%
Nigeria	Gashaka	2000	13.7%	22.8%	7.0%
Nigeria	Gashaka	2017	6.4%	12.3%	2.2%
Nigeria	Gassol	2000	11.3%	20.2%	4.7%
Nigeria	Gassol	2017	5.5%	11.1%	1.5%
Nigeria	Gaya	2000	26.4%	35.3%	20.3%
Nigeria	Gaya	2017	9.0%	13.5%	6.2%
Nigeria	Gbako	2000	24.1%	34.7%	16.0%
Nigeria	Gbako	2017	12.7%	21.1%	6.1%
Nigeria	Gboko	2000	22.5%	29.5%	16.9%
Nigeria	Gboko	2017	11.9%	17.5%	7.9%
Nigeria	Gboyin	2000	18.9%	31.4%	10.5%
Nigeria	Gboyin	2017	10.5%	22.8%	4.3%
Nigeria	Geidam	2000	21.1%	29.9%	12.5%
Nigeria	Geidam	2017	10.4%	18.2%	4.0%
Nigeria	Gezawa	2000	52.8%	56.1%	48.0%
Nigeria	Gezawa	2017	42.4%	46.7%	36.6%
Nigeria	Giade	2000	41.6%	56.3%	29.2%
Nigeria	Giade	2017	30.8%	47.1%	20.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Girie	2000	6.9%	15.0%	1.8%
Nigeria	Girie	2017	3.7%	9.7%	0.6%
Nigeria	Giwa	2000	8.5%	15.1%	4.4%
Nigeria	Giwa	2017	4.3%	9.7%	1.7%
Nigeria	Gokana	2000	4.1%	5.6%	2.9%
Nigeria	Gokana	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Nigeria	Gombe	2000	40.5%	45.0%	36.1%
Nigeria	Gombe	2017	11.7%	13.8%	9.8%
Nigeria	Gombi	2000	23.0%	48.7%	11.5%
Nigeria	Gombi	2017	10.0%	26.1%	3.9%
Nigeria	Goronyo	2000	29.4%	44.6%	17.1%
Nigeria	Goronyo	2017	15.2%	26.9%	7.2%
Nigeria	Gubio	2000	18.2%	37.6%	5.4%
Nigeria	Gubio	2017	9.3%	25.0%	1.5%
Nigeria	Gudu	2000	20.4%	31.9%	11.5%
Nigeria	Gudu	2017	11.5%	20.0%	5.4%
Nigeria	Gujba	2000	17.1%	29.5%	6.9%
Nigeria	Gujba	2017	9.2%	18.4%	2.3%
Nigeria	Gulani	2000	24.9%	38.0%	15.2%
Nigeria	Gulani	2017	16.5%	26.7%	9.5%
Nigeria	Guma	2000	14.2%	30.5%	4.6%
Nigeria	Guma	2017	8.2%	24.0%	1.2%
Nigeria	Gumel	2000	46.5%	64.7%	32.0%
Nigeria	Gumel	2017	20.6%	35.7%	11.9%
Nigeria	Gummi	2000	16.6%	28.3%	7.9%
Nigeria	Gummi	2017	8.4%	16.8%	2.8%
Nigeria	Gurara	2000	19.5%	25.6%	15.6%
Nigeria	Gurara	2017	6.1%	11.0%	4.0%
Nigeria	Guri	2000	27.9%	45.8%	12.5%
Nigeria	Guri	2017	13.4%	28.2%	4.4%
Nigeria	Gusau	2000	36.8%	43.3%	31.6%
Nigeria	Gusau	2017	22.4%	29.5%	18.0%
Nigeria	Guyuk	2000	9.0%	29.7%	1.6%
Nigeria	Guyuk	2017	3.6%	19.3%	0.3%
Nigeria	Guzamala	2000	23.3%	37.0%	12.6%
Nigeria	Guzamala	2017	12.1%	22.1%	5.1%
Nigeria	Gwadabaw	2000	9.4%	18.6%	4.6%
Nigeria	Gwadabaw	2017	4.2%	9.7%	1.5%
Nigeria	Gwagwala	2000	28.4%	38.7%	22.6%
Nigeria	Gwagwala	2017	11.4%	19.9%	7.7%
Nigeria	Gwale	2000	44.0%	46.1%	41.9%
Nigeria	Gwale	2017	15.6%	17.2%	14.1%
Nigeria	Gwandu	2000	47.9%	59.6%	38.1%
Nigeria	Gwandu	2017	29.5%	42.2%	21.2%
Nigeria	Gwaram	2000	18.1%	28.8%	9.3%
Nigeria	Gwaram	2017	10.3%	18.5%	5.2%
Nigeria	Gwarzo	2000	27.5%	42.9%	14.7%
Nigeria	Gwarzo	2017	11.9%	26.3%	3.7%
Nigeria	Gwer East	2000	11.9%	25.3%	3.8%
Nigeria	Gwer East	2017	5.4%	14.7%	1.2%
Nigeria	Gwer West	2000	18.7%	46.8%	2.3%
Nigeria	Gwer West	2017	10.8%	39.4%	0.5%
Nigeria	Gwiwa	2000	16.7%	43.7%	2.8%
Nigeria	Gwiwa	2017	8.0%	27.5%	0.6%
Nigeria	Gwoza	2000	16.3%	34.1%	4.7%
Nigeria	Gwoza	2017	9.2%	23.6%	1.6%
Nigeria	Hadejia	2000	39.9%	45.1%	36.3%
Nigeria	Hadejia	2017	14.2%	16.4%	12.5%
Nigeria	Hawul	2000	29.4%	46.1%	16.5%
Nigeria	Hawul	2017	13.3%	25.1%	5.4%
Nigeria	Hong	2000	9.9%	19.6%	3.5%
Nigeria	Hong	2017	5.4%	13.0%	1.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	IbadanNorth	2000	41.9%	44.2%	39.2%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth	2017	15.0%	16.1%	13.8%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-East	2000	31.1%	33.5%	29.1%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-East	2017	8.6%	9.2%	8.0%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-West	2000	47.4%	49.5%	45.2%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-West	2017	19.0%	20.5%	17.3%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-East	2000	44.9%	48.5%	40.9%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-East	2017	14.9%	17.1%	12.8%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-West	2000	41.4%	44.1%	38.7%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-West	2017	13.0%	14.2%	11.9%
Nigeria	Ibaji	2000	16.6%	33.4%	7.0%
Nigeria	Ibaji	2017	7.9%	20.7%	1.8%
Nigeria	Ibarapa Central	2000	9.4%	29.6%	1.1%
Nigeria	Ibarapa Central	2017	4.5%	17.6%	0.3%
Nigeria	Ibarapa East	2000	11.9%	29.2%	2.4%
Nigeria	Ibarapa East	2017	6.4%	21.3%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ibarapa North	2000	10.1%	25.5%	2.4%
Nigeria	Ibarapa North	2017	5.2%	18.1%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ibeju/Lekki	2000	16.5%	29.4%	6.4%
Nigeria	Ibeju/Lekki	2017	9.1%	19.7%	1.9%
Nigeria	Ibena	2000	17.2%	35.3%	6.1%
Nigeria	Ibena	2017	6.8%	19.7%	1.3%
Nigeria	Ibesikpo Asutan	2000	19.1%	25.0%	12.2%
Nigeria	Ibesikpo Asutan	2017	5.3%	8.3%	2.7%
Nigeria	Ibi	2000	19.7%	30.6%	10.2%
Nigeria	Ibi	2017	9.4%	16.9%	3.6%
Nigeria	Ibiono Ibom	2000	21.8%	29.8%	13.2%
Nigeria	Ibiono Ibom	2017	9.3%	17.3%	3.9%
Nigeria	Idah	2000	4.1%	9.8%	1.5%
Nigeria	Idah	2017	1.1%	4.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Idanre	2000	30.4%	39.0%	21.5%
Nigeria	Idanre	2017	19.8%	27.9%	12.4%
Nigeria	Ideato South	2000	6.1%	8.7%	4.4%
Nigeria	Ideato South	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Nigeria	IdeatoNo	2000	1.8%	5.7%	0.8%
Nigeria	IdeatoNo	2017	0.5%	1.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Idemili North	2000	2.3%	4.1%	1.6%
Nigeria	Idemili North	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Nigeria	Idemili South	2000	5.8%	7.7%	4.4%
Nigeria	Idemili South	2017	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Nigeria	Ido	2000	13.1%	19.0%	9.0%
Nigeria	Ido	2017	6.8%	11.3%	4.0%
Nigeria	Ido/Osi	2000	69.5%	71.5%	67.4%
Nigeria	Ido/Osi	2017	40.8%	43.4%	38.0%
Nigeria	Ifako/Ijaye	2000	18.0%	19.5%	16.3%
Nigeria	Ifako/Ijaye	2017	4.1%	4.4%	3.7%
Nigeria	Ife East	2000	26.2%	29.1%	23.3%
Nigeria	Ife East	2017	8.6%	10.7%	6.8%
Nigeria	Ife North	2000	26.3%	33.5%	21.2%
Nigeria	Ife North	2017	9.2%	14.2%	6.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ife South	2000	16.4%	27.0%	10.3%
Nigeria	Ife South	2017	7.6%	17.0%	3.7%
Nigeria	IfeCentral	2000	27.8%	30.9%	24.2%
Nigeria	IfeCentral	2017	6.7%	7.7%	5.7%
Nigeria	Ifedayo	2000	48.5%	52.8%	44.0%
Nigeria	Ifedayo	2017	34.3%	37.4%	30.5%
Nigeria	Ifedore	2000	35.3%	39.0%	22.7%
Nigeria	Ifedore	2017	26.7%	35.8%	7.1%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2000	29.2%	35.3%	24.5%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2000	21.5%	32.7%	12.5%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2017	8.9%	11.7%	6.9%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2017	11.4%	21.1%	5.2%
Nigeria	Ifo	2000	18.9%	23.8%	16.4%
Nigeria	Ifo	2017	5.0%	8.0%	4.2%
Nigeria	Igabi	2000	19.5%	25.6%	15.2%
Nigeria	Igabi	2017	10.2%	15.0%	7.3%
Nigeria	Igalamela-Odolu	2000	19.1%	27.1%	12.8%
Nigeria	Igalamela-Odolu	2017	9.6%	15.0%	5.4%
Nigeria	Igbo-Eti	2000	15.4%	24.9%	7.4%
Nigeria	Igbo-Eti	2017	5.9%	12.9%	1.7%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze North	2000	12.4%	13.9%	11.0%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze North	2017	3.1%	3.6%	2.8%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze South	2000	22.3%	30.8%	17.9%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze South	2017	6.0%	10.9%	4.5%
Nigeria	Igueben	2000	16.9%	36.4%	6.5%
Nigeria	Igueben	2017	7.6%	21.4%	1.7%
Nigeria	Ihiala	2000	8.8%	11.3%	6.8%
Nigeria	Ihiala	2017	2.3%	3.5%	1.6%
Nigeria	Ihitte/U	2000	6.1%	9.8%	4.3%
Nigeria	Ihitte/U	2017	1.7%	3.8%	1.1%
Nigeria	Ijebu North-East	2000	9.2%	12.2%	7.2%
Nigeria	Ijebu North-East	2017	2.5%	3.4%	1.9%
Nigeria	IjebuEast	2000	12.4%	20.0%	6.3%
Nigeria	IjebuEast	2017	5.3%	11.4%	1.4%
Nigeria	IjebuNorth	2000	15.5%	23.5%	10.4%
Nigeria	IjebuNorth	2017	5.1%	11.1%	2.7%
Nigeria	IjebuOde	2000	10.0%	12.4%	7.9%
Nigeria	IjebuOde	2017	2.3%	3.0%	1.8%
Nigeria	Ijero	2000	43.1%	47.3%	37.7%
Nigeria	Ijero	2017	15.4%	19.3%	12.1%
Nigeria	Ijumu	2000	21.6%	41.5%	10.0%
Nigeria	Ijumu	2017	11.6%	30.6%	3.3%
Nigeria	Ika	2000	14.2%	20.0%	8.7%
Nigeria	Ika	2017	5.5%	9.5%	2.7%
Nigeria	IkaNorth	2000	9.6%	15.6%	5.3%
Nigeria	IkaNorth	2017	3.0%	5.6%	1.2%
Nigeria	Ikara	2000	15.1%	42.8%	2.8%
Nigeria	Ikara	2017	7.3%	25.4%	0.9%
Nigeria	IkaSouth	2000	6.1%	15.5%	3.2%
Nigeria	IkaSouth	2017	1.8%	8.3%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ikeduru	2000	9.5%	11.7%	7.6%
Nigeria	Ikeduru	2017	2.4%	3.0%	1.9%
Nigeria	Ikeja	2000	26.4%	28.0%	24.8%
Nigeria	Ikeja	2017	6.6%	7.0%	6.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ikenne	2000	16.9%	19.0%	14.9%
Nigeria	Ikenne	2017	5.6%	6.4%	4.8%
Nigeria	Ikere	2000	20.5%	28.1%	15.2%
Nigeria	Ikere	2017	7.1%	11.0%	4.2%
Nigeria	Ikole	2000	10.9%	18.4%	6.7%
Nigeria	Ikole	2017	4.7%	12.0%	1.6%
Nigeria	Ikom	2000	11.1%	24.0%	2.2%
Nigeria	Ikom	2017	5.5%	15.2%	0.5%
Nigeria	Ikono	2000	20.8%	29.0%	9.3%
Nigeria	Ikono	2017	10.5%	18.7%	2.3%
Nigeria	Ikorodu	2000	26.7%	36.9%	17.9%
Nigeria	Ikorodu	2017	10.6%	20.3%	4.3%
Nigeria	Ikot-Aba	2000	14.2%	25.0%	9.0%
Nigeria	Ikot-Aba	2017	6.9%	14.4%	3.8%
Nigeria	Ikot-Ekp	2000	11.1%	16.6%	6.1%
Nigeria	Ikot-Ekp	2017	4.0%	8.0%	1.6%
Nigeria	Ikpoba-Okha	2000	14.5%	25.4%	8.1%
Nigeria	Ikpoba-Okha	2017	5.5%	14.7%	1.8%
Nigeria	Ikwerre	2000	20.9%	29.2%	15.8%
Nigeria	Ikwerre	2017	7.2%	13.3%	4.8%
Nigeria	Ikwo	2000	2.0%	4.6%	0.8%
Nigeria	Ikwo	2017	0.4%	1.3%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ikwuano	2000	7.5%	25.0%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ikwuano	2017	3.2%	15.3%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ila	2000	11.7%	20.7%	7.3%
Nigeria	Ila	2017	3.7%	10.1%	1.7%
Nigeria	IlajeEseodo	2000	8.4%	17.6%	3.1%
Nigeria	IlajeEseodo	2017	3.9%	10.8%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ilejemeje	2000	83.5%	87.0%	79.2%
Nigeria	Ilejemeje	2017	64.7%	70.0%	57.5%
Nigeria	IleOluji/Okeigbo	2000	14.3%	30.9%	6.4%
Nigeria	IleOluji/Okeigbo	2017	7.7%	20.5%	2.6%
Nigeria	Ilesha East	2000	11.7%	15.6%	8.8%
Nigeria	Ilesha East	2017	4.6%	6.2%	3.4%
Nigeria	Ilesha West	2000	3.8%	7.3%	2.0%
Nigeria	Ilesha West	2017	0.9%	1.6%	0.5%
Nigeria	Illela	2000	27.5%	39.8%	18.9%
Nigeria	Illela	2017	16.6%	27.5%	9.7%
Nigeria	Ilorin East	2000	36.9%	43.1%	31.9%
Nigeria	Ilorin East	2017	13.4%	18.2%	10.7%
Nigeria	Ilorin South	2000	51.6%	68.1%	39.2%
Nigeria	Ilorin South	2017	24.2%	41.0%	14.5%
Nigeria	IlorinWe	2000	40.1%	42.6%	37.6%
Nigeria	IlorinWe	2017	13.1%	14.2%	12.0%
Nigeria	Imeko-Afon	2000	9.0%	20.4%	1.8%
Nigeria	Imeko-Afon	2017	4.5%	12.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Ingawa	2000	9.1%	20.9%	2.2%
Nigeria	Ingawa	2017	4.5%	13.2%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ini	2000	21.2%	46.2%	2.7%
Nigeria	Ini	2017	15.5%	36.9%	0.8%
Nigeria	Ipokia	2000	16.4%	29.3%	7.6%
Nigeria	Ipokia	2017	8.2%	19.4%	2.2%
Nigeria	Irele	2000	9.4%	21.5%	2.6%
Nigeria	Irele	2017	5.7%	13.9%	0.8%
Nigeria	Irepo	2000	10.1%	22.5%	2.6%
Nigeria	Irepo	2017	4.2%	12.2%	0.6%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2000	42.6%	49.5%	38.0%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2000	38.9%	48.3%	31.9%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2017	30.8%	37.1%	27.0%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2017	14.2%	18.1%	12.3%
Nigeria	Irepodun/Ifelodun	2000	35.8%	38.1%	32.9%
Nigeria	Irepodun/Ifelodun	2017	13.2%	15.2%	11.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Irewole	2000	19.4%	22.7%	16.7%
Nigeria	Irewole	2017	6.7%	8.5%	5.3%
Nigeria	Isa	2000	31.0%	41.0%	23.9%
Nigeria	Isa	2017	13.7%	19.4%	9.8%
Nigeria	Ise/Orun	2000	8.6%	21.4%	1.2%
Nigeria	Ise/Orun	2017	5.0%	16.2%	0.3%
Nigeria	Iseyin	2000	12.3%	23.2%	5.8%
Nigeria	Iseyin	2017	5.4%	13.6%	1.4%
Nigeria	Ishielu	2000	12.0%	17.1%	9.1%
Nigeria	Ishielu	2017	4.8%	7.6%	3.4%
Nigeria	Isi-Uzo	2000	6.7%	14.9%	2.5%
Nigeria	Isi-Uzo	2017	2.4%	6.5%	0.8%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa North	2000	6.2%	8.4%	4.3%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa North	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa South	2000	19.4%	29.2%	10.9%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa South	2017	6.5%	13.7%	2.8%
Nigeria	IsialaMb	2000	4.8%	7.4%	3.3%
Nigeria	IsialaMb	2017	1.0%	2.0%	0.7%
Nigeria	Isin	2000	47.4%	54.6%	40.1%
Nigeria	Isin	2017	23.3%	33.1%	16.6%
Nigeria	Isokan	2000	30.0%	44.5%	17.9%
Nigeria	Isokan	2017	11.6%	24.5%	4.7%
Nigeria	IsokoNor	2000	22.2%	36.8%	13.3%
Nigeria	IsokoNor	2017	8.7%	19.6%	3.8%
Nigeria	IsokoSou	2000	19.0%	31.9%	10.3%
Nigeria	IsokoSou	2017	8.0%	17.9%	3.0%
Nigeria	Isu	2000	4.7%	7.6%	2.6%
Nigeria	Isu	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.5%
Nigeria	Isuikwua	2000	3.0%	13.0%	0.5%
Nigeria	Isuikwua	2017	0.8%	4.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	Itas/Gad	2000	20.8%	35.1%	11.7%
Nigeria	Itas/Gad	2017	12.7%	24.9%	6.3%
Nigeria	Itesiwaju	2000	11.2%	22.0%	3.0%
Nigeria	Itesiwaju	2017	5.9%	19.3%	0.7%
Nigeria	Itu	2000	20.5%	24.9%	16.1%
Nigeria	Itu	2017	6.0%	7.8%	4.7%
Nigeria	Ivo	2000	3.3%	16.5%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ivo	2017	1.5%	11.7%	0.0%
Nigeria	Iwajowa	2000	20.4%	41.7%	6.0%
Nigeria	Iwajowa	2017	11.3%	28.1%	2.2%
Nigeria	Iwo	2000	18.4%	32.6%	11.3%
Nigeria	Iwo	2017	5.5%	13.5%	2.8%
Nigeria	Izzi	2000	11.1%	26.1%	3.0%
Nigeria	Izzi	2017	5.5%	18.8%	0.8%
Nigeria	Jaba	2000	29.0%	40.9%	22.1%
Nigeria	Jaba	2017	16.6%	26.7%	11.3%
Nigeria	Jada	2000	15.4%	34.0%	3.1%
Nigeria	Jada	2017	7.9%	21.5%	0.8%
Nigeria	Jahun	2000	19.5%	33.9%	10.5%
Nigeria	Jahun	2017	10.5%	26.1%	4.0%
Nigeria	Jakusko	2000	31.1%	43.8%	19.5%
Nigeria	Jakusko	2017	18.7%	29.4%	9.6%
Nigeria	Jalingo	2000	32.8%	37.0%	29.5%
Nigeria	Jalingo	2017	18.8%	22.0%	15.6%
Nigeria	Jama'are	2000	7.3%	15.1%	3.4%
Nigeria	Jama'are	2017	2.5%	7.1%	1.1%
Nigeria	Jega	2000	39.4%	51.5%	31.6%
Nigeria	Jega	2017	28.4%	39.9%	22.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Jema'a	2000	8.2%	18.6%	2.2%
Nigeria	Jema'a	2017	4.0%	11.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	Jere	2000	40.3%	55.3%	29.3%
Nigeria	Jere	2017	22.9%	39.7%	14.1%
Nigeria	Jibia	2000	60.1%	70.4%	50.3%
Nigeria	Jibia	2017	41.2%	52.6%	32.3%
Nigeria	Jos East	2000	10.0%	22.4%	3.3%
Nigeria	Jos East	2017	4.7%	15.1%	1.2%
Nigeria	Jos North	2000	49.1%	50.9%	46.7%
Nigeria	Jos North	2017	24.3%	26.6%	21.7%
Nigeria	Jos South	2000	24.0%	26.6%	21.8%
Nigeria	Jos South	2017	8.0%	9.2%	7.1%
Nigeria	Kabba/Bu	2000	18.1%	27.7%	10.5%
Nigeria	Kabba/Bu	2017	9.8%	18.0%	3.8%
Nigeria	Kabo	2000	34.7%	46.2%	24.4%
Nigeria	Kabo	2017	15.4%	27.3%	8.7%
Nigeria	Kachia	2000	22.9%	32.4%	15.0%
Nigeria	Kachia	2017	13.1%	20.1%	8.0%
Nigeria	Kaduna North	2000	50.2%	54.3%	46.5%
Nigeria	Kaduna North	2017	22.5%	24.6%	20.5%
Nigeria	Kaduna South	2000	44.7%	47.1%	42.4%
Nigeria	Kaduna South	2017	16.8%	18.1%	15.5%
Nigeria	KafinHau	2000	22.0%	35.7%	11.5%
Nigeria	KafinHau	2017	15.0%	27.3%	7.7%
Nigeria	Kafur	2000	23.4%	39.6%	9.3%
Nigeria	Kafur	2017	13.1%	27.6%	3.6%
Nigeria	Kaga	2000	17.9%	32.8%	7.0%
Nigeria	Kaga	2017	9.3%	21.4%	2.4%
Nigeria	Kagarko	2000	15.0%	26.3%	7.7%
Nigeria	Kagarko	2017	6.5%	14.8%	2.6%
Nigeria	Kaiama	2000	18.2%	31.2%	9.7%
Nigeria	Kaiama	2017	10.0%	19.2%	4.3%
Nigeria	Kaita	2000	34.3%	47.5%	24.1%
Nigeria	Kaita	2017	17.6%	28.8%	10.5%
Nigeria	Kajola	2000	9.1%	24.7%	1.6%
Nigeria	Kajola	2017	4.5%	17.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	Kajuru	2000	23.4%	40.6%	11.3%
Nigeria	Kajuru	2017	14.4%	27.4%	5.1%
Nigeria	Kala/Balge	2000	18.6%	43.3%	3.5%
Nigeria	Kala/Balge	2017	9.9%	27.0%	1.3%
Nigeria	Kalgo	2000	21.7%	37.2%	11.2%
Nigeria	Kalgo	2017	11.5%	24.4%	4.6%
Nigeria	Kaltungo	2000	6.6%	18.4%	0.9%
Nigeria	Kaltungo	2017	2.9%	9.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Kanam	2000	14.3%	31.3%	4.6%
Nigeria	Kanam	2017	7.5%	22.0%	1.4%
Nigeria	Kankara	2000	15.4%	27.7%	7.5%
Nigeria	Kankara	2017	9.5%	18.8%	3.5%
Nigeria	Kanke	2000	15.6%	29.1%	7.1%
Nigeria	Kanke	2017	7.3%	18.4%	2.1%
Nigeria	Kankiya	2000	27.6%	49.1%	13.6%
Nigeria	Kankiya	2017	13.9%	30.7%	5.0%
Nigeria	Kano	2000	58.1%	60.7%	55.2%
Nigeria	Kano	2017	22.9%	24.2%	21.2%
Nigeria	Karasuwa	2000	29.6%	42.8%	20.7%
Nigeria	Karasuwa	2017	21.9%	32.0%	14.2%
Nigeria	Karaye	2000	40.5%	60.1%	21.5%
Nigeria	Karaye	2017	19.5%	39.2%	6.2%
Nigeria	Karim-La	2000	12.3%	21.7%	6.0%
Nigeria	Karim-La	2017	6.0%	12.9%	2.1%
Nigeria	Karu	2000	22.8%	32.5%	15.6%
Nigeria	Karu	2017	12.9%	23.1%	7.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Katagum	2000	22.4%	35.1%	13.0%
Nigeria	Katagum	2017	10.9%	20.8%	5.3%
Nigeria	Katcha	2000	12.9%	24.6%	5.3%
Nigeria	Katcha	2017	7.2%	18.3%	1.9%
Nigeria	Katsina (Benue)	2000	15.2%	25.3%	6.6%
Nigeria	Katsina (Benue)	2017	7.6%	14.7%	2.3%
Nigeria	Katsina (K)	2000	58.2%	61.3%	54.6%
Nigeria	Katsina (K)	2017	26.2%	29.6%	22.6%
Nigeria	Kaugama	2000	36.3%	51.0%	24.6%
Nigeria	Kaugama	2017	23.6%	38.2%	16.4%
Nigeria	Kaura	2000	6.8%	16.3%	2.7%
Nigeria	Kaura	2017	2.3%	8.1%	0.6%
Nigeria	Kaura-Na	2000	12.6%	20.0%	6.6%
Nigeria	Kaura-Na	2017	5.3%	10.6%	1.6%
Nigeria	Kauru	2000	15.1%	29.6%	6.3%
Nigeria	Kauru	2017	7.9%	17.6%	2.1%
Nigeria	Kazaure	2000	46.6%	61.5%	34.9%
Nigeria	Kazaure	2017	29.9%	44.5%	20.6%
Nigeria	Keana	2000	12.7%	28.2%	2.8%
Nigeria	Keana	2017	6.3%	15.7%	0.6%
Nigeria	Kebbe	2000	12.1%	22.6%	4.9%
Nigeria	Kebbe	2017	5.3%	12.2%	1.2%
Nigeria	Keffi	2000	65.0%	67.7%	62.7%
Nigeria	Keffi	2017	49.7%	55.3%	44.0%
Nigeria	Khana	2000	32.4%	43.9%	22.4%
Nigeria	Khana	2017	15.8%	26.1%	8.8%
Nigeria	Kibiya	2000	8.2%	10.9%	6.0%
Nigeria	Kibiya	2017	3.4%	5.8%	1.7%
Nigeria	Kirfi	2000	18.3%	35.5%	4.8%
Nigeria	Kirfi	2017	9.3%	23.5%	1.4%
Nigeria	KiriKasa	2000	16.0%	30.9%	6.9%
Nigeria	KiriKasa	2017	7.2%	16.4%	2.0%
Nigeria	Kiru	2000	14.6%	42.1%	2.4%
Nigeria	Kiru	2017	7.8%	29.7%	0.7%
Nigeria	Kiyawa	2000	16.5%	37.2%	3.8%
Nigeria	Kiyawa	2017	9.2%	27.2%	1.1%
Nigeria	Koko/Bes	2000	9.9%	22.2%	4.0%
Nigeria	Koko/Bes	2017	3.5%	10.9%	0.9%
Nigeria	Kokona	2000	18.8%	27.0%	12.4%
Nigeria	Kokona	2017	8.5%	15.2%	4.5%
Nigeria	Kolokuma/Opoku	2000	18.2%	35.1%	8.8%
Nigeria	Kolokuma/Opoku	2017	7.9%	20.1%	2.5%
Nigeria	Konduga	2000	19.9%	24.8%	15.7%
Nigeria	Konduga	2017	7.4%	11.3%	4.8%
Nigeria	Konshish	2000	13.3%	27.9%	2.9%
Nigeria	Konshish	2017	6.9%	18.1%	0.8%
Nigeria	Kontogur	2000	28.3%	36.3%	23.2%
Nigeria	Kontogur	2017	16.8%	23.3%	12.5%
Nigeria	Kosofe	2000	42.3%	44.8%	40.3%
Nigeria	Kosofe	2017	12.8%	13.8%	12.0%
Nigeria	Kotonkar	2000	14.9%	26.3%	8.0%
Nigeria	Kotonkar	2017	7.4%	15.5%	3.3%
Nigeria	Kubau	2000	14.4%	26.5%	7.1%
Nigeria	Kubau	2017	7.1%	15.0%	2.8%
Nigeria	Kudan	2000	27.0%	53.8%	12.1%
Nigeria	Kudan	2017	16.0%	34.6%	5.6%
Nigeria	Kuje	2000	24.4%	36.5%	16.8%
Nigeria	Kuje	2017	17.3%	25.7%	12.3%
Nigeria	Kukawa	2000	23.0%	38.0%	10.4%
Nigeria	Kukawa	2017	11.7%	23.9%	3.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Kumbotso	2000	58.2%	59.8%	56.4%
Nigeria	Kumbotso	2017	35.7%	37.3%	34.0%
Nigeria	Kunchi	2000	23.1%	32.8%	16.4%
Nigeria	Kunchi	2017	10.7%	22.9%	5.6%
Nigeria	Kura	2000	33.9%	44.8%	24.1%
Nigeria	Kura	2017	16.9%	29.7%	9.1%
Nigeria	Kurfi	2000	22.3%	45.9%	10.4%
Nigeria	Kurfi	2017	11.6%	30.4%	3.3%
Nigeria	Kurmi	2000	12.4%	23.6%	4.7%
Nigeria	Kurmi	2017	6.3%	15.0%	1.6%
Nigeria	Kusada	2000	26.3%	46.6%	12.1%
Nigeria	Kusada	2017	13.8%	31.1%	5.7%
Nigeria	Kwali	2000	18.6%	23.2%	14.6%
Nigeria	Kwali	2017	9.3%	12.3%	6.7%
Nigeria	Kwami	2000	12.7%	18.6%	9.5%
Nigeria	Kwami	2017	4.6%	8.1%	2.8%
Nigeria	Kwande	2000	7.9%	16.2%	1.9%
Nigeria	Kwande	2017	3.2%	8.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Kware	2000	25.3%	28.1%	23.4%
Nigeria	Kware	2017	21.5%	24.8%	19.8%
Nigeria	Kwaya Kusar	2000	18.3%	46.4%	3.5%
Nigeria	Kwaya Kusar	2017	9.7%	30.7%	1.1%
Nigeria	Lafia	2000	9.3%	14.9%	6.4%
Nigeria	Lafia	2017	3.3%	7.6%	1.6%
Nigeria	Lagelu	2000	12.2%	14.5%	9.9%
Nigeria	Lagelu	2017	4.0%	6.4%	2.5%
Nigeria	LagosIsland	2000	31.4%	34.8%	28.6%
Nigeria	LagosIsland	2017	8.8%	11.5%	7.5%
Nigeria	Lake Chad	2000	22.8%	34.8%	11.4%
Nigeria	Lake Chad	2017	12.2%	22.6%	4.6%
Nigeria	Lamurde	2000	9.7%	21.1%	3.2%
Nigeria	Lamurde	2017	4.7%	14.2%	0.9%
Nigeria	Langtang North	2000	6.9%	13.0%	3.9%
Nigeria	Langtang North	2017	2.1%	5.5%	0.8%
Nigeria	Langtang South	2000	19.5%	37.6%	5.4%
Nigeria	Langtang South	2017	9.1%	21.2%	1.4%
Nigeria	Lapai	2000	13.4%	25.1%	6.1%
Nigeria	Lapai	2017	6.5%	15.4%	2.0%
Nigeria	Lau	2000	11.2%	26.6%	2.9%
Nigeria	Lau	2017	6.0%	16.9%	1.0%
Nigeria	Lavun	2000	16.7%	25.5%	10.3%
Nigeria	Lavun	2017	8.6%	16.4%	4.0%
Nigeria	Lere	2000	20.9%	35.7%	10.4%
Nigeria	Lere	2017	11.3%	22.3%	4.1%
Nigeria	Logo	2000	11.6%	27.5%	1.6%
Nigeria	Logo	2017	6.0%	19.2%	0.3%
Nigeria	Lokoja	2000	31.9%	37.8%	27.3%
Nigeria	Lokoja	2017	20.3%	27.1%	16.2%
Nigeria	Machina	2000	16.0%	31.7%	5.9%
Nigeria	Machina	2017	8.2%	20.8%	1.6%
Nigeria	Madagali	2000	17.1%	42.3%	2.7%
Nigeria	Madagali	2017	8.2%	27.5%	0.6%
Nigeria	Madobi	2000	39.1%	42.4%	36.1%
Nigeria	Madobi	2017	19.9%	22.1%	18.2%
Nigeria	Mafa	2000	17.3%	34.9%	6.5%
Nigeria	Mafa	2017	9.5%	23.3%	2.4%
Nigeria	Magama	2000	15.3%	30.2%	6.4%
Nigeria	Magama	2017	7.6%	17.5%	2.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Magumeri	2000	19.7%	30.6%	10.4%
Nigeria	Magumeri	2017	10.4%	17.7%	4.4%
Nigeria	Mai'Adua	2000	13.8%	21.8%	9.0%
Nigeria	Mai'Adua	2017	4.4%	10.0%	2.3%
Nigeria	Maidugur	2000	28.0%	31.4%	24.7%
Nigeria	Maidugur	2017	11.1%	12.9%	9.5%
Nigeria	Maigatari	2000	45.0%	57.5%	28.4%
Nigeria	Maigatari	2017	29.0%	41.3%	12.7%
Nigeria	Maiha	2000	15.9%	31.9%	5.4%
Nigeria	Maiha	2017	7.1%	17.8%	1.3%
Nigeria	Mainland	2000	35.3%	37.0%	33.6%
Nigeria	Mainland	2017	9.5%	10.0%	9.1%
Nigeria	Maiyama	2000	25.2%	38.9%	13.9%
Nigeria	Maiyama	2017	14.7%	26.6%	6.6%
Nigeria	Makarfi	2000	29.6%	56.8%	18.1%
Nigeria	Makarfi	2017	19.9%	41.9%	12.1%
Nigeria	Makoda	2000	17.1%	35.8%	5.7%
Nigeria	Makoda	2017	7.8%	22.5%	1.4%
Nigeria	Makurdi	2000	17.5%	27.9%	9.0%
Nigeria	Makurdi	2017	9.7%	18.9%	3.0%
Nigeria	MalamMad	2000	46.6%	57.6%	37.0%
Nigeria	MalamMad	2017	29.5%	42.9%	18.4%
Nigeria	Malumfashi	2000	6.3%	17.3%	2.0%
Nigeria	Malumfashi	2017	2.3%	10.8%	0.4%
Nigeria	Mangu	2000	12.1%	18.5%	7.2%
Nigeria	Mangu	2017	4.8%	9.8%	2.1%
Nigeria	Mani	2000	21.7%	35.2%	9.6%
Nigeria	Mani	2017	10.8%	24.1%	3.4%
Nigeria	Maradun	2000	14.8%	26.0%	5.6%
Nigeria	Maradun	2017	8.0%	17.9%	1.8%
Nigeria	Mariga	2000	20.8%	30.3%	13.0%
Nigeria	Mariga	2017	11.5%	19.9%	5.5%
Nigeria	Marte	2000	20.2%	41.7%	6.8%
Nigeria	Marte	2017	10.9%	26.2%	2.4%
Nigeria	Maru	2000	14.7%	25.8%	7.2%
Nigeria	Maru	2017	7.3%	15.1%	3.0%
Nigeria	Mashegu	2000	15.8%	27.4%	7.5%
Nigeria	Mashegu	2017	8.3%	14.3%	3.4%
Nigeria	Mashi	2000	12.9%	21.7%	5.6%
Nigeria	Mashi	2017	5.8%	12.8%	1.5%
Nigeria	Matazu	2000	15.7%	40.5%	2.9%
Nigeria	Matazu	2017	7.0%	26.3%	0.7%
Nigeria	Mayo-Bel	2000	8.5%	17.1%	2.8%
Nigeria	Mayo-Bel	2017	3.6%	9.0%	0.8%
Nigeria	Mbaitoli	2000	16.5%	19.3%	13.6%
Nigeria	Mbaitoli	2017	6.0%	7.6%	4.6%
Nigeria	Mbo	2000	4.5%	17.7%	0.1%
Nigeria	Mbo	2017	2.2%	11.8%	0.0%
Nigeria	Michika	2000	10.1%	20.6%	3.2%
Nigeria	Michika	2017	4.8%	14.0%	0.8%
Nigeria	Miga	2000	28.8%	43.6%	21.1%
Nigeria	Miga	2017	15.4%	29.3%	11.0%
Nigeria	Mikang	2000	16.2%	35.9%	3.1%
Nigeria	Mikang	2017	9.7%	26.7%	1.2%
Nigeria	Minjibir	2000	11.4%	22.0%	5.3%
Nigeria	Minjibir	2017	5.5%	12.0%	2.7%
Nigeria	Misau	2000	38.1%	49.3%	30.3%
Nigeria	Misau	2017	26.5%	35.5%	21.4%
Nigeria	Mkpat Enin	2000	16.9%	22.2%	12.3%
Nigeria	Mkpat Enin	2017	9.4%	14.0%	5.4%
Nigeria	Moba	2000	76.2%	80.1%	71.7%
Nigeria	Moba	2017	51.9%	57.1%	46.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Mobbar	2000	24.0%	42.9%	7.8%
Nigeria	Mobbar	2017	13.2%	27.6%	2.8%
Nigeria	Mokwa	2000	14.4%	22.3%	7.8%
Nigeria	Mokwa	2017	7.2%	14.2%	2.8%
Nigeria	Monguno	2000	17.7%	41.5%	3.7%
Nigeria	Monguno	2017	9.3%	24.7%	1.2%
Nigeria	Mopa-Muro	2000	25.9%	40.4%	16.2%
Nigeria	Mopa-Muro	2017	12.9%	23.0%	8.2%
Nigeria	Moro	2000	28.3%	38.8%	20.1%
Nigeria	Moro	2017	13.9%	21.1%	8.5%
Nigeria	Mubi North	2000	9.1%	24.0%	1.7%
Nigeria	Mubi North	2017	4.5%	16.8%	0.4%
Nigeria	Mubi South	2000	9.7%	35.7%	0.1%
Nigeria	Mubi South	2017	4.9%	23.5%	0.0%
Nigeria	Musawa	2000	11.7%	32.2%	3.3%
Nigeria	Musawa	2017	5.2%	22.8%	0.8%
Nigeria	Mushin	2000	34.3%	35.7%	32.7%
Nigeria	Mushin	2017	9.2%	9.6%	8.8%
Nigeria	Muya	2000	28.1%	43.0%	14.9%
Nigeria	Muya	2017	17.0%	32.5%	7.5%
Nigeria	Nafada	2000	20.2%	27.7%	13.5%
Nigeria	Nafada	2017	9.8%	15.5%	4.7%
Nigeria	Nangere	2000	30.1%	35.9%	26.5%
Nigeria	Nangere	2017	23.1%	28.6%	20.4%
Nigeria	Nasarawa	2000	11.0%	17.9%	6.0%
Nigeria	Nasarawa	2017	5.8%	10.4%	2.5%
Nigeria	Nassaraw	2000	32.8%	34.2%	31.5%
Nigeria	Nassaraw	2017	9.0%	9.3%	8.6%
Nigeria	Nassarawa	2000	7.7%	17.4%	2.4%
Nigeria	Egon				
Nigeria	Nassarawa	2017	3.0%	9.2%	0.5%
Nigeria	Egon				
Nigeria	Ndokwa East	2000	18.6%	37.4%	6.3%
Nigeria	Ndokwa East	2017	9.4%	23.9%	2.0%
Nigeria	Ndokwa West	2000	22.3%	40.8%	10.3%
Nigeria	Ndokwa West	2017	9.0%	23.3%	3.2%
Nigeria	Nembe	2000	38.4%	47.5%	30.7%
Nigeria	Nembe	2017	25.7%	35.2%	18.0%
Nigeria	Ngala	2000	17.7%	34.8%	4.4%
Nigeria	Ngala	2017	9.2%	20.0%	1.2%
Nigeria	Nganzai	2000	22.8%	42.1%	9.2%
Nigeria	Nganzai	2017	11.8%	26.2%	2.8%
Nigeria	Ngaski	2000	17.4%	37.5%	5.4%
Nigeria	Ngaski	2017	8.4%	22.5%	1.6%
Nigeria	Ngor-Okp	2000	3.4%	7.4%	2.1%
Nigeria	Ngor-Okp	2017	0.8%	2.2%	0.4%
Nigeria	Nguru	2000	21.0%	27.3%	16.9%
Nigeria	Nguru	2017	6.1%	13.0%	3.8%
Nigeria	Ningi	2000	14.6%	23.8%	7.8%
Nigeria	Ningi	2017	6.8%	13.3%	2.5%
Nigeria	Njaba	2000	4.9%	6.5%	3.4%
Nigeria	Njaba	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Nigeria	Njikoka	2000	1.1%	2.3%	0.5%
Nigeria	Njikoka	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Nkanu East	2000	10.4%	19.4%	7.5%
Nigeria	Nkanu East	2017	9.2%	15.1%	5.9%
Nigeria	Nkanu West	2000	5.7%	6.5%	5.3%
Nigeria	Nkanu West	2017	6.1%	6.5%	5.0%
Nigeria	Nkwerre	2000	8.5%	11.6%	6.1%
Nigeria	Nkwerre	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.3%
Nigeria	NnewiNort	2000	7.2%	12.7%	3.5%
Nigeria	NnewiNort	2017	1.5%	3.0%	0.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	NnewiSou	2000	20.7%	26.3%	14.4%
Nigeria	NnewiSou	2017	9.1%	16.2%	4.4%
Nigeria	Nsit Atai	2000	8.9%	44.1%	1.6%
Nigeria	Nsit Atai	2017	3.5%	24.3%	0.5%
Nigeria	Nsit Ibom	2000	5.8%	8.7%	3.8%
Nigeria	Nsit Ibom	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.9%
Nigeria	Nsit Ubium	2000	12.2%	21.1%	8.6%
Nigeria	Nsit Ubium	2017	3.2%	6.0%	2.2%
Nigeria	Nsukka	2000	13.0%	18.1%	10.1%
Nigeria	Nsukka	2017	3.2%	7.6%	2.1%
Nigeria	Numan	2000	17.5%	27.5%	8.7%
Nigeria	Numan	2017	7.8%	15.5%	2.0%
Nigeria	Nwangele	2000	6.0%	8.5%	4.1%
Nigeria	Nwangele	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Nigeria	Obafemi-Owode	2000	18.9%	27.1%	14.0%
Nigeria	Obafemi-Owode	2017	8.6%	14.7%	5.6%
Nigeria	Obanliku	2000	8.8%	19.4%	3.9%
Nigeria	Obanliku	2017	3.8%	10.4%	1.3%
Nigeria	Obi	2000	7.5%	12.7%	3.3%
Nigeria	Obi	2000	14.9%	41.9%	3.9%
Nigeria	Obi	2017	2.6%	6.7%	0.7%
Nigeria	Obi	2017	7.3%	29.0%	1.8%
Nigeria	Obio/Akp	2000	30.5%	37.1%	25.1%
Nigeria	Obio/Akp	2017	9.9%	14.7%	6.7%
Nigeria	Obokun	2000	12.0%	14.8%	10.1%
Nigeria	Obokun	2017	3.3%	5.6%	2.7%
Nigeria	Oboma Ngwa	2000	5.2%	8.2%	3.3%
Nigeria	Oboma Ngwa	2017	1.3%	2.5%	0.7%
Nigeria	Obot Akara	2000	17.6%	43.1%	2.9%
Nigeria	Obot Akara	2017	9.8%	29.4%	0.8%
Nigeria	Obowo	2000	7.3%	9.4%	5.7%
Nigeria	Obowo	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Nigeria	Obubra	2000	7.4%	14.6%	3.2%
Nigeria	Obubra	2017	2.4%	6.1%	0.7%
Nigeria	Obudu	2000	44.1%	53.6%	33.0%
Nigeria	Obudu	2017	24.3%	35.0%	14.1%
Nigeria	Odeda	2000	32.9%	48.6%	21.5%
Nigeria	Odeda	2017	22.1%	34.3%	13.6%
Nigeria	Odigbo	2000	16.3%	35.1%	6.0%
Nigeria	Odigbo	2017	9.4%	22.6%	2.9%
Nigeria	Odo0tin	2000	16.1%	24.0%	11.2%
Nigeria	Odo0tin	2017	4.3%	7.5%	2.7%
Nigeria	Odogbolu	2000	11.6%	15.7%	9.0%
Nigeria	Odogbolu	2017	2.9%	4.8%	2.2%
Nigeria	Odukpani	2000	10.9%	19.1%	6.6%
Nigeria	Odukpani	2017	5.8%	12.9%	3.2%
Nigeria	Offa	2000	33.1%	36.2%	29.9%
Nigeria	Offa	2017	13.5%	15.7%	11.6%
Nigeria	Ofu	2000	15.9%	30.1%	5.3%
Nigeria	Ofu	2017	8.5%	21.4%	1.6%
Nigeria	Ogba/Egbe	2000	51.5%	65.0%	44.5%
Nigeria	Ogba/Egbe	2017	42.4%	52.5%	37.7%
Nigeria	Ogbadibo	2000	5.4%	21.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ogbadibo	2017	2.4%	13.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ogbaru	2000	16.0%	41.0%	3.2%
Nigeria	Ogbaru	2017	7.9%	30.4%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ogbia	2000	26.5%	30.3%	22.8%
Nigeria	Ogbia	2017	11.4%	15.3%	8.1%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho	2000	8.4%	12.7%	5.4%
Nigeria	North				

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ogbomosho North	2017	3.1%	7.6%	1.1%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho South	2000	12.2%	15.9%	9.4%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho South	2017	2.7%	3.6%	1.9%
Nigeria	Ogo-Oluw	2000	25.9%	37.7%	22.4%
Nigeria	Ogo-Oluw	2017	18.8%	26.7%	16.6%
Nigeria	Ogoja	2000	7.4%	15.2%	2.6%
Nigeria	Ogoja	2017	2.8%	8.1%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ogori/Magongo	2000	24.6%	39.3%	15.3%
Nigeria	Ogori/Magongo	2017	7.7%	16.6%	4.1%
Nigeria	Ogu/Bolo	2000	17.7%	45.0%	5.9%
Nigeria	Ogu/Bolo	2017	8.7%	32.3%	2.4%
Nigeria	OgunWaterside	2000	14.7%	35.1%	2.0%
Nigeria	OgunWaterside	2017	7.3%	22.4%	0.5%
Nigeria	Oguta	2000	19.1%	31.0%	8.6%
Nigeria	Oguta	2017	10.1%	23.1%	2.7%
Nigeria	Ohafia Abia	2000	2.9%	8.4%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ohafia Abia	2017	0.9%	3.0%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ohaji/Eg	2000	23.7%	48.4%	8.3%
Nigeria	Ohaji/Eg	2017	12.3%	33.3%	2.5%
Nigeria	Ohaozara	2000	2.5%	11.5%	1.0%
Nigeria	Ohaozara	2017	0.9%	4.6%	0.3%
Nigeria	Ohaukwu	2000	16.3%	22.4%	9.5%
Nigeria	Ohaukwu	2017	5.5%	9.5%	3.0%
Nigeria	Ohimini	2000	8.2%	19.1%	4.5%
Nigeria	Ohimini	2017	3.1%	11.5%	1.1%
Nigeria	Oji-River	2000	25.0%	49.1%	7.6%
Nigeria	Oji-River	2017	11.1%	29.6%	2.0%
Nigeria	Ojo	2000	25.7%	38.0%	19.0%
Nigeria	Ojo	2017	7.4%	15.5%	4.8%
Nigeria	Oju	2000	20.8%	38.5%	7.4%
Nigeria	Oju	2017	9.7%	24.4%	2.5%
Nigeria	Oke-Ero	2000	53.4%	68.0%	45.4%
Nigeria	Oke-Ero	2017	36.9%	52.9%	31.4%
Nigeria	Okehi	2000	16.4%	22.6%	12.3%
Nigeria	Okehi	2017	8.4%	13.5%	5.2%
Nigeria	Okene	2000	19.7%	32.3%	13.0%
Nigeria	Okene	2017	6.2%	15.6%	2.9%
Nigeria	Okigwe	2000	5.3%	17.1%	1.2%
Nigeria	Okigwe	2017	1.6%	7.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	Okitipupa	2000	9.9%	18.6%	5.2%
Nigeria	Okitipupa	2017	4.6%	9.4%	1.3%
Nigeria	Okobo	2000	3.6%	10.5%	1.6%
Nigeria	Okobo	2017	1.2%	6.6%	0.3%
Nigeria	Okpe	2000	12.9%	20.9%	8.8%
Nigeria	Okpe	2017	3.9%	6.9%	2.5%
Nigeria	Okpokwu	2000	8.3%	27.9%	0.7%
Nigeria	Okpokwu	2017	3.8%	17.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Okrika	2000	32.0%	50.9%	20.5%
Nigeria	Okrika	2017	14.3%	30.3%	7.1%
Nigeria	Ola-Oluwa	2000	7.0%	9.4%	5.4%
Nigeria	Ola-Oluwa	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.9%
Nigeria	Olamabor	2000	9.5%	16.7%	3.7%
Nigeria	Olamabor	2017	5.2%	11.9%	1.0%
Nigeria	Olorunda	2000	55.6%	60.6%	52.2%
Nigeria	Olorunda	2017	26.3%	29.9%	23.9%
Nigeria	Olorunsogo	2000	12.9%	30.9%	5.0%
Nigeria	Olorunsogo	2017	7.2%	19.9%	2.0%
Nigeria	Oluyole	2000	17.8%	24.4%	13.4%
Nigeria	Oluyole	2017	6.3%	10.8%	3.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Omala	2000	10.5%	21.5%	2.8%
Nigeria	Omala	2017	5.1%	14.1%	0.6%
Nigeria	Omumma	2000	62.3%	66.1%	56.5%
Nigeria	Omumma	2017	40.7%	45.6%	35.5%
Nigeria	Ona-Ara	2000	10.2%	18.2%	6.8%
Nigeria	Ona-Ara	2017	3.0%	8.6%	1.4%
Nigeria	Ondo East	2000	9.4%	19.8%	4.1%
Nigeria	Ondo East	2017	3.8%	11.3%	1.0%
Nigeria	Ondo West	2000	6.9%	13.7%	2.1%
Nigeria	Ondo West	2017	2.5%	7.5%	0.4%
Nigeria	Onicha	2000	11.1%	20.2%	4.5%
Nigeria	Onicha	2017	3.6%	9.2%	1.2%
Nigeria	Onitsha North	2000	7.5%	9.4%	5.8%
Nigeria	Onitsha North	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.2%
Nigeria	Onitsha South	2000	14.0%	19.4%	10.0%
Nigeria	Onitsha South	2017	3.1%	4.5%	2.0%
Nigeria	Onna	2000	41.4%	48.2%	34.9%
Nigeria	Onna	2017	28.2%	35.7%	17.4%
Nigeria	Opobo/Nkoro	2000	40.4%	47.7%	32.1%
Nigeria	Opobo/Nkoro	2017	24.3%	31.3%	15.6%
Nigeria	Oredo Edo	2000	12.6%	26.6%	7.1%
Nigeria	Oredo Edo	2017	4.0%	14.2%	1.5%
Nigeria	Orelope	2000	14.7%	29.8%	6.4%
Nigeria	Orelope	2017	9.8%	21.2%	4.4%
Nigeria	Orhionmw	2000	18.6%	33.2%	7.2%
Nigeria	Orhionmw	2017	8.6%	19.9%	1.7%
Nigeria	Ori-Ire	2000	12.8%	24.6%	4.9%
Nigeria	Ori-Ire	2017	6.1%	15.0%	1.3%
Nigeria	Oriade	2000	27.8%	35.8%	22.8%
Nigeria	Oriade	2017	19.1%	25.2%	14.8%
Nigeria	Orlu	2000	6.3%	7.6%	5.0%
Nigeria	Orlu	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.1%
Nigeria	Orolu	2000	67.2%	70.5%	64.3%
Nigeria	Orolu	2017	37.1%	40.6%	33.2%
Nigeria	Oron	2000	1.2%	6.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Oron	2017	0.5%	4.6%	0.0%
Nigeria	Orsu	2000	15.2%	19.4%	10.7%
Nigeria	Orsu	2017	5.4%	9.4%	2.9%
Nigeria	Oru East	2000	3.5%	4.9%	2.5%
Nigeria	Oru East	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Oru West	2000	3.5%	4.9%	2.4%
Nigeria	Oru West	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Oruk-Ana	2000	5.8%	19.7%	0.5%
Nigeria	Oruk-Ana	2017	2.8%	11.7%	0.1%
Nigeria	OrumbaNo	2000	3.6%	10.2%	1.2%
Nigeria	OrumbaNo	2017	1.1%	6.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	OrumbaSo	2000	5.0%	16.7%	0.5%
Nigeria	OrumbaSo	2017	2.2%	10.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ose	2000	15.1%	26.0%	5.7%
Nigeria	Ose	2017	7.3%	15.2%	1.8%
Nigeria	Oshimili	2000	25.4%	39.7%	17.6%
Nigeria	North				
Nigeria	Oshimili	2017	9.1%	24.3%	4.5%
Nigeria	North				
Nigeria	Oshimili	2000	19.1%	23.7%	16.0%
Nigeria	South				
Nigeria	Oshimili	2017	5.3%	8.5%	4.0%
Nigeria	South				
Nigeria	Oshodi/Isolo	2000	24.9%	26.6%	23.1%
Nigeria	Oshodi/Isolo	2017	6.1%	6.5%	5.6%
Nigeria	Osisioma	2000	10.7%	13.0%	8.7%
Nigeria	Ngwa				

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Osioma Ngwa	2017	3.6%	4.5%	2.9%
Nigeria	Osogbo	2000	63.5%	89.1%	53.3%
Nigeria	Osogbo	2017	37.3%	70.0%	24.8%
Nigeria	Oturkpo	2000	32.8%	44.5%	24.8%
Nigeria	Oturkpo	2017	18.4%	27.7%	13.5%
Nigeria	OviaNort	2000	9.8%	15.8%	5.1%
Nigeria	OviaNort	2017	4.1%	8.5%	1.2%
Nigeria	OviaSouth-West	2000	8.2%	18.1%	2.9%
Nigeria	OviaSouth-West	2017	3.9%	11.0%	0.8%
Nigeria	Owan East	2000	15.9%	32.4%	5.3%
Nigeria	Owan East	2017	7.2%	22.2%	1.1%
Nigeria	OwanWest	2000	15.6%	38.0%	5.1%
Nigeria	OwanWest	2017	9.7%	24.4%	1.7%
Nigeria	Owerri Municipal	2000	24.2%	26.8%	21.5%
Nigeria	Owerri Municipal	2017	6.7%	7.5%	5.8%
Nigeria	Owerri North	2000	25.8%	28.3%	23.8%
Nigeria	Owerri North	2017	8.4%	9.7%	7.3%
Nigeria	Owerri West	2000	23.4%	28.0%	20.9%
Nigeria	Owerri West	2017	12.1%	15.5%	10.0%
Nigeria	Owo	2000	15.2%	28.6%	6.1%
Nigeria	Owo	2017	6.8%	16.8%	1.5%
Nigeria	Oye	2000	54.1%	58.7%	43.0%
Nigeria	Oye	2017	40.2%	47.0%	28.6%
Nigeria	Oyi	2000	1.7%	2.7%	1.1%
Nigeria	Oyi	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Nigeria	Oyigbo	2000	21.4%	27.4%	15.2%
Nigeria	Oyigbo	2017	9.2%	16.2%	4.6%
Nigeria	Oyo East	2000	28.6%	49.5%	14.6%
Nigeria	Oyo East	2017	11.5%	31.2%	3.4%
Nigeria	Oyo West	2000	24.5%	32.5%	19.1%
Nigeria	Oyo West	2017	12.0%	19.9%	7.2%
Nigeria	Oyun	2000	32.2%	42.6%	23.0%
Nigeria	Oyun	2017	14.7%	23.0%	8.1%
Nigeria	Paikoro	2000	20.2%	30.3%	11.3%
Nigeria	Paikoro	2017	10.6%	20.0%	4.6%
Nigeria	Pankshin	2000	16.7%	35.4%	4.3%
Nigeria	Pankshin	2017	7.3%	18.4%	1.4%
Nigeria	Patani	2000	22.3%	43.8%	8.3%
Nigeria	Patani	2017	9.9%	30.4%	2.4%
Nigeria	Pategi	2000	15.3%	29.8%	7.3%
Nigeria	Pategi	2017	7.6%	17.2%	2.5%
Nigeria	Port Harcourt	2000	32.8%	37.3%	29.3%
Nigeria	Port Harcourt	2017	10.7%	14.5%	8.5%
Nigeria	Potiskum	2000	28.1%	32.9%	24.6%
Nigeria	Potiskum	2017	22.5%	26.5%	20.3%
Nigeria	Qua'anpa	2000	12.4%	28.9%	3.0%
Nigeria	Qua'anpa	2017	5.6%	16.2%	0.7%
Nigeria	Rabah	2000	25.0%	38.6%	14.0%
Nigeria	Rabah	2017	11.6%	22.3%	4.4%
Nigeria	Rafi	2000	20.4%	36.6%	8.5%
Nigeria	Rafi	2017	10.8%	24.4%	3.2%
Nigeria	Rano	2000	16.8%	38.4%	3.7%
Nigeria	Rano	2017	11.4%	32.5%	1.7%
Nigeria	Remo-North	2000	5.5%	8.8%	3.7%
Nigeria	Remo-North	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.9%
Nigeria	Rijau	2000	17.7%	26.2%	10.0%
Nigeria	Rijau	2017	9.5%	16.7%	4.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Rimi	2000	43.1%	54.8%	32.7%
Nigeria	Rimi	2017	24.6%	34.3%	16.0%
Nigeria	RiminGad	2000	16.8%	47.3%	4.9%
Nigeria	RiminGad	2017	7.3%	29.8%	1.2%
Nigeria	Ringim	2000	26.0%	39.7%	16.2%
Nigeria	Ringim	2017	9.4%	20.1%	4.4%
Nigeria	Riyom	2000	8.3%	17.3%	3.6%
Nigeria	Riyom	2017	2.7%	8.7%	0.8%
Nigeria	Rogo	2000	16.7%	37.9%	2.7%
Nigeria	Rogo	2017	8.7%	27.2%	0.7%
Nigeria	Roni	2000	31.4%	50.4%	15.7%
Nigeria	Roni	2017	18.6%	35.2%	7.4%
Nigeria	Sabon Birni	2000	32.4%	43.5%	23.9%
Nigeria	Sabon Birni	2017	19.2%	29.4%	12.4%
Nigeria	Sabon-Ga	2000	33.7%	41.3%	26.5%
Nigeria	Sabon-Ga	2017	12.8%	18.8%	9.4%
Nigeria	Sabuwa	2000	13.9%	32.6%	3.6%
Nigeria	Sabuwa	2017	6.4%	21.6%	0.8%
Nigeria	Safana	2000	32.6%	45.4%	21.7%
Nigeria	Safana	2017	24.2%	37.7%	13.2%
Nigeria	Sagbama	2000	13.4%	20.7%	7.9%
Nigeria	Sagbama	2017	9.2%	14.7%	5.7%
Nigeria	Sakaba	2000	15.0%	40.8%	4.2%
Nigeria	Sakaba	2017	6.6%	20.1%	1.0%
Nigeria	Saki East	2000	10.6%	20.8%	3.7%
Nigeria	Saki East	2017	4.6%	12.2%	0.8%
Nigeria	Saki West	2000	24.5%	35.9%	15.6%
Nigeria	Saki West	2017	18.7%	28.8%	9.3%
Nigeria	Sandamu	2000	20.2%	23.6%	16.8%
Nigeria	Sandamu	2017	7.6%	11.4%	5.3%
Nigeria	Sanga	2000	11.9%	25.6%	3.8%
Nigeria	Sanga	2017	5.2%	17.4%	0.6%
Nigeria	Sapele	2000	19.6%	34.6%	12.9%
Nigeria	Sapele	2017	7.0%	16.6%	4.0%
Nigeria	Sardauna	2000	17.4%	25.0%	11.3%
Nigeria	Sardauna	2017	11.0%	16.8%	7.1%
Nigeria	Shagamu	2000	13.2%	17.0%	10.4%
Nigeria	Shagamu	2017	4.0%	6.2%	2.9%
Nigeria	Shagari	2000	16.5%	29.7%	7.0%
Nigeria	Shagari	2017	10.6%	22.1%	4.1%
Nigeria	Shanga	2000	11.8%	26.0%	2.9%
Nigeria	Shanga	2017	5.4%	16.0%	0.6%
Nigeria	Shani	2000	16.3%	42.4%	3.6%
Nigeria	Shani	2017	8.2%	24.7%	1.0%
Nigeria	Shanono	2000	15.5%	32.7%	6.4%
Nigeria	Shanono	2017	7.2%	18.9%	2.5%
Nigeria	Shelleng	2000	12.8%	29.6%	2.5%
Nigeria	Shelleng	2017	6.5%	19.3%	0.6%
Nigeria	Shendam	2000	13.8%	25.3%	6.7%
Nigeria	Shendam	2017	6.4%	14.4%	2.1%
Nigeria	Shinkafi	2000	8.2%	15.9%	3.7%
Nigeria	Shinkafi	2017	3.7%	8.9%	1.4%
Nigeria	Shira	2000	12.4%	23.4%	5.1%
Nigeria	Shira	2017	4.7%	13.3%	1.1%
Nigeria	Shiroro	2000	20.1%	30.5%	11.6%
Nigeria	Shiroro	2017	10.5%	18.5%	5.4%
Nigeria	Shomgom	2000	23.0%	33.1%	14.0%
Nigeria	Shomgom	2017	11.0%	19.4%	5.5%
Nigeria	Shomolu	2000	35.1%	36.7%	33.6%
Nigeria	Shomolu	2017	9.4%	9.9%	9.1%
Nigeria	Silame	2000	14.3%	36.9%	3.8%
Nigeria	Silame	2017	7.0%	24.7%	1.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Soba	2000	11.6%	22.0%	4.5%
Nigeria	Soba	2017	6.1%	14.4%	1.5%
Nigeria	Sokoto North	2000	88.7%	89.6%	87.7%
Nigeria	Sokoto North	2017	68.8%	71.0%	66.3%
Nigeria	Sokoto South	2000	86.9%	87.8%	85.8%
Nigeria	Sokoto South	2017	69.0%	71.0%	67.0%
Nigeria	Song	2000	15.9%	27.6%	6.5%
Nigeria	Song	2017	8.6%	19.1%	2.7%
Nigeria	Southern Ijaw	2000	10.6%	16.3%	5.8%
Nigeria	Southern Ijaw	2017	5.3%	10.4%	2.2%
Nigeria	Sule-Tan	2000	28.4%	47.4%	14.6%
Nigeria	Sule-Tan	2017	13.8%	27.4%	6.3%
Nigeria	Suleja	2000	46.6%	58.2%	39.6%
Nigeria	Suleja	2017	16.5%	22.5%	13.6%
Nigeria	Sumaila	2000	15.0%	27.9%	7.8%
Nigeria	Sumaila	2017	8.3%	17.0%	4.0%
Nigeria	Suru	2000	28.0%	44.1%	17.7%
Nigeria	Suru	2017	16.0%	28.2%	9.1%
Nigeria	Surulere	2000	26.4%	41.2%	17.2%
Nigeria	Surulere	2000	31.6%	33.2%	30.1%
Nigeria	Surulere	2017	8.2%	8.7%	7.9%
Nigeria	Surulere	2017	18.2%	31.1%	11.3%
Nigeria	Tafa	2000	34.3%	37.3%	31.7%
Nigeria	Tafa	2017	10.0%	11.1%	9.1%
Nigeria	Tafawa-B	2000	12.6%	25.4%	2.9%
Nigeria	Tafawa-B	2017	6.8%	19.2%	0.7%
Nigeria	Tai	2000	7.4%	9.8%	5.8%
Nigeria	Tai	2017	2.4%	3.2%	1.8%
Nigeria	Takai	2000	16.2%	30.9%	6.4%
Nigeria	Takai	2017	6.8%	17.1%	1.6%
Nigeria	Takum	2000	19.4%	33.0%	8.0%
Nigeria	Takum	2017	10.7%	24.9%	2.6%
Nigeria	Talata-Mafara	2000	13.5%	31.2%	3.3%
Nigeria	Talata-Mafara	2017	6.9%	22.4%	0.9%
Nigeria	Tambawal	2000	17.0%	31.6%	7.5%
Nigeria	Tambawal	2017	7.7%	18.6%	2.2%
Nigeria	Tangazar	2000	25.9%	36.2%	19.1%
Nigeria	Tangazar	2017	18.4%	27.5%	13.4%
Nigeria	Tarauni	2000	57.1%	59.0%	55.4%
Nigeria	Tarauni	2017	25.6%	27.5%	24.1%
Nigeria	Tarka	2000	4.2%	19.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	Tarka	2017	1.8%	11.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Tarmuwa	2000	17.2%	30.4%	7.7%
Nigeria	Tarmuwa	2017	8.5%	17.1%	2.5%
Nigeria	Taura	2000	34.0%	40.9%	27.1%
Nigeria	Taura	2017	25.8%	32.9%	19.4%
Nigeria	Teungo	2000	17.8%	33.5%	6.6%
Nigeria	Teungo	2017	8.6%	19.9%	2.4%
Nigeria	Tofa	2000	13.5%	16.9%	10.9%
Nigeria	Tofa	2017	4.1%	6.3%	2.9%
Nigeria	Toro	2000	16.8%	26.9%	9.0%
Nigeria	Toro	2017	8.7%	16.0%	3.6%
Nigeria	Toto	2000	13.2%	26.4%	4.5%
Nigeria	Toto	2017	6.4%	14.4%	1.6%
Nigeria	Tsafe	2000	13.0%	28.2%	4.1%
Nigeria	Tsafe	2017	6.2%	15.9%	1.4%
Nigeria	Tsanyawa	2000	32.2%	44.8%	22.2%
Nigeria	Tsanyawa	2017	16.5%	27.0%	8.4%
Nigeria	Tundun Wada	2000	17.2%	30.6%	8.1%
Nigeria	Tundun Wada	2017	7.0%	17.1%	2.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Tureta	2000	22.6%	42.6%	9.4%
Nigeria	Tureta	2017	12.0%	29.3%	3.1%
Nigeria	Udenu	2000	33.3%	38.1%	27.2%
Nigeria	Udenu	2017	13.1%	17.9%	8.3%
Nigeria	Udi	2000	26.4%	32.4%	21.0%
Nigeria	Udi	2017	9.7%	14.2%	6.3%
Nigeria	Udu	2000	44.6%	53.9%	35.3%
Nigeria	Udu	2017	17.2%	27.8%	10.9%
Nigeria	Udung Uko	2000	0.7%	2.7%	0.1%
Nigeria	Udung Uko	2017	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ughelli North	2000	41.7%	45.2%	38.1%
Nigeria	Ughelli North	2017	18.8%	22.2%	16.2%
Nigeria	Ughelli South	2000	46.4%	57.7%	32.7%
Nigeria	Ughelli South	2017	31.6%	44.7%	19.2%
Nigeria	Ugwunagbo	2000	14.7%	25.0%	9.6%
Nigeria	Ugwunagbo	2017	4.5%	8.6%	2.9%
Nigeria	Uhunmwonde	2000	13.7%	22.3%	7.8%
Nigeria	Uhunmwonde	2017	5.6%	11.4%	2.1%
Nigeria	Ukanafun	2000	6.9%	20.3%	2.8%
Nigeria	Ukanafun	2017	2.4%	10.3%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ukum	2000	8.3%	21.3%	1.2%
Nigeria	Ukum	2017	4.0%	12.6%	0.3%
Nigeria	Ukwa East	2000	11.1%	26.4%	2.7%
Nigeria	Ukwa East	2017	5.0%	15.0%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ukwa West	2000	25.9%	35.8%	21.8%
Nigeria	Ukwa West	2017	10.1%	16.6%	7.7%
Nigeria	Ukwuani	2000	11.2%	23.9%	7.0%
Nigeria	Ukwuani	2017	4.2%	21.0%	1.5%
Nigeria	Umu-Nneochi	2000	13.7%	27.1%	6.0%
Nigeria	Umu-Nneochi	2017	6.3%	17.6%	1.6%
Nigeria	Umuahia	2000	9.2%	11.4%	7.0%
Nigeria	North				
Nigeria	Umuahia	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Nigeria	North				
Nigeria	Umuahia	2000	3.7%	5.1%	2.5%
Nigeria	South				
Nigeria	Umuahia	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	South				
Nigeria	Ungogo	2000	29.5%	30.8%	28.0%
Nigeria	Ungogo	2017	13.5%	14.8%	12.4%
Nigeria	Unuimo	2000	10.3%	17.0%	7.4%
Nigeria	Unuimo	2017	2.6%	5.6%	1.7%
Nigeria	Uruan	2000	17.5%	23.1%	12.9%
Nigeria	Uruan	2017	10.3%	13.5%	6.7%
Nigeria	UrueOffo	2000	3.3%	6.2%	1.7%
Nigeria	UrueOffo	2017	0.7%	1.8%	0.3%
Nigeria	Ushongo	2000	12.5%	26.9%	3.3%
Nigeria	Ushongo	2017	5.4%	14.0%	0.9%
Nigeria	Ussa	2000	15.1%	26.5%	6.1%
Nigeria	Ussa	2017	6.7%	15.3%	1.7%
Nigeria	Uvwie	2000	38.1%	40.3%	36.1%
Nigeria	Uvwie	2017	16.9%	18.8%	15.5%
Nigeria	Uyo	2000	9.2%	10.5%	7.9%
Nigeria	Uyo	2017	2.0%	2.3%	1.7%
Nigeria	Uzo-Uwani	2000	14.4%	32.9%	2.8%
Nigeria	Uzo-Uwani	2017	7.5%	22.7%	0.6%
Nigeria	Vandeikya	2000	16.0%	35.6%	6.5%
Nigeria	Vandeikya	2017	7.3%	23.5%	2.1%
Nigeria	Wamakko	2000	50.0%	53.1%	47.5%
Nigeria	Wamakko	2017	21.9%	24.5%	20.5%
Nigeria	Wamba	2000	10.5%	24.7%	2.7%
Nigeria	Wamba	2017	4.6%	13.1%	0.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Warawa	2000	14.5%	17.6%	12.3%
Nigeria	Warawa	2017	4.9%	6.0%	4.0%
Nigeria	Warji	2000	24.7%	42.8%	11.5%
Nigeria	Warji	2017	10.9%	24.9%	3.8%
Nigeria	Warri North	2000	11.4%	24.4%	3.7%
Nigeria	Warri North	2017	5.2%	14.9%	0.9%
Nigeria	Warri South	2000	37.6%	43.4%	34.7%
Nigeria	Warri South	2017	23.8%	27.6%	20.5%
Nigeria	Warri South-West	2000	16.1%	29.1%	9.9%
Nigeria	Warri South-West	2017	8.2%	15.9%	4.6%
Nigeria	Wase	2000	12.9%	20.2%	6.8%
Nigeria	Wase	2017	6.5%	12.1%	2.5%
Nigeria	Wudil	2000	33.4%	37.1%	30.0%
Nigeria	Wudil	2017	15.0%	16.9%	12.9%
Nigeria	Wukari	2000	11.8%	20.8%	5.1%
Nigeria	Wukari	2017	5.7%	11.6%	1.6%
Nigeria	Wurno	2000	9.6%	18.0%	5.0%
Nigeria	Wurno	2017	3.6%	9.9%	1.2%
Nigeria	Wushishi	2000	19.1%	37.3%	6.6%
Nigeria	Wushishi	2017	9.1%	21.7%	1.8%
Nigeria	Yabo	2000	10.9%	15.0%	8.1%
Nigeria	Yabo	2017	4.9%	7.3%	3.1%
Nigeria	Yagba East	2000	35.9%	46.6%	28.5%
Nigeria	Yagba East	2017	23.8%	32.1%	18.5%
Nigeria	Yagba West	2000	47.9%	56.8%	40.1%
Nigeria	Yagba West	2017	26.8%	38.1%	19.1%
Nigeria	Yakurr	2000	30.5%	38.3%	23.2%
Nigeria	Yakurr	2017	12.3%	18.8%	7.6%
Nigeria	Yala Cross	2000	7.8%	18.2%	1.6%
Nigeria	Yala Cross	2017	3.2%	9.2%	0.4%
Nigeria	Yamaltu	2000	35.4%	46.1%	27.6%
Nigeria	Yamaltu	2017	24.1%	33.8%	18.3%
Nigeria	Yankwashi	2000	48.1%	53.6%	43.1%
Nigeria	Yankwashi	2017	33.5%	37.0%	30.6%
Nigeria	Yauri	2000	22.7%	47.7%	5.9%
Nigeria	Yauri	2017	10.6%	29.6%	1.3%
Nigeria	Yenegoa	2000	20.5%	23.5%	18.1%
Nigeria	Yenegoa	2017	9.6%	10.5%	8.8%
Nigeria	Yola North	2000	32.0%	37.4%	26.5%
Nigeria	Yola North	2017	10.9%	16.8%	7.5%
Nigeria	Yola South	2000	49.5%	63.1%	41.6%
Nigeria	Yola South	2017	25.9%	36.2%	18.8%
Nigeria	Yorro	2000	32.1%	42.2%	23.3%
Nigeria	Yorro	2017	22.9%	32.6%	15.5%
Nigeria	Yunusari	2000	20.9%	32.2%	10.5%
Nigeria	Yunusari	2017	11.1%	21.2%	4.2%
Nigeria	Yusufari	2000	20.2%	30.3%	13.0%
Nigeria	Yusufari	2017	12.2%	19.0%	7.5%
Nigeria	Zaki	2000	15.8%	30.6%	5.7%
Nigeria	Zaki	2017	8.2%	18.1%	1.7%
Nigeria	Zango	2000	24.0%	35.1%	16.1%
Nigeria	Zango	2017	7.5%	13.7%	4.1%
Nigeria	ZangonKa	2000	15.4%	23.4%	9.3%
Nigeria	ZangonKa	2017	6.7%	12.5%	3.0%
Nigeria	Zaria	2000	25.4%	30.9%	19.3%
Nigeria	Zaria	2017	9.2%	12.9%	6.0%
Nigeria	Zing	2000	25.4%	37.7%	16.3%
Nigeria	Zing	2017	16.7%	27.7%	7.3%
Nigeria	Zurmi	2000	11.4%	20.7%	5.2%
Nigeria	Zurmi	2017	5.4%	11.7%	1.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Zuru	2000	14.1%	24.8%	6.4%
Nigeria	Zuru	2017	4.7%	11.1%	1.3%
Republic of Congo	Abala	2000	16.6%	20.4%	13.2%
Republic of Congo	Abala	2017	15.2%	18.7%	12.0%
Republic of Congo	Bambama	2000	15.3%	21.8%	10.4%
Republic of Congo	Bambama	2017	13.8%	20.0%	9.3%
Republic of Congo	Boko	2000	20.6%	28.7%	13.9%
Republic of Congo	Boko	2017	22.0%	35.1%	13.2%
Republic of Congo	Boko-Songho	2000	28.2%	40.3%	18.7%
Republic of Congo	Boko-Songho	2017	26.2%	37.7%	17.1%
Republic of Congo	Boundji	2000	15.2%	20.9%	11.2%
Republic of Congo	Boundji	2017	14.0%	19.1%	10.4%
Republic of Congo	Brazzaville	2000	56.3%	73.1%	40.4%
Republic of Congo	Brazzaville	2017	56.3%	72.3%	38.6%
Republic of Congo	Divénié	2000	21.9%	28.2%	16.8%
Republic of Congo	Divénié	2017	20.4%	26.4%	15.7%
Republic of Congo	Djambala	2000	17.5%	20.9%	14.6%
Republic of Congo	Djambala	2017	15.9%	19.1%	13.2%
Republic of Congo	Dongou	2000	19.5%	22.6%	16.6%
Republic of Congo	Dongou	2017	17.8%	20.7%	15.0%
Republic of Congo	Epéna	2000	14.4%	16.7%	12.1%
Republic of Congo	Epéna	2017	13.1%	15.2%	11.0%
Republic of Congo	Ewo	2000	15.1%	19.8%	11.4%
Republic of Congo	Ewo	2017	14.1%	18.6%	10.7%
Republic of Congo	Gamboma	2000	19.0%	22.7%	15.6%
Republic of Congo	Gamboma	2017	18.1%	23.0%	13.8%
Republic of Congo	Impfondo	2000	18.5%	23.7%	13.9%
Republic of Congo	Impfondo	2017	16.8%	21.6%	12.5%
Republic of Congo	Kakamoeka	2000	28.1%	36.2%	20.3%
Republic of Congo	Kakamoeka	2017	26.3%	34.3%	18.8%
Republic of Congo	Kéllé	2000	15.8%	20.7%	12.0%
Republic of Congo	Kéllé	2017	14.6%	18.6%	10.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Kibangou	2000	31.1%	39.6%	24.3%
Republic of Congo	Kibangou	2017	28.8%	36.9%	22.5%
Republic of Congo	Kimongo	2000	30.7%	40.9%	22.6%
Republic of Congo	Kimongo	2017	28.6%	39.3%	20.4%
Republic of Congo	Kindamba	2000	16.1%	20.1%	12.1%
Republic of Congo	Kindamba	2017	14.9%	18.8%	11.2%
Republic of Congo	Kinkala	2000	19.2%	28.2%	11.8%
Republic of Congo	Kinkala	2017	18.3%	28.9%	9.7%
Republic of Congo	Komono	2000	19.1%	24.6%	14.7%
Republic of Congo	Komono	2017	17.5%	22.8%	13.6%
Republic of Congo	Lékana	2000	14.3%	20.0%	9.7%
Republic of Congo	Lékana	2017	13.0%	18.4%	8.8%
Republic of Congo	Loandjili	2000	35.8%	46.3%	24.8%
Republic of Congo	Loandjili	2017	32.4%	41.7%	22.7%
Republic of Congo	Loudima	2000	31.4%	40.5%	21.8%
Republic of Congo	Loudima	2017	29.3%	37.9%	20.3%
Republic of Congo	Loukoléla	2000	15.9%	20.6%	11.9%
Republic of Congo	Loukoléla	2017	14.4%	18.9%	10.6%
Republic of Congo	Louvakou (Loubomo)	2000	32.8%	40.4%	25.8%
Republic of Congo	Louvakou (Loubomo)	2017	30.2%	37.8%	23.5%
Republic of Congo	Madingo-Kayes	2000	32.2%	42.1%	22.6%
Republic of Congo	Madingo-Kayes	2017	30.1%	39.6%	21.1%
Republic of Congo	Madingou	2000	30.5%	42.3%	21.4%
Republic of Congo	Madingou	2017	28.8%	40.7%	19.8%
Republic of Congo	Makoua	2000	15.0%	19.0%	11.3%
Republic of Congo	Makoua	2017	13.8%	17.5%	10.2%
Republic of Congo	Mayama	2000	19.0%	23.9%	14.4%
Republic of Congo	Mayama	2017	17.4%	21.8%	13.4%
Republic of Congo	Mayoko	2000	21.2%	28.6%	15.3%
Republic of Congo	Mayoko	2017	19.6%	27.0%	14.2%
Republic of Congo	Mbomo	2000	14.1%	17.6%	11.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Mbomo	2017	12.8%	16.0%	9.9%
Republic of Congo	Mfouati	2000	31.6%	48.6%	18.4%
Republic of Congo	Mfouati	2017	29.9%	46.2%	17.4%
Republic of Congo	Mindouli	2000	19.4%	25.8%	13.4%
Republic of Congo	Mindouli	2017	19.1%	28.4%	12.8%
Republic of Congo	Mossaka	2000	15.9%	19.5%	12.4%
Republic of Congo	Mossaka	2017	14.6%	18.0%	11.2%
Republic of Congo	Mossendjo	2000	22.3%	29.1%	16.5%
Republic of Congo	Mossendjo	2017	20.4%	26.6%	14.9%
Republic of Congo	Mouyondzi	2000	24.4%	32.6%	18.5%
Republic of Congo	Mouyondzi	2017	22.6%	30.1%	17.0%
Republic of Congo	Mvouti	2000	29.3%	37.7%	21.7%
Republic of Congo	Mvouti	2017	27.3%	34.6%	20.5%
Republic of Congo	Ngabé	2000	21.4%	25.4%	17.3%
Republic of Congo	Ngabé	2017	20.1%	27.8%	14.3%
Republic of Congo	Ngamaba	2000	23.8%	41.5%	12.4%
Republic of Congo	Ngamaba	2017	25.9%	48.4%	10.9%
Republic of Congo	Nkayi District	2000	32.7%	45.1%	22.8%
Republic of Congo	Nkayi District	2017	32.7%	43.7%	23.2%
Republic of Congo	Okoyo	2000	14.0%	19.2%	10.1%
Republic of Congo	Okoyo	2017	12.7%	17.2%	9.1%
Republic of Congo	Ouesso	2000	16.6%	18.9%	14.2%
Republic of Congo	Ouesso	2017	15.3%	17.6%	13.1%
Republic of Congo	Owando	2000	17.3%	21.1%	13.9%
Republic of Congo	Owando	2017	15.9%	19.5%	12.7%
Republic of Congo	Pointe Noire	2000	72.1%	75.7%	68.4%
Republic of Congo	Pointe Noire	2017	71.1%	74.5%	67.5%
Republic of Congo	Sembé	2000	16.8%	21.2%	12.9%
Republic of Congo	Sembé	2017	15.5%	19.9%	11.6%
Republic of Congo	Sibiti	2000	23.1%	28.4%	17.8%
Republic of Congo	Sibiti	2017	20.8%	25.8%	16.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Souanké	2000	14.2%	17.5%	11.7%
Republic of Congo	Souanké	2017	13.1%	16.1%	10.7%
Republic of Congo	Zanaga	2000	17.8%	22.9%	13.3%
Republic of Congo	Zanaga	2017	16.4%	21.3%	12.2%
Rwanda	Bugesera	2000	37.2%	41.7%	32.5%
Rwanda	Bugesera	2017	36.0%	40.4%	31.5%
Rwanda	Burera	2000	33.9%	37.9%	31.8%
Rwanda	Burera	2017	35.2%	38.9%	33.2%
Rwanda	Gakenke	2000	25.4%	26.5%	24.3%
Rwanda	Gakenke	2017	23.1%	24.1%	22.0%
Rwanda	Gasabo	2000	71.2%	72.1%	70.3%
Rwanda	Gasabo	2017	68.3%	69.3%	67.5%
Rwanda	Gatsibo	2000	28.2%	29.9%	26.4%
Rwanda	Gatsibo	2017	27.5%	30.0%	25.1%
Rwanda	Gicumbi	2000	23.5%	25.2%	21.4%
Rwanda	Gicumbi	2017	23.6%	25.0%	21.9%
Rwanda	Gisagara	2000	24.7%	27.6%	22.2%
Rwanda	Gisagara	2017	24.6%	28.2%	21.7%
Rwanda	Huye	2000	26.8%	28.2%	25.4%
Rwanda	Huye	2017	24.9%	26.3%	23.4%
Rwanda	Kamonyi	2000	24.5%	25.7%	23.2%
Rwanda	Kamonyi	2017	22.6%	23.8%	21.4%
Rwanda	Karongi	2000	27.3%	30.1%	25.2%
Rwanda	Karongi	2017	25.9%	28.4%	24.0%
Rwanda	Kayonza	2000	40.6%	43.3%	38.0%
Rwanda	Kayonza	2017	38.6%	42.2%	35.1%
Rwanda	Kicukiro	2000	83.9%	84.7%	83.1%
Rwanda	Kicukiro	2017	80.8%	81.8%	79.9%
Rwanda	Kirehe	2000	36.7%	41.4%	32.9%
Rwanda	Kirehe	2017	37.0%	41.8%	33.0%
Rwanda	Muhanga	2000	21.1%	26.3%	17.4%
Rwanda	Muhanga	2017	18.6%	23.0%	15.6%
Rwanda	Musanze	2000	45.1%	48.1%	43.0%
Rwanda	Musanze	2017	45.5%	48.7%	43.3%
Rwanda	Ngoma	2000	27.9%	31.3%	25.2%
Rwanda	Ngoma	2017	26.2%	29.3%	23.7%
Rwanda	Ngororero	2000	14.0%	14.8%	13.2%
Rwanda	Ngororero	2017	13.8%	14.6%	13.1%
Rwanda	Nyabihu	2000	35.1%	36.2%	34.0%
Rwanda	Nyabihu	2017	34.4%	35.4%	33.3%
Rwanda	Nyagatare	2000	34.0%	37.2%	30.7%
Rwanda	Nyagatare	2017	33.0%	38.9%	28.2%
Rwanda	Nyamagabe	2000	20.3%	24.0%	17.3%
Rwanda	Nyamagabe	2017	21.0%	24.9%	17.9%
Rwanda	Nyamasheke	2000	26.0%	30.4%	22.4%
Rwanda	Nyamasheke	2017	25.3%	29.7%	21.5%
Rwanda	Nyanza	2000	19.5%	21.0%	18.0%
Rwanda	Nyanza	2017	18.0%	19.4%	16.5%
Rwanda	Nyarugenge	2000	77.9%	78.6%	77.1%
Rwanda	Nyarugenge	2017	72.2%	73.0%	71.2%
Rwanda	Nyaruguru	2000	20.3%	25.3%	16.4%
Rwanda	Nyaruguru	2017	18.5%	22.7%	15.4%
Rwanda	Rubavu	2000	60.3%	62.3%	57.9%
Rwanda	Rubavu	2017	56.9%	59.0%	54.3%
Rwanda	Ruhango	2000	9.7%	10.7%	8.9%
Rwanda	Ruhango	2017	9.6%	10.5%	8.8%
Rwanda	Rulindo	2000	26.1%	27.3%	25.0%
Rwanda	Rulindo	2017	26.3%	27.6%	25.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Rwanda	Rusizi	2000	37.4%	40.1%	34.8%
Rwanda	Rusizi	2017	34.5%	37.2%	32.1%
Rwanda	Rutsiro	2000	26.1%	28.1%	24.4%
Rwanda	Rutsiro	2017	24.3%	26.4%	22.6%
Rwanda	Rwamagana	2000	47.8%	51.3%	43.8%
Rwanda	Rwamagana	2017	46.1%	48.9%	43.1%
Senegal	Bakel	2000	31.2%	41.3%	21.0%
Senegal	Bakel	2017	40.0%	48.8%	30.6%
Senegal	Bambey	2000	53.0%	55.8%	48.3%
Senegal	Bambey	2017	68.6%	70.8%	66.3%
Senegal	Bignona	2000	14.3%	18.6%	11.2%
Senegal	Bignona	2017	19.1%	24.2%	15.7%
Senegal	Birkilane	2000	67.5%	69.4%	65.3%
Senegal	Birkilane	2017	83.2%	84.6%	81.8%
Senegal	Boukiling	2000	2.2%	6.1%	0.6%
Senegal	Boukiling	2017	5.1%	11.2%	2.1%
Senegal	Dagana	2000	49.8%	58.4%	42.3%
Senegal	Dagana	2017	57.3%	65.0%	49.3%
Senegal	Dakar	2000	67.2%	70.7%	63.7%
Senegal	Dakar	2017	86.8%	89.0%	83.8%
Senegal	Diourbel	2000	25.7%	33.6%	17.9%
Senegal	Diourbel	2017	51.2%	60.6%	40.7%
Senegal	Fatick	2000	23.5%	27.4%	20.4%
Senegal	Fatick	2017	36.2%	39.4%	33.2%
Senegal	Foundiougne	2000	23.6%	42.4%	11.2%
Senegal	Foundiougne	2017	35.0%	53.2%	19.2%
Senegal	Gossas	2000	44.7%	50.8%	38.8%
Senegal	Gossas	2017	64.0%	69.1%	58.1%
Senegal	Goudiry	2000	18.1%	29.2%	8.3%
Senegal	Goudiry	2017	27.9%	40.3%	16.5%
Senegal	Goudomp	2000	1.3%	2.9%	0.7%
Senegal	Goudomp	2017	3.8%	6.4%	2.4%
Senegal	Guédiawaye	2000	77.7%	81.0%	73.5%
Senegal	Guédiawaye	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.0%
Senegal	Guinguinéo	2000	59.7%	61.6%	57.8%
Senegal	Guinguinéo	2017	70.1%	72.3%	68.2%
Senegal	Kaffrine	2000	66.8%	69.1%	64.0%
Senegal	Kaffrine	2017	68.8%	71.4%	66.8%
Senegal	Kanel	2000	36.1%	42.1%	30.2%
Senegal	Kanel	2017	50.5%	55.9%	43.8%
Senegal	Kaolack	2000	30.6%	33.3%	27.7%
Senegal	Kaolack	2017	46.6%	48.9%	44.2%
Senegal	Kébémér	2000	48.5%	55.3%	41.9%
Senegal	Kébémér	2017	67.6%	73.7%	60.2%
Senegal	Kédougou	2000	20.0%	28.7%	12.1%
Senegal	Kédougou	2017	26.2%	32.7%	19.2%
Senegal	Kolda	2000	2.8%	5.1%	1.1%
Senegal	Kolda	2017	6.6%	9.7%	3.8%
Senegal	Koungheul	2000	36.7%	42.7%	30.8%
Senegal	Koungheul	2017	54.4%	61.5%	47.5%
Senegal	Koupentoum	2000	20.0%	24.0%	16.3%
Senegal	Koupentoum	2017	29.6%	34.8%	25.2%
Senegal	Linguère	2000	45.0%	53.2%	35.8%
Senegal	Linguère	2017	57.7%	66.2%	48.8%
Senegal	Louga	2000	52.0%	59.4%	45.0%
Senegal	Louga	2017	69.1%	75.3%	63.0%
Senegal	Malème	2000	69.4%	78.8%	60.2%
Senegal	Hodar	2017	72.7%	84.6%	64.3%
Senegal	Matam	2000	34.0%	39.6%	29.0%
Senegal	Matam	2017	53.7%	58.3%	49.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Senegal	Mbacké	2000	36.2%	43.7%	29.6%
Senegal	Mbacké	2017	59.0%	66.9%	52.0%
Senegal	Mbour	2000	42.1%	49.0%	35.7%
Senegal	Mbour	2017	62.9%	67.7%	56.9%
Senegal	Médina Yoro Foula	2000	2.0%	6.6%	0.3%
Senegal	Médina Yoro Foula	2017	4.3%	10.5%	1.1%
Senegal	Nioro du Rip	2000	38.9%	42.3%	34.6%
Senegal	Nioro du Rip	2017	53.6%	56.3%	50.1%
Senegal	Oussouye	2000	13.6%	16.5%	11.0%
Senegal	Oussouye	2017	16.3%	22.3%	13.9%
Senegal	Pikine	2000	85.1%	86.8%	83.2%
Senegal	Pikine	2017	95.9%	96.4%	95.3%
Senegal	Podor	2000	33.0%	36.8%	28.8%
Senegal	Podor	2017	46.8%	51.4%	42.4%
Senegal	Ranérou Ferlo	2000	20.7%	30.1%	11.8%
Senegal	Ranérou Ferlo	2017	32.3%	43.0%	22.2%
Senegal	Rufisque	2000	54.0%	56.5%	51.4%
Senegal	Rufisque	2017	79.0%	80.6%	77.5%
Senegal	Saint-Louis	2000	66.6%	69.7%	63.6%
Senegal	Saint-Louis	2017	83.3%	85.9%	79.1%
Senegal	Salémata	2000	3.9%	8.4%	0.6%
Senegal	Salémata	2017	6.1%	10.4%	2.0%
Senegal	Saraya	2000	10.6%	17.3%	5.7%
Senegal	Saraya	2017	17.2%	25.2%	10.1%
Senegal	Sédhiou	2000	1.3%	2.6%	0.7%
Senegal	Sédhiou	2017	3.5%	5.2%	2.2%
Senegal	Tambacounda	2000	8.7%	14.2%	3.6%
Senegal	Tambacounda	2017	14.9%	21.3%	8.6%
Senegal	Thiès	2000	58.1%	61.7%	53.4%
Senegal	Thiès	2017	69.0%	72.6%	65.2%
Senegal	Tivaouane	2000	64.5%	68.7%	59.6%
Senegal	Tivaouane	2017	73.5%	78.1%	69.6%
Senegal	Vélingara	2000	4.8%	12.1%	0.8%
Senegal	Vélingara	2017	8.2%	15.6%	2.6%
Senegal	Ziguinchor	2000	2.6%	3.5%	2.1%
Senegal	Ziguinchor	2017	5.3%	7.4%	4.4%
Sierra Leone	Bo	2000	27.4%	32.6%	22.1%
Sierra Leone	Bo	2017	9.5%	13.0%	6.6%
Sierra Leone	Bombali	2000	14.7%	19.7%	10.3%
Sierra Leone	Bombali	2017	3.8%	6.6%	1.9%
Sierra Leone	Bonthe	2000	13.1%	19.1%	6.3%
Sierra Leone	Bonthe	2017	4.5%	8.6%	1.6%
Sierra Leone	Kailahun	2000	16.3%	22.8%	11.3%
Sierra Leone	Kailahun	2017	5.2%	9.0%	2.7%
Sierra Leone	Kambia	2000	14.2%	19.8%	9.5%
Sierra Leone	Kambia	2017	5.4%	9.0%	2.2%
Sierra Leone	Kenema	2000	40.0%	43.9%	35.9%
Sierra Leone	Kenema	2017	11.9%	14.6%	9.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sierra Leone	Koinadugu	2000	18.8%	24.4%	14.1%
Sierra Leone	Koinadugu	2017	6.2%	9.2%	3.6%
Sierra Leone	Kono	2000	22.8%	27.5%	17.7%
Sierra Leone	Kono	2017	9.6%	12.5%	6.6%
Sierra Leone	Moyamba	2000	14.9%	20.9%	9.5%
Sierra Leone	Moyamba	2017	5.2%	8.6%	2.3%
Sierra Leone	Port Loko	2000	12.2%	17.0%	7.8%
Sierra Leone	Port Loko	2017	3.8%	6.3%	1.8%
Sierra Leone	Pujehun	2000	13.6%	21.3%	8.1%
Sierra Leone	Pujehun	2017	4.8%	9.3%	1.9%
Sierra Leone	Tonkolili	2000	26.0%	30.8%	21.0%
Sierra Leone	Tonkolili	2017	13.4%	16.9%	10.4%
Sierra Leone	Western Rural	2000	53.8%	54.9%	52.7%
Sierra Leone	Western Rural	2017	28.5%	30.3%	26.6%
Sierra Leone	Western Urban	2000	93.2%	94.0%	92.3%
Sierra Leone	Western Urban	2017	40.3%	43.7%	37.3%
Somalia	Aadan	2000	16.2%	36.6%	3.6%
Somalia	Aadan	2017	31.8%	58.1%	12.4%
Somalia	Afgooye	2000	38.1%	55.0%	22.7%
Somalia	Afgooye	2017	55.4%	75.3%	39.8%
Somalia	Afmadow	2000	15.1%	21.9%	7.7%
Somalia	Afmadow	2017	29.8%	39.7%	19.0%
Somalia	Baar-Dheere	2000	10.0%	18.9%	3.7%
Somalia	Baar-Dheere	2017	22.5%	36.0%	12.6%
Somalia	Badhaadhe	2000	17.0%	31.6%	4.7%
Somalia	Badhaadhe	2017	32.5%	49.7%	16.6%
Somalia	Badhan	2000	13.4%	21.1%	5.9%
Somalia	Badhan	2017	27.3%	37.7%	15.0%
Somalia	Baki	2000	18.6%	40.1%	4.2%
Somalia	Baki	2017	35.3%	60.4%	15.9%
Somalia	Balcad	2000	19.0%	38.7%	3.8%
Somalia	Balcad	2017	36.0%	59.9%	14.0%
Somalia	Bander-Beyla	2000	12.6%	21.9%	5.0%
Somalia	Bander-Beyla	2017	26.5%	40.2%	14.9%
Somalia	Baraawe	2000	16.8%	36.8%	3.1%
Somalia	Baraawe	2017	35.9%	63.3%	14.0%
Somalia	Baydhabo	2000	15.2%	28.0%	6.6%
Somalia	Baydhabo	2017	30.9%	47.2%	16.9%
Somalia	Beled Weyn	2000	12.1%	20.1%	6.2%
Somalia	Beled Weyn	2017	26.2%	37.0%	16.0%
Somalia	Beled Xaawo	2000	10.5%	23.9%	1.8%
Somalia	Beled Xaawo	2017	23.6%	46.7%	5.7%
Somalia	Berbera	2000	14.9%	26.2%	6.6%
Somalia	Berbera	2017	31.5%	45.7%	19.5%
Somalia	Boorama	2000	19.5%	42.6%	4.3%
Somalia	Boorama	2017	40.9%	68.4%	17.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Somalia	Bosaaso	2000	14.9%	27.0%	5.3%
Somalia	Bosaaso	2017	31.3%	47.1%	15.5%
Somalia	Bu'aale	2000	20.1%	41.5%	4.9%
Somalia	Bu'aale	2017	36.5%	60.6%	13.2%
Somalia	Burao	2000	16.0%	25.6%	8.8%
Somalia	Burao	2017	33.5%	45.5%	22.0%
Somalia	Burtinle	2000	13.1%	23.3%	4.8%
Somalia	Burtinle	2017	27.0%	40.2%	14.7%
Somalia	Buuhoodle	2000	12.4%	25.3%	3.0%
Somalia	Buuhoodle	2017	25.8%	42.5%	11.2%
Somalia	Buulo Burdo	2000	13.2%	22.1%	6.7%
Somalia	Buulo Burdo	2017	28.5%	38.6%	18.9%
Somalia	Buur Xakaba	2000	10.7%	17.8%	5.2%
Somalia	Buur Xakaba	2017	24.0%	34.8%	15.6%
Somalia	Caabudwaaq	2000	14.3%	26.9%	5.1%
Somalia	Caabudwaaq	2017	30.1%	46.5%	15.2%
Somalia	Cadaado	2000	15.2%	28.9%	4.6%
Somalia	Cadaado	2017	31.1%	48.4%	15.1%
Somalia	Cadale	2000	16.4%	32.5%	4.3%
Somalia	Cadale	2017	31.9%	52.7%	13.9%
Somalia	Calawla	2000	10.4%	23.2%	2.5%
Somalia	Calawla	2017	23.6%	42.0%	9.0%
Somalia	Caynabo	2000	13.8%	24.8%	4.7%
Somalia	Caynabo	2017	27.7%	41.3%	14.4%
Somalia	Ceel Barde	2000	11.4%	21.4%	3.3%
Somalia	Ceel Barde	2017	24.7%	39.2%	11.7%
Somalia	Ceel Buur	2000	15.4%	26.5%	6.6%
Somalia	Ceel Buur	2017	31.4%	45.7%	17.0%
Somalia	Ceel Dheer	2000	18.4%	32.8%	7.9%
Somalia	Ceel Dheer	2017	34.6%	53.2%	19.9%
Somalia	Ceel Waaq	2000	11.5%	22.0%	3.7%
Somalia	Ceel Waaq	2017	24.3%	42.1%	10.4%
Somalia	Ceel-Afwein	2000	15.2%	26.8%	6.9%
Somalia	Ceel-Afwein	2017	30.7%	46.1%	18.4%
Somalia	Ceerigaabo	2000	16.1%	24.5%	9.9%
Somalia	Ceerigaabo	2017	32.1%	42.0%	22.4%
Somalia	Dhuusamareeb	2000	14.5%	25.4%	6.9%
Somalia	Dhuusamareeb	2017	29.5%	44.6%	17.7%
Somalia	Diinsoor	2000	14.6%	26.3%	6.7%
Somalia	Diinsoor	2017	30.2%	44.9%	18.4%
Somalia	Dolow	2000	13.5%	41.9%	0.1%
Somalia	Dolow	2017	28.0%	76.6%	1.6%
Somalia	Eyl	2000	8.4%	16.9%	2.2%
Somalia	Eyl	2017	19.1%	32.4%	8.8%
Somalia	Gaalkacayo	2000	21.3%	30.7%	12.2%
Somalia	Gaalkacayo	2017	40.6%	54.0%	27.5%
Somalia	Gabiley	2000	18.8%	36.6%	5.3%
Somalia	Gabiley	2017	40.6%	62.3%	20.5%
Somalia	Garbahaaray	2000	10.5%	21.2%	3.0%
Somalia	Garbahaaray	2017	23.1%	38.3%	10.2%
Somalia	Garoowe	2000	17.1%	29.3%	8.4%
Somalia	Garoowe	2017	31.6%	49.7%	17.7%
Somalia	Goldogob	2000	17.2%	36.2%	2.5%
Somalia	Goldogob	2017	37.7%	63.5%	15.6%
Somalia	Hargeysa	2000	37.8%	47.6%	30.9%
Somalia	Hargeysa	2017	52.7%	63.6%	42.7%
Somalia	Hobyo	2000	17.0%	24.1%	9.6%
Somalia	Hobyo	2017	33.3%	43.1%	22.2%
Somalia	Iskushuban	2000	13.6%	22.0%	6.5%
Somalia	Iskushuban	2017	29.0%	40.5%	18.1%
Somalia	Jalalaqsi	2000	16.6%	31.0%	5.5%
Somalia	Jalalaqsi	2017	32.9%	53.7%	16.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Somalia	Jamaame	2000	10.7%	29.9%	0.5%
Somalia	Jamaame	2017	23.2%	51.0%	4.9%
Somalia	Jariiban	2000	18.1%	29.8%	9.3%
Somalia	Jariiban	2017	36.1%	49.7%	23.7%
Somalia	Jawhar	2000	15.3%	37.2%	3.6%
Somalia	Jawhar	2017	32.4%	58.4%	12.1%
Somalia	Jilib	2000	21.2%	42.9%	6.4%
Somalia	Jilib	2017	37.7%	63.0%	16.7%
Somalia	Kismaayo	2000	12.7%	25.8%	2.9%
Somalia	Kismaayo	2017	26.3%	45.9%	11.2%
Somalia	Kuntuwaaray	2000	12.2%	31.8%	1.4%
Somalia	Kuntuwaaray	2017	26.4%	50.7%	7.7%
Somalia	Lascaanod	2000	11.7%	20.4%	4.4%
Somalia	Lascaanod	2017	25.3%	37.7%	13.6%
Somalia	Lughaya	2000	18.5%	42.1%	2.9%
Somalia	Lughaya	2017	35.7%	65.7%	10.8%
Somalia	Luuk	2000	11.8%	27.7%	3.4%
Somalia	Luuk	2017	25.8%	45.8%	12.2%
Somalia	Marka	2000	12.5%	36.6%	0.3%
Somalia	Marka	2017	32.3%	63.2%	6.3%
Somalia	Mogadisho	2000	41.8%	66.7%	21.3%
Somalia	Mogadisho	2017	65.1%	93.8%	38.6%
Somalia	Oodweyne	2000	16.6%	29.6%	6.9%
Somalia	Oodweyne	2017	32.8%	51.1%	18.1%
Somalia	Qandala	2000	14.3%	28.3%	4.6%
Somalia	Qandala	2017	30.0%	48.2%	13.8%
Somalia	Qansax Dheere	2000	12.1%	24.9%	2.3%
Somalia	Qansax Dheere	2017	26.8%	45.6%	10.7%
Somalia	Qardho	2000	18.0%	28.4%	10.5%
Somalia	Qardho	2017	35.2%	47.7%	23.6%
Somalia	Qoryooley	2000	14.1%	32.7%	2.9%
Somalia	Qoryooley	2017	31.0%	54.7%	9.6%
Somalia	Rab Dhuure	2000	8.4%	21.2%	0.6%
Somalia	Rab Dhuure	2017	19.1%	37.5%	4.6%
Somalia	Saakow	2000	16.9%	35.6%	4.7%
Somalia	Saakow	2017	32.5%	56.3%	13.2%
Somalia	Sablale	2000	16.2%	30.4%	6.3%
Somalia	Sablale	2017	33.7%	49.3%	18.0%
Somalia	Sheekh	2000	15.5%	36.7%	1.9%
Somalia	Sheekh	2017	31.5%	57.0%	8.4%
Somalia	Taleex	2000	12.1%	22.3%	4.7%
Somalia	Taleex	2017	25.2%	41.4%	13.7%
Somalia	Tiyeeglow	2000	15.7%	29.9%	4.9%
Somalia	Tiyeeglow	2017	31.2%	48.4%	14.8%
Somalia	Wajid	2000	8.8%	24.9%	0.7%
Somalia	Wajid	2017	19.8%	38.7%	5.5%
Somalia	Wanla Weyn	2000	14.9%	31.5%	4.6%
Somalia	Wanla Weyn	2017	31.4%	54.6%	15.2%
Somalia	Xarardheere	2000	19.8%	37.5%	6.3%
Somalia	Xarardheere	2017	40.3%	60.9%	21.5%
Somalia	Xudun	2000	12.3%	22.3%	4.8%
Somalia	Xudun	2017	25.9%	41.6%	13.0%
Somalia	Xudur	2000	11.2%	24.6%	2.6%
Somalia	Xudur	2017	24.0%	42.2%	10.1%
Somalia	Zeylac	2000	14.3%	31.1%	4.0%
Somalia	Zeylac	2017	29.2%	51.1%	12.8%
South Africa	Alfred Nzo	2000	54.5%	55.4%	53.6%
South Africa	Alfred Nzo	2017	64.6%	65.4%	63.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Amajuba	2000	67.0%	69.4%	64.8%
South Africa	Amajuba	2017	75.8%	77.7%	74.0%
South Africa	Amathole	2000	54.3%	55.2%	53.3%
South Africa	Amathole	2017	64.4%	65.3%	63.3%
South Africa	Bojanala	2000	83.9%	84.5%	83.3%
South Africa	Bojanala	2017	89.0%	89.4%	88.5%
South Africa	Buffalo City	2000	55.5%	57.2%	54.0%
South Africa	Buffalo City	2017	65.5%	67.2%	64.1%
South Africa	Cacadu	2000	60.8%	63.1%	58.4%
South Africa	Cacadu	2017	70.4%	72.3%	68.1%
South Africa	Cape Winelands	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
South Africa	Cape Winelands	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.2%
South Africa	Capricorn	2000	70.8%	71.7%	69.7%
South Africa	Capricorn	2017	78.7%	79.7%	77.9%
South Africa	Central Karoo	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.0%
South Africa	Central Karoo	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
South Africa	Chris Hani	2000	55.2%	56.2%	54.2%
South Africa	Chris Hani	2017	65.4%	66.4%	64.5%
South Africa	City of Cape Town	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.4%
South Africa	City of Cape Town	2017	99.1%	99.2%	99.0%
South Africa	City of Johannesburg	2000	95.9%	96.2%	95.7%
South Africa	City of Johannesburg	2017	97.3%	97.5%	97.1%
South Africa	City of Tshwane	2000	96.0%	96.5%	95.5%
South Africa	City of Tshwane	2017	97.4%	97.7%	97.0%
South Africa	Dr Kenneth Kaunda	2000	83.0%	83.8%	82.1%
South Africa	Dr Kenneth Kaunda	2017	88.1%	88.7%	87.5%
South Africa	Dr Ruth Segomotsi Mompati	2000	83.7%	84.6%	82.6%
South Africa	Dr Ruth Segomotsi Mompati	2017	88.6%	89.4%	87.8%
South Africa	Eden	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
South Africa	Eden	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Ehlanzeni	2000	77.3%	78.1%	76.4%
South Africa	Ehlanzeni	2017	84.2%	84.9%	83.5%
South Africa	Ekurhuleni	2000	96.6%	97.0%	96.1%
South Africa	Ekurhuleni	2017	97.8%	98.0%	97.5%
South Africa	eThekweni	2000	66.0%	67.0%	65.0%
South Africa	eThekweni	2017	74.8%	75.7%	73.9%
South Africa	Fezile Dabi	2000	94.3%	94.8%	93.7%
South Africa	Fezile Dabi	2017	96.2%	96.5%	95.8%
South Africa	Frances Baard	2000	92.9%	93.3%	92.4%
South Africa	Frances Baard	2017	95.3%	95.6%	95.0%
South Africa	Gert Sibande	2000	81.4%	82.4%	80.5%
South Africa	Gert Sibande	2017	87.0%	87.8%	86.3%
South Africa	iLembe	2000	66.8%	68.1%	65.1%
South Africa	iLembe	2017	75.0%	76.3%	73.5%
South Africa	Joe Gqabi	2000	57.1%	59.5%	54.7%
South Africa	Joe Gqabi	2017	66.9%	68.9%	64.7%
South Africa	John Taolo Gaetsewe	2000	90.0%	90.9%	89.1%
South Africa	John Taolo Gaetsewe	2017	94.0%	94.4%	93.5%
South Africa	Lejweleputswa	2000	94.8%	95.2%	94.4%
South Africa	Lejweleputswa	2017	96.5%	96.8%	96.2%
South Africa	Mangaung	2000	94.8%	95.2%	94.3%
South Africa	Mangaung	2017	96.5%	96.8%	96.2%
South Africa	Mopani	2000	70.7%	71.6%	69.8%
South Africa	Mopani	2017	78.6%	79.4%	78.0%
South Africa	Namakwa	2000	93.5%	94.3%	92.6%
South Africa	Namakwa	2017	95.6%	96.2%	95.0%
South Africa	Nelson Mandela Bay	2000	52.4%	53.7%	51.3%
South Africa	Nelson Mandela Bay	2017	62.7%	63.8%	61.6%
South Africa	Ngaka Modiri Molema	2000	83.2%	84.0%	82.5%
South Africa	Ngaka Modiri Molema	2017	88.3%	88.9%	87.8%
South Africa	Nkangala	2000	82.5%	83.3%	81.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Nkangala	2017	87.8%	88.3%	87.2%
South Africa	O.R.Tambo	2000	53.8%	54.5%	52.8%
South Africa	O.R.Tambo	2017	64.1%	64.8%	63.2%
South Africa	Overberg	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
South Africa	Overberg	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
South Africa	Pixley ka Seme	2000	93.2%	93.9%	92.4%
South Africa	Pixley ka Seme	2017	95.4%	95.9%	94.9%
South Africa	Sedibeng	2000	96.4%	96.8%	95.8%
South Africa	Sedibeng	2017	97.6%	97.9%	97.2%
South Africa	Sekhukhune	2000	75.0%	75.9%	74.0%
South Africa	Sekhukhune	2017	82.3%	83.0%	81.6%
South Africa	Sisonke	2000	63.3%	64.6%	62.0%
South Africa	Sisonke	2017	72.2%	73.3%	71.2%
South Africa	Siyanda	2000	93.3%	93.9%	92.6%
South Africa	Siyanda	2017	95.5%	95.9%	95.1%
South Africa	Thabo Mofutsanyane	2000	92.0%	92.5%	91.5%
South Africa	Thabo Mofutsanyane	2017	94.6%	94.9%	94.3%
South Africa	Ugu	2000	66.9%	68.6%	65.3%
South Africa	Ugu	2017	75.5%	77.0%	74.0%
South Africa	Umgungundlovu	2000	68.0%	69.3%	66.3%
South Africa	Umgungundlovu	2017	76.3%	77.4%	74.9%
South Africa	Umkhanyakude	2000	68.0%	70.3%	65.7%
South Africa	Umkhanyakude	2017	76.4%	78.2%	74.6%
South Africa	Umzinyathi	2000	67.5%	68.9%	66.0%
South Africa	Umzinyathi	2017	76.1%	77.4%	74.8%
South Africa	Uthukela	2000	68.1%	69.3%	66.7%
South Africa	Uthukela	2017	76.3%	77.4%	75.2%
South Africa	Uthungulu	2000	67.2%	68.9%	65.1%
South Africa	Uthungulu	2017	75.8%	77.3%	74.1%
South Africa	Vhembe	2000	70.2%	71.2%	69.4%
South Africa	Vhembe	2017	78.4%	79.2%	77.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Waterberg	2000	71.2%	72.4%	70.2%
South Africa	Waterberg	2017	79.1%	80.0%	78.3%
South Africa	West Coast	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
South Africa	West Coast	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
South Africa	West Rand	2000	95.3%	95.8%	94.6%
South Africa	West Rand	2017	96.9%	97.3%	96.5%
South Africa	Xhariep	2000	92.1%	93.0%	91.1%
South Africa	Xhariep	2017	93.8%	94.4%	93.0%
South Africa	Zululand	2000	69.2%	70.4%	67.8%
South Africa	Zululand	2017	77.6%	78.7%	76.5%
South Sudan	Akobo	2000	24.0%	28.5%	19.7%
South Sudan	Akobo	2017	1.8%	2.3%	1.3%
South Sudan	Al Leiri	2000	19.5%	25.2%	14.6%
South Sudan	Al Leiri	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.8%
South Sudan	Al Mabien	2000	23.2%	30.3%	16.9%
South Sudan	Al Mabien	2017	1.7%	2.6%	0.9%
South Sudan	Al Mayom	2000	20.8%	30.0%	14.5%
South Sudan	Al Mayom	2017	1.4%	2.6%	0.8%
South Sudan	Al Renk	2000	30.3%	37.9%	23.7%
South Sudan	Al Renk	2017	2.7%	3.9%	1.7%
South Sudan	Aliab	2000	19.3%	27.2%	13.0%
South Sudan	Aliab	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.7%
South Sudan	Amatonge	2000	19.4%	24.5%	15.0%
South Sudan	Amatonge	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
South Sudan	Aryat	2000	15.6%	28.1%	7.2%
South Sudan	Aryat	2017	0.9%	2.1%	0.3%
South Sudan	Aweil	2000	15.0%	19.7%	10.7%
South Sudan	Aweil	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
South Sudan	Ayod	2000	20.7%	25.3%	16.1%
South Sudan	Ayod	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
South Sudan	Bahr al Jabal	2000	23.7%	29.0%	18.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Bahr al Jabal	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.4%
Sudan	Baleit	2000	26.5%	33.7%	20.6%
Sudan	Baleit	2017	2.0%	3.0%	1.3%
Sudan	Bor	2000	28.0%	35.9%	20.1%
Sudan	Bor	2017	2.4%	3.5%	1.4%
Sudan	Fam al Zaraf	2000	26.4%	34.6%	19.3%
Sudan	Fam al Zaraf	2017	2.2%	3.4%	1.3%
Sudan	Faring	2000	20.8%	31.6%	13.4%
Sudan	Faring	2017	1.5%	3.1%	0.7%
Sudan	Fashooda	2000	28.0%	35.0%	21.1%
Sudan	Fashooda	2017	2.2%	3.3%	1.3%
Sudan	Gogrial	2000	17.9%	23.4%	13.2%
Sudan	Gogrial	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Sudan	Kajo Kaii	2000	20.1%	29.8%	12.4%
Sudan	Kajo Kaii	2017	1.5%	2.9%	0.8%
Sudan	Kapoeta	2000	19.4%	25.0%	14.8%
Sudan	Kapoeta	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Sudan	Magwi	2000	19.5%	26.1%	13.5%
Sudan	Magwi	2017	1.2%	2.0%	0.6%
Sudan	Malek	2000	14.0%	22.3%	7.5%
Sudan	Malek	2017	0.8%	1.5%	0.3%
Sudan	Malut	2000	27.6%	34.1%	22.2%
Sudan	Malut	2017	2.0%	3.1%	1.4%
Sudan	Mayot	2000	32.4%	40.9%	26.0%
Sudan	Mayot	2017	2.8%	4.2%	1.9%
Sudan	Meridi	2000	17.0%	21.9%	12.5%
Sudan	Meridi	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Sudan	Mundri	2000	17.2%	21.9%	13.7%
Sudan	Mundri	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Sudan	Nahr Atiem	2000	21.6%	27.8%	16.7%
Sudan	Nahr Atiem	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	South Nahr Lol	2000	15.5%	22.2%	10.4%
Sudan	South Nahr Lol	2017	0.9%	1.7%	0.5%
Sudan	South Nahr Yei	2000	24.5%	30.5%	18.6%
Sudan	South Nahr Yei	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.2%
Sudan	South Pibor	2000	24.3%	29.3%	19.7%
Sudan	South Pibor	2017	1.8%	2.3%	1.4%
Sudan	South Rabkona	2000	20.4%	26.4%	14.8%
Sudan	South Rabkona	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.9%
Sudan	South Raja	2000	18.6%	21.9%	15.4%
Sudan	South Raja	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Sudan	South Rumbek	2000	16.1%	22.2%	12.0%
Sudan	South Rumbek	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Sudan	South Shobet	2000	15.2%	20.7%	10.3%
Sudan	South Shobet	2017	0.9%	1.6%	0.5%
Sudan	South Shokodom	2000	18.3%	25.8%	11.8%
Sudan	South Shokodom	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Sudan	South Sobat	2000	35.5%	41.8%	29.4%
Sudan	South Sobat	2017	3.3%	4.6%	2.3%
Sudan	South Terkaka	2000	25.9%	32.2%	19.9%
Sudan	South Terkaka	2017	2.0%	2.9%	1.4%
Sudan	South Tombura	2000	17.6%	22.9%	13.1%
Sudan	South Tombura	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Sudan	South Tonga	2000	36.2%	42.2%	30.7%
Sudan	South Tonga	2017	3.5%	4.4%	2.7%
Sudan	South Tonj	2000	17.2%	22.8%	12.4%
Sudan	South Tonj	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Sudan	South Wanjuk	2000	13.1%	18.6%	8.5%
Sudan	South Wanjuk	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Sudan	South Warab	2000	18.9%	24.3%	14.3%
Sudan	South Warab	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Sudan	South Wat	2000	20.2%	26.1%	15.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Sudan	Wat	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
South Sudan	Wau	2000	20.8%	25.0%	17.0%
South Sudan	Wau	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
South Sudan	Yambio	2000	17.2%	22.7%	12.5%
South Sudan	Yambio	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
South Sudan	Yerol	2000	17.1%	23.2%	11.7%
South Sudan	Yerol	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Swaziland	Dvokodvweni	2000	37.7%	54.2%	22.5%
Swaziland	Dvokodvweni	2017	53.2%	68.2%	35.7%
Swaziland	Ekukhanyeni	2000	30.0%	40.9%	24.5%
Swaziland	Ekukhanyeni	2017	44.7%	56.0%	36.0%
Swaziland	Gege	2000	29.2%	44.4%	17.2%
Swaziland	Gege	2017	44.9%	60.3%	31.0%
Swaziland	Hhukwini	2000	33.3%	40.8%	27.7%
Swaziland	Hhukwini	2017	44.3%	53.5%	37.1%
Swaziland	Hlane	2000	66.3%	87.6%	39.2%
Swaziland	Hlane	2017	77.7%	94.8%	47.6%
Swaziland	Hosea	2000	9.1%	16.8%	4.3%
Swaziland	Hosea	2017	16.3%	25.7%	9.4%
Swaziland	Kubuta	2000	24.5%	61.2%	5.2%
Swaziland	Kubuta	2017	36.9%	72.2%	10.5%
Swaziland	Kwaluseni	2000	45.5%	49.8%	41.1%
Swaziland	Kwaluseni	2017	68.8%	72.8%	64.4%
Swaziland	Lamgabhi	2000	56.2%	60.7%	51.4%
Swaziland	Lamgabhi	2017	73.5%	77.0%	70.0%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2000	81.7%	86.0%	75.5%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2017	92.0%	94.3%	88.0%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2000	73.5%	77.5%	68.2%
Swaziland	Lomdzala				
Swaziland	Lobamba	2017	87.2%	89.8%	83.5%
Swaziland	Lomahasha	2000	28.9%	57.2%	10.3%
Swaziland	Lomahasha	2017	43.7%	76.3%	16.8%
Swaziland	Lubuli	2000	34.8%	56.5%	10.2%
Swaziland	Lubuli	2017	45.6%	66.2%	19.1%
Swaziland	Ludzeludze	2000	46.8%	50.6%	43.0%
Swaziland	Ludzeludze	2017	65.0%	68.6%	61.5%
Swaziland	Lugongolweni	2000	59.7%	80.7%	31.0%
Swaziland	Lugongolweni	2017	70.8%	88.2%	43.2%
Swaziland	Madlangempisi	2000	16.4%	38.7%	6.9%
Swaziland	Madlangempisi	2017	27.5%	54.7%	11.2%
Swaziland	Mafutseni	2000	8.7%	16.5%	3.7%
Swaziland	Mafutseni	2017	15.7%	24.1%	8.4%
Swaziland	Mahlangatja	2000	36.5%	56.0%	17.5%
Swaziland	Mahlangatja	2017	51.3%	68.1%	29.0%
Swaziland	Mangcongco	2000	47.3%	81.7%	9.7%
Swaziland	Mangcongco	2017	58.4%	87.2%	18.9%
Swaziland	Manzini	2000	52.3%	55.1%	49.6%
Swaziland	North				
Swaziland	Manzini	2017	72.4%	74.6%	70.5%
Swaziland	North				
Swaziland	Manzini	2000	50.4%	56.9%	43.9%
Swaziland	South				
Swaziland	Manzini	2017	72.9%	78.1%	67.0%
Swaziland	South				

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Swaziland	Maseyisini	2000	44.7%	53.8%	36.1%
Swaziland	Maseyisini	2017	62.3%	70.0%	52.5%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni North	2000	42.8%	60.2%	15.4%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni North	2017	52.4%	67.6%	24.8%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni South	2000	6.9%	23.2%	1.3%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni South	2017	12.0%	32.4%	3.1%
Swaziland	Mayiwane	2000	24.8%	32.7%	19.3%
Swaziland	Mayiwane	2017	39.6%	49.4%	32.2%
Swaziland	Mbabane East	2000	79.6%	81.5%	77.5%
Swaziland	Mbabane East	2017	91.2%	92.1%	90.2%
Swaziland	Mbabane West	2000	70.3%	73.7%	66.3%
Swaziland	Mbabane West	2017	85.1%	87.9%	81.9%
Swaziland	Mbangweni	2000	49.4%	56.2%	42.3%
Swaziland	Mbangweni	2017	65.5%	72.3%	57.9%
Swaziland	Mhlambanyatsi	2000	81.1%	88.7%	71.7%
Swaziland	Mhlambanyatsi	2017	89.8%	95.0%	82.5%
Swaziland	Mhlangatane	2000	44.7%	58.4%	27.4%
Swaziland	Mhlangatane	2017	57.4%	68.1%	40.4%
Swaziland	Mhlume	2000	72.7%	79.8%	63.5%
Swaziland	Mhlume	2017	83.5%	88.6%	76.0%
Swaziland	Mkhiweni	2000	60.6%	71.5%	44.7%
Swaziland	Mkhiweni	2017	73.0%	80.4%	60.7%
Swaziland	Motjane	2000	68.2%	77.2%	56.9%
Swaziland	Motjane	2017	84.8%	90.2%	76.9%
Swaziland	Mphalaleni	2000	30.2%	49.6%	14.6%
Swaziland	Mphalaleni	2017	45.0%	63.2%	25.5%
Swaziland	Mpholonjeni	2000	23.3%	35.4%	13.0%
Swaziland	Mpholonjeni	2017	34.2%	48.5%	21.1%
Swaziland	Mthongwaneni	2000	25.0%	33.9%	17.7%
Swaziland	Mthongwaneni	2017	38.8%	47.7%	29.9%
Swaziland	Mtsambama	2000	48.2%	54.1%	41.9%
Swaziland	Mtsambama	2017	66.5%	71.7%	60.6%
Swaziland	Ndzingeni	2000	22.8%	30.1%	17.4%
Swaziland	Ndzingeni	2017	38.9%	49.7%	31.0%
Swaziland	Ngudzeni	2000	25.8%	65.3%	5.4%
Swaziland	Ngudzeni	2017	40.7%	79.8%	11.4%
Swaziland	Ngwenpisi	2000	30.6%	42.8%	21.5%
Swaziland	Ngwenpisi	2017	45.0%	57.5%	34.8%
Swaziland	Nhlambeni	2000	32.7%	39.9%	25.6%
Swaziland	Nhlambeni	2017	52.0%	61.0%	41.5%
Swaziland	Nkhaba	2000	74.0%	85.5%	59.7%
Swaziland	Nkhaba	2017	86.2%	93.9%	74.3%
Swaziland	Nkilongo	2000	69.0%	77.8%	61.3%
Swaziland	Nkilongo	2017	80.2%	86.8%	74.2%
Swaziland	Nkwene	2000	58.0%	84.0%	29.6%
Swaziland	Nkwene	2017	71.5%	90.6%	45.2%
Swaziland	Ntfonjeni	2000	52.4%	62.9%	42.3%
Swaziland	Ntfonjeni	2017	69.4%	77.8%	59.0%
Swaziland	Ntondozi	2000	36.1%	53.5%	20.7%
Swaziland	Ntondozi	2017	51.2%	67.8%	36.1%
Swaziland	Pigg's Peak	2000	62.9%	74.8%	45.1%
Swaziland	Pigg's Peak	2017	76.1%	84.7%	61.5%
Swaziland	Sandleni	2000	31.8%	44.3%	21.6%
Swaziland	Sandleni	2017	51.4%	63.8%	39.2%
Swaziland	Shiselweni	2000	5.6%	15.1%	1.4%
Swaziland	Shiselweni	2017	11.9%	27.7%	3.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Swaziland	Sigwe	2000	12.5%	27.0%	4.8%
Swaziland	Sigwe	2017	23.3%	43.0%	10.6%
Swaziland	Siphofaneni	2000	38.5%	57.8%	18.9%
Swaziland	Siphofaneni	2017	56.4%	74.4%	33.5%
Swaziland	Sithobela	2000	13.9%	23.7%	8.2%
Swaziland	Sithobela	2017	25.3%	39.3%	15.6%
Swaziland	Somntongo	2000	50.2%	69.0%	29.4%
Swaziland	Somntongo	2017	63.9%	80.7%	45.1%
Swaziland	Timpisini	2000	62.5%	69.8%	51.7%
Swaziland	Timpisini	2017	77.0%	81.5%	71.4%
Swaziland	Zombodze	2000	12.3%	19.3%	7.9%
Swaziland	Zombodze	2017	25.6%	35.8%	17.9%
Tanzania	Arusha	2000	59.2%	67.9%	51.5%
Tanzania	Arusha	2017	78.7%	87.0%	71.2%
Tanzania	Arusha Urban	2000	67.2%	73.1%	59.6%
Tanzania	Arusha Urban	2017	89.3%	93.2%	82.7%
Tanzania	Babati	2000	29.6%	37.2%	22.2%
Tanzania	Babati	2017	44.2%	53.4%	35.6%
Tanzania	Babati Urban	2000	68.2%	76.9%	58.2%
Tanzania	Babati Urban	2017	80.1%	88.3%	70.2%
Tanzania	Bagamoyo	2000	35.2%	43.4%	27.4%
Tanzania	Bagamoyo	2017	53.9%	61.9%	44.6%
Tanzania	Bahi	2000	28.5%	39.9%	19.0%
Tanzania	Bahi	2017	42.7%	54.4%	31.8%
Tanzania	Bariadi	2000	12.3%	21.1%	6.3%
Tanzania	Bariadi	2017	26.0%	37.9%	16.8%
Tanzania	Biharamulo	2000	20.1%	30.2%	11.6%
Tanzania	Biharamulo	2017	34.6%	47.5%	24.0%
Tanzania	Buhigwe	2000	34.1%	48.0%	21.8%
Tanzania	Buhigwe	2017	51.9%	65.5%	38.2%
Tanzania	Bukoba Rural	2000	21.7%	32.8%	13.1%
Tanzania	Bukoba Rural	2017	34.1%	46.8%	23.2%
Tanzania	Bukoba Urban	2000	27.6%	43.6%	12.0%
Tanzania	Bukoba Urban	2017	46.6%	73.6%	23.3%
Tanzania	Bukombe	2000	14.5%	23.5%	7.2%
Tanzania	Bukombe	2017	24.2%	34.6%	14.9%
Tanzania	Bunda	2000	17.2%	28.8%	8.5%
Tanzania	Bunda	2017	32.3%	46.1%	20.9%
Tanzania	Busega	2000	19.9%	33.5%	7.8%
Tanzania	Busega	2017	35.1%	52.4%	18.7%
Tanzania	Butiama	2000	16.1%	25.8%	8.5%
Tanzania	Butiama	2017	28.2%	41.3%	17.2%
Tanzania	Chake	2000	75.4%	77.6%	72.5%
Tanzania	Chake	2017	88.0%	89.8%	85.6%
Tanzania	Chamwino	2000	23.5%	31.8%	15.2%
Tanzania	Chamwino	2017	40.7%	50.5%	30.8%
Tanzania	Chato	2000	15.4%	25.6%	8.2%
Tanzania	Chato	2017	29.3%	42.4%	19.1%
Tanzania	Chemba	2000	22.0%	29.9%	14.9%
Tanzania	Chemba	2017	35.9%	45.4%	27.3%
Tanzania	Chunya	2000	19.8%	28.9%	13.4%
Tanzania	Chunya	2017	33.5%	43.9%	25.2%
Tanzania	Dodoma	2000	45.5%	52.1%	37.8%
Tanzania	Dodoma Urban	2017	56.0%	63.5%	48.4%
Tanzania	Dodoma Urban	2000	22.8%	34.6%	12.0%
Tanzania	Gairo	2017	39.3%	52.7%	27.1%
Tanzania	Geita	2000	15.5%	24.0%	9.2%
Tanzania	Geita	2017	28.1%	38.9%	19.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Hai	2000	63.4%	78.0%	45.7%
Tanzania	Hai	2017	83.7%	94.2%	68.5%
Tanzania	Hanang	2000	37.9%	47.4%	27.9%
Tanzania	Hanang	2017	52.9%	62.8%	43.5%
Tanzania	Handeni	2000	29.2%	39.8%	21.0%
Tanzania	Handeni	2017	44.9%	56.8%	34.8%
Tanzania	Handeni	2000	20.6%	39.1%	7.0%
Tanzania	Handeni Township Authority	2017	43.2%	62.9%	21.7%
Tanzania	Igunga	2000	20.5%	28.2%	13.6%
Tanzania	Igunga	2017	33.6%	43.0%	24.2%
Tanzania	Ikungi	2000	19.2%	28.1%	12.5%
Tanzania	Ikungi	2017	32.1%	42.3%	23.5%
Tanzania	Ilala	2000	20.7%	24.0%	18.4%
Tanzania	Ilala	2017	52.0%	56.8%	47.9%
Tanzania	Ileje	2000	24.0%	40.0%	10.4%
Tanzania	Ileje	2017	38.8%	54.7%	22.0%
Tanzania	Ilemela	2000	67.9%	76.5%	58.3%
Tanzania	Ilemela	2017	80.1%	86.0%	73.7%
Tanzania	Iramba	2000	18.5%	28.3%	11.5%
Tanzania	Iramba	2017	31.4%	42.8%	21.8%
Tanzania	Iringa Rural	2000	20.2%	27.2%	13.3%
Tanzania	Iringa Rural	2017	33.9%	43.7%	25.2%
Tanzania	Iringa Urban	2000	48.6%	68.2%	31.3%
Tanzania	Iringa Urban	2017	66.7%	78.8%	44.0%
Tanzania	Itilima	2000	11.5%	20.2%	4.8%
Tanzania	Itilima	2017	23.2%	35.6%	12.4%
Tanzania	Kahama	2000	15.8%	23.2%	10.0%
Tanzania	Kahama	2017	28.0%	37.0%	19.1%
Tanzania	Kahama	2000	20.8%	29.8%	10.9%
Tanzania	Kahama Township Authority	2017	29.9%	37.7%	20.9%
Tanzania	Kakonko	2000	17.8%	30.0%	8.8%
Tanzania	Kakonko	2017	30.7%	44.4%	19.9%
Tanzania	Kalambo	2000	17.4%	25.7%	10.4%
Tanzania	Kalambo	2017	29.9%	39.8%	20.2%
Tanzania	Kaliua	2000	17.0%	23.3%	11.6%
Tanzania	Kaliua	2017	28.7%	35.8%	21.5%
Tanzania	Karagwe	2000	17.7%	26.7%	11.0%
Tanzania	Karagwe	2017	30.7%	41.3%	21.4%
Tanzania	Karatu	2000	31.2%	45.6%	19.5%
Tanzania	Karatu	2017	49.0%	62.2%	36.0%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'A'	2000	57.3%	61.3%	53.4%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'A'	2017	81.7%	85.0%	79.2%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'B'	2000	72.0%	74.2%	68.7%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'B'	2017	90.8%	91.7%	89.7%
Tanzania	Kasulu	2000	26.6%	40.0%	16.0%
Tanzania	Kasulu	2017	42.6%	57.3%	30.1%
Tanzania	Kasulu Township Authority	2000	34.4%	42.9%	27.1%
Tanzania	Kasulu Township Authority	2017	56.2%	64.5%	47.4%
Tanzania	Kati	2000	43.8%	46.3%	41.1%
Tanzania	Kati	2017	73.7%	75.6%	71.9%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Kibaha	2000	33.6%	47.9%	20.8%
Tanzania	Kibaha	2017	55.7%	69.7%	43.5%
Tanzania	Kibaha Urban	2000	50.6%	63.8%	38.5%
Tanzania	Kibaha Urban	2017	73.6%	84.1%	60.9%
Tanzania	Kibondo	2000	11.4%	19.5%	5.3%
Tanzania	Kibondo	2017	24.1%	33.4%	15.1%
Tanzania	Kigoma Rural	2000	34.2%	48.2%	22.8%
Tanzania	Kigoma Rural	2017	50.4%	62.9%	38.3%
Tanzania	Kigoma Urban	2000	21.7%	26.0%	18.1%
Tanzania	Kigoma Urban	2017	62.2%	67.0%	57.7%
Tanzania	Kilindi	2000	17.2%	26.8%	9.3%
Tanzania	Kilindi	2017	29.6%	41.6%	18.6%
Tanzania	Kilolo	2000	30.9%	41.0%	22.6%
Tanzania	Kilolo	2017	44.7%	54.9%	35.3%
Tanzania	Kilombero	2000	32.3%	41.0%	23.9%
Tanzania	Kilombero	2017	48.3%	56.2%	40.3%
Tanzania	Kilosa	2000	28.0%	35.9%	21.1%
Tanzania	Kilosa	2017	43.6%	51.6%	35.5%
Tanzania	Kilwa	2000	26.8%	35.0%	19.4%
Tanzania	Kilwa	2017	41.9%	51.0%	34.2%
Tanzania	Kinondoni	2000	53.8%	55.8%	51.6%
Tanzania	Kinondoni	2017	80.2%	81.4%	78.7%
Tanzania	Kisarawe	2000	38.3%	48.3%	30.9%
Tanzania	Kisarawe	2017	58.0%	68.7%	48.3%
Tanzania	Kishapu	2000	21.5%	30.2%	13.3%
Tanzania	Kishapu	2017	34.5%	43.9%	24.4%
Tanzania	Kiteto	2000	20.4%	29.1%	13.6%
Tanzania	Kiteto	2017	36.4%	47.3%	26.4%
Tanzania	Kondoa	2000	26.1%	35.3%	17.1%
Tanzania	Kondoa	2017	40.9%	51.8%	29.5%
Tanzania	Kongwa	2000	31.3%	42.7%	20.4%
Tanzania	Kongwa	2017	46.2%	58.7%	33.3%
Tanzania	Korogwe	2000	19.9%	30.9%	11.3%
Tanzania	Korogwe	2017	33.9%	47.1%	23.4%
Tanzania	Korogwe Township Authority	2000	27.7%	47.6%	15.0%
Tanzania	Korogwe Township Authority	2017	58.5%	79.1%	39.9%
Tanzania	Kusini	2000	63.2%	69.7%	52.0%
Tanzania	Kusini	2017	81.2%	85.5%	72.0%
Tanzania	Kwimba	2000	19.3%	30.1%	9.4%
Tanzania	Kwimba	2017	33.7%	45.5%	22.2%
Tanzania	Kyela	2000	39.3%	49.9%	28.2%
Tanzania	Kyela	2017	57.3%	66.6%	46.1%
Tanzania	Kyerwa	2000	15.5%	26.8%	7.3%
Tanzania	Kyerwa	2017	27.2%	41.1%	15.2%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2000	21.6%	50.8%	4.3%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2000	20.2%	72.5%	0.2%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2017	34.8%	69.9%	9.2%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2017	33.5%	85.8%	1.3%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2000	37.6%	55.0%	23.5%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2000	21.2%	68.9%	0.3%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2017	32.4%	75.7%	2.0%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2017	53.9%	72.3%	36.7%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	17.9%	66.2%	0.4%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	19.7%	50.4%	0.7%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	18.2%	37.3%	4.7%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	31.0%	71.5%	3.8%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	30.1%	54.0%	10.6%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	31.1%	78.7%	1.3%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	24.1%	38.3%	12.8%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	9.5%	31.0%	0.6%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	17.7%	32.2%	6.2%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	20.1%	49.1%	3.8%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	40.6%	55.9%	23.7%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	31.4%	48.4%	15.5%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	39.7%	45.9%	34.4%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	27.8%	47.8%	10.7%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	22.3%	30.1%	15.9%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	22.5%	55.2%	2.3%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	52.5%	59.0%	46.6%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	38.0%	72.6%	8.4%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	36.1%	45.4%	27.3%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	43.5%	60.8%	24.7%
Tanzania	Lindi Rural	2000	23.6%	32.7%	15.9%
Tanzania	Lindi Rural	2017	39.4%	49.6%	29.9%
Tanzania	Lindi Urban	2000	23.4%	29.8%	16.4%
Tanzania	Lindi Urban	2017	49.3%	55.3%	43.3%
Tanzania	Liwale	2000	25.5%	38.0%	14.3%
Tanzania	Liwale	2017	42.2%	54.4%	29.2%
Tanzania	Longido	2000	30.0%	39.1%	21.5%
Tanzania	Longido	2017	45.4%	54.4%	35.6%
Tanzania	Ludewa	2000	27.8%	38.0%	18.7%
Tanzania	Ludewa	2017	43.5%	53.6%	33.7%
Tanzania	Lushoto	2000	30.6%	39.2%	22.7%
Tanzania	Lushoto	2017	44.6%	53.4%	35.5%
Tanzania	Mafia	2000	21.2%	50.8%	4.6%
Tanzania	Mafia	2017	36.9%	70.7%	11.1%
Tanzania	Mafinga Township Authority	2000	49.6%	64.0%	39.9%
Tanzania	Mafinga Township Authority	2017	65.4%	82.6%	52.0%
Tanzania	Magharibi	2000	57.6%	58.9%	56.3%
Tanzania	Magharibi	2017	87.2%	88.0%	86.4%
Tanzania	Magu	2000	25.7%	37.5%	15.8%
Tanzania	Magu	2017	38.6%	52.2%	26.9%
Tanzania	Makambako Township Authority	2000	19.3%	24.7%	15.1%
Tanzania	Makambako Township Authority	2017	29.7%	36.0%	24.1%
Tanzania	Makete	2000	31.1%	42.4%	19.4%
Tanzania	Makete	2017	49.2%	61.5%	36.3%
Tanzania	Manyoni	2000	27.7%	35.4%	20.4%
Tanzania	Manyoni	2017	41.9%	51.5%	33.3%
Tanzania	Masasi	2000	25.1%	35.5%	15.8%
Tanzania	Masasi	2017	40.4%	52.7%	28.5%
Tanzania	Masasi Township Authority	2000	26.6%	47.0%	12.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Masasi Township Authority	2017	49.6%	69.0%	34.6%
Tanzania	Maswa	2000	22.4%	31.2%	15.1%
Tanzania	Maswa	2017	34.5%	45.1%	25.7%
Tanzania	Mbarali	2000	29.6%	44.6%	18.6%
Tanzania	Mbarali	2017	45.1%	61.4%	31.0%
Tanzania	Mbeya Rural	2000	37.5%	47.0%	26.9%
Tanzania	Mbeya Rural	2017	55.2%	63.2%	44.7%
Tanzania	Mbeya Urban	2000	66.2%	73.8%	55.6%
Tanzania	Mbeya Urban	2017	84.4%	89.1%	77.8%
Tanzania	Mbinga	2000	23.7%	31.5%	15.4%
Tanzania	Mbinga	2017	40.2%	50.9%	30.4%
Tanzania	Mbogwe	2000	18.8%	33.6%	7.2%
Tanzania	Mbogwe	2017	31.9%	49.6%	17.4%
Tanzania	Mbozi	2000	15.2%	23.6%	8.1%
Tanzania	Mbozi	2017	28.4%	40.0%	18.1%
Tanzania	Mbulu	2000	36.8%	46.8%	28.2%
Tanzania	Mbulu	2017	51.7%	62.4%	40.9%
Tanzania	Meatu	2000	18.2%	26.0%	10.6%
Tanzania	Meatu	2017	31.2%	40.1%	21.3%
Tanzania	Meru	2000	48.1%	59.7%	34.7%
Tanzania	Meru	2017	69.3%	79.3%	57.1%
Tanzania	Micheweni	2000	31.4%	34.6%	28.3%
Tanzania	Micheweni	2017	71.0%	74.3%	67.3%
Tanzania	Missenyi	2000	17.9%	32.1%	7.1%
Tanzania	Missenyi	2017	30.0%	46.4%	15.4%
Tanzania	Misungwi	2000	20.3%	32.1%	9.9%
Tanzania	Misungwi	2017	34.7%	48.9%	21.4%
Tanzania	Mjini	2000	65.4%	69.3%	61.6%
Tanzania	Mjini	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.8%
Tanzania	Mkalama	2000	15.8%	25.7%	8.4%
Tanzania	Mkalama	2017	30.3%	42.4%	19.2%
Tanzania	Mkinga	2000	27.5%	42.6%	14.2%
Tanzania	Mkinga	2017	41.9%	57.2%	26.6%
Tanzania	Mkoani	2000	49.1%	51.5%	47.1%
Tanzania	Mkoani	2017	69.2%	72.8%	66.0%
Tanzania	Mkuranga	2000	14.0%	23.1%	7.2%
Tanzania	Mkuranga	2017	27.2%	37.3%	18.6%
Tanzania	Mlele	2000	16.1%	22.1%	11.0%
Tanzania	Mlele	2017	28.5%	34.9%	22.0%
Tanzania	Momba	2000	18.0%	29.6%	10.0%
Tanzania	Momba	2017	30.9%	42.1%	20.3%
Tanzania	Monduli	2000	27.7%	39.5%	18.0%
Tanzania	Monduli	2017	44.3%	56.8%	32.6%
Tanzania	Morogoro Rural	2000	29.9%	40.1%	20.7%
Tanzania	Morogoro Rural	2017	45.9%	56.1%	34.8%
Tanzania	Morogoro Urban	2000	74.5%	80.4%	71.9%
Tanzania	Morogoro Urban	2017	83.2%	91.1%	77.8%
Tanzania	Moshi Rural	2000	61.6%	66.4%	55.8%
Tanzania	Moshi Rural	2017	81.7%	85.6%	77.2%
Tanzania	Moshi Urban	2000	74.8%	78.8%	70.2%
Tanzania	Moshi Urban	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.2%
Tanzania	Mpanda	2000	16.5%	22.1%	10.5%
Tanzania	Mpanda	2017	28.2%	34.9%	20.7%
Tanzania	Mpanda Urban	2000	11.6%	15.1%	9.2%
Tanzania	Mpanda Urban	2017	34.8%	41.8%	29.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Mpwapwa	2000	22.9%	33.2%	14.7%
Tanzania	Mpwapwa	2017	37.2%	48.3%	27.1%
Tanzania	Mtwara Rural	2000	29.1%	38.9%	18.9%
Tanzania	Mtwara Rural	2017	43.1%	53.5%	31.7%
Tanzania	Mtwara Urban	2000	28.5%	32.9%	22.5%
Tanzania	Mtwara Urban	2017	41.1%	44.8%	37.5%
Tanzania	Mufindi	2000	20.6%	28.6%	13.2%
Tanzania	Mufindi	2017	33.2%	42.6%	23.7%
Tanzania	Muheza	2000	50.5%	63.4%	37.6%
Tanzania	Muheza	2017	60.7%	74.5%	45.9%
Tanzania	Muleba	2000	14.2%	24.2%	6.8%
Tanzania	Muleba	2017	24.5%	35.9%	15.2%
Tanzania	Musoma Rural	2000	13.1%	26.7%	2.9%
Tanzania	Musoma Rural	2017	23.3%	40.1%	8.6%
Tanzania	Musoma Urban	2000	28.5%	30.8%	26.0%
Tanzania	Musoma Urban	2017	59.2%	61.7%	56.7%
Tanzania	Mvomero	2000	26.5%	36.1%	18.0%
Tanzania	Mvomero	2017	42.4%	52.1%	32.7%
Tanzania	Mwanga	2000	34.4%	45.8%	22.1%
Tanzania	Mwanga	2017	52.3%	64.0%	39.6%
Tanzania	Nachingwea	2000	26.8%	34.9%	20.2%
Tanzania	Nachingwea	2017	43.4%	51.2%	34.0%
Tanzania	Namtumbo	2000	19.4%	27.2%	13.1%
Tanzania	Namtumbo	2017	34.2%	43.8%	25.8%
Tanzania	Nanyumbu	2000	19.6%	31.9%	10.8%
Tanzania	Nanyumbu	2017	32.7%	45.0%	20.5%
Tanzania	Newala	2000	19.2%	31.7%	10.5%
Tanzania	Newala	2017	38.9%	52.3%	27.8%
Tanzania	Ngara	2000	18.8%	30.0%	9.5%
Tanzania	Ngara	2017	33.9%	47.4%	21.0%
Tanzania	Ngorongoro	2000	22.1%	29.2%	15.4%
Tanzania	Ngorongoro	2017	35.7%	43.8%	27.6%
Tanzania	Njombe	2000	18.0%	34.4%	6.6%
Tanzania	Njombe	2017	30.5%	47.7%	16.2%
Tanzania	Njombe Urban	2000	38.2%	47.9%	29.6%
Tanzania	Njombe Urban	2017	52.9%	63.0%	43.0%
Tanzania	Nkasi	2000	22.9%	30.3%	16.9%
Tanzania	Nkasi	2017	36.3%	45.1%	29.3%
Tanzania	Nyamagana	2000	56.8%	70.4%	45.9%
Tanzania	Nyamagana	2017	65.9%	79.2%	52.7%
Tanzania	Nyang'wale	2000	17.0%	30.8%	7.1%
Tanzania	Nyang'wale	2017	28.4%	45.0%	14.2%
Tanzania	Nyasa	2000	23.5%	35.4%	13.5%
Tanzania	Nyasa	2017	38.7%	52.6%	25.8%
Tanzania	Nzega	2000	20.2%	27.3%	14.3%
Tanzania	Nzega	2017	34.7%	42.1%	28.1%
Tanzania	Pangani	2000	31.9%	49.9%	15.6%
Tanzania	Pangani	2017	50.4%	69.9%	31.4%
Tanzania	Rombo	2000	63.2%	71.1%	56.0%
Tanzania	Rombo	2017	78.8%	85.5%	70.8%
Tanzania	Rorya	2000	12.7%	20.3%	6.8%
Tanzania	Rorya	2017	20.8%	30.4%	12.1%
Tanzania	Ruangwa	2000	17.5%	26.7%	10.1%
Tanzania	Ruangwa	2017	31.6%	41.3%	21.7%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Rufiji	2000	22.8%	31.0%	16.5%
Tanzania	Rufiji	2017	37.8%	47.1%	29.4%
Tanzania	Rungwe	2000	29.4%	41.1%	18.4%
Tanzania	Rungwe	2017	47.2%	60.0%	34.3%
Tanzania	Same	2000	35.9%	47.5%	25.7%
Tanzania	Same	2017	53.5%	65.9%	40.9%
Tanzania	Sengerema	2000	28.9%	38.3%	20.7%
Tanzania	Sengerema	2017	41.1%	52.4%	31.1%
Tanzania	Serengeti	2000	16.0%	24.8%	7.9%
Tanzania	Serengeti	2017	28.6%	41.0%	17.9%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Rural	2000	16.3%	26.5%	7.9%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Rural	2017	29.0%	42.2%	17.9%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Urban	2000	30.8%	39.4%	24.0%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Urban	2017	39.2%	46.2%	31.3%
Tanzania	Siha	2000	60.7%	77.6%	43.2%
Tanzania	Siha	2017	76.0%	88.9%	59.9%
Tanzania	Sikonge	2000	15.9%	23.1%	10.1%
Tanzania	Sikonge	2017	27.1%	36.0%	18.3%
Tanzania	Simanjiro	2000	18.3%	24.8%	13.5%
Tanzania	Simanjiro	2017	31.2%	38.1%	24.8%
Tanzania	Singida Rural	2000	14.8%	24.4%	8.1%
Tanzania	Singida Rural	2017	28.1%	40.5%	18.4%
Tanzania	Singida Urban	2000	36.6%	48.3%	25.9%
Tanzania	Singida Urban	2017	60.3%	70.3%	50.4%
Tanzania	Songea Rural	2000	25.6%	34.8%	17.3%
Tanzania	Songea Rural	2017	40.2%	50.9%	28.7%
Tanzania	Songea Urban	2000	21.1%	25.8%	17.8%
Tanzania	Songea Urban	2017	52.4%	58.0%	47.1%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Rural	2000	13.8%	20.8%	7.4%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Rural	2017	25.3%	34.6%	16.4%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Urban	2000	11.6%	17.6%	7.5%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Urban	2017	30.6%	37.7%	23.3%
Tanzania	Tabora Urban	2000	42.6%	51.6%	32.5%
Tanzania	Tabora Urban	2017	69.0%	77.2%	59.8%
Tanzania	Tandahimba	2000	20.4%	31.9%	12.1%
Tanzania	Tandahimba	2017	35.4%	48.9%	23.8%
Tanzania	Tanga	2000	82.7%	90.5%	75.5%
Tanzania	Tanga	2017	91.2%	96.6%	84.5%
Tanzania	Tarime	2000	9.1%	18.4%	3.8%
Tanzania	Tarime	2017	21.6%	35.0%	11.3%
Tanzania	Temeke	2000	20.0%	21.9%	18.3%
Tanzania	Temeke	2017	56.9%	59.8%	54.1%
Tanzania	Tunduma	2000	42.2%	79.4%	4.7%
Tanzania	Tunduma	2017	64.0%	92.4%	18.5%
Tanzania	Tunduru	2000	26.0%	31.2%	20.4%
Tanzania	Tunduru	2017	39.8%	46.5%	32.9%
Tanzania	Ukerewe	2000	20.7%	37.6%	9.1%
Tanzania	Ukerewe	2017	32.0%	49.6%	16.5%
Tanzania	Ulanga	2000	28.2%	38.1%	18.0%
Tanzania	Ulanga	2017	42.1%	53.1%	30.1%
Tanzania	Urambo	2000	18.1%	31.5%	8.6%
Tanzania	Urambo	2017	30.8%	49.1%	17.9%
Tanzania	Uvinza	2000	18.4%	26.9%	11.8%
Tanzania	Uvinza	2017	31.1%	40.6%	22.1%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Uyui	2000	16.9%	23.6%	11.4%
Tanzania	Uyui	2017	28.6%	35.7%	21.1%
Tanzania	Wanging'ombe	2000	35.6%	46.1%	25.8%
Tanzania	Wanging'ombe	2017	54.7%	66.1%	43.8%
Tanzania	Wete	2000	67.8%	70.7%	64.4%
Tanzania	Wete	2017	88.0%	89.9%	85.5%
Togo	Amou	2000	29.1%	43.7%	15.3%
Togo	Amou	2017	24.0%	40.6%	11.3%
Togo	Assoli	2000	13.4%	35.7%	2.3%
Togo	Assoli	2017	9.6%	28.3%	1.4%
Togo	Bassar	2000	26.0%	37.3%	17.2%
Togo	Bassar	2017	18.9%	29.3%	11.2%
Togo	Bimah	2000	15.1%	34.7%	2.6%
Togo	Bimah	2017	10.8%	28.5%	1.3%
Togo	Doufelgou	2000	23.8%	40.6%	12.1%
Togo	Doufelgou	2017	17.5%	31.0%	7.7%
Togo	Golfe (incl Lomé)	2000	55.5%	60.0%	51.8%
Togo	Golfe (incl Lomé)	2017	40.3%	42.8%	37.8%
Togo	Haho	2000	25.0%	36.7%	13.9%
Togo	Haho	2017	18.9%	29.6%	9.6%
Togo	Kéran	2000	29.0%	44.8%	13.3%
Togo	Kéran	2017	22.1%	35.6%	9.2%
Togo	Kloto	2000	32.4%	43.9%	23.2%
Togo	Kloto	2017	27.8%	38.8%	19.0%
Togo	Kozah	2000	41.9%	53.5%	31.9%
Togo	Kozah	2017	37.5%	48.9%	29.2%
Togo	Lacs	2000	12.8%	28.7%	4.2%
Togo	Lacs	2017	9.2%	23.7%	2.4%
Togo	Ogou	2000	25.4%	35.4%	17.5%
Togo	Ogou	2017	20.4%	29.7%	13.8%
Togo	Oti	2000	18.5%	33.4%	8.7%
Togo	Oti	2017	14.1%	25.9%	6.0%
Togo	Sotouboua	2000	29.6%	53.8%	11.0%
Togo	Sotouboua	2017	24.6%	52.6%	7.5%
Togo	Tchamba (Nyala)	2000	23.9%	34.8%	14.4%
Togo	Tchamba (Nyala)	2017	18.3%	29.7%	10.2%
Togo	Tchaudjo	2000	32.3%	41.9%	24.9%
Togo	Tchaudjo	2017	26.6%	34.8%	20.3%
Togo	Tône	2000	34.7%	44.7%	25.2%
Togo	Tône	2017	27.8%	37.2%	19.0%
Togo	Vo	2000	23.5%	43.7%	8.0%
Togo	Vo	2017	17.1%	34.4%	4.9%
Togo	Wawa	2000	22.7%	38.3%	11.1%
Togo	Wawa	2017	17.5%	31.3%	7.5%
Togo	Yoto	2000	24.4%	39.4%	11.4%
Togo	Yoto	2017	17.9%	31.9%	7.3%
Togo	Zio	2000	30.3%	41.1%	20.9%
Togo	Zio	2017	23.6%	33.4%	16.0%
Uganda	Agago	2000	5.4%	10.1%	2.3%
Uganda	Agago	2017	9.9%	15.5%	5.0%
Uganda	Agule	2000	1.7%	8.5%	0.1%
Uganda	Agule	2017	3.8%	15.2%	0.3%
Uganda	Amuria	2000	4.0%	10.8%	0.4%
Uganda	Amuria	2017	7.2%	17.1%	1.2%
Uganda	Apac Municipality	2000	2.2%	8.3%	0.4%
Uganda	Apac Municipality	2017	5.3%	14.8%	1.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Aringa	2000	2.2%	4.9%	0.8%
Uganda	Aringa	2017	5.0%	9.2%	2.5%
Uganda	Arua Municipality	2000	15.8%	17.6%	13.9%
Uganda	Arua Municipality	2017	36.1%	38.7%	33.6%
Uganda	Aruu	2000	9.3%	14.7%	4.9%
Uganda	Aruu	2017	15.0%	22.4%	9.2%
Uganda	Aswa	2000	7.2%	15.7%	3.1%
Uganda	Aswa	2017	14.6%	24.4%	8.1%
Uganda	Ayivu	2000	7.5%	11.8%	5.9%
Uganda	Ayivu	2017	18.6%	24.7%	15.5%
Uganda	Bamunanika	2000	5.3%	11.6%	1.8%
Uganda	Bamunanika	2017	10.2%	20.4%	4.2%
Uganda	Bbaale	2000	7.5%	16.9%	1.6%
Uganda	Bbaale	2017	12.3%	23.9%	3.5%
Uganda	Bokora	2000	5.7%	8.8%	3.6%
Uganda	Bokora	2017	7.6%	12.0%	4.5%
Uganda	Bubulo East	2000	11.5%	21.7%	7.5%
Uganda	Bubulo East	2017	14.4%	24.5%	10.8%
Uganda	Bubulo West	2000	15.8%	17.7%	13.7%
Uganda	Bubulo West	2017	19.5%	22.3%	16.5%
Uganda	Budadiri	2000	6.8%	8.1%	5.9%
Uganda	Budadiri	2017	9.6%	11.4%	8.3%
Uganda	Budaka	2000	18.8%	33.8%	8.2%
Uganda	Budaka	2017	23.6%	41.3%	12.5%
Uganda	Budiope	2000	2.5%	7.5%	0.7%
Uganda	Budiope	2017	5.5%	11.9%	2.1%
Uganda	Bufumbira	2000	30.3%	37.1%	25.1%
Uganda	Bufumbira	2017	45.6%	53.2%	39.8%
Uganda	Bugabula	2000	2.3%	5.8%	0.5%
Uganda	Bugabula	2017	4.4%	9.3%	1.5%
Uganda	Bugahya	2000	5.3%	12.4%	1.0%
Uganda	Bugahya	2017	8.1%	15.3%	2.4%
Uganda	Bugangaizi	2000	4.9%	11.6%	1.0%
Uganda	Bugangaizi	2017	8.7%	18.3%	3.0%
Uganda	Bughendera	2000	18.9%	25.9%	13.5%
Uganda	Bughendera	2017	30.0%	37.3%	24.0%
Uganda	Bugiri Municipality	2000	3.1%	5.1%	1.7%
Uganda	Bugiri Municipality	2017	9.9%	15.2%	5.8%
Uganda	Bugweri	2000	1.3%	4.2%	0.3%
Uganda	Bugweri	2017	3.3%	7.9%	1.1%
Uganda	Buhaguzi	2000	8.8%	17.1%	3.4%
Uganda	Buhaguzi	2017	13.0%	21.9%	5.7%
Uganda	Buhweju	2000	7.9%	14.5%	4.5%
Uganda	Buhweju	2017	11.0%	20.2%	6.1%
Uganda	Buikwe	2000	9.5%	15.1%	6.5%
Uganda	Buikwe	2017	15.6%	23.0%	10.9%
Uganda	Bujenje	2000	7.7%	25.0%	0.8%
Uganda	Bujenje	2017	13.2%	32.2%	2.6%
Uganda	Bujumba	2000	4.3%	14.6%	0.2%
Uganda	Bujumba	2017	7.4%	21.2%	0.5%
Uganda	Bukanga	2000	7.5%	21.3%	1.9%
Uganda	Bukanga	2017	13.4%	32.3%	4.5%
Uganda	Bukedea	2000	8.9%	15.5%	3.4%
Uganda	Bukedea	2017	11.6%	18.4%	6.1%
Uganda	Bukomansimbi	2000	12.4%	21.3%	8.6%
Uganda	Bukomansimbi	2017	15.5%	26.4%	10.5%
Uganda	Bukonzo	2000	20.9%	27.6%	16.5%
Uganda	Bukonzo	2017	36.8%	42.9%	32.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Bukooli	2000	1.8%	6.5%	0.2%
Uganda	Bukooli	2017	4.4%	12.6%	0.7%
Uganda	Bukooli North	2000	6.1%	12.0%	2.4%
Uganda	Bukooli North	2017	12.1%	19.4%	6.6%
Uganda	Bukoto	2000	9.5%	14.8%	6.1%
Uganda	Bukoto	2000	11.5%	15.3%	9.1%
Uganda	Bukoto	2017	19.8%	25.3%	16.1%
Uganda	Bukoto	2017	15.7%	22.2%	11.9%
Uganda	Bulambuli	2000	6.5%	13.7%	4.6%
Uganda	Bulambuli	2017	10.1%	20.7%	7.0%
Uganda	Bulamogi	2000	2.8%	5.8%	0.7%
Uganda	Bulamogi	2017	4.7%	9.9%	2.2%
Uganda	Buliisa	2000	12.0%	21.5%	4.2%
Uganda	Buliisa	2017	18.8%	30.2%	8.9%
Uganda	Bungokho	2000	17.8%	23.3%	14.3%
Uganda	Bungokho	2017	32.8%	38.9%	27.8%
Uganda	Bunya	2000	7.7%	13.9%	3.6%
Uganda	Bunya	2017	13.4%	22.6%	8.0%
Uganda	Bunyangabu	2000	11.0%	15.4%	6.7%
Uganda	Bunyangabu	2017	16.8%	21.6%	12.5%
Uganda	Bunyaruguru	2000	5.0%	9.6%	3.0%
Uganda	Bunyaruguru	2017	9.4%	17.4%	5.9%
Uganda	Bunyole	2000	4.6%	9.2%	1.8%
Uganda	Bunyole	2017	8.2%	14.6%	4.0%
Uganda	Burahya	2000	10.3%	17.2%	6.0%
Uganda	Burahya	2017	20.5%	28.8%	14.4%
Uganda	Buruli	2000	6.5%	13.7%	2.0%
Uganda	Buruli	2000	12.3%	26.3%	3.1%
Uganda	Buruli	2017	11.7%	21.3%	5.0%
Uganda	Buruli	2017	17.7%	33.3%	6.4%
Uganda	Bushenyi-Ishaka Municipality	2000	28.8%	31.2%	26.6%
Uganda	Bushenyi-Ishaka Municipality	2017	48.3%	51.6%	45.2%
Uganda	Busia Municipality	2000	17.8%	31.5%	9.3%
Uganda	Busia Municipality	2017	36.1%	59.7%	16.9%
Uganda	Busiki	2000	2.3%	7.4%	0.5%
Uganda	Busiki	2017	4.8%	11.7%	1.7%
Uganda	Busiro	2000	17.6%	20.7%	15.7%
Uganda	Busiro	2017	33.9%	38.6%	30.5%
Uganda	Busongora	2000	18.5%	23.5%	14.9%
Uganda	Busongora	2017	27.5%	33.9%	22.5%
Uganda	Busujju	2000	13.2%	28.3%	4.7%
Uganda	Busujju	2017	19.8%	34.4%	10.3%
Uganda	Butambala	2000	10.3%	17.0%	2.9%
Uganda	Butambala	2017	17.6%	23.5%	9.4%
Uganda	Butebo	2000	5.6%	14.3%	2.0%
Uganda	Butebo	2017	8.3%	18.8%	3.3%
Uganda	Butembe	2000	25.6%	34.5%	19.7%
Uganda	Butembe	2017	50.6%	62.2%	43.2%
Uganda	Buvuma Island	2000	4.2%	13.9%	0.7%
Uganda	Buvuma Island	2017	7.8%	20.7%	1.9%
Uganda	Buwekula	2000	5.5%	16.0%	0.7%
Uganda	Buwekula	2017	9.3%	20.7%	2.2%
Uganda	Buyaga	2000	3.3%	7.0%	1.4%
Uganda	Buyaga	2017	6.9%	13.6%	3.4%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Buyanja	2000	4.8%	12.8%	0.6%
Uganda	Buyanja	2017	8.2%	19.0%	1.6%
Uganda	Buzaaya	2000	3.5%	6.6%	1.2%
Uganda	Buzaaya	2017	7.6%	10.2%	4.7%
Uganda	Bwamba	2000	10.7%	22.3%	5.6%
Uganda	Bwamba	2017	23.7%	35.2%	15.3%
Uganda	Chekwii	2000	2.6%	5.8%	0.6%
Uganda	Chekwii	2017	5.4%	10.0%	1.5%
Uganda	Chua	2000	9.7%	16.2%	5.3%
Uganda	Chua	2017	17.0%	25.3%	11.6%
Uganda	Dodoth	2000	3.4%	6.1%	1.7%
Uganda	Dodoth	2017	7.1%	11.0%	4.6%
Uganda	Dokolo	2000	4.7%	10.6%	1.6%
Uganda	Dokolo	2017	7.8%	15.9%	3.4%
Uganda	East Moyo	2000	6.6%	10.8%	2.8%
Uganda	East Moyo	2017	12.7%	18.5%	6.9%
Uganda	Entebbe Municipality	2000	52.6%	57.1%	48.0%
Uganda	Entebbe Municipality	2017	70.1%	73.3%	66.8%
Uganda	Erute	2000	11.1%	14.1%	9.4%
Uganda	Erute	2017	19.2%	23.5%	16.5%
Uganda	Fort Portal Municipality	2000	33.5%	37.5%	29.8%
Uganda	Fort Portal Municipality	2017	60.0%	64.0%	56.6%
Uganda	Gomba	2000	10.4%	19.0%	4.6%
Uganda	Gomba	2017	16.3%	26.7%	8.5%
Uganda	Gulu Municipality	2000	10.0%	12.2%	8.2%
Uganda	Gulu Municipality	2017	27.3%	30.5%	24.3%
Uganda	Hoima Municipality	2000	16.9%	24.0%	11.3%
Uganda	Hoima Municipality	2017	30.7%	41.4%	24.8%
Uganda	Ibanda	2000	15.8%	23.6%	8.5%
Uganda	Ibanda	2017	23.0%	30.1%	15.7%
Uganda	Ibanda Municipality	2000	29.1%	33.0%	24.4%
Uganda	Ibanda Municipality	2017	41.5%	46.4%	37.4%
Uganda	Iganga Municipality	2000	28.6%	32.9%	23.4%
Uganda	Iganga Municipality	2017	59.1%	64.0%	52.8%
Uganda	Igara	2000	11.9%	14.9%	9.8%
Uganda	Igara	2017	20.9%	24.2%	18.3%
Uganda	Iki-Iki	2000	2.6%	5.2%	1.1%
Uganda	Iki-Iki	2017	6.4%	11.4%	3.5%
Uganda	Isingiro	2000	16.9%	24.4%	12.1%
Uganda	Isingiro	2017	25.6%	34.4%	18.2%
Uganda	Jie	2000	3.5%	7.3%	0.7%
Uganda	Jie	2017	6.2%	11.2%	2.1%
Uganda	Jinja Municipality	2000	86.9%	89.5%	85.6%
Uganda	Jinja Municipality	2017	91.2%	95.3%	89.1%
Uganda	Jonam	2000	8.1%	16.0%	2.7%
Uganda	Jonam	2017	15.6%	26.1%	7.5%
Uganda	Kabale Municipality	2000	12.1%	14.0%	10.5%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Kabale Municipality	2017	34.6%	38.2%	31.5%
Uganda	Kaberamaido	2000	3.7%	12.2%	0.2%
Uganda	Kaberamaido	2017	6.6%	18.4%	0.7%
Uganda	Kabula	2000	4.9%	17.6%	0.5%
Uganda	Kabula	2017	8.7%	23.4%	1.7%
Uganda	Kagoma	2000	28.6%	30.2%	27.2%
Uganda	Kagoma	2017	38.9%	41.0%	37.6%
Uganda	Kajara	2000	14.7%	34.2%	3.0%
Uganda	Kajara	2017	23.0%	43.7%	8.1%
Uganda	Kakuuto North	2000	13.6%	40.4%	2.2%
Uganda	Kakuuto North	2017	21.6%	53.4%	5.6%
Uganda	Kalaki	2000	5.4%	20.4%	0.2%
Uganda	Kalaki	2017	9.0%	28.0%	0.6%
Uganda	Kalungu	2000	10.5%	14.3%	7.7%
Uganda	Kalungu	2017	16.5%	21.7%	13.5%
Uganda	Kamuli Municipality	2000	5.7%	15.4%	1.3%
Uganda	Kamuli Municipality	2017	15.7%	31.7%	5.5%
Uganda	Kapchorwa Municipality	2000	1.2%	2.4%	0.7%
Uganda	Kapchorwa Municipality	2017	4.1%	8.0%	2.4%
Uganda	Kapelebyong	2000	3.7%	11.3%	0.3%
Uganda	Kapelebyong	2017	6.2%	15.4%	1.0%
Uganda	Kasambya	2000	3.0%	9.8%	0.7%
Uganda	Kasambya	2017	6.3%	14.8%	2.1%
Uganda	Kasese Municipality	2000	47.6%	53.4%	42.4%
Uganda	Kasese Municipality	2017	59.4%	65.9%	53.5%
Uganda	Kashari	2000	11.3%	19.2%	6.2%
Uganda	Kashari	2017	19.8%	30.6%	12.1%
Uganda	Kasilo	2000	3.7%	11.7%	0.4%
Uganda	Kasilo	2017	7.0%	17.5%	1.4%
Uganda	Kassanda	2000	3.6%	9.9%	0.7%
Uganda	Kassanda	2017	7.1%	15.8%	2.0%
Uganda	Katerera	2000	26.8%	33.6%	20.7%
Uganda	Katerera	2017	41.5%	50.0%	34.2%
Uganda	Katikamu	2000	11.3%	13.6%	9.2%
Uganda	Katikamu	2017	20.1%	23.6%	17.2%
Uganda	Katuuto East	2000	4.4%	18.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Katuuto East	2017	7.9%	28.1%	0.4%
Uganda	Katuuto West	2000	7.0%	16.1%	1.9%
Uganda	Katuuto West	2017	12.2%	24.9%	4.5%
Uganda	Kazo	2000	8.1%	17.2%	2.9%
Uganda	Kazo	2017	12.6%	23.1%	5.9%
Uganda	Kcca	2000	63.4%	64.5%	62.5%
Uganda	Kcca	2017	84.5%	85.1%	83.9%
Uganda	Kibale	2000	3.7%	10.6%	0.6%
Uganda	Kibale	2017	6.9%	15.9%	1.8%
Uganda	Kibanda	2000	12.1%	17.7%	7.3%
Uganda	Kibanda	2017	16.3%	23.5%	10.8%
Uganda	Kiboga	2000	3.8%	9.8%	0.8%
Uganda	Kiboga	2000	4.1%	11.2%	0.9%
Uganda	Kiboga	2017	7.8%	16.8%	2.8%
Uganda	Kiboga	2017	7.0%	15.4%	2.1%
Uganda	Kibuku	2000	1.0%	5.6%	0.1%
Uganda	Kibuku	2017	2.0%	8.6%	0.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Kigulu	2000	11.9%	15.6%	8.3%
Uganda	Kigulu	2017	22.1%	25.3%	18.6%
Uganda	Kilak	2000	3.4%	8.4%	1.2%
Uganda	Kilak	2017	6.6%	12.2%	2.9%
Uganda	Kinkiizi	2000	5.4%	10.9%	2.0%
Uganda	Kinkiizi	2017	11.3%	18.9%	5.5%
Uganda	Kioga	2000	14.2%	26.0%	4.8%
Uganda	Kioga	2017	20.1%	34.1%	9.9%
Uganda	Kira Municipality	2000	59.1%	62.0%	56.2%
Uganda	Kira Municipality	2017	80.4%	82.0%	78.7%
Uganda	Kisoro Municipality	2000	76.5%	82.4%	70.4%
Uganda	Kisoro Municipality	2017	91.8%	94.1%	89.2%
Uganda	Kitagwenda	2000	3.8%	9.7%	1.4%
Uganda	Kitagwenda	2017	10.0%	17.9%	5.3%
Uganda	Kitgum Municipality	2000	19.7%	24.5%	16.3%
Uganda	Kitgum Municipality	2017	45.0%	53.7%	37.9%
Uganda	Koboko	2000	3.3%	8.0%	1.8%
Uganda	Koboko	2017	8.9%	15.0%	6.0%
Uganda	Koboko Municipality	2000	4.0%	5.2%	3.0%
Uganda	Koboko Municipality	2017	11.0%	14.1%	8.7%
Uganda	Kole	2000	11.8%	16.5%	8.7%
Uganda	Kole	2017	15.5%	22.0%	11.6%
Uganda	Kongasis	2000	4.7%	16.3%	1.5%
Uganda	Kongasis	2017	10.5%	27.9%	4.2%
Uganda	Kooki	2000	7.4%	13.7%	3.6%
Uganda	Kooki	2017	12.0%	20.8%	6.3%
Uganda	Kotido Municipality	2000	2.1%	5.4%	1.0%
Uganda	Kotido Municipality	2017	6.4%	13.7%	3.5%
Uganda	Kumi	2000	8.9%	14.3%	4.9%
Uganda	Kumi	2017	13.9%	20.9%	8.8%
Uganda	Kumi Municipality	2000	14.4%	18.2%	10.8%
Uganda	Kumi Municipality	2017	29.9%	35.2%	24.7%
Uganda	Kwania	2000	4.1%	9.4%	0.8%
Uganda	Kwania	2017	7.0%	13.1%	2.5%
Uganda	Kween	2000	1.5%	5.1%	0.3%
Uganda	Kween	2017	3.3%	9.7%	1.1%
Uganda	Kyadondo	2000	47.5%	50.9%	44.0%
Uganda	Kyadondo	2017	73.5%	75.9%	70.9%
Uganda	Kyaka	2000	5.4%	11.4%	1.7%
Uganda	Kyaka	2017	9.9%	18.5%	4.3%
Uganda	Kyamuswa	2000	4.4%	12.4%	0.3%
Uganda	Kyamuswa	2017	7.5%	17.7%	1.3%
Uganda	Kyotera	2000	4.1%	9.8%	1.8%
Uganda	Kyotera	2017	9.0%	17.8%	5.1%
Uganda	Labwor	2000	2.9%	8.3%	0.4%
Uganda	Labwor	2017	5.2%	12.9%	1.0%
Uganda	Lamwo	2000	10.3%	15.8%	6.0%
Uganda	Lamwo	2017	15.4%	22.3%	10.0%
Uganda	Lira Municipality	2000	47.8%	50.9%	44.2%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Lira Municipality	2017	72.5%	74.3%	70.5%
Uganda	Lugazi Municipality	2000	15.1%	23.5%	9.5%
Uganda	Lugazi Municipality	2017	29.9%	42.1%	22.5%
Uganda	Luuka	2000	5.9%	9.0%	3.8%
Uganda	Luuka	2017	11.1%	15.1%	7.9%
Uganda	Lwemiyaga	2000	8.9%	26.0%	1.3%
Uganda	Lwemiyaga	2017	14.0%	34.3%	3.4%
Uganda	Madi Okollo	2000	4.2%	9.7%	1.1%
Uganda	Madi Okollo	2017	7.8%	14.5%	2.6%
Uganda	Makindye Ssabagabo Municipality	2000	46.7%	49.4%	44.5%
Uganda	Makindye Ssabagabo Municipality	2017	70.1%	72.4%	68.3%
Uganda	Manjiya	2000	9.1%	11.9%	5.4%
Uganda	Manjiya	2017	12.8%	15.8%	8.0%
Uganda	Maracha	2000	2.8%	7.8%	1.0%
Uganda	Maracha	2017	6.8%	14.0%	3.4%
Uganda	Maruzi	2000	5.0%	11.8%	1.0%
Uganda	Maruzi	2017	8.5%	17.4%	3.0%
Uganda	Masaka Municipality	2000	31.1%	34.6%	28.0%
Uganda	Masaka Municipality	2017	56.7%	60.3%	53.4%
Uganda	Masindi Municipality	2000	7.3%	12.7%	4.5%
Uganda	Masindi Municipality	2017	18.7%	25.2%	13.6%
Uganda	Matheniko	2000	4.1%	8.3%	1.2%
Uganda	Matheniko	2017	8.0%	14.1%	3.5%
Uganda	Mawogola	2000	4.5%	9.2%	2.1%
Uganda	Mawogola	2017	8.3%	15.1%	4.4%
Uganda	Mawokota	2000	4.5%	10.1%	1.6%
Uganda	Mawokota	2017	10.2%	17.8%	5.2%
Uganda	Mbale Municipality	2000	37.7%	40.6%	35.1%
Uganda	Mbale Municipality	2017	67.7%	70.7%	64.7%
Uganda	Mbarara Municipality	2000	27.0%	43.8%	20.4%
Uganda	Mbarara Municipality	2017	39.2%	58.7%	28.2%
Uganda	Mityana	2000	2.9%	9.8%	0.5%
Uganda	Mityana	2017	6.7%	15.0%	2.3%
Uganda	Mityana Municipality	2000	13.6%	27.0%	10.0%
Uganda	Mityana Municipality	2017	33.0%	49.0%	24.7%
Uganda	Moroto	2000	3.1%	6.8%	0.7%
Uganda	Moroto	2017	6.0%	11.9%	2.2%
Uganda	Moroto Municipality	2000	1.4%	4.5%	0.3%
Uganda	Moroto Municipality	2017	4.9%	14.5%	1.1%
Uganda	Mubende Municipality	2000	4.5%	9.2%	2.2%
Uganda	Mubende Municipality	2017	12.8%	21.2%	7.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Mukono	2000	10.6%	14.5%	7.4%
Uganda	Mukono	2017	20.5%	25.5%	16.6%
Uganda	Mukono Municipality	2000	28.1%	31.8%	24.6%
Uganda	Mukono Municipality	2017	56.2%	59.9%	52.8%
Uganda	Mwenge	2000	8.8%	14.0%	5.0%
Uganda	Mwenge	2017	15.3%	21.3%	9.9%
Uganda	Nakaseke	2000	5.6%	12.6%	1.9%
Uganda	Nakaseke	2017	10.3%	19.8%	4.3%
Uganda	Nakifuma	2000	4.5%	7.4%	3.5%
Uganda	Nakifuma	2017	7.9%	11.9%	6.1%
Uganda	Nansana Municipality	2000	24.7%	26.6%	23.2%
Uganda	Nansana Municipality	2017	44.2%	47.7%	41.6%
Uganda	Ndorwa	2000	5.2%	13.0%	3.0%
Uganda	Ndorwa	2017	13.3%	24.3%	8.7%
Uganda	Nebbi Municipality	2000	4.4%	5.8%	3.3%
Uganda	Nebbi Municipality	2017	14.3%	17.8%	11.0%
Uganda	Ngora	2000	4.0%	16.5%	0.2%
Uganda	Ngora	2017	7.8%	24.5%	0.6%
Uganda	Njeru Municipality	2000	28.3%	36.8%	22.8%
Uganda	Njeru Municipality	2017	43.5%	50.6%	36.5%
Uganda	Ntenjeru	2000	5.2%	7.5%	3.1%
Uganda	Ntenjeru	2017	13.3%	16.9%	9.4%
Uganda	Ntoroko	2000	14.7%	34.7%	4.0%
Uganda	Ntoroko	2017	22.5%	42.3%	7.7%
Uganda	Ntungamo Municipality	2000	38.8%	65.8%	22.4%
Uganda	Ntungamo Municipality	2017	71.2%	84.8%	49.1%
Uganda	Nwoya	2000	7.4%	12.7%	3.4%
Uganda	Nwoya	2017	11.4%	18.6%	6.3%
Uganda	Nyabushozi	2000	6.6%	13.2%	2.2%
Uganda	Nyabushozi	2017	10.4%	19.7%	4.4%
Uganda	Obongi	2000	4.1%	15.4%	0.5%
Uganda	Obongi	2017	8.2%	22.5%	1.7%
Uganda	Okoro	2000	4.6%	13.6%	0.9%
Uganda	Okoro	2017	8.7%	18.4%	2.6%
Uganda	Omoro	2000	8.8%	19.3%	2.7%
Uganda	Omoro	2017	15.4%	24.7%	7.5%
Uganda	Otuke	2000	6.6%	15.0%	1.4%
Uganda	Otuke	2017	11.2%	21.6%	3.6%
Uganda	Oyam	2000	6.4%	10.0%	3.3%
Uganda	Oyam	2017	11.4%	16.0%	7.1%
Uganda	Padyere	2000	4.5%	14.8%	0.8%
Uganda	Padyere	2017	8.7%	20.5%	2.4%
Uganda	Pallisa	2000	1.9%	8.7%	0.7%
Uganda	Pallisa	2017	4.2%	15.7%	1.6%
Uganda	Pian	2000	3.3%	8.8%	0.6%
Uganda	Pian	2017	6.7%	17.4%	1.7%
Uganda	Pokot	2000	4.1%	11.9%	0.7%
Uganda	Pokot	2017	7.7%	17.7%	1.9%
Uganda	Rubabo	2000	6.5%	12.4%	3.4%
Uganda	Rubabo	2017	11.2%	17.8%	6.5%
Uganda	Rubanda	2000	3.8%	10.4%	0.9%
Uganda	Rubanda	2017	8.0%	16.3%	3.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Ruhaama	2000	12.3%	20.9%	8.2%
Uganda	Ruhaama	2017	20.7%	30.4%	13.7%
Uganda	Ruhinda	2000	6.4%	9.5%	4.0%
Uganda	Ruhinda	2017	11.8%	16.3%	9.0%
Uganda	Rujumbura	2000	12.4%	16.7%	7.7%
Uganda	Rujumbura	2017	14.2%	20.4%	10.4%
Uganda	Rukiga	2000	11.3%	19.4%	7.6%
Uganda	Rukiga	2017	20.0%	29.3%	14.7%
Uganda	Rukungiri Municipality	2000	26.5%	33.9%	17.2%
Uganda	Rukungiri Municipality	2017	27.8%	32.9%	22.3%
Uganda	Rushenyi	2000	7.3%	20.4%	0.9%
Uganda	Rushenyi	2017	12.1%	28.4%	2.8%
Uganda	Rwampara	2000	10.0%	23.0%	2.9%
Uganda	Rwampara	2017	16.9%	32.8%	7.1%
Uganda	Samia-Bugwe	2000	10.4%	14.9%	6.9%
Uganda	Samia-Bugwe	2017	15.8%	21.5%	12.2%
Uganda	Serere	2000	2.8%	8.8%	0.2%
Uganda	Serere	2017	5.5%	13.1%	0.7%
Uganda	Sheema	2000	12.4%	19.2%	9.5%
Uganda	Sheema	2017	22.9%	31.2%	19.0%
Uganda	Sheema Municipality	2000	18.7%	21.9%	15.4%
Uganda	Sheema Municipality	2017	36.3%	40.3%	32.9%
Uganda	Soroti	2000	8.6%	14.5%	4.9%
Uganda	Soroti	2017	18.7%	25.7%	13.6%
Uganda	Soroti Municipality	2000	13.0%	14.7%	11.3%
Uganda	Soroti Municipality	2017	37.4%	39.9%	35.1%
Uganda	Terego	2000	1.1%	4.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Terego	2017	2.5%	7.5%	0.5%
Uganda	Tingey	2000	9.7%	12.6%	7.7%
Uganda	Tingey	2017	15.0%	23.0%	10.4%
Uganda	Toroma	2000	3.8%	14.4%	0.2%
Uganda	Toroma	2017	6.4%	20.8%	0.5%
Uganda	Tororo	2000	6.0%	14.0%	3.8%
Uganda	Tororo	2017	9.8%	19.1%	5.8%
Uganda	Tororo Municipality	2000	16.5%	19.7%	13.9%
Uganda	Tororo Municipality	2017	38.3%	43.2%	33.8%
Uganda	Usuk	2000	2.3%	5.5%	0.4%
Uganda	Usuk	2017	4.5%	9.5%	1.3%
Uganda	Vurra	2000	12.9%	28.2%	4.5%
Uganda	Vurra	2017	20.4%	37.6%	10.6%
Uganda	West Budama	2000	5.8%	8.4%	4.1%
Uganda	West Budama	2017	12.4%	16.0%	9.8%
Uganda	West Moyo	2000	28.9%	47.7%	15.6%
Uganda	West Moyo	2017	37.3%	52.1%	23.8%
Zambia	Chadiza	2000	17.3%	31.8%	7.0%
Zambia	Chadiza	2017	15.4%	28.9%	6.1%
Zambia	Chama	2000	14.8%	21.2%	8.4%
Zambia	Chama	2017	14.2%	20.9%	8.5%
Zambia	Chavuma	2000	7.9%	19.6%	1.7%
Zambia	Chavuma	2017	7.1%	20.1%	1.3%
Zambia	Chibombo	2000	42.3%	47.6%	38.1%
Zambia	Chibombo	2017	41.4%	45.6%	37.2%
Zambia	Chiengi	2000	10.6%	24.6%	1.6%
Zambia	Chiengi	2017	8.3%	19.5%	1.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Chililabombwe	2000	68.4%	84.1%	51.8%
Zambia	Chililabombwe	2017	62.2%	78.5%	47.2%
Zambia	Chilubi	2000	11.2%	23.8%	3.3%
Zambia	Chilubi	2017	9.9%	21.2%	2.7%
Zambia	Chingola	2000	66.3%	68.9%	60.0%
Zambia	Chingola	2017	62.0%	64.5%	56.1%
Zambia	Chinsali	2000	18.2%	25.2%	11.3%
Zambia	Chinsali	2017	16.2%	22.6%	9.9%
Zambia	Chipata	2000	19.6%	26.6%	14.3%
Zambia	Chipata	2017	22.5%	28.6%	18.0%
Zambia	Choma	2000	23.2%	33.6%	14.2%
Zambia	Choma	2017	21.1%	30.2%	13.3%
Zambia	Chongwe	2000	27.1%	35.0%	20.2%
Zambia	Chongwe	2017	25.6%	33.5%	18.1%
Zambia	Gwembe	2000	18.5%	32.3%	7.6%
Zambia	Gwembe	2017	14.5%	26.6%	4.7%
Zambia	Isoka	2000	9.9%	18.5%	3.5%
Zambia	Isoka	2017	8.3%	15.6%	2.9%
Zambia	Itezhi-Tezhi	2000	21.5%	37.1%	10.3%
Zambia	Itezhi-Tezhi	2017	19.6%	33.5%	9.3%
Zambia	Kabompo	2000	22.7%	30.1%	16.7%
Zambia	Kabompo	2017	20.8%	27.7%	14.8%
Zambia	Kabwe	2000	61.9%	69.4%	55.8%
Zambia	Kabwe	2017	57.0%	64.6%	51.5%
Zambia	Kafue	2000	45.5%	49.7%	42.3%
Zambia	Kafue	2017	43.0%	47.0%	39.7%
Zambia	Kalabo	2000	12.4%	21.3%	6.0%
Zambia	Kalabo	2017	10.9%	19.1%	4.9%
Zambia	Kalomo	2000	17.9%	25.9%	11.1%
Zambia	Kalomo	2017	17.3%	25.1%	11.4%
Zambia	Kalulushi	2000	25.3%	36.2%	17.9%
Zambia	Kalulushi	2017	23.0%	32.5%	15.2%
Zambia	Kaoma	2000	17.5%	23.9%	12.1%
Zambia	Kaoma	2017	14.8%	20.7%	10.1%
Zambia	Kapiri Mposhi	2000	20.6%	27.2%	14.9%
Zambia	Kapiri Mposhi	2017	20.5%	26.5%	15.7%
Zambia	Kaputa	2000	15.4%	23.3%	8.8%
Zambia	Kaputa	2017	14.2%	22.3%	7.4%
Zambia	Kasama	2000	15.7%	23.4%	10.5%
Zambia	Kasama	2017	15.5%	22.4%	10.6%
Zambia	Kasempa	2000	14.0%	21.1%	8.5%
Zambia	Kasempa	2017	12.4%	19.2%	7.3%
Zambia	Katete	2000	9.6%	18.8%	4.2%
Zambia	Katete	2017	8.0%	15.3%	3.5%
Zambia	Kawambwa	2000	21.0%	28.8%	12.7%
Zambia	Kawambwa	2017	20.1%	28.3%	12.3%
Zambia	Kazungula	2000	18.5%	26.3%	11.6%
Zambia	Kazungula	2017	16.7%	23.0%	10.1%
Zambia	Kitwe	2000	43.5%	46.5%	40.9%
Zambia	Kitwe	2017	36.9%	39.7%	34.2%
Zambia	Livingstone	2000	72.6%	77.8%	65.7%
Zambia	Livingstone	2017	72.1%	77.8%	63.2%
Zambia	Luangwa	2000	11.1%	23.8%	3.5%
Zambia	Luangwa	2017	10.2%	22.6%	3.2%
Zambia	Luanshya	2000	6.8%	12.5%	3.8%
Zambia	Luanshya	2017	5.0%	10.8%	2.5%
Zambia	Lufwanyama	2000	12.8%	23.6%	5.5%
Zambia	Lufwanyama	2017	11.3%	20.2%	4.6%
Zambia	Lukulu	2000	15.8%	22.3%	10.2%
Zambia	Lukulu	2017	14.9%	21.6%	9.3%
Zambia	Lundazi	2000	18.7%	26.6%	13.4%
Zambia	Lundazi	2017	17.6%	25.3%	12.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Lusaka	2000	75.6%	77.3%	74.2%
Zambia	Lusaka	2017	71.6%	73.1%	70.1%
Zambia	Luwingu	2000	14.7%	23.6%	7.8%
Zambia	Luwingu	2017	13.0%	21.4%	6.6%
Zambia	Mambwe	2000	14.1%	25.2%	5.0%
Zambia	Mambwe	2017	12.9%	25.0%	4.0%
Zambia	Mansa	2000	16.0%	24.9%	9.5%
Zambia	Mansa	2017	13.1%	19.2%	7.9%
Zambia	Masaiti	2000	22.6%	29.6%	16.7%
Zambia	Masaiti	2017	19.5%	26.7%	14.2%
Zambia	Mazabuka	2000	29.2%	38.3%	22.3%
Zambia	Mazabuka	2017	26.9%	34.5%	20.4%
Zambia	Mbala	2000	15.4%	25.1%	7.1%
Zambia	Mbala	2017	13.6%	22.8%	6.2%
Zambia	Milenge	2000	12.6%	22.9%	4.2%
Zambia	Milenge	2017	10.8%	23.3%	3.1%
Zambia	Mkushi	2000	21.5%	30.0%	14.2%
Zambia	Mkushi	2017	19.5%	27.6%	12.8%
Zambia	Mongu	2000	36.6%	44.3%	29.4%
Zambia	Mongu	2017	37.7%	44.7%	30.9%
Zambia	Monze	2000	19.6%	31.0%	11.1%
Zambia	Monze	2017	17.0%	29.4%	8.7%
Zambia	Mpika	2000	18.4%	25.1%	13.7%
Zambia	Mpika	2017	20.8%	27.5%	16.1%
Zambia	MPongwe	2000	16.2%	26.8%	7.8%
Zambia	MPongwe	2017	17.3%	29.7%	8.1%
Zambia	Mporokoso	2000	17.7%	26.2%	11.2%
Zambia	Mporokoso	2017	15.9%	23.4%	9.7%
Zambia	Mpulungu	2000	26.7%	36.3%	19.2%
Zambia	Mpulungu	2017	27.3%	36.0%	20.0%
Zambia	Mufulira	2000	48.6%	52.4%	44.9%
Zambia	Mufulira	2017	47.0%	51.3%	42.8%
Zambia	Mufumbwe	2000	12.6%	17.7%	7.8%
Zambia	Mufumbwe	2017	10.8%	15.6%	6.5%
Zambia	Mumbwa	2000	14.6%	23.1%	8.3%
Zambia	Mumbwa	2017	12.6%	20.3%	6.6%
Zambia	Mungwi	2000	16.2%	27.2%	8.1%
Zambia	Mungwi	2017	14.4%	26.4%	6.4%
Zambia	Mwense	2000	14.7%	22.9%	8.5%
Zambia	Mwense	2017	13.8%	21.5%	8.8%
Zambia	Mwinilunga	2000	16.1%	23.1%	10.6%
Zambia	Mwinilunga	2017	14.3%	20.0%	9.4%
Zambia	Nakonde	2000	12.0%	19.2%	6.8%
Zambia	Nakonde	2017	8.8%	14.7%	4.7%
Zambia	Namwala	2000	12.4%	20.4%	6.2%
Zambia	Namwala	2017	10.9%	17.8%	5.4%
Zambia	Nchelenge	2000	9.6%	18.7%	4.5%
Zambia	Nchelenge	2017	9.7%	17.8%	5.2%
Zambia	Ndola	2000	59.9%	64.5%	52.8%
Zambia	Ndola	2017	50.6%	56.2%	42.7%
Zambia	Nyimba	2000	10.7%	17.0%	5.8%
Zambia	Nyimba	2017	10.4%	18.0%	4.5%
Zambia	Petauke	2000	10.7%	18.4%	4.9%
Zambia	Petauke	2017	8.9%	15.3%	4.2%
Zambia	Samfya	2000	14.4%	24.3%	7.9%
Zambia	Samfya	2017	14.3%	24.7%	7.5%
Zambia	Senanga	2000	21.0%	28.2%	15.1%
Zambia	Senanga	2017	19.3%	27.0%	13.2%
Zambia	Serenje	2000	13.8%	19.8%	8.7%
Zambia	Serenje	2017	12.1%	17.9%	7.4%
Zambia	Sesheke	2000	15.9%	21.9%	11.3%
Zambia	Sesheke	2017	15.2%	20.3%	11.3%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Shangombo	2000	10.7%	18.2%	4.7%
Zambia	Shangombo	2017	9.8%	18.2%	3.8%
Zambia	Siavonga	2000	30.4%	40.8%	21.2%
Zambia	Siavonga	2017	31.4%	40.9%	23.1%
Zambia	Sinazongwe	2000	18.0%	30.2%	7.5%
Zambia	Sinazongwe	2017	18.3%	34.5%	6.7%
Zambia	Solwezi	2000	17.4%	26.0%	9.7%
Zambia	Solwezi	2017	15.7%	25.1%	9.3%
Zambia	Zambezi	2000	8.7%	15.6%	3.6%
Zambia	Zambezi	2017	7.5%	14.2%	2.9%
Zimbabwe	Beitbridge	2000	29.0%	35.6%	22.2%
Zimbabwe	Beitbridge	2017	28.8%	35.1%	22.5%
Zimbabwe	Bikita	2000	9.9%	15.8%	4.8%
Zimbabwe	Bikita	2017	10.6%	16.7%	5.2%
Zimbabwe	Bindura	2000	37.0%	46.6%	29.8%
Zimbabwe	Bindura	2017	39.1%	48.0%	32.4%
Zimbabwe	Binga	2000	5.8%	9.8%	2.8%
Zimbabwe	Binga	2017	5.4%	9.6%	2.4%
Zimbabwe	Bubi	2000	26.7%	40.2%	14.8%
Zimbabwe	Bubi	2017	28.5%	42.3%	16.2%
Zimbabwe	Buhera	2000	10.1%	16.4%	5.4%
Zimbabwe	Buhera	2017	11.1%	17.2%	6.2%
Zimbabwe	Bulawayo	2000	96.1%	97.5%	93.9%
Zimbabwe	Bulawayo	2017	95.8%	97.3%	93.4%
Zimbabwe	Bulilima (North)	2000	19.7%	29.7%	9.9%
Zimbabwe	Bulilima (North)	2017	20.6%	30.1%	10.8%
Zimbabwe	Centenary	2000	10.7%	20.4%	4.0%
Zimbabwe	Centenary	2017	10.4%	19.8%	4.0%
Zimbabwe	Chegutu	2000	34.0%	43.5%	25.4%
Zimbabwe	Chegutu	2017	35.8%	44.4%	27.6%
Zimbabwe	Chikomba	2000	8.6%	16.7%	3.5%
Zimbabwe	Chikomba	2017	8.8%	16.6%	4.0%
Zimbabwe	Chimanimani	2000	40.5%	53.9%	26.7%
Zimbabwe	Chimanimani	2017	40.4%	54.7%	25.9%
Zimbabwe	Chipinge	2000	31.1%	39.5%	23.9%
Zimbabwe	Chipinge	2017	31.9%	40.2%	24.6%
Zimbabwe	Chiredzi	2000	36.5%	42.9%	31.0%
Zimbabwe	Chiredzi	2017	36.3%	43.1%	30.7%
Zimbabwe	Chirumhanzu	2000	11.8%	24.6%	4.3%
Zimbabwe	Chirumhanzu	2017	12.4%	25.8%	4.4%
Zimbabwe	Chivi	2000	19.1%	28.7%	10.1%
Zimbabwe	Chivi	2017	18.5%	28.5%	9.6%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe North	2000	3.0%	7.0%	0.7%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe North	2017	3.1%	7.0%	0.9%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe South	2000	11.6%	16.2%	8.0%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe South	2017	11.5%	16.3%	7.8%
Zimbabwe	Goromonzi	2000	21.7%	29.7%	14.6%
Zimbabwe	Goromonzi	2017	23.6%	31.5%	16.5%
Zimbabwe	Guruve	2000	6.8%	13.2%	2.9%
Zimbabwe	Guruve	2017	7.2%	13.7%	3.1%
Zimbabwe	Gutu	2000	6.1%	12.4%	2.5%
Zimbabwe	Gutu	2017	6.2%	12.6%	2.6%
Zimbabwe	Gwanda	2000	32.9%	41.9%	22.4%
Zimbabwe	Gwanda	2017	33.2%	41.1%	23.2%
Zimbabwe	Gweru	2000	57.5%	63.9%	50.0%
Zimbabwe	Gweru	2017	57.8%	64.1%	50.8%
Zimbabwe	Harare	2000	49.5%	51.6%	46.9%
Zimbabwe	Harare	2017	52.7%	54.4%	50.8%
Zimbabwe	Hurungwe	2000	11.8%	15.5%	9.1%
Zimbabwe	Hurungwe	2017	12.6%	16.1%	10.0%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zimbabwe	Hwange	2000	49.1%	54.4%	43.8%
Zimbabwe	Hwange	2017	49.9%	54.8%	45.5%
Zimbabwe	Insiza	2000	17.6%	26.3%	9.3%
Zimbabwe	Insiza	2017	18.6%	28.0%	10.1%
Zimbabwe	Kadoma	2000	30.7%	40.3%	20.5%
Zimbabwe	Kadoma	2017	31.2%	40.5%	20.6%
Zimbabwe	Kariba	2000	22.8%	32.1%	11.2%
Zimbabwe	Kariba	2017	28.2%	35.7%	18.2%
Zimbabwe	Kwekwe	2000	61.6%	67.2%	54.4%
Zimbabwe	Kwekwe	2017	61.1%	66.7%	54.0%
Zimbabwe	Lupane	2000	14.4%	23.6%	8.2%
Zimbabwe	Lupane	2017	15.3%	24.7%	8.8%
Zimbabwe	Makonde	2000	27.6%	38.0%	18.1%
Zimbabwe	Makonde	2017	28.2%	37.0%	19.2%
Zimbabwe	Makoni	2000	13.2%	19.9%	8.7%
Zimbabwe	Makoni	2017	13.8%	20.3%	9.6%
Zimbabwe	Mangwe	2000	25.8%	37.1%	15.5%
Zimbabwe	Mangwe (South)	2017	26.3%	37.4%	16.0%
Zimbabwe	Marondera	2000	43.4%	52.8%	33.1%
Zimbabwe	Marondera	2017	43.4%	52.8%	33.5%
Zimbabwe	Masvingo	2000	50.5%	57.6%	42.4%
Zimbabwe	Masvingo	2017	48.3%	54.4%	41.5%
Zimbabwe	Matobo	2000	42.8%	51.2%	31.9%
Zimbabwe	Matobo	2017	41.8%	50.1%	31.5%
Zimbabwe	Mazowe	2000	29.4%	38.4%	22.3%
Zimbabwe	Mazowe	2017	30.8%	38.7%	23.9%
Zimbabwe	Mberengwa	2000	19.2%	30.8%	10.7%
Zimbabwe	Mberengwa	2017	20.3%	31.9%	11.6%
Zimbabwe	Mount Darwin	2000	5.7%	13.2%	1.9%
Zimbabwe	Mount Darwin	2017	5.6%	12.2%	2.1%
Zimbabwe	Mudzi	2000	7.4%	14.9%	2.9%
Zimbabwe	Mudzi	2017	8.1%	15.8%	3.4%
Zimbabwe	Murehwa	2000	8.4%	18.1%	2.4%
Zimbabwe	Murehwa	2017	8.4%	18.0%	2.6%
Zimbabwe	Mutare	2000	50.6%	55.1%	46.8%
Zimbabwe	Mutare	2017	50.2%	54.3%	46.8%
Zimbabwe	Mutasa	2000	55.9%	66.3%	45.8%
Zimbabwe	Mutasa	2017	50.6%	61.2%	41.3%
Zimbabwe	Mutoko	2000	11.8%	20.7%	5.4%
Zimbabwe	Mutoko	2017	11.3%	19.9%	4.9%
Zimbabwe	Mwenezi	2000	16.5%	23.9%	9.3%
Zimbabwe	Mwenezi	2017	17.4%	24.6%	10.3%
Zimbabwe	Nkayi	2000	12.8%	21.7%	4.8%
Zimbabwe	Nkayi	2017	13.5%	22.8%	5.4%
Zimbabwe	Nyanga	2000	19.4%	27.2%	13.0%
Zimbabwe	Nyanga	2017	19.2%	26.5%	12.9%
Zimbabwe	Rushinga	2000	11.5%	22.0%	4.8%
Zimbabwe	Rushinga	2017	12.3%	23.5%	5.0%
Zimbabwe	Seke	2000	28.2%	46.6%	15.1%
Zimbabwe	Seke	2017	28.6%	45.3%	14.7%
Zimbabwe	Shamva	2000	20.5%	30.9%	9.8%
Zimbabwe	Shamva	2017	19.5%	29.1%	9.9%
Zimbabwe	Shurugwi	2000	39.3%	52.5%	28.0%
Zimbabwe	Shurugwi	2017	40.1%	53.1%	27.6%
Zimbabwe	Tsholotsho	2000	14.4%	24.5%	6.7%
Zimbabwe	Tsholotsho	2017	15.3%	25.4%	7.0%
Zimbabwe	Umguzo	2000	64.3%	73.2%	56.2%
Zimbabwe	Umguzo	2017	63.8%	71.9%	55.6%

Piped Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zimbabwe	UMP	2000	5.8%	13.4%	1.1%
Zimbabwe	UMP	2017	6.0%	13.9%	1.2%
Zimbabwe	Umzingwane	2000	30.0%	45.2%	16.2%
Zimbabwe	Umzingwane	2017	29.2%	43.8%	16.1%
Zimbabwe	Wedza	2000	7.4%	18.5%	0.9%
Zimbabwe	Wedza	2017	7.9%	19.0%	1.0%
Zimbabwe	Zaka	2000	11.1%	20.6%	4.3%
Zimbabwe	Zaka	2017	11.3%	21.2%	4.7%
Zimbabwe	Zvimba	2000	40.4%	48.7%	32.6%
Zimbabwe	Zvimba	2017	40.6%	48.5%	33.4%
Zimbabwe	Zvishavane	2000	59.3%	68.4%	48.4%
Zimbabwe	Zvishavane	2017	59.8%	69.2%	48.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central Europe, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia					
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Suu	2000	88.5%	96.0%	74.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Suu	2017	83.0%	92.8%	65.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Talaa	2000	73.5%	87.6%	60.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Talaa	2017	64.9%	79.7%	53.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Aksyi	2000	97.8%	98.7%	96.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Aksyi	2017	96.4%	97.9%	93.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Ala-Buka	2000	83.0%	90.3%	75.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Ala-Buka	2017	76.5%	85.0%	67.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Alai	2000	75.0%	91.6%	59.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Alai	2017	70.9%	87.5%	56.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Alamüdün	2000	90.5%	97.6%	83.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Alamüdün	2017	89.0%	96.8%	82.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Aravan	2000	87.1%	95.7%	81.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Aravan	2017	85.7%	93.1%	78.0%
Kyrgyzstan	At-Bashi	2000	64.8%	71.7%	57.4%
Kyrgyzstan	At-Bashi	2017	59.2%	64.6%	53.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Bakai-Ata	2000	87.2%	91.8%	81.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Bakai-Ata	2017	78.0%	83.9%	70.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Batken	2000	74.7%	88.5%	58.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Batken	2017	61.5%	78.6%	43.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Bazar-Korgon	2000	93.2%	96.0%	88.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Bazar-Korgon	2017	90.1%	93.9%	84.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Biškeek	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Biškeek	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Chatkal	2000	77.2%	92.8%	59.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Chatkal	2017	68.5%	87.6%	47.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Chong-Alay	2000	67.0%	99.8%	18.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Chong-Alay	2017	60.2%	99.4%	11.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Chui	2000	78.9%	91.7%	65.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Chui	2017	74.3%	88.7%	63.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Djety-Oguz	2000	79.6%	89.5%	70.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Djety-Oguz	2017	75.5%	84.8%	67.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Jaiyl	2000	89.0%	98.1%	76.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Jaiyl	2017	86.6%	96.9%	75.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Jungal	2000	71.0%	87.1%	58.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Jungal	2017	66.3%	81.1%	56.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Kadamjai	2000	77.4%	83.4%	70.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Kadamjai	2017	68.5%	74.7%	62.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Buura	2000	95.6%	98.9%	91.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Buura	2017	91.7%	96.6%	85.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Kuldja	2000	80.9%	92.8%	66.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Kuldja	2017	74.6%	88.7%	58.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Suu	2000	93.3%	95.8%	91.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Suu	2017	88.9%	92.1%	85.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Kemin	2000	90.5%	96.7%	83.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Kemin	2017	86.8%	94.2%	78.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Kochkor	2000	83.9%	89.9%	79.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Kochkor	2017	80.8%	85.8%	76.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Lailak	2000	84.5%	90.5%	78.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Lailak	2017	78.4%	84.8%	72.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Manas	2000	86.1%	93.5%	75.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Manas	2017	75.2%	86.9%	62.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Moskovsky	2000	91.6%	97.8%	83.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Moskovsky	2017	87.3%	95.5%	78.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Naryn	2000	81.6%	92.5%	72.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Naryn	2017	76.2%	88.6%	68.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Nookat	2000	85.4%	91.3%	79.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Nookat	2017	82.0%	87.8%	76.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Nooken	2000	95.8%	99.4%	89.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Nooken	2017	92.0%	97.4%	84.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Osh	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kyrgyzstan	Osh	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Panfilov	2000	96.3%	98.2%	94.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Panfilov	2017	95.3%	97.5%	93.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Sokuluk	2000	95.3%	98.0%	92.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Sokuluk	2017	93.5%	96.2%	90.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Song-Kol	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Song-Kol	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Suzak	2000	95.8%	99.1%	91.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Suzak	2017	92.1%	97.4%	86.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Talas	2000	85.9%	91.3%	79.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Talas	2017	72.3%	77.8%	65.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Togus-Toro	2000	77.2%	88.7%	63.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Togus-Toro	2017	73.2%	85.4%	57.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Toktogul	2000	92.9%	97.0%	87.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Toktogul	2017	89.3%	94.3%	83.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Ton	2000	91.8%	96.0%	86.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Ton	2017	89.6%	94.0%	85.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Tüp	2000	94.5%	98.4%	86.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Tüp	2017	92.2%	96.8%	83.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Uzgen	2000	71.5%	79.9%	63.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Uzgen	2017	63.6%	71.3%	56.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Ata	2000	86.7%	97.3%	75.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Ata	2017	84.4%	95.0%	74.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl	2000	93.0%	96.5%	86.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl	2017	88.6%	93.5%	80.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl (lake)	2000	86.3%	93.1%	81.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl (lake)	2017	82.6%	89.0%	77.4%
Mongolia	Adaatsag	2000	30.5%	37.4%	23.1%
Mongolia	Adaatsag	2017	69.7%	76.9%	60.1%
Mongolia	Airag	2000	36.5%	42.2%	31.4%
Mongolia	Airag	2000	43.7%	49.4%	37.5%
Mongolia	Airag	2017	80.4%	84.7%	75.6%
Mongolia	Airag	2017	76.3%	81.9%	70.0%
Mongolia	Alag-Erdene	2000	26.3%	33.4%	20.2%
Mongolia	Alag-Erdene	2017	56.8%	64.1%	48.3%
Mongolia	Aldarkhaan	2000	22.4%	26.7%	18.8%
Mongolia	Aldarkhaan	2017	49.1%	53.1%	45.4%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	21.3%	24.1%	18.6%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	14.0%	17.9%	10.7%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	23.2%	27.4%	19.3%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	56.4%	60.2%	52.1%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	46.0%	55.5%	36.5%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	61.2%	68.5%	52.8%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2000	57.9%	64.6%	50.3%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2000	49.0%	62.3%	37.6%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2017	83.8%	92.3%	73.1%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2017	87.7%	90.7%	83.6%
Mongolia	Altanshiree	2000	58.2%	64.3%	51.6%
Mongolia	Altanshiree	2017	78.4%	83.2%	72.6%
Mongolia	Altantsögts	2000	22.8%	32.7%	15.1%
Mongolia	Altantsögts	2017	59.5%	71.4%	46.2%
Mongolia	Arbulag	2000	23.8%	30.4%	17.9%
Mongolia	Arbulag	2017	57.7%	66.8%	49.5%
Mongolia	Argalant	2000	54.6%	70.6%	38.2%
Mongolia	Argalant	2017	82.1%	90.2%	72.4%
Mongolia	Arkhusht	2000	57.4%	73.2%	42.7%
Mongolia	Arkhusht	2017	87.6%	94.2%	78.1%
Mongolia	Arvaikheer	2000	47.8%	56.1%	40.2%
Mongolia	Arvaikheer	2017	83.0%	87.9%	76.5%
Mongolia	Asgat	2000	25.4%	37.5%	15.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Asgat	2000	35.3%	43.2%	29.3%
Mongolia	Asgat	2017	61.8%	76.0%	47.8%
Mongolia	Asgat	2017	74.0%	80.1%	66.2%
Mongolia	Baatsagaan	2000	25.5%	31.7%	19.9%
Mongolia	Baatsagaan	2017	63.7%	72.4%	55.4%
Mongolia	Baruun	2000	26.1%	32.3%	19.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ulaan				
Mongolia	Baruun	2017	64.0%	72.6%	52.8%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ulaan				
Mongolia	Baruunbüren	2000	41.2%	54.5%	28.3%
Mongolia	Baruunbüren	2017	76.7%	86.0%	65.5%
Mongolia	Baruuntutuun	2000	34.1%	46.8%	25.0%
Mongolia	Baruuntutuun	2017	69.4%	79.8%	56.6%
Mongolia	Bat-Ölzii	2000	23.1%	30.3%	16.9%
Mongolia	Bat-Ölzii	2017	58.2%	66.9%	48.0%
Mongolia	Batnorov	2000	41.5%	48.9%	35.2%
Mongolia	Batnorov	2017	75.2%	80.1%	69.9%
Mongolia	Batshireet	2000	29.8%	36.4%	24.2%
Mongolia	Batshireet	2017	65.0%	72.6%	58.1%
Mongolia	Batsumber	2000	47.4%	61.3%	33.7%
Mongolia	Batsumber	2017	80.7%	86.9%	72.4%
Mongolia	Battsengel	2000	23.0%	34.1%	15.5%
Mongolia	Battsengel	2017	56.4%	68.9%	42.1%
Mongolia	Bayan	2000	54.3%	72.6%	34.0%
Mongolia	Bayan	2017	86.5%	94.5%	69.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Adarga	2000	30.5%	39.8%	23.3%
Mongolia	Bayan-Adarga	2017	63.0%	72.0%	52.2%
Mongolia	Bayan-Agt	2000	34.1%	41.9%	26.2%
Mongolia	Bayan-Agt	2017	69.1%	76.8%	60.8%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	30.0%	37.9%	22.9%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	11.9%	14.7%	9.8%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	67.1%	76.3%	58.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	90.9%	95.3%	83.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	41.2%	50.1%	33.9%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	69.7%	80.6%	60.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Önjüül	2000	37.4%	45.4%	29.5%
Mongolia	Bayan-Önjüül	2017	76.5%	82.6%	68.7%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	41.7%	49.6%	32.6%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	29.3%	37.3%	22.5%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	29.7%	34.9%	25.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	76.9%	83.8%	67.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	68.5%	76.9%	58.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	67.6%	73.9%	61.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2000	19.7%	27.7%	14.6%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2000	26.9%	32.5%	21.9%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2017	54.6%	64.9%	42.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2017	60.2%	68.1%	52.2%
Mongolia	Bayanbulag	2000	18.2%	25.5%	12.8%
Mongolia	Bayanbulag	2017	53.4%	66.8%	38.9%
Mongolia	Bayanchandmani	2000	55.8%	69.6%	40.1%
Mongolia	Bayanchandmani	2017	87.8%	93.0%	80.6%
Mongolia	Bayandalai	2000	25.5%	30.4%	21.1%
Mongolia	Bayandalai	2017	63.3%	70.5%	55.8%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2000	32.7%	38.6%	27.9%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2000	51.2%	62.0%	39.9%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2017	72.0%	77.3%	67.2%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2017	85.7%	91.3%	78.4%
Mongolia	Bayandun	2000	23.1%	29.0%	18.4%
Mongolia	Bayandun	2017	55.7%	62.9%	47.5%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2000	31.6%	40.6%	24.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Bayangol	2000	34.5%	48.3%	21.8%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2017	70.8%	80.4%	59.4%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2017	70.1%	78.0%	58.8%
Mongolia	Bayangovi	2000	20.9%	27.4%	14.8%
Mongolia	Bayangovi	2017	59.8%	71.1%	45.9%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2000	37.3%	46.2%	28.8%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2000	44.9%	54.2%	37.1%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2017	75.2%	83.2%	66.1%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2017	81.7%	86.9%	74.4%
Mongolia	Bayankhairkhan	2000	27.8%	36.1%	20.9%
Mongolia	Bayankhairkhan	2017	62.2%	71.2%	52.5%
Mongolia	Bayankhangai	2000	54.6%	73.4%	33.5%
Mongolia	Bayankhangai	2017	85.8%	93.4%	71.8%
Mongolia	Bayankhongor	2000	43.0%	46.5%	39.2%
Mongolia	Bayankhongor	2017	80.3%	82.7%	77.9%
Mongolia	Bayankhutag	2000	70.0%	76.6%	61.0%
Mongolia	Bayankhutag	2017	91.4%	93.4%	88.6%
Mongolia	Bayanlig	2000	23.6%	28.8%	19.2%
Mongolia	Bayanlig	2017	61.4%	71.6%	49.7%
Mongolia	Bayanmönkh	2000	28.5%	36.6%	21.1%
Mongolia	Bayanmönkh	2017	66.4%	74.8%	57.6%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2000	34.2%	46.4%	22.7%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2000	24.0%	31.3%	16.8%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2017	71.1%	84.9%	51.8%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2017	63.1%	73.0%	50.6%
Mongolia	Bayantal	2000	54.5%	72.3%	38.0%
Mongolia	Bayantal	2017	87.4%	94.7%	73.9%
Mongolia	Bayantes	2000	32.8%	40.3%	26.5%
Mongolia	Bayantes	2017	71.1%	80.0%	60.8%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2000	19.3%	23.6%	15.5%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2000	41.5%	49.0%	33.1%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2017	78.2%	83.9%	72.2%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2017	53.0%	60.1%	44.4%
Mongolia	Bayantsogt	2000	51.2%	64.1%	37.5%
Mongolia	Bayantsogt	2017	84.6%	91.5%	72.6%
Mongolia	Bayantümen	2000	54.1%	59.6%	48.5%
Mongolia	Bayantümen	2017	82.7%	87.0%	77.6%
Mongolia	Bayanzürkh	2000	15.9%	20.9%	11.6%
Mongolia	Bayanzürkh	2017	43.8%	53.8%	35.6%
Mongolia	Biger	2000	21.0%	26.3%	15.7%
Mongolia	Biger	2017	58.2%	66.8%	48.4%
Mongolia	Binder	2000	28.6%	33.2%	23.1%
Mongolia	Binder	2017	61.6%	66.7%	54.7%
Mongolia	Bogd	2000	24.3%	28.4%	20.4%
Mongolia	Bogd	2000	24.5%	30.0%	19.1%
Mongolia	Bogd	2017	61.3%	68.0%	54.4%
Mongolia	Bogd	2017	62.5%	70.0%	53.3%
Mongolia	Bökhmörön	2000	24.4%	32.4%	18.1%
Mongolia	Bökhmörön	2017	62.1%	71.0%	53.9%
Mongolia	Bömbögör	2000	23.2%	29.5%	17.6%
Mongolia	Bömbögör	2017	60.8%	68.6%	52.8%
Mongolia	Bornuur	2000	36.0%	51.8%	23.0%
Mongolia	Bornuur	2017	78.1%	85.8%	68.3%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	63.4%	75.1%	51.8%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	23.6%	28.0%	19.6%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	42.9%	51.1%	34.8%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	58.2%	64.9%	49.8%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	81.3%	86.6%	74.5%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	89.0%	93.8%	82.2%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	21.0%	31.3%	13.6%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	17.7%	23.5%	13.6%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	28.7%	37.2%	22.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	27.8%	33.4%	22.6%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	31.8%	38.6%	26.3%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	67.2%	78.3%	55.6%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	70.4%	76.2%	62.7%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	52.0%	58.9%	45.1%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	51.4%	64.2%	38.3%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	65.5%	73.7%	57.3%
Mongolia	Büird	2000	40.2%	48.8%	30.8%
Mongolia	Büird	2017	78.0%	85.8%	67.4%
Mongolia	Büiregkhangai	2000	35.2%	45.7%	24.9%
Mongolia	Büiregkhangai	2017	69.6%	79.9%	57.2%
Mongolia	Büren	2000	32.3%	41.1%	23.9%
Mongolia	Büren	2017	71.8%	80.4%	61.4%
Mongolia	Büirentogtokh	2000	33.5%	41.6%	26.2%
Mongolia	Büirentogtokh	2017	66.9%	74.9%	58.0%
Mongolia	Buutsagaan	2000	20.8%	27.0%	15.9%
Mongolia	Buutsagaan	2017	58.1%	67.0%	47.4%
Mongolia	Buyant	2000	51.9%	57.7%	46.7%
Mongolia	Buyant	2000	12.6%	18.9%	7.6%
Mongolia	Buyant	2017	40.6%	51.9%	29.6%
Mongolia	Buyant	2017	81.9%	84.7%	78.9%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2000	25.1%	30.7%	20.1%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2000	17.9%	23.3%	13.8%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2017	64.7%	71.8%	57.2%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2017	50.0%	58.6%	41.4%
Mongolia	Chandmani-Öndör	2000	23.5%	30.1%	17.2%
Mongolia	Chandmani-Öndör	2017	52.8%	59.9%	45.8%
Mongolia	Choibalsan	2000	35.5%	43.4%	26.7%
Mongolia	Choibalsan	2017	70.2%	78.1%	60.4%
Mongolia	Chuluunkhoroot	2000	33.0%	42.5%	25.4%
Mongolia	Chuluunkhoroot	2017	65.6%	75.4%	55.1%
Mongolia	Chuluut	2000	13.1%	18.7%	9.0%
Mongolia	Chuluut	2017	40.7%	50.9%	31.2%
Mongolia	Dadal	2000	26.9%	34.9%	20.3%
Mongolia	Dadal	2017	58.4%	64.8%	51.7%
Mongolia	Dalanjargalan	2000	42.4%	50.2%	35.2%
Mongolia	Dalanjargalan	2017	79.4%	84.8%	72.4%
Mongolia	Dalanzadgad	2000	64.4%	70.6%	57.8%
Mongolia	Dalanzadgad	2017	86.0%	89.9%	81.0%
Mongolia	Dariganga	2000	36.7%	43.3%	29.6%
Mongolia	Dariganga	2017	74.4%	81.6%	64.7%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2000	73.0%	76.5%	70.1%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2000	41.2%	49.1%	33.9%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2017	91.3%	93.5%	88.8%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2017	78.4%	85.2%	69.6%
Mongolia	Darvi	2000	22.7%	30.2%	16.7%
Mongolia	Darvi	2000	21.5%	26.2%	16.9%
Mongolia	Darvi	2017	59.8%	72.0%	44.6%
Mongolia	Darvi	2017	57.3%	66.7%	47.9%
Mongolia	Dashbalbar	2000	26.9%	33.1%	22.0%
Mongolia	Dashbalbar	2017	61.9%	71.0%	53.9%
Mongolia	Dashinchilen	2000	35.4%	50.5%	24.3%
Mongolia	Dashinchilen	2017	71.5%	85.7%	55.1%
Mongolia	Davst	2000	29.6%	38.7%	22.4%
Mongolia	Davst	2017	63.1%	70.7%	55.3%
Mongolia	Delger	2000	22.2%	29.1%	16.7%
Mongolia	Delger	2017	54.6%	62.6%	45.9%
Mongolia	Delgerekh	2000	31.8%	39.0%	25.4%
Mongolia	Delgerekh	2017	70.5%	77.6%	60.7%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2000	31.0%	39.9%	23.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2000	35.6%	48.4%	25.2%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2017	70.5%	79.6%	59.9%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2017	70.8%	81.6%	57.9%
Mongolia	Delgerkhangai	2000	32.2%	38.7%	26.3%
Mongolia	Delgerkhangai	2017	70.4%	78.1%	61.7%
Mongolia	Delgertsogt	2000	42.4%	52.3%	32.6%
Mongolia	Delgertsogt	2017	80.4%	87.2%	70.4%
Mongolia	Delüün	2000	22.0%	26.6%	17.9%
Mongolia	Delüün	2017	64.2%	70.7%	58.2%
Mongolia	Deren	2000	36.5%	44.1%	29.1%
Mongolia	Deren	2017	75.3%	81.9%	67.3%
Mongolia	Dörgön	2000	22.3%	28.8%	16.9%
Mongolia	Dörgön	2017	58.3%	66.8%	49.0%
Mongolia	Dörvöljin	2000	17.1%	21.2%	13.7%
Mongolia	Dörvöljin	2017	53.3%	64.7%	41.3%
Mongolia	Duut	2000	18.6%	25.8%	13.0%
Mongolia	Duut	2017	51.8%	67.8%	35.8%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	46.4%	52.9%	39.6%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	11.1%	13.0%	9.7%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	40.7%	52.9%	29.0%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	80.1%	83.8%	74.8%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	77.1%	83.8%	68.8%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	32.2%	35.3%	28.8%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2000	27.6%	34.2%	22.4%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2000	32.0%	36.8%	26.7%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2017	67.0%	73.6%	57.8%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2017	58.9%	67.2%	51.7%
Mongolia	Erdenebüren	2000	22.4%	28.7%	16.6%
Mongolia	Erdenebüren	2017	57.8%	67.6%	46.8%
Mongolia	Erdenedalai	2000	37.7%	42.6%	32.1%
Mongolia	Erdenedalai	2017	75.9%	80.4%	70.0%
Mongolia	Erdenehairkhan	2000	19.5%	24.5%	15.0%
Mongolia	Erdenehairkhan	2017	54.7%	61.9%	47.0%
Mongolia	Erdenemandal	2000	20.0%	27.2%	14.2%
Mongolia	Erdenemandal	2017	51.6%	61.9%	39.9%
Mongolia	Erdenesant	2000	48.0%	56.8%	38.0%
Mongolia	Erdenesant	2017	84.7%	90.2%	75.7%
Mongolia	Erdenetsagaan	2000	35.4%	40.0%	31.3%
Mongolia	Erdenetsagaan	2017	74.1%	78.6%	68.6%
Mongolia	Erdenetsogt	2000	17.6%	25.4%	12.6%
Mongolia	Erdenetsogt	2017	44.9%	52.7%	37.6%
Mongolia	Galshar	2000	32.0%	37.8%	26.6%
Mongolia	Galshar	2017	70.0%	75.6%	64.1%
Mongolia	Galt	2000	22.1%	35.2%	13.9%
Mongolia	Galt	2017	53.8%	66.4%	40.1%
Mongolia	Galut	2000	20.6%	25.7%	16.2%
Mongolia	Galut	2017	56.9%	65.8%	48.7%
Mongolia	Govi-Ugtaal	2000	31.7%	40.9%	23.6%
Mongolia	Govi-Ugtaal	2017	70.7%	79.6%	60.9%
Mongolia	Guchin-Us	2000	29.6%	37.8%	23.1%
Mongolia	Guchin-Us	2017	67.8%	76.0%	57.0%
Mongolia	Gurvan tes	2000	26.5%	31.3%	22.3%
Mongolia	Gurvan tes	2017	64.7%	71.4%	56.5%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2000	30.6%	41.2%	22.0%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2000	16.9%	22.2%	12.0%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2017	64.7%	74.0%	54.3%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2017	51.5%	61.9%	40.5%
Mongolia	Gurvansaikhan	2000	34.7%	41.3%	28.9%
Mongolia	Gurvansaikhan	2017	72.2%	77.1%	66.8%
Mongolia	Gurvanzagal	2000	27.5%	33.1%	21.3%
Mongolia	Gurvanzagal	2017	63.3%	69.8%	56.0%
Mongolia	Ider	2000	17.8%	23.1%	13.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Ider	2017	49.1%	57.6%	40.0%
Mongolia	Ikh-Tamir	2000	24.2%	29.7%	19.2%
Mongolia	Ikh-Tamir	2017	55.6%	63.0%	48.0%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2000	18.8%	27.0%	12.8%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2000	31.1%	47.4%	19.4%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2017	35.7%	46.0%	27.8%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2017	69.0%	82.6%	49.2%
Mongolia	Ikhkheth	2000	30.3%	37.6%	24.4%
Mongolia	Ikhkheth	2017	68.3%	76.2%	59.6%
Mongolia	Jargalan	2000	20.5%	27.3%	15.1%
Mongolia	Jargalan	2017	56.7%	65.9%	46.2%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	35.5%	38.2%	32.8%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	48.8%	62.8%	36.1%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	45.2%	56.4%	34.9%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	17.6%	24.4%	12.3%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	20.0%	31.2%	11.3%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	18.4%	22.9%	14.5%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	81.4%	87.3%	73.7%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	46.6%	59.9%	33.7%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	72.9%	75.2%	70.2%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	59.1%	66.1%	52.3%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	81.7%	88.6%	72.1%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	52.2%	67.1%	37.1%
Mongolia	Jargaltkhaan	2000	48.4%	60.3%	36.9%
Mongolia	Jargaltkhaan	2017	84.1%	91.1%	73.0%
Mongolia	Javkhlan	2000	51.5%	63.4%	38.3%
Mongolia	Javkhlan	2017	82.0%	90.5%	70.1%
Mongolia	Jinst	2000	25.5%	31.3%	20.0%
Mongolia	Jinst	2017	63.2%	72.9%	52.7%
Mongolia	Khairkhan	2000	25.6%	38.2%	17.4%
Mongolia	Khairkhan	2017	61.4%	74.5%	46.9%
Mongolia	Khairkhandulaan	2000	34.5%	43.7%	27.4%
Mongolia	Khairkhandulaan	2017	73.9%	82.9%	63.4%
Mongolia	Khaliun	2000	20.0%	25.4%	15.9%
Mongolia	Khaliun	2017	54.1%	61.3%	46.5%
Mongolia	Khalkhgal	2000	41.0%	57.3%	27.8%
Mongolia	Khalkhgal	2017	76.7%	85.9%	60.6%
Mongolia	Khalzan	2000	35.8%	43.1%	28.9%
Mongolia	Khalzan	2017	74.2%	81.6%	64.8%
Mongolia	Khan khongor	2000	38.1%	43.3%	32.7%
Mongolia	Khan khongor	2017	71.1%	77.2%	64.2%
Mongolia	Khanbogd	2000	35.3%	41.1%	29.6%
Mongolia	Khanbogd	2017	72.8%	79.9%	64.2%
Mongolia	Khangai	2000	15.3%	21.4%	10.3%
Mongolia	Khangai	2017	45.2%	55.3%	33.9%
Mongolia	Khangal	2000	41.6%	61.7%	24.2%
Mongolia	Khangal	2017	79.0%	89.5%	61.9%
Mongolia	Khankh	2000	28.1%	38.2%	19.9%
Mongolia	Khankh	2017	59.6%	73.4%	45.9%
Mongolia	Kharkhorin	2000	41.0%	48.2%	33.9%
Mongolia	Kharkhorin	2017	78.0%	83.4%	70.7%
Mongolia	Khashaat	2000	30.7%	40.9%	22.4%
Mongolia	Khashaat	2017	66.0%	76.5%	53.6%
Mongolia	Khatanbulag	2000	25.7%	28.6%	23.0%
Mongolia	Khatanbulag	2017	63.1%	67.5%	58.8%
Mongolia	Khatgal	2000	21.3%	37.0%	9.4%
Mongolia	Khatgal	2017	47.3%	63.8%	32.2%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2000	67.6%	70.5%	64.6%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2000	46.7%	52.3%	40.9%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2017	83.0%	86.9%	77.5%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2017	89.9%	91.8%	87.7%
Mongolia	Khishig-Öndör	2000	34.4%	47.8%	23.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Khishig-Öndör	2017	70.1%	82.3%	53.2%
Mongolia	Khökh morit	2000	19.2%	24.5%	15.0%
Mongolia	Khökh morit	2017	56.1%	65.4%	45.6%
Mongolia	Khölönbuir	2000	31.9%	38.4%	25.4%
Mongolia	Khölönbuir	2017	74.7%	80.4%	67.6%
Mongolia	Khongor	2000	54.8%	70.2%	44.5%
Mongolia	Khongor	2017	85.3%	91.5%	76.4%
Mongolia	Khotont	2000	28.1%	36.1%	20.6%
Mongolia	Khotont	2017	63.6%	74.5%	49.2%
Mongolia	Khovd	2000	76.8%	80.7%	72.3%
Mongolia	Khovd	2000	25.3%	32.8%	18.3%
Mongolia	Khovd	2017	64.4%	74.1%	55.6%
Mongolia	Khovd	2017	83.2%	87.6%	78.4%
Mongolia	Khövsgöl	2000	27.6%	33.0%	23.3%
Mongolia	Khövsgöl	2017	64.3%	70.2%	57.8%
Mongolia	Khüder	2000	30.2%	40.6%	22.3%
Mongolia	Khüder	2017	66.0%	75.7%	55.8%
Mongolia	Khujirt	2000	36.7%	56.8%	22.0%
Mongolia	Khujirt	2017	70.0%	77.5%	58.6%
Mongolia	Khuld	2000	37.9%	44.1%	32.0%
Mongolia	Khuld	2017	75.8%	81.0%	69.7%
Mongolia	Khüreemara	2000	17.0%	21.7%	12.9%
Mongolia	Khüreemara	2017	49.2%	60.9%	38.1%
Mongolia	Khürmen	2000	25.9%	30.6%	21.9%
Mongolia	Khürmen	2017	63.5%	69.3%	57.4%
Mongolia	Khushaat	2000	35.9%	45.0%	26.2%
Mongolia	Khushaat	2017	71.4%	80.4%	59.8%
Mongolia	Khutag-Öndör	2000	36.5%	46.7%	28.0%
Mongolia	Khutag-Öndör	2017	70.7%	78.8%	62.2%
Mongolia	Khyargas	2000	30.5%	37.9%	24.1%
Mongolia	Khyargas	2017	69.8%	77.9%	62.9%
Mongolia	Lün	2000	51.9%	70.7%	32.8%
Mongolia	Lün	2017	84.8%	93.6%	67.8%
Mongolia	Luus	2000	42.0%	50.0%	33.5%
Mongolia	Luus	2017	79.8%	85.4%	72.1%
Mongolia	Malchin	2000	24.9%	31.9%	18.8%
Mongolia	Malchin	2017	62.4%	71.2%	52.3%
Mongolia	Mandakh	2000	24.4%	29.8%	19.7%
Mongolia	Mandakh	2017	63.8%	70.2%	55.9%
Mongolia	Mandal	2000	37.7%	46.4%	28.6%
Mongolia	Mandal	2017	76.1%	82.2%	68.8%
Mongolia	Mandal-Ovoo	2000	29.6%	37.2%	22.8%
Mongolia	Mandal-Ovoo	2017	66.8%	78.0%	51.7%
Mongolia	Mankhan	2000	25.5%	33.3%	19.1%
Mongolia	Mankhan	2017	60.7%	68.5%	53.8%
Mongolia	Manlai	2000	28.6%	33.0%	24.3%
Mongolia	Manlai	2017	63.8%	73.2%	53.2%
Mongolia	Matad	2000	26.9%	30.3%	23.7%
Mongolia	Matad	2017	64.0%	72.1%	56.1%
Mongolia	Mogod	2000	29.2%	45.7%	17.8%
Mongolia	Mogod	2017	62.2%	82.2%	42.4%
Mongolia	Möngönmorit	2000	31.7%	37.6%	25.3%
Mongolia	Möngönmorit	2017	66.5%	73.3%	59.2%
Mongolia	Mönkhkhairkhan	2000	18.8%	26.7%	12.6%
Mongolia	Mönkhkhairkhan	2017	53.3%	72.1%	34.4%
Mongolia	Mörön	2000	46.1%	54.9%	37.3%
Mongolia	Mörön	2000	41.4%	46.7%	36.9%
Mongolia	Mörön	2017	74.8%	79.5%	69.8%
Mongolia	Mörön	2017	82.3%	88.9%	74.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Möst	2000	21.3%	29.9%	14.1%
Mongolia	Möst	2017	57.4%	70.8%	43.7%
Mongolia	Myangad	2000	25.3%	34.4%	17.4%
Mongolia	Myangad	2017	64.0%	73.5%	53.2%
Mongolia	Naran	2000	34.6%	42.6%	26.9%
Mongolia	Naran	2017	73.0%	80.9%	64.9%
Mongolia	Naranbulag	2000	24.5%	31.0%	19.9%
Mongolia	Naranbulag	2017	62.7%	70.9%	54.0%
Mongolia	Nariinteel	2000	34.0%	44.0%	25.2%
Mongolia	Nariinteel	2017	71.9%	81.2%	61.8%
Mongolia	Nogoonnuur	2000	25.5%	32.9%	18.6%
Mongolia	Nogoonnuur	2017	63.4%	71.7%	54.6%
Mongolia	Nomgon	2000	25.3%	28.9%	22.0%
Mongolia	Nomgon	2017	62.7%	67.5%	57.8%
Mongolia	Nömrög	2000	23.4%	31.2%	17.7%
Mongolia	Nömrög	2017	58.5%	70.6%	45.4%
Mongolia	Norovlin	2000	29.6%	41.4%	20.5%
Mongolia	Norovlin	2017	64.9%	78.1%	48.7%
Mongolia	Noyon	2000	28.1%	33.5%	23.1%
Mongolia	Noyon	2017	65.0%	72.3%	56.5%
Mongolia	Ögii nuur	2000	24.0%	35.1%	15.3%
Mongolia	Ögii nuur	2017	57.1%	74.6%	40.7%
Mongolia	Ölgii	2000	24.3%	35.3%	16.4%
Mongolia	Ölgii	2017	62.8%	74.4%	49.6%
Mongolia	Ölgii (city)	2000	29.1%	34.6%	24.5%
Mongolia	Ölgii (city)	2017	71.2%	77.3%	64.1%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	33.8%	42.3%	24.3%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	45.2%	53.9%	35.8%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	24.8%	33.1%	17.8%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	34.3%	38.5%	30.4%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	55.6%	66.5%	46.0%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	77.9%	83.2%	71.5%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	72.5%	76.8%	68.1%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	70.9%	79.6%	61.1%
Mongolia	Ömnödelger	2000	33.6%	39.1%	28.1%
Mongolia	Ömnödelger	2017	69.4%	76.2%	61.3%
Mongolia	Ömnögovi	2000	22.0%	28.2%	16.3%
Mongolia	Ömnögovi	2017	57.3%	68.3%	45.4%
Mongolia	Öndör-Ulaan	2000	18.2%	23.6%	12.9%
Mongolia	Öndör-Ulaan	2017	49.2%	56.4%	40.5%
Mongolia	Öndörkhagai	2000	24.7%	36.3%	17.1%
Mongolia	Öndörkhagai	2017	60.4%	71.7%	46.1%
Mongolia	Öndörshil	2000	30.7%	37.2%	24.6%
Mongolia	Öndörshil	2017	69.1%	76.7%	60.7%
Mongolia	Öndörshireet	2000	41.8%	51.7%	31.4%
Mongolia	Öndörshireet	2017	78.6%	86.1%	69.7%
Mongolia	Ongon	2000	32.8%	38.8%	27.1%
Mongolia	Ongon	2017	71.1%	77.8%	62.9%
Mongolia	Örgön	2000	70.7%	79.2%	62.0%
Mongolia	Örgön	2017	90.5%	93.2%	87.3%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	41.9%	48.4%	36.5%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	35.6%	51.4%	22.0%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	50.5%	64.3%	37.6%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	66.6%	76.7%	54.0%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	81.4%	85.1%	77.4%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	80.9%	89.6%	68.4%
Mongolia	Orkhontuul	2000	37.0%	49.4%	27.3%
Mongolia	Orkhontuul	2017	71.3%	79.1%	62.3%
Mongolia	Otgon	2000	15.7%	20.4%	12.4%
Mongolia	Otgon	2017	45.1%	57.8%	32.1%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2000	32.0%	47.8%	19.5%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2000	26.2%	34.8%	19.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Rashaant	2017	59.2%	69.5%	47.9%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2017	72.6%	84.3%	56.9%
Mongolia	Renchinlkhümbe	2000	23.8%	29.5%	18.6%
Mongolia	Renchinlkhümbe	2017	57.0%	65.1%	48.4%
Mongolia	Sagil	2000	28.7%	35.3%	23.4%
Mongolia	Sagil	2017	66.1%	73.7%	57.9%
Mongolia	Sagsai	2000	26.3%	33.5%	20.2%
Mongolia	Sagsai	2017	65.9%	73.2%	58.2%
Mongolia	Saikhan	2000	37.1%	49.7%	25.4%
Mongolia	Saikhan	2017	71.3%	80.5%	58.3%
Mongolia	Saikhan-Ovoo	2000	30.9%	37.3%	24.3%
Mongolia	Saikhan-Ovoo	2017	69.0%	76.5%	59.6%
Mongolia	Saikhandulaan	2000	32.7%	37.3%	27.7%
Mongolia	Saikhandulaan	2017	70.6%	75.4%	65.1%
Mongolia	Sainshand	2000	62.9%	70.5%	55.1%
Mongolia	Sainshand	2017	85.3%	89.2%	80.1%
Mongolia	Saintsagaan	2000	48.5%	55.4%	41.1%
Mongolia	Saintsagaan	2017	82.1%	86.4%	76.3%
Mongolia	Sant	2000	43.4%	57.1%	31.1%
Mongolia	Sant	2000	27.5%	35.2%	21.3%
Mongolia	Sant	2017	74.6%	87.6%	59.1%
Mongolia	Sant	2017	66.2%	75.1%	57.7%
Mongolia	Santmargats	2000	22.1%	29.8%	16.5%
Mongolia	Santmargats	2017	58.2%	69.7%	44.2%
Mongolia	Saykhan	2000	41.3%	47.1%	35.1%
Mongolia	Saykhan	2017	79.3%	83.6%	74.3%
Mongolia	Selenge	2000	58.8%	66.8%	49.9%
Mongolia	Selenge	2017	83.0%	88.1%	75.7%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2000	69.0%	79.4%	55.2%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2000	26.3%	32.8%	19.9%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2017	93.0%	96.0%	87.5%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2017	59.9%	67.8%	50.8%
Mongolia	Sevrei	2000	28.4%	33.2%	23.9%
Mongolia	Sevrei	2017	66.7%	72.7%	60.2%
Mongolia	Shaamar	2000	53.1%	75.5%	31.1%
Mongolia	Shaamar	2017	84.9%	93.0%	70.3%
Mongolia	Sharga	2000	24.5%	32.7%	17.9%
Mongolia	Sharga	2017	61.7%	71.7%	51.4%
Mongolia	Sharyngol	2000	35.7%	45.9%	26.7%
Mongolia	Sharyngol	2017	76.0%	84.0%	67.1%
Mongolia	Shilüüstei	2000	18.9%	26.4%	12.9%
Mongolia	Shilüüstei	2017	51.7%	63.5%	39.3%
Mongolia	Shine-Ider	2000	23.0%	39.7%	13.2%
Mongolia	Shine-Ider	2017	56.8%	74.9%	38.2%
Mongolia	Shinejinst	2000	17.5%	20.8%	15.1%
Mongolia	Shinejinst	2017	52.0%	57.0%	47.0%
Mongolia	Shiveegovi	2000	53.8%	67.1%	40.6%
Mongolia	Shiveegovi	2017	85.3%	92.8%	76.1%
Mongolia	Songino	2000	23.3%	30.4%	17.4%
Mongolia	Songino	2017	58.7%	67.2%	48.6%
Mongolia	Sükhbaatar	2000	55.1%	70.6%	42.1%
Mongolia	Sükhbaatar	2017	85.2%	93.4%	72.3%
Mongolia	Sümer	2000	44.5%	60.4%	30.6%
Mongolia	Sümer	2000	44.4%	51.2%	38.1%
Mongolia	Sümer	2017	81.1%	90.1%	70.4%
Mongolia	Sümer	2017	76.5%	82.4%	70.0%
Mongolia	Taishir	2000	24.8%	34.9%	17.9%
Mongolia	Taishir	2017	60.6%	71.0%	50.4%
Mongolia	Taragt	2000	45.4%	53.0%	38.6%
Mongolia	Taragt	2017	81.2%	85.7%	75.4%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2000	18.2%	24.9%	13.7%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2000	29.3%	38.9%	21.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Tarialan	2017	68.5%	76.9%	59.2%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2017	54.6%	61.3%	47.0%
Mongolia	Tariat	2000	14.5%	21.2%	9.9%
Mongolia	Tariat	2017	41.2%	51.3%	33.3%
Mongolia	Telmen	2000	22.9%	30.2%	17.1%
Mongolia	Telmen	2017	59.5%	72.6%	45.9%
Mongolia	Tes	2000	31.5%	45.2%	21.8%
Mongolia	Tes	2000	23.9%	30.5%	18.7%
Mongolia	Tes	2017	57.8%	65.4%	50.2%
Mongolia	Tes	2017	67.6%	84.4%	48.5%
Mongolia	Teshig	2000	35.4%	41.0%	28.8%
Mongolia	Teshig	2017	69.3%	76.0%	61.7%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2000	23.0%	32.9%	16.8%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2000	32.2%	40.2%	25.1%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2017	59.4%	68.6%	48.9%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2017	71.6%	81.3%	58.9%
Mongolia	Tolbo	2000	26.5%	34.5%	19.3%
Mongolia	Tolbo	2017	63.9%	72.9%	54.8%
Mongolia	Tömörbulag	2000	19.6%	27.5%	14.2%
Mongolia	Tömörbulag	2017	50.1%	58.6%	42.0%
Mongolia	Tonkhil	2000	16.7%	20.2%	13.5%
Mongolia	Tonkhil	2017	47.2%	57.7%	38.3%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2000	31.9%	42.3%	21.5%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2000	26.1%	41.6%	16.5%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2017	65.7%	77.2%	52.2%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2017	70.3%	81.1%	56.5%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Ovoo	2000	27.8%	32.7%	23.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Ovoo	2017	64.1%	69.9%	58.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Uul	2000	22.4%	29.6%	16.3%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Uul	2017	53.2%	62.5%	43.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Üür	2000	28.0%	35.0%	22.6%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Üür	2017	62.0%	72.9%	49.6%
Mongolia	Tsagaanchuluut	2000	19.9%	28.3%	13.1%
Mongolia	Tsagaanchuluut	2017	53.9%	65.1%	39.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaandelger	2000	39.8%	47.9%	31.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaandelger	2017	77.6%	84.5%	69.5%
Mongolia	Tsagaanhairhan	2000	26.3%	34.9%	20.0%
Mongolia	Tsagaanhairhan	2017	63.3%	72.9%	53.0%
Mongolia	Tsagaankhairkhan	2000	21.8%	37.8%	11.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaankhairkhan	2017	56.4%	74.1%	39.7%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	46.5%	69.1%	24.4%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	21.0%	36.7%	11.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	17.6%	21.5%	14.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	50.1%	55.8%	44.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	55.7%	76.8%	37.8%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	79.6%	89.4%	61.7%
Mongolia	Tsakhir	2000	13.1%	17.5%	9.1%
Mongolia	Tsakhir	2017	41.8%	49.9%	33.4%
Mongolia	Tseel	2000	38.2%	49.5%	26.8%
Mongolia	Tseel	2000	18.3%	22.7%	14.1%
Mongolia	Tseel	2017	53.6%	61.6%	45.8%
Mongolia	Tseel	2017	74.7%	83.0%	61.4%
Mongolia	Tsengel	2000	18.3%	27.0%	12.0%
Mongolia	Tsengel	2017	50.9%	61.1%	41.0%
Mongolia	Tsenkher	2000	25.2%	35.2%	17.2%
Mongolia	Tsenkher	2017	60.2%	70.9%	47.5%
Mongolia	Tsenkhermandal	2000	46.8%	57.0%	36.8%
Mongolia	Tsenkhermandal	2017	80.7%	87.5%	72.7%
Mongolia	Tsetseg	2000	20.8%	27.9%	15.5%
Mongolia	Tsetseg	2017	59.5%	74.1%	42.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Tsetsen-Uul	2000	21.9%	30.2%	15.7%
Mongolia	Tsetsen-Uul	2017	59.3%	68.0%	50.0%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2000	19.4%	25.4%	14.7%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2000	27.2%	34.2%	21.2%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2017	48.4%	54.8%	41.9%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2017	56.6%	63.3%	49.0%
Mongolia	Tsogt	2000	15.6%	18.8%	13.0%
Mongolia	Tsogt	2017	51.3%	59.6%	43.0%
Mongolia	Tsogt-Ovoo	2000	34.5%	39.9%	28.9%
Mongolia	Tsogt-Ovoo	2017	70.7%	79.0%	61.4%
Mongolia	Tsogttsetsii	2000	29.8%	35.5%	25.2%
Mongolia	Tsogttsetsii	2017	70.5%	77.4%	63.0%
Mongolia	Tüdevtei	2000	28.5%	40.7%	19.7%
Mongolia	Tüdevtei	2017	63.4%	74.4%	53.0%
Mongolia	Tümentsogt	2000	48.9%	58.2%	38.9%
Mongolia	Tümentsogt	2017	82.7%	89.3%	74.5%
Mongolia	Tünel	2000	42.6%	50.0%	36.2%
Mongolia	Tünel	2017	69.8%	76.9%	62.2%
Mongolia	Türgen	2000	23.5%	34.1%	15.0%
Mongolia	Türgen	2017	57.7%	71.0%	44.5%
Mongolia	Tüshig	2000	46.2%	73.6%	25.0%
Mongolia	Tüshig	2017	80.4%	91.3%	61.0%
Mongolia	Tüvshinshiree	2000	32.9%	41.7%	26.1%
Mongolia	Tüvshinshiree	2017	70.6%	79.0%	62.0%
Mongolia	Tüvshrüülekh	2000	25.0%	35.1%	16.4%
Mongolia	Tüvshrüülekh	2017	61.0%	72.1%	48.3%
Mongolia	Ugtaal	2000	40.4%	50.6%	30.5%
Mongolia	Ugtaal	2017	73.5%	81.8%	64.6%
Mongolia	Ulaan-Uul	2000	16.2%	22.7%	12.1%
Mongolia	Ulaan-Uul	2017	44.0%	50.9%	36.4%
Mongolia	Ulaanbadrakh	2000	34.5%	50.5%	22.8%
Mongolia	Ulaanbadrakh	2017	70.8%	79.1%	58.4%
Mongolia	Ulaangom	2000	28.8%	33.2%	25.4%
Mongolia	Ulaangom	2017	61.8%	68.0%	55.7%
Mongolia	Ulaankhus	2000	20.9%	29.1%	15.0%
Mongolia	Ulaankhus	2017	55.4%	64.1%	47.4%
Mongolia	Ulan Bator	2000	86.9%	89.6%	84.2%
Mongolia	Ulan Bator	2017	96.1%	97.2%	95.0%
Mongolia	Urgamal	2000	18.5%	24.0%	13.9%
Mongolia	Urgamal	2017	54.6%	64.8%	44.1%
Mongolia	Uulbayan	2000	36.6%	43.1%	29.8%
Mongolia	Uulbayan	2017	75.9%	80.9%	69.7%
Mongolia	Uyanga	2000	23.6%	29.6%	18.1%
Mongolia	Uyanga	2017	57.9%	66.7%	49.0%
Mongolia	Üyench	2000	25.7%	30.2%	21.0%
Mongolia	Üyench	2017	64.5%	70.3%	57.3%
Mongolia	Yaruu	2000	20.8%	25.9%	15.6%
Mongolia	Yaruu	2017	55.9%	65.3%	44.4%
Mongolia	Yeröö	2000	30.3%	37.0%	24.6%
Mongolia	Yeröö	2017	68.6%	76.5%	59.0%
Mongolia	Yesönbulag	2000	52.2%	60.1%	44.6%
Mongolia	Yesönbulag	2017	79.7%	84.1%	75.5%
Mongolia	Yesönzüil	2000	33.6%	43.1%	25.0%
Mongolia	Yesönzüil	2017	70.4%	79.8%	59.7%
Mongolia	Zaamar	2000	36.3%	46.3%	26.6%
Mongolia	Zaamar	2017	66.4%	76.4%	56.3%
Mongolia	Zag	2000	17.0%	23.7%	12.1%
Mongolia	Zag	2017	53.7%	66.3%	42.4%
Mongolia	Zamyn-Üüd	2000	69.0%	80.0%	54.3%
Mongolia	Zamyn-Üüd	2017	93.3%	96.2%	89.1%
Mongolia	Zavkhan	2000	20.1%	24.6%	16.2%
Mongolia	Zavkhan	2017	57.3%	63.9%	49.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Zavkhanmandal	2000	18.5%	26.3%	12.6%
Mongolia	Zavkhanmandal	2017	54.5%	69.2%	40.4%
Mongolia	Zereg	2000	23.7%	36.9%	14.8%
Mongolia	Zereg	2017	59.4%	73.2%	45.9%
Mongolia	Züünbayan-Ulaan	2000	33.4%	42.6%	25.3%
Mongolia	Züünbayan-Ulaan	2017	70.9%	78.7%	61.7%
Mongolia	Züünbüren	2000	48.3%	62.7%	34.6%
Mongolia	Züünbüren	2017	81.1%	88.1%	72.3%
Mongolia	Züüngovi	2000	26.6%	34.6%	19.5%
Mongolia	Züüngovi	2017	60.5%	71.0%	48.3%
Mongolia	Züünkhantai	2000	26.1%	35.0%	19.0%
Mongolia	Züünkhantai	2017	60.4%	73.1%	44.9%
Tajikistan	Asht	2000	71.5%	83.5%	56.8%
Tajikistan	Asht	2017	88.0%	95.1%	77.5%
Tajikistan	Ayni	2000	54.9%	72.1%	37.5%
Tajikistan	Ayni	2017	76.7%	88.7%	60.5%
Tajikistan	Baljuvon	2000	53.3%	85.8%	21.5%
Tajikistan	Baljuvon	2017	71.4%	95.4%	40.9%
Tajikistan	Bokhtar	2000	40.3%	44.2%	36.4%
Tajikistan	Bokhtar	2017	84.8%	86.3%	82.5%
Tajikistan	Danghara	2000	68.4%	83.9%	44.7%
Tajikistan	Danghara	2017	84.7%	93.7%	70.4%
Tajikistan	Darvoz	2000	65.5%	77.6%	50.1%
Tajikistan	Darvoz	2017	81.9%	91.7%	67.9%
Tajikistan	Farkhor	2000	53.3%	61.6%	45.4%
Tajikistan	Farkhor	2017	85.9%	91.5%	78.3%
Tajikistan	Fayzobod	2000	49.6%	58.9%	40.8%
Tajikistan	Fayzobod	2017	79.9%	88.0%	72.0%
Tajikistan	Ghafurov	2000	72.2%	75.7%	67.6%
Tajikistan	Ghafurov	2017	91.2%	92.6%	89.1%
Tajikistan	Ghonchi	2000	71.7%	86.9%	57.8%
Tajikistan	Ghonchi	2017	89.7%	98.1%	78.5%
Tajikistan	Hissor	2000	36.1%	39.7%	33.0%
Tajikistan	Hissor	2017	81.7%	83.4%	79.4%
Tajikistan	Isfara	2000	35.6%	42.0%	29.9%
Tajikistan	Isfara	2017	70.8%	78.7%	62.1%
Tajikistan	Ishkoshim	2000	45.2%	60.2%	30.6%
Tajikistan	Ishkoshim	2017	63.5%	77.1%	49.6%
Tajikistan	Istaravshan	2000	63.3%	71.4%	53.5%
Tajikistan	Istaravshan	2017	91.0%	96.7%	80.1%
Tajikistan	Jabor Rasulov	2000	61.5%	67.3%	54.9%
Tajikistan	Jabor Rasulov	2017	90.8%	93.3%	84.6%
Tajikistan	Jilikul	2000	36.0%	47.6%	24.1%
Tajikistan	Jilikul	2017	72.6%	79.6%	61.3%
Tajikistan	Jirgatal	2000	61.9%	77.9%	45.6%
Tajikistan	Jirgatal	2017	82.7%	92.7%	69.9%
Tajikistan	Jomi	2000	24.3%	34.2%	19.7%
Tajikistan	Jomi	2017	62.5%	74.7%	54.6%
Tajikistan	Khovaling	2000	69.8%	87.7%	44.6%
Tajikistan	Khovaling	2017	85.8%	95.3%	70.0%
Tajikistan	Khuroson	2000	29.0%	41.4%	17.1%
Tajikistan	Khuroson	2017	55.6%	66.9%	42.6%
Tajikistan	Kolkhozobod	2000	36.5%	49.0%	22.8%
Tajikistan	Kolkhozobod	2017	74.2%	81.4%	61.3%
Tajikistan	Konibodom	2000	63.5%	72.2%	54.4%
Tajikistan	Konibodom	2017	91.3%	96.0%	85.1%
Tajikistan	Kuhistoni Mastchoh	2000	63.6%	84.0%	39.6%
Tajikistan	Kuhistoni Mastchoh	2017	81.8%	95.7%	62.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tajikistan	Kulob	2000	79.4%	82.4%	76.7%
Tajikistan	Kulob	2017	96.6%	97.9%	95.4%
Tajikistan	Matchin	2000	80.4%	93.3%	63.5%
Tajikistan	Matchin	2017	93.2%	99.1%	81.2%
Tajikistan	Moskva	2000	72.3%	81.2%	63.1%
Tajikistan	Moskva	2017	87.2%	93.9%	78.2%
Tajikistan	Muminobod	2000	65.3%	73.1%	51.9%
Tajikistan	Muminobod	2017	83.4%	91.7%	75.4%
Tajikistan	Murghob	2000	53.9%	64.7%	44.7%
Tajikistan	Murghob	2017	72.6%	81.3%	63.6%
Tajikistan	Norak	2000	69.8%	88.7%	51.7%
Tajikistan	Norak	2017	82.3%	95.2%	67.6%
Tajikistan	Nosir Khusrav	2000	43.3%	80.2%	10.8%
Tajikistan	Nosir Khusrav	2017	64.3%	88.3%	33.7%
Tajikistan	Nurobod	2000	43.1%	65.1%	31.4%
Tajikistan	Nurobod	2017	65.0%	82.0%	51.8%
Tajikistan	Pandjakent	2000	73.9%	82.7%	65.2%
Tajikistan	Pandjakent	2017	87.7%	93.1%	80.8%
Tajikistan	Panj	2000	61.2%	69.3%	51.9%
Tajikistan	Panj	2017	84.5%	89.9%	78.4%
Tajikistan	Qabodiyon	2000	59.0%	67.1%	48.7%
Tajikistan	Qabodiyon	2017	83.5%	89.3%	75.2%
Tajikistan	Qumsangir	2000	45.3%	56.8%	33.2%
Tajikistan	Qumsangir	2017	78.6%	87.2%	64.4%
Tajikistan	Rasht	2000	64.5%	78.7%	52.8%
Tajikistan	Rasht	2017	82.0%	92.9%	70.6%
Tajikistan	Roghun	2000	67.6%	93.1%	44.8%
Tajikistan	Roghun	2017	81.9%	98.0%	62.4%
Tajikistan	Roshtqala	2000	46.8%	55.8%	37.2%
Tajikistan	Roshtqala	2017	70.1%	77.6%	61.5%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2000	86.8%	88.0%	85.5%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2000	50.4%	53.1%	47.9%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2017	99.2%	99.3%	99.1%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2017	88.4%	91.3%	85.9%
Tajikistan	Rushon	2000	50.3%	63.5%	38.9%
Tajikistan	Rushon	2017	75.3%	85.0%	65.5%
Tajikistan	Sarband	2000	52.3%	60.4%	40.1%
Tajikistan	Sarband	2017	88.1%	92.5%	77.6%
Tajikistan	Shahrinav	2000	43.3%	47.7%	39.7%
Tajikistan	Shahrinav	2017	67.4%	72.7%	63.0%
Tajikistan	Shahriston	2000	79.5%	92.0%	52.7%
Tajikistan	Shahriston	2017	91.4%	98.3%	77.9%
Tajikistan	Shahrituz	2000	52.4%	59.8%	42.7%
Tajikistan	Shahrituz	2017	83.5%	89.2%	74.5%
Tajikistan	Shughnon	2000	66.3%	70.9%	62.2%
Tajikistan	Shughnon	2017	89.4%	91.6%	86.7%
Tajikistan	Shurobod	2000	64.9%	81.5%	43.1%
Tajikistan	Shurobod	2017	85.6%	95.9%	70.5%
Tajikistan	Sovet	2000	71.6%	81.7%	55.0%
Tajikistan	Sovet	2017	84.6%	92.1%	75.5%
Tajikistan	Spitamen	2000	64.1%	75.1%	48.9%
Tajikistan	Spitamen	2017	89.7%	94.9%	76.1%
Tajikistan	Tavildara	2000	52.6%	69.7%	33.9%
Tajikistan	Tavildara	2017	69.5%	83.6%	52.9%
Tajikistan	Tojikobod	2000	58.9%	78.1%	33.2%
Tajikistan	Tojikobod	2017	86.0%	96.8%	67.4%
Tajikistan	Tursunzoda	2000	73.7%	75.9%	70.8%
Tajikistan	Tursunzoda	2017	90.3%	91.6%	88.5%
Tajikistan	Vahdat	2000	57.7%	66.0%	48.0%
Tajikistan	Vahdat	2017	85.1%	91.1%	75.7%
Tajikistan	Vakhsh	2000	32.9%	42.2%	25.4%
Tajikistan	Vakhsh	2017	73.2%	80.5%	64.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tajikistan	Vanj	2000	48.0%	59.8%	35.9%
Tajikistan	Vanj	2017	77.4%	85.6%	68.1%
Tajikistan	Varzob	2000	58.6%	63.7%	53.4%
Tajikistan	Varzob	2017	87.2%	91.9%	82.1%
Tajikistan	Vose	2000	82.2%	87.9%	76.0%
Tajikistan	Vose	2017	96.1%	98.0%	92.3%
Tajikistan	Yovon	2000	60.6%	64.9%	55.7%
Tajikistan	Yovon	2017	78.2%	81.6%	74.3%
Tajikistan	Zafarobod	2000	61.4%	80.9%	39.9%
Tajikistan	Zafarobod	2017	83.5%	95.0%	64.3%
Turkmenistan	Ahal	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Turkmenistan	Ahal	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Turkmenistan	Aşgabat	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Turkmenistan	Aşgabat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Turkmenistan	Balkan	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.5%
Turkmenistan	Balkan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Turkmenistan	Chardzhou	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.4%
Turkmenistan	Chardzhou	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Turkmenistan	Mary	2000	79.0%	82.4%	74.5%
Turkmenistan	Mary	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Turkmenistan	Tashauz	2000	70.7%	75.7%	65.1%
Turkmenistan	Tashauz	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Uzbekistan	Amudaryo	2000	92.5%	94.6%	90.0%
Uzbekistan	Amudaryo	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Andijon	2000	91.2%	94.0%	87.9%
Uzbekistan	Andijon	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Angor	2000	93.9%	96.3%	91.0%
Uzbekistan	Angor	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Aral Sea	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.6%
Uzbekistan	Aral Sea	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Arnasoy	2000	94.5%	96.3%	92.2%
Uzbekistan	Arnasoy	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Asaka	2000	90.0%	94.0%	85.5%
Uzbekistan	Asaka	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Baliqchi	2000	90.4%	93.5%	86.8%
Uzbekistan	Baliqchi	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Bandixon	2000	94.0%	95.8%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Bandixon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Baxmal	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.5%
Uzbekistan	Baxmal	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Bekobod	2000	93.5%	95.5%	91.2%
Uzbekistan	Bekobod	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Beruniy	2000	92.4%	94.3%	90.2%
Uzbekistan	Beruniy	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Beshariq	2000	92.4%	94.5%	90.1%
Uzbekistan	Beshariq	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Bo'ka	2000	94.7%	96.3%	92.7%
Uzbekistan	Bo'ka	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Bo'stonliq	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.3%
Uzbekistan	Bo'stonliq	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Bo'zsuv	2000	89.5%	94.0%	84.2%
Uzbekistan	Bo'zsuv	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Uzbekistan	Bog'dod	2000	90.1%	93.5%	86.6%
Uzbekistan	Bog'dod	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Bog'ot	2000	92.9%	95.5%	89.9%
Uzbekistan	Bog'ot	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Boyovut	2000	94.2%	95.8%	92.6%
Uzbekistan	Boyovut	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Boysun	2000	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%
Uzbekistan	Boysun	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Buloqboshi	2000	90.8%	94.5%	86.7%
Uzbekistan	Buloqboshi	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Bulung'ur	2000	93.9%	95.8%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Bulung'ur	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Buvayda	2000	89.8%	94.2%	85.2%
Uzbekistan	Buvayda	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Buxoro	2000	91.9%	94.3%	88.9%
Uzbekistan	Buxoro	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Chimboy	2000	92.3%	95.5%	87.7%
Uzbekistan	Chimboy	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Chinoz	2000	93.7%	95.9%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Chinoz	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Chiroqchi	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Uzbekistan	Chiroqchi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	Chortoq	2000	92.6%	95.4%	89.5%
Uzbekistan	Chortoq	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Chust	2000	91.6%	94.0%	88.4%
Uzbekistan	Chust	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Dang'ara	2000	90.8%	93.9%	86.9%
Uzbekistan	Dang'ara	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Dehqonobod	2000	94.4%	96.0%	92.7%
Uzbekistan	Dehqonobod	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Denov	2000	94.3%	96.4%	91.5%
Uzbekistan	Denov	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Do'stlik	2000	94.8%	96.7%	92.2%
Uzbekistan	Do'stlik	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Ellikqala	2000	92.6%	95.1%	90.3%
Uzbekistan	Ellikqala	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Farg'ona	2000	91.8%	94.0%	89.2%
Uzbekistan	Farg'ona	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Forish	2000	94.9%	95.7%	93.9%
Uzbekistan	Forish	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	Furqat	2000	90.2%	93.4%	85.9%
Uzbekistan	Furqat	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	G'allaorol	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.5%
Uzbekistan	G'allaorol	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	G'ijduvon	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.3%
Uzbekistan	G'ijduvon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	G'uzor	2000	94.7%	96.3%	92.9%
Uzbekistan	G'uzor	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Guliston	2000	94.4%	96.3%	92.0%
Uzbekistan	Guliston	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Gurlan	2000	91.9%	94.2%	89.0%
Uzbekistan	Gurlan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Hazorasp	2000	93.2%	95.0%	90.7%
Uzbekistan	Hazorasp	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Ishtixon	2000	93.5%	95.2%	91.5%
Uzbekistan	Ishtixon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Izboskan	2000	91.4%	94.4%	87.5%
Uzbekistan	Izboskan	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Jalolquduq	2000	92.4%	95.0%	89.0%
Uzbekistan	Jalolquduq	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Jarqo'rg'on	2000	94.6%	96.6%	92.5%
Uzbekistan	Jarqo'rg'on	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Jizzax	2000	93.8%	95.7%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Jizzax	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Jomboy	2000	92.8%	94.9%	90.3%
Uzbekistan	Jomboy	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Jondor	2000	92.9%	95.2%	90.3%
Uzbekistan	Jondor	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Karmana	2000	92.8%	94.9%	90.0%
Uzbekistan	Karmana	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Kasbi	2000	93.7%	95.6%	91.6%
Uzbekistan	Kasbi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Kattaqo'rg'on	2000	94.0%	95.8%	92.2%
Uzbekistan	Kattaqo'rg'on	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Kegeyli	2000	92.7%	94.8%	90.0%
Uzbekistan	Kegeyli	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Kitob	2000	93.8%	96.0%	91.1%
Uzbekistan	Kitob	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Kogon	2000	93.1%	95.6%	89.5%
Uzbekistan	Kogon	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Konimex	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.1%
Uzbekistan	Konimex	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Koson	2000	94.7%	96.5%	92.6%
Uzbekistan	Koson	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Kosonsoy	2000	90.1%	93.6%	85.5%
Uzbekistan	Kosonsoy	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Marhamat	2000	91.8%	95.0%	88.4%
Uzbekistan	Marhamat	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Mingbuloq	2000	88.7%	91.9%	85.2%
Uzbekistan	Mingbuloq	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Mirzacho'l	2000	94.6%	96.8%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Mirzacho'l	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Mirzaobod	2000	94.7%	96.4%	92.4%
Uzbekistan	Mirzaobod	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Mo'ynoq	2000	93.1%	94.1%	92.1%
Uzbekistan	Mo'ynoq	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Muborak	2000	94.6%	96.1%	93.0%
Uzbekistan	Muborak	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Muzrabot	2000	94.3%	96.6%	91.5%
Uzbekistan	Muzrabot	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Namangan	2000	88.8%	93.0%	82.9%
Uzbekistan	Namangan	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.0%
Uzbekistan	Narpay	2000	94.9%	96.6%	92.8%
Uzbekistan	Narpay	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Navbahor	2000	92.7%	95.4%	89.1%
Uzbekistan	Navbahor	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Nishon	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.4%
Uzbekistan	Nishon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Norin	2000	90.0%	93.8%	85.3%
Uzbekistan	Norin	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Nukus	2000	92.2%	95.2%	88.8%
Uzbekistan	Nukus	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Nurobod	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.3%
Uzbekistan	Nurobod	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	Nurota	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.6%
Uzbekistan	Nurota	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	O'rtachirchiq	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Uzbekistan	O'rtachirchiq	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	O'zbekiston	2000	91.4%	93.8%	88.5%
Uzbekistan	O'zbekiston	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Ohangaron	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Uzbekistan	Ohangaron	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Olot	2000	92.7%	95.2%	89.3%
Uzbekistan	Olot	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Oltiariq	2000	89.7%	93.5%	86.0%
Uzbekistan	Oltiariq	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Oltinko'l	2000	90.8%	93.8%	87.2%
Uzbekistan	Oltinko'l	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Oltinsoy	2000	94.5%	96.4%	92.5%
Uzbekistan	Oltinsoy	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Oqdaryo	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Oqdaryo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Oqoltin	2000	94.8%	96.4%	92.8%
Uzbekistan	Oqoltin	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	Oqqo'rg'on	2000	93.9%	95.7%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Oqqo'rg'on	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Oxunboboev	2000	89.6%	92.8%	85.7%
Uzbekistan	Oxunboboev	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Parkent	2000	94.8%	96.6%	92.6%
Uzbekistan	Parkent	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Pastdarg'om	2000	94.0%	95.6%	92.0%
Uzbekistan	Pastdarg'om	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Paxtachi	2000	94.1%	96.0%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Paxtachi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Paxtakor	2000	93.6%	96.1%	90.6%
Uzbekistan	Paxtakor	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Paxtaobod	2000	91.4%	94.6%	86.4%
Uzbekistan	Paxtaobod	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Payariq	2000	94.0%	95.9%	91.8%
Uzbekistan	Payariq	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Peshku	2000	92.6%	95.1%	89.7%
Uzbekistan	Peshku	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Piskent	2000	94.1%	95.7%	92.4%
Uzbekistan	Piskent	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Pop	2000	90.9%	93.1%	88.0%
Uzbekistan	Pop	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Qamashi	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Uzbekistan	Qamashi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	Qanliko'l	2000	92.5%	95.1%	89.4%
Uzbekistan	Qanliko'l	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Qarshi	2000	93.4%	95.5%	90.4%
Uzbekistan	Qarshi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Qibray	2000	93.0%	95.0%	90.7%
Uzbekistan	Qibray	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Qiziltepa	2000	93.8%	95.8%	90.9%
Uzbekistan	Qiziltepa	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Qiziriq	2000	94.0%	96.2%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Qiziriq	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Qo'ng'iro't	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.4%
Uzbekistan	Qo'ng'iro't	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Qo'rg'on'tepa	2000	90.9%	93.7%	87.8%
Uzbekistan	Qo'rg'on'tepa	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shko'pir	2000	92.6%	94.9%	90.0%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shko'pir	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shrabot	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.4%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shrabot	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Qorako'l	2000	93.0%	95.1%	90.0%
Uzbekistan	Qorako'l	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Qorao'zak	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.1%
Uzbekistan	Qorao'zak	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Qorovulbozor	2000	93.8%	95.3%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Qorovulbozor	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Qumqo'rg'on	2000	94.2%	96.0%	92.3%
Uzbekistan	Qumqo'rg'on	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Quva	2000	90.1%	93.1%	86.7%
Uzbekistan	Quva	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Quyichirchiq	2000	93.9%	95.9%	91.6%
Uzbekistan	Quyichirchiq	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Rishton	2000	88.5%	92.8%	83.5%
Uzbekistan	Rishton	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Romitan	2000	92.9%	95.3%	90.1%
Uzbekistan	Romitan	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Samarqand	2000	92.8%	95.4%	89.8%
Uzbekistan	Samarqand	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Sariosiyo	2000	94.0%	95.5%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Sariosiyo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Sayxunobod	2000	94.7%	96.3%	92.5%
Uzbekistan	Sayxunobod	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Shahrisabz	2000	94.5%	96.6%	91.5%
Uzbekistan	Shahrisabz	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Shahrixon	2000	89.6%	93.3%	85.3%
Uzbekistan	Shahrixon	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Sharof Rashidov	2000	94.8%	96.5%	92.6%
Uzbekistan	Sharof Rashidov	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Sherobod	2000	94.1%	95.9%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Sherobod	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Sho'rchi	2000	94.4%	96.6%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Sho'rchi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Shofirkon	2000	92.4%	94.8%	89.1%
Uzbekistan	Shofirkon	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Shovot	2000	91.9%	94.6%	88.8%
Uzbekistan	Shovot	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Shumanay	2000	92.6%	95.9%	88.6%
Uzbekistan	Shumanay	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Sirdaryo	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.7%
Uzbekistan	Sirdaryo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	So'x	2000	92.1%	95.4%	88.2%
Uzbekistan	So'x	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Tashkent City	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Uzbekistan	Tashkent City	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Uzbekistan	Taxtako'pir	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.3%
Uzbekistan	Taxtako'pir	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Termiz	2000	94.0%	96.7%	91.0%
Uzbekistan	Termiz	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	To'raqo'rg'on	2000	89.8%	93.2%	85.7%
Uzbekistan	To'raqo'rg'on	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	To'rtko'l	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.3%
Uzbekistan	To'rtko'l	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Tomdi	2000	93.5%	94.8%	91.8%
Uzbekistan	Tomdi	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Toshkent	2000	91.6%	94.1%	88.8%
Uzbekistan	Toshkent	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Toshloq	2000	89.2%	94.0%	84.1%
Uzbekistan	Toshloq	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Toyloq	2000	93.6%	96.2%	90.2%
Uzbekistan	Toyloq	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Uchko'prik	2000	89.8%	93.3%	85.9%
Uzbekistan	Uchko'prik	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Uchqo'rg'on	2000	90.5%	94.2%	85.8%
Uzbekistan	Uchqo'rg'on	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Uchquduq	2000	92.9%	93.8%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Uchquduq	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Ulug'nor	2000	89.1%	92.7%	85.0%
Uzbekistan	Ulug'nor	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Urganch	2000	92.5%	95.2%	89.5%
Uzbekistan	Urganch	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Urgut	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Urgut	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Usmon	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Uzbekistan	Yusupov				
Uzbekistan	Usmon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Uychi	2000	89.7%	93.0%	85.5%
Uzbekistan	Uychi	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Uzun	2000	94.8%	96.4%	92.8%
Uzbekistan	Uzun	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Vobkent	2000	92.4%	94.7%	89.8%
Uzbekistan	Vobkent	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Xatirchi	2000	93.9%	95.5%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Xatirchi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Xiva	2000	92.9%	95.4%	89.7%
Uzbekistan	Xiva	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jaobod	2000	90.7%	94.4%	86.8%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jaobod	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jayli	2000	92.3%	94.7%	89.1%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jayli	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Xonqa	2000	92.4%	94.8%	89.2%
Uzbekistan	Xonqa	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Xovos	2000	93.5%	95.4%	91.8%
Uzbekistan	Xovos	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Yagiqo'rg'on	2000	91.4%	93.7%	88.6%
Uzbekistan	Yagiqo'rg'on	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Yakkabog'	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.2%
Uzbekistan	Yakkabog'	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Yangiariq	2000	91.8%	94.6%	88.5%
Uzbekistan	Yangiariq	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Yangibozor	2000	92.1%	95.1%	88.1%
Uzbekistan	Yangibozor	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Yangiobod	2000	94.1%	96.0%	92.1%
Uzbekistan	Yangiobod	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Yangiyo'l	2000	93.8%	95.6%	91.5%
Uzbekistan	Yangiyo'l	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Yozyovon	2000	89.0%	93.6%	83.8%
Uzbekistan	Yozyovon	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Yuqorichirchiq	2000	93.6%	95.5%	91.5%
Uzbekistan	Yuqorichirchiq	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Zafarobod	2000	94.3%	96.2%	92.3%
Uzbekistan	Zafarobod	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Zangiota	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Uzbekistan	Zangiota	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	Zarbdor	2000	94.2%	96.0%	92.1%
Uzbekistan	Zarbdor	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Zomin	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.1%
Uzbekistan	Zomin	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Latin America and Caribbean					
Bolivia	Abel Iturralde	2000	75.7%	79.9%	71.5%
Bolivia	Abel Iturralde	2017	87.2%	89.9%	84.0%
Bolivia	Alonso de Ibáñez	2000	71.2%	73.6%	69.1%
Bolivia	Alonso de Ibáñez	2017	78.3%	80.7%	75.9%
Bolivia	Andrés Ibáñez	2000	92.0%	92.5%	91.5%
Bolivia	Andrés Ibáñez	2017	95.1%	95.5%	94.7%
Bolivia	Aniceto Arce	2000	84.6%	87.3%	80.9%
Bolivia	Aniceto Arce	2017	88.7%	91.1%	85.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Antonio Quijarro	2000	70.7%	72.5%	68.6%
Bolivia	Antonio Quijarro	2017	76.0%	77.9%	73.4%
Bolivia	Arani	2000	76.1%	77.8%	74.1%
Bolivia	Arani	2017	88.3%	89.5%	87.0%
Bolivia	Aroma	2000	83.0%	84.8%	81.3%
Bolivia	Aroma	2017	87.5%	89.1%	85.9%
Bolivia	Arque	2000	75.0%	77.5%	72.4%
Bolivia	Arque	2017	85.7%	87.4%	83.7%
Bolivia	Atahuallpa	2000	83.1%	85.9%	79.5%
Bolivia	Atahuallpa	2017	90.5%	92.5%	88.0%
Bolivia	Ayopaya	2000	76.3%	78.7%	73.9%
Bolivia	Ayopaya	2017	87.0%	88.5%	85.4%
Bolivia	Bautista Saavedra	2000	83.5%	86.5%	79.9%
Bolivia	Bautista Saavedra	2017	87.6%	90.4%	84.1%
Bolivia	Belisario Boeto	2000	74.3%	78.0%	70.6%
Bolivia	Belisario Boeto	2017	78.9%	82.3%	75.4%
Bolivia	Bernardino Bilbao	2000	71.7%	74.8%	68.2%
Bolivia	Bernardino Bilbao	2017	79.7%	82.3%	76.5%
Bolivia	Burnet O'Connor	2000	83.2%	85.5%	81.0%
Bolivia	Burnet O'Connor	2017	87.0%	88.9%	85.1%
Bolivia	Capinota	2000	76.8%	78.6%	74.8%
Bolivia	Capinota	2017	87.3%	88.4%	86.1%
Bolivia	Carangas	2000	83.3%	85.5%	80.8%
Bolivia	Carangas	2017	90.5%	92.0%	88.6%
Bolivia	Carrasco	2000	76.9%	78.5%	75.2%
Bolivia	Carrasco	2017	88.7%	89.7%	87.5%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	85.0%	85.8%	84.1%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	75.7%	76.6%	74.8%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	83.3%	84.4%	82.1%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	68.6%	70.9%	66.4%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	89.3%	90.3%	88.2%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	86.3%	87.6%	85.0%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	91.2%	92.0%	90.2%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	88.0%	88.6%	87.5%
Bolivia	Chapare	2000	75.3%	76.5%	73.9%
Bolivia	Chapare	2017	87.9%	88.6%	87.1%
Bolivia	Charcas	2000	69.5%	71.6%	67.2%
Bolivia	Charcas	2017	75.2%	77.7%	72.7%
Bolivia	Chayanta	2000	69.2%	71.0%	67.6%
Bolivia	Chayanta	2017	74.3%	76.2%	72.3%
Bolivia	Chiquitos	2000	91.6%	92.6%	90.5%
Bolivia	Chiquitos	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.4%
Bolivia	Cordillera	2000	89.0%	90.5%	87.4%
Bolivia	Cordillera	2017	91.8%	93.1%	90.3%
Bolivia	Cornelio Saavedra	2000	69.0%	70.8%	67.3%
Bolivia	Cornelio Saavedra	2017	73.8%	75.9%	71.8%
Bolivia	Daniel Campos	2000	77.6%	82.4%	72.2%
Bolivia	Daniel Campos	2017	85.8%	89.5%	81.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Eduardo Avaroa	2000	79.5%	81.4%	77.5%
Bolivia	Eduardo Avaroa	2017	86.2%	87.9%	84.6%
Bolivia	Eliodoro Camacho	2000	82.1%	84.5%	79.1%
Bolivia	Eliodoro Camacho	2017	86.4%	88.7%	83.7%
Bolivia	Esteban Arce	2000	74.5%	76.6%	72.3%
Bolivia	Esteban Arce	2017	86.9%	88.2%	85.5%
Bolivia	Eustaquio Méndez	2000	84.2%	85.8%	82.6%
Bolivia	Eustaquio Méndez	2017	87.5%	88.9%	85.9%
Bolivia	Federico Román	2000	61.5%	64.0%	59.0%
Bolivia	Federico Román	2017	82.3%	83.9%	80.7%
Bolivia	Florida	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.2%
Bolivia	Florida	2017	94.5%	95.6%	92.9%
Bolivia	Franz Tamayo	2000	83.3%	86.6%	79.8%
Bolivia	Franz Tamayo	2017	88.6%	91.2%	85.6%
Bolivia	Germán Jordán	2000	76.3%	78.4%	74.3%
Bolivia	Germán Jordán	2017	88.0%	89.2%	86.7%
Bolivia	Gran Chaco	2000	85.2%	86.6%	83.7%
Bolivia	Gran Chaco	2017	88.9%	89.9%	87.6%
Bolivia	Gualberto Villarroel	2000	83.0%	86.1%	79.7%
Bolivia	Gualberto Villarroel	2017	89.0%	91.4%	86.4%
Bolivia	Hernando Siles	2000	68.4%	71.1%	65.8%
Bolivia	Hernando Siles	2017	73.4%	76.6%	69.9%
Bolivia	Ichilo	2000	90.3%	91.5%	89.1%
Bolivia	Ichilo	2017	93.9%	95.0%	93.0%
Bolivia	Ignacio Warnes	2000	91.8%	92.7%	90.8%
Bolivia	Ignacio Warnes	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.7%
Bolivia	Ingavi	2000	82.1%	83.6%	80.9%
Bolivia	Ingavi	2017	86.2%	87.5%	85.1%
Bolivia	Inquisivi	2000	81.7%	83.6%	79.5%
Bolivia	Inquisivi	2017	88.0%	89.6%	86.0%
Bolivia	Jaime Zudáñez	2000	67.4%	69.6%	65.2%
Bolivia	Jaime Zudáñez	2017	71.9%	73.9%	69.6%
Bolivia	José Ballivián	2000	67.4%	69.3%	65.3%
Bolivia	José Ballivián	2017	85.4%	86.7%	84.1%
Bolivia	José María Avilés	2000	84.3%	85.9%	82.6%
Bolivia	José María Avilés	2017	87.9%	89.1%	86.2%
Bolivia	José María Linares	2000	69.5%	71.5%	67.4%
Bolivia	José María Linares	2017	73.8%	76.2%	71.6%
Bolivia	José Miguel de Velasco	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	José Miguel de Velasco	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.7%
Bolivia	Juana Azurd-uay de Padilla	2000	66.4%	68.9%	63.7%
Bolivia	Juana Azurd-uay de Padilla	2017	70.1%	72.9%	67.4%
Bolivia	Ladislao Cabrera	2000	80.6%	83.0%	77.9%
Bolivia	Ladislao Cabrera	2017	87.9%	90.0%	85.6%
Bolivia	Larecaja	2000	83.0%	85.1%	80.7%
Bolivia	Larecaja	2017	87.4%	89.3%	85.6%
Bolivia	Litoral	2000	83.1%	86.3%	79.3%
Bolivia	Litoral	2017	90.1%	92.1%	88.1%
Bolivia	Loayza	2000	82.6%	84.7%	80.3%
Bolivia	Loayza	2017	87.2%	89.2%	84.8%
Bolivia	Los Andes	2000	82.2%	83.9%	80.4%
Bolivia	Los Andes	2017	85.5%	86.9%	83.9%
Bolivia	Luis Calvo	2000	76.8%	79.2%	74.2%
Bolivia	Luis Calvo	2017	80.8%	83.4%	78.1%
Bolivia	Madre de Dios	2000	61.7%	64.2%	59.3%
Bolivia	Madre de Dios	2017	81.9%	83.5%	80.1%
Bolivia	Mamoré	2000	68.0%	72.5%	63.0%
Bolivia	Mamoré	2017	85.6%	88.3%	82.3%
Bolivia	Manco Kapac	2000	81.2%	84.6%	77.7%
Bolivia	Manco Kapac	2017	85.8%	88.8%	82.6%
Bolivia	Manuel María Caballero	2000	88.9%	90.8%	86.9%
Bolivia	Manuel María Caballero	2017	93.1%	94.6%	91.3%
Bolivia	Manuripi	2000	60.0%	62.4%	57.6%
Bolivia	Manuripi	2017	80.9%	82.5%	78.7%
Bolivia	Marbán	2000	76.3%	78.3%	74.5%
Bolivia	Marbán	2017	89.1%	90.4%	87.4%
Bolivia	Mizque	2000	73.9%	76.4%	71.1%
Bolivia	Mizque	2017	85.9%	87.5%	84.2%
Bolivia	Modesto Omiste	2000	72.1%	75.1%	68.3%
Bolivia	Modesto Omiste	2017	77.9%	80.6%	74.1%
Bolivia	Moxos	2000	67.4%	69.9%	64.6%
Bolivia	Moxos	2017	85.5%	87.0%	83.8%
Bolivia	Muñecas	2000	82.4%	85.4%	79.2%
Bolivia	Muñecas	2017	86.5%	89.2%	84.0%
Bolivia	Narciso Campero	2000	75.2%	77.4%	72.7%
Bolivia	Narciso Campero	2017	85.9%	87.4%	84.2%
Bolivia	Nor Chichas	2000	69.0%	71.5%	66.6%
Bolivia	Nor Chichas	2017	73.2%	76.1%	70.8%
Bolivia	Nor Cinti	2000	67.3%	69.2%	65.4%
Bolivia	Nor Cinti	2017	70.9%	73.3%	68.7%
Bolivia	Nor López	2000	70.0%	74.1%	65.6%
Bolivia	Nor López	2017	75.9%	80.2%	71.4%
Bolivia	Nor Yungas	2000	83.4%	85.1%	81.4%
Bolivia	Nor Yungas	2017	88.4%	89.8%	86.5%
Bolivia	Ñuflo de Chávez	2000	91.4%	92.7%	90.2%
Bolivia	Ñuflo de Chávez	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.3%
Bolivia	Obispo Santistevan	2000	91.9%	93.0%	90.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Obispo Santistevan	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Bolivia	Omasuyos	2000	82.7%	84.4%	80.7%
Bolivia	Omasuyos	2017	86.6%	88.4%	84.7%
Bolivia	Oropeza	2000	67.0%	68.0%	65.9%
Bolivia	Oropeza	2017	72.2%	73.5%	70.9%
Bolivia	Pacajes	2000	82.6%	84.9%	79.9%
Bolivia	Pacajes	2017	87.3%	89.5%	84.9%
Bolivia	Pantaleón Dalance	2000	81.0%	82.8%	79.0%
Bolivia	Pantaleón Dalance	2017	88.2%	89.7%	86.3%
Bolivia	Pedro Domingo Murillo	2000	82.5%	83.3%	81.7%
Bolivia	Pedro Domingo Murillo	2017	87.2%	88.0%	86.5%
Bolivia	Poopó	2000	81.4%	83.4%	79.2%
Bolivia	Poopó	2017	89.0%	90.5%	87.2%
Bolivia	Punata	2000	76.6%	78.2%	74.8%
Bolivia	Punata	2017	88.3%	89.4%	87.2%
Bolivia	Quillacollo	2000	78.4%	79.6%	77.4%
Bolivia	Quillacollo	2017	89.3%	90.0%	88.6%
Bolivia	Rafael Bustillo	2000	71.3%	73.0%	69.6%
Bolivia	Rafael Bustillo	2017	77.8%	79.7%	75.9%
Bolivia	Sajama	2000	82.9%	85.6%	80.2%
Bolivia	Sajama	2017	89.8%	91.6%	87.6%
Bolivia	Sara	2000	91.7%	92.8%	90.5%
Bolivia	Sara	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.4%
Bolivia	Sucarí	2000	83.0%	85.5%	80.2%
Bolivia	Sucarí	2017	90.5%	92.1%	88.8%
Bolivia	Sud Chichas	2000	70.4%	72.8%	68.1%
Bolivia	Sud Chichas	2017	75.0%	77.8%	72.4%
Bolivia	Sud Cinti	2000	71.0%	73.5%	68.1%
Bolivia	Sud Cinti	2017	75.5%	77.7%	72.7%
Bolivia	Sud Lipez	2000	68.6%	76.2%	61.9%
Bolivia	Sud Lipez	2017	73.8%	80.5%	67.7%
Bolivia	Sud Yungas	2000	81.2%	83.1%	79.4%
Bolivia	Sud Yungas	2017	87.5%	89.2%	85.9%
Bolivia	Tapacarí	2000	76.7%	79.2%	74.0%
Bolivia	Tapacarí	2017	87.4%	89.0%	85.6%
Bolivia	Tomás Frías	2000	68.5%	70.5%	66.9%
Bolivia	Tomás Frías	2017	74.3%	76.2%	72.3%
Bolivia	Tomina	2000	66.7%	69.6%	64.0%
Bolivia	Tomina	2017	70.6%	73.7%	67.4%
Bolivia	Vaca Díez	2000	67.7%	69.2%	66.3%
Bolivia	Vaca Díez	2017	85.6%	86.5%	84.7%
Bolivia	Vallegrande	2000	88.4%	90.5%	86.1%
Bolivia	Vallegrande	2017	91.6%	93.5%	89.5%
Bolivia	Yacuma	2000	67.4%	70.6%	64.4%
Bolivia	Yacuma	2017	85.4%	87.3%	83.5%
Bolivia	Yamparáez	2000	66.6%	69.0%	64.4%
Bolivia	Yamparáez	2017	70.1%	72.3%	67.8%
Brazil	Abadia de Goiás	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Abadia de Goiás	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Abadia dos Dourados	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Abadia dos Dourados	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Abadiânia	2000	95.8%	96.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Abadiânia	2017	96.0%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Abaeté	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Abaeté	2017	95.7%	96.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Abaetetuba	2000	78.3%	80.8%	75.8%
Brazil	Abaetetuba	2017	79.1%	81.5%	76.6%
Brazil	Abaiara	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Abaiara	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Abaira	2000	94.9%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Abaira	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Abaré	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Abaré	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Abatiá	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Brazil	Abatiá	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Abdon	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Batista				
Brazil	Abdon	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Batista				
Brazil	Abel	2000	85.1%	87.4%	82.5%
Brazil	Figueiredo				
Brazil	Abel	2017	85.5%	87.7%	83.0%
Brazil	Figueiredo				
Brazil	Abelardo Luz	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Abelardo Luz	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Abre Campo	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Abre Campo	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Abreu e Lima	2000	93.3%	94.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Abreu e Lima	2017	93.8%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Abreulândia	2000	91.8%	93.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Abreulândia	2017	92.1%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Acaiaca	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Acaiaca	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Açailândia	2000	89.8%	91.5%	87.8%
Brazil	Açailândia	2017	90.1%	91.8%	88.2%
Brazil	Acajutiba	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Acajutiba	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Acará	2000	78.0%	80.2%	76.0%
Brazil	Acará	2017	78.5%	80.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Acarapé	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Acarapé	2017	92.9%	94.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Acaraú	2000	91.8%	93.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Acaraú	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Acari	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Brazil	Acari	2017	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Acauã	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.1%
Brazil	Acauã	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.3%
Brazil	Acopiara	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Acopiara	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Acorizal	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Acorizal	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Acrelândia	2000	82.5%	84.5%	80.3%
Brazil	Acrelândia	2017	82.9%	84.9%	80.7%
Brazil	Acreúna	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Acreúna	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Açu	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Brazil	Açu	2017	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Açucena	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Açucena	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Adamantina	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Adamantina	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Adelândia	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Adelândia	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Adolfo	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Adolfo	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Adrianópolis	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Adrianópolis	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Adustina	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Adustina	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Afogados da Ingazeira	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Afogados da Ingazeira	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Afonso Bezerra	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.5%
Brazil	Afonso Bezerra	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.6%
Brazil	Afonso Cláudio	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Afonso Cláudio	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Afonso Cunha	2000	91.1%	92.6%	89.6%
Brazil	Afonso Cunha	2017	91.4%	92.9%	89.9%
Brazil	Afrânio	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Afrânio	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Afuá	2000	80.8%	83.6%	77.9%
Brazil	Afuá	2017	81.2%	84.0%	78.3%
Brazil	Agrestina	2000	93.5%	94.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Agrestina	2017	93.7%	94.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Agricolândia	2000	91.8%	93.3%	90.2%
Brazil	Agricolândia	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Agrolândia	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Agrolândia	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Agronômica	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Agronômica	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Água Azul do Norte	2000	80.8%	84.1%	77.1%
Brazil	Água Azul do Norte	2017	81.2%	84.4%	77.5%
Brazil	Água Boa	2000	93.2%	95.3%	90.6%
Brazil	Água Boa	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Água Boa	2017	93.5%	95.4%	90.9%
Brazil	Água Boa	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	92.1%	93.3%	90.9%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	91.7%	93.3%	90.1%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	92.6%	93.7%	91.4%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	94.0%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	91.9%	93.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Água Clara	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Água Clara	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Água Comprida	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Água Comprida	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Água Doce	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Água Doce	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Água Doce do Maranhão	2000	90.4%	92.2%	88.5%
Brazil	Água Doce do Maranhão	2017	90.7%	92.4%	88.9%
Brazil	Água Doce do Norte	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Água Doce do Norte	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Água Fria	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Água Fria	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Água Fria de Goiás	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Brazil	Água Fria de Goiás	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Brazil	Água Limpa	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Água Limpa	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Água Nova	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Água Nova	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Água Preta	2000	92.3%	93.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Água Preta	2017	92.5%	93.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Água Santa	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Água Santa	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Aguai	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	Aguai	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Aguanil	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Aguanil	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Águas Belas	2000	92.2%	93.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Águas Belas	2017	92.5%	93.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Águas da Prata	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	Águas da Prata	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Águas de Chapecó	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Águas de Chapecó	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Águas de Lindóia	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Brazil	Águas de Lindóia	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Águas de Santa Bárbara	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Águas de Santa Bárbara	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Águas de São Pedro	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Águas de São Pedro	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Águas Formosas	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Águas Formosas	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Águas Frias	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Águas Frias	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Águas Lindas de Goiás	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Brazil	Águas Lindas de Goiás	2017	98.0%	98.3%	97.6%
Brazil	Águas Mornas	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Águas Mornas	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Águas Vermelhas	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Águas Vermelhas	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Agudo	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Agudo	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Agudos	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Agudos	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Agudos do Sul	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Agudos do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Aguiar	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Aguiar	2017	93.8%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Aguiarnópolis	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Aguiarnópolis	2017	92.5%	94.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Aimorés	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Aimorés	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Aiquara	2000	94.5%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Aiquara	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Aiuaba	2000	93.6%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Aiuaba	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Aiuruoca	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Aiuruoca	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Ajuricaba	2000	96.2%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Ajuricaba	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Alagoa	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Alagoa	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Alagoa	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Alagoa Grande	2017	94.5%	95.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Alagoa Nova	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Alagoa Nova	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Alagoinha do Piauí	2000	92.5%	94.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Alagoinha do Piauí	2017	92.8%	94.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Alagoinhas	2000	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Alagoinhas	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Alambari	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Alambari	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Albertina	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Albertina	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Alcântara	2000	88.2%	90.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Alcântara	2017	88.5%	90.3%	86.5%
Brazil	Alcântaras	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Alcântaras	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Alcantil	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Alcantil	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Alcinópolis	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Alcinópolis	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Alcobaca	2000	94.7%	96.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Alcobaca	2017	94.9%	96.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Aldeias Altas	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.7%
Brazil	Aldeias Altas	2017	91.3%	92.8%	90.0%
Brazil	Alecrim	2000	95.6%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Alecrim	2017	95.8%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Alegre	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Alegre	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Alegrete	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Alegrete	2017	96.4%	97.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Alegrete do Piauí	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Alegrete do Piauí	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alegria	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Alegria	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Além Paraíba	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Além Paraíba	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Alenquer	2000	80.6%	83.9%	76.9%
Brazil	Alenquer	2017	81.1%	84.3%	77.4%
Brazil	Alexandria	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Alexandria	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Alexania	2000	96.7%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Alexania	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
Brazil	Alexânia	2000	96.5%	97.1%	95.8%
Brazil	Alexânia	2017	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Alfenas	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Alfenas	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Alfredo Chaves	2000	95.7%	96.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Alfredo Chaves	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Alfredo Marcondes	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Alfredo Marcondes	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Alfredo Vasconcelos	2000	96.9%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Alfredo Vasconcelos	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Alfredo Wagner	2000	95.1%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Alfredo Wagner	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Algodão de Jandaíra	2000	95.7%	96.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Algodão de Jandaíra	2017	95.9%	96.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Alhandra	2000	93.7%	94.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Alhandra	2017	93.9%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Aliança	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Aliança	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Aliança do Tocantins	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Aliança do Tocantins	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Almadina	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Almadina	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Almas	2000	94.6%	96.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Almas	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Almenara	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Almenara	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Almerim	2000	83.1%	85.8%	80.0%
Brazil	Almerim	2017	83.7%	86.2%	80.6%
Brazil	Almino Afonso	2000	95.8%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Almino Afonso	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Almirante Tamandaré	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Almirante Tamandaré	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Brazil	Aloândia	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Aloândia	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Alpercata	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Alpercata	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alpestre	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Alpestre	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Alpinópolis	2000	96.5%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Alpinópolis	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Alta Floresta	2000	90.3%	92.6%	87.2%
Brazil	Alta Floresta	2017	90.6%	92.8%	87.6%
Brazil	Alta Floresta d'Oeste	2000	85.0%	87.1%	82.5%
Brazil	Alta Floresta d'Oeste	2017	85.3%	87.4%	82.8%
Brazil	Altair	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Altair	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Altamira	2000	81.6%	85.0%	78.2%
Brazil	Altamira	2017	82.0%	85.4%	78.6%
Brazil	Altamira do Maranhão	2000	89.7%	91.6%	87.4%
Brazil	Altamira do Maranhão	2017	90.1%	91.8%	87.8%
Brazil	Altamira do Paran	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Altamira do Paran	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Altaneira	2000	93.2%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Altaneira	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Alterosa	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Alterosa	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Altinho	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Altinho	2017	93.8%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Altinópolis	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	Altinópolis	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	96.2%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Maranhão	2000	90.8%	92.4%	89.3%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Maranhão	2017	91.1%	92.6%	89.6%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Pindaré	2000	88.3%	90.2%	86.3%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Pindaré	2017	88.6%	90.5%	86.7%
Brazil	Alto Alegre dos Parecis	2000	85.1%	87.1%	82.6%
Brazil	Alto Alegre dos Parecis	2017	85.6%	87.7%	83.2%
Brazil	Alto Araguaia	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Alto Araguaia	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Alto Bela Vista	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Alto Bela Vista	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Alto Boa Vista	2000	92.7%	94.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Alto Boa Vista	2017	93.0%	95.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Alto Caparaó	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Alto Caparaó	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Alto do Rodrigues	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alto do Rodrigues	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.5%
Brazil	Alto Feliz	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Alto Feliz	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Alto Garças	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Alto Garças	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Alto Horizonte	2000	94.5%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Alto Horizonte	2017	94.7%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Alto Jequitibá	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Alto Jequitibá	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Alto Longá	2000	91.4%	92.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Alto Longá	2017	91.6%	92.9%	90.3%
Brazil	Alto Paraguai	2000	92.6%	94.3%	90.7%
Brazil	Alto Paraguai	2017	92.8%	94.5%	91.0%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso	2000	82.5%	84.7%	80.2%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso	2017	82.8%	85.0%	80.6%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso de Goiás	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso de Goiás	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Alto Paraná	2000	96.9%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Alto Paraná	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Alto Parnaíba	2000	92.1%	94.1%	89.5%
Brazil	Alto Parnaíba	2017	92.3%	94.3%	89.8%
Brazil	Alto Piquiri	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Alto Piquiri	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Alto Rio doce	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Alto Rio doce	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Alto Rio Novo	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Alto Rio Novo	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Alto Santo	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Alto Santo	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Alto Taquari	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Alto Taquari	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Altônia	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Altônia	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Altos	2000	91.9%	93.0%	90.7%
Brazil	Altos	2017	92.1%	93.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Alumínio	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Alumínio	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Alvarães	2000	84.1%	87.3%	80.6%
Brazil	Alvarães	2017	84.5%	87.6%	81.1%
Brazil	Alvarenga	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Alvarenga	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Álvares Florence	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Álvares Florence	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Álvares Machado	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Álvares Machado	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Álvaro de Carvalho	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Álvaro de Carvalho	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Alvinlândia	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Alvinlândia	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Alvinópolis	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Alvinópolis	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Alvorada	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Alvorada	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	84.3%	86.3%	82.4%
Brazil	Alvorada d'Oeste	2017	84.6%	86.6%	82.7%
Brazil	Alvorada de Minas	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Alvorada de Minas	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Alvorada do Gurguéia	2000	91.6%	93.6%	89.3%
Brazil	Alvorada do Gurguéia	2017	91.9%	93.8%	89.6%
Brazil	Alvorada do Norte	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Alvorada do Norte	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Alvorada do Sul	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Alvorada do Sul	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Amajari	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Amajari	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Amambai	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Amambai	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Amapá	2000	85.2%	88.0%	81.9%
Brazil	Amapá	2017	85.6%	88.3%	82.3%
Brazil	Amapá do Maranhão	2000	83.3%	86.2%	80.5%
Brazil	Amapá do Maranhão	2017	83.8%	86.5%	81.0%
Brazil	Amapora	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Amapora	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Amaraji	2000	93.3%	94.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Amaraji	2017	93.5%	94.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Amaral Ferrador	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Amaral Ferrador	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Amaralina	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Amaralina	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Amarante	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.7%
Brazil	Amarante	2017	91.7%	93.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Amarante do Maranhão	2000	90.9%	92.9%	89.0%
Brazil	Amarante do Maranhão	2017	91.2%	93.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Amargosa	2000	95.4%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Amargosa	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Amaturá	2000	80.9%	85.1%	77.1%
Brazil	Amaturá	2017	81.5%	85.5%	77.7%
Brazil	Amélia Rodrigues	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Amélia Rodrigues	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	América dourada	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	América dourada	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Americana	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Americana	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Americano do Brazil	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Americano do Brazil	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Américo Brasiliense	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Américo Brasiliense	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Américo de Campos	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Américo de Campos	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Brazil	Ametista do Sul	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Ametista do Sul	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Amontada	2000	91.5%	93.0%	89.8%
Brazil	Amontada	2017	91.8%	93.2%	90.1%
Brazil	Amorinópolis	2000	94.5%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Amorinópolis	2017	94.7%	96.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Amparo	2000	93.9%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Amparo	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Brazil	Amparo	2017	94.1%	95.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Amparo	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Amparo de São Francisco	2000	92.9%	93.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Amparo de São Francisco	2017	93.2%	94.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Amparo do Serra	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Amparo do Serra	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Ampére	2000	96.3%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Ampére	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Anadia	2000	92.0%	92.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Anadia	2017	92.3%	93.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Anagé	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Anagé	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Anahy	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Anahy	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Anajas	2000	79.2%	82.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Anajas	2017	79.6%	82.4%	76.9%
Brazil	Anajatuba	2000	89.8%	91.6%	88.0%
Brazil	Anajatuba	2017	90.4%	92.1%	88.6%
Brazil	Analandia	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Analandia	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Anamã	2000	82.3%	85.4%	79.1%
Brazil	Anamã	2017	82.8%	85.8%	79.7%
Brazil	Ananás	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Ananás	2017	92.5%	93.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Ananindeua	2000	78.1%	79.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Ananindeua	2017	78.5%	80.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Anápolis	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Anápolis	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Anapu	2000	79.5%	83.5%	75.4%
Brazil	Anapu	2017	80.0%	84.0%	75.9%
Brazil	Anapuros	2000	91.1%	92.6%	89.4%
Brazil	Anapuros	2017	91.4%	92.8%	89.7%
Brazil	Anastácio	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Anastácio	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Anaurilândia	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Anaurilândia	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Anchieta	2000	95.0%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Anchieta	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Anchieta	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Anchieta	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Andaraí	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Andaraí	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Andira	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Andira	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
Brazil	Andirá	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Andirá	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Andorinha	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Andorinha	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Andradas	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Andradas	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Brazil	Andradina	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Andradina	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	André da Rocha	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	André da Rocha	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Andrelândia	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Andrelândia	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Angatuba	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Angatuba	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Angelândia	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Angelândia	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Angélica	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Angélica	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Angelim	2000	93.3%	94.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Angelim	2017	93.5%	94.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Angelina	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Angelina	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Angical	2000	94.6%	96.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Angical	2017	94.8%	96.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Angical do Piauí	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Angical do Piauí	2017	92.0%	93.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Angico	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Angico	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Angicos	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.7%
Brazil	Angicos	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	Angra dos Reis	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Angra dos Reis	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Anguera	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Anguera	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Ângulo	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Ângulo	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Anhanguera	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Anhanguera	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Anhembi	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Anhembi	2017	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Anhumas	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Anhumas	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Anicuns	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Anicuns	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Anísio de Abreu	2000	92.8%	94.4%	90.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Anísio de Abreu	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Anita Garibaldi	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Anita Garibaldi	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Anitápolis	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Anitápolis	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Anori	2000	81.7%	84.8%	78.6%
Brazil	Anori	2017	82.2%	85.3%	79.2%
Brazil	Anta Gorda	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Anta Gorda	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Antas	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Antas	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Antonina	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Antonina	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Antonina do Norte	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Antonina do Norte	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Antônio Almeida	2000	91.8%	93.8%	89.8%
Brazil	Antônio Almeida	2017	92.1%	94.0%	90.1%
Brazil	Antônio Cardoso	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Antônio Cardoso	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2000	93.3%	94.9%	91.4%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2017	93.6%	95.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Antônio Dias	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Antônio Dias	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Antônio Gonçalves	2000	94.8%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Antônio Gonçalves	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Antônio João	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Antônio João	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Antônio Martins	2000	95.8%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Antônio Martins	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Antonio Olinto	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Antonio Olinto	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Antônio Prado	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Antônio Prado	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Antonio Prado de Minas	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Antonio Prado de Minas	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aparecida	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Brazil	Aparecida	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Aparecida	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Aparecida	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Aparecida de Goiânia	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Aparecida de Goiânia	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio doce	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio doce	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio Negro	2000	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio Negro	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Aparecida do Taboado	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Brazil	Aparecida do Taboado	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Aparecida doeste	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Aparecida doeste	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Aperibé	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Aperibé	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Apiacá	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Apiacá	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Apiacás	2000	90.2%	93.0%	86.6%
Brazil	Apiacás	2017	90.6%	93.3%	87.1%
Brazil	Apiaiá	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	Apiaiá	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Apicum-Açu	2000	87.9%	90.6%	84.4%
Brazil	Apicum-Açu	2017	88.2%	90.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Apiúna	2000	94.2%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Apiúna	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Apodi	2000	95.6%	96.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Apodi	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Aporá	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Aporá	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Aporé	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Aporé	2017	95.5%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Apuarema	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Apuarema	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Apucarana	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Apucarana	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Apuí	2000	87.5%	90.9%	83.0%
Brazil	Apuí	2017	88.0%	91.3%	83.5%
Brazil	Apuiarés	2000	92.7%	93.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Apuiarés	2017	93.0%	94.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Aquidabã	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Aquidabã	2017	93.9%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Aquidauana	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Aquidauana	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Aquiraz	2000	92.1%	93.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Aquiraz	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.2%
Brazil	Arabutã	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Arabutã	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Aracagi	2000	94.9%	95.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Aracagi	2017	95.1%	95.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Aracai	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Aracai	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aracaju	2000	94.1%	94.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Aracaju	2017	94.3%	94.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Araçariгуama	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Araçariгуama	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Araças	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Araças	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Aracati	2000	94.2%	95.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Aracati	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Aracatu	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Aracatu	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Araçatuba	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Araçatuba	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Araci	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Araci	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Aracitaba	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Aracitaba	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2000	94.0%	94.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Araçoiaba da Serra	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Araçoiaba da Serra	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Aracruz	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Aracruz	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Araçu	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Araçu	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Araçuaí	2000	96.2%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Araçuaí	2017	96.4%	97.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Aragarças	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Aragarças	2017	93.8%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Aragoiânia	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Aragoiânia	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Aragominas	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.5%
Brazil	Aragominas	2017	91.7%	93.1%	89.8%
Brazil	Araguacema	2000	88.7%	91.0%	86.4%
Brazil	Araguacema	2017	89.0%	91.3%	86.7%
Brazil	Araguaçu	2000	93.8%	95.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Araguaçu	2017	94.0%	95.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Araguaiana	2000	93.2%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Araguaiana	2017	93.5%	95.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Araguaína	2000	93.0%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Araguaína	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Araguainha	2000	93.7%	95.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Araguainha	2017	93.9%	95.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Araguanã	2000	90.5%	92.3%	88.7%
Brazil	Araguanã	2000	88.0%	90.0%	85.6%
Brazil	Araguanã	2017	90.8%	92.6%	89.0%
Brazil	Araguanã	2017	88.4%	90.3%	86.0%
Brazil	Araguapaz	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Araguapaz	2017	94.0%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Araguari	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Araguari	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Araguatins	2000	89.7%	91.2%	87.8%
Brazil	Araguatins	2017	90.0%	91.5%	88.2%
Brazil	Araioses	2000	91.1%	92.8%	89.3%
Brazil	Araioses	2017	91.4%	93.0%	89.6%
Brazil	Aral Moreira	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Aral Moreira	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Aramari	2000	94.4%	95.5%	93.1%
Brazil	Aramari	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Arambaré	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Arambaré	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Arame	2000	90.4%	92.5%	88.3%
Brazil	Arame	2017	90.7%	92.7%	88.6%
Brazil	Aramina	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Aramina	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Arandu	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Arandu	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Arantina	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Arantina	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Arapeí	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Arapeí	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Arapiraca	2000	92.2%	93.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Arapiraca	2017	92.5%	93.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Arapoema	2000	89.7%	91.4%	87.4%
Brazil	Arapoema	2017	90.0%	91.7%	87.8%
Brazil	Araponga	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Araponga	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Arapongas	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Arapongas	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Araporã	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Araporã	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Arapoti	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Arapoti	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Arapu	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Arapu	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Arapuá	2000	95.8%	97.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Arapuá	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Araputanga	2000	92.6%	94.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Araputanga	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.3%
Brazil	Araquari	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Araquari	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Arara	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Arara	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Araranguá	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Araranguá	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Araraquara	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Araraquara	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Araras	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Araras	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Ararendá	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Ararendá	2017	93.0%	94.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Arari	2000	90.1%	91.7%	88.1%
Brazil	Arari	2017	90.4%	92.0%	88.4%
Brazil	Araricá	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Araricá	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Araripe	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Araripe	2017	93.4%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Araripina	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Araripina	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Araruama	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Araruama	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Araruna	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Araruna	2000	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Araruna	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Araruna	2017	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Brazil	Arataca	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Arataca	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Aratiba	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Aratiba	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Aratuba	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Aratuba	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aratuípe	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2000	93.6%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Arauaá	2000	94.5%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Arauaá	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Araucária	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Brazil	Araucária	2017	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Brazil	Araujos	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Araujos	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Araújos	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Araújos	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Araxá	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Araxá	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Arceburgo	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Arceburgo	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Arco-íris	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Arco-íris	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Arcos	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Arcos	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Arcoverde	2000	93.3%	94.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Arcoverde	2017	93.5%	94.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Areado	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Areado	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Areal	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Areal	2017	95.6%	96.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Arealva	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Arealva	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Areia	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Areia	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2000	94.7%	95.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2017	94.9%	95.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Areia de Baraúnas	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Areia de Baraúnas	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Areial	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Areial	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Areias	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.1%
Brazil	Areias	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	Areiópolis	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Areiópolis	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.2%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2017	92.4%	94.1%	90.5%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2000	94.1%	95.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2017	94.3%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Arês	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Arês	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Brazil	Argirita	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Argirita	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Aricanduva	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Aricanduva	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Arinos	2000	96.4%	97.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Arinos	2017	96.6%	98.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Aripuanã	2000	89.1%	91.5%	86.4%
Brazil	Aripuanã	2017	89.5%	91.8%	86.8%
Brazil	Ariquemes	2000	84.2%	86.3%	82.1%
Brazil	Ariquemes	2017	84.5%	86.6%	82.4%
Brazil	Ariranha	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Ariranha	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ariranha do Ivaí	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Ariranha do Ivaí	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Armação dos Búzios	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Armação dos Búzios	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Armazém	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Armazém	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Arneiroz	2000	93.5%	94.7%	91.9%
Brazil	Arneiroz	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Aroazes	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.7%
Brazil	Aroazes	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Aroeiras	2000	93.8%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Aroeiras	2017	94.0%	94.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Arraial	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.6%
Brazil	Arraial	2017	91.7%	93.1%	89.9%
Brazil	Arraial do Cabo	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Arraial do Cabo	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Arraias	2000	94.6%	96.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Arraias	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Arroio do Meio	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Arroio do Meio	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Arroio do Sal	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Arroio do Sal	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Arroio do Tigre	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Arroio do Tigre	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Arroio dos Ratos	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Arroio dos Ratos	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Arroio Grande	2000	95.7%	97.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Arroio Grande	2017	95.9%	97.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Arroio Trinta	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Arroio Trinta	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Artur Nogueira	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Artur Nogueira	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Aruanã	2000	93.4%	95.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Aruanã	2017	93.6%	95.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Aruja	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Aruja	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Arvoredo	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Arvoredo	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Arvorezinha	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Arvorezinha	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Ascurra	2000	94.2%	95.3%	92.9%
Brazil	Ascurra	2017	94.4%	95.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Aspásia	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Aspásia	2017	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
Brazil	Assaí	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Assaí	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Assaré	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Assaré	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Assis	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Assis	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Assis Brazil	2000	84.2%	87.2%	81.1%
Brazil	Assis Brazil	2017	84.6%	87.6%	81.6%
Brazil	Assis Chateaubri	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Assis Chateaubri	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Assunção	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Assunção	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Assunção do Piauí	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Assunção do Piauí	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Astolfo Dutra	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Astolfo Dutra	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Astorga	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Astorga	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Atalaia do Norte	2000	82.3%	85.3%	78.2%
Brazil	Atalaia do Norte	2017	82.8%	85.7%	78.8%
Brazil	Atalanta	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Atalanta	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Ataleia	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Ataleia	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Ataléia	2000	91.9%	92.8%	91.0%
Brazil	Ataléia	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Ataléia	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Ataléia	2017	92.1%	93.0%	91.3%
Brazil	Atibaia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Atibaia	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Atilio Vivacqua	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Atilio Vivacqua	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Augustinópolis	2000	91.2%	92.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Augustinópolis	2017	91.5%	93.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Augusto Corrêa	2000	82.2%	84.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Augusto Corrêa	2017	82.6%	85.1%	79.8%
Brazil	Augusto de Lima	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Augusto de Lima	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Augusto Pestana	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Augusto Pestana	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Augusto Severo	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Augusto Severo	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Aurelino Leal	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Aurelino Leal	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Auriflana	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.8%
Brazil	Auriflana	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Aurilândia	2000	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Aurilândia	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Aurora	2000	92.9%	93.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Aurora	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aurora	2017	93.1%	94.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Aurora	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Aurora do Pará	2000	78.6%	81.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Aurora do Pará	2017	79.1%	81.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Aurora do Tocantins	2000	94.5%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Aurora do Tocantins	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Autazes	2000	81.0%	83.7%	78.6%
Brazil	Autazes	2017	81.5%	84.1%	79.1%
Brazil	Avaí	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Avaí	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Avanhandava	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Avanhandava	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.9%
Brazil	Avare	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Avare	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.1%
Brazil	Aveiro	2000	78.7%	82.1%	74.2%
Brazil	Aveiro	2017	79.1%	82.5%	74.7%
Brazil	Avelino Lopes	2000	93.5%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Avelino Lopes	2017	93.7%	95.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Avelinópolis	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Avelinópolis	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Axixá	2000	89.7%	91.2%	88.0%
Brazil	Axixá	2017	90.0%	91.5%	88.3%
Brazil	Axixá do Tocantins	2000	91.7%	93.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Axixá do Tocantins	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.4%
Brazil	Babaçulândia	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.3%
Brazil	Babaçulândia	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Bacabal	2000	89.7%	91.6%	87.9%
Brazil	Bacabal	2017	90.0%	91.8%	88.3%
Brazil	Bacabeira	2000	89.8%	91.3%	88.0%
Brazil	Bacabeira	2017	90.1%	91.6%	88.4%
Brazil	Bacuri	2000	88.3%	90.6%	85.7%
Brazil	Bacuri	2017	88.7%	90.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Bacurituba	2000	88.6%	90.4%	86.8%
Brazil	Bacurituba	2017	89.0%	90.7%	87.2%
Brazil	Bady Bassitt	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Bady Bassitt	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Baependi	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Baependi	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Bagé	2000	79.4%	82.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Bagé	2017	79.8%	82.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Baía da Traição	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Baía da Traição	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Baía Formosa	2000	95.8%	96.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Baía Formosa	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Baianópolis	2000	94.9%	96.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Baianópolis	2017	95.1%	96.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Baião	2000	77.3%	80.8%	74.4%
Brazil	Baião	2017	77.7%	81.2%	74.9%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2000	91.9%	94.1%	89.2%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2017	92.2%	94.3%	89.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Baixio	2000	93.8%	94.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Baixio	2017	94.0%	94.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Baixo Guandu	2000	95.0%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Baixo Guandu	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Baje	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Baje	2017	96.7%	97.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Balbinos	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Balbinos	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Baldim	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Baldim	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Baliza	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Baliza	2017	93.8%	95.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Balneário Arroio do Silva	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Balneário Arroio do Silva	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Balneário Barra do Sul	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Balneário Barra do Sul	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Balneário Camboriú	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Balneário Camboriú	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Balneário Gaivota	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Balneário Gaivota	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Balneário Pinhal	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Balneário Pinhal	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Balsa Nova	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Balsa Nova	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Bálsamo	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Bálsamo	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Balsas	2000	92.0%	94.1%	89.6%
Brazil	Balsas	2017	92.5%	94.5%	90.2%
Brazil	Bambuí	2000	96.4%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Bambuí	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Banabuiú	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Banabuiú	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Bananal	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Brazil	Bananal	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	Bananeiras	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Bananeiras	2017	95.4%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Bandeira	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Bandeira	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Bandeirante	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Bandeirante	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Bandeirantes do Tocantins	2000	92.5%	93.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Bandeirantes do Tocantins	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Bandiera do Sul	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Bandiera do Sul	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bannach	2000	82.5%	85.9%	79.1%
Brazil	Bannach	2017	82.9%	86.2%	79.5%
Brazil	Banzaê	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Banzaê	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Barão de Antonina	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Barão de Antonina	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Barão de Coacais	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Barão de Coacais	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Barao de Cotegipe	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Barao de Cotegipe	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Barão de Grajaú	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Barão de Grajaú	2017	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Barão de Melgaço	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Barão de Melgaço	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Barão de Monte Alto	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Barão de Monte Alto	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Barão do Triunfo	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Barão do Triunfo	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Baraúna	2000	95.6%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Baraúna	2000	96.5%	97.1%	95.8%
Brazil	Baraúna	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Baraúna	2017	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Barbacena	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Barbacena	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Barbalha	2000	93.1%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Barbalha	2017	93.4%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Barbosa	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Barbosa	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Barbosa Ferraz	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Barbosa Ferraz	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Barcarena	2000	78.2%	80.3%	76.4%
Brazil	Barcarena	2017	78.7%	80.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Barcelona	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Brazil	Barcelona	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	Barcelos	2000	88.5%	91.4%	84.3%
Brazil	Barcelos	2017	89.3%	92.0%	85.3%
Brazil	Bariri	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Bariri	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Baro	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Baro	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Brazil	Barra	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Barra	2017	94.7%	96.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barra d'Alcântara	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.1%
Brazil	Barra d'Alcântara	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Barra da Choça	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Barra da Choça	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Barra da Estiva	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Barra da Estiva	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Barra de Guabira	2000	93.9%	94.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Barra de Guabira	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Barra de Santa Rosa	2000	96.3%	96.9%	95.7%
Brazil	Barra de Santa Rosa	2017	96.5%	97.0%	95.8%
Brazil	Barra de Santana	2000	94.1%	95.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Barra de Santana	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Barra de Santo Antônio	2000	90.9%	92.1%	89.6%
Brazil	Barra de Santo Antônio	2017	91.2%	92.3%	89.9%
Brazil	Barra de São Francisco	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Barra de São Francisco	2017	96.0%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2000	90.6%	91.7%	89.3%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2000	94.2%	95.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2017	91.3%	92.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Barra do Chapéu	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Barra do Chapéu	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Barra do Corda	2000	90.8%	92.5%	88.9%
Brazil	Barra do Corda	2017	91.1%	92.8%	89.2%
Brazil	Barra do Garças	2000	93.5%	95.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Barra do Garças	2017	93.7%	95.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Barra do Guarita	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Barra do Guarita	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Barra do Jacaré	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Barra do Jacaré	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	Barra do Mendes	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barra do Mendes	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Barra do Ouro	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Barra do Ouro	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Barra do Piraí	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Barra do Piraí	2017	95.6%	96.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Barra do Quaraí	2000	95.8%	98.0%	92.5%
Brazil	Barra do Quaraí	2017	96.0%	98.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Barra do Ribeiro	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Barra do Ribeiro	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Barra do Rio Azul	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Barra do Rio Azul	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Barra do Rocha	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Barra do Rocha	2017	94.5%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Barra do Turvo	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Barra do Turvo	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Barra dos Bugre	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Barra dos Bugre	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Barra dos Coqueiros	2000	93.3%	94.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Barra dos Coqueiros	2017	93.6%	94.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Barra Funda	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Barra Funda	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Barra Longa	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Barra Longa	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Barra Mansa	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Barra Mansa	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Barra Velha	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Barra Velha	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Barracão	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Barracão	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Barracão	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Barracão	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Barras	2000	91.0%	92.3%	89.6%
Brazil	Barras	2017	91.3%	92.5%	89.9%
Brazil	Barreira	2000	92.7%	93.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Barreira	2017	92.9%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Barreiras	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Barreiras	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Barreiras do Piauí	2000	92.6%	94.6%	90.1%
Brazil	Barreiras do Piauí	2017	92.8%	94.8%	90.4%
Brazil	Barreirinha	2000	82.0%	84.5%	79.2%
Brazil	Barreirinha	2017	82.5%	85.0%	79.8%
Brazil	Barreirinhas	2000	90.4%	92.2%	88.1%
Brazil	Barreirinhas	2017	90.7%	92.5%	88.5%
Brazil	Barreiros	2000	91.8%	93.0%	90.4%
Brazil	Barreiros	2017	92.1%	93.2%	90.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barretos	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Barretos	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Barrinha	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Barrinha	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Barro	2000	93.7%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Barro	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Barro Duro	2000	91.6%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Barro Duro	2017	91.9%	93.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Barro Preto	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Barro Preto	2017	94.5%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Barrolândia	2000	93.4%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Barrolândia	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Barroquinha	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.7%
Brazil	Barroquinha	2017	92.0%	93.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Barros Cassal	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Barros Cassal	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Barroso	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Barroso	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Barueri	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Barueri	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Bastos	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Bastos	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Bataguassu	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Bataguassu	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Bataiporã	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Bataiporã	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Batalha	2000	90.7%	92.0%	89.2%
Brazil	Batalha	2000	92.1%	92.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Batalha	2017	91.0%	92.2%	89.5%
Brazil	Batalha	2017	92.3%	93.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Batatais	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Batatais	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Baturité	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Baturité	2017	92.9%	94.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Bauru	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Bauru	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Bayeux	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Bayeux	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Bebedouro	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Bebedouro	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Beberibe	2000	93.1%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Beberibe	2017	93.3%	94.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Bela Cruz	2000	91.9%	93.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Bela Cruz	2017	92.1%	93.8%	90.2%
Brazil	Bela Vista	2000	95.3%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Bela Vista	2017	95.5%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Bela Vista da Caroba	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Bela Vista da Caroba	2017	96.2%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Goiás	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Goiás	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Minas	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Minas	2017	96.0%	96.8%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bela Vista do Maranhão	2000	89.3%	91.0%	87.4%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Maranhão	2017	89.6%	91.3%	87.8%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Paraíso	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Paraíso	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Piauí	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.5%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Piauí	2017	92.9%	94.3%	90.8%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Toldo	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Toldo	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Belágua	2000	89.8%	91.7%	87.7%
Brazil	Belágua	2017	90.1%	92.0%	88.1%
Brazil	Belém	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Belém	2000	78.5%	80.3%	76.9%
Brazil	Belém	2000	91.2%	92.1%	90.3%
Brazil	Belém	2017	91.5%	92.4%	90.6%
Brazil	Belém	2017	79.0%	80.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Belém	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Belém de Maria	2000	92.6%	93.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Belém de Maria	2017	92.8%	93.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Belém de São Francisco	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Belém de São Francisco	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Belém do Brejo do Cruz	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Belém do Brejo do Cruz	2017	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Belém do Piauí	2000	92.6%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Belém do Piauí	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Belford Roxo	2000	95.2%	95.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Belford Roxo	2017	95.4%	95.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Belmiro Braga	2000	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Belmiro Braga	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Belmonte	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Belmonte	2000	94.1%	95.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Belmonte	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Belmonte	2017	94.3%	96.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Belo Campo	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Belo Campo	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Belo Horizonte	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Belo Horizonte	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Belo Jardim	2000	93.9%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Belo Jardim	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Belo Monte	2000	92.5%	93.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Belo Monte	2017	92.7%	93.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Belo Oriente	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Belo Oriente	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Belo Vale	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Belo Vale	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Belterra	2000	80.7%	83.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Belterra	2017	81.1%	84.1%	77.9%
Brazil	Beneditinos	2000	91.2%	92.5%	89.6%
Brazil	Beneditinos	2017	91.7%	92.9%	90.2%
Brazil	Benedito Leite	2000	91.6%	93.7%	89.4%
Brazil	Benedito Leite	2017	91.9%	93.9%	89.7%
Brazil	Benedito Novo	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Benedito Novo	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Benevides	2000	77.7%	79.6%	75.9%
Brazil	Benevides	2017	78.1%	80.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant	2000	83.6%	86.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant	2017	84.1%	87.0%	80.7%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Bento de Abreu	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Bento de Abreu	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Bento Fernandes	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	Bento Fernandes	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Brazil	Bento Gonçalves	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Bento Gonçalves	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Bequimão	2000	88.9%	90.8%	87.0%
Brazil	Bequimão	2017	89.3%	91.1%	87.4%
Brazil	Berilo	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Berilo	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Berizal	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Berizal	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Bernardino Batista	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Bernardino Batista	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Bernardino de Campos	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Bernardino de Campos	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Bernardo do Mearim	2000	90.1%	92.0%	88.3%
Brazil	Bernardo do Mearim	2017	90.4%	92.2%	88.6%
Brazil	Bernardo Sayão	2000	90.4%	92.0%	88.2%
Brazil	Bernardo Sayão	2017	90.7%	92.3%	88.5%
Brazil	Bertioga	2000	96.9%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Bertioga	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Bertolândia	2000	92.0%	93.9%	89.6%
Brazil	Bertolândia	2017	92.2%	94.1%	89.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bertópolis	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Bertópolis	2017	95.7%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Beruri	2000	81.7%	84.4%	78.8%
Brazil	Beruri	2017	82.2%	84.9%	79.4%
Brazil	Betânia	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Betânia	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Betânia do Piauí	2000	92.9%	94.5%	91.0%
Brazil	Betânia do Piauí	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Betim	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Betim	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Bezerros	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Bezerros	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Bias Fortes	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Bias Fortes	2017	96.0%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Bicas	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Bicas	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Biguaçu	2000	93.4%	95.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Biguaçu	2017	93.6%	95.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Bilac	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Bilac	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Biquinhas	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Biquinhas	2017	95.6%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Birigui	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Birigui	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Biritiba Mirim	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Biritiba Mirim	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Biritinga	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Biritinga	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Bituruna	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Bituruna	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Blumenau	2000	94.0%	95.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Blumenau	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Iguaçu	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Iguaçu	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Sul	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Sul	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Boa Hora	2000	91.0%	92.3%	89.4%
Brazil	Boa Hora	2017	91.2%	92.5%	89.7%
Brazil	Boa Nova	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Boa Nova	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Boa Ventura	2000	93.2%	94.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Boa Ventura	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Boa Ventura de São Roque	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Boa Ventura de São Roque	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Boa Viagem	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Boa Viagem	2017	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.2%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista da Aparecida	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Boa Vista da Aparecida	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Misses	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Misses	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Missões	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Missões	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Buricá	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Buricá	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Gurupi	2000	82.6%	85.5%	79.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Gurupi	2017	83.3%	86.1%	80.4%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Ramos	2000	81.0%	83.9%	77.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Ramos	2017	81.5%	84.4%	78.3%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Sul	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Sul	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Tupim	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Tupim	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Boca da Mata	2000	91.3%	92.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Boca da Mata	2017	91.6%	92.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Boca do Acre	2000	83.7%	86.2%	81.3%
Brazil	Boca do Acre	2017	84.1%	86.5%	81.7%
Brazil	Bocaina	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Brazil	Bocaina	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Bocaina	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Brazil	Bocaina	2017	92.5%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Bocaina de Minas	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Bocaina de Minas	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.5%
Brazil	Bocaina do Sul	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Bocaina do Sul	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Bocaiúva	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Bocaiúva	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bocaiúva do Sul	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.4%
Brazil	Bocaiúva do Sul	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Brazil	Bodó	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Brazil	Bodó	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Brazil	Bodocó	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Bodocó	2017	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Bodoquena	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Bodoquena	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Bofete	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Bofete	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Boituva	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Boituva	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Bom Conselho	2000	92.4%	93.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Bom Conselho	2017	92.7%	93.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Bom despacho	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Bom despacho	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	93.2%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Bom Jardim da Serra	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Bom Jardim da Serra	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Goiás	2000	93.6%	95.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Goiás	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Minas	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Minas	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	88.7%	90.5%	86.9%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	89.1%	90.8%	87.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	91.7%	93.6%	89.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	94.1%	95.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	91.9%	93.9%	89.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Lapa	2000	94.9%	96.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Lapa	2017	95.3%	96.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Penha	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Penha	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Serra	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Serra	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus das Selvas	2000	88.7%	90.7%	86.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus das Selvas	2017	89.1%	91.0%	86.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bom Jesus de Goiás	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus de Goiás	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Amparo	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Amparo	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Galho	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Galho	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Itabapoana	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Itabapoana	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Norte	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Norte	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Oeste	2000	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Oeste	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Sul	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Sul	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2000	84.2%	86.5%	81.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2017	84.6%	86.8%	82.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Bom Lugar	2000	90.3%	92.1%	88.6%
Brazil	Bom Lugar	2017	90.6%	92.4%	88.9%
Brazil	Bom Princípio	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Bom Princípio	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Bom Princípio do Piauí	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.1%
Brazil	Bom Princípio do Piauí	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Bom Progresso	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Bom Progresso	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Bom Repouso	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Bom Repouso	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Bom Retiro	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Bom Retiro	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Bom Retiro do Sul	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Bom Retiro do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bom Sucesso de Itararé	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso de Itararé	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso do Sul	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso do Sul	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Bombinhas	2000	93.6%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Bombinhas	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Bon Jesus dos Perdoes	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Brazil	Bon Jesus dos Perdoes	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Bonfim	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Bonfim	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Bonfim	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Bonfim	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Bonfim do Piauí	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.3%
Brazil	Bonfim do Piauí	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis	2000	94.0%	95.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis	2017	94.2%	95.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis de Minas	2000	96.4%	97.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis de Minas	2017	96.5%	97.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Boninal	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Boninal	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	93.8%	94.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	78.0%	80.6%	75.2%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	78.4%	81.0%	75.7%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	93.9%	94.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Bonito de Minas	2000	95.8%	97.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Bonito de Minas	2017	95.9%	97.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Bonito de Santa Fé	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Bonito de Santa Fé	2017	93.8%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Bonópolis	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Bonópolis	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Boqueirão	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Boqueirão	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Leão	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Leão	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Piauí	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.5%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Piauí	2017	91.3%	92.7%	89.7%
Brazil	Boqueirao dos Cochos	2000	93.4%	94.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Boqueirao dos Cochos	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Boquira	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Boquira	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Borá	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Borá	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Boracéia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Boracéia	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Borba	2000	81.9%	85.4%	78.2%
Brazil	Borba	2017	82.4%	85.8%	78.8%
Brazil	Borborema	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Borborema	2000	95.0%	95.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Borborema	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Borborema	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Borda da Mata	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Brazil	Borda da Mata	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Brazil	Borebi	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Borebi	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Borrazópolis	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Borrazópolis	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Bossoroca	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Bossoroca	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Botelhos	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Botelhos	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Botucatu	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Botucatu	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Botumirim	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Botumirim	2017	96.4%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Botuporã	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Botuporã	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Botuverá	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Botuverá	2017	93.8%	95.0%	92.4%
Brazil	Braço do Norte	2000	94.2%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Braço do Norte	2017	94.4%	95.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Braço do Trombudo	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Braço do Trombudo	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Braga	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Braga	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Bragança Paulista	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Bragança Paulista	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Braganey	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Braganey	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Braganga	2000	81.6%	84.3%	78.5%
Brazil	Braganga	2017	82.1%	84.7%	79.1%
Brazil	Branquinha	2000	91.7%	92.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Branquinha	2017	91.9%	92.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Bras Pires	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Bras Pires	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Brasabrantés	2000	94.9%	95.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Brasabrantés	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Brasilândia	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Brasilândia	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Brasilândia de Minas	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Brasilândia de Minas	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Brasilândia do Sul	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Brasilândia do Sul	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Brasilândia do Tocantins	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Brasilândia do Tocantins	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Brasília	2000	83.2%	85.6%	80.7%
Brazil	Brasília	2017	83.6%	86.0%	81.1%
Brazil	Brasília	2000	98.3%	98.6%	98.0%
Brazil	Brasília	2017	98.4%	98.6%	98.1%
Brazil	Brasília de Minas	2000	96.1%	97.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Brasília de Minas	2017	96.3%	97.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Brasnorte	2000	91.5%	93.9%	89.0%
Brazil	Brasnorte	2017	91.8%	94.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Brasópolis	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Brasópolis	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Brauna	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Brauna	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Braúnas	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Braúnas	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	Brazil Novo	2000	81.2%	84.9%	77.2%
Brazil	Brazil Novo	2017	81.6%	85.3%	77.7%
Brazil	Brazileira	2000	91.1%	92.4%	89.5%
Brazil	Brazileira	2017	91.4%	92.7%	89.8%
Brazil	Brejão	2000	92.3%	93.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Brejão	2017	92.6%	93.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Brejetuba	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Brejetuba	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Brejinho	2000	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
Brazil	Brejinho	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Brejinho	2017	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Brejinho	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Brejinho de Nazaré	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Brejinho de Nazaré	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Brejo	2000	90.3%	91.8%	88.6%
Brazil	Brejo	2017	90.5%	92.0%	88.9%
Brazil	Brejo Alegre	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Brejo Alegre	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Brejo da Madre de deus	2000	93.9%	94.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Brejo da Madre de deus	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Brejo de Areia	2000	90.1%	91.9%	87.6%
Brazil	Brejo de Areia	2017	90.4%	92.2%	87.9%
Brazil	Brejo do Cruz	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Brejo do Cruz	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Brejo do Piauí	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.4%
Brazil	Brejo do Piauí	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.7%
Brazil	Brejo dos Santos	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Brejo dos Santos	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Brejo Grande	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.9%
Brazil	Brejo Grande	2017	92.4%	93.7%	91.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Brejo Grande do Araguaia	2000	88.4%	90.2%	86.5%
Brazil	Brejo Grande do Araguaia	2017	88.8%	90.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Brejo Santo	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Brejo Santo	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Brejões	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Brejões	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Brejolândia	2000	94.9%	96.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Brejolândia	2017	95.1%	96.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Breu Branco	2000	77.7%	80.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Breu Branco	2017	78.2%	81.4%	75.6%
Brazil	Breves	2000	81.6%	84.2%	78.8%
Brazil	Breves	2017	82.0%	84.6%	79.2%
Brazil	Brochier	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Brochier	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Brodosqui	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Brodosqui	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	Brotas	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Brotas	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Brotas de Macaúbas	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Brotas de Macaúbas	2017	95.5%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Brumadinho	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Brumadinho	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Brumado	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Brumado	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Brunópolis	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Brunópolis	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Brusque	2000	93.5%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Brusque	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.5%
Brazil	Bueno Brandão	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Brazil	Bueno Brandão	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Buenópolis	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Buenópolis	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Buenos Aires	2000	94.0%	94.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Buenos Aires	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Buerarema	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Buerarema	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Bugre	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Bugre	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Buíque	2000	92.6%	93.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Buíque	2017	92.8%	93.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Bujari	2000	81.4%	82.8%	79.7%
Brazil	Bujari	2017	81.8%	83.2%	80.1%
Brazil	Bujaru	2000	76.7%	78.9%	74.6%
Brazil	Bujaru	2017	77.2%	79.3%	75.1%
Brazil	Buquim	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Buquim	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Buri	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Buri	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Brazil	Buritama	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.3%
Brazil	Buritama	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Buriti	2000	90.7%	92.0%	89.1%
Brazil	Buriti	2017	91.0%	92.3%	89.4%
Brazil	Buriti Alegre	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Buriti Alegre	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Buriti Bravo	2000	91.6%	93.2%	89.7%
Brazil	Buriti Bravo	2017	91.8%	93.4%	90.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Buriti de Goiás	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Buriti de Goiás	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Buriti do Tocantins	2000	88.4%	90.3%	86.3%
Brazil	Buriti do Tocantins	2017	88.7%	90.6%	86.7%
Brazil	Buriti dos Lopes	2000	91.1%	92.7%	89.5%
Brazil	Buriti dos Lopes	2017	91.4%	92.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Buriti dos Montes	2000	92.7%	94.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Buriti dos Montes	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Buriticupu	2000	89.1%	91.1%	86.8%
Brazil	Buriticupu	2017	89.4%	91.4%	87.2%
Brazil	Buritinópolis	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Buritinópolis	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Buritirama	2000	93.5%	95.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Buritirama	2017	93.7%	95.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Buritirana	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.8%
Brazil	Buritirana	2017	91.7%	93.5%	90.1%
Brazil	Buritis	2000	96.9%	98.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Buritis	2000	83.9%	86.1%	81.7%
Brazil	Buritis	2017	97.0%	98.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Buritis	2017	84.2%	86.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Buritizal	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Buritizal	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Buritizeiro	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Buritizeiro	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Butiá	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Butiá	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Caapiranga	2000	82.6%	85.5%	79.8%
Brazil	Caapiranga	2017	83.1%	85.9%	80.3%
Brazil	Caaporã	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Caaporã	2017	93.9%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Caarapó	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Caarapó	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Caatiba	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Caatiba	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Cabaceiras	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Cabaceiras	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Cabaceiras do Paraguaçu	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Cabaceiras do Paraguaçu	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Cabeceira Grande	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Cabeceira Grande	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Cabeceiras	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Cabeceiras	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Cabeceiras do Piauí	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.7%
Brazil	Cabeceiras do Piauí	2017	91.3%	92.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Cabedelo	2000	93.9%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Cabedelo	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Cabixi	2000	84.8%	87.5%	81.9%
Brazil	Cabixi	2017	85.1%	87.7%	82.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cabo	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Cabo	2017	92.9%	93.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Cabo Frio	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Cabo Frio	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Cabo Verde	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Cabo Verde	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Cabrália	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Paulista Cabrália	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Cabreúva	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Cabreúva	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Cabrobó	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Cabrobó	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Caçador	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Caçador	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Caçapava	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Caçapava	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Caçapava do Sul	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.5%
Brazil	Caçapava do Sul	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Brazil	Cacaulândia	2000	83.8%	85.8%	81.7%
Brazil	Cacaulândia	2017	84.0%	86.1%	82.0%
Brazil	Cacequi	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Cacequi	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Cáceres	2000	93.2%	95.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Cáceres	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Cachoeira	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Cachoeira	2000	95.5%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Alta Cachoeira	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira da Prata	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira da Prata	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Goiás	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Goiás	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Minas	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Minas	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Pajes	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Pajes	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Arari	2000	78.4%	80.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Arari	2017	78.8%	81.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Piriá	2000	81.4%	84.2%	78.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Piriá	2017	81.9%	84.7%	78.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Sul	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Sul	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cachoeira dos índios	2000	93.8%	94.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira dos índios	2017	94.1%	95.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira Grande	2000	89.6%	91.3%	87.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira Grande	2017	89.9%	91.6%	88.1%
Brazil	Cachoeira Paulista	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira Paulista	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Brazil	Cachoeiras de Macacu	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Cachoeiras de Macacu	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.8%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	92.7%	94.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Cachoeiro de Itapemirim	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Cachoeiro de Itapemirim	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	93.9%	94.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Cacimba de Areia	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Cacimba de Areia	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Cacimba de dentro	2000	96.2%	96.8%	95.6%
Brazil	Cacimba de dentro	2017	96.4%	96.9%	95.7%
Brazil	Cacimbas	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Cacimbas	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Cacimbinhas	2000	92.1%	93.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Cacimbinhas	2017	92.3%	93.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Cacique doble	2000	95.1%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Cacique doble	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Cacoal	2000	84.9%	86.7%	83.2%
Brazil	Cacoal	2017	85.2%	87.0%	83.5%
Brazil	Caconde	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Caconde	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Caçu	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Caçu	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Caculé	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Caculé	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Caém	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Caém	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Caetanópolis	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Caetanópolis	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Caetanos	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Caetanos	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caeté	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Caeté	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Caetés	2000	93.1%	94.0%	92.1%
Brazil	Caetés	2017	93.3%	94.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Caetité	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Caetité	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Cafarnaum	2000	95.4%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Cafarnaum	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Cafeara	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Cafeara	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Cafezal do Sul	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Cafezal do Sul	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Caiabu	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Caiabu	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Caiana	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Caiana	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Caiapônia	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Caiapônia	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Caibaté	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Caibaté	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Caibi	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Caibi	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Caiçara	2000	95.3%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Caiçara	2000	96.0%	96.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Caiçara	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Caiçara	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Caiçara do Norte	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Brazil	Caiçara do Norte	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Caiçara do Rio do Vento	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Caiçara do Rio do Vento	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	Caicó	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Caicó	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Caieiras	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Caieiras	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Cairu	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Cairu	2017	91.8%	93.5%	89.7%
Brazil	Caiuá	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Caiuá	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Cajamar	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Brazil	Cajamar	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Brazil	Cajapió	2000	89.0%	90.9%	87.1%
Brazil	Cajapió	2017	89.4%	91.2%	87.5%
Brazil	Cajari	2000	88.8%	90.5%	86.8%
Brazil	Cajari	2017	89.1%	90.8%	87.2%
Brazil	Cajati	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Cajati	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Cajazeiras	2000	94.2%	95.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Cajazeiras	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Cajazeiras do Piauí	2000	91.6%	93.0%	89.8%
Brazil	Cajazeiras do Piauí	2017	91.8%	93.2%	90.1%
Brazil	Cajazeirinhas	2000	94.0%	94.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Cajazeirinhas	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cajobi	2000	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Cajobi	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Cajueiro	2000	90.9%	91.8%	89.9%
Brazil	Cajueiro	2017	91.7%	92.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Cajueiro da Praia	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.5%
Brazil	Cajueiro da Praia	2017	91.8%	93.4%	89.8%
Brazil	Cajuri	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Cajuri	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Cajuru	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Cajuru	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	Calçado	2000	93.4%	94.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Calçado	2017	93.6%	94.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Calçoene	2000	85.4%	88.3%	81.8%
Brazil	Calçoene	2017	85.7%	88.6%	82.2%
Brazil	Caldas	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Caldas	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Caldas	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	94.7%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	94.2%	95.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	93.7%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	93.9%	94.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	92.0%	93.7%	89.9%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	93.4%	94.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	93.7%	94.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cambará	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Cambará	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Cambará do Sul	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Cambará do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Cambé	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.1%
Brazil	Cambé	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Cambira	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Cambira	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Camboriú	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Camboriú	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Cambuci	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Cambuci	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Cambuí	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Brazil	Cambuí	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Cambuquira	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Cambuquira	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Cametá	2000	78.3%	81.1%	75.6%
Brazil	Cametá	2017	78.7%	81.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Camocim	2000	91.6%	93.5%	89.5%
Brazil	Camocim	2017	92.3%	94.1%	90.3%
Brazil	Camocim de São Félix	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Camocim de São Félix	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Campanário	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Campanário	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Campanha	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Campanha	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Campestre	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Campestre	2000	92.0%	93.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Campestre	2017	92.3%	93.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Campestre	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Campestre da Serra	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Campestre da Serra	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Campestre de Goiás	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Campestre de Goiás	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Campestre do Maranhão	2000	92.9%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Campestre do Maranhão	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.9%
Brazil	Campina da Lagoa	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Campina da Lagoa	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Campina das Missões	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Campina das Missões	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Campina do Monte Alegre	2000	98.5%	99.1%	97.8%
Brazil	Campina do Monte Alegre	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Brazil	Campina do Simão	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Campina do Simão	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campina Grande	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Campina Grande	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Campina Grande do Sul	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	Campina Grande do Sul	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.6%
Brazil	Campina Verde	2000	96.7%	97.8%	95.6%
Brazil	Campina Verde	2017	96.9%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Campinaçu	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Campinaçu	2017	94.9%	96.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Campinápolis	2000	92.9%	95.0%	90.5%
Brazil	Campinápolis	2017	93.2%	95.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Campinas	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Campinas	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Campinas do Piauí	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Campinas do Piauí	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Campinas do Sul	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Campinas do Sul	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Campinorte	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Campinorte	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Campo	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Campo	2017	96.7%	97.3%	95.9%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2000	92.5%	93.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2017	92.8%	93.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Goiás	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Goiás	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Lourdes	2000	93.2%	94.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Lourdes	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Campo Alegre do Fidalgo	2000	92.9%	94.4%	90.7%
Brazil	Campo Alegre do Fidalgo	2017	93.1%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Campo Azul	2000	95.8%	97.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Campo Azul	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Campo Belo	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Campo Belo	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Campo Belo do Sul	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Campo Belo do Sul	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Campo Bom	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Campo Bom	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Campo Bonito	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Campo Bonito	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Campo do Brito	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campo Brito do	2017	94.6%	95.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Campo Meio do	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Campo Meio do	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Campo Tenente do	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Campo Tenente do	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Campo Erê	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Campo Erê	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Campo Florido	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Campo Florido	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Campo Formoso	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Campo Formoso	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2017	92.9%	93.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Campo Grande do Piauí	2000	92.7%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Campo Grande do Piauí	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Campo Largo do Piauí	2000	90.5%	91.9%	89.0%
Brazil	Campo Largo do Piauí	2017	90.8%	92.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Campo Limpo Paulista	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Campo Limpo Paulista	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Campo Magro	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.9%
Brazil	Campo Magro	2017	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Campo Maior	2000	91.5%	92.9%	90.0%
Brazil	Campo Maior	2017	91.8%	93.1%	90.3%
Brazil	Campo Mourão	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Campo Mourão	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Campo Novo	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Campo Novo	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Campo Novo de Rondônia	2000	84.5%	86.6%	82.3%
Brazil	Campo Novo de Rondônia	2017	84.8%	86.9%	82.6%
Brazil	Campo Novo do Parecis	2000	92.8%	94.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Campo Novo do Parecis	2017	93.0%	94.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Campo Real	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Campo Real	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campo Redondo	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Brazil	Campo Redondo	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Brazil	Campo Verde	2000	92.9%	94.4%	91.2%
Brazil	Campo Verde	2017	93.1%	94.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Campos	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Campos	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Campos Altos	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Campos Altos	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Campos Belos	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Campos Belos	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Campos Borges	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Campos Borges	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Campos de Júlio	2000	90.6%	92.9%	87.9%
Brazil	Campos de Júlio	2017	90.8%	93.1%	88.2%
Brazil	Campos do Jordão	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Campos do Jordão	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Campos Gerais	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Campos Gerais	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Campos Lindos	2000	93.4%	95.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Campos Lindos	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Campos Novos	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Campos Novos	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Campos Novos	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Campos Paulista	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Campos Paulista	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Campos Sales	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Campos Sales	2000	94.5%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Campos Verdes	2017	94.7%	96.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Campos Verdes	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Campos Verdes de Goiás	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Campos Verdes de Goiás	2000	95.0%	95.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Camutanga	2017	95.2%	95.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Camutanga	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Canaã	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Canaã dos Carajás	2000	84.0%	86.6%	81.2%
Brazil	Canaã dos Carajás	2017	84.5%	87.0%	81.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Canabrava do Norte	2000	91.6%	94.3%	88.4%
Brazil	Canabrava do Norte	2017	91.9%	94.5%	88.7%
Brazil	Cananéia	2000	96.4%	97.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Cananéia	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Canapi	2000	92.1%	93.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Canapi	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.2%
Brazil	Canápolis	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Canápolis	2000	95.0%	96.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Canápolis	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Canápolis	2017	95.2%	96.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Canarana	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Canarana	2000	93.3%	95.3%	90.8%
Brazil	Canarana	2017	93.5%	95.5%	91.1%
Brazil	Canarana	2017	95.5%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Canas	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.8%
Brazil	Canas	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.9%
Brazil	Canavieira	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.4%
Brazil	Canavieira	2017	91.9%	93.7%	89.7%
Brazil	Canavieiras	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Canavieiras	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Candeal	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Candeal	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Candeias	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Candeias	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Candeias	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Candeias	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Candeias do Jamari	2000	81.8%	83.7%	79.9%
Brazil	Candeias do Jamari	2017	82.1%	84.0%	80.3%
Brazil	Candelária	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Candelária	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Candiba	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Candiba	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Cândido de Abreu	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Cândido de Abreu	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Cândido Godói	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Cândido Godói	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Cândido Mendes	2000	83.3%	86.2%	80.2%
Brazil	Cândido Mendes	2017	83.7%	86.5%	80.7%
Brazil	Cândido Mota	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Cândido Mota	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Cândido Rodrigues	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Cândido Rodrigues	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Cândido Sales	2000	95.5%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Cândido Sales	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Candiota	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Candiota	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Candói	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Candói	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Canela	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Canela	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Canelinha	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Canelinha	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Canguaretama	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Canguaretama	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Canguçu	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Canguçu	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Canhoba	2000	93.0%	94.0%	91.9%
Brazil	Canhoba	2017	93.3%	94.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Canhotinho	2000	93.1%	93.9%	92.2%
Brazil	Canhotinho	2017	93.3%	94.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Canindé	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Canindé	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Canindé de São Francisco	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Canindé de São Francisco	2017	93.2%	94.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Canitar	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Canitar	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Canoas	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Canoas	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Canoinhas	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Canoinhas	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Cansanção	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Cansanção	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Cantá	2000	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Cantá	2017	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	96.1%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	95.9%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Cantanhede	2000	89.3%	90.9%	87.5%
Brazil	Cantanhede	2017	89.6%	91.2%	87.8%
Brazil	Canto do Buriti	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.1%
Brazil	Canto do Buriti	2017	92.3%	93.8%	90.4%
Brazil	Canudos	2000	94.5%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Canudos	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Canutama	2000	83.5%	86.6%	79.4%
Brazil	Canutama	2017	84.0%	87.0%	79.9%
Brazil	Capanema	2000	79.6%	82.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Capanema	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Capanema	2017	80.0%	82.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Capanema	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Capão Alto	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Capão Alto	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Capão Bonito	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Capão Bonito	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Capão da Canoa	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.4%
Brazil	Capão da Canoa	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.5%
Brazil	Capão do Leão	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Capão do Leão	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Caparaó	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Caparaó	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Capela	2000	91.0%	92.0%	90.0%
Brazil	Capela	2000	93.8%	94.5%	92.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Capela	2017	91.3%	92.3%	90.4%
Brazil	Capela	2017	94.0%	94.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Capela de Santana	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Capela de Santana	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Capela do Alto	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Capela do Alto	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Capela do Alto Alegre	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Capela do Alto Alegre	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Capela Nova	2000	96.0%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Capela Nova	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Capelinha	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Capelinha	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Capetinga	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Capetinga	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Capim	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Capim	2017	94.9%	95.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Capim Branco	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Capim Branco	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Capim Grosso	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Capim Grosso	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Capinópolis	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Capinópolis	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Capinzal	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Capinzal	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Capinzal do Norte	2000	90.7%	92.2%	89.1%
Brazil	Capinzal do Norte	2017	91.0%	92.5%	89.4%
Brazil	Capistrano	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Capistrano	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Capitão	2000	96.5%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Capitão	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Capitão	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Capitão Andrade	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Capitão de Campos	2000	91.5%	92.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Capitão de Campos	2017	91.8%	93.1%	90.4%
Brazil	Capitão Enéas	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Capitão Enéas	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Capitão Gervásio Oliveira	2000	92.9%	94.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Capitão Gervásio Oliveira	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.1%
Brazil	Capitão Leônidas Marques	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Capitão Leônidas Marques	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Capitão Poço	2000	78.7%	81.7%	76.0%
Brazil	Capitão Poço	2017	79.2%	82.1%	76.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Capit6lio	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Capit6lio	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Capivari	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Capivari	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Capivari de Baixo	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Capivari de Baixo	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Capivari do Sul	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Capivari do Sul	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Capixaba	2000	81.3%	83.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Capixaba	2017	81.7%	83.8%	79.8%
Brazil	Capoeiras	2000	93.4%	94.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Capoeiras	2017	93.6%	94.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Caputira	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Caputira	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Cara6	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Cara6	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Caracara6	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Caracara6	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Caracol	2000	95.6%	97.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Caracol	2000	92.9%	94.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Caracol	2017	95.7%	97.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Caracol	2017	93.1%	94.8%	91.1%
Brazil	Caraguatatuba	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Caraguatatuba	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Cara6	2000	96.4%	97.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Cara6	2017	96.5%	97.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Carambe6	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Carambe6	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Carana6ba	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Carana6ba	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Caranda6	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Caranda6	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Carangola	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Carangola	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Carapic6ba	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Carapic6ba	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Caratinga	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Caratinga	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Carauari	2000	83.4%	87.8%	79.1%
Brazil	Carauari	2017	83.9%	88.2%	79.6%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2000	94.0%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2017	94.2%	95.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Cara6bas do Piauí	2000	90.8%	92.2%	89.2%
Brazil	Cara6bas do Piauí	2017	91.1%	92.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Caravallhopolis	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Caravallhopolis	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Caravelas	2000	94.3%	96.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Caravelas	2017	94.5%	96.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Carazinho	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Carazinho	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Carbonita	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Carbonita	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cardeal da Silva	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Cardeal da Silva	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Cardoso	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Cardoso	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Cardoso Moreira	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Cardoso Moreira	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Careaçú	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Careaçú	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Careiro	2000	80.7%	82.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Careiro	2017	81.2%	83.0%	78.8%
Brazil	Careiro da Várzea	2000	79.8%	81.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Careiro da Várzea	2017	80.3%	82.1%	78.7%
Brazil	Carepebus	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Carepebus	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Cariacica	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Cariacica	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Caridade	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Caridade	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Caridade do Piauí	2000	92.8%	94.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Caridade do Piauí	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.1%
Brazil	Carinhanha	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Carinhanha	2017	95.5%	97.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Carira	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Carira	2017	94.9%	95.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Cariré	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Cariré	2017	92.3%	93.6%	90.7%
Brazil	Cariri do Tocantins	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Cariri do Tocantins	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Caririaçu	2000	92.9%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Caririaçu	2017	93.2%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Cariús	2000	92.9%	93.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Cariús	2017	93.1%	94.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Carlinda	2000	89.9%	92.1%	86.9%
Brazil	Carlinda	2017	90.2%	92.4%	87.3%
Brazil	Carlópolis	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Carlópolis	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Carlos Barbosa	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Carlos Barbosa	2017	96.8%	97.4%	95.9%
Brazil	Carlos Chagas	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Carlos Chagas	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Carlos Gomes	2000	95.1%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Carlos Gomes	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Carmésia	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Carmésia	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Carmo	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Carmo	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Carmo da Cachoeira	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Carmo da Cachoeira	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Carmo da Mata	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Carmo da Mata	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Carmo de Minas	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Carmo de Minas	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Carmo do Cajuru	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Carmo do Cajuru	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Carmo do Paranaíba	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Carmo do Paranaíba	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Claro	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Claro	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Verde	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Verde	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Carmolândia	2000	92.5%	93.8%	91.0%
Brazil	Carmolândia	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.3%
Brazil	Carmópolis	2000	93.1%	93.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Carmópolis	2017	93.3%	94.0%	92.4%
Brazil	Carmópolis de Minas	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Carmópolis de Minas	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Carnaíba	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Carnaíba	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Carnaíba dos Dantas	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.1%
Brazil	Carnaíba dos Dantas	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	Carnaubais	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	Carnaubais	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.4%
Brazil	Carnaubal	2000	93.4%	94.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Carnaubal	2017	93.7%	94.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Carnaubeira da Penha	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Carnaubeira da Penha	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Carneirinho	2000	96.4%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Carneirinho	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Carneiros	2000	91.9%	92.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Carneiros	2017	92.1%	93.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Caroebe	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Caroebe	2017	95.2%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Carolina	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Carolina	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Carpina	2000	94.9%	95.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Carpina	2017	95.2%	95.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Carrancas	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Carrancas	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Carrapateira	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Carrapateira	2017	94.1%	95.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Carrasco Bonito	2000	89.4%	91.2%	87.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Carrasco Bonito	2017	89.7%	91.5%	87.7%
Brazil	Caruaru	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Caruaru	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Carutapera	2000	83.4%	86.6%	80.4%
Brazil	Carutapera	2017	83.8%	87.0%	80.9%
Brazil	Carvalhos	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Carvalhos	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Casa Branca	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Casa Branca	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Casa Grande	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Casa Grande	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Casa Nova	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Casa Nova	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Casca	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Casca	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Cascalho Rico	2000	95.3%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Cascalho Rico	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Cascavel	2000	92.7%	93.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Cascavel	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Cascavel	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Cascavel	2017	93.0%	94.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Caseara	2000	88.7%	91.2%	86.1%
Brazil	Caseara	2017	89.0%	91.4%	86.4%
Brazil	Caseiros	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Caseiros	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Casimiro de Abreu	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Casimiro de Abreu	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Casinhas	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Casinhas	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Casserengue	2000	95.6%	96.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Casserengue	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Cássia	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Cássia	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Cássia dos Coqueiros	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Cássia dos Coqueiros	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Cassilândia	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Cassilândia	2017	95.6%	96.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Cassiterita	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Cassiterita	2017	95.9%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Castanhal	2000	78.1%	80.3%	75.8%
Brazil	Castanhal	2017	78.5%	80.7%	76.3%
Brazil	Castanheira	2000	90.6%	93.2%	87.6%
Brazil	Castanheira	2017	90.9%	93.4%	88.0%
Brazil	Castanheiras	2000	83.7%	85.5%	81.8%
Brazil	Castanheiras	2017	84.0%	85.8%	82.1%
Brazil	Castelândia	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Castelândia	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Castelo	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Castelo	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Castelo do Piauí	2000	91.9%	93.2%	90.3%
Brazil	Castelo do Piauí	2017	92.2%	93.4%	90.6%
Brazil	Castilho	2000	96.9%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Castilho	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Castro	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Castro	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Castro Alves	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Castro Alves	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Cataguases	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Cataguases	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Catalão	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Catalão	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Catanduva	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Catanduva	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Catandubas	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Catandubas	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Catandubas	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Catandubas	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Catarina	2000	93.5%	94.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Catarina	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Catas Altas	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Catas Altas	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Catas Altas da Noruega	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Catas Altas da Noruega	2017	96.1%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Catende	2000	92.4%	93.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Catende	2017	92.6%	93.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Catigua	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Catigua	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Catingueira	2000	93.5%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Catingueira	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Catolândia	2000	95.1%	96.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Catolândia	2017	95.2%	96.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Catolé do Rocha	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Catolé do Rocha	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Catu	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Catu	2017	94.3%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Catuípe	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Catuípe	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Catuji	2000	96.2%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Catuji	2017	96.3%	97.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Catunda	2000	92.7%	94.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Catunda	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Caturai	2000	94.8%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Caturai	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Caturama	2000	95.2%	96.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Caturama	2017	95.3%	96.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Caturité	2000	94.9%	95.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Caturité	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Catuti	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Catuti	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Caucaia	2000	91.8%	92.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Caucaia	2017	92.1%	93.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Cavalcante	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Cavalcante	2017	95.6%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Caxambu	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Caxambu	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Caxambu do Sul	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Caxambu do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Caxias	2000	91.7%	93.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Caxias	2017	91.9%	93.3%	90.6%
Brazil	Caxias do Sul	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Caxias do Sul	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caxingó	2000	90.7%	92.2%	89.2%
Brazil	Caxingó	2017	91.0%	92.5%	89.5%
Brazil	Ceará-Mirim	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Brazil	Ceará-Mirim	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Brazil	Cedral	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Cedral	2000	88.0%	90.4%	85.6%
Brazil	Cedral	2017	88.3%	90.7%	86.0%
Brazil	Cedral	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Cedro	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Cedro	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Cedro	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Cedro	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Cedro de São João	2000	93.3%	94.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Cedro de São João	2017	93.5%	94.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Cedro do Abaeté	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Cedro do Abaeté	2017	95.6%	96.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Celso Ramos	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Celso Ramos	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Centenário	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Centenário	2000	93.8%	95.7%	91.9%
Brazil	Centenário	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Centenário	2017	94.0%	95.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Centenário do Sul	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Centenário do Sul	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Central	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Central	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Central de Minas	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Central de Minas	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Central do Maranhão	2000	88.8%	90.8%	86.8%
Brazil	Central do Maranhão	2017	89.1%	91.1%	87.2%
Brazil	Centralina	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Centralina	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Centro do Guilherme	2000	84.7%	87.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Centro do Guilherme	2017	85.2%	87.7%	82.5%
Brazil	Centro Novo do Maranhão	2000	83.7%	86.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Centro Novo do Maranhão	2017	84.1%	86.4%	81.0%
Brazil	Cerejeiras	2000	84.5%	87.0%	82.0%
Brazil	Cerejeiras	2017	84.8%	87.2%	82.4%
Brazil	Ceres	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Ceres	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Cerqueira César	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Cerqueira César	2017	98.6%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Cerquinho	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Cerquinho	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Cerrito	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Cerrito	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cêro Azul	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Cêro Azul	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Cerro Branco	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Cerro Branco	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Cerro Corá	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Cerro Corá	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Brazil	Cerro Grande	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Cerro Grande	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Cerro Grande	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Cerro Grande do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Cerro Largo	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Cerro Largo	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Cerro Negro	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Cerro Negro	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Cesário Lange	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Cesário Lange	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Céu Azul	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Céu Azul	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Cezarina	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Cezarina	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Chã de Alegria	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Chã de Alegria	2017	93.9%	94.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Chã Grande	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Chã Grande	2017	94.9%	95.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Chã Preta	2000	91.1%	92.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Chã Preta	2017	91.3%	92.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Chácara	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Chácara	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Chale	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Chale	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Chapada	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Chapada	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Chapada da Natividade	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Chapada da Natividade	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Chapada de Areia	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Chapada de Areia	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.7%
Brazil	Chapada do Norte	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Chapada do Norte	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Chapada dos Guimarães	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.0%
Brazil	Chapada dos Guimarães	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Chapada Gaúcha	2000	96.2%	97.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Chapada Gaúcha	2017	96.4%	97.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Chapadão do Céu	2000	90.5%	92.0%	88.8%
Brazil	Chapadão do Céu	2017	90.8%	92.2%	89.1%
Brazil	Chapadão do Lageado	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Chapadão do Lageado	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Chapadão do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Chapadão do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Chapadinha	2000	95.1%	96.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Chapadinha	2017	95.3%	96.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Chapecó	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Chapecó	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Charqueada	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Charqueada	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Charqueadas	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Charqueadas	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Charrua	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Charrua	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Chaval	2000	91.8%	93.6%	90.0%
Brazil	Chaval	2017	92.1%	93.8%	90.4%
Brazil	Chavantes	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Chavantes	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Chaves	2000	80.5%	83.2%	78.2%
Brazil	Chaves	2017	80.9%	83.6%	78.6%
Brazil	Chaveslandia	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Chaveslandia	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Chiador	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Chiador	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Chiapeta	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Chiapeta	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Chopinzinho	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Chopinzinho	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Choró	2000	93.2%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Choró	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Chorozinho	2000	93.0%	94.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Chorozinho	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Chorrochó	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Chorrochó	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Chuí	2000	95.2%	97.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Chuí	2017	95.4%	97.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Chupinguaia	2000	84.4%	86.8%	82.0%
Brazil	Chupinguaia	2017	84.7%	87.0%	82.3%
Brazil	Chuvisca	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Chuvisca	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Cianorte	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Cianorte	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Cícero Dantas	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Cícero Dantas	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Cidade Gaúcha	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Cidade Gaúcha	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Cidade Ocidental	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Brazil	Cidade Ocidental	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Brazil	Cidelândia	2000	89.9%	91.6%	88.0%
Brazil	Cidelândia	2017	90.2%	91.9%	88.4%
Brazil	Cidreira	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Cidreira	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Cipó	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Cipó	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Cipotânea	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Cipotânea	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ciriaco	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Ciriaco	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Claraval	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Claraval	2017	97.1%	98.0%	95.9%
Brazil	Claro dos Poções	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Claro dos Poções	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Cláudia	2000	91.7%	93.6%	89.0%
Brazil	Cláudia	2017	92.0%	93.8%	89.4%
Brazil	Cláudio	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Cláudio	2017	96.0%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Clementina	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Clementina	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Clevelândia	2000	95.4%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Clevelândia	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Coaraci	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Coaraci	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Coari	2000	86.5%	89.4%	82.9%
Brazil	Coari	2017	86.9%	89.8%	83.4%
Brazil	Cocal	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.7%
Brazil	Cocal	2017	92.5%	93.7%	91.0%
Brazil	Cocal de Telha	2000	91.2%	92.6%	89.6%
Brazil	Cocal de Telha	2017	91.4%	92.8%	89.9%
Brazil	Cocal do Sul	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Cocal do Sul	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Cocal dos Alves	2000	92.4%	93.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Cocal dos Alves	2017	92.6%	93.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Cocalinho	2000	93.1%	95.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Cocalinho	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Cocalzinho de Goiás	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Cocalzinho de Goiás	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	Cocos	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Cocos	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Codajás	2000	82.4%	85.4%	78.9%
Brazil	Codajás	2017	82.9%	85.8%	79.4%
Brazil	Codó	2000	90.9%	92.4%	89.5%
Brazil	Codó	2017	91.2%	92.7%	89.8%
Brazil	Coelho Neto	2000	91.5%	92.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Coelho Neto	2017	91.7%	92.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Coimbra	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Coimbra	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Coité do Nória	2000	91.4%	92.3%	90.6%
Brazil	Coité do Nória	2017	91.7%	92.5%	90.9%
Brazil	Coivaras	2000	91.5%	92.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Coivaras	2017	91.8%	93.0%	90.4%
Brazil	Colares	2000	77.9%	80.2%	75.7%
Brazil	Colares	2017	78.3%	80.7%	76.2%
Brazil	Colatina	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Colatina	2017	95.2%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Colíder	2000	92.4%	94.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Colíder	2017	92.7%	94.4%	90.2%
Brazil	Colina	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Colina	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Colinas	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.5%
Brazil	Colinas	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Colinas	2017	91.8%	93.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Colinas	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Colinas do Sul	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Colinas do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Colinas do Tocantins	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Colinas do Tocantins	2017	93.5%	94.7%	91.9%
Brazil	Colméia	2000	92.8%	94.5%	91.2%
Brazil	Colméia	2017	93.0%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	Colômbia	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Colômbia	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Colombo	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Brazil	Colombo	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Brazil	Colônia do Gurguéia	2000	91.8%	93.7%	89.6%
Brazil	Colônia do Gurguéia	2017	92.1%	93.9%	89.9%
Brazil	Colônia do Piauí	2000	91.7%	93.2%	89.8%
Brazil	Colônia do Piauí	2017	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Colônia Leopoldina	2000	92.0%	92.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Colônia Leopoldina	2017	92.2%	93.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Colorado	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Colorado	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Colorado	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Colorado	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Colorado do Oeste	2000	84.9%	87.4%	82.6%
Brazil	Colorado do Oeste	2017	85.2%	87.6%	82.9%
Brazil	Coluna	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Coluna	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Combinado	2000	94.7%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Combinado	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Comendador Gomes	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Comendador Gomes	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Comendador Levy Gasparian	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Comendador Levy Gasparian	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Comercinho	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Comercinho	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Comodoro	2000	87.9%	90.2%	84.9%
Brazil	Comodoro	2017	88.2%	90.4%	85.2%
Brazil	Conceição	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Conceição	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Conceição da Aparecida	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Conceição da Aparecida	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Conceição da Barra	2000	94.8%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Conceição da Barra	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Conceição da Feira	2000	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Conceição da Feira	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Conceição das Alagoas	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Conceição das Alagoas	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Conceição das Pedras	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Conceição das Pedras	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Conceição de Ipanema	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Conceição de Ipanema	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Conceicao do Almeida	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Conceicao do Almeida	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Conceição do Almeida	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Conceição do Almeida	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Conceição do Araguaia	2000	77.3%	79.7%	74.8%
Brazil	Conceição do Araguaia	2017	77.8%	80.1%	75.3%
Brazil	Conceição do Canindé	2000	92.1%	93.6%	89.8%
Brazil	Conceição do Canindé	2017	92.4%	93.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Conceição do Castelo	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Castelo	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Conceição do Coité	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Coité	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Conceição do Jacuípe	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Conceição do Jacuípe	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Conceição do Lago-Açu	2000	89.6%	91.4%	87.6%
Brazil	Conceição do Lago-Açu	2017	90.0%	91.7%	88.0%
Brazil	Conceição do Mato Dentro	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Mato Dentro	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Conceição do Para	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Para	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Conceição do Rio Verde	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Conceição do Rio Verde	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Conceição do Tocantins	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Conceição do Tocantins	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Conceição dos Ouros	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Conceição dos Ouros	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Brazil	Conceicao Macabu	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Conceicao Macabu	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Conchal	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Conchal	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Conchas	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Conchas	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Concórdia	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Concórdia	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Concórdia do Pará	2000	89.6%	91.7%	87.5%
Brazil	Concórdia do Pará	2017	89.9%	92.0%	87.8%
Brazil	Condado	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Condado	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Condado	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Condado	2017	94.9%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Conde	2000	93.7%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Conde	2000	93.9%	94.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Conde	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Conde	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Condeúba	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Condeúba	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Condor	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Condor	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Cônego Marinho	2000	95.7%	97.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Cônego Marinho	2017	95.9%	97.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Confins	2000	95.9%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Confins	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Confresa	2000	91.1%	93.8%	88.1%
Brazil	Confresa	2017	91.4%	94.0%	88.5%
Brazil	Congo	2000	94.1%	95.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Congo	2017	94.3%	95.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Congonhal	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.6%
Brazil	Congonhal	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.7%
Brazil	Congonhas	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Congonhas	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Congonhas do Norte	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Congonhas do Norte	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Congonhinas	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Congonhinas	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Conquista	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Conquista	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Conselheiro Lafaiete	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Conselheiro Lafaiete	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Conselheiro Mayrinck	2000	97.1%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Conselheiro Mayrinck	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Conselheiro Pena	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Conselheiro Pena	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Consolação	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Consolação	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Constantina	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Constantina	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Contagem	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Contagem	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Contenda	2000	96.6%	97.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Contenda	2017	96.7%	97.3%	95.9%
Brazil	Contendas do Sincorá	2000	94.9%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Contendas do Sincorá	2017	95.1%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Coqueiral	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Coqueiral	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Coqueiro Seco	2000	90.3%	91.3%	89.1%
Brazil	Coqueiro Seco	2017	90.6%	91.6%	89.4%
Brazil	Coqueiros do Sul	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Coqueiros do Sul	2017	96.1%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Coração de Jesus	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Coração de Jesus	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Coração de Maria	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Coração de Maria	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Corbélia	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Corbélia	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Cordeiro	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Cordeiro	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Cordeirópolis	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Cordeirópolis	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Cordeiros	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Cordeiros	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Cordilheira Alta	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Cordilheira Alta	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Cordisburgo	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Cordisburgo	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Cordislândia	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Cordislândia	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Coreaú	2000	92.0%	93.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Coreaú	2017	92.3%	93.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Coremas	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Coremas	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Corguinho	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Corguinho	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Coribe	2000	95.4%	97.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Coribe	2017	95.6%	97.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Corinto	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Corinto	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Cornélio Procópio	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Brazil	Cornélio Procópio	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Coroaci	2000	95.8%	97.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Coroaci	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Coroados	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Coroados	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Coroatá	2000	90.7%	92.0%	88.9%
Brazil	Coroatá	2017	91.0%	92.2%	89.2%
Brazil	Coromandel	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Coromandel	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Coronel Barros	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Coronel Barros	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Coronel Bicaco	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Coronel Bicaco	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Coronel domingos Soares	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Coronel domingos Soares	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Coronel Ezequiel	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.2%
Brazil	Coronel Ezequiel	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	Coronel Fabriciano	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Coronel Fabriciano	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Coronel Freitas	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Coronel Freitas	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Coronel João Pessoa	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Coronel João Pessoa	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Coronel João Sá	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Coronel João Sá	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Coronel José Dias	2000	92.9%	94.7%	91.0%
Brazil	Coronel José Dias	2017	93.2%	94.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Coronel Macedo	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Coronel Macedo	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Coronel Martins	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Coronel Martins	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Coronel Murta	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Coronel Murta	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Coronel Pacheco	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Coronel Pacheco	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Coronel Sapucaia	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Coronel Sapucaia	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Coronel Vivida	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Coronel Vivida	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Coronel Xavier Chaves	2000	96.1%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Coronel Xavier Chaves	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Córrego Danta	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Córrego Danta	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Córrego do Bom Jesus	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	Córrego do Bom Jesus	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Córrego do Ouro	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Córrego do Ouro	2017	94.5%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Córrego Fundo	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Córrego Fundo	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Córrego Novo	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Córrego Novo	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Correia Pinto	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Correia Pinto	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Corrente	2000	93.1%	95.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Corrente	2017	93.3%	95.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Correntes	2000	91.3%	92.3%	90.1%
Brazil	Correntes	2017	91.5%	92.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Correntina	2000	95.3%	96.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Correntina	2017	95.5%	97.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Cortes	2000	93.3%	94.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Cortes	2017	93.5%	94.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Corumbá	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Corumbá	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Corumbá de Goiás	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Corumbá de Goiás	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Corumbaíba	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Corumbaíba	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Corumbataí	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Corumbataí	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Corumbataí do Sul	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Corumbataí do Sul	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Corumbiara	2000	84.1%	86.6%	81.8%
Brazil	Corumbiara	2017	84.4%	86.9%	82.1%
Brazil	Corupá	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Corupá	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Coruripe	2000	92.4%	93.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Coruripe	2017	92.6%	93.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Cosmópolis	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Cosmópolis	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cosmorama	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Cosmorama	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Costa Marques	2000	84.8%	87.6%	81.5%
Brazil	Costa Marques	2017	85.1%	87.9%	81.9%
Brazil	Costa Rica	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Costa Rica	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Cotegipe	2000	94.5%	96.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Cotegipe	2017	94.7%	96.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Cotia	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Cotia	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Cotiporã	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Cotiporã	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Cotriguaçu	2000	90.4%	93.1%	87.7%
Brazil	Cotriguaçu	2017	90.7%	93.4%	88.1%
Brazil	Couto de Magalhães	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Couto de Magalhães	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Couto Magalhães	2000	90.1%	92.1%	87.9%
Brazil	Couto Magalhães	2017	90.5%	92.4%	88.3%
Brazil	Coxilha	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Coxilha	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Coxim	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Coxim	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Coxixola	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Coxixola	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Craíbas	2000	92.4%	93.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Craíbas	2017	92.6%	93.4%	91.8%
Brazil	Crateús	2000	92.6%	93.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Crateús	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Crato	2000	93.0%	94.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Crato	2017	93.3%	94.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Cravinhos	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Cravinhos	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Cravolândia	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Cravolândia	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Criciúma	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Criciúma	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Crisólita	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Crisólita	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Crisópolis	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Crisópolis	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Crissiumal	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Crissiumal	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Cristais	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Cristais	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Cristais Paulista	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Cristais Paulista	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	Cristal	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Cristal	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Cristal do Sul	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Cristal do Sul	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Cristalândia	2000	93.8%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Cristalândia	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Cristalândia do Piauí	2000	93.3%	95.3%	91.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cristalândia do Piauí	2017	93.5%	95.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Cristália	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Cristália	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Cristalina	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Cristalina	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Cristiano Otoni	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Cristiano Otoni	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Cristianópolis	2000	95.1%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Cristianópolis	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Cristina	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Cristina	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Cristinápolis	2000	94.4%	95.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Cristinápolis	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Cristino Castro	2000	91.3%	93.5%	88.7%
Brazil	Cristino Castro	2017	91.6%	93.7%	89.0%
Brazil	Cristópolis	2000	94.9%	96.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Cristópolis	2017	95.1%	96.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Crixás	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Crixás	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Crixás do Tocantins	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Crixás do Tocantins	2017	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Croata	2000	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Croata	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Cromínia	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Cromínia	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Crucilândia	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Crucilândia	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Cruz	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Cruz	2017	92.0%	93.6%	89.9%
Brazil	Cruz Alta	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Cruz Alta	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Cruz das Almas	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Cruz das Almas	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Cruz do Espírito Santo	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Cruz do Espírito Santo	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Cruz Machado	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Cruz Machado	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Cruzália	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Cruzália	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Cruzeiro	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Cruzeiro	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Cruzeiro da Fortaleza	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Cruzeiro da Fortaleza	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Iguaçu	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Iguaçu	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Oeste	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Oeste	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	82.6%	84.8%	80.3%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	82.9%	85.1%	80.6%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Cruzeta	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Cruzeta	2017	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Cruzília	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Cruzília	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Cruzmalina	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Cruzmalina	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Cubatão	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Cubatão	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Cubati	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Cubati	2017	96.2%	96.8%	95.4%
Brazil	Cuiaba	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Cuiaba	2017	92.8%	94.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Cuité	2000	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Cuité	2017	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Brazil	Cuité de Manguape	2000	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Cuité de Manguape	2017	94.9%	95.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Cuitegi	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Cuitegi	2017	94.9%	95.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Cujubim	2000	82.4%	84.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Cujubim	2017	82.7%	84.9%	80.5%
Brazil	Cumari	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Cumari	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Cumarú	2000	93.8%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Cumarú	2017	94.0%	94.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Cumarú do Norte	2000	83.3%	86.4%	79.8%
Brazil	Cumarú do Norte	2017	83.7%	86.8%	80.3%
Brazil	Cumbe	2000	93.9%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Cumbe	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Cunha	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Brazil	Cunha	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Brazil	Cunha Porã	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Cunha Porã	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Cunhataí	2000	95.3%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Cunhataí	2017	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Cuparaque	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Cuparaque	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Cupira	2000	93.1%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Cupira	2017	93.3%	94.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Curaçá	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Curaçá	2017	93.6%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Curimatá	2000	92.5%	94.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Curimatá	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Curionópolis	2000	83.8%	86.2%	81.3%
Brazil	Curionópolis	2017	84.1%	86.5%	81.6%
Brazil	Curitiba	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Brazil	Curitiba	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Curitibanos	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Curitibanos	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Curiúva	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Curiúva	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Currais	2000	91.7%	93.7%	89.2%
Brazil	Currais	2017	91.9%	93.9%	89.5%
Brazil	Currais Novos	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	Currais Novos	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Brazil	Curral de Cima	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Curral de Cima	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Curral de dentro	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Curral de dentro	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Curral Novo do Piauí	2000	92.9%	94.4%	91.0%
Brazil	Curral Novo do Piauí	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.3%
Brazil	Curral Velho	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Curral Velho	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Curralinho	2000	79.1%	82.0%	76.1%
Brazil	Curralinho	2017	79.6%	82.4%	76.6%
Brazil	Curralinhos	2000	91.6%	93.0%	90.0%
Brazil	Curralinhos	2017	91.9%	93.2%	90.3%
Brazil	Curuá	2000	80.0%	83.2%	76.2%
Brazil	Curuá	2017	80.4%	83.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Curuçá	2000	78.3%	80.7%	75.4%
Brazil	Curuçá	2017	78.7%	81.2%	75.9%
Brazil	Cururupu	2000	89.0%	91.3%	86.4%
Brazil	Cururupu	2017	89.3%	91.6%	86.7%
Brazil	Curvelo	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Curvelo	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Custódia	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Custódia	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Cutias	2000	86.1%	88.0%	84.1%
Brazil	Cutias	2017	86.5%	88.3%	84.6%
Brazil	Damianópolis	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Damianópolis	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Damião	2000	96.2%	96.8%	95.5%
Brazil	Damião	2017	96.3%	96.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Darcinópolis	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Darcinópolis	2017	93.4%	94.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Dário Meira	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Dário Meira	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Datas	2000	96.5%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Datas	2017	96.6%	97.7%	95.3%
Brazil	David Canabarro	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	David Canabarro	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2000	90.7%	92.4%	89.0%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2000	95.0%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2017	95.2%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2017	91.0%	92.7%	89.4%
Brazil	Delfim Moreira	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Delfim Moreira	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Delfinópolis	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Delfinópolis	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Delmiro Gouveia	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Delmiro Gouveia	2017	92.9%	94.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Delta	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Delta	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Demerval Lobão	2000	91.5%	92.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Demerval Lobão	2017	91.7%	92.9%	90.3%
Brazil	Denise	2000	92.0%	93.7%	89.9%
Brazil	Denise	2017	92.2%	93.9%	90.2%
Brazil	Deodápolis	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Deodápolis	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Deputado Irapuan Pinheiro	2000	93.4%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Deputado Irapuan Pinheiro	2017	93.6%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Derrubadas	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Derrubadas	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Descalvado	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Descalvado	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Descanso	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Descanso	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Descoberto	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Descoberto	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Desterro	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Desterro	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Desterro de Entre Rios	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Desterro de Entre Rios	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Desterro de Malta	2000	95.8%	96.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Desterro de Malta	2017	96.0%	96.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Desterro do Melo	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Desterro do Melo	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Dezesseis de Novembro	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Dezesseis de Novembro	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Diadema	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Brazil	Diadema	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Brazil	Diamante	2000	93.3%	94.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Diamante	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Diamante d'Oeste	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Diamante d'Oeste	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Diamante do Norte	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Diamante do Norte	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Diamante do Sul	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Diamante do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Diamantina	2000	96.4%	97.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Diamantina	2017	96.6%	97.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Diamantino	2000	92.9%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Diamantino	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Dianopolis	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Dianopolis	2017	94.9%	96.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Dias d'vila	2000	94.2%	95.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Dias d'vila	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Dilermano de Aguiar	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Dilermano de Aguiar	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Diogo de Vasconcelos	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Diogo de Vasconcelos	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Dionísio	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Dionísio	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Dionísio	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Cerqueira	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Diorama	2000	94.2%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Diorama	2017	94.4%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Dirce Reis	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%
Brazil	Dirce Reis	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
Brazil	Dirceu Arcoverde	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Dirceu Arcoverde	2017	93.6%	95.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Divina Pastora	2000	93.6%	94.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Divina Pastora	2017	93.8%	94.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Divinésia	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Divinésia	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Divino	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Divino	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Divino das Laranjeiras	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Divino das Laranjeiras	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Divino de São Lourenço	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Divino de São Lourenço	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Divinolândia	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Divinolândia	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	Divinolandia de Minas	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Divinolandia de Minas	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Divinópolis	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Divinópolis	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Divinópolis de Goiás	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Divinópolis de Goiás	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Divinópolis do Tocantins	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Divinópolis do Tocantins	2017	92.4%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Divisa Alegre	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Divisa Alegre	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Divisa Nova	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Divisa Nova	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Divisópolis	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Divisópolis	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Dobrada	2000	98.6%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Dobrada	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Dois Córregos	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Dois Córregos	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos das Missões	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos das Missões	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos do Buriti	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos do Buriti	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Dois Lajeados	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Dois Lajeados	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Dois Riachos	2000	91.9%	92.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Dois Riachos	2017	92.2%	93.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Dois Vizinhos	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Dois Vizinhos	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Dom Aquino	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Dom Aquino	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Dom Basílio	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Dom Basílio	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Dom Bosco	2000	96.3%	97.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Dom Bosco	2017	96.4%	97.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Dom Cavati	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Dom Cavati	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Dom Eliseu	2000	86.5%	88.7%	84.3%
Brazil	Dom Eliseu	2017	86.9%	89.0%	84.7%
Brazil	Dom Expedito Lopes	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Dom Expedito Lopes	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Dom Feliciano	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Dom Feliciano	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Dom Inocência	2000	93.0%	94.8%	91.0%
Brazil	Dom Inocência	2017	93.2%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Dom Joaquim	2000	95.4%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Dom Joaquim	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Dom Macedo Costa	2000	94.4%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Dom Macedo Costa	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Dom Pedrito	2000	97.0%	98.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Dom Pedrito	2017	97.3%	98.3%	96.0%
Brazil	Dom Pedro	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.3%
Brazil	Dom Pedro	2017	91.4%	92.8%	89.7%
Brazil	Dom Pedro de Alcântara	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Dom Pedro de Alcântara	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Dom Silvério	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Dom Silvério	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Dom Viçoso	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Dom Viçoso	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Domingos Martins	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Domingos Martins	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Domingos Mourão	2000	91.9%	93.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Domingos Mourão	2017	92.1%	93.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Dona Emma	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Dona Emma	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Dona Eusébia	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Dona Eusébia	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Dona Francisca	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Dona Francisca	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Dona Inês	2000	95.7%	96.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Dona Inês	2017	95.9%	96.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Dores de Campos	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Dores de Campos	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Dores de Guanhães	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Dores de Guanhães	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Dores do Indaiá	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Dores do Indaiá	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Dores do Rio Preto	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Dores do Rio Preto	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Dores do Turvo	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Dores do Turvo	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Doresópolis	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Doresópolis	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Dormentes	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.2%
Brazil	Dormentes	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	Douradina	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Douradina	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Douradina	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Douradina	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Dourado	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Dourado	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Douradoquara	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Douradoquara	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Dourados	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Dourados	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Doutor Camargo	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Doutor Camargo	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Doutor Maurício Cardoso	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Doutor Maurício Cardoso	2017	95.5%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Doutor Pedrinho	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Doutor Pedrinho	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Doutor Ricardo	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Doutor Ricardo	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Doutor Severiano	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Doutor Severiano	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Doutor Ulysses	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Doutor Ulysses	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Doverlândia	2000	94.2%	95.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Doverlândia	2017	94.1%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Dracena	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Dracena	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Duartina	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Duartina	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Duas Barras	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Duas Barras	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Duas Estradas	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Duas Estradas	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Dueré	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Dueré	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Dulcinópolis	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Dulcinópolis	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Brazil	Dumont	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Dumont	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Duque Baccelar	2000	90.6%	91.9%	89.1%
Brazil	Duque Baccelar	2017	90.9%	92.1%	89.4%
Brazil	Duque de Caxias	2000	94.9%	95.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Duque de Caxias	2017	95.1%	95.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Durandé	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Durandé	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Echaporã	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Echaporã	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Ecoporanga	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Ecoporanga	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Edealina	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Edealina	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Edéia	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Edéia	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Eirunepé	2000	84.2%	87.0%	80.4%
Brazil	Eirunepé	2017	84.6%	87.4%	80.9%
Brazil	Eldorado	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Eldorado	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Eldorado	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Eldorado	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Eldorado do Sul	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Eldorado do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Eldorado dos Carajás	2000	83.4%	85.6%	80.8%
Brazil	Eldorado dos Carajás	2017	83.8%	86.0%	81.2%
Brazil	Elesbão Veloso	2000	91.6%	93.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Elesbão Veloso	2017	92.1%	93.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Elias Fausto	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Elias Fausto	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Eliseu Martins	2000	92.1%	93.9%	89.9%
Brazil	Eliseu Martins	2017	92.3%	94.1%	90.2%
Brazil	Elisiário	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Elisiário	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Elísio Medrado	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Elísio Medrado	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Elói Mendes	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Elói Mendes	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Emas	2000	93.2%	94.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Emas	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Embaúba	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Embaúba	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Embu	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Embu	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Embu-Guaçu	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Embu-Guaçu	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Emilianópolis	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Emilianópolis	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Encantado	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Encantado	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Encanto	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Encanto	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Encruzilhada	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Encruzilhada	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Encruzilhada do Sul	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Encruzilhada do Sul	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Enéas Marques	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Enéas Marques	2017	96.3%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Engenheiro Beltrão	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Engenheiro Beltrão	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Engenheiro Caldas	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Engenheiro Caldas	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Engenheiro Coelho	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Engenheiro Coelho	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Engenheiro Navarro	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Engenheiro Navarro	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Engenheiro Paulo de Front	2000	96.2%	96.8%	95.7%
Brazil	Engenheiro Paulo de Front	2017	96.4%	96.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Engenho Velho	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Engenho Velho	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Entre Folhas	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Entre Folhas	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Entre Rios de Minas	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Entre Rios de Minas	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Oeste	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Oeste	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Entre-Ijuís	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Entre-Ijuís	2017	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Envira	2000	82.6%	85.4%	80.0%
Brazil	Envira	2017	83.0%	85.7%	80.6%
Brazil	Epitaciolândia	2000	82.7%	85.3%	80.1%
Brazil	Epitaciolândia	2017	83.1%	85.7%	80.5%
Brazil	Equador	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Equador	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Erebango	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Erebango	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Erechim	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Erechim	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Ererê	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Ererê	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Érico Cardoso	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Érico Cardoso	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Ermo	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Ermo	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Ernestina	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Ernestina	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Eerval	2000	96.0%	97.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Eerval	2017	96.2%	97.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Eerval Grande	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Eerval Grande	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Eerval Seco	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Eerval Seco	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Eerval Velho	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Eerval Velho	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Ervália	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Ervália	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Escada	2000	93.0%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Escada	2017	93.2%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Esmeralda	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Esmeralda	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Esmeraldas	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Esmeraldas	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Espera Feliz	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Espera Feliz	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Esperança	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Esperança	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Esperança do Sul	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Esperança do Sul	2017	95.4%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Esperança Nova	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Esperança Nova	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Esperantina	2000	90.6%	92.2%	89.0%
Brazil	Esperantina	2000	85.9%	88.1%	83.6%
Brazil	Esperantina	2017	90.9%	92.4%	89.3%
Brazil	Esperantina	2017	86.4%	88.5%	84.1%
Brazil	Esperantinópolis	2000	89.8%	91.7%	87.7%
Brazil	Esperantinópolis	2017	90.1%	91.9%	88.1%
Brazil	Espigão Alto do Iguaçu	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Espigão Alto do Iguaçu	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Espigão d'Oeste	2000	84.7%	86.5%	82.6%
Brazil	Espigão d'Oeste	2017	85.0%	86.8%	82.9%
Brazil	Espinosa	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Espinosa	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Espírito Santo	2000	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Espírito Santo	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Dourado	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Dourado	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Pinhal	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Pinhal	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Turvo	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Turvo	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Esplanada	2000	94.4%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Esplanada	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Espumoso	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Espumoso	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Estação	2000	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Estação	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Estância	2000	94.0%	94.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Estância	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Estância Velha	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Estância Velha	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Esteio	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Esteio	2017	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Estiva	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Estiva	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Brazil	Estiva Gerbi	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Estiva Gerbi	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Estreito	2000	92.5%	94.2%	91.0%
Brazil	Estreito	2017	92.8%	94.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Estrela	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Estrela	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Estrela dalva	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Estrela dalva	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Estrela de Alagoas	2000	92.1%	93.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Estrela de Alagoas	2017	92.3%	93.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Estrela do Indaiá	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Estrela do Indaiá	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2000	94.3%	95.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.1%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2017	94.5%	96.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Estrela do Oeste	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
Brazil	Estrela do Oeste	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Estrela do Sul	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Estrela do Sul	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Estrela Velha	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Estrela Velha	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha Paulista	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha Paulista	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Eugênio de Castro	2000	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Eugênio de Castro	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Eugenópolis	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Eugenópolis	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Eunápolis	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Eunápolis	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Eusébio	2000	91.7%	92.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Eusébio	2017	92.2%	93.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Ewbank da Câmara	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Ewbank da Câmara	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Extrema	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Extrema	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Extremoz	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Extremoz	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Exu	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Exu	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Fagundes	2000	93.9%	94.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Fagundes	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Fagundes Varela	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Fagundes Varela	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Faina	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Faina	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Fama	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Fama	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Faria Lemos	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Faria Lemos	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Farias Brito	2000	93.3%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Farias Brito	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Faro	2000	79.8%	83.2%	76.1%
Brazil	Faro	2017	80.2%	83.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Farol	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Farol	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Farroupilha	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Farroupilha	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Fartura	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Fartura	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Fartura do Piauí	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Fartura do Piauí	2017	93.7%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Fátima	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Fátima	2000	94.1%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Fátima	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Fátima	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Fatima do Sul	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Fatima do Sul	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Faxinal	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Faxinal	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Faxinal do Soturno	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Faxinal do Soturno	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Faxinal dos Guedes	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Faxinal dos Guedes	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Faxinalzinho	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Faxinalzinho	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Fazenda Nova	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Fazenda Nova	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Fazenda Rio Grande	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Brazil	Fazenda Rio Grande	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Fazenda Vilanova	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Fazenda Vilanova	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Feijó	2000	82.6%	85.0%	80.2%
Brazil	Feijó	2017	83.0%	85.3%	80.6%
Brazil	Feira da Mata	2000	95.3%	96.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Feira da Mata	2017	95.5%	97.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Feira de Santana	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Feira de Santana	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Feira Grande	2000	92.1%	93.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Feira Grande	2017	92.3%	93.2%	91.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Feira Nova	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2000	94.0%	94.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Feira Nova do Maranhão	2000	92.7%	94.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Feira Nova do Maranhão	2017	92.9%	94.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Felício dos Santos	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Felício dos Santos	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Felipe Guerra	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Felipe Guerra	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Felisberto Caldeira	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Felisberto Caldeira	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Felisburgo	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Felisburgo	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Felixlândia	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Felixlândia	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Feliz	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Feliz	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Feliz Deserto	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Feliz Deserto	2017	91.4%	92.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Feliz Natal	2000	92.0%	94.1%	89.5%
Brazil	Feliz Natal	2017	92.6%	94.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Fênix	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Fênix	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Fernandes Pinheiro	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Fernandes Pinheiro	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Fernandes Tourinho	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Fernandes Tourinho	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Fernando de Noronha	2000	95.2%	98.3%	89.8%
Brazil	Fernando de Noronha	2017	95.4%	98.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Fernando Falcão	2000	91.0%	92.9%	89.0%
Brazil	Fernando Falcão	2017	91.3%	93.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Fernando Pedroza	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.7%
Brazil	Fernando Pedroza	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	Fernando Prestes	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Fernando Prestes	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Fernandópolis	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.8%
Brazil	Fernandópolis	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Ferno	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Ferno	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Ferraz de Vascon	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Ferraz de Vascon	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ferreira Gomes	2000	86.2%	88.2%	84.3%
Brazil	Ferreira Gomes	2017	86.6%	88.5%	84.7%
Brazil	Ferreiros	2000	94.9%	95.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Ferreiros	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Ferros	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Ferros	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Fervedouro	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Fervedouro	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Figueira	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Figueira	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Figueirópolis	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Figueirópolis	2017	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Figueirópolis d'Oeste	2000	92.7%	94.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Figueirópolis d'Oeste	2017	92.9%	95.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2000	94.5%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2017	94.7%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Firmino Alves	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Firmino Alves	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Firminópolis	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Firminópolis	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Flexeiras	2000	91.7%	92.6%	90.7%
Brazil	Flexeiras	2017	91.9%	92.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Flor da Serra do Sul	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Flor da Serra do Sul	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Flor do Sertão	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Flor do Sertão	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Flora Rica	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Flora Rica	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Floraí	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Floraí	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Florânia	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Brazil	Florânia	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	Floreal	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Floreal	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
Brazil	Flores	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Flores	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Flores da Cunha	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Flores da Cunha	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Flores de Goiás	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Flores de Goiás	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Flores do Piauí	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.6%
Brazil	Flores do Piauí	2017	92.7%	94.2%	90.8%
Brazil	Floresta	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Floresta	2000	92.6%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Floresta	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Floresta	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Floresta Azul	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Floresta Azul	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Floresta do Araguaia	2000	85.4%	87.9%	82.5%
Brazil	Floresta do Araguaia	2017	85.9%	88.3%	82.9%
Brazil	Floresta do Piauí	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Floresta do Piauí	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Florestal	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Florestal	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Florestópolis	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Florestópolis	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Floriano	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Floriano	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Floriano Peixoto	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Floriano Peixoto	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Florianópolis	2000	93.7%	95.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Florianópolis	2017	93.9%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Flórida	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Flórida	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Flórida Paulista	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Flórida Paulista	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Florínia	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Florínia	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Florinópolis	2000	93.8%	95.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Florinópolis	2017	94.0%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Fonte Boa	2000	82.5%	86.3%	78.1%
Brazil	Fonte Boa	2017	83.0%	86.6%	78.7%
Brazil	Fontoura Xavier	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Fontoura Xavier	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Formiga	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Formiga	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Formigueiro	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Formigueiro	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Formosa	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Formosa	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Brazil	Formosa da Serra Negra	2000	92.1%	94.0%	90.0%
Brazil	Formosa da Serra Negra	2017	92.4%	94.2%	90.4%
Brazil	Formosa do Oeste	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Formosa do Oeste	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Formosa do Rio Preto	2000	94.2%	95.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Formosa do Rio Preto	2017	94.4%	96.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Formosa do Sul	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Formosa do Sul	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Formoso	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Formoso	2000	96.4%	97.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Formoso	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Formoso	2017	96.5%	97.7%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Formoso do Araguaia	2000	93.3%	95.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Formoso do Araguaia	2017	93.6%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Forquilha	2000	92.9%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Forquilha	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Forquilha	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Forquilha	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Fortaleza	2000	91.8%	92.7%	90.9%
Brazil	Fortaleza	2017	92.1%	93.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Fortaleza de Minas	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Fortaleza de Minas	2017	97.0%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Fortaleza do Tabocão	2000	93.9%	95.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Fortaleza do Tabocão	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Nogueiras	2000	92.5%	94.4%	90.2%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Nogueiras	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Valos	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Valos	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Fortim	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Fortim	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Fortuna	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.5%
Brazil	Fortuna	2017	91.8%	93.3%	89.8%
Brazil	Fortuna de Minas	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Fortuna de Minas	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Foz do Iguaçu	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Foz do Iguaçu	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Foz do Jordão	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Foz do Jordão	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Fraiburgo	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Fraiburgo	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Franca	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Franca	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%
Brazil	Francinópolis	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.8%
Brazil	Francinópolis	2017	91.8%	93.3%	90.1%
Brazil	Francisco Alves	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Francisco Alves	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Francisco Ayres	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.7%
Brazil	Francisco Ayres	2017	91.6%	93.2%	90.0%
Brazil	Francisco Badaró	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Francisco Badaró	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Francisco Beltrão	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Francisco Beltrão	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Francisco Dantas	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Francisco Dantas	2017	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Francisco Dumon	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Francisco Dumon	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Francisco Macêdo	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Francisco Macêdo	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Francisco Morato	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Francisco Morato	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Francisco Sá	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Francisco Sá	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Francisco Santos	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Francisco Santos	2017	92.6%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Franciscópolis	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Franciscópolis	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Franco da Rocha	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Franco da Rocha	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Frecheirinha	2000	92.4%	93.7%	91.0%
Brazil	Frecheirinha	2017	92.7%	93.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Frederico Westphalen	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Frederico Westphalen	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Frei Gaspar	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Frei Gaspar	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Frei Inocêncio	2000	95.4%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Frei Inocêncio	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Frei Lagonegro	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Frei Lagonegro	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Frei Martinho	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Frei Martinho	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.6%
Brazil	Frei Miguelinho	2000	93.9%	94.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Frei Miguelinho	2017	94.1%	95.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Frei Paulo	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Frei Paulo	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Frei Rogério	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Frei Rogério	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Fronteira	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Fronteira	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Fronteira dos Vales	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Fronteira dos Vales	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Fronteiras	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Fronteiras	2017	93.4%	94.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Fruta de Leite	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Fruta de Leite	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Frutal	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Frutal	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Frutuoso Gomes	2000	95.6%	96.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Frutuoso Gomes	2017	95.8%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Fundão	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Fundão	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Funilândia	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Funilândia	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Gabriel Monteiro	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Gabriel Monteiro	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Gado Bravo	2000	93.8%	94.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Gado Bravo	2017	94.0%	94.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Gália	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Gália	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Galiléia	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Galiléia	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Galinhos	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Galinhos	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	Galvão	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Galvão	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Gameleira	2000	92.1%	93.2%	90.8%
Brazil	Gameleira	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.1%
Brazil	Gameleiras	2000	95.6%	97.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Gameleiras	2017	95.8%	97.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Gandu	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Gandu	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Garanhuns	2000	93.0%	93.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Garanhuns	2017	93.3%	94.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Gararu	2000	92.9%	93.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Gararu	2017	93.1%	94.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Garça	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Garça	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Garibaldi	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Garibaldi	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Garopaba	2000	93.5%	95.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Garopaba	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Garrafão do Norte	2000	80.3%	83.2%	77.4%
Brazil	Garrafão do Norte	2017	80.8%	83.6%	77.9%
Brazil	Garruchos	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Garruchos	2017	95.6%	97.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Garuva	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Garuva	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Gaspar	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Gaspar	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Gastão Vidigal	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Gastão Vidigal	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Gaúcha do Norte	2000	91.3%	94.0%	88.6%
Brazil	Gaúcha do Norte	2017	91.6%	94.2%	88.9%
Brazil	Gaurama	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Gaurama	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Gavião	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Gavião	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Gavião Peixoto	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Gavião Peixoto	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Geminiano	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Geminiano	2017	92.4%	94.0%	91.0%
Brazil	General Câmara	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	General Câmara	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2000	92.9%	94.5%	90.6%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2017	93.1%	94.7%	90.9%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	General Maynard	2000	93.0%	93.8%	92.0%
Brazil	General Maynard	2017	93.2%	94.0%	92.3%
Brazil	General Salgado	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Brazil	General Salgado	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	General Sampaio	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	General Sampaio	2017	93.1%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Gentil	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Gentil	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Gentio do Ouro	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Gentio do Ouro	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Getulina	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Getulina	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.9%
Brazil	Getúlio Vargas	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Getúlio Vargas	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Gilbués	2000	92.6%	94.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Gilbués	2017	92.8%	94.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Girau do Ponciano	2000	92.9%	93.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Girau do Ponciano	2017	93.1%	93.9%	92.2%
Brazil	Giruá	2000	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Giruá	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Glaucilândia	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Glaucilândia	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Glicério	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Glicério	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Glória	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Glória	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Glória d'Oeste	2000	92.9%	94.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Glória d'Oeste	2017	93.1%	95.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Glória de Dourados	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Glória de Dourados	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Glória do Goitá	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Glória do Goitá	2017	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Glorinha	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Glorinha	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Godofredo Viana	2000	83.1%	86.2%	80.1%
Brazil	Godofredo Viana	2017	83.8%	86.9%	80.9%
Brazil	Godoy Moreira	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Godoy Moreira	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Goiabeira	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Goiabeira	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Goianá	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Goianá	2000	93.8%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Goianá	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Goianá	2017	94.0%	94.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Goianópolis	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Goianópolis	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Goiandira	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Goiandira	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Goianésia	2000	96.1%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Goianésia	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Goianésia do Pará	2000	80.2%	83.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Goianésia do Pará	2017	80.7%	83.4%	78.0%
Brazil	Goiania	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Goiania	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Goianinha	2000	97.1%	97.5%	96.5%
Brazil	Goianinha	2017	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Brazil	Goianira	2000	94.9%	95.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Goianira	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Goianorte	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.9%
Brazil	Goianorte	2017	92.0%	93.7%	90.2%
Brazil	Goiás	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Goiás	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Goiatins	2000	93.4%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Goiatins	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Goiatuba	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Goiatuba	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Goioerê	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Goioerê	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Goioxim	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Goioxim	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Gonçalves	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	Gonçalves	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Gonçalves Dias	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Gonçalves Dias	2017	91.6%	93.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Gongogi	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Gongogi	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Gonzaga	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Gonzaga	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Gouvea	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Gouvea	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Gouvelândia	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Gouvelândia	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Governador Archer	2000	91.5%	92.9%	90.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Governador Archer	2017	91.8%	93.2%	90.4%
Brazil	Governador Celso Ramos	2000	93.4%	95.0%	91.8%
Brazil	Governador Celso Ramos	2017	93.6%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Governador Dix-Sept Rosad	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.4%
Brazil	Governador Dix-Sept Rosad	2017	96.3%	96.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Governador Edison Lobão	2000	91.3%	92.8%	89.7%
Brazil	Governador Edison Lobão	2017	91.6%	93.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Governador Eugênio Barros	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.6%
Brazil	Governador Eugênio Barros	2017	91.7%	93.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Governador Jorge Teixeira	2000	84.4%	86.3%	82.4%
Brazil	Governador Jorge Teixeira	2017	84.6%	86.6%	82.7%
Brazil	Governador Luiz Rocha	2000	91.2%	92.9%	89.3%
Brazil	Governador Luiz Rocha	2017	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Governador Mangabeira	2000	94.4%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Governador Mangabeira	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Governador Newton Bello	2000	88.3%	90.3%	86.3%
Brazil	Governador Newton Bello	2017	88.7%	90.6%	86.7%
Brazil	Governador Nunes Freire	2000	85.3%	87.7%	82.8%
Brazil	Governador Nunes Freire	2017	85.7%	88.0%	83.1%
Brazil	Governador Valadares	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Governador Valadares	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Graça	2000	92.1%	93.3%	90.6%
Brazil	Graça	2017	92.4%	93.6%	90.9%
Brazil	Graça Aranha	2000	91.3%	93.0%	89.4%
Brazil	Graça Aranha	2017	91.6%	93.3%	89.7%
Brazil	Gracho Cardoso	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Gracho Cardoso	2017	93.8%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Grajaú	2000	90.9%	93.1%	89.0%
Brazil	Grajaú	2017	91.5%	93.7%	89.6%
Brazil	Gramado	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Gramado	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Gramado dos Loureiros	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Gramado dos Loureiros	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Gramado Xavier	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Gramado Xavier	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Grandes Rios	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Grandes Rios	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Granito	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Granito	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Granja	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Granja	2017	92.4%	93.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Granjeiro	2000	93.0%	94.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Granjeiro	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Grão Mogol	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Grão Mogol	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Grão Pará	2000	94.3%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Grão Pará	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Gravatá	2000	94.9%	95.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Gravatá	2017	95.1%	95.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Gravataí	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Gravataí	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Gravataí	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Gravataí	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Groaíras	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Groaíras	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Groaíras	2017	92.5%	93.7%	90.9%
Brazil	Groaíras	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Grupiara	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Grupiara	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Guabiju	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Guabiju	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Guabiruba	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Guabiruba	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Guaçuí	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Guaçuí	2017	95.9%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Guadalupe	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.3%
Brazil	Guadalupe	2017	92.4%	94.0%	90.6%
Brazil	Guaíba	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Guaíba	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Guaçara	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Guaçara	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Guaimbê	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Guaimbê	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Guaíra	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Guaíra	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Guaíra	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Guaíra	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Guairaçá	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Guairaçá	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Guaiúba	2000	92.2%	93.3%	90.9%
Brazil	Guaiúba	2017	92.5%	93.5%	91.2%
Brazil	Guajará	2000	82.5%	84.8%	80.3%
Brazil	Guajará	2017	82.6%	84.9%	80.4%
Brazil	Guajará-Mirim	2000	83.9%	86.7%	81.2%
Brazil	Guajará-Mirim	2017	84.2%	87.0%	81.5%
Brazil	Guajeru	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Guajeru	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Guamaré	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Guamaré	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	Guamiranga	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Guamiranga	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guanambi	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Guanambi	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Guanhães	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Guanhães	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Guapé	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Guapé	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Guapiaçu	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Guapiaçu	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Guapiara	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Guapiara	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Guapimirim	2000	94.6%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Guapimirim	2017	94.8%	95.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Guapirama	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Guapirama	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Guapó	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Guapó	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Guaporé	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Guaporé	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Guaporema	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Guaporema	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Guará	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Guará	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Guarabira	2000	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Guarabira	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Guaraçai	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Guaraçai	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Guaraci	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Guaraci	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Guaraci	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Guaraci	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2000	93.2%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Guaraciaba do Norte	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Guaraciama	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Guaraciama	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Guaraíta	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Guaraíta	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Guaramiranga	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Guaramiranga	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Guaramirim	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Guaramirim	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Guaranesia	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Guaranesia	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Guarani	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Guarani	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Guarani das Missões	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Guarani das Missões	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Guarani de Goiás	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Guarani de Goiás	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Guarani do Oeste	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Guarani do Oeste	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guaraniçu	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Guaraniçu	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Guarantã	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Guarantã	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Guarantã do Norte	2000	90.0%	92.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Guarantã do Norte	2017	90.3%	92.9%	87.3%
Brazil	Guarapari	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Guarapari	2017	94.9%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Guarapuava	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Guarapuava	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Guaraqueçaba	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Guaraqueçaba	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Guarará	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Guarará	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Guararapes	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Brazil	Guararapes	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Brazil	Guararema	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Guararema	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Guaratinga	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Guaratinga	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Guaratinguetá	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Guaratinguetá	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Guaratuba	2000	93.7%	95.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Guaratuba	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Guarda-Mor	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Guarda-Mor	2017	95.6%	97.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Guareí	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Guareí	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Guariba	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Guariba	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Guaribas	2000	92.9%	94.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Guaribas	2017	93.1%	94.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Guarinos	2000	94.5%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Guarinos	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Guarujá	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Guarujá	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Guarujá do Sul	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Guarujá do Sul	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Guarulhos	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Guarulhos	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Guatambú	2000	95.1%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Guatambú	2017	95.3%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Guataparã	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Guataparã	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Guaxupé	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Guaxupé	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Guia Branca	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Guia Branca	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Guia Lopes da Laguna	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Guia Lopes da Laguna	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Guidoval	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Guidoval	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Guimarães	2000	87.9%	90.1%	85.5%
Brazil	Guimarães	2017	88.2%	90.5%	85.9%
Brazil	Guimaranã	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Guimaranã	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guiratinga	2000	93.6%	95.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Guiratinga	2017	93.8%	95.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Guiricema	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Guiricema	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Gurinhata	2000	96.1%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Gurinhata	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Gurinhem	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Gurinhem	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Gurjao	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Gurjao	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Gurupa	2000	80.8%	83.4%	78.1%
Brazil	Gurupa	2017	81.2%	83.8%	78.5%
Brazil	Gurupi	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Gurupi	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Guzolandia	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
Brazil	Guzolandia	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.7%
Brazil	Harmonia	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Harmonia	2017	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Heitorai	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Heitorai	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Heliadora	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Heliadora	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	Heliopolis	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Heliopolis	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Herculandia	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Herculandia	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Herval	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Herval d'Oeste	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Herveiras	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Herveiras	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Hidrolandia	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Hidrolandia	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.7%
Brazil	Hidrolandia	2017	92.4%	93.8%	91.0%
Brazil	Hidrolandia	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Hidrolina	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Hidrolina	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Holambra	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Holambra	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Honorio Serpa	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Honorio Serpa	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Horizonte	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Horizonte	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Horizontina	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Horizontina	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Hortolandia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Hortolandia	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Hugo	2000	91.5%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Hugo Napoleao	2017	91.8%	93.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Hulha Negra	2000	96.4%	97.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Hulha Negra	2017	96.5%	97.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Humaita	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Humaita	2000	85.2%	87.5%	82.4%
Brazil	Humaita	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Humaita	2017	85.6%	87.9%	82.8%
Brazil	Humberto Campos	2000	89.0%	91.1%	86.9%
Brazil	Humberto Campos	2017	89.4%	91.4%	87.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Iacanga	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Iacanga	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Iaciara	2000	95.5%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Iaciara	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Iacri	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Iacri	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Iaçu	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Iaçu	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Iaçu	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Iaçu	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Iaras	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Iaras	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Iati	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Iati	2017	93.0%	93.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Ibaiti	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Ibaiti	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Ibarama	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Ibarama	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Ibaretama	2000	93.2%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Ibaretama	2017	93.5%	94.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Ibaté	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Ibaté	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Ibateguara	2000	92.3%	93.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Ibateguara	2017	92.5%	93.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Ibatiba	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Ibatiba	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Ibema	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Ibema	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Ibertioga	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Ibertioga	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Ibiá	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Ibiá	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Ibiaçá	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Ibiaçá	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Ibiaí	2000	95.8%	97.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Ibiaí	2017	95.9%	97.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Ibiam	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Ibiam	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Ibiapina	2000	93.0%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Ibiapina	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.8%
Brazil	Ibiara	2000	93.2%	94.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Ibiara	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Ibiassucê	2000	95.2%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Ibiassucê	2017	95.2%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Ibicaraí	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Ibicaraí	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Ibicaré	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Ibicaré	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Ibicoara	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Ibicoara	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Ibicuí	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Ibicuí	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Ibicuitinga	2000	93.5%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Ibicuitinga	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Ibimirim	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Ibimirim	2017	92.9%	93.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Ibipeba	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Ibipeba	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Ibipitanga	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Ibipitanga	2017	95.4%	96.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Ibiporã	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	Ibiporã	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ibiquera	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Ibiquera	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Ibirá	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Ibirá	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Ibiracatu	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Ibiracatu	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Ibiraci	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Ibiraci	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	Ibiraçu	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Ibiraçu	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Ibiraiaras	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Ibiraiaras	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Ibirajuba	2000	93.5%	94.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Ibirajuba	2017	93.7%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Ibirama	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Ibirama	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.9%
Brazil	Ibirapitanga	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Ibirapitanga	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Ibirapuã	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Ibirapuã	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Ibirapuitã	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Ibirapuitã	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Ibirarema	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Ibirarema	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Ibirataia	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Ibirataia	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Ibirité	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Ibirité	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Ibirubá	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Ibirubá	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Ibitiara	2000	95.6%	96.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Ibitiara	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Ibitinga	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Ibitinga	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Ibitirama	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Ibitirama	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Ibititá	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Ibititá	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Ibitiúra de Minas	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Ibitiúra de Minas	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
Brazil	Ibituruna	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Ibituruna	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Ibiúna	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Ibiúna	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Brazil	Ibotirama	2000	94.7%	96.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Ibotirama	2017	94.9%	96.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Icapuí	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Icapuí	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Içara	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Içara	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Icaraí de Minas	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Icaraí de Minas	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Icaraíma	2000	96.1%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Icaraíma	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Icatu	2000	88.9%	90.6%	87.0%
Brazil	Icatu	2017	89.2%	91.0%	87.4%
Brazil	Icém	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Icém	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ichu	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Ichu	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Icó	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Icó	2017	94.1%	95.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Iconha	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Iconha	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Ielmo Marinho	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.8%
Brazil	Ielmo Marinho	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	Iepê	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	Iepê	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Igaci	2000	91.5%	92.4%	90.7%
Brazil	Igaci	2017	91.8%	92.7%	91.0%
Brazil	Igaporã	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Igaporã	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Igaracu	2000	93.2%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Igaracu	2017	93.5%	94.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Igaraçu do Tietê	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Igaraçu do Tietê	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Igarapava	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Igarapava	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Igarapé	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Igarapé	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Igarapé do Meio	2000	90.1%	91.9%	88.2%
Brazil	Igarapé do Meio	2017	90.4%	92.2%	88.6%
Brazil	Igarapé Grande	2000	89.2%	91.0%	87.1%
Brazil	Igarapé Grande	2017	89.5%	91.2%	87.4%
Brazil	Igarapé-Açu	2000	78.7%	80.9%	76.0%
Brazil	Igarapé-Açu	2017	79.1%	81.3%	76.4%
Brazil	Igarapé-Miri	2000	78.4%	80.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Igarapé-Miri	2017	78.8%	81.3%	76.0%
Brazil	Igaratá	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Brazil	Igaratá	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Igaratinga	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Igaratinga	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Igrapiúna	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.0%
Brazil	Igrapiúna	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Igreja Nova	2000	92.1%	93.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Igreja Nova	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Igrejinha	2000	95.9%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Igrejinha	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Iguaba Grande	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Iguaba Grande	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Iguaí	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Iguaí	2017	95.5%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Iguape	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Iguape	2017	97.3%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Iguaraci	2000	93.3%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Iguaraci	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Iguaraçu	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Iguaraçu	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Iguatama	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Iguatama	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Iguatemi	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Iguatemi	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Iguatu	2000	96.3%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Iguatu	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Iguatu	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Iguatu	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Ijaci	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Ijaci	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Ijuí	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Ijuí	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Ilha das Flores	2000	92.3%	93.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Ilha das Flores	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Ilha Grande	2000	91.2%	93.0%	89.1%
Brazil	Ilha Grande	2017	91.5%	93.2%	89.5%
Brazil	Ilha Solteira	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Ilha Solteira	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Ilhabela	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Ilhabela	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Ilhéus	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Ilhéus	2017	93.6%	95.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Ilhota	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Ilhota	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Ilicínea	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Ilicínea	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Ilópolis	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Ilópolis	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Imaculada	2000	94.1%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Imaculada	2017	94.3%	95.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Imaruí	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Imaruí	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Imbaú	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Imbaú	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Imbé	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Imbé	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Imbé de Minas	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Imbé de Minas	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Imbituba	2000	93.7%	95.0%	91.9%
Brazil	Imbituba	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Imbituva	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Imbituva	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Imbuia	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Imbuia	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Imigrante	2000	95.9%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Imigrante	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Imperatriz	2000	90.9%	92.6%	89.2%
Brazil	Imperatriz	2017	91.2%	92.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Inácio Martins	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Inácio Martins	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Inaciolândia	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Inaciolândia	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Inajá	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Inajá	2000	92.5%	93.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Inajá	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Inajá	2017	92.8%	93.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Inconfidentes	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Brazil	Inconfidentes	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Brazil	Indaiabira	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Indaiabira	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Indaial	2000	94.0%	95.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Indaial	2017	94.2%	95.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Indaiatuba	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Indaiatuba	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Independência	2000	93.6%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Independência	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Independência	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Independência	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Indiana	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Indiana	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Indiaporã	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	Indiaporã	2017	97.3%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Indiara	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Indiara	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Indiaroba	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Indiaroba	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Indiavaí	2000	92.6%	94.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Indiavaí	2017	92.9%	94.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Ingá	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Ingá	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Ingáí	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Ingáí	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Ingazeira	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Ingazeira	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Inhacor	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Inhacor	2017	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Inhambupe	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Inhambupe	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Inhangapi	2000	77.4%	79.7%	75.2%
Brazil	Inhangapi	2017	77.8%	80.2%	75.6%
Brazil	Inhapi	2000	92.1%	93.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Inhapi	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.2%
Brazil	Inhapim	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Inhapim	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Inhaúma	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Inhaúma	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Inhuma	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.5%
Brazil	Inhuma	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Inhumas	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Inhumas	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Inimutaba	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Inimutaba	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Inocência	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Inocência	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Inúbia Paulista	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Inúbia Paulista	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Iomerê	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Iomerê	2017	95.2%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Ipaba	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Ipaba	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Ipameri	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Ipameri	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Ipanema	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Ipanema	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Ipanguaçu	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Brazil	Ipanguaçu	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ipaporanga	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Ipaporanga	2017	92.8%	94.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Ipatinga	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Ipatinga	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Ipaucu	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Ipaucu	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Ipaumirim	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Ipaumirim	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Ipê	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Ipê	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Ipecaetá	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Ipecaetá	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Iperó	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Iperó	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Ipeúna	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Ipeúna	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Ipiaçu	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Ipiaçu	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Ipiaú	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Ipiaú	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Ipiguá	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Ipiguá	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Ipirá	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Ipirá	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Ipirá	2017	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Ipirá	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Ipiranga	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Ipiranga	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Piauí	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.6%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Piauí	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Sul	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Sul	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Ipixuna	2000	82.6%	85.6%	79.0%
Brazil	Ipixuna	2017	83.0%	86.0%	79.5%
Brazil	Ipixuna do Pará	2000	79.5%	82.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Ipixuna do Pará	2017	79.9%	82.5%	77.1%
Brazil	Ipojuca	2000	91.6%	92.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Ipojuca	2017	91.9%	93.0%	90.4%
Brazil	Iporá	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Iporá	2000	94.3%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Iporá	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Iporá	2017	94.5%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Iporã	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Iporã	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Iporã do Oeste	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Iporã do Oeste	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Iporanga	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Iporanga	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Ipú	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Ipú	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Ipuã	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Ipuã	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Ipuaçu	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Ipuaçu	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ipubi	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Ipubi	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Ipueira	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Ipueira	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2000	92.5%	93.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Ipuiúna	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Ipuiúna	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Brazil	Ipumirim	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Ipumirim	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Ipupiara	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Ipupiara	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Iracema	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Iracema	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Iracema	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Iracema	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Iracema do Oeste	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Iracema do Oeste	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Iracemópolis	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Iracemópolis	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Iraceminha	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Iraceminha	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Iraí	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Iraí	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Iraí de Minas	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Iraí de Minas	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Irajuba	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Irajuba	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Iramaia	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Iramaia	2017	95.2%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Iranduba	2000	81.0%	82.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Iranduba	2017	81.5%	82.9%	80.0%
Brazil	Irani	2000	95.2%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Irani	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Irapuã	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Irapuã	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Irapuru	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Irapuru	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Iraquara	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Iraquara	2017	95.4%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Irará	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Irará	2017	95.1%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Irati	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Irati	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Irati	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Irati	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Irauçuba	2000	93.2%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Irauçuba	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Irecê	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Irecê	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Iretama	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Iretama	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Irineópolis	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Irineópolis	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Irituia	2000	77.3%	80.1%	74.7%
Brazil	Irituia	2017	77.8%	80.6%	75.3%
Brazil	Irupi	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Irupi	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Isaiás Coelho	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.2%
Brazil	Isaiás Coelho	2017	92.3%	93.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Israelândia	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Israelândia	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Itá	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Itá	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Itaara	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Itaara	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2000	94.7%	95.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2017	94.9%	95.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Itabaianinha	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Itabaianinha	2017	94.9%	95.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Itabela	2000	94.8%	96.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Itabela	2017	95.0%	96.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Itaberá	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Itaberá	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Itaberaba	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Itaberaba	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Itaberaí	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Itaberaí	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Itabi	2000	93.2%	94.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Itabi	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Itabira	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Itabira	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Itabirinha de Mantena	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Itabirinha de Mantena	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Itabirito	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Itabirito	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Itaboraí	2000	95.4%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Itaboraí	2017	95.6%	96.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Itabuna	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Itabuna	2017	94.7%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Itacajá	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Itacajá	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Itacarambi	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Itacarambi	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Itacarambira	2000	95.8%	97.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Itacarambira	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Itacaré	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.3%
Brazil	Itacaré	2017	92.7%	94.4%	90.4%
Brazil	Itacoatiara	2000	83.0%	85.2%	80.7%
Brazil	Itacoatiara	2017	83.5%	85.6%	81.2%
Brazil	Itacuruba	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Itacuruba	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Itacurubi	2000	95.6%	97.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Itacurubi	2017	95.8%	97.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Itaeté	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Itaeté	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Itagi	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Itagi	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Itagibá	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Itagibá	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Itagimirim	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Itagimirim	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Itaguaçu	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Itaguaçu	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Itaguaçu da Bahia	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itaguaçu da Bahia	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2000	95.4%	96.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2017	95.6%	96.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Itaguara	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Itaguara	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Itaguari	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Itaguari	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Itaguaru	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Itaguaru	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Itaguatins	2000	91.2%	92.9%	89.6%
Brazil	Itaguatins	2017	91.5%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Itaí	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Itaí	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Brazil	Itaíba	2000	92.0%	93.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Itaíba	2017	92.3%	93.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Itaíçaba	2000	94.1%	95.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Itaíçaba	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Itainópolis	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Itainópolis	2017	92.4%	93.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Itaiópolis	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Itaiópolis	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Itaipava do Grajaú	2000	90.1%	92.1%	87.9%
Brazil	Itaipava do Grajaú	2017	90.4%	92.4%	88.3%
Brazil	Itaipé	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Itaipé	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Itaipulândia	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Itaipulândia	2017	96.1%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Itaitinga	2000	92.2%	93.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Itaitinga	2017	92.5%	93.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Itaituba	2000	80.5%	84.0%	76.3%
Brazil	Itaituba	2017	80.9%	84.4%	76.7%
Brazil	Itajá	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Itajá	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Itajá	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Itajá	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Itajaí	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Itajaí	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Itajobi	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Itajobi	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Itaju	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Itaju	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Itaju do Colônia	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Itaju do Colônia	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Itajubá	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Brazil	Itajubá	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Brazil	Itajuípe	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Itajuípe	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Italva	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Italva	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Itamaraju	2000	94.9%	96.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Itamaraju	2017	95.2%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Itamarandiba	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Itamarandiba	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Itamarati	2000	82.2%	86.7%	77.1%
Brazil	Itamarati	2017	82.7%	87.1%	77.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itamarati de Minas	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Itamarati de Minas	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Itamari	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Itamari	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Itambacuri	2000	95.7%	96.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Itambacuri	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Itambaraca	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Itambaraca	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Itambaracá	2000	93.0%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Itambaracá	2017	93.2%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Itambé	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Itambé	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Itambé	2017	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Itambé	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Itambé do Mato Dentro	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Itambé do Mato Dentro	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Itamogi	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Itamogi	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Itamonte	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Itamonte	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Itanagra	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Itanagra	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Itanhaém	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Itanhaém	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Itanhandu	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Itanhandu	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Brazil	Itanhém	2000	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Itanhém	2017	95.7%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Itanhomi	2000	96.5%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Itanhomi	2017	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Itaobim	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Itaobim	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Itaóca	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Itaóca	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Itaocara	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Itaocara	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Itapaci	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Itapaci	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Itapagipe	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Itapagipe	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Itapajé	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Itapajé	2017	93.7%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Itaparica	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Itaparica	2017	92.8%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Itapé	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Itapé	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Itapebi	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Itapebi	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Itapecerica	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Itapecerica	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Itapecerica da Serra	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Itapecerica da Serra	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Brazil	Itapecuru Mirim	2000	90.1%	91.7%	88.4%
Brazil	Itapecuru Mirim	2017	90.4%	92.0%	88.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itapejara d'Oeste	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Itapejara d'Oeste	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Itapema	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Itapema	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Itapemirim	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Itapemirim	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Itaperuçu	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Itaperuçu	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.4%
Brazil	Itaperuna	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Itaperuna	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Itapetim	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Itapetim	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Itapetinga	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Itapetinga	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Itapetininga	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Itapetininga	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Itapeva	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Itapeva	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Itapeva	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.7%
Brazil	Itapeva	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Itapevi	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Itapevi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Itapicuru	2000	95.0%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Itapicuru	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Itapipoca	2000	92.4%	93.6%	90.9%
Brazil	Itapipoca	2017	92.6%	93.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Itapira	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Brazil	Itapira	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Brazil	Itapiranga	2000	89.9%	91.4%	88.3%
Brazil	Itapiranga	2017	90.1%	91.6%	88.5%
Brazil	Itapirapuã	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Itapirapuã	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Itapirapuã Paulista	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Itapirapuã Paulista	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Itapiratins	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Itapiratins	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Itapissuma	2000	93.1%	93.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Itapissuma	2017	93.3%	94.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Itapitanga	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Itapitanga	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Itapiúna	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.3%
Brazil	Itapiúna	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Itapoá	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Itapoá	2017	93.6%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Itápolis	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Itápolis	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Itaporã	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Itaporã	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Itaporã do Tocantins	2000	92.7%	94.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Itaporã do Tocantins	2017	93.0%	94.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Itaporanga da-Juda	2000	94.0%	94.7%	93.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itaporanga da-Juda	2017	94.2%	94.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Itapororoca	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Itapororoca	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Itapuã do Oeste	2000	82.2%	84.2%	79.8%
Brazil	Itapuã do Oeste	2017	82.5%	84.5%	80.1%
Brazil	Itapuca	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Itapuca	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Itapuí	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Itapuí	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Itapura	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Itapura	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Itapuranga	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Itapuranga	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Itaquaquecetuba	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Itaquaquecetuba	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Brazil	Itaquara	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Itaquara	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Itaqui	2000	97.0%	98.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Itaqui	2017	97.2%	98.3%	95.9%
Brazil	Itaquiraí	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Itaquiraí	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Itaquitinga	2000	94.1%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Itaquitinga	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Itarana	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Itarana	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Itarantim	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Itarantim	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Itararé	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Itararé	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Itariri	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Itariri	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Itaruma	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Itaruma	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Itarumã	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.4%
Brazil	Itarumã	2017	91.8%	93.4%	89.8%
Brazil	Itatiaia	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Itatiaia	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Itatiaiuçu	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.8%
Brazil	Itatiaiuçu	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	Itatiba	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Itatiba	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Itatiba do Sul	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Itatiba do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Itatim	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Itatim	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Itatinga	2000	98.6%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Itatinga	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Itatira	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Itatira	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Itatuba	2000	94.0%	94.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Itatuba	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Itaú	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Itaú	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Itaú de Minas	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Itaú de Minas	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Itaúba	2000	91.8%	93.8%	89.3%
Brazil	Itaúba	2017	92.1%	94.0%	89.5%
Brazil	Itaubal	2000	85.7%	87.5%	83.8%
Brazil	Itaubal	2017	86.0%	87.9%	84.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itaçu	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Itaçu	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Itaueira	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
Brazil	Itaueira	2017	92.4%	93.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Itaúna	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Itaúna	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Itaúna do Sul	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Itaúna do Sul	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Itaverava	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Itaverava	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Itinga	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Itinga	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Itinga do Maranhão	2000	87.2%	89.4%	85.0%
Brazil	Itinga do Maranhão	2017	87.5%	89.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Itiquira	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Itiquira	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Itirapina	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Itirapina	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Itirapuã	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Itirapuã	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Itiruçu	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Itiruçu	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Itiúba	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Itiúba	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Itobi	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Itobi	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Itororó	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Itororó	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Itu	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Itu	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Ituaçu	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Ituaçu	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Ituberá	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.0%
Brazil	Ituberá	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.3%
Brazil	Itueta	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Itueta	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Ituiutaba	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Ituiutaba	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Itumbiara	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Itumbiara	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Itumirim	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Itumirim	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Itupeva	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Itupeva	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Itupiranga	2000	79.7%	82.6%	77.1%
Brazil	Itupiranga	2017	80.1%	83.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Ituporanga	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Ituporanga	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Iturama	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Iturama	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Itutinga	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Itutinga	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Ituverava	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%
Brazil	Ituverava	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Iuiú	2000	95.5%	97.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Iuiú	2017	95.6%	97.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Iúna	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Iúna	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Ivaí	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Ivaí	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ivaiporã	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Ivaiporã	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Ivaté	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Ivaté	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Ivatuva	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Ivatuva	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Ivinhema	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Ivinhema	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Ivolândia	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Ivolândia	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Ivorá	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Ivorá	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Ivoti	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Ivoti	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Jaboatão dos Guararapes	2000	93.1%	94.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Jaboatão dos Guararapes	2017	93.3%	94.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Jaborá	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Jaborá	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2000	95.4%	97.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2017	95.5%	97.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Jaboticaba	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Jaboticaba	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Jaboticatubas	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Jaboticatubas	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Jabuti	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Jabuti	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.1%
Brazil	Jabuticabal	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Jabuticabal	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Jaçanã	2000	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
Brazil	Jaçanã	2017	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Brazil	Jacaraci	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Jacaraci	2017	95.5%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Jacaraú	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Jacaraú	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Jacaré dos Homens	2000	91.9%	92.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Jacaré dos Homens	2017	92.2%	93.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Jacareacanga	2000	83.6%	87.5%	78.9%
Brazil	Jacareacanga	2017	84.0%	87.8%	79.3%
Brazil	Jacareí	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Jacareí	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Jacarezinho	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Jacarezinho	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Jaci	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Jaci	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Jaciara	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Jaciara	2017	92.6%	94.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Jacinto	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Jacinto	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Jacinto Machado	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Jacinto Machado	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Jacobina	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Jacobina	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Jacobina do Piauí	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jacobina do Piauí	2017	92.7%	94.2%	90.7%
Brazil	Jacuí	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Jacuí	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Jacuípe	2000	92.2%	93.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Jacuípe	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Jacundá	2000	80.2%	82.9%	77.0%
Brazil	Jacundá	2017	80.6%	83.3%	77.5%
Brazil	Jacupiranga	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Jacupiranga	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Jaguapitã	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Jaguapitã	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Jaguaquara	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Jaguaquara	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Jaguaraçu	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Jaguaraçu	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Jaguarão	2000	96.0%	97.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Jaguarão	2017	95.8%	97.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Jaguarari	2000	94.6%	96.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Jaguarari	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Jaguaré	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Jaguaré	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Jaguaretama	2000	93.3%	94.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Jaguaretama	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Jaguari	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Jaguari	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Jaguariaíva	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Jaguariaíva	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Jaguaribara	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.4%
Brazil	Jaguaribara	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Jaguaribe	2000	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Jaguaribe	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Jaguaripe	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Jaguaripe	2017	93.5%	94.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Jaguariúna	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Jaguariúna	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Jaguaruana	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Jaguaruana	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Jaguaruna	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Jaguaruna	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Jaíba	2000	95.6%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Jaíba	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Jaicós	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Jaicós	2017	92.7%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Jales	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Jales	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Brazil	Jambeiro	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Jambeiro	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Jampruca	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Jampruca	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Janaúba	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Janaúba	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Jandaia	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Jandaia	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Jandaia do Sul	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Jandaia do Sul	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jandaíra	2000	94.0%	95.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2017	94.2%	95.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Brazil	Jandira	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Jandira	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Janduís	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Janduís	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Jangada	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.7%
Brazil	Jangada	2017	92.7%	94.2%	91.0%
Brazil	Janiópolis	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Janiópolis	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Januária	2000	95.7%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Januária	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Januário	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.6%
Brazil	Cicco Januário Cicco	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Japaraíba	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Japaraíba	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2000	91.6%	92.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2017	91.9%	93.1%	90.4%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2000	93.4%	94.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2017	93.7%	94.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Japeri	2000	95.0%	95.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Japeri	2017	95.2%	95.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Japi	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.8%
Brazil	Japi	2017	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Japira	2000	97.0%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Japira	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Japoatã	2000	93.3%	94.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Japoatã	2017	93.5%	94.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Japonvar	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Japonvar	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Japorã	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Japorã	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Japurá	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Japurá	2000	79.6%	83.7%	74.8%
Brazil	Japurá	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Japurá	2017	80.1%	84.2%	75.5%
Brazil	Jaqueira	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Jaqueira	2017	92.9%	93.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Jaquirana	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Jaquirana	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Jaraguá	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Jaraguá	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Jaraguá do Sul	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Jaraguá do Sul	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Jaraguari	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Jaraguari	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Jaramataia	2000	92.3%	93.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Jaramataia	2017	92.5%	93.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Jardim	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Jardim	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Jardim	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Jardim	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Jardim Alegre	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Jardim Alegre	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Jardim de Angicos	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jardim de Angicos	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Brazil	Jardim do Mulato	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.9%
Brazil	Jardim do Mulato	2017	91.7%	93.3%	90.2%
Brazil	Jardim do Seridó	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Jardim do Seridó	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.9%
Brazil	Jardim Olinda	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Jardim Olinda	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Jardim-Piranhas	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Jardim-Piranhas	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.6%
Brazil	Jari	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Jari	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Jarinu	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Jarinu	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Jaru	2000	84.6%	86.5%	82.8%
Brazil	Jaru	2017	84.9%	86.7%	83.1%
Brazil	Jataí	2000	95.3%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Jataí	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Jataizinho	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Jataizinho	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Jataúba	2000	94.0%	94.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Jataúba	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Jateí	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Jateí	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Jati	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Jati	2017	93.1%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Jatobá	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Jatobá	2000	91.5%	93.0%	89.6%
Brazil	Jatobá	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Jatobá	2017	91.7%	93.3%	90.0%
Brazil	Jatobá do Piauí	2000	91.4%	92.8%	89.8%
Brazil	Jatobá do Piauí	2017	91.7%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Jaú	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Jaú	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Jaú do Tocantins	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Jaú do Tocantins	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Jaupaci	2000	93.9%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Jaupaci	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Jauru	2000	93.0%	95.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Jauru	2017	93.2%	95.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Jeceaba	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Jeceaba	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Jenipapo de Minas	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Jenipapo de Minas	2017	96.4%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Jenipapo dos Vieiras	2000	90.6%	92.7%	88.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jenipapo dos Vieiras	2017	90.9%	92.9%	89.0%
Brazil	Jequeri	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Jequeri	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Jequié	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Jequié	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Jequitaí	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Jequitaí	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Jequitibá	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Jequitibá	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Jequitinhonha	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Jequitinhonha	2017	95.7%	97.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Jeremoabo	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Jeremoabo	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Jericó	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Jericó	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Jeriquara	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Jeriquara	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Jerônimo Monteiro	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Jerônimo Monteiro	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Jerumenha	2000	91.8%	93.4%	89.9%
Brazil	Jerumenha	2017	92.1%	93.6%	90.2%
Brazil	Jesuânia	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Jesuânia	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Jesúitas	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Jesúitas	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Jesópolis	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Jesópolis	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Ji-Paraná	2000	84.5%	86.3%	82.9%
Brazil	Ji-Paraná	2017	84.8%	86.6%	83.2%
Brazil	Jijoca de Jericoacoara	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Jijoca de Jericoacoara	2017	92.0%	93.6%	89.9%
Brazil	Jiquiriçá	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Jiquiriçá	2017	94.3%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Jitaúna	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Jitaúna	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Joaçaba	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Joaçaba	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Joaíma	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Joaíma	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Joanésia	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Joanésia	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Joanópolis	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Joanópolis	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	João Alfredo	2000	93.2%	94.3%	92.3%
Brazil	João Alfredo	2017	93.5%	94.5%	92.6%
Brazil	João Câmara	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Brazil	João Câmara	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	João Costa	2000	92.8%	94.4%	90.7%
Brazil	João Costa	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	João Dias	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	João Dias	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	João Dourado	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	João Dourado	2017	95.5%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	João Lisboa	2000	90.7%	92.3%	88.9%
Brazil	João Lisboa	2017	91.0%	92.6%	89.3%
Brazil	João Monlevade	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	João Monlevade	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	João Neiva	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	João Neiva	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	João Pessoa	2000	93.8%	94.7%	92.8%
Brazil	João Pessoa	2017	94.0%	94.9%	93.0%
Brazil	João Pinheiro	2000	95.6%	97.2%	93.9%
Brazil	João Pinheiro	2017	95.8%	97.3%	94.2%
Brazil	João Ramalho	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	João Ramalho	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Joaquim Felício	2000	95.8%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Joaquim Felício	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Joaquim Gomes	2000	91.6%	92.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Joaquim Gomes	2017	91.9%	92.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Joaquim Nabuco	2000	92.7%	93.7%	91.7%
Brazil	Joaquim Nabuco	2017	93.0%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Joaquim Pires	2000	90.6%	92.1%	89.1%
Brazil	Joaquim Pires	2017	90.9%	92.3%	89.4%
Brazil	Joaquim Távora	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Joaquim Távora	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Joca Marques	2000	90.6%	92.2%	89.0%
Brazil	Joca Marques	2017	90.9%	92.5%	89.3%
Brazil	Jóia	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Jóia	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Joinville	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Joinville	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Jordânia	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Jordânia	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Jordão	2000	78.6%	81.6%	74.6%
Brazil	Jordão	2017	79.0%	82.0%	75.1%
Brazil	José Boiteux	2000	94.3%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	José Boiteux	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	José Bonifácio	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	José Bonifácio	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	José da Penha	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	José da Penha	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	José de Freitas	2000	91.9%	93.0%	90.7%
Brazil	José de Freitas	2017	92.2%	93.2%	91.0%
Brazil	José Gonçalves de Minas	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	José Gonçalves de Minas	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	José Raydan	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	José Raydan	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Joselândia	2000	90.1%	91.8%	88.1%
Brazil	Joselândia	2017	90.4%	92.1%	88.4%
Brazil	Josenópolis	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Josenópolis	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Joviânia	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Joviânia	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Juara	2000	91.5%	93.5%	88.9%
Brazil	Juara	2017	91.8%	93.8%	89.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Juarez Távora	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Juarez Távora	2017	94.5%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Juarina	2000	89.9%	91.9%	87.7%
Brazil	Juarina	2017	90.2%	92.1%	88.1%
Brazil	Juatuba	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Juatuba	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Juazeirinho	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Juazeirinho	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Juazeiro	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Juazeiro	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Norte	2000	93.2%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Norte	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Piauí	2000	91.6%	93.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Piauí	2017	91.9%	93.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Jucás	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Jucás	2017	92.9%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Jucati	2000	93.2%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Jucati	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Jucuruçu	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Jucuruçu	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Jucurutu	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Jucurutu	2017	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Juína	2000	90.8%	93.3%	87.7%
Brazil	Juína	2017	91.1%	93.5%	88.1%
Brazil	Juiz de Fora	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Juiz de Fora	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Júlio Borges	2000	92.9%	94.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Júlio Borges	2017	93.2%	95.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Júlio de Castilhos	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Júlio de Castilhos	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Júlio Mesquita	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Júlio Mesquita	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Jumirim	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Jumirim	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Junco	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Junco	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Junco do Maranhão	2000	83.7%	86.6%	80.8%
Brazil	Junco do Maranhão	2017	84.1%	86.9%	81.2%
Brazil	Junco do Seridó	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Junco do Seridó	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Jundiá	2000	91.9%	92.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Jundiá	2017	92.1%	93.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Jundiáí	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Jundiáí	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Jundiáí do Sul	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Jundiáí do Sul	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Junqueiro	2000	91.2%	92.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Junqueiro	2017	91.5%	92.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Junqueirópolis	2000	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Junqueirópolis	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jupia	2000	93.3%	94.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Jupia	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Jupia	2017	93.5%	94.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Jupia	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Juquia	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Juquia	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Juquitiba	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Juquitiba	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Juramento	2000	96.2%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Juramento	2017	96.4%	97.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Juranda	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Juranda	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Jurema	2000	92.8%	94.4%	90.7%
Brazil	Jurema	2000	93.7%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Jurema	2017	93.9%	94.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Jurema	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Juripiranga	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Juripiranga	2017	94.9%	95.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Juru	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Juru	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Juruá	2000	80.3%	84.5%	76.0%
Brazil	Juruá	2017	81.5%	85.6%	77.3%
Brazil	Juruiaia	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Juruiaia	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Juruena	2000	90.4%	93.1%	87.3%
Brazil	Juruena	2017	90.8%	93.3%	87.7%
Brazil	Juruti	2000	78.9%	82.1%	75.4%
Brazil	Juruti	2017	79.5%	82.7%	76.0%
Brazil	Juscimeira	2000	92.9%	94.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Juscimeira	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Jussari	2000	94.8%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Jussari	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Jussiape	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Jussiape	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Jutaí	2000	81.1%	85.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Jutaí	2017	81.6%	85.4%	77.1%
Brazil	Juti	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Juti	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Juvenília	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Juvenília	2017	95.6%	97.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Kaloré	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Kaloré	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Lábrea	2000	84.6%	87.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Lábrea	2017	85.0%	88.0%	81.1%
Brazil	Lacerdópolis	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Lacerdópolis	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Ladainha	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Ladainha	2017	96.3%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Lafaiete Coutinho	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Lafaiete Coutinho	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Lagamar	2000	95.3%	96.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Lagamar	2017	95.4%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Lagarto	2000	94.6%	95.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Lagarto	2017	94.9%	95.5%	94.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lages	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Lages	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Lago da Pedra	2000	90.4%	92.1%	88.5%
Brazil	Lago da Pedra	2017	90.7%	92.3%	88.9%
Brazil	Lago do Junco	2000	90.2%	92.1%	88.3%
Brazil	Lago do Junco	2017	90.5%	92.3%	88.7%
Brazil	Lago dos Rodrigues	2000	90.2%	92.1%	88.4%
Brazil	Lago dos Rodrigues	2017	90.5%	92.3%	88.7%
Brazil	Lago Verde	2000	89.3%	91.1%	87.4%
Brazil	Lago Verde	2017	89.9%	91.6%	88.1%
Brazil	Lagoa	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Lagoa	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Alegre	2000	91.4%	92.5%	89.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Alegre	2017	91.6%	92.8%	90.2%
Brazil	Lagoa da Canoa	2000	92.4%	93.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Lagoa da Canoa	2017	92.6%	93.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Lagoa da Confusão	2000	92.5%	94.3%	90.4%
Brazil	Lagoa da Confusão	2017	92.9%	94.6%	90.9%
Brazil	Lagoa da Prata	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Lagoa da Prata	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Lagoa de Anta	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.0%
Brazil	Lagoa de Anta	2017	96.8%	97.4%	96.2%
Brazil	Lagoa de dentro	2000	95.6%	96.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Lagoa de dentro	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Lagoa de Pedras	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Lagoa de Pedras	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.5%
Brazil	Lagoa de São Francisco	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Lagoa de São Francisco	2017	92.4%	93.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Lagoa do Barro do Piauí	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.4%
Brazil	Lagoa do Barro do Piauí	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Lagoa do Carro	2000	95.3%	95.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Lagoa do Carro	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Lagoa do Itaenga	2000	95.0%	95.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Lagoa do Itaenga	2017	95.2%	95.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Lagoa do Mato	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.9%
Brazil	Lagoa do Mato	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.5%
Brazil	Lagoa do Ouro	2000	91.4%	92.4%	90.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lagoa do Ouro	2017	91.7%	92.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Lagoa do Piauí	2000	91.5%	92.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Lagoa do Piauí	2017	91.7%	92.9%	90.3%
Brazil	Lagoa do Sítio	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
Brazil	Lagoa do Sítio	2017	92.4%	93.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Lagoa do Tocantins	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Lagoa do Tocantins	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Gatos	2000	93.0%	94.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Gatos	2017	93.2%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Patos	2000	95.7%	97.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Patos	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Três Cantos	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Três Cantos	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Lagoa dourada	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Lagoa dourada	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Formosa	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Lagoa Formosa	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2000	95.3%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2017	95.5%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande do Maranhão	2000	90.1%	92.0%	87.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande do Maranhão	2017	90.4%	92.2%	88.3%
Brazil	Lagoa Mirim	2000	95.3%	97.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Lagoa Mirim	2017	95.4%	97.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Lagoa Nova	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Lagoa Nova	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Brazil	Lagoa Real	2000	95.1%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Lagoa Real	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Salgada	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Salgada	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Santa	2000	95.9%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Lagoa Santa	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Seca	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Lagoa Seca	2017	94.7%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Lagoa Vermelha	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Lagoa Vermelha	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Lagoão	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Lagoão	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Lagoas de Velhos	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Lagoas de Velhos	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lagoinha	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Lagoinha	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Lagoinha do Piauí	2000	91.8%	93.3%	90.2%
Brazil	Lagoinha do Piauí	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Laguna	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Laguna	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Laguna Carapã	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Laguna Carapã	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Laje	2000	94.4%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Laje	2017	94.6%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Laje do Muriaé	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Laje do Muriaé	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Lajeado do Bugre	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Lajeado do Bugre	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Lajeado Grande	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Lajeado Grande	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Lajeado Novo	2000	91.8%	93.7%	90.2%
Brazil	Lajeado Novo	2017	92.1%	93.9%	90.5%
Brazil	Lajedao	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Lajedao	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Lajedão	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Lajedão	2000	93.4%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Lajedão	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.4%
Brazil	Lajedão	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Lajedinho	2000	95.4%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Lajedinho	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Lajedo	2000	93.6%	94.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Lajedo	2017	93.8%	94.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Lajedo do Tabocal	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Lajedo do Tabocal	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Lajes	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Brazil	Lajes	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Brazil	Lajes Pintadas	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Brazil	Lajes Pintadas	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.8%
Brazil	Lajinha	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Lajinha	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Lamarão	2000	95.1%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Lamarão	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Lambari	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Lambari	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	Lambari	2000	92.6%	94.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Lambari d'Oeste	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Lamim	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Lamim	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Landri Sales	2000	92.0%	93.7%	89.9%
Brazil	Landri Sales	2017	92.2%	93.9%	90.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lapão	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Lapão	2017	95.4%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Laranja da Terra	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Laranja da Terra	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Laranjal	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Laranjal	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Laranjal	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Laranjal	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Laranjal do Jari	2000	84.1%	86.5%	80.9%
Brazil	Laranjal do Jari	2017	84.5%	86.9%	81.4%
Brazil	Laranjal Paulista	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Laranjal Paulista	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Laranjeiras	2000	93.9%	94.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Laranjeiras	2017	94.1%	94.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Laranjeiras do Sul	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Laranjeiras do Sul	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Lassance	2000	95.8%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Lassance	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Lastro	2000	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Lastro	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Laurentino	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.1%
Brazil	Laurentino	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Lauro de Freitas	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Lauro de Freitas	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Lauro Muller	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Lauro Muller	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Lavandeira	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Lavandeira	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Lavínia	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Lavínia	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Lavras	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Lavras	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Lavras da Mangabeira	2000	93.0%	94.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Lavras da Mangabeira	2017	93.2%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Lavras do Sul	2000	96.5%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Lavras do Sul	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Lavrinhas	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Lavrinhas	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Leandro Ferreira	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Leandro Ferreira	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Lebon Régis	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Lebon Régis	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Leme	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Leme	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Leme do Prado	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Leme do Prado	2017	96.3%	97.5%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lençóis	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Lençóis	2017	94.6%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Lençóis Paulista	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Lençóis Paulista	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Leoberto Leal	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Leoberto Leal	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Leopoldina	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Leopoldina	2017	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Leopoldo de Bulhões	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Leopoldo de Bulhões	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Leópolis	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	Leópolis	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Brazil	Liberato Salzano	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Liberato Salzano	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Liberdade	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Liberdade	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Brazil	Licínio de Almeida	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Licínio de Almeida	2017	95.5%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Lidianópolis	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Lidianópolis	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Lima Campos	2000	90.5%	92.3%	88.8%
Brazil	Lima Campos	2017	90.8%	92.5%	89.1%
Brazil	Lima Duarte	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Lima Duarte	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Limeira	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Limeira	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Limeira do Oeste	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Limeira do Oeste	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Limoeiro	2000	93.8%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Limoeiro	2017	94.0%	94.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Limoeiro de Anadia	2000	91.4%	92.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Limoeiro de Anadia	2017	91.6%	92.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Ajuru	2000	78.3%	80.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Ajuru	2017	78.8%	81.3%	75.9%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Norte	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Norte	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Lindoeeste	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Lindoeeste	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Lindóia	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Brazil	Lindóia	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Lindóia do Sul	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Lindóia do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Lindolfo Col- lor	2000	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Lindolfo Col- lor	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Linha Nova	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Linha Nova	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Linhares	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Linhares	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Lins	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Lins	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Livramento	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Livramento	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Livramento do Brumado	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Livramento do Brumado	2017	95.5%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Lizarda	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Lizarda	2017	94.0%	95.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Loanda	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Loanda	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Lobato	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Lobato	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Logradouro	2000	96.3%	96.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Logradouro	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.8%
Brazil	Londrina	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Londrina	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.4%
Brazil	Lontra	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Lontra	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Lontras	2000	94.4%	95.5%	93.1%
Brazil	Lontras	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Lorena	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Brazil	Lorena	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Brazil	Loreto	2000	91.9%	93.8%	89.6%
Brazil	Loreto	2017	92.1%	94.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Lourdes	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.0%
Brazil	Lourdes	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
Brazil	Louveira	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Louveira	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Lucas do Rio Verde	2000	92.8%	94.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Lucas do Rio Verde	2017	93.0%	95.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Lucélia	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Lucélia	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Lucena	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Lucena	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Lucianópolis	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Lucianópolis	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Luciára	2000	91.2%	93.8%	88.1%
Brazil	Luciára	2017	91.4%	93.9%	88.3%
Brazil	Lucrécia	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Lucrécia	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Luís Antônio	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Luís Antônio	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Luís Correia	2000	91.7%	93.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Luís Correia	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.2%
Brazil	Luís Domingues	2000	84.2%	87.4%	81.4%
Brazil	Luís Domingues	2017	85.0%	88.1%	82.2%
Brazil	Luís Gomes	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Luís Gomes	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Luisburgo	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Luisburgo	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Luisiania	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Luisiania	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Luislândia	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Luislândia	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Luiz Alves	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Luiz Alves	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Luiziânia	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Luiziânia	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Luminárias	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Luminárias	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Lunardelli	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Lunardelli	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Lupercio	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Lupercio	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Lupionópolis	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Lupionópolis	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Lutécia	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Lutécia	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Luz	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Luz	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Luzerna	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Luzerna	2017	95.2%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Luziânia	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	Luziânia	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Luzilândia	2000	91.0%	92.5%	89.4%
Brazil	Luzilândia	2017	91.2%	92.7%	89.7%
Brazil	Luzinópolis	2000	92.5%	93.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Luzinópolis	2017	92.8%	94.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Macaé	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Macaé	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Macaíba	2000	97.1%	97.5%	96.5%
Brazil	Macaíba	2017	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Brazil	Macaçuba	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Macaçuba	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Maçambara	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Maçambara	2000	94.9%	95.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Maçambara	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Maçambara	2017	95.1%	95.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Macapa	2000	85.3%	86.3%	84.1%
Brazil	Macapa	2017	85.7%	86.7%	84.6%
Brazil	Macaparana	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Macaparana	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Macarani	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Macarani	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Macatuba	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.5%
Brazil	Macatuba	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Brazil	Macau	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Macau	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Macaubal	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Macaubal	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Macaúbas	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Macaúbas	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Macedonia	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Macedonia	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Maceió (capital)	2000	90.9%	91.8%	89.8%
Brazil	Maceió (capital)	2017	91.2%	92.0%	90.1%
Brazil	Machacalis	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Machacalis	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Machadinho	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Machadinho	2000	84.0%	86.4%	81.6%
Brazil	Machadinho	2017	95.2%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Machadinho	2017	84.4%	86.7%	82.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Machado	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Machado	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Machados	2000	93.9%	94.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Machados	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Macieira	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Macieira	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Macuco	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Macuco	2017	95.9%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Macururé	2000	93.7%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Macururé	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Madalena	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Madalena	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Madeiro	2000	90.6%	92.3%	88.9%
Brazil	Madeiro	2017	90.8%	92.5%	89.2%
Brazil	Madre de deus	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Madre de deus	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Madre de deus	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Madre de deus de Minas	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Mãe d'Água	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Mãe d'Água	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Maetinga	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Maetinga	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Mafra	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Mafra	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Magalhães	2000	78.3%	80.8%	74.8%
Brazil	Barata	2017	78.7%	81.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Magalhães de Almeida	2000	90.7%	92.1%	89.1%
Brazil	Magalhães de Almeida	2017	91.0%	92.4%	89.4%
Brazil	Magda	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Magda	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
Brazil	Magé	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Magé	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Maiquinique	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Maiquinique	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Mairi	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Mairi	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Mairinque	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Mairinque	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Mairiporã	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Mairiporã	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Mairipotaba	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Mairipotaba	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Major Gercino	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Major Gercino	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Major Isidoro	2000	92.1%	93.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Major Isidoro	2017	92.3%	93.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Major Sales	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Major Sales	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Major Vieira	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Major Vieira	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Malacacheta	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Malacacheta	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Malhada	2000	95.4%	97.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Malhada	2017	95.6%	97.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Malhada de Pedras	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Malhada de Pedras	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Malhada dos Bois	2000	93.6%	94.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Malhada dos Bois	2017	93.8%	94.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Malhador	2000	94.2%	94.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Malhador	2017	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Mallet	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Mallet	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Malta	2000	94.9%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Malta	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Mamanguape	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Mamanguape	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Mambaí	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Mambaí	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Mamborê	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Mamborê	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Mamonas	2000	95.6%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Mamonas	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Mampituba	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Mampituba	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Manacapuru	2000	82.0%	84.1%	79.7%
Brazil	Manacapuru	2017	82.5%	84.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Manaíra	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Manaíra	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Manaquiri	2000	80.7%	82.8%	78.4%
Brazil	Manaquiri	2017	81.2%	83.3%	79.0%
Brazil	Manari	2000	92.2%	93.3%	90.9%
Brazil	Manari	2017	92.4%	93.5%	91.2%
Brazil	Mâncio Lima	2000	82.1%	84.6%	79.6%
Brazil	Mâncio Lima	2017	82.5%	84.9%	80.0%
Brazil	Mandaguaçu	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Mandaguaçu	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Mandaguari	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Mandaguari	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Mandirituba	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Mandirituba	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Manduri	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Manduri	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Brazil	Manfrinópolis	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Manfrinópolis	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Manga	2000	95.8%	97.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Manga	2017	95.9%	97.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.4%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2017	96.3%	96.9%	95.5%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Mangueirinha	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Mangueirinha	2017	96.0%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Manhuaçu	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Manhuaçu	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Manhumirim	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Manhumirim	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Manicore	2000	83.9%	87.2%	80.1%
Brazil	Manicore	2017	84.4%	87.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Manoel Emídio	2000	91.8%	93.8%	89.6%
Brazil	Manoel Emídio	2017	92.1%	94.0%	90.0%
Brazil	Manoel Ribas	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Manoel Ribas	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Manoel Urbano	2000	80.8%	83.6%	77.9%
Brazil	Manoel Urbano	2017	81.8%	84.5%	79.0%
Brazil	Manoel Viana	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Manoel Viana	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Manoel Vitorino	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Manoel Vitorino	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Mansidão	2000	93.5%	95.5%	90.9%
Brazil	Mansidão	2017	93.7%	95.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Mantena	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Mantena	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Mantenópolis	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Mantenópolis	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Maquiné	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Maquiné	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Mar de Espanha	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Mar de Espanha	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Mar Vermelho	2000	91.2%	92.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Mar Vermelho	2017	91.4%	92.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Mara Rosa	2000	94.4%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Mara Rosa	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Maraã	2000	81.5%	84.6%	77.6%
Brazil	Maraã	2017	82.0%	85.0%	78.2%
Brazil	Marabá	2000	81.6%	84.0%	79.4%
Brazil	Marabá	2017	82.1%	84.4%	80.0%
Brazil	Marabá Paulista	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.7%
Brazil	Marabá Paulista	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Maracaçumé	2000	84.4%	86.9%	81.6%
Brazil	Maracaçumé	2017	84.8%	87.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Maracaí	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Maracaí	2017	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Maracajá	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Maracajá	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Maracaju	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Maracaju	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Maracanã	2000	79.1%	81.6%	76.0%
Brazil	Maracanã	2017	79.5%	82.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Maracanaú	2000	91.9%	92.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Maracanaú	2017	92.2%	93.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Maracás	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Maracás	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Maragogi	2000	91.6%	92.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Maragogi	2017	91.9%	93.0%	90.4%
Brazil	Maragogipe	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Maragogipe	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Maraial	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Maraial	2017	92.9%	93.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Marajá do Sena	2000	90.1%	91.9%	88.2%
Brazil	Marajá do Sena	2017	90.4%	92.1%	88.6%
Brazil	Maranguape	2000	92.1%	93.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Maranguape	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Maranhãozinho	2000	85.5%	87.7%	83.0%
Brazil	Maranhãozinho	2017	85.9%	88.1%	83.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Marapanim	2000	77.9%	80.6%	74.8%
Brazil	Marapanim	2017	78.4%	81.0%	75.3%
Brazil	Marapoama	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Marapoama	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Maratá	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Maratá	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Marataízes	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Marataízes	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Maraú	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Maraú	2000	92.0%	93.7%	89.9%
Brazil	Maraú	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Maraú	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.2%
Brazil	Maravilha	2000	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Maravilha	2000	91.6%	92.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Maravilha	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Maravilha	2017	91.9%	92.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Maravilhas	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Maravilhas	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Marcação	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Marcação	2017	94.9%	95.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Marcelândia	2000	91.2%	93.5%	88.3%
Brazil	Marcelândia	2017	91.4%	93.7%	88.7%
Brazil	Marcelino Vieira	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Marcelino Vieira	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Marcionílio Dias	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Marcionílio Dias	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Marcionílio Souza	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Marcionílio Souza	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Marco	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Marco	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.6%
Brazil	Marcolândia	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Marcolândia	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Marcos Parente	2000	92.1%	93.8%	90.0%
Brazil	Marcos Parente	2017	92.4%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Marechal Cândido Rondon	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Marechal Cândido Rondon	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Marechal deodoro	2000	91.3%	92.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Marechal deodoro	2017	91.6%	92.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Marechal Floriano	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Marechal Floriano	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Marechal Thaumaturgo	2000	82.2%	85.4%	79.0%
Brazil	Marechal Thaumaturgo	2017	82.5%	85.6%	79.3%
Brazil	Marema	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Marema	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Mari	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Mari	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Maria da Fé	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	Maria da Fé	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Maria Helena	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Maria Helena	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Marialva	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Marialva	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Mariana	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Mariana	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Mariana	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Pimentel				
Brazil	Mariana	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Pimentel				
Brazil	Mariano Moro	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Mariano Moro	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Marianópolis	2000	91.0%	93.1%	88.8%
Brazil	Marianópolis do Tocantins				
Brazil	Marianópolis do Tocantins	2017	91.3%	93.3%	89.2%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Maribondo	2000	90.9%	91.8%	89.9%
Brazil	Maribondo	2017	91.1%	92.1%	90.2%
Brazil	Maricá	2000	95.4%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Maricá	2017	95.6%	96.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Marilac	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Marilac	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Marilândia	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Marilândia	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Marilândia do Sul	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Marilândia do Sul	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Marilena	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Marilena	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Marília	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Marília	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Mariluz	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Mariluz	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Maringá	2000	96.8%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Maringá	2017	96.9%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Marinópolis	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
Brazil	Marinópolis	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Mário Campos	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Mário Campos	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Maripá	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Maripá	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Maripá de Minas	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Maripá de Minas	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Marituba	2000	77.9%	79.8%	76.2%
Brazil	Marituba	2017	78.3%	80.2%	76.7%
Brazil	Marizópolis	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Marizópolis	2017	94.0%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Marliéria	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Marliéria	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Marmeleiro	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Marmeleiro	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Marmelópolis	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Marmelópolis	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.4%
Brazil	Marques de Souza	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Marques de Souza	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Marquinho	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Marquinho	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Martinho Campos	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Martinho Campos	2017	95.7%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2017	92.8%	94.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Martins	2000	95.8%	96.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Martins	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Martins Soares	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Martins Soares	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Maruim	2000	93.4%	94.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Maruim	2017	93.6%	94.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Marumbi	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Marumbi	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Marzagão	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Marzagão	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Mascote	2000	94.8%	96.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Mascote	2017	94.9%	96.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Massapê	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Massapê	2017	92.5%	94.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Massapê do Piauí	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Massapê do Piauí	2017	92.6%	94.0%	90.7%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Mata	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Mata	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Mata de São João	2000	94.1%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Mata de São João	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Mata Grande	2000	92.1%	93.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Mata Grande	2017	92.4%	93.5%	91.2%
Brazil	Mata Roma	2000	90.7%	92.1%	89.0%
Brazil	Mata Roma	2017	91.0%	92.4%	89.3%
Brazil	Mata Verde	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Mata Verde	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Matão	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Matão	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Brazil	Mataraca	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Mataraca	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Mateira	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Mateira	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Mateiros	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Mateiros	2017	94.0%	95.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Matelândia	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Matelândia	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Materlândia	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Materlândia	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Mates do Norte	2000	89.7%	91.3%	87.9%
Brazil	Mates do Norte	2017	90.1%	91.6%	88.3%
Brazil	Mateus Leme	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Mateus Leme	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Mathias Lobato	2000	95.4%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Mathias Lobato	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Matias Barbosa	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Matias Barbosa	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Matias Cardoso	2000	95.7%	97.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Matias Cardoso	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Matias Olímpio	2000	90.6%	92.1%	89.1%
Brazil	Matias Olímpio	2017	90.9%	92.3%	89.4%
Brazil	Matina	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Matina	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Matinha	2000	89.1%	90.9%	87.0%
Brazil	Matinha	2017	89.4%	91.2%	87.4%
Brazil	Matinhas	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Matinhas	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Matinhos	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Matinhos	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Matipó	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Matipó	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Mato Castelhano	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Mato Castelhano	2017	96.2%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2000	91.0%	93.5%	88.1%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2017	91.4%	93.9%	88.6%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Mato Leitão	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Mato Leitão	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Mato Rico	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Mato Rico	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Mato Verde	2000	95.7%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Mato Verde	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Matões	2000	91.5%	92.7%	89.8%
Brazil	Matões	2017	91.7%	93.0%	90.2%
Brazil	Matos Costa	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Matos Costa	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Matozinhos	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Matozinhos	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Matrinchã	2000	93.8%	95.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Matrinchã	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Matriz de Camaragibe	2000	91.6%	92.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Matriz de Camaragibe	2017	91.9%	92.8%	90.8%
Brazil	Matupá	2000	90.5%	93.0%	87.2%
Brazil	Matupá	2017	90.8%	93.2%	87.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Maturéia	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Maturéia	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Matutina	2000	95.7%	97.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Matutina	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Mauá	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Mauá	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Brazil	Mauá da Serra	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Mauá da Serra	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Maués	2000	81.0%	82.2%	79.9%
Brazil	Maués	2017	81.6%	82.7%	80.5%
Brazil	Maurilândia	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Maurilândia	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Maurilândia do Tocantins	2000	91.7%	93.4%	90.2%
Brazil	Maurilândia do Tocantins	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Maxaranguape	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Maxaranguape	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Brazil	Maximiliano de Almeida	2000	95.1%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Maximiliano de Almeida	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Mazagão	2000	85.0%	86.5%	83.3%
Brazil	Mazagão	2017	85.3%	86.8%	83.6%
Brazil	Me do Rio	2000	78.9%	81.4%	76.4%
Brazil	Me do Rio	2017	79.3%	81.9%	76.9%
Brazil	Medeiros	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Medeiros	2017	96.4%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Medeiros Neto	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Medeiros Neto	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Medianeira	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Medianeira	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Medicilândia	2000	81.7%	85.8%	77.4%
Brazil	Medicilândia	2017	82.1%	86.2%	77.9%
Brazil	Medina	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Medina	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Meleiro	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Meleiro	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Melgaco	2000	80.2%	83.1%	76.9%
Brazil	Melgaco	2017	80.6%	83.5%	77.4%
Brazil	Melgaço	2000	79.9%	82.9%	76.8%
Brazil	Melgaço	2017	80.4%	83.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Mendes	2000	96.4%	96.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Mendes	2017	96.6%	97.1%	96.0%
Brazil	Mendes	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Pimentel	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Mendes Pimentel	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Mendonça	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Mendonça	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Mercedes	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Mercedes	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Mercês	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Mercês	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Meridiano	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.9%
Brazil	Meridiano	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Meruoca	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Meruoca	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Mesópolis	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.7%
Brazil	Mesópolis	2017	97.1%	98.0%	95.9%
Brazil	Mesquita	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Mesquita	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Messias	2000	92.0%	92.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Messias	2017	92.2%	93.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Miguel Alves	2000	91.1%	92.3%	89.7%
Brazil	Miguel Alves	2017	91.3%	92.6%	90.0%
Brazil	Miguel Calmon	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Miguel Calmon	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Miguel Leão	2000	91.6%	93.0%	90.1%
Brazil	Miguel Leão	2017	91.9%	93.3%	90.4%
Brazil	Miguel Pereira	2000	96.2%	96.8%	95.6%
Brazil	Miguel Pereira	2017	96.3%	96.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Miguelópolis	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Brazil	Miguelópolis	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Milagres	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Milagres	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Milagres	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Milagres	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Milagres do Maranhão	2000	90.3%	92.0%	88.6%
Brazil	Milagres do Maranhão	2017	90.6%	92.2%	88.9%
Brazil	Milhã	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Milhã	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Milton Brandão	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.8%
Brazil	Milton Brandão	2017	92.6%	94.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Mimoso de Goiás	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Mimoso de Goiás	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Mimoso do Sul	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Mimoso do Sul	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Minaçu	2000	94.8%	96.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Minaçu	2017	95.0%	96.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Minador do Negrão	2000	92.2%	93.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Minador do Negrão	2017	92.5%	93.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Minas do Leão	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Minas do Leão	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Minas Novas	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Minas Novas	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Minduri	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Minduri	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Mineiros	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Mineiros	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Mineiros do Tietê	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Mineiros do Tietê	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Ministro Andreazza	2000	84.8%	86.5%	83.1%
Brazil	Ministro Andreazza	2017	85.1%	86.8%	83.4%
Brazil	Mira Estrela	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Mira Estrela	2017	97.3%	98.2%	96.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mirabela	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Mirabela	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Miracatu	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Miracatu	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Miracema	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Miracema	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Miracema do Tocantins	2000	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Miracema do Tocantins	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Mirador	2000	91.1%	92.8%	89.1%
Brazil	Mirador	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Mirador	2017	91.4%	93.0%	89.4%
Brazil	Mirador	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Miradouro	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Miradouro	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Miraguai	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Miraguai	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Mirai	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Mirai	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Miraíma	2000	92.5%	93.7%	90.9%
Brazil	Miraíma	2017	92.7%	93.9%	91.2%
Brazil	Miranda	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Miranda	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Miranda do Norte	2000	90.2%	91.6%	88.3%
Brazil	Miranda do Norte	2017	90.5%	91.9%	88.7%
Brazil	Mirandiba	2000	92.8%	94.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Mirandiba	2017	93.0%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Mirandópolis	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Mirandópolis	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Mirangaba	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Mirangaba	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Miranorte	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Miranorte	2017	93.4%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Mirante	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Mirante	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Mirante da Serra	2000	84.6%	86.5%	82.7%
Brazil	Mirante da Serra	2017	84.9%	86.8%	83.1%
Brazil	Mirante do Parana-panema	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Mirante do Parana-panema	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Miraselva	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Miraselva	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	Mirassol	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Mirassol	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Mirassol d'Oeste	2000	93.5%	95.3%	91.5%
Brazil	Mirassol d'Oeste	2017	93.8%	95.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Mirassolândia	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Mirassolândia	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Miravânia	2000	95.6%	97.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Miravânia	2017	95.7%	97.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Mirim doce	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Mirim doce	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mirinzal	2000	88.6%	90.8%	86.1%
Brazil	Mirinzal	2017	88.9%	91.1%	86.5%
Brazil	Missal	2000	96.1%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Missal	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Misso Velha	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Misso Velha	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Mocajuba	2000	78.2%	80.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Mocajuba	2017	78.6%	81.4%	76.0%
Brazil	Mococa	2000	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Mococa	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	Modelo	2000	95.3%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Modelo	2017	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Moeda	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Moeda	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Moema	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Moema	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Mogi das Cruzes	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Mogi das Cruzes	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Mogi Guaçu	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Mogi Guaçu	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Mogi Mirim	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Mogi Mirim	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Moiporá	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Moiporá	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Moita Bonita	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Moita Bonita	2017	94.6%	95.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Moju	2000	78.4%	80.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Moju	2017	78.8%	81.0%	76.5%
Brazil	Mombaça	2000	93.2%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Mombaça	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Mombaça	2017	93.4%	94.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Mombaça	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Monção	2000	88.8%	90.5%	86.8%
Brazil	Monção	2017	89.1%	90.8%	87.1%
Brazil	Monçães	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Monçães	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Mondai	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Mondai	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Mongaguá	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Brazil	Mongaguá	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Brazil	Mongeiro	2000	94.5%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Mongeiro	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Monjolos	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Monjolos	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Monsenhor Gil	2000	91.5%	92.9%	90.0%
Brazil	Monsenhor Gil	2017	91.7%	93.1%	90.2%
Brazil	Monsenhor Hipólito	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Monsenhor Hipólito	2017	92.7%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Monsenhor Paulo	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Monsenhor Paulo	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Monsenhor Tabosa	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Monsenhor Tabosa	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Montadas	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Montadas	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Montalvânia	2000	95.5%	97.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Montalvânia	2017	95.7%	97.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Montanha	2000	95.4%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Montanha	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Montanhas	2000	96.0%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Montanhas	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.4%
Brazil	Montauri	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Montauri	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2000	81.0%	84.6%	77.1%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2017	81.5%	85.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Goiás	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Goiás	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Minas	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Minas	2017	96.1%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Sergipe	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Sergipe	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Piauí	2000	92.4%	94.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Piauí	2017	92.7%	94.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Sul	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Sul	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Monte Alegre dos Campos	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Monte Alegre dos Campos	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Monte Azul	2000	95.6%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Monte Azul	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Monte Azul Paulista	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Monte Azul Paulista	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Monte Belo	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Monte Belo	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Monte Belo do Sul	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Monte Belo do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Monte Carlo	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Monte Carlo	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Monte Carmelo	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Monte Carmelo	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Monte das Gameleiras	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Brazil	Monte das Gameleiras	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Monte do Carmo	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Monte do Carmo	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Monte Formoso	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Monte Formoso	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Monte Horebe	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Monte Horebe	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Monte Mor	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Monte Mor	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Monte Negro	2000	83.6%	85.7%	81.1%
Brazil	Monte Negro	2017	83.9%	86.0%	81.4%
Brazil	Monte Santo	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Monte Santo	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Monte Santo de Minas	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Monte Santo de Minas	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Monte Santo do Tocantins	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Monte Santo do Tocantins	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Monte São	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Brazil	Monte São	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Monteiro	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Monteiro	2017	93.7%	94.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Monteiro Lobato	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Brazil	Monteiro Lobato	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Monteirópolis	2000	91.8%	92.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Monteirópolis	2017	92.1%	93.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Montenegro	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Montenegro	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Montes Altos	2000	92.0%	93.7%	90.5%
Brazil	Montes Altos	2017	92.2%	93.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Montes Claros	2000	96.4%	97.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Montes Claros	2017	96.6%	97.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Montes Claros de Goiás	2000	93.7%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Montes Claros de Goiás	2017	94.0%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Montezuma	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Montezuma	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Montividiu	2000	94.9%	96.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Montividiu	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Montividiu do Norte	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Montividiu do Norte	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Morada Nova	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Morada Nova	2017	93.8%	94.8%	92.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Morada Nova de Minas	2000	95.6%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Morada Nova de Minas	2017	95.7%	97.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Moraújo	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Moraújo	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Moreira Sales	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Moreira Sales	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Moreno	2000	93.4%	94.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Moreno	2017	93.6%	94.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Mormaço	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Mormaço	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Morpará	2000	94.4%	96.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Morpará	2017	94.6%	96.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Morretes	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Morretes	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Morrinhos do Sul	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Morrinhos do Sul	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Morro Agudo	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Morro Agudo	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Morro Agudo de Goiás	2000	94.6%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Morro Agudo de Goiás	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Morro Cabeça No Tempo	2000	92.9%	94.8%	90.8%
Brazil	Morro Cabeça No Tempo	2017	93.1%	95.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Morro da Fumaça	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Morro da Fumaça	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Morro da Garça	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Morro da Garça	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu do Piauí	2000	90.9%	92.5%	89.4%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu do Piauí	2017	91.2%	92.7%	89.7%
Brazil	Morro do Pilar	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Morro do Pilar	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Morro Grande	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Morro Grande	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Morro Redondo	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Morro Redondo	2017	96.4%	97.4%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Morro Reuter	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Morro Reuter	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Morros	2000	89.3%	91.0%	87.5%
Brazil	Morros	2017	89.6%	91.3%	87.8%
Brazil	Mortugaba	2000	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Mortugaba	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Morungaba	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Morungaba	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Mosquito	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Mosquito	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Mossâmedes	2000	94.4%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Mossâmedes	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Mossoró	2000	96.3%	96.9%	95.5%
Brazil	Mossoró	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Mostardas	2000	96.2%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Mostardas	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Motuca	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Motuca	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Mozarlândia	2000	94.0%	95.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Mozarlândia	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Muaná	2000	78.0%	80.7%	75.5%
Brazil	Muaná	2017	78.4%	81.1%	75.9%
Brazil	Mucajaí	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Mucajaí	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Brazil	Mucambo	2000	91.6%	93.0%	89.8%
Brazil	Mucambo	2017	91.9%	93.2%	90.1%
Brazil	Mucugê	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Mucugê	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Muçum	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Muçum	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Mucuri	2000	94.6%	96.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Mucuri	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Mucurici	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Mucurici	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Muitos	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Muitos Capões	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Muliterno	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Muliterno	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Mulungu	2000	93.2%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Mulungu	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Mulungu	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Mulungu	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Mulungu do Morro	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Mulungu do Morro	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	93.8%	95.6%	91.3%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	94.1%	95.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Munhoz	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Munhoz	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Munhoz de Melo	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Munhoz de Melo	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Muniz Ferreira	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Muniz Ferreira	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Muniz Freire	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Muniz Freire	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Muquém de São Francisco	2000	94.8%	96.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Muquém de São Francisco	2017	95.0%	96.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Muqui	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Muqui	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Muriaé	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Muriaé	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Muribeca	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Muribeca	2017	93.9%	94.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Murici	2000	91.9%	92.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Murici	2017	92.2%	93.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Murici dos Portelas	2000	90.7%	92.1%	89.1%
Brazil	Murici dos Portelas	2017	91.0%	92.4%	89.4%
Brazil	Muricilândia	2000	91.2%	92.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Muricilândia	2017	91.6%	93.1%	89.9%
Brazil	Muritiba	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Muritiba	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Murutinga do Sul	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Murutinga do Sul	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Mutuípe	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Mutuípe	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Mutum	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Mutum	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Mutunópolis	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Mutunópolis	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Muzambinho	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Muzambinho	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
Brazil	Nacip Raydan	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Nacip Raydan	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Nantes	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Nantes	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.7%
Brazil	Nanuque	2000	95.5%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Nanuque	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Naque	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Naque	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Narandiba	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Narandiba	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Natal	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Natal	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Natalândia	2000	96.4%	97.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Natalândia	2017	96.6%	97.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Natércia	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	Natércia	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.7%
Brazil	Natividade	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Natividade	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Natividade	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Natividade	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Natividade da Serra	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Natividade da Serra	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Natuba	2000	93.9%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Natuba	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Navegantes	2000	94.3%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Navegantes	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Naviraí	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Naviraí	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Nazaré	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Nazaré	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Nazaré	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Nazaré	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Nazaré da Mata	2000	94.1%	94.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Nazaré da Mata	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Nazaré do Piauí	2000	91.8%	93.3%	89.9%
Brazil	Nazaré do Piauí	2017	92.1%	93.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Nazaré Paulista	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Nazaré Paulista	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Nazareno	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Nazareno	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Nazarezinho	2000	94.0%	94.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Nazarezinho	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Nazário	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Nazário	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Neópolis	2000	92.8%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Neópolis	2017	93.1%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Nepomuceno	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Nepomuceno	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Nerópolis	2000	95.0%	95.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Nerópolis	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Neves	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Neves Paulista	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Nhamundá	2000	80.0%	83.3%	76.6%
Brazil	Nhamundá	2017	80.5%	83.8%	77.2%
Brazil	Nhandeara	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Nhandeara	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Nicolau Vergueiro	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Nicolau Vergueiro	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Nilo Peçanha	2000	92.8%	94.3%	90.8%
Brazil	Nilo Peçanha	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.1%
Brazil	Nilópolis	2000	95.9%	96.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Nilópolis	2017	96.1%	96.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Nina Rodrigues	2000	89.6%	91.2%	87.7%
Brazil	Nina Rodrigues	2017	89.9%	91.5%	88.1%
Brazil	Ninheira	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Ninheira	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Nioaque	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Nioaque	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Nipoã	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Nipoã	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Niquelândia	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Niquelândia	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Nísia Floresta	2000	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Nísia Floresta	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Niterói	2000	95.3%	95.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Niterói	2017	95.5%	96.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Nobres	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Nobres	2017	92.5%	94.2%	90.6%
Brazil	Nonoai	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Nonoai	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Nordestina	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Nordestina	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Normandia	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Normandia	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.6%
Brazil	Nortelândia	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Nortelândia	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.6%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora Aprecido	2000	94.7%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora Aprecido	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora da Glória	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora da Glória	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Dores	2000	94.1%	94.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Dores	2017	94.3%	94.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Graças	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Graças	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Lourdes	2000	93.0%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Lourdes	2017	93.2%	94.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Nazaré	2000	91.2%	92.6%	89.6%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Nazaré	2017	91.4%	92.8%	89.9%
Brazil	Nossa Sen- hora do Livramento	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Nossa Sen- hora do Livramento	2017	93.0%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Socorro	2000	94.1%	94.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Socorro	2017	94.3%	94.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora dos Remédios	2000	90.7%	92.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora dos Remédios	2017	91.0%	92.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Nova Aliança	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Nova Aliança	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Nova Aliança do Ivaí	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Aliança do Ivaí	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Nova Alvo- rada	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Alvo- rada	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Alvo- rada do Sul	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Alvorada do Sul	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Nova América	2000	94.5%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Nova América	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Nova América da Colina	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Nova América da Colina	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Nova Andradina	2000	96.1%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Andradina	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Araçá	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Nova Araçá	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Bandeirantes	2000	90.9%	93.4%	87.9%
Brazil	Nova Bandeirantes	2017	91.4%	93.9%	88.7%
Brazil	Nova Bassano	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Bassano	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Belém	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Nova Belém	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Boa Vista	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Nova Boa Vista	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia	2000	92.5%	94.4%	90.2%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia d'Oeste	2000	84.8%	86.7%	82.5%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia d'Oeste	2017	85.1%	87.0%	82.8%
Brazil	Nova Bréscia	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Nova Bréscia	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Nova Campina	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Nova Campina	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Nova Canaã	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Nova Canaã	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Nova Canaã do Norte	2000	91.7%	93.6%	88.8%
Brazil	Nova Canaã do Norte	2017	92.0%	93.8%	89.1%
Brazil	Nova Canaã Paulista	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Nova Canaã Paulista	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	Nova Candelária	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Nova Candelária	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Nova Cantu	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Cantu	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Castilho	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Nova Castilho	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Colinas	2000	92.2%	94.2%	89.5%
Brazil	Nova Colinas	2017	92.5%	94.4%	89.7%
Brazil	Nova Crixás	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Nova Crixás	2017	94.0%	95.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Nova Cruz	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.8%
Brazil	Nova Cruz	2017	96.6%	97.1%	95.9%
Brazil	Nova Era	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Era	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Nova Erechim	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Nova Erechim	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Nova Esperança	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Nova Esperança	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Piriá	2000	81.6%	84.3%	78.6%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Piriá	2017	82.0%	84.7%	79.1%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sudoeste	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sudoeste	2017	96.2%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sul	2000	96.2%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sul	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Europa	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Nova Europa	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Nova Floresta	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Brazil	Nova Floresta	2017	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Nova Friburgo	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Nova Friburgo	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	Nova Glória	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Nova Glória	2017	95.2%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Nova Granada	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Nova Granada	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Nova Guarita	2000	90.5%	92.7%	87.6%
Brazil	Nova Guarita	2017	90.8%	93.0%	88.0%
Brazil	Nova Guataporanga	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Nova Guataporanga	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Nova Hartz	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Hartz	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Ibiá	2000	94.5%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Nova Ibiá	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu	2000	95.5%	95.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu	2017	95.6%	96.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu de Goiás	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu de Goiás	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Independência	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Nova Independência	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Nova Iorque	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.1%
Brazil	Nova Iorque	2017	91.6%	93.5%	89.4%
Brazil	Nova Ipixuna	2000	80.6%	83.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Nova Ipixuna	2017	81.1%	83.7%	78.4%
Brazil	Nova Itaberaba	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Nova Itaberaba	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Nova Itarana	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Nova Itarana	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Nova Lacerda	2000	90.0%	92.6%	87.3%
Brazil	Nova Lacerda	2017	90.3%	92.8%	87.7%
Brazil	Nova Laranjeiras	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Nova Laranjeiras	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Lima	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Nova Lima	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Nova Londrina	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Nova Londrina	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.5%
Brazil	Nova Luzitânia	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Nova Luzitânia	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Nova Mamoré	2000	83.7%	86.3%	81.2%
Brazil	Nova Mamoré	2017	84.0%	86.6%	81.5%
Brazil	Nova Marilândia	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.2%
Brazil	Nova Marilândia	2017	92.5%	94.2%	90.5%
Brazil	Nova Maringá	2000	92.2%	94.2%	89.5%
Brazil	Nova Maringá	2017	92.4%	94.4%	89.9%
Brazil	Nova Mógica	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Nova Mógica	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Nova Monte Verde	2000	91.0%	93.3%	87.5%
Brazil	Nova Monte Verde	2017	91.3%	93.5%	87.9%
Brazil	Nova Mutum	2000	92.7%	94.6%	90.9%
Brazil	Nova Mutum	2017	93.2%	95.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Nova Odessa	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Nova Odessa	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2000	92.1%	94.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2017	92.3%	94.2%	90.3%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	93.1%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	93.1%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	93.4%	94.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	93.3%	94.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	93.3%	94.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Maranhão	2000	87.4%	89.4%	85.0%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Maranhão	2017	87.8%	89.8%	85.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Norte	2000	80.8%	83.6%	78.0%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Norte	2017	81.3%	84.1%	78.5%
Brazil	Nova Pádua	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Pádua	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Palma	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Nova Palma	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Nova Palmeira	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Nova Palmeira	2017	96.6%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Nova Petrópolis	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Petrópolis	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Nova Ponte	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Ponte	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Porteirinha	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Porteirinha	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Prata	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Prata	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Prata do Iguaçu	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Prata do Iguaçu	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Ramada	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Ramada	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Rendeção	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Nova Rendeção	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Nova Resende	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Nova Resende	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Nova Roma	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Nova Roma	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Nova Roma do Sul	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Roma do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Rosalândia	2000	93.7%	95.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Nova Rosalândia	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Nova Russas	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Nova Russas	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Nova Santa Bárbara	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Nova Santa Bárbara	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.5%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2017	92.8%	94.3%	90.8%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rosa	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Santa Rosa	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Nova Serrana	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Nova Serrana	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Soure	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Nova Soure	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Nova Tebas	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Tebas	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Nova Timbo-teua	2000	78.3%	80.7%	75.5%
Brazil	Nova Timbo-teua	2017	78.8%	81.2%	75.9%
Brazil	Nova Trento	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Nova Trento	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Nova Ubiratã	2000	92.2%	94.4%	89.7%
Brazil	Nova Ubiratã	2017	92.4%	94.6%	90.0%
Brazil	Nova União	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Nova União	2000	84.6%	86.5%	82.7%
Brazil	Nova União	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova União	2017	84.9%	86.7%	83.1%
Brazil	Nova Venécia	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Nova Venécia	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Nova Viçosa	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Nova Viçosa	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Nova Xavantina	2000	93.4%	95.2%	90.8%
Brazil	Nova Xavantina	2017	93.6%	95.4%	91.1%
Brazil	Novais	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Novais	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Novo Acordo	2000	93.7%	95.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Novo Acordo	2017	93.9%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Novo Airão	2000	83.2%	85.9%	80.3%
Brazil	Novo Airão	2017	84.4%	86.9%	81.5%
Brazil	Novo Alegre	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Novo Alegre	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Novo Aripuanã	2000	83.6%	87.1%	79.0%
Brazil	Novo Aripuanã	2017	84.0%	87.5%	79.5%
Brazil	Novo Barreiro	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Novo Barreiro	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Novo Brazil	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Novo Brazil	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Novo Cabrais	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Novo Cabrais	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Novo Cruzeiro	2000	96.5%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Novo Cruzeiro	2017	96.6%	97.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Novo Gama	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Brazil	Novo Gama	2017	97.9%	98.2%	97.5%
Brazil	Novo Hamburgo	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.3%
Brazil	Novo Hamburgo	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Norte	2000	92.2%	94.3%	89.7%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Norte	2017	92.5%	94.5%	90.1%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Oeste	2000	84.2%	86.3%	81.9%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Oeste	2017	84.5%	86.5%	82.2%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Sul	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Sul	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Novo Itacolomi	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Novo Itacolomi	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Novo Jardim	2000	94.2%	95.9%	92.2%
Brazil	Novo Jardim	2017	94.4%	96.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Novo Lino	2000	92.1%	93.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Novo Lino	2017	92.3%	93.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Novo Machado	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Novo Machado	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Novo Mundo	2000	89.2%	91.8%	86.0%
Brazil	Novo Mundo	2017	89.6%	92.2%	86.4%
Brazil	Novo Oriente	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Novo Oriente	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Novo Oriente de Minas	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Novo Oriente de Minas	2017	96.3%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Novo Oriente do Piauí	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Novo Oriente do Piauí	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Novo Planalto	2000	93.9%	95.4%	91.8%
Brazil	Novo Planalto	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Novo Progresso	2000	81.8%	86.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Novo Progresso	2017	82.2%	86.3%	77.6%
Brazil	Novo Repartimento	2000	79.8%	82.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Novo Repartimento	2017	80.2%	83.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Novo Santo Antônio	2000	91.5%	92.8%	89.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Novo Santo Antônio	2017	91.7%	93.0%	90.1%
Brazil	Novo São Joaquim	2000	92.6%	94.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Novo São Joaquim	2017	92.8%	94.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Novo Tiradentes	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Novo Tiradentes	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Novo Triunfo	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Novo Triunfo	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Novorizonte	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Novorizonte	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Nuporanga	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Nuporanga	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Óbidos	2000	80.2%	83.5%	76.4%
Brazil	Óbidos	2017	80.6%	83.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Ocara	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Ocara	2017	93.8%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Ocaçu	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Ocaçu	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Oeiras	2000	91.7%	93.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Oeiras	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Oeiras do Pará	2000	79.1%	82.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Oeiras do Pará	2017	79.5%	82.4%	76.9%
Brazil	Oiapoque	2000	86.4%	89.7%	82.1%
Brazil	Oiapoque	2017	86.7%	90.0%	82.5%
Brazil	Olaria	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Olaria	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Óleo	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Óleo	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Olho d'Água	2000	93.0%	94.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Olho d'Água	2017	93.3%	94.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Cunhãs	2000	90.0%	91.8%	88.1%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Cunhãs	2017	90.3%	92.1%	88.5%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Flores	2000	91.5%	92.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Flores	2017	91.8%	92.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Olho d'Água do Casado	2000	92.9%	94.0%	91.9%
Brazil	Olho d'Água do Casado	2017	93.2%	94.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Olho d'água do Piauí	2000	91.7%	93.2%	90.1%
Brazil	Olho d'água do Piauí	2017	91.9%	93.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Olho d'Água Grande	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Olho d'Água Grande	2017	93.0%	93.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Olho-d'Água do Borges	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Olho-d'Água do Borges	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Olhos-d'Água	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Olhos-d'Água	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Olímpia	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Brazil	Olímpia	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Olímpio	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Noronha	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Olinda	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Olinda	2017	93.9%	94.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Olinda Nova do Maranhão	2000	89.1%	90.9%	87.0%
Brazil	Olinda Nova do Maranhão	2017	89.4%	91.2%	87.4%
Brazil	Olindina	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Olindina	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Olivedos	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Olivedos	2017	95.8%	96.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Oliveira	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Oliveira	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Oliveira de Fátima	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Oliveira de Fátima	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Oliveira Fortes	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Oliveira Fortes	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Olivença	2000	91.8%	92.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Olivença	2017	92.0%	93.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Oliveria dos Brejinhos	2000	94.9%	96.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Oliveria dos Brejinhos	2017	95.1%	96.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Onça de Pitangui	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Onça de Pitangui	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Onda Verde	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Onda Verde	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Oratórios	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Oratórios	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Oriente	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Oriente	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Orindiúva	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Orindiúva	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Oriximiná	2000	78.9%	82.4%	75.0%
Brazil	Oriximiná	2017	79.4%	82.9%	75.6%
Brazil	Orizânia	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Orizânia	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Orizona	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Orizona	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Orlandia	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Orlandia	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Brazil	Orleaes	2000	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Orleaes	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Orobó	2000	93.6%	94.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Orobó	2017	93.8%	94.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Orós	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Orós	2017	93.7%	94.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Ortigueira	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Ortigueira	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Osasco	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Osasco	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Oscar Bressane	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Oscar Bressane	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Osório	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Osório	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Oswaldo Cruz	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Oswaldo Cruz	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Otacílio Costa	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Otacílio Costa	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Ourém	2000	78.7%	81.2%	76.0%
Brazil	Ourém	2017	79.2%	81.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Ouriçangas	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Ouriçangas	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Ouricuri	2000	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Ouricuri	2017	93.6%	94.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Ourlândia do Norte	2000	80.5%	84.1%	76.5%
Brazil	Ourlândia do Norte	2017	80.9%	84.5%	76.9%
Brazil	Ourinhos	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Ourinhos	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Ourizona	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Ourizona	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Ouro	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Ouro	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	91.7%	92.9%	90.6%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	92.0%	93.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Ouro Fino	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Brazil	Ouro Fino	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Ouro Preto	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Ouro Preto	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Ouro Preto do Oeste	2000	85.1%	86.9%	83.4%
Brazil	Ouro Preto do Oeste	2017	85.4%	87.2%	83.8%
Brazil	Ouro Velho	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Ouro Velho	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Goiás	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Goiás	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Minas	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Minas	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Ouro Verde do Oeste	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Ouro Verde do Oeste	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Ouroeste	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	Ouroeste	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Ourolândia	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Ourolândia	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ouvidor	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Ouvidor	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Pacaembu	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Pacaembu	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Pacajá	2000	79.6%	83.4%	75.7%
Brazil	Pacajá	2017	80.0%	83.7%	76.2%
Brazil	Pacajús	2000	92.9%	93.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Pacajús	2017	93.2%	94.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Pacaraima	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Pacaraima	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.8%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2000	92.2%	93.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2017	92.9%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Paço do Lumiar	2000	89.7%	91.2%	88.0%
Brazil	Paço do Lumiar	2017	90.1%	91.5%	88.4%
Brazil	Pacoti	2000	93.0%	94.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Pacoti	2017	93.2%	94.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Pacujá	2000	91.7%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Pacujá	2017	91.9%	93.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Padre Bernardo	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Brazil	Padre Bernardo	2017	97.6%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	Padre Carvalho	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Padre Carvalho	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Padre Marcos	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Padre Marcos	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Padre Paraíso	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Padre Paraíso	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Paes Landim	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Paes Landim	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.5%
Brazil	Pai Pedro	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Pai Pedro	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Paial	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Paial	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Paiçandu	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Paiçandu	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Paim Filho	2000	95.2%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Paim Filho	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Paineiras	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Paineiras	2017	95.6%	97.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Painel	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Painel	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Pains	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Pains	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Paiva	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Paiva	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Pajeú do Piauí	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Pajeú do Piauí	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.6%
Brazil	Palestina	2000	92.1%	93.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Palestina	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Palestina	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Palestina	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Palestina de Goiás	2000	94.3%	95.9%	92.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Palestina de Goiás	2017	94.5%	96.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Palestina do Pará	2000	89.1%	90.9%	87.2%
Brazil	Palestina do Pará	2017	89.5%	91.2%	87.5%
Brazil	Palhano	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Palhano	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Palhoça	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Palhoça	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Palma	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Palma	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Palma Sola	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Palma Sola	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Palmácia	2000	92.8%	93.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Palmácia	2017	93.0%	94.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Palmares	2000	92.1%	92.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Palmares	2017	92.3%	93.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Palmares do Sul	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Palmares do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Palmares Paulista	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Palmares Paulista	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Brazil	Palmas	2000	94.2%	95.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Palmas	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Palmas	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Palmas	2017	96.0%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Palmas de Monte Alto	2000	95.1%	96.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Palmas de Monte Alto	2017	95.3%	96.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Palmeira	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Palmeira	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Palmeira	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Palmeira	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Palmeira das Missões	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Palmeira das Missões	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Palmeira do Oeste	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%
Brazil	Palmeira do Oeste	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
Brazil	Palmeira do Piauí	2000	91.5%	93.6%	89.2%
Brazil	Palmeira do Piauí	2017	91.8%	93.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Palmeira dos índios	2000	91.6%	92.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Palmeira dos índios	2017	91.9%	92.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Palmeirais	2000	91.5%	92.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Palmeirais	2017	91.9%	93.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Palmeirândia	2000	88.8%	90.7%	86.7%
Brazil	Palmeirândia	2017	89.2%	91.0%	87.1%
Brazil	Palmeirante	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Palmeirante	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Palmeiras	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Palmeiras	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Palmeiras de Goiás	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Palmeiras de Goiás	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Palmeirina	2000	92.2%	93.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Palmeirina	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Palmeirópolis	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Palmeirópolis	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Palmelo	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Palmelo	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Palminópolis	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Palminópolis	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Palmital	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Palmital	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Palmital	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Palmital	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Palmitinhos	2000	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Palmitinhos	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Palmitos	2000	95.0%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Palmitos	2017	95.4%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Palmópolis	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Palmópolis	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Palotina	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Palotina	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Panamá	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Panamá	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Panambi	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Panambi	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Pancas	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Pancas	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Panelas	2000	94.0%	94.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Panelas	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Panorama	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Panorama	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Pantano Grande	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Pantano Grande	2017	96.2%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Pão de Açúcar	2000	92.4%	93.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Pão de Açúcar	2017	92.7%	93.7%	91.7%
Brazil	Papagaios	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Papagaios	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Papanduva	2000	95.1%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Papanduva	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Paquetá	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Paquetá	2017	92.5%	93.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Pará de Minas	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Pará de Minas	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Paracambi	2000	95.1%	95.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Paracambi	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Paracatu	2000	96.1%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Paracatu	2017	96.2%	97.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Paracuru	2000	92.1%	93.3%	90.6%
Brazil	Paracuru	2017	92.4%	93.5%	90.9%
Brazil	Paragominas	2000	82.4%	85.2%	79.3%
Brazil	Paragominas	2017	83.0%	85.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Paraguaçu	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Paraguaçu	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Paraguaçu Paulista	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Paraguaçu Paulista	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paraí	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Paraí	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Paraíba do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Paraíba do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Paraibano	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Paraibano	2017	92.5%	94.2%	90.7%
Brazil	Paraibuna	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Paraibuna	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Paraipaba	2000	92.3%	93.5%	91.0%
Brazil	Paraipaba	2017	92.5%	93.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Paraíso	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Paraíso	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Paraíso	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Paraíso	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Paraíso do Norte	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Paraíso do Norte	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Paraíso do Sul	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Paraíso do Sul	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Paraíso do Tocantins	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Paraíso do Tocantins	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Paraisópolis	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Brazil	Paraisópolis	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Parambu	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Parambu	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Paramirim	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Paramirim	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Paramoti	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Paramoti	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Paraná	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Paraná	2000	94.5%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Paraná	2017	94.7%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Paraná	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Paranacity	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Paranacity	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Paranaguá	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Paranaguá	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Paranaíba	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Paranaíba	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Paranaíta	2000	92.6%	94.8%	90.3%
Brazil	Paranaíta	2017	92.8%	94.9%	90.6%
Brazil	Paranaparema	2000	98.6%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Paranaparema	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Paranapoema	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Paranapoema	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Paranapuã	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	Paranapuã	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Paranatama	2000	92.9%	93.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Paranatama	2017	93.1%	94.0%	92.1%
Brazil	Paranatinga	2000	89.3%	91.9%	85.6%
Brazil	Paranatinga	2017	89.7%	92.1%	86.0%
Brazil	Paranavaí	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Paranavaí	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Paranhos	2000	95.6%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Paranhos	2017	95.8%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Paraopeba	2000	96.0%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Paraopeba	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Parapuã	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Parapuã	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Parari	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Parari	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Parati	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Parati	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Paratinga	2000	94.9%	96.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Paratinga	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Paraú	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Paraú	2017	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Parauapebas	2000	81.4%	84.3%	78.7%
Brazil	Parauapebas	2017	81.9%	84.7%	79.1%
Brazil	Paraúna	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Paraúna	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Parazinho	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Brazil	Parazinho	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Brazil	Pardinho	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Pardinho	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Pareci Novo	2000	95.8%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Pareci Novo	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Parecis	2000	84.6%	87.1%	82.3%
Brazil	Parecis	2017	84.9%	87.4%	82.6%
Brazil	Parelhas	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Parelhas	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	Pariconha	2000	92.5%	93.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Pariconha	2017	92.7%	93.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Parintins	2000	82.2%	85.0%	79.7%
Brazil	Parintins	2017	82.7%	85.4%	80.2%
Brazil	Paripiranga	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Paripiranga	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Paripueira	2000	91.3%	92.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Paripueira	2017	91.6%	92.7%	90.4%
Brazil	Pariquera-Açu	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Pariquera-Açu	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Parisi	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Parisi	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Brazil	Parnaguá	2000	92.8%	94.8%	90.3%
Brazil	Parnaguá	2017	93.3%	95.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Parnaíba	2000	91.6%	93.4%	89.7%
Brazil	Parnaíba	2017	91.9%	93.6%	90.0%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2000	97.1%	97.5%	96.5%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2017	93.4%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2017	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Brazil	Parnarama	2000	91.4%	92.7%	89.6%
Brazil	Parnarama	2017	91.7%	92.9%	89.9%
Brazil	Parobé	2000	95.9%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Parobé	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Passa e Fica	2000	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Passa e Fica	2017	96.7%	97.3%	96.0%
Brazil	Passa Quatro	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Brazil	Passa Quatro	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Brazil	Passa Sete	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Passa Sete	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Passa Tempo	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Passa Tempo	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Passa Vinte	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Passa Vinte	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	96.7%	97.2%	96.1%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Passabém	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Passabém	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Passabém	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Passagem Franca	2000	92.0%	93.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Passagem Franca	2017	92.2%	93.9%	90.5%
Brazil	Passagem Franca do Piauí	2000	91.3%	92.9%	89.7%
Brazil	Passagem Franca do Piauí	2017	91.5%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Passira	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Passira	2017	93.8%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Passo de Camaragibe	2000	91.4%	92.5%	90.2%
Brazil	Passo de Camaragibe	2017	91.7%	92.7%	90.5%
Brazil	Passo de Torres	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Passo de Torres	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Passo do Sobrado	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Passo do Sobrado	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Passo Fundo	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Passo Fundo	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Passos	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Passos	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Passos Maia	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Passos Maia	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Pastos Bons	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.5%
Brazil	Pastos Bons	2017	92.0%	93.7%	89.8%
Brazil	Patis	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Patis	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Pato Bragado	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Pato Bragado	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Pato Branco	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Pato Branco	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Patos	2000	94.6%	95.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Patos	2017	94.8%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Patos de Minas	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Patos de Minas	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Patos do Piauí	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Patos do Piauí	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.4%
Brazil	Patrocínio	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Patrocínio	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Patrocínio do Muriaé	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Patrocínio do Muriaé	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Patrocínio Paulista	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Patrocínio Paulista	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Patu	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	Patu	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.8%
Brazil	Paty do Alferes	2000	96.1%	96.7%	95.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paty do Alferes	2017	96.2%	96.7%	95.5%
Brazil	Pau Brazil	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Pau Brazil	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2000	87.8%	89.8%	85.0%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2000	83.7%	86.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2017	88.1%	90.1%	85.4%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2017	84.1%	86.9%	80.7%
Brazil	Pau dos Ferros	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Pau dos Ferros	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Paudalho	2000	93.9%	94.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Paudalho	2017	94.1%	94.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Pauini	2000	83.5%	87.0%	80.3%
Brazil	Pauini	2017	83.9%	87.3%	80.7%
Brazil	Paula Cândido	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Paula Cândido	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Paula Freitas	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Paula Freitas	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Paulicéia	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Paulicéia	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Paulínia	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Paulínia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Paulino Neves	2000	90.5%	92.4%	88.3%
Brazil	Paulino Neves	2017	90.8%	92.6%	88.7%
Brazil	Paulista	2000	93.6%	94.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Paulista	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Paulista	2017	93.8%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Paulista	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Paulistana	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Paulistana	2017	93.4%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	Paulistânia	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Paulistânia	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Paulistas	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Paulistas	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Paulo Afonso	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.3%
Brazil	Paulo Afonso	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Paulo de Faria	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Paulo de Faria	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Paulo Frontin	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Paulo Frontin	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Paulo Jacinto	2000	90.8%	91.9%	89.7%
Brazil	Paulo Jacinto	2017	91.1%	92.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Paulo Lopez	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Paulo Lopez	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Paulo Ramos	2000	90.2%	91.9%	88.1%
Brazil	Paulo Ramos	2017	90.5%	92.2%	88.5%
Brazil	Pavão	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Pavão	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Paverama	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Paverama	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Pavussu	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Pavussu	2017	92.6%	94.2%	90.6%
Brazil	Pé de Serra	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Pé de Serra	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Peabiru	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Peabiru	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Peçanha	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Peçanha	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pederneiras	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Pederneiras	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Pedra	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Pedra	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Pedra Azul	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Pedra Azul	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Pedra Bela	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Pedra Bela	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Brazil	Pedra Bonita	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Pedra Bonita	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2017	93.1%	94.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2000	86.9%	88.7%	84.8%
Brazil	do Amapari				
Brazil	Pedra Branca do Amapari	2017	87.3%	89.1%	85.2%
Brazil	Pedra do Anta	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Pedra do Anta	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Pedra do Indaiá	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Pedra do Indaiá	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Pedra dourada	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Pedra dourada	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Pedra Grande	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Brazil	Pedra Grande	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Pedra Lavadra	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Pedra Lavadra	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	Pedra Mole	2000	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Pedra Mole	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Pedralva	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Pedralva	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Pedranópolis	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Pedranópolis	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Pedrão	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Pedrão	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Pedras de Fogo	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Pedras de Fogo	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Pedras de Maria da Cruz	2000	95.8%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Pedras de Maria da Cruz	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Pedras Grandes	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Pedras Grandes	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Pedregulho	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Pedregulho	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pedreira	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Pedreira	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Pedreiras	2000	90.2%	92.1%	88.4%
Brazil	Pedreiras	2017	90.5%	92.3%	88.7%
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Paulista Pedrinhas	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Pedrinópolis	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Pedrinópolis	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Pedro Afonso	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Pedro Afonso	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Pedro Alexandre	2000	94.1%	95.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Pedro Alexandre	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Pedro Avelino	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Brazil	Pedro Avelino	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Brazil	Pedro Canário	2000	94.8%	96.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Pedro Canário	2017	95.0%	96.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Pedro de Toledo	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Pedro de Toledo	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Pedro do Rosário	2000	88.8%	90.6%	86.5%
Brazil	Pedro do Rosário	2017	89.2%	90.9%	86.9%
Brazil	Pedro Gomes	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Pedro Gomes	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Pedro Laurentino	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.6%
Brazil	Pedro Laurentino	2017	92.7%	94.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Pedro Leopoldo	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Pedro Leopoldo	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Pedro Li	2000	92.7%	94.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Pedro Li	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Pedro Osório	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Pedro Osório	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Pedro Régis	2000	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Pedro Régis	2017	95.8%	96.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Pedro Teixeira	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Pedro Teixeira	2017	95.9%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Pedro Velho	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Pedro Velho	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Peixe	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Peixe	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Peixe Boi	2000	79.2%	81.5%	76.2%
Brazil	Peixe Boi	2017	79.6%	82.0%	76.7%
Brazil	Peixoto de Azevedo	2000	89.7%	92.2%	86.2%
Brazil	Peixoto de Azevedo	2017	90.0%	92.4%	86.6%
Brazil	Pejuçara	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Pejuçara	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Pelotas	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Pelotas	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Penaforte	2000	93.0%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Penaforte	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Penalva	2000	89.0%	90.7%	87.0%
Brazil	Penalva	2017	89.4%	91.0%	87.3%
Brazil	Penápolis	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Penápolis	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Pendências	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Pendências	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Brazil	Penedo	2000	93.3%	94.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Penedo	2017	93.6%	94.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Penha	2000	93.5%	94.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Penha	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Pentecoste	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Pentecoste	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Pequeri	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Pequeri	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Pequi	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Pequi	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Pequizeiro	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Pequizeiro	2017	92.3%	93.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Perdigão	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Perdigão	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Perdizes	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Perdizes	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Perdões	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Perdões	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Pereira Barreto	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Pereira Barreto	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Pereiras	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Pereiras	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Pereiro	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Pereiro	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Peri-Mirim	2000	89.0%	90.8%	86.9%
Brazil	Peri-Mirim	2017	89.3%	91.1%	87.3%
Brazil	Periquito	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Periquito	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Peritiba	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Peritiba	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Peritoró	2000	90.7%	92.2%	89.1%
Brazil	Peritoró	2017	91.0%	92.4%	89.4%
Brazil	Perobal	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Perobal	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Pérola	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Pérola	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Pérola d'Oeste	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Pérola d'Oeste	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Perolândia	2000	94.8%	96.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Perolândia	2017	95.0%	96.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Peruíbe	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Peruíbe	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Pescador	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Pescador	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Pesqueira	2000	93.5%	94.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Pesqueira	2017	93.8%	94.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.2%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Petrolina	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Petrolina	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Petrolina de Goiás	2000	94.9%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Petrolina de Goiás	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Petrópolis	2000	96.3%	96.8%	95.6%
Brazil	Petrópolis	2017	96.4%	96.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Piaçabuçu	2000	91.8%	93.1%	90.5%
Brazil	Piaçabuçu	2017	92.1%	93.4%	90.8%
Brazil	Piacatu	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Piacatu	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Piancó	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Piancó	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Piatã	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Piatã	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Piau	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Piau	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Picada Café	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Picada Café	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Piçarra	2000	87.8%	89.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Piçarra	2017	88.2%	90.3%	86.1%
Brazil	Piçarras	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Piçarras	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Picos	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Picos	2017	92.6%	94.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Picuí	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.2%
Brazil	Picuí	2017	96.9%	97.5%	96.4%
Brazil	Piedade	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.5%
Brazil	Piedade	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Brazil	Piedade de Caratinga	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Piedade de Caratinga	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Piedade do Ponte Nova	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Piedade do Ponte Nova	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Piedade do Rio Grande	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Piedade do Rio Grande	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Piedade dos Gerais	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Piedade dos Gerais	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Piên	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Piên	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Pilão Arcado	2000	93.9%	95.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Pilão Arcado	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Pilar	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Pilar	2000	90.6%	91.6%	89.5%
Brazil	Pilar	2017	90.9%	91.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Pilar	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Pilar de Goiás	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Pilar de Goiás	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Pilar do Sul	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Pilar do Sul	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Brazil	Pilões	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Pilões	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Pilões	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Pilões	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Pilõesinhos	2000	94.7%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Pilõesinhos	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pimenta	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Pimenta	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Pimenta	2000	83.9%	85.8%	81.8%
Brazil	Bueno				
Brazil	Pimenta	2017	84.2%	86.1%	82.1%
Brazil	Bueno				
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2000	84.5%	87.3%	81.9%
Brazil	do Oeste				
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2017	84.8%	87.6%	82.2%
Brazil	do Oeste				
Brazil	Pindaí	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Pindaí	2017	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Pindamonhangaba	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Pindamonhangaba	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Pindaré-Mirim	2000	89.0%	90.8%	87.1%
Brazil	Pindaré-Mirim	2017	89.3%	91.1%	87.4%
Brazil	Pindoba	2000	90.8%	91.7%	89.8%
Brazil	Pindoba	2017	91.0%	92.0%	90.1%
Brazil	Pindobaçu	2000	94.7%	96.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Pindobaçu	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Pindorama	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Pindorama	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Pindorama do Tocantins	2000	94.5%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Pindorama do Tocantins	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Pindoretama	2000	92.4%	93.5%	91.0%
Brazil	Pindoretama	2017	92.6%	93.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Pingo d'Água	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Pingo d'Água	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Pinhais	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Brazil	Pinhais	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.7%
Brazil	Pinhal	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Pinhal	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Pinhal	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Brazil	Pinhal	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Pinhal de São Bento	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Pinhal de São Bento	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Pinhal Grande	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Pinhal Grande	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Pinhalão	2000	97.0%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Pinhalão	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Pinhão	2000	94.8%	95.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Pinhão	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Pinhão	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Pinhão	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Pinheiral	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Pinheiral	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Pinheirinho do Vale	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pinheirinho do Vale	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Pinheiro	2000	88.7%	90.7%	86.6%
Brazil	Pinheiro	2017	89.1%	91.1%	87.0%
Brazil	Pinheiro Machado	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Pinheiro Machado	2017	96.4%	97.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Pinheiro Preto	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Pinheiro Preto	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Pinheiros	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Pinheiros	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Pintadas	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Pintadas	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Pintópolis	2000	96.1%	97.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Pintópolis	2017	96.2%	97.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Pio IX	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Pio IX	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Pio XII	2000	89.4%	91.3%	87.5%
Brazil	Pio XII	2017	89.7%	91.6%	87.9%
Brazil	Piquerobi	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Piquerobi	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Piquet Carneiro	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Piquet Carneiro	2017	93.4%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Piquete	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Piquete	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Brazil	Piracaia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Piracaia	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Piracanjuba	2000	95.0%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Piracanjuba	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Piracema	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Piracema	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Piracicaba	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Piracicaba	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Piracununga	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Piracununga	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Piracuruca	2000	91.2%	92.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Piracuruca	2017	91.5%	92.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Piraí	2000	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Piraí	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Piraí do Norte	2000	93.7%	95.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Piraí do Norte	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Piraí do Sul	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Piraí do Sul	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	Pirajuba	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Pirajuba	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Pirajui	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Pirajui	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Pirajuí	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Pirajuí	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Pirambu	2000	92.9%	93.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Pirambu	2017	93.2%	94.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Piranga	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Piranga	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Pirangi	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Pirangi	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Piranguçu	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Piranguçu	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Piranguinho	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Piranguinho	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Piranhas	2000	92.8%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Piranhas	2000	94.0%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Piranhas	2017	94.2%	95.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Piranhas	2017	93.1%	94.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Pirapemas	2000	89.6%	91.2%	87.5%
Brazil	Pirapemas	2017	89.9%	91.5%	87.9%
Brazil	Pirapetinga	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Pirapetinga	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Pirapó	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Pirapó	2017	95.6%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Pirapora	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Pirapora	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Pirapora do Bom Jesus	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Brazil	Pirapora do Bom Jesus	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Pirapozinho	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Pirapozinho	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Piraquara	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Piraquara	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.6%
Brazil	Piraquê	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.7%
Brazil	Piraquê	2017	92.4%	93.8%	91.1%
Brazil	Piratini	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Piratini	2017	96.3%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Piratininga	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Piratininga	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Piratuba	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Piratuba	2017	95.2%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Pirauba	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Pirauba	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Pirenópolis	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Pirenópolis	2017	95.6%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Pires do Rio	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Pires do Rio	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Pires Ferreira	2000	91.6%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Pires Ferreira	2017	91.9%	93.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Piripá	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Piripá	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Piripiri	2000	91.8%	93.1%	90.2%
Brazil	Piripiri	2017	92.0%	93.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Piritiba	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Piritiba	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Pirpirituba	2000	95.2%	95.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Pirpirituba	2017	95.4%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Pitanga	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Pitanga	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Pitanguí	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Pitanguí	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Pitimbu	2000	93.7%	94.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Pitimbu	2017	93.9%	94.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Piui	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Piui	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Pium	2000	91.7%	93.3%	89.9%
Brazil	Pium	2017	91.6%	93.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Piúma	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Piúma	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Placas	2000	79.1%	83.0%	75.1%
Brazil	Placas	2017	79.5%	83.3%	75.5%
Brazil	Plácido de Castro	2000	81.7%	83.5%	79.7%
Brazil	Plácido de Castro	2017	82.2%	83.9%	80.1%
Brazil	Planaltina	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Brazil	Planaltina	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Brazil	Planaltina do Paraná	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Planaltina do Paraná	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Planaltino	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Planaltino	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Planalto Alegre	2000	95.1%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Planalto Alegre	2017	95.3%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Planalto da Serra	2000	92.4%	94.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Planalto da Serra	2017	92.6%	94.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Planura	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Planura	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Platina	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Platina	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Poá	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Poá	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Brazil	Poção	2000	93.8%	94.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Poção	2017	94.0%	94.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Poção de Pedras	2000	90.4%	92.2%	88.7%
Brazil	Poção de Pedras	2017	90.7%	92.4%	89.1%
Brazil	Pocinhos	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Pocinhos	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Poço Branco	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	Poço Branco	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Brazil	Poço Dantas	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Poço Dantas	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Poço das Antas	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Poço das Antas	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Poço das Trincheiras	2000	91.6%	92.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Poço das Trincheiras	2017	91.9%	92.8%	90.8%
Brazil	Poço de José de Moura	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Poço de José de Moura	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Poço Fundo	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Poço Fundo	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Poço Redondo	2000	93.3%	94.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Poço Redondo	2017	93.5%	94.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Poço Verde	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Poço Verde	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Poções	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Poções	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Poconé	2000	92.9%	94.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Poconé	2017	93.1%	94.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Poços de Caldas	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Poços de Caldas	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Pocrane	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Pocrane	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Pojuca	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Pojuca	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Poloni	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.3%
Brazil	Poloni	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Pombal	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Pombal	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Pombos	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Pombos	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Pomerode	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Pomerode	2017	94.2%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Pompéia	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Pompéia	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Pompéu	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Pompéu	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Pongaí	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Pongaí	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Ponta Alta	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Ponta Alta	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Ponta de Pedras	2000	78.1%	80.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Ponta de Pedras	2017	78.6%	80.9%	76.6%
Brazil	Ponta Grossa	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Ponta Grossa	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Ponta Porã	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Ponta Porã	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Pontal	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Pontal	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Brazil	Pontal do Araguaia	2000	93.5%	95.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Pontal do Araguaia	2017	93.7%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Pontal do Paraná	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Pontal do Paraná	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Pontalina	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Pontalina	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Pontalinda	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.5%
Brazil	Pontalinda	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.6%
Brazil	Pontão	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Pontão	2017	96.1%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Bom Jesus	2000	94.3%	95.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Bom Jesus	2017	94.5%	96.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2000	94.0%	95.6%	92.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2017	94.2%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Ponte Branca	2000	93.4%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Ponte Branca	2017	93.6%	95.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Ponte Nova	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Ponte Nova	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Ponte Preta	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Ponte Preta	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Ponte Serrada	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Ponte Serrada	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Pontes e Lacerda	2000	92.1%	94.3%	89.5%
Brazil	Pontes e Lacerda	2017	92.4%	94.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Pontes Gestral	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Pontes Gestral	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Ponto Belo	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Ponto Belo	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Ponto Chique	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Ponto Chique	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Ponto dos Volantes	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Ponto dos Volantes	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Ponto Novo	2000	94.7%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Ponto Novo	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Populina	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Populina	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Poranga	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Poranga	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Porangaba	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Porangaba	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Porangatu	2000	94.6%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Porangatu	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Porciúncula	2000	95.6%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Porciúncula	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Porecatu	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Porecatu	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Portalegre	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Portalegre	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Portao	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Portao	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Porteiras	2000	92.9%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Porteiras	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Porteirinha	2000	95.8%	97.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Porteirinha	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Porteiro	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Porteiro	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Portel	2000	79.1%	82.2%	75.6%
Brazil	Portel	2017	79.5%	82.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Portelândia	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Portelândia	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Porto	2000	90.6%	91.9%	89.1%
Brazil	Porto	2017	90.9%	92.1%	89.4%
Brazil	Porto Acre	2000	81.7%	83.3%	79.8%
Brazil	Porto Acre	2017	82.1%	83.7%	80.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Porto Alegre	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Porto Alegre	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Norte	2000	91.6%	94.3%	88.5%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Norte	2017	91.9%	94.5%	88.9%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Piauí	2000	91.5%	93.5%	89.1%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Piauí	2017	91.8%	93.7%	89.5%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Tocantins	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Tocantins	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Porto Amazonas	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Porto Amazonas	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Porto Barreiro	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Porto Barreiro	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Porto Belo	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Porto Belo	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Porto Calvo	2000	92.3%	93.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Porto Calvo	2017	92.6%	93.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Porto da Folha	2000	93.3%	94.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Porto da Folha	2017	93.5%	94.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Porto de Moz	2000	78.6%	82.1%	75.0%
Brazil	Porto de Moz	2017	79.3%	82.7%	75.7%
Brazil	Porto de Pedras	2000	92.1%	93.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Porto de Pedras	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Porto do Mangue	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Porto do Mangue	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Porto dos Gaúchos	2000	91.7%	93.9%	89.0%
Brazil	Porto dos Gaúchos	2017	91.9%	94.1%	89.4%
Brazil	Porto Esperidião	2000	92.8%	94.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Porto Esperidião	2017	93.1%	95.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Porto Estrela	2000	92.5%	94.3%	90.8%
Brazil	Porto Estrela	2017	92.8%	94.5%	91.1%
Brazil	Porto Feliz	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Porto Feliz	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Porto Ferreira	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Porto Ferreira	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Porto Firme	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Porto Firme	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Porto Franco	2000	92.6%	94.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Porto Franco	2017	92.8%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Porto Grande	2000	86.9%	88.5%	85.2%
Brazil	Porto Grande	2017	87.2%	88.8%	85.6%
Brazil	Porto Lucena	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Porto Lucena	2017	95.7%	96.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Porto Mauá	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Porto Mauá	2017	95.5%	96.7%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Porto Murtinho	2000	95.0%	96.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Porto Murtinho	2017	95.2%	97.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Porto Nacional	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Porto Nacional	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Porto Real	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Porto Real	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Porto Real do Colégio	2000	92.5%	93.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Porto Real do Colégio	2017	92.7%	93.7%	91.7%
Brazil	Porto Rico	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Porto Rico	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Porto Rico do Maranhão	2000	88.4%	90.9%	85.9%
Brazil	Porto Rico do Maranhão	2017	88.8%	91.2%	86.3%
Brazil	Porto Seguro	2000	94.4%	96.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Porto Seguro	2017	94.6%	96.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Porto União	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Porto União	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Porto Velho	2000	83.2%	85.1%	81.6%
Brazil	Porto Velho	2017	83.5%	85.4%	82.0%
Brazil	Porto Vera Cruz	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Porto Vera Cruz	2017	95.5%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Porto Vitória	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Porto Vitória	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Porto Walter	2000	81.4%	84.1%	78.6%
Brazil	Porto Walter	2017	81.8%	84.4%	79.0%
Brazil	Porto Xavier	2000	95.6%	97.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Porto Xavier	2017	95.8%	97.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Posse	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Posse	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Poté	2000	96.3%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Poté	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Potengi	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Potengi	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Potim	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Brazil	Potim	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	Potiraguá	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Potiraguá	2017	95.4%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Potirendaba	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Potirendaba	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Potiretama	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Potiretama	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Pouso Alegre	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Brazil	Pouso Alegre	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Pouso Alto	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Pouso Alto	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Pouso Novo	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Pouso Novo	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Pouso Redondo	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Pouso Redondo	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Poxoréo	2000	92.5%	94.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Poxoréo	2017	92.9%	94.6%	91.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pracinha	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Pracinha	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Pracuúba	2000	86.3%	88.4%	84.0%
Brazil	Pracuúba	2017	86.6%	88.7%	84.3%
Brazil	Prado	2000	94.8%	96.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Prado	2017	95.0%	96.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Prado Ferreira	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Prado Ferreira	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Pradópolis	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Pradópolis	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Prados	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Prados	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Praia Norte	2000	90.2%	91.9%	88.5%
Brazil	Praia Norte	2017	90.5%	92.1%	88.8%
Brazil	Prainha	2000	79.8%	83.6%	76.0%
Brazil	Prainha	2017	80.2%	83.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Pranchita	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Pranchita	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Prata	2000	93.7%	94.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Prata	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Prata	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Prata	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Prata do Piauí	2000	91.2%	92.8%	89.6%
Brazil	Prata do Piauí	2017	91.4%	93.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Pratânia	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Pratânia	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Brazil	Pratópolis	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Pratópolis	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Pratinha	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Pratinha	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Presidente Alves	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Presidente Alves	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2000	91.0%	92.7%	89.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2017	95.5%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2017	91.6%	93.3%	90.0%
Brazil	Presidente Epitácio	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Presidente Epitácio	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Presidente Figueiredo	2000	83.9%	86.5%	81.0%
Brazil	Presidente Figueiredo	2017	84.3%	86.9%	81.5%
Brazil	Presidente Getúlio	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Presidente Getúlio	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Presidente Jânio Quadros	2000	95.5%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Presidente Jânio Quadros	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.8%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	89.4%	91.1%	87.8%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	89.7%	91.4%	88.1%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2000	93.4%	94.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2017	93.6%	95.0%	92.1%
Brazil	Presidente Kubitschek	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Presidente Kubitschek	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Presidente Lucena	2000	95.9%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Presidente Lucena	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2000	84.1%	85.8%	82.3%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2000	86.1%	88.3%	83.6%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2017	84.4%	86.1%	82.7%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2017	86.5%	88.7%	84.1%
Brazil	Presidente Nereu	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Presidente Nereu	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Presidente Olegário	2000	95.6%	97.0%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Presidente Olegário	2017	95.7%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Presidente Prudente	2000	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Presidente Prudente	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Presidente Sarney	2000	87.0%	89.2%	84.5%
Brazil	Presidente Sarney	2017	87.4%	89.5%	84.9%
Brazil	Presidente Tancredo Neves	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Presidente Tancredo Neves	2017	94.5%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Presidente Vargas	2000	89.4%	91.1%	87.6%
Brazil	Presidente Vargas	2017	89.8%	91.3%	88.0%
Brazil	Presidente Venceslau	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Presidente Venceslau	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Primavera	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Primavera	2000	80.1%	82.5%	76.9%
Brazil	Primavera	2017	93.9%	94.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Primavera	2017	80.5%	82.9%	77.3%
Brazil	Primavera de Rondônia	2000	83.8%	86.0%	81.7%
Brazil	Primavera de Rondônia	2017	84.1%	86.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Primavera do Leste	2000	93.1%	94.8%	91.1%
Brazil	Primavera do Leste	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Primeira Cruz	2000	89.2%	91.3%	86.9%
Brazil	Primeira Cruz	2017	89.5%	91.6%	87.3%
Brazil	Primeiro de Maio	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Primeiro de Maio	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Professor Jamil	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Professor Jamil	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Progresso	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Progresso	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Promissão	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Promissão	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Brazil	Propriá	2000	92.9%	93.9%	91.7%
Brazil	Propriá	2017	93.1%	94.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Protásio Alves	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Protásio Alves	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Prudente de Moraes	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Prudente de Moraes	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Prudentópolis	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Prudentópolis	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Pugmil	2000	93.6%	95.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Pugmil	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Pureza	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Brazil	Pureza	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Brazil	Putinga	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Putinga	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Puxinanã	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Puxinanã	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Quadra	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Quadra	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Quaraí	2000	96.1%	97.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Quaraí	2017	96.3%	97.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Quartel Geral	2000	95.6%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Quartel Geral	2017	95.7%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Quarto Centenário	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Quarto Centenário	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Quatá	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Quatá	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Quatiguá	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Quatiguá	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Quatipuru	2000	80.2%	82.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Quatipuru	2017	80.6%	83.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Quatis	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Quatis	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Quatro Barras	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Brazil	Quatro Barras	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Brazil	Quatro Pontes	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Quatro Pontes	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Quebrangulo	2000	90.8%	91.9%	89.6%
Brazil	Quebrangulo	2017	91.1%	92.1%	89.9%
Brazil	Quedas do Iguaçu	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Quedas do Iguaçu	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Queimada Nova	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Queimada Nova	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Queimadas	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Queimadas	2000	94.9%	95.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Queimadas	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Queimadas	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Queimados	2000	95.0%	95.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Queimados	2017	95.2%	95.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Queiroz	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Queiroz	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Queluz	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Queluz	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Queluzita	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Queluzita	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Querência	2000	92.0%	94.4%	89.1%
Brazil	Querência	2017	92.7%	94.9%	90.0%
Brazil	Querência do Norte	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Querência do Norte	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Quevedos	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Quevedos	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Quijingue	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Quijingue	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Quilombo	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Quilombo	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Quinta do Sol	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Quinta do Sol	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Quinze de Novembro	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Quinze de Novembro	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Quipapá	2000	93.1%	94.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Quipapá	2017	93.3%	94.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Quirinópolis	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Quirinópolis	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Quissamã	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Quissamã	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Quitana	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Quitana	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Quitandinha	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Quitandinha	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Quiterianópolis	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Quiterianópolis	2017	93.5%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Quixabá	2000	93.3%	94.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Quixabá	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Quixabá	2017	93.6%	94.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Quixabá	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Quixabeira	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Quixabeira	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Quixada	2000	94.1%	95.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Quixada	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Quixelô	2000	93.6%	94.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Quixelô	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Quixeramobim	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Quixeramobim	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Quixeré	2000	94.8%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Quixeré	2017	95.0%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Rafael Fernandes	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Rafael Fernandes	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Rafael Godeiro	2000	95.8%	96.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Rafael Godeiro	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Rafael Jambeiro	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Rafael Jambeiro	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Rafard	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Rafard	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Ramilândia	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Ramilândia	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Rancharia	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Rancharia	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre d'Oeste	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rancho Alegre d'Oeste	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Rancho Queimado	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Rancho Queimado	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Raposa	2000	89.8%	91.4%	88.0%
Brazil	Raposa	2017	90.2%	91.7%	88.4%
Brazil	Raposos	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Raposos	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Raul Soares	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Raul Soares	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Realeza	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Realeza	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Rebouças	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Rebouças	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Recife	2000	93.1%	94.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Recife	2017	93.3%	94.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Recreio	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Recreio	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Recursolândia	2000	93.7%	95.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Recursolândia	2017	94.0%	95.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Redenção	2000	85.7%	88.6%	82.2%
Brazil	Redenção	2000	92.7%	93.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Redenção	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Redenção	2017	86.1%	88.9%	82.7%
Brazil	Redenção da Serra	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Redenção da Serra	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Redenção do Gurguéia	2000	91.5%	93.6%	88.7%
Brazil	Redenção do Gurguéia	2017	91.8%	93.8%	89.1%
Brazil	Redentora	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Redentora	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Reduto	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Reduto	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Regeneração	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.8%
Brazil	Regeneração	2017	91.8%	93.3%	90.1%
Brazil	Regente Feijó	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Regente Feijó	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Reginópolis	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Reginópolis	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Registro	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Registro	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Relvado	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Relvado	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Remanso	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Remanso	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Remígio	2000	94.6%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Remígio	2017	94.8%	95.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Renascença	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Renascença	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Reriutaba	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Reriutaba	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Resende	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Resende	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.9%
Brazil	Resende Costa	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Resende Costa	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Reserva	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Reserva	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Reserva do Cabaçal	2000	93.2%	95.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Reserva do Cabaçal	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Reserva do Iguaçu	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Reserva do Iguaçu	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Resplendor	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Resplendor	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Ressaquinha	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Ressaquinha	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Restinga	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Restinga	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Restinga Seca	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Restinga Seca	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Retirolândia	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Retirolândia	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Riachão	2000	93.0%	94.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Riachão	2017	93.3%	94.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Riachão das Neves	2000	94.3%	96.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Riachão das Neves	2017	94.5%	96.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Riachão do Bacamarte	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Riachão do Bacamarte	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.9%
Brazil	Riachao do dantas	2000	94.6%	95.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Riachao do dantas	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Riachao do Jacuipe	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Riachao do Jacuipe	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Riachão do Poço	2000	94.6%	95.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Riachão do Poço	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Riachinho	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Riachinho	2000	96.3%	97.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Riachinho	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.9%
Brazil	Riachinho	2017	96.6%	97.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Riacho	2000	94.1%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Riacho	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Riacho da Cruz	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Riacho da Cruz	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Riacho das Almas	2000	94.1%	95.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Riacho das Almas	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2000	95.0%	96.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2017	95.2%	96.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Riacho de Santo Antônio	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Riacho de Santo Antônio	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Riacho dos Machados	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Riacho dos Machados	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Riacho Frio	2000	92.5%	94.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Riacho Frio	2017	92.8%	94.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2000	93.7%	94.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2017	93.9%	94.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Rialma	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Rialma	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Rianópolis	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Rianópolis	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Ribamar Fiquene	2000	91.7%	93.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Ribamar Fiquene	2017	92.0%	93.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Ribas do Rio Pardo	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Ribas do Rio Pardo	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Ribeira	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Ribeira	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Ribeira do Amparo	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Ribeira do Amparo	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Ribeira do Piauí	2000	91.9%	93.3%	89.9%
Brazil	Ribeira do Piauí	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.2%
Brazil	Ribeira do Pombal	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Ribeira do Pombal	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2000	92.3%	93.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2017	92.6%	93.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão Branco	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão Branco	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão Cascalheira	2000	93.2%	95.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Ribeirão Cascalheira	2017	93.3%	95.4%	90.9%
Brazil	Ribeirão Claro	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão Claro	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão Corrente	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ribeirão Corrente	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Ribeirão das Neves	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão das Neves	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Largo	2000	95.5%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Largo	2017	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Pinhal	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Pinhal	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Sul	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Sul	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão dos índios	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão dos índios	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão Grande	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão Grande	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão Pires	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Ribeirão Pires	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Ribeirao Preto	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Brazil	Ribeirao Preto	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão Vermelho	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Ribeirão Vermelho	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Ribeirãozinho	2000	93.4%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Ribeirãozinho	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Ribeiro Gonçalves	2000	91.6%	93.7%	89.2%
Brazil	Ribeiro Gonçalves	2017	91.8%	93.9%	89.4%
Brazil	Ribeirópolis	2000	94.9%	95.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Ribeirópolis	2017	95.1%	95.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Ricaho dos Cavalos	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Ricaho dos Cavalos	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Rifaina	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Brazil	Rifaina	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Rincão	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Rincão	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Rinópolis	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Rinópolis	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Rio Acima	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Rio Acima	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Rio Azul	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Rio Azul	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Rio Bananal	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Rio Bananal	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Rio Bom	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Rio Bom	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rio Bonito	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Rio Bonito	2017	95.6%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Rio Bonito do Iguaçu	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Rio Bonito do Iguaçu	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2000	92.4%	94.3%	90.2%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2000	81.1%	82.3%	79.5%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2017	81.5%	82.7%	80.0%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Ivaí	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Ivaí	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Sul	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Sul	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.5%
Brazil	Rio Brilhante	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Rio Brilhante	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Rio Casca	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Rio Casca	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2000	96.7%	97.2%	96.1%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2017	96.8%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Rio Crespo	2000	82.7%	84.5%	80.4%
Brazil	Rio Crespo	2017	83.0%	84.8%	80.7%
Brazil	Rio da Conceição	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Rio da Conceição	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Rio das Antas	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Rio das Antas	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Rio das Flores	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Rio das Flores	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Rio das Ostras	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Rio das Ostras	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Rio das Pedras	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Rio das Pedras	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Rio de Contas	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Rio de Contas	2017	95.3%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Rio de Janeiro	2000	95.8%	96.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Rio de Janeiro	2017	95.9%	96.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Rio do Antônio	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Rio do Antônio	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Rio do Campo	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Rio do Campo	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Rio do Fogo	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Rio do Fogo	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Brazil	Rio do Oeste	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Rio do Oeste	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Rio do Pires	2000	95.2%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Rio do Pires	2017	95.4%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Rio do Prado	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Rio do Prado	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Rio do Sul	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Rio do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rio doce	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Rio doce	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Rio dos Bois	2000	93.1%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Rio dos Bois	2017	93.4%	95.0%	91.9%
Brazil	Rio dos Cedros	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Rio dos Cedros	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Rio dos índios	2000	95.1%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Rio dos índios	2017	95.3%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Rio Espera	2000	96.0%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Rio Espera	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Rio Formoso	2000	91.2%	92.4%	89.8%
Brazil	Rio Formoso	2017	91.5%	92.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Rio Fortuna	2000	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Rio Fortuna	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Rio Grande	2000	95.8%	97.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Rio Grande	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Rio Grande da Serra	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Rio Grande da Serra	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Rio Grande do Piauí	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Rio Grande do Piauí	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Rio Largo	2000	92.5%	93.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Rio Largo	2017	92.7%	93.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Rio Manso	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Rio Manso	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Rio Maria	2000	82.7%	85.8%	79.1%
Brazil	Rio Maria	2017	83.2%	86.2%	79.5%
Brazil	Rio Negrinho	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Rio Negrinho	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Rio Novo	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Rio Novo	2017	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Rio Novo do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Rio Novo do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2000	95.9%	97.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Rio Pardo	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Rio Pardo	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Rio Pardo de Minas	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Rio Pardo de Minas	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Rio Pomba	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Rio Pomba	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Rio Preto	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Rio Preto	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Rio Preto da Eva	2000	81.7%	83.8%	79.5%
Brazil	Rio Preto da Eva	2017	82.2%	84.3%	80.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rio Quente	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Rio Quente	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Rio Real	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Rio Real	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Rio Rufino	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Rio Rufino	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Rio Sono	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Rio Sono	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Rio Tinto	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Rio Tinto	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Rio Verde	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Rio Verde	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Rio Verde de Mato Grosso	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Rio Verde de Mato Grosso	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Rio Vermelho	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Rio Vermelho	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Riolândia	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Riolândia	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Riozinho	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Riozinho	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Riqueza	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Riqueza	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Ritópolis	2000	96.1%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Ritópolis	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Riversul	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Riversul	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Roca Sales	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Roca Sales	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Rochedo	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Rochedo	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Rochedo de Minas	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Rochedo de Minas	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Rodeio	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Rodeio	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Rodeio Bonito	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Rodeio Bonito	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Rodeiro	2000	95.9%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Rodeiro	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Rodelas	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Rodelas	2017	93.5%	94.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Rodolfo	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Rodolfo Fernandes	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Rodrigues Alves	2000	82.1%	84.4%	79.7%
Brazil	Rodrigues Alves	2017	82.5%	84.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Rolândia	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Rolândia	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Rolante	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Rolante	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Rolim de Moura	2000	84.4%	86.4%	82.4%
Brazil	Rolim de Moura	2017	84.7%	86.6%	82.7%
Brazil	Romaria	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Romaria	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Romelândia	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Romelândia	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Roncador	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Roncador	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Ronda Alta	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Ronda Alta	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Rondinha	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Rondinha	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Rondon	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Rondon	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Rondon do Pará	2000	85.7%	88.0%	83.5%
Brazil	Rondon do Pará	2017	86.1%	88.3%	83.9%
Brazil	Rondonópolis	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Rondonópolis	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Roque Gonzales	2000	95.6%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Roque Gonzales	2017	95.7%	97.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Rorainópolis	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Rorainópolis	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Rosana	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Rosana	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Rosário	2000	89.6%	91.1%	87.7%
Brazil	Rosário	2017	89.9%	91.4%	88.1%
Brazil	Rosário da Limeira	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Rosário da Limeira	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Rosário do Catete	2000	93.2%	94.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Rosário do Catete	2017	93.4%	94.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Rosário do Ivaí	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Rosário do Ivaí	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Rosário do Sul	2000	96.4%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Rosário do Sul	2017	96.5%	97.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Rosário Oeste	2000	92.4%	94.1%	90.6%
Brazil	Rosário Oeste	2017	92.7%	94.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Roseira	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	Roseira	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Brazil	Roteiro	2000	91.0%	92.1%	89.7%
Brazil	Roteiro	2017	91.0%	92.1%	89.8%
Brazil	Rubelita	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Rubelita	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Rubiácea	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Rubiácea	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Rubiataba	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Rubiataba	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Rubim	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Rubim	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Rubinéia	2000	96.8%	97.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Rubinéia	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Rurópolis	2000	79.5%	83.5%	75.3%
Brazil	Rurópolis	2017	79.9%	83.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Russas	2000	94.4%	95.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Russas	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Sabar	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Sabar	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Sabudia	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Sabudia	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Sabino	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Sabino	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Sabinpolis	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Sabinpolis	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Saboeiro	2000	93.0%	94.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Saboeiro	2017	93.3%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Sacramento	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Sacramento	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Sagrada Famlia	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Sagrada Famlia	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Sagres	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Sagres	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Brazil	Sair	2000	94.3%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Sair	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Saldanha Maranho	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Saldanha Maranho	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Sales	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.7%
Brazil	Sales	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Sales Oliveira	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Sales Oliveira	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	Salespolis	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Salespolis	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Saete	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Saete	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2000	93.2%	94.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Salgado	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Salgado	2017	94.7%	95.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Salgado de So Flix	2000	94.1%	94.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Salgado de So Flix	2017	94.3%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Salgado Filho	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Salgado Filho	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Salgueiro	2000	93.2%	94.4%	91.8%
Brazil	Salgueiro	2017	93.4%	94.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Salido	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Salido	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Salinas	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Salinas	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Salinas da Margarida	2000	93.4%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Salinas da Margarida	2017	93.6%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Salinpolis	2000	79.4%	82.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Salinpolis	2017	79.8%	82.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Salitre	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.5%
Brazil	Salitre	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Salmouro	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Salmouro	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Saloá	2000	92.6%	93.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Saloá	2017	92.9%	93.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Saltinho	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Saltinho	2000	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Saltinho	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Saltinho	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Salto	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Salto	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Salto da Divisa	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Salto da Divisa	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Salto do Céu	2000	93.1%	94.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Salto do Céu	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Salto do Itararé	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Salto do Itararé	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Salto do Jacuí	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Salto do Jacuí	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Salto do Londera	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Salto do Londera	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Salto do Pirapora	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Salto do Pirapora	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Salto Grande	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Salto Grande	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Salto Veloso	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Salto Veloso	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Salvador	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Salvador	2017	94.1%	95.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Salvador das Missões	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Salvador das Missões	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Salvador do Sul	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Salvador do Sul	2017	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Salvaterra	2000	78.2%	80.5%	75.7%
Brazil	Salvaterra	2017	78.6%	80.9%	76.2%
Brazil	Sambaíba	2000	91.5%	93.6%	89.2%
Brazil	Sambaíba	2017	91.8%	93.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Sampaio	2000	89.5%	91.3%	87.6%
Brazil	Sampaio	2017	89.8%	91.6%	88.0%
Brazil	San Antonio do Itambe	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	San Antonio do Itambe	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	San Antonio do Rio Abai	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	San Antonio do Rio Abai	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Sananduva	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Sananduva	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Sanclerlândia	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Sanclerlândia	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Sandolândia	2000	93.7%	95.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Sandolândia	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sandovalina	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Sandovalina	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Sangão	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Sangão	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Sanharó	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Sanharó	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Adélia	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Santa Adélia	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Santa Albertina	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Santa Albertina	2017	97.1%	98.0%	95.9%
Brazil	Santa Amélia	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Santa Amélia	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara d'Oeste	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara de Goiás	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara de Goiás	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Leste	2000	96.0%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Leste	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Monte Verde	2000	96.3%	96.9%	95.5%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Monte Verde	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Pará	2000	77.9%	79.8%	75.9%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Pará	2017	78.3%	80.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Sul	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Sul	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Tugúrio	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Tugúrio	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Santa Branca	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Santa Branca	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Santa Brígida	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Santa Brígida	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Santa Carmem	2000	92.5%	94.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Santa Carmem	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2000	93.8%	94.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Santa Cecília do Pavão	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Cecília do Pavão	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Oeste	2000	96.8%	97.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Oeste	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.7%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Sul	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2000	96.9%	97.3%	96.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2017	96.9%	97.4%	96.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2000	94.5%	96.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Cabrália				
Brazil	Santa Cruz Cabrália	2017	94.7%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Baixa Verde	2000	93.2%	94.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Baixa Verde	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Conceição	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Conceição	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Esperança	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Esperança	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Vitória	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Vitória	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz das Palmeiras	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz das Palmeiras	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Goiás	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Goiás	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Minas	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Minas	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Monte Caste	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Monte Caste	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Salinas	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Salinas	2017	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Arari	2000	78.9%	82.4%	75.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Arari	2017	79.3%	82.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Capibaribe	2000	94.0%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Capibaribe	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Escalvado	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Escalvado	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Piaui	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Piaui	2017	92.1%	93.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Rio Pardo	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Rio Pardo	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Sul	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz dos Milagres	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz dos Milagres	2017	91.7%	93.3%	89.8%
Brazil	Santa Efigênia de Minas	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Santa Efigênia de Minas	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Ernestina	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Santa Ernestina	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Santa Fé	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Santa Fé	2017	96.8%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Goiás	2000	91.0%	92.8%	89.0%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Goiás	2017	91.3%	93.1%	89.4%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Minas	2000	96.1%	97.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Minas	2017	96.2%	97.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Araguaia	2000	90.7%	92.4%	88.8%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Araguaia	2017	91.0%	92.6%	89.2%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Sul	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Sul	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2000	91.7%	93.8%	89.2%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.6%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2017	92.0%	94.1%	89.5%
Brazil	Santa Filomena do Maranhão	2000	93.9%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Santa Filomena do Maranhão	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Santa Gertrudes	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Gertrudes	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	94.0%	94.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	87.2%	89.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	87.5%	89.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Goiás	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Goiás	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Minas	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Minas	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	89.3%	91.2%	87.4%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	93.2%	94.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	96.9%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	89.7%	91.4%	87.8%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Ivaí	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Ivaí	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Oeste	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Oeste	2017	96.2%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Pará	2000	78.5%	80.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Pará	2017	78.9%	80.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Rio Negro	2000	85.9%	90.4%	80.8%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Rio Negro	2017	86.6%	90.9%	81.6%
Brazil	Santa Juliana	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Juliana	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Leopoldina	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Santa Leopoldina	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Santa Lucia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Santa Lucia	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Santa Lúcia	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Lúcia	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Santa Luz	2000	91.6%	93.7%	89.2%
Brazil	Santa Luz	2017	91.9%	94.0%	89.5%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	89.4%	91.1%	87.4%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	94.6%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	89.7%	91.4%	87.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	94.8%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Santa Luzia d'Oeste	2000	84.6%	86.5%	82.5%
Brazil	Santa Luzia d'Oeste	2017	84.9%	86.8%	82.9%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Itanhy	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Itanhy	2017	94.0%	94.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Norte	2000	89.8%	90.7%	88.6%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Norte	2017	90.1%	91.0%	88.9%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Pará	2000	79.7%	82.3%	76.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Pará	2017	80.2%	82.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Paruá	2000	86.8%	88.8%	84.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Paruá	2017	87.1%	89.1%	84.6%
Brazil	Santa Margarida	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Margarida	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Boa Vista	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Boa Vista	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Serra	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Serra	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Vitória	2000	95.3%	97.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Vitória	2017	95.5%	97.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria das Barreiras	2000	86.6%	89.0%	83.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria das Barreiras	2017	86.9%	89.3%	84.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Itabira	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Itabira	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Jetibá	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Jetibá	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Cambucá	2000	94.1%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Cambucá	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Herval	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Maria do Herval	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Oeste	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Oeste	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Pará	2000	78.4%	80.8%	75.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Pará	2017	78.8%	81.2%	76.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Salto	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Salto	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Suaçuí	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Suaçuí	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Tocantins	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Tocantins	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria Madalena	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria Madalena	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Mariana	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Santa Mariana	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Mercedes	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Santa Mercedes	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Santa Mônica	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Santa Mônica	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria	2017	93.1%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria do Maranhão	2000	90.7%	92.3%	89.1%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria do Maranhão	2017	91.0%	92.6%	89.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2000	89.4%	91.2%	87.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2017	89.7%	91.4%	88.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Araguaia	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Araguaia	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Caldas	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Caldas	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Cássia	2000	93.8%	95.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Cássia	2017	94.0%	95.7%	91.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Rita de Jacutinga	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Jacutinga	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Minas	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Minas	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Ibitipoca	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Ibitipoca	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Novo destino	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Novo destino	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Oeste	2000	97.0%	98.0%	95.8%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Oeste	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Pardo	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Pardo	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Passa Quatro	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Passa Quatro	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Sapucaí	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Sapucaí	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Tocantins	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Tocantins	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita Itueto	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita Itueto	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Santa Rosa	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Rosa da Serra	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Santa Rosa da Serra	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Goiás	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Goiás	2017	95.0%	96.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	94.0%	94.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	94.2%	94.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	95.1%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Piauí	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.2%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Piauí	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Purus	2000	80.9%	84.0%	77.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Purus	2017	81.3%	84.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Sul	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Tocantins	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Tocantins	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Santa Salete	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
Brazil	Santa Salete	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Brazil	Santa Teresa	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Teresa	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2017	95.4%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Tereza	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Santa Tereza	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Santa Tereza de Goiás	2000	94.3%	95.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Santa Tereza de Goiás	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Oeste	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Oeste	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Tocantins	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Tocantins	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	90.2%	92.8%	87.2%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	90.6%	93.1%	87.5%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Goiás	2000	94.4%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Goiás	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Itaipu	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Itaipu	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Progresso	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Progresso	2017	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Tocantins	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Tocantins	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Santa Vitória	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Santa Vitória	2017	95.7%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Santa Vitória do Palmar	2000	95.7%	97.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Santa Vitória do Palmar	2017	95.9%	97.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Santaluz	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Santaluz	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Santana	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Santana	2000	85.5%	86.6%	84.4%
Brazil	Santana	2000	94.9%	96.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Santana	2017	85.9%	86.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Santana	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Santana	2017	95.1%	96.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Santana da Boa Vista	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Santana da Boa Vista	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Santana da Ponte Pensa	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Santana da Ponte Pensa	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Santana da Vargem	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Santana da Vargem	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Santana de Cataguases	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Santana de Cataguases	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Santana de Mangueira	2000	93.3%	94.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Santana de Mangueira	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Santana de Parnaíba	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Brazil	Santana de Parnaíba	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Santana de Pirapama	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Santana de Pirapama	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Santana do Acaraú	2000	92.3%	93.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Santana do Acaraú	2017	92.5%	93.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Santana do Araguaia	2000	88.5%	90.9%	85.5%
Brazil	Santana do Araguaia	2017	88.8%	91.2%	85.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santana do Cariri	2000	93.2%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Santana do Cariri	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Santana do deserto	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Santana do deserto	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Santana do Garambéu	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Santana do Garambéu	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Santana do Ipanema	2000	91.8%	92.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Santana do Ipanema	2017	92.0%	93.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Santana do Itararé	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Santana do Itararé	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Santana do Jacaré	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Santana do Jacaré	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Santana do Livramento	2000	96.2%	97.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Santana do Livramento	2017	96.3%	97.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Santana do Manhuaçu	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Santana do Manhuaçu	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Santana do Maranhão	2000	90.5%	92.2%	88.7%
Brazil	Santana do Maranhão	2017	90.8%	92.5%	89.0%
Brazil	Santana do Matos	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Santana do Matos	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Brazil	Santana do Mundaú	2000	91.3%	92.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Santana do Mundaú	2017	91.6%	92.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Santana do Paraíso	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Santana do Paraíso	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Santana do Piauí	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Santana do Piauí	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Santana do Riacho	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Santana do Riacho	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Santana do São Francisco	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Santana do São Francisco	2017	92.9%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Santana dos Garrotes	2000	93.2%	94.3%	92.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santana dos Garrotes	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Santana dos Montes	2000	96.0%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Santana dos Montes	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Santanópolis	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Santanópolis	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	79.0%	82.2%	75.9%
Brazil	Santarém	2017	79.5%	82.6%	76.4%
Brazil	Santarém	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	79.7%	81.8%	76.5%
Brazil	Novo Santarém	2017	80.1%	82.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Novo Santiago	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Santiago	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Santiago do Sul	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Santiago do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Santo Afonso	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.4%
Brazil	Santo Afonso	2017	92.8%	94.4%	90.7%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	da Imperatriz	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Santo Amaro da Imperatriz	2000	93.2%	94.0%	92.4%
Brazil	Santo Amaro das Brotas	2017	93.5%	94.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Santo Amaro do Maranhão	2000	89.4%	91.5%	87.0%
Brazil	Santo Amaro do Maranhão	2017	89.7%	91.8%	87.4%
Brazil	Santo Anastácio	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Santo Anastácio	2017	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Santo André	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Santo André	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Santo André	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Santo André	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Brazil	Santo Ângelo	2000	96.3%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Santo Ângelo	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2017	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	da Alegria	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Alegria	2000	94.7%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Barra	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Barra	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Patrulha	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Antonio da Platina	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Santo Antonio da Platina	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio das Missões	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio das Missões	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Goiás	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Goiás	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Jesus	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Jesus	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Lisboa	2000	91.7%	93.3%	90.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Lisboa	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Pádua	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Pádua	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Posse	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Posse	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Amparo	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Amparo	2017	96.0%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aracanguá	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aracanguá	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aventureiro	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aventureiro	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.3%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Caiuá	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Caiuá	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Descoberto	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Descoberto	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Grama	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Grama	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Içá	2000	80.7%	84.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Içá	2017	81.3%	84.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Jacinto	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Jacinto	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Leverger	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Leverger	2017	93.0%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Monte	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Monte	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Palma	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Palma	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Paraíso	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Paraíso	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Pinhal	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Pinhal	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Planalto	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Planalto	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Retiro	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Retiro	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Tauá	2000	78.3%	80.4%	76.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Tauá	2017	78.8%	80.9%	76.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Lopes	2000	90.6%	92.0%	88.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Lopes	2017	90.9%	92.3%	89.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Milagres	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Milagres	2017	92.6%	94.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Santo Augusto	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Santo Augusto	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Santo Cristo	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Santo Cristo	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Santo Estêvão	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Santo Estêvão	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Santo Expedito	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Santo Expedito	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Santo Expedito do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Santo Expedito do Sul	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Santo Hipólito	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Santo Hipólito	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Santo Inácio	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Santo Inácio	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Santo Inácio do Piauí	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Inácio do Piauí	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Santópolis do Aguapeí	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Santópolis do Aguapeí	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Santos	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Santos	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Santos Dumont	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Santos Dumont	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	São Antonio de Sudoeste	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	São Antonio de Sudoeste	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	São Benedito	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.4%
Brazil	São Benedito	2017	93.0%	94.1%	91.7%
Brazil	São Benedito do Rio Preto	2000	90.1%	91.8%	88.1%
Brazil	São Benedito do Rio Preto	2017	90.4%	92.1%	88.4%
Brazil	São Benedito do Sul	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.6%
Brazil	São Benedito do Sul	2017	92.9%	93.8%	91.9%
Brazil	São Bentinho	2000	94.3%	95.2%	93.3%
Brazil	São Bentinho	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.5%
Brazil	São Bento	2000	88.9%	90.6%	86.8%
Brazil	São Bento	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	São Bento	2017	89.2%	90.9%	87.1%
Brazil	São Bento	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	São Bento Abade	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	São Bento Abade	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	São Bento do Norte	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	São Bento do Norte	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	São Bento do Sapucaí	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.6%
Brazil	São Bento do Sapucaí	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	São Bento do Sul	2000	95.9%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	São Bento do Sul	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	São Bento do Tocantins	2000	92.0%	93.3%	90.2%
Brazil	São Bento do Tocantins	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.5%
Brazil	São Bento do Trairí	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Brazil	São Bento do Trairí	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	São Bento do Una	2000	93.8%	94.6%	93.0%
Brazil	São Bento do Una	2017	94.0%	94.8%	93.2%
Brazil	São Bernardino	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Bernardino	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	São Bernardo	2000	90.6%	92.2%	88.9%
Brazil	São Bernardo	2017	90.9%	92.5%	89.3%
Brazil	São Bernardo do Campo	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	São Bernardo do Campo	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	São Bonifácio	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	São Bonifácio	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	São Borja	2000	95.8%	97.3%	93.5%
Brazil	São Borja	2017	95.9%	97.4%	93.7%
Brazil	São Brás	2000	92.8%	93.7%	91.7%
Brazil	São Brás	2017	93.0%	93.9%	92.0%
Brazil	São Brás do Suaçuí	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	São Brás do Suaçuí	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	São Braz do Piauí	2000	93.0%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	São Braz do Piauí	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.3%
Brazil	São Caetano de Odivelas	2000	78.1%	80.6%	75.5%
Brazil	São Caetano de Odivelas	2017	78.5%	81.0%	75.9%
Brazil	São Caetano do Sul	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Brazil	São Caetano do Sul	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Brazil	São Caitano	2000	94.1%	94.8%	93.3%
Brazil	São Caitano	2017	94.3%	95.0%	93.5%
Brazil	São Carlos	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	São Carlos	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	São Carlos	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	São Carlos	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	São Carlos do Ivaí	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	São Carlos do Ivaí	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	São Cristóvão	2000	93.9%	94.5%	93.1%
Brazil	São Cristóvão	2017	94.1%	94.7%	93.3%
Brazil	São Cristóvão do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	São Cristóvão do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	São Desidério	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.8%
Brazil	São Desidério	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	94.6%	95.3%	93.9%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	95.4%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.1%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	São Domingos das Dores	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	São Domingos das Dores	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	São Domingos de Pombal	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Domingos de Pombal	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	São Domingos do Araguaia	2000	85.9%	87.9%	83.7%
Brazil	São Domingos do Araguaia	2017	86.3%	88.2%	84.1%
Brazil	São Domingos do Azeitão	2000	91.6%	93.5%	89.3%
Brazil	São Domingos do Azeitão	2017	92.3%	94.1%	90.2%
Brazil	São Domingos do Capim	2000	77.0%	79.5%	74.6%
Brazil	São Domingos do Capim	2017	77.5%	80.0%	75.1%
Brazil	São Domingos do Cariri	2000	94.1%	95.1%	93.0%
Brazil	São Domingos do Cariri	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.2%
Brazil	São Domingos do Maranhão	2000	91.6%	93.3%	89.6%
Brazil	São Domingos do Maranhão	2017	91.8%	93.5%	90.0%
Brazil	São Domingos do Norte	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	São Domingos do Norte	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	São Domingos do Prata	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	São Domingos do Prata	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	São Domingos do Sul	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	São Domingos do Sul	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	São Felipe	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	São Felipe	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	São Felipe d'Oeste	2000	85.0%	86.9%	82.5%
Brazil	São Felipe d'Oeste	2017	85.2%	87.1%	82.7%
Brazil	São Félix	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	São Félix	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	São Félix de Balsas	2000	91.4%	93.4%	89.0%
Brazil	São Félix de Balsas	2017	91.7%	93.6%	89.3%
Brazil	São Félix de Minas	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	São Félix de Minas	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	São Félix do Coribe	2000	95.3%	97.0%	93.2%
Brazil	São Félix do Coribe	2017	95.5%	97.1%	93.5%
Brazil	São Félix do Piauí	2000	91.3%	93.0%	89.6%
Brazil	São Félix do Piauí	2017	91.5%	93.2%	89.9%
Brazil	São Félix do Tocantins	2000	93.6%	95.5%	91.3%
Brazil	São Félix do Tocantins	2017	93.8%	95.7%	91.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Félix do Xingu	2000	79.1%	82.9%	75.4%
Brazil	São Félix do Xingu	2017	79.5%	83.3%	75.9%
Brazil	São Félix Xingu	2000	92.0%	94.2%	89.2%
Brazil	São Félix Xingu	2017	92.3%	94.4%	89.5%
Brazil	São Fernando	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	São Fernando	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.3%
Brazil	São Fidélis	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	São Fidélis	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	93.5%	94.3%	92.5%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	93.7%	94.5%	92.8%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.5%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.1%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis do Piauí	2000	92.8%	94.3%	90.5%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis do Piauí	2017	93.0%	94.5%	90.8%
Brazil	São Francisco de Goiás	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	São Francisco de Goiás	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	São Francisco de Itabapoana	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	São Francisco de Itabapoana	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	São Francisco de Oliveira	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	São Francisco de Oliveira	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	São Francisco de Paula	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	São Francisco de Paula	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	São Francisco de Sales	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	São Francisco de Sales	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Brejão	2000	90.8%	92.6%	89.0%
Brazil	São Francisco do Brejão	2017	91.1%	92.8%	89.4%
Brazil	São Francisco do Conde	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	São Francisco do Conde	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Glória	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	São Francisco do Glória	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Francisco do Guaporé	2000	84.6%	86.6%	82.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Guaporé	2017	84.9%	86.9%	82.5%
Brazil	São Francisco do Maranhão	2000	91.6%	93.1%	89.9%
Brazil	São Francisco do Maranhão	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.3%
Brazil	São Francisco do Oeste	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	São Francisco do Oeste	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Pará	2000	77.8%	80.3%	75.1%
Brazil	São Francisco do Pará	2017	78.3%	80.8%	75.7%
Brazil	São Francisco do Piauí	2000	91.7%	93.2%	90.0%
Brazil	São Francisco do Piauí	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.3%
Brazil	São Francisco do Sul	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	São Francisco do Sul	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2000	95.3%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	São Gabriel da Palha	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	São Gabriel da Palha	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	São Gabriel de Cahoeira	2000	82.6%	87.7%	76.9%
Brazil	São Gabriel de Cahoeira	2017	83.1%	88.0%	77.5%
Brazil	São Gabriel do Oeste	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	São Gabriel do Oeste	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	São Geraldo	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	São Geraldo	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	São Geraldo da Piedade	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	São Geraldo da Piedade	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Araguaia	2000	89.2%	91.1%	87.2%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Araguaia	2017	89.4%	91.3%	87.5%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Baixo	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Baixo	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	São Gonçalo	2000	95.5%	96.0%	94.8%
Brazil	São Gonçalo	2017	95.7%	96.2%	95.0%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Abaeté	2000	95.6%	97.1%	93.8%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Abaeté	2017	95.8%	97.2%	94.0%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2000	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2000	92.0%	93.0%	90.6%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.8%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2017	92.2%	93.3%	90.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Gurguéia	2000	93.1%	94.9%	90.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Gurguéia	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Pará	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Pará	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Piauí	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Piauí	2017	92.1%	93.6%	90.4%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Rio Abaixo	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Rio Abaixo	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Sapucaí	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Sapucaí	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo dos Campos	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	São Gonçalo dos Campos	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	São Gotardo	2000	95.8%	97.2%	93.9%
Brazil	São Gotardo	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.1%
Brazil	São Jerônimo	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	São Jerônimo	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	São Jerônimo da Serra	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	São Jerônimo da Serra	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	São João	2000	93.8%	94.4%	92.9%
Brazil	São João	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	São João	2017	94.0%	94.6%	93.2%
Brazil	São João	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	São João Batista	2000	88.9%	90.9%	86.9%
Brazil	São João Batista	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	São João Batista	2017	89.3%	91.2%	87.3%
Brazil	São João Batista	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	São João Batista do Glória	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	São João Batista do Glória	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	São João d'Aliança	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	São João d'Aliança	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
Brazil	São João da Baliza	2000	95.5%	96.6%	93.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João da Baliza	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	São João da Barra	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	São João da Barra	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	São João da Boa Vista	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	São João da Boa Vista	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Brazil	São João da Canabrava	2000	92.1%	93.7%	90.4%
Brazil	São João da Canabrava	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.6%
Brazil	São João da Fronteira	2000	92.3%	93.5%	90.8%
Brazil	São João da Fronteira	2017	92.6%	93.7%	91.2%
Brazil	São João da Lagoa	2000	95.8%	97.2%	93.9%
Brazil	São João da Lagoa	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.2%
Brazil	São João da Mata	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	São João da Mata	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	São João da Paraúna	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	São João da Paraúna	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	São João da Ponta	2000	77.9%	80.3%	75.4%
Brazil	São João da Ponta	2017	78.3%	80.7%	75.9%
Brazil	São João da Ponte	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	São João da Ponte	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	São João da Serra	2000	91.5%	93.0%	89.8%
Brazil	São João da Serra	2017	91.8%	93.2%	90.1%
Brazil	São João da Urtiga	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	São João da Urtiga	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	São João da Varjota	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.6%
Brazil	São João da Varjota	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	São João das Duas Ponte	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.7%
Brazil	São João das Duas Ponte	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Brazil	São João das Missões	2000	95.7%	97.2%	93.8%
Brazil	São João das Missões	2017	95.8%	97.3%	94.0%
Brazil	São João de Iracema	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.9%
Brazil	São João de Iracema	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João de Meriti	2000	95.5%	96.0%	95.0%
Brazil	São João de Meriti	2017	95.7%	96.1%	95.2%
Brazil	São João de Pirabas	2000	80.1%	82.6%	76.9%
Brazil	São João de Pirabas	2017	80.5%	82.9%	77.3%
Brazil	São João del Rei	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	São João del Rei	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	São João do Araguaia	2000	84.5%	86.4%	82.3%
Brazil	São João do Araguaia	2017	84.9%	86.7%	82.7%
Brazil	São João do Arraial	2000	90.6%	92.2%	89.1%
Brazil	São João do Arraial	2017	90.9%	92.4%	89.4%
Brazil	São João do Belm	2000	93.3%	94.3%	92.0%
Brazil	São João do Belm	2017	93.5%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	São João do Belmonte	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.1%
Brazil	São João do Belmonte	2017	92.9%	94.0%	91.4%
Brazil	São João do Caiuá	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	São João do Caiuá	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	São João do Cariri	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Brazil	São João do Cariri	2017	94.9%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	São João do Carú	2000	87.5%	89.8%	85.2%
Brazil	São João do Carú	2017	87.9%	90.1%	85.6%
Brazil	São João do Itaperiú	2000	94.3%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	São João do Itaperiú	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	São João do Ivaí	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	São João do Ivaí	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	São João do Jaguaribe	2000	93.9%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	São João do Jaguaribe	2017	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%
Brazil	São João do Manhauçu	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	São João do Manhauçu	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	São João do Mantenhina	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	São João do Mantenhina	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	São João do Oeste	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João do Oeste	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	São João do Oriente	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	São João do Oriente	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	São João do Pacuí	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.1%
Brazil	São João do Pacuí	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.3%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2000	92.8%	94.5%	91.2%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2017	93.1%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	São João do Pau d'Alho	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	São João do Pau d'Alho	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	São João do Polésine	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	São João do Polésine	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	São João do Rio do Peixe	2000	93.9%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	São João do Rio do Peixe	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	São João do Sabugi	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	São João do Sabugi	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	São João do Soter	2000	91.0%	92.6%	89.4%
Brazil	São João do Soter	2017	91.3%	92.9%	89.7%
Brazil	São João do Sul	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	São João do Sul	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	São João do Tigre	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.4%
Brazil	São João do Tigre	2017	93.9%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	São João do Triunfo	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	São João do Triunfo	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	São João dos Patos	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.1%
Brazil	São João dos Patos	2017	92.3%	93.8%	90.4%
Brazil	São João Evangelista	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	São João Evangelista	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	São João Nepomuceno	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	São João Nepomuceno	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João Piau	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.4%
Brazil	São João Piau	2017	92.8%	94.3%	90.7%
Brazil	São Joaquim	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	São Joaquim	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	São Joaquim da Barra	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	São Joaquim da Barra	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	São Joaquim de Bicas	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	São Joaquim de Bicas	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	São Joaquin do Monte	2000	93.8%	94.6%	92.8%
Brazil	São Joaquin do Monte	2017	94.0%	94.8%	93.1%
Brazil	São Jorge	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	São Jorge	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	São Jorge d'Oeste	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	São Jorge d'Oeste	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	São Jorge do Ivaí	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	São Jorge do Ivaí	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	São Jorge do Patrocínio	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	São Jorge do Patrocínio	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	São José	2000	93.7%	95.3%	91.8%
Brazil	São José	2017	93.9%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	São José da Barra	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	São José da Barra	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	São José da Bela Vista	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	São José da Bela Vista	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	São José da Boa Vista	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	São José da Boa Vista	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	São José da Coroa Grande	2000	92.2%	93.4%	90.7%
Brazil	São José da Coroa Grande	2017	92.0%	93.3%	90.5%
Brazil	São José da Lagoa Tapada	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Brazil	São José da Lagoa Tapada	2017	94.1%	95.0%	92.9%
Brazil	São José da Laje	2000	92.4%	93.4%	91.5%
Brazil	São José da Laje	2017	92.3%	93.2%	91.3%
Brazil	São José da Lapa	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	São José da Lapa	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José da Safira	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	São José da Safira	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	São José da Tapera	2000	91.9%	93.0%	90.8%
Brazil	São José da Tapera	2017	92.1%	93.2%	91.1%
Brazil	São José da Varginha	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	São José da Varginha	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	São José da Vitória	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.7%
Brazil	São José da Vitória	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.0%
Brazil	São José das Missões	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	São José das Missões	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	São José das Palmeiras	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	São José das Palmeiras	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	São José de Caiana	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	São José de Caiana	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.9%
Brazil	São José de Espinharas	2000	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%
Brazil	São José de Espinharas	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.3%
Brazil	São José de Mipibu	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Brazil	São José de Mipibu	2017	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	São José de Piranhas	2000	93.7%	94.8%	92.5%
Brazil	São José de Piranhas	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.7%
Brazil	São José de Princesa	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.3%
Brazil	São José de Princesa	2017	93.7%	94.7%	92.5%
Brazil	São José de Ribamar	2000	90.0%	91.3%	88.4%
Brazil	São José de Ribamar	2017	90.3%	91.6%	88.8%
Brazil	São José de Ubá	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.1%
Brazil	São José de Ubá	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	São José do Alegre	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	São José do Alegre	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	São José do Barreiro	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	São José do Barreiro	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	São José do Belmonte	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José do Belmonte	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	São José do Bonfim	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	São José do Bonfim	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	São José do Calçado	2000	95.9%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	São José do Calçado	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	São José do Campestre	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.2%
Brazil	São José do Campestre	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Brazil	São José do Cedro	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	São José do Cedro	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	São José do Cerrito	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	São José do Cerrito	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2000	90.6%	91.9%	89.0%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2017	90.9%	92.2%	89.3%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	São José do Goiabal	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	São José do Goiabal	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	São José do Herval	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	São José do Herval	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	São José do Hortêncio	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	São José do Hortêncio	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	São José do Inhacorá	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	São José do Inhacorá	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	São José do Jacuípe	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	São José do Jacuípe	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	São José do Jacuri	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	São José do Jacuri	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	São José do Mantimento	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	São José do Mantimento	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	São José do Ouro	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	São José do Ouro	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José do Peixe	2000	91.8%	93.3%	89.9%
Brazil	São José do Peixe	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.2%
Brazil	São José do Piauí	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.6%
Brazil	São José do Piauí	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.8%
Brazil	São José do Povo	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.7%
Brazil	São José do Povo	2017	92.8%	94.4%	91.0%
Brazil	São José do Rio Claro	2000	92.7%	94.5%	90.2%
Brazil	São José do Rio Claro	2017	93.0%	94.7%	90.5%
Brazil	São José do Rio Pardo	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	São José do Rio Pardo	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	São José do Rio Preto	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	São José do Rio Preto	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	São José do Sabugi	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	São José do Sabugi	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	São José do Seridó	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	São José do Seridó	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	São José do Vale do Rio Preto	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.3%
Brazil	São José do Vale do Rio Preto	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	São José do Xingu	2000	89.7%	92.7%	86.1%
Brazil	São José do Xingu	2017	89.9%	92.9%	86.5%
Brazil	São José dos Ausentes	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	São José dos Ausentes	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	São José dos Basílios	2000	90.9%	92.4%	89.2%
Brazil	São José dos Basílios	2017	91.2%	92.7%	89.5%
Brazil	São José dos Campos	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	São José dos Campos	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	São José dos Cordeiros	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.5%
Brazil	São José dos Cordeiros	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.7%
Brazil	São José dos Pinhais	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	São José dos Pinhais	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José dos Quatro Marcos	2000	93.2%	95.0%	91.0%
Brazil	São José dos Quatro Marcos	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	São José dos Ramos	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	São José dos Ramos	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	São Juliao	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	São Juliao	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	São Leopoldo	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	São Leopoldo	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	São Lourenço	2000	96.9%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	São Lourenço	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Mata	2000	93.1%	93.8%	92.2%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Mata	2017	93.4%	94.1%	92.6%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Serra	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Serra	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Oeste	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Oeste	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Piauí	2000	93.3%	94.9%	91.4%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Piauí	2017	93.6%	95.0%	91.7%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Sul	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Sul	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	São Ludgero	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	São Ludgero	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	São Luis	2000	89.9%	91.3%	88.2%
Brazil	São Luis	2017	90.2%	91.6%	88.5%
Brazil	São Luís de Montes Belos	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	São Luís de Montes Belos	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	São Luis do Piauí	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.6%
Brazil	São Luis do Piauí	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.9%
Brazil	São Luís do Quitunde	2000	91.6%	92.6%	90.6%
Brazil	São Luís do Quitunde	2017	91.9%	92.8%	90.9%
Brazil	São Luis Gonzaga do Maranhao	2000	90.1%	91.9%	88.4%
Brazil	São Luis Gonzaga do Maranhao	2017	90.4%	92.2%	88.7%
Brazil	São Luiz	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	São Luiz	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	São Luiz do Curu	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Luiz do Curu	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.6%
Brazil	São Luiz do Norte	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	São Luiz do Norte	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	São Luiz do Paraitinga	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	São Luiz do Paraitinga	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	São Luiz Gonzaga	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	São Luiz Gonzaga	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	São Mamede	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	São Mamede	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	São Manoel do Paraná	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	São Manoel do Paraná	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	São Manuel	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Brazil	São Manuel	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.5%
Brazil	São Marcos	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	São Marcos	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	São Martinho	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	São Martinho	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	São Martinho	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	São Martinho	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	São Martinho	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	São Martinho da Serra	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	São Mateus	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	São Mateus	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	São Mateus do Maranhão	2000	90.0%	91.5%	88.2%
Brazil	São Mateus do Maranhão	2017	90.3%	91.8%	88.5%
Brazil	São Mateus do Sul	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	São Mateus do Sul	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	São Miguel	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	São Miguel	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	São Miguel Arcanjo	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	São Miguel Arcanjo	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Brazil	São Miguel da Baixa Grande	2000	91.3%	92.9%	89.8%
Brazil	São Miguel da Baixa Grande	2017	91.6%	93.2%	90.1%
Brazil	São Miguel da Boa Vista	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	São Miguel da Boa Vista	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	São Miguel das Matas	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	São Miguel das Matas	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	São Miguel das Misses	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Miguel das Misses	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	São Miguel de Touros	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Brazil	São Miguel de Touros	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	São Miguel do Aleixo	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Aleixo	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	São Miguel do Anta	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	São Miguel do Anta	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Araguaia	2000	93.7%	95.5%	91.4%
Brazil	São Miguel do Araguaia	2017	93.9%	95.7%	91.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Fidalgo	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	São Miguel do Fidalgo	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.5%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guamá	2000	77.2%	80.0%	74.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guamá	2017	77.7%	80.4%	75.1%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guaporé	2000	84.7%	86.4%	82.8%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guaporé	2017	85.0%	86.7%	83.2%
Brazil	São Miguel do Iguaçu	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	São Miguel do Iguaçu	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	São Miguel do Oeste	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	São Miguel do Oeste	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	São Miguel do Passa Quatro	2000	95.1%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	São Miguel do Passa Quatro	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	São Miguel do Tocantins	2000	90.9%	92.6%	89.1%
Brazil	São Miguel do Tocantins	2017	91.2%	92.8%	89.5%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Campos	2000	92.9%	93.8%	92.1%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Campos	2017	93.1%	94.0%	92.3%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Milagres	2000	91.5%	92.8%	90.2%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Milagres	2017	91.8%	93.0%	90.5%
Brazil	São Miguel Taípu	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	São Miguel Taípu	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	São Miguel Tapuio	2000	92.5%	93.8%	91.0%
Brazil	São Miguel Tapuio	2017	92.8%	94.0%	91.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Nicolau	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.6%
Brazil	São Nicolau	2017	95.7%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	São Patrício	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	São Patrício	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	São Paulo	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	São Paulo	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Brazil	São Paulo das Missões	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	São Paulo das Missões	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	São Paulo de Olivença	2000	83.1%	86.3%	79.7%
Brazil	São Paulo de Olivença	2017	83.6%	86.7%	80.2%
Brazil	São Paulo do Potengi	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	São Paulo do Potengi	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Brazil	São Pedro	2000	97.4%	97.8%	96.8%
Brazil	São Pedro	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	São Pedro	2017	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	São Pedro	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	São Pedro da Água Branca	2000	85.2%	87.5%	82.5%
Brazil	São Pedro da Água Branca	2017	85.6%	87.9%	82.9%
Brazil	São Pedro da Aldeia	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	São Pedro da Aldeia	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	São Pedro da Cipa	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	São Pedro da Cipa	2017	92.7%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	São Pedro da Serra	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	São Pedro da Serra	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	São Pedro da União	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	São Pedro da União	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	São Pedro de Alcântara	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	São Pedro de Alcântara	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	São Pedro do Butiá	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	São Pedro do Butiá	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	São Pedro do Iguaçu	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	São Pedro do Iguaçu	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	São Pedro do Ivaí	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	São Pedro do Ivaí	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	São Pedro do Paraná	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	São Pedro do Paraná	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Pedro do Piauí	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.9%
Brazil	São Pedro do Piauí	2017	92.6%	94.1%	91.2%
Brazil	São Pedro do Suaçuí	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	São Pedro do Suaçuí	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	São Pedro do Sul	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	São Pedro do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	São Pedro do Turvo	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	São Pedro do Turvo	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Crentes	2000	92.6%	94.5%	90.1%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Crentes	2017	92.9%	94.7%	90.5%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Ferros	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Ferros	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	São Rafael	2000	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	São Rafael	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	São Raimundo das Mangabeiras	2000	91.5%	93.5%	89.3%
Brazil	São Raimundo das Mangabeiras	2017	91.7%	93.7%	89.6%
Brazil	São Raimundo do Doca Bezerra	2000	90.1%	92.0%	87.9%
Brazil	São Raimundo do Doca Bezerra	2017	90.4%	92.3%	88.3%
Brazil	São Raimundo Nonato	2000	93.5%	95.0%	91.8%
Brazil	São Raimundo Nonato	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.0%
Brazil	São Roberto	2000	89.9%	91.9%	87.7%
Brazil	São Roberto	2017	90.2%	92.1%	88.1%
Brazil	São Romão	2000	96.1%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	São Romão	2017	96.3%	97.6%	94.8%
Brazil	São Roque	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	São Roque	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	São Roque de Minas	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	São Roque de Minas	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	São Roque do Canaã	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Brazil	São Roque do Canaã	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	São Salvador do Tocantins	2000	94.4%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	São Salvador do Tocantins	2017	94.6%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2000	91.4%	92.5%	90.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Sebastião	2017	91.6%	92.7%	90.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Amoreira	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Amoreira	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Bela Vista	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Bela Vista	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Boa Vista	2000	78.8%	81.3%	76.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Boa Vista	2017	79.2%	81.8%	76.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Grama	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Grama	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião de Lagoa de Roça	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião de Lagoa de Roça	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Alto	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Alto	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Anta	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Anta	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Caí	2000	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Caí	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Maranhão	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Maranhão	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Oeste	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Oeste	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Paraíso	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Paraíso	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Passé	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Passé	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Preto	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Preto	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Verde	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Verde	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Tocantins	2000	87.8%	89.7%	85.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Sebastião do Tocantins	2017	88.1%	90.0%	86.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Uatumã	2000	83.6%	86.8%	80.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Uatumã	2017	84.1%	87.2%	81.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Umbuzeiro	2000	93.2%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Umbuzeiro	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Vargem Alegre	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Vargem Alegre	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	São Sepé	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	São Sepé	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	São Simão	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	São Simão	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	São Simão	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	São Simão	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	São Thomé das Letras	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	São Thomé das Letras	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	São Tiago	2000	96.0%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	São Tiago	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	São Tomás de Aquino	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	São Tomás de Aquino	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	São Tomé	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	São Tomé	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.8%
Brazil	São Tomé	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	São Tomé	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	São Valentim	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	São Valentim	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	São Valentim do Sul	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	São Valentim do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	São Valério da Natividade	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	São Valério da Natividade	2017	94.5%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	São Valério do Sul	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	São Valério do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	São Vendelino	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	São Vendelino	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	São Vicente	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Brazil	São Vicente	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	São Vicente	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Brazil	São Vicente	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	São Vicente de Minas	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	São Vicente de Minas	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2000	94.6%	95.4%	93.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2000	88.8%	90.7%	86.8%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2017	89.2%	91.0%	87.1%
Brazil	Sapé	2000	94.8%	95.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Sapé	2017	95.0%	95.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Sapeaçu	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Sapeaçu	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Sapezal	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Sapezal	2000	91.5%	93.7%	89.0%
Brazil	Sapezal	2017	91.8%	93.9%	89.3%
Brazil	Sapezal	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Sapiranga	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Sapiranga	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Sapopema	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Sapopema	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Sapucaí-Mirim	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	Sapucaí-Mirim	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2000	85.2%	87.6%	82.0%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2017	85.5%	88.0%	82.4%
Brazil	Sapucaia do Sul	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Sapucaia do Sul	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Saquarema	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Saquarema	2017	95.8%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Sarandi	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Sarandi	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Sarandi	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Sarandi	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Sarapuí	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Sarapuí	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Sardoá	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Sardoá	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Sarutaiá	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Sarutaiá	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Sarzedo	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Sarzedo	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Sátiro Dias	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Sátiro Dias	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Satuba	2000	90.2%	91.1%	89.0%
Brazil	Satuba	2017	90.5%	91.4%	89.3%
Brazil	Satubinha	2000	89.5%	91.4%	87.6%
Brazil	Satubinha	2017	89.9%	91.7%	88.0%
Brazil	Saubara	2000	93.4%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Saubara	2017	93.6%	94.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Saudade do Iguaçu	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Saudade do Iguaçu	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Saudades	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Saudades	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Saúde	2000	94.7%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Saúde	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Schroeder	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Schroeder	2017	94.4%	95.5%	93.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Seabra	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Seabra	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Seara	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Seara	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Sebastianópolis do Sul	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Sebastianópolis do Sul	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Sebastião Barros	2000	93.3%	95.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Sebastião Barros	2017	93.5%	95.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Sebastião Laranjeiras	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Sebastião Laranjeiras	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Sebastião Leal	2000	92.2%	94.0%	90.0%
Brazil	Sebastião Leal	2017	92.4%	94.2%	90.3%
Brazil	Seberi	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Seberi	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Sede Nova	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Sede Nova	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Segredo	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Segredo	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Selbach	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Selbach	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Selvíria	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Selvíria	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Sem-Peixe	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Sem-Peixe	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Sena	2000	82.1%	84.2%	79.7%
Brazil	Madureira Sena	2017	82.5%	84.6%	80.1%
Brazil	Madureira Senador Alexandre Costa	2000	91.8%	93.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Madureira Senador Alexandre Costa	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Senador Amaral	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Senador Amaral	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Senador Canedo	2000	94.9%	95.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Senador Canedo	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Senador Cortes	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Senador Cortes	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Senador Elói de Souza	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Brazil	Senador Elói de Souza	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Brazil	Senador Firmino	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Senador Firmino	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Senador Georgino Avelino	2000	96.7%	97.2%	96.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Senador Georgino Avelino	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
Brazil	Senador Guiomard	2000	81.1%	82.4%	79.5%
Brazil	Senador Guiomard	2017	81.5%	82.7%	79.9%
Brazil	Senador José Bento	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Brazil	Senador José Bento	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Brazil	Senador José Porfírio	2000	79.4%	82.9%	75.6%
Brazil	Senador José Porfírio	2017	79.6%	83.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Senador La Rocque	2000	90.9%	92.5%	89.1%
Brazil	Senador La Rocque	2017	91.2%	92.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Senador Modestino Gonçalves	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Senador Modestino Gonçalves	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Senador Pompeu	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Senador Pompeu	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Senador Rui Palmeira	2000	92.1%	93.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Senador Rui Palmeira	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.2%
Brazil	Senador Sá	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Senador Sá	2017	92.8%	94.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Senador Salgado Filho	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Senador Salgado Filho	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Senhor Sengés	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Senhor Sengés	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Senhor do Bonfim	2000	94.7%	96.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Senhor do Bonfim	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Senhora de Oliveira	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Senhora de Oliveira	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Senhora do Porto	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Senhora do Porto	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Senhora dos Remédios	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Senhora dos Remédios	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Sentinela do Sul	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Sentinela do Sul	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Sento Sé	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sento Sé	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Serafina Cor-rêa	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Serafina Cor-rêa	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Sericita	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Sericita	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Seridó	2000	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Seridó	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Seringueiras	2000	85.0%	87.2%	82.1%
Brazil	Seringueiras	2017	85.3%	87.5%	82.4%
Brazil	Sério	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Sério	2017	96.8%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Seritinga	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Seritinga	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Seropédica	2000	95.3%	95.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Seropédica	2017	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Serra	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Serra	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Serra Alta	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Serra Alta	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Serra Azul	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Serra Azul	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.6%
Brazil	Serra Azul de Minas	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Serra Azul de Minas	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Serra Branca	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Serra Branca	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Serra da Raiz	2000	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Serra da Raiz	2017	95.7%	96.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Serra da Saudad	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Serra da Saudad	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Serra de São Bento	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.3%
Brazil	Serra de São Bento	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Serra do Mel	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.1%
Brazil	Serra do Mel	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	Serra do Navio	2000	86.5%	88.6%	84.3%
Brazil	Serra do Navio	2017	86.9%	88.9%	84.7%
Brazil	Serra do Ramalho	2000	94.8%	96.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Serra do Ramalho	2017	95.0%	96.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Serra do Salitre	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Serra do Salitre	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Serra dos Aimorés	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Serra dos Aimorés	2017	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Serra dourada	2000	94.9%	96.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Serra dourada	2017	95.1%	96.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Serra Grande	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Serra Grande	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Serra Negra	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Serra Negra	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Serra Negra do Norte	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Serra Negra do Norte	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Serra Preta	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Serra Preta	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Serra Redonda	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Serra Redonda	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Serra Talhada	2000	93.0%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Serra Talhada	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Serrana	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Serrana	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Serrania	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Serrania	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Serrano do Maranhão	2000	88.6%	90.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Serrano do Maranhão	2017	88.9%	91.2%	86.4%
Brazil	Serranópolis	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Serranópolis	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Serranópolis de Minas	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Serranópolis de Minas	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Serranópolis do Iguaçu	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Serranópolis do Iguaçu	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Serranos	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Serranos	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Serraria	2000	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Serraria	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Serrinha	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Serrinha	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Serrinha	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Brazil	Serrinha	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Serrinha dos Pintos	2000	95.7%	96.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Serrinha dos Pintos	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Serrita	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Serrita	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Serro	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Serro	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Serrolândia	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Serrolândia	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Sertaneja	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Sertaneja	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Sertânia	2000	93.1%	94.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Sertânia	2017	93.3%	94.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Sertanópolis	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	Sertanópolis	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Sertão	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Sertão	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Sertão Santana	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Sertão Santana	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2000	95.4%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2017	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Sete Barras	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Sete Barras	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.4%
Brazil	Sete de Setembro	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Sete de Setembro	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Sete Lagoas	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Sete Lagoas	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Sete Quedas	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Sete Quedas	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Setubinha	2000	96.4%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Setubinha	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Severiano de Almeida	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Severiano de Almeida	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Severiano Melo	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Severiano Melo	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Severínia	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Severínia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Siderópolis	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Siderópolis	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Sidrolândia	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Sidrolândia	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Sigefredo Pacheco	2000	91.6%	92.9%	89.9%
Brazil	Sigefredo Pacheco	2017	91.9%	93.1%	90.2%
Brazil	Silva Jardim	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Silva Jardim	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Silvânia	2000	95.6%	96.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Silvânia	2017	95.8%	96.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Silvanópolis	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Silvanópolis	2017	94.5%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Silveira Martins	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Silveira Martins	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Silveirânia	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Silveirânia	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Silveiras	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Brazil	Silveiras	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Brazil	Silves	2000	82.3%	85.1%	79.4%
Brazil	Silves	2017	82.8%	85.5%	80.0%
Brazil	Silvianópolis	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Silvianópolis	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Simão Dias	2000	94.8%	95.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Simão Dias	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Simão Pereira	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Simão Pereira	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Simões	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Simões	2017	93.5%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Simões Filho	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Simões Filho	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Simolândia	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Simolândia	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Simonésia	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Simonésia	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Simplício Mendes	2000	92.5%	94.1%	90.6%
Brazil	Simplício Mendes	2017	92.7%	94.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Sinimbu	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Sinimbu	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Sinop	2000	93.0%	94.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Sinop	2017	93.3%	94.9%	91.2%
Brazil	Siqueira Campos	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Siqueira Campos	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Sirinhaém	2000	91.2%	92.4%	89.8%
Brazil	Sirinhaém	2017	91.5%	92.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Siriri	2000	93.5%	94.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Siriri	2017	93.8%	94.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Sítio d'Abadia	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Sítio d'Abadia	2017	96.4%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Sítio do Mato	2000	94.8%	96.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Sítio do Mato	2017	95.0%	96.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Sítio do Quinto	2000	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Sítio do Quinto	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Sítio dos Moreiras	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Sítio dos Moreiras	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2000	91.7%	93.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2017	92.0%	93.9%	90.3%
Brazil	Sítio Novo do Tocantins	2000	91.4%	92.8%	89.7%
Brazil	Sítio Novo do Tocantins	2017	91.7%	93.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Sobrado	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Sobrado	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Sobral	2000	92.8%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Sobral	2017	93.1%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Sobralia	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Sobralia	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Socorro	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Socorro	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Socorro do Piauí	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Socorro do Piauí	2017	92.5%	93.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Solânea	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Solânea	2017	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Soledade	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Soledade	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Soledade	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Soledade	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Soledade de Minas	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Soledade de Minas	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Solonópole	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Solonópole	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Sombrio	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Sombrio	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Sonora	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Sonora	2017	94.8%	96.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Sooretama	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Sooretama	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Sorocaba	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Sorocaba	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Sossêgo	2000	96.3%	96.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Sossêgo	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Soure	2000	78.0%	80.6%	75.4%
Brazil	Soure	2017	78.5%	81.0%	75.8%
Brazil	Sousa	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Sousa	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Souto Soares	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Souto Soares	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Sucupira	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Sucupira	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Sucupira do Norte	2000	91.6%	93.4%	89.4%
Brazil	Sucupira do Norte	2017	91.9%	93.6%	89.7%
Brazil	Sucupira do Riachão	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.2%
Brazil	Sucupira do Riachão	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.5%
Brazil	Sud Mennucci	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
Brazil	Sud Mennucci	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.3%
Brazil	Sul Brazil	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Sul Brazil	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Sulina	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Sulina	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Sumaré	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Sumaré	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Sumé	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Sumé	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Sumidouro	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Sumidouro	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Surubim	2000	94.0%	95.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Surubim	2017	94.2%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Sussuapara	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Sussuapara	2017	92.4%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Suzanópolis	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Suzanópolis	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Brazil	Suzano	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Suzano	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Tabaí	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Tabaí	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Tabaporã	2000	92.0%	94.0%	89.5%
Brazil	Tabaporã	2017	92.3%	94.3%	89.9%
Brazil	Tabapuã	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Tabapuã	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2000	82.8%	85.9%	79.5%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2017	83.3%	86.3%	80.0%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Tabira	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Tabira	2017	93.8%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Taboão da Serra	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Taboão da Serra	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Tabocas do Brejo Velho	2000	95.0%	96.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Tabocas do Brejo Velho	2017	95.2%	96.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Taboleiro Grande	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Taboleiro Grande	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Tabuleiro	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Tabuleiro	2017	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Tabuleiro do Norte	2000	94.4%	95.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Tabuleiro do Norte	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Tacaimbó	2000	93.8%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Tacaimbó	2017	94.0%	94.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Tacaratu	2000	92.5%	93.7%	91.1%
Brazil	Tacaratu	2017	92.8%	94.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Taciba	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Taciba	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Tacima	2000	96.2%	96.8%	95.5%
Brazil	Tacima	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Tacuru	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Tacuru	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Taguaí	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Taguaí	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Taguatinga	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Taguatinga	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Taiapu	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Taiapu	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Tailândia	2000	78.8%	81.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Tailândia	2017	79.3%	82.3%	76.3%
Brazil	Taió	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Taió	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Taiobeiras	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Taiobeiras	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Taipas do Tocantins	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Taipas do Tocantins	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Taipu	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Brazil	Taipu	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	Taiúva	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Taiúva	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Talismã	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Talismã	2017	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Tamandaré	2000	92.4%	93.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Tamandaré	2017	92.7%	93.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Tamarana	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Tamarana	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Tambaú	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Brazil	Tambaú	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Brazil	Tambe	2000	94.9%	95.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Tambe	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Tamboara	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Tamboara	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Tamboril	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Tamboril	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Tamboril do Piauí	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Tamboril do Piauí	2017	92.4%	94.0%	90.5%
Brazil	Tanabi	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Tanabi	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Tangará	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Tangará	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Tangará	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Tangará	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Tangará da Serra	2000	93.1%	94.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Tangará da Serra	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Tanguá	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Tanguá	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Tanhaçu	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Tanhaçu	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Tanque d'Arca	2000	91.3%	92.2%	90.3%
Brazil	Tanque d'Arca	2017	91.6%	92.4%	90.6%
Brazil	Tanque do Piauí	2000	92.3%	93.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Tanque do Piauí	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Tanque Novo	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Tanque Novo	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Tanquinho	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Tanquinho	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Taparuba	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Taparuba	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Tapauá	2000	82.6%	86.9%	77.4%
Brazil	Tapauá	2017	83.0%	87.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Tapejara	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Tapejara	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Tapejara	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Tapejara	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Tapera	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Tapera	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Taperoá	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Taperoá	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Taperoá	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Taperoá	2017	92.9%	94.4%	90.8%
Brazil	Tapes	2000	96.3%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Tapes	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Tapira	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.6%
Brazil	Tapira	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Tapira	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Tapira	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Tapiramutá	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Tapiramutá	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Tapiratiba	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Tapiratiba	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Tapurah	2000	92.5%	94.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Tapurah	2017	92.8%	94.5%	90.8%
Brazil	Taquara	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Taquara	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Taquaraçu de Minas	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Taquaraçu de Minas	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Taquaral	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Taquaral	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Taquaral de Goiás	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Taquaral de Goiás	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Taquarana	2000	91.4%	92.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Taquarana	2017	91.7%	92.5%	90.7%
Brazil	Taquari	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Taquari	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Taquaritinga	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Taquaritinga	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Taquaritinga do Norte	2000	94.2%	95.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Taquaritinga do Norte	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Taquarituba	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Taquarituba	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Taquarivaí	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Taquarivaí	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Taquaruçu do Sul	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Taquaruçu do Sul	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Taquarussu	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Taquarussu	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Tarabai	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Tarabai	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Tarauacá	2000	82.0%	84.2%	79.3%
Brazil	Tarauacá	2017	82.4%	84.6%	79.7%
Brazil	Tarrafas	2000	93.2%	94.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Tarrafas	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Tartarugalzinho	2000	86.8%	88.5%	84.8%
Brazil	Tartarugalzinho	2017	87.1%	88.9%	85.2%
Brazil	Tarumã	2000	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Tarumã	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Tarumirim	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Tarumirim	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Tasso Fragoso	2000	92.1%	94.2%	89.6%
Brazil	Tasso Fragoso	2017	92.3%	94.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Tatuí	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Tatuí	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Brazil	Tauá	2000	93.5%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Tauá	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Taubaté	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Taubaté	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Tavares	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Tavares	2000	95.9%	97.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Tavares	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Tavares	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Tefé	2000	84.0%	87.3%	80.3%
Brazil	Tefé	2017	84.5%	87.7%	80.9%
Brazil	Teixeira	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Teixeira	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Teixeira de Freitas	2000	95.3%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Teixeira de Freitas	2017	95.5%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Teixeiras	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Teixeiras	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Teixeirópolis	2000	84.1%	86.2%	82.3%
Brazil	Teixeirópolis	2017	84.4%	86.4%	82.6%
Brazil	Tejuçuoca	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Tejuçuoca	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Tejupa	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.3%
Brazil	Tejupa	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Telêmaco Borba	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Telêmaco Borba	2017	96.9%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Telha	2000	92.9%	93.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Telha	2017	93.1%	94.0%	92.1%
Brazil	Tenente Ananias	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Tenente Ananias	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Tenente Laurentino Cruz	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Tenente Laurentino Cruz	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.8%
Brazil	Tenente Portela	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Tenente Portela	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Tenório	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Tenório	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2000	94.3%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2000	96.6%	97.7%	95.5%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Teofilândia	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Teofilândia	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Teófilo Otoni	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Teófilo Otoni	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Teolândia	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Teolândia	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Teotônio Vilela	2000	91.2%	92.4%	89.9%
Brazil	Teotônio Vilela	2017	91.5%	92.6%	90.2%
Brazil	Terenos	2000	95.1%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Terenos	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Teresina	2000	92.0%	93.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Teresina	2017	92.3%	93.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Teresina de Goiás	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Teresina de Goiás	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Teresópolis	2000	96.7%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Teresópolis	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
Brazil	Terezinha	2000	92.1%	93.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Terezinha	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Terezópolis de Goiás	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Terezópolis de Goiás	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Terra Alta	2000	77.9%	80.4%	75.2%
Brazil	Terra Alta	2017	78.3%	80.8%	75.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Terra Boa	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Terra Boa	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Terra de Areia	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Terra de Areia	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2017	93.4%	94.7%	91.7%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Terra Nova do Norte	2000	91.3%	93.3%	88.4%
Brazil	Terra Nova do Norte	2017	91.6%	93.5%	88.7%
Brazil	Terra Rica	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Terra Rica	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Terra Santa	2000	79.3%	83.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Terra Santa	2017	79.8%	83.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Tesouro	2000	92.8%	94.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Tesouro	2017	93.1%	94.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Teutônia	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Teutônia	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Texeira Soares	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Texeira Soares	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Theobroma	2000	84.2%	86.0%	82.1%
Brazil	Theobroma	2017	84.5%	86.2%	82.5%
Brazil	Tianguá	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Tianguá	2017	94.0%	94.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Tibaji	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Tibaji	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Tibau	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Tibau	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Tibau do Sul	2000	96.5%	97.1%	95.8%
Brazil	Tibau do Sul	2017	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Tietê	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Tietê	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Tigrinhos	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Tigrinhos	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Tijucas	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Tijucas	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Tijucas do Sul	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Tijucas do Sul	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Timbaúba	2000	94.6%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Timbaúba	2017	94.8%	95.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Timbaúba dos Batistas	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Timbaúba dos Batistas	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Timbé do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Timbé do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Timbiras	2000	91.0%	92.3%	89.5%
Brazil	Timbiras	2017	91.3%	92.6%	89.8%
Brazil	Timbó	2000	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Timbó	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Timbó Grande	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Timbó Grande	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Timburi	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Timburi	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Timon	2000	91.9%	93.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Timon	2017	92.2%	93.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Timóteo	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Timóteo	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Tiradentes	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Tiradentes	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Tiradentes do Sul	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Tiradentes do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Tiros	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Tiros	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Tobias Barreto	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Tobias Barreto	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Tocantínia	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Tocantínia	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Tocantinópolis	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Tocantinópolis	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Tocantins	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Tocantins	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Tocos do Moji	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Tocos do Moji	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Brazil	Toledo	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Toledo	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Toledo	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Toledo	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Tomar do Geru	2000	94.8%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Tomar do Geru	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Tomazina	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.1%
Brazil	Tomazina	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Tombos	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Tombos	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Tomé-Açu	2000	76.7%	79.5%	73.5%
Brazil	Tomé-Açu	2017	77.2%	79.9%	74.0%
Brazil	Tonantins	2000	80.2%	84.2%	75.5%
Brazil	Tonantins	2017	80.7%	84.7%	76.1%
Brazil	Toritama	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Toritama	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Torixoréu	2000	93.4%	95.1%	91.3%
Brazil	Torixoréu	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Toropi	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Toropi	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Torre de Pedra	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Torre de Pedra	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Torres	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Torres	2017	95.3%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Torrinha	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Torrinha	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Touros	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Brazil	Touros	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Brazil	Trabiju	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Trabiju	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Tracuateua	2000	80.1%	82.6%	77.0%
Brazil	Tracuateua	2017	80.6%	83.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Tracunhaém	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Tracunhaém	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Traipu	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Traipu	2017	92.9%	93.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Trairão	2000	79.7%	84.2%	75.0%
Brazil	Trairão	2017	80.2%	84.6%	75.5%
Brazil	Trairi	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Trairi	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.6%
Brazil	Trajano de Morais	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Trajano de Morais	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Tramandaí	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Tramandaí	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Travesseiro	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Travesseiro	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Tremedal	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Tremedal	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Tremembé	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Tremembé	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Três Arroios	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Três Arroios	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Três Barras do Paraná	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Três Barras do Paraná	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Três Ca- choeiras	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Três Ca- choeiras	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Três Corações	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Três Corações	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Três Coroas	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Três Coroas	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Três de Maio	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Três de Maio	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Três Forquil- has	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Três Forquil- has	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Três Fron- teiras	2000	97.1%	98.0%	95.9%
Brazil	Três Fron- teiras	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Três Lagoas	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Três Lagoas	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Três Marias	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Três Marias	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Três Palmeiras	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Três Palmeiras	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Três Passos	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Três Passos	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Três Pontas	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Três Pontas	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Três Ranchos	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Três Ranchos	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Três Rios	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Três Rios	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Treviso	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Treviso	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Treze de Maio	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Treze de Maio	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Treze Tílias	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Treze Tílias	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Trindade	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Trindade	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Trindade	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Trindade	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Trindade do Sul	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Trindade do Sul	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	93.4%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	93.7%	94.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Triunfo Potiguar	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	Triunfo Potiguar	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.8%
Brazil	Trizidela do Vale	2000	90.2%	92.1%	88.3%
Brazil	Trizidela do Vale	2017	90.5%	92.3%	88.6%
Brazil	Trombas	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Trombas	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Trombudo Central	2000	94.3%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Trombudo Central	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Tubarão	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Tubarão	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Tucano	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Tucano	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Tucumã	2000	80.4%	84.1%	76.3%
Brazil	Tucumã	2017	80.8%	84.5%	76.8%
Brazil	Tucunduva	2000	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Tucunduva	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Tucuruí	2000	78.0%	81.3%	75.0%
Brazil	Tucuruí	2017	78.5%	81.7%	75.5%
Brazil	Tufilândia	2000	88.8%	90.9%	86.8%
Brazil	Tufilândia	2017	89.1%	91.2%	87.2%
Brazil	Tuiuti	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Tuiuti	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Tumiritinga	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Tumiritinga	2017	95.4%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Tunápolis	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Tunápolis	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Tunas	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Tunas	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Tunas do Paraná	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	Tunas do Paraná	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	Tuneiras do Oeste	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Tuneiras do Oeste	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Tuntum	2000	91.2%	92.8%	89.4%
Brazil	Tuntum	2017	91.4%	93.0%	89.7%
Brazil	Tupã	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Tupã	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Tupaciguara	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Tupaciguara	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Tupanatinga	2000	92.3%	93.5%	91.0%
Brazil	Tupanatinga	2017	92.5%	93.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Tupanci do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Tupanci do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Tupanciretã	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Tupanciretã	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Tupandi	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Tupandi	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Tuparendi	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Tuparendi	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Tuparetama	2000	93.8%	94.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Tuparetama	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Tupãssi	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Tupãssi	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Tupi Paulista	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Tupi Paulista	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Tupirama	2000	93.5%	94.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Tupirama	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Tupiratins	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Tupiratins	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Turiaçu	2000	85.6%	88.1%	82.8%
Brazil	Turiaçu	2017	86.0%	88.5%	83.3%
Brazil	Turilândia	2000	87.1%	89.2%	84.5%
Brazil	Turilândia	2017	87.4%	89.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Turiúba	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Turiúba	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Turmalina	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	Turmalina	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Turmalina	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Turmalina	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Turuçu	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Turuçu	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Tururu	2000	92.7%	93.7%	91.4%
Brazil	Tururu	2017	93.2%	94.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Turvânia	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Turvânia	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Turvelândia	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Turvelândia	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Turvo	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Turvo	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Turvo	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Turvo	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Turvolandia	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Turvolandia	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Tutoia	2000	90.4%	92.2%	88.5%
Brazil	Tutoia	2017	90.7%	92.5%	88.8%
Brazil	Tutóia	2000	90.4%	92.3%	88.4%
Brazil	Tutóia	2017	90.7%	92.5%	88.8%
Brazil	Uarini	2000	81.3%	85.3%	77.1%
Brazil	Uarini	2017	81.8%	85.7%	77.6%
Brazil	Uauá	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Uauá	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Ubá	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Ubá	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Ubaí	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Ubaí	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Ubaíra	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Ubaíra	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ubaitaba	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Ubaitaba	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Ubajara	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.2%
Brazil	Ubajara	2017	93.0%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Ubaporanga	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Ubaporanga	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Ubarana	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.7%
Brazil	Ubarana	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Ubatã	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Ubatã	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Ubatuba	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Ubatuba	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Uberaba	2000	97.0%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Uberaba	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
Brazil	Uberlândia	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Uberlândia	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Ubirajara	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Ubirajara	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Ubiratã	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Ubiratã	2017	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Ubiretama	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Ubiretama	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Uchoa	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Uchoa	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Uibaí	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Uibaí	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Uiramutã	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.1%
Brazil	Uiramutã	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.3%
Brazil	Uirapuru	2000	94.2%	95.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Uirapuru	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Uiraúna	2000	95.0%	95.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Uiraúna	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Ulianópolis	2000	85.8%	88.4%	83.1%
Brazil	Ulianópolis	2017	86.1%	88.7%	83.6%
Brazil	Umari	2000	94.1%	95.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Umari	2017	94.3%	95.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Umarizal	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Umarizal	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Umbauba	2000	94.8%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Umbauba	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Umburanas	2000	94.9%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Umburanas	2017	95.0%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Umburatiba	2000	95.3%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Umburatiba	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Umbuzeiro	2000	93.5%	94.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Umbuzeiro	2017	93.7%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Umirim	2000	92.9%	94.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Umirim	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Umuarama	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Umuarama	2017	96.8%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Una	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Una	2017	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Unaí	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Unaí	2017	97.1%	98.0%	95.8%
Brazil	União	2000	91.3%	92.5%	89.9%
Brazil	União	2017	91.6%	92.7%	90.2%
Brazil	União da Serra	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	União da Serra	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	União da Vitória	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	União da Vitória	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	União de Minas	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	União de Minas	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	União do Oeste	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	União do Oeste	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	União do Sul	2000	91.2%	93.7%	88.0%
Brazil	União do Sul	2017	91.5%	93.9%	88.3%
Brazil	União dos Palmares	2000	92.1%	93.0%	91.2%
Brazil	União dos Palmares	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.4%
Brazil	União Paulista	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	União Paulista	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Uniflor	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Uniflor	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Unistalda	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Unistalda	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Upanema	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Upanema	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Uraí	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Uraí	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Urundi	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Urundi	2017	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Urânia	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.5%
Brazil	Urânia	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Urbano Santos	2000	90.3%	92.0%	88.2%
Brazil	Urbano Santos	2017	90.6%	92.3%	88.6%
Brazil	urea	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	urea	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Uru	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Uru	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Uruaçu	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Uruaçu	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Uruana	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Uruana	2017	95.1%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Uruana de Minas	2000	96.6%	97.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Uruana de Minas	2017	96.7%	98.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Uruará	2000	80.1%	84.2%	75.9%
Brazil	Uruará	2017	80.5%	84.5%	76.4%
Brazil	Urubici	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Urubici	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Uruburetama	2000	92.9%	94.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Uruburetama	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Urucânia	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Urucânia	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Urucará	2000	82.8%	86.0%	79.2%
Brazil	Urucará	2017	83.3%	86.4%	79.7%
Brazil	Uruçuca	2000	93.6%	95.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Uruçuca	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Uruçuí	2000	91.7%	93.7%	89.5%
Brazil	Uruçuí	2017	92.0%	93.9%	89.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Urucuaia	2000	96.2%	97.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Urucuaia	2017	96.5%	97.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Urucurituba	2000	82.6%	85.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Urucurituba	2017	83.1%	85.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Uruguaiana	2000	96.3%	98.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Uruguaiana	2017	96.4%	98.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Uruoca	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Uruoca	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Urupá	2000	84.0%	86.1%	82.2%
Brazil	Urupá	2017	84.3%	86.3%	82.5%
Brazil	Urupema	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Urupema	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Urupês	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Urupês	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Urussanga	2000	94.3%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Urussanga	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Urutaí	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Urutaí	2017	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Utinga	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Utinga	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Vacaria	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Vacaria	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Vale do Anari	2000	84.2%	86.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Vale do Anari	2017	84.5%	86.6%	82.4%
Brazil	Vale do Paraíso	2000	84.6%	86.3%	82.6%
Brazil	Vale do Paraíso	2017	84.9%	86.6%	83.0%
Brazil	Vale do Sol	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Vale do Sol	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Vale Real	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Vale Real	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Vale Verde	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Vale Verde	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Valença	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.6%
Brazil	Valença	2017	92.9%	94.3%	90.9%
Brazil	Valença do Piauí	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.1%
Brazil	Valença do Piauí	2017	92.2%	93.8%	90.4%
Brazil	Valencia	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Valencia	2017	96.2%	96.8%	95.5%
Brazil	Valente	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Valente	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Valentim Gentil	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Brazil	Valentim Gentil	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Valinhos	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Valinhos	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Valparaíso	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Valparaíso	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Valparaíso de Goiás	2000	97.9%	98.2%	97.5%
Brazil	Valparaíso de Goiás	2017	98.0%	98.3%	97.6%
Brazil	Vanini	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Vanini	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Vargeão	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Vargeão	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Vargem	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Vargem	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Alegre				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Vargem Alta	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Vargem Alta	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Bonita				
Brazil	Vargem	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Bonita				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Bonita				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	95.2%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Bonita				
Brazil	Vargem	2000	89.6%	91.3%	87.8%
Brazil	Grande				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	89.9%	91.5%	88.1%
Brazil	Grande				
Brazil	Vargem	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Grande do				
Brazil	Rio Pardo				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Grande do				
Brazil	Rio Pardo				
Brazil	Vargem	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Brazil	Grande do Sul				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Brazil	Grande do Sul				
Brazil	Vargem	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Grande				
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Grande				
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Varginha	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Varginha	2017	96.9%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Varjao	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Varjao	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Varjão de Mi-	2000	95.6%	97.2%	93.7%
Brazil	nas				
Brazil	Varjão de Mi-	2017	95.8%	97.3%	93.9%
Brazil	nas				
Brazil	Varjota	2000	91.6%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Varjota	2017	91.9%	93.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Varre-Sai	2000	95.9%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Varre-Sai	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Várzea	2000	96.6%	97.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Várzea	2017	96.7%	97.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Várzea Alegre	2000	93.1%	94.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Várzea Alegre	2017	93.5%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Várzea Branca	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Várzea Branca	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Várzea da	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Palma				
Brazil	Várzea da	2017	96.3%	97.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Palma				
Brazil	Várzea da	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Roça				
Brazil	Várzea da	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Roça				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Várzea do Poço	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Várzea do Poço	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.4%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Várzea Nova	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Várzea Nova	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Várzea Paulista	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Várzea Paulista	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Varzedo	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Varzedo	2017	95.4%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Varzelândia	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Varzelândia	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Vassouras	2000	95.8%	96.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Vassouras	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Venâncio Aires	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Venâncio Aires	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Venceslau Bras	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Venceslau Bras	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Venda Nova do Imigrante	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Venda Nova do Imigrante	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Venha-Ver	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Venha-Ver	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Ventania	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Ventania	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Venturosa	2000	93.2%	94.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Venturosa	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Vera	2000	92.1%	93.9%	89.9%
Brazil	Vera	2017	92.4%	94.1%	90.2%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Vera Cruz do Oeste	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Vera Cruz do Oeste	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Vera Mendes	2000	92.1%	93.6%	89.9%
Brazil	Vera Mendes	2017	92.4%	93.8%	90.2%
Brazil	Veranópolis	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Veranópolis	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Verdejante	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Verdejante	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Verdelândia	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Verdelândia	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Verê	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Verê	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Vereda	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Vereda	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Veredinha	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Veredinha	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Veríssimo	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Veríssimo	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Vermelho	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Novo Vermelho	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Vertente do Lério	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Vertente do Lério	2017	94.0%	94.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Vertentes	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Vertentes	2017	94.7%	95.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Correa Vespasiano	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Viadutos	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Viadutos	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Viamão	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Viamão	2017	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Viana	2000	89.0%	90.7%	87.0%
Brazil	Viana	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Viana	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Viana	2017	89.3%	91.0%	87.3%
Brazil	Vianópolis	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Vianópolis	2017	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Vicência	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Vicência	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Vicente Dutra	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Vicente Dutra	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Vicentina	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Vicentina	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Vicentinópolis	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Vicentinópolis	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	95.6%	96.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	90.8%	91.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	91.1%	92.2%	90.1%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Viçosa do Ceará	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Viçosa do Ceará	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Victor Graeff	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Victor Graeff	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Victorino Freire	2000	90.0%	91.7%	87.9%
Brazil	Victorino Freire	2017	90.3%	92.0%	88.2%
Brazil	Vidal Ramos	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Vidal Ramos	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Vieira	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Vieira	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Vieiras	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Vieiras	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Vieirópolis	2000	94.9%	95.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Vieirópolis	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Vigia	2000	78.0%	80.3%	75.7%
Brazil	Vigia	2017	78.4%	80.7%	76.2%
Brazil	Vila Alta	2000	96.1%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Vila Alta	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Vila Boa	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Vila Boa	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Vila Flor	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Vila Flor	2017	96.2%	96.8%	95.4%
Brazil	Vila Flores	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Vila Flores	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Vila Lângaro	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Vila Lângaro	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Vila Maria	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Vila Maria	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Piauí	2000	92.7%	94.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Piauí	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Sul	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Vila Nova dos Martírios	2000	88.0%	90.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Vila Nova dos Martírios	2017	88.5%	90.5%	86.7%
Brazil	Vila Pavão	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Vila Pavão	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Vila Propício	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Vila Propício	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Vila Rica	2000	89.8%	92.4%	86.9%
Brazil	Vila Rica	2017	90.1%	92.7%	87.3%
Brazil	Vila Valério	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Vila Valério	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Vila Velha	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Vila Velha	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Vilhena	2000	86.5%	88.6%	84.1%
Brazil	Vilhena	2017	86.8%	88.9%	84.5%
Brazil	Vinhedo	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Vinhedo	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Brazil	Viradouro	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Viradouro	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Virgem da Lapa	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Virgem da Lapa	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Virgínia	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Brazil	Virgínia	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Brazil	Virginópolis	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Virginópolis	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Virgolândia	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Virgolândia	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Virmond	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Virmond	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Visconde do Rio Branco	2000	96.1%	96.7%	95.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Visconde do Rio Branco	2017	96.3%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Viseu	2000	82.1%	84.9%	79.4%
Brazil	Viseu	2017	82.5%	85.2%	79.9%
Brazil	Vista Alegre	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Vista Alegre	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Alto	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Alto	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Prata	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Prata	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Vista Gaúcha	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Vista Gaúcha	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Vitor Meireles	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Vitor Meireles	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Vitoria	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Vitoria	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Vitória Brasil	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%
Brazil	Vitória Brasil	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
Brazil	Vitória da Conquista	2000	96.1%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Vitória da Conquista	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Vitória das Misses	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Vitória das Misses	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Vitória de Santo Antônio	2000	93.8%	94.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Vitória de Santo Antônio	2017	94.1%	94.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Vitória do Jari	2000	84.1%	86.2%	81.0%
Brazil	Vitória do Jari	2017	84.5%	86.6%	81.4%
Brazil	Vitória do Mearim	2000	89.7%	91.5%	87.7%
Brazil	Vitória do Mearim	2017	90.0%	91.7%	88.1%
Brazil	Vitória do Xingu	2000	80.4%	84.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Vitória do Xingu	2017	81.2%	85.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Vitorino	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Vitorino	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Volta Grande	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Volta Grande	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Volta Redonda	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	Volta Redonda	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.8%
Brazil	Votorantim	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Votorantim	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Votuporanga	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Votuporanga	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Wagner	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Wagner	2017	95.5%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Wall Ferraz	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Wall Ferraz	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.3%
Brazil	Wanderlândia	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Wanderlândia	2017	93.3%	94.5%	92.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Wenceslau Braz	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	Wenceslau Braz	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Wenceslau Guimarães	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Wenceslau Guimarães	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Witmarsum	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Witmarsum	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Xambioá	2000	90.6%	92.3%	88.7%
Brazil	Xambioá	2017	90.9%	92.6%	89.1%
Brazil	Xambrê	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Xambrê	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Xangri-lá	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Xangri-lá	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Xanxerê	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Xanxerê	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Xapuri	2000	81.3%	83.5%	78.8%
Brazil	Xapuri	2017	81.7%	84.0%	79.3%
Brazil	Xavantina	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Xavantina	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Xaxim	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Xaxim	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Xexéu	2000	92.2%	93.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Xexéu	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Xinguara	2000	85.3%	88.0%	82.1%
Brazil	Xinguara	2017	85.7%	88.3%	82.5%
Brazil	Xique-Xique	2000	94.6%	96.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Xique-Xique	2017	94.8%	96.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Zabelê	2000	93.2%	94.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Zabelê	2017	93.5%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Zacarias	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Zacarias	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Zé Doca	2000	88.9%	90.9%	86.9%
Brazil	Zé Doca	2017	89.2%	91.2%	87.3%
Brazil	Zortéa	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Zortéa	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Colombia	Abejorral	2000	78.4%	99.8%	24.5%
Colombia	Abejorral	2017	72.5%	98.8%	27.1%
Colombia	Abrego	2000	68.3%	94.7%	29.7%
Colombia	Abrego	2017	62.9%	89.1%	27.9%
Colombia	Abriaquí	2000	89.3%	95.4%	71.8%
Colombia	Abriaquí	2017	72.0%	83.7%	50.5%
Colombia	Acacías	2000	90.2%	96.3%	81.5%
Colombia	Acacías	2017	71.9%	85.2%	56.4%
Colombia	Acandí	2000	71.5%	90.9%	56.4%
Colombia	Acandí	2017	63.7%	77.9%	53.2%
Colombia	Acevedo	2000	85.0%	88.9%	81.1%
Colombia	Acevedo	2017	46.8%	51.9%	41.1%
Colombia	Achí	2000	48.3%	60.0%	38.0%
Colombia	Achí	2017	51.7%	60.4%	42.5%
Colombia	Agrado	2000	27.0%	75.0%	2.9%
Colombia	Agrado	2017	32.7%	81.7%	2.5%
Colombia	Agua de Dios	2000	95.2%	98.8%	83.8%
Colombia	Agua de Dios	2017	85.3%	98.9%	49.9%
Colombia	Aguachica	2000	85.0%	92.8%	73.0%
Colombia	Aguachica	2017	53.9%	66.7%	42.7%
Colombia	Aguada	2000	78.5%	100.0%	7.4%
Colombia	Aguada	2017	76.5%	100.0%	7.8%
Colombia	Aguadas	2000	65.0%	99.2%	12.2%
Colombia	Aguadas	2017	58.8%	96.3%	17.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Aguazul	2000	77.7%	89.0%	63.8%
Colombia	Aguazul	2017	76.7%	85.8%	65.2%
Colombia	Agustín Codazzi	2000	77.9%	89.1%	66.7%
Colombia	Agustín Codazzi	2017	68.3%	79.2%	58.1%
Colombia	Aipe	2000	87.2%	98.9%	62.5%
Colombia	Aipe	2017	75.6%	95.4%	41.1%
Colombia	Albán	2000	99.0%	100.0%	91.0%
Colombia	Albán	2000	88.1%	99.0%	69.6%
Colombia	Albán	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.6%
Colombia	Albán	2017	80.2%	93.8%	64.7%
Colombia	Albania	2000	73.7%	97.0%	27.9%
Colombia	Albania	2000	76.6%	83.8%	71.8%
Colombia	Albania	2017	60.0%	66.7%	55.8%
Colombia	Albania	2017	56.8%	88.3%	19.9%
Colombia	Alcalá	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.4%
Colombia	Alcalá	2017	94.1%	96.6%	89.9%
Colombia	Aldana	2000	35.3%	51.1%	23.6%
Colombia	Aldana	2017	50.9%	63.0%	35.8%
Colombia	Alejandría	2000	58.4%	99.8%	5.1%
Colombia	Alejandría	2017	60.4%	98.5%	8.1%
Colombia	Algeciras	2000	87.3%	94.1%	77.3%
Colombia	Algeciras	2017	82.0%	88.7%	73.6%
Colombia	Almaguer	2000	64.4%	97.6%	15.6%
Colombia	Almaguer	2017	62.0%	94.8%	20.5%
Colombia	Almeida	2000	77.3%	99.2%	36.3%
Colombia	Almeida	2017	60.8%	98.6%	15.5%
Colombia	Alpujarra	2000	71.7%	99.6%	18.3%
Colombia	Alpujarra	2017	66.8%	96.8%	22.7%
Colombia	Altamira	2000	87.2%	98.6%	66.2%
Colombia	Altamira	2017	69.7%	90.2%	42.8%
Colombia	Alto Baudó	2000	68.8%	78.0%	58.9%
Colombia	Alto Baudó	2017	85.2%	90.8%	78.1%
Colombia	Altos del Rosario	2000	84.3%	96.8%	54.1%
Colombia	Altos del Rosario	2017	71.1%	96.7%	29.8%
Colombia	Alvarado	2000	86.8%	97.5%	60.9%
Colombia	Alvarado	2017	75.2%	93.2%	46.8%
Colombia	Amagá	2000	96.5%	99.5%	91.1%
Colombia	Amagá	2017	88.2%	99.4%	66.8%
Colombia	Amalfi	2000	77.1%	96.9%	47.4%
Colombia	Amalfi	2017	65.5%	89.4%	38.0%
Colombia	Ambalema	2000	91.6%	97.8%	76.4%
Colombia	Ambalema	2017	73.0%	86.7%	49.2%
Colombia	Anapoima	2000	57.8%	99.8%	5.5%
Colombia	Anapoima	2017	57.1%	98.5%	6.6%
Colombia	Ancuyá	2000	96.4%	99.0%	92.6%
Colombia	Ancuyá	2017	86.4%	96.0%	67.2%
Colombia	Andalucía	2000	82.7%	88.6%	74.4%
Colombia	Andalucía	2017	80.6%	88.0%	73.0%
Colombia	Andes	2000	89.1%	97.2%	73.8%
Colombia	Andes	2017	79.6%	95.1%	53.1%
Colombia	Angelópolis	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.6%
Colombia	Angelópolis	2017	93.1%	98.6%	83.8%
Colombia	Angostura	2000	89.5%	96.0%	74.8%
Colombia	Angostura	2017	79.1%	87.6%	59.7%
Colombia	Anolaima	2000	95.6%	99.1%	81.2%
Colombia	Anolaima	2017	88.5%	98.3%	57.1%
Colombia	Anorí	2000	67.8%	98.1%	30.3%
Colombia	Anorí	2017	67.6%	94.1%	35.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Anserma	2000	89.3%	93.1%	85.0%
Colombia	Anserma	2017	62.3%	74.2%	50.6%
Colombia	Ansermanuevo	2000	96.7%	99.8%	84.6%
Colombia	Ansermanuevo	2017	89.3%	98.5%	66.2%
Colombia	Anzá	2000	72.7%	100.0%	11.1%
Colombia	Anzá	2017	71.1%	99.8%	16.8%
Colombia	Anzoátegui	2000	86.4%	99.7%	57.0%
Colombia	Anzoátegui	2017	80.5%	99.2%	48.9%
Colombia	Apartadó	2000	94.4%	96.4%	91.1%
Colombia	Apartadó	2017	75.9%	82.0%	70.2%
Colombia	Apía	2000	59.8%	71.0%	53.7%
Colombia	Apía	2017	37.0%	51.1%	28.7%
Colombia	Apulo	2000	78.3%	98.9%	39.8%
Colombia	Apulo	2017	88.4%	99.6%	55.7%
Colombia	Aquitania	2000	78.5%	90.7%	65.6%
Colombia	Aquitania	2017	57.0%	69.4%	45.0%
Colombia	Aracataca	2000	91.3%	95.2%	86.3%
Colombia	Aracataca	2017	76.7%	83.2%	69.3%
Colombia	Aranzazú	2000	99.1%	99.5%	97.3%
Colombia	Aranzazú	2017	89.8%	94.5%	74.4%
Colombia	Aratoca	2000	62.2%	99.2%	18.3%
Colombia	Aratoca	2017	57.3%	96.2%	15.3%
Colombia	Arauca	2000	84.9%	91.0%	69.3%
Colombia	Arauca	2017	79.4%	85.7%	69.3%
Colombia	Arauquita	2000	90.1%	99.7%	64.6%
Colombia	Arauquita	2017	84.8%	98.8%	57.7%
Colombia	Arbeláez	2000	61.1%	95.0%	13.8%
Colombia	Arbeláez	2017	37.2%	75.0%	5.4%
Colombia	Arboleda	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Colombia	Arboleda	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Colombia	Arboledas	2000	72.0%	100.0%	14.8%
Colombia	Arboledas	2017	69.6%	100.0%	19.4%
Colombia	Arboletes	2000	77.4%	99.3%	35.0%
Colombia	Arboletes	2017	73.4%	97.6%	30.9%
Colombia	Arcabuco	2000	53.7%	88.5%	17.8%
Colombia	Arcabuco	2017	48.6%	92.0%	13.4%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	67.6%	99.5%	20.9%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	63.9%	99.7%	10.5%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	91.3%	99.7%	59.5%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	73.9%	97.5%	33.7%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	63.4%	97.7%	16.5%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	79.5%	97.6%	37.3%
Colombia	Ariguaní	2000	57.3%	70.5%	44.8%
Colombia	Ariguaní	2017	69.2%	78.1%	59.1%
Colombia	Arjona	2000	85.7%	98.9%	43.3%
Colombia	Arjona	2017	79.0%	98.2%	38.4%
Colombia	Armenia	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Colombia	Armenia	2000	52.8%	78.7%	22.1%
Colombia	Armenia	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.5%
Colombia	Armenia	2017	54.5%	83.4%	17.5%
Colombia	Armero	2000	88.5%	96.3%	78.4%
Colombia	Armero	2017	74.3%	88.9%	60.8%
Colombia	Astrea	2000	61.4%	99.6%	6.3%
Colombia	Astrea	2017	65.5%	98.6%	21.0%
Colombia	Ataco	2000	77.7%	84.8%	67.7%
Colombia	Ataco	2017	63.0%	72.6%	52.4%
Colombia	Ayapel	2000	63.3%	88.3%	36.4%
Colombia	Ayapel	2017	61.4%	78.9%	43.5%
Colombia	Bagadó	2000	67.3%	88.0%	46.5%
Colombia	Bagadó	2017	70.9%	89.5%	47.0%
Colombia	Bahía Solano	2000	70.8%	99.0%	26.6%
Colombia	Bahía Solano	2017	76.2%	98.6%	38.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Bajo Baudó	2000	73.1%	90.6%	53.4%
Colombia	Bajo Baudó	2017	79.2%	92.7%	63.4%
Colombia	Balboa	2000	84.6%	100.0%	40.8%
Colombia	Balboa	2000	83.0%	96.7%	60.7%
Colombia	Balboa	2017	67.8%	89.7%	43.8%
Colombia	Balboa	2017	72.6%	99.7%	39.4%
Colombia	Baranoa	2000	98.4%	99.6%	96.1%
Colombia	Baranoa	2017	96.8%	99.0%	92.3%
Colombia	Baraya	2000	89.0%	99.8%	39.9%
Colombia	Baraya	2017	81.9%	98.5%	31.0%
Colombia	Barbacoas	2000	74.4%	87.1%	56.5%
Colombia	Barbacoas	2017	73.1%	84.9%	57.8%
Colombia	Barbosa	2000	94.1%	97.0%	89.3%
Colombia	Barbosa	2000	63.6%	85.9%	43.9%
Colombia	Barbosa	2017	73.0%	82.9%	63.2%
Colombia	Barbosa	2017	33.5%	48.8%	20.2%
Colombia	Barichara	2000	77.6%	100.0%	4.9%
Colombia	Barichara	2017	69.5%	100.0%	7.1%
Colombia	Barranca de Upía	2000	66.0%	93.8%	31.6%
Colombia	Barranca de Upía	2017	60.0%	92.0%	20.9%
Colombia	Barrancabermeja	2000	78.9%	90.4%	68.2%
Colombia	Barrancabermeja	2017	57.6%	73.3%	42.7%
Colombia	Barrancas	2000	91.2%	97.3%	70.4%
Colombia	Barrancas	2017	82.8%	92.0%	60.2%
Colombia	Barranco de Loba	2000	87.3%	97.9%	67.3%
Colombia	Barranco de Loba	2017	79.0%	96.0%	52.9%
Colombia	Barranco Minas	2000	57.2%	67.9%	47.7%
Colombia	Barranco Minas	2017	69.9%	77.5%	62.6%
Colombia	Barranquilla	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Colombia	Barranquilla	2017	94.4%	96.2%	92.0%
Colombia	Becerril	2000	75.8%	97.8%	36.4%
Colombia	Becerril	2017	71.3%	93.5%	43.1%
Colombia	Belalcázar	2000	63.1%	92.6%	37.0%
Colombia	Belalcázar	2017	65.5%	94.6%	28.3%
Colombia	Belén	2000	69.7%	98.5%	19.4%
Colombia	Belén	2000	90.7%	100.0%	29.0%
Colombia	Belén	2017	50.4%	88.0%	23.7%
Colombia	Belén	2017	89.3%	100.0%	27.8%
Colombia	Belén de los Andaquies	2000	68.2%	95.3%	34.7%
Colombia	Belén de los Andaquies	2017	61.4%	85.9%	29.0%
Colombia	Belén de Umbria	2000	81.8%	84.5%	79.3%
Colombia	Belén de Umbria	2017	32.1%	35.5%	28.3%
Colombia	Bello	2000	97.8%	98.1%	97.5%
Colombia	Bello	2017	84.3%	86.1%	82.1%
Colombia	Belmira	2000	89.8%	100.0%	53.9%
Colombia	Belmira	2017	86.0%	100.0%	47.9%
Colombia	Beltrán	2000	89.1%	99.9%	70.4%
Colombia	Beltrán	2017	84.1%	98.9%	65.6%
Colombia	Berbeo	2000	73.7%	100.0%	2.3%
Colombia	Berbeo	2017	73.3%	100.0%	5.1%
Colombia	Betania	2000	95.4%	99.4%	79.4%
Colombia	Betania	2017	89.4%	99.1%	57.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Beteitiva	2000	92.4%	100.0%	46.9%
Colombia	Beteitiva	2017	91.0%	100.0%	51.7%
Colombia	Betulia	2000	35.4%	56.4%	5.8%
Colombia	Betulia	2000	67.8%	98.6%	25.0%
Colombia	Betulia	2017	41.5%	68.0%	12.6%
Colombia	Betulia	2017	58.4%	92.5%	23.4%
Colombia	Bituima	2000	36.0%	45.9%	27.9%
Colombia	Bituima	2017	56.1%	70.4%	40.2%
Colombia	Boavita	2000	49.8%	68.1%	32.1%
Colombia	Boavita	2017	16.3%	31.5%	6.8%
Colombia	Bochalema	2000	79.9%	99.4%	39.8%
Colombia	Bochalema	2017	66.8%	94.3%	27.0%
Colombia	Bojacá	2000	94.7%	96.7%	92.3%
Colombia	Bojacá	2017	91.2%	95.0%	86.5%
Colombia	Bojayá	2000	62.3%	83.9%	37.8%
Colombia	Bojayá	2017	71.7%	89.4%	52.7%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	90.1%	99.8%	77.0%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	71.0%	88.3%	52.8%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	75.7%	96.9%	52.5%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	76.9%	83.9%	73.0%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	69.0%	91.0%	42.6%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	58.7%	77.1%	38.6%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	88.4%	98.9%	72.6%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	65.9%	80.8%	53.5%
Colombia	Bosconia	2000	33.0%	90.8%	6.1%
Colombia	Bosconia	2017	23.8%	74.4%	7.0%
Colombia	Boyacá	2000	35.5%	77.8%	11.9%
Colombia	Boyacá	2017	14.3%	44.1%	2.7%
Colombia	Briceño	2000	97.0%	99.3%	92.7%
Colombia	Briceño	2000	91.1%	100.0%	41.3%
Colombia	Briceño	2017	88.0%	99.3%	63.2%
Colombia	Briceño	2017	84.9%	99.7%	35.6%
Colombia	Bucaramanga	2000	83.8%	86.8%	80.8%
Colombia	Bucaramanga	2017	64.5%	70.4%	58.8%
Colombia	Bucarasica	2000	87.9%	99.9%	42.2%
Colombia	Bucarasica	2017	78.6%	98.9%	32.6%
Colombia	Buenaventura	2000	94.6%	97.4%	91.1%
Colombia	Buenaventura	2017	92.2%	95.5%	88.0%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	47.8%	75.5%	8.8%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	95.6%	97.6%	92.2%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	60.0%	81.0%	37.4%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	21.1%	55.2%	1.1%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	94.7%	96.7%	91.7%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.5%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	52.9%	73.7%	34.5%
Colombia	Buenos Aires	2000	70.2%	90.2%	57.5%
Colombia	Buenos Aires	2017	61.1%	70.4%	50.3%
Colombia	Buesaco	2000	98.5%	100.0%	88.0%
Colombia	Buesaco	2017	96.4%	100.0%	74.4%
Colombia	Bugalagrande	2000	90.6%	97.9%	81.0%
Colombia	Bugalagrande	2017	85.1%	97.7%	65.0%
Colombia	Buriticá	2000	83.4%	99.1%	47.5%
Colombia	Buriticá	2017	72.4%	96.2%	32.5%
Colombia	Busbanza	2000	99.2%	100.0%	94.5%
Colombia	Busbanza	2017	98.3%	99.9%	90.9%
Colombia	Cabrera	2000	79.1%	99.9%	18.8%
Colombia	Cabrera	2000	80.0%	100.0%	10.8%
Colombia	Cabrera	2017	61.5%	98.9%	7.6%
Colombia	Cabrera	2017	80.0%	100.0%	21.2%
Colombia	Cabuyaro	2000	66.8%	93.0%	37.7%
Colombia	Cabuyaro	2017	64.4%	87.1%	40.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Cacahual	2000	67.8%	79.5%	56.0%
Colombia	Cacahual	2017	74.8%	86.1%	60.7%
Colombia	Cáceres	2000	60.8%	73.4%	44.4%
Colombia	Cáceres	2017	52.7%	67.4%	33.7%
Colombia	Cachipay	2000	95.6%	100.0%	72.8%
Colombia	Cachipay	2017	85.3%	99.7%	32.8%
Colombia	Cáchira	2000	78.3%	99.7%	38.9%
Colombia	Cáchira	2017	72.9%	97.6%	32.8%
Colombia	Cácota	2000	56.9%	97.2%	9.2%
Colombia	Cácota	2017	68.3%	97.9%	25.1%
Colombia	Caicedo	2000	76.5%	100.0%	8.9%
Colombia	Caicedo	2017	75.6%	100.0%	15.3%
Colombia	Caicedonia	2000	93.4%	96.8%	88.0%
Colombia	Caicedonia	2017	67.4%	78.5%	56.2%
Colombia	Caimito	2000	90.5%	99.4%	66.4%
Colombia	Caimito	2017	90.4%	97.9%	74.6%
Colombia	Cajamarca	2000	87.9%	96.8%	74.7%
Colombia	Cajamarca	2017	70.8%	91.6%	44.4%
Colombia	Cajibío	2000	90.3%	99.1%	71.8%
Colombia	Cajibío	2017	76.5%	95.9%	48.5%
Colombia	Cajicá	2000	98.0%	98.8%	96.7%
Colombia	Cajicá	2017	90.7%	94.6%	82.6%
Colombia	Calamar	2000	96.1%	99.5%	84.7%
Colombia	Calamar	2000	65.6%	79.3%	48.0%
Colombia	Calamar	2017	91.6%	99.4%	74.0%
Colombia	Calamar	2017	69.8%	80.2%	57.8%
Colombia	Calarcá	2000	97.7%	99.5%	95.5%
Colombia	Calarcá	2017	92.5%	95.4%	88.1%
Colombia	Caldas	2000	76.6%	97.0%	32.6%
Colombia	Caldas	2000	96.4%	98.9%	92.9%
Colombia	Caldas	2017	43.6%	82.4%	10.5%
Colombia	Caldas	2017	87.9%	97.1%	68.0%
Colombia	Caldonó	2000	96.1%	97.6%	91.9%
Colombia	Caldonó	2017	85.9%	90.8%	75.8%
Colombia	California	2000	75.2%	100.0%	11.3%
Colombia	California	2017	73.7%	100.0%	16.3%
Colombia	Calima	2000	75.4%	100.0%	17.4%
Colombia	Calima	2017	75.9%	100.0%	29.2%
Colombia	Caloto	2000	86.8%	96.8%	64.6%
Colombia	Caloto	2017	70.7%	84.1%	57.8%
Colombia	Campamento	2000	87.7%	97.9%	70.3%
Colombia	Campamento	2017	70.7%	89.5%	46.3%
Colombia	Campo de la Cruz	2000	98.0%	100.0%	71.5%
Colombia	Campo de la Cruz	2017	96.4%	100.0%	58.5%
Colombia	Campoalegre	2000	53.1%	84.8%	29.0%
Colombia	Campoalegre	2017	45.4%	80.8%	25.1%
Colombia	Campohermoso	2000	70.9%	100.0%	11.5%
Colombia	Campohermoso	2017	68.1%	99.2%	19.5%
Colombia	Canalete	2000	72.5%	96.3%	31.8%
Colombia	Canalete	2017	63.5%	88.5%	32.2%
Colombia	Cañasgordas	2000	95.7%	99.2%	81.7%
Colombia	Cañasgordas	2017	85.4%	95.0%	65.8%
Colombia	Candelaria	2000	99.1%	99.6%	98.2%
Colombia	Candelaria	2000	99.4%	100.0%	93.4%
Colombia	Candelaria	2017	97.9%	100.0%	85.1%
Colombia	Candelaria	2017	98.4%	99.4%	96.3%
Colombia	Cantagallo	2000	79.9%	99.9%	32.8%
Colombia	Cantagallo	2017	78.6%	99.1%	36.8%
Colombia	Caparrapí	2000	77.0%	99.5%	36.2%
Colombia	Caparrapí	2017	72.2%	95.9%	38.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Capitanejo	2000	77.6%	88.2%	60.4%
Colombia	Capitanejo	2017	30.9%	49.4%	19.7%
Colombia	Cáqueza	2000	52.5%	59.9%	46.5%
Colombia	Cáqueza	2017	30.5%	38.5%	23.6%
Colombia	Caracolí	2000	90.0%	100.0%	48.6%
Colombia	Caracolí	2017	85.4%	100.0%	31.7%
Colombia	Caramanta	2000	77.2%	99.9%	18.6%
Colombia	Caramanta	2017	60.6%	97.5%	10.3%
Colombia	Carcasí	2000	44.5%	93.9%	3.7%
Colombia	Carcasí	2017	41.5%	90.4%	4.2%
Colombia	Carepa	2000	93.5%	97.2%	85.6%
Colombia	Carepa	2017	63.3%	76.8%	49.0%
Colombia	Carmen de Apicalá	2000	93.7%	99.6%	58.4%
Colombia	Carmen de Apicalá	2017	84.8%	97.6%	45.8%
Colombia	Carmen de Carupa	2000	96.8%	100.0%	71.3%
Colombia	Carmen de Carupa	2017	91.4%	99.8%	48.1%
Colombia	Carolina del Príncipe	2000	97.6%	100.0%	84.2%
Colombia	Carolina del Príncipe	2017	92.7%	99.9%	63.8%
Colombia	Cartagena de Indias	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.5%
Colombia	Cartagena de Indias	2017	88.4%	92.5%	83.0%
Colombia	Cartagena del Chairá	2000	71.8%	81.6%	61.3%
Colombia	Cartagena del Chairá	2017	67.1%	76.8%	55.9%
Colombia	Cartago	2000	80.6%	84.2%	78.4%
Colombia	Cartago	2017	83.1%	89.7%	79.3%
Colombia	Carurú	2000	52.8%	64.3%	42.3%
Colombia	Carurú	2017	67.4%	77.2%	56.7%
Colombia	Casabianca	2000	86.3%	97.9%	63.7%
Colombia	Casabianca	2017	64.6%	87.2%	34.7%
Colombia	Castilla la Nueva	2000	77.6%	99.1%	30.6%
Colombia	Castilla la Nueva	2017	69.3%	97.1%	26.1%
Colombia	Caucasia	2000	82.2%	91.9%	72.0%
Colombia	Caucasia	2017	73.3%	83.8%	62.3%
Colombia	Cepitá	2000	59.4%	99.6%	6.7%
Colombia	Cepitá	2017	57.2%	95.8%	12.0%
Colombia	Cereté	2000	93.8%	96.7%	91.3%
Colombia	Cereté	2017	90.9%	96.7%	80.8%
Colombia	Cerinsa	2000	98.1%	100.0%	73.7%
Colombia	Cerinsa	2017	97.1%	100.0%	77.0%
Colombia	Cerrito	2000	63.5%	100.0%	3.8%
Colombia	Cerrito	2017	64.3%	100.0%	8.6%
Colombia	Cerro de San Antonio	2000	92.6%	100.0%	64.9%
Colombia	Cerro de San Antonio	2017	91.4%	99.9%	66.6%
Colombia	Chachagüí	2000	98.8%	100.0%	87.3%
Colombia	Chachagüí	2017	96.8%	99.8%	76.8%
Colombia	Chaguaní	2000	70.5%	99.0%	23.3%
Colombia	Chaguaní	2017	70.7%	99.1%	23.0%
Colombia	Chalán	2000	68.2%	97.5%	19.7%
Colombia	Chalán	2017	69.1%	98.1%	20.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Chámeza	2000	75.8%	98.7%	26.8%
Colombia	Chámeza	2017	81.1%	97.9%	48.2%
Colombia	Chaparral	2000	79.4%	88.0%	71.9%
Colombia	Chaparral	2017	58.9%	69.6%	50.6%
Colombia	Charalá	2000	75.9%	99.8%	20.9%
Colombia	Charalá	2017	73.3%	98.7%	32.1%
Colombia	Charta	2000	91.7%	100.0%	60.0%
Colombia	Charta	2017	89.0%	99.8%	52.9%
Colombia	Chía	2000	97.7%	98.9%	96.2%
Colombia	Chía	2017	92.1%	95.6%	87.2%
Colombia	Chigorodó	2000	85.6%	93.3%	73.0%
Colombia	Chigorodó	2017	61.1%	75.3%	43.0%
Colombia	Chimá	2000	27.0%	51.9%	14.3%
Colombia	Chimá	2000	72.1%	100.0%	8.0%
Colombia	Chimá	2017	71.4%	100.0%	12.9%
Colombia	Chimá	2017	50.9%	79.3%	25.8%
Colombia	Chimichagua	2000	74.9%	93.5%	43.3%
Colombia	Chimichagua	2017	69.4%	89.9%	40.7%
Colombia	Chinácota	2000	80.9%	97.4%	47.8%
Colombia	Chinácota	2017	60.3%	93.1%	22.0%
Colombia	Chinavita	2000	86.3%	100.0%	28.5%
Colombia	Chinavita	2017	85.2%	100.0%	37.2%
Colombia	Chinchiná	2000	94.3%	99.8%	74.7%
Colombia	Chinchiná	2017	88.8%	98.9%	65.1%
Colombia	Chinú	2000	89.4%	92.2%	84.4%
Colombia	Chinú	2017	78.0%	84.2%	66.8%
Colombia	Chipaque	2000	93.9%	99.7%	76.5%
Colombia	Chipaque	2017	86.7%	98.7%	61.3%
Colombia	Chipatá	2000	94.5%	99.9%	57.2%
Colombia	Chipatá	2017	84.3%	99.0%	37.9%
Colombia	Chiquinquirá	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.7%
Colombia	Chiquinquirá	2017	72.0%	81.5%	58.6%
Colombia	Chíquiza	2000	79.5%	100.0%	17.1%
Colombia	Chíquiza	2017	75.2%	99.9%	13.8%
Colombia	Chiriguaná	2000	73.8%	84.3%	59.4%
Colombia	Chiriguaná	2017	53.4%	66.3%	37.8%
Colombia	Chiscas	2000	36.3%	79.2%	7.9%
Colombia	Chiscas	2017	50.7%	84.0%	19.2%
Colombia	Chita	2000	57.6%	99.5%	8.4%
Colombia	Chita	2017	59.3%	97.8%	10.6%
Colombia	Chitagá	2000	52.2%	97.0%	12.6%
Colombia	Chitagá	2017	60.5%	94.6%	23.7%
Colombia	Chitaraque	2000	85.7%	100.0%	30.9%
Colombia	Chitaraque	2017	75.3%	99.6%	20.0%
Colombia	Chivatá	2000	81.4%	93.3%	63.2%
Colombia	Chivatá	2017	84.2%	95.3%	59.7%
Colombia	Chivolo	2000	50.5%	94.1%	17.7%
Colombia	Chivolo	2017	54.9%	91.4%	22.1%
Colombia	Chivor	2000	58.4%	100.0%	1.5%
Colombia	Chivor	2017	62.1%	100.0%	6.0%
Colombia	Choachí	2000	98.7%	100.0%	92.8%
Colombia	Choachí	2017	97.2%	99.9%	85.8%
Colombia	Chocontá	2000	61.7%	96.1%	16.1%
Colombia	Chocontá	2017	68.4%	97.1%	14.7%
Colombia	Cicuco	2000	91.9%	96.1%	86.4%
Colombia	Cicuco	2017	84.6%	92.1%	75.9%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2000	44.8%	99.7%	0.8%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2000	90.3%	95.6%	83.7%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2017	54.4%	99.1%	1.5%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2017	85.0%	92.1%	78.4%
Colombia	Ciénaga de Oro	2000	55.8%	84.0%	22.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Ciénaga de Oro	2017	59.7%	82.8%	23.9%
Colombia	Cimitarra	2000	73.9%	91.4%	38.4%
Colombia	Cimitarra	2017	63.3%	84.9%	35.7%
Colombia	Circasia	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.0%
Colombia	Circasia	2017	93.2%	97.8%	84.2%
Colombia	Cisneros	2000	90.1%	99.8%	48.5%
Colombia	Cisneros	2017	76.1%	99.3%	27.1%
Colombia	Cocorná	2000	82.3%	94.0%	67.1%
Colombia	Cocorná	2017	55.8%	75.1%	37.2%
Colombia	Coello	2000	92.6%	99.7%	73.8%
Colombia	Coello	2017	86.9%	98.0%	58.7%
Colombia	Cogua	2000	95.4%	99.0%	88.3%
Colombia	Cogua	2017	88.4%	93.4%	82.9%
Colombia	Colombia	2000	82.1%	99.3%	52.2%
Colombia	Colombia	2017	76.7%	96.0%	50.6%
Colombia	Colón	2000	73.9%	97.8%	32.3%
Colombia	Colón	2000	83.4%	100.0%	26.4%
Colombia	Colón	2017	46.2%	85.7%	17.1%
Colombia	Colón	2017	72.7%	99.9%	26.1%
Colombia	Colosó	2000	61.7%	96.4%	11.5%
Colombia	Colosó	2017	67.2%	97.2%	19.4%
Colombia	Cómbita	2000	86.6%	88.8%	83.2%
Colombia	Cómbita	2017	77.5%	82.0%	72.2%
Colombia	Concepción	2000	67.5%	99.5%	14.7%
Colombia	Concepción	2000	65.7%	99.6%	12.3%
Colombia	Concepción	2017	58.9%	97.0%	23.4%
Colombia	Concepción	2017	57.8%	94.9%	16.1%
Colombia	Concordia	2000	79.7%	98.7%	35.5%
Colombia	Concordia	2017	76.3%	96.0%	51.4%
Colombia	Condoto	2000	85.3%	94.2%	71.1%
Colombia	Condoto	2017	90.5%	97.5%	80.2%
Colombia	Confines	2000	79.9%	100.0%	7.3%
Colombia	Confines	2017	74.8%	100.0%	9.8%
Colombia	Consacá	2000	99.3%	100.0%	93.4%
Colombia	Consacá	2017	98.1%	100.0%	84.4%
Colombia	Contadero	2000	94.5%	96.6%	92.1%
Colombia	Contadero	2017	57.7%	69.4%	47.1%
Colombia	Contratación	2000	72.0%	100.0%	4.2%
Colombia	Contratación	2017	72.1%	100.0%	12.3%
Colombia	Convención	2000	64.8%	83.1%	41.9%
Colombia	Convención	2017	47.8%	72.6%	26.9%
Colombia	Copacabana	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Colombia	Copacabana	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Colombia	Coper	2000	56.9%	80.0%	24.7%
Colombia	Coper	2017	37.4%	66.9%	10.0%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	96.1%	98.7%	87.0%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	98.5%	100.0%	88.2%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	71.1%	94.8%	26.5%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	69.1%	93.1%	23.6%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	83.1%	90.7%	67.9%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	93.8%	99.8%	74.0%
Colombia	Corinto	2000	72.3%	83.9%	63.2%
Colombia	Corinto	2017	62.8%	82.2%	44.1%
Colombia	Coromoro	2000	76.1%	99.7%	28.7%
Colombia	Coromoro	2017	71.8%	97.3%	25.7%
Colombia	Corozal	2000	95.3%	97.3%	86.2%
Colombia	Corozal	2017	84.5%	89.0%	74.3%
Colombia	Corrales	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Colombia	Corrales	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.6%
Colombia	Cota	2000	90.1%	93.6%	86.4%
Colombia	Cota	2017	75.6%	81.1%	69.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Covarachía	2000	61.5%	97.4%	12.8%
Colombia	Covarachía	2017	33.3%	82.2%	3.3%
Colombia	Coyaima	2000	59.7%	91.7%	30.7%
Colombia	Coyaima	2017	55.7%	87.0%	26.2%
Colombia	Cravo Norte	2000	79.0%	97.6%	45.4%
Colombia	Cravo Norte	2017	80.1%	95.8%	56.2%
Colombia	Cuaspud	2000	65.4%	74.1%	58.3%
Colombia	Cuaspud	2017	31.8%	40.3%	23.6%
Colombia	Cubará	2000	75.9%	98.3%	40.1%
Colombia	Cubará	2017	79.7%	96.9%	47.7%
Colombia	Cucaita	2000	98.2%	99.8%	94.4%
Colombia	Cucaita	2017	93.1%	99.3%	78.1%
Colombia	Cucunubá	2000	95.1%	98.3%	84.3%
Colombia	Cucunubá	2017	82.5%	94.2%	65.2%
Colombia	Cucutilla	2000	65.9%	100.0%	6.9%
Colombia	Cucutilla	2017	67.9%	100.0%	15.3%
Colombia	Cúitiva	2000	82.1%	100.0%	19.4%
Colombia	Cúitiva	2017	76.3%	99.8%	12.8%
Colombia	Cumaral	2000	69.1%	74.7%	63.9%
Colombia	Cumaral	2017	74.8%	79.5%	69.6%
Colombia	Cumaribo	2000	76.3%	83.7%	67.2%
Colombia	Cumaribo	2017	71.4%	78.1%	64.0%
Colombia	Cumbal	2000	63.6%	88.0%	32.1%
Colombia	Cumbal	2017	52.3%	78.3%	27.2%
Colombia	Cumbitara	2000	63.9%	99.6%	11.3%
Colombia	Cumbitara	2017	62.0%	98.2%	12.4%
Colombia	Cunday	2000	98.3%	100.0%	86.7%
Colombia	Cunday	2017	96.3%	99.9%	78.7%
Colombia	Curillo	2000	76.1%	97.2%	45.0%
Colombia	Curillo	2017	65.3%	92.9%	29.1%
Colombia	Curití	2000	49.6%	95.8%	8.0%
Colombia	Curití	2017	49.3%	91.5%	14.0%
Colombia	Curumaní	2000	84.3%	97.0%	47.0%
Colombia	Curumaní	2017	67.4%	87.7%	36.5%
Colombia	Dabeiba	2000	81.6%	96.9%	49.2%
Colombia	Dabeiba	2017	74.0%	92.2%	47.9%
Colombia	Dagua	2000	73.3%	98.3%	41.1%
Colombia	Dagua	2017	75.2%	97.8%	45.7%
Colombia	Dolores	2000	79.4%	100.0%	25.9%
Colombia	Dolores	2017	76.0%	99.9%	29.9%
Colombia	Don Matías	2000	96.3%	99.9%	82.6%
Colombia	Don Matías	2017	91.3%	99.7%	73.2%
Colombia	Dosquebradas	2000	97.8%	98.1%	97.5%
Colombia	Dosquebradas	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.6%
Colombia	Duitama	2000	96.1%	97.6%	93.2%
Colombia	Duitama	2017	74.1%	80.4%	67.8%
Colombia	Durania	2000	83.1%	100.0%	28.7%
Colombia	Durania	2017	71.3%	99.9%	17.8%
Colombia	Ebéjico	2000	95.3%	100.0%	71.9%
Colombia	Ebéjico	2017	92.1%	100.0%	62.6%
Colombia	El Águila	2000	82.3%	100.0%	19.6%
Colombia	El Águila	2017	78.1%	99.9%	19.0%
Colombia	El Bagre	2000	58.3%	81.3%	35.8%
Colombia	El Bagre	2017	56.3%	71.6%	41.1%
Colombia	El Banco	2000	76.0%	82.5%	68.1%
Colombia	El Banco	2017	51.9%	60.8%	41.7%
Colombia	El Cairo	2000	77.5%	100.0%	14.8%
Colombia	El Cairo	2017	73.1%	100.0%	14.4%
Colombia	El Calvario	2000	83.1%	99.9%	34.4%
Colombia	El Calvario	2017	79.3%	99.4%	37.1%
Colombia	El Cantón del San Pablo	2000	74.9%	96.3%	47.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	El Cantón del San Pablo	2017	79.0%	95.4%	57.2%
Colombia	El Carmen	2000	68.0%	96.6%	36.3%
Colombia	El Carmen	2017	64.3%	87.8%	37.8%
Colombia	El Carmen de Atrato	2000	68.4%	90.0%	44.3%
Colombia	El Carmen de Atrato	2017	70.8%	90.9%	45.5%
Colombia	El Carmen de Bolívar	2000	52.7%	68.5%	38.2%
Colombia	El Carmen de Bolívar	2017	76.9%	87.2%	63.7%
Colombia	El Carmen de Chucurí	2000	74.8%	99.0%	34.7%
Colombia	El Carmen de Chucurí	2017	68.0%	94.8%	35.6%
Colombia	El Carmen de Viboral	2000	96.1%	98.3%	91.2%
Colombia	El Carmen de Viboral	2017	82.9%	92.0%	69.5%
Colombia	El Castillo	2000	67.7%	83.3%	53.8%
Colombia	El Castillo	2017	42.1%	56.9%	33.7%
Colombia	El Cerrito	2000	70.5%	82.7%	49.0%
Colombia	El Cerrito	2017	67.2%	84.5%	48.2%
Colombia	El Charco	2000	62.9%	86.6%	39.7%
Colombia	El Charco	2017	64.3%	84.6%	40.0%
Colombia	El Cocuy	2000	82.9%	99.8%	37.1%
Colombia	El Cocuy	2017	76.0%	99.1%	27.5%
Colombia	El Colegio	2000	74.6%	96.6%	44.3%
Colombia	El Colegio	2017	49.1%	82.9%	21.9%
Colombia	El Copey	2000	92.1%	97.6%	83.6%
Colombia	El Copey	2017	88.1%	95.1%	77.3%
Colombia	El Doncello	2000	65.3%	82.9%	46.6%
Colombia	El Doncello	2017	57.0%	72.2%	40.0%
Colombia	El Dorado	2000	84.7%	99.1%	53.6%
Colombia	El Dorado	2017	68.6%	96.1%	34.2%
Colombia	El Dovio	2000	81.8%	99.9%	46.3%
Colombia	El Dovio	2017	80.4%	99.7%	48.7%
Colombia	El Encanto	2000	65.5%	81.8%	46.7%
Colombia	El Encanto	2017	73.5%	84.9%	60.5%
Colombia	El Espino	2000	23.7%	82.2%	4.0%
Colombia	El Espino	2017	40.8%	75.9%	20.1%
Colombia	El Guacamayo	2000	75.6%	100.0%	10.3%
Colombia	El Guacamayo	2017	75.7%	99.9%	25.6%
Colombia	El Guamo	2000	89.1%	99.7%	60.8%
Colombia	El Guamo	2017	90.2%	99.7%	62.6%
Colombia	El Litoral del San Juan	2000	68.4%	84.7%	48.4%
Colombia	El Litoral del San Juan	2017	76.2%	87.4%	62.7%
Colombia	El Molino	2000	99.2%	100.0%	94.3%
Colombia	El Molino	2017	98.3%	100.0%	75.7%
Colombia	El Paso	2000	62.2%	99.5%	19.7%
Colombia	El Paso	2017	65.2%	97.0%	23.4%
Colombia	El Paujíl	2000	49.0%	65.3%	31.5%
Colombia	El Paujíl	2017	48.0%	72.0%	25.7%
Colombia	El Peñon	2000	62.1%	99.4%	14.9%
Colombia	El Peñon	2000	70.3%	98.8%	27.4%
Colombia	El Peñon	2017	65.2%	97.9%	18.5%
Colombia	El Peñon	2017	60.5%	93.3%	20.5%
Colombia	El Piñón	2000	88.7%	97.4%	72.6%
Colombia	El Piñón	2017	86.0%	96.8%	67.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	El Playón	2000	81.3%	100.0%	17.1%
Colombia	El Playón	2017	74.1%	99.9%	18.0%
Colombia	El Retorno	2000	68.5%	79.3%	56.2%
Colombia	El Retorno	2017	61.4%	72.6%	48.3%
Colombia	El Rosario	2000	53.9%	99.0%	6.4%
Colombia	El Rosario	2017	58.1%	96.8%	15.1%
Colombia	El Santuario	2000	59.1%	93.4%	20.0%
Colombia	El Santuario	2017	50.4%	83.0%	23.4%
Colombia	El Tablón de Gomez	2000	86.0%	99.5%	63.0%
Colombia	El Tablón de Gomez	2017	82.9%	97.9%	55.5%
Colombia	El Tambo	2000	98.4%	99.8%	87.8%
Colombia	El Tambo	2000	74.0%	96.3%	42.5%
Colombia	El Tambo	2017	91.5%	98.8%	73.1%
Colombia	El Tambo	2017	72.5%	93.0%	45.1%
Colombia	El Tarra	2000	63.7%	100.0%	10.7%
Colombia	El Tarra	2017	67.7%	99.7%	22.1%
Colombia	El Zulia	2000	71.1%	90.8%	46.3%
Colombia	El Zulia	2017	66.7%	90.6%	40.5%
Colombia	Elías	2000	58.8%	96.9%	17.1%
Colombia	Elías	2017	64.2%	98.1%	23.7%
Colombia	Encino	2000	79.8%	100.0%	27.5%
Colombia	Encino	2017	79.9%	99.9%	34.3%
Colombia	Enciso	2000	90.5%	97.1%	79.1%
Colombia	Enciso	2017	60.0%	76.7%	46.1%
Colombia	Entrerriós	2000	92.8%	100.0%	45.4%
Colombia	Entrerriós	2017	87.7%	100.0%	35.7%
Colombia	Envigado	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Colombia	Envigado	2017	91.9%	95.0%	87.7%
Colombia	Espinal	2000	94.6%	96.9%	91.6%
Colombia	Espinal	2017	85.2%	90.8%	78.3%
Colombia	Facatativá	2000	97.3%	98.3%	95.0%
Colombia	Facatativá	2017	94.0%	96.5%	90.2%
Colombia	Falán	2000	95.2%	97.0%	92.5%
Colombia	Falán	2017	75.1%	82.7%	67.7%
Colombia	Filadelfia	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.1%
Colombia	Filadelfia	2017	81.8%	87.9%	72.3%
Colombia	Filandia	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.2%
Colombia	Filandia	2017	97.6%	99.5%	92.6%
Colombia	Firavitoba	2000	85.0%	99.8%	49.6%
Colombia	Firavitoba	2017	79.2%	99.1%	35.2%
Colombia	Flandes	2000	97.7%	99.9%	90.2%
Colombia	Flandes	2017	92.9%	99.6%	82.1%
Colombia	Florencia	2000	78.3%	91.4%	60.1%
Colombia	Florencia	2000	77.7%	81.1%	74.0%
Colombia	Florencia	2017	50.5%	69.4%	34.3%
Colombia	Florencia	2017	60.1%	64.5%	56.0%
Colombia	Floresta	2000	95.0%	96.3%	91.8%
Colombia	Floresta	2017	83.1%	86.8%	75.1%
Colombia	Florián	2000	96.9%	100.0%	71.7%
Colombia	Florián	2017	94.4%	100.0%	62.7%
Colombia	Florida	2000	70.3%	83.2%	52.0%
Colombia	Florida	2017	70.4%	84.5%	55.2%
Colombia	Floridablanca	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.3%
Colombia	Floridablanca	2017	73.5%	78.9%	67.8%
Colombia	Fómeque	2000	95.3%	99.4%	76.0%
Colombia	Fómeque	2017	91.2%	97.8%	71.9%
Colombia	Fonseca	2000	93.0%	100.0%	68.3%
Colombia	Fonseca	2017	91.6%	99.9%	66.6%
Colombia	Fortul	2000	68.9%	78.6%	51.8%
Colombia	Fortul	2017	68.5%	79.0%	52.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Fosca	2000	45.3%	67.0%	21.9%
Colombia	Fosca	2017	34.1%	74.0%	8.7%
Colombia	Francisco Pizarro	2000	82.4%	99.3%	39.0%
Colombia	Francisco Pizarro	2017	79.9%	97.7%	45.9%
Colombia	Fredonia	2000	87.2%	99.6%	39.8%
Colombia	Fredonia	2017	78.9%	98.6%	30.8%
Colombia	Fresno	2000	89.1%	93.7%	80.4%
Colombia	Fresno	2017	65.5%	76.3%	56.0%
Colombia	Frontino	2000	75.3%	93.9%	56.7%
Colombia	Frontino	2017	68.8%	86.5%	50.5%
Colombia	Fuente de Oro	2000	45.6%	59.6%	35.4%
Colombia	Fuente de Oro	2017	34.0%	42.0%	26.8%
Colombia	Fundación	2000	85.2%	94.9%	69.8%
Colombia	Fundación	2017	73.5%	92.3%	52.3%
Colombia	Funes	2000	59.3%	95.7%	23.5%
Colombia	Funes	2017	57.9%	91.1%	25.2%
Colombia	Funza	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.5%
Colombia	Funza	2017	87.5%	89.7%	85.0%
Colombia	Fúquene	2000	79.4%	100.0%	15.6%
Colombia	Fúquene	2017	70.7%	99.8%	12.2%
Colombia	Fusagasugá	2000	86.8%	90.9%	82.1%
Colombia	Fusagasugá	2017	69.4%	74.5%	64.8%
Colombia	Gachalá	2000	76.3%	97.8%	43.0%
Colombia	Gachalá	2017	70.9%	95.6%	38.8%
Colombia	Gachancipá	2000	97.2%	99.8%	81.4%
Colombia	Gachancipá	2017	87.8%	98.4%	46.6%
Colombia	Gachantivá	2000	61.9%	98.6%	6.2%
Colombia	Gachantivá	2017	49.7%	94.2%	5.1%
Colombia	Gachetá	2000	60.4%	100.0%	5.6%
Colombia	Gachetá	2017	66.9%	100.0%	19.5%
Colombia	Galán	2000	78.0%	100.0%	14.0%
Colombia	Galán	2017	72.4%	100.0%	19.3%
Colombia	Galapa	2000	96.0%	97.5%	94.2%
Colombia	Galapa	2017	94.2%	97.0%	89.1%
Colombia	Galeras	2000	98.3%	100.0%	85.3%
Colombia	Galeras	2017	95.1%	100.0%	70.3%
Colombia	Gama	2000	66.4%	100.0%	5.8%
Colombia	Gama	2017	67.9%	99.8%	7.1%
Colombia	Gamarra	2000	81.7%	97.9%	43.1%
Colombia	Gamarra	2017	62.7%	88.5%	28.1%
Colombia	Gámbita	2000	66.9%	97.6%	22.7%
Colombia	Gámbita	2017	60.5%	92.6%	18.4%
Colombia	Gámeza	2000	84.6%	99.9%	48.1%
Colombia	Gámeza	2017	83.9%	99.7%	47.7%
Colombia	Garagoa	2000	92.9%	97.7%	81.9%
Colombia	Garagoa	2017	75.2%	92.1%	51.7%
Colombia	Garzón	2000	60.4%	68.0%	55.2%
Colombia	Garzón	2017	62.0%	75.9%	52.1%
Colombia	Génova	2000	75.6%	79.6%	73.0%
Colombia	Génova	2017	62.2%	67.5%	49.7%
Colombia	Gigante	2000	76.5%	98.2%	29.5%
Colombia	Gigante	2017	77.6%	98.0%	34.6%
Colombia	Ginebra	2000	41.4%	71.6%	16.5%
Colombia	Ginebra	2017	46.7%	87.6%	17.0%
Colombia	Giraldo	2000	95.2%	100.0%	60.2%
Colombia	Giraldo	2017	89.4%	100.0%	32.6%
Colombia	Girardot	2000	96.7%	99.3%	90.0%
Colombia	Girardot	2017	95.2%	98.9%	86.8%
Colombia	Girardota	2000	99.3%	99.8%	97.4%
Colombia	Girardota	2017	94.6%	99.2%	83.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Girón	2000	81.7%	87.2%	74.3%
Colombia	Girón	2017	49.7%	73.2%	36.8%
Colombia	Gómez Plata	2000	93.6%	99.5%	63.6%
Colombia	Gómez Plata	2017	88.0%	96.6%	61.5%
Colombia	González	2000	52.7%	65.7%	42.8%
Colombia	González	2017	9.3%	13.6%	6.6%
Colombia	Gramalote	2000	87.4%	97.6%	69.5%
Colombia	Gramalote	2017	66.9%	94.8%	36.8%
Colombia	Granada	2000	69.0%	75.8%	62.3%
Colombia	Granada	2000	49.3%	86.3%	28.1%
Colombia	Granada	2017	43.7%	48.9%	37.5%
Colombia	Granada	2017	38.4%	71.1%	13.8%
Colombia	Guaca	2000	84.1%	100.0%	19.6%
Colombia	Guaca	2017	78.6%	99.8%	23.3%
Colombia	Guacamayas	2000	46.3%	70.0%	31.9%
Colombia	Guacamayas	2017	34.7%	68.7%	14.6%
Colombia	Guacarí	2000	81.6%	87.8%	77.3%
Colombia	Guacarí	2017	67.3%	80.9%	58.9%
Colombia	Guachetá	2000	80.1%	99.8%	20.5%
Colombia	Guachetá	2017	70.9%	99.3%	17.8%
Colombia	Guachucal	2000	49.4%	87.4%	14.9%
Colombia	Guachucal	2017	70.7%	96.1%	35.0%
Colombia	Guadalajara de Buga	2000	94.2%	99.0%	89.6%
Colombia	Guadalajara de Buga	2017	89.6%	95.9%	83.2%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	82.0%	98.9%	41.4%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	80.8%	88.7%	74.7%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	89.6%	98.6%	64.3%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	65.4%	90.7%	29.0%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	74.2%	93.7%	45.0%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	51.4%	60.9%	41.8%
Colombia	Guaduas	2000	93.7%	98.4%	74.7%
Colombia	Guaduas	2017	90.4%	97.1%	71.9%
Colombia	Guaitarilla	2000	92.7%	98.8%	76.1%
Colombia	Guaitarilla	2017	83.3%	92.6%	70.3%
Colombia	Gualmatán	2000	94.5%	97.7%	89.1%
Colombia	Gualmatán	2017	66.9%	83.4%	53.3%
Colombia	Guamal	2000	74.5%	99.0%	38.1%
Colombia	Guamal	2000	84.1%	99.7%	37.0%
Colombia	Guamal	2017	75.1%	98.1%	30.2%
Colombia	Guamal	2017	63.1%	94.3%	27.7%
Colombia	Guamo	2000	85.3%	87.8%	82.0%
Colombia	Guamo	2017	62.9%	71.5%	54.6%
Colombia	Guapí	2000	75.9%	93.3%	55.3%
Colombia	Guapí	2017	81.2%	94.1%	62.9%
Colombia	Guapotá	2000	83.9%	100.0%	25.8%
Colombia	Guapotá	2017	73.2%	99.7%	15.6%
Colombia	Guarandá	2000	36.3%	46.7%	28.1%
Colombia	Guarandá	2017	55.4%	63.5%	44.5%
Colombia	Guarne	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Colombia	Guarne	2017	98.6%	99.6%	97.0%
Colombia	Guasca	2000	63.7%	93.5%	21.1%
Colombia	Guasca	2017	60.1%	91.6%	18.5%
Colombia	Guatapé	2000	40.4%	97.9%	1.2%
Colombia	Guatapé	2017	56.8%	98.8%	3.3%
Colombia	Guataquí	2000	65.2%	99.8%	9.8%
Colombia	Guataquí	2017	71.7%	99.5%	20.7%
Colombia	Guatavita	2000	81.4%	100.0%	16.3%
Colombia	Guatavita	2017	77.5%	99.9%	22.7%
Colombia	Guateque	2000	75.8%	100.0%	5.7%
Colombia	Guateque	2017	73.5%	100.0%	3.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Guática	2000	83.9%	99.8%	31.4%
Colombia	Guática	2017	64.8%	96.7%	14.0%
Colombia	Guavatá	2000	63.1%	81.7%	55.8%
Colombia	Guavatá	2017	28.5%	39.9%	20.8%
Colombia	Guayabal de Síquima	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
Colombia	Guayabal de Síquima	2017	97.4%	99.0%	94.5%
Colombia	Guayabetal	2000	45.6%	99.4%	4.7%
Colombia	Guayabetal	2017	50.4%	95.4%	9.1%
Colombia	Guayatá	2000	69.2%	100.0%	2.4%
Colombia	Guayatá	2017	69.0%	100.0%	7.2%
Colombia	Güepa	2000	92.7%	100.0%	44.9%
Colombia	Güepa	2017	87.8%	100.0%	40.4%
Colombia	Guicán	2000	70.9%	99.7%	29.1%
Colombia	Guicán	2017	71.3%	98.0%	32.2%
Colombia	Gutiérrez	2000	86.3%	99.8%	51.4%
Colombia	Gutiérrez	2017	81.2%	98.8%	37.2%
Colombia	Hacarí	2000	46.6%	99.3%	4.5%
Colombia	Hacarí	2017	53.8%	97.1%	9.3%
Colombia	Hatillo de Loba	2000	99.5%	100.0%	98.2%
Colombia	Hatillo de Loba	2017	98.0%	99.9%	91.9%
Colombia	Hato	2000	71.6%	100.0%	7.2%
Colombia	Hato	2017	66.5%	100.0%	10.6%
Colombia	Hato Corozal	2000	64.9%	78.9%	53.6%
Colombia	Hato Corozal	2017	62.6%	76.7%	47.7%
Colombia	Heliconia	2000	98.4%	100.0%	90.4%
Colombia	Heliconia	2017	96.5%	100.0%	83.4%
Colombia	Herrán	2000	74.3%	99.8%	9.6%
Colombia	Herrán	2017	65.4%	98.4%	8.8%
Colombia	Herveo	2000	74.0%	98.3%	31.1%
Colombia	Herveo	2017	69.5%	92.7%	32.6%
Colombia	Hispania	2000	97.7%	99.8%	94.4%
Colombia	Hispania	2017	86.9%	99.7%	65.4%
Colombia	Hobo	2000	78.9%	98.7%	33.3%
Colombia	Hobo	2017	83.3%	99.7%	33.2%
Colombia	Honda	2000	81.3%	94.9%	51.3%
Colombia	Honda	2017	76.0%	92.5%	43.5%
Colombia	Ibagué	2000	94.0%	95.8%	91.8%
Colombia	Ibagué	2017	76.6%	80.0%	72.7%
Colombia	Icononzo	2000	72.6%	100.0%	10.3%
Colombia	Icononzo	2017	66.5%	99.7%	11.2%
Colombia	Iles	2000	72.7%	97.0%	44.1%
Colombia	Iles	2017	37.9%	75.0%	15.3%
Colombia	Imués	2000	71.2%	95.1%	44.9%
Colombia	Imués	2017	59.8%	88.0%	34.3%
Colombia	Inzá	2000	85.5%	97.3%	57.1%
Colombia	Inzá	2017	74.1%	90.7%	44.1%
Colombia	Ipiales	2000	82.2%	88.9%	74.7%
Colombia	Ipiales	2017	63.7%	75.6%	53.8%
Colombia	Iquira	2000	92.8%	99.6%	60.8%
Colombia	Iquira	2017	82.6%	97.3%	45.3%
Colombia	Isnos	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Colombia	Isnos	2017	66.3%	72.9%	60.4%
Colombia	Istmina	2000	79.1%	90.1%	63.2%
Colombia	Istmina	2017	86.2%	94.7%	71.7%
Colombia	Itagüí	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
Colombia	Itagüí	2017	86.7%	89.2%	82.0%
Colombia	Ituango	2000	77.9%	96.8%	55.9%
Colombia	Ituango	2017	72.9%	90.2%	51.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Izá	2000	82.0%	100.0%	23.9%
Colombia	Izá	2017	77.4%	99.9%	12.8%
Colombia	Jambaló	2000	88.1%	91.9%	82.4%
Colombia	Jambaló	2017	58.1%	70.6%	45.8%
Colombia	Jamundí	2000	95.8%	98.1%	90.8%
Colombia	Jamundí	2017	90.9%	96.5%	83.7%
Colombia	Jardín	2000	73.2%	98.7%	30.5%
Colombia	Jardín	2017	62.1%	93.2%	31.7%
Colombia	Jenesano	2000	68.0%	95.4%	31.8%
Colombia	Jenesano	2017	55.9%	90.2%	14.1%
Colombia	Jericó	2000	76.5%	84.0%	65.3%
Colombia	Jericó	2000	48.8%	100.0%	1.9%
Colombia	Jericó	2017	53.4%	99.7%	7.2%
Colombia	Jericó	2017	42.1%	52.4%	32.8%
Colombia	Jerusalén	2000	61.0%	98.1%	13.7%
Colombia	Jerusalén	2017	71.5%	98.2%	27.2%
Colombia	Jesús María	2000	55.3%	84.8%	23.6%
Colombia	Jesús María	2017	55.3%	82.3%	29.2%
Colombia	Jordán	2000	78.9%	99.8%	23.4%
Colombia	Jordán	2017	69.9%	99.1%	17.8%
Colombia	Juan de Acosta	2000	55.0%	99.0%	7.6%
Colombia	Juan de Acosta	2017	67.9%	98.6%	9.8%
Colombia	Junín	2000	67.4%	100.0%	9.7%
Colombia	Junín	2017	69.2%	99.9%	18.6%
Colombia	Juradó	2000	80.8%	100.0%	32.8%
Colombia	Juradó	2017	83.9%	100.0%	49.9%
Colombia	La Argentina	2000	86.3%	99.6%	63.7%
Colombia	La Argentina	2017	81.0%	97.3%	63.4%
Colombia	La Belleza	2000	89.5%	99.5%	72.1%
Colombia	La Belleza	2017	83.6%	97.3%	58.6%
Colombia	La Calera	2000	92.6%	94.3%	90.9%
Colombia	La Calera	2017	82.2%	86.0%	77.8%
Colombia	La Capilla	2000	89.7%	100.0%	38.7%
Colombia	La Capilla	2017	83.5%	99.8%	35.8%
Colombia	La Ceja	2000	91.6%	98.5%	74.5%
Colombia	La Ceja	2017	69.4%	96.9%	34.6%
Colombia	La Celia	2000	59.7%	99.6%	3.7%
Colombia	La Celia	2017	42.1%	95.9%	2.7%
Colombia	La Chorrera	2000	64.5%	75.9%	51.0%
Colombia	La Chorrera	2017	70.9%	80.4%	60.3%
Colombia	La Cruz	2000	67.8%	98.0%	27.9%
Colombia	La Cruz	2017	53.8%	89.9%	26.8%
Colombia	La Cumbre	2000	44.8%	86.0%	11.3%
Colombia	La Cumbre	2017	65.0%	94.8%	31.9%
Colombia	La Dorada	2000	92.2%	94.2%	88.0%
Colombia	La Dorada	2017	77.9%	84.4%	66.8%
Colombia	La Esperanza	2000	80.2%	99.0%	31.2%
Colombia	La Esperanza	2017	73.4%	95.6%	34.8%
Colombia	La Estrella	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Colombia	La Estrella	2017	91.8%	95.7%	86.8%
Colombia	La Florida	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Colombia	La Florida	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.7%
Colombia	La Gloria	2000	64.8%	99.7%	11.2%
Colombia	La Gloria	2017	65.0%	97.9%	20.2%
Colombia	La Guadalupe	2000	53.6%	90.7%	15.8%
Colombia	La Guadalupe	2017	60.3%	86.1%	29.5%
Colombia	La Jagua de Ibirico	2000	79.9%	98.0%	46.6%
Colombia	La Jagua de Ibirico	2017	69.8%	89.7%	38.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	La Llanada	2000	89.2%	99.9%	58.4%
Colombia	La Llanada	2017	87.3%	99.6%	56.4%
Colombia	La Macarena	2000	68.4%	79.2%	55.7%
Colombia	La Macarena	2017	66.9%	77.4%	54.1%
Colombia	La Merced	2000	85.8%	93.3%	76.9%
Colombia	La Merced	2017	37.1%	48.8%	27.9%
Colombia	La Mesa	2000	87.7%	96.6%	76.0%
Colombia	La Mesa	2017	65.4%	79.1%	53.5%
Colombia	La Montañita	2000	76.0%	83.6%	68.8%
Colombia	La Montañita	2017	68.2%	74.3%	61.9%
Colombia	La Palma	2000	58.0%	99.9%	4.9%
Colombia	La Palma	2017	57.9%	99.3%	10.3%
Colombia	La Paz	2000	78.1%	90.0%	59.9%
Colombia	La Paz	2000	81.1%	97.6%	39.6%
Colombia	La Paz	2017	69.1%	80.0%	55.1%
Colombia	La Paz	2017	77.0%	95.3%	37.5%
Colombia	La Pedrera	2000	66.4%	81.5%	47.9%
Colombia	La Pedrera	2017	75.7%	85.2%	62.3%
Colombia	La Peña	2000	72.6%	99.5%	20.4%
Colombia	La Peña	2017	67.7%	97.9%	21.2%
Colombia	La Plata	2000	89.1%	95.4%	74.7%
Colombia	La Plata	2017	84.5%	93.8%	71.9%
Colombia	La Playa de Belén	2000	46.2%	91.5%	9.3%
Colombia	La Playa de Belén	2017	46.0%	87.2%	10.4%
Colombia	La Primavera	2000	72.7%	81.8%	64.5%
Colombia	La Primavera	2017	68.8%	76.5%	61.2%
Colombia	La Salina	2000	61.3%	100.0%	3.0%
Colombia	La Salina	2017	62.4%	99.6%	6.6%
Colombia	La Sierra	2000	73.3%	98.1%	38.8%
Colombia	La Sierra	2017	67.5%	90.1%	42.9%
Colombia	La Tebaida	2000	89.8%	93.9%	86.3%
Colombia	La Tebaida	2017	87.1%	94.9%	79.1%
Colombia	La Tola	2000	72.6%	90.9%	51.7%
Colombia	La Tola	2017	72.2%	85.7%	54.2%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	36.4%	50.8%	31.9%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	79.0%	97.4%	56.5%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	96.6%	99.6%	86.7%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	77.7%	98.5%	25.3%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	68.2%	93.3%	39.1%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	69.6%	96.2%	25.3%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	32.7%	79.7%	16.7%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	91.1%	98.8%	76.0%
Colombia	La Uribe	2000	64.2%	84.8%	40.3%
Colombia	La Uribe	2017	64.1%	80.7%	49.1%
Colombia	La Uvita	2000	54.3%	92.3%	21.7%
Colombia	La Uvita	2017	35.0%	71.3%	8.9%
Colombia	La Vega	2000	83.4%	99.9%	27.5%
Colombia	La Vega	2000	76.5%	90.6%	58.7%
Colombia	La Vega	2017	54.9%	68.4%	40.9%
Colombia	La Vega	2017	77.1%	99.6%	26.5%
Colombia	La Victoria	2000	80.4%	98.0%	56.6%
Colombia	La Victoria	2000	32.0%	64.2%	6.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	La Victoria	2017	71.7%	97.0%	46.0%
Colombia	La Victoria	2017	36.0%	81.6%	5.2%
Colombia	La Virginia	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Colombia	La Virginia	2017	96.7%	99.1%	91.9%
Colombia	Labateca	2000	64.4%	81.6%	57.2%
Colombia	Labateca	2017	41.3%	62.6%	27.2%
Colombia	Labranzagrande	2000	27.0%	66.1%	3.5%
Colombia	Labranzagrande	2017	41.6%	78.1%	10.3%
Colombia	Landázuri	2000	77.8%	86.2%	65.2%
Colombia	Landázuri	2017	68.2%	79.5%	52.4%
Colombia	Lebrija	2000	87.0%	90.7%	82.9%
Colombia	Lebrija	2017	80.0%	87.0%	75.3%
Colombia	Leiva	2000	66.1%	96.5%	24.1%
Colombia	Leiva	2017	58.9%	92.1%	23.5%
Colombia	Lejanías	2000	85.9%	94.1%	71.3%
Colombia	Lejanías	2017	80.2%	87.0%	67.6%
Colombia	Lenguazaque	2000	87.2%	97.6%	57.8%
Colombia	Lenguazaque	2017	76.6%	95.1%	37.4%
Colombia	Lérida	2000	84.8%	98.5%	47.0%
Colombia	Lérida	2017	55.7%	86.8%	24.5%
Colombia	Leticia	2000	66.9%	73.5%	59.2%
Colombia	Leticia	2017	77.2%	83.8%	68.5%
Colombia	Líbano	2000	88.2%	95.0%	77.3%
Colombia	Líbano	2017	65.4%	88.1%	45.6%
Colombia	Liborina	2000	76.8%	100.0%	12.5%
Colombia	Liborina	2017	75.4%	100.0%	12.5%
Colombia	Linares	2000	97.0%	98.6%	89.7%
Colombia	Linares	2017	82.4%	93.1%	60.3%
Colombia	Lloró	2000	84.0%	99.2%	44.8%
Colombia	Lloró	2017	84.1%	99.2%	51.9%
Colombia	López de Micay	2000	63.9%	88.6%	38.3%
Colombia	López de Micay	2017	71.6%	89.5%	49.6%
Colombia	Los Andes	2000	76.6%	96.3%	44.7%
Colombia	Los Andes	2017	69.3%	89.7%	42.9%
Colombia	Los Córdoba	2000	73.1%	99.1%	20.9%
Colombia	Los Córdoba	2017	68.3%	96.3%	19.8%
Colombia	Los Palmitos	2000	96.5%	99.7%	81.0%
Colombia	Los Palmitos	2017	92.3%	99.3%	73.1%
Colombia	Los Patios	2000	88.7%	99.8%	44.6%
Colombia	Los Patios	2017	86.2%	99.6%	43.0%
Colombia	Los Santos	2000	98.4%	100.0%	89.3%
Colombia	Los Santos	2017	96.2%	99.9%	81.7%
Colombia	Lourdes	2000	90.0%	99.7%	50.3%
Colombia	Lourdes	2017	78.2%	98.1%	33.0%
Colombia	Luruaco	2000	74.0%	96.0%	42.0%
Colombia	Luruaco	2017	80.4%	99.0%	38.5%
Colombia	Macanal	2000	77.5%	96.9%	48.5%
Colombia	Macanal	2017	55.2%	92.4%	18.5%
Colombia	Macaravita	2000	6.2%	18.6%	1.7%
Colombia	Macaravita	2017	19.0%	44.8%	5.2%
Colombia	Maceo	2000	86.5%	100.0%	32.2%
Colombia	Maceo	2017	82.9%	99.9%	34.8%
Colombia	Machetá	2000	70.3%	100.0%	7.4%
Colombia	Machetá	2017	69.2%	100.0%	11.7%
Colombia	Madrid	2000	95.7%	98.5%	92.3%
Colombia	Madrid	2017	94.1%	98.8%	85.1%
Colombia	Magüí	2000	66.5%	83.5%	42.6%
Colombia	Magüí	2017	74.0%	86.6%	54.5%
Colombia	Mahates	2000	73.3%	93.8%	44.3%
Colombia	Mahates	2017	75.1%	97.0%	41.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Maicao	2000	82.2%	87.2%	75.1%
Colombia	Maicao	2017	80.3%	85.1%	73.9%
Colombia	Majagual	2000	75.1%	90.9%	55.2%
Colombia	Majagual	2017	76.9%	91.5%	56.8%
Colombia	Málaga	2000	87.0%	97.0%	65.9%
Colombia	Málaga	2017	61.9%	78.0%	45.2%
Colombia	Malambo	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Colombia	Malambo	2017	97.2%	98.5%	95.2%
Colombia	Mallama	2000	77.8%	100.0%	27.7%
Colombia	Mallama	2017	77.2%	99.9%	31.5%
Colombia	Manatí	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Colombia	Manatí	2017	98.5%	99.9%	89.7%
Colombia	Manaure	2000	71.6%	86.8%	48.8%
Colombia	Manaure	2000	79.0%	84.1%	74.5%
Colombia	Manaure	2017	73.4%	85.8%	39.0%
Colombia	Manaure	2017	80.8%	85.8%	76.2%
Colombia	Maní	2000	80.0%	95.3%	51.7%
Colombia	Maní	2017	81.3%	93.8%	57.7%
Colombia	Manizales	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Colombia	Manizales	2017	85.0%	86.9%	82.6%
Colombia	Manta	2000	71.2%	100.0%	3.4%
Colombia	Manta	2017	69.3%	100.0%	6.1%
Colombia	Manzanares	2000	86.6%	99.9%	29.7%
Colombia	Manzanares	2017	64.3%	98.6%	9.0%
Colombia	Mapiripán	2000	69.5%	81.1%	56.7%
Colombia	Mapiripán	2017	68.9%	79.0%	57.2%
Colombia	Margarita	2000	82.9%	100.0%	31.3%
Colombia	Margarita	2017	82.7%	99.7%	47.2%
Colombia	María la Baja	2000	64.3%	99.1%	19.4%
Colombia	María la Baja	2017	64.9%	98.1%	18.1%
Colombia	Marinilla	2000	89.0%	96.4%	77.0%
Colombia	Marinilla	2017	78.1%	85.5%	68.1%
Colombia	Maripí	2000	63.1%	70.7%	55.0%
Colombia	Maripí	2017	44.0%	53.5%	34.8%
Colombia	Marmato	2000	95.7%	99.3%	84.8%
Colombia	Marmato	2017	81.7%	94.7%	59.6%
Colombia	Marquetalia	2000	83.7%	100.0%	23.4%
Colombia	Marquetalia	2017	60.8%	99.6%	5.8%
Colombia	Marsella	2000	60.2%	70.7%	50.3%
Colombia	Marsella	2017	55.7%	68.2%	40.2%
Colombia	Marulanda	2000	93.3%	100.0%	54.5%
Colombia	Marulanda	2017	88.4%	99.8%	49.9%
Colombia	Matanza	2000	71.9%	94.4%	39.2%
Colombia	Matanza	2017	64.9%	88.3%	39.3%
Colombia	Medellín	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.8%
Colombia	Medellín	2017	91.1%	93.7%	87.4%
Colombia	Medina	2000	77.3%	93.3%	56.2%
Colombia	Medina	2017	78.0%	89.6%	62.6%
Colombia	Melgar	2000	79.1%	99.9%	14.7%
Colombia	Melgar	2017	70.4%	99.3%	18.3%
Colombia	Mercaderes	2000	56.5%	77.7%	40.0%
Colombia	Mercaderes	2017	39.1%	57.2%	23.3%
Colombia	Mesetas	2000	51.6%	60.9%	43.3%
Colombia	Mesetas	2017	46.6%	56.6%	37.1%
Colombia	Milán	2000	40.7%	50.9%	33.2%
Colombia	Milán	2017	37.9%	51.3%	27.3%
Colombia	Miraflores	2000	72.4%	100.0%	11.8%
Colombia	Miraflores	2000	61.5%	76.3%	46.1%
Colombia	Miraflores	2017	68.7%	99.9%	18.9%
Colombia	Miraflores	2017	65.9%	76.8%	52.7%
Colombia	Miranda	2000	89.2%	96.8%	79.8%
Colombia	Miranda	2017	78.2%	93.4%	60.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Mirití-Paraná	2000	66.1%	83.6%	45.9%
Colombia	Mirití-Paraná	2017	74.8%	86.7%	61.4%
Colombia	Mistrato	2000	71.3%	99.3%	34.5%
Colombia	Mistrato	2017	69.1%	95.2%	34.0%
Colombia	Mitú	2000	63.3%	72.1%	54.0%
Colombia	Mitú	2017	77.9%	85.0%	69.5%
Colombia	Mogotes	2000	72.8%	84.6%	54.5%
Colombia	Mogotes	2017	40.0%	54.7%	24.8%
Colombia	Molagavita	2000	71.3%	98.9%	23.9%
Colombia	Molagavita	2017	59.9%	93.2%	12.1%
Colombia	Momil	2000	79.1%	98.3%	40.5%
Colombia	Momil	2017	72.7%	98.1%	22.1%
Colombia	Mompós	2000	72.5%	86.6%	55.2%
Colombia	Mompós	2017	68.6%	80.9%	53.9%
Colombia	Mongua	2000	48.0%	86.2%	13.0%
Colombia	Mongua	2017	46.5%	87.7%	10.9%
Colombia	Monguí	2000	64.3%	75.6%	55.0%
Colombia	Monguí	2017	57.4%	74.9%	47.3%
Colombia	Moniquirá	2000	65.1%	88.9%	36.3%
Colombia	Moniquirá	2017	43.0%	66.1%	16.7%
Colombia	Moñitos	2000	73.7%	98.1%	18.4%
Colombia	Moñitos	2017	68.3%	94.8%	24.5%
Colombia	Montebello	2000	84.3%	99.9%	30.8%
Colombia	Montebello	2017	73.0%	99.0%	21.9%
Colombia	Montecristo	2000	70.5%	92.2%	40.0%
Colombia	Montecristo	2017	73.4%	91.7%	48.6%
Colombia	Montelíbano	2000	81.1%	93.9%	49.4%
Colombia	Montelíbano	2017	79.5%	91.4%	58.4%
Colombia	Montenegro	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Colombia	Montenegro	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Colombia	Montería	2000	72.4%	86.0%	54.8%
Colombia	Montería	2017	60.1%	71.9%	45.6%
Colombia	Monterrey	2000	85.6%	97.9%	66.5%
Colombia	Monterrey	2017	87.1%	97.3%	72.7%
Colombia	Morales	2000	81.9%	92.8%	65.6%
Colombia	Morales	2000	74.0%	95.0%	47.2%
Colombia	Morales	2017	59.6%	83.8%	35.3%
Colombia	Morales	2017	73.0%	90.7%	50.5%
Colombia	Morelia	2000	38.0%	87.1%	3.4%
Colombia	Morelia	2017	40.3%	74.2%	7.2%
Colombia	Morroa	2000	96.1%	99.3%	88.2%
Colombia	Morroa	2017	94.4%	97.6%	87.3%
Colombia	Mosquera	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Colombia	Mosquera	2000	59.3%	99.5%	10.4%
Colombia	Mosquera	2017	95.7%	97.3%	93.8%
Colombia	Mosquera	2017	63.3%	97.7%	14.7%
Colombia	Motavita	2000	79.5%	99.9%	27.4%
Colombia	Motavita	2017	81.9%	99.4%	39.7%
Colombia	Murillo	2000	74.9%	100.0%	20.4%
Colombia	Murillo	2017	75.4%	100.0%	27.5%
Colombia	Murindó	2000	72.1%	100.0%	24.8%
Colombia	Murindó	2017	77.3%	100.0%	38.5%
Colombia	Mutatá	2000	57.4%	97.9%	14.1%
Colombia	Mutatá	2017	65.4%	96.5%	33.6%
Colombia	Mutiscua	2000	66.5%	100.0%	2.6%
Colombia	Mutiscua	2017	67.4%	100.0%	9.0%
Colombia	Muzo	2000	71.4%	78.0%	64.8%
Colombia	Muzo	2017	33.5%	43.8%	26.4%
Colombia	Nariño	2000	86.3%	99.5%	52.4%
Colombia	Nariño	2000	75.4%	99.9%	18.2%
Colombia	Nariño	2017	88.1%	99.4%	54.0%
Colombia	Nariño	2017	73.4%	99.3%	19.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Nátaga	2000	95.7%	100.0%	56.6%
Colombia	Nátaga	2017	87.3%	99.3%	45.4%
Colombia	Natagaima	2000	76.0%	99.6%	20.8%
Colombia	Natagaima	2017	71.0%	97.2%	31.0%
Colombia	Nechí	2000	51.2%	78.2%	26.9%
Colombia	Nechí	2017	53.3%	78.5%	27.4%
Colombia	Necoclí	2000	80.7%	99.4%	50.4%
Colombia	Necoclí	2017	76.0%	97.6%	42.8%
Colombia	Neira	2000	97.1%	98.2%	95.6%
Colombia	Neira	2017	82.6%	86.1%	76.7%
Colombia	Neiva	2000	96.5%	97.9%	93.9%
Colombia	Neiva	2017	87.7%	90.5%	84.7%
Colombia	Nemocón	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.1%
Colombia	Nemocón	2017	96.0%	98.8%	89.6%
Colombia	Nilo	2000	79.9%	99.4%	27.8%
Colombia	Nilo	2017	69.0%	97.3%	19.2%
Colombia	Nimaima	2000	84.3%	96.6%	65.5%
Colombia	Nimaima	2017	66.4%	86.3%	43.7%
Colombia	Nobsa	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Colombia	Nobsa	2017	93.0%	94.5%	90.6%
Colombia	Nocaima	2000	80.9%	98.2%	50.0%
Colombia	Nocaima	2017	64.5%	88.1%	29.6%
Colombia	Novita	2000	65.6%	80.7%	51.8%
Colombia	Novita	2017	68.6%	79.8%	55.2%
Colombia	Nuevo Colón	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Colombia	Nuevo Colón	2017	84.4%	88.6%	78.5%
Colombia	Nunchía	2000	79.6%	95.6%	51.7%
Colombia	Nunchía	2017	67.3%	85.8%	44.7%
Colombia	Nuquí	2000	63.4%	94.4%	27.5%
Colombia	Nuquí	2017	73.4%	96.3%	39.5%
Colombia	Obando	2000	66.7%	73.7%	59.0%
Colombia	Obando	2017	53.8%	69.6%	39.5%
Colombia	Ocamonte	2000	78.3%	100.0%	6.5%
Colombia	Ocamonte	2017	73.0%	100.0%	6.4%
Colombia	Ocaña	2000	90.0%	92.0%	88.1%
Colombia	Ocaña	2017	75.7%	80.7%	71.0%
Colombia	Oiba	2000	88.0%	97.9%	53.0%
Colombia	Oiba	2017	66.8%	88.6%	31.3%
Colombia	Oicatá	2000	88.2%	90.2%	85.9%
Colombia	Oicatá	2017	77.6%	82.2%	72.0%
Colombia	Olaya	2000	80.8%	100.0%	10.2%
Colombia	Olaya	2017	77.3%	100.0%	33.5%
Colombia	Olaya Herrera	2000	67.9%	91.7%	32.7%
Colombia	Olaya Herrera	2017	66.4%	90.4%	35.2%
Colombia	Onzaga	2000	63.3%	99.2%	8.4%
Colombia	Onzaga	2017	58.9%	95.5%	16.0%
Colombia	Oporapa	2000	60.5%	97.9%	13.3%
Colombia	Oporapa	2017	62.3%	96.1%	12.3%
Colombia	Orito	2000	66.2%	82.4%	55.8%
Colombia	Orito	2017	61.8%	73.3%	54.9%
Colombia	Orocué	2000	76.6%	94.2%	47.4%
Colombia	Orocué	2017	77.9%	92.6%	56.5%
Colombia	Ortega	2000	58.0%	88.4%	16.9%
Colombia	Ortega	2017	47.5%	82.2%	17.8%
Colombia	Ospina	2000	90.3%	97.7%	73.5%
Colombia	Ospina	2017	74.3%	91.9%	42.9%
Colombia	Otanche	2000	89.4%	100.0%	46.8%
Colombia	Otanche	2017	83.3%	99.6%	36.0%
Colombia	Ovejas	2000	94.6%	98.6%	88.6%
Colombia	Ovejas	2017	89.9%	96.7%	80.7%
Colombia	Pachavita	2000	95.5%	100.0%	66.8%
Colombia	Pachavita	2017	89.8%	99.9%	54.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Pacho	2000	78.8%	98.6%	28.7%
Colombia	Pacho	2017	73.2%	96.9%	28.8%
Colombia	Pacoa	2000	60.1%	73.1%	47.4%
Colombia	Pacoa	2017	72.2%	82.1%	61.2%
Colombia	Pácora	2000	81.3%	93.0%	57.0%
Colombia	Pácora	2017	54.9%	73.8%	35.9%
Colombia	Padilla	2000	90.6%	93.8%	85.5%
Colombia	Padilla	2017	70.4%	76.8%	64.9%
Colombia	Páez	2000	85.2%	95.3%	71.7%
Colombia	Páez	2000	75.8%	100.0%	12.5%
Colombia	Páez	2017	75.7%	100.0%	15.3%
Colombia	Páez	2017	80.8%	91.8%	66.4%
Colombia	Paicol	2000	92.6%	99.5%	78.0%
Colombia	Paicol	2017	88.6%	99.5%	69.8%
Colombia	Pailitas	2000	94.0%	99.6%	69.1%
Colombia	Pailitas	2017	85.7%	96.7%	52.4%
Colombia	Paime	2000	57.5%	99.3%	2.6%
Colombia	Paime	2017	53.9%	96.1%	5.3%
Colombia	Paipa	2000	90.3%	99.6%	63.4%
Colombia	Paipa	2017	84.0%	99.0%	54.3%
Colombia	Pajarito	2000	48.1%	99.2%	4.4%
Colombia	Pajarito	2017	63.9%	99.3%	8.4%
Colombia	Palermo	2000	97.3%	99.6%	87.8%
Colombia	Palermo	2017	92.0%	98.2%	77.7%
Colombia	Palestina	2000	55.1%	88.1%	18.9%
Colombia	Palestina	2000	96.6%	99.6%	84.3%
Colombia	Palestina	2017	40.6%	71.6%	9.3%
Colombia	Palestina	2017	90.8%	98.5%	73.3%
Colombia	Palmar	2000	73.5%	100.0%	4.3%
Colombia	Palmar	2017	61.4%	99.9%	4.0%
Colombia	Palmar de Varela	2000	90.2%	99.9%	51.1%
Colombia	Palmar de Varela	2017	91.7%	99.8%	58.6%
Colombia	Palmas del Socorro	2000	79.5%	100.0%	5.5%
Colombia	Palmas del Socorro	2017	72.1%	100.0%	7.8%
Colombia	Palmira	2000	94.4%	95.5%	93.8%
Colombia	Palmira	2017	88.9%	90.7%	87.3%
Colombia	Pamplona	2000	75.5%	98.7%	12.7%
Colombia	Pamplona	2017	61.3%	97.7%	15.2%
Colombia	Pamplonita	2000	73.3%	99.7%	15.7%
Colombia	Pamplonita	2017	56.2%	97.0%	15.4%
Colombia	Pana Pana	2000	57.9%	75.7%	40.2%
Colombia	Pana Pana	2017	67.5%	78.2%	53.8%
Colombia	Pandi	2000	65.1%	100.0%	2.0%
Colombia	Pandi	2017	60.7%	100.0%	4.1%
Colombia	Panqueba	2000	73.8%	98.2%	52.1%
Colombia	Panqueba	2017	65.4%	95.6%	26.7%
Colombia	Papunahua	2000	60.8%	81.1%	40.2%
Colombia	Papunahua	2017	72.0%	86.9%	57.0%
Colombia	Páramo	2000	85.9%	99.7%	35.2%
Colombia	Páramo	2017	69.0%	98.8%	14.4%
Colombia	Paratebueno	2000	72.4%	84.1%	58.3%
Colombia	Paratebueno	2017	63.7%	74.4%	51.5%
Colombia	Pasca	2000	13.3%	46.1%	0.9%
Colombia	Pasca	2017	33.6%	76.4%	3.2%
Colombia	Patía	2000	69.0%	94.7%	34.8%
Colombia	Patía	2017	56.8%	82.8%	29.1%
Colombia	Pauna	2000	87.4%	95.8%	67.5%
Colombia	Pauna	2017	77.6%	94.9%	48.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Paya	2000	58.5%	97.9%	17.2%
Colombia	Paya	2017	66.2%	97.2%	26.4%
Colombia	Paz de Ariporo	2000	70.6%	78.1%	60.9%
Colombia	Paz de Ariporo	2017	68.6%	76.1%	60.6%
Colombia	Paz de Río	2000	76.9%	100.0%	4.6%
Colombia	Paz de Río	2017	74.7%	100.0%	7.5%
Colombia	Pedraza	2000	80.8%	100.0%	45.8%
Colombia	Pedraza	2017	80.8%	99.5%	49.8%
Colombia	Pelaya	2000	68.0%	99.5%	15.3%
Colombia	Pelaya	2017	61.6%	96.8%	14.5%
Colombia	Peñol	2000	54.9%	94.0%	8.1%
Colombia	Peñol	2017	64.6%	94.9%	21.0%
Colombia	Pensilvania	2000	91.5%	99.8%	56.9%
Colombia	Pensilvania	2017	83.3%	98.2%	45.6%
Colombia	Pequé	2000	86.1%	99.2%	64.3%
Colombia	Pequé	2017	70.6%	93.1%	44.2%
Colombia	Pereira	2000	94.2%	95.2%	92.7%
Colombia	Pereira	2017	81.3%	83.3%	78.9%
Colombia	Pesca	2000	50.6%	98.9%	4.5%
Colombia	Pesca	2017	54.1%	96.9%	8.8%
Colombia	Piedecuesta	2000	93.7%	95.6%	90.2%
Colombia	Piedecuesta	2017	55.8%	61.3%	50.6%
Colombia	Piedras	2000	60.7%	90.7%	21.3%
Colombia	Piedras	2017	61.8%	94.2%	22.8%
Colombia	Piendamó	2000	95.9%	97.5%	93.0%
Colombia	Piendamó	2017	73.9%	81.2%	66.8%
Colombia	Pijao	2000	99.4%	100.0%	95.4%
Colombia	Pijao	2017	97.1%	99.7%	85.0%
Colombia	Pinchote	2000	88.7%	95.6%	75.3%
Colombia	Pinchote	2017	59.1%	74.5%	42.8%
Colombia	Pinillos	2000	80.2%	94.8%	57.2%
Colombia	Pinillos	2017	77.8%	93.4%	55.6%
Colombia	Piojó	2000	61.7%	97.7%	20.2%
Colombia	Piojó	2017	78.7%	99.3%	46.0%
Colombia	Pisba	2000	54.6%	100.0%	6.1%
Colombia	Pisba	2017	62.9%	99.8%	16.4%
Colombia	Pital	2000	30.7%	66.5%	9.3%
Colombia	Pital	2017	39.2%	80.5%	9.6%
Colombia	Pitalito	2000	85.1%	87.1%	83.2%
Colombia	Pitalito	2017	60.3%	66.1%	55.0%
Colombia	Pivijay	2000	88.0%	99.2%	70.8%
Colombia	Pivijay	2017	84.4%	97.3%	64.8%
Colombia	Planadas	2000	81.1%	97.0%	64.8%
Colombia	Planadas	2017	77.9%	92.4%	63.9%
Colombia	Planeta Rica	2000	65.4%	84.5%	40.9%
Colombia	Planeta Rica	2017	56.9%	80.2%	30.9%
Colombia	Plato	2000	83.4%	97.4%	63.3%
Colombia	Plato	2017	80.0%	94.3%	60.1%
Colombia	Policarpa	2000	68.0%	93.1%	34.4%
Colombia	Policarpa	2017	62.8%	92.6%	22.3%
Colombia	Polonuevo	2000	98.9%	99.9%	95.3%
Colombia	Polonuevo	2017	97.0%	99.6%	87.3%
Colombia	Ponedera	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.5%
Colombia	Ponedera	2017	96.1%	99.6%	88.8%
Colombia	Popayán	2000	93.2%	94.7%	90.6%
Colombia	Popayán	2017	72.5%	80.3%	64.5%
Colombia	Pore	2000	78.0%	96.6%	53.6%
Colombia	Pore	2017	73.0%	94.1%	44.3%
Colombia	Potosí	2000	84.1%	92.2%	68.3%
Colombia	Potosí	2017	63.6%	75.4%	51.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Pradera	2000	85.3%	88.7%	82.6%
Colombia	Pradera	2017	79.3%	83.8%	74.9%
Colombia	Prado	2000	98.6%	99.8%	94.4%
Colombia	Prado	2017	90.4%	97.9%	67.4%
Colombia	Providencia	2000	96.7%	99.1%	85.2%
Colombia	Providencia	2017	87.4%	97.1%	56.6%
Colombia	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	35.8%	83.5%	5.5%
Colombia	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	50.0%	84.9%	16.1%
Colombia	Pueblo Rico	2000	46.0%	86.9%	13.2%
Colombia	Pueblo Rico	2017	57.5%	88.6%	21.2%
Colombia	Pueblo Viejo	2000	58.7%	79.2%	44.9%
Colombia	Pueblo Viejo	2017	58.7%	78.4%	45.1%
Colombia	Pueblorrico	2000	69.1%	93.9%	25.1%
Colombia	Pueblorrico	2017	56.2%	91.7%	12.9%
Colombia	Puente Nacional	2000	15.9%	51.0%	4.7%
Colombia	Puente Nacional	2017	21.4%	55.0%	3.0%
Colombia	Puerres	2000	95.8%	99.2%	82.6%
Colombia	Puerres	2017	83.6%	92.4%	62.6%
Colombia	Puerto Asís	2000	54.9%	65.1%	44.5%
Colombia	Puerto Asís	2017	49.2%	56.6%	40.9%
Colombia	Puerto Berrío	2000	91.3%	99.3%	69.5%
Colombia	Puerto Berrío	2017	83.8%	95.3%	65.4%
Colombia	Puerto Boyacá	2000	91.8%	97.0%	84.0%
Colombia	Puerto Boyacá	2017	76.5%	88.4%	62.4%
Colombia	Puerto Caicedo	2000	41.9%	66.4%	29.2%
Colombia	Puerto Caicedo	2017	33.1%	51.4%	20.6%
Colombia	Puerto Carreño	2000	78.6%	87.5%	64.7%
Colombia	Puerto Carreño	2017	65.0%	74.5%	55.3%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2000	59.5%	74.1%	45.6%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2000	99.0%	99.6%	97.1%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2017	93.1%	98.4%	83.5%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2017	69.1%	79.5%	56.9%
Colombia	Puerto Concordia	2000	65.2%	78.0%	49.0%
Colombia	Puerto Concordia	2017	65.5%	76.5%	49.5%
Colombia	Puerto Escondido	2000	69.6%	99.7%	17.4%
Colombia	Puerto Escondido	2017	67.9%	98.1%	28.8%
Colombia	Puerto Gaitán	2000	73.6%	85.6%	57.3%
Colombia	Puerto Gaitán	2017	73.2%	85.8%	54.9%
Colombia	Puerto Guzmán	2000	70.6%	96.8%	39.2%
Colombia	Puerto Guzmán	2017	71.3%	95.2%	43.5%
Colombia	Puerto Inírida	2000	52.5%	61.9%	44.1%
Colombia	Puerto Inírida	2017	62.3%	70.2%	56.0%
Colombia	Puerto Leguízamo	2000	66.4%	89.9%	39.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Puerto Leguízamo	2017	71.9%	89.9%	51.0%
Colombia	Puerto Libertador	2000	79.2%	92.2%	57.1%
Colombia	Puerto Libertador	2017	81.1%	93.0%	61.3%
Colombia	Puerto Lleras	2000	77.4%	93.6%	56.7%
Colombia	Puerto Lleras	2017	71.0%	88.0%	51.9%
Colombia	Puerto López	2000	79.5%	91.7%	60.2%
Colombia	Puerto López	2017	72.0%	89.2%	50.6%
Colombia	Puerto Nare	2000	88.6%	99.2%	61.0%
Colombia	Puerto Nare	2017	76.7%	95.0%	48.3%
Colombia	Puerto Nariño	2000	62.1%	70.8%	53.4%
Colombia	Puerto Nariño	2017	81.7%	88.9%	73.7%
Colombia	Puerto Parra	2000	68.5%	100.0%	13.8%
Colombia	Puerto Parra	2017	68.8%	99.7%	24.3%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2000	76.3%	91.2%	56.2%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2000	72.1%	86.2%	59.2%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2017	58.7%	74.3%	44.5%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2017	68.2%	80.4%	50.3%
Colombia	Puerto Rondón	2000	77.0%	89.8%	60.0%
Colombia	Puerto Rondón	2017	73.9%	88.8%	52.1%
Colombia	Puerto Salgar	2000	95.8%	97.4%	91.7%
Colombia	Puerto Salgar	2017	78.1%	89.2%	66.0%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2000	64.6%	84.4%	42.8%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2000	98.4%	100.0%	82.6%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2017	72.9%	88.3%	55.3%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2017	95.6%	99.8%	67.1%
Colombia	Puerto Tejada	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.8%
Colombia	Puerto Tejada	2017	81.4%	84.7%	77.8%
Colombia	Puerto Trunfo	2000	96.5%	99.4%	88.5%
Colombia	Puerto Trunfo	2017	90.3%	97.5%	72.3%
Colombia	Puerto Wilches	2000	81.8%	92.6%	49.8%
Colombia	Puerto Wilches	2017	76.9%	90.6%	44.8%
Colombia	Pulí	2000	52.2%	99.9%	3.4%
Colombia	Pulí	2017	53.1%	99.1%	5.9%
Colombia	Pupiales	2000	71.1%	82.2%	61.8%
Colombia	Pupiales	2017	63.9%	79.2%	50.2%
Colombia	Puracé	2000	96.7%	100.0%	68.4%
Colombia	Puracé	2017	94.5%	100.0%	64.2%
Colombia	Purificación	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.2%
Colombia	Purificación	2017	88.2%	92.3%	81.7%
Colombia	Purísima	2000	58.9%	95.8%	17.7%
Colombia	Purísima	2017	59.9%	92.8%	17.6%
Colombia	Quebradanegra	2000	79.2%	99.7%	30.8%
Colombia	Quebradanegra	2017	73.1%	99.0%	25.5%
Colombia	Quetame	2000	13.7%	34.5%	4.2%
Colombia	Quetame	2017	20.4%	56.7%	5.0%
Colombia	Quibdó	2000	79.3%	86.1%	73.3%
Colombia	Quibdó	2017	84.5%	89.0%	78.6%
Colombia	Quimbaya	2000	99.0%	99.5%	98.3%
Colombia	Quimbaya	2017	91.4%	95.8%	84.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Quinchía	2000	97.6%	100.0%	87.8%
Colombia	Quinchía	2017	91.3%	99.6%	63.1%
Colombia	Quípama	2000	97.2%	98.5%	95.5%
Colombia	Quípama	2017	86.2%	95.5%	74.6%
Colombia	Quipile	2000	46.1%	91.7%	4.5%
Colombia	Quipile	2017	33.7%	81.1%	4.5%
Colombia	Ragonvalia	2000	71.0%	99.8%	6.5%
Colombia	Ragonvalia	2017	64.5%	99.2%	8.7%
Colombia	Ramiriquí	2000	49.2%	98.6%	4.9%
Colombia	Ramiriquí	2017	52.5%	93.0%	8.2%
Colombia	Ráquira	2000	63.7%	99.3%	12.7%
Colombia	Ráquira	2017	59.0%	96.8%	10.8%
Colombia	Recetor	2000	60.8%	88.6%	25.6%
Colombia	Recetor	2017	73.7%	94.8%	38.9%
Colombia	Remedios	2000	76.1%	98.1%	34.7%
Colombia	Remedios	2017	72.3%	95.7%	39.0%
Colombia	Remolino	2000	96.2%	100.0%	81.0%
Colombia	Remolino	2017	95.5%	99.9%	81.3%
Colombia	Repelón	2000	93.4%	99.4%	82.5%
Colombia	Repelón	2017	94.8%	99.7%	83.5%
Colombia	Restrepo	2000	66.0%	97.9%	11.5%
Colombia	Restrepo	2000	86.0%	87.9%	84.1%
Colombia	Restrepo	2017	82.3%	83.7%	80.7%
Colombia	Restrepo	2017	75.6%	99.0%	24.5%
Colombia	Retiro	2000	99.1%	99.6%	98.0%
Colombia	Retiro	2017	94.8%	98.1%	90.2%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2000	95.5%	98.0%	90.6%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2000	78.6%	96.3%	52.7%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2017	75.1%	91.6%	52.3%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2017	85.6%	93.3%	72.5%
Colombia	Río de Oro	2000	83.7%	92.3%	71.4%
Colombia	Río de Oro	2017	51.6%	72.6%	34.8%
Colombia	Río Viejo	2000	64.7%	97.8%	11.5%
Colombia	Río Viejo	2017	67.7%	96.5%	22.9%
Colombia	Rioblanco	2000	68.7%	89.8%	44.8%
Colombia	Rioblanco	2017	70.6%	87.3%	51.1%
Colombia	Riofrío	2000	86.7%	100.0%	33.7%
Colombia	Riofrío	2017	84.7%	100.0%	34.2%
Colombia	Riohacha	2000	83.5%	88.4%	77.7%
Colombia	Riohacha	2017	73.2%	78.0%	66.7%
Colombia	Rionegro	2000	94.4%	97.2%	90.6%
Colombia	Rionegro	2000	44.7%	55.8%	28.3%
Colombia	Rionegro	2017	85.3%	92.0%	76.4%
Colombia	Rionegro	2017	46.5%	61.1%	32.1%
Colombia	Riosucio	2000	69.4%	87.5%	50.8%
Colombia	Riosucio	2000	91.5%	94.0%	89.4%
Colombia	Riosucio	2017	73.2%	87.9%	55.3%
Colombia	Riosucio	2017	79.0%	84.8%	73.3%
Colombia	Risaralda	2000	74.7%	89.6%	60.4%
Colombia	Risaralda	2017	56.1%	74.1%	31.6%
Colombia	Rivera	2000	75.8%	97.9%	50.2%
Colombia	Rivera	2017	66.1%	91.7%	46.7%
Colombia	Roberto Payán	2000	70.8%	94.8%	42.8%
Colombia	Roberto Payán	2017	66.2%	84.9%	39.8%
Colombia	Roldanillo	2000	97.0%	98.6%	91.9%
Colombia	Roldanillo	2017	94.4%	98.3%	83.8%
Colombia	Roncesvalles	2000	49.9%	94.0%	14.7%
Colombia	Roncesvalles	2017	54.2%	89.9%	17.5%
Colombia	Rondón	2000	54.9%	99.9%	2.7%
Colombia	Rondón	2017	61.3%	99.2%	6.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Rosas	2000	58.4%	99.3%	16.8%
Colombia	Rosas	2017	59.3%	93.9%	24.9%
Colombia	Rovira	2000	67.6%	99.2%	23.0%
Colombia	Rovira	2017	60.9%	94.7%	23.1%
Colombia	Sabana de Torres	2000	79.7%	92.8%	42.9%
Colombia	Sabana de Torres	2017	73.5%	92.1%	38.5%
Colombia	Sabanagrande	2000	98.4%	100.0%	86.6%
Colombia	Sabanagrande	2017	96.3%	100.0%	68.4%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	74.9%	98.4%	37.8%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	98.2%	99.2%	96.3%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	74.5%	99.9%	25.1%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	96.6%	98.5%	93.3%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	68.3%	98.7%	17.5%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	72.9%	95.0%	42.9%
Colombia	Sabaneta	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Colombia	Sabaneta	2017	92.9%	95.8%	89.6%
Colombia	Saboyá	2000	82.2%	86.1%	77.9%
Colombia	Saboyá	2017	34.8%	41.2%	28.8%
Colombia	Sácama	2000	65.1%	95.0%	23.7%
Colombia	Sácama	2017	71.0%	95.8%	33.0%
Colombia	Sáchica	2000	86.3%	98.8%	60.8%
Colombia	Sáchica	2017	69.7%	98.6%	28.2%
Colombia	Saladoblanco	2000	84.2%	98.6%	60.9%
Colombia	Saladoblanco	2017	68.2%	90.2%	42.6%
Colombia	Salamina	2000	90.5%	97.3%	83.8%
Colombia	Salamina	2000	93.1%	95.2%	90.2%
Colombia	Salamina	2017	90.8%	98.5%	75.7%
Colombia	Salamina	2017	69.9%	75.6%	62.0%
Colombia	Salazar de las Palmas	2000	86.3%	97.5%	66.2%
Colombia	Salazar de las Palmas	2017	67.8%	86.5%	46.4%
Colombia	Saldaña	2000	84.0%	97.3%	70.8%
Colombia	Saldaña	2017	62.8%	87.8%	45.6%
Colombia	Salento	2000	95.0%	98.5%	91.0%
Colombia	Salento	2017	74.5%	85.6%	61.4%
Colombia	Salgar	2000	87.7%	96.8%	77.4%
Colombia	Salgar	2017	72.6%	90.5%	49.8%
Colombia	Samacá	2000	83.5%	98.6%	52.3%
Colombia	Samacá	2017	72.5%	93.7%	46.5%
Colombia	Samaná	2000	72.3%	98.4%	41.1%
Colombia	Samaná	2017	66.2%	92.5%	38.2%
Colombia	Samaniego	2000	95.0%	97.7%	89.3%
Colombia	Samaniego	2017	83.0%	89.4%	72.1%
Colombia	Sampués	2000	98.1%	98.9%	97.1%
Colombia	Sampués	2017	98.3%	99.3%	96.1%
Colombia	San Agustín	2000	68.0%	84.2%	49.8%
Colombia	San Agustín	2017	77.6%	92.3%	59.7%
Colombia	San Alberto	2000	74.2%	93.5%	40.7%
Colombia	San Alberto	2017	67.3%	90.0%	34.3%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2000	91.1%	99.9%	41.0%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2000	68.5%	99.9%	12.4%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2017	82.9%	99.5%	29.4%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2017	66.6%	99.3%	12.4%
Colombia	San Andrés de Sotavento	2000	51.1%	60.1%	43.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Andrés de Sotavento	2017	59.5%	70.4%	46.6%
Colombia	San Antero	2000	83.2%	99.7%	23.4%
Colombia	San Antero	2017	81.0%	97.2%	47.8%
Colombia	San Antonio	2000	60.9%	98.1%	13.5%
Colombia	San Antonio	2017	56.1%	94.6%	20.2%
Colombia	San Antonio de Palmito	2000	91.7%	99.4%	70.1%
Colombia	San Antonio de Palmito	2017	88.4%	99.4%	58.4%
Colombia	San Antonio del Tequendama	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Colombia	San Antonio del Tequendama	2017	97.7%	99.8%	91.7%
Colombia	San Benito	2000	83.6%	100.0%	10.9%
Colombia	San Benito	2017	79.6%	100.0%	13.4%
Colombia	San Benito Abad	2000	79.3%	97.6%	48.1%
Colombia	San Benito Abad	2017	78.4%	95.9%	54.4%
Colombia	San Bernardino de Sahagún	2000	67.2%	89.1%	41.9%
Colombia	San Bernardino de Sahagún	2017	70.5%	87.3%	48.2%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2000	67.5%	100.0%	5.5%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2000	71.6%	98.2%	35.2%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2017	66.1%	100.0%	4.9%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2017	63.2%	88.2%	37.5%
Colombia	San Bernardo del Viento	2000	77.9%	85.2%	65.8%
Colombia	San Bernardo del Viento	2017	68.1%	76.8%	57.8%
Colombia	San Calixto	2000	58.5%	91.3%	16.5%
Colombia	San Calixto	2017	52.8%	88.0%	13.8%
Colombia	San Carlos	2000	70.6%	76.6%	59.0%
Colombia	San Carlos	2000	75.5%	99.1%	20.2%
Colombia	San Carlos	2017	67.6%	75.8%	58.9%
Colombia	San Carlos	2017	72.2%	98.2%	23.5%
Colombia	San Carlos de Guaroa	2000	71.1%	91.7%	48.7%
Colombia	San Carlos de Guaroa	2017	68.1%	89.2%	45.7%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2000	86.6%	100.0%	39.8%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2000	93.9%	100.0%	55.4%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2017	82.3%	99.8%	39.4%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2017	91.4%	100.0%	53.0%
Colombia	San Diego	2000	87.8%	99.2%	41.3%
Colombia	San Diego	2017	83.9%	98.0%	37.2%
Colombia	San Eduardo	2000	73.5%	100.0%	10.2%
Colombia	San Eduardo	2017	68.8%	99.6%	8.0%
Colombia	San Estanislao de Kostka	2000	99.0%	99.7%	96.3%
Colombia	San Estanislao de Kostka	2017	96.5%	99.6%	89.1%
Colombia	San Felipe	2000	58.2%	80.0%	35.7%
Colombia	San Felipe	2017	66.4%	83.3%	45.8%
Colombia	San Fernando	2000	91.3%	97.8%	73.7%
Colombia	San Fernando	2017	75.8%	88.7%	57.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	87.2%	99.9%	41.0%
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	56.4%	99.2%	6.8%
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	71.5%	94.6%	37.1%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	58.5%	96.2%	13.9%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	80.6%	99.6%	29.2%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	57.0%	84.3%	32.0%
Colombia	San Gil	2000	73.4%	99.1%	28.8%
Colombia	San Gil	2017	57.1%	92.5%	27.2%
Colombia	San Jacinto	2000	83.7%	95.5%	67.3%
Colombia	San Jacinto	2017	83.9%	94.8%	64.8%
Colombia	San Jerónimo	2000	99.3%	100.0%	95.3%
Colombia	San Jerónimo	2017	97.9%	100.0%	83.8%
Colombia	San Joaquín	2000	60.0%	96.1%	12.6%
Colombia	San Joaquín	2017	41.1%	82.8%	5.0%
Colombia	San José de Cúcuta	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.7%
Colombia	San José de Cúcuta	2017	85.8%	87.9%	83.7%
Colombia	San José de la Montaña	2000	87.5%	100.0%	32.5%
Colombia	San José de la Montaña	2017	80.0%	99.8%	27.4%
Colombia	San José de Miranda	2000	86.4%	93.7%	73.3%
Colombia	San José de Miranda	2017	53.9%	71.6%	32.3%
Colombia	San Jose de Ocune	2000	70.2%	77.2%	62.0%
Colombia	San Jose de Ocune	2017	71.6%	77.3%	64.9%
Colombia	San José de Pare	2000	86.3%	92.3%	67.8%
Colombia	San José de Pare	2017	51.5%	60.5%	37.5%
Colombia	San José del Fragua	2000	66.7%	90.2%	39.0%
Colombia	San José del Fragua	2017	63.4%	82.8%	38.6%
Colombia	San José del Guaviare	2000	58.9%	64.4%	53.9%
Colombia	San José del Guaviare	2017	49.8%	53.8%	46.0%
Colombia	San José del Palmar	2000	74.2%	97.8%	25.7%
Colombia	San José del Palmar	2017	56.0%	88.7%	15.9%
Colombia	San Juan de Arama	2000	82.7%	96.3%	61.2%
Colombia	San Juan de Arama	2017	78.8%	92.7%	56.0%
Colombia	San Juan de Betulia	2000	98.5%	99.8%	88.9%
Colombia	San Juan de Betulia	2017	93.2%	98.1%	78.4%
Colombia	San Juan de Pasto	2000	90.2%	96.3%	86.3%
Colombia	San Juan de Pasto	2017	81.2%	87.4%	75.3%
Colombia	San Juan de Río Seco	2000	57.5%	85.2%	39.9%
Colombia	San Juan de Río Seco	2017	63.7%	89.1%	34.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Juan de Urabá	2000	77.3%	99.5%	31.1%
Colombia	San Juan de Urabá	2017	70.4%	96.0%	27.2%
Colombia	San Juan del Cesar	2000	45.0%	80.2%	21.9%
Colombia	San Juan del Cesar	2017	61.0%	88.5%	32.5%
Colombia	San Juan Nepomuceno	2000	65.1%	74.6%	56.4%
Colombia	San Juan Nepomuceno	2017	89.4%	93.7%	83.9%
Colombia	San Juanito	2000	89.1%	100.0%	32.3%
Colombia	San Juanito	2017	87.3%	100.0%	31.9%
Colombia	San Lorenzo	2000	98.8%	99.9%	96.0%
Colombia	San Lorenzo	2017	94.7%	99.4%	86.2%
Colombia	San Luis	2000	62.4%	95.8%	18.7%
Colombia	San Luis	2017	50.8%	82.5%	13.6%
Colombia	San Luís	2000	83.5%	92.5%	75.6%
Colombia	San Luís	2017	73.5%	82.3%	63.2%
Colombia	San Luis de Cubarral	2000	71.1%	93.7%	43.5%
Colombia	San Luis de Cubarral	2017	66.9%	90.3%	35.0%
Colombia	San Luis de Gaceno	2000	62.7%	100.0%	5.9%
Colombia	San Luis de Gaceno	2017	67.2%	99.7%	12.4%
Colombia	San Luis de Palenque	2000	68.8%	93.0%	37.0%
Colombia	San Luis de Palenque	2017	72.7%	93.2%	42.9%
Colombia	San Marcos	2000	85.7%	92.6%	76.3%
Colombia	San Marcos	2017	80.3%	90.9%	64.9%
Colombia	San Martín	2000	80.0%	90.2%	61.2%
Colombia	San Martín	2000	70.1%	91.9%	38.5%
Colombia	San Martín	2017	73.2%	86.4%	52.5%
Colombia	San Martín	2017	55.0%	84.8%	24.1%
Colombia	San Martín de Loba	2000	75.8%	88.4%	58.0%
Colombia	San Martín de Loba	2017	65.0%	77.9%	48.8%
Colombia	San Mateo	2000	67.5%	75.5%	59.8%
Colombia	San Mateo	2017	26.4%	38.0%	18.7%
Colombia	San Miguel	2000	60.4%	70.7%	53.6%
Colombia	San Miguel	2017	25.0%	33.9%	16.6%
Colombia	San Miguel de Mocoa	2000	91.9%	96.2%	86.1%
Colombia	San Miguel de Mocoa	2017	75.6%	81.2%	69.5%
Colombia	San Miguel de Sema	2000	73.9%	88.5%	60.6%
Colombia	San Miguel de Sema	2017	63.3%	81.0%	49.7%
Colombia	San Onofre	2000	88.3%	98.6%	66.4%
Colombia	San Onofre	2017	86.1%	97.6%	64.2%
Colombia	San Pablo	2000	96.3%	97.9%	94.1%
Colombia	San Pablo	2000	87.7%	98.7%	67.8%
Colombia	San Pablo	2017	74.3%	83.1%	63.4%
Colombia	San Pablo	2017	83.5%	96.9%	53.5%
Colombia	San Pablo de Borbur	2000	90.1%	97.7%	63.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Pablo de Borbur	2017	71.2%	90.5%	35.0%
Colombia	San Pedro	2000	99.6%	100.0%	96.7%
Colombia	San Pedro	2000	86.2%	98.0%	64.1%
Colombia	San Pedro	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.9%
Colombia	San Pedro	2017	89.0%	99.2%	57.1%
Colombia	San Pedro de Cartago	2000	98.7%	99.5%	97.1%
Colombia	San Pedro de Cartago	2017	91.1%	97.0%	83.5%
Colombia	San Pedro de los Milagros	2000	99.3%	100.0%	91.5%
Colombia	San Pedro de los Milagros	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.9%
Colombia	San Pedro de Urabá	2000	75.4%	83.5%	63.9%
Colombia	San Pedro de Urabá	2017	59.6%	70.8%	48.6%
Colombia	San Pelayo	2000	39.0%	64.0%	17.8%
Colombia	San Pelayo	2017	45.5%	73.0%	22.6%
Colombia	San Rafael	2000	62.0%	99.8%	11.5%
Colombia	San Rafael	2017	65.5%	98.7%	19.8%
Colombia	San Roque	2000	89.0%	99.3%	57.5%
Colombia	San Roque	2017	75.8%	98.4%	40.0%
Colombia	San Sebastián	2000	77.1%	99.7%	35.4%
Colombia	San Sebastián	2017	74.6%	99.0%	39.5%
Colombia	San Sebastián de Buenavista	2000	84.2%	99.9%	44.0%
Colombia	San Sebastián de Buenavista	2017	81.3%	99.6%	42.9%
Colombia	San Sebastian de Mariquita	2000	91.2%	95.1%	86.7%
Colombia	San Sebastian de Mariquita	2017	65.2%	75.9%	57.2%
Colombia	San Vicente	2000	94.3%	99.9%	77.6%
Colombia	San Vicente	2017	91.6%	99.8%	68.9%
Colombia	San Vicente de Chucurí	2000	64.6%	80.1%	47.2%
Colombia	San Vicente de Chucurí	2017	54.1%	72.0%	33.4%
Colombia	San Vicente del Caguán	2000	79.2%	86.0%	71.6%
Colombia	San Vicente del Caguán	2017	72.8%	79.3%	65.6%
Colombia	San Zenón	2000	93.8%	99.5%	79.6%
Colombia	San Zenón	2017	84.7%	97.8%	57.4%
Colombia	Sandoná	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.7%
Colombia	Sandoná	2017	98.1%	99.9%	92.1%
Colombia	Santa Ana	2000	69.0%	91.0%	44.2%
Colombia	Santa Ana	2017	69.2%	87.7%	51.1%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	98.6%	100.0%	89.8%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	81.8%	98.5%	54.0%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	63.6%	92.8%	31.8%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	68.5%	91.8%	38.9%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	96.5%	99.8%	82.7%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	68.5%	91.6%	40.4%
Colombia	Santa Catalina	2000	70.1%	88.8%	53.1%
Colombia	Santa Catalina	2017	86.4%	93.4%	74.6%
Colombia	Santa Cruz	2000	92.6%	99.9%	67.1%
Colombia	Santa Cruz	2017	88.1%	99.6%	50.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Santa Cruz de Lorica	2000	70.1%	89.5%	45.8%
Colombia	Santa Cruz de Lorica	2017	66.2%	83.5%	46.0%
Colombia	Santa Fe de Antioquia	2000	85.5%	99.9%	39.5%
Colombia	Santa Fe de Antioquia	2017	80.6%	99.4%	45.0%
Colombia	Santa Helena del Opón	2000	71.0%	99.9%	21.0%
Colombia	Santa Helena del Opón	2017	72.8%	99.6%	29.2%
Colombia	Santa Isabel	2000	80.6%	99.8%	40.0%
Colombia	Santa Isabel	2017	74.6%	98.8%	37.2%
Colombia	Santa Lucía	2000	98.6%	100.0%	90.0%
Colombia	Santa Lucía	2017	97.0%	100.0%	79.0%
Colombia	Santa María	2000	87.6%	100.0%	33.0%
Colombia	Santa María	2000	54.2%	98.8%	5.7%
Colombia	Santa María	2017	84.0%	100.0%	36.0%
Colombia	Santa María	2017	60.3%	94.7%	15.5%
Colombia	Santa Marta (Dist. Esp.)	2000	93.0%	94.9%	90.6%
Colombia	Santa Marta (Dist. Esp.)	2017	90.4%	92.8%	87.1%
Colombia	Santa Rita	2000	69.4%	82.8%	56.4%
Colombia	Santa Rita	2017	69.4%	78.5%	59.4%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2000	86.6%	96.1%	71.1%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2000	65.2%	90.8%	37.2%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2017	66.5%	86.5%	42.8%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2017	77.3%	97.3%	43.4%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Cabal	2000	95.0%	97.6%	88.3%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Cabal	2017	76.3%	85.6%	67.3%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Osos	2000	90.1%	99.0%	51.9%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Osos	2017	78.8%	94.3%	46.8%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2017	83.0%	87.0%	79.1%
Colombia	Santa Rosa del Sur	2000	52.6%	70.0%	33.6%
Colombia	Santa Rosa del Sur	2017	60.9%	76.8%	43.8%
Colombia	Santa Rosalía	2000	70.4%	85.7%	57.1%
Colombia	Santa Rosalía	2017	61.6%	73.7%	45.7%
Colombia	Santa Sofía	2000	61.2%	91.7%	24.6%
Colombia	Santa Sofía	2017	36.8%	84.3%	6.4%
Colombia	Santafé de Bogotá	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.3%
Colombia	Santafé de Bogotá	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Colombia	Santana	2000	83.7%	100.0%	18.2%
Colombia	Santana	2017	78.9%	100.0%	16.5%
Colombia	Santander de Quilichao	2000	81.8%	87.9%	73.8%
Colombia	Santander de Quilichao	2017	71.2%	78.8%	63.6%
Colombia	Santiago	2000	80.0%	99.9%	23.1%
Colombia	Santiago	2000	78.8%	97.0%	50.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Santiago	2017	72.7%	99.6%	16.1%
Colombia	Santiago	2017	66.3%	90.2%	30.5%
Colombia	Santiago de Cali	2000	99.1%	99.2%	99.0%
Colombia	Santiago de Cali	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.7%
Colombia	Santo Domingo	2000	83.0%	99.5%	46.3%
Colombia	Santo Domingo	2017	73.0%	96.9%	33.8%
Colombia	Santo Domingo de Silos	2000	70.4%	100.0%	13.3%
Colombia	Santo Domingo de Silos	2017	71.5%	100.0%	23.3%
Colombia	Santo Tomás	2000	93.4%	100.0%	54.4%
Colombia	Santo Tomás	2017	92.8%	99.9%	61.4%
Colombia	Santuario	2000	80.7%	88.3%	67.2%
Colombia	Santuario	2017	40.1%	57.3%	27.2%
Colombia	Sapuyes	2000	71.9%	98.5%	16.2%
Colombia	Sapuyes	2017	70.0%	98.4%	18.3%
Colombia	Saravena	2000	95.6%	98.6%	91.4%
Colombia	Saravena	2017	91.1%	96.0%	83.4%
Colombia	Sardinata	2000	74.5%	88.0%	51.1%
Colombia	Sardinata	2017	59.2%	72.5%	38.5%
Colombia	Sasaima	2000	90.4%	99.9%	58.2%
Colombia	Sasaima	2017	91.9%	99.8%	69.2%
Colombia	Sativanorte	2000	63.9%	100.0%	2.6%
Colombia	Sativanorte	2017	65.4%	100.0%	6.9%
Colombia	Sativasur	2000	68.5%	100.0%	1.2%
Colombia	Sativasur	2017	69.6%	100.0%	5.3%
Colombia	Segovia	2000	82.2%	97.6%	56.7%
Colombia	Segovia	2017	74.3%	91.7%	50.4%
Colombia	Sesquilé	2000	95.8%	100.0%	70.1%
Colombia	Sesquilé	2017	94.2%	100.0%	54.6%
Colombia	Sevilla	2000	77.1%	91.3%	62.2%
Colombia	Sevilla	2017	69.0%	84.0%	42.9%
Colombia	Siachoque	2000	54.3%	92.4%	17.8%
Colombia	Siachoque	2017	70.8%	97.7%	32.0%
Colombia	Sibaté	2000	71.3%	90.7%	33.5%
Colombia	Sibaté	2017	69.6%	85.7%	28.8%
Colombia	Sibundoy	2000	87.4%	98.7%	49.8%
Colombia	Sibundoy	2017	67.4%	92.3%	32.0%
Colombia	Silvania	2000	85.4%	88.7%	81.9%
Colombia	Silvania	2017	66.7%	72.8%	60.7%
Colombia	Silvia	2000	59.8%	79.3%	45.6%
Colombia	Silvia	2017	58.3%	75.3%	40.6%
Colombia	Simacota	2000	68.5%	99.0%	28.0%
Colombia	Simacota	2017	68.2%	95.6%	32.7%
Colombia	Simijaca	2000	95.5%	97.8%	90.2%
Colombia	Simijaca	2017	88.3%	93.9%	80.8%
Colombia	Simití	2000	78.4%	96.1%	60.0%
Colombia	Simití	2017	82.5%	95.4%	68.3%
Colombia	Sincé	2000	94.9%	99.4%	83.0%
Colombia	Sincé	2017	91.2%	98.4%	73.3%
Colombia	Sincelejo	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Colombia	Sincelejo	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Colombia	Sipí	2000	55.8%	94.7%	21.1%
Colombia	Sipí	2017	66.1%	92.2%	34.7%
Colombia	Soacha	2000	94.8%	98.4%	87.8%
Colombia	Soacha	2017	89.8%	94.5%	82.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Soatá	2000	58.6%	96.4%	7.4%
Colombia	Soatá	2017	31.9%	77.6%	3.9%
Colombia	Socha	2000	75.0%	99.7%	11.7%
Colombia	Socha	2017	72.4%	99.3%	15.2%
Colombia	Socorro	2000	84.3%	99.4%	51.0%
Colombia	Socorro	2017	58.7%	93.5%	18.7%
Colombia	Socotá	2000	61.1%	99.5%	14.0%
Colombia	Socotá	2017	63.5%	97.8%	26.4%
Colombia	Sogamoso	2000	96.4%	98.3%	93.7%
Colombia	Sogamoso	2017	93.7%	96.9%	87.1%
Colombia	Solano	2000	63.2%	74.5%	53.6%
Colombia	Solano	2017	68.7%	78.2%	59.6%
Colombia	Soledad	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Colombia	Soledad	2017	94.5%	96.5%	91.8%
Colombia	Somondoco	2000	75.6%	99.8%	14.3%
Colombia	Somondoco	2017	69.1%	99.7%	11.0%
Colombia	Sonsón	2000	77.6%	95.3%	52.7%
Colombia	Sonsón	2017	66.3%	86.7%	43.4%
Colombia	Sopetrán	2000	92.0%	99.7%	59.3%
Colombia	Sopetrán	2017	81.1%	96.2%	52.7%
Colombia	Soplaviento	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Colombia	Soplaviento	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.6%
Colombia	Sopó	2000	94.4%	96.9%	90.6%
Colombia	Sopó	2017	82.9%	91.1%	71.0%
Colombia	Sora	2000	93.4%	100.0%	65.9%
Colombia	Sora	2017	90.8%	99.9%	62.6%
Colombia	Soracá	2000	27.0%	77.0%	8.0%
Colombia	Soracá	2017	37.8%	79.9%	11.7%
Colombia	Sotaquirá	2000	88.7%	98.8%	52.5%
Colombia	Sotaquirá	2017	84.8%	96.7%	46.9%
Colombia	Sotará	2000	89.4%	94.3%	79.0%
Colombia	Sotará	2017	77.6%	85.1%	65.1%
Colombia	Suaita	2000	76.5%	99.7%	23.1%
Colombia	Suaita	2017	67.7%	98.0%	23.8%
Colombia	Suan	2000	98.3%	100.0%	79.3%
Colombia	Suan	2017	96.1%	100.0%	60.3%
Colombia	Suárez	2000	95.0%	97.0%	90.9%
Colombia	Suárez	2000	55.4%	98.2%	13.0%
Colombia	Suárez	2017	55.9%	93.4%	13.9%
Colombia	Suárez	2017	81.1%	87.1%	70.5%
Colombia	Suaza	2000	54.9%	88.1%	17.2%
Colombia	Suaza	2017	41.5%	82.3%	7.4%
Colombia	Subachoque	2000	99.4%	100.0%	97.0%
Colombia	Subachoque	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.4%
Colombia	Sucre	2000	62.8%	95.9%	18.8%
Colombia	Sucre	2000	72.3%	92.2%	40.1%
Colombia	Sucre	2017	68.0%	94.2%	32.3%
Colombia	Sucre	2017	66.8%	86.8%	34.7%
Colombia	Suesca	2000	93.5%	98.7%	86.6%
Colombia	Suesca	2017	95.2%	99.4%	79.4%
Colombia	Supatá	2000	86.0%	100.0%	26.0%
Colombia	Supatá	2017	83.5%	100.0%	23.2%
Colombia	Supía	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.4%
Colombia	Supía	2017	93.5%	97.6%	86.8%
Colombia	Suratá	2000	77.5%	100.0%	11.5%
Colombia	Suratá	2017	75.8%	100.0%	19.8%
Colombia	Susa	2000	88.6%	98.7%	53.3%
Colombia	Susa	2017	76.8%	97.8%	29.2%
Colombia	Susacón	2000	58.1%	99.9%	2.5%
Colombia	Susacón	2017	53.9%	98.9%	6.8%
Colombia	Sutamarchán	2000	71.2%	92.8%	44.6%
Colombia	Sutamarchán	2017	49.7%	92.2%	11.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Sutatausa	2000	94.5%	99.1%	74.5%
Colombia	Sutatausa	2017	77.5%	91.2%	51.4%
Colombia	Sutatenza	2000	83.9%	100.0%	25.0%
Colombia	Sutatenza	2017	77.0%	100.0%	16.2%
Colombia	Tabio	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.4%
Colombia	Tabio	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.3%
Colombia	Tadó	2000	74.2%	82.9%	65.5%
Colombia	Tadó	2017	76.1%	88.2%	64.3%
Colombia	Talaigua	2000	56.6%	98.8%	9.2%
Colombia	Nuevo Talaigua	2017	58.1%	96.6%	8.3%
Colombia	Tamalameque	2000	60.2%	95.4%	18.7%
Colombia	Tamalameque	2017	64.3%	93.2%	26.2%
Colombia	Támara	2000	60.8%	88.9%	25.6%
Colombia	Támara	2017	65.8%	89.7%	35.0%
Colombia	Tame	2000	68.0%	78.2%	57.6%
Colombia	Tame	2017	67.4%	76.8%	59.0%
Colombia	Támesis	2000	43.7%	83.7%	12.9%
Colombia	Támesis	2017	39.1%	80.4%	8.4%
Colombia	Taminango	2000	92.5%	99.6%	68.6%
Colombia	Taminango	2017	83.6%	96.3%	54.9%
Colombia	Tangua	2000	52.0%	95.5%	6.4%
Colombia	Tangua	2017	59.3%	97.9%	7.4%
Colombia	Taraira	2000	67.7%	90.4%	44.4%
Colombia	Taraira	2017	78.0%	92.7%	62.2%
Colombia	Tarapacá	2000	65.6%	78.6%	54.0%
Colombia	Tarapacá	2017	73.1%	81.2%	63.7%
Colombia	Tarazá	2000	76.2%	95.3%	55.1%
Colombia	Tarazá	2017	73.0%	87.7%	57.2%
Colombia	Tarquí	2000	82.6%	99.8%	38.0%
Colombia	Tarquí	2017	75.2%	98.5%	30.8%
Colombia	Tarso	2000	96.9%	100.0%	80.4%
Colombia	Tarso	2017	93.5%	99.8%	70.2%
Colombia	Tasco	2000	84.1%	99.9%	35.5%
Colombia	Tasco	2017	80.8%	99.4%	37.5%
Colombia	Tauramena	2000	79.4%	93.2%	59.5%
Colombia	Tauramena	2017	87.3%	94.8%	75.6%
Colombia	Tausa	2000	89.5%	93.9%	82.7%
Colombia	Tausa	2017	62.3%	73.2%	53.2%
Colombia	Tello	2000	99.1%	100.0%	92.7%
Colombia	Tello	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.3%
Colombia	Tena	2000	98.2%	99.2%	96.6%
Colombia	Tena	2017	95.3%	98.4%	88.6%
Colombia	Tenerife	2000	74.1%	84.6%	63.4%
Colombia	Tenerife	2017	74.4%	85.6%	60.5%
Colombia	Tenjo	2000	71.1%	78.3%	63.5%
Colombia	Tenjo	2017	67.5%	73.4%	59.4%
Colombia	Tenza	2000	91.0%	100.0%	46.7%
Colombia	Tenza	2017	82.8%	99.9%	28.4%
Colombia	Teorama	2000	83.2%	94.7%	64.2%
Colombia	Teorama	2017	63.5%	81.8%	38.4%
Colombia	Teruel	2000	96.4%	99.8%	82.1%
Colombia	Teruel	2017	91.3%	99.2%	69.2%
Colombia	Tesalia	2000	96.9%	100.0%	82.1%
Colombia	Tesalia	2017	92.4%	99.7%	69.7%
Colombia	Tibacuy	2000	80.1%	98.2%	49.6%
Colombia	Tibacuy	2017	56.7%	91.4%	19.9%
Colombia	Tibaná	2000	89.0%	99.0%	56.2%
Colombia	Tibaná	2017	82.8%	98.4%	46.1%
Colombia	Tibasosa	2000	98.4%	99.3%	96.6%
Colombia	Tibasosa	2017	90.5%	95.7%	78.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Tibirita	2000	74.4%	100.0%	4.0%
Colombia	Tibirita	2017	71.1%	100.0%	6.3%
Colombia	Tibú	2000	60.3%	75.9%	45.1%
Colombia	Tibú	2017	54.2%	69.2%	41.1%
Colombia	Tierralta	2000	61.0%	84.3%	33.9%
Colombia	Tierralta	2017	63.0%	82.4%	41.2%
Colombia	Timaná	2000	64.6%	87.2%	39.4%
Colombia	Timaná	2017	63.4%	84.8%	41.1%
Colombia	Timbío	2000	89.4%	98.1%	65.1%
Colombia	Timbío	2017	80.8%	92.9%	59.3%
Colombia	Timbiquí	2000	62.3%	84.7%	39.3%
Colombia	Timbiquí	2017	66.5%	86.1%	43.9%
Colombia	Tinjacá	2000	59.9%	95.3%	23.0%
Colombia	Tinjacá	2017	47.8%	90.0%	9.9%
Colombia	Tipacoque	2000	57.8%	98.1%	10.0%
Colombia	Tipacoque	2017	35.9%	86.8%	3.8%
Colombia	Titiribí	2000	87.8%	93.1%	79.2%
Colombia	Titiribí	2017	77.0%	85.1%	67.5%
Colombia	Toca	2000	49.9%	95.5%	9.8%
Colombia	Toca	2017	74.7%	99.2%	22.5%
Colombia	Tocaima	2000	94.4%	98.3%	88.5%
Colombia	Tocaima	2017	95.2%	98.7%	89.4%
Colombia	Tocancipá	2000	88.6%	94.4%	79.6%
Colombia	Tocancipá	2017	61.8%	90.3%	34.2%
Colombia	Toguí	2000	90.2%	98.3%	65.3%
Colombia	Toguí	2017	68.7%	88.4%	35.7%
Colombia	Toledo	2000	90.8%	99.4%	54.2%
Colombia	Toledo	2000	74.6%	84.5%	60.7%
Colombia	Toledo	2017	76.6%	95.5%	32.0%
Colombia	Toledo	2017	47.8%	56.9%	34.5%
Colombia	Tolú	2000	93.2%	98.0%	77.2%
Colombia	Tolú	2017	87.0%	96.2%	73.0%
Colombia	Toluviejo	2000	70.6%	95.8%	28.6%
Colombia	Toluviejo	2017	71.3%	96.8%	29.3%
Colombia	Tona	2000	95.9%	99.9%	80.3%
Colombia	Tona	2017	93.8%	99.8%	77.2%
Colombia	Topagá	2000	96.2%	98.7%	93.4%
Colombia	Topagá	2017	93.5%	98.9%	81.5%
Colombia	Topaipí	2000	53.9%	99.4%	4.9%
Colombia	Topaipí	2017	55.8%	96.2%	10.6%
Colombia	Toribío	2000	68.2%	95.0%	36.8%
Colombia	Toribío	2017	51.7%	81.4%	24.9%
Colombia	Toro	2000	38.4%	46.7%	31.7%
Colombia	Toro	2017	30.3%	50.7%	19.4%
Colombia	Tota	2000	63.9%	99.2%	18.8%
Colombia	Tota	2017	53.9%	94.2%	10.5%
Colombia	Totoró	2000	95.2%	99.7%	82.5%
Colombia	Totoró	2017	90.5%	98.4%	75.3%
Colombia	Trinidad	2000	71.4%	90.9%	48.8%
Colombia	Trinidad	2017	72.8%	90.4%	47.6%
Colombia	Trujillo	2000	84.2%	100.0%	34.1%
Colombia	Trujillo	2017	80.3%	100.0%	25.4%
Colombia	Tubará	2000	86.7%	100.0%	47.9%
Colombia	Tubará	2017	87.1%	99.9%	54.4%
Colombia	Tuluá	2000	93.0%	98.5%	87.2%
Colombia	Tuluá	2017	92.0%	96.5%	86.3%
Colombia	Tumaco	2000	63.5%	71.6%	54.6%
Colombia	Tumaco	2017	50.6%	63.1%	39.6%
Colombia	Tunja	2000	87.2%	90.8%	84.2%
Colombia	Tunja	2017	69.3%	74.0%	63.6%
Colombia	Tunungua	2000	99.9%	100.0%	98.6%
Colombia	Tunungua	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Túquerres	2000	95.5%	100.0%	69.5%
Colombia	Túquerres	2017	94.3%	99.6%	82.0%
Colombia	Turbaco	2000	92.1%	99.5%	67.0%
Colombia	Turbaco	2017	92.9%	99.7%	67.0%
Colombia	Turbaná	2000	94.0%	99.8%	79.2%
Colombia	Turbaná	2017	90.6%	99.4%	67.3%
Colombia	Turbo	2000	85.2%	93.0%	75.4%
Colombia	Turbo	2017	83.5%	92.0%	73.5%
Colombia	Turmequé	2000	95.2%	99.4%	83.7%
Colombia	Turmequé	2017	72.5%	93.2%	50.9%
Colombia	Tuta	2000	86.2%	97.7%	69.0%
Colombia	Tuta	2017	90.3%	98.2%	68.9%
Colombia	Tutazá	2000	84.2%	100.0%	6.4%
Colombia	Tutazá	2017	82.5%	100.0%	20.4%
Colombia	Ubalá	2000	63.0%	99.9%	6.9%
Colombia	Ubalá	2017	63.5%	99.4%	10.7%
Colombia	Ubaque	2000	96.8%	100.0%	84.4%
Colombia	Ubaque	2017	93.9%	99.8%	79.6%
Colombia	Ulloa	2000	98.8%	99.4%	97.9%
Colombia	Ulloa	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.6%
Colombia	Umbita	2000	92.7%	100.0%	62.0%
Colombia	Umbita	2017	85.9%	99.9%	47.0%
Colombia	Une	2000	88.0%	99.3%	57.8%
Colombia	Une	2017	80.6%	97.4%	52.4%
Colombia	Unguía	2000	85.1%	93.2%	74.8%
Colombia	Unguía	2017	83.3%	92.7%	69.6%
Colombia	Uramita	2000	92.1%	100.0%	48.2%
Colombia	Uramita	2017	87.3%	100.0%	37.4%
Colombia	Uribia	2000	46.6%	61.2%	34.1%
Colombia	Uribia	2017	56.1%	66.5%	44.0%
Colombia	Urrao	2000	75.3%	95.8%	45.0%
Colombia	Urrao	2017	72.5%	91.8%	46.5%
Colombia	Urumita	2000	76.0%	79.9%	73.0%
Colombia	Urumita	2017	71.4%	81.7%	63.2%
Colombia	Usiacurí	2000	92.6%	99.6%	75.6%
Colombia	Usiacurí	2017	95.4%	99.7%	78.0%
Colombia	Útica	2000	74.5%	100.0%	9.8%
Colombia	Útica	2017	73.0%	99.9%	14.8%
Colombia	Valdivia	2000	95.7%	99.7%	86.1%
Colombia	Valdivia	2017	92.0%	99.0%	76.2%
Colombia	Valencia	2000	44.1%	73.8%	19.4%
Colombia	Valencia	2017	42.4%	68.0%	18.8%
Colombia	Valle de San José	2000	83.4%	99.9%	18.2%
Colombia	Valle de San José	2017	68.8%	99.4%	11.2%
Colombia	Valle de San Juan	2000	68.2%	98.1%	21.0%
Colombia	Valle de San Juan	2017	64.8%	93.4%	21.4%
Colombia	Valle del Guamuez	2000	71.7%	87.1%	49.2%
Colombia	Valle del Guamuez	2017	63.6%	77.6%	44.7%
Colombia	Valledupar	2000	88.6%	93.6%	82.3%
Colombia	Valledupar	2017	81.9%	86.8%	76.4%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2000	52.6%	99.1%	3.7%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2000	66.2%	90.5%	37.6%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2017	49.9%	97.9%	5.7%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2017	61.0%	80.9%	37.4%
Colombia	Vegachí	2000	69.6%	100.0%	9.3%
Colombia	Vegachí	2017	70.3%	99.9%	14.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Vélez	2000	89.8%	95.3%	80.8%
Colombia	Vélez	2017	69.3%	79.8%	55.1%
Colombia	Venadillo	2000	89.2%	99.6%	54.0%
Colombia	Venadillo	2017	75.8%	98.2%	28.6%
Colombia	Venecia	2000	76.0%	100.0%	3.3%
Colombia	Venecia	2000	97.8%	100.0%	90.4%
Colombia	Venecia	2017	75.9%	100.0%	9.0%
Colombia	Venecia	2017	94.3%	100.0%	78.9%
Colombia	Ventaquemada	2000	91.5%	95.9%	82.6%
Colombia	Ventaquemada	2017	70.7%	81.9%	49.2%
Colombia	Vergara	2000	86.9%	99.9%	36.7%
Colombia	Vergara	2017	75.9%	98.8%	24.2%
Colombia	Versalles	2000	75.4%	99.3%	38.9%
Colombia	Versalles	2017	69.6%	95.8%	31.8%
Colombia	Vetas	2000	72.3%	100.0%	4.5%
Colombia	Vetas	2017	72.6%	100.0%	6.4%
Colombia	Vianí	2000	41.4%	71.2%	19.6%
Colombia	Vianí	2017	64.0%	90.1%	39.1%
Colombia	Victoria	2000	68.5%	85.3%	50.7%
Colombia	Victoria	2017	61.9%	78.2%	36.7%
Colombia	Vigía del Fuerte	2000	72.1%	99.5%	30.0%
Colombia	Vigía del Fuerte	2017	76.1%	98.5%	44.9%
Colombia	Vijes	2000	90.7%	99.3%	77.2%
Colombia	Vijes	2017	90.2%	98.5%	76.6%
Colombia	Villa Caro	2000	82.2%	100.0%	19.6%
Colombia	Villa Caro	2017	79.6%	100.0%	30.8%
Colombia	Villa de Leyva	2000	74.8%	98.7%	23.1%
Colombia	Villa de Leyva	2017	65.2%	98.9%	10.8%
Colombia	Villa de San Diego de Ubaté	2000	82.7%	100.0%	16.1%
Colombia	Villa de San Diego de Ubaté	2017	70.2%	99.8%	14.8%
Colombia	Villa del Rosario	2000	97.6%	98.9%	95.0%
Colombia	Villa del Rosario	2017	94.2%	97.1%	90.2%
Colombia	Villagarzón	2000	82.6%	91.2%	70.7%
Colombia	Villagarzón	2017	51.6%	60.1%	43.3%
Colombia	Villagómez	2000	73.3%	100.0%	10.8%
Colombia	Villagómez	2017	69.3%	99.7%	11.1%
Colombia	Villahermosa	2000	82.4%	96.7%	56.3%
Colombia	Villahermosa	2017	70.8%	94.8%	35.7%
Colombia	Villamaría	2000	98.7%	99.8%	95.7%
Colombia	Villamaría	2017	93.6%	98.4%	82.6%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	85.4%	97.6%	61.3%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	73.7%	100.0%	7.1%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	95.7%	99.8%	80.4%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	92.6%	97.9%	56.9%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	83.8%	96.8%	64.0%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	84.0%	97.1%	49.1%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	65.8%	100.0%	7.5%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	88.6%	99.7%	44.1%
Colombia	Villapinzón	2000	66.5%	99.0%	20.0%
Colombia	Villapinzón	2017	48.1%	97.3%	9.6%
Colombia	Villarrica	2000	94.3%	100.0%	47.1%
Colombia	Villarrica	2017	93.5%	100.0%	56.7%
Colombia	Villavicencio	2000	78.9%	82.4%	75.0%
Colombia	Villavicencio	2017	69.1%	72.2%	65.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Villavieja	2000	82.8%	99.7%	41.9%
Colombia	Villavieja	2017	79.1%	98.8%	41.1%
Colombia	Villeta	2000	69.2%	98.7%	29.7%
Colombia	Villeta	2017	69.7%	93.6%	41.0%
Colombia	Viotá	2000	90.6%	98.7%	75.2%
Colombia	Viotá	2017	88.2%	96.7%	69.2%
Colombia	Viracachá	2000	35.3%	93.2%	0.6%
Colombia	Viracachá	2017	45.0%	92.6%	1.1%
Colombia	Vista Hermosa	2000	74.0%	87.9%	55.7%
Colombia	Vista Hermosa	2017	66.7%	79.5%	51.5%
Colombia	Viterbo	2000	85.1%	91.3%	79.8%
Colombia	Viterbo	2017	55.5%	64.6%	45.2%
Colombia	Yacopí	2000	82.3%	94.8%	68.8%
Colombia	Yacopí	2017	70.9%	81.5%	56.7%
Colombia	Yacuanquer	2000	79.5%	99.9%	30.6%
Colombia	Yacuanquer	2017	76.5%	99.7%	22.6%
Colombia	Yaguará	2000	92.0%	100.0%	47.5%
Colombia	Yaguará	2017	89.2%	100.0%	36.7%
Colombia	Yalí	2000	66.7%	100.0%	10.8%
Colombia	Yalí	2017	67.1%	99.8%	16.0%
Colombia	Yarumal	2000	95.4%	99.0%	84.9%
Colombia	Yarumal	2017	84.1%	96.8%	62.5%
Colombia	Yavaraté	2000	57.9%	81.4%	38.5%
Colombia	Yavaraté	2017	70.0%	88.5%	49.9%
Colombia	Yolombó	2000	81.5%	97.1%	53.0%
Colombia	Yolombó	2017	72.7%	92.1%	47.8%
Colombia	Yondó	2000	62.8%	93.5%	29.6%
Colombia	Yondó	2017	62.1%	90.8%	31.2%
Colombia	Yopal	2000	74.8%	84.1%	65.8%
Colombia	Yopal	2017	77.6%	81.5%	72.0%
Colombia	Yotoco	2000	89.7%	100.0%	38.2%
Colombia	Yotoco	2017	86.6%	100.0%	40.9%
Colombia	Yumbo	2000	85.0%	90.0%	81.0%
Colombia	Yumbo	2017	79.3%	84.2%	74.3%
Colombia	Zambrano	2000	93.9%	100.0%	64.5%
Colombia	Zambrano	2017	88.4%	99.6%	53.6%
Colombia	Zapatoca	2000	79.0%	96.9%	19.0%
Colombia	Zapatoca	2017	74.3%	96.6%	18.0%
Colombia	Zaragoza	2000	81.9%	95.6%	55.2%
Colombia	Zaragoza	2017	75.2%	89.6%	54.2%
Colombia	Zarzal	2000	90.1%	98.8%	60.4%
Colombia	Zarzal	2017	86.9%	98.8%	58.2%
Colombia	Zetaquirá	2000	70.5%	99.3%	14.2%
Colombia	Zetaquirá	2017	66.6%	98.1%	13.5%
Colombia	Zipacón	2000	98.5%	99.8%	91.6%
Colombia	Zipacón	2017	89.9%	98.4%	52.6%
Colombia	Zipaquirá	2000	94.6%	97.5%	88.2%
Colombia	Zipaquirá	2017	85.6%	89.7%	79.9%
Dominican Republic	Altamira	2000	76.3%	99.8%	39.1%
Dominican Republic	Altamira	2017	75.0%	99.3%	40.0%
Dominican Republic	Arenoso	2000	91.6%	100.0%	54.1%
Dominican Republic	Arenoso	2017	98.0%	100.0%	79.3%
Dominican Republic	Azua de Compostela	2000	94.3%	100.0%	42.9%
Dominican Republic	Azua de Compostela	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Bajos Haina	2000	90.9%	100.0%	29.6%
Dominican Republic	Bajos Haina	2017	97.7%	100.0%	66.6%
Dominican Republic	Baní	2000	89.9%	99.4%	66.4%
Dominican Republic	Baní	2017	97.3%	100.0%	88.3%
Dominican Republic	Banica	2000	91.8%	100.0%	61.9%
Dominican Republic	Banica	2017	96.9%	100.0%	75.7%
Dominican Republic	Bayaguana	2000	92.9%	100.0%	69.9%
Dominican Republic	Bayaguana	2017	97.8%	100.0%	83.2%
Dominican Republic	Boca Chica	2000	92.0%	100.0%	43.8%
Dominican Republic	Boca Chica	2017	97.5%	100.0%	73.6%
Dominican Republic	Bohechio	2000	92.6%	100.0%	58.1%
Dominican Republic	Bohechio	2017	97.5%	100.0%	82.1%
Dominican Republic	Bonao	2000	93.6%	99.8%	77.2%
Dominican Republic	Bonao	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.9%
Dominican Republic	Cabral	2000	95.8%	100.0%	55.7%
Dominican Republic	Cabral	2017	99.5%	100.0%	94.1%
Dominican Republic	Cabrera	2000	91.5%	100.0%	62.0%
Dominican Republic	Cabrera	2017	97.2%	100.0%	78.3%
Dominican Republic	Cambita	2000	67.6%	99.4%	26.4%
Dominican Republic	Garabito	2017	66.1%	98.9%	40.8%
Dominican Republic	Castañuela	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Dominican Republic	Castañuela	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Dominican Republic	Castillo	2000	93.2%	100.0%	56.8%
Dominican Republic	Castillo	2017	97.9%	100.0%	82.1%
Dominican Republic	Cayetano Germosén	2000	93.8%	100.0%	35.4%
Dominican Republic	Cayetano Germosén	2017	98.1%	100.0%	73.3%
Dominican Republic	Cevicos	2000	92.7%	100.0%	66.1%
Dominican Republic	Cevicos	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.2%
Dominican Republic	Comendador	2000	90.5%	99.9%	64.9%
Dominican Republic	Comendador	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.7%
Dominican Republic	Concepción de la Vega	2000	94.1%	99.9%	80.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Concepción de la Vega	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.3%
Dominican Republic	Constanza	2000	94.4%	99.9%	68.5%
Dominican Republic	Constanza	2017	97.4%	100.0%	72.8%
Dominican Republic	Consuelo	2000	92.4%	100.0%	38.3%
Dominican Republic	Consuelo	2017	98.3%	100.0%	83.4%
Dominican Republic	Cotuí	2000	94.2%	99.9%	77.6%
Dominican Republic	Cotuí	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.1%
Dominican Republic	Cristobal	2000	94.4%	100.0%	65.5%
Dominican Republic	Cristobal	2017	98.2%	100.0%	79.7%
Dominican Republic	Dajabón	2000	93.5%	100.0%	51.0%
Dominican Republic	Dajabón	2017	98.0%	100.0%	73.9%
Dominican Republic	Distrito Nacional	2000	95.8%	100.0%	71.0%
Dominican Republic	Distrito Nacional	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.0%
Dominican Republic	Duvergé	2000	91.5%	100.0%	66.8%
Dominican Republic	Duvergé	2017	96.9%	100.0%	80.6%
Dominican Republic	El Cercado	2000	93.6%	100.0%	59.6%
Dominican Republic	El Cercado	2017	98.0%	100.0%	84.9%
Dominican Republic	El Factor	2000	95.9%	100.0%	58.9%
Dominican Republic	El Factor	2017	99.1%	100.0%	90.4%
Dominican Republic	El Llano	2000	92.4%	100.0%	49.9%
Dominican Republic	El Llano	2017	97.3%	100.0%	62.6%
Dominican Republic	El Peñón	2000	93.3%	100.0%	38.0%
Dominican Republic	El Peñón	2017	98.2%	100.0%	84.5%
Dominican Republic	El Pino	2000	96.5%	100.0%	77.8%
Dominican Republic	El Pino	2017	99.3%	100.0%	91.9%
Dominican Republic	El Valle	2000	91.8%	100.0%	50.1%
Dominican Republic	El Valle	2017	97.3%	100.0%	69.2%
Dominican Republic	Enriquillo	2000	93.2%	100.0%	40.7%
Dominican Republic	Enriquillo	2017	98.0%	100.0%	80.1%
Dominican Republic	Esperalvilla	2000	99.1%	100.0%	87.3%
Dominican Republic	Esperalvilla	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Esperanza	2000	95.4%	100.0%	76.9%
Dominican Republic	Esperanza	2017	99.5%	100.0%	94.4%
Dominican Republic	Estebania	2000	93.0%	100.0%	60.8%
Dominican Republic	Estebania	2017	98.1%	100.0%	82.9%
Dominican Republic	Fantino	2000	92.1%	100.0%	37.1%
Dominican Republic	Fantino	2017	97.7%	100.0%	71.8%
Dominican Republic	Fundación	2000	92.4%	100.0%	25.9%
Dominican Republic	Fundación	2017	99.4%	100.0%	92.8%
Dominican Republic	Galvan	2000	97.9%	100.0%	88.1%
Dominican Republic	Galvan	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.2%
Dominican Republic	Gaspar Hernández	2000	90.8%	100.0%	59.1%
Dominican Republic	Gaspar Hernández	2017	97.3%	100.0%	83.1%
Dominican Republic	Guananico	2000	88.2%	100.0%	40.2%
Dominican Republic	Guananico	2017	95.5%	100.0%	56.5%
Dominican Republic	Guayabal	2000	92.0%	100.0%	60.8%
Dominican Republic	Guayabal	2017	97.7%	100.0%	81.2%
Dominican Republic	Guayacanes	2000	98.4%	100.0%	84.4%
Dominican Republic	Guayacanes	2017	99.7%	100.0%	94.4%
Dominican Republic	Guaymate	2000	94.2%	100.0%	71.1%
Dominican Republic	Guaymate	2017	98.0%	100.0%	83.8%
Dominican Republic	Guayubín	2000	92.6%	99.9%	73.6%
Dominican Republic	Guayubín	2017	98.0%	100.0%	87.3%
Dominican Republic	Guerra	2000	91.7%	100.0%	52.8%
Dominican Republic	Guerra	2017	97.9%	100.0%	81.8%
Dominican Republic	Hato Mayor del Rey	2000	94.6%	100.0%	77.1%
Dominican Republic	Hato Mayor del Rey	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.2%
Dominican Republic	Hondo Valle	2000	93.1%	100.0%	53.8%
Dominican Republic	Hondo Valle	2017	97.1%	100.0%	74.5%
Dominican Republic	Hostos	2000	91.2%	100.0%	45.9%
Dominican Republic	Hostos	2017	97.1%	100.0%	73.1%
Dominican Republic	Imbert	2000	86.1%	99.9%	44.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Imbert	2017	86.0%	99.9%	58.2%
Dominican Republic	Jamao al Norte	2000	84.4%	100.0%	32.7%
Dominican Republic	Jamao al Norte	2017	93.3%	100.0%	54.8%
Dominican Republic	Janico	2000	93.7%	100.0%	69.7%
Dominican Republic	Janico	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.0%
Dominican Republic	Jaquimeyes	2000	91.7%	100.0%	48.7%
Dominican Republic	Jaquimeyes	2017	96.4%	100.0%	57.2%
Dominican Republic	Jarabacoa	2000	91.9%	99.9%	63.0%
Dominican Republic	Jarabacoa	2017	96.5%	100.0%	72.1%
Dominican Republic	Jima Abajo	2000	93.0%	100.0%	49.3%
Dominican Republic	Jima Abajo	2017	98.7%	100.0%	84.3%
Dominican Republic	Jimaní	2000	91.7%	100.0%	54.5%
Dominican Republic	Jimaní	2017	96.5%	100.0%	69.7%
Dominican Republic	Juan de Herrera	2000	99.3%	100.0%	92.1%
Dominican Republic	Juan de Herrera	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Dominican Republic	Juan Santiago	2000	93.0%	100.0%	61.1%
Dominican Republic	Juan Santiago	2017	97.8%	100.0%	76.0%
Dominican Republic	La Cienaga	2000	93.9%	100.0%	65.0%
Dominican Republic	La Cienaga	2017	98.6%	100.0%	88.0%
Dominican Republic	La Descubierta	2000	92.6%	100.0%	45.9%
Dominican Republic	La Descubierta	2017	97.6%	100.0%	79.8%
Dominican Republic	La Isabela	2000	92.5%	100.0%	56.7%
Dominican Republic	La Isabela	2017	97.7%	100.0%	80.7%
Dominican Republic	La Laguna de Nisibón	2000	92.8%	100.0%	62.8%
Dominican Republic	La Laguna de Nisibón	2017	97.7%	100.0%	81.3%
Dominican Republic	La Mata	2000	98.1%	100.0%	87.3%
Dominican Republic	La Mata	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.8%
Dominican Republic	La Romana	2000	97.5%	100.0%	79.5%
Dominican Republic	La Romana	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Dominican Republic	Laguna Salada	2000	90.5%	100.0%	42.9%
Dominican Republic	Laguna Salada	2017	97.0%	100.0%	66.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Las Charcas	2000	91.8%	100.0%	61.3%
Dominican Republic	Las Charcas	2017	97.6%	100.0%	79.9%
Dominican Republic	Las Guaranas	2000	92.4%	100.0%	51.7%
Dominican Republic	Las Guaranas	2017	97.6%	100.0%	78.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Farfan	2000	96.0%	100.0%	79.5%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Farfan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Santa Cruz	2000	97.1%	100.0%	76.2%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Santa Cruz	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Salinas	2000	93.7%	100.0%	46.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Salinas	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Terrenas	2000	91.9%	100.0%	60.5%
Dominican Republic	Las Terrenas	2017	95.2%	100.0%	62.4%
Dominican Republic	Las Yayas de Viajama	2000	92.4%	100.0%	62.8%
Dominican Republic	Las Yayas de Viajama	2017	98.2%	100.0%	86.4%
Dominican Republic	Licey al Medio	2000	95.2%	100.0%	68.1%
Dominican Republic	Licey al Medio	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.6%
Dominican Republic	Loma de Cabrera	2000	94.1%	100.0%	64.0%
Dominican Republic	Loma de Cabrera	2017	98.9%	100.0%	88.6%
Dominican Republic	Los Alcarrizos	2000	94.5%	100.0%	46.8%
Dominican Republic	Los Alcarrizos	2017	98.4%	100.0%	80.3%
Dominican Republic	Los Almácigos	2000	91.8%	100.0%	48.4%
Dominican Republic	Los Almácigos	2017	97.6%	100.0%	78.6%
Dominican Republic	Los Cacaos	2000	93.9%	100.0%	59.9%
Dominican Republic	Los Cacaos	2017	98.2%	100.0%	86.6%
Dominican Republic	Los Hidalgos	2000	88.0%	100.0%	38.2%
Dominican Republic	Los Hidalgos	2017	97.6%	100.0%	72.4%
Dominican Republic	Los Llanos	2000	93.8%	100.0%	71.8%
Dominican Republic	Los Llanos	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.2%
Dominican Republic	Los Rios	2000	94.3%	100.0%	62.6%
Dominican Republic	Los Rios	2017	97.9%	100.0%	67.9%
Dominican Republic	Luperon	2000	91.8%	100.0%	61.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Luperon	2017	97.5%	100.0%	83.8%
Dominican Republic	Maimón	2000	81.8%	100.0%	25.2%
Dominican Republic	Maimón	2017	97.0%	100.0%	64.4%
Dominican Republic	Mao	2000	95.9%	100.0%	80.0%
Dominican Republic	Mao	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.9%
Dominican Republic	Mella	2000	92.5%	100.0%	62.2%
Dominican Republic	Mella	2017	97.5%	100.0%	79.1%
Dominican Republic	Miches	2000	91.5%	100.0%	60.8%
Dominican Republic	Miches	2017	97.8%	100.0%	85.1%
Dominican Republic	Moca	2000	94.8%	100.0%	76.2%
Dominican Republic	Moca	2017	98.4%	100.0%	83.1%
Dominican Republic	Monción	2000	93.0%	100.0%	47.0%
Dominican Republic	Monción	2017	97.5%	100.0%	76.5%
Dominican Republic	Monte Plata	2000	90.4%	99.9%	56.1%
Dominican Republic	Monte Plata	2017	97.8%	100.0%	87.4%
Dominican Republic	Montellano	2000	92.2%	100.0%	39.0%
Dominican Republic	Montellano	2017	97.5%	100.0%	67.5%
Dominican Republic	Nagua	2000	96.6%	100.0%	87.1%
Dominican Republic	Nagua	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.5%
Dominican Republic	Neyba	2000	96.9%	100.0%	69.9%
Dominican Republic	Neyba	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.0%
Dominican Republic	Nigua	2000	95.0%	100.0%	62.8%
Dominican Republic	Nigua	2017	98.0%	100.0%	64.2%
Dominican Republic	Nizao	2000	94.0%	100.0%	36.5%
Dominican Republic	Nizao	2017	97.8%	100.0%	69.4%
Dominican Republic	Padre Casas	Las 2000	93.6%	100.0%	73.8%
Dominican Republic	Padre Casas	Las 2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.4%
Dominican Republic	Paraiso	2000	91.3%	100.0%	35.5%
Dominican Republic	Paraiso	2017	97.4%	100.0%	65.3%
Dominican Republic	Partido	2000	94.4%	100.0%	70.9%
Dominican Republic	Partido	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Pedernales	2000	92.9%	99.6%	76.0%
Dominican Republic	Pedernales	2017	97.6%	100.0%	84.9%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Brand	2000	90.8%	100.0%	50.4%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Brand	2017	96.9%	100.0%	68.7%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Santana	2000	92.7%	100.0%	72.9%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Santana	2017	97.5%	100.0%	83.5%
Dominican Republic	Pepillo Salcedo	2000	93.2%	100.0%	65.1%
Dominican Republic	Pepillo Salcedo	2017	98.1%	100.0%	79.4%
Dominican Republic	Peralta	2000	93.8%	100.0%	66.4%
Dominican Republic	Peralta	2017	97.5%	100.0%	68.7%
Dominican Republic	Piedra Blanca	2000	80.9%	100.0%	35.0%
Dominican Republic	Piedra Blanca	2017	96.2%	100.0%	67.7%
Dominican Republic	Pimentel	2000	94.8%	100.0%	52.0%
Dominican Republic	Pimentel	2017	97.9%	100.0%	76.8%
Dominican Republic	Polo	2000	92.1%	100.0%	40.3%
Dominican Republic	Polo	2017	97.1%	100.0%	70.0%
Dominican Republic	Postrer Rio	2000	93.9%	100.0%	57.1%
Dominican Republic	Postrer Rio	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.6%
Dominican Republic	Pueblo Viejo	2000	95.5%	100.0%	72.5%
Dominican Republic	Pueblo Viejo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.3%
Dominican Republic	Puñal	2000	89.9%	100.0%	28.5%
Dominican Republic	Puñal	2017	98.0%	100.0%	82.3%
Dominican Republic	Quisquella	2000	97.7%	100.0%	75.7%
Dominican Republic	Quisquella	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.0%
Dominican Republic	Ramón Santana	2000	92.2%	100.0%	63.3%
Dominican Republic	Ramón Santana	2017	97.0%	100.0%	75.1%
Dominican Republic	Rancho Arriba	2000	95.4%	100.0%	66.2%
Dominican Republic	Rancho Arriba	2017	98.0%	100.0%	83.0%
Dominican Republic	Restauración	2000	91.3%	100.0%	57.0%
Dominican Republic	Restauración	2017	97.5%	100.0%	83.4%
Dominican Republic	Rio San Juan	2000	90.6%	100.0%	48.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Rio San Juan	2017	97.2%	100.0%	79.2%
Dominican Republic	Sabana de la Mar	2000	90.1%	100.0%	54.1%
Dominican Republic	Sabana de la Mar	2017	96.2%	100.0%	53.6%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Boyá	2000	92.1%	100.0%	58.7%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Boyá	2017	98.1%	100.0%	79.9%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Palenque	2000	93.4%	100.0%	24.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Palenque	2017	98.4%	100.0%	77.6%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Iglesia	2000	92.1%	100.0%	50.1%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Iglesia	2017	97.2%	100.0%	66.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Larga	2000	99.3%	100.0%	95.3%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Larga	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.5%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Yegua	2000	97.6%	100.0%	72.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Yegua	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Dominican Republic	Salcedo	2000	96.8%	100.0%	81.0%
Dominican Republic	Salcedo	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.9%
Dominican Republic	Salvaleón de Higüey	2000	95.0%	99.7%	85.1%
Dominican Republic	Salvaleón de Higüey	2017	98.9%	100.0%	95.0%
Dominican Republic	San Cristóbal	2000	93.3%	99.9%	75.8%
Dominican Republic	San Cristóbal	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.4%
Dominican Republic	San Felipe de Puerto Plata	2000	91.8%	99.9%	51.3%
Dominican Republic	San Felipe de Puerto Plata	2017	97.6%	100.0%	77.3%
Dominican Republic	San Fernando de Monte Cristi	2000	94.9%	100.0%	70.0%
Dominican Republic	San Fernando de Monte Cristi	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.0%
Dominican Republic	San Francisco de Macorís	2000	88.9%	99.9%	56.5%
Dominican Republic	San Francisco de Macorís	2017	95.9%	100.0%	61.9%
Dominican Republic	San Gregorio de Yaguatae	2000	92.9%	100.0%	63.9%
Dominican Republic	San Gregorio de Yaguatae	2017	97.1%	100.0%	74.4%
Dominican Republic	San Ignacio de Sabaneta	2000	92.2%	99.8%	64.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	San Ignacio de Sabaneta	2017	97.3%	100.0%	77.5%
Dominican Republic	San José de Las Matas	2000	93.1%	99.5%	74.7%
Dominican Republic	San José de Las Matas	2017	97.8%	100.0%	89.4%
Dominican Republic	San José de Ocoa	2000	97.7%	100.0%	88.4%
Dominican Republic	San José de Ocoa	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.3%
Dominican Republic	San Juan de la Maguana	2000	97.3%	99.9%	91.0%
Dominican Republic	San Juan de la Maguana	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.0%
Dominican Republic	San Pedro de Macorís	2000	97.8%	100.0%	77.3%
Dominican Republic	San Pedro de Macorís	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Dominican Republic	San Rafael del Yuma	2000	94.0%	99.9%	77.4%
Dominican Republic	San Rafael del Yuma	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.9%
Dominican Republic	Sánchez	2000	91.9%	100.0%	64.3%
Dominican Republic	Sánchez	2017	97.6%	100.0%	82.6%
Dominican Republic	Santa Bárbara de Samaná	2000	93.1%	99.9%	77.9%
Dominican Republic	Santa Bárbara de Samaná	2017	95.7%	100.0%	87.5%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz de Barahona	2000	92.1%	100.0%	25.5%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz de Barahona	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.6%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz del Seybo	2000	93.3%	99.5%	79.0%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz del Seybo	2017	98.4%	100.0%	94.0%
Dominican Republic	Santiago de los Caballeros	2000	97.2%	100.0%	87.6%
Dominican Republic	Santiago de los Caballeros	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.7%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Este	2000	88.6%	100.0%	35.7%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Este	2017	98.2%	100.0%	77.3%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Norte	2000	96.5%	100.0%	82.1%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Norte	2017	99.3%	100.0%	91.4%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Oeste	2000	93.4%	100.0%	60.7%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Oeste	2017	98.8%	100.0%	80.8%
Dominican Republic	Sosua	2000	90.9%	100.0%	58.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Sosua	2017	96.6%	100.0%	72.7%
Dominican Republic	Tamayo	2000	92.7%	100.0%	52.8%
Dominican Republic	Tamayo	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.7%
Dominican Republic	Tamboril	2000	95.8%	100.0%	60.1%
Dominican Republic	Tamboril	2017	99.5%	100.0%	92.7%
Dominican Republic	Tenares	2000	98.0%	100.0%	86.7%
Dominican Republic	Tenares	2017	99.5%	100.0%	93.8%
Dominican Republic	Vallejuelo	2000	93.4%	100.0%	60.9%
Dominican Republic	Vallejuelo	2017	97.7%	100.0%	77.5%
Dominican Republic	Vicente Noble	2000	91.9%	100.0%	46.4%
Dominican Republic	Vicente Noble	2017	98.0%	100.0%	87.4%
Dominican Republic	Villa Altagracia	2000	90.4%	100.0%	52.7%
Dominican Republic	Villa Altagracia	2017	96.2%	100.0%	73.4%
Dominican Republic	Villa Bisonó	2000	92.6%	100.0%	41.7%
Dominican Republic	Villa Bisonó	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.3%
Dominican Republic	Villa Gonzalez	2000	92.4%	100.0%	52.8%
Dominican Republic	Villa Gonzalez	2017	97.9%	100.0%	77.5%
Dominican Republic	Villa Hermosa	2000	96.9%	100.0%	54.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Hermosa	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.3%
Dominican Republic	Villa Jaragua	2000	91.9%	100.0%	52.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Jaragua	2017	97.3%	100.0%	82.9%
Dominican Republic	Villa Rivas	2000	92.2%	100.0%	65.5%
Dominican Republic	Villa Rivas	2017	97.8%	100.0%	84.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tabara Arriba	2000	93.3%	100.0%	63.6%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tabara Arriba	2017	97.9%	100.0%	81.4%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tapia	2000	97.3%	100.0%	82.9%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tapia	2017	99.2%	100.0%	89.7%
Dominican Republic	Villa Vázquez	2000	98.3%	100.0%	88.5%
Dominican Republic	Villa Vázquez	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.6%
Dominican Republic	Yamasá	2000	92.5%	100.0%	61.7%
Dominican Republic	Yamasá	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	24 De Mayo	2000	65.6%	97.5%	18.0%
Ecuador	24 De Mayo	2017	64.9%	97.4%	17.0%
Ecuador	Aguarico	2000	62.2%	99.6%	11.9%
Ecuador	Aguarico	2017	62.5%	99.8%	12.5%
Ecuador	Alausí	2000	91.6%	98.5%	80.6%
Ecuador	Alausí	2017	91.8%	98.1%	81.5%
Ecuador	Alfredo Baquerizo Moreno	2000	77.0%	97.1%	36.0%
Ecuador	Alfredo Baquerizo Moreno	2017	76.3%	97.4%	31.6%
Ecuador	Ambato	2000	97.0%	98.2%	94.4%
Ecuador	Ambato	2017	97.0%	98.1%	94.5%
Ecuador	Antonio Ante	2000	77.0%	100.0%	8.8%
Ecuador	Antonio Ante	2017	76.9%	100.0%	8.1%
Ecuador	Arajuno	2000	68.4%	98.8%	28.3%
Ecuador	Arajuno	2017	67.9%	98.9%	26.6%
Ecuador	Archidona	2000	73.1%	92.6%	48.6%
Ecuador	Archidona	2017	73.5%	92.6%	44.5%
Ecuador	Arenillas	2000	89.7%	98.3%	72.3%
Ecuador	Arenillas	2017	90.4%	98.1%	75.3%
Ecuador	Atacames	2000	71.4%	89.9%	49.9%
Ecuador	Atacames	2017	73.8%	88.9%	58.0%
Ecuador	Atahualpa	2000	97.0%	99.8%	86.8%
Ecuador	Atahualpa	2017	97.0%	99.8%	87.8%
Ecuador	Azogues	2000	97.3%	98.5%	95.7%
Ecuador	Azogues	2017	96.9%	98.3%	95.0%
Ecuador	Baba	2000	68.8%	83.6%	50.2%
Ecuador	Baba	2017	67.1%	81.8%	49.1%
Ecuador	Babahoyo	2000	86.6%	94.5%	71.3%
Ecuador	Babahoyo	2017	86.7%	94.2%	71.9%
Ecuador	Balao	2000	94.8%	99.6%	78.8%
Ecuador	Balao	2017	94.6%	99.6%	80.1%
Ecuador	Balsas	2000	96.7%	99.7%	89.4%
Ecuador	Balsas	2017	96.9%	99.7%	90.2%
Ecuador	Balzar	2000	84.2%	98.6%	64.0%
Ecuador	Balzar	2017	84.3%	98.5%	63.8%
Ecuador	Baños de Agua Santa	2000	96.0%	99.3%	86.8%
Ecuador	Baños de Agua Santa	2017	95.8%	99.3%	85.6%
Ecuador	Biblián	2000	98.2%	99.3%	96.4%
Ecuador	Biblián	2017	98.1%	99.2%	96.3%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2000	88.3%	98.0%	73.1%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2000	43.9%	70.1%	17.8%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2017	87.9%	97.9%	73.0%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2017	41.6%	68.7%	15.9%
Ecuador	Buena Fé	2000	76.4%	94.1%	48.9%
Ecuador	Buena Fé	2017	76.0%	94.4%	48.7%
Ecuador	Caluma	2000	90.9%	98.0%	74.6%
Ecuador	Caluma	2017	89.7%	98.0%	70.8%
Ecuador	Calvas	2000	89.8%	99.3%	69.0%
Ecuador	Calvas	2017	89.1%	99.3%	67.7%
Ecuador	Camilo Ponce Enriquez	2000	86.5%	100.0%	24.2%
Ecuador	Camilo Ponce Enriquez	2017	86.4%	100.0%	23.8%
Ecuador	Cañar	2000	91.1%	97.3%	79.4%
Ecuador	Cañar	2017	90.9%	97.2%	79.3%
Ecuador	Carlos Julio Arosemena Tola	2000	82.3%	100.0%	29.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Carlos Julio Arosemena Tola	2017	83.5%	100.0%	22.7%
Ecuador	Cascales	2000	73.6%	99.3%	30.7%
Ecuador	Cascales	2017	74.9%	98.9%	38.8%
Ecuador	Catamayo	2000	95.6%	99.2%	87.6%
Ecuador	Catamayo	2017	95.6%	99.2%	87.2%
Ecuador	Cayambe	2000	89.1%	99.5%	41.0%
Ecuador	Cayambe	2017	88.8%	99.5%	42.1%
Ecuador	Celica	2000	75.0%	100.0%	17.9%
Ecuador	Celica	2017	74.6%	100.0%	17.2%
Ecuador	Centinela del Cóndor	2000	90.4%	99.9%	45.6%
Ecuador	Centinela del Cóndor	2017	89.5%	100.0%	42.4%
Ecuador	Cevallos	2000	85.0%	90.0%	78.5%
Ecuador	Cevallos	2017	84.5%	89.6%	77.8%
Ecuador	Chaguarpamba	2000	96.0%	99.7%	81.7%
Ecuador	Chaguarpamba	2017	95.6%	99.6%	80.1%
Ecuador	Chambo	2000	68.1%	97.9%	19.8%
Ecuador	Chambo	2017	67.9%	97.7%	19.6%
Ecuador	Chilla	2000	86.5%	100.0%	44.6%
Ecuador	Chilla	2017	85.6%	100.0%	42.1%
Ecuador	Chillanes	2000	83.6%	95.8%	58.8%
Ecuador	Chillanes	2017	83.2%	95.5%	58.8%
Ecuador	Chimbo	2000	90.4%	94.5%	84.6%
Ecuador	Chimbo	2017	89.8%	94.3%	82.9%
Ecuador	Chinchi	2000	79.4%	100.0%	27.3%
Ecuador	Chinchi	2017	79.4%	100.0%	23.3%
Ecuador	Chone	2000	70.9%	91.6%	37.0%
Ecuador	Chone	2017	70.6%	91.8%	38.2%
Ecuador	Chordeleg	2000	90.6%	100.0%	45.2%
Ecuador	Chordeleg	2017	86.5%	99.9%	37.0%
Ecuador	Chunchi	2000	91.1%	99.6%	52.0%
Ecuador	Chunchi	2017	90.8%	99.6%	51.9%
Ecuador	Colimes	2000	67.8%	99.8%	15.8%
Ecuador	Colimes	2017	67.9%	99.7%	15.8%
Ecuador	Colta	2000	97.9%	99.7%	92.2%
Ecuador	Colta	2017	97.8%	99.7%	92.1%
Ecuador	Coronel	2000	88.9%	99.9%	56.8%
Ecuador	Marcelino Maridueña	2017	89.8%	99.9%	61.4%
Ecuador	Cotacachi	2000	73.5%	99.9%	17.9%
Ecuador	Cotacachi	2017	72.8%	99.8%	18.2%
Ecuador	Cuenca	2000	83.9%	99.0%	37.9%
Ecuador	Cuenca	2017	83.2%	98.9%	37.1%
Ecuador	Cumanda	2000	91.7%	99.7%	68.8%
Ecuador	Cumanda	2017	91.7%	99.7%	69.4%
Ecuador	Cuyabeno	2000	66.7%	99.9%	14.2%
Ecuador	Cuyabeno	2017	66.5%	100.0%	13.5%
Ecuador	Daule	2000	83.6%	90.3%	73.2%
Ecuador	Daule	2017	83.7%	90.2%	74.4%
Ecuador	Déleg	2000	97.6%	99.2%	93.4%
Ecuador	Déleg	2017	97.5%	99.1%	93.1%
Ecuador	Durán	2000	98.1%	99.9%	92.2%
Ecuador	Durán	2017	98.2%	99.8%	92.6%
Ecuador	Echeandía	2000	89.4%	97.8%	69.8%
Ecuador	Echeandía	2017	88.1%	98.0%	62.8%
Ecuador	El Carmen	2000	64.4%	87.1%	39.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	El Carmen	2017	63.8%	86.4%	38.4%
Ecuador	El Chaco	2000	87.9%	98.3%	68.7%
Ecuador	El Chaco	2017	89.7%	98.9%	73.0%
Ecuador	El Empalme	2000	75.5%	94.7%	44.4%
Ecuador	El Empalme	2017	75.0%	93.7%	45.7%
Ecuador	El Guabo	2000	94.8%	98.9%	86.3%
Ecuador	El Guabo	2017	94.7%	98.7%	86.9%
Ecuador	El Pan	2000	85.5%	100.0%	36.4%
Ecuador	El Pan	2017	85.2%	100.0%	36.8%
Ecuador	El Pangui	2000	63.9%	99.9%	3.9%
Ecuador	El Pangui	2017	63.5%	99.9%	3.3%
Ecuador	El Tambo	2000	97.8%	99.0%	96.3%
Ecuador	El Tambo	2017	97.7%	98.9%	96.2%
Ecuador	El Triunfo	2000	87.9%	99.1%	65.2%
Ecuador	El Triunfo	2017	88.2%	99.3%	64.9%
Ecuador	Eloy Alfaro	2000	64.0%	82.0%	42.3%
Ecuador	Eloy Alfaro	2017	63.5%	82.0%	41.7%
Ecuador	Esmeraldas	2000	87.6%	97.4%	63.9%
Ecuador	Esmeraldas	2017	88.3%	97.6%	66.8%
Ecuador	Espejo	2000	96.3%	99.2%	90.6%
Ecuador	Espejo	2017	96.6%	99.2%	91.5%
Ecuador	Espíndola	2000	74.5%	100.0%	9.1%
Ecuador	Espíndola	2017	74.3%	100.0%	9.3%
Ecuador	Flavio Alfaro	2000	50.0%	75.4%	17.4%
Ecuador	Flavio Alfaro	2017	48.6%	73.8%	16.2%
Ecuador	General Antonio Elizalde	2000	89.3%	99.9%	44.4%
Ecuador	General Antonio Elizalde	2017	90.5%	99.9%	52.8%
Ecuador	Girón	2000	67.1%	99.1%	14.1%
Ecuador	Girón	2017	65.8%	99.1%	13.3%
Ecuador	Gonzalo Pizarro	2000	73.1%	99.9%	20.6%
Ecuador	Gonzalo Pizarro	2017	73.2%	99.9%	19.4%
Ecuador	Gonzanamá	2000	89.0%	99.3%	62.7%
Ecuador	Gonzanamá	2017	89.5%	99.3%	62.3%
Ecuador	Guachapala	2000	97.2%	99.7%	89.1%
Ecuador	Guachapala	2017	97.3%	99.7%	89.1%
Ecuador	Gualaceo	2000	78.7%	98.2%	34.4%
Ecuador	Gualaceo	2017	79.2%	98.2%	34.8%
Ecuador	Gualaquiza	2000	81.4%	95.1%	64.7%
Ecuador	Gualaquiza	2017	82.1%	95.0%	67.0%
Ecuador	Guamote	2000	84.5%	97.9%	62.8%
Ecuador	Guamote	2017	84.7%	98.0%	63.6%
Ecuador	Guano	2000	97.3%	98.4%	95.3%
Ecuador	Guano	2017	97.2%	98.3%	95.0%
Ecuador	Guaranda	2000	93.4%	97.9%	84.4%
Ecuador	Guaranda	2017	93.2%	97.9%	84.1%
Ecuador	Guayaquil	2000	94.9%	99.2%	84.4%
Ecuador	Guayaquil	2017	96.0%	99.2%	88.5%
Ecuador	Huamboya	2000	75.4%	100.0%	11.5%
Ecuador	Huamboya	2017	75.2%	100.0%	10.3%
Ecuador	Huaquillas	2000	97.6%	99.9%	90.9%
Ecuador	Huaquillas	2017	97.7%	99.9%	92.0%
Ecuador	Ibarra	2000	75.5%	99.4%	16.5%
Ecuador	Ibarra	2017	74.9%	99.4%	13.7%
Ecuador	Isabela	2000	49.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Isabela	2017	49.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Isidro Ayora	2000	83.4%	98.9%	52.6%
Ecuador	Isidro Ayora	2017	83.8%	98.8%	52.9%
Ecuador	Jama	2000	62.0%	99.8%	6.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Jama	2017	61.3%	99.8%	7.4%
Ecuador	Jaramijó	2000	79.3%	99.9%	29.5%
Ecuador	Jaramijó	2017	82.0%	99.9%	31.5%
Ecuador	Jipijapa	2000	80.5%	94.0%	62.0%
Ecuador	Jipijapa	2017	79.8%	93.1%	61.7%
Ecuador	Junín	2000	68.9%	86.7%	45.3%
Ecuador	Junín	2017	69.1%	87.3%	45.2%
Ecuador	La Concordia	2000	74.6%	100.0%	8.1%
Ecuador	La Concordia	2017	73.7%	100.0%	9.1%
Ecuador	La Joya de los Sachas	2000	71.3%	98.7%	23.8%
Ecuador	La Joya de los Sachas	2017	70.3%	98.6%	22.6%
Ecuador	La Libertad	2000	86.0%	100.0%	25.8%
Ecuador	La Libertad	2017	91.6%	100.0%	39.5%
Ecuador	La Maná	2000	89.4%	97.8%	77.3%
Ecuador	La Maná	2017	89.0%	97.7%	77.5%
Ecuador	La Troncal	2000	95.0%	97.6%	90.0%
Ecuador	La Troncal	2017	94.9%	97.6%	88.6%
Ecuador	Lago Agrio	2000	68.3%	88.6%	48.2%
Ecuador	Lago Agrio	2017	67.1%	87.5%	47.2%
Ecuador	Las Lajas	2000	78.6%	96.6%	47.4%
Ecuador	Las Lajas	2017	78.5%	96.5%	47.2%
Ecuador	Las Naves	2000	82.6%	88.9%	76.6%
Ecuador	Las Naves	2017	80.0%	87.5%	72.7%
Ecuador	Latacunga	2000	98.0%	99.0%	96.4%
Ecuador	Latacunga	2017	97.9%	99.0%	96.1%
Ecuador	Limón In-danza	2000	78.1%	100.0%	21.8%
Ecuador	Limón In-danza	2017	77.2%	100.0%	17.7%
Ecuador	Logroño	2000	68.3%	99.9%	20.9%
Ecuador	Logroño	2017	68.0%	99.9%	17.2%
Ecuador	Loja	2000	94.7%	99.5%	84.3%
Ecuador	Loja	2017	94.5%	99.4%	84.4%
Ecuador	Lomas de Sargentillo	2000	93.1%	99.1%	81.1%
Ecuador	Lomas de Sargentillo	2017	92.8%	99.0%	80.0%
Ecuador	Loreto	2000	62.7%	96.2%	17.5%
Ecuador	Loreto	2017	62.4%	95.8%	17.0%
Ecuador	Macará	2000	74.4%	100.0%	17.7%
Ecuador	Macará	2017	74.2%	100.0%	18.5%
Ecuador	Machala	2000	95.0%	99.2%	82.0%
Ecuador	Machala	2017	95.1%	99.1%	82.4%
Ecuador	Manta	2000	92.2%	99.7%	72.0%
Ecuador	Manta	2017	91.6%	99.6%	70.7%
Ecuador	Marcabelí	2000	86.0%	99.9%	39.0%
Ecuador	Marcabelí	2017	86.9%	99.9%	40.7%
Ecuador	Mejía	2000	90.2%	99.9%	42.9%
Ecuador	Mejía	2000	95.5%	99.3%	85.9%
Ecuador	Mejía	2017	89.4%	99.9%	40.4%
Ecuador	Mejía	2017	95.7%	99.3%	86.1%
Ecuador	Mera	2000	95.8%	99.8%	81.3%
Ecuador	Mera	2017	95.5%	99.8%	79.2%
Ecuador	Milagro	2000	92.1%	99.3%	78.1%
Ecuador	Milagro	2017	92.3%	99.2%	78.4%
Ecuador	Mira	2000	90.8%	99.0%	73.4%
Ecuador	Mira	2017	91.1%	99.0%	77.5%
Ecuador	Mocache	2000	66.1%	90.8%	35.5%
Ecuador	Mocache	2017	65.7%	89.5%	37.2%
Ecuador	Mocha	2000	95.7%	97.5%	93.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Mocha	2017	95.5%	97.4%	93.6%
Ecuador	Montalvo	2000	83.6%	99.8%	34.7%
Ecuador	Montalvo	2017	83.3%	99.8%	35.1%
Ecuador	Montecristi	2000	89.3%	98.0%	69.1%
Ecuador	Montecristi	2017	89.0%	98.1%	67.2%
Ecuador	Montúfar	2000	99.1%	99.7%	98.0%
Ecuador	Montúfar	2017	99.1%	99.7%	98.0%
Ecuador	Morona	2000	84.0%	95.5%	69.1%
Ecuador	Morona	2017	83.4%	94.5%	68.0%
Ecuador	Muisne	2000	53.3%	77.1%	31.3%
Ecuador	Muisne	2017	52.1%	76.8%	28.6%
Ecuador	Nabón	2000	71.7%	99.4%	23.8%
Ecuador	Nabón	2017	71.2%	99.4%	23.3%
Ecuador	Nangaritza	2000	84.3%	99.9%	47.6%
Ecuador	Nangaritza	2017	84.2%	99.9%	47.1%
Ecuador	Naranjal	2000	85.5%	98.3%	65.7%
Ecuador	Naranjal	2017	86.4%	98.2%	67.2%
Ecuador	Naranjito	2000	93.1%	99.8%	71.3%
Ecuador	Naranjito	2017	93.2%	99.8%	72.3%
Ecuador	Nobol	2000	92.5%	99.5%	62.3%
Ecuador	Nobol	2017	92.5%	99.5%	64.7%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2000	94.4%	99.6%	76.0%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2000	69.5%	100.0%	5.1%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2017	93.8%	99.6%	73.9%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2017	68.8%	100.0%	4.7%
Ecuador	Oña	2000	77.4%	98.4%	30.0%
Ecuador	Oña	2017	77.5%	98.2%	32.0%
Ecuador	Orellana	2000	76.9%	94.6%	35.7%
Ecuador	Orellana	2017	76.8%	94.9%	35.2%
Ecuador	Otavalo	2000	77.0%	100.0%	10.5%
Ecuador	Otavalo	2017	77.0%	100.0%	10.7%
Ecuador	Pablo Sexto	2000	66.5%	99.1%	19.1%
Ecuador	Pablo Sexto	2017	67.0%	98.7%	18.5%
Ecuador	Paján	2000	50.5%	78.3%	21.0%
Ecuador	Paján	2017	48.9%	77.0%	19.5%
Ecuador	Palanda	2000	74.4%	100.0%	20.3%
Ecuador	Palanda	2017	74.3%	100.0%	17.4%
Ecuador	Palenque	2000	61.1%	89.2%	24.9%
Ecuador	Palenque	2017	60.4%	89.0%	24.4%
Ecuador	Palestina	2000	58.9%	94.5%	15.7%
Ecuador	Palestina	2017	58.8%	94.6%	14.9%
Ecuador	Pallatanga	2000	86.9%	97.9%	66.0%
Ecuador	Pallatanga	2017	86.7%	97.8%	65.8%
Ecuador	Palora	2000	79.1%	99.9%	21.5%
Ecuador	Palora	2017	78.9%	99.9%	21.2%
Ecuador	Paltas	2000	88.2%	98.4%	71.0%
Ecuador	Paltas	2017	87.5%	98.3%	69.9%
Ecuador	Pangua	2000	89.7%	98.0%	70.0%
Ecuador	Pangua	2017	89.6%	97.7%	72.1%
Ecuador	Paquisha	2000	84.7%	100.0%	36.1%
Ecuador	Paquisha	2017	85.8%	100.0%	37.7%
Ecuador	Pasaje	2000	98.9%	99.6%	97.6%
Ecuador	Pasaje	2017	98.7%	99.6%	97.3%
Ecuador	Pastaza	2000	90.0%	97.7%	76.4%
Ecuador	Pastaza	2017	90.0%	97.9%	76.6%
Ecuador	Patate	2000	96.9%	99.2%	90.7%
Ecuador	Patate	2017	96.7%	99.2%	89.5%
Ecuador	Paute	2000	92.6%	98.9%	75.0%
Ecuador	Paute	2017	93.2%	98.8%	78.3%
Ecuador	Pedernales	2000	65.3%	91.8%	34.0%
Ecuador	Pedernales	2017	64.6%	91.0%	31.6%
Ecuador	Pedro Carbo	2000	44.8%	70.6%	18.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Pedro Carbo	2017	43.0%	67.6%	17.5%
Ecuador	Pedro Moncayo	2000	87.4%	99.9%	42.2%
Ecuador	Pedro Moncayo	2017	86.7%	99.9%	39.7%
Ecuador	Pedro Vicente Maldonado	2000	86.7%	99.7%	51.0%
Ecuador	Pedro Vicente Maldonado	2017	86.3%	99.7%	47.9%
Ecuador	Penipe	2000	94.0%	100.0%	74.7%
Ecuador	Penipe	2017	94.0%	99.9%	74.4%
Ecuador	Pichincha	2000	67.3%	99.9%	16.3%
Ecuador	Pichincha	2017	66.9%	99.9%	15.6%
Ecuador	Pimampiro	2000	72.5%	99.0%	20.6%
Ecuador	Pimampiro	2017	71.7%	99.1%	19.0%
Ecuador	Piñas	2000	95.0%	98.9%	87.4%
Ecuador	Piñas	2017	95.4%	99.0%	88.0%
Ecuador	Pindal	2000	69.6%	100.0%	9.3%
Ecuador	Pindal	2017	68.9%	100.0%	9.8%
Ecuador	Playas	2000	92.5%	99.9%	72.4%
Ecuador	Playas	2017	93.2%	99.9%	74.2%
Ecuador	Portovelo	2000	95.5%	99.3%	85.9%
Ecuador	Portovelo	2017	95.2%	99.3%	85.0%
Ecuador	Portoviejo	2000	89.0%	97.7%	70.9%
Ecuador	Portoviejo	2017	89.3%	97.6%	72.5%
Ecuador	Pucará	2000	80.4%	97.8%	40.7%
Ecuador	Pucará	2017	79.9%	97.0%	43.0%
Ecuador	Pueblo Viejo	2000	74.9%	90.5%	40.8%
Ecuador	Pueblo Viejo	2017	74.7%	90.4%	38.6%
Ecuador	Puerto López	2000	83.7%	100.0%	21.9%
Ecuador	Puerto López	2017	83.8%	100.0%	25.5%
Ecuador	Puerto Quito	2000	80.9%	99.5%	50.4%
Ecuador	Puerto Quito	2017	80.8%	99.4%	47.8%
Ecuador	Pujilí	2000	90.5%	96.9%	79.0%
Ecuador	Pujilí	2017	90.3%	96.8%	79.5%
Ecuador	Putumayo	2000	65.3%	100.0%	18.3%
Ecuador	Putumayo	2017	64.9%	100.0%	17.1%
Ecuador	Puyango	2000	85.9%	99.1%	67.6%
Ecuador	Puyango	2017	85.7%	99.0%	67.5%
Ecuador	Quero	2000	96.4%	97.7%	94.5%
Ecuador	Quero	2017	96.3%	97.7%	94.5%
Ecuador	Quevedo	2000	79.8%	93.3%	57.7%
Ecuador	Quevedo	2017	79.4%	93.1%	55.9%
Ecuador	Quijos	2000	83.9%	98.9%	46.7%
Ecuador	Quijos	2017	84.0%	98.8%	45.5%
Ecuador	Quilanga	2000	80.0%	100.0%	12.1%
Ecuador	Quilanga	2017	79.6%	100.0%	11.5%
Ecuador	Quinindé	2000	67.1%	87.9%	44.4%
Ecuador	Quinindé	2017	67.3%	87.3%	45.2%
Ecuador	Quinsaloma	2000	65.3%	91.1%	24.4%
Ecuador	Quinsaloma	2017	63.1%	90.3%	24.5%
Ecuador	Quito	2000	98.1%	99.5%	94.7%
Ecuador	Quito	2017	98.2%	99.5%	94.6%
Ecuador	Río Verde	2000	53.3%	80.6%	27.0%
Ecuador	Río Verde	2017	52.8%	80.7%	27.6%
Ecuador	Riobamba	2000	94.3%	98.4%	87.8%
Ecuador	Riobamba	2017	94.0%	98.4%	87.3%
Ecuador	Rocafuerte	2000	89.5%	99.2%	70.2%
Ecuador	Rocafuerte	2017	89.2%	99.0%	70.1%
Ecuador	Rumiñahui	2000	98.8%	99.8%	96.1%
Ecuador	Rumiñahui	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.3%
Ecuador	Salcedo	2000	94.8%	96.6%	92.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Salcedo	2017	94.6%	96.5%	92.1%
Ecuador	Salinas	2000	93.9%	99.8%	75.6%
Ecuador	Salinas	2017	93.3%	99.7%	74.6%
Ecuador	Samborondón	2000	90.2%	99.9%	70.9%
Ecuador	Samborondón	2017	92.2%	99.9%	75.4%
Ecuador	San Cristóbal	2000	51.2%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	San Cristóbal	2017	51.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	San Fernando	2000	71.7%	100.0%	13.5%
Ecuador	San Fernando	2017	70.9%	100.0%	13.1%
Ecuador	San Jacinto de Yaguachi	2000	91.3%	99.6%	66.8%
Ecuador	San Jacinto de Yaguachi	2017	91.0%	99.6%	67.3%
Ecuador	San Juan Bosco	2000	80.5%	100.0%	23.7%
Ecuador	San Juan Bosco	2017	79.7%	100.0%	22.9%
Ecuador	San Lorenzo	2000	80.9%	97.7%	55.2%
Ecuador	San Lorenzo	2017	82.3%	97.4%	59.4%
Ecuador	San Miguel	2000	86.6%	90.7%	79.6%
Ecuador	San Miguel	2017	86.3%	90.7%	78.3%
Ecuador	San Miguel de los Bancos	2000	81.5%	98.8%	45.5%
Ecuador	San Miguel de los Bancos	2017	81.4%	98.7%	44.4%
Ecuador	San Miguel de Urcuquí	2000	77.8%	100.0%	17.1%
Ecuador	San Miguel de Urcuquí	2017	77.2%	100.0%	13.9%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Huaca	2000	98.0%	98.8%	96.7%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Huaca	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.6%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Pelileo	2000	98.8%	99.3%	97.6%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Pelileo	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.0%
Ecuador	San Vicente	2000	80.6%	98.1%	47.1%
Ecuador	San Vicente	2017	80.5%	98.3%	47.4%
Ecuador	Santa Ana	2000	75.6%	94.1%	51.2%
Ecuador	Santa Ana	2017	74.9%	93.7%	49.8%
Ecuador	Santa Clara	2000	89.4%	99.9%	61.5%
Ecuador	Santa Clara	2017	90.8%	99.8%	67.8%
Ecuador	Santa Cruz	2000	51.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Santa Cruz	2017	51.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Santa Elena	2000	84.1%	97.3%	66.8%
Ecuador	Santa Elena	2017	84.0%	97.2%	65.2%
Ecuador	Santa Isabel	2000	86.1%	97.6%	64.8%
Ecuador	Santa Isabel	2017	85.4%	97.5%	63.2%
Ecuador	Santa Lucia	2000	34.8%	55.0%	15.5%
Ecuador	Santa Lucia	2017	32.6%	51.4%	14.6%
Ecuador	Santa Rosa	2000	97.0%	99.8%	91.0%
Ecuador	Santa Rosa	2017	97.2%	99.7%	91.7%
Ecuador	Santiago	2000	77.8%	99.2%	37.8%
Ecuador	Santiago	2017	77.0%	99.2%	31.8%
Ecuador	Santiago de Pillaro	2000	97.4%	99.6%	91.8%
Ecuador	Santiago de Pillaro	2017	97.3%	99.6%	91.4%
Ecuador	Santo Domingo	2000	77.7%	93.1%	55.4%
Ecuador	Santo Domingo	2017	78.7%	93.4%	58.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Saquisili	2000	92.0%	96.3%	86.0%
Ecuador	Saquisili	2017	91.6%	96.1%	85.4%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2000	97.6%	99.3%	90.4%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2017	97.4%	99.2%	90.5%
Ecuador	Saraguro	2000	88.6%	97.9%	72.7%
Ecuador	Saraguro	2017	88.1%	97.6%	72.2%
Ecuador	Sevilla de Oro	2000	81.9%	100.0%	26.1%
Ecuador	Sevilla de Oro	2017	81.8%	100.0%	25.8%
Ecuador	Shushufindi	2000	80.1%	94.9%	60.7%
Ecuador	Shushufindi	2017	79.0%	94.7%	58.1%
Ecuador	Sigchos	2000	83.1%	97.6%	56.6%
Ecuador	Sigchos	2017	82.9%	97.4%	56.6%
Ecuador	Sigsig	2000	83.2%	93.8%	61.1%
Ecuador	Sigsig	2017	83.1%	94.0%	60.4%
Ecuador	Simon Bolivar	2000	81.7%	100.0%	23.0%
Ecuador	Simon Bolivar	2017	81.3%	100.0%	20.0%
Ecuador	Sozoranga	2000	81.1%	99.4%	36.4%
Ecuador	Sozoranga	2017	80.9%	99.4%	36.4%
Ecuador	Sucre	2000	84.7%	98.9%	56.8%
Ecuador	Sucre	2017	84.5%	98.8%	57.1%
Ecuador	Sucúa	2000	79.2%	98.5%	51.3%
Ecuador	Sucúa	2017	79.8%	98.4%	50.5%
Ecuador	Sucumbíos	2000	88.7%	99.7%	57.8%
Ecuador	Sucumbíos	2017	87.3%	99.7%	52.8%
Ecuador	Suscal	2000	91.1%	95.8%	73.9%
Ecuador	Suscal	2017	90.6%	95.3%	74.1%
Ecuador	Taisha	2000	49.7%	87.8%	14.4%
Ecuador	Taisha	2017	48.9%	88.4%	12.9%
Ecuador	Tena	2000	82.8%	94.1%	66.4%
Ecuador	Tena	2017	82.2%	93.8%	64.3%
Ecuador	Tisaleo	2000	94.3%	97.2%	91.2%
Ecuador	Tisaleo	2017	94.1%	97.0%	90.8%
Ecuador	Tiwintza	2000	66.3%	100.0%	12.0%
Ecuador	Tiwintza	2017	65.4%	100.0%	10.3%
Ecuador	Tosagua	2000	84.0%	96.2%	60.5%
Ecuador	Tosagua	2017	83.5%	95.9%	59.6%
Ecuador	Tulcán	2000	95.4%	99.3%	87.8%
Ecuador	Tulcán	2017	95.3%	99.2%	88.0%
Ecuador	Urbina Jado	2000	63.8%	96.7%	15.5%
Ecuador	Urbina Jado	2017	62.8%	96.6%	14.6%
Ecuador	Urdaneta	2000	85.0%	99.9%	25.7%
Ecuador	Urdaneta	2017	84.6%	99.9%	23.4%
Ecuador	Valencia	2000	75.3%	95.3%	41.5%
Ecuador	Valencia	2017	74.8%	93.9%	45.2%
Ecuador	Ventanas	2000	73.4%	89.1%	54.8%
Ecuador	Ventanas	2017	73.1%	88.6%	54.9%
Ecuador	Vinces	2000	70.3%	82.3%	56.5%
Ecuador	Vinces	2017	70.0%	81.4%	56.8%
Ecuador	Yacuambi	2000	80.3%	98.3%	49.9%
Ecuador	Yacuambi	2017	80.8%	98.1%	51.5%
Ecuador	Yantzaza	2000	88.0%	99.7%	64.3%
Ecuador	Yantzaza	2017	87.9%	99.8%	61.1%
Ecuador	Zamora	2000	88.7%	98.5%	65.4%
Ecuador	Zamora	2017	88.2%	98.4%	65.3%
Ecuador	Zapotillo	2000	61.1%	94.4%	17.0%
Ecuador	Zapotillo	2017	60.6%	94.5%	16.5%
Ecuador	Zaruma	2000	88.8%	95.6%	78.7%
Ecuador	Zaruma	2017	88.3%	95.2%	77.7%
El Salvador	Acajutla	2000	44.5%	69.3%	24.4%
El Salvador	Acajutla	2017	88.5%	97.0%	68.7%
El Salvador	Agua Caliente	2000	79.1%	97.0%	50.4%
El Salvador	Agua Caliente	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Aguilares	2000	47.9%	61.4%	31.2%
El Salvador	Aguilares	2017	98.1%	99.5%	94.9%
El Salvador	Ahuachapán	2000	57.5%	79.6%	34.9%
El Salvador	Ahuachapán	2017	95.6%	99.7%	85.6%
El Salvador	Alegria	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
El Salvador	Alegria	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
El Salvador	Anamorós	2000	32.0%	57.4%	10.5%
El Salvador	Anamorós	2017	87.6%	98.2%	68.1%
El Salvador	Antiguo Cuscatlán	2000	92.9%	99.9%	78.2%
El Salvador	Antiguo Cuscatlán	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.3%
El Salvador	Apaneca	2000	92.0%	99.3%	72.0%
El Salvador	Apaneca	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.1%
El Salvador	Apastepeque	2000	39.1%	50.7%	25.5%
El Salvador	Apastepeque	2017	92.5%	95.8%	81.9%
El Salvador	Apopa	2000	41.2%	55.5%	25.7%
El Salvador	Apopa	2017	96.1%	97.2%	93.3%
El Salvador	Arambala	2000	32.5%	56.6%	12.5%
El Salvador	Arambala	2017	86.3%	96.2%	67.2%
El Salvador	Arcatao	2000	80.0%	99.1%	37.9%
El Salvador	Arcatao	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.0%
El Salvador	Armenia	2000	74.6%	87.5%	60.2%
El Salvador	Armenia	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.7%
El Salvador	Atiquizaya	2000	68.9%	81.3%	54.1%
El Salvador	Atiquizaya	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.8%
El Salvador	Ayutuxtepeque	2000	78.3%	82.2%	75.0%
El Salvador	Ayutuxtepeque	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
El Salvador	Azacualpa	2000	54.7%	95.8%	8.8%
El Salvador	Azacualpa	2017	92.2%	100.0%	57.1%
El Salvador	Berlín	2000	95.8%	100.0%	79.7%
El Salvador	Berlín	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.0%
El Salvador	Bolívar	2000	45.9%	68.2%	25.1%
El Salvador	Bolívar	2017	92.1%	97.0%	84.6%
El Salvador	Cacaopera	2000	72.0%	95.3%	35.5%
El Salvador	Cacaopera	2017	97.1%	100.0%	78.3%
El Salvador	California	2000	98.2%	99.0%	97.0%
El Salvador	California	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
El Salvador	Caluco	2000	58.6%	91.3%	14.3%
El Salvador	Caluco	2017	93.7%	99.8%	59.9%
El Salvador	Candelaria	2000	67.2%	70.4%	64.3%
El Salvador	Candelaria	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
El Salvador	Candelaria de la Frontera	2000	59.1%	79.8%	40.6%
El Salvador	Candelaria de la Frontera	2017	96.9%	99.6%	90.0%
El Salvador	Carolina	2000	19.7%	35.4%	8.6%
El Salvador	Carolina	2017	81.3%	91.1%	65.8%
El Salvador	Chalatenango	2000	65.0%	89.0%	38.1%
El Salvador	Chalatenango	2017	97.2%	99.9%	88.7%
El Salvador	Chalchuapa	2000	74.8%	85.5%	63.2%
El Salvador	Chalchuapa	2017	96.1%	99.7%	87.0%
El Salvador	Chapeltique	2000	48.0%	69.7%	26.9%
El Salvador	Chapeltique	2017	92.0%	97.9%	77.6%
El Salvador	Chilanga	2000	45.2%	54.0%	37.3%
El Salvador	Chilanga	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.3%
El Salvador	Chiltiupán	2000	72.9%	97.9%	37.6%
El Salvador	Chiltiupán	2017	95.0%	100.0%	72.9%
El Salvador	Chinameca	2000	82.3%	93.5%	65.6%
El Salvador	Chinameca	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.2%
El Salvador	Chirilagua	2000	66.7%	90.9%	35.2%
El Salvador	Chirilagua	2017	95.4%	99.9%	81.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Cinquera	2000	75.4%	89.0%	60.7%
El Salvador	Cinquera	2017	97.5%	99.4%	91.4%
El Salvador	Citalá	2000	99.3%	100.0%	95.4%
El Salvador	Citalá	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
El Salvador	Ciudad Arce	2000	55.3%	75.4%	36.6%
El Salvador	Ciudad Arce	2017	98.5%	99.7%	95.1%
El Salvador	Ciudad Barrios	2000	39.9%	51.0%	30.9%
El Salvador	Ciudad Barrios	2017	92.9%	95.1%	88.0%
El Salvador	Coatepeque	2000	53.0%	77.1%	27.3%
El Salvador	Coatepeque	2017	95.7%	99.7%	86.0%
El Salvador	Cojutepeque	2000	72.8%	76.2%	69.3%
El Salvador	Cojutepeque	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.3%
El Salvador	Colón	2000	62.9%	69.3%	55.8%
El Salvador	Colón	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.5%
El Salvador	Comacarán	2000	48.8%	70.4%	16.6%
El Salvador	Comacarán	2017	97.2%	99.4%	79.9%
El Salvador	Comalapa	2000	98.6%	100.0%	93.4%
El Salvador	Comalapa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
El Salvador	Comasagua	2000	69.1%	80.7%	57.4%
El Salvador	Comasagua	2017	97.8%	99.1%	94.7%
El Salvador	Concepción Batres	2000	47.4%	74.5%	24.5%
El Salvador	Concepción Batres	2017	93.8%	99.2%	78.8%
El Salvador	Concepción de Ataco	2000	82.2%	88.9%	76.1%
El Salvador	Concepción de Ataco	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.3%
El Salvador	Concepción de Oriente	2000	49.6%	82.0%	17.1%
El Salvador	Concepción de Oriente	2017	94.1%	99.9%	68.1%
El Salvador	Concepción Quezaltepeque	2000	83.5%	91.8%	73.4%
El Salvador	Concepción Quezaltepeque	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
El Salvador	Conchagua	2000	60.1%	89.3%	25.4%
El Salvador	Conchagua	2017	92.4%	99.8%	70.3%
El Salvador	Corinto	2000	65.1%	99.8%	7.5%
El Salvador	Corinto	2017	93.0%	100.0%	36.3%
El Salvador	Cuisnahuat	2000	59.9%	89.5%	25.2%
El Salvador	Cuisnahuat	2017	92.2%	99.6%	58.1%
El Salvador	Cuscatancingo	2000	49.6%	53.3%	46.6%
El Salvador	Cuscatancingo	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
El Salvador	Cuyultitán	2000	48.6%	72.1%	31.9%
El Salvador	Cuyultitán	2017	94.0%	99.5%	82.4%
El Salvador	Delgado	2000	61.7%	64.3%	59.2%
El Salvador	Delgado	2017	97.5%	98.4%	95.8%
El Salvador	Delicias de Concepción	2000	68.0%	77.9%	53.2%
El Salvador	Delicias de Concepción	2017	98.3%	99.2%	95.1%
El Salvador	Dolores	2000	42.6%	72.7%	17.1%
El Salvador	Dolores	2017	88.1%	98.2%	64.2%
El Salvador	Dulce Nombre de María	2000	95.0%	98.6%	86.9%
El Salvador	Dulce Nombre de María	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	El Carmen	2000	48.5%	77.7%	17.4%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2000	23.9%	26.0%	21.9%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2017	82.1%	84.1%	79.8%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2017	95.5%	99.3%	81.0%
El Salvador	El Carrizal	2000	98.1%	100.0%	84.1%
El Salvador	El Carrizal	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
El Salvador	El Congo	2000	68.9%	89.7%	43.1%
El Salvador	El Congo	2017	98.8%	100.0%	95.2%
El Salvador	El Divisadero	2000	50.5%	68.9%	31.8%
El Salvador	El Divisadero	2017	98.4%	99.5%	93.6%
El Salvador	El Paisnal	2000	64.8%	85.9%	34.9%
El Salvador	El Paisnal	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.6%
El Salvador	El Paraíso	2000	61.4%	67.3%	52.6%
El Salvador	El Paraíso	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
El Salvador	El Porvenir	2000	66.5%	79.9%	51.8%
El Salvador	El Porvenir	2017	96.6%	99.7%	89.3%
El Salvador	El Refugio	2000	80.0%	84.3%	75.5%
El Salvador	El Refugio	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.3%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	20.0%	49.4%	5.4%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	26.8%	30.5%	23.0%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	65.1%	81.6%	46.7%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.3%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	84.6%	95.9%	62.5%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	97.5%	99.8%	86.6%
El Salvador	El Sauce	2000	51.8%	78.7%	18.8%
El Salvador	El Sauce	2017	93.9%	99.8%	72.2%
El Salvador	El Tránsito	2000	60.3%	91.8%	21.4%
El Salvador	El Tránsito	2017	96.6%	99.9%	79.7%
El Salvador	El Triunfo	2000	95.5%	100.0%	83.4%
El Salvador	El Triunfo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.1%
El Salvador	Embalse Cer- ron Grande	2000	61.6%	82.7%	39.0%
El Salvador	Embalse Cer- ron Grande	2017	94.5%	99.5%	81.2%
El Salvador	Ereguayquín	2000	44.7%	82.9%	6.5%
El Salvador	Ereguayquín	2017	93.1%	98.0%	65.9%
El Salvador	Estanzuelas	2000	97.3%	100.0%	80.9%
El Salvador	Estanzuelas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
El Salvador	Guacotecti	2000	90.3%	95.0%	82.3%
El Salvador	Guacotecti	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
El Salvador	Guadalupe	2000	76.2%	88.4%	63.7%
El Salvador	Guadalupe	2017	97.3%	99.5%	92.5%
El Salvador	Gualococti	2000	30.0%	40.6%	19.7%
El Salvador	Gualococti	2017	88.4%	92.7%	80.5%
El Salvador	Guatajiagua	2000	43.7%	66.5%	22.1%
El Salvador	Guatajiagua	2017	94.4%	98.7%	80.6%
El Salvador	Guaymango	2000	52.6%	62.7%	38.0%
El Salvador	Guaymango	2017	91.3%	94.9%	85.6%
El Salvador	Guazapa	2000	46.6%	71.1%	26.4%
El Salvador	Guazapa	2017	95.6%	99.6%	81.1%
El Salvador	Huizúcar	2000	68.6%	87.2%	34.3%
El Salvador	Huizúcar	2017	97.2%	99.3%	90.7%
El Salvador	Ilobasco	2000	42.1%	56.3%	29.2%
El Salvador	Ilobasco	2017	94.1%	96.3%	88.2%
El Salvador	Ilopango	2000	76.7%	83.7%	68.2%
El Salvador	Ilopango	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
El Salvador	Intipucá	2000	83.8%	100.0%	54.5%
El Salvador	Intipucá	2017	98.1%	100.0%	88.9%
El Salvador	Izalco	2000	46.4%	60.0%	29.9%
El Salvador	Izalco	2017	93.2%	96.7%	86.5%
El Salvador	Jayaque	2000	86.5%	94.6%	71.7%
El Salvador	Jayaque	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Jerusalén	2000	91.8%	96.0%	86.5%
El Salvador	Jerusalén	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.2%
El Salvador	Jicalapa	2000	52.8%	96.9%	11.6%
El Salvador	Jicalapa	2017	88.5%	100.0%	55.0%
El Salvador	Jiquilisco	2000	53.1%	82.2%	26.9%
El Salvador	Jiquilisco	2017	91.6%	99.5%	74.2%
El Salvador	Joateca	2000	70.8%	97.7%	25.2%
El Salvador	Joateca	2017	95.6%	99.9%	72.0%
El Salvador	Jocoaitique	2000	23.6%	44.4%	14.8%
El Salvador	Jocoaitique	2017	77.9%	93.9%	51.8%
El Salvador	Jocoro	2000	24.5%	33.6%	17.9%
El Salvador	Jocoro	2017	94.7%	97.2%	89.6%
El Salvador	Juayúa	2000	78.8%	90.2%	65.9%
El Salvador	Juayúa	2017	94.8%	99.5%	90.3%
El Salvador	Jucuapa	2000	97.7%	99.8%	93.2%
El Salvador	Jucuapa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
El Salvador	Jucuarán	2000	77.1%	98.9%	47.5%
El Salvador	Jucuarán	2017	96.8%	100.0%	85.7%
El Salvador	Jujutla	2000	62.1%	83.2%	28.6%
El Salvador	Jujutla	2017	94.8%	99.3%	80.2%
El Salvador	Jutiapa	2000	35.7%	49.9%	18.3%
El Salvador	Jutiapa	2017	89.3%	93.8%	79.3%
El Salvador	La Laguna	2000	99.3%	100.0%	94.5%
El Salvador	La Laguna	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
El Salvador	La Libertad	2000	53.0%	80.1%	26.3%
El Salvador	La Libertad	2017	92.5%	99.6%	76.1%
El Salvador	La Palma	2000	98.2%	100.0%	88.2%
El Salvador	La Palma	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
El Salvador	La Reina	2000	83.3%	93.2%	65.7%
El Salvador	La Reina	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
El Salvador	La Unión	2000	57.1%	90.0%	24.0%
El Salvador	La Unión	2017	90.1%	99.8%	60.5%
El Salvador	Lago de Coatepeque	2000	74.8%	99.7%	19.0%
El Salvador	Lago de Coatepeque	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.2%
El Salvador	Lago de Guija	2000	62.1%	97.6%	12.0%
El Salvador	Lago de Guija	2017	91.7%	100.0%	56.0%
El Salvador	Lago de Llopango	2000	73.7%	79.3%	65.5%
El Salvador	Lago de Llopango	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
El Salvador	Las Vueltas	2000	93.9%	99.9%	79.4%
El Salvador	Las Vueltas	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.5%
El Salvador	Lislique	2000	59.0%	99.5%	9.4%
El Salvador	Lislique	2017	91.1%	100.0%	59.1%
El Salvador	Lolotique	2000	87.9%	97.8%	70.1%
El Salvador	Lolotique	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.1%
El Salvador	Lolotiquillo	2000	26.2%	28.3%	23.6%
El Salvador	Lolotiquillo	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.8%
El Salvador	Masahuat	2000	35.3%	55.6%	18.9%
El Salvador	Masahuat	2017	88.2%	97.2%	74.8%
El Salvador	Meanguera	2000	74.0%	86.9%	58.5%
El Salvador	Meanguera	2017	97.1%	98.5%	93.8%
El Salvador	Meanguera del Golfo	2000	41.1%	69.4%	6.3%
El Salvador	Meanguera del Golfo	2017	89.1%	97.8%	58.7%
El Salvador	Mejicanos	2000	94.4%	96.7%	92.2%
El Salvador	Mejicanos	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
El Salvador	Mercedes La Ceiba	2000	94.1%	97.1%	88.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Mercedes La Ceiba	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
El Salvador	Mercedes Umaña	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
El Salvador	Mercedes Umaña	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
El Salvador	Metapán	2000	67.0%	85.4%	39.7%
El Salvador	Metapán	2017	95.6%	99.7%	85.7%
El Salvador	Moncagua	2000	57.8%	79.6%	35.8%
El Salvador	Moncagua	2017	98.1%	99.8%	92.2%
El Salvador	Monte San Juan	2000	56.3%	59.8%	53.0%
El Salvador	Monte San Juan	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
El Salvador	Nahuizalco	2000	67.4%	72.1%	61.5%
El Salvador	Nahuizalco	2017	91.8%	98.0%	89.0%
El Salvador	Nahulingo	2000	25.2%	31.6%	19.6%
El Salvador	Nahulingo	2017	96.0%	97.9%	93.5%
El Salvador	Nejapa	2000	68.3%	84.1%	58.9%
El Salvador	Nejapa	2017	98.6%	99.8%	95.0%
El Salvador	Nombre de Jesús	2000	56.5%	68.0%	42.5%
El Salvador	Nombre de Jesús	2017	95.7%	98.9%	89.6%
El Salvador	Nueva Concepción	2000	63.6%	83.6%	39.3%
El Salvador	Nueva Concepción	2017	96.1%	99.7%	88.9%
El Salvador	Nueva Esparta	2000	61.9%	99.8%	11.3%
El Salvador	Nueva Esparta	2017	91.5%	100.0%	54.5%
El Salvador	Nueva Granada	2000	94.6%	100.0%	70.3%
El Salvador	Nueva Granada	2017	99.6%	100.0%	95.9%
El Salvador	Nueva Guadalupe	2000	83.4%	97.7%	54.7%
El Salvador	Nueva Guadalupe	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.5%
El Salvador	Nueva San Salvador	2000	87.3%	92.4%	78.9%
El Salvador	Nueva San Salvador	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.5%
El Salvador	Nueva Trinidad	2000	77.1%	99.6%	29.1%
El Salvador	Nueva Trinidad	2017	97.2%	100.0%	83.0%
El Salvador	Nuevo Cuscatlán	2000	84.2%	99.6%	36.2%
El Salvador	Nuevo Cuscatlán	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.9%
El Salvador	Nuevo Edén de San Juan	2000	31.3%	60.7%	9.6%
El Salvador	Nuevo Edén de San Juan	2017	84.0%	95.5%	64.4%
El Salvador	Ojos de Agua	2000	94.6%	100.0%	79.5%
El Salvador	Ojos de Agua	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
El Salvador	Olocuilta	2000	48.3%	67.8%	29.6%
El Salvador	Olocuilta	2017	92.7%	96.8%	83.2%
El Salvador	Opico	2000	56.6%	80.1%	31.3%
El Salvador	Opico	2017	97.1%	99.8%	86.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Oratorio de Concepción	2000	29.0%	37.9%	18.3%
El Salvador	Oratorio de Concepción	2017	90.6%	93.3%	84.6%
El Salvador	Osicala	2000	69.2%	75.2%	60.1%
El Salvador	Osicala	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.2%
El Salvador	Ozatlán	2000	45.9%	85.8%	10.5%
El Salvador	Ozatlán	2017	93.4%	99.9%	67.7%
El Salvador	Panchimalco	2000	36.0%	43.4%	28.7%
El Salvador	Panchimalco	2017	88.8%	91.7%	84.1%
El Salvador	Paraíso de Osorio	2000	65.1%	70.3%	57.3%
El Salvador	Paraíso de Osorio	2017	79.2%	97.6%	69.7%
El Salvador	Pasaquina	2000	60.9%	83.0%	37.7%
El Salvador	Pasaquina	2017	92.3%	99.3%	77.1%
El Salvador	Perquín	2000	44.0%	75.3%	18.2%
El Salvador	Perquín	2017	86.6%	98.4%	57.8%
El Salvador	Polorós	2000	61.7%	96.8%	16.7%
El Salvador	Polorós	2017	93.5%	100.0%	70.0%
El Salvador	Potonico	2000	45.8%	78.9%	9.5%
El Salvador	Potonico	2017	88.8%	99.3%	53.0%
El Salvador	Puerto El Triunfo	2000	39.7%	77.1%	14.2%
El Salvador	Puerto El Triunfo	2017	95.7%	99.8%	86.1%
El Salvador	Quelepa	2000	44.1%	62.9%	28.5%
El Salvador	Quelepa	2017	98.0%	99.7%	93.2%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque	2000	59.8%	77.6%	43.0%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque	2017	97.9%	99.9%	92.4%
El Salvador	Rosario de Mora	2000	33.3%	59.6%	14.3%
El Salvador	Rosario de Mora	2017	83.8%	94.8%	62.9%
El Salvador	Sacacoyo	2000	87.8%	94.0%	76.9%
El Salvador	Sacacoyo	2017	99.6%	100.0%	99.0%
El Salvador	Salcoatitán	2000	80.0%	88.6%	58.4%
El Salvador	Salcoatitán	2017	98.5%	99.6%	95.6%
El Salvador	San Agustín	2000	79.2%	99.6%	38.9%
El Salvador	San Agustín	2017	96.7%	100.0%	79.7%
El Salvador	San Alejo	2000	37.7%	58.3%	15.8%
El Salvador	San Alejo	2017	85.2%	95.8%	69.9%
El Salvador	San Antonio	2000	18.3%	37.6%	6.4%
El Salvador	San Antonio	2017	79.3%	92.5%	59.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio de la Cruz	2000	88.4%	99.4%	69.7%
El Salvador	San Antonio de la Cruz	2017	97.7%	100.0%	80.7%
El Salvador	San Antonio del Monte	2000	57.6%	67.9%	43.8%
El Salvador	San Antonio del Monte	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio Los Ranchos	2000	65.6%	98.6%	12.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio Los Ranchos	2017	95.6%	100.0%	66.6%
El Salvador	San Antonio Masahuat	2000	83.2%	97.5%	49.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio Masahuat	2017	97.8%	100.0%	90.5%
El Salvador	San Antonio Pajonal	2000	65.6%	98.1%	17.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Antonio Pajonal	2017	93.5%	100.0%	62.1%
El Salvador	San Bartolomé Perulapía	2000	26.2%	29.0%	23.0%
El Salvador	San Bartolomé Perulapía	2017	92.8%	93.7%	91.3%
El Salvador	San Buenaventura	2000	96.9%	99.9%	84.6%
El Salvador	San Buenaventura	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
El Salvador	San Carlos	2000	79.1%	97.8%	39.4%
El Salvador	San Carlos	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.6%
El Salvador	San Cayetano Istepeque	2000	28.3%	34.0%	23.4%
El Salvador	San Cayetano Istepeque	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.1%
El Salvador	San Cristóbal	2000	98.4%	99.3%	96.4%
El Salvador	San Cristóbal	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
El Salvador	San Dionisio	2000	69.7%	95.2%	38.8%
El Salvador	San Dionisio	2017	96.7%	100.0%	84.1%
El Salvador	San Emigdio	2000	83.1%	93.1%	63.6%
El Salvador	San Emigdio	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.5%
El Salvador	San Esteban Catarina	2000	18.4%	27.8%	12.2%
El Salvador	San Esteban Catarina	2017	77.5%	85.5%	68.7%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2000	99.4%	100.0%	94.5%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2000	34.4%	90.1%	0.6%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2017	76.1%	99.4%	12.1%
El Salvador	San Francisco Chinameca	2000	50.3%	83.4%	14.4%
El Salvador	San Francisco Chinameca	2017	91.5%	99.2%	66.5%
El Salvador	San Francisco Gotera	2000	41.9%	53.4%	31.2%
El Salvador	San Francisco Gotera	2017	98.2%	99.4%	95.3%
El Salvador	San Francisco Javier	2000	81.3%	99.7%	40.0%
El Salvador	San Francisco Javier	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.7%
El Salvador	San Francisco Lempa	2000	52.9%	98.1%	3.3%
El Salvador	San Francisco Lempa	2017	87.8%	100.0%	40.7%
El Salvador	San Francisco Menéndez	2000	50.4%	81.8%	17.5%
El Salvador	San Francisco Menéndez	2017	91.2%	99.3%	70.4%
El Salvador	San Francisco Morazán	2000	82.5%	93.9%	59.2%
El Salvador	San Francisco Morazán	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.2%
El Salvador	San Gerardo	2000	22.5%	43.0%	7.6%
El Salvador	San Gerardo	2017	76.2%	86.6%	58.0%
El Salvador	San Ignacio	2000	99.6%	100.0%	96.9%
El Salvador	San Ignacio	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
El Salvador	San Ildefonso	2000	76.6%	95.7%	54.7%
El Salvador	San Ildefonso	2017	97.5%	100.0%	87.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Isidro	2000	24.2%	69.6%	2.8%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2000	40.9%	55.8%	28.9%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2017	79.3%	96.8%	57.9%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2017	78.8%	97.7%	39.0%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2000	71.0%	99.4%	21.4%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2017	96.0%	100.0%	68.1%
El Salvador	San Jorge	2000	58.8%	76.1%	38.4%
El Salvador	San Jorge	2017	98.5%	99.9%	91.8%
El Salvador	San José	2000	70.6%	79.3%	57.6%
El Salvador	San José	2017	95.8%	97.9%	93.5%
El Salvador	San José Can- casque	2000	64.2%	99.1%	11.4%
El Salvador	San José Can- casque	2017	94.0%	100.0%	57.2%
El Salvador	San José	2000	75.4%	85.9%	60.7%
El Salvador	San José	2017	97.7%	98.6%	95.3%
El Salvador	San José Las Flores	2000	79.0%	100.0%	30.5%
El Salvador	San José Las Flores	2017	96.1%	100.0%	68.7%
El Salvador	San José Vil- lanueva	2000	79.2%	88.6%	61.5%
El Salvador	San José Vil- lanueva	2017	98.7%	99.4%	96.7%
El Salvador	San Juan	2000	23.8%	37.4%	13.5%
El Salvador	San Juan	2017	83.1%	91.2%	71.9%
El Salvador	San Juan	2000	85.3%	95.8%	73.4%
El Salvador	San Juan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
El Salvador	San Juan Te- pezontes	2000	88.1%	99.0%	71.0%
El Salvador	San Juan Te- pezontes	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.5%
El Salvador	San Julián	2000	80.6%	92.8%	60.4%
El Salvador	San Julián	2017	98.4%	99.9%	93.5%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2000	14.0%	16.4%	12.0%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2000	72.9%	92.9%	50.5%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2017	76.0%	80.3%	71.6%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.6%
El Salvador	San Luis de la Reina	2000	32.5%	58.7%	13.0%
El Salvador	San Luis de la Reina	2017	87.0%	95.3%	73.4%
El Salvador	San Luis del Carmen	2000	57.0%	98.7%	3.9%
El Salvador	San Luis del Carmen	2017	91.2%	100.0%	47.0%
El Salvador	San Luis La Herradura	2000	60.9%	99.8%	11.9%
El Salvador	San Luis La Herradura	2017	90.3%	100.0%	56.5%
El Salvador	San Luis	2000	62.0%	80.7%	46.3%
El Salvador	San Luis	2017	96.8%	99.9%	86.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Marcos	2000	55.1%	61.2%	44.1%
El Salvador	San Marcos	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.5%
El Salvador	San Martín	2000	37.6%	39.8%	35.4%
El Salvador	San Martín	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
El Salvador	San Matías	2000	56.7%	92.3%	12.9%
El Salvador	San Matías	2017	95.1%	100.0%	68.6%
El Salvador	San Miguel	2000	45.7%	65.3%	29.0%
El Salvador	San Miguel	2017	95.8%	99.2%	88.3%
El Salvador	San Miguel de Mercedes	2000	58.7%	97.7%	9.5%
El Salvador	San Miguel de Mercedes	2017	93.9%	100.0%	66.6%
El Salvador	San Miguel Tepezontes	2000	77.8%	96.3%	46.0%
El Salvador	San Miguel Tepezontes	2017	97.0%	99.9%	80.1%
El Salvador	San Pablo Tacachico	2000	44.6%	71.1%	19.6%
El Salvador	San Pablo Tacachico	2017	95.3%	99.7%	85.1%
El Salvador	San Pedro Masahuat	2000	70.5%	94.9%	43.1%
El Salvador	San Pedro Masahuat	2017	96.6%	100.0%	81.4%
El Salvador	San Pedro Nonualco	2000	28.6%	45.8%	14.9%
El Salvador	San Pedro Nonualco	2017	63.5%	94.4%	36.4%
El Salvador	San Pedro Perulapán	2000	43.1%	51.0%	34.3%
El Salvador	San Pedro Perulapán	2017	94.7%	96.5%	90.4%
El Salvador	San Pedro Puxtla	2000	68.4%	75.3%	60.2%
El Salvador	San Pedro Puxtla	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2000	65.0%	73.2%	53.8%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2000	47.9%	75.4%	24.7%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2017	99.1%	99.6%	97.9%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2017	97.9%	99.9%	88.2%
El Salvador	San Rafael Cedros	2000	25.9%	29.3%	22.9%
El Salvador	San Rafael Cedros	2017	85.3%	86.9%	83.4%
El Salvador	San Rafael Obrajuelo	2000	19.0%	24.5%	15.1%
El Salvador	San Rafael Obrajuelo	2017	86.4%	92.4%	81.1%
El Salvador	San Ramón	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
El Salvador	San Ramón	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
El Salvador	San Salvador	2000	90.4%	93.2%	80.4%
El Salvador	San Salvador	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
El Salvador	San Sebastián	2000	31.8%	39.7%	26.3%
El Salvador	San Sebastián	2017	90.2%	93.1%	85.3%
El Salvador	San Sebastián Salitrillo	2000	73.7%	92.1%	53.3%
El Salvador	San Sebastián Salitrillo	2017	98.3%	100.0%	91.7%
El Salvador	San Simón	2000	35.8%	55.9%	19.0%
El Salvador	San Simón	2017	88.2%	94.6%	75.7%
El Salvador	San Vicente	2000	43.4%	56.0%	29.7%
El Salvador	San Vicente	2017	91.2%	98.2%	80.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Santa Ana	2000	40.8%	55.6%	29.9%
El Salvador	Santa Ana	2017	95.2%	98.6%	87.1%
El Salvador	Santa Catarina Masahuat	2000	69.5%	75.9%	62.1%
El Salvador	Santa Catarina Masahuat	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.2%
El Salvador	Santa Clara	2000	45.3%	82.6%	10.3%
El Salvador	Santa Clara	2017	88.0%	99.1%	61.3%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Analquito	2000	84.4%	91.7%	72.8%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Analquito	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.2%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Michapa	2000	56.0%	59.4%	53.0%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Michapa	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.4%
El Salvador	Santa Elena	2000	69.0%	89.8%	41.5%
El Salvador	Santa Elena	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.6%
El Salvador	Santa Isabel Ishuatán	2000	48.7%	74.9%	24.6%
El Salvador	Santa Isabel Ishuatán	2017	89.9%	97.6%	73.3%
El Salvador	Santa María	2000	43.8%	69.5%	16.6%
El Salvador	Santa María	2017	94.8%	98.3%	71.7%
El Salvador	Santa María Ostuma	2000	29.2%	35.9%	24.1%
El Salvador	Santa María Ostuma	2017	59.3%	94.9%	38.9%
El Salvador	Santa Rita	2000	79.8%	97.0%	55.1%
El Salvador	Santa Rita	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.4%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	55.1%	71.6%	38.0%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	96.6%	99.7%	88.1%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa Guachipilín	2000	51.2%	72.9%	20.2%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa Guachipilín	2017	96.3%	99.7%	82.3%
El Salvador	Santiago de la Frontera	2000	90.1%	99.4%	72.1%
El Salvador	Santiago de la Frontera	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
El Salvador	Santiago de María	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
El Salvador	Santiago de María	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
El Salvador	Santiago Nonualco	2000	45.4%	64.8%	21.7%
El Salvador	Santiago Nonualco	2017	92.7%	97.4%	77.5%
El Salvador	Santiago Texacuangos	2000	54.5%	63.3%	45.0%
El Salvador	Santiago Texacuangos	2017	95.3%	97.3%	89.5%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2000	65.0%	73.5%	54.8%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2000	59.9%	65.5%	49.6%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.3%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Santo Tomás	2000	28.5%	31.1%	26.0%
El Salvador	Santo Tomás	2017	93.1%	94.0%	92.2%
El Salvador	Sensembra	2000	58.5%	96.3%	31.6%
El Salvador	Sensembra	2017	97.1%	100.0%	88.2%
El Salvador	Sensuntepeque	2000	65.5%	82.9%	48.2%
El Salvador	Sensuntepeque	2017	95.4%	99.2%	84.8%
El Salvador	Sesori	2000	49.9%	78.3%	22.6%
El Salvador	Sesori	2017	93.5%	99.6%	77.3%
El Salvador	Sociedad	2000	34.6%	60.6%	12.4%
El Salvador	Sociedad	2017	92.0%	99.1%	71.8%
El Salvador	Sonsonate	2000	54.3%	71.9%	39.9%
El Salvador	Sonsonate	2017	93.5%	98.2%	83.4%
El Salvador	Sonzacate	2000	56.3%	65.8%	44.6%
El Salvador	Sonzacate	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.2%
El Salvador	Soyapango	2000	70.5%	84.7%	48.8%
El Salvador	Soyapango	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
El Salvador	Suchitoto	2000	65.3%	88.7%	33.4%
El Salvador	Suchitoto	2017	95.0%	99.7%	79.1%
El Salvador	Tacuba	2000	43.2%	58.0%	27.9%
El Salvador	Tacuba	2017	90.4%	94.6%	81.3%
El Salvador	Talnique	2000	85.4%	94.1%	70.4%
El Salvador	Talnique	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.6%
El Salvador	Tamanique	2000	68.4%	96.1%	30.8%
El Salvador	Tamanique	2017	94.9%	100.0%	73.1%
El Salvador	Tapalhuaca	2000	93.1%	99.5%	75.8%
El Salvador	Tapalhuaca	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.2%
El Salvador	Tecapán	2000	84.2%	91.5%	68.2%
El Salvador	Tecapán	2017	99.0%	99.9%	92.5%
El Salvador	Tecoluca	2000	48.5%	74.6%	21.9%
El Salvador	Tecoluca	2017	89.7%	99.1%	71.3%
El Salvador	Tejutepeque	2000	32.2%	36.3%	27.3%
El Salvador	Tejutepeque	2017	89.0%	90.8%	86.8%
El Salvador	Tejutla	2000	80.8%	94.9%	55.5%
El Salvador	Tejutla	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.5%
El Salvador	Tenancingo	2000	70.0%	82.0%	52.1%
El Salvador	Tenancingo	2017	97.0%	98.8%	91.1%
El Salvador	Teotepeque	2000	40.8%	65.8%	18.6%
El Salvador	Teotepeque	2017	83.6%	97.1%	61.3%
El Salvador	Tepecoyo	2000	92.4%	97.8%	83.6%
El Salvador	Tepecoyo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.9%
El Salvador	Tepetitán	2000	51.5%	55.8%	47.0%
El Salvador	Tepetitán	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.2%
El Salvador	Texistepeque	2000	42.5%	68.1%	19.7%
El Salvador	Texistepeque	2017	89.9%	98.9%	74.1%
El Salvador	Tonacatepeque	2000	65.8%	69.4%	58.0%
El Salvador	Tonacatepeque	2017	98.7%	99.1%	97.9%
El Salvador	Torola	2000	29.3%	56.2%	9.3%
El Salvador	Torola	2017	85.8%	95.7%	66.1%
El Salvador	Turín	2000	66.0%	92.7%	47.7%
El Salvador	Turín	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.9%
El Salvador	Uluazapa	2000	81.1%	95.6%	54.5%
El Salvador	Uluazapa	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.2%
El Salvador	Usulután	2000	47.2%	62.5%	34.0%
El Salvador	Usulután	2017	96.6%	99.0%	91.3%
El Salvador	Verapaz	2000	95.2%	97.9%	88.0%
El Salvador	Verapaz	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
El Salvador	Victoria	2000	45.5%	64.5%	28.1%
El Salvador	Victoria	2017	91.1%	97.7%	80.0%
El Salvador	Yamabal	2000	62.0%	92.6%	26.1%
El Salvador	Yamabal	2017	95.3%	99.9%	75.9%
El Salvador	Yayantique	2000	68.3%	88.4%	39.2%
El Salvador	Yayantique	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Yoloaiquín	2000	62.3%	69.4%	54.1%
El Salvador	Yoloaiquín	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
El Salvador	Yucuaiquín	2000	39.7%	54.4%	28.8%
El Salvador	Yucuaiquín	2017	94.6%	97.6%	88.1%
El Salvador	Zacatecoluca	2000	33.4%	59.2%	13.0%
El Salvador	Zacatecoluca	2017	86.7%	96.6%	62.8%
El Salvador	Zaragoza	2000	88.3%	97.7%	70.8%
El Salvador	Zaragoza	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.9%
Guatemala	Acatenango	2000	92.6%	99.4%	69.9%
Guatemala	Acatenango	2017	98.1%	99.9%	89.8%
Guatemala	Agua Blanca	2000	82.8%	95.2%	54.6%
Guatemala	Agua Blanca	2017	94.1%	98.3%	83.1%
Guatemala	Aguacatán	2000	81.5%	96.8%	59.3%
Guatemala	Aguacatán	2017	92.3%	98.8%	79.1%
Guatemala	Almolonga	2000	98.6%	99.8%	96.1%
Guatemala	Almolonga	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Guatemala	Alotenango	2000	96.3%	99.0%	89.8%
Guatemala	Alotenango	2017	99.4%	99.9%	96.8%
Guatemala	Amatitlán	2000	92.0%	97.2%	81.3%
Guatemala	Amatitlán	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Guatemala	Antigua	2000	94.6%	96.9%	91.6%
Guatemala	Antigua	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Guatemala	Asunción Mita	2000	84.7%	97.6%	65.0%
Guatemala	Asunción Mita	2017	90.3%	98.6%	76.5%
Guatemala	Atescatempa	2000	89.0%	94.5%	72.9%
Guatemala	Atescatempa	2017	94.6%	98.2%	80.6%
Guatemala	Ayutla	2000	74.9%	94.1%	39.5%
Guatemala	Ayutla	2017	94.8%	99.5%	81.0%
Guatemala	Barberena	2000	86.2%	95.3%	68.9%
Guatemala	Barberena	2017	98.0%	99.7%	93.6%
Guatemala	Cabañas	2000	93.7%	98.5%	83.0%
Guatemala	Cabañas	2017	97.5%	99.5%	92.0%
Guatemala	Cabricán	2000	97.3%	99.5%	90.9%
Guatemala	Cabricán	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.7%
Guatemala	Cajolá	2000	94.8%	96.8%	91.6%
Guatemala	Cajolá	2017	97.9%	99.0%	95.5%
Guatemala	Camotán	2000	87.2%	96.8%	71.2%
Guatemala	Camotán	2017	90.3%	97.0%	76.3%
Guatemala	Canillá	2000	81.0%	98.9%	46.4%
Guatemala	Canillá	2017	93.5%	99.9%	73.6%
Guatemala	Cantel	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.0%
Guatemala	Cantel	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.2%
Guatemala	Casillas	2000	71.8%	87.4%	54.1%
Guatemala	Casillas	2017	92.6%	98.9%	77.8%
Guatemala	Catarina	2000	61.0%	78.5%	41.7%
Guatemala	Catarina	2017	94.8%	98.9%	87.9%
Guatemala	Chahal	2000	75.4%	92.7%	51.6%
Guatemala	Chahal	2017	89.9%	97.9%	72.6%
Guatemala	Chajul	2000	86.4%	96.1%	72.0%
Guatemala	Chajul	2017	94.7%	99.0%	86.4%
Guatemala	Champerico	2000	62.8%	78.1%	43.9%
Guatemala	Champerico	2017	90.5%	96.5%	80.0%
Guatemala	Chiantla	2000	83.1%	95.8%	61.6%
Guatemala	Chiantla	2017	91.9%	99.2%	79.3%
Guatemala	Chicacao	2000	89.8%	96.5%	80.2%
Guatemala	Chicacao	2017	97.1%	99.3%	91.9%
Guatemala	Chicaman	2000	81.1%	91.6%	67.1%
Guatemala	Chicaman	2017	93.1%	97.8%	84.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Chiché	2000	72.7%	84.3%	58.0%
Guatemala	Chiché	2017	93.9%	98.1%	84.4%
Guatemala	Chichicastenango	2000	94.5%	98.1%	83.6%
Guatemala	Chichicastenango	2017	97.6%	99.4%	91.7%
Guatemala	Chimaltenango	2000	92.4%	94.7%	89.6%
Guatemala	Chimaltenango	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Guatemala	Chinautla	2000	87.8%	93.3%	79.3%
Guatemala	Chinautla	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.2%
Guatemala	Chinique	2000	81.5%	98.4%	38.7%
Guatemala	Chinique	2017	92.3%	99.4%	69.7%
Guatemala	Chiquimula	2000	88.2%	93.5%	81.4%
Guatemala	Chiquimula	2017	98.4%	99.1%	97.2%
Guatemala	Chiquimulilla	2000	79.4%	90.8%	65.2%
Guatemala	Chiquimulilla	2017	92.8%	98.3%	81.3%
Guatemala	Chisec	2000	70.4%	82.2%	57.3%
Guatemala	Chisec	2017	82.5%	89.8%	72.9%
Guatemala	Chuarrancho	2000	77.3%	99.1%	36.5%
Guatemala	Chuarrancho	2017	92.8%	99.9%	71.8%
Guatemala	Ciudad Vieja	2000	98.8%	99.6%	96.9%
Guatemala	Ciudad Vieja	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Guatemala	Coatepeque	2000	43.0%	55.1%	33.2%
Guatemala	Coatepeque	2017	86.1%	91.9%	74.2%
Guatemala	Cobán	2000	75.2%	82.6%	65.1%
Guatemala	Cobán	2017	89.7%	94.8%	83.3%
Guatemala	Colomba	2000	84.2%	89.1%	75.2%
Guatemala	Colomba	2017	90.2%	94.3%	86.1%
Guatemala	Colotenango	2000	93.8%	98.3%	87.1%
Guatemala	Colotenango	2017	94.8%	98.6%	87.1%
Guatemala	Comalapa	2000	89.7%	93.4%	86.6%
Guatemala	Comalapa	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.3%
Guatemala	Comapa	2000	83.3%	94.5%	62.9%
Guatemala	Comapa	2017	94.5%	98.9%	82.9%
Guatemala	Comitancillo	2000	92.5%	95.6%	85.1%
Guatemala	Comitancillo	2017	97.8%	99.1%	95.0%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	91.8%	99.4%	74.4%
Guatemala	Concepción	2017	98.1%	100.0%	90.8%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	97.5%	99.4%	94.4%
Guatemala	Chiquirichapa	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	86.2%	98.8%	59.6%
Guatemala	Huista	2017	93.1%	99.8%	73.3%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	81.8%	93.8%	65.8%
Guatemala	Las Minas	2017	97.2%	99.7%	90.8%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	88.8%	98.9%	72.0%
Guatemala	Tutuapa	2017	92.9%	99.3%	80.1%
Guatemala	Conguaco	2000	91.3%	98.8%	75.2%
Guatemala	Conguaco	2017	96.9%	99.8%	86.9%
Guatemala	Cubulco	2000	83.7%	93.8%	70.2%
Guatemala	Cubulco	2017	93.6%	98.3%	86.3%
Guatemala	Cuilapa	2000	94.8%	99.0%	85.0%
Guatemala	Cuilapa	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.5%
Guatemala	Cuilco	2000	82.9%	96.0%	64.0%
Guatemala	Cuilco	2017	91.8%	98.6%	80.8%
Guatemala	Cunén	2000	85.4%	98.3%	62.0%
Guatemala	Cunén	2017	93.1%	99.4%	77.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Cuyotenango	2000	64.9%	79.7%	45.1%
Guatemala	Cuyotenango	2017	85.9%	96.2%	67.8%
Guatemala	Dolores	2000	82.7%	90.8%	71.2%
Guatemala	Dolores	2017	95.5%	98.3%	91.0%
Guatemala	El Adelanto	2000	93.6%	98.3%	80.8%
Guatemala	El Adelanto	2017	96.7%	99.8%	86.5%
Guatemala	El Asintal	2000	52.7%	64.3%	40.8%
Guatemala	El Asintal	2017	86.6%	90.7%	79.8%
Guatemala	El Estor	2000	77.2%	89.1%	63.4%
Guatemala	El Estor	2017	89.8%	96.2%	80.9%
Guatemala	El Jícaro	2000	92.1%	96.4%	84.0%
Guatemala	El Jícaro	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.9%
Guatemala	El Palmar	2000	83.1%	96.7%	54.0%
Guatemala	El Palmar	2017	94.2%	99.4%	78.9%
Guatemala	El Progreso	2000	68.1%	88.5%	45.6%
Guatemala	El Progreso	2017	94.0%	97.8%	85.8%
Guatemala	El Quetzal	2000	76.6%	90.5%	54.3%
Guatemala	El Quetzal	2017	89.8%	97.3%	71.2%
Guatemala	El Rodeo	2000	70.4%	95.6%	24.2%
Guatemala	El Rodeo	2017	90.5%	99.5%	58.3%
Guatemala	El Tejar	2000	90.9%	93.8%	88.2%
Guatemala	El Tejar	2017	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
Guatemala	El Tumbador	2000	71.7%	89.8%	46.5%
Guatemala	El Tumbador	2017	87.5%	97.0%	70.3%
Guatemala	Escuintla	2000	76.1%	82.5%	66.8%
Guatemala	Escuintla	2017	97.2%	98.7%	94.6%
Guatemala	Esquipulas	2000	82.3%	93.8%	65.3%
Guatemala	Esquipulas	2017	92.3%	98.2%	80.5%
Guatemala	Esquipulas Palo Gordo	2000	92.8%	97.8%	85.3%
Guatemala	Esquipulas Palo Gordo	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.6%
Guatemala	Estanzuela	2000	83.4%	92.7%	74.0%
Guatemala	Estanzuela	2017	96.5%	99.5%	86.4%
Guatemala	Flores	2000	71.1%	78.6%	63.2%
Guatemala	Flores	2017	90.2%	94.3%	85.3%
Guatemala	Flores Costa Cuca	2000	85.5%	90.4%	74.7%
Guatemala	Flores Costa Cuca	2017	94.4%	97.6%	87.0%
Guatemala	Fraijanes	2000	84.0%	98.2%	50.4%
Guatemala	Fraijanes	2017	97.9%	99.9%	88.9%
Guatemala	Fray Bartolomé de las Casas	2000	74.4%	89.5%	54.2%
Guatemala	Fray Bartolomé de las Casas	2017	89.0%	96.8%	73.4%
Guatemala	Génova	2000	74.9%	93.7%	43.8%
Guatemala	Génova	2017	88.8%	97.9%	71.1%
Guatemala	Granados	2000	88.9%	97.6%	71.2%
Guatemala	Granados	2017	97.1%	99.6%	90.3%
Guatemala	Gualán	2000	84.5%	92.9%	73.7%
Guatemala	Gualán	2017	95.0%	98.5%	89.0%
Guatemala	Guanagazapa	2000	79.1%	92.7%	58.7%
Guatemala	Guanagazapa	2017	93.5%	98.4%	83.4%
Guatemala	Guastatoya	2000	89.3%	94.2%	82.2%
Guatemala	Guastatoya	2017	98.5%	99.6%	96.1%
Guatemala	Guazacapán	2000	80.9%	94.2%	63.2%
Guatemala	Guazacapán	2017	96.7%	99.2%	92.2%
Guatemala	Huehuetenango	2000	80.8%	96.5%	54.2%
Guatemala	Huehuetenango	2017	94.0%	98.7%	74.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Huitán	2000	96.9%	98.8%	89.0%
Guatemala	Huitán	2017	98.4%	99.6%	94.5%
Guatemala	Huité	2000	94.5%	98.5%	85.2%
Guatemala	Huité	2017	98.6%	99.7%	94.0%
Guatemala	Ipala	2000	87.7%	97.9%	63.7%
Guatemala	Ipala	2017	96.4%	99.6%	86.0%
Guatemala	Ixcán	2000	69.6%	86.8%	50.7%
Guatemala	Ixcán	2017	83.6%	93.8%	68.2%
Guatemala	Ixchiguan	2000	94.3%	98.5%	84.0%
Guatemala	Ixchiguan	2017	97.9%	99.8%	90.8%
Guatemala	Iztapa	2000	86.1%	98.4%	59.8%
Guatemala	Iztapa	2017	97.6%	99.9%	90.4%
Guatemala	Jacaltenango	2000	85.5%	98.7%	58.9%
Guatemala	Jacaltenango	2017	93.7%	99.7%	75.3%
Guatemala	Jalapa	2000	84.6%	90.3%	75.0%
Guatemala	Jalapa	2017	95.1%	97.3%	91.7%
Guatemala	Jalpatagua	2000	88.8%	97.6%	73.1%
Guatemala	Jalpatagua	2017	96.6%	99.6%	86.2%
Guatemala	Jerez	2000	92.6%	99.5%	72.9%
Guatemala	Jerez	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.9%
Guatemala	Jocotán	2000	81.2%	93.8%	61.8%
Guatemala	Jocotán	2017	84.6%	94.0%	69.4%
Guatemala	Jocotenango	2000	88.7%	92.2%	85.0%
Guatemala	Jocotenango	2017	98.9%	99.5%	98.2%
Guatemala	Joyabaj	2000	85.8%	97.2%	65.7%
Guatemala	Joyabaj	2017	94.8%	99.4%	84.0%
Guatemala	Jutiapa	2000	71.3%	79.7%	62.2%
Guatemala	Jutiapa	2017	92.3%	95.8%	87.7%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2000	79.4%	94.9%	58.8%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2000	75.2%	89.0%	53.6%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2017	88.0%	97.1%	70.1%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2017	96.6%	99.6%	87.8%
Guatemala	La Esperanza	2000	94.2%	96.6%	91.4%
Guatemala	La Esperanza	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.0%
Guatemala	La Gomera	2000	72.8%	89.0%	52.4%
Guatemala	La Gomera	2017	92.2%	98.1%	81.6%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2000	85.2%	96.5%	56.2%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2000	77.9%	84.9%	69.3%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2017	93.0%	99.5%	76.4%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2017	92.1%	95.7%	87.0%
Guatemala	La Reforma	2000	65.3%	85.4%	33.3%
Guatemala	La Reforma	2017	85.0%	98.4%	58.3%
Guatemala	La Unión	2000	84.6%	90.7%	76.3%
Guatemala	La Unión	2017	87.7%	92.6%	83.1%
Guatemala	Lanquín	2000	69.6%	91.8%	34.9%
Guatemala	Lanquín	2017	86.2%	98.1%	66.4%
Guatemala	Livingston	2000	78.0%	88.2%	65.9%
Guatemala	Livingston	2017	92.2%	97.2%	86.0%
Guatemala	Los Amates	2000	85.4%	94.8%	72.6%
Guatemala	Los Amates	2017	96.4%	99.1%	90.4%
Guatemala	Magdalena	2000	99.3%	100.0%	97.5%
Guatemala	Magdalena Milpas Altas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Guatemala	Malacatán	2000	74.2%	88.1%	54.7%
Guatemala	Malacatán	2017	94.4%	98.5%	83.8%
Guatemala	Malacatancito	2000	84.3%	94.4%	64.5%
Guatemala	Malacatancito	2017	94.9%	98.3%	87.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Masagua	2000	76.7%	91.1%	55.1%
Guatemala	Masagua	2017	94.8%	99.2%	84.3%
Guatemala	Mataquesuintla	2000	94.6%	98.4%	86.0%
Guatemala	Mataquesuintla	2017	96.8%	99.3%	89.6%
Guatemala	Mazatenango	2000	49.4%	54.6%	43.5%
Guatemala	Mazatenango	2017	92.6%	94.8%	88.7%
Guatemala	Melchor de Mencos	2000	84.7%	95.0%	69.8%
Guatemala	Melchor de Mencos	2017	96.9%	99.4%	91.2%
Guatemala	Mixco	2000	94.4%	97.3%	89.3%
Guatemala	Mixco	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Guatemala	Momostenango	2000	75.0%	80.5%	67.2%
Guatemala	Momostenango	2017	87.3%	92.4%	79.4%
Guatemala	Monjas	2000	89.0%	95.2%	78.9%
Guatemala	Monjas	2017	98.0%	99.6%	93.4%
Guatemala	Morales	2000	77.9%	85.7%	68.3%
Guatemala	Morales	2017	94.5%	97.5%	89.7%
Guatemala	Morazán	2000	85.8%	95.1%	72.7%
Guatemala	Morazán	2017	95.1%	98.9%	88.1%
Guatemala	Moyuta	2000	73.7%	91.3%	42.4%
Guatemala	Moyuta	2017	90.0%	98.7%	68.7%
Guatemala	NA	2000	81.7%	92.2%	66.6%
Guatemala	NA	2000	80.2%	87.7%	73.3%
Guatemala	NA	2017	92.9%	98.3%	82.1%
Guatemala	NA	2017	88.5%	93.2%	83.6%
Guatemala	Nahualá	2000	97.8%	98.7%	96.2%
Guatemala	Nahualá	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
Guatemala	Nebaj	2000	86.4%	96.0%	74.1%
Guatemala	Nebaj	2017	94.4%	98.8%	86.6%
Guatemala	Nentón	2000	83.0%	95.3%	66.0%
Guatemala	Nentón	2017	91.1%	97.9%	79.0%
Guatemala	Nueva Concepción	2000	62.4%	79.5%	40.9%
Guatemala	Nueva Concepción	2017	90.7%	97.4%	79.9%
Guatemala	Nueva Santa Rosa	2000	76.2%	83.5%	70.1%
Guatemala	Nueva Santa Rosa	2017	96.1%	98.5%	90.1%
Guatemala	Nuevo Progreso	2000	61.7%	86.4%	30.7%
Guatemala	Nuevo Progreso	2017	79.4%	96.8%	49.7%
Guatemala	Nuevo San Carlos	2000	66.6%	70.3%	62.8%
Guatemala	Nuevo San Carlos	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.7%
Guatemala	Ocos	2000	73.2%	92.0%	34.6%
Guatemala	Ocos	2017	93.5%	98.8%	74.4%
Guatemala	Olintepeque	2000	91.3%	93.4%	88.0%
Guatemala	Olintepeque	2017	97.2%	98.7%	94.6%
Guatemala	Olopa	2000	76.7%	90.2%	56.7%
Guatemala	Olopa	2017	92.8%	97.0%	84.4%
Guatemala	Oratorio	2000	78.5%	95.0%	59.3%
Guatemala	Oratorio	2017	94.7%	99.4%	82.3%
Guatemala	Ostuncalco	2000	96.0%	98.6%	87.7%
Guatemala	Ostuncalco	2017	98.5%	99.7%	94.6%
Guatemala	Pachalúm	2000	86.9%	99.3%	53.6%
Guatemala	Pachalúm	2017	95.1%	99.6%	75.6%
Guatemala	Pajapita	2000	63.3%	89.7%	35.1%
Guatemala	Pajapita	2017	88.7%	98.9%	64.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Palencia	2000	90.5%	97.7%	76.9%
Guatemala	Palencia	2017	98.2%	99.8%	93.1%
Guatemala	Palestina de Los Altos	2000	96.0%	98.6%	85.7%
Guatemala	Palestina de Los Altos	2017	98.4%	99.5%	93.2%
Guatemala	Palín	2000	89.9%	99.4%	61.8%
Guatemala	Palín	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.2%
Guatemala	Panajachel	2000	94.1%	99.2%	79.1%
Guatemala	Panajachel	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Guatemala	Panzós	2000	77.5%	90.2%	62.0%
Guatemala	Panzós	2017	87.6%	95.7%	73.9%
Guatemala	Parramos	2000	89.6%	94.5%	83.7%
Guatemala	Parramos	2017	98.4%	99.6%	95.3%
Guatemala	Pasaco	2000	75.4%	95.5%	44.6%
Guatemala	Pasaco	2017	88.4%	99.2%	64.5%
Guatemala	Pastores	2000	84.9%	87.3%	82.0%
Guatemala	Pastores	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Guatemala	Patulul	2000	54.7%	68.2%	42.7%
Guatemala	Patulul	2017	80.5%	92.5%	66.8%
Guatemala	Patzicía	2000	82.0%	88.5%	66.8%
Guatemala	Patzicía	2017	96.0%	98.3%	89.5%
Guatemala	Patzité	2000	91.8%	95.3%	81.5%
Guatemala	Patzité	2017	97.5%	99.0%	93.1%
Guatemala	Patzún	2000	84.0%	90.9%	66.6%
Guatemala	Patzún	2017	94.8%	98.3%	86.4%
Guatemala	Petapa	2000	92.6%	95.9%	87.9%
Guatemala	Petapa	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Guatemala	Pochuta	2000	94.7%	99.7%	77.4%
Guatemala	Pochuta	2017	97.4%	99.9%	87.3%
Guatemala	Poptún	2000	86.4%	94.0%	73.2%
Guatemala	Poptún	2017	97.5%	99.2%	93.8%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2000	94.3%	99.6%	79.0%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.7%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2000	86.2%	98.2%	66.5%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2017	95.3%	99.6%	83.5%
Guatemala	Puerto Barrios	2000	73.8%	80.2%	64.1%
Guatemala	Puerto Barrios	2017	96.9%	98.4%	92.9%
Guatemala	Purulhá	2000	81.0%	92.0%	65.2%
Guatemala	Purulhá	2017	90.0%	96.6%	78.5%
Guatemala	Quetzaltenango	2000	96.8%	98.9%	92.9%
Guatemala	Quetzaltenango	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Guatemala	Quezada	2000	77.3%	91.8%	47.2%
Guatemala	Quezada	2017	95.0%	99.5%	82.1%
Guatemala	Quezaltepeque	2000	85.0%	93.0%	74.3%
Guatemala	Quezaltepeque	2017	96.7%	98.8%	92.4%
Guatemala	Rabinal	2000	91.7%	96.2%	81.9%
Guatemala	Rabinal	2017	96.1%	98.7%	89.5%
Guatemala	Retalhuleu	2000	72.5%	82.3%	61.6%
Guatemala	Retalhuleu	2017	92.3%	96.2%	86.2%
Guatemala	Río Blanco	2000	98.6%	99.7%	95.4%
Guatemala	Río Blanco	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Guatemala	Río Bravo	2000	81.2%	87.9%	69.1%
Guatemala	Río Bravo	2017	91.9%	96.2%	86.1%
Guatemala	Río Hondo	2000	89.8%	96.2%	77.6%
Guatemala	Río Hondo	2017	97.8%	99.7%	93.3%
Guatemala	Sacapulas	2000	86.1%	96.6%	69.9%
Guatemala	Sacapulas	2017	94.6%	99.4%	84.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Salamá	2000	83.1%	90.6%	74.2%
Guatemala	Salamá	2017	95.9%	98.8%	90.5%
Guatemala	Salcajá	2000	88.6%	91.0%	85.3%
Guatemala	Salcajá	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Guatemala	Samayac	2000	56.0%	59.8%	51.8%
Guatemala	Samayac	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Guatemala	San Agustín	2000	91.6%	96.1%	83.5%
Guatemala	Acasaguastlán San Agustín	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.8%
Guatemala	Acasaguastlán San Agustín	2000	82.0%	88.7%	74.9%
Guatemala	San Andrés	2017	96.4%	98.1%	93.7%
Guatemala	San Andrés	2000	93.2%	96.4%	89.8%
Guatemala	Itzapa San Andrés	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.2%
Guatemala	Itzapa San Andrés	2000	82.3%	96.7%	52.6%
Guatemala	Sajcabajá San Andrés	2017	93.2%	99.5%	76.2%
Guatemala	Sajcabajá San Andrés	2000	95.3%	98.7%	87.9%
Guatemala	Semetabaj San Andrés	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.7%
Guatemala	Semetabaj San Andrés	2000	68.3%	79.8%	53.7%
Guatemala	Villa Seca San Andrés	2017	90.3%	96.1%	81.5%
Guatemala	Villa Seca San Andrés	2000	79.0%	81.2%	76.6%
Guatemala	Xecul San Andrés	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Guatemala	Xecul San Antonio	2000	90.7%	97.3%	82.9%
Guatemala	Agua Calientes San Antonio	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.2%
Guatemala	Agua Calientes San Antonio	2000	89.9%	98.4%	72.7%
Guatemala	Huista San Antonio	2017	96.0%	99.7%	84.8%
Guatemala	Huista San Antonio	2000	79.0%	85.7%	68.2%
Guatemala	Ilotenango San Antonio	2017	95.5%	97.2%	92.0%
Guatemala	Ilotenango San Antonio	2000	90.4%	97.1%	78.7%
Guatemala	La Paz San Antonio	2017	99.0%	99.7%	96.7%
Guatemala	La Paz San Antonio	2000	87.6%	96.2%	73.3%
Guatemala	Palopó San Antonio	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.8%
Guatemala	Palopó San Antonio	2000	95.6%	99.7%	79.0%
Guatemala	Sacatepéquez San Antonio	2017	99.0%	99.9%	92.4%
Guatemala	Sacatepéquez San Antonio	2000	70.4%	77.7%	62.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Antonio Suchitepéquez	2017	91.0%	93.6%	86.7%
Guatemala	San Bartolo	2000	74.5%	89.0%	56.2%
Guatemala	San Bartolo	2017	96.5%	99.0%	90.8%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Jocotenango	2000	77.2%	98.9%	39.5%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Jocotenango	2017	91.7%	99.7%	70.7%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Milpas Altas	2000	97.7%	99.8%	91.4%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Milpas Altas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Guatemala	San Benito	2000	90.2%	96.4%	82.2%
Guatemala	San Benito	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.1%
Guatemala	San Bernardino	2000	54.6%	58.2%	51.4%
Guatemala	San Bernardino	2017	88.7%	90.8%	86.1%
Guatemala	San Carlos Alzatate	2000	98.3%	99.7%	92.2%
Guatemala	San Carlos Alzatate	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.9%
Guatemala	San Carlos Sija	2000	80.2%	87.7%	70.0%
Guatemala	San Carlos Sija	2017	88.3%	95.1%	79.0%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Acasaguastlán	2000	93.0%	98.0%	83.4%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Acasaguastlán	2017	98.4%	99.8%	94.7%
Guatemala	San Cristobal Cucho	2000	98.1%	99.8%	91.6%
Guatemala	San Cristobal Cucho	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.9%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Totonicapán	2000	86.7%	88.5%	84.7%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Totonicapán	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Verapaz	2000	78.1%	95.5%	51.4%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Verapaz	2017	91.5%	99.0%	76.9%
Guatemala	San Diego	2000	91.2%	98.1%	72.6%
Guatemala	San Diego	2017	97.4%	99.5%	90.0%
Guatemala	San Felipe	2000	79.5%	84.4%	67.6%
Guatemala	San Felipe	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.1%
Guatemala	San Francisco	2000	91.3%	98.6%	76.8%
Guatemala	San Francisco	2017	98.1%	99.8%	90.9%
Guatemala	San Francisco El Alto	2000	91.0%	92.0%	89.5%
Guatemala	San Francisco El Alto	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.3%
Guatemala	San Francisco La Unión	2000	86.3%	91.6%	77.6%
Guatemala	San Francisco La Unión	2017	94.1%	97.9%	86.8%
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2000	78.8%	84.4%	68.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2017	98.1%	98.8%	96.9%
Guatemala	San Gabriel	2000	42.9%	46.7%	39.0%
Guatemala	San Gabriel	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.6%
Guatemala	San Gaspar Ixchil	2000	85.6%	94.3%	73.0%
Guatemala	San Gaspar Ixchil	2017	87.4%	95.1%	76.9%
Guatemala	San Ildefonso Ixtahuacán	2000	58.5%	79.2%	38.4%
Guatemala	San Ildefonso Ixtahuacán	2017	79.4%	94.4%	55.6%
Guatemala	San Jacinto	2000	90.9%	96.1%	85.1%
Guatemala	San Jacinto	2017	97.7%	99.7%	91.1%
Guatemala	San Jerónimo	2000	86.6%	96.9%	72.2%
Guatemala	San Jerónimo	2017	96.5%	99.8%	87.1%
Guatemala	San José	2000	86.0%	97.1%	65.6%
Guatemala	San José	2000	83.3%	94.8%	66.3%
Guatemala	San José	2017	97.3%	99.8%	91.0%
Guatemala	San José	2017	96.3%	99.4%	89.6%
Guatemala	San José Acatempa	2000	87.3%	97.9%	70.5%
Guatemala	San José Acatempa	2017	97.9%	99.9%	91.6%
Guatemala	San José Chacayá	2000	85.8%	91.6%	80.6%
Guatemala	San José Chacayá	2017	98.4%	99.5%	96.0%
Guatemala	San José del Golfo	2000	81.3%	96.4%	55.2%
Guatemala	San José del Golfo	2017	97.5%	99.9%	88.7%
Guatemala	San José El Idolo	2000	69.5%	94.4%	31.3%
Guatemala	San José El Idolo	2017	90.7%	99.1%	66.1%
Guatemala	San José La Arada	2000	89.1%	99.1%	58.3%
Guatemala	San José La Arada	2017	97.8%	99.9%	89.0%
Guatemala	San José Ojetenam	2000	87.9%	99.7%	53.8%
Guatemala	San José Ojetenam	2017	91.5%	99.8%	62.3%
Guatemala	San José Pinula	2000	89.5%	96.8%	74.7%
Guatemala	San José Pinula	2017	97.1%	99.7%	87.6%
Guatemala	San José Poaquil	2000	94.1%	99.9%	73.0%
Guatemala	San José Poaquil	2017	97.1%	99.9%	85.6%
Guatemala	San Juan Atitán	2000	90.4%	99.3%	58.1%
Guatemala	San Juan Atitán	2017	92.9%	99.6%	65.5%
Guatemala	San Juan Bautista	2000	45.0%	60.5%	38.8%
Guatemala	San Juan Bautista	2017	75.3%	94.5%	50.7%
Guatemala	San Juan Chamelco	2000	85.0%	91.7%	74.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Juan Chamelco	2017	94.9%	97.9%	88.4%
Guatemala	San Juan Cotzal	2000	77.2%	96.3%	43.5%
Guatemala	San Juan Cotzal	2017	91.9%	99.0%	74.3%
Guatemala	San Juan Ermita	2000	88.9%	91.6%	85.9%
Guatemala	San Juan Ermita	2017	95.9%	97.5%	94.0%
Guatemala	San Juan Ixcay	2000	81.1%	98.0%	43.9%
Guatemala	San Juan Ixcay	2017	90.6%	99.3%	61.4%
Guatemala	San Juan La Laguna	2000	96.8%	99.2%	84.2%
Guatemala	San Juan La Laguna	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.3%
Guatemala	San Juan Sacatepéquez	2000	71.0%	80.2%	60.3%
Guatemala	San Juan Sacatepéquez	2017	94.5%	97.7%	87.4%
Guatemala	San Juan Tecuaco	2000	83.1%	97.7%	46.6%
Guatemala	San Juan Tecuaco	2017	94.5%	99.8%	78.5%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2000	74.1%	89.8%	52.8%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2000	90.6%	99.8%	49.7%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2017	96.8%	100.0%	75.5%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2017	91.8%	97.9%	80.5%
Guatemala	San Lucas Sacatepéquez	2000	97.4%	99.7%	91.6%
Guatemala	San Lucas Sacatepéquez	2017	99.8%	100.0%	97.9%
Guatemala	San Lucas Tolimán	2000	96.3%	99.5%	85.8%
Guatemala	San Lucas Tolimán	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Guatemala	San Luis	2000	77.1%	93.7%	58.1%
Guatemala	San Luis	2000	78.9%	87.5%	68.5%
Guatemala	San Luis	2017	92.3%	96.3%	86.4%
Guatemala	San Luis	2017	93.5%	98.8%	83.3%
Guatemala	San Luis Jilotepeque	2000	93.4%	97.8%	84.3%
Guatemala	San Luis Jilotepeque	2017	97.2%	99.4%	92.2%
Guatemala	San Manuel Chaparrón	2000	90.6%	97.2%	77.3%
Guatemala	San Manuel Chaparrón	2017	95.9%	98.8%	87.8%
Guatemala	San Marcos	2000	91.6%	98.4%	75.1%
Guatemala	San Marcos	2017	96.8%	99.8%	84.6%
Guatemala	San Marcos La Laguna	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.8%
Guatemala	San Marcos La Laguna	2017	94.7%	96.9%	91.9%
Guatemala	San Martín Jilotepeque	2000	88.1%	95.1%	73.5%
Guatemala	San Martín Jilotepeque	2017	96.2%	99.1%	89.5%
Guatemala	San Martín Sacatepéquez	2000	96.9%	99.7%	87.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Martín Sacatepéquez	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.7%
Guatemala	San Martín Zapotitlán	2000	96.8%	98.8%	89.8%
Guatemala	San Martín Zapotitlán	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Guatemala	San Mateo	2000	97.3%	98.9%	94.8%
Guatemala	San Mateo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Guatemala	San Mateo Ixtatán	2000	80.5%	96.5%	58.1%
Guatemala	San Mateo Ixtatán	2017	91.2%	99.1%	76.9%
Guatemala	San Miguel Acatán	2000	92.9%	98.9%	80.6%
Guatemala	San Miguel Acatán	2017	96.5%	99.7%	87.8%
Guatemala	San Miguel Chicaj	2000	92.2%	95.1%	85.4%
Guatemala	San Miguel Chicaj	2017	98.2%	99.3%	94.6%
Guatemala	San Miguel Dueñas	2000	93.9%	99.3%	84.2%
Guatemala	San Miguel Dueñas	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.6%
Guatemala	San Miguel Ixtahuacán	2000	80.7%	96.7%	55.0%
Guatemala	San Miguel Ixtahuacán	2017	91.3%	98.9%	74.2%
Guatemala	San Miguel Panán	2000	79.5%	83.8%	75.2%
Guatemala	San Miguel Panán	2017	96.6%	98.2%	94.4%
Guatemala	San Miguel Sigüilá	2000	90.0%	93.7%	85.7%
Guatemala	San Miguel Sigüilá	2017	97.3%	98.4%	95.6%
Guatemala	San Pablo	2000	81.4%	96.8%	51.9%
Guatemala	San Pablo	2017	93.8%	99.4%	78.2%
Guatemala	San Pablo Jocopilas	2000	78.3%	82.9%	73.5%
Guatemala	San Pablo Jocopilas	2017	94.5%	96.7%	91.3%
Guatemala	San Pablo La Laguna	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.5%
Guatemala	San Pablo La Laguna	2017	97.3%	98.6%	95.3%
Guatemala	San Pedro Ayampuc	2000	75.6%	85.8%	62.5%
Guatemala	San Pedro Ayampuc	2017	97.6%	99.1%	93.4%
Guatemala	San Pedro Carchá	2000	71.8%	83.0%	60.0%
Guatemala	San Pedro Carchá	2017	90.7%	96.4%	81.9%
Guatemala	San Pedro Jocopilas	2000	75.3%	91.8%	52.0%
Guatemala	San Pedro Jocopilas	2017	84.2%	94.4%	67.0%
Guatemala	San Pedro La Laguna	2000	87.8%	95.8%	64.4%
Guatemala	San Pedro La Laguna	2017	98.2%	99.7%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Pedro Necta	2000	76.9%	96.1%	32.7%
Guatemala	San Pedro Necta	2017	87.7%	99.5%	56.2%
Guatemala	San Pedro Pinula	2000	74.3%	90.0%	46.5%
Guatemala	San Pedro Pinula	2017	89.9%	96.4%	71.8%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2000	95.7%	99.6%	83.3%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2000	89.0%	91.9%	86.0%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2017	99.0%	99.5%	98.2%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.8%
Guatemala	San Rafael La Independencia	2000	94.5%	99.8%	68.2%
Guatemala	San Rafael La Independencia	2017	96.5%	99.9%	78.2%
Guatemala	San Rafael Las Flores	2000	76.2%	92.2%	44.6%
Guatemala	San Rafael Las Flores	2017	93.6%	99.1%	72.2%
Guatemala	San Rafael Petzal	2000	97.1%	98.9%	92.1%
Guatemala	San Rafael Petzal	2017	97.7%	99.5%	92.4%
Guatemala	San Rafael Pie de la Cuesta	2000	86.5%	97.5%	64.5%
Guatemala	San Rafael Pie de la Cuesta	2017	95.6%	99.3%	89.2%
Guatemala	San Raymundo	2000	67.6%	90.1%	37.4%
Guatemala	San Raymundo	2017	95.7%	99.6%	81.5%
Guatemala	San Sebastián	2000	37.6%	43.1%	32.7%
Guatemala	San Sebastián	2017	84.7%	88.2%	80.9%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Coatán	2000	93.1%	99.8%	76.8%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Coatán	2017	97.0%	99.9%	86.3%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Huehuetenango	2000	92.2%	95.8%	86.5%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Huehuetenango	2017	95.3%	97.3%	90.6%
Guatemala	San Sibinal	2000	83.5%	99.6%	47.9%
Guatemala	San Sibinal	2017	88.5%	99.7%	60.3%
Guatemala	San Vicente Pacaya	2000	79.5%	96.3%	40.1%
Guatemala	San Vicente Pacaya	2017	95.8%	99.9%	84.4%
Guatemala	Sanarate	2000	82.7%	88.4%	75.0%
Guatemala	Sanarate	2017	96.0%	97.9%	93.0%
Guatemala	Sansare	2000	72.7%	83.1%	59.2%
Guatemala	Sansare	2017	81.6%	88.3%	68.8%
Guatemala	Santa Ana	2000	61.7%	76.3%	49.6%
Guatemala	Santa Ana	2017	81.5%	90.1%	70.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santa Ana Huista	2000	86.6%	98.5%	66.0%
Guatemala	Santa Ana Huista	2017	94.8%	99.6%	82.2%
Guatemala	Santa Apolonia	2000	90.4%	93.3%	80.1%
Guatemala	Santa Apolonia	2017	96.8%	98.7%	89.3%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2000	86.2%	90.8%	79.7%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2000	79.0%	96.1%	48.9%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2017	94.4%	97.5%	88.5%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2017	89.7%	97.0%	70.0%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Barahona	2000	93.7%	99.0%	85.0%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Barahona	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.6%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Ixtahuacan	2000	97.3%	98.5%	93.4%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Ixtahuacan	2017	99.1%	99.7%	95.5%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Mita	2000	93.4%	98.5%	83.6%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Mita	2017	98.1%	99.9%	93.3%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Palopó	2000	92.3%	98.9%	74.7%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Palopó	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Pinula	2000	87.7%	97.8%	68.8%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Pinula	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.2%
Guatemala	Santa Clara La Laguna	2000	97.9%	98.8%	94.7%
Guatemala	Santa Clara La Laguna	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.1%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Balanyá	2000	85.4%	90.1%	79.6%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Balanyá	2017	97.4%	98.5%	95.5%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Barillas	2000	77.4%	89.1%	62.4%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Barillas	2017	88.1%	95.8%	77.1%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz del Quiché	2000	67.2%	78.2%	57.7%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz del Quiché	2017	96.2%	98.5%	90.9%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz El Chol	2000	89.3%	99.3%	60.7%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz El Chol	2017	95.2%	99.5%	78.3%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz La Laguna	2000	74.7%	81.5%	68.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz La Laguna	2017	82.7%	89.8%	75.2%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Muluá	2000	67.5%	71.8%	62.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Muluá	2017	92.8%	94.4%	90.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Naranjo	2000	89.3%	92.6%	85.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Naranjo	2017	98.5%	99.2%	97.5%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Verapaz	2000	88.7%	98.0%	75.1%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Verapaz	2017	96.1%	99.8%	86.0%
Guatemala	Santa Eulalia	2000	78.9%	95.4%	56.6%
Guatemala	Santa Eulalia	2017	88.6%	98.6%	70.4%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Cotzumalguapa	2000	66.7%	83.0%	48.2%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Cotzumalguapa	2017	92.5%	98.2%	77.7%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía La Reforma	2000	49.1%	67.6%	31.6%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía La Reforma	2017	51.6%	64.7%	37.4%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Milpas Altas	2000	99.1%	99.9%	96.9%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Milpas Altas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Utatlán	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Utatlán	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.2%
Guatemala	Santa María Cahabón	2000	79.3%	95.4%	54.7%
Guatemala	Santa María Cahabón	2017	90.7%	98.6%	71.4%
Guatemala	Santa María Chiquimula	2000	90.2%	96.0%	77.5%
Guatemala	Santa María Chiquimula	2017	96.3%	98.9%	89.6%
Guatemala	Santa María de Jesús	2000	98.0%	99.9%	92.9%
Guatemala	Santa María de Jesús	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Guatemala	Santa María Ixhuateán	2000	87.6%	95.8%	68.1%
Guatemala	Santa María Ixhuateán	2017	96.7%	99.4%	84.3%
Guatemala	Santa María Visitación	2000	99.1%	100.0%	95.6%
Guatemala	Santa María Visitación	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.2%
Guatemala	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	69.9%	88.5%	49.4%
Guatemala	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	95.4%	99.4%	84.2%
Guatemala	Santiago Atitlán	2000	91.1%	94.7%	85.5%
Guatemala	Santiago Atitlán	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.3%
Guatemala	Santiago Chimaltenango	2000	80.3%	98.5%	35.7%
Guatemala	Santiago Chimaltenango	2017	90.5%	99.5%	58.2%
Guatemala	Santiago Sacatepéquez	2000	94.3%	97.7%	88.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santiago Sacatepéquez	2017	99.5%	99.7%	98.8%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Suchitepequez	2000	56.0%	67.8%	40.8%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Suchitepequez	2017	89.0%	94.0%	80.5%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Xenacoj	2000	96.4%	98.4%	92.6%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Xenacoj	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.2%
Guatemala	Santo Tomás La Unión	2000	95.7%	97.8%	92.6%
Guatemala	Santo Tomás La Unión	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.5%
Guatemala	Sayaxché	2000	74.1%	83.4%	63.7%
Guatemala	Sayaxché	2017	89.3%	94.0%	82.9%
Guatemala	Senahú	2000	77.8%	88.4%	60.9%
Guatemala	Senahú	2017	88.4%	94.4%	77.9%
Guatemala	Sibilia	2000	96.4%	98.7%	90.5%
Guatemala	Sibilia	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.5%
Guatemala	Sipacapa	2000	79.4%	98.3%	46.9%
Guatemala	Sipacapa	2017	90.5%	99.6%	64.7%
Guatemala	Siquinalá	2000	79.6%	90.7%	64.9%
Guatemala	Siquinalá	2017	97.9%	99.5%	92.1%
Guatemala	Sololá	2000	89.7%	96.0%	76.0%
Guatemala	Sololá	2017	98.2%	99.4%	92.2%
Guatemala	Soloma	2000	91.2%	98.4%	75.3%
Guatemala	Soloma	2017	95.3%	99.2%	84.9%
Guatemala	Sumpango	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.5%
Guatemala	Sumpango	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Guatemala	Tacaná	2000	86.5%	97.4%	66.3%
Guatemala	Tacaná	2017	89.2%	97.8%	73.2%
Guatemala	Tactic	2000	90.1%	97.5%	74.6%
Guatemala	Tactic	2017	96.7%	99.4%	88.7%
Guatemala	Tajumulco	2000	89.4%	97.8%	71.3%
Guatemala	Tajumulco	2017	94.4%	99.1%	83.6%
Guatemala	Tamahú	2000	86.4%	98.7%	52.7%
Guatemala	Tamahú	2017	97.0%	99.6%	88.5%
Guatemala	Taxisco	2000	68.5%	84.0%	50.8%
Guatemala	Taxisco	2017	91.9%	97.3%	83.4%
Guatemala	Tecpán Guatemala	2000	80.8%	87.8%	72.8%
Guatemala	Tecpán Guatemala	2017	91.9%	98.1%	82.6%
Guatemala	Tectitán	2000	81.0%	97.9%	55.4%
Guatemala	Tectitán	2017	88.8%	98.9%	69.4%
Guatemala	Teculután	2000	89.8%	96.3%	79.1%
Guatemala	Teculután	2017	98.6%	99.8%	95.0%
Guatemala	Tejutla	2000	90.6%	96.4%	76.3%
Guatemala	Tejutla	2017	98.0%	99.5%	92.5%
Guatemala	Tiquisate	2000	74.7%	84.4%	59.8%
Guatemala	Tiquisate	2017	93.9%	97.7%	87.2%
Guatemala	Todos Santos Cuchumatán	2000	83.0%	98.0%	52.2%
Guatemala	Todos Santos Cuchumatán	2017	88.5%	99.4%	65.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Totonicapán	2000	95.3%	97.7%	89.8%
Guatemala	Totonicapán	2017	98.3%	99.5%	94.9%
Guatemala	Tucurú	2000	79.1%	96.6%	52.5%
Guatemala	Tucurú	2017	83.9%	97.8%	60.9%
Guatemala	Uspantán	2000	85.8%	95.0%	71.5%
Guatemala	Uspantán	2017	94.0%	98.7%	85.8%
Guatemala	Usumatlán	2000	93.3%	97.9%	86.9%
Guatemala	Usumatlán	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.2%
Guatemala	Villa Canales	2000	91.3%	97.6%	78.6%
Guatemala	Villa Canales	2017	98.6%	99.9%	94.6%
Guatemala	Villa Nueva	2000	94.6%	97.1%	90.7%
Guatemala	Villa Nueva	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Guatemala	Yepocapa	2000	94.3%	99.0%	84.8%
Guatemala	Yepocapa	2017	98.9%	99.9%	96.2%
Guatemala	Yupiltepeque	2000	94.6%	98.5%	81.5%
Guatemala	Yupiltepeque	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.0%
Guatemala	Zacapa	2000	85.3%	90.6%	78.5%
Guatemala	Zacapa	2017	95.5%	97.6%	91.1%
Guatemala	Zacualpa	2000	82.2%	97.2%	57.2%
Guatemala	Zacualpa	2017	91.1%	98.6%	74.6%
Guatemala	Zapotitlán	2000	88.8%	99.5%	57.3%
Guatemala	Zapotitlán	2017	96.5%	99.9%	82.8%
Guatemala	Zaragoza	2000	88.8%	92.1%	83.5%
Guatemala	Zaragoza	2017	98.0%	98.9%	96.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 1	2000	94.7%	97.5%	91.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 1	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 10	2000	97.1%	99.4%	91.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 10	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 11	2000	96.4%	99.2%	88.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 11	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 12	2000	96.9%	99.3%	91.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 12	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 13	2000	97.7%	99.7%	92.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 13	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 14	2000	97.5%	99.7%	90.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 14	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 15	2000	93.4%	99.1%	81.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 15	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 16	2000	94.8%	99.1%	84.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 16	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 17	2000	96.6%	98.9%	93.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 17	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 18	2000	94.1%	97.0%	89.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 18	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 19	2000	91.5%	97.0%	82.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 19	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 2	2000	94.5%	96.6%	91.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 2	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 22	2000	96.5%	98.7%	92.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 22	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 24	2000	95.4%	98.9%	88.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 24	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 25	2000	95.0%	98.8%	86.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 25	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 3	2000	95.0%	97.6%	91.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 3	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 4	2000	97.3%	99.4%	91.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 4	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 5	2000	96.7%	98.6%	94.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 5	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 6	2000	94.7%	96.8%	91.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 6	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	ZONA 7	2000	93.0%	96.9%	86.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 7	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 8	2000	97.3%	99.4%	91.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 8	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 9	2000	97.5%	99.7%	91.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 9	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Guatemala	Zunil	2000	94.1%	99.9%	70.9%
Guatemala	Zunil	2017	98.1%	100.0%	85.6%
Guatemala	Zunilito	2000	89.3%	98.8%	60.8%
Guatemala	Zunilito	2017	98.4%	99.9%	90.9%
Guyana	Abary / Mahaicony	2000	89.8%	98.7%	66.7%
Guyana	Abary / Mahaicony	2017	97.6%	99.8%	88.3%
Guyana	Agatash	2000	58.9%	63.5%	54.0%
Guyana	Agatash	2017	89.2%	92.8%	84.8%
Guyana	Aishalton - Karaudanawa, Achiwib	2000	27.7%	40.0%	17.5%
Guyana	Aishalton - Karaudanawa, Achiwib	2017	54.9%	64.7%	43.8%
Guyana	Amsterdam (Demerara River) / Vriesland	2000	86.7%	94.4%	71.8%
Guyana	Amsterdam (Demerara River) / Vriesland	2017	98.2%	99.2%	96.2%
Guyana	Anna Regina	2000	53.8%	65.4%	40.4%
Guyana	Anna Regina	2017	96.4%	97.7%	94.3%
Guyana	Arau	2000	34.2%	67.7%	9.7%
Guyana	Arau	2017	62.2%	85.3%	33.4%
Guyana	Barima / Amakura	2000	36.4%	44.5%	28.9%
Guyana	Barima / Amakura	2017	75.9%	83.1%	67.5%
Guyana	Bartica	2000	62.7%	67.9%	57.8%
Guyana	Bartica	2017	88.1%	92.4%	82.9%
Guyana	Bel Air / Woodlands	2000	89.7%	96.5%	80.3%
Guyana	Bel Air / Woodlands	2017	98.7%	99.6%	94.8%
Guyana	Berbice River Settlements	2000	40.3%	58.0%	25.0%
Guyana	Berbice River Settlements	2017	76.2%	84.9%	63.8%
Guyana	Black Bush Polder land Development Scheme	2000	84.9%	93.5%	65.1%
Guyana	Black Bush Polder land Development Scheme	2017	96.1%	98.9%	86.8%
Guyana	Blankenburg / Hague	2000	93.3%	98.3%	81.6%
Guyana	Blankenburg / Hague	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Guyana	Bonasika / Boerasirie	2000	76.8%	88.0%	64.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Bonasika / Boerasirie	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.6%
Guyana	Borlam (No.37) / Kintyre	2000	81.2%	92.5%	69.3%
Guyana	Borlam (No.37) / Kintyre	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.6%
Guyana	Bush Lot / Adventure	2000	88.2%	92.2%	84.6%
Guyana	Bush Lot / Adventure	2017	97.3%	99.2%	93.9%
Guyana	Canal No. 2 (part) + The Belle + Little Alliance	2000	86.2%	96.0%	71.4%
Guyana	Canal No. 2 (part) + The Belle + Little Alliance	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Guyana	Canals Polder	2000	82.3%	91.8%	72.2%
Guyana	Canals Polder	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.6%
Guyana	Cane Field / Enterprise	2000	76.2%	86.9%	66.0%
Guyana	Cane Field / Enterprise	2017	98.4%	99.6%	95.5%
Guyana	Cane Grove Land Development Scheme	2000	92.7%	98.6%	80.7%
Guyana	Cane Grove Land Development Scheme	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.2%
Guyana	Chance / Hamlet	2000	93.7%	98.0%	84.8%
Guyana	Chance / Hamlet	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.3%
Guyana	Charity / Urasara	2000	40.5%	53.8%	25.8%
Guyana	Charity / Urasara	2017	83.3%	89.1%	74.7%
Guyana	Chenapau River	2000	17.4%	45.1%	4.7%
Guyana	Chenapau River	2017	55.5%	80.9%	27.2%
Guyana	City of Georgetown	2000	91.2%	96.4%	81.8%
Guyana	City of Georgetown	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Guyana	Coomaka Lands	2000	58.1%	70.1%	46.7%
Guyana	Coomaka Lands	2017	80.0%	86.8%	72.4%
Guyana	Corentyne River	2000	84.6%	88.8%	79.9%
Guyana	Corentyne River	2017	97.7%	98.6%	96.3%
Guyana	Cornelia Ida / Stewartville	2000	93.4%	98.3%	82.2%
Guyana	Cornelia Ida / Stewartville	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Corriverton	2000	73.6%	92.3%	41.7%
Guyana	Corriverton	2017	94.5%	99.0%	86.1%
Guyana	Demerara Conservancy	2000	86.4%	94.9%	73.6%
Guyana	Demerara Conservancy	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.3%
Guyana	Diamond / Golden Grove	2000	89.4%	96.9%	77.8%
Guyana	Diamond / Golden Grove	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.9%
Guyana	East Bank Berbice	2000	29.2%	36.6%	21.9%
Guyana	East Bank Berbice	2017	64.2%	70.0%	58.0%
Guyana	Eccles / Rams- burg	2000	94.7%	97.9%	88.8%
Guyana	Eccles / Rams- burg	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Guyana	Enfield / New Doe Park	2000	89.8%	97.6%	79.2%
Guyana	Enfield / New Doe Park	2017	98.1%	99.8%	93.9%
Guyana	Enmore / Hope	2000	91.0%	97.6%	81.3%
Guyana	Enmore / Hope	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Guyana	Farm / Wood- lands	2000	85.6%	97.1%	61.9%
Guyana	Farm / Wood- lands	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.0%
Guyana	Foulis / Bux- ton	2000	88.8%	97.3%	72.0%
Guyana	Foulis / Bux- ton	2017	99.4%	99.9%	96.7%
Guyana	Fyrish / Gibraltar	2000	79.3%	97.7%	50.6%
Guyana	Fyrish / Gibraltar	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.9%
Guyana	Gelderland / No. 3	2000	92.1%	96.5%	84.6%
Guyana	Gelderland / No. 3	2017	98.9%	99.5%	97.6%
Guyana	Good Hope / Hydronie	2000	87.3%	98.3%	66.7%
Guyana	Good Hope / Hydronie	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.7%
Guyana	Good Hope / Pomona	2000	58.5%	74.9%	41.9%
Guyana	Good Hope / Pomona	2017	97.9%	99.4%	93.7%
Guyana	Good Success / Caledonia	2000	88.4%	97.7%	73.6%
Guyana	Good Success / Caledonia	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.3%
Guyana	Grove / Haslington	2000	81.5%	89.9%	73.4%
Guyana	Grove / Haslington	2017	98.7%	99.7%	95.8%
Guyana	Hampshire / Kilcoy	2000	91.5%	95.5%	86.2%
Guyana	Hampshire / Kilcoy	2017	98.8%	99.7%	97.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Herstelling / Little Diamond	2000	89.1%	96.2%	76.4%
Guyana	Herstelling / Little Diamond	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Guyana	Hogstye / Lancaster	2000	93.4%	96.5%	90.2%
Guyana	Hogstye / Lancaster	2017	98.7%	99.7%	96.4%
Guyana	Ireng / Sawarivau (Including St. Ignatius)	2000	45.9%	55.1%	36.1%
Guyana	Ireng / Sawarivau (Including St. Ignatius)	2017	66.5%	73.8%	58.5%
Guyana	Ituni	2000	39.8%	68.1%	19.5%
Guyana	Ituni	2017	75.4%	91.3%	52.5%
Guyana	Jackson Creek / Crabwood Creek	2000	60.8%	81.7%	44.2%
Guyana	Jackson Creek / Crabwood Creek	2017	91.5%	98.8%	70.5%
Guyana	Jawalla, Kubenang River	2000	24.0%	44.5%	6.8%
Guyana	Jawalla, Kubenang River	2017	62.0%	78.8%	39.9%
Guyana	John / Port Mourant	2000	91.5%	95.1%	87.5%
Guyana	John / Port Mourant	2017	98.8%	99.7%	96.9%
Guyana	Joppa / Macedonia	2000	95.7%	98.9%	91.4%
Guyana	Joppa / Macedonia	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Guyana	Kaibarupai	2000	27.6%	59.2%	9.0%
Guyana	Kaibarupai	2017	32.4%	55.9%	15.3%
Guyana	Kamarang	2000	30.0%	44.4%	17.1%
Guyana	Kamarang	2017	70.1%	80.1%	59.2%
Guyana	Karambaru to Kukui River + Phillipi	2000	24.3%	39.8%	12.6%
Guyana	Karambaru to Kukui River + Phillipi	2017	57.9%	70.3%	43.4%
Guyana	Klein Pouderoyen / Best	2000	89.2%	98.5%	71.0%
Guyana	Klein Pouderoyen / Best	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Guyana	Kopanang, Waipa, Kenepai	2000	28.4%	49.9%	11.3%
Guyana	Kopanang, Waipa, Kenepai	2017	34.3%	54.6%	20.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Kwakwani	2000	52.2%	83.7%	25.1%
Guyana	Kwakwani	2017	79.0%	94.0%	50.3%
Guyana	La Bonne Intention / Better Hope	2000	89.9%	95.6%	79.2%
Guyana	La Bonne Intention / Better Hope	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Guyana	La Reconnaissance / Mon Repos	2000	85.3%	95.8%	72.2%
Guyana	La Reconnaissance / Mon Repos	2017	98.8%	99.9%	92.7%
Guyana	Leguan (Essequibo Islands)	2000	65.1%	93.2%	28.2%
Guyana	Leguan (Essequibo Islands)	2017	95.4%	99.8%	78.4%
Guyana	Linden	2000	78.3%	81.7%	75.0%
Guyana	Linden	2017	89.9%	93.1%	86.2%
Guyana	Lower West Demerara	2000	47.6%	71.8%	20.6%
Guyana	Lower West Demerara	2017	85.8%	95.6%	64.6%
Guyana	Mabaruma / Kumaka / Hosororo	2000	46.6%	59.1%	36.9%
Guyana	Mabaruma / Kumaka / Hosororo	2017	77.8%	85.4%	68.0%
Guyana	Mabura Hills	2000	41.2%	59.0%	25.0%
Guyana	Mabura Hills	2017	73.2%	84.0%	59.3%
Guyana	Madhia + Kurubrong River + Mona Falls	2000	26.3%	36.6%	16.9%
Guyana	Madhia + Kurubrong River + Mona Falls	2017	63.5%	74.2%	51.9%
Guyana	Makouria River	2000	62.8%	68.2%	57.9%
Guyana	Makouria River	2017	87.8%	92.1%	82.5%
Guyana	Maripari River + Kurukabaru	2000	28.1%	45.2%	14.5%
Guyana	Maripari River + Kurukabaru	2017	53.2%	68.1%	37.6%
Guyana	Marudi	2000	26.7%	49.0%	12.1%
Guyana	Marudi	2017	53.1%	70.3%	35.7%
Guyana	Matthews Ridge / Arakaka (Matakai) / Port Kaituma	2000	31.8%	50.9%	16.9%
Guyana	Matthews Ridge / Arakaka (Matakai) / Port Kaituma	2017	76.1%	88.4%	61.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Meer Zorgen / Malgre Tout	2000	90.0%	96.8%	79.1%
Guyana	Meer Zorgen / Malgre Tout	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Guyana	Mocha / Arcadia	2000	89.8%	98.7%	73.9%
Guyana	Mocha / Arcadia	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.3%
Guyana	Monkey Mountain	2000	40.7%	75.1%	14.5%
Guyana	Monkey Mountain	2017	47.3%	73.2%	22.7%
Guyana	Naarstigheid / Union	2000	94.5%	98.3%	88.0%
Guyana	Naarstigheid / Union	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.3%
Guyana	New Amsterdam	2000	94.3%	98.4%	87.3%
Guyana	New Amsterdam	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Guyana	Nismes / La Grange	2000	90.5%	95.6%	83.6%
Guyana	Nismes / La Grange	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Guyana	No. 38 / Ordnance Fortlands	2000	83.3%	88.8%	77.5%
Guyana	No. 38 / Ordnance Fortlands	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.8%
Guyana	No.51 Village / Good Hope	2000	94.6%	98.8%	88.9%
Guyana	No.51 Village / Good Hope	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.5%
Guyana	No.74 Village / No.52 Village	2000	90.3%	94.8%	83.3%
Guyana	No.74 Village / No.52 Village	2017	97.6%	99.3%	93.4%
Guyana	Nouvelle Flanders / La Jalousie	2000	89.5%	97.6%	77.8%
Guyana	Nouvelle Flanders / La Jalousie	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.4%
Guyana	Paradise / Evergreen (including Somerset and Berks)	2000	56.6%	66.1%	43.3%
Guyana	Paradise / Evergreen (including Somerset and Berks)	2017	94.3%	96.8%	89.0%
Guyana	Paramakatoi	2000	29.1%	48.2%	13.2%
Guyana	Paramakatoi	2017	46.2%	62.0%	34.3%
Guyana	Parika / Mora	2000	74.2%	94.3%	40.2%
Guyana	Parika / Mora	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.8%
Guyana	Paruima	2000	30.7%	46.2%	15.9%
Guyana	Paruima	2017	59.8%	73.2%	44.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Patentia / Toevlugt	2000	88.7%	95.2%	77.4%
Guyana	Patentia / Toevlugt	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Guyana	Plaisance / Industry	2000	89.5%	95.0%	81.6%
Guyana	Plaisance / Industry	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Guyana	Rest of Region 1	2000	35.5%	47.0%	24.3%
Guyana	Rest of Region 1	2017	74.6%	82.8%	65.1%
Guyana	Rest of Region 10	2000	42.5%	56.4%	30.4%
Guyana	Rest of Region 10	2017	76.2%	84.0%	66.4%
Guyana	Rest of Region 7	2000	35.8%	44.3%	27.5%
Guyana	Rest of Region 7	2017	67.3%	72.4%	61.2%
Guyana	Rest of Region 8	2000	27.3%	32.7%	20.9%
Guyana	Rest of Region 8	2017	56.1%	63.4%	48.2%
Guyana	Rest of Region 9	2000	34.4%	43.2%	26.3%
Guyana	Rest of Region 9	2017	61.1%	68.5%	52.3%
Guyana	Rising Sun / Profit	2000	83.1%	88.4%	73.1%
Guyana	Rising Sun / Profit	2017	96.0%	97.6%	93.1%
Guyana	Riverstown / Annandale	2000	23.1%	28.7%	16.6%
Guyana	Riverstown / Annandale	2017	87.1%	91.8%	80.9%
Guyana	Rose Hall	2000	91.5%	95.5%	86.4%
Guyana	Rose Hall	2017	98.8%	99.7%	97.0%
Guyana	Rosignol / Zeelust	2000	92.4%	97.1%	86.1%
Guyana	Rosignol / Zeelust	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.5%
Guyana	Sand Creek - Dadanawa, Catunarib, Sawariwau	2000	34.5%	47.9%	22.0%
Guyana	Sand Creek - Dadanawa, Catunarib, Sawariwau	2017	59.5%	71.7%	50.3%
Guyana	Soesdyke-Linden highway (including Timehri)	2000	62.9%	76.5%	44.8%
Guyana	Soesdyke-Linden highway (including Timehri)	2017	92.1%	96.5%	85.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Sparta / Bonasika and Rest of Essequibo Islands	2000	53.3%	76.4%	24.5%
Guyana	Sparta / Bonasika and Rest of Essequibo Islands	2017	91.5%	98.5%	74.9%
Guyana	St. Cuthberts / Orange Nassau (Mahaica River)	2000	76.3%	85.5%	64.1%
Guyana	St. Cuthberts / Orange Nassau (Mahaica River)	2017	96.5%	98.5%	91.4%
Guyana	St. Francis Mission	2000	47.5%	67.0%	27.5%
Guyana	St. Francis Mission	2017	80.5%	92.7%	66.7%
Guyana	Supernaam River, Bethany and Mashabo Villages	2000	50.6%	71.7%	24.9%
Guyana	Supernaam River, Bethany and Mashabo Villages	2017	86.7%	94.3%	68.1%
Guyana	Tarlogie / Maida	2000	91.0%	93.7%	88.2%
Guyana	Tarlogie / Maida	2017	98.2%	99.4%	96.2%
Guyana	Te Huist Coverden / Soesdyke	2000	81.9%	97.4%	54.7%
Guyana	Te Huist Coverden / Soesdyke	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.4%
Guyana	Tempe / Seafield	2000	98.2%	99.7%	95.3%
Guyana	Tempe / Seafield	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Guyana	Toka - Jakaretinga	2000	40.6%	58.3%	22.0%
Guyana	Toka - Jakaretinga	2017	65.4%	79.4%	50.3%
Guyana	Triumph / Beterverwagting	2000	90.0%	97.3%	76.9%
Guyana	Triumph / Beterverwagting	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Guyana	Uitvlugt / Tuschen	2000	84.2%	92.7%	72.4%
Guyana	Uitvlugt / Tuschen	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.8%
Guyana	Vereeniging / Unity	2000	84.2%	91.9%	74.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Vereeniging / Unity	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.8%
Guyana	Vergenoegen / Greenwich Park	2000	74.2%	87.4%	59.5%
Guyana	Vergenoegen / Greenwich Park	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.1%
Guyana	Waini	2000	39.5%	49.9%	29.0%
Guyana	Waini	2017	78.3%	85.0%	68.6%
Guyana	Wakenaam (Essequibo Islands)	2000	68.9%	92.5%	40.5%
Guyana	Wakenaam (Essequibo Islands)	2017	97.5%	99.8%	90.3%
Guyana	Waramadan	2000	26.4%	49.4%	11.2%
Guyana	Waramadan	2017	69.3%	83.8%	50.4%
Guyana	West bank Berbice	2000	90.5%	94.5%	85.1%
Guyana	West bank Berbice	2017	98.0%	99.0%	96.4%
Guyana	Whim / Bloomfield	2000	94.1%	97.5%	89.9%
Guyana	Whim / Bloomfield	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.1%
Guyana	Woodley Park / Bath	2000	90.0%	97.4%	80.6%
Guyana	Woodley Park / Bath	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.6%
Guyana	Yakarinta - Wowetta, Surama	2000	33.0%	45.6%	21.6%
Guyana	Yakarinta - Wowetta, Surama	2017	58.8%	69.9%	46.9%
Guyana	Yarong Paru - Good Hope	2000	31.7%	48.3%	18.2%
Guyana	Yarong Paru - Good Hope	2017	57.3%	71.0%	41.8%
Guyana	Zorg-en-Vlygt / Aberdeen	2000	36.2%	61.0%	12.3%
Guyana	Zorg-en-Vlygt / Aberdeen	2017	88.8%	96.3%	75.4%
Haiti	Anse d'Hainault	2000	41.6%	45.2%	37.7%
Haiti	Anse d'Hainault	2017	39.5%	43.5%	34.7%
Haiti	Aquin	2000	60.2%	62.7%	56.8%
Haiti	Aquin	2017	65.2%	68.0%	61.6%
Haiti	Bainet	2000	36.4%	41.5%	31.6%
Haiti	Bainet	2017	36.6%	42.0%	29.8%
Haiti	Belle-Anse	2000	63.6%	69.2%	58.3%
Haiti	Belle-Anse	2017	70.0%	75.9%	63.2%
Haiti	Borgne	2000	42.4%	57.2%	35.2%
Haiti	Borgne	2017	51.0%	61.7%	45.0%
Haiti	Cerca La Source	2000	34.0%	45.7%	24.0%
Haiti	Cerca La Source	2017	34.7%	47.6%	26.0%
Haiti	Corail	2000	39.0%	44.0%	31.6%
Haiti	Corail	2017	57.8%	62.4%	49.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Haiti	Croix-des-Bouquets	2000	52.1%	56.7%	48.5%
Haiti	Croix-des-Bouquets	2017	72.1%	76.5%	68.2%
Haiti	Dessalines	2000	38.0%	41.6%	34.6%
Haiti	Dessalines	2017	50.2%	53.8%	46.7%
Haiti	Fort-Liberté	2000	56.7%	64.5%	48.4%
Haiti	Fort-Liberté	2017	64.3%	70.8%	57.7%
Haiti	Grande-Rivière du Nord	2000	31.5%	34.4%	29.0%
Haiti	Grande-Rivière du Nord	2017	34.5%	36.5%	32.5%
Haiti	Gros-Morne	2000	41.0%	48.3%	33.0%
Haiti	Gros-Morne	2017	52.3%	61.7%	36.9%
Haiti	Hinche	2000	45.7%	50.8%	40.9%
Haiti	Hinche	2017	47.1%	52.4%	42.0%
Haiti	Jacmel	2000	62.5%	64.3%	60.0%
Haiti	Jacmel	2017	63.3%	66.3%	60.3%
Haiti	Jérémie	2000	31.2%	33.3%	29.3%
Haiti	Jérémie	2017	32.8%	35.1%	30.4%
Haiti	l'Acul-du-Nord	2000	58.3%	60.7%	56.0%
Haiti	l'Acul-du-Nord	2017	72.1%	73.6%	70.6%
Haiti	l'Anse-à-Veau	2000	33.4%	35.1%	31.9%
Haiti	l'Anse-à-Veau	2017	35.2%	36.9%	33.6%
Haiti	l'Arcahaie	2000	49.5%	63.5%	35.0%
Haiti	l'Arcahaie	2017	57.8%	70.0%	46.7%
Haiti	La Gonâve	2000	50.4%	67.2%	35.9%
Haiti	La Gonâve	2017	65.8%	89.1%	37.9%
Haiti	Lascahobas	2000	52.3%	56.5%	48.1%
Haiti	Lascahobas	2017	54.0%	60.0%	48.9%
Haiti	le Cap-Haïtien	2000	72.7%	76.0%	68.6%
Haiti	le Cap-Haïtien	2017	88.9%	90.6%	85.5%
Haiti	le Limbé	2000	69.5%	78.0%	63.4%
Haiti	le Limbé	2017	74.5%	78.1%	72.0%
Haiti	le Trou-du-Nord	2000	46.2%	50.1%	42.5%
Haiti	le Trou-du-Nord	2017	60.9%	63.8%	58.5%
Haiti	Léogâne	2000	56.1%	61.0%	53.0%
Haiti	Léogâne	2017	74.9%	77.6%	72.2%
Haiti	les Cayes	2000	57.2%	60.4%	52.6%
Haiti	les Cayes	2017	67.1%	69.4%	63.4%
Haiti	les Chardonnières	2000	47.5%	57.1%	41.4%
Haiti	les Chardonnières	2017	49.4%	60.5%	41.0%
Haiti	les Côteaux	2000	52.6%	64.2%	36.1%
Haiti	les Côteaux	2017	49.6%	59.7%	35.7%
Haiti	les Gonaïves	2000	47.5%	53.8%	42.6%
Haiti	les Gonaïves	2017	70.7%	76.4%	65.3%
Haiti	Marmelade	2000	32.5%	45.7%	21.8%
Haiti	Marmelade	2017	29.7%	41.6%	18.8%
Haiti	Miragoâne	2000	69.6%	71.0%	68.3%
Haiti	Miragoâne	2017	72.6%	74.3%	71.0%
Haiti	Mirebalais	2000	41.0%	47.4%	35.7%
Haiti	Mirebalais	2017	42.8%	52.6%	35.4%
Haiti	Môle Saint-Nicolas	2000	56.9%	62.2%	50.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Haiti	Môle Saint-Nicolas	2017	59.9%	64.7%	54.1%
Haiti	Ouanaminthe	2000	41.3%	46.1%	38.8%
Haiti	Ouanaminthe	2017	67.3%	70.9%	64.6%
Haiti	Plaisance	2000	40.5%	55.5%	29.9%
Haiti	Plaisance	2017	29.7%	45.2%	22.0%
Haiti	Port-au-Prince	2000	86.7%	87.9%	85.8%
Haiti	Port-au-Prince	2017	92.7%	93.2%	92.2%
Haiti	Port-de-Paix	2000	65.5%	68.9%	62.7%
Haiti	Port-de-Paix	2017	68.6%	71.8%	65.8%
Haiti	Port-Salut	2000	47.6%	58.4%	36.3%
Haiti	Port-Salut	2017	44.8%	53.4%	32.8%
Haiti	Saint-Louis du Nord	2000	60.0%	62.8%	57.4%
Haiti	Saint-Louis du Nord	2017	55.8%	58.5%	52.5%
Haiti	Saint-Marc	2000	67.4%	73.1%	61.7%
Haiti	Saint-Marc	2017	73.1%	78.1%	68.4%
Haiti	Saint-Raphaël	2000	47.2%	50.7%	44.2%
Haiti	Saint-Raphaël	2017	51.1%	56.3%	46.8%
Haiti	Vallières	2000	35.4%	45.0%	28.1%
Haiti	Vallières	2017	38.0%	45.5%	31.8%
Honduras	Aguaqueterique	2000	73.0%	94.2%	39.3%
Honduras	Aguaqueterique	2017	53.6%	84.3%	23.8%
Honduras	Ahuas	2000	71.7%	96.7%	31.4%
Honduras	Ahuas	2017	76.9%	99.9%	31.4%
Honduras	Ajuterique	2000	86.0%	89.1%	82.8%
Honduras	Ajuterique	2017	99.2%	99.8%	96.7%
Honduras	Alauca	2000	81.2%	92.8%	58.3%
Honduras	Alauca	2017	91.2%	99.5%	70.2%
Honduras	Alianza	2000	89.4%	94.0%	79.9%
Honduras	Alianza	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Honduras	Alubarén	2000	92.9%	97.3%	84.9%
Honduras	Alubarén	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.5%
Honduras	Amapala	2000	88.3%	94.8%	76.7%
Honduras	Amapala	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.3%
Honduras	Apacilagua	2000	66.4%	88.9%	35.9%
Honduras	Apacilagua	2017	94.7%	99.8%	78.9%
Honduras	Arada	2000	56.8%	77.4%	30.5%
Honduras	Arada	2017	77.9%	97.8%	44.6%
Honduras	Aramecina	2000	84.4%	98.6%	55.8%
Honduras	Aramecina	2017	97.7%	99.9%	84.9%
Honduras	Arenal	2000	68.4%	94.8%	29.0%
Honduras	Arenal	2017	71.2%	98.6%	32.9%
Honduras	Arizona	2000	65.7%	86.1%	44.9%
Honduras	Arizona	2017	79.7%	92.7%	60.7%
Honduras	Atima	2000	65.4%	86.5%	41.1%
Honduras	Atima	2017	83.8%	93.5%	71.5%
Honduras	Azacualpa	2000	80.4%	89.4%	68.6%
Honduras	Azacualpa	2017	97.8%	99.7%	91.1%
Honduras	Balfate	2000	67.2%	86.7%	48.5%
Honduras	Balfate	2017	84.0%	97.4%	63.9%
Honduras	Belen	2000	84.6%	97.4%	58.9%
Honduras	Belen	2017	83.2%	98.3%	60.8%
Honduras	Belén Gualcho	2000	87.8%	92.0%	82.2%
Honduras	Belén Gualcho	2017	83.8%	90.9%	76.1%
Honduras	Bonito Oriental	2000	88.0%	95.3%	78.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Bonito Oriental	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Honduras	Brus Laguna	2000	71.4%	83.8%	53.5%
Honduras	Brus Laguna	2017	88.1%	97.7%	73.6%
Honduras	Cabañas	2000	88.7%	99.0%	68.2%
Honduras	Cabañas	2000	73.7%	91.3%	51.5%
Honduras	Cabañas	2017	98.3%	99.9%	91.0%
Honduras	Cabañas	2017	76.8%	96.9%	44.8%
Honduras	Camasca	2000	98.8%	99.5%	94.8%
Honduras	Camasca	2017	97.8%	99.4%	94.5%
Honduras	Campamento	2000	68.6%	88.4%	43.5%
Honduras	Campamento	2017	85.5%	96.8%	68.9%
Honduras	Candelaria	2000	74.8%	90.1%	49.2%
Honduras	Candelaria	2017	98.9%	99.9%	88.9%
Honduras	Cane	2000	91.6%	98.3%	82.8%
Honduras	Cane	2017	99.7%	100.0%	96.5%
Honduras	Caridad	2000	92.2%	96.4%	85.4%
Honduras	Caridad	2017	84.4%	92.8%	75.7%
Honduras	Catacamas	2000	72.6%	78.8%	65.8%
Honduras	Catacamas	2017	90.3%	94.5%	85.0%
Honduras	Cedros	2000	73.3%	88.3%	51.7%
Honduras	Cedros	2017	85.9%	97.3%	67.4%
Honduras	Ceguaca	2000	80.9%	93.7%	64.2%
Honduras	Ceguaca	2017	97.2%	99.3%	93.8%
Honduras	Chinacla	2000	98.2%	98.4%	97.7%
Honduras	Chinacla	2017	96.2%	98.1%	94.9%
Honduras	Chinda	2000	63.3%	85.7%	33.8%
Honduras	Chinda	2017	71.2%	96.9%	40.5%
Honduras	Choloma	2000	71.2%	78.2%	62.1%
Honduras	Choloma	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Honduras	Choluteca	2000	80.7%	88.9%	71.3%
Honduras	Choluteca	2017	97.4%	99.7%	92.3%
Honduras	Cololaca	2000	86.6%	96.0%	72.4%
Honduras	Cololaca	2017	87.3%	98.3%	71.3%
Honduras	Colomoncagua	2000	75.4%	89.3%	53.7%
Honduras	Colomoncagua	2017	60.8%	80.4%	37.7%
Honduras	Comayagua	2000	83.9%	88.0%	78.6%
Honduras	Comayagua	2017	96.8%	98.9%	94.0%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	86.5%	95.3%	73.6%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	55.6%	59.9%	52.2%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	67.2%	86.5%	40.7%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	87.9%	98.6%	71.0%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	55.7%	70.3%	48.7%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	94.4%	98.5%	90.3%
Honduras	Concepción de Maria	2000	93.0%	96.3%	86.6%
Honduras	Concepción de Maria	2017	96.3%	98.2%	92.7%
Honduras	Concepción del Norte	2000	42.6%	78.8%	9.7%
Honduras	Concepción del Norte	2017	44.6%	86.2%	8.7%
Honduras	Concepción del Sur	2000	80.1%	98.7%	53.1%
Honduras	Concepción del Sur	2017	98.1%	100.0%	89.6%
Honduras	Concordia	2000	70.4%	95.6%	30.0%
Honduras	Concordia	2017	84.3%	99.7%	49.8%
Honduras	Copán Ruinas	2000	81.7%	90.7%	66.6%
Honduras	Copán Ruinas	2017	94.4%	98.0%	90.6%
Honduras	Corquín	2000	92.4%	97.3%	83.3%
Honduras	Corquín	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Cucuyagua	2000	75.2%	94.1%	62.8%
Honduras	Cucuyagua	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.5%
Honduras	Curarén	2000	80.0%	96.5%	53.0%
Honduras	Curarén	2017	77.4%	95.4%	53.0%
Honduras	Danlí	2000	78.8%	86.0%	70.5%
Honduras	Danlí	2017	87.0%	93.1%	81.2%
Honduras	Distrito Central	2000	84.1%	88.9%	78.4%
Honduras	Distrito Central	2017	97.6%	99.4%	95.2%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	89.6%	93.2%	78.2%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	76.5%	94.3%	51.4%
Honduras	Dolores	2017	98.8%	99.5%	96.5%
Honduras	Dolores	2017	55.4%	79.1%	34.9%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	75.6%	95.1%	45.6%
Honduras	Merendon				
Honduras	Dolores Merendon	2017	94.4%	98.6%	84.6%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre	2000	87.6%	92.3%	82.1%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre	2017	98.9%	99.8%	93.6%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre de Culmí	2000	71.7%	84.5%	56.2%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre de Culmí	2017	72.2%	87.2%	50.4%
Honduras	Duyure	2000	73.5%	96.9%	24.8%
Honduras	Duyure	2017	84.1%	99.4%	30.3%
Honduras	El Corpus	2000	77.3%	86.4%	61.6%
Honduras	El Corpus	2017	81.9%	92.0%	70.2%
Honduras	El Negrito	2000	86.3%	93.9%	75.5%
Honduras	El Negrito	2017	97.8%	99.9%	93.4%
Honduras	El Nispero	2000	86.8%	93.6%	66.4%
Honduras	El Nispero	2017	93.2%	100.0%	68.5%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2000	85.7%	94.9%	74.1%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2000	63.0%	78.1%	44.4%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2017	97.3%	99.5%	92.5%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2017	93.9%	99.4%	86.2%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2000	82.9%	96.4%	60.6%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2000	88.0%	95.4%	73.9%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2017	90.5%	99.7%	71.4%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.2%
Honduras	El Progreso	2000	84.6%	89.7%	76.6%
Honduras	El Progreso	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Honduras	El Rosario	2000	88.7%	98.5%	69.1%
Honduras	El Rosario	2000	90.7%	99.7%	64.9%
Honduras	El Rosario	2017	91.0%	99.8%	57.7%
Honduras	El Rosario	2017	87.7%	96.5%	70.5%
Honduras	El Triunfo	2000	67.0%	88.0%	42.4%
Honduras	El Triunfo	2017	95.0%	99.7%	82.9%
Honduras	Erandique	2000	80.0%	90.9%	60.5%
Honduras	Erandique	2017	59.0%	77.6%	37.1%
Honduras	Esparta	2000	86.7%	98.5%	67.0%
Honduras	Esparta	2017	94.9%	99.6%	85.8%
Honduras	Esquíás	2000	82.3%	97.6%	53.4%
Honduras	Esquíás	2017	81.9%	99.0%	50.3%
Honduras	Esquipulas del Norte	2000	81.8%	97.3%	59.2%
Honduras	Esquipulas del Norte	2017	92.9%	100.0%	69.5%
Honduras	Florida	2000	77.7%	89.3%	58.8%
Honduras	Florida	2017	83.6%	95.8%	65.7%
Honduras	Fraternidad	2000	84.6%	91.5%	72.9%
Honduras	Fraternidad	2017	86.6%	95.4%	73.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Goascorán	2000	65.4%	71.6%	59.5%
Honduras	Goascorán	2017	93.6%	98.5%	83.4%
Honduras	Gracias	2000	86.2%	92.3%	79.9%
Honduras	Gracias	2017	97.8%	99.7%	94.5%
Honduras	Guaimaca	2000	69.0%	86.3%	50.0%
Honduras	Guaimaca	2017	87.2%	95.9%	78.2%
Honduras	Guajiquiro	2000	85.6%	97.6%	68.4%
Honduras	Guajiquiro	2017	74.3%	92.8%	54.8%
Honduras	Gualaco	2000	92.9%	99.0%	69.2%
Honduras	Gualaco	2017	96.7%	100.0%	88.1%
Honduras	Gualala	2000	81.9%	99.1%	44.9%
Honduras	Gualala	2017	93.1%	100.0%	60.4%
Honduras	Gualcince	2000	74.2%	84.6%	49.2%
Honduras	Gualcince	2017	85.0%	96.8%	59.3%
Honduras	Guanaja	2000	94.1%	100.0%	74.3%
Honduras	Guanaja	2017	99.8%	100.0%	97.5%
Honduras	Guarita	2000	75.2%	98.3%	38.5%
Honduras	Guarita	2017	65.9%	95.6%	25.3%
Honduras	Guarizama	2000	76.6%	99.0%	38.1%
Honduras	Guarizama	2017	95.9%	100.0%	78.7%
Honduras	Guata	2000	94.0%	99.5%	83.4%
Honduras	Guata	2017	98.4%	100.0%	91.8%
Honduras	Guayape	2000	79.8%	98.8%	40.3%
Honduras	Guayape	2017	87.0%	99.7%	49.1%
Honduras	Guinope	2000	79.6%	99.1%	44.0%
Honduras	Guinope	2017	75.9%	99.7%	35.9%
Honduras	Humuya	2000	75.0%	94.7%	39.5%
Honduras	Humuya	2017	91.6%	100.0%	66.0%
Honduras	Ilama	2000	74.4%	97.0%	43.9%
Honduras	Ilama	2017	90.6%	99.8%	66.7%
Honduras	Intibucá	2000	80.8%	89.3%	72.2%
Honduras	Intibucá	2017	86.1%	91.6%	80.2%
Honduras	Iriona	2000	83.0%	92.7%	65.8%
Honduras	Iriona	2017	90.3%	98.5%	71.6%
Honduras	Jacaleapa	2000	65.6%	85.1%	42.6%
Honduras	Jacaleapa	2017	97.6%	99.8%	89.1%
Honduras	Jano	2000	88.8%	99.9%	64.7%
Honduras	Jano	2017	95.9%	100.0%	76.4%
Honduras	Jesús de Otoro	2000	88.9%	93.8%	81.9%
Honduras	Jesús de Otoro	2017	90.9%	96.8%	82.7%
Honduras	Jocón	2000	72.2%	93.6%	45.2%
Honduras	Jocón	2017	67.8%	94.2%	40.1%
Honduras	José Santos Guardiola	2000	48.6%	68.9%	34.5%
Honduras	José Santos Guardiola	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Honduras	Juan Francisco Bulnes	2000	73.1%	90.5%	51.5%
Honduras	Juan Francisco Bulnes	2017	92.3%	99.0%	74.5%
Honduras	Jutiapa	2000	83.4%	96.8%	63.4%
Honduras	Jutiapa	2017	93.7%	99.5%	82.0%
Honduras	Juticalpa	2000	72.6%	80.6%	61.6%
Honduras	Juticalpa	2017	94.5%	97.9%	90.7%
Honduras	La Campa	2000	90.7%	98.7%	73.3%
Honduras	La Campa	2017	87.1%	98.6%	64.5%
Honduras	La Ceiba	2000	82.8%	89.9%	74.0%
Honduras	La Ceiba	2017	96.1%	99.5%	92.0%
Honduras	La Encarnación	2000	73.9%	80.2%	67.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	La Encarnación	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Honduras	La Esperanza	2000	57.1%	81.7%	39.5%
Honduras	La Esperanza	2017	97.4%	99.9%	86.1%
Honduras	La Iguala	2000	89.7%	98.0%	73.0%
Honduras	La Iguala	2017	92.0%	98.7%	76.0%
Honduras	La Jigua	2000	66.6%	80.4%	50.4%
Honduras	La Jigua	2017	98.6%	99.6%	97.0%
Honduras	La Labor	2000	87.2%	93.1%	73.7%
Honduras	La Labor	2017	97.8%	99.5%	93.0%
Honduras	La Libertad	2000	92.8%	99.1%	59.9%
Honduras	La Libertad	2000	90.6%	98.2%	77.5%
Honduras	La Libertad	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.5%
Honduras	La Libertad	2017	92.6%	98.5%	79.7%
Honduras	La Lima	2000	91.0%	97.2%	79.0%
Honduras	La Lima	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Honduras	La Masica	2000	83.8%	93.7%	70.2%
Honduras	La Masica	2017	98.2%	100.0%	94.3%
Honduras	La Paz	2000	71.0%	75.6%	66.5%
Honduras	La Paz	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.0%
Honduras	La Trinidad	2000	92.6%	99.1%	71.9%
Honduras	La Trinidad	2017	94.5%	99.9%	72.0%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	76.1%	93.9%	45.7%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	77.3%	94.4%	50.4%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	91.0%	97.4%	71.9%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	90.4%	99.5%	75.4%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	81.5%	96.0%	60.2%
Honduras	La Venta	2000	81.9%	98.9%	46.8%
Honduras	La Venta	2017	87.8%	99.8%	55.0%
Honduras	La Virtud	2000	63.5%	74.0%	48.9%
Honduras	La Virtud	2017	94.4%	98.6%	83.5%
Honduras	Lamaní	2000	60.7%	86.1%	28.6%
Honduras	Lamaní	2017	77.9%	95.9%	48.7%
Honduras	Langue	2000	90.1%	92.3%	87.1%
Honduras	Langue	2017	96.7%	98.8%	93.6%
Honduras	Las Flores	2000	86.5%	89.7%	79.4%
Honduras	Las Flores	2017	93.6%	98.7%	84.2%
Honduras	Las Lajas	2000	95.5%	99.9%	69.9%
Honduras	Las Lajas	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.3%
Honduras	Las Vegas	2000	85.3%	97.2%	56.4%
Honduras	Las Vegas	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Honduras	Lauterique	2000	81.6%	95.2%	62.4%
Honduras	Lauterique	2017	65.5%	85.7%	47.1%
Honduras	Lejamaní	2000	46.9%	63.8%	34.3%
Honduras	Lejamaní	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Honduras	Lepaera	2000	91.0%	96.8%	79.7%
Honduras	Lepaera	2017	92.1%	98.4%	80.1%
Honduras	Lepaterique	2000	74.2%	93.9%	45.6%
Honduras	Lepaterique	2017	70.0%	91.0%	41.7%
Honduras	Limón	2000	96.8%	100.0%	86.2%
Honduras	Limón	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.3%
Honduras	Liure	2000	29.9%	55.4%	8.6%
Honduras	Liure	2017	92.7%	98.6%	77.2%
Honduras	Lucerna	2000	80.0%	86.2%	72.1%
Honduras	Lucerna	2017	95.8%	99.2%	88.9%
Honduras	Macuelizo	2000	91.5%	96.4%	83.8%
Honduras	Macuelizo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Honduras	Magdalena	2000	98.7%	99.3%	97.3%
Honduras	Magdalena	2017	95.2%	99.0%	89.8%
Honduras	Mangulile	2000	64.1%	87.6%	31.7%
Honduras	Mangulile	2017	61.9%	91.8%	26.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Manto	2000	94.1%	99.9%	77.1%
Honduras	Manto	2017	98.5%	100.0%	85.3%
Honduras	Mapulaca	2000	69.0%	82.5%	49.9%
Honduras	Mapulaca	2017	97.8%	99.6%	93.4%
Honduras	Maraita	2000	81.3%	98.6%	49.3%
Honduras	Maraita	2017	90.7%	99.8%	59.6%
Honduras	Marale	2000	96.3%	100.0%	82.7%
Honduras	Marale	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.7%
Honduras	Marcala	2000	89.7%	94.8%	83.6%
Honduras	Marcala	2017	97.1%	99.2%	92.5%
Honduras	Marcovia	2000	71.2%	84.7%	58.3%
Honduras	Marcovia	2017	95.5%	99.7%	85.1%
Honduras	Masaguara	2000	89.4%	95.2%	80.8%
Honduras	Masaguara	2017	89.6%	97.0%	80.2%
Honduras	Meámbar	2000	81.1%	98.2%	52.0%
Honduras	Meámbar	2017	85.0%	99.5%	54.4%
Honduras	Mercedes	2000	80.4%	84.9%	74.6%
Honduras	Mercedes	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.7%
Honduras	Mercedes de Oriente	2000	72.4%	99.4%	18.9%
Honduras	Mercedes de Oriente	2017	59.9%	97.3%	14.3%
Honduras	Minas de Oro	2000	88.7%	98.4%	69.2%
Honduras	Minas de Oro	2017	96.1%	99.7%	85.3%
Honduras	Morazán	2000	77.0%	90.0%	59.3%
Honduras	Morazán	2017	94.7%	99.4%	85.3%
Honduras	Morocelí	2000	97.2%	99.9%	88.1%
Honduras	Morocelí	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.6%
Honduras	Morolica	2000	74.5%	94.0%	46.4%
Honduras	Morolica	2017	90.4%	99.8%	63.5%
Honduras	Nacaome	2000	76.6%	81.3%	71.2%
Honduras	Nacaome	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Honduras	Namasigue	2000	83.9%	93.6%	69.9%
Honduras	Namasigue	2017	96.2%	98.9%	88.1%
Honduras	Naranjito	2000	82.6%	95.0%	60.8%
Honduras	Naranjito	2017	93.1%	99.7%	76.6%
Honduras	Nueva Arcadia	2000	77.3%	85.8%	69.6%
Honduras	Nueva Arcadia	2017	97.7%	99.1%	95.5%
Honduras	Nueva Armenia	2000	77.0%	94.6%	49.2%
Honduras	Nueva Armenia	2017	92.7%	99.9%	72.8%
Honduras	Nueva Frontera	2000	86.5%	96.3%	67.6%
Honduras	Nueva Frontera	2017	95.1%	99.1%	87.3%
Honduras	Nuevo Celilac	2000	81.1%	98.6%	51.9%
Honduras	Nuevo Celilac	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.7%
Honduras	Ocotepeque	2000	76.9%	90.1%	53.0%
Honduras	Ocotepeque	2017	95.8%	98.3%	90.4%
Honduras	Ojo de Agua	2000	85.4%	94.1%	71.1%
Honduras	Ojo de Agua	2017	97.7%	99.9%	91.6%
Honduras	Ojojona	2000	65.3%	87.6%	40.5%
Honduras	Ojojona	2017	88.9%	99.3%	66.0%
Honduras	Olanchito	2000	83.4%	92.2%	73.6%
Honduras	Olanchito	2017	97.5%	99.7%	93.6%
Honduras	Omoa	2000	86.5%	96.6%	71.3%
Honduras	Omoa	2017	95.1%	99.6%	83.0%
Honduras	Opatoro	2000	90.9%	98.7%	72.3%
Honduras	Opatoro	2017	88.1%	98.2%	67.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Orica	2000	89.9%	99.0%	73.9%
Honduras	Orica	2017	96.9%	99.9%	88.9%
Honduras	Orocuina	2000	52.0%	78.0%	31.6%
Honduras	Orocuina	2017	77.2%	94.6%	53.2%
Honduras	Oropolí	2000	72.3%	98.7%	21.7%
Honduras	Oropolí	2017	69.6%	99.7%	16.7%
Honduras	Patuca	2000	68.2%	87.4%	44.1%
Honduras	Patuca	2017	65.1%	85.4%	37.3%
Honduras	Pespire	2000	74.1%	87.9%	57.2%
Honduras	Pespire	2017	91.5%	94.8%	86.5%
Honduras	Pettoa	2000	79.2%	96.2%	53.7%
Honduras	Pettoa	2017	86.3%	98.4%	68.6%
Honduras	Pimienta	2000	72.2%	95.3%	49.1%
Honduras	Pimienta	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.9%
Honduras	Piraera	2000	89.5%	98.6%	65.4%
Honduras	Piraera	2017	91.8%	98.0%	74.5%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2000	68.4%	86.4%	46.8%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2000	87.4%	99.2%	61.6%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2017	93.2%	100.0%	71.9%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2017	99.0%	100.0%	96.1%
Honduras	Protección	2000	83.3%	95.6%	55.3%
Honduras	Protección	2017	85.2%	99.1%	57.1%
Honduras	Puerto Cortés	2000	91.4%	97.7%	81.9%
Honduras	Puerto Cortés	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.5%
Honduras	Puerto Lem-pira	2000	66.0%	75.8%	53.8%
Honduras	Puerto Lem-pira	2017	84.1%	93.2%	72.6%
Honduras	Quimistán	2000	77.5%	89.1%	60.0%
Honduras	Quimistán	2017	93.1%	97.8%	85.6%
Honduras	Ramón Villeda Morales	2000	63.4%	81.7%	40.9%
Honduras	Ramón Villeda Morales	2017	94.5%	99.9%	78.1%
Honduras	Reitoca	2000	67.5%	87.6%	47.3%
Honduras	Reitoca	2017	86.2%	99.7%	59.5%
Honduras	Roatán	2000	68.2%	84.4%	45.4%
Honduras	Roatán	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Honduras	Sabá	2000	68.5%	78.6%	57.7%
Honduras	Sabá	2017	99.6%	99.9%	97.8%
Honduras	Sabanagrande	2000	85.0%	97.5%	56.8%
Honduras	Sabanagrande	2017	94.2%	99.9%	74.8%
Honduras	Salamá	2000	84.1%	98.9%	56.1%
Honduras	Salamá	2017	90.6%	99.3%	63.5%
Honduras	San Agustín	2000	76.9%	99.7%	29.3%
Honduras	San Agustín	2017	94.2%	100.0%	63.6%
Honduras	San Andrés	2000	79.5%	93.1%	59.7%
Honduras	San Andrés	2017	71.0%	87.7%	51.5%
Honduras	San Antonio	2000	88.4%	91.9%	82.3%
Honduras	San Antonio	2000	90.7%	100.0%	54.0%
Honduras	San Antonio	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Honduras	San Antonio	2017	92.2%	100.0%	53.9%
Honduras	San Antonio de Cortés	2000	46.5%	73.1%	25.5%
Honduras	San Antonio de Cortés	2017	87.2%	95.8%	75.6%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2000	82.2%	98.9%	42.1%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2000	78.6%	94.6%	50.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2017	70.6%	94.7%	34.2%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2017	96.9%	100.0%	76.4%
Honduras	San Antonio de Oriente	2000	78.9%	89.7%	62.8%
Honduras	San Antonio de Oriente	2017	93.3%	99.0%	81.5%
Honduras	San Antonio del Norte	2000	73.9%	95.8%	39.8%
Honduras	San Antonio del Norte	2017	55.8%	86.1%	19.9%
Honduras	San Buenaventura	2000	75.3%	97.5%	26.8%
Honduras	San Buenaventura	2017	93.8%	100.0%	65.6%
Honduras	San Esteban	2000	78.1%	92.9%	55.9%
Honduras	San Esteban	2017	87.1%	98.5%	70.3%
Honduras	San Fernando	2000	85.6%	90.8%	79.7%
Honduras	San Fernando	2017	95.6%	98.5%	90.6%
Honduras	San Francisco	2000	63.7%	73.4%	52.0%
Honduras	San Francisco	2000	94.6%	99.2%	82.8%
Honduras	San Francisco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.0%
Honduras	San Francisco	2017	51.9%	76.7%	36.5%
Honduras	San Francisco de Becerra	2000	73.3%	91.0%	49.7%
Honduras	San Francisco de Becerra	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.4%
Honduras	San Francisco de Coray	2000	93.4%	95.8%	87.6%
Honduras	San Francisco de Coray	2017	95.6%	97.9%	91.2%
Honduras	San Francisco de la Paz	2000	73.0%	92.5%	47.3%
Honduras	San Francisco de la Paz	2017	98.8%	99.9%	92.5%
Honduras	San Francisco de Ojuera	2000	67.8%	93.4%	33.2%
Honduras	San Francisco de Ojuera	2017	61.7%	93.0%	29.4%
Honduras	San Francisco de Opalaca	2000	59.8%	79.6%	37.2%
Honduras	San Francisco de Opalaca	2017	48.5%	72.1%	26.2%
Honduras	San Francisco de Yojoa	2000	95.8%	100.0%	80.1%
Honduras	San Francisco de Yojoa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Honduras	San Francisco del Valle	2000	94.5%	97.6%	88.1%
Honduras	San Francisco del Valle	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Honduras	San Ignacio	2000	92.1%	98.4%	78.1%
Honduras	San Ignacio	2017	99.3%	100.0%	92.8%
Honduras	San Isidro	2000	60.5%	87.7%	28.3%
Honduras	San Isidro	2000	94.9%	99.6%	74.8%
Honduras	San Isidro	2017	68.3%	93.9%	36.9%
Honduras	San Isidro	2017	88.1%	98.4%	61.3%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2000	81.9%	98.2%	51.3%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2000	89.6%	95.4%	70.4%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2017	94.4%	97.5%	90.9%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2017	82.0%	99.3%	52.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Jorge	2000	86.6%	97.5%	62.2%
Honduras	San Jorge	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.5%
Honduras	San José	2000	90.9%	95.3%	79.3%
Honduras	San José	2000	97.3%	98.1%	94.7%
Honduras	San José	2000	86.5%	95.7%	73.4%
Honduras	San José	2017	93.3%	96.7%	90.2%
Honduras	San José	2017	96.0%	98.4%	93.6%
Honduras	San José	2017	73.7%	90.9%	56.7%
Honduras	San José de Colinas	2000	98.1%	99.9%	85.1%
Honduras	San José de Colinas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Honduras	San José de Comayagua	2000	79.5%	98.7%	41.6%
Honduras	San José de Comayagua	2017	76.7%	97.5%	33.6%
Honduras	San José del Potrero	2000	92.1%	99.9%	67.0%
Honduras	San José del Potrero	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.5%
Honduras	San Juan	2000	87.3%	97.7%	68.5%
Honduras	San Juan	2000	69.9%	99.6%	12.0%
Honduras	San Juan	2017	76.9%	93.8%	58.2%
Honduras	San Juan	2017	54.9%	98.4%	7.5%
Honduras	San Juan de Flores	2000	96.0%	99.8%	89.9%
Honduras	San Juan de Flores	2017	94.4%	99.5%	89.2%
Honduras	San Juan de Opoa	2000	73.4%	89.2%	66.1%
Honduras	San Juan de Opoa	2017	81.5%	94.8%	64.6%
Honduras	San Juan Guarita	2000	68.9%	99.8%	9.5%
Honduras	San Juan Guarita	2017	60.5%	99.3%	6.7%
Honduras	San Lorenzo	2000	82.2%	84.8%	77.1%
Honduras	San Lorenzo	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.2%
Honduras	San Lucas	2000	80.5%	95.5%	54.1%
Honduras	San Lucas	2017	76.9%	98.3%	44.7%
Honduras	San Luis	2000	92.2%	98.9%	77.4%
Honduras	San Luis	2000	92.0%	99.7%	64.5%
Honduras	San Luis	2017	95.2%	100.0%	73.8%
Honduras	San Luis	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.9%
Honduras	San Manuel	2000	95.7%	99.1%	87.4%
Honduras	San Manuel	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Honduras	San Manuel Colohete	2000	82.1%	97.2%	55.6%
Honduras	San Manuel Colohete	2017	89.8%	97.5%	64.7%
Honduras	San Marcos	2000	82.7%	94.4%	62.8%
Honduras	San Marcos	2000	87.7%	94.1%	79.7%
Honduras	San Marcos	2017	92.5%	96.3%	88.0%
Honduras	San Marcos	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.7%
Honduras	San Marcos de Caiquín	2000	78.9%	98.6%	42.6%
Honduras	San Marcos de Caiquín	2017	79.1%	98.6%	49.6%
Honduras	San Marcos de Colón	2000	80.7%	95.0%	59.7%
Honduras	San Marcos de Colón	2017	94.3%	99.4%	81.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Marcos de la Sierra	2000	44.0%	65.4%	19.3%
Honduras	San Marcos de la Sierra	2017	47.6%	71.2%	22.4%
Honduras	San Matías	2000	70.9%	93.3%	44.0%
Honduras	San Matías	2017	96.8%	100.0%	84.9%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2000	95.0%	99.5%	77.0%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2000	67.0%	83.4%	45.6%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2017	98.9%	99.9%	93.9%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2017	50.9%	73.5%	27.0%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2000	65.6%	86.8%	39.4%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2000	63.7%	83.5%	45.9%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2017	75.8%	92.0%	52.6%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2017	94.5%	97.2%	91.3%
Honduras	San Pedro	2000	85.5%	97.9%	68.9%
Honduras	San Pedro	2017	96.8%	99.9%	75.4%
Honduras	San Pedro de Tutule	2000	97.9%	98.7%	96.7%
Honduras	San Pedro de Tutule	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.3%
Honduras	San Pedro Sula	2000	76.7%	82.0%	70.4%
Honduras	San Pedro Sula	2017	98.5%	99.4%	97.1%
Honduras	San Pedro Zapaca	2000	81.0%	98.0%	46.9%
Honduras	San Pedro Zapaca	2017	77.5%	97.6%	46.3%
Honduras	San Rafael	2000	83.1%	99.3%	48.3%
Honduras	San Rafael	2017	94.9%	99.9%	76.4%
Honduras	San Sebastian	2000	86.1%	95.7%	68.5%
Honduras	San Sebastian	2017	79.5%	92.6%	61.6%
Honduras	San Sebastián	2000	68.0%	85.3%	52.2%
Honduras	San Sebastián	2017	92.8%	99.8%	81.8%
Honduras	San Vicente Centenario	2000	24.3%	45.2%	11.7%
Honduras	San Vicente Centenario	2017	92.9%	99.9%	71.3%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2000	82.3%	93.5%	61.1%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2000	64.4%	84.8%	34.8%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2017	87.6%	97.6%	67.5%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2017	98.1%	100.0%	89.9%
Honduras	Santa Ana de Yusguare	2000	96.4%	98.6%	92.0%
Honduras	Santa Ana de Yusguare	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.5%
Honduras	Santa Bárbara	2000	75.7%	81.8%	66.8%
Honduras	Santa Bárbara	2017	98.1%	99.6%	94.6%
Honduras	Santa Cruz	2000	74.8%	97.5%	35.3%
Honduras	Santa Cruz	2017	65.0%	92.8%	24.5%
Honduras	Santa Cruz de Yojoa	2000	93.7%	98.6%	83.8%
Honduras	Santa Cruz de Yojoa	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.6%
Honduras	Santa Elena	2000	64.4%	80.0%	48.0%
Honduras	Santa Elena	2017	64.8%	87.7%	42.2%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2000	64.2%	83.5%	36.5%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2000	78.0%	98.3%	40.8%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2017	65.8%	94.2%	36.1%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2017	86.6%	99.4%	46.2%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2000	98.4%	99.9%	85.5%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2017	96.5%	100.0%	75.8%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Honduras	Santa María	2000	93.4%	98.2%	78.6%
Honduras	Santa María	2017	87.6%	96.3%	70.1%
Honduras	Santa Maria del Real	2000	89.9%	93.0%	86.4%
Honduras	Santa Maria del Real	2017	99.8%	99.9%	98.7%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	87.5%	97.1%	68.9%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	94.4%	99.8%	77.2%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	42.7%	81.9%	11.8%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	89.8%	98.5%	69.0%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	99.7%	100.0%	96.6%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	81.2%	99.7%	31.1%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Aguán	2000	74.3%	90.2%	44.4%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Aguán	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.3%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Copán	2000	52.3%	63.3%	39.7%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Copán	2017	90.2%	98.0%	81.8%
Honduras	Santiago de Puringla	2000	95.6%	99.4%	86.6%
Honduras	Santiago de Puringla	2017	93.8%	99.7%	82.1%
Honduras	Sensenti	2000	82.9%	89.9%	74.0%
Honduras	Sensenti	2017	94.4%	97.2%	90.8%
Honduras	Siguatepeque	2000	86.8%	90.8%	78.7%
Honduras	Siguatepeque	2017	97.6%	99.2%	95.6%
Honduras	Silca	2000	89.1%	99.8%	59.4%
Honduras	Silca	2017	98.0%	100.0%	87.7%
Honduras	Sinuapa	2000	68.1%	81.1%	46.1%
Honduras	Sinuapa	2017	95.5%	99.4%	86.7%
Honduras	Soledad	2000	55.4%	82.4%	25.9%
Honduras	Soledad	2017	79.6%	96.4%	44.9%
Honduras	Sonaguera	2000	76.0%	82.3%	66.9%
Honduras	Sonaguera	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Honduras	Sulaco	2000	86.7%	98.8%	62.2%
Honduras	Sulaco	2017	94.5%	99.9%	82.4%
Honduras	Talanga	2000	77.6%	89.3%	60.9%
Honduras	Talanga	2017	94.1%	98.2%	88.4%
Honduras	Talgua	2000	92.4%	94.5%	88.8%
Honduras	Talgua	2017	82.7%	92.9%	72.3%
Honduras	Tambla	2000	84.5%	99.5%	41.9%
Honduras	Tambla	2017	73.9%	98.7%	26.6%
Honduras	Tatumbra	2000	89.0%	99.5%	62.1%
Honduras	Tatumbra	2017	97.4%	100.0%	84.3%
Honduras	Taulabe	2000	72.3%	91.2%	46.3%
Honduras	Taulabe	2017	82.1%	98.2%	56.3%
Honduras	Tela	2000	73.4%	79.9%	65.3%
Honduras	Tela	2017	97.1%	99.4%	93.1%
Honduras	Teupasenti	2000	80.4%	91.7%	66.1%
Honduras	Teupasenti	2017	84.1%	92.6%	72.3%
Honduras	Texiguat	2000	58.9%	85.0%	35.7%
Honduras	Texiguat	2017	90.7%	99.0%	69.6%
Honduras	Tocoa	2000	79.5%	87.3%	69.3%
Honduras	Tocoa	2017	98.8%	100.0%	97.0%
Honduras	Tomalá	2000	87.0%	99.9%	32.1%
Honduras	Tomalá	2017	78.1%	99.7%	23.0%
Honduras	Trinidad	2000	48.7%	70.5%	28.2%
Honduras	Trinidad	2017	91.0%	96.4%	80.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Trinidad de Copán	2000	82.6%	94.5%	63.8%
Honduras	Trinidad de Copán	2017	97.4%	99.3%	95.6%
Honduras	Trojes	2000	66.4%	81.4%	47.9%
Honduras	Trojes	2017	61.7%	78.7%	43.6%
Honduras	Trujillo	2000	84.7%	93.0%	72.5%
Honduras	Trujillo	2017	98.3%	99.9%	94.8%
Honduras	Utila	2000	84.1%	100.0%	36.5%
Honduras	Utila	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.8%
Honduras	Vado Ancho	2000	58.8%	95.2%	13.8%
Honduras	Vado Ancho	2017	80.0%	98.9%	30.0%
Honduras	Valladolid	2000	79.7%	86.4%	67.1%
Honduras	Valladolid	2017	89.1%	99.6%	64.4%
Honduras	Valle de Ángeles	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Honduras	Valle de Ángeles	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Honduras	Vallecillo	2000	66.8%	95.9%	25.0%
Honduras	Vallecillo	2017	57.5%	94.2%	16.4%
Honduras	Veracruz	2000	91.1%	93.9%	86.0%
Honduras	Veracruz	2017	88.9%	97.1%	77.8%
Honduras	Victoria	2000	75.9%	91.5%	53.2%
Honduras	Victoria	2017	78.0%	95.2%	57.4%
Honduras	Villa de San Antonio	2000	64.2%	76.9%	45.1%
Honduras	Villa de San Antonio	2017	93.5%	99.3%	77.8%
Honduras	Villa de San Francisco	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Honduras	Villa de San Francisco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Honduras	Villanueva	2000	82.2%	91.6%	68.4%
Honduras	Villanueva	2017	98.7%	100.0%	95.5%
Honduras	Virginia	2000	88.1%	99.7%	60.1%
Honduras	Virginia	2017	99.2%	100.0%	86.7%
Honduras	Wampusirpi	2000	67.9%	93.9%	38.6%
Honduras	Wampusirpi	2017	65.4%	96.8%	31.3%
Honduras	Yamaranguila	2000	76.3%	86.4%	61.7%
Honduras	Yamaranguila	2017	85.3%	94.6%	72.3%
Honduras	Yarula	2000	73.4%	91.6%	52.4%
Honduras	Yarula	2017	83.4%	94.7%	63.8%
Honduras	Yauyupe	2000	92.2%	99.9%	68.9%
Honduras	Yauyupe	2017	97.7%	100.0%	84.7%
Honduras	Yocón	2000	76.1%	94.6%	47.8%
Honduras	Yocón	2017	87.1%	98.8%	64.2%
Honduras	Yorito	2000	78.3%	99.0%	43.7%
Honduras	Yorito	2017	85.8%	100.0%	57.3%
Honduras	Yoro	2000	80.3%	87.7%	72.6%
Honduras	Yoro	2017	86.1%	94.0%	76.6%
Honduras	Yuscarán	2000	73.1%	95.2%	38.1%
Honduras	Yuscarán	2017	72.3%	98.6%	32.7%
Mexico	Abala	2000	97.5%	99.8%	89.2%
Mexico	Abala	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	92.9%	98.9%	77.2%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	97.9%	100.0%	85.9%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	96.5%	99.4%	89.2%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	90.9%	99.8%	64.1%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.6%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Abejones	2000	87.2%	99.9%	43.6%
Mexico	Abejones	2017	98.1%	100.0%	85.6%
Mexico	Acacoyagua	2000	73.2%	84.1%	60.4%
Mexico	Acacoyagua	2017	97.8%	99.2%	95.3%
Mexico	Acajete	2000	63.5%	74.2%	45.8%
Mexico	Acajete	2000	97.9%	99.5%	94.7%
Mexico	Acajete	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Acajete	2017	95.6%	97.7%	92.1%
Mexico	Acala	2000	92.2%	98.0%	80.8%
Mexico	Acala	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Acambaro	2000	94.8%	98.0%	89.4%
Mexico	Acambaro	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Acambay	2000	94.4%	99.2%	82.9%
Mexico	Acambay	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.3%
Mexico	Acanceh	2000	95.2%	99.9%	77.0%
Mexico	Acanceh	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Acapetahua	2000	82.5%	90.1%	71.4%
Mexico	Acapetahua	2017	98.3%	99.4%	95.2%
Mexico	Acaponeta	2000	89.9%	97.8%	69.4%
Mexico	Acaponeta	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.1%
Mexico	Acapulco De Juarez	2000	71.2%	80.3%	61.1%
Mexico	Acapulco De Juarez	2017	94.6%	97.3%	91.2%
Mexico	Acateno	2000	87.3%	94.1%	74.1%
Mexico	Acateno	2017	98.5%	99.7%	94.8%
Mexico	Acatepec	2000	87.8%	96.3%	72.4%
Mexico	Acatepec	2017	98.3%	99.9%	93.4%
Mexico	Acatic	2000	92.8%	99.8%	67.5%
Mexico	Acatic	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.9%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	90.4%	99.9%	59.8%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	68.9%	81.6%	53.5%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	96.5%	99.7%	86.8%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.8%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	96.2%	98.8%	89.8%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Acatlan De Juarez	2000	92.8%	99.1%	77.7%
Mexico	Acatlan De Juarez	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Acatlan De Perez Figueroa	2000	88.0%	97.6%	69.2%
Mexico	Acatlan De Perez Figueroa	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	Acatzingo	2000	94.1%	97.0%	89.2%
Mexico	Acatzingo	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Mexico	Acaxochitlan	2000	86.0%	94.6%	69.1%
Mexico	Acaxochitlan	2017	98.8%	99.7%	95.9%
Mexico	Acayucan	2000	82.1%	94.2%	58.5%
Mexico	Acayucan	2017	98.3%	99.7%	92.8%
Mexico	Acolman	2000	97.8%	99.9%	90.3%
Mexico	Acolman	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Aconchi	2000	98.9%	100.0%	93.9%
Mexico	Aconchi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Acteopan	2000	92.3%	99.3%	76.2%
Mexico	Acteopan	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Actopan	2000	88.2%	96.7%	75.8%
Mexico	Actopan	2000	92.0%	99.7%	70.8%
Mexico	Actopan	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.3%
Mexico	Actopan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Acuamanala De Miguel Hidalgo	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Acuamanala De Miguel Hidalgo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Acuitzio	2000	90.8%	99.9%	58.5%
Mexico	Acuitzio	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Acula	2000	90.5%	99.7%	66.3%
Mexico	Acula	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Aculco	2000	93.4%	99.5%	80.2%
Mexico	Aculco	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Acultzingo	2000	93.2%	98.6%	80.7%
Mexico	Acultzingo	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Acuna	2000	98.1%	99.5%	94.7%
Mexico	Acuna	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Agua Blanca De Iturbide	2000	98.2%	99.9%	92.9%
Mexico	Agua Blanca De Iturbide	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Agua Dulce	2000	84.0%	99.0%	42.3%
Mexico	Agua Dulce	2017	97.2%	99.9%	79.7%
Mexico	Agua Prieta	2000	99.0%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Agua Prieta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Agualeguas	2000	89.7%	99.3%	65.2%
Mexico	Agualeguas	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Aguascalientes	2000	94.7%	98.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Aguascalientes	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.3%
Mexico	Aguililla	2000	88.2%	98.8%	58.8%
Mexico	Aguililla	2017	98.5%	99.9%	91.8%
Mexico	Ahome	2000	95.9%	98.1%	92.1%
Mexico	Ahome	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2000	95.4%	98.8%	86.2%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2000	63.4%	93.2%	28.2%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2017	92.2%	99.7%	70.6%
Mexico	Ahuacuotzingo	2000	74.5%	91.9%	52.7%
Mexico	Ahuacuotzingo	2017	96.2%	99.3%	88.7%
Mexico	Ahualulco	2000	71.6%	89.4%	49.6%
Mexico	Ahualulco	2017	94.7%	99.2%	85.0%
Mexico	Ahualulco De Mercado	2000	89.7%	99.8%	43.2%
Mexico	Ahualulco De Mercado	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.7%
Mexico	Ahuatlan	2000	88.2%	99.8%	49.9%
Mexico	Ahuatlan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.3%
Mexico	Ahuazotepic	2000	87.1%	99.9%	39.6%
Mexico	Ahuazotepic	2017	98.1%	100.0%	82.8%
Mexico	Ahuehuetitla	2000	87.1%	99.7%	53.3%
Mexico	Ahuehuetitla	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	Ahumada	2000	91.1%	98.7%	66.4%
Mexico	Ahumada	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.2%
Mexico	Ajacuba	2000	95.0%	99.8%	78.3%
Mexico	Ajacuba	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Ajalpan	2000	87.6%	95.3%	74.7%
Mexico	Ajalpan	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.2%
Mexico	Ajuchitlan Del Progreso	2000	86.4%	96.4%	62.2%
Mexico	Ajuchitlan Del Progreso	2017	98.0%	99.7%	90.2%
Mexico	Akil	2000	97.4%	99.5%	91.4%
Mexico	Akil	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Alamos	2000	88.5%	94.2%	81.4%
Mexico	Alamos	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.2%
Mexico	Alaquines	2000	81.2%	97.2%	54.9%
Mexico	Alaquines	2017	96.8%	99.8%	89.1%
Mexico	Albino Zertuche	2000	93.7%	100.0%	67.1%
Mexico	Albino Zertuche	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.5%
Mexico	Alcozauca De Guerrero	2000	81.9%	93.8%	63.6%
Mexico	Alcozauca De Guerrero	2017	97.8%	99.7%	90.5%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	92.9%	98.2%	80.5%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	87.9%	99.9%	49.2%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	93.2%	97.5%	86.0%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	97.8%	100.0%	84.0%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.9%
Mexico	Alfajayucan	2000	89.5%	99.4%	60.6%
Mexico	Alfajayucan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Aljojuca	2000	90.5%	99.9%	56.0%
Mexico	Aljojuca	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Allende	2000	91.2%	99.0%	67.7%
Mexico	Allende	2000	96.9%	99.7%	89.6%
Mexico	Allende	2000	98.0%	99.5%	94.7%
Mexico	Allende	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Allende	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Allende	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Almoleya	2000	88.2%	99.6%	51.4%
Mexico	Almoleya	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Almoleya De Alquisiras	2000	81.6%	90.7%	66.2%
Mexico	Almoleya De Alquisiras	2017	98.5%	99.5%	95.1%
Mexico	Almoleya De Juarez	2000	87.8%	94.9%	76.8%
Mexico	Almoleya De Juarez	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.6%
Mexico	Almoleya Del Rio	2000	88.9%	98.2%	72.3%
Mexico	Almoleya Del Rio	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Alpatlahuac	2000	94.4%	100.0%	72.2%
Mexico	Alpatlahuac	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Alpoyeca	2000	88.6%	99.7%	53.7%
Mexico	Alpoyeca	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.5%
Mexico	Altamira	2000	97.2%	99.0%	94.5%
Mexico	Altamira	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Altamirano	2000	90.8%	97.9%	78.0%
Mexico	Altamirano	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.7%
Mexico	Altar	2000	95.6%	99.7%	78.0%
Mexico	Altar	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Altepexi	2000	90.7%	96.2%	82.1%
Mexico	Altepexi	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.5%
Mexico	Alto Lucero De Gutierrez Barrios	2000	89.3%	98.5%	67.2%
Mexico	Alto Lucero De Gutierrez Barrios	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	Altotonga	2000	79.6%	91.4%	62.3%
Mexico	Altotonga	2017	96.6%	99.5%	90.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Altzayanca	2000	92.7%	99.6%	72.6%
Mexico	Altzayanca	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Alvarado	2000	88.0%	97.6%	65.4%
Mexico	Alvarado	2017	98.2%	99.9%	90.2%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2000	96.9%	98.3%	95.3%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2000	85.0%	99.3%	55.6%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2017	97.7%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Amacueca	2000	89.1%	99.8%	54.5%
Mexico	Amacueca	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.0%
Mexico	Amacuzac	2000	89.9%	95.9%	82.0%
Mexico	Amacuzac	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.3%
Mexico	Amanalco	2000	80.8%	99.0%	45.0%
Mexico	Amanalco	2017	96.7%	100.0%	81.8%
Mexico	Amatan	2000	84.6%	98.7%	52.2%
Mexico	Amatan	2017	97.6%	100.0%	87.1%
Mexico	Amatenango De La Frontera	2000	83.8%	98.1%	57.9%
Mexico	Amatenango De La Frontera	2017	97.9%	99.9%	88.9%
Mexico	Amatenango Del Valle	2000	87.4%	99.5%	55.5%
Mexico	Amatenango Del Valle	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.4%
Mexico	Amatepec	2000	83.1%	98.9%	56.9%
Mexico	Amatepec	2017	97.6%	99.9%	88.6%
Mexico	Amatitan	2000	91.1%	99.9%	51.6%
Mexico	Amatitan	2017	98.9%	100.0%	90.5%
Mexico	Amatitlan	2000	86.6%	99.6%	50.1%
Mexico	Amatitlan	2017	98.0%	100.0%	85.3%
Mexico	Amatlan De Canas	2000	91.1%	98.8%	75.7%
Mexico	Amatlan De Canas	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	Amatlan De Los Reyes	2000	88.8%	94.6%	81.4%
Mexico	Amatlan De Los Reyes	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Mexico	Amaxac De Guerrero	2000	97.5%	99.1%	95.4%
Mexico	Amaxac De Guerrero	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Amealco De Bonfil	2000	86.7%	96.1%	72.5%
Mexico	Amealco De Bonfil	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.9%
Mexico	Ameca	2000	93.4%	99.5%	73.3%
Mexico	Ameca	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.9%
Mexico	Amecameca	2000	92.9%	99.9%	61.3%
Mexico	Amecameca	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.0%
Mexico	Amixtlan	2000	72.2%	86.6%	52.8%
Mexico	Amixtlan	2017	97.5%	99.3%	93.0%
Mexico	Amozoc	2000	98.3%	99.7%	94.6%
Mexico	Amozoc	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Anahuac	2000	98.8%	99.8%	93.9%
Mexico	Anahuac	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Angamacutiro	2000	88.7%	99.0%	58.5%
Mexico	Angamacutiro	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Angangueo	2000	89.2%	99.9%	56.8%
Mexico	Angangueo	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Angel Albino Corzo	2000	77.2%	96.5%	43.7%
Mexico	Angel Albino Corzo	2017	96.5%	99.8%	84.8%
Mexico	Angel R. Cabada	2000	82.1%	92.4%	66.6%
Mexico	Angel R. Cabada	2017	98.3%	99.7%	94.5%
Mexico	Angostura	2000	93.7%	98.8%	82.7%
Mexico	Angostura	2017	99.5%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Animas Trujano	2000	91.2%	96.9%	80.7%
Mexico	Animas Trujano	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Antiguo Morelos	2000	94.1%	99.5%	81.1%
Mexico	Antiguo Morelos	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Apan	2000	89.6%	99.2%	51.7%
Mexico	Apan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.9%
Mexico	Apaseo El Alto	2000	91.2%	98.1%	75.4%
Mexico	Apaseo El Alto	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Apaseo El Grande	2000	90.2%	98.6%	74.1%
Mexico	Apaseo El Grande	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Apatzingan	2000	87.6%	91.6%	81.5%
Mexico	Apatzingan	2017	99.4%	99.6%	98.8%
Mexico	Apaxco	2000	97.2%	99.9%	83.2%
Mexico	Apaxco	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Apaxtla	2000	89.0%	98.8%	61.6%
Mexico	Apaxtla	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Apazapan	2000	86.2%	99.7%	54.2%
Mexico	Apazapan	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.7%
Mexico	Apetatitlan De Antonio Carvajal	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Mexico	Apetatitlan De Antonio Carvajal	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Apizaco	2000	99.0%	99.6%	98.0%
Mexico	Apizaco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Apodaca	2000	98.8%	99.7%	96.2%
Mexico	Apodaca	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Aporo	2000	86.6%	99.9%	36.8%
Mexico	Aporo	2017	98.0%	100.0%	80.3%
Mexico	Apozol	2000	98.5%	99.9%	93.7%
Mexico	Apozol	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Apulco	2000	89.1%	99.4%	61.3%
Mexico	Apulco	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Aquila	2000	89.8%	96.7%	78.8%
Mexico	Aquila	2000	90.0%	100.0%	55.0%
Mexico	Aquila	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Aquila	2017	98.9%	99.9%	96.2%
Mexico	Aquiles Serdan	2000	91.4%	98.7%	72.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Aquiles Serdan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.0%
Mexico	Aquismon	2000	81.3%	91.8%	67.8%
Mexico	Aquismon	2017	97.4%	99.4%	92.3%
Mexico	Aquixtla	2000	79.1%	99.3%	41.2%
Mexico	Aquixtla	2017	95.8%	100.0%	77.2%
Mexico	Aramberri	2000	89.2%	95.4%	79.2%
Mexico	Aramberri	2017	98.8%	99.7%	96.6%
Mexico	Arandas	2000	89.1%	99.4%	54.3%
Mexico	Arandas	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.7%
Mexico	Arcelia	2000	87.2%	98.4%	64.3%
Mexico	Arcelia	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.2%
Mexico	Ario	2000	89.1%	98.0%	66.5%
Mexico	Ario	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.2%
Mexico	Arivechi	2000	89.3%	99.6%	59.7%
Mexico	Arivechi	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.2%
Mexico	Arizpe	2000	91.3%	99.3%	71.0%
Mexico	Arizpe	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Armadillo De Los Infante	2000	88.0%	98.5%	69.4%
Mexico	Armadillo De Los Infante	2017	98.4%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Armeria	2000	98.0%	99.8%	92.0%
Mexico	Armeria	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Arriaga	2000	88.1%	98.0%	71.8%
Mexico	Arriaga	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.2%
Mexico	Arroyo Seco	2000	88.1%	96.9%	69.4%
Mexico	Arroyo Seco	2017	98.5%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Arteaga	2000	95.0%	98.8%	87.6%
Mexico	Arteaga	2000	89.9%	97.7%	68.6%
Mexico	Arteaga	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Arteaga	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.9%
Mexico	Ascension	2000	95.8%	98.8%	90.4%
Mexico	Ascension	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Asientos	2000	94.8%	99.3%	83.7%
Mexico	Asientos	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Astacinga	2000	86.5%	99.8%	40.9%
Mexico	Astacinga	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.2%
Mexico	Asuncion	2000	79.9%	99.6%	36.8%
Mexico	Cacalotepec	2017	96.4%	100.0%	78.4%
Mexico	Asuncion Cacalotepec	2000	95.1%	100.0%	72.6%
Mexico	Asuncion Cuyotepeji	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Asuncion Cuyotepeji	2000	84.5%	96.2%	70.1%
Mexico	Asuncion Ixtaltepec	2017	97.8%	99.8%	90.6%
Mexico	Asuncion Ixtaltepec	2000	85.0%	95.6%	63.7%
Mexico	Asuncion Nochixtlan	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.8%
Mexico	Asuncion Nochixtlan	2000	48.8%	67.4%	35.5%
Mexico	Asuncion Ocotlan	2017	86.1%	97.3%	71.7%
Mexico	Asuncion Tlacolulita	2000	89.9%	99.7%	61.5%
Mexico	Asuncion Tlacolulita	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Atarjea	2000	83.6%	94.2%	66.6%
Mexico	Atarjea	2017	98.1%	99.8%	93.0%
Mexico	Atemajac De Brizuela	2000	93.3%	99.9%	58.8%
Mexico	Atemajac De Brizuela	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.0%
Mexico	Atempan	2000	84.7%	90.6%	77.2%
Mexico	Atempan	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.3%
Mexico	Atenango Del Rio	2000	84.2%	97.2%	62.5%
Mexico	Atenango Del Rio	2017	98.5%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Atenco	2000	98.2%	99.2%	96.3%
Mexico	Atenco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Atengo	2000	92.5%	99.8%	68.7%
Mexico	Atengo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Atenguillo	2000	90.5%	99.7%	63.3%
Mexico	Atenguillo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	Atexcal	2000	90.2%	99.9%	57.2%
Mexico	Atexcal	2017	98.6%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Atil	2000	89.6%	100.0%	49.4%
Mexico	Atil	2017	98.9%	100.0%	89.7%
Mexico	Atitalaquia	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.5%
Mexico	Atitalaquia	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Atizapan	2000	80.7%	97.8%	50.6%
Mexico	Atizapan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.4%
Mexico	Atizapan De Zaragoza	2000	92.5%	96.2%	85.0%
Mexico	Atizapan De Zaragoza	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Atlacomulco	2000	91.6%	98.4%	79.0%
Mexico	Atlacomulco	2017	99.3%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Atlahuilco	2000	75.3%	92.9%	44.9%
Mexico	Atlahuilco	2017	96.6%	99.6%	85.2%
Mexico	Atlamajalcingo Del Monte	2000	81.7%	99.3%	40.2%
Mexico	Atlamajalcingo Del Monte	2017	97.1%	100.0%	84.6%
Mexico	Atlangatepec	2000	94.2%	99.9%	70.6%
Mexico	Atlangatepec	2017	99.2%	100.0%	90.7%
Mexico	Atlapexco	2000	89.1%	96.9%	72.3%
Mexico	Atlapexco	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.0%
Mexico	Atlatlahucan	2000	95.6%	100.0%	78.9%
Mexico	Atlatlahucan	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Atlautla	2000	89.8%	99.9%	55.7%
Mexico	Atlautla	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.1%
Mexico	Atlequizayan	2000	65.9%	74.4%	56.0%
Mexico	Atlequizayan	2017	96.9%	98.1%	95.0%
Mexico	Atlixco	2000	89.7%	95.0%	80.1%
Mexico	Atlixco	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.2%
Mexico	Atlixnac	2000	82.6%	92.1%	68.3%
Mexico	Atlixnac	2017	97.0%	99.3%	91.9%
Mexico	Atolinga	2000	90.6%	99.6%	60.6%
Mexico	Atolinga	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	Atotonilco De Tula	2000	93.7%	96.7%	88.3%
Mexico	Atotonilco De Tula	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Alto	2000	90.4%	99.7%	62.2%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Alto	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Atotonilco El Grande	2000	87.6%	99.4%	57.6%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Grande	2017	97.9%	100.0%	86.0%
Mexico	Atoyac	2000	95.3%	99.6%	87.0%
Mexico	Atoyac	2000	89.8%	99.3%	63.8%
Mexico	Atoyac	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Atoyac	2017	99.0%	100.0%	95.3%
Mexico	Atoyac De Alvarez	2000	89.3%	97.4%	78.1%
Mexico	Atoyac De Alvarez	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	Atoyatempan	2000	85.5%	99.8%	41.1%
Mexico	Atoyatempan	2017	98.1%	100.0%	84.6%
Mexico	Atzacan	2000	80.4%	90.3%	68.5%
Mexico	Atzacan	2017	96.6%	99.0%	92.0%
Mexico	Atzala	2000	81.6%	87.3%	75.7%
Mexico	Atzala	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.8%
Mexico	Atzalan	2000	84.8%	96.6%	61.9%
Mexico	Atzalan	2017	97.6%	99.9%	87.9%
Mexico	Atzitzihuacan	2000	90.0%	99.3%	67.3%
Mexico	Atzitzihuacan	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Atzitzintla	2000	80.9%	99.2%	46.9%
Mexico	Atzitzintla	2017	97.3%	100.0%	83.3%
Mexico	Autlan De Navarro	2000	96.3%	98.7%	92.1%
Mexico	Autlan De Navarro	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Axapusco	2000	93.5%	99.4%	80.4%
Mexico	Axapusco	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Axochiapan	2000	88.4%	99.8%	52.9%
Mexico	Axochiapan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	Axtla De Terrazas	2000	62.5%	71.6%	53.2%
Mexico	Axtla De Terrazas	2017	89.7%	93.7%	84.5%
Mexico	Axutla	2000	87.1%	99.8%	43.6%
Mexico	Axutla	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.1%
Mexico	Ayahualulco	2000	58.5%	88.1%	29.1%
Mexico	Ayahualulco	2017	86.4%	99.3%	60.1%
Mexico	Ayala	2000	96.0%	99.5%	87.6%
Mexico	Ayala	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Ayapango	2000	94.4%	100.0%	68.3%
Mexico	Ayapango	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.9%
Mexico	Ayoquezco De Aldama	2000	89.8%	99.6%	62.3%
Mexico	Ayoquezco De Aldama	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Ayotlan	2000	93.3%	98.3%	83.3%
Mexico	Ayotlan	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.3%
Mexico	Ayotoxco De Guerrero	2000	89.9%	99.5%	56.2%
Mexico	Ayotoxco De Guerrero	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Ayotzintepc	2000	78.0%	98.3%	39.8%
Mexico	Ayotzintepc	2017	96.0%	100.0%	76.0%
Mexico	Ayutla	2000	90.8%	99.4%	62.2%
Mexico	Ayutla	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Ayutla De Los Libres	2000	83.5%	95.0%	61.7%
Mexico	Ayutla De Los Libres	2017	97.9%	99.7%	92.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Azcapotzalco	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	Azcapotzalco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Azoyu	2000	84.0%	97.6%	50.7%
Mexico	Azoyu	2017	97.8%	99.9%	88.8%
Mexico	Baca	2000	94.8%	100.0%	73.5%
Mexico	Baca	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Bacadehuachi	2000	90.9%	99.8%	50.0%
Mexico	Bacadehuachi	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.9%
Mexico	Bacanora	2000	90.5%	99.6%	57.3%
Mexico	Bacanora	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Bacerac	2000	89.3%	99.2%	52.7%
Mexico	Bacerac	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.5%
Mexico	Bachiniva	2000	90.6%	98.8%	72.4%
Mexico	Bachiniva	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Bacoachi	2000	91.3%	99.9%	52.6%
Mexico	Bacoachi	2017	98.5%	100.0%	81.9%
Mexico	Bacum	2000	97.9%	99.8%	91.2%
Mexico	Bacum	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Badiraguato	2000	75.9%	82.9%	66.6%
Mexico	Badiraguato	2017	94.7%	97.3%	90.2%
Mexico	Bahía De Banderas	2000	85.1%	94.9%	69.6%
Mexico	Bahía De Banderas	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.5%
Mexico	Balancan	2000	82.2%	90.5%	71.8%
Mexico	Balancan	2017	96.6%	99.1%	90.4%
Mexico	Balleza	2000	88.6%	95.0%	77.9%
Mexico	Balleza	2017	98.8%	99.7%	96.6%
Mexico	Banamichi	2000	98.1%	99.9%	88.7%
Mexico	Banamichi	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Banderilla	2000	93.5%	96.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Banderilla	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Mexico	Batopilas	2000	86.2%	95.3%	71.6%
Mexico	Batopilas	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.9%
Mexico	Baviacora	2000	97.2%	99.9%	89.5%
Mexico	Baviacora	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Bavispe	2000	89.8%	99.7%	55.3%
Mexico	Bavispe	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.1%
Mexico	Bejucal De Ocampo	2000	82.8%	99.4%	48.7%
Mexico	Bejucal De Ocampo	2017	97.4%	100.0%	85.4%
Mexico	Bella Vista	2000	83.5%	98.0%	59.4%
Mexico	Bella Vista	2017	98.3%	99.9%	91.5%
Mexico	Benemerito De Las Americas	2000	84.6%	96.4%	56.1%
Mexico	Benemerito De Las Americas	2017	98.0%	99.8%	91.3%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	97.5%	99.9%	89.4%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	95.9%	99.7%	85.7%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	91.4%	97.5%	82.7%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	95.9%	99.9%	76.6%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	88.6%	99.2%	63.7%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	92.5%	97.2%	85.2%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.6%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	Benjamin Hill	2000	96.5%	99.8%	83.6%
Mexico	Benjamin Hill	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.5%
Mexico	Berriozabal	2000	84.3%	95.3%	67.6%
Mexico	Berriozabal	2017	98.2%	99.8%	94.7%
Mexico	Boca Del Rio	2000	96.7%	98.5%	94.0%
Mexico	Boca Del Rio	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Mexico	Bochil	2000	90.0%	95.3%	81.0%
Mexico	Bochil	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.5%
Mexico	Bocoyna	2000	70.1%	77.7%	60.9%
Mexico	Bocoyna	2017	96.3%	97.8%	94.1%
Mexico	Bokoba	2000	97.2%	99.9%	86.2%
Mexico	Bokoba	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Bolanos	2000	84.3%	97.8%	57.9%
Mexico	Bolanos	2017	97.8%	99.9%	90.1%
Mexico	Brisenas	2000	98.9%	99.9%	93.5%
Mexico	Brisenas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Buctzotz	2000	91.1%	99.8%	64.9%
Mexico	Buctzotz	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.9%
Mexico	Buenaventura	2000	96.4%	99.0%	91.1%
Mexico	Buenaventura	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Buenavista	2000	90.1%	98.7%	74.1%
Mexico	Buenavista	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Buenavista De Cuellar	2000	77.6%	97.5%	37.9%
Mexico	Buenavista De Cuellar	2017	97.3%	99.8%	85.7%
Mexico	Burgos	2000	89.7%	98.0%	74.6%
Mexico	Burgos	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.6%
Mexico	Bustamante	2000	98.2%	99.9%	86.5%
Mexico	Bustamante	2000	92.3%	98.0%	81.5%
Mexico	Bustamante	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Bustamante	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.0%
Mexico	Cabo Corrientes	2000	89.7%	98.6%	68.8%
Mexico	Cabo Corrientes	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.4%
Mexico	Caborca	2000	97.0%	98.9%	93.9%
Mexico	Caborca	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Cacahoatan	2000	83.1%	94.5%	66.2%
Mexico	Cacahoatan	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.3%
Mexico	Cacalchen	2000	95.4%	100.0%	66.3%
Mexico	Cacalchen	2017	99.6%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Cadereyta De Montes	2000	92.4%	98.1%	77.9%
Mexico	Cadereyta De Montes	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.5%
Mexico	Cadereyta Jimenez	2000	94.1%	97.9%	88.5%
Mexico	Cadereyta Jimenez	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.1%
Mexico	Cajeme	2000	99.1%	99.6%	98.1%
Mexico	Cajeme	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Calakmul	2000	86.1%	90.9%	78.8%
Mexico	Calakmul	2017	98.7%	99.4%	97.5%
Mexico	Calchualco	2000	90.6%	99.1%	65.2%
Mexico	Calchualco	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	Calera	2000	96.6%	98.9%	91.2%
Mexico	Calera	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Calihuala	2000	88.7%	99.9%	49.7%
Mexico	Calihuala	2017	98.6%	100.0%	88.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Calimaya	2000	93.6%	99.8%	69.6%
Mexico	Calimaya	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.0%
Mexico	Calkini	2000	94.4%	97.8%	88.4%
Mexico	Calkini	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.9%
Mexico	Calnali	2000	85.5%	99.1%	55.5%
Mexico	Calnali	2017	97.9%	100.0%	89.4%
Mexico	Calotmul	2000	91.4%	99.7%	61.4%
Mexico	Calotmul	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Calpan	2000	97.6%	99.5%	92.6%
Mexico	Calpan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Calpulalpan	2000	97.8%	99.6%	94.6%
Mexico	Calpulalpan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Caltepec	2000	88.7%	99.2%	59.5%
Mexico	Caltepec	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Calvillo	2000	94.7%	99.1%	83.0%
Mexico	Calvillo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Camargo	2000	91.6%	99.6%	62.4%
Mexico	Camargo	2000	94.5%	98.4%	82.9%
Mexico	Camargo	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	Camargo	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Mexico	Camaron De Tejada	2000	87.9%	99.6%	59.6%
Mexico	Camaron De Tejada	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.5%
Mexico	Camerino Z. Mendoza	2000	50.9%	60.6%	42.1%
Mexico	Camerino Z. Mendoza	2017	93.2%	96.7%	87.8%
Mexico	Camocuautila	2000	93.9%	98.7%	85.3%
Mexico	Camocuautila	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Campeche	2000	85.7%	91.8%	78.3%
Mexico	Campeche	2017	96.7%	98.8%	93.4%
Mexico	Canada Morelos	2000	91.0%	99.7%	64.6%
Mexico	Canada Morelos	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	Canadas De Obregon	2000	92.2%	99.9%	64.3%
Mexico	Canadas De Obregon	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Cananea	2000	98.3%	99.8%	93.4%
Mexico	Cananea	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Canatlan	2000	92.5%	98.1%	82.2%
Mexico	Canatlan	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	Candela	2000	91.8%	99.5%	70.3%
Mexico	Candela	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	Candelaria	2000	78.5%	85.7%	71.3%
Mexico	Candelaria	2017	95.7%	98.2%	92.1%
Mexico	Candelaria	2000	81.9%	94.3%	46.3%
Mexico	Loxicha	2017	98.2%	99.6%	91.5%
Mexico	Canelas	2000	87.8%	97.1%	71.3%
Mexico	Canelas	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.7%
Mexico	Canitas De Felipe Pescador	2000	96.1%	99.5%	87.3%
Mexico	Canitas De Felipe Pescador	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Cansahcab	2000	98.3%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Cansahcab	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Cantamayec	2000	89.2%	99.8%	57.6%
Mexico	Cantamayec	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Capulalpam De Mendez	2000	87.0%	98.8%	45.1%
Mexico	Capulalpam De Mendez	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.5%
Mexico	Capulhuac	2000	75.0%	96.2%	41.9%
Mexico	Capulhuac	2017	97.4%	99.9%	88.8%
Mexico	Caracuaro	2000	90.1%	98.6%	71.4%
Mexico	Caracuaro	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.6%
Mexico	Carbo	2000	96.1%	99.8%	81.4%
Mexico	Carbo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Cardenas	2000	84.1%	90.2%	76.5%
Mexico	Cardenas	2000	83.9%	92.6%	70.2%
Mexico	Cardenas	2017	97.6%	99.4%	93.5%
Mexico	Cardenas	2017	97.2%	99.3%	93.8%
Mexico	Cardonal	2000	86.9%	99.3%	58.0%
Mexico	Cardonal	2017	98.1%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	Carichi	2000	86.8%	93.7%	77.1%
Mexico	Carichi	2017	98.7%	99.6%	96.5%
Mexico	Carlos A. Carrillo	2000	85.3%	97.7%	57.3%
Mexico	Carlos A. Carrillo	2017	98.5%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Carmen	2000	98.8%	99.8%	95.8%
Mexico	Carmen	2000	91.4%	95.2%	85.6%
Mexico	Carmen	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Carmen	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.3%
Mexico	Carrillo Puerto	2000	88.7%	99.7%	59.6%
Mexico	Carrillo Puerto	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2000	90.3%	97.7%	78.0%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2017	98.9%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2000	92.0%	97.5%	80.4%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Casimiro Castillo	2000	90.7%	99.7%	62.3%
Mexico	Casimiro Castillo	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	Castanos	2000	92.0%	99.6%	62.8%
Mexico	Castanos	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Castillo De Teayo	2000	96.2%	100.0%	81.8%
Mexico	Castillo De Teayo	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Catazaja	2000	84.4%	97.2%	61.3%
Mexico	Catazaja	2017	97.7%	99.9%	89.7%
Mexico	Catemaco	2000	54.8%	66.8%	39.9%
Mexico	Catemaco	2017	95.1%	98.0%	89.4%
Mexico	Catorce	2000	87.6%	98.3%	64.0%
Mexico	Catorce	2017	98.3%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Caxhuacan	2000	59.8%	64.8%	54.4%
Mexico	Caxhuacan	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Mexico	Cazones	2000	92.9%	99.7%	77.7%
Mexico	Cazones	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Cedral	2000	97.1%	99.5%	92.1%
Mexico	Cedral	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Celaya	2000	94.4%	98.2%	88.5%
Mexico	Celaya	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Mexico	Celestun	2000	89.4%	100.0%	42.1%
Mexico	Celestun	2017	98.3%	100.0%	87.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cenotillo	2000	89.3%	99.8%	51.3%
Mexico	Cenotillo	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Centla	2000	85.5%	93.1%	71.5%
Mexico	Centla	2017	98.3%	99.6%	95.8%
Mexico	Centro	2000	92.5%	95.9%	87.6%
Mexico	Centro	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.4%
Mexico	Cerralvo	2000	98.4%	99.6%	95.2%
Mexico	Cerralvo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Cerritos	2000	89.1%	97.7%	67.2%
Mexico	Cerritos	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Cerro Azul	2000	98.8%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Cerro Azul	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Cerro De San Pedro	2000	53.6%	66.4%	44.0%
Mexico	Cerro De San Pedro	2017	90.2%	97.1%	81.1%
Mexico	Chacaltianguis	2000	77.0%	92.1%	57.4%
Mexico	Chacaltianguis	2017	94.7%	99.1%	84.4%
Mexico	Chacsinkin	2000	94.9%	99.9%	76.7%
Mexico	Chacsinkin	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Chahuites	2000	89.8%	99.9%	42.9%
Mexico	Chahuites	2017	98.9%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	Chalcatongo	2000	79.0%	94.1%	57.8%
Mexico	Chalcatongo De Hidalgo	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	Chalchicomula De Sesma	2000	89.8%	98.6%	67.2%
Mexico	Chalchicomula De Sesma	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Chalchihuitan	2000	84.1%	94.5%	68.8%
Mexico	Chalchihuitan	2017	98.2%	99.8%	93.5%
Mexico	Chalchihuites	2000	88.9%	99.1%	57.1%
Mexico	Chalchihuites	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Chalco	2000	98.1%	99.5%	95.0%
Mexico	Chalco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Chalma	2000	76.6%	94.3%	47.1%
Mexico	Chalma	2017	95.9%	99.5%	84.3%
Mexico	Champoton	2000	84.9%	95.5%	67.0%
Mexico	Champoton	2017	97.4%	99.7%	88.0%
Mexico	Chamula	2000	87.4%	94.8%	72.9%
Mexico	Chamula	2017	99.2%	99.8%	96.9%
Mexico	Chanal	2000	87.7%	99.6%	52.9%
Mexico	Chanal	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Chankom	2000	92.1%	99.2%	75.3%
Mexico	Chankom	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Chapa De Mota	2000	91.3%	99.6%	60.1%
Mexico	Chapa De Mota	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Chapab	2000	89.8%	99.9%	61.2%
Mexico	Chapab	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	Chapala	2000	90.6%	99.3%	65.4%
Mexico	Chapala	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Chapantongo	2000	87.0%	99.5%	56.5%
Mexico	Chapantongo	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Chapulco	2000	97.7%	99.9%	90.2%
Mexico	Chapulco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Chapulhuacan	2000	88.2%	95.3%	76.4%
Mexico	Chapulhuacan	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.1%
Mexico	Chapultenango	2000	77.1%	98.7%	35.5%
Mexico	Chapultenango	2017	95.6%	99.9%	78.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chapultepec	2000	98.4%	99.8%	94.0%
Mexico	Chapultepec	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Charapan	2000	90.5%	99.7%	67.2%
Mexico	Charapan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Charcas	2000	82.0%	91.2%	67.5%
Mexico	Charcas	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.3%
Mexico	Charo	2000	62.1%	89.8%	32.4%
Mexico	Charo	2017	85.4%	98.0%	64.4%
Mexico	Chavinda	2000	79.0%	99.3%	46.8%
Mexico	Chavinda	2017	96.0%	100.0%	79.6%
Mexico	Chemax	2000	96.1%	99.0%	91.2%
Mexico	Chemax	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Chenalho	2000	74.9%	97.6%	44.8%
Mexico	Chenalho	2017	95.3%	99.9%	80.6%
Mexico	Cheran	2000	86.5%	98.8%	49.9%
Mexico	Cheran	2017	98.3%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	Chiapa De Corzo	2000	63.7%	78.4%	48.7%
Mexico	Chiapa De Corzo	2017	94.9%	98.1%	87.5%
Mexico	Chiapilla	2000	90.3%	99.4%	63.4%
Mexico	Chiapilla	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Chiautempan	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.6%
Mexico	Chiautempan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Chiautla	2000	94.3%	99.0%	85.1%
Mexico	Chiautla	2000	95.6%	99.9%	73.8%
Mexico	Chiautla	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Chiautla	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Chiautzingo	2000	87.9%	92.4%	83.0%
Mexico	Chiautzingo	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Mexico	Chichimila	2000	86.8%	98.5%	53.1%
Mexico	Chichimila	2017	98.1%	99.9%	90.0%
Mexico	Chichiquila	2000	74.5%	85.9%	58.9%
Mexico	Chichiquila	2017	97.8%	99.3%	93.0%
Mexico	Chicoasen	2000	88.4%	99.9%	51.3%
Mexico	Chicoasen	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.7%
Mexico	Chicoloapan	2000	97.3%	98.4%	94.7%
Mexico	Chicoloapan	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Chicomuselo	2000	87.0%	97.9%	68.6%
Mexico	Chicomuselo	2017	98.3%	99.9%	92.4%
Mexico	Chiconamel	2000	50.8%	65.6%	36.2%
Mexico	Chiconamel	2017	90.2%	95.6%	81.0%
Mexico	Chiconcuac	2000	95.6%	99.9%	73.4%
Mexico	Chiconcuac	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Chiconcuautla	2000	94.4%	97.1%	90.4%
Mexico	Chiconcuautla	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	Chiconquiaco	2000	86.3%	99.5%	56.0%
Mexico	Chiconquiaco	2017	98.0%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	Chicontepec	2000	86.0%	94.5%	71.1%
Mexico	Chicontepec	2017	98.3%	99.6%	94.3%
Mexico	Chicxulub Pueblo	2000	92.3%	99.9%	55.7%
Mexico	Chicxulub Pueblo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Chietla	2000	77.0%	84.5%	64.9%
Mexico	Chietla	2017	98.5%	99.2%	96.3%
Mexico	Chigmecatitlan	2000	90.6%	99.9%	56.1%
Mexico	Chigmecatitlan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.0%
Mexico	Chignahuapan	2000	88.4%	98.4%	63.2%
Mexico	Chignahuapan	2017	98.0%	99.9%	90.0%
Mexico	Chignautla	2000	74.2%	88.1%	52.7%
Mexico	Chignautla	2017	99.0%	99.7%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chihuahua	2000	96.7%	97.8%	95.0%
Mexico	Chihuahua	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	Chikindzonot	2000	88.7%	99.6%	59.7%
Mexico	Chikindzonot	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Chila	2000	91.3%	99.9%	57.5%
Mexico	Chila	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Chila De La Sal	2000	98.0%	99.9%	90.1%
Mexico	Chila De La Sal	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Chilapa De Alvarez	2000	76.0%	84.1%	66.0%
Mexico	Chilapa De Alvarez	2017	97.8%	99.0%	95.3%
Mexico	Chilchota	2000	95.5%	98.8%	87.4%
Mexico	Chilchota	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Chilchotla	2000	81.5%	89.7%	68.8%
Mexico	Chilchotla	2017	96.9%	99.1%	92.6%
Mexico	Chilcuautla	2000	96.1%	99.9%	82.9%
Mexico	Chilcuautla	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Chilon	2000	80.8%	92.1%	63.2%
Mexico	Chilon	2017	96.7%	99.4%	89.8%
Mexico	Chilpancingo De Los Bravo	2000	76.6%	83.5%	69.9%
Mexico	Chilpancingo De Los Bravo	2017	97.4%	98.9%	94.5%
Mexico	Chimalhuacan	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.5%
Mexico	Chimalhuacan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Chimaltitan	2000	91.2%	99.4%	73.9%
Mexico	Chimaltitan	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.9%
Mexico	China	2000	95.1%	99.3%	66.1%
Mexico	China	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.2%
Mexico	Chinameca	2000	88.5%	98.3%	72.2%
Mexico	Chinameca	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Chinampa De Gorostiza	2000	84.3%	96.1%	66.0%
Mexico	Chinampa De Gorostiza	2017	98.8%	99.9%	96.4%
Mexico	Chinantla	2000	89.3%	99.7%	62.6%
Mexico	Chinantla	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Chinicuila	2000	87.8%	97.8%	67.3%
Mexico	Chinicuila	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.4%
Mexico	Chinipas	2000	87.1%	95.2%	68.9%
Mexico	Chinipas	2017	98.3%	99.7%	92.2%
Mexico	Chiquihuitlan De Benito Juarez	2000	68.7%	95.3%	27.5%
Mexico	Chiquihuitlan De Benito Juarez	2017	95.4%	99.8%	77.7%
Mexico	Chiquilistlan	2000	96.4%	99.5%	88.3%
Mexico	Chiquilistlan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Chocaman	2000	85.2%	97.0%	71.1%
Mexico	Chocaman	2017	94.9%	99.4%	84.9%
Mexico	Chochola	2000	92.5%	99.9%	61.4%
Mexico	Chochola	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	Choix	2000	94.0%	98.0%	88.4%
Mexico	Choix	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.0%
Mexico	Chontla	2000	93.8%	99.5%	77.5%
Mexico	Chontla	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.3%
Mexico	Chucandiro	2000	91.9%	99.9%	60.7%
Mexico	Chucandiro	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chumatlan	2000	54.9%	82.1%	21.7%
Mexico	Chumatlan	2017	86.7%	98.5%	60.9%
Mexico	Chumayel	2000	95.2%	99.9%	69.7%
Mexico	Chumayel	2017	99.6%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Churintzio	2000	91.2%	99.8%	58.4%
Mexico	Churintzio	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Churumuco	2000	89.5%	98.4%	66.4%
Mexico	Churumuco	2017	98.7%	99.9%	91.2%
Mexico	Cienega De Flores	2000	93.1%	100.0%	58.7%
Mexico	Cienega De Flores	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Cienega De Zimatlan	2000	78.4%	99.9%	28.6%
Mexico	Cienega De Zimatlan	2017	96.3%	100.0%	71.6%
Mexico	Cihuatlan	2000	92.3%	99.7%	70.1%
Mexico	Cihuatlan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.0%
Mexico	Cintalapa	2000	85.7%	95.6%	68.8%
Mexico	Cintalapa	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.5%
Mexico	Citlaltepetl	2000	98.2%	99.9%	91.3%
Mexico	Citlaltepetl	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Ciudad Del Maiz	2000	88.3%	94.1%	81.4%
Mexico	Ciudad Del Maiz	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.6%
Mexico	Ciudad Fernandez	2000	91.0%	95.4%	85.7%
Mexico	Ciudad Fernandez	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Mexico	Ciudad Ixteppec	2000	86.5%	99.8%	39.8%
Mexico	Ciudad Ixteppec	2017	97.8%	100.0%	79.4%
Mexico	Ciudad Madero	2000	97.9%	99.0%	96.2%
Mexico	Ciudad Madero	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Ciudad Valles	2000	91.2%	95.7%	84.6%
Mexico	Ciudad Valles	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.4%
Mexico	Coacalco De Berriozabal	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Coacalco De Berriozabal	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Coacoatzintla	2000	97.5%	99.8%	91.2%
Mexico	Coacoatzintla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Coahuayana	2000	90.0%	99.8%	56.2%
Mexico	Coahuayana	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Coahuayutla	2000	89.1%	96.5%	74.3%
Mexico	De Jose Maria Izazaga	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.8%
Mexico	Coahuayutla De Jose Maria Izazaga	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.8%
Mexico	Coahuatlan	2000	82.7%	99.3%	36.3%
Mexico	Coahuatlan	2017	96.7%	100.0%	74.1%
Mexico	Coalcoman De Vazquez Palares	2000	88.8%	98.5%	62.9%
Mexico	Coalcoman De Vazquez Palares	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Coapilla	2000	84.9%	98.8%	53.6%
Mexico	Coapilla	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.7%
Mexico	Coatecas Altas	2000	83.8%	99.5%	45.7%
Mexico	Coatecas Altas	2017	98.1%	100.0%	89.4%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	73.2%	79.3%	65.6%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	89.7%	98.7%	70.5%
Mexico	Coatepec	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Coatepec	2017	85.9%	92.2%	79.1%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	88.8%	99.5%	56.8%
Mexico	Coatepec Harinas	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Coatlan Del Rio	2000	92.0%	98.8%	81.8%
Mexico	Coatlan Del Rio	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Coatzacoalcos	2000	87.5%	95.5%	73.5%
Mexico	Coatzacoalcos	2017	99.2%	99.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Coatzingo	2000	87.8%	99.9%	34.1%
Mexico	Coatzingo	2017	97.7%	100.0%	78.9%
Mexico	Coatzintla	2000	88.2%	94.2%	78.4%
Mexico	Coatzintla	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.4%
Mexico	Cochoapa El Grande	2000	86.9%	98.3%	63.5%
Mexico	Cochoapa El Grande	2017	98.0%	99.9%	89.1%
Mexico	Cocotitlan	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.5%
Mexico	Cocotitlan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Cocula	2000	94.5%	98.9%	81.3%
Mexico	Cocula	2000	93.0%	99.7%	66.1%
Mexico	Cocula	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Cocula	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Coeneo	2000	79.7%	95.3%	51.3%
Mexico	Coeneo	2017	95.9%	99.7%	86.2%
Mexico	Coetzala	2000	53.2%	88.0%	22.3%
Mexico	Coetzala	2017	92.3%	99.5%	76.5%
Mexico	Cohetzala	2000	88.1%	99.8%	56.8%
Mexico	Cohetzala	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Cohuecan	2000	91.8%	98.3%	79.7%
Mexico	Cohuecan	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	Coicoyan De Las Flores	2000	86.3%	99.3%	55.3%
Mexico	Coicoyan De Las Flores	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Cojumatlan De Regules	2000	90.4%	99.8%	49.0%
Mexico	Cojumatlan De Regules	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	Colima	2000	98.3%	99.1%	96.5%
Mexico	Colima	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Colipa	2000	87.3%	96.9%	68.7%
Mexico	Colipa	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.9%
Mexico	Colon	2000	94.1%	99.0%	82.2%
Mexico	Colon	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Colotlan	2000	92.2%	99.7%	58.7%
Mexico	Colotlan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Comala	2000	98.0%	99.4%	94.1%
Mexico	Comala	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Comalcalco	2000	89.6%	95.3%	82.5%
Mexico	Comalcalco	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Comapa	2000	88.9%	97.7%	71.0%
Mexico	Comapa	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.4%
Mexico	Comitan De Dominguez	2000	94.0%	97.5%	87.6%
Mexico	Comitan De Dominguez	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	Comondu	2000	96.9%	98.5%	93.9%
Mexico	Comondu	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Comonfort	2000	85.6%	93.7%	75.1%
Mexico	Comonfort	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.7%
Mexico	Compostela	2000	88.5%	98.1%	68.2%
Mexico	Compostela	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.4%
Mexico	Concepcion Buenavista	2000	87.8%	99.6%	51.3%
Mexico	Concepcion Buenavista	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Concepcion De Buenos Aires	2000	90.7%	99.9%	54.6%
Mexico	Concepcion De Buenos Aires	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Concepcion Del Oro	2000	93.1%	98.4%	79.4%
Mexico	Concepcion Del Oro	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Concepcion Papalo	2000	92.5%	98.0%	79.8%
Mexico	Concepcion Papalo	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.4%
Mexico	Concordia	2000	94.5%	98.2%	88.1%
Mexico	Concordia	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Coneto De Comonfort	2000	90.6%	98.8%	73.1%
Mexico	Coneto De Comonfort	2017	99.1%	100.0%	96.2%
Mexico	Conkal	2000	95.2%	100.0%	71.9%
Mexico	Conkal	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.9%
Mexico	Constancia Del Rosario	2000	88.8%	98.6%	71.0%
Mexico	Constancia Del Rosario	2017	99.0%	100.0%	95.3%
Mexico	Contepec	2000	88.2%	97.8%	69.4%
Mexico	Contepec	2017	98.8%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	Contla De Juan Cua-matzi	2000	99.0%	99.5%	98.3%
Mexico	Contla De Juan Cua-matzi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Copainala	2000	94.8%	99.7%	84.2%
Mexico	Copainala	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Copala	2000	94.3%	99.4%	82.0%
Mexico	Copala	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Copalillo	2000	85.2%	97.5%	59.8%
Mexico	Copalillo	2017	98.2%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Copanatoyac	2000	31.6%	47.6%	16.4%
Mexico	Copanatoyac	2017	77.8%	89.0%	57.5%
Mexico	Copandaro	2000	91.3%	98.1%	73.5%
Mexico	Copandaro	2017	99.2%	99.9%	95.6%
Mexico	Coquimatlan	2000	98.0%	99.9%	91.8%
Mexico	Coquimatlan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cordoba	2000	95.3%	97.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Cordoba	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	Coronado	2000	90.7%	99.0%	66.9%
Mexico	Coronado	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Coronango	2000	89.1%	92.0%	85.7%
Mexico	Coronango	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Mexico	Coroneo	2000	89.4%	99.8%	58.6%
Mexico	Coroneo	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	Corregidora	2000	95.8%	98.7%	90.2%
Mexico	Corregidora	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Cortazar	2000	94.4%	98.8%	87.9%
Mexico	Cortazar	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Cosala	2000	92.9%	98.5%	82.4%
Mexico	Cosala	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.0%
Mexico	Cosamaloapan De Carpio	2000	82.3%	90.9%	70.6%
Mexico	Cosamaloapan De Carpio	2017	95.9%	99.2%	89.0%
Mexico	Cosautlan De Carvajal	2000	90.5%	99.9%	48.3%
Mexico	Cosautlan De Carvajal	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.1%
Mexico	Coscomatepec	2000	89.6%	97.5%	78.4%
Mexico	Coscomatepec	2017	96.6%	99.7%	88.7%
Mexico	Cosio	2000	95.0%	99.9%	77.0%
Mexico	Cosio	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.9%
Mexico	Cosolapa	2000	85.5%	99.7%	48.1%
Mexico	Cosolapa	2017	98.2%	100.0%	89.2%
Mexico	Cosoleacaque	2000	85.5%	91.9%	76.5%
Mexico	Cosoleacaque	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.3%
Mexico	Cosoltepec	2000	90.5%	99.9%	53.8%
Mexico	Cosoltepec	2017	98.9%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Cotaxtla	2000	90.1%	99.2%	66.6%
Mexico	Cotaxtla	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.0%
Mexico	Cotija	2000	92.2%	99.8%	59.4%
Mexico	Cotija	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2000	86.5%	94.9%	74.1%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2000	75.1%	98.3%	32.5%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.2%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2017	95.7%	99.9%	78.1%
Mexico	Coxquihui	2000	65.4%	85.9%	39.7%
Mexico	Coxquihui	2017	89.9%	98.4%	74.7%
Mexico	Coyame Del Sotol	2000	89.9%	97.9%	66.7%
Mexico	Coyame Del Sotol	2017	98.5%	99.8%	90.7%
Mexico	Coyoacan	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Coyoacan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Coyomeapan	2000	65.4%	78.6%	50.3%
Mexico	Coyomeapan	2017	95.7%	98.1%	91.5%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2000	93.6%	99.9%	69.2%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2000	90.6%	99.8%	58.2%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.5%
Mexico	Coyuca De Benitez	2000	87.4%	96.6%	72.1%
Mexico	Coyuca De Benitez	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.5%
Mexico	Coyuca De Catalan	2000	83.7%	94.0%	68.7%
Mexico	Coyuca De Catalan	2017	97.9%	99.6%	92.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Coyutla	2000	73.1%	90.9%	45.4%
Mexico	Coyutla	2017	95.4%	99.6%	81.8%
Mexico	Cozumel	2000	96.6%	98.9%	91.5%
Mexico	Cozumel	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Cruillas	2000	88.2%	98.3%	68.8%
Mexico	Cruillas	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.2%
Mexico	Cuajimalpa De Morelos	2000	96.9%	99.0%	92.5%
Mexico	Cuajimalpa De Morelos	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Cuajinicuilapa	2000	86.2%	97.6%	65.4%
Mexico	Cuajinicuilapa	2017	98.3%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Cualac	2000	88.4%	98.6%	55.5%
Mexico	Cualac	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla	2000	95.4%	99.8%	65.3%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla	2017	99.6%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla De Madero	2000	95.8%	99.2%	86.6%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla De Madero	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Mexico	Cuatrocienegas	2000	94.8%	99.2%	69.1%
Mexico	Cuatrocienegas	2017	99.6%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	94.0%	99.6%	78.2%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	91.4%	97.1%	73.3%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	94.3%	97.3%	90.0%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	99.3%	99.9%	94.5%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Cuautempan	2000	67.4%	99.2%	15.2%
Mexico	Cuautempan	2017	92.2%	100.0%	55.4%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	76.5%	84.8%	67.1%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	98.0%	99.4%	94.8%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc De Hinojosa	2000	91.3%	96.9%	81.8%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc De Hinojosa	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	Cuautinchan	2000	91.3%	99.7%	67.9%
Mexico	Cuautinchan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Cuautitlan	2000	94.1%	97.9%	86.1%
Mexico	Cuautitlan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	Cuautitlan De Garcia Barragan	2000	91.6%	98.4%	76.5%
Mexico	Cuautitlan De Garcia Barragan	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	Cuautitlan Izcalli	2000	94.5%	96.9%	89.9%
Mexico	Cuautitlan Izcalli	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Cuautla	2000	97.2%	98.7%	94.3%
Mexico	Cuautla	2000	90.6%	99.3%	62.4%
Mexico	Cuautla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Cuautla	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Cuautlancingo	2000	75.3%	80.6%	70.9%
Mexico	Cuautlancingo	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.9%
Mexico	Cuaxomulco	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Cuaxomulco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Cuayuca De Andrade	2000	89.5%	99.9%	49.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cuayuca De Andrade	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.8%
Mexico	Cucurpe	2000	90.4%	99.7%	52.2%
Mexico	Cucurpe	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Cuencame	2000	92.6%	96.9%	86.4%
Mexico	Cuencame	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Cueramaro	2000	97.9%	99.9%	91.0%
Mexico	Cueramaro	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Cuernavaca	2000	97.0%	98.5%	93.8%
Mexico	Cuernavaca	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Cuetzala Del Progreso	2000	90.9%	99.6%	69.0%
Mexico	Cuetzala Del Progreso	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.0%
Mexico	Cuetzalan Del Progreso	2000	84.0%	98.8%	47.4%
Mexico	Cuetzalan Del Progreso	2017	97.9%	100.0%	88.6%
Mexico	Cuichapa	2000	77.2%	96.5%	47.4%
Mexico	Cuichapa	2017	97.2%	99.9%	87.2%
Mexico	Cuilapam De Guerrero	2000	79.4%	87.0%	71.8%
Mexico	Cuilapam De Guerrero	2017	98.6%	99.2%	97.6%
Mexico	Cuitlahuac	2000	90.0%	99.6%	60.3%
Mexico	Cuitlahuac	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Cuitzeo	2000	88.4%	98.8%	59.7%
Mexico	Cuitzeo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Culiacan	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Mexico	Culiacan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	Cumpas	2000	95.0%	99.8%	79.3%
Mexico	Cumpas	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Cuncunul	2000	90.0%	99.9%	52.4%
Mexico	Cuncunul	2017	98.9%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Cunduacan	2000	85.7%	95.1%	70.9%
Mexico	Cunduacan	2017	98.1%	99.8%	92.5%
Mexico	Cuquio	2000	75.1%	85.2%	61.8%
Mexico	Cuquio	2017	96.8%	98.9%	91.3%
Mexico	Cusihuiachi	2000	87.3%	98.2%	66.8%
Mexico	Cusihuiachi	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.6%
Mexico	Cutzamala De Pinzon	2000	88.2%	96.9%	72.8%
Mexico	Cutzamala De Pinzon	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.5%
Mexico	Cuyamecalco Villa De Zaragoza	2000	80.4%	97.6%	39.1%
Mexico	Cuyamecalco Villa De Zaragoza	2017	96.8%	99.9%	76.4%
Mexico	Cuyoaco	2000	89.0%	99.4%	64.9%
Mexico	Cuyoaco	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	Cuzama	2000	95.0%	99.9%	73.5%
Mexico	Cuzama	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Degollado	2000	96.0%	99.7%	85.7%
Mexico	Degollado	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.1%
Mexico	Del Nayar	2000	83.1%	90.3%	74.1%
Mexico	Del Nayar	2017	97.3%	98.8%	94.6%
Mexico	Delicias	2000	94.0%	97.6%	85.7%
Mexico	Delicias	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	Divisaderos	2000	92.0%	100.0%	55.1%
Mexico	Divisaderos	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Doctor Mora	2000	93.2%	99.2%	78.5%
Mexico	Doctor Mora	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Dolores Hidalgo Cuna De La Independenc	2000	94.5%	97.8%	88.3%
Mexico	Dolores Hidalgo Cuna De La Independenc	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Domingo Arenas	2000	96.7%	99.0%	92.2%
Mexico	Domingo Arenas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Donato Guerra	2000	79.0%	92.3%	62.7%
Mexico	Donato Guerra	2017	97.8%	99.7%	91.9%
Mexico	Dr. Arroyo	2000	89.6%	95.6%	81.1%
Mexico	Dr. Arroyo	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.9%
Mexico	Dr. Belisario Dominguez	2000	92.3%	99.6%	75.1%
Mexico	Dr. Belisario Dominguez	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.0%
Mexico	Dr. Coss	2000	93.9%	99.5%	76.9%
Mexico	Dr. Coss	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.9%
Mexico	Dr. Gonzalez	2000	83.9%	97.8%	53.4%
Mexico	Dr. Gonzalez	2017	97.9%	99.9%	90.1%
Mexico	Durango	2000	97.1%	98.4%	94.3%
Mexico	Durango	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	Dzan	2000	91.6%	99.7%	62.8%
Mexico	Dzan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Dzemul	2000	93.6%	100.0%	70.4%
Mexico	Dzemul	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Dzidzantun	2000	92.9%	100.0%	60.2%
Mexico	Dzidzantun	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	Dzilam De Bravo	2000	91.3%	99.9%	58.3%
Mexico	Dzilam De Bravo	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.0%
Mexico	Dzilam Gonzalez	2000	95.3%	99.9%	74.9%
Mexico	Dzilam Gonzalez	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Dzitas	2000	89.8%	99.7%	60.3%
Mexico	Dzitas	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	Dzoncauich	2000	91.9%	100.0%	67.7%
Mexico	Dzoncauich	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Ebano	2000	85.2%	97.0%	60.3%
Mexico	Ebano	2017	98.4%	99.9%	91.4%
Mexico	Ecatepec De Morelos	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Mexico	Ecatepec De Morelos	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Ecatzingo	2000	91.0%	99.8%	57.3%
Mexico	Ecatzingo	2017	98.7%	100.0%	86.8%
Mexico	Ecuandureo	2000	86.8%	98.3%	57.9%
Mexico	Ecuandureo	2017	98.0%	99.9%	91.6%
Mexico	Eduardo Neri	2000	77.6%	93.9%	54.6%
Mexico	Eduardo Neri	2017	95.1%	99.4%	81.5%
Mexico	Ejutla	2000	89.6%	99.6%	63.2%
Mexico	Ejutla	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	El Arenal	2000	89.0%	99.8%	53.2%
Mexico	El Arenal	2000	95.2%	99.1%	86.6%
Mexico	El Arenal	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	El Arenal	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.2%
Mexico	El Barrio De La Soledad	2000	86.4%	95.6%	71.4%
Mexico	El Barrio De La Soledad	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.4%
Mexico	El Bosque	2000	75.6%	95.6%	46.7%
Mexico	El Bosque	2017	96.7%	99.8%	85.7%
Mexico	El Carmen Tequexquitla	2000	98.6%	99.9%	93.4%
Mexico	El Carmen Tequexquitla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	El Espinal	2000	84.8%	96.0%	68.3%
Mexico	El Espinal	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.9%
Mexico	El Fuerte	2000	95.3%	97.9%	91.5%
Mexico	El Fuerte	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	El Grullo	2000	93.8%	98.8%	81.7%
Mexico	El Grullo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	El Higo	2000	92.8%	97.8%	82.7%
Mexico	El Higo	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.9%
Mexico	El Limon	2000	89.1%	99.8%	51.7%
Mexico	El Limon	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	El Llano	2000	93.1%	99.5%	76.4%
Mexico	El Llano	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	El Mante	2000	95.5%	97.9%	91.7%
Mexico	El Mante	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	El Marques	2000	95.8%	99.2%	87.4%
Mexico	El Marques	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.3%
Mexico	El Naranjo	2000	85.8%	96.8%	65.1%
Mexico	El Naranjo	2017	98.6%	99.9%	94.9%
Mexico	El Oro	2000	82.0%	98.7%	47.9%
Mexico	El Oro	2000	91.3%	96.3%	83.2%
Mexico	El Oro	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.1%
Mexico	El Oro	2017	97.3%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	El Plateado De Joaquin Amaro	2000	91.7%	99.6%	70.0%
Mexico	El Plateado De Joaquin Amaro	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	El Porvenir	2000	69.9%	88.2%	50.2%
Mexico	El Porvenir	2017	97.2%	99.5%	88.6%
Mexico	El Salto	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.9%
Mexico	El Salto	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	El Salvador	2000	90.4%	99.7%	66.8%
Mexico	El Salvador	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	El Tule	2000	92.0%	98.9%	73.3%
Mexico	El Tule	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Elota	2000	95.7%	99.1%	87.4%
Mexico	Elota	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2000	84.4%	93.9%	71.1%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2000	88.4%	99.9%	49.0%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2017	98.6%	99.7%	95.8%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	87.9%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan De Flores Magon	2000	88.9%	96.6%	72.1%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan De Flores Magon	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	92.1%	99.7%	65.1%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	95.2%	97.2%	92.0%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	94.0%	98.8%	85.2%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	81.1%	92.2%	58.6%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	85.1%	99.8%	32.6%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	99.2%	99.9%	95.7%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	97.6%	100.0%	79.5%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	97.7%	99.7%	91.8%
Mexico	Empalme	2000	98.7%	99.8%	96.0%
Mexico	Empalme	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Encarnacion De Diaz	2000	97.2%	99.4%	93.0%
Mexico	Encarnacion De Diaz	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Ensenada	2000	95.1%	97.2%	92.4%
Mexico	Ensenada	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Epatlan	2000	91.5%	100.0%	49.2%
Mexico	Epatlan	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	Epazoyucan	2000	98.0%	99.9%	92.1%
Mexico	Epazoyucan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Epitacio Huerta	2000	87.9%	99.2%	65.9%
Mexico	Epitacio Huerta	2017	98.5%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Erongaricuario	2000	87.3%	99.5%	57.5%
Mexico	Erongaricuario	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Escarcega	2000	91.7%	94.8%	87.7%
Mexico	Escarcega	2017	99.2%	99.7%	97.8%
Mexico	Escobedo	2000	93.0%	99.6%	72.2%
Mexico	Escobedo	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.0%
Mexico	Escuinapa	2000	92.0%	98.7%	75.2%
Mexico	Escuinapa	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Escuintla	2000	85.5%	97.7%	64.6%
Mexico	Escuintla	2017	98.3%	99.9%	92.6%
Mexico	Espanita	2000	98.6%	99.9%	94.1%
Mexico	Espanita	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Esperanza	2000	86.8%	99.0%	55.2%
Mexico	Esperanza	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	Espinal	2000	85.0%	96.0%	64.4%
Mexico	Espinal	2017	98.2%	99.8%	92.9%
Mexico	Espita	2000	97.1%	99.6%	90.9%
Mexico	Espita	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Etchojoa	2000	98.4%	99.9%	93.6%
Mexico	Etchojoa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Etzatlan	2000	91.7%	99.7%	58.6%
Mexico	Etzatlan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Ezequiel Montes	2000	93.6%	99.5%	74.7%
Mexico	Ezequiel Montes	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Felipe Carrillo Puerto	2000	88.4%	92.9%	83.2%
Mexico	Felipe Carrillo Puerto	2017	98.4%	99.3%	96.7%
Mexico	Filomeno Mata	2000	53.9%	84.7%	23.5%
Mexico	Filomeno Mata	2017	93.1%	98.9%	74.4%
Mexico	Florencio Villarreal	2000	91.2%	96.8%	78.9%
Mexico	Florencio Villarreal	2017	99.4%	99.8%	97.7%
Mexico	Fortin	2000	95.0%	98.1%	91.2%
Mexico	Fortin	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.4%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2000	94.5%	98.8%	85.3%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2000	98.1%	99.6%	95.1%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Francisco Leon	2000	82.9%	98.7%	48.2%
Mexico	Francisco Leon	2017	97.2%	100.0%	83.2%
Mexico	Francisco Z. Mena	2000	92.7%	99.5%	76.2%
Mexico	Francisco Z. Mena	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.7%
Mexico	Fresnillo	2000	94.2%	96.5%	91.0%
Mexico	Fresnillo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.1%
Mexico	Fresnillo De Trujano	2000	84.4%	98.9%	48.3%
Mexico	Fresnillo De Trujano	2017	97.9%	100.0%	83.7%
Mexico	Frontera	2000	98.3%	99.4%	96.3%
Mexico	Frontera	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Frontera Co-malapa	2000	83.9%	93.3%	73.3%
Mexico	Frontera Co-malapa	2017	98.4%	99.7%	95.6%
Mexico	Frontera Hidalgo	2000	88.6%	99.6%	59.4%
Mexico	Frontera Hidalgo	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.6%
Mexico	Fronteras	2000	95.6%	99.8%	76.5%
Mexico	Fronteras	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Gabriel Zamora	2000	94.3%	98.8%	85.1%
Mexico	Gabriel Zamora	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.6%
Mexico	Galeana	2000	92.3%	96.4%	86.0%
Mexico	Galeana	2000	93.0%	99.3%	74.2%
Mexico	Galeana	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.7%
Mexico	Galeana	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.9%
Mexico	Garcia	2000	98.6%	99.6%	96.6%
Mexico	Garcia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Genaro Codina	2000	89.7%	99.0%	62.0%
Mexico	Genaro Codina	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	General Bravo	2000	96.1%	99.5%	84.8%
Mexico	General Bravo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	General Canuto A. Neri	2000	90.5%	99.6%	66.8%
Mexico	General Canuto A. Neri	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	General Cepeda	2000	93.0%	97.8%	85.2%
Mexico	General Cepeda	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	General Enrique Estrada	2000	93.4%	99.0%	78.6%
Mexico	General Enrique Estrada	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	General Felipe Angeles	2000	93.3%	99.9%	61.6%
Mexico	General Felipe Angeles	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	General Francisco R. Murguia	2000	90.0%	96.3%	81.6%
Mexico	General Francisco R. Murguia	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.4%
Mexico	General Heliodoro Castillo	2000	66.6%	79.4%	50.0%
Mexico	General Heliodoro Castillo	2017	87.8%	95.0%	73.7%
Mexico	General Panfilo Natera	2000	96.6%	99.4%	90.1%
Mexico	General Panfilo Natera	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	General Plutarco Elias Calles	2000	96.9%	99.7%	85.6%
Mexico	General Plutarco Elias Calles	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	93.5%	99.5%	78.0%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	90.4%	99.8%	52.8%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	92.5%	99.1%	76.2%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.1%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Gomez Palacio	2000	97.5%	98.6%	95.5%
Mexico	Gomez Palacio	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Gonzalez	2000	92.8%	98.4%	82.4%
Mexico	Gonzalez	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Gral. Escobedo	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Mexico	Gral. Escobedo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Gral. Simon Bolivar	2000	93.6%	98.5%	85.6%
Mexico	Gral. Simon Bolivar	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Gral. Teran	2000	94.6%	98.1%	88.2%
Mexico	Gral. Teran	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Mexico	Gral. Trevino	2000	89.1%	99.9%	40.5%
Mexico	Gral. Trevino	2017	98.4%	100.0%	84.8%
Mexico	Gral. Zaragoza	2000	84.8%	96.6%	63.7%
Mexico	Gral. Zaragoza	2017	98.3%	99.8%	92.6%
Mexico	Gral. Zuazua	2000	94.7%	99.9%	73.7%
Mexico	Gral. Zuazua	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.2%
Mexico	Gran Morelos	2000	96.1%	99.6%	84.5%
Mexico	Gran Morelos	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Granados	2000	90.7%	100.0%	41.7%
Mexico	Granados	2017	98.8%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	Guachinango	2000	90.1%	99.0%	68.2%
Mexico	Guachinango	2017	98.7%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Guachochi	2000	74.9%	79.8%	69.8%
Mexico	Guachochi	2017	96.8%	98.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Guadalajara	2000	95.6%	97.6%	91.5%
Mexico	Guadalajara	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Guadalcazar	2000	90.5%	96.1%	82.5%
Mexico	Guadalcazar	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.2%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.8%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	96.2%	99.4%	87.3%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	83.6%	98.0%	55.0%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	98.0%	99.4%	95.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Guadalupe De Ramirez	2000	83.2%	99.9%	42.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe De Ramirez	2017	96.9%	100.0%	79.2%
Mexico	Guadalupe Etna	2000	91.5%	96.9%	84.0%
Mexico	Guadalupe Etna	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2000	91.3%	96.2%	83.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2000	90.0%	97.1%	75.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.9%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe Y Calvo	2000	73.1%	78.1%	66.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe Y Calvo	2017	95.2%	96.8%	93.0%
Mexico	Guanacevi	2000	85.3%	95.0%	69.3%
Mexico	Guanacevi	2017	98.0%	99.6%	94.0%
Mexico	Guanajuato	2000	89.0%	95.4%	77.3%
Mexico	Guanajuato	2017	98.5%	99.8%	95.5%
Mexico	Guasave	2000	91.4%	95.8%	85.8%
Mexico	Guasave	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.5%
Mexico	Guaymas	2000	96.9%	98.8%	92.8%
Mexico	Guaymas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Guazapares	2000	90.2%	97.1%	77.7%
Mexico	Guazapares	2017	98.9%	99.8%	97.0%
Mexico	Guelatao De Juarez	2000	88.4%	99.9%	43.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Guelatao De Juarez	2017	98.4%	100.0%	83.2%
Mexico	Guemez	2000	95.1%	99.2%	84.7%
Mexico	Guemez	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	91.8%	99.4%	73.0%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	91.5%	96.1%	84.5%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	92.8%	99.0%	73.9%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.3%
Mexico	Guevea De Humboldt	2000	83.1%	97.7%	53.6%
Mexico	Guevea De Humboldt	2017	97.8%	99.9%	86.8%
Mexico	Gustavo A. Madero	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Mexico	Gustavo A. Madero	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Gustavo Diaz Ordaz	2000	93.5%	99.8%	70.1%
Mexico	Gustavo Diaz Ordaz	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Gutierrez Zamora	2000	89.1%	95.1%	79.9%
Mexico	Gutierrez Zamora	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.9%
Mexico	Halacho	2000	74.2%	94.1%	45.3%
Mexico	Halacho	2017	95.1%	99.7%	82.8%
Mexico	Hecelchakan	2000	95.0%	98.7%	87.4%
Mexico	Hecelchakan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Hermenegildo Galeana	2000	70.4%	93.6%	32.4%
Mexico	Hermenegildo Galeana	2017	96.1%	99.7%	81.0%
Mexico	Hermosillo	2000	99.0%	99.5%	98.1%
Mexico	Hermosillo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Ejutla De Crespo	2000	79.5%	94.0%	56.8%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Ejutla De Crespo	2017	97.8%	99.8%	90.5%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Huajuapam De Leon	2000	80.8%	95.3%	57.1%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Huajuapam De Leon	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.4%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Tlaxiaco	2000	79.4%	88.8%	67.4%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Tlaxiaco	2017	98.7%	99.5%	96.6%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	78.7%	89.5%	67.2%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	93.1%	99.8%	61.5%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	90.4%	97.9%	76.7%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	98.8%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	93.3%	98.9%	80.5%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	97.8%	99.5%	94.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.2%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.3%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Hidalgo Del Parral	2000	95.5%	98.1%	91.1%
Mexico	Hidalgo Del Parral	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Hidalgotitlan	2000	84.8%	96.3%	68.6%
Mexico	Hidalgotitlan	2017	97.4%	99.8%	90.1%
Mexico	Higueras	2000	89.8%	99.9%	44.6%
Mexico	Higueras	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Hocaba	2000	94.2%	100.0%	67.7%
Mexico	Hocaba	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Hoctun	2000	98.6%	100.0%	93.7%
Mexico	Hoctun	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Homun	2000	97.0%	99.7%	88.9%
Mexico	Homun	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Honey	2000	88.6%	99.9%	46.7%
Mexico	Honey	2017	98.5%	100.0%	86.5%
Mexico	Hopelchen	2000	92.2%	95.9%	87.0%
Mexico	Hopelchen	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.6%
Mexico	Hostotipaquillo	2000	89.1%	99.3%	61.2%
Mexico	Hostotipaquillo	2017	98.7%	100.0%	93.0%
Mexico	Huachinera	2000	87.9%	99.5%	51.2%
Mexico	Huachinera	2017	98.1%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	Huajicori	2000	88.8%	97.5%	72.9%
Mexico	Huajicori	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.9%
Mexico	Hualahuises	2000	94.7%	99.9%	71.9%
Mexico	Hualahuises	2017	99.7%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Huamantla	2000	97.4%	99.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Huamantla	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Huamuxtitlan	2000	80.8%	92.3%	64.4%
Mexico	Huamuxtitlan	2017	98.4%	99.7%	93.8%
Mexico	Huandacareo	2000	98.8%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	Huandacareo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Huanimaro	2000	97.0%	99.9%	84.0%
Mexico	Huanimaro	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Huaniqueo	2000	90.4%	99.8%	62.4%
Mexico	Huaniqueo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.0%
Mexico	Huanusco	2000	89.4%	99.7%	61.7%
Mexico	Huanusco	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Huaquechula	2000	87.9%	99.4%	56.4%
Mexico	Huaquechula	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Huasabas	2000	92.2%	100.0%	53.5%
Mexico	Huasabas	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Huasca De Ocampo	2000	90.4%	99.6%	61.3%
Mexico	Huasca De Ocampo	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Huatabampo	2000	96.9%	99.1%	91.8%
Mexico	Huatabampo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Huatlatlauca	2000	90.7%	99.9%	63.6%
Mexico	Huatlatlauca	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Huatusco	2000	94.0%	96.6%	89.6%
Mexico	Huatusco	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Huauchinango	2000	91.8%	96.3%	80.2%
Mexico	Huauchinango	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.3%
Mexico	Huautepec	2000	77.6%	94.8%	47.7%
Mexico	Huautepec	2017	97.8%	99.9%	89.8%
Mexico	Huautla	2000	91.3%	99.3%	66.7%
Mexico	Huautla	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huautla De Jimenez	2000	72.0%	77.9%	65.8%
Mexico	Huautla De Jimenez	2017	97.9%	98.8%	96.0%
Mexico	Huayacocotla	2000	89.8%	98.9%	68.4%
Mexico	Huayacocotla	2017	98.6%	100.0%	94.0%
Mexico	Huazalingo	2000	86.6%	99.5%	55.9%
Mexico	Huazalingo	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.7%
Mexico	Huehuetan	2000	88.8%	99.6%	61.8%
Mexico	Huehuetan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2000	85.9%	96.6%	62.8%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2000	48.5%	54.7%	43.4%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2017	90.0%	94.3%	84.2%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2017	98.1%	99.9%	90.1%
Mexico	Huehuetlan	2000	90.2%	98.2%	70.7%
Mexico	Huehuetlan	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Chico	2000	91.6%	99.8%	64.7%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Chico	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Grande	2000	89.3%	99.8%	57.4%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Grande	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Huehuetoca	2000	94.8%	99.9%	72.2%
Mexico	Huehuetoca	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Huejotitan	2000	91.9%	99.5%	74.6%
Mexico	Huejotitan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.8%
Mexico	Huejotzingo	2000	89.3%	94.6%	83.2%
Mexico	Huejotzingo	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Mexico	Huejucar	2000	89.9%	99.7%	63.0%
Mexico	Huejucar	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Huejuquilla El Alto	2000	90.3%	99.4%	54.4%
Mexico	Huejuquilla El Alto	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Huejutla De Reyes	2000	77.3%	85.5%	63.5%
Mexico	Huejutla De Reyes	2017	96.8%	98.3%	92.2%
Mexico	Huepac	2000	97.0%	100.0%	82.7%
Mexico	Huepac	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Huetamo	2000	89.5%	95.8%	78.2%
Mexico	Huetamo	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.3%
Mexico	Hueyapan	2000	91.0%	97.8%	80.6%
Mexico	Hueyapan	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Hueyapan De Ocampo	2000	86.0%	97.6%	65.8%
Mexico	Hueyapan De Ocampo	2017	98.1%	99.9%	92.0%
Mexico	Hueyotlipan	2000	99.0%	99.8%	97.1%
Mexico	Hueyotlipan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Hueypoxtla	2000	97.6%	100.0%	85.9%
Mexico	Hueypoxtla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Hueytamalco	2000	85.7%	98.9%	55.8%
Mexico	Hueytamalco	2017	97.9%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Hueytlalpan	2000	74.3%	80.5%	66.0%
Mexico	Hueytlalpan	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.7%
Mexico	Huhi	2000	92.4%	100.0%	63.0%
Mexico	Huhi	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.5%
Mexico	Huichapan	2000	91.0%	98.5%	72.7%
Mexico	Huichapan	2017	99.1%	99.9%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huiloapan	2000	68.0%	78.8%	55.7%
Mexico	Huiloapan	2017	97.9%	98.8%	96.2%
Mexico	Huimanguillo	2000	77.8%	86.4%	65.9%
Mexico	Huimanguillo	2017	95.1%	97.8%	90.6%
Mexico	Huimilpan	2000	91.8%	98.6%	76.9%
Mexico	Huimilpan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Huiramba	2000	86.2%	99.2%	48.8%
Mexico	Huiramba	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Huitiupan	2000	85.2%	94.4%	71.9%
Mexico	Huitiupan	2017	98.7%	99.7%	95.5%
Mexico	Huitzilac	2000	93.6%	97.7%	87.5%
Mexico	Huitzilac	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Huitzilan De Serdan	2000	84.6%	99.0%	52.9%
Mexico	Huitzilan De Serdan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	Huitziltepec	2000	82.7%	99.4%	45.8%
Mexico	Huitziltepec	2017	97.7%	100.0%	86.2%
Mexico	Huitzucu De Los Figueroa	2000	78.5%	93.5%	52.1%
Mexico	Huitzucu De Los Figueroa	2017	96.5%	99.5%	87.7%
Mexico	Huixquilucan	2000	93.0%	95.9%	88.4%
Mexico	Huixquilucan	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.5%
Mexico	Huixtan	2000	87.1%	98.5%	60.9%
Mexico	Huixtan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	92.1%
Mexico	Huixtla	2000	85.6%	90.9%	78.1%
Mexico	Huixtla	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.2%
Mexico	Hunucma	2000	96.9%	99.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Hunucma	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Ignacio De La Llave	2000	88.3%	99.6%	55.1%
Mexico	Ignacio De La Llave	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Ignacio Zaragoza	2000	92.1%	99.1%	66.2%
Mexico	Ignacio Zaragoza	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.5%
Mexico	Iguala De La Independencia	2000	85.8%	92.1%	74.4%
Mexico	Iguala De La Independencia	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.3%
Mexico	Igualapa	2000	71.4%	88.0%	48.5%
Mexico	Igualapa	2017	96.4%	98.9%	89.5%
Mexico	Ilamatlan	2000	86.2%	99.6%	54.5%
Mexico	Ilamatlan	2017	98.1%	100.0%	88.9%
Mexico	Iliatenco	2000	83.1%	99.3%	51.8%
Mexico	Iliatenco	2017	97.0%	100.0%	83.8%
Mexico	Imuris	2000	96.5%	99.8%	82.5%
Mexico	Imuris	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Indaparapeo	2000	86.9%	98.6%	59.2%
Mexico	Indaparapeo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Inde	2000	90.2%	98.3%	74.4%
Mexico	Inde	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.7%
Mexico	Irapuato	2000	96.2%	98.2%	92.0%
Mexico	Irapuato	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Irimbo	2000	83.6%	96.1%	61.3%
Mexico	Irimbo	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.5%
Mexico	Isidro Fabela	2000	92.6%	99.0%	76.9%
Mexico	Isidro Fabela	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Isla	2000	87.1%	97.0%	63.4%
Mexico	Isla	2017	98.1%	99.7%	90.4%
Mexico	Isla Mujeres	2000	74.8%	98.4%	35.1%
Mexico	Isla Mujeres	2017	96.1%	99.9%	76.0%
Mexico	Iturbide	2000	74.5%	95.4%	46.3%
Mexico	Iturbide	2017	96.3%	99.8%	80.5%
Mexico	Ixcamilpa De Guerrero	2000	89.1%	99.8%	61.0%
Mexico	Ixcamilpa De Guerrero	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Ixcaquixtla	2000	88.9%	99.8%	53.8%
Mexico	Ixcaquixtla	2017	98.1%	100.0%	84.8%
Mexico	Ixcateopan De Cuauhtemoc	2000	91.8%	99.7%	63.3%
Mexico	Ixcateopan De Cuauhtemoc	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Ixcatepec	2000	96.6%	99.8%	88.7%
Mexico	Ixcatepec	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Ixhuacan De Los Reyes	2000	69.2%	92.8%	32.7%
Mexico	Ixhuacan De Los Reyes	2017	91.7%	99.6%	67.7%
Mexico	Ixhuatan	2000	71.3%	88.8%	48.9%
Mexico	Ixhuatan	2017	97.0%	99.4%	91.9%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan De Madero	2000	84.3%	93.9%	67.2%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan De Madero	2017	98.3%	99.7%	93.2%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Cafe	2000	97.1%	99.5%	91.7%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Cafe	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Sureste	2000	89.3%	98.0%	67.6%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Sureste	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.9%
Mexico	Ixhuatlancillo	2000	93.1%	95.2%	90.1%
Mexico	Ixhuatlancillo	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Ixil	2000	92.5%	99.9%	55.7%
Mexico	Ixil	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Ixmatlahuacan	2000	87.5%	99.2%	59.1%
Mexico	Ixmatlahuacan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Ixmiquilpan	2000	84.4%	95.4%	63.0%
Mexico	Ixmiquilpan	2017	98.9%	99.8%	95.7%
Mexico	Ixpantepec Nieves	2000	91.0%	99.6%	66.0%
Mexico	Ixpantepec Nieves	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Ixtacamaxtitlan	2000	85.5%	96.6%	64.7%
Mexico	Ixtacamaxtitlan	2017	98.2%	99.9%	91.8%
Mexico	Ixtacomitan	2000	77.0%	99.1%	34.5%
Mexico	Ixtacomitan	2017	95.9%	100.0%	78.4%
Mexico	Ixtacuixtla De Mariano Matamoros	2000	95.0%	97.4%	91.4%
Mexico	Ixtacuixtla De Mariano Matamoros	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	Ixtaczoquitlan	2000	89.7%	94.9%	80.5%
Mexico	Ixtaczoquitlan	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.2%
Mexico	Ixtapa	2000	87.3%	99.0%	56.8%
Mexico	Ixtapa	2017	98.4%	100.0%	91.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Ixtapaluca	2000	98.8%	99.7%	95.8%
Mexico	Ixtapaluca	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Ixtapan De La Sal	2000	89.7%	98.9%	64.2%
Mexico	Ixtapan De La Sal	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Ixtapan Del Oro	2000	89.3%	99.9%	50.5%
Mexico	Ixtapan Del Oro	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Ixtapangajoya	2000	80.9%	94.4%	64.3%
Mexico	Ixtapangajoya	2017	97.6%	99.8%	90.5%
Mexico	Ixtenco	2000	99.2%	99.9%	96.4%
Mexico	Ixtenco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Ixtepec	2000	63.5%	69.2%	56.5%
Mexico	Ixtepec	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.7%
Mexico	Ixtlahuaca	2000	88.2%	97.3%	73.5%
Mexico	Ixtlahuaca	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.5%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2000	95.1%	99.3%	87.1%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2000	90.0%	99.4%	65.2%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan De Los Membrillos	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan De Los Membrillos	2000	83.1%	93.3%	67.1%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan Del Rio	2017	97.9%	99.5%	93.7%
Mexico	Ixtlan	2000	88.8%	97.6%	73.1%
Mexico	Ixtlan	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.6%
Mexico	Ixtlan De Juarez	2000	83.5%	95.9%	66.0%
Mexico	Ixtlan De Juarez	2017	97.7%	99.8%	89.8%
Mexico	Ixtlan Del Rio	2000	94.7%	97.8%	89.4%
Mexico	Ixtlan Del Rio	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Izamal	2000	95.9%	98.9%	89.9%
Mexico	Izamal	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Iztacalco	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	Iztacalco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Iztapalapa	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Iztapalapa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Izucar De Matamoros	2000	95.7%	98.2%	91.0%
Mexico	Izucar De Matamoros	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Jacala De Ledezma	2000	95.7%	99.7%	86.1%
Mexico	Jacala De Ledezma	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Jacona	2000	90.7%	94.7%	84.5%
Mexico	Jacona	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.4%
Mexico	Jala	2000	94.4%	98.0%	86.1%
Mexico	Jala	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Jalacingo	2000	92.9%	99.4%	76.7%
Mexico	Jalacingo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Jalapa	2000	85.0%	95.2%	69.1%
Mexico	Jalapa	2017	98.0%	99.8%	92.7%
Mexico	Jalcomulco	2000	87.3%	99.9%	43.6%
Mexico	Jalcomulco	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jalostotitlan	2000	91.5%	99.8%	57.9%
Mexico	Jalostotitlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	Jalpa	2000	93.7%	98.4%	84.8%
Mexico	Jalpa	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Jalpa De Mendez	2000	88.7%	94.5%	80.3%
Mexico	Jalpa De Mendez	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.0%
Mexico	Jalpan	2000	86.5%	99.2%	56.1%
Mexico	Jalpan	2017	97.9%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	Jalpan De Serra	2000	88.3%	95.9%	75.7%
Mexico	Jalpan De Serra	2017	99.1%	99.9%	97.0%
Mexico	Jaltenco	2000	99.0%	99.6%	98.2%
Mexico	Jaltenco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Jaltipan	2000	84.9%	98.9%	57.3%
Mexico	Jaltipan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	Jaltocan	2000	65.1%	74.8%	53.6%
Mexico	Jaltocan	2017	97.0%	98.3%	94.0%
Mexico	Jamapa	2000	79.7%	99.0%	39.9%
Mexico	Jamapa	2017	95.7%	99.8%	78.9%
Mexico	Jamay	2000	98.0%	99.8%	88.6%
Mexico	Jamay	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Janos	2000	92.0%	97.7%	81.1%
Mexico	Janos	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	Jantetelco	2000	77.4%	85.8%	67.7%
Mexico	Jantetelco	2017	97.3%	98.9%	94.0%
Mexico	Jaral Del Progreso	2000	93.7%	99.9%	59.1%
Mexico	Jaral Del Progreso	2017	99.1%	100.0%	89.0%
Mexico	Jaumave	2000	93.3%	98.1%	82.6%
Mexico	Jaumave	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.3%
Mexico	Jerecuaro	2000	87.5%	97.1%	72.8%
Mexico	Jerecuaro	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.0%
Mexico	Jerez	2000	93.3%	97.4%	87.7%
Mexico	Jerez	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Mexico	Jesus Caranza	2000	84.0%	95.5%	64.5%
Mexico	Jesus Caranza	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.1%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2000	94.5%	98.2%	88.0%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2000	91.2%	99.4%	59.8%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.2%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2000	95.4%	98.7%	88.3%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2000	92.0%	98.8%	76.7%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.4%
Mexico	Jilotlan De Los Dolores	2000	89.5%	98.5%	71.9%
Mexico	Jilotlan De Los Dolores	2017	98.6%	99.9%	94.4%
Mexico	Jilotzingo	2000	86.7%	94.7%	73.4%
Mexico	Jilotzingo	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	93.8%	99.8%	72.2%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	98.2%	99.4%	95.3%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	97.0%	99.4%	91.6%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	83.9%	92.1%	74.6%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	97.2%	99.4%	92.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Jimenez Del Teul	2000	88.0%	98.6%	67.2%
Mexico	Jimenez Del Teul	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.0%
Mexico	Jiquilpan	2000	93.0%	97.5%	85.7%
Mexico	Jiquilpan	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.2%
Mexico	Jiquipilas	2000	88.2%	97.9%	70.0%
Mexico	Jiquipilas	2017	98.3%	99.9%	91.7%
Mexico	Jiquipilco	2000	90.1%	99.7%	56.3%
Mexico	Jiquipilco	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.0%
Mexico	Jitotol	2000	64.7%	90.6%	30.7%
Mexico	Jitotol	2017	93.2%	99.2%	74.4%
Mexico	Jiutepec	2000	96.0%	97.7%	92.4%
Mexico	Jiutepec	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Jocotepec	2000	94.2%	99.7%	77.6%
Mexico	Jocotepec	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.0%
Mexico	Jocotitlan	2000	80.4%	94.2%	57.4%
Mexico	Jocotitlan	2017	96.4%	99.7%	89.2%
Mexico	Jojutla	2000	99.1%	99.8%	97.2%
Mexico	Jojutla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Jolalpan	2000	89.0%	99.6%	53.5%
Mexico	Jolalpan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.1%
Mexico	Jonacatepec	2000	82.4%	98.4%	52.8%
Mexico	Jonacatepec	2017	97.6%	99.9%	86.6%
Mexico	Jonotla	2000	81.7%	92.0%	61.7%
Mexico	Jonotla	2017	98.3%	99.7%	91.6%
Mexico	Jonuta	2000	76.4%	88.3%	63.5%
Mexico	Jonuta	2017	94.8%	98.5%	88.0%
Mexico	Jopala	2000	73.7%	93.3%	39.9%
Mexico	Jopala	2017	96.4%	99.4%	86.3%
Mexico	Joquicingo	2000	85.2%	96.7%	63.2%
Mexico	Joquicingo	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.8%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2000	90.2%	98.3%	69.8%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2000	79.5%	91.0%	59.5%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2017	99.2%	99.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2017	96.2%	99.2%	90.1%
Mexico	Jose Joaquin De Herrera	2000	87.0%	99.8%	43.9%
Mexico	Jose Joaquin De Herrera	2017	98.1%	100.0%	83.3%
Mexico	Jose Maria Morelos	2000	88.8%	95.9%	78.7%
Mexico	Jose Maria Morelos	2017	98.4%	99.7%	95.7%
Mexico	Jose Sixto Verduzco	2000	91.8%	99.7%	64.0%
Mexico	Jose Sixto Verduzco	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Juan Aldama	2000	92.1%	97.7%	79.8%
Mexico	Juan Aldama	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.0%
Mexico	Juan C. Bonilla	2000	89.4%	96.2%	79.0%
Mexico	Juan C. Bonilla	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Juan Galindo	2000	74.3%	90.3%	60.3%
Mexico	Juan Galindo	2017	98.1%	99.6%	95.5%
Mexico	Juan N. Mendez	2000	88.4%	99.6%	54.2%
Mexico	Juan N. Mendez	2017	98.2%	100.0%	89.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Juan R. Escudero	2000	94.7%	98.4%	88.2%
Mexico	Juan R. Escudero	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.2%
Mexico	Juan Rodriguez Clara	2000	84.9%	95.7%	67.2%
Mexico	Juan Rodriguez Clara	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.7%
Mexico	Juanacatlan	2000	90.2%	97.5%	76.9%
Mexico	Juanacatlan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	92.1%	99.6%	65.1%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	91.4%	95.2%	86.5%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	86.2%	99.9%	47.7%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	89.6%	93.1%	85.6%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	82.2%	96.4%	57.1%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	98.8%	99.6%	97.4%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	97.7%	100.0%	87.0%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.7%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	97.4%	99.8%	88.9%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Juarez Hidalgo	2000	93.1%	97.6%	84.4%
Mexico	Juarez Hidalgo	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Mexico	Juchipila	2000	98.6%	99.8%	94.9%
Mexico	Juchipila	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Juchique De Ferrer	2000	82.1%	99.6%	39.9%
Mexico	Juchique De Ferrer	2017	97.2%	100.0%	81.0%
Mexico	Juchitan	2000	87.0%	99.5%	51.1%
Mexico	Juchitan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Juchitan De Zaragoza	2000	95.2%	98.2%	88.3%
Mexico	Juchitan De Zaragoza	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Juchitepec	2000	98.2%	99.9%	91.9%
Mexico	Juchitepec	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Juchitlan	2000	86.6%	99.8%	43.5%
Mexico	Juchitlan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Julimes	2000	91.8%	99.5%	69.8%
Mexico	Julimes	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.0%
Mexico	Jungapeo	2000	75.3%	86.8%	61.0%
Mexico	Jungapeo	2017	97.4%	99.4%	92.7%
Mexico	Kanasin	2000	97.4%	99.3%	94.6%
Mexico	Kanasin	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Kantunil	2000	96.6%	99.9%	83.4%
Mexico	Kantunil	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Kaua	2000	90.7%	99.8%	53.8%
Mexico	Kaua	2017	99.0%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Kinchil	2000	92.4%	99.9%	58.4%
Mexico	Kinchil	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.7%
Mexico	Kopoma	2000	91.1%	100.0%	57.8%
Mexico	Kopoma	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	La Antigua	2000	91.4%	99.9%	60.3%
Mexico	La Antigua	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.0%
Mexico	La Barca	2000	96.3%	99.1%	90.1%
Mexico	La Barca	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	La Colorada	2000	90.3%	98.6%	72.9%
Mexico	La Colorada	2017	98.8%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	La Compania	2000	86.1%	99.7%	42.8%
Mexico	La Compania	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	La Concordia	2000	89.3%	96.1%	79.5%
Mexico	La Concordia	2017	98.7%	99.7%	95.8%
Mexico	La Cruz	2000	89.4%	99.9%	49.7%
Mexico	La Cruz	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.0%
Mexico	La Grandeza	2000	77.2%	99.2%	41.4%
Mexico	La Grandeza	2017	96.2%	100.0%	83.2%
Mexico	La Huacana	2000	90.1%	98.1%	73.8%
Mexico	La Huacana	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	La Huerta	2000	91.2%	98.4%	76.9%
Mexico	La Huerta	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	La Independencia	2000	86.1%	95.2%	68.4%
Mexico	La Independencia	2017	98.5%	99.8%	92.7%
Mexico	La Libertad	2000	87.9%	97.9%	69.1%
Mexico	La Libertad	2017	98.1%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	La Magdalena Contreras	2000	91.9%	95.6%	87.4%
Mexico	La Magdalena Contreras	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlaltelulco	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlaltelulco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlatlauquitepec	2000	88.4%	100.0%	48.9%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlatlauquitepec	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.6%
Mexico	La Manzanilla De La Paz	2000	92.0%	99.9%	62.6%
Mexico	La Manzanilla De La Paz	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	La Mision	2000	91.8%	99.6%	68.7%
Mexico	La Mision	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	La Paz	2000	97.9%	98.7%	96.7%
Mexico	La Paz	2000	97.0%	98.7%	93.9%
Mexico	La Paz	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	La Paz	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	La Pe	2000	84.1%	99.8%	43.0%
Mexico	La Pe	2017	97.7%	100.0%	80.9%
Mexico	La Perla	2000	78.2%	94.6%	53.2%
Mexico	La Perla	2017	95.6%	99.5%	81.9%
Mexico	La Piedad	2000	95.2%	98.7%	85.5%
Mexico	La Piedad	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.4%
Mexico	La Reforma	2000	82.4%	99.1%	39.3%
Mexico	La Reforma	2017	97.1%	100.0%	81.7%
Mexico	La Trinidad Vista Hermosa	2000	87.0%	100.0%	43.3%
Mexico	La Trinidad Vista Hermosa	2017	97.9%	100.0%	82.5%
Mexico	La Trinitaria	2000	87.4%	96.3%	72.4%
Mexico	La Trinitaria	2017	98.5%	99.8%	93.8%
Mexico	La Union De Montes De Oca	2000	89.3%	96.8%	73.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	La Union De Isidoro Montes De Oca	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.5%
Mexico	La Yesca	2000	86.9%	95.2%	73.0%
Mexico	La Yesca	2017	98.1%	99.6%	93.1%
Mexico	Lafragua	2000	75.3%	85.3%	59.3%
Mexico	Lafragua	2017	90.9%	97.5%	82.4%
Mexico	Lagos De Moreno	2000	96.1%	98.6%	92.2%
Mexico	Lagos De Moreno	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2000	90.0%	99.5%	58.9%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2000	88.8%	99.1%	66.7%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2017	98.7%	100.0%	93.5%
Mexico	Lamadrid	2000	94.3%	99.9%	74.2%
Mexico	Lamadrid	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Lampazos De Naranjo	2000	92.6%	99.8%	60.0%
Mexico	Lampazos De Naranjo	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Landa De Matamoros	2000	90.4%	99.3%	74.7%
Mexico	Landa De Matamoros	2017	98.8%	100.0%	95.3%
Mexico	Landero Y Coss	2000	88.0%	99.9%	49.6%
Mexico	Landero Y Coss	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.2%
Mexico	Larrainzar	2000	84.8%	98.7%	53.2%
Mexico	Larrainzar	2017	97.5%	99.9%	87.0%
Mexico	Las Choapas	2000	88.6%	95.8%	75.3%
Mexico	Las Choapas	2017	98.4%	99.6%	95.5%
Mexico	Las Margaritas	2000	85.4%	94.0%	70.3%
Mexico	Las Margaritas	2017	98.0%	99.6%	93.6%
Mexico	Las Minas	2000	73.5%	95.5%	39.7%
Mexico	Las Minas	2017	95.3%	99.8%	73.6%
Mexico	Las Rosas	2000	87.1%	99.4%	43.6%
Mexico	Las Rosas	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Las Vigas De Ramirez	2000	83.7%	99.7%	33.2%
Mexico	Las Vigas De Ramirez	2017	97.8%	100.0%	83.2%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	94.4%	98.5%	83.4%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	86.6%	99.8%	36.9%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	93.3%	98.4%	83.1%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.2%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Leon	2000	88.7%	94.2%	81.2%
Mexico	Leon	2017	97.8%	99.5%	93.9%
Mexico	Leonardo Bravo	2000	78.0%	93.0%	57.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Leonardo Bravo	2017	94.5%	98.9%	87.5%
Mexico	Lerdo	2000	97.0%	98.3%	95.1%
Mexico	Lerdo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Lerdo De Tejada	2000	88.5%	99.8%	54.9%
Mexico	Lerdo De Tejada	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.9%
Mexico	Lerma	2000	90.6%	97.0%	80.7%
Mexico	Lerma	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.8%
Mexico	Libres	2000	96.2%	99.7%	87.0%
Mexico	Libres	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Linares	2000	97.1%	98.9%	94.3%
Mexico	Linares	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Llera	2000	86.2%	92.3%	76.6%
Mexico	Llera	2017	98.8%	99.6%	97.0%
Mexico	Lolotla	2000	93.7%	99.8%	77.7%
Mexico	Lolotla	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Loma Bonita	2000	82.6%	95.1%	63.3%
Mexico	Loma Bonita	2017	98.5%	99.8%	95.1%
Mexico	Lopez	2000	91.9%	99.8%	61.3%
Mexico	Lopez	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Loreto	2000	92.3%	98.1%	80.7%
Mexico	Loreto	2000	97.2%	99.3%	92.1%
Mexico	Loreto	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Mexico	Loreto	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Los Aldamas	2000	93.7%	99.7%	67.8%
Mexico	Los Aldamas	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Los Cabos	2000	98.1%	99.2%	95.7%
Mexico	Los Cabos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Los Herreras	2000	98.5%	99.9%	94.9%
Mexico	Los Herreras	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Los Ramones	2000	92.3%	98.6%	80.8%
Mexico	Los Ramones	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2000	75.1%	96.6%	29.5%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2000	81.1%	94.9%	60.8%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2017	94.0%	99.8%	59.8%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2017	97.5%	99.8%	89.7%
Mexico	Los Reyes De Juarez	2000	95.7%	98.5%	89.9%
Mexico	Los Reyes De Juarez	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Luis Moya	2000	97.4%	99.2%	93.5%
Mexico	Luis Moya	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Luvianos	2000	84.9%	99.0%	56.6%
Mexico	Luvianos	2017	97.9%	100.0%	87.2%
Mexico	Macuspana	2000	81.4%	89.0%	72.8%
Mexico	Macuspana	2017	97.4%	99.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Madera	2000	96.1%	98.4%	92.1%
Mexico	Madera	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Madero	2000	90.4%	98.7%	68.8%
Mexico	Madero	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.9%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	93.3%	99.8%	57.2%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	75.1%	99.8%	21.7%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	98.6%	99.9%	92.9%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	94.9%	100.0%	64.9%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	76.8%	89.4%	60.6%
Mexico	Apasco				
Mexico	Magdalena Apasco	2017	98.3%	99.7%	94.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Magdalena Jaltepec	2000	85.4%	99.5%	52.7%
Mexico	Magdalena Jaltepec	2017	97.9%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	Magdalena Mixtepec	2000	60.1%	89.4%	23.1%
Mexico	Magdalena Mixtepec	2017	89.4%	99.5%	61.4%
Mexico	Magdalena Ocotlan	2000	61.2%	88.2%	33.6%
Mexico	Magdalena Ocotlan	2017	90.6%	99.5%	72.3%
Mexico	Magdalena Penasco	2000	85.2%	99.2%	46.5%
Mexico	Magdalena Penasco	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.0%
Mexico	Magdalena Teitipac	2000	92.0%	99.9%	58.4%
Mexico	Magdalena Teitipac	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Magdalena Tequisistlan	2000	89.4%	99.3%	51.6%
Mexico	Magdalena Tequisistlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	Magdalena Tlacotepec	2000	90.4%	100.0%	53.9%
Mexico	Magdalena Tlacotepec	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Magdalena Yodocono De Porfirio Diaz	2000	83.0%	99.8%	39.6%
Mexico	Magdalena Yodocono De Porfirio Diaz	2017	97.6%	100.0%	85.7%
Mexico	Magdalena Zahuatlan	2000	83.7%	99.1%	46.2%
Mexico	Magdalena Zahuatlan	2017	97.6%	100.0%	84.6%
Mexico	Maguarichi	2000	89.7%	98.4%	66.0%
Mexico	Maguarichi	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.8%
Mexico	Mainero	2000	90.0%	99.6%	52.1%
Mexico	Mainero	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Malinalco	2000	89.5%	99.5%	61.1%
Mexico	Malinalco	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Malinaltepec	2000	65.4%	81.7%	46.7%
Mexico	Malinaltepec	2017	88.5%	95.1%	76.5%
Mexico	Maltrata	2000	85.1%	98.8%	45.0%
Mexico	Maltrata	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.2%
Mexico	Mama	2000	96.6%	100.0%	78.0%
Mexico	Mama	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Mani	2000	91.5%	99.6%	66.2%
Mexico	Mani	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Manlio Fabio Altamirano	2000	89.0%	97.1%	72.7%
Mexico	Manlio Fabio Altamirano	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Manuel Benavides	2000	94.3%	98.3%	87.7%
Mexico	Manuel Benavides	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.1%
Mexico	Manuel Doblado	2000	95.3%	99.5%	87.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Manuel Doblado	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Manzanillo	2000	93.8%	99.0%	79.0%
Mexico	Manzanillo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Mapastepec	2000	75.4%	84.6%	65.5%
Mexico	Mapastepec	2017	97.7%	99.1%	95.0%
Mexico	Mapimi	2000	92.1%	97.1%	81.3%
Mexico	Mapimi	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Mexico	Maravatio	2000	90.6%	96.8%	80.8%
Mexico	Maravatio	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.2%
Mexico	Maravilla	2000	84.1%	98.9%	56.4%
Mexico	Tenejapa Maravilla	2017	97.7%	99.9%	89.2%
Mexico	Marcos Castellanos	2000	90.5%	99.5%	63.4%
Mexico	Marcos Castellanos	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Mariano Escobedo	2000	88.6%	96.5%	71.6%
Mexico	Mariano Escobedo	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.1%
Mexico	Marin	2000	90.2%	99.6%	59.6%
Mexico	Marin	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Mariscal De Juarez	2000	82.9%	98.1%	42.8%
Mexico	Mariscal De Juarez	2017	97.8%	99.9%	85.6%
Mexico	Marquelia	2000	89.3%	99.5%	57.4%
Mexico	Marquelia	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Marques De Comillas	2000	83.7%	96.2%	62.3%
Mexico	Marques De Comillas	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.1%
Mexico	Martinez De La Torre	2000	90.4%	96.5%	81.4%
Mexico	Martinez De La Torre	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Martir De Cuilapan	2000	89.3%	98.4%	68.0%
Mexico	Martir De Cuilapan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.5%
Mexico	Martires De Tacubaya	2000	87.8%	99.9%	45.8%
Mexico	Martires De Tacubaya	2017	98.3%	100.0%	84.3%
Mexico	Mascota	2000	90.6%	98.2%	69.6%
Mexico	Mascota	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Matachi	2000	90.3%	99.6%	58.1%
Mexico	Matachi	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	95.3%	98.4%	88.7%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	90.9%	98.7%	71.1%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	94.3%	97.8%	87.6%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.4%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Matehuala	2000	88.8%	95.8%	75.2%
Mexico	Matehuala	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.4%
Mexico	Matias Romero Avendano	2000	83.8%	90.9%	73.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Matias Romero Avendano	2017	98.5%	99.5%	96.2%
Mexico	Matlapa	2000	78.9%	87.8%	68.1%
Mexico	Matlapa	2017	98.5%	99.4%	96.1%
Mexico	Maxcanu	2000	94.9%	99.2%	80.4%
Mexico	Maxcanu	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Mayapan	2000	92.2%	100.0%	53.7%
Mexico	Mayapan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	91.1%
Mexico	Mazamitla	2000	93.6%	99.8%	70.8%
Mexico	Mazamitla	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.5%
Mexico	Mazapa De Madero	2000	80.6%	99.7%	38.8%
Mexico	Mazapa De Madero	2017	96.3%	100.0%	73.8%
Mexico	Mazapil	2000	87.1%	93.0%	79.2%
Mexico	Mazapil	2017	98.4%	99.5%	95.8%
Mexico	Mazapiltepec	2000	95.7%	99.2%	87.6%
Mexico	Mazapiltepec De Juarez	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Mazatan	2000	94.0%	99.9%	67.1%
Mexico	Mazatan	2000	87.9%	99.5%	58.0%
Mexico	Mazatan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Mazatan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	Mazatecochco	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.7%
Mexico	Mazatecochco De Jose Maria Morelos	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Mazatepec	2000	90.1%	98.0%	72.9%
Mexico	Mazatepec	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.9%
Mexico	Mazatlan	2000	98.0%	99.1%	95.5%
Mexico	Mazatlan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Mazatlan Villa De Flores	2000	73.9%	86.1%	55.1%
Mexico	Mazatlan Villa De Flores	2017	97.6%	99.2%	92.6%
Mexico	Mecatlan	2000	45.4%	63.0%	31.1%
Mexico	Mecatlan	2017	89.4%	95.9%	79.1%
Mexico	Mecayapan	2000	87.7%	98.6%	57.9%
Mexico	Mecayapan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Medellin	2000	82.4%	89.4%	72.8%
Mexico	Medellin	2017	95.9%	98.2%	90.6%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	93.2%	96.9%	87.6%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	85.9%	97.7%	63.1%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.1%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	97.8%	99.9%	89.5%
Mexico	Mendez	2000	92.9%	98.7%	79.9%
Mexico	Mendez	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Meoqui	2000	86.7%	95.3%	71.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Meoqui	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.7%
Mexico	Merida	2000	96.9%	98.5%	94.3%
Mexico	Merida	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Mesones Hidalgo	2000	85.1%	99.4%	52.5%
Mexico	Mesones Hidalgo	2017	98.4%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Metapa	2000	95.9%	99.5%	85.7%
Mexico	Metapa	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Metepec	2000	97.0%	98.5%	94.3%
Mexico	Metepec	2000	95.6%	98.9%	87.8%
Mexico	Metepec	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Metepec	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Metlatonoc	2000	84.3%	95.6%	68.5%
Mexico	Metlatonoc	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.6%
Mexico	Metztitlan	2000	88.1%	99.0%	63.6%
Mexico	Metztitlan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.4%
Mexico	Mexicali	2000	97.9%	98.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Mexicali	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Mexicaltzingo	2000	98.0%	99.8%	89.7%
Mexico	Mexicaltzingo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Mexquitic De Carmona	2000	74.2%	85.7%	62.2%
Mexico	Mexquitic De Carmona	2017	96.3%	98.7%	90.4%
Mexico	Mexticacan	2000	88.4%	99.5%	55.3%
Mexico	Mexticacan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	Mezquital	2000	90.0%	94.4%	82.2%
Mexico	Mezquital	2017	98.8%	99.6%	96.6%
Mexico	Mezquital Del Oro	2000	90.0%	99.8%	47.7%
Mexico	Mezquital Del Oro	2017	98.7%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	Mezquitic	2000	72.3%	83.6%	58.4%
Mexico	Mezquitic	2017	93.5%	97.9%	86.8%
Mexico	Miacatlan	2000	92.9%	98.2%	81.7%
Mexico	Miacatlan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Miahuatlan	2000	90.0%	99.9%	55.0%
Mexico	Miahuatlan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Miahuatlan De Porfirio Diaz	2000	79.3%	89.6%	69.1%
Mexico	Miahuatlan De Porfirio Diaz	2017	98.3%	99.5%	94.6%
Mexico	Mier	2000	84.6%	99.1%	49.6%
Mexico	Mier	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Mier Y Noriega	2000	91.6%	98.5%	76.4%
Mexico	Mier Y Noriega	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.9%
Mexico	Miguel Aleman	2000	92.3%	98.5%	73.2%
Mexico	Miguel Aleman	2017	99.5%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Miguel Auza	2000	95.4%	98.6%	90.5%
Mexico	Miguel Auza	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Miguel Hidalgo	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Mexico	Miguel Hidalgo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Milpa Alta	2000	96.7%	99.8%	86.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Milpa Alta	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Mina	2000	98.1%	99.6%	93.7%
Mexico	Mina	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2000	91.8%	94.3%	89.1%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2000	98.0%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.4%
Mexico	Mineral De La Reforma	2000	94.8%	98.8%	86.8%
Mexico	Mineral De La Reforma	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Mineral Del Chico	2000	93.6%	99.7%	80.6%
Mexico	Mineral Del Chico	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.9%
Mexico	Mineral Del Monte	2000	78.1%	99.2%	38.1%
Mexico	Mineral Del Monte	2017	96.7%	100.0%	81.4%
Mexico	Miquihuana	2000	91.2%	99.2%	64.3%
Mexico	Miquihuana	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Misantla	2000	55.8%	67.9%	43.9%
Mexico	Misantla	2017	86.9%	94.9%	77.4%
Mexico	Mitontic	2000	78.0%	99.3%	41.6%
Mexico	Mitontic	2017	96.2%	100.0%	78.9%
Mexico	Mixistlan De La Reforma	2000	80.9%	99.9%	25.5%
Mexico	Mixistlan De La Reforma	2017	96.4%	100.0%	68.9%
Mexico	Mixquiahuala De Juarez	2000	99.0%	99.9%	94.7%
Mexico	Mixquiahuala De Juarez	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Mixtla	2000	93.7%	99.5%	79.9%
Mexico	Mixtla	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Mixtla De Altamirano	2000	84.7%	99.8%	43.8%
Mexico	Mixtla De Altamirano	2017	97.8%	100.0%	86.0%
Mexico	Mixtlan	2000	92.1%	99.4%	75.2%
Mexico	Mixtlan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Mochitlan	2000	89.8%	99.1%	69.9%
Mexico	Mochitlan	2017	98.9%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Mococho	2000	91.9%	100.0%	50.5%
Mexico	Mococho	2017	99.1%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Mocorito	2000	93.4%	97.8%	85.6%
Mexico	Mocorito	2017	99.3%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2000	93.3%	100.0%	63.3%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2000	89.9%	96.4%	79.7%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Molango De Escamilla	2000	96.6%	99.8%	86.9%
Mexico	Molango De Escamilla	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Molcaxac	2000	83.2%	99.4%	46.7%
Mexico	Molcaxac	2017	97.7%	100.0%	87.3%
Mexico	Moloacan	2000	72.2%	92.5%	34.2%
Mexico	Moloacan	2017	93.4%	99.2%	71.8%
Mexico	Momax	2000	90.8%	99.9%	59.9%
Mexico	Momax	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	Monclova	2000	98.8%	99.5%	97.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Monclova	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Monjas	2000	82.8%	98.2%	52.7%
Mexico	Monjas	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Monte Es-cobedo	2000	89.0%	97.7%	68.9%
Mexico	Monte Es-cobedo	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.3%
Mexico	Montecristo De Guerrero	2000	82.5%	99.0%	46.5%
Mexico	Montecristo De Guerrero	2017	97.5%	100.0%	81.0%
Mexico	Montemorelos	2000	97.2%	99.2%	94.0%
Mexico	Montemorelos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Monterrey	2000	99.2%	99.7%	98.5%
Mexico	Monterrey	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Morelia	2000	79.6%	89.3%	66.5%
Mexico	Morelia	2017	94.9%	98.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	82.0%	91.7%	68.5%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	98.8%	99.9%	89.0%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	84.3%	95.7%	61.1%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	96.8%	99.6%	87.5%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	97.3%	99.7%	88.7%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	97.3%	99.2%	93.5%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	98.4%	99.8%	93.0%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Moris	2000	88.2%	98.2%	64.2%
Mexico	Moris	2017	98.6%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Moroleon	2000	98.6%	99.8%	95.4%
Mexico	Moroleon	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Motozintla	2000	87.6%	97.5%	71.5%
Mexico	Motozintla	2017	98.4%	99.9%	93.3%
Mexico	Motul	2000	95.3%	98.1%	91.2%
Mexico	Motul	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Moyahua De Estrada	2000	89.2%	99.7%	56.5%
Mexico	Moyahua De Estrada	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.7%
Mexico	Mugica	2000	86.4%	99.4%	50.0%
Mexico	Mugica	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Mulege	2000	75.9%	81.3%	69.0%
Mexico	Mulege	2017	97.3%	98.4%	95.8%
Mexico	Muna	2000	98.9%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Muna	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Munoz De Domingo Arenas	2000	99.2%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	Munoz De Domingo Arenas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Muxupip	2000	98.4%	99.7%	95.4%
Mexico	Muxupip	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Muzquiz	2000	97.2%	99.3%	91.7%
Mexico	Muzquiz	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Nacajuca	2000	91.9%	97.7%	82.7%
Mexico	Nacajuca	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.4%
Mexico	Naco	2000	95.0%	99.9%	72.0%
Mexico	Naco	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Nacori Chico	2000	88.8%	98.9%	57.0%
Mexico	Nacori Chico	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.4%
Mexico	Nacozari De Garcia	2000	97.1%	100.0%	81.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Nacozari De Garcia	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.9%
Mexico	Nadadores	2000	96.4%	99.7%	80.2%
Mexico	Nadadores	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Nahuatzen	2000	91.9%	99.4%	70.3%
Mexico	Nahuatzen	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Namiquipa	2000	85.0%	90.4%	78.2%
Mexico	Namiquipa	2017	98.4%	99.4%	96.5%
Mexico	Nanacamilpa	2000	98.9%	99.9%	93.9%
Mexico	De Mariano Arista				
Mexico	Nanacamilpa De Mariano Arista	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Nanchital De Lazaro Cardenas Del Rio	2000	93.3%	97.7%	86.5%
Mexico	Nanchital De Lazaro Cardenas Del Rio	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Naolinco	2000	91.6%	99.9%	59.0%
Mexico	Naolinco	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Naranjal	2000	72.8%	96.9%	37.7%
Mexico	Naranjal	2017	95.5%	99.9%	81.6%
Mexico	Naranjos Amatlan	2000	91.5%	98.3%	79.3%
Mexico	Naranjos Amatlan	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Mexico	Natividad	2000	87.6%	99.6%	40.9%
Mexico	Natividad	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.5%
Mexico	Nativitas	2000	95.5%	97.7%	91.8%
Mexico	Nativitas	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	Naucalpan De Juarez	2000	94.9%	97.8%	90.2%
Mexico	Naucalpan De Juarez	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Naupan	2000	85.8%	99.7%	47.8%
Mexico	Naupan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.1%
Mexico	Nautla	2000	86.8%	97.0%	68.0%
Mexico	Nautla	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.3%
Mexico	Nauzontla	2000	76.5%	99.6%	23.3%
Mexico	Nauzontla	2017	95.6%	100.0%	71.5%
Mexico	Nava	2000	95.2%	99.4%	83.2%
Mexico	Nava	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Navojoa	2000	97.3%	98.7%	95.0%
Mexico	Navojoa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Navolato	2000	93.6%	97.6%	85.7%
Mexico	Navolato	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Mexico	Nazareno Etna	2000	89.9%	95.5%	82.8%
Mexico	Nazareno Etna	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Mexico	Nazas	2000	94.0%	99.2%	76.7%
Mexico	Nazas	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Nealtican	2000	95.8%	98.8%	88.7%
Mexico	Nealtican	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Nejapa De Madero	2000	86.3%	99.2%	53.0%
Mexico	Nejapa De Madero	2017	98.1%	100.0%	87.1%
Mexico	Nextlalpan	2000	95.9%	98.4%	92.5%
Mexico	Nextlalpan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Nezahualcoyotl	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.9%
Mexico	Nezahualcoyotl	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Nicolas Bravo	2000	94.3%	99.4%	76.2%
Mexico	Nicolas Bravo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.7%
Mexico	Nicolas Flores	2000	88.0%	99.8%	51.8%
Mexico	Nicolas Flores	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Nicolas Romero	2000	93.4%	96.9%	84.9%
Mexico	Nicolas Romero	2017	99.6%	99.9%	97.9%
Mexico	Nicolas Ruiz	2000	99.0%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	Nicolas Ruiz	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Nochistlan De Mejia	2000	96.4%	99.0%	92.1%
Mexico	Nochistlan De Mejia	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Nocupetaro	2000	88.8%	99.2%	64.7%
Mexico	Nocupetaro	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	Nogales	2000	50.9%	61.7%	40.9%
Mexico	Nogales	2000	98.6%	99.7%	95.6%
Mexico	Nogales	2017	94.1%	96.7%	90.0%
Mexico	Nogales	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Nombre De Dios	2000	93.7%	98.8%	82.8%
Mexico	Nombre De Dios	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Nonoava	2000	90.3%	99.1%	60.0%
Mexico	Nonoava	2017	98.9%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Nopala De Villagran	2000	87.2%	99.1%	59.8%
Mexico	Nopala De Villagran	2017	98.4%	100.0%	91.6%
Mexico	Nopaltepec	2000	92.9%	99.5%	62.8%
Mexico	Nopaltepec	2017	99.3%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Nopalucan	2000	93.0%	99.9%	62.6%
Mexico	Nopalucan	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Noria De Angeles	2000	95.0%	99.7%	82.9%
Mexico	Noria De Angeles	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Nuevo Casas Grandes	2000	95.5%	98.3%	90.7%
Mexico	Nuevo Casas Grandes	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Nuevo Ideal	2000	90.2%	96.9%	77.7%
Mexico	Nuevo Ideal	2017	98.9%	99.9%	96.4%
Mexico	Nuevo Laredo	2000	90.4%	95.7%	81.6%
Mexico	Nuevo Laredo	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.4%
Mexico	Nuevo Morelos	2000	89.5%	99.8%	46.5%
Mexico	Nuevo Morelos	2017	98.3%	100.0%	82.8%
Mexico	Nuevo Parangaricutiro	2000	97.3%	99.8%	89.6%
Mexico	Nuevo Parangaricutiro	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Nuevo Urecho	2000	88.4%	99.6%	55.9%
Mexico	Nuevo Urecho	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.8%
Mexico	Nuevo Zoquiapam	2000	88.4%	99.8%	46.1%
Mexico	Nuevo Zoquiapam	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Numaran	2000	88.3%	99.9%	55.5%
Mexico	Numaran	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.2%
Mexico	Oaxaca De	2000	86.5%	88.6%	84.0%
	Juarez				
Mexico	Oaxaca De	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
	Juarez				
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	91.9%	97.8%	80.4%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	90.4%	98.5%	75.2%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	91.3%	98.6%	77.4%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	71.7%	82.4%	58.8%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	88.0%	99.8%	54.4%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	90.3%	97.0%	80.5%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	92.6%	96.7%	85.1%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Ocosingo	2000	81.9%	87.6%	73.3%
Mexico	Ocosingo	2017	97.0%	98.7%	94.4%
Mexico	Ocotepc	2000	82.9%	98.6%	54.0%
Mexico	Ocotepc	2000	88.9%	100.0%	44.4%
Mexico	Ocotepc	2017	98.5%	100.0%	92.1%
Mexico	Ocotepc	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.3%
Mexico	Ocotlan	2000	94.2%	97.1%	89.9%
Mexico	Ocotlan	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Ocotlan De	2000	56.8%	74.7%	37.5%
	Morelos				
Mexico	Ocotlan De	2017	89.3%	96.8%	77.3%
	Morelos				
Mexico	Ocoyoacac	2000	82.4%	96.7%	54.9%
Mexico	Ocoyoacac	2017	98.4%	99.9%	92.4%
Mexico	Ocoyucan	2000	74.4%	80.9%	67.8%
Mexico	Ocoyucan	2017	95.7%	97.6%	93.6%
Mexico	Ocozacoautla	2000	90.0%	95.9%	82.8%
	De Espinosa				
Mexico	Ocozacoautla	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.9%
	De Espinosa				
Mexico	Ocuilan	2000	88.1%	99.4%	56.0%
Mexico	Ocuilan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	Ocuituco	2000	97.4%	99.1%	93.5%
Mexico	Ocuituco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Ojinaga	2000	91.5%	98.0%	75.8%
Mexico	Ojinaga	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.7%
Mexico	Ojocaliente	2000	94.5%	98.8%	83.3%
Mexico	Ojocaliente	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.2%
Mexico	Ojuelos De	2000	91.7%	98.8%	69.8%
	Jalisco				
Mexico	Ojuelos De	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.7%
	Jalisco				
Mexico	Olinala	2000	86.2%	97.0%	61.9%
Mexico	Olinala	2017	98.5%	99.9%	92.3%
Mexico	Olintla	2000	50.8%	59.3%	41.8%
Mexico	Olintla	2017	92.0%	94.2%	89.0%
Mexico	Oluta	2000	84.7%	99.7%	48.2%
Mexico	Oluta	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	Omealca	2000	81.3%	94.0%	61.6%
Mexico	Omealca	2017	98.1%	99.7%	94.3%
Mexico	Ometepec	2000	77.2%	85.5%	66.5%
Mexico	Ometepec	2017	98.2%	99.3%	96.2%
Mexico	Omitlan De	2000	83.7%	99.5%	47.5%
	Juarez				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Omitlan De Juarez	2017	97.3%	100.0%	86.5%
Mexico	Onavas	2000	90.6%	99.9%	51.7%
Mexico	Onavas	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.7%
Mexico	Opichen	2000	87.5%	99.9%	42.5%
Mexico	Opichen	2017	97.9%	100.0%	81.1%
Mexico	Opodepe	2000	92.9%	99.7%	69.5%
Mexico	Opodepe	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Oquitoa	2000	90.7%	99.9%	38.5%
Mexico	Oquitoa	2017	98.5%	100.0%	84.4%
Mexico	Oriental	2000	93.8%	99.8%	76.4%
Mexico	Oriental	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.7%
Mexico	Orizaba	2000	88.8%	92.2%	85.3%
Mexico	Orizaba	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Mexico	Ostuacan	2000	78.3%	95.9%	51.6%
Mexico	Ostuacan	2017	96.2%	99.8%	83.4%
Mexico	Osumacinta	2000	83.0%	99.1%	39.6%
Mexico	Osumacinta	2017	97.3%	100.0%	80.3%
Mexico	Otaez	2000	87.5%	97.1%	69.6%
Mexico	Otaez	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.5%
Mexico	Otatitlan	2000	70.6%	95.2%	27.3%
Mexico	Otatitlan	2017	90.9%	99.5%	63.5%
Mexico	Oteapan	2000	88.1%	99.8%	68.1%
Mexico	Oteapan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Othon Blanco	P. 2000	89.9%	94.2%	84.6%
Mexico	Othon Blanco	P. 2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.3%
Mexico	Otumba	2000	96.0%	99.9%	76.0%
Mexico	Otumba	2017	99.6%	100.0%	95.9%
Mexico	Otzoloapan	2000	87.3%	99.6%	51.1%
Mexico	Otzoloapan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Otzolotepec	2000	95.5%	99.9%	76.5%
Mexico	Otzolotepec	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Oxchuc	2000	83.0%	96.1%	54.7%
Mexico	Oxchuc	2017	98.0%	99.8%	89.9%
Mexico	Oxkutzcab	2000	90.7%	99.4%	67.4%
Mexico	Oxkutzcab	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Ozuluama De Mascarenas	2000	86.2%	95.7%	67.6%
Mexico	Ozuluama De Mascarenas	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.2%
Mexico	Ozumba	2000	91.7%	100.0%	50.9%
Mexico	Ozumba	2017	98.9%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Pabellon De Arteaga	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.5%
Mexico	Pabellon De Arteaga	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Pachuca De Soto	2000	96.2%	98.8%	92.2%
Mexico	Pachuca De Soto	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Pacula	2000	91.6%	99.7%	61.9%
Mexico	Pacula	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.7%
Mexico	Padilla	2000	93.8%	99.8%	70.5%
Mexico	Padilla	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.9%
Mexico	Pahuatlan	2000	85.9%	99.6%	33.3%
Mexico	Pahuatlan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	81.3%
Mexico	Pajacuaran	2000	91.5%	99.9%	66.3%
Mexico	Pajacuaran	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Pajapan	2000	86.4%	99.4%	55.0%
Mexico	Pajapan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Palenque	2000	83.9%	91.6%	70.9%
Mexico	Palenque	2017	97.6%	99.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Palizada	2000	91.5%	95.6%	85.0%
Mexico	Palizada	2017	98.4%	99.5%	96.7%
Mexico	Palmar De Bravo	2000	92.3%	97.5%	82.5%
Mexico	Palmar De Bravo	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.3%
Mexico	Pamillas	2000	91.8%	99.5%	69.8%
Mexico	Pamillas	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.4%
Mexico	Panaba	2000	92.0%	99.5%	65.7%
Mexico	Panaba	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Panindicuaro	2000	92.8%	99.7%	72.6%
Mexico	Panindicuaro	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Panotla	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	Panotla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Pantelho	2000	71.1%	87.5%	54.0%
Mexico	Pantelho	2017	96.5%	99.2%	90.2%
Mexico	Pantepec	2000	59.4%	77.4%	37.2%
Mexico	Pantepec	2000	86.9%	98.9%	60.7%
Mexico	Pantepec	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Pantepec	2017	95.3%	98.6%	85.9%
Mexico	Panuco	2000	85.6%	93.4%	70.7%
Mexico	Panuco	2000	94.4%	99.5%	81.6%
Mexico	Panuco	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Panuco	2017	98.6%	99.6%	96.0%
Mexico	Panuco De Coronado	2000	95.5%	99.2%	86.2%
Mexico	Panuco De Coronado	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Papalotla	2000	95.9%	100.0%	63.5%
Mexico	Papalotla	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.1%
Mexico	Papalotla De Xicohtencatl	2000	97.3%	98.8%	94.5%
Mexico	Papalotla De Xicohtencatl	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Papantla	2000	82.6%	89.5%	73.5%
Mexico	Papantla	2017	98.2%	99.4%	95.6%
Mexico	Paracho	2000	89.4%	99.5%	54.1%
Mexico	Paracho	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Paracuaro	2000	89.8%	98.7%	68.1%
Mexico	Paracuaro	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Paraiso	2000	85.8%	95.0%	67.6%
Mexico	Paraiso	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.1%
Mexico	Paras	2000	91.7%	99.7%	57.5%
Mexico	Paras	2017	99.1%	100.0%	90.9%
Mexico	Parras	2000	94.7%	98.2%	88.7%
Mexico	Parras	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Paso De Ovejas	2000	87.9%	99.2%	60.4%
Mexico	Paso De Ovejas	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Paso Del Macho	2000	76.2%	95.7%	49.1%
Mexico	Paso Del Macho	2017	96.7%	99.7%	87.8%
Mexico	Patzcuaro	2000	94.2%	97.6%	88.2%
Mexico	Patzcuaro	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	Pedro Ascencio Alquisiras	2000	88.4%	99.4%	54.0%
Mexico	Pedro Ascencio Alquisiras	2017	98.4%	100.0%	86.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Pedro Es-cobedo	2000	96.1%	99.5%	86.5%
Mexico	Pedro Es-cobedo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Penamiller	2000	84.8%	96.5%	66.4%
Mexico	Penamiller	2017	98.0%	99.8%	91.6%
Mexico	Penjamillo	2000	89.6%	97.7%	72.9%
Mexico	Penjamillo	2017	99.0%	99.9%	94.6%
Mexico	Penjamo	2000	89.6%	97.8%	73.3%
Mexico	Penjamo	2017	98.9%	99.9%	93.9%
Mexico	Penon Blanco	2000	90.3%	97.1%	79.1%
Mexico	Penon Blanco	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.9%
Mexico	Periban	2000	90.9%	98.8%	73.0%
Mexico	Periban	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.1%
Mexico	Perote	2000	79.1%	90.8%	64.5%
Mexico	Perote	2017	98.0%	99.5%	94.5%
Mexico	Pesqueria	2000	94.9%	97.9%	90.3%
Mexico	Pesqueria	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Petatlan	2000	91.5%	98.8%	66.6%
Mexico	Petatlan	2017	98.9%	99.9%	92.9%
Mexico	Petlalcingo	2000	96.3%	99.9%	84.8%
Mexico	Petlalcingo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Peto	2000	90.3%	98.9%	65.0%
Mexico	Peto	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.4%
Mexico	Piaxtla	2000	89.8%	98.6%	72.7%
Mexico	Piaxtla	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Pichucalco	2000	78.6%	91.7%	62.8%
Mexico	Pichucalco	2017	97.8%	99.6%	94.0%
Mexico	Piedras Ne-gras	2000	96.6%	99.3%	90.8%
Mexico	Piedras Ne-gras	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Pihuamo	2000	90.7%	99.5%	64.3%
Mexico	Pihuamo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Pijijiapan	2000	84.3%	92.0%	74.5%
Mexico	Pijijiapan	2017	98.1%	99.5%	95.1%
Mexico	Pilcaya	2000	75.0%	91.7%	47.5%
Mexico	Pilcaya	2017	93.5%	98.5%	83.0%
Mexico	Pinal De Amoles	2000	67.5%	77.3%	55.2%
Mexico	Pinal De Amoles	2017	90.0%	96.2%	81.6%
Mexico	Pinos	2000	86.8%	93.2%	77.9%
Mexico	Pinos	2017	98.4%	99.5%	96.5%
Mexico	Pinotepa De Don Luis	2000	84.0%	99.7%	45.9%
Mexico	Pinotepa De Don Luis	2017	98.0%	100.0%	87.9%
Mexico	Pisaflores	2000	85.2%	99.0%	56.5%
Mexico	Pisaflores	2017	98.3%	100.0%	90.0%
Mexico	Pitiquito	2000	94.1%	99.5%	79.3%
Mexico	Pitiquito	2017	99.1%	99.9%	94.0%
Mexico	Platon Sanchez	2000	77.3%	98.6%	41.0%
Mexico	Platon Sanchez	2017	95.9%	99.9%	77.1%
Mexico	Playa Vicente	2000	87.1%	96.2%	73.2%
Mexico	Playa Vicente	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.4%
Mexico	Playas De Rosarito	2000	98.4%	99.6%	95.8%
Mexico	Playas De Rosarito	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Pluma Hidalgo	2000	86.3%	99.9%	38.7%
Mexico	Pluma Hidalgo	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	Poanas	2000	91.4%	96.1%	83.9%
Mexico	Poanas	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Polotitlan	2000	87.3%	99.8%	53.1%
Mexico	Polotitlan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.4%
Mexico	Poncitlan	2000	93.8%	98.4%	84.1%
Mexico	Poncitlan	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Poza Rica De Hidalgo	2000	93.2%	96.6%	87.6%
Mexico	Poza Rica De Hidalgo	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Praxedis Guerrero	2000	93.2%	99.8%	68.6%
Mexico	Praxedis Guerrero	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Progreso	2000	93.7%	98.7%	82.5%
Mexico	Progreso	2000	96.0%	99.3%	89.7%
Mexico	Progreso	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Progreso	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Progreso De Obregon	2000	98.6%	99.6%	96.2%
Mexico	Progreso De Obregon	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Puebla	2000	85.4%	88.3%	82.2%
Mexico	Puebla	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.1%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	91.7%	99.8%	60.8%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	88.2%	93.5%	81.0%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	98.7%	99.3%	97.6%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	73.2%	97.1%	37.9%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo Solistahuacan	2017	95.1%	99.9%	75.1%
Mexico	Pueblo Viejo	2000	98.7%	99.7%	96.0%
Mexico	Pueblo Viejo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Puente De Ixtla	2000	95.4%	98.2%	90.1%
Mexico	Puente De Ixtla	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Puente Nacional	2000	88.4%	97.3%	72.4%
Mexico	Puente Nacional	2017	98.6%	99.9%	92.2%
Mexico	Puerto Peñasco	2000	97.7%	99.9%	85.1%
Mexico	Puerto Peñasco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Puerto Vallarta	2000	94.8%	97.8%	90.7%
Mexico	Puerto Vallarta	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Mexico	Pungarabato	2000	84.4%	98.3%	61.4%
Mexico	Pungarabato	2017	98.5%	100.0%	92.1%
Mexico	Purepero	2000	92.0%	98.8%	73.5%
Mexico	Purepero	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Purisima Del Rincon	2000	96.1%	99.3%	89.5%
Mexico	Purisima Del Rincon	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Puruandiro	2000	95.0%	99.2%	86.2%
Mexico	Puruandiro	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Putla Villa De Guerrero	2000	87.6%	94.9%	75.6%
Mexico	Putla Villa De Guerrero	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.0%
Mexico	Quecholac	2000	97.5%	99.9%	87.9%
Mexico	Quecholac	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Quechultenango	2000	86.8%	97.4%	63.1%
Mexico	Quechultenango	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.0%
Mexico	Querendaro	2000	88.0%	98.9%	64.5%
Mexico	Querendaro	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Queretaro	2000	86.7%	93.0%	80.1%
Mexico	Queretaro	2017	96.1%	99.1%	91.5%
Mexico	Quimixtlan	2000	71.5%	97.0%	38.9%
Mexico	Quimixtlan	2017	93.9%	99.9%	76.3%
Mexico	Quintana Roo	2000	90.0%	100.0%	47.9%
Mexico	Quintana Roo	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	Quiriego	2000	93.0%	98.7%	82.7%
Mexico	Quiriego	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.9%
Mexico	Quiroga	2000	89.6%	99.6%	56.3%
Mexico	Quiroga	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	Quitupan	2000	86.2%	99.1%	62.2%
Mexico	Quitupan	2017	98.1%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Rafael Delgado	2000	74.1%	91.4%	52.7%
Mexico	Rafael Delgado	2017	97.4%	99.7%	91.4%
Mexico	Rafael Lara Grajales	2000	92.9%	100.0%	55.0%
Mexico	Rafael Lara Grajales	2017	99.4%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Rafael Lucio	2000	86.9%	93.9%	73.4%
Mexico	Rafael Lucio	2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.2%
Mexico	Ramos Arizpe	2000	98.6%	99.4%	97.2%
Mexico	Ramos Arizpe	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	80.4%	95.5%	48.1%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	90.3%	99.9%	53.2%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	54.2%	70.3%	39.0%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	97.1%	99.5%	89.0%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	94.4%	98.1%	86.8%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	95.8%	99.6%	80.2%
Mexico	Rayones	2000	90.9%	99.3%	70.4%
Mexico	Rayones	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	Reforma	2000	90.3%	97.6%	77.1%
Mexico	Reforma	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Mexico	Reforma De Pineda	2000	79.9%	99.2%	41.4%
Mexico	Reforma De Pineda	2017	97.6%	100.0%	84.3%
Mexico	Reyes Etla	2000	87.1%	93.6%	78.2%
Mexico	Reyes Etla	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Mexico	Reynosa	2000	93.6%	96.3%	89.9%
Mexico	Reynosa	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Mexico	Rincon De Romos	2000	98.7%	99.9%	95.2%
Mexico	Rincon De Romos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Rio Blanco	2000	84.4%	87.6%	80.9%
Mexico	Rio Blanco	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Rio Bravo	2000	94.4%	97.6%	89.0%
Mexico	Rio Bravo	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	Rio Grande	2000	96.4%	99.1%	90.5%
Mexico	Rio Grande	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Rio Lagartos	2000	85.7%	99.8%	42.6%
Mexico	Rio Lagartos	2017	98.1%	100.0%	85.3%
Mexico	Rioverde	2000	90.6%	95.0%	85.3%
Mexico	Rioverde	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.0%
Mexico	Riva Palacio	2000	89.5%	98.4%	70.1%
Mexico	Riva Palacio	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.2%
Mexico	Rodeo	2000	89.1%	98.1%	74.9%
Mexico	Rodeo	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Rojas De Cuauhtemoc	2000	96.2%	99.8%	85.7%
Mexico	Rojas De Cuauhtemoc	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Romita	2000	85.9%	98.1%	64.1%
Mexico	Romita	2017	98.3%	99.9%	93.1%
Mexico	Rosales	2000	75.7%	94.1%	55.8%
Mexico	Rosales	2017	97.0%	99.8%	89.3%
Mexico	Rosamorada	2000	85.8%	94.9%	73.2%
Mexico	Rosamorada	2017	98.0%	99.8%	93.6%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	88.8%	97.9%	67.2%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	93.4%	98.3%	78.6%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	91.2%	99.5%	69.3%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.4%
Mexico	Ruiz	2000	90.4%	98.7%	64.3%
Mexico	Ruiz	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.9%
Mexico	Sabanilla	2000	90.9%	99.7%	64.6%
Mexico	Sabanilla	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Sabinas	2000	97.7%	99.5%	93.0%
Mexico	Sabinas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Sabinas Hidalgo	2000	97.3%	99.3%	92.9%
Mexico	Sabinas Hidalgo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Sacalum	2000	97.1%	99.8%	86.3%
Mexico	Sacalum	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Sacramento	2000	94.4%	99.9%	68.8%
Mexico	Sacramento	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Sahuaripa	2000	93.7%	98.5%	80.0%
Mexico	Sahuaripa	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Sahuayo	2000	92.8%	96.7%	85.7%
Mexico	Sahuayo	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Sain Alto	2000	90.9%	97.3%	81.5%
Mexico	Sain Alto	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Salamanca	2000	96.4%	99.2%	90.0%
Mexico	Salamanca	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Mexico	Salina Cruz	2000	93.9%	97.9%	84.2%
Mexico	Salina Cruz	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Salinas	2000	86.9%	96.5%	69.1%
Mexico	Salinas	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.6%
Mexico	Salinas Victoria	2000	98.6%	99.8%	94.8%
Mexico	Salinas Victoria	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Saltabarranca	2000	89.7%	98.9%	61.9%
Mexico	Saltabarranca	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Saltillo	2000	98.2%	99.2%	96.8%
Mexico	Saltillo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Salto De Agua	2000	85.6%	96.7%	69.1%
Mexico	Salto De Agua	2017	98.0%	99.8%	92.3%
Mexico	Salvador Alvarado	2000	96.3%	98.7%	91.8%
Mexico	Salvador Alvarado	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Salvador Escalante	2000	95.0%	99.5%	82.9%
Mexico	Salvador Escalante	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.2%
Mexico	Salvatierra	2000	92.9%	99.1%	79.8%
Mexico	Salvatierra	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.5%
Mexico	Samahil	2000	93.7%	99.9%	65.8%
Mexico	Samahil	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	San Agustin Amatengo	2000	82.3%	98.8%	40.7%
Mexico	San Agustin Amatengo	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.0%
Mexico	San Agustin Atenango	2000	87.2%	99.9%	45.8%
Mexico	San Agustin Atenango	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.9%
Mexico	San Agustin Chayuco	2000	85.8%	99.5%	47.9%
Mexico	San Agustin Chayuco	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.7%
Mexico	San Agustin De Las Juntas	2000	94.8%	97.1%	91.1%
Mexico	San Agustin De Las Juntas	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	San Agustin Etna	2000	89.2%	97.6%	75.3%
Mexico	San Agustin Etna	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	San Agustin Loxicha	2000	80.9%	95.3%	53.5%
Mexico	San Agustin Loxicha	2017	98.0%	99.8%	90.0%
Mexico	San Agustin Metzquitlan	2000	80.3%	92.8%	58.7%
Mexico	San Agustin Metzquitlan	2017	98.3%	99.7%	94.0%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlacotepec	2000	89.2%	99.9%	44.2%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlacotepec	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlaxiaca	2000	98.7%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlaxiaca	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	San Agustin Yatareni	2000	94.3%	96.3%	91.8%
Mexico	San Agustin Yatareni	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	San Andres Cabecera Nueva	2000	86.2%	99.5%	51.3%
Mexico	San Andres Cabecera Nueva	2017	97.9%	100.0%	85.6%
Mexico	San Andres Cholula	2000	79.7%	82.8%	76.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Andres Cholula	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Mexico	San Andres Dinicuiti	2000	91.3%	99.9%	50.6%
Mexico	San Andres Dinicuiti	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	San Andres Duraznal	2000	68.2%	79.4%	51.6%
Mexico	San Andres Duraznal	2017	97.0%	98.3%	93.4%
Mexico	San Andres Huaxpaltepec	2000	79.8%	99.1%	41.0%
Mexico	San Andres Huaxpaltepec	2017	97.0%	100.0%	80.5%
Mexico	San Andres Huayapam	2000	92.9%	98.7%	83.3%
Mexico	San Andres Huayapam	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	San Andres Ixtlahuaca	2000	88.2%	99.0%	57.4%
Mexico	San Andres Ixtlahuaca	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	San Andres Lagunas	2000	85.3%	99.8%	42.9%
Mexico	San Andres Lagunas	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.1%
Mexico	San Andres Nuxino	2000	88.3%	99.9%	43.4%
Mexico	San Andres Nuxino	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.5%
Mexico	San Andres Paxtlan	2000	86.9%	99.9%	41.8%
Mexico	San Andres Paxtlan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.0%
Mexico	San Andres Sinaxtla	2000	81.2%	94.0%	60.0%
Mexico	San Andres Sinaxtla	2017	98.7%	99.8%	93.5%
Mexico	San Andres Solaga	2000	89.6%	99.1%	68.8%
Mexico	San Andres Solaga	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	San Andres Tenejapan	2000	67.7%	97.6%	29.3%
Mexico	San Andres Tenejapan	2017	92.5%	99.9%	61.7%
Mexico	San Andres Teotilalpam	2000	82.7%	97.0%	55.3%
Mexico	San Andres Teotilalpam	2017	97.3%	99.9%	84.5%
Mexico	San Andres Tepetlapa	2000	89.7%	100.0%	44.7%
Mexico	San Andres Tepetlapa	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.2%
Mexico	San Andres Tuxtla	2000	83.1%	88.9%	74.5%
Mexico	San Andres Tuxtla	2017	98.4%	99.4%	96.2%
Mexico	San Andres Yaa	2000	82.1%	99.9%	35.8%
Mexico	San Andres Yaa	2017	97.9%	100.0%	83.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Andres Zabache	2000	89.8%	100.0%	35.6%
Mexico	San Andres Zabache	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.3%
Mexico	San Andres Zautla	2000	78.3%	89.0%	66.9%
Mexico	San Andres Zautla	2017	98.5%	99.6%	95.2%
Mexico	San Antonino Castillo Velasco	2000	70.0%	93.8%	36.6%
Mexico	San Antonino Castillo Velasco	2017	93.6%	99.8%	74.5%
Mexico	San Antonino El Alto	2000	89.5%	99.9%	56.5%
Mexico	San Antonino El Alto	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	San Antonino Monte Verde	2000	88.7%	99.9%	50.8%
Mexico	San Antonino Monte Verde	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.5%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2000	66.9%	73.9%	58.6%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2017	96.2%	97.8%	93.5%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2000	85.2%	99.9%	40.3%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.6%
Mexico	San Antonio Canada	2000	86.5%	98.8%	59.9%
Mexico	San Antonio Canada	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	San Antonio De La Cal	2000	96.2%	98.1%	92.7%
Mexico	San Antonio De La Cal	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	San Antonio Huitepec	2000	89.3%	99.3%	62.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Huitepec	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	San Antonio La Isla	2000	99.0%	99.9%	95.5%
Mexico	San Antonio La Isla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	San Antonio Nanahuatipam	2000	89.1%	99.7%	55.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Nanahuatipam	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	San Antonio Sinicahua	2000	86.9%	99.9%	40.1%
Mexico	San Antonio Sinicahua	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Tepetlapa	2000	82.0%	99.6%	33.3%
Mexico	San Antonio Tepetlapa	2017	97.4%	100.0%	79.6%
Mexico	San Baltazar Chichicapam	2000	89.7%	100.0%	52.0%
Mexico	San Baltazar Chichicapam	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Baltazar Loxicha	2000	90.0%	99.7%	58.9%
Mexico	San Baltazar Loxicha	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	San Baltazar Yatzachi El Bajo	2000	91.8%	99.3%	65.3%
Mexico	San Baltazar Yatzachi El Bajo	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	San Bartolo Coyotepec	2000	91.0%	99.5%	69.5%
Mexico	San Bartolo Coyotepec	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	San Bartolo Soyaltepec	2000	86.3%	99.5%	54.6%
Mexico	San Bartolo Soyaltepec	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	San Bartolo Tutotepec	2000	83.0%	92.6%	68.4%
Mexico	San Bartolo Tutotepec	2017	98.2%	99.6%	94.1%
Mexico	San Bartolo Yautepec	2000	90.2%	99.9%	46.3%
Mexico	San Bartolo Yautepec	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.2%
Mexico	San Bartolome Ayautla	2000	74.8%	99.6%	23.5%
Mexico	San Bartolome Ayautla	2017	94.7%	100.0%	69.5%
Mexico	San Bartolome Loxicha	2000	86.4%	99.7%	41.6%
Mexico	San Bartolome Loxicha	2017	98.2%	100.0%	84.2%
Mexico	San Bartolome Quialana	2000	88.7%	99.8%	54.8%
Mexico	San Bartolome Quialana	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	San Bartolome Yucane	2000	88.2%	99.9%	50.1%
Mexico	San Bartolome Yucane	2017	98.3%	100.0%	87.9%
Mexico	San Bartolome Zoogocho	2000	92.6%	98.4%	80.4%
Mexico	San Bartolome Zoogocho	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2000	88.7%	97.9%	71.4%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2017	98.4%	99.9%	91.9%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2000	86.1%	98.7%	46.3%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Blas	2000	87.7%	97.1%	73.3%
Mexico	San Blas	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.7%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2000	87.2%	98.5%	62.2%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2017	98.8%	99.9%	92.4%
Mexico	San Buenaventura	2000	96.2%	99.4%	85.8%
Mexico	San Buenaventura	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	San Carlos	2000	90.8%	97.1%	80.1%
Mexico	San Carlos	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.6%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2000	86.7%	95.5%	73.9%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2017	98.4%	99.8%	94.1%
Mexico	San Ciro De Acosta	2000	95.9%	99.6%	82.6%
Mexico	San Ciro De Acosta	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amatlan	2000	88.3%	100.0%	42.5%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amatlan	2017	98.1%	100.0%	83.7%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amoltepec	2000	84.1%	99.5%	36.8%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amoltepec	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	San Cristobal De La Barranca	2000	89.2%	99.5%	59.9%
Mexico	San Cristobal De La Barranca	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.0%
Mexico	San Cristobal De Las Casas	2000	84.5%	88.4%	79.6%
Mexico	San Cristobal De Las Casas	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.4%
Mexico	San Cristobal Lachirioag	2000	81.8%	99.7%	35.2%
Mexico	San Cristobal Lachirioag	2017	97.6%	100.0%	81.4%
Mexico	San Cristobal Suchixtlahuaca	2000	88.1%	99.7%	49.4%
Mexico	San Cristobal Suchixtlahuaca	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.1%
Mexico	San Damian Texoloc	2000	99.4%	99.9%	98.5%
Mexico	San Damian Texoloc	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Diego De Alejandria	2000	95.1%	99.7%	76.4%
Mexico	San Diego De Alejandria	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.3%
Mexico	San Diego De La Union	2000	89.8%	98.8%	68.1%
Mexico	San Diego De La Union	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	San Diego La Mesa Tochimiltzingo	2000	91.0%	99.8%	62.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Diego La Mesa Tochimiltzingo	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	San Dimas	2000	85.7%	92.5%	73.6%
Mexico	San Dimas	2017	98.3%	99.4%	95.5%
Mexico	San Dionisio Del Mar	2000	88.2%	99.4%	61.9%
Mexico	San Dionisio Del Mar	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.2%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotepc	2000	87.9%	96.8%	67.0%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotepc	2017	98.6%	99.9%	92.2%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotlan	2000	55.0%	80.1%	27.8%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotlan	2017	86.6%	97.3%	67.2%
Mexico	San Esteban Atatlahuca	2000	84.4%	99.7%	42.8%
Mexico	San Esteban Atatlahuca	2017	97.8%	100.0%	83.5%
Mexico	San Felipe	2000	93.6%	98.1%	85.6%
Mexico	San Felipe	2000	86.5%	99.9%	41.4%
Mexico	San Felipe	2017	97.8%	100.0%	83.9%
Mexico	San Felipe	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.7%
Mexico	San Felipe De Jesus	2000	98.1%	100.0%	88.0%
Mexico	San Felipe De Jesus	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	San Felipe Del Progreso	2000	81.7%	91.8%	67.7%
Mexico	San Felipe Del Progreso	2017	97.5%	99.6%	92.5%
Mexico	San Felipe Jalapa De Diaz	2000	55.7%	64.5%	46.9%
Mexico	San Felipe Jalapa De Diaz	2017	91.3%	94.5%	87.1%
Mexico	San Felipe Orizatlan	2000	80.9%	86.8%	73.1%
Mexico	San Felipe Orizatlan	2017	96.3%	97.8%	93.2%
Mexico	San Felipe Tejalapam	2000	90.7%	98.5%	73.2%
Mexico	San Felipe Tejalapam	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.9%
Mexico	San Felipe Teotlalcingo	2000	93.1%	97.5%	80.4%
Mexico	San Felipe Teotlalcingo	2017	99.6%	99.8%	98.7%
Mexico	San Felipe Tepatlan	2000	69.1%	95.8%	26.6%
Mexico	San Felipe Tepatlan	2017	94.9%	99.7%	73.7%
Mexico	San Felipe Usila	2000	74.9%	97.9%	34.2%
Mexico	San Felipe Usila	2017	94.9%	99.9%	74.1%
Mexico	San Fernando	2000	84.2%	97.4%	51.5%
Mexico	San Fernando	2000	92.2%	97.5%	84.0%
Mexico	San Fernando	2017	99.3%	99.9%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Fernando	2017	98.1%	99.9%	86.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Cahuacua	2000	86.5%	99.5%	51.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Cahuacua	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.2%
Mexico	San Francisco Cajonos	2000	90.4%	99.7%	61.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Cajonos	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Chapulapa	2000	62.6%	81.5%	41.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Chapulapa	2017	96.3%	99.1%	89.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Chindua	2000	82.4%	98.9%	43.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Chindua	2017	98.1%	100.0%	88.4%
Mexico	San Francisco De Borja	2000	90.2%	99.7%	53.2%
Mexico	San Francisco De Borja	2017	98.8%	100.0%	88.9%
Mexico	San Francisco De Conchos	2000	88.6%	99.6%	53.2%
Mexico	San Francisco De Conchos	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.4%
Mexico	San Francisco De Los Romo	2000	83.5%	96.1%	68.3%
Mexico	San Francisco De Los Romo	2017	97.9%	99.9%	91.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Mar	2000	84.4%	99.1%	48.5%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Mar	2017	97.7%	100.0%	89.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Oro	2000	92.2%	99.5%	62.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Oro	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Rincon	2000	97.5%	99.4%	93.5%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Rincon	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Huehuetlan	2000	96.4%	99.7%	88.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Huehuetlan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Ixhuatan	2000	83.6%	99.3%	47.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Ixhuatan	2017	97.9%	100.0%	88.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Jaltepetongo	2000	80.1%	99.0%	42.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Jaltepetongo	2017	97.3%	100.0%	84.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Lachigolo	2000	95.5%	99.9%	83.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Lachigolo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Logueche	2000	91.7%	100.0%	54.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Logueche	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Nuxano	2000	84.4%	99.8%	42.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Francisco Nuxano	2017	98.1%	100.0%	87.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Ozolotepec	2000	89.2%	99.9%	44.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Ozolotepec	2017	98.7%	100.0%	87.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Sola	2000	86.6%	99.7%	48.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Sola	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	San Francisco Telixtlahuaca	2000	83.4%	96.9%	60.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Telixtlahuaca	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Teopan	2000	85.7%	100.0%	30.2%
Mexico	San Francisco Teopan	2017	97.2%	100.0%	74.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Tetlanohcan	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	San Francisco Tetlanohcan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Tlapancingo	2000	87.7%	99.9%	53.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Tlapancingo	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	San Gabriel	2000	90.7%	99.5%	59.7%
Mexico	San Gabriel	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	San Gabriel Chilac	2000	96.7%	99.0%	91.8%
Mexico	San Gabriel Chilac	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	San Gabriel Mixtepec	2000	80.0%	97.7%	34.2%
Mexico	San Gabriel Mixtepec	2017	96.6%	99.9%	74.5%
Mexico	San Gregorio Atzompa	2000	86.5%	90.8%	80.4%
Mexico	San Gregorio Atzompa	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Mexico	San Ignacio	2000	91.0%	97.6%	79.5%
Mexico	San Ignacio	2017	99.1%	99.8%	96.0%
Mexico	San Ignacio Rio Muerto	2000	97.3%	99.8%	89.1%
Mexico	San Ignacio Rio Muerto	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Amatlan	2000	85.5%	99.4%	50.3%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Amatlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.2%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Sola	2000	82.8%	99.5%	45.7%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Sola	2017	98.1%	100.0%	89.3%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Villa Alta	2000	81.6%	99.2%	42.8%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Villa Alta	2017	97.1%	100.0%	80.8%
Mexico	San Jacinto Amilpas	2000	92.3%	96.1%	87.2%
Mexico	San Jacinto Amilpas	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Jacinto Tlacotepec	2000	89.2%	99.9%	54.3%
Mexico	San Jacinto Tlacotepec	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	San Javier	2000	94.2%	99.9%	68.1%
Mexico	San Javier	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Coatlan	2000	90.2%	99.4%	68.9%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Coatlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.0%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Silacayoapilla	2000	86.0%	99.8%	46.6%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Silacayoapilla	2017	98.4%	100.0%	86.0%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Sosola	2000	87.4%	99.4%	59.2%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Sosola	2017	98.3%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Taviche	2000	86.7%	98.0%	51.0%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Taviche	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecoatl	2000	97.8%	99.4%	94.4%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecoatl	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecuanipan	2000	90.5%	94.9%	84.4%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecuanipan	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tlacoahuaya	2000	92.0%	99.9%	65.5%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tlacoahuaya	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Xayacatlan	2000	90.1%	99.9%	48.1%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Xayacatlan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Zacualpan	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Zacualpan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Joaquin	2000	85.6%	97.6%	60.6%
Mexico	San Joaquin	2017	98.3%	99.8%	92.4%
Mexico	San Jorge Nuchita	2000	86.0%	99.4%	47.9%
Mexico	San Jorge Nuchita	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.1%
Mexico	San Jose Ayuquila	2000	88.3%	100.0%	45.7%
Mexico	San Jose Ayuquila	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.2%
Mexico	San Jose Chiapa	2000	94.9%	99.9%	71.0%
Mexico	San Jose Chiapa	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.7%
Mexico	San Jose Chiltepec	2000	86.2%	99.3%	52.8%
Mexico	San Jose Chiltepec	2017	98.1%	100.0%	85.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Jose De Gracia	2000	98.1%	99.9%	92.3%
Mexico	San Jose De Gracia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	San Jose Del Penasco	2000	84.6%	97.6%	66.1%
Mexico	San Jose Del Penasco	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	San Jose Del Progreso	2000	69.7%	95.1%	33.6%
Mexico	San Jose Del Progreso	2017	93.5%	99.8%	72.7%
Mexico	San Jose Del Rincon	2000	88.4%	98.9%	65.5%
Mexico	San Jose Del Rincon	2017	98.5%	100.0%	93.0%
Mexico	San Jose Estancia Grande	2000	81.3%	99.5%	36.1%
Mexico	San Jose Estancia Grande	2017	97.1%	100.0%	80.4%
Mexico	San Jose Independencia	2000	81.6%	99.7%	41.4%
Mexico	San Jose Independencia	2017	96.9%	100.0%	83.1%
Mexico	San Jose Iturbide	2000	96.2%	99.7%	87.3%
Mexico	San Jose Iturbide	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	San Jose Lachiguiri	2000	91.2%	100.0%	45.2%
Mexico	San Jose Lachiguiri	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.4%
Mexico	San Jose Miahuatlan	2000	93.8%	99.8%	69.1%
Mexico	San Jose Miahuatlan	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	San Jose Teacalco	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Mexico	San Jose Teacalco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Jose Tenango	2000	69.3%	92.1%	41.4%
Mexico	San Jose Tenango	2017	94.2%	99.6%	80.2%
Mexico	San Juan Achiutla	2000	86.9%	99.8%	43.3%
Mexico	San Juan Achiutla	2017	98.2%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	San Juan Atenco	2000	90.8%	99.9%	63.2%
Mexico	San Juan Atenco	2017	98.8%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	San Juan Atepec	2000	86.2%	99.9%	43.9%
Mexico	San Juan Atepec	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.6%
Mexico	San Juan Atzompa	2000	91.4%	99.9%	54.7%
Mexico	San Juan Atzompa	2017	99.3%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Atlatlaha	2000	88.4%	99.6%	57.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Atalahuca	2017	98.0%	100.0%	83.4%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Coixtlahuaca	2000	87.6%	99.5%	46.7%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Coixtlahuaca	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.0%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Cuicatlan	2000	84.9%	96.5%	63.1%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Cuicatlan	2017	98.2%	99.9%	91.8%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Guelache	2000	80.8%	95.7%	52.6%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Guelache	2017	97.9%	99.9%	88.9%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Jayacatlan	2000	88.6%	99.9%	51.7%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Jayacatlan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.7%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Lo De Soto	2000	86.9%	99.9%	48.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Lo De Soto	2017	98.1%	100.0%	87.9%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Suchitepec	2000	90.7%	100.0%	56.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Suchitepec	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlachichilco	2000	85.3%	98.5%	52.0%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlachichilco	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlacoatzintepec	2000	78.4%	99.8%	33.1%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlacoatzintepec	2017	96.1%	100.0%	72.8%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tuxtepec	2000	87.8%	94.6%	79.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tuxtepec	2017	98.1%	99.7%	94.3%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Valle Nacional	2000	76.6%	95.7%	51.3%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Valle Nacional	2017	96.6%	99.8%	86.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Cacahuatepec	2000	92.1%	99.9%	56.3%
Mexico	San Juan Cacahuatepec	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.0%
Mexico	San Juan Cancuc	2000	75.9%	96.5%	47.1%
Mexico	San Juan Cancuc	2017	95.4%	99.8%	83.7%
Mexico	San Juan Chicomezuchil	2000	89.0%	99.9%	41.1%
Mexico	San Juan Chicomezuchil	2017	98.4%	100.0%	85.6%
Mexico	San Juan Chilalteca	2000	70.9%	94.3%	37.0%
Mexico	San Juan Chilalteca	2017	93.8%	99.8%	74.9%
Mexico	San Juan Cieneguilla	2000	88.4%	99.7%	58.0%
Mexico	San Juan Cieneguilla	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	San Juan Coatzospam	2000	81.4%	96.8%	49.8%
Mexico	San Juan Coatzospam	2017	98.0%	99.9%	91.9%
Mexico	San Juan Colorado	2000	84.1%	99.7%	48.6%
Mexico	San Juan Colorado	2017	97.8%	100.0%	84.8%
Mexico	San Juan Comaltepec	2000	76.8%	96.6%	43.6%
Mexico	San Juan Comaltepec	2017	96.8%	99.9%	83.9%
Mexico	San Juan Cotzocon	2000	83.3%	95.0%	68.1%
Mexico	San Juan Cotzocon	2017	97.6%	99.8%	92.0%
Mexico	San Juan De Guadalupe	2000	90.0%	97.5%	77.8%
Mexico	San Juan De Guadalupe	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.9%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Cues	2000	84.5%	96.4%	56.3%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Cues	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Lagos	2000	95.4%	98.9%	88.9%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Lagos	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	San Juan De Sabinas	2000	98.9%	99.8%	96.8%
Mexico	San Juan De Sabinas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	San Juan Del Estado	2000	80.5%	98.7%	49.0%
Mexico	San Juan Del Estado	2017	97.8%	100.0%	87.4%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	94.8%	98.5%	86.7%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	91.9%	97.6%	82.5%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	92.3%	100.0%	56.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.0%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	San Juan Dixi	2000	84.1%	99.9%	35.0%
Mexico	San Juan Dixi	2017	97.8%	100.0%	84.3%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2000	87.9%	97.2%	70.4%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2017	98.4%	99.9%	94.1%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2000	87.1%	99.9%	48.1%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista Analco	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.0%
Mexico	San Juan Guelavia	2000	90.9%	100.0%	54.9%
Mexico	San Juan Guelavia	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	San Juan Guichicovi	2000	86.6%	95.1%	70.9%
Mexico	San Juan Guichicovi	2017	98.4%	99.7%	94.3%
Mexico	San Juan Huactzinco	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	San Juan Huactzinco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Juan Ihualtepec	2000	89.1%	99.9%	53.8%
Mexico	San Juan Ihualtepec	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Mixes	2000	77.8%	97.4%	42.2%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Mixes	2017	96.6%	99.9%	82.6%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Vijanos	2000	82.1%	98.6%	47.9%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Vijanos	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	San Juan Lachao	2000	72.9%	96.8%	41.9%
Mexico	San Juan Lachao	2017	95.8%	99.5%	83.5%
Mexico	San Juan Lachigalla	2000	88.6%	99.9%	48.2%
Mexico	San Juan Lachigalla	2017	98.6%	100.0%	87.8%
Mexico	San Juan Lajarcia	2000	90.2%	99.9%	53.7%
Mexico	San Juan Lajarcia	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.6%
Mexico	San Juan Lalana	2000	59.4%	75.4%	41.6%
Mexico	San Juan Lalana	2017	90.2%	95.5%	78.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Mazatlan	2000	84.0%	95.3%	67.1%
Mexico	San Juan Mazatlan	2017	97.6%	99.7%	89.9%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 08 -	2000	88.8%	99.3%	58.9%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 08 -	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2000	91.6%	100.0%	55.2%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	San Juan Numi	2000	88.3%	99.5%	58.0%
Mexico	San Juan Numi	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	San Juan Ozolotepec	2000	87.5%	99.6%	53.0%
Mexico	San Juan Ozolotepec	2017	98.2%	100.0%	89.4%
Mexico	San Juan Petlapa	2000	76.5%	98.6%	35.3%
Mexico	San Juan Petlapa	2017	95.6%	99.9%	79.4%
Mexico	San Juan Quiahije	2000	88.6%	99.9%	48.1%
Mexico	San Juan Quiahije	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.7%
Mexico	San Juan Quiotepec	2000	87.5%	99.7%	44.7%
Mexico	San Juan Quiotepec	2017	98.4%	100.0%	85.8%
Mexico	San Juan Sayultepec	2000	79.6%	96.0%	49.0%
Mexico	San Juan Sayultepec	2017	98.4%	99.9%	91.9%
Mexico	San Juan Tabaa	2000	82.9%	99.9%	42.8%
Mexico	San Juan Tabaa	2017	97.7%	100.0%	83.7%
Mexico	San Juan Tamazola	2000	85.5%	98.3%	59.2%
Mexico	San Juan Tamazola	2017	98.3%	99.9%	92.1%
Mexico	San Juan Teita	2000	81.3%	99.2%	38.9%
Mexico	San Juan Teita	2017	96.7%	100.0%	78.2%
Mexico	San Juan Teitipac	2000	89.8%	99.9%	49.8%
Mexico	San Juan Teitipac	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.6%
Mexico	San Juan Tepeuxila	2000	87.7%	99.3%	56.7%
Mexico	San Juan Tepeuxila	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	San Juan Teposcolula	2000	86.2%	99.8%	52.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Teposcolula	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.7%
Mexico	San Juan Yaee	2000	79.3%	98.8%	33.1%
Mexico	San Juan Yaee	2017	97.5%	100.0%	82.8%
Mexico	San Juan Yat-zona	2000	80.2%	99.8%	38.6%
Mexico	San Juan Yat-zona	2017	96.9%	100.0%	79.1%
Mexico	San Juan Yucuita	2000	84.1%	94.3%	70.3%
Mexico	San Juan Yucuita	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.1%
Mexico	San Juanito De Escobedo	2000	92.6%	99.8%	69.2%
Mexico	San Juanito De Escobedo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	San Julian	2000	90.0%	99.9%	41.6%
Mexico	San Julian	2017	98.5%	100.0%	82.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo	2000	84.6%	99.9%	47.3%
Mexico	San Lorenzo	2017	97.7%	100.0%	86.5%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Albarradas	2000	90.2%	99.9%	59.7%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Albarradas	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Axocomanitla	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Axocomanitla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cacaotepec	2000	94.1%	97.6%	89.1%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cacaotepec	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cuaunecuiltla	2000	92.4%	99.4%	75.4%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cuaunecuiltla	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.3%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Texmelucan	2000	82.0%	98.5%	41.8%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Texmelucan	2017	98.0%	99.9%	89.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Victoria	2000	86.4%	99.4%	51.4%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Victoria	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	San Lucas	2000	85.1%	97.2%	65.6%
Mexico	San Lucas	2000	94.8%	99.3%	78.3%
Mexico	San Lucas	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.4%
Mexico	San Lucas	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	San Lucas Camotlan	2000	85.3%	99.7%	43.3%
Mexico	San Lucas Camotlan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.1%
Mexico	San Lucas Ojitlan	2000	84.0%	90.7%	74.4%
Mexico	San Lucas Ojitlan	2017	98.4%	99.5%	95.4%
Mexico	San Lucas Quiavini	2000	83.8%	98.0%	48.7%
Mexico	San Lucas Quiavini	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Lucas Tecopilco	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	San Lucas Tecopilco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	San Lucas Zoquiapam	2000	87.5%	90.9%	82.3%
Mexico	San Lucas Zoquiapam	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Mexico	San Luis Acatlan	2000	82.5%	92.9%	64.2%
Mexico	San Luis Acatlan	2017	98.2%	99.6%	94.1%
Mexico	San Luis Amatlan	2000	88.1%	99.4%	63.8%
Mexico	San Luis Amatlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	San Luis De La Paz	2000	96.2%	98.9%	89.6%
Mexico	San Luis De La Paz	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	San Luis Del Cordero	2000	89.7%	99.9%	52.8%
Mexico	San Luis Del Cordero	2017	98.6%	100.0%	88.0%
Mexico	San Luis Potosi	2000	85.7%	88.3%	82.5%
Mexico	San Luis Potosi	2017	97.9%	99.0%	96.0%
Mexico	San Luis Rio Colorado	2000	97.6%	99.3%	94.4%
Mexico	San Luis Rio Colorado	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	San Marcial Ozolotepec	2000	87.8%	99.9%	51.8%
Mexico	San Marcial Ozolotepec	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.9%
Mexico	San Marcos	2000	88.2%	97.3%	72.3%
Mexico	San Marcos	2000	90.2%	99.8%	48.4%
Mexico	San Marcos	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.3%
Mexico	San Marcos	2017	98.5%	100.0%	83.6%
Mexico	San Marcos Arteaga	2000	87.1%	99.1%	56.2%
Mexico	San Marcos Arteaga	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.2%
Mexico	San Martin Chalchicautla	2000	74.2%	84.7%	58.2%
Mexico	San Martin Chalchicautla	2017	93.3%	97.7%	84.8%
Mexico	San Martin De Bolanos	2000	90.5%	99.8%	52.1%
Mexico	San Martin De Bolanos	2017	98.9%	100.0%	90.9%
Mexico	San Martin De Las Piramides	2000	98.9%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	San Martin De Las Piramides	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	San Martin De Los Cansecos	2000	80.7%	99.9%	33.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Martin De Los Cansecos	2017	97.1%	100.0%	79.3%
Mexico	San Martin Hidalgo	2000	96.3%	99.6%	87.9%
Mexico	San Martin Hidalgo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Mexico	San Martin Huamelulpam	2000	87.4%	99.9%	44.2%
Mexico	San Martin Huamelulpam	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.7%
Mexico	San Martin Itunyoso	2000	86.0%	99.8%	41.1%
Mexico	San Martin Itunyoso	2017	97.8%	100.0%	83.5%
Mexico	San Martin Lachila	2000	89.7%	100.0%	36.9%
Mexico	San Martin Lachila	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.7%
Mexico	San Martin Peras	2000	87.0%	99.6%	47.4%
Mexico	San Martin Peras	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.0%
Mexico	San Martin Texmelucan	2000	92.2%	94.4%	89.9%
Mexico	San Martin Texmelucan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Mexico	San Martin Tilcajete	2000	80.1%	98.9%	41.9%
Mexico	San Martin Tilcajete	2017	96.5%	100.0%	79.0%
Mexico	San Martin Totoltepec	2000	91.2%	100.0%	45.8%
Mexico	San Martin Totoltepec	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	San Martin Toxpalan	2000	84.9%	97.5%	58.2%
Mexico	San Martin Toxpalan	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.2%
Mexico	San Martin Zacatepec	2000	80.5%	95.5%	54.6%
Mexico	San Martin Zacatepec	2017	98.5%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	San Mateo Atenco	2000	87.2%	93.3%	78.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Atenco	2017	98.5%	99.5%	96.8%
Mexico	San Mateo Cajonos	2000	90.2%	99.9%	56.3%
Mexico	San Mateo Cajonos	2017	98.9%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	San Mateo Del Mar	2000	85.8%	99.3%	51.4%
Mexico	San Mateo Del Mar	2017	97.5%	100.0%	86.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Etlatongo	2000	83.4%	96.6%	60.5%
Mexico	San Mateo Etlatongo	2017	98.6%	99.9%	95.2%
Mexico	San Mateo Nejapam	2000	89.4%	99.9%	58.6%
Mexico	San Mateo Nejapam	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Mateo Peñasco	2000	86.7%	99.9%	38.8%
Mexico	San Mateo Peñasco	2017	98.6%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	San Mateo Pinas	2000	85.1%	99.7%	43.6%
Mexico	San Mateo Pinas	2017	97.7%	100.0%	84.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Rio Hondo	2000	88.4%	99.2%	63.1%
Mexico	San Mateo Rio Hondo	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	San Mateo Sindihui	2000	52.4%	83.5%	19.2%
Mexico	San Mateo Sindihui	2017	89.7%	96.4%	78.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Tlapiltepec	2000	87.6%	100.0%	36.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Tlapiltepec	2017	98.2%	100.0%	86.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Yoloxochitlan	2000	83.9%	89.1%	77.7%
Mexico	San Mateo Yoloxochitlan	2017	98.6%	99.2%	97.9%
Mexico	San Matias Tlalancaleca	2000	96.1%	99.0%	89.7%
Mexico	San Matias Tlalancaleca	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	San Melchor Betaza	2000	86.7%	99.9%	40.3%
Mexico	San Melchor Betaza	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Achiutla	2000	85.5%	99.8%	43.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Achiutla	2017	98.2%	100.0%	86.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Ahuehuetitlan	2000	88.6%	99.9%	54.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Ahuehuetitlan	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Aloapam	2000	88.3%	99.9%	45.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Aloapam	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatitlan	2000	85.1%	98.9%	43.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatitlan	2017	97.6%	100.0%	79.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatlan	2000	88.2%	99.7%	59.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatlan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Chicahua	2000	83.9%	99.9%	36.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Chicahua	2017	97.5%	100.0%	79.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Chimalapa	2000	89.3%	98.6%	64.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Chimalapa	2017	98.7%	99.9%	92.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Miguel Coatlan	2000	89.1%	99.9%	48.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Coatlan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	89.4%
Mexico	San Miguel De Allende	2000	89.4%	95.1%	82.7%
Mexico	San Miguel De Allende	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.8%
Mexico	San Miguel De Horcasitas	2000	96.8%	99.7%	88.4%
Mexico	San Miguel De Horcasitas	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Puerto	2000	83.8%	95.8%	64.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Puerto	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Rio	2000	87.9%	99.9%	51.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Rio	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Ejutla	2000	79.4%	99.0%	44.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Ejutla	2017	98.1%	100.0%	87.4%
Mexico	San Miguel El Alto	2000	92.6%	99.7%	55.3%
Mexico	San Miguel El Alto	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	San Miguel El Grande	2000	82.4%	98.2%	50.7%
Mexico	San Miguel El Grande	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Huautla	2000	86.9%	99.9%	46.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Huautla	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Ix-itlan	2000	88.3%	99.9%	44.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Ix-itlan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	83.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Mixtepec	2000	91.0%	99.9%	64.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Mixtepec	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Panixt-lahuaca	2000	85.4%	99.7%	45.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Panixt-lahuaca	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Peras	2000	84.7%	99.7%	44.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Peras	2017	97.8%	100.0%	85.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Piedras	2000	86.4%	98.6%	48.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Piedras	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Quetzaltepec	2000	84.4%	99.0%	48.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Quetzaltepec	2017	98.0%	100.0%	85.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Miguel Santa Flor	2000	83.8%	93.4%	70.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Santa Flor	2017	97.8%	99.6%	92.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Soyaltepec	2000	89.2%	97.5%	74.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Soyaltepec	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Suchixtepec	2000	87.3%	99.9%	34.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Suchixtepec	2017	98.2%	100.0%	81.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Tecomatlan	2000	79.8%	98.8%	39.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Tecomatlan	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Tenango	2000	86.1%	98.2%	56.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Tenango	2017	98.7%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Tequixtepec	2000	88.2%	99.7%	49.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Tequixtepec	2017	98.5%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Tilquiapam	2000	94.6%	98.8%	80.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Tilquiapam	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacamama	2000	74.2%	90.5%	55.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacamama	2017	97.2%	99.4%	89.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacotepec	2000	94.9%	98.6%	89.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacotepec	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Totolapan	2000	76.0%	89.8%	55.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Totolapan	2017	95.8%	98.8%	88.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Tulancingo	2000	85.5%	99.8%	48.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Tulancingo	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Xoxtla	2000	96.7%	98.6%	94.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Xoxtla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Yotao	2000	78.8%	92.3%	56.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Yotao	2017	98.6%	99.7%	95.9%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2000	86.6%	99.9%	43.1%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2000	90.0%	99.4%	61.7%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.0%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	San Nicolas Buenos Aires	2000	88.6%	99.3%	60.5%
Mexico	San Nicolas Buenos Aires	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Garza	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Garza	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Ranchos	2000	98.5%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Ranchos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	San Nicolas Hidalgo	2000	80.7%	99.9%	26.9%
Mexico	San Nicolas Hidalgo	2017	96.1%	100.0%	73.1%
Mexico	San Nicolas Tolentino	2000	90.5%	99.5%	69.4%
Mexico	San Nicolas Tolentino	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Anicano	2000	73.3%	91.0%	48.3%
Mexico	San Pablo Anicano	2017	97.9%	99.6%	94.1%
Mexico	San Pablo Coatlan	2000	89.7%	99.7%	64.9%
Mexico	San Pablo Coatlan	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	San Pablo Cuatro Venados	2000	75.7%	97.8%	36.6%
Mexico	San Pablo Cuatro Venados	2017	95.0%	99.8%	79.2%
Mexico	San Pablo Del Monte	2000	90.2%	93.3%	85.7%
Mexico	San Pablo Del Monte	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Mexico	San Pablo ETLA	2000	91.6%	96.3%	85.5%
Mexico	San Pablo ETLA	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Huitzo	2000	79.9%	92.5%	64.1%
Mexico	San Pablo Huitzo	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.2%
Mexico	San Pablo Huixtepec	2000	88.4%	97.2%	72.5%
Mexico	San Pablo Huixtepec	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	San Pablo Macuiltian-guis	2000	89.5%	99.9%	55.6%
Mexico	San Pablo Macuiltian-guis	2017	98.9%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	San Pablo Tlaxiaco	2000	79.8%	98.8%	41.0%
Mexico	San Pablo Tlaxiaco	2017	97.4%	100.0%	84.1%
Mexico	San Pablo Villa De Mitla	2000	86.5%	99.3%	54.0%
Mexico	San Pablo Villa De Mitla	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.5%
Mexico	San Pablo Yaganiza	2000	90.5%	99.9%	48.9%
Mexico	San Pablo Yaganiza	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro	2000	93.1%	96.6%	87.6%
Mexico	San Pedro	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Amuzgos	2000	89.7%	100.0%	45.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Amuzgos	2017	99.2%	100.0%	91.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Apostol	2000	55.2%	81.2%	31.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Apostol	2017	89.8%	99.1%	70.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2000	82.8%	99.9%	33.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2017	97.0%	100.0%	77.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Cajonos	2000	90.0%	100.0%	46.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Cajonos	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Cholula	2000	84.7%	88.4%	80.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Cholula	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Comitancillo	2000	90.4%	100.0%	42.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Comitancillo	2017	98.7%	100.0%	87.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Coxcaltepec	2000	84.6%	99.1%	35.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Coxcaltepec Cantaros	2017	97.0%	100.0%	76.9%
Mexico	San Pedro De La Cueva	2000	93.6%	99.7%	68.9%
Mexico	San Pedro De La Cueva	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Del Gallo	2000	89.8%	98.9%	72.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Del Gallo	2017	98.5%	99.9%	91.7%
Mexico	San Pedro El Alto	2000	86.4%	99.9%	39.1%
Mexico	San Pedro El Alto	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Garza Garcia	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Garza Garcia	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Huamelula	2000	88.8%	99.4%	63.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Huamelula	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Huilotepec	2000	84.0%	99.3%	43.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Huilotepec	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixcatlan	2000	61.1%	82.7%	36.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixcatlan	2017	94.3%	98.2%	86.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixtlahuaca	2000	86.9%	96.4%	65.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Ixtlahuaca	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Jaltepetongo	2000	79.1%	97.8%	40.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Jaltepetongo	2017	96.8%	100.0%	76.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Jicayan	2000	80.4%	97.7%	46.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Jicayan	2017	98.1%	99.9%	89.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Jocotipac	2000	86.2%	99.9%	43.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Jocotipac	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Juchatengo	2000	97.8%	100.0%	86.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Juchatengo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Lagunillas	2000	93.7%	99.5%	75.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Lagunillas	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir	2000	51.5%	78.8%	25.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir	2017	84.2%	97.1%	61.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Quiechapa	2000	89.4%	99.9%	53.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Quiechapa	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Yucuxaco	2000	87.8%	99.9%	44.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Yucuxaco	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 22 -	2000	91.1%	97.9%	75.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 22 -	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2000	92.6%	99.9%	64.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Molinos	2000	87.8%	99.9%	52.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Molinos	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Nopala	2000	85.7%	99.9%	38.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Nopala	2017	97.8%	100.0%	80.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocopetatillo	2000	96.1%	99.8%	85.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocopetatillo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Ocotepc	2000	79.6%	99.8%	40.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocotepc	2017	96.7%	100.0%	80.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Pochutla	2000	82.0%	95.6%	61.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Pochutla	2017	98.1%	99.7%	92.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Quiatoni	2000	83.4%	95.5%	64.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Quiatoni	2017	98.1%	99.8%	91.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Sochiapam	2000	80.3%	98.3%	42.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Sochiapam	2017	97.4%	99.9%	84.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Tapanatepec	2000	86.0%	97.0%	63.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Tapanatepec	2017	98.8%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Taviche	2000	86.9%	100.0%	42.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Taviche	2017	98.0%	100.0%	80.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Teozacoalco	2000	77.6%	98.3%	30.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Teozacoalco	2017	97.0%	99.9%	79.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Teutila	2000	78.5%	96.9%	46.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Teutila	2017	96.2%	99.9%	81.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Tidaa	2000	82.5%	99.8%	33.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Tidaa	2017	97.7%	100.0%	83.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Topiltepec	2000	83.3%	99.8%	40.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Topiltepec	2017	97.5%	100.0%	81.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Totolapa	2000	90.8%	99.8%	58.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Totolapa	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Ayutla	2000	86.6%	99.2%	60.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Ayutla	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Teposcolula	2000	87.2%	99.8%	38.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Teposcolula	2017	98.2%	100.0%	82.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Tequixtepec	2000	89.7%	99.6%	66.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Tequixtepec	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Yaneri	2000	82.9%	98.9%	45.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Yaneri	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Yeloixtlahuaca	2000	82.9%	98.4%	48.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Yeloixtlahuaca	2017	98.2%	99.9%	91.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Yolox	2000	86.5%	99.3%	52.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Yolox	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Yucunama	2000	83.7%	100.0%	32.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Yucunama	2017	97.2%	100.0%	79.3%
Mexico	San Rafael	2000	86.2%	98.4%	57.3%
Mexico	San Rafael	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.6%
Mexico	San Raymundo Jalpan	2000	86.4%	94.0%	76.4%
Mexico	San Raymundo Jalpan	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.8%
Mexico	San Salvador	2000	92.7%	99.2%	78.2%
Mexico	San Salvador	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	San Salvador El Seco	2000	92.1%	99.6%	66.6%
Mexico	San Salvador El Seco	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.0%
Mexico	San Salvador El Verde	2000	97.5%	99.4%	91.7%
Mexico	San Salvador El Verde	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	San Salvador Huixcolotla	2000	98.6%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	San Salvador Huixcolotla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	San Sebastian Abasolo	2000	91.3%	99.9%	52.3%
Mexico	San Sebastian Abasolo	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	San Sebastian Coatlan	2000	89.7%	99.7%	64.6%
Mexico	San Sebastian Coatlan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	San Sebastian Del Oeste	2000	90.1%	99.1%	71.7%
Mexico	San Sebastian Del Oeste	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Ixcapa	2000	85.3%	99.7%	42.0%
Mexico	San Sebastian Ixcapa	2017	98.0%	100.0%	85.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Nicananduta	2000	86.0%	100.0%	39.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Nicananduta	2017	98.0%	100.0%	84.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Rio Hondo	2000	92.0%	99.4%	67.3%
Mexico	San Sebastian Rio Hondo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Sebastian Tecomaxt-lahuaca	2000	87.3%	97.5%	72.0%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tecomaxt-lahuaca	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.1%
Mexico	San Sebastian Teitipac	2000	90.1%	99.9%	49.9%
Mexico	San Sebastian Teitipac	2017	98.5%	100.0%	85.9%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tlacotepec	2000	84.2%	97.9%	58.6%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tlacotepec	2017	97.7%	99.9%	89.4%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tutla	2000	95.1%	96.8%	92.5%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tutla	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	San Simon Almolongas	2000	86.2%	99.3%	50.9%
Mexico	San Simon Almolongas	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.1%
Mexico	San Simon De Guerrero	2000	87.4%	99.7%	52.3%
Mexico	San Simon De Guerrero	2017	98.3%	100.0%	87.0%
Mexico	San Simon Zahuatlan	2000	83.7%	99.5%	44.9%
Mexico	San Simon Zahuatlan	2017	97.8%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	San Vicente Coatlan	2000	89.3%	100.0%	41.7%
Mexico	San Vicente Coatlan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	San Vicente Lachixio	2000	84.9%	98.3%	51.6%
Mexico	San Vicente Lachixio	2017	97.7%	99.9%	85.1%
Mexico	San Vicente Nunu	2000	85.7%	99.5%	46.1%
Mexico	San Vicente Nunu	2017	98.1%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	San Vicente Tancuayalab	2000	90.0%	99.4%	65.5%
Mexico	San Vicente Tancuayalab	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Sanahcat	2000	96.2%	99.9%	80.5%
Mexico	Sanahcat	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Sanctorum De Lazaro Cardenas	2000	99.2%	99.9%	97.1%
Mexico	Sanctorum De Lazaro Cardenas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2000	97.5%	99.6%	92.8%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2000	87.4%	98.2%	65.8%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Ateixtlahuaca	2000	91.7%	99.8%	67.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana Ateixtlahuaca	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Ana Cuauhtemoc	2000	67.3%	93.3%	30.7%
Mexico	Santa Ana Cuauhtemoc	2017	94.8%	99.6%	75.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana Del Valle	2000	95.2%	99.4%	85.4%
Mexico	Santa Ana Del Valle	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Santa Ana Maya	2000	91.1%	99.9%	57.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana Maya	2017	99.1%	100.0%	91.1%
Mexico	Santa Ana Nopalucan	2000	97.3%	98.7%	95.6%
Mexico	Santa Ana Nopalucan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tavela	2000	82.8%	95.9%	61.4%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tavela	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tlapacoyan	2000	90.3%	98.9%	67.6%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tlapacoyan	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Santa Ana Yareni	2000	87.4%	100.0%	45.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana Yareni	2017	98.4%	100.0%	85.5%
Mexico	Santa Ana Zegache	2000	82.8%	94.6%	63.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Zegache	2017	98.5%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Santa Apolonia Teacalco	2000	98.8%	99.4%	98.0%
Mexico	Santa Apolonia Teacalco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Santa Barbara	2000	90.3%	98.4%	66.4%
Mexico	Santa Barbara	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Santa Catalina Quieri	2000	88.9%	99.9%	48.3%
Mexico	Santa Catalina Quieri	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	86.6%	97.5%	61.0%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	89.2%	99.9%	49.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	98.2%	99.9%	90.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ayometla	2000	99.2%	99.8%	98.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ayometla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Cuixtla	2000	79.5%	94.5%	58.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Catarina Cuixtla	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ixtepeji	2000	88.5%	99.6%	58.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ixtepeji	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Juquila	2000	84.4%	98.3%	47.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Juquila	2017	97.8%	100.0%	82.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Lachatao	2000	88.7%	99.6%	61.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Lachatao	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Loxicha	2000	89.6%	97.7%	70.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Loxicha	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Mechoacan	2000	75.7%	95.4%	47.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Mechoacan	2017	97.7%	100.0%	84.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Minas	2000	84.0%	94.2%	69.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Minas	2017	98.2%	99.8%	93.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiane	2000	83.3%	99.9%	41.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiane	2017	98.3%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiquitan	2000	92.1%	100.0%	46.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiquitan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tayata	2000	86.0%	99.8%	42.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tayata	2017	98.1%	100.0%	83.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ticua	2000	86.8%	99.9%	38.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ticua	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.0%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tlaltempan	2000	90.5%	99.9%	62.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tlaltempan	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Yasonotu	2000	84.3%	99.8%	39.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Yasonotu	2017	97.9%	100.0%	85.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Zapouila	2000	90.5%	99.9%	53.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Zapouila	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Clara	2000	95.0%	99.0%	88.9%
Mexico	Santa Clara	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	92.6%	99.8%	65.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	88.8%	92.8%	83.4%
Mexico	Acatepec				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.5%
Mexico	Acatepec				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	94.8%	96.6%	92.1%
Mexico	Amilpas				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Amilpas				
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Bravo	2000	88.5%	99.9%	44.0%
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Bravo	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	93.0%	98.5%	83.9%
Mexico	De Juventino Rosas				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	De Juventino Rosas				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	72.0%	88.6%	50.0%
Mexico	Itundujia				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	95.0%	99.4%	85.1%
Mexico	Itundujia				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	89.6%	99.3%	62.7%
Mexico	Mixtepec				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.2%
Mexico	Mixtepec				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	90.2%	95.9%	82.9%
Mexico	Nundaco				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	Nundaco				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	90.3%	100.0%	49.1%
Mexico	Papalutla				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	98.6%	100.0%	85.4%
Mexico	Papalutla				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Quilehtla				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Quilehtla				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	82.7%	99.8%	30.7%
Mexico	Tacache De Mina				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	97.4%	100.0%	79.4%
Mexico	Tacache De Mina				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	85.0%	99.8%	32.9%
Mexico	Tacahua				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	97.1%	100.0%	75.2%
Mexico	Tacahua				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	86.7%	100.0%	42.3%
Mexico	Tayata				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	98.0%	100.0%	80.5%
Mexico	Tayata				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	97.5%	99.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Tlaxcala				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tlaxcala				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	84.7%	94.5%	67.7%
Mexico	Xitla				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xitla	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.1%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xoxocotlan	2000	85.5%	88.3%	82.4%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xoxocotlan	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Zenzontepec	2000	86.6%	98.8%	61.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Zenzontepec	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.7%
Mexico	Santa Elena	2000	89.1%	99.7%	54.8%
Mexico	Santa Elena	2017	98.9%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	Santa Gertrudis	2000	88.5%	96.0%	72.9%
Mexico	Santa Gertrudis	2017	99.4%	99.8%	97.8%
Mexico	Santa Ines Ahuatempan	2000	89.1%	99.8%	48.7%
Mexico	Santa Ines Ahuatempan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Santa Ines De Zaragoza	2000	87.8%	99.9%	40.9%
Mexico	Santa Ines De Zaragoza	2017	97.8%	100.0%	79.3%
Mexico	Santa Ines Del Monte	2000	45.0%	60.4%	30.4%
Mexico	Santa Ines Del Monte	2017	80.2%	90.8%	69.4%
Mexico	Santa Ines Yatzeche	2000	89.1%	97.0%	76.0%
Mexico	Santa Ines Yatzeche	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Mexico	Santa Isabel	2000	91.7%	99.2%	65.8%
Mexico	Santa Isabel	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.2%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Cholula	2000	87.6%	93.3%	79.3%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Cholula	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.9%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Xiloxotla	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Xiloxotla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Del Camino	2000	94.1%	95.9%	91.6%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Del Camino	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Miahuatlan	2000	88.5%	99.9%	48.7%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Miahuatlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Monteverde	2000	84.0%	99.6%	37.9%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Monteverde	2017	97.3%	100.0%	82.5%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Ocotlan	2000	58.2%	91.1%	27.5%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Ocotlan	2017	89.6%	99.7%	66.3%
Mexico	Santa Magdalena Jicotlan	2000	86.9%	99.9%	40.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Magdalena Jicotlan	2017	97.8%	100.0%	81.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Alotepec	2000	82.1%	99.4%	41.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Alotepec	2017	97.2%	100.0%	82.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Apazco	2000	75.2%	90.3%	54.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Apazco	2017	97.8%	99.6%	93.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Atzompa	2000	90.7%	96.4%	82.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Atzompa	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Camotlan	2000	98.0%	99.9%	87.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Camotlan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chachoapam	2000	84.8%	94.5%	70.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chachoapam	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chilchotla	2000	70.5%	97.2%	38.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chilchotla	2017	93.4%	99.9%	73.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chimalapa	2000	80.9%	92.7%	62.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chimalapa	2017	96.9%	99.4%	91.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Colotepec	2000	92.0%	99.6%	70.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Colotepec	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Cortijo	2000	87.5%	99.9%	47.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Cortijo	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Coyotepec	2000	92.0%	97.3%	82.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Coyotepec	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria De La Paz	2000	90.0%	99.7%	61.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria De La Paz	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria De Los Angeles	2000	88.2%	99.5%	50.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria De Los Angeles	2017	98.4%	100.0%	85.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2000	90.6%	99.3%	71.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2000	91.4%	97.6%	78.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2017	98.6%	100.0%	93.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rio	2000	87.5%	96.8%	71.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rio	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rosario	2000	84.6%	99.9%	31.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rosario	2017	97.3%	100.0%	79.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Tule	2000	97.6%	99.7%	91.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Tule	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ecatepec	2000	87.7%	98.4%	61.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ecatepec	2017	98.2%	99.9%	92.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guelace	2000	95.0%	99.9%	80.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guelace	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guienagati	2000	85.6%	99.3%	54.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guienagati	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huatulco	2000	89.2%	99.3%	66.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huatulco	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huazolotitlan	2000	82.0%	98.7%	53.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huazolotitlan	2017	97.3%	100.0%	86.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ipalapa	2000	85.2%	99.8%	47.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ipalapa	2017	97.7%	100.0%	86.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ixcatlan	2000	87.9%	99.6%	56.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ixcatlan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jacatepec	2000	88.5%	97.1%	71.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jacatepec	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jalapa Del Marques	2000	90.9%	99.7%	58.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jalapa Del Marques	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jaltianguis	2000	87.0%	99.9%	50.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jaltianguis	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria La Asuncion	2000	68.6%	88.7%	43.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria La Asuncion	2017	97.2%	99.6%	90.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Lachixio	2000	88.9%	100.0%	49.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Lachixio	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Mixtequilla	2000	88.4%	99.9%	51.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Mixtequilla	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nativitas	2000	87.3%	100.0%	44.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nativitas	2017	98.4%	100.0%	85.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nduayaco	2000	84.4%	99.8%	34.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nduayaco	2017	97.4%	100.0%	79.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ozolotepec	2000	89.1%	99.8%	63.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ozolotepec	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Papalo	2000	87.8%	99.1%	45.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Papalo	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Penoles	2000	87.1%	98.9%	63.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Penoles	2017	98.8%	99.9%	93.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Petapa	2000	81.3%	87.9%	71.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Petapa	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Quiegolani	2000	90.7%	99.9%	48.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Quiegolani	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Sola	2000	51.1%	88.3%	16.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Sola	2017	88.6%	99.0%	55.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tataltepec	2000	80.5%	100.0%	19.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tataltepec	2017	95.4%	100.0%	64.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tecomavaca	2000	87.0%	99.3%	56.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tecomavaca	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcalapa	2000	80.4%	99.8%	38.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcalapa	2017	97.4%	100.0%	83.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcaltepec	2000	75.8%	86.7%	58.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcaltepec	2017	97.5%	98.4%	95.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Teopoxco	2000	98.5%	99.8%	96.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Teopoxco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tepantlali	2000	81.8%	99.1%	38.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tepantlali	2017	97.1%	100.0%	79.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Texcatitlan	2000	80.3%	99.9%	30.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Texcatitlan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlahuitoltepec	2000	82.5%	99.0%	42.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlahuitoltepec	2017	97.9%	99.9%	86.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlalixtac	2000	65.3%	90.0%	33.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlalixtac	2017	95.8%	99.6%	81.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tonameca	2000	87.5%	96.9%	72.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tonameca	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Totolapilla	2000	87.2%	99.5%	57.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Totolapilla	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Xadani	2000	90.8%	99.6%	67.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Xadani	2017	97.8%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yalina	2000	90.9%	98.4%	76.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yalina	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yavesia	2000	85.7%	99.9%	48.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yavesia	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yolotepec	2000	87.0%	99.9%	36.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yolotepec	2017	97.6%	100.0%	78.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yosoyua	2000	87.5%	99.9%	52.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yosoyua	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yucuhiti	2000	85.5%	99.4%	48.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yucuhiti	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zacatepec	2000	86.5%	98.7%	55.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zacatepec	2017	98.3%	100.0%	90.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zaniza	2000	90.6%	99.6%	62.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zaniza	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zoquitlan	2000	90.1%	99.8%	61.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zoquitlan	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Santiago	2000	91.0%	95.1%	84.2%
Mexico	Santiago	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.4%
Mexico	Santiago Amoltepec	2000	72.0%	86.1%	54.3%
Mexico	Santiago Amoltepec	2017	96.9%	99.3%	91.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Apoala	2000	81.1%	98.6%	36.7%
Mexico	Santiago Apoala	2017	97.1%	100.0%	79.4%
Mexico	Santiago Apostol	2000	70.9%	83.2%	53.7%
Mexico	Santiago Apostol	2017	94.4%	98.4%	85.4%
Mexico	Santiago As-tata	2000	91.3%	100.0%	58.8%
Mexico	Santiago As-tata	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Santiago Atitlan	2000	79.2%	99.7%	36.5%
Mexico	Santiago Atitlan	2017	96.6%	100.0%	77.8%
Mexico	Santiago Ayuquililla	2000	87.3%	99.9%	43.9%
Mexico	Santiago Ayuquililla	2017	98.2%	100.0%	85.2%
Mexico	Santiago Cacaloxtepec	2000	90.7%	99.7%	56.9%
Mexico	Santiago Cacaloxtepec	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Santiago Camotlan	2000	78.0%	97.6%	46.1%
Mexico	Santiago Camotlan	2017	95.7%	99.9%	75.9%
Mexico	Santiago Chazumba	2000	90.2%	99.6%	55.5%
Mexico	Santiago Chazumba	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	Santiago Choapam	2000	81.1%	97.3%	53.5%
Mexico	Santiago Choapam	2017	96.8%	99.8%	86.6%
Mexico	Santiago Comaltepec	2000	87.2%	99.2%	52.0%
Mexico	Santiago Comaltepec	2017	98.1%	100.0%	87.1%
Mexico	Santiago De Anaya	2000	88.3%	99.6%	56.8%
Mexico	Santiago De Anaya	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.1%
Mexico	Santiago Del Rio	2000	85.9%	99.8%	37.5%
Mexico	Santiago Del Rio	2017	97.9%	100.0%	83.4%
Mexico	Santiago El Pinar	2000	86.5%	99.9%	43.0%
Mexico	Santiago El Pinar	2017	98.0%	100.0%	84.1%
Mexico	Santiago Huajolotitlan	2000	87.8%	96.8%	70.9%
Mexico	Santiago Huajolotitlan	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Mexico	Santiago Huauclilla	2000	88.1%	99.6%	55.3%
Mexico	Santiago Huauclilla	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Santiago Ihuatlan Plumas	2000	89.9%	99.9%	53.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Ihuitlan Plumas	2017	98.8%	100.0%	86.8%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintepec	2000	88.9%	99.9%	56.5%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintepec	2017	98.3%	100.0%	85.2%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintla	2000	87.9%	95.4%	77.4%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintla	2017	98.5%	99.8%	95.0%
Mexico	Santiago Ixtayutla	2000	55.0%	88.8%	33.9%
Mexico	Santiago Ixtayutla	2017	85.4%	99.3%	62.1%
Mexico	Santiago Jamiltepec	2000	84.5%	93.7%	71.1%
Mexico	Santiago Jamiltepec	2017	98.9%	99.8%	95.7%
Mexico	Santiago Jocotepec	2000	69.9%	88.8%	46.3%
Mexico	Santiago Jocotepec	2017	93.8%	99.1%	79.6%
Mexico	Santiago Juxtahuaca	2000	80.6%	92.3%	59.5%
Mexico	Santiago Juxtahuaca	2017	97.3%	99.5%	89.8%
Mexico	Santiago Lachiguiri	2000	91.8%	99.2%	74.4%
Mexico	Santiago Lachiguiri	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Santiago Lalopa	2000	79.5%	99.6%	39.9%
Mexico	Santiago Lalopa	2017	96.9%	100.0%	80.4%
Mexico	Santiago Laolalaga	2000	93.8%	99.9%	70.1%
Mexico	Santiago Laolalaga	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Santiago Laxopa	2000	91.1%	99.7%	68.2%
Mexico	Santiago Laxopa	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Santiago Llano Grande	2000	87.3%	99.9%	40.7%
Mexico	Santiago Llano Grande	2017	98.2%	100.0%	85.8%
Mexico	Santiago Maravatio	2000	94.4%	99.9%	74.0%
Mexico	Santiago Maravatio	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Santiago Matatlan	2000	84.2%	98.6%	52.0%
Mexico	Santiago Matatlan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	89.2%
Mexico	Santiago Miahuatlan	2000	89.8%	97.5%	76.5%
Mexico	Santiago Miahuatlan	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.5%
Mexico	Santiago Miltepec	2000	89.4%	99.9%	60.1%
Mexico	Santiago Miltepec	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Minas	2000	90.7%	99.7%	59.8%
Mexico	Santiago Minas	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	Santiago Nacaltepec	2000	88.2%	99.8%	56.4%
Mexico	Santiago Nacaltepec	2017	98.3%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	Santiago Nejapilla	2000	82.9%	99.9%	30.6%
Mexico	Santiago Nejapilla	2017	97.4%	100.0%	82.1%
Mexico	Santiago Nilotepic	2000	90.2%	99.3%	57.1%
Mexico	Santiago Nilotepic	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.7%
Mexico	Santiago Nundiche	2000	82.9%	97.6%	49.6%
Mexico	Santiago Nundiche	2017	98.3%	99.9%	90.9%
Mexico	Santiago Nuyoo	2000	85.5%	99.8%	42.5%
Mexico	Santiago Nuyoo	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	Santiago Papasquiario	2000	89.8%	95.6%	79.8%
Mexico	Santiago Papasquiario	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.7%
Mexico	Santiago Pinotepa Nacional	2000	80.5%	92.5%	61.9%
Mexico	Santiago Pinotepa Nacional	2017	97.8%	99.5%	91.9%
Mexico	Santiago Sochiapa	2000	83.4%	98.2%	53.4%
Mexico	Santiago Sochiapa	2017	97.5%	99.9%	85.9%
Mexico	Santiago Suchilquitongo	2000	74.9%	84.5%	64.3%
Mexico	Santiago Suchilquitongo	2017	98.4%	99.3%	96.5%
Mexico	Santiago Tamazola	2000	86.8%	99.5%	57.4%
Mexico	Santiago Tamazola	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.8%
Mexico	Santiago Tapextla	2000	87.6%	99.8%	53.4%
Mexico	Santiago Tapextla	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.1%
Mexico	Santiago Tenango	2000	85.8%	99.8%	43.7%
Mexico	Santiago Tenango	2017	98.0%	100.0%	85.6%
Mexico	Santiago Tepetlapa	2000	88.9%	100.0%	48.1%
Mexico	Santiago Tepetlapa	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	Santiago Tetepec	2000	85.4%	96.4%	62.2%
Mexico	Santiago Tetepec	2017	98.6%	99.8%	92.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Texcalcingo	2000	90.9%	96.0%	83.1%
Mexico	Santiago Texcalcingo	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Mexico	Santiago Texitlan	2000	90.0%	99.9%	54.0%
Mexico	Santiago Texitlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.5%
Mexico	Santiago Tilantongo	2000	83.4%	99.7%	41.5%
Mexico	Santiago Tilantongo	2017	97.3%	100.0%	84.3%
Mexico	Santiago Tillo	2000	83.1%	98.7%	49.4%
Mexico	Santiago Tillo	2017	98.1%	100.0%	87.2%
Mexico	Santiago Tlazoyaltepec	2000	87.0%	99.7%	54.8%
Mexico	Santiago Tlazoyaltepec	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	Santiago Tulantepec De Lugo Guerrero	2000	84.8%	95.6%	71.9%
Mexico	Santiago Tulantepec De Lugo Guerrero	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.3%
Mexico	Santiago Tuxtla	2000	85.2%	98.5%	57.7%
Mexico	Santiago Tuxtla	2017	98.3%	99.9%	91.5%
Mexico	Santiago Xanica	2000	85.8%	99.9%	47.2%
Mexico	Santiago Xanica	2017	97.6%	100.0%	81.6%
Mexico	Santiago Xiacui	2000	86.2%	99.6%	50.1%
Mexico	Santiago Xiacui	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	Santiago Yaitepec	2000	82.6%	99.6%	24.1%
Mexico	Santiago Yaitepec	2017	97.2%	99.9%	74.3%
Mexico	Santiago Yaveo	2000	85.7%	96.3%	67.5%
Mexico	Santiago Yaveo	2017	98.1%	99.8%	92.8%
Mexico	Santiago Yolomecatl	2000	86.4%	100.0%	34.2%
Mexico	Santiago Yolomecatl	2017	97.8%	100.0%	77.0%
Mexico	Santiago Yosondua	2000	86.7%	99.1%	56.0%
Mexico	Santiago Yosondua	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.7%
Mexico	Santiago Yucuyachi	2000	87.8%	100.0%	44.8%
Mexico	Santiago Yucuyachi	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	Santiago Zacatepec	2000	85.0%	95.3%	65.3%
Mexico	Santiago Zacatepec	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Zochila	2000	93.5%	98.8%	79.6%
Mexico	Santiago Zochila	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo	2000	86.5%	96.2%	69.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Albarradas	2000	89.0%	99.8%	53.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Albarradas	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Armenta	2000	85.0%	99.8%	38.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Armenta	2017	98.0%	100.0%	84.1%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Chihuitan	2000	90.6%	99.9%	58.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Chihuitan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo De Morelos	2000	78.6%	89.7%	60.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo De Morelos	2017	96.0%	98.5%	88.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ingenio	2000	88.7%	99.5%	60.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ingenio	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ixcatlan	2000	88.5%	100.0%	38.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ixcatlan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	84.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Nuxaa	2000	87.6%	99.8%	53.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Nuxaa	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ozolotepec	2000	88.9%	99.9%	50.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ozolotepec	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Petapa	2000	86.5%	99.5%	52.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Petapa	2017	98.3%	100.0%	90.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santo Domingo Roayaga	2000	80.7%	99.1%	42.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Roayaga	2017	97.5%	100.0%	86.1%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tehuantepec	2000	89.8%	97.9%	68.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tehuantepec	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Teojomulco	2000	88.8%	99.8%	57.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Teojomulco	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tepuxtepec	2000	87.4%	99.9%	51.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tepuxtepec	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tlatayapam	2000	82.8%	99.9%	30.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tlatayapam	2017	97.6%	100.0%	79.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tomaltepec	2000	97.8%	99.6%	93.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tomaltepec	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonalá	2000	86.5%	99.8%	48.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonalá	2017	98.1%	100.0%	83.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonaltepec	2000	86.7%	99.9%	41.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonaltepec	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Xagacia	2000	90.0%	99.9%	48.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Xagacia	2017	98.9%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yanhuitlan	2000	86.9%	99.9%	43.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yanhuitlan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	83.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yodohino	2000	89.4%	99.9%	46.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yodohino	2017	98.6%	100.0%	88.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Zanatepec	2000	83.2%	98.2%	52.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Zanatepec	2017	97.6%	99.9%	83.5%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2000	88.7%	99.7%	53.9%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2000	93.4%	99.0%	81.1%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Jalieza	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Jalieza	2000	80.8%	98.5%	44.0%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Mazaltepec	2017	96.3%	100.0%	82.5%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Mazaltepec	2000	83.0%	95.4%	59.1%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Ocotepc	2017	98.4%	99.7%	94.3%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Ocotepc	2000	86.2%	99.2%	50.1%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Tamazulapan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.8%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Tamazulapan	2000	80.5%	98.5%	43.3%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Nopala	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.9%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Nopala	2000	87.8%	96.0%	69.9%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Papalo	2017	99.0%	99.8%	95.3%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Papalo	2000	91.6%	99.7%	62.1%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Tepejillo	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Tepejillo	2000	88.3%	99.9%	48.4%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Yucuna	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.0%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Yucuna	2000	84.0%	99.7%	36.2%
Mexico	Saric	2017	97.9%	100.0%	85.7%
Mexico	Saric	2000	91.3%	99.6%	69.5%
Mexico	Satevo	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Satevo	2000	91.9%	98.3%	78.1%
Mexico	Saucillo	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Saucillo	2000	91.9%	97.8%	81.6%
Mexico	Sayula	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Sayula De Aleman	2000	91.4%	99.9%	60.8%
Mexico	Sayula De Aleman	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Sayula De Aleman	2000	84.2%	96.4%	63.6%
Mexico	Senguio	2017	97.7%	99.9%	87.4%
Mexico	Senguio	2000	88.6%	99.5%	54.4%
Mexico	Seyu	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.4%
Mexico	Seyu	2000	94.7%	99.6%	81.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Seye	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Sierra Mojada	2000	90.3%	98.5%	67.5%
Mexico	Sierra Mojada	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Silacayoapam	2000	87.8%	98.2%	66.7%
Mexico	Silacayoapam	2017	98.6%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Silao	2000	93.2%	98.0%	86.4%
Mexico	Silao	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.0%
Mexico	Siltepec	2000	79.6%	93.7%	60.3%
Mexico	Siltepec	2017	97.1%	99.6%	91.1%
Mexico	Simojovel	2000	81.7%	95.0%	60.2%
Mexico	Simojovel	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.0%
Mexico	Sinaloa	2000	77.3%	84.9%	68.0%
Mexico	Sinaloa	2017	94.6%	97.2%	90.7%
Mexico	Sinanche	2000	90.2%	100.0%	46.3%
Mexico	Sinanche	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Singuilucan	2000	91.8%	97.9%	74.8%
Mexico	Singuilucan	2017	99.1%	99.9%	94.9%
Mexico	Sitala	2000	36.0%	53.2%	23.4%
Mexico	Sitala	2017	77.7%	92.4%	62.3%
Mexico	Sitio De Xitlapehua	2000	80.9%	98.5%	40.7%
Mexico	Sitio De Xitlapehua	2017	98.1%	99.9%	89.6%
Mexico	Sochiapa	2000	94.7%	98.8%	85.4%
Mexico	Sochiapa	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.9%
Mexico	Socoltenango	2000	81.6%	94.5%	63.7%
Mexico	Socoltenango	2017	98.1%	99.7%	92.4%
Mexico	Soconusco	2000	85.4%	97.3%	65.0%
Mexico	Soconusco	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.5%
Mexico	Soledad	2000	66.3%	94.3%	24.8%
Mexico	Atzompa	2017	93.3%	99.8%	64.2%
Mexico	Soledad De Doblado	2000	90.6%	99.4%	64.5%
Mexico	Soledad De Doblado	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Soledad De Graciano Sanchez	2000	78.1%	83.9%	73.0%
Mexico	Soledad De Graciano Sanchez	2017	96.3%	98.8%	92.8%
Mexico	Soledad Etlá	2000	93.5%	97.1%	88.7%
Mexico	Soledad Etlá	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Solidaridad	2000	96.7%	98.9%	92.9%
Mexico	Solidaridad	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Solosuchiapa	2000	75.5%	95.8%	42.6%
Mexico	Solosuchiapa	2017	96.9%	99.8%	86.7%
Mexico	Soltepec	2000	91.7%	98.2%	79.4%
Mexico	Soltepec	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Sombrerete	2000	92.0%	97.1%	84.9%
Mexico	Sombrerete	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.7%
Mexico	Soteapan	2000	86.5%	98.8%	55.6%
Mexico	Soteapan	2017	98.1%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	Soto La Marina	2000	92.6%	96.7%	85.9%
Mexico	Soto La Marina	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.7%
Mexico	Sotuta	2000	89.1%	99.7%	61.8%
Mexico	Sotuta	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Soyalo	2000	87.0%	99.7%	48.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Soyalo	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.5%
Mexico	Soyaniquilpan De Juarez	2000	88.6%	99.7%	53.5%
Mexico	Soyaniquilpan De Juarez	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Soyopa	2000	91.0%	99.5%	72.4%
Mexico	Soyopa	2017	99.0%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Suaqui Grande	2000	94.2%	99.9%	55.1%
Mexico	Suaqui Grande	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Suchiapa	2000	88.2%	95.0%	76.6%
Mexico	Suchiapa	2017	88.9%	96.9%	74.3%
Mexico	Suchiate	2000	87.7%	99.4%	56.8%
Mexico	Suchiate	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Suchil	2000	83.9%	98.3%	51.0%
Mexico	Suchil	2017	97.9%	99.9%	88.5%
Mexico	Sucila	2000	91.2%	99.9%	55.2%
Mexico	Sucila	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.0%
Mexico	Sudzal	2000	93.9%	99.7%	71.0%
Mexico	Sudzal	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Sultepec	2000	85.5%	98.5%	58.7%
Mexico	Sultepec	2017	98.1%	99.9%	89.8%
Mexico	Suma	2000	98.5%	99.9%	93.5%
Mexico	Suma	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Sunuapa	2000	76.3%	98.9%	36.3%
Mexico	Sunuapa	2017	95.7%	100.0%	76.9%
Mexico	Susticacan	2000	88.4%	99.9%	42.7%
Mexico	Susticacan	2017	98.1%	100.0%	85.9%
Mexico	Susupuato	2000	60.0%	83.2%	36.9%
Mexico	Susupuato	2017	92.6%	98.4%	82.0%
Mexico	Tabasco	2000	93.0%	99.2%	76.5%
Mexico	Tabasco	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Tacambaro	2000	84.1%	93.2%	67.9%
Mexico	Tacambaro	2017	98.5%	99.7%	94.5%
Mexico	Tacotalpa	2000	83.0%	92.5%	67.1%
Mexico	Tacotalpa	2017	98.2%	99.6%	94.0%
Mexico	Tahdziu	2000	94.5%	99.9%	72.1%
Mexico	Tahdziu	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Mexico	Tahmek	2000	92.1%	100.0%	63.0%
Mexico	Tahmek	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Tala	2000	92.3%	99.1%	71.5%
Mexico	Tala	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Talpa De Al-lende	2000	90.2%	98.6%	54.9%
Mexico	Talpa De Al-lende	2017	98.3%	99.9%	86.8%
Mexico	Tamalin	2000	85.4%	96.1%	72.2%
Mexico	Tamalin	2017	98.0%	99.8%	93.5%
Mexico	Tamasopo	2000	86.6%	96.4%	68.6%
Mexico	Tamasopo	2017	98.4%	99.8%	93.4%
Mexico	Tamazula	2000	88.6%	95.2%	78.4%
Mexico	Tamazula	2017	98.4%	99.7%	96.0%
Mexico	Tamazula De Gordiano	2000	91.1%	99.4%	67.0%
Mexico	Tamazula De Gordiano	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.7%
Mexico	Tamazulapam Del Espiritu Santo	2000	84.9%	98.0%	58.7%
Mexico	Tamazulapam Del Espiritu Santo	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tamazunchale	2000	86.9%	95.2%	77.1%
Mexico	Tamazunchale	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.5%
Mexico	Tamiahua	2000	76.7%	91.7%	57.9%
Mexico	Tamiahua	2017	95.1%	99.0%	86.4%
Mexico	Tampacan	2000	63.9%	90.4%	31.5%
Mexico	Tampacan	2017	92.4%	99.4%	73.8%
Mexico	Tampamolón Corona	2000	70.6%	82.0%	57.0%
Mexico	Tampamolón Corona	2017	96.9%	99.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Tampico	2000	98.7%	99.4%	96.7%
Mexico	Tampico	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tampico Alto	2000	88.7%	97.8%	67.9%
Mexico	Tampico Alto	2017	98.4%	99.9%	93.2%
Mexico	Tamuín	2000	89.3%	97.2%	71.5%
Mexico	Tamuín	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.4%
Mexico	Tancanhuitz	2000	83.1%	89.6%	74.6%
Mexico	Tancanhuitz	2017	97.9%	99.3%	94.6%
Mexico	Tancitaro	2000	96.1%	99.6%	87.3%
Mexico	Tancitaro	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Tancoco	2000	93.8%	99.3%	76.4%
Mexico	Tancoco	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.9%
Mexico	Tanetze De Zaragoza	2000	82.1%	96.3%	59.0%
Mexico	Tanetze De Zaragoza	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	Tangamandapio	2000	78.3%	91.6%	60.0%
Mexico	Tangamandapio	2017	97.7%	99.6%	90.7%
Mexico	Tangancicuaro	2000	85.3%	97.6%	58.6%
Mexico	Tangancicuaro	2017	98.3%	99.9%	90.0%
Mexico	Tanhuato	2000	79.4%	97.3%	38.9%
Mexico	Tanhuato	2017	96.1%	99.8%	81.0%
Mexico	Taniche	2000	82.2%	98.6%	54.9%
Mexico	Taniche	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Tanlajas	2000	83.6%	90.6%	72.5%
Mexico	Tanlajas	2017	98.1%	99.4%	95.4%
Mexico	Tanquian De Escobedo	2000	97.5%	99.5%	92.0%
Mexico	Tanquian De Escobedo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Tantima	2000	83.6%	94.1%	69.1%
Mexico	Tantima	2017	97.1%	99.5%	93.1%
Mexico	Tantoyuca	2000	87.5%	98.2%	64.7%
Mexico	Tantoyuca	2017	98.6%	99.9%	94.1%
Mexico	Tapachula	2000	73.4%	82.2%	64.3%
Mexico	Tapachula	2017	98.0%	99.0%	95.9%
Mexico	Tapalapa	2000	75.2%	90.6%	56.2%
Mexico	Tapalapa	2017	97.9%	99.5%	93.4%
Mexico	Tapalpa	2000	92.0%	99.5%	63.5%
Mexico	Tapalpa	2017	99.1%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Tapilula	2000	62.8%	86.1%	38.0%
Mexico	Tapilula	2017	95.9%	99.6%	86.5%
Mexico	Tarandacuao	2000	86.8%	99.8%	47.3%
Mexico	Tarandacuao	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.8%
Mexico	Taretan	2000	73.2%	95.7%	38.5%
Mexico	Taretan	2017	93.4%	99.6%	75.9%
Mexico	Tarimbaro	2000	74.8%	87.5%	62.0%
Mexico	Tarimbaro	2017	96.8%	99.1%	92.3%
Mexico	Tarimoro	2000	92.0%	98.2%	76.4%
Mexico	Tarimoro	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.5%
Mexico	Tasquillo	2000	86.7%	94.0%	74.6%
Mexico	Tasquillo	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tatahuicapan De Juarez	2000	87.3%	99.0%	64.0%
Mexico	Tatahuicapan De Juarez	2017	98.0%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Tataltepec De Valdes	2000	87.7%	99.8%	49.6%
Mexico	Tataltepec De Valdes	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.0%
Mexico	Tatatila	2000	79.2%	99.5%	38.2%
Mexico	Tatatila	2017	96.5%	100.0%	79.6%
Mexico	Taxco De Alarcon	2000	76.6%	87.1%	64.9%
Mexico	Taxco De Alarcon	2017	97.7%	99.3%	94.7%
Mexico	Teabo	2000	98.4%	99.9%	94.6%
Mexico	Teabo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Teapa	2000	95.1%	99.2%	87.1%
Mexico	Teapa	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Tecali De Herrera	2000	91.1%	99.5%	68.5%
Mexico	Tecali De Herrera	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	Tecalitlan	2000	91.5%	99.2%	60.2%
Mexico	Tecalitlan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Tecamamac	2000	96.8%	98.9%	92.9%
Mexico	Tecamamac	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Tecamachalco	2000	94.2%	98.4%	87.7%
Mexico	Tecamachalco	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Tecate	2000	98.1%	99.5%	95.2%
Mexico	Tecate	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Techaluta De Montenegro	2000	91.4%	100.0%	50.5%
Mexico	Techaluta De Montenegro	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Tecoanapa	2000	84.9%	97.3%	63.9%
Mexico	Tecoanapa	2017	98.3%	99.9%	93.0%
Mexico	Tecoh	2000	93.9%	99.8%	71.0%
Mexico	Tecoh	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Tecolotlan	2000	86.8%	99.1%	49.4%
Mexico	Tecolotlan	2017	98.0%	99.9%	84.2%
Mexico	Tecolutla	2000	79.9%	91.8%	65.6%
Mexico	Tecolutla	2017	96.2%	99.4%	89.4%
Mexico	Tecoman	2000	97.1%	99.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Tecoman	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Tecomatlan	2000	91.5%	99.5%	69.7%
Mexico	Tecomatlan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Tecoautla	2000	94.9%	99.5%	83.6%
Mexico	Tecoautla	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Tecpan De Galeana	2000	80.9%	93.1%	62.7%
Mexico	Tecpan De Galeana	2017	98.1%	99.6%	93.8%
Mexico	Tecpatan	2000	82.8%	93.6%	67.7%
Mexico	Tecpatan	2017	97.9%	99.7%	93.4%
Mexico	Tecuala	2000	87.7%	92.6%	81.3%
Mexico	Tecuala	2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.2%
Mexico	Tehuacan	2000	91.5%	95.5%	84.1%
Mexico	Tehuacan	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.5%
Mexico	Tehuipango	2000	92.1%	98.9%	73.5%
Mexico	Tehuipango	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Tehuitzingo	2000	88.9%	99.4%	53.9%
Mexico	Tehuitzingo	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tejupilco	2000	64.4%	78.4%	53.3%
Mexico	Tejupilco	2017	95.3%	98.3%	88.3%
Mexico	Tekal De Venegas	2000	94.5%	99.9%	66.7%
Mexico	Tekal De Venegas	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.6%
Mexico	Tekanto	2000	99.1%	100.0%	96.2%
Mexico	Tekanto	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Tekax	2000	91.4%	97.0%	78.6%
Mexico	Tekax	2017	99.4%	99.8%	97.8%
Mexico	Tekit	2000	98.3%	99.9%	88.8%
Mexico	Tekit	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Tekom	2000	89.1%	99.8%	46.7%
Mexico	Tekom	2017	98.4%	100.0%	85.6%
Mexico	Telchac Pueblo	2000	91.7%	100.0%	56.6%
Mexico	Telchac Pueblo	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Telchac Puerto	2000	97.1%	100.0%	77.8%
Mexico	Telchac Puerto	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Teloloapan	2000	89.3%	96.0%	75.2%
Mexico	Teloloapan	2017	99.1%	99.8%	96.6%
Mexico	Temamatla	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Temamatla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Temapache	2000	93.9%	97.6%	86.8%
Mexico	Temapache	2017	99.1%	99.8%	96.9%
Mexico	Temascalapa	2000	92.7%	97.8%	80.7%
Mexico	Temascalapa	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Temascalcingo	2000	88.2%	99.1%	63.3%
Mexico	Temascalcingo	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	Temascaltepec	2000	87.1%	97.8%	67.4%
Mexico	Temascaltepec	2017	98.2%	99.9%	91.2%
Mexico	Temax	2000	94.1%	99.9%	75.5%
Mexico	Temax	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Temixco	2000	96.4%	97.8%	94.6%
Mexico	Temixco	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Temoac	2000	85.4%	89.7%	80.6%
Mexico	Temoac	2017	98.9%	99.4%	98.3%
Mexico	Temoaya	2000	93.7%	99.9%	67.0%
Mexico	Temoaya	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	Temosachi	2000	89.6%	96.9%	78.4%
Mexico	Temosachi	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.7%
Mexico	Temozon	2000	84.8%	99.1%	57.8%
Mexico	Temozon	2017	97.7%	100.0%	90.0%
Mexico	Tempoal	2000	84.7%	92.9%	72.5%
Mexico	Tempoal	2017	98.8%	99.7%	97.0%
Mexico	Tenabo	2000	94.2%	99.6%	75.8%
Mexico	Tenabo	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Tenamaxtlan	2000	91.3%	99.2%	70.5%
Mexico	Tenamaxtlan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Tenampa	2000	84.5%	99.8%	32.5%
Mexico	Tenampa	2017	97.7%	100.0%	82.1%
Mexico	Tenampulco	2000	88.8%	99.5%	58.8%
Mexico	Tenampulco	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2000	94.9%	98.5%	88.2%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2000	95.1%	99.8%	83.1%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.1%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Tenango De Doria	2000	90.0%	99.3%	59.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tenango De Doria	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Tenango Del Aire	2000	99.0%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Tenango Del Aire	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tenango Del Valle	2000	75.9%	88.6%	58.1%
Mexico	Tenango Del Valle	2017	98.0%	99.5%	94.3%
Mexico	Tenejapa	2000	80.8%	95.7%	52.8%
Mexico	Tenejapa	2017	97.9%	99.8%	90.5%
Mexico	Tenochtitlan	2000	68.9%	98.5%	21.3%
Mexico	Tenochtitlan	2017	91.9%	99.9%	63.8%
Mexico	Tenosique	2000	80.9%	93.6%	58.1%
Mexico	Tenosique	2017	96.8%	99.6%	87.5%
Mexico	Teocaltiche	2000	95.2%	99.1%	86.7%
Mexico	Teocaltiche	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Teocelo	2000	97.7%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Teocelo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.2%
Mexico	Teococuilco	2000	85.5%	99.8%	48.2%
Mexico	De Marcos Perez				
Mexico	Teococuilco	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	De Marcos Perez				
Mexico	Teocuitatlan	2000	89.1%	99.5%	60.0%
Mexico	De Corona				
Mexico	Teocuitatlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	De Corona				
Mexico	Teolocholco	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Teolocholco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Teoloyucan	2000	90.5%	97.8%	74.7%
Mexico	Teoloyucan	2017	99.3%	99.9%	95.9%
Mexico	Teopantlan	2000	89.2%	99.5%	58.4%
Mexico	Teopantlan	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	Teopisca	2000	90.0%	99.8%	62.4%
Mexico	Teopisca	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.0%
Mexico	Teotihuacan	2000	96.9%	100.0%	83.6%
Mexico	Teotihuacan	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Teotitlan De Flores Magon	2000	86.1%	98.8%	53.7%
Mexico	Teotitlan De Flores Magon	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	Teotitlan Del Valle	2000	92.3%	99.8%	62.2%
Mexico	Teotitlan Del Valle	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Teotlalco	2000	89.9%	99.8%	57.0%
Mexico	Teotlalco	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Teotongo	2000	86.1%	99.9%	44.7%
Mexico	Teotongo	2017	98.2%	100.0%	89.0%
Mexico	Tepache	2000	91.3%	100.0%	48.2%
Mexico	Tepache	2017	99.0%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Tepakan	2000	94.8%	99.9%	71.4%
Mexico	Tepakan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.9%
Mexico	Tepalcatepec	2000	91.7%	99.1%	65.9%
Mexico	Tepalcatepec	2017	98.9%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Tepalcingo	2000	92.7%	98.8%	79.7%
Mexico	Tepalcingo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.4%
Mexico	Tepanco De Lopez	2000	76.9%	98.3%	48.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tepanco De Lopez	2017	95.7%	100.0%	80.5%
Mexico	Tepango De Rodriguez	2000	83.9%	97.8%	60.7%
Mexico	Tepango De Rodriguez	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.2%
Mexico	Tepatitlan De Morelos	2000	95.7%	98.7%	89.3%
Mexico	Tepatitlan De Morelos	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco	2000	87.4%	99.8%	56.0%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco De Hidalgo	2000	97.5%	99.4%	93.3%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco De Hidalgo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tepeaca	2000	94.3%	97.7%	87.2%
Mexico	Tepeaca	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.2%
Mexico	Tepeapulco	2000	90.1%	99.5%	64.8%
Mexico	Tepeapulco	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Tepechitlan	2000	89.1%	99.5%	54.9%
Mexico	Tepechitlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.2%
Mexico	Tepecoacuilco De Trujano	2000	83.5%	96.1%	67.1%
Mexico	Tepecoacuilco De Trujano	2017	97.4%	99.8%	91.0%
Mexico	Tepehuacan De Guerrero	2000	90.2%	99.3%	69.9%
Mexico	Tepehuacan De Guerrero	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	Tepehuanes	2000	81.3%	87.5%	72.2%
Mexico	Tepehuanes	2017	98.2%	99.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Tepeji Del Rio De Ocampo	2000	90.4%	97.0%	80.1%
Mexico	Tepeji Del Rio De Ocampo	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	Tepelmeme Villa De Morelos	2000	90.0%	99.4%	65.2%
Mexico	Tepelmeme Villa De Morelos	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.0%
Mexico	Tepemaxalco	2000	90.0%	99.9%	49.5%
Mexico	Tepemaxalco	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	Tepeojuma	2000	89.6%	99.9%	49.8%
Mexico	Tepeojuma	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.0%
Mexico	Tepetitla De Lardizabal	2000	87.3%	92.8%	80.4%
Mexico	Tepetitla De Lardizabal	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Mexico	Tepetitlan	2000	89.6%	99.8%	59.6%
Mexico	Tepetitlan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	Tepetlan	2000	89.2%	99.8%	59.1%
Mexico	Tepetlan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	Tepetlaoxtoc	2000	93.5%	99.9%	71.8%
Mexico	Tepetlaoxtoc	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.8%
Mexico	Tepetlixpa	2000	92.6%	100.0%	55.4%
Mexico	Tepetlixpa	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Tepetongo	2000	91.6%	98.7%	72.7%
Mexico	Tepetongo	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2000	89.3%	99.9%	42.5%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2000	64.9%	96.7%	26.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2017	92.3%	99.9%	65.7%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2017	98.4%	100.0%	83.7%
Mexico	Tepexco	2000	87.5%	99.8%	45.3%
Mexico	Tepexco	2017	98.5%	100.0%	85.0%
Mexico	Tepexi De Rodriguez	2000	88.6%	98.2%	64.3%
Mexico	Tepexi De Rodriguez	2017	99.0%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco	2000	87.1%	98.9%	54.6%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.6%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco De Cuauhtemoc	2000	83.6%	99.8%	40.4%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco De Cuauhtemoc	2017	97.7%	100.0%	82.4%
Mexico	Tepeyanco	2000	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Tepeyanco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Tepezala	2000	94.4%	99.0%	84.3%
Mexico	Tepezala	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Tepic	2000	94.2%	98.5%	80.9%
Mexico	Tepic	2017	99.5%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Tepotzotlan	2000	92.2%	98.6%	78.4%
Mexico	Tepotzotlan	2017	99.5%	100.0%	98.2%
Mexico	Tepoztlan	2000	97.1%	99.1%	92.6%
Mexico	Tepoztlan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Tequila	2000	88.7%	98.7%	58.8%
Mexico	Tequila	2000	67.1%	96.3%	25.3%
Mexico	Tequila	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Tequila	2017	93.3%	99.8%	70.9%
Mexico	Tequisquiapan	2000	96.3%	99.8%	82.6%
Mexico	Tequisquiapan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Tequixquiac	2000	98.0%	100.0%	87.6%
Mexico	Tequixquiac	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Terrenate	2000	82.9%	99.6%	37.7%
Mexico	Terrenate	2017	97.6%	100.0%	83.1%
Mexico	Tetecala	2000	93.0%	99.3%	80.3%
Mexico	Tetecala	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Tetela De Ocampo	2000	77.4%	93.8%	56.2%
Mexico	Tetela De Ocampo	2017	97.3%	99.7%	89.7%
Mexico	Tetela Del Volcan	2000	93.5%	97.2%	87.9%
Mexico	Tetela Del Volcan	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Teteles De Avila Castillo	2000	85.1%	97.9%	58.1%
Mexico	Teteles De Avila Castillo	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Tetepango	2000	98.9%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Tetepango	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tetipac	2000	62.6%	85.3%	35.1%
Mexico	Tetipac	2017	84.7%	96.1%	63.1%
Mexico	Tetiz	2000	94.5%	99.9%	74.1%
Mexico	Tetiz	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Tetla De La Solidaridad	2000	97.6%	99.7%	92.9%
Mexico	Tetla De La Solidaridad	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Tetlatlahuca	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.6%
Mexico	Tetlatlahuca	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Teuchitlan	2000	91.1%	99.8%	61.2%
Mexico	Teuchitlan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Teul De Gonzalez Ortega	2000	92.3%	99.2%	75.8%
Mexico	Teul De Gonzalez Ortega	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Texcaltitlan	2000	84.6%	99.6%	40.4%
Mexico	Texcaltitlan	2017	97.8%	100.0%	82.5%
Mexico	Texcalyacac	2000	89.9%	98.2%	78.5%
Mexico	Texcalyacac	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Texcatepec	2000	84.4%	97.1%	58.4%
Mexico	Texcatepec	2017	98.6%	99.9%	94.1%
Mexico	Texcoco	2000	98.8%	99.8%	94.2%
Mexico	Texcoco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Texhuacan	2000	80.8%	99.5%	31.8%
Mexico	Texhuacan	2017	96.7%	100.0%	76.7%
Mexico	Texistepec	2000	84.7%	97.8%	58.5%
Mexico	Texistepec	2017	97.8%	99.9%	91.5%
Mexico	Teya	2000	95.3%	100.0%	64.0%
Mexico	Teya	2017	99.4%	100.0%	93.9%
Mexico	Teziutlan	2000	93.3%	96.6%	85.9%
Mexico	Teziutlan	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Mexico	Tezoatlan De Segura Y Luna	2000	90.0%	99.5%	59.5%
Mexico	Tezoatlan De Segura Y Luna	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Tezonapa	2000	85.1%	95.8%	62.5%
Mexico	Tezonapa	2017	98.3%	99.8%	92.8%
Mexico	Tezontepec De Aldama	2000	99.1%	99.9%	96.4%
Mexico	Tezontepec De Aldama	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tezoyuca	2000	98.3%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Tezoyuca	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Tianguismanalco	2000	92.1%	98.0%	77.8%
Mexico	Tianguismanalco	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Mexico	Tianguistenco	2000	78.2%	95.7%	52.1%
Mexico	Tianguistenco	2017	97.4%	99.9%	88.7%
Mexico	Tianguistengo	2000	86.0%	98.5%	57.2%
Mexico	Tianguistengo	2017	98.2%	99.9%	89.6%
Mexico	Ticul	2000	97.4%	99.7%	92.4%
Mexico	Ticul	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2000	91.6%	99.8%	65.7%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2000	88.6%	97.2%	72.7%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.9%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.2%
Mexico	Tierra Nueva	2000	91.0%	99.9%	60.7%
Mexico	Tierra Nueva	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Tihuatlan	2000	89.2%	95.1%	80.1%
Mexico	Tihuatlan	2017	99.1%	99.8%	96.5%
Mexico	Tijuana	2000	98.7%	99.6%	96.3%
Mexico	Tijuana	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Tila	2000	92.7%	98.5%	81.6%
Mexico	Tila	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Tilapa	2000	91.3%	98.2%	74.4%
Mexico	Tilapa	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Timilpan	2000	91.9%	99.6%	70.2%
Mexico	Timilpan	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Timucuy	2000	98.4%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Timucuy	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tingambato	2000	94.9%	99.4%	79.9%
Mexico	Tingambato	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Tinguindin	2000	94.7%	99.9%	77.4%
Mexico	Tinguindin	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.6%
Mexico	Tinum	2000	93.2%	99.8%	72.5%
Mexico	Tinum	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Tiquicheo	2000	89.2%	97.8%	72.2%
Mexico	De Nicolas Romero	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Tixcacalcupul	2000	87.7%	99.2%	60.7%
Mexico	Tixcacalcupul	2017	98.4%	100.0%	91.6%
Mexico	Tixkokob	2000	97.8%	99.7%	93.1%
Mexico	Tixkokob	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Tixmehuac	2000	92.1%	99.9%	61.9%
Mexico	Tixmehuac	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Tixpehual	2000	95.0%	99.9%	72.3%
Mexico	Tixpehual	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Tixtla De Guerrero	2000	87.5%	99.0%	59.2%
Mexico	Tixtla De Guerrero	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.1%
Mexico	Tizapan El Alto	2000	92.4%	99.9%	60.4%
Mexico	Tizapan El Alto	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	Tizayuca	2000	95.8%	98.7%	91.0%
Mexico	Tizayuca	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Tizimin	2000	94.9%	97.8%	89.9%
Mexico	Tizimin	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Tlachichilco	2000	84.6%	97.7%	60.3%
Mexico	Tlachichilco	2017	97.8%	99.9%	89.9%
Mexico	Tlachichuca	2000	93.3%	98.9%	82.7%
Mexico	Tlachichuca	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Tlacoachistlahuaca	2000	82.5%	94.8%	63.5%
Mexico	Tlacoachistlahuaca	2017	97.5%	99.8%	91.2%
Mexico	Tlacoapa	2000	74.1%	94.5%	45.6%
Mexico	Tlacoapa	2017	95.5%	99.7%	82.2%
Mexico	Tlacojalpan	2000	81.9%	99.7%	30.6%
Mexico	Tlacojalpan	2017	96.0%	100.0%	72.8%
Mexico	Tlacolula De Matamoros	2000	92.2%	98.7%	70.5%
Mexico	Tlacolula De Matamoros	2017	99.6%	99.9%	97.5%
Mexico	Tlacolulan	2000	87.1%	99.3%	51.6%
Mexico	Tlacolulan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.5%
Mexico	Tlacotalpan	2000	94.9%	99.5%	86.3%
Mexico	Tlacotalpan	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Benito Juarez	2000	93.7%	99.4%	80.2%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Benito Juarez	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.9%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Mejia	2000	87.5%	99.4%	57.0%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Mejia	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Tlacotepec Plumas	2000	88.5%	100.0%	41.2%
Mexico	Tlacotepec Plumas	2017	98.2%	100.0%	81.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tlacuilotepec	2000	88.0%	99.2%	63.2%
Mexico	Tlacuilotepec	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Tlahuac	2000	99.4%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	Tlahuac	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tlahualilo	2000	94.8%	98.2%	88.0%
Mexico	Tlahualilo	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Tlahuapan	2000	96.7%	99.6%	89.5%
Mexico	Tlahuapan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Tlahuelilpan	2000	99.7%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Tlahuelilpan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Tlahuiltepa	2000	91.3%	98.9%	74.1%
Mexico	Tlahuiltepa	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Tlajomulco De Zuniga	2000	93.2%	97.7%	84.8%
Mexico	Tlajomulco De Zuniga	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.5%
Mexico	Tlalchapa	2000	87.8%	99.2%	60.4%
Mexico	Tlalchapa	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	Tlalixcoyan	2000	88.3%	97.5%	73.0%
Mexico	Tlalixcoyan	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Tlalixtac De Cabrera	2000	97.2%	98.6%	94.5%
Mexico	Tlalixtac De Cabrera	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Tlalixtaquilla De Maldonado	2000	81.8%	90.9%	67.8%
Mexico	Tlalixtaquilla De Maldonado	2017	98.6%	99.6%	96.0%
Mexico	Tlalmanalco	2000	97.1%	99.4%	92.6%
Mexico	Tlalmanalco	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Tlalnelhuayocan	2000	52.7%	59.0%	46.9%
Mexico	Tlalnelhuayocan	2017	86.3%	91.0%	81.6%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla	2000	95.7%	99.2%	86.9%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla De Baz	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.4%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla De Baz	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Tlalpan	2000	96.7%	98.8%	93.3%
Mexico	Tlalpan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Tlalpujahuac	2000	78.3%	89.7%	62.6%
Mexico	Tlalpujahuac	2017	98.6%	99.6%	96.0%
Mexico	Tlaltenango	2000	95.0%	98.5%	90.0%
Mexico	Tlaltenango	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Tlaltenango De Sanchez Roman	2000	96.1%	98.9%	91.2%
Mexico	Tlaltenango De Sanchez Roman	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Tlaltetela	2000	90.8%	99.5%	67.6%
Mexico	Tlaltetela	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.8%
Mexico	Tlaltizapan	2000	88.1%	99.7%	56.3%
Mexico	Tlaltizapan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.9%
Mexico	Tlanalapa	2000	86.4%	99.8%	47.6%
Mexico	Tlanalapa	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	Tlanchinol	2000	93.5%	99.8%	76.0%
Mexico	Tlanchinol	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	Tlanepantla	2000	90.3%	99.7%	51.6%
Mexico	Tlanepantla	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tlaola	2000	59.4%	71.5%	45.7%
Mexico	Tlaola	2017	93.7%	97.2%	86.7%
Mexico	Tlapa De Comonfort	2000	72.5%	88.0%	60.2%
Mexico	Tlapa De Comonfort	2017	97.8%	99.5%	94.9%
Mexico	Tlapacoya	2000	72.6%	98.2%	23.5%
Mexico	Tlapacoya	2017	94.8%	99.9%	63.3%
Mexico	Tlapacoyan	2000	87.2%	99.5%	50.1%
Mexico	Tlapacoyan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.0%
Mexico	Tlapanala	2000	90.1%	99.9%	55.4%
Mexico	Tlapanala	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	Tlapehuala	2000	83.0%	95.8%	52.0%
Mexico	Tlapehuala	2017	98.0%	99.9%	88.4%
Mexico	Tlaquepaque	2000	97.6%	98.6%	96.0%
Mexico	Tlaquepaque	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tlaquilpa	2000	84.5%	99.7%	37.6%
Mexico	Tlaquilpa	2017	98.0%	100.0%	85.3%
Mexico	Tlaquiltenango	2000	96.0%	99.5%	87.7%
Mexico	Tlaquiltenango	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Tlatlauquitepec	2000	91.7%	98.9%	74.7%
Mexico	Tlatlauquitepec	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Tlatlaya	2000	88.7%	99.0%	68.9%
Mexico	Tlatlaya	2017	98.4%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Tlaxcala	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Mexico	Tlaxcala	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2000	92.1%	99.1%	76.2%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2000	87.4%	99.7%	40.1%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2017	98.3%	100.0%	85.3%
Mexico	Tlaxcoapan	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Tlaxcoapan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Tlayacapan	2000	97.6%	99.9%	87.4%
Mexico	Tlayacapan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Tlazazalca	2000	89.2%	99.8%	50.5%
Mexico	Tlazazalca	2017	98.5%	100.0%	86.3%
Mexico	Tlilapan	2000	74.7%	92.8%	51.6%
Mexico	Tlilapan	2017	97.9%	99.7%	91.2%
Mexico	Tocatlan	2000	95.1%	100.0%	65.4%
Mexico	Tocatlan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Tochimilco	2000	88.1%	99.0%	57.4%
Mexico	Tochimilco	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Tochtepec	2000	85.9%	98.5%	60.7%
Mexico	Tochtepec	2017	98.7%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Tocumbo	2000	88.1%	97.6%	71.6%
Mexico	Tocumbo	2017	98.4%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	Tolcayuca	2000	99.1%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Tolcayuca	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Toliman	2000	94.0%	99.1%	83.2%
Mexico	Toliman	2000	92.6%	99.1%	77.5%
Mexico	Toliman	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Toliman	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Toluca	2000	94.6%	97.2%	91.0%
Mexico	Toluca	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2000	88.8%	96.7%	73.1%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2000	98.9%	99.9%	96.1%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.7%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tonala	2000	93.2%	95.8%	87.9%
Mexico	Tonala	2000	90.4%	97.2%	78.6%
Mexico	Tonala	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	Tonala	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tonanitla	2000	97.8%	99.5%	95.0%
Mexico	Tonanitla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Tonatico	2000	83.8%	99.3%	42.9%
Mexico	Tonatico	2017	97.7%	100.0%	86.8%
Mexico	Tonaya	2000	90.8%	99.8%	58.5%
Mexico	Tonaya	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Tonayan	2000	91.9%	99.9%	63.0%
Mexico	Tonayan	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	Tonila	2000	92.2%	100.0%	60.6%
Mexico	Tonila	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	Topia	2000	90.4%	97.7%	78.0%
Mexico	Topia	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.1%
Mexico	Torreón	2000	97.9%	99.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Torreón	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Totatiche	2000	88.2%	99.7%	60.6%
Mexico	Totatiche	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	Totolac	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Totolac	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Totolapa	2000	96.5%	99.0%	91.5%
Mexico	Totolapa	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Totolapan	2000	97.1%	99.8%	87.1%
Mexico	Totolapan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Totoltepec De Guerrero	2000	91.7%	99.9%	60.5%
Mexico	Totoltepec De Guerrero	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Totontepec Villa De Morelos	2000	79.2%	97.1%	46.1%
Mexico	Totontepec Villa De Morelos	2017	97.0%	99.9%	81.6%
Mexico	Tototlan	2000	85.6%	99.1%	49.6%
Mexico	Tototlan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.5%
Mexico	Totutla	2000	90.2%	99.7%	59.4%
Mexico	Totutla	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Trancoso	2000	93.6%	98.8%	84.1%
Mexico	Trancoso	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Tres Valles	2000	80.2%	95.2%	54.2%
Mexico	Tres Valles	2017	96.2%	99.7%	84.4%
Mexico	Trincheras	2000	91.6%	99.6%	53.6%
Mexico	Trincheras	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Trinidad Garcia De La Cadena	2000	93.8%	99.5%	74.2%
Mexico	Trinidad Garcia De La Cadena	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Trinidad Zaachila	2000	75.9%	98.1%	37.1%
Mexico	Trinidad Zaachila	2017	97.7%	99.9%	89.0%
Mexico	Tubutama	2000	89.9%	99.5%	63.5%
Mexico	Tubutama	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Tula	2000	92.4%	97.8%	81.6%
Mexico	Tula	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	Tula De Alende	2000	87.9%	96.6%	74.7%
Mexico	Tula De Alende	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.9%
Mexico	Tulancingo De Bravo	2000	90.1%	95.1%	81.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tulancingo De Bravo	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.5%
Mexico	Tulcingo	2000	96.4%	99.9%	86.7%
Mexico	Tulcingo	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Tultepec	2000	98.5%	99.5%	96.5%
Mexico	Tultepec	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tultitlan	2000	99.0%	99.5%	97.9%
Mexico	Tultitlan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Tumbala	2000	79.5%	88.5%	62.5%
Mexico	Tumbala	2017	98.3%	99.6%	94.1%
Mexico	Tumbiscatio	2000	89.2%	98.1%	70.5%
Mexico	Tumbiscatio	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.6%
Mexico	Tunkas	2000	90.7%	99.9%	55.6%
Mexico	Tunkas	2017	99.0%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Turicato	2000	88.3%	98.2%	62.5%
Mexico	Turicato	2017	98.3%	99.9%	90.9%
Mexico	Tuxcacuesco	2000	89.3%	99.5%	60.5%
Mexico	Tuxcacuesco	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.7%
Mexico	Tuxcueca	2000	88.8%	99.8%	56.7%
Mexico	Tuxcueca	2017	98.5%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	Tuxpam	2000	91.4%	95.8%	85.3%
Mexico	Tuxpam	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	92.2%	98.2%	80.6%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	92.4%	95.3%	87.9%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	73.4%	99.2%	30.3%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.9%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	95.9%	100.0%	75.0%
Mexico	Tuxtilla	2000	82.3%	99.9%	42.0%
Mexico	Tuxtilla	2017	97.3%	100.0%	78.5%
Mexico	Tuxtla Chico	2000	67.5%	89.2%	43.5%
Mexico	Tuxtla Chico	2017	95.9%	99.5%	83.9%
Mexico	Tuxtla Gutierrez	2000	68.6%	73.9%	62.2%
Mexico	Tuxtla Gutierrez	2017	94.2%	96.7%	91.2%
Mexico	Tuzamapan De Galeana	2000	77.8%	88.8%	52.5%
Mexico	Tuzamapan De Galeana	2017	97.2%	99.3%	88.2%
Mexico	Tuzantan	2000	85.3%	95.5%	71.3%
Mexico	Tuzantan	2017	98.4%	99.8%	94.6%
Mexico	Tuzantla	2000	86.6%	95.2%	75.8%
Mexico	Tuzantla	2017	98.7%	99.8%	96.4%
Mexico	Tzicatlacoyan	2000	87.5%	99.4%	63.8%
Mexico	Tzicatlacoyan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	92.1%
Mexico	Tzimol	2000	92.2%	99.3%	74.7%
Mexico	Tzimol	2017	99.3%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Tzintzuntzan	2000	85.5%	97.1%	52.8%
Mexico	Tzintzuntzan	2017	98.3%	99.8%	92.9%
Mexico	Tzitzio	2000	89.5%	99.0%	69.5%
Mexico	Tzitzio	2017	98.6%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Tzompantepec	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.3%
Mexico	Tzompantepec	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Tzucacab	2000	83.1%	99.3%	39.8%
Mexico	Tzucacab	2017	96.7%	100.0%	74.7%
Mexico	Uayma	2000	90.6%	99.8%	54.4%
Mexico	Uayma	2017	98.7%	100.0%	87.2%
Mexico	Ucu	2000	94.4%	99.7%	71.4%
Mexico	Ucu	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Uman	2000	97.2%	99.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Uman	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Union De San Antonio	2000	87.5%	96.0%	66.8%
Mexico	Union De San Antonio	2017	97.9%	99.6%	94.0%
Mexico	Union De Tula	2000	91.2%	99.7%	60.1%
Mexico	Union De Tula	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	Union Hidalgo	2000	81.5%	96.6%	55.1%
Mexico	Union Hidalgo	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Union Juarez	2000	96.6%	99.5%	86.1%
Mexico	Union Juarez	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Ures	2000	97.3%	99.6%	90.6%
Mexico	Ures	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Uriangato	2000	98.2%	99.7%	93.9%
Mexico	Uriangato	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Urique	2000	85.7%	93.7%	75.3%
Mexico	Urique	2017	98.0%	99.5%	94.9%
Mexico	Ursulo Galvan	2000	95.1%	98.1%	88.2%
Mexico	Ursulo Galvan	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Uruachi	2000	80.6%	88.5%	70.5%
Mexico	Uruachi	2017	97.9%	99.3%	95.6%
Mexico	Uruapan	2000	76.3%	86.1%	66.9%
Mexico	Uruapan	2017	97.7%	99.1%	95.6%
Mexico	Uxpanapa	2000	76.0%	90.5%	51.8%
Mexico	Uxpanapa	2017	95.5%	99.3%	84.6%
Mexico	Valerio Trujano	2000	83.1%	99.4%	41.9%
Mexico	Valerio Trujano	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.4%
Mexico	Valladolid	2000	94.9%	98.3%	87.9%
Mexico	Valladolid	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Valle De Bravo	2000	93.4%	98.4%	81.6%
Mexico	Valle De Bravo	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.7%
Mexico	Valle De Chalco Solidaridad	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.2%
Mexico	Valle De Chalco Solidaridad	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Valle De Guadalupe	2000	90.4%	99.8%	52.4%
Mexico	Valle De Guadalupe	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.4%
Mexico	Valle De Juarez	2000	89.3%	99.8%	52.4%
Mexico	Valle De Juarez	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.2%
Mexico	Valle De Santiago	2000	95.1%	99.0%	88.5%
Mexico	Valle De Santiago	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Valle De Zaragoza	2000	92.6%	98.8%	74.8%
Mexico	Valle De Zaragoza	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.3%
Mexico	Valle Hermoso	2000	94.1%	99.2%	79.6%
Mexico	Valle Hermoso	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Vallecillo	2000	91.6%	98.9%	75.2%
Mexico	Vallecillo	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.8%
Mexico	Valparaiso	2000	86.4%	90.9%	80.8%
Mexico	Valparaiso	2017	97.5%	99.1%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Vanegas	2000	87.9%	96.9%	72.7%
Mexico	Vanegas	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.0%
Mexico	Vega De Alatorre	2000	96.5%	99.7%	86.1%
Mexico	Vega De Alatorre	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Venado	2000	81.2%	89.9%	69.7%
Mexico	Venado	2017	98.6%	99.6%	95.8%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	88.6%	97.7%	69.3%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	86.9%	99.6%	49.7%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	89.1%	99.3%	60.1%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	98.8%	99.9%	93.3%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	98.0%	100.0%	86.7%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Veracruz	2000	94.5%	97.5%	89.6%
Mexico	Veracruz	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Vetagrande	2000	96.1%	99.5%	84.4%
Mexico	Vetagrande	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2000	96.4%	99.3%	89.9%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2000	90.1%	98.8%	64.9%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.0%
Mexico	Victoria	2000	89.2%	98.9%	66.0%
Mexico	Victoria	2000	95.1%	98.2%	89.9%
Mexico	Victoria	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.0%
Mexico	Victoria	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Viesca	2000	95.2%	98.6%	88.3%
Mexico	Viesca	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Mexico	Villa Aldama	2000	82.9%	92.6%	67.2%
Mexico	Villa Aldama	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.5%
Mexico	Villa Comaltitlan	2000	86.0%	94.6%	71.3%
Mexico	Villa Comaltitlan	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	Villa Corona	2000	96.5%	99.4%	88.9%
Mexico	Villa Corona	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Villa Corzo	2000	85.4%	94.0%	71.3%
Mexico	Villa Corzo	2017	98.4%	99.7%	94.6%
Mexico	Villa De Alende	2000	68.5%	89.2%	43.8%
Mexico	Villa De Alende	2017	90.6%	98.6%	73.9%
Mexico	Villa De Alvarez	2000	98.2%	99.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Villa De Alvarez	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Villa De Arista	2000	84.6%	97.8%	58.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Villa De Arista	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.5%
Mexico	Villa De Arriaga	2000	89.2%	98.5%	68.3%
Mexico	Villa De Arriaga	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.9%
Mexico	Villa De Chilapa De Diaz	2000	89.2%	99.8%	54.8%
Mexico	Villa De Chilapa De Diaz	2017	99.0%	100.0%	89.5%
Mexico	Villa De Cos	2000	94.0%	97.1%	88.0%
Mexico	Villa De Cos	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	Villa De Etlá	2000	90.5%	96.3%	82.6%
Mexico	Villa De Etlá	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Villa De Guadalupe	2000	89.8%	97.6%	74.1%
Mexico	Villa De Guadalupe	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.6%
Mexico	Villa De La Paz	2000	85.2%	99.0%	47.1%
Mexico	Villa De La Paz	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.3%
Mexico	Villa De Ramos	2000	89.5%	95.6%	81.5%
Mexico	Villa De Ramos	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.2%
Mexico	Villa De Reyes	2000	81.5%	92.2%	63.2%
Mexico	Villa De Reyes	2017	98.0%	99.5%	91.7%
Mexico	Villa De Tamazulapam Del Progreso	2000	85.9%	99.8%	45.1%
Mexico	Villa De Tamazulapam Del Progreso	2017	98.1%	100.0%	85.4%
Mexico	Villa De Tezontepec	2000	92.6%	100.0%	56.7%
Mexico	Villa De Tezontepec	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Villa De Tututepec De Melchor Ocampo	2000	88.8%	96.8%	73.9%
Mexico	Villa De Tututepec De Melchor Ocampo	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.4%
Mexico	Villa De Zaachila	2000	85.6%	97.0%	65.9%
Mexico	Villa De Zaachila	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.0%
Mexico	Villa Del Carbon	2000	94.1%	99.1%	86.2%
Mexico	Villa Del Carbon	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Villa Diaz Ordaz	2000	94.1%	99.2%	80.8%
Mexico	Villa Diaz Ordaz	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Villa Garcia	2000	92.7%	99.6%	73.2%
Mexico	Villa Garcia	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.0%
Mexico	Villa Gonzalez Ortega	2000	94.1%	99.0%	80.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Villa Gonzalez Ortega	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.3%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2000	68.8%	88.3%	45.9%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2000	93.6%	99.5%	72.5%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2017	96.5%	99.4%	88.9%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	89.8%	99.8%	51.3%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	88.9%	99.8%	45.8%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	86.9%	96.0%	68.5%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	93.8%	98.7%	83.0%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	91.8%	99.9%	59.2%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	98.7%	99.8%	93.9%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	98.5%	100.0%	85.0%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.0%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Villa Juarez	2000	83.4%	95.3%	66.0%
Mexico	Villa Juarez	2017	98.2%	99.8%	93.7%
Mexico	Villa Pesqueira	2000	94.7%	99.8%	76.3%
Mexico	Villa Pesqueira	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Villa Purificacion	2000	89.5%	98.0%	69.6%
Mexico	Villa Purificacion	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.5%
Mexico	Villa Sola De Vega	2000	89.2%	96.9%	76.2%
Mexico	Villa Sola De Vega	2017	98.6%	99.7%	95.1%
Mexico	Villa Talea De Castro	2000	81.5%	99.7%	40.6%
Mexico	Villa Talea De Castro	2017	97.2%	100.0%	81.3%
Mexico	Villa Tejupam De La Union	2000	81.4%	97.0%	52.2%
Mexico	Villa Tejupam De La Union	2017	98.3%	99.9%	93.6%
Mexico	Villa Union	2000	96.6%	99.4%	86.2%
Mexico	Villa Union	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Villa Victoria	2000	74.8%	85.4%	62.2%
Mexico	Villa Victoria	2017	94.2%	97.9%	89.2%
Mexico	Villaflora	2000	85.5%	96.0%	67.7%
Mexico	Villaflora	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.4%
Mexico	Villagran	2000	85.6%	97.9%	56.7%
Mexico	Villagran	2000	91.1%	98.6%	78.8%
Mexico	Villagran	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.5%
Mexico	Villagran	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Villaldama	2000	96.2%	99.8%	79.7%
Mexico	Villaldama	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Villamar	2000	70.1%	91.8%	42.4%
Mexico	Villamar	2017	92.7%	99.5%	76.2%
Mexico	Villanueva	2000	93.7%	97.5%	87.8%
Mexico	Villanueva	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Vista Hermosa	2000	95.9%	98.8%	90.7%
Mexico	Vista Hermosa	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Mexico	Xalapa	2000	80.1%	84.2%	74.8%
Mexico	Xalapa	2017	95.7%	97.5%	93.9%
Mexico	Xalatlaco	2000	69.2%	96.8%	30.7%
Mexico	Xalatlaco	2017	94.5%	99.9%	74.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Xalisco	2000	98.1%	99.4%	95.8%
Mexico	Xalisco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Xaloztoc	2000	97.0%	99.9%	82.3%
Mexico	Xaloztoc	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.2%
Mexico	Xalpatlahuac	2000	68.8%	88.7%	52.3%
Mexico	Xalpatlahuac	2017	92.7%	99.1%	80.7%
Mexico	Xaltocan	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Xaltocan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Xayacatlan	2000	84.4%	99.9%	35.6%
Mexico	Xayacatlan De Bravo	2017	97.2%	100.0%	74.4%
Mexico	Xichu	2000	77.9%	91.5%	61.4%
Mexico	Xichu	2017	97.1%	99.5%	91.9%
Mexico	Xico	2000	97.8%	99.7%	92.8%
Mexico	Xico	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.4%
Mexico	Xicohtzinco	2000	98.1%	98.8%	96.7%
Mexico	Xicohtzinco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Xicotencatl	2000	97.2%	99.6%	92.1%
Mexico	Xicotencatl	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Xicotepec	2000	81.0%	91.6%	70.1%
Mexico	Xicotepec	2017	98.3%	99.5%	96.0%
Mexico	Xicotlan	2000	93.5%	99.8%	75.5%
Mexico	Xicotlan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.8%
Mexico	Xilitla	2000	86.1%	96.1%	72.7%
Mexico	Xilitla	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.7%
Mexico	Xiutetelco	2000	88.9%	94.7%	79.4%
Mexico	Xiutetelco	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.8%
Mexico	Xocchel	2000	98.1%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Xocchel	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Xochiapulco	2000	91.5%	100.0%	63.4%
Mexico	Xochiapulco	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Xochiatipan	2000	88.0%	99.6%	56.4%
Mexico	Xochiatipan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.7%
Mexico	Xochicoatlan	2000	90.9%	99.0%	71.6%
Mexico	Xochicoatlan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Xochihuehuetlan	2000	90.9%	99.8%	67.6%
Mexico	Xochihuehuetlan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Xochiltepec	2000	90.9%	100.0%	48.8%
Mexico	Xochiltepec	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	Xochimilco	2000	99.0%	99.8%	97.0%
Mexico	Xochimilco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Xochistlahuaca	2000	89.9%	97.0%	75.8%
Mexico	Xochistlahuaca	2017	98.7%	99.5%	96.2%
Mexico	Xochitepec	2000	96.6%	98.7%	92.4%
Mexico	Xochitepec	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Xochitlan	2000	71.2%	95.4%	32.1%
Mexico	Xochitlan De Vicente Suarez	2017	95.9%	99.8%	80.6%
Mexico	Xochitlan Todos Santos	2000	61.8%	71.0%	51.3%
Mexico	Xochitlan Todos Santos	2017	96.6%	98.5%	93.0%
Mexico	Xonacatlan	2000	94.4%	99.9%	75.4%
Mexico	Xonacatlan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.5%
Mexico	Xoxocotla	2000	83.9%	99.8%	40.2%
Mexico	Xoxocotla	2017	98.2%	100.0%	86.5%
Mexico	Yahualica	2000	77.0%	85.7%	66.0%
Mexico	Yahualica	2017	94.5%	97.6%	89.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Yahualica De Gonzalez Gallo	2000	95.9%	99.3%	89.4%
Mexico	Yahualica De Gonzalez Gallo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Yajalon	2000	82.9%	94.2%	68.5%
Mexico	Yajalon	2017	98.6%	99.7%	94.8%
Mexico	Yanga	2000	89.6%	98.9%	65.9%
Mexico	Yanga	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.7%
Mexico	Yaonahuac	2000	91.7%	99.0%	71.3%
Mexico	Yaonahuac	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Yauhquemecan	2000	99.2%	99.7%	98.4%
Mexico	Yauhquemecan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Yautepec	2000	93.8%	99.0%	79.6%
Mexico	Yautepec	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Yaxcaba	2000	88.4%	97.9%	73.8%
Mexico	Yaxcaba	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	Yaxe	2000	90.0%	100.0%	48.9%
Mexico	Yaxe	2017	98.7%	100.0%	87.9%
Mexico	Yaxkukul	2000	94.4%	99.9%	65.6%
Mexico	Yaxkukul	2017	99.7%	100.0%	96.4%
Mexico	Yecapixtla	2000	95.0%	98.7%	78.4%
Mexico	Yecapixtla	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Yecora	2000	91.2%	99.1%	68.0%
Mexico	Yecora	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.9%
Mexico	Yecuatla	2000	81.4%	92.5%	63.5%
Mexico	Yecuatla	2017	98.4%	99.5%	95.4%
Mexico	Yehualtepec	2000	78.5%	97.0%	46.3%
Mexico	Yehualtepec	2017	97.5%	99.9%	89.1%
Mexico	Yobain	2000	92.2%	99.9%	55.1%
Mexico	Yobain	2017	98.7%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	Yogana	2000	85.9%	99.5%	47.1%
Mexico	Yogana	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.5%
Mexico	Yurecuaro	2000	90.2%	99.4%	55.8%
Mexico	Yurecuaro	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	Yuriria	2000	92.7%	98.0%	81.5%
Mexico	Yuriria	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Yutanduchi De Guerrero	2000	70.2%	97.9%	27.3%
Mexico	Yutanduchi De Guerrero	2017	95.6%	99.9%	73.3%
Mexico	Zacapala	2000	87.9%	98.3%	65.9%
Mexico	Zacapala	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.4%
Mexico	Zacapoaxtla	2000	90.4%	99.8%	60.5%
Mexico	Zacapoaxtla	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.4%
Mexico	Zacapu	2000	92.8%	96.6%	86.6%
Mexico	Zacapu	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.5%
Mexico	Zacatecas	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.4%
Mexico	Zacatecas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Zacatelco	2000	99.0%	99.5%	98.0%
Mexico	Zacatelco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Zacatepec De Hidalgo	2000	97.9%	99.2%	94.5%
Mexico	Zacatepec De Hidalgo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Zacatlan	2000	91.1%	96.9%	80.4%
Mexico	Zacatlan	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.2%
Mexico	Zacazonapan	2000	87.9%	99.8%	45.8%
Mexico	Zacazonapan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	Zacoalco De Torres	2000	90.2%	99.7%	62.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zacoalco De Torres	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2000	88.3%	99.4%	63.1%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2000	87.5%	99.3%	56.4%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	Zacualpan De Amilpas	2000	94.3%	97.6%	89.6%
Mexico	Zacualpan De Amilpas	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	Zacualtipan De Angeles	2000	90.3%	99.3%	63.4%
Mexico	Zacualtipan De Angeles	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.9%
Mexico	Zamora	2000	90.1%	95.7%	80.3%
Mexico	Zamora	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.4%
Mexico	Zapopan	2000	91.2%	94.4%	87.2%
Mexico	Zapopan	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.5%
Mexico	Zapotiltic	2000	91.1%	97.5%	79.1%
Mexico	Zapotiltic	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.0%
Mexico	Zapotitlan	2000	89.3%	98.9%	62.8%
Mexico	Zapotitlan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Mendez	2000	81.1%	90.4%	64.2%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Mendez	2017	98.7%	99.5%	96.7%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Vadillo	2000	96.4%	99.7%	87.4%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Vadillo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Del Rio	2000	84.8%	99.2%	52.7%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Del Rio	2017	97.9%	100.0%	87.6%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Lagunas	2000	88.7%	99.6%	49.1%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Lagunas	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Palmas	2000	84.4%	99.7%	39.6%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Palmas	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Tablas	2000	53.9%	71.9%	42.2%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Tablas	2017	85.9%	95.0%	74.9%
Mexico	Zapotlan De Juarez	2000	96.6%	100.0%	74.3%
Mexico	Zapotlan De Juarez	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Zapotlan Del Rey	2000	88.6%	97.6%	72.6%
Mexico	Zapotlan Del Rey	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.9%
Mexico	Zapotlan El Grande	2000	97.3%	99.4%	92.8%
Mexico	Zapotlan El Grande	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Zapotlanejo	2000	92.6%	98.7%	75.3%
Mexico	Zapotlanejo	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.5%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	92.8%	100.0%	58.7%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	82.4%	96.3%	60.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	96.3%	99.4%	81.2%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	90.1%	98.6%	73.8%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.1%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Zautla	2000	92.3%	99.6%	74.9%
Mexico	Zautla	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Zempoala	2000	95.6%	99.8%	83.9%
Mexico	Zempoala	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.1%
Mexico	Zentla	2000	89.8%	96.8%	78.9%
Mexico	Zentla	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Zihuateutla	2000	72.5%	86.2%	49.0%
Mexico	Zihuateutla	2017	94.4%	98.5%	86.2%
Mexico	Zimapan	2000	89.5%	98.5%	66.8%
Mexico	Zimapan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	93.7%
Mexico	Zimatlan De Alvarez	2000	85.3%	97.9%	57.3%
Mexico	Zimatlan De Alvarez	2017	98.3%	99.9%	91.0%
Mexico	Zinacantan	2000	82.0%	92.1%	67.1%
Mexico	Zinacantan	2017	98.7%	99.6%	96.2%
Mexico	Zinacantepec	2000	90.0%	96.7%	79.8%
Mexico	Zinacantepec	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.1%
Mexico	Zinacatepec	2000	95.1%	99.6%	73.3%
Mexico	Zinacatepec	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Zinaparo	2000	89.9%	99.6%	59.3%
Mexico	Zinaparo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Zinapécuaro	2000	89.3%	98.4%	76.5%
Mexico	Zinapécuaro	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Ziracuaretiro	2000	67.0%	87.9%	29.5%
Mexico	Ziracuaretiro	2017	92.4%	98.7%	74.0%
Mexico	Zirandaro	2000	85.3%	95.7%	68.9%
Mexico	Zirandaro	2017	97.9%	99.8%	90.7%
Mexico	Zitacuaro	2000	94.1%	97.9%	86.8%
Mexico	Zitacuaro	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.9%
Mexico	Zitlala	2000	77.6%	95.7%	51.5%
Mexico	Zitlala	2017	97.6%	99.9%	88.8%
Mexico	Zitlaltepec	2000	98.5%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	De Trinidad Sanchez Santos				
Mexico	Zitlaltepec De Trinidad Sanchez Santos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Zongolica	2000	73.2%	91.1%	46.8%
Mexico	Zongolica	2017	95.7%	99.4%	84.5%
Mexico	Zongozotla	2000	90.3%	98.5%	63.1%
Mexico	Zongozotla	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Zontecomatlan De Lopez Y Fuentes	2000	88.0%	98.9%	65.0%
Mexico	Zontecomatlan De Lopez Y Fuentes	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	Zoquiapan	2000	75.4%	86.6%	59.2%
Mexico	Zoquiapan	2017	97.6%	99.2%	92.5%
Mexico	Zoquitlan	2000	61.4%	81.7%	40.4%
Mexico	Zoquitlan	2017	93.1%	98.7%	77.4%
Mexico	Zozocolco De Hidalgo	2000	55.2%	80.3%	28.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zozocolco De Hidalgo	2017	84.6%	96.9%	62.4%
Mexico	Zumpahuacan	2000	92.2%	99.1%	75.9%
Mexico	Zumpahuacan	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.1%
Mexico	Zumpango	2000	95.3%	98.4%	89.7%
Mexico	Zumpango	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Nicaragua	Achuapa	2000	80.1%	98.4%	52.1%
Nicaragua	Achuapa	2017	81.1%	98.7%	53.6%
Nicaragua	Acoyapa	2000	68.9%	86.4%	47.3%
Nicaragua	Acoyapa	2017	70.9%	86.9%	51.9%
Nicaragua	Altagracia	2000	71.4%	96.6%	36.6%
Nicaragua	Altagracia	2017	74.0%	96.9%	40.9%
Nicaragua	Belén	2000	79.6%	94.0%	59.5%
Nicaragua	Belén	2017	80.0%	94.1%	60.4%
Nicaragua	Bluefields	2000	70.9%	83.3%	54.3%
Nicaragua	Bluefields	2017	71.9%	81.9%	60.1%
Nicaragua	Boaco	2000	68.9%	88.8%	46.9%
Nicaragua	Boaco	2017	71.1%	90.4%	50.0%
Nicaragua	Bocana de Paiwas	2000	72.7%	86.1%	55.9%
Nicaragua	Bocana de Paiwas	2017	73.8%	88.2%	57.7%
Nicaragua	Bonanza	2000	69.0%	83.3%	51.9%
Nicaragua	Bonanza	2017	70.2%	83.0%	53.3%
Nicaragua	Buenos Aires	2000	57.3%	69.5%	45.5%
Nicaragua	Buenos Aires	2017	56.7%	69.4%	44.6%
Nicaragua	Camoapa	2000	69.7%	89.6%	43.6%
Nicaragua	Camoapa	2017	71.8%	89.1%	48.4%
Nicaragua	Catarina	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Nicaragua	Catarina	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Nicaragua	Chichigalpa	2000	78.0%	91.1%	54.4%
Nicaragua	Chichigalpa	2017	79.1%	91.3%	54.6%
Nicaragua	Chinandega	2000	75.2%	92.6%	52.3%
Nicaragua	Chinandega	2017	76.1%	93.5%	51.2%
Nicaragua	Cinco Pinos	2000	89.0%	100.0%	71.5%
Nicaragua	Cinco Pinos	2017	90.1%	100.0%	74.8%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Antigua	2000	67.2%	94.4%	34.7%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Antigua	2017	69.2%	94.2%	37.5%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Darío	2000	78.8%	93.9%	61.3%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Darío	2017	79.9%	94.2%	61.4%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Sandino	2000	67.3%	88.5%	37.5%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Sandino	2017	70.4%	90.4%	44.0%
Nicaragua	Comalapa	2000	70.2%	93.9%	48.1%
Nicaragua	Comalapa	2017	71.7%	95.2%	49.2%
Nicaragua	Condega	2000	86.6%	98.3%	72.4%
Nicaragua	Condega	2017	87.8%	98.6%	73.5%
Nicaragua	Corinto	2000	85.2%	99.4%	61.5%
Nicaragua	Corinto	2017	84.8%	99.4%	59.3%
Nicaragua	Dipilto	2000	39.5%	63.9%	11.9%
Nicaragua	Dipilto	2017	42.0%	67.4%	11.7%
Nicaragua	Diriá	2000	97.4%	100.0%	91.3%
Nicaragua	Diriá	2017	98.2%	100.0%	93.9%
Nicaragua	Diriamba	2000	80.6%	91.8%	67.9%
Nicaragua	Diriamba	2017	83.1%	94.3%	68.1%
Nicaragua	Diriomo	2000	95.9%	100.0%	88.3%
Nicaragua	Diriomo	2017	96.8%	100.0%	91.1%
Nicaragua	Dolores	2000	87.3%	100.0%	52.7%
Nicaragua	Dolores	2017	89.7%	100.0%	52.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	El Almendro	2000	63.4%	79.1%	43.1%
Nicaragua	El Almendro	2017	63.9%	81.6%	43.8%
Nicaragua	El Cuá	2000	70.5%	81.3%	58.5%
Nicaragua	El Cuá	2017	71.8%	82.0%	60.5%
Nicaragua	El Jicaral	2000	78.7%	95.2%	61.5%
Nicaragua	El Jicaral	2017	79.7%	96.1%	61.7%
Nicaragua	El Rama	2000	70.1%	80.0%	59.5%
Nicaragua	El Rama	2017	71.8%	81.5%	61.1%
Nicaragua	El Realejo	2000	70.8%	95.0%	43.9%
Nicaragua	El Realejo	2017	71.4%	95.6%	46.8%
Nicaragua	El Rosario	2000	94.6%	100.0%	69.1%
Nicaragua	El Rosario	2017	95.3%	100.0%	68.1%
Nicaragua	El Sauce	2000	77.6%	93.6%	55.8%
Nicaragua	El Sauce	2017	79.8%	94.7%	57.4%
Nicaragua	El Viejo	2000	77.1%	92.7%	56.5%
Nicaragua	El Viejo	2017	78.4%	92.6%	58.8%
Nicaragua	Esquipulas	2000	65.2%	76.1%	52.9%
Nicaragua	Esquipulas	2017	70.3%	80.4%	58.2%
Nicaragua	Estelí	2000	78.6%	92.4%	57.7%
Nicaragua	Estelí	2017	80.8%	93.9%	61.8%
Nicaragua	Granada	2000	81.6%	94.5%	62.5%
Nicaragua	Granada	2017	83.6%	94.9%	66.9%
Nicaragua	Jalapa	2000	72.4%	91.9%	50.7%
Nicaragua	Jalapa	2017	74.3%	93.4%	53.2%
Nicaragua	Jinotega	2000	71.0%	89.4%	48.9%
Nicaragua	Jinotega	2017	72.6%	90.1%	50.5%
Nicaragua	Jinotepe	2000	88.6%	99.6%	58.2%
Nicaragua	Jinotepe	2017	89.9%	99.9%	58.3%
Nicaragua	Juigalpa	2000	72.1%	90.2%	50.8%
Nicaragua	Juigalpa	2017	73.8%	91.2%	51.2%
Nicaragua	Kukra Hill	2000	73.7%	89.9%	52.3%
Nicaragua	Kukra Hill	2017	74.4%	88.1%	56.5%
Nicaragua	La Concepción	2000	85.3%	100.0%	55.1%
Nicaragua	La Concepción	2017	87.4%	100.0%	55.8%
Nicaragua	La Concordia	2000	73.0%	99.1%	40.8%
Nicaragua	La Concordia	2017	75.4%	100.0%	44.6%
Nicaragua	La Conquista	2000	90.1%	100.0%	74.5%
Nicaragua	La Conquista	2017	92.5%	100.0%	78.5%
Nicaragua	La Cruz de Río Grande	2000	70.2%	79.4%	61.5%
Nicaragua	La Cruz de Río Grande	2017	71.4%	80.4%	62.2%
Nicaragua	La Libertad	2000	68.1%	90.1%	39.4%
Nicaragua	La Libertad	2017	69.7%	92.2%	40.0%
Nicaragua	La Paz Centro	2000	77.0%	91.2%	55.9%
Nicaragua	La Paz Centro	2017	78.2%	92.6%	56.3%
Nicaragua	La Paz de Carazo	2000	99.7%	100.0%	97.9%
Nicaragua	La Paz de Carazo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.5%
Nicaragua	La Trinidad	2000	72.0%	89.5%	49.5%
Nicaragua	La Trinidad	2017	74.1%	90.9%	51.2%
Nicaragua	Lago de Managua	2000	82.3%	99.0%	52.3%
Nicaragua	Lago de Managua	2017	82.8%	99.1%	54.2%
Nicaragua	Lago de Nicaragua	2000	71.8%	88.0%	51.4%
Nicaragua	Lago de Nicaragua	2017	73.7%	88.4%	51.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Laguna de Perlas	2000	69.5%	80.8%	58.1%
Nicaragua	Laguna de Perlas	2017	70.9%	81.9%	58.4%
Nicaragua	Larreynaga-Malpaisillo	2000	80.9%	94.1%	63.3%
Nicaragua	Larreynaga-Malpaisillo	2017	81.6%	94.6%	62.8%
Nicaragua	Las Sabanas	2000	69.1%	89.1%	52.9%
Nicaragua	Las Sabanas	2017	66.6%	85.5%	51.2%
Nicaragua	León	2000	70.8%	89.7%	50.0%
Nicaragua	León	2017	71.9%	91.9%	49.2%
Nicaragua	Macuelizo	2000	50.0%	79.0%	22.7%
Nicaragua	Macuelizo	2017	52.7%	82.0%	25.2%
Nicaragua	Managua	2000	88.3%	99.0%	69.1%
Nicaragua	Managua	2017	89.0%	99.1%	68.7%
Nicaragua	Masatepe	2000	87.5%	100.0%	69.1%
Nicaragua	Masatepe	2017	88.9%	100.0%	71.8%
Nicaragua	Masaya	2000	90.8%	99.5%	78.8%
Nicaragua	Masaya	2017	91.6%	99.6%	79.8%
Nicaragua	Matagalpa	2000	76.8%	93.5%	61.0%
Nicaragua	Matagalpa	2017	78.4%	93.9%	61.7%
Nicaragua	Mateare	2000	82.5%	98.3%	55.2%
Nicaragua	Mateare	2017	83.2%	98.9%	55.5%
Nicaragua	Matiguás	2000	68.0%	83.1%	48.2%
Nicaragua	Matiguás	2017	69.8%	83.9%	52.0%
Nicaragua	Morrito	2000	62.4%	79.9%	45.0%
Nicaragua	Morrito	2017	64.5%	82.4%	47.2%
Nicaragua	Moyogalpa	2000	83.6%	98.6%	47.8%
Nicaragua	Moyogalpa	2017	84.4%	98.9%	49.3%
Nicaragua	Mozonte	2000	70.5%	91.6%	42.3%
Nicaragua	Mozonte	2017	73.9%	93.5%	47.2%
Nicaragua	Muelle de los Bueyes	2000	67.6%	80.7%	48.6%
Nicaragua	Muelle de los Bueyes	2017	68.1%	82.1%	49.2%
Nicaragua	Murra	2000	58.6%	82.6%	35.4%
Nicaragua	Murra	2017	61.0%	84.8%	37.4%
Nicaragua	Muy Muy	2000	63.9%	88.8%	31.4%
Nicaragua	Muy Muy	2017	64.3%	87.6%	33.8%
Nicaragua	Nagarote	2000	71.7%	92.4%	43.9%
Nicaragua	Nagarote	2017	73.0%	94.5%	47.9%
Nicaragua	Nandaime	2000	86.6%	96.7%	74.6%
Nicaragua	Nandaime	2017	87.7%	97.0%	77.3%
Nicaragua	Nandasmo	2000	91.7%	100.0%	79.3%
Nicaragua	Nandasmo	2017	92.6%	100.0%	81.0%
Nicaragua	Nindirí	2000	89.3%	100.0%	75.9%
Nicaragua	Nindirí	2017	89.6%	100.0%	75.7%
Nicaragua	Niquinohomo	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Nicaragua	Niquinohomo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Nicaragua	Nueva Guinea	2000	70.7%	83.0%	57.3%
Nicaragua	Nueva Guinea	2017	72.0%	83.8%	58.7%
Nicaragua	Ocotal	2000	80.7%	96.9%	51.3%
Nicaragua	Ocotal	2017	83.7%	98.2%	51.8%
Nicaragua	Palacagüina	2000	77.4%	90.4%	68.4%
Nicaragua	Palacagüina	2017	78.1%	92.4%	68.8%
Nicaragua	Posoltega	2000	82.0%	100.0%	49.9%
Nicaragua	Posoltega	2017	85.6%	100.0%	50.9%
Nicaragua	Potosí	2000	76.3%	87.9%	60.6%
Nicaragua	Potosí	2017	76.3%	87.2%	60.4%
Nicaragua	Prinzapolka	2000	71.5%	79.1%	62.7%
Nicaragua	Prinzapolka	2017	72.7%	79.6%	64.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	76.1%	89.4%	57.7%
Nicaragua	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	76.2%	89.2%	58.6%
Nicaragua	Puerto Cabezas	2000	71.3%	81.0%	58.9%
Nicaragua	Puerto Cabezas	2017	72.4%	80.9%	61.1%
Nicaragua	Puerto Morazán	2000	84.2%	97.6%	64.7%
Nicaragua	Puerto Morazán	2017	85.3%	98.1%	66.4%
Nicaragua	Quezalguaque	2000	69.9%	90.5%	45.2%
Nicaragua	Quezalguaque	2017	73.6%	92.0%	49.5%
Nicaragua	Quilalí	2000	72.8%	95.6%	42.7%
Nicaragua	Quilalí	2017	74.5%	96.0%	44.6%
Nicaragua	Rancho Grande	2000	64.2%	85.5%	39.0%
Nicaragua	Rancho Grande	2017	66.2%	88.0%	41.5%
Nicaragua	Río Blanco	2000	67.9%	80.7%	52.1%
Nicaragua	Río Blanco	2017	70.1%	82.2%	56.6%
Nicaragua	Rivas	2000	68.6%	87.1%	51.8%
Nicaragua	Rivas	2017	69.0%	86.9%	51.6%
Nicaragua	Rosita	2000	72.3%	82.5%	56.0%
Nicaragua	Rosita	2017	73.1%	83.1%	60.3%
Nicaragua	San Carlos	2000	72.4%	84.3%	60.8%
Nicaragua	San Carlos	2017	74.3%	84.7%	64.3%
Nicaragua	San Dionisio	2000	60.5%	84.5%	33.0%
Nicaragua	San Dionisio	2017	65.6%	87.3%	35.4%
Nicaragua	San Fernando	2000	64.8%	84.5%	42.9%
Nicaragua	San Fernando	2017	64.7%	85.2%	43.6%
Nicaragua	San Francisco del Norte	2000	73.9%	100.0%	38.3%
Nicaragua	San Francisco del Norte	2017	76.6%	100.0%	37.3%
Nicaragua	San Francisco Libre	2000	75.2%	92.1%	49.1%
Nicaragua	San Francisco Libre	2017	75.2%	92.2%	49.4%
Nicaragua	San Isidro	2000	75.9%	94.6%	53.1%
Nicaragua	San Isidro	2017	80.0%	94.6%	57.6%
Nicaragua	San Jorge	2000	59.7%	71.3%	45.6%
Nicaragua	San Jorge	2017	59.3%	70.6%	44.8%
Nicaragua	San José de Cusmapa	2000	60.0%	80.5%	35.2%
Nicaragua	San José de Cusmapa	2017	63.6%	87.9%	39.0%
Nicaragua	San José de los Remates	2000	76.5%	97.3%	56.6%
Nicaragua	San José de los Remates	2017	77.8%	97.6%	57.1%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Limay	2000	77.5%	92.7%	59.2%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Limay	2017	79.7%	93.2%	64.2%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Oriente	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Oriente	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Norte	2000	71.1%	85.5%	52.7%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Norte	2017	72.4%	86.8%	53.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	San Juan del Río Coco	2000	85.0%	99.4%	63.3%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Río Coco	2017	86.6%	99.8%	64.8%
Nicaragua	San Lorenzo	2000	66.9%	87.4%	45.9%
Nicaragua	San Lorenzo	2017	67.1%	87.8%	45.7%
Nicaragua	San Lucas	2000	73.2%	91.7%	50.2%
Nicaragua	San Lucas	2017	74.6%	92.6%	49.8%
Nicaragua	San Marcos	2000	86.9%	99.4%	71.2%
Nicaragua	San Marcos	2017	88.7%	99.7%	70.3%
Nicaragua	San Miguelito	2000	71.2%	87.7%	49.2%
Nicaragua	San Miguelito	2017	72.4%	87.4%	52.5%
Nicaragua	San Nicolás	2000	74.5%	91.1%	49.0%
Nicaragua	San Nicolás	2017	75.1%	90.2%	48.6%
Nicaragua	San Pedro de Lóvago	2000	71.7%	88.0%	52.9%
Nicaragua	San Pedro de Lóvago	2017	72.5%	88.1%	54.5%
Nicaragua	San Pedro del Norte	2000	88.4%	100.0%	60.0%
Nicaragua	San Pedro del Norte	2017	89.8%	100.0%	62.6%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Norte	2000	70.8%	91.3%	45.0%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Norte	2017	72.8%	92.5%	46.7%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Sur	2000	76.5%	98.4%	48.7%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Sur	2017	78.5%	98.8%	50.0%
Nicaragua	San Ramón	2000	72.8%	93.1%	48.1%
Nicaragua	San Ramón	2017	74.4%	94.2%	49.6%
Nicaragua	San Sebastián de Yalí	2000	80.5%	97.6%	52.9%
Nicaragua	San Sebastián de Yalí	2017	83.0%	98.8%	56.5%
Nicaragua	Santa Lucía	2000	60.7%	86.6%	31.9%
Nicaragua	Santa Lucía	2017	64.4%	90.2%	36.1%
Nicaragua	Santa María	2000	70.4%	99.4%	34.5%
Nicaragua	Santa María	2017	72.1%	100.0%	36.7%
Nicaragua	Santa María de Pantasma	2000	71.4%	90.4%	50.3%
Nicaragua	Santa María de Pantasma	2017	72.8%	91.8%	49.9%
Nicaragua	Santa Rosa del Peñón	2000	86.4%	98.7%	72.3%
Nicaragua	Santa Rosa del Peñón	2017	87.2%	98.6%	72.5%
Nicaragua	Santo Domingo	2000	68.7%	90.3%	42.6%
Nicaragua	Santo Domingo	2017	70.0%	91.4%	45.0%
Nicaragua	Santo Tomás	2000	71.2%	92.8%	41.9%
Nicaragua	Santo Tomás	2017	72.6%	93.5%	42.2%
Nicaragua	Sébaco	2000	67.1%	85.6%	45.0%
Nicaragua	Sébaco	2017	69.7%	87.4%	46.4%
Nicaragua	Siuna	2000	72.2%	81.3%	61.6%
Nicaragua	Siuna	2017	73.0%	81.3%	62.9%
Nicaragua	Somotillo	2000	82.5%	93.1%	67.4%
Nicaragua	Somotillo	2017	82.9%	94.3%	64.3%
Nicaragua	Somoto	2000	73.5%	90.0%	54.3%
Nicaragua	Somoto	2017	75.9%	90.8%	54.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Telica	2000	63.8%	84.2%	46.0%
Nicaragua	Telica	2017	65.4%	87.9%	45.9%
Nicaragua	Telpaneca	2000	71.3%	95.4%	44.4%
Nicaragua	Telpaneca	2017	72.2%	96.8%	42.4%
Nicaragua	Terrabona	2000	66.7%	88.7%	45.5%
Nicaragua	Terrabona	2017	69.1%	90.9%	44.0%
Nicaragua	Teustepe	2000	72.3%	90.2%	52.4%
Nicaragua	Teustepe	2017	74.1%	91.2%	52.5%
Nicaragua	Ticuantepe	2000	90.0%	100.0%	70.1%
Nicaragua	Ticuantepe	2017	91.1%	100.0%	71.2%
Nicaragua	Tipitapa	2000	77.1%	92.3%	58.4%
Nicaragua	Tipitapa	2017	78.0%	93.2%	57.9%
Nicaragua	Tisma	2000	77.6%	89.2%	54.5%
Nicaragua	Tisma	2017	77.5%	88.2%	58.2%
Nicaragua	Tola	2000	76.7%	88.3%	61.7%
Nicaragua	Tola	2017	77.7%	90.0%	62.7%
Nicaragua	Totogalpa	2000	91.4%	99.6%	78.1%
Nicaragua	Totogalpa	2017	94.1%	99.7%	84.4%
Nicaragua	Tuma-La	2000	69.4%	95.2%	41.4%
Nicaragua	Dalia				
Nicaragua	Tuma-La	2017	70.7%	95.6%	42.8%
Nicaragua	Dalia				
Nicaragua	Villa Carlos Fonseca	2000	78.1%	94.1%	59.2%
Nicaragua	Villa Carlos Fonseca	2017	79.5%	95.3%	60.2%
Nicaragua	Villa Sandino	2000	68.3%	84.4%	52.4%
Nicaragua	Villa Sandino	2017	70.0%	85.7%	54.5%
Nicaragua	Villanueva	2000	75.5%	91.1%	54.0%
Nicaragua	Villanueva	2017	76.3%	90.7%	54.4%
Nicaragua	Waslala	2000	66.0%	83.4%	46.3%
Nicaragua	Waslala	2017	67.9%	85.0%	49.1%
Nicaragua	Waspán	2000	70.5%	77.8%	62.4%
Nicaragua	Waspán	2017	71.3%	78.1%	63.9%
Nicaragua	Wiwilí	2000	67.4%	79.8%	54.8%
Nicaragua	Wiwilí	2017	68.9%	80.5%	56.0%
Nicaragua	Yalagüina	2000	94.5%	100.0%	82.0%
Nicaragua	Yalagüina	2017	95.4%	100.0%	83.8%
Panama	Aguadulce	2000	95.4%	97.6%	91.9%
Panama	Aguadulce	2017	95.6%	97.7%	92.1%
Panama	Alanje	2000	75.3%	86.8%	58.7%
Panama	Alanje	2017	76.6%	87.5%	60.4%
Panama	Antón	2000	95.5%	97.8%	92.5%
Panama	Antón	2017	95.8%	98.0%	92.9%
Panama	Arraiján	2000	96.3%	98.0%	93.9%
Panama	Arraiján	2017	96.5%	98.2%	94.3%
Panama	Atalaya	2000	90.8%	95.8%	83.5%
Panama	Atalaya	2017	91.3%	96.1%	84.8%
Panama	Balboa	2000	84.7%	99.3%	46.1%
Panama	Balboa	2017	85.4%	99.4%	46.7%
Panama	Barú	2000	72.6%	88.5%	49.8%
Panama	Barú	2017	73.3%	88.8%	51.2%
Panama	Besiko	2000	35.4%	51.3%	20.5%
Panama	Besiko	2017	36.6%	52.3%	21.4%
Panama	Bocas del Toro	2000	52.4%	78.2%	27.1%
Panama	Bocas del Toro	2017	53.3%	79.2%	27.9%
Panama	Boquerón	2000	78.5%	90.8%	59.0%
Panama	Boquerón	2017	79.8%	91.5%	61.0%
Panama	Boquete	2000	69.6%	86.8%	45.1%
Panama	Boquete	2017	70.2%	87.3%	45.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Bugaba	2000	80.3%	89.9%	70.0%
Panama	Bugaba	2017	81.2%	90.5%	71.2%
Panama	Calobre	2000	92.9%	97.2%	85.7%
Panama	Calobre	2017	93.3%	97.4%	86.4%
Panama	Cañazas	2000	86.8%	93.0%	77.8%
Panama	Cañazas	2017	86.7%	93.0%	77.8%
Panama	Capira	2000	93.7%	97.3%	88.6%
Panama	Capira	2017	94.0%	97.5%	89.0%
Panama	Cémaco	2000	32.2%	44.4%	21.4%
Panama	Cémaco	2017	33.8%	45.9%	22.5%
Panama	Chagres	2000	78.2%	95.3%	49.3%
Panama	Chagres	2017	79.1%	95.5%	51.0%
Panama	Chame	2000	96.2%	98.6%	90.1%
Panama	Chame	2017	96.3%	98.7%	90.3%
Panama	Changuinola	2000	59.3%	72.3%	46.3%
Panama	Changuinola	2017	60.5%	73.0%	47.7%
Panama	Chepigana	2000	63.3%	73.5%	52.4%
Panama	Chepigana	2017	64.8%	74.8%	53.9%
Panama	Chepo	2000	87.7%	93.6%	75.8%
Panama	Chepo	2017	88.4%	93.9%	77.7%
Panama	Chimán	2000	80.5%	95.3%	56.5%
Panama	Chimán	2017	81.0%	95.4%	58.2%
Panama	Chiriquí Grande	2000	41.8%	54.6%	30.7%
Panama	Chiriquí Grande	2017	43.1%	55.9%	32.2%
Panama	Chitré	2000	96.5%	97.9%	94.4%
Panama	Chitré	2017	96.7%	98.0%	94.7%
Panama	Colón	2000	75.8%	86.0%	61.0%
Panama	Colón	2017	76.9%	86.8%	62.6%
Panama	David	2000	75.0%	83.2%	64.5%
Panama	David	2017	76.1%	83.9%	66.2%
Panama	Dolega	2000	73.0%	85.4%	55.3%
Panama	Dolega	2017	73.9%	85.9%	56.5%
Panama	Donoso	2000	78.5%	91.3%	59.2%
Panama	Donoso	2017	79.5%	91.7%	60.9%
Panama	Gualaca	2000	52.8%	73.7%	29.2%
Panama	Gualaca	2017	53.1%	74.0%	30.3%
Panama	Guararé	2000	99.2%	99.7%	98.1%
Panama	Guararé	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.2%
Panama	Kankintú	2000	23.8%	37.2%	15.4%
Panama	Kankintú	2017	24.8%	38.7%	16.1%
Panama	Kuna Yala	2000	64.1%	83.7%	35.0%
Panama	Kuna Yala	2017	64.4%	83.5%	35.0%
Panama	Kusapín	2000	32.9%	58.4%	15.0%
Panama	Kusapín	2017	33.4%	59.4%	14.9%
Panama	La Chorrera	2000	96.1%	97.8%	93.5%
Panama	La Chorrera	2017	96.2%	97.9%	93.8%
Panama	La Mesa	2000	92.6%	96.7%	85.8%
Panama	La Mesa	2017	92.9%	96.9%	86.5%
Panama	La Pintada	2000	92.3%	96.6%	85.2%
Panama	La Pintada	2017	92.7%	96.8%	86.0%
Panama	Lago Alajuela	2000	84.4%	94.4%	66.9%
Panama	Lago Alajuela	2017	86.7%	95.6%	71.3%
Panama	Lago Bayano	2000	89.1%	97.0%	67.0%
Panama	Lago Bayano	2017	89.8%	97.3%	69.5%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2000	85.9%	95.6%	70.9%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2000	78.2%	86.8%	66.7%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2017	86.7%	95.8%	72.7%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2017	79.0%	87.4%	67.8%
Panama	Las Minas	2000	92.1%	96.7%	83.7%
Panama	Las Minas	2017	92.4%	96.8%	84.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Las Palmas	2000	86.5%	94.0%	70.4%
Panama	Las Palmas	2017	86.7%	94.1%	70.7%
Panama	Las Tablas	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Panama	Las Tablas	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Panama	Los Pozos	2000	95.6%	99.1%	88.4%
Panama	Los Pozos	2017	95.9%	99.2%	88.8%
Panama	Los Santos	2000	97.8%	98.9%	96.1%
Panama	Los Santos	2017	97.9%	98.9%	96.3%
Panama	Macaracas	2000	98.1%	99.7%	93.8%
Panama	Macaracas	2017	98.2%	99.7%	93.9%
Panama	Mironó	2000	40.3%	62.2%	23.4%
Panama	Mironó	2017	41.4%	63.2%	24.2%
Panama	Montijo	2000	91.5%	96.2%	84.1%
Panama	Montijo	2017	91.9%	96.3%	84.6%
Panama	Müna	2000	35.9%	44.6%	25.4%
Panama	Müna	2017	36.3%	45.0%	26.0%
Panama	Natá	2000	96.1%	98.1%	93.3%
Panama	Natá	2017	96.3%	98.2%	93.6%
Panama	Nole Duima	2000	42.9%	56.8%	29.4%
Panama	Nole Duima	2017	43.6%	57.4%	30.3%
Panama	Ñürüm	2000	55.3%	68.8%	43.2%
Panama	Ñürüm	2017	54.1%	67.6%	42.4%
Panama	Ocú	2000	91.5%	96.0%	83.4%
Panama	Ocú	2017	91.9%	96.2%	84.3%
Panama	Olá	2000	93.3%	98.4%	83.9%
Panama	Olá	2017	93.8%	98.5%	85.0%
Panama	Panamá	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.0%
Panama	Panamá	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.4%
Panama	Parita	2000	94.0%	97.0%	89.8%
Panama	Parita	2017	94.3%	97.2%	90.2%
Panama	Pedasí	2000	98.5%	99.9%	92.9%
Panama	Pedasí	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.2%
Panama	Penonomé	2000	94.0%	96.7%	89.4%
Panama	Penonomé	2017	94.5%	97.0%	90.0%
Panama	Pesé	2000	95.2%	98.0%	89.7%
Panama	Pesé	2017	95.1%	98.0%	89.6%
Panama	Pinogana	2000	48.4%	61.5%	36.5%
Panama	Pinogana	2017	49.4%	63.0%	38.0%
Panama	Pocrí	2000	99.2%	99.9%	96.3%
Panama	Pocrí	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.4%
Panama	Portobelo	2000	67.1%	92.8%	34.5%
Panama	Portobelo	2017	68.2%	93.4%	35.1%
Panama	Remedios	2000	73.3%	85.7%	59.7%
Panama	Remedios	2017	73.9%	85.7%	61.0%
Panama	Renacimiento	2000	82.1%	94.4%	56.7%
Panama	Renacimiento	2017	82.8%	94.7%	57.9%
Panama	Río de Jesús	2000	91.8%	97.1%	80.6%
Panama	Río de Jesús	2017	92.3%	97.3%	81.6%
Panama	Sambú	2000	72.1%	92.4%	37.3%
Panama	Sambú	2017	73.2%	92.8%	39.0%
Panama	San Carlos	2000	95.5%	98.4%	89.0%
Panama	San Carlos	2017	95.8%	98.5%	89.5%
Panama	San Félix	2000	68.6%	87.1%	47.9%
Panama	San Félix	2017	69.8%	87.7%	49.3%
Panama	San Francisco	2000	93.0%	97.6%	85.5%
Panama	San Francisco	2017	93.4%	97.8%	86.3%
Panama	San Lorenzo	2000	64.6%	85.6%	37.4%
Panama	San Lorenzo	2017	65.0%	85.5%	38.0%
Panama	San Miguelito	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.5%
Panama	San Miguelito	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.6%
Panama	Santa Fe	2000	86.5%	93.9%	77.6%
Panama	Santa Fe	2017	87.1%	94.2%	78.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Santa Isabel	2000	71.6%	96.6%	31.0%
Panama	Santa Isabel	2017	72.7%	96.8%	32.0%
Panama	Santa María	2000	91.7%	96.9%	82.8%
Panama	Santa María	2017	92.1%	97.1%	83.4%
Panama	Santiago	2000	91.8%	94.8%	88.3%
Panama	Santiago	2017	92.3%	95.2%	89.0%
Panama	Soná	2000	92.3%	96.5%	85.8%
Panama	Soná	2017	92.7%	96.7%	86.6%
Panama	Taboga	2000	93.5%	99.1%	79.6%
Panama	Taboga	2017	93.9%	99.2%	80.5%
Panama	Tolé	2000	72.0%	83.8%	52.3%
Panama	Tolé	2017	72.2%	83.8%	52.8%
Panama	Tonosí	2000	97.7%	99.8%	91.8%
Panama	Tonosí	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.2%
Paraguay	25 de Diciembre	2000	78.3%	85.5%	70.2%
Paraguay	25 de Diciembre	2017	94.8%	97.7%	90.2%
Paraguay	3 de Febrero	2000	63.8%	81.4%	45.5%
Paraguay	3 de Febrero	2017	87.4%	96.2%	74.2%
Paraguay	Abaí	2000	73.6%	80.0%	66.7%
Paraguay	Abaí	2017	92.4%	95.2%	89.3%
Paraguay	Acahay	2000	75.0%	90.9%	56.2%
Paraguay	Acahay	2017	93.5%	98.6%	84.8%
Paraguay	Alberdi	2000	64.3%	70.3%	58.0%
Paraguay	Alberdi	2017	89.0%	92.3%	84.8%
Paraguay	Alto Verá	2000	70.9%	79.2%	62.7%
Paraguay	Alto Verá	2017	91.6%	95.2%	87.6%
Paraguay	Altos	2000	87.6%	91.3%	82.3%
Paraguay	Altos	2017	98.0%	98.7%	96.8%
Paraguay	Antequera	2000	71.9%	86.8%	55.6%
Paraguay	Antequera	2017	91.7%	97.7%	80.6%
Paraguay	Areguá	2000	95.3%	99.0%	87.3%
Paraguay	Areguá	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.7%
Paraguay	Arroyos y Esteros	2000	83.7%	91.9%	71.7%
Paraguay	Arroyos y Esteros	2017	96.7%	98.8%	92.6%
Paraguay	Asunción	2000	92.8%	93.5%	91.9%
Paraguay	Asunción	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.4%
Paraguay	Atyrá	2000	87.0%	90.2%	82.5%
Paraguay	Atyrá	2017	97.5%	98.5%	95.8%
Paraguay	Ayolas	2000	79.6%	87.2%	72.2%
Paraguay	Ayolas	2017	95.4%	97.9%	91.9%
Paraguay	Belén	2000	87.7%	93.5%	80.5%
Paraguay	Belén	2017	97.8%	99.0%	96.0%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2000	74.6%	85.3%	59.1%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2000	75.0%	81.6%	67.1%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2017	93.2%	96.8%	86.2%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2017	93.2%	95.8%	89.0%
Paraguay	Benjamín Aceval	2000	76.2%	82.9%	68.9%
Paraguay	Benjamín Aceval	2017	93.7%	96.2%	90.4%
Paraguay	Borja	2000	69.6%	82.6%	54.3%
Paraguay	Borja	2017	89.9%	96.2%	79.8%
Paraguay	Caacupé	2000	87.0%	91.3%	81.1%
Paraguay	Caacupé	2017	97.8%	98.8%	96.5%
Paraguay	Caaguazú	2000	68.3%	72.8%	63.0%
Paraguay	Caaguazú	2017	90.6%	92.6%	88.2%
Paraguay	Caapucú	2000	74.5%	82.9%	65.1%
Paraguay	Caapucú	2017	92.8%	96.2%	88.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Caazapá	2000	64.8%	71.4%	57.6%
Paraguay	Caazapá	2017	88.7%	92.3%	84.3%
Paraguay	Cambyreta	2000	75.6%	79.9%	70.8%
Paraguay	Cambyreta	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.5%
Paraguay	Capiatá	2000	92.1%	94.3%	88.8%
Paraguay	Capiatá	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Paraguay	Capitán Bado	2000	70.7%	77.3%	64.0%
Paraguay	Capitán Bado	2017	91.4%	94.1%	88.4%
Paraguay	Capitán Mauricio José Troche	2000	71.6%	88.5%	49.3%
Paraguay	Capitán Mauricio José Troche	2017	90.9%	97.8%	78.8%
Paraguay	Capitán Meza	2000	72.9%	80.4%	65.5%
Paraguay	Capitán Meza	2017	92.1%	95.0%	88.0%
Paraguay	Capitán Miranda	2000	69.2%	83.6%	54.1%
Paraguay	Capitán Miranda	2017	90.2%	96.3%	82.0%
Paraguay	Caraguatay	2000	82.0%	87.1%	76.1%
Paraguay	Caraguatay	2017	96.4%	97.9%	94.1%
Paraguay	Carapeguá	2000	75.0%	85.5%	64.5%
Paraguay	Carapeguá	2017	93.2%	97.1%	87.6%
Paraguay	Carayaó	2000	77.3%	86.0%	67.1%
Paraguay	Carayaó	2017	94.2%	97.4%	89.6%
Paraguay	Carlos Antonio López	2000	72.9%	83.2%	62.4%
Paraguay	Carlos Antonio López	2017	91.8%	95.9%	85.8%
Paraguay	Carmen del Paraná	2000	71.1%	84.7%	55.6%
Paraguay	Carmen del Paraná	2017	92.2%	97.3%	83.6%
Paraguay	Cerrito	2000	70.0%	81.4%	58.5%
Paraguay	Cerrito	2017	90.6%	96.2%	82.3%
Paraguay	Choré	2000	74.9%	78.2%	71.5%
Paraguay	Choré	2017	93.4%	95.0%	91.8%
Paraguay	Ciudad del Este	2000	74.4%	75.9%	72.8%
Paraguay	Ciudad del Este	2017	93.3%	93.8%	92.7%
Paraguay	Concepción	2000	80.8%	83.4%	77.5%
Paraguay	Concepción	2017	96.0%	96.7%	94.9%
Paraguay	Coronel Bogado	2000	74.7%	80.7%	67.8%
Paraguay	Coronel Bogado	2017	92.9%	95.3%	89.3%
Paraguay	Coronel Martínez	2000	75.8%	90.6%	55.0%
Paraguay	Coronel Martínez	2017	93.4%	98.5%	82.1%
Paraguay	Coronel Oviedo	2000	79.2%	82.4%	75.4%
Paraguay	Coronel Oviedo	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.7%
Paraguay	Corpus Christi	2000	71.3%	78.8%	62.7%
Paraguay	Corpus Christi	2017	91.6%	94.6%	87.3%
Paraguay	Desmochados	2000	71.8%	82.0%	59.1%
Paraguay	Desmochados	2017	91.8%	95.8%	85.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Doctor Botrell	2000	78.6%	92.1%	58.5%
Paraguay	Doctor Botrell	2017	94.4%	98.8%	86.7%
Paraguay	Doctor Cecilio Báez	2000	78.1%	83.7%	71.0%
Paraguay	Doctor Cecilio Báez	2017	95.2%	96.6%	92.9%
Paraguay	Doctor J. Eulogio Estigarribia	2000	75.7%	79.7%	71.6%
Paraguay	Doctor J. Eulogio Estigarribia	2017	94.4%	95.7%	92.8%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan León Mallorquín	2000	76.3%	83.4%	67.8%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan León Mallorquín	2017	93.1%	95.5%	89.2%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan Manuel Frutos	2000	65.3%	75.6%	53.7%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan Manuel Frutos	2017	88.5%	93.4%	81.7%
Paraguay	Doctor Moisés S. Bertoni	2000	64.6%	75.7%	51.8%
Paraguay	Doctor Moisés S. Bertoni	2017	87.2%	93.8%	78.5%
Paraguay	Doctor Pedro P. Peña	2000	86.9%	89.4%	83.9%
Paraguay	Doctor Pedro P. Peña	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Paraguay	Domingo Martínez de Irala	2000	72.6%	84.2%	57.2%
Paraguay	Domingo Martínez de Irala	2017	91.9%	96.3%	83.5%
Paraguay	Edelira	2000	69.5%	79.0%	59.0%
Paraguay	Edelira	2017	90.4%	94.8%	83.8%
Paraguay	Emboscada	2000	85.1%	95.4%	70.1%
Paraguay	Emboscada	2017	96.6%	99.4%	90.8%
Paraguay	Emboscada (Caazapa)	2000	57.3%	74.2%	40.2%
Paraguay	Emboscada (Caazapa)	2017	84.5%	94.1%	71.2%
Paraguay	Encarnación	2000	73.4%	79.5%	64.9%
Paraguay	Encarnación	2017	92.9%	95.0%	89.0%
Paraguay	Escobar	2000	74.1%	84.0%	61.8%
Paraguay	Escobar	2017	93.6%	96.7%	88.4%
Paraguay	Eusebio Ayala	2000	90.2%	94.5%	83.6%
Paraguay	Eusebio Ayala	2017	98.5%	99.3%	97.0%
Paraguay	Félix Perez Cardozo	2000	78.1%	93.0%	56.9%
Paraguay	Félix Perez Cardozo	2017	94.5%	99.0%	85.6%
Paraguay	Fernando de la Mora	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.0%
Paraguay	Fernando de la Mora	2017	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Paraguay	Fram	2000	72.3%	84.9%	60.8%
Paraguay	Fram	2017	92.3%	97.4%	86.0%
Paraguay	Fuerte Olimpo	2000	74.7%	78.6%	69.6%
Paraguay	Fuerte Olimpo	2017	92.9%	94.7%	90.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Fulgencio Yegros	2000	69.8%	79.7%	58.0%
Paraguay	Fulgencio Yegros	2017	90.5%	95.2%	83.7%
Paraguay	General Artigas	2000	70.7%	81.2%	59.4%
Paraguay	General Artigas	2017	90.9%	95.6%	84.8%
Paraguay	General Bernardino Caballero	2000	75.7%	84.9%	66.2%
Paraguay	General Bernardino Caballero	2017	94.4%	97.3%	90.5%
Paraguay	General Delgado	2000	71.5%	81.6%	60.0%
Paraguay	General Delgado	2017	91.9%	95.7%	86.5%
Paraguay	General Elizardo Aquino	2000	78.9%	82.9%	74.2%
Paraguay	General Elizardo Aquino	2017	95.4%	96.8%	93.2%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2000	83.9%	87.5%	79.8%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2000	77.4%	90.3%	59.2%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.7%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2017	94.2%	98.5%	85.2%
Paraguay	General Francisco C. Alvarez	2000	69.4%	74.4%	63.4%
Paraguay	General Francisco C. Alvarez	2017	91.3%	93.4%	88.4%
Paraguay	General Higinio Morínigo	2000	73.1%	85.0%	60.2%
Paraguay	General Higinio Morínigo	2017	92.6%	97.2%	84.7%
Paraguay	General Isidoro Resquín	2000	77.7%	84.4%	70.8%
Paraguay	General Isidoro Resquín	2017	93.6%	96.3%	89.6%
Paraguay	General José Eduvigis Díaz	2000	68.7%	78.3%	57.6%
Paraguay	General José Eduvigis Díaz	2017	90.6%	94.4%	84.7%
Paraguay	Guarambaré	2000	89.3%	94.1%	82.8%
Paraguay	Guarambaré	2017	98.0%	99.2%	96.1%
Paraguay	Guazú Cuá	2000	73.5%	82.6%	61.8%
Paraguay	Guazú Cuá	2017	92.5%	96.4%	86.4%
Paraguay	Hernandarias	2000	75.7%	78.7%	72.4%
Paraguay	Hernandarias	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.6%
Paraguay	Hohenau	2000	72.4%	78.6%	66.2%
Paraguay	Hohenau	2017	92.5%	94.9%	89.4%
Paraguay	Horqueta	2000	76.7%	81.1%	72.6%
Paraguay	Horqueta	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Humaitá	2000	74.7%	86.7%	61.2%
Paraguay	Humaitá	2017	93.0%	97.6%	85.7%
Paraguay	Independencia	2000	74.3%	82.5%	66.3%
Paraguay	Independencia	2017	92.8%	96.4%	88.7%
Paraguay	Isla Pucú	2000	87.6%	92.7%	78.8%
Paraguay	Isla Pucú	2017	98.0%	99.0%	95.7%
Paraguay	Isla Umbú	2000	81.5%	88.0%	73.2%
Paraguay	Isla Umbú	2017	96.2%	98.1%	93.1%
Paraguay	Itá	2000	78.3%	85.9%	67.7%
Paraguay	Itá	2017	93.9%	96.5%	89.6%
Paraguay	Itacurubí de la Cordillera	2000	80.6%	94.3%	65.0%
Paraguay	Itacurubí de la Cordillera	2017	95.2%	99.3%	87.1%
Paraguay	Itacurubí del Rosario	2000	68.9%	77.0%	59.6%
Paraguay	Itacurubí del Rosario	2017	90.0%	94.1%	85.3%
Paraguay	Itakyry	2000	71.0%	78.5%	62.4%
Paraguay	Itakyry	2017	90.7%	94.2%	86.4%
Paraguay	Itanara	2000	64.5%	78.4%	50.6%
Paraguay	Itanara	2017	88.0%	94.0%	80.3%
Paraguay	Itapé	2000	72.6%	85.5%	55.9%
Paraguay	Itapé	2017	91.7%	97.5%	80.5%
Paraguay	Itauguá	2000	98.3%	99.2%	96.8%
Paraguay	Itauguá	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Paraguay	Iturbe	2000	74.0%	88.0%	55.6%
Paraguay	Iturbe	2017	92.6%	98.0%	81.3%
Paraguay	Jesús	2000	68.1%	79.2%	56.4%
Paraguay	Jesús	2017	90.4%	95.1%	84.1%
Paraguay	Jose A. Fassardi	2000	76.4%	87.9%	60.7%
Paraguay	Jose A. Fassardi	2017	93.2%	97.2%	85.9%
Paraguay	José Domingo Ocampos	2000	68.2%	85.6%	47.5%
Paraguay	José Domingo Ocampos	2017	88.7%	96.7%	75.9%
Paraguay	José Leandro Oviedo	2000	72.4%	84.4%	59.0%
Paraguay	José Leandro Oviedo	2017	92.7%	97.2%	85.4%
Paraguay	Juan Augusto Saldívar	2000	90.7%	91.9%	89.2%
Paraguay	Juan Augusto Saldívar	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Paraguay	Juan de Mena	2000	76.9%	86.8%	65.4%
Paraguay	Juan de Mena	2017	93.7%	97.5%	87.6%
Paraguay	Juan Emilio O'Leary	2000	71.1%	84.1%	54.4%
Paraguay	Juan Emilio O'Leary	2017	90.6%	96.5%	81.5%
Paraguay	La Colmena	2000	71.1%	78.3%	63.4%
Paraguay	La Colmena	2017	93.0%	95.3%	89.4%
Paraguay	La Pastora	2000	74.1%	89.2%	56.4%
Paraguay	La Pastora	2017	92.6%	98.3%	82.7%
Paraguay	La Paz	2000	67.0%	80.8%	51.5%
Paraguay	La Paz	2017	88.7%	95.8%	79.2%
Paraguay	La Victoria	2000	78.2%	82.3%	74.0%
Paraguay	La Victoria	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Paraguay	Lambaré	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.9%
Paraguay	Lambaré	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Laureles	2000	72.2%	80.9%	62.6%
Paraguay	Laureles	2017	92.1%	95.7%	87.8%
Paraguay	Lima	2000	85.6%	90.0%	79.4%
Paraguay	Lima	2017	97.4%	98.5%	95.4%
Paraguay	Limpio	2000	84.8%	92.5%	75.1%
Paraguay	Limpio	2017	96.6%	98.9%	92.5%
Paraguay	Loma Grande	2000	84.5%	87.7%	80.9%
Paraguay	Loma Grande	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Paraguay	Loreto	2000	77.5%	86.6%	67.1%
Paraguay	Loreto	2017	94.6%	97.7%	90.1%
Paraguay	Los Cedrales	2000	74.8%	86.2%	61.0%
Paraguay	Los Cedrales	2017	92.6%	97.1%	86.0%
Paraguay	Luque	2000	91.1%	92.4%	89.5%
Paraguay	Luque	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Paraguay	Maciel	2000	74.2%	84.9%	60.8%
Paraguay	Maciel	2017	92.1%	96.6%	84.8%
Paraguay	Mariano	2000	82.0%	87.5%	75.6%
Paraguay	Roque Alonso Mariano	2017	96.3%	97.9%	94.2%
Paraguay	Roque Alonso Mariscal Francisco Solano López	2000	72.1%	80.5%	63.1%
Paraguay	Mariscal Francisco Solano López	2017	91.6%	95.5%	86.1%
Paraguay	Mariscal José Félix Estigarribia	2000	89.6%	94.2%	83.2%
Paraguay	Mariscal José Félix Estigarribia	2017	98.1%	99.1%	95.8%
Paraguay	Mayor José J. Martínez	2000	73.6%	86.4%	57.5%
Paraguay	Mayor José J. Martínez	2017	92.6%	97.2%	84.2%
Paraguay	Mayor Julio D. Otaño	2000	68.4%	78.6%	54.3%
Paraguay	Mayor Julio D. Otaño	2017	89.7%	94.8%	81.4%
Paraguay	Mayor Pablo Lagerenza	2000	75.1%	80.1%	69.1%
Paraguay	Mayor Pablo Lagerenza	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.2%
Paraguay	Mbaracayú	2000	66.8%	77.2%	55.8%
Paraguay	Mbaracayú	2017	88.6%	93.4%	82.5%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Guairá	2000	75.6%	89.6%	57.7%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Guairá	2017	93.3%	98.3%	84.1%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Yhaguy	2000	79.0%	92.0%	65.2%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Yhaguy	2017	94.9%	98.7%	88.8%
Paraguay	Mbuyapey	2000	74.1%	85.8%	62.3%
Paraguay	Mbuyapey	2017	92.8%	97.1%	86.1%
Paraguay	Minga Guazú	2000	78.4%	82.1%	73.7%
Paraguay	Minga Guazú	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Paraguay	Minga Porá	2000	71.9%	80.1%	61.9%
Paraguay	Minga Porá	2017	91.6%	95.3%	86.1%
Paraguay	Ñacunday	2000	71.8%	79.6%	63.4%
Paraguay	Ñacunday	2017	91.4%	94.9%	86.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Naranjal	2000	71.9%	79.8%	63.2%
Paraguay	Naranjal	2017	91.0%	95.1%	84.7%
Paraguay	Natalicio Talavera	2000	70.9%	87.4%	49.6%
Paraguay	Natalicio Talavera	2017	90.7%	97.4%	78.3%
Paraguay	Natalio	2000	74.7%	84.8%	62.4%
Paraguay	Natalio	2017	92.8%	96.4%	87.1%
Paraguay	Ñemby	2000	94.0%	96.5%	90.6%
Paraguay	Ñemby	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.6%
Paraguay	Nueva Alborada	2000	66.5%	80.2%	47.8%
Paraguay	Nueva Alborada	2017	87.1%	94.5%	75.7%
Paraguay	Nueva Colombia	2000	92.0%	95.9%	85.9%
Paraguay	Nueva Colombia	2017	98.7%	99.4%	97.2%
Paraguay	Nueva Germania	2000	75.0%	81.3%	67.5%
Paraguay	Nueva Germania	2017	93.6%	96.5%	88.3%
Paraguay	Nueva Italia	2000	79.0%	91.8%	62.2%
Paraguay	Nueva Italia	2017	95.0%	99.0%	87.3%
Paraguay	Nueva Londres	2000	64.5%	75.4%	54.4%
Paraguay	Nueva Londres	2017	88.4%	94.0%	81.9%
Paraguay	Ñumí	2000	69.3%	86.5%	47.7%
Paraguay	Ñumí	2017	90.8%	97.7%	77.5%
Paraguay	Obligado	2000	72.9%	78.0%	67.0%
Paraguay	Obligado	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.1%
Paraguay	Paraguarí	2000	68.4%	75.5%	61.3%
Paraguay	Paraguarí	2017	91.6%	94.1%	88.1%
Paraguay	Paso de Patria	2000	78.3%	92.3%	57.7%
Paraguay	Paso de Patria	2017	94.4%	98.9%	83.7%
Paraguay	Pedro Juan Caballero	2000	76.0%	79.5%	72.0%
Paraguay	Pedro Juan Caballero	2017	94.4%	95.7%	92.7%
Paraguay	Pilar	2000	71.9%	77.6%	65.9%
Paraguay	Pilar	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.4%
Paraguay	Pirapó	2000	72.7%	84.2%	60.8%
Paraguay	Pirapó	2017	91.7%	96.5%	85.6%
Paraguay	Pirayú	2000	80.0%	89.7%	68.4%
Paraguay	Pirayú	2017	96.0%	98.4%	91.9%
Paraguay	Piribebuy	2000	71.8%	81.9%	60.5%
Paraguay	Piribebuy	2017	92.6%	96.4%	86.7%
Paraguay	Pozo Colorado	2000	82.6%	85.2%	79.6%
Paraguay	Pozo Colorado	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
Paraguay	Presidente Franco	2000	77.6%	81.1%	73.6%
Paraguay	Presidente Franco	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.2%
Paraguay	Primero de Marzo	2000	87.6%	93.0%	78.7%
Paraguay	Primero de Marzo	2017	98.0%	99.1%	95.7%
Paraguay	Puerto Pinasco	2000	73.1%	77.7%	68.1%
Paraguay	Puerto Pinasco	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Quiindy	2000	72.5%	85.1%	58.8%
Paraguay	Quiindy	2017	93.0%	97.6%	85.1%
Paraguay	Quyquyhó	2000	75.9%	82.4%	69.0%
Paraguay	Quyquyhó	2017	94.5%	96.6%	91.9%
Paraguay	R. I. 3 Corrales	2000	72.3%	81.4%	61.3%
Paraguay	R. I. 3 Corrales	2017	90.8%	95.5%	83.5%
Paraguay	Raúl Arsenio Oviedo	2000	70.3%	77.8%	62.1%
Paraguay	Raúl Arsenio Oviedo	2017	90.4%	93.5%	86.2%
Paraguay	Repatriación	2000	67.4%	77.6%	56.3%
Paraguay	Repatriación	2017	87.5%	92.8%	80.4%
Paraguay	Salto del Guairá	2000	70.6%	76.9%	61.8%
Paraguay	Salto del Guairá	2017	91.8%	94.9%	86.0%
Paraguay	San Alberto	2000	70.2%	79.0%	60.2%
Paraguay	San Alberto	2017	90.9%	94.5%	85.7%
Paraguay	San Antonio	2000	96.7%	99.1%	92.1%
Paraguay	San Antonio	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Paraguay	San Bernardino	2000	94.3%	98.4%	84.1%
Paraguay	San Bernardino	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.9%
Paraguay	San Carlos	2000	73.4%	82.4%	63.2%
Paraguay	San Carlos	2017	92.6%	96.1%	87.4%
Paraguay	San Cosme y Damián	2000	72.5%	81.4%	61.0%
Paraguay	San Cosme y Damián	2017	91.7%	96.1%	84.3%
Paraguay	San Cristóbal	2000	73.5%	81.1%	62.9%
Paraguay	San Cristóbal	2017	93.0%	96.0%	87.1%
Paraguay	San Estanislao	2000	77.9%	80.8%	74.8%
Paraguay	San Estanislao	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Paraguay	San Ignacio	2000	80.6%	84.5%	76.1%
Paraguay	San Ignacio	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Paraguay	San Joaquín	2000	66.2%	73.9%	57.9%
Paraguay	San Joaquín	2017	89.0%	93.2%	83.8%
Paraguay	San José de los Arroyos	2000	72.7%	80.7%	63.7%
Paraguay	San José de los Arroyos	2017	93.0%	95.7%	89.3%
Paraguay	San José Obrero	2000	84.8%	93.8%	69.4%
Paraguay	San José Obrero	2017	97.0%	99.2%	92.2%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista de las Misiones	2000	80.6%	86.1%	73.5%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista de las Misiones	2017	95.0%	97.4%	91.4%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista del Ñeembucu	2000	69.6%	79.2%	59.0%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista del Ñeembucu	2017	90.1%	94.8%	84.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	San Juan del Paraná	2000	71.7%	86.1%	55.5%
Paraguay	San Juan del Paraná	2017	90.4%	96.4%	81.7%
Paraguay	San Juan Nepomuceno	2000	66.5%	73.5%	60.1%
Paraguay	San Juan Nepomuceno	2017	89.9%	93.5%	86.2%
Paraguay	San Lázaro	2000	76.8%	84.1%	67.1%
Paraguay	San Lázaro	2017	94.7%	97.1%	90.6%
Paraguay	San Lorenzo	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.7%
Paraguay	San Lorenzo	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Paraguay	San Miguel	2000	77.4%	85.9%	67.9%
Paraguay	San Miguel	2017	94.7%	97.4%	90.8%
Paraguay	San Pablo	2000	73.6%	82.7%	62.1%
Paraguay	San Pablo	2017	93.2%	96.4%	88.1%
Paraguay	San Patricio	2000	83.7%	87.5%	79.2%
Paraguay	San Patricio	2017	97.1%	98.1%	96.0%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Paraná	2000	70.8%	76.6%	65.1%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Paraná	2017	91.5%	93.7%	88.9%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Ycuamandyú	2000	75.5%	80.1%	70.5%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Ycuamandyú	2017	93.9%	95.5%	91.8%
Paraguay	San Rafael del Paraná	2000	70.8%	78.1%	62.9%
Paraguay	San Rafael del Paraná	2017	90.7%	94.4%	86.4%
Paraguay	San Roque González de Santa Cruz	2000	64.5%	71.9%	55.6%
Paraguay	San Roque González de Santa Cruz	2017	88.8%	92.5%	84.3%
Paraguay	San Salvador	2000	62.3%	79.0%	47.4%
Paraguay	San Salvador	2017	85.9%	94.7%	75.7%
Paraguay	Santa Elena	2000	82.7%	93.7%	69.1%
Paraguay	Santa Elena	2017	96.3%	99.2%	90.8%
Paraguay	Santa María	2000	82.4%	92.1%	68.7%
Paraguay	Santa María	2017	96.3%	98.9%	90.6%
Paraguay	Santa Rita	2000	80.1%	86.2%	71.7%
Paraguay	Santa Rita	2017	94.6%	97.0%	90.9%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa	2000	81.6%	85.6%	76.6%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.6%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Mbutuy	2000	75.6%	86.5%	62.4%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Mbutuy	2017	93.7%	97.4%	87.3%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Monday	2000	74.8%	83.4%	65.9%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Monday	2017	92.2%	95.8%	87.7%
Paraguay	Santiago	2000	78.9%	86.0%	70.8%
Paraguay	Santiago	2017	94.7%	97.4%	90.2%
Paraguay	Sapucaí	2000	77.2%	86.8%	65.6%
Paraguay	Sapucaí	2017	94.8%	97.8%	90.4%
Paraguay	Simón Bolívar	2000	74.7%	82.3%	65.9%
Paraguay	Simón Bolívar	2017	93.7%	96.4%	90.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Tabaí	2000	72.0%	80.2%	62.3%
Paraguay	Tabaí	2017	91.5%	95.0%	86.3%
Paraguay	Tacuaras	2000	72.8%	81.9%	63.8%
Paraguay	Tacuaras	2017	92.0%	96.2%	86.1%
Paraguay	Tacuatí	2000	75.6%	82.0%	69.6%
Paraguay	Tacuatí	2017	93.1%	95.7%	89.8%
Paraguay	Tebicuarymí	2000	73.8%	88.5%	54.5%
Paraguay	Tebicuarymí	2017	92.5%	98.1%	82.4%
Paraguay	Tobatí	2000	82.1%	92.9%	66.1%
Paraguay	Tobatí	2017	96.0%	99.1%	89.6%
Paraguay	Tomás Romero Pereira	2000	70.4%	80.5%	57.3%
Paraguay	Tomás Romero Pereira	2017	91.3%	95.8%	83.3%
Paraguay	Trinidad	2000	71.4%	83.6%	58.9%
Paraguay	Trinidad	2017	91.3%	96.4%	84.5%
Paraguay	Unión	2000	75.1%	83.4%	63.8%
Paraguay	Unión	2017	93.7%	96.4%	89.0%
Paraguay	Valenzuela	2000	74.7%	90.7%	55.2%
Paraguay	Valenzuela	2017	93.0%	98.5%	82.0%
Paraguay	Villa del Rosario	2000	77.5%	83.9%	70.7%
Paraguay	Villa del Rosario	2017	94.7%	96.8%	91.4%
Paraguay	Villa Elisa	2000	96.5%	98.9%	92.5%
Paraguay	Villa Elisa	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%
Paraguay	Villa Florida	2000	79.6%	94.0%	60.1%
Paraguay	Villa Florida	2017	94.9%	99.2%	85.4%
Paraguay	Villa Franca	2000	70.1%	81.9%	54.8%
Paraguay	Villa Franca	2017	91.8%	96.3%	84.2%
Paraguay	Villa Hayes	2000	84.9%	87.4%	81.3%
Paraguay	Villa Hayes	2017	96.4%	97.3%	94.8%
Paraguay	Villa Oliva	2000	74.2%	82.8%	65.1%
Paraguay	Villa Oliva	2017	92.6%	96.3%	87.5%
Paraguay	Villa San Isidro Curguaty	2000	73.1%	77.7%	69.2%
Paraguay	Villa San Isidro Curguaty	2017	92.3%	94.1%	90.4%
Paraguay	Villa Ygatimí	2000	67.6%	74.3%	60.9%
Paraguay	Villa Ygatimí	2017	90.1%	93.7%	85.7%
Paraguay	Villalbín	2000	73.4%	86.1%	59.3%
Paraguay	Villalbín	2017	92.7%	97.1%	85.9%
Paraguay	Villarrica	2000	78.7%	90.7%	60.8%
Paraguay	Villarrica	2017	94.9%	98.7%	85.4%
Paraguay	Villeta	2000	89.9%	95.6%	82.1%
Paraguay	Villeta	2017	97.7%	99.2%	95.3%
Paraguay	Water body	2000	96.2%	99.4%	85.9%
Paraguay	Water body	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.7%
Paraguay	Yabebyry	2000	77.4%	85.5%	65.8%
Paraguay	Yabebyry	2017	94.1%	97.0%	89.1%
Paraguay	Yaguarón	2000	72.2%	81.5%	60.1%
Paraguay	Yaguarón	2017	92.8%	96.1%	86.8%
Paraguay	Yataity del Guairá	2000	79.6%	95.3%	60.2%
Paraguay	Yataity del Guairá	2017	94.9%	99.4%	84.8%
Paraguay	Yataity del Norte	2000	78.4%	85.2%	71.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Yataity del Norte	2017	95.3%	97.3%	92.7%
Paraguay	Yatytay	2000	73.7%	82.9%	62.7%
Paraguay	Yatytay	2017	92.6%	95.8%	87.7%
Paraguay	Yby Yaù	2000	75.2%	80.8%	69.1%
Paraguay	Yby Yaù	2017	94.1%	96.1%	91.3%
Paraguay	Ybycui	2000	78.2%	86.9%	67.9%
Paraguay	Ybycui	2017	95.0%	97.9%	89.7%
Paraguay	Ybytimí	2000	72.9%	84.0%	60.1%
Paraguay	Ybytimí	2017	92.6%	96.9%	85.5%
Paraguay	Yguazú	2000	73.1%	83.5%	59.7%
Paraguay	Yguazú	2017	91.8%	96.2%	84.9%
Paraguay	Yhú	2000	68.4%	74.2%	62.5%
Paraguay	Yhú	2017	90.6%	93.2%	87.7%
Paraguay	Ypacaraí	2000	96.2%	98.9%	90.8%
Paraguay	Ypacaraí	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Paraguay	Ypané	2000	98.9%	99.6%	97.2%
Paraguay	Ypané	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Paraguay	Ypejhú	2000	65.8%	75.7%	56.7%
Paraguay	Ypejhú	2017	89.0%	93.6%	83.3%
Paraguay	Yuty	2000	66.1%	73.1%	57.4%
Paraguay	Yuty	2017	88.8%	92.5%	84.7%
Peru	Abancay	2000	76.0%	83.4%	65.5%
Peru	Abancay	2017	92.1%	94.8%	88.9%
Peru	Acobamba	2000	62.6%	67.7%	57.5%
Peru	Acobamba	2017	87.9%	90.1%	85.5%
Peru	Acomayo	2000	65.1%	83.6%	44.8%
Peru	Acomayo	2017	85.9%	95.3%	70.3%
Peru	Aija	2000	72.4%	90.1%	46.7%
Peru	Aija	2017	89.1%	98.2%	72.3%
Peru	Alto Amazonas	2000	35.2%	40.6%	29.4%
Peru	Alto Amazonas	2017	67.7%	71.2%	64.1%
Peru	Ambo	2000	53.7%	61.8%	46.6%
Peru	Ambo	2017	79.1%	84.6%	73.4%
Peru	Andahuaylas	2000	79.2%	83.2%	74.6%
Peru	Andahuaylas	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.2%
Peru	Angaraes	2000	77.9%	83.0%	72.1%
Peru	Angaraes	2017	91.1%	94.7%	82.7%
Peru	Anta	2000	73.3%	82.1%	63.2%
Peru	Anta	2017	89.7%	94.2%	84.5%
Peru	Antabamba	2000	54.9%	76.4%	39.3%
Peru	Antabamba	2017	76.6%	86.7%	65.4%
Peru	Antonio Raymondi	2000	74.3%	88.0%	55.4%
Peru	Antonio Raymondi	2017	90.1%	96.8%	76.2%
Peru	Arequipa	2000	91.8%	92.5%	90.9%
Peru	Arequipa	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Peru	Ascope	2000	70.3%	79.8%	58.3%
Peru	Ascope	2017	93.1%	96.2%	88.7%
Peru	Asunción	2000	70.8%	87.1%	49.9%
Peru	Asunción	2017	87.7%	96.9%	71.7%
Peru	Atalaya	2000	44.0%	51.8%	35.0%
Peru	Atalaya	2017	69.5%	74.9%	63.9%
Peru	Ayabaca	2000	58.7%	69.9%	47.4%
Peru	Ayabaca	2017	80.7%	87.8%	72.1%
Peru	Aymaraes	2000	61.9%	75.0%	50.9%
Peru	Aymaraes	2017	82.0%	89.8%	72.8%
Peru	Azángaro	2000	46.0%	54.9%	38.4%
Peru	Azángaro	2017	74.5%	79.5%	69.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Bagua	2000	59.2%	64.3%	53.8%
Peru	Bagua	2017	79.1%	83.5%	74.3%
Peru	Barranca	2000	77.7%	82.2%	70.8%
Peru	Barranca	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.3%
Peru	Bellavista	2000	43.0%	52.9%	34.6%
Peru	Bellavista	2017	79.4%	85.7%	72.5%
Peru	Bolívar	2000	60.7%	90.9%	26.0%
Peru	Bolívar	2017	77.3%	96.2%	53.8%
Peru	Bolognesi	2000	58.3%	74.5%	41.0%
Peru	Bolognesi	2017	78.3%	88.0%	65.5%
Peru	Bongará	2000	68.1%	77.7%	57.8%
Peru	Bongará	2017	87.0%	92.3%	80.9%
Peru	Cajabamba	2000	47.3%	65.0%	31.3%
Peru	Cajabamba	2017	77.2%	87.0%	66.1%
Peru	Cajamarca	2000	76.5%	84.0%	67.0%
Peru	Cajamarca	2017	94.1%	96.7%	89.1%
Peru	Cajatambo	2000	51.0%	81.7%	18.8%
Peru	Cajatambo	2017	73.1%	92.3%	50.9%
Peru	Calca	2000	57.9%	67.8%	47.6%
Peru	Calca	2017	78.4%	85.6%	70.1%
Peru	Callao	2000	90.0%	94.3%	79.8%
Peru	Callao	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Peru	Camaná	2000	81.1%	91.5%	64.9%
Peru	Camaná	2017	94.3%	98.2%	89.0%
Peru	Canas	2000	64.4%	77.1%	50.9%
Peru	Canas	2017	85.6%	92.4%	77.4%
Peru	Canchis	2000	73.2%	81.4%	65.2%
Peru	Canchis	2017	90.5%	94.3%	85.9%
Peru	Candarave	2000	73.7%	88.0%	53.6%
Peru	Candarave	2017	90.8%	96.9%	80.4%
Peru	Cañete	2000	78.1%	83.1%	73.0%
Peru	Cañete	2017	94.3%	97.0%	91.0%
Peru	Cangallo	2000	71.7%	82.9%	58.0%
Peru	Cangallo	2017	90.3%	95.1%	82.2%
Peru	Canta	2000	70.9%	82.3%	55.2%
Peru	Canta	2017	91.5%	96.1%	83.5%
Peru	Carabaya	2000	53.8%	69.4%	39.9%
Peru	Carabaya	2017	75.2%	85.2%	66.3%
Peru	Caravelí	2000	54.6%	66.7%	43.2%
Peru	Caravelí	2017	86.0%	91.2%	79.8%
Peru	Carhuaz	2000	80.2%	88.4%	68.9%
Peru	Carhuaz	2017	93.3%	96.8%	88.2%
Peru	Carlos Fermin Fitzcarrald	2000	62.1%	74.4%	47.8%
Peru	Carlos Fermin Fitzcarrald	2017	82.1%	89.7%	70.1%
Peru	Casma	2000	79.5%	88.9%	68.0%
Peru	Casma	2017	95.6%	99.1%	90.6%
Peru	Castilla	2000	66.8%	80.8%	52.6%
Peru	Castilla	2017	90.2%	95.1%	82.0%
Peru	Castrovirreyna	2000	56.8%	70.6%	44.3%
Peru	Castrovirreyna	2017	80.6%	88.4%	72.3%
Peru	Caylloma	2000	61.2%	72.9%	48.9%
Peru	Caylloma	2017	84.6%	90.0%	77.4%
Peru	Celendín	2000	66.0%	78.4%	53.0%
Peru	Celendín	2017	87.7%	94.3%	78.2%
Peru	Chachapoyas	2000	66.6%	74.7%	57.3%
Peru	Chachapoyas	2017	88.6%	93.1%	84.1%
Peru	Chanchamayo	2000	68.2%	76.7%	60.2%
Peru	Chanchamayo	2017	89.6%	93.8%	84.3%
Peru	Chepén	2000	72.8%	81.2%	62.4%
Peru	Chepén	2017	93.6%	96.8%	88.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Chiclayo	2000	83.2%	84.4%	81.8%
Peru	Chiclayo	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Peru	Chincha	2000	81.1%	83.7%	78.7%
Peru	Chincha	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Peru	Chincheros	2000	74.3%	80.7%	67.8%
Peru	Chincheros	2017	90.2%	93.4%	85.8%
Peru	Chota	2000	54.7%	61.8%	46.8%
Peru	Chota	2017	83.6%	87.6%	78.6%
Peru	Chucúto	2000	55.4%	66.7%	46.2%
Peru	Chucúto	2017	75.0%	84.2%	65.0%
Peru	Chumbivilcas	2000	66.7%	75.7%	53.3%
Peru	Chumbivilcas	2017	86.6%	91.5%	79.9%
Peru	Chupaca	2000	78.1%	82.3%	73.5%
Peru	Chupaca	2017	94.0%	95.7%	92.0%
Peru	Churcampa	2000	65.6%	70.2%	59.9%
Peru	Churcampa	2017	86.9%	89.5%	83.8%
Peru	Concepción	2000	72.1%	83.9%	61.6%
Peru	Concepción	2017	88.3%	94.7%	81.2%
Peru	Condesuyos	2000	63.1%	80.3%	42.1%
Peru	Condesuyos	2017	83.9%	93.8%	69.8%
Peru	Condorcanqui	2000	45.9%	56.7%	34.3%
Peru	Condorcanqui	2017	65.6%	73.4%	56.7%
Peru	Contralmirante Villar	2000	65.4%	78.8%	51.5%
Peru	Contralmirante Villar	2017	95.1%	98.0%	90.8%
Peru	Contumazá	2000	59.0%	76.3%	41.9%
Peru	Contumazá	2017	83.1%	92.3%	71.5%
Peru	Coronel Portillo	2000	45.7%	49.6%	42.0%
Peru	Coronel Portillo	2017	88.7%	91.2%	84.6%
Peru	Corongo	2000	65.4%	82.6%	47.2%
Peru	Corongo	2017	83.9%	95.2%	69.0%
Peru	Cotabambas	2000	64.8%	73.7%	54.7%
Peru	Cotabambas	2017	86.7%	92.6%	77.7%
Peru	Cusco	2000	84.0%	89.1%	78.9%
Peru	Cusco	2017	95.1%	98.0%	88.8%
Peru	Cutervo	2000	66.8%	75.5%	57.2%
Peru	Cutervo	2017	87.6%	92.3%	81.9%
Peru	Daniel Alcides Carrión	2000	60.3%	67.4%	52.3%
Peru	Daniel Alcides Carrión	2017	84.1%	88.8%	78.6%
Peru	Dos de Mayo	2000	47.5%	57.2%	39.4%
Peru	Dos de Mayo	2017	74.2%	82.8%	64.8%
Peru	El Collao	2000	52.1%	58.5%	46.3%
Peru	El Collao	2017	81.1%	85.0%	77.5%
Peru	El Dorado	2000	64.0%	73.1%	55.0%
Peru	El Dorado	2017	88.5%	93.2%	82.9%
Peru	Espinar	2000	47.9%	61.2%	37.3%
Peru	Espinar	2017	76.5%	84.8%	67.0%
Peru	Ferreñafe	2000	70.1%	80.3%	61.1%
Peru	Ferreñafe	2017	91.2%	94.9%	86.2%
Peru	General Sánchez Cerro	2000	72.5%	79.8%	64.6%
Peru	General Sánchez Cerro	2017	89.6%	93.4%	85.1%
Peru	Gran Chimú	2000	62.4%	81.7%	38.5%
Peru	Gran Chimú	2017	83.4%	93.3%	70.8%
Peru	Grau	2000	65.0%	75.0%	52.1%
Peru	Grau	2017	81.1%	88.0%	71.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Huacaybamba	2000	52.9%	76.3%	24.6%
Peru	Huacaybamba	2017	74.2%	89.5%	53.5%
Peru	Hualgayoc	2000	63.3%	75.8%	49.5%
Peru	Hualgayoc	2017	87.3%	95.2%	76.9%
Peru	Huallaga	2000	50.4%	65.3%	36.5%
Peru	Huallaga	2017	85.6%	92.5%	76.4%
Peru	Huamalíes	2000	47.8%	55.4%	41.2%
Peru	Huamalíes	2017	74.5%	80.7%	67.9%
Peru	Huamanga	2000	77.7%	79.5%	75.9%
Peru	Huamanga	2017	93.0%	94.1%	91.9%
Peru	Huanca Sancos	2000	66.2%	80.0%	51.9%
Peru	Huanca Sancos	2017	84.4%	93.2%	74.6%
Peru	Huancabamba	2000	57.9%	72.2%	40.6%
Peru	Huancabamba	2017	77.0%	86.8%	64.5%
Peru	Huancane	2000	42.6%	53.5%	32.9%
Peru	Huancane	2017	74.3%	81.3%	67.7%
Peru	Huancavelica	2000	73.5%	77.6%	69.1%
Peru	Huancavelica	2017	90.2%	92.4%	87.4%
Peru	Huancayo	2000	86.2%	88.0%	84.5%
Peru	Huancayo	2017	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Peru	Huanta	2000	74.2%	83.5%	64.1%
Peru	Huanta	2017	90.0%	93.8%	85.8%
Peru	Huaral	2000	74.4%	78.2%	69.5%
Peru	Huaral	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.8%
Peru	Huaraz	2000	83.7%	88.1%	77.9%
Peru	Huaraz	2017	96.1%	97.8%	93.2%
Peru	Huari	2000	69.6%	81.2%	58.8%
Peru	Huari	2017	88.1%	94.5%	78.5%
Peru	Huarmey	2000	64.8%	84.8%	44.5%
Peru	Huarmey	2017	91.1%	96.8%	83.5%
Peru	Huaro-chiri	2000	63.0%	73.5%	51.4%
Peru	Huaro-chiri	2017	88.3%	93.3%	81.2%
Peru	Huaura	2000	74.3%	80.1%	68.3%
Peru	Huaura	2017	94.9%	96.9%	92.9%
Peru	Huaylas	2000	69.7%	80.8%	60.5%
Peru	Huaylas	2017	86.9%	93.3%	79.2%
Peru	Huaytara	2000	55.0%	66.0%	41.8%
Peru	Huaytara	2017	79.9%	86.8%	71.8%
Peru	Huenuco	2000	61.9%	65.3%	58.7%
Peru	Huenuco	2017	86.9%	88.7%	84.9%
Peru	Ica	2000	81.0%	84.7%	76.2%
Peru	Ica	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
Peru	Ilo	2000	88.2%	94.9%	78.6%
Peru	Ilo	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.5%
Peru	Islay	2000	70.9%	83.9%	54.1%
Peru	Islay	2017	93.0%	97.6%	85.6%
Peru	Jaén	2000	67.1%	75.0%	58.5%
Peru	Jaén	2017	87.2%	91.2%	82.8%
Peru	Jauja	2000	70.3%	76.1%	61.8%
Peru	Jauja	2017	88.2%	91.9%	83.0%
Peru	Jorge Basadre	2000	60.8%	86.0%	37.1%
Peru	Jorge Basadre	2017	88.8%	97.0%	75.2%
Peru	Julcan	2000	56.6%	70.7%	43.1%
Peru	Julcan	2017	83.9%	90.3%	76.4%
Peru	Junín	2000	64.8%	78.5%	53.6%
Peru	Junín	2017	84.5%	91.4%	77.4%
Peru	La Convención	2000	65.8%	72.2%	59.9%
Peru	La Convención	2017	82.9%	88.0%	77.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	La Mar	2000	65.9%	74.2%	56.5%
Peru	La Mar	2017	86.0%	90.8%	80.7%
Peru	La Unión	2000	59.8%	74.7%	43.7%
Peru	La Unión	2017	81.4%	90.3%	70.3%
Peru	Lago Titicaca	2000	60.5%	68.1%	52.9%
Peru	Lago Titicaca	2017	83.9%	88.2%	78.8%
Peru	Lamas	2000	48.3%	55.2%	41.0%
Peru	Lamas	2017	82.7%	86.7%	78.2%
Peru	Lambayeque	2000	69.4%	75.2%	62.8%
Peru	Lambayeque	2017	91.7%	93.7%	89.3%
Peru	Lampa	2000	41.9%	56.5%	28.5%
Peru	Lampa	2017	70.2%	81.2%	59.4%
Peru	Lauricocha	2000	54.8%	66.6%	43.6%
Peru	Lauricocha	2017	81.2%	88.9%	73.7%
Peru	Leoncio Prado	2000	42.4%	49.2%	35.4%
Peru	Leoncio Prado	2017	78.2%	84.5%	71.6%
Peru	Lima	2000	90.2%	91.0%	89.2%
Peru	Lima	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Peru	Loreto	2000	34.0%	42.0%	26.5%
Peru	Loreto	2017	64.8%	70.2%	59.6%
Peru	Lucanas	2000	68.1%	77.1%	58.2%
Peru	Lucanas	2017	85.8%	91.3%	79.3%
Peru	Luya	2000	69.7%	77.9%	60.7%
Peru	Luya	2017	87.1%	92.0%	81.2%
Peru	Manu	2000	44.4%	53.6%	35.0%
Peru	Manu	2017	75.7%	80.8%	68.7%
Peru	Marañón	2000	57.1%	71.1%	39.3%
Peru	Marañón	2017	78.4%	86.5%	67.4%
Peru	Mariscal Cáceres	2000	54.1%	63.9%	44.2%
Peru	Mariscal Cáceres	2017	86.2%	90.6%	81.3%
Peru	Mariscal Luzuriaga	2000	55.7%	67.6%	42.4%
Peru	Mariscal Luzuriaga	2017	78.8%	86.8%	70.8%
Peru	Mariscal Nieto	2000	82.8%	86.2%	78.1%
Peru	Mariscal Nieto	2017	95.6%	97.2%	93.3%
Peru	Mariscal Ramón Castilla	2000	34.0%	42.3%	25.5%
Peru	Mariscal Ramón Castilla	2017	62.9%	68.8%	56.2%
Peru	Maynas	2000	39.4%	52.6%	29.9%
Peru	Maynas	2017	71.5%	79.3%	63.3%
Peru	Melgar	2000	54.2%	65.8%	44.0%
Peru	Melgar	2017	77.0%	84.2%	69.7%
Peru	Moho	2000	50.2%	71.3%	27.9%
Peru	Moho	2017	75.7%	88.4%	56.2%
Peru	Morropón	2000	71.3%	80.0%	61.7%
Peru	Morropón	2017	93.0%	96.3%	88.3%
Peru	Moyobamba	2000	58.4%	66.5%	47.5%
Peru	Moyobamba	2017	88.0%	91.4%	83.8%
Peru	Nazca	2000	61.3%	68.7%	54.2%
Peru	Nazca	2017	93.8%	96.4%	90.8%
Peru	Ocros	2000	62.9%	85.3%	40.4%
Peru	Ocros	2017	83.4%	95.2%	63.3%
Peru	Otuzco	2000	61.5%	72.3%	50.7%
Peru	Otuzco	2017	82.0%	88.6%	73.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Oxapampa	2000	60.0%	66.6%	54.3%
Peru	Oxapampa	2017	77.4%	81.8%	73.0%
Peru	Oyon	2000	68.9%	85.8%	48.9%
Peru	Oyon	2017	88.2%	95.7%	78.1%
Peru	Pacasmayo	2000	68.5%	77.5%	59.3%
Peru	Pacasmayo	2017	94.6%	96.5%	91.8%
Peru	Pachitea	2000	43.2%	54.2%	34.3%
Peru	Pachitea	2017	68.6%	75.6%	61.7%
Peru	Padre Abad	2000	44.3%	55.8%	35.2%
Peru	Padre Abad	2017	76.6%	83.9%	69.2%
Peru	Paita	2000	63.8%	76.9%	48.4%
Peru	Paita	2017	93.2%	97.4%	87.9%
Peru	Pallasca	2000	66.7%	81.7%	48.7%
Peru	Pallasca	2017	82.0%	92.6%	68.7%
Peru	Palpa	2000	79.8%	88.9%	68.4%
Peru	Palpa	2017	96.5%	99.0%	92.4%
Peru	Parinacochas	2000	67.6%	81.7%	51.4%
Peru	Parinacochas	2017	82.6%	92.5%	71.3%
Peru	Paruro	2000	70.9%	84.2%	53.3%
Peru	Paruro	2017	86.6%	94.5%	75.0%
Peru	Pasco	2000	65.0%	68.4%	61.7%
Peru	Pasco	2017	87.1%	89.5%	84.5%
Peru	Pataz	2000	62.8%	77.2%	47.8%
Peru	Pataz	2017	82.8%	90.8%	72.2%
Peru	Paucar del Sara Sara	2000	63.5%	76.6%	49.9%
Peru	Paucar del Sara Sara	2017	84.7%	91.6%	76.3%
Peru	Paucartambo	2000	65.3%	78.0%	51.9%
Peru	Paucartambo	2017	86.1%	92.4%	76.9%
Peru	Picota	2000	54.7%	63.8%	44.1%
Peru	Picota	2017	85.6%	90.6%	77.9%
Peru	Pisco	2000	82.1%	87.3%	73.6%
Peru	Pisco	2017	97.9%	98.7%	96.6%
Peru	Piura	2000	66.5%	71.8%	61.7%
Peru	Piura	2017	90.4%	91.8%	89.0%
Peru	Pomabamba	2000	63.7%	77.4%	48.5%
Peru	Pomabamba	2017	82.7%	90.9%	72.6%
Peru	Puerto Inca	2000	41.8%	55.7%	29.2%
Peru	Puerto Inca	2017	69.3%	78.5%	60.1%
Peru	Puno	2000	55.0%	60.1%	49.0%
Peru	Puno	2017	79.9%	84.6%	74.5%
Peru	Purús	2000	48.2%	91.1%	7.9%
Peru	Purús	2017	67.4%	90.5%	40.2%
Peru	Quispicanchi	2000	63.7%	75.7%	48.8%
Peru	Quispicanchi	2017	84.3%	90.5%	75.7%
Peru	Recuay	2000	67.8%	86.6%	42.2%
Peru	Recuay	2017	85.4%	95.1%	69.1%
Peru	Requena	2000	36.5%	48.0%	27.1%
Peru	Requena	2017	65.6%	73.0%	59.1%
Peru	Rioja	2000	52.8%	56.4%	49.4%
Peru	Rioja	2017	85.7%	88.9%	82.8%
Peru	Rodríguez de Mendoza	2000	65.9%	72.0%	58.8%
Peru	Rodríguez de Mendoza	2017	84.0%	89.3%	78.0%
Peru	San Antonio de Putina	2000	59.3%	73.8%	43.1%
Peru	San Antonio de Putina	2017	85.2%	91.8%	76.0%
Peru	San Ignacio	2000	65.0%	74.6%	52.3%
Peru	San Ignacio	2017	82.4%	89.0%	74.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	San Marcos	2000	64.2%	74.5%	51.2%
Peru	San Marcos	2017	87.0%	92.7%	79.4%
Peru	San Martín	2000	61.6%	65.4%	57.9%
Peru	San Martín	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Peru	San Miguel	2000	57.7%	70.4%	44.0%
Peru	San Miguel	2017	81.6%	89.3%	71.6%
Peru	San Pablo	2000	68.8%	80.3%	54.6%
Peru	San Pablo	2017	91.3%	96.1%	83.8%
Peru	San Román	2000	54.3%	67.5%	44.4%
Peru	San Román	2017	81.9%	88.2%	72.4%
Peru	Sánchez Carrión	2000	66.6%	75.6%	57.7%
Peru	Sánchez Carrión	2017	87.6%	92.4%	80.5%
Peru	Sandia	2000	48.2%	62.5%	35.0%
Peru	Sandia	2017	67.6%	78.1%	57.8%
Peru	Santa	2000	80.4%	86.9%	73.4%
Peru	Santa	2017	97.4%	98.4%	95.9%
Peru	Santa Cruz	2000	54.6%	65.4%	40.2%
Peru	Santa Cruz	2017	84.4%	91.0%	75.5%
Peru	Santiago de Chuco	2000	59.3%	71.1%	45.4%
Peru	Santiago de Chuco	2017	79.8%	89.5%	69.0%
Peru	Satipo	2000	62.5%	68.6%	55.2%
Peru	Satipo	2017	81.8%	86.6%	76.7%
Peru	Sechura	2000	64.8%	79.7%	46.9%
Peru	Sechura	2017	91.0%	95.5%	84.9%
Peru	Sihuas	2000	66.3%	83.9%	38.8%
Peru	Sihuas	2017	83.8%	93.4%	67.7%
Peru	Sucre	2000	53.9%	77.6%	32.3%
Peru	Sucre	2017	75.4%	90.3%	56.9%
Peru	Sullana	2000	61.4%	66.4%	56.6%
Peru	Sullana	2017	89.0%	91.9%	85.8%
Peru	Tacna	2000	87.4%	90.9%	82.8%
Peru	Tacna	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.1%
Peru	Tahuamanu	2000	41.4%	54.6%	29.5%
Peru	Tahuamanu	2017	74.2%	82.2%	66.1%
Peru	Talara	2000	70.6%	80.2%	59.6%
Peru	Talara	2017	95.9%	98.2%	92.0%
Peru	Tambopata	2000	54.0%	57.8%	50.4%
Peru	Tambopata	2017	87.3%	89.0%	85.5%
Peru	Tarata	2000	67.5%	85.3%	46.8%
Peru	Tarata	2017	87.8%	95.8%	77.2%
Peru	Tarma	2000	73.7%	83.7%	63.3%
Peru	Tarma	2017	89.4%	94.9%	83.2%
Peru	Tayacaja	2000	60.0%	66.0%	53.7%
Peru	Tayacaja	2017	82.1%	86.2%	77.1%
Peru	Tocache	2000	37.4%	46.5%	29.0%
Peru	Tocache	2017	73.4%	79.2%	67.2%
Peru	Trujillo	2000	78.1%	79.9%	76.0%
Peru	Trujillo	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Peru	Tumbes	2000	74.6%	78.8%	70.7%
Peru	Tumbes	2017	98.0%	98.8%	96.8%
Peru	Ucayali	2000	39.4%	48.6%	31.7%
Peru	Ucayali	2017	65.5%	72.2%	60.0%
Peru	Urubamba	2000	71.4%	78.2%	63.9%
Peru	Urubamba	2017	89.8%	93.5%	85.2%
Peru	Utcubamba	2000	62.9%	67.5%	57.7%
Peru	Utcubamba	2017	83.8%	87.2%	80.0%
Peru	Victor Fajardo	2000	67.0%	78.0%	55.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Victor Fa-jardo	2017	87.8%	92.4%	81.6%
Peru	Vilcas Huamán	2000	58.6%	70.9%	44.7%
Peru	Vilcas Huamán	2017	80.9%	88.3%	71.6%
Peru	Viru	2000	59.0%	73.0%	45.5%
Peru	Viru	2017	86.2%	94.2%	78.6%
Peru	Yarowilca	2000	66.8%	73.1%	56.1%
Peru	Yarowilca	2017	90.2%	93.0%	84.9%
Peru	Yauli	2000	77.8%	88.2%	63.5%
Peru	Yauli	2017	91.8%	96.4%	84.3%
Peru	Yauyos	2000	54.1%	76.6%	31.4%
Peru	Yauyos	2017	78.5%	90.2%	63.2%
Peru	Yungay	2000	74.0%	82.2%	65.6%
Peru	Yungay	2017	90.3%	94.1%	85.1%
Peru	Yunguyo	2000	79.8%	83.8%	74.8%
Peru	Yunguyo	2017	94.8%	96.4%	92.8%
Peru	Zarumilla	2000	71.4%	79.3%	63.1%
Peru	Zarumilla	2017	98.3%	99.3%	96.8%
North Africa and Middle East					
Afghanistan	Ab Band	2000	11.6%	32.0%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Ab Band	2017	60.1%	82.0%	31.6%
Afghanistan	Ab Kamari	2000	14.7%	34.1%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Ab Kamari	2017	63.6%	81.4%	40.0%
Afghanistan	Achin	2000	70.7%	86.1%	53.4%
Afghanistan	Achin	2017	90.4%	99.0%	76.8%
Afghanistan	Adraskan	2000	14.5%	24.8%	8.2%
Afghanistan	Adraskan	2017	61.2%	72.4%	49.4%
Afghanistan	Ajristan	2000	15.2%	33.4%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Ajristan	2017	63.2%	84.6%	40.6%
Afghanistan	Alasay	2000	11.4%	57.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Alasay	2017	54.9%	95.6%	8.0%
Afghanistan	Ali abad	2000	11.8%	31.2%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Ali abad	2017	64.4%	84.4%	40.0%
Afghanistan	Alingar	2000	9.4%	35.9%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Alingar	2017	61.3%	86.4%	31.7%
Afghanistan	Alishing	2000	15.0%	56.4%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Alishing	2017	61.4%	92.6%	24.7%
Afghanistan	Almar	2000	15.7%	47.6%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Almar	2017	61.6%	86.7%	35.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Anar Dara	2000	13.4%	20.0%	8.0%
Afghanistan	Anar Dara	2017	59.8%	69.8%	49.7%
Afghanistan	Andar	2000	5.7%	18.9%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Andar	2017	55.4%	72.8%	35.4%
Afghanistan	Andarab	2000	9.1%	21.4%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Andarab	2017	52.3%	71.7%	31.0%
Afghanistan	Andkhoy	2000	16.9%	27.3%	9.7%
Afghanistan	Andkhoy	2017	63.8%	76.9%	50.1%
Afghanistan	Aqcha	2000	16.8%	26.4%	11.2%
Afghanistan	Aqcha	2017	74.6%	81.5%	66.5%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2000	4.1%	18.4%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2000	20.6%	38.0%	7.9%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2017	69.9%	84.5%	54.3%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2017	46.0%	68.5%	24.5%
Afghanistan	Arghistan	2000	14.7%	32.4%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Arghistan	2017	65.9%	80.8%	50.9%
Afghanistan	Asad abad	2000	11.9%	18.4%	6.6%
Afghanistan	Asad abad	2017	58.4%	66.5%	48.9%
Afghanistan	Atghar	2000	9.7%	26.4%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Atghar	2017	53.3%	75.6%	25.7%
Afghanistan	Aybak	2000	7.5%	18.8%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Aybak	2017	43.1%	65.9%	22.8%
Afghanistan	Azro	2000	14.2%	36.7%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Azro	2017	53.5%	76.9%	24.3%
Afghanistan	Baghlan City	2000	51.9%	61.6%	41.1%
Afghanistan	Baghlan City	2017	87.3%	92.2%	82.5%
Afghanistan	Baghlani Jaidid	2000	19.9%	36.6%	8.4%
Afghanistan	Baghlani Jaidid	2017	55.5%	78.7%	34.9%
Afghanistan	Bagram	2000	3.8%	9.8%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Bagram	2017	43.9%	64.9%	29.6%
Afghanistan	Bagrami	2000	4.1%	10.6%	1.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Bagrami	2017	83.5%	92.9%	70.8%
Afghanistan	Baharak	2000	21.6%	34.6%	12.0%
Afghanistan	Baharak	2017	70.2%	84.3%	54.1%
Afghanistan	Bak	2000	24.4%	50.3%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Bak	2017	77.4%	96.9%	47.3%
Afghanistan	Bakwa	2000	10.1%	21.6%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Bakwa	2017	61.7%	75.2%	48.3%
Afghanistan	Bala Buluk	2000	8.4%	23.2%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Bala Buluk	2017	58.9%	74.6%	39.6%
Afghanistan	Balkh	2000	2.5%	9.3%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Balkh	2017	59.2%	82.6%	44.1%
Afghanistan	Balkhab	2000	12.4%	24.8%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Balkhab	2017	55.2%	71.2%	38.4%
Afghanistan	Bamyan City	2000	10.9%	31.5%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Bamyan City	2017	65.6%	81.1%	49.8%
Afghanistan	Bangi	2000	7.3%	28.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Bangi	2017	46.7%	73.2%	17.1%
Afghanistan	Bar Kunar	2000	6.0%	22.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Bar Kunar	2017	51.2%	71.6%	29.6%
Afghanistan	Baraki Barak	2000	6.4%	26.6%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Baraki Barak	2017	53.1%	76.0%	33.7%
Afghanistan	Bargi Matal	2000	13.1%	31.1%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Bargi Matal	2017	58.0%	75.9%	39.3%
Afghanistan	Bati Kot	2000	3.5%	36.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bati Kot	2017	40.2%	88.9%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Bilchiragh	2000	10.7%	23.1%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Bilchiragh	2017	59.8%	77.4%	40.7%
Afghanistan	Bughran	2000	14.1%	27.5%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Bughran	2017	63.9%	78.8%	46.6%
Afghanistan	Burka	2000	8.4%	29.6%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Burka	2017	58.2%	85.3%	29.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Burmul	2000	9.5%	26.0%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Burmul	2017	67.3%	85.4%	46.0%
Afghanistan	Chaghcharan	2000	12.2%	21.1%	6.2%
Afghanistan	Chaghcharan	2017	59.3%	68.6%	48.5%
Afghanistan	Chah Ab	2000	5.1%	16.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Chah Ab	2017	52.0%	70.6%	31.7%
Afghanistan	Chahar Asyab	2000	4.2%	22.2%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Chahar Asyab	2017	85.8%	97.6%	53.8%
Afghanistan	Chaharikar	2000	4.7%	11.1%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Chaharikar	2017	56.4%	70.2%	41.7%
Afghanistan	Chak	2000	12.1%	41.4%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Chak	2017	55.1%	84.7%	26.8%
Afghanistan	Chakhansur	2000	13.0%	25.3%	6.1%
Afghanistan	Chakhansur	2017	62.2%	74.4%	49.5%
Afghanistan	Chal	2000	12.8%	42.9%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Chal	2017	56.1%	90.0%	18.7%
Afghanistan	Chamkani	2000	6.0%	15.3%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Chamkani	2017	64.4%	77.6%	50.0%
Afghanistan	Chapa Dara	2000	20.7%	55.2%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Chapa Dara	2017	70.2%	97.4%	30.6%
Afghanistan	Chaparhar	2000	52.1%	83.9%	26.0%
Afghanistan	Chaparhar	2017	92.3%	99.8%	78.7%
Afghanistan	Char Bolak	2000	3.7%	12.3%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Char Bolak	2017	53.1%	69.4%	36.5%
Afghanistan	Char Burjak	2000	10.9%	15.9%	6.7%
Afghanistan	Char Burjak	2017	57.7%	65.4%	49.3%
Afghanistan	Char Dara	2000	14.8%	33.7%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Char Dara	2017	66.1%	86.7%	39.5%
Afghanistan	Charkh	2000	7.2%	18.6%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Charkh	2017	53.6%	72.9%	33.8%
Afghanistan	Charkint	2000	14.9%	38.1%	2.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Charkint	2017	62.1%	86.0%	32.9%
Afghanistan	Chawkay	2000	27.0%	48.2%	9.8%
Afghanistan	Chawkay	2017	87.6%	92.6%	77.4%
Afghanistan	Chimtal	2000	8.0%	19.6%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Chimtal	2017	56.8%	74.6%	36.2%
Afghanistan	Chishti Sharif	2000	15.5%	35.7%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Chishti Sharif	2017	63.4%	83.6%	38.3%
Afghanistan	Chora	2000	13.5%	27.4%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Chora	2017	63.9%	79.3%	45.7%
Afghanistan	Dahana-I-Ghori	2000	16.2%	35.7%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Dahana-I-Ghori	2017	64.8%	86.9%	37.6%
Afghanistan	Daman	2000	19.2%	29.9%	8.5%
Afghanistan	Daman	2017	59.6%	76.1%	50.0%
Afghanistan	Dand Patan	2000	10.9%	26.3%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Dand Patan	2017	60.8%	90.0%	33.9%
Afghanistan	Dangam	2000	10.8%	45.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dangam	2017	47.6%	79.8%	11.2%
Afghanistan	Dara-I-Nur	2000	5.3%	33.7%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Dara-I-Nur	2017	58.7%	91.3%	23.6%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Pech	2000	13.9%	32.3%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Pech	2017	58.9%	75.1%	40.4%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Suf	2000	16.2%	28.7%	6.4%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Suf	2017	62.0%	74.8%	47.0%
Afghanistan	Darqad	2000	14.1%	44.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Darqad	2017	66.1%	93.7%	27.8%
Afghanistan	Darwaz	2000	11.2%	26.4%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Darwaz	2017	54.9%	73.5%	39.3%
Afghanistan	Darzab	2000	22.5%	45.2%	9.9%
Afghanistan	Darzab	2017	77.8%	88.7%	65.2%
Afghanistan	Dashti Archi	2000	14.0%	30.2%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Dashti Archi	2017	65.2%	81.5%	45.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2000	9.9%	19.2%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2000	11.0%	31.0%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2017	67.9%	79.6%	55.3%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2017	54.2%	78.3%	31.8%
Afghanistan	Dawlat Shah	2000	9.1%	27.8%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Dawlat Shah	2017	65.2%	82.9%	43.4%
Afghanistan	Day Kundi	2000	17.3%	26.1%	10.5%
Afghanistan	Day Kundi	2017	64.9%	74.5%	54.7%
Afghanistan	Daychopan	2000	18.7%	32.8%	7.1%
Afghanistan	Daychopan	2017	68.1%	83.3%	52.8%
Afghanistan	Daymirdad	2000	11.8%	30.5%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Daymirdad	2017	51.9%	79.3%	24.1%
Afghanistan	Dih Bala	2000	31.7%	69.3%	10.1%
Afghanistan	Dih Bala	2017	79.9%	98.6%	51.6%
Afghanistan	Dih Sabz	2000	41.1%	48.3%	36.9%
Afghanistan	Dih Sabz	2017	89.3%	97.7%	77.7%
Afghanistan	Dihdadi	2000	3.3%	12.4%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Dihdadi	2017	79.2%	84.9%	70.7%
Afghanistan	Dihrawud	2000	11.1%	29.5%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Dihrawud	2017	66.2%	86.0%	42.6%
Afghanistan	Dihyak	2000	8.0%	27.5%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Dihyak	2017	61.4%	87.3%	33.3%
Afghanistan	Dila	2000	20.4%	39.5%	8.4%
Afghanistan	Dila	2017	63.0%	85.2%	41.1%
Afghanistan	Disho	2000	12.0%	19.0%	5.7%
Afghanistan	Disho	2017	55.1%	64.7%	44.4%
Afghanistan	Doshi	2000	16.0%	38.2%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Doshi	2017	64.8%	88.1%	37.9%
Afghanistan	Dur Baba	2000	13.1%	47.2%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Dur Baba	2017	65.1%	95.5%	25.6%
Afghanistan	Farah City	2000	3.6%	9.1%	0.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Farah City	2017	60.1%	72.1%	48.4%
Afghanistan	Farkhar	2000	14.4%	36.9%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Farkhar	2017	63.5%	83.0%	38.8%
Afghanistan	Farsi	2000	13.0%	25.2%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Farsi	2017	62.9%	78.6%	47.4%
Afghanistan	Fayz abad	2000	20.3%	39.3%	10.8%
Afghanistan	Fayz abad	2017	68.9%	86.8%	48.8%
Afghanistan	Fayzabad	2000	8.1%	22.3%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Fayzabad	2017	60.9%	77.3%	40.5%
Afghanistan	Gardez	2000	5.4%	19.1%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Gardez	2017	57.6%	83.5%	33.2%
Afghanistan	Garmser	2000	13.3%	26.5%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Garmser	2017	60.2%	70.9%	47.4%
Afghanistan	Gayan	2000	5.6%	20.0%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Gayan	2017	59.2%	76.9%	38.7%
Afghanistan	Gelan	2000	12.9%	31.9%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Gelan	2017	64.4%	83.9%	41.4%
Afghanistan	Ghazni	2000	0.5%	1.9%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Ghazni	2017	51.1%	64.9%	38.9%
Afghanistan	Ghorak	2000	12.8%	29.6%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Ghorak	2017	59.3%	83.4%	35.3%
Afghanistan	Ghorband	2000	25.5%	45.9%	8.7%
Afghanistan	Ghorband	2017	82.4%	93.3%	67.2%
Afghanistan	Ghormach	2000	12.1%	37.0%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Ghormach	2017	59.7%	85.2%	30.9%
Afghanistan	Ghoryan	2000	11.3%	21.3%	5.5%
Afghanistan	Ghoryan	2017	61.9%	75.0%	48.7%
Afghanistan	Giro	2000	15.1%	35.5%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Giro	2017	62.1%	87.0%	31.1%
Afghanistan	Gizab	2000	15.5%	28.5%	6.8%
Afghanistan	Gizab	2017	62.7%	77.5%	47.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Gomal	2000	15.2%	29.3%	6.5%
Afghanistan	Gomal	2017	62.6%	77.3%	47.7%
Afghanistan	Goshta	2000	15.2%	49.5%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Goshta	2017	59.4%	95.4%	16.2%
Afghanistan	Gul dara	2000	4.0%	35.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Gul dara	2017	57.3%	89.9%	12.9%
Afghanistan	Gulistan	2000	12.8%	22.0%	6.5%
Afghanistan	Gulistan	2017	59.9%	73.3%	48.6%
Afghanistan	Gulran	2000	15.9%	26.0%	8.0%
Afghanistan	Gulran	2017	63.0%	74.5%	52.8%
Afghanistan	Gurbuz	2000	13.3%	44.4%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Gurbuz	2017	69.2%	96.3%	33.7%
Afghanistan	Guzara	2000	6.8%	23.6%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Guzara	2017	60.0%	83.7%	34.3%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Imam	2000	9.0%	20.7%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Imam	2017	53.2%	78.0%	29.7%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Sultan	2000	20.7%	41.4%	7.4%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Sultan	2017	77.7%	93.1%	57.3%
Afghanistan	Hirat City	2000	3.4%	9.8%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Hirat City	2017	79.6%	82.2%	76.3%
Afghanistan	Hisa-i-Awali Bihsud	2000	14.3%	41.3%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Hisa-i-Awali Bihsud	2017	54.5%	83.6%	28.3%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Awali Panjsher	2000	17.2%	37.5%	4.6%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Awali Panjsher	2017	64.1%	79.0%	46.9%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Duwum Panjsher	2000	11.9%	40.9%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Duwum Panjsher	2017	61.4%	88.9%	25.4%
Afghanistan	Hisarak	2000	13.1%	49.1%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Hisarak	2017	63.7%	93.5%	27.6%
Afghanistan	Injil	2000	12.1%	23.2%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Injil	2017	76.3%	86.1%	66.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Ishkamish	2000	6.1%	22.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Ishkamish	2017	41.0%	64.3%	17.1%
Afghanistan	Ishkashim	2000	11.5%	29.3%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Ishkashim	2017	59.0%	80.5%	32.6%
Afghanistan	Istalif	2000	10.0%	62.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Istalif	2017	61.2%	98.8%	7.8%
Afghanistan	Jabalussaraj	2000	16.2%	47.2%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Jabalussaraj	2017	74.1%	92.5%	33.9%
Afghanistan	Jadran	2000	10.9%	36.9%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Jadran	2017	56.8%	84.9%	22.7%
Afghanistan	Jaghatu	2000	12.0%	26.2%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Jaghatu	2017	60.6%	77.3%	42.7%
Afghanistan	Jaghuri	2000	25.0%	40.5%	13.1%
Afghanistan	Jaghuri	2017	64.1%	78.7%	46.4%
Afghanistan	Jaji	2000	32.8%	57.2%	17.9%
Afghanistan	Jaji	2017	70.3%	86.5%	48.6%
Afghanistan	Jaji Maydan	2000	8.3%	32.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Jaji Maydan	2017	58.6%	92.1%	28.1%
Afghanistan	Jalal abad	2000	52.6%	57.2%	45.9%
Afghanistan	Jalal abad	2017	87.9%	88.6%	86.7%
Afghanistan	Jalrez	2000	6.7%	17.3%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Jalrez	2017	50.4%	72.4%	29.9%
Afghanistan	Jani Khel	2000	9.7%	25.6%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Jani Khel	2017	69.6%	90.1%	41.7%
Afghanistan	Jawand	2000	17.3%	24.8%	10.7%
Afghanistan	Jawand	2017	61.1%	70.9%	50.9%
Afghanistan	Jurm	2000	15.5%	31.7%	5.6%
Afghanistan	Jurm	2017	67.7%	82.4%	51.0%
Afghanistan	Kabul City	2000	14.8%	17.6%	13.0%
Afghanistan	Kabul City	2017	69.9%	72.3%	64.6%
Afghanistan	Kahmard	2000	13.8%	29.3%	4.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Kahmard	2017	57.1%	73.3%	37.0%
Afghanistan	Kajaki	2000	15.5%	42.5%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Kajaki	2017	59.8%	85.0%	35.0%
Afghanistan	Kalafgan	2000	9.7%	35.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Kalafgan	2017	55.2%	85.5%	26.4%
Afghanistan	Kalakan	2000	8.6%	63.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kalakan	2017	60.9%	97.0%	9.3%
Afghanistan	Kaldar	2000	12.7%	44.5%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Kaldar	2017	53.8%	87.5%	20.7%
Afghanistan	Kama	2000	22.3%	36.9%	13.0%
Afghanistan	Kama	2017	84.3%	97.8%	63.7%
Afghanistan	Kamdesh	2000	13.9%	33.2%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Kamdesh	2017	56.9%	79.2%	32.8%
Afghanistan	Kandahar City	2000	4.2%	8.3%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Kandahar City	2017	44.6%	55.5%	38.3%
Afghanistan	Kang	2000	11.6%	41.2%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Kang	2017	69.9%	91.6%	38.1%
Afghanistan	Karukh	2000	12.8%	30.3%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Karukh	2017	67.0%	86.9%	44.7%
Afghanistan	Khaki Jabar	2000	17.8%	50.3%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Khaki Jabar	2017	66.3%	92.6%	30.1%
Afghanistan	Khaki Safed	2000	9.6%	24.4%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Khaki Safed	2017	59.4%	79.8%	40.2%
Afghanistan	Khakrez	2000	16.5%	35.3%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Khakrez	2017	65.9%	87.1%	42.1%
Afghanistan	Khamyab	2000	11.1%	35.7%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Khamyab	2017	53.9%	85.1%	17.1%
Afghanistan	Khan Abad	2000	17.6%	39.5%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Khan Abad	2017	71.9%	88.8%	45.2%
Afghanistan	Khan Char Bagh	2000	6.5%	19.1%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Khan Char Bagh	2017	42.9%	67.1%	19.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Khas Kunar	2000	34.9%	69.3%	8.3%
Afghanistan	Khas Kunar	2017	83.7%	98.9%	57.3%
Afghanistan	Khas Uruzgan	2000	10.0%	19.3%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Khas Uruzgan	2017	53.7%	70.8%	34.9%
Afghanistan	Khash Rod	2000	12.6%	28.4%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Khash Rod	2017	59.1%	72.4%	45.7%
Afghanistan	Khinjan	2000	16.0%	43.3%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Khinjan	2017	65.5%	92.0%	28.9%
Afghanistan	Khogyani	2000	16.0%	51.2%	5.7%
Afghanistan	Khogyani	2017	60.9%	95.3%	22.5%
Afghanistan	Khost (Matun)	2000	3.4%	11.9%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Khost (Matun)	2017	59.1%	85.9%	40.2%
Afghanistan	Khost Wa Firing	2000	10.6%	24.2%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Khost Wa Firing	2017	58.3%	74.8%	39.6%
Afghanistan	Khulm	2000	9.4%	18.2%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Khulm	2017	52.7%	70.1%	37.9%
Afghanistan	Khuram Wa Sarbagh	2000	7.8%	17.5%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Khuram Wa Sarbagh	2017	47.0%	64.1%	29.0%
Afghanistan	Khushi	2000	11.4%	41.1%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Khushi	2017	57.6%	92.0%	22.7%
Afghanistan	Khwahan	2000	11.6%	23.6%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Khwahan	2017	56.6%	75.9%	39.0%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Du Koh	2000	14.9%	30.6%	5.8%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Du Koh	2017	66.2%	85.3%	45.0%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Ghar	2000	8.7%	27.6%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Ghar	2017	57.6%	79.4%	32.7%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Sabz Posh	2000	29.3%	39.6%	21.8%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Sabz Posh	2017	71.9%	82.4%	57.4%
Afghanistan	Kijran	2000	14.2%	29.0%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Kijran	2017	57.9%	77.2%	38.7%
Afghanistan	Kishim	2000	5.7%	13.0%	1.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Kishim	2017	56.1%	67.6%	44.4%
Afghanistan	Kishindih	2000	12.8%	30.3%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Kishindih	2017	60.8%	81.9%	37.5%
Afghanistan	Kohband	2000	5.4%	24.3%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Kohband	2017	39.3%	58.2%	19.1%
Afghanistan	Kohi Safi	2000	13.0%	33.8%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Kohi Safi	2017	56.8%	82.8%	29.6%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2000	8.2%	36.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2000	16.0%	31.4%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2017	55.7%	69.5%	21.8%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2017	63.6%	81.2%	42.5%
Afghanistan	Kohistanat	2000	13.6%	22.3%	5.9%
Afghanistan	Kohistanat	2017	59.5%	70.4%	46.7%
Afghanistan	Kuhsan	2000	13.1%	29.3%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Kuhsan	2017	64.6%	84.1%	43.3%
Afghanistan	Kunduz	2000	13.4%	53.0%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Kunduz	2017	68.1%	88.6%	34.4%
Afghanistan	Kuran Wa Munjan	2000	11.7%	23.3%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Kuran Wa Munjan	2017	59.1%	74.4%	40.4%
Afghanistan	Kushk	2000	15.1%	33.1%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Kushk	2017	67.9%	82.3%	51.2%
Afghanistan	Kushki Kuhna	2000	10.5%	23.1%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Kushki Kuhna	2017	60.1%	77.4%	41.8%
Afghanistan	Kuz Kunar	2000	11.7%	21.4%	7.6%
Afghanistan	Kuz Kunar	2017	47.2%	69.8%	30.6%
Afghanistan	Lal Pur	2000	16.4%	49.2%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Lal Pur	2017	61.3%	89.7%	28.8%
Afghanistan	Lal Wa Sarjanganal	2000	12.3%	24.7%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Lal Wa Sarjanganal	2017	57.8%	73.3%	39.3%
Afghanistan	Lash Wa Juwayn	2000	11.4%	19.2%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Lash Wa Juwayn	2017	57.3%	68.4%	45.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Lashkargah	2000	7.8%	18.5%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Lashkargah	2017	62.3%	74.2%	46.6%
Afghanistan	Lija Mangal	2000	40.4%	50.0%	37.5%
Afghanistan	Lija Mangal	2017	76.9%	87.5%	66.8%
Afghanistan	Mahmud Raqi	2000	5.3%	17.6%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Mahmud Raqi	2017	46.4%	59.6%	29.7%
Afghanistan	Malistan	2000	11.0%	26.6%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Malistan	2017	54.1%	71.4%	31.9%
Afghanistan	Mando Zayi	2000	3.8%	10.2%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Mando Zayi	2017	72.6%	92.8%	49.4%
Afghanistan	Mandol	2000	9.5%	21.6%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Mandol	2017	55.4%	71.2%	39.6%
Afghanistan	Mardyan	2000	19.3%	31.5%	12.9%
Afghanistan	Mardyan	2017	73.8%	87.9%	54.8%
Afghanistan	Markazi Bih-sud	2000	13.0%	27.7%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Markazi Bih-sud	2017	59.5%	78.8%	41.1%
Afghanistan	Marmul	2000	12.0%	34.7%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Marmul	2017	62.7%	90.5%	28.9%
Afghanistan	Maruf	2000	15.2%	27.4%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Maruf	2017	62.7%	79.7%	45.5%
Afghanistan	Marwara	2000	13.8%	43.2%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Marwara	2017	88.7%	97.5%	61.5%
Afghanistan	Mata Khan	2000	17.2%	37.3%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Mata Khan	2017	63.2%	85.1%	37.9%
Afghanistan	Maydan Shahr	2000	7.7%	30.8%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Maydan Shahr	2017	56.2%	88.3%	21.7%
Afghanistan	Maymana	2000	18.6%	36.5%	6.6%
Afghanistan	Maymana	2017	65.4%	85.1%	40.9%
Afghanistan	Maywand	2000	13.9%	32.1%	4.2%
Afghanistan	Maywand	2017	61.0%	78.4%	41.6%
Afghanistan	Mazar-i-Sharif	2000	2.9%	15.4%	0.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Mazar-i-Sharif	2017	82.7%	91.2%	58.6%
Afghanistan	Mihtarlam	2000	46.6%	69.3%	29.7%
Afghanistan	Mihtarlam	2017	88.3%	94.9%	75.8%
Afghanistan	Mingajik	2000	7.2%	18.6%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Mingajik	2017	52.7%	76.7%	34.8%
Afghanistan	Mirbacha Kot	2000	2.0%	15.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Mirbacha Kot	2017	46.2%	67.5%	24.4%
Afghanistan	Mizan	2000	15.8%	41.0%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Mizan	2017	60.4%	86.8%	26.4%
Afghanistan	Muhammad Agha	2000	8.1%	27.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Muhammad Agha	2017	55.3%	80.6%	27.9%
Afghanistan	Muhmand Dara	2000	12.6%	42.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Muhmand Dara	2017	63.2%	83.5%	30.8%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2000	13.0%	34.8%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2000	13.6%	35.9%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2017	70.4%	89.7%	48.1%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2017	68.2%	88.8%	40.8%
Afghanistan	Murghab	2000	9.0%	17.7%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Murghab	2017	57.3%	75.3%	42.0%
Afghanistan	Musa Khel	2000	11.0%	44.2%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Musa Khel	2017	62.2%	91.3%	26.5%
Afghanistan	Musa Qala	2000	13.3%	31.0%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Musa Qala	2017	61.3%	80.0%	37.1%
Afghanistan	Musayi	2000	2.9%	10.5%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Musayi	2017	54.4%	77.6%	30.7%
Afghanistan	Nad Ali	2000	13.6%	26.4%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Nad Ali	2017	59.1%	73.9%	42.1%
Afghanistan	Nadir Shah Kot	2000	11.9%	30.1%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Nadir Shah Kot	2017	68.9%	81.4%	50.8%
Afghanistan	Nahri Sarraj	2000	16.3%	33.2%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Nahri Sarraj	2017	64.7%	83.9%	43.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Nahri Shahi	2000	5.4%	10.5%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Nahri Shahi	2017	77.3%	86.0%	64.7%
Afghanistan	Nahrin	2000	13.4%	31.3%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Nahrin	2017	59.0%	79.6%	36.5%
Afghanistan	Nali	2000	7.5%	20.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Nali	2017	47.9%	70.1%	20.3%
Afghanistan	Narang Wa Badil	2000	3.9%	8.0%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Narang Wa Badil	2017	55.0%	73.4%	38.8%
Afghanistan	Naw Zad	2000	12.6%	22.9%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Naw Zad	2017	60.6%	75.4%	42.0%
Afghanistan	Nawa	2000	15.9%	31.1%	5.1%
Afghanistan	Nawa	2017	61.8%	80.1%	41.8%
Afghanistan	Nawa-i-Barak Zayi	2000	19.6%	39.2%	7.2%
Afghanistan	Nawa-i-Barak Zayi	2017	64.2%	84.0%	39.9%
Afghanistan	Nawur	2000	13.0%	21.6%	6.5%
Afghanistan	Nawur	2017	59.9%	72.7%	47.4%
Afghanistan	Nazyan	2000	15.0%	60.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nazyan	2017	65.5%	99.7%	14.8%
Afghanistan	Nesh	2000	17.6%	43.6%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Nesh	2017	64.5%	84.8%	39.3%
Afghanistan	Nijrab	2000	38.5%	50.2%	28.2%
Afghanistan	Nijrab	2017	65.9%	80.0%	54.5%
Afghanistan	Nika	2000	12.5%	48.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Nika	2017	75.3%	98.9%	36.4%
Afghanistan	Nirkh	2000	15.5%	30.0%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Nirkh	2017	57.2%	74.1%	36.5%
Afghanistan	Nurgal	2000	17.0%	59.8%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Nurgal	2017	71.0%	95.4%	29.0%
Afghanistan	Nuristan	2000	15.3%	32.8%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Nuristan	2017	64.4%	82.2%	43.2%
Afghanistan	Obe	2000	7.0%	14.7%	1.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Obe	2017	60.6%	78.9%	45.2%
Afghanistan	Omna	2000	17.0%	33.3%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Omna	2017	69.9%	90.5%	48.3%
Afghanistan	Pachir Wa	2000	16.8%	60.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Pachir Wa	2017	65.6%	96.1%	23.1%
Afghanistan	Agam	2000	1.5%	7.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Paghman	2017	15.6%	27.1%	7.4%
Afghanistan	Panjab	2000	12.9%	29.5%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Panjab	2017	59.2%	78.4%	38.6%
Afghanistan	Panjsher	2000	19.3%	24.0%	15.0%
Afghanistan	Panjsher	2017	70.9%	77.3%	62.5%
Afghanistan	Panjwayi	2000	12.1%	20.4%	6.6%
Afghanistan	Panjwayi	2017	48.8%	59.7%	37.0%
Afghanistan	Pasaband	2000	12.4%	21.1%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Pasaband	2017	58.4%	71.9%	45.5%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Kot	2000	19.5%	26.8%	15.1%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Kot	2017	67.3%	86.9%	51.1%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Zarghun	2000	10.3%	31.5%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Zarghun	2017	57.9%	84.4%	32.5%
Afghanistan	Puli Alam	2000	4.2%	10.6%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Puli Alam	2017	31.7%	51.3%	14.1%
Afghanistan	Puli Khumri	2000	47.7%	65.1%	24.0%
Afghanistan	Puli Khumri	2017	84.7%	93.4%	67.7%
Afghanistan	Purchaman	2000	11.6%	18.9%	5.7%
Afghanistan	Purchaman	2017	59.5%	70.7%	47.9%
Afghanistan	Pusht Rod	2000	8.3%	26.3%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Pusht Rod	2017	62.7%	83.2%	38.9%
Afghanistan	Qadis	2000	12.4%	24.8%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Qadis	2017	61.2%	77.4%	41.3%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Kah	2000	10.2%	22.1%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Kah	2017	60.1%	73.9%	45.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Naw	2000	13.1%	44.1%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Naw	2017	64.3%	88.4%	32.4%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Zal	2000	14.6%	34.6%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Zal	2017	60.9%	84.7%	37.6%
Afghanistan	Qalandar	2000	11.5%	46.9%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Qalandar	2017	63.4%	97.7%	14.9%
Afghanistan	Qalat	2000	20.0%	36.6%	8.6%
Afghanistan	Qalat	2017	70.6%	85.3%	52.5%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2000	8.5%	20.1%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2000	7.8%	24.6%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2017	75.3%	91.9%	50.8%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2017	57.0%	78.0%	36.3%
Afghanistan	Qaram Qol	2000	28.3%	45.8%	13.1%
Afghanistan	Qaram Qol	2017	76.9%	91.3%	51.0%
Afghanistan	Qarghayi	2000	9.1%	21.1%	4.2%
Afghanistan	Qarghayi	2017	60.8%	76.1%	47.3%
Afghanistan	Qarqin	2000	11.8%	31.0%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Qarqin	2017	53.4%	80.5%	27.2%
Afghanistan	Qaysar	2000	16.5%	43.7%	6.1%
Afghanistan	Qaysar	2017	61.3%	83.2%	37.2%
Afghanistan	Ragh	2000	10.1%	29.1%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Ragh	2017	71.1%	86.4%	50.0%
Afghanistan	Reg	2000	13.5%	30.3%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Reg	2000	12.7%	19.4%	7.7%
Afghanistan	Reg	2017	62.8%	76.7%	46.6%
Afghanistan	Reg	2017	56.1%	65.3%	47.6%
Afghanistan	Rodat	2000	32.7%	55.7%	13.9%
Afghanistan	Rodat	2017	80.7%	95.0%	60.1%
Afghanistan	Royi Du Ab	2000	12.3%	32.0%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Royi Du Ab	2017	59.0%	78.1%	38.4%
Afghanistan	Rustaq	2000	12.9%	32.6%	1.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Rustaq	2017	64.3%	85.0%	33.9%
Afghanistan	Sabari	2000	41.4%	64.1%	16.6%
Afghanistan	Sabari	2017	82.8%	98.1%	64.0%
Afghanistan	Saghar	2000	12.4%	22.5%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Saghar	2017	57.0%	71.7%	39.0%
Afghanistan	Salang	2000	12.5%	41.5%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Salang	2017	60.7%	90.6%	19.6%
Afghanistan	Sangcharak	2000	13.0%	24.9%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Sangcharak	2017	58.9%	69.9%	46.3%
Afghanistan	Sangin	2000	11.1%	42.3%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Sangin	2017	62.5%	91.1%	28.5%
Afghanistan	Sar Hawza	2000	17.5%	45.0%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Sar Hawza	2017	72.2%	94.6%	44.7%
Afghanistan	Sar-i-Pul City	2000	5.0%	10.5%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Sar-i-Pul City	2017	47.7%	63.0%	32.6%
Afghanistan	Sarobi	2000	7.0%	28.8%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Sarobi	2017	68.7%	93.1%	37.4%
Afghanistan	Sayid Abad	2000	21.3%	41.1%	6.2%
Afghanistan	Sayid Abad	2017	70.0%	90.2%	44.1%
Afghanistan	Sayid Karam	2000	12.5%	31.4%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Sayid Karam	2017	70.8%	91.8%	42.9%
Afghanistan	Sayyad	2000	9.4%	30.4%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Sayyad	2017	53.1%	77.5%	25.4%
Afghanistan	Shah Wali Kot	2000	15.8%	36.7%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Shah Wali Kot	2017	63.4%	83.4%	43.1%
Afghanistan	Shahidi Hasas	2000	14.7%	26.4%	6.1%
Afghanistan	Shahidi Hasas	2017	61.3%	79.8%	44.5%
Afghanistan	Shahjoy	2000	26.1%	45.6%	11.8%
Afghanistan	Shahjoy	2017	68.7%	87.2%	45.4%
Afghanistan	Shahrak	2000	12.6%	19.9%	6.3%
Afghanistan	Shahrak	2017	59.2%	69.2%	48.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Shahri Buzurg	2000	9.4%	26.6%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Shahri Buzurg	2017	61.8%	81.9%	38.7%
Afghanistan	Shahristan	2000	10.5%	18.7%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Shahristan	2017	53.0%	67.8%	38.4%
Afghanistan	Shakar Dara	2000	2.6%	7.9%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Shakar Dara	2017	55.8%	62.7%	48.4%
Afghanistan	Shamul	2000	20.3%	77.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shamul	2017	65.3%	99.8%	10.9%
Afghanistan	Shamul zayi	2000	14.7%	28.0%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Shamul zayi	2017	60.6%	76.8%	42.8%
Afghanistan	Sharan	2000	21.1%	47.2%	6.2%
Afghanistan	Sharan	2017	71.3%	91.6%	46.8%
Afghanistan	Shekh Ali	2000	19.6%	51.3%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Shekh Ali	2017	68.0%	92.7%	37.7%
Afghanistan	Sherzad	2000	13.1%	39.7%	3.9%
Afghanistan	Sherzad	2017	54.6%	88.3%	25.9%
Afghanistan	Shib Koh	2000	9.9%	27.1%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Shib Koh	2017	56.7%	77.8%	31.8%
Afghanistan	Shibar	2000	11.1%	32.6%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Shibar	2017	56.7%	84.6%	29.7%
Afghanistan	Shibirghan	2000	58.7%	70.3%	46.0%
Afghanistan	Shibirghan	2017	83.7%	92.9%	73.9%
Afghanistan	Shighnan	2000	14.3%	27.4%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Shighnan	2017	64.5%	77.9%	51.0%
Afghanistan	Shindand	2000	13.1%	25.6%	5.6%
Afghanistan	Shindand	2017	70.2%	79.6%	56.9%
Afghanistan	Shinkay	2000	19.0%	39.5%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Shinkay	2017	65.0%	87.1%	38.1%
Afghanistan	Shinwar	2000	5.4%	38.4%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Shinwar	2017	48.7%	85.8%	20.9%
Afghanistan	Shinwari	2000	38.5%	68.3%	14.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Shinwari	2017	77.8%	95.2%	49.7%
Afghanistan	Shirin Tagab	2000	17.6%	33.4%	6.9%
Afghanistan	Shirin Tagab	2017	67.3%	82.3%	48.7%
Afghanistan	Sholgara	2000	15.7%	33.8%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Sholgara	2017	64.1%	87.7%	39.3%
Afghanistan	Shorabak	2000	16.1%	29.2%	6.2%
Afghanistan	Shorabak	2017	62.4%	75.8%	46.9%
Afghanistan	Shortepa	2000	10.9%	33.6%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Shortepa	2017	61.2%	82.6%	29.7%
Afghanistan	Shwak	2000	1.6%	9.6%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Shwak	2017	45.2%	61.9%	28.6%
Afghanistan	Sirkanay	2000	10.9%	32.4%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Sirkanay	2017	81.2%	96.4%	57.4%
Afghanistan	Sozma Qala	2000	16.5%	34.8%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Sozma Qala	2017	64.2%	82.5%	38.2%
Afghanistan	Spera	2000	18.4%	44.6%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Spera	2017	60.8%	84.4%	32.1%
Afghanistan	Spin Boldak	2000	16.5%	32.2%	7.7%
Afghanistan	Spin Boldak	2017	63.8%	80.5%	45.2%
Afghanistan	Surkh Rod	2000	55.1%	65.8%	52.4%
Afghanistan	Surkh Rod	2017	80.8%	91.7%	70.3%
Afghanistan	Surkhi Parsa	2000	18.4%	41.7%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Surkhi Parsa	2017	69.8%	89.8%	45.2%
Afghanistan	Surobi	2000	11.2%	32.4%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Surobi	2017	67.8%	88.4%	45.2%
Afghanistan	Tagab	2000	18.4%	61.8%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Tagab	2017	69.3%	97.2%	30.5%
Afghanistan	Tala Wa Barfak	2000	11.1%	23.0%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Tala Wa Barfak	2017	53.9%	71.0%	36.2%
Afghanistan	Taluqan	2000	8.7%	31.7%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Taluqan	2017	54.6%	74.8%	30.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Tani	2000	19.6%	60.4%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Tani	2017	74.6%	97.6%	36.0%
Afghanistan	Tarnak Jaldak	2000	22.0%	49.5%	7.3%
Afghanistan	Tarnak Jaldak	2017	65.9%	85.4%	44.8%
Afghanistan	Taywara	2000	9.0%	20.2%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Taywara	2017	54.0%	69.7%	38.5%
Afghanistan	Tere Zayi	2000	18.8%	50.2%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Tere Zayi	2017	77.6%	96.5%	53.4%
Afghanistan	Tirin Kot	2000	10.1%	26.6%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Tirin Kot	2017	62.7%	80.5%	41.0%
Afghanistan	Tulak	2000	12.3%	21.9%	4.6%
Afghanistan	Tulak	2017	55.4%	71.3%	39.9%
Afghanistan	Urgun	2000	8.1%	25.2%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Urgun	2017	67.3%	86.1%	45.7%
Afghanistan	Wakhan	2000	14.6%	27.1%	6.3%
Afghanistan	Wakhan	2017	60.3%	71.2%	47.3%
Afghanistan	Wama	2000	12.1%	26.9%	4.5%
Afghanistan	Wama	2017	55.4%	74.6%	37.7%
Afghanistan	Waras	2000	11.3%	21.4%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Waras	2017	58.1%	72.2%	44.2%
Afghanistan	Warsaj	2000	24.2%	39.9%	11.7%
Afghanistan	Warsaj	2017	70.2%	83.2%	56.6%
Afghanistan	Washer	2000	14.1%	25.3%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Washer	2017	58.5%	73.5%	42.3%
Afghanistan	Waygal	2000	11.0%	31.7%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Waygal	2017	56.7%	83.9%	25.5%
Afghanistan	Wazakhwa	2000	11.9%	25.6%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Wazakhwa	2017	60.9%	78.7%	40.5%
Afghanistan	Wolmamay	2000	12.8%	24.8%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Wolmamay	2017	56.2%	72.2%	37.9%
Afghanistan	Yakawlang	2000	13.0%	37.2%	3.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Yakawlang	2017	55.0%	73.9%	34.6%
Afghanistan	Yangi Qala	2000	6.9%	15.9%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Yangi Qala	2017	64.4%	78.2%	48.6%
Afghanistan	Zana Khan	2000	13.8%	56.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Zana Khan	2017	54.8%	92.9%	11.1%
Afghanistan	Zaranj	2000	13.8%	45.8%	3.8%
Afghanistan	Zaranj	2017	81.5%	95.8%	46.7%
Afghanistan	Zarghun Shahr	2000	16.2%	33.6%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Zarghun Shahr	2017	71.4%	83.7%	57.9%
Afghanistan	Zebak	2000	16.3%	53.0%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Zebak	2017	64.0%	83.7%	34.8%
Afghanistan	Ziluk	2000	18.0%	50.9%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Ziluk	2017	81.3%	95.4%	51.7%
Afghanistan	Zinda Jan	2000	15.3%	35.9%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Zinda Jan	2017	59.4%	82.3%	34.7%
Afghanistan	Zurmat	2000	27.6%	39.0%	18.0%
Afghanistan	Zurmat	2017	68.3%	82.1%	53.6%
Algeria	Abadla	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Abadla	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Abalissa	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Abalissa	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Abi Youcef	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Abi Youcef	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Abou El Has-sen	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Abou El Has-sen	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Achaacha	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Achaacha	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Adekar	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Adekar	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Adrar	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Adrar	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Afir	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Afir	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Aflou	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Aflou	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Aghbal	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Aghbal	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Aghbalou	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Aghbalou	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Aghlal	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Aghlal	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Aghni-Goughrane	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Aghni-Goughrane	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Aghrib	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Aghrib	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Ahl El Ksar	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ahl El Ksar	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ahmed Rachedi	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Algeria	Ahmed Rachedi	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Algeria	Ahmer El Ain	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ahmer El Ain	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ahnif	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ahnif	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Abessa	2000	97.5%	97.9%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Abessa	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Abid	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Abid	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Adden	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Ain Adden	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Ain Arnat	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Arnat	2017	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Azel	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Azel	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Bebouche	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Bebouche	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Beida Harriche	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Ben Beida	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Ben Beida	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Ben Khelil	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.7%
Algeria	Ain Ben Khelil	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Biya	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Ain Biya	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Ain Bouchekif	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Bouchekif	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Boucif	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Boucif	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Boudinar	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Ain Boudinar	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Ain Bouihi	2000	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Bouihi	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Bouziane	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Bouziane	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Charchar	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Charchar	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Chouhada	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Chouhada	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Defla	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Defla	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Deheb	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Deheb	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Djasser	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Djasser	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain El Arbaa	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain El Arbaa	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain El Assel	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain El Assel	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain El Berd	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain El Berd	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain El Berda	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain El Berda	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain El Diss	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain El Diss	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain El Fakroun	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Algeria	Ain El Fakroun	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	Ain El Hadid	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Ain El Hadid	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjel	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjel	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain El Ibel	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain El Ibel	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain El Kebira	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain El Kebira	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain El Kercha	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	Ain El Kercha	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	Ain El Melh	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain El Melh	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain El Orak	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Algeria	Ain El Orak	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Algeria	Ain Errich	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Errich	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain Fekan	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Fekan	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Fekka	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Fekka	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Ferah	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Ferah	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Fettah	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Fettah	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Fezza	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Ain Fezza	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Ain Frass	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Ain Frass	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Ain Ghoraba	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Ghoraba	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Kada	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Ain Kada	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Ain Kebira	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Ain Kebira	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Ain Kechra	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ain Kechra	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Kermes	2000	97.5%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Kermes	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Khadra	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Khadra	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Kihel	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Kihel	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Lahdjar	2000	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Lahdjar	2017	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Laloui	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Laloui	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Larbi	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Larbi	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Lechiakh	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Lechiakh	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain M'Lila	2000	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain M'Lila	2017	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Maabed	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Maabed	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Madhi	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Ain Madhi	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.3%
Algeria	Ain Makhlouf	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Makhlouf	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Mellouk	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Mellouk	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Merrane	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Ain Merrane	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Ain Naga	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Ain Naga	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Ain Nehala	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Nehala	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Nouissy	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Ain Nouissy	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Ouksir	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Ain Ouksir	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Oulmane	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Oulmane	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Oussera	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Oussera	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Rahma	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Ain Rahma	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Ain Rekada	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ain Rekada	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ain Romana	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Romana	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Roua	2000	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Roua	2017	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Safra	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Safra	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Sandel	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain Sandel	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain Sekhouana	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Algeria	Ain Sekhouana	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Algeria	Ain Semara	2000	98.3%	98.6%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Semara	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Ali	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Ali	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Cherif	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Sidi Cherif	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Taghrout	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Taghrout	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Tagourait	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Tagourait	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Tallout	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Tallout	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Tarek	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Tarek	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Tedles	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Tedles	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Temouchent	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Temouchent	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Tesra	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Tesra	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Thrid	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain Thrid	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain Tindamine	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Tindamine	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Tine	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ain Tine	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ain Tolba	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Tolba	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ain Touila	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Touila	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Touta	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain Touta	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Yagout	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Yagout	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Youcef	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Youcef	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Zaatout	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Zaatout	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Zana	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Algeria	Ain Zana	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Algeria	Ain Zarit	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Zarit	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Zerga	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Algeria	Ain Zerga	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Algeria	Ain Zitoun	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Zitoun	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Zouit	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Zouit	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain-Bessem	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain-Bessem	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain-El-Hammam	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain-El-Hammam	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain-Legradj	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain-Legradj	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain-Sebt	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain-Sebt	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain-Zaouia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ain-Zaouia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Aissaouia	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Aissaouia	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait Ag-gouacha	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ait Ag-gouacha	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ait Aissa Mimoun	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ait Aissa Mimoun	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ait Bouadou	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ait Bouadou	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ait Boumehdi	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait Boumehdi	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait Khelili	2000	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ait Khelili	2017	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ait Laaziz	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait Laaziz	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait Naoual Mezada	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ait Naoual Mezada	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ait Oumalou	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ait Oumalou	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ait R'Zine	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait R'Zine	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait Toudert	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ait Toudert	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ait Yahia Moussa	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ait Yahia Moussa	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ait-Chaffaa	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait-Chaffaa	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait-Mahmoud	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait-Mahmoud	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait-Smail	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Ait-Smail	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Ait-Tizi	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ait-Tizi	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ait-Yahia	2000	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ait-Yahia	2017	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Akabli	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Akabli	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Algeria	Akbil	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Akbil	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Akbou	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Akbou	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Akerrou	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Akerrou	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Akfadou	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Akfadou	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Alaimia	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Alaimia	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Amalou	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Amalou	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Amernas	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Amernas	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Amieur	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Amieur	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Amirat Arres	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Amirat Arres	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Amizour	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Amizour	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ammal	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ammal	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ammari	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Ammari	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Ammi Moussa	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Ammi Moussa	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Amoucha	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Amoucha	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Amourah	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Amourah	2017	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Annaba	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Annaba	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Aokas	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Aokas	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Aomar	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Aomar	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Aoubellil	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Aoubellil	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Aouf	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Aouf	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Aougrouit	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Aougrouit	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Aoulef	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Aoulef	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Arbaouat	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Algeria	Arbaouat	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Algeria	Arib	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Arib	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Arris	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Arris	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Arzew	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Arzew	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Asfour	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Asfour	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Assela	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Algeria	Assela	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Algeria	Assi Youcef	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Assi Youcef	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ath Mansour	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ath Mansour Taourirt	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Azails	2000	98.6%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Azails	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Azazga	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Azazga	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Azil Ab- delkader (Metkouak)	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Azil Abdelkader (Metkouak)	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Azzaba	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Azzaba	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Azzefoun	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Azzefoun	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Azziz	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Azziz	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Azzizia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Azzizia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Baata	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Baata	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Bab El Assa	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Bab El Assa	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Babar	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Babar	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Babor	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Babor	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Badredine El Mokrani	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Badredine El Mokrani	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Baghai	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Baghai	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Baghlia	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Baghlia	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Baladiet Amor	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Baladiet Amor	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Baraki	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Baraki	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Barbouche	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Barbouche	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Barika	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Barika	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Bathia	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Bathia	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Batna	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Batna	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Bayadha	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Bayadha	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Bazer-Sakra	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.7%
Algeria	Bazer-Sakra	2017	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	Bechar	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Bechar	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Bechloul	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Bechloul	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Bedjene	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Bedjene	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Beidha Bordj	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Beidha Bordj	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Bejaia	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Bejaia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Bekkaria	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Bekkaria	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Bekkouche Lakhdar	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bekkouche Lakhdar	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Belaas	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Belaas	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Belaassel	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
	Bouzagza				
Algeria	Belaassel	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
	Bouzagza				
Algeria	Belaiba	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Belaiba	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Belala	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Belala	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Belarbi	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Belarbi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Belimour	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Belimour	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Belkheir	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Belkheir	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Bellaa	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Algeria	Bellaa	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Algeria	Ben Allal	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ben Allal	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Ben Azzouz	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ben Azzouz	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Ben Chicao	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ben Chicao	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ben Choud	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Ben Choud	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Ben Daoud	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Ben Daoud	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Ben Djerrah	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ben Djerrah	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ben Freha	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Ben Freha	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Ben Guecha	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Ben Guecha	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Ben M'Hidi	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ben M'Hidi	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ben Srour	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.9%
Algeria	Ben Srour	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Algeria	Benabdelmalek	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
	Ramdane				
Algeria	Benabdelmalek	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
	Ramdane				
Algeria	Benaceur	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Benaceur	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Benaicha Che- lia	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Benaicha Che- lia	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Benairia	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Benairia	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Bendaoud	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Bendaoud	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Benhar	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Benhar	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Beni Abbes	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Beni Abbes	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Beni Aissi	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Aissi	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Amrane	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Beni Amrane	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Beni Bahdel	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Beni Bahdel	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Beni Bechir	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Beni Bechir	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Bouat-tab	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Beni Bouat-tab	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Beni Boussaid	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni Boussaid	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.4%
Algeria	Beni Chaib	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Beni Chaib	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Beni Chebana	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Beni Chebana	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Beni Dejlil	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Beni Dejlil	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Beni Dergoun	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni Dergoun	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni Fouda	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Beni Fouda	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Beni Foudala	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	El Hakania				
Algeria	Beni Foudala	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	El Hakania				
Algeria	Beni Hamidane	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Beni Hamidane	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni Haoua	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Haoua	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Ikhlef	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Beni Ikhlef	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Beni Ilmane	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni Ilmane	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni K'Sila	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Beni K'Sila	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Beni Khellad	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Beni Khellad	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Beni Lahcene	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Beni Lahcene	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Beni Merad	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Beni Merad	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Beni Mester	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Beni Mester	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Beni Mezline	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Beni Mezline	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Beni Mileuk	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Mileuk	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Ouarsous	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Beni Ouarsous	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Beni Oulbane	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Oulbane	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Beni Ounif	2000	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Algeria	Beni Ounif	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Algeria	Beni Oussine	2000	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Beni Oussine	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Beni Rached	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Rached	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Saf	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Beni Saf	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Beni Slimane	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Beni Slimane	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Beni Smiel	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Beni Smiel	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Beni Snous	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Algeria	Beni Snous	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Algeria	Beni Yenni	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Yenni	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Zentis	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni Zentis	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni Zid	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Beni Zid	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Beni Zmenzer	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Zmenzer	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni-Aziz	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Beni-Aziz	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Beni-Douala	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Beni-Douala	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Beni-Mellikeche	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Beni-Mellikeche	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Beni-Mouhli	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Beni-Mouhli	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Beni-Quartilane	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Beni-Quartilane	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Beni-Tamou	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Beni-Tamou	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Beni-Zikki	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Beni-Zikki	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Benian	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Benian	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Benimaouche	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Benimaouche	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Benkhelil	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Benkhelil	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Bensekrane	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Bensekrane	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Benyacoub	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Benyacoub	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Benyahia Abderrahmane	2000	98.1%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Benyahia Abderrahmane	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Benzouh	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Benzouh	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Berbacha	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Berbacha	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Berhoum	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Berhoum	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Berrahal	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Berrahal	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Berriane	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Berriane	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Berriche	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Berriche	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Berrihane	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Berrihane	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Berrouaghia	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Berrouaghia	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Besbes	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Besbes	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Besbes	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Besbes	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Bethioua	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Bethioua	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Bhir El Chergui	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Bhir El Chergui	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Bin El Ouiden	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Bin El Ouiden	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bir Ben Laabed	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Bir Ben Laabed	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Bir Bouhouche	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Bir Bouhouche	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Bir Chouhada	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Bir Chouhada	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Bir Dheb	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Bir Dheb	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Bir El Ater	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Algeria	Bir El Ater	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Bir El Djir	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Bir El Djir	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Bir El Hammam	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Algeria	Bir El Hammam	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Bir Foda	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Bir Foda	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Bir Ghalou	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Bir Ghalou	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Bir Haddada	2000	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Bir Haddada	2017	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Bir Kasdali	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Bir Kasdali	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Bir Mokkadem	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Bir Mokkadem	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	Bir Ould Khelelifa	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Bir Ould Khelelifa	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Bir-El-Arch	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Bir-El-Arch	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Birine	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Birine	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Birtouta	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Birtouta	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Biskra	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Biskra	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Bitam	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Bitam	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Blida	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Blida	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Boghni	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Boghni	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bordj Badji Mokhtar	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Bordj Badji Mokhtar	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Bordj Ben Az-zouz	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Bordj Ben Az-zouz	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Bordj Bou Ar-reridj	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Bordj Bou Ar-reridj	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Bordj Bounaama	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Bordj Bounaama	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Bordj El Emir Abdelkader	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Bordj El Emir Abdelkader	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Bordj El Haouasse	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Algeria	Bordj El Haouasse	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Algeria	Bordj El Kif-fan	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bordj El Kif-fan	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Bordj Emir Khaled	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Bordj Emir Khaled	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Bordj Ghdir	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Bordj Ghdir	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Bordj Menaiel	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bordj Menaiel	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bordj Okhriss	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Bordj Okhriss	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Bordj Omar Driss	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Bordj Omar Driss	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Bordj Sebbat	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bordj Sebbat	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bordj Tahar	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bordj Tahar	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bordj Zemmoura	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Bordj Zemmoura	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Bou Caid	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Bou Caid	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Bou Hachana	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Bou Hachana	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Bou Hamdane	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bou Hamdane	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bou Henni	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Bou Henni	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Bou Ismail	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bou Ismail	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bou Saada	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bou Saada	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Bou Zedjar	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Bou Zedjar	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Bouaarfa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bouaarfa	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouaiche	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Bouaiche	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Bouaichoune	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouaichoune	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Boualem	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Algeria	Boualem	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Algeria	Bouandas	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Bouandas	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Bouati Mahmoud	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouati Mahmoud	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouchakroune	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Bouchakroune	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Bouchekouf	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouchekouf	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Boucherahil	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Boucherahil	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouchetata	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouchetata	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouda	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Bouda	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Bouderbala	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouderbala	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Boudjebaa El Bordj	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Boudjebaa El Bordj	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Boudjellil	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Boudjellil	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Boudjeriou Messaoud	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Boudjeriou Messaoud	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Boudjima	2000	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Boudjima	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Boudouaou	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Boudouaou	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Boudouaou El Bahri	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Boudouaou El Bahri	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Boudria Beniadjis	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Boudria Beniadjis	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Boufarik	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Boufarik	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Boufatis	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Boufatis	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Bougaa	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Bougaa	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Bougara	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bougara	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Bougara	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Bougara	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.5%
Algeria	Boughar	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Boughar	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Boughezoul	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Boughezoul	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Bougous	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Bougous	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Bougtoub	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Bougtoub	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Bouguirat	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Bouguirat	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Bouhadjar	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Bouhadjar	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Bouhamza	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouhamza	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouhanifia	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Bouhanifia	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Bouhatem	2000	98.3%	98.6%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouhatem	2017	98.4%	98.6%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouhlou	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Bouhlou	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Bouhmama	2000	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Bouhmama	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Bouihi	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.3%
Algeria	Bouihi	2017	98.2%	98.9%	97.4%
Algeria	Bouinan	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouinan	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouira	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouira	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouira	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Lahdab				
Algeria	Bouira	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Lahdab				
Algeria	Boukadir	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Boukadir	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Boukais	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
Algeria	Boukais	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
Algeria	Boukhadra	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Boukhadra	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Boukhenifis	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Boukhenifis	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Boukhelifa	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Boukhelifa	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Boukram	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Boukram	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Boulhaf Dyr	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Boulhaf Dyr	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Boulhilat	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Boulhilat	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Boumahra	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ahmed				
Algeria	Boumahra	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ahmed				
Algeria	Boumedfaa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Boumedfaa	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Boumegueur	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Boumegueur	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Boumerdes	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Boumerdes	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Boumia	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Boumia	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Bounouh	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bounouh	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bounoura	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bounoura	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Bourached	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bourached	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouraoui Bel-hadef	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Bouraoui Bel-hadef	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Bourkika	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Bourkika	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Bousfer	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Bousfer	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Bouskene	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouskene	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Bousselam	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Bousselam	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Boussemgoun	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%
Algeria	Boussemgoun	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.5%
Algeria	Boussif Ouled Askeur	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Boussif Ouled Askeur	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Boutaleb	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Boutaleb	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouteldja	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Bouteldja	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Bouti Sayeh	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Bouti Sayeh	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Boutlelis	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Boutlelis	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Bouzareah	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Bouzareah	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Bouzeghaia	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouzeghaia	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouzeguene	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouzeguene	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouzegza Ked-dara	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouzegza Ked-dara	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouzina	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Bouzina	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Branis	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Branis	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Breira	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Breira	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Brezina	2000	98.4%	99.1%	97.7%
Algeria	Brezina	2017	98.6%	99.2%	97.9%
Algeria	Brida	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Brida	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Chaabet El Ham	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Chaabet El Ham	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Chabet El Aneur	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Chabet El Aneur	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Chahbounia	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Chahbounia	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Chahna	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Chahna	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Chaiba	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Chaiba	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Charef	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Charef	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Charouine	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Charouine	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Chebaita	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Mokhtar Chebaita	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Chebli	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Chebli	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Chechar	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Algeria	Chechar	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Algeria	Chefia	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Chefia	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Cheguig	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Cheguig	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Cehaima	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Cehaima	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Chekfa	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Chekfa	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Chelghoum	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Laid Chelghoum	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Chelia	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Chelia	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Chellal	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Algeria	Chellal	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Algeria	Chellala	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
Algeria	Chellala	2017	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
Algeria	Chellalet Lad- haoura	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Chellalet Lad- haoura	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Chellata	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Chellata	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Chemini	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Chemini	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Chemora	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Chemora	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Cheniguel	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Cheniguel	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Chentouf	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Chentouf	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Cheraga	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Cheraga	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Cheraga	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Cheraga	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Cheraia	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Cheraia	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Cherchel	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Cherchel	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Chetaibi	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Chetaibi	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Chetma	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Chetma	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Chetouane	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Chetouane	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Chetouane Be- laila	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Chetouane Be- laila	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Chettia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Chettia	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Chiffa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Chiffa	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Chihani	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Chihani	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Chir	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Chir	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Algeria	Chlef	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Chlef	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Chouaiba Ouled Rahma	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Algeria	Chouaiba Ouled Rahma	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Algeria	Chrea	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Chrea	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Chrea	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Chrea	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Colla	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Colla	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Collo	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Collo	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Constantine	2000	98.4%	98.6%	98.0%
Algeria	Constantine	2017	98.4%	98.6%	98.0%
Algeria	Corso	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Corso	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Dahmouni	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Algeria	Dahmouni	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Algeria	Dahouara	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Dahouara	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Dahra	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Dahra	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Damous	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Algeria	Damous	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Algeria	Daoussen	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Daoussen	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Dar Ben Ab- delah	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Dar Ben Ab- delah	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Dar Chioukh	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Dar Chioukh	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Dar El Beida	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Dar El Beida	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Dar Yagh- mouracene	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Dar Yagh- mouracene	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Darguina	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Darguina	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Debdeb	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Debdeb	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Debila	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Debila	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Dechmia	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Dechmia	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Deahhna	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Deahhna	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Dehamcha	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Dehamcha	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Deldoul	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Deldoul	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Deldoul	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Deldoul	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Dellys	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Dellys	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Derradji Bous-selah	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
Algeria	Derradji Bous-selah	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
Algeria	Derrag	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Derrag	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Deux Bassins	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Deux Bassins	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Dhaya	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Algeria	Dhaya	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Algeria	Dhayet Bend-hahoua	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Algeria	Dhayet Bend-hahoua	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Algeria	Didouche Mourad	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Didouche Mourad	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Dirrah	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Dirrah	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Djaafra	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Djaafra	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Djamaa	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Djamaa	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Djamora	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Djamora	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Djanet	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Algeria	Djanet	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Algeria	Djasr	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Djasr Kasentina	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Djababra	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Djababra	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Djebahia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Djebahia	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Djebala	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Djebala	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Djebala El Khemissi	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Djebala El Khemissi	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Djebel Messaad	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Djebel Messaad	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.6%
Algeria	Djebilet Rosfa	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Djebilet Rosfa	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Djelfa	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Djelfa	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Djelida	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Djelida	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Djellal	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Djellal	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Djemaa Beni Habibi	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Djemaa Beni Habibi	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Djemaa Ouled Cheikh	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Djemaa Ouled Cheikh	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Djemila	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Djemila	2000	98.3%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Djemila	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Djemila	2017	98.3%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Djendel	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Djendel	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Djendel Saadi Mohamed	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Djendel Saadi Mohamed	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Djeniane Bourzeg	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.0%
Algeria	Djeniane Bourzeg	2017	98.1%	98.9%	97.1%
Algeria	Djerma	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Djerma	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Djezzar	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Djezzar	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Djidiouia	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Djidiouia	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Djillali Ben Ammar	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Djillali Ben Ammar	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Djinet	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Djinet	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Djouab	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Djouab	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Douaouda	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Douaouda	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Douar El Ma	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Douar El Ma	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Douera	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Douera	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Doui Thabet	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Doui Thabet	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Douis	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Douis	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Draa El Caid	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Draa El Caid	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Draa El Mizan	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Draa El Mizan	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Draa Smar	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Draa Smar	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Draa-Ben-Khedda	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Draa-Ben-Khedda	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Draa-Kebila	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Draa-Kebila	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Draria	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Draria	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Drea	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Drea	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Drean	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Drean	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Echatt	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Echatt	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Abadia	2000	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Abadia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Ach	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	El Ach	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	El Achir	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	El Achir	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	El Achour	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	El Achour	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	El Adjiba	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	El Adjiba	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Aioun	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Algeria	El Aioun	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.5%
Algeria	El Allia	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El Allia	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El Amiria	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	El Amiria	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	El Amra	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Amra	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Amria	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	El Amria	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	El Ançar	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	El Ançar	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	El Ancer	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Ancer	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Anseur	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	El Anseur	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Algeria	El Aouana	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Aouana	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	El Aricha	2000	97.9%	98.7%	97.0%
Algeria	El Aricha	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.0%
Algeria	El Asnam	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Asnam	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Assafia	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	El Assafia	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	El Attaf	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Attaf	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Atteuf	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Atteuf	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Bayadh	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	El Bayadh	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	El Beidha	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	El Beidha	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	El Biod	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	El Biod	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	El Biodh Sidi Cheikh	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.7%
Algeria	El Biodh Sidi Cheikh	2017	97.9%	98.6%	96.9%
Algeria	El Bnou	2000	98.8%	99.3%	98.2%
Algeria	El Bnou	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.3%
Algeria	El Bordj	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El Bordj	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El Borma	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Borma	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Bouni	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Bouni	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Braya	2000	99.2%	99.3%	99.0%
Algeria	El Braya	2017	99.2%	99.3%	99.0%
Algeria	El Dhaala	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	El Dhaala	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	El Djazia	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	El Djazia	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	El Eulma	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.7%
Algeria	El Eulma	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Eulma	2017	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	El Eulma	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Bouhrara Saoudi				
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Bouhrara Saoudi				
Algeria	El Fehoul	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	El Fehoul	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	El Feidh	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Algeria	El Feidh	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Algeria	El Gaada	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	El Gaada	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	El Ghedir	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Ghedir	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	El Ghicha	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Ghicha	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Ghomri	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	El Ghomri	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	El Ghrous	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	El Ghrous	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	El Gor	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Algeria	El Gor	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Algeria	El Guedid	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	El Guedid	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	El Guelb El Kebir	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Guelb El Kebir	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Guerrarra	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El Guerrarra	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El Guettana	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	El Guettana	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	El Guettar	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	El Guettar	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El H'Madna	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	El H'Madna	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	El Hacaiba	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	El Hacaiba	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Algeria	El Hachem	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	El Hachem	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El Hachimia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	El Hachimia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	El Hadaiek	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	El Hadaiek	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Hadjab	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	El Hadjab	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Hadjadj	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	El Hadjadj	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	El Hadjar	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Hadjar	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Hadjira	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El Hadjira	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El Hakimia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Hakimia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Hamadia	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	El Hamadia	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	El Hamdania	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Hamdania	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Hamma	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	El Hamma	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	El Haouaita	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Algeria	El Haouaita	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Algeria	El Haouch	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	El Haouch	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	El Harmilia	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	El Harmilia	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	El Harrouch	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	El Harrouch	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	El Hassania	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	El Hassania	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	El Hassasna	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	El Hassasna	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	El Hassi	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Hassi	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	El Hassi	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Hassi	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	El Houamed	2000	99.3%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	El Houamed	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	El Houidjbet	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.0%
Algeria	El Houidjbet	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.0%
Algeria	El Idrissia	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	El Idrissia	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	El Kaf Lakhdar	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	El Kaf Lakhdar	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	El Kala	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	El Kala	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	El Karimia	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	El Karimia	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	El Kennar Nouchfi	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El Kennar Nouchfi	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El Kentara	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	El Kentara	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	El Kerma	2000	99.2%	99.3%	99.0%
Algeria	El Kerma	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	El Keurt	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	El Keurt	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	El Khabouzia	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	El Khabouzia	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	El Kharrouba	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Kharrouba	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Kheither	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	El Kheither	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	El Khemis	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	El Khemis	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	El Khroub	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	El Khroub	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
Algeria	El Kouif	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Algeria	El Kouif	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Kseur	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Kseur	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El M'Ghair	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El M'Ghair	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El M'Hir	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El M'Hir	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Madher	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	El Madher	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	El Mahmal	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	El Mahmal	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	El Main	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	El Main	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	El Maine	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	El Maine	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	El Malabiodh	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	El Malabiodh	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	El Malah	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	El Malah	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	El Mamounia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	El Mamounia	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	El Marsa	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Algeria	El Marsa	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	El Marsa	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	El Marsa	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	El Matmar	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	El Matmar	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	El Matmor	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	El Matmor	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	El Mechira	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	El Mechira	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	El Mehara	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	El Mehara	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	El Menaouer	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El Menaouer	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El Meniaa	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	El Meniaa	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	El Meridj	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Algeria	El Meridj	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Algeria	El Messaid	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	El Messaid	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	El Mezeraa	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	El Mezeraa	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Algeria	El Milia	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Milia	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Mokrani El Madjen	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Mokrani El Madjen	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Ogla	2000	97.7%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	El Ogla	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	El Ogla	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.8%
Algeria	El Ogla	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	El Ouata	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Ouata	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Oued	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Oued	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.5%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Ouinet	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	El Ouitaya	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	El Ouitaya	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	El Oumaria	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	El Oumaria	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	El Ouricia	2000	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Algeria	El Ouricia	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Algeria	El Rahia	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	El Rahia	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	El Tarf	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	El Tarf	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	El Youssoufia	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	El Youssoufia	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	El-Affroun	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	El-Affroun	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Elayadi	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Barbes				
Algeria	Elayadi	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Barbes				
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Emjez Edchich	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Emjez Edchich	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ensigna	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Ensigna	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Erg Ferradj	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Erg Ferradj	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Erraguene	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Erraguene	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Es Sebt	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Es Sebt	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Es Senia	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Es Senia	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Faidh El Botma	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.5%
Algeria	Faidh El Botma	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.5%
Algeria	Faidja	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Faidja	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Fellaoucene	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Fellaoucene	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Fenoughil	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Fenoughil	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Feraoun	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Feraoun	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Ferdjioua	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Ferdjioua	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Ferkane	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Ferkane	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Algeria	Ferraguig	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Ferraguig	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Fesdis	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Fesdis	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Filfila	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Filfila	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Fkirina	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Fkirina	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	Foggaret Az-zouia	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Foggaret Az-zouia	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Fornaka	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Fornaka	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Foughala	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Foughala	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Fouka	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Fouka	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Foum Toub	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Foum Toub	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Freha	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Freha	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Frenda	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Frenda	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Frikat	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Frikat	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Froha	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Froha	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Gdyel	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Gdyel	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ghardaia	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Ghardaia	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Gharrous	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Gharrous	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Ghassoul	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.7%
Algeria	Ghassoul	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.7%
Algeria	Ghazaouet	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Ghazaouet	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Ghebala	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ghebala	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Gherouaou	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Gherouaou	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ghessira	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Ghessira	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Ghilassa	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ghilassa	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ghriss	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Ghriss	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Gosbat	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Gosbat	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Gouraya	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Gouraya	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Grarem	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Algeria	Gouga				
Algeria	Grarem	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Gouga				
Algeria	Guellal	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.7%
Algeria	Guellal	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.8%
Algeria	Guelma	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Guelma	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Guelta Zerka	2000	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Guelta Zerka	2017	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Gueltat Sidi Saad	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Gueltat Sidi Saad	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Guemar	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Guemar	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Guenzet Tassameurt	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Guenzet Tassameurt	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Guerdjoum	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Guerdjoum	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Guernini	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Guernini	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Guerrouma	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Guerrouma	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Guertoufa	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.5%
Algeria	Guertoufa	2017	98.0%	98.3%	97.6%
Algeria	Guettara	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Guettara	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Guidjel	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	Guidjel	2017	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	Guiga	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Guiga	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Guorriguer	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Guorriguer	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Hacine	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Algeria	Hacine	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Had Echkalla	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Had Echkalla	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Had Sahary	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Had Sahary	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Haddada	2000	97.9%	98.6%	96.9%
Algeria	Haddada	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Algeria	Hadj Mechri	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Hadj Mechri	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Hadjadj	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Hadjadj	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Hadjera Zerga	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Algeria	Hadjera Zerga	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Hadjout	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Hadjout	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Hadjret En-nous	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Hadjret En-nous	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Haizer	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Haizer	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Hamadi Krouma	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Hamadi Krouma	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Hamadia	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Hamadia	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Algeria	Hamala	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Hamala	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Hamma	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Hamma	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Hamma Bouziane	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Hamma Bouziane	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Hammadi	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Hammadi	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Hammam Ben Salah	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Hammam Ben Salah	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Hammam Bouhrara	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Hammam Bouhrara	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Hammam Bouhadjar	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Hammam Bouhadjar	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Hammam Dalaa	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Hammam Dalaa	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Hammam De-bagh	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Hammam De-bagh	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Hammam Guergour	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Hammam Guergour	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Hammam Melouane	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Hammam Melouane	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Hammam N'Bail	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Hammam N'Bail	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Hammam Righa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Hammam Righa	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Hammam Soukhna	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Hammam Soukhna	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Hammamet	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Hammamet	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Hamraia	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Hamraia	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Hamri	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Hamri	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Hamri	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Hamri	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Hanchir Toumghani	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.6%
Algeria	Hanchir Toumghani	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.6%
Algeria	Hanench	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Hanench	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Hannacha	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Hannacha	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Haraoua	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Haraoua	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Haraza	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Haraza	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Harbil	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Harbil	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Harchoune	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Harchoune	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Hasnaoua	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Hasnaoua	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Hassaine Beni Yahi	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassaine Beni Yahi	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassani Abdelkrim	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassani Abdelkrim	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassasna	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Hassasna	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Hassi Bahbah	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassi Bahbah	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Abdellah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Abdellah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Okba	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Okba	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassi Bounif	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Hassi Bounif	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Hassi Dahou	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Hassi Dahou	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Hassi Delaa	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Algeria	Hassi Delaa	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Algeria	Hassi El Euch	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Hassi El Euch	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Hassi El Ghella	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Hassi El Ghella	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Hassi Fedoul	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Hassi Fedoul	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Hassi Fehal	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Hassi Fehal	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Hassi Gara	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Hassi Gara	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Hassi Khalifa	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassi Khalifa	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassi Mameche	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Hassi Mameche	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Hassi Mef-soukh	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassi Mef-soukh	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassi Messaoud	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassi Messaoud	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassi R'Mel	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Algeria	Hassi R'Mel	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Algeria	Hassi Zehana	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Hassi Zehana	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Hattatba	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Hattatba	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Helliopolis	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Helliopolis	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Hennaya	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Hennaya	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Herenfa	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Herenfa	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Hidoussa	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Hidoussa	2017	97.6%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Hoceinia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Hoceinia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Honaine	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Honaine	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Houari	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Boumedi- ene Houari	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Boumedi- ene				
Algeria	Hounet	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Hounet	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Ibn Ziad	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ibn Ziad	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Iboudraren	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Iboudraren	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ichmoul	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Ichmoul	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Idjeur	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Idjeur	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Idles	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Idles	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Ifelain Ilma- then	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ifelain Ilma- then	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Iferhounene	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Iferhounene	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ifigha	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ifigha	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Iflissen	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Iflissen	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Ighil-Ali	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ighil-Ali	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ighrem	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ighrem	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Igli	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Igli	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Illilten	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Illilten	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Illizi	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Algeria	Illizi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Illoula	2000	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Oumalou Illoula	2017	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Oumalou				
Algeria	Imsouhal	2000	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Imsouhal	2017	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	In Amenas	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	In Amenas	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Algeria	In Ghar	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	In Ghar	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	In Guezzam	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	In Guezzam	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	In M'Guel	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	In M'Guel	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	In Salah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	In Salah	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	In Zghmir	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	In Zghmir	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Inoughissen	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Inoughissen	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Irdjen	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Irdjen	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Isser	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Isser	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Jijel	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Jijel	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Kadiria	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Kadiria	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Kais	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Kais	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Kalaa	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Kalaa	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Kalaat Bous- baa	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Kalaat Bous- baa	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Kanoua	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Kanoua	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Kasdir	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.0%
Algeria	Kasdir	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
Algeria	Kef El Ahmar	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
Algeria	Kef El Ahmar	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
Algeria	Kenadsa	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Kenadsa	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Kendira	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Kendira	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Kerkera	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Kerkera	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Kerzaz	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Kerzaz	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Khadra	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Khadra	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Khalouia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Khalouia	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Khams Djoua- maa	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Khams Djoua- maa	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Khatouti Sed Eldjir	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Khatouti Sed Eldjir	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Khedara	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Algeria	Khedara	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Algeria	Kheir Oued Adjoul	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Kheir Oued Adjoul	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Kheiredine	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Kheiredine	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Khelil	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Khelil	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Khemis El Khechna	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Khemis El Khechna	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Khemis Miliana	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Khemis Miliana	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Khemissa	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Algeria	Khemissa	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Khemisti	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Khemisti	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Khenchela	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Khenchela	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Kheneg	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Kheneg	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Kheng Maoun	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Kheng Maoun	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Khenguet Sidi Nadji	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.0%
Algeria	Khenguet Sidi Nadji	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Kherrata	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Kherrata	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Khezzara	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Khezzara	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Khirane	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Khirane	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Khoubana	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Khoubana	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Algeria	Khraicia	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Khraicia	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Kimmel	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Kimmel	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Kolea	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Kolea	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Kouas	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Kouas	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Kouba	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Kouba	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Kouinine	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Kouinine	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Krakda	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Algeria	Krakda	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.6%
Algeria	Ksabi	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ksabi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ksar Bellezma	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Ksar Bellezma	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Ksar Chellala	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Ksar Chellala	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Ksar El Abtal	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Ksar El Abtal	2017	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Ksar El Boukhari	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Ksar El Boukhari	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Ksar El Sbihi	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
Algeria	Ksar El Sbihi	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Ksar Hirane	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Ksar Hirane	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Ksar Kaddour	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ksar Kaddour	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Ksour	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ksour	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Labioud Med-jadja	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Labioud Med-jadja	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Lac Des Oiseaux	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Lac Des Oiseaux	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Laghouat	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Laghouat	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Lahlef	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Lahlef	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Lahmar	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Algeria	Lahmar	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Algeria	Lakhdaria	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Lakhdaria	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Larbaa-Nath-Irathen	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Larbaa-Nath-Irathen	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Larbatache	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Larbatache	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Lardjem	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Lardjem	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Larhat	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Larhat	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Layoune	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Layoune	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Lazharia	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Lazharia	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Lazrou	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Lazrou	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Leghata	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Leghata	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Lemsane	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Lemsane	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Lemtar	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Lemtar	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Les Eucalyptus	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Les Eucalyptus	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Lichana	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Lichana	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Lioua	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Lioua	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	M_Ziraa	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	M_Ziraa	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	M'Chedallah	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	M'Chedallah	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	M'Cid	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	M'Cid	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	M'Cif	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	M'Cif	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	M'Daourouche	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	M'Daourouche	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	M'Doukal	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	M'Doukal	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	M'Kira	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	M'Kira	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	M'Lili	2000	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	M'Lili	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	M'Liliha	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	M'Liliha	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	M'Naguer	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	M'Naguer	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	M'Rara	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	M'Rara	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	M'Sara	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	M'Sara	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	M'Sila	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Algeria	M'Sila	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Algeria	M'Tarfa	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Algeria	M'Tarfa	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Algeria	M'Toussa	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	M'Toussa	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Maacem	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Maacem	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Maadid	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Maadid	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Maafa	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Maafa	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Maala	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Maala	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Maamora	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Maamora	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Maamora	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Maamora	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Maaouia	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Maaouia	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Maarif	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Maarif	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Maatkas	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Maatkas	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Machroha	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Machroha	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Madna	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Madna	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Maghnia	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Maghnia	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Magra	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Magra	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Magrane	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Magrane	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Magtaa Douz	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Magtaa Douz	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Mahdia	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Mahdia	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Mahelma	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Mahelma	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Makhda	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Makhda	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Makman Ben Amer	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Algeria	Makman Ben Amer	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Algeria	Makouda	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Makouda	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Mansoura	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Mansoura	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Mansoura	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Mansoura	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Mansourah	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Mansourah	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Mansourah	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Mansourah	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Maouaklane	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Maouaklane	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Maoussa	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Maoussa	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Marsa Ben M'Hidi	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Algeria	Marsa Ben M'Hidi	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Algeria	Marsat El Hadjadj	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Marsat El Hadjadj	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Mascara	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Mascara	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Mazouna	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Mazouna	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Mecheria	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Mecheria	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Mechouneche	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Mechouneche	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Mechraa Houari Boumediene	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Mechraa Houari Boumediene	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Mechraa Safa	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Mechraa Safa	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Mechtrass	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Mechtrass	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Medea	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Medea	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Mediouna	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Mediouna	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Medjana	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Medjana	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Medjaz Ammar	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Medjaz Ammar	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Medjaz Sfa	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Medjaz Sfa	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Medjebar	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Medjebar	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Medjedel	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Medjedel	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Medrissa	2000	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Medrissa	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Medroussa	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Medroussa	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Meftah	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Meftah	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Meftaha	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Meftaha	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Megarine	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Megarine	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Megheraoua	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Megheraoua	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Meghila	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Meghila	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Mekhadma	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Mekhadma	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Mekhareg	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Mekhareg	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Mekhatria	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Mekhatria	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Mekkedra	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Mekkedra	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Mekla	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Mekla	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Melaab	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Melaab	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Melbou	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Melbou	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Mellakou	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Mellakou	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Menaa	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Menaa	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Menaa	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Menaa	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Menaceur	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Menaceur	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Mendes	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Mendes	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Merad	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Merad	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Merahna	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	Merahna	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	Merdja Sidi Abed	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Merdja Sidi Abed	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Merhoum	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Merhoum	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Meridja	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Algeria	Meridja	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.9%
Algeria	Merine	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Merine	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Merouana	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Merouana	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Mers El Kebir	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Mers El Kebir	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Meskiana	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Meskiana	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Mesra	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Mesra	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Messaad	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Messaad	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Messelmoun	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Messelmoun	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Metarfa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Metarfa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Metlili	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Metlili	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Mezaourou	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Mezaourou	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Mezdour	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Mezdour	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Mezghrane	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Mezghrane	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Mezloug	2000	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Algeria	Mezloug	2017	97.4%	97.8%	97.0%
Algeria	Mezrenna	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Mezrenna	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Mih Ouansa	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Mih Ouansa	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Mihoub	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Mihoub	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Mila	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Algeria	Mila	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Miliana	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Miliana	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Minar Zarza	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Minar Zarza	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Misserghin	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Misserghin	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Mizrana	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Mizrana	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Mogheul	2000	98.7%	99.4%	97.8%
Algeria	Mogheul	2017	98.7%	99.4%	97.8%
Algeria	Moghrar	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Moghrar	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Mohamed Boudiaf	2000	99.0%	99.5%	98.6%
Algeria	Mohamed Boudiaf	2017	99.0%	99.5%	98.6%
Algeria	Mohammadia	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Mohammadia	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Morsot	2000	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Morsot	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Mostaganem	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Mostaganem	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Moudjebara	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Moudjebara	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Moulay Larbi	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Moulay Larbi	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Moulay Slissen	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Moulay Slissen	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Moussadek	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Moussadek	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Mouzaia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Mouzaia	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Msirda	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.7%
Algeria	Fouaga Msirda	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Algeria	Mustafa Ben Brahim	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Mustafa Ben Brahim	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	N'Gaous	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	N'Gaous	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	N'Goussa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	N'Goussa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Naama	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Algeria	Naama	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Algeria	Naciria	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Naciria	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Nador	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Nador	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Nadorah	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Nadorah	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Naima	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Naima	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Nakhla	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Nakhla	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Nechemaya	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Nechemaya	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Nedroma	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Nedroma	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Negrine	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Negrine	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Nekmaria	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Nekmaria	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Nesmoth	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Nesmoth	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Nezla	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Nezla	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Oggaz	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Oggaz	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Ogla Melha	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Ogla Melha	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Oran	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Oran	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Ouacif	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouacif	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouadhia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouadhia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouaguenoun	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouaguenoun	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouamri	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouamri	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouanougha	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouanougha	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouargla	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Ouargla	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Ouarizane	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Ouarizane	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Oudjana	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Oudjana	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Oued Athmenia	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Athmenia	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Berkeche	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Oued Berkeche	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Oued Chaaba	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Oued Chaaba	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Cheham	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Oued Cheham	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Oued Chorfa	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued Chorfa	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued Chouly	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued Chouly	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Oued Djemaa	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Oued Djemaa	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Oued Djer	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Djer	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued El Abtal	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued El Abtal	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued El Al-enda	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Oued El Al-enda	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Oued El Alleug	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued El Alleug	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued El Aneb	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued El Aneb	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued El Barad	2000	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued El Barad	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Oued El Berdi	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued El Berdi	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued El Djemaa	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued El Djemaa	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued El Kheir	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Oued El Kheir	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Oued El Ma	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued El Ma	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Endja	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued Endja	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued Essalem	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Essalem	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Fodda	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Fodda	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Fragha	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued Fragha	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued Ghir	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Oued Ghir	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Oued Gous-sine	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Gous-sine	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Harbil	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued Harbil	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Kebrit	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Oued Kebrit	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Oued Lilli	2000	98.0%	98.3%	97.7%
Algeria	Oued Lilli	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.7%
Algeria	Oued M'Zi	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued M'Zi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued Mora	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued Mora	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued Nini	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Nini	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Rhiou	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Oued Rhiou	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued Sebaa	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Sebaa	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Sebbah	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Oued Sebbah	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Oued Sefioune	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued Sefioune	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Oued Seguen	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
Algeria	Oued Seguen	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
Algeria	Oued Sly	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued Sly	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued Taga	2000	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Taga	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Oued Taourira	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Algeria	Oued Taourira	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Oued Taria	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Oued Taria	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Oued Tlelat	2000	99.2%	99.3%	99.0%
Algeria	Oued Tlelat	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Oued Zenati	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Oued Zenati	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Oued Zhour	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Oued Zhour	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued Zitoun	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Oued Zitoun	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Ouenza	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Ouenza	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Ouezra	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ouezra	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ouillen	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Algeria	Ouillen	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Algeria	Ouldja Boulbalout	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouldja Boulbalout	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Abbes	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Abbes	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Addi Guebala	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Ouled Addi Guebala	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Ouled Ad-douane	2000	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Ouled Ad-douane	2017	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Ahmed Temmi	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Ouled Ahmed Temmi	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ouled Ammar	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Ouled Ammar	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Ouled Antar	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Antar	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Aouf	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Ouled Aouf	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Attia	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Ouled Attia	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Ouled Ben Abdelkader	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouled Ben Abdelkader	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Bessem	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Ouled Bessem	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Ouled Bouachra	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouled Bouachra	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ouled Boudjemaa	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ouled Boudjemaa	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ouled Boughalem	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Algeria	Ouled Boughalem	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Algeria	Ouled Brahem	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ouled Brahem	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ouled Chebel	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Chebel	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Dahmane	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Ouled Dahmane	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Ouled Daid	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Daid	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Derradj	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Ouled Derradj	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Ouled Djellal	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Ouled Djellal	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Ouled Driss	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Driss	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Ouled Fadhel	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Ouled Fadhel	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Ouled Fares	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Fares	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Fayet	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouled Fayet	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Gacem	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Gacem	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Hamla	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ouled Hamla	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Ouled Hebbaba	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Ouled Hebbaba	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Ouled Hedadj	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Hedadj	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Hellal	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Hellal	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Khaled	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Ouled Khaled	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Khelouf	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ouled Khelouf	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Ouled Khoudir	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ouled Khoudir	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ouled Kihel	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ouled Kihel	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Maalah	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Ouled Maalah	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Ouled Maaraf	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Maaraf	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Madhi	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Ouled Madhi	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Ouled Mansour	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Ouled Mansour	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Algeria	Ouled Mimoun	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Ouled Mimoun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Ouled Moumen	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.2%
Algeria	Ouled Moumen	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.2%
Algeria	Ouled Moussa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Moussa	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Rabah	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Rabah	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Ouled Rached	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Rached	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Rahmoune	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Ouled Rahmoune	2017	98.0%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Ouled Rechache	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Rechache	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Riyah	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Ouled Riyah	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Ouled Saber	2000	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Algeria	Ouled Saber	2017	97.4%	97.8%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Said	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Ouled Said	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Ouled Sellem	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Sellem	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Si Ahmed	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ouled Si Ahmed	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ouled Si Slimane	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ouled Si Slimane	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Mihoub	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Mihoub	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Ouled Slama	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Slama	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Slimane	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Ouled Slimane	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Ouled Tebben	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Tebben	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Yahia Khadrouche	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Yahia Khadrouche	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Zaoui	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ouled Zaoui	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Oulhaca El Gheraba	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Oulhaca El Gheraba	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Oultene	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Oultene	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Oum Ali	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.2%
Algeria	Oum Ali	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.2%
Algeria	Oum Drou	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oum Drou	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oum El Adhaim	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Oum El Adhaim	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Oum El Assel	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Oum El Assel	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Oum El Bouaghi	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Oum El Bouaghi	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Oum El Djellil	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Oum El Djellil	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Oum Laadham	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Oum Laadham	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Algeria	Oum Toub	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oum Toub	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Oum Touyour	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Oum Touyour	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Oumache	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Oumache	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Ourlal	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Ourlal	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Ourmes	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ourmes	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Ouyoun El Asafir	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouyoun El Asafir	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouzzelaguen	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouzzelaguen	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Rabta	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Rabta	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ragouba	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Ragouba	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Rahouia	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Rahouia	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ramdane	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Djamel				
Algeria	Ramdane	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Djamel				
Algeria	Ramka	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Ramka	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Raml Souk	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Raml Souk	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.6%
Algeria	Raouraoua	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Raouraoua	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ras Ain	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Amirouche				
Algeria	Ras Ain	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Amirouche				
Algeria	Ras El Agba	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ras El Agba	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ras El Aioun	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ras El Aioun	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ras El Ma	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.2%
Algeria	Ras El Ma	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
Algeria	Ras El Oued	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Ras El Oued	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ras Mi-	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	aad Ouled				
Algeria	Sassi				
Algeria	Ras Mi-	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	aad Ouled				
Algeria	Sassi				
Algeria	Rechaiga	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Rechaiga	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Redjem De-	2000	97.9%	98.6%	96.8%
Algeria	mouche				
Algeria	Redjem De-	2017	97.9%	98.7%	96.9%
Algeria	mouche				
Algeria	Reggane	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Reggane	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Reghaia	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Reghaia	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Reguiba	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Reguiba	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Algeria	Rehbat	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Rehbat	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Relizane	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Relizane	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Remchi	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Remchi	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Remila	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Remila	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Ridane	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ridane	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Robbah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Robbah	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Rogassa	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Algeria	Rogassa	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Algeria	Roknia	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Roknia	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Rosfa	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Rosfa	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Rouached	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Algeria	Rouached	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Roubia	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Roubia	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Rouiba	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Rouiba	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Rouina	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Rouina	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Rouissat	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Rouissat	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Sabra	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Algeria	Sabra	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Safel	El 2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
	Ouiden				
Algeria	Safel	El 2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.2%
	Ouiden				
Algeria	Safsaf	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Safsaf	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Safsaf	El 2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
	Ouesra				
Algeria	Safsaf	El 2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.2%
	Ouesra				
Algeria	Saharidj	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Saharidj	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Saida	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Saida	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Salah Bey	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Salah Bey	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Salah	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
	Bouchaour				
Algeria	Salah	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
	Bouchaour				
Algeria	Sali	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Sali	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Saneg	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Saneg	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Saoula	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Saoula	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Sayada	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Sayada	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Sebaa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Sebaa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Sebaine	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.3%
Algeria	Sebaine	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.2%
Algeria	Sebbaa	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
	Chioukh				
Algeria	Sebbaa	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
	Chioukh				
Algeria	Sebdou	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Sebdou	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Sebgag	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Sebgag	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Sebseb	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Sebseb	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Sebt	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Sebt	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Sed Rahal	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Sed Rahal	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Seddouk	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Seddouk	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Sedjerara	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Algeria	Sedjerara	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sedrata	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Sedrata	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sedraya	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Sedraya	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Sefiane	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Sefiane	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Seggana	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Seggana	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Seghouane	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Seghouane	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Sehailia	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Sehailia	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Sehala	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Thaoura	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Selaoua	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Selaoua	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Selma Benzi- ada	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Selma Benzi- ada	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Selmana	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Selmana	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Sendjas	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Sendjas	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Seraidi	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Seraidi	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Serdj-El- Ghoul	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Serdj-El- Ghoul	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Serghine	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Serghine	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Seriana	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Seriana	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Setif	2000	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Algeria	Setif	2017	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Algeria	Settara	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Settara	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Sfisef	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Sfisef	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Sfissifa	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
Algeria	Sfissifa	2017	97.9%	98.7%	97.0%
Algeria	Si Abdelghani	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.2%
Algeria	Si Abdelghani	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.2%
Algeria	Si El Mahd- joub	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Si El Mahd- joub	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Si Mustapha	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Si Mustapha	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelaziz	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelaziz	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abdeldje- bar	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Sidi Abdeldje- bar	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelli	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelli	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Abdel- moumene	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Abdelmoumene	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abed	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Abed	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ahmed	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ahmed	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Sidi Aissa	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Aissa	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Akkacha	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Akkacha	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ali	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Sidi Ali	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Benyoub	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Benyoub	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Bous-sidi	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Bous-sidi	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Mellal	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Mellal	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ameer	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ameer	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Ameer	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ameer	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Amrane	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Amrane	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Aoun	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Aoun	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Baizid	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Sidi Baizid	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Sidi Bakhti	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Sidi Bakhti	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Sidi Bel Abbes	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Bel Abbes	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Belattar	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Belattar	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Adda	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Adda	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Yebka	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Yebka	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Boubekeur	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Boubekour	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Boumediene	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Sidi Boumediene	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Sidi Boussaid	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Boussaid	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Boutouchent	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Sidi Boutouchent	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Sidi Bouzid	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Sidi Bouzid	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Sidi Brahim	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Brahim	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Chahmi	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Chahmi	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Chouab	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	Sidi Chouab	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	Sidi Dahou Zair	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Dahou Zair	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Damed	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Sidi Damed	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Sidi Daoud	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Daoud	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Djilali	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Djilali	2017	98.3%	99.0%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Embarek	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Sidi Embarek	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Sidi Errabia	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Errabia	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Fredj	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Sidi Fredj	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Sidi Ghiles	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ghiles	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Hadjeres	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Sidi Hadjeres	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Sidi Hamadouche	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Hamadouche	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Hosni	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Hosni	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Sidi Kada	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Kada	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Sidi Khelifa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Khelifa	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Khelil	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khelil	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khettab	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Khettab	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Khouiled	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khouiled	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ladjel	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Sidi Ladjel	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Lahcene	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Lahcene	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Lantri	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Lantri	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Lazreg	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Lazreg	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Benali				
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Benali				
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Algeria	Benaouda				
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Algeria	Benaouda				
Algeria	Sidi Makhoulouf	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Makhoulouf	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Marouf	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Sidi Marouf	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Sidi Medjahed	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Medjahed	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Merouane	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Sidi Merouane	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Sidi	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Mezghiche				
Algeria	Sidi	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Mezghiche				
Algeria	Sidi Moussa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Moussa	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Sidi Okba	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Okba	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ouri-ache Tadmaya	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ouri-ache Tadmaya	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Rached	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Rached	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Saada	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Saada	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Safi	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Safi	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Said	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Said	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Semiane	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Semiane	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Tifour	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Tifour	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Yacoub	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Yacoub	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Zahar	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Zahar	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ziane	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ziane	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sig	2000	99.1%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Sig	2017	99.1%	99.2%	98.9%
Algeria	Sigous	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Sigous	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Sirat	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Sirat	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Skikda	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Skikda	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Slim	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Slim	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Smaoun	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Smaoun	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sobha	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Sobha	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Souafia	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Souafia	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Souagui	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Souagui	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Souahlia	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Souahlia	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Souamaa	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Souamaa	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Souani	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.6%
Algeria	Souani	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.6%
Algeria	Souarekh	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.6%
Algeria	Souarekh	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.6%
Algeria	Sougueur	2000	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Sougueur	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Souhan	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Souhan	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Souidania	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Souidania	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Souk Ahras	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Souk Ahras	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Souk El Khemis	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Souk El Khemis	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Souk Naamane	2000	97.7%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Souk Naamane	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Souk Oufella	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Souk Oufella	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Souk Tleta	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Souk Tleta	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Soumaa	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Soumaa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Soumaa	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Soumaa	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Sour	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sour	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sour El Ghouzlane	2000	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Sour El Ghouzlane	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Stah Guentis	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Algeria	Stah Guentis	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.4%
Algeria	Staoueli	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Staoueli	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Stidia	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Stidia	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Still	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Still	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Stitten	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Stitten	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	T Kout	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	T Kout	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Tabelbala	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tabelbala	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tabia	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Tabia	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Tablat	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Tablat	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Tacheta	2000	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Zegagha				
Algeria	Tacheta	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Zegagha				
Algeria	Tachouda	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Tachouda	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Tadjemout	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Tadjemout	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Tadjena	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Tadjena	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Tadjenanet	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.5%
Algeria	Tadjenanet	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Tadjrouna	2000	98.7%	99.2%	97.9%
Algeria	Tadjrouna	2017	98.7%	99.2%	97.9%
Algeria	Tadmait	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Tadmait	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Tadmit	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Tadmit	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Tafissour	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Tafissour	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Tafraoui	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Tafraoui	2017	99.2%	99.3%	99.0%
Algeria	Tafraout	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Tafraout	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Tafreg	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Tafreg	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Tagdemt	2000	97.9%	98.2%	97.4%
Algeria	Tagdemt	2017	97.9%	98.2%	97.4%
Algeria	Taghit	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Taghit	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Taghlimet	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Taghlimet	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Taghzout	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Taghzout	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Taghzout	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Taghzout	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Taglait	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Taglait	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Taguedit	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Taguedit	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Taher	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Taher	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Taibet	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Taibet	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Takhemaret	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Takhemaret	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Tala Hamza	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Tala Hamza	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Tala-Ifacene	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Tala-Ifacene	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Taleb Larbi	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Taleb Larbi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Talkhamt	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Talkhamt	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Talmine	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Talmine	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tamalous	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Tamalous	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Tamantit	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Tamantit	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Tamekten	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Tamekten	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Tamelaht	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Tamelaht	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Tamenghasset	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Tamenghasset	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Tamest	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tamest	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tamezguida	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Tamezguida	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Tamlouka	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Tamlouka	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Tamokra	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Tamokra	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Tamridjet	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Tamridjet	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Tamsa	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Tamsa	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Tamtert	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tamtert	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tamza	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Tamza	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Tamzoura	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Tamzoura	2017	99.2%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Taouala	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Algeria	Taouala	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Taoudmout	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Taoudmout	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Taougrit	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Taougrit	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Taoura	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Algeria	Taoura	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Algeria	Taourga	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Taourga	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Taurit Ighil	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Taurit Ighil	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Taouzianat	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Taouzianat	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Tarik Ibn-Ziad	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Tarik Ibn-Ziad	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Tarmount	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Tarmount	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Taskriout	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Taskriout	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Tassadane Haddada	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Tassadane Haddada	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Tassala	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Tassala	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Taxlent	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Taxlent	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Taya	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Taya	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Tazgait	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Tazgait	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Tazmalt	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Tazmalt	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Tazoult	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Tazoult	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Tazrouk	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Tazrouk	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Tebesbest	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tebesbest	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tebessa	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Tebessa	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Telaa	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Telaa	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Telagh	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Telagh	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Telassa	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Telassa	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Teleghma	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Teleghma	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Temacine	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Temacine	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tenedla	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Tenedla	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Tenes	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.1%
Algeria	Tenes	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.1%
Algeria	Teniet El Abed	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Teniet El Abed	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Teniet En Nasr	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Teniet En Nasr	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Tenira	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Tenira	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Terga	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Terga	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Terny Beni Hediel	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Terny Beni Hediél	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Terraguelt	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Terraguelt	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Terrai Bainnane	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Terrai Bainnane	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Tesmart	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Tesmart	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Tessala Lamtai	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Tessala Lamtai	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Tessala-El-Merdja	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Tessala-El-Merdja	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Texenna	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Texenna	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Thelidjene	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.2%
Algeria	Thelidjene	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	Thenia	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Thenia	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Theniet El Had	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Theniet El Had	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Thleth Douair	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Thleth Douair	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Tianet	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Tianet	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Tiaret	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Algeria	Tiaret	2017	97.9%	98.2%	97.5%
Algeria	Tiberguent	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Algeria	Tiberguent	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Algeria	Tiberkanine	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Tiberkanine	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Tichy	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Tichy	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Tidda	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Tidda	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Tidjelabine	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Tidjelabine	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Tiffech	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Tiffech	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Tifra	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Tifra	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Tighanimine	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Tighanimine	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Tigharghar	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	Tigharghar	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	Tighenif	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Tighenif	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Tigzirt	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Tigzirt	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Tilatou	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Tilatou	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Tilmouni	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Tilmouni	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Timezrit	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Timezrit	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Timezrit	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Timezrit	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Timgad	2000	97.6%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Timgad	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Timiaouine	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Algeria	Timiaouine	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Timizart	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Timizart	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Timmimoun	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Timmimoun	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Timoudi	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Timoudi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tin Zaouatine	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Algeria	Tin Zaouatine	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Algeria	Tindouf	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Tindouf	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Tinedbar	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Tinedbar	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Tinerkouk	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Tinerkouk	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Tiout	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Tiout	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Tipaza	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Tipaza	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Tircine	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Tircine	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Tirmitine	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Tirmitine	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Tissemsilt	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Tissemsilt	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Tit	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Tit	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Tixter	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Algeria	Tixter	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Algeria	Tizi	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Tizi	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Tizi Mahdi	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Tizi Mahdi	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Tizi N'Bechar	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Tizi N'Bechar	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Tizi N'Tleta	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Tizi N'Tleta	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Tizi Ouzou	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Tizi Ouzou	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Tizi-Ghenif	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Tizi-Ghenif	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Tizi-N'Berber	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Tizi-N'Berber	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Tizi-Rached	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Tizi-Rached	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Tlemcen	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Tlemcen	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Tolga	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Tolga	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Touahria	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Touahria	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Toudja	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Toudja	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Touggourt	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Touggourt	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Tousmouline	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Algeria	Tousmouline	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Tousnina	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Tousnina	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Treat	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Treat	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Trifaoui	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Trifaoui	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Tsabit	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tsabit	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Yabous	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Yabous	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Yahia Be-niguecha	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Yahia Be-niguecha	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Yakourene	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Yakourene	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Yatafene	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Yatafene	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Yellel	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Yellel	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Youb	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Youb	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Zaafrane	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Zaafrane	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Zaarouria	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Zaarouria	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Zaccar	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Zaccar	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Zahana	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Zahana	2017	99.2%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Zanet El Beida	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Zanet El Beida	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Zaouia El Abidia	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Zaouia El Abidia	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Zaouiet Kounta	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Zaouiet Kounta	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Zarzour	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Zarzour	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Zbarbar El Is-seri	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Zbarbar El Is-seri	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Zeboudja	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Zeboudja	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Zeddine	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Zeddine	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Zeghaia	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Algeria	Zeghaia	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Algeria	Zekri	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Zekri	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Zelfana	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Zelfana	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Zelmata	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Zelmata	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Zemmoura	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Zemmoura	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Zemmouri	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Zemmouri	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Zenata	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Zenata	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Zeralda	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Zeralda	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Zerdeza	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Zerdeza	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Zeribet El Oued	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Zeribet El Oued	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Zerizer	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Zerizer	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Zerouala	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Zerouala	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Ziama Mansouria	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ziama Mansouria	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Zighoud Youcef	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Zighoud Youcef	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Zitouna	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Zitouna	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Zitouna	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Zitouna	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Zmalet El Emir Abdelkader	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Zmalet El Emir Abdelkader	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Zorg	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Zorg	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Zouabi	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Algeria	Zouabi	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Algeria	Zoubiria	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Zoubiria	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Egypt	'Abdin	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	'Abdin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	'Ain Schams	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	'Ain Schams	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	'Ataqah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	'Ataqah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 1	2000	99.7%	100.0%	97.3%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 2	2000	99.6%	100.0%	97.4%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	15 Mayu	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	15 Mayu	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abnub	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Abnub	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu al-Matamir	2000	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Egypt	Abu al-Matamir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu Hammad	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
Egypt	Abu Hammad	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu Hummus	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Abu Hummus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Abu Kabir	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Egypt	Abu Kabir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu Qurqas	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Egypt	Abu Qurqas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu Radis	2000	99.7%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Abu Radis	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu Tij	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Abu Tij	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu Tisht	2000	99.1%	99.6%	98.1%
Egypt	Abu Tisht	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu Zenima	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.4%
Egypt	Abu Zenima	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dab'ah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Egypt	Ad-Dab'ah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Darb al-Ahmar	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Darb al-Ahmar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dawahy	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ad-Dawahy	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dilinat	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Ad-Dilinat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad- Dukhaylah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ad- Dukhaylah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Duqi	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ad-Duqi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Aja	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Egypt	Aja	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Akhmim	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Akhmim	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Ajuzah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-'Ajuzah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Amriyah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-'Amriyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arab	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arab	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 1	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 2	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 3	2000	99.9%	100.0%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 3	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 4	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 4	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Atarin	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-'Atarin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Ayyat	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.5%
Egypt	Al-'Ayyat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Idwah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-'Idwah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Ubur	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Egypt	Al-'Ubur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al- 'Umranayah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al- 'Umranayah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Usayrat	2000	98.2%	99.1%	96.9%
Egypt	Al-'Usayrat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ahram	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Ahram	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Arb'in	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Arb'in	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Azbakiyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Azbakiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Badari	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Badari	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Badrashayn	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.6%
Egypt	Al-Badrashayn	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Bajur	2000	99.4%	99.6%	98.9%
Egypt	Al-Bajur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Baliyana	2000	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Baliyana	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Basatin	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Basatin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Burulus	2000	98.3%	99.5%	95.7%
Egypt	Al-Burulus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Fashn	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Al-Fashn	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Fath	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Fath	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum City	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub 2	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ghanayim	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Ghanayim	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah 2	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.6%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Hammam	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Hammam	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Hamul	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.8%
Egypt	Al-Hamul	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Hasanah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Hasanah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Hawamidiyah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Hawamidiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Husayniyah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Husayniyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ibrahimiya	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.6%
Egypt	Al-Ibrahimiya	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Janayin	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Janayin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Jumruk	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Jumruk	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Kawtar	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-Kawtar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Khalifa	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Khalifa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Khankah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Khankah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Khusus	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Khusus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Laban	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Laban	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ma'adi	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ma'adi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 1	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 2	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mahmudiyah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-Mahmudiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manakh	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manakh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manasrah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manasrah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manshah	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.5%
Egypt	Al-Manshah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manshiyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Manshiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 1	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 2	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manzilah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Al-Manzilah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Maraghah	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Egypt	Al-Maraghah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Marj	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Marj	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Minya	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Minya	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Minya City	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Minya City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Muntazah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Muntazah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Muski	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Muski	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qanatir al-Khayriyah	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Egypt	Al-Qanatir al-Khayriyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qanayat	2000	98.1%	99.1%	96.4%
Egypt	Al-Qanayat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah ash-Sharqiyah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah ash-Sharqiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qurayn	2000	98.4%	99.4%	96.2%
Egypt	Al-Qurayn	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qusayr	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Qusayr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qusiyah	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Qusiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Bahariyah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.5%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Bahariyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Kharijah	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Kharijah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Waili	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Waili	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Waqf	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Egypt	Al-Waqf	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Warraq	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Warraq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Wasta	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Wasta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	An-Nuzhah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	An-Nuzhah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ancient Cairo	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ancient Cairo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ar-Rahmaniyah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Egypt	Ar-Rahmaniyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 1	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 2	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ar-Riyad	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.2%
Egypt	Ar-Riyad	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Armant	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Armant	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Saff	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Egypt	As-Saff	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	As-Sajil	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Sajil	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Salam	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Salam	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Salum	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.4%
Egypt	As-Salum	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Santah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	As-Santah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Sayidah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Sayidah Zaynab	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Sinbillawayn	2000	98.4%	99.1%	97.4%
Egypt	As-Sinbillawayn	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Shalatin	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Ash-Shalatin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Sharabiyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Sharabiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Sharq	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Sharq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Shruq	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Ash-Shruq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Shuhada	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Egypt	Ash-Shuhada	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ashmun	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Egypt	Ashmun	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Aswan	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Aswan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Aswan City	2000	99.7%	100.0%	97.3%
Egypt	Aswan City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Asyut	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Asyut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Asyut 1	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Asyut 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Asyut 2	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Asyut 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	At-Tall al-Kabir	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Egypt	At-Tall al-Kabir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	At-Tebin	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	At-Tebin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	At-Tur	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	At-Tur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Atfih	2000	98.9%	99.5%	97.8%
Egypt	Atfih	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Awlad Saqr	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Egypt	Awlad Saqr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zahir	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zahir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zaytun	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zaytun	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zohur	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zohur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bab ash-Sha'riyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bab ash-Sha'riyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Bab Sharqi	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Bab Sharqi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Badr	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.2%
Egypt	Badr	2000	99.5%	100.0%	95.3%
Egypt	Badr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Badr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Banha	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Banha	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bani Mazar	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Egypt	Bani Mazar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf City	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bani Ubayd	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Egypt	Bani Ubayd	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Baris Shurtah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Egypt	Baris Shurtah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Basyun	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Egypt	Basyun	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Biba	2000	98.4%	99.1%	97.2%
Egypt	Biba	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bilbays	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.8%
Egypt	Bilbays	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bilqas	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Egypt	Bilqas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bir al-'Abd	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Bir al-'Abd	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Birkat as-Sab'	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Egypt	Birkat as-Sab'	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Biyala	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Egypt	Biyala	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bulaq	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Bulaq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bulaq al-Dakrur	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bulaq al-Dakrur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Burj al-'Arab	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Egypt	Burj al-'Arab	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Dahab	2000	99.4%	100.0%	97.9%
Egypt	Dahab	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Damanhur	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Damanhur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Damietta	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Damietta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Damietta 1	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Damietta 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Damietta 2	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Damietta 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Dar as-Salam	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Egypt	Dar as-Salam	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Daraw	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Daraw	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Dayr Mawas	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Egypt	Dayr Mawas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Dayrut	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Egypt	Dayrut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Dikirnis	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Dikirnis	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Dishna	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Egypt	Dishna	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Disuq	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Disuq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Diyarb Najm	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.5%
Egypt	Diyarb Najm	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Fa'id	2000	99.6%	100.0%	97.8%
Egypt	Fa'id	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Faisal	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Egypt	Faisal	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Faqus	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Faqus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Faraskur	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Faraskur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Farshut	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.4%
Egypt	Farshut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Fuwah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Fuwah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Gamsa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Egypt	Gamsa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Giza	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Giza	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Hada'iq	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Hada'iq al-Qubbah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Hawsh 'Isa	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Hawsh 'Isa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Heliopolis	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Heliopolis	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Helwan	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Helwan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Hihya	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.5%
Egypt	Hihya	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ibshaway	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ibshaway	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Idfu	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Idfu	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Idku	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Idku	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ihnasiya	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Ihnasiya	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Imbabah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Imbabah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ismailia	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Egypt	Ismailia	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ismailia 1	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ismailia 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ismailia 2	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Ismailia 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ismailia 3	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ismailia 3	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Isna	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Isna	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Itsa	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Itsa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ityay al-Barud	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.3%
Egypt	Ityay al-Barud	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Jirja	2000	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Egypt	Jirja	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Juhaynah al-Gharbiyah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Juhaynah al-Gharbiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kafr ad-Dawwar	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Kafr ad-Dawwar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kafr ash-Shaykh	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Kafr ash-Shaykh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kafr az-Zayyat	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.1%
Egypt	Kafr az-Zayyat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kafr Sa'd	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Kafr Sa'd	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kafr Saqr	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.6%
Egypt	Kafr Saqr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kafr Shukr	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Kafr Shukr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Karmuz	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Karmuz	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kawm Hamadah	2000	99.4%	99.6%	98.8%
Egypt	Kawm Hamadah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kawm Umbu	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Kawm Umbu	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kirdasah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Kirdasah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Luxor	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Luxor	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Maghaghah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Maghaghah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mahalat Dimnah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Mahalat Dimnah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mallawi	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Egypt	Mallawi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mallawi City	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Mallawi City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Manfalut	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Manfalut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Marina al-'Alamayn as-Siyahiyah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Marina al-'Alamayn as-Siyahiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Marsa 'Alam	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Marsa 'Alam	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Marsa Matruh	2000	98.7%	99.5%	97.5%
Egypt	Marsa Matruh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mashtul as-Suq	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
Egypt	Mashtul as-Suq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Matay	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.8%
Egypt	Matay	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Minuf	2000	99.5%	99.7%	98.9%
Egypt	Minuf	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Minuf City	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.8%
Egypt	Minuf City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Minya al-Qamh	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.0%
Egypt	Minya al-Qamh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Minyat an-Nasr	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Minyat an-Nasr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mit Ghamr	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.6%
Egypt	Mit Ghamr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mit Salsil	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Mit Salsil	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Monshat Nasr	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Monshat Nasr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mubarak - Sharq at-Tafri'tah	2000	99.7%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Mubarak - Sharq at-Tafri'tah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Muharam Bik	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Muharam Bik	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mutubis	2000	99.4%	99.9%	98.3%
Egypt	Mutubis	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nabaruh	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Nabaruh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Naj' Ham-madi	2000	98.5%	99.1%	97.5%
Egypt	Naj' Ham-madi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nakhl	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Egypt	Nakhl	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Naqadah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Naqadah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nasir Bush	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Nasir Bush	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nasr	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Nasr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nasr City 1	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Nasr City 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nasr City 2	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nasr City 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Akhmim City	2000	98.7%	100.0%	94.1%
Egypt	New Akhmim City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Asyut City	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	New Asyut City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Burj al-'Arab City	2000	99.6%	100.0%	97.9%
Egypt	New Burj al-'Arab City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Cairo 1	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.6%
Egypt	New Cairo 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Cairo 2	2000	99.2%	100.0%	97.6%
Egypt	New Cairo 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Cairo 3	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	New Cairo 3	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	New Damietta City	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	New Damietta City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Minya City	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	New Minya City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Salhiyah	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.1%
Egypt	New Salhiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Sawhaj City	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	New Sawhaj City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Tushka City	2000	99.7%	100.0%	97.9%
Egypt	New Tushka City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nuweiba'	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Egypt	Nuweiba'	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port al-Basal	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Port al-Basal	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Alexandria Police Department	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Port Alexandria Police Department	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Fuad	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Fuad	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Fuad 2	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Port Fuad 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port of Damietta Police Department	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Port of Damietta Police Department	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Sa'id Police Department	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Sa'id Police Department	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Suez Police Department	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Port Suez Police Department	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qaha	2000	98.8%	99.6%	97.1%
Egypt	Qaha	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qallin	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.1%
Egypt	Qallin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qalyub	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Qalyub	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qasr an-Nil	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Qasr an-Nil	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qift	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Qift	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qina	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Qina	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Qina City	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Egypt	Qina City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qus	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Egypt	Qus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qutur	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Qutur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Quwaysina	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.5%
Egypt	Quwaysina	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Rafah	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Egypt	Rafah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ras Gharib	2000	99.4%	99.9%	98.3%
Egypt	Ras Gharib	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ras Sidr	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Egypt	Ras Sidr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Rosetta	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.7%
Egypt	Rosetta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Rud al-Faraj	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Rud al-Faraj	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sadat City	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Sadat City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Safaja	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.2%
Egypt	Safaja	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sahil Salim	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Sahil Salim	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Samalut	2000	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Egypt	Samalut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Samannud	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Samannud	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sant Katrin	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.3%
Egypt	Sant Katrin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Saqltah	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Egypt	Saqltah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sawhaj	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Sawhaj	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sawhaj 2	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Sawhaj 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sharm el-Sheikh	2000	99.2%	99.9%	97.3%
Egypt	Sharm el-Sheikh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sheikh Zawid	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Sheikh Zawid	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sheikh Zayed	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Sheikh Zayed	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shibin al-Kawm	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Shibin al-Kawm	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shibin al-Qanatir	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Shibin al-Qanatir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shirbin	2000	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Egypt	Shirbin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shubra	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Shubra	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 1	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 2	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shubra Khit	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Egypt	Shubra Khit	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Dakhlah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Dakhlah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Farafirah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Farafirah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Qasimah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Qasimah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shurtah Rumanah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Egypt	Shurtah Rumanah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sidfa	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Sidfa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sidi Barrani	2000	99.9%	100.0%	98.9%
Egypt	Sidi Barrani	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sidi Jabir	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Sidi Jabir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sidi Salim	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.3%
Egypt	Sidi Salim	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sinnuris	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Sinnuris	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sirs al-Layyanah	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Egypt	Sirs al-Layyanah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Siwa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Siwa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sixth of October 1 City	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Egypt	Sixth of October 1 City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sixth of October 2 City	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Egypt	Sixth of October 2 City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Suez	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Suez	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sumusta al-Waqf	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.5%
Egypt	Sumusta al-Waqf	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Taba	2000	99.7%	100.0%	97.7%
Egypt	Taba	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tahta	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Tahta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tahta City	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Tahta City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tala	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%
Egypt	Tala	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Talkha	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Talkha	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tamiyah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Tamiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tanta	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Tanta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tanta 1	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Tanta 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tanta 2	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Tanta 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tibah Police Dept.	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Tibah Police Dept.	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tima	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Tima	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Timay al-Imdid	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Timay al-Imdid	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tukh	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Egypt	Tukh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Turah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Turah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Buhayrah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Buhayrah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Fayoum	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Fayoum	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Iskandariyah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Iskandariyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Jizah	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Jizah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Minya	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Minya	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qahirah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qahirah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qalyubiyah	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qalyubiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Uqsur	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Uqsur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Unorganized in Ash Sharqiyah	2000	99.1%	99.6%	97.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Ash Sharqiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Aswan	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Aswan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Asyut	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Egypt	Unorganized in Asyut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Bani Suwayf	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Bani Suwayf	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Qina	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Qina	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Suhaj	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Suhaj	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Wadi Al-Natron	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Wadi Al-Natron	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	West Nubariyah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Egypt	West Nubariyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Yusuf as-Sidiq	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Yusuf as-Sidiq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zamalik	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Zamalik	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zaqaziq	2000	98.9%	99.4%	98.1%
Egypt	Zaqaziq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 1	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.6%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 2	2000	99.1%	99.7%	98.1%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zarqa	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Zarqa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zawiyya	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Hamra	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zifta	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Egypt	Zifta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Iraq	Abu al Khasib	2000	80.1%	91.9%	64.0%
Iraq	Abu al Khasib	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.5%
Iraq	Abu Ghraib	2000	93.0%	98.5%	81.8%
Iraq	Abu Ghraib	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.9%
Iraq	Ad Diwaniyah	2000	82.5%	92.8%	69.3%
Iraq	Ad Diwaniyah	2017	98.5%	99.6%	95.7%
Iraq	Adhamiya	2000	93.2%	98.1%	85.3%
Iraq	Adhamiya	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Afak	2000	80.1%	93.3%	60.9%
Iraq	Afak	2017	98.3%	99.5%	95.7%
Iraq	Ain Al Tamur	2000	79.0%	93.8%	54.1%
Iraq	Ain Al Tamur	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.9%
Iraq	Akre	2000	89.1%	97.1%	73.3%
Iraq	Akre	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Iraq	Al Amarah	2000	80.8%	87.4%	73.9%
Iraq	Al Amarah	2017	96.4%	98.5%	93.4%
Iraq	Al Ba'aj	2000	82.7%	89.7%	74.7%
Iraq	Al Ba'aj	2017	98.7%	99.5%	97.5%
Iraq	Al Door	2000	84.2%	94.4%	69.3%
Iraq	Al Door	2017	98.9%	99.7%	97.2%
Iraq	Al Fallujah	2000	90.0%	93.4%	85.0%
Iraq	Al Fallujah	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Iraq	Al Faw	2000	88.6%	98.0%	67.2%
Iraq	Al Faw	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Iraq	Al Haditha	2000	85.9%	92.7%	77.2%
Iraq	Al Haditha	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.7%
Iraq	Al Ham-daniyah	2000	83.6%	93.1%	71.0%
Iraq	Al Ham-daniyah	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Iraq	Al Hamza	2000	81.7%	92.1%	65.1%
Iraq	Al Hamza	2017	98.8%	99.7%	97.3%
Iraq	Al Hashimiyah	2000	78.1%	92.2%	61.1%
Iraq	Al Hashimiyah	2017	98.3%	99.6%	95.6%
Iraq	Al Hayy	2000	80.8%	92.6%	62.0%
Iraq	Al Hayy	2017	98.7%	99.7%	96.7%
Iraq	Al Hillah	2000	79.1%	91.4%	63.9%
Iraq	Al Hillah	2017	98.4%	99.5%	95.9%
Iraq	Al Jadwal al Gharbi	2000	82.1%	94.1%	66.5%
Iraq	Al Jadwal al Gharbi	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.0%
Iraq	Al Kahla	2000	77.9%	91.9%	58.0%
Iraq	Al Kahla	2017	98.3%	99.6%	95.3%
Iraq	Al Khalis	2000	74.2%	91.1%	54.4%
Iraq	Al Khalis	2017	98.2%	99.6%	95.1%
Iraq	Al Khithir	2000	76.1%	82.6%	68.5%
Iraq	Al Khithir	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Iraq	Al Kufa	2000	78.6%	88.8%	59.6%
Iraq	Al Kufa	2017	95.9%	98.2%	92.3%
Iraq	Al Kut	2000	83.4%	90.9%	73.5%
Iraq	Al Kut	2017	98.2%	99.5%	95.3%
Iraq	Al Madiana	2000	77.2%	91.3%	59.6%
Iraq	Al Madiana	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.1%
Iraq	Al Mahawil	2000	81.7%	93.2%	65.3%
Iraq	Al Mahawil	2017	98.8%	99.7%	96.0%
Iraq	Al Manathera	2000	84.3%	93.3%	70.6%
Iraq	Al Manathera	2017	98.4%	99.7%	95.1%
Iraq	Al Miamona	2000	72.6%	90.2%	48.2%
Iraq	Al Miamona	2017	92.0%	98.0%	85.4%
Iraq	Al Mijar al Kabir	2000	71.9%	87.4%	47.5%
Iraq	Al Mijar al Kabir	2017	98.1%	99.7%	93.6%
Iraq	Al Miq-dadiyah	2000	76.7%	91.8%	57.5%
Iraq	Al Miq-dadiyah	2017	98.3%	99.6%	95.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Al Misiab	2000	78.8%	95.0%	49.1%
Iraq	Al Misiab	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.5%
Iraq	Al Noamania	2000	75.8%	88.3%	59.1%
Iraq	Al Noamania	2017	97.0%	99.1%	94.0%
Iraq	Al Qa'im	2000	79.3%	86.5%	69.8%
Iraq	Al Qa'im	2017	97.7%	99.0%	95.7%
Iraq	Al Qurnah	2000	81.7%	90.4%	69.6%
Iraq	Al Qurnah	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.2%
Iraq	Al Shikhan	2000	92.1%	98.2%	81.1%
Iraq	Al Shikhan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Iraq	Al Shirkat	2000	66.5%	76.6%	52.0%
Iraq	Al Shirkat	2017	98.5%	99.4%	96.5%
Iraq	Al Zubair	2000	87.0%	92.9%	80.6%
Iraq	Al Zubair	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Iraq	Al-Faris	2000	74.5%	91.8%	54.8%
Iraq	Al-Faris	2017	98.0%	99.7%	94.2%
Iraq	Al-Mada'in	2000	88.0%	97.1%	66.5%
Iraq	Al-Mada'in	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.3%
Iraq	Ali al Gharbi	2000	80.5%	90.2%	69.0%
Iraq	Ali al Gharbi	2017	98.7%	99.6%	96.3%
Iraq	Amedi	2000	88.6%	95.3%	78.5%
Iraq	Amedi	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Iraq	An Nasiriyah	2000	86.1%	92.9%	76.6%
Iraq	An Nasiriyah	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.7%
Iraq	Anah	2000	75.4%	86.3%	64.2%
Iraq	Anah	2017	98.3%	99.2%	96.8%
Iraq	Ar Ramadi	2000	89.5%	93.2%	85.5%
Iraq	Ar Ramadi	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.4%
Iraq	Ar Rutbah	2000	75.9%	80.0%	71.0%
Iraq	Ar Rutbah	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Iraq	Arbil	2000	92.2%	96.8%	85.3%
Iraq	Arbil	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Iraq	As Salman	2000	86.0%	92.1%	77.5%
Iraq	As Salman	2017	98.8%	99.5%	96.7%
Iraq	As Samawah	2000	77.0%	83.3%	70.3%
Iraq	As Samawah	2017	98.8%	99.6%	97.5%
Iraq	As Suwayrah	2000	78.2%	85.8%	69.2%
Iraq	As Suwayrah	2017	98.0%	99.0%	96.2%
Iraq	Ba'qubah	2000	78.6%	90.4%	62.9%
Iraq	Ba'qubah	2017	98.7%	99.7%	95.3%
Iraq	Badrah	2000	89.3%	95.8%	79.8%
Iraq	Badrah	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.0%
Iraq	Balad	2000	73.9%	91.9%	46.4%
Iraq	Balad	2017	98.5%	99.7%	94.2%
Iraq	Balad Ruz	2000	84.2%	92.1%	73.6%
Iraq	Balad Ruz	2017	98.8%	99.6%	97.4%
Iraq	Basrah	2000	86.1%	93.5%	76.2%
Iraq	Basrah	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Iraq	Bayji	2000	84.7%	92.2%	72.1%
Iraq	Bayji	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.3%
Iraq	Chamchamal	2000	79.1%	89.7%	66.3%
Iraq	Chamchamal	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.2%
Iraq	Chibayish	2000	82.5%	94.1%	63.9%
Iraq	Chibayish	2017	98.9%	99.8%	97.0%
Iraq	Choman	2000	90.9%	97.9%	78.7%
Iraq	Choman	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.6%
Iraq	Dahuk	2000	85.5%	94.7%	73.9%
Iraq	Dahuk	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Iraq	Daquq	2000	85.7%	95.3%	71.9%
Iraq	Daquq	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.9%
Iraq	Darbandokeh	2000	78.3%	87.6%	59.8%
Iraq	Darbandokeh	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Dibis	2000	83.6%	97.4%	60.3%
Iraq	Dibis	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.1%
Iraq	Dukan	2000	75.5%	91.1%	52.1%
Iraq	Dukan	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.9%
Iraq	Halabja	2000	88.3%	95.7%	79.8%
Iraq	Halabja	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Iraq	Hatra	2000	80.9%	90.5%	68.0%
Iraq	Hatra	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.9%
Iraq	Haweeja	2000	89.4%	96.5%	79.1%
Iraq	Haweeja	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.7%
Iraq	Hit	2000	87.3%	91.3%	82.5%
Iraq	Hit	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.3%
Iraq	Kadhimiya	2000	96.3%	99.1%	89.5%
Iraq	Kadhimiya	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Iraq	Kalar	2000	82.9%	94.7%	63.2%
Iraq	Kalar	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.5%
Iraq	Karbala	2000	81.0%	89.2%	68.8%
Iraq	Karbala	2017	95.0%	96.8%	92.9%
Iraq	Khanaqin	2000	81.6%	91.0%	68.4%
Iraq	Khanaqin	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.1%
Iraq	Kifri	2000	80.7%	91.4%	66.0%
Iraq	Kifri	2017	98.2%	99.5%	95.3%
Iraq	Kirkuk	2000	88.6%	95.0%	78.8%
Iraq	Kirkuk	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Iraq	Koisanjaq	2000	84.2%	94.8%	68.8%
Iraq	Koisanjaq	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.0%
Iraq	Mahmudiya	2000	83.5%	96.4%	65.4%
Iraq	Mahmudiya	2017	98.7%	99.8%	96.2%
Iraq	Makhmur	2000	87.3%	95.3%	75.9%
Iraq	Makhmur	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Iraq	Mergasur	2000	81.6%	93.8%	62.9%
Iraq	Mergasur	2017	99.1%	99.9%	97.5%
Iraq	Mosul	2000	85.6%	91.6%	77.8%
Iraq	Mosul	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%
Iraq	Najaf	2000	74.2%	83.0%	67.4%
Iraq	Najaf	2017	93.4%	96.1%	90.8%
Iraq	Penjwin	2000	80.3%	92.5%	62.9%
Iraq	Penjwin	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.6%
Iraq	Pshdar	2000	89.7%	97.9%	75.5%
Iraq	Pshdar	2017	99.5%	100.0%	98.0%
Iraq	Qal'at Salih	2000	81.2%	94.3%	59.0%
Iraq	Qal'at Salih	2017	98.3%	99.7%	94.3%
Iraq	Rania	2000	89.6%	97.8%	74.7%
Iraq	Rania	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Iraq	Refai	2000	82.0%	89.7%	71.3%
Iraq	Refai	2017	98.6%	99.5%	96.4%
Iraq	Rumaitha	2000	71.8%	79.7%	64.2%
Iraq	Rumaitha	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.8%
Iraq	Samarra	2000	83.2%	91.4%	72.6%
Iraq	Samarra	2017	97.8%	99.0%	95.5%
Iraq	Shamiya	2000	79.7%	90.9%	60.2%
Iraq	Shamiya	2017	98.5%	99.6%	96.0%
Iraq	Shaqlawā	2000	88.4%	97.4%	76.1%
Iraq	Shaqlawā	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Iraq	Sharbazher	2000	91.2%	97.6%	81.6%
Iraq	Sharbazher	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.9%
Iraq	Shatrah	2000	83.4%	95.2%	60.4%
Iraq	Shatrah	2017	98.7%	99.7%	95.5%
Iraq	Shatt Al Arab	2000	79.7%	91.3%	61.5%
Iraq	Shatt Al Arab	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.6%
Iraq	Shekhan	2000	86.1%	96.6%	69.0%
Iraq	Shekhan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Simele	2000	83.1%	95.6%	68.2%
Iraq	Simele	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Iraq	Sinjar	2000	82.0%	91.6%	70.2%
Iraq	Sinjar	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Iraq	Soran	2000	85.8%	93.5%	75.1%
Iraq	Soran	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.4%
Iraq	Sulaymaniya	2000	86.9%	93.3%	80.1%
Iraq	Sulaymaniya	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Iraq	Suq ash	2000	82.0%	93.9%	62.5%
Iraq	Shuyukh				
Iraq	Suq ash	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.8%
Iraq	Talafar	2000	85.5%	92.7%	76.3%
Iraq	Talafar	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Iraq	Tikrit	2000	77.1%	89.1%	60.2%
Iraq	Tikrit	2017	98.8%	99.6%	96.9%
Iraq	Tilkef	2000	82.4%	94.5%	66.9%
Iraq	Tilkef	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Iraq	Touz Hour-mato	2000	84.9%	92.8%	74.1%
Iraq	Touz Hour-mato	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.5%
Iraq	Zakho	2000	87.1%	95.2%	76.4%
Iraq	Zakho	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.1%
Jordan	Aghwar Shamaliyyeh	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Aghwar Shamaliyyeh	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Ajloun	2000	98.9%	99.0%	98.7%
Jordan	Ajloun	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.6%
Jordan	Al-Balqa	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Jordan	Al-Balqa	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Jordan	Amman	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Amman	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Aqaba	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Aqaba	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Ar-Ruwayshid	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Jordan	Ar-Ruwayshid	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Jordan	Ardhah	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Jordan	Ardhah	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Jordan	Ayy	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Jordan	Ayy	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.5%
Jordan	Azraq	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Jordan	Azraq	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Jordan	Bal'ama	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Bal'ama	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Jordan	Bani Kenanah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Jordan	Bani Kenanah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Bierain	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Bierain	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Jordan	Bsaira	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Bsaira	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Dair Alla	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Jordan	Dair Alla	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Jordan	Dhiban	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Dhiban	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Jordan	Faqqoo'	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Jordan	Faqqoo'	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Jordan	Ghour El-Mazra'ah	2000	99.4%	99.6%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Jordan	Ghour El-Mazra'ah	2017	98.8%	99.3%	97.9%
Jordan	Ghour Essafi	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Jordan	Ghour Essafi	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Jordan	Hariema	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Hariema	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Hesa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Jordan	Hesa	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Jordan	Husseiniyyeh	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Jordan	Husseiniyyeh	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Jordan	Iel	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.6%
Jordan	Iel	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.2%
Jordan	Irbid	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Jordan	Irbid	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Jordan	Jarash	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Jordan	Jarash	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Jordan	Jizeh	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Jordan	Jizeh	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Jordan	Karak	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Jordan	Karak	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Jordan	Kofranjah	2000	97.8%	98.1%	97.4%
Jordan	Kofranjah	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.6%
Jordan	Kora	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Jordan	Kora	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Ma'an	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.7%
Jordan	Ma'an	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.6%
Jordan	Madaba	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Madaba	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Mafraq	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Mafraq	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Mazar Janoobi	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Jordan	Mazar Janoobi	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Jordan	Mazar Shamali	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Jordan	Mazar Shamali	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Jordan	Mowaqqar	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Jordan	Mowaqqar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Jordan	Na'oor	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Na'oor	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Jordan	Qasr	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Jordan	Qasr	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Quaira	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Jordan	Quaira	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Jordan	Ramtha	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Jordan	Ramtha	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Jordan	Sabha	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Jordan	Sabha	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Jordan	Sahab	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Sahab	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Salt	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Jordan	Salt	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Jordan	Sama Serhan	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Jordan	Sama Serhan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Jordan	Shoabak	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Jordan	Shoabak	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.0%
Jordan	Shooneh	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%
Jordan	Shooneh	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.0%
Jordan	Janoobiyyeh	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%
Jordan	Janoobiyyeh	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Jordan	Tafileh	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Jordan	Tafileh	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Tayybeh	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Jordan	Tayybeh	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Jordan	Um El-Basatien	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Um El-Basatien	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.3%
Jordan	Wadi Arabah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Jordan	Wadi Arabah	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.0%
Jordan	Wadi Essier	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Wadi Essier	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Wadi Musa	2000	97.9%	98.2%	97.6%
Jordan	Wadi Musa	2017	96.3%	96.8%	95.7%
Jordan	Wastiyyeh	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Jordan	Wastiyyeh	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.2%
Jordan	Zarqa	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Zarqa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Libya	Al Butnan	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Libya	Al Butnan	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Libya	Al Jabal al Akhdar	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Libya	Al Jabal al Akhdar	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Libya	Al Jabal al Gharbi	2000	83.2%	86.0%	80.7%
Libya	Al Jabal al Gharbi	2017	82.5%	85.4%	79.7%
Libya	Al Jifarah	2000	86.5%	88.6%	84.4%
Libya	Al Jifarah	2017	86.7%	88.8%	84.6%
Libya	Al Jufrah	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Libya	Al Jufrah	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Libya	Al Kufrah	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Libya	Al Kufrah	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Libya	Al Marj	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Libya	Al Marj	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.2%
Libya	Al Marqab	2000	79.5%	81.7%	77.1%
Libya	Al Marqab	2017	79.7%	81.8%	77.3%
Libya	Al Wahat	2000	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Libya	Al Wahat	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Libya	An Nuqat al Khams	2000	93.4%	94.2%	92.5%
Libya	An Nuqat al Khams	2017	93.5%	94.3%	92.5%
Libya	Az Zawiyah	2000	89.0%	90.5%	87.0%
Libya	Az Zawiyah	2017	89.1%	90.6%	86.8%
Libya	Benghazi	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Libya	Benghazi	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Libya	Darnah	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Libya	Darnah	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Libya	Ghat	2000	98.3%	98.6%	98.0%
Libya	Ghat	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Libya	Misratah	2000	94.6%	95.1%	93.9%
Libya	Misratah	2017	94.7%	95.3%	94.1%
Libya	Murzuq	2000	98.9%	99.5%	97.6%
Libya	Murzuq	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.5%
Libya	Nalut	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.1%
Libya	Nalut	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Libya	Sabha	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Libya	Sabha	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Libya	Surt	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Libya	Surt	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Libya	Tripoli	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.9%
Libya	Tripoli	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.7%
Libya	Wadi al Hayat	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Libya	Wadi al Hayat	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Libya	Wadi ash Shati'	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Libya	Wadi ash Shati'	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Morocco	Agadir-Ida ou Tanane	2000	93.8%	98.2%	86.7%
Morocco	Agadir-Ida ou Tanane	2017	93.5%	97.9%	86.7%
Morocco	Al Haouz	2000	65.7%	77.4%	55.1%
Morocco	Al Haouz	2017	65.6%	77.3%	54.9%
Morocco	Al Hoceïma	2000	62.6%	77.7%	46.2%
Morocco	Al Hoceïma	2017	60.1%	76.0%	43.4%
Morocco	Assa-Zag	2000	74.9%	100.0%	8.2%
Morocco	Assa-Zag	2017	74.4%	100.0%	8.9%
Morocco	Azilal	2000	53.1%	67.2%	37.2%
Morocco	Azilal	2017	51.8%	65.6%	36.3%
Morocco	Ben Slimane	2000	91.3%	98.5%	82.6%
Morocco	Ben Slimane	2017	90.7%	98.3%	81.4%
Morocco	Béni Mellal	2000	75.4%	87.7%	57.8%
Morocco	Béni Mellal	2017	74.3%	86.7%	56.6%
Morocco	Berkane Taourirt	2000	75.8%	97.4%	40.5%
Morocco	Berkane Taourirt	2017	74.5%	96.9%	39.4%
Morocco	Boulemane	2000	60.8%	91.5%	25.9%
Morocco	Boulemane	2017	59.8%	91.0%	25.1%
Morocco	Casablanca	2000	98.5%	99.8%	96.0%
Morocco	Casablanca	2017	98.0%	99.7%	94.8%
Morocco	Chefchaouen	2000	45.7%	67.1%	27.7%
Morocco	Chefchaouen	2017	44.4%	66.6%	26.4%
Morocco	Chichaoua	2000	40.9%	58.9%	23.9%
Morocco	Chichaoua	2017	39.5%	57.7%	22.7%
Morocco	Chtouka-Aït Baha	2000	95.6%	99.3%	82.0%
Morocco	Chtouka-Aït Baha	2017	95.6%	99.3%	82.8%
Morocco	El Hajeb	2000	46.7%	62.2%	24.8%
Morocco	El Hajeb	2017	45.4%	60.3%	23.2%
Morocco	El Jadida	2000	65.9%	75.9%	53.1%
Morocco	El Jadida	2017	65.6%	75.8%	52.7%
Morocco	El Kelaâ des Sraghna	2000	47.2%	68.6%	26.5%
Morocco	El Kelaâ des Sraghna	2017	46.3%	67.6%	25.5%
Morocco	Errachidia	2000	82.1%	95.1%	64.7%
Morocco	Errachidia	2017	81.1%	94.2%	63.4%
Morocco	Essaouira	2000	68.0%	88.7%	43.0%
Morocco	Essaouira	2017	67.2%	88.1%	42.4%
Morocco	Fahs Anjra	2000	64.5%	78.3%	50.7%
Morocco	Fahs Anjra	2017	60.9%	76.3%	46.1%
Morocco	Fès	2000	94.1%	98.7%	80.8%
Morocco	Fès	2017	93.0%	98.3%	79.6%
Morocco	Figuig	2000	64.8%	91.6%	26.8%
Morocco	Figuig	2017	64.6%	91.5%	26.7%
Morocco	Guelmim	2000	95.5%	99.8%	82.9%
Morocco	Guelmim	2017	95.4%	99.8%	82.3%
Morocco	Ifrane	2000	91.7%	98.5%	75.0%
Morocco	Ifrane	2017	90.6%	98.2%	73.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Morocco	Inezgane-Ait Melloul	2000	94.9%	98.0%	90.4%
Morocco	Inezgane-Ait Melloul	2017	93.3%	97.2%	88.0%
Morocco	Jerada	2000	68.3%	91.0%	40.3%
Morocco	Jerada	2017	66.3%	89.8%	38.1%
Morocco	Kénitra	2000	73.2%	85.6%	61.0%
Morocco	Kénitra	2017	71.7%	84.6%	59.3%
Morocco	Khémisset	2000	52.9%	92.0%	21.8%
Morocco	Khémisset	2017	52.0%	91.5%	21.7%
Morocco	Khénifra	2000	79.9%	90.6%	65.9%
Morocco	Khénifra	2017	79.1%	90.4%	64.4%
Morocco	Khouribga	2000	55.4%	67.2%	44.1%
Morocco	Khouribga	2017	53.8%	65.7%	43.4%
Morocco	Laâyoune	2000	74.4%	100.0%	4.9%
Morocco	Laâyoune	2017	74.0%	100.0%	4.2%
Morocco	Larache	2000	71.5%	83.3%	55.9%
Morocco	Larache	2017	69.8%	81.8%	54.0%
Morocco	Marrakech	2000	93.5%	98.2%	87.3%
Morocco	Marrakech	2017	93.5%	98.2%	87.2%
Morocco	Meknès	2000	89.0%	93.8%	77.4%
Morocco	Meknès	2017	88.3%	93.8%	75.0%
Morocco	Mohammedia	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Morocco	Mohammedia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Morocco	Nador	2000	74.3%	87.1%	58.2%
Morocco	Nador	2017	74.9%	87.5%	58.8%
Morocco	Ouarzazate	2000	68.6%	84.8%	47.0%
Morocco	Ouarzazate	2017	67.6%	83.7%	46.3%
Morocco	Oujda Angad	2000	97.2%	99.5%	92.7%
Morocco	Oujda Angad	2017	96.7%	99.3%	91.1%
Morocco	Rabat	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Morocco	Rabat	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Morocco	Safi	2000	64.8%	75.7%	54.4%
Morocco	Safi	2017	64.1%	75.1%	54.0%
Morocco	Salé	2000	99.5%	100.0%	97.6%
Morocco	Salé	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.4%
Morocco	Sefrou	2000	71.3%	84.6%	52.2%
Morocco	Sefrou	2017	69.8%	83.6%	49.7%
Morocco	Settat	2000	48.5%	64.5%	32.4%
Morocco	Settat	2017	48.9%	64.3%	33.3%
Morocco	Sidi Kacem	2000	57.7%	70.2%	47.1%
Morocco	Sidi Kacem	2017	56.5%	68.2%	46.6%
Morocco	Skhirate-Témara	2000	97.2%	99.7%	88.8%
Morocco	Skhirate-Témara	2017	96.9%	99.7%	88.5%
Morocco	Tan-Tan	2000	83.9%	100.0%	41.2%
Morocco	Tan-Tan	2017	83.7%	100.0%	34.1%
Morocco	Tanger-Assilah	2000	71.9%	83.4%	59.6%
Morocco	Tanger-Assilah	2017	69.1%	81.7%	55.8%
Morocco	Taounate	2000	42.1%	55.3%	31.2%
Morocco	Taounate	2017	40.2%	53.3%	29.8%
Morocco	Taroudannt	2000	73.6%	86.7%	59.4%
Morocco	Taroudannt	2017	72.7%	86.2%	57.7%
Morocco	Tata	2000	78.8%	98.9%	39.9%
Morocco	Tata	2017	78.4%	98.9%	38.8%
Morocco	Taza	2000	73.6%	83.7%	61.9%
Morocco	Taza	2017	72.4%	82.9%	60.1%
Morocco	Tétouan	2000	77.3%	84.6%	65.4%
Morocco	Tétouan	2017	75.9%	83.8%	62.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Morocco	Tiznit	2000	92.1%	99.1%	70.8%
Morocco	Tiznit	2017	91.7%	98.8%	70.7%
Morocco	Zagora	2000	77.1%	96.8%	50.3%
Morocco	Zagora	2017	77.1%	96.8%	49.4%
Morocco	Zouagha-Moulay Yacoub	2000	78.3%	86.3%	69.6%
Morocco	Zouagha-Moulay Yacoub	2017	76.8%	84.7%	67.7%
Sudan	Abu Hamad	2000	74.0%	76.3%	71.3%
Sudan	Abu Hamad	2017	75.1%	77.5%	72.0%
Sudan	Abu Jubaiyah	2000	40.9%	46.0%	36.1%
Sudan	Abu Jubaiyah	2017	61.4%	66.7%	56.2%
Sudan	Abyei	2000	46.5%	51.0%	42.4%
Sudan	Abyei	2017	66.7%	70.9%	62.6%
Sudan	Ad Damazin	2000	57.0%	63.0%	49.7%
Sudan	Ad Damazin	2017	71.2%	76.7%	64.1%
Sudan	Ad Damer	2000	72.1%	79.9%	64.8%
Sudan	Ad Damer	2017	74.8%	82.4%	66.6%
Sudan	Ad Dinder	2000	72.7%	76.1%	68.9%
Sudan	Ad Dinder	2017	79.6%	82.7%	75.5%
Sudan	Ad Douiem	2000	66.3%	71.0%	61.5%
Sudan	Ad Douiem	2017	74.0%	78.2%	69.1%
Sudan	Addabah	2000	79.8%	81.8%	77.5%
Sudan	Addabah	2017	78.6%	81.0%	76.1%
Sudan	Al Deain	2000	50.1%	53.0%	47.0%
Sudan	Al Deain	2017	65.6%	68.3%	62.6%
Sudan	Al Fasher	2000	47.3%	49.5%	45.0%
Sudan	Al Fasher	2017	65.1%	67.2%	62.9%
Sudan	Al Faw	2000	62.0%	65.1%	58.4%
Sudan	Al Faw	2017	73.4%	76.4%	69.6%
Sudan	Al Fushqa	2000	63.3%	66.9%	59.7%
Sudan	Al Fushqa	2017	73.2%	77.4%	69.5%
Sudan	Al Gadaref	2000	68.1%	76.7%	58.9%
Sudan	Al Gadaref	2017	78.0%	85.5%	68.8%
Sudan	Al Galabat	2000	60.0%	64.1%	56.2%
Sudan	Al Galabat	2017	72.4%	76.2%	68.6%
Sudan	Al Gash	2000	62.5%	66.7%	57.7%
Sudan	Al Gash	2017	69.9%	73.5%	66.4%
Sudan	Al Geneina	2000	47.8%	50.7%	45.2%
Sudan	Al Geneina	2017	64.3%	67.3%	61.3%
Sudan	Al Gutaina	2000	66.0%	69.7%	62.2%
Sudan	Al Gutaina	2017	76.0%	79.5%	72.5%
Sudan	Al Jabalian	2000	70.2%	75.1%	64.7%
Sudan	Al Jabalian	2017	77.6%	81.9%	72.6%
Sudan	Al Kamlin	2000	86.1%	88.3%	83.4%
Sudan	Al Kamlin	2017	88.3%	90.4%	85.3%
Sudan	Al Kurumik	2000	49.7%	55.2%	43.8%
Sudan	Al Kurumik	2017	64.9%	70.4%	59.1%
Sudan	Al Mahagil	2000	83.5%	86.7%	80.1%
Sudan	Al Mahagil	2017	85.2%	88.4%	81.2%
Sudan	Al Matammah	2000	70.8%	74.1%	67.1%
Sudan	Al Matammah	2017	71.0%	74.4%	67.3%
Sudan	Al Rahd	2000	61.9%	65.4%	57.9%
Sudan	Al Rahd	2017	73.0%	76.1%	69.1%
Sudan	Al Roseires	2000	54.5%	60.2%	49.0%
Sudan	Al Roseires	2017	68.8%	74.1%	63.2%
Sudan	As Salam	2000	45.7%	51.5%	39.2%
Sudan	As Salam	2017	65.8%	72.2%	58.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Atbara	2000	71.0%	75.8%	66.3%
Sudan	Atbara	2017	73.0%	78.2%	66.9%
Sudan	Bara	2000	59.1%	65.3%	52.9%
Sudan	Bara	2017	73.0%	79.0%	67.0%
Sudan	Baw	2000	48.8%	55.2%	42.8%
Sudan	Baw	2017	63.3%	70.0%	56.5%
Sudan	Berber	2000	70.7%	75.3%	65.5%
Sudan	Berber	2017	74.2%	79.8%	67.6%
Sudan	Buram	2000	51.9%	55.1%	49.0%
Sudan	Buram	2017	67.4%	70.2%	64.5%
Sudan	Dilling	2000	42.0%	46.2%	37.4%
Sudan	Dilling	2017	63.8%	67.9%	58.5%
Sudan	Dongola	2000	80.8%	82.7%	78.9%
Sudan	Dongola	2017	80.1%	82.7%	77.8%
Sudan	East al Gazera	2000	85.9%	88.1%	83.7%
Sudan	East al Gazera	2017	85.4%	88.4%	82.2%
Sudan	En Nuhud	2000	50.9%	53.5%	48.3%
Sudan	En Nuhud	2017	70.6%	72.9%	68.0%
Sudan	Geissan	2000	47.9%	54.1%	42.1%
Sudan	Geissan	2017	63.5%	69.1%	57.1%
Sudan	Ghebeish	2000	50.5%	54.4%	46.6%
Sudan	Ghebeish	2017	70.1%	73.4%	66.3%
Sudan	Halayeb	2000	68.1%	73.4%	62.7%
Sudan	Halayeb	2017	79.5%	83.9%	74.6%
Sudan	Hamashkorieb	2000	64.2%	69.2%	59.7%
Sudan	Hamashkorieb	2017	70.6%	75.9%	65.7%
Sudan	Id El Ghanem	2000	49.6%	53.3%	46.1%
Sudan	Id El Ghanem	2017	65.6%	69.4%	62.0%
Sudan	Jebrat al Sheikh	2000	62.4%	65.3%	58.8%
Sudan	Jebrat al Sheikh	2017	74.7%	77.4%	71.5%
Sudan	Kabkabiya	2000	48.0%	51.1%	44.9%
Sudan	Kabkabiya	2017	65.5%	68.5%	62.7%
Sudan	Kadugli	2000	45.6%	49.5%	41.7%
Sudan	Kadugli	2017	67.4%	71.2%	63.8%
Sudan	Karary	2000	86.5%	88.4%	83.9%
Sudan	Karary	2017	88.7%	90.8%	86.4%
Sudan	Kas	2000	48.9%	57.5%	41.2%
Sudan	Kas	2017	65.2%	72.2%	58.1%
Sudan	Kassala	2000	61.3%	66.7%	56.4%
Sudan	Kassala	2017	69.6%	74.2%	64.9%
Sudan	Khartoum	2000	91.5%	94.1%	89.2%
Sudan	Khartoum	2017	94.1%	96.1%	92.0%
Sudan	Khartoum	2000	86.9%	90.5%	82.5%
Sudan	Bahri Khartoum	2017	89.7%	92.9%	85.7%
Sudan	Kosti	2000	69.5%	73.5%	65.4%
Sudan	Kosti	2017	79.0%	82.5%	74.9%
Sudan	Kutum	2000	48.7%	52.3%	45.7%
Sudan	Kutum	2017	65.6%	68.8%	62.5%
Sudan	Lagawa	2000	47.2%	50.7%	43.3%
Sudan	Lagawa	2017	68.2%	71.4%	64.4%
Sudan	Mellit	2000	48.2%	51.2%	45.3%
Sudan	Mellit	2017	64.9%	67.6%	61.7%
Sudan	Merawi	2000	79.9%	82.1%	77.6%
Sudan	Merawi	2017	78.6%	81.7%	75.7%
Sudan	Mukjar	2000	47.7%	51.5%	44.4%
Sudan	Mukjar	2017	64.2%	67.8%	61.1%
Sudan	Nahr Atbara	2000	66.5%	70.8%	62.2%
Sudan	Nahr Atbara	2017	75.3%	79.3%	70.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	North al Gazera	2000	86.7%	90.2%	83.3%
Sudan	North al Gazera	2017	87.8%	91.0%	84.5%
Sudan	Nyala	2000	49.9%	54.2%	46.1%
Sudan	Nyala	2000	50.3%	54.5%	45.3%
Sudan	Nyala	2017	66.3%	70.3%	62.0%
Sudan	Nyala	2017	67.0%	71.2%	62.1%
Sudan	Omdurman	2000	84.7%	90.5%	78.0%
Sudan	Omdurman	2017	88.5%	93.2%	82.2%
Sudan	Port Sudan	2000	68.7%	74.1%	62.0%
Sudan	Port Sudan	2017	81.4%	85.1%	76.4%
Sudan	Rashad	2000	42.8%	47.3%	38.4%
Sudan	Rashad	2017	64.0%	68.6%	59.1%
Sudan	Sennar	2000	74.8%	78.1%	71.6%
Sudan	Sennar	2017	81.5%	84.5%	78.5%
Sudan	Seteet	2000	61.6%	65.4%	56.9%
Sudan	Seteet	2017	69.7%	73.9%	64.7%
Sudan	Sharg En Nile	2000	87.1%	90.3%	82.3%
Sudan	Sharg En Nile	2017	89.4%	92.4%	85.6%
Sudan	Sharq al Gazera	2000	85.3%	88.7%	80.8%
Sudan	Sharq al Gazera	2017	86.4%	89.6%	82.4%
Sudan	Sheikan	2000	61.2%	64.5%	58.1%
Sudan	Sheikan	2017	73.9%	76.7%	71.0%
Sudan	Shendi	2000	69.4%	72.5%	66.0%
Sudan	Shendi	2017	69.3%	72.6%	65.7%
Sudan	Singa	2000	71.9%	75.3%	68.4%
Sudan	Singa	2017	78.4%	81.8%	74.2%
Sudan	Sinkat	2000	67.3%	69.6%	65.3%
Sudan	Sinkat	2017	78.9%	80.7%	77.1%
Sudan	South al Gazera	2000	85.2%	87.9%	81.7%
Sudan	South al Gazera	2017	87.0%	89.9%	83.6%
Sudan	South Khartoum	2000	88.0%	90.6%	85.0%
Sudan	South Khartoum	2017	91.3%	93.5%	88.3%
Sudan	Sowdari	2000	59.3%	62.6%	56.4%
Sudan	Sowdari	2017	71.8%	74.6%	69.0%
Sudan	Talodi	2000	43.4%	48.1%	39.2%
Sudan	Talodi	2017	64.8%	69.2%	60.4%
Sudan	Tokar	2000	67.3%	69.3%	65.1%
Sudan	Tokar	2017	78.9%	80.6%	76.9%
Sudan	Tulus	2000	49.4%	59.5%	40.5%
Sudan	Tulus	2017	65.0%	74.5%	55.7%
Sudan	Um Al Gura	2000	86.8%	90.9%	81.6%
Sudan	Um Al Gura	2017	86.9%	91.6%	81.0%
Sudan	Um Badda	2000	84.2%	89.3%	79.3%
Sudan	Um Badda	2017	88.4%	92.5%	83.9%
Sudan	Um Kadada	2000	48.2%	51.2%	45.2%
Sudan	Um Kadada	2017	65.9%	68.7%	63.0%
Sudan	Um Rawaba	2000	60.6%	63.5%	57.4%
Sudan	Um Rawaba	2017	74.5%	77.0%	71.6%
Sudan	Wadi Halfa	2000	82.4%	85.1%	79.4%
Sudan	Wadi Halfa	2017	83.0%	86.1%	79.3%
Sudan	Zallingi	2000	45.6%	49.2%	42.3%
Sudan	Zallingi	2017	62.8%	66.3%	59.4%
Syria	'Ayn al-'Arab	2000	99.7%	99.9%	98.6%
Syria	'Ayn al-'Arab	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Syria	A'zaz	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.6%
Syria	A'zaz	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.7%
Syria	Abu Kamal	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.4%
Syria	Abu Kamal	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.6%
Syria	Afrin	2000	99.3%	99.8%	97.6%
Syria	Afrin	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.5%
Syria	Al Bab	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Syria	Al Bab	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Syria	Al Qamishli	2000	98.9%	99.9%	94.9%
Syria	Al Qamishli	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.2%
Syria	Al-Haffah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Syria	Al-Haffah	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Syria	Al-Hasakah	2000	99.4%	99.9%	98.0%
Syria	Al-Hasakah	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.2%
Syria	Al-Malikiyah	2000	98.8%	99.9%	95.8%
Syria	Al-Malikiyah	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.7%
Syria	Al-Mukharram	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Syria	Al-Mukharram	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Syria	Al-Qusayr	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Syria	Al-Qusayr	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Syria	Al-Qutayfah	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Syria	Al-Qutayfah	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Syria	An-Nabk	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	An-Nabk	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Ar-Raqqah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Ar-Raqqah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Ar-Rastan	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Syria	Ar-Rastan	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.9%
Syria	Arihah	2000	98.2%	99.7%	95.0%
Syria	Arihah	2017	98.2%	99.7%	94.8%
Syria	As-Safirah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	As-Safirah	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	As-Sanamayn	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	As-Sanamayn	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	As-Suqaylabiyah	2000	98.4%	99.7%	95.0%
Syria	As-Suqaylabiyah	2017	98.5%	99.7%	95.1%
Syria	As-Suwayda	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	As-Suwayda	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Ash-Shaykh Badr	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Ash-Shaykh Badr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	At-Tall	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	At-Tall	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Ath-Thawrah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Syria	Ath-Thawrah	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Syria	Baniyas	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Syria	Baniyas	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Syria	Damascus	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Syria	Damascus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Syria	Daraa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Syria	Daraa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Syria	Darayya	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Syria	Darayya	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Syria	Deir ez-Zor	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Syria	Deir ez-Zor	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Syria	Duma	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Syria	Duma	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Syria	Duraykish	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Duraykish	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Hama	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.0%
Syria	Hama	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Syria	Harem	2000	99.1%	100.0%	96.2%
Syria	Harem	2017	99.1%	100.0%	96.5%
Syria	Hims	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Syria	Hims	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Syria	Idlib	2000	99.2%	100.0%	96.4%
Syria	Idlib	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.3%
Syria	Izra'	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Syria	Izra'	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Syria	Jabal Sam'an	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Jabal Sam'an	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Jableh	2000	97.6%	99.2%	94.3%
Syria	Jableh	2017	97.6%	99.3%	94.2%
Syria	Jarabulus	2000	99.0%	99.8%	97.0%
Syria	Jarabulus	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.9%
Syria	Jisr ash-Shugur	2000	98.4%	99.7%	95.3%
Syria	Jisr ash-Shugur	2017	98.4%	99.7%	95.1%
Syria	Latakia	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	Latakia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	Ma'arrat al-Numan	2000	98.5%	99.5%	96.7%
Syria	Ma'arrat al-Numan	2017	98.5%	99.5%	96.7%
Syria	Manbij	2000	99.0%	99.6%	97.6%
Syria	Manbij	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.7%
Syria	Markaz Rif Dimashq	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Syria	Markaz Rif Dimashq	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Syria	Masyaf	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	Masyaf	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	Mayadin	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Syria	Mayadin	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Syria	Muhardeh	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	Muhardeh	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	Palmyra	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Syria	Palmyra	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Syria	Qardaha	2000	98.5%	99.6%	96.3%
Syria	Qardaha	2017	98.6%	99.6%	96.5%
Syria	Qatana	2000	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Syria	Qatana	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Syria	Quneitra	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Syria	Quneitra	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Syria	Ra's al-'Ayn	2000	97.7%	99.2%	94.8%
Syria	Ra's al-'Ayn	2017	97.7%	99.2%	94.8%
Syria	Safita	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Syria	Safita	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Syria	Salamiyah	2000	98.5%	99.6%	96.4%
Syria	Salamiyah	2017	98.4%	99.6%	95.7%
Syria	Salkhad	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Salkhad	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Shahba	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	Shahba	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	Tal Abyad	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Syria	Tal Abyad	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Syria	Talkalakh	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	Talkalakh	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Syria	Tartus	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.7%
Syria	Tartus	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.7%
Syria	Yabrud	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Syria	Yabrud	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Syria	Zabadani	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	Zabadani	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Agareb	2000	96.6%	99.9%	82.5%
Tunisia	Agareb	2017	96.3%	99.9%	81.3%
Tunisia	Aïn Draham	2000	85.9%	95.7%	76.2%
Tunisia	Aïn Draham	2017	85.2%	95.2%	75.4%
Tunisia	Akouada	2000	92.6%	99.7%	71.4%
Tunisia	Akouada	2017	91.8%	99.6%	70.4%
Tunisia	Alaa	2000	97.1%	98.7%	94.4%
Tunisia	Alaa	2017	96.7%	98.5%	93.7%
Tunisia	Amdoun	2000	91.5%	94.6%	88.3%
Tunisia	Amdoun	2017	90.6%	93.9%	87.2%
Tunisia	Ariana Médina	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Ariana Médina	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Ayoun	2000	98.4%	99.3%	96.8%
Tunisia	Ayoun	2017	98.2%	99.2%	96.4%
Tunisia	Bab Bhar	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Bab Bhar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Bab Souika	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Bab Souika	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Balta Bou Aouane	2000	89.9%	95.1%	82.8%
Tunisia	Balta Bou Aouane	2017	89.1%	94.4%	82.1%
Tunisia	Bardo	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Bardo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Bargou	2000	91.5%	97.0%	84.4%
Tunisia	Bargou	2017	90.8%	96.6%	83.6%
Tunisia	Béja Nord	2000	92.5%	96.9%	86.9%
Tunisia	Béja Nord	2017	91.8%	96.5%	85.9%
Tunisia	Béja Sud	2000	92.8%	97.3%	85.4%
Tunisia	Béja Sud	2017	92.2%	97.0%	84.6%
Tunisia	Bekalta	2000	92.4%	99.9%	65.6%
Tunisia	Bekalta	2017	92.8%	99.9%	67.2%
Tunisia	Belkhir	2000	99.7%	99.9%	98.7%
Tunisia	Belkhir	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Tunisia	Bembla	2000	93.0%	99.8%	71.0%
Tunisia	Bembla	2017	92.5%	99.8%	70.4%
Tunisia	Ben Arous	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Ben Arous	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Ben Guerdane	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Ben Guerdane	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Beni Hassen	2000	92.7%	99.8%	68.4%
Tunisia	Beni Hassen	2017	92.2%	99.8%	67.5%
Tunisia	Beni Khalled	2000	98.4%	99.1%	97.0%
Tunisia	Beni Khalled	2017	98.0%	99.0%	96.1%
Tunisia	Beni Khedache	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Beni Khedache	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Beni Khiar	2000	96.4%	99.4%	90.7%
Tunisia	Beni Khiar	2017	95.9%	99.3%	89.6%
Tunisia	Bir Ali Ben Khélifa	2000	95.6%	99.6%	82.6%
Tunisia	Bir Ali Ben Khélifa	2017	95.1%	99.5%	81.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Bir El Hfay	2000	93.4%	96.4%	88.9%
Tunisia	Bir El Hfay	2017	92.4%	95.8%	87.3%
Tunisia	Bir Lahmar	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Bir Lahmar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Bir Mchergua	2000	98.0%	99.4%	95.0%
Tunisia	Bir Mchergua	2017	97.9%	99.4%	94.8%
Tunisia	Bizerte Nord	2000	98.2%	99.6%	95.3%
Tunisia	Bizerte Nord	2017	98.0%	99.6%	94.7%
Tunisia	Bizerte Sud	2000	98.0%	99.6%	94.7%
Tunisia	Bizerte Sud	2017	97.6%	99.6%	93.9%
Tunisia	Borj El Amri	2000	98.7%	99.8%	95.6%
Tunisia	Borj El Amri	2017	98.5%	99.7%	95.0%
Tunisia	Bou Argoub	2000	97.5%	98.8%	95.1%
Tunisia	Bou Argoub	2017	97.3%	98.8%	94.6%
Tunisia	Bouarada	2000	89.4%	94.1%	84.0%
Tunisia	Bouarada	2017	88.6%	93.5%	83.1%
Tunisia	Bouficha	2000	96.3%	99.6%	87.3%
Tunisia	Bouficha	2017	95.8%	99.5%	85.8%
Tunisia	Bouhaira	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Bouhaira	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Bouhajla	2000	96.3%	98.4%	93.1%
Tunisia	Bouhajla	2017	96.0%	98.3%	92.7%
Tunisia	Boumerdès	2000	92.8%	99.8%	69.0%
Tunisia	Boumerdès	2017	92.1%	99.8%	67.6%
Tunisia	Boumhel	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Boumhel	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Tunisia	Bourouis	2000	88.6%	95.3%	80.6%
Tunisia	Bourouis	2017	87.7%	94.7%	79.6%
Tunisia	Bousalem	2000	92.4%	97.3%	85.4%
Tunisia	Bousalem	2017	92.7%	97.3%	86.0%
Tunisia	Carthage	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Carthage	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Chebba	2000	94.4%	100.0%	68.4%
Tunisia	Chebba	2017	93.9%	100.0%	67.5%
Tunisia	Chebika	2000	96.2%	98.4%	91.9%
Tunisia	Chebika	2017	95.5%	98.1%	90.5%
Tunisia	Chorbane	2000	94.5%	99.7%	76.8%
Tunisia	Chorbane	2017	93.9%	99.7%	75.0%
Tunisia	Chrarda	2000	96.5%	99.2%	89.8%
Tunisia	Chrarda	2017	96.1%	99.0%	88.7%
Tunisia	Cité El Khadra	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Cité El Khadra	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Dahmani	2000	89.2%	93.0%	85.3%
Tunisia	Dahmani	2017	89.3%	93.1%	85.5%
Tunisia	Dar Chaabane El Fehri	2000	96.7%	99.4%	91.6%
Tunisia	Dar Chaabane El Fehri	2017	96.2%	99.3%	90.7%
Tunisia	Degueche	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Degueche	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Dhiba	2000	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Tunisia	Dhiba	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Tunisia	Djerba Ajim	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Djerba Ajim	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Djerba Midoun	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Djerba Midoun	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Douar Hicher	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Douar Hicher	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Douz	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Douz	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	El Alia	2000	98.9%	99.6%	97.6%
Tunisia	El Alia	2017	98.7%	99.5%	97.3%
Tunisia	El Amra	2000	96.1%	100.0%	74.3%
Tunisia	El Amra	2017	95.7%	100.0%	73.2%
Tunisia	El Battan	2000	98.0%	99.6%	93.9%
Tunisia	El Battan	2017	97.6%	99.5%	93.0%
Tunisia	El Ghraiba	2000	97.9%	99.9%	89.8%
Tunisia	El Ghraiba	2017	97.6%	99.9%	88.4%
Tunisia	El Jem	2000	93.3%	99.9%	71.5%
Tunisia	El Jem	2017	93.1%	99.9%	71.1%
Tunisia	El Krib	2000	90.4%	94.4%	86.0%
Tunisia	El Krib	2017	89.5%	93.9%	84.8%
Tunisia	El Menzah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	El Menzah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	El Mida	2000	96.6%	98.8%	92.6%
Tunisia	El Mida	2017	96.3%	98.7%	92.1%
Tunisia	El Mourouj	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	El Mourouj	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	El Ouardia	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	El Ouardia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	El Tahrir	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	El Tahrir	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Enfidha	2000	95.4%	99.6%	82.8%
Tunisia	Enfidha	2017	94.8%	99.5%	81.4%
Tunisia	Es Sers	2000	88.5%	95.2%	80.3%
Tunisia	Es Sers	2017	87.9%	94.7%	79.9%
Tunisia	Ettadhamen	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Ettadhamen	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Ezzahra	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Ezzahra	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2000	97.5%	98.4%	96.1%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2017	97.1%	98.2%	95.6%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Fahs	2000	96.6%	98.3%	94.0%
Tunisia	Fahs	2017	96.1%	98.0%	93.2%
Tunisia	Faouar	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Faouar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Feriana	2000	97.9%	99.2%	95.7%
Tunisia	Feriana	2017	97.5%	99.1%	94.6%
Tunisia	Fernana	2000	87.0%	93.7%	79.0%
Tunisia	Fernana	2017	86.4%	93.3%	78.6%
Tunisia	Fouchana	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Fouchana	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Foussana	2000	98.4%	99.6%	95.6%
Tunisia	Foussana	2017	98.1%	99.6%	95.2%
Tunisia	Gaafour	2000	88.7%	95.0%	82.0%
Tunisia	Gaafour	2017	87.9%	94.4%	81.0%
Tunisia	Gabès Médina	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Gabès Médina	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Gabès Ouest	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Gabès Ouest	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Gabès Sud	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Gabès Sud	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Gafsa Nord	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Tunisia	Gafsa Nord	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Tunisia	Gafsa Sud	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Gafsa Sud	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Ghannouch	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Ghannouch	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Ghar El Melh	2000	98.8%	99.8%	96.4%
Tunisia	Ghar El Melh	2017	98.7%	99.7%	96.1%
Tunisia	Ghardimaou	2000	88.1%	96.6%	78.9%
Tunisia	Ghardimaou	2017	87.8%	96.3%	78.7%
Tunisia	Ghazala	2000	97.8%	99.0%	95.9%
Tunisia	Ghazala	2017	97.5%	98.9%	95.2%
Tunisia	Ghomrassen	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Ghomrassen	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Goubellat	2000	92.4%	96.9%	85.7%
Tunisia	Goubellat	2017	91.8%	96.5%	85.1%
Tunisia	Grombalia	2000	99.0%	99.6%	97.4%
Tunisia	Grombalia	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.3%
Tunisia	Guetar	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Guetar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Haffouz	2000	96.8%	98.8%	93.2%
Tunisia	Haffouz	2017	96.3%	98.7%	92.3%
Tunisia	Hajeb El Ayoun	2000	95.3%	98.3%	89.9%
Tunisia	Hajeb El Ayoun	2017	94.6%	98.0%	88.7%
Tunisia	Hamma	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Hamma	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Hammam Chott	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Tunisia	Hammam Chott	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Tunisia	Hammam Ghezaz	2000	97.0%	99.7%	89.9%
Tunisia	Hammam Ghezaz	2017	96.6%	99.7%	88.7%
Tunisia	Hammam Lif	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Tunisia	Hammam Lif	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.1%
Tunisia	Hammam Sousse	2000	93.2%	99.8%	71.8%
Tunisia	Hammam Sousse	2017	92.5%	99.8%	70.2%
Tunisia	Hammamet	2000	97.0%	99.5%	91.1%
Tunisia	Hammamet	2017	96.7%	99.4%	90.4%
Tunisia	Haouaria	2000	96.8%	99.7%	88.8%
Tunisia	Haouaria	2017	96.4%	99.6%	88.1%
Tunisia	Hassi El Ferid	2000	95.1%	98.3%	89.5%
Tunisia	Hassi El Ferid	2017	94.5%	97.9%	88.6%
Tunisia	Hazoua	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Hazoua	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Hbira	2000	95.3%	99.4%	84.6%
Tunisia	Hbira	2017	94.7%	99.3%	82.9%
Tunisia	Hencha	2000	94.3%	99.9%	71.0%
Tunisia	Hencha	2017	93.8%	99.9%	68.9%
Tunisia	Hergla	2000	94.4%	99.7%	78.6%
Tunisia	Hergla	2017	94.1%	99.7%	78.0%
Tunisia	Hidra	2000	97.7%	99.6%	93.5%
Tunisia	Hidra	2017	97.4%	99.5%	92.7%
Tunisia	Houmt Souk	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Houmt Souk	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Hrairia	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Hrairia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Jammel	2000	92.3%	99.8%	68.2%
Tunisia	Jammel	2017	91.7%	99.8%	66.7%
Tunisia	Jebel Jelloud	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Jebel Jelloud	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Jebeniana	2000	95.2%	100.0%	73.5%
Tunisia	Jebeniana	2017	94.8%	99.9%	72.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Jedaida	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Tunisia	Jedaida	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.0%
Tunisia	Jedeliane	2000	97.4%	98.9%	95.3%
Tunisia	Jedeliane	2017	97.1%	98.7%	94.7%
Tunisia	Jelma	2000	95.5%	98.5%	90.2%
Tunisia	Jelma	2017	94.8%	98.2%	89.1%
Tunisia	Jendouba Nord	2000	91.0%	94.8%	86.1%
Tunisia	Jendouba Nord	2017	90.3%	94.4%	85.2%
Tunisia	Jendouba Sud	2000	92.7%	97.1%	86.5%
Tunisia	Jendouba Sud	2017	92.0%	96.7%	85.3%
Tunisia	Jerissa	2000	91.3%	96.5%	84.6%
Tunisia	Jerissa	2017	90.5%	96.1%	83.6%
Tunisia	Joumine	2000	94.4%	97.8%	89.8%
Tunisia	Joumine	2017	93.9%	97.5%	89.1%
Tunisia	Kabaria	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Kabaria	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Kairouan Nord	2000	95.0%	96.8%	92.0%
Tunisia	Kairouan Nord	2017	94.4%	96.5%	91.1%
Tunisia	Kairouan Sud	2000	95.0%	97.9%	89.9%
Tunisia	Kairouan Sud	2017	94.4%	97.6%	88.8%
Tunisia	Kalaa Kebira	2000	92.6%	99.6%	74.6%
Tunisia	Kalaa Kebira	2017	91.9%	99.5%	73.2%
Tunisia	Kalaa Khesba	2000	95.2%	98.8%	88.1%
Tunisia	Kalaa Khesba	2017	94.6%	98.6%	87.1%
Tunisia	Kalaa Sghira	2000	93.6%	99.8%	75.5%
Tunisia	Kalaa Sghira	2017	92.8%	99.7%	73.9%
Tunisia	Kalaat El Andalous	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Tunisia	Kalaat El Andalous	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Tunisia	Kalaat Senan	2000	92.2%	97.6%	84.3%
Tunisia	Kalaat Senan	2017	91.4%	97.3%	83.4%
Tunisia	Kasserine Nord	2000	97.1%	98.4%	94.7%
Tunisia	Kasserine Nord	2017	96.7%	98.2%	94.2%
Tunisia	Kasserine Sud	2000	97.2%	98.3%	95.4%
Tunisia	Kasserine Sud	2017	96.8%	98.0%	94.9%
Tunisia	Kebili Nord	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Kebili Nord	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Kebili Sud	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Kebili Sud	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Kef Est	2000	90.4%	93.5%	86.6%
Tunisia	Kef Est	2017	89.6%	92.9%	85.8%
Tunisia	Kef Ouest	2000	90.1%	94.6%	84.3%
Tunisia	Kef Ouest	2017	89.5%	94.1%	83.7%
Tunisia	Kelibia	2000	97.3%	99.6%	91.7%
Tunisia	Kelibia	2017	96.9%	99.5%	90.7%
Tunisia	Kerkennah	2000	96.6%	100.0%	73.6%
Tunisia	Kerkennah	2017	96.3%	100.0%	71.6%
Tunisia	Kesra	2000	93.2%	97.6%	86.5%
Tunisia	Kesra	2017	92.2%	97.2%	85.1%
Tunisia	Kondar	2000	94.5%	99.1%	84.0%
Tunisia	Kondar	2017	93.8%	99.0%	82.3%
Tunisia	Korba	2000	96.8%	99.2%	91.4%
Tunisia	Korba	2017	96.3%	99.1%	90.3%
Tunisia	Ksar	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Ksar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Ksar Hellal	2000	93.3%	99.9%	68.0%
Tunisia	Ksar Hellal	2017	92.7%	99.9%	66.6%
Tunisia	Ksibet El Mediouni	2000	91.9%	99.8%	67.4%
Tunisia	Ksibet El Mediouni	2017	92.1%	99.8%	68.6%
Tunisia	Ksour	2000	89.8%	94.0%	85.0%
Tunisia	Ksour	2017	89.1%	93.4%	84.3%
Tunisia	Ksour Essef	2000	93.9%	99.9%	66.6%
Tunisia	Ksour Essef	2017	93.4%	99.9%	65.9%
Tunisia	La Goulette	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	La Goulette	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	La Marsa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	La Marsa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Lake Ichkeul	2000	98.2%	99.6%	95.5%
Tunisia	Lake Ichkeul	2017	98.0%	99.6%	94.8%
Tunisia	Laroussa	2000	87.5%	94.3%	79.3%
Tunisia	Laroussa	2017	86.7%	93.7%	78.4%
Tunisia	M'Hamdia	2000	99.7%	100.0%	99.2%
Tunisia	M'Hamdia	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.1%
Tunisia	M'Saken	2000	92.5%	99.8%	71.7%
Tunisia	M'Saken	2017	91.9%	99.8%	70.7%
Tunisia	Mahdia	2000	93.9%	99.9%	68.2%
Tunisia	Mahdia	2017	93.3%	99.9%	66.7%
Tunisia	Mahres	2000	98.1%	100.0%	88.0%
Tunisia	Mahres	2017	97.9%	100.0%	86.0%
Tunisia	Majel Be-labbes	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.8%
Tunisia	Majel Be-labbes	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.7%
Tunisia	Makthar	2000	87.9%	91.7%	82.8%
Tunisia	Makthar	2017	87.1%	91.1%	81.7%
Tunisia	Manouba	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Manouba	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Mareth	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Mareth	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Mateur	2000	98.4%	99.5%	96.1%
Tunisia	Mateur	2017	98.1%	99.4%	95.6%
Tunisia	Matmata	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Tunisia	Matmata	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Tunisia	Matmata Nouvelle	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Matmata Nouvelle	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Mazzouna	2000	97.4%	99.4%	91.7%
Tunisia	Mazzouna	2017	97.0%	99.3%	90.6%
Tunisia	Mdhilla	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Mdhilla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Médenine Nord	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Médenine Nord	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Médenine Sud	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Médenine Sud	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Médina	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Médina	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Mégrine	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Mégrine	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Mejez El Bab	2000	93.0%	96.5%	87.6%
Tunisia	Mejez El Bab	2017	92.5%	96.1%	86.6%
Tunisia	Meknassi	2000	94.4%	97.9%	88.5%
Tunisia	Meknassi	2017	93.8%	97.6%	87.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Melloulech	2000	94.6%	100.0%	67.9%
Tunisia	Melloulech	2017	94.1%	100.0%	66.8%
Tunisia	Menzel Bourguiba	2000	99.0%	99.8%	97.4%
Tunisia	Menzel Bourguiba	2017	98.9%	99.7%	97.0%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzaiene	2000	97.6%	99.4%	94.2%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzaiene	2017	97.4%	99.3%	93.7%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzelifa	2000	98.3%	99.4%	95.9%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzelifa	2017	98.0%	99.3%	95.4%
Tunisia	Menzel Chaker	2000	95.0%	99.7%	79.1%
Tunisia	Menzel Chaker	2017	94.5%	99.7%	77.4%
Tunisia	Menzel Habib	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Tunisia	Menzel Habib	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Tunisia	Menzel Jemil	2000	98.5%	99.3%	97.0%
Tunisia	Menzel Jemil	2017	98.3%	99.3%	96.8%
Tunisia	Menzel Temime	2000	97.4%	98.9%	94.7%
Tunisia	Menzel Temime	2017	97.1%	98.8%	94.1%
Tunisia	Metlaoui	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Metlaoui	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Metouia	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Tunisia	Metouia	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Tunisia	Mnihla	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Mnihla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Moknine	2000	93.8%	99.9%	72.7%
Tunisia	Moknine	2017	93.3%	99.8%	71.5%
Tunisia	Monastir	2000	92.5%	99.8%	67.6%
Tunisia	Monastir	2017	91.9%	99.8%	66.9%
Tunisia	Mornag	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Tunisia	Mornag	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Tunisia	Mornaguia	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Tunisia	Mornaguia	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Tunisia	Nabeul	2000	96.8%	99.6%	91.5%
Tunisia	Nabeul	2017	96.4%	99.5%	90.5%
Tunisia	Nadhour	2000	96.6%	99.1%	90.9%
Tunisia	Nadhour	2017	96.1%	99.0%	89.7%
Tunisia	Nasrallah	2000	95.8%	98.2%	90.9%
Tunisia	Nasrallah	2017	95.2%	97.9%	89.8%
Tunisia	Nebeur	2000	90.2%	94.7%	84.9%
Tunisia	Nebeur	2017	89.3%	94.0%	84.0%
Tunisia	Nefta	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Nefta	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Nefza	2000	95.1%	99.1%	86.8%
Tunisia	Nefza	2017	94.6%	99.0%	85.9%
Tunisia	Nouvelle Médina	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Nouvelle Médina	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Omrane	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Omrane	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Omrane Supérieur	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Omrane Supérieur	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Oued Ellil	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Oued Ellil	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Oued Mliz	2000	87.9%	94.8%	80.5%
Tunisia	Oued Mliz	2017	87.0%	94.2%	79.4%
Tunisia	Ouerdanine	2000	92.3%	99.8%	71.2%
Tunisia	Ouerdanine	2017	91.7%	99.8%	70.5%
Tunisia	Oueslatia	2000	95.8%	98.5%	91.4%
Tunisia	Oueslatia	2017	95.1%	98.2%	90.4%
Tunisia	Ouled Chamekh	2000	94.7%	99.5%	83.0%
Tunisia	Ouled Chamekh	2017	93.8%	99.4%	80.9%
Tunisia	Ouled Haffouz	2000	95.4%	98.8%	89.1%
Tunisia	Ouled Haffouz	2017	94.9%	98.6%	87.9%
Tunisia	Oum Larais	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Oum Larais	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Tunisia	Radès	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Radès	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Raoued	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Raoued	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Ras Jebel	2000	98.8%	99.8%	96.7%
Tunisia	Ras Jebel	2017	98.6%	99.8%	96.4%
Tunisia	Redeyef	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Redeyef	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Regueb	2000	95.5%	97.9%	91.0%
Tunisia	Regueb	2017	94.8%	97.6%	89.8%
Tunisia	Remada	2000	99.5%	100.0%	97.2%
Tunisia	Remada	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Tunisia	Rouhia	2000	95.7%	98.0%	92.2%
Tunisia	Rouhia	2017	95.2%	97.8%	91.5%
Tunisia	Sabalat Ouled Asker	2000	95.1%	98.1%	90.7%
Tunisia	Sabalat Ouled Asker	2017	94.4%	97.8%	89.6%
Tunisia	Sabkhet Sijoumi	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Sabkhet Sijoumi	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Sahline	2000	92.5%	99.9%	69.5%
Tunisia	Sahline	2017	91.8%	99.8%	68.6%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ed-daier	2000	97.0%	100.0%	77.2%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ed-daier	2017	96.6%	100.0%	75.4%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ezzit	2000	95.9%	100.0%	73.6%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ezzit	2017	95.5%	100.0%	71.9%
Tunisia	Sakiet Sidi Youssef	2000	87.9%	95.4%	79.5%
Tunisia	Sakiet Sidi Youssef	2017	87.2%	94.8%	78.9%
Tunisia	Samar	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Samar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Saouaf	2000	96.4%	99.3%	88.4%
Tunisia	Saouaf	2017	96.0%	99.2%	87.5%
Tunisia	Sayada-Lamta-Bou Hjar	2000	93.2%	99.9%	67.2%
Tunisia	Sayada-Lamta-Bou Hjar	2017	92.6%	99.9%	66.4%
Tunisia	Sbeitla	2000	97.3%	98.4%	95.7%
Tunisia	Sbeitla	2017	96.8%	98.1%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Sbiba	2000	98.1%	99.3%	96.0%
Tunisia	Sbiba	2017	97.9%	99.2%	95.8%
Tunisia	Sbikha	2000	95.5%	98.8%	88.1%
Tunisia	Sbikha	2017	94.9%	98.4%	87.0%
Tunisia	Sebkhat Sidi El Hani	2000	92.9%	99.6%	76.1%
Tunisia	Sebkhat Sidi El Hani	2017	92.1%	99.5%	74.3%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Ariana	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Ariana	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Moknine El	2000	93.7%	99.9%	69.0%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Moknine El	2017	93.6%	99.9%	69.4%
Tunisia	Sebkhit El Kabla	2000	94.0%	99.3%	84.7%
Tunisia	Sebkhit El Kabla	2017	93.2%	99.2%	82.9%
Tunisia	Sejnane	2000	96.6%	99.5%	90.4%
Tunisia	Sejnane	2017	96.2%	99.4%	89.5%
Tunisia	Sened	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.7%
Tunisia	Sened	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.5%
Tunisia	Sfax Médina	2000	97.6%	100.0%	81.4%
Tunisia	Sfax Médina	2017	97.4%	100.0%	79.4%
Tunisia	Sfax Ouest	2000	97.8%	100.0%	80.3%
Tunisia	Sfax Ouest	2017	97.5%	100.0%	78.8%
Tunisia	Sfax Sud	2000	97.2%	100.0%	79.4%
Tunisia	Sfax Sud	2017	96.8%	100.0%	77.7%
Tunisia	Sidi Aich	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.9%
Tunisia	Sidi Aich	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.7%
Tunisia	Sidi Ali Ben Aoun	2000	95.9%	98.0%	92.7%
Tunisia	Sidi Ali Ben Aoun	2017	95.3%	97.7%	91.8%
Tunisia	Sidi Alouane	2000	93.6%	99.9%	67.6%
Tunisia	Sidi Alouane	2017	93.1%	99.9%	66.8%
Tunisia	Sidi Bou Ali	2000	93.9%	99.6%	79.4%
Tunisia	Sidi Bou Ali	2017	93.6%	99.6%	78.6%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Est	2000	94.3%	97.6%	89.7%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Est	2017	93.7%	97.3%	88.3%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Ouest	2000	93.9%	97.3%	89.0%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Ouest	2017	93.1%	96.8%	87.6%
Tunisia	Sidi El Béchir	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Sidi El Béchir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Sidi El Heni	2000	92.3%	99.2%	79.6%
Tunisia	Sidi El Heni	2017	91.4%	99.1%	78.1%
Tunisia	Sidi Hassine	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Sidi Hassine	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Sidi Makhlouf	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Sidi Makhlouf	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Sidi Thabet	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Sidi Thabet	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Sijoumi	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Sijoumi	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Siliana Nord	2000	88.4%	93.2%	83.1%
Tunisia	Siliana Nord	2017	87.6%	92.5%	82.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Siliana Sud	2000	90.0%	93.8%	84.7%
Tunisia	Siliana Sud	2017	89.2%	93.1%	84.0%
Tunisia	Skhira	2000	98.9%	99.9%	95.1%
Tunisia	Skhira	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.5%
Tunisia	Soliman	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.8%
Tunisia	Soliman	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.7%
Tunisia	Souassi	2000	93.9%	99.8%	73.8%
Tunisia	Souassi	2017	93.2%	99.8%	72.5%
Tunisia	Souk El Ahed	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Souk El Ahed	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Souk Jedid	2000	93.2%	97.9%	84.8%
Tunisia	Souk Jedid	2017	92.2%	97.6%	82.7%
Tunisia	Soukra	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Soukra	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Sousse	2000	94.3%	99.9%	75.8%
Tunisia	Jaouhara Sousse	2017	93.7%	99.8%	74.6%
Tunisia	Jaouhara Sousse Méd- ina	2000	94.0%	99.9%	74.8%
Tunisia	Sousse Méd- ina	2017	93.4%	99.8%	73.3%
Tunisia	Sousse Riadh	2000	94.2%	99.9%	75.4%
Tunisia	Sousse Riadh	2017	93.5%	99.8%	74.0%
Tunisia	Sousse Sidi Abdelhamid	2000	94.3%	99.8%	76.3%
Tunisia	Sousse Sidi Abdelhamid	2017	93.6%	99.8%	74.6%
Tunisia	Tabarka	2000	92.7%	98.6%	80.4%
Tunisia	Tabarka	2017	91.9%	98.4%	78.8%
Tunisia	Tajerouine	2000	88.3%	92.6%	82.3%
Tunisia	Tajerouine	2017	87.3%	91.6%	81.3%
Tunisia	Takelsa	2000	98.1%	99.6%	94.3%
Tunisia	Takelsa	2017	97.9%	99.5%	93.6%
Tunisia	Tamaghza	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Tamaghza	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Tataouine Nord	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Tataouine Nord	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Tataouine Sud	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Tataouine Sud	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Tunisia	Teboulba	2000	93.4%	99.9%	68.0%
Tunisia	Teboulba	2017	92.8%	99.9%	66.8%
Tunisia	Tebourba	2000	98.2%	99.6%	94.5%
Tunisia	Tebourba	2017	97.9%	99.6%	93.8%
Tunisia	Téboursouk	2000	89.3%	94.5%	82.8%
Tunisia	Téboursouk	2017	88.5%	94.0%	81.9%
Tunisia	Testour	2000	88.7%	93.7%	82.9%
Tunisia	Testour	2017	87.8%	92.7%	82.2%
Tunisia	Thala	2000	97.6%	99.1%	94.7%
Tunisia	Thala	2017	97.2%	98.9%	93.9%
Tunisia	Thibar	2000	91.5%	96.2%	85.5%
Tunisia	Thibar	2017	90.7%	95.8%	84.3%
Tunisia	Tinja	2000	98.7%	99.7%	96.7%
Tunisia	Tinja	2017	98.6%	99.7%	96.3%
Tunisia	Tozeur	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Tozeur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Unknown	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Unknown	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Unknown1	2000	93.1%	99.9%	65.1%
Tunisia	Unknown1	2017	92.5%	99.9%	63.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Utique	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.2%
Tunisia	Utique	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Tunisia	Zaghouan	2000	97.6%	98.9%	95.5%
Tunisia	Zaghouan	2017	97.2%	98.7%	94.8%
Tunisia	Zarzis	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Zarzis	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Zeramdine	2000	92.4%	99.8%	66.7%
Tunisia	Zeramdine	2017	91.8%	99.8%	65.6%
Tunisia	Zriba	2000	96.8%	98.8%	93.0%
Tunisia	Zriba	2017	96.5%	98.6%	92.3%
Yemen	Abs	2000	71.6%	84.6%	55.2%
Yemen	Abs	2017	95.6%	99.5%	87.8%
Yemen	Ad Dahi	2000	54.9%	82.3%	22.5%
Yemen	Ad Dahi	2017	92.7%	99.4%	76.9%
Yemen	Ad Dhale'e	2000	70.2%	80.5%	54.6%
Yemen	Ad Dhale'e	2017	96.9%	99.8%	86.0%
Yemen	Ad Dis	2000	74.6%	91.8%	42.7%
Yemen	Ad Dis	2017	95.0%	99.4%	83.1%
Yemen	Ad Durayhimi	2000	60.8%	91.0%	21.4%
Yemen	Ad Durayhimi	2017	86.2%	99.4%	56.7%
Yemen	Adh Dhli'a'ah	2000	68.3%	85.7%	47.2%
Yemen	Adh Dhli'a'ah	2017	90.9%	98.0%	76.4%
Yemen	Aflah Al Ya-man	2000	4.1%	5.6%	3.0%
Yemen	Aflah Al Ya-man	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Yemen	Aflah Ash Shawm	2000	3.1%	13.8%	1.4%
Yemen	Aflah Ash Shawm	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Yemen	Ahwar	2000	64.5%	86.6%	31.8%
Yemen	Ahwar	2017	89.4%	98.3%	59.0%
Yemen	Ain	2000	59.6%	89.9%	26.8%
Yemen	Ain	2017	94.5%	99.7%	79.0%
Yemen	Al Hawtah	2000	95.3%	99.6%	87.2%
Yemen	Al Hawtah	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.4%
Yemen	Al Mukha	2000	66.1%	88.3%	42.4%
Yemen	Al Mukha	2017	89.6%	99.0%	77.9%
Yemen	Al A'rsh	2000	58.6%	88.5%	21.0%
Yemen	Al A'rsh	2017	95.5%	99.7%	83.1%
Yemen	Al Abdiyah	2000	54.7%	83.9%	21.9%
Yemen	Al Abdiyah	2017	84.8%	98.9%	63.5%
Yemen	Al Abr	2000	63.7%	84.5%	35.1%
Yemen	Al Abr	2017	89.3%	97.3%	70.1%
Yemen	Al Ashah	2000	57.0%	80.5%	29.5%
Yemen	Al Ashah	2017	92.9%	99.3%	79.8%
Yemen	Al Azariq	2000	71.3%	88.4%	53.4%
Yemen	Al Azariq	2017	95.4%	99.8%	79.4%
Yemen	Al Bayda	2000	64.0%	78.1%	47.4%
Yemen	Al Bayda	2017	98.7%	99.8%	91.9%
Yemen	Al Bayda City	2000	70.2%	89.7%	41.9%
Yemen	Al Bayda City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Yemen	Al Buraiqeh	2000	75.9%	96.8%	44.6%
Yemen	Al Buraiqeh	2017	92.7%	99.7%	71.6%
Yemen	Al Dhaher	2000	19.1%	42.3%	2.4%
Yemen	Al Dhaher	2017	88.6%	95.9%	77.8%
Yemen	Al Dhihar	2000	76.1%	81.5%	70.4%
Yemen	Al Dhihar	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Yemen	Al Garrahi	2000	72.6%	94.0%	36.7%
Yemen	Al Garrahi	2017	93.7%	100.0%	67.6%
Yemen	Al Ghaydah	2000	60.9%	77.3%	42.9%
Yemen	Al Ghaydah	2017	88.2%	97.0%	72.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Ghayl	2000	70.8%	90.3%	49.8%
Yemen	Al Ghayl	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.3%
Yemen	Al Had	2000	42.0%	71.2%	12.2%
Yemen	Al Had	2017	91.9%	98.9%	72.4%
Yemen	Al Hada	2000	70.9%	88.5%	48.6%
Yemen	Al Hada	2017	94.1%	99.5%	82.0%
Yemen	Al Hajjaylah	2000	51.7%	95.3%	10.6%
Yemen	Al Hajjaylah	2017	93.5%	99.9%	63.7%
Yemen	Al Hali	2000	73.8%	96.3%	50.1%
Yemen	Al Hali	2017	97.6%	100.0%	89.7%
Yemen	Al Hashwah	2000	69.8%	97.4%	27.4%
Yemen	Al Hashwah	2017	91.8%	100.0%	63.6%
Yemen	Al Hawak	2000	57.3%	99.9%	4.4%
Yemen	Al Hawak	2017	90.9%	100.0%	58.0%
Yemen	Al Haymah	2000	51.1%	57.9%	41.5%
Yemen	Ad Dakhiliyah				
Yemen	Al Haymah	2017	86.7%	91.3%	80.4%
Yemen	Ad Dakhiliyah				
Yemen	Al Haymah Al	2000	46.8%	74.4%	20.0%
Yemen	Kharijiyah				
Yemen	Al Haymah Al	2017	80.5%	97.4%	61.7%
Yemen	Kharijiyah				
Yemen	Al Hazm	2000	69.2%	92.9%	43.6%
Yemen	Al Hazm	2017	95.2%	99.8%	84.0%
Yemen	Al Humaydat	2000	67.4%	86.8%	41.8%
Yemen	Al Humaydat	2017	97.5%	99.9%	84.6%
Yemen	Al Husha	2000	26.2%	39.3%	16.1%
Yemen	Al Husha	2017	89.9%	95.0%	80.6%
Yemen	Al Husn	2000	60.1%	88.6%	26.2%
Yemen	Al Husn	2017	95.2%	99.8%	79.7%
Yemen	Al Hussein	2000	60.3%	89.1%	36.4%
Yemen	Al Hussein	2017	96.2%	99.9%	78.1%
Yemen	Al Jabin	2000	19.9%	27.4%	13.7%
Yemen	Al Jabin	2017	88.6%	89.7%	87.3%
Yemen	Al Jafariyah	2000	29.5%	35.5%	22.5%
Yemen	Al Jafariyah	2017	87.9%	89.3%	86.5%
Yemen	Al Jamimah	2000	60.7%	69.5%	46.8%
Yemen	Al Jamimah	2017	92.6%	96.4%	90.1%
Yemen	Al Jubah	2000	67.0%	87.7%	42.9%
Yemen	Al Jubah	2017	93.7%	99.6%	76.4%
Yemen	Al Khabt	2000	23.1%	59.8%	14.0%
Yemen	Al Khabt	2017	94.7%	96.6%	93.5%
Yemen	Al Khalq	2000	81.2%	99.0%	26.6%
Yemen	Al Khalq	2017	99.4%	100.0%	93.3%
Yemen	Al Khawkhah	2000	70.6%	94.0%	40.4%
Yemen	Al Khawkhah	2017	92.9%	99.7%	77.1%
Yemen	Al Ma'afer	2000	51.4%	77.4%	33.3%
Yemen	Al Ma'afer	2017	95.8%	99.5%	73.1%
Yemen	Al Madan	2000	10.7%	39.4%	0.6%
Yemen	Al Madan	2017	70.5%	88.0%	55.3%
Yemen	Al Madaribah	2000	57.6%	74.6%	37.8%
Yemen	Wa Al Arah				
Yemen	Al Madaribah	2017	78.1%	92.4%	61.4%
Yemen	Wa Al Arah				
Yemen	Al Maflahy	2000	21.7%	47.5%	1.3%
Yemen	Al Maflahy	2017	84.4%	95.5%	63.1%
Yemen	Al Maghrabah	2000	18.7%	30.6%	12.5%
Yemen	Al Maghrabah	2017	66.0%	79.3%	58.8%
Yemen	Al Ma-	2000	22.7%	25.7%	19.6%
Yemen	habishah				
Yemen	Al Ma-	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.6%
Yemen	habishah				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Mahfad	2000	70.5%	88.4%	45.2%
Yemen	Al Mahfad	2017	93.0%	99.2%	80.9%
Yemen	Al Mahwait	2000	74.2%	79.5%	68.4%
Yemen	Al Mahwait	2017	94.8%	97.1%	89.4%
Yemen	Al Mahwait	2000	92.3%	93.4%	91.0%
Yemen	Al Mahwait City	2017	92.8%	95.4%	89.7%
Yemen	Al Makhadir	2000	57.6%	80.7%	28.3%
Yemen	Al Makhadir	2017	98.7%	99.6%	95.1%
Yemen	Al Malagim	2000	58.7%	79.5%	29.7%
Yemen	Al Malagim	2017	91.2%	99.5%	68.3%
Yemen	Al Manar	2000	52.3%	67.0%	32.8%
Yemen	Al Manar	2017	80.5%	88.1%	67.8%
Yemen	Al Mansura	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Yemen	Al Mansura	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Yemen	Al Mansuriyah	2000	62.5%	90.6%	27.8%
Yemen	Al Mansuriyah	2017	93.4%	99.9%	65.3%
Yemen	Al Maqatirah	2000	36.6%	53.0%	16.8%
Yemen	Al Maqatirah	2017	89.3%	97.0%	68.6%
Yemen	Al Marawi'ah	2000	73.5%	94.2%	43.1%
Yemen	Al Marawi'ah	2017	93.5%	98.6%	75.1%
Yemen	Al Mashannah	2000	75.3%	76.6%	73.9%
Yemen	Al Mashannah	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Yemen	Al Masilah	2000	69.9%	83.9%	53.6%
Yemen	Al Masilah	2017	91.4%	98.2%	78.9%
Yemen	Al Maslub	2000	66.0%	86.9%	40.9%
Yemen	Al Maslub	2017	97.1%	100.0%	84.4%
Yemen	Al Matammah	2000	75.6%	91.8%	48.6%
Yemen	Al Matammah	2017	97.7%	100.0%	85.2%
Yemen	Al Maton	2000	60.2%	79.8%	38.9%
Yemen	Al Maton	2017	96.6%	99.6%	88.5%
Yemen	Al Mawasit	2000	45.1%	57.7%	35.6%
Yemen	Al Mawasit	2017	97.3%	98.7%	89.6%
Yemen	Al Miftah	2000	62.0%	65.4%	49.0%
Yemen	Al Miftah	2017	95.6%	97.5%	93.0%
Yemen	Al Mighlaf	2000	77.0%	91.5%	47.9%
Yemen	Al Mighlaf	2017	95.8%	99.6%	78.8%
Yemen	Al Milah	2000	61.9%	85.2%	35.1%
Yemen	Al Milah	2017	90.5%	99.4%	69.1%
Yemen	Al Mina	2000	66.9%	100.0%	5.5%
Yemen	Al Mina	2017	96.3%	100.0%	80.6%
Yemen	Al Misrakh	2000	36.8%	48.8%	26.5%
Yemen	Al Misrakh	2017	98.3%	99.0%	97.1%
Yemen	Al Mualla	2000	97.8%	99.9%	93.0%
Yemen	Al Mualla	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.4%
Yemen	Al Mudhaffar	2000	61.4%	71.8%	51.4%
Yemen	Al Mudhaffar	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.3%
Yemen	Al Mukalla	2000	62.7%	89.2%	37.0%
Yemen	Al Mukalla	2017	86.9%	99.3%	66.2%
Yemen	Al Mukalla City	2000	48.5%	89.5%	9.1%
Yemen	Al Mukalla City	2017	77.3%	99.3%	41.3%
Yemen	Al Munirah	2000	63.4%	87.5%	34.9%
Yemen	Al Munirah	2017	86.9%	99.6%	61.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Musaymir	2000	65.0%	91.8%	33.0%
Yemen	Al Musaymir	2017	89.5%	99.7%	66.7%
Yemen	Al Qabbaytah	2000	58.4%	79.1%	36.0%
Yemen	Al Qabbaytah	2017	84.2%	96.5%	68.4%
Yemen	Al Qaf	2000	66.8%	78.7%	55.1%
Yemen	Al Qaf	2017	90.5%	96.6%	81.7%
Yemen	Al Qaflah	2000	52.4%	75.3%	27.1%
Yemen	Al Qaflah	2017	89.7%	98.6%	76.3%
Yemen	Al Qafr	2000	68.5%	81.5%	51.9%
Yemen	Al Qafr	2017	96.8%	98.5%	91.7%
Yemen	Al Qahirah	2000	56.9%	64.7%	48.4%
Yemen	Al Qahirah	2017	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Yemen	Al Qanawis	2000	73.3%	96.5%	47.1%
Yemen	Al Qanawis	2017	93.9%	99.5%	80.6%
Yemen	Al Qatn	2000	70.0%	91.9%	39.9%
Yemen	Al Qatn	2017	95.3%	99.5%	81.5%
Yemen	Al Quraishyah	2000	59.1%	76.1%	39.7%
Yemen	Al Quraishyah	2017	90.9%	98.7%	73.5%
Yemen	Al Talh	2000	67.4%	85.6%	45.0%
Yemen	Al Talh	2017	91.5%	99.0%	76.6%
Yemen	Al Udayn	2000	62.5%	74.2%	48.9%
Yemen	Al Udayn	2017	89.8%	93.0%	85.5%
Yemen	Al Wade'a	2000	71.4%	95.0%	40.0%
Yemen	Al Wade'a	2017	95.2%	100.0%	80.9%
Yemen	Al Wahdah	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.1%
Yemen	Al Wahdah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Yemen	Al Wazi'iyah	2000	73.7%	97.7%	39.6%
Yemen	Al Wazi'iyah	2017	92.8%	100.0%	63.1%
Yemen	Alluheyah	2000	55.6%	75.9%	35.0%
Yemen	Alluheyah	2017	91.4%	98.4%	77.9%
Yemen	Amd	2000	73.3%	96.3%	43.0%
Yemen	Amd	2017	94.7%	99.9%	72.6%
Yemen	Amran	2000	56.0%	73.7%	49.8%
Yemen	Amran	2017	94.4%	96.6%	93.7%
Yemen	An Nadirah	2000	56.9%	85.3%	32.9%
Yemen	An Nadirah	2017	92.8%	99.6%	67.8%
Yemen	Anss	2000	55.7%	75.7%	34.7%
Yemen	Anss	2017	92.4%	98.6%	81.5%
Yemen	Ar Radmah	2000	68.6%	86.2%	36.5%
Yemen	Ar Radmah	2017	98.0%	99.7%	91.0%
Yemen	Ar Rawdah	2000	68.9%	90.3%	41.5%
Yemen	Ar Rawdah	2017	92.4%	99.5%	72.3%
Yemen	Ar Raydah	2000	60.1%	81.5%	39.0%
Yemen	Ar Raydah	2017	84.2%	98.0%	59.4%
Yemen	Ar Rujum	2000	54.6%	60.4%	49.1%
Yemen	Ar Rujum	2017	86.7%	91.2%	80.9%
Yemen	Ar Ryashyyah	2000	62.0%	85.5%	42.2%
Yemen	Ar Ryashyyah	2017	95.9%	99.9%	79.1%
Yemen	Arhab	2000	55.7%	75.5%	30.3%
Yemen	Arhab	2017	96.2%	99.6%	79.4%
Yemen	Arma	2000	60.6%	80.6%	40.5%
Yemen	Arma	2017	88.1%	97.5%	72.0%
Yemen	As Sabain	2000	59.0%	61.6%	56.4%
Yemen	As Sabain	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Yemen	As Sabrah	2000	62.8%	71.0%	52.5%
Yemen	As Sabrah	2017	98.3%	99.1%	96.6%
Yemen	As Saddah	2000	57.5%	99.7%	5.5%
Yemen	As Saddah	2017	88.9%	100.0%	45.1%
Yemen	As Safra	2000	71.0%	81.3%	60.6%
Yemen	As Safra	2017	95.2%	99.6%	85.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	As Said	2000	74.5%	95.4%	43.1%
Yemen	As Said	2017	95.6%	100.0%	79.4%
Yemen	As Salafiyah	2000	26.3%	46.1%	9.8%
Yemen	As Salafiyah	2017	84.5%	90.0%	78.9%
Yemen	As Salif	2000	59.9%	99.6%	9.3%
Yemen	As Salif	2017	86.3%	100.0%	47.2%
Yemen	As Sawadiyah	2000	53.0%	70.8%	33.6%
Yemen	As Sawadiyah	2017	94.9%	99.3%	87.0%
Yemen	As Sawd	2000	34.2%	49.2%	29.1%
Yemen	As Sawd	2017	57.0%	71.2%	54.1%
Yemen	As Sawm	2000	67.4%	85.7%	45.7%
Yemen	As Sawm	2017	91.2%	97.7%	77.1%
Yemen	As Sawma'ah	2000	79.1%	92.9%	57.0%
Yemen	As Sawma'ah	2017	97.5%	99.9%	83.7%
Yemen	As Sayyani	2000	78.7%	81.2%	73.6%
Yemen	As Sayyani	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.4%
Yemen	As Silw	2000	41.2%	58.2%	33.5%
Yemen	As Silw	2017	94.3%	96.5%	88.6%
Yemen	As Sudah	2000	65.6%	72.4%	52.0%
Yemen	As Sudah	2017	97.4%	98.7%	94.7%
Yemen	As Sukhnah	2000	61.7%	90.4%	28.7%
Yemen	As Sukhnah	2017	87.0%	94.6%	67.0%
Yemen	Ash Sha'ir	2000	72.0%	94.0%	43.6%
Yemen	Ash Sha'ir	2017	80.3%	99.2%	48.8%
Yemen	Ash Shaghadi- rah	2000	11.8%	15.0%	9.4%
Yemen	Ash Shaghadi- rah	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.0%
Yemen	Ash Shahil	2000	69.6%	75.3%	64.5%
Yemen	Ash Shahil	2017	98.8%	99.3%	97.6%
Yemen	Ash Shaikh Outhman	2000	82.0%	96.9%	69.4%
Yemen	Ash Shaikh Outhman	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Yemen	Ash Shamay- atayn	2000	34.1%	48.2%	19.0%
Yemen	Ash Shamay- atayn	2017	81.9%	90.0%	70.8%
Yemen	Ash Sharyah	2000	56.4%	86.2%	27.3%
Yemen	Ash Sharyah	2017	87.2%	98.9%	68.0%
Yemen	Ash Shihr	2000	61.5%	82.9%	34.9%
Yemen	Ash Shihr	2017	86.8%	98.0%	69.6%
Yemen	Ash Shu'ayb	2000	34.7%	72.9%	5.4%
Yemen	Ash Shu'ayb	2017	87.6%	98.8%	60.1%
Yemen	Aslem	2000	10.8%	18.7%	4.1%
Yemen	Aslem	2017	91.1%	93.9%	86.7%
Yemen	Assafi'yah	2000	57.8%	65.9%	50.6%
Yemen	Assafi'yah	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Yemen	At Ta'iziyah	2000	47.2%	56.6%	37.7%
Yemen	At Ta'iziyah	2017	92.9%	96.2%	88.9%
Yemen	At Taffah	2000	65.8%	84.0%	41.7%
Yemen	At Taffah	2017	90.3%	99.0%	77.1%
Yemen	At Tahrir	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Yemen	At Tahrir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Yemen	At Tawilah	2000	46.6%	60.8%	41.3%
Yemen	At Tawilah	2017	68.7%	84.1%	48.0%
Yemen	At Tuhayat	2000	70.4%	92.7%	39.3%
Yemen	At Tuhayat	2017	92.2%	99.5%	64.2%
Yemen	Ataq	2000	66.3%	91.3%	38.5%
Yemen	Ataq	2017	95.7%	99.8%	85.6%
Yemen	Ath'thaorah	2000	93.3%	99.4%	77.2%
Yemen	Ath'thaorah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Attawahi	2000	99.1%	100.0%	96.3%
Yemen	Attawahi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Yemen	Attyal	2000	66.3%	78.8%	51.7%
Yemen	Attyal	2017	97.2%	99.5%	90.5%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2000	58.2%	88.5%	17.7%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2000	58.0%	74.6%	40.2%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2017	98.3%	100.0%	92.1%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2017	97.2%	99.5%	88.5%
Yemen	Az Zaydiyah	2000	72.4%	92.8%	44.2%
Yemen	Az Zaydiyah	2017	94.8%	99.5%	81.4%
Yemen	Az Zuhrah	2000	59.9%	80.9%	41.6%
Yemen	Az Zuhrah	2017	90.4%	99.3%	71.7%
Yemen	Az'zal	2000	58.5%	66.9%	51.1%
Yemen	Az'zal	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Yemen	Ba'dan	2000	59.6%	79.9%	41.7%
Yemen	Ba'dan	2017	86.8%	98.4%	77.2%
Yemen	Bajil	2000	62.5%	80.4%	36.9%
Yemen	Bajil	2017	89.7%	97.0%	78.0%
Yemen	Bakil Al Mir	2000	64.1%	89.9%	33.6%
Yemen	Bakil Al Mir	2017	91.1%	99.7%	73.4%
Yemen	Bani Al Awam	2000	56.2%	58.6%	53.7%
Yemen	Bani Al Awam	2017	93.5%	94.8%	91.5%
Yemen	Bani Al Harith	2000	83.0%	93.6%	68.7%
Yemen	Bani Al Harith	2017	98.8%	100.0%	94.7%
Yemen	Bani Dhabyan	2000	67.5%	86.3%	44.8%
Yemen	Bani Dhabyan	2017	91.7%	99.1%	79.5%
Yemen	Bani Hushaysh	2000	76.2%	86.9%	63.4%
Yemen	Bani Hushaysh	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.2%
Yemen	Bani Matar	2000	78.2%	85.9%	70.6%
Yemen	Bani Matar	2017	96.9%	98.9%	93.7%
Yemen	Bani Qa'is	2000	35.2%	53.9%	15.0%
Yemen	Bani Qa'is	2017	93.2%	98.6%	83.1%
Yemen	Bani Sa'd	2000	19.2%	35.2%	9.9%
Yemen	Bani Sa'd	2017	90.2%	95.5%	75.7%
Yemen	Bani Suraim	2000	62.9%	85.9%	31.1%
Yemen	Bani Suraim	2017	91.5%	99.7%	68.1%
Yemen	Baqim	2000	65.3%	89.4%	33.5%
Yemen	Baqim	2017	92.4%	99.3%	79.6%
Yemen	Bart Al Anan	2000	55.9%	76.5%	31.6%
Yemen	Bart Al Anan	2017	91.7%	98.4%	76.7%
Yemen	Bayhan	2000	70.9%	93.9%	38.4%
Yemen	Bayhan	2017	96.3%	100.0%	83.7%
Yemen	Bayt Al Faqiah	2000	68.5%	84.9%	51.5%
Yemen	Bayt Al Faqiah	2017	93.4%	99.4%	80.7%
Yemen	Bidbadah	2000	18.5%	41.1%	2.5%
Yemen	Bidbadah	2017	87.7%	94.0%	74.5%
Yemen	Bilad Ar Rus	2000	69.9%	87.3%	43.5%
Yemen	Bilad Ar Rus	2017	93.3%	99.4%	75.4%
Yemen	Bilad At Ta'am	2000	32.9%	47.5%	17.2%
Yemen	Bilad At Ta'am	2017	78.4%	87.9%	69.6%
Yemen	Brom Mayfa	2000	60.1%	84.5%	31.6%
Yemen	Brom Mayfa	2017	86.4%	98.6%	56.0%
Yemen	Bura	2000	12.0%	35.5%	2.2%
Yemen	Bura	2017	23.5%	38.9%	16.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Craiter	2000	98.5%	100.0%	93.8%
Yemen	Craiter	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Yemen	Damt	2000	63.2%	79.1%	44.6%
Yemen	Damt	2017	96.6%	97.6%	94.1%
Yemen	Dar Sad	2000	82.7%	95.5%	70.6%
Yemen	Dar Sad	2017	98.9%	99.8%	97.1%
Yemen	Daw'an	2000	63.4%	85.9%	37.1%
Yemen	Daw'an	2017	89.5%	98.9%	62.2%
Yemen	Dawran Aness	2000	63.8%	76.3%	46.5%
Yemen	Dawran Aness	2017	92.4%	97.0%	82.5%
Yemen	Dhamar City	2000	70.0%	82.9%	55.5%
Yemen	Dhamar City	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.7%
Yemen	Dhar	2000	67.1%	89.4%	37.0%
Yemen	Dhar	2017	89.6%	99.4%	69.0%
Yemen	Dhi As Sufal	2000	57.5%	59.8%	55.2%
Yemen	Dhi As Sufal	2017	74.1%	77.5%	72.1%
Yemen	Dhi Bin	2000	56.0%	79.5%	24.9%
Yemen	Dhi Bin	2017	96.2%	99.6%	83.6%
Yemen	Dhi Na'im	2000	90.8%	97.3%	75.0%
Yemen	Dhi Na'im	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Yemen	Dhubab	2000	54.4%	81.0%	25.6%
Yemen	Dhubab	2017	77.4%	94.3%	49.2%
Yemen	Dimnat	2000	46.6%	76.2%	25.9%
Yemen	Dimnat Khadir	2017	91.0%	99.3%	61.1%
Yemen	Far Al Udayn	2000	45.3%	56.0%	32.7%
Yemen	Far Al Udayn	2017	91.7%	95.8%	86.1%
Yemen	Ghamr	2000	30.5%	32.4%	25.4%
Yemen	Ghamr	2017	92.6%	94.0%	90.1%
Yemen	Ghayl Ba Wazir	2000	64.1%	91.0%	28.2%
Yemen	Ghayl Ba Wazir	2017	86.3%	99.1%	52.7%
Yemen	Ghayl Bin Yamin	2000	68.3%	85.5%	46.2%
Yemen	Ghayl Bin Yamin	2017	90.8%	98.2%	73.7%
Yemen	Habban	2000	67.9%	95.8%	34.9%
Yemen	Habban	2017	91.8%	99.9%	68.6%
Yemen	Habil Jabr	2000	60.9%	92.6%	20.7%
Yemen	Habil Jabr	2017	83.1%	97.5%	53.2%
Yemen	Habur Zulaymah	2000	28.3%	63.4%	5.4%
Yemen	Habur Zulaymah	2017	81.5%	91.4%	67.2%
Yemen	Hagr As Sai'ar	2000	68.7%	85.9%	44.4%
Yemen	Hagr As Sai'ar	2017	91.6%	98.7%	76.8%
Yemen	Hajjah	2000	23.0%	28.7%	17.7%
Yemen	Hajjah	2017	80.3%	82.4%	77.7%
Yemen	Hajjah City	2000	84.7%	87.4%	81.7%
Yemen	Hajjah City	2017	76.0%	80.1%	71.8%
Yemen	Hajr	2000	61.3%	79.9%	41.7%
Yemen	Hajr	2017	87.4%	97.1%	68.7%
Yemen	Halimayn	2000	54.0%	92.8%	15.1%
Yemen	Halimayn	2017	86.9%	99.9%	58.1%
Yemen	Hamdan	2000	81.9%	94.4%	64.0%
Yemen	Hamdan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	97.1%
Yemen	Harad	2000	66.2%	90.1%	38.5%
Yemen	Harad	2017	92.8%	99.7%	78.2%
Yemen	Harf Sufyan	2000	66.4%	81.6%	50.0%
Yemen	Harf Sufyan	2017	91.4%	98.1%	78.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Harib	2000	61.2%	80.2%	39.3%
Yemen	Harib	2017	95.8%	99.2%	88.1%
Yemen	Harib Al Qaramish	2000	53.0%	88.7%	16.6%
Yemen	Harib Al Qaramish	2017	85.9%	99.0%	58.5%
Yemen	Hat	2000	69.1%	77.5%	59.6%
Yemen	Hat	2017	91.4%	96.4%	83.5%
Yemen	Hatib	2000	72.6%	97.0%	30.5%
Yemen	Hatib	2017	93.6%	100.0%	66.4%
Yemen	Hawf	2000	70.0%	93.8%	37.8%
Yemen	Hawf	2017	92.8%	99.8%	72.2%
Yemen	Haydan	2000	28.6%	39.7%	13.0%
Yemen	Haydan	2017	91.8%	96.2%	78.4%
Yemen	Hayfan	2000	56.1%	61.3%	50.6%
Yemen	Hayfan	2017	98.7%	99.2%	97.4%
Yemen	Hayran	2000	58.8%	99.7%	3.3%
Yemen	Hayran	2017	87.6%	100.0%	29.5%
Yemen	Hays	2000	77.7%	98.6%	35.7%
Yemen	Hays	2017	96.3%	100.0%	74.7%
Yemen	Hazm Al Udayn	2000	80.2%	90.3%	63.2%
Yemen	Hazm Al Udayn	2017	98.7%	99.8%	93.9%
Yemen	Hidaybu	2000	62.2%	84.2%	40.6%
Yemen	Hidaybu	2017	88.1%	98.1%	72.1%
Yemen	Hubaysh	2000	74.9%	87.5%	52.8%
Yemen	Hubaysh	2017	98.8%	99.4%	97.1%
Yemen	Hufash	2000	4.4%	7.8%	3.0%
Yemen	Hufash	2017	88.7%	89.9%	87.4%
Yemen	Huraidhah	2000	64.2%	91.2%	27.4%
Yemen	Huraidhah	2017	90.4%	99.8%	64.8%
Yemen	Huswain	2000	52.2%	76.9%	26.3%
Yemen	Huswain	2017	80.8%	97.9%	51.1%
Yemen	Huth	2000	53.4%	85.1%	13.2%
Yemen	Huth	2017	89.4%	99.2%	53.1%
Yemen	Ibb	2000	73.4%	79.0%	66.6%
Yemen	Ibb	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Yemen	Iyal Surayh	2000	79.6%	86.4%	72.6%
Yemen	Iyal Surayh	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Yemen	Jabal Ash sharq	2000	55.4%	75.1%	38.3%
Yemen	Jabal Ash sharq	2017	93.2%	96.8%	89.6%
Yemen	Jabal Habashy	2000	59.8%	68.3%	47.2%
Yemen	Jabal Habashy	2017	94.2%	98.2%	88.5%
Yemen	Jabal Iyal Yazid	2000	50.8%	67.1%	35.2%
Yemen	Jabal Iyal Yazid	2017	78.5%	88.7%	67.7%
Yemen	Jabal Murad	2000	44.3%	69.4%	18.7%
Yemen	Jabal Murad	2017	95.6%	99.4%	78.4%
Yemen	Jabal Ra's	2000	70.6%	94.1%	36.3%
Yemen	Jabal Ra's	2017	93.5%	100.0%	67.7%
Yemen	Jahaf	2000	42.4%	47.1%	39.8%
Yemen	Jahaf	2017	98.8%	99.4%	96.1%
Yemen	Jahrnan	2000	81.0%	97.7%	60.5%
Yemen	Jahrnan	2017	96.2%	100.0%	83.6%
Yemen	Jardan	2000	66.4%	83.0%	47.0%
Yemen	Jardan	2017	91.3%	98.5%	74.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Jayshan	2000	65.5%	92.7%	35.9%
Yemen	Jayshan	2017	93.5%	99.9%	74.0%
Yemen	Jiblah	2000	77.3%	78.9%	75.2%
Yemen	Jiblah	2017	88.2%	90.2%	85.1%
Yemen	Jihanah	2000	75.5%	91.2%	55.1%
Yemen	Jihanah	2017	98.4%	100.0%	92.9%
Yemen	Juban	2000	68.3%	87.5%	44.2%
Yemen	Juban	2017	94.2%	99.3%	84.4%
Yemen	Kamaran	2000	56.7%	99.8%	2.3%
Yemen	Kamaran	2017	83.1%	100.0%	20.5%
Yemen	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	2000	67.2%	78.1%	53.2%
Yemen	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	2017	92.4%	97.3%	84.9%
Yemen	Khamir	2000	45.0%	56.9%	35.0%
Yemen	Khamir	2017	94.9%	99.2%	88.2%
Yemen	Khanfir	2000	61.3%	84.4%	35.4%
Yemen	Khanfir	2017	89.1%	97.8%	70.2%
Yemen	Kharab Al Marashi	2000	40.4%	64.7%	20.5%
Yemen	Kharab Al Marashi	2017	97.2%	99.4%	89.5%
Yemen	Kharif	2000	47.7%	70.1%	27.4%
Yemen	Kharif	2017	90.1%	94.9%	80.6%
Yemen	Khayran Al Muharraq	2000	7.1%	16.9%	1.7%
Yemen	Khayran Al Muharraq	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Yemen	Khur Maksar	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Yemen	Khur Maksar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Yemen	Khwlan	2000	50.8%	74.5%	21.3%
Yemen	Khwlan	2017	93.0%	98.7%	74.4%
Yemen	Kitaf wa Al Boqe'e	2000	69.5%	86.7%	48.5%
Yemen	Kitaf wa Al Boqe'e	2017	92.0%	98.4%	81.0%
Yemen	Ku'aydinah	2000	34.7%	53.6%	18.2%
Yemen	Ku'aydinah	2017	94.4%	97.1%	79.3%
Yemen	Kuhlan Affar	2000	17.2%	26.3%	12.8%
Yemen	Kuhlan Affar	2017	84.8%	86.8%	82.8%
Yemen	Kuhlan Ash Sharaf	2000	58.9%	63.9%	35.8%
Yemen	Kuhlan Ash Sharaf	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Yemen	Kushar	2000	27.2%	60.3%	3.3%
Yemen	Kushar	2017	85.7%	95.3%	72.8%
Yemen	Kusmah	2000	6.5%	7.1%	5.9%
Yemen	Kusmah	2017	86.1%	87.5%	84.8%
Yemen	Lawdar	2000	66.7%	85.1%	44.5%
Yemen	Lawdar	2017	93.3%	99.3%	81.6%
Yemen	Ma'ain	2000	98.0%	99.7%	93.1%
Yemen	Ma'ain	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Yemen	Mabyan	2000	82.8%	91.3%	72.6%
Yemen	Mabyan	2017	97.3%	98.8%	94.2%
Yemen	Maghirib Ans	2000	29.9%	43.4%	15.3%
Yemen	Maghirib Ans	2017	88.3%	92.3%	76.5%
Yemen	Mahliyah	2000	57.5%	84.1%	24.5%
Yemen	Mahliyah	2017	90.8%	99.3%	67.4%
Yemen	Majz	2000	51.4%	71.1%	30.3%
Yemen	Majz	2017	89.7%	95.5%	74.7%
Yemen	Majzar	2000	62.4%	84.9%	34.6%
Yemen	Majzar	2017	96.1%	99.8%	85.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Man'ar	2000	70.9%	83.0%	57.4%
Yemen	Man'ar	2017	92.9%	97.3%	84.9%
Yemen	Manakhah	2000	34.6%	50.1%	21.0%
Yemen	Manakhah	2017	83.5%	91.8%	68.5%
Yemen	Maqbanah	2000	65.3%	78.3%	49.1%
Yemen	Maqbanah	2017	92.4%	97.9%	83.9%
Yemen	Marib	2000	68.8%	82.5%	53.0%
Yemen	Marib	2017	95.5%	98.3%	90.2%
Yemen	Marib City	2000	62.2%	92.2%	32.9%
Yemen	Marib City	2017	97.4%	99.3%	93.0%
Yemen	Mashra'a Wa Hadnan	2000	55.5%	64.7%	45.5%
Yemen	Mashra'a Wa Hadnan	2017	97.7%	99.2%	94.9%
Yemen	Maswar	2000	58.0%	61.0%	52.6%
Yemen	Maswar	2017	90.3%	91.6%	88.6%
Yemen	Maswarah	2000	71.7%	94.2%	47.8%
Yemen	Maswarah	2017	95.4%	99.9%	83.2%
Yemen	Mawiyah	2000	37.6%	49.3%	22.4%
Yemen	Mawiyah	2017	96.9%	97.9%	93.6%
Yemen	Mawza	2000	69.0%	92.9%	32.0%
Yemen	Mawza	2017	94.8%	99.9%	78.9%
Yemen	Mayfa'a	2000	84.4%	94.9%	69.8%
Yemen	Mayfa'a	2017	95.9%	99.7%	86.4%
Yemen	Mayfa'at Anss	2000	62.2%	91.2%	28.1%
Yemen	Mayfa'at Anss	2017	88.6%	99.7%	61.5%
Yemen	Mazhar	2000	16.3%	21.8%	10.8%
Yemen	Mazhar	2017	84.1%	86.5%	82.3%
Yemen	Medghal	2000	57.9%	95.0%	22.8%
Yemen	Medghal	2017	90.5%	99.7%	64.1%
Yemen	Merkhah Al Ulya	2000	74.6%	91.9%	47.0%
Yemen	Merkhah Al Ulya	2017	97.1%	100.0%	82.6%
Yemen	Merkhah As Sufla	2000	61.2%	77.8%	41.6%
Yemen	Merkhah As Sufla	2017	89.1%	97.8%	76.4%
Yemen	Midi	2000	60.9%	86.6%	25.4%
Yemen	Midi	2017	90.8%	99.5%	71.8%
Yemen	Milhan	2000	6.4%	15.6%	2.7%
Yemen	Milhan	2017	89.0%	90.4%	86.5%
Yemen	Monabbih	2000	36.2%	51.8%	21.9%
Yemen	Monabbih	2017	72.1%	82.0%	62.8%
Yemen	Mudhaykhirah	2000	53.3%	59.9%	45.9%
Yemen	Mudhaykhirah	2017	86.8%	92.3%	79.7%
Yemen	Mudiyah	2000	64.8%	89.2%	35.1%
Yemen	Mudiyah	2017	92.0%	99.7%	71.2%
Yemen	Mukayras	2000	80.2%	95.7%	49.1%
Yemen	Mukayras	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.6%
Yemen	Mustaba	2000	37.4%	64.9%	10.1%
Yemen	Mustaba	2017	92.2%	96.9%	83.2%
Yemen	Na'man	2000	56.9%	88.4%	12.5%
Yemen	Na'man	2017	91.5%	99.7%	69.5%
Yemen	Najrah	2000	21.7%	24.4%	20.2%
Yemen	Najrah	2017	84.4%	86.7%	81.6%
Yemen	Nati'	2000	72.3%	92.1%	38.2%
Yemen	Nati'	2017	96.6%	99.9%	82.8%
Yemen	Nihm	2000	62.7%	85.7%	32.2%
Yemen	Nihm	2017	89.2%	99.3%	69.5%
Yemen	Nisab	2000	60.0%	81.3%	34.7%
Yemen	Nisab	2017	83.6%	97.3%	65.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Old City	2000	63.2%	70.8%	56.6%
Yemen	Old City	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Yemen	Qa'atabah	2000	66.8%	73.0%	60.5%
Yemen	Qa'atabah	2017	98.3%	99.3%	95.9%
Yemen	Qafil Shamer	2000	17.1%	22.3%	13.6%
Yemen	Qafil Shamer	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Yemen	Qarah	2000	43.9%	75.5%	11.5%
Yemen	Qarah	2017	82.5%	97.9%	55.8%
Yemen	Qatabir	2000	56.8%	95.1%	13.0%
Yemen	Qatabir	2017	90.1%	99.6%	64.8%
Yemen	Qishn	2000	55.0%	91.4%	22.6%
Yemen	Qishn	2017	88.3%	99.5%	52.8%
Yemen	Qulensya Wa Abd Al Kuri	2000	68.4%	92.4%	40.4%
Yemen	Qulensya Wa Abd Al Kuri	2017	91.8%	99.8%	72.4%
Yemen	Rada'	2000	65.1%	80.0%	48.4%
Yemen	Rada'	2017	93.7%	98.4%	79.5%
Yemen	Radfan	2000	65.2%	90.2%	34.0%
Yemen	Radfan	2017	91.6%	99.8%	58.5%
Yemen	Radman Al Awad	2000	77.8%	92.9%	54.0%
Yemen	Radman Al Awad	2017	98.6%	99.8%	91.7%
Yemen	Raghwan	2000	70.8%	95.8%	34.0%
Yemen	Raghwan	2017	95.5%	100.0%	80.8%
Yemen	Rahabah	2000	66.7%	91.5%	32.7%
Yemen	Rahabah	2017	91.5%	99.8%	67.1%
Yemen	Rajuzah	2000	50.8%	75.5%	23.7%
Yemen	Rajuzah	2017	89.4%	98.1%	73.0%
Yemen	Rakhyah	2000	63.1%	94.1%	25.5%
Yemen	Rakhyah	2017	88.8%	99.8%	58.6%
Yemen	Rasad	2000	39.6%	74.6%	8.5%
Yemen	Rasad	2017	85.1%	98.8%	60.9%
Yemen	Raydah	2000	41.2%	64.6%	17.1%
Yemen	Raydah	2017	96.5%	98.4%	93.5%
Yemen	Razih	2000	39.9%	51.9%	30.3%
Yemen	Razih	2017	87.1%	94.4%	80.6%
Yemen	Rudum	2000	63.9%	79.1%	47.0%
Yemen	Rudum	2017	87.5%	96.0%	73.2%
Yemen	Rumah	2000	69.5%	79.2%	58.4%
Yemen	Rumah	2017	91.9%	96.5%	85.2%
Yemen	Sa'adah	2000	85.0%	92.3%	77.6%
Yemen	Sa'adah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Yemen	Sa'fan	2000	16.4%	33.3%	3.4%
Yemen	Sa'fan	2017	90.3%	92.5%	86.9%
Yemen	Sabah	2000	69.0%	87.1%	41.1%
Yemen	Sabah	2017	90.0%	99.1%	77.5%
Yemen	Sabir Al Mawadim	2000	57.0%	69.9%	43.5%
Yemen	Sabir Al Mawadim	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.5%
Yemen	Sah	2000	65.9%	88.0%	41.2%
Yemen	Sah	2017	90.6%	98.9%	70.2%
Yemen	Sahar	2000	57.1%	69.7%	46.0%
Yemen	Sahar	2017	98.0%	99.7%	93.6%
Yemen	Salh	2000	60.9%	73.3%	48.4%
Yemen	Salh	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Yemen	Sama	2000	6.0%	12.4%	3.5%
Yemen	Sama	2017	92.9%	95.3%	88.5%
Yemen	Sanhan	2000	56.7%	61.6%	51.7%
Yemen	Sanhan	2017	98.2%	98.7%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Saqayn	2000	37.2%	50.8%	17.4%
Yemen	Saqayn	2017	93.7%	95.9%	86.0%
Yemen	Sarar	2000	56.0%	79.7%	30.4%
Yemen	Sarar	2017	90.7%	99.4%	71.7%
Yemen	Sayhut	2000	76.7%	93.3%	55.4%
Yemen	Sayhut	2017	93.4%	99.7%	75.1%
Yemen	Sayun	2000	78.1%	99.0%	37.9%
Yemen	Sayun	2017	96.1%	100.0%	77.3%
Yemen	Shada'a	2000	40.0%	67.1%	24.0%
Yemen	Shada'a	2017	88.8%	99.3%	78.7%
Yemen	Shahan	2000	67.0%	80.2%	51.7%
Yemen	Shahan	2017	90.6%	97.2%	80.7%
Yemen	Shaharah	2000	33.8%	43.5%	27.3%
Yemen	Shaharah	2017	85.5%	92.8%	82.7%
Yemen	Shara'b Ar Rawnah	2000	46.9%	71.6%	16.2%
Yemen	Shara'b Ar Rawnah	2017	87.9%	95.7%	67.2%
Yemen	Shara'b As Salam	2000	62.4%	81.9%	38.1%
Yemen	Shara'b As Salam	2017	98.2%	99.7%	87.3%
Yemen	Sharas	2000	52.1%	56.1%	46.9%
Yemen	Sharas	2017	88.0%	90.8%	85.4%
Yemen	Shibam	2000	73.8%	96.1%	40.1%
Yemen	Shibam	2017	96.3%	99.9%	85.9%
Yemen	Shibam Kawkaban	2000	48.4%	53.2%	43.7%
Yemen	Shibam Kawkaban	2017	62.4%	70.2%	54.2%
Yemen	Shu'aub	2000	77.7%	82.9%	71.6%
Yemen	Shu'aub	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Yemen	Sibah	2000	59.8%	91.2%	22.1%
Yemen	Sibah	2017	92.6%	100.0%	74.1%
Yemen	Sirwah	2000	59.4%	81.9%	34.6%
Yemen	Sirwah	2017	90.0%	98.9%	74.8%
Yemen	Suwayr	2000	24.6%	57.5%	4.2%
Yemen	Suwayr	2017	46.1%	78.0%	27.5%
Yemen	Tarim	2000	66.0%	90.5%	38.9%
Yemen	Tarim	2017	93.8%	99.7%	77.6%
Yemen	Thamud	2000	68.1%	78.4%	57.2%
Yemen	Thamud	2017	91.1%	96.4%	83.3%
Yemen	Thula	2000	54.5%	65.1%	34.2%
Yemen	Thula	2017	93.3%	95.3%	88.8%
Yemen	Tuban	2000	72.0%	88.7%	52.7%
Yemen	Tuban	2017	92.0%	99.6%	75.4%
Yemen	Tur Al Bahah	2000	58.9%	80.5%	33.7%
Yemen	Tur Al Bahah	2017	90.2%	98.1%	75.3%
Yemen	Usaylan	2000	63.3%	87.9%	26.1%
Yemen	Usaylan	2017	90.7%	98.7%	65.2%
Yemen	Utmah	2000	17.1%	30.1%	8.6%
Yemen	Utmah	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.9%
Yemen	Wadhrah	2000	8.1%	20.6%	1.8%
Yemen	Wadhrah	2017	96.8%	99.3%	82.2%
Yemen	Wadi Al Ayn	2000	66.5%	88.7%	39.5%
Yemen	Wadi Al Ayn	2017	92.4%	99.5%	77.0%
Yemen	Wald Rabi'	2000	57.2%	82.7%	31.6%
Yemen	Wald Rabi'	2017	89.5%	98.4%	72.0%
Yemen	Washhah	2000	26.4%	44.1%	10.3%
Yemen	Washhah	2017	96.6%	98.5%	93.9%
Yemen	Wusab Al Ali	2000	26.2%	36.3%	19.0%
Yemen	Wusab Al Ali	2017	81.8%	86.6%	77.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Wusab As Safil	2000	31.0%	45.5%	16.0%
Yemen	Wusab As Safil	2017	79.6%	88.3%	71.6%
Yemen	Yabuth	2000	64.9%	86.4%	36.3%
Yemen	Yabuth	2017	89.5%	99.1%	71.2%
Yemen	Yafa'a	2000	49.1%	75.7%	18.3%
Yemen	Yafa'a	2017	91.1%	98.8%	72.5%
Yemen	Yahr	2000	40.8%	61.0%	22.6%
Yemen	Yahr	2017	86.9%	95.8%	75.4%
Yemen	Yarim	2000	67.2%	86.2%	39.6%
Yemen	Yarim	2017	97.9%	99.8%	91.0%
Yemen	Zabid	2000	70.9%	91.7%	48.1%
Yemen	Zabid	2017	95.6%	99.9%	80.9%
Yemen	Zamakh wa Manwakh	2000	65.5%	76.1%	55.1%
Yemen	Zamakh wa Manwakh	2017	90.2%	95.5%	82.3%
Yemen	Zingibar	2000	58.5%	99.9%	0.6%
Yemen	Zingibar	2017	87.1%	100.0%	18.9%
South Asia					
Bangladesh	Bagerhat	2000	75.2%	81.7%	68.9%
Bangladesh	Bagerhat	2017	57.4%	66.1%	48.8%
Bangladesh	Bandarban	2000	90.0%	94.3%	84.7%
Bangladesh	Bandarban	2017	80.4%	87.5%	73.5%
Bangladesh	Barguna	2000	89.4%	92.6%	84.8%
Bangladesh	Barguna	2017	79.0%	83.8%	72.9%
Bangladesh	Barisal	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.4%
Bangladesh	Barisal	2017	97.7%	98.8%	95.8%
Bangladesh	Bhola	2000	98.6%	99.2%	97.6%
Bangladesh	Bhola	2017	96.2%	97.8%	93.7%
Bangladesh	Bogra	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Bangladesh	Bogra	2017	98.3%	99.2%	96.6%
Bangladesh	Brahamanbaria	2000	97.8%	98.9%	95.4%
Bangladesh	Brahamanbaria	2017	93.7%	96.2%	89.5%
Bangladesh	Chandpur	2000	98.6%	99.5%	95.9%
Bangladesh	Chandpur	2017	95.2%	97.7%	89.8%
Bangladesh	Chittagong	2000	98.4%	99.1%	97.3%
Bangladesh	Chittagong	2017	95.4%	97.0%	93.2%
Bangladesh	Chuadanga	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.6%
Bangladesh	Chuadanga	2017	97.9%	99.3%	94.0%
Bangladesh	Comilla	2000	97.8%	98.9%	95.6%
Bangladesh	Comilla	2017	94.4%	96.8%	90.9%
Bangladesh	Cox'S Bazar	2000	97.4%	99.3%	92.9%
Bangladesh	Cox'S Bazar	2017	93.3%	97.8%	85.5%
Bangladesh	Dhaka	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Bangladesh	Dhaka	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.3%
Bangladesh	Dinajpur	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Bangladesh	Dinajpur	2017	98.3%	99.4%	96.5%
Bangladesh	Faridpur	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.6%
Bangladesh	Faridpur	2017	97.8%	99.5%	94.0%
Bangladesh	Feni	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.5%
Bangladesh	Feni	2017	97.7%	99.2%	95.1%
Bangladesh	Gaibandha	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.6%
Bangladesh	Gaibandha	2017	98.4%	99.4%	96.1%
Bangladesh	Gazipur	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.9%
Bangladesh	Gazipur	2017	98.0%	99.6%	94.3%
Bangladesh	Gopalganj	2000	98.1%	99.7%	93.9%
Bangladesh	Gopalganj	2017	95.0%	99.1%	86.8%
Bangladesh	Habiganj	2000	98.3%	99.1%	96.9%
Bangladesh	Habiganj	2017	94.6%	96.7%	91.3%
Bangladesh	Jamalpur	2000	99.2%	99.9%	97.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bangladesh	Jamalpur	2017	97.7%	99.4%	93.0%
Bangladesh	Jessore	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Bangladesh	Jessore	2017	98.0%	99.1%	96.0%
Bangladesh	Jhalokati	2000	89.2%	92.5%	87.0%
Bangladesh	Jhalokati	2017	83.6%	86.1%	79.4%
Bangladesh	Jhenaidah	2000	99.1%	99.7%	97.6%
Bangladesh	Jhenaidah	2017	96.9%	98.8%	93.2%
Bangladesh	Joypurhat	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.0%
Bangladesh	Joypurhat	2017	98.2%	99.7%	93.7%
Bangladesh	Khagrachhari	2000	93.6%	98.0%	85.2%
Bangladesh	Khagrachhari	2017	85.3%	94.0%	71.9%
Bangladesh	Khulna	2000	92.3%	94.7%	88.9%
Bangladesh	Khulna	2017	85.1%	88.7%	80.5%
Bangladesh	Kishoreganj	2000	99.1%	99.8%	97.4%
Bangladesh	Kishoreganj	2017	97.1%	99.1%	93.0%
Bangladesh	Kurigram	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.1%
Bangladesh	Kurigram	2017	97.9%	99.3%	94.9%
Bangladesh	Kushtia	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.3%
Bangladesh	Kushtia	2017	98.1%	99.3%	95.3%
Bangladesh	Lakshmipur	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.2%
Bangladesh	Lakshmipur	2017	96.6%	98.8%	92.1%
Bangladesh	Lalmonirhat	2000	98.0%	99.4%	95.6%
Bangladesh	Lalmonirhat	2017	94.9%	97.9%	90.1%
Bangladesh	Madaripur	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.3%
Bangladesh	Madaripur	2017	97.8%	99.5%	93.5%
Bangladesh	Magura	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.0%
Bangladesh	Magura	2017	97.3%	99.2%	92.4%
Bangladesh	Manikganj	2000	99.0%	99.8%	96.3%
Bangladesh	Manikganj	2017	97.1%	99.5%	91.9%
Bangladesh	Maulvibazar	2000	90.6%	94.0%	86.7%
Bangladesh	Maulvibazar	2017	82.1%	86.4%	76.3%
Bangladesh	Meherpur	2000	99.4%	99.9%	96.9%
Bangladesh	Meherpur	2017	97.7%	99.6%	92.4%
Bangladesh	Munshiganj	2000	98.9%	99.8%	95.5%
Bangladesh	Munshiganj	2017	96.7%	99.2%	89.7%
Bangladesh	Mymensingh	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.1%
Bangladesh	Mymensingh	2017	97.9%	99.3%	95.1%
Bangladesh	Naogaon	2000	97.0%	98.7%	94.1%
Bangladesh	Naogaon	2017	93.3%	96.8%	89.2%
Bangladesh	Narail	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.6%
Bangladesh	Narail	2017	97.5%	99.6%	93.4%
Bangladesh	Narayanganj	2000	98.6%	99.6%	97.0%
Bangladesh	Narayanganj	2017	96.0%	98.0%	92.5%
Bangladesh	Narsingdi	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.4%
Bangladesh	Narsingdi	2017	97.4%	99.1%	94.3%
Bangladesh	Natore	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.5%
Bangladesh	Natore	2017	97.9%	99.4%	94.9%
Bangladesh	Nawabganj	2000	94.6%	97.5%	90.0%
Bangladesh	Nawabganj	2017	87.1%	91.9%	79.9%
Bangladesh	Netrakona	2000	98.4%	99.5%	95.4%
Bangladesh	Netrakona	2017	95.2%	98.1%	89.5%
Bangladesh	Nilphamari	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.1%
Bangladesh	Nilphamari	2017	98.0%	99.3%	94.9%
Bangladesh	Noakhali	2000	98.8%	99.6%	97.4%
Bangladesh	Noakhali	2017	96.5%	98.5%	93.7%
Bangladesh	Pabna	2000	99.0%	99.7%	96.8%
Bangladesh	Pabna	2017	97.0%	99.1%	92.8%
Bangladesh	Panchagarh	2000	98.7%	99.7%	96.3%
Bangladesh	Panchagarh	2017	96.0%	99.0%	91.0%
Bangladesh	Patuakhali	2000	98.5%	99.4%	96.9%
Bangladesh	Patuakhali	2017	95.8%	98.0%	92.5%
Bangladesh	Pirojpur	2000	83.4%	88.9%	75.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bangladesh	Pirojpur	2017	68.4%	76.7%	59.7%
Bangladesh	Rajbari	2000	98.9%	99.8%	95.8%
Bangladesh	Rajbari	2017	96.3%	99.3%	88.8%
Bangladesh	Rajshahi	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.0%
Bangladesh	Rajshahi	2017	97.9%	99.2%	95.1%
Bangladesh	Rangamati	2000	93.6%	97.5%	88.1%
Bangladesh	Rangamati	2017	85.9%	92.9%	76.5%
Bangladesh	Rangpur	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
Bangladesh	Rangpur	2017	98.2%	99.3%	95.8%
Bangladesh	Satkhira	2000	91.9%	94.3%	88.5%
Bangladesh	Satkhira	2017	83.1%	87.2%	77.0%
Bangladesh	Shariatpur	2000	98.5%	99.8%	93.5%
Bangladesh	Shariatpur	2017	95.7%	99.3%	86.4%
Bangladesh	Sherpur	2000	99.1%	99.8%	97.0%
Bangladesh	Sherpur	2017	97.3%	99.5%	92.9%
Bangladesh	Sirajganj	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Bangladesh	Sirajganj	2017	98.1%	99.4%	95.8%
Bangladesh	Sunamganj	2000	96.3%	97.9%	93.8%
Bangladesh	Sunamganj	2017	90.2%	93.3%	86.3%
Bangladesh	Sylhet	2000	90.8%	93.1%	88.4%
Bangladesh	Sylhet	2017	82.7%	86.0%	79.5%
Bangladesh	Tangail	2000	98.9%	99.7%	97.3%
Bangladesh	Tangail	2017	96.8%	98.9%	92.5%
Bangladesh	Thakurgaon	2000	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Bangladesh	Thakurgaon	2017	98.0%	99.5%	95.1%
India	Adilabad	2000	77.3%	85.6%	67.4%
India	Adilabad	2017	86.6%	92.4%	78.8%
India	Agar Malwa	2000	63.9%	68.3%	59.0%
India	Agar Malwa	2017	77.0%	80.3%	72.6%
India	Agra	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.6%
India	Agra	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
India	Ahmadnagar	2000	81.0%	83.8%	78.0%
India	Ahmadnagar	2017	90.2%	92.2%	87.9%
India	Ahmedabad	2000	91.0%	92.4%	89.5%
India	Ahmedabad	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
India	Aizawl	2000	79.6%	83.1%	75.7%
India	Aizawl	2017	87.7%	90.3%	84.7%
India	Ajmer	2000	84.7%	86.8%	82.7%
India	Ajmer	2017	92.0%	93.3%	90.7%
India	Akola	2000	91.5%	93.7%	89.0%
India	Akola	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.3%
India	Alappuzha	2000	78.9%	81.0%	76.5%
India	Alappuzha	2017	89.5%	90.7%	88.2%
India	Aligarh	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
India	Aligarh	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
India	Alipurduar	2000	88.0%	92.7%	81.0%
India	Alipurduar	2017	94.1%	96.9%	88.8%
India	Alirajpur	2000	68.1%	70.8%	65.5%
India	Alirajpur	2017	81.6%	83.7%	79.8%
India	Allahabad	2000	82.8%	84.9%	80.3%
India	Allahabad	2017	90.9%	92.3%	89.0%
India	Almora	2000	75.7%	78.0%	73.1%
India	Almora	2017	84.0%	85.9%	81.5%
India	Alwar	2000	84.2%	85.9%	82.6%
India	Alwar	2017	92.9%	93.9%	92.0%
India	Ambala	2000	97.5%	98.6%	95.8%
India	Ambala	2017	98.9%	99.5%	97.9%
India	Ambedkar Na- gar	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
India	Ambedkar Na- gar	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
India	Amethi	2000	88.5%	91.2%	85.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Amethi	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
India	Amravati	2000	82.4%	85.9%	78.4%
India	Amravati	2017	89.9%	92.5%	86.8%
India	Amreli	2000	89.7%	92.3%	86.5%
India	Amreli	2017	95.2%	96.8%	93.2%
India	Amritsar	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
India	Amritsar	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
India	Amroha	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
India	Amroha	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
India	Anand	2000	95.8%	97.1%	93.5%
India	Anand	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.4%
India	Anantapur	2000	90.6%	93.2%	88.2%
India	Anantapur	2017	95.6%	97.2%	93.9%
India	Anantnag	2000	82.1%	84.4%	79.3%
India	Anantnag	2017	91.4%	92.8%	89.1%
India	Angul	2000	53.4%	57.7%	48.6%
India	Angul	2017	70.1%	74.3%	65.6%
India	Anjaw	2000	79.7%	85.4%	74.0%
India	Anjaw	2017	87.1%	91.8%	81.9%
India	Anuppur	2000	49.2%	53.1%	44.4%
India	Anuppur	2017	65.2%	68.4%	60.1%
India	Araria	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.1%
India	Araria	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.2%
India	Ariyalur	2000	94.7%	96.6%	92.3%
India	Ariyalur	2017	97.7%	98.7%	96.5%
India	Arvalli	2000	83.9%	89.1%	78.0%
India	Arvalli	2017	92.0%	95.1%	87.9%
India	Arwal	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.4%
India	Arwal	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
India	Ashoknagar	2000	80.8%	83.7%	77.4%
India	Ashoknagar	2017	90.2%	92.0%	87.7%
India	Auraiya	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.0%
India	Auraiya	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.0%
India	Aurangabad	2000	81.4%	84.6%	78.2%
India	Aurangabad	2000	94.0%	95.7%	92.0%
India	Aurangabad	2017	90.7%	92.8%	88.5%
India	Aurangabad	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
India	Azamgarh	2000	94.1%	95.9%	91.5%
India	Azamgarh	2017	97.3%	98.4%	95.7%
India	Badgam	2000	84.8%	87.8%	80.8%
India	Badgam	2017	92.5%	94.4%	89.5%
India	Bagalkot	2000	90.1%	92.3%	87.4%
India	Bagalkot	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
India	Bageshwar	2000	65.9%	69.5%	62.2%
India	Bageshwar	2017	77.0%	79.8%	74.1%
India	Baghpat	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.4%
India	Baghpat	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
India	Bahraich	2000	93.4%	95.3%	90.4%
India	Bahraich	2017	97.2%	98.1%	95.6%
India	Baksa	2000	69.9%	72.0%	67.6%
India	Baksa	2017	79.9%	81.3%	78.3%
India	Balaghat	2000	59.8%	63.9%	55.8%
India	Balaghat	2017	74.2%	78.0%	70.3%
India	Balangir	2000	80.0%	83.3%	75.9%
India	Balangir	2017	89.4%	91.7%	86.2%
India	Baleshwar	2000	85.1%	87.5%	82.5%
India	Baleshwar	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.3%
India	Ballary	2000	91.9%	94.0%	89.6%
India	Ballary	2017	96.1%	97.6%	94.4%
India	Ballia	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.6%
India	Ballia	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.3%
India	Balod	2000	89.3%	92.8%	84.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Balod	2017	94.6%	96.8%	91.7%
India	Baloda Bazar	2000	79.2%	83.3%	73.8%
India	Baloda Bazar	2017	89.5%	92.1%	85.8%
India	Balrampur	2000	92.9%	94.9%	90.9%
India	Balrampur	2000	64.4%	70.8%	56.6%
India	Balrampur	2017	96.9%	98.0%	95.4%
India	Balrampur	2017	75.2%	80.4%	68.7%
India	Banaskantha	2000	87.8%	90.8%	83.9%
India	Banaskantha	2017	94.1%	96.0%	91.8%
India	Banda	2000	92.9%	94.5%	91.1%
India	Banda	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
India	Bandipore	2000	77.3%	79.0%	75.4%
India	Bandipore	2017	87.4%	88.7%	85.9%
India	Bangalore	2000	89.6%	91.2%	88.0%
India	Bangalore	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.0%
India	Bangalore Rural	2000	87.8%	89.9%	84.9%
India	Bangalore Rural	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.4%
India	Banka	2000	80.1%	83.0%	77.4%
India	Banka	2017	88.9%	90.9%	86.8%
India	Bankura	2000	88.5%	91.1%	85.4%
India	Bankura	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.9%
India	Banswara	2000	76.8%	79.0%	74.1%
India	Banswara	2017	87.6%	89.2%	85.9%
India	Barabanki	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.5%
India	Barabanki	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.6%
India	Baramulla	2000	81.7%	83.7%	79.3%
India	Baramulla	2017	90.0%	91.3%	88.4%
India	Baran	2000	80.2%	83.9%	76.4%
India	Baran	2017	89.5%	92.2%	86.4%
India	Bareilly	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
India	Bareilly	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
India	Bargarh	2000	82.4%	85.6%	78.0%
India	Bargarh	2017	91.3%	93.1%	88.2%
India	Barmer	2000	77.0%	80.5%	73.3%
India	Barmer	2017	86.6%	89.6%	83.1%
India	Barnala	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
India	Barnala	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
India	Barpeta	2000	82.0%	84.0%	79.7%
India	Barpeta	2017	90.7%	91.7%	89.3%
India	Barwani	2000	68.7%	71.7%	65.7%
India	Barwani	2017	80.8%	83.1%	78.5%
India	Bastar	2000	68.5%	78.4%	59.6%
India	Bastar	2017	79.8%	87.9%	71.4%
India	Basti	2000	96.6%	97.6%	94.8%
India	Basti	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
India	Bathinda	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
India	Bathinda	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
India	Begusarai	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
India	Begusarai	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
India	Belagavi	2000	89.3%	91.5%	86.5%
India	Belagavi	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.3%
India	Bemetara	2000	85.1%	90.7%	76.7%
India	Bemetara	2017	92.6%	95.9%	85.9%
India	Betul	2000	69.7%	74.5%	65.2%
India	Betul	2017	81.7%	85.3%	78.0%
India	Bhadradri Kothagudem	2000	90.1%	94.3%	83.3%
India	Bhadradri Kothagudem	2017	95.1%	97.7%	90.3%
India	Bhadrak	2000	89.0%	90.2%	87.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Bhadrak	2017	94.6%	95.2%	93.8%
India	Bhagalpur	2000	88.5%	90.4%	86.8%
India	Bhagalpur	2017	92.9%	94.6%	91.3%
India	Bhandara	2000	66.0%	68.4%	63.0%
India	Bhandara	2017	79.6%	81.5%	77.2%
India	Bharatpur	2000	72.0%	74.7%	69.3%
India	Bharatpur	2017	85.1%	87.0%	82.9%
India	Bharuch	2000	92.2%	94.9%	88.2%
India	Bharuch	2017	96.9%	98.3%	94.2%
India	Bhavnagar	2000	88.1%	91.9%	84.0%
India	Bhavnagar	2017	93.9%	96.3%	91.0%
India	Bhilwara	2000	75.2%	78.6%	71.1%
India	Bhilwara	2017	86.1%	88.4%	83.2%
India	Bhind	2000	73.9%	76.8%	70.9%
India	Bhind	2017	85.4%	87.5%	83.1%
India	Bhiwani	2000	85.0%	87.5%	81.9%
India	Bhiwani	2017	92.2%	94.0%	89.7%
India	Bhojpur	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
India	Bhojpur	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
India	Bhopal	2000	83.0%	84.7%	81.4%
India	Bhopal	2017	91.7%	92.8%	90.4%
India	Bid	2000	77.8%	81.6%	73.2%
India	Bid	2017	87.2%	90.0%	83.5%
India	Bidar	2000	89.8%	92.7%	85.9%
India	Bidar	2017	95.3%	97.1%	92.8%
India	Bijapur	2000	85.7%	88.1%	82.7%
India	Bijapur	2017	92.4%	94.0%	90.2%
India	Bijnor	2000	92.8%	94.5%	91.1%
India	Bijnor	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
India	Bikaner	2000	85.7%	88.2%	83.3%
India	Bikaner	2017	91.9%	93.8%	89.9%
India	Bilaspur	2000	82.3%	85.4%	78.8%
India	Bilaspur	2000	83.9%	85.5%	82.2%
India	Bilaspur	2017	90.4%	92.6%	87.5%
India	Bilaspur	2017	92.9%	93.9%	91.9%
India	Birbhum	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.3%
India	Birbhum	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
India	Bishnupur	2000	33.9%	34.6%	33.3%
India	Bishnupur	2017	52.7%	53.4%	51.9%
India	Biswanath	2000	68.0%	73.6%	61.0%
India	Biswanath	2017	82.3%	85.9%	77.2%
India	Bokaro	2000	52.8%	54.4%	51.2%
India	Bokaro	2017	70.5%	72.1%	69.1%
India	Bongaigaon	2000	59.3%	61.1%	57.5%
India	Bongaigaon	2017	71.4%	73.0%	69.9%
India	Botad	2000	90.4%	95.6%	84.3%
India	Botad	2017	95.0%	98.3%	90.2%
India	Boudh	2000	64.4%	70.1%	58.4%
India	Boudh	2017	76.2%	82.3%	69.0%
India	Budaun	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.5%
India	Budaun	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
India	Bulandshahr	2000	92.9%	94.9%	89.9%
India	Bulandshahr	2017	96.9%	97.9%	95.2%
India	Buldana	2000	76.6%	79.7%	73.5%
India	Buldana	2017	85.7%	88.3%	83.1%
India	Bundi	2000	79.4%	82.8%	76.0%
India	Bundi	2017	89.1%	91.3%	86.6%
India	Burhanpur	2000	82.0%	83.8%	80.1%
India	Burhanpur	2017	90.7%	92.0%	89.5%
India	Buxar	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
India	Buxar	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
India	Cachar	2000	41.3%	44.2%	39.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Cachar	2017	55.3%	58.2%	52.6%
India	Central	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
India	Central	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
India	Chamba	2000	79.1%	82.2%	75.8%
India	Chamba	2017	88.5%	90.7%	85.6%
India	Chamoli	2000	82.8%	87.4%	78.2%
India	Chamoli	2017	89.8%	93.3%	85.8%
India	Champawat	2000	75.4%	79.0%	70.8%
India	Champawat	2017	86.0%	88.9%	82.3%
India	Champhai	2000	88.3%	89.5%	87.0%
India	Champhai	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
India	Chamrajnagar	2000	90.5%	92.4%	88.1%
India	Chamrajnagar	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.0%
India	Chandauli	2000	83.2%	84.7%	81.6%
India	Chandauli	2017	91.6%	92.5%	90.7%
India	Chandel	2000	37.6%	45.7%	31.8%
India	Chandel	2017	50.6%	58.2%	44.9%
India	Chandigarh	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
India	Chandigarh	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
India	Chandrapur	2000	81.4%	84.5%	78.1%
India	Chandrapur	2017	88.9%	91.4%	86.1%
India	Changlang	2000	71.0%	72.9%	69.2%
India	Changlang	2017	79.5%	80.8%	78.0%
India	Charaideo	2000	82.8%	86.3%	78.3%
India	Charaideo	2017	91.0%	93.1%	88.0%
India	Charkhi Dadri	2000	87.6%	90.0%	84.9%
India	Charkhi Dadri	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.8%
India	Chatra	2000	48.2%	50.5%	46.1%
India	Chatra	2017	62.9%	64.9%	60.9%
India	Chennai	2000	92.8%	93.8%	91.2%
India	Chennai	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
India	Chhatarpur	2000	55.9%	59.9%	52.4%
India	Chhatarpur	2017	69.3%	73.1%	65.8%
India	Chhindwara	2000	68.4%	72.3%	64.2%
India	Chhindwara	2017	80.0%	82.8%	76.3%
India	Chhotaudepur	2000	78.8%	83.6%	72.7%
India	Chhotaudepur	2017	88.0%	91.3%	83.2%
India	Chikballapura	2000	90.9%	93.4%	87.4%
India	Chikballapura	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.0%
India	Chikmagalur	2000	83.6%	87.1%	78.8%
India	Chikmagalur	2017	90.3%	92.9%	87.0%
India	Chirang	2000	53.0%	55.4%	50.6%
India	Chirang	2017	68.9%	70.7%	67.0%
India	Chitradurga	2000	92.0%	94.2%	89.3%
India	Chitradurga	2017	96.4%	97.8%	94.6%
India	Chitrakoot	2000	86.7%	88.5%	84.5%
India	Chitrakoot	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.3%
India	Chittaurgarh	2000	86.0%	88.9%	82.4%
India	Chittaurgarh	2017	93.3%	95.1%	90.5%
India	Chittoor	2000	86.9%	89.7%	84.2%
India	Chittoor	2017	93.4%	95.3%	91.2%
India	Churachandpur	2000	47.8%	50.6%	44.4%
India	Churachandpur	2017	64.1%	66.6%	61.1%
India	Churu	2000	81.9%	85.5%	78.2%
India	Churu	2017	90.5%	92.9%	87.5%
India	Coimbatore	2000	87.2%	91.8%	82.6%
India	Coimbatore	2017	93.4%	96.5%	89.7%
India	Cuddalore	2000	95.0%	96.6%	93.2%
India	Cuddalore	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.1%
India	Cuttack	2000	74.3%	76.5%	72.1%
India	Cuttack	2017	86.8%	88.4%	85.0%
India	Dadra and Nagar Haveli	2000	77.9%	80.6%	75.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Dadra and Nagar Haveli	2017	89.0%	90.8%	87.1%
India	Dakshin Dinajpur	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.5%
India	Dakshin Dinajpur	2017	97.3%	98.3%	96.2%
India	Dakshina Kannada	2000	75.7%	78.5%	72.3%
India	Dakshina Kannada	2017	86.4%	88.8%	83.4%
India	Daman	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.3%
India	Daman	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
India	Damoh	2000	63.2%	67.1%	60.1%
India	Damoh	2017	76.0%	79.3%	72.7%
India	Dang	2000	57.8%	60.0%	55.7%
India	Dang	2017	70.9%	72.7%	68.6%
India	Dantewada	2000	77.7%	84.0%	70.3%
India	Dantewada	2017	85.0%	90.1%	78.3%
India	Darbhanga	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
India	Darbhanga	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
India	Darjiling	2000	58.1%	61.9%	54.7%
India	Darjiling	2017	73.5%	76.7%	70.2%
India	Darrang	2000	79.7%	81.7%	77.9%
India	Darrang	2017	90.0%	91.0%	88.8%
India	Datia	2000	81.9%	83.9%	79.5%
India	Datia	2017	91.2%	92.2%	89.8%
India	Dausa	2000	88.8%	90.2%	86.9%
India	Dausa	2017	94.8%	95.5%	93.8%
India	Davanagere	2000	89.7%	93.3%	85.7%
India	Davanagere	2017	95.0%	97.2%	92.5%
India	Dehradun	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.3%
India	Dehradun	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
India	Deogarh	2000	60.8%	63.2%	57.7%
India	Deogarh	2017	77.9%	80.1%	75.8%
India	Deoghar	2000	57.1%	60.9%	53.5%
India	Deoghar	2017	74.6%	77.4%	71.4%
India	Deoria	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.5%
India	Deoria	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
India	Devbhumi Dwarka	2000	85.1%	91.5%	78.0%
India	Devbhumi Dwarka	2017	90.8%	95.4%	84.5%
India	Dewas	2000	77.8%	81.4%	73.2%
India	Dewas	2017	87.9%	90.5%	84.6%
India	Dhalai	2000	51.4%	54.1%	48.6%
India	Dhalai	2017	65.5%	67.7%	63.1%
India	Dhamtari	2000	86.0%	88.6%	83.2%
India	Dhamtari	2017	92.9%	94.6%	90.9%
India	Dhanbad	2000	62.6%	63.8%	61.4%
India	Dhanbad	2017	77.5%	78.6%	76.6%
India	Dhar	2000	74.9%	77.8%	71.3%
India	Dhar	2017	85.9%	87.8%	83.6%
India	Dharmapuri	2000	95.4%	97.0%	92.8%
India	Dharmapuri	2017	98.3%	99.0%	96.7%
India	Dharwad	2000	93.8%	95.9%	90.9%
India	Dharwad	2017	97.4%	98.5%	96.0%
India	Dhaulpur	2000	80.3%	82.6%	77.8%
India	Dhaulpur	2017	89.5%	90.9%	87.8%
India	Dhemaji	2000	71.6%	74.0%	69.1%
India	Dhemaji	2017	84.8%	86.7%	83.0%
India	Dhenkanal	2000	37.2%	40.3%	34.2%
India	Dhenkanal	2017	53.3%	56.5%	50.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Dhubri	2000	80.7%	82.9%	78.7%
India	Dhubri	2017	88.8%	90.2%	87.2%
India	Dhule	2000	85.2%	88.5%	81.0%
India	Dhule	2017	91.8%	93.9%	88.6%
India	Dibang Valley	2000	87.5%	93.0%	78.6%
India	Dibang Valley	2017	92.1%	96.7%	83.2%
India	Dibrugarh	2000	85.8%	87.9%	83.4%
India	Dibrugarh	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.3%
India	Dima Hasao	2000	44.8%	48.7%	41.5%
India	Dima Hasao	2017	55.4%	59.5%	52.5%
India	Dimapur	2000	48.6%	49.6%	47.5%
India	Dimapur	2017	68.8%	69.9%	67.9%
India	Dindigul	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.1%
India	Dindigul	2017	98.1%	98.9%	96.6%
India	Dindori	2000	56.0%	58.9%	52.5%
India	Dindori	2017	71.6%	73.9%	68.7%
India	Diu	2000	89.9%	90.6%	89.1%
India	Diu	2017	96.3%	96.6%	95.9%
India	Doda	2000	70.0%	72.9%	67.2%
India	Doda	2017	83.9%	86.0%	81.7%
India	Dohad	2000	60.0%	63.2%	56.6%
India	Dohad	2017	75.2%	77.8%	72.3%
India	Dumka	2000	63.1%	66.0%	60.0%
India	Dumka	2017	77.6%	79.8%	75.2%
India	Dungarpur	2000	78.1%	80.1%	74.8%
India	Dungarpur	2017	89.3%	90.6%	87.4%
India	Durg	2000	91.4%	92.7%	89.8%
India	Durg	2017	96.8%	97.4%	96.0%
India	East	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
India	East	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
India	East Garo Hills	2000	34.5%	39.1%	31.1%
India	East Garo Hills	2017	48.7%	52.9%	44.9%
India	East Godavari	2000	87.8%	90.1%	84.9%
India	East Godavari	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.4%
India	East Jaintia Hills	2000	49.1%	55.0%	44.6%
India	East Jaintia Hills	2017	58.9%	70.0%	50.8%
India	East Kameng	2000	73.5%	78.4%	68.2%
India	East Kameng	2017	79.9%	84.3%	75.2%
India	East Khasi Hills	2000	68.3%	70.0%	66.4%
India	East Khasi Hills	2017	82.4%	83.6%	80.7%
India	East Nimar	2000	72.3%	76.3%	67.5%
India	East Nimar	2017	82.6%	85.8%	78.4%
India	East Siang	2000	76.6%	80.9%	73.0%
India	East Siang	2017	89.8%	92.3%	87.5%
India	East Sikkim	2000	90.1%	91.1%	88.9%
India	East Sikkim	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.0%
India	Ernakulam	2000	82.5%	84.3%	80.2%
India	Ernakulam	2017	90.2%	91.4%	88.4%
India	Erode	2000	94.6%	96.6%	92.1%
India	Erode	2017	97.6%	98.8%	95.9%
India	Etah	2000	96.4%	97.4%	94.9%
India	Etah	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
India	Etawah	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.3%
India	Etawah	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.0%
India	Faizabad	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.5%
India	Faizabad	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Faridabad	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.0%
India	Faridabad	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
India	Faridkot	2000	97.0%	98.0%	95.5%
India	Faridkot	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.1%
India	Farrukhabad	2000	96.6%	97.7%	95.0%
India	Farrukhabad	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.8%
India	Fatehabad	2000	95.3%	97.1%	91.8%
India	Fatehabad	2017	98.1%	99.0%	95.9%
India	Fatehgarh	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
India	Fatehgarh Sahib	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
India	Fatehpur	2000	91.0%	92.9%	88.5%
India	Fatehpur	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.4%
India	Fazilka	2000	92.7%	95.4%	88.0%
India	Fazilka	2017	96.4%	98.1%	93.6%
India	Firozabad	2000	93.9%	94.8%	92.3%
India	Firozabad	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
India	Firozpur	2000	99.1%	99.6%	98.1%
India	Firozpur	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
India	Gadag	2000	87.7%	90.0%	84.7%
India	Gadag	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.0%
India	Gadchiroli	2000	65.4%	70.1%	61.3%
India	Gadchiroli	2017	77.6%	81.0%	73.7%
India	Gajapati	2000	59.9%	64.0%	56.1%
India	Gajapati	2017	73.8%	77.1%	69.6%
India	Ganderbal	2000	71.6%	75.7%	67.1%
India	Ganderbal	2017	84.9%	87.8%	81.7%
India	Gandhinagar	2000	97.1%	98.2%	95.2%
India	Gandhinagar	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.3%
India	Ganganagar	2000	86.5%	89.7%	82.0%
India	Ganganagar	2017	92.7%	94.9%	89.4%
India	Ganjam	2000	68.8%	72.8%	64.4%
India	Ganjam	2017	82.4%	85.2%	79.0%
India	Garhwa	2000	70.1%	73.2%	66.5%
India	Garhwa	2017	82.9%	85.1%	80.0%
India	Gariaband	2000	73.4%	81.0%	65.0%
India	Gariaband	2017	84.7%	90.6%	77.5%
India	Gautam Buddha Nagar	2000	95.7%	96.4%	95.0%
India	Gautam Buddha Nagar	2017	98.3%	98.6%	98.1%
India	Gaya	2000	87.6%	90.3%	84.2%
India	Gaya	2017	93.0%	94.6%	90.7%
India	Ghaziabad	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
India	Ghaziabad	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
India	Ghazipur	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.4%
India	Ghazipur	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
India	Gir Somnath	2000	90.6%	93.7%	87.6%
India	Gir Somnath	2017	94.3%	96.7%	91.7%
India	Giridih	2000	42.3%	44.9%	39.8%
India	Giridih	2017	60.3%	62.5%	57.7%
India	Goalpara	2000	64.1%	67.1%	61.4%
India	Goalpara	2017	75.1%	77.6%	72.5%
India	Godda	2000	56.1%	58.5%	53.6%
India	Godda	2017	71.5%	73.8%	69.3%
India	Golaghat	2000	80.6%	82.8%	78.0%
India	Golaghat	2017	89.8%	91.1%	88.0%
India	Gomati	2000	71.0%	75.8%	66.0%
India	Gomati	2017	82.8%	86.4%	78.2%
India	Gonda	2000	88.4%	90.6%	86.3%
India	Gonda	2017	93.6%	95.1%	92.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Gondiya	2000	60.0%	64.4%	55.9%
India	Gondiya	2017	75.9%	79.2%	71.8%
India	Gopalganj	2000	93.5%	94.6%	92.4%
India	Gopalganj	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.7%
India	Gorakhpur	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.8%
India	Gorakhpur	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
India	Gumla	2000	33.9%	38.4%	28.8%
India	Gumla	2017	48.8%	53.2%	43.6%
India	Guna	2000	68.9%	73.2%	64.7%
India	Guna	2017	79.2%	83.2%	75.5%
India	Guntur	2000	89.3%	91.8%	86.4%
India	Guntur	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.5%
India	Gurdaspur	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.2%
India	Gurdaspur	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
India	Gurugram	2000	94.2%	95.2%	92.8%
India	Gurugram	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
India	Gwalior	2000	82.2%	84.4%	80.1%
India	Gwalior	2017	91.8%	93.1%	90.5%
India	Hailakandi	2000	38.8%	40.4%	37.3%
India	Hailakandi	2017	55.1%	56.8%	53.7%
India	Hamirpur	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.3%
India	Hamirpur	2000	85.9%	87.4%	84.6%
India	Hamirpur	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
India	Hamirpur	2017	93.7%	94.4%	92.9%
India	Hanumangarh	2000	85.3%	88.7%	81.4%
India	Hanumangarh	2017	92.4%	94.6%	89.9%
India	Haora	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.8%
India	Haora	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.9%
India	Hapur	2000	96.8%	97.6%	96.0%
India	Hapur	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
India	Harda	2000	70.2%	74.0%	65.8%
India	Harda	2017	82.8%	85.6%	79.2%
India	Hardoi	2000	93.2%	94.9%	91.3%
India	Hardoi	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.2%
India	Hardwar	2000	93.3%	94.4%	91.8%
India	Hardwar	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.2%
India	Hassan	2000	90.3%	92.4%	87.6%
India	Hassan	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
India	Hathras	2000	96.8%	98.0%	95.0%
India	Hathras	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
India	Haveri	2000	90.5%	92.3%	88.3%
India	Haveri	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
India	Hazaribagh	2000	54.3%	57.6%	50.8%
India	Hazaribagh	2017	69.4%	71.9%	66.6%
India	Hingoli	2000	79.6%	82.3%	76.8%
India	Hingoli	2017	88.5%	90.4%	86.2%
India	Hisar	2000	92.1%	94.4%	89.3%
India	Hisar	2017	95.7%	97.3%	93.4%
India	Hojai	2000	80.0%	85.2%	74.3%
India	Hojai	2017	90.8%	93.5%	87.4%
India	Hoshangabad	2000	77.7%	80.7%	74.7%
India	Hoshangabad	2017	87.9%	90.0%	85.7%
India	Hoshiarpur	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.1%
India	Hoshiarpur	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.1%
India	Hugli	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.2%
India	Hugli	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.1%
India	Hyderabad	2000	94.7%	95.3%	93.8%
India	Hyderabad	2017	98.3%	98.5%	97.9%
India	Idukki	2000	72.8%	77.2%	68.6%
India	Idukki	2017	84.1%	87.7%	80.5%
India	Imphal East	2000	44.6%	45.1%	44.0%
India	Imphal East	2017	63.7%	64.4%	63.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Imphal West	2000	43.1%	43.6%	42.6%
India	Imphal West	2017	61.9%	62.5%	61.3%
India	Indore	2000	91.5%	92.9%	89.4%
India	Indore	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
India	Jabalpur	2000	84.2%	85.3%	83.0%
India	Jabalpur	2017	91.5%	92.2%	90.7%
India	Jagatsinghapur	2000	87.9%	89.8%	85.7%
India	Jagatsinghapur	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
India	Jagitial	2000	72.3%	81.0%	61.6%
India	Jagitial	2017	84.4%	90.7%	77.0%
India	Jaipur	2000	86.4%	87.9%	84.8%
India	Jaipur	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
India	Jaisalmer	2000	79.6%	84.1%	74.6%
India	Jaisalmer	2017	88.4%	91.7%	84.6%
India	Jajapur	2000	70.0%	71.4%	68.4%
India	Jajapur	2017	82.7%	83.7%	81.7%
India	Jalandhar	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
India	Jalandhar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
India	Jalaun	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.6%
India	Jalaun	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
India	Jalgaon	2000	85.1%	88.3%	81.3%
India	Jalgaon	2017	92.1%	94.2%	89.6%
India	Jalna	2000	71.2%	76.0%	66.5%
India	Jalna	2017	81.8%	85.7%	77.8%
India	Jalor	2000	83.3%	86.9%	79.7%
India	Jalor	2017	91.4%	93.7%	88.8%
India	Jalpaiguri	2000	74.7%	78.2%	71.3%
India	Jalpaiguri	2017	85.5%	87.9%	82.8%
India	Jammu	2000	89.0%	90.5%	86.9%
India	Jammu	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.6%
India	Jamnagar	2000	83.9%	91.1%	75.1%
India	Jamnagar	2017	90.1%	95.6%	82.2%
India	Jamtara	2000	63.7%	66.7%	61.5%
India	Jamtara	2017	78.9%	81.1%	76.9%
India	Jamui	2000	63.6%	66.4%	60.7%
India	Jamui	2017	76.1%	78.3%	74.1%
India	Jangoan	2000	90.7%	97.6%	79.5%
India	Jangoan	2017	95.4%	99.1%	87.8%
India	Janjgir-Champa	2000	77.9%	81.0%	74.2%
India	Janjgir-Champa	2017	88.8%	90.5%	86.5%
India	Jashpur	2000	65.2%	68.3%	61.5%
India	Jashpur	2017	77.4%	80.0%	74.4%
India	Jaunpur	2000	82.3%	84.3%	80.3%
India	Jaunpur	2017	90.4%	91.7%	89.0%
India	Jayashankar Bhupalapal	2000	83.1%	90.2%	74.3%
India	Jayashankar Bhupalapal	2017	90.5%	95.4%	83.5%
India	Jehanabad	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
India	Jehanabad	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
India	Jhabua	2000	69.5%	72.1%	66.8%
India	Jhabua	2017	83.8%	85.6%	82.0%
India	Jhajjar	2000	95.4%	96.8%	92.9%
India	Jhajjar	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.2%
India	Jhalawar	2000	66.2%	69.6%	62.8%
India	Jhalawar	2017	78.6%	81.7%	75.5%
India	Jhansi	2000	84.0%	85.4%	82.5%
India	Jhansi	2017	91.5%	92.4%	90.5%
India	Jhargram	2000	76.3%	83.2%	69.5%
India	Jhargram	2017	85.3%	90.3%	79.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Jharsuguda	2000	74.6%	76.2%	73.1%
India	Jharsuguda	2017	87.2%	88.2%	86.1%
India	Jhunjhunun	2000	87.5%	89.4%	85.3%
India	Jhunjhunun	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.2%
India	Jind	2000	91.7%	93.6%	89.2%
India	Jind	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
India	Jiribam	2000	8.9%	10.3%	7.5%
India	Jiribam	2017	16.2%	18.5%	14.1%
India	Jodhpur	2000	87.1%	89.3%	84.4%
India	Jodhpur	2017	93.6%	95.2%	91.9%
India	Jogulamba	2000	87.8%	93.8%	79.7%
India	Gadwa	2017	93.9%	97.4%	88.5%
India	Jorhat	2000	61.3%	63.4%	59.3%
India	Jorhat	2017	74.4%	76.9%	72.0%
India	Junagadh	2000	92.0%	94.7%	88.3%
India	Junagadh	2017	96.3%	97.8%	93.5%
India	Kabeerddham	2000	77.0%	80.7%	73.9%
India	Kabeerddham	2017	87.9%	90.4%	85.6%
India	Kachchh	2000	90.4%	92.9%	87.6%
India	Kachchh	2017	94.9%	96.7%	93.0%
India	Kaimur	2000	89.2%	91.0%	87.2%
India	Kaimur	2017	94.3%	95.4%	92.8%
India	Kaithal	2000	96.4%	97.5%	94.6%
India	Kaithal	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.5%
India	Kakching	2000	34.7%	35.8%	33.8%
India	Kakching	2017	54.0%	55.2%	52.7%
India	Kalaburgi	2000	89.9%	92.3%	87.1%
India	Kalaburgi	2017	95.0%	96.6%	92.9%
India	Kalahandi	2000	79.8%	83.4%	75.8%
India	Kalahandi	2017	89.4%	91.8%	86.7%
India	Kalimpong	2000	84.4%	94.0%	64.3%
India	Kalimpong	2017	91.3%	97.4%	76.8%
India	Kamareddy	2000	95.9%	98.2%	92.0%
India	Kamareddy	2017	98.3%	99.4%	96.3%
India	Kamjong	2000	26.6%	40.3%	18.0%
India	Kamjong	2017	36.5%	51.5%	26.0%
India	Kamle	2000	79.7%	90.6%	67.0%
India	Kamle	2017	87.8%	95.1%	77.4%
India	Kamrup	2000	79.9%	82.4%	77.5%
India	Kamrup	2017	89.0%	90.8%	86.9%
India	Kamrup	2000	59.3%	61.5%	57.1%
India	Metropolitan	2017	74.6%	76.8%	72.6%
India	Kancheepuram	2000	88.6%	91.2%	84.9%
India	Kancheepuram	2017	94.1%	95.7%	91.4%
India	Kandhamal	2000	46.6%	51.4%	41.7%
India	Kandhamal	2017	61.6%	66.1%	57.0%
India	Kangpokpi	2000	40.2%	41.3%	39.3%
India	Kangpokpi	2017	58.2%	59.2%	57.0%
India	Kangra	2000	91.4%	93.2%	88.8%
India	Kangra	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.5%
India	Kannauj	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
India	Kannauj	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
India	Kanniyakumari	2000	87.4%	89.8%	85.2%
India	Kanniyakumari	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
India	Kannur	2000	71.0%	74.7%	67.2%
India	Kannur	2017	84.8%	87.5%	82.1%
India	Kanpur Dehat	2000	90.5%	92.3%	88.1%
India	Kanpur Dehat	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Kanpur Nagar	2000	92.7%	93.5%	91.8%
India	Kanpur Nagar	2017	96.7%	97.1%	96.3%
India	Kapurthala	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
India	Kapurthala	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
India	Karaikal	2000	98.8%	99.6%	97.2%
India	Karaikal	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
India	Karauli	2000	69.9%	72.4%	67.3%
India	Karauli	2017	82.6%	84.5%	80.8%
India	Karbi Ang-long	2000	52.1%	56.2%	47.6%
India	Karbi Ang-long	2017	65.7%	69.2%	61.7%
India	Kargil	2000	73.7%	78.3%	68.8%
India	Kargil	2017	83.5%	87.4%	79.3%
India	Karimganj	2000	39.6%	43.3%	36.6%
India	Karimganj	2017	56.7%	60.1%	53.7%
India	Karimnagar	2000	82.4%	87.7%	75.2%
India	Karimnagar	2017	89.1%	93.7%	82.8%
India	Karnal	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
India	Karnal	2017	98.1%	98.8%	96.8%
India	Karur	2000	92.4%	95.0%	89.0%
India	Karur	2017	96.7%	98.1%	94.6%
India	Kasaragod	2000	73.5%	76.4%	70.0%
India	Kasaragod	2017	86.8%	88.9%	84.0%
India	Kasganj	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
India	Kasganj	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
India	Kathua	2000	77.9%	80.9%	75.3%
India	Kathua	2017	87.3%	89.4%	85.2%
India	Katihar	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.7%
India	Katihar	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
India	Katni	2000	78.5%	81.7%	74.9%
India	Katni	2017	87.5%	89.9%	84.6%
India	Kaushambi	2000	90.2%	91.3%	88.8%
India	Kaushambi	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.0%
India	Kendrapara	2000	88.5%	90.3%	86.0%
India	Kendrapara	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.7%
India	Kendujhar	2000	73.8%	77.1%	69.6%
India	Kendujhar	2017	84.8%	87.1%	81.6%
India	Khagaria	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
India	Khagaria	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
India	Khammam	2000	93.6%	96.4%	89.3%
India	Khammam	2017	97.3%	98.6%	94.5%
India	Khargone	2000	76.3%	79.2%	73.5%
India	Khargone	2017	86.6%	88.5%	84.4%
India	Kheda	2000	94.0%	95.7%	91.8%
India	Kheda	2017	97.5%	98.5%	96.3%
India	Khordha	2000	63.8%	65.4%	62.2%
India	Khordha	2017	77.9%	79.3%	76.4%
India	Khowai	2000	79.3%	82.4%	75.6%
India	Khowai	2017	89.0%	91.0%	86.9%
India	Khunti	2000	27.2%	30.1%	24.7%
India	Khunti	2017	43.3%	46.5%	40.5%
India	Kinnaur	2000	84.9%	88.0%	81.1%
India	Kinnaur	2017	91.2%	93.5%	88.4%
India	Kiphire	2000	76.8%	78.4%	75.2%
India	Kiphire	2017	87.1%	88.2%	86.0%
India	Kishanganj	2000	91.6%	92.6%	90.1%
India	Kishanganj	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.2%
India	Kishtwar	2000	76.8%	81.3%	71.5%
India	Kishtwar	2017	85.2%	88.7%	81.2%
India	Koch Bihar	2000	92.9%	94.3%	90.6%
India	Koch Bihar	2017	96.3%	97.0%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Kodagu	2000	73.3%	76.2%	70.0%
India	Kodagu	2017	85.1%	87.4%	82.1%
India	Koderma	2000	57.2%	60.0%	54.2%
India	Koderma	2017	74.5%	76.2%	72.5%
India	Kohima	2000	68.2%	71.1%	64.7%
India	Kohima	2017	83.2%	84.5%	81.3%
India	Kokrajhar	2000	54.1%	57.1%	50.3%
India	Kokrajhar	2017	68.4%	70.7%	65.6%
India	Kolar	2000	93.7%	95.4%	91.6%
India	Kolar	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.4%
India	Kolasib	2000	85.4%	86.9%	83.9%
India	Kolasib	2017	93.3%	94.3%	92.3%
India	Kolhapur	2000	86.9%	90.6%	82.5%
India	Kolhapur	2017	92.8%	95.3%	89.4%
India	Kolkata	2000	92.4%	93.6%	91.3%
India	Kolkata	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
India	Kollam	2000	73.0%	75.5%	70.2%
India	Kollam	2017	85.1%	86.6%	83.4%
India	Kondagaon	2000	81.6%	86.5%	75.8%
India	Kondagaon	2017	90.1%	93.4%	86.1%
India	Koppal	2000	86.1%	89.1%	82.3%
India	Koppal	2017	92.8%	94.8%	89.9%
India	Koraput	2000	71.5%	75.0%	68.2%
India	Koraput	2017	82.9%	85.5%	80.3%
India	Korba	2000	63.0%	66.0%	60.1%
India	Korba	2017	77.8%	80.0%	75.5%
India	Koriya	2000	57.5%	60.5%	54.1%
India	Koriya	2017	72.5%	75.2%	69.7%
India	Kota	2000	92.4%	93.5%	91.0%
India	Kota	2017	96.9%	97.4%	96.2%
India	Kottayam	2000	74.6%	77.1%	72.2%
India	Kottayam	2017	87.0%	88.4%	85.4%
India	Kozhikode	2000	70.6%	72.8%	68.3%
India	Kozhikode	2017	85.4%	86.7%	83.9%
India	Kra Daddi	2000	90.2%	95.5%	79.7%
India	Kra Daddi	2017	93.3%	97.4%	84.6%
India	Krishna	2000	86.9%	89.3%	83.9%
India	Krishna	2017	93.8%	95.2%	91.7%
India	Krishnagiri	2000	94.0%	96.1%	91.6%
India	Krishnagiri	2017	97.5%	98.6%	95.9%
India	Kulgam	2000	90.3%	92.3%	87.4%
India	Kulgam	2017	95.8%	96.8%	93.8%
India	Kullu	2000	90.0%	92.6%	86.3%
India	Kullu	2017	95.0%	96.7%	92.7%
India	Kumuram Bheem Asifabad	2000	85.8%	92.7%	76.6%
India	Kumuram Bheem Asifabad	2017	92.4%	96.7%	85.8%
India	Kupwara	2000	70.6%	73.3%	67.8%
India	Kupwara	2017	84.9%	86.6%	82.9%
India	Kurnool	2000	88.9%	91.9%	85.3%
India	Kurnool	2017	94.6%	96.6%	92.1%
India	Kurukshetra	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.2%
India	Kurukshetra	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
India	Kurung Kumey	2000	70.0%	77.7%	63.1%
India	Kurung Kumey	2017	80.2%	87.3%	74.0%
India	Kushinagar	2000	95.7%	96.8%	93.9%
India	Kushinagar	2017	98.1%	98.7%	96.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Lahul & Spiti	2000	88.0%	91.6%	83.4%
India	Lahul & Spiti	2017	92.3%	95.2%	88.7%
India	Lakhimpur	2000	59.0%	61.5%	55.7%
India	Lakhimpur	2017	71.9%	73.6%	69.8%
India	Lakhimpur	2000	95.1%	96.7%	93.2%
India	Lakhimpur Kheri	2017	97.8%	98.6%	96.7%
India	Lakhisarai	2000	73.4%	75.3%	71.5%
India	Lakhisarai	2017	85.2%	86.5%	83.9%
India	Lakshadweep	2000	81.1%	85.9%	75.1%
India	Lakshadweep	2017	91.4%	93.6%	87.9%
India	Lalitpur	2000	85.0%	88.2%	81.4%
India	Lalitpur	2017	91.9%	93.7%	89.6%
India	Latehar	2000	51.2%	54.4%	47.8%
India	Latehar	2017	69.0%	71.7%	66.1%
India	Latur	2000	85.4%	88.2%	82.2%
India	Latur	2017	92.9%	94.7%	90.5%
India	Lawangtlai	2000	59.3%	63.6%	55.6%
India	Lawangtlai	2017	71.9%	76.5%	67.8%
India	Leh (Ladakh)	2000	71.9%	76.4%	67.3%
India	Leh (Ladakh)	2017	80.7%	84.2%	76.5%
India	Lohardaga	2000	38.4%	40.7%	36.7%
India	Lohardaga	2017	57.6%	59.7%	55.8%
India	Lohit	2000	78.1%	81.6%	73.9%
India	Lohit	2017	87.5%	89.8%	84.4%
India	Longding	2000	63.3%	65.5%	61.1%
India	Longding	2017	70.8%	72.6%	68.9%
India	Longleng	2000	33.4%	34.6%	31.9%
India	Longleng	2017	52.9%	54.5%	51.2%
India	Lower Dibang Valley	2000	82.0%	86.3%	77.4%
India	Lower Dibang Valley	2017	90.9%	93.7%	87.1%
India	Lower Siang	2000	83.0%	87.1%	75.8%
India	Lower Siang	2017	91.5%	94.0%	85.8%
India	Lower Suban- siri	2000	93.8%	96.0%	91.3%
India	Lower Suban- siri	2017	97.1%	98.3%	95.4%
India	Lucknow	2000	94.1%	95.1%	93.2%
India	Lucknow	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
India	Ludhiana	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
India	Ludhiana	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
India	Lunglei	2000	82.3%	85.5%	79.0%
India	Lunglei	2017	89.7%	91.9%	87.0%
India	Madhepura	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.5%
India	Madhepura	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
India	Madhubani	2000	96.4%	97.6%	94.1%
India	Madhubani	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.3%
India	Madurai	2000	90.6%	92.4%	88.5%
India	Madurai	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.3%
India	Maharajganj	2000	97.1%	98.0%	95.6%
India	Maharajganj	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.1%
India	Mahasamund	2000	84.9%	87.3%	82.1%
India	Mahasamund	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.4%
India	Mahbubnagar	2000	94.5%	97.8%	88.6%
India	Mahbubnagar	2017	97.4%	99.2%	93.0%
India	Mahe	2000	73.5%	81.0%	56.3%
India	Mahe	2017	85.0%	90.0%	71.2%
India	Mahendragarh	2000	96.5%	97.6%	95.3%
India	Mahendragarh	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Mahesana	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.5%
India	Mahesana	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
India	Mahisagar	2000	70.7%	75.4%	65.7%
India	Mahisagar	2017	80.2%	83.8%	76.3%
India	Mahoba	2000	84.4%	86.3%	82.4%
India	Mahoba	2017	91.8%	93.1%	90.3%
India	Mahuababad	2000	86.4%	91.4%	79.7%
India	Mahuababad	2017	93.3%	96.2%	88.0%
India	Mainpuri	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
India	Mainpuri	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.2%
India	Majuli	2000	81.3%	85.2%	76.4%
India	Majuli	2017	89.9%	92.3%	86.2%
India	Malappuram	2000	72.0%	74.4%	69.1%
India	Malappuram	2017	83.1%	84.6%	81.1%
India	Maldah	2000	83.7%	85.8%	81.2%
India	Maldah	2017	89.2%	90.7%	87.1%
India	Malkangiri	2000	73.3%	78.0%	69.1%
India	Malkangiri	2017	82.4%	86.1%	78.7%
India	Mamit	2000	73.7%	77.3%	70.8%
India	Mamit	2017	82.6%	85.3%	79.8%
India	Mancherial	2000	76.2%	86.1%	65.3%
India	Mancherial	2017	86.1%	93.3%	77.4%
India	Mandi	2000	85.1%	88.2%	81.8%
India	Mandi	2017	92.4%	94.4%	90.1%
India	Mandla	2000	57.4%	60.5%	54.5%
India	Mandla	2017	71.7%	74.0%	69.4%
India	Mandsaur	2000	54.4%	58.2%	51.0%
India	Mandsaur	2017	68.5%	71.7%	65.4%
India	Mandya	2000	90.8%	93.1%	88.0%
India	Mandya	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.4%
India	Mansa	2000	96.1%	96.9%	94.8%
India	Mansa	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
India	Mathura	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.1%
India	Mathura	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.3%
India	Mau	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.1%
India	Mau	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
India	Mayurbhanj	2000	65.7%	69.2%	62.0%
India	Mayurbhanj	2017	79.4%	82.3%	76.4%
India	Medak	2000	94.0%	97.6%	88.6%
India	Medak	2017	97.4%	99.1%	93.9%
India	Medchal	2000	98.6%	99.5%	96.7%
India	Malkajgiri	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
India	Meerut	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
India	Meerut	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
India	Mewat	2000	86.1%	87.2%	84.8%
India	Mewat	2017	94.0%	94.6%	93.4%
India	Mirzapur	2000	77.9%	80.2%	75.4%
India	Mirzapur	2017	87.0%	88.8%	85.1%
India	Moga	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
India	Moga	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
India	Mokokchung	2000	65.9%	68.4%	63.3%
India	Mokokchung	2017	81.0%	83.2%	79.0%
India	Mon	2000	60.4%	62.0%	58.9%
India	Mon	2017	71.3%	72.6%	70.0%
India	Moradabad	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.4%
India	Moradabad	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
India	Morbi	2000	87.9%	92.9%	78.3%
India	Morbi	2017	94.0%	97.0%	87.1%
India	Morena	2000	80.3%	82.4%	78.2%
India	Morena	2017	88.4%	89.9%	86.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Morigaon	2000	82.6%	83.9%	80.9%
India	Morigaon	2017	91.0%	92.0%	90.0%
India	Muktsar	2000	96.6%	98.0%	94.4%
India	Muktsar	2017	98.7%	99.3%	97.6%
India	Mumbai City	2000	73.2%	75.1%	71.2%
India	Mumbai City	2017	85.5%	87.1%	83.9%
India	Mumbai Sub-urban	2000	91.7%	92.6%	90.6%
India	Mumbai Sub-urban	2017	96.6%	97.0%	96.1%
India	Mungeli	2000	83.8%	87.8%	79.0%
India	Mungeli	2017	90.6%	93.4%	87.4%
India	Munger	2000	75.4%	77.3%	73.3%
India	Munger	2017	86.4%	87.7%	84.8%
India	Murshidabad	2000	94.8%	96.3%	92.5%
India	Murshidabad	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.3%
India	Muzaffarnagar	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.0%
India	Muzaffarnagar	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
India	Muzaffarpur	2000	96.5%	97.6%	94.8%
India	Muzaffarpur	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.6%
India	Mysuru	2000	89.2%	91.5%	85.6%
India	Mysuru	2017	94.9%	96.4%	92.8%
India	Nabarangapur	2000	84.2%	87.8%	80.0%
India	Nabarangapur	2017	92.2%	94.4%	88.9%
India	Nadia	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.1%
India	Nadia	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.4%
India	Nagaon	2000	76.0%	78.4%	73.7%
India	Nagaon	2017	85.7%	87.2%	84.0%
India	Nagappattinam	2000	91.7%	94.0%	88.7%
India	Nagappattinam	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.5%
India	Nagarkurnool	2000	91.9%	96.3%	85.0%
India	Nagarkurnool	2017	96.1%	98.5%	92.1%
India	Nagaur	2000	80.2%	82.6%	77.5%
India	Nagaur	2017	89.7%	91.3%	87.7%
India	Nagpur	2000	87.8%	89.5%	85.8%
India	Nagpur	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.9%
India	Nainital	2000	86.9%	88.3%	85.1%
India	Nainital	2017	93.5%	94.4%	92.4%
India	Nalanda	2000	92.7%	93.7%	91.4%
India	Nalanda	2017	96.8%	97.2%	96.2%
India	Nalbari	2000	86.3%	87.8%	84.4%
India	Nalbari	2017	92.8%	93.8%	91.6%
India	Nalgonda	2000	93.0%	96.1%	88.9%
India	Nalgonda	2017	96.7%	98.5%	94.0%
India	Namakkal	2000	93.4%	95.2%	91.0%
India	Namakkal	2017	97.2%	98.0%	95.8%
India	Namsai	2000	74.1%	76.1%	71.5%
India	Namsai	2017	83.0%	84.5%	81.4%
India	Nanded	2000	80.6%	83.9%	77.4%
India	Nanded	2017	89.3%	91.4%	86.9%
India	Nandurbar	2000	78.2%	81.4%	75.2%
India	Nandurbar	2017	87.5%	89.8%	85.1%
India	Narayanpur	2000	80.3%	84.1%	75.6%
India	Narayanpur	2017	89.3%	91.8%	85.9%
India	Narmada	2000	92.7%	94.2%	90.7%
India	Narmada	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.6%
India	Narsimhapur	2000	86.0%	88.7%	83.1%
India	Narsimhapur	2017	93.2%	94.9%	91.1%
India	Nashik	2000	80.0%	83.8%	75.9%
India	Nashik	2017	88.2%	90.9%	85.0%
India	Navsari	2000	83.8%	86.1%	80.9%
India	Navsari	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Nawada	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.0%
India	Nawada	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.5%
India	Nayagarh	2000	58.3%	61.0%	55.4%
India	Nayagarh	2017	74.8%	77.0%	72.5%
India	Neemuch	2000	68.3%	71.5%	64.8%
India	Neemuch	2017	81.3%	83.8%	78.6%
India	Nellore	2000	89.7%	92.2%	86.5%
India	Nellore	2017	95.0%	96.6%	92.8%
India	New Delhi	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
India	New Delhi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
India	Nicobars	2000	95.3%	99.3%	87.2%
India	Nicobars	2017	97.4%	99.7%	91.0%
India	Nirmal	2000	81.3%	85.8%	75.9%
India	Nirmal	2017	89.2%	92.4%	85.4%
India	Niwari	2000	62.8%	66.4%	59.3%
India	Niwari	2017	77.7%	80.3%	75.1%
India	Nizamabad	2000	95.4%	97.9%	91.5%
India	Nizamabad	2017	98.2%	99.3%	96.1%
India	Noney	2000	41.8%	54.7%	29.8%
India	Noney	2017	42.0%	47.8%	34.3%
India	North	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
India	North	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
India	North & Middle Andaman	2000	78.7%	86.0%	68.9%
India	North & Middle Andaman	2017	87.9%	92.2%	81.4%
India	North 24 Parganas	2000	92.7%	93.8%	91.4%
India	North 24 Parganas	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.4%
India	North East	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
India	North East	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
India	North Garo Hills	2000	13.5%	14.7%	12.4%
India	North Garo Hills	2017	24.7%	26.3%	23.1%
India	North Goa	2000	87.9%	89.7%	85.2%
India	North Goa	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.2%
India	North Sikkim	2000	86.4%	89.4%	81.6%
India	North Sikkim	2017	92.2%	94.5%	89.7%
India	North Tripura	2000	54.6%	58.6%	51.4%
India	North Tripura	2017	68.8%	71.9%	65.6%
India	North West	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
India	North West	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
India	Nuapada	2000	77.4%	80.8%	73.0%
India	Nuapada	2017	87.4%	89.6%	84.5%
India	Osmanabad	2000	86.3%	88.7%	83.3%
India	Osmanabad	2017	92.7%	94.4%	90.7%
India	Pakke Kessang	2000	61.1%	66.1%	56.1%
India	Pakke Kessang	2017	72.5%	76.7%	68.0%
India	Pakur	2000	68.4%	70.6%	66.5%
India	Pakur	2017	80.4%	82.1%	78.7%
India	Palakkad	2000	70.0%	73.1%	66.5%
India	Palakkad	2017	83.4%	85.5%	80.5%
India	Palamu	2000	60.9%	63.9%	57.6%
India	Palamu	2017	76.2%	78.2%	73.5%
India	Palghar	2000	80.6%	84.4%	76.0%
India	Palghar	2017	89.7%	92.3%	86.3%
India	Pali	2000	82.6%	85.3%	79.8%
India	Pali	2017	90.5%	92.4%	88.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Palwal	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
India	Palwal	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
India	Panch Mahals	2000	64.1%	67.0%	61.3%
India	Panch Mahals	2017	76.8%	79.3%	74.4%
India	Panchkula	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
India	Panchkula	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
India	Panipat	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.6%
India	Panipat	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
India	Panna	2000	60.6%	65.5%	55.6%
India	Panna	2017	73.9%	77.7%	70.0%
India	Papum Pare	2000	73.6%	75.3%	71.7%
India	Papum Pare	2017	85.3%	86.4%	84.1%
India	Parbhani	2000	79.3%	83.4%	75.4%
India	Parbhani	2017	88.9%	91.8%	85.7%
India	Paschimi	2000	84.3%	87.0%	82.0%
India	Barddhama Paschimi	2017	92.2%	93.8%	90.3%
India	Pashchim Champaran	2000	90.2%	92.5%	87.5%
India	Pashchim Champaran	2017	94.7%	96.2%	92.8%
India	Pashchim Me- dinipur	2000	87.9%	91.1%	84.5%
India	Pashchim Me- dinipur	2017	93.7%	96.0%	91.0%
India	Pashchimi Singhbhum	2000	49.0%	53.2%	44.9%
India	Pashchimi Singhbhum	2017	64.8%	68.3%	60.7%
India	Patan	2000	92.5%	94.8%	89.6%
India	Patan	2017	96.2%	97.6%	94.3%
India	Pathanamthitta	2000	70.1%	73.0%	66.8%
India	Pathanamthitta	2017	84.9%	86.8%	82.5%
India	Pathankot	2000	95.8%	98.6%	89.3%
India	Pathankot	2017	98.1%	99.5%	94.3%
India	Patiala	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.6%
India	Patiala	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
India	Patna	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.6%
India	Patna	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
India	Pauri	2000	77.9%	80.8%	74.8%
India	Garhwal Pauri	2017	85.9%	88.2%	83.1%
India	Peddapalli	2000	71.2%	80.7%	63.3%
India	Peddapalli	2017	81.5%	88.4%	74.7%
India	Perambalur	2000	90.6%	92.4%	88.4%
India	Perambalur	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
India	Peren	2000	42.9%	45.5%	40.3%
India	Peren	2017	58.9%	61.4%	56.3%
India	Phek	2000	81.9%	84.7%	79.1%
India	Phek	2017	90.0%	92.4%	87.6%
India	Pherzawl	2000	60.1%	66.9%	52.9%
India	Pherzawl	2017	64.3%	71.6%	57.1%
India	Pilibhit	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.1%
India	Pilibhit	2017	98.1%	98.7%	96.8%
India	Pithoragarh	2000	67.6%	69.9%	65.0%
India	Pithoragarh	2017	78.4%	80.5%	76.4%
India	Poonch	2000	54.9%	61.4%	48.3%
India	Poonch	2017	70.0%	75.4%	64.3%
India	Porbandar	2000	85.4%	87.9%	82.9%
India	Porbandar	2017	92.0%	93.6%	90.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Prakasam	2000	80.9%	84.5%	77.3%
India	Prakasam	2017	89.5%	91.9%	86.7%
India	Pratapgarh	2000	66.8%	70.3%	61.8%
India	Pratapgarh	2000	85.2%	87.4%	83.0%
India	Pratapgarh	2017	92.6%	93.9%	90.9%
India	Pratapgarh	2017	80.9%	83.7%	76.7%
India	Puducherry	2000	84.1%	87.3%	80.6%
India	Puducherry	2017	92.9%	94.6%	90.7%
India	Pudukkottai	2000	88.2%	91.0%	84.7%
India	Pudukkottai	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.7%
India	Pulwama	2000	84.9%	86.6%	83.1%
India	Pulwama	2017	93.1%	94.0%	92.1%
India	Pune	2000	89.3%	91.2%	87.4%
India	Pune	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%
India	Purba Bardhaman	2000	93.2%	96.1%	89.2%
India	Purba Bardhaman	2017	96.7%	98.3%	94.3%
India	Purba Champaran	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
India	Purba Champaran	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.5%
India	Purba Medinipur	2000	95.9%	97.1%	93.5%
India	Purba Medinipur	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.2%
India	Purbi Singhbhum	2000	65.7%	67.8%	63.8%
India	Purbi Singhbhum	2017	81.2%	82.6%	79.7%
India	Puri	2000	83.7%	86.7%	80.4%
India	Puri	2017	91.7%	93.6%	89.0%
India	Purnia	2000	97.1%	97.8%	95.9%
India	Purnia	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.2%
India	Puruliya	2000	68.3%	70.6%	65.6%
India	Puruliya	2017	79.6%	81.7%	77.3%
India	Rae Bareli	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.4%
India	Rae Bareli	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
India	Raichur	2000	80.6%	84.0%	77.1%
India	Raichur	2017	88.4%	90.9%	85.9%
India	Raigad	2000	84.7%	87.4%	81.1%
India	Raigad	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.0%
India	Raigarh	2000	80.7%	83.5%	77.2%
India	Raigarh	2017	89.0%	91.4%	85.8%
India	Raipur	2000	86.3%	87.9%	84.8%
India	Raipur	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.1%
India	Raisen	2000	83.0%	85.5%	79.7%
India	Raisen	2017	90.8%	92.5%	88.8%
India	Rajanna Sircilla	2000	78.8%	88.1%	69.7%
India	Rajanna Sircilla	2017	86.3%	93.7%	78.1%
India	Rajgarh	2000	50.7%	54.7%	47.2%
India	Rajgarh	2017	65.3%	69.0%	61.9%
India	Rajkot	2000	90.7%	92.9%	88.1%
India	Rajkot	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.1%
India	Rajnandgaon	2000	77.5%	80.7%	74.4%
India	Rajnandgaon	2017	87.1%	89.6%	84.3%
India	Rajouri	2000	50.1%	53.5%	46.6%
India	Rajouri	2017	65.4%	68.4%	62.5%
India	Rajsamand	2000	78.9%	82.0%	76.0%
India	Rajsamand	2017	88.4%	90.4%	86.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Ramanagara	2000	91.1%	93.3%	88.7%
India	Ramanagara	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.3%
India	Ramanathapuram	2000	79.9%	85.6%	74.1%
India	Ramanathapuram	2017	86.6%	91.3%	81.8%
India	Ramban	2000	68.2%	69.9%	66.5%
India	Ramban	2017	81.1%	82.5%	79.8%
India	Ramgarh	2000	47.0%	48.8%	45.5%
India	Ramgarh	2017	66.1%	67.5%	64.8%
India	Rampur	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
India	Rampur	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
India	Ranchi	2000	53.3%	55.4%	51.2%
India	Ranchi	2017	69.1%	71.1%	67.1%
India	Ranga Reddy	2000	94.2%	96.8%	89.6%
India	Ranga Reddy	2017	97.2%	98.9%	93.4%
India	Ratlam	2000	77.0%	79.7%	74.5%
India	Ratlam	2017	86.9%	88.8%	85.1%
India	Ratnagiri	2000	71.0%	77.1%	64.8%
India	Ratnagiri	2017	81.6%	86.8%	75.8%
India	Rayagada	2000	77.0%	80.8%	73.2%
India	Rayagada	2017	86.8%	89.3%	83.8%
India	Reasi	2000	65.4%	67.6%	62.7%
India	Reasi	2017	77.4%	79.0%	75.3%
India	Rewa	2000	73.1%	75.5%	70.3%
India	Rewa	2017	85.4%	87.0%	83.4%
India	Rewari	2000	97.0%	98.0%	95.6%
India	Rewari	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
India	Ri Bhoi	2000	53.7%	57.1%	50.3%
India	Ri Bhoi	2017	68.8%	71.8%	65.9%
India	Rohtak	2000	97.0%	98.0%	95.5%
India	Rohtak	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
India	Rohtas	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.2%
India	Rohtas	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
India	Rudraprayag	2000	78.3%	81.4%	75.5%
India	Rudraprayag	2017	87.2%	89.1%	85.3%
India	Rupnagar	2000	98.1%	98.8%	96.8%
India	Rupnagar	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
India	Sabar Kantha	2000	87.8%	91.6%	82.9%
India	Sabar Kantha	2017	93.0%	95.7%	89.0%
India	Sagar	2000	67.4%	71.0%	63.5%
India	Sagar	2017	80.4%	83.2%	76.9%
India	Saharanpur	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
India	Saharanpur	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
India	Saharsa	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
India	Saharsa	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
India	Sahibganj	2000	62.0%	63.7%	60.2%
India	Sahibganj	2017	77.1%	78.3%	75.7%
India	Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.0%
India	Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
India	Saiha	2000	69.3%	72.1%	66.3%
India	Saiha	2017	80.4%	82.4%	78.1%
India	Salem	2000	94.2%	95.8%	92.5%
India	Salem	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.5%
India	Samastipur	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
India	Samastipur	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
India	Samba	2000	89.7%	91.0%	88.2%
India	Samba	2017	95.9%	96.4%	95.2%
India	Sambalpur	2000	65.5%	69.7%	61.1%
India	Sambalpur	2017	79.7%	83.3%	76.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Sambhal	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.6%
India	Sambhal	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
India	Sangareddy	2000	95.5%	97.8%	91.7%
India	Sangareddy	2017	98.1%	99.3%	95.9%
India	Sangli	2000	88.4%	91.1%	85.4%
India	Sangli	2017	94.4%	96.0%	92.5%
India	Sangrur	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
India	Sangrur	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
India	Sant Kabir Nagar	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
India	Sant Kabir Nagar	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
India	Sant Ravi Das Nagar	2000	67.0%	68.6%	65.3%
India	Sant Ravi Das Nagar	2017	82.8%	83.8%	81.7%
India	Saraikela Kharsawan	2000	59.4%	62.2%	56.5%
India	Saraikela Kharsawan	2017	74.9%	77.0%	72.6%
India	Saran	2000	90.0%	92.3%	87.4%
India	Saran	2017	94.9%	96.5%	92.8%
India	Satara	2000	82.3%	86.2%	77.2%
India	Satara	2017	90.5%	93.2%	86.8%
India	Satna	2000	71.3%	73.8%	68.7%
India	Satna	2017	83.9%	85.7%	82.1%
India	Sawai Madhopur	2000	72.8%	75.1%	70.5%
India	Sawai Madhopur	2017	84.2%	85.8%	82.3%
India	Sehore	2000	70.8%	73.6%	67.4%
India	Sehore	2017	83.1%	85.5%	80.2%
India	Senapati	2000	52.7%	58.2%	46.6%
India	Senapati	2017	62.2%	67.3%	55.6%
India	Seoni	2000	63.5%	67.2%	59.8%
India	Seoni	2017	75.7%	78.5%	72.5%
India	Serchhip	2000	82.7%	84.9%	80.2%
India	Serchhip	2017	91.4%	92.7%	89.6%
India	Shahdara	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
India	Shahdara	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
India	Shahdol	2000	42.8%	48.0%	38.8%
India	Shahdol	2017	58.2%	62.9%	54.2%
India	Shahid Bhagat Singh Nagar	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
India	Shahid Bhagat Singh Nagar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
India	Shahjahanpur	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.4%
India	Shahjahanpur	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.0%
India	Shajapur	2000	72.3%	77.0%	67.3%
India	Shajapur	2017	84.3%	87.8%	80.3%
India	Shamli	2000	95.6%	97.5%	92.3%
India	Shamli	2017	98.3%	99.1%	96.9%
India	Sheikhpura	2000	84.9%	86.6%	83.2%
India	Sheikhpura	2017	92.3%	93.3%	91.1%
India	Sheohar	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.7%
India	Sheohar	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
India	Sheopur	2000	77.5%	81.2%	74.2%
India	Sheopur	2017	87.1%	90.1%	83.9%
India	Shi Yomi	2000	93.3%	95.8%	89.2%
India	Shi Yomi	2017	96.2%	98.0%	92.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Shimla	2000	89.0%	91.4%	86.2%
India	Shimla	2017	94.7%	96.2%	92.8%
India	Shivamogga	2000	81.0%	84.3%	77.1%
India	Shivamogga	2017	89.0%	91.5%	85.9%
India	Shivpuri	2000	61.0%	64.7%	56.8%
India	Shivpuri	2017	73.1%	76.2%	69.5%
India	Shravasti	2000	92.4%	94.4%	90.1%
India	Shravasti	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.6%
India	Shupiyan	2000	82.6%	83.9%	81.1%
India	Shupiyan	2017	91.2%	92.1%	90.0%
India	Siang	2000	85.3%	90.6%	77.7%
India	Siang	2017	90.3%	94.4%	84.4%
India	Siddharth Nagar	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.5%
India	Siddharth Nagar	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
India	Siddipet	2000	93.3%	97.7%	84.2%
India	Siddipet	2017	96.7%	99.2%	90.5%
India	Sidhi	2000	48.8%	52.4%	45.8%
India	Sidhi	2017	63.5%	66.8%	60.6%
India	Sikar	2000	86.2%	88.5%	83.5%
India	Sikar	2017	93.7%	95.1%	91.8%
India	Simdega	2000	31.4%	35.5%	28.0%
India	Simdega	2017	47.9%	52.2%	44.0%
India	Sindhudurg	2000	61.3%	66.3%	55.8%
India	Sindhudurg	2017	74.7%	78.8%	70.5%
India	Singrauli	2000	52.3%	55.7%	48.3%
India	Singrauli	2017	62.8%	66.0%	59.3%
India	Sipahijala	2000	90.7%	94.4%	84.2%
India	Sipahijala	2017	95.5%	97.8%	90.2%
India	Sirmaur	2000	81.1%	83.2%	78.2%
India	Sirmaur	2017	90.1%	91.6%	87.8%
India	Sirohi	2000	79.9%	82.9%	76.6%
India	Sirohi	2017	88.4%	90.7%	85.9%
India	Sirsa	2000	97.1%	98.3%	95.3%
India	Sirsa	2017	98.7%	99.4%	97.5%
India	Sitamarhi	2000	98.1%	98.7%	96.6%
India	Sitamarhi	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.6%
India	Sitapur	2000	89.9%	92.0%	87.8%
India	Sitapur	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.1%
India	Sivaganga	2000	84.1%	87.7%	80.7%
India	Sivaganga	2017	91.0%	93.7%	88.0%
India	Sivasagar	2000	78.6%	80.8%	76.4%
India	Sivasagar	2017	89.2%	90.7%	87.5%
India	Siwan	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
India	Siwan	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
India	Solan	2000	85.6%	88.2%	82.8%
India	Solan	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.2%
India	Solapur	2000	79.7%	83.1%	75.8%
India	Solapur	2017	89.0%	91.4%	85.9%
India	Sonbhadra	2000	77.2%	79.9%	74.3%
India	Sonbhadra	2017	85.7%	87.9%	83.6%
India	Sonepur	2000	84.8%	87.0%	82.2%
India	Sonepur	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.6%
India	Sonipat	2000	96.4%	97.6%	94.7%
India	Sonipat	2017	98.7%	99.2%	97.9%
India	Sonitpur	2000	50.0%	53.4%	46.7%
India	Sonitpur	2017	63.5%	66.5%	60.7%
India	South	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.8%
India	South	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
India	South 24 Parganas	2000	90.5%	93.0%	87.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	South 24 Parganas	2017	95.8%	97.1%	93.7%
India	South Andaman	2000	85.7%	90.1%	80.7%
India	South Andaman	2017	94.3%	96.2%	92.3%
India	South East	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.4%
India	South East	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
India	South Garo Hills	2000	44.2%	48.6%	39.3%
India	South Garo Hills	2017	59.1%	62.3%	55.5%
India	South Goa	2000	89.5%	91.2%	87.5%
India	South Goa	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
India	South Salmara Mancachar	2000	70.9%	72.8%	68.9%
India	South Salmara Mancachar	2017	80.1%	82.0%	78.2%
India	South Sikkim	2000	82.3%	83.7%	80.8%
India	South Sikkim	2017	90.7%	91.5%	89.7%
India	South Tripura	2000	70.2%	75.5%	65.3%
India	South Tripura	2017	81.6%	87.1%	77.5%
India	South West	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.5%
India	South West	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
India	South West Garo Hills	2000	52.3%	55.1%	49.5%
India	South West Garo Hills	2017	65.9%	67.7%	63.9%
India	South West Khasi Hills	2000	56.1%	64.0%	45.0%
India	South West Khasi Hills	2017	70.0%	76.7%	58.3%
India	Srikakulam	2000	74.7%	77.6%	71.8%
India	Srikakulam	2017	85.3%	87.4%	83.0%
India	Srinagar	2000	81.4%	82.8%	79.8%
India	Srinagar	2017	91.3%	92.1%	90.3%
India	Sukma	2000	89.3%	94.2%	82.4%
India	Sukma	2017	94.1%	97.2%	89.2%
India	Sultanpur	2000	88.9%	90.9%	86.2%
India	Sultanpur	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
India	Sundargarh	2000	79.7%	81.8%	77.2%
India	Sundargarh	2017	88.1%	89.6%	86.2%
India	Supaul	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.7%
India	Supaul	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.6%
India	Surajpur	2000	56.5%	63.2%	48.7%
India	Surajpur	2017	72.2%	77.1%	65.8%
India	Surat	2000	93.7%	96.2%	77.8%
India	Surat	2017	97.5%	99.0%	85.3%
India	Surendranagar	2000	86.2%	90.7%	82.2%
India	Surendranagar	2017	91.6%	95.1%	88.1%
India	Surguja	2000	76.8%	80.9%	71.7%
India	Surguja	2017	85.5%	88.7%	81.7%
India	Suryapet	2000	91.1%	95.1%	85.0%
India	Suryapet	2017	95.9%	98.1%	91.5%
India	Tamenglong	2000	27.2%	31.0%	24.4%
India	Tamenglong	2017	38.9%	43.4%	35.8%
India	Tapi	2000	81.0%	83.2%	78.7%
India	Tapi	2017	90.1%	91.8%	88.5%
India	Tarn Taran	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
India	Tarn Taran	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Tawang	2000	86.3%	90.8%	80.8%
India	Tawang	2017	92.2%	95.8%	87.5%
India	Tehri Garhwal	2000	72.9%	75.3%	69.9%
India	Tehri Garhwal	2017	83.1%	85.1%	80.3%
India	Tengnoupal	2000	19.0%	28.4%	13.9%
India	Tengnoupal	2017	29.7%	38.7%	23.5%
India	Thane	2000	84.6%	86.3%	82.8%
India	Thane	2017	93.0%	94.0%	91.6%
India	Thanjavur	2000	93.5%	95.6%	90.9%
India	Thanjavur	2017	97.3%	98.5%	95.7%
India	The Nilgiris	2000	86.8%	88.9%	84.4%
India	The Nilgiris	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.2%
India	Theni	2000	92.0%	94.3%	89.3%
India	Theni	2017	96.0%	97.5%	94.2%
India	Thiruvallur	2000	92.7%	95.1%	90.3%
India	Thiruvallur	2017	96.8%	98.2%	94.8%
India	Thiruvananthapuram	2000	73.4%	78.1%	69.4%
India	Thiruvananthapuram	2017	86.4%	89.4%	83.3%
India	Thiruvarur	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
India	Thiruvarur	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
India	Thoubal	2000	31.8%	32.4%	31.0%
India	Thoubal	2017	50.8%	51.7%	49.8%
India	Thrissur	2000	79.9%	82.2%	76.6%
India	Thrissur	2017	89.3%	90.6%	87.3%
India	Tikamgarh	2000	60.7%	64.0%	57.5%
India	Tikamgarh	2017	74.5%	77.5%	71.7%
India	Tinsukia	2000	83.4%	85.2%	81.4%
India	Tinsukia	2017	90.6%	92.1%	89.2%
India	Tirap	2000	76.4%	78.0%	74.4%
India	Tirap	2017	83.8%	85.4%	81.6%
India	Tiruchirappalli	2000	94.1%	96.0%	91.9%
India	Tiruchirappalli	2017	97.6%	98.6%	96.3%
India	Tirunelveli	2000	94.4%	96.7%	91.0%
India	Tirunelveli	2017	97.6%	98.8%	95.7%
India	Tiruppur	2000	94.9%	96.9%	92.0%
India	Tiruppur	2017	97.8%	98.9%	95.8%
India	Tiruvannamalai	2000	95.2%	96.9%	92.0%
India	Tiruvannamalai	2017	98.1%	99.0%	96.2%
India	Tonk	2000	75.2%	79.4%	68.3%
India	Tonk	2017	86.4%	89.2%	81.3%
India	Tuensang	2000	80.8%	82.3%	78.8%
India	Tuensang	2017	90.0%	90.9%	88.5%
India	Tumakuru	2000	88.5%	90.8%	85.9%
India	Tumakuru	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.2%
India	Tuticorin	2000	93.6%	95.8%	90.8%
India	Tuticorin	2017	97.4%	98.5%	95.7%
India	Udaipur	2000	68.0%	71.0%	64.9%
India	Udaipur	2017	81.4%	83.6%	79.0%
India	Udalguri	2000	51.2%	53.2%	49.2%
India	Udalguri	2017	64.5%	66.6%	62.5%
India	Udham Singh Nagar	2000	88.9%	90.0%	87.6%
India	Udham Singh Nagar	2017	95.2%	95.8%	94.4%
India	Udhampur	2000	46.0%	47.6%	44.3%
India	Udhampur	2017	63.9%	65.4%	62.2%
India	Udupi	2000	48.1%	51.8%	44.1%
India	Udupi	2017	63.6%	67.8%	59.3%
India	Ujjain	2000	77.8%	80.0%	75.5%
India	Ujjain	2017	88.2%	89.5%	86.4%
India	Ukhrul	2000	28.7%	33.2%	25.6%
India	Ukhrul	2017	42.9%	48.0%	39.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Umaria	2000	49.3%	53.6%	46.4%
India	Umaria	2017	65.1%	68.8%	62.2%
India	Una	2000	92.1%	94.0%	89.6%
India	Una	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.1%
India	Unnao	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.3%
India	Unnao	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.0%
India	Unokoti	2000	60.1%	64.5%	54.8%
India	Unokoti	2017	74.8%	78.3%	70.3%
India	Upper Siang	2000	84.1%	87.3%	79.3%
India	Upper Siang	2017	91.3%	93.2%	88.0%
India	Upper Subansiri	2000	75.1%	83.3%	66.1%
India	Upper Subansiri	2017	82.6%	89.8%	73.7%
India	Uttar Bastar Kanker	2000	85.7%	89.3%	81.0%
India	Uttar Bastar Kanker	2017	92.7%	95.0%	89.3%
India	Uttar Dina-jpur	2000	90.5%	92.1%	88.6%
India	Uttar Dina-jpur	2017	94.9%	95.7%	93.7%
India	Uttara Kanna-da	2000	55.8%	60.3%	51.9%
India	Uttara Kanna-da	2017	69.0%	73.1%	65.4%
India	Uttarkashi	2000	72.0%	75.4%	68.5%
India	Uttarkashi	2017	81.5%	83.9%	79.0%
India	Vadodara	2000	91.7%	93.8%	88.7%
India	Vadodara	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.2%
India	Vaishali	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
India	Vaishali	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
India	Valsad	2000	78.3%	81.8%	74.6%
India	Valsad	2017	88.2%	90.5%	85.0%
India	Varanasi	2000	87.5%	88.5%	86.5%
India	Varanasi	2017	94.3%	94.8%	93.7%
India	Vellore	2000	91.2%	93.3%	88.8%
India	Vellore	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
India	Vidisha	2000	75.6%	79.2%	71.5%
India	Vidisha	2017	86.5%	89.1%	83.1%
India	Vijayapura	2000	84.3%	88.0%	79.6%
India	Vijayapura	2017	91.9%	94.3%	88.6%
India	Vikarabad	2000	92.6%	98.1%	83.2%
India	Vikarabad	2017	96.4%	99.3%	90.4%
India	Viluppuram	2000	93.3%	95.2%	90.2%
India	Viluppuram	2017	97.1%	98.1%	95.3%
India	Virudunagar	2000	90.8%	92.7%	88.2%
India	Virudunagar	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
India	Visakhapatnam	2000	88.8%	91.0%	86.3%
India	Visakhapatnam	2017	94.0%	95.5%	92.0%
India	Vizianagaram	2000	85.5%	88.5%	82.0%
India	Vizianagaram	2017	92.9%	94.7%	90.5%
India	Wanaparthi	2000	93.8%	97.5%	87.6%
India	Wanaparthi	2017	96.7%	99.0%	92.6%
India	Warangal Rural	2000	86.5%	90.8%	80.4%
India	Warangal Rural	2017	93.5%	95.9%	89.6%
India	Warangal Urban	2000	89.0%	93.2%	83.0%
India	Warangal Urban	2017	95.0%	97.3%	90.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Wardha	2000	86.0%	89.1%	81.2%
India	Wardha	2017	93.0%	94.8%	89.9%
India	Washim	2000	74.2%	77.6%	70.4%
India	Washim	2017	84.2%	86.8%	81.0%
India	Wayanad	2000	74.8%	78.0%	71.1%
India	Wayanad	2017	87.4%	89.2%	84.9%
India	West	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.4%
India	West	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
India	West Garo Hills	2000	36.9%	38.9%	35.4%
India	West Garo Hills	2017	49.2%	51.4%	47.4%
India	West Godavari	2000	88.9%	91.0%	86.4%
India	West Godavari	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.0%
India	West Jaintia Hills	2000	64.7%	67.9%	60.1%
India	West Jaintia Hills	2017	79.0%	81.3%	74.9%
India	West Kameng	2000	86.0%	90.1%	80.8%
India	West Kameng	2017	90.0%	92.8%	85.8%
India	West Karbi Anglong	2000	37.4%	43.0%	32.1%
India	West Karbi Anglong	2017	52.6%	58.4%	47.4%
India	West Khasi Hills	2000	58.5%	62.0%	55.0%
India	West Khasi Hills	2017	72.1%	75.9%	68.8%
India	West Siang	2000	71.9%	80.7%	60.1%
India	West Siang	2017	80.3%	87.5%	69.7%
India	West Sikkim	2000	83.9%	85.5%	82.3%
India	West Sikkim	2017	90.7%	91.9%	89.6%
India	West Tripura	2000	78.7%	84.2%	74.0%
India	West Tripura	2017	89.1%	92.9%	84.7%
India	Wokha	2000	50.2%	53.2%	47.6%
India	Wokha	2017	70.5%	72.9%	68.3%
India	Y.S.R.	2000	91.5%	93.9%	88.7%
India	Y.S.R.	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.5%
India	Yadadri Bhuvanagiri	2000	90.3%	95.3%	81.0%
India	Yadadri Bhuvanagiri	2017	95.4%	98.2%	89.4%
India	Yadgir	2000	79.7%	83.8%	74.6%
India	Yadgir	2017	88.1%	90.9%	84.7%
India	Yamunanagar	2000	93.7%	95.3%	91.7%
India	Yamunanagar	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
India	Yanam	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
India	Yanam	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
India	Yavatmal	2000	72.4%	76.2%	68.8%
India	Yavatmal	2017	82.1%	85.4%	78.9%
India	Zunheboto	2000	80.2%	81.6%	78.7%
India	Zunheboto	2017	88.7%	89.7%	87.7%
Nepal	Bagmati	2000	87.9%	92.5%	83.3%
Nepal	Bagmati	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.7%
Nepal	Bheri	2000	88.2%	91.2%	84.4%
Nepal	Bheri	2017	96.0%	97.7%	93.7%
Nepal	Dhaulagiri	2000	83.4%	90.6%	75.7%
Nepal	Dhaulagiri	2017	92.4%	96.5%	87.5%
Nepal	Gandaki	2000	87.2%	91.3%	82.3%
Nepal	Gandaki	2017	96.1%	98.1%	93.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nepal	Janakpur	2000	91.2%	93.8%	88.0%
Nepal	Janakpur	2017	96.8%	98.1%	94.9%
Nepal	Karnali	2000	75.5%	86.4%	63.9%
Nepal	Karnali	2017	86.5%	93.1%	78.2%
Nepal	Koshi	2000	92.0%	94.8%	88.5%
Nepal	Koshi	2017	99.1%	99.7%	98.2%
Nepal	Lumbini	2000	91.4%	94.0%	87.6%
Nepal	Lumbini	2017	97.3%	98.5%	95.5%
Nepal	Mahakali	2000	86.1%	91.4%	79.3%
Nepal	Mahakali	2017	97.5%	99.0%	95.2%
Nepal	Mechi	2000	89.7%	93.5%	85.7%
Nepal	Mechi	2017	96.9%	98.6%	94.2%
Nepal	Narayani	2000	95.0%	97.1%	92.4%
Nepal	Narayani	2017	98.5%	99.4%	97.1%
Nepal	Rapti	2000	79.5%	85.9%	72.8%
Nepal	Rapti	2017	93.3%	97.0%	88.1%
Nepal	Sagarmatha	2000	88.9%	93.5%	83.1%
Nepal	Sagarmatha	2017	97.6%	99.0%	95.1%
Nepal	Seti	2000	86.5%	90.8%	82.1%
Nepal	Seti	2017	96.1%	97.8%	94.0%
Pakistan	Azad Kashmir	2000	60.2%	66.2%	53.9%
Pakistan	Azad Kashmir	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.0%
Pakistan	Bahawalpur	2000	50.6%	53.6%	48.1%
Pakistan	Bahawalpur	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.0%
Pakistan	Bannu	2000	55.2%	62.5%	47.9%
Pakistan	Bannu	2017	94.4%	96.0%	92.2%
Pakistan	Dera Ghazi Khan	2000	50.8%	53.9%	47.7%
Pakistan	Dera Ghazi Khan	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.7%
Pakistan	Dera Ismail Khan	2000	49.1%	56.5%	42.1%
Pakistan	Dera Ismail Khan	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.3%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 1	2000	49.1%	54.4%	44.3%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 1	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.8%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 2	2000	49.3%	64.3%	34.0%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 2	2017	93.0%	96.5%	87.3%
Pakistan	Faisalabad	2000	51.7%	55.8%	47.9%
Pakistan	Faisalabad	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.4%
Pakistan	Gujranwala	2000	58.0%	60.6%	55.0%
Pakistan	Gujranwala	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.1%
Pakistan	Hazara	2000	53.0%	57.3%	49.3%
Pakistan	Hazara	2017	94.2%	95.2%	92.9%
Pakistan	Hyderabad	2000	46.4%	49.8%	43.4%
Pakistan	Hyderabad	2017	92.2%	93.4%	90.8%
Pakistan	Islamabad	2000	66.3%	74.1%	58.3%
Pakistan	Islamabad	2017	97.3%	98.3%	96.0%
Pakistan	Kalat	2000	43.3%	45.6%	41.0%
Pakistan	Kalat	2017	89.8%	91.1%	88.4%
Pakistan	Karachi	2000	69.7%	72.7%	65.9%
Pakistan	Karachi	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.1%
Pakistan	Kohat	2000	49.4%	54.2%	43.8%
Pakistan	Kohat	2017	93.9%	95.5%	92.1%
Pakistan	Lahore	2000	55.2%	58.6%	51.1%
Pakistan	Lahore	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Pakistan	Larkana	2000	49.9%	53.2%	46.3%
Pakistan	Larkana	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.2%
Pakistan	Makran	2000	47.2%	50.8%	43.7%
Pakistan	Makran	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Pakistan	Malakand	2000	50.2%	54.0%	46.5%
Pakistan	Malakand	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Pakistan	Mardan	2000	50.7%	56.5%	45.7%
Pakistan	Mardan	2017	93.8%	95.4%	91.7%
Pakistan	Mirpur Khas	2000	49.2%	52.3%	46.3%
Pakistan	Mirpur Khas	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.6%
Pakistan	Multan	2000	53.7%	56.3%	50.4%
Pakistan	Multan	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Pakistan	Nasirabad	2000	42.9%	45.8%	39.7%
Pakistan	Nasirabad	2017	90.6%	92.1%	89.1%
Pakistan	Northern Areas	2000	47.9%	51.0%	45.0%
Pakistan	Northern Areas	2017	88.3%	89.7%	86.7%
Pakistan	Peshawar	2000	56.5%	60.5%	52.8%
Pakistan	Peshawar	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Pakistan	Quetta	2000	55.0%	57.5%	52.6%
Pakistan	Quetta	2017	92.7%	93.8%	91.3%
Pakistan	Rann of Kutch	2000	55.2%	66.1%	46.0%
Pakistan	Rann of Kutch	2017	94.3%	96.9%	90.0%
Pakistan	Rawalpindi	2000	61.2%	64.3%	58.0%
Pakistan	Rawalpindi	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Pakistan	Sargodha	2000	51.6%	54.9%	48.3%
Pakistan	Sargodha	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.3%
Pakistan	Sibi	2000	44.5%	48.7%	41.1%
Pakistan	Sibi	2017	90.2%	91.9%	88.3%
Pakistan	Sukkur	2000	49.0%	52.1%	46.5%
Pakistan	Sukkur	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.2%
Pakistan	Zhob	2000	42.4%	45.4%	40.0%
Pakistan	Zhob	2017	89.5%	90.7%	88.0%
Southeast Asia, East Asia, and Oceania					
Cambodia	Aek Phnum	2000	40.3%	53.4%	30.8%
Cambodia	Aek Phnum	2017	88.0%	96.2%	77.6%
Cambodia	Andoung Meas	2000	15.3%	33.5%	4.1%
Cambodia	Andoung Meas	2017	81.4%	94.8%	64.6%
Cambodia	Angk Snuol	2000	39.3%	48.4%	31.1%
Cambodia	Angk Snuol	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.8%
Cambodia	Angkor Borei	2000	20.2%	48.7%	7.9%
Cambodia	Angkor Borei	2017	89.0%	98.6%	68.9%
Cambodia	Angkor Chey	2000	26.6%	39.5%	16.3%
Cambodia	Angkor Chey	2017	97.7%	99.1%	94.1%
Cambodia	Angkor Chum	2000	23.9%	37.1%	12.4%
Cambodia	Angkor Chum	2017	91.2%	97.1%	83.2%
Cambodia	Angkor Thum	2000	32.9%	56.9%	15.9%
Cambodia	Angkor Thum	2017	96.1%	99.6%	87.8%
Cambodia	Anlong Veaeng	2000	36.4%	54.8%	19.2%
Cambodia	Anlong Veaeng	2017	87.3%	98.9%	71.4%
Cambodia	Aoral	2000	53.0%	84.7%	16.6%
Cambodia	Aoral	2017	90.4%	99.5%	70.4%
Cambodia	Ba Phnum	2000	49.0%	62.5%	27.1%
Cambodia	Ba Phnum	2017	96.9%	98.7%	92.5%
Cambodia	Bakan	2000	21.9%	32.9%	13.6%
Cambodia	Bakan	2017	92.3%	96.5%	87.0%
Cambodia	Ban Lung	2000	16.8%	20.2%	13.9%
Cambodia	Ban Lung	2017	95.4%	98.0%	91.4%
Cambodia	Banan	2000	42.5%	58.7%	28.0%
Cambodia	Banan	2017	96.6%	99.3%	92.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Banteay Ampil	2000	14.6%	29.1%	5.0%
Cambodia	Banteay Ampil	2017	70.9%	84.2%	55.6%
Cambodia	Banteay Meas	2000	17.4%	29.5%	11.7%
Cambodia	Banteay Meas	2017	94.4%	98.2%	86.5%
Cambodia	Banteay Srei	2000	37.3%	56.7%	20.5%
Cambodia	Banteay Srei	2017	92.4%	99.3%	72.5%
Cambodia	Bar Kaev	2000	11.8%	44.0%	1.3%
Cambodia	Bar Kaev	2017	82.2%	97.9%	51.1%
Cambodia	Baray	2000	20.2%	29.9%	14.2%
Cambodia	Baray	2017	92.6%	97.1%	85.6%
Cambodia	Baribour	2000	10.9%	20.7%	4.7%
Cambodia	Baribour	2017	84.7%	94.8%	71.5%
Cambodia	Basedth	2000	23.1%	30.2%	16.3%
Cambodia	Basedth	2017	94.5%	97.7%	89.3%
Cambodia	Bat Dambang	2000	35.3%	40.1%	30.4%
Cambodia	Bat Dambang	2017	94.7%	97.7%	90.6%
Cambodia	Batheay	2000	53.6%	64.0%	41.9%
Cambodia	Batheay	2017	98.1%	99.4%	94.9%
Cambodia	Bati	2000	35.7%	39.5%	30.8%
Cambodia	Bati	2017	97.3%	98.6%	95.3%
Cambodia	Bavel	2000	38.1%	54.6%	21.2%
Cambodia	Bavel	2017	92.6%	99.1%	77.3%
Cambodia	Botum Sakor	2000	41.3%	71.2%	13.2%
Cambodia	Botum Sakor	2017	90.8%	98.9%	75.4%
Cambodia	Bourei Cholsar	2000	12.8%	30.5%	1.3%
Cambodia	Bourei Cholsar	2017	82.6%	94.3%	67.3%
Cambodia	Chamkar Leu	2000	20.7%	28.0%	13.4%
Cambodia	Chamkar Leu	2017	93.0%	97.5%	86.7%
Cambodia	Chantrea	2000	46.7%	74.6%	22.1%
Cambodia	Chantrea	2017	94.5%	99.8%	77.1%
Cambodia	Chbar Mon	2000	55.8%	66.3%	43.5%
Cambodia	Chbar Mon	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Cambodia	Cheung Prey	2000	51.7%	59.6%	43.4%
Cambodia	Cheung Prey	2017	97.5%	99.1%	94.5%
Cambodia	Chey Saen	2000	20.1%	48.4%	2.0%
Cambodia	Chey Saen	2017	69.2%	92.2%	38.3%
Cambodia	Chhaeb	2000	40.2%	82.0%	3.7%
Cambodia	Chhaeb	2017	66.8%	98.1%	21.4%
Cambodia	Chhloung	2000	40.1%	48.1%	31.5%
Cambodia	Chhloung	2017	87.2%	97.7%	75.5%
Cambodia	Chhuk	2000	22.6%	28.2%	17.4%
Cambodia	Chhuk	2017	93.1%	97.3%	83.4%
Cambodia	Chi Kraeng	2000	21.7%	31.8%	13.1%
Cambodia	Chi Kraeng	2017	85.4%	94.2%	75.0%
Cambodia	Choam	2000	30.1%	46.9%	13.8%
Cambodia	Khsant				
Cambodia	Choam	2017	38.2%	68.6%	21.8%
Cambodia	Khsant				
Cambodia	Chol Kiri	2000	31.0%	50.8%	9.8%
Cambodia	Chol Kiri	2017	87.1%	95.6%	72.2%
Cambodia	Chong Kal	2000	8.7%	17.4%	2.7%
Cambodia	Chong Kal	2017	59.0%	78.8%	40.1%
Cambodia	Chum Kiri	2000	15.7%	24.4%	9.2%
Cambodia	Chum Kiri	2017	89.0%	96.7%	74.5%
Cambodia	Dambae	2000	28.6%	55.8%	11.1%
Cambodia	Dambae	2017	83.4%	98.6%	50.8%
Cambodia	Dang Tong	2000	15.9%	32.7%	7.8%
Cambodia	Dang Tong	2017	93.6%	97.1%	85.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Dangkao	2000	57.7%	63.8%	51.1%
Cambodia	Dangkao	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Cambodia	Doun Kaev	2000	27.0%	31.3%	23.2%
Cambodia	Doun Kaev	2017	95.3%	98.7%	92.0%
Cambodia	Kaeb	2000	11.8%	15.8%	8.2%
Cambodia	Kaeb	2017	94.4%	98.1%	90.1%
Cambodia	Kaev Seima	2000	36.3%	59.6%	13.9%
Cambodia	Kaev Seima	2017	80.7%	96.4%	56.3%
Cambodia	Kamchay	2000	50.4%	75.2%	30.4%
Cambodia	Mear				
Cambodia	Kamchay	2017	97.9%	99.8%	90.1%
Cambodia	Mear				
Cambodia	Kampong Bay	2000	69.3%	83.0%	51.9%
Cambodia	Kampong Bay	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Cambodia	Kampong Cham	2000	32.5%	60.5%	12.9%
Cambodia	Kampong Cham	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Cambodia	Kampong Chhnang	2000	39.3%	43.7%	35.5%
Cambodia	Kampong Chhnang	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Leaeng	2000	38.0%	53.0%	21.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Leaeng	2017	94.4%	97.9%	88.3%
Cambodia	Kampong Leav	2000	49.0%	60.3%	40.2%
Cambodia	Kampong Leav	2017	98.6%	99.7%	96.4%
Cambodia	Kampong Rou	2000	55.3%	70.8%	39.5%
Cambodia	Kampong Rou	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Seila	2000	42.7%	76.4%	10.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Seila	2017	88.9%	99.6%	69.3%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2000	10.9%	15.8%	7.3%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2000	42.0%	53.5%	28.4%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2017	96.8%	98.9%	93.6%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2017	91.7%	96.0%	87.7%
Cambodia	Kampong Svay	2000	21.4%	33.4%	11.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Svay	2017	86.1%	94.2%	75.8%
Cambodia	Kampong Tra-baek	2000	67.4%	73.9%	60.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Tra-baek	2017	98.1%	99.4%	96.6%
Cambodia	Kampong Trach	2000	23.4%	37.8%	13.3%
Cambodia	Kampong Trach	2017	91.0%	98.4%	77.8%
Cambodia	Kampong Tralach	2000	29.9%	35.3%	24.7%
Cambodia	Kampong Tralach	2017	95.0%	97.6%	90.5%
Cambodia	Kampot	2000	31.5%	40.7%	22.5%
Cambodia	Kampot	2017	90.7%	97.2%	80.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Kandal Stuong	2000	42.6%	46.3%	38.4%
Cambodia	Kandal Stuong	2017	98.2%	98.8%	96.6%
Cambodia	Kandieng	2000	39.0%	61.4%	22.8%
Cambodia	Kandieng	2017	95.9%	99.7%	87.4%
Cambodia	Kang Meas	2000	49.6%	56.3%	42.9%
Cambodia	Kang Meas	2017	97.4%	99.9%	92.2%
Cambodia	Kanhchriech	2000	40.4%	56.4%	29.1%
Cambodia	Kanhchriech	2017	97.4%	99.2%	93.3%
Cambodia	Kaoh Andaet	2000	24.5%	40.0%	10.5%
Cambodia	Kaoh Andaet	2017	93.1%	97.6%	86.0%
Cambodia	Kaoh Kong	2000	33.8%	76.7%	3.7%
Cambodia	Kaoh Kong	2017	84.1%	98.1%	59.6%
Cambodia	Kaoh Nheak	2000	37.3%	79.4%	5.9%
Cambodia	Kaoh Nheak	2017	66.8%	91.2%	33.9%
Cambodia	Kaoh Soutin	2000	30.1%	35.2%	24.0%
Cambodia	Kaoh Soutin	2017	97.9%	98.7%	97.0%
Cambodia	Kaoh Thum	2000	23.6%	33.3%	17.4%
Cambodia	Kaoh Thum	2017	92.1%	97.3%	81.3%
Cambodia	Khsach Kandal	2000	37.6%	42.8%	33.1%
Cambodia	Khsach Kandal	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.8%
Cambodia	Kien Svay	2000	21.9%	28.8%	17.4%
Cambodia	Kien Svay	2017	95.6%	98.7%	92.1%
Cambodia	Kiri Sakor	2000	58.7%	96.5%	17.7%
Cambodia	Kiri Sakor	2017	91.1%	100.0%	70.9%
Cambodia	Kiri Vong	2000	34.0%	45.9%	22.5%
Cambodia	Kiri Vong	2017	91.8%	98.8%	80.6%
Cambodia	Kong Pisei	2000	24.2%	28.5%	20.9%
Cambodia	Kong Pisei	2017	97.2%	98.6%	95.3%
Cambodia	Koun Mom	2000	21.7%	58.9%	3.3%
Cambodia	Koun Mom	2017	62.2%	96.0%	26.2%
Cambodia	Kracheh	2000	20.0%	30.6%	11.8%
Cambodia	Kracheh	2017	94.7%	98.7%	89.1%
Cambodia	Krakor	2000	23.8%	36.2%	14.7%
Cambodia	Krakor	2017	90.4%	97.3%	82.9%
Cambodia	Kralanh	2000	32.0%	48.2%	17.4%
Cambodia	Kralanh	2017	93.4%	98.4%	83.9%
Cambodia	Krouch Chhmar	2000	35.5%	62.3%	12.9%
Cambodia	Krouch Chhmar	2017	89.3%	97.8%	75.5%
Cambodia	Kuleaen	2000	52.0%	74.1%	27.7%
Cambodia	Kuleaen	2017	86.1%	98.7%	57.4%
Cambodia	Leuk Daek	2000	22.1%	32.7%	12.3%
Cambodia	Leuk Daek	2017	93.0%	97.7%	86.4%
Cambodia	Lumphat	2000	16.7%	38.6%	3.6%
Cambodia	Lumphat	2017	70.3%	91.9%	47.5%
Cambodia	Lvea Aem	2000	41.9%	47.9%	36.2%
Cambodia	Lvea Aem	2017	98.2%	99.1%	97.2%
Cambodia	Malai	2000	39.8%	69.4%	12.9%
Cambodia	Malai	2017	91.2%	99.6%	74.9%
Cambodia	Me Sang	2000	68.6%	79.6%	55.8%
Cambodia	Me Sang	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Cambodia	Mean Chey	2000	62.0%	65.6%	58.6%
Cambodia	Mean Chey	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cambodia	Memot	2000	26.6%	38.3%	15.8%
Cambodia	Memot	2017	88.6%	95.4%	77.8%
Cambodia	Mittakpheap	2000	35.7%	42.1%	29.4%
Cambodia	Mittakpheap	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Mondol Seima	2000	62.5%	81.6%	43.5%
Cambodia	Mondol Seima	2017	92.3%	99.9%	79.7%
Cambodia	Mongkol Borei	2000	18.8%	25.0%	14.0%
Cambodia	Mongkol Borei	2017	92.4%	97.6%	85.7%
Cambodia	Moung Ruessei	2000	18.7%	30.3%	9.1%
Cambodia	Moung Ruessei	2017	84.4%	92.2%	74.1%
Cambodia	Mukh Kampul	2000	31.1%	36.2%	24.3%
Cambodia	Mukh Kampul	2017	93.3%	95.5%	90.3%
Cambodia	Odongk	2000	25.4%	34.7%	19.5%
Cambodia	Odongk	2017	94.3%	98.5%	87.7%
Cambodia	Ou Chrov	2000	39.7%	63.7%	18.7%
Cambodia	Ou Chrov	2017	95.4%	99.2%	88.5%
Cambodia	Ou Chum	2000	46.8%	64.6%	28.0%
Cambodia	Ou Chum	2017	90.5%	98.7%	75.6%
Cambodia	Ou Reang	2000	66.2%	92.3%	33.7%
Cambodia	Ou Reang	2017	89.5%	99.7%	72.2%
Cambodia	Ou Reang Ov	2000	42.3%	56.8%	27.2%
Cambodia	Ou Reang Ov	2017	98.4%	99.5%	95.4%
Cambodia	Ou Ya Dav	2000	17.4%	40.1%	3.1%
Cambodia	Ou Ya Dav	2017	79.6%	94.7%	60.1%
Cambodia	Pailin	2000	42.3%	53.1%	32.2%
Cambodia	Pailin	2017	96.0%	99.9%	81.8%
Cambodia	Pea Reang	2000	59.7%	71.6%	47.2%
Cambodia	Pea Reang	2017	99.4%	99.8%	97.8%
Cambodia	Peam Chor	2000	48.4%	63.8%	28.9%
Cambodia	Peam Chor	2017	94.3%	98.8%	84.9%
Cambodia	Peam Ro	2000	21.6%	37.7%	11.2%
Cambodia	Peam Ro	2017	93.3%	97.8%	84.1%
Cambodia	Pechr Chenda	2000	36.2%	67.0%	9.1%
Cambodia	Pechr Chenda	2017	68.4%	92.5%	41.7%
Cambodia	Phnom Penh	2000	57.0%	61.6%	52.8%
Cambodia	Phnom Penh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cambodia	Phnum Kravanh	2000	31.6%	43.0%	19.8%
Cambodia	Phnum Kravanh	2017	89.2%	96.6%	79.1%
Cambodia	Phnum Proek	2000	24.0%	57.6%	6.5%
Cambodia	Phnum Proek	2017	72.5%	93.6%	43.9%
Cambodia	Phnum Srok	2000	16.4%	38.4%	4.6%
Cambodia	Phnum Srok	2017	69.8%	89.0%	48.9%
Cambodia	Phnum Sruoch	2000	49.9%	68.6%	29.3%
Cambodia	Phnum Sruoch	2017	94.8%	99.5%	86.8%
Cambodia	Ponhea Kraek	2000	29.8%	43.0%	19.3%
Cambodia	Ponhea Kraek	2017	91.3%	97.5%	81.8%
Cambodia	Ponhea Lueu	2000	11.1%	22.3%	6.4%
Cambodia	Ponhea Lueu	2017	89.2%	97.9%	73.9%
Cambodia	Prasat Bakong	2000	27.8%	37.4%	19.3%
Cambodia	Prasat Bakong	2017	89.5%	95.2%	84.0%
Cambodia	Prasat Balangk	2000	23.0%	52.3%	4.0%
Cambodia	Prasat Balangk	2017	79.1%	96.0%	54.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Prasat Sambour	2000	30.5%	56.8%	11.3%
Cambodia	Prasat Sambour	2017	93.6%	98.8%	82.5%
Cambodia	Preaek Prasab	2000	26.2%	40.3%	15.1%
Cambodia	Preaek Prasab	2017	89.8%	96.4%	80.8%
Cambodia	Preah Netr	2000	26.3%	45.2%	13.5%
Cambodia	Preah Netr	2017	90.4%	97.8%	79.2%
Cambodia	Preah Sdach	2000	53.6%	65.3%	44.0%
Cambodia	Preah Sdach	2017	97.0%	99.4%	92.4%
Cambodia	Prey Chhor	2000	45.3%	52.7%	36.0%
Cambodia	Prey Chhor	2017	96.1%	98.8%	92.2%
Cambodia	Prey Kabbas	2000	37.1%	43.9%	30.1%
Cambodia	Prey Kabbas	2017	95.3%	98.5%	89.8%
Cambodia	Prey Nob	2000	40.3%	50.3%	31.0%
Cambodia	Prey Nob	2017	94.8%	98.2%	89.4%
Cambodia	Prey Veaeng	2000	50.6%	65.3%	35.8%
Cambodia	Prey Veaeng	2017	98.2%	99.6%	94.7%
Cambodia	Puok	2000	41.8%	57.3%	28.8%
Cambodia	Puok	2017	97.3%	99.5%	91.5%
Cambodia	Rolea B'ier	2000	31.0%	39.1%	25.6%
Cambodia	Rolea B'ier	2017	95.2%	98.2%	90.0%
Cambodia	Romeas Haek	2000	56.1%	73.9%	37.8%
Cambodia	Romeas Haek	2017	98.3%	99.9%	93.6%
Cambodia	Rotanak Mondol	2000	53.1%	85.4%	17.6%
Cambodia	Rotanak Mondol	2017	91.0%	99.6%	69.3%
Cambodia	Rovieng	2000	35.6%	60.6%	10.7%
Cambodia	Rovieng	2017	84.2%	96.0%	65.2%
Cambodia	Ruessei Kaev	2000	52.1%	56.1%	48.0%
Cambodia	Ruessei Kaev	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Cambodia	Rumduol	2000	70.4%	84.3%	49.1%
Cambodia	Rumduol	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Cambodia	S'ang	2000	15.4%	19.3%	12.4%
Cambodia	S'ang	2017	96.7%	98.7%	94.2%
Cambodia	Saen	2000	47.5%	66.5%	32.7%
Cambodia	Monourom Saen	2017	89.2%	99.3%	72.8%
Cambodia	Sala Krau	2000	27.8%	53.8%	10.4%
Cambodia	Sala Krau	2017	87.9%	98.3%	68.2%
Cambodia	Sambour	2000	29.2%	47.6%	13.2%
Cambodia	Sambour	2017	77.7%	89.1%	65.0%
Cambodia	Sameakki	2000	37.5%	59.0%	17.3%
Cambodia	Mean Chey Sameakki	2017	95.5%	99.1%	85.5%
Cambodia	Mean Chey Samlout	2000	41.6%	73.1%	13.9%
Cambodia	Mean Chey Samlout	2017	86.6%	98.8%	64.9%
Cambodia	Sampov Meas	2000	15.8%	21.5%	11.1%
Cambodia	Sampov Meas	2017	89.0%	93.1%	84.3%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	34.4%	38.9%	29.1%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	24.9%	38.2%	10.2%
Cambodia	Samraong	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.3%
Cambodia	Samraong	2017	85.1%	96.1%	58.2%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	42.3%	48.4%	36.1%
Cambodia	Tong Samraong	2017	98.7%	99.4%	96.5%
Cambodia	Tong				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Sandan	2000	33.0%	60.5%	12.2%
Cambodia	Sandan	2017	81.8%	99.0%	59.0%
Cambodia	Sangkae	2000	21.8%	26.7%	18.9%
Cambodia	Sangkae	2017	84.8%	93.8%	75.7%
Cambodia	Sangkom	2000	33.8%	60.1%	10.5%
Cambodia	Thmei				
Cambodia	Sangkom	2017	86.1%	98.9%	62.6%
Cambodia	Thmei				
Cambodia	Santuk	2000	19.6%	30.2%	10.7%
Cambodia	Santuk	2017	82.3%	94.9%	70.1%
Cambodia	Serei	2000	14.1%	17.3%	11.4%
Cambodia	Saophoan				
Cambodia	Serei	2017	94.0%	97.2%	90.4%
Cambodia	Saophoan				
Cambodia	Sesan	2000	18.1%	43.0%	1.9%
Cambodia	Sesan	2017	61.3%	84.5%	31.6%
Cambodia	Siem Bouk	2000	10.9%	32.2%	1.3%
Cambodia	Siem Bouk	2017	65.9%	90.0%	36.5%
Cambodia	Siem Pang	2000	21.4%	47.7%	1.9%
Cambodia	Siem Pang	2017	53.1%	84.9%	18.1%
Cambodia	Siem Reab	2000	62.3%	69.5%	56.1%
Cambodia	Siem Reab	2017	98.5%	99.9%	95.5%
Cambodia	Sithor Kandal	2000	63.2%	75.4%	47.9%
Cambodia	Sithor Kandal	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.3%
Cambodia	Smach Mean	2000	71.9%	85.6%	57.3%
Cambodia	Chey				
Cambodia	Smach Mean	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.5%
Cambodia	Chey				
Cambodia	Snuol	2000	28.9%	50.1%	10.3%
Cambodia	Snuol	2017	85.1%	96.5%	68.6%
Cambodia	Soutr Nikom	2000	12.3%	21.1%	6.7%
Cambodia	Soutr Nikom	2017	81.7%	91.7%	70.4%
Cambodia	Srae Ambel	2000	24.8%	41.4%	13.6%
Cambodia	Srae Ambel	2017	92.1%	97.4%	81.7%
Cambodia	Srei Santhor	2000	60.6%	71.0%	46.6%
Cambodia	Srei Santhor	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Cambodia	Srei Snam	2000	21.7%	47.4%	3.5%
Cambodia	Srei Snam	2017	83.1%	96.1%	58.9%
Cambodia	Stoung	2000	18.3%	31.4%	7.0%
Cambodia	Stoung	2017	85.8%	94.5%	75.8%
Cambodia	Stueng hav	2000	54.7%	92.8%	21.9%
Cambodia	Stueng hav	2017	99.3%	100.0%	93.9%
Cambodia	Stueng Saen	2000	37.2%	47.3%	27.0%
Cambodia	Stueng Saen	2017	97.4%	99.3%	91.1%
Cambodia	Stueng Traeng	2000	8.8%	18.1%	4.0%
Cambodia	Stueng Traeng	2017	80.0%	93.8%	64.7%
Cambodia	Stueng Trang	2000	28.4%	42.8%	15.8%
Cambodia	Stueng Trang	2017	83.5%	93.2%	73.1%
Cambodia	Svay Chek	2000	25.3%	43.1%	8.8%
Cambodia	Svay Chek	2017	90.5%	97.0%	78.0%
Cambodia	Svay Chrum	2000	66.7%	75.5%	57.1%
Cambodia	Svay Chrum	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Cambodia	Svay Leu	2000	34.0%	67.2%	9.5%
Cambodia	Svay Leu	2017	75.9%	96.6%	37.4%
Cambodia	Svay Pao	2000	25.0%	28.1%	21.9%
Cambodia	Svay Pao	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Cambodia	Svay Rieng	2000	74.9%	85.2%	60.7%
Cambodia	Svay Rieng	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Cambodia	Svay Teab	2000	61.7%	77.3%	43.5%
Cambodia	Svay Teab	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.3%
Cambodia	Ta Khmau	2000	73.2%	78.8%	65.4%
Cambodia	Ta Khmau	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Ta Veang	2000	29.1%	71.1%	3.5%
Cambodia	Ta Veang	2017	63.5%	96.6%	27.7%
Cambodia	Tbaeng Mean chey	2000	60.0%	79.1%	37.1%
Cambodia	Tbaeng Mean chey	2017	95.7%	99.8%	81.6%
Cambodia	Tboung Khmum	2000	25.5%	33.7%	17.7%
Cambodia	Tboung Khmum	2017	95.9%	98.1%	92.7%
Cambodia	Thala Barivat	2000	19.7%	50.1%	2.4%
Cambodia	Thala Barivat	2017	59.1%	89.2%	31.5%
Cambodia	Thma Bang	2000	41.0%	84.5%	7.0%
Cambodia	Thma Bang	2017	71.8%	98.1%	37.7%
Cambodia	Thma Puok	2000	25.0%	46.2%	9.2%
Cambodia	Thma Puok	2017	87.5%	97.2%	72.3%
Cambodia	Thpong	2000	44.5%	74.8%	17.4%
Cambodia	Thpong	2017	93.7%	99.5%	75.8%
Cambodia	Tram Kak	2000	27.7%	37.1%	20.3%
Cambodia	Tram Kak	2017	95.4%	97.3%	93.4%
Cambodia	Treang	2000	24.0%	33.2%	16.5%
Cambodia	Treang	2017	91.6%	95.6%	85.4%
Cambodia	Tuek Phos	2000	32.0%	56.6%	11.9%
Cambodia	Tuek Phos	2017	90.5%	97.8%	77.5%
Cambodia	Varin	2000	31.5%	73.1%	7.3%
Cambodia	Varin	2017	80.0%	98.4%	50.8%
Cambodia	Veal Veang	2000	47.2%	90.7%	9.3%
Cambodia	Veal Veang	2017	81.5%	99.0%	55.5%
Cambodia	Veun Sai	2000	17.8%	39.6%	3.6%
Cambodia	Veun Sai	2017	58.4%	85.3%	34.6%
China	Aksu	2000	96.7%	99.1%	92.0%
China	Aksu	2017	87.3%	97.8%	66.5%
China	Altay	2000	96.4%	99.1%	92.1%
China	Altay	2017	86.0%	97.8%	65.3%
China	Alxa	2000	96.4%	97.9%	94.1%
China	Alxa	2017	83.4%	90.6%	73.2%
China	Ankang	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.3%
China	Ankang	2017	78.7%	82.4%	74.8%
China	Anqing	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.3%
China	Anqing	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.1%
China	Anshan	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.1%
China	Anshan	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.7%
China	Anshun	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
China	Anshun	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
China	Anyang	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
China	Anyang	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
China	Baicheng	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
China	Baicheng	2017	74.5%	79.5%	68.6%
China	Baise	2000	97.9%	98.6%	96.9%
China	Baise	2017	92.2%	94.4%	90.0%
China	Baishan	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.3%
China	Baishan	2017	72.8%	78.1%	66.9%
China	Baiyin	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.6%
China	Baiyin	2017	83.9%	86.6%	81.1%
China	Baoding	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
China	Baoding	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
China	Baoji	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
China	Baoji	2017	81.7%	84.9%	78.7%
China	Baoshan	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.1%
China	Baoshan	2017	90.4%	92.9%	87.6%
China	Baotou	2000	96.1%	98.0%	93.5%
China	Baotou	2017	83.5%	93.2%	68.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Bayin'gholin Mongol	2000	96.3%	98.9%	92.0%
China	Bayin'gholin Mongol	2017	85.5%	96.9%	64.7%
China	Baynnur	2000	96.3%	98.3%	92.9%
China	Baynnur	2017	84.0%	94.5%	67.0%
China	Bazhong	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.5%
China	Bazhong	2017	76.3%	80.2%	72.5%
China	Beihai	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.3%
China	Beihai	2017	93.1%	95.2%	90.5%
China	Beijing	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
China	Beijing	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
China	Bengbu	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
China	Bengbu	2017	96.4%	97.7%	95.0%
China	Benxi	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.5%
China	Benxi	2017	95.7%	97.1%	93.8%
China	Bijie	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
China	Bijie	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.8%
China	Binzhou	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.6%
China	Binzhou	2017	86.0%	88.7%	82.8%
China	Börtala Mon- gol	2000	96.6%	99.1%	91.6%
China	Börtala Mon- gol	2017	87.2%	97.7%	63.4%
China	Bozhou	2000	98.6%	99.2%	97.9%
China	Bozhou	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
China	Cangzhou	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
China	Cangzhou	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
China	Central and Western	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.9%
China	Central and Western	2017	90.9%	93.8%	87.6%
China	Chamdo	2000	95.1%	97.6%	90.7%
China	Chamdo	2017	78.9%	89.5%	64.1%
China	Changchun	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
China	Changchun	2017	73.7%	76.8%	70.6%
China	Changde	2000	94.8%	96.4%	93.0%
China	Changde	2017	80.7%	84.8%	76.7%
China	Changji Hui	2000	96.6%	99.0%	93.3%
China	Changji Hui	2017	87.0%	97.6%	68.7%
China	Changsha	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
China	Changsha	2017	81.6%	84.6%	78.3%
China	Changzhi	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
China	Changzhi	2017	88.5%	90.1%	86.8%
China	Changzhou	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
China	Changzhou	2017	90.9%	92.4%	89.3%
China	Chaohu	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.1%
China	Chaohu	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%
China	Chaoyang	2000	98.7%	99.3%	97.9%
China	Chaoyang	2017	97.5%	98.4%	96.3%
China	Chaozhou	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
China	Chaozhou	2017	91.9%	93.7%	90.1%
China	Chengde	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
China	Chengde	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.0%
China	Chengdu	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
China	Chengdu	2017	76.9%	79.4%	74.2%
China	Chenzhou	2000	96.5%	97.7%	95.2%
China	Chenzhou	2017	85.0%	88.2%	81.0%
China	Chifeng	2000	98.2%	99.2%	96.7%
China	Chifeng	2017	95.5%	98.0%	90.9%
China	Chizhou	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
China	Chizhou	2017	93.5%	95.4%	91.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Chongqing	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.6%
China	Chongqing	2017	80.8%	81.7%	79.7%
China	Chongzuo	2000	97.9%	98.8%	96.9%
China	Chongzuo	2017	91.9%	94.3%	89.0%
China	Chuxiong Yi	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
China	Chuxiong Yi	2017	89.4%	91.8%	86.8%
China	Chuzhou	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
China	Chuzhou	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
China	Dali Bai	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
China	Dali Bai	2017	90.5%	92.6%	87.9%
China	Dalian	2000	98.6%	99.1%	97.8%
China	Dalian	2017	96.9%	98.0%	95.4%
China	Dandong	2000	98.5%	99.2%	97.5%
China	Dandong	2017	96.3%	98.0%	94.2%
China	Daqing	2000	96.6%	97.7%	95.1%
China	Daqing	2017	90.8%	92.8%	88.5%
China	Datong	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
China	Datong	2017	89.9%	91.5%	88.3%
China	Daxing'anling	2000	96.6%	98.8%	93.2%
China	Daxing'anling	2017	93.0%	97.2%	85.4%
China	Dazhou	2000	94.1%	95.7%	92.4%
China	Dazhou	2017	76.3%	79.1%	73.6%
China	Dehong Dai and Jingpo	2000	97.4%	98.5%	96.0%
China	Dehong Dai and Jingpo	2017	90.5%	93.8%	86.2%
China	Dêqên Tibetan	2000	96.9%	98.2%	95.1%
China	Dêqên Tibetan	2017	89.0%	92.6%	83.4%
China	Deyang	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.0%
China	Deyang	2017	77.0%	79.9%	73.7%
China	Dezhou	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.2%
China	Dezhou	2017	90.2%	92.4%	87.9%
China	Dingxi	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
China	Dingxi	2017	84.1%	86.3%	81.8%
China	Dongguan	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
China	Dongguan	2017	92.5%	93.5%	91.4%
China	Dongying	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
China	Dongying	2017	84.8%	88.3%	81.0%
China	Eastern	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
China	Eastern	2017	92.4%	94.9%	89.5%
China	Enshi Tujia and Miao	2000	94.0%	95.9%	91.8%
China	Enshi Tujia and Miao	2017	81.6%	84.6%	78.3%
China	Ezhou	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.5%
China	Ezhou	2017	85.8%	88.1%	82.7%
China	Fangchenggang	2000	98.1%	98.9%	97.1%
China	Fangchenggang	2017	92.6%	95.2%	88.7%
China	Foshan	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
China	Foshan	2017	92.4%	93.5%	91.2%
China	Fushun	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.2%
China	Fushun	2017	94.8%	96.3%	92.9%
China	Fuxin	2000	98.4%	99.2%	97.5%
China	Fuxin	2017	96.8%	98.1%	95.3%
China	Fuyang	2000	98.5%	99.1%	97.6%
China	Fuyang	2017	97.0%	98.1%	95.7%
China	Fuzhou	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
China	Fuzhou	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
China	Fuzhou	2017	88.8%	90.6%	87.3%
China	Fuzhou	2017	85.2%	86.8%	83.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Gannan Tibetan	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
China	Gannan Tibetan	2017	83.6%	87.1%	79.7%
China	Ganzhou	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
China	Ganzhou	2017	85.1%	86.7%	83.4%
China	Garzê Tibetan	2000	95.3%	96.9%	93.1%
China	Garzê Tibetan	2017	77.6%	83.1%	71.1%
China	Golog Tibetan	2000	94.9%	96.9%	92.1%
China	Golog Tibetan	2017	76.2%	87.0%	63.7%
China	Guang'an	2000	93.7%	95.4%	91.8%
China	Guang'an	2017	76.9%	79.7%	74.5%
China	Guangyuan	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
China	Guangyuan	2017	77.8%	81.5%	74.3%
China	Guangzhou	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
China	Guangzhou	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.4%
China	Guigang	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
China	Guigang	2017	92.0%	94.0%	89.8%
China	Guilin	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
China	Guilin	2017	90.3%	92.3%	87.8%
China	Guiyang	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
China	Guiyang	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
China	Guyuan	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.8%
China	Guyuan	2017	84.2%	87.5%	80.1%
China	Gyêgu Tibetan	2000	94.3%	97.3%	89.7%
China	Gyêgu Tibetan	2017	75.6%	89.7%	57.0%
China	Haibei Tibetan	2000	96.2%	97.7%	94.3%
China	Haibei Tibetan	2017	80.8%	87.0%	73.6%
China	Haidong	2000	96.8%	98.0%	95.3%
China	Haidong	2017	84.2%	89.1%	78.9%
China	Haikou	2000	98.0%	98.9%	96.6%
China	Haikou	2017	92.0%	96.3%	85.6%
China	Hainan	2000	97.9%	99.0%	96.2%
China	Hainan	2017	91.7%	96.7%	83.1%
China	Hainan Tibetan	2000	96.5%	98.0%	94.5%
China	Hainan Tibetan	2017	82.7%	91.8%	71.1%
China	Haixi Mongol and Tibetan	2000	96.8%	98.2%	94.9%
China	Haixi Mongol and Tibetan	2017	84.0%	93.1%	74.0%
China	Hami	2000	96.6%	98.6%	93.1%
China	Hami	2017	85.6%	96.3%	68.3%
China	Handan	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
China	Handan	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.7%
China	Hangzhou	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
China	Hangzhou	2017	88.5%	90.3%	86.1%
China	Hanzhong	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.1%
China	Hanzhong	2017	79.5%	83.3%	75.6%
China	Harbin	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.2%
China	Harbin	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.1%
China	Hebi	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
China	Hebi	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.1%
China	Hechi	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
China	Hechi	2017	92.6%	94.6%	90.4%
China	Hefei	2000	98.8%	99.3%	98.2%
China	Hefei	2017	96.9%	97.9%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Hegang	2000	96.0%	97.6%	93.2%
China	Hegang	2017	93.6%	95.7%	91.0%
China	Heihe	2000	96.1%	97.8%	93.2%
China	Heihe	2017	93.8%	96.1%	90.7%
China	Hengshui	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
China	Hengshui	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
China	Hengyang	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.8%
China	Hengyang	2017	81.5%	85.3%	77.9%
China	Heyuan	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
China	Heyuan	2017	91.4%	93.0%	89.5%
China	Heze	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
China	Heze	2017	87.2%	89.4%	84.4%
China	Hezhou	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.4%
China	Hezhou	2017	90.7%	93.2%	88.2%
China	Hohhot	2000	96.6%	98.2%	94.2%
China	Hohhot	2017	87.3%	94.1%	77.3%
China	Honghe Hani and Yi	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.4%
China	Honghe Hani and Yi	2017	90.5%	92.7%	88.2%
China	Huai'an	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
China	Huai'an	2017	91.4%	93.2%	89.6%
China	Huaibei	2000	98.5%	99.1%	97.6%
China	Huaibei	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.1%
China	Huaihua	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.4%
China	Huaihua	2017	84.2%	87.7%	80.7%
China	Huainan	2000	98.8%	99.4%	98.1%
China	Huainan	2017	97.2%	98.3%	95.8%
China	Huanggang	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
China	Huanggang	2017	87.6%	89.7%	85.1%
China	Huangnan Tibetan	2000	96.4%	97.8%	94.6%
China	Huangnan Tibetan	2017	82.9%	88.9%	73.5%
China	Huangshan	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
China	Huangshan	2017	91.8%	94.3%	89.0%
China	Huangshi	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
China	Huangshi	2017	85.7%	88.3%	82.7%
China	Huizhou	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.6%
China	Huizhou	2017	92.6%	94.1%	91.2%
China	Huludao	2000	98.9%	99.4%	98.2%
China	Huludao	2017	97.9%	98.7%	96.9%
China	Hulunbuir	2000	96.3%	98.3%	93.1%
China	Hulunbuir	2017	91.6%	96.5%	82.7%
China	Huzhou	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
China	Huzhou	2017	89.0%	91.2%	86.7%
China	Ilhas	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
China	Ilhas	2017	93.2%	94.9%	91.0%
China	Ili Kazakh	2000	96.7%	99.1%	92.7%
China	Ili Kazakh	2017	87.7%	97.6%	65.8%
China	Islands	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.3%
China	Islands	2017	92.6%	94.9%	90.1%
China	Ji'an	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
China	Ji'an	2017	84.3%	86.0%	82.4%
China	Jiamusi	2000	96.3%	97.7%	94.3%
China	Jiamusi	2017	94.0%	95.7%	92.0%
China	Jiangmen	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
China	Jiangmen	2017	91.9%	93.4%	90.3%
China	Jiaozuo	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
China	Jiaozuo	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
China	Jiaying	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.3%
China	Jiaying	2017	87.3%	89.6%	85.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Jiayuguan	2000	96.8%	98.1%	95.2%
China	Jiayuguan	2017	84.5%	89.4%	79.5%
China	Jieyang	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
China	Jieyang	2017	92.7%	94.1%	91.3%
China	Jilin	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
China	Jilin	2017	73.5%	77.0%	69.8%
China	Jinan	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
China	Jinan	2017	85.0%	87.9%	82.1%
China	Jinchang	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.4%
China	Jinchang	2017	83.3%	87.2%	79.4%
China	Jincheng	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
China	Jincheng	2017	88.0%	89.9%	86.0%
China	Jingdezhen	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
China	Jingdezhen	2017	84.7%	86.8%	82.5%
China	Jingmen	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
China	Jingmen	2017	83.7%	86.9%	80.0%
China	Jingzhou	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
China	Jingzhou	2017	83.8%	86.3%	81.3%
China	Jinhua	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.7%
China	Jinhua	2017	87.5%	90.1%	84.2%
China	Jining	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
China	Jining	2017	85.0%	87.1%	82.5%
China	Jinzhong	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
China	Jinzhong	2017	88.9%	90.3%	87.5%
China	Jinzhou	2000	98.7%	99.3%	97.9%
China	Jinzhou	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.5%
China	Jiujiang	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.5%
China	Jiujiang	2017	84.7%	86.5%	83.2%
China	Jiuquan	2000	96.7%	97.8%	95.3%
China	Jiuquan	2017	83.7%	87.5%	79.3%
China	Jixi	2000	96.6%	98.1%	94.7%
China	Jixi	2017	93.8%	96.0%	91.1%
China	Jiyuan shi	2000	97.2%	98.1%	95.9%
China	Jiyuan shi	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.5%
China	Kaifeng	2000	97.9%	98.8%	97.0%
China	Kaifeng	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
China	Karamay	2000	96.8%	99.3%	93.1%
China	Karamay	2017	88.3%	97.9%	68.2%
China	Kashgar	2000	96.7%	99.2%	93.1%
China	Kashgar	2017	87.3%	98.4%	66.3%
China	Khotan	2000	96.7%	99.0%	92.8%
China	Khotan	2017	87.5%	97.6%	67.5%
China	Kizilsu Kirghiz	2000	96.6%	99.1%	92.1%
China	Kizilsu Kirghiz	2017	86.3%	98.2%	65.1%
China	Kowloon City	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
China	Kowloon City	2017	92.5%	94.8%	90.0%
China	Kunming	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
China	Kunming	2017	90.3%	92.2%	88.2%
China	Kwai Tsing	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
China	Kwai Tsing	2017	92.4%	94.7%	90.0%
China	Kwun Tong	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.4%
China	Kwun Tong	2017	92.8%	95.1%	90.3%
China	Laibin	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.9%
China	Laibin	2017	92.0%	94.1%	89.8%
China	Laiwu	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
China	Laiwu	2017	84.3%	87.6%	80.5%
China	Langfang	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.4%
China	Langfang	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
China	Lanzhou	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
China	Lanzhou	2017	83.6%	85.5%	81.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Leshan	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
China	Leshan	2017	77.4%	80.6%	73.8%
China	Lhasa	2000	95.8%	98.7%	90.7%
China	Lhasa	2017	81.2%	96.0%	57.4%
China	Liangshan Yi	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
China	Liangshan Yi	2017	80.3%	83.5%	77.0%
China	Lianyungang	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
China	Lianyungang	2017	90.5%	92.4%	88.4%
China	Liaocheng	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
China	Liaocheng	2017	90.1%	92.1%	87.7%
China	Liaoyang	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
China	Liaoyang	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
China	Liaoyuan	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
China	Liaoyuan	2017	76.4%	80.5%	72.2%
China	Lijiang	2000	96.8%	98.0%	95.3%
China	Lijiang	2017	87.8%	90.7%	84.3%
China	Lincang	2000	97.4%	98.4%	96.1%
China	Lincang	2017	90.4%	93.1%	87.2%
China	Linfen	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
China	Linfen	2017	87.9%	89.5%	86.3%
China	Linxia Hui	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.6%
China	Linxia Hui	2017	84.0%	86.6%	81.3%
China	Linyi	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.6%
China	Linyi	2017	85.9%	88.2%	83.4%
China	Lishui	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.0%
China	Lishui	2017	87.9%	90.3%	85.2%
China	Liupanshui	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
China	Liupanshui	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
China	Liuzhou	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.7%
China	Liuzhou	2017	92.2%	94.2%	89.9%
China	Longnan	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
China	Longnan	2017	83.4%	86.1%	80.7%
China	Longyan	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
China	Longyan	2017	88.1%	90.1%	86.0%
China	Loudi	2000	94.6%	96.2%	92.4%
China	Loudi	2017	79.9%	84.2%	75.4%
China	Lu'an	2000	98.6%	99.2%	98.0%
China	Lu'an	2017	96.6%	97.6%	94.9%
China	Luliang	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
China	Luliang	2017	87.8%	89.5%	86.2%
China	Luohe	2000	98.1%	98.9%	97.1%
China	Luohe	2017	97.0%	98.0%	95.8%
China	Luoyang	2000	97.5%	98.4%	96.3%
China	Luoyang	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
China	Luzhou	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.5%
China	Luzhou	2017	80.8%	83.4%	78.3%
China	Ma'anshan	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
China	Ma'anshan	2017	94.0%	95.6%	91.6%
China	Macau	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
China	Macau	2017	93.1%	94.7%	91.2%
China	Maoming	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
China	Maoming	2017	92.5%	93.8%	90.8%
China	Meishan	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
China	Meishan	2017	77.0%	79.5%	73.8%
China	Meizhou	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
China	Meizhou	2017	91.7%	93.2%	89.8%
China	Mianyang	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
China	Mianyang	2017	77.5%	80.7%	74.7%
China	Mudanjiang	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.0%
China	Mudanjiang	2017	92.0%	94.4%	89.0%
China	Nagchu	2000	93.9%	97.2%	88.3%
China	Nagchu	2017	74.4%	91.0%	50.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Nanchang	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
China	Nanchang	2017	83.9%	85.5%	82.0%
China	Nanchong	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.4%
China	Nanchong	2017	76.3%	79.2%	73.6%
China	Nanjing	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
China	Nanjing	2017	91.7%	93.1%	90.1%
China	Nanning	2000	97.9%	98.7%	96.9%
China	Nanning	2017	92.0%	94.0%	89.9%
China	Nanping	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
China	Nanping	2017	88.1%	90.3%	85.8%
China	Nantong	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
China	Nantong	2017	89.7%	91.4%	87.8%
China	Nanyang	2000	97.1%	98.1%	95.7%
China	Nanyang	2017	93.8%	95.4%	91.8%
China	Neijiang	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
China	Neijiang	2017	76.4%	79.5%	72.8%
China	Neijiang]]	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.2%
China	Neijiang]]	2017	76.2%	79.1%	72.6%
China	Ngari	2000	94.1%	97.3%	89.4%
China	Ngari	2017	74.1%	91.6%	52.5%
China	Ngawa Tibetan and Qiang	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
China	Ngawa Tibetan and Qiang	2017	78.5%	82.6%	74.3%
China	Ningbo	2000	96.3%	97.5%	95.1%
China	Ningbo	2017	86.1%	88.4%	83.2%
China	Ningde	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
China	Ningde	2017	88.0%	89.9%	85.8%
China	North	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
China	North	2017	92.9%	94.4%	91.1%
China	Nujiang Lisu	2000	97.5%	98.4%	96.0%
China	Nujiang Lisu	2017	91.3%	94.4%	86.7%
China	Nyingtri	2000	96.0%	98.2%	92.4%
China	Nyingtri	2017	83.1%	94.6%	63.7%
China	Ordos	2000	96.1%	97.5%	94.1%
China	Ordos	2017	83.2%	89.6%	73.5%
China	Panjin	2000	98.9%	99.4%	98.2%
China	Panjin	2017	98.0%	98.8%	96.8%
China	Panzhuhua	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.1%
China	Panzhuhua	2017	82.1%	85.7%	77.4%
China	Pingdingshan	2000	97.9%	98.8%	96.7%
China	Pingdingshan	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.2%
China	Pingliang	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
China	Pingliang	2017	83.7%	86.1%	81.2%
China	Pingxiang	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
China	Pingxiang	2017	83.1%	85.5%	80.5%
China	Pu'er	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.2%
China	Pu'er	2017	90.4%	92.7%	87.3%
China	Putian	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
China	Putian	2017	88.5%	90.3%	86.9%
China	Puyang	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
China	Puyang	2017	92.8%	94.4%	90.9%
China	Qiandongnan Miao and Dong	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.0%
China	Qiandongnan Miao and Dong	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.2%
China	Qianjiang	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
China	Qianjiang	2017	84.5%	87.7%	80.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Qiannan Buyei and Miao	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
China	Qiannan Buyei and Miao	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.6%
China	Qianxinan Buyei and Miao	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
China	Qianxinan Buyei and Miao	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.7%
China	Qingdao	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
China	Qingdao	2017	85.2%	87.9%	82.0%
China	Qingyang	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
China	Qingyang	2017	83.1%	85.7%	79.8%
China	Qingyuan	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
China	Qingyuan	2017	91.7%	93.3%	90.0%
China	Qinhuangdao	2000	98.8%	99.3%	98.2%
China	Qinhuangdao	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
China	Qinzhou	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.0%
China	Qinzhou	2017	92.2%	94.1%	89.6%
China	Qiqihar	2000	96.5%	97.9%	94.4%
China	Qiqihar	2017	93.5%	95.2%	91.0%
China	Qitaihe	2000	96.6%	98.0%	94.6%
China	Qitaihe	2017	94.3%	96.3%	91.4%
China	Quanzhou	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
China	Quanzhou	2017	88.3%	89.7%	86.9%
China	Qujing	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
China	Qujing	2017	91.7%	93.3%	90.0%
China	Quzhou	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
China	Quzhou	2017	87.2%	90.1%	83.8%
China	Rizhao	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
China	Rizhao	2017	86.0%	88.8%	82.5%
China	Sai Kung	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.4%
China	Sai Kung	2017	92.6%	95.0%	90.1%
China	Sanmenxia	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.5%
China	Sanmenxia	2017	90.3%	92.2%	88.1%
China	Sanming	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
China	Sanming	2017	88.1%	90.0%	85.8%
China	Sanya	2000	97.9%	99.3%	95.7%
China	Sanya	2017	91.9%	98.5%	79.5%
China	Sha Tin	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
China	Sha Tin	2017	91.9%	94.3%	89.6%
China	Sham Shui Po	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.2%
China	Sham Shui Po	2017	92.2%	94.5%	89.6%
China	Shanghai	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
China	Shanghai	2017	86.6%	88.2%	84.7%
China	Shangluo	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
China	Shangluo	2017	81.3%	85.0%	77.9%
China	Shangqiu	2000	97.9%	98.6%	96.9%
China	Shangqiu	2017	94.4%	95.8%	93.0%
China	Shangrao	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
China	Shangrao	2017	84.7%	86.3%	83.3%
China	Shannan	2000	95.6%	98.5%	91.2%
China	Shannan	2017	80.7%	96.1%	56.8%
China	Shantou	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
China	Shantou	2017	92.4%	93.8%	90.6%
China	Shanwei	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
China	Shanwei	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.9%
China	Shaoguan	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
China	Shaoguan	2017	89.6%	91.8%	87.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Shaoxing	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.6%
China	Shaoxing	2017	87.0%	89.5%	84.6%
China	Shaoyang	2000	95.0%	96.7%	92.9%
China	Shaoyang	2017	81.4%	85.2%	77.6%
China	Shennongjia	2000	95.8%	97.2%	93.6%
China	Shennongjia	2017	84.5%	88.8%	79.8%
China	Shenyang	2000	98.6%	99.1%	97.8%
China	Shenyang	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.0%
China	Shenzhen	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
China	Shenzhen	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.6%
China	Shigatse	2000	95.0%	98.2%	90.2%
China	Shigatse	2017	78.1%	94.7%	55.8%
China	Shihezi	2000	97.1%	99.4%	92.8%
China	Shihezi	2017	89.4%	98.3%	68.8%
China	Shijiazhuang	2000	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
China	Shijiazhuang	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
China	Shiyan	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.5%
China	Shiyan	2017	83.6%	87.1%	79.7%
China	Shizuishan	2000	96.2%	97.9%	93.1%
China	Shizuishan	2017	81.7%	92.0%	66.9%
China	Shuangyashan	2000	96.3%	97.9%	94.1%
China	Shuangyashan	2017	93.9%	96.0%	91.1%
China	Shuozhou	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.6%
China	Shuozhou	2017	88.1%	90.2%	86.1%
China	Siping	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
China	Siping	2017	76.3%	80.0%	72.9%
China	Songyuan	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
China	Songyuan	2017	74.6%	77.8%	70.8%
China	Southern	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.2%
China	Southern	2017	92.0%	94.6%	89.0%
China	Suihua	2000	96.5%	97.7%	94.7%
China	Suihua	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.3%
China	Suining	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
China	Suining	2017	76.6%	79.6%	73.6%
China	Suizhou Shi	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%
China	Suizhou Shi	2017	86.5%	89.6%	83.2%
China	Suqian	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
China	Suqian	2017	91.5%	93.1%	89.8%
China	Suzhou	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
China	Suzhou	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
China	Suzhou	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.9%
China	Suzhou	2017	89.1%	90.7%	87.6%
China	Tacheng	2000	96.7%	99.0%	92.7%
China	Tacheng	2017	87.5%	97.5%	65.8%
China	Tai Po	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
China	Tai Po	2017	92.6%	94.5%	90.5%
China	Tai'an	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
China	Tai'an	2017	84.2%	87.1%	81.5%
China	Taiyuan	2000	96.9%	97.6%	95.8%
China	Taiyuan	2017	87.7%	89.5%	85.8%
China	Taizhou	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
China	Taizhou	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
China	Taizhou	2017	91.0%	92.4%	89.5%
China	Taizhou	2017	87.8%	90.0%	85.2%
China	Tangshan	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
China	Tangshan	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
China	Tianjin	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
China	Tianjin	2017	88.7%	89.7%	87.6%
China	Tianmen	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.9%
China	Tianmen	2017	84.7%	88.0%	81.6%
China	Tianshui	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.5%
China	Tianshui	2017	83.7%	85.9%	81.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Tieling	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
China	Tieling	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.9%
China	Tongchuan	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
China	Tongchuan	2017	79.9%	84.0%	75.5%
China	Tonghua	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.8%
China	Tonghua	2017	76.8%	80.9%	72.4%
China	Tongliao	2000	97.1%	98.2%	95.8%
China	Tongliao	2017	86.9%	91.7%	82.0%
China	Tongling	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
China	Tongling	2017	96.2%	97.7%	94.2%
China	Tongren	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.3%
China	Tongren	2017	91.2%	92.8%	89.2%
China	Tsuen Wan	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
China	Tsuen Wan	2017	92.3%	94.5%	90.1%
China	Tuen Mun	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
China	Tuen Mun	2017	92.9%	94.7%	91.1%
China	Turfan	2000	96.9%	99.1%	93.3%
China	Turfan	2017	86.7%	98.0%	64.6%
China	Ulaan Chab	2000	97.3%	98.6%	95.7%
China	Ulaan Chab	2017	91.5%	95.2%	85.9%
China	Ürümqi	2000	96.2%	99.1%	92.2%
China	Ürümqi	2017	85.9%	98.0%	63.9%
China	Wan Chai	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.4%
China	Wan Chai	2017	92.7%	95.2%	90.0%
China	Weifang	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
China	Weifang	2017	85.1%	87.3%	82.1%
China	Weihai	2000	97.4%	98.4%	96.1%
China	Weihai	2017	85.3%	89.3%	80.7%
China	Weinan	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
China	Weinan	2017	82.4%	85.1%	79.7%
China	Wenshan	2000	97.5%	98.5%	96.6%
China	Zhuang and Miao				
China	Wenshan	2017	91.0%	93.3%	88.2%
China	Zhuang and Miao				
China	Wenzhou	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.0%
China	Wenzhou	2017	88.2%	90.9%	85.5%
China	Wong Tai Sin	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
China	Wong Tai Sin	2017	91.5%	94.0%	88.9%
China	Wuhai	2000	96.1%	98.1%	92.5%
China	Wuhai	2017	81.9%	92.7%	64.0%
China	Wuhan	2000	96.5%	97.6%	95.4%
China	Wuhan	2017	85.6%	87.8%	83.2%
China	Wuhu	2000	98.6%	99.1%	97.8%
China	Wuhu	2017	95.4%	96.9%	93.3%
China	Wuwei	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.6%
China	Wuwei	2017	83.4%	86.7%	80.4%
China	Wuxi	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
China	Wuxi	2017	90.3%	91.9%	88.8%
China	Wuzhong	2000	96.5%	97.9%	94.5%
China	Wuzhong	2017	83.0%	89.2%	75.2%
China	Wuzhou	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
China	Wuzhou	2017	91.9%	93.9%	89.8%
China	Xi'an	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
China	Xi'an	2017	80.8%	83.4%	78.3%
China	Xiamen	2000	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
China	Xiamen	2017	88.6%	90.3%	86.6%
China	Xiangfan	2000	95.8%	97.1%	93.9%
China	Xiangfan	2017	86.1%	88.8%	82.8%
China	Xiangtan	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.6%
China	Xiangtan	2017	81.3%	84.4%	77.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Xiangxi Tujia and Miao	2000	94.5%	96.2%	92.8%
China	Xiangxi Tujia and Miao	2017	82.2%	86.2%	78.7%
China	Xianning	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
China	Xianning	2017	84.9%	87.3%	82.0%
China	Xiantao	2000	96.3%	97.5%	95.1%
China	Xiantao	2017	85.0%	88.2%	82.1%
China	Xianyang	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
China	Xianyang	2017	81.5%	84.0%	78.5%
China	Xiaogan	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
China	Xiaogan	2017	85.1%	88.0%	82.3%
China	Xilin Gol	2000	97.8%	99.1%	96.0%
China	Xilin Gol	2017	94.0%	97.9%	86.9%
China	Xing'an	2000	95.9%	97.5%	93.8%
China	Xing'an	2017	80.3%	87.1%	73.4%
China	Xingtai	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
China	Xingtai	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
China	Xining	2000	96.9%	98.2%	95.0%
China	Xining	2017	84.9%	91.2%	76.0%
China	Xinxiang	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
China	Xinxiang	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.5%
China	Xinyang	2000	97.7%	98.6%	96.5%
China	Xinyang	2017	94.7%	96.2%	92.7%
China	Xinyu	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
China	Xinyu	2017	84.7%	87.0%	82.5%
China	Xinzhou	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
China	Xinzhou	2017	88.4%	89.9%	86.5%
China	Xishuangbanna Dai	2000	97.2%	98.4%	95.5%
China	Xishuangbanna Dai	2017	89.8%	93.7%	85.4%
China	Xuancheng	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.4%
China	Xuancheng	2017	93.0%	95.0%	90.5%
China	Xuchang	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.1%
China	Xuchang	2017	96.9%	97.9%	95.7%
China	Xuzhou	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
China	Xuzhou	2017	90.7%	92.2%	88.8%
China	Ya'an	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.9%
China	Ya'an	2017	77.2%	81.4%	72.7%
China	Yan'an	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
China	Yan'an	2017	82.3%	86.0%	78.0%
China	Yanbian Korean	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.4%
China	Yanbian Korean	2017	73.7%	79.0%	68.3%
China	Yancheng	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
China	Yancheng	2017	90.8%	92.4%	89.0%
China	Yangjiang	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.5%
China	Yangjiang	2017	92.9%	94.6%	91.2%
China	Yangquan	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
China	Yangquan	2017	91.0%	92.8%	88.8%
China	Yangzhou	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
China	Yangzhou	2017	90.9%	92.6%	89.2%
China	Yantai	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
China	Yantai	2017	85.2%	88.3%	81.6%
China	Yau Mong Tsim	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
China	Yau Mong Tsim	2017	92.5%	94.8%	90.0%
China	Yibin	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
China	Yibin	2017	78.8%	81.9%	75.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Yichang	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.4%
China	Yichang	2017	82.6%	85.9%	79.1%
China	Yichun	2000	95.8%	97.7%	93.5%
China	Yichun	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.5%
China	Yichun	2017	93.8%	95.9%	91.0%
China	Yichun	2017	84.2%	85.8%	82.2%
China	Yinchuan	2000	96.4%	98.1%	93.7%
China	Yinchuan	2017	82.9%	91.1%	70.9%
China	Yingtian	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.7%
China	Yingtian	2017	85.0%	87.1%	83.1%
China	Yiyang	2000	95.0%	96.6%	93.1%
China	Yiyang	2017	81.1%	84.6%	77.5%
China	Yongzhou	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.3%
China	Yongzhou	2017	84.5%	87.9%	80.6%
China	Yuen Long	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
China	Yuen Long	2017	92.8%	94.4%	91.1%
China	Yueyang	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
China	Yueyang	2017	82.6%	85.7%	79.0%
China	Yulin	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
China	Yulin	2000	97.8%	98.6%	97.1%
China	Yulin	2017	83.0%	86.6%	79.5%
China	Yulin	2017	92.0%	93.7%	90.2%
China	Yuncheng	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
China	Yuncheng	2017	88.1%	89.6%	86.6%
China	Yunfu	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
China	Yunfu	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
China	Yuxi	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.5%
China	Yuxi	2017	90.6%	92.7%	88.0%
China	Zaozhuang	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
China	Zaozhuang	2017	85.8%	88.1%	83.4%
China	Zhangjiajie	2000	94.2%	96.2%	91.8%
China	Zhangjiajie	2017	79.4%	84.5%	74.2%
China	Zhangjiakou	2000	98.6%	99.2%	97.9%
China	Zhangjiakou	2017	96.7%	97.8%	95.5%
China	Zhangye	2000	96.7%	97.8%	95.3%
China	Zhangye	2017	83.9%	87.7%	79.7%
China	Zhangzhou	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
China	Zhangzhou	2017	89.3%	90.8%	87.7%
China	Zhanjiang	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.1%
China	Zhanjiang	2017	92.3%	94.0%	90.6%
China	Zhaoqing	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
China	Zhaoqing	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.2%
China	Zhaotong	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.7%
China	Zhaotong	2017	88.1%	90.1%	85.8%
China	Zhengzhou	2000	97.9%	98.7%	96.9%
China	Zhengzhou	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
China	Zhenjiang	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
China	Zhenjiang	2017	90.8%	92.3%	89.3%
China	Zhongshan	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
China	Zhongshan	2017	92.3%	93.7%	91.0%
China	Zhongwei	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.2%
China	Zhongwei	2017	83.4%	88.1%	77.5%
China	Zhoukou	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.2%
China	Zhoukou	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.7%
China	Zhoushan	2000	95.9%	97.4%	94.2%
China	Zhoushan	2017	85.6%	89.9%	80.8%
China	Zhuhai	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
China	Zhuhai	2017	92.7%	94.3%	90.9%
China	Zhumadian	2000	97.7%	98.7%	96.5%
China	Zhumadian	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%
China	Zhuzhou	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
China	Zhuzhou	2017	82.4%	85.3%	79.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Zibo	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
China	Zibo	2017	85.3%	87.9%	82.4%
China	Zigong	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
China	Zigong	2017	75.9%	78.8%	72.6%
China	Ziyang	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.5%
China	Ziyang	2017	76.5%	79.1%	73.3%
China	Zunyi	2000	97.1%	98.0%	95.9%
China	Zunyi	2017	91.8%	93.1%	90.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat	2000	49.6%	52.9%	46.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat	2017	70.7%	73.1%	68.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat Daya	2000	56.0%	59.8%	52.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat Daya	2017	73.7%	76.7%	70.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Besar	2000	70.8%	74.6%	67.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Besar	2017	81.0%	84.7%	77.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Jaya	2000	52.3%	55.5%	48.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Jaya	2017	71.7%	74.2%	68.5%
Indonesia	Aceh Selatan	2000	59.1%	63.9%	53.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Selatan	2017	73.9%	78.5%	68.8%
Indonesia	Aceh Singkil	2000	53.0%	58.2%	48.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Singkil	2017	68.0%	72.1%	64.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Tamiang	2000	56.5%	58.2%	54.9%
Indonesia	Aceh Tamiang	2017	70.4%	72.8%	68.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Tengah	2000	53.2%	59.0%	47.8%
Indonesia	Aceh Tengah	2017	67.8%	72.7%	63.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Teng- gara	2000	56.7%	60.8%	52.5%
Indonesia	Aceh Teng- gara	2017	71.2%	76.0%	65.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Timur	2000	52.6%	55.9%	48.9%
Indonesia	Aceh Timur	2017	68.7%	71.9%	65.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Utara	2000	60.0%	61.6%	58.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Utara	2017	75.6%	77.1%	74.2%
Indonesia	Agam	2000	69.0%	71.2%	67.0%
Indonesia	Agam	2017	82.6%	84.4%	80.8%
Indonesia	Alor	2000	89.7%	94.9%	82.4%
Indonesia	Alor	2017	90.8%	96.1%	82.8%
Indonesia	Ambon	2000	79.1%	83.3%	74.7%
Indonesia	Ambon	2017	89.3%	91.5%	86.2%
Indonesia	Asahan	2000	75.3%	77.1%	73.4%
Indonesia	Asahan	2017	86.8%	88.4%	84.7%
Indonesia	Asmat	2000	59.8%	70.3%	51.2%
Indonesia	Asmat	2017	70.8%	77.7%	63.0%
Indonesia	Badung	2000	91.3%	92.2%	90.0%
Indonesia	Badung	2017	96.0%	96.5%	95.3%
Indonesia	Balangan	2000	52.1%	53.5%	50.7%
Indonesia	Balangan	2017	67.2%	69.0%	65.6%
Indonesia	Balikpapan	2000	73.3%	79.7%	65.2%
Indonesia	Balikpapan	2017	69.6%	77.2%	61.2%
Indonesia	Banda Aceh	2000	93.8%	94.4%	93.2%
Indonesia	Banda Aceh	2017	96.5%	96.9%	96.2%
Indonesia	Bandar Lam- pung	2000	82.9%	83.5%	82.3%
Indonesia	Bandar Lam- pung	2017	92.3%	92.6%	91.9%
Indonesia	Bandung	2000	83.3%	83.8%	82.7%
Indonesia	Bandung	2017	93.2%	93.4%	92.9%
Indonesia	Bandung Barat	2000	76.6%	77.3%	75.8%
Indonesia	Bandung Barat	2017	88.6%	89.0%	88.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Banggai	2000	59.1%	63.2%	55.4%
Indonesia	Banggai	2017	71.2%	75.8%	67.1%
Indonesia	Banggai Kepulauan	2000	68.3%	70.7%	65.9%
Indonesia	Banggai Kepulauan	2017	78.1%	80.3%	75.9%
Indonesia	Bangka	2000	66.5%	69.3%	63.5%
Indonesia	Bangka	2017	81.6%	83.9%	79.0%
Indonesia	Bangka Barat	2000	45.3%	49.6%	41.8%
Indonesia	Bangka Barat	2017	65.8%	69.8%	62.2%
Indonesia	Bangka Selatan	2000	59.1%	64.4%	53.8%
Indonesia	Bangka Selatan	2017	76.6%	81.3%	71.8%
Indonesia	Bangka Tengah	2000	69.4%	74.4%	64.3%
Indonesia	Bangka Tengah	2017	85.1%	87.9%	81.6%
Indonesia	Bangkalan	2000	80.7%	81.5%	79.8%
Indonesia	Bangkalan	2017	90.9%	91.4%	90.5%
Indonesia	Bangli	2000	82.6%	83.2%	82.0%
Indonesia	Bangli	2017	91.1%	91.5%	90.7%
Indonesia	Banjar	2000	72.7%	73.9%	71.3%
Indonesia	Banjar	2000	55.1%	56.7%	53.7%
Indonesia	Banjar	2017	83.6%	84.3%	82.8%
Indonesia	Banjar	2017	61.3%	63.4%	59.6%
Indonesia	Banjar Baru	2000	61.6%	66.1%	58.2%
Indonesia	Banjar Baru	2017	71.8%	75.7%	68.5%
Indonesia	Banjarmasin	2000	88.1%	88.5%	87.7%
Indonesia	Banjarmasin	2017	85.8%	86.4%	85.2%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	61.8%	62.5%	61.1%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	82.6%	83.1%	82.1%
Indonesia	Bantaeng	2000	77.5%	78.6%	76.1%
Indonesia	Bantaeng	2017	87.8%	88.9%	86.3%
Indonesia	Bantul	2000	83.2%	83.7%	82.7%
Indonesia	Bantul	2017	93.5%	93.7%	93.2%
Indonesia	Banyu Asin	2000	63.5%	65.4%	61.7%
Indonesia	Banyu Asin	2017	79.4%	80.7%	77.8%
Indonesia	Banyumas	2000	70.5%	71.2%	69.9%
Indonesia	Banyumas	2017	86.6%	87.0%	86.3%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2000	76.2%	77.7%	74.9%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2017	88.6%	89.6%	87.4%
Indonesia	Barito Kuala	2000	45.8%	46.7%	45.0%
Indonesia	Barito Kuala	2017	46.2%	47.4%	45.2%
Indonesia	Barito Selatan	2000	43.1%	46.7%	39.3%
Indonesia	Barito Selatan	2017	47.3%	50.2%	43.9%
Indonesia	Barito Timur	2000	38.4%	42.2%	34.6%
Indonesia	Barito Timur	2017	53.7%	58.0%	49.2%
Indonesia	Barito Utara	2000	47.6%	52.1%	43.2%
Indonesia	Barito Utara	2017	50.9%	55.0%	46.6%
Indonesia	Barru	2000	75.0%	76.2%	74.0%
Indonesia	Barru	2017	83.5%	84.9%	82.0%
Indonesia	Batam	2000	86.2%	88.1%	84.0%
Indonesia	Batam	2017	92.5%	93.9%	90.4%
Indonesia	Batang	2000	78.1%	78.8%	77.4%
Indonesia	Batang	2017	90.3%	90.6%	89.9%
Indonesia	Batang Hari	2000	48.9%	51.3%	46.7%
Indonesia	Batang Hari	2017	64.6%	66.9%	62.3%
Indonesia	Batu	2000	94.8%	95.2%	94.4%
Indonesia	Batu	2017	97.2%	97.4%	96.9%
Indonesia	Batu Bara	2000	71.7%	73.3%	70.0%
Indonesia	Batu Bara	2017	86.4%	87.6%	85.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Bau-Bau	2000	91.2%	92.3%	90.1%
Indonesia	Bau-Bau	2017	93.6%	94.5%	92.5%
Indonesia	Bekasi	2000	81.7%	82.5%	80.9%
Indonesia	Bekasi	2017	91.3%	91.8%	90.6%
Indonesia	Belitung	2000	54.8%	58.5%	51.2%
Indonesia	Belitung	2017	76.0%	79.1%	72.4%
Indonesia	Belitung	2000	50.4%	55.5%	45.4%
Indonesia	Timur Belitung	2017	68.9%	73.9%	63.9%
Indonesia	Belu	2000	77.5%	85.6%	65.6%
Indonesia	Belu	2017	82.7%	90.1%	72.0%
Indonesia	Bener Meriah	2000	62.9%	68.6%	56.0%
Indonesia	Bener Meriah	2017	75.4%	80.3%	68.5%
Indonesia	Bengkalis	2000	66.1%	71.7%	60.9%
Indonesia	Bengkalis	2017	81.1%	85.2%	76.4%
Indonesia	Bengkayang	2000	68.4%	79.5%	55.9%
Indonesia	Bengkayang	2017	76.5%	86.1%	63.9%
Indonesia	Bengkulu	2000	66.3%	67.4%	65.3%
Indonesia	Bengkulu	2017	81.9%	82.8%	81.1%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Se- latan	2000	36.7%	39.4%	34.2%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Se- latan	2017	56.1%	58.8%	53.1%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Ten- gah	2000	32.4%	34.5%	30.3%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Ten- gah	2017	46.5%	48.7%	44.6%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Utara	2000	49.0%	53.9%	43.5%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Utara	2017	59.1%	64.0%	53.3%
Indonesia	Berau	2000	72.7%	77.7%	66.9%
Indonesia	Berau	2017	75.3%	80.3%	69.4%
Indonesia	Biak Numfor	2000	81.0%	90.5%	67.4%
Indonesia	Biak Numfor	2017	84.5%	93.6%	72.7%
Indonesia	Bima	2000	75.3%	77.5%	72.8%
Indonesia	Bima	2017	86.3%	88.1%	84.0%
Indonesia	Bintan	2000	71.4%	77.2%	64.4%
Indonesia	Bintan	2017	85.1%	88.4%	80.8%
Indonesia	Bireuen	2000	73.6%	75.4%	71.1%
Indonesia	Bireuen	2017	86.7%	87.9%	84.9%
Indonesia	Bitung	2000	85.9%	87.7%	84.6%
Indonesia	Bitung	2017	92.2%	93.0%	91.5%
Indonesia	Blitar	2000	80.2%	80.8%	79.5%
Indonesia	Blitar	2017	91.9%	92.2%	91.6%
Indonesia	Blora	2000	76.6%	77.5%	75.6%
Indonesia	Blora	2017	88.8%	89.2%	88.4%
Indonesia	Boalemo	2000	63.9%	75.8%	51.4%
Indonesia	Boalemo	2017	76.2%	86.5%	65.9%
Indonesia	Bogor	2000	67.3%	67.9%	66.8%
Indonesia	Bogor	2017	83.4%	83.9%	82.9%
Indonesia	Bojonegoro	2000	74.7%	75.4%	73.9%
Indonesia	Bojonegoro	2017	89.5%	89.9%	89.1%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mon- gondow	2000	50.9%	53.1%	48.5%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mon- gondow	2017	67.8%	70.2%	65.1%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mon- gondow Sela- tan	2000	53.4%	68.2%	36.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Selatan	2017	67.4%	79.0%	52.6%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Timur	2000	70.7%	74.1%	65.4%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Timur	2017	79.7%	82.5%	75.9%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Utara	2000	61.8%	71.8%	53.5%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Utara	2017	77.4%	85.3%	69.3%
Indonesia	Bombana	2000	56.0%	59.4%	53.3%
Indonesia	Bombana	2017	68.1%	70.7%	65.2%
Indonesia	Bondowoso	2000	70.9%	72.0%	69.6%
Indonesia	Bondowoso	2017	86.5%	87.2%	85.5%
Indonesia	Bone	2000	66.7%	68.0%	65.3%
Indonesia	Bone	2017	80.1%	81.1%	79.0%
Indonesia	Bone Bolango	2000	67.2%	74.3%	59.7%
Indonesia	Bone Bolango	2017	79.6%	85.7%	71.8%
Indonesia	Bontang	2000	89.2%	92.6%	84.0%
Indonesia	Bontang	2017	93.9%	95.8%	90.6%
Indonesia	Boven Digoel	2000	52.0%	59.4%	45.2%
Indonesia	Boven Digoel	2017	62.6%	68.3%	56.0%
Indonesia	Boyolali	2000	77.8%	78.5%	77.2%
Indonesia	Boyolali	2017	90.6%	90.9%	90.3%
Indonesia	Brebes	2000	76.2%	76.8%	75.6%
Indonesia	Brebes	2017	89.5%	89.8%	89.1%
Indonesia	Bukittinggi	2000	88.2%	88.6%	87.8%
Indonesia	Bukittinggi	2017	93.6%	93.8%	93.3%
Indonesia	Buleleng	2000	83.5%	84.7%	82.2%
Indonesia	Buleleng	2017	90.0%	90.7%	89.1%
Indonesia	Bulukumba	2000	68.7%	69.7%	67.6%
Indonesia	Bulukumba	2017	82.9%	83.8%	82.1%
Indonesia	Bulungan	2000	69.2%	76.9%	61.3%
Indonesia	Bulungan	2017	73.9%	80.6%	66.5%
Indonesia	Bungo	2000	46.5%	48.7%	44.2%
Indonesia	Bungo	2017	66.6%	68.9%	64.3%
Indonesia	Buol	2000	61.8%	66.3%	56.8%
Indonesia	Buol	2017	72.6%	76.3%	68.3%
Indonesia	Buru	2000	55.8%	65.6%	47.3%
Indonesia	Buru	2017	70.3%	79.1%	60.5%
Indonesia	Buru Selatan	2000	55.2%	67.6%	42.8%
Indonesia	Buru Selatan	2017	63.8%	76.3%	50.9%
Indonesia	Buton	2000	76.5%	78.0%	75.1%
Indonesia	Buton	2017	82.4%	84.2%	80.5%
Indonesia	Buton Utara	2000	70.6%	75.0%	65.3%
Indonesia	Buton Utara	2017	82.7%	86.6%	76.3%
Indonesia	Ciamis	2000	69.9%	70.7%	68.9%
Indonesia	Ciamis	2017	85.7%	86.2%	85.2%
Indonesia	Cianjur	2000	66.1%	66.9%	65.1%
Indonesia	Cianjur	2017	84.3%	84.9%	83.5%
Indonesia	Cilacap	2000	69.7%	70.6%	69.0%
Indonesia	Cilacap	2017	85.2%	85.8%	84.5%
Indonesia	Cilegon	2000	87.8%	88.5%	87.0%
Indonesia	Cilegon	2017	95.1%	95.4%	94.6%
Indonesia	Cimahi	2000	86.7%	87.0%	86.3%
Indonesia	Cimahi	2017	94.3%	94.5%	94.1%
Indonesia	Cirebon	2000	82.5%	83.0%	82.0%
Indonesia	Cirebon	2017	92.4%	92.7%	92.1%
Indonesia	Dairi	2000	50.2%	52.3%	47.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Dairi	2017	64.8%	66.6%	63.1%
Indonesia	Danau	2000	49.8%	66.2%	34.6%
Indonesia	Danau	2017	48.5%	62.9%	37.0%
Indonesia	Danau Limboto	2000	77.2%	80.8%	73.0%
Indonesia	Danau Limboto	2017	88.2%	90.5%	84.8%
Indonesia	Deiyai	2000	49.0%	64.7%	33.4%
Indonesia	Deiyai	2017	64.3%	78.4%	47.8%
Indonesia	Deli Serdang	2000	81.4%	82.0%	80.8%
Indonesia	Deli Serdang	2017	90.6%	91.1%	90.1%
Indonesia	Demak	2000	75.2%	75.8%	74.6%
Indonesia	Demak	2017	87.9%	88.3%	87.5%
Indonesia	Denpasar	2000	92.5%	93.0%	91.8%
Indonesia	Denpasar	2017	96.8%	97.0%	96.5%
Indonesia	Depok	2000	78.4%	78.9%	77.9%
Indonesia	Depok	2017	90.9%	91.2%	90.7%
Indonesia	Dharmasraya	2000	55.9%	59.8%	52.1%
Indonesia	Dharmasraya	2017	69.9%	74.7%	65.1%
Indonesia	Dogiyai	2000	52.2%	60.6%	44.6%
Indonesia	Dogiyai	2017	64.4%	71.5%	56.2%
Indonesia	Dompu	2000	74.6%	78.1%	71.5%
Indonesia	Dompu	2017	86.5%	89.2%	83.5%
Indonesia	Donggala	2000	57.9%	60.8%	54.5%
Indonesia	Donggala	2017	72.8%	75.5%	69.2%
Indonesia	Dumai	2000	60.4%	73.2%	48.1%
Indonesia	Dumai	2017	76.6%	85.5%	64.4%
Indonesia	Empat Lawang	2000	46.1%	49.2%	43.1%
Indonesia	Empat Lawang	2017	62.9%	65.7%	60.1%
Indonesia	Ende	2000	75.7%	88.7%	57.1%
Indonesia	Ende	2017	76.7%	90.2%	61.0%
Indonesia	Enrekang	2000	61.0%	62.0%	59.9%
Indonesia	Enrekang	2017	74.6%	75.7%	73.6%
Indonesia	Fakfak	2000	72.7%	82.4%	61.8%
Indonesia	Fakfak	2017	76.0%	84.7%	66.1%
Indonesia	Flores Timur	2000	66.6%	82.8%	47.7%
Indonesia	Flores Timur	2017	72.4%	86.5%	54.2%
Indonesia	Garut	2000	66.1%	66.9%	65.0%
Indonesia	Garut	2017	82.1%	82.7%	81.3%
Indonesia	Gayo Lues	2000	50.9%	55.1%	47.6%
Indonesia	Gayo Lues	2017	61.7%	65.5%	58.2%
Indonesia	Gianyar	2000	92.2%	92.6%	91.7%
Indonesia	Gianyar	2017	95.9%	96.2%	95.6%
Indonesia	Gorontalo	2000	72.6%	77.0%	66.8%
Indonesia	Gorontalo	2017	82.2%	86.4%	76.4%
Indonesia	Gorontalo Utara	2000	65.7%	74.5%	54.1%
Indonesia	Gorontalo Utara	2017	73.5%	81.8%	62.6%
Indonesia	Gowa	2000	72.1%	72.8%	71.4%
Indonesia	Gowa	2017	82.5%	83.3%	81.7%
Indonesia	Gresik	2000	85.7%	86.2%	85.0%
Indonesia	Gresik	2017	93.4%	93.8%	93.0%
Indonesia	Grobogan	2000	57.9%	58.7%	57.2%
Indonesia	Grobogan	2017	77.8%	78.3%	77.3%
Indonesia	Gunung Kidul	2000	76.4%	77.2%	75.7%
Indonesia	Gunung Kidul	2017	88.5%	89.0%	88.1%
Indonesia	Gunung Mas	2000	42.7%	47.0%	37.3%
Indonesia	Gunung Mas	2017	49.8%	53.5%	46.0%
Indonesia	Gunungsitoli	2000	42.0%	57.7%	27.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Gunungsitoli	2017	46.7%	62.5%	31.8%
Indonesia	Halmahera Barat	2000	64.9%	67.3%	62.5%
Indonesia	Halmahera Barat	2017	76.6%	78.9%	74.0%
Indonesia	Halmahera Selatan	2000	56.1%	62.7%	49.4%
Indonesia	Halmahera Selatan	2017	66.7%	72.7%	60.3%
Indonesia	Halmahera Tengah	2000	65.5%	75.3%	50.7%
Indonesia	Halmahera Tengah	2017	78.6%	86.6%	65.1%
Indonesia	Halmahera Timur	2000	40.2%	46.4%	35.2%
Indonesia	Halmahera Timur	2017	52.4%	60.8%	45.5%
Indonesia	Halmahera Utara	2000	53.5%	58.9%	47.4%
Indonesia	Halmahera Utara	2017	66.9%	71.5%	60.6%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Selatan	2000	50.1%	51.6%	49.0%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Selatan	2017	55.2%	57.0%	53.5%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Tengah	2000	57.4%	58.3%	56.6%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Tengah	2017	68.4%	69.8%	67.3%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Utara	2000	54.5%	55.5%	53.5%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Utara	2017	59.3%	60.7%	58.2%
Indonesia	Humbang Hasundutan	2000	50.6%	52.3%	48.7%
Indonesia	Humbang Hasundutan	2017	66.6%	68.6%	64.8%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hilir	2000	83.4%	86.0%	80.6%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hilir	2017	89.6%	91.8%	87.0%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hulu	2000	50.5%	53.2%	47.6%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hulu	2017	61.4%	64.6%	58.1%
Indonesia	Indramayu	2000	81.3%	82.1%	80.3%
Indonesia	Indramayu	2017	91.5%	92.0%	90.9%
Indonesia	Intan Jaya	2000	62.2%	72.3%	50.1%
Indonesia	Intan Jaya	2017	69.0%	77.6%	56.4%
Indonesia	Jakarta Barat	2000	95.7%	95.9%	95.5%
Indonesia	Jakarta Barat	2017	97.7%	97.8%	97.6%
Indonesia	Jakarta Pusat	2000	96.2%	96.3%	96.1%
Indonesia	Jakarta Pusat	2017	97.9%	98.0%	97.9%
Indonesia	Jakarta Selatan	2000	86.0%	86.3%	85.7%
Indonesia	Jakarta Selatan	2017	94.4%	94.5%	94.3%
Indonesia	Jakarta Timur	2000	88.7%	89.0%	88.5%
Indonesia	Jakarta Timur	2017	95.1%	95.2%	95.0%
Indonesia	Jakarta Utara	2000	98.3%	98.4%	98.1%
Indonesia	Jakarta Utara	2017	98.7%	98.8%	98.6%
Indonesia	Jambi	2000	81.1%	81.7%	80.3%
Indonesia	Jambi	2017	89.3%	89.7%	88.7%
Indonesia	Jayapura	2000	65.3%	71.9%	56.2%
Indonesia	Jayapura	2017	71.9%	78.2%	64.4%
Indonesia	Jayawijaya	2000	50.0%	55.8%	45.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Jayawijaya	2017	60.6%	65.4%	55.5%
Indonesia	Jember	2000	79.4%	80.4%	78.2%
Indonesia	Jember	2017	91.1%	91.7%	90.3%
Indonesia	Jembrana	2000	80.7%	81.9%	79.2%
Indonesia	Jembrana	2017	90.2%	90.8%	89.5%
Indonesia	Jeneponto	2000	73.4%	74.2%	72.5%
Indonesia	Jeneponto	2017	86.8%	87.4%	86.1%
Indonesia	Jepara	2000	74.5%	75.3%	73.7%
Indonesia	Jepara	2017	88.8%	89.3%	88.2%
Indonesia	Jombang	2000	77.4%	78.2%	76.2%
Indonesia	Jombang	2017	91.1%	91.4%	90.6%
Indonesia	Kaimana	2000	67.0%	76.0%	56.8%
Indonesia	Kaimana	2017	72.5%	80.1%	64.1%
Indonesia	Kampar	2000	63.5%	66.4%	60.6%
Indonesia	Kampar	2017	79.0%	81.4%	76.3%
Indonesia	Kapuas	2000	39.3%	43.7%	34.3%
Indonesia	Kapuas	2017	48.4%	53.4%	43.1%
Indonesia	Kapuas Hulu	2000	53.0%	59.4%	46.9%
Indonesia	Kapuas Hulu	2017	60.4%	66.6%	54.8%
Indonesia	Karanganyar	2000	87.8%	88.2%	87.3%
Indonesia	Karanganyar	2017	95.1%	95.3%	94.8%
Indonesia	Karangasem	2000	83.2%	83.9%	82.5%
Indonesia	Karangasem	2017	89.0%	89.8%	88.2%
Indonesia	Karawang	2000	72.4%	73.1%	71.7%
Indonesia	Karawang	2017	85.4%	85.8%	84.9%
Indonesia	Karimun	2000	61.3%	63.7%	59.3%
Indonesia	Karimun	2017	75.6%	77.8%	73.5%
Indonesia	Karo	2000	72.6%	73.7%	71.6%
Indonesia	Karo	2017	77.9%	79.0%	76.8%
Indonesia	Katingan	2000	44.2%	48.7%	39.9%
Indonesia	Katingan	2017	56.3%	60.3%	52.1%
Indonesia	Kaur	2000	42.4%	47.4%	36.8%
Indonesia	Kaur	2017	61.0%	65.2%	56.1%
Indonesia	Kayong Utara	2000	63.9%	79.4%	47.9%
Indonesia	Kayong Utara	2017	72.5%	84.9%	55.6%
Indonesia	Kebumen	2000	70.8%	71.6%	70.0%
Indonesia	Kebumen	2017	87.3%	87.8%	86.8%
Indonesia	Kediri	2000	80.1%	80.7%	79.4%
Indonesia	Kediri	2017	92.1%	92.4%	91.7%
Indonesia	Keerom	2000	63.3%	70.6%	55.1%
Indonesia	Keerom	2017	72.4%	78.6%	65.6%
Indonesia	Kendal	2000	84.9%	85.4%	84.3%
Indonesia	Kendal	2017	93.3%	93.6%	93.0%
Indonesia	Kendari	2000	84.2%	84.8%	83.5%
Indonesia	Kendari	2017	91.3%	91.7%	90.9%
Indonesia	Kepahiang	2000	43.7%	45.5%	42.2%
Indonesia	Kepahiang	2017	58.1%	59.7%	56.6%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Anambas	2000	42.8%	52.0%	32.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Anambas	2017	51.3%	60.4%	41.8%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Aru	2000	59.4%	65.1%	54.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Aru	2017	71.0%	75.4%	66.2%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Mentawai	2000	45.4%	52.9%	38.9%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Mentawai	2017	59.4%	65.8%	53.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Meranti	2000	82.3%	90.2%	73.2%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Meranti	2017	88.3%	95.2%	79.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sangihe	2000	66.5%	67.8%	65.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sangihe	2017	75.2%	76.5%	74.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Selayar	2000	71.9%	74.1%	69.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Selayar	2017	82.3%	84.4%	80.1%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Seribu	2000	97.1%	100.0%	68.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Seribu	2017	97.0%	100.0%	72.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sula	2000	63.0%	69.0%	55.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sula	2017	72.6%	77.8%	65.8%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Talaud	2000	76.2%	78.0%	74.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Talaud	2017	83.9%	85.1%	82.5%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Yapen	2000	59.7%	73.8%	40.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Yapen	2017	58.9%	74.4%	41.1%
Indonesia	Kerinci	2000	67.0%	69.3%	64.7%
Indonesia	Kerinci	2017	74.4%	76.6%	71.8%
Indonesia	Ketapang	2000	62.2%	68.2%	56.1%
Indonesia	Ketapang	2017	69.8%	75.4%	64.1%
Indonesia	Klaten	2000	86.7%	87.2%	86.3%
Indonesia	Klaten	2017	94.7%	94.9%	94.5%
Indonesia	Klungkung	2000	94.0%	94.5%	93.3%
Indonesia	Klungkung	2017	96.2%	96.6%	95.8%
Indonesia	Kolaka	2000	74.2%	76.2%	72.1%
Indonesia	Kolaka	2017	84.1%	85.9%	82.3%
Indonesia	Kolaka Utara	2000	63.9%	68.4%	59.1%
Indonesia	Kolaka Utara	2017	78.1%	81.2%	74.3%
Indonesia	Konawe	2000	60.6%	63.1%	57.8%
Indonesia	Konawe	2017	76.5%	78.7%	73.8%
Indonesia	Konawe Selatan	2000	57.9%	59.8%	56.2%
Indonesia	Konawe Selatan	2017	71.3%	72.9%	69.6%
Indonesia	Konawe Utara	2000	53.6%	59.8%	46.7%
Indonesia	Konawe Utara	2017	64.6%	70.3%	58.9%
Indonesia	Kota Bandung	2000	90.2%	90.6%	89.8%
Indonesia	Kota Bandung	2017	95.2%	95.4%	95.1%
Indonesia	Kota Baru	2000	46.6%	50.6%	42.1%
Indonesia	Kota Baru	2017	58.0%	61.8%	54.1%
Indonesia	Kota Bekasi	2000	86.5%	86.9%	86.0%
Indonesia	Kota Bekasi	2017	94.6%	94.8%	94.4%
Indonesia	Kota Bima	2000	87.9%	89.7%	85.8%
Indonesia	Kota Bima	2017	93.8%	95.0%	92.2%
Indonesia	Kota Binjai	2000	82.8%	83.5%	82.1%
Indonesia	Kota Binjai	2017	92.8%	93.1%	92.4%
Indonesia	Kota Blitar	2000	86.6%	87.2%	86.1%
Indonesia	Kota Blitar	2017	95.0%	95.2%	94.8%
Indonesia	Kota Bogor	2000	88.0%	88.4%	87.6%
Indonesia	Kota Bogor	2017	94.1%	94.3%	93.9%
Indonesia	Kota Cirebon	2000	94.7%	95.0%	94.4%
Indonesia	Kota Cirebon	2017	96.8%	97.0%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kota Gorontalo	2000	93.3%	93.8%	92.7%
Indonesia	Kota Gorontalo	2017	96.0%	96.4%	95.6%
Indonesia	Kota Jayapura	2000	70.1%	82.4%	55.2%
Indonesia	Kota Jayapura	2017	80.2%	90.7%	66.9%
Indonesia	Kota Kediri	2000	82.1%	82.7%	81.4%
Indonesia	Kota Kediri	2017	92.8%	93.1%	92.5%
Indonesia	Kota Kupang	2000	79.3%	85.1%	70.5%
Indonesia	Kota Kupang	2017	85.2%	90.0%	77.8%
Indonesia	Kota Madiun	2000	91.5%	91.9%	91.1%
Indonesia	Kota Madiun	2017	95.4%	95.7%	95.2%
Indonesia	Kota Magelang	2000	93.0%	93.4%	92.5%
Indonesia	Kota Magelang	2017	94.5%	94.9%	94.0%
Indonesia	Kota Malang	2000	90.1%	90.5%	89.7%
Indonesia	Kota Malang	2017	95.0%	95.2%	94.7%
Indonesia	Kota Medan	2000	90.9%	91.2%	90.6%
Indonesia	Kota Medan	2017	94.4%	94.6%	94.2%
Indonesia	Kota Mojokerto	2000	86.5%	87.1%	85.9%
Indonesia	Kota Mojokerto	2017	95.1%	95.3%	94.8%
Indonesia	Kota Pasuruan	2000	91.8%	92.3%	91.3%
Indonesia	Kota Pasuruan	2017	95.7%	95.9%	95.4%
Indonesia	Kota Pekalongan	2000	89.9%	90.3%	89.4%
Indonesia	Kota Pekalongan	2017	95.5%	95.8%	95.3%
Indonesia	Kota Pontianak	2000	68.0%	68.8%	67.4%
Indonesia	Kota Pontianak	2017	78.9%	80.1%	77.8%
Indonesia	Kota Probolinggo	2000	85.7%	86.6%	84.9%
Indonesia	Kota Probolinggo	2017	94.5%	94.8%	94.2%
Indonesia	Kota Semarang	2000	90.4%	90.8%	89.9%
Indonesia	Kota Semarang	2017	94.9%	95.2%	94.7%
Indonesia	Kota Serang	2000	75.9%	76.8%	74.8%
Indonesia	Kota Serang	2017	90.1%	90.6%	89.5%
Indonesia	Kota Solok	2000	81.5%	82.1%	80.9%
Indonesia	Kota Solok	2017	87.4%	87.9%	86.9%
Indonesia	Kota Sorong	2000	75.5%	87.9%	60.8%
Indonesia	Kota Sorong	2017	75.3%	84.1%	67.3%
Indonesia	Kota Sukabumi	2000	85.8%	86.5%	85.2%
Indonesia	Kota Sukabumi	2017	94.3%	94.6%	94.0%
Indonesia	Kota Tangerang	2000	87.9%	88.4%	87.5%
Indonesia	Kota Tangerang	2017	94.6%	94.8%	94.4%
Indonesia	Kota Tanjungbalai	2000	82.9%	83.8%	81.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kota Tanjung-balai	2017	87.4%	88.3%	86.4%
Indonesia	Kota Tasik-malaya	2000	73.8%	74.7%	72.9%
Indonesia	Kota Tasik-malaya	2017	87.6%	88.2%	87.1%
Indonesia	Kota Tegal	2000	95.0%	95.4%	94.5%
Indonesia	Kota Tegal	2017	96.5%	96.9%	96.2%
Indonesia	Kota Yogyakarta	2000	88.6%	89.0%	88.2%
Indonesia	Kota Yogyakarta	2017	95.5%	95.6%	95.3%
Indonesia	Kotamobagu	2000	81.1%	81.6%	80.5%
Indonesia	Kotamobagu	2017	88.1%	88.5%	87.7%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Barat	2000	62.9%	66.3%	59.4%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Barat	2017	75.1%	79.1%	71.2%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Timur	2000	46.8%	51.0%	42.8%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Timur	2017	55.1%	59.1%	51.0%
Indonesia	Kuantan Singingi	2000	48.7%	52.4%	44.6%
Indonesia	Kuantan Singingi	2017	68.4%	71.3%	65.4%
Indonesia	Kubu Raya	2000	71.2%	76.0%	66.2%
Indonesia	Kubu Raya	2017	80.0%	84.0%	75.5%
Indonesia	Kudus	2000	86.4%	86.9%	85.9%
Indonesia	Kudus	2017	94.4%	94.6%	94.1%
Indonesia	Kulon Progo	2000	69.3%	70.0%	68.6%
Indonesia	Kulon Progo	2017	87.1%	87.4%	86.7%
Indonesia	Kuningan	2000	75.9%	76.9%	74.9%
Indonesia	Kuningan	2017	89.7%	90.1%	89.3%
Indonesia	Kupang	2000	66.8%	79.2%	54.1%
Indonesia	Kupang	2017	74.3%	85.7%	62.2%
Indonesia	Kutai Barat	2000	62.5%	68.1%	56.9%
Indonesia	Kutai Barat	2017	67.9%	73.2%	62.9%
Indonesia	Kutai Kartanegara	2000	76.4%	79.6%	72.1%
Indonesia	Kutai Kartanegara	2017	81.0%	84.0%	77.6%
Indonesia	Kutai Timur	2000	67.9%	71.7%	62.7%
Indonesia	Kutai Timur	2017	73.5%	78.0%	68.2%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu	2000	73.7%	76.0%	71.2%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu	2017	84.4%	87.0%	82.2%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Selatan	2000	53.7%	57.4%	50.2%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Selatan	2017	71.5%	74.5%	68.5%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Utara	2000	55.8%	59.8%	51.8%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Utara	2017	70.1%	74.8%	65.6%
Indonesia	Lahat	2000	55.2%	57.9%	52.2%
Indonesia	Lahat	2017	69.7%	71.8%	67.9%
Indonesia	Lake Toba	2000	61.0%	64.1%	57.8%
Indonesia	Lake Toba	2017	72.7%	76.0%	69.2%
Indonesia	Lamandau	2000	35.2%	39.6%	31.0%
Indonesia	Lamandau	2017	51.9%	56.2%	47.7%
Indonesia	Lamongan	2000	79.8%	80.5%	79.2%
Indonesia	Lamongan	2017	91.1%	91.5%	90.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Lampung Barat	2000	44.9%	47.8%	42.5%
Indonesia	Lampung Barat	2017	57.1%	60.3%	54.2%
Indonesia	Lampung Selatan	2000	57.4%	58.8%	56.0%
Indonesia	Lampung Selatan	2017	79.2%	80.4%	78.1%
Indonesia	Lampung Tengah	2000	52.4%	54.3%	50.2%
Indonesia	Lampung Tengah	2017	72.5%	74.4%	70.2%
Indonesia	Lampung Timur	2000	54.8%	57.7%	51.9%
Indonesia	Lampung Timur	2017	74.9%	77.4%	71.5%
Indonesia	Lampung Utara	2000	47.7%	48.7%	46.5%
Indonesia	Lampung Utara	2017	69.7%	70.6%	68.6%
Indonesia	Landak	2000	53.2%	61.4%	43.1%
Indonesia	Landak	2017	65.2%	73.8%	54.9%
Indonesia	Langkat	2000	60.3%	62.2%	58.5%
Indonesia	Langkat	2017	77.1%	78.4%	75.9%
Indonesia	Langsa	2000	82.2%	82.9%	81.5%
Indonesia	Langsa	2017	93.3%	93.6%	93.0%
Indonesia	Lanny Jaya	2000	56.8%	65.5%	48.0%
Indonesia	Lanny Jaya	2017	64.1%	71.6%	55.1%
Indonesia	Lebak	2000	59.3%	60.3%	58.3%
Indonesia	Lebak	2017	77.1%	78.0%	76.4%
Indonesia	Lebong	2000	39.1%	44.2%	35.5%
Indonesia	Lebong	2017	47.7%	53.9%	43.0%
Indonesia	Lembata	2000	75.1%	86.9%	60.7%
Indonesia	Lembata	2017	78.4%	89.2%	65.5%
Indonesia	Lhokseumawe	2000	62.2%	72.1%	52.2%
Indonesia	Lhokseumawe	2017	75.4%	84.6%	61.7%
Indonesia	Lima Puluh Kota	2000	52.7%	55.1%	50.7%
Indonesia	Lima Puluh Kota	2017	70.9%	73.4%	68.3%
Indonesia	Lingga	2000	53.8%	59.5%	48.5%
Indonesia	Lingga	2017	66.5%	71.4%	61.0%
Indonesia	Lombok Barat	2000	74.5%	76.5%	71.9%
Indonesia	Lombok Barat	2017	87.5%	88.9%	85.3%
Indonesia	Lombok Tengah	2000	68.5%	69.8%	67.1%
Indonesia	Lombok Tengah	2017	85.0%	86.1%	83.9%
Indonesia	Lombok Timur	2000	78.1%	79.6%	75.8%
Indonesia	Lombok Timur	2017	89.7%	91.0%	87.0%
Indonesia	Lombok Utara	2000	65.3%	68.4%	62.2%
Indonesia	Lombok Utara	2017	76.9%	80.7%	73.4%
Indonesia	Lubuklinggau	2000	73.9%	76.4%	71.3%
Indonesia	Lubuklinggau	2017	85.5%	88.0%	82.7%
Indonesia	Lumajang	2000	85.1%	85.7%	84.5%
Indonesia	Lumajang	2017	92.8%	93.2%	92.5%
Indonesia	Luwu	2000	52.1%	54.2%	50.4%
Indonesia	Luwu	2017	71.0%	72.8%	69.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Luwu Timur	2000	61.1%	63.3%	58.5%
Indonesia	Luwu Timur	2017	72.5%	75.5%	69.3%
Indonesia	Luwu Utara	2000	51.2%	53.8%	48.7%
Indonesia	Luwu Utara	2017	67.2%	69.6%	64.7%
Indonesia	Madiun	2000	80.8%	81.5%	79.9%
Indonesia	Madiun	2017	91.1%	91.6%	90.6%
Indonesia	Magelang	2000	80.7%	81.3%	80.2%
Indonesia	Magelang	2017	91.7%	92.0%	91.4%
Indonesia	Magetan	2000	89.7%	90.2%	89.1%
Indonesia	Magetan	2017	94.9%	95.2%	94.6%
Indonesia	Majalengka	2000	70.5%	71.3%	69.7%
Indonesia	Majalengka	2017	87.2%	87.7%	86.7%
Indonesia	Majene	2000	64.2%	66.5%	62.0%
Indonesia	Majene	2017	75.3%	77.8%	72.3%
Indonesia	Makassar	2000	89.5%	89.9%	89.0%
Indonesia	Makassar	2017	93.6%	94.0%	93.3%
Indonesia	Malang	2000	82.4%	83.0%	81.7%
Indonesia	Malang	2017	91.3%	91.7%	90.9%
Indonesia	Malinau	2000	71.2%	77.2%	63.9%
Indonesia	Malinau	2017	73.7%	78.5%	67.3%
Indonesia	Maluku Barat Daya	2000	76.6%	85.1%	66.1%
Indonesia	Maluku Barat Daya	2017	83.7%	91.8%	73.4%
Indonesia	Maluku Tengah	2000	79.5%	83.6%	74.8%
Indonesia	Maluku Tengah	2017	86.0%	89.5%	81.9%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara	2000	79.6%	83.1%	74.9%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara	2017	85.8%	89.0%	81.8%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara Barat	2000	72.8%	80.5%	63.7%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara Barat	2017	77.9%	85.3%	68.3%
Indonesia	Mamasa	2000	35.3%	38.1%	32.2%
Indonesia	Mamasa	2017	47.9%	50.4%	45.3%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Raya	2000	50.5%	61.4%	38.2%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Raya	2017	59.5%	69.6%	47.2%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Tengah	2000	58.0%	66.2%	48.0%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Tengah	2017	56.0%	63.2%	47.8%
Indonesia	Mamuju	2000	42.0%	47.3%	36.8%
Indonesia	Mamuju	2017	57.8%	62.9%	52.6%
Indonesia	Mamuju Utara	2000	57.7%	62.6%	53.1%
Indonesia	Mamuju Utara	2017	74.5%	77.8%	70.6%
Indonesia	Manado	2000	83.2%	83.9%	82.4%
Indonesia	Manado	2017	91.8%	92.5%	91.2%
Indonesia	Mandailing Natal	2000	55.0%	57.4%	53.1%
Indonesia	Mandailing Natal	2017	67.3%	71.3%	64.5%
Indonesia	Manggarai	2000	68.8%	81.4%	55.5%
Indonesia	Manggarai	2017	78.1%	88.7%	65.0%
Indonesia	Manggarai Barat	2000	70.8%	80.5%	59.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Manggarai Barat	2017	74.4%	82.5%	63.5%
Indonesia	Manggarai Timur	2000	53.4%	62.6%	44.3%
Indonesia	Manggarai Timur	2017	54.0%	62.1%	44.6%
Indonesia	Manokwari	2000	65.2%	71.9%	56.6%
Indonesia	Manokwari	2017	75.5%	82.1%	67.5%
Indonesia	Mappi	2000	48.7%	56.0%	42.3%
Indonesia	Mappi	2017	60.4%	67.0%	53.5%
Indonesia	Maros	2000	68.9%	69.8%	68.1%
Indonesia	Maros	2017	81.7%	82.6%	80.7%
Indonesia	Mataram	2000	89.3%	89.8%	88.5%
Indonesia	Mataram	2017	94.6%	94.9%	94.2%
Indonesia	Maybrat	2000	57.9%	72.6%	45.7%
Indonesia	Maybrat	2017	68.9%	78.6%	57.0%
Indonesia	Melawi	2000	51.8%	61.9%	42.7%
Indonesia	Melawi	2017	62.7%	70.9%	52.9%
Indonesia	Merangin	2000	43.2%	45.8%	40.8%
Indonesia	Merangin	2017	59.4%	61.8%	57.0%
Indonesia	Merauke	2000	69.0%	74.3%	62.4%
Indonesia	Merauke	2017	78.0%	82.8%	72.8%
Indonesia	Mesuji	2000	50.6%	55.2%	46.2%
Indonesia	Mesuji	2017	70.6%	74.8%	66.5%
Indonesia	Metro	2000	74.1%	75.0%	73.2%
Indonesia	Metro	2017	89.8%	90.2%	89.4%
Indonesia	Mimika	2000	66.7%	72.9%	60.0%
Indonesia	Mimika	2017	75.0%	81.0%	69.6%
Indonesia	Minahasa	2000	83.1%	83.9%	82.1%
Indonesia	Minahasa	2017	92.0%	92.4%	91.5%
Indonesia	Minahasa Selatan	2000	80.0%	81.4%	78.5%
Indonesia	Minahasa Selatan	2017	89.7%	90.9%	88.4%
Indonesia	Minahasa Tenggara	2000	80.0%	81.8%	78.0%
Indonesia	Minahasa Tenggara	2017	90.3%	91.5%	88.9%
Indonesia	Minahasa Utara	2000	75.5%	76.6%	74.4%
Indonesia	Minahasa Utara	2017	87.0%	87.8%	86.0%
Indonesia	Mojokerto	2000	81.8%	82.5%	81.0%
Indonesia	Mojokerto	2017	92.8%	93.2%	92.5%
Indonesia	Morowali	2000	63.3%	68.7%	59.1%
Indonesia	Morowali	2017	74.0%	77.5%	70.8%
Indonesia	Muara Enim	2000	60.4%	62.3%	58.8%
Indonesia	Muara Enim	2017	74.2%	76.1%	72.5%
Indonesia	Muaro Jambi	2000	53.4%	55.3%	51.6%
Indonesia	Muaro Jambi	2017	68.7%	70.9%	66.1%
Indonesia	Mukomuko	2000	44.4%	48.3%	40.7%
Indonesia	Mukomuko	2017	60.6%	63.9%	57.0%
Indonesia	Muna	2000	71.6%	74.1%	69.1%
Indonesia	Muna	2017	80.8%	82.5%	79.0%
Indonesia	Murung Raya	2000	33.3%	37.9%	28.2%
Indonesia	Murung Raya	2017	37.8%	42.2%	32.8%
Indonesia	Musi	2000	51.4%	54.4%	47.9%
Indonesia	Banyuasin				
Indonesia	Musi	2017	65.8%	68.7%	62.1%
Indonesia	Banyuasin				
Indonesia	Musi Rawas	2000	42.7%	46.1%	39.5%
Indonesia	Musi Rawas	2017	57.1%	60.5%	54.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Nabire	2000	65.2%	71.7%	56.9%
Indonesia	Nabire	2017	74.0%	79.7%	67.6%
Indonesia	Nagan Raya	2000	55.7%	61.2%	50.7%
Indonesia	Nagan Raya	2017	72.7%	76.5%	68.6%
Indonesia	Nagekeo	2000	60.5%	79.4%	39.7%
Indonesia	Nagekeo	2017	66.3%	83.2%	46.3%
Indonesia	Natuna	2000	60.6%	71.8%	46.8%
Indonesia	Natuna	2017	72.1%	81.6%	59.7%
Indonesia	Nduga	2000	62.9%	69.8%	55.8%
Indonesia	Nduga	2017	68.3%	74.1%	61.7%
Indonesia	Ngada	2000	68.3%	82.8%	48.5%
Indonesia	Ngada	2017	72.4%	86.7%	52.6%
Indonesia	Nganjuk	2000	79.4%	80.4%	78.4%
Indonesia	Nganjuk	2017	91.9%	92.3%	91.4%
Indonesia	Ngawi	2000	78.7%	79.5%	77.9%
Indonesia	Ngawi	2017	91.1%	91.5%	90.6%
Indonesia	Nias	2000	20.8%	22.9%	19.1%
Indonesia	Nias	2017	36.3%	39.1%	34.1%
Indonesia	Nias Barat	2000	30.8%	33.5%	27.7%
Indonesia	Nias Barat	2017	48.7%	51.0%	46.0%
Indonesia	Nias Selatan	2000	27.8%	30.1%	25.4%
Indonesia	Nias Selatan	2017	44.6%	47.5%	41.5%
Indonesia	Nias Utara	2000	21.0%	24.1%	17.9%
Indonesia	Nias Utara	2017	35.3%	38.9%	31.9%
Indonesia	Nunukan	2000	71.8%	78.5%	62.7%
Indonesia	Nunukan	2017	78.3%	84.5%	70.5%
Indonesia	Ogan Ilir	2000	44.6%	47.2%	41.5%
Indonesia	Ogan Ilir	2017	59.5%	62.2%	56.1%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ilir	2000	56.9%	61.5%	52.5%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ilir	2017	71.4%	74.8%	67.7%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu	2000	59.6%	61.6%	57.3%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu	2017	74.8%	76.7%	72.6%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Sela- tan	2000	44.4%	47.4%	41.3%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Sela- tan	2017	55.0%	57.7%	52.1%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Timur	2000	69.8%	72.1%	68.0%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Timur	2017	83.4%	84.9%	82.0%
Indonesia	Pacitan	2000	60.1%	61.5%	58.7%
Indonesia	Pacitan	2017	79.4%	80.5%	78.0%
Indonesia	Padang	2000	86.3%	87.0%	85.7%
Indonesia	Padang	2017	92.5%	93.0%	92.1%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas	2000	58.4%	63.1%	54.3%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas	2017	71.0%	75.2%	66.6%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas Utara	2000	48.0%	51.8%	44.9%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas Utara	2017	63.8%	67.4%	60.2%
Indonesia	Padang Pan- jang	2000	86.2%	86.9%	85.5%
Indonesia	Padang Pan- jang	2017	91.2%	91.6%	90.7%
Indonesia	Padang Paria- man	2000	60.7%	62.9%	58.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Padang Pariaman	2017	80.1%	81.9%	78.2%
Indonesia	Padangsidempuan	2000	70.5%	73.7%	66.6%
Indonesia	Padangsidempuan	2017	78.2%	80.8%	75.3%
Indonesia	Pagar Alam	2000	66.9%	69.0%	64.5%
Indonesia	Pagar Alam	2017	81.0%	82.5%	79.3%
Indonesia	Pakpak Barat	2000	41.3%	45.0%	37.4%
Indonesia	Pakpak Barat	2017	57.3%	60.4%	54.5%
Indonesia	Palangka Raya	2000	64.0%	72.1%	58.3%
Indonesia	Palangka Raya	2017	74.1%	78.7%	69.6%
Indonesia	Palembang	2000	77.9%	78.5%	77.3%
Indonesia	Palembang	2017	82.7%	83.4%	82.1%
Indonesia	Palopo	2000	76.9%	78.0%	75.6%
Indonesia	Palopo	2017	86.6%	87.2%	85.8%
Indonesia	Palu	2000	77.3%	78.4%	76.2%
Indonesia	Palu	2017	88.8%	89.5%	87.9%
Indonesia	Pamekasan	2000	75.3%	76.1%	74.5%
Indonesia	Pamekasan	2017	88.9%	89.4%	88.4%
Indonesia	Pandeglang	2000	49.3%	50.3%	48.2%
Indonesia	Pandeglang	2017	71.9%	73.0%	70.9%
Indonesia	Pangkajene Dan Kepulauan	2000	72.8%	73.7%	72.1%
Indonesia	Pangkajene Dan Kepulauan	2017	82.6%	83.3%	81.9%
Indonesia	Pangkalpinang	2000	79.0%	79.8%	77.8%
Indonesia	Pangkalpinang	2017	92.8%	93.2%	91.9%
Indonesia	Paniai	2000	45.5%	53.1%	38.2%
Indonesia	Paniai	2017	60.6%	67.9%	52.5%
Indonesia	Parepare	2000	86.8%	87.5%	86.1%
Indonesia	Parepare	2017	93.6%	93.9%	93.3%
Indonesia	Pariaman	2000	71.0%	71.8%	69.9%
Indonesia	Pariaman	2017	88.3%	88.8%	87.8%
Indonesia	Parigi Moutong	2000	53.9%	58.1%	49.8%
Indonesia	Parigi Moutong	2017	70.6%	74.6%	66.5%
Indonesia	Pasaman	2000	56.1%	61.2%	51.5%
Indonesia	Pasaman	2017	64.8%	70.1%	59.1%
Indonesia	Pasaman Barat	2000	53.8%	60.9%	46.8%
Indonesia	Pasaman Barat	2017	66.2%	73.3%	59.3%
Indonesia	Paser	2000	74.1%	78.7%	67.9%
Indonesia	Paser	2017	78.7%	82.8%	73.3%
Indonesia	Pasuruan	2000	83.1%	83.7%	82.5%
Indonesia	Pasuruan	2017	92.7%	93.0%	92.4%
Indonesia	Pati	2000	85.3%	85.8%	84.6%
Indonesia	Pati	2017	94.4%	94.6%	94.1%
Indonesia	Payakumbuh	2000	75.8%	76.7%	75.0%
Indonesia	Payakumbuh	2017	84.9%	85.5%	84.3%
Indonesia	Pegunungan Bintang	2000	56.9%	65.0%	48.1%
Indonesia	Pegunungan Bintang	2017	66.8%	74.0%	59.0%
Indonesia	Pekalongan	2000	79.8%	80.4%	79.2%
Indonesia	Pekalongan	2017	91.4%	91.7%	91.1%
Indonesia	Pekanbaru	2000	68.3%	76.1%	61.1%
Indonesia	Pekanbaru	2017	84.3%	89.9%	77.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Pelalawan	2000	50.6%	55.4%	46.1%
Indonesia	Pelalawan	2017	66.7%	71.2%	61.8%
Indonesia	Pemalang	2000	76.1%	76.8%	75.5%
Indonesia	Pemalang	2017	89.3%	89.7%	89.0%
Indonesia	Pematangsiantar	2000	93.5%	93.9%	93.1%
Indonesia	Pematangsiantar	2017	92.8%	93.3%	92.3%
Indonesia	Penajam	2000	78.4%	87.0%	68.3%
Indonesia	Paser Utara				
Indonesia	Penajam	2017	82.1%	90.3%	72.7%
Indonesia	Paser Utara				
Indonesia	Pesawaran	2000	64.0%	66.0%	62.2%
Indonesia	Pesawaran	2017	82.1%	83.9%	80.1%
Indonesia	Pesisir Selatan	2000	55.3%	61.1%	50.5%
Indonesia	Pesisir Selatan	2017	66.3%	71.0%	62.1%
Indonesia	Pidie	2000	68.8%	71.9%	65.5%
Indonesia	Pidie	2017	82.1%	84.9%	79.2%
Indonesia	Pidie Jaya	2000	46.3%	52.5%	40.0%
Indonesia	Pidie Jaya	2017	68.5%	73.0%	63.9%
Indonesia	Pinrang	2000	75.0%	75.8%	74.0%
Indonesia	Pinrang	2017	85.7%	86.6%	85.0%
Indonesia	Pohuwato	2000	69.5%	80.5%	58.6%
Indonesia	Pohuwato	2017	76.5%	86.1%	66.7%
Indonesia	Polewali Mandar	2000	63.8%	66.2%	61.9%
Indonesia	Polewali Mandar	2017	75.3%	78.0%	72.9%
Indonesia	Ponorogo	2000	75.6%	76.3%	75.0%
Indonesia	Ponorogo	2017	89.7%	90.0%	89.4%
Indonesia	Pontianak	2000	73.1%	78.6%	66.4%
Indonesia	Pontianak	2017	83.9%	88.4%	78.0%
Indonesia	Poso	2000	69.6%	72.9%	65.8%
Indonesia	Poso	2017	78.3%	81.3%	74.8%
Indonesia	Prabumulih	2000	70.3%	71.4%	69.0%
Indonesia	Prabumulih	2017	87.6%	88.2%	87.0%
Indonesia	Pringsewu	2000	61.4%	62.6%	60.3%
Indonesia	Pringsewu	2017	82.3%	83.2%	81.5%
Indonesia	Probolinggo	2000	68.8%	69.6%	67.9%
Indonesia	Probolinggo	2017	85.2%	85.8%	84.7%
Indonesia	Pulang Pisau	2000	52.7%	58.8%	47.2%
Indonesia	Pulang Pisau	2017	63.1%	68.4%	57.8%
Indonesia	Pulau Morotai	2000	61.9%	69.2%	54.4%
Indonesia	Pulau Morotai	2017	72.3%	79.2%	64.8%
Indonesia	Puncak	2000	59.2%	69.6%	48.8%
Indonesia	Puncak	2017	67.8%	76.1%	58.4%
Indonesia	Puncak Jaya	2000	56.1%	65.0%	48.0%
Indonesia	Puncak Jaya	2017	60.4%	70.7%	50.2%
Indonesia	Purbalingga	2000	66.5%	67.2%	65.9%
Indonesia	Purbalingga	2017	84.4%	84.9%	84.1%
Indonesia	Purwakarta	2000	55.1%	55.9%	54.2%
Indonesia	Purwakarta	2017	73.7%	74.3%	73.0%
Indonesia	Purworejo	2000	75.1%	75.8%	74.3%
Indonesia	Purworejo	2017	88.7%	89.1%	88.3%
Indonesia	Raja Ampat	2000	67.1%	81.3%	51.7%
Indonesia	Raja Ampat	2017	72.6%	84.7%	59.0%
Indonesia	Rejang	2000	56.6%	58.9%	54.5%
Indonesia	Lebong				
Indonesia	Rejang	2017	68.5%	70.8%	66.5%
Indonesia	Lebong				
Indonesia	Rembang	2000	85.7%	86.4%	85.1%
Indonesia	Rembang	2017	93.4%	93.8%	93.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Rokan Hilir	2000	59.7%	63.5%	55.7%
Indonesia	Rokan Hilir	2017	71.8%	75.5%	68.1%
Indonesia	Rokan Hulu	2000	46.0%	49.6%	42.2%
Indonesia	Rokan Hulu	2017	64.2%	67.3%	60.5%
Indonesia	Rote Ndao	2000	86.4%	99.3%	63.7%
Indonesia	Rote Ndao	2017	86.8%	99.5%	62.7%
Indonesia	Sabang	2000	85.4%	87.9%	82.7%
Indonesia	Sabang	2017	91.4%	93.6%	88.5%
Indonesia	Sabu Raijua	2000	71.1%	89.1%	38.5%
Indonesia	Sabu Raijua	2017	75.2%	91.5%	44.3%
Indonesia	Salatiga	2000	91.3%	91.7%	90.9%
Indonesia	Salatiga	2017	93.9%	94.2%	93.6%
Indonesia	Samarinda	2000	77.2%	78.3%	75.5%
Indonesia	Samarinda	2017	84.0%	85.0%	83.0%
Indonesia	Sambas	2000	75.8%	83.2%	68.5%
Indonesia	Sambas	2017	82.3%	88.9%	75.8%
Indonesia	Samosir	2000	45.4%	48.3%	42.5%
Indonesia	Samosir	2017	62.1%	64.3%	59.3%
Indonesia	Sampang	2000	60.5%	61.5%	59.3%
Indonesia	Sampang	2017	79.2%	79.9%	78.4%
Indonesia	Sanggau	2000	59.1%	66.7%	52.7%
Indonesia	Sanggau	2017	68.1%	74.7%	62.4%
Indonesia	Sarmi	2000	56.5%	69.7%	44.7%
Indonesia	Sarmi	2017	66.3%	78.8%	55.4%
Indonesia	Sarolangun	2000	40.1%	43.1%	37.2%
Indonesia	Sarolangun	2017	54.6%	58.0%	51.1%
Indonesia	Sawahlunto	2000	70.0%	71.2%	68.8%
Indonesia	Sawahlunto	2017	84.0%	84.8%	83.0%
Indonesia	Sekadau	2000	62.4%	72.2%	51.4%
Indonesia	Sekadau	2017	73.5%	80.6%	64.1%
Indonesia	Seluma	2000	25.7%	27.7%	23.6%
Indonesia	Seluma	2017	43.4%	45.6%	41.1%
Indonesia	Semarang	2000	78.4%	79.0%	77.7%
Indonesia	Semarang	2017	90.1%	90.4%	89.7%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Barat	2000	73.7%	78.0%	69.3%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Barat	2017	83.6%	87.3%	79.9%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Timur	2000	66.0%	75.3%	49.6%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Timur	2017	74.3%	82.5%	61.0%
Indonesia	Serang	2000	67.1%	68.0%	66.2%
Indonesia	Serang	2017	83.7%	84.3%	83.2%
Indonesia	Serdang Bedagai	2000	74.3%	75.5%	73.0%
Indonesia	Serdang Bedagai	2017	87.6%	88.4%	86.8%
Indonesia	Seruyan	2000	44.9%	50.5%	38.9%
Indonesia	Seruyan	2017	55.8%	61.2%	50.3%
Indonesia	Siak	2000	70.4%	78.5%	61.8%
Indonesia	Siak	2017	84.0%	89.4%	77.2%
Indonesia	Siau Tagulandang Biaro	2000	86.5%	89.3%	83.2%
Indonesia	Siau Tagulandang Biaro	2017	91.7%	94.9%	87.3%
Indonesia	Sibolga	2000	95.7%	96.1%	95.3%
Indonesia	Sibolga	2017	95.9%	96.4%	95.4%
Indonesia	Sidenreng Rappang	2000	76.2%	77.1%	75.3%
Indonesia	Sidenreng Rappang	2017	86.3%	87.3%	85.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Sidoarjo	2000	92.9%	93.1%	92.5%
Indonesia	Sidoarjo	2017	96.6%	96.7%	96.3%
Indonesia	Sigi	2000	67.9%	70.1%	65.2%
Indonesia	Sigi	2017	80.3%	82.2%	77.8%
Indonesia	Sijunjung	2000	55.6%	59.1%	51.5%
Indonesia	Sijunjung	2017	67.3%	71.4%	62.8%
Indonesia	Sikka	2000	78.0%	89.0%	67.4%
Indonesia	Sikka	2017	80.3%	90.8%	68.9%
Indonesia	Simalungun	2000	74.3%	75.4%	73.2%
Indonesia	Simalungun	2017	81.3%	82.7%	80.2%
Indonesia	Simeulue	2000	36.3%	41.3%	31.7%
Indonesia	Simeulue	2017	53.8%	58.0%	49.3%
Indonesia	Singkawang	2000	85.7%	90.4%	76.8%
Indonesia	Singkawang	2017	92.8%	95.8%	86.6%
Indonesia	Sinjai	2000	60.8%	61.8%	59.6%
Indonesia	Sinjai	2017	76.1%	76.9%	75.2%
Indonesia	Sintang	2000	50.1%	55.8%	43.3%
Indonesia	Sintang	2017	60.5%	65.6%	54.0%
Indonesia	Situbondo	2000	74.9%	76.7%	72.5%
Indonesia	Situbondo	2017	88.9%	89.9%	87.5%
Indonesia	Sleman	2000	82.9%	83.5%	82.4%
Indonesia	Sleman	2017	93.8%	94.0%	93.5%
Indonesia	Solok	2000	61.9%	64.9%	59.3%
Indonesia	Solok	2017	70.5%	73.8%	67.5%
Indonesia	Solok Selatan	2000	54.8%	61.0%	48.0%
Indonesia	Solok Selatan	2017	63.2%	69.4%	55.3%
Indonesia	Soppeng	2000	71.3%	72.3%	70.0%
Indonesia	Soppeng	2017	85.6%	86.4%	84.6%
Indonesia	Sorong	2000	62.0%	67.6%	55.7%
Indonesia	Sorong	2017	71.6%	76.1%	66.3%
Indonesia	Sorong Selatan	2000	67.9%	81.1%	52.1%
Indonesia	Sorong Selatan	2017	74.0%	84.6%	61.0%
Indonesia	Sragen	2000	87.8%	88.3%	87.4%
Indonesia	Sragen	2017	94.9%	95.2%	94.7%
Indonesia	Subang	2000	76.9%	77.7%	76.1%
Indonesia	Subang	2017	89.6%	90.0%	89.2%
Indonesia	Subulussalam	2000	77.5%	86.8%	67.4%
Indonesia	Subulussalam	2017	80.8%	87.2%	73.4%
Indonesia	Sukabumi	2000	57.8%	59.0%	56.5%
Indonesia	Sukabumi	2017	76.8%	77.6%	75.8%
Indonesia	Sukamara	2000	53.0%	63.2%	41.0%
Indonesia	Sukamara	2017	65.9%	72.6%	57.2%
Indonesia	Sukoharjo	2000	87.1%	87.5%	86.7%
Indonesia	Sukoharjo	2017	94.8%	95.0%	94.6%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat	2000	59.6%	81.2%	36.8%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat	2017	67.8%	85.2%	44.8%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat Daya	2000	85.2%	92.2%	77.7%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat Daya	2017	85.0%	91.2%	78.8%
Indonesia	Sumba Tengah	2000	68.8%	77.2%	61.0%
Indonesia	Sumba Tengah	2017	71.8%	80.1%	64.6%
Indonesia	Sumba Timur	2000	66.7%	79.8%	49.6%
Indonesia	Sumba Timur	2017	70.9%	82.8%	56.1%
Indonesia	Sumbawa	2000	74.7%	77.1%	72.1%
Indonesia	Sumbawa	2017	84.0%	86.4%	81.1%
Indonesia	Sumbawa Barat	2000	78.7%	85.4%	71.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Sumbawa Barat	2017	88.5%	92.2%	83.8%
Indonesia	Sumedang	2000	76.8%	77.7%	75.9%
Indonesia	Sumedang	2017	90.5%	90.9%	89.9%
Indonesia	Sumenep	2000	81.2%	82.4%	80.0%
Indonesia	Sumenep	2017	89.9%	90.8%	88.8%
Indonesia	Sungai Penuh	2000	87.2%	88.4%	86.2%
Indonesia	Sungai Penuh	2017	88.3%	89.4%	87.2%
Indonesia	Supiori	2000	67.6%	86.4%	47.3%
Indonesia	Supiori	2017	74.3%	91.9%	54.8%
Indonesia	Surabaya	2000	97.1%	97.3%	96.8%
Indonesia	Surabaya	2017	98.0%	98.2%	97.8%
Indonesia	Surakarta	2000	87.2%	87.6%	86.8%
Indonesia	Surakarta	2017	93.6%	93.8%	93.3%
Indonesia	Tabalong	2000	56.1%	57.5%	55.0%
Indonesia	Tabalong	2017	65.2%	66.8%	63.7%
Indonesia	Tabanan	2000	86.4%	87.1%	85.7%
Indonesia	Tabanan	2017	92.0%	92.5%	91.4%
Indonesia	Takalar	2000	65.4%	66.3%	64.5%
Indonesia	Takalar	2017	84.9%	85.6%	84.3%
Indonesia	Tambrauw	2000	59.2%	77.4%	37.2%
Indonesia	Tambrauw	2017	64.1%	78.8%	46.2%
Indonesia	Tana Tidung	2000	70.4%	82.2%	57.7%
Indonesia	Tana Tidung	2017	76.1%	86.1%	64.5%
Indonesia	Tana Toraja	2000	50.9%	52.8%	48.9%
Indonesia	Tana Toraja	2017	62.6%	64.5%	60.6%
Indonesia	Tanah Bumbu	2000	51.7%	57.7%	46.3%
Indonesia	Tanah Bumbu	2017	63.2%	68.0%	58.2%
Indonesia	Tanah Datar	2000	65.6%	67.0%	64.2%
Indonesia	Tanah Datar	2017	78.5%	79.8%	77.1%
Indonesia	Tanah Laut	2000	32.9%	36.3%	30.0%
Indonesia	Tanah Laut	2017	48.0%	51.1%	44.3%
Indonesia	Tangerang	2000	79.4%	80.1%	78.8%
Indonesia	Tangerang	2017	90.9%	91.3%	90.6%
Indonesia	Tangerang Selatan	2000	83.8%	84.3%	83.3%
Indonesia	Tangerang Selatan	2017	93.7%	93.9%	93.4%
Indonesia	Tanggamus	2000	43.6%	45.8%	41.4%
Indonesia	Tanggamus	2017	61.5%	64.3%	59.0%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung B	2000	72.1%	75.9%	68.3%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung B	2017	84.9%	87.9%	81.3%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung T	2000	86.1%	89.7%	80.8%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung T	2017	92.1%	95.2%	87.1%
Indonesia	Tanjungpinang	2000	86.4%	91.5%	78.5%
Indonesia	Tanjungpinang	2017	91.8%	95.2%	86.2%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Selatan	2000	49.9%	52.4%	47.4%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Selatan	2017	65.9%	68.3%	63.1%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Tengah	2000	58.3%	59.9%	56.6%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Tengah	2017	65.9%	67.6%	64.4%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Utara	2000	59.7%	61.1%	58.0%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Utara	2017	75.4%	76.9%	73.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Tapin	2000	50.8%	52.1%	49.6%
Indonesia	Tapin	2017	54.9%	56.4%	53.5%
Indonesia	Tarakan	2000	86.4%	95.8%	76.1%
Indonesia	Tarakan	2017	87.0%	95.3%	80.5%
Indonesia	Tasikmalaya	2000	49.7%	50.7%	48.8%
Indonesia	Tasikmalaya	2017	73.3%	74.1%	72.6%
Indonesia	Tebingtinggi	2000	81.6%	82.5%	80.7%
Indonesia	Tebingtinggi	2017	91.6%	92.0%	91.1%
Indonesia	Tebo	2000	47.9%	51.2%	45.1%
Indonesia	Tebo	2017	65.2%	68.8%	61.7%
Indonesia	Tegal	2000	81.0%	81.5%	80.5%
Indonesia	Tegal	2017	91.8%	92.1%	91.5%
Indonesia	Teluk Bintuni	2000	60.2%	69.2%	49.4%
Indonesia	Teluk Bintuni	2017	69.8%	79.6%	58.5%
Indonesia	Teluk Wondama	2000	68.2%	80.5%	48.6%
Indonesia	Teluk Wondama	2017	73.2%	82.9%	60.1%
Indonesia	Temanggung	2000	79.0%	79.8%	78.3%
Indonesia	Temanggung	2017	91.1%	91.5%	90.7%
Indonesia	Ternate	2000	72.6%	75.7%	70.2%
Indonesia	Ternate	2017	81.4%	83.3%	78.6%
Indonesia	Tidore Kepulauan	2000	61.8%	80.6%	43.4%
Indonesia	Tidore Kepulauan	2017	73.6%	87.9%	56.3%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Selatan	2000	54.0%	68.4%	41.2%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Selatan	2017	63.0%	75.5%	50.3%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Utara	2000	71.6%	80.7%	59.8%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Utara	2017	77.9%	86.9%	66.2%
Indonesia	Toba Samosir	2000	60.0%	62.8%	57.2%
Indonesia	Toba Samosir	2017	74.0%	77.0%	70.4%
Indonesia	Tojo Una-Una	2000	64.9%	71.3%	57.9%
Indonesia	Tojo Una-Una	2017	78.8%	83.2%	72.9%
Indonesia	Toli-Toli	2000	64.9%	69.2%	60.1%
Indonesia	Toli-Toli	2017	72.4%	75.9%	68.7%
Indonesia	Tolikara	2000	64.5%	74.8%	55.5%
Indonesia	Tolikara	2017	71.8%	79.4%	64.7%
Indonesia	Tomohon	2000	85.2%	85.8%	84.5%
Indonesia	Tomohon	2017	91.2%	91.5%	90.9%
Indonesia	Toraja Utara	2000	58.2%	59.6%	56.8%
Indonesia	Toraja Utara	2017	72.2%	73.5%	70.9%
Indonesia	Trenggalek	2000	63.7%	64.6%	62.7%
Indonesia	Trenggalek	2017	82.0%	82.7%	81.2%
Indonesia	Tual	2000	58.6%	64.8%	51.1%
Indonesia	Tual	2017	57.6%	65.6%	47.7%
Indonesia	Tuban	2000	81.9%	82.5%	81.3%
Indonesia	Tuban	2017	91.7%	92.2%	91.3%
Indonesia	Tulang Bawang Barat	2000	46.6%	49.3%	44.0%
Indonesia	Tulang Bawang Barat	2017	67.7%	69.4%	66.1%
Indonesia	Tulangbawang	2000	61.6%	66.1%	55.2%
Indonesia	Tulangbawang	2017	73.9%	77.6%	69.1%
Indonesia	Tulungagung	2000	80.4%	81.1%	79.7%
Indonesia	Tulungagung	2017	91.9%	92.3%	91.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Waduk Cirata	2000	74.9%	80.9%	66.4%
Indonesia	Waduk Cirata	2017	88.9%	91.6%	84.9%
Indonesia	Waduk Kedungombo	2000	76.7%	81.3%	72.0%
Indonesia	Waduk Kedungombo	2017	90.5%	92.5%	88.3%
Indonesia	Wajo	2000	64.5%	65.6%	63.6%
Indonesia	Wajo	2017	79.7%	80.5%	78.9%
Indonesia	Wakatobi	2000	76.6%	78.5%	74.1%
Indonesia	Wakatobi	2017	83.2%	84.8%	81.0%
Indonesia	Waropen	2000	59.5%	71.0%	47.8%
Indonesia	Waropen	2017	71.1%	80.7%	60.6%
Indonesia	Way Kanan	2000	47.5%	49.1%	45.8%
Indonesia	Way Kanan	2017	67.2%	68.3%	66.0%
Indonesia	Wonogiri	2000	78.5%	79.2%	77.8%
Indonesia	Wonogiri	2017	90.5%	91.0%	90.1%
Indonesia	Wonosobo	2000	75.4%	76.1%	74.8%
Indonesia	Wonosobo	2017	86.7%	87.2%	86.2%
Indonesia	Yahukimo	2000	52.3%	57.4%	46.8%
Indonesia	Yahukimo	2017	62.5%	66.8%	58.1%
Indonesia	Yalimo	2000	55.3%	62.7%	46.6%
Indonesia	Yalimo	2017	68.2%	75.9%	59.7%
Laos	Atsaphangthong	2000	32.7%	58.0%	11.2%
Laos	Atsaphangthong	2017	77.9%	93.1%	58.3%
Laos	Atsaphone	2000	37.3%	61.6%	18.6%
Laos	Atsaphone	2017	82.1%	94.3%	65.6%
Laos	Bachiangchaleunsok	2000	34.7%	53.2%	18.4%
Laos	Bachiangchaleunsok	2017	82.2%	92.6%	66.3%
Laos	Beng	2000	46.4%	68.3%	25.8%
Laos	Beng	2017	78.3%	92.3%	57.4%
Laos	Bolikhanh	2000	36.3%	58.0%	17.4%
Laos	Bolikhanh	2017	82.1%	94.4%	59.7%
Laos	Boon Neua	2000	44.6%	69.9%	23.5%
Laos	Boon Neua	2017	83.9%	96.4%	61.4%
Laos	Boontai	2000	38.3%	59.6%	22.4%
Laos	Boontai	2017	79.1%	91.3%	64.9%
Laos	Botene	2000	68.1%	84.5%	53.5%
Laos	Botene	2017	90.4%	98.3%	78.9%
Laos	Bualapha	2000	39.4%	58.4%	23.8%
Laos	Bualapha	2017	80.2%	90.0%	65.0%
Laos	Champassack	2000	63.3%	79.0%	43.6%
Laos	Champassack	2017	93.3%	97.7%	85.3%
Laos	Champhone	2000	31.4%	48.9%	14.8%
Laos	Champhone	2017	81.4%	92.4%	66.5%
Laos	Chanthabuly	2000	96.5%	97.8%	94.2%
Laos	Chanthabuly	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
Laos	Chomphet	2000	66.1%	76.8%	53.8%
Laos	Chomphet	2017	90.9%	96.2%	83.4%
Laos	Dakcheung	2000	41.5%	58.1%	23.3%
Laos	Dakcheung	2017	80.3%	91.3%	65.7%
Laos	Feuang	2000	49.1%	80.5%	24.2%
Laos	Feuang	2017	85.6%	97.7%	63.3%
Laos	Hadxaifong	2000	66.6%	85.5%	43.9%
Laos	Hadxaifong	2017	94.8%	99.4%	83.1%
Laos	Hinboon	2000	40.4%	54.2%	28.3%
Laos	Hinboon	2017	82.6%	91.9%	71.6%
Laos	Hinhurp	2000	41.6%	63.8%	19.3%
Laos	Hinhurp	2017	82.6%	94.6%	63.6%
Laos	Hom	2000	36.2%	65.3%	14.6%
Laos	Hom	2017	75.7%	92.8%	48.8%
Laos	Hongsa	2000	44.9%	69.3%	20.4%
Laos	Hongsa	2017	84.3%	93.6%	61.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Hoon	2000	43.8%	61.3%	24.5%
Laos	Hoon	2017	75.5%	88.1%	59.2%
Laos	Houixai	2000	43.5%	65.3%	23.6%
Laos	Houixai	2017	84.5%	94.4%	68.3%
Laos	Huameuang	2000	45.9%	61.0%	31.1%
Laos	Huameuang	2017	80.6%	89.8%	68.4%
Laos	Kaleum	2000	46.3%	63.9%	27.9%
Laos	Kaleum	2017	83.7%	92.9%	69.1%
Laos	Kasy	2000	36.5%	58.4%	17.7%
Laos	Kasy	2017	80.9%	93.4%	63.8%
Laos	Kenethao	2000	42.7%	60.6%	24.6%
Laos	Kenethao	2017	84.1%	94.7%	69.2%
Laos	Keo Oudom	2000	51.0%	79.9%	25.6%
Laos	Keo Oudom	2017	91.2%	97.8%	75.8%
Laos	Kham	2000	46.8%	67.0%	25.7%
Laos	Kham	2017	85.7%	94.4%	70.8%
Laos	Khamkheuth	2000	41.7%	59.6%	24.6%
Laos	Khamkheuth	2017	83.3%	92.4%	69.2%
Laos	Khanthabouly	2000	51.8%	70.9%	31.8%
Laos	Khanthabouly	2017	90.7%	96.7%	80.2%
Laos	Khong	2000	19.8%	33.8%	8.5%
Laos	Khong	2017	67.2%	79.3%	51.8%
Laos	Khongxedone	2000	40.5%	61.7%	18.7%
Laos	Khongxedone	2017	80.2%	89.3%	61.9%
Laos	Khop	2000	43.2%	71.2%	15.9%
Laos	Khop	2017	75.2%	92.0%	45.8%
Laos	Khoune	2000	49.5%	70.1%	26.3%
Laos	Khoune	2017	81.8%	93.6%	63.2%
Laos	Khua	2000	49.0%	65.1%	31.1%
Laos	Khua	2017	85.6%	94.2%	72.9%
Laos	La	2000	56.0%	72.6%	38.6%
Laos	La	2017	80.2%	91.3%	66.5%
Laos	Lakhonepheng	2000	30.1%	46.7%	14.0%
Laos	Lakhonepheng	2017	80.5%	90.9%	66.1%
Laos	Lamarm	2000	47.4%	62.5%	31.9%
Laos	Lamarm	2017	83.9%	91.8%	74.3%
Laos	Lao Ngarm	2000	40.7%	55.6%	27.0%
Laos	Lao Ngarm	2017	76.7%	87.6%	61.2%
Laos	Long	2000	46.1%	66.2%	28.4%
Laos	Long	2017	81.9%	92.8%	65.0%
Laos	Longsane	2000	35.3%	67.5%	12.1%
Laos	Longsane	2017	76.2%	94.3%	50.4%
Laos	Louangphrabang	2000	48.7%	62.7%	36.7%
Laos	Louangphrabang	2017	85.2%	93.5%	76.4%
Laos	Mad	2000	47.0%	68.4%	27.9%
Laos	Mad	2017	83.1%	95.0%	65.8%
Laos	Mahaxay	2000	36.8%	54.8%	20.0%
Laos	Mahaxay	2017	81.6%	92.3%	62.9%
Laos	May	2000	41.2%	57.7%	27.2%
Laos	May	2017	80.3%	89.8%	67.0%
Laos	Mayparkngum	2000	46.2%	71.9%	25.1%
Laos	Mayparkngum	2017	85.0%	96.7%	68.5%
Laos	Meung	2000	41.8%	70.5%	16.1%
Laos	Meung	2017	79.8%	94.6%	55.8%
Laos	Moonlapamok	2000	21.6%	35.3%	11.7%
Laos	Moonlapamok	2017	65.6%	80.2%	52.3%
Laos	Morkmay	2000	44.3%	68.7%	22.9%
Laos	Morkmay	2017	79.0%	93.7%	55.0%
Laos	Muang Et	2000	52.5%	79.8%	21.9%
Laos	Muang Et	2017	86.6%	97.2%	62.0%
Laos	Nakai	2000	40.0%	57.3%	26.0%
Laos	Nakai	2017	80.3%	90.7%	69.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Nalae	2000	46.7%	72.3%	26.4%
Laos	Nalae	2017	81.0%	94.5%	64.3%
Laos	Nam You	2000	19.0%	39.7%	4.0%
Laos	Nam You	2017	65.0%	79.3%	45.9%
Laos	Nambak	2000	50.7%	66.6%	31.8%
Laos	Nambak	2017	84.2%	93.1%	70.4%
Laos	Namor	2000	47.0%	64.7%	31.7%
Laos	Namor	2017	80.1%	91.0%	64.6%
Laos	Namtha	2000	59.5%	71.9%	46.4%
Laos	Namtha	2017	92.1%	96.8%	84.7%
Laos	Nan	2000	53.6%	70.5%	33.1%
Laos	Nan	2017	86.8%	94.1%	75.6%
Laos	Naxaithong	2000	42.2%	62.5%	19.1%
Laos	Naxaithong	2017	82.1%	93.1%	64.8%
Laos	Nga	2000	41.7%	60.8%	26.1%
Laos	Nga	2017	80.6%	90.8%	68.3%
Laos	Ngeun	2000	41.4%	75.0%	15.8%
Laos	Ngeun	2017	79.2%	96.7%	44.9%
Laos	Ngoi	2000	46.5%	63.1%	31.2%
Laos	Ngoi	2017	81.9%	90.8%	68.3%
Laos	Nhommalath	2000	35.5%	60.8%	15.9%
Laos	Nhommalath	2017	79.2%	92.7%	59.5%
Laos	Nhot Ou	2000	49.0%	64.4%	32.4%
Laos	Nhot Ou	2017	82.6%	91.4%	70.9%
Laos	Nong	2000	43.5%	62.1%	21.7%
Laos	Nong	2017	82.3%	94.0%	63.5%
Laos	Nongbok	2000	41.7%	62.0%	23.2%
Laos	Nongbok	2017	90.2%	97.8%	74.5%
Laos	Nonghed	2000	43.8%	63.1%	25.2%
Laos	Nonghed	2017	80.3%	90.9%	65.7%
Laos	Outhoomphone	2000	42.2%	58.8%	23.8%
Laos	Outhoomphone	2017	85.1%	94.7%	70.5%
Laos	Pak Xeng	2000	43.1%	60.8%	24.6%
Laos	Pak Xeng	2017	78.3%	89.6%	60.4%
Laos	Pakbeng	2000	44.4%	64.8%	25.9%
Laos	Pakbeng	2017	82.1%	93.8%	67.8%
Laos	Pakkading	2000	37.8%	57.0%	22.3%
Laos	Pakkading	2017	83.8%	93.7%	70.4%
Laos	Paksane	2000	51.3%	67.6%	31.1%
Laos	Paksane	2017	88.3%	96.5%	76.6%
Laos	Paksong	2000	45.8%	57.9%	34.9%
Laos	Paksong	2017	86.2%	94.0%	76.1%
Laos	Paktha	2000	48.0%	74.5%	21.7%
Laos	Paktha	2017	82.3%	94.3%	64.3%
Laos	Pakxe	2000	85.3%	94.5%	71.8%
Laos	Pakxe	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.3%
Laos	Park Ou	2000	42.0%	65.4%	21.9%
Laos	Park Ou	2017	83.1%	95.4%	65.8%
Laos	Parklai	2000	33.5%	50.5%	18.4%
Laos	Parklai	2017	72.9%	83.8%	57.4%
Laos	Pathoomphone	2000	39.5%	53.6%	23.1%
Laos	Pathoomphone	2017	84.7%	93.9%	72.5%
Laos	Pek	2000	37.7%	47.9%	25.8%
Laos	Pek	2017	81.8%	90.6%	70.5%
Laos	Pha Oudom	2000	35.6%	52.4%	19.1%
Laos	Pha Oudom	2017	77.0%	88.7%	59.6%
Laos	Phaxay	2000	55.6%	82.9%	26.3%
Laos	Phaxay	2017	83.7%	97.5%	57.3%
Laos	Phiang	2000	30.8%	50.2%	17.4%
Laos	Phiang	2017	77.4%	87.0%	63.0%
Laos	Phine	2000	42.7%	60.8%	25.7%
Laos	Phine	2017	82.5%	90.9%	70.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Phongsaly	2000	44.3%	63.1%	29.8%
Laos	Phongsaly	2017	83.8%	92.9%	71.9%
Laos	Phonhong	2000	59.5%	83.9%	37.4%
Laos	Phonhong	2017	91.8%	99.1%	67.8%
Laos	Phonthong	2000	68.4%	78.3%	56.2%
Laos	Phonthong	2017	96.0%	98.8%	90.7%
Laos	Phonxay	2000	51.0%	70.8%	32.0%
Laos	Phonxay	2017	82.6%	93.1%	68.2%
Laos	Phookood	2000	43.9%	60.0%	28.7%
Laos	Phookood	2017	80.4%	90.1%	67.6%
Laos	Phoukhoun	2000	47.6%	68.6%	27.9%
Laos	Phoukhoun	2017	83.6%	95.6%	62.7%
Laos	Phouvong	2000	41.6%	64.9%	18.5%
Laos	Phouvong	2017	82.8%	93.0%	61.8%
Laos	Phun	2000	37.9%	61.0%	18.4%
Laos	Phun	2017	78.8%	92.6%	60.7%
Laos	Samakkhixay	2000	60.8%	82.2%	41.2%
Laos	Samakkhixay	2017	91.9%	99.4%	76.5%
Laos	Samphanh	2000	44.2%	62.6%	27.4%
Laos	Samphanh	2017	80.5%	92.0%	65.6%
Laos	Samuoi	2000	49.1%	76.3%	24.4%
Laos	Samuoi	2017	87.9%	98.4%	67.5%
Laos	Sanamxay	2000	43.3%	64.2%	22.0%
Laos	Sanamxay	2017	83.9%	94.2%	66.6%
Laos	Sanasomboon	2000	33.5%	47.3%	22.3%
Laos	Sanasomboon	2017	78.6%	89.0%	63.6%
Laos	Sangthong	2000	41.6%	64.6%	18.4%
Laos	Sangthong	2017	81.4%	95.5%	57.3%
Laos	Sanxay	2000	38.9%	61.4%	20.1%
Laos	Sanxay	2017	78.9%	91.5%	62.4%
Laos	Saravane	2000	50.6%	66.2%	37.1%
Laos	Saravane	2017	82.8%	90.1%	73.4%
Laos	Sepone	2000	47.5%	67.9%	26.3%
Laos	Sepone	2017	83.0%	94.3%	62.2%
Laos	Sikhottabong	2000	83.3%	94.9%	65.6%
Laos	Sikhottabong	2017	98.4%	99.9%	91.3%
Laos	Sing	2000	54.8%	79.8%	25.6%
Laos	Sing	2017	88.4%	97.0%	65.8%
Laos	Sisattanak	2000	83.8%	91.6%	72.9%
Laos	Sisattanak	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Laos	Songkhone	2000	41.1%	61.3%	25.3%
Laos	Songkhone	2017	84.7%	93.9%	72.7%
Laos	Sopbao	2000	47.3%	68.4%	25.4%
Laos	Sopbao	2017	85.5%	96.9%	67.3%
Laos	Sukhuma	2000	31.4%	52.0%	15.7%
Laos	Sukhuma	2017	81.4%	94.0%	64.8%
Laos	Ta Oi	2000	39.9%	57.6%	25.6%
Laos	Ta Oi	2017	76.0%	89.6%	59.3%
Laos	Thakhek	2000	52.9%	69.3%	38.2%
Laos	Thakhek	2017	91.4%	97.5%	81.4%
Laos	Thapangthong	2000	42.4%	58.8%	24.1%
Laos	Thapangthong	2017	82.6%	91.5%	69.4%
Laos	Thaphabath	2000	37.8%	58.4%	16.7%
Laos	Thaphabath	2017	81.9%	92.6%	58.3%
Laos	Thaphalanxay	2000	36.0%	66.2%	12.8%
Laos	Thaphalanxay	2017	74.5%	92.2%	48.9%
Laos	Thateng	2000	33.3%	52.3%	16.7%
Laos	Thateng	2017	81.2%	92.3%	67.9%
Laos	Thathom	2000	44.1%	74.7%	20.1%
Laos	Thathom	2017	81.9%	96.0%	62.6%
Laos	Thongmyxay	2000	37.1%	60.4%	16.0%
Laos	Thongmyxay	2017	83.8%	95.8%	62.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Thoulakhom	2000	38.2%	65.5%	16.7%
Laos	Thoulakhom	2017	83.0%	95.4%	61.6%
Laos	Tonpheung	2000	38.5%	66.4%	12.5%
Laos	Tonpheung	2017	76.6%	92.6%	47.3%
Laos	Toomlarn	2000	58.0%	80.2%	31.6%
Laos	Toomlarn	2017	90.9%	98.6%	73.1%
Laos	Vangvieng	2000	43.7%	67.2%	25.9%
Laos	Vangvieng	2017	86.0%	96.1%	70.4%
Laos	Vapy	2000	32.5%	53.6%	16.2%
Laos	Vapy	2017	78.1%	90.6%	60.8%
Laos	Viengkham	2000	43.2%	57.1%	28.3%
Laos	Viengkham	2000	44.2%	62.5%	30.9%
Laos	Viengkham	2017	95.4%	98.4%	90.6%
Laos	Viengkham	2017	81.3%	89.8%	67.7%
Laos	Viengphoukha	2000	42.4%	70.5%	19.7%
Laos	Viengphoukha	2017	77.9%	94.0%	52.7%
Laos	Viengthong	2000	38.2%	56.9%	21.8%
Laos	Viengthong	2000	37.9%	51.5%	26.2%
Laos	Viengthong	2017	76.1%	88.9%	61.8%
Laos	Viengthong	2017	67.5%	79.3%	54.2%
Laos	Viengxay	2000	41.3%	62.0%	21.2%
Laos	Viengxay	2017	78.3%	91.8%	57.5%
Laos	Vilabuly	2000	37.6%	55.7%	21.2%
Laos	Vilabuly	2017	79.0%	92.0%	61.2%
Laos	Xamneua	2000	50.7%	64.6%	35.6%
Laos	Xamneua	2017	83.7%	92.2%	73.2%
Laos	Xamtay	2000	32.9%	41.7%	24.1%
Laos	Xamtay	2017	70.0%	79.3%	59.5%
Laos	Xanakharm	2000	43.0%	62.2%	24.9%
Laos	Xanakharm	2017	82.7%	93.4%	65.3%
Laos	Xay	2000	62.3%	77.1%	39.3%
Laos	Xay	2017	89.8%	95.8%	82.4%
Laos	Xayabury	2000	35.9%	50.4%	22.5%
Laos	Xayabury	2017	81.8%	90.3%	68.6%
Laos	Xaybuathong	2000	33.4%	58.7%	13.0%
Laos	Xaybuathong	2017	74.9%	91.9%	51.2%
Laos	Xaybuly	2000	38.1%	61.9%	16.5%
Laos	Xaybuly	2017	84.0%	95.6%	64.8%
Laos	Xayphoothong	2000	49.1%	75.6%	25.2%
Laos	Xayphoothong	2017	83.4%	95.8%	62.9%
Laos	Xaysetha	2000	73.5%	84.2%	65.3%
Laos	Xaysetha	2000	36.5%	51.7%	22.9%
Laos	Xaysetha	2017	84.9%	92.3%	75.1%
Laos	Xaysetha	2017	91.8%	98.2%	84.9%
Laos	Xaysomboun	2000	42.5%	65.3%	22.7%
Laos	Xaysomboun	2017	80.9%	92.8%	62.2%
Laos	Xaythany	2000	51.6%	66.4%	37.8%
Laos	Xaythany	2017	84.7%	93.6%	71.4%
Laos	Xebangfay	2000	34.4%	58.5%	14.1%
Laos	Xebangfay	2017	80.7%	92.5%	59.7%
Laos	Xieng Ngeun	2000	46.5%	71.4%	25.6%
Laos	Xieng Ngeun	2017	81.1%	93.9%	64.3%
Laos	Xienghone	2000	39.2%	68.4%	12.8%
Laos	Xienghone	2017	78.0%	94.5%	51.5%
Laos	Xiengkhor	2000	54.7%	78.9%	30.4%
Laos	Xiengkhor	2017	88.2%	96.7%	71.2%
Laos	Xonbuly	2000	43.3%	66.4%	21.6%
Laos	Xonbuly	2017	82.9%	94.3%	60.7%
Myanmar	Bassein	2000	61.2%	67.7%	55.3%
Myanmar	Bassein	2017	82.7%	87.1%	78.4%
Myanmar	Bawlake	2000	63.9%	72.8%	55.3%
Myanmar	Bawlake	2017	82.7%	88.8%	75.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Myanmar	Bhamo	2000	63.2%	69.3%	57.3%
Myanmar	Bhamo	2017	85.2%	88.8%	80.9%
Myanmar	Buthidaung	2000	47.4%	58.0%	38.6%
Myanmar	Buthidaung	2017	74.4%	82.0%	66.5%
Myanmar	Dawei	2000	59.0%	64.4%	53.8%
Myanmar	Dawei	2017	82.5%	86.1%	78.7%
Myanmar	Hinthada	2000	57.1%	65.2%	50.1%
Myanmar	Hinthada	2017	79.3%	85.3%	72.7%
Myanmar	Hkamti	2000	53.7%	58.6%	48.9%
Myanmar	Hkamti	2017	73.6%	77.6%	68.6%
Myanmar	Hpa-an	2000	52.7%	60.0%	45.2%
Myanmar	Hpa-an	2017	77.3%	82.5%	71.1%
Myanmar	Kalemyo	2000	67.7%	74.4%	60.2%
Myanmar	Kalemyo	2017	87.1%	91.3%	82.8%
Myanmar	Katha	2000	58.3%	63.1%	53.2%
Myanmar	Katha	2017	80.4%	84.2%	76.4%
Myanmar	Kawkareik	2000	51.4%	56.7%	45.7%
Myanmar	Kawkareik	2017	77.4%	81.3%	72.4%
Myanmar	Kawthoung	2000	62.2%	68.9%	56.0%
Myanmar	Kawthoung	2017	82.2%	86.5%	77.9%
Myanmar	Kengtung	2000	65.2%	71.5%	58.4%
Myanmar	Kengtung	2017	81.7%	86.2%	76.2%
Myanmar	Kunlong	2000	59.8%	71.6%	47.5%
Myanmar	Kunlong	2017	83.6%	90.6%	75.0%
Myanmar	Kyaukme	2000	59.3%	64.8%	54.3%
Myanmar	Kyaukme	2017	81.6%	84.8%	78.0%
Myanmar	Kyaukse	2000	63.9%	73.4%	53.4%
Myanmar	Kyaukse	2017	82.3%	89.0%	74.5%
Myanmar	Kyaunkpyu	2000	50.4%	58.4%	43.0%
Myanmar	Kyaunkpyu	2017	75.6%	82.2%	68.7%
Myanmar	Lasho	2000	55.1%	59.7%	50.3%
Myanmar	Lasho	2017	75.6%	79.5%	72.4%
Myanmar	Lauking	2000	58.2%	70.8%	42.2%
Myanmar	Lauking	2017	79.0%	88.6%	64.6%
Myanmar	Loikaw	2000	64.1%	69.5%	58.6%
Myanmar	Loikaw	2017	85.4%	88.6%	81.7%
Myanmar	Loilen	2000	57.9%	63.2%	53.0%
Myanmar	Loilen	2017	79.8%	82.7%	76.2%
Myanmar	Magwe Minbu	2000	58.7%	65.0%	51.6%
Myanmar	Magwe Minbu	2017	82.1%	86.2%	77.0%
Myanmar	Mandalay	2000	75.4%	79.3%	70.3%
Myanmar	Mandalay	2017	91.9%	93.7%	89.6%
Myanmar	Maubin	2000	51.0%	59.1%	43.5%
Myanmar	Maubin	2017	75.4%	81.3%	68.6%
Myanmar	Maungtaw	2000	50.3%	63.1%	37.2%
Myanmar	Maungtaw	2017	76.3%	86.5%	62.9%
Myanmar	Mawlamyine	2000	63.7%	70.3%	55.5%
Myanmar	Mawlamyine	2017	86.1%	89.6%	81.3%
Myanmar	Mawleik	2000	62.3%	71.0%	55.1%
Myanmar	Mawleik	2017	83.3%	88.6%	78.0%
Myanmar	Meiktila	2000	66.0%	73.7%	57.4%
Myanmar	Meiktila	2017	86.0%	91.3%	79.4%
Myanmar	Mergui	2000	57.0%	62.2%	51.9%
Myanmar	Mergui	2017	80.2%	83.3%	76.4%
Myanmar	Minbu	2000	63.0%	70.2%	54.2%
Myanmar	Minbu	2017	85.2%	89.4%	79.7%
Myanmar	Mindat	2000	54.6%	59.7%	49.6%
Myanmar	Mindat	2017	76.1%	79.8%	71.9%
Myanmar	Mongphat	2000	58.1%	64.7%	51.1%
Myanmar	Mongphat	2017	78.8%	83.7%	73.6%
Myanmar	Mongsat	2000	62.5%	69.5%	54.3%
Myanmar	Mongsat	2017	81.9%	86.3%	76.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Myanmar	Monywa	2000	60.3%	66.6%	52.4%
Myanmar	Monywa	2017	83.7%	87.2%	78.6%
Myanmar	Muse	2000	62.9%	71.8%	53.2%
Myanmar	Muse	2017	82.7%	88.1%	76.0%
Myanmar	Myawady	2000	51.9%	61.1%	42.7%
Myanmar	Myawady	2017	78.4%	84.9%	70.8%
Myanmar	Myingyan	2000	59.1%	66.0%	52.3%
Myanmar	Myingyan	2017	81.0%	85.4%	75.6%
Myanmar	Myitkyina	2000	60.3%	63.8%	56.9%
Myanmar	Myitkyina	2017	83.4%	85.6%	81.1%
Myanmar	Myoungmya	2000	54.4%	61.8%	46.7%
Myanmar	Myoungmya	2017	77.9%	83.4%	71.3%
Myanmar	Naypyitaw	2000	42.8%	56.0%	34.9%
Myanmar	Naypyitaw	2017	68.2%	80.6%	56.2%
Myanmar	Pakokku	2000	60.7%	65.6%	54.8%
Myanmar	Pakokku	2017	82.6%	86.3%	78.2%
Myanmar	Palam	2000	59.5%	65.8%	52.7%
Myanmar	Palam	2017	79.4%	83.7%	74.3%
Myanmar	Pegu	2000	58.6%	64.2%	52.4%
Myanmar	Pegu	2017	82.6%	86.3%	78.6%
Myanmar	Pharpon	2000	55.7%	63.7%	46.5%
Myanmar	Pharpon	2017	80.6%	86.7%	72.9%
Myanmar	Putao	2000	54.0%	62.9%	44.9%
Myanmar	Putao	2017	74.7%	80.7%	67.8%
Myanmar	Pyay	2000	56.3%	64.4%	48.7%
Myanmar	Pyay	2017	80.6%	86.3%	74.3%
Myanmar	Pyin-Oo-Lwin	2000	72.1%	77.8%	64.7%
Myanmar	Pyin-Oo-Lwin	2017	88.2%	91.7%	83.5%
Myanmar	Sagaing	2000	55.7%	62.3%	49.0%
Myanmar	Sagaing	2017	79.5%	84.3%	73.5%
Myanmar	Shwebo	2000	62.5%	68.1%	56.7%
Myanmar	Shwebo	2017	84.6%	87.9%	80.5%
Myanmar	Sittwe	2000	45.4%	52.0%	39.4%
Myanmar	Sittwe	2017	71.3%	77.3%	65.2%
Myanmar	Tamu	2000	62.2%	75.5%	48.3%
Myanmar	Tamu	2017	83.7%	91.5%	72.7%
Myanmar	Tarchilaik	2000	64.2%	77.4%	50.5%
Myanmar	Tarchilaik	2017	84.7%	91.1%	76.5%
Myanmar	Taunggye	2000	57.6%	62.2%	53.4%
Myanmar	Taunggye	2017	79.4%	82.5%	76.0%
Myanmar	Taungoo	2000	60.6%	68.6%	51.9%
Myanmar	Taungoo	2017	83.9%	89.3%	78.2%
Myanmar	Thandwe	2000	52.5%	59.8%	44.6%
Myanmar	Thandwe	2017	76.6%	82.1%	70.0%
Myanmar	Thaton	2000	51.4%	58.0%	44.3%
Myanmar	Thaton	2017	74.4%	79.7%	69.1%
Myanmar	Thayarwady	2000	62.7%	70.7%	55.2%
Myanmar	Thayarwady	2017	84.5%	89.4%	79.2%
Myanmar	Thayetmyo	2000	58.3%	64.0%	52.2%
Myanmar	Thayetmyo	2017	80.7%	85.0%	75.7%
Myanmar	Yamethin	2000	67.8%	72.1%	62.1%
Myanmar	Yamethin	2017	87.2%	90.1%	83.4%
Myanmar	Yangon-E	2000	80.4%	83.1%	77.7%
Myanmar	Yangon-E	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.8%
Myanmar	Yangon-N	2000	70.0%	75.8%	63.0%
Myanmar	Yangon-N	2017	89.0%	92.1%	85.4%
Myanmar	Yangon-S	2000	55.5%	63.6%	48.4%
Myanmar	Yangon-S	2017	78.8%	84.3%	72.7%
Myanmar	Yangon-W	2000	89.9%	93.2%	85.8%
Myanmar	Yangon-W	2017	98.0%	98.7%	96.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Abau	2000	59.0%	76.7%	40.9%
Papua New Guinea	Abau	2017	60.2%	77.5%	41.8%
Papua New Guinea	Aitape-Lumi	2000	62.2%	81.8%	39.6%
Papua New Guinea	Aitape-Lumi	2017	64.7%	84.1%	41.8%
Papua New Guinea	Alotau	2000	61.9%	74.6%	47.2%
Papua New Guinea	Alotau	2017	62.5%	75.2%	48.2%
Papua New Guinea	Ambunti-Dreikikir	2000	53.5%	67.6%	38.9%
Papua New Guinea	Ambunti-Dreikikir	2017	54.4%	68.1%	40.6%
Papua New Guinea	Anglimp-South Waghi	2000	69.0%	84.0%	48.6%
Papua New Guinea	Anglimp-South Waghi	2017	71.3%	85.5%	49.7%
Papua New Guinea	Angoram	2000	50.2%	66.0%	34.3%
Papua New Guinea	Angoram	2017	51.2%	66.7%	35.6%
Papua New Guinea	Bogia	2000	56.7%	78.7%	34.1%
Papua New Guinea	Bogia	2017	58.5%	79.6%	36.5%
Papua New Guinea	Bulolo	2000	75.9%	85.5%	65.1%
Papua New Guinea	Bulolo	2017	77.9%	87.3%	67.0%
Papua New Guinea	Central Bougainville	2000	78.4%	91.0%	63.1%
Papua New Guinea	Central Bougainville	2017	78.5%	91.3%	62.9%
Papua New Guinea	Chuave	2000	80.9%	96.9%	54.6%
Papua New Guinea	Chuave	2017	83.8%	97.0%	61.9%
Papua New Guinea	Daulo	2000	80.0%	96.3%	59.9%
Papua New Guinea	Daulo	2017	80.7%	96.4%	61.0%
Papua New Guinea	Dei	2000	65.0%	94.9%	30.4%
Papua New Guinea	Dei	2017	65.9%	95.2%	32.2%
Papua New Guinea	Esa'ala	2000	83.2%	97.1%	58.6%
Papua New Guinea	Esa'ala	2017	83.7%	97.2%	59.4%
Papua New Guinea	Finschhafen	2000	84.8%	97.3%	65.6%
Papua New Guinea	Finschhafen	2017	84.7%	97.4%	64.4%
Papua New Guinea	Gazelle	2000	78.8%	89.6%	62.1%
Papua New Guinea	Gazelle	2017	78.8%	90.2%	64.0%
Papua New Guinea	Goilala	2000	39.4%	58.7%	23.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Goilala	2017	40.0%	59.0%	24.1%
Papua New Guinea	Goroka	2000	94.5%	99.8%	83.4%
Papua New Guinea	Goroka	2017	94.5%	99.8%	83.5%
Papua New Guinea	Gumine	2000	87.0%	97.4%	66.5%
Papua New Guinea	Gumine	2017	87.4%	97.6%	67.6%
Papua New Guinea	Henganofi	2000	34.7%	63.6%	13.2%
Papua New Guinea	Henganofi	2017	34.5%	64.1%	13.3%
Papua New Guinea	Huon	2000	76.3%	89.5%	62.2%
Papua New Guinea	Huon	2017	76.7%	90.3%	61.1%
Papua New Guinea	Ialibu-Pangia	2000	58.8%	80.6%	32.2%
Papua New Guinea	Ialibu-Pangia	2017	57.5%	80.2%	32.4%
Papua New Guinea	Ijivitari	2000	48.8%	61.0%	35.4%
Papua New Guinea	Ijivitari	2017	49.2%	61.3%	35.8%
Papua New Guinea	Imbonggu	2000	72.9%	88.4%	56.2%
Papua New Guinea	Imbonggu	2017	73.5%	88.6%	56.5%
Papua New Guinea	Jimi	2000	60.2%	81.7%	39.0%
Papua New Guinea	Jimi	2017	61.4%	83.0%	40.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kabwum	2000	70.9%	88.2%	47.4%
Papua New Guinea	Kabwum	2017	71.5%	88.4%	48.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kagua-Erave	2000	63.1%	87.0%	36.4%
Papua New Guinea	Kagua-Erave	2017	63.5%	88.4%	36.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kainantu	2000	55.5%	77.1%	32.2%
Papua New Guinea	Kainantu	2017	55.2%	78.0%	32.1%
Papua New Guinea	Kairuku-Hiri	2000	82.3%	92.7%	69.4%
Papua New Guinea	Kairuku-Hiri	2017	82.7%	92.9%	69.7%
Papua New Guinea	Kandep	2000	66.5%	92.1%	38.8%
Papua New Guinea	Kandep	2017	68.0%	92.0%	40.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kandrian-Gloucester	2000	57.7%	71.3%	43.2%
Papua New Guinea	Kandrian-Gloucester	2017	59.3%	72.5%	44.8%
Papua New Guinea	Karimui-Nomane	2000	72.5%	92.9%	43.7%
Papua New Guinea	Karimui-Nomane	2017	74.5%	93.8%	45.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Kavieng	2000	81.9%	96.0%	62.4%
Papua New Guinea	Kavieng	2017	82.6%	96.2%	63.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kerema	2000	42.8%	58.7%	25.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kerema	2017	44.9%	60.5%	27.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kerowagi	2000	75.3%	93.3%	53.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kerowagi	2017	76.5%	94.3%	54.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kikori	2000	72.9%	84.1%	58.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kikori	2017	73.4%	84.2%	59.2%
Papua New Guinea	Kiriwina-Goodenough	2000	38.0%	64.6%	17.7%
Papua New Guinea	Kiriwina-Goodenough	2017	41.7%	67.9%	21.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kokopo	2000	96.9%	99.8%	88.1%
Papua New Guinea	Kokopo	2017	96.8%	99.9%	87.8%
Papua New Guinea	Komo-Magarima	2000	47.5%	75.7%	23.2%
Papua New Guinea	Komo-Magarima	2017	49.4%	77.7%	25.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kompiani-Ambum	2000	80.6%	95.4%	59.8%
Papua New Guinea	Kompiani-Ambum	2017	81.8%	95.9%	60.4%
Papua New Guinea	Koroba-Kopiago	2000	40.1%	60.1%	22.9%
Papua New Guinea	Koroba-Kopiago	2017	39.9%	60.6%	22.8%
Papua New Guinea	Kundiawa-Gembogl	2000	87.2%	96.6%	77.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kundiawa-Gembogl	2017	86.3%	96.7%	75.7%
Papua New Guinea	Lae	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Papua New Guinea	Lae	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Papua New Guinea	Lagaip-Porgera	2000	80.4%	92.3%	65.4%
Papua New Guinea	Lagaip-Porgera	2017	80.4%	92.5%	65.6%
Papua New Guinea	Lufa	2000	43.4%	75.7%	12.7%
Papua New Guinea	Lufa	2017	45.8%	78.5%	14.1%
Papua New Guinea	Madang	2000	84.5%	95.0%	68.2%
Papua New Guinea	Madang	2017	84.8%	95.3%	69.0%
Papua New Guinea	Manus	2000	80.2%	94.9%	58.4%
Papua New Guinea	Manus	2017	81.0%	95.6%	60.7%
Papua New Guinea	Maprik	2000	42.0%	72.1%	14.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Maprik	2017	42.3%	73.0%	14.7%
Papua New Guinea	Markham	2000	45.1%	65.0%	25.1%
Papua New Guinea	Markham	2017	45.6%	65.6%	25.9%
Papua New Guinea	Mendi-Munihu	2000	68.6%	83.8%	55.0%
Papua New Guinea	Mendi-Munihu	2017	68.5%	84.6%	54.0%
Papua New Guinea	Menyamya	2000	34.1%	54.7%	14.7%
Papua New Guinea	Menyamya	2017	35.8%	56.2%	16.0%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Fly	2000	56.9%	67.5%	46.5%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Fly	2017	57.8%	68.0%	47.8%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Ramu	2000	42.7%	57.6%	25.2%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Ramu	2017	43.9%	58.6%	26.5%
Papua New Guinea	Mount Hagen	2000	78.9%	96.4%	58.3%
Papua New Guinea	Mount Hagen	2017	77.7%	96.5%	55.2%
Papua New Guinea	Mul-Baiyer	2000	71.0%	96.2%	36.7%
Papua New Guinea	Mul-Baiyer	2017	71.0%	96.5%	36.5%
Papua New Guinea	Namatanai	2000	64.0%	81.0%	47.1%
Papua New Guinea	Namatanai	2017	65.1%	82.1%	48.0%
Papua New Guinea	National Capital District	2000	95.7%	100.0%	77.6%
Papua New Guinea	National Capital District	2017	96.2%	100.0%	78.9%
Papua New Guinea	Nawae	2000	83.1%	96.1%	68.0%
Papua New Guinea	Nawae	2017	83.5%	96.1%	68.1%
Papua New Guinea	Nipa-Kutubu	2000	55.9%	79.2%	33.5%
Papua New Guinea	Nipa-Kutubu	2017	55.6%	78.9%	33.3%
Papua New Guinea	North Bougainville	2000	71.7%	85.0%	58.5%
Papua New Guinea	North Bougainville	2017	73.9%	86.4%	60.8%
Papua New Guinea	North Fly	2000	56.4%	76.1%	33.2%
Papua New Guinea	North Fly	2017	57.9%	77.7%	33.5%
Papua New Guinea	North Waghi	2000	48.6%	78.3%	31.4%
Papua New Guinea	North Waghi	2017	52.0%	79.6%	35.0%
Papua New Guinea	Nuku	2000	49.7%	72.0%	26.4%
Papua New Guinea	Nuku	2017	51.6%	73.6%	29.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Obura-Wonenara	2000	42.6%	71.1%	18.1%
Papua New Guinea	Obura-Wonenara	2017	43.7%	71.6%	18.6%
Papua New Guinea	Okapa	2000	44.0%	71.1%	21.1%
Papua New Guinea	Okapa	2017	45.4%	71.4%	22.4%
Papua New Guinea	Pomio	2000	67.2%	83.8%	47.5%
Papua New Guinea	Pomio	2017	69.3%	85.1%	50.5%
Papua New Guinea	Rabaul	2000	97.7%	100.0%	83.6%
Papua New Guinea	Rabaul	2017	97.9%	100.0%	86.5%
Papua New Guinea	Rai Coast	2000	74.7%	86.1%	58.1%
Papua New Guinea	Rai Coast	2017	75.6%	86.6%	59.1%
Papua New Guinea	Rigo	2000	86.8%	98.9%	66.1%
Papua New Guinea	Rigo	2017	87.4%	99.1%	66.7%
Papua New Guinea	Samarai-Murua	2000	76.8%	91.6%	60.9%
Papua New Guinea	Samarai-Murua	2017	77.2%	91.9%	60.4%
Papua New Guinea	Sina Sina-Yonggomugl	2000	87.0%	96.0%	71.7%
Papua New Guinea	Sina Sina-Yonggomugl	2017	86.7%	96.0%	71.2%
Papua New Guinea	Sohe	2000	41.6%	57.7%	26.5%
Papua New Guinea	Sohe	2017	43.3%	59.6%	27.8%
Papua New Guinea	South Bougainville	2000	61.7%	76.9%	45.1%
Papua New Guinea	South Bougainville	2017	64.1%	78.8%	47.5%
Papua New Guinea	South Fly	2000	70.1%	82.4%	55.2%
Papua New Guinea	South Fly	2017	71.1%	83.3%	56.4%
Papua New Guinea	Sumkar	2000	71.6%	93.0%	42.0%
Papua New Guinea	Sumkar	2017	72.9%	93.7%	44.2%
Papua New Guinea	Talasea	2000	73.2%	83.8%	60.9%
Papua New Guinea	Talasea	2017	73.6%	83.5%	60.8%
Papua New Guinea	Tambul-Nebilyer	2000	64.0%	79.0%	49.4%
Papua New Guinea	Tambul-Nebilyer	2017	65.6%	80.6%	50.4%
Papua New Guinea	Tari-Pori	2000	73.4%	93.8%	48.9%
Papua New Guinea	Tari-Pori	2017	73.8%	93.8%	50.3%
Papua New Guinea	Telefomin	2000	39.8%	58.2%	19.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Telefomin	2017	40.9%	60.6%	20.4%
Papua New Guinea	Tewae-Siassi	2000	89.5%	99.7%	73.2%
Papua New Guinea	Tewae-Siassi	2017	89.5%	99.8%	73.0%
Papua New Guinea	Unggai-Bena	2000	70.0%	90.8%	45.1%
Papua New Guinea	Unggai-Bena	2017	70.7%	91.7%	46.1%
Papua New Guinea	Usino-Bundi	2000	69.4%	85.6%	50.3%
Papua New Guinea	Usino-Bundi	2017	69.2%	86.8%	50.0%
Papua New Guinea	Vanimo-Green River	2000	64.7%	77.8%	50.1%
Papua New Guinea	Vanimo-Green River	2017	66.0%	79.8%	50.9%
Papua New Guinea	Wabag	2000	78.3%	97.2%	55.3%
Papua New Guinea	Wabag	2017	81.3%	97.7%	61.1%
Papua New Guinea	Wapenamanda	2000	83.3%	97.8%	59.8%
Papua New Guinea	Wapenamanda	2017	84.4%	98.1%	61.3%
Papua New Guinea	Wewak	2000	69.5%	86.3%	49.2%
Papua New Guinea	Wewak	2017	69.8%	87.0%	49.8%
Papua New Guinea	Wosera-Gawi	2000	43.1%	71.0%	17.9%
Papua New Guinea	Wosera-Gawi	2017	43.4%	72.0%	17.6%
Papua New Guinea	Yangoro-Saussia	2000	41.3%	67.0%	18.0%
Papua New Guinea	Yangoro-Saussia	2017	42.2%	67.4%	19.1%
Philippines	Aborlan	2000	79.0%	94.1%	49.5%
Philippines	Aborlan	2017	93.1%	99.2%	72.9%
Philippines	Abra de Ilog	2000	71.2%	95.1%	38.6%
Philippines	Abra de Ilog	2017	89.4%	99.1%	68.0%
Philippines	Abucay	2000	81.2%	84.5%	77.5%
Philippines	Abucay	2017	91.1%	94.4%	87.0%
Philippines	Abulug	2000	44.5%	78.1%	11.5%
Philippines	Abulug	2017	78.9%	93.9%	48.3%
Philippines	Abuyog	2000	87.0%	99.5%	46.2%
Philippines	Abuyog	2017	92.8%	99.8%	58.9%
Philippines	Adams	2000	83.0%	100.0%	30.3%
Philippines	Adams	2017	92.1%	100.0%	52.1%
Philippines	Agdangan	2000	79.8%	98.5%	41.9%
Philippines	Agdangan	2017	96.5%	99.8%	82.4%
Philippines	Aglipay	2000	88.3%	96.6%	68.2%
Philippines	Aglipay	2017	94.7%	98.7%	87.4%
Philippines	Agno	2000	76.9%	98.9%	29.4%
Philippines	Agno	2017	88.7%	99.8%	41.7%
Philippines	Agoncillo	2000	96.5%	100.0%	85.8%
Philippines	Agoncillo	2017	97.6%	100.0%	84.8%
Philippines	Agoo	2000	83.7%	100.0%	16.1%
Philippines	Agoo	2017	93.9%	100.0%	51.6%
Philippines	Aguilar	2000	92.3%	99.4%	70.5%
Philippines	Aguilar	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Aguinaldo	2000	71.2%	91.5%	42.9%
Philippines	Aguinaldo	2017	84.9%	96.7%	58.3%
Philippines	Agutaya	2000	80.7%	98.3%	52.2%
Philippines	Agutaya	2017	92.9%	99.8%	70.3%
Philippines	Ajuy	2000	77.5%	98.0%	47.0%
Philippines	Ajuy	2017	92.2%	99.6%	71.1%
Philippines	Akbar	2000	97.8%	98.9%	89.7%
Philippines	Akbar	2017	98.1%	99.2%	92.2%
Philippines	Al-Barka	2000	93.2%	97.3%	75.4%
Philippines	Al-Barka	2017	94.9%	98.1%	82.6%
Philippines	Alabat	2000	79.8%	99.9%	19.0%
Philippines	Alabat	2017	91.3%	100.0%	46.9%
Philippines	Alabel	2000	79.0%	89.8%	62.5%
Philippines	Alabel	2017	92.8%	97.5%	80.6%
Philippines	Alamada	2000	60.2%	82.4%	31.8%
Philippines	Alamada	2017	82.4%	96.5%	57.5%
Philippines	Alaminos	2000	79.2%	90.7%	61.8%
Philippines	Alaminos	2017	58.8%	93.9%	31.5%
Philippines	Alaminos City	2000	85.1%	94.4%	67.5%
Philippines	Alaminos City	2017	97.6%	99.4%	92.0%
Philippines	Alangalang	2000	93.8%	98.5%	76.2%
Philippines	Alangalang	2017	98.4%	99.7%	91.7%
Philippines	Albuera	2000	78.5%	100.0%	28.4%
Philippines	Albuera	2017	88.7%	100.0%	45.0%
Philippines	Albuquerque	2000	97.6%	99.9%	89.9%
Philippines	Albuquerque	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.9%
Philippines	Alcala	2000	94.2%	98.2%	86.9%
Philippines	Alcala	2000	96.7%	99.8%	82.6%
Philippines	Alcala	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.0%
Philippines	Alcala	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.1%
Philippines	Alcantara	2000	65.5%	85.2%	43.0%
Philippines	Alcantara	2000	84.6%	94.6%	57.0%
Philippines	Alcantara	2017	88.9%	97.9%	65.2%
Philippines	Alcantara	2017	95.9%	99.6%	81.9%
Philippines	Alcoy	2000	83.0%	94.0%	64.4%
Philippines	Alcoy	2017	89.5%	96.9%	74.2%
Philippines	Alegria	2000	96.0%	100.0%	72.3%
Philippines	Alegria	2000	68.3%	94.4%	26.3%
Philippines	Alegria	2017	98.1%	100.0%	86.2%
Philippines	Alegria	2017	81.7%	98.4%	34.7%
Philippines	Aleosan	2000	63.8%	78.6%	49.2%
Philippines	Aleosan	2017	85.2%	96.6%	65.0%
Philippines	Alfonso	2000	88.8%	99.9%	40.7%
Philippines	Alfonso	2017	95.0%	100.0%	67.5%
Philippines	Alfonso Cas- taneda	2000	72.2%	97.5%	31.5%
Philippines	Alfonso Cas- taneda	2017	83.4%	99.2%	44.0%
Philippines	Alfonso Lista	2000	81.0%	95.5%	60.3%
Philippines	Alfonso Lista	2017	93.7%	98.7%	81.1%
Philippines	Aliaga	2000	92.7%	100.0%	59.2%
Philippines	Aliaga	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.1%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	71.9%	99.5%	11.2%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	62.0%	92.0%	23.0%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	61.0%	94.7%	29.8%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	86.8%	99.9%	32.9%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	86.5%	99.7%	52.3%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	80.1%	98.4%	41.8%
Philippines	Alilem	2000	83.9%	99.9%	30.2%
Philippines	Alilem	2017	93.8%	100.0%	62.6%
Philippines	Alimodian	2000	88.6%	99.5%	57.9%
Philippines	Alimodian	2017	97.5%	100.0%	84.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Alitagtag	2000	88.4%	100.0%	34.5%
Philippines	Alitagtag	2017	92.9%	100.0%	41.3%
Philippines	Allacapan	2000	53.8%	83.3%	17.4%
Philippines	Allacapan	2017	85.2%	97.8%	45.6%
Philippines	Allen	2000	91.5%	98.9%	63.5%
Philippines	Allen	2017	89.6%	98.2%	62.1%
Philippines	Almagro	2000	69.9%	99.9%	9.2%
Philippines	Almagro	2017	86.6%	100.0%	40.3%
Philippines	Almeria	2000	98.7%	100.0%	93.1%
Philippines	Almeria	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.1%
Philippines	Aloguinsan	2000	79.9%	99.7%	20.0%
Philippines	Aloguinsan	2017	90.6%	99.9%	37.3%
Philippines	Aloran	2000	98.6%	99.5%	97.7%
Philippines	Aloran	2017	97.5%	98.8%	96.4%
Philippines	Altavas	2000	69.4%	93.3%	29.4%
Philippines	Altavas	2017	91.6%	99.5%	66.0%
Philippines	Alubijid	2000	82.3%	91.4%	71.3%
Philippines	Alubijid	2017	91.1%	97.2%	81.1%
Philippines	Amadeo	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Philippines	Amadeo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Philippines	Ambaguio	2000	88.8%	99.4%	66.2%
Philippines	Ambaguio	2017	94.7%	99.9%	77.6%
Philippines	Amlan	2000	94.8%	99.5%	78.4%
Philippines	Amlan	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.4%
Philippines	Ampatuan	2000	50.3%	94.5%	14.0%
Philippines	Ampatuan	2017	80.3%	98.5%	37.7%
Philippines	Amulung	2000	74.8%	97.2%	54.0%
Philippines	Amulung	2017	90.7%	99.8%	71.1%
Philippines	Anahawan	2000	88.2%	99.6%	62.4%
Philippines	Anahawan	2017	96.4%	99.9%	84.4%
Philippines	Anao	2000	98.7%	100.0%	92.0%
Philippines	Anao	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Philippines	Anda	2000	77.8%	99.8%	23.6%
Philippines	Anda	2000	99.7%	100.0%	97.1%
Philippines	Anda	2017	99.8%	100.0%	97.9%
Philippines	Anda	2017	91.1%	100.0%	52.2%
Philippines	Angadanan	2000	68.9%	95.2%	38.6%
Philippines	Angadanan	2017	94.0%	99.7%	71.2%
Philippines	Angat	2000	52.4%	80.7%	30.5%
Philippines	Angat	2017	92.2%	98.5%	76.3%
Philippines	Angeles City	2000	97.1%	99.6%	90.8%
Philippines	Angeles City	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Philippines	Angono	2000	99.1%	99.8%	97.7%
Philippines	Angono	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Anilao	2000	79.0%	91.1%	53.6%
Philippines	Anilao	2017	96.3%	99.2%	78.1%
Philippines	Anini-Y	2000	91.0%	99.4%	62.4%
Philippines	Anini-Y	2017	98.6%	100.0%	93.3%
Philippines	Antequera	2000	82.1%	91.2%	67.8%
Philippines	Antequera	2017	92.4%	98.2%	77.3%
Philippines	Antipas	2000	61.3%	93.9%	27.0%
Philippines	Antipas	2017	75.5%	97.6%	49.8%
Philippines	Antipolo City	2000	95.8%	97.2%	93.6%
Philippines	Antipolo City	2017	98.5%	99.6%	94.4%
Philippines	Apalit	2000	99.1%	99.9%	95.5%
Philippines	Apalit	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Philippines	Aparri	2000	45.6%	89.7%	12.2%
Philippines	Aparri	2017	84.7%	97.0%	51.5%
Philippines	Araceli	2000	73.4%	98.8%	26.1%
Philippines	Araceli	2017	88.7%	99.7%	52.9%
Philippines	Arakan	2000	70.8%	96.6%	32.2%
Philippines	Arakan	2017	85.7%	99.3%	52.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Arayat	2000	89.0%	99.9%	47.0%
Philippines	Arayat	2017	95.8%	100.0%	68.8%
Philippines	Argao	2000	86.3%	92.9%	72.4%
Philippines	Argao	2017	94.9%	98.7%	84.7%
Philippines	Aringay	2000	91.1%	99.7%	50.4%
Philippines	Aringay	2017	96.7%	100.0%	75.8%
Philippines	Aritao	2000	80.3%	91.0%	65.2%
Philippines	Aritao	2017	92.8%	97.4%	84.0%
Philippines	Aroroy	2000	77.1%	89.9%	60.5%
Philippines	Aroroy	2017	85.7%	94.0%	71.6%
Philippines	Arteche	2000	91.8%	95.7%	86.9%
Philippines	Arteche	2017	96.3%	98.0%	94.1%
Philippines	Asingan	2000	82.4%	92.7%	63.9%
Philippines	Asingan	2017	97.7%	99.4%	94.3%
Philippines	Asipulo	2000	74.4%	95.7%	40.4%
Philippines	Asipulo	2017	84.0%	97.0%	55.7%
Philippines	Asturias	2000	76.6%	97.6%	35.6%
Philippines	Asturias	2017	88.5%	98.7%	65.2%
Philippines	Asuncion	2000	87.3%	97.5%	73.0%
Philippines	Asuncion	2017	93.3%	99.6%	78.1%
Philippines	Atimonan	2000	68.7%	85.9%	46.8%
Philippines	Atimonan	2017	87.2%	93.5%	74.9%
Philippines	Atok	2000	65.7%	97.0%	18.8%
Philippines	Atok	2017	85.3%	99.0%	48.9%
Philippines	Aurora	2000	51.4%	74.8%	22.1%
Philippines	Aurora	2000	60.0%	78.3%	42.2%
Philippines	Aurora	2017	80.5%	95.9%	44.2%
Philippines	Aurora	2017	92.6%	96.0%	86.4%
Philippines	Ayungon	2000	79.3%	99.8%	32.3%
Philippines	Ayungon	2017	93.2%	100.0%	61.4%
Philippines	Baao	2000	66.8%	93.8%	22.1%
Philippines	Baao	2017	93.4%	99.8%	57.0%
Philippines	Babatngon	2000	78.7%	99.6%	35.3%
Philippines	Babatngon	2017	88.4%	99.9%	51.4%
Philippines	Bacacay	2000	87.3%	94.8%	76.1%
Philippines	Bacacay	2017	95.4%	98.8%	87.2%
Philippines	Bacarra	2000	97.2%	99.4%	92.8%
Philippines	Bacarra	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.0%
Philippines	Baclayon	2000	97.5%	100.0%	83.9%
Philippines	Baclayon	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.6%
Philippines	Bacnotan	2000	88.9%	97.7%	79.6%
Philippines	Bacnotan	2017	97.9%	99.9%	89.5%
Philippines	Baco	2000	74.7%	93.9%	48.3%
Philippines	Baco	2017	91.8%	97.5%	80.0%
Philippines	Bacolod	2000	91.5%	99.8%	72.9%
Philippines	Bacolod	2017	97.1%	100.0%	83.7%
Philippines	Bacolod City	2000	92.1%	94.6%	88.4%
Philippines	Bacolod City	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.0%
Philippines	Bacolod	2000	39.9%	68.2%	18.0%
Philippines	Kalawi	2017	73.1%	94.0%	40.2%
Philippines	Bacolor	2000	96.1%	98.2%	92.2%
Philippines	Bacolor	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Philippines	Bacong	2000	96.2%	99.9%	85.4%
Philippines	Bacong	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.2%
Philippines	Bacoor	2000	96.5%	98.1%	92.6%
Philippines	Bacoor	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Philippines	Bacuag	2000	79.6%	100.0%	27.8%
Philippines	Bacuag	2017	91.5%	100.0%	46.0%
Philippines	Bacungan	2000	71.3%	90.2%	32.4%
Philippines	Bacungan	2017	87.9%	97.8%	54.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Badian	2000	91.9%	99.2%	58.0%
Philippines	Badian	2017	95.3%	99.7%	74.8%
Philippines	Badiangan	2000	75.1%	99.6%	17.1%
Philippines	Badiangan	2017	91.2%	100.0%	48.6%
Philippines	Badoc	2000	85.6%	98.8%	54.8%
Philippines	Badoc	2017	98.0%	99.9%	90.1%
Philippines	Bagabag	2000	93.1%	95.4%	90.9%
Philippines	Bagabag	2017	98.2%	98.8%	96.2%
Philippines	Bagac	2000	99.1%	100.0%	95.3%
Philippines	Bagac	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.4%
Philippines	Bagamanoc	2000	61.3%	99.0%	6.3%
Philippines	Bagamanoc	2017	72.9%	98.0%	18.5%
Philippines	Baganga	2000	68.2%	84.7%	46.9%
Philippines	Baganga	2017	80.7%	91.5%	64.2%
Philippines	Baggao	2000	75.2%	93.2%	40.9%
Philippines	Baggao	2017	92.1%	99.1%	69.4%
Philippines	Bago City	2000	75.2%	85.6%	63.7%
Philippines	Bago City	2017	93.0%	95.6%	87.1%
Philippines	Baguio City	2000	85.3%	87.8%	82.5%
Philippines	Baguio City	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.2%
Philippines	Bagulin	2000	50.9%	80.8%	24.3%
Philippines	Bagulin	2017	78.1%	94.8%	50.2%
Philippines	Bagumbayan	2000	83.6%	96.3%	65.8%
Philippines	Bagumbayan	2017	93.9%	99.3%	81.7%
Philippines	Bais City	2000	91.2%	98.0%	79.9%
Philippines	Bais City	2017	97.0%	99.6%	89.4%
Philippines	Bakun	2000	80.6%	92.1%	56.7%
Philippines	Bakun	2017	93.4%	98.7%	78.2%
Philippines	Balabac	2000	74.6%	92.6%	50.4%
Philippines	Balabac	2017	87.8%	98.6%	69.7%
Philippines	Balabagan	2000	61.2%	74.1%	45.1%
Philippines	Balabagan	2017	91.3%	95.1%	85.7%
Philippines	Balagtas	2000	97.4%	99.3%	90.5%
Philippines	Balagtas	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Philippines	Balamban	2000	75.2%	87.7%	47.3%
Philippines	Balamban	2017	92.9%	97.6%	80.1%
Philippines	Balanga City	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.4%
Philippines	Balanga City	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Philippines	Balangiga	2000	84.2%	99.0%	48.3%
Philippines	Balangiga	2017	95.8%	99.9%	77.4%
Philippines	Balangkayan	2000	86.3%	99.5%	51.6%
Philippines	Balangkayan	2017	96.1%	99.9%	78.6%
Philippines	Balaoan	2000	59.6%	76.3%	32.5%
Philippines	Balaoan	2017	93.7%	98.2%	82.6%
Philippines	Balasan	2000	72.2%	99.7%	15.0%
Philippines	Balasan	2017	88.3%	100.0%	35.8%
Philippines	Balatan	2000	74.0%	99.1%	20.5%
Philippines	Balatan	2017	87.0%	99.9%	48.2%
Philippines	Balayan	2000	88.0%	98.5%	46.5%
Philippines	Balayan	2017	98.3%	99.8%	92.2%
Philippines	Balbalan	2000	75.2%	94.4%	47.1%
Philippines	Balbalan	2017	93.6%	99.5%	80.5%
Philippines	Baleno	2000	54.1%	82.2%	21.7%
Philippines	Baleno	2017	84.9%	96.3%	54.8%
Philippines	Baler	2000	87.2%	99.0%	49.2%
Philippines	Baler	2017	97.8%	99.9%	88.0%
Philippines	Balete	2000	96.3%	99.3%	88.3%
Philippines	Balete	2000	57.1%	81.7%	31.0%
Philippines	Balete	2017	89.6%	98.4%	69.7%
Philippines	Balete	2017	74.9%	90.8%	50.9%
Philippines	Baliangao	2000	85.4%	96.1%	44.9%
Philippines	Baliangao	2017	97.4%	99.0%	92.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Baliguian	2000	70.4%	95.8%	34.0%
Philippines	Baliguian	2017	78.4%	97.1%	49.8%
Philippines	Balilihan	2000	67.4%	78.8%	52.9%
Philippines	Balilihan	2017	93.7%	96.4%	83.6%
Philippines	Balindong	2000	34.5%	40.7%	29.6%
Philippines	Balindong	2017	68.6%	80.2%	53.1%
Philippines	Balingasag	2000	93.1%	99.8%	79.3%
Philippines	Balingasag	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.2%
Philippines	Balingoan	2000	92.7%	100.0%	53.7%
Philippines	Balingoan	2017	96.7%	100.0%	78.4%
Philippines	Baliuag	2000	98.7%	99.9%	92.2%
Philippines	Baliuag	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Philippines	Ballesteros	2000	65.9%	87.1%	40.9%
Philippines	Ballesteros	2017	90.8%	98.5%	79.2%
Philippines	Baloi	2000	77.0%	91.7%	68.3%
Philippines	Baloi	2017	87.8%	97.0%	71.1%
Philippines	Balud	2000	73.9%	98.3%	35.1%
Philippines	Balud	2017	89.4%	99.7%	64.8%
Philippines	Balungao	2000	93.7%	99.0%	82.4%
Philippines	Balungao	2017	97.8%	99.9%	90.2%
Philippines	Bamban	2000	93.3%	99.6%	66.3%
Philippines	Bamban	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.3%
Philippines	Bambang	2000	82.7%	88.8%	72.6%
Philippines	Bambang	2017	89.3%	92.8%	84.8%
Philippines	Banate	2000	84.6%	99.0%	51.5%
Philippines	Banate	2017	97.3%	99.9%	81.1%
Philippines	Banaue	2000	42.5%	72.4%	18.5%
Philippines	Banaue	2017	73.1%	91.7%	40.5%
Philippines	Banaybanay	2000	78.9%	97.4%	43.9%
Philippines	Banaybanay	2017	94.8%	99.8%	70.9%
Philippines	Banayoyo	2000	96.8%	99.9%	82.6%
Philippines	Banayoyo	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.2%
Philippines	Banga	2000	90.7%	95.9%	79.4%
Philippines	Banga	2000	80.3%	92.1%	63.9%
Philippines	Banga	2017	97.4%	98.7%	92.0%
Philippines	Banga	2017	87.9%	98.3%	69.3%
Philippines	Bangar	2000	97.3%	99.9%	89.0%
Philippines	Bangar	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.2%
Philippines	Bangued	2000	95.8%	98.1%	93.3%
Philippines	Bangued	2017	98.4%	99.5%	94.9%
Philippines	Bangui	2000	77.8%	99.8%	13.7%
Philippines	Bangui	2017	90.4%	99.9%	39.0%
Philippines	Bani	2000	73.3%	97.2%	35.5%
Philippines	Bani	2017	86.5%	98.8%	57.7%
Philippines	Banisilan	2000	55.2%	76.3%	33.0%
Philippines	Banisilan	2017	79.7%	93.8%	57.4%
Philippines	Banna	2000	86.5%	99.4%	49.4%
Philippines	Banna	2017	98.0%	100.0%	82.4%
Philippines	Bansalan	2000	81.3%	97.2%	56.1%
Philippines	Bansalan	2017	91.8%	99.4%	73.4%
Philippines	Bansud	2000	85.1%	97.2%	62.1%
Philippines	Bansud	2017	98.1%	99.8%	92.9%
Philippines	Bantay	2000	91.5%	95.7%	83.2%
Philippines	Bantay	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.6%
Philippines	Bantayan	2000	75.0%	99.4%	21.2%
Philippines	Bantayan	2017	89.6%	100.0%	44.7%
Philippines	Banton	2000	68.0%	99.5%	5.6%
Philippines	Banton	2017	86.1%	100.0%	29.1%
Philippines	Baras	2000	99.1%	99.9%	95.7%
Philippines	Baras	2000	96.2%	100.0%	75.5%
Philippines	Baras	2017	96.7%	100.0%	73.3%
Philippines	Baras	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Barbaza	2000	94.5%	99.5%	83.0%
Philippines	Barbaza	2017	96.6%	99.9%	81.9%
Philippines	Barcelona	2000	95.3%	99.8%	85.1%
Philippines	Barcelona	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Philippines	Barili	2000	87.1%	98.8%	59.0%
Philippines	Barili	2017	94.5%	99.7%	69.7%
Philippines	Barira	2000	61.7%	97.4%	14.1%
Philippines	Barira	2017	81.3%	99.6%	33.6%
Philippines	Barlig	2000	37.0%	67.0%	16.6%
Philippines	Barlig	2017	31.7%	52.4%	15.7%
Philippines	Barobo	2000	91.1%	97.7%	76.3%
Philippines	Barobo	2017	98.0%	99.6%	92.9%
Philippines	Barotac Nuevo	2000	86.6%	99.4%	47.6%
Philippines	Barotac Nuevo	2017	96.2%	100.0%	68.5%
Philippines	Barotac Viejo	2000	85.0%	98.9%	54.8%
Philippines	Barotac Viejo	2017	96.3%	99.9%	83.2%
Philippines	Baroy	2000	88.4%	94.6%	76.6%
Philippines	Baroy	2017	94.6%	97.6%	89.3%
Philippines	Barugo	2000	52.2%	64.4%	45.2%
Philippines	Barugo	2017	80.4%	91.4%	64.5%
Philippines	Basay	2000	76.5%	99.9%	19.9%
Philippines	Basay	2017	88.5%	100.0%	38.4%
Philippines	Basco	2000	99.0%	99.8%	97.3%
Philippines	Basco	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Philippines	Basey	2000	76.5%	88.3%	61.7%
Philippines	Basey	2017	93.3%	98.6%	81.7%
Philippines	Basilisa	2000	88.8%	94.0%	77.4%
Philippines	Basilisa	2017	93.4%	96.3%	86.7%
Philippines	Basista	2000	98.5%	99.9%	91.5%
Philippines	Basista	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Basud	2000	94.4%	96.6%	88.4%
Philippines	Basud	2017	96.3%	98.1%	91.1%
Philippines	Batac City	2000	78.3%	86.3%	68.3%
Philippines	Batac City	2017	98.3%	99.0%	97.1%
Philippines	Batad	2000	76.7%	99.9%	19.0%
Philippines	Batad	2017	89.2%	100.0%	39.6%
Philippines	Batan	2000	78.4%	87.8%	59.0%
Philippines	Batan	2017	97.4%	98.9%	93.6%
Philippines	Batangas City	2000	96.6%	99.3%	90.7%
Philippines	Batangas City	2017	97.8%	99.9%	85.8%
Philippines	Bataraza	2000	74.7%	92.9%	49.4%
Philippines	Bataraza	2017	89.3%	97.8%	70.3%
Philippines	Bato	2000	65.9%	83.1%	51.0%
Philippines	Bato	2000	63.2%	73.9%	44.1%
Philippines	Bato	2000	97.3%	100.0%	80.9%
Philippines	Bato	2017	92.0%	98.5%	76.7%
Philippines	Bato	2017	75.6%	89.8%	57.9%
Philippines	Bato	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.4%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2000	79.0%	89.5%	65.7%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2000	82.6%	86.7%	78.3%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2017	94.4%	97.1%	90.8%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2017	96.2%	98.6%	90.7%
Philippines	Batuan	2000	74.8%	99.9%	18.2%
Philippines	Batuan	2000	70.1%	98.4%	23.0%
Philippines	Batuan	2017	89.8%	100.0%	46.6%
Philippines	Batuan	2017	85.4%	99.9%	35.8%
Philippines	Bauan	2000	85.4%	98.6%	56.4%
Philippines	Bauan	2017	95.8%	99.7%	83.9%
Philippines	Bauang	2000	90.5%	98.0%	67.1%
Philippines	Bauang	2017	99.0%	99.8%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Bauko	2000	43.9%	53.5%	32.0%
Philippines	Bauko	2017	43.1%	62.9%	25.9%
Philippines	Baungon	2000	68.4%	90.1%	47.2%
Philippines	Baungon	2017	84.4%	98.3%	60.5%
Philippines	Bautista	2000	93.7%	100.0%	59.7%
Philippines	Bautista	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.9%
Philippines	Bay	2000	93.4%	99.7%	70.9%
Philippines	Bay	2017	98.1%	99.9%	91.6%
Philippines	Bayabas	2000	82.5%	99.3%	43.1%
Philippines	Bayabas	2017	95.2%	100.0%	70.9%
Philippines	Bayambang	2000	93.7%	99.8%	71.9%
Philippines	Bayambang	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.9%
Philippines	Bayang	2000	45.9%	88.3%	11.0%
Philippines	Bayang	2017	80.2%	97.4%	48.5%
Philippines	Bayawan City	2000	83.5%	97.2%	58.1%
Philippines	Bayawan City	2017	94.0%	99.7%	81.3%
Philippines	Baybay City	2000	88.9%	96.9%	74.8%
Philippines	Baybay City	2017	87.4%	95.4%	74.3%
Philippines	Bayog	2000	60.8%	79.2%	39.7%
Philippines	Bayog	2017	74.7%	90.6%	50.9%
Philippines	Bayombong	2000	84.5%	88.7%	79.9%
Philippines	Bayombong	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.0%
Philippines	Bayugan City	2000	81.2%	87.5%	73.5%
Philippines	Bayugan City	2017	93.4%	95.8%	91.3%
Philippines	Belison	2000	41.9%	90.4%	12.2%
Philippines	Belison	2017	73.9%	97.5%	33.7%
Philippines	Benito Soliven	2000	82.7%	97.8%	57.9%
Philippines	Benito Soliven	2017	93.7%	99.7%	77.6%
Philippines	Besao	2000	13.1%	38.3%	1.4%
Philippines	Besao	2017	34.3%	69.7%	6.5%
Philippines	Bien Unido	2000	59.8%	98.2%	16.2%
Philippines	Bien Unido	2017	81.7%	99.3%	43.0%
Philippines	Bilar	2000	67.7%	99.2%	16.2%
Philippines	Bilar	2017	81.9%	99.8%	29.6%
Philippines	Biliran	2000	97.7%	98.7%	96.3%
Philippines	Biliran	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.2%
Philippines	Binalbagan	2000	73.3%	98.6%	27.7%
Philippines	Binalbagan	2017	91.8%	99.3%	59.6%
Philippines	Binalonan	2000	89.4%	97.9%	70.2%
Philippines	Binalonan	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.8%
Philippines	Biñan	2000	97.7%	99.1%	95.3%
Philippines	Biñan	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Philippines	Binangonan	2000	98.3%	99.9%	93.1%
Philippines	Binangonan	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.4%
Philippines	Bindoy	2000	88.4%	99.5%	63.9%
Philippines	Bindoy	2017	95.4%	99.9%	80.4%
Philippines	Bingawan	2000	80.7%	99.8%	32.3%
Philippines	Bingawan	2017	92.5%	100.0%	51.2%
Philippines	Binidayan	2000	41.9%	77.4%	13.5%
Philippines	Binidayan	2017	74.3%	89.0%	41.2%
Philippines	Binmaley	2000	92.7%	99.6%	72.1%
Philippines	Binmaley	2017	98.5%	100.0%	92.6%
Philippines	Binuangan	2000	93.6%	100.0%	59.7%
Philippines	Binuangan	2017	97.4%	100.0%	82.7%
Philippines	Biri	2000	67.3%	99.5%	9.3%
Philippines	Biri	2017	85.3%	99.9%	27.8%
Philippines	Bislig City	2000	79.9%	90.9%	63.1%
Philippines	Bislig City	2017	88.6%	96.4%	80.4%
Philippines	Boac	2000	95.3%	98.5%	88.5%
Philippines	Boac	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.2%
Philippines	Bobon	2000	77.6%	99.2%	21.4%
Philippines	Bobon	2017	89.8%	99.9%	36.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Bocau	2000	99.1%	100.0%	95.2%
Philippines	Bocau	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Philippines	Bogo City	2000	62.9%	87.0%	43.0%
Philippines	Bogo City	2017	72.6%	96.2%	37.6%
Philippines	Bokod	2000	65.7%	91.7%	25.7%
Philippines	Bokod	2017	83.4%	98.4%	47.6%
Philippines	Bolinao	2000	86.9%	99.5%	55.6%
Philippines	Bolinao	2017	96.1%	100.0%	81.1%
Philippines	Boliney	2000	54.8%	83.0%	21.4%
Philippines	Boliney	2017	85.5%	97.8%	54.8%
Philippines	Boljoon	2000	82.1%	99.9%	30.0%
Philippines	Boljoon	2017	89.1%	100.0%	42.2%
Philippines	Bombon	2000	91.9%	99.7%	70.5%
Philippines	Bombon	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.4%
Philippines	Bongabon	2000	86.2%	99.4%	49.3%
Philippines	Bongabon	2017	95.8%	99.9%	64.6%
Philippines	Bongabong	2000	87.1%	95.8%	71.8%
Philippines	Bongabong	2017	96.2%	99.2%	87.3%
Philippines	Bongao	2000	81.4%	92.0%	70.9%
Philippines	Bongao	2017	97.5%	99.3%	93.6%
Philippines	Bonifacio	2000	86.0%	90.4%	74.5%
Philippines	Bonifacio	2017	86.4%	91.0%	77.2%
Philippines	Bontoc	2000	86.4%	93.3%	78.3%
Philippines	Bontoc	2000	58.8%	68.7%	52.5%
Philippines	Bontoc	2017	84.4%	94.6%	73.5%
Philippines	Bontoc	2017	76.7%	94.4%	62.0%
Philippines	Borbon	2000	72.6%	99.8%	18.1%
Philippines	Borbon	2017	85.9%	99.9%	28.3%
Philippines	Borongan	2000	75.3%	93.9%	42.1%
Philippines	Borongan City	2017	94.5%	99.1%	80.3%
Philippines	Boston	2000	71.8%	97.6%	24.2%
Philippines	Boston	2017	85.8%	99.4%	51.0%
Philippines	Botolan	2000	80.0%	97.0%	30.9%
Philippines	Botolan	2017	91.3%	98.7%	56.4%
Philippines	Braulio E. Dujali	2000	76.5%	93.5%	49.0%
Philippines	Braulio E. Dujali	2017	94.2%	99.4%	71.7%
Philippines	Brooke's Point	2000	69.0%	89.9%	39.2%
Philippines	Brooke's Point	2017	88.6%	98.1%	67.6%
Philippines	Buadiposo-Buntong	2000	69.0%	97.2%	21.8%
Philippines	Buadiposo-Buntong	2017	80.0%	98.1%	37.7%
Philippines	Bubong	2000	68.1%	98.2%	18.3%
Philippines	Bubong	2017	79.6%	99.2%	32.9%
Philippines	Bucay	2000	88.2%	96.0%	78.9%
Philippines	Bucay	2017	98.7%	99.7%	96.1%
Philippines	Bucloc	2000	46.7%	82.4%	6.7%
Philippines	Bucloc	2017	77.5%	96.5%	32.3%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	68.6%	98.8%	16.3%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	90.1%	93.7%	83.7%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	88.4%	98.0%	67.4%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	93.2%	99.8%	75.3%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	91.3%	99.5%	68.7%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	97.9%	98.9%	96.0%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	85.1%	99.8%	34.8%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	97.5%	99.9%	88.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	94.6%	99.8%	82.0%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	97.3%	100.0%	81.1%
Philippines	Bugallon	2000	85.7%	99.4%	45.6%
Philippines	Bugallon	2017	96.6%	99.9%	79.5%
Philippines	Bugasong	2000	73.9%	91.2%	44.9%
Philippines	Bugasong	2017	93.1%	98.3%	77.2%
Philippines	Buguey	2000	60.3%	98.7%	12.4%
Philippines	Buguey	2017	86.4%	100.0%	33.4%
Philippines	Buguias	2000	70.3%	88.8%	59.4%
Philippines	Buguias	2017	89.0%	97.9%	68.1%
Philippines	Buhi	2000	80.8%	98.1%	48.8%
Philippines	Buhi	2017	96.9%	99.8%	83.8%
Philippines	Buhi Lake	2000	97.0%	100.0%	83.4%
Philippines	Buhi Lake	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.4%
Philippines	Bula	2000	88.4%	97.4%	75.4%
Philippines	Bula	2017	98.1%	99.8%	91.3%
Philippines	Bulacan	2000	94.5%	99.9%	78.3%
Philippines	Bulacan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.8%
Philippines	Bulalacao	2000	69.2%	95.5%	19.9%
Philippines	Bulalacao	2017	87.2%	98.8%	39.6%
Philippines	Bulan	2000	87.7%	98.9%	51.5%
Philippines	Bulan	2017	95.9%	99.9%	74.3%
Philippines	Buldon	2000	49.9%	77.4%	18.6%
Philippines	Buldon	2017	79.2%	94.9%	52.9%
Philippines	Buluan	2000	83.7%	99.4%	36.3%
Philippines	Buluan	2017	97.8%	100.0%	69.5%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2000	62.4%	99.4%	5.0%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2000	65.3%	99.8%	9.8%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2017	88.3%	100.0%	37.0%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2017	92.4%	100.0%	44.8%
Philippines	Bulusan	2000	89.6%	99.5%	65.2%
Philippines	Bulusan	2017	97.0%	99.9%	79.1%
Philippines	Bumbaran	2000	58.8%	95.1%	14.5%
Philippines	Bumbaran	2017	78.2%	98.1%	37.9%
Philippines	Bunawan	2000	85.0%	95.7%	60.9%
Philippines	Bunawan	2017	92.5%	98.3%	78.4%
Philippines	Burauen	2000	89.5%	99.9%	38.8%
Philippines	Burauen	2017	96.3%	100.0%	74.6%
Philippines	Burdeos	2000	74.6%	98.4%	40.6%
Philippines	Burdeos	2017	88.3%	99.8%	62.0%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	87.3%	100.0%	39.8%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	55.6%	75.4%	36.1%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	81.4%	99.7%	34.4%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	48.6%	92.3%	14.5%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	75.8%	100.0%	2.6%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	89.9%	99.6%	58.0%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	97.5%	100.0%	81.6%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	93.4%	97.7%	81.6%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	92.7%	100.0%	60.7%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	73.0%	95.1%	49.7%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	98.0%	100.0%	84.9%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	87.3%	100.0%	15.6%
Philippines	Buruanga	2000	82.0%	93.6%	51.4%
Philippines	Buruanga	2017	93.6%	98.9%	67.9%
Philippines	Bustos	2000	92.4%	99.0%	65.7%
Philippines	Bustos	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.3%
Philippines	Busuanga	2000	77.5%	97.1%	42.9%
Philippines	Busuanga	2017	90.7%	99.6%	67.8%
Philippines	Butig	2000	24.6%	62.7%	8.0%
Philippines	Butig	2017	66.1%	85.4%	40.4%
Philippines	Butuan City	2000	93.4%	96.8%	88.6%
Philippines	Butuan City	2017	96.1%	98.6%	93.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Buug	2000	94.0%	100.0%	58.2%
Philippines	Buug	2017	94.9%	100.0%	65.0%
Philippines	Caba	2000	97.2%	99.7%	80.6%
Philippines	Caba	2017	99.3%	100.0%	93.5%
Philippines	Cabadbaran	2000	94.0%	99.1%	86.1%
Philippines	Cabadbaran City	2017	97.6%	99.9%	89.3%
Philippines	Cabagan	2000	80.6%	97.0%	54.6%
Philippines	Cabagan	2017	92.3%	98.3%	81.7%
Philippines	Cabanatuan	2000	97.3%	99.4%	90.5%
Philippines	Cabanatuan City	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.9%
Philippines	Cabangan	2000	83.5%	94.1%	67.0%
Philippines	Cabangan	2017	97.7%	99.5%	93.7%
Philippines	Cabanglasan	2000	94.2%	99.3%	83.1%
Philippines	Cabanglasan	2017	97.3%	99.9%	86.4%
Philippines	Cabarroguis	2000	82.8%	94.1%	61.8%
Philippines	Cabarroguis	2017	87.0%	96.8%	67.9%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2000	72.5%	99.0%	30.0%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2000	73.9%	94.9%	37.6%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2017	80.7%	99.6%	42.9%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2017	97.7%	99.8%	89.3%
Philippines	Cabiao	2000	98.5%	99.9%	92.0%
Philippines	Cabiao	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.7%
Philippines	Cabucgayan	2000	85.5%	95.8%	66.6%
Philippines	Cabucgayan	2017	94.3%	98.6%	80.7%
Philippines	Cabugao	2000	80.2%	100.0%	13.1%
Philippines	Cabugao	2017	93.3%	100.0%	49.0%
Philippines	Cabusao	2000	91.3%	99.9%	67.6%
Philippines	Cabusao	2017	97.3%	100.0%	78.5%
Philippines	Cabuyao	2000	96.9%	99.7%	87.3%
Philippines	Cabuyao	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.3%
Philippines	Cadiz City	2000	82.0%	98.8%	40.4%
Philippines	Cadiz City	2017	92.9%	99.9%	61.5%
Philippines	Cagayan de Oro City	2000	92.9%	95.6%	90.0%
Philippines	Cagayan de Oro City	2017	97.7%	99.0%	95.0%
Philippines	Cagayancillo	2000	75.6%	99.7%	20.6%
Philippines	Cagayancillo	2017	90.4%	100.0%	40.9%
Philippines	Cagdianao	2000	80.8%	96.3%	56.5%
Philippines	Cagdianao	2017	94.4%	98.9%	76.2%
Philippines	Cagwait	2000	86.1%	96.7%	73.2%
Philippines	Cagwait	2017	94.6%	99.6%	83.1%
Philippines	Caibiran	2000	99.4%	100.0%	96.2%
Philippines	Caibiran	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.2%
Philippines	Cainta	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.3%
Philippines	Cainta	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Philippines	Cajidiocan	2000	73.4%	98.5%	29.6%
Philippines	Cajidiocan	2017	83.3%	98.8%	50.2%
Philippines	Calabanga	2000	93.2%	99.3%	83.0%
Philippines	Calabanga	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.9%
Philippines	Calaca	2000	89.0%	99.2%	57.7%
Philippines	Calaca	2017	96.6%	99.9%	79.2%
Philippines	Calamba	2000	80.7%	92.9%	66.3%
Philippines	Calamba	2017	89.9%	97.7%	73.5%
Philippines	Calamba City	2000	95.7%	97.8%	92.8%
Philippines	Calamba City	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.6%
Philippines	Calanasan	2000	82.8%	98.3%	53.4%
Philippines	Calanasan	2017	91.9%	99.5%	70.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Calanogas	2000	18.8%	37.7%	6.2%
Philippines	Calanogas	2017	56.9%	67.1%	37.7%
Philippines	Calapan City	2000	88.1%	94.1%	79.0%
Philippines	Calapan City	2017	96.2%	98.9%	91.3%
Philippines	Calape	2000	73.4%	99.8%	25.0%
Philippines	Calape	2017	89.8%	99.9%	56.8%
Philippines	Calasiao	2000	91.9%	99.1%	65.1%
Philippines	Calasiao	2017	99.2%	99.9%	94.6%
Philippines	Calatagan	2000	76.5%	99.3%	21.3%
Philippines	Calatagan	2017	89.9%	99.9%	38.3%
Philippines	Calatrava	2000	76.6%	92.4%	48.4%
Philippines	Calatrava	2000	66.0%	93.9%	23.5%
Philippines	Calatrava	2017	85.2%	97.3%	59.0%
Philippines	Calatrava	2017	86.4%	99.2%	57.5%
Philippines	Calauag	2000	80.3%	96.0%	51.2%
Philippines	Calauag	2017	93.3%	99.5%	79.6%
Philippines	Calauan	2000	93.4%	98.5%	79.3%
Philippines	Calauan	2017	96.5%	99.7%	84.5%
Philippines	Calayan	2000	76.2%	95.5%	48.4%
Philippines	Calayan	2017	89.0%	98.9%	69.0%
Philippines	Calbayog City	2000	89.4%	96.1%	77.9%
Philippines	Calbayog City	2017	93.4%	98.1%	83.4%
Philippines	Calbiga	2000	94.8%	99.2%	85.8%
Philippines	Calbiga	2017	98.2%	99.9%	92.9%
Philippines	Calinog	2000	72.9%	99.3%	20.7%
Philippines	Calinog	2017	90.5%	100.0%	50.7%
Philippines	Calintaan	2000	80.0%	99.3%	40.2%
Philippines	Calintaan	2017	92.2%	99.9%	62.0%
Philippines	Calubian	2000	75.4%	88.4%	45.2%
Philippines	Calubian	2017	89.1%	93.9%	77.5%
Philippines	Calumpit	2000	98.3%	99.8%	91.8%
Philippines	Calumpit	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Philippines	Caluya	2000	75.5%	98.4%	29.9%
Philippines	Caluya	2017	89.7%	99.7%	53.1%
Philippines	Camalaniugan	2000	51.1%	83.6%	14.7%
Philippines	Camalaniugan	2017	91.0%	99.0%	58.8%
Philippines	Camalig	2000	61.5%	72.0%	48.2%
Philippines	Camalig	2017	92.5%	95.5%	84.6%
Philippines	Camaligan	2000	91.3%	95.5%	85.2%
Philippines	Camaligan	2017	98.4%	99.4%	96.3%
Philippines	Camiling	2000	90.9%	99.0%	63.7%
Philippines	Camiling	2017	98.1%	100.0%	85.3%
Philippines	Can-Avid	2000	83.2%	98.0%	57.2%
Philippines	Can-Avid	2017	96.5%	99.8%	86.2%
Philippines	Canaman	2000	96.6%	99.4%	90.0%
Philippines	Canaman	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Philippines	Candaba	2000	89.7%	99.3%	66.5%
Philippines	Candaba	2017	95.4%	100.0%	76.2%
Philippines	Candelaria	2000	88.1%	99.3%	53.2%
Philippines	Candelaria	2000	97.4%	99.9%	89.5%
Philippines	Candelaria	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.4%
Philippines	Candelaria	2017	97.7%	99.9%	89.4%
Philippines	Candijay	2000	71.7%	95.5%	39.9%
Philippines	Candijay	2017	86.4%	99.5%	51.7%
Philippines	Candon City	2000	94.5%	99.5%	84.6%
Philippines	Candon City	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.4%
Philippines	Candoni	2000	67.5%	96.7%	30.2%
Philippines	Candoni	2017	91.6%	98.6%	77.8%
Philippines	Canlaon City	2000	69.5%	99.1%	11.1%
Philippines	Canlaon City	2017	84.0%	99.9%	29.6%
Philippines	Cantilan	2000	93.2%	99.3%	73.8%
Philippines	Cantilan	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Caoayan	2000	84.4%	97.6%	57.4%
Philippines	Caoayan	2017	98.4%	99.9%	90.9%
Philippines	Capalonga	2000	80.7%	99.2%	33.8%
Philippines	Capalonga	2017	87.4%	99.1%	45.1%
Philippines	Capas	2000	95.8%	99.4%	84.9%
Philippines	Capas	2017	98.5%	99.9%	90.8%
Philippines	Capoocan	2000	37.6%	72.8%	4.1%
Philippines	Capoocan	2017	59.9%	91.8%	16.4%
Philippines	Capul	2000	78.5%	99.9%	18.9%
Philippines	Capul	2017	90.5%	100.0%	50.2%
Philippines	Caraga	2000	72.7%	96.0%	36.9%
Philippines	Caraga	2017	81.4%	97.2%	53.5%
Philippines	Caramoan	2000	78.1%	99.2%	35.4%
Philippines	Caramoan	2017	90.9%	99.8%	61.7%
Philippines	Caramoran	2000	45.5%	83.7%	20.0%
Philippines	Caramoran	2017	52.5%	79.5%	37.0%
Philippines	Carasi	2000	85.4%	99.8%	46.5%
Philippines	Carasi	2017	93.0%	100.0%	66.2%
Philippines	Carcar	2000	73.9%	83.6%	64.1%
Philippines	Carcar	2017	87.9%	94.5%	77.6%
Philippines	Cardona	2000	95.3%	99.9%	71.1%
Philippines	Cardona	2017	98.4%	100.0%	85.0%
Philippines	Carigara	2000	8.3%	25.0%	1.9%
Philippines	Carigara	2017	18.4%	31.4%	10.9%
Philippines	Carles	2000	65.7%	86.8%	39.8%
Philippines	Carles	2017	85.2%	95.8%	66.5%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	79.1%	99.2%	26.7%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	72.5%	86.3%	63.0%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	76.3%	99.7%	12.0%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	79.4%	97.3%	50.9%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	90.7%	99.2%	72.3%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	72.4%	96.6%	28.2%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	90.4%	99.9%	51.4%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	97.7%	100.0%	90.4%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	86.9%	94.3%	82.9%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	84.1%	96.6%	57.6%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	89.2%	99.4%	56.4%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	87.1%	100.0%	34.9%
Philippines	Carmona	2000	92.6%	97.4%	87.0%
Philippines	Carmona	2017	98.0%	99.7%	93.0%
Philippines	Carranglan	2000	74.0%	86.6%	56.9%
Philippines	Carranglan	2017	89.5%	96.7%	77.0%
Philippines	Carrascal	2000	87.9%	99.7%	56.7%
Philippines	Carrascal	2017	96.2%	99.9%	82.0%
Philippines	Casiguran	2000	93.7%	99.3%	84.5%
Philippines	Casiguran	2000	77.6%	95.4%	46.1%
Philippines	Casiguran	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.3%
Philippines	Casiguran	2017	93.2%	99.3%	75.7%
Philippines	Castilla	2000	70.4%	96.8%	25.7%
Philippines	Castilla	2017	88.7%	99.5%	48.8%
Philippines	Castillejos	2000	74.5%	94.9%	44.8%
Philippines	Castillejos	2017	97.8%	99.4%	93.3%
Philippines	Cataingan	2000	75.6%	96.6%	38.9%
Philippines	Cataingan	2017	88.7%	99.5%	58.0%
Philippines	Catanauan	2000	72.0%	98.6%	21.7%
Philippines	Catanauan	2017	87.0%	99.8%	51.3%
Philippines	Catarman	2000	82.3%	98.5%	51.0%
Philippines	Catarman	2000	98.5%	100.0%	88.0%
Philippines	Catarman	2017	96.2%	99.9%	82.5%
Philippines	Catarman	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.5%
Philippines	Catbalogan City	2000	86.9%	97.8%	69.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Catbalogan City	2017	96.9%	99.3%	91.3%
Philippines	Cateel	2000	68.9%	85.7%	52.0%
Philippines	Cateel	2017	86.9%	96.1%	74.4%
Philippines	Catigbian	2000	78.5%	97.9%	27.6%
Philippines	Catigbian	2017	93.6%	99.8%	64.9%
Philippines	Catmon	2000	73.9%	99.7%	19.4%
Philippines	Catmon	2017	84.6%	99.9%	41.5%
Philippines	Catubig	2000	74.4%	96.6%	33.1%
Philippines	Catubig	2017	73.0%	96.9%	36.0%
Philippines	Cauayan	2000	69.8%	93.2%	36.2%
Philippines	Cauayan	2017	89.1%	98.9%	63.9%
Philippines	Cauayan City	2000	85.3%	92.5%	75.0%
Philippines	Cauayan City	2017	98.3%	99.2%	95.9%
Philippines	Cavinti	2000	92.4%	100.0%	39.8%
Philippines	Cavinti	2017	96.7%	100.0%	77.7%
Philippines	Cavite City	2000	93.3%	99.9%	52.0%
Philippines	Cavite City	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.1%
Philippines	Cawayan	2000	46.2%	68.9%	20.7%
Philippines	Cawayan	2017	74.2%	89.1%	50.5%
Philippines	Cebu City	2000	90.5%	93.5%	86.1%
Philippines	Cebu City	2017	97.5%	98.4%	95.9%
Philippines	Cervantes	2000	90.6%	99.8%	66.9%
Philippines	Cervantes	2017	97.4%	100.0%	86.8%
Philippines	Clarín	2000	88.7%	100.0%	51.4%
Philippines	Clarín	2000	90.5%	98.7%	68.9%
Philippines	Clarín	2017	96.3%	100.0%	77.9%
Philippines	Clarín	2017	96.5%	99.8%	77.3%
Philippines	Claver	2000	84.9%	99.5%	43.2%
Philippines	Claver	2017	92.6%	99.9%	64.3%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	88.2%	97.3%	63.0%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	75.2%	98.6%	37.9%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	78.1%	96.6%	44.5%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	89.5%	99.2%	62.2%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	98.1%	99.8%	90.9%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	88.7%	99.8%	59.0%
Philippines	Columbio	2000	65.3%	94.3%	28.6%
Philippines	Columbio	2017	87.1%	99.5%	53.4%
Philippines	Compostela	2000	69.4%	96.8%	15.5%
Philippines	Compostela	2000	90.0%	99.0%	66.6%
Philippines	Compostela	2017	89.1%	99.7%	48.6%
Philippines	Compostela	2017	95.4%	99.3%	84.4%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	74.3%	98.9%	24.9%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	85.4%	98.9%	50.6%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	69.3%	99.7%	8.2%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	72.2%	98.2%	29.3%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	85.0%	98.4%	53.3%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	85.3%	100.0%	27.5%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	88.3%	99.9%	45.8%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	98.3%	99.9%	89.8%
Philippines	Conner	2000	50.9%	59.7%	40.3%
Philippines	Conner	2017	68.7%	79.0%	58.7%
Philippines	Consolacion	2000	89.7%	95.4%	80.7%
Philippines	Consolacion	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.5%
Philippines	Corcuera	2000	70.7%	96.5%	32.2%
Philippines	Corcuera	2017	95.7%	99.9%	76.9%
Philippines	Cordoba	2000	65.3%	76.6%	52.7%
Philippines	Cordoba	2017	97.0%	98.8%	93.9%
Philippines	Cordon	2000	72.5%	98.6%	31.7%
Philippines	Cordon	2017	88.9%	99.9%	37.4%
Philippines	Corella	2000	94.0%	99.2%	73.0%
Philippines	Corella	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Coron	2000	73.1%	94.0%	42.7%
Philippines	Coron	2017	90.9%	98.5%	71.4%
Philippines	Cortes	2000	76.5%	99.6%	23.8%
Philippines	Cortes	2000	88.0%	98.9%	50.6%
Philippines	Cortes	2017	89.5%	99.9%	47.4%
Philippines	Cortes	2017	96.6%	99.9%	74.0%
Philippines	Cotabato City	2000	84.1%	90.3%	75.9%
Philippines	Cotabato City	2017	93.0%	97.2%	86.2%
Philippines	Cuartero	2000	79.6%	98.2%	45.2%
Philippines	Cuartero	2017	95.2%	99.9%	73.8%
Philippines	Cuenca	2000	92.6%	99.9%	58.2%
Philippines	Cuenca	2017	96.0%	100.0%	71.4%
Philippines	Culaba	2000	91.3%	99.3%	66.9%
Philippines	Culaba	2017	96.1%	99.6%	79.4%
Philippines	Culasi	2000	75.2%	96.5%	36.1%
Philippines	Culasi	2017	94.2%	99.8%	60.9%
Philippines	Culion	2000	78.7%	95.8%	52.0%
Philippines	Culion	2017	90.8%	99.2%	68.1%
Philippines	Currimao	2000	91.6%	99.4%	65.5%
Philippines	Currimao	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.3%
Philippines	Cuyapo	2000	94.2%	99.9%	77.8%
Philippines	Cuyapo	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.3%
Philippines	Cuyo	2000	67.2%	89.2%	41.2%
Philippines	Cuyo	2017	90.4%	99.2%	68.0%
Philippines	Daanbantayan	2000	75.5%	99.7%	29.9%
Philippines	Daanbantayan	2017	87.3%	100.0%	40.2%
Philippines	Daet	2000	80.5%	93.0%	57.6%
Philippines	Daet	2017	78.4%	90.5%	63.2%
Philippines	Dagami	2000	95.2%	99.6%	83.7%
Philippines	Dagami	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.6%
Philippines	Dagohoy	2000	65.3%	97.8%	21.1%
Philippines	Dagohoy	2017	84.8%	98.5%	60.6%
Philippines	Daguioan	2000	72.4%	99.8%	12.2%
Philippines	Daguioan	2017	86.8%	100.0%	33.0%
Philippines	Dagupan City	2000	95.1%	99.7%	83.2%
Philippines	Dagupan City	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.4%
Philippines	Dalaguete	2000	89.2%	97.3%	52.8%
Philippines	Dalaguete	2017	94.2%	98.9%	72.4%
Philippines	Damulog	2000	65.0%	97.0%	18.0%
Philippines	Damulog	2017	85.1%	99.8%	37.7%
Philippines	Danao	2000	77.9%	99.7%	28.4%
Philippines	Danao	2017	91.9%	100.0%	60.0%
Philippines	Danao City	2000	76.8%	99.1%	15.2%
Philippines	Danao City	2017	88.9%	99.9%	43.9%
Philippines	Danao Lake	2000	75.0%	100.0%	6.8%
Philippines	Danao Lake	2017	87.8%	100.0%	21.7%
Philippines	Dangcagan	2000	83.7%	93.1%	63.2%
Philippines	Dangcagan	2017	88.5%	96.7%	68.3%
Philippines	Danglas	2000	81.4%	98.7%	39.1%
Philippines	Danglas	2017	96.0%	99.9%	77.9%
Philippines	Dao	2000	84.7%	96.6%	59.5%
Philippines	Dao	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.3%
Philippines	Dapa	2000	91.4%	98.0%	73.9%
Philippines	Dapa	2017	97.1%	99.7%	86.5%
Philippines	Dapao Lake	2000	4.7%	19.8%	1.0%
Philippines	Dapao Lake	2017	17.9%	22.0%	14.6%
Philippines	Dapitan City	2000	81.8%	88.0%	75.0%
Philippines	Dapitan City	2017	94.3%	96.0%	90.5%
Philippines	Daraga	2000	57.8%	62.9%	51.8%
Philippines	Daraga	2017	87.0%	89.9%	83.6%
Philippines	Daram	2000	79.2%	98.3%	55.9%
Philippines	Daram	2017	82.7%	97.1%	49.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Dasmariñas	2000	90.6%	94.1%	86.6%
Philippines	Dasmariñas	2017	98.1%	99.2%	95.5%
Philippines	Dasol	2000	79.3%	99.4%	35.2%
Philippines	Dasol	2017	92.6%	99.9%	65.1%
Philippines	Datu Abdul-lah Sanki	2000	66.8%	80.1%	47.7%
Philippines	Datu Abdul-lah Sanki	2017	95.3%	98.4%	87.2%
Philippines	Datu Anggal Midtimbang	2000	62.6%	97.7%	14.1%
Philippines	Datu Anggal Midtimbang	2017	79.7%	97.1%	48.5%
Philippines	Datu Blah T. Sinsuat	2000	63.1%	80.0%	39.1%
Philippines	Datu Blah T. Sinsuat	2017	86.8%	95.0%	72.9%
Philippines	Datu Odin Sinsuat	2000	79.1%	93.3%	50.0%
Philippines	Datu Odin Sinsuat	2017	93.6%	98.9%	79.8%
Philippines	Datu Paglas	2000	52.6%	75.1%	29.1%
Philippines	Datu Paglas	2017	86.1%	98.4%	64.9%
Philippines	Datu Piang	2000	23.0%	49.0%	11.4%
Philippines	Datu Piang	2017	52.9%	82.9%	25.4%
Philippines	Datu Saudi-Ampatuan	2000	28.7%	56.8%	12.1%
Philippines	Datu Saudi-Ampatuan	2017	45.0%	60.7%	32.4%
Philippines	Datu Unsay	2000	23.4%	47.5%	1.7%
Philippines	Datu Unsay	2017	39.0%	56.8%	14.7%
Philippines	Dauin	2000	89.5%	99.7%	62.8%
Philippines	Dauin	2017	96.7%	100.0%	80.3%
Philippines	Daus	2000	92.9%	99.8%	64.0%
Philippines	Daus	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.4%
Philippines	Davao City	2000	83.6%	88.0%	79.2%
Philippines	Davao City	2017	94.9%	97.2%	91.5%
Philippines	Del Carmen	2000	90.0%	99.5%	73.7%
Philippines	Del Carmen	2017	96.4%	99.9%	80.3%
Philippines	Del Gallego	2000	69.8%	97.4%	14.5%
Philippines	Del Gallego	2017	85.2%	99.8%	42.9%
Philippines	Delfin Albano	2000	72.0%	97.0%	27.8%
Philippines	Delfin Albano	2017	91.3%	99.3%	64.2%
Philippines	Diadi	2000	91.0%	96.7%	79.6%
Philippines	Diadi	2017	97.0%	99.5%	87.5%
Philippines	Diffun	2000	75.0%	83.9%	64.4%
Philippines	Diffun	2017	94.5%	97.0%	88.9%
Philippines	Digos City	2000	88.0%	93.8%	78.4%
Philippines	Digos City	2017	96.3%	98.5%	92.1%
Philippines	Dilasag	2000	80.1%	99.0%	41.7%
Philippines	Dilasag	2017	92.0%	99.8%	67.3%
Philippines	Dimasalang	2000	77.3%	96.5%	41.8%
Philippines	Dimasalang	2017	96.5%	99.8%	84.0%
Philippines	Dimataling	2000	74.2%	99.3%	29.8%
Philippines	Dimataling	2017	87.2%	99.9%	51.6%
Philippines	Dimiao	2000	76.2%	99.9%	15.3%
Philippines	Dimiao	2017	87.7%	100.0%	27.9%
Philippines	Dinagat	2000	69.6%	92.1%	33.6%
Philippines	Dinagat	2017	94.1%	98.8%	75.2%
Philippines	Dinalungan	2000	72.0%	99.7%	18.9%
Philippines	Dinalungan	2017	87.7%	100.0%	47.8%
Philippines	Dinalupihan	2000	79.2%	85.3%	71.4%
Philippines	Dinalupihan	2017	78.2%	87.6%	68.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Dinapigue	2000	74.3%	98.2%	26.4%
Philippines	Dinapigue	2017	86.8%	99.2%	50.2%
Philippines	Dinas	2000	78.9%	99.6%	34.4%
Philippines	Dinas	2017	86.3%	99.9%	36.0%
Philippines	Dingalan	2000	72.8%	98.3%	30.6%
Philippines	Dingalan	2017	86.5%	99.6%	44.0%
Philippines	Dingle	2000	68.7%	76.8%	61.3%
Philippines	Dingle	2017	88.4%	96.0%	74.4%
Philippines	Dingras	2000	96.7%	99.9%	84.8%
Philippines	Dingras	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.0%
Philippines	Dipaculao	2000	84.7%	95.6%	68.0%
Philippines	Dipaculao	2017	96.4%	99.7%	87.0%
Philippines	Diplahan	2000	71.8%	96.0%	55.7%
Philippines	Diplahan	2017	82.9%	98.1%	59.0%
Philippines	Dipolog City	2000	73.8%	91.7%	48.9%
Philippines	Dipolog City	2017	95.1%	99.0%	82.0%
Philippines	Ditsaan-Ramain	2000	74.1%	97.5%	27.2%
Philippines	Ditsaan-Ramain	2017	83.9%	98.3%	49.8%
Philippines	Divilacan	2000	74.0%	98.7%	22.6%
Philippines	Divilacan	2017	87.3%	99.8%	36.6%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	67.5%	88.9%	37.8%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	89.9%	97.9%	68.6%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	97.7%	99.5%	92.6%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	98.1%	99.7%	93.3%
Philippines	Don Carlos	2000	84.4%	91.4%	69.4%
Philippines	Don Carlos	2017	91.2%	95.2%	77.8%
Philippines	Don Marcelino	2000	48.9%	84.2%	15.4%
Philippines	Don Marcelino	2017	58.9%	86.8%	29.5%
Philippines	Don Victoriano Chiongbian	2000	74.6%	98.9%	41.9%
Philippines	Don Victoriano Chiongbian	2017	83.9%	99.2%	54.3%
Philippines	Doña Remedios Trinidad	2000	61.1%	84.1%	32.4%
Philippines	Doña Remedios Trinidad	2017	85.3%	98.0%	58.4%
Philippines	Donsol	2000	64.1%	98.5%	15.5%
Philippines	Donsol	2017	73.2%	98.4%	27.4%
Philippines	Duenas	2000	58.3%	75.6%	38.6%
Philippines	Duenas	2017	91.6%	98.1%	74.7%
Philippines	Duero	2000	79.5%	99.2%	39.8%
Philippines	Duero	2017	95.7%	99.8%	75.4%
Philippines	Dulag	2000	89.5%	99.9%	47.0%
Philippines	Dulag	2017	97.4%	100.0%	73.8%
Philippines	Dumaguete City	2000	97.4%	100.0%	88.6%
Philippines	Dumaguete City	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.2%
Philippines	Dumalag	2000	79.4%	94.1%	44.6%
Philippines	Dumalag	2017	95.4%	99.4%	76.2%
Philippines	Dumalinao	2000	94.7%	99.7%	79.6%
Philippines	Dumalinao	2017	97.4%	99.9%	90.8%
Philippines	Dumalneg	2000	71.7%	99.9%	8.2%
Philippines	Dumalneg	2017	85.4%	100.0%	24.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Dumangas	2000	92.5%	99.9%	65.7%
Philippines	Dumangas	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.0%
Philippines	Dumanjug	2000	72.3%	97.0%	34.0%
Philippines	Dumanjug	2017	95.9%	99.3%	90.3%
Philippines	Dumaran	2000	70.7%	92.7%	40.3%
Philippines	Dumaran	2017	87.8%	98.7%	66.6%
Philippines	Dumarao	2000	75.3%	93.9%	45.9%
Philippines	Dumarao	2017	95.0%	99.6%	75.7%
Philippines	Dumingag	2000	76.4%	96.3%	41.0%
Philippines	Dumingag	2017	87.8%	99.0%	52.5%
Philippines	Dupax Del Norte	2000	78.7%	96.9%	50.3%
Philippines	Dupax Del Norte	2017	89.3%	99.5%	65.7%
Philippines	Dupax Del Sur	2000	81.0%	95.3%	60.9%
Philippines	Dupax Del Sur	2017	90.7%	99.1%	76.6%
Philippines	Echague	2000	56.4%	73.3%	40.4%
Philippines	Echague	2017	87.1%	95.0%	73.8%
Philippines	El Nido	2000	73.4%	92.7%	48.1%
Philippines	El Nido	2017	90.1%	97.9%	68.1%
Philippines	El Salvador City	2000	95.4%	99.5%	86.0%
Philippines	El Salvador City	2017	98.2%	99.9%	94.3%
Philippines	Enrile	2000	89.7%	98.6%	67.4%
Philippines	Enrile	2017	96.9%	99.9%	80.9%
Philippines	Enrique B. Magalona	2000	87.0%	98.5%	60.1%
Philippines	Enrique B. Magalona	2017	97.1%	99.7%	86.7%
Philippines	Enrique Villanueva	2000	94.8%	99.9%	73.7%
Philippines	Enrique Villanueva	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.8%
Philippines	Escalante City	2000	40.5%	73.3%	13.1%
Philippines	Escalante City	2017	51.1%	83.0%	32.0%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	47.1%	80.6%	11.6%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	90.4%	98.6%	70.1%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	86.8%	96.4%	69.5%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	95.9%	99.2%	89.0%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	96.3%	99.8%	86.3%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	76.2%	92.2%	38.2%
Philippines	Estancia	2000	71.3%	100.0%	7.2%
Philippines	Estancia	2017	86.0%	100.0%	22.1%
Philippines	Famy	2000	89.4%	99.7%	51.8%
Philippines	Famy	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.1%
Philippines	Ferrol	2000	71.7%	89.5%	49.0%
Philippines	Ferrol	2017	95.5%	99.2%	83.8%
Philippines	Flora	2000	75.1%	86.6%	57.3%
Philippines	Flora	2017	88.6%	97.5%	76.0%
Philippines	Floridablanca	2000	92.4%	99.8%	64.5%
Philippines	Floridablanca	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.3%
Philippines	Gabaldon	2000	79.7%	99.8%	24.0%
Philippines	Gabaldon	2017	90.7%	100.0%	46.1%
Philippines	Gainza	2000	93.9%	99.3%	81.6%
Philippines	Gainza	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Philippines	Galimuyod	2000	98.1%	99.9%	92.3%
Philippines	Galimuyod	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Philippines	Gamay	2000	55.0%	83.2%	12.8%
Philippines	Gamay	2017	78.8%	92.3%	38.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Gamu	2000	35.9%	83.4%	8.3%
Philippines	Gamu	2017	71.6%	98.3%	23.8%
Philippines	Ganassi	2000	36.0%	72.8%	14.5%
Philippines	Ganassi	2017	72.4%	78.8%	63.0%
Philippines	Gandara	2000	80.8%	99.2%	39.3%
Philippines	Gandara	2017	92.0%	99.9%	62.3%
Philippines	Gapan City	2000	94.9%	98.6%	88.4%
Philippines	Gapan City	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.4%
Philippines	Garchitorena	2000	80.9%	98.1%	49.5%
Philippines	Garchitorena	2017	89.0%	98.7%	65.1%
Philippines	Garcia Hernandez	2000	88.2%	99.7%	54.0%
Philippines	Garcia Hernandez	2017	95.0%	100.0%	73.7%
Philippines	Gasán	2000	92.2%	100.0%	57.1%
Philippines	Gasán	2017	97.4%	100.0%	81.1%
Philippines	Gattaran	2000	73.7%	94.1%	40.9%
Philippines	Gattaran	2017	91.9%	99.5%	67.8%
Philippines	Gen. S. K. Pendatun	2000	82.0%	91.4%	70.5%
Philippines	Gen. S. K. Pendatun	2017	96.4%	99.2%	87.9%
Philippines	General Emilio Aguinaldo	2000	81.9%	100.0%	16.9%
Philippines	General Emilio Aguinaldo	2017	92.2%	100.0%	45.6%
Philippines	General Luna	2000	60.0%	96.8%	13.1%
Philippines	General Luna	2000	90.3%	99.2%	68.2%
Philippines	General Luna	2017	81.2%	99.8%	39.5%
Philippines	General Luna	2017	98.5%	99.9%	92.3%
Philippines	General Macarthur	2000	83.7%	99.8%	26.2%
Philippines	General Macarthur	2017	94.4%	100.0%	61.9%
Philippines	General Mamerto Natividad	2000	65.7%	77.4%	56.6%
Philippines	General Mamerto Natividad	2017	82.2%	96.6%	71.3%
Philippines	General Mariano Alvarez	2000	88.6%	96.1%	81.3%
Philippines	General Mariano Alvarez	2017	96.5%	99.5%	87.5%
Philippines	General Nakar	2000	76.7%	95.2%	39.0%
Philippines	General Nakar	2017	89.2%	98.7%	62.9%
Philippines	General Santos City	2000	93.2%	95.7%	90.3%
Philippines	General Santos City	2017	97.4%	98.8%	95.1%
Philippines	General Tinio	2000	89.1%	99.2%	65.5%
Philippines	General Tinio	2017	96.1%	99.9%	86.4%
Philippines	General Trias	2000	96.7%	98.0%	94.1%
Philippines	General Trias	2017	99.1%	99.7%	96.5%
Philippines	Gerona	2000	95.9%	99.3%	87.1%
Philippines	Gerona	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.5%
Philippines	Gigaquit	2000	88.2%	100.0%	30.1%
Philippines	Gigaquit	2017	93.8%	100.0%	51.9%
Philippines	Gigmoto	2000	85.5%	95.2%	65.5%
Philippines	Gigmoto	2017	78.6%	93.5%	59.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Ginatilan	2000	71.7%	100.0%	7.6%
Philippines	Ginatilan	2017	85.5%	100.0%	33.5%
Philippines	Gingoog City	2000	93.3%	98.2%	84.5%
Philippines	Gingoog City	2017	97.5%	99.7%	91.2%
Philippines	Giporlos	2000	80.0%	100.0%	28.4%
Philippines	Giporlos	2017	93.0%	100.0%	60.9%
Philippines	Gitagum	2000	89.7%	93.2%	84.4%
Philippines	Gitagum	2017	95.0%	96.7%	90.7%
Philippines	Glan	2000	89.0%	96.9%	71.9%
Philippines	Glan	2017	97.1%	99.5%	89.8%
Philippines	Gloria	2000	87.0%	95.5%	68.7%
Philippines	Gloria	2017	97.0%	99.6%	89.4%
Philippines	Goa	2000	93.2%	99.7%	79.5%
Philippines	Goa	2017	95.8%	99.8%	87.0%
Philippines	Godod	2000	74.0%	98.9%	26.1%
Philippines	Godod	2017	86.5%	99.8%	47.6%
Philippines	Gonzaga	2000	55.3%	79.2%	32.1%
Philippines	Gonzaga	2017	91.5%	97.7%	74.9%
Philippines	Governor Generoso	2000	77.5%	98.3%	41.7%
Philippines	Governor Generoso	2017	89.6%	99.7%	61.5%
Philippines	Gregorio Del Pilar	2000	98.6%	100.0%	89.4%
Philippines	Gregorio Del Pilar	2017	98.9%	100.0%	87.3%
Philippines	Guagua	2000	95.6%	99.7%	74.6%
Philippines	Guagua	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.4%
Philippines	Gubat	2000	90.7%	99.9%	48.1%
Philippines	Gubat	2017	95.9%	100.0%	63.7%
Philippines	Guiguinto	2000	97.6%	99.4%	91.3%
Philippines	Guiguinto	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Philippines	Guihulngan City	2000	64.5%	80.2%	45.1%
Philippines	Guihulngan City	2017	87.6%	96.8%	72.1%
Philippines	Guimba	2000	96.4%	100.0%	70.8%
Philippines	Guimba	2017	98.5%	100.0%	85.1%
Philippines	Guimbal	2000	51.3%	75.7%	14.4%
Philippines	Guimbal	2017	87.9%	97.4%	52.3%
Philippines	Guinayangan	2000	80.1%	98.2%	38.0%
Philippines	Guinayangan	2017	88.8%	99.6%	54.2%
Philippines	Guindulman	2000	83.8%	95.1%	72.8%
Philippines	Guindulman	2017	94.9%	99.3%	83.9%
Philippines	Guindulungan	2000	50.8%	93.4%	9.1%
Philippines	Guindulungan	2017	62.1%	89.7%	33.5%
Philippines	Guinobatan	2000	65.1%	89.0%	19.1%
Philippines	Guinobatan	2017	91.3%	98.2%	66.1%
Philippines	Guinsiliban	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.5%
Philippines	Guinsiliban	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.1%
Philippines	Guipos	2000	78.4%	93.6%	50.2%
Philippines	Guipos	2017	84.4%	96.7%	57.4%
Philippines	Guiuan	2000	77.1%	96.6%	45.7%
Philippines	Guiuan	2017	94.9%	99.6%	80.9%
Philippines	Gumaca	2000	57.8%	88.7%	10.7%
Philippines	Gumaca	2017	79.6%	96.4%	31.4%
Philippines	Gutalac	2000	48.9%	73.7%	22.4%
Philippines	Gutalac	2017	79.0%	95.0%	55.5%
Philippines	Hadji Mohammad Ajul	2000	92.8%	100.0%	70.7%
Philippines	Hadji Mohammad Ajul	2017	96.2%	100.0%	77.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Hadji Panglima Tahil	2000	85.0%	98.5%	63.8%
Philippines	Hadji Panglima Tahil	2017	92.0%	99.8%	70.5%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2000	90.8%	98.5%	66.6%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2000	94.7%	99.9%	80.9%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2017	98.3%	100.0%	87.8%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2017	97.8%	99.3%	94.1%
Philippines	Hamtic	2000	81.1%	97.6%	38.8%
Philippines	Hamtic	2017	94.6%	99.3%	69.0%
Philippines	Hermosa	2000	82.5%	90.7%	76.1%
Philippines	Hermosa	2017	83.4%	93.5%	68.1%
Philippines	Hernani	2000	93.5%	99.9%	61.1%
Philippines	Hernani	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.4%
Philippines	Hilongos	2000	90.8%	96.3%	78.0%
Philippines	Hilongos	2017	94.8%	98.1%	90.0%
Philippines	Himamaylan City	2000	77.3%	98.6%	30.9%
Philippines	Himamaylan City	2017	89.8%	99.8%	58.6%
Philippines	Hinabangan	2000	86.8%	98.6%	63.1%
Philippines	Hinabangan	2017	94.3%	99.8%	74.4%
Philippines	Hinatuan	2000	80.7%	96.3%	42.4%
Philippines	Hinatuan	2017	89.4%	98.9%	58.7%
Philippines	Hindang	2000	89.6%	100.0%	47.2%
Philippines	Hindang	2017	96.8%	100.0%	78.1%
Philippines	Hingyon	2000	56.4%	66.2%	46.0%
Philippines	Hingyon	2017	75.1%	86.8%	60.7%
Philippines	Hinigaran	2000	88.4%	96.5%	67.1%
Philippines	Hinigaran	2017	98.7%	99.5%	96.0%
Philippines	Hinoba-An	2000	78.5%	99.2%	36.5%
Philippines	Hinoba-An	2017	90.8%	99.8%	54.4%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2000	82.6%	97.5%	59.1%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2000	78.1%	100.0%	4.7%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2017	89.1%	97.8%	70.9%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2017	85.0%	100.0%	12.9%
Philippines	Hinundayan	2000	78.4%	99.8%	17.7%
Philippines	Hinundayan	2017	89.0%	99.9%	43.4%
Philippines	Hungduan	2000	41.7%	81.7%	7.6%
Philippines	Hungduan	2017	71.0%	96.3%	32.0%
Philippines	Iba	2000	85.1%	99.9%	36.3%
Philippines	Iba	2017	95.4%	100.0%	71.0%
Philippines	Ibaan	2000	90.3%	97.8%	76.4%
Philippines	Ibaan	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.0%
Philippines	Ibajay	2000	55.4%	89.2%	19.8%
Philippines	Ibajay	2017	89.3%	98.7%	58.3%
Philippines	Igbaras	2000	68.6%	86.5%	50.7%
Philippines	Igbaras	2017	95.7%	98.6%	86.7%
Philippines	Iguig	2000	86.6%	98.1%	63.1%
Philippines	Iguig	2017	96.8%	99.9%	88.0%
Philippines	Ilagan	2000	68.9%	91.4%	41.5%
Philippines	Ilagan	2017	89.8%	98.5%	65.8%
Philippines	Iligan City	2000	86.3%	88.8%	82.3%
Philippines	Iligan City	2017	93.6%	95.0%	91.5%
Philippines	Ilog	2000	78.6%	97.3%	44.1%
Philippines	Ilog	2017	89.8%	99.6%	61.1%
Philippines	Iloilo City	2000	98.2%	99.8%	92.0%
Philippines	Iloilo City	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Philippines	Imelda	2000	82.9%	84.7%	81.1%
Philippines	Imelda	2017	90.2%	93.2%	86.6%
Philippines	Impasug-Ong	2000	76.5%	95.9%	36.7%
Philippines	Impasug-Ong	2017	87.9%	99.2%	53.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Imus	2000	94.2%	97.3%	89.3%
Philippines	Imus	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.9%
Philippines	Inabanga	2000	93.0%	100.0%	63.6%
Philippines	Inabanga	2017	97.9%	100.0%	84.4%
Philippines	Indanan	2000	94.8%	97.2%	89.6%
Philippines	Indanan	2017	95.5%	97.3%	92.2%
Philippines	Indang	2000	91.5%	98.5%	78.1%
Philippines	Indang	2017	98.1%	99.9%	91.9%
Philippines	Infanta	2000	77.8%	97.5%	40.8%
Philippines	Infanta	2000	86.8%	99.4%	55.1%
Philippines	Infanta	2017	96.2%	100.0%	83.6%
Philippines	Infanta	2017	91.9%	99.2%	71.0%
Philippines	Initao	2000	62.7%	99.0%	6.8%
Philippines	Initao	2017	80.1%	99.3%	21.0%
Philippines	Inopacan	2000	83.3%	99.2%	31.2%
Philippines	Inopacan	2017	92.4%	99.6%	64.3%
Philippines	Ipil	2000	73.9%	83.7%	60.4%
Philippines	Ipil	2017	89.8%	93.6%	82.2%
Philippines	Iriga City	2000	72.0%	80.7%	61.7%
Philippines	Iriga City	2017	97.1%	98.8%	93.9%
Philippines	Irosin	2000	80.8%	90.5%	61.6%
Philippines	Irosin	2017	96.0%	98.5%	89.1%
Philippines	Isabel	2000	81.9%	99.2%	33.6%
Philippines	Isabel	2017	92.8%	99.8%	66.3%
Philippines	Isabela	2000	49.4%	77.1%	27.0%
Philippines	Isabela	2017	91.9%	96.8%	80.8%
Philippines	Isabela City	2000	86.4%	90.1%	82.4%
Philippines	Isabela City	2017	92.5%	94.7%	90.0%
Philippines	Isulan	2000	93.1%	98.1%	87.0%
Philippines	Isulan	2017	97.1%	99.7%	91.7%
Philippines	Itbayat	2000	86.6%	99.9%	39.8%
Philippines	Itbayat	2017	93.9%	100.0%	59.4%
Philippines	Itogon	2000	73.7%	79.9%	65.7%
Philippines	Itogon	2017	88.9%	93.2%	81.7%
Philippines	Ivana	2000	98.4%	99.9%	95.0%
Philippines	Ivana	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.9%
Philippines	Ivisan	2000	68.8%	81.9%	55.8%
Philippines	Ivisan	2017	95.4%	98.7%	87.9%
Philippines	Jabonga	2000	85.8%	99.8%	51.4%
Philippines	Jabonga	2017	94.1%	100.0%	66.5%
Philippines	Jaen	2000	97.0%	99.7%	85.2%
Philippines	Jaen	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.0%
Philippines	Jagna	2000	84.5%	99.8%	36.0%
Philippines	Jagna	2017	91.8%	100.0%	51.5%
Philippines	Jala-Jala	2000	88.7%	99.9%	58.1%
Philippines	Jala-Jala	2017	97.9%	100.0%	84.9%
Philippines	Jamindan	2000	51.1%	80.0%	15.4%
Philippines	Jamindan	2017	70.1%	88.6%	29.5%
Philippines	Janiuay	2000	77.8%	99.4%	30.8%
Philippines	Janiuay	2017	90.9%	99.9%	56.3%
Philippines	Jaro	2000	72.4%	98.9%	18.9%
Philippines	Jaro	2017	86.5%	99.6%	42.4%
Philippines	Jasaan	2000	96.8%	99.9%	85.3%
Philippines	Jasaan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	86.9%
Philippines	Javier	2000	85.6%	93.1%	76.7%
Philippines	Javier	2017	94.6%	98.9%	84.8%
Philippines	Jetafe	2000	82.5%	99.1%	33.2%
Philippines	Jetafe	2017	95.1%	99.9%	68.2%
Philippines	Jiabong	2000	81.7%	96.6%	53.8%
Philippines	Jiabong	2017	91.4%	98.4%	72.6%
Philippines	Jimalalud	2000	56.9%	85.0%	21.9%
Philippines	Jimalalud	2017	83.4%	96.7%	45.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Jimenez	2000	97.0%	99.1%	89.9%
Philippines	Jimenez	2017	98.2%	99.3%	94.1%
Philippines	Jipapad	2000	88.7%	97.7%	70.8%
Philippines	Jipapad	2017	94.4%	99.4%	79.6%
Philippines	Jolo	2000	70.0%	74.9%	65.6%
Philippines	Jolo	2017	72.2%	79.4%	64.3%
Philippines	Jomalig	2000	75.7%	100.0%	23.8%
Philippines	Jomalig	2017	89.5%	100.0%	50.4%
Philippines	Jones	2000	76.8%	95.5%	47.8%
Philippines	Jones	2017	93.8%	99.4%	79.2%
Philippines	Jordan	2000	64.3%	82.8%	43.7%
Philippines	Jordan	2017	91.2%	96.1%	77.5%
Philippines	Jose Abad Santos	2000	70.3%	86.8%	47.7%
Philippines	Jose Abad Santos	2017	85.7%	95.8%	68.4%
Philippines	Jose Dalman	2000	55.6%	80.6%	30.6%
Philippines	Jose Dalman	2017	88.1%	97.7%	68.7%
Philippines	Jose Pangani-ban	2000	79.1%	91.0%	64.2%
Philippines	Jose Pangani-ban	2017	92.3%	98.1%	79.3%
Philippines	Josefina	2000	95.9%	99.4%	87.8%
Philippines	Josefina	2017	86.4%	98.2%	63.4%
Philippines	Jovellar	2000	71.1%	91.1%	26.9%
Philippines	Jovellar	2017	81.7%	96.0%	38.1%
Philippines	Juban	2000	90.2%	93.7%	85.6%
Philippines	Juban	2017	97.3%	98.5%	95.7%
Philippines	Julita	2000	95.5%	99.8%	78.4%
Philippines	Julita	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Philippines	Kabacan	2000	83.6%	91.1%	71.6%
Philippines	Kabacan	2017	97.1%	99.0%	90.2%
Philippines	Kabankalan City	2000	66.5%	87.6%	35.8%
Philippines	Kabankalan City	2017	85.1%	97.6%	59.2%
Philippines	Kabasaran	2000	65.9%	97.1%	16.1%
Philippines	Kabasaran	2017	83.3%	99.6%	33.2%
Philippines	Kabayan	2000	59.4%	88.2%	22.7%
Philippines	Kabayan	2017	81.1%	96.5%	50.9%
Philippines	Kabugao	2000	78.5%	95.3%	48.7%
Philippines	Kabugao	2017	88.5%	97.1%	73.3%
Philippines	Kabuntalan	2000	77.1%	94.7%	30.1%
Philippines	Kabuntalan	2017	86.5%	98.2%	47.8%
Philippines	Kadingilan	2000	88.7%	98.4%	67.2%
Philippines	Kadingilan	2017	92.5%	99.2%	71.2%
Philippines	Kalamansig	2000	41.7%	77.7%	11.0%
Philippines	Kalamansig	2017	80.9%	96.0%	43.9%
Philippines	Kalawit	2000	78.0%	96.7%	38.4%
Philippines	Kalawit	2017	90.9%	99.2%	69.5%
Philippines	Kalayaan	2000	66.8%	98.5%	16.7%
Philippines	Kalayaan	2017	89.7%	99.9%	52.0%
Philippines	Kalibato Lake	2000	99.4%	100.0%	96.9%
Philippines	Kalibato Lake	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Philippines	Kalibo	2000	97.9%	99.9%	92.2%
Philippines	Kalibo	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Philippines	Kalilangan	2000	36.1%	66.9%	10.2%
Philippines	Kalilangan	2017	73.5%	96.0%	34.5%
Philippines	Kalingalan Caluang	2000	33.0%	69.6%	2.3%
Philippines	Kalingalan Caluang	2017	52.3%	82.5%	6.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Kalookan City	2000	98.9%	99.5%	97.9%
Philippines	Kalookan City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Kananga	2000	71.2%	96.9%	33.6%
Philippines	Kananga	2017	87.1%	99.5%	55.9%
Philippines	Kapai	2000	86.7%	95.9%	64.7%
Philippines	Kapai	2017	92.6%	98.1%	74.9%
Philippines	Kapalong	2000	82.7%	94.0%	66.3%
Philippines	Kapalong	2017	93.1%	98.4%	83.9%
Philippines	Kapangan	2000	48.5%	77.2%	17.0%
Philippines	Kapangan	2017	73.8%	93.3%	35.0%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2000	88.3%	95.9%	77.5%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2000	76.1%	97.4%	36.1%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2017	87.0%	96.8%	74.2%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2017	92.0%	99.8%	65.6%
Philippines	Kasibu	2000	66.6%	96.4%	19.9%
Philippines	Kasibu	2017	82.4%	99.4%	42.6%
Philippines	Katipunan	2000	52.1%	76.1%	30.7%
Philippines	Katipunan	2017	80.6%	93.9%	58.3%
Philippines	Kauswagan	2000	87.7%	98.3%	72.2%
Philippines	Kauswagan	2017	96.8%	99.7%	86.9%
Philippines	Kawayan	2000	94.7%	100.0%	71.2%
Philippines	Kawayan	2017	97.5%	100.0%	76.2%
Philippines	Kawit	2000	93.2%	99.0%	74.2%
Philippines	Kawit	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Philippines	Kayapa	2000	72.0%	93.7%	33.2%
Philippines	Kayapa	2017	84.3%	97.6%	59.7%
Philippines	Kiamba	2000	82.5%	96.1%	59.4%
Philippines	Kiamba	2017	95.7%	99.3%	82.5%
Philippines	Kiangan	2000	74.7%	87.3%	56.6%
Philippines	Kiangan	2017	93.0%	95.3%	90.0%
Philippines	Kibawe	2000	77.5%	96.1%	54.0%
Philippines	Kibawe	2017	92.1%	99.2%	72.4%
Philippines	Kiblawan	2000	78.4%	84.4%	71.3%
Philippines	Kiblawan	2017	92.7%	96.5%	88.8%
Philippines	Kibungan	2000	74.2%	99.6%	27.4%
Philippines	Kibungan	2017	85.5%	99.9%	40.9%
Philippines	Kidapawan City	2000	84.3%	94.2%	71.7%
Philippines	Kidapawan City	2017	94.6%	99.3%	80.7%
Philippines	Kinoguitan	2000	98.5%	100.0%	90.3%
Philippines	Kinoguitan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.7%
Philippines	Kitaotao	2000	73.5%	88.3%	47.4%
Philippines	Kitaotao	2017	88.7%	94.8%	73.0%
Philippines	Kitcharao	2000	89.2%	100.0%	38.5%
Philippines	Kitcharao	2017	94.1%	100.0%	63.7%
Philippines	Kolambugan	2000	78.2%	98.6%	34.3%
Philippines	Kolambugan	2017	85.4%	99.3%	43.9%
Philippines	Koronadal City	2000	95.1%	99.2%	84.2%
Philippines	Koronadal City	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Philippines	Kumalarang	2000	83.7%	93.2%	67.5%
Philippines	Kumalarang	2017	79.0%	91.2%	63.3%
Philippines	La Carlota City	2000	63.6%	90.8%	31.5%
Philippines	La Carlota City	2017	88.8%	99.1%	66.6%
Philippines	La Castellana	2000	53.3%	79.4%	24.2%
Philippines	La Castellana	2017	82.3%	96.3%	50.4%
Philippines	La Libertad	2000	25.2%	44.2%	14.1%
Philippines	La Libertad	2000	72.7%	98.1%	27.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	La Libertad	2017	65.7%	75.1%	54.1%
Philippines	La Libertad	2017	88.4%	99.4%	49.6%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	69.8%	89.6%	42.7%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	78.1%	95.5%	59.3%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	92.1%	100.0%	51.7%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	92.2%	99.7%	62.9%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	97.3%	99.7%	88.4%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	89.4%	97.1%	66.9%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	97.7%	100.0%	83.7%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	98.1%	100.0%	84.2%
Philippines	La Trinidad	2000	87.1%	89.0%	85.1%
Philippines	La Trinidad	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Philippines	Laak	2000	69.7%	84.6%	48.9%
Philippines	Laak	2017	89.6%	96.9%	72.2%
Philippines	Labangan	2000	72.3%	96.9%	36.5%
Philippines	Labangan	2017	89.2%	99.4%	51.5%
Philippines	Labason	2000	62.9%	90.7%	31.2%
Philippines	Labason	2017	88.5%	98.2%	67.0%
Philippines	Labo	2000	67.7%	79.4%	56.5%
Philippines	Labo	2017	83.8%	90.1%	73.6%
Philippines	Labrador	2000	78.5%	99.9%	19.4%
Philippines	Labrador	2017	92.3%	100.0%	54.8%
Philippines	Lacub	2000	76.7%	99.2%	28.4%
Philippines	Lacub	2017	90.6%	99.9%	55.1%
Philippines	Lagangilang	2000	88.3%	98.0%	61.2%
Philippines	Lagangilang	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.0%
Philippines	Lagawe	2000	82.9%	88.6%	74.9%
Philippines	Lagawe	2017	93.5%	95.9%	88.1%
Philippines	Lagayan	2000	60.5%	99.0%	10.5%
Philippines	Lagayan	2017	90.0%	100.0%	50.7%
Philippines	Lagonglong	2000	97.7%	100.0%	84.0%
Philippines	Lagonglong	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.8%
Philippines	Lagonoy	2000	86.0%	98.3%	64.8%
Philippines	Lagonoy	2017	93.5%	99.3%	80.2%
Philippines	Laguindingan	2000	94.8%	97.4%	91.6%
Philippines	Laguindingan	2017	98.6%	99.4%	96.8%
Philippines	Laguna lake	2000	94.1%	97.0%	90.4%
Philippines	Laguna lake	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.4%
Philippines	Lake Sebu	2000	70.6%	92.9%	43.9%
Philippines	Lake Sebu	2017	88.7%	98.6%	68.0%
Philippines	Lakewood	2000	69.0%	97.7%	16.5%
Philippines	Lakewood	2017	77.3%	97.8%	28.1%
Philippines	Lakewood	2000	69.5%	99.8%	3.3%
Philippines	Lakewood	2017	79.6%	99.9%	16.2%
Philippines	Lal-Lo	2000	62.6%	90.7%	25.4%
Philippines	Lal-Lo	2017	89.3%	99.1%	63.3%
Philippines	Lala	2000	91.4%	95.3%	85.5%
Philippines	Lala	2017	98.5%	99.4%	96.9%
Philippines	Lambayong	2000	72.5%	88.7%	33.5%
Philippines	Lambayong	2017	93.5%	99.0%	73.7%
Philippines	Lambunao	2000	78.5%	94.2%	50.3%
Philippines	Lambunao	2017	94.8%	99.4%	80.0%
Philippines	Lamitan City	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.9%
Philippines	Lamitan City	2017	92.2%	94.9%	89.4%
Philippines	Lamut	2000	87.0%	99.6%	59.0%
Philippines	Lamut	2017	95.0%	99.8%	84.6%
Philippines	Lanao Lake	2000	65.2%	77.8%	50.6%
Philippines	Lanao Lake	2017	89.6%	93.5%	82.5%
Philippines	Langiden	2000	94.6%	97.6%	91.8%
Philippines	Langiden	2017	96.7%	99.5%	91.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Languyan	2000	68.1%	92.2%	32.1%
Philippines	Languyan	2017	89.7%	99.3%	67.7%
Philippines	Lantapan	2000	84.5%	99.2%	47.9%
Philippines	Lantapan	2017	92.6%	99.8%	68.6%
Philippines	Lantawan	2000	83.3%	94.5%	65.5%
Philippines	Lantawan	2017	86.6%	93.2%	73.8%
Philippines	Lanuza	2000	86.3%	99.1%	56.4%
Philippines	Lanuza	2017	95.9%	99.9%	81.2%
Philippines	Laoac	2000	94.0%	99.7%	74.6%
Philippines	Laoac	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.1%
Philippines	Laoag City	2000	95.8%	99.2%	86.3%
Philippines	Laoag City	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Philippines	Laoang	2000	79.7%	91.3%	57.1%
Philippines	Laoang	2017	97.1%	99.2%	91.1%
Philippines	Lapinig	2000	89.5%	95.8%	79.3%
Philippines	Lapinig	2017	97.5%	99.4%	94.5%
Philippines	Lapu-Lapu City	2000	87.0%	91.2%	81.0%
Philippines	Lapu-Lapu City	2017	98.8%	99.3%	97.7%
Philippines	Lapuyan	2000	48.1%	90.4%	10.8%
Philippines	Lapuyan	2017	71.0%	95.5%	16.7%
Philippines	Larena	2000	98.2%	99.9%	93.6%
Philippines	Larena	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.4%
Philippines	Las Navas	2000	85.3%	99.6%	55.9%
Philippines	Las Navas	2017	89.3%	99.7%	60.6%
Philippines	Las Nieves	2000	81.5%	90.6%	68.4%
Philippines	Las Nieves	2017	92.1%	97.2%	83.2%
Philippines	Las Piñas	2000	97.8%	99.3%	93.6%
Philippines	Las Piñas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Philippines	Lasam	2000	78.7%	98.9%	29.3%
Philippines	Lasam	2017	94.1%	99.9%	58.4%
Philippines	Laua-An	2000	85.2%	98.4%	36.2%
Philippines	Laua-An	2017	92.3%	99.6%	52.8%
Philippines	Laur	2000	90.7%	99.9%	54.4%
Philippines	Laur	2017	95.5%	100.0%	63.5%
Philippines	Laurel	2000	95.2%	99.9%	82.3%
Philippines	Laurel	2017	97.9%	100.0%	84.3%
Philippines	Lavezares	2000	63.5%	76.5%	54.3%
Philippines	Lavezares	2017	74.1%	85.7%	59.0%
Philippines	Lawaan	2000	73.4%	99.8%	11.3%
Philippines	Lawaan	2017	88.9%	100.0%	29.0%
Philippines	Lazi	2000	94.8%	99.9%	79.2%
Philippines	Lazi	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.1%
Philippines	Lebak	2000	55.0%	85.7%	15.3%
Philippines	Lebak	2017	75.7%	93.3%	33.4%
Philippines	Leganes	2000	92.3%	97.9%	79.7%
Philippines	Leganes	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.3%
Philippines	Legazpi City	2000	71.2%	75.6%	67.6%
Philippines	Legazpi City	2017	88.5%	94.5%	81.6%
Philippines	Lemery	2000	91.0%	100.0%	44.4%
Philippines	Lemery	2000	66.3%	90.6%	17.2%
Philippines	Lemery	2017	94.5%	100.0%	58.9%
Philippines	Lemery	2017	90.7%	99.4%	46.5%
Philippines	Leon	2000	93.7%	99.3%	77.1%
Philippines	Leon	2017	98.7%	99.9%	91.9%
Philippines	Leyte	2000	60.4%	94.7%	18.6%
Philippines	Leyte	2017	79.4%	98.1%	36.4%
Philippines	Lezo	2000	92.2%	95.9%	86.3%
Philippines	Lezo	2017	97.6%	99.4%	93.8%
Philippines	Lian	2000	75.0%	99.6%	23.7%
Philippines	Lian	2017	89.8%	99.9%	50.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Lianga	2000	77.4%	99.7%	21.1%
Philippines	Lianga	2017	86.8%	99.7%	46.6%
Philippines	Libacao	2000	68.3%	98.0%	21.6%
Philippines	Libacao	2017	89.3%	99.7%	55.1%
Philippines	Libagon	2000	85.4%	99.5%	48.2%
Philippines	Libagon	2017	94.7%	99.9%	65.7%
Philippines	Libertad	2000	72.1%	96.3%	41.3%
Philippines	Libertad	2000	68.9%	86.4%	36.2%
Philippines	Libertad	2017	92.8%	98.5%	74.6%
Philippines	Libertad	2017	81.4%	95.5%	58.3%
Philippines	Libjo	2000	89.8%	98.3%	69.3%
Philippines	Libjo	2017	90.0%	97.1%	73.0%
Philippines	Libmanan	2000	77.8%	97.8%	35.8%
Philippines	Libmanan	2017	93.5%	99.9%	75.8%
Philippines	Libon	2000	74.7%	90.0%	49.5%
Philippines	Libon	2017	95.2%	99.2%	81.4%
Philippines	Libona	2000	75.8%	81.1%	71.1%
Philippines	Libona	2017	83.0%	89.2%	75.6%
Philippines	Libungan	2000	72.6%	86.1%	54.1%
Philippines	Libungan	2017	88.6%	96.5%	71.7%
Philippines	Licab	2000	87.9%	100.0%	41.9%
Philippines	Licab	2017	96.4%	100.0%	66.9%
Philippines	Licuan-Baay	2000	82.7%	95.4%	62.6%
Philippines	Licuan-Baay	2017	95.7%	99.4%	87.5%
Philippines	Lidlidda	2000	91.2%	99.9%	60.3%
Philippines	Lidlidda	2017	98.3%	100.0%	83.7%
Philippines	Ligao City	2000	66.0%	89.1%	31.6%
Philippines	Ligao City	2017	86.4%	96.6%	64.1%
Philippines	Lila	2000	65.3%	99.9%	5.0%
Philippines	Lila	2017	80.0%	99.8%	24.7%
Philippines	Liliw	2000	96.3%	100.0%	75.8%
Philippines	Liliw	2017	98.7%	100.0%	84.9%
Philippines	Liloan	2000	84.7%	96.6%	52.6%
Philippines	Liloan	2000	80.7%	99.9%	43.9%
Philippines	Liloan	2017	96.9%	99.4%	81.0%
Philippines	Liloan	2017	88.7%	100.0%	54.7%
Philippines	Liloy	2000	79.2%	99.8%	32.9%
Philippines	Liloy	2017	92.5%	100.0%	61.5%
Philippines	Limasawa	2000	77.8%	100.0%	9.5%
Philippines	Limasawa	2017	89.8%	100.0%	26.8%
Philippines	Limay	2000	96.6%	100.0%	86.1%
Philippines	Limay	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.4%
Philippines	Linamon	2000	86.7%	92.9%	80.8%
Philippines	Linamon	2017	89.6%	94.6%	79.8%
Philippines	Linapacan	2000	78.2%	97.1%	42.1%
Philippines	Linapacan	2017	90.8%	99.5%	62.6%
Philippines	Lingayen	2000	81.1%	100.0%	15.6%
Philippines	Lingayen	2017	93.4%	100.0%	49.3%
Philippines	Lingig	2000	74.0%	98.9%	26.7%
Philippines	Lingig	2017	87.4%	99.8%	51.3%
Philippines	Lipa City	2000	94.7%	98.9%	85.4%
Philippines	Lipa City	2017	96.2%	99.4%	89.4%
Philippines	Llanera	2000	79.7%	93.5%	48.9%
Philippines	Llanera	2017	89.8%	97.5%	73.9%
Philippines	Llorente	2000	87.1%	99.3%	43.2%
Philippines	Llorente	2017	94.8%	99.8%	61.3%
Philippines	Loay	2000	88.4%	99.1%	59.6%
Philippines	Loay	2017	94.5%	99.8%	76.1%
Philippines	Lobo	2000	85.8%	99.8%	41.2%
Philippines	Lobo	2017	94.0%	100.0%	70.6%
Philippines	Loboc	2000	68.1%	86.2%	49.2%
Philippines	Loboc	2017	81.7%	94.4%	67.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Looc	2000	77.2%	98.9%	30.5%
Philippines	Looc	2000	54.7%	88.5%	16.7%
Philippines	Looc	2017	89.0%	99.8%	51.3%
Philippines	Looc	2017	86.7%	98.2%	51.3%
Philippines	Loon	2000	81.6%	99.4%	31.0%
Philippines	Loon	2017	93.1%	99.9%	64.2%
Philippines	Lope de Vega	2000	96.0%	99.8%	84.7%
Philippines	Lope de Vega	2017	97.9%	100.0%	89.4%
Philippines	Lopez	2000	76.6%	97.1%	32.7%
Philippines	Lopez	2017	92.4%	99.1%	73.2%
Philippines	Lopez Jaena	2000	87.2%	95.4%	64.7%
Philippines	Lopez Jaena	2017	94.1%	98.5%	75.9%
Philippines	Loreto	2000	81.6%	99.7%	40.8%
Philippines	Loreto	2000	52.8%	75.7%	26.0%
Philippines	Loreto	2017	81.6%	95.1%	58.3%
Philippines	Loreto	2017	90.5%	99.9%	64.1%
Philippines	Los Baños	2000	95.8%	99.5%	86.3%
Philippines	Los Baños	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.1%
Philippines	Luba	2000	89.1%	98.5%	63.0%
Philippines	Luba	2017	98.5%	99.9%	92.3%
Philippines	Lubang	2000	75.9%	99.3%	24.7%
Philippines	Lubang	2017	89.9%	100.0%	48.0%
Philippines	Lubao	2000	95.8%	99.9%	75.2%
Philippines	Lubao	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.5%
Philippines	Lubuagan	2000	87.6%	92.1%	80.4%
Philippines	Lubuagan	2017	97.4%	99.3%	92.4%
Philippines	Lucban	2000	24.5%	73.6%	3.7%
Philippines	Lucban	2017	56.0%	94.0%	10.6%
Philippines	Lucena City	2000	89.6%	95.6%	81.1%
Philippines	Lucena City	2017	97.3%	99.3%	91.3%
Philippines	Lugait	2000	64.1%	99.5%	3.6%
Philippines	Lugait	2017	87.6%	100.0%	24.3%
Philippines	Lugus	2000	72.3%	89.9%	49.9%
Philippines	Lugus	2017	77.0%	90.7%	55.0%
Philippines	Luisiana	2000	87.0%	99.9%	38.9%
Philippines	Luisiana	2017	94.0%	100.0%	53.1%
Philippines	Lumba-Bayabao	2000	37.5%	62.2%	17.1%
Philippines	Lumba-Bayabao	2017	64.7%	85.5%	31.4%
Philippines	Lumbaca Un-ayan	2000	55.7%	99.5%	2.9%
Philippines	Lumbaca Un-ayan	2017	88.0%	99.9%	33.7%
Philippines	Lumban	2000	81.0%	96.9%	56.0%
Philippines	Lumban	2017	96.8%	99.7%	87.2%
Philippines	Lumbatan	2000	59.5%	93.9%	22.3%
Philippines	Lumbatan	2017	93.6%	99.7%	69.8%
Philippines	Lumbayanague	2000	72.4%	93.9%	28.7%
Philippines	Lumbayanague	2017	94.9%	99.6%	78.8%
Philippines	Luna	2000	57.7%	75.5%	43.5%
Philippines	Luna	2000	76.3%	90.7%	56.5%
Philippines	Luna	2000	64.8%	85.5%	35.8%
Philippines	Luna	2017	87.3%	94.5%	72.0%
Philippines	Luna	2017	94.5%	98.6%	79.6%
Philippines	Luna	2017	97.0%	99.4%	90.9%
Philippines	Lupao	2000	94.3%	99.8%	74.2%
Philippines	Lupao	2017	98.2%	100.0%	84.9%
Philippines	Lupi	2000	75.9%	96.5%	46.9%
Philippines	Lupi	2017	89.2%	99.5%	60.5%
Philippines	Lupon	2000	84.7%	96.9%	57.3%
Philippines	Lupon	2017	96.6%	99.6%	87.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Lutayan	2000	72.4%	98.7%	22.6%
Philippines	Lutayan	2017	93.4%	100.0%	61.6%
Philippines	Luuk	2000	81.8%	89.8%	64.1%
Philippines	Luuk	2017	66.8%	80.8%	53.2%
Philippines	M'Lang	2000	91.4%	99.6%	60.7%
Philippines	M'Lang	2017	96.7%	99.9%	80.2%
Philippines	Ma-Ayon	2000	69.5%	90.4%	39.2%
Philippines	Ma-Ayon	2017	92.5%	99.1%	73.0%
Philippines	Maasim	2000	75.8%	98.4%	31.5%
Philippines	Maasim	2017	89.9%	99.8%	58.1%
Philippines	Maasin	2000	74.4%	99.5%	18.2%
Philippines	Maasin	2017	88.1%	99.9%	38.4%
Philippines	Maasin City	2000	81.7%	94.0%	56.9%
Philippines	Maasin City	2017	92.3%	98.1%	78.8%
Philippines	Mabalacat	2000	97.2%	99.8%	90.6%
Philippines	Mabalacat	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Philippines	Mabinay	2000	78.8%	98.8%	35.2%
Philippines	Mabinay	2017	91.8%	99.8%	65.1%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	82.0%	100.0%	23.8%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	79.9%	99.9%	29.9%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	93.8%	99.5%	80.0%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	74.7%	87.9%	42.3%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	98.0%	99.9%	89.5%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	92.0%	100.0%	43.7%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	90.3%	100.0%	50.0%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	87.2%	96.4%	66.0%
Philippines	Mabitac	2000	89.7%	99.7%	60.8%
Philippines	Mabitac	2017	98.1%	100.0%	88.0%
Philippines	Mabuhay	2000	76.4%	99.7%	23.3%
Philippines	Mabuhay	2017	89.1%	99.9%	46.8%
Philippines	Macabebe	2000	98.6%	100.0%	93.0%
Philippines	Macabebe	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Philippines	Macalelon	2000	27.1%	61.5%	3.3%
Philippines	Macalelon	2017	62.8%	90.0%	24.6%
Philippines	Macarthur	2000	85.6%	95.5%	72.7%
Philippines	Macarthur	2017	96.7%	99.7%	87.5%
Philippines	Maco	2000	75.2%	92.6%	56.5%
Philippines	Maco	2017	86.9%	95.8%	73.9%
Philippines	Maconacon	2000	74.2%	99.8%	9.2%
Philippines	Maconacon	2017	86.9%	100.0%	22.4%
Philippines	Macrohon	2000	80.9%	97.1%	49.7%
Philippines	Macrohon	2017	92.2%	99.1%	71.8%
Philippines	Madalag	2000	69.4%	95.5%	40.0%
Philippines	Madalag	2017	88.7%	97.5%	76.0%
Philippines	Madalum	2000	30.9%	62.8%	10.9%
Philippines	Madalum	2017	53.1%	79.9%	19.6%
Philippines	Madamba	2000	21.4%	55.2%	4.4%
Philippines	Madamba	2017	21.2%	47.2%	7.3%
Philippines	Maddela	2000	88.2%	92.5%	82.9%
Philippines	Maddela	2017	96.3%	98.0%	93.8%
Philippines	Madrid	2000	91.4%	99.7%	71.5%
Philippines	Madrid	2017	98.5%	100.0%	93.5%
Philippines	Madridejos	2000	74.8%	99.9%	4.5%
Philippines	Madridejos	2017	87.0%	100.0%	17.8%
Philippines	Magalang	2000	94.4%	99.7%	76.7%
Philippines	Magalang	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.0%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	87.7%	100.0%	41.2%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	80.9%	100.0%	25.3%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	96.8%	99.9%	87.3%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	91.7%	100.0%	49.9%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.1%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	91.4%	100.0%	40.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Magarao	2000	94.3%	99.2%	85.4%
Philippines	Magarao	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.4%
Philippines	Magdalena	2000	97.9%	99.3%	95.2%
Philippines	Magdalena	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Philippines	Magdiwang	2000	87.5%	99.4%	66.7%
Philippines	Magdiwang	2017	97.1%	99.8%	91.3%
Philippines	Magpet	2000	71.7%	95.8%	38.4%
Philippines	Magpet	2017	87.3%	98.8%	62.2%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	75.4%	89.1%	51.6%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	81.8%	99.5%	38.2%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	81.6%	93.8%	60.9%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	70.3%	94.6%	35.6%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	73.0%	99.0%	23.7%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	93.7%	98.2%	79.7%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	91.6%	99.9%	54.5%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	71.0%	91.6%	49.0%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	93.2%	99.4%	67.2%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	92.2%	99.9%	65.1%
Philippines	Magsingal	2000	83.6%	99.6%	34.2%
Philippines	Magsingal	2017	96.6%	100.0%	68.7%
Philippines	Maguing	2000	34.1%	64.6%	12.8%
Philippines	Maguing	2017	60.9%	83.5%	31.1%
Philippines	Mahaplag	2000	75.0%	99.7%	21.4%
Philippines	Mahaplag	2017	84.0%	99.8%	31.3%
Philippines	Mahatao	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Philippines	Mahatao	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Mahayag	2000	85.5%	91.1%	79.3%
Philippines	Mahayag	2017	79.3%	88.3%	68.0%
Philippines	Mahinog	2000	93.4%	98.5%	82.8%
Philippines	Mahinog	2017	94.8%	99.6%	83.9%
Philippines	Maigo	2000	81.1%	99.7%	27.8%
Philippines	Maigo	2017	91.9%	99.3%	54.0%
Philippines	Maimbung	2000	72.5%	92.7%	38.3%
Philippines	Maimbung	2017	84.6%	95.6%	57.7%
Philippines	Mainit	2000	98.8%	99.9%	95.9%
Philippines	Mainit	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2000	89.2%	100.0%	35.0%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2000	98.7%	100.0%	86.8%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2017	93.3%	100.0%	58.5%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2017	99.4%	100.0%	93.4%
Philippines	Maitum	2000	68.7%	87.3%	44.1%
Philippines	Maitum	2017	84.5%	94.3%	61.9%
Philippines	Majayjay	2000	80.9%	99.8%	33.3%
Philippines	Majayjay	2017	92.1%	100.0%	39.8%
Philippines	Makati City	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.8%
Philippines	Makati City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Makato	2000	84.2%	95.5%	65.4%
Philippines	Makato	2017	97.3%	99.7%	89.2%
Philippines	Makilala	2000	84.0%	97.1%	60.7%
Philippines	Makilala	2017	91.4%	99.6%	62.7%
Philippines	Malabang	2000	26.0%	62.3%	9.9%
Philippines	Malabang	2017	66.8%	89.4%	31.3%
Philippines	Malabon	2000	99.7%	99.9%	98.8%
Philippines	Malabon	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Malabuyoc	2000	77.6%	97.0%	38.7%
Philippines	Malabuyoc	2017	89.5%	99.2%	61.9%
Philippines	Malalag	2000	73.9%	88.3%	48.9%
Philippines	Malalag	2017	88.1%	97.9%	58.1%
Philippines	Malangas	2000	54.0%	61.2%	47.3%
Philippines	Malangas	2017	83.2%	91.3%	73.9%
Philippines	Malapatan	2000	76.5%	97.2%	39.0%
Philippines	Malapatan	2017	87.8%	99.2%	57.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Malasiqui	2000	95.5%	98.4%	87.8%
Philippines	Malasiqui	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Philippines	Malay	2000	94.0%	99.5%	82.0%
Philippines	Malay	2017	97.3%	99.9%	86.5%
Philippines	Malaybalay	2000	89.0%	93.5%	83.1%
Philippines	Malaybalay City	2017	93.3%	97.7%	86.6%
Philippines	Malibcong	2000	73.0%	99.4%	21.9%
Philippines	Malibcong	2017	86.2%	99.9%	43.0%
Philippines	Malilipot	2000	62.3%	70.7%	49.9%
Philippines	Malilipot	2017	84.0%	91.4%	72.8%
Philippines	Malimono	2000	96.0%	100.0%	82.3%
Philippines	Malimono	2017	97.9%	100.0%	87.9%
Philippines	Malinao	2000	66.1%	79.1%	49.9%
Philippines	Malinao	2000	73.2%	96.4%	35.3%
Philippines	Malinao	2017	91.6%	99.0%	75.2%
Philippines	Malinao	2017	80.8%	93.1%	59.8%
Philippines	Malita	2000	63.6%	74.8%	50.5%
Philippines	Malita	2017	84.0%	91.2%	73.1%
Philippines	Malitbog	2000	74.4%	98.5%	22.1%
Philippines	Malitbog	2000	75.4%	96.5%	38.9%
Philippines	Malitbog	2017	89.4%	99.9%	43.1%
Philippines	Malitbog	2017	86.8%	99.1%	55.8%
Philippines	Mallig	2000	52.4%	68.9%	31.6%
Philippines	Mallig	2017	75.7%	86.1%	57.1%
Philippines	Malolos City	2000	94.6%	98.9%	81.0%
Philippines	Malolos City	2017	99.2%	99.9%	95.2%
Philippines	Malungon	2000	65.2%	87.8%	38.0%
Philippines	Malungon	2017	81.1%	95.7%	58.5%
Philippines	Maluso	2000	93.9%	99.7%	72.1%
Philippines	Maluso	2017	95.9%	99.9%	79.1%
Philippines	Malvar	2000	90.8%	97.5%	76.7%
Philippines	Malvar	2017	85.7%	97.3%	64.1%
Philippines	Mamasapano	2000	30.1%	39.0%	22.7%
Philippines	Mamasapano	2017	64.0%	68.0%	59.4%
Philippines	Mambajao	2000	98.5%	99.8%	96.2%
Philippines	Mambajao	2017	98.9%	100.0%	95.1%
Philippines	Mamburao	2000	88.2%	97.1%	73.9%
Philippines	Mamburao	2017	96.3%	99.5%	87.5%
Philippines	Mambusao	2000	72.5%	96.6%	17.0%
Philippines	Mambusao	2017	93.8%	99.7%	60.2%
Philippines	Manabo	2000	75.0%	84.7%	63.7%
Philippines	Manabo	2017	97.3%	98.6%	95.7%
Philippines	Manaoag	2000	95.0%	99.6%	82.8%
Philippines	Manaoag	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.7%
Philippines	Manapla	2000	76.5%	99.7%	10.2%
Philippines	Manapla	2017	90.7%	100.0%	33.8%
Philippines	Manay	2000	64.8%	87.4%	44.7%
Philippines	Manay	2017	82.3%	92.8%	56.5%
Philippines	Mandaluyong	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Philippines	Mandaluyong	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Philippines	Mandaon	2000	77.6%	98.8%	27.8%
Philippines	Mandaon	2017	90.1%	99.7%	49.5%
Philippines	Mandaue City	2000	98.1%	99.5%	94.3%
Philippines	Mandaue City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Mangaldan	2000	93.6%	99.8%	58.7%
Philippines	Mangaldan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	93.4%
Philippines	Mangatarem	2000	90.3%	99.6%	62.0%
Philippines	Mangatarem	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.2%
Philippines	Mangudadatu	2000	61.3%	98.4%	10.9%
Philippines	Mangudadatu	2017	92.2%	99.9%	57.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Manila	2000	98.6%	99.4%	97.2%
Philippines	Manila	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Philippines	Manito	2000	90.5%	99.9%	56.5%
Philippines	Manito	2017	93.6%	100.0%	59.2%
Philippines	Manjuyod	2000	85.2%	99.9%	47.9%
Philippines	Manjuyod	2017	94.0%	100.0%	61.5%
Philippines	Mankayan	2000	45.4%	60.8%	35.2%
Philippines	Mankayan	2017	72.2%	88.2%	48.0%
Philippines	Manolo Fortich	2000	78.3%	90.7%	56.5%
Philippines	Manolo Fortich	2017	82.8%	92.5%	63.0%
Philippines	Mansalay	2000	53.2%	79.3%	26.4%
Philippines	Mansalay	2017	69.6%	92.5%	40.3%
Philippines	Manticao	2000	69.4%	99.3%	13.6%
Philippines	Manticao	2017	87.1%	99.9%	44.9%
Philippines	Manukan	2000	62.7%	92.0%	19.4%
Philippines	Manukan	2017	85.9%	98.8%	47.2%
Philippines	Mapanas	2000	50.4%	90.7%	5.1%
Philippines	Mapanas	2017	77.0%	97.3%	22.7%
Philippines	Mapandan	2000	89.7%	98.3%	69.7%
Philippines	Mapandan	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.9%
Philippines	Mapun	2000	75.2%	99.3%	23.3%
Philippines	Mapun	2017	86.6%	99.9%	47.1%
Philippines	Marabut	2000	74.2%	96.4%	28.8%
Philippines	Marabut	2017	89.1%	99.4%	44.8%
Philippines	Maragondon	2000	85.1%	99.4%	38.2%
Philippines	Maragondon	2017	95.9%	99.9%	66.9%
Philippines	Maragusan	2000	68.4%	91.1%	32.6%
Philippines	Maragusan	2017	89.9%	98.7%	70.5%
Philippines	Maramag	2000	82.0%	98.2%	45.4%
Philippines	Maramag	2017	92.4%	99.6%	69.7%
Philippines	Marantao	2000	54.1%	64.0%	39.2%
Philippines	Marantao	2017	90.5%	92.5%	87.4%
Philippines	Marawi City	2000	97.6%	99.6%	88.9%
Philippines	Marawi City	2017	96.5%	99.8%	84.7%
Philippines	Marcos	2000	92.5%	99.6%	72.2%
Philippines	Marcos	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.5%
Philippines	Margosatubig	2000	70.5%	92.8%	39.2%
Philippines	Margosatubig	2017	68.7%	95.3%	41.3%
Philippines	Maria	2000	97.4%	99.9%	89.7%
Philippines	Maria	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.0%
Philippines	Maria Aurora	2000	80.6%	96.1%	52.4%
Philippines	Maria Aurora	2017	95.3%	99.7%	80.1%
Philippines	Maribojoc	2000	84.1%	99.6%	41.3%
Philippines	Maribojoc	2017	91.3%	100.0%	44.5%
Philippines	Marihatag	2000	67.4%	98.3%	18.5%
Philippines	Marihatag	2017	89.9%	99.9%	46.9%
Philippines	Marikina	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.5%
Philippines	Marikina	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Marilao	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.3%
Philippines	Marilao	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Philippines	Maripipi	2000	77.9%	99.9%	15.4%
Philippines	Maripipi	2017	89.4%	100.0%	33.0%
Philippines	Mariveles	2000	88.5%	97.5%	66.6%
Philippines	Mariveles	2017	97.8%	99.7%	90.1%
Philippines	Marogong	2000	45.8%	83.3%	15.0%
Philippines	Marogong	2017	52.9%	90.9%	21.7%
Philippines	Masantol	2000	99.0%	100.0%	94.8%
Philippines	Masantol	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Masbate City	2000	71.7%	98.6%	16.4%
Philippines	Masbate City	2017	90.9%	99.9%	43.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Masinloc	2000	94.7%	98.9%	82.4%
Philippines	Masinloc	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.0%
Philippines	Masiu	2000	63.0%	99.7%	2.6%
Philippines	Masiu	2017	86.9%	100.0%	20.5%
Philippines	Maslog	2000	91.7%	100.0%	53.5%
Philippines	Maslog	2017	95.2%	100.0%	68.1%
Philippines	Mataas Na Kahoy	2000	93.5%	99.9%	59.0%
Philippines	Mataas Na Kahoy	2017	93.2%	99.9%	59.8%
Philippines	Matag-Ob	2000	77.0%	98.9%	20.7%
Philippines	Matag-Ob	2017	91.6%	99.6%	61.3%
Philippines	Matalam	2000	50.3%	68.5%	32.2%
Philippines	Matalam	2017	90.9%	95.2%	82.4%
Philippines	Matalom	2000	52.7%	80.1%	18.6%
Philippines	Matalom	2017	58.8%	88.3%	34.8%
Philippines	Matanao	2000	91.5%	97.8%	77.3%
Philippines	Matanao	2017	97.5%	99.3%	91.7%
Philippines	Matanog	2000	69.7%	99.5%	13.2%
Philippines	Matanog	2017	86.8%	99.7%	32.7%
Philippines	Mati City	2000	73.3%	85.2%	59.9%
Philippines	Mati City	2017	88.9%	95.7%	75.5%
Philippines	Matnog	2000	72.2%	91.9%	47.0%
Philippines	Matnog	2017	76.3%	93.9%	62.2%
Philippines	Matuguinao	2000	76.6%	99.3%	33.6%
Philippines	Matuguinao	2017	88.7%	99.8%	49.0%
Philippines	Matungao	2000	56.4%	86.6%	28.6%
Philippines	Matungao	2017	77.5%	97.5%	35.4%
Philippines	Mauban	2000	79.3%	98.8%	26.9%
Philippines	Mauban	2017	92.8%	99.8%	50.2%
Philippines	Mawab	2000	49.2%	92.5%	10.8%
Philippines	Mawab	2017	63.3%	92.9%	31.9%
Philippines	Mayantoc	2000	83.3%	99.2%	35.6%
Philippines	Mayantoc	2017	94.1%	100.0%	64.3%
Philippines	Maydolong	2000	70.4%	96.7%	26.2%
Philippines	Maydolong	2017	87.5%	99.5%	53.0%
Philippines	Mayorga	2000	94.2%	100.0%	61.6%
Philippines	Mayorga	2017	98.7%	100.0%	84.6%
Philippines	Mayoyao	2000	87.0%	94.5%	69.6%
Philippines	Mayoyao	2017	95.1%	98.5%	84.8%
Philippines	Medellin	2000	73.4%	98.8%	23.1%
Philippines	Medellin	2017	84.0%	99.9%	38.8%
Philippines	Medina	2000	83.8%	99.4%	35.0%
Philippines	Medina	2017	94.1%	99.9%	65.8%
Philippines	Mendez	2000	91.5%	99.9%	69.8%
Philippines	Mendez	2017	95.6%	100.0%	62.9%
Philippines	Mercedes	2000	93.6%	99.6%	75.5%
Philippines	Mercedes	2000	81.6%	88.3%	68.7%
Philippines	Mercedes	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.9%
Philippines	Mercedes	2017	87.4%	93.8%	76.2%
Philippines	Merida	2000	88.2%	98.9%	52.9%
Philippines	Merida	2017	93.8%	99.8%	62.5%
Philippines	Mexico	2000	96.4%	99.7%	87.4%
Philippines	Mexico	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.4%
Philippines	Meycauayan City	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.4%
Philippines	Meycauayan City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Miagao	2000	79.4%	99.0%	39.6%
Philippines	Miagao	2017	93.3%	99.9%	60.5%
Philippines	Midsalip	2000	76.7%	99.2%	31.7%
Philippines	Midsalip	2017	84.8%	98.6%	49.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Midsayap	2000	47.7%	63.2%	31.7%
Philippines	Midsayap	2017	91.4%	96.6%	83.6%
Philippines	Milagros	2000	68.3%	89.2%	30.3%
Philippines	Milagros	2017	87.0%	96.9%	56.8%
Philippines	Milaor	2000	77.0%	85.3%	68.9%
Philippines	Milaor	2017	93.2%	95.9%	89.6%
Philippines	Mina	2000	78.2%	92.3%	51.6%
Philippines	Mina	2017	93.4%	99.1%	78.4%
Philippines	Minalabac	2000	87.6%	91.1%	83.7%
Philippines	Minalabac	2017	84.2%	91.4%	77.7%
Philippines	Minalin	2000	98.4%	99.9%	90.6%
Philippines	Minalin	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Philippines	Minglanilla	2000	95.8%	99.5%	83.8%
Philippines	Minglanilla	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.9%
Philippines	Moalboal	2000	96.6%	100.0%	86.9%
Philippines	Moalboal	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.2%
Philippines	Mobo	2000	80.4%	97.9%	47.8%
Philippines	Mobo	2017	96.6%	99.8%	83.6%
Philippines	Mogpog	2000	93.4%	97.8%	81.2%
Philippines	Mogpog	2017	96.4%	97.9%	91.3%
Philippines	Moises Padilla	2000	52.3%	84.8%	9.8%
Philippines	Moises Padilla	2017	86.2%	98.9%	47.6%
Philippines	Molave	2000	77.7%	85.0%	68.2%
Philippines	Molave	2017	72.6%	80.0%	63.4%
Philippines	Moncada	2000	96.7%	99.3%	87.9%
Philippines	Moncada	2017	99.6%	99.9%	97.6%
Philippines	Mondragon	2000	75.3%	99.4%	26.3%
Philippines	Mondragon	2017	89.7%	99.9%	46.8%
Philippines	Monkayo	2000	75.4%	94.7%	51.9%
Philippines	Monkayo	2017	85.8%	97.6%	74.0%
Philippines	Monreal	2000	74.1%	99.8%	19.1%
Philippines	Monreal	2017	87.4%	99.9%	39.0%
Philippines	Montevista	2000	38.4%	64.3%	17.9%
Philippines	Montevista	2017	72.4%	95.5%	40.2%
Philippines	Morong	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.5%
Philippines	Morong	2000	91.3%	97.8%	80.5%
Philippines	Morong	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Morong	2017	95.1%	98.9%	87.3%
Philippines	Motiong	2000	85.1%	99.8%	43.0%
Philippines	Motiong	2017	92.7%	99.9%	65.5%
Philippines	Mulanay	2000	64.0%	90.9%	23.7%
Philippines	Mulanay	2017	82.3%	97.4%	39.7%
Philippines	Mulondo	2000	41.8%	65.1%	24.1%
Philippines	Mulondo	2017	67.9%	82.2%	46.8%
Philippines	Munai	2000	32.7%	68.3%	6.5%
Philippines	Munai	2017	57.3%	92.3%	9.9%
Philippines	Muñoz City	2000	91.2%	97.1%	76.0%
Philippines	Muñoz City	2017	98.7%	99.8%	91.4%
Philippines	Muntinlupa	2000	96.8%	98.1%	95.5%
Philippines	Muntinlupa	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.3%
Philippines	Murcia	2000	64.2%	75.0%	53.7%
Philippines	Murcia	2017	78.0%	85.2%	68.9%
Philippines	Mutia	2000	74.3%	98.1%	29.8%
Philippines	Mutia	2017	79.5%	97.9%	38.2%
Philippines	Naawan	2000	70.1%	99.7%	9.5%
Philippines	Naawan	2017	87.2%	99.9%	41.9%
Philippines	Nabas	2000	77.6%	91.4%	53.6%
Philippines	Nabas	2017	97.3%	99.2%	87.8%
Philippines	Nabua	2000	74.5%	85.2%	61.0%
Philippines	Nabua	2017	97.4%	99.2%	93.5%
Philippines	Nabunturan	2000	54.4%	75.1%	38.2%
Philippines	Nabunturan	2017	83.5%	97.1%	60.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Naga	2000	72.3%	97.8%	26.5%
Philippines	Naga	2017	84.8%	99.0%	47.8%
Philippines	Naga City	2000	90.4%	99.2%	68.7%
Philippines	Naga City	2000	75.0%	90.7%	56.8%
Philippines	Naga City	2017	93.2%	97.9%	79.9%
Philippines	Naga City	2017	98.6%	99.9%	90.5%
Philippines	Nagbukel	2000	93.6%	99.7%	74.3%
Philippines	Nagbukel	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.2%
Philippines	Nagcarlan	2000	97.9%	99.9%	91.9%
Philippines	Nagcarlan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	91.8%
Philippines	Nagtipunan	2000	80.4%	91.7%	65.4%
Philippines	Nagtipunan	2017	92.0%	98.5%	81.6%
Philippines	Naguilian	2000	48.9%	57.9%	41.8%
Philippines	Naguilian	2000	74.4%	88.1%	63.3%
Philippines	Naguilian	2017	96.7%	98.6%	93.7%
Philippines	Naguilian	2017	83.4%	89.7%	72.3%
Philippines	Naic	2000	92.6%	98.9%	78.8%
Philippines	Naic	2017	98.2%	99.9%	91.0%
Philippines	Nampicuan	2000	99.5%	100.0%	97.1%
Philippines	Nampicuan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.9%
Philippines	Narra	2000	79.4%	97.4%	46.6%
Philippines	Narra	2017	91.6%	99.6%	67.3%
Philippines	Narvacan	2000	92.7%	99.8%	67.8%
Philippines	Narvacan	2017	97.9%	100.0%	88.1%
Philippines	Nasipit	2000	82.0%	94.2%	59.6%
Philippines	Nasipit	2017	97.7%	99.5%	93.3%
Philippines	Nasugbu	2000	80.5%	98.2%	42.2%
Philippines	Nasugbu	2017	92.2%	99.8%	63.3%
Philippines	Natividad	2000	85.2%	99.6%	47.5%
Philippines	Natividad	2017	97.4%	100.0%	84.0%
Philippines	Natonin	2000	29.1%	57.3%	10.6%
Philippines	Natonin	2017	48.3%	79.2%	19.8%
Philippines	Naujan	2000	83.9%	98.3%	61.3%
Philippines	Naujan	2017	94.8%	99.7%	79.7%
Philippines	Naujan Lake	2000	86.7%	99.8%	58.3%
Philippines	Naujan Lake	2017	94.7%	99.9%	80.7%
Philippines	Naval	2000	98.7%	100.0%	95.3%
Philippines	Naval	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.3%
Philippines	Navotas	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Philippines	Navotas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	New Bataan	2000	78.6%	95.9%	46.0%
Philippines	New Bataan	2017	92.8%	99.5%	74.9%
Philippines	New Corella	2000	66.6%	87.9%	37.6%
Philippines	New Corella	2017	82.7%	95.2%	57.2%
Philippines	New Lucena	2000	85.6%	91.4%	78.6%
Philippines	New Lucena	2017	96.6%	98.1%	94.0%
Philippines	New Washington	2000	97.1%	99.2%	93.5%
Philippines	New Washington	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Philippines	Norala	2000	85.4%	94.7%	66.1%
Philippines	Norala	2017	97.5%	99.5%	90.1%
Philippines	Northern Kabuntalan	2000	77.0%	95.7%	44.4%
Philippines	Northern Kabuntalan	2017	91.8%	99.5%	63.6%
Philippines	Norzagaray	2000	91.6%	97.2%	83.3%
Philippines	Norzagaray	2017	98.9%	99.4%	97.7%
Philippines	Noveleta	2000	94.2%	99.4%	76.1%
Philippines	Noveleta	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Philippines	Nueva Era	2000	80.7%	98.3%	40.2%
Philippines	Nueva Era	2017	93.8%	99.8%	67.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Nueva Valencia	2000	60.5%	86.1%	32.1%
Philippines	Nueva Valencia	2017	88.0%	98.4%	68.6%
Philippines	Numancia	2000	92.3%	99.3%	72.7%
Philippines	Numancia	2017	98.8%	99.9%	92.4%
Philippines	Nunungan	2000	47.0%	73.7%	17.0%
Philippines	Nunungan	2017	73.3%	90.1%	40.8%
Philippines	Oas	2000	69.4%	88.9%	43.3%
Philippines	Oas	2017	90.3%	98.6%	77.7%
Philippines	Obando	2000	99.1%	99.9%	97.2%
Philippines	Obando	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Ocampo	2000	67.2%	98.5%	13.0%
Philippines	Ocampo	2017	88.0%	99.9%	36.1%
Philippines	Odiongan	2000	70.1%	82.7%	49.3%
Philippines	Odiongan	2017	92.7%	96.4%	82.1%
Philippines	Old Panamao	2000	3.9%	13.3%	0.7%
Philippines	Old Panamao	2017	7.0%	19.9%	1.6%
Philippines	Olongapo City	2000	92.9%	95.8%	89.3%
Philippines	Olongapo City	2017	93.8%	97.1%	89.9%
Philippines	Olutanga	2000	88.5%	99.8%	59.3%
Philippines	Olutanga	2017	95.4%	100.0%	74.1%
Philippines	Opol	2000	94.8%	99.2%	86.9%
Philippines	Opol	2017	96.8%	99.7%	87.7%
Philippines	Orani	2000	78.7%	88.9%	72.9%
Philippines	Orani	2017	76.8%	89.1%	62.7%
Philippines	Oras	2000	93.4%	99.4%	66.1%
Philippines	Oras	2017	98.1%	99.8%	94.3%
Philippines	Orion	2000	99.1%	99.9%	96.9%
Philippines	Orion	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Philippines	Ormoc City	2000	77.3%	92.8%	58.3%
Philippines	Ormoc City	2017	91.9%	98.8%	77.8%
Philippines	Oroquieta City	2000	94.7%	97.0%	90.1%
Philippines	Oroquieta City	2017	97.5%	98.8%	93.3%
Philippines	Oslob	2000	76.1%	99.4%	23.9%
Philippines	Oslob	2017	88.6%	99.9%	45.0%
Philippines	Oton	2000	88.9%	97.6%	72.3%
Philippines	Oton	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.2%
Philippines	Ozamis City	2000	96.4%	99.1%	91.3%
Philippines	Ozamis City	2017	98.6%	99.8%	96.0%
Philippines	Padada	2000	92.6%	98.6%	76.5%
Philippines	Padada	2017	97.5%	99.7%	90.3%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2000	75.6%	99.6%	25.5%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2000	91.5%	98.3%	74.6%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2017	96.5%	99.8%	83.2%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2017	91.8%	100.0%	55.5%
Philippines	Padre Garcia	2000	81.8%	99.9%	20.6%
Philippines	Padre Garcia	2017	94.5%	100.0%	63.4%
Philippines	Paete	2000	66.2%	99.3%	12.7%
Philippines	Paete	2017	86.8%	100.0%	31.6%
Philippines	Pagadian City	2000	93.8%	98.1%	86.4%
Philippines	Pagadian City	2017	96.3%	98.7%	91.0%
Philippines	Pagagawan	2000	90.1%	98.3%	69.1%
Philippines	Pagagawan	2017	95.0%	99.7%	79.4%
Philippines	Pagalungan	2000	87.6%	95.9%	69.9%
Philippines	Pagalungan	2017	96.1%	99.9%	77.9%
Philippines	Pagayawan	2000	22.3%	39.8%	12.7%
Philippines	Pagayawan	2017	56.9%	62.3%	51.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pagbilao	2000	92.9%	98.8%	77.9%
Philippines	Pagbilao	2017	96.5%	99.9%	82.4%
Philippines	Paglat	2000	99.5%	100.0%	95.9%
Philippines	Paglat	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Pagsanghan	2000	90.7%	99.8%	68.1%
Philippines	Pagsanghan	2017	96.9%	100.0%	84.6%
Philippines	Pagsanjan	2000	91.7%	97.1%	85.2%
Philippines	Pagsanjan	2017	98.6%	99.7%	96.6%
Philippines	Pagudpud	2000	70.7%	92.5%	42.7%
Philippines	Pagudpud	2017	87.6%	98.2%	67.4%
Philippines	Pakil	2000	78.3%	99.3%	33.0%
Philippines	Pakil	2017	90.8%	100.0%	40.7%
Philippines	Palakpakin Lake	2000	95.0%	99.5%	82.4%
Philippines	Palakpakin Lake	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.1%
Philippines	Palanan	2000	74.3%	97.2%	34.9%
Philippines	Palanan	2017	87.9%	99.0%	62.0%
Philippines	Palanas	2000	73.2%	90.1%	42.8%
Philippines	Palanas	2017	94.5%	98.2%	80.7%
Philippines	Palapag	2000	52.2%	67.1%	36.6%
Philippines	Palapag	2017	91.4%	95.7%	84.8%
Philippines	Palauig	2000	87.5%	99.7%	36.0%
Philippines	Palauig	2017	96.2%	100.0%	71.9%
Philippines	Palayan City	2000	97.1%	99.4%	91.7%
Philippines	Palayan City	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Philippines	Palimbang	2000	73.3%	92.1%	45.1%
Philippines	Palimbang	2017	88.9%	97.9%	70.8%
Philippines	Palo	2000	95.6%	98.6%	86.3%
Philippines	Palo	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.2%
Philippines	Palompon	2000	79.0%	97.0%	37.3%
Philippines	Palompon	2017	91.8%	98.9%	67.2%
Philippines	Paluan	2000	78.9%	98.3%	44.6%
Philippines	Paluan	2017	91.4%	99.8%	61.4%
Philippines	Pambujan	2000	75.2%	99.7%	21.6%
Philippines	Pambujan	2017	90.9%	99.9%	45.8%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	56.3%	82.7%	35.2%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	86.2%	99.0%	51.0%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	83.1%	94.8%	59.6%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	86.0%	98.7%	62.3%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	98.9%	99.9%	92.1%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	91.4%	98.7%	75.4%
Philippines	Panabo City	2000	89.9%	97.4%	77.6%
Philippines	Panabo City	2017	97.3%	99.4%	89.3%
Philippines	Panaon	2000	96.0%	98.3%	92.5%
Philippines	Panaon	2017	95.3%	97.7%	91.1%
Philippines	Panay	2000	91.8%	94.1%	86.8%
Philippines	Panay	2017	97.6%	99.0%	93.3%
Philippines	Pandag	2000	92.9%	100.0%	67.0%
Philippines	Pandag	2017	98.8%	100.0%	81.7%
Philippines	Pandami	2000	91.6%	99.5%	71.8%
Philippines	Pandami	2017	97.6%	99.9%	88.3%
Philippines	Pandan	2000	80.6%	92.7%	59.2%
Philippines	Pandan	2000	77.6%	99.0%	30.7%
Philippines	Pandan	2017	86.3%	97.0%	62.0%
Philippines	Pandan	2017	90.9%	99.7%	59.1%
Philippines	Pandi	2000	92.5%	96.1%	87.9%
Philippines	Pandi	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.2%
Philippines	Panganiban	2000	48.2%	80.2%	15.9%
Philippines	Panganiban	2017	54.8%	72.5%	35.3%
Philippines	Pangantucan	2000	60.4%	83.9%	28.6%
Philippines	Pangantucan	2017	80.2%	95.2%	48.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pangil	2000	75.8%	99.9%	17.3%
Philippines	Pangil	2017	89.9%	100.0%	31.5%
Philippines	Panglao	2000	79.8%	99.5%	33.4%
Philippines	Panglao	2017	95.7%	100.0%	74.0%
Philippines	Panglima Estino	2000	4.0%	6.8%	2.3%
Philippines	Panglima Estino	2017	14.9%	28.1%	9.9%
Philippines	Panglima Sugala	2000	60.7%	83.6%	38.6%
Philippines	Panglima Sugala	2017	84.6%	96.2%	66.3%
Philippines	Pangutaran	2000	75.9%	98.3%	23.0%
Philippines	Pangutaran	2017	88.8%	99.7%	43.2%
Philippines	Paniqui	2000	92.2%	97.0%	84.4%
Philippines	Paniqui	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Philippines	Panitan	2000	60.8%	69.6%	51.1%
Philippines	Panitan	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.8%
Philippines	Pantabangan	2000	59.8%	92.0%	22.1%
Philippines	Pantabangan	2017	80.6%	98.8%	46.7%
Philippines	Pantao Ragat	2000	27.8%	68.0%	5.7%
Philippines	Pantao Ragat	2017	69.8%	97.0%	17.5%
Philippines	Pantar	2000	45.9%	81.2%	26.4%
Philippines	Pantar	2017	80.2%	98.0%	39.7%
Philippines	Pantukan	2000	72.8%	91.0%	44.4%
Philippines	Pantukan	2017	94.2%	99.3%	82.2%
Philippines	Panukulan	2000	75.4%	99.4%	28.7%
Philippines	Panukulan	2017	88.0%	99.9%	53.2%
Philippines	Paoay	2000	88.5%	95.3%	77.5%
Philippines	Paoay	2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.2%
Philippines	Paoay Lake	2000	94.4%	98.4%	86.6%
Philippines	Paoay Lake	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Philippines	Paombong	2000	88.9%	99.8%	61.3%
Philippines	Paombong	2017	96.8%	100.0%	81.4%
Philippines	Paracale	2000	66.6%	91.1%	43.9%
Philippines	Paracale	2017	86.1%	98.8%	45.9%
Philippines	Paracelis	2000	46.6%	68.8%	19.3%
Philippines	Paracelis	2017	64.9%	80.8%	37.1%
Philippines	Parañaque	2000	98.7%	99.5%	96.8%
Philippines	Parañaque	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Paranas	2000	87.5%	99.2%	56.8%
Philippines	Paranas	2017	93.6%	99.9%	68.1%
Philippines	Parang	2000	67.6%	97.3%	20.0%
Philippines	Parang	2000	49.3%	84.4%	19.2%
Philippines	Parang	2017	78.0%	88.4%	64.5%
Philippines	Parang	2017	80.6%	96.3%	32.4%
Philippines	Pasacao	2000	77.8%	96.7%	37.1%
Philippines	Pasacao	2017	90.8%	98.6%	62.8%
Philippines	Pasay City	2000	99.3%	99.9%	96.4%
Philippines	Pasay City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Pasig City	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Philippines	Pasig City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Pasil	2000	86.8%	97.5%	59.9%
Philippines	Pasil	2017	96.7%	99.8%	87.0%
Philippines	Passi City	2000	86.4%	96.1%	70.6%
Philippines	Passi City	2017	96.9%	99.6%	85.2%
Philippines	Pastrana	2000	89.7%	97.8%	70.9%
Philippines	Pastrana	2017	96.0%	99.3%	84.0%
Philippines	Pasuquin	2000	84.0%	99.6%	31.1%
Philippines	Pasuquin	2017	94.0%	99.9%	60.4%
Philippines	Pata	2000	74.2%	99.7%	13.7%
Philippines	Pata	2017	88.2%	99.9%	43.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pateros	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.9%
Philippines	Pateros	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Patikul	2000	61.3%	67.6%	55.9%
Philippines	Patikul	2017	67.8%	74.9%	60.0%
Philippines	Patnanungan	2000	74.6%	99.8%	15.1%
Philippines	Patnanungan	2017	86.2%	99.9%	35.4%
Philippines	Patnongon	2000	45.6%	76.5%	20.2%
Philippines	Patnongon	2017	81.7%	98.0%	57.0%
Philippines	Pavia	2000	98.3%	99.9%	91.3%
Philippines	Pavia	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Philippines	Payao	2000	76.1%	89.9%	56.7%
Philippines	Payao	2017	91.2%	96.9%	73.6%
Philippines	Peñablanca	2000	89.1%	98.1%	74.6%
Philippines	Peñablanca	2017	97.4%	99.7%	90.2%
Philippines	Peñaranda	2000	96.1%	99.4%	84.0%
Philippines	Peñaranda	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.1%
Philippines	Peñarrubia	2000	88.9%	95.0%	82.6%
Philippines	Peñarrubia	2017	97.4%	99.1%	90.2%
Philippines	Perez	2000	74.6%	99.9%	11.8%
Philippines	Perez	2017	86.8%	100.0%	21.9%
Philippines	Piagapo	2000	22.8%	67.9%	6.1%
Philippines	Piagapo	2017	63.2%	95.6%	21.5%
Philippines	Piat	2000	51.2%	96.3%	5.9%
Philippines	Piat	2017	77.8%	99.5%	26.5%
Philippines	Picong	2000	79.0%	98.4%	42.7%
Philippines	Picong	2017	93.4%	99.9%	67.1%
Philippines	Piddig	2000	92.5%	99.9%	62.5%
Philippines	Piddig	2017	97.9%	100.0%	85.5%
Philippines	Pidigan	2000	85.7%	91.7%	76.8%
Philippines	Pidigan	2017	92.6%	98.3%	81.2%
Philippines	Pigkawayan	2000	59.1%	81.5%	39.9%
Philippines	Pigkawayan	2017	73.2%	90.9%	49.8%
Philippines	Pikit	2000	80.7%	99.0%	46.6%
Philippines	Pikit	2017	91.4%	99.7%	66.7%
Philippines	Pila	2000	89.9%	98.4%	61.5%
Philippines	Pila	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	80.9%	98.5%	41.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	74.5%	99.9%	23.1%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	86.1%	99.2%	57.4%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	53.1%	83.9%	18.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.5%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	83.0%	98.7%	34.2%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	71.9%	98.2%	24.0%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	98.4%	99.9%	93.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	88.3%	100.0%	45.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	87.3%	99.1%	47.9%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	67.1%	92.3%	35.4%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	98.3%	100.0%	90.4%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	93.4%	99.8%	59.8%
Philippines	Pili	2000	49.9%	90.0%	16.8%
Philippines	Pili	2017	78.5%	96.0%	51.8%
Philippines	Pililla	2000	90.1%	100.0%	48.4%
Philippines	Pililla	2017	96.9%	100.0%	75.8%
Philippines	Pinabacdao	2000	91.4%	99.5%	68.1%
Philippines	Pinabacdao	2017	96.2%	99.9%	80.7%
Philippines	Pinamalayan	2000	80.6%	98.6%	35.5%
Philippines	Pinamalayan	2017	90.7%	99.7%	54.0%
Philippines	Pinamungahan	2000	86.4%	99.5%	57.9%
Philippines	Pinamungahan	2017	93.9%	99.9%	61.0%
Philippines	Pinan	2000	70.2%	90.8%	39.2%
Philippines	Pinan	2017	73.0%	87.4%	52.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pinili	2000	88.3%	96.7%	73.4%
Philippines	Pinili	2017	98.5%	99.8%	95.5%
Philippines	Pintuyan	2000	90.8%	99.7%	59.0%
Philippines	Pintuyan	2017	96.2%	100.0%	76.7%
Philippines	Pinukpuk	2000	74.3%	93.8%	36.4%
Philippines	Pinukpuk	2017	88.9%	97.2%	60.0%
Philippines	Pio Duran	2000	66.4%	96.9%	9.8%
Philippines	Pio Duran	2017	81.2%	99.2%	28.0%
Philippines	Pio V. Corpuz	2000	40.0%	71.6%	8.7%
Philippines	Pio V. Corpuz	2017	76.5%	94.9%	41.0%
Philippines	Pitogo	2000	15.4%	50.5%	0.8%
Philippines	Pitogo	2000	87.5%	97.8%	65.5%
Philippines	Pitogo	2017	48.3%	84.7%	9.9%
Philippines	Pitogo	2017	95.5%	99.2%	86.1%
Philippines	Placer	2000	83.9%	97.9%	34.2%
Philippines	Placer	2000	48.6%	78.7%	14.6%
Philippines	Placer	2017	93.4%	99.0%	67.3%
Philippines	Placer	2017	78.6%	94.4%	38.1%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	78.5%	99.9%	13.1%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	98.0%	98.9%	96.2%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	70.4%	92.0%	36.3%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	72.3%	90.5%	44.5%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	90.8%	100.0%	36.6%
Philippines	Pola	2000	83.7%	99.3%	53.4%
Philippines	Pola	2017	89.8%	99.5%	62.2%
Philippines	Polanco	2000	55.5%	61.9%	49.1%
Philippines	Polanco	2017	88.6%	91.3%	85.2%
Philippines	Polangui	2000	69.7%	96.5%	18.1%
Philippines	Polangui	2017	95.6%	99.8%	72.6%
Philippines	Polillo	2000	75.8%	99.0%	28.1%
Philippines	Polillo	2017	88.6%	99.8%	51.3%
Philippines	Polomolok	2000	79.4%	86.6%	70.0%
Philippines	Polomolok	2017	86.2%	94.1%	77.5%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2000	83.9%	97.7%	64.0%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2000	74.6%	83.6%	57.6%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2017	93.9%	97.5%	84.2%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2017	95.4%	99.5%	83.6%
Philippines	Poona	2000	48.4%	99.4%	0.9%
Philippines	Bayabao				
Philippines	Poona	2017	74.7%	100.0%	6.8%
Philippines	Bayabao				
Philippines	Poona Pia-gapo	2000	52.3%	96.6%	9.0%
Philippines	Poona Pia-gapo	2017	83.0%	99.8%	27.7%
Philippines	Porac	2000	89.8%	99.4%	63.5%
Philippines	Porac	2017	97.8%	100.0%	81.1%
Philippines	Poroc	2000	73.6%	99.9%	8.3%
Philippines	Poroc	2017	87.3%	100.0%	27.2%
Philippines	Pototan	2000	73.9%	82.2%	65.2%
Philippines	Pototan	2017	86.8%	96.8%	69.0%
Philippines	Pozzorubio	2000	97.8%	100.0%	89.3%
Philippines	Pozzorubio	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Philippines	Pres. Carlos P. Garcia	2000	69.2%	98.9%	13.3%
Philippines	Pres. Carlos P. Garcia	2017	87.0%	99.9%	37.0%
Philippines	Pres. Manuel A. Roxas	2000	55.9%	89.9%	10.9%
Philippines	Pres. Manuel A. Roxas	2017	82.9%	97.6%	46.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Presentacion	2000	77.1%	99.8%	26.5%
Philippines	Presentacion	2017	89.2%	99.9%	53.7%
Philippines	President Quirino	2000	77.8%	94.9%	56.8%
Philippines	President Quirino	2017	97.6%	99.7%	88.9%
Philippines	President Roxas	2000	81.1%	99.1%	28.5%
Philippines	President Roxas	2000	48.8%	76.0%	22.7%
Philippines	President Roxas	2017	90.9%	99.9%	44.7%
Philippines	President Roxas	2017	84.8%	95.4%	67.8%
Philippines	Prieto Diaz	2000	91.7%	99.9%	66.0%
Philippines	Prieto Diaz	2017	98.1%	100.0%	86.9%
Philippines	Prosperidad	2000	93.5%	99.2%	81.1%
Philippines	Prosperidad	2017	96.7%	99.7%	88.0%
Philippines	Pualas	2000	7.2%	31.2%	1.3%
Philippines	Pualas	2017	5.8%	12.1%	4.7%
Philippines	Pudtol	2000	80.1%	88.1%	67.0%
Philippines	Pudtol	2017	96.0%	98.1%	92.2%
Philippines	Puerto Galera	2000	74.6%	99.2%	18.6%
Philippines	Puerto Galera	2017	86.3%	99.8%	22.1%
Philippines	Puerto Princesa City	2000	93.1%	97.7%	85.9%
Philippines	Puerto Princesa City	2017	97.5%	99.3%	93.4%
Philippines	Pugo	2000	74.1%	99.8%	17.3%
Philippines	Pugo	2017	94.3%	100.0%	57.2%
Philippines	Pulilan	2000	98.9%	99.7%	96.5%
Philippines	Pulilan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Philippines	Pulupandan	2000	91.2%	100.0%	35.5%
Philippines	Pulupandan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	89.5%
Philippines	Pura	2000	99.3%	100.0%	95.6%
Philippines	Pura	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	88.9%	100.0%	37.6%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	78.3%	99.9%	27.6%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	85.5%	95.5%	70.8%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	63.4%	78.8%	44.1%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	72.3%	97.2%	32.4%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	71.5%	98.3%	21.4%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	97.3%	100.0%	76.2%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	90.8%	100.0%	51.8%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	95.1%	99.3%	85.9%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	87.0%	94.5%	75.3%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	86.1%	99.0%	43.6%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	92.4%	99.9%	59.9%
Philippines	Quezon City	2000	98.8%	99.3%	98.0%
Philippines	Quezon City	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Philippines	Quinapondan	2000	83.6%	99.9%	43.2%
Philippines	Quinapondan	2017	95.4%	99.9%	77.2%
Philippines	Quirino	2000	30.9%	57.2%	8.0%
Philippines	Quirino	2000	88.2%	99.6%	71.6%
Philippines	Quirino	2017	76.0%	86.9%	50.8%
Philippines	Quirino	2017	94.0%	99.9%	73.9%
Philippines	Ragay	2000	73.7%	99.2%	27.1%
Philippines	Ragay	2017	88.9%	99.9%	54.4%
Philippines	Rajah Buayan	2000	69.0%	73.0%	63.4%
Philippines	Rajah Buayan	2017	95.4%	96.6%	92.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Ramon	2000	88.9%	98.6%	60.2%
Philippines	Ramon	2017	98.5%	99.9%	91.6%
Philippines	Ramon	2000	83.9%	98.1%	51.7%
Philippines	Magsaysay				
Philippines	Ramon	2017	93.2%	99.9%	63.1%
Philippines	Magsaysay				
Philippines	Ramos	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Philippines	Ramos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Rapu-Rapu	2000	75.2%	96.2%	37.8%
Philippines	Rapu-Rapu	2017	87.9%	99.0%	59.6%
Philippines	Real	2000	76.4%	98.4%	33.7%
Philippines	Real	2017	89.7%	99.8%	58.6%
Philippines	Reina Mercedes	2000	53.9%	78.2%	31.8%
Philippines	Reina Mercedes	2017	90.6%	97.4%	71.4%
Philippines	Remedios T. Romualdez	2000	94.1%	99.9%	78.8%
Philippines	Remedios T. Romualdez	2017	98.4%	100.0%	92.1%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	94.5%	99.7%	82.5%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	73.7%	93.4%	40.6%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	21.8%	30.5%	14.3%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	99.4%	100.0%	97.0%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	91.4%	99.0%	72.9%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	42.8%	84.3%	5.3%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	62.8%	93.4%	20.9%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	78.8%	84.6%	71.7%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	90.1%	99.3%	51.3%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	97.4%	100.0%	87.7%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	97.9%	99.9%	90.6%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	88.2%	98.6%	65.2%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	75.8%	98.2%	31.0%
Philippines	Rodriguez	2000	89.6%	98.2%	65.8%
Philippines	Rodriguez	2017	96.9%	99.9%	81.7%
Philippines	Romblon	2000	73.4%	95.4%	23.5%
Philippines	Romblon	2017	90.8%	99.4%	50.8%
Philippines	Ronda	2000	77.5%	94.9%	38.2%
Philippines	Ronda	2017	95.6%	99.2%	84.5%
Philippines	Rosales	2000	97.1%	99.5%	89.7%
Philippines	Rosales	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	52.0%	78.8%	19.6%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	79.4%	99.3%	28.0%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	81.7%	99.6%	34.2%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	91.6%	98.7%	77.7%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	97.4%	99.9%	85.1%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	95.2%	99.9%	71.3%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	93.8%	99.9%	64.8%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	90.8%	97.8%	70.1%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	97.4%	99.8%	91.0%
Philippines	Roseller Lim	2000	76.0%	91.8%	57.2%
Philippines	Roseller Lim	2017	82.7%	95.2%	70.8%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	42.4%	62.2%	26.2%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	68.7%	86.8%	41.4%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	87.8%	92.5%	76.0%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	75.2%	85.9%	63.7%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	88.6%	97.7%	69.3%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	97.1%	98.2%	94.8%
Philippines	Roxas City	2000	96.1%	99.0%	90.8%
Philippines	Roxas City	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Sabangan	2000	82.9%	93.9%	57.5%
Philippines	Sabangan	2017	60.3%	77.3%	35.5%
Philippines	Sablan	2000	63.1%	75.0%	51.0%
Philippines	Sablan	2017	81.6%	91.5%	71.9%
Philippines	Sablayan	2000	83.3%	93.5%	66.1%
Philippines	Sablayan	2017	94.8%	98.7%	85.6%
Philippines	Sabtang	2000	84.7%	100.0%	18.2%
Philippines	Sabtang	2017	91.5%	100.0%	45.6%
Philippines	Sadanga	2000	81.7%	99.8%	36.2%
Philippines	Sadanga	2017	89.9%	99.7%	44.5%
Philippines	Sagada	2000	24.7%	52.6%	10.3%
Philippines	Sagada	2017	16.2%	29.3%	7.9%
Philippines	Sagay	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Philippines	Sagay	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Philippines	Sagay City	2000	88.9%	95.2%	76.3%
Philippines	Sagay City	2017	97.1%	99.1%	91.3%
Philippines	Sagbayan	2000	78.6%	99.9%	20.8%
Philippines	Sagbayan	2017	92.1%	100.0%	53.3%
Philippines	Sagnay	2000	85.5%	99.9%	27.6%
Philippines	Sagnay	2017	94.9%	100.0%	62.3%
Philippines	Saguday	2000	72.8%	86.1%	47.2%
Philippines	Saguday	2017	97.7%	98.7%	95.1%
Philippines	Saguiaran	2000	91.6%	99.8%	74.5%
Philippines	Saguiaran	2017	97.8%	100.0%	83.3%
Philippines	Saint Bernard	2000	88.2%	99.5%	58.8%
Philippines	Saint Bernard	2017	94.2%	99.9%	64.9%
Philippines	Salay	2000	95.6%	100.0%	63.9%
Philippines	Salay	2017	97.2%	100.0%	81.6%
Philippines	Salcedo	2000	96.9%	99.3%	92.6%
Philippines	Salcedo	2000	86.0%	99.8%	46.1%
Philippines	Salcedo	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.3%
Philippines	Salcedo	2017	94.4%	100.0%	66.9%
Philippines	Sallapadan	2000	52.0%	64.2%	39.4%
Philippines	Sallapadan	2017	88.8%	93.8%	77.1%
Philippines	Salug	2000	78.9%	92.3%	58.4%
Philippines	Salug	2017	91.9%	96.2%	82.8%
Philippines	Salvador	2000	72.1%	96.2%	37.2%
Philippines	Salvador	2017	93.9%	98.9%	81.8%
Philippines	Salvador	2000	36.6%	69.5%	9.2%
Philippines	Benedicto				
Philippines	Salvador	2017	60.6%	80.3%	30.5%
Philippines	Benedicto				
Philippines	Samal	2000	80.5%	85.7%	76.4%
Philippines	Samal	2017	60.4%	77.8%	45.7%
Philippines	Samal City	2000	84.8%	97.4%	61.4%
Philippines	Samal City	2017	95.0%	99.6%	78.5%
Philippines	Samboan	2000	79.5%	99.9%	21.0%
Philippines	Samboan	2017	92.4%	100.0%	60.5%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2000	92.4%	99.5%	76.1%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2017	97.3%	99.9%	87.2%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2000	87.0%	92.7%	79.5%
Philippines	Lake				
Philippines	Sampaloc	2017	98.0%	99.2%	95.5%
Philippines	Lake				
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	78.7%	99.7%	36.2%
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	55.1%	82.6%	17.4%
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	83.9%	99.6%	38.4%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	90.9%	99.9%	62.9%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	68.5%	89.0%	40.1%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	95.1%	100.0%	72.8%
Philippines	San Andres	2000	71.3%	98.9%	26.6%
Philippines	San Andres	2000	77.8%	89.6%	66.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Andres	2000	51.2%	80.9%	20.2%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	86.0%	99.8%	44.3%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	83.7%	95.0%	48.7%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	93.7%	98.6%	83.1%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	95.2%	99.9%	77.4%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	82.5%	99.6%	35.0%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	87.9%	100.0%	29.1%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	91.9%	99.5%	69.4%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	94.5%	100.0%	59.9%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	99.0%	100.0%	95.3%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	98.2%	100.0%	81.8%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	97.4%	100.0%	80.3%
Philippines	San Benito	2000	80.8%	99.9%	21.6%
Philippines	San Benito	2017	93.4%	100.0%	51.0%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2000	51.4%	79.5%	21.4%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2000	92.6%	98.4%	77.2%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2017	85.4%	97.2%	56.5%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2017	98.6%	99.9%	92.3%
Philippines	San Clemente	2000	89.0%	98.2%	52.3%
Philippines	San Clemente	2017	98.2%	99.9%	85.1%
Philippines	San Dionisio	2000	73.8%	97.8%	19.6%
Philippines	San Dionisio	2017	90.6%	99.8%	50.7%
Philippines	San Emilio	2000	87.1%	99.8%	52.4%
Philippines	San Emilio	2017	95.5%	100.0%	68.1%
Philippines	San Enrique	2000	94.3%	99.4%	76.9%
Philippines	San Enrique	2000	77.0%	93.5%	60.9%
Philippines	San Enrique	2017	90.8%	98.9%	72.1%
Philippines	San Enrique	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Philippines	San Esteban	2000	86.7%	100.0%	38.9%
Philippines	San Esteban	2017	97.2%	100.0%	79.5%
Philippines	San Fabian	2000	94.7%	99.2%	86.8%
Philippines	San Fabian	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.4%
Philippines	San Felipe	2000	81.5%	95.5%	58.9%
Philippines	San Felipe	2017	97.4%	99.4%	93.0%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	82.5%	99.4%	53.7%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	81.1%	89.3%	69.3%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	87.4%	98.6%	63.7%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	73.2%	100.0%	12.6%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	74.4%	96.9%	40.0%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	93.2%	99.9%	56.2%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	85.3%	99.0%	52.1%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	96.6%	99.3%	89.8%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	89.1%	100.0%	33.4%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	95.9%	99.5%	82.3%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2000	86.1%	95.3%	66.1%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2000	96.6%	99.0%	89.7%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.1%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2017	98.8%	99.5%	96.4%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	88.9%	96.2%	77.9%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	67.7%	96.7%	24.7%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	79.1%	99.8%	31.8%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	73.6%	99.8%	19.5%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	79.5%	99.9%	24.4%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	83.8%	99.4%	46.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	96.5%	99.3%	85.3%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	92.8%	100.0%	63.7%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	89.2%	100.0%	40.8%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	87.8%	100.0%	43.8%
Philippines	San Gabriel	2000	84.0%	99.3%	55.8%
Philippines	San Gabriel	2017	93.4%	99.9%	73.7%
Philippines	San Guillermo	2000	68.5%	96.0%	28.1%
Philippines	San Guillermo	2017	90.5%	99.7%	64.1%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2000	96.0%	98.5%	88.3%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2000	74.0%	99.0%	19.1%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.8%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2017	89.2%	99.9%	42.6%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	62.7%	89.8%	22.9%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	72.2%	93.0%	39.2%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	65.1%	89.0%	43.1%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	74.1%	99.5%	15.6%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	97.2%	99.5%	91.7%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	92.8%	99.7%	73.3%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	70.4%	99.2%	31.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	79.8%	95.8%	51.1%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	70.6%	92.2%	34.9%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	86.7%	97.8%	53.5%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	93.0%	100.0%	51.4%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	88.2%	98.9%	61.3%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	87.9%	100.0%	35.2%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	82.2%	95.9%	44.6%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	85.1%	99.2%	54.4%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	98.0%	100.0%	87.4%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	94.9%	99.3%	84.2%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2000	75.2%	99.7%	11.9%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2000	97.0%	99.9%	85.9%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2017	88.4%	100.0%	40.5%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Philippines	San Joaquin	2000	69.8%	92.7%	27.6%
Philippines	San Joaquin	2017	89.6%	98.9%	52.6%
Philippines	San Jorge	2000	80.4%	99.4%	37.2%
Philippines	San Jorge	2017	90.7%	99.9%	51.2%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	80.8%	91.2%	64.8%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	91.1%	100.0%	48.0%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	88.9%	97.3%	71.2%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	78.8%	99.9%	14.8%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	96.1%	99.9%	85.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	93.9%	97.7%	82.2%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	95.3%	98.4%	90.0%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	88.5%	99.4%	62.1%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	71.2%	96.4%	13.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.7%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	96.7%	100.0%	74.4%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	92.3%	100.0%	51.8%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	89.1%	99.6%	33.8%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	94.6%	99.9%	79.4%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	96.3%	98.7%	91.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	98.8%	99.5%	96.7%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	97.3%	99.7%	86.5%
Philippines	San Jose City	2000	84.4%	89.3%	76.8%
Philippines	San Jose City	2017	95.0%	97.9%	90.2%
Philippines	San Jose de Buan	2000	79.3%	99.8%	14.6%
Philippines	San Jose de Buan	2017	90.0%	100.0%	36.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Jose del Monte City	2000	97.9%	99.6%	92.2%
Philippines	San Jose del Monte City	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	78.7%	99.9%	12.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	75.2%	96.8%	39.2%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	47.4%	87.8%	8.4%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	93.4%	99.9%	65.8%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	98.4%	99.9%	94.3%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	97.7%	99.7%	93.6%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	91.1%	99.5%	66.9%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	92.2%	100.0%	46.2%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	97.3%	100.0%	84.9%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.3%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	92.0%	99.5%	64.6%
Philippines	San Julian	2000	73.3%	99.3%	11.4%
Philippines	San Julian	2017	87.5%	99.9%	25.2%
Philippines	San Leonardo	2000	97.8%	99.2%	95.4%
Philippines	San Leonardo	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Philippines	San Lorenzo	2000	74.0%	82.2%	62.1%
Philippines	San Lorenzo	2017	95.3%	96.9%	92.8%
Philippines	San Lorenzo Ruiz	2000	85.6%	97.8%	49.9%
Philippines	San Lorenzo Ruiz	2017	84.9%	96.4%	56.8%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	81.8%	94.7%	65.0%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	92.7%	100.0%	62.0%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	82.2%	94.2%	62.8%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	83.4%	100.0%	14.9%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	92.5%	100.0%	50.2%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	97.5%	100.0%	80.3%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	94.5%	99.1%	81.2%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	95.2%	99.2%	87.3%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	56.7%	69.4%	43.3%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	77.9%	97.2%	42.9%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	98.3%	100.0%	91.6%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	74.3%	87.2%	61.6%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	98.4%	99.9%	92.4%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.4%
Philippines	San Marcelino	2000	78.2%	93.8%	48.7%
Philippines	San Marcelino	2017	93.9%	98.7%	83.8%
Philippines	San Mariano	2000	67.6%	87.5%	39.2%
Philippines	San Mariano	2017	90.7%	98.4%	72.2%
Philippines	San Mateo	2000	95.5%	98.3%	90.5%
Philippines	San Mateo	2000	82.0%	98.2%	42.6%
Philippines	San Mateo	2017	99.2%	99.8%	96.8%
Philippines	San Mateo	2017	98.3%	99.9%	87.8%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	90.2%	98.6%	75.1%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	94.1%	99.2%	86.7%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	72.5%	95.9%	36.1%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	84.1%	99.5%	36.2%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	92.5%	99.8%	59.1%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	61.5%	80.2%	38.4%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	78.7%	87.1%	64.9%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	97.6%	99.8%	86.7%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	94.2%	99.9%	65.3%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	92.4%	97.5%	79.1%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	89.7%	96.6%	75.4%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	94.3%	99.5%	85.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.5%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	80.7%	98.4%	33.5%
Philippines	San Narciso	2000	81.2%	99.4%	46.0%
Philippines	San Narciso	2000	50.8%	84.8%	13.1%
Philippines	San Narciso	2017	96.8%	99.9%	80.7%
Philippines	San Narciso	2017	73.3%	90.6%	43.1%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	96.2%	100.0%	67.5%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	92.9%	99.1%	72.7%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	84.7%	98.4%	57.8%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.6%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	96.8%	100.0%	71.3%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	97.2%	99.9%	86.9%
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	78.4%	98.7%	30.9%
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	80.7%	99.8%	30.6%
Philippines	San Pablo	2017	90.9%	100.0%	49.5%
Philippines	San Pablo	2017	89.7%	99.5%	47.8%
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	91.0%	94.8%	85.9%
Philippines	San Pablo City	2017	96.9%	98.8%	94.1%
Philippines	San Pascual	2000	89.8%	95.9%	79.4%
Philippines	San Pascual	2000	75.0%	97.5%	39.4%
Philippines	San Pascual	2017	87.2%	99.3%	58.5%
Philippines	San Pascual	2017	96.4%	99.4%	84.7%
Philippines	San Pedro	2000	97.6%	99.1%	95.9%
Philippines	San Pedro	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.3%
Philippines	San Policarpo	2000	88.5%	98.7%	60.9%
Philippines	San Policarpo	2017	93.9%	98.7%	77.5%
Philippines	San Quintin	2000	92.8%	98.3%	83.8%
Philippines	San Quintin	2000	84.4%	99.9%	23.9%
Philippines	San Quintin	2017	97.4%	99.6%	90.7%
Philippines	San Quintin	2017	94.7%	100.0%	54.7%
Philippines	San Rafael	2000	57.8%	87.2%	19.6%
Philippines	San Rafael	2000	73.6%	93.3%	42.9%
Philippines	San Rafael	2017	90.5%	99.3%	58.7%
Philippines	San Rafael	2017	94.8%	99.6%	73.4%
Philippines	San Remigio	2000	70.7%	84.4%	53.3%
Philippines	San Remigio	2000	71.1%	98.5%	26.9%
Philippines	San Remigio	2017	88.6%	99.5%	50.7%
Philippines	San Remigio	2017	95.6%	98.6%	86.9%
Philippines	San Ricardo	2000	89.1%	99.6%	58.2%
Philippines	San Ricardo	2017	95.2%	100.0%	72.0%
Philippines	San Roque	2000	73.0%	99.8%	11.1%
Philippines	San Roque	2017	88.5%	100.0%	34.7%
Philippines	San Sebastian	2000	95.7%	99.8%	81.2%
Philippines	San Sebastian	2017	98.3%	100.0%	90.9%
Philippines	San Simon	2000	98.0%	100.0%	87.7%
Philippines	San Simon	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.1%
Philippines	San Teodoro	2000	78.9%	91.5%	61.7%
Philippines	San Teodoro	2017	87.4%	95.4%	74.2%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	73.3%	99.9%	16.0%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	89.0%	99.3%	52.9%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	82.4%	95.5%	60.1%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	96.9%	99.8%	80.4%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	87.7%	100.0%	45.6%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	74.9%	97.4%	35.3%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	92.9%	99.1%	80.6%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.7%
Philippines	Sanchez-Mira	2000	71.6%	87.7%	50.9%
Philippines	Sanchez-Mira	2017	87.9%	98.6%	58.2%
Philippines	Santa	2000	78.4%	97.2%	42.8%
Philippines	Santa	2017	97.0%	99.9%	82.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Santa Ana	2000	86.9%	99.9%	37.5%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2000	71.9%	98.3%	15.1%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2017	92.8%	100.0%	54.8%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2017	90.1%	99.8%	53.4%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2000	85.8%	94.3%	60.9%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2000	92.3%	96.2%	77.2%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2017	98.6%	99.5%	94.5%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2017	99.0%	99.8%	94.1%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2000	78.9%	97.1%	38.5%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2000	88.6%	99.4%	62.3%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.3%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2017	91.9%	99.2%	64.7%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	80.2%	95.5%	54.4%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	60.9%	78.3%	45.1%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	80.5%	99.4%	24.4%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	42.8%	63.6%	25.0%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	93.2%	99.5%	65.9%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	91.8%	96.9%	81.1%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.3%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	92.1%	99.9%	50.2%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	85.7%	95.2%	63.1%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	92.5%	99.1%	75.0%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.8%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	60.5%	71.6%	49.1%
Philippines	Santa Elena	2000	63.6%	88.4%	22.4%
Philippines	Santa Elena	2017	84.6%	97.2%	45.0%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	73.1%	99.8%	14.6%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	50.8%	84.7%	10.3%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	93.7%	95.5%	91.7%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	72.6%	99.2%	19.3%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	88.0%	100.0%	44.2%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	87.3%	99.8%	50.9%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	74.4%	96.1%	34.4%
Philippines	Santa Ignacia	2000	89.6%	100.0%	50.2%
Philippines	Santa Ignacia	2017	95.5%	100.0%	76.3%
Philippines	Santa Josefa	2000	83.7%	93.9%	52.8%
Philippines	Santa Josefa	2017	96.0%	98.8%	83.2%
Philippines	Santa Lucia	2000	87.5%	99.4%	46.9%
Philippines	Santa Lucia	2017	97.3%	100.0%	77.8%
Philippines	Santa Magdalena	2000	68.5%	99.0%	22.0%
Philippines	Santa Magdalena	2017	66.8%	98.4%	26.7%
Philippines	Santa Marcela	2000	53.5%	85.3%	24.0%
Philippines	Santa Marcela	2017	81.7%	98.4%	51.4%
Philippines	Santa Margarita	2000	81.0%	99.6%	34.9%
Philippines	Santa Margarita	2017	90.9%	99.9%	40.8%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	90.9%	98.9%	71.3%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	89.9%	99.9%	48.0%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	66.7%	93.8%	24.9%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	91.4%	99.7%	67.7%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	97.9%	99.0%	95.4%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	87.8%	96.5%	77.5%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	52.9%	89.7%	14.0%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	97.1%	99.7%	88.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	55.0%	95.3%	9.8%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	96.9%	100.0%	84.1%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	98.2%	99.9%	93.2%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	84.7%	99.1%	46.8%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	98.1%	100.0%	80.0%
Philippines	Santa Monica	2000	75.8%	100.0%	5.8%
Philippines	Santa Monica	2017	88.0%	100.0%	25.9%
Philippines	Santa Praxedes	2000	92.3%	100.0%	53.6%
Philippines	Santa Praxedes	2017	96.2%	100.0%	59.2%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2000	91.3%	99.8%	48.7%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2000	77.1%	96.3%	45.2%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.0%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2017	89.6%	98.8%	68.0%
Philippines	Santa Rosa	2000	96.4%	99.8%	84.8%
Philippines	Santa Rosa	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.3%
Philippines	Santa Rosa City	2000	98.7%	99.9%	93.5%
Philippines	Santa Rosa City	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2000	88.9%	100.0%	38.3%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2000	64.4%	98.8%	10.5%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2017	93.6%	100.0%	47.3%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2017	88.7%	100.0%	32.0%
Philippines	Santander	2000	92.3%	99.1%	72.7%
Philippines	Santander	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.3%
Philippines	Santiago	2000	91.9%	96.8%	84.7%
Philippines	Santiago	2000	90.8%	99.9%	46.4%
Philippines	Santiago	2017	97.2%	99.3%	92.0%
Philippines	Santiago	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.7%
Philippines	Santiago City	2000	30.5%	44.8%	20.2%
Philippines	Santiago City	2017	71.1%	85.8%	52.5%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	92.6%	99.6%	67.9%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	91.9%	93.6%	88.3%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	95.4%	99.6%	82.4%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.2%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.5%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	93.0%	95.7%	90.4%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2000	77.4%	98.1%	31.8%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2000	73.1%	99.9%	17.2%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2017	91.6%	99.9%	44.1%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2017	87.9%	100.0%	44.5%
Philippines	Santo Niño	2000	78.4%	96.9%	44.7%
Philippines	Santo Niño	2017	94.3%	99.8%	76.8%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	97.9%	99.9%	90.9%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	88.2%	97.1%	71.0%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	76.5%	98.8%	29.7%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	79.2%	99.9%	22.7%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	63.0%	93.5%	28.6%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	97.5%	100.0%	84.4%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	89.6%	99.6%	58.9%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	88.3%	99.1%	56.9%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	91.1%	100.0%	40.6%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	87.0%	98.4%	69.8%
Philippines	Santol	2000	75.3%	99.0%	23.5%
Philippines	Santol	2017	93.1%	100.0%	64.2%
Philippines	Sapa-Sapa	2000	57.3%	86.8%	19.5%
Philippines	Sapa-Sapa	2017	82.2%	98.4%	44.8%
Philippines	Sapad	2000	81.8%	97.9%	42.4%
Philippines	Sapad	2017	95.5%	99.5%	79.1%
Philippines	Sapang Dalaga	2000	39.5%	59.0%	22.7%
Philippines	Sapang Dalaga	2017	78.3%	89.1%	58.5%
Philippines	Sapi-An	2000	85.0%	97.4%	55.6%
Philippines	Sapi-An	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.8%
Philippines	Sara	2000	69.6%	93.1%	25.7%
Philippines	Sara	2017	91.0%	98.6%	64.7%
Philippines	Sarangani	2000	48.4%	83.4%	13.5%
Philippines	Sarangani	2017	74.7%	94.8%	42.1%
Philippines	Sariaya	2000	67.1%	82.4%	54.3%
Philippines	Sariaya	2017	91.6%	98.8%	78.4%
Philippines	Sarrat	2000	88.9%	94.8%	71.3%
Philippines	Sarrat	2017	98.7%	99.4%	96.3%
Philippines	Sasmuan	2000	98.9%	99.9%	94.7%
Philippines	Sasmuan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Philippines	Sebaste	2000	72.7%	99.9%	17.6%
Philippines	Sebaste	2017	84.5%	99.9%	39.8%
Philippines	Sen. Ninoy Aquino	2000	63.0%	94.4%	20.5%
Philippines	Sen. Ninoy Aquino	2017	78.1%	97.3%	39.2%
Philippines	Sergio Osmena Sr.	2000	57.8%	87.5%	22.0%
Philippines	Sergio Osmena Sr.	2017	71.7%	91.7%	40.5%
Philippines	Sevilla	2000	55.6%	84.7%	20.3%
Philippines	Sevilla	2017	82.2%	95.4%	53.1%
Philippines	Shariff Aguak	2000	18.1%	48.6%	1.0%
Philippines	Shariff Aguak	2017	45.7%	70.3%	11.2%
Philippines	Siasi	2000	69.0%	86.8%	44.3%
Philippines	Siasi	2017	89.2%	97.9%	68.2%
Philippines	Siaton	2000	87.2%	98.8%	66.9%
Philippines	Siaton	2017	96.7%	99.9%	86.1%
Philippines	Siay	2000	57.4%	77.4%	35.7%
Philippines	Siay	2017	77.5%	90.6%	59.3%
Philippines	Siayan	2000	23.1%	42.1%	8.8%
Philippines	Siayan	2017	40.5%	53.2%	26.5%
Philippines	Sibagat	2000	54.4%	74.9%	26.0%
Philippines	Sibagat	2017	69.7%	92.8%	42.4%
Philippines	Sibalom	2000	72.6%	80.3%	64.1%
Philippines	Sibalom	2017	95.0%	96.9%	91.5%
Philippines	Sibonga	2000	78.7%	93.4%	38.2%
Philippines	Sibonga	2017	86.9%	98.3%	40.5%
Philippines	Sibuco	2000	75.8%	96.7%	44.1%
Philippines	Sibuco	2017	89.1%	99.3%	64.8%
Philippines	Sibulan	2000	95.3%	99.5%	86.4%
Philippines	Sibulan	2017	98.6%	99.9%	95.9%
Philippines	Sibunag	2000	56.4%	71.2%	42.5%
Philippines	Sibunag	2017	89.5%	94.9%	78.2%
Philippines	Sibutad	2000	48.3%	66.1%	25.7%
Philippines	Sibutad	2017	94.4%	97.2%	86.6%
Philippines	Sibutu	2000	75.8%	93.5%	49.2%
Philippines	Sibutu	2017	95.0%	99.6%	77.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Sierra Bul- lones	2000	81.6%	99.9%	20.2%
Philippines	Sierra Bul- lones	2017	89.9%	100.0%	48.1%
Philippines	Sigay	2000	85.7%	100.0%	40.2%
Philippines	Sigay	2017	94.5%	100.0%	66.7%
Philippines	Sigma	2000	71.0%	87.8%	46.2%
Philippines	Sigma	2017	97.0%	99.0%	90.8%
Philippines	Sikatuna	2000	81.3%	93.8%	66.4%
Philippines	Sikatuna	2017	97.2%	99.3%	92.2%
Philippines	Silago	2000	87.5%	99.5%	58.1%
Philippines	Silago	2017	95.5%	99.9%	76.8%
Philippines	Silang	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Silang	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Silay City	2000	96.6%	99.6%	89.4%
Philippines	Silay City	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.2%
Philippines	Silvino Lobos	2000	75.3%	99.4%	22.1%
Philippines	Silvino Lobos	2017	87.4%	99.8%	43.6%
Philippines	Simunul	2000	48.3%	72.7%	20.5%
Philippines	Simunul	2017	84.4%	96.8%	55.1%
Philippines	Sinacaban	2000	94.8%	99.1%	82.8%
Philippines	Sinacaban	2017	97.3%	99.7%	86.0%
Philippines	Sinait	2000	86.8%	99.9%	45.0%
Philippines	Sinait	2017	97.5%	100.0%	79.7%
Philippines	Sindangan	2000	71.0%	85.5%	54.9%
Philippines	Sindangan	2017	94.1%	96.7%	89.2%
Philippines	Siniloan	2000	84.6%	99.7%	41.3%
Philippines	Siniloan	2017	97.4%	100.0%	82.9%
Philippines	Siocon	2000	80.3%	95.2%	42.2%
Philippines	Siocon	2017	91.9%	98.6%	68.4%
Philippines	Sipalay City	2000	77.2%	97.4%	41.2%
Philippines	Sipalay City	2017	94.3%	99.9%	72.7%
Philippines	Sipocot	2000	74.8%	97.7%	36.7%
Philippines	Sipocot	2017	92.7%	99.3%	81.5%
Philippines	Siquijor	2000	98.7%	99.9%	94.9%
Philippines	Siquijor	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.3%
Philippines	Sirawai	2000	76.9%	99.3%	31.3%
Philippines	Sirawai	2017	89.5%	99.8%	52.3%
Philippines	Siruma	2000	75.3%	99.5%	30.2%
Philippines	Siruma	2017	88.4%	99.9%	48.2%
Philippines	Sison	2000	82.9%	94.7%	69.3%
Philippines	Sison	2000	91.6%	99.8%	55.5%
Philippines	Sison	2017	93.4%	98.3%	77.4%
Philippines	Sison	2017	97.9%	100.0%	82.6%
Philippines	Sitangkai	2000	75.8%	98.9%	29.9%
Philippines	Sitangkai	2017	91.7%	99.9%	50.3%
Philippines	Socorro	2000	82.7%	95.1%	62.4%
Philippines	Socorro	2000	77.3%	99.9%	16.4%
Philippines	Socorro	2017	88.4%	100.0%	42.3%
Philippines	Socorro	2017	86.7%	97.4%	66.0%
Philippines	Sofronio Espanola	2000	62.7%	89.3%	27.5%
Philippines	Sofronio Espanola	2017	73.2%	90.9%	42.4%
Philippines	Sogod	2000	86.6%	97.9%	67.4%
Philippines	Sogod	2000	74.7%	99.9%	11.6%
Philippines	Sogod	2017	95.3%	99.4%	84.1%
Philippines	Sogod	2017	85.4%	100.0%	35.2%
Philippines	Solana	2000	76.4%	88.7%	59.3%
Philippines	Solana	2017	87.8%	98.3%	70.2%
Philippines	Solano	2000	76.9%	86.6%	62.9%
Philippines	Solano	2017	95.4%	97.2%	92.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Solsona	2000	98.6%	100.0%	92.6%
Philippines	Solsona	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.3%
Philippines	Sominot	2000	87.3%	99.8%	47.9%
Philippines	Sominot	2017	93.9%	99.9%	68.3%
Philippines	Sorsogon City	2000	88.3%	95.4%	77.2%
Philippines	Sorsogon City	2017	97.9%	99.6%	93.4%
Philippines	South Ubian	2000	73.8%	99.1%	21.2%
Philippines	South Ubian	2017	86.8%	99.9%	49.9%
Philippines	South Upi	2000	58.3%	92.5%	14.9%
Philippines	South Upi	2017	75.9%	97.1%	27.3%
Philippines	Sual	2000	85.3%	99.8%	46.9%
Philippines	Sual	2017	96.3%	100.0%	77.6%
Philippines	Subic	2000	94.1%	98.4%	85.7%
Philippines	Subic	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.9%
Philippines	Sudipen	2000	93.0%	99.8%	73.5%
Philippines	Sudipen	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.5%
Philippines	Sugbongcogon	2000	93.0%	100.0%	52.3%
Philippines	Sugbongcogon	2017	97.3%	100.0%	83.0%
Philippines	Sugpon	2000	77.9%	99.4%	23.5%
Philippines	Sugpon	2017	89.8%	99.9%	51.6%
Philippines	Sulat	2000	72.8%	99.6%	13.4%
Philippines	Sulat	2017	87.9%	99.9%	42.7%
Philippines	Sulop	2000	69.2%	82.5%	56.1%
Philippines	Sulop	2017	91.6%	98.5%	73.4%
Philippines	Sultan Dumlondong	2000	50.4%	87.5%	15.7%
Philippines	Sultan Dumlondong	2017	79.5%	98.4%	48.2%
Philippines	Sultan Kudarat	2000	73.1%	79.2%	62.6%
Philippines	Sultan Kudarat	2017	87.2%	91.5%	80.3%
Philippines	Sultan Mastura	2000	33.6%	48.8%	19.7%
Philippines	Sultan Mastura	2017	65.9%	76.7%	53.4%
Philippines	Sultan Naga Dimaporo	2000	82.5%	96.0%	54.8%
Philippines	Sultan Naga Dimaporo	2017	94.0%	99.4%	73.4%
Philippines	Sultan Sa Barongis	2000	80.8%	85.6%	72.6%
Philippines	Sultan Sa Barongis	2017	96.5%	97.9%	94.2%
Philippines	Sumilao	2000	78.7%	97.9%	30.9%
Philippines	Sumilao	2017	87.8%	98.6%	47.9%
Philippines	Sumisip	2000	67.1%	89.2%	32.7%
Philippines	Sumisip	2017	78.0%	92.4%	49.2%
Philippines	Surallah	2000	77.2%	95.0%	49.8%
Philippines	Surallah	2017	93.8%	99.3%	76.4%
Philippines	Surigao City	2000	89.7%	97.2%	77.8%
Philippines	Surigao City	2017	97.7%	99.8%	93.1%
Philippines	Suyo	2000	88.6%	99.8%	56.0%
Philippines	Suyo	2017	97.5%	100.0%	86.7%
Philippines	T'Boli	2000	84.7%	93.2%	71.1%
Philippines	T'Boli	2017	92.5%	97.0%	81.2%
Philippines	Taal	2000	92.4%	100.0%	50.5%
Philippines	Taal	2017	94.7%	100.0%	59.8%
Philippines	Taal lake	2000	95.3%	99.4%	86.0%
Philippines	Taal lake	2017	97.5%	99.8%	89.9%
Philippines	Tabaco City	2000	64.9%	81.9%	45.8%
Philippines	Tabaco City	2017	88.6%	96.0%	75.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tabango	2000	73.0%	99.6%	11.7%
Philippines	Tabango	2017	85.8%	99.9%	36.9%
Philippines	Tabina	2000	81.2%	98.9%	45.3%
Philippines	Tabina	2017	89.3%	99.2%	59.4%
Philippines	Tabogon	2000	72.3%	97.2%	26.7%
Philippines	Tabogon	2017	90.0%	99.4%	60.8%
Philippines	Tabontabon	2000	96.6%	99.9%	83.3%
Philippines	Tabontabon	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.3%
Philippines	Tabuelan	2000	74.1%	99.8%	18.2%
Philippines	Tabuelan	2017	87.9%	99.9%	50.5%
Philippines	Tabuk City	2000	84.3%	90.2%	76.6%
Philippines	Tabuk City	2017	96.1%	98.8%	91.4%
Philippines	Tacloban City	2000	90.3%	95.6%	83.3%
Philippines	Tacloban City	2017	98.4%	99.3%	96.1%
Philippines	Tacurong City	2000	83.6%	92.5%	64.2%
Philippines	Tacurong City	2017	97.4%	98.8%	91.1%
Philippines	Tadian	2000	23.2%	55.4%	4.3%
Philippines	Tadian	2017	56.2%	81.3%	13.5%
Philippines	Taft	2000	76.5%	99.3%	26.5%
Philippines	Taft	2017	91.0%	99.9%	53.0%
Philippines	Tagana-An	2000	93.7%	99.4%	78.3%
Philippines	Tagana-An	2017	96.9%	99.9%	83.4%
Philippines	Tagapul-An	2000	72.0%	99.9%	5.8%
Philippines	Tagapul-An	2017	85.1%	100.0%	28.4%
Philippines	Tagaytay City	2000	98.0%	100.0%	90.7%
Philippines	Tagaytay City	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.7%
Philippines	Tagbilaran City	2000	93.7%	100.0%	59.8%
Philippines	Tagbilaran City	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.9%
Philippines	Tagbina	2000	86.7%	99.4%	49.1%
Philippines	Tagbina	2017	92.7%	99.7%	63.2%
Philippines	Tagkawayan	2000	81.9%	95.0%	51.0%
Philippines	Tagkawayan	2017	92.9%	98.9%	74.3%
Philippines	Tago	2000	89.9%	97.1%	75.0%
Philippines	Tago	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.7%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2000	71.7%	77.0%	66.8%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2000	97.9%	99.8%	92.5%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2017	94.9%	96.7%	92.6%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Philippines	Tagoloan II	2000	57.0%	89.0%	13.8%
Philippines	Tagoloan II	2017	77.1%	95.6%	33.0%
Philippines	Tagudin	2000	97.1%	100.0%	77.0%
Philippines	Tagudin	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.1%
Philippines	Taguig	2000	98.6%	99.5%	96.9%
Philippines	Taguig	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Philippines	Tagum City	2000	88.1%	93.5%	81.4%
Philippines	Tagum City	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.3%
Philippines	Talacogon	2000	78.1%	91.6%	61.5%
Philippines	Talacogon	2017	97.5%	99.3%	92.2%
Philippines	Talaingod	2000	72.0%	93.0%	39.3%
Philippines	Talaingod	2017	86.1%	98.5%	59.9%
Philippines	Talakag	2000	73.7%	92.7%	51.9%
Philippines	Talakag	2017	85.4%	97.7%	65.0%
Philippines	Talalora	2000	29.3%	51.0%	16.9%
Philippines	Talalora	2017	26.6%	43.7%	13.9%
Philippines	Talavera	2000	86.3%	95.7%	72.5%
Philippines	Talavera	2017	94.7%	97.9%	87.3%
Philippines	Talayan	2000	58.4%	94.8%	11.9%
Philippines	Talayan	2017	76.5%	94.9%	44.4%
Philippines	Talibon	2000	72.3%	99.0%	22.8%
Philippines	Talibon	2017	86.9%	99.8%	47.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Talipao	2000	66.5%	72.0%	59.6%
Philippines	Talipao	2017	84.1%	88.0%	78.1%
Philippines	Talisay	2000	95.1%	98.8%	86.2%
Philippines	Talisay	2000	98.2%	100.0%	85.8%
Philippines	Talisay	2017	93.6%	98.4%	88.2%
Philippines	Talisay	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.9%
Philippines	Talisay City	2000	95.5%	99.6%	80.8%
Philippines	Talisay City	2000	73.0%	77.5%	65.8%
Philippines	Talisay City	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.2%
Philippines	Talisay City	2017	83.8%	90.6%	74.0%
Philippines	Talisayan	2000	87.1%	100.0%	32.0%
Philippines	Talisayan	2017	94.1%	100.0%	67.6%
Philippines	Talitay	2000	66.9%	99.5%	16.9%
Philippines	Talitay	2017	83.2%	97.9%	61.0%
Philippines	Talugtug	2000	96.2%	100.0%	74.1%
Philippines	Talugtug	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.3%
Philippines	Talusan	2000	79.4%	99.6%	21.2%
Philippines	Talusan	2017	90.8%	100.0%	53.8%
Philippines	Tambulig	2000	77.6%	91.0%	55.8%
Philippines	Tambulig	2017	87.9%	94.1%	70.5%
Philippines	Tampakan	2000	96.1%	99.4%	85.8%
Philippines	Tampakan	2017	96.7%	99.4%	90.9%
Philippines	Tamparan	2000	47.7%	93.8%	5.3%
Philippines	Tamparan	2017	76.1%	98.2%	22.0%
Philippines	Tampilisan	2000	79.0%	99.3%	24.0%
Philippines	Tampilisan	2017	89.4%	99.9%	46.5%
Philippines	Tanauan	2000	96.6%	99.9%	75.4%
Philippines	Tanauan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	95.9%
Philippines	Tanauan City	2000	93.9%	98.2%	84.4%
Philippines	Tanauan City	2017	96.1%	99.6%	84.3%
Philippines	Tanay	2000	90.2%	99.6%	71.0%
Philippines	Tanay	2017	96.9%	99.9%	84.4%
Philippines	Tandag City	2000	71.9%	98.4%	12.9%
Philippines	Tandag City	2017	93.5%	99.8%	56.4%
Philippines	Tandubas	2000	59.5%	88.5%	24.2%
Philippines	Tandubas	2017	87.9%	98.1%	60.1%
Philippines	Tangalan	2000	87.2%	98.7%	63.3%
Philippines	Tangalan	2017	97.7%	99.9%	90.9%
Philippines	Tangcal	2000	53.6%	97.4%	8.7%
Philippines	Tangcal	2017	66.2%	97.9%	20.4%
Philippines	Tangub City	2000	90.0%	95.4%	82.7%
Philippines	Tangub City	2017	92.6%	97.9%	83.2%
Philippines	Tanjay City	2000	92.9%	98.8%	78.6%
Philippines	Tanjay City	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.4%
Philippines	Tantangan	2000	89.7%	96.0%	78.2%
Philippines	Tantangan	2017	97.8%	99.4%	93.1%
Philippines	Tanudan	2000	76.5%	98.1%	46.3%
Philippines	Tanudan	2017	74.8%	98.0%	46.9%
Philippines	Tanza	2000	95.7%	99.7%	88.4%
Philippines	Tanza	2017	97.4%	100.0%	87.0%
Philippines	Tapaz	2000	58.7%	87.1%	26.1%
Philippines	Tapaz	2017	82.1%	96.0%	49.4%
Philippines	Tapul	2000	74.7%	99.4%	12.8%
Philippines	Tapul	2017	84.4%	99.7%	23.2%
Philippines	Taraka	2000	45.4%	87.0%	9.7%
Philippines	Taraka	2017	71.3%	97.7%	20.5%
Philippines	Tarangnan	2000	82.4%	99.8%	34.7%
Philippines	Tarangnan	2017	91.9%	100.0%	60.3%
Philippines	Tarlac City	2000	94.4%	97.3%	87.7%
Philippines	Tarlac City	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.6%
Philippines	Tarragona	2000	65.7%	92.1%	31.9%
Philippines	Tarragona	2017	90.1%	99.1%	69.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tayabas City	2000	37.3%	55.8%	24.0%
Philippines	Tayabas City	2017	69.4%	88.2%	42.3%
Philippines	Tayasan	2000	65.5%	93.5%	27.8%
Philippines	Tayasan	2017	86.8%	99.3%	56.6%
Philippines	Taysan	2000	91.2%	100.0%	54.6%
Philippines	Taysan	2017	96.8%	100.0%	74.3%
Philippines	Taytay	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Philippines	Taytay	2000	76.4%	91.9%	56.6%
Philippines	Taytay	2017	90.5%	98.0%	75.8%
Philippines	Taytay	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Tayug	2000	92.6%	99.6%	69.9%
Philippines	Tayug	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.3%
Philippines	Tayum	2000	92.4%	95.3%	86.3%
Philippines	Tayum	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.1%
Philippines	Teresa	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.2%
Philippines	Teresa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Ternate	2000	84.0%	100.0%	40.7%
Philippines	Ternate	2017	95.6%	100.0%	65.9%
Philippines	Tiaong	2000	97.2%	99.7%	90.2%
Philippines	Tiaong	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Philippines	Tibiao	2000	68.7%	80.9%	52.6%
Philippines	Tibiao	2017	93.4%	97.6%	83.9%
Philippines	Tigaon	2000	85.0%	100.0%	24.9%
Philippines	Tigaon	2017	95.4%	100.0%	64.8%
Philippines	Tigbao	2000	74.7%	98.4%	25.4%
Philippines	Tigbao	2017	83.9%	99.2%	47.2%
Philippines	Tigbauan	2000	44.2%	66.9%	28.8%
Philippines	Tigbauan	2017	88.7%	97.5%	67.0%
Philippines	Tinambac	2000	91.2%	99.7%	70.8%
Philippines	Tinambac	2017	95.5%	99.9%	81.2%
Philippines	Tineg	2000	75.3%	96.8%	40.4%
Philippines	Tineg	2017	90.4%	99.5%	67.4%
Philippines	Tinglayan	2000	87.9%	96.4%	70.5%
Philippines	Tinglayan	2017	95.2%	99.1%	85.2%
Philippines	Tingloy	2000	75.3%	99.9%	16.0%
Philippines	Tingloy	2017	87.8%	100.0%	30.2%
Philippines	Tinoc	2000	68.0%	97.2%	23.8%
Philippines	Tinoc	2017	83.6%	99.6%	41.4%
Philippines	Tipo-Tipo	2000	98.2%	99.6%	92.5%
Philippines	Tipo-Tipo	2017	98.5%	99.6%	94.9%
Philippines	Titay	2000	85.9%	99.0%	33.4%
Philippines	Titay	2017	95.3%	99.9%	74.8%
Philippines	Tiwi	2000	90.0%	97.2%	74.0%
Philippines	Tiwi	2017	94.9%	98.8%	84.1%
Philippines	Tobias Fornier	2000	79.7%	97.4%	38.7%
Philippines	Tobias Fornier	2017	94.0%	99.6%	67.0%
Philippines	Toboso	2000	56.0%	98.7%	9.0%
Philippines	Toboso	2017	67.0%	97.6%	20.1%
Philippines	Toledo City	2000	87.4%	91.9%	81.9%
Philippines	Toledo City	2017	98.0%	99.0%	96.8%
Philippines	Tolosa	2000	91.1%	99.9%	56.6%
Philippines	Tolosa	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.6%
Philippines	Tomas Oppus	2000	66.4%	72.4%	53.7%
Philippines	Tomas Oppus	2017	88.9%	96.9%	79.0%
Philippines	Tongkil	2000	73.1%	94.4%	33.1%
Philippines	Tongkil	2017	88.9%	99.1%	56.7%
Philippines	Torrijos	2000	81.3%	89.6%	73.8%
Philippines	Torrijos	2017	84.6%	92.1%	78.5%
Philippines	Trece Martires City	2000	85.6%	99.5%	69.3%
Philippines	Trece Martires City	2017	93.5%	99.9%	63.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Trento	2000	72.6%	97.0%	24.2%
Philippines	Trento	2017	88.7%	98.6%	54.2%
Philippines	Trinidad	2000	53.7%	82.4%	25.4%
Philippines	Trinidad	2017	86.1%	95.6%	66.1%
Philippines	Tuao	2000	38.8%	75.6%	7.7%
Philippines	Tuao	2017	58.3%	93.5%	14.1%
Philippines	Tuba	2000	78.2%	87.0%	66.1%
Philippines	Tuba	2017	96.4%	98.0%	91.5%
Philippines	Tubajon	2000	92.4%	99.7%	76.3%
Philippines	Tubajon	2017	96.2%	99.9%	85.2%
Philippines	Tubao	2000	81.0%	100.0%	36.9%
Philippines	Tubao	2017	91.8%	100.0%	49.4%
Philippines	Tubaran	2000	24.9%	42.2%	11.4%
Philippines	Tubaran	2017	67.1%	82.8%	54.3%
Philippines	Tubay	2000	90.0%	97.8%	78.6%
Philippines	Tubay	2017	95.7%	99.5%	85.2%
Philippines	Tubigon	2000	92.4%	99.6%	72.5%
Philippines	Tubigon	2017	97.7%	100.0%	87.4%
Philippines	Tublay	2000	89.3%	93.9%	83.3%
Philippines	Tublay	2017	97.0%	98.7%	94.2%
Philippines	Tubo	2000	78.2%	98.4%	37.7%
Philippines	Tubo	2017	91.3%	99.6%	65.4%
Philippines	Tubod	2000	86.7%	99.3%	56.4%
Philippines	Tubod	2000	76.4%	95.2%	39.5%
Philippines	Tubod	2017	81.8%	94.8%	46.0%
Philippines	Tubod	2017	95.2%	99.9%	74.4%
Philippines	Tubungan	2000	86.0%	98.6%	61.5%
Philippines	Tubungan	2017	98.1%	99.9%	89.8%
Philippines	Tuburan	2000	84.8%	95.9%	66.8%
Philippines	Tuburan	2000	98.8%	99.7%	95.9%
Philippines	Tuburan	2017	99.2%	99.9%	94.8%
Philippines	Tuburan	2017	91.1%	97.6%	76.5%
Philippines	Tudela	2000	89.6%	99.1%	61.5%
Philippines	Tudela	2000	72.5%	100.0%	9.5%
Philippines	Tudela	2017	93.6%	99.8%	55.0%
Philippines	Tudela	2017	86.1%	100.0%	37.8%
Philippines	Tugaya	2000	36.2%	54.7%	23.0%
Philippines	Tugaya	2017	69.7%	89.2%	44.2%
Philippines	Tuguegarao City	2000	94.9%	99.7%	79.2%
Philippines	Tuguegarao City	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.8%
Philippines	Tukuran	2000	63.0%	87.8%	16.4%
Philippines	Tukuran	2017	86.7%	96.3%	40.1%
Philippines	Tulunan	2000	90.6%	97.3%	81.6%
Philippines	Tulunan	2017	97.0%	99.7%	89.8%
Philippines	Tumauini	2000	71.4%	92.4%	42.4%
Philippines	Tumauini	2017	90.3%	98.7%	64.8%
Philippines	Tunga	2000	58.8%	93.1%	36.2%
Philippines	Tunga	2017	70.5%	96.7%	54.3%
Philippines	Tungawan	2000	66.0%	93.1%	29.0%
Philippines	Tungawan	2017	84.3%	97.7%	54.3%
Philippines	Tupi	2000	85.1%	95.6%	70.6%
Philippines	Tupi	2017	73.3%	87.5%	58.0%
Philippines	Tuy	2000	86.3%	97.4%	65.1%
Philippines	Tuy	2017	95.9%	99.7%	84.6%
Philippines	Ubay	2000	56.0%	86.8%	24.1%
Philippines	Ubay	2017	86.9%	97.9%	63.0%
Philippines	Umingan	2000	86.2%	99.9%	40.1%
Philippines	Umingan	2017	95.8%	100.0%	69.2%
Philippines	Ungkaya Pukan	2000	89.4%	98.3%	68.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Ungkaya Pukan	2017	91.7%	98.0%	73.2%
Philippines	Unisan	2000	49.2%	85.2%	14.8%
Philippines	Unisan	2017	66.2%	91.2%	19.4%
Philippines	Upi	2000	73.3%	80.7%	65.4%
Philippines	Upi	2017	86.1%	92.3%	79.0%
Philippines	Urbiztondo	2000	92.7%	100.0%	54.5%
Philippines	Urbiztondo	2017	98.8%	100.0%	87.5%
Philippines	Urdaneta City	2000	89.9%	96.4%	77.0%
Philippines	Urdaneta City	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.1%
Philippines	Uson	2000	75.8%	98.4%	30.2%
Philippines	Uson	2017	92.3%	99.9%	60.6%
Philippines	Uyugan	2000	98.5%	99.9%	95.3%
Philippines	Uyugan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Philippines	Valderrama	2000	60.5%	88.3%	24.5%
Philippines	Valderrama	2017	91.4%	99.2%	71.0%
Philippines	Valencia	2000	86.5%	99.7%	52.7%
Philippines	Valencia	2000	92.5%	99.7%	62.9%
Philippines	Valencia	2017	93.7%	100.0%	63.9%
Philippines	Valencia	2017	96.5%	99.9%	76.6%
Philippines	Valencia City	2000	86.6%	95.5%	75.3%
Philippines	Valencia City	2017	92.3%	98.1%	83.9%
Philippines	Valenzuela	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Philippines	Valenzuela	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Valladolid	2000	93.2%	99.0%	75.5%
Philippines	Valladolid	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.9%
Philippines	Vallehermoso	2000	48.4%	75.1%	20.5%
Philippines	Vallehermoso	2017	82.2%	94.9%	56.9%
Philippines	Veruela	2000	76.3%	90.3%	58.7%
Philippines	Veruela	2017	83.4%	94.6%	70.7%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	95.4%	99.9%	76.3%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	88.0%	99.8%	49.8%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	92.9%	98.5%	79.2%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	91.5%	99.3%	75.3%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.4%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	96.9%	99.7%	87.3%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	98.1%	99.9%	89.3%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	97.2%	100.0%	75.1%
Philippines	Victorias City	2000	78.1%	99.5%	26.2%
Philippines	Victorias City	2017	95.0%	99.8%	75.9%
Philippines	Viga	2000	48.4%	72.1%	24.3%
Philippines	Viga	2017	48.3%	69.0%	26.4%
Philippines	Vigan City	2000	86.7%	98.0%	61.3%
Philippines	Vigan City	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.9%
Philippines	Villaba	2000	76.7%	99.9%	25.5%
Philippines	Villaba	2017	88.9%	100.0%	44.1%
Philippines	Villanueva	2000	98.2%	100.0%	92.7%
Philippines	Villanueva	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.4%
Philippines	Villareal	2000	68.4%	96.8%	27.0%
Philippines	Villareal	2017	81.6%	97.6%	37.5%
Philippines	Villasis	2000	94.4%	99.4%	76.7%
Philippines	Villasis	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Philippines	Villaverde	2000	83.9%	92.5%	63.3%
Philippines	Villaverde	2017	90.1%	96.2%	73.7%
Philippines	Villaviciosa	2000	89.8%	97.7%	78.4%
Philippines	Villaviciosa	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.5%
Philippines	Vincenzo A. Sagun	2000	86.5%	99.2%	64.5%
Philippines	Vincenzo A. Sagun	2017	86.3%	99.4%	60.5%
Philippines	Vintar	2000	83.3%	96.3%	63.0%
Philippines	Vintar	2017	95.1%	99.5%	80.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Vinzons	2000	95.5%	99.2%	87.2%
Philippines	Vinzons	2017	97.7%	99.6%	90.4%
Philippines	Virac	2000	96.1%	98.3%	92.8%
Philippines	Virac	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.1%
Philippines	Wao	2000	41.2%	68.4%	11.3%
Philippines	Wao	2017	72.9%	94.0%	36.4%
Philippines	Waterbody	2000	94.9%	99.5%	81.4%
Philippines	Waterbody	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.9%
Philippines	Zamboanga	2000	90.5%	94.3%	86.1%
Philippines	Zamboanga City	2017	95.2%	97.3%	92.2%
Philippines	Zamboanguita	2000	76.9%	99.3%	20.1%
Philippines	Zamboanguita	2017	91.1%	99.9%	46.3%
Philippines	Zaragoza	2000	89.1%	100.0%	48.1%
Philippines	Zaragoza	2017	96.9%	100.0%	76.1%
Philippines	Zarraga	2000	93.9%	98.9%	81.2%
Philippines	Zarraga	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.3%
Philippines	Zumarraga	2000	91.6%	99.8%	63.9%
Philippines	Zumarraga	2017	97.0%	100.0%	81.9%
Sri Lanka	Addalachchenai	2000	88.6%	98.0%	68.6%
Sri Lanka	Addalachchenai	2017	95.2%	99.3%	85.4%
Sri Lanka	Agalawatta	2000	79.3%	94.2%	56.7%
Sri Lanka	Agalawatta	2017	90.5%	97.9%	75.8%
Sri Lanka	Akkaraipattu	2000	87.1%	97.9%	65.5%
Sri Lanka	Akkaraipattu	2017	94.4%	99.3%	81.0%
Sri Lanka	Akmeemana	2000	80.4%	93.5%	55.5%
Sri Lanka	Akmeemana	2017	90.9%	97.5%	73.8%
Sri Lanka	Akurana	2000	71.3%	90.8%	45.4%
Sri Lanka	Akurana	2017	85.5%	96.5%	65.7%
Sri Lanka	Akuressa	2000	69.0%	82.0%	52.0%
Sri Lanka	Akuressa	2017	83.7%	92.6%	70.1%
Sri Lanka	Alawwa	2000	80.9%	94.6%	63.0%
Sri Lanka	Alawwa	2017	91.2%	98.0%	80.1%
Sri Lanka	Alayadiwembu	2000	88.6%	97.8%	73.0%
Sri Lanka	Alayadiwembu	2017	95.1%	99.2%	86.5%
Sri Lanka	Ambagamuwa	2000	57.6%	76.3%	42.9%
Sri Lanka	Ambagamuwa	2017	74.3%	87.7%	60.5%
Sri Lanka	Ambalangoda	2000	77.5%	90.6%	54.3%
Sri Lanka	Ambalangoda	2017	89.2%	96.5%	74.6%
Sri Lanka	Ambalanthota	2000	85.2%	93.5%	73.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambalanthota	2017	93.6%	97.6%	86.8%
Sri Lanka	Ambanganga	2000	76.2%	93.8%	48.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambanganga Korale	2017	88.4%	97.7%	68.5%
Sri Lanka	Ambanpola	2000	85.9%	93.6%	74.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambanpola	2017	94.0%	97.5%	87.2%
Sri Lanka	Ampara	2000	86.9%	96.5%	73.1%
Sri Lanka	Ampara	2017	94.5%	98.8%	87.0%
Sri Lanka	Anamaduwa	2000	87.5%	94.9%	73.8%
Sri Lanka	Anamaduwa	2017	94.7%	98.2%	87.9%
Sri Lanka	Angunakolapelessa	2000	89.7%	96.2%	80.3%
Sri Lanka	Angunakolapelessa	2017	95.7%	98.7%	90.2%
Sri Lanka	Arachchikattuwa	2000	88.4%	95.9%	75.5%
Sri Lanka	Arachchikattuwa PS	2017	95.2%	98.5%	89.0%
Sri Lanka	Aranayaka	2000	76.1%	91.3%	54.1%
Sri Lanka	Aranayaka	2017	88.1%	96.4%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Athuraliya	2000	66.2%	76.7%	54.4%
Sri Lanka	Athuraliya	2017	83.0%	90.1%	73.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Attanagalla	2000	79.2%	93.3%	60.9%
Sri Lanka	Attanagalla	2017	90.0%	97.3%	76.8%
Sri Lanka	Ayagama	2000	71.9%	89.2%	49.9%
Sri Lanka	Ayagama	2017	85.5%	95.7%	69.3%
Sri Lanka	Badalkumbura	2000	73.3%	89.1%	55.2%
Sri Lanka	Badalkumbura	2017	86.4%	95.5%	73.3%
Sri Lanka	Baddegama	2000	79.1%	91.0%	57.6%
Sri Lanka	Baddegama	2017	89.9%	96.3%	74.4%
Sri Lanka	Badulla	2000	69.6%	89.3%	42.4%
Sri Lanka	Badulla	2017	83.8%	95.9%	62.6%
Sri Lanka	Balangoda	2000	68.6%	88.8%	48.2%
Sri Lanka	Balangoda	2017	83.1%	95.4%	65.6%
Sri Lanka	Balapitiya	2000	77.2%	91.3%	55.4%
Sri Lanka	Balapitiya	2017	88.9%	96.8%	73.1%
Sri Lanka	Bamunakotuwa	2000	79.8%	93.1%	60.2%
Sri Lanka	Bamunakotuwa	2017	90.7%	97.7%	77.5%
Sri Lanka	Bandaragama	2000	83.2%	95.7%	63.2%
Sri Lanka	Bandaragama	2017	92.4%	98.4%	81.2%
Sri Lanka	Bandarawela	2000	38.0%	69.8%	18.3%
Sri Lanka	Bandarawela	2017	55.6%	82.3%	31.8%
Sri Lanka	Beliatta	2000	84.0%	96.2%	65.0%
Sri Lanka	Beliatta	2017	93.1%	98.7%	82.4%
Sri Lanka	Bentota	2000	81.2%	93.8%	61.9%
Sri Lanka	Bentota	2017	91.2%	97.7%	79.5%
Sri Lanka	Beruwala	2000	79.9%	94.8%	61.2%
Sri Lanka	Beruwala	2017	90.4%	98.1%	78.0%
Sri Lanka	Bibile	2000	80.3%	93.2%	62.8%
Sri Lanka	Bibile	2017	90.6%	97.1%	79.7%
Sri Lanka	Bingiriya	2000	87.9%	96.2%	73.9%
Sri Lanka	Bingiriya	2017	94.9%	98.6%	86.7%
Sri Lanka	Biyagama	2000	88.2%	94.5%	79.3%
Sri Lanka	Biyagama	2017	95.2%	98.0%	90.9%
Sri Lanka	Bope-Poddala	2000	79.7%	95.7%	55.4%
Sri Lanka	Bope-Poddala	2017	90.2%	98.4%	74.3%
Sri Lanka	Bulathkohupitiya	2000	68.9%	83.9%	50.3%
Sri Lanka	Bulathkohupitiya	2017	84.2%	92.8%	70.0%
Sri Lanka	Bulathsinhala	2000	77.5%	90.6%	56.5%
Sri Lanka	Bulathsinhala	2017	89.2%	96.4%	75.5%
Sri Lanka	Buttala	2000	80.5%	89.0%	69.2%
Sri Lanka	Buttala	2017	90.9%	95.7%	84.4%
Sri Lanka	Chilaw	2000	89.9%	98.1%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Chilaw	2017	96.0%	99.4%	87.2%
Sri Lanka	Colombo	2000	88.7%	96.7%	75.1%
Sri Lanka	Colombo	2017	95.4%	98.9%	88.5%
Sri Lanka	Damana	2000	86.6%	95.2%	73.0%
Sri Lanka	Damana	2017	94.3%	98.2%	86.8%
Sri Lanka	Dambulla	2000	83.2%	93.5%	66.7%
Sri Lanka	Dambulla	2017	92.4%	97.8%	83.4%
Sri Lanka	Dankotuwa	2000	86.0%	96.5%	69.5%
Sri Lanka	Dankotuwa	2017	94.1%	98.8%	85.3%
Sri Lanka	Dehiattakandiya	2000	85.0%	92.6%	72.7%
Sri Lanka	Dehiattakandiya	2017	93.6%	97.2%	86.4%
Sri Lanka	Dehiovita	2000	75.3%	89.0%	54.0%
Sri Lanka	Dehiovita	2017	87.9%	95.7%	73.6%
Sri Lanka	Dehiwala-Mount Lavinia	2000	82.9%	95.5%	59.7%
Sri Lanka	Dehiwala-Mount Lavinia	2017	92.3%	98.3%	78.8%
Sri Lanka	Delft	2000	85.4%	96.7%	67.2%
Sri Lanka	Delft	2017	93.7%	98.9%	83.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Delthota	2000	70.3%	91.1%	45.4%
Sri Lanka	Delthota	2017	84.5%	96.7%	65.3%
Sri Lanka	Deraniyagala	2000	66.4%	85.2%	45.4%
Sri Lanka	Deraniyagala	2017	81.7%	93.7%	64.3%
Sri Lanka	Devinuwara	2000	80.4%	94.3%	59.3%
Sri Lanka	Devinuwara	2017	90.9%	97.8%	77.2%
Sri Lanka	Dickwella	2000	82.0%	94.5%	59.6%
Sri Lanka	Dickwella	2017	91.6%	98.2%	76.6%
Sri Lanka	Dimbulagala	2000	85.8%	92.7%	75.6%
Sri Lanka	Dimbulagala	2017	93.8%	97.2%	88.1%
Sri Lanka	Divulapitiya	2000	84.1%	94.5%	68.7%
Sri Lanka	Divulapitiya	2017	92.8%	98.0%	84.0%
Sri Lanka	Dodangoda	2000	84.2%	94.2%	68.1%
Sri Lanka	Dodangoda	2017	93.0%	97.9%	83.9%
Sri Lanka	Doluwa	2000	72.4%	87.3%	49.6%
Sri Lanka	Doluwa	2017	85.7%	94.7%	69.0%
Sri Lanka	Dompe	2000	80.2%	92.0%	61.5%
Sri Lanka	Dompe	2017	91.1%	96.9%	80.0%
Sri Lanka	Eheliyagoda	2000	76.0%	89.7%	58.9%
Sri Lanka	Eheliyagoda	2017	88.2%	96.1%	76.9%
Sri Lanka	Ehetuwewa	2000	87.4%	96.1%	74.9%
Sri Lanka	Ehetuwewa	2017	94.6%	98.6%	87.5%
Sri Lanka	Elahera	2000	84.5%	94.1%	71.9%
Sri Lanka	Elahera	2017	93.1%	97.8%	85.1%
Sri Lanka	Elapatha	2000	71.9%	87.6%	47.9%
Sri Lanka	Elapatha	2017	86.4%	95.2%	68.6%
Sri Lanka	Ella	2000	56.2%	77.3%	30.4%
Sri Lanka	Ella	2017	74.2%	88.5%	50.2%
Sri Lanka	Elpitiya	2000	75.2%	89.3%	55.8%
Sri Lanka	Elpitiya	2017	88.1%	96.0%	74.7%
Sri Lanka	Embilipitiya	2000	83.7%	93.2%	70.3%
Sri Lanka	Embilipitiya	2017	92.8%	97.3%	85.1%
Sri Lanka	Eragama	2000	88.5%	97.8%	73.3%
Sri Lanka	Eragama	2017	95.5%	99.3%	87.5%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Pattu	2000	87.8%	96.6%	70.0%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Pattu	2017	94.8%	98.9%	85.9%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Town	2000	84.8%	97.5%	56.2%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Town	2017	93.2%	99.2%	75.8%
Sri Lanka	Galenbindunuwewa	2000	86.4%	94.9%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Galenbindunuwewa	2017	94.2%	98.0%	87.1%
Sri Lanka	Galewela	2000	82.5%	94.0%	63.2%
Sri Lanka	Galewela	2017	92.4%	98.0%	80.7%
Sri Lanka	Galgamuwa	2000	85.9%	95.2%	71.8%
Sri Lanka	Galgamuwa	2017	93.9%	98.4%	85.8%
Sri Lanka	Galigamuwa	2000	78.9%	89.8%	62.4%
Sri Lanka	Galigamuwa	2017	90.1%	96.0%	79.6%
Sri Lanka	Galle Four Gravets	2000	79.3%	94.6%	55.6%
Sri Lanka	Galle Four Gravets	2017	90.1%	97.9%	74.9%
Sri Lanka	Galnewa	2000	90.7%	97.8%	77.8%
Sri Lanka	Galnewa	2017	96.3%	99.3%	89.4%
Sri Lanka	Gampaha	2000	87.4%	95.4%	74.6%
Sri Lanka	Gampaha	2017	94.4%	98.2%	86.1%
Sri Lanka	Ganewatta	2000	83.8%	94.2%	65.7%
Sri Lanka	Ganewatta	2017	93.1%	98.0%	83.5%
Sri Lanka	Ganga Ihala Korale	2000	65.4%	85.9%	41.6%
Sri Lanka	Ganga Ihala Korale	2017	81.7%	94.2%	61.8%
Sri Lanka	Giribawa	2000	85.0%	95.2%	69.7%
Sri Lanka	Giribawa	2017	93.3%	98.3%	85.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Godakawela	2000	79.8%	88.8%	68.4%
Sri Lanka	Godakawela	2017	90.5%	95.3%	83.1%
Sri Lanka	Gomarankadawala	2000	87.5%	96.3%	72.3%
Sri Lanka	Gomarankadawala	2017	94.5%	98.8%	86.3%
Sri Lanka	Habaraduwa	2000	78.9%	92.3%	58.6%
Sri Lanka	Habaraduwa	2017	89.8%	96.7%	76.8%
Sri Lanka	Hakmana	2000	84.9%	96.7%	64.7%
Sri Lanka	Hakmana	2017	93.1%	98.9%	81.3%
Sri Lanka	Hali-Ela	2000	58.5%	71.5%	41.8%
Sri Lanka	Hali-Ela	2017	76.1%	85.0%	62.3%
Sri Lanka	Hambantota	2000	84.8%	93.3%	71.2%
Sri Lanka	Hambantota	2017	93.3%	97.3%	85.3%
Sri Lanka	Hanguranketha	2000	60.7%	82.1%	37.7%
Sri Lanka	Hanguranketha	2017	76.6%	90.7%	56.5%
Sri Lanka	Hanwella	2000	79.5%	92.6%	59.6%
Sri Lanka	Hanwella	2017	90.3%	97.2%	77.0%
Sri Lanka	Haputale	2000	44.6%	74.5%	21.7%
Sri Lanka	Haputale	2017	63.3%	86.6%	39.5%
Sri Lanka	Harispattuwa	2000	68.0%	89.5%	37.4%
Sri Lanka	Harispattuwa	2017	82.9%	96.0%	56.2%
Sri Lanka	Hatharaliyadda	2000	81.0%	93.6%	57.6%
Sri Lanka	Hatharaliyadda	2017	91.2%	97.6%	76.6%
Sri Lanka	Hikkaduwa	2000	80.0%	92.6%	59.6%
Sri Lanka	Hikkaduwa	2017	90.6%	97.2%	77.7%
Sri Lanka	Hildummulla	2000	58.2%	75.9%	41.5%
Sri Lanka	Hildummulla	2017	74.5%	87.4%	60.3%
Sri Lanka	Hingurakgoda	2000	85.9%	93.8%	75.6%
Sri Lanka	Hingurakgoda	2017	94.1%	97.7%	88.1%
Sri Lanka	Homagama	2000	81.9%	94.5%	57.0%
Sri Lanka	Homagama	2017	91.7%	98.1%	75.7%
Sri Lanka	Horana	2000	80.8%	94.6%	59.8%
Sri Lanka	Horana	2017	91.4%	98.2%	79.3%
Sri Lanka	Horowpothana	2000	86.2%	92.9%	75.7%
Sri Lanka	Horowpothana	2017	94.0%	97.3%	87.9%
Sri Lanka	Ibbagamuwa	2000	80.4%	91.8%	65.0%
Sri Lanka	Ibbagamuwa	2017	91.4%	97.0%	82.0%
Sri Lanka	Imaduwa	2000	79.6%	94.4%	58.1%
Sri Lanka	Imaduwa	2017	90.4%	98.0%	78.0%
Sri Lanka	Imbulpe	2000	63.2%	81.7%	41.9%
Sri Lanka	Imbulpe	2017	78.9%	91.3%	60.5%
Sri Lanka	Ingiriya	2000	79.0%	94.8%	53.1%
Sri Lanka	Ingiriya	2017	90.2%	98.1%	73.6%
Sri Lanka	Ipalogama	2000	90.7%	97.1%	79.4%
Sri Lanka	Ipalogama	2017	96.4%	99.0%	90.8%
Sri Lanka	Island South (Velanai)	2000	88.7%	95.8%	78.5%
Sri Lanka	Island South (Velanai)	2017	95.1%	98.5%	89.3%
Sri Lanka	Islands North (Kayts)	2000	87.4%	96.6%	74.8%
Sri Lanka	Islands North (Kayts)	2017	95.0%	99.0%	88.0%
Sri Lanka	Ja-Ela	2000	86.9%	96.6%	71.4%
Sri Lanka	Ja-Ela	2017	94.3%	98.7%	86.2%
Sri Lanka	Jaffna	2000	90.9%	98.7%	74.4%
Sri Lanka	Jaffna	2017	96.4%	99.6%	88.8%
Sri Lanka	K.F.G. & G. Korale	2000	66.9%	74.6%	57.9%
Sri Lanka	K.F.G. & G. Korale	2017	83.3%	88.6%	76.3%
Sri Lanka	Kaduwela	2000	91.6%	96.5%	83.3%
Sri Lanka	Kaduwela	2017	96.6%	98.8%	91.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Kahatagasdigiliya	2000	87.1%	95.8%	77.5%
Sri Lanka	Kahatagasdigiliya	2017	94.6%	98.5%	89.1%
Sri Lanka	Kahawatta	2000	76.9%	92.1%	52.8%
Sri Lanka	Kahawatta	2017	88.5%	97.0%	71.7%
Sri Lanka	Kalawana	2000	67.7%	85.0%	48.4%
Sri Lanka	Kalawana	2017	82.8%	93.7%	69.4%
Sri Lanka	Kalmunai	2000	88.0%	98.2%	65.6%
Sri Lanka	Kalmunai	2017	94.9%	99.5%	82.8%
Sri Lanka	Kalpitiya	2000	82.7%	93.2%	66.7%
Sri Lanka	Kalpitiya	2017	91.7%	97.4%	81.2%
Sri Lanka	Kalutara	2000	81.6%	93.8%	62.3%
Sri Lanka	Kalutara	2017	91.7%	97.7%	80.4%
Sri Lanka	Kamburupitiya	2000	80.0%	93.8%	57.9%
Sri Lanka	Kamburupitiya	2017	90.8%	97.7%	76.1%
Sri Lanka	Kandaketiya	2000	75.5%	91.2%	57.7%
Sri Lanka	Kandaketiya	2017	87.8%	96.5%	75.3%
Sri Lanka	Kandawali	2000	85.9%	94.5%	69.2%
Sri Lanka	Kandawali	2017	93.3%	97.9%	83.3%
Sri Lanka	Kantalai	2000	86.1%	95.9%	70.2%
Sri Lanka	Kantalai	2017	94.0%	98.7%	84.7%
Sri Lanka	Karachchi	2000	86.4%	94.9%	72.3%
Sri Lanka	Karachchi	2017	94.0%	98.2%	85.5%
Sri Lanka	Karadeniya	2000	77.9%	93.0%	54.3%
Sri Lanka	Karadeniya	2017	89.4%	97.5%	72.9%
Sri Lanka	Karativu	2000	86.5%	97.1%	70.2%
Sri Lanka	Karativu	2017	94.2%	99.0%	85.4%
Sri Lanka	Karuwalagaswewa	2000	85.5%	93.6%	75.3%
Sri Lanka	Karuwalagaswewa	2017	93.6%	97.5%	87.9%
Sri Lanka	Katana	2000	92.2%	97.2%	83.5%
Sri Lanka	Katana	2017	96.9%	99.0%	93.0%
Sri Lanka	Katharagama	2000	85.6%	96.4%	68.2%
Sri Lanka	Katharagama	2017	93.6%	98.6%	82.9%
Sri Lanka	Kattankudy	2000	85.0%	98.4%	52.8%
Sri Lanka	Kattankudy	2017	93.2%	99.5%	72.4%
Sri Lanka	Katupotha	2000	83.7%	92.7%	67.8%
Sri Lanka	Katupotha	2017	93.4%	97.7%	84.4%
Sri Lanka	Katuwana	2000	81.2%	93.1%	66.5%
Sri Lanka	Katuwana	2017	91.9%	97.4%	82.9%
Sri Lanka	Kebithigollewa	2000	88.7%	95.7%	78.6%
Sri Lanka	Kebithigollewa	2017	95.3%	98.5%	90.2%
Sri Lanka	Kegalle	2000	79.0%	90.5%	59.6%
Sri Lanka	Kegalle	2017	89.9%	95.9%	76.5%
Sri Lanka	Kekirawa	2000	88.0%	94.5%	79.1%
Sri Lanka	Kekirawa	2017	95.0%	98.0%	90.5%
Sri Lanka	Kelaniya	2000	89.1%	96.9%	73.9%
Sri Lanka	Kelaniya	2017	95.5%	99.0%	87.1%
Sri Lanka	Kesbewa	2000	84.0%	95.1%	66.0%
Sri Lanka	Kesbewa	2017	92.8%	98.3%	81.6%
Sri Lanka	Kinniya	2000	88.2%	97.3%	70.7%
Sri Lanka	Kinniya	2017	95.0%	99.1%	87.4%
Sri Lanka	Kiriella	2000	77.2%	91.3%	57.6%
Sri Lanka	Kiriella	2017	89.0%	96.6%	76.6%
Sri Lanka	Kirinda-Puhulwella	2000	84.9%	97.3%	64.2%
Sri Lanka	Kirinda-Puhulwella	2017	93.4%	99.1%	82.6%
Sri Lanka	Kobeigane	2000	85.6%	95.9%	72.0%
Sri Lanka	Kobeigane	2017	93.7%	98.6%	85.5%
Sri Lanka	Kolonna	2000	67.3%	85.6%	48.4%
Sri Lanka	Kolonna	2017	82.7%	93.8%	68.4%
Sri Lanka	Kolonnawa	2000	95.5%	98.8%	88.5%
Sri Lanka	Kolonnawa	2017	98.5%	99.6%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu (Valachchenai)	2000	87.4%	96.9%	70.1%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu (Valachchenai)	2017	94.7%	98.9%	85.2%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu North	2000	86.0%	94.3%	73.6%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu North	2017	93.9%	97.9%	87.9%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu West (Odd-amavadi)	2000	86.4%	96.0%	72.5%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu West (Odd-amavadi)	2017	94.1%	98.5%	86.3%
Sri Lanka	Kotapola	2000	72.1%	89.8%	48.0%
Sri Lanka	Kotapola	2017	86.0%	96.2%	69.4%
Sri Lanka	Kotavehera	2000	86.0%	94.7%	69.9%
Sri Lanka	Kotavehera	2017	93.9%	98.1%	85.4%
Sri Lanka	Kothmale	2000	53.3%	72.8%	33.3%
Sri Lanka	Kothmale	2017	69.5%	85.1%	49.9%
Sri Lanka	Kuchchaveli	2000	85.6%	93.7%	70.6%
Sri Lanka	Kuchchaveli	2017	93.6%	97.7%	84.9%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya East	2000	84.4%	93.7%	68.7%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya East	2017	93.6%	98.0%	85.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya West	2000	84.7%	91.4%	74.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya West	2017	93.2%	96.7%	87.2%
Sri Lanka	Kundasale	2000	71.1%	82.7%	56.0%
Sri Lanka	Kundasale	2017	85.9%	92.8%	74.9%
Sri Lanka	Kurunegala	2000	82.3%	92.9%	65.6%
Sri Lanka	Kurunegala	2017	91.9%	97.0%	83.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuruvita	2000	74.6%	87.0%	56.4%
Sri Lanka	Kuruvita	2017	87.5%	94.5%	75.3%
Sri Lanka	Laggala-Pallegama	2000	75.2%	87.6%	60.0%
Sri Lanka	Laggala-Pallegama	2017	86.8%	94.3%	76.2%
Sri Lanka	Lahugala	2000	85.0%	92.8%	76.9%
Sri Lanka	Lahugala	2017	93.4%	97.3%	87.7%
Sri Lanka	Lankapura	2000	85.9%	95.0%	71.1%
Sri Lanka	Lankapura	2017	94.2%	98.4%	87.5%
Sri Lanka	Lunugala	2000	65.4%	87.2%	40.8%
Sri Lanka	Lunugala	2017	81.1%	94.7%	61.4%
Sri Lanka	Lunugamvehera	2000	88.3%	94.2%	80.7%
Sri Lanka	Lunugamvehera	2017	95.0%	97.9%	90.9%
Sri Lanka	Madampe	2000	90.2%	96.5%	79.0%
Sri Lanka	Madampe	2017	95.9%	98.8%	89.6%
Sri Lanka	Madhu	2000	86.7%	93.2%	75.7%
Sri Lanka	Madhu	2017	94.1%	97.6%	87.4%
Sri Lanka	Madulla	2000	81.9%	91.4%	70.0%
Sri Lanka	Madulla	2017	91.7%	96.6%	84.9%
Sri Lanka	Madurawala	2000	79.7%	89.7%	64.2%
Sri Lanka	Madurawala	2017	91.0%	96.0%	81.3%
Sri Lanka	Maha Vialachchiya	2000	86.6%	95.1%	74.1%
Sri Lanka	Maha Vialachchiya	2017	94.3%	98.2%	88.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahakumbukkad	2000	87.6%	95.2%	78.6%
Sri Lanka	Mahakumbukkad	2017	94.8%	98.3%	90.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Mahaoya	2000	84.1%	93.5%	71.8%
Sri Lanka	Mahaoya	2017	93.0%	97.7%	85.4%
Sri Lanka	Mahara	2000	83.9%	94.0%	66.5%
Sri Lanka	Mahara	2017	92.7%	97.8%	82.3%
Sri Lanka	Maharagama	2000	90.5%	97.3%	76.5%
Sri Lanka	Maharagama	2017	96.1%	99.1%	89.8%
Sri Lanka	Mahawa	2000	85.1%	93.7%	71.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahawa	2017	93.5%	97.6%	85.8%
Sri Lanka	Mahawewa	2000	88.4%	95.9%	74.9%
Sri Lanka	Mahawewa	2017	95.0%	98.4%	87.3%
Sri Lanka	Mahiyanganaya	2000	80.2%	89.7%	68.2%
Sri Lanka	Mahiyanganaya	2017	90.9%	96.0%	83.8%
Sri Lanka	Malimbada	2000	77.7%	93.3%	52.7%
Sri Lanka	Malimbada	2017	89.4%	97.4%	72.7%
Sri Lanka	Mallawapitiya	2000	82.2%	91.7%	67.7%
Sri Lanka	Mallawapitiya	2017	92.1%	96.7%	83.4%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai	2000	84.8%	97.3%	58.4%
Sri Lanka	North Manmunai	2017	93.2%	99.1%	75.6%
Sri Lanka	North Manmunai	2000	87.9%	95.7%	75.0%
Sri Lanka	Pattu (Araipattai) Manmunai	2017	94.7%	98.4%	86.5%
Sri Lanka	Pattu (Araipattai) Manmunai	2000	87.1%	96.9%	71.0%
Sri Lanka	South and Eruvilpattu Manmunai	2017	94.6%	99.0%	85.3%
Sri Lanka	South and Eruvilpattu Manmunai	2000	87.6%	94.9%	76.3%
Sri Lanka	South-West Manmunai	2017	94.6%	98.1%	88.2%
Sri Lanka	South-West Manmunai	2000	87.0%	94.7%	74.4%
Sri Lanka	West Manmunai	2017	94.5%	98.1%	87.7%
Sri Lanka	West Manmunai	2000	86.5%	96.2%	69.9%
Sri Lanka	Mannar Town	2017	94.2%	98.7%	84.7%
Sri Lanka	Mannar Town	2000	84.6%	93.4%	72.2%
Sri Lanka	Manthai East	2017	92.3%	96.9%	84.6%
Sri Lanka	Manthai East	2000	87.0%	93.8%	75.6%
Sri Lanka	Manthai West	2017	94.3%	97.8%	87.9%
Sri Lanka	Manthai West	2000	85.0%	93.6%	70.7%
Sri Lanka	Maritimepattu	2017	93.3%	97.5%	84.7%
Sri Lanka	Maritimepattu	2000	79.0%	92.1%	61.7%
Sri Lanka	Maspotha	2017	90.6%	97.0%	79.9%
Sri Lanka	Maspotha	2000	72.2%	89.9%	53.4%
Sri Lanka	Matale	2017	85.3%	96.0%	70.4%
Sri Lanka	Matale	2000	79.6%	94.4%	57.4%
Sri Lanka	Matara Four Gravets	2017	90.3%	97.8%	75.6%
Sri Lanka	Matara Four Gravets	2000	81.2%	95.5%	62.6%
Sri Lanka	Mathugama	2017	91.4%	98.5%	80.1%
Sri Lanka	Mathugama	2000	79.0%	91.6%	61.2%
Sri Lanka	Mawanella	2017	90.2%	97.1%	79.7%
Sri Lanka	Mawanella	2000	81.9%	94.4%	62.6%
Sri Lanka	Mawathagama	2017	91.8%	98.0%	80.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Medadumbara	2000	66.3%	85.3%	42.7%
Sri Lanka	Medadumbara	2017	81.6%	93.8%	62.6%
Sri Lanka	Medagama	2000	81.2%	94.3%	64.0%
Sri Lanka	Medagama	2017	91.3%	97.9%	79.4%
Sri Lanka	Medawachchiya	2000	85.2%	93.8%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Medawachchiya	2017	93.5%	97.7%	87.0%
Sri Lanka	Medirigiriya	2000	85.5%	93.6%	74.5%
Sri Lanka	Medirigiriya	2017	93.7%	97.6%	86.7%
Sri Lanka	Meegahakivula	2000	74.1%	89.8%	46.1%
Sri Lanka	Meegahakivula	2017	86.6%	96.1%	65.8%
Sri Lanka	Mihinhale	2000	85.1%	93.0%	73.9%
Sri Lanka	Mihinhale	2017	93.4%	97.5%	86.8%
Sri Lanka	Millaniya	2000	84.0%	92.9%	71.8%
Sri Lanka	Millaniya	2017	93.1%	97.5%	85.3%
Sri Lanka	Minipe	2000	75.1%	89.2%	58.7%
Sri Lanka	Minipe	2017	87.4%	95.8%	73.9%
Sri Lanka	Minuwangoda	2000	88.9%	96.2%	76.2%
Sri Lanka	Minuwangoda	2017	95.3%	98.6%	87.6%
Sri Lanka	Mirigama	2000	80.9%	93.3%	62.2%
Sri Lanka	Mirigama	2017	91.4%	97.6%	80.4%
Sri Lanka	Moneragala	2000	82.4%	93.1%	65.1%
Sri Lanka	Moneragala	2017	92.1%	97.4%	81.9%
Sri Lanka	Moratuwa	2000	78.8%	93.4%	52.0%
Sri Lanka	Moratuwa	2017	89.8%	97.6%	73.2%
Sri Lanka	Morawewa	2000	85.6%	94.7%	73.8%
Sri Lanka	Morawewa	2017	93.6%	98.1%	85.9%
Sri Lanka	Mulatiyana	2000	79.9%	92.3%	60.5%
Sri Lanka	Mulatiyana	2017	91.0%	97.3%	79.9%
Sri Lanka	Mundalama	2000	88.0%	96.5%	73.3%
Sri Lanka	Mundalama	2017	95.1%	98.8%	88.6%
Sri Lanka	Musali	2000	87.6%	95.7%	73.8%
Sri Lanka	Musali	2017	94.8%	98.4%	86.8%
Sri Lanka	Muttur	2000	89.8%	97.6%	75.4%
Sri Lanka	Muttur	2017	95.9%	99.3%	89.2%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha Central	2000	86.3%	94.9%	74.0%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha Central	2017	94.2%	98.1%	87.3%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha East	2000	85.7%	95.6%	67.7%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha East	2017	93.9%	98.3%	84.6%
Sri Lanka	Nachchadoowa	2000	85.6%	95.2%	68.2%
Sri Lanka	Nachchadoowa	2017	93.8%	98.2%	84.3%
Sri Lanka	Nagoda	2000	81.3%	94.1%	66.6%
Sri Lanka	Nagoda	2017	91.5%	97.8%	82.2%
Sri Lanka	Nallur	2000	90.4%	98.1%	73.5%
Sri Lanka	Nallur	2017	96.2%	99.4%	88.0%
Sri Lanka	Nanaddan	2000	87.8%	95.1%	75.3%
Sri Lanka	Nanaddan	2017	94.6%	98.2%	87.6%
Sri Lanka	Narammala	2000	83.4%	94.9%	64.3%
Sri Lanka	Narammala	2017	92.5%	98.3%	81.1%
Sri Lanka	Nattandiyaya	2000	85.6%	95.8%	64.6%
Sri Lanka	Nattandiyaya	2017	93.5%	98.4%	80.7%
Sri Lanka	Naula	2000	80.5%	92.6%	61.7%
Sri Lanka	Naula	2017	91.0%	97.2%	79.8%
Sri Lanka	Navithanveli	2000	90.5%	98.3%	80.6%
Sri Lanka	Navithanveli	2017	96.5%	99.5%	91.8%
Sri Lanka	Nawagattegama	2000	85.7%	96.1%	71.5%
Sri Lanka	Nawagattegama	2017	93.7%	98.6%	85.1%
Sri Lanka	Negombo	2000	89.6%	96.8%	76.8%
Sri Lanka	Negombo	2017	95.7%	98.9%	88.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Neluwa	2000	76.9%	90.2%	56.5%
Sri Lanka	Neluwa	2017	88.9%	96.3%	76.1%
Sri Lanka	Nikaweratiya	2000	84.2%	95.4%	64.5%
Sri Lanka	Nikaweratiya	2017	93.1%	98.5%	82.0%
Sri Lanka	Ninthavur	2000	86.9%	98.4%	64.0%
Sri Lanka	Ninthavur	2017	94.4%	99.5%	81.9%
Sri Lanka	Nivithigala	2000	73.9%	89.3%	53.4%
Sri Lanka	Nivithigala	2017	87.3%	95.7%	73.6%
Sri Lanka	Niyagama	2000	78.6%	92.4%	57.9%
Sri Lanka	Niyagama	2017	90.0%	97.2%	76.4%
Sri Lanka	Nochchiyagama	2000	85.7%	92.2%	76.8%
Sri Lanka	Nochchiyagama	2017	94.1%	97.2%	89.4%
Sri Lanka	Nuwara Eliya	2000	37.4%	53.5%	22.6%
Sri Lanka	Nuwara Eliya	2017	53.0%	68.3%	38.0%
Sri Lanka	Oddusuddan	2000	88.1%	94.6%	75.6%
Sri Lanka	Oddusuddan	2017	94.4%	97.8%	88.0%
Sri Lanka	Okewela	2000	83.7%	93.7%	70.8%
Sri Lanka	Okewela	2017	93.2%	97.7%	85.9%
Sri Lanka	Opanayaka	2000	76.2%	93.1%	54.4%
Sri Lanka	Opanayaka	2017	87.3%	97.3%	71.5%
Sri Lanka	Pachchilaipalli	2000	84.5%	95.6%	68.5%
Sri Lanka	Pachchilaipalli	2017	93.0%	98.5%	82.3%
Sri Lanka	Padavi Sri Pura	2000	86.3%	95.6%	72.4%
Sri Lanka	Padavi Sri Pura	2017	93.9%	98.6%	86.0%
Sri Lanka	Padaviya	2000	86.7%	95.0%	75.1%
Sri Lanka	Padaviya	2017	94.6%	98.3%	88.3%
Sri Lanka	Padiyathalawa	2000	85.0%	95.0%	70.5%
Sri Lanka	Padiyathalawa	2017	93.3%	98.3%	85.7%
Sri Lanka	Padukka	2000	80.3%	95.0%	59.3%
Sri Lanka	Padukka	2017	90.8%	98.2%	77.9%
Sri Lanka	Palagala	2000	85.0%	95.4%	69.0%
Sri Lanka	Palagala	2017	93.3%	98.4%	83.5%
Sri Lanka	Palindanuwara	2000	75.8%	90.5%	56.7%
Sri Lanka	Palindanuwara	2017	88.0%	96.5%	75.5%
Sri Lanka	Pallama	2000	85.3%	95.1%	69.6%
Sri Lanka	Pallama	2017	93.5%	98.2%	84.5%
Sri Lanka	Pallepola	2000	77.8%	91.1%	56.5%
Sri Lanka	Pallepola	2017	89.1%	96.4%	74.2%
Sri Lanka	Palugaswewa	2000	86.4%	95.4%	71.9%
Sri Lanka	Palugaswewa	2017	94.2%	98.4%	86.2%
Sri Lanka	Panadura	2000	79.1%	94.1%	59.0%
Sri Lanka	Panadura	2017	90.1%	97.7%	77.4%
Sri Lanka	Panduwasnuwara	2000	85.7%	93.2%	73.5%
Sri Lanka	Panduwasnuwara	2017	93.7%	97.4%	88.0%
Sri Lanka	Pannala	2000	84.4%	92.7%	71.2%
Sri Lanka	Pannala	2017	93.2%	97.4%	86.0%
Sri Lanka	Panvila	2000	63.3%	86.1%	40.0%
Sri Lanka	Panvila	2017	80.0%	94.1%	62.1%
Sri Lanka	Pasbage Korale	2000	61.1%	82.5%	37.5%
Sri Lanka	Pasbage Korale	2017	78.2%	91.9%	57.6%
Sri Lanka	Pasgoda	2000	74.9%	91.3%	54.3%
Sri Lanka	Pasgoda	2017	87.7%	96.6%	72.4%
Sri Lanka	Passara	2000	64.5%	85.2%	42.1%
Sri Lanka	Passara	2017	80.4%	93.7%	61.0%
Sri Lanka	Pathadumbara	2000	67.7%	84.1%	47.8%
Sri Lanka	Pathadumbara	2017	83.8%	93.6%	68.5%
Sri Lanka	Pathahewaheta	2000	76.4%	88.3%	61.7%
Sri Lanka	Pathahewaheta	2017	89.0%	95.5%	79.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Pelmadulla	2000	72.3%	88.0%	53.9%
Sri Lanka	Pelmadulla	2017	85.8%	95.2%	71.2%
Sri Lanka	Pitabeddara	2000	79.1%	92.2%	60.0%
Sri Lanka	Pitabeddara	2017	90.1%	97.1%	77.0%
Sri Lanka	Polgahawela	2000	81.2%	95.1%	64.2%
Sri Lanka	Polgahawela	2017	91.3%	98.2%	81.4%
Sri Lanka	Polpithigama	2000	85.3%	94.4%	73.4%
Sri Lanka	Polpithigama	2017	93.6%	98.0%	86.8%
Sri Lanka	Poojapitiya	2000	71.9%	90.8%	44.8%
Sri Lanka	Poojapitiya	2017	85.8%	96.5%	65.7%
Sri Lanka	Poonakary	2000	87.5%	95.3%	76.9%
Sri Lanka	Poonakary	2017	94.6%	98.2%	89.1%
Sri Lanka	Porativu	2000	87.6%	96.5%	73.3%
Sri Lanka	Pattu				
Sri Lanka	Porativu	2017	94.6%	98.7%	86.7%
Sri Lanka	Pothuvil	2000	85.6%	96.0%	66.8%
Sri Lanka	Pothuvil	2017	93.7%	98.7%	81.3%
Sri Lanka	Puthukudiyiruppu	2000	86.3%	94.6%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Puthukudiyiruppu	2017	93.5%	97.7%	86.6%
Sri Lanka	Puttalam	2000	86.6%	95.1%	70.3%
Sri Lanka	Puttalam	2017	94.3%	98.2%	84.2%
Sri Lanka	Rajanganaya	2000	86.6%	96.1%	71.3%
Sri Lanka	Rajanganaya	2017	94.3%	98.7%	86.6%
Sri Lanka	Rambewa	2000	87.2%	94.4%	75.9%
Sri Lanka	Rambewa	2017	94.5%	98.0%	87.9%
Sri Lanka	Rambukkana	2000	82.8%	93.3%	64.7%
Sri Lanka	Rambukkana	2017	92.1%	97.4%	81.0%
Sri Lanka	Rasnayakapura	2000	85.1%	95.1%	67.8%
Sri Lanka	Rasnayakapura	2017	93.4%	98.2%	83.5%
Sri Lanka	Ratnapura	2000	64.0%	77.5%	50.1%
Sri Lanka	Ratnapura	2017	80.9%	89.2%	70.0%
Sri Lanka	Rattota	2000	73.2%	91.7%	49.9%
Sri Lanka	Rattota	2017	86.5%	96.8%	68.9%
Sri Lanka	Rideegama	2000	79.5%	90.6%	62.0%
Sri Lanka	Rideegama	2017	90.7%	96.4%	80.8%
Sri Lanka	Rideemaliyadda	2000	78.3%	88.1%	67.1%
Sri Lanka	Rideemaliyadda	2017	89.9%	94.8%	82.9%
Sri Lanka	Ruwanwella	2000	77.2%	91.1%	57.0%
Sri Lanka	Ruwanwella	2017	89.0%	96.5%	75.6%
Sri Lanka	Sainthamarathu	2000	87.3%	97.8%	72.0%
Sri Lanka	Sainthamarathu	2017	94.5%	99.3%	85.8%
Sri Lanka	Samanthurai	2000	91.0%	97.4%	80.3%
Sri Lanka	Samanthurai	2017	96.4%	99.2%	91.3%
Sri Lanka	Seruvila	2000	89.3%	96.2%	75.5%
Sri Lanka	Seruvila	2017	95.6%	98.7%	88.0%
Sri Lanka	Sevanagala	2000	81.5%	92.7%	62.1%
Sri Lanka	Sevanagala	2017	91.4%	97.2%	79.4%
Sri Lanka	Siyambalanduwa	2000	82.7%	91.3%	71.4%
Sri Lanka	Siyambalanduwa	2017	92.1%	96.7%	85.4%
Sri Lanka	Sooriyawewa	2000	82.3%	92.9%	65.9%
Sri Lanka	Sooriyawewa	2017	92.1%	97.4%	81.9%
Sri Lanka	Soranathota	2000	67.2%	87.3%	44.9%
Sri Lanka	Soranathota	2017	82.2%	94.7%	64.0%
Sri Lanka	Sri Jayawar-	2000	96.0%	98.3%	92.1%
	danapura				
Sri Lanka	Kotte				
Sri Lanka	Sri Jayawar-	2017	98.6%	99.5%	96.9%
	danapura				
Sri Lanka	Kotte				
Sri Lanka	Tangalle	2000	85.7%	95.2%	71.2%
Sri Lanka	Tangalle	2017	93.8%	98.2%	85.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Thalawa	2000	86.2%	93.9%	74.7%
Sri Lanka	Thalawa	2017	94.3%	98.0%	87.6%
Sri Lanka	Thamankaduwa	2000	82.3%	90.7%	71.4%
Sri Lanka	Thamankaduwa	2017	92.1%	96.3%	86.1%
Sri Lanka	Thambuttegama	2000	88.2%	96.4%	73.3%
Sri Lanka	Thambuttegama	2017	95.1%	98.9%	86.9%
Sri Lanka	Thampalakamam	2000	84.6%	95.6%	62.6%
Sri Lanka	Thampalakamam	2017	93.1%	98.3%	81.1%
Sri Lanka	Thanamalvila	2000	81.4%	88.1%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Thanamalvila	2017	91.7%	95.3%	86.9%
Sri Lanka	Thawalama	2000	79.1%	91.1%	62.8%
Sri Lanka	Thawalama	2017	90.2%	96.6%	80.2%
Sri Lanka	Thenmaradchy (Chavakachcheri)	2000	88.1%	96.5%	72.6%
Sri Lanka	Thenmaradchy (Chavakachcheri)	2017	95.2%	98.8%	87.1%
Sri Lanka	Thihagoda	2000	81.2%	95.3%	60.3%
Sri Lanka	Thihagoda	2017	91.2%	98.3%	78.3%
Sri Lanka	Thimbirigasyaya	2000	91.7%	97.4%	80.9%
Sri Lanka	Thimbirigasyaya	2017	96.9%	99.2%	91.6%
Sri Lanka	Thirappane	2000	85.2%	94.6%	71.2%
Sri Lanka	Thirappane	2017	93.6%	98.1%	85.5%
Sri Lanka	Thirukkovil	2000	89.4%	97.1%	74.7%
Sri Lanka	Thirukkovil	2017	95.7%	99.1%	88.5%
Sri Lanka	Thissamaharama	2000	87.9%	95.2%	77.8%
Sri Lanka	Thissamaharama	2017	94.8%	98.3%	89.4%
Sri Lanka	Thumpane	2000	79.5%	94.0%	57.8%
Sri Lanka	Thumpane	2017	90.2%	97.7%	75.2%
Sri Lanka	Thunukkai	2000	84.0%	91.0%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Thunukkai	2017	92.3%	96.0%	86.8%
Sri Lanka	Trincomalee Town and Gravets	2000	85.3%	95.3%	67.7%
Sri Lanka	Trincomalee Town and Gravets	2017	93.5%	98.4%	83.3%
Sri Lanka	Udadumbara	2000	61.1%	83.7%	40.1%
Sri Lanka	Udadumbara	2017	77.3%	92.8%	58.2%
Sri Lanka	Udawalata	2000	68.6%	87.9%	46.6%
Sri Lanka	Udawalata	2017	83.4%	95.0%	67.0%
Sri Lanka	Udubaddawa	2000	86.8%	95.5%	74.4%
Sri Lanka	Udubaddawa	2017	94.2%	98.4%	87.1%
Sri Lanka	Udunuwara	2000	72.6%	90.8%	52.6%
Sri Lanka	Udunuwara	2017	86.1%	96.5%	71.8%
Sri Lanka	Uhana	2000	87.6%	94.4%	76.2%
Sri Lanka	Uhana	2017	94.7%	98.0%	88.2%
Sri Lanka	Ukuwela	2000	70.5%	88.7%	44.1%
Sri Lanka	Ukuwela	2017	85.0%	95.2%	64.5%
Sri Lanka	Uva Paranagama	2000	53.6%	75.0%	32.4%
Sri Lanka	Uva Paranagama	2017	71.5%	87.3%	50.7%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchi South-West	2000	87.0%	96.9%	67.9%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchi South-West	2017	94.4%	99.0%	84.3%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy East	2000	85.9%	94.0%	72.8%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy East	2017	93.8%	97.9%	87.2%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy North	2000	87.4%	96.8%	68.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy North	2017	94.6%	98.9%	84.7%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam East	2000	89.5%	94.7%	80.4%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam East	2017	95.8%	98.1%	91.1%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam North	2000	87.3%	96.9%	64.8%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam North	2017	94.5%	99.0%	81.7%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South	2000	87.9%	96.4%	72.0%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South	2017	95.0%	98.7%	86.3%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South-West	2000	88.6%	97.1%	74.1%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South-West	2017	95.2%	99.0%	87.9%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam West	2000	88.3%	97.0%	72.2%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam West	2017	95.1%	99.0%	86.9%
Sri Lanka	Vanathavilluwa	2000	85.4%	94.3%	69.8%
Sri Lanka	Vanathavilluwa	2017	93.5%	98.1%	84.2%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya	2000	85.6%	92.7%	71.3%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya	2017	93.4%	97.1%	85.1%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya North	2000	86.6%	93.7%	77.9%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya North	2017	94.4%	97.9%	89.2%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya South	2000	84.5%	96.9%	60.4%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya South	2017	92.8%	98.8%	77.6%
Sri Lanka	Vengalcheddikulam	2000	87.3%	96.5%	72.0%
Sri Lanka	Vengalcheddikulam	2017	94.6%	98.8%	85.1%
Sri Lanka	Verugal	2000	87.7%	96.3%	74.6%
Sri Lanka	Verugal	2017	94.6%	98.8%	87.6%
Sri Lanka	Walallawita	2000	77.5%	91.7%	57.0%
Sri Lanka	Walallawita	2017	89.3%	97.1%	75.8%
Sri Lanka	Walapane	2000	56.3%	77.7%	35.7%
Sri Lanka	Walapane	2017	72.3%	88.5%	52.1%
Sri Lanka	Warakapola	2000	79.0%	92.1%	61.7%
Sri Lanka	Warakapola	2017	90.1%	97.1%	79.4%
Sri Lanka	Wariyapola	2000	84.5%	93.9%	69.1%
Sri Lanka	Wariyapola	2017	93.1%	97.8%	84.9%
Sri Lanka	Wattala	2000	83.8%	94.9%	65.4%
Sri Lanka	Wattala	2017	92.7%	98.3%	82.0%
Sri Lanka	Weeraketiya	2000	87.9%	93.9%	79.7%
Sri Lanka	Weeraketiya	2017	95.1%	97.8%	90.8%
Sri Lanka	Weerambugedara	2000	82.0%	94.7%	62.3%
Sri Lanka	Weerambugedara	2017	91.6%	97.9%	79.4%
Sri Lanka	Weligama	2000	80.4%	93.1%	58.0%
Sri Lanka	Weligama	2017	91.0%	97.4%	77.5%
Sri Lanka	Weligepola	2000	75.6%	86.9%	59.2%
Sri Lanka	Weligepola	2017	88.3%	94.7%	77.4%
Sri Lanka	Welikanda	2000	85.1%	93.2%	73.4%
Sri Lanka	Welikanda	2017	93.4%	97.6%	86.6%
Sri Lanka	Welimada	2000	46.7%	69.5%	22.7%
Sri Lanka	Welimada	2017	64.7%	84.2%	39.3%
Sri Lanka	Welipitiya	2000	79.4%	94.0%	56.4%
Sri Lanka	Welipitiya	2017	90.5%	97.7%	75.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Welivitiya-Divithura	2000	70.2%	83.4%	54.0%
Sri Lanka	Welivitiya-Divithura	2017	85.7%	93.4%	72.8%
Sri Lanka	Wellawaya	2000	81.4%	90.5%	67.3%
Sri Lanka	Wellawaya	2017	91.7%	96.6%	84.8%
Sri Lanka	Wennappuwa	2000	86.6%	96.5%	67.1%
Sri Lanka	Wennappuwa	2017	94.2%	98.8%	82.7%
Sri Lanka	Wilgamuwa	2000	84.7%	94.9%	66.5%
Sri Lanka	Wilgamuwa	2017	93.2%	98.2%	83.2%
Sri Lanka	Yakkalamulla	2000	79.8%	92.9%	57.6%
Sri Lanka	Yakkalamulla	2017	90.5%	97.4%	75.9%
Sri Lanka	Yatawatta	2000	73.8%	90.4%	52.6%
Sri Lanka	Yatawatta	2017	86.3%	95.8%	70.6%
Sri Lanka	Yatinuwara	2000	68.1%	85.9%	43.3%
Sri Lanka	Yatinuwara	2017	83.4%	94.4%	65.2%
Sri Lanka	Yatyanthota	2000	70.0%	86.1%	50.6%
Sri Lanka	Yatyanthota	2017	84.4%	94.3%	70.2%
Thailand	Akat Amnuai	2000	92.1%	93.8%	90.4%
Thailand	Akat Amnuai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Amphawa	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Thailand	Amphawa	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Amphoe Muang Ya-sothon	2000	92.1%	93.7%	90.3%
Thailand	Amphoe Muang Ya-sothon	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Amphoe Sai Mun	2000	91.7%	93.3%	89.8%
Thailand	Amphoe Sai Mun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Ao Luk	2000	74.7%	77.9%	71.4%
Thailand	Ao Luk	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.5%
Thailand	Aranyaprathet	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Thailand	Aranyaprathet	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	At Samat	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.4%
Thailand	At Samat	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Bacho	2000	74.4%	77.2%	71.4%
Thailand	Bacho	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.4%
Thailand	Bamnet Narong	2000	91.6%	93.2%	89.2%
Thailand	Bamnet Narong	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Ban Bung	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Thailand	Ban Bung	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Chang	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Thailand	Ban Chang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Dan Lan Hoi	2000	91.1%	92.9%	89.1%
Thailand	Ban Dan Lan Hoi	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Ban Dung	2000	92.1%	93.9%	90.0%
Thailand	Ban Dung	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Ban Fang	2000	91.6%	93.1%	89.6%
Thailand	Ban Fang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Ban Hong	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.4%
Thailand	Ban Hong	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Ban Khai	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Ban Khai	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Khok	2000	89.9%	92.3%	87.3%
Thailand	Ban Khok	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Ban Khwao	2000	91.6%	93.3%	89.4%
Thailand	Ban Khwao	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Ban Kruat	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.4%
Thailand	Ban Kruat	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Ban Laem	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Ban Laem	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Lat	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Ban Lat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Ban Luam	2000	91.6%	93.1%	89.9%
Thailand	Ban Luam	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Ban Luang	2000	90.7%	92.8%	88.2%
Thailand	Ban Luang	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Ban Mai Chai Pho	2000	92.0%	93.2%	90.2%
Thailand	Ban Mai Chai Pho	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Ban Mi	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Thailand	Ban Mi	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Ban Mo	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Ban Mo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Ban Muang	2000	91.6%	93.4%	89.2%
Thailand	Ban Muang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Ban Na	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Ban Na	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Ban Na Doem	2000	75.8%	79.0%	72.6%
Thailand	Ban Na Doem	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.9%
Thailand	Ban Na San	2000	74.4%	77.5%	71.0%
Thailand	Ban Na San	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.5%
Thailand	Ban Phaeng	2000	92.5%	94.5%	90.1%
Thailand	Ban Phaeng	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Ban Phaeo	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Ban Phaeo	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Phai	2000	91.9%	93.4%	89.9%
Thailand	Ban Phai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Ban Pho	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Ban Pho	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Phraek	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Thailand	Ban Phraek	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Thailand	Ban Phu	2000	92.3%	93.9%	89.9%
Thailand	Ban Phu	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Ban Pong	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Ban Pong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Rai	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.3%
Thailand	Ban Rai	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Ban Sang	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Ban Sang	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Ta Khun	2000	69.8%	73.1%	66.0%
Thailand	Ban Ta Khun	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.9%
Thailand	Ban Tak	2000	91.4%	93.4%	89.0%
Thailand	Ban Tak	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	Ban Thaen	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.0%
Thailand	Ban Thaen	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Ban Thi	2000	91.9%	93.2%	90.4%
Thailand	Ban Thi	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Bang Ban	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Bang Ban	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Bo	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Bang Bo	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Bon	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Bon	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Bua Thong	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Bang Bua Thong	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Kaeo	2000	76.4%	79.2%	73.7%
Thailand	Bang Kaeo	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.1%
Thailand	Bang Kapi	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Kapi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Khae	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Khae	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Khan	2000	70.1%	73.3%	66.5%
Thailand	Bang Khan	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.4%
Thailand	Bang Khen	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Khen	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Khla	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Bang Khla	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Kho Laem	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Bang Kho Laem	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Khon Ti	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Bang Khon Ti	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Bang Klam	2000	75.8%	78.0%	73.0%
Thailand	Bang Klam	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Thailand	Bang Krathum	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.6%
Thailand	Bang Krathum	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Kruai	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Bang Kruai	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Lamung	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Thailand	Bang Lamung	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Len	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Bang Len	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Mun Nak	2000	92.6%	93.9%	91.0%
Thailand	Bang Mun Nak	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Na	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Na	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Nam Prieo	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Bang Nam Prieo	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Pa-In	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Bang Pa-In	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Pahan	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	Bang Pahan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Bang Pakong	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Bang Pakong	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Phae	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Bang Phae	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Pla Ma	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Bang Pla Ma	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Bang Plad	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Bang Plad	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Plee	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Plee	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Rachan	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Thailand	Bang Rachan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Bang Rak	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Rak	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Rakam	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.6%
Thailand	Bang Rakam	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Bang Sai	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Bang Sai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Saphan	2000	89.5%	92.5%	86.3%
Thailand	Bang Saphan	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Thailand	Bang Saphan Noi	2000	82.5%	86.6%	78.4%
Thailand	Bang Saphan Noi	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Thailand	Bang Su	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Su	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Yai	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Bang Yai	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bangkhumtien	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Bangkhumtien	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Bangkok Noi	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Bangkok Noi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bangkok Yai	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Bangkok Yai	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Bannang Star	2000	70.0%	73.9%	66.3%
Thailand	Bannang Star	2017	94.2%	95.2%	93.1%
Thailand	Banphot Phisai	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.4%
Thailand	Banphot Phisai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Batong	2000	74.6%	81.2%	68.2%
Thailand	Batong	2017	95.4%	96.8%	93.6%
Thailand	Benchalak	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.6%
Thailand	Benchalak	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Bo Klue	2000	88.3%	91.8%	84.6%
Thailand	Bo Klue	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Thailand	Bo Phloi	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.8%
Thailand	Bo Phloi	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Bo Rai	2000	97.0%	98.2%	95.6%
Thailand	Bo Rai	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Bo Thong	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Thailand	Bo Thong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Borabu	2000	91.3%	92.8%	89.6%
Thailand	Borabu	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Bua Chet	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.3%
Thailand	Bua Chet	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Bua Yai	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.0%
Thailand	Bua Yai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Buang Sam Phan	2000	92.7%	94.3%	91.1%
Thailand	Buang Sam Phan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Bung Bun	2000	91.6%	93.3%	89.7%
Thailand	Bung Bun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Bung Kan	2000	92.1%	94.3%	89.2%
Thailand	Bung Kan	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Bung Khong Long	2000	92.1%	94.1%	89.4%
Thailand	Bung Khong Long	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Bung Kum	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Bung Kum	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Buntharik	2000	91.3%	93.7%	88.5%
Thailand	Buntharik	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Thailand	Cha-Am	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.1%
Thailand	Cha-Am	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Cha-uat	2000	75.4%	78.0%	72.9%
Thailand	Cha-uat	2017	95.5%	96.1%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Chae Hom	2000	90.8%	92.6%	88.8%
Thailand	Chae Hom	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Chai Badan	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.4%
Thailand	Chai Badan	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Chai Prakarn	2000	92.1%	93.8%	89.9%
Thailand	Chai Prakarn	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Chai Wan	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.6%
Thailand	Chai Wan	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Chaiburi	2000	73.9%	76.9%	70.5%
Thailand	Chaiburi	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.4%
Thailand	Chaiya	2000	77.0%	79.9%	73.2%
Thailand	Chaiya	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Thailand	Chaiyo	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Thailand	Chaiyo	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Chakkarat	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
Thailand	Chakkarat	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.8%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	88.1%	91.8%	84.1%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	92.8%	94.4%	91.1%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	76.5%	79.2%	73.8%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	95.7%	96.4%	95.0%
Thailand	Cham Ni	2000	92.5%	93.9%	90.6%
Thailand	Cham Ni	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Chana	2000	77.3%	80.2%	74.6%
Thailand	Chana	2017	96.0%	96.5%	95.2%
Thailand	Changhan	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.3%
Thailand	Changhan	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Chanuman	2000	91.1%	93.3%	88.4%
Thailand	Chanuman	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Chareon Silp	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.8%
Thailand	Chareon Silp	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Chat Trakan	2000	89.5%	91.9%	86.8%
Thailand	Chat Trakan	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Chatturat	2000	91.6%	93.2%	89.3%
Thailand	Chatturat	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Chatuchak	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Chatuchak	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Chaturaphak Phim	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.4%
Thailand	Chaturaphak Phim	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Chawang	2000	72.8%	75.6%	69.9%
Thailand	Chawang	2017	94.8%	95.6%	94.0%
Thailand	Chian Yai	2000	75.1%	78.2%	72.4%
Thailand	Chian Yai	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.6%
Thailand	Chiang Dao	2000	91.4%	93.5%	89.3%
Thailand	Chiang Dao	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Chiang Kham	2000	91.9%	93.8%	90.0%
Thailand	Chiang Kham	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Chiang Khan	2000	91.1%	93.3%	88.5%
Thailand	Chiang Khan	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Chiang Khong	2000	90.9%	93.5%	88.5%
Thailand	Chiang Khong	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	Chiang Klang	2000	92.1%	94.0%	89.9%
Thailand	Chiang Klang	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Chiang Muan	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.2%
Thailand	Chiang Muan	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Chiang Saen	2000	90.3%	92.7%	87.4%
Thailand	Chiang Saen	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Chiang Yun	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.6%
Thailand	Chiang Yun	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Cho-I-rong	2000	73.2%	76.9%	70.3%
Thailand	Cho-I-rong	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Thailand	Chok Chai	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.8%
Thailand	Chok Chai	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Chom Bung	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.0%
Thailand	Chom Bung	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Chom Phra	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.6%
Thailand	Chom Phra	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.4%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Chon Daen	2000	91.9%	93.6%	90.0%
Thailand	Chon Daen	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Chonnabot	2000	91.8%	93.4%	89.9%
Thailand	Chonnabot	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Chulaphon	2000	74.7%	77.6%	71.8%
Thailand	Chulaphon	2017	95.4%	96.0%	94.6%
Thailand	Chum Phae	2000	91.9%	93.6%	90.1%
Thailand	Chum Phae	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Chum Phuang	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Thailand	Chum Phuang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Chumphon	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.6%
Thailand	Buri				
Thailand	Chumphon	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Chumsaeng	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.4%
Thailand	Chumsaeng	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Chun	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.6%
Thailand	Chun	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Damnoen Saduak	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Damnoen Saduak	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Dan Chang	2000	96.3%	97.2%	94.9%
Thailand	Dan Chang	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Dan Khun Thot	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.1%
Thailand	Dan Khun Thot	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Dan Makham Tia	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Thailand	Dan Makham Tia	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Dan Sai	2000	89.3%	91.5%	86.8%
Thailand	Dan Sai	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Den Chai	2000	90.5%	92.5%	88.0%
Thailand	Den Chai	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Det Udom	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.5%
Thailand	Det Udom	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Din Dang	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Din Dang	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Doembang Nangbua	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.3%
Thailand	Doembang Nangbua	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Doi Saket	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.3%
Thailand	Doi Saket	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Doi Tao	2000	90.8%	93.1%	88.4%
Thailand	Doi Tao	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Dok Kham Tai	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.6%
Thailand	Dok Kham Tai	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Don Chedi	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Thailand	Don Chedi	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Don Muang	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Don Muang	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Don Phut	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Thailand	Don Phut	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Thailand	Don Sak	2000	75.9%	79.1%	71.8%
Thailand	Don Sak	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.6%
Thailand	Don Tan	2000	91.5%	93.6%	89.0%
Thailand	Don Tan	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Don Tum	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Don Tum	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Dong Luang	2000	91.5%	93.5%	89.5%
Thailand	Dong Luang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Donmotdaeng	2000	92.5%	94.1%	90.7%
Thailand	Donmotdaeng	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Dusit	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Dusit	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Fak Tha	2000	90.3%	92.8%	87.6%
Thailand	Fak Tha	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Fang	2000	91.7%	93.6%	89.2%
Thailand	Fang	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	Han Kha	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Thailand	Han Kha	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Hang Chat	2000	90.8%	92.5%	89.2%
Thailand	Hang Chat	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Hang Dong	2000	92.3%	93.6%	90.9%
Thailand	Hang Dong	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Hat Yai	2000	75.7%	77.9%	73.1%
Thailand	Hat Yai	2017	95.6%	96.2%	95.0%
Thailand	Hot	2000	91.0%	93.2%	88.6%
Thailand	Hot	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	Hua Hin	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.5%
Thailand	Hua Hin	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Hua Sai	2000	75.4%	79.0%	72.4%
Thailand	Hua Sai	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.7%
Thailand	Hua Taphan	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.6%
Thailand	Hua Taphan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Huai Khot	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Thailand	Huai Khot	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Huai Kra Chao	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Thailand	Huai Kra Chao	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Huai Kwang	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Huai Kwang	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Huai Mek	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.4%
Thailand	Huai Mek	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Huai Phung	2000	92.0%	93.3%	90.4%
Thailand	Huai Phung	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Huai Rat	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.4%
Thailand	Huai Rat	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Huai Thalang	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.1%
Thailand	Huai Thalang	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Huai Thap Than	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Huai Thap Than	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Huai Yot	2000	74.1%	76.8%	70.9%
Thailand	Huai Yot	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.5%
Thailand	In Buri	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Thailand	In Buri	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Ja-Nae	2000	71.0%	75.3%	66.6%
Thailand	Ja-Nae	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.1%
Thailand	K. Ban Dan	2000	92.4%	93.6%	90.7%
Thailand	K. Ban Dan	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Ban Haet	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.2%
Thailand	K. Ban Haet	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Ban Kha	2000	96.8%	97.9%	95.5%
Thailand	K. Ban Kha	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Bang Sao Thon	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Bang Sao Thon	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	K. Bua Lai	2000	91.5%	92.9%	89.7%
Thailand	K. Bua Lai	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Bung Khla	2000	92.0%	94.3%	89.0%
Thailand	K. Bung Khla	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Bung Narang	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
Thailand	K. Bung Narang	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Bung Samakki	2000	92.1%	93.7%	90.5%
Thailand	K. Bung Samakki	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Chang Klang	2000	73.0%	75.9%	70.1%
Thailand	K. Chang Klang	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.1%
Thailand	K. Chiang Kwan	2000	92.7%	94.0%	91.3%
Thailand	K. Chiang Kwan	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	K. Chum Ta Bong	2000	93.3%	94.8%	91.7%
Thailand	K. Chum Ta Bong	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Chun Chom	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.3%
Thailand	K. Chun Chom	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Daen Kong	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.4%
Thailand	K. Daen Kong	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Doi Lo	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.3%
Thailand	K. Doi Lo	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Doi Luang	2000	91.2%	93.4%	89.0%
Thailand	K. Doi Luang	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	K. Don Chan	2000	92.2%	93.4%	90.7%
Thailand	K. Don Chan	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Dong Charoen	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.9%
Thailand	K. Dong Charoen	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Erawan	2000	90.6%	92.6%	88.4%
Thailand	K. Erawan	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	K. Fao Rai	2000	91.6%	93.6%	89.2%
Thailand	K. Fao Rai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Hat Samran	2000	75.8%	79.4%	71.9%
Thailand	K. Hat Samran	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.8%
Thailand	K. Kao Kichakut	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.9%
Thailand	K. Kao Kichakut	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Khao Chamao	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Thailand	K. Khao Chamao	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Khlong Khuan	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	K. Khlong Khuan	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	K. Khok Pho Cha	2000	91.8%	93.3%	89.6%
Thailand	K. Khok Pho Cha	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Ko Chan	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	K. Ko Chan	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	K. Ko Chang	2000	95.0%	96.8%	92.2%
Thailand	K. Ko Chang	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.8%
Thailand	K. Ko Kut	2000	91.8%	95.0%	86.8%
Thailand	K. Ko Kut	2017	98.7%	99.3%	97.9%
Thailand	K. Ko Sam Pi Nakhon	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.5%
Thailand	K. Ko Sam Pi Nakhon	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	K. Kok Sung	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Thailand	K. Kok Sung	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Kong Chai	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.4%
Thailand	K. Kong Chai	2017	99.0%	99.1%	98.7%
Thailand	K. Krong Pi Nung	2000	73.4%	76.4%	70.3%
Thailand	K. Krong Pi Nung	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%
Thailand	K. Ku Kaeo	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.7%
Thailand	K. Ku Kaeo	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Kut Rang	2000	91.3%	92.9%	89.4%
Thailand	K. Kut Rang	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Kwao Si Narin	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.5%
Thailand	K. Kwao Si Narin	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Lam Tha Men Chai	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Thailand	K. Lam Tha Men Chai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Lao Sua Kok	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.4%
Thailand	K. Lao Sua Kok	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Ma Nang	2000	72.8%	76.9%	68.3%
Thailand	K. Ma Nang	2017	94.9%	95.7%	93.8%
Thailand	K. Mae On	2000	89.5%	91.2%	87.2%
Thailand	K. Mae On	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	K. Mae Poen	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.4%
Thailand	K. Mae Poen	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Muang Yang	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Muang Yang	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Na Du	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.0%
Thailand	K. Na Du	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Na Tan	2000	91.9%	94.2%	89.1%
Thailand	K. Na Tan	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Na Yai Am	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Thailand	K. Na Yai Am	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Na Yia	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.7%
Thailand	K. Na Yia	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Nam Khun	2000	92.1%	94.1%	89.5%
Thailand	K. Nam Khun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Nikhom Pattan	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	K. Nikhom Pattan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Noen Kham	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.4%
Thailand	K. Noen Kham	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Non Narai	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Thailand	K. Non Narai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Non Sila	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.2%
Thailand	K. Non Sila	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Nong Hi	2000	91.8%	93.5%	90.2%
Thailand	K. Nong Hi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Nong Hin	2000	90.2%	92.5%	88.1%
Thailand	K. Nong Hin	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	K. Nong Ma Mong	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.1%
Thailand	K. Nong Ma Mong	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	K. Nong Na Kham	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.1%
Thailand	K. Nong Na Kham	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Nophi Tam	2000	68.0%	71.5%	64.4%
Thailand	K. Nophi Tam	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.4%
Thailand	K. Phanom Dong Rak	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.1%
Thailand	K. Phanom Dong Rak	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Pho Si Suwan	2000	91.6%	93.3%	89.7%
Thailand	K. Pho Si Suwan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Pho Tak	2000	92.9%	94.5%	90.6%
Thailand	K. Pho Tak	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Phra Thong Kham	2000	91.6%	93.1%	89.6%
Thailand	K. Phra Thong Kham	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Phu Kam Yao	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Thailand	K. Phu Kam Yao	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Phu Pieng	2000	92.9%	94.5%	90.8%
Thailand	K. Phu Pieng	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Phu Sang	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.4%
Thailand	K. Phu Sang	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	K. Prachak Silapakhom	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Prachak Silapakhom	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	K. Rattana Wapi	2000	91.6%	93.9%	88.9%
Thailand	K. Rattana Wapi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	K. Sa Khrai	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.6%
Thailand	K. Sa Khrai	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Sak Lek	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.7%
Thailand	K. Sak Lek	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Sam Chai	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.8%
Thailand	K. Sam Chai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Sam Roi Yot	2000	97.7%	98.6%	96.7%
Thailand	K. Sam Roi Yot	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Sam Sung	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.5%
Thailand	K. Sam Sung	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Sap Yai	2000	90.5%	92.5%	87.9%
Thailand	K. Sap Yai	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	K. Sawang Weeraw	2000	92.6%	94.1%	91.1%
Thailand	K. Sawang Weeraw	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Sida	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.2%
Thailand	K. Sida	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Sila Lat	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.9%
Thailand	K. Sila Lat	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Sri Nakarin	2000	72.4%	75.6%	69.2%
Thailand	K. Sri Nakarin	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.0%
Thailand	K. Sri Narong	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.7%
Thailand	K. Sri Narong	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Suk Samran	2000	80.9%	84.6%	76.3%
Thailand	K. Suk Samran	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.7%
Thailand	K. The Pha Rak	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.4%
Thailand	K. The Pha Rak	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Thung Kao Lua	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.7%
Thailand	K. Thung Kao Lua	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Wang Chao	2000	91.4%	93.2%	89.5%
Thailand	K. Wang Chao	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	K. Wang Sombun	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Thailand	K. Wang Sombun	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Wang Yang	2000	92.5%	94.5%	90.4%
Thailand	K. Wang Yang	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Wiang Nong Long	2000	92.7%	94.4%	90.9%
Thailand	K. Wiang Nong Long	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Wieng Chiang	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.7%
Thailand	K. Wieng Chiang	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Wipawadi	2000	70.7%	74.3%	66.6%
Thailand	K. Wipawadi	2017	94.2%	95.3%	93.0%
Thailand	Ka Bang	2000	70.7%	75.0%	66.1%
Thailand	Ka Bang	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.3%
Thailand	Ka Pho	2000	74.1%	77.1%	70.9%
Thailand	Ka Pho	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.3%
Thailand	Kabin Buri	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.5%
Thailand	Kabin Buri	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Kae Dam	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.5%
Thailand	Kae Dam	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Kaeng Khlo	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.2%
Thailand	Kaeng Khlo	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Kaeng Khoi	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.6%
Thailand	Kaeng Khoi	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Kaeng Krachan	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.2%
Thailand	Kaeng Krachan	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Kaeng Sanam Nang	2000	91.7%	93.3%	90.0%
Thailand	Kaeng Sanam Nang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kamalasai	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.6%
Thailand	Kamalasai	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Kamphaeng Saen	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Kamphaeng Saen	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Kanchanadit	2000	76.6%	79.6%	73.3%
Thailand	Kanchanadit	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.0%
Thailand	Kang Hang Maeo	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Thailand	Kang Hang Maeo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Kantharalak	2000	92.2%	94.0%	90.0%
Thailand	Kantharalak	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kanthararom	2000	92.3%	93.7%	90.7%
Thailand	Kanthararom	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Kantharawichai	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.5%
Thailand	Kantharawichai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Kantrang	2000	75.9%	79.1%	72.4%
Thailand	Kantrang	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Thailand	Kao Cha Kan	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Thailand	Kao Cha Kan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Kao Lio	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.2%
Thailand	Kao Lio	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kap Choeng	2000	92.8%	94.3%	90.8%
Thailand	Kap Choeng	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Kapoe	2000	79.0%	82.6%	74.7%
Thailand	Kapoe	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Thailand	Kapong	2000	75.0%	78.6%	71.1%
Thailand	Kapong	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Thailand	Kaset Sombon	2000	90.7%	92.5%	88.5%
Thailand	Kaset Sombon	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Kaset Wisai	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.4%
Thailand	Kaset Wisai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kathu	2000	77.0%	80.9%	73.4%
Thailand	Kathu	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.9%
Thailand	Khai Bang Rachan	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.7%
Thailand	Khai Bang Rachan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Kham Khuan Kaeo	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.9%
Thailand	Kham Khuan Kaeo	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kham Muang	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.4%
Thailand	Kham Muang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kham Sakae Saeng	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.1%
Thailand	Kham Sakae Saeng	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kham Ta Kla	2000	91.6%	93.4%	89.6%
Thailand	Kham Ta Kla	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Kham Thala So	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.6%
Thailand	Kham Thala So	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Khamcha-i	2000	91.7%	93.7%	89.6%
Thailand	Khamcha-i	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khan Na Yao	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Khan Na Yao	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Khanom	2000	74.0%	77.7%	70.2%
Thailand	Khanom	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Thailand	Khanu	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.3%
Thailand	Khanu Woralaksaburi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khao Chaison	2000	75.1%	78.0%	72.2%
Thailand	Khao Chaison	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.8%
Thailand	Khao Kho	2000	88.4%	90.5%	85.6%
Thailand	Khao Kho	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Thailand	Khao Phanom	2000	72.6%	75.4%	69.5%
Thailand	Khao Phanom	2017	94.8%	95.6%	94.0%
Thailand	Khao Saming	2000	97.4%	98.5%	96.2%
Thailand	Khao Saming	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Khao Suan Kwang	2000	91.8%	93.6%	90.0%
Thailand	Khao Suan Kwang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khao Wong	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.1%
Thailand	Khao Wong	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khao Yoi	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Thailand	Khao Yoi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Khemarat	2000	91.8%	93.9%	89.1%
Thailand	Khemarat	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Khian Sa	2000	74.7%	77.7%	71.4%
Thailand	Khian Sa	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.5%
Thailand	Khiri Mat	2000	91.6%	93.3%	89.7%
Thailand	Khiri Mat	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Khiri Ratthanikhom	2000	73.8%	76.6%	70.2%
Thailand	Khiri Ratthanikhom	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%
Thailand	Khlong Hat	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Thailand	Khlong Hat	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Khlong Hoi Kong	2000	75.3%	78.4%	71.7%
Thailand	Khlong Hoi Kong	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.7%
Thailand	Khlong Luang	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Khlong Luang	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Khlong Sam Wa	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Khlong Sam Wa	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Khlong San	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Khlong San	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Khlong Thom	2000	75.1%	78.0%	71.6%
Thailand	Khlong Thom	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.6%
Thailand	Khlong Toey	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Khlong Toey	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Khlong Yai	2000	91.0%	94.8%	85.4%
Thailand	Khlong Yai	2017	98.6%	99.3%	97.6%
Thailand	Khlung	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.6%
Thailand	Khlung	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Kho Wang	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.1%
Thailand	Kho Wang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khok Charoen	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%
Thailand	Khok Charoen	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	Khok Pho	2000	76.1%	79.0%	73.0%
Thailand	Khok Pho	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Thailand	Khok Sam-rong	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Thailand	Khok Sam-rong	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Khok Sri Supan	2000	92.4%	94.2%	90.6%
Thailand	Khok Sri Supan	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Khon Buri	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.5%
Thailand	Khon Buri	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	Khon San	2000	90.7%	92.5%	88.7%
Thailand	Khon San	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Khon Sawan	2000	91.6%	93.2%	89.7%
Thailand	Khon Sawan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khong	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.4%
Thailand	Khong	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Khong Chiam	2000	91.2%	93.5%	88.6%
Thailand	Khong Chiam	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Khu Muang	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.2%
Thailand	Khu Muang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khuan Don	2000	73.0%	77.6%	68.4%
Thailand	Khuan Don	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Thailand	Khuan Ka Long	2000	72.5%	76.7%	67.8%
Thailand	Khuan Ka Long	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.5%
Thailand	Khuan Khanun	2000	74.8%	77.5%	72.4%
Thailand	Khuan Khanun	2017	95.4%	96.0%	94.8%
Thailand	Khuan Niang	2000	77.1%	79.5%	74.3%
Thailand	Khuan Niang	2017	95.9%	96.5%	95.3%
Thailand	Khuang Nai	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.1%
Thailand	Khuang Nai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Khukhan	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.4%
Thailand	Khukhan	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Khun Han	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.1%
Thailand	Khun Han	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khun Tan	2000	91.6%	93.5%	89.5%
Thailand	Khun Tan	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Khun Yuam	2000	90.0%	93.0%	85.9%
Thailand	Khun Yuam	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Khura Buri	2000	81.3%	85.2%	76.6%
Thailand	Khura Buri	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Thailand	Klaeng	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	Klaeng	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Klong Khlung	2000	92.4%	94.0%	91.1%
Thailand	Klong Khlung	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Klong Lan	2000	90.6%	92.9%	88.8%
Thailand	Klong Lan	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Ko Kha	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.8%
Thailand	Ko Kha	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Ko Lanta	2000	75.3%	78.9%	70.9%
Thailand	Ko Lanta	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Thailand	Ko Phangan	2000	70.4%	76.4%	63.8%
Thailand	Ko Phangan	2017	94.3%	95.9%	92.5%
Thailand	Ko Samui	2000	75.7%	80.3%	70.6%
Thailand	Ko Samui	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Thailand	Ko Sichang	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Ko Sichang	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ko Yao	2000	75.9%	79.5%	72.7%
Thailand	Ko Yao	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Thailand	Kong Krailat	2000	92.8%	94.1%	91.0%
Thailand	Kong Krailat	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kong Ra	2000	72.2%	75.3%	68.5%
Thailand	Kong Ra	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Thailand	Kosum Phisai	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.3%
Thailand	Kosum Phisai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Kra Buri	2000	74.0%	77.9%	69.7%
Thailand	Kra Buri	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.8%
Thailand	Kranuan	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.8%
Thailand	Kranuan	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Krasae Sinthu	2000	74.7%	78.2%	71.3%
Thailand	Krasae Sinthu	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Thailand	Krasang	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.6%
Thailand	Krasang	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Krathum Baen	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Krathum Baen	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Krok Phra	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.3%
Thailand	Krok Phra	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Kuchinarai	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.4%
Thailand	Kuchinarai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Kui Buri	2000	97.6%	98.5%	96.6%
Thailand	Kui Buri	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Kumphawapi	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.8%
Thailand	Kumphawapi	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Kusuman	2000	92.6%	94.4%	90.5%
Thailand	Kusuman	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Kut Bak	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.8%
Thailand	Kut Bak	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kut Chap	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.4%
Thailand	Kut Chap	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Kut Chum	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.6%
Thailand	Kut Chum	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Kut Khao Pun	2000	91.7%	93.6%	89.3%
Thailand	Kut Khao Pun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	La-Un	2000	75.4%	78.5%	70.8%
Thailand	La-Un	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.2%
Thailand	Laem Ngop	2000	97.4%	98.4%	96.0%
Thailand	Laem Ngop	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Laem Sing	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
Thailand	Laem Sing	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Lahan Sai	2000	93.4%	95.1%	91.7%
Thailand	Lahan Sai	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Lak Si	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Lak Si	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Lam Luk Ka	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Lam Luk Ka	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Lam Plai Mat	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.2%
Thailand	Lam Plai Mat	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Lam Son Thi	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.8%
Thailand	Lam Son Thi	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Lam Thap	2000	69.4%	72.9%	65.2%
Thailand	Lam Thap	2017	94.0%	94.9%	93.2%
Thailand	Lamae	2000	75.9%	79.1%	72.5%
Thailand	Lamae	2017	95.6%	96.3%	94.7%
Thailand	Lamduan	2000	92.7%	94.2%	91.0%
Thailand	Lamduan	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Lan Krabu	2000	91.7%	93.2%	89.8%
Thailand	Lan Krabu	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Lan Sak	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.7%
Thailand	Lan Sak	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Lan Saka	2000	70.4%	73.0%	67.5%
Thailand	Lan Saka	2017	94.2%	94.9%	93.4%
Thailand	Lang Suan	2000	76.2%	79.4%	72.8%
Thailand	Lang Suan	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.7%
Thailand	Langu	2000	73.9%	77.9%	68.6%
Thailand	Langu	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Thailand	Lao Khwan	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Thailand	Lao Khwan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Laplae	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.0%
Thailand	Laplae	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Lat Bua Luang	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Lat Bua Luang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Lat Krabang	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Lat Krabang	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Lat Lum Kaeo	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Lat Lum Kaeo	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Lat Phrao	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Lat Phrao	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Lat Yao	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.7%
Thailand	Lat Yao	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Li	2000	89.5%	91.7%	87.3%
Thailand	Li	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Loeng Nok Tha	2000	91.6%	93.5%	89.3%
Thailand	Loeng Nok Tha	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Lom Kao	2000	91.2%	93.0%	89.2%
Thailand	Lom Kao	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Lom Sak	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.5%
Thailand	Lom Sak	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Long	2000	90.5%	92.2%	88.3%
Thailand	Long	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Thailand	Lu Amnat	2000	92.1%	93.9%	90.2%
Thailand	Lu Amnat	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Mae Ai	2000	90.9%	92.9%	88.3%
Thailand	Mae Ai	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Mae Chaem	2000	89.8%	92.1%	86.5%
Thailand	Mae Chaem	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Mae Chai	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.1%
Thailand	Mae Chai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Mae Chan	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.0%
Thailand	Mae Chan	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Mae Charim	2000	90.2%	92.6%	87.2%
Thailand	Mae Charim	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Mae Fa Luang	2000	88.2%	90.9%	84.9%
Thailand	Mae Fa Luang	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Mae La Noi	2000	90.5%	93.3%	86.5%
Thailand	Mae La Noi	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Thailand	Mae Lan	2000	75.9%	78.9%	72.7%
Thailand	Mae Lan	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.1%
Thailand	Mae Lao	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.7%
Thailand	Mae Lao	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Mae Mo	2000	89.2%	91.3%	86.9%
Thailand	Mae Mo	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Mae Phrik	2000	90.9%	92.9%	88.5%
Thailand	Mae Phrik	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Mae Ramat	2000	92.2%	94.2%	89.7%
Thailand	Mae Ramat	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Thailand	Mae Rim	2000	92.5%	93.8%	90.9%
Thailand	Mae Rim	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Mae Sai	2000	90.1%	92.6%	86.9%
Thailand	Mae Sai	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Mae Sariang	2000	92.2%	94.7%	88.8%
Thailand	Mae Sariang	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
Thailand	Mae Sot	2000	93.2%	94.9%	91.2%
Thailand	Mae Sot	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Mae Suai	2000	91.6%	93.2%	89.5%
Thailand	Mae Suai	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Mae Taeng	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.6%
Thailand	Mae Taeng	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2000	89.7%	91.5%	87.8%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2000	90.1%	91.9%	88.2%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Mae Wang	2000	91.1%	92.9%	89.0%
Thailand	Mae Wang	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Mae Wong	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.8%
Thailand	Mae Wong	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Maha Chana Chai	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.0%
Thailand	Maha Chana Chai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Maha Rat	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Thailand	Maha Rat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Mai Kaen	2000	75.4%	78.4%	72.1%
Thailand	Mai Kaen	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Makham	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
Thailand	Makham	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Mancha Khiri	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.6%
Thailand	Mancha Khiri	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Manorom	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.7%
Thailand	Manorom	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Mayo	2000	74.9%	78.0%	71.8%
Thailand	Mayo	2017	95.4%	96.0%	94.7%
Thailand	Min Buri	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Min Buri	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Moei Wadi	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.1%
Thailand	Moei Wadi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muak Lek	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Thailand	Muak Lek	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Amnat Charoen	2000	91.6%	93.4%	89.5%
Thailand	Muang Amnat Charoen	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Muang Ang Thong	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Thailand	Muang Ang Thong	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Buri Ram	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.0%
Thailand	Muang Buri Ram	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Chachoengsao	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Chachoengsao	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Chai Nat	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.3%
Thailand	Muang Chai Nat	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Chaiyaphum	2000	91.9%	93.4%	89.9%
Thailand	Muang Chaiyaphum	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Chan	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.9%
Thailand	Muang Chan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Chanthaburi	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.4%
Thailand	Muang Chanthaburi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Mai	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Mai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Rai	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Rai	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Muang Chon Buri	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	Muang Chon Buri	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Chumphon	2000	78.1%	81.0%	74.5%
Thailand	Muang Chumphon	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.2%
Thailand	Muang Kalasin	2000	92.9%	94.0%	91.5%
Thailand	Muang Kalasin	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Thailand	Muang Kanchanaburi	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Thailand	Muang Kanchanaburi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Khon Kaen	2000	92.5%	93.9%	90.9%
Thailand	Muang Khon Kaen	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Krabi	2000	75.3%	78.4%	71.6%
Thailand	Muang Krabi	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Muang Lampang	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Lam-pang	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Muang Lam-phun	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.2%
Thailand	Muang Lam-phun	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Loei	2000	90.9%	92.8%	88.5%
Thailand	Muang Loei	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Lop Buri	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Thailand	Muang Lop Buri	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Mae Hong Son	2000	91.9%	94.4%	88.9%
Thailand	Muang Mae Hong Son	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.1%
Thailand	Muang Maha Sarakam	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.7%
Thailand	Muang Maha Sarakam	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Muk-dahan	2000	92.1%	94.2%	90.0%
Thailand	Muang Muk-dahan	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Nayok	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Nayok	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Pathom	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Pathom	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Phanom	2000	92.8%	94.9%	90.6%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Phanom	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Ratchasima	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.1%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Ratchasima	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Sawan	2000	93.4%	94.7%	91.8%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Sawan	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Si Thammarat	2000	76.4%	78.9%	73.9%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Si Thammarat	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.1%
Thailand	Muang Nan	2000	92.7%	94.4%	90.7%
Thailand	Muang Nan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Narathiwat	2000	75.1%	78.2%	71.9%
Thailand	Muang Narathiwat	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Muang Nong Bua Lam Phu	2000	91.5%	93.4%	89.7%
Thailand	Muang Nong Bua Lam Phu	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Nong Khai	2000	93.1%	94.7%	90.8%
Thailand	Muang Nong Khai	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Non-thaburi	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Muang Non-thaburi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Pathum Thani	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Muang Pathum Thani	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Pattani	2000	77.3%	80.4%	73.9%
Thailand	Muang Pattani	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.3%
Thailand	Muang Phangnga	2000	77.0%	79.9%	74.1%
Thailand	Muang Phangnga	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Thailand	Muang Phatthalung	2000	75.7%	78.4%	73.1%
Thailand	Muang Phatthalung	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Thailand	Muang Phayao	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.4%
Thailand	Muang Phayao	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Phetchabun	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.9%
Thailand	Muang Phetchabun	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Phetchaburi	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	Muang Phetchaburi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Phichit	2000	93.4%	94.5%	91.9%
Thailand	Muang Phichit	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Phitsanulok	2000	93.6%	94.8%	92.1%
Thailand	Muang Phitsanulok	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Phrae	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.3%
Thailand	Muang Phrae	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Muang Phuket	2000	78.6%	82.5%	75.3%
Thailand	Muang Phuket	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Thailand	Muang Prachin Buri	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Prachin Buri	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Prachuap Khiri Khan	2000	97.3%	98.3%	96.0%
Thailand	Muang Prachuap Khiri Khan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Ratanong	2000	83.8%	86.6%	80.0%
Thailand	Muang Ratanong	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.4%
Thailand	Muang Ratchaburi	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Muang Ratchaburi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Rayong	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Thailand	Muang Rayong	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Roi Et	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.4%
Thailand	Muang Roi Et	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Sa Kaeo	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Thailand	Muang Sa Kaeo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Sakon Nakhon	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.8%
Thailand	Muang Sakon Nakhon	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sipsi	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.3%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sipsi	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Samut Prakan	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Samut Prakan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sakhon	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sakhon	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Samut Songkhram	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Muang Samut Songkhram	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Saraburi	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Muang Saraburi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Satun	2000	73.8%	79.0%	68.4%
Thailand	Muang Satun	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.9%
Thailand	Muang Si Sa Ket	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.3%
Thailand	Muang Si Sa Ket	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Sing Buri	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Thailand	Muang Sing Buri	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Songkhla	2000	76.6%	79.1%	74.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Songkhla	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.0%
Thailand	Muang Suang	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Thailand	Muang Suang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Sukhothai	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.1%
Thailand	Muang Sukhothai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Suphanburi	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Muang Suphanburi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Surat Thani	2000	78.3%	81.1%	75.3%
Thailand	Muang Surat Thani	2017	96.2%	96.8%	95.4%
Thailand	Muang Surin	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.0%
Thailand	Muang Surin	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Tak	2000	91.3%	93.1%	89.3%
Thailand	Muang Tak	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Muang Trang	2000	76.3%	79.0%	73.3%
Thailand	Muang Trang	2017	95.8%	96.5%	95.1%
Thailand	Muang Trat	2000	97.0%	98.2%	95.4%
Thailand	Muang Trat	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Ubon Ratchatani	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.0%
Thailand	Muang Ubon Ratchatani	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Udon Thani	2000	92.9%	94.4%	91.3%
Thailand	Muang Udon Thani	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Uthai Thani	2000	95.2%	96.1%	93.9%
Thailand	Muang Uthai Thani	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Muang Utaradit	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.3%
Thailand	Muang Utaradit	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Yala	2000	76.2%	78.8%	73.3%
Thailand	Muang Yala	2017	95.7%	96.3%	94.9%
Thailand	Mueang Kamphaeng Phet	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.5%
Thailand	Mueang Kamphaeng Phet	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Mueang Pan	2000	89.8%	91.5%	87.5%
Thailand	Mueang Pan	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Na Bon	2000	73.9%	76.6%	70.8%
Thailand	Na Bon	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.4%
Thailand	Na Chaluai	2000	91.7%	94.0%	89.0%
Thailand	Na Chaluai	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Thailand	Na Chuak	2000	91.2%	92.7%	89.4%
Thailand	Na Chuak	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Na Di	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Thailand	Na Di	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Na Duang	2000	90.5%	92.6%	88.0%
Thailand	Na Duang	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Na Dun	2000	91.5%	93.0%	89.8%
Thailand	Na Dun	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Na Haeo	2000	88.6%	91.1%	85.6%
Thailand	Na Haeo	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Na Kae	2000	92.6%	94.5%	90.2%
Thailand	Na Kae	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Na Klang	2000	91.1%	93.2%	88.8%
Thailand	Na Klang	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Na Mom	2000	74.1%	76.7%	71.2%
Thailand	Na Mom	2017	95.3%	95.9%	94.5%
Thailand	Na Mon	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.5%
Thailand	Na Mon	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Na Mun	2000	89.5%	91.9%	87.0%
Thailand	Na Mun	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Na Noi	2000	90.6%	92.8%	88.3%
Thailand	Na Noi	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Na Pho	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.1%
Thailand	Na Pho	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Na Thawi	2000	73.9%	77.5%	70.1%
Thailand	Na Thawi	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Thailand	Na Thom	2000	92.0%	93.9%	89.7%
Thailand	Na Thom	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Na Wa	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.9%
Thailand	Na Wa	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Na Wang	2000	90.9%	93.0%	88.6%
Thailand	Na Wang	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Na Yong	2000	73.7%	76.5%	70.3%
Thailand	Na Yong	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.3%
Thailand	Na Yung	2000	92.1%	94.0%	89.4%
Thailand	Na Yung	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Nakhon Chaisi	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Nakhon Chaisi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Nakhon Luang	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Nakhon Luang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Nakhon Thai	2000	89.9%	91.9%	87.3%
Thailand	Nakhon Thai	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Nam Kliang	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.4%
Thailand	Nam Kliang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Nam Nao	2000	89.2%	91.7%	87.0%
Thailand	Nam Nao	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Nam Pat	2000	90.6%	92.7%	88.0%
Thailand	Nam Pat	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Nam Phong	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.5%
Thailand	Nam Phong	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Nam Som	2000	92.0%	93.9%	89.4%
Thailand	Nam Som	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Nam Yun	2000	91.6%	94.0%	88.6%
Thailand	Nam Yun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Nang Rong	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.5%
Thailand	Nang Rong	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Ngao	2000	91.1%	92.9%	89.2%
Thailand	Ngao	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Nikhom Kham Soi	2000	91.5%	93.7%	89.0%
Thailand	Nikhom Kham Soi	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Nikhom Nam Un	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.0%
Thailand	Nikhom Nam Un	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Noen Maprang	2000	91.7%	93.2%	89.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Noen Maprang	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Noen Sa-Nga	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.4%
Thailand	Noen Sa-Nga	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Non Daeng	2000	92.3%	93.6%	90.4%
Thailand	Non Daeng	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Non Din Daeng	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.7%
Thailand	Non Din Daeng	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Non Khun	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.3%
Thailand	Non Khun	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Non Sa-at	2000	92.0%	93.7%	90.2%
Thailand	Non Sa-at	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Non Sang	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.7%
Thailand	Non Sang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Non Sung	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Thailand	Non Sung	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Non Suwan	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.1%
Thailand	Non Suwan	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Non Thai	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
Thailand	Non Thai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Nong Bua	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.5%
Thailand	Nong Bua	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Nong Bua Daeng	2000	89.7%	92.0%	87.2%
Thailand	Nong Bua Daeng	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Nong Bua Rawae	2000	90.5%	92.7%	87.9%
Thailand	Nong Bua Rawae	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Nong Bunnak	2000	92.7%	94.2%	90.9%
Thailand	Nong Bunnak	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Nong Chang	2000	95.2%	96.1%	93.8%
Thailand	Nong Chang	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Nong Chik	2000	77.0%	80.2%	74.1%
Thailand	Nong Chik	2017	95.9%	96.5%	95.2%
Thailand	Nong Chok	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Nong Chok	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Nong Don	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Thailand	Nong Don	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Thailand	Nong Han	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.9%
Thailand	Nong Han	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Nong Hong	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.3%
Thailand	Nong Hong	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Nong Khae	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Nong Khae	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Nong Khayang	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.2%
Thailand	Nong Khayang	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Thailand	Nong Ki	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.8%
Thailand	Nong Ki	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Nong Kung Si	2000	91.8%	93.3%	90.3%
Thailand	Nong Kung Si	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Nong Muang	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Thailand	Nong Muang	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Nong Muang Kai	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.7%
Thailand	Nong Muang Kai	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Nong Phai	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.5%
Thailand	Nong Phai	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Nong Phok	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.4%
Thailand	Nong Phok	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Nong Prue	2000	97.1%	97.9%	95.9%
Thailand	Nong Prue	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Nong Rua	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.2%
Thailand	Nong Rua	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2000	91.5%	93.4%	89.4%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Nong Song Hong	2000	91.7%	93.0%	90.0%
Thailand	Nong Song Hong	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Nong Sua	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Nong Sua	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Nong Sung	2000	91.3%	93.4%	89.2%
Thailand	Nong Sung	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Nong Wua So	2000	91.5%	93.5%	89.6%
Thailand	Nong Wua So	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Nong Ya Plong	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.7%
Thailand	Nong Ya Plong	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Nong Ya Sai	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
Thailand	Nong Ya Sai	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Nong Yai	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Nong Yai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Nongkheam	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Nongkheam	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Nua Khlong	2000	76.5%	79.4%	73.2%
Thailand	Nua Khlong	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Thailand	Omkoï	2000	90.2%	92.7%	87.3%
Thailand	Omkoï	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Ongkharak	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Ongkharak	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Pa Bon	2000	75.6%	78.6%	72.5%
Thailand	Pa Bon	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.9%
Thailand	Pa Daet	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.6%
Thailand	Pa Daet	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Pa Kham	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.0%
Thailand	Pa Kham	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Pa Mok	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Thailand	Pa Mok	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Pa Payom	2000	73.2%	76.1%	70.4%
Thailand	Pa Payom	2017	95.1%	95.7%	94.3%
Thailand	Pa Sang	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Thailand	Pa Sang	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Pa Tiu	2000	91.7%	93.3%	89.7%
Thailand	Pa Tiu	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Pai	2000	90.5%	93.2%	88.1%
Thailand	Pai	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Thailand	Pak Chom	2000	91.6%	93.6%	89.0%
Thailand	Pak Chom	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Pak Chong	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Thailand	Pak Chong	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Pak Khat	2000	91.9%	94.1%	89.2%
Thailand	Pak Khat	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Pak Kret	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Pak Kret	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Pak Phanang	2000	75.9%	79.1%	73.2%
Thailand	Pak Phanang	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.9%
Thailand	Pak Phayun	2000	76.5%	79.1%	73.7%
Thailand	Pak Phayun	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.1%
Thailand	Pak Phli	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Pak Phli	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Pak Tho	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Thailand	Pak Tho	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Pak Thong	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.5%
Thailand	Pak Thong Chai	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Palian	2000	75.2%	78.4%	71.8%
Thailand	Palian	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.6%
Thailand	Panare	2000	73.3%	77.0%	69.2%
Thailand	Panare	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Thailand	Pang Ma Pha	2000	91.1%	94.0%	87.8%
Thailand	Pang Ma Pha	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.9%
Thailand	Pang Sila Thong	2000	91.7%	93.6%	90.0%
Thailand	Pang Sila Thong	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Panom Phrai	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.2%
Thailand	Panom Phrai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Pathiu	2000	79.4%	83.2%	75.0%
Thailand	Pathiu	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Thailand	Pathum Rat	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.1%
Thailand	Pathum Rat	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Pathum Rat-wongsa	2000	91.2%	93.3%	88.5%
Thailand	Pathum Rat-wongsa	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Pathum Wan	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Pathum Wan	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Pha Khao	2000	90.6%	92.6%	88.5%
Thailand	Pha Khao	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Phachi	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Phachi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Phaisali	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.1%
Thailand	Phaisali	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Phak Hai	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Thailand	Phak Hai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Phakdi	2000	89.4%	91.7%	86.5%
Thailand	Phakdi Chumphol	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Phan	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.5%
Thailand	Phan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phan Thong	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Phan Thong	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Phana	2000	92.3%	94.2%	90.3%
Thailand	Phana	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phanat Nikhom	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Phanat Nikhom	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Phang Khon	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.9%
Thailand	Phang Khon	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Phanna Nikhom	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.6%
Thailand	Phanna Nikhom	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Phanom	2000	70.1%	73.3%	66.3%
Thailand	Phanom	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.2%
Thailand	Phanom	2000	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
	Sarakham				
Thailand	Phanom	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
	Sarakham				
Thailand	Phanom	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
	Thuan				
Thailand	Phanom	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
	Thuan				
Thailand	Phasi	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
	Charoen				
Thailand	Phasi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
	Charoen				
Thailand	Phato	2000	71.8%	75.6%	67.6%
Thailand	Phato	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.5%
Thailand	Phatthana	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.5%
	Nikhom				
Thailand	Phatthana	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
	Nikhom				
Thailand	Phaya Men- grai	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.9%
Thailand	Phaya Men- grai	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Phaya Thai	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Phaya Thai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Phayakkhaphum	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.2%
	Phisai				
Thailand	Phayakkhaphum	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
	Phisai				
Thailand	Phayu	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.3%
Thailand	Phayu	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Phayuha	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.0%
	Khiri				
Thailand	Phayuha	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
	Khiri				
Thailand	Phen	2000	92.6%	94.3%	90.8%
Thailand	Phen	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Phi Pun	2000	67.8%	71.6%	64.5%
Thailand	Phi Pun	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.5%
Thailand	Phibun	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.5%
	Mangsahan				
Thailand	Phibun	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
	Mangsahan				
Thailand	Phibun Rak	2000	92.6%	94.3%	90.8%
Thailand	Phibun Rak	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Phichai	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.7%
Thailand	Phichai	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Phimai	2000	92.4%	93.6%	90.5%
Thailand	Phimai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phlapphlachai	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.7%
Thailand	Phlapphlachai	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Pho Chai	2000	92.3%	93.7%	90.8%
Thailand	Pho Chai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Pho Prathap Chan	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.6%
Thailand	Pho Prathap Chan	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Pho Si	2000	91.6%	93.8%	88.6%
Thailand	Pho Si	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Pho Thale	2000	92.5%	93.8%	90.8%
Thailand	Pho Thale	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Pho Thong	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Thailand	Pho Thong	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Phon	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.1%
Thailand	Phon	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Phon Charoen	2000	91.7%	93.8%	88.9%
Thailand	Phon Charoen	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Phon Na Kaeo	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.6%
Thailand	Phon Na Kaeo	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Phon Phisai	2000	91.9%	93.9%	89.6%
Thailand	Phon Phisai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Phon Sai	2000	92.1%	93.7%	90.3%
Thailand	Phon Sai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Phon Sawan	2000	92.9%	94.6%	90.7%
Thailand	Phon Sawan	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Phon Thong	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.4%
Thailand	Phon Thong	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phop Phra	2000	91.7%	93.9%	89.4%
Thailand	Phop Phra	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	Photharam	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Photharam	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon Si Ayutthaya	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon Si Ayutthaya	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Phra Phrom	2000	76.7%	79.4%	74.3%
Thailand	Phra Phrom	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.1%
Thailand	Phra Phutthabat	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Thailand	Phra Phutthabat	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Phra Pra Daeng	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Phra Pra Daeng	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Phra Samut Jadee	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Phra Samut Jadee	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Phra Yun	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.0%
Thailand	Phra Yun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phrai Bung	2000	92.1%	93.9%	90.2%
Thailand	Phrai Bung	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phran Kratai	2000	91.3%	93.1%	89.7%
Thailand	Phran Kratai	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.2%
Thailand	Phrao	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.5%
Thailand	Phrao	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Phrasat	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.0%
Thailand	Phrasat	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Phrom Buri	2000	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Thailand	Phrom Buri	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Phrom Phiram	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.5%
Thailand	Phrom Phiram	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phrommakhiri	2000	72.3%	75.0%	69.5%
Thailand	Phrommakhiri	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.8%
Thailand	Phu Khieo	2000	91.8%	93.3%	89.9%
Thailand	Phu Khieo	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Phu Kradung	2000	90.5%	92.7%	88.1%
Thailand	Phu Kradung	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Phu Luang	2000	90.0%	92.3%	87.8%
Thailand	Phu Luang	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Phu Phan	2000	91.0%	92.7%	89.0%
Thailand	Phu Phan	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Phu Rua	2000	89.0%	91.3%	86.4%
Thailand	Phu Rua	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Phu Sing	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.1%
Thailand	Phu Sing	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Phu Wiang	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.3%
Thailand	Phu Wiang	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phunphin	2000	77.6%	80.2%	74.2%
Thailand	Phunphin	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Thailand	Phupa Man	2000	90.5%	92.6%	88.4%
Thailand	Phupa Man	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Phuttha Mon Thon	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Phuttha Mon Thon	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Phutthaisong	2000	92.0%	93.2%	90.4%
Thailand	Phutthaisong	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Pla Pak	2000	92.6%	94.6%	90.3%
Thailand	Pla Pak	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Plaeng Yao	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	Plaeng Yao	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Plai Phraya	2000	73.6%	76.5%	70.7%
Thailand	Plai Phraya	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.3%
Thailand	Pluak Daeng	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.6%
Thailand	Pluak Daeng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Pom Pram Sattru	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Pom Pram Sattru	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Pong	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.4%
Thailand	Pong	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Pong Nam Ron	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.0%
Thailand	Pong Nam Ron	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Pra Thai	2000	92.0%	93.2%	90.3%
Thailand	Pra Thai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Prachantakham	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Thailand	Prachantakham	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Prakanong	2000	98.9%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Prakanong	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Prakhon Chai	2000	92.9%	94.4%	91.3%
Thailand	Prakhon Chai	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Pran Buri	2000	97.9%	98.6%	96.9%
Thailand	Pran Buri	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Prang Ku	2000	92.1%	93.8%	90.3%
Thailand	Prang Ku	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Prasaeng	2000	74.6%	77.4%	71.6%
Thailand	Prasaeng	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.6%
Thailand	Prawet	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Prawet	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Pua	2000	91.4%	93.5%	89.0%
Thailand	Pua	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	Puai Noi	2000	91.4%	93.0%	89.5%
Thailand	Puai Noi	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Raman	2000	75.0%	77.3%	72.5%
Thailand	Raman	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.6%
Thailand	Rangae	2000	72.9%	76.3%	69.7%
Thailand	Rangae	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Ranot	2000	76.6%	79.6%	73.3%
Thailand	Ranot	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Thailand	Rasada	2000	73.9%	76.7%	71.1%
Thailand	Rasada	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.6%
Thailand	Rasi Salai	2000	91.7%	93.3%	89.9%
Thailand	Rasi Salai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Rat Burana	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Rat Burana	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Ratchasan	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Ratchasan	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ratchathewi	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Ratchathewi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Rattana Buri	2000	92.1%	93.7%	90.4%
Thailand	Rattana Buri	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Rattaphum	2000	75.1%	77.9%	72.1%
Thailand	Rattaphum	2017	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Thailand	Renu Nakhon	2000	92.6%	94.7%	89.9%
Thailand	Renu Nakhon	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Ron Phi Pun	2000	74.4%	77.3%	71.6%
Thailand	Ron Phi Pun	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.6%
Thailand	Rong Kham	2000	92.5%	93.9%	91.0%
Thailand	Rong Kham	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Rong Kwang	2000	91.1%	93.0%	89.0%
Thailand	Rong Kwang	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Ruso	2000	72.1%	75.2%	69.1%
Thailand	Ruso	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.7%
Thailand	Saba Yoi	2000	72.5%	76.2%	68.9%
Thailand	Saba Yoi	2017	94.8%	95.6%	93.7%
Thailand	Sadao	2000	74.8%	78.5%	70.4%
Thailand	Sadao	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Thailand	Sahatsakhan	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.6%
Thailand	Sahatsakhan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Sai Buri	2000	75.2%	78.2%	71.7%
Thailand	Sai Buri	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Thailand	Sai Mai	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Sai Mai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Sai Ngam	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.1%
Thailand	Sai Ngam	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Sai Noi	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Sai Noi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Sai Thong	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.7%
Thailand	Watthana				
Thailand	Sai Thong	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Watthana				
Thailand	Sai Yok	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.1%
Thailand	Sai Yok	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Sam Chuk	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Thailand	Sam Chuk	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Sam Khok	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Sam Khok	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Sam Ngam	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.7%
Thailand	Sam Ngam	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Sam Ngao	2000	90.7%	92.7%	88.2%
Thailand	Sam Ngao	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Sam Phran	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Sam Phran	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Samko	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Thailand	Samko	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Samoeng	2000	89.9%	92.0%	87.6%
Thailand	Samoeng	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Samphantawong	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Samphantawong	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Samrong	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.8%
Thailand	Samrong	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Samrong	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.5%
	Thap				
Thailand	Samrong	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
	Thap				
Thailand	San Kamphaeng	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.6%
Thailand	San Kamphaeng	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	San Pa Tong	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.5%
Thailand	San Pa Tong	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	San Sai	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.2%
Thailand	San Sai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Sanam	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
	Chaikhet				
Thailand	Sanam	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
	Chaikhet				
Thailand	Sang Khom	2000	92.4%	94.2%	90.3%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2000	92.5%	94.4%	89.9%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Sangkha	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.6%
Thailand	Sangkha	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Sangkha Buri	2000	94.7%	96.6%	92.3%
Thailand	Sangkha Buri	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Thailand	Sankha Buri	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Thailand	Sankha Buri	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Sanom	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.1%
Thailand	Sanom	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Sanphaya	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Thailand	Sanphaya	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Thailand	Santi Suk	2000	91.6%	93.6%	88.8%
Thailand	Santi Suk	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	Sao Hai	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Sao Hai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Saphan Sung	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Saphan Sung	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Saraphi	2000	92.4%	93.7%	91.0%
Thailand	Saraphi	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Sathing Phra	2000	78.0%	81.1%	74.9%
Thailand	Sathing Phra	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.3%
Thailand	Sathorn	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Sathorn	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Sattahip	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	Sattahip	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Satuk	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.5%
Thailand	Satuk	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Sawaengha	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.7%
Thailand	Sawaengha	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Sawang Arom	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Thailand	Sawang Arom	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Sawang Daen Din	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.6%
Thailand	Sawang Daen Din	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Sawankhalok	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.6%
Thailand	Sawankhalok	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Sawi	2000	76.1%	79.1%	72.3%
Thailand	Sawi	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Seka	2000	91.9%	93.9%	89.3%
Thailand	Seka	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Selaphum	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.7%
Thailand	Selaphum	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Sena	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Sena	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Senangkhanikhon	2000	91.5%	93.5%	89.0%
Thailand	Senangkhanikhon	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Si Banphot	2000	71.7%	74.8%	68.9%
Thailand	Si Banphot	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.8%
Thailand	Si Bun Ruang	2000	91.6%	93.5%	89.7%
Thailand	Si Bun Ruang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Si Chiang Mai	2000	93.5%	95.0%	91.4%
Thailand	Si Chiang Mai	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Si Chomphu	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.9%
Thailand	Si Chomphu	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Si Mahosot	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Thailand	Si Mahosot	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Si Muang Mai	2000	91.5%	93.6%	89.0%
Thailand	Si Muang Mai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Si Nakhon	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.5%
Thailand	Si Nakhon	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Si Prachan	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Thailand	Si Prachan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Si Racha	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Thailand	Si Racha	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Si Rin Ton	2000	91.4%	93.6%	89.1%
Thailand	Si Rin Ton	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Si Sakhon	2000	70.7%	74.5%	66.9%
Thailand	Si Sakhon	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.0%
Thailand	Si Sam Rong	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.1%
Thailand	Si Sam Rong	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Si Satchanalai	2000	91.4%	93.2%	89.7%
Thailand	Si Satchanalai	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Si Sawat	2000	96.4%	97.5%	94.9%
Thailand	Si Sawat	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Si Somdet	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.7%
Thailand	Si Somdet	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Si Songkhram	2000	92.4%	94.4%	90.5%
Thailand	Si Songkhram	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Si That	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.5%
Thailand	Si That	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Si Thep	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Thailand	Si Thep	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Si Wilai	2000	91.9%	94.0%	88.9%
Thailand	Si Wilai	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Sichon	2000	75.6%	78.7%	72.4%
Thailand	Sichon	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.6%
Thailand	Sikao	2000	74.9%	78.3%	71.4%
Thailand	Sikao	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Thailand	Sikhiu	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.7%
Thailand	Sikhiu	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Sikhoraphum	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.7%
Thailand	Sikhoraphum	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Singha Nakhon	2000	77.7%	80.2%	75.1%
Thailand	Singha Nakhon	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.3%
Thailand	So Phisai	2000	91.7%	93.8%	89.1%
Thailand	So Phisai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Soem Ngam	2000	89.8%	91.7%	87.6%
Thailand	Soem Ngam	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Soeng Sang	2000	93.6%	95.1%	92.1%
Thailand	Soeng Sang	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Somdet	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.5%
Thailand	Somdet	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Song	2000	91.4%	93.0%	89.2%
Thailand	Song	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Song Dao	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.5%
Thailand	Song Dao	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Song Kwae	2000	90.5%	92.8%	88.0%
Thailand	Song Kwae	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Song Phi Nong	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	Song Phi Nong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2000	75.0%	78.2%	71.8%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2000	75.8%	78.4%	73.1%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2017	95.6%	96.3%	94.9%
Thailand	Sop Moei	2000	92.4%	94.7%	89.3%
Thailand	Sop Moei	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.2%
Thailand	Sop Prap	2000	90.7%	92.5%	88.5%
Thailand	Sop Prap	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Soydow	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Thailand	Soydow	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Sra Both	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Thailand	Sra Both	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Sri Mahar Pho	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Sri Mahar Pho	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Sri Ratana	2000	92.1%	93.8%	90.3%
Thailand	Sri Ratana	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Su-ngai Ko Lok	2000	75.0%	79.1%	71.4%
Thailand	Su-ngai Ko Lok	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Thailand	Suan Luang	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Suan Luang	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Suan Phung	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.2%
Thailand	Suan Phung	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Sukhirin	2000	73.7%	78.1%	68.9%
Thailand	Sukhirin	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Thailand	Sung Men	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.3%
Thailand	Sung Men	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Sung Noen	2000	93.5%	94.8%	91.8%
Thailand	Sung Noen	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Sungai Padi	2000	74.0%	77.6%	70.7%
Thailand	Sungai Padi	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.1%
Thailand	Suwan Khuha	2000	91.7%	93.6%	89.4%
Thailand	Suwan Khuha	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Suwannaphum	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Thailand	Suwannaphum	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Ta Phraya	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.5%
Thailand	Ta Phraya	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Tak Bai	2000	76.1%	80.3%	72.6%
Thailand	Tak Bai	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Thailand	Tak Fa	2000	94.3%	95.5%	93.1%
Thailand	Tak Fa	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	Takhli	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Thailand	Takhli	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Takua Pa	2000	81.0%	84.9%	76.7%
Thailand	Takua Pa	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Thailand	Takua Thung	2000	78.6%	81.5%	75.3%
Thailand	Takua Thung	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.4%
Thailand	Taling Chan	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Taling Chan	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Tamot	2000	74.3%	77.6%	71.5%
Thailand	Tamot	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.5%
Thailand	Tan Sum	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.2%
Thailand	Tan Sum	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Tao Ngoi	2000	91.9%	93.7%	90.1%
Thailand	Tao Ngoi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Taphan Hin	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.5%
Thailand	Taphan Hin	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Tha Bo	2000	93.0%	94.6%	90.9%
Thailand	Tha Bo	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Tha Chana	2000	76.2%	79.6%	72.4%
Thailand	Tha Chana	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.8%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2000	77.1%	79.7%	73.8%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Thailand	Tha Khantho	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.4%
Thailand	Tha Khantho	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Tha Li	2000	90.1%	92.3%	87.6%
Thailand	Tha Li	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Tha Luang	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Thailand	Tha Luang	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Tha Mai	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Thailand	Tha Mai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Tha Maka	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Tha Maka	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Tha Muang	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Thailand	Tha Muang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Tha Phae	2000	74.7%	79.1%	69.4%
Thailand	Tha Phae	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Thailand	Tha Pla	2000	90.4%	92.6%	87.7%
Thailand	Tha Pla	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Tha Rua	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Thailand	Tha Rua	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Tha Sae	2000	76.4%	80.0%	72.6%
Thailand	Tha Sae	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Thailand	Tha Sala	2000	76.7%	79.3%	74.1%
Thailand	Tha Sala	2017	95.8%	96.5%	95.1%
Thailand	Tha Song Yang	2000	93.0%	95.0%	90.5%
Thailand	Tha Song Yang	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Tha Ta Kieb	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Thailand	Tha Ta Kieb	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Tha Tako	2000	93.5%	94.9%	92.0%
Thailand	Tha Tako	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Tha Tum	2000	92.3%	93.6%	90.6%
Thailand	Tha Tum	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Tha Uthen	2000	93.0%	95.1%	90.7%
Thailand	Tha Uthen	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Tha Wang Pha	2000	92.1%	93.9%	90.0%
Thailand	Tha Wang Pha	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Tha Wung	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.6%
Thailand	Tha Wung	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Tha Yang	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Thailand	Tha Yang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Thai Charoen	2000	91.6%	93.3%	89.3%
Thailand	Thai Charoen	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Thai Muang	2000	80.3%	83.5%	76.6%
Thailand	Thai Muang	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Thalang	2000	78.9%	82.4%	75.5%
Thailand	Thalang	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.5%
Thailand	Tham Phan-nara	2000	74.2%	77.0%	71.0%
Thailand	Tham Phan-nara	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.4%
Thailand	Than To	2000	68.4%	73.6%	63.8%
Thailand	Than To	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.2%
Thailand	Thanyaburi	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Thanyaburi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Thap Khlo	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.2%
Thailand	Thap Khlo	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Thap Put	2000	75.3%	78.2%	72.3%
Thailand	Thap Put	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Thap Sakae	2000	95.7%	97.2%	94.0%
Thailand	Thap Sakae	2017	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
Thailand	Thap Than	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Thailand	Thap Than	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	That Phanom	2000	92.8%	94.8%	90.3%
Thailand	That Phanom	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Thawatchaburi	2000	92.5%	94.0%	91.1%
Thailand	Thawatchaburi	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Thailand	Thawi Wat-tana	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Thawi Wat-tana	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Thep Sathit	2000	90.4%	92.5%	87.6%
Thailand	Thep Sathit	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Thepha	2000	76.3%	79.4%	73.4%
Thailand	Thepha	2017	95.7%	96.4%	95.0%
Thailand	Thoen	2000	90.3%	92.2%	88.1%
Thailand	Thoen	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Thoeng	2000	91.7%	93.6%	89.9%
Thailand	Thoeng	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Thon Buri	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Thon Buri	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Thong Pha Phum	2000	95.6%	97.2%	93.6%
Thailand	Thong Pha Phum	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Thailand	Thong Saen Khan	2000	92.1%	93.9%	90.3%
Thailand	Thong Saen Khan	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Thung Chang	2000	89.7%	92.4%	86.6%
Thailand	Thung Chang	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Thung Fon	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.3%
Thailand	Thung Fon	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Thung Hua Chang	2000	88.7%	90.8%	86.1%
Thailand	Thung Hua Chang	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Thung Saliam	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.5%
Thailand	Thung Saliam	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Thung Si Udom	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.2%
Thailand	Thung Si Udom	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Thung Song	2000	73.6%	76.4%	70.8%
Thailand	Thung Song	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.4%
Thailand	Thung Tako	2000	76.0%	79.1%	72.6%
Thailand	Thung Tako	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Thung Wa	2000	72.4%	76.3%	67.6%
Thailand	Thung Wa	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.7%
Thailand	Thung Yai	2000	73.2%	75.8%	70.0%
Thailand	Thung Yai	2017	94.9%	95.7%	94.2%
Thailand	Thung Yang Daeng	2000	73.9%	76.7%	70.9%
Thailand	Thung Yang Daeng	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.3%
Thailand	Thungkru	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Thungkru	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Trakan Phutphon	2000	92.1%	93.8%	90.0%
Thailand	Trakan Phutphon	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Tron	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.2%
Thailand	Tron	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	U Thong	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
Thailand	U Thong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Ubol Ratana	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.7%
Thailand	Ubol Ratana	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Umphang	2000	90.8%	93.4%	88.2%
Thailand	Umphang	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Thailand	Uthai	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Uthai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Uthumphon Phisai	2000	91.8%	93.5%	90.1%
Thailand	Uthumphon Phisai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Wachira Barami	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.6%
Thailand	Wachira Barami	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Waeng	2000	78.9%	82.6%	74.9%
Thailand	Waeng	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Thailand	Waeng Noi	2000	91.7%	93.1%	89.7%
Thailand	Waeng Noi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Waeng Yai	2000	91.8%	93.4%	89.7%
Thailand	Waeng Yai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Wan Yai	2000	92.4%	94.3%	90.4%
Thailand	Wan Yai	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Wang Chan	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	Wang Chan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Wang Chin	2000	90.2%	92.0%	88.2%
Thailand	Wang Chin	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Wang Hin	2000	91.9%	93.6%	90.1%
Thailand	Wang Hin	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Wang Muang	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.3%
Thailand	Wang Muang	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Wang Nam Yen	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Thailand	Wang Nam Yen	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Wang Noi	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Wang Noi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Wang Nua	2000	91.3%	93.0%	89.3%
Thailand	Wang Nua	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Wang Num Khiaw	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Thailand	Wang Num Khiaw	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Wang Pong	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.4%
Thailand	Wang Pong	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Wang Sai Phun	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.2%
Thailand	Wang Sai Phun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Wang Sam Mo	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.4%
Thailand	Wang Sam Mo	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Wang Sa-phung	2000	90.6%	92.6%	88.2%
Thailand	Wang Sa-phung	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Wang Thong	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.7%
Thailand	Wang Thong	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Wang Thonglang	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Wang Thonglang	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Wang Wiset	2000	74.1%	77.2%	70.8%
Thailand	Wang Wiset	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.3%
Thailand	Wanon Niwat	2000	91.8%	93.3%	90.1%
Thailand	Wanon Niwat	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Wapi Pathum	2000	91.7%	93.2%	90.2%
Thailand	Wapi Pathum	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Warin Chamrap	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.4%
Thailand	Warin Chamrap	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Waritchaphum	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
Thailand	Waritchaphum	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Wat Bot	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.5%
Thailand	Wat Bot	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Wat Phleng	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Thailand	Wat Phleng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Wat Sing	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Thailand	Wat Sing	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Wattana	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Wattana	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Wattana Nakhon	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Thailand	Wattana Nakhon	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Wiang Chai	2000	92.7%	94.2%	91.2%
Thailand	Wiang Chai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Wiang Haeng	2000	90.1%	93.1%	87.2%
Thailand	Wiang Haeng	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	Wiang Kao	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.3%
Thailand	Wiang Kao	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Wiang Pa Pao	2000	91.1%	92.7%	88.9%
Thailand	Wiang Pa Pao	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2000	75.5%	78.6%	72.5%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2000	91.9%	93.6%	89.9%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.8%
Thailand	Wichian Buri	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.1%
Thailand	Wichian Buri	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Wieng Kaen	2000	90.0%	92.5%	87.4%
Thailand	Wieng Kaen	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Wihan Daeng	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Wihan Daeng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Wiset Chai Chan	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.1%
Thailand	Wiset Chai Chan	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Yaha	2000	71.7%	75.1%	67.8%
Thailand	Yaha	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.7%
Thailand	Yan Ta Khao	2000	75.7%	78.3%	72.8%
Thailand	Yan Ta Khao	2017	95.6%	96.3%	95.0%
Thailand	Yang Chum Noi	2000	91.7%	93.2%	90.1%
Thailand	Yang Chum Noi	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Yang Si Surat	2000	91.6%	93.0%	89.8%
Thailand	Yang Si Surat	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Yang Talat	2000	92.5%	93.9%	91.1%
Thailand	Yang Talat	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Thailand	Yannawa	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Yannawa	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Yarang	2000	76.9%	79.6%	74.1%
Thailand	Yarang	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.2%
Thailand	Yaring	2000	76.2%	79.7%	72.4%
Thailand	Yaring	2017	95.7%	96.5%	95.0%
Thailand	Yi-ngo	2000	74.6%	77.5%	71.4%
Thailand	Yi-ngo	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.3%
Timor-Leste	Aileu	2000	50.9%	53.3%	48.6%
Timor-Leste	Aileu	2017	74.5%	76.5%	72.4%
Timor-Leste	Ainaro	2000	49.8%	53.4%	46.9%
Timor-Leste	Ainaro	2017	72.2%	74.2%	70.1%
Timor-Leste	Alas	2000	65.9%	77.4%	53.0%
Timor-Leste	Alas	2017	74.1%	85.6%	61.6%
Timor-Leste	Atabai	2000	72.4%	81.2%	60.5%
Timor-Leste	Atabai	2017	82.7%	90.0%	71.4%
Timor-Leste	Atauro	2000	86.4%	92.5%	78.0%
Timor-Leste	Atauro	2017	91.5%	96.0%	85.8%
Timor-Leste	Atsabe	2000	59.3%	62.2%	56.3%
Timor-Leste	Atsabe	2017	81.7%	83.4%	80.1%
Timor-Leste	Baguia	2000	25.1%	28.9%	22.3%
Timor-Leste	Baguia	2017	44.0%	48.2%	40.2%
Timor-Leste	Balibó	2000	73.3%	80.3%	66.6%
Timor-Leste	Balibó	2017	80.2%	86.9%	72.1%
Timor-Leste	Barique	2000	69.8%	81.6%	53.0%
Timor-Leste	Barique	2017	78.6%	89.4%	62.0%
Timor-Leste	Baucau	2000	68.4%	71.0%	66.0%
Timor-Leste	Baucau	2017	82.3%	83.7%	80.9%
Timor-Leste	Bazar Tete	2000	82.5%	85.8%	77.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Bazar Tete	2017	93.4%	95.6%	89.5%
Timor-Leste	Bobonaro	2000	60.5%	65.6%	55.9%
Timor-Leste	Bobonaro	2017	80.3%	83.1%	77.3%
Timor-Leste	Cailaco	2000	62.7%	67.1%	59.1%
Timor-Leste	Cailaco	2017	80.9%	84.5%	78.2%
Timor-Leste	Dili Barat	2000	94.6%	95.2%	94.0%
Timor-Leste	Dili Barat	2017	97.8%	98.1%	97.5%
Timor-Leste	Dili Timur	2000	91.4%	92.5%	90.2%
Timor-Leste	Dili Timur	2017	96.3%	96.8%	95.6%
Timor-Leste	Ermera	2000	63.1%	65.6%	60.5%
Timor-Leste	Ermera	2017	84.9%	86.3%	83.4%
Timor-Leste	Fato Berliu	2000	60.9%	69.6%	52.4%
Timor-Leste	Fato Berliu	2017	71.2%	79.2%	63.2%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Fulic	2000	84.8%	90.1%	78.4%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Fulic	2017	96.0%	97.7%	93.7%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Mean	2000	47.0%	65.3%	33.0%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Mean	2017	56.3%	76.7%	39.8%
Timor-Leste	Fohorem	2000	66.4%	74.8%	58.4%
Timor-Leste	Fohorem	2017	85.8%	90.8%	78.1%
Timor-Leste	Hato Bulico	2000	48.6%	51.2%	45.7%
Timor-Leste	Hato Bulico	2017	70.6%	72.6%	68.5%
Timor-Leste	Hato Hudo	2000	63.8%	71.8%	54.8%
Timor-Leste	Hato Hudo	2017	79.7%	85.5%	72.0%
Timor-Leste	Hatólia	2000	42.6%	46.8%	39.2%
Timor-Leste	Hatólia	2017	67.2%	70.6%	63.2%
Timor-Leste	Iliomar	2000	54.8%	66.5%	42.6%
Timor-Leste	Iliomar	2017	65.2%	74.9%	55.2%
Timor-Leste	Laclo	2000	74.1%	82.8%	63.1%
Timor-Leste	Laclo	2017	84.7%	91.5%	75.3%
Timor-Leste	Lachubar	2000	66.7%	72.8%	60.6%
Timor-Leste	Lachubar	2017	84.6%	89.1%	79.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Lacluta	2000	78.0%	89.2%	64.8%
Timor-Leste	Lacluta	2017	82.8%	92.1%	71.8%
Timor-Leste	Laga	2000	35.9%	40.5%	31.3%
Timor-Leste	Laga	2017	51.3%	55.2%	47.4%
Timor-Leste	Laleia	2000	73.1%	90.3%	53.3%
Timor-Leste	Laleia	2017	83.0%	95.2%	65.8%
Timor-Leste	Lau Lara	2000	73.3%	75.8%	70.8%
Timor-Leste	Lau Lara	2017	88.8%	90.3%	87.2%
Timor-Leste	Lautém	2000	69.7%	76.3%	63.1%
Timor-Leste	Lautém	2017	82.3%	87.0%	76.2%
Timor-Leste	Lequidoe	2000	66.0%	70.3%	61.3%
Timor-Leste	Lequidoe	2017	83.9%	86.8%	79.7%
Timor-Leste	Letefoho	2000	52.4%	54.4%	50.1%
Timor-Leste	Letefoho	2017	80.1%	81.6%	78.6%
Timor-Leste	Liquiçá	2000	78.0%	81.1%	74.7%
Timor-Leste	Liquiçá	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.4%
Timor-Leste	Lolotoi	2000	83.7%	87.8%	75.6%
Timor-Leste	Lolotoi	2017	92.3%	94.4%	86.8%
Timor-Leste	Los Palos	2000	69.3%	73.5%	65.1%
Timor-Leste	Los Palos	2017	81.1%	84.2%	77.6%
Timor-Leste	Luro	2000	51.6%	61.1%	42.1%
Timor-Leste	Luro	2017	65.1%	75.4%	55.8%
Timor-Leste	Maliana	2000	79.2%	82.3%	75.5%
Timor-Leste	Maliana	2017	92.1%	93.3%	90.4%
Timor-Leste	Manatuto	2000	86.6%	90.7%	81.4%
Timor-Leste	Manatuto	2017	95.6%	97.3%	92.5%
Timor-Leste	Mape	2000	51.7%	56.4%	46.6%
Timor-Leste	Mape	2017	69.9%	73.1%	67.1%
Timor-Leste	Maubara	2000	72.4%	78.5%	65.5%
Timor-Leste	Maubara	2017	84.5%	89.9%	78.0%
Timor-Leste	Maubisse	2000	29.8%	33.7%	26.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Maubisse	2017	52.2%	56.3%	49.3%
Timor-Leste	Metinaro	2000	68.7%	88.1%	48.1%
Timor-Leste	Metinaro	2017	74.2%	92.2%	53.5%
Timor-Leste	Nitibe	2000	56.8%	64.1%	47.7%
Timor-Leste	Nitibe	2017	73.8%	79.9%	65.8%
Timor-Leste	Oe Silo	2000	65.8%	69.8%	61.4%
Timor-Leste	Oe Silo	2017	86.3%	88.1%	84.1%
Timor-Leste	Ossu	2000	64.9%	72.0%	55.8%
Timor-Leste	Ossu	2017	79.7%	84.6%	70.3%
Timor-Leste	Pante Macassar	2000	72.3%	75.4%	69.7%
Timor-Leste	Pante Macassar	2017	84.9%	87.1%	82.2%
Timor-Leste	Passabe	2000	91.2%	96.3%	82.3%
Timor-Leste	Passabe	2017	97.5%	99.1%	94.1%
Timor-Leste	Quelicai	2000	37.7%	40.3%	35.2%
Timor-Leste	Quelicai	2017	51.3%	54.3%	48.7%
Timor-Leste	Railaco	2000	68.9%	71.4%	66.3%
Timor-Leste	Railaco	2017	87.8%	89.2%	86.2%
Timor-Leste	Remexio	2000	78.1%	80.6%	75.7%
Timor-Leste	Remexio	2017	90.5%	92.0%	88.9%
Timor-Leste	Same	2000	69.2%	73.9%	64.6%
Timor-Leste	Same	2017	86.2%	89.2%	83.1%
Timor-Leste	Soibada	2000	64.6%	80.0%	48.5%
Timor-Leste	Soibada	2017	80.5%	89.2%	68.5%
Timor-Leste	Suai Kota	2000	76.7%	80.0%	72.9%
Timor-Leste	Suai Kota	2017	87.9%	90.0%	85.6%
Timor-Leste	Tilomar	2000	73.2%	81.2%	62.2%
Timor-Leste	Tilomar	2017	85.5%	91.9%	73.5%
Timor-Leste	Turisciai	2000	23.8%	29.7%	18.2%
Timor-Leste	Turisciai	2017	41.6%	49.0%	35.1%
Timor-Leste	Tutuala	2000	52.6%	72.4%	37.2%
Timor-Leste	Tutuala	2017	64.6%	86.6%	44.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Uato Carbau	2000	54.3%	61.1%	46.5%
Timor-Leste	Uato Carbau	2017	73.6%	78.3%	67.2%
Timor-Leste	Uatolari	2000	62.6%	65.6%	58.4%
Timor-Leste	Uatolari	2017	71.5%	73.9%	68.8%
Timor-Leste	Vemasse	2000	66.6%	76.7%	56.4%
Timor-Leste	Vemasse	2017	80.3%	87.4%	72.5%
Timor-Leste	Venilale	2000	54.7%	57.6%	51.7%
Timor-Leste	Venilale	2017	69.9%	72.4%	67.4%
Timor-Leste	Viqueque	2000	75.4%	80.6%	68.5%
Timor-Leste	Viqueque	2017	85.9%	89.4%	81.4%
Vietnam	A Lưới	2000	74.8%	88.9%	52.3%
Vietnam	A Lưới	2017	87.9%	96.2%	69.7%
Vietnam	An Biên	2000	87.1%	96.4%	71.8%
Vietnam	An Biên	2017	95.0%	98.9%	86.1%
Vietnam	An Dương	2000	95.7%	98.7%	89.9%
Vietnam	An Dương	2017	98.8%	99.7%	96.0%
Vietnam	An Khê	2000	63.9%	79.0%	43.8%
Vietnam	An Khê	2017	83.5%	91.4%	70.1%
Vietnam	An Lão	2000	76.5%	93.3%	48.9%
Vietnam	An Lão	2000	94.4%	97.7%	86.7%
Vietnam	An Lão	2017	98.3%	99.5%	93.7%
Vietnam	An Lão	2017	90.7%	98.1%	73.9%
Vietnam	An Minh	2000	81.8%	94.7%	60.4%
Vietnam	An Minh	2017	92.6%	98.4%	79.8%
Vietnam	An Nhơn	2000	70.0%	84.2%	50.7%
Vietnam	An Nhơn	2017	87.2%	94.9%	72.1%
Vietnam	An Phú	2000	61.2%	78.8%	33.8%
Vietnam	An Phú	2017	79.1%	90.7%	56.2%
Vietnam	Ấn Thi	2000	90.8%	97.0%	79.4%
Vietnam	Ấn Thi	2017	96.6%	99.0%	91.3%
Vietnam	Anh Sơn	2000	75.8%	88.0%	57.4%
Vietnam	Anh Sơn	2017	90.1%	96.0%	78.4%
Vietnam	Ayun Pa	2000	74.8%	94.1%	46.8%
Vietnam	Ayun Pa	2017	88.9%	98.0%	72.1%
Vietnam	Ba Bể	2000	77.4%	90.1%	58.3%
Vietnam	Ba Bể	2017	89.2%	96.2%	76.7%
Vietnam	Ba Chẽ	2000	74.1%	91.7%	50.6%
Vietnam	Ba Chẽ	2017	88.1%	97.4%	69.6%
Vietnam	Ba Đình	2000	97.1%	98.4%	95.1%
Vietnam	Ba Đình	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Vietnam	Ba Đồn	2000	88.3%	97.9%	64.1%
Vietnam	Ba Đồn	2017	95.8%	99.4%	83.8%
Vietnam	Bà Rịa	2000	91.1%	97.6%	74.5%
Vietnam	Bà Rịa	2017	97.7%	99.5%	92.3%
Vietnam	Bá Thước	2000	76.9%	92.3%	51.7%
Vietnam	Bá Thước	2017	89.6%	97.6%	73.0%
Vietnam	Ba Tơ	2000	73.4%	87.6%	54.6%
Vietnam	Ba Tơ	2017	87.8%	95.5%	75.4%
Vietnam	Ba Tri	2000	85.2%	96.5%	59.3%
Vietnam	Ba Tri	2017	94.3%	99.1%	81.6%
Vietnam	Ba Vì	2000	86.8%	96.2%	71.0%
Vietnam	Ba Vì	2017	95.5%	99.3%	85.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Bác Ái	2000	58.0%	76.4%	41.0%
Vietnam	Bác Ái	2017	77.6%	90.3%	62.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Bình	2000	67.5%	79.9%	53.4%
Vietnam	Bắc Bình	2017	84.2%	91.4%	73.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Giang	2000	91.0%	94.0%	86.1%
Vietnam	Bắc Giang	2017	97.5%	98.6%	95.9%
Vietnam	Bắc Hà	2000	63.1%	81.6%	42.8%
Vietnam	Bắc Hà	2017	81.7%	93.3%	62.9%
Vietnam	Bạc Liêu	2000	76.3%	92.9%	47.8%
Vietnam	Bạc Liêu	2017	90.4%	98.0%	73.3%
Vietnam	Bắc Mê	2000	68.9%	87.4%	39.9%
Vietnam	Bắc Mê	2017	84.6%	95.3%	62.4%
Vietnam	Bắc Ninh	2000	88.3%	96.7%	56.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Ninh	2017	95.9%	99.2%	78.0%
Vietnam	Bắc Quang	2000	74.5%	88.4%	53.3%
Vietnam	Bắc Quang	2017	88.1%	95.9%	75.1%
Vietnam	Bắc Sơn	2000	68.4%	81.6%	51.7%
Vietnam	Bắc Sơn	2017	87.2%	94.5%	76.5%
Vietnam	Bắc Tân Uyên	2000	84.7%	94.7%	65.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Tân Uyên	2017	93.9%	98.6%	82.4%
Vietnam	Bắc Trà My	2000	78.3%	91.0%	55.7%
Vietnam	Bắc Trà My	2017	90.8%	97.2%	75.0%
Vietnam	Bắc Từ Liêm	2000	96.7%	99.6%	87.1%
Vietnam	Bắc Từ Liêm	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.3%
Vietnam	Bắc Yên	2000	57.8%	72.1%	40.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Yên	2017	76.6%	86.9%	61.8%
Vietnam	Bạch Thông	2000	69.5%	85.3%	50.3%
Vietnam	Bạch Thông	2017	86.9%	95.6%	72.1%
Vietnam	Bảo Lạc	2000	71.1%	87.3%	48.4%
Vietnam	Bảo Lạc	2017	86.4%	94.9%	69.7%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2000	67.6%	85.4%	46.8%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2000	77.2%	86.7%	66.3%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2017	90.5%	95.7%	83.7%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2017	84.1%	95.1%	68.4%
Vietnam	Bảo Lộc	2000	87.7%	94.2%	79.3%
Vietnam	Bảo Lộc	2017	96.0%	98.3%	92.3%
Vietnam	Bảo Thắng	2000	65.0%	83.9%	40.6%
Vietnam	Bảo Thắng	2017	83.9%	94.8%	66.3%
Vietnam	Bảo Yên	2000	65.7%	79.7%	49.2%
Vietnam	Bảo Yên	2017	83.8%	92.1%	73.0%
Vietnam	Bát Xát	2000	61.3%	76.0%	45.1%
Vietnam	Bát Xát	2017	82.0%	91.9%	69.4%
Vietnam	Bàu Bàng	2000	85.0%	95.9%	65.8%
Vietnam	Bàu Bàng	2017	95.2%	99.1%	85.4%
Vietnam	Bến Cát	2000	91.5%	98.5%	73.8%
Vietnam	Bến Cát	2017	97.7%	99.7%	91.8%
Vietnam	Bến Cầu	2000	85.4%	96.4%	68.1%
Vietnam	Bến Cầu	2017	94.6%	99.0%	84.4%
Vietnam	Bến Lức	2000	85.2%	93.6%	73.6%
Vietnam	Bến Lức	2017	95.0%	98.4%	88.2%
Vietnam	Bến Tre	2000	62.6%	84.9%	38.2%
Vietnam	Bến Tre	2017	82.4%	95.4%	61.8%
Vietnam	Biên Hòa	2000	87.7%	91.4%	82.5%
Vietnam	Biên Hòa	2017	97.2%	98.3%	95.4%
Vietnam	Bỉm Sơn	2000	82.9%	97.8%	48.6%
Vietnam	Bỉm Sơn	2017	95.2%	99.7%	75.8%
Vietnam	Bình Chánh	2000	97.0%	99.1%	93.0%
Vietnam	Bình Chánh	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.9%
Vietnam	Bình Đại	2000	78.3%	92.5%	52.4%
Vietnam	Bình Đại	2017	90.1%	97.1%	75.3%
Vietnam	Bình Gia	2000	62.5%	81.2%	48.3%
Vietnam	Bình Gia	2017	80.5%	92.1%	69.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Bình Giang	2000	89.7%	98.7%	66.3%
Vietnam	Bình Giang	2017	96.4%	99.6%	85.9%
Vietnam	Bình Liêu	2000	72.0%	92.6%	35.0%
Vietnam	Bình Liêu	2017	86.5%	97.7%	56.4%
Vietnam	Bình Long	2000	78.1%	92.8%	57.8%
Vietnam	Bình Long	2017	91.0%	98.0%	78.0%
Vietnam	Bình Lục	2000	87.9%	97.9%	64.1%
Vietnam	Bình Lục	2017	95.9%	99.5%	84.5%
Vietnam	Bình Minh	2000	73.6%	87.5%	58.4%
Vietnam	Bình Minh	2017	87.9%	96.6%	77.9%
Vietnam	Bình Sơn	2000	89.2%	98.1%	73.7%
Vietnam	Bình Sơn	2017	96.3%	99.5%	88.4%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2000	51.7%	70.9%	31.5%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2000	97.7%	99.4%	93.7%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2017	72.2%	83.9%	54.4%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.7%
Vietnam	Bình Thạnh	2000	96.5%	98.1%	94.1%
Vietnam	Bình Thạnh	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Vietnam	Bình Thủy	2000	56.9%	70.6%	44.1%
Vietnam	Bình Thủy	2017	86.6%	92.2%	79.2%
Vietnam	Bình Xuyên	2000	87.7%	91.7%	79.6%
Vietnam	Bình Xuyên	2017	95.1%	96.7%	91.9%
Vietnam	Bố Trạch	2000	82.8%	92.5%	66.7%
Vietnam	Bố Trạch	2017	92.9%	97.4%	84.5%
Vietnam	Bù Đăng	2000	71.8%	86.5%	51.2%
Vietnam	Bù Đăng	2017	86.8%	94.8%	72.9%
Vietnam	Bù Đốp	2000	61.3%	82.7%	35.9%
Vietnam	Bù Đốp	2017	81.0%	93.6%	60.6%
Vietnam	Bù Gia Mập	2000	73.1%	87.0%	56.0%
Vietnam	Bù Gia Mập	2017	88.5%	95.6%	77.7%
Vietnam	Buôn Đôn	2000	65.5%	77.9%	50.0%
Vietnam	Buôn Đôn	2017	83.1%	91.5%	69.1%
Vietnam	Buôn Ma Thuột	2000	72.4%	76.8%	66.5%
Vietnam	Buôn Ma Thuột	2017	90.6%	92.7%	87.7%
Vietnam	Cà Mau	2000	91.4%	98.4%	76.1%
Vietnam	Cà Mau	2017	97.7%	99.7%	92.2%
Vietnam	Cái Bè	2000	80.9%	92.4%	62.6%
Vietnam	Cái Bè	2017	92.5%	98.0%	81.2%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy	2000	81.4%	91.6%	66.7%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy	2017	94.4%	98.2%	87.9%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy (Thị xã)	2000	90.7%	98.2%	77.0%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy (Thị xã)	2017	97.0%	99.5%	90.1%
Vietnam	Cái Nước	2000	77.9%	91.5%	56.8%
Vietnam	Cái Nước	2017	91.5%	98.0%	78.8%
Vietnam	Cái Răng	2000	78.0%	84.5%	68.9%
Vietnam	Cái Răng	2017	94.8%	96.9%	91.2%
Vietnam	Cẩm Giàng	2000	88.5%	94.9%	70.0%
Vietnam	Cẩm Giàng	2017	95.6%	98.6%	86.7%
Vietnam	Cẩm Khê	2000	81.7%	90.9%	71.5%
Vietnam	Cẩm Khê	2017	91.3%	96.8%	84.2%
Vietnam	Cam Lâm	2000	60.1%	76.8%	41.9%
Vietnam	Cam Lâm	2017	76.4%	89.5%	61.7%
Vietnam	Cẩm Lệ	2000	95.7%	97.7%	92.3%
Vietnam	Cẩm Lệ	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
Vietnam	Cam Lộ	2000	63.8%	79.3%	48.1%
Vietnam	Cam Lộ	2017	82.4%	92.4%	68.8%
Vietnam	Cẩm Mỹ	2000	76.5%	91.6%	53.5%
Vietnam	Cẩm Mỹ	2017	91.2%	97.8%	78.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Cẩm Phả	2000	89.9%	96.8%	77.5%
Vietnam	Cẩm Phả	2017	96.7%	99.2%	90.7%
Vietnam	Cam Ranh	2000	81.4%	91.3%	68.3%
Vietnam	Cam Ranh	2017	92.5%	97.5%	84.3%
Vietnam	Cẩm Thủy	2000	75.1%	87.5%	58.8%
Vietnam	Cẩm Thủy	2017	90.7%	96.4%	81.2%
Vietnam	Cẩm Xuyên	2000	81.6%	93.7%	64.0%
Vietnam	Cẩm Xuyên	2017	93.3%	98.2%	84.2%
Vietnam	Cần Đước	2000	88.9%	97.8%	71.5%
Vietnam	Cần Đước	2017	95.0%	99.2%	85.7%
Vietnam	Cần Giờ	2000	78.2%	91.9%	57.4%
Vietnam	Cần Giờ	2017	90.2%	97.3%	76.7%
Vietnam	Cần Giuộc	2000	88.6%	98.3%	68.5%
Vietnam	Cần Giuộc	2017	96.1%	99.6%	85.1%
Vietnam	Can Lộc	2000	88.0%	97.0%	73.3%
Vietnam	Can Lộc	2017	95.9%	99.2%	88.0%
Vietnam	Càng Long	2000	72.0%	85.7%	55.8%
Vietnam	Càng Long	2017	87.7%	95.3%	76.9%
Vietnam	Cao Bằng	2000	68.2%	82.0%	53.4%
Vietnam	Cao Bằng	2017	85.5%	94.0%	74.9%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2000	56.7%	74.2%	40.4%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2017	75.4%	89.0%	61.3%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2000	68.2%	83.3%	49.1%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh (Thành phố)	2017	87.9%	94.5%	76.0%
Vietnam	Cao Lộc	2000	79.3%	89.0%	67.1%
Vietnam	Cao Lộc	2017	89.9%	96.8%	75.9%
Vietnam	Cao Phong	2000	47.0%	71.4%	21.5%
Vietnam	Cao Phong	2017	67.5%	84.7%	43.2%
Vietnam	Cát Hải	2000	83.7%	95.7%	63.4%
Vietnam	Cát Hải	2017	93.6%	98.6%	81.6%
Vietnam	Cát Tiên	2000	75.3%	91.6%	45.9%
Vietnam	Cát Tiên	2017	89.3%	97.4%	68.3%
Vietnam	Cầu Giấy	2000	97.4%	99.0%	94.6%
Vietnam	Cầu Giấy	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.2%
Vietnam	Cầu Kè	2000	80.4%	96.1%	47.8%
Vietnam	Cầu Kè	2017	91.3%	98.9%	70.9%
Vietnam	Cầu Ngang	2000	79.8%	91.2%	65.0%
Vietnam	Cầu Ngang	2017	91.0%	96.7%	83.6%
Vietnam	Châu Đốc	2000	68.0%	84.7%	50.6%
Vietnam	Châu Đốc	2017	90.9%	96.2%	84.0%
Vietnam	Châu Đức	2000	88.4%	97.0%	74.2%
Vietnam	Châu Đức	2017	95.8%	99.2%	88.5%
Vietnam	Châu Phú	2000	60.9%	81.1%	38.4%
Vietnam	Châu Phú	2017	78.8%	92.2%	62.4%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	81.7%	94.5%	64.3%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	75.6%	91.1%	51.8%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	82.5%	94.2%	60.3%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	69.8%	87.7%	44.0%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	78.9%	91.7%	63.0%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	60.7%	81.2%	39.0%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	82.7%	93.6%	61.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	54.7%	72.0%	33.1%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	74.8%	95.6%	42.3%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	74.4%	88.4%	57.3%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	93.3%	98.5%	82.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	88.3%	97.0%	69.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	92.4%	98.1%	84.4%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	93.0%	98.5%	76.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	79.4%	91.3%	58.6%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	74.7%	86.7%	53.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	93.5%	98.2%	84.1%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	90.2%	98.8%	70.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	88.8%	97.2%	70.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	89.1%	96.3%	76.2%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	69.8%	85.7%	49.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành A	2017	86.7%	94.6%	73.8%
Vietnam	Chi Lăng	2000	66.7%	86.7%	43.5%
Vietnam	Chi Lăng	2017	84.2%	95.4%	65.1%
Vietnam	Chí Linh	2000	85.8%	96.8%	60.4%
Vietnam	Chí Linh	2017	94.4%	99.1%	76.9%
Vietnam	Chiêm Hóa	2000	68.7%	82.6%	50.0%
Vietnam	Chiêm Hóa	2017	85.5%	93.9%	71.4%
Vietnam	Chợ Đồn	2000	63.7%	83.2%	41.1%
Vietnam	Chợ Đồn	2017	81.1%	93.9%	62.4%
Vietnam	Chợ Gạo	2000	84.4%	93.6%	69.0%
Vietnam	Chợ Gạo	2017	94.3%	98.2%	86.8%
Vietnam	Chợ Lách	2000	71.6%	91.7%	38.4%
Vietnam	Chợ Lách	2017	86.7%	96.9%	62.9%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2000	72.7%	89.2%	45.2%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2000	81.9%	92.1%	68.9%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2017	87.2%	96.2%	67.6%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2017	93.8%	98.2%	86.9%
Vietnam	Chơn Thành	2000	74.6%	91.5%	50.5%
Vietnam	Chơn Thành	2017	89.3%	97.3%	71.9%
Vietnam	Chư Păh	2000	71.8%	85.3%	54.8%
Vietnam	Chư Păh	2017	87.1%	95.2%	76.5%
Vietnam	Chư Prông	2000	75.1%	85.4%	61.4%
Vietnam	Chư Prông	2017	89.0%	95.0%	78.0%
Vietnam	Chư Pưh	2000	72.1%	90.3%	52.1%
Vietnam	Chư Pưh	2017	88.2%	97.2%	72.0%
Vietnam	Chư Sê	2000	74.5%	84.3%	59.9%
Vietnam	Chư Sê	2017	90.9%	95.3%	82.7%
Vietnam	Chương Mỹ	2000	68.4%	86.3%	46.7%
Vietnam	Chương Mỹ	2017	85.8%	95.5%	69.3%
Vietnam	Cờ Đỏ	2000	66.1%	89.1%	37.4%
Vietnam	Cờ Đỏ	2017	82.1%	96.0%	57.3%
Vietnam	Cô Tô	2000	77.5%	96.4%	37.0%
Vietnam	Cô Tô	2017	89.9%	98.9%	63.9%
Vietnam	Con Cuông	2000	62.9%	76.3%	48.5%
Vietnam	Con Cuông	2017	79.2%	88.7%	67.4%
Vietnam	Củ Chi	2000	91.9%	97.1%	80.5%
Vietnam	Củ Chi	2017	97.6%	99.3%	92.8%
Vietnam	Cư Jút	2000	72.6%	86.2%	57.4%
Vietnam	Cư Jút	2017	88.8%	95.6%	79.1%
Vietnam	Cư Kuin	2000	60.3%	72.5%	45.0%
Vietnam	Cư Kuin	2017	77.5%	84.5%	65.9%
Vietnam	Cù Lao Dung	2000	82.2%	96.5%	58.1%
Vietnam	Cù Lao Dung	2017	92.5%	99.1%	76.7%
Vietnam	Cư M'gar	2000	62.7%	76.8%	46.7%
Vietnam	Cư M'gar	2017	83.4%	91.3%	71.9%
Vietnam	Cửa Lò	2000	90.4%	99.8%	60.8%
Vietnam	Cửa Lò	2017	95.9%	100.0%	77.5%
Vietnam	Đà Bắc	2000	63.8%	79.5%	47.5%
Vietnam	Đà Bắc	2017	82.5%	92.1%	69.9%
Vietnam	Đạ Huoai	2000	79.8%	94.6%	57.6%
Vietnam	Đạ Huoai	2017	90.6%	98.2%	74.8%
Vietnam	Đa Krông	2000	78.3%	89.9%	64.0%
Vietnam	Đa Krông	2017	90.6%	96.5%	82.2%
Vietnam	Đà Lạt	2000	85.8%	90.9%	80.4%
Vietnam	Đà Lạt	2017	96.4%	98.2%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Đạ Tễh	2000	87.5%	95.4%	71.0%
Vietnam	Đạ Tễh	2017	94.8%	98.4%	86.9%
Vietnam	Đại Lộc	2000	78.2%	86.6%	67.3%
Vietnam	Đại Lộc	2017	92.3%	95.5%	86.7%
Vietnam	Đại Từ	2000	80.0%	92.4%	60.2%
Vietnam	Đại Từ	2017	91.7%	98.0%	77.9%
Vietnam	Đăk Đoa	2000	63.0%	73.9%	48.6%
Vietnam	Đăk Đoa	2017	79.6%	87.1%	68.1%
Vietnam	Đăk Glei	2000	71.8%	87.0%	56.0%
Vietnam	Đăk Glei	2017	86.7%	94.5%	76.5%
Vietnam	Đăk Glong	2000	57.2%	70.8%	41.0%
Vietnam	Đăk Glong	2017	77.3%	86.8%	64.5%
Vietnam	Đăk Hà	2000	55.8%	76.2%	36.0%
Vietnam	Đăk Hà	2017	78.0%	91.1%	60.7%
Vietnam	Đăk Mil	2000	72.2%	85.0%	58.8%
Vietnam	Đăk Mil	2017	89.6%	95.3%	79.9%
Vietnam	Đăk Pơ	2000	68.5%	83.8%	48.0%
Vietnam	Đăk Pơ	2017	86.4%	95.4%	71.3%
Vietnam	Đăk R'Lấp	2000	62.5%	83.1%	41.3%
Vietnam	Đăk R'Lấp	2017	81.1%	93.1%	63.9%
Vietnam	Đăk Song	2000	60.2%	80.5%	39.3%
Vietnam	Đăk Song	2017	80.9%	92.9%	65.5%
Vietnam	Đăk Tô	2000	63.7%	82.1%	40.8%
Vietnam	Đăk Tô	2017	84.6%	93.7%	70.3%
Vietnam	Đầm Dơi	2000	85.9%	94.6%	72.9%
Vietnam	Đầm Dơi	2017	94.5%	98.6%	85.9%
Vietnam	Đầm Hà	2000	83.4%	96.7%	56.0%
Vietnam	Đầm Hà	2017	93.4%	99.1%	76.6%
Vietnam	Đam Rông	2000	58.6%	79.7%	35.2%
Vietnam	Đam Rông	2017	77.4%	92.2%	55.2%
Vietnam	Đan Phượng	2000	83.2%	96.1%	58.8%
Vietnam	Đan Phượng	2017	94.5%	99.4%	81.7%
Vietnam	Đất Đỏ	2000	85.9%	98.0%	62.0%
Vietnam	Đất Đỏ	2017	94.3%	99.5%	76.3%
Vietnam	Dầu Tiếng	2000	85.6%	95.4%	71.4%
Vietnam	Dầu Tiếng	2017	94.5%	98.7%	85.4%
Vietnam	Dĩ An	2000	96.4%	99.0%	91.3%
Vietnam	Dĩ An	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.5%
Vietnam	Di Linh	2000	84.7%	91.5%	75.7%
Vietnam	Di Linh	2017	93.5%	96.7%	89.0%
Vietnam	Điện Bàn	2000	83.8%	91.9%	68.1%
Vietnam	Điện Bàn	2017	94.7%	97.8%	87.4%
Vietnam	Điện Biên	2000	73.3%	87.3%	49.0%
Vietnam	Điện Biên	2017	87.8%	95.5%	70.7%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Đông	2000	55.5%	71.0%	38.8%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Đông	2017	74.5%	85.2%	60.1%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Phủ	2000	76.6%	94.3%	48.7%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Phủ	2017	92.6%	98.9%	79.5%
Vietnam	Diễn Châu	2000	79.5%	91.0%	62.2%
Vietnam	Diễn Châu	2017	92.8%	97.8%	82.7%
Vietnam	Diên Khánh	2000	51.0%	72.9%	29.3%
Vietnam	Diên Khánh	2017	67.6%	86.4%	48.6%
Vietnam	Định Hóa	2000	58.0%	73.3%	38.5%
Vietnam	Định Hóa	2017	71.9%	81.1%	58.7%
Vietnam	Đình Lập	2000	65.8%	83.4%	44.9%
Vietnam	Đình Lập	2017	82.6%	93.5%	64.0%
Vietnam	Định Quán	2000	87.2%	94.7%	70.3%
Vietnam	Định Quán	2017	95.2%	98.3%	86.6%
Vietnam	Đô Lương	2000	70.9%	83.4%	51.3%
Vietnam	Đô Lương	2017	85.9%	91.8%	74.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Đồ Sơn	2000	77.0%	92.1%	51.1%
Vietnam	Đồ Sơn	2017	91.7%	98.0%	77.1%
Vietnam	Đoan Hùng	2000	80.9%	93.9%	54.8%
Vietnam	Đoan Hùng	2017	92.7%	98.4%	77.2%
Vietnam	Đơn Dương	2000	77.0%	86.5%	64.1%
Vietnam	Đơn Dương	2017	91.1%	95.6%	84.8%
Vietnam	Đông Anh	2000	94.4%	98.7%	83.0%
Vietnam	Đông Anh	2017	98.5%	99.8%	93.7%
Vietnam	Đống Đa	2000	97.4%	98.5%	95.4%
Vietnam	Đống Đa	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Vietnam	Đông Giang	2000	76.6%	90.5%	57.4%
Vietnam	Đông Giang	2017	89.3%	96.6%	77.1%
Vietnam	Đông Hà	2000	94.9%	98.7%	89.8%
Vietnam	Đông Hà	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.8%
Vietnam	Đông Hải	2000	90.0%	98.0%	77.2%
Vietnam	Đông Hải	2017	96.1%	99.5%	87.7%
Vietnam	Đông Hòa	2000	76.7%	92.8%	46.5%
Vietnam	Đông Hòa	2017	90.2%	98.0%	72.2%
Vietnam	Đông Hưng	2000	88.3%	96.4%	75.0%
Vietnam	Đông Hưng	2017	95.9%	98.9%	89.1%
Vietnam	Đồng Hỷ	2000	69.6%	84.1%	51.8%
Vietnam	Đồng Hỷ	2017	86.5%	95.2%	73.3%
Vietnam	Đồng Phú	2000	79.7%	90.9%	64.2%
Vietnam	Đồng Phú	2017	92.3%	97.3%	85.0%
Vietnam	Đông Sơn	2000	86.5%	95.7%	69.7%
Vietnam	Đông Sơn	2017	95.9%	99.2%	88.6%
Vietnam	Đông Triều	2000	82.2%	95.0%	60.6%
Vietnam	Đông Triều	2017	93.0%	98.4%	78.4%
Vietnam	Đồng Văn	2000	69.8%	90.8%	37.6%
Vietnam	Đồng Văn	2017	86.4%	97.0%	63.1%
Vietnam	Đồng Xoài	2000	86.2%	97.6%	63.6%
Vietnam	Đồng Xoài	2017	95.5%	99.4%	86.2%
Vietnam	Đồng Xuân	2000	64.9%	78.1%	47.6%
Vietnam	Đồng Xuân	2017	85.5%	93.5%	74.7%
Vietnam	Đức Cơ	2000	65.3%	79.8%	48.3%
Vietnam	Đức Cơ	2017	83.7%	92.5%	69.9%
Vietnam	Đức Hòa	2000	88.2%	97.0%	74.1%
Vietnam	Đức Hòa	2017	96.0%	99.2%	88.3%
Vietnam	Đức Huệ	2000	79.6%	94.5%	52.5%
Vietnam	Đức Huệ	2017	91.1%	98.3%	73.7%
Vietnam	Đức Linh	2000	59.8%	73.4%	44.3%
Vietnam	Đức Linh	2017	79.9%	86.9%	70.8%
Vietnam	Đức Phổ	2000	83.8%	95.6%	62.9%
Vietnam	Đức Phổ	2017	94.0%	98.8%	83.4%
Vietnam	Đức Thọ	2000	65.0%	82.4%	40.7%
Vietnam	Đức Thọ	2017	86.9%	94.2%	70.1%
Vietnam	Đức Trọng	2000	82.1%	90.5%	70.6%
Vietnam	Đức Trọng	2017	92.3%	96.2%	86.3%
Vietnam	Dương Kinh	2000	94.7%	99.0%	83.0%
Vietnam	Dương Kinh	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.0%
Vietnam	Dương Minh Châu	2000	87.5%	95.7%	73.0%
Vietnam	Dương Minh Châu	2017	95.5%	98.9%	87.5%
Vietnam	Duy Tiên	2000	93.0%	98.2%	80.9%
Vietnam	Duy Tiên	2017	98.2%	99.6%	93.3%
Vietnam	Duy Xuyên	2000	75.8%	88.8%	63.0%
Vietnam	Duy Xuyên	2017	89.7%	96.3%	80.9%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải	2000	79.9%	95.4%	54.6%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải	2017	91.7%	98.7%	76.0%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải (Thị xã)	2000	70.9%	94.6%	31.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Duyên Hải (Thị xã)	2017	86.4%	98.6%	58.5%
Vietnam	Ea H'leo	2000	58.6%	71.9%	44.5%
Vietnam	Ea H'leo	2017	79.9%	87.8%	69.2%
Vietnam	Ea Kar	2000	63.2%	74.5%	50.2%
Vietnam	Ea Kar	2017	80.7%	88.3%	69.6%
Vietnam	Ea Súp	2000	69.6%	82.3%	55.1%
Vietnam	Ea Súp	2017	86.3%	93.4%	73.4%
Vietnam	Gia Bình	2000	83.9%	95.3%	60.8%
Vietnam	Gia Bình	2017	93.8%	98.8%	78.3%
Vietnam	Gia Lâm	2000	96.0%	99.4%	87.2%
Vietnam	Gia Lâm	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.2%
Vietnam	Gia Lộc	2000	91.8%	97.3%	80.0%
Vietnam	Gia Lộc	2017	97.5%	99.4%	93.0%
Vietnam	Gia Nghĩa	2000	58.6%	69.4%	41.3%
Vietnam	Gia Nghĩa	2017	84.0%	90.3%	72.8%
Vietnam	Giá Rai	2000	88.6%	94.3%	80.0%
Vietnam	Giá Rai	2017	96.5%	98.6%	92.5%
Vietnam	Gia Viễn	2000	90.5%	98.7%	69.8%
Vietnam	Gia Viễn	2017	96.5%	99.6%	85.6%
Vietnam	Giang Thành	2000	71.9%	90.6%	40.5%
Vietnam	Giang Thành	2017	84.8%	95.8%	61.3%
Vietnam	Giao Thủy	2000	93.3%	98.9%	82.5%
Vietnam	Giao Thủy	2017	97.7%	99.7%	93.1%
Vietnam	Gio Linh	2000	77.8%	90.2%	59.9%
Vietnam	Gio Linh	2017	91.2%	96.9%	79.3%
Vietnam	Giồng Riềng	2000	68.7%	84.3%	49.4%
Vietnam	Giồng Riềng	2017	85.9%	94.2%	73.2%
Vietnam	Giồng Trôm	2000	72.9%	86.2%	56.4%
Vietnam	Giồng Trôm	2017	88.7%	95.4%	77.4%
Vietnam	Gò Công	2000	91.6%	98.4%	77.3%
Vietnam	Gò Công	2017	97.4%	99.7%	91.3%
Vietnam	Gò Công Đông	2000	88.0%	97.0%	68.9%
Vietnam	Gò Công Đông	2017	94.7%	99.1%	82.9%
Vietnam	Gò Công Tây	2000	83.6%	94.0%	59.1%
Vietnam	Gò Công Tây	2017	92.6%	98.3%	77.5%
Vietnam	Gò Dầu	2000	87.8%	95.7%	73.9%
Vietnam	Gò Dầu	2017	96.2%	99.0%	90.4%
Vietnam	Gò Quao	2000	78.4%	90.1%	63.0%
Vietnam	Gò Quao	2017	91.2%	96.9%	80.6%
Vietnam	Gò Vấp	2000	96.0%	97.6%	93.2%
Vietnam	Gò Vấp	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.6%
Vietnam	Hà Đông	2000	98.4%	99.6%	95.0%
Vietnam	Hà Đông	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Vietnam	Hà Giang	2000	62.2%	80.7%	39.9%
Vietnam	Hà Giang	2017	83.4%	94.4%	67.3%
Vietnam	Hạ Hoà	2000	77.0%	89.1%	55.1%
Vietnam	Hạ Hoà	2017	89.5%	96.4%	75.0%
Vietnam	Hạ Lang	2000	63.3%	85.1%	36.6%
Vietnam	Hạ Lang	2017	82.7%	95.3%	59.4%
Vietnam	Hạ Long	2000	89.1%	97.2%	73.7%
Vietnam	Hạ Long	2017	96.0%	99.3%	88.8%
Vietnam	Hà Quảng	2000	63.6%	84.9%	37.3%
Vietnam	Hà Quảng	2017	81.5%	94.7%	58.9%
Vietnam	Hà Tiên	2000	81.6%	98.1%	47.1%
Vietnam	Hà Tiên	2017	94.3%	99.4%	80.4%
Vietnam	Hà Tĩnh	2000	96.8%	99.6%	87.8%
Vietnam	Hà Tĩnh	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Vietnam	Hà Trung	2000	79.9%	91.0%	64.8%
Vietnam	Hà Trung	2017	92.2%	97.8%	82.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Hải An	2000	95.6%	99.6%	82.2%
Vietnam	Hải An	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.8%
Vietnam	Hai Bà Trưng	2000	97.4%	99.0%	94.0%
Vietnam	Hai Bà Trưng	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Vietnam	Hải Châu	2000	95.6%	97.5%	93.3%
Vietnam	Hải Châu	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.8%
Vietnam	Hải Dương	2000	95.5%	98.4%	87.3%
Vietnam	Hải Dương	2017	99.0%	99.7%	96.5%
Vietnam	Hải Hà	2000	76.5%	93.2%	48.3%
Vietnam	Hải Hà	2017	89.7%	97.7%	66.1%
Vietnam	Hải Hậu	2000	83.1%	95.1%	64.9%
Vietnam	Hải Hậu	2017	92.2%	98.3%	80.7%
Vietnam	Hải Lăng	2000	80.9%	93.3%	58.8%
Vietnam	Hải Lăng	2017	92.0%	97.5%	77.6%
Vietnam	Hàm Tân	2000	79.8%	92.9%	61.6%
Vietnam	Hàm Tân	2017	91.9%	98.1%	81.0%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Bắc	2000	66.5%	80.2%	50.3%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Bắc	2017	83.5%	92.6%	71.1%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Nam	2000	76.4%	88.4%	58.7%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Nam	2017	89.8%	95.7%	79.0%
Vietnam	Hàm Yên	2000	68.1%	82.6%	49.8%
Vietnam	Hàm Yên	2017	84.6%	93.6%	71.5%
Vietnam	Hậu Lộc	2000	84.1%	96.0%	63.5%
Vietnam	Hậu Lộc	2017	94.2%	98.9%	82.7%
Vietnam	Hiệp Đức	2000	78.7%	92.8%	53.0%
Vietnam	Hiệp Đức	2017	91.3%	97.9%	76.8%
Vietnam	Hiệp Hòa	2000	57.4%	72.8%	38.2%
Vietnam	Hiệp Hòa	2017	80.5%	90.4%	63.4%
Vietnam	Hoà An	2000	67.9%	79.0%	55.2%
Vietnam	Hoà An	2017	85.1%	91.9%	73.9%
Vietnam	Hoà Bình	2000	72.0%	88.6%	44.8%
Vietnam	Hoà Bình	2017	87.7%	96.7%	65.8%
Vietnam	Hòa Bình	2000	69.3%	81.9%	56.0%
Vietnam	Hòa Bình	2017	89.1%	95.2%	80.3%
Vietnam	Hoa Lư	2000	92.1%	98.9%	79.5%
Vietnam	Hoa Lư	2017	97.4%	99.7%	91.2%
Vietnam	Hòa Thành	2000	86.7%	95.5%	71.0%
Vietnam	Hòa Thành	2017	95.5%	98.7%	87.4%
Vietnam	Hòa Vang	2000	93.2%	97.4%	85.5%
Vietnam	Hòa Vang	2017	98.1%	99.4%	95.4%
Vietnam	Hoài Ân	2000	80.2%	92.5%	58.6%
Vietnam	Hoài Ân	2017	91.3%	97.5%	77.4%
Vietnam	Hoài Đức	2000	95.2%	99.5%	83.1%
Vietnam	Hoài Đức	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.9%
Vietnam	Hoài Nhơn	2000	88.6%	95.4%	73.5%
Vietnam	Hoài Nhơn	2017	95.9%	98.6%	89.0%
Vietnam	Hoàn Kiếm	2000	96.9%	98.8%	93.1%
Vietnam	Hoàn Kiếm	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Vietnam	Hoàng Hóa	2000	79.5%	89.9%	61.5%
Vietnam	Hoàng Hóa	2017	92.2%	97.1%	82.8%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2000	98.9%	99.9%	94.9%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2000	72.6%	82.8%	52.9%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2017	85.3%	90.9%	75.3%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Vietnam	Hoàng Su Phì	2000	60.6%	84.0%	34.5%
Vietnam	Hoàng Su Phì	2017	79.2%	94.4%	57.0%
Vietnam	Hoành Bồ	2000	80.4%	91.9%	60.9%
Vietnam	Hoành Bồ	2017	92.3%	97.9%	80.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Hóc Môn	2000	97.2%	98.8%	93.2%
Vietnam	Hóc Môn	2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.1%
Vietnam	Hội An	2000	82.5%	98.3%	46.9%
Vietnam	Hội An	2017	94.5%	99.7%	78.1%
Vietnam	Hòn Đất	2000	65.3%	83.2%	46.2%
Vietnam	Hòn Đất	2017	83.2%	94.3%	68.7%
Vietnam	Hớn Quản	2000	77.5%	90.9%	57.0%
Vietnam	Hớn Quản	2017	89.7%	97.0%	75.4%
Vietnam	Hồng Bàng	2000	97.9%	99.6%	92.8%
Vietnam	Hồng Bàng	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.9%
Vietnam	Hồng Dân	2000	88.8%	96.8%	76.0%
Vietnam	Hồng Dân	2017	96.4%	99.2%	90.4%
Vietnam	Hồng Lĩnh	2000	79.6%	97.9%	31.9%
Vietnam	Hồng Lĩnh	2017	91.4%	99.3%	57.6%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự	2000	64.3%	79.9%	46.0%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự	2017	86.1%	94.0%	71.9%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự (Thị xã)	2000	66.2%	94.1%	29.2%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự (Thị xã)	2017	88.0%	98.7%	61.4%
Vietnam	Huế	2000	95.3%	97.1%	91.5%
Vietnam	Huế	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.4%
Vietnam	Hưng Hà	2000	94.9%	99.1%	80.6%
Vietnam	Hưng Hà	2017	98.3%	99.8%	92.5%
Vietnam	Hưng Nguyên	2000	84.5%	94.0%	68.7%
Vietnam	Hưng Nguyên	2017	95.0%	98.4%	87.5%
Vietnam	Hưng Yên	2000	95.6%	99.3%	83.3%
Vietnam	Hưng Yên	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.7%
Vietnam	Hướng Hóa	2000	74.6%	91.5%	54.6%
Vietnam	Hướng Hóa	2017	87.9%	96.6%	74.4%
Vietnam	Hương Khê	2000	84.0%	93.9%	67.6%
Vietnam	Hương Khê	2017	93.8%	98.3%	84.4%
Vietnam	Hương Sơn	2000	75.4%	89.2%	55.6%
Vietnam	Hương Sơn	2017	89.8%	96.5%	75.1%
Vietnam	Hương Thủy	2000	82.7%	93.4%	68.9%
Vietnam	Hương Thủy	2017	93.6%	98.4%	83.0%
Vietnam	Hương Trà	2000	93.7%	98.4%	84.8%
Vietnam	Hương Trà	2017	98.1%	99.6%	94.5%
Vietnam	Hữu Lũng	2000	67.0%	80.6%	48.5%
Vietnam	Hữu Lũng	2017	84.7%	92.9%	71.5%
Vietnam	Ia Grai	2000	72.8%	82.9%	57.9%
Vietnam	Ia Grai	2017	91.2%	96.0%	82.1%
Vietnam	Ia H' Drai	2000	71.3%	86.1%	52.2%
Vietnam	Ia H' Drai	2017	86.6%	95.0%	72.9%
Vietnam	Ia Pa	2000	57.8%	75.7%	37.5%
Vietnam	Ia Pa	2017	78.9%	89.9%	62.5%
Vietnam	KBang	2000	60.1%	74.1%	43.1%
Vietnam	KBang	2017	80.3%	89.2%	66.3%
Vietnam	Kế Sách	2000	71.3%	90.3%	40.7%
Vietnam	Kế Sách	2017	86.9%	97.2%	62.1%
Vietnam	Khánh Sơn	2000	34.5%	63.0%	15.0%
Vietnam	Khánh Sơn	2017	58.5%	81.7%	35.0%
Vietnam	Khánh Vĩnh	2000	58.4%	80.3%	33.5%
Vietnam	Khánh Vĩnh	2017	77.0%	91.5%	57.5%
Vietnam	Khoái Châu	2000	95.8%	99.3%	86.0%
Vietnam	Khoái Châu	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.3%
Vietnam	Kiến An	2000	94.8%	97.0%	91.4%
Vietnam	Kiến An	2017	98.9%	99.4%	98.0%
Vietnam	Kiên Hải	2000	77.1%	97.3%	42.3%
Vietnam	Kiên Hải	2017	89.9%	99.2%	61.5%
Vietnam	Kiên Lương	2000	80.0%	94.9%	53.0%
Vietnam	Kiên Lương	2017	91.8%	98.3%	78.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Kiến Thủy	2000	91.5%	97.3%	80.8%
Vietnam	Kiến Thủy	2017	97.5%	99.3%	93.3%
Vietnam	Kiến Tường	2000	64.1%	92.2%	24.7%
Vietnam	Kiến Tường	2017	82.3%	97.6%	48.2%
Vietnam	Kiến Xương	2000	76.3%	91.4%	57.7%
Vietnam	Kiến Xương	2017	90.4%	97.6%	78.3%
Vietnam	Kim Bảng	2000	80.9%	95.8%	52.6%
Vietnam	Kim Bảng	2017	91.7%	99.0%	74.2%
Vietnam	Kim Bôi	2000	58.8%	74.2%	40.2%
Vietnam	Kim Bôi	2017	78.4%	88.4%	64.2%
Vietnam	Kim Động	2000	87.3%	97.9%	60.4%
Vietnam	Kim Động	2017	95.4%	99.4%	81.7%
Vietnam	Kim Sơn	2000	90.7%	98.1%	73.3%
Vietnam	Kim Sơn	2017	97.2%	99.5%	90.2%
Vietnam	Kim Thành	2000	82.8%	94.5%	59.1%
Vietnam	Kim Thành	2017	94.1%	98.7%	81.8%
Vietnam	Kinh Môn	2000	87.9%	98.2%	66.8%
Vietnam	Kinh Môn	2017	95.6%	99.5%	83.6%
Vietnam	Kon Plông	2000	71.9%	85.1%	59.9%
Vietnam	Kon Plông	2017	87.5%	94.4%	78.0%
Vietnam	Kon Rẫy	2000	60.7%	79.3%	34.5%
Vietnam	Kon Rẫy	2017	80.4%	92.2%	59.9%
Vietnam	Kon Tum	2000	65.0%	73.1%	55.3%
Vietnam	Kon Tum	2017	87.2%	91.3%	82.7%
Vietnam	Kông Chro	2000	64.8%	82.4%	46.5%
Vietnam	Kông Chro	2017	82.7%	93.3%	66.6%
Vietnam	Krông A Na	2000	83.0%	89.8%	70.8%
Vietnam	Krông A Na	2017	93.7%	96.4%	87.4%
Vietnam	Krông Bông	2000	54.0%	74.8%	31.6%
Vietnam	Krông Bông	2017	75.6%	89.2%	55.5%
Vietnam	Krông Búk	2000	62.7%	83.5%	40.1%
Vietnam	Krông Búk	2017	81.5%	94.5%	64.0%
Vietnam	Krông Năng	2000	57.3%	72.8%	38.5%
Vietnam	Krông Năng	2017	76.1%	87.2%	61.2%
Vietnam	Krông Nô	2000	73.2%	86.5%	58.2%
Vietnam	Krông Nô	2017	87.0%	95.2%	75.7%
Vietnam	Krông Pa	2000	62.0%	75.9%	46.4%
Vietnam	Krông Pa	2017	80.4%	90.6%	66.8%
Vietnam	Krông Pắc	2000	55.3%	65.2%	45.9%
Vietnam	Krông Pắc	2017	76.8%	84.0%	69.1%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh	2000	71.2%	88.4%	47.4%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh	2017	87.4%	96.4%	70.3%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh (Thị xã)	2000	79.1%	95.7%	51.3%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh (Thị xã)	2017	90.7%	98.8%	68.9%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2000	60.7%	74.7%	46.3%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2000	74.2%	90.3%	49.6%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2017	89.9%	96.6%	77.3%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2017	79.1%	88.5%	65.7%
Vietnam	La Gi	2000	82.3%	98.0%	42.6%
Vietnam	La Gi	2017	94.0%	99.6%	71.3%
Vietnam	Lạc Dương	2000	73.5%	87.2%	54.5%
Vietnam	Lạc Dương	2017	87.3%	95.2%	73.9%
Vietnam	Lạc Sơn	2000	63.4%	76.7%	43.5%
Vietnam	Lạc Sơn	2017	81.7%	90.7%	67.1%
Vietnam	Lạc Thủy	2000	61.6%	77.5%	41.5%
Vietnam	Lạc Thủy	2017	82.4%	91.1%	67.0%
Vietnam	Lai Châu	2000	55.4%	89.0%	19.2%
Vietnam	Lai Châu	2017	76.7%	96.8%	38.1%
Vietnam	Lai Vung	2000	52.4%	74.7%	26.7%
Vietnam	Lai Vung	2017	75.4%	89.5%	50.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Lắk	2000	62.9%	78.2%	43.4%
Vietnam	Lắk	2017	82.0%	92.1%	66.3%
Vietnam	Lâm Bình	2000	73.7%	89.6%	55.1%
Vietnam	Lâm Bình	2017	88.2%	96.6%	74.2%
Vietnam	Lâm Hà	2000	60.7%	70.7%	49.3%
Vietnam	Lâm Hà	2017	77.5%	85.6%	66.4%
Vietnam	Lâm Thao	2000	78.5%	92.8%	56.5%
Vietnam	Lâm Thao	2017	91.0%	97.6%	76.6%
Vietnam	Lang Chánh	2000	69.5%	88.8%	44.1%
Vietnam	Lang Chánh	2017	85.1%	96.4%	66.9%
Vietnam	Lạng Giang	2000	61.8%	78.2%	40.7%
Vietnam	Lạng Giang	2017	82.7%	93.4%	65.7%
Vietnam	Lạng Sơn	2000	84.2%	91.1%	74.4%
Vietnam	Lạng Sơn	2017	95.5%	97.6%	91.3%
Vietnam	Lào Cai	2000	67.3%	80.8%	47.3%
Vietnam	Lào Cai	2017	85.4%	93.2%	72.7%
Vietnam	Lập Thạch	2000	70.5%	94.3%	34.7%
Vietnam	Lập Thạch	2017	86.1%	98.4%	57.2%
Vietnam	Lấp Vò	2000	61.0%	74.6%	47.8%
Vietnam	Lấp Vò	2017	80.1%	88.4%	68.6%
Vietnam	Lê Chân	2000	98.4%	99.8%	94.9%
Vietnam	Lê Chân	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Vietnam	Lệ Thủy	2000	86.1%	95.3%	69.9%
Vietnam	Lệ Thủy	2017	94.7%	98.7%	86.0%
Vietnam	Liên Chiểu	2000	95.3%	97.9%	90.4%
Vietnam	Liên Chiểu	2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.1%
Vietnam	Lộc Bình	2000	76.2%	88.8%	60.4%
Vietnam	Lộc Bình	2017	90.9%	96.8%	82.4%
Vietnam	Lộc Hà	2000	94.4%	99.3%	81.8%
Vietnam	Lộc Hà	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.2%
Vietnam	Lộc Ninh	2000	75.5%	89.7%	53.6%
Vietnam	Lộc Ninh	2017	89.5%	97.0%	72.9%
Vietnam	Long Biên	2000	97.0%	98.5%	93.9%
Vietnam	Long Biên	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Vietnam	Long Điền	2000	92.7%	99.4%	69.3%
Vietnam	Long Điền	2017	97.8%	99.9%	87.1%
Vietnam	Long Hồ	2000	65.3%	77.8%	43.9%
Vietnam	Long Hồ	2017	83.8%	90.9%	68.3%
Vietnam	Long Khánh	2000	82.3%	94.2%	59.8%
Vietnam	Long Khánh	2017	93.7%	98.6%	80.7%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ	2000	86.9%	97.4%	65.1%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ	2017	94.9%	99.2%	83.2%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ (Thị xã)	2000	88.6%	97.6%	72.7%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ (Thị xã)	2017	95.4%	99.2%	84.6%
Vietnam	Long Phú	2000	83.1%	94.3%	65.6%
Vietnam	Long Phú	2017	93.7%	98.4%	84.2%
Vietnam	Long Thành	2000	89.2%	97.2%	77.0%
Vietnam	Long Thành	2017	96.3%	99.2%	90.4%
Vietnam	Long Xuyên	2000	93.2%	97.9%	81.7%
Vietnam	Long Xuyên	2017	98.3%	99.5%	94.0%
Vietnam	Lục Nam	2000	73.7%	86.6%	56.5%
Vietnam	Lục Nam	2017	89.6%	95.9%	78.5%
Vietnam	Lục Ngạn	2000	69.7%	80.7%	55.5%
Vietnam	Lục Ngạn	2017	87.6%	93.9%	76.6%
Vietnam	Lục Yên	2000	74.7%	89.1%	56.7%
Vietnam	Lục Yên	2017	88.7%	96.2%	77.2%
Vietnam	Lương Sơn	2000	75.5%	88.6%	59.4%
Vietnam	Lương Sơn	2017	90.0%	96.1%	77.7%
Vietnam	Lương Tài	2000	84.8%	94.3%	69.9%
Vietnam	Lương Tài	2017	94.1%	98.8%	84.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Lý Nhân	2000	90.0%	98.5%	70.4%
Vietnam	Lý Nhân	2017	96.3%	99.6%	85.0%
Vietnam	Lý Sơn	2000	81.8%	99.2%	31.0%
Vietnam	Lý Sơn	2017	92.5%	99.8%	58.8%
Vietnam	M'Đrắk	2000	56.2%	73.0%	37.1%
Vietnam	M'Đrắk	2017	76.6%	88.5%	58.2%
Vietnam	Mai Châu	2000	79.8%	92.8%	60.9%
Vietnam	Mai Châu	2017	90.6%	97.5%	78.7%
Vietnam	Mai Sơn	2000	71.9%	83.0%	60.5%
Vietnam	Mai Sơn	2017	87.7%	94.6%	79.7%
Vietnam	Mang Thít	2000	54.3%	75.6%	30.4%
Vietnam	Mang Thít	2017	76.0%	90.1%	55.9%
Vietnam	Mang Yang	2000	57.3%	74.6%	39.8%
Vietnam	Mang Yang	2017	74.2%	86.5%	59.9%
Vietnam	Mê Linh	2000	91.9%	97.9%	78.1%
Vietnam	Mê Linh	2017	97.0%	99.3%	91.0%
Vietnam	Mèo Vạc	2000	65.7%	85.5%	43.8%
Vietnam	Mèo Vạc	2017	83.5%	94.8%	66.4%
Vietnam	Minh Hóa	2000	75.5%	88.5%	56.1%
Vietnam	Minh Hóa	2017	88.4%	95.2%	76.6%
Vietnam	Minh Long	2000	79.3%	97.3%	49.8%
Vietnam	Minh Long	2017	90.9%	99.2%	71.4%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Bắc	2000	69.0%	89.0%	43.5%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Bắc	2017	84.8%	95.9%	66.0%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Nam	2000	84.6%	94.7%	66.6%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Nam	2017	95.0%	98.6%	87.2%
Vietnam	Mộ Đức	2000	75.4%	90.6%	50.2%
Vietnam	Mộ Đức	2017	90.3%	97.0%	73.8%
Vietnam	Mộc Châu	2000	60.8%	72.7%	48.7%
Vietnam	Mộc Châu	2017	79.6%	87.7%	69.4%
Vietnam	Mộc Hóa	2000	64.3%	90.3%	33.6%
Vietnam	Mộc Hóa	2017	82.1%	97.1%	53.1%
Vietnam	Móng Cái	2000	82.9%	94.4%	62.6%
Vietnam	Móng Cái	2017	93.4%	98.3%	82.4%
Vietnam	Mù Cang Chải	2000	65.5%	83.0%	47.9%
Vietnam	Mù Cang Chải	2017	82.6%	93.7%	70.0%
Vietnam	Mường Ảng	2000	69.5%	90.6%	40.3%
Vietnam	Mường Ảng	2017	85.7%	97.1%	62.1%
Vietnam	Mường Chà	2000	65.3%	81.0%	46.1%
Vietnam	Mường Chà	2017	83.1%	92.8%	68.7%
Vietnam	Mường	2000	65.8%	84.9%	41.5%
Vietnam	Khương				
Vietnam	Mường	2017	84.4%	94.6%	67.7%
Vietnam	Khương				
Vietnam	Mường La	2000	54.7%	71.3%	37.6%
Vietnam	Mường La	2017	74.6%	87.2%	60.6%
Vietnam	Mường Lát	2000	69.6%	88.3%	43.9%
Vietnam	Mường Lát	2017	85.3%	96.1%	67.5%
Vietnam	Mường Nhé	2000	70.5%	84.6%	52.1%
Vietnam	Mường Nhé	2017	84.9%	93.2%	71.4%
Vietnam	Mường Tè	2000	67.1%	79.4%	53.6%
Vietnam	Mường Tè	2017	83.8%	91.1%	73.6%
Vietnam	Mỹ Đức	2000	58.5%	75.8%	36.2%
Vietnam	Mỹ Đức	2017	76.2%	87.5%	57.3%
Vietnam	Mỹ Hòa	2000	91.4%	97.4%	79.5%
Vietnam	Mỹ Hòa	2017	97.3%	99.2%	92.3%
Vietnam	Mỹ Lộc	2000	91.7%	99.3%	69.4%
Vietnam	Mỹ Lộc	2017	97.3%	99.9%	86.8%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tho	2000	89.0%	96.6%	75.6%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tho	2017	97.0%	99.4%	92.6%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tú	2000	73.1%	91.1%	45.6%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tú	2017	87.2%	97.2%	64.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Mỹ Xuyên	2000	69.8%	83.6%	50.6%
Vietnam	Mỹ Xuyên	2017	87.1%	94.8%	72.4%
Vietnam	Nà Hang	2000	52.7%	65.6%	39.1%
Vietnam	Nà Hang	2017	73.1%	82.8%	62.0%
Vietnam	Na Rì	2000	65.8%	83.9%	47.5%
Vietnam	Na Rì	2017	83.7%	94.3%	67.7%
Vietnam	Năm Căn	2000	68.5%	86.0%	49.3%
Vietnam	Năm Căn	2017	85.4%	95.5%	69.5%
Vietnam	Nam Đàn	2000	69.7%	84.7%	45.0%
Vietnam	Nam Đàn	2017	86.5%	94.9%	68.5%
Vietnam	Nam Định	2000	97.7%	99.9%	89.5%
Vietnam	Nam Định	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.5%
Vietnam	Nam Đông	2000	77.5%	94.3%	46.5%
Vietnam	Nam Đông	2017	89.7%	98.1%	69.7%
Vietnam	Nam Giang	2000	78.5%	88.5%	67.0%
Vietnam	Nam Giang	2017	90.5%	95.6%	82.4%
Vietnam	Nậm Nhùn	2000	63.5%	79.5%	43.5%
Vietnam	Nậm Nhùn	2017	81.7%	91.9%	66.8%
Vietnam	Nậm Pồ	2000	68.2%	82.3%	53.7%
Vietnam	Nậm Pồ	2017	84.4%	92.8%	73.6%
Vietnam	Nam Sách	2000	94.5%	99.1%	84.2%
Vietnam	Nam Sách	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.8%
Vietnam	Nam Trà My	2000	77.4%	92.9%	55.1%
Vietnam	Nam Trà My	2017	90.1%	97.5%	75.9%
Vietnam	Nam Trực	2000	88.7%	93.4%	82.2%
Vietnam	Nam Trực	2017	96.3%	98.2%	93.1%
Vietnam	Nam Từ Liêm	2000	98.1%	99.7%	93.2%
Vietnam	Nam Từ Liêm	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.9%
Vietnam	Ngã Bảy	2000	83.9%	96.1%	55.7%
Vietnam	Ngã Bảy	2017	93.6%	98.8%	79.3%
Vietnam	Ngã Năm	2000	77.8%	96.3%	45.4%
Vietnam	Ngã Năm	2017	89.8%	98.8%	66.6%
Vietnam	Nga Sơn	2000	81.1%	92.0%	64.8%
Vietnam	Nga Sơn	2017	92.9%	97.5%	83.8%
Vietnam	Ngân Sơn	2000	67.2%	87.6%	39.0%
Vietnam	Ngân Sơn	2017	84.3%	95.5%	63.6%
Vietnam	Nghi Lộc	2000	82.9%	95.0%	65.1%
Vietnam	Nghi Lộc	2017	92.6%	98.4%	83.0%
Vietnam	Nghi Xuân	2000	91.0%	98.2%	76.5%
Vietnam	Nghi Xuân	2017	97.1%	99.6%	89.0%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Đàn	2000	59.7%	72.1%	46.4%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Đàn	2017	75.1%	84.4%	64.2%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hành	2000	84.8%	97.2%	61.9%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hành	2017	94.6%	99.1%	83.7%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hưng	2000	91.3%	97.4%	80.0%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hưng	2017	96.8%	99.2%	90.5%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Lộ	2000	89.7%	97.8%	73.4%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Lộ	2017	96.5%	99.4%	90.5%
Vietnam	Ngô Quyền	2000	98.9%	99.9%	96.4%
Vietnam	Ngô Quyền	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hiến	2000	82.5%	93.2%	68.4%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hiến	2017	93.1%	97.9%	84.4%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hồi	2000	60.5%	77.7%	40.1%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hồi	2017	79.0%	90.8%	61.1%
Vietnam	Ngọc Lặc	2000	80.2%	91.5%	59.1%
Vietnam	Ngọc Lặc	2017	91.6%	97.2%	78.2%
Vietnam	Ngũ Hành Sơn	2000	95.7%	99.5%	86.1%
Vietnam	Ngũ Hành Sơn	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.4%
Vietnam	Nguyên Bình	2000	66.7%	85.5%	45.9%
Vietnam	Nguyên Bình	2017	83.1%	94.8%	62.9%
Vietnam	Nhà Bè	2000	96.8%	99.5%	91.5%
Vietnam	Nhà Bè	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Nha Trang	2000	66.0%	76.5%	56.2%
Vietnam	Nha Trang	2017	83.0%	89.8%	73.5%
Vietnam	Nho Quan	2000	88.4%	95.8%	75.3%
Vietnam	Nho Quan	2017	96.0%	98.7%	89.7%
Vietnam	Nhơn Trạch	2000	87.2%	94.8%	76.0%
Vietnam	Nhơn Trạch	2017	96.2%	98.7%	90.8%
Vietnam	Như Thanh	2000	81.4%	92.9%	63.3%
Vietnam	Như Thanh	2017	92.0%	97.8%	81.1%
Vietnam	Như Xuân	2000	74.4%	90.4%	48.4%
Vietnam	Như Xuân	2017	87.9%	97.0%	70.8%
Vietnam	Ninh Bình	2000	94.4%	99.6%	76.6%
Vietnam	Ninh Bình	2017	98.6%	99.9%	92.7%
Vietnam	Ninh Giang	2000	93.1%	98.9%	79.1%
Vietnam	Ninh Giang	2017	97.5%	99.7%	90.2%
Vietnam	Ninh Hải	2000	72.4%	88.0%	50.4%
Vietnam	Ninh Hải	2017	86.4%	95.8%	70.7%
Vietnam	Ninh Hòa	2000	79.2%	87.8%	66.1%
Vietnam	Ninh Hòa	2017	90.1%	95.8%	81.4%
Vietnam	Ninh Kiều	2000	70.2%	74.3%	66.5%
Vietnam	Ninh Kiều	2017	92.2%	94.0%	90.1%
Vietnam	Ninh Phước	2000	72.2%	84.2%	59.0%
Vietnam	Ninh Phước	2017	90.2%	95.3%	82.8%
Vietnam	Ninh Sơn	2000	67.4%	83.5%	44.7%
Vietnam	Ninh Sơn	2017	85.6%	94.8%	68.6%
Vietnam	Nông Cống	2000	83.2%	93.2%	69.4%
Vietnam	Nông Cống	2017	94.2%	98.2%	86.3%
Vietnam	Nông Sơn	2000	78.4%	94.3%	52.8%
Vietnam	Nông Sơn	2017	91.0%	98.2%	76.1%
Vietnam	Núi Thành	2000	88.3%	95.9%	70.7%
Vietnam	Núi Thành	2017	96.1%	99.1%	87.6%
Vietnam	Ô Môn	2000	65.1%	84.8%	35.5%
Vietnam	Ô Môn	2017	85.8%	95.4%	64.9%
Vietnam	Pác Nặm	2000	67.8%	89.9%	43.4%
Vietnam	Pác Nặm	2017	84.3%	96.3%	65.2%
Vietnam	Phan Rang- Tháp Chàm	2000	83.7%	95.3%	64.5%
Vietnam	Phan Rang- Tháp Chàm	2017	95.4%	99.2%	85.8%
Vietnam	Phan Thiết	2000	76.9%	92.0%	62.5%
Vietnam	Phan Thiết	2017	87.7%	97.8%	73.2%
Vietnam	Phổ Yên	2000	84.6%	93.1%	72.9%
Vietnam	Phổ Yên	2017	95.0%	98.2%	89.6%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2000	86.1%	96.1%	67.2%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2000	56.1%	73.1%	34.9%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2017	94.9%	98.9%	85.2%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2017	81.0%	91.0%	60.4%
Vietnam	Phong Thổ	2000	59.7%	78.7%	37.8%
Vietnam	Phong Thổ	2017	79.7%	93.0%	61.9%
Vietnam	Phú Bình	2000	89.7%	95.5%	79.2%
Vietnam	Phú Bình	2017	96.7%	98.7%	91.3%
Vietnam	Phù Cát	2000	74.0%	87.1%	55.7%
Vietnam	Phù Cát	2017	87.9%	95.4%	75.4%
Vietnam	Phù Cừ	2000	87.3%	97.0%	71.4%
Vietnam	Phù Cừ	2017	94.5%	99.2%	85.6%
Vietnam	Phú Giáo	2000	83.2%	95.3%	60.2%
Vietnam	Phú Giáo	2017	94.1%	98.9%	82.9%
Vietnam	Phú Hoà	2000	78.1%	88.8%	65.1%
Vietnam	Phú Hoà	2017	90.3%	96.4%	81.2%
Vietnam	Phú Lộc	2000	82.2%	92.6%	64.3%
Vietnam	Phú Lộc	2017	93.6%	97.6%	85.1%
Vietnam	Phú Lương	2000	74.2%	88.5%	51.2%
Vietnam	Phú Lương	2017	88.9%	96.1%	73.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Phủ Lý	2000	89.3%	98.8%	63.9%
Vietnam	Phủ Lý	2017	96.3%	99.8%	83.4%
Vietnam	Phù Mỹ	2000	65.4%	77.6%	50.2%
Vietnam	Phù Mỹ	2017	82.7%	91.2%	70.8%
Vietnam	Phú Nhuận	2000	95.3%	97.3%	92.8%
Vietnam	Phú Nhuận	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Vietnam	Phú Ninh	2000	81.0%	93.2%	63.7%
Vietnam	Phú Ninh	2017	91.6%	98.6%	79.9%
Vietnam	Phù Ninh	2000	82.6%	89.2%	72.9%
Vietnam	Phù Ninh	2017	93.2%	96.2%	87.7%
Vietnam	Phú Quí	2000	75.7%	97.9%	27.2%
Vietnam	Phú Quí	2017	89.3%	99.5%	56.8%
Vietnam	Phú Quốc	2000	65.7%	85.9%	39.1%
Vietnam	Phú Quốc	2017	83.9%	95.7%	62.6%
Vietnam	Phú Riềng	2000	78.6%	93.5%	58.3%
Vietnam	Phú Riềng	2017	91.9%	98.2%	80.7%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2000	58.1%	78.5%	31.9%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2000	82.3%	94.3%	59.0%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2017	79.3%	93.4%	55.8%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2017	93.4%	98.7%	78.8%
Vietnam	Phú Thiện	2000	45.6%	61.4%	31.9%
Vietnam	Phú Thiện	2017	65.8%	78.2%	51.1%
Vietnam	Phú Thọ	2000	94.7%	98.4%	87.6%
Vietnam	Phú Thọ	2017	98.4%	99.6%	95.5%
Vietnam	Phú Vang	2000	88.7%	98.3%	70.2%
Vietnam	Phú Vang	2017	96.0%	99.7%	85.8%
Vietnam	Phú Xuyên	2000	88.5%	97.2%	70.7%
Vietnam	Phú Xuyên	2017	96.0%	99.3%	86.6%
Vietnam	Phù Yên	2000	54.7%	70.4%	34.8%
Vietnam	Phù Yên	2017	75.6%	87.6%	57.1%
Vietnam	Phục Hoà	2000	68.0%	84.7%	41.7%
Vietnam	Phục Hoà	2017	85.5%	94.5%	61.5%
Vietnam	Phước Thọ	2000	82.5%	88.3%	74.1%
Vietnam	Phước Thọ	2017	94.3%	96.8%	90.6%
Vietnam	Phước Yên	2000	93.3%	98.8%	78.4%
Vietnam	Phước Yên	2017	97.9%	99.7%	91.3%
Vietnam	Phụng Hiệp	2000	81.6%	92.4%	66.1%
Vietnam	Phụng Hiệp	2017	92.8%	97.6%	83.6%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2000	85.6%	96.9%	67.8%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2000	86.0%	97.3%	63.4%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2017	96.1%	99.6%	87.8%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2017	94.6%	99.2%	82.0%
Vietnam	Phước Sơn	2000	75.9%	91.2%	50.7%
Vietnam	Phước Sơn	2017	89.2%	96.5%	73.9%
Vietnam	Pleiku	2000	68.6%	75.7%	60.9%
Vietnam	Pleiku	2017	89.9%	93.8%	85.2%
Vietnam	Quận 1	2000	93.7%	96.6%	89.8%
Vietnam	Quận 1	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.5%
Vietnam	Quận 10	2000	93.3%	94.9%	91.8%
Vietnam	Quận 10	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Vietnam	Quận 11	2000	93.1%	96.7%	87.5%
Vietnam	Quận 11	2017	98.8%	99.4%	97.8%
Vietnam	Quận 12	2000	96.3%	98.3%	92.5%
Vietnam	Quận 12	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.0%
Vietnam	Quận 2	2000	97.9%	99.7%	93.4%
Vietnam	Quận 2	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Vietnam	Quận 3	2000	93.5%	96.4%	89.6%
Vietnam	Quận 3	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.5%
Vietnam	Quận 4	2000	93.8%	96.8%	89.6%
Vietnam	Quận 4	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.5%
Vietnam	Quận 5	2000	93.6%	95.0%	92.1%
Vietnam	Quận 5	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Quận 6	2000	96.5%	98.4%	94.1%
Vietnam	Quận 6	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Vietnam	Quận 7	2000	96.5%	99.1%	90.5%
Vietnam	Quận 7	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.3%
Vietnam	Quận 8	2000	98.3%	99.4%	95.9%
Vietnam	Quận 8	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Vietnam	Quận 9	2000	95.8%	99.0%	87.4%
Vietnam	Quận 9	2017	99.1%	99.8%	96.5%
Vietnam	Quần Bạ	2000	67.3%	89.5%	31.3%
Vietnam	Quần Bạ	2017	83.7%	96.0%	53.7%
Vietnam	Quan Hóa	2000	71.0%	83.1%	54.8%
Vietnam	Quan Hóa	2017	85.8%	93.1%	72.8%
Vietnam	Quan Sơn	2000	57.2%	74.4%	41.7%
Vietnam	Quan Sơn	2017	72.8%	84.4%	58.8%
Vietnam	Quang Bình	2000	63.6%	79.7%	40.8%
Vietnam	Quang Bình	2017	79.7%	89.5%	64.3%
Vietnam	Quảng Điền	2000	89.1%	97.8%	70.9%
Vietnam	Quảng Điền	2017	96.2%	99.5%	86.1%
Vietnam	Quảng Ngãi	2000	91.5%	98.1%	74.7%
Vietnam	Quảng Ngãi	2017	96.8%	99.5%	88.8%
Vietnam	Quảng Ninh	2000	87.2%	94.2%	76.4%
Vietnam	Quảng Ninh	2017	95.1%	98.4%	89.3%
Vietnam	Quảng Trạch	2000	81.5%	93.8%	60.3%
Vietnam	Quảng Trạch	2017	92.7%	98.3%	78.6%
Vietnam	Quảng Trị	2000	80.2%	96.7%	36.8%
Vietnam	Quảng Trị	2017	91.4%	99.1%	63.9%
Vietnam	Quảng Uyên	2000	62.6%	88.7%	32.3%
Vietnam	Quảng Uyên	2017	81.6%	97.1%	48.7%
Vietnam	Quảng Xương	2000	79.5%	93.9%	57.4%
Vietnam	Quảng Xương	2017	93.2%	98.5%	81.6%
Vietnam	Quảng Yên	2000	80.0%	95.7%	51.0%
Vietnam	Quảng Yên	2017	91.7%	98.7%	75.9%
Vietnam	Quế Phong	2000	62.7%	75.9%	48.8%
Vietnam	Quế Phong	2017	81.3%	90.1%	71.5%
Vietnam	Quế Sơn	2000	83.6%	93.1%	66.3%
Vietnam	Quế Sơn	2017	93.3%	97.6%	82.6%
Vietnam	Quế Võ	2000	83.5%	91.4%	70.6%
Vietnam	Quế Võ	2017	94.0%	97.6%	86.0%
Vietnam	Qui Nhơn	2000	91.0%	97.1%	81.0%
Vietnam	Qui Nhơn	2017	97.2%	99.3%	93.1%
Vietnam	Quốc Oai	2000	82.3%	93.7%	63.3%
Vietnam	Quốc Oai	2017	94.3%	98.6%	82.5%
Vietnam	Quỳ Châu	2000	70.3%	86.1%	47.5%
Vietnam	Quỳ Châu	2017	85.7%	94.5%	70.2%
Vietnam	Quỳ Hợp	2000	58.9%	78.5%	36.7%
Vietnam	Quỳ Hợp	2017	77.8%	91.6%	58.9%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Lưu	2000	65.6%	79.4%	46.0%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Lưu	2017	79.9%	89.1%	62.8%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Nhai	2000	61.8%	79.3%	42.9%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Nhai	2017	80.9%	91.9%	66.4%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Phụ	2000	88.3%	97.4%	69.7%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Phụ	2017	95.5%	99.4%	84.2%
Vietnam	Rạch Giá	2000	92.7%	97.5%	82.3%
Vietnam	Rạch Giá	2017	97.8%	99.3%	94.0%
Vietnam	Sa Đéc	2000	62.2%	88.0%	40.4%
Vietnam	Sa Đéc	2017	80.4%	96.3%	65.1%
Vietnam	Sa Pa	2000	52.4%	75.4%	31.6%
Vietnam	Sa Pa	2017	73.4%	89.3%	52.9%
Vietnam	Sa Thầy	2000	67.0%	82.7%	49.1%
Vietnam	Sa Thầy	2017	84.4%	92.8%	70.7%
Vietnam	Sầm Sơn	2000	69.8%	87.0%	39.9%
Vietnam	Sầm Sơn	2017	88.0%	96.8%	66.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Si Ma Cai	2000	50.9%	77.6%	22.7%
Vietnam	Si Ma Cai	2017	71.2%	90.3%	41.1%
Vietnam	Sin Hồ	2000	56.5%	72.3%	41.9%
Vietnam	Sin Hồ	2017	74.3%	85.2%	61.7%
Vietnam	Sóc Sơn	2000	87.5%	95.1%	73.0%
Vietnam	Sóc Sơn	2017	95.9%	98.8%	89.6%
Vietnam	Sóc Trăng	2000	68.9%	75.5%	61.3%
Vietnam	Sóc Trăng	2017	89.2%	92.1%	85.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Động	2000	69.4%	85.7%	52.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Động	2017	86.7%	95.1%	74.8%
Vietnam	Sơn Dương	2000	73.6%	86.1%	57.1%
Vietnam	Sơn Dương	2017	89.5%	95.8%	79.7%
Vietnam	Sơn Hà	2000	68.7%	84.3%	46.9%
Vietnam	Sơn Hà	2017	84.1%	93.3%	67.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Hòa	2000	67.6%	84.1%	41.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Hòa	2017	83.7%	93.8%	65.4%
Vietnam	Sơn La	2000	79.3%	94.2%	52.1%
Vietnam	Sơn La	2017	92.4%	98.2%	77.4%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2000	62.1%	88.7%	27.4%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2000	94.8%	99.4%	78.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2017	98.3%	99.9%	91.8%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2017	81.7%	96.3%	50.0%
Vietnam	Sơn Tĩnh	2000	76.7%	91.1%	54.6%
Vietnam	Sơn Tĩnh	2017	90.7%	97.0%	75.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Trà	2000	97.5%	99.7%	92.0%
Vietnam	Sơn Trà	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Vietnam	Sông Cầu	2000	73.6%	92.1%	46.7%
Vietnam	Sông Cầu	2017	88.1%	97.6%	69.9%
Vietnam	Sông Công	2000	80.4%	90.0%	66.9%
Vietnam	Sông Công	2017	95.6%	98.6%	89.3%
Vietnam	Sông Ninh	2000	67.4%	84.2%	46.5%
Vietnam	Sông Ninh	2017	83.2%	93.4%	68.7%
Vietnam	Sông Lô	2000	67.1%	87.6%	43.1%
Vietnam	Sông Lô	2017	82.3%	96.8%	57.5%
Vietnam	Sông Mã	2000	59.9%	73.9%	45.2%
Vietnam	Sông Mã	2017	79.5%	88.2%	68.4%
Vietnam	Sốp Cộp	2000	51.3%	69.4%	35.7%
Vietnam	Sốp Cộp	2017	69.6%	85.8%	52.8%
Vietnam	Tam Bình	2000	54.8%	73.3%	34.5%
Vietnam	Tam Bình	2017	78.7%	91.2%	62.6%
Vietnam	Tam Đảo	2000	84.2%	97.8%	57.5%
Vietnam	Tam Đảo	2017	92.7%	99.4%	74.7%
Vietnam	Tam Điệp	2000	86.7%	99.3%	57.0%
Vietnam	Tam Điệp	2017	95.0%	99.8%	78.9%
Vietnam	Tam Dương	2000	80.8%	96.2%	51.5%
Vietnam	Tam Dương	2017	93.4%	99.0%	74.5%
Vietnam	Tam Đường	2000	58.9%	81.2%	34.3%
Vietnam	Tam Đường	2017	78.6%	93.5%	58.1%
Vietnam	Tam Kỳ	2000	79.4%	91.4%	62.3%
Vietnam	Tam Kỳ	2017	93.7%	98.4%	83.6%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2000	85.4%	94.1%	73.1%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2000	56.2%	71.7%	34.8%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2017	95.0%	98.2%	89.6%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2017	76.8%	87.5%	60.4%
Vietnam	Tân An	2000	93.1%	98.9%	75.3%
Vietnam	Tân An	2017	98.0%	99.7%	92.3%
Vietnam	Tân Biên	2000	83.2%	92.4%	69.2%
Vietnam	Tân Biên	2017	93.2%	97.6%	84.4%
Vietnam	Tân Bình	2000	96.1%	97.8%	93.9%
Vietnam	Tân Bình	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2000	75.4%	85.4%	60.3%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2000	80.1%	91.7%	63.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2017	91.5%	97.2%	81.9%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2017	91.2%	95.7%	82.7%
Vietnam	Tân Hiệp	2000	68.0%	86.9%	45.0%
Vietnam	Tân Hiệp	2017	84.4%	95.6%	66.4%
Vietnam	Tân Hồng	2000	63.0%	86.7%	31.5%
Vietnam	Tân Hồng	2017	83.3%	95.3%	58.6%
Vietnam	Tân Hưng	2000	58.9%	81.1%	35.2%
Vietnam	Tân Hưng	2017	78.6%	93.3%	56.3%
Vietnam	Tân Kỳ	2000	76.6%	90.7%	59.9%
Vietnam	Tân Kỳ	2017	89.8%	97.1%	78.9%
Vietnam	Tân Lạc	2000	70.3%	86.5%	42.3%
Vietnam	Tân Lạc	2017	86.0%	94.4%	65.8%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2000	95.2%	97.7%	91.3%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2000	87.9%	95.0%	75.9%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.4%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2017	95.9%	98.7%	90.5%
Vietnam	Tân Phú Đông	2000	71.0%	88.8%	49.2%
Vietnam	Tân Phú Đông	2017	84.3%	96.5%	64.3%
Vietnam	Tân Phước	2000	76.3%	92.9%	48.4%
Vietnam	Tân Phước	2017	89.6%	98.0%	70.8%
Vietnam	Tân Sơn	2000	73.8%	90.3%	52.6%
Vietnam	Tân Sơn	2017	87.7%	96.1%	73.3%
Vietnam	Tân Thành	2000	89.7%	97.0%	75.3%
Vietnam	Tân Thành	2017	96.4%	99.2%	88.7%
Vietnam	Tân Thạnh	2000	64.3%	85.3%	37.9%
Vietnam	Tân Thạnh	2017	82.5%	95.1%	59.9%
Vietnam	Tân Trụ	2000	83.4%	97.2%	52.9%
Vietnam	Tân Trụ	2017	93.9%	99.2%	76.4%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2000	62.3%	84.9%	38.7%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2000	88.2%	97.4%	68.1%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2017	96.6%	99.5%	86.0%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2017	81.2%	95.2%	60.6%
Vietnam	Tân Yên	2000	74.4%	87.2%	55.9%
Vietnam	Tân Yên	2017	91.4%	96.7%	79.9%
Vietnam	Tánh Linh	2000	70.7%	87.2%	46.6%
Vietnam	Tánh Linh	2017	86.3%	95.6%	68.2%
Vietnam	Tây Giang	2000	68.7%	86.9%	44.9%
Vietnam	Tây Giang	2017	84.4%	95.4%	67.9%
Vietnam	Tây Hồ	2000	94.0%	97.7%	82.1%
Vietnam	Tây Hồ	2017	99.0%	99.7%	96.5%
Vietnam	Tây Hoà	2000	71.4%	88.7%	47.7%
Vietnam	Tây Hoà	2017	85.4%	95.6%	67.4%
Vietnam	Tây Ninh	2000	89.2%	94.4%	80.2%
Vietnam	Tây Ninh	2017	96.9%	98.8%	92.8%
Vietnam	Tây Sơn	2000	80.5%	91.6%	63.4%
Vietnam	Tây Sơn	2017	92.7%	97.6%	83.5%
Vietnam	Tây Trà	2000	78.9%	94.2%	55.2%
Vietnam	Tây Trà	2017	90.7%	98.4%	75.0%
Vietnam	Thạch An	2000	64.3%	83.2%	43.6%
Vietnam	Thạch An	2017	81.6%	94.7%	59.1%
Vietnam	Thạch Hà	2000	93.6%	97.6%	87.7%
Vietnam	Thạch Hà	2017	98.0%	99.4%	95.0%
Vietnam	Thạch Thành	2000	82.5%	91.2%	65.7%
Vietnam	Thạch Thành	2017	92.3%	96.4%	83.7%
Vietnam	Thạch Thất	2000	79.8%	89.0%	64.6%
Vietnam	Thạch Thất	2017	92.7%	96.5%	83.5%
Vietnam	Thái Bình	2000	82.6%	91.6%	70.8%
Vietnam	Thái Bình	2017	94.5%	97.9%	89.4%
Vietnam	Thái Hoà	2000	47.4%	65.8%	28.1%
Vietnam	Thái Hoà	2017	64.9%	79.1%	47.3%
Vietnam	Thái Nguyên	2000	69.3%	78.2%	61.0%
Vietnam	Thái Nguyên	2017	89.7%	93.9%	82.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Thái Thụy	2000	88.8%	97.4%	71.0%
Vietnam	Thái Thụy	2017	95.9%	99.3%	86.7%
Vietnam	Than Uyên	2000	49.1%	67.0%	32.5%
Vietnam	Than Uyên	2017	71.7%	85.6%	54.0%
Vietnam	Thăng Bình	2000	85.8%	96.6%	65.4%
Vietnam	Thăng Bình	2017	95.0%	99.1%	84.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Ba	2000	90.7%	95.2%	83.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Ba	2017	96.9%	98.6%	93.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Bình	2000	67.8%	85.9%	47.8%
Vietnam	Thanh Bình	2017	85.4%	95.3%	70.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Chương	2000	63.2%	75.4%	50.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Chương	2017	78.1%	87.1%	66.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Hà	2000	92.0%	98.2%	76.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Hà	2017	97.6%	99.6%	92.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Hóa	2000	94.7%	97.9%	89.4%
Vietnam	Thanh Hóa	2017	98.4%	99.5%	95.6%
Vietnam	Thạch Hóa	2000	61.3%	89.0%	27.3%
Vietnam	Thạch Hóa	2017	81.7%	96.5%	52.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Khê	2000	91.0%	94.7%	85.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Khê	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Liêm	2000	89.5%	97.9%	69.1%
Vietnam	Thanh Liêm	2017	95.8%	99.3%	85.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Miện	2000	91.7%	98.4%	80.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Miện	2017	96.9%	99.5%	90.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Oai	2000	83.9%	90.7%	72.6%
Vietnam	Thanh Oai	2017	94.5%	97.1%	89.4%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Bắc Kạn	2000	81.6%	97.6%	51.6%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Bắc Kạn	2017	93.6%	99.5%	78.2%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Đồng Hới	2000	91.5%	99.5%	64.3%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Đồng Hới	2017	96.7%	99.9%	82.0%
Vietnam	Thạch Phú	2000	81.4%	94.8%	59.2%
Vietnam	Thạch Phú	2017	92.5%	98.6%	78.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Sơn	2000	80.2%	90.2%	68.9%
Vietnam	Thanh Sơn	2017	92.2%	97.0%	85.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Thủy	2000	88.1%	96.6%	68.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Thủy	2017	95.7%	98.9%	85.9%
Vietnam	Thanh Trì	2000	98.0%	99.7%	93.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Trì	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.9%
Vietnam	Thạch Trị	2000	56.8%	74.6%	34.8%
Vietnam	Thạch Trị	2017	78.0%	91.8%	57.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Xuân	2000	98.9%	99.5%	97.8%
Vietnam	Thanh Xuân	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Vietnam	Tháp Mười	2000	56.7%	82.4%	32.3%
Vietnam	Tháp Mười	2017	78.4%	94.2%	57.8%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Buôn Hồ	2000	80.4%	92.9%	60.1%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Buôn Hồ	2017	92.3%	98.1%	78.6%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Mường Lay	2000	82.5%	95.7%	59.4%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Mường Lay	2017	93.2%	98.9%	78.8%
Vietnam	Thịệu Hóa	2000	89.6%	97.6%	74.9%
Vietnam	Thịệu Hóa	2017	95.9%	99.2%	88.2%
Vietnam	Thọ Xuân	2000	84.3%	93.7%	62.7%
Vietnam	Thọ Xuân	2017	94.5%	98.2%	82.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Thoại Sơn	2000	55.7%	75.5%	35.0%
Vietnam	Thoại Sơn	2017	76.9%	90.2%	57.3%
Vietnam	Thới Bình	2000	81.4%	92.9%	62.4%
Vietnam	Thới Bình	2017	92.1%	97.5%	79.7%
Vietnam	Thới Lai	2000	72.6%	88.6%	50.1%
Vietnam	Thới Lai	2017	89.4%	97.0%	76.5%
Vietnam	Thống Nhất	2000	83.5%	94.0%	65.3%
Vietnam	Thống Nhất	2017	94.4%	98.4%	83.3%
Vietnam	Thông Nông	2000	76.0%	92.3%	56.6%
Vietnam	Thông Nông	2017	89.1%	97.5%	75.8%
Vietnam	Thốt Nốt	2000	70.1%	88.3%	48.5%
Vietnam	Thốt Nốt	2017	85.0%	96.0%	64.8%
Vietnam	Thủ Dầu Một	2000	96.1%	99.3%	88.0%
Vietnam	Thủ Dầu Một	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.3%
Vietnam	Thủ Đức	2000	98.1%	99.6%	93.6%
Vietnam	Thủ Đức	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Vietnam	Thủ Thừa	2000	82.4%	95.0%	62.7%
Vietnam	Thủ Thừa	2017	94.3%	98.9%	86.1%
Vietnam	Thuận An	2000	96.7%	98.9%	91.3%
Vietnam	Thuận An	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.7%
Vietnam	Thuận Bắc	2000	70.0%	91.8%	43.8%
Vietnam	Thuận Bắc	2017	84.7%	97.2%	65.3%
Vietnam	Thuận Châu	2000	62.3%	78.9%	44.8%
Vietnam	Thuận Châu	2017	79.9%	90.9%	65.7%
Vietnam	Thuận Nam	2000	52.4%	76.1%	26.1%
Vietnam	Thuận Nam	2017	72.8%	89.2%	47.7%
Vietnam	Thuận Thành	2000	83.9%	95.0%	66.1%
Vietnam	Thuận Thành	2017	94.5%	99.0%	85.0%
Vietnam	Thường Tín	2000	83.5%	92.9%	68.0%
Vietnam	Thường Tín	2017	94.4%	97.9%	87.8%
Vietnam	Thường Xuân	2000	78.8%	89.5%	65.1%
Vietnam	Thường Xuân	2017	90.2%	95.9%	80.8%
Vietnam	Thủy Nguyên	2000	91.2%	97.6%	81.0%
Vietnam	Thủy Nguyên	2017	96.4%	99.4%	90.1%
Vietnam	Tiên Du	2000	87.3%	97.3%	64.6%
Vietnam	Tiên Du	2017	95.8%	99.1%	84.7%
Vietnam	Tiền Hải	2000	76.9%	94.6%	55.1%
Vietnam	Tiền Hải	2017	89.9%	98.6%	71.3%
Vietnam	Tiền Lãng	2000	88.6%	97.9%	66.7%
Vietnam	Tiền Lãng	2017	96.0%	99.5%	85.4%
Vietnam	Tiên Lữ	2000	91.5%	98.9%	68.9%
Vietnam	Tiên Lữ	2017	96.9%	99.7%	85.6%
Vietnam	Tiên Phước	2000	78.3%	92.6%	52.1%
Vietnam	Tiên Phước	2017	90.9%	97.7%	72.0%
Vietnam	Tiên Yên	2000	81.8%	92.9%	61.4%
Vietnam	Tiên Yên	2017	93.3%	98.0%	83.4%
Vietnam	Tiểu Cần	2000	89.2%	96.3%	73.1%
Vietnam	Tiểu Cần	2017	95.7%	98.9%	87.4%
Vietnam	Tịnh Biên	2000	70.0%	87.7%	47.7%
Vietnam	Tịnh Biên	2017	85.5%	95.7%	69.3%
Vietnam	Tĩnh Gia	2000	75.6%	88.9%	59.3%
Vietnam	Tĩnh Gia	2017	90.3%	97.1%	78.4%
Vietnam	Trà Bồng	2000	78.4%	95.5%	44.2%
Vietnam	Trà Bồng	2017	90.2%	98.7%	68.7%
Vietnam	Trà Cú	2000	85.6%	96.1%	68.4%
Vietnam	Trà Cú	2017	94.2%	98.7%	84.9%
Vietnam	Trà Lĩnh	2000	81.1%	93.7%	62.8%
Vietnam	Trà Lĩnh	2017	93.1%	98.4%	83.3%
Vietnam	Trà Ôn	2000	60.6%	79.9%	40.0%
Vietnam	Trà Ôn	2017	81.8%	93.0%	64.6%
Vietnam	Trà Vinh	2000	81.6%	95.3%	60.1%
Vietnam	Trà Vinh	2017	93.1%	98.9%	81.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Trạm Tầu	2000	70.6%	86.9%	47.9%
Vietnam	Trạm Tầu	2017	86.5%	95.7%	72.3%
Vietnam	Trần Đề	2000	83.2%	95.5%	65.2%
Vietnam	Trần Đề	2017	93.2%	98.8%	83.1%
Vietnam	Trần Văn Thời	2000	85.8%	96.0%	69.4%
Vietnam	Trần Văn Thời	2017	94.7%	98.8%	85.6%
Vietnam	Trần Yên	2000	83.4%	92.4%	71.0%
Vietnam	Trần Yên	2017	93.6%	98.0%	85.6%
Vietnam	Trảng Bàng	2000	91.6%	97.7%	80.6%
Vietnam	Trảng Bàng	2017	97.3%	99.4%	93.1%
Vietnam	Trảng Bom	2000	90.9%	96.7%	79.4%
Vietnam	Trảng Bom	2017	97.4%	99.2%	93.1%
Vietnam	Trảng Định	2000	65.8%	80.0%	49.8%
Vietnam	Trảng Định	2017	85.0%	92.4%	73.7%
Vietnam	Tri Tôn	2000	54.3%	71.5%	34.4%
Vietnam	Tri Tôn	2017	77.5%	88.6%	61.2%
Vietnam	Triệu Phong	2000	69.9%	87.1%	44.5%
Vietnam	Triệu Phong	2017	86.3%	95.8%	67.0%
Vietnam	Triệu Sơn	2000	88.6%	96.6%	72.6%
Vietnam	Triệu Sơn	2017	96.2%	99.1%	88.9%
Vietnam	Trực Ninh	2000	75.8%	92.5%	53.9%
Vietnam	Trực Ninh	2017	89.2%	97.8%	71.4%
Vietnam	Trùng Khánh	2000	61.9%	84.3%	37.3%
Vietnam	Trùng Khánh	2017	80.9%	95.6%	53.7%
Vietnam	Tứ Kỳ	2000	89.8%	97.1%	72.3%
Vietnam	Tứ Kỳ	2017	96.3%	99.4%	86.7%
Vietnam	Tu Mơ Rông	2000	76.2%	91.6%	53.6%
Vietnam	Tu Mơ Rông	2017	89.1%	97.3%	74.6%
Vietnam	Tư Nghĩa	2000	82.5%	92.3%	64.2%
Vietnam	Tư Nghĩa	2017	92.9%	97.8%	80.2%
Vietnam	Từ Sơn	2000	91.9%	97.9%	77.7%
Vietnam	Từ Sơn	2017	97.4%	99.5%	92.4%
Vietnam	Tủa Chùa	2000	57.7%	76.6%	37.8%
Vietnam	Tủa Chùa	2017	78.2%	91.4%	60.8%
Vietnam	Tuần Giáo	2000	55.5%	73.7%	35.5%
Vietnam	Tuần Giáo	2017	74.7%	85.9%	55.9%
Vietnam	Tương Dương	2000	60.4%	72.4%	44.4%
Vietnam	Tương Dương	2017	77.4%	86.9%	62.1%
Vietnam	Tuy An	2000	68.1%	82.8%	49.8%
Vietnam	Tuy An	2017	85.5%	93.5%	71.6%
Vietnam	Tuy Đức	2000	64.9%	81.7%	44.9%
Vietnam	Tuy Đức	2017	82.6%	92.4%	67.4%
Vietnam	Tuy Hoà	2000	68.5%	82.0%	50.6%
Vietnam	Tuy Hoà	2017	87.9%	93.0%	80.2%
Vietnam	Tuy Phong	2000	69.0%	84.7%	49.5%
Vietnam	Tuy Phong	2017	84.5%	93.9%	70.8%
Vietnam	Tuy Phước	2000	75.1%	91.0%	56.1%
Vietnam	Tuy Phước	2017	91.0%	98.0%	77.2%
Vietnam	Tuyên Hóa	2000	79.1%	90.9%	64.1%
Vietnam	Tuyên Hóa	2017	91.0%	97.4%	81.2%
Vietnam	Tuyên Quang	2000	66.1%	79.6%	46.6%
Vietnam	Tuyên Quang	2017	87.0%	93.0%	74.4%
Vietnam	U Minh	2000	81.8%	93.1%	66.7%
Vietnam	U Minh	2017	93.1%	98.1%	84.9%
Vietnam	U Minh Thượng	2000	75.1%	91.5%	45.9%
Vietnam	U Minh Thượng	2017	87.5%	97.2%	65.7%
Vietnam	Ứng Hòa	2000	64.2%	81.2%	41.0%
Vietnam	Ứng Hòa	2017	81.4%	90.6%	67.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Uông Bí	2000	74.2%	89.8%	53.8%
Vietnam	Uông Bí	2017	89.3%	96.9%	73.9%
Vietnam	Văn Bàn	2000	65.4%	78.9%	49.5%
Vietnam	Văn Bàn	2017	83.4%	91.2%	71.8%
Vietnam	Vân Canh	2000	74.0%	91.4%	49.1%
Vietnam	Vân Canh	2017	87.5%	96.9%	70.9%
Vietnam	Văn Chấn	2000	77.3%	88.8%	63.8%
Vietnam	Văn Chấn	2017	90.0%	95.8%	82.3%
Vietnam	Vân Đồn	2000	80.6%	92.6%	64.3%
Vietnam	Vân Đồn	2017	92.0%	97.6%	82.3%
Vietnam	Văn Giang	2000	94.3%	99.4%	79.8%
Vietnam	Văn Giang	2017	98.2%	99.9%	92.1%
Vietnam	Vân Hồ	2000	61.3%	77.4%	41.9%
Vietnam	Vân Hồ	2017	80.2%	91.5%	66.1%
Vietnam	Văn Lâm	2000	88.8%	95.0%	75.1%
Vietnam	Văn Lâm	2017	96.4%	98.6%	91.3%
Vietnam	Văn Lăng	2000	57.6%	78.9%	32.2%
Vietnam	Văn Lăng	2017	76.7%	92.1%	52.6%
Vietnam	Vạn Ninh	2000	67.3%	86.3%	43.9%
Vietnam	Vạn Ninh	2017	83.3%	95.1%	65.1%
Vietnam	Văn Quan	2000	66.3%	87.4%	41.2%
Vietnam	Văn Quan	2017	84.2%	96.2%	61.7%
Vietnam	Văn Yên	2000	72.3%	86.7%	51.9%
Vietnam	Văn Yên	2017	87.1%	94.8%	73.5%
Vietnam	Vị Thanh	2000	83.7%	97.4%	57.3%
Vietnam	Vị Thanh	2017	94.4%	99.4%	79.6%
Vietnam	Vị Thủy	2000	76.3%	90.5%	55.2%
Vietnam	Vị Thủy	2017	90.7%	97.3%	77.1%
Vietnam	Vị Xuyên	2000	59.7%	72.1%	46.3%
Vietnam	Vị Xuyên	2017	79.1%	87.2%	68.5%
Vietnam	Việt Trì	2000	71.8%	86.0%	51.3%
Vietnam	Việt Trì	2017	89.9%	96.4%	77.4%
Vietnam	Việt Yên	2000	80.7%	88.8%	72.7%
Vietnam	Việt Yên	2017	94.4%	97.2%	91.0%
Vietnam	Vinh	2000	93.2%	95.3%	90.8%
Vietnam	Vinh	2017	98.6%	99.2%	97.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Bảo	2000	86.3%	98.4%	61.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Bảo	2017	94.2%	99.6%	74.5%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Châu	2000	90.6%	98.1%	77.0%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Châu	2017	96.4%	99.4%	88.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Cửu	2000	82.8%	90.5%	70.7%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Cửu	2017	93.8%	97.4%	86.9%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Hưng	2000	69.1%	88.2%	47.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Hưng	2017	86.5%	96.5%	70.5%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Linh	2000	85.5%	95.3%	65.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Linh	2017	94.4%	98.7%	82.5%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lộc	2000	92.1%	97.8%	81.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lộc	2017	97.7%	99.5%	92.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lợi	2000	66.2%	79.7%	51.1%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lợi	2017	86.1%	93.2%	74.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Long	2000	63.8%	83.9%	42.7%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Long	2017	82.9%	94.3%	62.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2000	74.7%	90.7%	54.9%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2000	63.0%	85.9%	37.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2017	88.2%	97.0%	74.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2017	79.8%	94.6%	56.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thuận	2000	82.8%	96.3%	60.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thuận	2017	92.9%	99.0%	79.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Tường	2000	90.7%	97.7%	74.0%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Tường	2017	96.9%	99.4%	89.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Yên	2000	88.5%	93.8%	74.3%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Yên	2017	96.4%	98.2%	91.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Võ Nhài	2000	76.4%	90.1%	54.7%
Vietnam	Võ Nhài	2017	89.5%	96.7%	76.1%
Vietnam	Vụ Bản	2000	93.0%	98.8%	79.5%
Vietnam	Vụ Bản	2017	98.2%	99.8%	92.6%
Vietnam	Vũ Quang	2000	75.7%	92.0%	52.8%
Vietnam	Vũ Quang	2017	89.1%	97.2%	74.6%
Vietnam	Vũ Thư	2000	93.1%	97.5%	85.3%
Vietnam	Vũ Thư	2017	97.8%	99.4%	94.2%
Vietnam	Vũng Liêm	2000	71.4%	86.6%	45.6%
Vietnam	Vũng Liêm	2017	87.5%	95.0%	70.7%
Vietnam	Vũng Tàu	2000	92.2%	97.6%	83.9%
Vietnam	Vũng Tàu	2017	97.7%	99.5%	94.3%
Vietnam	Xín Mần	2000	56.7%	77.4%	34.1%
Vietnam	Xín Mần	2017	76.5%	90.6%	59.3%
Vietnam	Xuân Lộc	2000	78.9%	88.5%	65.3%
Vietnam	Xuân Lộc	2017	93.0%	96.7%	86.2%
Vietnam	Xuân Trường	2000	71.7%	94.8%	42.4%
Vietnam	Xuân Trường	2017	86.0%	98.4%	58.9%
Vietnam	Xuyên Mộc	2000	86.8%	94.8%	72.2%
Vietnam	Xuyên Mộc	2017	95.1%	98.6%	87.1%
Vietnam	Ý Yên	2000	93.1%	98.4%	79.6%
Vietnam	Ý Yên	2017	98.0%	99.7%	93.3%
Vietnam	Yên Bái	2000	91.5%	99.0%	71.8%
Vietnam	Yên Bái	2017	97.4%	99.8%	87.4%
Vietnam	Yên Bình	2000	79.6%	91.5%	64.3%
Vietnam	Yên Bình	2017	91.6%	97.1%	81.7%
Vietnam	Yên Châu	2000	64.5%	83.7%	39.0%
Vietnam	Yên Châu	2017	82.4%	94.1%	61.4%
Vietnam	Yên Định	2000	86.3%	97.5%	64.1%
Vietnam	Yên Định	2017	95.1%	99.5%	82.5%
Vietnam	Yên Dũng	2000	85.2%	93.9%	69.6%
Vietnam	Yên Dũng	2017	95.3%	98.4%	87.5%
Vietnam	Yên Khánh	2000	95.9%	99.3%	87.5%
Vietnam	Yên Khánh	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.4%
Vietnam	Yên Lạc	2000	82.1%	88.4%	74.7%
Vietnam	Yên Lạc	2017	92.6%	96.2%	87.0%
Vietnam	Yên Lập	2000	78.5%	95.0%	53.0%
Vietnam	Yên Lập	2017	90.2%	98.4%	72.5%
Vietnam	Yên Minh	2000	74.0%	90.1%	53.7%
Vietnam	Yên Minh	2017	88.2%	96.7%	74.4%
Vietnam	Yên Mô	2000	90.7%	99.0%	68.0%
Vietnam	Yên Mô	2017	96.6%	99.7%	85.3%
Vietnam	Yên Mỹ	2000	94.6%	99.3%	80.2%
Vietnam	Yên Mỹ	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.8%
Vietnam	Yên Phong	2000	91.6%	98.0%	77.1%
Vietnam	Yên Phong	2017	97.2%	99.4%	91.1%
Vietnam	Yên Sơn	2000	67.4%	77.0%	55.9%
Vietnam	Yên Sơn	2017	86.1%	91.5%	77.5%
Vietnam	Yên Thành	2000	65.4%	82.2%	48.1%
Vietnam	Yên Thành	2017	83.1%	94.3%	67.0%
Vietnam	Yên Thế	2000	85.8%	94.3%	72.2%
Vietnam	Yên Thế	2017	95.1%	98.1%	88.8%
Vietnam	Yên Thủy	2000	82.4%	90.9%	66.6%
Vietnam	Yên Thủy	2017	93.0%	97.1%	85.5%
Sub-Saharan Africa					
Angola	Alto Cauale	2000	57.2%	72.3%	40.5%
Angola	Alto Cauale	2017	32.6%	47.8%	19.1%
Angola	Alto Zambeze	2000	61.1%	67.1%	54.4%
Angola	Alto Zambeze	2017	38.3%	44.5%	33.6%
Angola	Ambaca	2000	57.2%	68.7%	46.0%
Angola	Ambaca	2017	33.7%	43.8%	24.1%
Angola	Amboim	2000	60.6%	74.9%	43.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Amboim	2017	29.9%	43.8%	17.3%
Angola	Ambriz	2000	72.8%	87.8%	54.3%
Angola	Ambriz	2017	51.3%	69.3%	34.4%
Angola	Ambuila	2000	69.3%	81.4%	54.4%
Angola	Ambuila	2017	47.0%	60.0%	32.6%
Angola	Andulo	2000	53.9%	63.1%	43.9%
Angola	Andulo	2017	30.4%	39.3%	21.2%
Angola	Baía Farta	2000	79.8%	87.7%	69.8%
Angola	Baía Farta	2017	60.6%	72.4%	49.9%
Angola	Bailundo	2000	58.3%	68.6%	47.4%
Angola	Bailundo	2017	33.7%	42.8%	24.6%
Angola	Balombo	2000	54.6%	69.7%	37.9%
Angola	Balombo	2017	30.4%	43.0%	17.2%
Angola	Banga	2000	67.1%	89.2%	41.8%
Angola	Banga	2017	43.7%	72.3%	18.9%
Angola	Belize	2000	39.9%	57.4%	21.7%
Angola	Belize	2017	18.1%	33.8%	7.1%
Angola	Bembe	2000	61.7%	76.2%	47.4%
Angola	Bembe	2017	37.6%	53.0%	25.0%
Angola	Benguela	2000	85.3%	92.3%	77.9%
Angola	Benguela	2017	75.0%	82.1%	67.1%
Angola	Bibala	2000	75.9%	84.3%	68.0%
Angola	Bibala	2017	54.7%	63.4%	44.4%
Angola	Bocoio	2000	66.6%	77.6%	55.5%
Angola	Bocoio	2017	42.5%	54.9%	31.0%
Angola	Bolongongo	2000	75.7%	89.2%	59.4%
Angola	Bolongongo	2017	52.8%	71.8%	36.6%
Angola	Buco Zau	2000	55.4%	79.6%	31.8%
Angola	Buco Zau	2017	36.7%	57.2%	20.9%
Angola	Buengas	2000	52.0%	67.5%	37.7%
Angola	Buengas	2017	28.9%	43.8%	16.0%
Angola	Bula Atumba	2000	48.0%	66.1%	26.7%
Angola	Bula Atumba	2017	26.5%	45.2%	9.8%
Angola	Bungo	2000	43.5%	63.7%	24.3%
Angola	Bungo	2017	22.9%	43.6%	9.0%
Angola	Caála	2000	63.3%	75.3%	49.3%
Angola	Caála	2017	36.2%	47.3%	25.4%
Angola	Cabinda	2000	67.1%	79.1%	53.0%
Angola	Cabinda	2017	59.5%	72.4%	48.3%
Angola	Cacolo	2000	48.5%	58.9%	39.0%
Angola	Cacolo	2017	27.6%	36.7%	20.4%
Angola	Caconda	2000	63.9%	76.6%	49.4%
Angola	Caconda	2017	36.0%	50.0%	21.8%
Angola	Cacuaco	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.3%
Angola	Cacuaco	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.1%
Angola	Cacuzo	2000	52.6%	62.3%	43.1%
Angola	Cacuzo	2017	33.9%	46.9%	22.3%
Angola	Cahama	2000	58.9%	70.8%	46.3%
Angola	Cahama	2017	35.9%	46.5%	26.5%
Angola	Caiambambo	2000	79.0%	89.3%	63.9%
Angola	Caiambambo	2017	57.8%	73.5%	41.4%
Angola	Calai	2000	56.7%	67.1%	45.2%
Angola	Calai	2017	31.4%	43.7%	21.8%
Angola	Calandula	2000	61.7%	71.3%	49.9%
Angola	Calandula	2017	35.0%	46.6%	24.9%
Angola	Caluquembe	2000	55.2%	66.0%	43.7%
Angola	Caluquembe	2017	31.4%	41.1%	21.9%
Angola	Camacuio	2000	55.0%	64.3%	45.4%
Angola	Camacuio	2017	32.7%	42.6%	23.7%
Angola	Camacupa	2000	56.0%	66.3%	46.6%
Angola	Camacupa	2017	32.2%	41.5%	24.3%
Angola	Camanongue	2000	52.2%	64.5%	39.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Camanongue	2017	27.5%	39.5%	18.0%
Angola	Cambambe	2000	71.3%	81.3%	60.9%
Angola	Cambambe	2017	54.8%	64.0%	46.1%
Angola	Cambulo	2000	63.7%	72.1%	54.6%
Angola	Cambulo	2017	39.4%	48.7%	30.1%
Angola	Cambundi-Catembo	2000	58.0%	70.4%	45.1%
Angola	Cambundi-Catembo	2017	34.2%	47.9%	23.6%
Angola	Cameia	2000	49.6%	60.1%	39.1%
Angola	Cameia	2017	23.7%	33.4%	14.8%
Angola	Cangandala	2000	58.0%	74.9%	40.0%
Angola	Cangandala	2017	33.1%	47.5%	22.1%
Angola	Caombo	2000	58.8%	72.4%	45.1%
Angola	Caombo	2017	36.1%	50.9%	23.9%
Angola	Capenda	2000	56.7%	68.4%	46.2%
Angola	Capenda	2017	33.9%	45.3%	25.5%
Angola	Cassongue	2000	63.2%	74.9%	48.5%
Angola	Cassongue	2017	38.2%	50.9%	23.9%
Angola	Catabola	2000	63.3%	75.1%	49.2%
Angola	Catabola	2017	36.0%	48.5%	23.4%
Angola	Catchiungo	2000	61.9%	74.3%	46.9%
Angola	Catchiungo	2017	36.2%	50.9%	23.2%
Angola	Caungula	2000	55.9%	66.6%	46.4%
Angola	Caungula	2017	32.8%	43.1%	24.8%
Angola	Cazenga	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Angola	Cazenga	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Angola	Cazengo	2000	89.6%	95.8%	81.8%
Angola	Cazengo	2017	83.9%	90.0%	77.2%
Angola	Chibia	2000	61.5%	73.1%	48.5%
Angola	Chibia	2017	35.3%	49.0%	23.2%
Angola	Chicomba	2000	69.9%	78.8%	61.1%
Angola	Chicomba	2017	46.0%	56.0%	35.9%
Angola	Chinguar	2000	51.1%	66.1%	37.8%
Angola	Chinguar	2017	27.8%	40.2%	16.9%
Angola	Chipindo	2000	68.2%	84.1%	49.3%
Angola	Chipindo	2017	42.4%	58.8%	25.3%
Angola	Chitato	2000	65.4%	72.2%	57.7%
Angola	Chitato	2017	44.8%	50.9%	38.7%
Angola	Chitembo	2000	63.7%	70.5%	57.5%
Angola	Chitembo	2017	39.4%	46.4%	33.3%
Angola	Chongoroi	2000	70.1%	80.1%	56.9%
Angola	Chongoroi	2017	47.1%	59.9%	31.8%
Angola	Conda	2000	68.4%	83.1%	50.8%
Angola	Conda	2017	41.6%	60.0%	25.4%
Angola	Cuaba Nzogo	2000	63.3%	78.7%	45.0%
Angola	Cuaba Nzogo	2017	37.8%	55.6%	22.3%
Angola	Cuangar	2000	64.4%	73.6%	53.6%
Angola	Cuangar	2017	41.3%	54.0%	29.3%
Angola	Cuango	2000	62.3%	75.5%	49.5%
Angola	Cuango	2017	38.1%	50.1%	28.7%
Angola	Cuanhama	2000	64.4%	72.5%	55.3%
Angola	Cuanhama	2017	49.2%	58.2%	40.6%
Angola	Cubal	2000	64.4%	76.2%	52.9%
Angola	Cubal	2017	39.9%	52.5%	27.3%
Angola	Cuchi	2000	58.1%	66.4%	48.1%
Angola	Cuchi	2017	34.0%	42.6%	24.5%
Angola	Cuemba	2000	52.4%	62.9%	42.7%
Angola	Cuemba	2017	32.1%	42.0%	23.3%
Angola	Cuilo	2000	58.9%	68.0%	48.3%
Angola	Cuilo	2017	35.4%	45.1%	26.9%
Angola	Cuimba	2000	72.8%	84.1%	61.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Cuimba	2017	49.7%	62.2%	38.0%
Angola	Cuito Cuanavale	2000	67.2%	73.6%	60.8%
Angola	Cuito Cuanavale	2017	43.6%	50.5%	36.0%
Angola	Cunda-dia-Baza	2000	63.4%	78.0%	47.7%
Angola	Cunda-dia-Baza	2017	37.8%	52.7%	23.2%
Angola	Cunhinga	2000	43.2%	59.4%	28.2%
Angola	Cunhinga	2017	23.8%	40.4%	12.0%
Angola	Curoca	2000	65.9%	78.3%	51.8%
Angola	Curoca	2017	40.2%	52.1%	29.7%
Angola	Cuvelai	2000	60.3%	69.3%	52.6%
Angola	Cuvelai	2017	38.6%	48.4%	30.8%
Angola	Dala	2000	51.8%	60.9%	43.0%
Angola	Dala	2017	30.2%	38.4%	21.8%
Angola	Damba	2000	60.1%	71.4%	49.4%
Angola	Damba	2017	35.1%	45.9%	24.8%
Angola	Dande	2000	68.2%	74.8%	60.8%
Angola	Dande	2017	49.5%	56.3%	42.1%
Angola	Dembos	2000	63.2%	78.9%	41.8%
Angola	Dembos	2017	33.5%	52.1%	16.4%
Angola	Dirico	2000	62.1%	72.8%	52.6%
Angola	Dirico	2017	42.4%	54.2%	31.6%
Angola	Ebo	2000	68.1%	81.7%	53.3%
Angola	Ebo	2017	41.5%	56.7%	28.0%
Angola	Ekunha	2000	60.4%	79.0%	41.5%
Angola	Ekunha	2017	33.7%	57.1%	16.9%
Angola	Gambos	2000	63.6%	77.1%	49.1%
Angola	Gambos	2017	42.1%	54.2%	30.0%
Angola	Ganda	2000	63.7%	74.7%	51.3%
Angola	Ganda	2017	36.7%	48.1%	25.7%
Angola	Golungo Alto	2000	77.8%	88.4%	63.0%
Angola	Golungo Alto	2017	57.3%	71.4%	41.8%
Angola	Huambo	2000	66.1%	75.9%	57.1%
Angola	Huambo	2017	42.0%	49.2%	36.1%
Angola	Humpata	2000	61.7%	83.3%	43.9%
Angola	Humpata	2017	49.5%	68.9%	38.1%
Angola	Icolo e Bengo	2000	70.8%	82.5%	57.0%
Angola	Icolo e Bengo	2017	43.8%	61.0%	29.4%
Angola	Ingombota	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.5%
Angola	Ingombota	2017	96.0%	98.2%	92.2%
Angola	Jamba	2000	62.3%	73.6%	49.9%
Angola	Jamba	2017	39.6%	51.2%	29.3%
Angola	Kilamba	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Angola	Kiayi				
Angola	Kilamba	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Angola	Kuito	2000	64.5%	75.3%	53.7%
Angola	Kuito	2017	40.4%	50.1%	31.2%
Angola	Kuvango	2000	63.4%	73.9%	51.6%
Angola	Kuvango	2017	39.9%	50.3%	28.7%
Angola	Landana	2000	49.6%	74.2%	28.0%
Angola	Landana	2017	30.5%	60.6%	11.5%
Angola	Léua	2000	47.2%	60.3%	35.2%
Angola	Léua	2017	21.9%	35.1%	12.2%
Angola	Libolo	2000	65.6%	77.7%	54.0%
Angola	Libolo	2017	39.3%	51.7%	28.3%
Angola	Lobito	2000	86.3%	91.8%	78.6%
Angola	Lobito	2017	79.2%	85.6%	71.0%
Angola	Londumbale	2000	62.4%	76.9%	47.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Londumbale	2017	38.7%	53.7%	24.3%
Angola	Longonjo	2000	62.1%	79.5%	44.3%
Angola	Longonjo	2017	34.5%	55.3%	19.9%
Angola	Luau	2000	57.3%	68.9%	43.7%
Angola	Luau	2017	35.8%	45.9%	26.1%
Angola	Lubalo	2000	54.6%	64.2%	45.5%
Angola	Lubalo	2017	33.1%	42.0%	24.7%
Angola	Lubango	2000	57.5%	69.2%	45.5%
Angola	Lubango	2017	37.9%	45.8%	31.7%
Angola	Lucala	2000	63.6%	77.2%	45.2%
Angola	Lucala	2017	40.8%	55.2%	26.0%
Angola	Lucano	2000	56.7%	65.5%	44.9%
Angola	Lucano	2017	30.4%	38.0%	23.0%
Angola	Lucapa	2000	64.6%	72.4%	57.2%
Angola	Lucapa	2017	41.4%	48.3%	34.1%
Angola	Luchazes	2000	52.2%	57.4%	46.9%
Angola	Luchazes	2017	32.1%	37.2%	28.0%
Angola	Lumbala-Nguimbo	2000	63.7%	69.3%	57.6%
Angola	Lumbala-Nguimbo	2017	41.7%	47.8%	35.2%
Angola	Luquembo	2000	58.4%	67.3%	48.6%
Angola	Luquembo	2017	34.7%	43.4%	25.8%
Angola	M'Banza Congo	2000	67.4%	76.0%	57.9%
Angola	M'Banza Congo	2017	42.3%	50.5%	34.5%
Angola	Maianga	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Angola	Maianga	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.1%
Angola	Malanje	2000	69.4%	77.3%	61.0%
Angola	Malanje	2017	42.1%	48.7%	35.0%
Angola	Maquela do Zombo	2000	60.0%	68.8%	51.3%
Angola	Maquela do Zombo	2017	32.4%	41.1%	24.2%
Angola	Marimba	2000	60.5%	72.1%	48.5%
Angola	Marimba	2017	35.6%	46.6%	25.3%
Angola	Massango	2000	52.5%	64.5%	40.9%
Angola	Massango	2017	28.8%	41.2%	18.8%
Angola	Matala	2000	74.4%	81.2%	67.0%
Angola	Matala	2017	47.5%	55.9%	39.0%
Angola	Mavinga	2000	57.8%	64.8%	51.3%
Angola	Mavinga	2017	37.4%	44.7%	30.7%
Angola	Menongue	2000	58.6%	64.8%	52.4%
Angola	Menongue	2017	35.2%	40.7%	30.3%
Angola	Milunga	2000	47.4%	60.9%	34.8%
Angola	Milunga	2017	25.2%	36.8%	16.0%
Angola	Moxico	2000	54.1%	60.0%	47.4%
Angola	Moxico	2017	33.7%	39.0%	28.5%
Angola	Mucaba	2000	54.7%	76.3%	32.8%
Angola	Mucaba	2017	31.1%	51.3%	14.6%
Angola	Mucari	2000	50.4%	66.8%	31.7%
Angola	Mucari	2017	27.2%	42.2%	11.9%
Angola	Muconda	2000	54.2%	61.8%	47.8%
Angola	Muconda	2017	34.2%	41.6%	27.8%
Angola	Mungo	2000	57.3%	73.1%	42.2%
Angola	Mungo	2017	34.6%	48.8%	21.5%
Angola	Mussende	2000	51.4%	62.8%	41.9%
Angola	Mussende	2017	31.1%	41.3%	23.2%
Angola	Muxima	2000	77.2%	89.1%	65.9%
Angola	Muxima	2017	49.6%	65.0%	37.8%
Angola	N'Zeto	2000	69.8%	81.4%	56.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	N'Zeto	2017	44.2%	56.2%	30.4%
Angola	Namakunde	2000	61.7%	72.9%	50.8%
Angola	Namakunde	2017	41.3%	53.2%	31.3%
Angola	Nambuanguongo	2000	63.9%	72.1%	53.3%
Angola	Nambuanguongo	2017	38.3%	49.1%	26.0%
Angola	Namibe	2000	71.9%	79.3%	66.1%
Angola	Namibe	2017	68.4%	72.9%	64.0%
Angola	Nancova	2000	57.0%	67.6%	45.7%
Angola	Nancova	2017	34.3%	45.6%	23.0%
Angola	Negage	2000	61.7%	75.5%	46.6%
Angola	Negage	2017	39.0%	52.3%	27.4%
Angola	Ngonguembo	2000	65.0%	84.1%	42.5%
Angola	Ngonguembo	2017	37.6%	58.8%	18.3%
Angola	Nharea	2000	51.8%	62.9%	38.9%
Angola	Nharea	2017	30.5%	41.2%	19.7%
Angola	Noqui	2000	54.8%	67.4%	39.7%
Angola	Noqui	2017	32.6%	45.0%	21.0%
Angola	Ombadja	2000	56.8%	65.0%	48.6%
Angola	Ombadja	2017	34.4%	42.4%	26.7%
Angola	Pango	2000	59.9%	73.9%	43.2%
Angola	Aluquém				
Angola	Pango	2017	35.9%	52.2%	19.9%
Angola	Porto Am-boim	2000	72.3%	85.3%	58.0%
Angola	Porto Am-boim	2017	52.0%	65.1%	39.0%
Angola	Puri	2000	57.7%	75.4%	40.6%
Angola	Puri	2017	32.5%	51.6%	17.9%
Angola	Quela	2000	67.8%	80.4%	51.1%
Angola	Quela	2017	43.1%	56.5%	29.7%
Angola	Quibala	2000	56.2%	67.9%	44.8%
Angola	Quibala	2017	33.4%	46.5%	23.0%
Angola	Quiculungo	2000	84.4%	97.7%	65.7%
Angola	Quiculungo	2017	72.6%	89.7%	56.2%
Angola	Quilenda	2000	75.1%	87.9%	56.4%
Angola	Quilenda	2017	53.2%	70.0%	35.3%
Angola	Quilengues	2000	69.9%	83.1%	56.2%
Angola	Quilengues	2017	45.3%	63.4%	31.2%
Angola	Quimbele	2000	42.2%	52.9%	31.9%
Angola	Quimbele	2017	20.8%	29.9%	12.6%
Angola	Quirima	2000	52.4%	63.8%	39.9%
Angola	Quirima	2017	32.5%	45.1%	21.1%
Angola	Quitexe	2000	68.7%	83.6%	52.2%
Angola	Quitexe	2017	46.0%	62.1%	29.1%
Angola	Rangel	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Angola	Rangel	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Angola	Rivungo	2000	63.1%	69.4%	56.1%
Angola	Rivungo	2017	39.7%	45.6%	33.3%
Angola	Samba	2000	96.5%	99.5%	92.1%
Angola	Samba	2017	94.0%	98.0%	89.7%
Angola	Samba Cajú	2000	64.8%	79.3%	48.2%
Angola	Samba Cajú	2017	45.0%	60.8%	28.9%
Angola	Sambizanga	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Angola	Sambizanga	2017	98.8%	99.4%	97.4%
Angola	Sanza Pombo	2000	53.1%	70.4%	37.0%
Angola	Sanza Pombo	2017	31.0%	50.5%	17.6%
Angola	Saurimo	2000	60.8%	67.0%	53.7%
Angola	Saurimo	2017	44.9%	50.2%	39.3%
Angola	Seles	2000	71.8%	81.5%	60.1%
Angola	Seles	2017	45.9%	57.5%	32.8%
Angola	Songo	2000	57.5%	71.0%	42.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Songo	2017	34.4%	48.0%	23.2%
Angola	Soyo	2000	60.7%	71.3%	49.7%
Angola	Soyo	2017	40.9%	48.4%	33.9%
Angola	Sumbe	2000	69.1%	81.9%	55.0%
Angola	Sumbe	2017	47.9%	58.7%	36.9%
Angola	Tchicala-Tcholoanga	2000	59.6%	72.9%	45.0%
Angola	Tchicala-Tcholoanga	2017	37.0%	51.4%	23.5%
Angola	Tchindjenje	2000	56.4%	84.5%	25.6%
Angola	Tchindjenje	2017	33.3%	70.0%	8.8%
Angola	Tchipungo	2000	66.1%	76.7%	55.0%
Angola	Tchipungo	2017	40.8%	53.2%	29.4%
Angola	Tomboco	2000	62.7%	73.6%	51.0%
Angola	Tomboco	2017	36.6%	47.8%	26.4%
Angola	Tombwa	2000	52.3%	62.1%	41.6%
Angola	Tombwa	2017	39.4%	47.9%	32.0%
Angola	Uíge	2000	59.1%	74.3%	46.7%
Angola	Uíge	2017	32.6%	39.6%	27.0%
Angola	Ukuma	2000	60.1%	77.6%	43.2%
Angola	Ukuma	2017	32.3%	49.2%	17.1%
Angola	Viana	2000	92.6%	97.3%	87.5%
Angola	Viana	2017	92.3%	96.0%	88.0%
Angola	Virei	2000	63.0%	72.8%	52.6%
Angola	Virei	2017	41.1%	49.9%	32.9%
Angola	Waku Kungo	2000	63.7%	73.7%	52.7%
Angola	Waku Kungo	2017	37.6%	49.2%	28.7%
Angola	Xá Muteba	2000	63.4%	72.7%	54.2%
Angola	Xá Muteba	2017	38.4%	46.7%	30.8%
Benin	Abomey	2000	65.9%	68.7%	63.6%
Benin	Abomey	2017	87.7%	89.7%	85.8%
Benin	Abomey-Calavi	2000	67.7%	68.7%	66.8%
Benin	Abomey-Calavi	2017	71.2%	72.4%	70.0%
Benin	Adja-Ouèrè	2000	49.3%	55.0%	44.6%
Benin	Adja-Ouèrè	2017	73.9%	79.0%	69.1%
Benin	Adjarra	2000	51.0%	52.4%	49.4%
Benin	Adjarra	2017	58.7%	61.5%	56.3%
Benin	Adjohoun	2000	44.9%	47.9%	40.8%
Benin	Adjohoun	2017	68.7%	71.5%	65.6%
Benin	Agbangnizoun	2000	30.7%	33.2%	28.1%
Benin	Agbangnizoun	2017	59.5%	61.8%	57.7%
Benin	Aguégués	2000	88.1%	89.1%	87.2%
Benin	Aguégués	2017	88.0%	89.8%	86.8%
Benin	Akpro-Missérété	2000	56.8%	58.1%	55.5%
Benin	Akpro-Missérété	2017	75.1%	76.2%	74.0%
Benin	Allada	2000	72.1%	76.3%	67.9%
Benin	Allada	2017	84.1%	86.6%	80.3%
Benin	Aplahoué	2000	38.4%	44.5%	32.0%
Benin	Aplahoué	2017	61.7%	66.6%	55.6%
Benin	Athiémé	2000	65.3%	68.1%	62.1%
Benin	Athiémé	2017	80.2%	82.6%	77.2%
Benin	Avrankou	2000	33.6%	35.3%	31.9%
Benin	Avrankou	2017	72.6%	74.0%	71.2%
Benin	Banikoara	2000	41.7%	48.5%	35.9%
Benin	Banikoara	2017	61.9%	67.6%	55.7%
Benin	Bantè	2000	60.7%	70.0%	50.7%
Benin	Bantè	2017	76.1%	84.5%	68.0%
Benin	Bassila	2000	47.3%	55.6%	39.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Benin	Bassila	2017	64.1%	70.8%	57.0%
Benin	Bembéréké	2000	45.8%	56.2%	36.9%
Benin	Bembéréké	2017	63.4%	72.7%	54.4%
Benin	Bohicon	2000	55.9%	58.4%	53.9%
Benin	Bohicon	2017	79.1%	82.3%	76.1%
Benin	Bonou	2000	45.4%	55.6%	36.1%
Benin	Bonou	2017	57.4%	67.0%	48.5%
Benin	Bopa	2000	54.9%	59.0%	50.7%
Benin	Bopa	2017	77.7%	81.6%	74.9%
Benin	Boukoumbé	2000	39.8%	52.8%	26.6%
Benin	Boukoumbé	2017	60.0%	70.6%	47.0%
Benin	Cobly	2000	53.8%	66.8%	42.3%
Benin	Cobly	2017	70.9%	81.5%	60.7%
Benin	Comè	2000	66.9%	69.8%	64.2%
Benin	Comè	2017	69.9%	72.8%	67.1%
Benin	Copargo	2000	29.2%	39.9%	18.2%
Benin	Copargo	2017	45.3%	53.2%	36.4%
Benin	Cotonou	2000	96.8%	97.3%	96.1%
Benin	Cotonou	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Benin	Covè	2000	55.3%	63.5%	47.0%
Benin	Covè	2017	71.9%	78.1%	64.2%
Benin	Dangbo	2000	54.5%	57.1%	52.3%
Benin	Dangbo	2017	61.9%	65.4%	59.0%
Benin	Dassa-Zoumè	2000	74.3%	81.4%	66.7%
Benin	Dassa-Zoumè	2017	87.8%	92.8%	81.3%
Benin	Djakotomey	2000	49.1%	51.5%	46.4%
Benin	Djakotomey	2017	71.7%	73.6%	69.7%
Benin	Djidja	2000	50.6%	59.5%	41.0%
Benin	Djidja	2017	70.5%	76.7%	63.2%
Benin	Djougou	2000	39.0%	45.8%	32.9%
Benin	Djougou	2017	52.9%	60.1%	46.5%
Benin	Dogbo	2000	63.9%	66.5%	60.2%
Benin	Dogbo	2017	83.2%	86.2%	81.0%
Benin	Glazoué	2000	65.8%	73.3%	58.5%
Benin	Glazoué	2017	83.5%	89.1%	78.1%
Benin	Gogounou	2000	39.4%	48.4%	31.4%
Benin	Gogounou	2017	59.5%	68.0%	51.0%
Benin	Grand-Popo	2000	59.2%	64.0%	54.2%
Benin	Grand-Popo	2017	59.4%	64.9%	54.9%
Benin	Houéyogbé	2000	43.4%	45.5%	41.4%
Benin	Houéyogbé	2017	64.8%	66.6%	63.3%
Benin	Ifangni	2000	49.9%	55.2%	44.4%
Benin	Ifangni	2017	85.5%	88.8%	80.4%
Benin	Kalalé	2000	41.6%	51.1%	33.5%
Benin	Kalalé	2017	60.4%	68.8%	50.2%
Benin	Kandi	2000	38.6%	49.7%	27.4%
Benin	Kandi	2017	61.5%	70.4%	51.2%
Benin	Karimama	2000	38.0%	45.0%	29.7%
Benin	Karimama	2017	59.3%	66.9%	50.8%
Benin	Kérou	2000	41.4%	53.1%	31.8%
Benin	Kérou	2017	60.4%	69.2%	51.3%
Benin	Kétou	2000	57.8%	65.1%	50.1%
Benin	Kétou	2017	66.3%	73.0%	59.3%
Benin	Klouékanmè	2000	25.7%	27.3%	24.3%
Benin	Klouékanmè	2017	46.4%	48.8%	44.6%
Benin	Kouandé	2000	33.8%	42.2%	25.5%
Benin	Kouandé	2017	55.4%	64.5%	47.4%
Benin	Kpomassè	2000	40.4%	45.3%	35.8%
Benin	Kpomassè	2017	64.2%	69.8%	57.3%
Benin	Lalo	2000	70.9%	73.7%	67.5%
Benin	Lalo	2017	80.7%	83.1%	77.9%
Benin	Malanville	2000	34.5%	43.0%	27.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Benin	Malanville	2017	57.7%	65.3%	49.7%
Benin	Matéri	2000	48.7%	58.6%	38.9%
Benin	Matéri	2017	69.7%	79.1%	60.1%
Benin	N'Dali	2000	43.9%	52.9%	34.3%
Benin	N'Dali	2017	61.1%	69.9%	53.4%
Benin	Natitingou	2000	35.7%	43.7%	28.3%
Benin	Natitingou	2017	57.1%	65.3%	48.2%
Benin	Nikki	2000	46.9%	55.7%	37.0%
Benin	Nikki	2017	64.0%	72.8%	55.1%
Benin	Ouaké	2000	41.6%	55.0%	28.8%
Benin	Ouaké	2017	62.0%	74.8%	45.7%
Benin	Ouèssè	2000	55.7%	64.6%	47.8%
Benin	Ouèssè	2017	66.7%	74.9%	58.1%
Benin	Ouidah	2000	46.8%	60.7%	35.2%
Benin	Ouidah	2017	56.2%	64.6%	49.4%
Benin	Ouinhi	2000	68.3%	74.2%	61.5%
Benin	Ouinhi	2017	69.6%	75.5%	62.5%
Benin	Parakou	2000	51.9%	58.4%	45.6%
Benin	Parakou	2017	67.8%	74.7%	60.8%
Benin	Péhunco	2000	41.4%	54.8%	30.3%
Benin	Péhunco	2017	61.1%	73.8%	50.7%
Benin	Pèrèrè	2000	45.4%	57.4%	34.5%
Benin	Pèrèrè	2017	63.9%	73.9%	52.4%
Benin	Pobè	2000	52.0%	63.4%	39.9%
Benin	Pobè	2017	70.9%	80.7%	58.5%
Benin	Porto-Novo	2000	71.4%	73.0%	69.9%
Benin	Porto-Novo	2017	73.1%	75.3%	70.9%
Benin	Sakété	2000	61.3%	64.2%	58.0%
Benin	Sakété	2017	81.7%	83.9%	78.7%
Benin	Savalou	2000	43.4%	51.4%	34.9%
Benin	Savalou	2017	64.6%	72.1%	56.8%
Benin	Savè	2000	65.9%	76.0%	55.1%
Benin	Savè	2017	80.1%	87.6%	71.6%
Benin	Segbana	2000	37.7%	46.1%	29.0%
Benin	Segbana	2017	56.0%	64.4%	46.2%
Benin	Sèmè-Kpodji	2000	72.2%	74.6%	70.1%
Benin	Sèmè-Kpodji	2017	74.6%	78.2%	70.9%
Benin	Sinendé	2000	38.3%	47.8%	29.4%
Benin	Sinendé	2017	54.3%	63.6%	44.0%
Benin	Sô-Ava	2000	88.7%	89.9%	87.3%
Benin	Sô-Ava	2017	90.2%	90.9%	89.5%
Benin	Tanguiéta	2000	42.4%	51.2%	35.7%
Benin	Tanguiéta	2017	62.3%	70.4%	54.6%
Benin	Tchaourou	2000	46.4%	52.8%	39.7%
Benin	Tchaourou	2017	63.7%	70.4%	57.0%
Benin	Toffo	2000	61.4%	67.5%	55.4%
Benin	Toffo	2017	73.0%	78.6%	67.2%
Benin	Tori-Bossito	2000	28.6%	30.5%	26.8%
Benin	Tori-Bossito	2017	57.3%	59.5%	55.3%
Benin	Toucountouna	2000	37.8%	57.0%	20.6%
Benin	Toucountouna	2017	62.0%	79.3%	43.4%
Benin	Toviklin	2000	41.5%	42.8%	40.3%
Benin	Toviklin	2017	67.3%	69.1%	65.7%
Benin	Za-Kpota	2000	37.6%	44.9%	31.9%
Benin	Za-Kpota	2017	49.7%	55.6%	44.2%
Benin	Zagnanado	2000	47.7%	58.2%	35.6%
Benin	Zagnanado	2017	57.7%	65.3%	45.5%
Benin	Zè	2000	46.6%	51.7%	42.0%
Benin	Zè	2017	61.7%	65.6%	58.2%
Benin	Zogbodomey	2000	68.1%	76.1%	60.9%
Benin	Zogbodomey	2017	83.4%	90.6%	75.9%
Botswana	Barolong	2000	96.8%	97.9%	95.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Botswana	Barolong	2017	98.0%	98.8%	96.8%
Botswana	Bobonong	2000	94.2%	94.9%	93.4%
Botswana	Bobonong	2017	96.2%	96.7%	95.6%
Botswana	Chobe	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
Botswana	Chobe	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Botswana	Francistown	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Botswana	Francistown	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Botswana	Gaborone	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Botswana	Gaborone	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Botswana	Gemsbok	2000	98.3%	99.2%	97.0%
Botswana	Gemsbok	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.7%
Botswana	Ghanzi	2000	99.1%	99.2%	98.8%
Botswana	Ghanzi	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Botswana	Hukunsti	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Botswana	Hukunsti	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Botswana	Jwaneng	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Botswana	Jwaneng	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Botswana	Kgatleng	2000	97.9%	98.2%	97.4%
Botswana	Kgatleng	2017	99.0%	99.1%	98.7%
Botswana	Kweneng	2000	96.8%	97.2%	96.2%
Botswana	Kweneng North	2017	98.1%	98.4%	97.7%
Botswana	Kweneng South	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Botswana	Kweneng South	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Botswana	Lethlakane	2000	97.0%	97.4%	96.5%
Botswana	Lethlakane	2017	98.4%	98.6%	98.1%
Botswana	Lobatse	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Botswana	Lobatse	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Botswana	Machaneng	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.5%
Botswana	Machaneng	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
Botswana	Mahalapye	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.7%
Botswana	Mahalapye	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Botswana	Masungu	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Botswana	Masungu	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Botswana	Ngamiland East	2000	94.2%	94.8%	93.4%
Botswana	Ngamiland East	2017	95.4%	95.9%	94.8%
Botswana	Ngamiland West	2000	93.1%	93.7%	92.5%
Botswana	Ngamiland West	2017	93.8%	94.4%	93.2%
Botswana	Ngwaketse Central	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.5%
Botswana	Ngwaketse Central	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Botswana	Ngwaketse North	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%
Botswana	Ngwaketse North	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Botswana	Ngwaketse South	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.3%
Botswana	Ngwaketse South	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Botswana	Palapye	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.7%
Botswana	Palapye	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Botswana	Selibe Phikwe	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Botswana	Selibe Phikwe	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Botswana	Serowe	2000	97.2%	97.5%	96.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Botswana	Serowe	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.3%
Botswana	South East	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Botswana	South East	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Botswana	Sowa	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.1%
Botswana	Sowa	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.3%
Botswana	Tshabong	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Botswana	Tshabong	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Botswana	Tuli	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Botswana	Tuli	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Botswana	Tutume	2000	95.6%	96.0%	95.2%
Botswana	Tutume	2017	97.2%	97.5%	96.9%
Burkina Faso	Balé	2000	67.4%	74.0%	59.9%
Burkina Faso	Balé	2017	66.6%	73.6%	59.5%
Burkina Faso	Bam	2000	70.6%	78.7%	62.3%
Burkina Faso	Bam	2017	69.9%	77.9%	61.5%
Burkina Faso	Banwa	2000	65.9%	73.3%	59.4%
Burkina Faso	Banwa	2017	65.7%	73.0%	58.8%
Burkina Faso	Bazèga	2000	73.7%	80.0%	66.1%
Burkina Faso	Bazèga	2017	73.1%	79.3%	66.1%
Burkina Faso	Bougouriba	2000	66.0%	73.9%	59.3%
Burkina Faso	Bougouriba	2017	65.8%	73.7%	56.7%
Burkina Faso	Boulgou	2000	73.5%	79.4%	67.6%
Burkina Faso	Boulgou	2017	73.4%	79.2%	67.7%
Burkina Faso	Boulkiemdé	2000	69.6%	75.8%	63.6%
Burkina Faso	Boulkiemdé	2017	68.1%	74.3%	62.1%
Burkina Faso	Comoé	2000	68.0%	73.7%	62.6%
Burkina Faso	Comoé	2017	67.2%	71.8%	62.9%
Burkina Faso	Ganzourgou	2000	75.7%	81.6%	67.7%
Burkina Faso	Ganzourgou	2017	75.9%	82.2%	68.2%
Burkina Faso	Gnagna	2000	72.6%	78.8%	66.3%
Burkina Faso	Gnagna	2017	72.1%	79.1%	65.1%
Burkina Faso	Gourma	2000	69.8%	76.0%	63.4%
Burkina Faso	Gourma	2017	70.3%	76.2%	64.5%
Burkina Faso	Houet	2000	73.4%	79.3%	66.5%
Burkina Faso	Houet	2017	73.4%	78.5%	67.7%
Burkina Faso	Ioba	2000	71.5%	77.8%	63.7%
Burkina Faso	Ioba	2017	70.6%	76.7%	63.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Kadiogo	2000	91.7%	93.7%	88.7%
Burkina Faso	Kadiogo	2017	91.6%	93.5%	88.8%
Burkina Faso	Kéné Dougou	2000	67.2%	73.3%	60.0%
Burkina Faso	Kéné Dougou	2017	66.4%	72.8%	59.4%
Burkina Faso	Komandjoari	2000	74.4%	81.3%	67.1%
Burkina Faso	Komandjoari	2017	74.6%	81.6%	67.0%
Burkina Faso	Kompienga	2000	71.5%	79.0%	62.5%
Burkina Faso	Kompienga	2017	69.8%	77.6%	61.2%
Burkina Faso	Kossi	2000	66.2%	72.3%	59.3%
Burkina Faso	Kossi	2017	66.3%	72.5%	59.1%
Burkina Faso	Koupléogo	2000	62.4%	70.8%	54.2%
Burkina Faso	Koupléogo	2017	62.3%	69.6%	54.6%
Burkina Faso	Kouritenga	2000	75.4%	82.4%	67.6%
Burkina Faso	Kouritenga	2017	75.4%	82.4%	68.0%
Burkina Faso	Kourwéogo	2000	74.8%	82.8%	65.8%
Burkina Faso	Kourwéogo	2017	76.0%	84.0%	66.9%
Burkina Faso	Léraba	2000	70.5%	78.6%	62.6%
Burkina Faso	Léraba	2017	70.3%	79.5%	61.5%
Burkina Faso	Loroum	2000	69.2%	76.8%	59.9%
Burkina Faso	Loroum	2017	68.5%	76.2%	60.0%
Burkina Faso	Mouhoun	2000	66.8%	73.0%	59.8%
Burkina Faso	Mouhoun	2017	66.2%	72.2%	59.0%
Burkina Faso	Nahouri	2000	65.3%	75.8%	53.8%
Burkina Faso	Nahouri	2017	68.2%	77.8%	58.1%
Burkina Faso	Namentenga	2000	71.2%	77.6%	63.6%
Burkina Faso	Namentenga	2017	71.4%	77.9%	63.6%
Burkina Faso	Nayala	2000	65.9%	75.3%	57.5%
Burkina Faso	Nayala	2017	65.6%	75.2%	56.8%
Burkina Faso	Noumbiel	2000	63.9%	73.5%	54.3%
Burkina Faso	Noumbiel	2017	63.6%	72.9%	53.4%
Burkina Faso	Oubritenga	2000	78.1%	85.0%	70.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Oubritenga	2017	78.3%	85.1%	70.6%
Burkina Faso	Oudalan	2000	69.4%	76.2%	63.1%
Burkina Faso	Oudalan	2017	69.3%	76.0%	62.0%
Burkina Faso	Passoré	2000	70.0%	76.8%	61.5%
Burkina Faso	Passoré	2017	69.7%	76.9%	61.0%
Burkina Faso	Poni	2000	67.8%	74.5%	61.5%
Burkina Faso	Poni	2017	66.4%	72.8%	60.6%
Burkina Faso	Sanguié	2000	72.0%	78.2%	66.1%
Burkina Faso	Sanguié	2017	71.1%	77.8%	65.7%
Burkina Faso	Sanmatenga	2000	71.8%	77.0%	66.8%
Burkina Faso	Sanmatenga	2017	72.7%	77.9%	67.4%
Burkina Faso	Séno	2000	64.7%	70.4%	58.5%
Burkina Faso	Séno	2017	64.2%	69.9%	57.7%
Burkina Faso	Sissili	2000	71.7%	78.1%	64.6%
Burkina Faso	Sissili	2017	71.3%	78.3%	64.0%
Burkina Faso	Soum	2000	64.8%	70.9%	58.9%
Burkina Faso	Soum	2017	64.4%	70.7%	58.7%
Burkina Faso	Sourou	2000	67.4%	75.0%	60.4%
Burkina Faso	Sourou	2017	66.0%	73.2%	59.3%
Burkina Faso	Tapoa	2000	70.4%	75.9%	64.0%
Burkina Faso	Tapoa	2017	70.2%	75.5%	64.3%
Burkina Faso	Tuy	2000	68.8%	75.8%	62.2%
Burkina Faso	Tuy	2017	68.0%	75.0%	61.4%
Burkina Faso	Yagha	2000	66.1%	72.1%	59.0%
Burkina Faso	Yagha	2017	66.5%	72.3%	59.3%
Burkina Faso	Yatenga	2000	64.6%	71.0%	57.9%
Burkina Faso	Yatenga	2017	65.1%	71.5%	58.6%
Burkina Faso	Ziro	2000	69.4%	77.7%	59.6%
Burkina Faso	Ziro	2017	69.3%	76.6%	60.0%
Burkina Faso	Zonдома	2000	65.1%	75.9%	52.7%
Burkina Faso	Zonдома	2017	63.1%	74.9%	51.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Zoundwéogo	2000	75.3%	81.8%	68.6%
Burkina Faso	Zoundwéogo	2017	75.0%	81.8%	67.9%
Burundi	Bisoro	2000	89.7%	92.7%	83.0%
Burundi	Bisoro	2017	93.3%	95.4%	88.3%
Burundi	Bubanza	2000	72.3%	73.7%	71.0%
Burundi	Bubanza	2017	81.9%	82.7%	81.1%
Burundi	Bugabira	2000	59.5%	70.1%	51.2%
Burundi	Bugabira	2017	64.3%	73.9%	54.9%
Burundi	Buganda	2000	59.2%	68.4%	44.5%
Burundi	Buganda	2017	67.7%	72.8%	52.0%
Burundi	Bugarama	2000	56.9%	76.3%	43.5%
Burundi	Bugarama	2017	72.2%	86.5%	61.7%
Burundi	Bugendana	2000	61.8%	70.2%	52.4%
Burundi	Bugendana	2017	65.7%	73.4%	56.6%
Burundi	Bugenyuzi	2000	71.1%	74.3%	68.8%
Burundi	Bugenyuzi	2017	71.8%	75.6%	69.1%
Burundi	Buhiga	2000	91.5%	92.6%	90.3%
Burundi	Buhiga	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.7%
Burundi	Buhinyuza	2000	84.2%	96.0%	66.0%
Burundi	Buhinyuza	2017	86.6%	96.9%	68.3%
Burundi	Bukemba	2000	49.5%	63.6%	35.1%
Burundi	Bukemba	2017	70.9%	78.7%	55.5%
Burundi	Bukeye	2000	83.8%	87.1%	80.5%
Burundi	Bukeye	2017	87.9%	90.5%	85.3%
Burundi	Bukinanyana	2000	80.5%	82.6%	73.8%
Burundi	Bukinanyana	2017	86.5%	88.7%	79.0%
Burundi	Bukirasazi	2000	65.0%	91.9%	49.4%
Burundi	Bukirasazi	2017	67.0%	93.5%	50.0%
Burundi	Burambi	2000	45.6%	59.8%	36.1%
Burundi	Burambi	2017	62.5%	70.3%	54.3%
Burundi	Buraza	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.7%
Burundi	Buraza	2017	95.2%	96.0%	93.9%
Burundi	Bururi	2000	50.8%	55.2%	47.7%
Burundi	Bururi	2017	63.6%	67.6%	60.3%
Burundi	Busiga	2000	97.3%	98.5%	96.0%
Burundi	Busiga	2017	97.1%	98.5%	95.2%
Burundi	Busoni	2000	69.1%	81.6%	54.0%
Burundi	Busoni	2017	77.2%	86.8%	61.1%
Burundi	Butaganzwa1	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Burundi	Butaganzwa1	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Burundi	Butaganzwa2	2000	86.9%	89.6%	78.4%
Burundi	Butaganzwa2	2017	88.4%	90.8%	82.8%
Burundi	Buterere	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Burundi	Buterere	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Burundi	Butezi	2000	73.0%	88.1%	54.7%
Burundi	Butezi	2017	78.2%	92.3%	59.2%
Burundi	Butihinda	2000	88.7%	89.7%	87.4%
Burundi	Butihinda	2017	91.7%	92.5%	90.8%
Burundi	Buyengero	2000	50.4%	60.9%	41.8%
Burundi	Buyengero	2017	62.1%	71.0%	52.5%
Burundi	Buyenze	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Burundi	Buyenze	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Burundi	Bwambarangwe	2000	83.0%	88.3%	79.4%
Burundi	Bwambarangwe	2017	85.6%	89.9%	82.0%
Burundi	Bweru	2000	75.8%	83.5%	68.2%
Burundi	Bweru	2017	80.3%	86.7%	73.3%
Burundi	Bwiza	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.1%
Burundi	Bwiza	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Burundi	Cankuzo	2000	80.1%	86.2%	71.5%
Burundi	Cankuzo	2017	84.9%	90.7%	77.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Cendajuru	2000	43.3%	48.7%	34.1%
Burundi	Cendajuru	2017	48.7%	53.2%	39.7%
Burundi	Cibitoke	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.7%
Burundi	Cibitoke	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Burundi	Gahombo	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.0%
Burundi	Gahombo	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.4%
Burundi	Gashikanwa	2000	92.2%	95.4%	86.2%
Burundi	Gashikanwa	2017	95.6%	96.9%	92.9%
Burundi	Gashoho	2000	95.5%	98.0%	90.1%
Burundi	Gashoho	2017	96.7%	98.4%	92.2%
Burundi	Gasorwe	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.9%
Burundi	Gasorwe	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Burundi	Gatara	2000	93.5%	96.1%	89.7%
Burundi	Gatara	2017	96.5%	97.9%	94.4%
Burundi	Gihanga	2000	77.4%	84.2%	62.5%
Burundi	Gihanga	2017	87.9%	91.2%	76.2%
Burundi	Giharo	2000	48.4%	60.0%	37.1%
Burundi	Giharo	2017	67.4%	76.2%	57.4%
Burundi	Giheta	2000	83.7%	89.6%	74.4%
Burundi	Giheta	2017	86.2%	91.0%	78.3%
Burundi	Gihogazi	2000	92.1%	93.6%	89.3%
Burundi	Gihogazi	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.8%
Burundi	Gihosha	2000	97.3%	99.0%	94.2%
Burundi	Gihosha	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.2%
Burundi	Gisagara	2000	66.4%	77.6%	51.0%
Burundi	Gisagara	2017	76.5%	83.8%	65.5%
Burundi	Gishubi	2000	92.2%	94.0%	89.9%
Burundi	Gishubi	2017	94.6%	95.9%	92.8%
Burundi	Gisozi	2000	88.1%	95.5%	71.3%
Burundi	Gisozi	2017	93.4%	97.7%	81.7%
Burundi	Gisuru	2000	65.1%	69.8%	58.9%
Burundi	Gisuru	2017	74.2%	77.2%	69.5%
Burundi	Gitanga	2000	72.1%	77.5%	64.9%
Burundi	Gitanga	2017	79.9%	84.5%	73.2%
Burundi	Gitaramuka	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Burundi	Gitaramuka	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Burundi	Gitega	2000	88.9%	91.6%	83.8%
Burundi	Gitega	2017	93.2%	94.7%	90.1%
Burundi	Giteranyi	2000	75.6%	80.1%	70.2%
Burundi	Giteranyi	2017	77.0%	81.8%	71.9%
Burundi	Gitobe	2000	87.2%	95.1%	83.9%
Burundi	Gitobe	2017	86.9%	95.5%	83.0%
Burundi	Isale	2000	83.8%	85.6%	82.2%
Burundi	Isale	2017	93.8%	94.4%	93.3%
Burundi	Itaba	2000	76.6%	85.1%	69.3%
Burundi	Itaba	2017	79.4%	86.6%	73.5%
Burundi	Kabarore	2000	80.9%	89.6%	66.2%
Burundi	Kabarore	2017	83.1%	91.8%	66.1%
Burundi	Kabezi	2000	81.4%	83.4%	79.2%
Burundi	Kabezi	2017	85.2%	87.4%	83.1%
Burundi	Kamenge	2000	97.2%	99.1%	93.9%
Burundi	Kamenge	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.2%
Burundi	Kanyosha1	2000	85.1%	86.7%	83.4%
Burundi	Kanyosha1	2017	95.6%	96.1%	95.1%
Burundi	Kanyosha2	2000	81.7%	84.6%	78.3%
Burundi	Kanyosha2	2017	87.7%	89.7%	86.2%
Burundi	Kayanza	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.3%
Burundi	Kayanza	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Burundi	Kayogoro	2000	54.7%	68.9%	42.0%
Burundi	Kayogoro	2017	71.9%	83.6%	57.4%
Burundi	Kayokwe	2000	94.1%	94.9%	93.4%
Burundi	Kayokwe	2017	96.8%	97.2%	96.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Kibago	2000	73.7%	88.6%	53.5%
Burundi	Kibago	2017	85.4%	95.6%	66.5%
Burundi	Kigamba	2000	69.3%	84.7%	48.6%
Burundi	Kigamba	2017	75.4%	89.4%	54.3%
Burundi	Kiganda	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.4%
Burundi	Kiganda	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Burundi	Kinama	2000	97.4%	98.6%	95.5%
Burundi	Kinama	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Burundi	Kinindo	2000	95.6%	97.1%	93.8%
Burundi	Kinindo	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Burundi	Kinyinya	2000	47.6%	51.7%	45.2%
Burundi	Kinyinya	2017	58.9%	68.1%	55.0%
Burundi	Kiremba	2000	85.2%	89.2%	78.7%
Burundi	Kiremba	2017	88.9%	91.5%	83.2%
Burundi	Kirundo	2000	79.0%	82.9%	75.5%
Burundi	Kirundo	2017	82.1%	86.5%	78.0%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	88.2%	96.6%	77.9%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.3%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	84.8%	88.9%	74.3%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	93.0%	98.8%	64.6%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	96.2%	99.5%	88.6%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	97.1%	99.8%	78.0%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	91.2%	94.1%	82.0%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Burundi	Mabanda	2000	86.5%	94.0%	75.0%
Burundi	Mabanda	2017	93.5%	97.4%	85.1%
Burundi	Mabayi	2000	71.2%	81.8%	61.4%
Burundi	Mabayi	2017	77.7%	90.5%	66.1%
Burundi	Makamba	2000	91.8%	92.7%	90.6%
Burundi	Makamba	2017	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Burundi	Makebuko	2000	74.1%	82.0%	67.9%
Burundi	Makebuko	2017	82.6%	89.7%	77.0%
Burundi	Marangara	2000	93.9%	95.7%	91.4%
Burundi	Marangara	2017	95.0%	96.6%	92.9%
Burundi	Matana	2000	80.4%	84.1%	75.2%
Burundi	Matana	2017	90.2%	91.8%	88.5%
Burundi	Matongo	2000	98.4%	99.5%	93.4%
Burundi	Matongo	2017	98.8%	99.6%	95.6%
Burundi	Mbuye	2000	97.5%	97.8%	97.1%
Burundi	Mbuye	2017	98.0%	98.3%	97.7%
Burundi	Mishiha	2000	64.8%	73.1%	54.9%
Burundi	Mishiha	2017	74.5%	81.8%	64.4%
Burundi	Mpanda	2000	72.5%	75.8%	69.2%
Burundi	Mpanda	2017	91.3%	92.4%	90.2%
Burundi	Mpinga-Kayove	2000	68.8%	72.6%	65.0%
Burundi	Mpinga-Kayove	2017	76.4%	80.3%	72.0%
Burundi	Mubimbi	2000	75.8%	78.1%	73.5%
Burundi	Mubimbi	2017	83.4%	85.3%	81.6%
Burundi	Mugamba	2000	84.1%	88.6%	80.2%
Burundi	Mugamba	2017	89.1%	93.6%	85.2%
Burundi	Mugina	2000	51.0%	60.5%	42.4%
Burundi	Mugina	2017	63.8%	73.3%	53.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Mugongomanga	2000	79.0%	80.8%	77.0%
Burundi	Mugongomanga	2017	88.2%	89.6%	86.8%
Burundi	Muhanga	2000	98.8%	99.6%	96.9%
Burundi	Muhanga	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.7%
Burundi	Muhuta	2000	69.8%	77.5%	65.5%
Burundi	Muhuta	2017	80.1%	87.1%	76.0%
Burundi	Mukike	2000	59.6%	67.9%	55.7%
Burundi	Mukike	2017	70.5%	80.7%	64.6%
Burundi	Muramvya	2000	88.6%	89.7%	87.2%
Burundi	Muramvya	2017	90.8%	91.7%	89.7%
Burundi	Muruta	2000	99.2%	99.7%	98.5%
Burundi	Muruta	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Burundi	Murwi	2000	79.4%	84.2%	72.3%
Burundi	Murwi	2017	86.4%	89.6%	82.0%
Burundi	Musaga	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Burundi	Musaga	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Burundi	Musigati	2000	64.8%	67.9%	60.2%
Burundi	Musigati	2017	71.6%	74.3%	67.7%
Burundi	Musongati	2000	67.8%	74.5%	57.5%
Burundi	Musongati	2017	74.5%	80.9%	63.6%
Burundi	Mutaho	2000	79.2%	82.4%	76.1%
Burundi	Mutaho	2017	84.9%	87.4%	82.3%
Burundi	Mutambu	2000	69.2%	71.0%	67.2%
Burundi	Mutambu	2017	76.5%	78.3%	74.6%
Burundi	Mutimbuzi	2000	93.6%	96.7%	83.9%
Burundi	Mutimbuzi	2017	97.6%	99.3%	90.0%
Burundi	Mutumba	2000	97.3%	99.1%	90.0%
Burundi	Mutumba	2017	97.9%	99.4%	90.5%
Burundi	Muyinga	2000	85.2%	91.3%	78.5%
Burundi	Muyinga	2017	89.2%	94.1%	82.5%
Burundi	Mwakiro	2000	83.9%	96.7%	58.2%
Burundi	Mwakiro	2017	86.4%	97.7%	62.7%
Burundi	Mwumba	2000	87.8%	92.1%	82.7%
Burundi	Mwumba	2017	90.3%	93.7%	85.7%
Burundi	Ndava	2000	91.0%	92.1%	89.9%
Burundi	Ndava	2017	92.8%	93.8%	92.0%
Burundi	Ngagara	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.2%
Burundi	Ngagara	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Burundi	Ngozi	2000	88.7%	91.5%	85.2%
Burundi	Ngozi	2017	91.5%	93.5%	89.1%
Burundi	Ntega	2000	70.1%	73.5%	62.6%
Burundi	Ntega	2017	72.6%	75.9%	65.3%
Burundi	Nyabihanga	2000	89.9%	90.7%	88.7%
Burundi	Nyabihanga	2017	93.1%	93.7%	92.3%
Burundi	Nyabikere	2000	93.7%	97.4%	78.3%
Burundi	Nyabikere	2017	95.2%	98.2%	80.2%
Burundi	Nyabiraba	2000	68.8%	72.4%	65.2%
Burundi	Nyabiraba	2017	83.7%	87.0%	80.3%
Burundi	Nyabitsinda	2000	54.1%	56.9%	51.5%
Burundi	Nyabitsinda	2017	61.0%	64.4%	58.1%
Burundi	Nyakabiga	2000	96.7%	97.9%	95.1%
Burundi	Nyakabiga	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Burundi	Nyamurenza	2000	89.3%	92.4%	82.9%
Burundi	Nyamurenza	2017	93.3%	94.9%	90.3%
Burundi	Nyanrusange	2000	96.4%	97.4%	94.7%
Burundi	Nyanrusange	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.5%
Burundi	Nyanza-Lac	2000	86.8%	91.8%	79.7%
Burundi	Nyanza-Lac	2017	95.8%	98.1%	91.7%
Burundi	Rango	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Burundi	Rango	2017	97.8%	98.3%	96.9%
Burundi	Roherero	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Burundi	Roherero	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Rugazi	2000	66.4%	69.1%	63.7%
Burundi	Rugazi	2017	79.5%	81.7%	77.1%
Burundi	Rugombo	2000	68.0%	83.7%	52.8%
Burundi	Rugombo	2017	82.2%	95.5%	68.5%
Burundi	Ruhororo	2000	97.1%	98.5%	94.2%
Burundi	Ruhororo	2017	98.0%	98.9%	96.1%
Burundi	Rumonge	2000	85.1%	89.4%	80.1%
Burundi	Rumonge	2017	94.8%	96.4%	91.9%
Burundi	Rusaka	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Burundi	Rusaka	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Burundi	Rutana	2000	57.9%	62.8%	53.2%
Burundi	Rutana	2017	69.8%	76.1%	63.8%
Burundi	Rutegama	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Burundi	Rutegama	2017	95.8%	96.5%	95.0%
Burundi	Rutovu	2000	57.3%	66.2%	49.5%
Burundi	Rutovu	2017	72.2%	81.3%	65.2%
Burundi	Ruyigi	2000	52.0%	56.7%	48.7%
Burundi	Ruyigi	2017	64.4%	69.1%	61.0%
Burundi	Ryansoro	2000	83.0%	87.5%	77.9%
Burundi	Ryansoro	2017	89.2%	92.3%	85.9%
Burundi	Shombo	2000	90.6%	93.6%	83.7%
Burundi	Shombo	2017	92.9%	95.4%	86.7%
Burundi	Songa	2000	75.9%	82.8%	69.5%
Burundi	Songa	2017	88.7%	92.7%	85.1%
Burundi	Tangara	2000	91.1%	95.5%	84.7%
Burundi	Tangara	2017	95.0%	97.1%	89.3%
Burundi	Vugizo	2000	76.6%	82.7%	66.0%
Burundi	Vugizo	2017	83.0%	89.1%	73.3%
Burundi	Vumbi	2000	94.6%	97.0%	89.9%
Burundi	Vumbi	2017	95.7%	97.5%	92.1%
Burundi	Vyanda	2000	53.6%	68.5%	41.0%
Burundi	Vyanda	2017	64.9%	78.3%	54.2%
Cameroon	Bamboutos	2000	37.0%	42.8%	32.2%
Cameroon	Bamboutos	2017	59.7%	66.5%	54.0%
Cameroon	Bénoué	2000	40.6%	45.8%	35.2%
Cameroon	Bénoué	2017	62.2%	67.1%	56.7%
Cameroon	Boumba et Ngoko	2000	37.5%	44.1%	30.7%
Cameroon	Boumba et Ngoko	2017	62.3%	68.3%	56.4%
Cameroon	Boyo	2000	48.1%	60.3%	36.2%
Cameroon	Boyo	2017	56.0%	66.7%	44.4%
Cameroon	Bui	2000	58.8%	68.1%	47.6%
Cameroon	Bui	2017	64.6%	73.2%	54.3%
Cameroon	Diamaré	2000	33.5%	41.7%	25.4%
Cameroon	Diamaré	2017	61.3%	69.3%	51.6%
Cameroon	Dja et Lobo	2000	47.8%	55.8%	40.8%
Cameroon	Dja et Lobo	2017	70.7%	76.4%	64.8%
Cameroon	Djerem	2000	41.9%	50.7%	34.8%
Cameroon	Djerem	2017	64.8%	72.0%	58.5%
Cameroon	Donga Mantung	2000	44.4%	53.1%	34.1%
Cameroon	Donga Mantung	2017	58.6%	66.3%	49.5%
Cameroon	Fako	2000	78.0%	82.1%	73.7%
Cameroon	Fako	2017	84.7%	88.5%	80.8%
Cameroon	Faro	2000	40.9%	52.0%	31.4%
Cameroon	Faro	2017	61.8%	73.2%	49.0%
Cameroon	Faro et Déo	2000	39.0%	48.0%	31.4%
Cameroon	Faro et Déo	2017	60.4%	68.9%	50.9%
Cameroon	Haut Nkam	2000	54.6%	65.9%	45.3%
Cameroon	Haut Nkam	2017	74.2%	82.7%	64.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cameroon	Haut Nyong	2000	39.5%	46.1%	33.2%
Cameroon	Haut Nyong	2017	65.0%	70.6%	58.6%
Cameroon	Haute Sanaga	2000	46.5%	55.2%	38.3%
Cameroon	Haute Sanaga	2017	68.0%	75.7%	60.4%
Cameroon	Hauts Plateaux	2000	44.4%	51.0%	38.8%
Cameroon	Hauts Plateaux	2017	69.7%	75.6%	64.1%
Cameroon	Kadey	2000	34.7%	43.0%	27.7%
Cameroon	Kadey	2017	59.0%	67.0%	51.2%
Cameroon	Koung Khi	2000	72.5%	81.8%	61.6%
Cameroon	Koung Khi	2017	91.4%	95.6%	83.6%
Cameroon	Koupé Manengouba	2000	64.3%	71.7%	52.9%
Cameroon	Koupé Manengouba	2017	73.0%	81.0%	62.9%
Cameroon	Lebialem	2000	20.3%	37.6%	13.4%
Cameroon	Lebialem	2017	33.6%	47.1%	25.1%
Cameroon	Lekié	2000	56.9%	64.4%	49.1%
Cameroon	Lekié	2017	79.5%	85.3%	72.6%
Cameroon	Logone et Chari	2000	44.0%	51.3%	35.9%
Cameroon	Logone et Chari	2017	64.3%	71.5%	57.0%
Cameroon	Lom Djerem	2000	43.4%	49.0%	38.2%
Cameroon	Lom Djerem	2017	65.2%	70.7%	60.1%
Cameroon	Manyu	2000	52.4%	63.5%	42.7%
Cameroon	Manyu	2017	68.7%	77.1%	59.6%
Cameroon	Mayo Banyo	2000	43.3%	53.1%	34.7%
Cameroon	Mayo Banyo	2017	67.7%	75.3%	61.3%
Cameroon	Mayo Danay	2000	46.3%	54.3%	39.1%
Cameroon	Mayo Danay	2017	68.3%	74.5%	61.7%
Cameroon	Mayo Kani	2000	38.3%	50.7%	27.6%
Cameroon	Mayo Kani	2017	65.1%	75.5%	53.5%
Cameroon	Mayo Louti	2000	37.9%	44.8%	31.3%
Cameroon	Mayo Louti	2017	57.8%	63.6%	51.3%
Cameroon	Mayo Rey	2000	37.4%	43.9%	31.9%
Cameroon	Mayo Rey	2017	60.7%	66.5%	55.1%
Cameroon	Mayo Sava	2000	48.5%	58.1%	39.8%
Cameroon	Mayo Sava	2017	71.5%	79.8%	63.1%
Cameroon	Mayo Tsanaga	2000	41.3%	51.1%	32.1%
Cameroon	Mayo Tsanaga	2017	64.1%	73.0%	54.1%
Cameroon	Mbam et Inoubou	2000	55.3%	64.0%	44.8%
Cameroon	Mbam et Inoubou	2017	76.4%	83.5%	68.0%
Cameroon	Mbam et Kim	2000	47.2%	54.3%	39.3%
Cameroon	Mbam et Kim	2017	68.9%	74.6%	62.1%
Cameroon	Mbéré	2000	41.1%	49.6%	32.6%
Cameroon	Mbéré	2017	63.8%	71.2%	55.0%
Cameroon	Mefou et Afamba	2000	56.6%	60.9%	52.4%
Cameroon	Mefou et Afamba	2017	84.9%	87.6%	82.2%
Cameroon	Mefou et Akono	2000	61.7%	73.8%	50.0%
Cameroon	Mefou et Akono	2017	85.3%	92.0%	73.5%
Cameroon	Meme	2000	64.3%	73.3%	53.7%
Cameroon	Meme	2017	74.0%	81.2%	64.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cameroon	Menchum	2000	48.8%	60.5%	38.6%
Cameroon	Menchum	2017	64.0%	73.6%	53.6%
Cameroon	Menoua	2000	31.4%	40.2%	25.9%
Cameroon	Menoua	2017	49.8%	56.6%	43.8%
Cameroon	Mezam	2000	61.8%	67.5%	56.7%
Cameroon	Mezam	2017	72.2%	78.0%	66.5%
Cameroon	Mfoundi	2000	74.0%	77.1%	71.1%
Cameroon	Mfoundi	2017	90.9%	92.3%	88.8%
Cameroon	Mifi	2000	53.8%	58.4%	50.5%
Cameroon	Mifi	2017	68.3%	72.3%	64.5%
Cameroon	Momo	2000	52.1%	63.9%	40.5%
Cameroon	Momo	2017	66.6%	76.5%	55.6%
Cameroon	Moungo	2000	68.4%	73.2%	62.8%
Cameroon	Moungo	2017	81.0%	84.9%	75.6%
Cameroon	Mvila	2000	49.2%	57.7%	40.5%
Cameroon	Mvila	2017	68.2%	76.8%	59.6%
Cameroon	Ndé	2000	62.6%	75.8%	48.6%
Cameroon	Ndé	2017	80.6%	90.0%	67.9%
Cameroon	Ndian	2000	50.7%	63.4%	36.4%
Cameroon	Ndian	2017	68.3%	78.1%	57.0%
Cameroon	Ngo Ketunjia	2000	58.7%	67.2%	46.8%
Cameroon	Ngo Ketunjia	2017	70.6%	79.2%	60.4%
Cameroon	Nkam	2000	48.5%	61.1%	33.8%
Cameroon	Nkam	2017	69.7%	78.4%	58.6%
Cameroon	Noun	2000	49.6%	56.2%	43.1%
Cameroon	Noun	2017	68.5%	75.3%	62.1%
Cameroon	Nyong et Kélé	2000	52.8%	60.5%	44.4%
Cameroon	Nyong et Kélé	2017	74.6%	81.1%	67.5%
Cameroon	Nyong et Mfoumou	2000	51.7%	62.4%	40.8%
Cameroon	Nyong et Mfoumou	2017	73.1%	81.7%	63.1%
Cameroon	Nyong et So'o	2000	64.4%	73.5%	55.8%
Cameroon	Nyong et So'o	2017	76.2%	84.6%	67.8%
Cameroon	Océan	2000	52.9%	62.9%	44.2%
Cameroon	Océan	2017	70.4%	76.4%	63.7%
Cameroon	Sanaga Maritime	2000	68.4%	77.1%	59.6%
Cameroon	Sanaga Maritime	2017	85.1%	91.0%	77.1%
Cameroon	Vallée du Ntem	2000	41.2%	52.9%	30.4%
Cameroon	Vallée du Ntem	2017	66.8%	75.7%	56.9%
Cameroon	Vina	2000	48.2%	56.5%	41.4%
Cameroon	Vina	2017	67.1%	74.2%	60.4%
Cameroon	Wouri	2000	89.8%	93.6%	85.3%
Cameroon	Wouri	2017	96.7%	98.5%	93.1%
Central African Republic	Alindao	2000	33.3%	38.6%	28.9%
Central African Republic	Alindao	2017	25.9%	30.1%	22.1%
Central African Republic	Baboua	2000	58.4%	63.0%	54.4%
Central African Republic	Baboua	2017	49.4%	54.4%	45.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Bakala	2000	47.7%	57.4%	38.4%
Central African Republic	Bakala	2017	38.9%	49.1%	29.7%
Central African Republic	Bakouma	2000	48.1%	52.1%	43.2%
Central African Republic	Bakouma	2017	39.5%	43.3%	35.2%
Central African Republic	Bambari	2000	45.6%	49.2%	42.0%
Central African Republic	Bambari	2017	36.4%	39.8%	33.7%
Central African Republic	Bambio	2000	55.4%	61.4%	48.9%
Central African Republic	Bambio	2017	47.5%	53.7%	41.1%
Central African Republic	Bamingui	2000	64.7%	70.8%	58.1%
Central African Republic	Bamingui	2017	55.5%	61.4%	48.8%
Central African Republic	Bangassou	2000	40.1%	45.4%	35.5%
Central African Republic	Bangassou	2017	31.4%	35.3%	27.7%
Central African Republic	Bangui	2000	92.4%	94.8%	89.9%
Central African Republic	Bangui	2017	86.9%	89.7%	84.3%
Central African Republic	Baoro	2000	58.9%	63.6%	54.5%
Central African Republic	Baoro	2017	49.7%	54.3%	45.5%
Central African Republic	Batangafu	2000	75.2%	77.8%	72.3%
Central African Republic	Batangafu	2017	68.1%	71.6%	64.2%
Central African Republic	Berbérati	2000	51.3%	59.1%	44.2%
Central African Republic	Berbérati	2017	39.8%	46.4%	34.7%
Central African Republic	Bimbo	2000	78.6%	83.0%	73.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Bimbo	2017	69.3%	74.6%	64.5%
Central African Republic	Birao	2000	58.5%	63.4%	54.1%
Central African Republic	Birao	2017	47.3%	51.2%	43.3%
Central African Republic	Boali	2000	70.0%	77.6%	61.6%
Central African Republic	Boali	2017	60.3%	68.0%	51.5%
Central African Republic	Bocaranga	2000	75.6%	78.1%	73.0%
Central African Republic	Bocaranga	2017	69.2%	71.7%	66.3%
Central African Republic	Boda	2000	68.9%	73.1%	64.6%
Central African Republic	Boda	2017	61.2%	65.7%	56.6%
Central African Republic	Bossangoa	2000	75.5%	77.9%	72.7%
Central African Republic	Bossangoa	2017	68.7%	71.4%	65.6%
Central African Republic	Bouar	2000	56.5%	61.1%	52.1%
Central African Republic	Bouar	2017	48.5%	52.5%	44.2%
Central African Republic	Bouca	2000	74.5%	79.0%	70.5%
Central African Republic	Bouca	2017	67.5%	72.3%	63.1%
Central African Republic	Bozoum	2000	72.6%	76.6%	68.7%
Central African Republic	Bozoum	2017	65.7%	70.1%	61.0%
Central African Republic	Bria	2000	66.3%	69.5%	63.2%
Central African Republic	Bria	2017	59.2%	62.5%	56.2%
Central African Republic	Carnot	2000	54.6%	58.8%	50.7%
Central African Republic	Carnot	2017	42.9%	46.9%	39.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Damara	2000	67.1%	72.9%	62.4%
Central African Republic	Damara	2017	58.0%	63.6%	53.4%
Central African Republic	Dékoa	2000	59.7%	64.0%	55.4%
Central African Republic	Dékoa	2017	51.5%	56.2%	46.9%
Central African Republic	Djemah	2000	58.1%	68.7%	44.6%
Central African Republic	Djemah	2017	50.5%	61.0%	38.7%
Central African Republic	Gambo-Ouango	2000	40.2%	46.2%	34.7%
Central African Republic	Gambo-Ouango	2017	32.5%	38.8%	27.3%
Central African Republic	Gamboula	2000	53.9%	60.7%	47.3%
Central African Republic	Gamboula	2017	42.5%	48.1%	36.1%
Central African Republic	Grimari	2000	47.0%	52.7%	41.9%
Central African Republic	Grimari	2017	37.6%	42.2%	33.6%
Central African Republic	Ippy	2000	44.4%	49.7%	39.2%
Central African Republic	Ippy	2017	35.8%	40.5%	31.2%
Central African Republic	Kabo	2000	76.0%	81.5%	69.4%
Central African Republic	Kabo	2017	68.7%	75.8%	61.5%
Central African Republic	Kaga-Bandoro	2000	67.9%	72.3%	62.6%
Central African Republic	Kaga-Bandoro	2017	60.8%	65.4%	55.6%
Central African Republic	Kembé	2000	36.2%	41.4%	30.3%
Central African Republic	Kembé	2017	29.2%	34.4%	24.0%
Central African Republic	Kouango	2000	45.8%	50.1%	41.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Kouango	2017	37.4%	41.8%	33.6%
Central African Republic	M'Baïki	2000	67.5%	70.6%	64.2%
Central African Republic	M'Baïki	2017	60.5%	64.1%	57.5%
Central African Republic	Markounda	2000	75.1%	78.5%	71.0%
Central African Republic	Markounda	2017	67.7%	72.1%	62.7%
Central African Republic	Mbrès	2000	72.7%	77.2%	67.6%
Central African Republic	Mbrès	2017	66.3%	71.2%	60.7%
Central African Republic	Mingala	2000	44.9%	51.7%	37.1%
Central African Republic	Mingala	2017	35.6%	42.3%	28.7%
Central African Republic	Mobaye	2000	32.9%	40.3%	26.6%
Central African Republic	Mobaye	2017	26.1%	33.0%	20.6%
Central African Republic	Mongoumba	2000	62.6%	72.2%	53.2%
Central African Republic	Mongoumba	2017	54.6%	66.2%	44.4%
Central African Republic	Ndélé	2000	67.0%	70.1%	63.4%
Central African Republic	Ndélé	2017	56.6%	60.0%	53.1%
Central African Republic	Nola	2000	54.3%	58.2%	50.1%
Central African Republic	Nola	2017	45.7%	49.3%	42.3%
Central African Republic	Obo	2000	61.9%	66.0%	57.8%
Central African Republic	Obo	2017	54.4%	58.9%	49.9%
Central African Republic	Ouadda	2000	66.7%	70.1%	63.2%
Central African Republic	Ouadda	2017	59.4%	63.6%	55.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Ouanda Djallé	2000	61.4%	72.0%	50.4%
Central African Republic	Ouanda Djallé	2017	51.5%	64.4%	39.8%
Central African Republic	Paoua	2000	75.8%	79.5%	71.9%
Central African Republic	Paoua	2017	68.9%	73.3%	64.6%
Central African Republic	Rafaï	2000	44.0%	51.5%	37.4%
Central African Republic	Rafaï	2017	35.9%	43.3%	29.2%
Central African Republic	Sibut	2000	61.0%	64.2%	57.3%
Central African Republic	Sibut	2017	52.7%	56.2%	49.2%
Central African Republic	Yalinga	2000	64.4%	70.3%	59.3%
Central African Republic	Yalinga	2017	56.8%	62.8%	51.1%
Central African Republic	Yaloké	2000	66.2%	69.8%	62.5%
Central African Republic	Yaloké	2017	57.4%	61.2%	53.3%
Central African Republic	Zémio	2000	59.3%	64.7%	53.1%
Central African Republic	Zémio	2017	51.9%	57.9%	46.1%
Chad	Aboudeïa	2000	36.8%	42.9%	29.9%
Chad	Aboudeïa	2017	44.8%	51.3%	37.9%
Chad	Assoungba	2000	34.5%	42.1%	28.8%
Chad	Assoungba	2017	40.8%	47.7%	34.7%
Chad	Baguirmi	2000	56.9%	61.4%	52.5%
Chad	Baguirmi	2017	65.4%	69.9%	60.8%
Chad	Barh Azoum	2000	39.9%	44.6%	35.5%
Chad	Barh Azoum	2017	48.2%	52.9%	43.8%
Chad	Barh El Gazel	2000	62.8%	66.2%	59.2%
Chad	Barh El Gazel	2017	70.4%	73.2%	67.3%
Chad	Barh Köh	2000	42.0%	47.1%	37.4%
Chad	Barh Köh	2017	50.3%	55.5%	45.4%
Chad	Barh Sara	2000	35.8%	42.0%	29.3%
Chad	Barh Sara	2017	43.5%	49.7%	36.8%
Chad	Barh Signaka	2000	50.6%	56.8%	43.2%
Chad	Barh Signaka	2017	58.0%	64.2%	50.4%
Chad	Batha Est	2000	47.1%	52.6%	42.1%
Chad	Batha Est	2017	54.6%	59.7%	50.0%
Chad	Batha Oues	2000	54.5%	59.3%	49.2%
Chad	Batha Oues	2017	62.8%	67.8%	58.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Chad	Béré	2000	32.6%	44.5%	21.2%
Chad	Béré	2017	41.0%	54.8%	28.8%
Chad	Biltine	2000	33.3%	37.4%	28.9%
Chad	Biltine	2017	39.1%	42.8%	34.9%
Chad	Bitkine	2000	46.2%	53.1%	40.5%
Chad	Bitkine	2017	53.7%	60.2%	47.5%
Chad	Borkou	2000	37.9%	40.9%	35.1%
Chad	Borkou	2017	45.0%	48.0%	42.2%
Chad	Dababa	2000	64.1%	69.5%	58.6%
Chad	Dababa	2017	72.1%	76.9%	67.2%
Chad	Dagana	2000	68.5%	74.8%	63.4%
Chad	Dagana	2017	75.9%	81.0%	71.3%
Chad	Dar Tama	2000	24.9%	30.0%	20.0%
Chad	Dar Tama	2017	30.5%	35.5%	24.6%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2000	37.0%	46.7%	29.0%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2000	42.4%	48.0%	36.9%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2017	44.1%	54.2%	35.2%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2017	50.8%	56.2%	45.4%
Chad	Dodjé	2000	40.3%	48.8%	30.2%
Chad	Dodjé	2017	49.1%	57.5%	38.8%
Chad	Ennedi Est	2000	24.0%	26.9%	21.0%
Chad	Ennedi Est	2017	28.8%	32.0%	25.8%
Chad	Ennedi Ouest	2000	29.6%	32.5%	26.5%
Chad	Ennedi Ouest	2017	36.3%	39.2%	33.3%
Chad	Fitri	2000	40.4%	43.9%	36.3%
Chad	Fitri	2017	48.0%	51.3%	44.2%
Chad	Grande Sido	2000	35.1%	43.6%	26.3%
Chad	Grande Sido	2017	42.9%	51.7%	33.6%
Chad	Guéra	2000	38.3%	42.2%	34.4%
Chad	Guéra	2017	46.2%	50.4%	41.8%
Chad	Haraze Al Biar	2000	64.8%	71.8%	58.1%
Chad	Haraze Al Biar	2017	72.7%	79.2%	65.9%
Chad	Haraze Manguaigne	2000	34.0%	38.2%	30.1%
Chad	Haraze Manguaigne	2017	41.7%	45.8%	37.2%
Chad	Kabbia	2000	40.2%	48.9%	32.3%
Chad	Kabbia	2017	47.4%	56.1%	38.9%
Chad	Kanem	2000	62.8%	67.2%	58.0%
Chad	Kanem	2017	70.9%	74.8%	67.0%
Chad	Kobé	2000	22.7%	26.9%	18.9%
Chad	Kobé	2017	26.9%	31.5%	23.0%
Chad	Lac Iro	2000	39.3%	44.7%	33.8%
Chad	Lac Iro	2017	46.6%	51.9%	40.7%
Chad	Lac Léré	2000	40.2%	47.8%	31.2%
Chad	Lac Léré	2017	48.6%	55.8%	39.5%
Chad	Lac Wey	2000	39.5%	46.3%	32.2%
Chad	Lac Wey	2017	48.1%	55.1%	40.2%
Chad	Lanya	2000	41.7%	51.7%	31.6%
Chad	Lanya	2017	51.0%	61.3%	40.1%
Chad	Loug Chari	2000	56.2%	62.2%	49.6%
Chad	Loug Chari	2017	64.2%	69.8%	58.1%
Chad	Mamdi	2000	57.2%	62.2%	51.6%
Chad	Mamdi	2017	65.6%	69.8%	60.4%
Chad	Mandoul Occidental	2000	33.4%	43.4%	24.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Chad	Mandoul Occidental	2017	41.5%	52.2%	30.7%
Chad	Mandoul Oriental	2000	33.5%	39.5%	27.2%
Chad	Mandoul Oriental	2017	40.9%	47.4%	34.4%
Chad	Mangalmé	2000	44.7%	51.4%	38.2%
Chad	Mangalmé	2017	53.1%	59.6%	46.4%
Chad	Mayo-Boneye	2000	53.4%	58.7%	47.4%
Chad	Mayo-Boneye	2017	61.7%	67.5%	55.6%
Chad	Mayo-Dallah	2000	34.7%	40.5%	28.2%
Chad	Mayo-Dallah	2017	42.5%	48.4%	35.6%
Chad	Mont Illi	2000	46.0%	55.9%	37.0%
Chad	Mont Illi	2017	54.5%	63.2%	45.7%
Chad	Monts de Lam	2000	38.2%	45.1%	31.4%
Chad	Monts de Lam	2017	46.6%	53.4%	39.2%
Chad	N'Djamena	2000	79.9%	86.5%	74.3%
Chad	N'Djamena	2000	77.5%	83.8%	71.1%
Chad	N'Djamena	2017	83.7%	88.8%	77.5%
Chad	N'Djamena	2017	84.2%	90.7%	79.0%
Chad	Ngourkosso	2000	39.4%	50.2%	29.7%
Chad	Ngourkosso	2017	48.3%	60.1%	37.6%
Chad	Nokou	2000	48.0%	53.1%	43.3%
Chad	Nokou	2017	55.2%	59.2%	50.9%
Chad	Nya Pendé	2000	39.7%	46.3%	33.6%
Chad	Nya Pendé	2017	48.4%	55.1%	42.3%
Chad	Ouara	2000	39.6%	44.1%	35.4%
Chad	Ouara	2017	47.5%	52.5%	43.1%
Chad	Pendé	2000	36.6%	43.4%	30.5%
Chad	Pendé	2017	45.0%	52.6%	38.7%
Chad	Sila	2000	36.7%	41.5%	32.4%
Chad	Sila	2017	44.9%	49.5%	40.6%
Chad	Tandjilé Est	2000	46.0%	51.7%	40.5%
Chad	Tandjilé Est	2017	54.5%	59.7%	49.1%
Chad	Tandjilé Ouest	2000	34.6%	42.5%	26.7%
Chad	Tandjilé Ouest	2017	41.7%	50.1%	33.3%
Chad	Tibesti	2000	28.9%	31.4%	25.9%
Chad	Tibesti	2017	35.3%	38.7%	32.1%
Chad	Wayi	2000	66.1%	72.1%	60.3%
Chad	Wayi	2017	74.4%	79.7%	69.2%
Comoros	Mwali	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Comoros	Mwali	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Comoros	Njazidja	2000	90.5%	95.2%	83.9%
Comoros	Njazidja	2017	91.0%	95.2%	84.9%
Comoros	Nzwani	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.1%
Comoros	Nzwani	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Agnéby-Tiassa	2000	72.5%	77.5%	66.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Agnéby-Tiassa	2017	71.1%	75.7%	66.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bafing	2000	75.4%	80.2%	70.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bafing	2017	71.0%	76.3%	65.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bagoué	2000	79.2%	83.2%	75.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Côte d'Ivoire	Bagoué	2017	74.4%	79.1%	69.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béliér	2000	80.9%	85.7%	75.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béliér	2017	79.8%	84.7%	74.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béré	2000	76.3%	80.1%	71.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béré	2017	72.1%	76.4%	67.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bounkani	2000	79.6%	83.7%	75.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bounkani	2017	76.2%	80.4%	71.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Cavally	2000	69.4%	74.6%	64.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Cavally	2017	64.3%	69.3%	59.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Folon	2000	74.4%	80.9%	68.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Folon	2017	68.7%	75.7%	61.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbeke	2000	86.0%	88.1%	83.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbeke	2017	83.3%	85.6%	80.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbôkle	2000	72.0%	77.9%	67.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbôkle	2017	69.4%	75.2%	63.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gôh	2000	73.1%	77.8%	67.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gôh	2017	67.6%	72.6%	61.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gontougo	2000	77.0%	80.5%	73.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gontougo	2017	74.8%	78.2%	71.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Grands Ponts	2000	76.6%	84.3%	67.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Grands Ponts	2017	75.7%	82.8%	67.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Guémon	2000	71.5%	76.8%	65.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Guémon	2017	64.7%	71.1%	58.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Hambol	2000	82.3%	85.6%	79.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Hambol	2017	80.3%	83.7%	76.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Haut-Sassandra	2000	70.9%	75.2%	66.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Haut-Sassandra	2017	66.1%	70.7%	61.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Iffou	2000	78.3%	83.2%	73.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Iffou	2017	76.9%	81.6%	71.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Indénié-Djuablin	2000	74.6%	78.0%	71.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Indénié-Djuablin	2017	72.6%	76.4%	68.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Côte d'Ivoire	Kabadougou	2000	77.8%	81.8%	73.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Kabadougou	2017	72.0%	76.0%	67.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	La Mé	2000	77.7%	82.0%	72.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	La Mé	2017	75.9%	80.8%	71.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Lôh-Djiboua	2000	72.0%	76.8%	66.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Lôh-Djiboua	2017	68.2%	72.9%	62.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Marahoué	2000	73.9%	79.5%	68.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Marahoué	2017	71.3%	77.3%	66.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Moronou	2000	75.7%	81.4%	69.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Moronou	2017	74.9%	80.6%	68.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	N'zi	2000	83.4%	87.5%	77.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	N'zi	2017	82.0%	86.3%	75.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Nawa	2000	68.6%	73.4%	63.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Nawa	2017	62.8%	68.1%	57.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Poro	2000	84.9%	87.1%	81.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Poro	2017	81.6%	84.3%	78.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	San-Pédro	2000	71.7%	75.8%	67.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	San-Pédro	2017	70.5%	74.7%	66.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Sud Comoé	2000	79.9%	84.6%	74.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Sud Comoé	2017	80.7%	85.4%	75.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tchologo	2000	81.3%	84.6%	77.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tchologo	2017	76.7%	80.5%	72.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tonkpi	2000	75.8%	80.2%	71.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tonkpi	2017	70.9%	75.3%	65.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Worodougou	2000	77.0%	80.9%	73.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Worodougou	2017	72.8%	76.8%	68.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Yamoussoukro	2000	86.0%	90.9%	79.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Yamoussoukro	2017	84.6%	90.0%	78.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aba	2000	28.1%	76.2%	5.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aba	2017	21.5%	56.1%	4.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi	2000	33.5%	43.2%	24.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi	2017	30.8%	39.3%	22.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi (ville)	2000	36.3%	59.7%	19.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi (ville)	2017	35.3%	54.9%	21.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ango	2000	30.0%	39.5%	21.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ango	2017	26.4%	39.7%	16.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ariwara	2000	7.5%	30.8%	2.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ariwara	2017	9.9%	27.9%	3.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru	2000	32.1%	43.5%	22.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru	2017	29.1%	38.8%	20.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru (ville)	2000	24.2%	46.7%	10.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru (ville)	2017	25.4%	52.6%	9.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bafwasende	2000	33.2%	46.5%	24.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bafwasende	2017	30.0%	41.5%	21.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bagata	2000	31.8%	41.0%	24.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bagata	2017	27.1%	35.5%	19.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bambesa	2000	30.2%	42.1%	19.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bambesa	2017	25.2%	35.3%	16.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Banalia	2000	35.6%	47.8%	25.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Banalia	2017	32.6%	44.5%	23.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bandundu	2000	60.2%	77.6%	46.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bandundu	2017	45.2%	63.2%	32.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bangu	2000	35.3%	95.6%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bangu	2017	29.7%	91.4%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Baraka	2000	0.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Baraka	2017	0.7%	4.6%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu	2000	33.2%	44.9%	22.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu	2017	30.3%	40.9%	20.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu (ville)	2000	22.2%	63.8%	1.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu (ville)	2017	21.0%	56.1%	1.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko	2000	27.8%	35.6%	20.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko	2017	24.0%	30.7%	17.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko (ville)	2000	16.2%	22.4%	11.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko (ville)	2017	14.6%	19.7%	10.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Befale	2000	38.8%	49.3%	28.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Befale	2017	36.4%	47.1%	25.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bena-Dibele	2000	29.0%	95.7%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bena-Dibele	2017	25.6%	85.1%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Beni	2000	73.0%	84.5%	61.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Beni	2017	65.1%	77.5%	53.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bikoro	2000	34.6%	46.9%	22.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bikoro	2017	31.9%	43.5%	20.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende	2000	36.0%	46.0%	27.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende	2017	33.4%	42.3%	24.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende (ville)	2000	19.1%	33.6%	10.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende (ville)	2017	17.3%	28.8%	9.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bokungu	2000	29.2%	39.1%	20.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bokungu	2017	26.8%	36.6%	18.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo	2000	25.2%	41.8%	9.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo	2017	20.8%	38.2%	8.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo (ville)	2000	21.1%	94.0%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo (ville)	2017	17.4%	85.9%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolomba	2000	34.9%	45.2%	25.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolomba	2017	32.7%	42.5%	24.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boma	2000	82.8%	91.1%	77.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boma	2017	75.4%	86.3%	66.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bomongo	2000	30.7%	37.0%	24.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bomongo	2017	27.7%	36.7%	20.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo	2000	29.7%	39.8%	21.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo	2017	26.3%	37.0%	17.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo (ville)	2000	40.3%	99.5%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo (ville)	2017	36.0%	98.0%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bongandanga	2000	32.6%	41.3%	24.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bongandanga	2017	30.2%	38.3%	22.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bosobolo	2000	30.6%	41.4%	20.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bosobolo	2017	27.3%	38.1%	17.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Budjala	2000	31.1%	39.8%	22.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Budjala	2017	28.4%	37.3%	19.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukama	2000	33.9%	42.7%	25.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukama	2017	29.5%	36.8%	21.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukavu	2000	74.2%	75.9%	72.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukavu	2017	52.6%	55.3%	49.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu	2000	51.5%	58.8%	45.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu	2017	47.9%	56.1%	40.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu (ville)	2000	52.5%	95.6%	35.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu (ville)	2017	49.3%	95.5%	35.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba	2000	37.4%	46.5%	28.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba	2017	34.4%	43.3%	26.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba (ville)	2000	29.3%	47.4%	8.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba (ville)	2017	28.9%	46.9%	8.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bunia	2000	51.1%	60.8%	39.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bunia	2017	42.1%	52.3%	31.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Businga	2000	30.7%	40.0%	20.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Businga	2017	28.1%	39.1%	18.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta	2000	40.3%	53.9%	29.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta	2017	37.6%	50.7%	28.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta (ville)	2000	25.4%	46.6%	11.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta (ville)	2017	33.1%	57.6%	15.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Butembo	2000	73.2%	77.6%	68.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Butembo	2017	67.3%	74.3%	59.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dekese	2000	31.0%	41.0%	20.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dekese	2017	27.9%	37.2%	18.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Demba	2000	28.7%	39.9%	18.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Demba	2017	25.3%	36.4%	15.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya	2000	28.5%	39.8%	18.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya	2017	26.1%	37.5%	16.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya-Lubwe	2000	23.8%	77.7%	2.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya-Lubwe	2017	19.9%	65.0%	1.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dilolo	2000	38.3%	48.6%	28.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dilolo	2017	34.1%	43.1%	25.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dimbelenge	2000	32.0%	49.0%	19.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dimbelenge	2017	29.2%	43.9%	18.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dingila	2000	39.2%	99.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dingila	2017	34.4%	99.1%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djolu	2000	29.7%	41.8%	19.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djolu	2017	27.0%	38.6%	17.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djugu	2000	45.7%	53.9%	38.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djugu	2017	41.0%	49.0%	33.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu	2000	33.5%	41.6%	25.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu	2017	29.0%	36.9%	21.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu (ville)	2000	35.8%	96.9%	1.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu (ville)	2017	30.3%	94.2%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Faradje	2000	33.1%	43.1%	24.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Faradje	2017	29.1%	38.7%	20.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Feshi	2000	30.1%	40.0%	21.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Feshi	2017	26.0%	36.1%	18.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Fizi	2000	33.2%	42.3%	24.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Fizi	2017	28.9%	37.5%	20.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gbadolite	2000	32.5%	49.4%	18.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gbadolite	2017	29.4%	47.8%	15.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena	2000	29.8%	38.4%	20.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena	2017	27.2%	35.4%	17.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena (ville)	2000	25.7%	41.2%	14.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena (ville)	2017	25.1%	39.3%	14.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Goma	2000	39.8%	44.9%	35.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Goma	2017	28.2%	37.2%	20.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu	2000	37.3%	46.4%	29.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu	2017	33.6%	42.0%	27.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu (ville)	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu (ville)	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa	2000	33.3%	40.5%	25.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa	2017	30.4%	36.8%	23.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa (ville)	2000	23.8%	74.9%	1.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa (ville)	2017	21.3%	75.3%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idjwi	2000	41.4%	68.4%	21.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idjwi	2017	35.2%	61.0%	19.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ikela	2000	28.8%	43.0%	18.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ikela	2017	26.4%	39.3%	16.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo	2000	34.8%	42.7%	27.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo	2017	31.2%	39.6%	23.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo (ville)	2000	26.7%	58.0%	6.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo (ville)	2017	19.1%	49.2%	4.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingbokolo	2000	34.9%	95.9%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingbokolo	2017	31.6%	96.4%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingende	2000	31.0%	39.8%	23.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingende	2017	28.8%	39.1%	20.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inkisi	2000	34.8%	94.8%	0.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inkisi	2017	29.6%	92.6%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo	2000	26.0%	34.9%	17.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo	2017	23.2%	32.7%	14.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo (ville)	2000	15.3%	34.3%	6.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo (ville)	2017	15.2%	32.9%	6.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Irumu	2000	42.5%	53.1%	32.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Irumu	2017	38.4%	48.1%	29.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi	2000	35.4%	47.6%	24.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi	2017	32.9%	44.6%	22.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi (ville)	2000	54.6%	99.9%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi (ville)	2017	50.4%	99.6%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isiro	2000	29.1%	42.7%	17.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isiro	2017	29.2%	43.7%	15.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabalo	2000	31.9%	42.1%	22.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabalo	2017	28.3%	38.2%	19.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabambare	2000	30.3%	39.1%	23.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabambare	2017	27.7%	35.5%	20.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabare	2000	51.7%	58.3%	45.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabare	2017	48.9%	56.0%	42.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabeya-Kamwanga	2000	34.6%	57.9%	17.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabeya-Kamwanga	2017	27.6%	46.7%	14.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda	2000	36.9%	45.4%	27.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda	2017	32.0%	40.5%	23.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda (ville)	2000	26.4%	29.7%	23.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda (ville)	2017	24.7%	28.1%	21.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabongo	2000	36.0%	47.0%	26.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabongo	2017	31.7%	41.6%	23.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba	2000	31.7%	39.1%	25.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba	2017	28.4%	36.2%	21.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba (ville)	2000	19.1%	50.4%	2.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba (ville)	2017	18.3%	50.0%	2.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kailo	2000	35.5%	48.5%	24.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kailo	2017	34.4%	47.0%	23.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalehe	2000	35.2%	49.3%	22.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalehe	2017	34.6%	48.6%	20.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie	2000	36.1%	48.3%	24.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie	2017	32.8%	42.7%	23.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie (ville)	2000	32.6%	93.2%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie (ville)	2017	30.2%	90.7%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalima	2000	32.4%	84.5%	0.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalima	2017	27.8%	76.9%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kambove	2000	40.6%	54.5%	28.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kambove	2017	36.1%	48.8%	26.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamiji	2000	38.9%	65.0%	15.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamiji	2017	33.5%	59.8%	12.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina	2000	38.0%	44.5%	30.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina	2017	33.3%	39.6%	26.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina (ville)	2000	50.6%	63.5%	39.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina (ville)	2017	44.2%	53.5%	33.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamituga	2000	41.1%	87.9%	9.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamituga	2017	52.5%	91.2%	14.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamonia	2000	35.2%	41.9%	29.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamonia	2017	31.7%	38.8%	25.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kananga	2000	16.5%	20.8%	13.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kananga	2017	16.6%	21.0%	13.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaniama	2000	37.1%	49.6%	26.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaniama	2017	32.6%	44.0%	23.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaoze	2000	46.9%	99.7%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaoze	2017	39.2%	98.6%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kapanga	2000	35.1%	42.9%	27.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kapanga	2017	31.9%	40.0%	24.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasaji	2000	47.4%	96.4%	3.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasaji	2017	40.9%	95.8%	1.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu	2000	41.0%	55.8%	27.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu	2017	36.2%	49.7%	23.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu (ville)	2000	61.6%	82.2%	34.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu (ville)	2017	40.4%	63.6%	18.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasenga	2000	36.5%	46.2%	26.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasenga	2017	32.3%	40.9%	24.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo	2000	33.9%	41.3%	25.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo	2017	32.2%	39.6%	23.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo (ville)	2000	40.8%	60.0%	24.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo (ville)	2017	43.1%	60.4%	26.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda	2000	26.3%	33.1%	20.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda	2017	22.5%	29.5%	16.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda (ville)	2000	8.5%	26.7%	2.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda (ville)	2017	4.9%	12.5%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katako-Kombe	2000	29.6%	35.6%	22.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katako-Kombe	2017	26.2%	32.4%	20.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katanda	2000	61.3%	73.5%	49.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katanda	2017	56.9%	69.3%	45.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kazumba	2000	29.9%	39.4%	22.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kazumba	2017	28.2%	37.0%	20.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge	2000	28.2%	35.3%	21.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge	2017	23.4%	30.0%	16.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge (ville)	2000	10.2%	29.6%	4.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge (ville)	2017	10.8%	23.5%	5.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kibombo	2000	31.1%	40.4%	22.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kibombo	2017	28.5%	37.3%	19.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kikwit	2000	91.8%	96.3%	85.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kikwit	2017	88.0%	94.2%	80.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kimvula	2000	24.3%	41.3%	10.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kimvula	2017	20.2%	33.6%	9.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kindu	2000	40.4%	48.3%	33.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kindu	2017	46.7%	54.7%	39.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kinshasa	2000	81.4%	83.0%	79.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kinshasa	2017	66.8%	69.1%	64.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi	2000	41.0%	52.9%	28.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi	2017	34.8%	45.4%	21.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi (ville)	2000	54.7%	64.1%	47.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi (ville)	2017	50.2%	62.6%	33.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kiri	2000	33.4%	48.2%	22.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kiri	2017	29.9%	42.7%	20.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kisangani	2000	44.4%	53.9%	37.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kisangani	2017	34.4%	45.4%	26.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kole	2000	29.0%	37.8%	21.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kole	2017	26.2%	34.8%	19.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kolwezi	2000	73.6%	86.6%	59.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kolwezi	2017	64.4%	78.7%	51.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo	2000	33.5%	42.6%	22.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo	2017	28.6%	38.3%	19.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo (ville)	2000	21.2%	43.4%	6.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo (ville)	2017	19.1%	43.3%	5.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kungu	2000	30.3%	43.0%	18.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kungu	2017	26.9%	39.3%	15.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kutu	2000	31.8%	39.7%	24.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kutu	2017	27.8%	35.4%	21.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kwamouth	2000	43.1%	51.2%	34.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kwamouth	2017	36.0%	46.5%	25.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Libenge	2000	31.0%	41.5%	22.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Libenge	2017	27.1%	35.3%	18.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Likasi	2000	87.1%	96.7%	74.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Likasi	2017	84.5%	95.8%	70.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala	2000	35.9%	47.3%	26.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala	2017	33.0%	44.6%	23.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala (ville)	2000	8.0%	13.8%	4.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala (ville)	2017	7.4%	11.7%	4.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja	2000	31.7%	41.5%	23.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja	2017	26.1%	36.1%	18.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja (ville)	2000	17.8%	22.2%	14.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja (ville)	2017	14.2%	19.2%	9.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lomela	2000	28.0%	33.4%	22.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lomela	2017	25.2%	30.3%	20.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao	2000	33.7%	42.0%	26.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao	2017	29.3%	36.9%	22.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao (ville)	2000	29.7%	55.6%	9.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao (ville)	2017	19.3%	40.1%	6.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubefu	2000	29.5%	38.5%	20.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubefu	2017	25.3%	33.3%	17.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubero	2000	52.1%	60.0%	44.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubero	2017	49.2%	57.6%	40.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubudi	2000	38.7%	53.7%	26.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubudi	2017	34.7%	48.1%	23.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubumbashi	2000	61.7%	67.4%	54.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubumbashi	2017	45.5%	52.8%	37.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu	2000	24.1%	31.7%	17.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu	2017	22.6%	31.6%	15.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu (ville)	2000	23.3%	28.9%	18.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu (ville)	2017	28.1%	34.2%	22.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo	2000	29.7%	39.7%	20.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo	2017	27.9%	37.8%	18.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo (ville)	2000	11.0%	31.4%	5.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo (ville)	2017	11.4%	33.1%	5.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luilu	2000	43.9%	52.9%	35.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luilu	2017	38.3%	47.0%	31.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luiza	2000	29.3%	38.5%	20.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luiza	2017	26.2%	34.9%	18.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukalaba	2000	74.4%	81.7%	69.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukalaba	2017	77.3%	83.9%	72.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukolela	2000	20.5%	35.6%	10.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukolela	2017	17.9%	30.6%	9.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula	2000	24.6%	40.2%	10.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula	2017	22.6%	37.7%	9.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula (ville)	2000	49.9%	88.3%	8.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula (ville)	2017	47.3%	89.1%	6.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luozi	2000	38.8%	52.3%	27.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luozi	2017	36.1%	48.2%	25.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lupatapata	2000	71.6%	76.5%	67.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lupatapata	2017	57.8%	62.8%	53.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo	2000	28.9%	39.3%	18.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo	2017	25.6%	36.7%	15.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo (ville)	2000	25.1%	64.1%	5.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo (ville)	2017	19.5%	48.9%	4.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Madimba	2000	23.8%	35.8%	15.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Madimba	2017	20.2%	31.7%	11.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi	2000	41.1%	52.5%	31.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi	2017	35.3%	46.3%	26.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi (ville)	2000	28.1%	62.7%	6.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi (ville)	2017	23.3%	54.9%	4.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Makanza	2000	38.1%	52.4%	25.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Makanza	2017	36.3%	52.0%	24.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Malemba-Nkulu	2000	32.8%	43.1%	22.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Malemba-Nkulu	2017	26.6%	37.3%	18.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mambasa	2000	31.8%	42.9%	21.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mambasa	2017	27.0%	35.7%	18.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mangai	2000	3.0%	10.1%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mangai	2017	3.3%	10.8%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono	2000	34.6%	43.1%	26.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono	2017	29.3%	36.8%	22.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono (ville)	2000	28.2%	50.9%	9.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono (ville)	2017	24.2%	45.2%	6.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba	2000	35.5%	43.9%	27.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba	2017	32.6%	41.9%	24.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba (ville)	2000	50.6%	68.2%	34.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba (ville)	2017	26.9%	39.6%	17.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masisi	2000	37.0%	54.4%	21.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masisi	2017	35.1%	52.6%	20.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Matadi	2000	98.0%	99.9%	95.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Matadi	2017	94.7%	99.6%	87.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbandaka	2000	49.7%	65.7%	37.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbandaka	2017	49.2%	64.2%	37.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu	2000	47.1%	56.2%	38.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu	2017	41.2%	49.8%	33.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu (ville)	2000	76.8%	84.2%	56.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu (ville)	2017	70.5%	81.4%	53.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbuji-Mayi	2000	84.2%	87.3%	80.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbuji-Mayi	2017	73.7%	79.0%	66.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi	2000	41.0%	56.7%	26.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi	2017	35.1%	51.2%	20.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi (ville)	2000	35.6%	46.7%	23.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi (ville)	2017	37.1%	49.9%	24.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mitwaba	2000	36.5%	46.0%	27.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mitwaba	2017	31.1%	39.9%	23.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda	2000	37.6%	51.3%	26.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda	2017	33.1%	44.3%	23.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda (ville)	2000	35.3%	90.5%	1.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda (ville)	2017	28.7%	83.2%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moba	2000	37.8%	49.8%	27.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moba	2017	33.1%	43.6%	23.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo	2000	34.8%	49.1%	21.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo	2017	31.1%	44.9%	17.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo (ville)	2000	36.4%	99.0%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo (ville)	2017	33.7%	98.0%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mongwalu	2000	50.2%	95.8%	13.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mongwalu	2017	35.2%	82.4%	8.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Monkoto	2000	32.2%	42.5%	23.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Monkoto	2017	29.6%	39.6%	21.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mushie	2000	28.5%	40.8%	18.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mushie	2017	26.0%	38.1%	16.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mutshatsha	2000	46.7%	56.7%	37.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mutshatsha	2017	39.8%	49.2%	30.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mweka	2000	30.5%	39.2%	22.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mweka	2017	28.0%	36.4%	19.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwene-Ditu	2000	36.0%	43.3%	28.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwene-Ditu	2017	35.0%	41.7%	27.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwenga	2000	31.2%	40.9%	21.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwenga	2017	30.6%	40.2%	20.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Namoya	2000	23.7%	89.6%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Namoya	2017	21.6%	91.0%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika	2000	42.3%	52.5%	32.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika	2017	39.9%	49.0%	31.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika (ville)	2000	38.5%	45.0%	30.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika (ville)	2017	39.0%	46.4%	30.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Niangara	2000	32.5%	45.0%	22.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Niangara	2017	28.8%	41.9%	18.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nioki	2000	42.8%	66.6%	20.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nioki	2017	43.0%	68.9%	18.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyiragongo	2000	34.1%	62.9%	14.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyiragongo	2017	27.1%	55.8%	10.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyunzu	2000	42.1%	51.7%	32.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyunzu	2017	35.7%	45.0%	26.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oicha	2000	50.0%	60.4%	40.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oïcha	2017	48.1%	57.9%	39.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oïcha (ville)	2000	87.8%	96.4%	75.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oïcha (ville)	2017	88.0%	96.8%	75.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Opala	2000	34.4%	44.4%	25.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Opala	2017	31.6%	40.9%	22.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oshwe	2000	28.7%	35.1%	22.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oshwe	2017	25.5%	31.8%	19.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pangi	2000	34.0%	42.1%	26.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pangi	2017	31.5%	38.3%	24.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Poko	2000	31.2%	41.5%	20.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Poko	2017	27.2%	38.5%	17.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Popokabaka	2000	30.0%	41.6%	19.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Popokabaka	2017	26.0%	36.4%	16.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia	2000	29.9%	40.4%	22.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia	2017	29.3%	41.5%	20.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia (ville)	2000	43.7%	69.3%	22.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia (ville)	2017	46.4%	72.4%	25.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pweto	2000	37.7%	47.9%	28.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pweto	2017	33.4%	42.5%	25.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rungu	2000	32.0%	45.9%	20.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rungu	2017	28.2%	39.6%	18.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru	2000	42.1%	52.5%	31.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru	2017	38.5%	51.7%	26.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru (ville)	2000	64.2%	89.4%	41.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru (ville)	2017	40.6%	84.2%	13.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sakania	2000	36.5%	53.9%	22.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sakania	2017	31.7%	46.7%	19.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sandoa	2000	39.0%	48.9%	30.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sandoa	2017	34.3%	43.2%	26.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Seke-Banza	2000	45.2%	59.4%	33.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Seke-Banza	2017	37.4%	52.2%	26.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda	2000	31.8%	40.5%	23.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda	2017	27.2%	35.3%	20.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda (ville)	2000	35.4%	48.1%	23.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda (ville)	2017	38.0%	51.7%	24.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Songololo	2000	39.8%	51.5%	30.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Songololo	2017	33.2%	44.0%	24.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela	2000	43.8%	54.7%	34.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela	2017	41.5%	52.5%	32.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela (ville)	2000	33.5%	47.6%	27.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela (ville)	2017	36.0%	51.7%	27.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshikapa	2000	57.9%	65.9%	50.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshikapa	2017	59.1%	66.6%	51.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge	2000	36.9%	52.9%	22.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge	2017	37.2%	54.6%	21.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge (ville)	2000	68.0%	89.1%	41.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge (ville)	2017	59.2%	80.1%	35.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshimbulu	2000	19.6%	24.3%	15.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshimbulu	2017	17.8%	22.9%	13.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ubundu	2000	34.5%	44.3%	25.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ubundu	2017	31.4%	41.6%	22.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira	2000	36.0%	49.5%	23.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira	2017	28.4%	41.5%	17.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira (ville)	2000	44.9%	54.5%	37.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira (ville)	2017	16.2%	25.2%	11.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walikale	2000	30.9%	39.6%	22.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walikale	2017	26.2%	34.2%	19.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walungu	2000	44.2%	59.0%	27.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walungu	2017	42.6%	57.5%	27.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba	2000	33.0%	49.9%	20.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba	2017	28.4%	43.4%	16.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba (ville)	2000	23.2%	78.3%	2.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba (ville)	2017	18.5%	66.6%	1.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa	2000	36.1%	43.8%	27.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa	2017	31.5%	38.1%	24.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa (ville)	2000	47.0%	71.6%	33.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa (ville)	2017	42.5%	62.0%	33.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yahuma	2000	30.4%	41.0%	21.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yahuma	2017	26.5%	35.9%	18.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yakoma	2000	28.3%	41.3%	18.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yakoma	2017	25.5%	37.5%	15.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yangambi	2000	34.2%	95.9%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yangambi	2017	31.1%	94.8%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yumbi	2000	17.4%	39.3%	3.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yumbi	2017	13.5%	32.5%	2.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Zongo	2000	26.7%	62.1%	4.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Zongo	2017	24.8%	58.6%	4.1%
Eritrea	Adi Keyih	2000	60.1%	64.8%	54.4%
Eritrea	Adi Keyih	2017	60.4%	65.0%	54.7%
Eritrea	Adi Kwala	2000	53.4%	59.0%	48.6%
Eritrea	Adi Kwala	2017	53.5%	59.2%	48.6%
Eritrea	Adi Teklezan	2000	68.5%	72.8%	63.8%
Eritrea	Adi Teklezan	2017	69.2%	73.8%	64.0%
Eritrea	Afabet	2000	68.5%	71.8%	65.1%
Eritrea	Afabet	2017	69.5%	72.6%	66.1%
Eritrea	Akordat	2000	71.6%	78.7%	66.2%
Eritrea	Akordat	2017	71.7%	78.9%	66.2%
Eritrea	Areta'	2000	79.8%	82.8%	76.9%
Eritrea	Areta'	2017	79.9%	82.8%	76.9%
Eritrea	Areza	2000	59.1%	62.0%	56.6%
Eritrea	Areza	2017	59.4%	62.3%	56.9%
Eritrea	Asmara City	2000	91.4%	94.7%	87.5%
Eritrea	Asmara City	2017	91.6%	94.9%	87.6%
Eritrea	Asmat	2000	62.6%	65.7%	59.1%
Eritrea	Asmat	2017	62.7%	65.9%	59.3%
Eritrea	Barentu	2000	73.5%	77.6%	69.5%
Eritrea	Barentu	2017	73.6%	77.7%	69.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Eritrea	Berikh	2000	92.3%	94.5%	90.1%
Eritrea	Berikh	2017	91.3%	93.8%	88.9%
Eritrea	Central So. Red-Sea	2000	81.1%	84.3%	77.6%
Eritrea	Central So. Red-Sea	2017	81.0%	84.4%	77.5%
Eritrea	Dahlak	2000	74.5%	82.3%	65.7%
Eritrea	Dahlak	2017	74.5%	82.7%	65.7%
Eritrea	Dekemehare	2000	56.3%	59.1%	53.5%
Eritrea	Dekemehare	2017	56.3%	59.1%	53.6%
Eritrea	Dghe	2000	72.2%	76.4%	68.3%
Eritrea	Dghe	2017	72.0%	76.3%	67.9%
Eritrea	Dibarwa	2000	58.4%	63.1%	54.9%
Eritrea	Dibarwa	2017	59.1%	64.1%	55.7%
Eritrea	Elabered	2000	65.9%	69.6%	62.2%
Eritrea	Elabered	2017	66.3%	70.1%	62.6%
Eritrea	Foro	2000	71.6%	75.5%	67.9%
Eritrea	Foro	2017	73.0%	77.0%	69.1%
Eritrea	Forto	2000	75.2%	79.8%	70.6%
Eritrea	Forto	2017	75.1%	79.7%	70.6%
Eritrea	Ghala Nefhi	2000	74.6%	79.6%	70.5%
Eritrea	Ghala Nefhi	2017	74.2%	79.3%	70.0%
Eritrea	Ghelaelo'	2000	69.5%	73.5%	65.5%
Eritrea	Ghelaelo'	2017	69.9%	73.8%	66.0%
Eritrea	Gheleb	2000	66.0%	71.5%	60.6%
Eritrea	Gheleb	2017	66.2%	71.9%	60.7%
Eritrea	Ghida'e	2000	70.2%	74.2%	66.4%
Eritrea	Ghida'e	2017	70.4%	74.2%	66.5%
Eritrea	Gogne	2000	73.9%	77.3%	70.4%
Eritrea	Gogne	2017	73.9%	77.2%	70.5%
Eritrea	Habero	2000	63.9%	68.3%	59.7%
Eritrea	Habero	2017	64.0%	68.4%	59.7%
Eritrea	Hagaz	2000	70.1%	73.8%	66.3%
Eritrea	Hagaz	2017	69.6%	73.2%	65.7%
Eritrea	Halhal	2000	64.9%	68.7%	61.2%
Eritrea	Halhal	2017	65.0%	68.6%	61.3%
Eritrea	Haykota	2000	73.2%	77.6%	68.3%
Eritrea	Haykota	2017	73.3%	77.9%	68.2%
Eritrea	Karora	2000	65.8%	68.9%	62.2%
Eritrea	Karora	2017	66.0%	69.1%	62.4%
Eritrea	Keren	2000	64.9%	69.5%	60.4%
Eritrea	Keren	2017	65.5%	70.1%	61.1%
Eritrea	Kerke Bet	2000	65.7%	70.1%	61.3%
Eritrea	Kerke Bet	2017	65.8%	70.6%	61.1%
Eritrea	Kudo Bu'er	2000	53.7%	58.5%	50.4%
Eritrea	Kudo Bu'er	2017	53.5%	58.2%	50.2%
Eritrea	La'Elay Gash	2000	73.7%	77.4%	69.6%
Eritrea	La'Elay Gash	2017	73.9%	77.4%	70.0%
Eritrea	Logo Anseba	2000	72.0%	76.2%	67.9%
Eritrea	Logo Anseba	2017	72.3%	76.5%	68.3%
Eritrea	Mansura	2000	72.1%	75.5%	68.8%
Eritrea	Mansura	2017	72.2%	75.5%	68.8%
Eritrea	May Mine	2000	59.3%	64.0%	55.1%
Eritrea	May Mine	2017	59.5%	64.3%	55.2%
Eritrea	Mendefera	2000	55.5%	60.2%	52.1%
Eritrea	Mendefera	2017	55.0%	59.4%	51.5%
Eritrea	Mitswa'e City	2000	71.0%	76.9%	65.5%
Eritrea	Mitswa'e City	2017	71.7%	77.6%	66.1%
Eritrea	Mogolo	2000	73.1%	76.9%	69.1%
Eritrea	Mogolo	2017	73.2%	77.0%	69.3%
Eritrea	Nakfa	2000	68.0%	74.2%	62.8%
Eritrea	Nakfa	2017	68.2%	74.3%	62.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Eritrea	Omhajer	2000	73.8%	77.2%	69.8%
Eritrea	Omhajer	2017	74.0%	77.3%	69.8%
Eritrea	Segeneyiti	2000	56.5%	60.9%	53.3%
Eritrea	Segeneyiti	2017	56.8%	61.1%	53.5%
Eritrea	Sel'a	2000	63.9%	67.5%	60.7%
Eritrea	Sel'a	2017	64.1%	67.6%	60.9%
Eritrea	Senafe	2000	58.6%	64.7%	53.5%
Eritrea	Senafe	2017	58.4%	64.7%	53.3%
Eritrea	Serejeka	2000	81.6%	86.7%	75.9%
Eritrea	Serejeka	2017	81.4%	86.5%	75.7%
Eritrea	Sheib	2000	74.2%	77.5%	70.5%
Eritrea	Sheib	2017	74.5%	77.9%	70.7%
Eritrea	Shemboko	2000	71.8%	74.4%	69.1%
Eritrea	Shemboko	2017	72.0%	74.8%	69.4%
Eritrea	So. Southern Red-Sea	2000	80.7%	83.3%	77.6%
Eritrea	So. Southern Red-Sea	2017	80.8%	83.5%	77.5%
Eritrea	Teseneye	2000	80.4%	85.6%	74.6%
Eritrea	Teseneye	2017	81.0%	86.1%	75.3%
Eritrea	Tsorena	2000	56.7%	62.0%	51.8%
Eritrea	Tsorena	2017	57.1%	62.4%	52.2%
Ethiopia	Addis Abeba	2000	92.6%	93.5%	91.6%
Ethiopia	Addis Abeba	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.0%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 1	2000	31.2%	35.4%	27.1%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 1	2017	63.9%	67.5%	60.3%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 2	2000	31.1%	37.0%	25.6%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 2	2017	63.9%	68.9%	57.4%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 3	2000	37.8%	43.1%	31.4%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 3	2017	65.1%	70.6%	58.4%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 4	2000	25.0%	31.5%	19.7%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 4	2017	57.5%	64.0%	50.3%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 5	2000	27.9%	33.5%	22.5%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 5	2017	60.0%	65.2%	54.3%
Ethiopia	Afder	2000	29.6%	33.8%	25.9%
Ethiopia	Afder	2017	66.7%	70.2%	63.2%
Ethiopia	Agew Awi	2000	32.2%	39.7%	24.4%
Ethiopia	Agew Awi	2017	70.1%	76.5%	62.7%
Ethiopia	Agnuak	2000	27.2%	30.5%	23.9%
Ethiopia	Agnuak	2017	64.9%	68.8%	61.1%
Ethiopia	Alaba	2000	54.0%	64.0%	44.6%
Ethiopia	Alaba	2017	84.1%	90.3%	76.3%
Ethiopia	Alle	2000	36.4%	60.4%	17.3%
Ethiopia	Alle	2017	74.7%	89.3%	52.6%
Ethiopia	Amaro	2000	30.2%	47.2%	15.7%
Ethiopia	Amaro	2017	67.3%	79.5%	50.7%
Ethiopia	Argoba	2000	17.2%	29.3%	9.9%
Ethiopia	Argoba	2017	66.2%	82.1%	49.8%
Ethiopia	Arsi	2000	28.7%	33.5%	24.2%
Ethiopia	Arsi	2017	66.8%	71.5%	62.9%
Ethiopia	Asosa	2000	42.7%	45.8%	40.0%
Ethiopia	Asosa	2017	80.8%	83.0%	78.5%
Ethiopia	Bahir Dar Special Zone	2000	51.2%	55.2%	44.6%
Ethiopia	Bahir Dar Special Zone	2017	88.0%	91.7%	84.1%
Ethiopia	Bale	2000	28.7%	34.2%	23.1%
Ethiopia	Bale	2017	65.8%	70.4%	60.9%
Ethiopia	Basketo	2000	41.0%	72.1%	13.0%
Ethiopia	Basketo	2017	69.6%	90.7%	40.5%
Ethiopia	Bench Maji	2000	29.5%	37.7%	22.6%
Ethiopia	Bench Maji	2017	68.8%	75.1%	61.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Borena	2000	32.0%	37.7%	26.5%
Ethiopia	Borena	2017	70.3%	74.7%	65.2%
Ethiopia	Burji	2000	31.2%	57.6%	12.2%
Ethiopia	Burji	2017	67.7%	83.4%	48.5%
Ethiopia	Dawro	2000	34.8%	47.0%	22.9%
Ethiopia	Dawro	2017	71.7%	80.9%	60.4%
Ethiopia	Debub	2000	24.6%	30.0%	20.4%
Ethiopia	Gondar				
Ethiopia	Debub	2017	64.7%	69.5%	60.2%
Ethiopia	Gondar				
Ethiopia	Debub Mirab	2000	36.1%	43.1%	28.7%
Ethiopia	Shewa				
Ethiopia	Debub Mirab	2017	72.5%	78.4%	66.4%
Ethiopia	Shewa				
Ethiopia	Debub Omo	2000	29.7%	37.7%	22.0%
Ethiopia	Debub Omo	2017	67.4%	74.2%	58.8%
Ethiopia	Debub Wollo	2000	34.0%	39.6%	29.0%
Ethiopia	Debub Wollo	2017	72.0%	76.7%	66.7%
Ethiopia	Debubawi	2000	51.4%	56.0%	47.3%
Ethiopia	Debubawi	2017	82.1%	85.3%	78.6%
Ethiopia	Derashe	2000	26.9%	41.4%	14.4%
Ethiopia	Derashe	2017	58.6%	71.8%	44.2%
Ethiopia	Dire Dawa	2000	61.5%	63.1%	59.9%
Ethiopia	Dire Dawa	2017	90.9%	91.6%	90.2%
Ethiopia	Doolo	2000	29.7%	35.4%	24.3%
Ethiopia	Doolo	2017	67.6%	72.6%	62.6%
Ethiopia	Fafan	2000	32.9%	37.4%	29.0%
Ethiopia	Fafan	2017	71.7%	75.4%	67.3%
Ethiopia	Gamo Gofa	2000	26.3%	32.7%	20.9%
Ethiopia	Gamo Gofa	2017	62.5%	67.9%	56.1%
Ethiopia	Gedeo	2000	33.5%	43.8%	26.8%
Ethiopia	Gedeo	2017	70.4%	75.6%	64.2%
Ethiopia	Guji	2000	23.2%	29.8%	18.0%
Ethiopia	Guji	2017	57.7%	64.1%	50.8%
Ethiopia	Gurage	2000	31.4%	36.6%	26.7%
Ethiopia	Gurage	2017	69.2%	73.7%	64.8%
Ethiopia	Hadiya	2000	29.6%	34.4%	25.3%
Ethiopia	Hadiya	2017	68.3%	72.6%	63.9%
Ethiopia	Hareri	2000	36.5%	37.9%	35.0%
Ethiopia	Hareri	2017	82.9%	83.9%	81.8%
Ethiopia	Horo Guduru	2000	26.6%	34.6%	19.8%
Ethiopia	Horo Guduru	2017	68.4%	75.7%	61.5%
Ethiopia	Ilubabor	2000	30.6%	37.0%	25.4%
Ethiopia	Ilubabor	2017	69.4%	75.0%	63.8%
Ethiopia	Jarar	2000	28.6%	34.9%	23.5%
Ethiopia	Jarar	2017	66.8%	72.7%	60.7%
Ethiopia	Jimma	2000	31.2%	36.8%	26.7%
Ethiopia	Jimma	2017	69.7%	73.9%	65.7%
Ethiopia	Keffa	2000	26.0%	32.9%	20.1%
Ethiopia	Keffa	2017	64.2%	71.1%	57.7%
Ethiopia	Kelem	2000	31.5%	40.7%	23.6%
Ethiopia	Wellega				
Ethiopia	Kelem	2017	70.1%	77.8%	61.1%
Ethiopia	Wellega				
Ethiopia	Kemashi	2000	30.3%	35.9%	24.7%
Ethiopia	Kemashi	2017	67.5%	73.5%	61.2%
Ethiopia	Kembata	2000	47.4%	54.9%	38.0%
Ethiopia	Tembaro				
Ethiopia	Kembata	2017	79.3%	83.4%	73.5%
Ethiopia	Tembaro				
Ethiopia	Konso	2000	34.6%	52.1%	19.4%
Ethiopia	Konso	2017	77.1%	87.5%	64.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Konta	2000	26.2%	45.3%	11.5%
Ethiopia	Konta	2017	62.1%	76.3%	42.6%
Ethiopia	Korahe	2000	28.5%	33.6%	23.5%
Ethiopia	Korahe	2017	65.7%	70.4%	60.6%
Ethiopia	Liben	2000	30.7%	35.2%	26.2%
Ethiopia	Liben	2017	68.7%	72.5%	64.5%
Ethiopia	Majang	2000	24.4%	28.3%	20.5%
Ethiopia	Majang	2017	75.4%	79.0%	71.9%
Ethiopia	Mehakelegnaw	2000	38.5%	43.0%	34.0%
Ethiopia	Mehakelegnaw	2017	77.1%	80.9%	73.0%
Ethiopia	Metekel	2000	30.0%	33.3%	27.0%
Ethiopia	Metekel	2017	69.7%	72.5%	66.8%
Ethiopia	Mi'irabawi	2000	36.4%	43.3%	30.2%
Ethiopia	Mi'irabawi	2017	73.9%	79.2%	68.5%
Ethiopia	Mirab Arsi	2000	32.9%	38.2%	28.5%
Ethiopia	Mirab Arsi	2017	69.8%	74.9%	64.9%
Ethiopia	Mirab Gojjam	2000	32.8%	37.7%	27.5%
Ethiopia	Mirab Gojjam	2017	73.2%	77.2%	68.8%
Ethiopia	Mirab	2000	29.1%	34.9%	24.4%
Ethiopia	Hararghe				
Ethiopia	Mirab Hararghe	2017	66.9%	72.2%	61.6%
Ethiopia	Mirab Shewa	2000	31.9%	36.5%	27.3%
Ethiopia	Mirab Shewa	2017	68.4%	72.9%	63.6%
Ethiopia	Mirab Welega	2000	28.1%	33.4%	22.7%
Ethiopia	Mirab Welega	2017	67.0%	72.1%	61.6%
Ethiopia	Misraq Gojjam	2000	28.4%	34.6%	23.5%
Ethiopia	Misraq Gojjam	2017	69.8%	75.2%	64.8%
Ethiopia	Misraq Harerge	2000	24.4%	27.6%	21.3%
Ethiopia	Misraq Harerge	2017	66.0%	69.6%	61.6%
Ethiopia	Misraq Shewa	2000	40.2%	45.6%	35.1%
Ethiopia	Misraq Shewa	2017	73.3%	77.9%	68.0%
Ethiopia	Misraq Wellega	2000	25.9%	31.8%	20.1%
Ethiopia	Misraq Wellega	2017	66.2%	72.1%	59.8%
Ethiopia	Misraqawi	2000	44.0%	49.6%	39.3%
Ethiopia	Misraqawi	2017	79.1%	83.0%	75.0%
Ethiopia	Nogob	2000	31.2%	38.8%	24.0%
Ethiopia	Nogob	2017	70.3%	76.7%	64.1%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2000	32.3%	37.8%	26.5%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2000	26.8%	31.5%	22.4%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2017	71.8%	76.9%	66.3%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2017	67.0%	71.2%	61.9%
Ethiopia	Nuer	2000	26.4%	30.9%	22.3%
Ethiopia	Nuer	2017	69.4%	73.9%	64.7%
Ethiopia	Oromia	2000	24.4%	33.7%	18.3%
Ethiopia	Oromia	2017	64.1%	73.6%	55.2%
Ethiopia	Semen	2000	28.4%	32.8%	24.3%
Ethiopia	Gondar				
Ethiopia	Semen Gondar	2017	67.5%	71.7%	63.3%
Ethiopia	Semen Wello	2000	28.2%	33.5%	23.4%
Ethiopia	Semen Wello	2017	68.1%	73.3%	62.8%
Ethiopia	Semien	2000	34.6%	40.5%	28.9%
Ethiopia	Mi'irabaw				
Ethiopia	Semien Mi'irabaw	2017	71.7%	76.8%	65.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Shabelle	2000	27.9%	34.4%	23.3%
Ethiopia	Shabelle	2017	67.2%	72.8%	61.1%
Ethiopia	Sheka	2000	31.7%	46.0%	19.5%
Ethiopia	Sheka	2017	76.0%	84.8%	65.0%
Ethiopia	Sidama	2000	32.5%	36.3%	29.0%
Ethiopia	Sidama	2017	72.1%	75.1%	69.0%
Ethiopia	Silti	2000	33.5%	44.1%	24.4%
Ethiopia	Silti	2017	72.5%	80.6%	63.6%
Ethiopia	Siti	2000	32.1%	36.9%	27.6%
Ethiopia	Siti	2017	66.6%	70.8%	61.9%
Ethiopia	Wag Himra	2000	27.5%	36.4%	19.4%
Ethiopia	Wag Himra	2017	67.9%	74.8%	59.1%
Ethiopia	Wolayita	2000	35.2%	39.9%	30.9%
Ethiopia	Wolayita	2017	78.1%	81.9%	74.5%
Ethiopia	Yem	2000	22.7%	44.7%	7.0%
Ethiopia	Yem	2017	58.3%	77.4%	35.6%
Gabon	Abanga-Bigné	2000	57.3%	66.2%	47.8%
Gabon	Abanga-Bigné	2017	80.3%	86.4%	73.1%
Gabon	Basse Banio	2000	53.8%	63.0%	41.6%
Gabon	Basse Banio	2017	77.0%	83.6%	69.5%
Gabon	Bendjé	2000	62.1%	69.6%	53.3%
Gabon	Bendjé	2017	82.0%	87.1%	75.6%
Gabon	Boumi-lowetsi	2000	51.4%	61.4%	42.3%
Gabon	Boumi-lowetsi	2017	76.7%	83.6%	70.1%
Gabon	Dola	2000	63.2%	74.0%	53.7%
Gabon	Dola	2017	83.2%	89.7%	76.5%
Gabon	Douigny	2000	52.8%	67.4%	38.9%
Gabon	Douigny	2017	76.6%	85.4%	65.4%
Gabon	Douya Onoye	2000	72.3%	79.9%	63.6%
Gabon	Douya Onoye	2017	87.7%	92.6%	80.8%
Gabon	Étimboué	2000	58.6%	65.4%	51.3%
Gabon	Étimboué	2017	81.3%	86.0%	76.4%
Gabon	Haut-Como	2000	52.9%	69.2%	39.5%
Gabon	Haut-Como	2017	78.3%	88.5%	68.1%
Gabon	Haut-Ntem	2000	49.9%	57.2%	43.3%
Gabon	Haut-Ntem	2017	76.8%	81.7%	71.4%
Gabon	Haute-Banio	2000	43.8%	60.1%	28.1%
Gabon	Haute-Banio	2017	68.9%	81.6%	56.0%
Gabon	Ivindo	2000	53.8%	60.0%	48.0%
Gabon	Ivindo	2017	78.1%	82.6%	73.2%
Gabon	Komo	2000	58.4%	69.4%	47.5%
Gabon	Komo	2017	80.7%	86.8%	72.5%
Gabon	Komo-Mondah	2000	90.2%	93.3%	85.6%
Gabon	Komo-Mondah	2017	96.1%	97.6%	93.7%
Gabon	Léboumbi-Leyou	2000	68.3%	79.5%	56.7%
Gabon	Léboumbi-Leyou	2017	83.4%	90.9%	75.9%
Gabon	Léconi-Djoué	2000	52.7%	64.6%	41.4%
Gabon	Léconi-Djoué	2017	76.3%	83.3%	67.9%
Gabon	Lékoko	2000	55.1%	64.3%	44.7%
Gabon	Lékoko	2017	78.8%	85.2%	71.2%
Gabon	Lolo Bouen-guidi	2000	53.7%	60.6%	47.3%
Gabon	Lolo Bouen-guidi	2017	77.0%	82.3%	71.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Gabon	Lombo-Bouenguidi	2000	53.2%	62.3%	43.4%
Gabon	Lombo-Bouenguidi	2017	77.1%	83.8%	68.6%
Gabon	Lopé	2000	51.9%	59.9%	44.8%
Gabon	Lopé	2017	77.9%	83.1%	72.6%
Gabon	Louetsi-Wano	2000	62.8%	76.4%	48.3%
Gabon	Louetsi-Wano	2017	85.2%	93.4%	75.3%
Gabon	Mougoutsi	2000	55.5%	63.7%	47.7%
Gabon	Mougoutsi	2017	77.9%	83.2%	71.7%
Gabon	Mouloudnou	2000	56.2%	63.0%	49.4%
Gabon	Mouloudnou	2017	79.5%	84.2%	74.7%
Gabon	Mpassa	2000	61.5%	69.0%	54.2%
Gabon	Mpassa	2017	80.9%	86.6%	75.1%
Gabon	Mvoug	2000	54.4%	66.4%	44.1%
Gabon	Mvoug	2017	78.7%	85.8%	70.9%
Gabon	Ndolou	2000	62.0%	71.2%	52.5%
Gabon	Ndolou	2017	84.1%	89.9%	76.2%
Gabon	Ndougou	2000	56.1%	64.9%	47.2%
Gabon	Ndougou	2017	77.7%	84.4%	71.0%
Gabon	Noya	2000	56.6%	68.1%	44.4%
Gabon	Noya	2017	78.4%	86.2%	69.8%
Gabon	Ntem	2000	55.3%	67.4%	43.8%
Gabon	Ntem	2017	81.6%	88.5%	73.3%
Gabon	Ogooué et des Lacs	2000	59.0%	67.1%	51.2%
Gabon	Ogooué et des Lacs	2017	80.3%	85.5%	74.8%
Gabon	Ogoulou	2000	52.9%	61.4%	44.4%
Gabon	Ogoulou	2017	77.3%	83.7%	70.9%
Gabon	Okano	2000	57.3%	64.6%	51.2%
Gabon	Okano	2017	80.8%	85.8%	75.8%
Gabon	Plateaux	2000	53.9%	64.9%	43.6%
Gabon	Plateaux	2017	77.7%	85.2%	69.6%
Gabon	Sébé-Brikolo	2000	51.7%	59.2%	43.9%
Gabon	Sébé-Brikolo	2017	76.6%	81.7%	70.8%
Gabon	Tsamba Mangotsi	2000	59.1%	65.9%	51.5%
Gabon	Tsamba Mangotsi	2017	81.7%	86.3%	75.4%
Gabon	Woleu	2000	60.7%	68.8%	52.0%
Gabon	Woleu	2017	83.6%	89.4%	77.5%
Gabon	Zadié	2000	47.3%	54.1%	40.1%
Gabon	Zadié	2017	75.1%	79.9%	69.9%
Gambia	Banjul	2000	89.2%	100.0%	18.7%
Gambia	Banjul	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Gambia	Central Bad-dibu	2000	67.1%	99.4%	27.1%
Gambia	Central Bad-dibu	2017	75.2%	100.0%	37.8%
Gambia	Foni Bintang Karanai	2000	72.3%	99.1%	38.8%
Gambia	Foni Bintang Karanai	2017	78.4%	100.0%	42.6%
Gambia	Foni Bondali	2000	77.6%	100.0%	39.3%
Gambia	Foni Bondali	2017	87.1%	100.0%	51.2%
Gambia	Foni Brefet	2000	53.0%	84.7%	19.1%
Gambia	Foni Brefet	2017	49.5%	90.7%	17.8%
Gambia	Foni Jarrol	2000	73.4%	100.0%	35.3%
Gambia	Foni Jarrol	2017	81.5%	100.0%	35.4%
Gambia	Foni Kansala	2000	69.7%	99.1%	31.1%
Gambia	Foni Kansala	2017	84.3%	100.0%	57.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Gambia	Fulladu East	2000	74.3%	92.9%	45.3%
Gambia	Fulladu East	2017	84.7%	98.5%	61.1%
Gambia	Fulladu West	2000	82.1%	97.9%	62.5%
Gambia	Fulladu West	2017	87.7%	99.9%	68.2%
Gambia	Janjanbureh	2000	96.3%	100.0%	84.2%
Gambia	Janjanbureh	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.3%
Gambia	Jarra Central	2000	85.2%	100.0%	52.0%
Gambia	Jarra Central	2017	89.6%	100.0%	58.3%
Gambia	Jarra East	2000	81.3%	100.0%	45.7%
Gambia	Jarra East	2017	87.3%	100.0%	46.2%
Gambia	Jarra West	2000	95.9%	100.0%	78.6%
Gambia	Jarra West	2017	97.4%	100.0%	88.2%
Gambia	Jokadu	2000	86.4%	100.0%	63.7%
Gambia	Jokadu	2017	90.5%	100.0%	66.7%
Gambia	Kanifing	2000	99.3%	100.0%	93.5%
Gambia	Kanifing	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Gambia	Kantora	2000	78.3%	99.8%	41.9%
Gambia	Kantora	2017	85.7%	100.0%	56.3%
Gambia	Kiang Central	2000	84.7%	100.0%	59.0%
Gambia	Kiang Central	2017	89.2%	100.0%	64.7%
Gambia	Kiang East	2000	88.3%	100.0%	38.4%
Gambia	Kiang East	2017	93.1%	100.0%	57.3%
Gambia	Kiang West	2000	71.9%	92.7%	50.8%
Gambia	Kiang West	2017	80.0%	97.1%	58.0%
Gambia	Kombo Central	2000	90.7%	100.0%	60.2%
Gambia	Kombo Central	2017	96.0%	100.0%	72.6%
Gambia	Kombo East	2000	85.5%	100.0%	64.5%
Gambia	Kombo East	2017	92.8%	100.0%	81.1%
Gambia	Kombo Saint Mary	2000	92.2%	100.0%	77.1%
Gambia	Kombo Saint Mary	2017	88.9%	100.0%	63.5%
Gambia	Kombo South	2000	83.7%	100.0%	59.9%
Gambia	Kombo South	2017	88.2%	100.0%	67.5%
Gambia	Lower Bad-dibu	2000	84.6%	100.0%	67.7%
Gambia	Lower Bad-dibu	2017	91.3%	100.0%	74.7%
Gambia	Lower Nuimi	2000	84.6%	100.0%	48.5%
Gambia	Lower Nuimi	2017	89.7%	100.0%	61.0%
Gambia	Lower Saloum	2000	77.1%	100.0%	34.9%
Gambia	Lower Saloum	2017	83.2%	100.0%	44.0%
Gambia	Niamina	2000	70.8%	100.0%	29.7%
Gambia	Dankunku Niamina	2017	80.7%	100.0%	42.8%
Gambia	Niamina East	2000	77.4%	100.0%	46.9%
Gambia	Niamina East	2017	83.6%	100.0%	54.0%
Gambia	Niamina West	2000	78.7%	100.0%	37.8%
Gambia	Niamina West	2017	84.1%	100.0%	45.2%
Gambia	Niani	2000	77.2%	98.9%	47.8%
Gambia	Niani	2017	83.1%	99.9%	59.9%
Gambia	Nianija	2000	82.5%	100.0%	51.3%
Gambia	Nianija	2017	86.7%	100.0%	61.8%
Gambia	Sami	2000	84.7%	98.9%	61.6%
Gambia	Sami	2017	89.1%	100.0%	69.2%
Gambia	Sandu	2000	78.3%	99.8%	45.7%
Gambia	Sandu	2017	85.3%	100.0%	60.2%
Gambia	Upper Bad-dibu	2000	83.1%	97.6%	63.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Gambia	Upper Bad-dibu	2017	88.9%	99.9%	70.0%
Gambia	Upper Nuimi	2000	80.2%	99.9%	53.4%
Gambia	Upper Nuimi	2017	85.3%	100.0%	58.2%
Gambia	Upper Saloum	2000	73.5%	98.4%	42.2%
Gambia	Upper Saloum	2017	83.1%	100.0%	54.5%
Gambia	Wuli	2000	84.2%	98.8%	65.6%
Gambia	Wuli	2017	89.8%	100.0%	73.3%
Ghana	Abura-Asebu-Kwamankese	2000	75.5%	83.3%	68.2%
Ghana	Abura-Asebu-Kwamankese	2017	95.2%	98.3%	89.8%
Ghana	Accra	2000	88.5%	90.4%	86.5%
Ghana	Accra	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Ghana	Adaklu	2000	69.0%	79.6%	57.3%
Ghana	Anyigbe				
Ghana	Adaklu	2017	84.6%	91.5%	75.8%
Ghana	Anyigbe				
Ghana	Adansi North	2000	60.6%	69.3%	51.5%
Ghana	Adansi North	2017	92.9%	96.5%	86.3%
Ghana	Adansi South	2000	73.7%	83.4%	61.5%
Ghana	Adansi South	2017	91.4%	96.5%	82.4%
Ghana	Afigya Sekyere	2000	69.5%	80.0%	56.5%
Ghana	Afigya Sekyere	2017	90.8%	95.9%	83.4%
Ghana	Afram Plains	2000	48.9%	57.5%	40.0%
Ghana	Afram Plains	2017	74.1%	80.8%	66.3%
Ghana	Agona	2000	59.7%	65.1%	54.2%
Ghana	Agona	2017	89.7%	92.7%	83.8%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano North	2000	60.5%	75.0%	43.8%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano North	2017	88.4%	96.7%	74.5%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano South	2000	68.5%	82.0%	51.2%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano South	2017	87.6%	95.7%	77.5%
Ghana	Ahanta West	2000	66.1%	78.0%	53.5%
Ghana	Ahanta West	2017	91.5%	97.0%	83.5%
Ghana	Ajumako-Enyan-Esiam	2000	47.3%	52.4%	42.2%
Ghana	Ajumako-Enyan-Esiam	2017	73.3%	76.7%	70.6%
Ghana	Akatsi	2000	62.0%	67.2%	55.9%
Ghana	Akatsi	2017	88.8%	93.1%	83.6%
Ghana	Akwapim North	2000	47.6%	57.9%	38.7%
Ghana	Akwapim North	2017	69.5%	75.5%	62.0%
Ghana	Akwapim South	2000	52.7%	66.6%	40.9%
Ghana	Akwapim South	2017	73.9%	86.5%	64.9%
Ghana	Amansie Central	2000	71.4%	82.4%	57.8%
Ghana	Amansie Central	2017	91.6%	97.1%	83.2%
Ghana	Amansie East	2000	77.7%	86.9%	64.2%
Ghana	Amansie East	2017	92.2%	97.3%	82.7%
Ghana	Amansie West	2000	61.9%	74.6%	48.6%
Ghana	Amansie West	2017	83.0%	90.3%	74.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Aowin-Suaman	2000	55.9%	65.2%	46.1%
Ghana	Aowin-Suaman	2017	79.0%	86.0%	70.9%
Ghana	Asante Akim North	2000	70.4%	83.0%	56.5%
Ghana	Asante Akim North	2017	89.7%	97.1%	79.9%
Ghana	Asante Akim South	2000	58.0%	73.2%	43.0%
Ghana	Asante Akim South	2017	80.1%	90.3%	69.0%
Ghana	Asikuma Odoben Brakwa	2000	63.1%	69.8%	54.5%
Ghana	Asikuma Odoben Brakwa	2017	85.3%	91.6%	76.7%
Ghana	Assin North	2000	58.6%	67.7%	50.5%
Ghana	Assin North	2017	88.1%	92.7%	81.9%
Ghana	Assin South	2000	50.7%	64.2%	37.1%
Ghana	Assin South	2017	80.1%	89.2%	68.6%
Ghana	Asunafo North	2000	64.1%	75.7%	52.2%
Ghana	Asunafo North	2017	88.8%	94.0%	80.2%
Ghana	Asunafo South	2000	50.0%	62.6%	37.3%
Ghana	Asunafo South	2017	81.2%	90.1%	70.0%
Ghana	Asuogyaman	2000	75.5%	86.7%	62.3%
Ghana	Asuogyaman	2017	91.3%	97.8%	81.6%
Ghana	Asutifi	2000	50.8%	61.2%	38.8%
Ghana	Asutifi	2017	82.9%	90.4%	74.1%
Ghana	Atebubu-Amantin	2000	66.6%	76.1%	56.5%
Ghana	Atebubu-Amantin	2017	91.0%	95.5%	83.8%
Ghana	Atiwa	2000	57.6%	72.6%	39.8%
Ghana	Atiwa	2017	79.6%	89.3%	65.3%
Ghana	Atwima	2000	67.9%	74.5%	61.3%
Ghana	Atwima	2017	95.9%	97.9%	92.1%
Ghana	Atwima Mponua	2000	63.0%	74.0%	51.4%
Ghana	Atwima Mponua	2017	83.6%	91.4%	74.0%
Ghana	Awutu Senya	2000	76.0%	79.8%	70.8%
Ghana	Awutu Senya	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.5%
Ghana	Bawku Municipal	2000	35.1%	41.1%	30.4%
Ghana	Bawku Municipal	2017	84.8%	87.7%	81.1%
Ghana	Bawku West	2000	49.0%	57.3%	40.2%
Ghana	Bawku West	2017	83.0%	88.3%	75.5%
Ghana	Berekum	2000	63.7%	74.9%	50.6%
Ghana	Berekum	2017	89.0%	95.8%	80.6%
Ghana	Bia	2000	54.5%	67.8%	41.6%
Ghana	Bia	2017	79.6%	87.9%	69.4%
Ghana	Bibiani Anhwiaso Bekwai	2000	71.4%	80.6%	61.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Bibiani	2017	91.1%	96.2%	83.4%
	Anhwiaso				
	Bekwai				
Ghana	Birim North	2000	70.7%	79.9%	59.7%
Ghana	Birim North	2017	90.4%	95.8%	82.6%
Ghana	Birim South	2000	67.1%	76.2%	57.6%
Ghana	Birim South	2017	93.1%	97.0%	87.0%
Ghana	Bole	2000	71.5%	82.4%	57.5%
Ghana	Bole	2017	87.8%	93.9%	79.4%
Ghana	Bolgatanga	2000	50.3%	52.8%	46.8%
Ghana	Bolgatanga	2017	86.2%	87.3%	84.7%
Ghana	Bongo	2000	61.6%	65.4%	56.4%
Ghana	Bongo	2017	94.6%	95.9%	91.6%
Ghana	Bosomtwe-Kwanwoma	2000	84.2%	89.9%	75.5%
Ghana	Bosomtwe-Kwanwoma	2017	97.6%	99.1%	94.8%
Ghana	Builsa	2000	43.3%	51.4%	34.6%
Ghana	Builsa	2017	74.6%	82.0%	67.1%
Ghana	Bunkpurugu Yunyoo	2000	43.0%	52.3%	34.0%
Ghana	Bunkpurugu Yunyoo	2017	73.3%	80.2%	64.9%
Ghana	Cape Coast	2000	61.3%	69.6%	55.4%
Ghana	Cape Coast	2017	89.0%	93.1%	85.1%
Ghana	Central Gonja	2000	55.9%	65.3%	47.0%
Ghana	Central Gonja	2017	77.0%	83.0%	71.0%
Ghana	Dangbe East	2000	81.8%	90.2%	72.3%
Ghana	Dangbe East	2017	95.2%	98.7%	88.9%
Ghana	Dangbe West	2000	55.0%	70.8%	40.5%
Ghana	Dangbe West	2017	78.9%	91.7%	64.5%
Ghana	Dormaa	2000	62.5%	70.3%	54.1%
Ghana	Dormaa	2017	88.1%	92.7%	82.7%
Ghana	East Akim	2000	49.8%	53.7%	45.6%
Ghana	East Akim	2017	84.2%	88.5%	78.9%
Ghana	East Gonja	2000	47.1%	54.6%	39.9%
Ghana	East Gonja	2017	73.6%	79.2%	67.6%
Ghana	East Mamprusi	2000	65.0%	73.0%	56.8%
Ghana	East Mamprusi	2017	89.8%	93.4%	84.4%
Ghana	Ejisu-Juabeng	2000	72.5%	83.0%	57.2%
Ghana	Ejisu-Juabeng	2017	91.7%	98.0%	81.0%
Ghana	Ejura Sekyedumase	2000	63.3%	77.6%	49.8%
Ghana	Ejura Sekyedumase	2017	83.1%	92.0%	71.6%
Ghana	Fanteakwa	2000	45.9%	55.0%	36.2%
Ghana	Fanteakwa	2017	78.8%	86.4%	68.7%
Ghana	Ga East	2000	84.7%	90.4%	74.4%
Ghana	Ga East	2017	95.6%	98.7%	93.5%
Ghana	Ga West	2000	66.2%	73.7%	57.0%
Ghana	Ga West	2017	94.4%	97.7%	88.7%
Ghana	Garu Tempane	2000	49.4%	56.8%	41.9%
Ghana	Garu Tempane	2017	87.9%	92.3%	81.5%
Ghana	Gomoa	2000	59.4%	67.5%	50.5%
Ghana	Gomoa	2017	84.8%	90.7%	75.1%
Ghana	Gushiegu	2000	48.8%	56.6%	40.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Gushiegu	2017	75.4%	81.4%	68.3%
Ghana	Ho	2000	57.0%	64.1%	51.7%
Ghana	Ho	2017	82.6%	88.0%	76.2%
Ghana	Hohoe	2000	66.5%	72.8%	58.2%
Ghana	Hohoe	2017	88.2%	93.1%	80.9%
Ghana	Jaman North	2000	82.6%	89.1%	73.8%
Ghana	Jaman North	2017	94.7%	98.3%	87.7%
Ghana	Jaman South	2000	74.2%	84.4%	61.3%
Ghana	Jaman South	2017	93.3%	98.2%	85.1%
Ghana	Jasikan	2000	62.3%	72.6%	49.9%
Ghana	Jasikan	2017	80.9%	87.4%	70.2%
Ghana	Jirapa Lam- bussie	2000	62.0%	70.0%	54.4%
Ghana	Jirapa Lam- bussie	2017	89.4%	94.3%	83.8%
Ghana	Jomoro	2000	66.5%	76.9%	54.5%
Ghana	Jomoro	2017	86.0%	93.1%	76.6%
Ghana	Juabeso	2000	56.9%	68.4%	44.7%
Ghana	Juabeso	2017	81.1%	89.9%	70.9%
Ghana	Kadjebi	2000	61.6%	70.5%	49.4%
Ghana	Kadjebi	2017	78.6%	86.6%	68.9%
Ghana	Karaga	2000	54.7%	64.6%	44.2%
Ghana	Karaga	2017	79.1%	86.5%	71.0%
Ghana	Kassena	2000	66.6%	75.3%	56.7%
Ghana	Nankana Kassena Nankana	2017	91.0%	96.2%	83.8%
Ghana	Keta	2000	68.4%	79.8%	56.9%
Ghana	Keta	2017	88.0%	94.7%	76.7%
Ghana	Ketu	2000	40.4%	45.1%	35.8%
Ghana	Ketu	2017	79.1%	82.8%	74.0%
Ghana	Kintampo North	2000	55.8%	68.6%	45.1%
Ghana	Kintampo North	2017	81.5%	89.4%	74.3%
Ghana	Kintampo South	2000	55.2%	66.8%	43.0%
Ghana	Kintampo South	2017	79.5%	86.9%	71.0%
Ghana	Komenda- Edina-Eguafo- Abirem	2000	62.8%	69.9%	55.3%
Ghana	Komenda- Edina-Eguafo- Abirem	2017	82.1%	87.7%	75.5%
Ghana	Kpandu	2000	56.4%	70.0%	37.5%
Ghana	Kpandu	2017	80.6%	89.0%	67.9%
Ghana	Krachi	2000	63.5%	78.3%	49.1%
Ghana	Krachi	2017	80.6%	91.1%	69.8%
Ghana	Krachi East	2000	58.7%	74.6%	43.1%
Ghana	Krachi East	2017	80.2%	89.3%	68.8%
Ghana	Kumasi	2000	72.7%	74.0%	71.2%
Ghana	Kumasi	2017	98.0%	98.2%	97.9%
Ghana	Kwabibirem	2000	65.0%	72.9%	56.7%
Ghana	Kwabibirem	2017	86.4%	91.8%	79.6%
Ghana	Kwabre	2000	81.7%	85.9%	74.9%
Ghana	Kwabre	2017	96.4%	98.0%	93.9%
Ghana	Kwahu South	2000	46.2%	57.6%	32.5%
Ghana	Kwahu South	2017	81.2%	88.6%	70.1%
Ghana	Kwahu West	2000	59.3%	68.3%	48.2%
Ghana	Kwahu West	2017	84.9%	92.8%	75.2%
Ghana	Lawra	2000	56.1%	61.3%	51.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Lawra	2017	87.9%	91.6%	83.3%
Ghana	Lower Denkyira	2000	46.2%	54.6%	35.8%
Ghana	Lower Denkyira	2017	76.0%	84.2%	66.9%
Ghana	Manya Krobo	2000	50.0%	62.9%	37.2%
Ghana	Manya Krobo	2017	68.9%	79.1%	57.8%
Ghana	Mfantsiman	2000	74.3%	76.9%	71.1%
Ghana	Mfantsiman	2017	89.7%	91.0%	87.9%
Ghana	Mpohor	2000	61.2%	69.1%	54.0%
Ghana	Wassa East Mpohor	2017	87.6%	92.4%	82.4%
Ghana	Wassa East Nadowli	2000	70.6%	78.2%	62.1%
Ghana	Nadowli	2017	91.5%	96.1%	86.0%
Ghana	Nanumba North	2000	42.7%	52.8%	33.1%
Ghana	Nanumba North	2017	73.4%	80.4%	66.0%
Ghana	Nanumba South	2000	52.3%	61.5%	43.9%
Ghana	Nanumba South	2017	79.7%	86.8%	72.9%
Ghana	New Juaben	2000	68.5%	71.1%	62.5%
Ghana	New Juaben	2017	94.2%	96.1%	91.6%
Ghana	Nkoranza	2000	67.0%	75.1%	58.1%
Ghana	Nkoranza	2017	86.3%	91.1%	79.9%
Ghana	Nkwanta	2000	55.0%	66.1%	43.6%
Ghana	Nkwanta	2017	76.3%	84.5%	67.4%
Ghana	North Tongu	2000	67.3%	74.9%	60.1%
Ghana	North Tongu	2017	85.6%	91.7%	79.0%
Ghana	Nzema East	2000	53.8%	66.2%	41.8%
Ghana	Nzema East	2017	74.7%	84.4%	62.8%
Ghana	Obuasi Municipal	2000	78.8%	90.5%	60.7%
Ghana	Obuasi Municipal	2017	91.7%	97.7%	77.8%
Ghana	Offinso	2000	61.4%	74.0%	49.3%
Ghana	Offinso	2017	80.8%	89.9%	71.3%
Ghana	Pru	2000	46.9%	60.0%	34.8%
Ghana	Pru	2017	75.9%	85.2%	65.5%
Ghana	Saboba Chereponi	2000	47.6%	56.8%	38.6%
Ghana	Saboba Chereponi	2017	77.1%	83.9%	68.7%
Ghana	Savelugu Nanton	2000	44.8%	51.1%	38.4%
Ghana	Savelugu Nanton	2017	70.6%	76.2%	65.4%
Ghana	Sawa-Tuna-Kalba	2000	61.1%	69.4%	52.6%
Ghana	Sawa-Tuna-Kalba	2017	82.4%	87.4%	76.7%
Ghana	Sefwi Wiawso	2000	55.0%	63.9%	44.2%
Ghana	Sefwi Wiawso	2017	82.5%	88.8%	74.9%
Ghana	Sekyere East	2000	70.3%	79.5%	59.4%
Ghana	Sekyere East	2017	87.2%	92.5%	80.5%
Ghana	Sekyere West	2000	53.8%	63.6%	41.3%
Ghana	Sekyere West	2017	76.8%	84.9%	66.2%
Ghana	Sene	2000	58.7%	68.2%	47.4%
Ghana	Sene	2017	79.7%	86.5%	72.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Shama Ahanta East	2000	77.3%	80.6%	74.2%
Ghana	Shama Ahanta East	2017	93.7%	95.9%	90.7%
Ghana	Sissala East	2000	70.8%	79.4%	61.2%
Ghana	Sissala East	2017	86.7%	92.6%	80.4%
Ghana	Sissala West	2000	62.0%	72.2%	51.5%
Ghana	Sissala West	2017	83.9%	90.5%	76.2%
Ghana	South Dayi	2000	49.4%	72.0%	34.3%
Ghana	South Dayi	2017	76.6%	90.0%	56.9%
Ghana	South Tongu	2000	75.5%	90.1%	58.8%
Ghana	South Tongu	2017	90.2%	97.2%	77.1%
Ghana	Suhum	2000	50.3%	59.0%	41.8%
Ghana	Kraboia Coaltar Suhum	2017	81.4%	88.3%	73.5%
Ghana	Sunyani	2000	71.4%	80.1%	62.7%
Ghana	Sunyani	2017	91.7%	96.3%	85.6%
Ghana	Tain	2000	62.5%	71.8%	53.8%
Ghana	Tain	2017	83.9%	89.3%	77.1%
Ghana	Talensi Nabdam	2000	62.7%	69.3%	54.5%
Ghana	Talensi Nabdam	2017	89.8%	93.9%	83.7%
Ghana	Tamale	2000	55.2%	58.8%	48.0%
Ghana	Tamale	2017	83.6%	85.8%	79.2%
Ghana	Tano North	2000	67.4%	82.4%	50.8%
Ghana	Tano North	2017	90.8%	97.6%	79.8%
Ghana	Tano South	2000	57.4%	67.2%	46.1%
Ghana	Tano South	2017	85.5%	91.4%	78.7%
Ghana	Techiman	2000	62.2%	67.0%	56.1%
Ghana	Techiman	2017	85.3%	89.5%	80.1%
Ghana	Tema	2000	93.2%	96.8%	88.1%
Ghana	Tema	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.2%
Ghana	Tolon-Kumbungu	2000	46.4%	55.0%	38.8%
Ghana	Tolon-Kumbungu	2017	77.1%	82.5%	70.4%
Ghana	Upper Denkyira	2000	52.9%	64.7%	40.9%
Ghana	Upper Denkyira	2017	81.4%	90.4%	70.9%
Ghana	Wa	2000	69.7%	75.3%	63.9%
Ghana	Wa	2017	93.3%	96.2%	89.7%
Ghana	Wa East	2000	65.3%	77.4%	51.9%
Ghana	Wa East	2017	82.4%	90.4%	73.0%
Ghana	Wa West	2000	53.7%	59.2%	47.5%
Ghana	Wa West	2017	83.5%	88.6%	77.5%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi East	2000	50.5%	62.9%	36.8%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi East	2017	76.5%	84.7%	65.8%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi West	2000	52.9%	63.3%	42.6%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi West	2017	77.6%	85.5%	70.2%
Ghana	Wassa West	2000	56.5%	64.5%	45.9%
Ghana	Wassa West	2017	81.7%	87.0%	73.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	West Akim	2000	35.6%	40.7%	30.3%
Ghana	West Akim	2017	76.3%	79.5%	72.0%
Ghana	West Gonja	2000	63.3%	71.4%	53.6%
Ghana	West Gonja	2017	82.6%	88.5%	75.4%
Ghana	West Mamprusi	2000	52.5%	63.3%	41.2%
Ghana	West Mamprusi	2017	78.6%	85.9%	70.9%
Ghana	Yendi	2000	48.8%	57.0%	40.5%
Ghana	Yendi	2017	77.7%	83.5%	71.2%
Ghana	Yilo Krobo	2000	57.2%	65.4%	48.1%
Ghana	Yilo Krobo	2017	83.0%	88.6%	75.3%
Ghana	Zabzugu	2000	51.9%	60.2%	42.9%
Ghana	Tatale Zabzugu	2017	75.0%	82.3%	67.3%
Ghana	Tatale				
Guinea	Beyla	2000	46.7%	55.0%	37.2%
Guinea	Beyla	2017	75.4%	82.1%	67.5%
Guinea	Boffa	2000	42.8%	52.0%	34.2%
Guinea	Boffa	2017	73.0%	80.8%	64.3%
Guinea	Boké	2000	43.7%	51.7%	35.6%
Guinea	Boké	2017	74.4%	80.2%	67.3%
Guinea	Conakry	2000	91.4%	94.2%	86.9%
Guinea	Conakry	2017	98.6%	99.5%	96.9%
Guinea	Coyah	2000	81.9%	86.7%	77.0%
Guinea	Coyah	2017	95.5%	97.6%	92.4%
Guinea	Dabola	2000	48.3%	57.2%	39.4%
Guinea	Dabola	2017	79.2%	85.1%	71.5%
Guinea	Dalaba	2000	39.1%	49.1%	29.7%
Guinea	Dalaba	2017	69.8%	78.5%	60.4%
Guinea	Dinguiraye	2000	37.6%	45.6%	30.2%
Guinea	Dinguiraye	2017	67.8%	74.7%	60.1%
Guinea	Dubréka	2000	42.4%	53.8%	31.4%
Guinea	Dubréka	2017	74.1%	82.2%	63.3%
Guinea	Faranah	2000	51.9%	59.4%	43.8%
Guinea	Faranah	2017	78.7%	84.1%	72.3%
Guinea	Forécariah	2000	49.6%	59.0%	40.0%
Guinea	Forécariah	2017	76.4%	83.5%	66.5%
Guinea	Fria	2000	59.7%	73.3%	41.9%
Guinea	Fria	2017	81.2%	90.3%	65.6%
Guinea	Gaoual	2000	40.0%	49.4%	31.3%
Guinea	Gaoual	2017	71.0%	78.9%	63.1%
Guinea	Guéckédou	2000	36.9%	44.9%	28.7%
Guinea	Guéckédou	2017	70.4%	77.4%	61.0%
Guinea	Kankan	2000	54.9%	62.2%	45.1%
Guinea	Kankan	2017	76.1%	83.2%	69.0%
Guinea	Kérouané	2000	58.3%	66.0%	50.3%
Guinea	Kérouané	2017	80.9%	86.8%	73.7%
Guinea	Kindia	2000	52.1%	59.3%	43.9%
Guinea	Kindia	2017	79.6%	84.8%	73.3%
Guinea	Kissidougou	2000	49.4%	57.8%	40.6%
Guinea	Kissidougou	2017	79.2%	84.8%	72.4%
Guinea	Koubia	2000	37.1%	50.4%	25.9%
Guinea	Koubia	2017	69.1%	79.7%	56.4%
Guinea	Koundara	2000	44.7%	55.0%	33.6%
Guinea	Koundara	2017	75.9%	83.6%	66.3%
Guinea	Kouroussa	2000	47.7%	58.1%	38.0%
Guinea	Kouroussa	2017	75.4%	83.4%	67.3%
Guinea	Labé	2000	42.7%	49.6%	36.9%
Guinea	Labé	2017	81.6%	86.1%	76.3%
Guinea	Lélouma	2000	40.8%	50.4%	31.8%
Guinea	Lélouma	2017	74.6%	82.1%	65.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea	Lola	2000	41.0%	54.9%	30.4%
Guinea	Lola	2017	72.7%	83.2%	62.6%
Guinea	Macenta	2000	44.7%	54.3%	35.7%
Guinea	Macenta	2017	76.0%	82.3%	68.5%
Guinea	Mali	2000	36.4%	45.4%	27.5%
Guinea	Mali	2017	68.1%	75.8%	59.6%
Guinea	Mamou	2000	44.2%	51.6%	37.5%
Guinea	Mamou	2017	77.2%	82.5%	71.3%
Guinea	Mandiana	2000	42.3%	52.0%	33.2%
Guinea	Mandiana	2017	72.7%	81.0%	63.7%
Guinea	Nzérékoré	2000	46.0%	54.4%	35.7%
Guinea	Nzérékoré	2017	79.2%	85.1%	70.6%
Guinea	Pita	2000	38.6%	46.1%	31.5%
Guinea	Pita	2017	73.2%	79.4%	67.4%
Guinea	Siguiri	2000	48.2%	55.4%	40.5%
Guinea	Siguiri	2017	77.0%	82.4%	68.8%
Guinea	Télimélé	2000	38.9%	47.6%	31.2%
Guinea	Télimélé	2017	69.6%	76.5%	61.6%
Guinea	Tougué	2000	47.2%	58.9%	36.5%
Guinea	Tougué	2017	75.9%	83.6%	66.4%
Guinea	Yamou	2000	48.2%	63.7%	34.1%
Guinea	Yamou	2017	76.5%	87.1%	63.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Bafata	2000	66.0%	90.5%	30.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Bafata	2017	57.0%	87.9%	22.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Bambadinca	2000	64.2%	90.0%	34.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Bambadinca	2017	55.7%	86.0%	25.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Bedanda	2000	62.3%	86.4%	37.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Bedanda	2017	53.4%	78.3%	30.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Bigene	2000	63.5%	85.1%	40.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Bigene	2017	53.7%	78.7%	29.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Bissau	2000	62.2%	99.0%	31.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Bissau	2017	52.0%	96.8%	12.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Bissora	2000	73.2%	92.5%	48.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Bissora	2017	65.1%	87.6%	38.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Boe	2000	66.6%	82.8%	47.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Boe	2017	58.9%	77.9%	39.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Bolama	2000	70.3%	99.7%	25.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Bolama	2017	63.8%	99.1%	17.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Buba	2000	69.4%	91.4%	43.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Buba	2017	61.5%	87.4%	28.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Bubaque	2000	65.9%	83.2%	43.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Bubaque	2017	57.7%	78.9%	35.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea-Bissau	Bula	2000	66.7%	90.3%	32.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Bula	2017	59.3%	85.3%	25.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacheu	2000	63.2%	86.0%	35.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacheu	2017	54.9%	81.4%	28.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacine	2000	65.5%	93.5%	29.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacine	2017	57.8%	90.1%	20.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Caio	2000	61.2%	92.0%	26.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Caio	2017	53.2%	88.4%	21.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Canghungo	2000	61.5%	88.4%	35.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Canghungo	2017	52.2%	83.5%	25.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Caravela	2000	65.6%	92.3%	27.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Caravela	2017	57.8%	88.9%	22.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Catio	2000	66.7%	90.4%	39.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Catio	2017	58.5%	86.8%	31.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Contuboel	2000	63.3%	83.8%	39.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Contuboel	2017	54.8%	77.5%	30.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Empada	2000	68.6%	90.5%	41.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Empada	2017	61.3%	85.8%	32.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Farim	2000	62.9%	83.8%	41.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Farim	2017	54.3%	76.6%	34.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Fulacunda	2000	64.3%	88.3%	38.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Fulacunda	2017	56.7%	84.2%	28.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Gabu	2000	72.9%	85.0%	55.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Gabu	2017	64.2%	78.4%	46.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Galomaro	2000	71.8%	91.3%	48.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Galomaro	2017	63.4%	88.0%	40.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Gamamundo	2000	64.9%	83.9%	39.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Gamamundo	2017	56.8%	79.4%	30.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansaba	2000	63.9%	84.4%	39.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansaba	2017	55.9%	78.4%	31.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansoa	2000	65.1%	85.9%	42.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea-Bissau	Mansoa	2017	56.6%	80.1%	32.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Nhacra	2000	81.7%	98.0%	51.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Nhacra	2017	79.3%	96.3%	47.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Piche	2000	64.6%	84.1%	44.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Piche	2017	55.9%	76.2%	35.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Pirada	2000	63.2%	84.1%	38.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Pirada	2017	56.2%	78.6%	31.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Prabis	2000	31.0%	78.4%	0.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Prabis	2017	23.3%	75.5%	0.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Quebo	2000	69.7%	90.7%	41.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Quebo	2017	61.8%	86.1%	32.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Quinhamel	2000	65.5%	87.9%	36.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Quinhamel	2017	57.4%	83.5%	27.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Safim	2000	58.5%	99.7%	10.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Safim	2017	52.7%	98.4%	8.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Sao Domingos	2000	59.3%	85.1%	35.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Sao Domingos	2017	50.2%	77.3%	25.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Sonaco	2000	59.8%	83.6%	30.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Sonaco	2017	51.5%	77.8%	21.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Tite	2000	79.0%	96.3%	55.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Tite	2017	72.4%	92.8%	48.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Xitole	2000	64.7%	85.2%	39.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Xitole	2017	57.1%	81.6%	30.6%
Kenya	805	2000	36.7%	51.6%	21.4%
Kenya	805	2017	49.3%	60.8%	36.4%
Kenya	Ainabkoi	2000	47.5%	49.4%	45.7%
Kenya	Ainabkoi	2017	60.0%	62.3%	58.1%
Kenya	Ainamoi	2000	60.8%	62.4%	59.0%
Kenya	Ainamoi	2017	66.8%	68.3%	64.9%
Kenya	Aldai	2000	25.3%	26.7%	24.0%
Kenya	Aldai	2017	42.4%	44.1%	40.8%
Kenya	Alego Usonga	2000	33.5%	35.1%	31.9%
Kenya	Alego Usonga	2017	51.9%	53.5%	50.1%
Kenya	Awendo	2000	16.3%	18.6%	14.4%
Kenya	Awendo	2017	28.9%	32.5%	26.4%
Kenya	Bahati	2000	77.7%	83.2%	72.4%
Kenya	Bahati	2017	82.8%	87.7%	77.3%
Kenya	Balambala	2000	45.8%	58.7%	32.6%
Kenya	Balambala	2017	50.8%	64.9%	36.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Banissa	2000	39.0%	62.8%	18.3%
Kenya	Banissa	2017	41.4%	61.5%	22.8%
Kenya	Baringo Central	2000	50.4%	59.7%	41.7%
Kenya	Baringo Central	2017	54.3%	62.6%	47.0%
Kenya	Baringo North	2000	23.9%	30.7%	17.6%
Kenya	Baringo North	2017	30.5%	36.4%	23.9%
Kenya	Baringo South	2000	21.3%	30.2%	14.3%
Kenya	Baringo South	2017	28.9%	39.3%	20.9%
Kenya	Belgut	2000	42.5%	44.2%	40.9%
Kenya	Belgut	2017	52.2%	54.2%	50.5%
Kenya	Bobasi	2000	30.5%	32.3%	28.5%
Kenya	Bobasi	2017	51.0%	53.3%	48.9%
Kenya	Bomachoge	2000	50.6%	53.5%	47.6%
Kenya	Borabu	2017	69.6%	71.8%	67.4%
Kenya	Bomachoge	2000	65.4%	69.2%	61.6%
Kenya	Chache	2017	80.5%	83.3%	77.7%
Kenya	Bomachoge	2017	80.5%	83.3%	77.7%
Kenya	Chache	2017	80.5%	83.3%	77.7%
Kenya	Bomet Central	2000	13.8%	16.1%	11.9%
Kenya	Bomet Central	2017	23.5%	26.8%	20.3%
Kenya	Bomet East	2000	14.7%	17.7%	12.2%
Kenya	Bomet East	2017	26.5%	30.3%	23.1%
Kenya	Bonchari	2000	51.6%	55.0%	48.8%
Kenya	Bonchari	2017	71.6%	74.0%	69.4%
Kenya	Bondo	2000	29.0%	32.9%	26.9%
Kenya	Bondo	2017	39.0%	42.6%	36.5%
Kenya	Borabu	2000	24.3%	25.7%	22.9%
Kenya	Borabu	2017	40.1%	42.0%	38.3%
Kenya	Budalangi	2000	39.4%	42.1%	37.0%
Kenya	Budalangi	2017	54.7%	57.7%	51.9%
Kenya	Bumula	2000	34.2%	36.3%	32.1%
Kenya	Bumula	2017	54.8%	57.0%	52.3%
Kenya	Bura	2000	49.9%	60.2%	40.7%
Kenya	Bura	2017	61.1%	69.6%	52.7%
Kenya	Bureti	2000	16.4%	18.2%	15.0%
Kenya	Bureti	2017	26.1%	28.3%	23.7%
Kenya	Butere	2000	41.8%	43.7%	39.8%
Kenya	Butere	2017	63.1%	64.9%	61.2%
Kenya	Butula	2000	41.1%	43.5%	38.1%
Kenya	Butula	2017	61.8%	64.4%	59.2%
Kenya	Buuri	2000	75.2%	83.6%	66.9%
Kenya	Buuri	2017	78.5%	86.2%	70.4%
Kenya	Central	2000	68.0%	70.6%	65.0%
Kenya	Imenti	2017	68.7%	71.4%	65.5%
Kenya	Central	2017	68.7%	71.4%	65.5%
Kenya	Imenti	2017	68.7%	71.4%	65.5%
Kenya	Changamwe	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.9%
Kenya	Changamwe	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Kenya	Chepalungu	2000	17.4%	19.4%	15.4%
Kenya	Chepalungu	2017	28.2%	30.9%	25.5%
Kenya	Cherangany	2000	42.8%	45.5%	40.3%
Kenya	Cherangany	2017	58.2%	60.6%	56.1%
Kenya	Chesumei	2000	27.3%	29.3%	25.4%
Kenya	Chesumei	2017	40.8%	43.0%	38.8%
Kenya	Chuka/Igambang	2000	27.8%	29.0%	26.5%
Kenya	Chuka/Igambang	2017	32.5%	33.8%	31.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Daadab	2000	61.3%	84.4%	32.9%
Kenya	Daadab	2017	65.1%	86.3%	33.6%
Kenya	Dagoretti North	2000	97.2%	98.2%	95.8%
Kenya	Dagoretti North	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.1%
Kenya	Dagoretti South	2000	88.4%	90.4%	86.3%
Kenya	Dagoretti South	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Kenya	Eldama Ravine	2000	48.4%	55.1%	42.5%
Kenya	Eldama Ravine	2017	55.2%	62.0%	49.0%
Kenya	Eldas	2000	39.6%	54.6%	24.9%
Kenya	Eldas	2017	45.5%	59.6%	32.1%
Kenya	Embakasi Central	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.8%
Kenya	Embakasi Central	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Kenya	Embakasi East	2000	98.8%	99.6%	97.1%
Kenya	Embakasi East	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Kenya	Embakasi North	2000	99.2%	99.8%	98.3%
Kenya	Embakasi North	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Kenya	Embakasi South	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.7%
Kenya	Embakasi South	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Kenya	Embakasi West	2000	99.1%	99.8%	97.7%
Kenya	Embakasi West	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Kenya	Emgwen	2000	24.5%	26.4%	22.6%
Kenya	Emgwen	2017	39.9%	42.4%	37.5%
Kenya	Emuhaya	2000	40.3%	43.7%	37.2%
Kenya	Emuhaya	2017	64.6%	67.6%	61.5%
Kenya	Emurua Dikirr	2000	32.2%	38.3%	28.2%
Kenya	Emurua Dikirr	2017	37.3%	43.5%	32.5%
Kenya	Endebess	2000	38.7%	51.2%	28.4%
Kenya	Endebess	2017	50.3%	62.0%	39.0%
Kenya	Fafi	2000	51.1%	72.9%	21.7%
Kenya	Fafi	2017	54.7%	75.9%	22.8%
Kenya	Funyula	2000	44.9%	47.0%	42.8%
Kenya	Funyula	2017	61.8%	64.0%	59.4%
Kenya	Galole	2000	36.7%	45.8%	27.9%
Kenya	Galole	2017	44.7%	54.0%	35.7%
Kenya	Ganze	2000	55.8%	67.4%	44.0%
Kenya	Ganze	2017	60.3%	71.1%	48.0%
Kenya	Garissa Township	2000	94.4%	96.6%	91.8%
Kenya	Garissa Township	2017	95.4%	97.3%	92.9%
Kenya	Garsen	2000	46.3%	55.4%	37.7%
Kenya	Garsen	2017	51.9%	61.0%	43.4%
Kenya	Gatanga	2000	42.5%	45.1%	39.6%
Kenya	Gatanga	2017	52.6%	55.5%	49.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Gatundu North	2000	66.1%	69.5%	61.9%
Kenya	Gatundu North	2017	72.8%	76.1%	68.8%
Kenya	Gatundu South	2000	49.3%	51.5%	47.0%
Kenya	Gatundu South	2017	56.1%	58.6%	53.8%
Kenya	Gem	2000	20.8%	22.2%	19.6%
Kenya	Gem	2017	36.3%	37.9%	34.7%
Kenya	Gichugu	2000	59.2%	61.4%	57.1%
Kenya	Gichugu	2017	62.4%	64.8%	60.3%
Kenya	Gilgil	2000	59.4%	74.1%	44.3%
Kenya	Gilgil	2017	60.2%	73.2%	45.5%
Kenya	Githunguri	2000	46.7%	49.6%	43.9%
Kenya	Githunguri	2017	64.0%	66.6%	61.3%
Kenya	Hamisi	2000	32.4%	34.4%	30.3%
Kenya	Hamisi	2017	54.5%	56.5%	51.9%
Kenya	Homa Bay Town	2000	73.9%	78.8%	69.0%
Kenya	Homa Bay Town	2017	83.8%	87.7%	80.0%
Kenya	Igembe Central	2000	19.9%	25.9%	13.6%
Kenya	Igembe Central	2017	24.8%	34.4%	17.5%
Kenya	Igembe North	2000	25.6%	43.1%	13.6%
Kenya	Igembe North	2017	27.0%	46.3%	13.6%
Kenya	Igembe South	2000	47.4%	51.2%	44.0%
Kenya	Igembe South	2000	39.3%	43.1%	35.8%
Kenya	Igembe South	2017	41.5%	45.3%	38.1%
Kenya	Igembe South	2017	49.8%	55.0%	45.0%
Kenya	Ijara	2000	46.3%	55.3%	37.2%
Kenya	Ijara	2017	48.9%	59.2%	37.8%
Kenya	Ikolomani	2000	29.6%	31.8%	27.4%
Kenya	Ikolomani	2017	48.7%	51.5%	45.9%
Kenya	Isiolo North	2000	50.0%	54.6%	45.3%
Kenya	Isiolo North	2017	52.8%	57.9%	47.5%
Kenya	Isiolo South	2000	54.7%	64.1%	46.3%
Kenya	Isiolo South	2017	57.2%	65.4%	47.4%
Kenya	Jomvu	2000	93.4%	95.0%	91.5%
Kenya	Jomvu	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Kenya	Juja	2000	79.5%	81.6%	77.5%
Kenya	Juja	2017	87.0%	89.0%	85.1%
Kenya	Kabete	2000	76.3%	80.4%	72.4%
Kenya	Kabete	2017	87.7%	90.5%	84.8%
Kenya	Kabondo Kasipul	2000	18.9%	20.8%	17.4%
Kenya	Kabondo Kasipul	2017	34.8%	37.7%	32.2%
Kenya	Kabuchai	2000	45.8%	47.6%	44.2%
Kenya	Kabuchai	2017	62.4%	64.2%	60.6%
Kenya	Kacheliba	2000	34.0%	48.3%	19.6%
Kenya	Kacheliba	2017	40.5%	53.6%	25.9%
Kenya	Kaiti	2000	25.2%	35.2%	19.5%
Kenya	Kaiti	2017	27.3%	36.9%	21.6%
Kenya	Kajiado Central	2000	59.9%	71.8%	46.8%
Kenya	Kajiado Central	2017	65.8%	76.3%	53.4%
Kenya	Kajiado East	2000	67.2%	73.7%	60.4%
Kenya	Kajiado East	2017	72.5%	79.0%	65.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kajiado North	2000	90.4%	92.9%	87.6%
Kenya	Kajiado North	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Kenya	Kajiado South	2000	45.4%	60.4%	31.6%
Kenya	Kajiado South	2017	51.7%	66.8%	38.3%
Kenya	Kajiado West	2000	54.0%	62.1%	46.3%
Kenya	Kajiado West	2017	60.8%	68.2%	53.7%
Kenya	Kaloleni	2000	47.9%	49.7%	46.0%
Kenya	Kaloleni	2017	51.4%	53.0%	49.7%
Kenya	Kamukunji	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.9%
Kenya	Kamukunji	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Kenya	Kandara	2000	25.7%	27.2%	24.1%
Kenya	Kandara	2017	37.7%	39.8%	35.7%
Kenya	Kanduyi	2000	60.9%	63.3%	58.3%
Kenya	Kanduyi	2017	77.7%	79.4%	75.9%
Kenya	Kangema	2000	30.8%	33.5%	28.4%
Kenya	Kangema	2017	41.3%	44.2%	38.5%
Kenya	Kangundo	2000	33.5%	36.4%	30.9%
Kenya	Kangundo	2017	47.5%	50.7%	44.7%
Kenya	Kapenguria	2000	29.1%	33.7%	24.8%
Kenya	Kapenguria	2017	39.0%	43.3%	34.2%
Kenya	Kapseret	2000	75.9%	81.1%	71.6%
Kenya	Kapseret	2017	83.8%	88.4%	79.1%
Kenya	Karachuonyo	2000	25.5%	30.0%	20.3%
Kenya	Karachuonyo	2017	36.8%	41.3%	31.6%
Kenya	Kasarani	2000	98.5%	99.6%	95.9%
Kenya	Kasarani	2017	99.1%	99.8%	96.6%
Kenya	Kasipul	2000	38.4%	40.8%	35.9%
Kenya	Kasipul	2017	53.2%	55.7%	50.6%
Kenya	Kathiani	2000	24.5%	27.4%	22.0%
Kenya	Kathiani	2017	35.0%	38.3%	32.1%
Kenya	Keiyo North	2000	50.2%	52.6%	47.8%
Kenya	Keiyo North	2017	57.8%	60.2%	55.5%
Kenya	Keiyo South	2000	30.4%	36.1%	27.3%
Kenya	Keiyo South	2017	43.0%	49.3%	39.2%
Kenya	Kesses	2000	60.4%	64.6%	57.3%
Kenya	Kesses	2017	70.6%	75.1%	66.5%
Kenya	Khwisero	2000	30.5%	33.0%	28.4%
Kenya	Khwisero	2017	50.0%	52.9%	47.6%
Kenya	Kiambaa	2000	76.7%	79.9%	74.2%
Kenya	Kiambaa	2017	87.8%	89.5%	86.3%
Kenya	Kiambu	2000	72.0%	73.8%	70.0%
Kenya	Kiambu	2017	80.8%	82.6%	78.8%
Kenya	Kibra	2000	99.6%	99.8%	98.9%
Kenya	Kibra	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Kenya	Kibwezi East	2000	51.6%	68.3%	39.2%
Kenya	Kibwezi East	2017	58.4%	75.0%	44.8%
Kenya	Kibwezi West	2000	45.5%	58.3%	34.0%
Kenya	Kibwezi West	2017	48.6%	61.4%	36.8%
Kenya	Kieni	2000	66.7%	70.7%	62.4%
Kenya	Kieni	2017	70.1%	74.1%	65.7%
Kenya	Kigumo	2000	38.7%	45.7%	31.8%
Kenya	Kigumo	2017	52.1%	57.8%	46.0%
Kenya	Kiharu	2000	39.0%	40.8%	37.4%
Kenya	Kiharu	2017	50.1%	52.1%	48.3%
Kenya	Kikuyu	2000	81.9%	86.8%	77.9%
Kenya	Kikuyu	2017	88.1%	93.0%	83.6%
Kenya	Kilgoris	2000	19.3%	23.5%	15.5%
Kenya	Kilgoris	2017	28.8%	33.0%	24.7%
Kenya	Kilifi North	2000	73.2%	76.4%	70.0%
Kenya	Kilifi North	2017	75.0%	78.3%	72.0%
Kenya	Kilifi South	2000	68.2%	70.5%	65.9%
Kenya	Kilifi South	2017	73.5%	75.5%	71.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kilome	2000	56.1%	59.1%	52.5%
Kenya	Kilome	2017	63.7%	67.2%	60.5%
Kenya	Kimilili	2000	58.3%	61.1%	55.5%
Kenya	Kimilili	2017	73.7%	75.9%	71.8%
Kenya	Kiminini	2000	64.5%	66.6%	62.1%
Kenya	Kiminini	2017	81.7%	83.0%	80.1%
Kenya	Kinango	2000	53.2%	59.3%	46.2%
Kenya	Kinango	2017	58.8%	65.0%	51.7%
Kenya	Kinangop	2000	58.8%	60.7%	56.7%
Kenya	Kinangop	2017	65.4%	67.3%	63.1%
Kenya	Kipipiri	2000	32.4%	35.7%	29.7%
Kenya	Kipipiri	2017	42.9%	46.5%	39.7%
Kenya	Kipkelion	2000	35.8%	39.8%	31.6%
Kenya	East Kipkelion	2017	49.8%	54.2%	45.5%
Kenya	West Kipkelion	2000	30.3%	35.3%	18.4%
Kenya	West Kipkelion	2017	40.7%	44.9%	30.6%
Kenya	Central Kirinyaga	2000	51.8%	53.8%	49.8%
Kenya	Central Kirinyaga	2017	60.5%	62.6%	58.6%
Kenya	Kisauni	2000	87.2%	88.9%	85.8%
Kenya	Kisauni	2017	91.1%	92.8%	89.5%
Kenya	Central Kisumu	2000	80.7%	82.4%	78.9%
Kenya	Central Kisumu	2017	89.1%	90.4%	87.7%
Kenya	East Kisumu	2000	72.2%	74.4%	69.6%
Kenya	East Kisumu	2017	82.3%	83.9%	80.3%
Kenya	West Kisumu	2000	38.7%	41.1%	36.1%
Kenya	West Kisumu	2017	51.1%	53.6%	48.8%
Kenya	Central Kitui	2000	23.6%	27.8%	21.2%
Kenya	Central Kitui	2017	30.2%	34.1%	27.6%
Kenya	East Kitui	2000	40.7%	49.5%	31.9%
Kenya	East Kitui	2017	46.6%	56.0%	37.9%
Kenya	Rural Kitui	2000	30.6%	38.3%	24.2%
Kenya	Rural Kitui	2017	35.8%	42.6%	29.1%
Kenya	South Kitui	2000	31.9%	39.6%	23.9%
Kenya	South Kitui	2017	38.6%	47.2%	30.3%
Kenya	West Kitui	2000	17.5%	21.6%	14.0%
Kenya	West Kitui	2017	24.3%	27.7%	20.9%
Kenya	Kitutu	2000	35.7%	38.5%	33.0%
Kenya	North Kitutu	2017	57.2%	60.4%	54.1%
Kenya	North Kitutu	2000	44.7%	47.3%	42.1%
Kenya	South Kitutu	2017	68.8%	71.1%	66.5%
Kenya	South Kitutu	2000	42.0%	44.2%	39.9%
Kenya	Masaba Kitutu	2017	62.9%	65.0%	61.1%
Kenya	Konoin	2000	34.0%	37.7%	31.4%
Kenya	Konoin	2017	39.4%	43.1%	36.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kuresoi North	2000	33.9%	46.4%	20.8%
Kenya	Kuresoi North	2017	46.8%	58.4%	33.2%
Kenya	Kuresoi South	2000	25.2%	43.9%	10.6%
Kenya	Kuresoi South	2017	36.3%	55.9%	19.2%
Kenya	Kuria East	2000	14.2%	17.5%	11.1%
Kenya	Kuria East	2017	25.3%	28.8%	21.4%
Kenya	Kuria West	2000	14.9%	19.3%	11.3%
Kenya	Kuria West	2017	26.1%	30.9%	21.0%
Kenya	Kwanza	2000	44.9%	50.0%	39.2%
Kenya	Kwanza	2017	62.3%	67.1%	56.4%
Kenya	Lafey	2000	47.4%	62.9%	31.2%
Kenya	Lafey	2017	55.2%	69.2%	39.8%
Kenya	Lagdera	2000	38.1%	49.9%	27.6%
Kenya	Lagdera	2017	44.4%	56.5%	33.7%
Kenya	Laikipia East	2000	61.9%	70.3%	53.4%
Kenya	Laikipia East	2017	69.8%	77.1%	62.9%
Kenya	Laikipia North	2000	42.3%	52.0%	32.5%
Kenya	Laikipia North	2017	45.6%	56.2%	34.8%
Kenya	Laikipia West	2000	37.1%	43.2%	32.7%
Kenya	Laikipia West	2017	44.4%	50.1%	40.4%
Kenya	Laisamis	2000	40.0%	49.4%	30.8%
Kenya	Laisamis	2017	46.5%	55.7%	37.6%
Kenya	Lamu East	2000	31.0%	47.8%	14.8%
Kenya	Lamu East	2017	36.9%	54.2%	18.5%
Kenya	Lamu West	2000	62.1%	65.2%	58.5%
Kenya	Lamu West	2017	69.4%	72.6%	65.8%
Kenya	Langata	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Kenya	Langata	2017	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Kenya	Lari	2000	56.2%	60.4%	50.7%
Kenya	Lari	2017	71.0%	73.9%	67.2%
Kenya	Likoni	2000	88.4%	90.7%	85.4%
Kenya	Likoni	2017	93.9%	95.7%	92.0%
Kenya	Likuyani	2000	42.9%	46.7%	39.3%
Kenya	Likuyani	2017	64.5%	68.2%	60.9%
Kenya	Limuru	2000	83.2%	85.8%	80.5%
Kenya	Limuru	2017	90.6%	92.5%	88.5%
Kenya	Loima	2000	43.8%	54.4%	33.2%
Kenya	Loima	2017	48.1%	58.9%	37.7%
Kenya	Luanda	2000	35.8%	37.7%	33.7%
Kenya	Luanda	2017	57.9%	60.1%	55.5%
Kenya	Lugari	2000	25.9%	28.1%	24.1%
Kenya	Lugari	2000	48.8%	51.5%	45.7%
Kenya	Lugari	2017	45.8%	48.6%	43.5%
Kenya	Lugari	2017	69.3%	71.9%	66.7%
Kenya	Lungalunga	2000	26.5%	33.4%	21.0%
Kenya	Lungalunga	2017	35.5%	42.4%	29.8%
Kenya	Lurambi	2000	39.5%	41.1%	37.7%
Kenya	Lurambi	2017	56.2%	58.3%	54.0%
Kenya	Maara	2000	53.8%	56.2%	51.8%
Kenya	Maara	2017	56.9%	59.2%	54.8%
Kenya	Machakos Town	2000	44.0%	46.7%	41.1%
Kenya	Machakos Town	2017	51.0%	54.4%	47.9%
Kenya	Magarini	2000	42.2%	53.6%	29.0%
Kenya	Magarini	2017	46.8%	58.8%	32.4%
Kenya	Makadara	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Kenya	Makadara	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Kenya	Makueni	2000	22.4%	28.2%	17.3%
Kenya	Makueni	2017	28.9%	35.6%	23.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Malava	2000	32.9%	35.9%	29.8%
Kenya	Malava	2017	51.8%	54.7%	48.5%
Kenya	Malindi	2000	87.3%	89.1%	84.9%
Kenya	Malindi	2017	90.0%	91.7%	87.8%
Kenya	Mandera East	2000	58.1%	68.6%	49.0%
Kenya	Mandera East	2017	67.3%	77.8%	58.3%
Kenya	Mandera North	2000	42.3%	52.6%	31.6%
Kenya	Mandera North	2017	50.6%	61.1%	39.4%
Kenya	Mandera South	2000	37.5%	48.3%	27.9%
Kenya	Mandera South	2017	48.0%	59.5%	38.1%
Kenya	Mandera West	2000	30.2%	42.0%	18.3%
Kenya	Mandera West	2017	34.6%	46.5%	22.1%
Kenya	Manyatta	2000	68.4%	70.1%	66.5%
Kenya	Manyatta	2017	68.8%	70.6%	66.8%
Kenya	Maragwa	2000	24.2%	28.7%	21.7%
Kenya	Maragwa	2017	37.1%	43.3%	33.5%
Kenya	Marakwet East	2000	19.3%	29.9%	11.1%
Kenya	Marakwet East	2017	24.8%	35.3%	15.0%
Kenya	Marakwet West	2000	30.9%	33.5%	28.0%
Kenya	Marakwet West	2017	38.1%	40.7%	35.3%
Kenya	Masinga	2000	31.1%	42.2%	21.5%
Kenya	Masinga	2017	37.2%	48.7%	27.1%
Kenya	Matayos	2000	39.9%	44.6%	36.1%
Kenya	Matayos	2017	59.3%	64.7%	54.1%
Kenya	Mathare	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.8%
Kenya	Mathare	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Kenya	Mathioya	2000	25.9%	27.9%	23.8%
Kenya	Mathioya	2017	37.8%	40.1%	35.3%
Kenya	Mathira	2000	58.7%	60.7%	56.6%
Kenya	Mathira	2017	62.2%	64.3%	60.0%
Kenya	Matuga	2000	58.5%	64.8%	52.1%
Kenya	Matuga	2017	62.7%	68.7%	56.5%
Kenya	Matungu	2000	25.8%	28.2%	23.7%
Kenya	Matungu	2017	45.0%	48.3%	41.8%
Kenya	Matungulu	2000	46.5%	51.7%	42.0%
Kenya	Matungulu	2017	60.2%	64.7%	55.4%
Kenya	Mavoko	2000	88.4%	95.6%	79.4%
Kenya	Mavoko	2017	90.4%	96.8%	82.3%
Kenya	Mbeere North	2000	35.2%	40.8%	30.7%
Kenya	Mbeere North	2017	43.6%	49.7%	38.6%
Kenya	Mbeere South	2000	20.6%	28.2%	15.4%
Kenya	Mbeere South	2017	30.9%	38.3%	24.7%
Kenya	Mbita	2000	42.5%	50.1%	35.7%
Kenya	Mbita	2017	53.1%	61.1%	44.9%
Kenya	Mbooni	2000	23.8%	36.2%	15.1%
Kenya	Mbooni	2017	30.1%	43.3%	20.7%
Kenya	Mogotio	2000	23.4%	31.9%	17.6%
Kenya	Mogotio	2017	28.0%	36.3%	21.7%
Kenya	Moiben	2000	60.8%	66.1%	54.8%
Kenya	Moiben	2017	70.5%	74.6%	64.7%
Kenya	Molo	2000	56.8%	64.5%	44.2%
Kenya	Molo	2017	68.7%	74.7%	61.1%
Kenya	Mosop	2000	24.9%	30.8%	20.9%
Kenya	Mosop	2017	40.3%	45.3%	36.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Moyale	2000	39.1%	43.9%	34.2%
Kenya	Moyale	2017	49.3%	55.9%	43.1%
Kenya	Msambweni	2000	69.6%	73.8%	65.1%
Kenya	Msambweni	2017	79.3%	83.0%	74.7%
Kenya	Mt. Elgon	2000	62.5%	65.6%	58.3%
Kenya	Mt. Elgon	2017	70.1%	73.2%	65.8%
Kenya	Muhoroni	2000	31.0%	35.0%	28.3%
Kenya	Muhoroni	2017	44.1%	48.4%	40.7%
Kenya	Mukurweini	2000	28.4%	30.7%	26.3%
Kenya	Mukurweini	2017	39.4%	42.7%	36.7%
Kenya	Mumias East	2000	30.9%	32.9%	28.8%
Kenya	Mumias East	2017	50.9%	53.4%	48.1%
Kenya	Mumias West	2000	49.3%	52.3%	46.6%
Kenya	Mumias West	2017	68.0%	70.8%	65.3%
Kenya	Mvita	2000	89.8%	91.6%	87.4%
Kenya	Mvita	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Kenya	Mwala	2000	40.8%	49.3%	33.1%
Kenya	Mwala	2017	48.3%	55.8%	41.0%
Kenya	Mwatate	2000	42.8%	47.0%	39.2%
Kenya	Mwatate	2017	43.9%	48.7%	39.9%
Kenya	Mwea	2000	29.8%	31.5%	28.4%
Kenya	Mwea	2017	35.9%	37.8%	34.2%
Kenya	Mwingi Central	2000	32.6%	39.9%	26.6%
Kenya	Mwingi Central	2017	36.2%	44.2%	29.6%
Kenya	Mwingi North	2000	26.0%	34.1%	18.0%
Kenya	Mwingi North	2017	30.0%	38.5%	20.9%
Kenya	Mwingi West	2000	18.2%	23.7%	14.6%
Kenya	Mwingi West	2017	25.2%	31.4%	20.6%
Kenya	Naivasha	2000	70.7%	74.4%	66.2%
Kenya	Naivasha	2017	74.0%	78.3%	68.9%
Kenya	Nakuru Town East	2000	92.3%	95.3%	84.8%
Kenya	Nakuru Town East	2017	94.6%	96.7%	89.3%
Kenya	Nakuru Town West	2000	96.6%	98.1%	93.4%
Kenya	Nakuru Town West	2017	97.8%	98.7%	96.3%
Kenya	Nambale	2000	36.5%	39.0%	34.5%
Kenya	Nambale	2017	60.3%	62.7%	58.0%
Kenya	Nandi Hills	2000	21.3%	22.8%	19.9%
Kenya	Nandi Hills	2017	35.4%	37.5%	33.6%
Kenya	Narok East	2000	29.5%	45.4%	18.6%
Kenya	Narok East	2017	33.0%	51.8%	19.8%
Kenya	Narok North	2000	46.5%	55.5%	38.0%
Kenya	Narok North	2017	53.6%	62.8%	44.8%
Kenya	Narok South	2000	27.0%	35.8%	18.8%
Kenya	Narok South	2017	34.4%	44.3%	25.6%
Kenya	Narok West	2000	30.4%	42.6%	19.2%
Kenya	Narok West	2017	35.9%	47.9%	23.1%
Kenya	Navakholo	2000	32.9%	35.8%	30.2%
Kenya	Navakholo	2017	53.7%	56.9%	50.6%
Kenya	Ndaragwa	2000	31.9%	40.2%	26.1%
Kenya	Ndaragwa	2017	47.9%	55.5%	40.9%
Kenya	Ndhiwa	2000	20.6%	23.3%	18.5%
Kenya	Ndhiwa	2017	35.6%	38.4%	33.3%
Kenya	Ndia	2000	41.4%	44.5%	38.7%
Kenya	Ndia	2017	45.0%	48.4%	42.4%
Kenya	Njoro	2000	50.2%	58.0%	43.9%
Kenya	Njoro	2017	56.2%	63.9%	49.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	North Horr	2000	33.2%	40.2%	26.9%
Kenya	North Horr	2017	37.8%	44.8%	32.0%
Kenya	North Imenti	2000	79.1%	81.7%	76.1%
Kenya	North Imenti	2017	80.9%	83.4%	78.0%
Kenya	North Mugirango	2000	19.5%	21.1%	18.2%
Kenya	North Mugirango	2017	34.0%	36.1%	32.1%
Kenya	Nyakach	2000	34.4%	41.3%	26.9%
Kenya	Nyakach	2017	43.2%	49.3%	36.2%
Kenya	Nyali	2000	98.3%	99.2%	96.9%
Kenya	Nyali	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.7%
Kenya	Nyando	2000	45.3%	53.3%	40.3%
Kenya	Nyando	2017	57.2%	65.1%	51.9%
Kenya	Nyaribari Chache	2000	49.6%	52.5%	46.7%
Kenya	Nyaribari Chache	2017	68.2%	70.6%	65.7%
Kenya	Nyaribari Masaba	2000	36.5%	39.1%	34.1%
Kenya	Nyaribari Masaba	2017	58.7%	61.5%	55.9%
Kenya	Nyatike	2000	20.1%	38.5%	6.5%
Kenya	Nyatike	2017	24.4%	42.4%	10.8%
Kenya	Nyeri Town	2000	72.8%	75.0%	70.7%
Kenya	Nyeri Town	2017	77.6%	79.6%	75.3%
Kenya	Ol Jorok	2000	38.1%	41.1%	35.3%
Kenya	Ol Jorok	2017	56.9%	60.0%	54.1%
Kenya	Ol Kalou	2000	39.2%	45.7%	33.7%
Kenya	Ol Kalou	2017	57.4%	63.6%	50.7%
Kenya	Othaya	2000	43.3%	46.0%	40.9%
Kenya	Othaya	2017	52.7%	55.6%	50.0%
Kenya	Pokot South	2000	34.3%	39.2%	29.1%
Kenya	Pokot South	2017	38.2%	43.4%	33.6%
Kenya	Rabai	2000	75.1%	77.3%	72.4%
Kenya	Rabai	2017	76.6%	79.1%	74.5%
Kenya	Rangwe	2000	38.4%	42.7%	34.3%
Kenya	Rangwe	2017	59.2%	62.8%	55.4%
Kenya	Rarieda	2000	31.0%	32.8%	29.3%
Kenya	Rarieda	2017	40.6%	42.5%	39.0%
Kenya	Rongai	2000	68.4%	75.3%	61.7%
Kenya	Rongai	2017	71.9%	78.0%	65.2%
Kenya	Rongo	2000	24.9%	26.9%	23.2%
Kenya	Rongo	2017	42.5%	45.2%	40.3%
Kenya	Roysambu	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.7%
Kenya	Roysambu	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Kenya	Ruaraka	2000	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Kenya	Ruaraka	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Kenya	Ruiru	2000	89.9%	91.8%	87.7%
Kenya	Ruiru	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.2%
Kenya	Runyenjes	2000	41.9%	44.2%	39.5%
Kenya	Runyenjes	2017	47.6%	50.0%	44.9%
Kenya	Sabatia	2000	37.1%	38.9%	35.1%
Kenya	Sabatia	2017	59.3%	61.1%	57.1%
Kenya	Saboti	2000	59.6%	62.2%	57.2%
Kenya	Saboti	2017	77.1%	79.2%	75.3%
Kenya	Saku	2000	55.8%	65.1%	48.2%
Kenya	Saku	2017	65.6%	73.1%	58.7%
Kenya	Samburu East	2000	41.4%	50.2%	32.4%
Kenya	Samburu East	2017	44.9%	53.5%	35.8%
Kenya	Samburu North	2000	40.5%	49.6%	31.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Samburu North	2017	46.1%	55.0%	36.8%
Kenya	Samburu West	2000	34.8%	41.8%	29.2%
Kenya	Samburu West	2017	40.3%	47.6%	33.8%
Kenya	Seme	2000	37.1%	40.1%	34.1%
Kenya	Seme	2017	53.7%	56.7%	50.6%
Kenya	Shinyalu	2000	33.1%	34.9%	31.0%
Kenya	Shinyalu	2017	49.8%	52.3%	47.6%
Kenya	Sigor	2000	20.3%	30.1%	11.7%
Kenya	Sigor	2017	22.9%	32.7%	13.9%
Kenya	Sigowet/Soin	2000	13.6%	17.0%	11.5%
Kenya	Sigowet/Soin	2017	19.8%	23.1%	17.2%
Kenya	Sirisia	2000	44.9%	48.0%	41.8%
Kenya	Sirisia	2017	56.5%	58.4%	54.2%
Kenya	Sotik	2000	26.3%	28.4%	24.4%
Kenya	Sotik	2017	36.4%	38.9%	33.8%
Kenya	South Imenti	2000	69.6%	72.0%	67.1%
Kenya	South Imenti	2017	72.2%	74.4%	70.0%
Kenya	South Mugi-rango	2000	53.2%	55.7%	50.9%
Kenya	South Mugi-rango	2017	70.1%	72.3%	68.2%
Kenya	Soy	2000	52.9%	54.6%	50.7%
Kenya	Soy	2017	68.2%	69.7%	66.5%
Kenya	Starehe	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Kenya	Starehe	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Kenya	Suba	2000	49.7%	64.9%	36.5%
Kenya	Suba	2017	55.9%	70.3%	43.0%
Kenya	Subukia	2000	50.6%	58.5%	41.9%
Kenya	Subukia	2017	60.4%	68.5%	52.2%
Kenya	Suna East	2000	20.2%	21.9%	18.6%
Kenya	Suna East	2017	32.8%	35.1%	30.7%
Kenya	Suna West	2000	29.8%	33.1%	23.0%
Kenya	Suna West	2017	39.0%	41.8%	32.4%
Kenya	Tarbaj	2000	38.6%	53.9%	23.8%
Kenya	Tarbaj	2017	44.8%	58.3%	29.3%
Kenya	Taveta	2000	74.1%	80.9%	64.5%
Kenya	Taveta	2017	78.1%	84.6%	69.3%
Kenya	Teso North	2000	26.0%	28.8%	23.6%
Kenya	Teso North	2017	45.8%	49.3%	42.6%
Kenya	Teso South	2000	40.9%	42.9%	39.3%
Kenya	Teso South	2017	56.7%	59.0%	54.5%
Kenya	Tetu	2000	64.5%	67.5%	61.2%
Kenya	Tetu	2017	71.5%	74.2%	68.6%
Kenya	Tharaka	2000	30.6%	36.1%	26.5%
Kenya	Tharaka	2017	40.0%	45.2%	36.1%
Kenya	Thika Town	2000	66.6%	69.4%	64.4%
Kenya	Thika Town	2017	70.4%	73.4%	68.0%
Kenya	Tiaty	2000	40.2%	54.1%	27.5%
Kenya	Tiaty	2017	45.3%	58.9%	32.0%
Kenya	Tigania East	2000	42.5%	49.6%	35.4%
Kenya	Tigania East	2017	47.1%	54.3%	38.9%
Kenya	Tigania West	2000	41.0%	48.3%	29.2%
Kenya	Tigania West	2017	43.6%	51.0%	31.5%
Kenya	Tinderet	2000	29.1%	32.4%	26.0%
Kenya	Tinderet	2017	35.7%	39.0%	32.6%
Kenya	Tongaren	2000	37.3%	39.1%	35.6%
Kenya	Tongaren	2017	56.1%	57.8%	54.3%
Kenya	Turbo	2000	57.2%	59.8%	54.9%
Kenya	Turbo	2017	72.8%	75.2%	70.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Turkana Central	2000	39.8%	50.8%	30.3%
Kenya	Turkana Central	2017	45.7%	58.1%	35.8%
Kenya	Turkana East	2000	34.3%	44.8%	22.3%
Kenya	Turkana East	2017	39.7%	49.1%	28.0%
Kenya	Turkana North	2000	34.8%	44.7%	26.3%
Kenya	Turkana North	2017	39.9%	49.4%	30.3%
Kenya	Turkana South	2000	43.4%	56.7%	29.4%
Kenya	Turkana South	2017	49.2%	63.1%	35.2%
Kenya	Turkana West	2000	46.2%	53.9%	37.8%
Kenya	Turkana West	2017	50.5%	58.3%	42.4%
Kenya	Ugenya	2000	41.3%	44.4%	38.3%
Kenya	Ugenya	2017	61.2%	64.7%	58.2%
Kenya	Ugunja	2000	41.7%	44.7%	38.6%
Kenya	Ugunja	2017	58.2%	61.4%	55.3%
Kenya	unknown 1	2000	41.1%	67.5%	20.1%
Kenya	unknown 1	2017	45.9%	70.7%	22.9%
Kenya	unknown 2	2000	31.2%	46.4%	18.0%
Kenya	unknown 2	2017	37.3%	55.0%	22.6%
Kenya	unknown 4	2000	32.1%	37.4%	27.2%
Kenya	unknown 4	2017	48.5%	53.3%	43.4%
Kenya	unknown 5	2000	52.5%	60.9%	43.8%
Kenya	unknown 5	2017	56.4%	63.6%	48.3%
Kenya	unknown 6	2000	12.2%	20.5%	9.1%
Kenya	unknown 6	2017	23.1%	29.6%	19.2%
Kenya	unknown 7	2000	55.1%	79.3%	25.2%
Kenya	unknown 7	2017	55.9%	74.4%	34.0%
Kenya	Uriri	2000	16.1%	21.3%	13.6%
Kenya	Uriri	2017	28.4%	32.6%	25.5%
Kenya	Vihiga	2000	36.9%	38.9%	35.1%
Kenya	Vihiga	2017	58.2%	60.2%	56.3%
Kenya	Voi	2000	70.3%	74.9%	65.0%
Kenya	Voi	2017	74.8%	79.6%	68.8%
Kenya	Wajir East	2000	24.6%	31.0%	18.4%
Kenya	Wajir East	2017	33.3%	38.2%	28.2%
Kenya	Wajir North	2000	40.3%	63.8%	23.6%
Kenya	Wajir North	2017	46.0%	63.3%	31.7%
Kenya	Wajir South	2000	50.3%	58.9%	42.2%
Kenya	Wajir South	2017	52.2%	60.0%	44.5%
Kenya	Wajir West	2000	48.1%	61.0%	36.1%
Kenya	Wajir West	2017	53.1%	64.9%	42.2%
Kenya	Webute West	2000	53.1%	54.9%	50.8%
Kenya	Webute West	2017	66.6%	68.5%	64.9%
Kenya	Webuye East	2000	49.0%	51.3%	47.0%
Kenya	Webuye East	2017	69.4%	71.3%	67.6%
Kenya	West Mugi-rango	2000	32.4%	33.8%	31.1%
Kenya	West Mugi-rango	2017	54.1%	55.6%	52.7%
Kenya	Westlands	2000	93.3%	94.6%	92.1%
Kenya	Westlands	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.5%
Kenya	Wundanyi	2000	32.1%	36.8%	27.6%
Kenya	Wundanyi	2017	33.9%	38.8%	29.6%
Kenya	Yatta	2000	35.9%	42.7%	28.2%
Kenya	Yatta	2017	44.2%	51.0%	36.6%
Lesotho	Berea	2000	76.0%	78.2%	73.9%
Lesotho	Berea	2017	89.1%	90.6%	87.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Lesotho	Butha-Buthe	2000	66.1%	70.5%	60.5%
Lesotho	Butha-Buthe	2017	81.8%	85.6%	76.8%
Lesotho	Leribe	2000	57.0%	58.9%	55.0%
Lesotho	Leribe	2017	74.5%	76.5%	72.5%
Lesotho	Mafeteng	2000	68.0%	70.2%	65.6%
Lesotho	Mafeteng	2017	82.3%	84.0%	79.9%
Lesotho	Maseru	2000	81.3%	83.5%	78.9%
Lesotho	Maseru	2017	90.6%	92.4%	88.5%
Lesotho	Mohale's Hoek	2000	68.5%	70.6%	66.4%
Lesotho	Mohale's Hoek	2017	82.2%	83.8%	80.4%
Lesotho	Mokhotlong	2000	61.5%	68.9%	53.3%
Lesotho	Mokhotlong	2017	72.3%	79.6%	64.5%
Lesotho	Qacha's Nek	2000	75.9%	79.4%	72.1%
Lesotho	Qacha's Nek	2017	85.9%	88.7%	82.8%
Lesotho	Quthing	2000	67.1%	71.5%	63.4%
Lesotho	Quthing	2017	80.2%	84.4%	76.6%
Lesotho	Thaba-Tseka	2000	54.6%	58.6%	50.3%
Lesotho	Thaba-Tseka	2017	66.7%	70.7%	62.8%
Liberia	Barrobo	2000	22.2%	37.2%	10.1%
Liberia	Barrobo	2017	77.7%	89.4%	62.6%
Liberia	Belleh	2000	23.1%	38.0%	11.5%
Liberia	Belleh	2017	76.4%	88.7%	61.6%
Liberia	Bokomu	2000	26.8%	43.6%	14.3%
Liberia	Bokomu	2017	80.2%	92.1%	65.8%
Liberia	Bopolu	2000	27.9%	40.7%	16.3%
Liberia	Bopolu	2017	85.8%	93.6%	77.1%
Liberia	Buah	2000	21.2%	47.9%	4.9%
Liberia	Buah	2017	75.9%	92.5%	52.0%
Liberia	Butaw	2000	22.4%	34.7%	10.6%
Liberia	Butaw	2017	74.8%	86.1%	62.3%
Liberia	Careysburg	2000	13.1%	22.4%	6.0%
Liberia	Careysburg	2017	85.6%	95.1%	76.9%
Liberia	Commnwealth	2000	43.0%	73.5%	15.4%
Liberia	Commnwealth	2017	94.5%	99.5%	83.1%
Liberia	District # 1	2000	21.8%	37.8%	7.9%
Liberia	District # 1	2017	85.5%	95.8%	73.4%
Liberia	District # 2	2000	28.0%	48.2%	11.3%
Liberia	District # 2	2017	78.3%	90.7%	64.0%
Liberia	District # 3	2000	28.3%	36.2%	20.7%
Liberia	District # 3	2017	91.8%	95.9%	86.5%
Liberia	District # 4	2000	29.4%	45.8%	15.4%
Liberia	District # 4	2017	86.6%	96.3%	72.7%
Liberia	Dugbe River	2000	22.4%	48.6%	3.2%
Liberia	Dugbe River	2017	82.4%	97.8%	56.4%
Liberia	Firestone	2000	20.2%	24.9%	14.6%
Liberia	Firestone	2017	79.6%	82.7%	76.3%
Liberia	Foya	2000	15.1%	36.7%	3.4%
Liberia	Foya	2017	83.4%	97.8%	64.4%
Liberia	Fuamah	2000	18.5%	35.5%	7.0%
Liberia	Fuamah	2017	66.5%	81.7%	46.2%
Liberia	Garwula	2000	25.1%	39.5%	13.9%
Liberia	Garwula	2017	81.9%	91.7%	69.7%
Liberia	Gbarma	2000	35.6%	46.1%	25.7%
Liberia	Gbarma	2017	83.8%	93.5%	73.2%
Liberia	Gbarzon	2000	30.3%	42.4%	18.0%
Liberia	Gbarzon	2017	84.1%	92.4%	75.2%
Liberia	Gbeapo	2000	24.7%	32.5%	16.6%
Liberia	Gbeapo	2017	76.0%	82.6%	67.5%
Liberia	Gbehlagheh	2000	30.0%	47.5%	15.8%
Liberia	Gbehlagheh	2017	85.4%	95.7%	72.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Liberia	Gibi	2000	27.5%	49.5%	9.6%
Liberia	Gibi	2017	85.0%	97.3%	64.4%
Liberia	Golakonneh	2000	33.0%	43.2%	23.1%
Liberia	Golakonneh	2017	86.9%	95.6%	76.3%
Liberia	Greater Monrovia	2000	41.3%	46.6%	36.6%
Liberia	Greater Monrovia	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.6%
Liberia	Greenville	2000	24.3%	34.3%	17.4%
Liberia	Greenville	2017	77.2%	78.2%	75.9%
Liberia	Jaedae	2000	26.1%	38.1%	14.2%
Liberia	Jaedae	2017	81.7%	90.5%	70.3%
Liberia	Jaedepo	2000	27.6%	43.0%	15.5%
Liberia	Jaedepo	2017	84.0%	93.6%	70.9%
Liberia	Jorquelleh	2000	25.7%	42.1%	12.5%
Liberia	Jorquelleh	2017	82.1%	92.9%	67.8%
Liberia	Juarzon	2000	20.5%	31.5%	11.2%
Liberia	Juarzon	2017	81.3%	88.6%	71.0%
Liberia	Kakata	2000	43.4%	53.4%	34.3%
Liberia	Kakata	2017	94.4%	97.1%	89.5%
Liberia	Klay	2000	29.5%	56.1%	9.9%
Liberia	Klay	2017	82.2%	96.3%	61.7%
Liberia	Kokoyah	2000	35.6%	49.7%	22.8%
Liberia	Kokoyah	2017	84.2%	92.3%	74.5%
Liberia	Kolahun	2000	27.7%	41.9%	14.3%
Liberia	Kolahun	2017	81.5%	90.3%	69.0%
Liberia	Kongba	2000	24.0%	34.6%	14.2%
Liberia	Kongba	2017	77.5%	86.8%	65.0%
Liberia	Konobo	2000	33.2%	51.2%	18.1%
Liberia	Konobo	2017	90.4%	98.8%	75.0%
Liberia	Kpayan	2000	16.4%	33.4%	5.7%
Liberia	Kpayan	2017	39.7%	67.9%	11.8%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2000	86.3%	99.4%	63.6%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2017	80.6%	95.1%	60.2%
Liberia	Mambah-Kaba	2000	31.4%	45.0%	18.5%
Liberia	Mambah-Kaba	2017	92.7%	97.7%	81.9%
Liberia	Mecca	2000	22.8%	54.3%	2.1%
Liberia	Mecca	2017	73.2%	92.0%	38.8%
Liberia	Morweh	2000	23.8%	39.2%	12.2%
Liberia	Morweh	2017	72.4%	85.5%	58.7%
Liberia	Owensgrove	2000	15.9%	39.7%	1.5%
Liberia	Owensgrove	2017	79.0%	91.6%	54.4%
Liberia	Panta-Kpa	2000	28.0%	49.1%	13.7%
Liberia	Panta-Kpa	2017	84.2%	95.2%	70.4%
Liberia	Pleebo/Sodeken	2000	26.5%	33.6%	21.2%
Liberia	Pleebo/Sodeken	2017	90.7%	96.5%	83.8%
Liberia	Porkpa	2000	34.8%	53.7%	18.3%
Liberia	Porkpa	2017	86.2%	95.4%	73.8%
Liberia	Pyneston	2000	26.0%	45.8%	10.6%
Liberia	Pyneston	2017	78.0%	92.4%	59.8%
Liberia	Saclepea	2000	36.8%	52.2%	24.7%
Liberia	Saclepea	2017	87.5%	95.5%	76.7%
Liberia	Salala	2000	42.1%	60.0%	25.9%
Liberia	Salala	2017	89.8%	98.0%	77.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Liberia	Salayea	2000	31.9%	50.5%	17.1%
Liberia	Salayea	2017	85.9%	95.4%	74.8%
Liberia	Sanayea	2000	34.8%	53.0%	17.1%
Liberia	Sanayea	2017	85.5%	96.3%	71.1%
Liberia	Sanniquelleh-Mahn	2000	26.3%	39.1%	15.3%
Liberia	Sanniquelleh-Mahn	2017	89.2%	96.6%	80.5%
Liberia	Sasstown 180606	2000	25.2%	44.5%	10.8%
Liberia	Sasstown 180606	2017	79.9%	92.4%	61.0%
Liberia	St Paul River	2000	29.4%	36.5%	23.6%
Liberia	St Paul River	2017	98.3%	99.6%	94.4%
Liberia	Stjohnriver	2000	34.4%	51.8%	19.9%
Liberia	Stjohnriver	2017	90.1%	98.4%	77.7%
Liberia	Suakoko	2000	25.9%	40.1%	13.2%
Liberia	Suakoko	2017	84.9%	94.7%	72.8%
Liberia	Tappita	2000	30.8%	43.3%	19.0%
Liberia	Tappita	2017	83.2%	91.5%	73.5%
Liberia	Tchien	2000	35.1%	50.7%	23.0%
Liberia	Tchien	2017	88.3%	96.1%	79.0%
Liberia	Tewor	2000	27.0%	46.9%	9.8%
Liberia	Tewor	2017	94.0%	99.5%	79.8%
Liberia	Timbo	2000	18.5%	28.5%	10.5%
Liberia	Timbo	2017	83.2%	90.2%	76.2%
Liberia	Todee	2000	18.0%	26.2%	11.0%
Liberia	Todee	2017	75.3%	83.7%	63.6%
Liberia	Upperkrucoast	2000	47.6%	62.9%	35.2%
Liberia	Upperkrucoast	2017	86.0%	93.6%	76.6%
Liberia	Voinjama	2000	33.1%	47.1%	19.5%
Liberia	Voinjama	2017	81.1%	88.5%	70.4%
Liberia	Webbo	2000	29.1%	40.6%	18.6%
Liberia	Webbo	2017	84.2%	92.3%	73.7%
Liberia	Yarwein-Mehnsohnne	2000	27.2%	46.7%	10.1%
Liberia	Yarwein-Mehnsohnne	2017	77.7%	92.1%	58.4%
Liberia	Zoegeh	2000	30.7%	48.7%	16.2%
Liberia	Zoegeh	2017	85.0%	95.3%	66.9%
Liberia	Zorzor	2000	29.7%	44.3%	15.9%
Liberia	Zorzor	2017	83.1%	92.4%	72.0%
Liberia	Zota	2000	30.4%	56.3%	9.3%
Liberia	Zota	2017	84.8%	97.4%	62.6%
Madagascar	Alaotra-Mangoro	2000	30.6%	36.7%	25.8%
Madagascar	Alaotra-Mangoro	2017	44.5%	49.6%	39.7%
Madagascar	Amoron'i mania	2000	26.8%	33.6%	21.4%
Madagascar	Amoron'i mania	2017	38.8%	45.0%	33.4%
Madagascar	Analamanga	2000	59.7%	62.7%	56.8%
Madagascar	Analamanga	2017	75.2%	77.7%	72.1%
Madagascar	Analanjirofo	2000	26.5%	31.5%	22.0%
Madagascar	Analanjirofo	2017	36.1%	41.3%	31.8%
Madagascar	Androy	2000	33.2%	38.1%	28.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Madagascar	Androy	2017	47.6%	51.5%	43.5%
Madagascar	Anosy	2000	33.9%	38.9%	30.0%
Madagascar	Anosy	2017	41.2%	45.3%	37.2%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Andrefana	2000	36.3%	40.7%	32.2%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Andrefana	2017	48.6%	53.0%	43.9%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Atsinana	2000	27.6%	33.1%	22.4%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Atsinana	2017	36.0%	41.4%	30.9%
Madagascar	Atsinanana	2000	32.7%	39.1%	27.3%
Madagascar	Atsinanana	2017	41.5%	50.7%	33.9%
Madagascar	Betsiboka	2000	27.5%	32.0%	24.0%
Madagascar	Betsiboka	2017	38.4%	43.1%	33.7%
Madagascar	Boeny	2000	28.0%	39.3%	21.4%
Madagascar	Boeny	2017	40.8%	49.8%	32.9%
Madagascar	Bongolava	2000	31.3%	37.6%	26.1%
Madagascar	Bongolava	2017	49.0%	54.4%	43.4%
Madagascar	Diana	2000	29.6%	34.5%	25.1%
Madagascar	Diana	2017	45.5%	51.6%	40.9%
Madagascar	Haute matsiatra	2000	37.5%	43.6%	31.6%
Madagascar	Haute matsiatra	2017	48.6%	53.4%	42.6%
Madagascar	Ihorombe	2000	30.2%	35.1%	25.4%
Madagascar	Ihorombe	2017	44.8%	50.5%	38.9%
Madagascar	Itasy	2000	42.7%	49.6%	36.8%
Madagascar	Itasy	2017	57.8%	64.3%	52.4%
Madagascar	Melaky	2000	25.3%	29.7%	21.4%
Madagascar	Melaky	2017	37.0%	41.7%	33.1%
Madagascar	Menabe	2000	34.3%	39.2%	29.8%
Madagascar	Menabe	2017	47.1%	51.6%	42.7%
Madagascar	Sava	2000	31.9%	37.0%	26.8%
Madagascar	Sava	2017	39.3%	43.3%	34.8%
Madagascar	Sofia	2000	29.5%	32.8%	26.1%
Madagascar	Sofia	2017	39.5%	43.3%	36.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Madagascar	Vakinankaratra	2000	32.7%	39.8%	26.3%
Madagascar	Vakinankaratra	2017	47.7%	54.8%	41.7%
Madagascar	Vatovavy Fitovinany	2000	34.1%	39.2%	29.0%
Madagascar	Vatovavy Fitovinany	2017	42.1%	46.6%	37.6%
Malawi	Balaka	2000	79.5%	81.6%	77.4%
Malawi	Balaka	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.2%
Malawi	Blantyre	2000	82.2%	82.9%	81.4%
Malawi	Blantyre	2017	95.3%	95.7%	94.8%
Malawi	Chikwawa	2000	65.4%	68.7%	62.3%
Malawi	Chikwawa	2017	84.5%	87.2%	81.3%
Malawi	Chiradzulu	2000	77.6%	78.5%	76.7%
Malawi	Chiradzulu	2017	94.8%	95.2%	94.3%
Malawi	Chitipa	2000	67.5%	71.6%	63.5%
Malawi	Chitipa	2017	86.1%	88.9%	83.0%
Malawi	Dedza	2000	54.2%	56.3%	52.0%
Malawi	Dedza	2017	81.5%	82.9%	79.8%
Malawi	Dowa	2000	48.1%	50.6%	45.8%
Malawi	Dowa	2017	79.2%	80.8%	77.4%
Malawi	Karonga	2000	81.1%	83.3%	78.9%
Malawi	Karonga	2017	94.6%	95.9%	92.9%
Malawi	Kasungu	2000	54.1%	58.0%	50.5%
Malawi	Kasungu	2017	79.9%	82.9%	76.6%
Malawi	Likoma	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.2%
Malawi	Likoma	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Malawi	Lilongwe	2000	65.5%	67.4%	63.0%
Malawi	Lilongwe	2017	88.6%	89.9%	87.3%
Malawi	Machinga	2000	59.4%	61.1%	57.7%
Malawi	Machinga	2017	85.7%	86.7%	84.6%
Malawi	Mangochi	2000	67.7%	70.6%	64.3%
Malawi	Mangochi	2017	87.1%	89.4%	84.5%
Malawi	Mchinji	2000	52.9%	56.7%	49.3%
Malawi	Mchinji	2017	81.4%	84.1%	78.3%
Malawi	Mulanje	2000	74.8%	75.8%	73.8%
Malawi	Mulanje	2017	92.4%	92.9%	91.6%
Malawi	Mwanza	2000	73.0%	74.8%	71.0%
Malawi	Mwanza	2017	92.3%	93.4%	90.9%
Malawi	Mzimba	2000	71.8%	74.6%	68.1%
Malawi	Mzimba	2017	88.3%	90.5%	85.6%
Malawi	Neno	2000	57.5%	60.5%	54.5%
Malawi	Neno	2017	81.7%	83.9%	78.5%
Malawi	Nkhata Bay	2000	64.9%	68.1%	60.8%
Malawi	Nkhata Bay	2017	83.9%	86.3%	81.0%
Malawi	Nkhotakota	2000	69.6%	72.0%	66.9%
Malawi	Nkhotakota	2017	88.8%	90.2%	86.9%
Malawi	Nsanje	2000	73.6%	77.4%	70.1%
Malawi	Nsanje	2017	89.8%	92.6%	86.7%
Malawi	Ntcheu	2000	75.1%	78.4%	72.1%
Malawi	Ntcheu	2017	91.9%	94.6%	89.0%
Malawi	Ntchisi	2000	57.6%	59.7%	55.6%
Malawi	Ntchisi	2017	84.8%	87.0%	82.9%
Malawi	Phalombe	2000	79.8%	80.8%	78.6%
Malawi	Phalombe	2017	94.7%	95.0%	94.2%
Malawi	Rumphi	2000	76.5%	79.8%	73.1%
Malawi	Rumphi	2017	90.7%	92.8%	87.9%
Malawi	Salima	2000	66.7%	68.9%	63.9%
Malawi	Salima	2017	90.1%	91.4%	88.3%
Malawi	Thyolo	2000	48.8%	49.9%	47.8%
Malawi	Thyolo	2017	82.7%	83.4%	82.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Malawi	Zomba	2000	78.1%	79.2%	77.0%
Malawi	Zomba	2017	94.8%	95.4%	94.2%
Mali	Abeïbara	2000	34.9%	43.7%	27.1%
Mali	Abeïbara	2017	57.3%	66.1%	47.5%
Mali	Ansongo	2000	38.8%	45.9%	32.1%
Mali	Ansongo	2017	62.9%	68.7%	56.6%
Mali	Bafoulabé	2000	38.1%	47.9%	30.2%
Mali	Bafoulabé	2017	62.0%	69.9%	54.8%
Mali	Bamako	2000	82.6%	83.1%	82.0%
Mali	Bamako	2017	96.4%	96.5%	96.2%
Mali	Banamba	2000	31.8%	41.3%	24.8%
Mali	Banamba	2017	56.2%	64.1%	48.9%
Mali	Bandiagara	2000	28.2%	32.5%	23.9%
Mali	Bandiagara	2017	60.9%	64.9%	56.2%
Mali	Bankass	2000	36.1%	42.6%	29.2%
Mali	Bankass	2017	55.6%	62.6%	48.1%
Mali	Barouéli	2000	41.9%	51.3%	33.3%
Mali	Barouéli	2017	64.2%	71.5%	55.7%
Mali	Bla	2000	25.6%	33.3%	18.7%
Mali	Bla	2017	51.9%	58.8%	45.2%
Mali	Bougouni	2000	36.0%	42.4%	29.3%
Mali	Bougouni	2017	64.0%	69.1%	57.6%
Mali	Bourem	2000	30.9%	37.8%	24.4%
Mali	Bourem	2017	55.3%	60.7%	50.7%
Mali	Diéma	2000	41.3%	49.4%	34.5%
Mali	Diéma	2017	65.6%	72.3%	59.1%
Mali	Dioïla	2000	27.4%	33.7%	20.2%
Mali	Dioïla	2017	53.9%	60.0%	47.3%
Mali	Diré	2000	42.1%	56.0%	29.2%
Mali	Diré	2017	70.7%	81.3%	57.4%
Mali	Djenné	2000	39.5%	46.6%	32.4%
Mali	Djenné	2017	67.2%	73.0%	61.0%
Mali	Douentza	2000	40.8%	49.5%	32.6%
Mali	Douentza	2017	64.8%	72.6%	56.8%
Mali	Gao	2000	48.1%	51.3%	45.0%
Mali	Gao	2017	69.4%	73.5%	65.8%
Mali	Goundam	2000	41.9%	47.7%	36.0%
Mali	Goundam	2017	61.7%	67.3%	56.0%
Mali	Gourma-Rharous	2000	34.2%	40.7%	27.7%
Mali	Gourma-Rharous	2017	59.6%	65.4%	53.3%
Mali	Kadiolo	2000	33.7%	43.7%	25.6%
Mali	Kadiolo	2017	66.7%	75.9%	58.2%
Mali	Kangaba	2000	32.7%	42.1%	22.0%
Mali	Kangaba	2017	64.1%	71.4%	55.1%
Mali	Kati	2000	44.3%	47.8%	40.7%
Mali	Kati	2017	78.2%	80.2%	75.5%
Mali	Kayes	2000	57.5%	62.3%	53.1%
Mali	Kayes	2017	79.8%	82.9%	76.5%
Mali	Kéniéba	2000	44.3%	51.6%	36.6%
Mali	Kéniéba	2017	69.7%	76.5%	62.0%
Mali	Kidal	2000	33.9%	41.7%	27.5%
Mali	Kidal	2017	56.4%	65.1%	47.4%
Mali	Kita	2000	41.8%	47.2%	36.4%
Mali	Kita	2017	66.0%	71.3%	60.3%
Mali	Kolokani	2000	34.3%	43.4%	26.0%
Mali	Kolokani	2017	59.7%	68.2%	51.1%
Mali	Kolondiéba	2000	35.0%	43.5%	26.8%
Mali	Kolondiéba	2017	63.4%	70.0%	55.3%
Mali	Koro	2000	30.8%	37.6%	25.2%
Mali	Koro	2017	51.6%	57.9%	45.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mali	Koulikoro	2000	32.3%	38.4%	26.5%
Mali	Koulikoro	2017	63.6%	69.5%	57.3%
Mali	Koutiala	2000	33.1%	38.9%	27.4%
Mali	Koutiala	2017	66.0%	71.0%	60.8%
Mali	Macina	2000	33.0%	42.1%	24.9%
Mali	Macina	2017	63.6%	70.7%	55.8%
Mali	Ménaka	2000	39.8%	46.4%	34.2%
Mali	Ménaka	2017	62.0%	67.5%	56.9%
Mali	Mopti	2000	46.8%	52.5%	42.2%
Mali	Mopti	2017	70.2%	74.8%	66.2%
Mali	Nara	2000	36.5%	43.8%	30.1%
Mali	Nara	2017	60.0%	66.1%	53.1%
Mali	Niafunké	2000	38.1%	46.2%	29.8%
Mali	Niafunké	2017	59.8%	67.6%	51.1%
Mali	Niono	2000	37.9%	43.0%	33.3%
Mali	Niono	2017	73.8%	78.6%	69.0%
Mali	Nioro	2000	30.5%	37.2%	24.2%
Mali	Nioro	2017	60.0%	66.7%	52.5%
Mali	San	2000	31.8%	38.6%	26.4%
Mali	San	2017	57.2%	63.6%	50.9%
Mali	Ségou	2000	43.2%	47.6%	39.7%
Mali	Ségou	2017	69.2%	73.1%	65.6%
Mali	Sikasso	2000	37.7%	42.6%	32.9%
Mali	Sikasso	2017	73.9%	77.8%	69.9%
Mali	Ténenkou	2000	32.3%	40.7%	24.1%
Mali	Ténenkou	2017	59.1%	65.2%	51.7%
Mali	Tessalit	2000	33.3%	39.9%	26.2%
Mali	Tessalit	2017	56.7%	63.6%	48.3%
Mali	Tin-Essako	2000	30.5%	45.5%	17.6%
Mali	Tin-Essako	2017	54.8%	67.3%	41.3%
Mali	Tombouctou	2000	31.4%	35.3%	27.7%
Mali	Tombouctou	2017	58.5%	61.7%	55.6%
Mali	Tominian	2000	29.1%	38.3%	20.0%
Mali	Tominian	2017	51.8%	61.5%	43.0%
Mali	Yanfolila	2000	35.6%	42.6%	27.8%
Mali	Yanfolila	2017	63.0%	69.3%	55.7%
Mali	Yélimané	2000	32.3%	42.3%	24.1%
Mali	Yélimané	2017	61.5%	71.1%	51.7%
Mali	Yorosso	2000	39.5%	48.1%	32.5%
Mali	Yorosso	2017	60.9%	68.7%	52.4%
Mali	Youwarou	2000	37.4%	47.8%	28.7%
Mali	Youwarou	2017	60.7%	69.0%	52.4%
Mauritania	Aïoun	2000	70.4%	79.2%	60.2%
Mauritania	Aïoun	2017	83.3%	89.2%	74.9%
Mauritania	Akjoujt	2000	82.7%	88.4%	75.2%
Mauritania	Akjoujt	2017	89.0%	92.8%	83.7%
Mauritania	Aleg	2000	74.4%	80.6%	67.8%
Mauritania	Aleg	2017	83.4%	88.6%	78.8%
Mauritania	Amourj	2000	56.9%	65.7%	47.7%
Mauritania	Amourj	2017	69.5%	77.9%	60.9%
Mauritania	Aoujeft	2000	72.0%	80.4%	60.9%
Mauritania	Aoujeft	2017	80.8%	87.9%	70.4%
Mauritania	Atar	2000	91.1%	93.4%	87.9%
Mauritania	Atar	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.3%
Mauritania	Bababé	2000	66.5%	85.7%	47.6%
Mauritania	Bababé	2017	82.4%	94.6%	65.1%
Mauritania	Barkéol	2000	68.6%	80.1%	55.7%
Mauritania	Barkéol	2017	75.4%	86.2%	62.2%
Mauritania	Bassikounou	2000	51.4%	62.2%	39.1%
Mauritania	Bassikounou	2017	57.6%	70.8%	46.4%
Mauritania	Bir Moghreïn	2000	75.1%	83.5%	65.2%
Mauritania	Bir Moghreïn	2017	79.7%	87.1%	69.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mauritania	Boghé	2000	69.6%	83.7%	59.6%
Mauritania	Boghé	2017	81.5%	91.4%	71.6%
Mauritania	Boumdeïd	2000	70.8%	85.8%	55.7%
Mauritania	Boumdeïd	2017	78.6%	92.2%	63.2%
Mauritania	Boutilimit	2000	72.7%	80.2%	65.5%
Mauritania	Boutilimit	2017	81.7%	87.8%	75.6%
Mauritania	Chinguetti	2000	73.1%	80.0%	65.3%
Mauritania	Chinguetti	2017	76.3%	82.4%	69.0%
Mauritania	Djiguenni	2000	51.4%	62.8%	41.0%
Mauritania	Djiguenni	2017	64.6%	75.8%	52.9%
Mauritania	F'Dérik	2000	87.3%	96.2%	73.1%
Mauritania	F'Dérik	2017	90.7%	97.8%	79.2%
Mauritania	Guérou	2000	72.9%	83.0%	57.9%
Mauritania	Guérou	2017	86.5%	93.5%	76.5%
Mauritania	Kaédi	2000	58.5%	70.1%	46.9%
Mauritania	Kaédi	2017	78.0%	87.7%	67.2%
Mauritania	Kankossa	2000	65.3%	76.1%	50.6%
Mauritania	Kankossa	2017	72.9%	82.8%	61.0%
Mauritania	Keur-Macène	2000	85.1%	94.3%	76.0%
Mauritania	Keur-Macène	2017	89.8%	97.4%	80.8%
Mauritania	Kiffa	2000	72.8%	81.8%	62.1%
Mauritania	Kiffa	2017	79.7%	88.0%	71.3%
Mauritania	Kobenni	2000	56.3%	68.9%	42.8%
Mauritania	Kobenni	2017	65.7%	77.6%	53.3%
Mauritania	M'Bagne	2000	55.0%	68.8%	42.6%
Mauritania	M'Bagne	2017	75.2%	89.1%	62.7%
Mauritania	M'Bout	2000	55.4%	67.7%	43.1%
Mauritania	M'Bout	2017	63.5%	74.9%	50.9%
Mauritania	Maghama	2000	70.8%	83.5%	57.7%
Mauritania	Maghama	2017	80.3%	90.7%	68.2%
Mauritania	Magta-Lahjar	2000	82.4%	89.4%	73.5%
Mauritania	Magta-Lahjar	2017	87.7%	92.8%	80.3%
Mauritania	Méderdra	2000	74.0%	86.8%	60.5%
Mauritania	Méderdra	2017	78.4%	90.3%	64.3%
Mauritania	Monguel	2000	59.1%	72.8%	48.1%
Mauritania	Monguel	2017	68.5%	79.9%	57.1%
Mauritania	Moudjéria	2000	63.0%	71.5%	53.8%
Mauritania	Moudjéria	2017	74.3%	81.8%	66.4%
Mauritania	Néma	2000	62.9%	69.8%	54.0%
Mauritania	Néma	2017	77.3%	84.0%	68.7%
Mauritania	Nouadhibou	2000	80.7%	93.7%	44.4%
Mauritania	Nouadhibou	2017	82.5%	95.6%	52.4%
Mauritania	Nouakchott	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Mauritania	Nouakchott	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Mauritania	Ouad-Naga	2000	71.6%	89.0%	54.5%
Mauritania	Ouad-Naga	2017	75.5%	91.4%	58.6%
Mauritania	Ouadane	2000	87.8%	93.0%	81.5%
Mauritania	Ouadane	2017	90.5%	94.4%	85.4%
Mauritania	Ould Yengé	2000	68.4%	79.9%	56.7%
Mauritania	Ould Yengé	2017	72.9%	84.0%	60.4%
Mauritania	R'Kiz	2000	73.1%	86.3%	57.6%
Mauritania	R'Kiz	2017	80.7%	91.4%	66.0%
Mauritania	Rosso	2000	85.3%	89.1%	80.7%
Mauritania	Rosso	2017	89.4%	92.7%	86.0%
Mauritania	Sélibaby	2000	56.9%	67.1%	49.1%
Mauritania	Sélibaby	2017	65.7%	75.5%	56.5%
Mauritania	Tamchakett	2000	60.8%	72.9%	45.6%
Mauritania	Tamchakett	2017	67.9%	80.0%	54.1%
Mauritania	Tichitt	2000	65.0%	73.8%	56.6%
Mauritania	Tichitt	2017	71.4%	81.3%	61.5%
Mauritania	Tidjikja	2000	60.0%	71.4%	49.3%
Mauritania	Tidjikja	2017	70.0%	79.8%	60.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mauritania	Timbédra	2000	63.6%	73.1%	52.5%
Mauritania	Timbédra	2017	73.5%	81.8%	63.7%
Mauritania	Tintane	2000	64.1%	74.2%	52.3%
Mauritania	Tintane	2017	70.8%	81.1%	58.2%
Mauritania	Zouérate	2000	79.4%	88.9%	68.3%
Mauritania	Zouérate	2017	94.0%	98.1%	87.4%
Mozambique	Alto Molocue	2000	30.8%	44.3%	18.9%
Mozambique	Alto Molocue	2017	37.9%	55.0%	24.7%
Mozambique	Ancuabe	2000	32.9%	44.1%	24.0%
Mozambique	Ancuabe	2017	37.4%	52.3%	26.8%
Mozambique	Angoche	2000	51.1%	65.3%	35.6%
Mozambique	Angoche	2017	61.2%	74.6%	43.5%
Mozambique	Angónia	2000	35.0%	45.1%	24.8%
Mozambique	Angónia	2017	47.8%	62.0%	35.8%
Mozambique	Balama	2000	32.2%	44.4%	22.2%
Mozambique	Balama	2017	37.8%	51.8%	25.6%
Mozambique	Barue	2000	45.7%	58.3%	32.1%
Mozambique	Barue	2017	54.5%	71.4%	37.6%
Mozambique	Bilene	2000	68.8%	76.7%	60.1%
Mozambique	Bilene	2017	76.1%	83.8%	68.8%
Mozambique	Boane	2000	55.7%	66.7%	49.3%
Mozambique	Boane	2017	53.4%	61.3%	48.8%
Mozambique	Buzi	2000	42.6%	53.5%	31.8%
Mozambique	Buzi	2017	55.7%	69.3%	42.4%
Mozambique	Cahora Bassa	2000	37.2%	50.5%	24.4%
Mozambique	Cahora Bassa	2017	50.4%	65.6%	34.4%
Mozambique	Caia	2000	47.3%	60.8%	33.9%
Mozambique	Caia	2017	61.2%	73.0%	49.1%
Mozambique	Changara	2000	46.9%	55.2%	38.1%
Mozambique	Changara	2017	58.2%	65.7%	50.6%
Mozambique	Chemba	2000	45.7%	71.9%	21.9%
Mozambique	Chemba	2017	50.2%	77.3%	22.5%
Mozambique	Cheringoma	2000	54.8%	75.4%	36.1%
Mozambique	Cheringoma	2017	63.6%	84.9%	41.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Chibabava	2000	42.1%	55.8%	28.7%
Mozambique	Chibabava	2017	54.7%	72.2%	37.1%
Mozambique	Chibuto	2000	60.5%	66.6%	53.9%
Mozambique	Chibuto	2017	72.8%	79.9%	65.8%
Mozambique	Chicualacuala	2000	52.3%	67.4%	36.6%
Mozambique	Chicualacuala	2017	58.1%	73.6%	40.9%
Mozambique	Chifunde	2000	46.6%	58.5%	31.8%
Mozambique	Chifunde	2017	55.7%	70.8%	37.7%
Mozambique	Chigubo	2000	59.0%	77.7%	39.9%
Mozambique	Chigubo	2017	63.1%	85.1%	38.7%
Mozambique	Chinde	2000	43.8%	63.7%	27.1%
Mozambique	Chinde	2017	48.1%	71.3%	30.7%
Mozambique	Chiúre	2000	33.5%	43.7%	23.7%
Mozambique	Chiúre	2017	38.1%	50.4%	25.7%
Mozambique	Chiuta	2000	47.0%	61.5%	32.1%
Mozambique	Chiuta	2017	54.2%	72.0%	36.6%
Mozambique	Chókwè	2000	59.0%	65.7%	51.2%
Mozambique	Chókwè	2017	73.2%	79.2%	66.4%
Mozambique	Cidade de Matola	2000	67.8%	70.1%	65.2%
Mozambique	Cidade de Matola	2017	96.9%	97.9%	94.7%
Mozambique	Cuamba	2000	58.5%	68.0%	47.6%
Mozambique	Cuamba	2017	71.0%	81.2%	60.7%
Mozambique	Dondo	2000	73.8%	77.7%	69.0%
Mozambique	Dondo	2017	87.2%	90.8%	83.7%
Mozambique	Erati	2000	35.6%	51.9%	22.9%
Mozambique	Erati	2017	40.5%	59.5%	25.6%
Mozambique	Funhalouro	2000	49.2%	68.0%	32.9%
Mozambique	Funhalouro	2017	55.5%	74.7%	38.8%
Mozambique	Gile	2000	35.8%	48.8%	24.3%
Mozambique	Gile	2017	42.6%	60.3%	27.5%
Mozambique	Gondola	2000	45.4%	50.9%	40.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Gondola	2017	60.5%	68.4%	52.4%
Mozambique	Gorongosa	2000	53.9%	70.1%	40.4%
Mozambique	Gorongosa	2017	54.7%	71.7%	40.6%
Mozambique	Govuro	2000	45.2%	68.8%	23.0%
Mozambique	Govuro	2017	51.4%	74.7%	23.7%
Mozambique	Guijá	2000	65.9%	79.2%	48.1%
Mozambique	Guijá	2017	75.8%	86.2%	60.7%
Mozambique	Guro	2000	50.1%	68.8%	34.4%
Mozambique	Guro	2017	55.2%	78.4%	34.8%
Mozambique	Gurue	2000	32.2%	43.3%	22.2%
Mozambique	Gurue	2017	43.7%	60.1%	30.8%
Mozambique	Homoine	2000	49.7%	57.0%	42.5%
Mozambique	Homoine	2017	51.3%	59.9%	44.4%
Mozambique	Ile	2000	33.9%	48.9%	20.7%
Mozambique	Ile	2017	38.7%	54.5%	25.0%
Mozambique	Inharrime	2000	39.8%	54.0%	26.0%
Mozambique	Inharrime	2017	51.8%	67.6%	35.0%
Mozambique	Inhassoro	2000	49.6%	69.3%	29.8%
Mozambique	Inhassoro	2017	53.3%	77.5%	31.7%
Mozambique	Inhassunge	2000	29.3%	43.7%	16.9%
Mozambique	Inhassunge	2017	46.8%	59.8%	35.7%
Mozambique	Jangamo	2000	46.7%	57.8%	35.8%
Mozambique	Jangamo	2017	64.4%	76.7%	54.2%
Mozambique	Lago	2000	40.9%	58.9%	24.2%
Mozambique	Lago	2017	47.6%	64.6%	30.5%
Mozambique	Lalaua	2000	46.7%	68.3%	27.6%
Mozambique	Lalaua	2017	53.0%	76.8%	28.2%
Mozambique	Lichinga	2000	41.4%	48.9%	34.1%
Mozambique	Lichinga	2017	45.7%	55.8%	37.9%
Mozambique	Lugela	2000	33.9%	53.1%	21.8%
Mozambique	Lugela	2017	35.6%	59.4%	19.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Mabalane	2000	44.0%	61.5%	27.3%
Mozambique	Mabalane	2017	50.0%	70.9%	30.9%
Mozambique	Mabote	2000	49.3%	65.9%	34.0%
Mozambique	Mabote	2017	53.1%	73.2%	34.9%
Mozambique	Macanga	2000	45.1%	58.6%	32.4%
Mozambique	Macanga	2017	48.2%	62.5%	34.5%
Mozambique	Machanga	2000	49.9%	70.1%	28.8%
Mozambique	Machanga	2017	55.8%	76.9%	35.7%
Mozambique	Machaze	2000	44.2%	56.1%	33.8%
Mozambique	Machaze	2017	50.4%	63.3%	39.8%
Mozambique	Macomia	2000	44.8%	63.7%	25.3%
Mozambique	Macomia	2017	49.3%	68.4%	28.8%
Mozambique	Macossa	2000	53.0%	76.7%	31.1%
Mozambique	Macossa	2017	59.3%	86.9%	31.0%
Mozambique	Maganja da Costa	2000	30.4%	44.0%	19.6%
Mozambique	Maganja da Costa	2017	32.9%	48.1%	20.7%
Mozambique	Magoe	2000	52.5%	68.3%	36.1%
Mozambique	Magoe	2017	57.2%	73.6%	41.2%
Mozambique	Magude	2000	46.4%	63.0%	32.5%
Mozambique	Magude	2017	64.1%	80.1%	43.2%
Mozambique	Majune	2000	47.5%	78.0%	22.5%
Mozambique	Majune	2017	57.7%	84.8%	27.9%
Mozambique	Malema	2000	37.6%	53.3%	22.7%
Mozambique	Malema	2017	45.8%	65.0%	24.2%
Mozambique	Mandimba	2000	37.9%	57.8%	21.9%
Mozambique	Mandimba	2017	48.8%	69.9%	27.4%
Mozambique	Mandlakazi	2000	38.6%	48.3%	28.9%
Mozambique	Mandlakazi	2017	42.5%	55.2%	31.3%
Mozambique	Manhiça	2000	61.4%	69.8%	52.4%
Mozambique	Manhiça	2017	81.4%	88.6%	72.6%
Mozambique	Manica	2000	45.4%	54.8%	36.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Manica	2017	55.4%	66.2%	44.8%
Mozambique	Maputo	2000	82.5%	84.0%	81.0%
Mozambique	Maputo	2017	95.4%	96.0%	94.7%
Mozambique	Maravia	2000	52.3%	63.2%	41.1%
Mozambique	Maravia	2017	55.6%	69.2%	40.9%
Mozambique	Maringue	2000	41.3%	59.5%	24.9%
Mozambique	Maringue	2017	49.4%	70.7%	28.5%
Mozambique	Marracuene	2000	68.1%	80.4%	57.7%
Mozambique	Marracuene	2017	85.5%	95.0%	76.6%
Mozambique	Marromeu	2000	50.2%	63.3%	37.3%
Mozambique	Marromeu	2017	58.3%	72.9%	45.0%
Mozambique	Marrupa	2000	43.4%	57.4%	26.2%
Mozambique	Marrupa	2017	55.2%	71.4%	37.9%
Mozambique	Massangena	2000	56.9%	88.6%	24.2%
Mozambique	Massangena	2017	63.0%	91.0%	26.5%
Mozambique	Massinga	2000	36.6%	47.7%	28.2%
Mozambique	Massinga	2017	45.1%	61.1%	32.9%
Mozambique	Massingir	2000	60.5%	80.7%	34.2%
Mozambique	Massingir	2017	71.1%	87.8%	44.5%
Mozambique	Matutuíne	2000	48.5%	67.4%	32.2%
Mozambique	Matutuíne	2017	55.0%	69.9%	40.0%
Mozambique	Maúá	2000	42.2%	57.6%	28.3%
Mozambique	Maúá	2017	58.3%	73.7%	41.2%
Mozambique	Mavago	2000	51.7%	70.0%	33.6%
Mozambique	Mavago	2017	60.3%	78.1%	39.9%
Mozambique	Mecanhelas	2000	33.1%	41.6%	24.4%
Mozambique	Mecanhelas	2017	33.4%	44.3%	24.6%
Mozambique	Meconta	2000	37.5%	59.1%	21.6%
Mozambique	Meconta	2017	52.5%	74.9%	31.2%
Mozambique	Mecuburi	2000	40.1%	54.7%	24.8%
Mozambique	Mecuburi	2017	43.5%	61.5%	25.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Mecufi	2000	76.7%	89.7%	58.8%
Mozambique	Mecufi	2017	81.6%	94.3%	62.1%
Mozambique	Mecula	2000	57.2%	77.6%	33.9%
Mozambique	Mecula	2017	62.8%	84.3%	39.2%
Mozambique	Meluco	2000	36.1%	60.3%	19.3%
Mozambique	Meluco	2017	39.8%	68.2%	17.3%
Mozambique	Memba	2000	29.5%	43.6%	16.6%
Mozambique	Memba	2017	40.8%	56.9%	22.5%
Mozambique	Metarica	2000	49.1%	69.4%	31.6%
Mozambique	Metarica	2017	52.8%	75.4%	31.7%
Mozambique	Milange	2000	36.7%	45.5%	28.0%
Mozambique	Milange	2017	40.4%	53.4%	29.3%
Mozambique	Moamba	2000	44.6%	68.2%	26.7%
Mozambique	Moamba	2017	55.5%	77.4%	35.0%
Mozambique	Moatize	2000	39.0%	49.9%	29.5%
Mozambique	Moatize	2017	43.7%	58.6%	33.4%
Mozambique	Mocimboa da Praia	2000	49.9%	64.7%	35.8%
Mozambique	Mocimboa da Praia	2017	53.5%	64.9%	40.1%
Mozambique	Mocuba	2000	34.7%	47.7%	24.5%
Mozambique	Mocuba	2017	39.4%	54.9%	26.6%
Mozambique	Mogovolas	2000	26.4%	38.2%	16.9%
Mozambique	Mogovolas	2017	33.2%	48.8%	20.3%
Mozambique	Moma	2000	30.8%	43.4%	19.7%
Mozambique	Moma	2017	37.8%	54.4%	21.9%
Mozambique	Monapo	2000	44.0%	59.6%	31.2%
Mozambique	Monapo	2017	55.5%	72.4%	38.2%
Mozambique	Mongincual	2000	38.3%	57.3%	22.0%
Mozambique	Mongincual	2017	34.2%	56.2%	17.4%
Mozambique	Montepuez	2000	30.0%	41.3%	20.2%
Mozambique	Montepuez	2017	28.0%	39.5%	18.8%
Mozambique	Mopeia	2000	47.4%	66.0%	27.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Mopeia	2017	49.5%	70.5%	25.5%
Mozambique	Morrumbala	2000	36.7%	47.7%	26.2%
Mozambique	Morrumbala	2017	41.0%	55.3%	28.1%
Mozambique	Morrumbene	2000	30.9%	41.9%	20.0%
Mozambique	Morrumbene	2017	37.7%	50.9%	25.7%
Mozambique	Mossuril	2000	58.8%	74.0%	41.4%
Mozambique	Mossuril	2017	69.0%	82.2%	52.8%
Mozambique	Mossurize	2000	39.5%	50.9%	26.8%
Mozambique	Mossurize	2017	54.1%	66.3%	40.3%
Mozambique	Muanza	2000	43.9%	70.8%	24.4%
Mozambique	Muanza	2017	49.8%	79.9%	23.9%
Mozambique	Muecate	2000	52.2%	74.0%	28.1%
Mozambique	Muecate	2017	61.8%	82.7%	38.6%
Mozambique	Mueda	2000	40.0%	50.9%	26.0%
Mozambique	Mueda	2017	46.3%	60.9%	31.8%
Mozambique	Muembe	2000	35.5%	58.6%	16.8%
Mozambique	Muembe	2017	45.5%	73.2%	21.8%
Mozambique	Muidumbe	2000	40.1%	59.5%	18.4%
Mozambique	Muidumbe	2017	48.5%	68.8%	22.6%
Mozambique	Murupula	2000	36.3%	53.5%	19.7%
Mozambique	Murupula	2017	44.1%	63.4%	25.0%
Mozambique	Mutarara	2000	42.4%	52.5%	31.1%
Mozambique	Mutarara	2017	42.7%	56.4%	30.8%
Mozambique	N'gauma	2000	27.9%	43.7%	15.5%
Mozambique	N'gauma	2017	31.4%	51.7%	14.9%
Mozambique	Nacala Velha	2000	66.5%	78.6%	52.1%
Mozambique	Nacala Velha	2017	77.8%	88.6%	67.3%
Mozambique	Nacaroa	2000	45.6%	67.2%	28.7%
Mozambique	Nacaroa	2017	52.7%	77.5%	32.8%
Mozambique	Namaacha	2000	74.0%	82.6%	64.5%
Mozambique	Namaacha	2017	82.1%	89.3%	75.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Namacurra	2000	34.7%	49.6%	20.2%
Mozambique	Namacurra	2017	40.2%	55.9%	23.1%
Mozambique	Namarroi	2000	33.4%	49.7%	20.0%
Mozambique	Namarroi	2017	38.9%	58.0%	22.1%
Mozambique	Nampula	2000	43.0%	51.5%	35.6%
Mozambique	Nampula	2017	67.6%	74.8%	60.0%
Mozambique	Namuno	2000	37.0%	52.6%	24.6%
Mozambique	Namuno	2017	42.8%	59.2%	25.1%
Mozambique	Nangade	2000	38.0%	57.3%	20.3%
Mozambique	Nangade	2017	46.8%	68.3%	26.6%
Mozambique	Nhamatanda	2000	42.4%	54.1%	32.2%
Mozambique	Nhamatanda	2017	58.5%	69.7%	47.8%
Mozambique	Nicoadala	2000	33.7%	44.8%	24.0%
Mozambique	Nicoadala	2017	64.0%	72.6%	55.0%
Mozambique	Nipepe	2000	50.2%	76.9%	28.3%
Mozambique	Nipepe	2017	57.4%	87.1%	30.8%
Mozambique	Palma	2000	42.1%	59.2%	27.1%
Mozambique	Palma	2017	43.9%	67.4%	25.8%
Mozambique	Panda	2000	42.7%	64.1%	24.8%
Mozambique	Panda	2017	46.6%	68.8%	26.5%
Mozambique	Pebane	2000	42.1%	56.1%	27.3%
Mozambique	Pebane	2017	43.1%	57.6%	28.6%
Mozambique	Pemba	2000	67.6%	83.2%	53.9%
Mozambique	Pemba	2017	80.6%	92.6%	67.1%
Mozambique	Quissanga	2000	43.7%	68.6%	20.6%
Mozambique	Quissanga	2017	49.5%	73.4%	23.9%
Mozambique	Ribaue	2000	45.4%	59.2%	30.4%
Mozambique	Ribaue	2017	57.3%	71.8%	40.3%
Mozambique	Sanga	2000	36.1%	53.8%	21.1%
Mozambique	Sanga	2017	41.2%	58.8%	24.2%
Mozambique	Sussundenga	2000	42.0%	59.0%	27.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Sussundenga	2017	50.3%	69.5%	33.6%
Mozambique	Tambara	2000	49.9%	74.5%	22.0%
Mozambique	Tambara	2017	57.0%	80.6%	22.4%
Mozambique	Tsangano	2000	32.3%	53.6%	15.3%
Mozambique	Tsangano	2017	42.0%	61.0%	19.9%
Mozambique	Vilanculos	2000	42.2%	57.4%	31.7%
Mozambique	Vilanculos	2017	55.3%	69.3%	41.2%
Mozambique	Xai-Xai	2000	48.5%	58.0%	39.1%
Mozambique	Xai-Xai	2017	71.6%	79.6%	61.2%
Mozambique	Zavala	2000	43.3%	59.9%	28.5%
Mozambique	Zavala	2017	53.1%	69.1%	34.3%
Mozambique	Zumbu	2000	62.9%	77.8%	47.8%
Mozambique	Zumbu	2017	65.2%	80.3%	44.0%
Namibia	Aminius	2000	91.5%	95.1%	86.3%
Namibia	Aminius	2017	90.6%	94.4%	84.9%
Namibia	Anamulenge	2000	62.4%	73.3%	46.6%
Namibia	Anamulenge	2017	68.8%	79.0%	54.7%
Namibia	Arandis	2000	97.8%	99.7%	92.0%
Namibia	Arandis	2017	98.0%	99.7%	93.7%
Namibia	Berseba	2000	91.3%	94.2%	87.4%
Namibia	Berseba	2017	90.7%	93.6%	86.8%
Namibia	Daures	2000	88.6%	94.1%	81.4%
Namibia	Daures	2017	87.1%	93.2%	78.4%
Namibia	Eenhana	2000	63.7%	76.0%	51.4%
Namibia	Eenhana	2017	65.5%	77.7%	52.7%
Namibia	Elim	2000	62.6%	74.1%	50.4%
Namibia	Elim	2017	66.3%	77.6%	54.2%
Namibia	Endola	2000	71.5%	79.2%	63.7%
Namibia	Endola	2017	72.2%	78.8%	64.2%
Namibia	Engela	2000	82.1%	88.2%	73.8%
Namibia	Engela	2017	85.4%	90.3%	76.9%
Namibia	Engodi	2000	73.8%	81.9%	64.6%
Namibia	Engodi	2017	73.4%	81.9%	63.5%
Namibia	Epembe	2000	64.1%	78.4%	46.2%
Namibia	Epembe	2017	62.7%	77.0%	46.5%
Namibia	Epukiro	2000	87.4%	93.3%	79.9%
Namibia	Epukiro	2017	85.7%	92.1%	77.6%
Namibia	Epupa	2000	74.6%	80.7%	68.2%
Namibia	Epupa	2017	73.9%	79.4%	67.4%
Namibia	Etayi	2000	54.9%	68.1%	41.3%
Namibia	Etayi	2017	58.6%	70.4%	44.4%
Namibia	Gibeon	2000	94.6%	96.6%	92.1%
Namibia	Gibeon	2017	93.6%	95.8%	90.9%
Namibia	Gobabis	2000	98.4%	99.2%	96.9%
Namibia	Gobabis	2017	98.3%	99.1%	96.9%
Namibia	Grootfontein	2000	93.1%	95.0%	90.7%
Namibia	Grootfontein	2017	92.4%	94.4%	89.9%
Namibia	Guinas	2000	80.6%	90.1%	69.1%
Namibia	Guinas	2017	79.9%	89.5%	67.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Kabe	2000	58.6%	68.6%	45.8%
Namibia	Kabe	2017	54.6%	65.5%	41.7%
Namibia	Kahenge	2000	61.7%	70.3%	53.3%
Namibia	Kahenge	2017	63.2%	71.6%	54.9%
Namibia	Kalahari	2000	90.7%	94.6%	85.7%
Namibia	Kalahari	2017	90.0%	93.9%	84.4%
Namibia	Kamanjab	2000	90.2%	93.8%	83.6%
Namibia	Kamanjab	2017	88.8%	92.5%	83.4%
Namibia	Kapako	2000	72.0%	84.5%	59.1%
Namibia	Kapako	2017	70.8%	83.7%	57.9%
Namibia	Karas	2000	89.4%	92.7%	85.7%
Namibia	Karas	2017	90.3%	93.6%	85.9%
Namibia	Karibib	2000	96.6%	98.5%	92.9%
Namibia	Karibib	2017	96.6%	98.4%	93.2%
Namibia	Katima	2000	82.7%	86.8%	77.5%
Namibia	Muliro Rural Katima	2017	82.0%	86.1%	76.6%
Namibia	Muliro Rural Katima	2000	75.9%	80.6%	70.6%
Namibia	Muliro Urban Katima	2017	85.2%	88.6%	81.6%
Namibia	Muliro Urban Katutura Central	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Muliro Urban Katutura Central	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Katutura East	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Katutura East	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Rural	2000	89.1%	94.3%	77.6%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Rural	2017	87.5%	93.4%	74.2%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Urban	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Urban	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.6%
Namibia	Khomasdalen North	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Namibia	Khomasdalen North	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Namibia	Khorixas	2000	89.8%	94.1%	77.9%
Namibia	Khorixas	2017	87.9%	93.2%	75.1%
Namibia	Kongola	2000	87.6%	93.5%	79.0%
Namibia	Kongola	2017	82.0%	90.1%	70.7%
Namibia	Linyandi	2000	78.7%	88.8%	66.4%
Namibia	Linyandi	2017	74.6%	86.3%	62.4%
Namibia	Luderitz	2000	98.7%	99.7%	95.3%
Namibia	Luderitz	2017	98.6%	99.7%	95.4%
Namibia	Mariental Rural	2000	94.0%	96.5%	90.9%
Namibia	Mariental Rural	2017	94.1%	96.2%	91.3%
Namibia	Mariental Urban	2000	90.9%	94.0%	86.7%
Namibia	Mariental Urban	2017	92.0%	95.3%	86.2%
Namibia	Mashare	2000	69.3%	83.0%	50.4%
Namibia	Mashare	2017	67.5%	81.7%	47.7%
Namibia	Moses Garoeb	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Moses Garoeb	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Mpungu	2000	62.2%	72.3%	53.0%
Namibia	Mpungu	2017	61.7%	72.5%	51.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Mukwe	2000	49.0%	61.0%	36.8%
Namibia	Mukwe	2017	50.1%	62.2%	36.7%
Namibia	Ndiyona	2000	45.7%	56.1%	34.8%
Namibia	Ndiyona	2017	47.7%	58.5%	37.0%
Namibia	Ogongo	2000	65.4%	74.0%	55.0%
Namibia	Ogongo	2017	65.0%	73.4%	55.8%
Namibia	Ohangwena	2000	61.3%	71.0%	45.6%
Namibia	Ohangwena	2017	59.5%	68.6%	43.4%
Namibia	Okahandja	2000	98.5%	99.3%	97.5%
Namibia	Okahandja	2017	98.3%	99.2%	97.2%
Namibia	Okahao	2000	77.2%	86.3%	63.4%
Namibia	Okahao	2017	81.3%	89.2%	67.3%
Namibia	Okakarara	2000	89.7%	93.2%	85.2%
Namibia	Okakarara	2017	88.5%	92.2%	82.9%
Namibia	Okaku	2000	73.3%	80.3%	67.9%
Namibia	Okaku	2017	79.4%	85.3%	73.2%
Namibia	Okalongo	2000	58.3%	69.1%	46.7%
Namibia	Okalongo	2017	61.3%	73.5%	49.1%
Namibia	Okankolo	2000	69.5%	79.3%	57.8%
Namibia	Okankolo	2017	70.3%	80.1%	58.1%
Namibia	Okatana	2000	79.7%	84.6%	72.8%
Namibia	Okatana	2017	80.7%	85.4%	74.4%
Namibia	Okatyali	2000	80.9%	96.2%	51.4%
Namibia	Okatyali	2017	81.0%	96.8%	48.4%
Namibia	Okongo	2000	69.8%	78.3%	61.5%
Namibia	Okongo	2017	68.2%	77.7%	58.4%
Namibia	Olukonda	2000	81.5%	92.9%	59.6%
Namibia	Olukonda	2017	85.9%	95.4%	67.3%
Namibia	Omaruru	2000	96.3%	98.6%	93.3%
Namibia	Omaruru	2017	96.1%	98.2%	93.9%
Namibia	Omatako	2000	93.6%	96.1%	88.1%
Namibia	Omatako	2017	93.2%	95.7%	88.3%
Namibia	Ompundja	2000	67.5%	84.1%	48.2%
Namibia	Ompundja	2017	72.2%	87.5%	52.2%
Namibia	Omulonga	2000	54.7%	67.6%	42.0%
Namibia	Omulonga	2017	50.3%	62.8%	38.2%
Namibia	Omundaungilo	2000	62.8%	82.0%	42.5%
Namibia	Omundaungilo	2017	60.2%	78.4%	38.8%
Namibia	Omuntele	2000	71.9%	82.2%	61.3%
Namibia	Omuntele	2017	74.6%	85.4%	64.2%
Namibia	Omuthiyagwipund	2000	81.8%	88.2%	74.1%
Namibia	Omuthiyagwipund	2017	82.9%	90.1%	74.1%
Namibia	Onayena	2000	64.9%	73.0%	56.8%
Namibia	Onayena	2017	69.5%	77.7%	60.7%
Namibia	Ondangwa	2000	89.9%	91.8%	87.7%
Namibia	Ondangwa	2017	93.4%	94.5%	91.9%
Namibia	Ondobe	2000	50.8%	62.0%	38.6%
Namibia	Ondobe	2017	50.0%	60.2%	37.8%
Namibia	Onesi	2000	54.7%	72.8%	36.6%
Namibia	Onesi	2017	58.9%	77.4%	42.6%
Namibia	Ongenga	2000	70.2%	76.8%	63.4%
Namibia	Ongenga	2017	74.4%	80.9%	66.7%
Namibia	Ongwediwa	2000	91.7%	93.1%	89.8%
Namibia	Ongwediwa	2017	94.5%	95.6%	92.8%
Namibia	Oniipa	2000	60.4%	67.1%	53.3%
Namibia	Oniipa	2017	69.3%	75.1%	63.2%
Namibia	Onyaanya	2000	62.7%	73.5%	50.4%
Namibia	Onyaanya	2017	67.1%	78.9%	54.6%
Namibia	Opuwo	2000	83.6%	88.9%	76.4%
Namibia	Opuwo	2017	82.3%	87.3%	76.5%
Namibia	Oranjemund	2000	98.1%	99.9%	90.3%
Namibia	Oranjemund	2017	97.8%	99.8%	90.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Oshakati East	2000	96.0%	98.2%	92.0%
Namibia	Oshakati East	2017	96.5%	98.5%	93.5%
Namibia	Oshakati West	2000	97.3%	99.2%	94.0%
Namibia	Oshakati West	2017	97.6%	99.2%	94.6%
Namibia	Oshikango	2000	65.8%	68.8%	62.0%
Namibia	Oshikango	2017	73.7%	76.2%	70.8%
Namibia	Oshikuku	2000	71.4%	85.2%	58.7%
Namibia	Oshikuku	2017	73.6%	85.6%	60.3%
Namibia	Otamanzi	2000	61.2%	76.3%	41.9%
Namibia	Otamanzi	2017	63.0%	77.6%	43.1%
Namibia	Otavi	2000	91.4%	94.4%	86.7%
Namibia	Otavi	2017	90.2%	93.3%	85.4%
Namibia	Otjinene	2000	91.6%	95.7%	86.5%
Namibia	Otjinene	2017	90.1%	94.2%	85.3%
Namibia	Otjiwarongo	2000	98.7%	99.4%	97.5%
Namibia	Otjiwarongo	2017	98.5%	99.3%	97.1%
Namibia	Otjombinde	2000	90.0%	94.2%	81.2%
Namibia	Otjombinde	2017	88.3%	92.9%	80.3%
Namibia	Outapi	2000	55.2%	67.6%	42.5%
Namibia	Outapi	2017	59.8%	72.1%	47.0%
Namibia	Outjo	2000	91.4%	95.9%	86.8%
Namibia	Outjo	2017	91.5%	94.7%	88.1%
Namibia	Rehoboth East	2000	98.2%	99.2%	96.6%
Namibia	Rehoboth East	2017	98.1%	99.1%	96.7%
Namibia	Rehoboth Rural	2000	93.4%	96.6%	89.5%
Namibia	Rehoboth Rural	2017	91.7%	95.3%	87.6%
Namibia	Rehoboth West	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Namibia	Rehoboth West	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Namibia	Ruacana	2000	78.2%	89.6%	65.3%
Namibia	Ruacana	2017	80.5%	90.4%	67.2%
Namibia	Rundu Rural East	2000	60.1%	68.4%	47.8%
Namibia	Rundu Rural East	2017	63.4%	70.1%	52.8%
Namibia	Rundu Rural West	2000	75.9%	79.1%	71.8%
Namibia	Rundu Rural West	2017	81.5%	84.5%	77.6%
Namibia	Rundu Urban	2000	90.6%	91.9%	88.9%
Namibia	Rundu Urban	2017	93.7%	94.6%	92.5%
Namibia	Sesfontein	2000	85.3%	91.1%	78.8%
Namibia	Sesfontein	2017	84.3%	90.1%	77.5%
Namibia	Sibinda	2000	88.6%	95.7%	76.9%
Namibia	Sibinda	2017	84.6%	93.9%	72.2%
Namibia	Soweto	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Soweto	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Namibia	Steinhausen	2000	89.2%	93.8%	79.4%
Namibia	Steinhausen	2017	87.3%	92.7%	76.2%
Namibia	Swakopmund	2000	99.1%	99.8%	96.5%
Namibia	Swakopmund	2017	99.0%	99.7%	95.9%
Namibia	Tobias Hainyeko	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Tobias Hainyeko	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Namibia	Tsandi	2000	65.9%	76.6%	53.1%
Namibia	Tsandi	2017	67.7%	78.7%	55.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Tsumeb	2000	99.0%	99.9%	97.3%
Namibia	Tsumeb	2017	98.3%	99.7%	96.3%
Namibia	Tsumkwe	2000	79.7%	88.3%	67.2%
Namibia	Tsumkwe	2017	78.9%	87.0%	65.4%
Namibia	Uukwiyu	2000	90.2%	96.0%	80.8%
Namibia	Uukwiyu	2017	92.8%	97.3%	84.1%
Namibia	Uuvudhiya	2000	66.9%	84.7%	47.6%
Namibia	Uuvudhiya	2017	65.5%	83.3%	46.8%
Namibia	Walvisbay Rural	2000	98.9%	99.8%	94.0%
Namibia	Walvisbay Rural	2017	98.6%	99.8%	91.5%
Namibia	Walvisbay Urban	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Namibia	Walvisbay Urban	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Namibia	Wanaheda	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Namibia	Wanaheda	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Namibia	Windhoek East	2000	99.0%	100.0%	95.0%
Namibia	Windhoek East	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.5%
Namibia	Windhoek Rural	2000	95.2%	97.0%	92.3%
Namibia	Windhoek Rural	2017	95.1%	96.6%	92.8%
Namibia	Windhoek West	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Namibia	Windhoek West	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Niger	Aguié	2000	45.9%	50.4%	41.5%
Niger	Aguié	2017	76.8%	80.4%	73.0%
Niger	Arlit	2000	70.6%	73.8%	67.8%
Niger	Arlit	2017	91.4%	92.8%	90.0%
Niger	Bilma	2000	72.7%	75.1%	70.0%
Niger	Bilma	2017	92.3%	93.4%	91.1%
Niger	Bkonni	2000	47.0%	50.6%	43.5%
Niger	Bkonni	2017	77.2%	79.5%	74.6%
Niger	Boboye	2000	33.9%	37.6%	30.6%
Niger	Boboye	2017	64.4%	68.5%	60.7%
Niger	Bouza	2000	42.1%	44.8%	39.4%
Niger	Bouza	2017	73.5%	75.8%	71.3%
Niger	Dakoro	2000	49.0%	52.8%	45.5%
Niger	Dakoro	2017	78.4%	81.4%	75.4%
Niger	Diffa	2000	57.7%	60.5%	55.0%
Niger	Diffa	2017	85.7%	87.4%	83.9%
Niger	Dogon-Doutchi	2000	38.7%	41.7%	36.0%
Niger	Dogon-Doutchi	2017	70.2%	73.0%	67.4%
Niger	Dosso	2000	33.5%	36.6%	30.5%
Niger	Dosso	2017	64.0%	67.9%	59.7%
Niger	Filingué	2000	37.3%	39.6%	35.0%
Niger	Filingué	2017	67.7%	70.2%	65.2%
Niger	Gaya	2000	36.7%	41.3%	32.8%
Niger	Gaya	2017	68.4%	73.3%	63.8%
Niger	Gouré	2000	46.3%	48.4%	44.3%
Niger	Gouré	2017	76.8%	78.7%	75.1%
Niger	Groumdji	2000	48.6%	54.1%	43.6%
Niger	Groumdji	2017	80.3%	84.1%	76.6%
Niger	Illéla	2000	41.3%	43.8%	38.8%
Niger	Illéla	2017	72.8%	75.1%	70.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Niger	Keita	2000	41.4%	44.3%	39.0%
Niger	Keita	2017	73.6%	76.1%	71.3%
Niger	Kollo	2000	41.2%	44.4%	38.3%
Niger	Kollo	2017	70.4%	72.8%	68.0%
Niger	Loga	2000	34.0%	38.1%	30.3%
Niger	Loga	2017	64.4%	68.7%	59.9%
Niger	Madaoua	2000	40.9%	44.4%	37.9%
Niger	Madaoua	2017	72.5%	75.7%	69.8%
Niger	Madarounfa	2000	40.8%	44.1%	37.6%
Niger	Madarounfa	2017	75.6%	78.3%	72.6%
Niger	Magaria	2000	44.3%	46.7%	42.0%
Niger	Magaria	2017	76.2%	78.3%	74.1%
Niger	Mainé-Soroa	2000	56.3%	58.6%	54.2%
Niger	Mainé-Soroa	2017	84.6%	86.1%	83.1%
Niger	Matameye	2000	49.3%	52.5%	45.9%
Niger	Matameye	2017	80.7%	83.2%	78.4%
Niger	Mayahi	2000	46.2%	49.7%	43.2%
Niger	Mayahi	2017	76.2%	79.0%	73.5%
Niger	Mirriah	2000	42.7%	45.2%	40.5%
Niger	Mirriah	2017	74.0%	76.4%	71.7%
Niger	N'Guigmi	2000	59.1%	62.7%	55.7%
Niger	N'Guigmi	2017	85.8%	87.7%	83.6%
Niger	Niamey	2000	73.2%	77.1%	69.1%
Niger	Niamey	2017	90.5%	92.6%	88.1%
Niger	Ouallam	2000	36.9%	38.9%	34.8%
Niger	Ouallam	2017	67.3%	69.7%	64.9%
Niger	Say	2000	38.3%	42.2%	34.5%
Niger	Say	2017	67.7%	70.8%	64.5%
Niger	Tahoua	2000	41.7%	44.9%	38.7%
Niger	Tahoua	2017	73.2%	75.9%	70.2%
Niger	Tanout	2000	50.7%	52.9%	48.5%
Niger	Tanout	2017	80.1%	81.7%	78.2%
Niger	Tchighozerine	2000	77.0%	78.7%	75.2%
Niger	Tchighozerine	2017	94.2%	94.8%	93.5%
Niger	Tchin-Tabarade	2000	49.2%	52.2%	46.3%
Niger	Tchin-Tabarade	2017	78.6%	81.2%	75.9%
Niger	Téra	2000	36.3%	38.2%	34.1%
Niger	Téra	2017	66.5%	68.7%	64.2%
Niger	Tessaoua	2000	46.5%	49.7%	43.1%
Niger	Tessaoua	2017	76.7%	79.6%	73.5%
Niger	Tillabéry	2000	40.9%	43.7%	37.7%
Niger	Tillabéry	2017	71.5%	74.1%	68.2%
Nigeria	Aba North	2000	56.2%	59.3%	53.3%
Nigeria	Aba North	2017	95.2%	95.7%	94.6%
Nigeria	Aba South	2000	76.6%	79.5%	71.3%
Nigeria	Aba South	2017	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Nigeria	Abadam	2000	41.6%	57.2%	24.6%
Nigeria	Abadam	2017	65.2%	82.1%	47.5%
Nigeria	Abaji	2000	34.1%	45.1%	25.2%
Nigeria	Abaji	2017	63.8%	72.9%	54.2%
Nigeria	Abak	2000	46.9%	55.7%	38.7%
Nigeria	Abak	2017	80.3%	86.8%	73.4%
Nigeria	Abakalik	2000	40.0%	43.1%	36.6%
Nigeria	Abakalik	2017	78.8%	80.5%	76.6%
Nigeria	Abeokuta South	2000	83.5%	86.7%	80.4%
Nigeria	Abeokuta South	2017	94.3%	96.0%	91.6%
Nigeria	AbeokutaNorth	2000	65.6%	80.3%	51.2%
Nigeria	AbeokutaNorth	2017	81.4%	94.4%	64.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Abi	2000	49.9%	55.7%	44.0%
Nigeria	Abi	2017	66.0%	69.4%	63.4%
Nigeria	Aboh-Mba	2000	59.0%	62.3%	55.9%
Nigeria	Aboh-Mba	2017	94.2%	95.2%	93.3%
Nigeria	Abua/Odu	2000	45.8%	55.1%	38.0%
Nigeria	Abua/Odu	2017	71.3%	79.6%	64.4%
Nigeria	AbujaMun	2000	72.9%	76.0%	69.6%
Nigeria	AbujaMun	2017	89.6%	91.3%	87.3%
Nigeria	Adavi	2000	49.2%	56.7%	42.5%
Nigeria	Adavi	2017	84.4%	90.2%	77.2%
Nigeria	Ado	2000	17.4%	34.5%	6.1%
Nigeria	Ado	2017	45.1%	59.8%	31.3%
Nigeria	Ado-Ekiti	2000	34.7%	37.2%	32.5%
Nigeria	Ado-Ekiti	2017	69.0%	72.7%	65.1%
Nigeria	AdoOdo/Ota	2000	57.1%	64.3%	48.4%
Nigeria	AdoOdo/Ota	2017	83.9%	88.4%	78.7%
Nigeria	Afijio	2000	55.6%	71.1%	43.1%
Nigeria	Afijio	2017	84.6%	94.9%	70.5%
Nigeria	Afikpo	2000	53.6%	61.2%	47.4%
Nigeria	Afikpo	2017	85.3%	87.7%	82.8%
Nigeria	AfikpoSo	2000	24.0%	37.0%	13.7%
Nigeria	AfikpoSo	2017	47.4%	53.5%	37.8%
Nigeria	Agai	2000	52.5%	61.9%	46.3%
Nigeria	Agai	2017	44.9%	52.9%	39.7%
Nigeria	Agatu	2000	36.1%	54.7%	18.7%
Nigeria	Agatu	2017	61.1%	78.8%	41.3%
Nigeria	Agege	2000	93.7%	95.6%	91.4%
Nigeria	Agege	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Nigeria	Aguata	2000	61.6%	69.2%	54.1%
Nigeria	Aguata	2017	93.1%	95.5%	89.4%
Nigeria	Agwara	2000	23.5%	40.4%	11.3%
Nigeria	Agwara	2017	40.9%	58.3%	24.3%
Nigeria	Ahizu-Mb	2000	39.0%	41.1%	37.1%
Nigeria	Ahizu-Mb	2017	87.4%	88.3%	86.4%
Nigeria	Ahoda East	2000	51.7%	55.4%	48.7%
Nigeria	Ahoda East	2017	80.6%	84.3%	77.0%
Nigeria	Ahoda West	2000	38.1%	41.0%	34.8%
Nigeria	Ahoda West	2017	61.7%	63.8%	59.5%
Nigeria	Ajaokuta	2000	67.1%	78.4%	55.6%
Nigeria	Ajaokuta	2017	84.0%	92.0%	73.0%
Nigeria	Ajeromi/Ifelodun	2000	94.4%	96.0%	92.1%
Nigeria	Ajeromi/Ifelodun	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Nigeria	Ajingi	2000	33.5%	43.0%	26.2%
Nigeria	Ajingi	2017	59.5%	65.2%	54.8%
Nigeria	Akamkpa	2000	26.6%	36.7%	18.5%
Nigeria	Akamkpa	2017	42.2%	54.0%	33.2%
Nigeria	Akinyele	2000	52.5%	58.6%	43.9%
Nigeria	Akinyele	2017	89.2%	92.3%	83.8%
Nigeria	Akko	2000	41.8%	45.4%	38.6%
Nigeria	Akko	2017	53.9%	57.4%	50.7%
Nigeria	Akoko North-East	2000	45.5%	55.9%	35.8%
Nigeria	Akoko North-East	2017	80.6%	87.7%	68.3%
Nigeria	Akoko South-East	2000	30.3%	45.3%	21.6%
Nigeria	Akoko South-East	2017	74.1%	80.5%	65.4%
Nigeria	Akoko South-West	2000	41.2%	54.7%	29.5%
Nigeria	Akoko South-West	2017	76.2%	84.5%	64.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Akoko-Ed	2000	46.2%	61.2%	28.3%
Nigeria	Akoko-Ed	2017	71.8%	81.0%	59.8%
Nigeria	AkokoNorthWest	2000	44.9%	51.1%	40.2%
Nigeria	AkokoNorthWest	2017	72.3%	75.2%	69.7%
Nigeria	Akpabuyo	2000	51.7%	58.8%	44.3%
Nigeria	Akpabuyo	2017	74.0%	80.3%	68.3%
Nigeria	Akukutor	2000	25.8%	51.3%	7.9%
Nigeria	Akukutor	2017	51.4%	78.4%	22.1%
Nigeria	Akure North	2000	47.1%	52.2%	42.2%
Nigeria	Akure North	2017	81.7%	85.6%	77.3%
Nigeria	Akure South	2000	34.2%	40.4%	29.8%
Nigeria	Akure South	2017	76.5%	82.5%	69.6%
Nigeria	Akwanga	2000	18.6%	27.4%	13.4%
Nigeria	Akwanga	2017	40.2%	48.6%	32.0%
Nigeria	Albasu	2000	23.4%	34.4%	17.7%
Nigeria	Albasu	2017	62.3%	72.4%	51.3%
Nigeria	Aleiro	2000	39.9%	51.0%	29.9%
Nigeria	Aleiro	2017	57.2%	69.9%	42.3%
Nigeria	Alimosho	2000	87.1%	90.1%	83.1%
Nigeria	Alimosho	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Nigeria	Alkaleri	2000	23.4%	35.1%	14.2%
Nigeria	Alkaleri	2017	43.0%	53.7%	32.3%
Nigeria	Amuwo Od- ofin	2000	94.4%	96.3%	91.3%
Nigeria	Amuwo Od- ofin	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Nigeria	Anambra East	2000	49.7%	53.3%	45.9%
Nigeria	Anambra East	2017	82.4%	84.4%	80.0%
Nigeria	Anambra West	2000	48.4%	68.0%	31.3%
Nigeria	Anambra West	2017	61.6%	80.3%	39.5%
Nigeria	Anaocha	2000	45.6%	51.1%	40.0%
Nigeria	Anaocha	2017	90.8%	92.6%	88.3%
Nigeria	Andoni/O	2000	11.6%	25.3%	6.5%
Nigeria	Andoni/O	2017	16.0%	25.5%	12.5%
Nigeria	Aninri	2000	18.5%	24.8%	14.4%
Nigeria	Aninri	2017	55.9%	58.2%	53.4%
Nigeria	AniochaN	2000	47.1%	56.2%	38.6%
Nigeria	AniochaN	2017	83.9%	89.4%	75.3%
Nigeria	AniochaS	2000	39.5%	54.5%	26.6%
Nigeria	AniochaS	2017	64.0%	73.4%	53.5%
Nigeria	Anka	2000	46.5%	58.4%	32.8%
Nigeria	Anka	2017	70.2%	81.9%	57.4%
Nigeria	Ankpa	2000	33.6%	41.2%	27.0%
Nigeria	Ankpa	2017	58.4%	66.8%	50.6%
Nigeria	Apa	2000	44.5%	68.2%	29.4%
Nigeria	Apa	2017	66.9%	88.6%	47.5%
Nigeria	Apapa	2000	95.6%	98.0%	90.1%
Nigeria	Apapa	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.3%
Nigeria	Ardo-Kola	2000	21.9%	28.3%	17.2%
Nigeria	Ardo-Kola	2017	50.8%	57.9%	44.9%
Nigeria	Arewa	2000	29.6%	42.8%	19.2%
Nigeria	Arewa	2017	47.5%	61.3%	35.3%
Nigeria	Argungu	2000	37.9%	50.3%	29.4%
Nigeria	Argungu	2017	59.0%	68.7%	48.9%
Nigeria	Arochukw	2000	6.6%	18.1%	2.3%
Nigeria	Arochukw	2017	19.7%	27.5%	12.7%
Nigeria	Asa	2000	54.6%	59.6%	49.7%
Nigeria	Asa	2017	80.9%	85.1%	76.7%
Nigeria	Asari-To	2000	36.0%	41.7%	31.6%
Nigeria	Asari-To	2017	63.7%	69.6%	57.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Askira/U	2000	45.9%	60.7%	28.2%
Nigeria	Askira/U	2017	70.2%	83.1%	57.0%
Nigeria	Atakumosa East	2000	22.6%	31.1%	17.3%
Nigeria	Atakumosa East	2017	55.5%	63.9%	48.1%
Nigeria	Atakumosa West	2000	47.2%	54.4%	41.5%
Nigeria	Atakumosa West	2017	70.0%	77.9%	63.4%
Nigeria	Atiba	2000	30.9%	45.2%	18.9%
Nigeria	Atiba	2017	55.7%	70.0%	41.7%
Nigeria	Atisbo	2000	35.8%	48.8%	23.9%
Nigeria	Atisbo	2017	65.5%	77.8%	52.5%
Nigeria	Augie	2000	17.0%	26.0%	10.9%
Nigeria	Augie	2017	35.7%	42.8%	30.5%
Nigeria	Auyo	2000	71.7%	89.7%	50.2%
Nigeria	Auyo	2017	91.1%	98.2%	76.2%
Nigeria	Awe	2000	17.9%	30.3%	9.5%
Nigeria	Awe	2017	39.5%	52.0%	27.7%
Nigeria	Awgu	2000	17.1%	18.9%	15.8%
Nigeria	Awgu	2017	53.6%	55.5%	51.2%
Nigeria	AwkaNort	2000	50.7%	65.6%	34.9%
Nigeria	AwkaNort	2017	82.3%	88.1%	71.3%
Nigeria	AwkaSout	2000	62.7%	70.0%	54.6%
Nigeria	AwkaSout	2017	92.2%	93.8%	90.3%
Nigeria	Ayamelum	2000	30.3%	48.5%	15.9%
Nigeria	Ayamelum	2017	41.2%	57.3%	24.7%
Nigeria	Ayedaade	2000	45.0%	57.0%	35.3%
Nigeria	Ayedaade	2017	67.3%	76.3%	56.5%
Nigeria	Ayedire	2000	39.8%	51.5%	31.1%
Nigeria	Ayedire	2017	80.3%	87.8%	68.5%
Nigeria	Babura	2000	66.1%	76.4%	56.5%
Nigeria	Babura	2017	78.8%	86.5%	69.4%
Nigeria	Badagary	2000	65.2%	74.9%	54.6%
Nigeria	Badagary	2017	81.3%	88.7%	72.7%
Nigeria	Bade	2000	39.4%	59.9%	22.0%
Nigeria	Bade	2017	64.3%	80.8%	44.1%
Nigeria	Bagudo	2000	27.7%	37.8%	18.1%
Nigeria	Bagudo	2017	52.4%	63.5%	39.6%
Nigeria	Bagwai	2000	51.2%	67.7%	37.6%
Nigeria	Bagwai	2017	81.7%	90.8%	64.6%
Nigeria	Bakassi	2000	33.5%	79.0%	2.2%
Nigeria	Bakassi	2017	55.3%	88.0%	13.8%
Nigeria	Bakori	2000	32.9%	53.0%	16.1%
Nigeria	Bakori	2017	61.2%	80.9%	36.1%
Nigeria	Bakura	2000	30.9%	40.7%	23.1%
Nigeria	Bakura	2017	51.9%	60.8%	43.1%
Nigeria	Balanga	2000	33.6%	41.7%	26.7%
Nigeria	Balanga	2017	55.8%	63.5%	48.1%
Nigeria	Bali	2000	22.1%	30.8%	14.6%
Nigeria	Bali	2017	45.4%	55.4%	35.0%
Nigeria	Bama	2000	30.6%	45.1%	17.3%
Nigeria	Bama	2017	50.6%	69.0%	36.0%
Nigeria	Barkin Ladi	2000	24.3%	36.9%	14.9%
Nigeria	Barkin Ladi	2017	63.7%	73.7%	51.9%
Nigeria	Baruten	2000	38.0%	45.2%	30.4%
Nigeria	Baruten	2017	61.4%	69.4%	52.7%
Nigeria	Bassa	2000	33.5%	45.6%	22.9%
Nigeria	Bassa	2000	34.2%	45.7%	25.5%
Nigeria	Bassa	2017	55.7%	65.8%	44.8%
Nigeria	Bassa	2017	60.7%	66.7%	50.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Batagarawa	2000	59.6%	65.7%	54.3%
Nigeria	Batagarawa	2017	80.3%	87.6%	71.9%
Nigeria	Batsari	2000	40.1%	60.3%	25.3%
Nigeria	Batsari	2017	52.2%	64.8%	41.6%
Nigeria	Bauchi	2000	46.2%	53.4%	38.9%
Nigeria	Bauchi	2017	71.0%	79.3%	62.1%
Nigeria	Baure	2000	48.5%	59.8%	36.3%
Nigeria	Baure	2017	76.5%	83.7%	66.6%
Nigeria	Bayo	2000	33.1%	57.6%	15.0%
Nigeria	Bayo	2017	60.3%	83.6%	34.6%
Nigeria	Bebeji	2000	33.4%	45.9%	20.6%
Nigeria	Bebeji	2017	42.2%	58.4%	28.0%
Nigeria	Bekwarra	2000	21.8%	28.1%	17.0%
Nigeria	Bekwarra	2017	59.7%	64.1%	55.8%
Nigeria	Bende	2000	22.3%	35.0%	17.8%
Nigeria	Bende	2017	47.3%	53.7%	42.4%
Nigeria	Biase	2000	31.3%	46.7%	16.0%
Nigeria	Biase	2017	46.7%	61.8%	30.9%
Nigeria	Bichi	2000	37.2%	40.7%	34.1%
Nigeria	Bichi	2017	68.6%	73.1%	64.2%
Nigeria	Bida	2000	55.0%	60.9%	48.8%
Nigeria	Bida	2017	84.4%	85.6%	83.4%
Nigeria	Billiri	2000	27.0%	35.4%	18.5%
Nigeria	Billiri	2017	53.8%	61.4%	46.3%
Nigeria	Bindawa	2000	38.0%	46.8%	24.0%
Nigeria	Bindawa	2017	57.9%	60.8%	53.5%
Nigeria	Binji	2000	18.5%	27.1%	13.9%
Nigeria	Binji	2017	41.5%	50.1%	34.1%
Nigeria	Biriniwa	2000	53.4%	66.9%	42.0%
Nigeria	Biriniwa	2017	79.8%	90.0%	68.4%
Nigeria	Birnin-G	2000	29.1%	40.2%	18.8%
Nigeria	Birnin-G	2017	51.7%	66.8%	39.7%
Nigeria	Birnin-Magaji/Kiyaw	2000	29.6%	42.9%	18.2%
Nigeria	Birnin-Magaji/Kiyaw	2017	58.9%	68.5%	45.0%
Nigeria	BirninKe	2000	58.7%	64.2%	53.4%
Nigeria	BirninKe	2017	73.5%	76.9%	68.6%
Nigeria	BirninKu	2000	33.8%	51.5%	19.9%
Nigeria	BirninKu	2017	60.9%	77.8%	39.9%
Nigeria	Biu	2000	35.0%	48.9%	22.7%
Nigeria	Biu	2017	45.7%	60.5%	32.0%
Nigeria	Bodinga	2000	24.0%	29.2%	20.1%
Nigeria	Bodinga	2017	37.4%	50.0%	31.2%
Nigeria	Bogoro	2000	38.1%	53.7%	25.2%
Nigeria	Bogoro	2017	66.5%	79.5%	55.1%
Nigeria	Boki	2000	30.4%	41.4%	19.8%
Nigeria	Boki	2017	52.1%	62.6%	40.6%
Nigeria	Bokkos	2000	23.4%	48.0%	8.9%
Nigeria	Bokkos	2017	47.6%	68.5%	26.9%
Nigeria	Boluwaduro	2000	36.6%	42.5%	30.8%
Nigeria	Boluwaduro	2017	68.2%	73.3%	63.3%
Nigeria	Bomadi	2000	19.1%	24.3%	15.4%
Nigeria	Bomadi	2017	44.3%	51.6%	36.7%
Nigeria	Bonny	2000	18.3%	27.6%	10.5%
Nigeria	Bonny	2017	39.8%	52.0%	26.8%
Nigeria	Borgu	2000	29.9%	37.6%	22.3%
Nigeria	Borgu	2017	50.1%	58.1%	42.0%
Nigeria	Boripe	2000	18.8%	32.0%	15.3%
Nigeria	Boripe	2017	48.8%	57.5%	45.1%
Nigeria	Borsari	2000	29.7%	39.3%	20.9%
Nigeria	Borsari	2017	57.1%	68.0%	48.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Bosso	2000	59.5%	68.4%	51.5%
Nigeria	Bosso	2017	78.6%	87.0%	70.3%
Nigeria	Brass	2000	25.1%	37.1%	14.8%
Nigeria	Brass	2017	50.6%	61.6%	37.5%
Nigeria	Buji	2000	38.1%	53.7%	24.3%
Nigeria	Buji	2017	66.5%	79.5%	52.5%
Nigeria	Bukkuyum	2000	24.6%	37.3%	13.9%
Nigeria	Bukkuyum	2017	46.5%	59.4%	33.7%
Nigeria	Bungudu	2000	32.3%	44.5%	21.3%
Nigeria	Bungudu	2017	54.1%	64.6%	43.1%
Nigeria	Bunkure	2000	9.3%	17.7%	4.2%
Nigeria	Bunkure	2017	23.2%	29.2%	19.6%
Nigeria	Bunza	2000	63.3%	70.9%	54.8%
Nigeria	Bunza	2017	76.8%	82.6%	69.9%
Nigeria	Buruku	2000	37.6%	47.8%	28.9%
Nigeria	Buruku	2017	66.9%	79.9%	53.2%
Nigeria	Burutu	2000	17.5%	29.2%	9.1%
Nigeria	Burutu	2017	38.1%	50.3%	27.9%
Nigeria	Bwari	2000	62.0%	67.6%	56.7%
Nigeria	Bwari	2017	88.9%	91.9%	85.4%
Nigeria	Calabar	2000	70.4%	78.0%	63.0%
Nigeria	Calabar	2017	87.1%	90.1%	83.5%
Nigeria	Calabar South	2000	64.0%	71.0%	51.4%
Nigeria	Calabar South	2017	85.3%	90.8%	79.0%
Nigeria	Chanchaga	2000	56.0%	61.3%	51.8%
Nigeria	Chanchaga	2017	70.9%	81.5%	61.0%
Nigeria	Charanchi	2000	63.2%	77.4%	49.5%
Nigeria	Charanchi	2017	81.0%	93.2%	67.7%
Nigeria	Chibok	2000	27.9%	50.0%	8.4%
Nigeria	Chibok	2017	49.7%	72.0%	25.0%
Nigeria	Chikun	2000	40.2%	48.2%	33.2%
Nigeria	Chikun	2017	70.7%	78.6%	62.6%
Nigeria	Dala	2000	57.5%	59.8%	55.4%
Nigeria	Dala	2017	88.1%	89.4%	86.7%
Nigeria	Damaturu	2000	63.5%	72.8%	53.8%
Nigeria	Damaturu	2017	83.4%	89.3%	77.6%
Nigeria	Damban	2000	36.5%	47.9%	26.8%
Nigeria	Damban	2017	47.8%	60.4%	36.6%
Nigeria	Dambatta	2000	21.3%	29.0%	14.5%
Nigeria	Dambatta	2017	46.1%	55.6%	37.1%
Nigeria	Dambo	2000	30.4%	43.0%	18.9%
Nigeria	Dambo	2017	51.9%	63.1%	38.6%
Nigeria	Dandi	2000	38.5%	50.1%	29.7%
Nigeria	Dandi	2017	54.2%	65.4%	43.8%
Nigeria	Dandume	2000	23.3%	41.1%	12.6%
Nigeria	Dandume	2017	53.3%	66.0%	42.4%
Nigeria	Dange-Shuni	2000	30.6%	43.5%	21.5%
Nigeria	Dange-Shuni	2017	43.8%	53.7%	34.1%
Nigeria	Danja	2000	20.6%	51.5%	4.7%
Nigeria	Danja	2017	38.5%	67.5%	15.9%
Nigeria	Danko	2000	31.1%	42.9%	22.0%
Nigeria	Danko	2017	51.8%	64.1%	40.4%
Nigeria	Danmusa	2000	23.9%	42.6%	9.8%
Nigeria	Danmusa	2017	36.4%	53.6%	19.3%
Nigeria	Darazo	2000	30.5%	46.6%	17.1%
Nigeria	Darazo	2017	47.0%	62.2%	30.7%
Nigeria	Dass	2000	23.6%	53.3%	5.6%
Nigeria	Dass	2017	56.7%	84.5%	26.9%
Nigeria	Daura	2000	57.9%	65.4%	46.1%
Nigeria	Daura	2017	80.1%	83.5%	75.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	DawakinK	2000	30.7%	34.6%	25.1%
Nigeria	DawakinK	2017	49.1%	52.4%	45.7%
Nigeria	DawakinT	2000	25.7%	32.6%	21.4%
Nigeria	DawakinT	2017	68.8%	72.3%	66.5%
Nigeria	Degema	2000	32.7%	49.7%	23.3%
Nigeria	Degema	2017	55.8%	73.6%	39.7%
Nigeria	Dekina	2000	56.6%	64.7%	48.3%
Nigeria	Dekina	2017	80.4%	86.0%	74.0%
Nigeria	Demsa	2000	43.1%	54.6%	30.4%
Nigeria	Demsa	2017	76.9%	83.0%	67.0%
Nigeria	Dikwa	2000	39.8%	57.9%	22.7%
Nigeria	Dikwa	2017	64.6%	82.2%	46.2%
Nigeria	Doguwa	2000	36.5%	59.7%	17.7%
Nigeria	Doguwa	2017	54.0%	73.6%	31.1%
Nigeria	Doma	2000	22.4%	33.3%	14.5%
Nigeria	Doma	2017	45.3%	58.1%	33.4%
Nigeria	Donga	2000	21.6%	34.8%	10.9%
Nigeria	Donga	2017	50.7%	62.5%	38.1%
Nigeria	Dukku	2000	28.3%	39.0%	19.5%
Nigeria	Dukku	2017	36.4%	46.2%	27.3%
Nigeria	Dunukofia	2000	33.6%	35.9%	31.3%
Nigeria	Dunukofia	2017	74.8%	78.0%	71.5%
Nigeria	Dutse	2000	14.2%	23.8%	8.0%
Nigeria	Dutse	2017	34.9%	45.3%	27.6%
Nigeria	Dutsi	2000	46.8%	52.7%	41.7%
Nigeria	Dutsi	2017	62.7%	64.6%	60.9%
Nigeria	Dutsin-M	2000	56.5%	67.5%	44.8%
Nigeria	Dutsin-M	2017	64.7%	72.7%	52.7%
Nigeria	Eastern Obolo	2000	19.0%	33.3%	10.4%
Nigeria	Eastern Obolo	2017	46.1%	55.1%	36.7%
Nigeria	Ebonyi	2000	69.3%	73.1%	65.1%
Nigeria	Ebonyi	2017	86.4%	87.3%	85.2%
Nigeria	Edati	2000	43.6%	58.6%	31.2%
Nigeria	Edati	2017	62.1%	77.2%	46.3%
Nigeria	Ede North	2000	87.6%	89.4%	85.4%
Nigeria	Ede North	2017	92.5%	93.8%	91.2%
Nigeria	Ede South	2000	75.2%	82.6%	67.6%
Nigeria	Ede South	2017	89.9%	92.9%	84.0%
Nigeria	Edu	2000	39.5%	49.3%	31.3%
Nigeria	Edu	2017	66.3%	77.4%	57.3%
Nigeria	Efon	2000	31.0%	45.3%	21.3%
Nigeria	Efon	2017	57.6%	69.7%	43.4%
Nigeria	EgbadoNorth	2000	39.5%	51.7%	27.0%
Nigeria	EgbadoNorth	2017	66.2%	77.1%	52.8%
Nigeria	EgbadoSouth	2000	76.0%	85.3%	61.8%
Nigeria	EgbadoSouth	2017	95.0%	97.5%	91.0%
Nigeria	Egbeda	2000	43.7%	47.7%	39.5%
Nigeria	Egbeda	2017	87.7%	91.3%	83.9%
Nigeria	Egbedore	2000	72.5%	74.3%	70.5%
Nigeria	Egbedore	2017	90.9%	91.8%	89.8%
Nigeria	Egor	2000	88.7%	92.9%	82.1%
Nigeria	Egor	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
Nigeria	Ehime-Mb	2000	23.9%	37.5%	17.9%
Nigeria	Ehime-Mb	2017	76.7%	81.3%	69.2%
Nigeria	Ejigbo	2000	56.7%	63.7%	50.2%
Nigeria	Ejigbo	2017	86.1%	90.9%	77.6%
Nigeria	Ekeremor	2000	8.9%	14.6%	5.4%
Nigeria	Ekeremor	2017	21.0%	27.2%	16.3%
Nigeria	Eket	2000	64.7%	69.4%	60.1%
Nigeria	Eket	2017	87.1%	89.3%	84.8%
Nigeria	Ekiti	2000	33.8%	45.7%	22.9%
Nigeria	Ekiti	2017	65.9%	78.9%	51.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	EkitiEas	2000	61.3%	66.2%	55.5%
Nigeria	EkitiEas	2017	92.5%	94.5%	89.6%
Nigeria	EkitiSouth-West	2000	62.7%	66.1%	57.3%
Nigeria	EkitiSouth-West	2017	77.2%	79.5%	74.1%
Nigeria	EkitiWest	2000	47.6%	51.0%	42.8%
Nigeria	EkitiWest	2017	78.2%	81.0%	74.8%
Nigeria	Ekwasigbo	2000	59.3%	61.7%	56.9%
Nigeria	Ekwasigbo	2017	91.6%	92.4%	90.6%
Nigeria	Eleme	2000	52.3%	58.0%	45.8%
Nigeria	Eleme	2017	85.4%	86.7%	83.5%
Nigeria	Emuoha	2000	43.6%	52.5%	37.2%
Nigeria	Emuoha	2017	79.0%	82.4%	75.0%
Nigeria	Emure/Ise/Orun	2000	38.8%	47.6%	31.9%
Nigeria	Emure/Ise/Orun	2017	74.3%	79.1%	68.1%
Nigeria	Enugu East	2000	46.9%	58.3%	39.0%
Nigeria	Enugu East	2017	76.3%	88.4%	61.5%
Nigeria	Enugu North	2000	62.3%	65.0%	59.4%
Nigeria	Enugu North	2017	90.2%	91.5%	88.8%
Nigeria	EnuguSou	2000	44.9%	51.0%	38.4%
Nigeria	EnuguSou	2017	80.6%	83.2%	77.8%
Nigeria	Epe	2000	49.4%	56.6%	42.5%
Nigeria	Epe	2017	80.4%	86.4%	74.2%
Nigeria	EsanCent	2000	39.2%	43.1%	35.5%
Nigeria	EsanCent	2017	88.5%	89.9%	87.1%
Nigeria	EsanNort	2000	37.2%	43.1%	32.8%
Nigeria	EsanNort	2017	84.4%	89.3%	79.0%
Nigeria	EsanSout	2000	41.3%	49.6%	35.2%
Nigeria	EsanSout	2017	65.3%	74.1%	58.5%
Nigeria	EsanWest	2000	52.8%	65.7%	42.6%
Nigeria	EsanWest	2017	87.9%	93.8%	78.5%
Nigeria	Ese-Odo	2000	22.4%	35.8%	15.3%
Nigeria	Ese-Odo	2017	53.5%	61.0%	46.9%
Nigeria	Esit Eket	2000	27.7%	43.0%	19.7%
Nigeria	Esit Eket	2017	66.5%	70.8%	61.2%
Nigeria	Essien-U	2000	59.4%	68.5%	47.9%
Nigeria	Essien-U	2017	85.9%	92.8%	74.6%
Nigeria	Etche	2000	83.4%	88.5%	78.8%
Nigeria	Etche	2017	94.5%	96.6%	91.4%
Nigeria	Ethiophe West	2000	30.0%	39.3%	22.7%
Nigeria	Ethiophe West	2017	62.0%	66.9%	56.2%
Nigeria	EthiopheE	2000	42.8%	55.1%	34.1%
Nigeria	EthiopheE	2017	72.7%	84.6%	59.9%
Nigeria	Eti-Osa	2000	92.4%	97.0%	82.4%
Nigeria	Eti-Osa	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.0%
Nigeria	EtimEkpo	2000	46.7%	59.4%	35.6%
Nigeria	EtimEkpo	2017	89.0%	93.5%	81.0%
Nigeria	Etinan	2000	16.2%	22.6%	12.7%
Nigeria	Etinan	2017	49.6%	53.3%	45.7%
Nigeria	Etsako Central	2000	33.9%	44.4%	26.3%
Nigeria	Etsako Central	2017	58.2%	69.4%	48.2%
Nigeria	EtsakoEa	2000	29.6%	42.6%	19.0%
Nigeria	EtsakoEa	2017	56.4%	66.7%	45.1%
Nigeria	EtsakoWe	2000	54.1%	64.6%	42.7%
Nigeria	EtsakoWe	2017	80.6%	88.0%	71.7%
Nigeria	Etung	2000	11.7%	23.0%	3.6%
Nigeria	Etung	2017	25.5%	37.3%	15.2%
Nigeria	Ewekoro	2000	58.3%	73.5%	47.7%
Nigeria	Ewekoro	2017	83.3%	92.3%	72.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ezeagu	2000	44.0%	59.8%	31.0%
Nigeria	Ezeagu	2017	76.6%	87.3%	65.2%
Nigeria	Ezinihit	2000	56.8%	60.0%	53.2%
Nigeria	Ezinihit	2017	95.0%	95.6%	94.3%
Nigeria	Ezza North	2000	53.5%	58.1%	48.1%
Nigeria	Ezza North	2017	91.2%	92.5%	89.8%
Nigeria	Ezza South	2000	43.5%	53.2%	30.3%
Nigeria	Ezza South	2017	86.4%	88.9%	80.6%
Nigeria	Fagge	2000	55.8%	57.0%	54.2%
Nigeria	Fagge	2017	85.9%	86.5%	85.2%
Nigeria	Fakai	2000	23.9%	38.5%	12.5%
Nigeria	Fakai	2017	44.7%	62.1%	29.6%
Nigeria	Faskari	2000	28.4%	45.3%	13.6%
Nigeria	Faskari	2017	55.4%	70.6%	37.7%
Nigeria	Fika	2000	51.3%	66.5%	40.9%
Nigeria	Fika	2017	69.3%	79.1%	58.0%
Nigeria	Fufore	2000	41.3%	53.2%	31.3%
Nigeria	Fufore	2017	62.1%	73.4%	50.7%
Nigeria	Funakaye	2000	29.9%	38.5%	23.5%
Nigeria	Funakaye	2017	54.1%	63.9%	45.6%
Nigeria	Fune	2000	35.3%	48.2%	25.2%
Nigeria	Fune	2017	54.4%	66.7%	40.9%
Nigeria	Funtua	2000	30.9%	39.5%	24.6%
Nigeria	Funtua	2017	57.0%	64.0%	49.2%
Nigeria	Gabasawa	2000	36.9%	45.4%	28.9%
Nigeria	Gabasawa	2017	60.6%	67.7%	54.5%
Nigeria	Gada	2000	15.1%	23.1%	8.9%
Nigeria	Gada	2017	22.9%	32.8%	15.2%
Nigeria	Gagarawa	2000	85.0%	91.9%	74.7%
Nigeria	Gagarawa	2017	96.3%	98.9%	92.1%
Nigeria	Gamawa	2000	43.0%	53.6%	32.7%
Nigeria	Gamawa	2017	62.8%	73.5%	51.5%
Nigeria	Gamjuwa	2000	28.7%	42.3%	16.0%
Nigeria	Gamjuwa	2017	53.3%	67.8%	39.0%
Nigeria	Ganye	2000	23.1%	38.7%	11.0%
Nigeria	Ganye	2017	52.3%	68.1%	36.4%
Nigeria	Garki	2000	48.6%	63.1%	38.4%
Nigeria	Garki	2017	68.6%	80.5%	58.6%
Nigeria	Garko	2000	38.5%	44.6%	32.3%
Nigeria	Garko	2017	56.5%	64.5%	48.2%
Nigeria	Garum Mal-lam	2000	17.0%	31.5%	8.9%
Nigeria	Garum Mal-lam	2017	29.3%	36.3%	25.1%
Nigeria	Gashaka	2000	25.0%	35.3%	15.8%
Nigeria	Gashaka	2017	45.5%	56.1%	34.4%
Nigeria	Gassol	2000	19.5%	27.3%	12.4%
Nigeria	Gassol	2017	43.4%	53.7%	33.8%
Nigeria	Gaya	2000	52.9%	58.1%	47.0%
Nigeria	Gaya	2017	79.4%	81.5%	76.4%
Nigeria	Gbako	2000	39.8%	50.1%	29.9%
Nigeria	Gbako	2017	65.8%	75.1%	57.3%
Nigeria	Gboko	2000	50.2%	57.0%	43.4%
Nigeria	Gboko	2017	84.1%	88.1%	79.3%
Nigeria	Gboyin	2000	38.2%	47.7%	28.7%
Nigeria	Gboyin	2017	76.3%	80.5%	69.0%
Nigeria	Geidam	2000	35.0%	45.3%	25.1%
Nigeria	Geidam	2017	59.6%	70.6%	47.5%
Nigeria	Gezawa	2000	60.3%	62.8%	57.2%
Nigeria	Gezawa	2017	83.9%	85.9%	81.7%
Nigeria	Giade	2000	49.6%	59.1%	36.7%
Nigeria	Giade	2017	54.3%	64.9%	44.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Girie	2000	18.5%	27.7%	10.5%
Nigeria	Girie	2017	55.0%	66.1%	42.9%
Nigeria	Giwa	2000	22.2%	33.2%	14.5%
Nigeria	Giwa	2017	54.8%	66.5%	44.6%
Nigeria	Gokana	2000	43.7%	57.2%	29.3%
Nigeria	Gokana	2017	88.6%	92.7%	81.7%
Nigeria	Gombe	2000	58.3%	62.4%	54.1%
Nigeria	Gombe	2017	88.0%	89.7%	85.6%
Nigeria	Gombi	2000	64.6%	78.2%	46.8%
Nigeria	Gombi	2017	85.0%	91.7%	73.1%
Nigeria	Goronyo	2000	44.2%	60.2%	27.8%
Nigeria	Goronyo	2017	63.9%	78.0%	46.2%
Nigeria	Gubio	2000	30.8%	49.3%	14.8%
Nigeria	Gubio	2017	54.2%	73.1%	31.3%
Nigeria	Gudu	2000	31.8%	43.5%	22.3%
Nigeria	Gudu	2017	49.2%	62.9%	34.6%
Nigeria	Gujba	2000	37.7%	53.2%	25.2%
Nigeria	Gujba	2017	58.8%	73.9%	44.9%
Nigeria	Gulani	2000	32.2%	43.8%	22.5%
Nigeria	Gulani	2017	50.7%	63.8%	38.5%
Nigeria	Guma	2000	25.8%	41.0%	14.2%
Nigeria	Guma	2017	49.8%	67.8%	34.3%
Nigeria	Gumel	2000	86.5%	90.1%	81.4%
Nigeria	Gumel	2017	96.0%	97.7%	93.6%
Nigeria	Gummi	2000	27.4%	39.1%	17.2%
Nigeria	Gummi	2017	53.0%	63.3%	42.9%
Nigeria	Gurara	2000	36.9%	44.6%	30.5%
Nigeria	Gurara	2017	62.2%	70.4%	53.2%
Nigeria	Guri	2000	56.8%	71.6%	41.3%
Nigeria	Guri	2017	79.2%	87.6%	70.4%
Nigeria	Gusau	2000	51.4%	57.4%	46.5%
Nigeria	Gusau	2017	67.7%	75.5%	60.3%
Nigeria	Guyuk	2000	29.9%	51.8%	14.7%
Nigeria	Guyuk	2017	58.0%	76.3%	38.5%
Nigeria	Guzamala	2000	45.5%	63.5%	31.7%
Nigeria	Guzamala	2017	70.9%	85.0%	55.5%
Nigeria	Gwadabaw	2000	11.7%	21.6%	6.1%
Nigeria	Gwadabaw	2017	21.6%	31.5%	14.0%
Nigeria	Gwagwala	2000	49.4%	59.4%	42.9%
Nigeria	Gwagwala	2017	71.7%	79.9%	63.8%
Nigeria	Gwale	2000	57.6%	59.6%	55.7%
Nigeria	Gwale	2017	86.0%	87.4%	84.7%
Nigeria	Gwandu	2000	62.3%	72.0%	54.0%
Nigeria	Gwandu	2017	77.0%	84.5%	66.9%
Nigeria	Gwaram	2000	25.3%	36.5%	15.3%
Nigeria	Gwaram	2017	45.1%	56.2%	34.6%
Nigeria	Gwarzo	2000	38.0%	52.5%	26.4%
Nigeria	Gwarzo	2017	48.7%	62.0%	37.3%
Nigeria	Gwer East	2000	39.1%	50.5%	28.9%
Nigeria	Gwer East	2017	63.0%	71.5%	51.7%
Nigeria	Gwer West	2000	32.5%	59.0%	10.4%
Nigeria	Gwer West	2017	49.8%	77.1%	22.5%
Nigeria	Gwiwa	2000	41.4%	60.3%	25.6%
Nigeria	Gwiwa	2017	75.1%	82.4%	64.9%
Nigeria	Gwoza	2000	27.6%	43.5%	13.9%
Nigeria	Gwoza	2017	47.2%	63.0%	28.9%
Nigeria	Hadejia	2000	74.6%	80.1%	69.0%
Nigeria	Hadejia	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.7%
Nigeria	Hawul	2000	38.5%	55.3%	23.9%
Nigeria	Hawul	2017	54.4%	65.8%	41.6%
Nigeria	Hong	2000	37.2%	48.0%	27.0%
Nigeria	Hong	2017	67.8%	78.5%	57.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	IbadanNorth	2000	64.4%	66.7%	61.8%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth	2017	92.8%	93.7%	91.9%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-East	2000	57.0%	59.1%	55.1%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-East	2017	92.1%	92.6%	91.5%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-West	2000	63.8%	65.7%	61.8%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-West	2017	92.7%	93.5%	91.8%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-East	2000	66.9%	70.0%	63.7%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-East	2017	93.1%	94.1%	91.9%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-West	2000	69.8%	75.1%	63.5%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-West	2017	94.5%	95.6%	92.5%
Nigeria	Ibaji	2000	21.5%	36.9%	11.1%
Nigeria	Ibaji	2017	33.3%	47.6%	20.4%
Nigeria	Ibarapa Central	2000	53.3%	72.1%	34.9%
Nigeria	Ibarapa Central	2017	80.9%	88.5%	64.2%
Nigeria	Ibarapa East	2000	31.0%	49.8%	15.6%
Nigeria	Ibarapa East	2017	67.1%	78.8%	53.1%
Nigeria	Ibarapa North	2000	33.5%	44.8%	23.7%
Nigeria	Ibarapa North	2017	58.0%	65.0%	50.4%
Nigeria	Ibeju/Lekki	2000	34.0%	43.6%	22.6%
Nigeria	Ibeju/Lekki	2017	71.2%	79.2%	61.5%
Nigeria	Ibena	2000	58.2%	72.1%	43.6%
Nigeria	Ibena	2017	88.4%	93.9%	80.6%
Nigeria	Ibesikpo Asutan	2000	29.3%	34.9%	23.1%
Nigeria	Ibesikpo Asutan	2017	58.8%	61.6%	56.0%
Nigeria	Ibi	2000	27.7%	38.9%	17.7%
Nigeria	Ibi	2017	40.9%	51.9%	29.2%
Nigeria	Ibiono Ibom	2000	29.0%	36.3%	21.3%
Nigeria	Ibiono Ibom	2017	46.3%	52.6%	42.2%
Nigeria	Idah	2000	28.0%	36.5%	20.8%
Nigeria	Idah	2017	65.7%	71.3%	59.1%
Nigeria	Idanre	2000	41.5%	51.0%	32.5%
Nigeria	Idanre	2017	64.9%	76.2%	54.6%
Nigeria	Ideato South	2000	20.4%	23.0%	18.3%
Nigeria	Ideato South	2017	75.4%	77.7%	73.1%
Nigeria	IdeatoNo	2000	20.0%	23.7%	16.9%
Nigeria	IdeatoNo	2017	49.0%	50.8%	47.6%
Nigeria	Idemili North	2000	78.9%	81.6%	76.0%
Nigeria	Idemili North	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Nigeria	Idemili South	2000	80.5%	83.6%	77.3%
Nigeria	Idemili South	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Nigeria	Ido	2000	45.9%	54.5%	36.4%
Nigeria	Ido	2017	76.4%	80.6%	71.9%
Nigeria	Ido/Osi	2000	73.3%	75.4%	71.3%
Nigeria	Ido/Osi	2017	68.0%	71.2%	65.1%
Nigeria	Ifako/Ijaye	2000	83.0%	85.5%	80.0%
Nigeria	Ifako/Ijaye	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Nigeria	Ife East	2000	61.0%	65.2%	56.8%
Nigeria	Ife East	2017	81.2%	83.6%	78.4%
Nigeria	Ife North	2000	42.2%	49.1%	36.4%
Nigeria	Ife North	2017	68.9%	75.1%	63.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ife South	2000	22.4%	33.3%	15.8%
Nigeria	Ife South	2017	35.2%	45.6%	27.4%
Nigeria	IfeCentral	2000	62.9%	65.1%	60.3%
Nigeria	IfeCentral	2017	83.5%	84.9%	81.8%
Nigeria	Ifedayo	2000	68.4%	72.2%	63.6%
Nigeria	Ifedayo	2017	82.0%	84.5%	79.1%
Nigeria	Ifedore	2000	58.8%	61.1%	53.6%
Nigeria	Ifedore	2017	84.5%	86.5%	81.2%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2000	60.0%	63.3%	57.1%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2000	53.3%	64.1%	40.9%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2017	90.6%	92.1%	88.9%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2017	78.0%	85.6%	66.4%
Nigeria	Ifo	2000	61.0%	66.6%	56.1%
Nigeria	Ifo	2017	91.9%	94.1%	88.3%
Nigeria	Igabi	2000	31.0%	39.2%	25.5%
Nigeria	Igabi	2017	60.5%	68.7%	52.5%
Nigeria	Igalamela-Odolu	2000	48.6%	57.0%	40.8%
Nigeria	Igalamela-Odolu	2017	74.2%	81.3%	65.1%
Nigeria	Igbo-Eti	2000	31.7%	38.0%	26.2%
Nigeria	Igbo-Eti	2017	77.1%	80.2%	73.0%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze North	2000	57.3%	61.0%	54.2%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze North	2017	86.1%	87.9%	84.2%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze South	2000	41.8%	50.3%	34.6%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze South	2017	82.1%	86.8%	74.2%
Nigeria	Igueben	2000	44.4%	57.4%	31.4%
Nigeria	Igueben	2017	85.7%	91.4%	77.5%
Nigeria	Ihiala	2000	82.7%	89.7%	76.8%
Nigeria	Ihiala	2017	96.9%	98.7%	93.2%
Nigeria	Ihitte/U	2000	35.1%	38.0%	33.0%
Nigeria	Ihitte/U	2017	74.3%	77.7%	70.9%
Nigeria	Ijebu North-East	2000	52.8%	57.9%	47.8%
Nigeria	Ijebu North-East	2017	88.2%	92.0%	83.8%
Nigeria	IjebuEast	2000	43.3%	53.0%	36.1%
Nigeria	IjebuEast	2017	60.4%	68.8%	50.9%
Nigeria	IjebuNorth	2000	36.7%	45.7%	29.7%
Nigeria	IjebuNorth	2017	66.1%	74.5%	57.4%
Nigeria	IjebuOde	2000	74.7%	80.8%	67.0%
Nigeria	IjebuOde	2017	97.1%	98.1%	95.0%
Nigeria	Ijero	2000	49.4%	53.2%	44.1%
Nigeria	Ijero	2017	64.8%	68.6%	61.7%
Nigeria	Ijumu	2000	58.1%	75.6%	43.7%
Nigeria	Ijumu	2017	79.9%	91.1%	65.2%
Nigeria	Ika	2000	45.7%	57.8%	32.6%
Nigeria	Ika	2017	86.9%	91.7%	79.3%
Nigeria	IkaNorth	2000	48.5%	54.6%	41.3%
Nigeria	IkaNorth	2017	78.6%	83.4%	73.1%
Nigeria	Ikara	2000	23.4%	46.9%	6.7%
Nigeria	Ikara	2017	39.8%	59.1%	16.5%
Nigeria	IkaSouth	2000	66.2%	80.3%	49.4%
Nigeria	IkaSouth	2017	92.1%	97.3%	81.8%
Nigeria	Ikeduru	2000	49.6%	53.5%	45.7%
Nigeria	Ikeduru	2017	93.3%	94.2%	92.4%
Nigeria	Ikeja	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Nigeria	Ikeja	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ikenne	2000	65.1%	70.5%	58.4%
Nigeria	Ikenne	2017	93.3%	96.1%	89.3%
Nigeria	Ikere	2000	56.9%	61.8%	52.9%
Nigeria	Ikere	2017	88.5%	89.9%	86.8%
Nigeria	Ikole	2000	41.3%	50.6%	33.2%
Nigeria	Ikole	2017	81.7%	86.6%	73.9%
Nigeria	Ikom	2000	29.8%	44.8%	17.6%
Nigeria	Ikom	2017	54.5%	69.1%	39.1%
Nigeria	Ikono	2000	25.4%	32.5%	16.3%
Nigeria	Ikono	2017	42.7%	46.5%	38.7%
Nigeria	Ikorodu	2000	83.7%	89.6%	75.3%
Nigeria	Ikorodu	2017	98.0%	99.0%	94.2%
Nigeria	Ikot-Aba	2000	48.6%	57.7%	39.2%
Nigeria	Ikot-Aba	2017	76.0%	79.1%	71.5%
Nigeria	Ikot-Ekp	2000	35.5%	39.0%	32.1%
Nigeria	Ikot-Ekp	2017	79.2%	80.7%	77.7%
Nigeria	Ikpoba-Okha	2000	59.1%	71.2%	46.8%
Nigeria	Ikpoba-Okha	2017	89.7%	95.8%	79.4%
Nigeria	Ikwerre	2000	57.8%	63.2%	51.2%
Nigeria	Ikwerre	2017	79.9%	82.0%	76.4%
Nigeria	Ikwo	2000	30.5%	33.9%	28.0%
Nigeria	Ikwo	2017	67.1%	68.9%	65.6%
Nigeria	Ikwuano	2000	50.6%	69.2%	36.2%
Nigeria	Ikwuano	2017	81.4%	93.7%	66.7%
Nigeria	Ila	2000	31.9%	40.6%	25.7%
Nigeria	Ila	2017	61.7%	67.1%	57.3%
Nigeria	IlajeEseodo	2000	23.5%	32.7%	15.7%
Nigeria	IlajeEseodo	2017	56.2%	65.3%	44.3%
Nigeria	Ilejemeje	2000	86.4%	89.2%	82.5%
Nigeria	Ilejemeje	2017	83.9%	86.7%	80.2%
Nigeria	IleOluji/Okeigbo	2000	36.4%	52.9%	29.0%
Nigeria	IleOluji/Okeigbo	2017	45.9%	57.6%	42.3%
Nigeria	Ilesha East	2000	50.6%	57.7%	44.2%
Nigeria	Ilesha East	2017	88.2%	91.4%	84.4%
Nigeria	Ilesha West	2000	65.9%	76.7%	56.8%
Nigeria	Ilesha West	2017	88.8%	94.8%	80.0%
Nigeria	Illela	2000	32.2%	42.6%	22.5%
Nigeria	Illela	2017	44.2%	53.4%	32.8%
Nigeria	Ilorin East	2000	66.9%	72.8%	61.4%
Nigeria	Ilorin East	2017	90.1%	93.7%	85.2%
Nigeria	Ilorin South	2000	79.3%	91.7%	63.6%
Nigeria	Ilorin South	2017	90.4%	98.9%	71.1%
Nigeria	IlorinWe	2000	62.2%	64.6%	60.0%
Nigeria	IlorinWe	2017	90.1%	91.7%	87.9%
Nigeria	Imeko-Afon	2000	32.8%	46.9%	18.8%
Nigeria	Imeko-Afon	2017	54.0%	65.8%	40.7%
Nigeria	Ingawa	2000	20.7%	33.4%	11.4%
Nigeria	Ingawa	2017	54.6%	65.5%	41.6%
Nigeria	Ini	2000	27.3%	51.2%	10.8%
Nigeria	Ini	2017	43.3%	62.1%	28.0%
Nigeria	Ipokia	2000	39.2%	49.6%	29.7%
Nigeria	Ipokia	2017	64.2%	74.5%	52.7%
Nigeria	Irele	2000	32.3%	40.2%	23.8%
Nigeria	Irele	2017	51.3%	57.5%	44.4%
Nigeria	Irepo	2000	24.8%	38.5%	14.4%
Nigeria	Irepo	2017	62.0%	75.2%	46.2%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2000	69.5%	72.3%	66.6%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2000	70.6%	78.7%	62.0%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2017	81.3%	87.8%	73.7%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2017	93.2%	94.0%	92.2%
Nigeria	Irepodun/Ifelodun	2000	50.4%	60.5%	44.9%
Nigeria	Irepodun/Ifelodun	2017	71.7%	84.0%	60.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Irewole	2000	31.3%	35.2%	27.9%
Nigeria	Irewole	2017	66.4%	70.2%	61.2%
Nigeria	Isa	2000	46.4%	56.6%	36.2%
Nigeria	Isa	2017	63.0%	74.0%	53.5%
Nigeria	Ise/Orun	2000	42.6%	56.5%	25.4%
Nigeria	Ise/Orun	2017	75.7%	81.1%	64.4%
Nigeria	Iseyin	2000	42.2%	53.6%	30.2%
Nigeria	Iseyin	2017	79.7%	89.2%	65.9%
Nigeria	Ishielu	2000	21.1%	26.6%	17.8%
Nigeria	Ishielu	2017	48.6%	52.4%	45.7%
Nigeria	Isi-Uzo	2000	18.5%	29.6%	11.5%
Nigeria	Isi-Uzo	2017	40.5%	50.2%	31.9%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa North	2000	94.2%	96.5%	90.6%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa North	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa South	2000	91.6%	95.0%	83.8%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa South	2017	98.1%	98.8%	96.6%
Nigeria	IsialaMb	2000	23.6%	26.7%	20.9%
Nigeria	IsialaMb	2017	76.5%	79.6%	72.9%
Nigeria	Isin	2000	69.2%	76.1%	61.9%
Nigeria	Isin	2017	86.9%	92.0%	78.4%
Nigeria	Isokan	2000	46.3%	57.5%	37.6%
Nigeria	Isokan	2017	61.3%	68.4%	56.3%
Nigeria	IsokoNor	2000	43.6%	53.5%	30.2%
Nigeria	IsokoNor	2017	77.0%	82.3%	69.1%
Nigeria	IsokoSou	2000	36.2%	48.4%	27.5%
Nigeria	IsokoSou	2017	71.6%	77.4%	64.6%
Nigeria	Isu	2000	18.1%	21.0%	15.7%
Nigeria	Isu	2017	72.1%	74.6%	69.4%
Nigeria	Isuikwua	2000	12.4%	21.2%	7.2%
Nigeria	Isuikwua	2017	36.4%	47.8%	24.7%
Nigeria	Itas/Gad	2000	30.9%	43.7%	19.3%
Nigeria	Itas/Gad	2017	47.8%	61.8%	33.2%
Nigeria	Itesiwaju	2000	47.1%	58.7%	35.4%
Nigeria	Itesiwaju	2017	64.5%	78.4%	51.1%
Nigeria	Itu	2000	27.2%	31.6%	23.2%
Nigeria	Itu	2017	55.2%	58.8%	51.4%
Nigeria	Ivo	2000	6.5%	19.6%	2.7%
Nigeria	Ivo	2017	25.1%	35.2%	19.4%
Nigeria	Iwajowa	2000	38.4%	59.8%	18.6%
Nigeria	Iwajowa	2017	64.6%	80.1%	44.3%
Nigeria	Iwo	2000	61.6%	72.7%	51.8%
Nigeria	Iwo	2017	93.4%	96.7%	87.4%
Nigeria	Izzi	2000	26.7%	40.7%	16.6%
Nigeria	Izzi	2017	54.7%	66.6%	43.8%
Nigeria	Jaba	2000	46.0%	53.1%	39.0%
Nigeria	Jaba	2017	77.4%	81.8%	71.1%
Nigeria	Jada	2000	30.7%	46.8%	16.6%
Nigeria	Jada	2017	52.7%	68.5%	35.0%
Nigeria	Jahun	2000	36.7%	50.8%	27.4%
Nigeria	Jahun	2017	66.6%	82.2%	53.1%
Nigeria	Jakusko	2000	46.0%	56.6%	33.4%
Nigeria	Jakusko	2017	64.2%	74.4%	53.5%
Nigeria	Jalingo	2000	38.1%	42.1%	35.0%
Nigeria	Jalingo	2017	63.0%	67.0%	59.1%
Nigeria	Jama'are	2000	54.0%	66.4%	38.2%
Nigeria	Jama'are	2017	81.0%	85.8%	71.9%
Nigeria	Jega	2000	43.1%	53.7%	34.6%
Nigeria	Jega	2017	54.7%	65.7%	44.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Jema'a	2000	28.8%	41.9%	19.4%
Nigeria	Jema'a	2017	69.3%	80.1%	57.5%
Nigeria	Jere	2000	83.2%	90.6%	74.2%
Nigeria	Jere	2017	94.1%	98.3%	85.7%
Nigeria	Jibia	2000	67.5%	77.9%	57.8%
Nigeria	Jibia	2017	71.7%	84.1%	61.1%
Nigeria	Jos East	2000	20.0%	34.5%	9.5%
Nigeria	Jos East	2017	52.2%	69.8%	33.3%
Nigeria	Jos North	2000	57.7%	60.8%	54.6%
Nigeria	Jos North	2017	77.3%	79.3%	75.1%
Nigeria	Jos South	2000	35.3%	39.6%	31.2%
Nigeria	Jos South	2017	72.1%	75.2%	68.6%
Nigeria	Kabba/Bu	2000	41.7%	51.3%	33.6%
Nigeria	Kabba/Bu	2017	67.4%	77.0%	56.0%
Nigeria	Kabo	2000	47.9%	56.4%	40.5%
Nigeria	Kabo	2017	72.6%	81.6%	62.7%
Nigeria	Kachia	2000	40.4%	51.4%	31.5%
Nigeria	Kachia	2017	67.4%	76.9%	58.7%
Nigeria	Kaduna North	2000	58.8%	63.4%	54.5%
Nigeria	Kaduna North	2017	78.3%	81.3%	75.2%
Nigeria	Kaduna South	2000	57.9%	60.5%	55.2%
Nigeria	Kaduna South	2017	86.8%	88.5%	85.1%
Nigeria	KafinHau	2000	57.3%	68.1%	47.8%
Nigeria	KafinHau	2017	77.7%	83.1%	70.6%
Nigeria	Kafur	2000	31.2%	46.5%	18.3%
Nigeria	Kafur	2017	52.3%	65.5%	35.9%
Nigeria	Kaga	2000	29.9%	49.4%	14.8%
Nigeria	Kaga	2017	49.9%	69.9%	30.8%
Nigeria	Kagarko	2000	33.2%	43.7%	25.1%
Nigeria	Kagarko	2017	59.6%	67.3%	51.3%
Nigeria	Kaiama	2000	31.8%	44.0%	21.5%
Nigeria	Kaiama	2017	51.9%	64.4%	38.5%
Nigeria	Kaita	2000	48.2%	58.7%	38.7%
Nigeria	Kaita	2017	74.6%	82.5%	65.9%
Nigeria	Kajola	2000	30.2%	45.8%	18.3%
Nigeria	Kajola	2017	68.7%	80.9%	50.0%
Nigeria	Kajuru	2000	36.0%	55.0%	21.6%
Nigeria	Kajuru	2017	59.7%	79.1%	41.5%
Nigeria	Kala/Balge	2000	32.9%	57.8%	12.2%
Nigeria	Kala/Balge	2017	57.6%	78.9%	31.6%
Nigeria	Kalgo	2000	55.8%	69.7%	40.0%
Nigeria	Kalgo	2017	80.2%	90.4%	66.4%
Nigeria	Kaltungo	2000	20.6%	33.0%	14.0%
Nigeria	Kaltungo	2017	47.8%	55.9%	41.0%
Nigeria	Kanam	2000	18.2%	36.1%	7.9%
Nigeria	Kanam	2017	26.4%	41.9%	16.1%
Nigeria	Kankara	2000	42.4%	53.1%	33.5%
Nigeria	Kankara	2017	59.9%	72.0%	48.6%
Nigeria	Kanke	2000	23.4%	37.1%	13.9%
Nigeria	Kanke	2017	45.5%	60.3%	34.8%
Nigeria	Kankiya	2000	55.1%	66.0%	45.2%
Nigeria	Kankiya	2017	66.0%	74.7%	56.6%
Nigeria	Kano	2000	62.9%	65.2%	60.5%
Nigeria	Kano	2017	76.0%	78.4%	73.3%
Nigeria	Karasuwa	2000	44.8%	57.5%	35.2%
Nigeria	Karasuwa	2017	73.8%	83.2%	63.3%
Nigeria	Karaye	2000	54.1%	70.7%	37.6%
Nigeria	Karaye	2017	74.8%	90.0%	59.8%
Nigeria	Karim-La	2000	24.6%	33.1%	18.0%
Nigeria	Karim-La	2017	42.1%	50.7%	34.3%
Nigeria	Karu	2000	47.1%	59.3%	36.9%
Nigeria	Karu	2017	77.7%	86.2%	68.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Katagum	2000	43.6%	55.3%	33.2%
Nigeria	Katagum	2017	67.7%	76.9%	58.8%
Nigeria	Katcha	2000	53.4%	59.5%	48.6%
Nigeria	Katcha	2017	62.5%	70.3%	53.9%
Nigeria	Katsina (Benue)	2000	31.0%	40.9%	21.9%
Nigeria	Katsina (Benue)	2017	58.1%	68.3%	47.7%
Nigeria	Katsina (K)	2000	68.4%	72.0%	64.8%
Nigeria	Katsina (K)	2017	87.0%	88.8%	84.5%
Nigeria	Kaugama	2000	71.4%	85.8%	53.4%
Nigeria	Kaugama	2017	89.2%	97.2%	74.7%
Nigeria	Kaura	2000	14.5%	25.6%	9.1%
Nigeria	Kaura	2017	53.3%	60.9%	45.9%
Nigeria	Kaura-Na	2000	22.9%	29.3%	17.4%
Nigeria	Kaura-Na	2017	51.6%	58.7%	44.2%
Nigeria	Kauru	2000	25.3%	40.1%	15.5%
Nigeria	Kauru	2017	46.2%	59.7%	32.9%
Nigeria	Kazaure	2000	52.8%	66.1%	40.3%
Nigeria	Kazaure	2017	70.3%	79.3%	58.9%
Nigeria	Keana	2000	23.2%	39.6%	11.5%
Nigeria	Keana	2017	45.3%	62.9%	31.2%
Nigeria	Kebbe	2000	19.5%	30.6%	11.7%
Nigeria	Kebbe	2017	28.8%	42.0%	19.0%
Nigeria	Keffi	2000	77.9%	90.1%	69.2%
Nigeria	Keffi	2017	91.7%	96.9%	81.2%
Nigeria	Khana	2000	58.2%	61.7%	54.6%
Nigeria	Khana	2017	78.7%	80.8%	76.0%
Nigeria	Kibiya	2000	18.3%	24.1%	11.4%
Nigeria	Kibiya	2017	34.4%	39.1%	28.6%
Nigeria	Kirfi	2000	35.9%	55.1%	18.6%
Nigeria	Kirfi	2017	58.9%	76.9%	41.1%
Nigeria	KiriKasa	2000	63.9%	74.3%	51.1%
Nigeria	KiriKasa	2017	87.6%	92.9%	82.3%
Nigeria	Kiru	2000	29.7%	54.7%	11.7%
Nigeria	Kiru	2017	56.8%	73.7%	34.6%
Nigeria	Kiyawa	2000	39.5%	58.7%	23.4%
Nigeria	Kiyawa	2017	72.1%	87.2%	52.8%
Nigeria	Koko/Bes	2000	21.6%	31.9%	13.3%
Nigeria	Koko/Bes	2017	48.5%	55.8%	40.2%
Nigeria	Kokona	2000	28.9%	37.9%	20.6%
Nigeria	Kokona	2017	50.6%	60.9%	39.3%
Nigeria	Kolokuma/Opoku	2000	30.5%	47.0%	21.9%
Nigeria	Kolokuma/Opoku	2017	57.9%	67.8%	52.1%
Nigeria	Konduga	2000	57.6%	62.6%	52.4%
Nigeria	Konduga	2017	81.0%	84.7%	76.6%
Nigeria	Konshish	2000	39.3%	55.8%	25.6%
Nigeria	Konshish	2017	67.4%	80.8%	53.0%
Nigeria	Kontogur	2000	58.1%	65.5%	49.9%
Nigeria	Kontogur	2017	83.0%	87.5%	77.3%
Nigeria	Kosofe	2000	88.5%	90.7%	85.6%
Nigeria	Kosofe	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.7%
Nigeria	Kotonkar	2000	27.5%	42.3%	16.2%
Nigeria	Kotonkar	2017	46.5%	59.2%	33.1%
Nigeria	Kubau	2000	23.2%	33.5%	15.4%
Nigeria	Kubau	2017	43.8%	51.1%	35.6%
Nigeria	Kudan	2000	42.1%	66.5%	22.1%
Nigeria	Kudan	2017	69.3%	88.4%	43.7%
Nigeria	Kuje	2000	52.3%	61.1%	43.3%
Nigeria	Kuje	2017	68.3%	74.1%	62.0%
Nigeria	Kukawa	2000	43.7%	59.5%	28.5%
Nigeria	Kukawa	2017	69.7%	83.0%	53.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Kumbotso	2000	61.9%	63.4%	60.3%
Nigeria	Kumbotso	2017	77.9%	79.8%	76.0%
Nigeria	Kunchi	2000	52.9%	60.9%	44.3%
Nigeria	Kunchi	2017	83.0%	88.8%	75.3%
Nigeria	Kura	2000	37.4%	48.3%	27.3%
Nigeria	Kura	2017	58.2%	70.5%	50.3%
Nigeria	Kurfi	2000	42.9%	61.2%	26.1%
Nigeria	Kurfi	2017	64.7%	76.3%	49.3%
Nigeria	Kurmi	2000	28.4%	40.7%	15.4%
Nigeria	Kurmi	2017	56.5%	69.1%	43.5%
Nigeria	Kusada	2000	75.8%	89.0%	62.7%
Nigeria	Kusada	2017	89.6%	97.9%	76.0%
Nigeria	Kwali	2000	60.0%	69.2%	49.2%
Nigeria	Kwali	2017	79.9%	85.0%	73.4%
Nigeria	Kwami	2000	23.2%	29.7%	19.1%
Nigeria	Kwami	2017	43.1%	51.6%	35.6%
Nigeria	Kwande	2000	32.0%	44.0%	23.2%
Nigeria	Kwande	2017	66.3%	77.6%	53.9%
Nigeria	Kware	2000	33.2%	36.4%	30.8%
Nigeria	Kware	2017	48.8%	52.2%	45.2%
Nigeria	Kwaya Kusar	2000	33.3%	57.8%	13.6%
Nigeria	Kwaya Kusar	2017	60.2%	83.4%	35.7%
Nigeria	Lafia	2000	22.4%	28.0%	18.2%
Nigeria	Lafia	2017	51.6%	58.1%	44.4%
Nigeria	Lagelu	2000	55.4%	62.5%	48.4%
Nigeria	Lagelu	2017	93.4%	95.0%	90.1%
Nigeria	LagosIsland	2000	92.2%	94.0%	90.1%
Nigeria	LagosIsland	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Nigeria	Lake Chad	2000	36.1%	49.3%	24.4%
Nigeria	Lake Chad	2017	55.2%	67.5%	42.0%
Nigeria	Lamurde	2000	23.4%	35.7%	12.2%
Nigeria	Lamurde	2017	44.4%	53.7%	31.5%
Nigeria	Langtang North	2000	12.7%	19.1%	9.3%
Nigeria	Langtang North	2017	28.3%	32.8%	25.8%
Nigeria	Langtang South	2000	23.6%	42.4%	8.6%
Nigeria	Langtang South	2017	27.3%	43.0%	14.0%
Nigeria	Lapai	2000	35.6%	45.9%	27.5%
Nigeria	Lapai	2017	55.4%	63.7%	45.0%
Nigeria	Lau	2000	26.7%	43.6%	12.7%
Nigeria	Lau	2017	48.9%	65.3%	31.3%
Nigeria	Lavun	2000	27.7%	35.7%	21.4%
Nigeria	Lavun	2017	47.9%	57.1%	40.3%
Nigeria	Lere	2000	39.5%	52.8%	25.1%
Nigeria	Lere	2017	68.1%	80.0%	52.3%
Nigeria	Logo	2000	25.3%	43.7%	11.9%
Nigeria	Logo	2017	47.8%	62.2%	31.8%
Nigeria	Lokoja	2000	44.7%	52.1%	38.6%
Nigeria	Lokoja	2017	60.7%	69.8%	51.9%
Nigeria	Machina	2000	38.8%	58.7%	22.8%
Nigeria	Machina	2017	62.6%	82.4%	45.3%
Nigeria	Madagali	2000	23.9%	53.2%	5.0%
Nigeria	Madagali	2017	38.2%	77.7%	8.0%
Nigeria	Madobi	2000	50.5%	56.3%	45.1%
Nigeria	Madobi	2017	76.4%	79.7%	71.5%
Nigeria	Mafa	2000	43.2%	60.6%	27.5%
Nigeria	Mafa	2017	65.5%	80.9%	45.8%
Nigeria	Magama	2000	35.8%	50.8%	22.1%
Nigeria	Magama	2017	64.4%	77.7%	50.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Magumeri	2000	32.1%	44.0%	20.9%
Nigeria	Magumeri	2017	54.3%	71.6%	38.3%
Nigeria	Mai'Adua	2000	21.8%	29.8%	17.0%
Nigeria	Mai'Adua	2017	40.3%	47.1%	34.1%
Nigeria	Maidugur	2000	77.5%	81.6%	73.5%
Nigeria	Maidugur	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Nigeria	Maigatari	2000	77.6%	87.6%	65.9%
Nigeria	Maigatari	2017	91.7%	97.0%	83.6%
Nigeria	Maiha	2000	25.2%	40.2%	13.3%
Nigeria	Maiha	2017	43.1%	57.9%	29.5%
Nigeria	Mainland	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Nigeria	Mainland	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Nigeria	Maiyama	2000	29.9%	44.1%	18.5%
Nigeria	Maiyama	2017	37.6%	52.3%	27.0%
Nigeria	Makarfi	2000	36.3%	59.8%	20.6%
Nigeria	Makarfi	2017	48.8%	62.2%	29.9%
Nigeria	Makoda	2000	30.9%	51.1%	17.0%
Nigeria	Makoda	2017	55.3%	71.2%	41.2%
Nigeria	Makurdi	2000	38.6%	51.7%	29.1%
Nigeria	Makurdi	2017	77.8%	86.0%	69.7%
Nigeria	MalamMad	2000	66.3%	76.1%	55.9%
Nigeria	MalamMad	2017	86.5%	92.7%	75.9%
Nigeria	Malumfashi	2000	45.4%	59.1%	31.9%
Nigeria	Malumfashi	2017	74.2%	80.7%	63.9%
Nigeria	Mangu	2000	23.8%	31.1%	17.9%
Nigeria	Mangu	2017	52.4%	57.5%	47.4%
Nigeria	Mani	2000	31.7%	41.7%	20.3%
Nigeria	Mani	2017	50.7%	57.5%	43.2%
Nigeria	Maradun	2000	32.7%	45.2%	20.8%
Nigeria	Maradun	2017	55.1%	69.9%	39.3%
Nigeria	Mariga	2000	31.8%	40.6%	22.7%
Nigeria	Mariga	2017	54.2%	65.3%	42.6%
Nigeria	Marte	2000	35.9%	56.7%	14.9%
Nigeria	Marte	2017	59.9%	79.7%	35.2%
Nigeria	Maru	2000	26.1%	35.8%	17.1%
Nigeria	Maru	2017	44.7%	54.7%	33.4%
Nigeria	Mashegu	2000	26.2%	38.0%	17.1%
Nigeria	Mashegu	2017	45.6%	57.3%	36.5%
Nigeria	Mashi	2000	23.4%	31.1%	17.0%
Nigeria	Mashi	2017	41.9%	47.9%	35.3%
Nigeria	Matazu	2000	32.0%	52.9%	15.7%
Nigeria	Matazu	2017	58.7%	74.8%	39.8%
Nigeria	Mayo-Bel	2000	18.3%	27.5%	11.0%
Nigeria	Mayo-Bel	2017	43.3%	54.7%	31.5%
Nigeria	Mbaitoli	2000	58.5%	61.5%	55.0%
Nigeria	Mbaitoli	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.9%
Nigeria	Mbo	2000	26.7%	40.1%	13.3%
Nigeria	Mbo	2017	60.6%	75.7%	47.2%
Nigeria	Michika	2000	24.7%	36.7%	16.0%
Nigeria	Michika	2017	60.5%	69.5%	51.5%
Nigeria	Miga	2000	60.6%	67.2%	52.4%
Nigeria	Miga	2017	84.4%	87.0%	82.2%
Nigeria	Mikang	2000	18.7%	37.3%	5.5%
Nigeria	Mikang	2017	27.0%	42.7%	13.2%
Nigeria	Minjibir	2000	26.1%	37.8%	20.4%
Nigeria	Minjibir	2017	58.8%	66.0%	56.0%
Nigeria	Misau	2000	47.7%	55.2%	40.8%
Nigeria	Misau	2017	50.6%	55.8%	46.5%
Nigeria	Mkpat Enin	2000	38.4%	46.1%	30.7%
Nigeria	Mkpat Enin	2017	59.3%	63.8%	51.2%
Nigeria	Moba	2000	81.5%	85.3%	77.1%
Nigeria	Moba	2017	75.6%	78.3%	72.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Mobbar	2000	41.6%	62.7%	22.4%
Nigeria	Mobbar	2017	66.1%	80.9%	47.0%
Nigeria	Mokwa	2000	34.5%	45.5%	25.7%
Nigeria	Mokwa	2017	62.2%	71.7%	52.4%
Nigeria	Monguno	2000	35.2%	54.9%	16.0%
Nigeria	Monguno	2017	62.6%	81.0%	41.3%
Nigeria	Mopa-Muro	2000	46.9%	57.6%	36.2%
Nigeria	Mopa-Muro	2017	74.1%	82.0%	65.5%
Nigeria	Moro	2000	55.3%	67.6%	44.8%
Nigeria	Moro	2017	74.9%	83.0%	66.2%
Nigeria	Mubi North	2000	23.2%	36.8%	13.3%
Nigeria	Mubi North	2017	59.3%	72.6%	46.5%
Nigeria	Mubi South	2000	34.7%	54.5%	19.6%
Nigeria	Mubi South	2017	82.8%	88.3%	72.7%
Nigeria	Musawa	2000	27.1%	45.7%	17.5%
Nigeria	Musawa	2017	53.1%	68.0%	42.1%
Nigeria	Mushin	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Nigeria	Mushin	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Nigeria	Muya	2000	38.2%	54.1%	25.0%
Nigeria	Muya	2017	52.9%	67.4%	38.7%
Nigeria	Nafada	2000	31.4%	38.2%	25.0%
Nigeria	Nafada	2017	47.9%	56.4%	40.3%
Nigeria	Nangere	2000	36.6%	42.2%	32.3%
Nigeria	Nangere	2017	61.8%	69.1%	56.1%
Nigeria	Nasarawa	2000	26.9%	34.9%	20.6%
Nigeria	Nasarawa	2017	56.3%	65.3%	46.3%
Nigeria	Nassaraw	2000	55.4%	57.1%	53.5%
Nigeria	Nassaraw	2017	89.5%	90.2%	88.9%
Nigeria	Nassarawa	2000	30.4%	39.7%	22.6%
Nigeria	Egon				
Nigeria	Nassarawa	2017	57.4%	67.4%	48.0%
Nigeria	Egon				
Nigeria	Ndokwa East	2000	47.1%	64.3%	32.2%
Nigeria	Ndokwa East	2017	67.9%	80.6%	52.7%
Nigeria	Ndokwa West	2000	47.2%	63.5%	34.6%
Nigeria	Ndokwa West	2017	79.7%	86.4%	69.1%
Nigeria	Nembe	2000	46.8%	56.1%	38.7%
Nigeria	Nembe	2017	71.4%	80.2%	61.6%
Nigeria	Ngala	2000	38.6%	55.5%	21.7%
Nigeria	Ngala	2017	72.2%	86.6%	55.1%
Nigeria	Nganzai	2000	36.9%	56.0%	20.7%
Nigeria	Nganzai	2017	58.6%	77.7%	39.5%
Nigeria	Ngaski	2000	28.6%	48.3%	13.5%
Nigeria	Ngaski	2017	49.7%	67.1%	32.8%
Nigeria	Ngor-Okp	2000	73.0%	77.5%	66.9%
Nigeria	Ngor-Okp	2017	96.5%	97.2%	94.7%
Nigeria	Nguru	2000	69.7%	75.8%	63.8%
Nigeria	Nguru	2017	89.0%	93.1%	83.6%
Nigeria	Ningi	2000	22.7%	31.3%	15.5%
Nigeria	Ningi	2017	44.7%	54.9%	34.8%
Nigeria	Njaba	2000	25.8%	28.2%	23.8%
Nigeria	Njaba	2017	81.2%	83.2%	79.5%
Nigeria	Njikoka	2000	31.1%	34.0%	28.0%
Nigeria	Njikoka	2017	82.6%	84.1%	80.9%
Nigeria	Nkanu East	2000	17.5%	26.0%	13.7%
Nigeria	Nkanu East	2017	53.0%	59.8%	47.2%
Nigeria	Nkanu West	2000	21.2%	23.2%	19.4%
Nigeria	Nkanu West	2017	71.5%	73.7%	68.7%
Nigeria	Nkwerre	2000	41.6%	46.4%	36.8%
Nigeria	Nkwerre	2017	90.5%	91.9%	89.0%
Nigeria	NnewiNort	2000	44.3%	50.3%	39.1%
Nigeria	NnewiNort	2017	90.3%	91.5%	89.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	NnewiSou	2000	55.4%	60.5%	49.8%
Nigeria	NnewiSou	2017	90.8%	92.8%	88.1%
Nigeria	Nsit Atai	2000	44.9%	56.0%	39.4%
Nigeria	Nsit Atai	2017	74.8%	76.9%	73.0%
Nigeria	Nsit Ibom	2000	23.6%	30.2%	17.4%
Nigeria	Nsit Ibom	2017	63.0%	66.3%	59.4%
Nigeria	Nsit Ubium	2000	38.4%	45.2%	33.6%
Nigeria	Nsit Ubium	2017	80.8%	83.1%	78.2%
Nigeria	Nsukka	2000	49.8%	58.7%	40.2%
Nigeria	Nsukka	2017	86.9%	90.2%	81.2%
Nigeria	Numan	2000	35.1%	46.2%	23.5%
Nigeria	Numan	2017	66.8%	75.0%	55.3%
Nigeria	Nwangele	2000	35.3%	39.0%	31.1%
Nigeria	Nwangele	2017	88.7%	90.2%	86.6%
Nigeria	Obafemi-Owode	2000	51.1%	60.2%	39.6%
Nigeria	Obafemi-Owode	2017	71.3%	77.0%	64.6%
Nigeria	Obanliku	2000	29.3%	39.7%	22.4%
Nigeria	Obanliku	2017	50.1%	57.9%	42.8%
Nigeria	Obi	2000	15.4%	20.4%	10.3%
Nigeria	Obi	2000	18.5%	43.4%	8.2%
Nigeria	Obi	2017	45.6%	53.9%	38.1%
Nigeria	Obi	2017	24.7%	43.7%	18.2%
Nigeria	Obio/Akp	2000	65.6%	68.6%	63.2%
Nigeria	Obio/Akp	2017	88.5%	89.7%	87.2%
Nigeria	Obokun	2000	40.5%	43.6%	38.8%
Nigeria	Obokun	2017	49.7%	52.5%	47.6%
Nigeria	Oboma Ngwa	2000	69.5%	74.0%	64.6%
Nigeria	Oboma Ngwa	2017	94.9%	96.4%	93.0%
Nigeria	Obot Akara	2000	48.0%	63.9%	32.5%
Nigeria	Obot Akara	2017	85.9%	94.2%	72.5%
Nigeria	Obowo	2000	24.3%	27.0%	22.0%
Nigeria	Obowo	2017	69.4%	71.5%	67.5%
Nigeria	Obubra	2000	23.4%	32.9%	17.2%
Nigeria	Obubra	2017	46.1%	53.9%	38.0%
Nigeria	Obudu	2000	63.7%	70.2%	53.9%
Nigeria	Obudu	2017	74.7%	80.1%	67.4%
Nigeria	Odeda	2000	51.0%	62.1%	39.0%
Nigeria	Odeda	2017	72.7%	81.7%	62.4%
Nigeria	Odigbo	2000	31.8%	51.1%	15.3%
Nigeria	Odigbo	2017	58.1%	75.6%	37.5%
Nigeria	Odo0tin	2000	48.2%	54.5%	43.7%
Nigeria	Odo0tin	2017	81.9%	88.6%	76.4%
Nigeria	Odogbolu	2000	70.1%	78.8%	63.4%
Nigeria	Odogbolu	2017	90.7%	95.3%	83.2%
Nigeria	Odukpani	2000	22.1%	31.1%	16.5%
Nigeria	Odukpani	2017	38.1%	44.4%	32.8%
Nigeria	Offa	2000	54.0%	58.5%	50.1%
Nigeria	Offa	2017	90.4%	92.7%	86.5%
Nigeria	Ofu	2000	35.7%	51.2%	21.4%
Nigeria	Ofu	2017	62.2%	75.9%	48.1%
Nigeria	Ogba/Egbe	2000	76.3%	84.1%	67.0%
Nigeria	Ogba/Egbe	2017	89.4%	93.8%	83.9%
Nigeria	Ogbadibo	2000	36.7%	50.4%	24.8%
Nigeria	Ogbadibo	2017	77.1%	84.3%	67.7%
Nigeria	Ogbaru	2000	44.5%	66.0%	25.3%
Nigeria	Ogbaru	2017	72.3%	88.7%	52.4%
Nigeria	Ogbia	2000	49.7%	52.7%	46.7%
Nigeria	Ogbia	2017	68.6%	72.4%	66.1%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho	2000	64.6%	77.4%	48.8%
Nigeria	North				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ogbomosho North	2017	88.3%	95.7%	77.2%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho South	2000	64.9%	74.3%	53.3%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho South	2017	80.8%	84.2%	72.7%
Nigeria	Ogo-Oluw	2000	38.3%	50.2%	31.5%
Nigeria	Ogo-Oluw	2017	60.9%	73.0%	46.7%
Nigeria	Ogoja	2000	20.9%	29.0%	14.3%
Nigeria	Ogoja	2017	45.9%	52.2%	40.3%
Nigeria	Ogori/Magongo	2000	54.5%	62.9%	43.4%
Nigeria	Ogori/Magongo	2017	85.6%	90.0%	80.4%
Nigeria	Ogu/Bolo	2000	63.3%	89.1%	21.9%
Nigeria	Ogu/Bolo	2017	88.9%	97.6%	77.2%
Nigeria	OgunWaterside	2000	28.6%	51.3%	10.9%
Nigeria	OgunWaterside	2017	58.1%	77.9%	37.7%
Nigeria	Oguta	2000	54.7%	65.7%	42.1%
Nigeria	Oguta	2017	84.5%	91.8%	72.9%
Nigeria	Ohafia Abia	2000	21.9%	27.9%	16.2%
Nigeria	Ohafia Abia	2017	48.9%	52.6%	45.3%
Nigeria	Ohaji/Eg	2000	57.5%	74.8%	41.6%
Nigeria	Ohaji/Eg	2017	78.4%	88.8%	63.4%
Nigeria	Ohaozara	2000	17.8%	25.2%	10.8%
Nigeria	Ohaozara	2017	59.1%	63.1%	53.3%
Nigeria	Ohaukwu	2000	29.8%	36.3%	23.3%
Nigeria	Ohaukwu	2017	49.8%	52.3%	46.8%
Nigeria	Ohimini	2000	17.2%	30.6%	11.0%
Nigeria	Ohimini	2017	42.7%	58.6%	30.3%
Nigeria	Oji-River	2000	56.8%	74.0%	40.3%
Nigeria	Oji-River	2017	75.3%	85.7%	62.0%
Nigeria	Ojo	2000	86.9%	92.7%	79.8%
Nigeria	Ojo	2017	98.3%	99.4%	95.9%
Nigeria	Oju	2000	35.4%	50.5%	22.6%
Nigeria	Oju	2017	50.6%	62.0%	36.6%
Nigeria	Oke-Ero	2000	61.4%	72.8%	53.9%
Nigeria	Oke-Ero	2017	81.0%	85.6%	75.5%
Nigeria	Okehi	2000	45.8%	52.8%	40.2%
Nigeria	Okehi	2017	83.0%	87.3%	77.4%
Nigeria	Okene	2000	61.0%	74.9%	46.9%
Nigeria	Okene	2017	91.0%	96.8%	76.5%
Nigeria	Okigwe	2000	14.1%	29.0%	4.9%
Nigeria	Okigwe	2017	39.4%	51.2%	22.9%
Nigeria	Okitipupa	2000	49.4%	61.9%	38.3%
Nigeria	Okitipupa	2017	83.0%	90.4%	75.0%
Nigeria	Okobo	2000	51.0%	53.1%	48.6%
Nigeria	Okobo	2017	70.1%	70.7%	69.3%
Nigeria	Okpe	2000	27.2%	37.2%	20.0%
Nigeria	Okpe	2017	68.4%	74.1%	58.7%
Nigeria	Okpokwu	2000	19.4%	41.7%	6.3%
Nigeria	Okpokwu	2017	47.5%	69.8%	28.6%
Nigeria	Okrika	2000	72.6%	86.7%	56.3%
Nigeria	Okrika	2017	90.8%	97.0%	80.2%
Nigeria	Ola-Oluwa	2000	43.8%	53.1%	36.0%
Nigeria	Ola-Oluwa	2017	84.7%	91.0%	74.0%
Nigeria	Olamabor	2000	21.1%	27.1%	16.2%
Nigeria	Olamabor	2017	48.4%	54.3%	42.1%
Nigeria	Olorunda	2000	73.7%	77.5%	70.8%
Nigeria	Olorunda	2017	91.9%	94.5%	90.3%
Nigeria	Olorunsogo	2000	19.0%	37.1%	8.5%
Nigeria	Olorunsogo	2017	42.4%	61.4%	26.2%
Nigeria	Oluyole	2000	57.4%	67.5%	45.6%
Nigeria	Oluyole	2017	84.9%	90.9%	78.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Omala	2000	22.5%	35.5%	11.3%
Nigeria	Omala	2017	46.3%	58.5%	33.7%
Nigeria	Omumma	2000	85.1%	89.1%	80.3%
Nigeria	Omumma	2017	96.6%	98.3%	93.6%
Nigeria	Ona-Ara	2000	47.4%	55.3%	41.0%
Nigeria	Ona-Ara	2017	90.3%	94.4%	84.7%
Nigeria	Ondo East	2000	13.3%	22.9%	7.0%
Nigeria	Ondo East	2017	32.5%	44.6%	20.5%
Nigeria	Ondo West	2000	44.8%	54.2%	36.2%
Nigeria	Ondo West	2017	73.2%	81.7%	61.4%
Nigeria	Onicha	2000	45.1%	54.4%	35.8%
Nigeria	Onicha	2017	76.5%	80.1%	72.8%
Nigeria	Onitsha North	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Nigeria	Onitsha North	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.6%
Nigeria	Onitsha South	2000	93.4%	99.4%	77.7%
Nigeria	Onitsha South	2017	98.6%	100.0%	88.7%
Nigeria	Onna	2000	92.9%	98.1%	84.7%
Nigeria	Onna	2017	97.7%	99.8%	90.7%
Nigeria	Opobo/Nkoro	2000	48.9%	55.9%	42.3%
Nigeria	Opobo/Nkoro	2017	57.2%	65.5%	49.0%
Nigeria	Oredo Edo	2000	72.7%	82.1%	60.9%
Nigeria	Oredo Edo	2017	90.5%	95.3%	82.4%
Nigeria	Orelope	2000	20.7%	36.0%	10.0%
Nigeria	Orelope	2017	45.5%	61.9%	30.5%
Nigeria	Orhionmw	2000	57.6%	69.2%	44.2%
Nigeria	Orhionmw	2017	81.8%	90.5%	72.1%
Nigeria	Ori-Ire	2000	36.6%	54.3%	21.2%
Nigeria	Ori-Ire	2017	68.5%	81.2%	55.4%
Nigeria	Oriade	2000	49.8%	56.5%	42.8%
Nigeria	Oriade	2017	64.3%	69.3%	59.2%
Nigeria	Orlu	2000	22.5%	24.6%	20.4%
Nigeria	Orlu	2017	78.8%	80.8%	76.8%
Nigeria	Orolu	2000	83.5%	85.5%	81.1%
Nigeria	Orolu	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Nigeria	Oron	2000	22.0%	29.5%	15.7%
Nigeria	Oron	2017	63.9%	68.2%	59.4%
Nigeria	Orsu	2000	54.0%	59.2%	47.5%
Nigeria	Orsu	2017	83.4%	87.1%	78.9%
Nigeria	Oru East	2000	43.5%	47.3%	39.5%
Nigeria	Oru East	2017	90.9%	92.5%	89.0%
Nigeria	Oru West	2000	63.5%	68.9%	57.9%
Nigeria	Oru West	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Nigeria	Oruk-Ana	2000	12.8%	26.7%	6.8%
Nigeria	Oruk-Ana	2017	35.0%	42.7%	30.7%
Nigeria	OrumbaNo	2000	31.6%	38.5%	27.8%
Nigeria	OrumbaNo	2017	64.9%	68.9%	61.7%
Nigeria	OrumbaSo	2000	46.6%	53.0%	37.2%
Nigeria	OrumbaSo	2017	62.9%	65.6%	58.9%
Nigeria	Ose	2000	27.5%	38.9%	18.1%
Nigeria	Ose	2017	51.0%	63.4%	35.9%
Nigeria	Oshimili	2000	58.3%	72.3%	47.5%
Nigeria	North Oshimili	2017	79.8%	90.4%	65.6%
Nigeria	North Oshimili	2000	66.4%	78.5%	46.6%
Nigeria	South Oshimili	2017	82.1%	87.9%	68.5%
Nigeria	South Oshimili	2000	66.4%	78.5%	46.6%
Nigeria	Oshodi/Isolo	2000	95.6%	97.3%	93.0%
Nigeria	Oshodi/Isolo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Nigeria	Osisioma	2000	71.7%	75.7%	66.8%
Nigeria	Ngwa				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Osioma Ngwa	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.3%
Nigeria	Osogbo	2000	71.5%	94.5%	64.2%
Nigeria	Osogbo	2017	72.9%	94.2%	67.6%
Nigeria	Oturkpo	2000	41.5%	54.1%	33.0%
Nigeria	Oturkpo	2017	59.8%	75.2%	47.1%
Nigeria	OviaNort	2000	45.6%	55.8%	34.3%
Nigeria	OviaNort	2017	69.7%	77.7%	60.2%
Nigeria	OviaSouth-West	2000	24.9%	35.7%	16.3%
Nigeria	OviaSouth-West	2017	50.7%	60.4%	40.7%
Nigeria	Owan East	2000	49.2%	60.5%	36.4%
Nigeria	Owan East	2017	72.9%	80.4%	63.7%
Nigeria	OwanWest	2000	24.9%	46.0%	10.0%
Nigeria	OwanWest	2017	55.8%	73.2%	35.4%
Nigeria	Owerri Municipal	2000	87.1%	90.2%	83.5%
Nigeria	Owerri Municipal	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Nigeria	Owerri North	2000	68.3%	71.8%	65.0%
Nigeria	Owerri North	2017	95.8%	96.5%	95.0%
Nigeria	Owerri West	2000	65.1%	70.1%	60.1%
Nigeria	Owerri West	2017	91.6%	94.7%	88.5%
Nigeria	Owo	2000	47.8%	59.2%	35.6%
Nigeria	Owo	2017	72.7%	80.8%	61.1%
Nigeria	Oye	2000	74.1%	81.6%	62.5%
Nigeria	Oye	2017	89.1%	94.7%	79.7%
Nigeria	Oyi	2000	80.9%	81.6%	80.1%
Nigeria	Oyi	2017	94.0%	94.7%	93.2%
Nigeria	Oyigbo	2000	40.8%	47.7%	33.5%
Nigeria	Oyigbo	2017	83.7%	87.4%	78.5%
Nigeria	Oyo East	2000	55.9%	73.7%	42.9%
Nigeria	Oyo East	2017	76.1%	86.0%	64.8%
Nigeria	Oyo West	2000	54.3%	65.7%	45.6%
Nigeria	Oyo West	2017	86.2%	92.7%	76.9%
Nigeria	Oyun	2000	64.1%	75.5%	53.9%
Nigeria	Oyun	2017	83.3%	90.7%	68.8%
Nigeria	Paikoro	2000	41.7%	53.6%	30.8%
Nigeria	Paikoro	2017	66.4%	76.2%	56.4%
Nigeria	Pankshin	2000	34.1%	52.1%	17.8%
Nigeria	Pankshin	2017	54.9%	67.5%	41.8%
Nigeria	Patani	2000	42.5%	52.6%	30.8%
Nigeria	Patani	2017	68.4%	71.8%	64.6%
Nigeria	Pategi	2000	43.0%	56.4%	30.1%
Nigeria	Pategi	2017	72.3%	82.2%	61.7%
Nigeria	Port Harcourt	2000	81.5%	84.2%	77.5%
Nigeria	Port Harcourt	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.2%
Nigeria	Potiskum	2000	48.1%	51.4%	43.9%
Nigeria	Potiskum	2017	65.4%	68.5%	61.9%
Nigeria	Qua'anpa	2000	24.8%	39.5%	13.0%
Nigeria	Qua'anpa	2017	44.1%	59.9%	29.7%
Nigeria	Rabah	2000	43.3%	57.0%	31.2%
Nigeria	Rabah	2017	58.2%	71.4%	44.5%
Nigeria	Rafi	2000	27.8%	42.1%	15.6%
Nigeria	Rafi	2017	45.6%	60.2%	32.4%
Nigeria	Rano	2000	18.7%	39.2%	5.2%
Nigeria	Rano	2017	28.8%	48.7%	13.6%
Nigeria	Remo-North	2000	24.7%	31.1%	19.3%
Nigeria	Remo-North	2017	64.1%	68.5%	59.7%
Nigeria	Rijau	2000	26.8%	35.7%	19.1%
Nigeria	Rijau	2017	54.6%	64.5%	45.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Rimi	2000	58.6%	67.1%	48.2%
Nigeria	Rimi	2017	82.2%	87.4%	75.6%
Nigeria	RiminGad	2000	56.6%	59.2%	53.2%
Nigeria	RiminGad	2017	72.2%	74.1%	70.2%
Nigeria	Ringim	2000	36.8%	51.4%	26.1%
Nigeria	Ringim	2017	62.7%	74.9%	52.5%
Nigeria	Riyom	2000	15.7%	26.2%	9.3%
Nigeria	Riyom	2017	46.6%	56.3%	37.8%
Nigeria	Rogo	2000	30.2%	53.5%	14.2%
Nigeria	Rogo	2017	58.7%	78.2%	38.7%
Nigeria	Roni	2000	42.2%	61.4%	25.7%
Nigeria	Roni	2017	68.9%	85.2%	48.6%
Nigeria	Sabon Birni	2000	44.5%	53.9%	35.5%
Nigeria	Sabon Birni	2017	54.7%	63.8%	45.0%
Nigeria	Sabon-Ga	2000	56.6%	61.9%	50.0%
Nigeria	Sabon-Ga	2017	86.5%	89.4%	82.4%
Nigeria	Sabuwa	2000	23.5%	41.0%	10.9%
Nigeria	Sabuwa	2017	53.6%	71.1%	33.8%
Nigeria	Safana	2000	41.4%	54.3%	30.6%
Nigeria	Safana	2017	54.5%	65.6%	42.5%
Nigeria	Sagbama	2000	16.5%	23.3%	11.3%
Nigeria	Sagbama	2017	32.5%	37.6%	27.5%
Nigeria	Sakaba	2000	24.9%	47.0%	12.1%
Nigeria	Sakaba	2017	54.9%	69.9%	39.3%
Nigeria	Saki East	2000	32.5%	43.8%	19.7%
Nigeria	Saki East	2017	62.8%	73.0%	51.9%
Nigeria	Saki West	2000	36.0%	47.8%	26.7%
Nigeria	Saki West	2017	59.5%	71.0%	48.4%
Nigeria	Sandamu	2000	25.2%	29.4%	21.5%
Nigeria	Sandamu	2017	36.8%	41.6%	32.2%
Nigeria	Sanga	2000	32.9%	46.2%	23.2%
Nigeria	Sanga	2017	62.0%	71.7%	52.3%
Nigeria	Sapele	2000	33.3%	47.0%	26.6%
Nigeria	Sapele	2017	57.4%	65.9%	51.6%
Nigeria	Sardauna	2000	25.1%	33.3%	18.2%
Nigeria	Sardauna	2017	38.4%	46.2%	30.5%
Nigeria	Shagamu	2000	57.4%	63.5%	51.0%
Nigeria	Shagamu	2017	88.7%	91.3%	84.0%
Nigeria	Shagari	2000	25.2%	39.5%	14.8%
Nigeria	Shagari	2017	46.8%	60.7%	34.3%
Nigeria	Shanga	2000	18.5%	34.0%	7.4%
Nigeria	Shanga	2017	37.5%	51.9%	23.2%
Nigeria	Shani	2000	25.5%	49.7%	10.4%
Nigeria	Shani	2017	49.9%	70.3%	29.4%
Nigeria	Shanono	2000	41.7%	49.7%	33.8%
Nigeria	Shanono	2017	64.5%	71.8%	56.6%
Nigeria	Shelleng	2000	20.4%	35.9%	8.8%
Nigeria	Shelleng	2017	39.3%	54.1%	25.6%
Nigeria	Shendam	2000	22.3%	33.5%	11.9%
Nigeria	Shendam	2017	43.6%	55.1%	30.5%
Nigeria	Shinkafi	2000	40.7%	51.0%	29.0%
Nigeria	Shinkafi	2017	69.4%	75.4%	62.4%
Nigeria	Shira	2000	18.8%	29.4%	10.5%
Nigeria	Shira	2017	36.6%	45.3%	28.4%
Nigeria	Shiroro	2000	36.0%	47.4%	26.6%
Nigeria	Shiroro	2017	54.4%	66.7%	43.1%
Nigeria	Shomgom	2000	32.1%	42.6%	23.4%
Nigeria	Shomgom	2017	54.1%	61.6%	47.3%
Nigeria	Shomolu	2000	92.2%	93.4%	90.6%
Nigeria	Shomolu	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Nigeria	Silame	2000	26.1%	43.9%	14.6%
Nigeria	Silame	2017	41.4%	54.7%	30.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Soba	2000	21.0%	34.0%	10.9%
Nigeria	Soba	2017	44.9%	57.2%	33.4%
Nigeria	Sokoto North	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.8%
Nigeria	Sokoto North	2017	93.0%	93.7%	92.2%
Nigeria	Sokoto South	2000	87.4%	88.3%	86.3%
Nigeria	Sokoto South	2017	77.9%	79.9%	75.5%
Nigeria	Song	2000	32.2%	43.0%	20.3%
Nigeria	Song	2017	55.2%	66.4%	45.3%
Nigeria	Southern Ijaw	2000	13.0%	19.1%	8.2%
Nigeria	Southern Ijaw	2017	21.2%	26.9%	15.9%
Nigeria	Sule-Tan	2000	69.1%	80.5%	54.9%
Nigeria	Sule-Tan	2017	85.4%	92.3%	77.8%
Nigeria	Suleja	2000	65.4%	74.0%	59.0%
Nigeria	Suleja	2017	72.9%	77.5%	70.3%
Nigeria	Sumaila	2000	18.5%	30.7%	10.8%
Nigeria	Sumaila	2017	37.5%	48.4%	27.4%
Nigeria	Suru	2000	34.5%	49.4%	22.6%
Nigeria	Suru	2017	46.8%	61.5%	31.8%
Nigeria	Surulere	2000	37.5%	53.3%	25.4%
Nigeria	Surulere	2000	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Nigeria	Surulere	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Nigeria	Surulere	2017	51.5%	67.9%	37.3%
Nigeria	Tafa	2000	50.1%	52.8%	47.4%
Nigeria	Tafa	2017	72.8%	75.1%	70.5%
Nigeria	Tafawa-B	2000	22.2%	36.6%	10.4%
Nigeria	Tafawa-B	2017	47.0%	64.4%	30.0%
Nigeria	Tai	2000	28.6%	33.8%	21.7%
Nigeria	Tai	2017	60.6%	63.3%	58.4%
Nigeria	Takai	2000	43.2%	54.5%	30.6%
Nigeria	Takai	2017	77.0%	84.9%	66.3%
Nigeria	Takum	2000	35.7%	49.6%	20.6%
Nigeria	Takum	2017	66.1%	82.2%	48.2%
Nigeria	Talata-Mafara	2000	35.6%	51.8%	22.9%
Nigeria	Talata-Mafara	2017	63.2%	81.0%	45.2%
Nigeria	Tambawal	2000	27.6%	40.9%	15.9%
Nigeria	Tambawal	2017	44.5%	54.1%	34.4%
Nigeria	Tangazar	2000	30.2%	39.2%	22.4%
Nigeria	Tangazar	2017	42.5%	53.3%	33.9%
Nigeria	Tarauni	2000	64.8%	66.4%	63.3%
Nigeria	Tarauni	2017	83.7%	84.6%	82.7%
Nigeria	Tarka	2000	49.0%	56.3%	41.5%
Nigeria	Tarka	2017	84.3%	89.2%	77.0%
Nigeria	Tarmuwa	2000	26.0%	39.7%	16.1%
Nigeria	Tarmuwa	2017	48.5%	60.6%	36.7%
Nigeria	Taura	2000	66.6%	79.6%	51.8%
Nigeria	Taura	2017	82.2%	90.3%	69.5%
Nigeria	Teungo	2000	29.5%	43.8%	17.8%
Nigeria	Teungo	2017	52.8%	67.5%	37.7%
Nigeria	Tofa	2000	29.0%	33.6%	24.4%
Nigeria	Tofa	2017	76.1%	78.9%	72.9%
Nigeria	Toro	2000	31.4%	41.6%	22.6%
Nigeria	Toro	2017	54.0%	63.2%	44.4%
Nigeria	Toto	2000	28.0%	39.9%	18.1%
Nigeria	Toto	2017	51.9%	62.6%	41.9%
Nigeria	Tsafe	2000	29.8%	44.8%	16.3%
Nigeria	Tsafe	2017	53.1%	65.3%	38.4%
Nigeria	Tsanyawa	2000	68.2%	76.4%	57.8%
Nigeria	Tsanyawa	2017	85.4%	89.6%	78.3%
Nigeria	Tundun Wada	2000	20.7%	34.0%	11.0%
Nigeria	Tundun Wada	2017	31.3%	43.6%	18.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Tureta	2000	26.0%	44.9%	12.3%
Nigeria	Tureta	2017	30.4%	49.3%	16.3%
Nigeria	Udenu	2000	54.1%	57.7%	49.9%
Nigeria	Udenu	2017	87.1%	88.9%	85.2%
Nigeria	Udi	2000	45.3%	52.7%	39.2%
Nigeria	Udi	2017	77.3%	82.4%	71.7%
Nigeria	Udu	2000	53.2%	61.9%	44.0%
Nigeria	Udu	2017	76.1%	82.6%	68.0%
Nigeria	Udung Uko	2000	7.1%	9.5%	5.5%
Nigeria	Udung Uko	2017	42.4%	45.8%	38.9%
Nigeria	Ughelli North	2000	53.0%	56.2%	49.1%
Nigeria	Ughelli North	2017	77.4%	81.5%	72.2%
Nigeria	Ughelli South	2000	52.0%	62.7%	39.0%
Nigeria	Ughelli South	2017	65.3%	73.8%	52.8%
Nigeria	Ugwunagbo	2000	83.6%	89.0%	73.0%
Nigeria	Ugwunagbo	2017	92.6%	94.8%	89.7%
Nigeria	Uhunmwonde	2000	52.7%	63.5%	41.4%
Nigeria	Uhunmwonde	2017	83.0%	90.4%	74.0%
Nigeria	Ukanafun	2000	41.6%	52.2%	30.8%
Nigeria	Ukanafun	2017	74.9%	78.6%	69.6%
Nigeria	Ukum	2000	34.5%	48.4%	20.3%
Nigeria	Ukum	2017	66.0%	75.0%	53.1%
Nigeria	Ukwa East	2000	44.0%	65.8%	27.5%
Nigeria	Ukwa East	2017	74.7%	87.5%	54.6%
Nigeria	Ukwa West	2000	85.3%	89.3%	78.3%
Nigeria	Ukwa West	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.5%
Nigeria	Ukwuani	2000	40.2%	50.9%	30.8%
Nigeria	Ukwuani	2017	84.4%	90.7%	68.2%
Nigeria	Umu-Nneochi	2000	40.4%	50.5%	33.0%
Nigeria	Umu-Nneochi	2017	76.7%	82.2%	70.6%
Nigeria	Umuahia North	2000	64.8%	69.9%	59.9%
Nigeria	Umuahia North	2017	93.9%	94.8%	92.9%
Nigeria	Umuahia South	2000	55.6%	59.2%	51.3%
Nigeria	Umuahia South	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Nigeria	Ungogo	2000	50.8%	52.5%	49.1%
Nigeria	Ungogo	2017	89.9%	90.7%	89.1%
Nigeria	Unuimo	2000	38.1%	46.3%	30.2%
Nigeria	Unuimo	2017	71.7%	74.6%	67.0%
Nigeria	Uruan	2000	37.1%	41.5%	34.4%
Nigeria	Uruan	2017	49.1%	52.0%	48.3%
Nigeria	UrueOffo	2000	15.0%	18.2%	11.7%
Nigeria	UrueOffo	2017	57.6%	61.4%	53.4%
Nigeria	Ushongo	2000	25.2%	40.1%	14.0%
Nigeria	Ushongo	2017	53.7%	65.8%	42.6%
Nigeria	Ussa	2000	28.9%	39.4%	18.8%
Nigeria	Ussa	2017	55.7%	65.3%	44.8%
Nigeria	Uvwie	2000	61.5%	64.9%	57.9%
Nigeria	Uvwie	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.3%
Nigeria	Uyo	2000	36.3%	39.6%	33.1%
Nigeria	Uyo	2017	81.7%	83.0%	80.1%
Nigeria	Uzo-Uwani	2000	27.3%	43.7%	12.6%
Nigeria	Uzo-Uwani	2017	53.1%	69.0%	35.1%
Nigeria	Vandeikya	2000	38.1%	56.2%	24.5%
Nigeria	Vandeikya	2017	64.9%	79.5%	46.1%
Nigeria	Wamakko	2000	54.8%	57.7%	52.3%
Nigeria	Wamakko	2017	58.6%	64.1%	55.0%
Nigeria	Wamba	2000	21.0%	36.4%	10.0%
Nigeria	Wamba	2017	49.5%	64.4%	35.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Warawa	2000	23.1%	26.1%	20.8%
Nigeria	Warawa	2017	58.6%	60.5%	57.1%
Nigeria	Warji	2000	55.1%	67.2%	38.6%
Nigeria	Warji	2017	85.2%	89.2%	77.1%
Nigeria	Warri North	2000	33.6%	46.8%	21.9%
Nigeria	Warri North	2017	57.9%	72.4%	43.7%
Nigeria	Warri South	2000	49.5%	57.7%	43.8%
Nigeria	Warri South	2017	76.9%	84.0%	69.2%
Nigeria	Warri South-West	2000	22.3%	37.6%	12.9%
Nigeria	Warri South-West	2017	37.3%	56.2%	21.3%
Nigeria	Wase	2000	19.6%	27.4%	12.8%
Nigeria	Wase	2017	34.3%	42.5%	26.7%
Nigeria	Wudil	2000	41.1%	46.3%	36.6%
Nigeria	Wudil	2017	58.7%	64.4%	53.0%
Nigeria	Wukari	2000	21.5%	30.0%	13.6%
Nigeria	Wukari	2017	46.0%	55.9%	36.3%
Nigeria	Wurno	2000	13.1%	21.7%	7.6%
Nigeria	Wurno	2017	27.9%	35.8%	17.7%
Nigeria	Wushishi	2000	35.2%	52.7%	21.0%
Nigeria	Wushishi	2017	67.7%	79.1%	54.6%
Nigeria	Yabo	2000	29.0%	33.3%	26.0%
Nigeria	Yabo	2017	48.3%	53.6%	45.0%
Nigeria	Yagba East	2000	62.1%	72.5%	51.9%
Nigeria	Yagba East	2017	79.3%	88.0%	69.9%
Nigeria	Yagba West	2000	64.3%	74.3%	53.4%
Nigeria	Yagba West	2017	76.6%	84.4%	66.3%
Nigeria	Yakurr	2000	51.2%	57.3%	44.5%
Nigeria	Yakurr	2017	63.1%	67.3%	59.8%
Nigeria	Yala Cross	2000	31.0%	42.4%	22.1%
Nigeria	Yala Cross	2017	53.9%	62.3%	44.1%
Nigeria	Yamaltu	2000	41.1%	51.8%	32.1%
Nigeria	Yamaltu	2017	58.4%	66.9%	49.6%
Nigeria	Yankwashi	2000	57.6%	61.9%	52.3%
Nigeria	Yankwashi	2017	74.4%	79.2%	69.7%
Nigeria	Yauri	2000	29.9%	55.4%	12.0%
Nigeria	Yauri	2017	49.9%	69.6%	33.7%
Nigeria	Yenegoa	2000	58.9%	63.0%	54.4%
Nigeria	Yenegoa	2017	77.8%	78.8%	76.9%
Nigeria	Yola North	2000	56.5%	60.2%	53.0%
Nigeria	Yola North	2017	87.0%	89.6%	84.1%
Nigeria	Yola South	2000	66.8%	78.4%	51.9%
Nigeria	Yola South	2017	82.6%	91.0%	66.5%
Nigeria	Yorro	2000	39.1%	47.9%	30.7%
Nigeria	Yorro	2017	43.5%	51.6%	35.2%
Nigeria	Yunusari	2000	39.5%	51.4%	27.3%
Nigeria	Yunusari	2017	64.2%	76.0%	49.8%
Nigeria	Yusufari	2000	35.2%	44.9%	26.5%
Nigeria	Yusufari	2017	56.3%	68.1%	44.9%
Nigeria	Zaki	2000	39.1%	57.5%	27.3%
Nigeria	Zaki	2017	66.8%	83.3%	48.9%
Nigeria	Zango	2000	28.9%	39.5%	20.1%
Nigeria	Zango	2017	30.9%	38.0%	21.5%
Nigeria	ZangonKa	2000	28.8%	38.7%	20.6%
Nigeria	ZangonKa	2017	59.4%	70.9%	46.8%
Nigeria	Zaria	2000	42.3%	49.8%	35.9%
Nigeria	Zaria	2017	81.6%	88.6%	73.0%
Nigeria	Zing	2000	31.5%	42.8%	21.2%
Nigeria	Zing	2017	40.0%	50.5%	30.1%
Nigeria	Zurmi	2000	24.6%	35.2%	15.7%
Nigeria	Zurmi	2017	50.8%	60.3%	40.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Zuru	2000	22.4%	31.4%	15.2%
Nigeria	Zuru	2017	58.6%	63.4%	53.3%
Republic of Congo	Abala	2000	20.6%	24.3%	17.1%
Republic of Congo	Abala	2017	68.8%	72.7%	64.4%
Republic of Congo	Bambama	2000	18.6%	24.9%	13.5%
Republic of Congo	Bambama	2017	65.4%	71.6%	60.1%
Republic of Congo	Boko	2000	25.2%	32.8%	18.8%
Republic of Congo	Boko	2017	77.0%	82.9%	69.6%
Republic of Congo	Boko-Songho	2000	32.1%	43.4%	22.9%
Republic of Congo	Boko-Songho	2017	75.5%	81.3%	69.0%
Republic of Congo	Boundji	2000	19.9%	25.2%	15.7%
Republic of Congo	Boundji	2017	70.9%	75.8%	66.7%
Republic of Congo	Brazzaville	2000	59.8%	75.1%	45.2%
Republic of Congo	Brazzaville	2017	88.8%	94.7%	80.9%
Republic of Congo	Divénié	2000	25.3%	31.5%	20.6%
Republic of Congo	Divénié	2017	69.6%	74.5%	64.4%
Republic of Congo	Djambala	2000	21.2%	24.4%	18.3%
Republic of Congo	Djambala	2017	67.7%	70.6%	64.6%
Republic of Congo	Dongou	2000	23.0%	26.0%	20.2%
Republic of Congo	Dongou	2017	68.6%	71.2%	65.7%
Republic of Congo	Epéna	2000	18.3%	20.5%	16.3%
Republic of Congo	Epéna	2017	66.4%	69.1%	63.2%
Republic of Congo	Ewo	2000	20.2%	24.8%	16.3%
Republic of Congo	Ewo	2017	72.0%	76.0%	67.8%
Republic of Congo	Gamboma	2000	23.3%	26.7%	19.9%
Republic of Congo	Gamboma	2017	71.2%	75.0%	66.6%
Republic of Congo	Impfondo	2000	22.9%	27.7%	18.8%
Republic of Congo	Impfondo	2017	71.0%	74.6%	66.6%
Republic of Congo	Kakamoeka	2000	32.5%	40.5%	25.3%
Republic of Congo	Kakamoeka	2017	77.0%	81.6%	71.8%
Republic of Congo	Kéllé	2000	21.8%	26.3%	18.0%
Republic of Congo	Kéllé	2017	75.5%	78.8%	72.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Kibangou	2000	36.2%	44.5%	29.7%
Republic of Congo	Kibangou	2017	80.3%	84.2%	76.0%
Republic of Congo	Kimongo	2000	34.4%	43.4%	26.9%
Republic of Congo	Kimongo	2017	75.8%	81.0%	70.6%
Republic of Congo	Kindamba	2000	19.8%	23.7%	16.0%
Republic of Congo	Kindamba	2017	67.5%	71.8%	63.7%
Republic of Congo	Kinkala	2000	23.7%	32.5%	17.0%
Republic of Congo	Kinkala	2017	72.4%	80.4%	63.1%
Republic of Congo	Komono	2000	22.3%	27.8%	18.1%
Republic of Congo	Komono	2017	66.8%	71.7%	61.9%
Republic of Congo	Lékana	2000	18.0%	23.6%	13.8%
Republic of Congo	Lékana	2017	66.4%	71.4%	60.9%
Republic of Congo	Loandjili	2000	50.5%	60.4%	41.4%
Republic of Congo	Loandjili	2017	86.3%	90.2%	81.0%
Republic of Congo	Loudima	2000	36.1%	44.7%	26.7%
Republic of Congo	Loudima	2017	79.2%	83.8%	74.6%
Republic of Congo	Loukoléla	2000	20.2%	24.7%	15.9%
Republic of Congo	Loukoléla	2017	68.6%	72.4%	64.4%
Republic of Congo	Louvakou (Loubomo)	2000	37.2%	44.1%	30.2%
Republic of Congo	Louvakou (Loubomo)	2017	77.6%	81.5%	73.1%
Republic of Congo	Madingo-Kayes	2000	36.8%	45.9%	27.4%
Republic of Congo	Madingo-Kayes	2017	80.0%	84.3%	75.0%
Republic of Congo	Madingou	2000	34.7%	46.3%	25.7%
Republic of Congo	Madingou	2017	77.8%	84.8%	70.0%
Republic of Congo	Makoua	2000	18.8%	22.7%	15.0%
Republic of Congo	Makoua	2017	66.3%	70.7%	61.6%
Republic of Congo	Mayama	2000	22.7%	27.5%	18.4%
Republic of Congo	Mayama	2017	69.5%	73.4%	65.7%
Republic of Congo	Mayoko	2000	24.2%	31.2%	18.7%
Republic of Congo	Mayoko	2017	66.5%	71.8%	60.8%
Republic of Congo	Mbomo	2000	18.2%	21.8%	15.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Mbomo	2017	68.1%	71.3%	64.1%
Republic of Congo	Mfouati	2000	37.3%	54.0%	24.6%
Republic of Congo	Mfouati	2017	80.6%	89.5%	69.0%
Republic of Congo	Mindouli	2000	24.2%	30.3%	18.1%
Republic of Congo	Mindouli	2017	73.9%	79.4%	67.9%
Republic of Congo	Mossaka	2000	20.4%	24.0%	17.1%
Republic of Congo	Mossaka	2017	70.4%	74.2%	66.5%
Republic of Congo	Mossendjo	2000	26.1%	32.2%	20.5%
Republic of Congo	Mossendjo	2017	70.9%	74.8%	66.9%
Republic of Congo	Mouyondzi	2000	29.2%	36.7%	23.2%
Republic of Congo	Mouyondzi	2017	75.0%	79.3%	71.0%
Republic of Congo	Mvouti	2000	34.1%	41.8%	27.4%
Republic of Congo	Mvouti	2017	76.9%	81.3%	72.1%
Republic of Congo	Ngabé	2000	25.4%	29.6%	21.3%
Republic of Congo	Ngabé	2017	72.9%	78.3%	66.0%
Republic of Congo	Ngamaba	2000	26.1%	43.5%	15.0%
Republic of Congo	Ngamaba	2017	60.4%	76.2%	42.3%
Republic of Congo	Nkayi District	2000	36.0%	47.7%	26.3%
Republic of Congo	Nkayi District	2017	75.0%	81.2%	67.2%
Republic of Congo	Okoyo	2000	17.2%	22.2%	13.4%
Republic of Congo	Okoyo	2017	63.2%	67.5%	57.6%
Republic of Congo	Ouessou	2000	20.1%	22.6%	17.8%
Republic of Congo	Ouessou	2017	66.4%	69.5%	63.5%
Republic of Congo	Owando	2000	22.2%	25.8%	19.0%
Republic of Congo	Owando	2017	72.4%	75.7%	68.8%
Republic of Congo	Pointe Noire	2000	85.3%	88.3%	82.4%
Republic of Congo	Pointe Noire	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Republic of Congo	Sembé	2000	20.1%	24.5%	16.4%
Republic of Congo	Sembé	2017	65.8%	70.4%	61.4%
Republic of Congo	Sibiti	2000	27.4%	32.2%	22.3%
Republic of Congo	Sibiti	2017	72.7%	76.6%	68.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Souanké	2000	17.8%	21.1%	15.1%
Republic of Congo	Souanké	2017	66.0%	69.3%	62.7%
Republic of Congo	Zanaga	2000	21.1%	26.0%	16.7%
Republic of Congo	Zanaga	2017	65.6%	70.4%	60.6%
Rwanda	Bugesera	2000	60.0%	63.7%	56.1%
Rwanda	Bugesera	2017	69.6%	72.6%	66.0%
Rwanda	Burera	2000	65.8%	68.1%	64.1%
Rwanda	Burera	2017	74.8%	76.7%	72.9%
Rwanda	Gakenke	2000	71.0%	72.2%	69.7%
Rwanda	Gakenke	2017	83.1%	84.0%	82.2%
Rwanda	Gasabo	2000	86.7%	87.5%	85.9%
Rwanda	Gasabo	2017	91.9%	92.5%	91.4%
Rwanda	Gatsibo	2000	54.0%	55.5%	52.0%
Rwanda	Gatsibo	2017	66.8%	68.4%	64.7%
Rwanda	Gicumbi	2000	60.9%	62.6%	59.2%
Rwanda	Gicumbi	2017	74.6%	75.9%	73.2%
Rwanda	Gisagara	2000	62.8%	65.9%	59.8%
Rwanda	Gisagara	2017	75.1%	77.6%	72.4%
Rwanda	Huye	2000	72.3%	74.0%	70.8%
Rwanda	Huye	2017	83.5%	85.1%	81.8%
Rwanda	Kamonyi	2000	64.8%	66.0%	63.4%
Rwanda	Kamonyi	2017	77.4%	78.5%	76.1%
Rwanda	Karongi	2000	61.7%	64.3%	59.3%
Rwanda	Karongi	2017	75.0%	76.9%	73.2%
Rwanda	Kayonza	2000	62.2%	64.7%	60.3%
Rwanda	Kayonza	2017	70.9%	73.5%	68.4%
Rwanda	Kicukiro	2000	93.3%	93.8%	92.5%
Rwanda	Kicukiro	2017	95.2%	95.7%	94.5%
Rwanda	Kirehe	2000	64.4%	67.3%	61.1%
Rwanda	Kirehe	2017	74.8%	77.7%	71.7%
Rwanda	Muhanga	2000	63.5%	67.0%	60.7%
Rwanda	Muhanga	2017	77.6%	79.7%	75.7%
Rwanda	Musanze	2000	66.1%	68.8%	64.2%
Rwanda	Musanze	2017	75.7%	78.3%	73.7%
Rwanda	Ngoma	2000	56.3%	58.9%	53.7%
Rwanda	Ngoma	2017	65.9%	68.2%	63.4%
Rwanda	Ngororero	2000	58.1%	59.2%	56.9%
Rwanda	Ngororero	2017	74.5%	75.4%	73.5%
Rwanda	Nyabihu	2000	66.0%	67.1%	64.9%
Rwanda	Nyabihu	2017	78.3%	79.2%	77.3%
Rwanda	Nyagatare	2000	50.7%	53.4%	47.6%
Rwanda	Nyagatare	2017	62.1%	65.9%	57.4%
Rwanda	Nyamagabe	2000	59.5%	61.3%	56.6%
Rwanda	Nyamagabe	2017	76.0%	77.5%	73.5%
Rwanda	Nyamasheke	2000	60.8%	63.9%	57.4%
Rwanda	Nyamasheke	2017	74.7%	77.2%	71.4%
Rwanda	Nyanza	2000	64.4%	65.8%	62.8%
Rwanda	Nyanza	2017	78.7%	79.7%	77.6%
Rwanda	Nyarugenge	2000	87.2%	87.8%	86.6%
Rwanda	Nyarugenge	2017	89.8%	90.5%	89.0%
Rwanda	Nyaruguru	2000	62.7%	65.5%	60.0%
Rwanda	Nyaruguru	2017	76.8%	79.0%	74.7%
Rwanda	Rubavu	2000	70.1%	71.7%	68.1%
Rwanda	Rubavu	2017	73.4%	75.0%	71.6%
Rwanda	Ruhango	2000	51.9%	53.6%	50.3%
Rwanda	Ruhango	2017	70.0%	71.3%	68.7%
Rwanda	Rulindo	2000	67.4%	68.6%	66.2%
Rwanda	Rulindo	2017	81.3%	82.1%	80.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Rwanda	Rusizi	2000	64.9%	66.9%	62.9%
Rwanda	Rusizi	2017	74.0%	75.7%	72.2%
Rwanda	Rutsiro	2000	58.5%	60.1%	57.1%
Rwanda	Rutsiro	2017	71.6%	73.0%	70.0%
Rwanda	Rwamagana	2000	77.2%	79.3%	74.2%
Rwanda	Rwamagana	2017	84.0%	85.3%	81.9%
Senegal	Bakel	2000	48.2%	60.2%	35.7%
Senegal	Bakel	2017	58.3%	68.6%	47.5%
Senegal	Bambey	2000	72.9%	75.4%	68.2%
Senegal	Bambey	2017	83.3%	84.7%	81.4%
Senegal	Bignona	2000	22.8%	29.6%	17.6%
Senegal	Bignona	2017	29.6%	36.4%	23.8%
Senegal	Birkilane	2000	75.3%	78.9%	71.4%
Senegal	Birkilane	2017	88.9%	90.8%	86.7%
Senegal	Boukiling	2000	14.9%	22.8%	8.9%
Senegal	Boukiling	2017	19.8%	27.3%	13.8%
Senegal	Dagana	2000	63.8%	74.9%	52.6%
Senegal	Dagana	2017	71.0%	81.7%	59.2%
Senegal	Dakar	2000	84.0%	87.1%	80.2%
Senegal	Dakar	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.6%
Senegal	Diourbel	2000	44.0%	51.2%	35.3%
Senegal	Diourbel	2017	66.2%	72.7%	56.9%
Senegal	Fatick	2000	40.5%	42.9%	37.2%
Senegal	Fatick	2017	52.2%	54.0%	49.4%
Senegal	Foundiougne	2000	47.0%	56.1%	34.4%
Senegal	Foundiougne	2017	56.8%	64.4%	44.6%
Senegal	Gossas	2000	64.1%	72.7%	56.8%
Senegal	Gossas	2017	76.0%	82.2%	70.4%
Senegal	Goudiry	2000	40.8%	52.5%	30.0%
Senegal	Goudiry	2017	50.3%	62.7%	38.6%
Senegal	Goudomp	2000	13.7%	18.1%	10.8%
Senegal	Goudomp	2017	20.2%	24.8%	16.6%
Senegal	Guédiawaye	2000	85.1%	87.8%	82.1%
Senegal	Guédiawaye	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Senegal	Guinguinéo	2000	69.9%	72.8%	66.5%
Senegal	Guinguinéo	2017	79.7%	82.4%	76.7%
Senegal	Kafrine	2000	73.7%	79.6%	69.4%
Senegal	Kafrine	2017	77.0%	83.4%	72.3%
Senegal	Kanel	2000	54.4%	59.9%	48.5%
Senegal	Kanel	2017	67.0%	71.7%	61.4%
Senegal	Kaolack	2000	48.5%	52.4%	44.6%
Senegal	Kaolack	2017	61.0%	63.8%	58.3%
Senegal	Kébémér	2000	65.1%	71.9%	58.2%
Senegal	Kébémér	2017	80.4%	86.3%	74.9%
Senegal	Kédougou	2000	53.7%	62.8%	44.9%
Senegal	Kédougou	2017	61.8%	68.3%	55.0%
Senegal	Kolda	2000	10.8%	17.1%	5.9%
Senegal	Kolda	2017	17.1%	24.0%	11.0%
Senegal	Koungheul	2000	45.9%	52.5%	39.3%
Senegal	Koungheul	2017	62.8%	69.1%	56.9%
Senegal	Koupentoum	2000	38.1%	46.3%	30.8%
Senegal	Koupentoum	2017	48.7%	57.0%	41.3%
Senegal	Linguère	2000	69.0%	76.5%	60.6%
Senegal	Linguère	2017	78.3%	85.5%	70.0%
Senegal	Louga	2000	64.8%	71.9%	57.3%
Senegal	Louga	2017	78.8%	84.5%	72.5%
Senegal	Malème	2000	78.5%	90.1%	68.1%
Senegal	Hodar				
Senegal	Malème	2017	81.9%	94.1%	71.9%
Senegal	Hodar				
Senegal	Matam	2000	53.3%	59.6%	46.4%
Senegal	Matam	2017	71.1%	75.6%	66.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Senegal	Mbacké	2000	86.4%	90.1%	82.5%
Senegal	Mbacké	2017	92.5%	95.7%	88.7%
Senegal	Mbour	2000	57.4%	65.1%	50.2%
Senegal	Mbour	2017	75.3%	80.8%	70.1%
Senegal	Médina Yoro Foula	2000	15.1%	26.5%	6.5%
Senegal	Médina Yoro Foula	2017	19.3%	31.0%	10.1%
Senegal	Nioro du Rip	2000	49.8%	55.8%	43.9%
Senegal	Nioro du Rip	2017	64.0%	69.6%	58.9%
Senegal	Oussouye	2000	19.4%	34.2%	13.2%
Senegal	Oussouye	2017	23.1%	38.1%	16.2%
Senegal	Pikine	2000	88.4%	89.8%	86.5%
Senegal	Pikine	2017	97.2%	97.6%	96.6%
Senegal	Podor	2000	46.4%	52.8%	40.7%
Senegal	Podor	2017	59.5%	65.2%	53.8%
Senegal	Ranérou Ferlo	2000	44.0%	55.9%	29.7%
Senegal	Ranérou Ferlo	2017	54.1%	65.0%	40.2%
Senegal	Rufisque	2000	63.2%	66.0%	60.0%
Senegal	Rufisque	2017	85.4%	86.8%	83.9%
Senegal	Saint-Louis	2000	73.7%	76.7%	70.6%
Senegal	Saint-Louis	2017	88.4%	90.0%	86.3%
Senegal	Salémata	2000	33.0%	45.9%	21.7%
Senegal	Salémata	2017	40.9%	53.2%	28.6%
Senegal	Saraya	2000	55.1%	67.4%	39.7%
Senegal	Saraya	2017	64.2%	76.1%	50.7%
Senegal	Sédhiou	2000	5.7%	10.4%	2.9%
Senegal	Sédhiou	2017	9.3%	14.6%	5.9%
Senegal	Tambacounda	2000	28.3%	36.9%	18.6%
Senegal	Tambacounda	2017	35.8%	44.3%	26.4%
Senegal	Thiès	2000	66.7%	74.0%	59.9%
Senegal	Thiès	2017	77.4%	83.7%	71.1%
Senegal	Tivaouane	2000	69.6%	74.0%	65.2%
Senegal	Tivaouane	2017	77.2%	81.5%	72.9%
Senegal	Vélingara	2000	23.5%	33.8%	13.4%
Senegal	Vélingara	2017	28.8%	38.9%	18.6%
Senegal	Ziguinchor	2000	8.7%	17.4%	4.6%
Senegal	Ziguinchor	2017	13.2%	23.6%	7.6%
Sierra Leone	Bo	2000	63.9%	70.0%	57.9%
Sierra Leone	Bo	2017	69.0%	74.9%	63.6%
Sierra Leone	Bombali	2000	41.4%	48.0%	34.6%
Sierra Leone	Bombali	2017	52.6%	58.3%	45.4%
Sierra Leone	Bonthe	2000	28.3%	36.4%	21.6%
Sierra Leone	Bonthe	2017	34.0%	43.5%	27.0%
Sierra Leone	Kailahun	2000	48.5%	56.7%	42.0%
Sierra Leone	Kailahun	2017	57.4%	65.2%	50.2%
Sierra Leone	Kambia	2000	31.1%	38.9%	24.3%
Sierra Leone	Kambia	2017	38.9%	47.0%	31.1%
Sierra Leone	Kenema	2000	66.6%	71.2%	61.7%
Sierra Leone	Kenema	2017	71.1%	76.1%	66.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sierra Leone	Koinadugu	2000	37.8%	44.7%	31.9%
Sierra Leone	Koinadugu	2017	41.3%	48.2%	34.6%
Sierra Leone	Kono	2000	53.7%	58.2%	49.7%
Sierra Leone	Kono	2017	65.5%	69.9%	60.7%
Sierra Leone	Moyamba	2000	32.8%	38.8%	26.2%
Sierra Leone	Moyamba	2017	38.8%	45.0%	31.5%
Sierra Leone	Port Loko	2000	38.2%	43.5%	32.5%
Sierra Leone	Port Loko	2017	51.7%	58.0%	45.6%
Sierra Leone	Pujehun	2000	50.5%	58.5%	43.0%
Sierra Leone	Pujehun	2017	60.9%	69.3%	52.9%
Sierra Leone	Tonkolili	2000	47.1%	53.8%	40.3%
Sierra Leone	Tonkolili	2017	52.9%	61.4%	45.1%
Sierra Leone	Western Rural	2000	75.8%	79.5%	71.8%
Sierra Leone	Western Rural	2017	82.7%	84.9%	79.9%
Sierra Leone	Western Urban	2000	96.7%	97.1%	96.3%
Sierra Leone	Western Urban	2017	89.8%	90.9%	88.3%
Somalia	Aadan	2000	28.7%	45.6%	16.2%
Somalia	Aadan	2017	92.4%	95.8%	87.1%
Somalia	Afgooye	2000	50.2%	63.8%	35.4%
Somalia	Afgooye	2017	93.7%	97.1%	89.5%
Somalia	Afmadow	2000	26.3%	32.7%	19.3%
Somalia	Afmadow	2017	91.3%	93.4%	88.9%
Somalia	Baar-Dheere	2000	23.1%	31.6%	16.5%
Somalia	Baar-Dheere	2017	90.8%	93.7%	86.9%
Somalia	Badhaadhe	2000	24.6%	39.5%	13.4%
Somalia	Badhaadhe	2017	87.8%	92.5%	83.1%
Somalia	Badhan	2000	50.7%	57.2%	43.8%
Somalia	Badhan	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.2%
Somalia	Baki	2000	46.0%	60.5%	31.6%
Somalia	Baki	2017	97.4%	98.9%	95.2%
Somalia	Balcad	2000	29.7%	47.1%	15.8%
Somalia	Balcad	2017	92.6%	96.2%	88.1%
Somalia	Bander-Beyla	2000	44.2%	52.6%	36.9%
Somalia	Bander-Beyla	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Somalia	Baraawe	2000	29.6%	46.3%	17.2%
Somalia	Baraawe	2017	93.4%	96.9%	88.2%
Somalia	Baydhabo	2000	24.9%	36.8%	16.5%
Somalia	Baydhabo	2017	90.1%	93.3%	86.3%
Somalia	Beled Weyn	2000	32.2%	39.7%	25.4%
Somalia	Beled Weyn	2017	95.2%	96.6%	93.6%
Somalia	Beled Xaawo	2000	28.0%	39.5%	17.3%
Somalia	Beled Xaawo	2017	93.8%	96.5%	90.0%
Somalia	Berbera	2000	47.3%	55.5%	40.4%
Somalia	Berbera	2017	97.8%	98.6%	96.7%
Somalia	Boorama	2000	45.7%	61.9%	31.4%
Somalia	Boorama	2017	97.8%	99.3%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Somalia	Bosaaso	2000	45.5%	54.4%	37.0%
Somalia	Bosaaso	2017	97.6%	98.7%	96.4%
Somalia	Bu'aale	2000	31.8%	49.6%	17.5%
Somalia	Bu'aale	2017	92.8%	96.3%	88.0%
Somalia	Burao	2000	50.2%	57.3%	43.3%
Somalia	Burao	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.4%
Somalia	Burtinle	2000	44.0%	52.3%	36.6%
Somalia	Burtinle	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.3%
Somalia	Buuhoodle	2000	46.4%	56.9%	37.0%
Somalia	Buuhoodle	2017	97.7%	98.8%	96.2%
Somalia	Buulo Burdo	2000	27.8%	34.7%	21.5%
Somalia	Buulo Burdo	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.5%
Somalia	Buur Xakaba	2000	20.8%	28.1%	15.2%
Somalia	Buur Xakaba	2017	88.6%	91.8%	84.9%
Somalia	Caabudwaaq	2000	37.2%	48.5%	27.1%
Somalia	Caabudwaaq	2017	96.1%	97.8%	93.7%
Somalia	Cadaado	2000	35.6%	46.5%	25.9%
Somalia	Cadaado	2017	95.7%	97.4%	93.4%
Somalia	Cadale	2000	25.9%	39.9%	14.3%
Somalia	Cadale	2017	89.9%	94.7%	85.2%
Somalia	Calawla	2000	47.7%	59.4%	38.4%
Somalia	Calawla	2017	98.0%	99.0%	96.8%
Somalia	Caynabo	2000	50.1%	58.5%	40.9%
Somalia	Caynabo	2017	98.2%	98.9%	97.4%
Somalia	Ceel Barde	2000	25.7%	34.3%	17.7%
Somalia	Ceel Barde	2017	92.4%	94.8%	89.0%
Somalia	Ceel Buur	2000	37.0%	46.7%	28.5%
Somalia	Ceel Buur	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.3%
Somalia	Ceel Dheer	2000	36.7%	48.5%	26.9%
Somalia	Ceel Dheer	2017	95.6%	97.2%	93.4%
Somalia	Ceel Waaq	2000	24.3%	34.0%	15.7%
Somalia	Ceel Waaq	2017	91.9%	94.5%	88.4%
Somalia	Ceel-Afwein	2000	52.8%	60.9%	45.4%
Somalia	Ceel-Afwein	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Somalia	Ceerigaabo	2000	56.9%	62.6%	50.6%
Somalia	Ceerigaabo	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Somalia	Dhuusamareeb	2000	39.3%	49.3%	32.4%
Somalia	Dhuusamareeb	2017	96.6%	97.8%	95.0%
Somalia	Diinsoor	2000	24.5%	35.5%	16.6%
Somalia	Diinsoor	2017	90.1%	93.0%	86.8%
Somalia	Dolow	2000	33.3%	56.9%	16.0%
Somalia	Dolow	2017	95.6%	98.7%	88.9%
Somalia	Eyl	2000	43.9%	51.4%	36.0%
Somalia	Eyl	2017	97.2%	98.2%	95.9%
Somalia	Gaalkacayo	2000	42.4%	50.3%	35.6%
Somalia	Gaalkacayo	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.5%
Somalia	Gabiley	2000	48.9%	61.9%	38.4%
Somalia	Gabiley	2017	97.9%	99.0%	96.3%
Somalia	Garbahaaray	2000	24.7%	34.7%	16.5%
Somalia	Garbahaaray	2017	91.5%	94.2%	88.4%
Somalia	Garoowe	2000	47.1%	57.6%	39.2%
Somalia	Garoowe	2017	97.4%	98.5%	96.0%
Somalia	Goldogob	2000	42.4%	57.9%	27.9%
Somalia	Goldogob	2017	97.2%	99.0%	93.9%
Somalia	Hargeysa	2000	59.2%	67.3%	52.0%
Somalia	Hargeysa	2017	97.9%	98.8%	96.8%
Somalia	Hobyo	2000	44.6%	50.8%	38.6%
Somalia	Hobyo	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.4%
Somalia	Iskushuban	2000	47.5%	54.0%	40.9%
Somalia	Iskushuban	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Somalia	Jalalaqsi	2000	27.5%	40.8%	16.1%
Somalia	Jalalaqsi	2017	92.1%	95.8%	87.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Somalia	Jamaame	2000	20.6%	38.9%	9.6%
Somalia	Jamaame	2017	88.8%	94.3%	81.9%
Somalia	Jariiban	2000	49.0%	57.7%	41.2%
Somalia	Jariiban	2017	98.0%	98.9%	97.0%
Somalia	Jawhar	2000	25.1%	41.7%	12.1%
Somalia	Jawhar	2017	90.9%	95.2%	84.4%
Somalia	Jilib	2000	31.7%	50.6%	17.6%
Somalia	Jilib	2017	92.0%	96.0%	87.1%
Somalia	Kismaayo	2000	22.2%	34.7%	13.3%
Somalia	Kismaayo	2017	88.8%	93.2%	83.5%
Somalia	Kuntuwaaray	2000	21.5%	38.1%	9.9%
Somalia	Kuntuwaaray	2017	88.7%	94.3%	81.4%
Somalia	Lascaanod	2000	44.5%	51.5%	37.3%
Somalia	Lascaanod	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Somalia	Lughaya	2000	45.7%	60.6%	31.4%
Somalia	Lughaya	2017	97.7%	99.0%	95.7%
Somalia	Luuk	2000	28.9%	41.7%	19.2%
Somalia	Luuk	2017	93.7%	95.9%	91.0%
Somalia	Marka	2000	18.3%	42.1%	5.5%
Somalia	Marka	2017	83.2%	93.0%	73.5%
Somalia	Mogadisho	2000	65.6%	83.1%	48.9%
Somalia	Mogadisho	2017	98.0%	99.9%	92.0%
Somalia	Oodweyne	2000	45.8%	55.8%	36.7%
Somalia	Oodweyne	2017	97.6%	98.6%	96.6%
Somalia	Qandala	2000	48.5%	58.2%	39.8%
Somalia	Qandala	2017	97.8%	98.7%	96.6%
Somalia	Qansax	2000	22.3%	34.3%	11.3%
Somalia	Dheere				
Somalia	Qansax	2017	88.9%	93.6%	82.6%
Somalia	Dheere				
Somalia	Qardho	2000	48.2%	55.1%	41.3%
Somalia	Qardho	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Somalia	Qoryooley	2000	21.8%	39.6%	10.4%
Somalia	Qoryooley	2017	88.5%	94.4%	81.0%
Somalia	Rab Dhuure	2000	20.6%	32.6%	11.6%
Somalia	Rab Dhuure	2017	90.0%	94.0%	84.9%
Somalia	Saakow	2000	28.7%	43.1%	15.7%
Somalia	Saakow	2017	92.1%	95.6%	86.3%
Somalia	Sablale	2000	27.5%	39.8%	16.9%
Somalia	Sablale	2017	91.4%	95.0%	86.6%
Somalia	Sheekh	2000	47.8%	61.8%	34.2%
Somalia	Sheekh	2017	97.8%	98.9%	96.2%
Somalia	Taleex	2000	46.2%	55.6%	38.3%
Somalia	Taleex	2017	97.7%	98.6%	96.2%
Somalia	Tiyeeglow	2000	27.1%	39.7%	17.7%
Somalia	Tiyeeglow	2017	91.4%	94.8%	87.5%
Somalia	Wajid	2000	18.8%	33.4%	9.6%
Somalia	Wajid	2017	86.6%	92.3%	79.1%
Somalia	Wanla Weyn	2000	24.5%	41.0%	15.2%
Somalia	Wanla Weyn	2017	90.1%	94.5%	85.0%
Somalia	Xarardheere	2000	41.8%	54.2%	30.4%
Somalia	Xarardheere	2017	96.7%	98.5%	94.3%
Somalia	Xudun	2000	43.6%	52.5%	35.1%
Somalia	Xudun	2017	97.3%	98.3%	96.0%
Somalia	Xudur	2000	23.7%	35.8%	14.3%
Somalia	Xudur	2017	90.7%	94.4%	86.5%
Somalia	Zeylac	2000	38.0%	50.2%	27.4%
Somalia	Zeylac	2017	96.4%	97.9%	94.3%
South Africa	Alfred Nzo	2000	56.8%	57.6%	55.9%
South Africa	Alfred Nzo	2017	72.0%	72.6%	71.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Amajuba	2000	70.1%	72.3%	68.2%
South Africa	Amajuba	2017	84.2%	85.6%	82.9%
South Africa	Amathole	2000	56.5%	57.4%	55.5%
South Africa	Amathole	2017	71.5%	72.3%	70.5%
South Africa	Bojanala	2000	96.5%	96.9%	96.1%
South Africa	Bojanala	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
South Africa	Buffalo City	2000	57.8%	59.4%	56.3%
South Africa	Buffalo City	2017	73.0%	74.2%	71.7%
South Africa	Cacadu	2000	63.2%	65.3%	61.0%
South Africa	Cacadu	2017	77.7%	79.2%	75.9%
South Africa	Cape Winelands	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
South Africa	Cape Winelands	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
South Africa	Capricorn	2000	78.0%	78.8%	77.2%
South Africa	Capricorn	2017	92.0%	92.4%	91.5%
South Africa	Central Karoo	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
South Africa	Central Karoo	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.8%
South Africa	Chris Hani	2000	57.4%	58.4%	56.5%
South Africa	Chris Hani	2017	72.5%	73.4%	71.7%
South Africa	City of Cape Town	2000	99.2%	99.3%	99.0%
South Africa	City of Cape Town	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
South Africa	City of Johannesburg	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
South Africa	City of Johannesburg	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
South Africa	City of Tshwane	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
South Africa	City of Tshwane	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
South Africa	Dr Kenneth Kaunda	2000	96.7%	97.2%	96.0%
South Africa	Dr Kenneth Kaunda	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
South Africa	Dr Ruth Segomotsi Mompati	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.2%
South Africa	Dr Ruth Segomotsi Mompati	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
South Africa	Eden	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
South Africa	Eden	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Ehlanzeni	2000	83.1%	83.7%	82.4%
South Africa	Ehlanzeni	2017	94.2%	94.5%	93.7%
South Africa	Ekurhuleni	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
South Africa	Ekurhuleni	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
South Africa	eThekwini	2000	69.2%	70.0%	68.2%
South Africa	eThekwini	2017	83.3%	83.9%	82.5%
South Africa	Fezile Dabi	2000	98.0%	98.3%	97.6%
South Africa	Fezile Dabi	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
South Africa	Frances Baard	2000	95.8%	96.1%	95.5%
South Africa	Frances Baard	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.7%
South Africa	Gert Sibande	2000	86.2%	87.0%	85.5%
South Africa	Gert Sibande	2017	95.3%	95.6%	94.9%
South Africa	iLembe	2000	69.9%	71.2%	68.3%
South Africa	iLembe	2017	83.4%	84.5%	82.3%
South Africa	Joe Gqabi	2000	59.5%	61.8%	57.2%
South Africa	Joe Gqabi	2017	74.3%	76.0%	72.4%
South Africa	John Taolo Gaetsewe	2000	95.6%	96.3%	94.8%
South Africa	John Taolo Gaetsewe	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
South Africa	Lejweleputswa	2000	98.3%	98.7%	98.0%
South Africa	Lejweleputswa	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
South Africa	Mangaung	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
South Africa	Mangaung	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
South Africa	Mopani	2000	78.3%	79.0%	77.6%
South Africa	Mopani	2017	92.2%	92.6%	91.7%
South Africa	Namakwa	2000	95.8%	96.5%	95.1%
South Africa	Namakwa	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
South Africa	Nelson Mandela Bay	2000	55.2%	56.3%	54.1%
South Africa	Nelson Mandela Bay	2017	71.3%	72.3%	70.4%
South Africa	Ngaka Modiri Molema	2000	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
South Africa	Ngaka Modiri Molema	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
South Africa	Nkangala	2000	87.2%	87.9%	86.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Nkangala	2017	95.7%	95.9%	95.4%
South Africa	O.R.Tambo	2000	56.0%	56.7%	55.1%
South Africa	O.R.Tambo	2017	71.3%	71.9%	70.5%
South Africa	Overberg	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
South Africa	Overberg	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
South Africa	Pixley ka Seme	2000	95.6%	96.1%	95.1%
South Africa	Pixley ka Seme	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
South Africa	Sedibeng	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
South Africa	Sedibeng	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
South Africa	Sekhukhune	2000	81.5%	82.3%	80.6%
South Africa	Sekhukhune	2017	93.6%	94.1%	93.2%
South Africa	Sisonke	2000	66.0%	67.1%	64.9%
South Africa	Sisonke	2017	80.0%	80.9%	79.1%
South Africa	Siyanda	2000	95.7%	96.2%	95.1%
South Africa	Siyanda	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
South Africa	Thabo Mofutsanyane	2000	95.3%	95.6%	95.0%
South Africa	Thabo Mofutsanyane	2017	98.6%	98.7%	98.4%
South Africa	Ugu	2000	70.0%	71.6%	68.6%
South Africa	Ugu	2017	83.9%	85.0%	82.9%
South Africa	Umgungundlovu	2000	71.1%	72.3%	69.5%
South Africa	Umgungundlovu	2017	84.7%	85.5%	83.7%
South Africa	Umkhanyakude	2000	71.3%	73.3%	69.3%
South Africa	Umkhanyakude	2017	85.0%	86.3%	83.8%
South Africa	Umzinyathi	2000	70.8%	72.1%	69.4%
South Africa	Umzinyathi	2017	84.8%	85.8%	83.8%
South Africa	Uthukela	2000	71.4%	72.4%	70.1%
South Africa	Uthukela	2017	84.9%	85.8%	84.0%
South Africa	Uthungulu	2000	70.5%	72.2%	68.7%
South Africa	Uthungulu	2017	84.7%	85.8%	83.4%
South Africa	Vhembe	2000	77.6%	78.3%	76.8%
South Africa	Vhembe	2017	91.8%	92.3%	91.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Waterberg	2000	78.8%	79.9%	77.9%
South Africa	Waterberg	2017	92.4%	93.0%	91.9%
South Africa	West Coast	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
South Africa	West Coast	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
South Africa	West Rand	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
South Africa	West Rand	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
South Africa	Xhariep	2000	94.9%	95.6%	94.2%
South Africa	Xhariep	2017	97.2%	97.6%	96.9%
South Africa	Zululand	2000	72.5%	73.6%	71.2%
South Africa	Zululand	2017	86.0%	86.8%	85.2%
South Sudan	Akobo	2000	39.5%	43.3%	36.0%
South Sudan	Akobo	2017	36.0%	37.7%	34.4%
South Sudan	Al Leiri	2000	33.9%	39.2%	29.6%
South Sudan	Al Leiri	2017	32.0%	33.9%	30.1%
South Sudan	Al Mabien	2000	37.4%	43.2%	32.2%
South Sudan	Al Mabien	2017	32.8%	35.3%	30.7%
South Sudan	Al Mayom	2000	34.3%	42.5%	28.2%
South Sudan	Al Mayom	2017	30.6%	33.4%	28.0%
South Sudan	Al Renk	2000	40.3%	47.0%	34.2%
South Sudan	Al Renk	2017	27.6%	29.7%	25.6%
South Sudan	Aliab	2000	36.3%	43.1%	31.3%
South Sudan	Aliab	2017	36.2%	39.3%	33.6%
South Sudan	Amatonge	2000	39.6%	43.0%	36.4%
South Sudan	Amatonge	2017	41.4%	43.0%	39.7%
South Sudan	Aryat	2000	30.8%	41.0%	23.6%
South Sudan	Aryat	2017	31.7%	34.7%	28.8%
South Sudan	Aweil	2000	31.3%	35.6%	27.9%
South Sudan	Aweil	2017	33.4%	35.2%	31.3%
South Sudan	Ayod	2000	35.1%	38.9%	31.6%
South Sudan	Ayod	2017	32.4%	34.2%	31.0%
South Sudan	Bahr al Jabal	2000	43.6%	47.5%	39.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Bahr al Jabal	2017	43.1%	44.9%	40.9%
Sudan	Baleit	2000	38.9%	45.7%	33.7%
Sudan	Baleit	2017	31.0%	33.4%	28.7%
Sudan	Bor	2000	43.5%	49.8%	37.2%
Sudan	Bor	2017	37.6%	40.1%	34.8%
Sudan	Fam al Zaraf	2000	39.0%	45.7%	32.9%
Sudan	Fam al Zaraf	2017	31.4%	34.0%	29.1%
Sudan	Faring	2000	34.6%	43.3%	28.6%
Sudan	Faring	2017	31.2%	34.5%	28.1%
Sudan	Fashooda	2000	39.1%	45.1%	33.3%
Sudan	Fashooda	2017	29.0%	31.3%	26.8%
Sudan	Gogrial	2000	33.6%	38.4%	29.5%
Sudan	Gogrial	2017	33.6%	35.5%	31.9%
Sudan	Kajo Kaii	2000	43.0%	50.4%	36.0%
Sudan	Kajo Kaii	2017	45.4%	49.2%	41.7%
Sudan	Kapoeta	2000	35.3%	39.8%	31.7%
Sudan	Kapoeta	2017	35.7%	37.2%	34.2%
Sudan	Magwi	2000	40.3%	45.6%	35.3%
Sudan	Magwi	2017	42.6%	45.0%	39.8%
Sudan	Malek	2000	29.9%	36.9%	23.7%
Sudan	Malek	2017	32.6%	36.3%	28.9%
Sudan	Malut	2000	39.1%	44.3%	34.3%
Sudan	Malut	2017	29.7%	32.0%	27.7%
Sudan	Mayot	2000	46.3%	52.6%	41.8%
Sudan	Mayot	2017	36.4%	39.1%	34.2%
Sudan	Meridi	2000	37.2%	41.3%	33.7%
Sudan	Meridi	2017	40.4%	42.4%	38.7%
Sudan	Mundri	2000	36.8%	40.5%	33.5%
Sudan	Mundri	2017	39.7%	41.5%	37.8%
Sudan	Nahr Atiem	2000	37.1%	42.3%	32.7%
Sudan	Nahr Atiem	2017	34.7%	36.5%	32.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	South Nahr Lol	2000	30.3%	36.0%	25.4%
Sudan	South Nahr Lol	2017	30.9%	33.5%	28.3%
Sudan	South Nahr Yei	2000	44.2%	49.1%	39.6%
Sudan	South Nahr Yei	2017	43.2%	45.2%	41.3%
Sudan	South Pibor	2000	41.1%	45.3%	37.3%
Sudan	South Pibor	2017	38.4%	39.9%	36.9%
Sudan	South Rabkona	2000	34.1%	39.1%	29.7%
Sudan	South Rabkona	2017	30.8%	32.8%	29.0%
Sudan	South Raja	2000	36.1%	38.9%	33.3%
Sudan	South Raja	2017	35.9%	37.2%	34.5%
Sudan	South Rumbek	2000	32.7%	37.2%	29.1%
Sudan	South Rumbek	2017	34.5%	36.5%	32.7%
Sudan	South Shobet	2000	32.1%	37.2%	28.1%
Sudan	South Shobet	2017	34.7%	37.0%	32.5%
Sudan	South Shokodom	2000	37.3%	43.1%	31.7%
Sudan	South Shokodom	2017	39.4%	41.5%	37.0%
Sudan	South Sobat	2000	46.7%	52.1%	41.5%
Sudan	South Sobat	2017	32.2%	34.7%	30.3%
Sudan	South Terkaka	2000	42.7%	47.9%	38.0%
Sudan	South Terkaka	2017	38.7%	40.7%	36.9%
Sudan	South Tombura	2000	37.7%	41.7%	34.2%
Sudan	South Tombura	2017	40.7%	42.7%	38.9%
Sudan	South Tonga	2000	45.3%	50.5%	40.2%
Sudan	South Tonga	2017	27.7%	30.1%	25.2%
Sudan	South Tonj	2000	33.3%	37.8%	29.2%
Sudan	South Tonj	2017	34.2%	35.9%	32.5%
Sudan	South Wanjuk	2000	28.8%	33.3%	24.8%
Sudan	South Wanjuk	2017	31.6%	34.1%	29.3%
Sudan	South Warab	2000	34.8%	39.4%	31.0%
Sudan	South Warab	2017	34.4%	36.0%	32.7%
Sudan	South Wat	2000	35.5%	40.6%	31.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Sudan	Wat	2017	33.7%	35.6%	31.7%
South Sudan	Wau	2000	38.8%	41.9%	35.5%
South Sudan	Wau	2017	38.8%	40.5%	37.2%
South Sudan	Yambio	2000	37.7%	42.2%	33.8%
South Sudan	Yambio	2017	40.9%	43.1%	38.7%
South Sudan	Yerol	2000	33.9%	38.9%	29.6%
South Sudan	Yerol	2017	35.2%	37.3%	33.2%
Swaziland	Dvokodvweni	2000	54.1%	68.8%	39.1%
Swaziland	Dvokodvweni	2017	77.2%	87.6%	63.7%
Swaziland	Ekukhanyeni	2000	50.1%	64.0%	41.4%
Swaziland	Ekukhanyeni	2017	77.5%	88.7%	65.6%
Swaziland	Gege	2000	40.4%	56.7%	27.4%
Swaziland	Gege	2017	67.3%	79.5%	52.5%
Swaziland	Hhukwini	2000	39.4%	49.8%	32.7%
Swaziland	Hhukwini	2017	58.4%	71.7%	47.4%
Swaziland	Hlane	2000	77.0%	92.0%	57.4%
Swaziland	Hlane	2017	89.9%	98.1%	71.4%
Swaziland	Hosea	2000	20.8%	31.0%	13.8%
Swaziland	Hosea	2017	45.9%	58.0%	35.2%
Swaziland	Kubuta	2000	35.1%	65.9%	10.8%
Swaziland	Kubuta	2017	59.4%	83.5%	29.1%
Swaziland	Kwaluseni	2000	52.9%	58.2%	48.3%
Swaziland	Kwaluseni	2017	82.2%	86.0%	78.7%
Swaziland	Lamgabhi	2000	63.7%	68.7%	57.8%
Swaziland	Lamgabhi	2017	86.2%	89.3%	81.9%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2000	83.6%	87.2%	77.6%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2017	94.6%	96.6%	92.0%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2000	84.0%	88.9%	77.9%
Swaziland	Lomdzala				
Swaziland	Lobamba	2017	96.0%	97.8%	93.0%
Swaziland	Lomdzala				
Swaziland	Lomahasha	2000	43.3%	67.2%	23.9%
Swaziland	Lomahasha	2017	68.2%	89.7%	44.7%
Swaziland	Lubuli	2000	44.9%	64.4%	20.0%
Swaziland	Lubuli	2017	65.8%	81.6%	40.0%
Swaziland	Ludzeludze	2000	59.1%	65.7%	54.3%
Swaziland	Ludzeludze	2017	82.9%	89.6%	77.2%
Swaziland	Lugongolweni	2000	68.3%	86.5%	44.7%
Swaziland	Lugongolweni	2017	83.4%	95.1%	65.0%
Swaziland	Madlangempisi	2000	31.2%	55.7%	15.0%
Swaziland	Madlangempisi	2017	57.0%	80.5%	32.7%
Swaziland	Mafutseni	2000	35.5%	51.8%	23.9%
Swaziland	Mafutseni	2017	63.8%	77.5%	48.2%
Swaziland	Mahlangatja	2000	43.1%	59.5%	24.3%
Swaziland	Mahlangatja	2017	63.1%	76.0%	45.0%
Swaziland	Mangcongco	2000	55.7%	85.4%	20.7%
Swaziland	Mangcongco	2017	75.2%	93.6%	43.1%
Swaziland	Manzini	2000	67.2%	70.2%	63.8%
Swaziland	North				
Swaziland	Manzini	2017	90.7%	91.9%	88.9%
Swaziland	North				
Swaziland	Manzini	2000	62.6%	68.4%	55.1%
Swaziland	South				
Swaziland	Manzini	2017	81.0%	84.8%	77.1%
Swaziland	South				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Swaziland	Maseyisini	2000	59.0%	66.6%	49.5%
Swaziland	Maseyisini	2017	83.3%	88.1%	75.4%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni North	2000	52.5%	69.2%	25.7%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni North	2017	71.8%	83.9%	50.0%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni South	2000	31.0%	47.6%	14.8%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni South	2017	57.8%	76.1%	36.4%
Swaziland	Mayiwane	2000	45.1%	63.4%	31.5%
Swaziland	Mayiwane	2017	73.2%	88.5%	57.7%
Swaziland	Mbabane East	2000	83.4%	85.1%	81.7%
Swaziland	Mbabane East	2017	95.2%	95.8%	94.5%
Swaziland	Mbabane West	2000	76.1%	79.3%	72.5%
Swaziland	Mbabane West	2017	92.6%	94.5%	90.3%
Swaziland	Mbangweni	2000	59.2%	66.7%	51.2%
Swaziland	Mbangweni	2017	81.5%	86.6%	75.1%
Swaziland	Mhlambanyatsi	2000	86.0%	92.6%	77.5%
Swaziland	Mhlambanyatsi	2017	95.0%	98.2%	89.9%
Swaziland	Mhlangatane	2000	62.0%	75.6%	43.8%
Swaziland	Mhlangatane	2017	82.5%	92.3%	67.5%
Swaziland	Mhlume	2000	79.7%	86.0%	71.4%
Swaziland	Mhlume	2017	91.8%	95.3%	86.2%
Swaziland	Mkhiweni	2000	71.6%	79.8%	58.7%
Swaziland	Mkhiweni	2017	86.4%	90.4%	79.5%
Swaziland	Motjane	2000	76.0%	84.8%	65.6%
Swaziland	Motjane	2017	92.5%	96.2%	87.6%
Swaziland	Mphalaleni	2000	43.9%	60.3%	27.9%
Swaziland	Mphalaleni	2017	66.3%	78.4%	49.8%
Swaziland	Mpholonjeni	2000	40.6%	55.0%	29.1%
Swaziland	Mpholonjeni	2017	67.0%	80.9%	54.2%
Swaziland	Mthongwaneni	2000	45.3%	57.6%	35.6%
Swaziland	Mthongwaneni	2017	65.8%	77.4%	54.0%
Swaziland	Mtsambama	2000	52.4%	59.1%	45.7%
Swaziland	Mtsambama	2017	75.4%	80.4%	70.1%
Swaziland	Ndzingeni	2000	43.1%	54.3%	32.8%
Swaziland	Ndzingeni	2017	70.4%	80.7%	60.0%
Swaziland	Ngudzeni	2000	43.5%	76.5%	17.6%
Swaziland	Ngudzeni	2017	67.6%	92.1%	36.8%
Swaziland	Ngwenpisi	2000	40.2%	53.5%	30.7%
Swaziland	Ngwenpisi	2017	64.2%	76.7%	53.4%
Swaziland	Nhlambeni	2000	36.7%	44.0%	29.8%
Swaziland	Nhlambeni	2017	60.4%	68.5%	51.6%
Swaziland	Nkhaba	2000	78.2%	88.0%	64.9%
Swaziland	Nkhaba	2017	91.2%	96.0%	82.2%
Swaziland	Nkilongo	2000	74.5%	81.8%	66.3%
Swaziland	Nkilongo	2017	88.3%	93.3%	81.6%
Swaziland	Nkwene	2000	62.9%	85.1%	37.7%
Swaziland	Nkwene	2017	80.3%	93.1%	60.6%
Swaziland	Ntfonjeni	2000	57.9%	68.2%	47.0%
Swaziland	Ntfonjeni	2017	78.1%	86.2%	66.5%
Swaziland	Ntondozi	2000	45.8%	61.7%	28.9%
Swaziland	Ntondozi	2017	68.1%	83.5%	49.5%
Swaziland	Pigg's Peak	2000	72.4%	82.8%	58.3%
Swaziland	Pigg's Peak	2017	87.6%	93.8%	78.3%
Swaziland	Sandleni	2000	36.6%	49.4%	26.6%
Swaziland	Sandleni	2017	62.8%	74.9%	51.0%
Swaziland	Shiselweni	2000	19.7%	35.4%	8.6%
Swaziland	Shiselweni	2017	45.6%	66.7%	25.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Swaziland	Sigwe	2000	36.2%	54.7%	21.3%
Swaziland	Sigwe	2017	64.6%	80.8%	43.5%
Swaziland	Siphofaneni	2000	44.9%	62.4%	27.6%
Swaziland	Siphofaneni	2017	69.8%	83.3%	53.7%
Swaziland	Sithobela	2000	22.8%	34.7%	14.2%
Swaziland	Sithobela	2017	46.9%	62.1%	33.6%
Swaziland	Somntongo	2000	71.2%	88.1%	51.2%
Swaziland	Somntongo	2017	87.2%	96.5%	72.6%
Swaziland	Timpisini	2000	72.8%	79.9%	64.4%
Swaziland	Timpisini	2017	91.4%	94.8%	87.2%
Swaziland	Zombodze	2000	21.3%	31.4%	15.3%
Swaziland	Zombodze	2017	48.6%	59.3%	38.7%
Tanzania	Arusha	2000	69.5%	76.6%	63.0%
Tanzania	Arusha	2017	87.3%	92.7%	82.4%
Tanzania	Arusha Urban	2000	80.3%	85.2%	73.8%
Tanzania	Arusha Urban	2017	96.2%	98.0%	91.7%
Tanzania	Babati	2000	39.2%	47.3%	31.5%
Tanzania	Babati	2017	63.8%	70.5%	55.5%
Tanzania	Babati Urban	2000	76.5%	86.3%	66.2%
Tanzania	Babati Urban	2017	89.6%	95.7%	81.4%
Tanzania	Bagamoyo	2000	46.7%	53.8%	39.9%
Tanzania	Bagamoyo	2017	73.0%	79.3%	67.1%
Tanzania	Bahi	2000	34.6%	44.7%	25.3%
Tanzania	Bahi	2017	56.1%	66.1%	47.1%
Tanzania	Bariadi	2000	32.8%	41.1%	25.1%
Tanzania	Bariadi	2017	63.3%	71.7%	54.9%
Tanzania	Biharamulo	2000	31.7%	41.7%	22.8%
Tanzania	Biharamulo	2017	58.2%	68.2%	49.2%
Tanzania	Buhigwe	2000	46.3%	59.2%	33.8%
Tanzania	Buhigwe	2017	71.4%	81.4%	60.2%
Tanzania	Bukoba Rural	2000	29.3%	39.9%	19.7%
Tanzania	Bukoba Rural	2017	52.8%	65.1%	40.6%
Tanzania	Bukoba Urban	2000	30.6%	45.3%	14.7%
Tanzania	Bukoba Urban	2017	60.9%	80.3%	44.2%
Tanzania	Bukombe	2000	29.5%	38.5%	20.1%
Tanzania	Bukombe	2017	61.1%	69.5%	51.7%
Tanzania	Bunda	2000	39.2%	49.3%	29.5%
Tanzania	Bunda	2017	64.3%	73.8%	54.4%
Tanzania	Busega	2000	31.7%	45.3%	18.6%
Tanzania	Busega	2017	57.8%	71.1%	43.4%
Tanzania	Butiama	2000	32.6%	43.3%	23.3%
Tanzania	Butiama	2017	58.6%	68.7%	46.8%
Tanzania	Chake	2000	81.0%	83.3%	77.7%
Tanzania	Chake	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.1%
Tanzania	Chamwino	2000	32.7%	41.3%	24.8%
Tanzania	Chamwino	2017	58.1%	65.3%	49.4%
Tanzania	Chato	2000	28.2%	37.3%	19.8%
Tanzania	Chato	2017	57.5%	66.9%	47.6%
Tanzania	Chemba	2000	30.2%	37.1%	23.5%
Tanzania	Chemba	2017	53.5%	61.5%	45.4%
Tanzania	Chunya	2000	30.5%	39.3%	23.7%
Tanzania	Chunya	2017	56.0%	63.6%	48.9%
Tanzania	Dodoma	2000	55.3%	62.7%	47.3%
Tanzania	Dodoma Urban	2017	76.4%	83.5%	68.5%
Tanzania	Dodoma Urban	2000	33.8%	45.6%	22.0%
Tanzania	Gairo	2017	58.7%	70.4%	48.1%
Tanzania	Geita	2000	32.8%	41.3%	25.7%
Tanzania	Geita	2017	59.9%	67.3%	52.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Hai	2000	73.0%	83.1%	58.9%
Tanzania	Hai	2017	91.3%	96.9%	80.9%
Tanzania	Hanang	2000	45.6%	54.9%	36.1%
Tanzania	Hanang	2017	68.2%	76.4%	58.6%
Tanzania	Handeni	2000	39.6%	49.7%	30.5%
Tanzania	Handeni	2017	64.6%	74.4%	55.4%
Tanzania	Handeni	2000	34.5%	49.0%	21.5%
Tanzania	Township Authority Handeni Township Authority	2017	68.0%	79.7%	54.5%
Tanzania	Igunga	2000	28.9%	36.7%	22.2%
Tanzania	Igunga	2017	52.5%	59.9%	44.0%
Tanzania	Ikungi	2000	27.6%	34.9%	20.8%
Tanzania	Ikungi	2017	51.1%	59.0%	43.7%
Tanzania	Ilala	2000	65.7%	70.2%	61.9%
Tanzania	Ilala	2017	93.2%	95.3%	90.3%
Tanzania	Ileje	2000	36.4%	50.6%	23.8%
Tanzania	Ileje	2017	62.8%	76.2%	49.9%
Tanzania	Ilemela	2000	73.3%	81.3%	63.4%
Tanzania	Ilemela	2017	90.1%	95.7%	83.9%
Tanzania	Iramba	2000	29.4%	38.4%	21.9%
Tanzania	Iramba	2017	54.3%	63.7%	45.8%
Tanzania	Iringa Rural	2000	32.3%	40.1%	24.9%
Tanzania	Iringa Rural	2017	58.1%	65.2%	50.4%
Tanzania	Iringa Urban	2000	69.8%	75.3%	63.7%
Tanzania	Iringa Urban	2017	84.4%	93.8%	79.0%
Tanzania	Itilima	2000	33.4%	43.0%	24.5%
Tanzania	Itilima	2017	62.5%	71.2%	51.7%
Tanzania	Kahama	2000	28.6%	35.3%	21.4%
Tanzania	Kahama	2017	54.8%	62.8%	46.5%
Tanzania	Kahama	2000	45.9%	64.1%	28.5%
Tanzania	Township Authority Kahama Township Authority	2017	68.1%	80.0%	50.8%
Tanzania	Kakonko	2000	34.6%	46.0%	23.3%
Tanzania	Kakonko	2017	62.0%	72.6%	49.4%
Tanzania	Kalambo	2000	29.6%	37.9%	20.9%
Tanzania	Kalambo	2017	54.8%	62.6%	44.6%
Tanzania	Kaliua	2000	26.5%	32.9%	19.7%
Tanzania	Kaliua	2017	50.8%	57.4%	43.0%
Tanzania	Karagwe	2000	27.1%	35.4%	19.6%
Tanzania	Karagwe	2017	53.8%	62.8%	45.3%
Tanzania	Karatu	2000	43.5%	55.4%	31.7%
Tanzania	Karatu	2017	68.3%	77.9%	56.0%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'A'	2000	68.1%	71.3%	64.5%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'A'	2017	91.9%	93.1%	90.4%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'B'	2000	81.2%	83.9%	77.8%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'B'	2017	97.1%	97.9%	95.7%
Tanzania	Kasulu	2000	42.3%	54.2%	30.2%
Tanzania	Kasulu	2017	68.6%	77.3%	56.6%
Tanzania	Kasulu Town- ship Authority	2000	42.3%	52.4%	33.5%
Tanzania	Kasulu Town- ship Authority	2017	71.8%	79.5%	62.1%
Tanzania	Kati	2000	53.0%	56.0%	49.8%
Tanzania	Kati	2017	87.1%	88.9%	85.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Kibaha	2000	42.4%	55.4%	28.4%
Tanzania	Kibaha	2017	71.1%	81.3%	59.6%
Tanzania	Kibaha Urban	2000	68.8%	80.4%	54.9%
Tanzania	Kibaha Urban	2017	88.5%	94.4%	80.3%
Tanzania	Kibondo	2000	32.0%	40.1%	23.7%
Tanzania	Kibondo	2017	61.8%	71.3%	52.6%
Tanzania	Kigoma Rural	2000	51.2%	63.4%	40.1%
Tanzania	Kigoma Rural	2017	74.3%	83.3%	64.2%
Tanzania	Kigoma Urban	2000	33.2%	37.5%	29.0%
Tanzania	Kigoma Urban	2017	85.2%	87.7%	82.5%
Tanzania	Kilindi	2000	26.8%	36.6%	18.0%
Tanzania	Kilindi	2017	50.5%	61.2%	39.0%
Tanzania	Kilolo	2000	41.7%	50.6%	34.1%
Tanzania	Kilolo	2017	65.1%	72.4%	56.9%
Tanzania	Kilombero	2000	46.6%	54.6%	38.5%
Tanzania	Kilombero	2017	72.3%	78.7%	65.5%
Tanzania	Kilosa	2000	42.6%	50.8%	36.0%
Tanzania	Kilosa	2017	67.5%	74.0%	61.9%
Tanzania	Kilwa	2000	37.2%	44.6%	29.6%
Tanzania	Kilwa	2017	61.4%	68.8%	54.4%
Tanzania	Kinondoni	2000	86.7%	89.1%	83.4%
Tanzania	Kinondoni	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Tanzania	Kisarawe	2000	53.6%	61.3%	45.4%
Tanzania	Kisarawe	2017	78.5%	84.0%	72.3%
Tanzania	Kishapu	2000	32.0%	40.4%	22.9%
Tanzania	Kishapu	2017	56.7%	66.7%	47.2%
Tanzania	Kiteto	2000	30.4%	39.2%	23.1%
Tanzania	Kiteto	2017	56.7%	65.9%	47.9%
Tanzania	Kondoa	2000	34.8%	43.4%	25.1%
Tanzania	Kondoa	2017	59.3%	67.2%	50.1%
Tanzania	Kongwa	2000	42.4%	52.3%	32.8%
Tanzania	Kongwa	2017	66.2%	75.0%	55.2%
Tanzania	Korogwe	2000	32.5%	42.4%	24.0%
Tanzania	Korogwe	2017	57.5%	67.8%	46.9%
Tanzania	Korogwe Township Authority	2000	31.8%	52.8%	17.9%
Tanzania	Korogwe Township Authority	2017	67.6%	85.3%	48.9%
Tanzania	Kusini	2000	74.1%	80.0%	66.1%
Tanzania	Kusini	2017	92.7%	96.1%	89.1%
Tanzania	Kwimba	2000	34.6%	46.0%	24.2%
Tanzania	Kwimba	2017	62.2%	72.3%	51.1%
Tanzania	Kyela	2000	61.4%	72.3%	47.6%
Tanzania	Kyela	2017	84.1%	92.6%	73.1%
Tanzania	Kyerwa	2000	30.2%	41.8%	20.1%
Tanzania	Kyerwa	2017	55.6%	68.1%	44.6%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2000	32.0%	58.9%	10.5%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2000	31.5%	76.7%	2.9%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2017	55.4%	80.9%	27.5%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2017	55.3%	93.6%	12.7%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2000	41.8%	58.6%	28.2%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2000	29.4%	73.0%	3.0%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2017	53.1%	88.1%	14.6%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2017	65.1%	81.2%	47.5%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	26.7%	69.3%	3.8%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	29.3%	59.0%	8.2%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	28.3%	46.1%	12.8%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	52.8%	83.3%	22.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	51.9%	71.9%	29.6%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	52.2%	85.6%	12.5%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	40.0%	52.7%	28.6%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	26.0%	49.2%	13.9%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	37.3%	52.0%	26.9%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	51.7%	74.1%	33.2%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	69.1%	78.7%	60.2%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	60.1%	73.8%	44.0%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	49.0%	56.1%	44.0%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	35.6%	53.0%	17.3%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	29.8%	37.5%	22.6%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	34.7%	65.2%	9.1%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	70.3%	76.2%	65.0%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	60.6%	85.2%	27.9%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	53.4%	62.3%	45.4%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	60.7%	75.7%	40.9%
Tanzania	Lindi Rural	2000	38.1%	46.0%	30.8%
Tanzania	Lindi Rural	2017	63.8%	71.3%	57.1%
Tanzania	Lindi Urban	2000	43.3%	49.3%	36.4%
Tanzania	Lindi Urban	2017	73.8%	79.4%	68.8%
Tanzania	Liwale	2000	34.7%	47.9%	23.8%
Tanzania	Liwale	2017	60.9%	70.6%	49.2%
Tanzania	Longido	2000	38.9%	47.5%	30.9%
Tanzania	Longido	2017	63.2%	70.6%	55.6%
Tanzania	Ludewa	2000	35.4%	45.0%	26.1%
Tanzania	Ludewa	2017	60.2%	68.8%	50.5%
Tanzania	Lushoto	2000	37.2%	45.7%	29.2%
Tanzania	Lushoto	2017	58.6%	66.7%	49.8%
Tanzania	Mafia	2000	28.7%	54.1%	10.3%
Tanzania	Mafia	2017	57.4%	80.1%	31.4%
Tanzania	Mafinga Township Authority	2000	59.0%	75.0%	47.9%
Tanzania	Mafinga Township Authority	2017	81.5%	92.9%	70.8%
Tanzania	Magharibi	2000	66.9%	68.5%	65.6%
Tanzania	Magharibi	2017	95.4%	96.0%	94.8%
Tanzania	Magu	2000	40.2%	52.0%	27.8%
Tanzania	Magu	2017	66.4%	77.3%	54.7%
Tanzania	Makambako Township Authority	2000	26.3%	32.6%	20.8%
Tanzania	Makambako Township Authority	2017	50.9%	59.7%	41.7%
Tanzania	Makete	2000	38.0%	49.4%	27.6%
Tanzania	Makete	2017	63.5%	74.0%	53.2%
Tanzania	Manyoni	2000	34.0%	41.8%	26.9%
Tanzania	Manyoni	2017	56.9%	64.2%	49.4%
Tanzania	Masasi	2000	37.1%	46.2%	28.3%
Tanzania	Masasi	2017	61.0%	69.3%	52.0%
Tanzania	Masasi Township Authority	2000	32.1%	51.0%	16.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Masasi Township Authority	2017	63.4%	79.6%	49.3%
Tanzania	Maswa	2000	33.9%	42.3%	25.8%
Tanzania	Maswa	2017	58.3%	67.9%	49.9%
Tanzania	Mbarali	2000	39.8%	53.0%	29.5%
Tanzania	Mbarali	2017	64.7%	75.1%	53.1%
Tanzania	Mbeya Rural	2000	48.3%	58.5%	38.3%
Tanzania	Mbeya Rural	2017	74.0%	81.7%	67.3%
Tanzania	Mbeya Urban	2000	72.6%	80.8%	60.9%
Tanzania	Mbeya Urban	2017	92.2%	96.2%	86.4%
Tanzania	Mbinga	2000	32.4%	40.2%	23.9%
Tanzania	Mbinga	2017	60.3%	68.9%	49.4%
Tanzania	Mbogwe	2000	35.5%	48.9%	21.8%
Tanzania	Mbogwe	2017	63.7%	75.1%	49.9%
Tanzania	Mbozi	2000	34.1%	43.6%	24.4%
Tanzania	Mbozi	2017	63.2%	72.8%	52.6%
Tanzania	Mbulu	2000	43.8%	53.2%	35.8%
Tanzania	Mbulu	2017	67.3%	76.0%	58.9%
Tanzania	Meatu	2000	28.1%	36.1%	19.7%
Tanzania	Meatu	2017	53.9%	62.2%	44.7%
Tanzania	Meru	2000	56.4%	66.9%	43.8%
Tanzania	Meru	2017	82.7%	89.2%	73.3%
Tanzania	Micheweni	2000	37.8%	41.0%	34.6%
Tanzania	Micheweni	2017	83.9%	86.2%	81.1%
Tanzania	Missenyi	2000	27.7%	41.0%	17.0%
Tanzania	Missenyi	2017	52.0%	65.5%	38.6%
Tanzania	Misungwi	2000	34.8%	46.6%	24.8%
Tanzania	Misungwi	2017	61.5%	72.6%	50.4%
Tanzania	Mjini	2000	78.8%	80.8%	76.4%
Tanzania	Mjini	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Tanzania	Mkalama	2000	30.7%	40.8%	20.7%
Tanzania	Mkalama	2017	58.2%	68.3%	47.7%
Tanzania	Mkinga	2000	38.1%	51.5%	25.2%
Tanzania	Mkinga	2017	61.6%	72.9%	49.0%
Tanzania	Mkoani	2000	57.1%	59.8%	54.9%
Tanzania	Mkoani	2017	78.7%	82.2%	76.0%
Tanzania	Mkuranga	2000	34.0%	41.9%	27.0%
Tanzania	Mkuranga	2017	68.7%	75.1%	61.3%
Tanzania	Mlele	2000	29.1%	34.5%	23.7%
Tanzania	Mlele	2017	53.1%	58.7%	47.4%
Tanzania	Momba	2000	29.2%	39.5%	19.8%
Tanzania	Momba	2017	54.9%	64.0%	44.0%
Tanzania	Monduli	2000	38.8%	49.2%	29.3%
Tanzania	Monduli	2017	64.6%	73.4%	54.9%
Tanzania	Morogoro Rural	2000	38.0%	47.4%	29.1%
Tanzania	Morogoro Rural	2017	62.1%	71.4%	52.7%
Tanzania	Morogoro Urban	2000	75.4%	81.3%	72.6%
Tanzania	Morogoro Urban	2017	85.3%	92.8%	79.1%
Tanzania	Moshi Rural	2000	69.0%	73.4%	64.2%
Tanzania	Moshi Rural	2017	90.8%	93.7%	87.5%
Tanzania	Moshi Urban	2000	75.3%	79.2%	70.5%
Tanzania	Moshi Urban	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.2%
Tanzania	Mpanda	2000	29.7%	35.2%	23.6%
Tanzania	Mpanda	2017	54.4%	61.0%	47.2%
Tanzania	Mpanda Urban	2000	35.5%	42.8%	29.8%
Tanzania	Mpanda Urban	2017	79.4%	84.8%	74.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Mpwapwa	2000	33.0%	42.2%	24.1%
Tanzania	Mpwapwa	2017	57.2%	65.6%	47.8%
Tanzania	Mtwara Rural	2000	41.4%	51.2%	31.5%
Tanzania	Mtwara Rural	2017	65.3%	74.5%	56.7%
Tanzania	Mtwara Urban	2000	52.7%	65.2%	42.0%
Tanzania	Mtwara Urban	2017	86.5%	92.3%	78.0%
Tanzania	Mufindi	2000	31.8%	40.0%	24.4%
Tanzania	Mufindi	2017	57.0%	64.9%	48.2%
Tanzania	Muheza	2000	58.2%	68.9%	47.4%
Tanzania	Muheza	2017	75.3%	85.3%	63.0%
Tanzania	Muleba	2000	30.2%	38.6%	22.0%
Tanzania	Muleba	2017	53.9%	63.3%	44.2%
Tanzania	Musoma Rural	2000	21.1%	35.7%	9.9%
Tanzania	Musoma Rural	2017	41.5%	57.7%	26.2%
Tanzania	Musoma Urban	2000	31.4%	34.0%	28.5%
Tanzania	Musoma Urban	2017	69.4%	73.6%	65.6%
Tanzania	Mvomero	2000	44.2%	52.0%	36.1%
Tanzania	Mvomero	2017	66.2%	73.2%	58.9%
Tanzania	Mwanga	2000	41.3%	53.2%	29.4%
Tanzania	Mwanga	2017	67.9%	80.3%	56.9%
Tanzania	Nachingwea	2000	37.6%	44.6%	30.8%
Tanzania	Nachingwea	2017	62.9%	70.0%	55.4%
Tanzania	Namtumbo	2000	32.6%	40.5%	25.6%
Tanzania	Namtumbo	2017	59.7%	67.3%	50.7%
Tanzania	Nanyumbu	2000	28.9%	40.8%	18.9%
Tanzania	Nanyumbu	2017	53.0%	64.2%	40.7%
Tanzania	Newala	2000	32.8%	46.3%	23.1%
Tanzania	Newala	2017	64.7%	76.2%	54.3%
Tanzania	Ngara	2000	30.0%	41.0%	20.4%
Tanzania	Ngara	2017	56.1%	66.5%	45.6%
Tanzania	Ngorongoro	2000	30.9%	38.8%	24.3%
Tanzania	Ngorongoro	2017	54.7%	62.8%	48.0%
Tanzania	Njombe	2000	28.1%	42.0%	16.6%
Tanzania	Njombe	2017	53.5%	67.3%	38.1%
Tanzania	Njombe Urban	2000	44.9%	54.1%	37.2%
Tanzania	Njombe Urban	2017	68.0%	76.4%	60.1%
Tanzania	Nkasi	2000	35.7%	42.3%	29.2%
Tanzania	Nkasi	2017	60.3%	66.7%	53.4%
Tanzania	Nyamagana	2000	63.8%	76.0%	51.0%
Tanzania	Nyamagana	2017	85.1%	93.4%	70.4%
Tanzania	Nyang'wale	2000	27.5%	42.4%	16.3%
Tanzania	Nyang'wale	2017	52.4%	66.7%	40.2%
Tanzania	Nyasa	2000	32.0%	44.0%	20.9%
Tanzania	Nyasa	2017	57.9%	68.9%	46.4%
Tanzania	Nzega	2000	33.2%	39.5%	27.3%
Tanzania	Nzega	2017	58.8%	64.5%	53.6%
Tanzania	Pangani	2000	44.0%	61.2%	27.6%
Tanzania	Pangani	2017	70.7%	84.3%	52.7%
Tanzania	Rombo	2000	69.8%	77.3%	62.2%
Tanzania	Rombo	2017	87.2%	93.1%	81.1%
Tanzania	Rorya	2000	21.1%	30.5%	14.3%
Tanzania	Rorya	2017	41.9%	54.4%	32.5%
Tanzania	Ruangwa	2000	27.0%	35.7%	19.6%
Tanzania	Ruangwa	2017	58.1%	66.0%	50.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Rufiji	2000	33.5%	41.9%	26.4%
Tanzania	Rufiji	2017	60.2%	69.2%	52.7%
Tanzania	Rungwe	2000	36.1%	47.4%	25.8%
Tanzania	Rungwe	2017	63.7%	73.7%	52.0%
Tanzania	Same	2000	45.9%	56.3%	34.4%
Tanzania	Same	2017	69.9%	78.8%	58.9%
Tanzania	Sengerema	2000	40.5%	50.6%	32.1%
Tanzania	Sengerema	2017	63.8%	74.0%	54.9%
Tanzania	Serengeti	2000	27.6%	37.6%	18.8%
Tanzania	Serengeti	2017	54.6%	65.3%	43.8%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Rural	2000	27.9%	38.4%	18.9%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Rural	2017	54.4%	64.5%	44.8%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Urban	2000	40.0%	54.8%	31.5%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Urban	2017	65.7%	81.0%	54.3%
Tanzania	Siha	2000	67.3%	81.6%	53.7%
Tanzania	Siha	2017	84.7%	93.2%	74.0%
Tanzania	Sikonge	2000	27.1%	34.4%	20.1%
Tanzania	Sikonge	2017	52.0%	60.1%	43.3%
Tanzania	Simanjiro	2000	35.7%	41.8%	30.2%
Tanzania	Simanjiro	2017	61.4%	66.6%	56.3%
Tanzania	Singida Rural	2000	28.4%	38.4%	19.9%
Tanzania	Singida Rural	2017	53.7%	64.2%	43.6%
Tanzania	Singida Urban	2000	52.9%	64.3%	43.9%
Tanzania	Singida Urban	2017	78.1%	86.5%	69.4%
Tanzania	Songea Rural	2000	38.3%	46.6%	31.1%
Tanzania	Songea Rural	2017	65.1%	72.6%	57.2%
Tanzania	Songea Urban	2000	59.0%	66.9%	50.9%
Tanzania	Songea Urban	2017	91.6%	95.1%	87.3%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Rural	2000	26.4%	34.2%	18.8%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Rural	2017	52.6%	60.4%	43.8%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Urban	2000	25.3%	31.9%	20.0%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Urban	2017	61.3%	67.9%	54.8%
Tanzania	Tabora Urban	2000	47.3%	55.8%	37.3%
Tanzania	Tabora Urban	2017	78.8%	85.0%	70.8%
Tanzania	Tandahimba	2000	32.4%	43.1%	23.2%
Tanzania	Tandahimba	2017	59.3%	71.1%	49.1%
Tanzania	Tanga	2000	85.5%	92.3%	78.1%
Tanzania	Tanga	2017	94.7%	98.2%	88.6%
Tanzania	Tarime	2000	16.3%	24.9%	9.0%
Tanzania	Tarime	2017	47.2%	57.1%	36.4%
Tanzania	Temeke	2000	65.4%	69.4%	61.4%
Tanzania	Temeke	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.1%
Tanzania	Tunduma	2000	52.6%	83.6%	13.5%
Tanzania	Tunduma	2017	78.7%	96.3%	42.3%
Tanzania	Tunduru	2000	37.4%	43.4%	31.5%
Tanzania	Tunduru	2017	61.8%	67.9%	54.9%
Tanzania	Ukerewe	2000	31.8%	46.2%	18.4%
Tanzania	Ukerewe	2017	57.6%	71.9%	43.0%
Tanzania	Ulanga	2000	43.7%	52.1%	35.5%
Tanzania	Ulanga	2017	65.3%	73.2%	56.1%
Tanzania	Urambo	2000	26.5%	39.9%	16.1%
Tanzania	Urambo	2017	51.5%	66.2%	36.2%
Tanzania	Uvinza	2000	29.1%	37.2%	21.4%
Tanzania	Uvinza	2017	54.7%	62.6%	45.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Uyui	2000	28.5%	34.7%	21.9%
Tanzania	Uyui	2017	53.7%	59.6%	46.0%
Tanzania	Wanging'ombe	2000	42.7%	52.7%	33.0%
Tanzania	Wanging'ombe	2017	69.0%	77.9%	59.0%
Tanzania	Wete	2000	69.2%	71.8%	66.2%
Tanzania	Wete	2017	90.7%	92.1%	88.9%
Togo	Amou	2000	37.3%	51.4%	24.5%
Togo	Amou	2017	54.7%	67.0%	42.2%
Togo	Assoli	2000	36.1%	53.6%	24.3%
Togo	Assoli	2017	63.8%	81.0%	52.7%
Togo	Bassar	2000	42.5%	51.7%	34.7%
Togo	Bassar	2017	68.3%	75.3%	60.8%
Togo	Bimah	2000	40.5%	55.4%	28.7%
Togo	Bimah	2017	74.3%	84.2%	63.0%
Togo	Doufelgou	2000	43.0%	55.6%	33.2%
Togo	Doufelgou	2017	71.5%	80.9%	60.9%
Togo	Golfe (incl Lomé)	2000	66.7%	71.6%	62.1%
Togo	Golfe (incl Lomé)	2017	79.0%	87.3%	69.2%
Togo	Haho	2000	35.7%	45.8%	25.6%
Togo	Haho	2017	58.9%	66.4%	49.8%
Togo	Kéran	2000	43.4%	54.9%	30.4%
Togo	Kéran	2017	68.2%	76.2%	58.8%
Togo	Kloto	2000	39.7%	50.5%	30.8%
Togo	Kloto	2017	54.7%	66.9%	45.1%
Togo	Kozah	2000	60.8%	70.4%	51.9%
Togo	Kozah	2017	83.1%	89.2%	74.5%
Togo	Lacs	2000	39.3%	50.0%	30.5%
Togo	Lacs	2017	68.0%	77.2%	56.7%
Togo	Ogou	2000	37.0%	45.9%	30.3%
Togo	Ogou	2017	60.0%	66.8%	53.7%
Togo	Oti	2000	32.5%	44.6%	23.2%
Togo	Oti	2017	61.1%	69.8%	51.8%
Togo	Sotouboua	2000	42.8%	62.8%	25.7%
Togo	Sotouboua	2017	66.3%	79.1%	51.3%
Togo	Tchamba (Nyala)	2000	39.8%	50.0%	31.5%
Togo	Tchamba (Nyala)	2017	67.3%	75.7%	59.6%
Togo	Tchaudjo	2000	51.5%	59.4%	44.9%
Togo	Tchaudjo	2017	77.1%	82.9%	69.6%
Togo	Tône	2000	44.1%	52.6%	35.9%
Togo	Tône	2017	63.6%	70.2%	56.3%
Togo	Vo	2000	37.1%	55.3%	23.0%
Togo	Vo	2017	60.0%	73.2%	45.5%
Togo	Wawa	2000	29.1%	48.4%	16.8%
Togo	Wawa	2017	39.8%	70.6%	27.9%
Togo	Yoto	2000	37.4%	49.2%	27.2%
Togo	Yoto	2017	62.3%	71.6%	52.7%
Togo	Zio	2000	44.7%	55.1%	36.3%
Togo	Zio	2017	70.0%	78.1%	61.2%
Uganda	Agago	2000	77.3%	85.9%	65.9%
Uganda	Agago	2017	88.5%	94.5%	80.4%
Uganda	Agule	2000	86.1%	91.9%	75.9%
Uganda	Agule	2017	95.7%	98.5%	87.9%
Uganda	Amuria	2000	73.7%	88.4%	52.6%
Uganda	Amuria	2017	86.3%	96.5%	66.8%
Uganda	Apac Municipality	2000	60.1%	73.4%	49.1%
Uganda	Apac Municipality	2017	80.1%	90.7%	67.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Aringa	2000	51.4%	63.9%	39.0%
Uganda	Aringa	2017	73.8%	85.3%	61.5%
Uganda	Arua Municipality	2000	81.9%	83.8%	79.5%
Uganda	Arua Municipality	2017	97.1%	97.5%	96.7%
Uganda	Aruu	2000	73.2%	83.7%	62.4%
Uganda	Aruu	2017	85.2%	92.8%	75.4%
Uganda	Aswa	2000	56.9%	76.1%	37.2%
Uganda	Aswa	2017	71.6%	88.2%	52.8%
Uganda	Ayivu	2000	76.3%	81.8%	69.4%
Uganda	Ayivu	2017	93.7%	96.2%	89.7%
Uganda	Bamunanika	2000	70.9%	82.6%	53.5%
Uganda	Bamunanika	2017	86.9%	95.1%	72.5%
Uganda	Bbaale	2000	50.1%	71.3%	32.0%
Uganda	Bbaale	2017	66.1%	84.7%	45.9%
Uganda	Bokora	2000	72.5%	82.4%	62.3%
Uganda	Bokora	2017	81.5%	89.8%	71.1%
Uganda	Bubulo East	2000	74.4%	77.4%	70.4%
Uganda	Bubulo East	2017	92.2%	94.1%	89.3%
Uganda	Bubulo West	2000	77.7%	85.6%	69.9%
Uganda	Bubulo West	2017	92.6%	96.6%	84.6%
Uganda	Budadiri	2000	56.4%	61.9%	51.8%
Uganda	Budadiri	2017	81.0%	85.7%	76.4%
Uganda	Budaka	2000	88.5%	91.2%	82.7%
Uganda	Budaka	2017	97.5%	98.2%	95.1%
Uganda	Budiope	2000	74.8%	83.0%	64.3%
Uganda	Budiope	2017	88.6%	94.0%	80.9%
Uganda	Bufumbira	2000	54.4%	65.4%	45.3%
Uganda	Bufumbira	2017	79.5%	87.5%	70.4%
Uganda	Bugabula	2000	78.7%	86.2%	70.6%
Uganda	Bugabula	2017	90.6%	95.5%	83.8%
Uganda	Bugahya	2000	38.9%	54.2%	25.4%
Uganda	Bugahya	2017	56.9%	70.9%	43.3%
Uganda	Bugangaizi	2000	65.0%	82.1%	48.7%
Uganda	Bugangaizi	2017	80.7%	93.1%	67.2%
Uganda	Bughendera	2000	55.2%	62.8%	47.2%
Uganda	Bughendera	2017	71.3%	77.2%	64.8%
Uganda	Bugiri Municipality	2000	60.7%	66.6%	54.9%
Uganda	Bugiri Municipality	2017	88.6%	91.5%	85.2%
Uganda	Bugweri	2000	75.9%	80.7%	71.7%
Uganda	Bugweri	2017	92.3%	95.1%	88.8%
Uganda	Buhaguzi	2000	51.7%	64.1%	39.9%
Uganda	Buhaguzi	2017	67.0%	79.0%	54.5%
Uganda	Buhweju	2000	42.8%	55.1%	27.9%
Uganda	Buhweju	2017	67.1%	77.3%	51.9%
Uganda	Buikwe	2000	63.8%	75.7%	52.3%
Uganda	Buikwe	2017	85.0%	93.3%	73.3%
Uganda	Bujenje	2000	64.0%	91.0%	32.1%
Uganda	Bujenje	2017	77.2%	96.8%	45.6%
Uganda	Bujumba	2000	42.5%	64.0%	21.7%
Uganda	Bujumba	2017	60.7%	80.2%	38.7%
Uganda	Bukanga	2000	37.4%	52.1%	22.1%
Uganda	Bukanga	2017	59.8%	73.2%	43.0%
Uganda	Bukedea	2000	81.3%	88.0%	74.6%
Uganda	Bukedea	2017	92.0%	96.8%	86.6%
Uganda	Bukomansimbi	2000	65.9%	75.9%	52.2%
Uganda	Bukomansimbi	2017	83.3%	88.7%	74.7%
Uganda	Bukonzo	2000	60.6%	69.0%	50.2%
Uganda	Bukonzo	2017	83.4%	89.0%	73.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Bukooli	2000	40.2%	52.7%	29.0%
Uganda	Bukooli	2017	62.8%	74.4%	50.1%
Uganda	Bukooli North	2000	54.5%	62.3%	47.2%
Uganda	Bukooli North	2017	74.8%	81.5%	67.7%
Uganda	Bukoto	2000	45.3%	50.3%	41.7%
Uganda	Bukoto	2000	58.0%	65.6%	49.1%
Uganda	Bukoto	2017	80.9%	87.1%	73.6%
Uganda	Bukoto	2017	68.8%	73.5%	64.5%
Uganda	Bulambuli	2000	36.9%	49.2%	27.6%
Uganda	Bulambuli	2017	62.2%	77.9%	49.4%
Uganda	Bulamogi	2000	82.6%	89.9%	74.8%
Uganda	Bulamogi	2017	93.1%	97.1%	86.9%
Uganda	Buliisa	2000	62.9%	84.6%	43.7%
Uganda	Buliisa	2017	78.0%	94.4%	60.4%
Uganda	Bungokho	2000	70.6%	73.5%	67.1%
Uganda	Bungokho	2017	93.5%	94.6%	91.3%
Uganda	Bunya	2000	52.8%	63.5%	42.3%
Uganda	Bunya	2017	70.9%	80.2%	60.6%
Uganda	Bunyangabu	2000	35.6%	46.3%	26.1%
Uganda	Bunyangabu	2017	56.2%	67.0%	45.9%
Uganda	Bunyaruguru	2000	52.6%	62.5%	42.3%
Uganda	Bunyaruguru	2017	78.8%	85.8%	70.5%
Uganda	Bunyole	2000	84.4%	91.2%	72.7%
Uganda	Bunyole	2017	95.0%	97.8%	87.6%
Uganda	Burahya	2000	52.1%	63.6%	41.2%
Uganda	Burahya	2017	74.7%	83.7%	65.2%
Uganda	Buruli	2000	46.5%	64.0%	30.3%
Uganda	Buruli	2000	68.8%	85.3%	45.5%
Uganda	Buruli	2017	61.8%	76.4%	46.3%
Uganda	Buruli	2017	80.4%	93.8%	59.9%
Uganda	Bushenyi-Ishaka Municipality	2000	73.1%	76.3%	70.3%
Uganda	Bushenyi-Ishaka Municipality	2017	92.6%	94.6%	91.1%
Uganda	Busia Municipality	2000	73.4%	85.9%	57.0%
Uganda	Busia Municipality	2017	95.1%	98.1%	89.5%
Uganda	Busiki	2000	74.1%	80.2%	66.1%
Uganda	Busiki	2017	88.9%	93.1%	83.3%
Uganda	Busiro	2000	66.3%	70.7%	61.8%
Uganda	Busiro	2017	87.9%	91.3%	84.1%
Uganda	Busongora	2000	52.5%	64.3%	40.5%
Uganda	Busongora	2017	72.4%	82.9%	59.7%
Uganda	Busujju	2000	49.1%	61.5%	39.6%
Uganda	Busujju	2017	66.8%	79.6%	55.9%
Uganda	Butambala	2000	56.5%	64.5%	46.5%
Uganda	Butambala	2017	79.1%	84.7%	72.8%
Uganda	Butebo	2000	62.6%	72.1%	53.7%
Uganda	Butebo	2017	84.8%	90.0%	78.4%
Uganda	Butembe	2000	86.3%	89.5%	82.5%
Uganda	Butembe	2017	95.2%	97.6%	91.7%
Uganda	Buvuma Island	2000	37.0%	54.7%	18.9%
Uganda	Buvuma Island	2017	50.2%	66.9%	29.6%
Uganda	Buweekula	2000	31.5%	53.5%	13.7%
Uganda	Buweekula	2017	47.3%	68.3%	27.3%
Uganda	Buyaga	2000	45.2%	54.1%	37.2%
Uganda	Buyaga	2017	68.5%	76.1%	60.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Buyanja	2000	44.1%	65.9%	26.3%
Uganda	Buyanja	2017	62.4%	81.0%	43.0%
Uganda	Buzaaya	2000	83.7%	85.5%	81.5%
Uganda	Buzaaya	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
Uganda	Bwamba	2000	52.5%	59.7%	40.4%
Uganda	Bwamba	2017	83.0%	87.2%	75.3%
Uganda	Chekwii	2000	55.1%	68.8%	41.0%
Uganda	Chekwii	2017	68.6%	80.5%	55.4%
Uganda	Chua	2000	74.5%	84.1%	63.3%
Uganda	Chua	2017	83.9%	92.2%	74.9%
Uganda	Dodoth	2000	67.2%	73.5%	60.3%
Uganda	Dodoth	2017	81.8%	87.3%	76.2%
Uganda	Dokolo	2000	44.3%	55.7%	32.3%
Uganda	Dokolo	2017	60.7%	70.7%	48.8%
Uganda	East Moyo	2000	76.6%	86.1%	67.6%
Uganda	East Moyo	2017	87.4%	95.3%	78.9%
Uganda	Entebbe Municipality	2000	84.5%	90.2%	75.2%
Uganda	Entebbe Municipality	2017	96.7%	98.2%	93.0%
Uganda	Erute	2000	65.0%	72.6%	57.2%
Uganda	Erute	2017	85.9%	91.5%	78.8%
Uganda	Fort Portal Municipality	2000	49.7%	54.6%	45.3%
Uganda	Fort Portal Municipality	2017	84.2%	87.6%	80.5%
Uganda	Gomba	2000	58.8%	71.2%	48.2%
Uganda	Gomba	2017	75.4%	86.4%	64.3%
Uganda	Gulu Municipality	2000	68.7%	72.4%	64.8%
Uganda	Gulu Municipality	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.8%
Uganda	Hoima Municipality	2000	84.9%	90.5%	78.5%
Uganda	Hoima Municipality	2017	95.2%	97.6%	91.4%
Uganda	Ibanda	2000	38.3%	52.4%	27.5%
Uganda	Ibanda	2017	61.9%	75.9%	51.2%
Uganda	Ibanda Municipality	2000	47.1%	58.0%	40.0%
Uganda	Ibanda Municipality	2017	70.8%	82.6%	60.1%
Uganda	Iganga Municipality	2000	94.0%	96.5%	90.9%
Uganda	Iganga Municipality	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.0%
Uganda	Igara	2000	53.2%	57.9%	48.1%
Uganda	Igara	2017	79.7%	84.4%	75.6%
Uganda	Iki-Iki	2000	61.2%	66.8%	55.3%
Uganda	Iki-Iki	2017	89.3%	92.3%	85.2%
Uganda	Isingiro	2000	41.7%	50.9%	34.3%
Uganda	Isingiro	2017	68.0%	75.7%	60.9%
Uganda	Jie	2000	68.6%	79.0%	58.5%
Uganda	Jie	2017	76.6%	87.3%	67.2%
Uganda	Jinja Municipality	2000	96.3%	99.1%	93.8%
Uganda	Jinja Municipality	2017	98.1%	99.9%	95.3%
Uganda	Jonam	2000	34.9%	47.4%	25.5%
Uganda	Jonam	2017	50.6%	63.0%	40.2%
Uganda	Kabale Municipality	2000	63.0%	67.1%	58.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Kabale Municipality	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.4%
Uganda	Kaberamaido	2000	31.9%	41.2%	23.4%
Uganda	Kaberamaido	2017	38.7%	50.9%	29.4%
Uganda	Kabula	2000	48.1%	69.5%	24.5%
Uganda	Kabula	2017	65.7%	81.2%	44.1%
Uganda	Kagoma	2000	89.5%	93.5%	83.2%
Uganda	Kagoma	2017	97.7%	98.8%	94.7%
Uganda	Kajara	2000	42.5%	60.5%	25.3%
Uganda	Kajara	2017	70.6%	85.2%	49.9%
Uganda	Kakuuto North	2000	48.0%	73.6%	17.0%
Uganda	Kakuuto North	2017	69.7%	91.7%	35.9%
Uganda	Kalaki	2000	84.3%	95.7%	56.6%
Uganda	Kalaki	2017	91.8%	98.5%	72.2%
Uganda	Kalungu	2000	56.2%	64.6%	47.9%
Uganda	Kalungu	2017	82.2%	87.7%	74.7%
Uganda	Kamuli Municipality	2000	84.3%	89.3%	76.8%
Uganda	Kamuli Municipality	2017	93.3%	96.2%	88.0%
Uganda	Kapchorwa Municipality	2000	61.2%	69.0%	51.0%
Uganda	Kapchorwa Municipality	2017	87.9%	92.4%	78.7%
Uganda	Kapelebyong	2000	86.7%	97.1%	64.9%
Uganda	Kapelebyong	2017	93.4%	99.2%	77.3%
Uganda	Kasambya	2000	35.9%	48.7%	24.5%
Uganda	Kasambya	2017	58.3%	72.3%	45.5%
Uganda	Kasese Municipality	2000	73.0%	80.1%	65.0%
Uganda	Kasese Municipality	2017	87.1%	93.4%	78.7%
Uganda	Kashari	2000	32.8%	46.8%	22.7%
Uganda	Kashari	2017	56.4%	70.3%	44.4%
Uganda	Kasilo	2000	71.3%	83.6%	54.6%
Uganda	Kasilo	2017	83.3%	91.7%	69.5%
Uganda	Kassanda	2000	37.7%	49.4%	26.8%
Uganda	Kassanda	2017	58.2%	69.9%	47.7%
Uganda	Katerera	2000	47.7%	55.7%	40.2%
Uganda	Katerera	2017	78.4%	83.7%	70.9%
Uganda	Katikamu	2000	64.7%	68.4%	60.6%
Uganda	Katikamu	2017	84.1%	88.0%	80.4%
Uganda	Katuuto East	2000	36.4%	72.5%	7.3%
Uganda	Katuuto East	2017	53.5%	84.3%	20.1%
Uganda	Katuuto West	2000	29.9%	56.8%	12.9%
Uganda	Katuuto West	2017	43.8%	76.8%	22.5%
Uganda	Kazo	2000	44.5%	59.1%	31.5%
Uganda	Kazo	2017	60.3%	74.4%	45.9%
Uganda	Kcca	2000	89.0%	89.9%	88.2%
Uganda	Kcca	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Uganda	Kibale	2000	58.8%	75.1%	38.3%
Uganda	Kibale	2017	76.6%	88.2%	55.4%
Uganda	Kibanda	2000	70.4%	82.2%	57.9%
Uganda	Kibanda	2017	81.0%	90.5%	70.3%
Uganda	Kiboga	2000	55.3%	68.3%	39.6%
Uganda	Kiboga	2000	45.0%	56.9%	32.8%
Uganda	Kiboga	2017	63.6%	75.0%	50.8%
Uganda	Kiboga	2017	73.0%	83.9%	59.5%
Uganda	Kibuku	2000	68.1%	75.7%	59.7%
Uganda	Kibuku	2017	81.5%	88.5%	73.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Kigulu	2000	80.1%	82.4%	75.6%
Uganda	Kigulu	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.0%
Uganda	Kilak	2000	40.4%	53.2%	28.6%
Uganda	Kilak	2017	58.7%	70.9%	46.5%
Uganda	Kinkiizi	2000	51.0%	59.9%	41.4%
Uganda	Kinkiizi	2017	73.9%	80.9%	64.5%
Uganda	Kioga	2000	61.5%	74.5%	47.1%
Uganda	Kioga	2017	70.6%	83.8%	59.1%
Uganda	Kira Municipality	2000	85.8%	89.4%	82.6%
Uganda	Kira Municipality	2017	97.8%	98.8%	96.5%
Uganda	Kisoro Municipality	2000	87.5%	91.0%	83.9%
Uganda	Kisoro Municipality	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Uganda	Kitagwenda	2000	30.8%	42.7%	22.3%
Uganda	Kitagwenda	2017	64.2%	74.6%	55.4%
Uganda	Kitgum Municipality	2000	93.9%	95.6%	91.5%
Uganda	Kitgum Municipality	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Uganda	Koboko	2000	51.9%	61.4%	38.9%
Uganda	Koboko	2017	78.6%	85.8%	66.3%
Uganda	Koboko Municipality	2000	62.9%	67.2%	54.5%
Uganda	Koboko Municipality	2017	88.3%	90.5%	85.3%
Uganda	Kole	2000	56.1%	61.7%	49.5%
Uganda	Kole	2017	82.4%	86.3%	77.4%
Uganda	Kongasis	2000	50.0%	74.8%	29.8%
Uganda	Kongasis	2017	73.0%	93.1%	49.9%
Uganda	Kooki	2000	17.1%	25.6%	10.6%
Uganda	Kooki	2017	29.4%	40.9%	20.3%
Uganda	Kotido Municipality	2000	68.4%	71.1%	65.5%
Uganda	Kotido Municipality	2017	79.3%	82.8%	76.6%
Uganda	Kumi	2000	64.2%	72.9%	55.4%
Uganda	Kumi	2017	82.4%	90.4%	74.3%
Uganda	Kumi Municipality	2000	73.5%	80.5%	61.3%
Uganda	Kumi Municipality	2017	84.2%	91.8%	74.6%
Uganda	Kwania	2000	66.8%	77.5%	53.4%
Uganda	Kwania	2017	83.9%	91.6%	72.9%
Uganda	Kween	2000	45.6%	53.9%	37.4%
Uganda	Kween	2017	72.1%	80.2%	64.0%
Uganda	Kyadondo	2000	83.6%	86.3%	80.9%
Uganda	Kyadondo	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Uganda	Kyaka	2000	36.5%	53.1%	19.0%
Uganda	Kyaka	2017	53.2%	68.1%	34.9%
Uganda	Kyamuswa	2000	26.6%	55.5%	7.9%
Uganda	Kyamuswa	2017	35.3%	66.2%	14.7%
Uganda	Kyotera	2000	30.2%	40.1%	22.1%
Uganda	Kyotera	2017	60.1%	70.0%	49.3%
Uganda	Labwor	2000	83.3%	92.3%	68.1%
Uganda	Labwor	2017	91.4%	97.5%	80.3%
Uganda	Lamwo	2000	74.0%	85.5%	60.9%
Uganda	Lamwo	2017	83.1%	93.9%	68.2%
Uganda	Lira Municipality	2000	78.1%	80.3%	75.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Lira Municipality	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Uganda	Lugazi Municipality	2000	79.0%	85.6%	70.9%
Uganda	Lugazi Municipality	2017	94.9%	97.1%	90.4%
Uganda	Luuka	2000	81.1%	84.3%	77.3%
Uganda	Luuka	2017	95.6%	96.9%	93.3%
Uganda	Lwemiyaga	2000	34.8%	66.2%	6.4%
Uganda	Lwemiyaga	2017	45.6%	76.4%	14.4%
Uganda	Madi Okollo	2000	54.2%	68.6%	36.5%
Uganda	Madi Okollo	2017	67.5%	81.4%	50.1%
Uganda	Makindye Ssabagabo Municipality	2000	85.8%	88.4%	82.4%
Uganda	Makindye Ssabagabo Municipality	2017	97.8%	98.6%	96.2%
Uganda	Manjiya	2000	58.6%	65.4%	53.0%
Uganda	Manjiya	2017	82.9%	88.5%	76.3%
Uganda	Maracha	2000	61.4%	67.0%	57.0%
Uganda	Maracha	2017	85.1%	89.7%	80.5%
Uganda	Maruzi	2000	66.2%	82.5%	51.6%
Uganda	Maruzi	2017	81.9%	93.7%	69.4%
Uganda	Masaka Municipality	2000	62.5%	71.0%	55.2%
Uganda	Masaka Municipality	2017	91.8%	94.9%	88.4%
Uganda	Masindi Municipality	2000	80.7%	85.8%	73.6%
Uganda	Masindi Municipality	2017	96.2%	97.4%	93.8%
Uganda	Matheniko	2000	65.2%	74.7%	54.8%
Uganda	Matheniko	2017	72.2%	83.5%	58.9%
Uganda	Mawogola	2000	42.2%	50.7%	33.6%
Uganda	Mawogola	2017	63.5%	71.1%	54.5%
Uganda	Mawokota	2000	59.3%	71.5%	47.0%
Uganda	Mawokota	2017	78.9%	88.0%	67.6%
Uganda	Mbale Municipality	2000	83.9%	86.7%	81.0%
Uganda	Mbale Municipality	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Uganda	Mbarara Municipality	2000	59.3%	72.2%	47.4%
Uganda	Mbarara Municipality	2017	83.4%	92.3%	71.7%
Uganda	Mityana	2000	36.7%	51.5%	24.2%
Uganda	Mityana	2017	66.7%	77.8%	53.6%
Uganda	Mityana Municipality	2000	56.7%	73.5%	41.1%
Uganda	Mityana Municipality	2017	85.3%	93.2%	67.2%
Uganda	Moroto	2000	64.8%	74.0%	54.8%
Uganda	Moroto	2017	82.4%	88.7%	72.2%
Uganda	Moroto Municipality	2000	97.9%	99.3%	94.3%
Uganda	Moroto Municipality	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Uganda	Mubende Municipality	2000	74.2%	82.8%	64.5%
Uganda	Mubende Municipality	2017	89.9%	94.4%	80.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Mukono	2000	65.0%	74.9%	56.2%
Uganda	Mukono	2017	84.6%	92.2%	75.4%
Uganda	Mukono Municipality	2000	87.2%	89.2%	84.9%
Uganda	Mukono Municipality	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Uganda	Mwenge	2000	47.5%	60.6%	36.5%
Uganda	Mwenge	2017	66.4%	77.8%	55.8%
Uganda	Nakaseke	2000	65.0%	78.9%	52.5%
Uganda	Nakaseke	2017	82.5%	92.1%	71.5%
Uganda	Nakifuma	2000	74.0%	79.2%	68.2%
Uganda	Nakifuma	2017	90.9%	94.4%	87.4%
Uganda	Nansana Municipality	2000	72.9%	78.1%	67.7%
Uganda	Nansana Municipality	2017	92.8%	95.8%	88.1%
Uganda	Ndorwa	2000	50.6%	62.2%	38.2%
Uganda	Ndorwa	2017	79.7%	88.4%	68.0%
Uganda	Nebbi Municipality	2000	82.7%	87.1%	78.7%
Uganda	Nebbi Municipality	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Uganda	Ngora	2000	60.7%	74.6%	48.5%
Uganda	Ngora	2017	72.1%	85.4%	58.6%
Uganda	Njeru Municipality	2000	78.0%	82.0%	72.6%
Uganda	Njeru Municipality	2017	94.4%	96.2%	91.7%
Uganda	Ntenjeru	2000	76.9%	81.3%	69.2%
Uganda	Ntenjeru	2017	90.4%	92.4%	85.7%
Uganda	Ntoroko	2000	45.9%	77.0%	19.4%
Uganda	Ntoroko	2017	62.1%	87.8%	34.6%
Uganda	Ntungamo Municipality	2000	87.9%	95.8%	65.5%
Uganda	Ntungamo Municipality	2017	98.5%	99.5%	94.8%
Uganda	Nwoya	2000	37.8%	53.4%	22.3%
Uganda	Nwoya	2017	52.7%	69.1%	34.9%
Uganda	Nyabushozi	2000	38.6%	55.8%	22.3%
Uganda	Nyabushozi	2017	55.0%	70.2%	38.2%
Uganda	Obongi	2000	64.3%	79.4%	38.6%
Uganda	Obongi	2017	81.2%	91.9%	61.8%
Uganda	Okoro	2000	56.7%	67.0%	46.2%
Uganda	Okoro	2017	80.1%	88.8%	69.6%
Uganda	Omoro	2000	62.2%	74.1%	50.0%
Uganda	Omoro	2017	82.3%	90.4%	71.7%
Uganda	Otuke	2000	57.4%	74.9%	37.8%
Uganda	Otuke	2017	74.8%	88.7%	58.7%
Uganda	Oyam	2000	57.0%	65.8%	49.1%
Uganda	Oyam	2017	79.9%	86.9%	72.9%
Uganda	Padyere	2000	63.4%	80.2%	44.6%
Uganda	Padyere	2017	81.4%	91.8%	65.5%
Uganda	Pallisa	2000	69.1%	72.6%	62.1%
Uganda	Pallisa	2017	90.2%	92.3%	87.7%
Uganda	Pian	2000	65.6%	81.7%	46.7%
Uganda	Pian	2017	75.5%	91.5%	56.2%
Uganda	Pokot	2000	76.8%	91.6%	56.6%
Uganda	Pokot	2017	86.7%	96.2%	72.8%
Uganda	Rubabo	2000	59.4%	67.7%	48.5%
Uganda	Rubabo	2017	81.9%	88.4%	72.9%
Uganda	Rubanda	2000	55.4%	66.1%	44.6%
Uganda	Rubanda	2017	78.8%	86.7%	69.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Ruhaama	2000	45.5%	56.9%	36.3%
Uganda	Ruhaama	2017	70.5%	81.0%	61.6%
Uganda	Ruhinda	2000	43.4%	47.5%	39.5%
Uganda	Ruhinda	2017	76.3%	79.4%	72.5%
Uganda	Rujumbura	2000	42.4%	52.0%	32.8%
Uganda	Rujumbura	2017	62.1%	72.1%	52.7%
Uganda	Rukiga	2000	65.9%	72.5%	53.6%
Uganda	Rukiga	2017	86.1%	90.2%	80.2%
Uganda	Rukungiri Municipality	2000	63.4%	73.6%	56.2%
Uganda	Rukungiri Municipality	2017	85.8%	91.4%	78.1%
Uganda	Rushenyi	2000	37.5%	55.9%	22.1%
Uganda	Rushenyi	2017	57.5%	76.6%	36.9%
Uganda	Rwampara	2000	41.1%	59.1%	24.4%
Uganda	Rwampara	2017	66.0%	81.2%	47.2%
Uganda	Samia-Bugwe	2000	57.2%	67.6%	48.4%
Uganda	Samia-Bugwe	2017	78.8%	87.4%	69.0%
Uganda	Serere	2000	78.2%	88.2%	63.8%
Uganda	Serere	2017	91.1%	97.3%	78.9%
Uganda	Sheema	2000	48.0%	60.9%	35.2%
Uganda	Sheema	2017	76.1%	85.6%	62.1%
Uganda	Sheema Municipality	2000	49.9%	57.1%	42.9%
Uganda	Sheema Municipality	2017	82.6%	86.6%	75.5%
Uganda	Soroti	2000	86.5%	92.9%	78.9%
Uganda	Soroti	2017	96.2%	98.9%	91.3%
Uganda	Soroti Municipality	2000	71.7%	76.5%	67.7%
Uganda	Soroti Municipality	2017	95.6%	96.3%	94.7%
Uganda	Terego	2000	69.5%	81.7%	57.6%
Uganda	Terego	2017	84.4%	94.0%	72.9%
Uganda	Tingey	2000	56.6%	64.1%	49.2%
Uganda	Tingey	2017	80.3%	89.3%	72.8%
Uganda	Toroma	2000	90.5%	99.8%	66.4%
Uganda	Toroma	2017	94.6%	100.0%	73.6%
Uganda	Tororo	2000	64.1%	72.9%	54.8%
Uganda	Tororo	2017	85.2%	92.9%	76.1%
Uganda	Tororo Municipality	2000	80.9%	85.4%	75.8%
Uganda	Tororo Municipality	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Uganda	Usuk	2000	91.1%	97.4%	77.4%
Uganda	Usuk	2017	95.8%	99.5%	85.4%
Uganda	Vurra	2000	43.5%	60.1%	30.9%
Uganda	Vurra	2017	67.4%	78.8%	51.1%
Uganda	West Budama	2000	54.8%	59.2%	51.4%
Uganda	West Budama	2017	73.4%	77.5%	69.7%
Uganda	West Moyo	2000	83.3%	95.3%	67.3%
Uganda	West Moyo	2017	89.3%	98.8%	74.7%
Zambia	Chadiza	2000	38.2%	54.8%	24.1%
Zambia	Chadiza	2017	62.0%	76.6%	48.5%
Zambia	Chama	2000	28.2%	36.3%	20.8%
Zambia	Chama	2017	53.8%	60.6%	47.0%
Zambia	Chavuma	2000	17.5%	31.1%	8.8%
Zambia	Chavuma	2017	41.0%	55.2%	28.4%
Zambia	Chibombo	2000	52.2%	56.9%	47.3%
Zambia	Chibombo	2017	76.8%	80.7%	73.0%
Zambia	Chiengi	2000	17.7%	30.7%	7.0%
Zambia	Chiengi	2017	41.6%	54.6%	29.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Chililabombwe	2000	72.2%	87.9%	55.9%
Zambia	Chililabombwe	2017	77.7%	91.5%	59.8%
Zambia	Chilubi	2000	21.4%	34.4%	10.9%
Zambia	Chilubi	2017	43.6%	56.0%	29.7%
Zambia	Chingola	2000	69.2%	72.0%	63.2%
Zambia	Chingola	2017	79.6%	86.6%	74.1%
Zambia	Chinsali	2000	28.3%	35.3%	20.6%
Zambia	Chinsali	2017	48.2%	54.9%	39.5%
Zambia	Chipata	2000	36.2%	44.8%	29.4%
Zambia	Chipata	2017	65.3%	72.3%	58.0%
Zambia	Choma	2000	36.4%	46.4%	27.5%
Zambia	Choma	2017	62.9%	70.6%	55.1%
Zambia	Chongwe	2000	46.3%	53.7%	39.3%
Zambia	Chongwe	2017	69.1%	75.0%	61.7%
Zambia	Gwembe	2000	37.4%	53.3%	24.4%
Zambia	Gwembe	2017	60.8%	75.6%	44.7%
Zambia	Isoka	2000	19.5%	28.6%	11.5%
Zambia	Isoka	2017	45.4%	55.2%	35.5%
Zambia	Itezhi-Tezhi	2000	36.1%	51.2%	23.3%
Zambia	Itezhi-Tezhi	2017	59.5%	72.7%	46.4%
Zambia	Kabompo	2000	33.5%	40.5%	26.9%
Zambia	Kabompo	2017	52.5%	59.7%	44.1%
Zambia	Kabwe	2000	64.2%	71.6%	58.2%
Zambia	Kabwe	2017	71.2%	78.1%	64.8%
Zambia	Kafue	2000	58.7%	63.1%	55.2%
Zambia	Kafue	2017	80.5%	83.7%	77.3%
Zambia	Kalabo	2000	25.7%	33.8%	19.0%
Zambia	Kalabo	2017	49.1%	57.8%	40.4%
Zambia	Kalomo	2000	33.5%	40.9%	25.9%
Zambia	Kalomo	2017	59.3%	67.5%	50.6%
Zambia	Kalulushi	2000	36.3%	47.3%	27.5%
Zambia	Kalulushi	2017	57.4%	68.5%	46.6%
Zambia	Kaoma	2000	31.3%	38.8%	24.5%
Zambia	Kaoma	2017	54.5%	62.1%	47.4%
Zambia	Kapiri Mposhi	2000	30.7%	37.7%	24.2%
Zambia	Kapiri Mposhi	2017	52.1%	59.2%	45.2%
Zambia	Kaputa	2000	27.5%	36.9%	19.6%
Zambia	Kaputa	2017	49.0%	58.8%	40.2%
Zambia	Kasama	2000	24.5%	31.3%	18.1%
Zambia	Kasama	2017	47.7%	56.7%	38.5%
Zambia	Kasempa	2000	25.5%	33.4%	19.1%
Zambia	Kasempa	2017	47.9%	55.9%	38.4%
Zambia	Katete	2000	31.2%	41.4%	21.8%
Zambia	Katete	2017	56.1%	64.6%	47.3%
Zambia	Kawambwa	2000	33.0%	41.6%	24.0%
Zambia	Kawambwa	2017	59.0%	67.4%	48.5%
Zambia	Kazungula	2000	34.7%	43.3%	25.7%
Zambia	Kazungula	2017	57.8%	67.0%	48.3%
Zambia	Kitwe	2000	55.0%	58.8%	51.1%
Zambia	Kitwe	2017	73.8%	77.0%	70.4%
Zambia	Livingstone	2000	76.0%	80.5%	71.4%
Zambia	Livingstone	2017	85.8%	90.7%	80.7%
Zambia	Luangwa	2000	30.5%	45.8%	17.4%
Zambia	Luangwa	2017	58.6%	74.5%	43.1%
Zambia	Luanshya	2000	14.9%	21.4%	10.4%
Zambia	Luanshya	2017	50.0%	59.6%	40.5%
Zambia	Lufwanyama	2000	21.1%	33.0%	13.0%
Zambia	Lufwanyama	2017	43.5%	56.1%	31.6%
Zambia	Lukulu	2000	31.0%	37.7%	23.9%
Zambia	Lukulu	2017	55.0%	63.0%	46.9%
Zambia	Lundazi	2000	34.1%	41.5%	28.2%
Zambia	Lundazi	2017	58.7%	65.9%	52.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Lusaka	2000	85.4%	87.3%	83.5%
Zambia	Lusaka	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Zambia	Luwingu	2000	26.2%	35.6%	17.5%
Zambia	Luwingu	2017	47.0%	57.7%	37.6%
Zambia	Mambwe	2000	27.0%	38.8%	17.5%
Zambia	Mambwe	2017	51.6%	66.2%	40.8%
Zambia	Mansa	2000	25.2%	32.6%	19.1%
Zambia	Mansa	2017	49.1%	56.1%	41.6%
Zambia	Masaiti	2000	30.2%	38.5%	22.2%
Zambia	Masaiti	2017	51.3%	62.1%	40.0%
Zambia	Mazabuka	2000	42.8%	51.3%	34.8%
Zambia	Mazabuka	2017	65.6%	72.6%	58.8%
Zambia	Mbala	2000	27.3%	38.1%	17.5%
Zambia	Mbala	2017	50.3%	61.5%	39.4%
Zambia	Milenge	2000	23.6%	33.7%	14.4%
Zambia	Milenge	2017	51.5%	65.4%	36.0%
Zambia	Mkushi	2000	32.5%	41.7%	24.4%
Zambia	Mkushi	2017	54.5%	63.5%	44.9%
Zambia	Mongu	2000	47.6%	55.6%	40.5%
Zambia	Mongu	2017	68.9%	76.4%	62.1%
Zambia	Monze	2000	49.6%	58.7%	41.2%
Zambia	Monze	2017	74.6%	82.4%	65.9%
Zambia	Mpika	2000	28.2%	34.6%	23.0%
Zambia	Mpika	2017	49.9%	56.4%	43.1%
Zambia	MPongwe	2000	27.7%	40.3%	18.2%
Zambia	MPongwe	2017	53.6%	66.6%	42.4%
Zambia	Mporokoso	2000	28.6%	37.4%	20.7%
Zambia	Mporokoso	2017	49.1%	58.7%	40.8%
Zambia	Mpulungu	2000	38.4%	47.5%	30.8%
Zambia	Mpulungu	2017	58.6%	66.3%	50.8%
Zambia	Mufulira	2000	56.9%	63.9%	51.3%
Zambia	Mufulira	2017	76.6%	83.1%	69.5%
Zambia	Mufumbwe	2000	22.4%	29.0%	17.2%
Zambia	Mufumbwe	2017	43.6%	52.1%	36.8%
Zambia	Mumbwa	2000	34.9%	43.8%	26.9%
Zambia	Mumbwa	2017	62.2%	71.8%	53.5%
Zambia	Mungwi	2000	26.1%	36.9%	16.0%
Zambia	Mungwi	2017	46.4%	57.7%	33.5%
Zambia	Mwense	2000	22.8%	31.3%	16.0%
Zambia	Mwense	2017	45.2%	55.9%	36.8%
Zambia	Mwinilunga	2000	26.0%	33.7%	19.3%
Zambia	Mwinilunga	2017	46.5%	55.4%	38.6%
Zambia	Nakonde	2000	21.3%	29.6%	15.1%
Zambia	Nakonde	2017	56.0%	63.6%	48.1%
Zambia	Namwala	2000	29.5%	39.1%	21.9%
Zambia	Namwala	2017	56.0%	65.6%	47.1%
Zambia	Nchelenge	2000	19.2%	29.4%	12.1%
Zambia	Nchelenge	2017	47.0%	55.5%	37.6%
Zambia	Ndola	2000	67.2%	71.8%	61.1%
Zambia	Ndola	2017	83.1%	88.2%	77.2%
Zambia	Nyimba	2000	30.8%	38.3%	24.5%
Zambia	Nyimba	2017	53.1%	62.7%	44.8%
Zambia	Petauke	2000	26.4%	34.4%	18.4%
Zambia	Petauke	2017	51.4%	59.4%	42.7%
Zambia	Samfya	2000	23.1%	32.5%	16.2%
Zambia	Samfya	2017	44.5%	54.0%	35.2%
Zambia	Senanga	2000	34.8%	43.4%	27.8%
Zambia	Senanga	2017	56.3%	65.0%	48.3%
Zambia	Serenje	2000	25.1%	32.1%	18.7%
Zambia	Serenje	2017	48.3%	55.9%	39.8%
Zambia	Sesheke	2000	33.7%	40.4%	27.5%
Zambia	Sesheke	2017	57.4%	63.3%	50.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Shangombo	2000	29.3%	38.4%	19.7%
Zambia	Shangombo	2017	54.3%	65.0%	43.1%
Zambia	Siavonga	2000	43.9%	55.4%	33.3%
Zambia	Siavonga	2017	65.3%	75.7%	55.3%
Zambia	Sinazongwe	2000	39.1%	53.7%	25.8%
Zambia	Sinazongwe	2017	66.5%	79.5%	50.7%
Zambia	Solwezi	2000	27.2%	36.0%	19.6%
Zambia	Solwezi	2017	45.2%	53.3%	37.4%
Zambia	Zambezi	2000	18.4%	25.6%	12.5%
Zambia	Zambezi	2017	38.3%	47.7%	28.9%
Zimbabwe	Beitbridge	2000	62.5%	68.3%	56.1%
Zimbabwe	Beitbridge	2017	72.7%	78.0%	66.5%
Zimbabwe	Bikita	2000	49.2%	58.3%	37.9%
Zimbabwe	Bikita	2017	64.4%	72.7%	53.8%
Zimbabwe	Bindura	2000	59.9%	69.3%	51.0%
Zimbabwe	Bindura	2017	72.3%	80.2%	63.7%
Zimbabwe	Binga	2000	44.1%	52.7%	36.2%
Zimbabwe	Binga	2017	58.7%	67.0%	50.7%
Zimbabwe	Bubi	2000	64.1%	74.2%	52.8%
Zimbabwe	Bubi	2017	76.0%	84.6%	66.4%
Zimbabwe	Buhera	2000	53.3%	62.6%	42.4%
Zimbabwe	Buhera	2017	68.6%	77.4%	58.4%
Zimbabwe	Bulawayo	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.5%
Zimbabwe	Bulawayo	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Zimbabwe	Bulilima (North)	2000	58.8%	67.5%	48.0%
Zimbabwe	Bulilima (North)	2017	71.4%	80.0%	61.5%
Zimbabwe	Centenary	2000	44.6%	56.6%	32.2%
Zimbabwe	Centenary	2017	57.4%	69.4%	45.4%
Zimbabwe	Chegutu	2000	77.6%	84.2%	69.3%
Zimbabwe	Chegutu	2017	85.6%	90.8%	79.8%
Zimbabwe	Chikomba	2000	57.7%	66.3%	48.4%
Zimbabwe	Chikomba	2017	72.1%	79.1%	63.1%
Zimbabwe	Chimanimani	2000	68.3%	77.1%	57.1%
Zimbabwe	Chimanimani	2017	76.9%	84.8%	66.0%
Zimbabwe	Chipinge	2000	63.4%	70.5%	56.4%
Zimbabwe	Chipinge	2017	74.5%	80.5%	68.1%
Zimbabwe	Chiredzi	2000	63.5%	69.0%	57.9%
Zimbabwe	Chiredzi	2017	72.9%	77.8%	68.0%
Zimbabwe	Chirumhanzu	2000	61.8%	74.6%	45.9%
Zimbabwe	Chirumhanzu	2017	75.0%	85.2%	60.7%
Zimbabwe	Chivi	2000	55.5%	65.4%	44.0%
Zimbabwe	Chivi	2017	68.0%	77.2%	56.2%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe North	2000	40.4%	49.7%	31.0%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe North	2017	56.2%	65.0%	46.1%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe South	2000	46.9%	53.8%	39.8%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe South	2017	61.5%	68.0%	54.0%
Zimbabwe	Goromonzi	2000	70.7%	76.9%	64.0%
Zimbabwe	Goromonzi	2017	82.8%	87.6%	77.2%
Zimbabwe	Guruve	2000	50.6%	60.2%	40.4%
Zimbabwe	Guruve	2017	64.5%	73.2%	55.0%
Zimbabwe	Gutu	2000	51.1%	61.5%	42.0%
Zimbabwe	Gutu	2017	66.9%	76.5%	57.7%
Zimbabwe	Gwanda	2000	65.1%	73.4%	55.8%
Zimbabwe	Gwanda	2017	75.5%	82.2%	67.5%
Zimbabwe	Gweru	2000	86.1%	90.5%	81.1%
Zimbabwe	Gweru	2017	91.1%	94.1%	87.4%
Zimbabwe	Harare	2000	91.2%	92.5%	89.3%
Zimbabwe	Harare	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Zimbabwe	Hurungwe	2000	46.8%	53.3%	40.4%
Zimbabwe	Hurungwe	2017	61.3%	66.9%	54.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zimbabwe	Hwange	2000	71.6%	76.4%	66.8%
Zimbabwe	Hwange	2017	79.8%	83.6%	75.6%
Zimbabwe	Insiza	2000	55.1%	65.0%	45.5%
Zimbabwe	Insiza	2017	68.9%	77.1%	60.8%
Zimbabwe	Kadoma	2000	66.3%	74.6%	56.6%
Zimbabwe	Kadoma	2017	77.2%	84.2%	68.6%
Zimbabwe	Kariba	2000	56.9%	67.0%	44.3%
Zimbabwe	Kariba	2017	71.0%	78.9%	60.8%
Zimbabwe	Kwekwe	2000	82.9%	87.1%	77.7%
Zimbabwe	Kwekwe	2017	88.2%	91.7%	84.0%
Zimbabwe	Lupane	2000	54.0%	62.9%	46.1%
Zimbabwe	Lupane	2017	68.4%	76.1%	61.2%
Zimbabwe	Makonde	2000	66.2%	73.3%	57.3%
Zimbabwe	Makonde	2017	75.3%	81.5%	68.4%
Zimbabwe	Makoni	2000	53.1%	61.5%	43.0%
Zimbabwe	Makoni	2017	67.5%	75.3%	57.7%
Zimbabwe	Mangwe	2000	66.0%	73.5%	57.2%
Zimbabwe	Mangwe (South)	2017	76.9%	83.1%	69.2%
Zimbabwe	Marondera	2000	78.5%	85.9%	70.5%
Zimbabwe	Marondera	2017	85.8%	91.7%	79.2%
Zimbabwe	Masvingo	2000	74.1%	79.8%	66.7%
Zimbabwe	Masvingo	2017	81.0%	85.9%	74.9%
Zimbabwe	Matobo	2000	71.3%	77.3%	63.4%
Zimbabwe	Matobo	2017	80.0%	85.1%	73.4%
Zimbabwe	Mazowe	2000	73.3%	80.2%	66.3%
Zimbabwe	Mazowe	2017	82.4%	87.2%	76.7%
Zimbabwe	Mberengwa	2000	54.1%	63.8%	43.3%
Zimbabwe	Mberengwa	2017	67.8%	76.3%	57.7%
Zimbabwe	Mount Darwin	2000	45.2%	56.7%	33.2%
Zimbabwe	Mount Darwin	2017	60.3%	70.8%	49.0%
Zimbabwe	Mudzi	2000	46.1%	55.5%	35.7%
Zimbabwe	Mudzi	2017	59.9%	69.4%	49.0%
Zimbabwe	Murehwa	2000	54.2%	66.9%	40.7%
Zimbabwe	Murehwa	2017	69.8%	80.0%	58.4%
Zimbabwe	Mutare	2000	73.7%	79.1%	68.0%
Zimbabwe	Mutare	2017	81.6%	86.5%	76.6%
Zimbabwe	Mutasa	2000	73.3%	80.5%	65.1%
Zimbabwe	Mutasa	2017	76.8%	83.5%	68.8%
Zimbabwe	Mutoko	2000	55.7%	66.8%	46.0%
Zimbabwe	Mutoko	2017	69.5%	78.4%	60.4%
Zimbabwe	Mwenezi	2000	53.7%	62.6%	45.7%
Zimbabwe	Mwenezi	2017	66.5%	73.9%	59.3%
Zimbabwe	Nkayi	2000	47.0%	57.1%	35.7%
Zimbabwe	Nkayi	2017	61.5%	71.9%	49.9%
Zimbabwe	Nyanga	2000	58.8%	67.1%	49.7%
Zimbabwe	Nyanga	2017	70.8%	77.8%	62.5%
Zimbabwe	Rushinga	2000	62.1%	75.1%	48.2%
Zimbabwe	Rushinga	2017	75.0%	85.4%	62.5%
Zimbabwe	Seke	2000	59.0%	74.9%	46.5%
Zimbabwe	Seke	2017	71.2%	83.6%	59.8%
Zimbabwe	Shamva	2000	56.7%	71.0%	43.8%
Zimbabwe	Shamva	2017	69.3%	81.1%	58.6%
Zimbabwe	Shurugwi	2000	74.6%	82.9%	63.6%
Zimbabwe	Shurugwi	2017	83.1%	90.2%	73.4%
Zimbabwe	Tsholotsho	2000	63.9%	72.0%	54.1%
Zimbabwe	Tsholotsho	2017	75.1%	81.7%	67.2%
Zimbabwe	Umguzo	2000	87.3%	92.5%	81.2%
Zimbabwe	Umguzo	2017	92.0%	95.6%	87.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zimbabwe	UMP	2000	52.0%	66.0%	38.6%
Zimbabwe	UMP	2017	67.1%	78.5%	53.8%
Zimbabwe	Umzingwane	2000	66.9%	78.6%	55.1%
Zimbabwe	Umzingwane	2017	76.5%	86.2%	65.6%
Zimbabwe	Wedza	2000	54.5%	67.3%	38.9%
Zimbabwe	Wedza	2017	69.1%	80.4%	55.1%
Zimbabwe	Zaka	2000	49.5%	61.6%	37.8%
Zimbabwe	Zaka	2017	63.4%	74.9%	52.2%
Zimbabwe	Zvimba	2000	75.2%	81.4%	68.4%
Zimbabwe	Zvimba	2017	83.7%	88.6%	78.2%
Zimbabwe	Zvishavane	2000	82.0%	88.4%	72.9%
Zimbabwe	Zvishavane	2017	88.2%	93.6%	80.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Country

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central Europe, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia				
Kyrgyzstan	2000	89.4%	90.7%	88.0%
Kyrgyzstan	2017	85.8%	87.1%	84.4%
Mongolia	2000	54.7%	55.8%	53.7%
Mongolia	2017	84.9%	85.7%	84.0%
Tajikistan	2000	60.6%	62.2%	59.1%
Tajikistan	2017	85.3%	86.6%	84.2%
Turkmenistan	2000	86.9%	88.4%	84.9%
Turkmenistan	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	2000	93.1%	93.5%	92.5%
Uzbekistan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.6%
Latin America and Caribbean				
Bolivia	2000	80.8%	81.2%	80.5%
Bolivia	2017	87.7%	88.1%	87.4%
Brazil	2000	94.7%	94.9%	94.6%
Brazil	2017	94.7%	94.9%	94.6%
Colombia	2000	88.4%	88.9%	87.8%
Colombia	2017	82.0%	82.6%	81.4%
Dominican Republic	2000	93.6%	97.0%	87.1%
Dominican Republic	2017	98.4%	99.4%	95.9%
Ecuador	2000	88.3%	90.9%	85.4%
Ecuador	2017	88.2%	90.8%	85.4%
El Salvador	2000	61.4%	64.0%	58.4%
El Salvador	2017	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Guatemala	2000	84.0%	85.3%	82.6%
Guatemala	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Guyana	2000	78.9%	80.9%	76.5%
Guyana	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.1%
Haiti	2000	60.0%	61.1%	59.0%
Haiti	2017	68.3%	69.4%	67.2%
Honduras	2000	80.1%	81.4%	78.6%
Honduras	2017	93.7%	94.4%	93.0%
Mexico	2000	92.0%	92.5%	91.5%
Mexico	2017	99.0%	99.1%	98.9%
Nicaragua	2000	77.7%	81.5%	73.4%
Nicaragua	2017	78.5%	81.8%	74.7%
Panama	2000	86.7%	88.3%	84.8%
Panama	2017	86.5%	88.2%	84.6%
Paraguay	2000	81.0%	81.8%	79.9%
Paraguay	2017	95.2%	95.5%	94.8%
Peru	2000	74.4%	75.0%	73.7%
Peru	2017	92.2%	92.5%	91.8%
North Africa and Middle East				
Afghanistan	2000	15.2%	16.4%	13.9%
Afghanistan	2017	64.8%	66.6%	62.8%
Algeria	2000	98.5%	98.6%	98.5%
Algeria	2017	98.6%	98.6%	98.5%
Egypt	2000	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Egypt	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Iraq	2000	84.1%	86.3%	81.6%
Iraq	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Jordan	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Jordan	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Libya	2000	93.4%	93.9%	92.9%
Libya	2017	93.3%	93.9%	92.8%
Morocco	2000	75.8%	78.1%	73.7%
Morocco	2017	75.7%	77.8%	73.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Country (continued)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	2000	66.4%	67.1%	65.8%
Sudan	2017	75.8%	76.6%	75.0%
Syria	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Syria	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Tunisia	2000	96.7%	98.0%	94.1%
Tunisia	2017	96.6%	98.0%	93.6%
Yemen	2000	58.8%	60.9%	56.8%
Yemen	2017	92.6%	93.4%	91.6%
South Asia				
Bangladesh	2000	97.7%	98.0%	97.4%
Bangladesh	2017	94.9%	95.5%	94.1%
India	2000	85.1%	85.3%	85.0%
India	2017	91.8%	91.9%	91.6%
Nepal	2000	88.6%	90.1%	87.3%
Nepal	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.8%
Pakistan	2000	54.0%	55.1%	52.8%
Pakistan	2017	94.6%	95.2%	93.9%
Southeast Asia, East Asia, and Oceania				
Cambodia	2000	36.1%	37.6%	34.6%
Cambodia	2017	92.1%	93.2%	90.9%
China	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
China	2017	88.8%	89.4%	88.2%
Indonesia	2000	73.3%	73.5%	73.2%
Indonesia	2017	84.1%	84.3%	84.0%
Laos	2000	46.8%	49.7%	43.7%
Laos	2017	83.9%	85.9%	81.7%
Myanmar	2000	61.4%	62.8%	59.8%
Myanmar	2017	82.9%	83.9%	81.9%
Papua New Guinea	2000	67.7%	70.5%	64.9%
Papua New Guinea	2017	68.2%	71.2%	65.2%
Philippines	2000	84.0%	85.0%	83.0%
Philippines	2017	94.0%	94.4%	93.5%
Sri Lanka	2000	80.3%	83.7%	77.0%
Sri Lanka	2017	90.3%	92.3%	88.4%
Thailand	2000	92.0%	92.5%	91.5%
Thailand	2017	98.8%	98.9%	98.7%
Timor-Leste	2000	67.9%	69.0%	66.7%
Timor-Leste	2017	83.9%	84.5%	83.3%
Vietnam	2000	80.5%	81.7%	79.3%
Vietnam	2017	91.9%	92.6%	91.4%
Sub-Saharan Africa				
Angola	2000	64.4%	66.4%	62.4%
Angola	2017	61.6%	62.7%	60.4%
Benin	2000	55.9%	57.1%	54.8%
Benin	2017	69.1%	70.2%	68.0%
Botswana	2000	97.0%	97.2%	96.7%
Botswana	2017	98.1%	98.3%	98.0%
Burkina Faso	2000	72.2%	73.9%	70.3%
Burkina Faso	2017	73.4%	74.9%	71.7%
Burundi	2000	80.4%	81.3%	79.6%
Burundi	2017	85.7%	86.5%	84.9%
Cameroon	2000	54.3%	55.9%	52.9%
Cameroon	2017	74.0%	75.3%	72.5%
Central African Republic	2000	64.7%	65.9%	63.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Country (continued)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	2017	56.8%	58.2%	55.4%
Chad	2000	47.4%	48.9%	45.8%
Chad	2017	54.2%	55.7%	52.7%
Comoros	2000	94.8%	97.0%	91.2%
Comoros	2017	94.9%	97.1%	91.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	2000	80.5%	81.8%	79.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	2017	77.9%	79.2%	76.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	2000	44.8%	46.0%	43.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	2017	37.9%	39.3%	36.6%
Eritrea	2000	70.1%	71.1%	69.3%
Eritrea	2017	70.2%	71.3%	69.3%
Ethiopia	2000	33.6%	34.5%	32.7%
Ethiopia	2017	70.5%	71.4%	69.6%
Gabon	2000	72.2%	74.9%	69.1%
Gabon	2017	86.5%	88.8%	84.4%
Gambia	2000	86.7%	91.6%	81.2%
Gambia	2017	90.0%	94.9%	83.2%
Ghana	2000	64.7%	65.5%	63.7%
Ghana	2017	88.1%	88.7%	87.6%
Guinea	2000	52.9%	55.5%	50.1%
Guinea	2017	80.1%	81.8%	78.1%
Guinea-Bissau	2000	64.3%	74.8%	54.3%
Guinea-Bissau	2017	55.4%	69.1%	44.1%
Kenya	2000	49.4%	49.9%	48.9%
Kenya	2017	58.7%	59.3%	58.1%
Lesotho	2000	69.2%	70.3%	68.0%
Lesotho	2017	82.4%	83.4%	81.3%
Liberia	2000	31.1%	34.0%	28.0%
Liberia	2017	89.1%	90.6%	87.3%
Madagascar	2000	36.1%	37.8%	34.1%
Madagascar	2017	48.7%	50.1%	47.2%
Malawi	2000	67.0%	67.6%	66.5%
Malawi	2017	88.0%	88.4%	87.6%
Mali	2000	41.6%	42.8%	40.2%
Mali	2017	69.5%	70.6%	68.3%
Mauritania	2000	74.4%	76.0%	72.7%
Mauritania	2017	82.3%	83.8%	80.7%
Mozambique	2000	46.8%	48.5%	45.0%
Mozambique	2017	55.8%	57.4%	54.2%
Namibia	2000	80.5%	81.8%	79.4%
Namibia	2017	83.4%	84.5%	82.3%
Niger	2000	45.3%	46.1%	44.6%
Niger	2017	75.3%	76.1%	74.7%
Nigeria	2000	45.4%	46.1%	44.6%
Nigeria	2017	69.1%	69.6%	68.6%
Republic of Congo	2000	39.4%	45.7%	34.9%
Republic of Congo	2017	74.5%	78.2%	70.5%
Rwanda	2000	65.6%	66.0%	65.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Country (*continued*)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Rwanda	2017	76.5%	76.9%	76.0%
Senegal	2000	59.7%	60.9%	58.8%
Senegal	2017	70.6%	71.7%	69.7%
Sierra Leone	2000	54.7%	56.7%	52.7%
Sierra Leone	2017	62.2%	64.3%	60.1%
Somalia	2000	39.7%	42.9%	36.5%
Somalia	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
South Africa	2000	83.2%	83.4%	83.0%
South Africa	2017	92.4%	92.5%	92.2%
South Sudan	2000	37.1%	38.9%	35.4%
South Sudan	2017	36.7%	37.5%	35.9%
Swaziland	2000	54.4%	56.5%	52.2%
Swaziland	2017	76.2%	78.3%	73.7%
Tanzania	2000	42.3%	43.4%	41.0%
Tanzania	2017	68.5%	69.7%	67.3%
Togo	2000	46.3%	50.4%	42.2%
Togo	2017	68.0%	73.7%	61.6%
Uganda	2000	61.8%	62.9%	61.0%
Uganda	2017	79.6%	80.6%	78.6%
Zambia	2000	41.6%	43.2%	40.2%
Zambia	2017	64.1%	65.5%	62.6%
Zimbabwe	2000	68.7%	70.3%	66.9%
Zimbabwe	2017	78.0%	79.3%	76.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central Europe, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia					
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Suu	2000	88.5%	96.0%	74.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Suu	2017	83.0%	92.8%	65.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Talaa	2000	73.5%	87.6%	60.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Talaa	2017	64.9%	79.7%	53.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Aksyi	2000	97.8%	98.7%	96.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Aksyi	2017	96.4%	97.9%	93.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Ala-Buka	2000	83.0%	90.3%	75.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Ala-Buka	2017	76.5%	85.0%	67.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Alai	2000	75.0%	91.6%	59.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Alai	2017	70.9%	87.5%	56.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Alamüdüń	2000	90.5%	97.6%	83.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Alamüdüń	2017	89.0%	96.8%	82.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Aravan	2000	87.1%	95.7%	81.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Aravan	2017	85.7%	93.1%	78.0%
Kyrgyzstan	At-Bashi	2000	64.8%	71.7%	57.4%
Kyrgyzstan	At-Bashi	2017	59.2%	64.6%	53.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Bakai-Ata	2000	87.2%	91.8%	81.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Bakai-Ata	2017	78.0%	83.9%	70.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Batken	2000	74.7%	88.5%	58.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Batken	2017	61.5%	78.6%	43.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Bazar-Korgon	2000	93.2%	96.0%	88.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Bazar-Korgon	2017	90.1%	93.9%	84.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Biškeek	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Biškeek	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Chatkal	2000	77.2%	92.8%	59.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Chatkal	2017	68.5%	87.6%	47.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Chong-Alay	2000	67.0%	99.8%	18.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Chong-Alay	2017	60.2%	99.4%	11.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Chui	2000	78.9%	91.7%	65.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Chui	2017	74.3%	88.7%	63.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Djety-Oguz	2000	79.6%	89.5%	70.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Djety-Oguz	2017	75.5%	84.8%	67.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Jaiyl	2000	89.0%	98.1%	76.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Jaiyl	2017	86.6%	96.9%	75.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Jungal	2000	71.0%	87.1%	58.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Jungal	2017	66.3%	81.1%	56.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Kadamjai	2000	77.4%	83.4%	70.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Kadamjai	2017	68.5%	74.7%	62.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Buura	2000	95.6%	98.9%	91.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Buura	2017	91.7%	96.6%	85.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Kuldja	2000	80.9%	92.8%	66.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Kuldja	2017	74.6%	88.7%	58.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Suu	2000	93.3%	95.8%	91.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Suu	2017	88.9%	92.1%	85.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Kemin	2000	90.5%	96.7%	83.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Kemin	2017	86.8%	94.2%	78.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Kochkor	2000	83.9%	89.9%	79.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Kochkor	2017	80.8%	85.8%	76.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Lailak	2000	84.5%	90.5%	78.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Lailak	2017	78.4%	84.8%	72.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Manas	2000	86.1%	93.5%	75.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Manas	2017	75.2%	86.9%	62.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Moskovsky	2000	91.6%	97.8%	83.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Moskovsky	2017	87.3%	95.5%	78.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Naryn	2000	81.6%	92.5%	72.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Naryn	2017	76.2%	88.6%	68.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Nookat	2000	85.4%	91.3%	79.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Nookat	2017	82.0%	87.8%	76.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Nooken	2000	95.8%	99.4%	89.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Nooken	2017	92.0%	97.4%	84.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Osh	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kyrgyzstan	Osh	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Panfilov	2000	96.3%	98.2%	94.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Panfilov	2017	95.3%	97.5%	93.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Sokuluk	2000	95.3%	98.0%	92.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Sokuluk	2017	93.5%	96.2%	90.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Song-Kol	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Song-Kol	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Suzak	2000	95.8%	99.1%	91.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Suzak	2017	92.1%	97.4%	86.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Talas	2000	85.9%	91.3%	79.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Talas	2017	72.3%	77.8%	65.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Togus-Toro	2000	77.2%	88.7%	63.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Togus-Toro	2017	73.2%	85.4%	57.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Toktogul	2000	92.9%	97.0%	87.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Toktogul	2017	89.3%	94.3%	83.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Ton	2000	91.8%	96.0%	86.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Ton	2017	89.6%	94.0%	85.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Tüp	2000	94.5%	98.4%	86.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Tüp	2017	92.2%	96.8%	83.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Uzgen	2000	71.5%	79.9%	63.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Uzgen	2017	63.6%	71.3%	56.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Ata	2000	86.7%	97.3%	75.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Ata	2017	84.4%	95.0%	74.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl	2000	93.0%	96.5%	86.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl	2017	88.6%	93.5%	80.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl (lake)	2000	86.3%	93.1%	81.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl (lake)	2017	82.6%	89.0%	77.4%
Mongolia	Adaatsag	2000	30.5%	37.4%	23.1%
Mongolia	Adaatsag	2017	69.7%	76.9%	60.1%
Mongolia	Airag	2000	36.5%	42.2%	31.4%
Mongolia	Airag	2000	43.7%	49.4%	37.5%
Mongolia	Airag	2017	80.4%	84.7%	75.6%
Mongolia	Airag	2017	76.3%	81.9%	70.0%
Mongolia	Alag-Erdene	2000	26.3%	33.4%	20.2%
Mongolia	Alag-Erdene	2017	56.8%	64.1%	48.3%
Mongolia	Aldarkhaan	2000	22.4%	26.7%	18.8%
Mongolia	Aldarkhaan	2017	49.1%	53.1%	45.4%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	21.3%	24.1%	18.6%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	14.0%	17.9%	10.7%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	23.2%	27.4%	19.3%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	56.4%	60.2%	52.1%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	46.0%	55.5%	36.5%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	61.2%	68.5%	52.8%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2000	57.9%	64.6%	50.3%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2000	49.0%	62.3%	37.6%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2017	83.8%	92.3%	73.1%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2017	87.7%	90.7%	83.6%
Mongolia	Altanshiree	2000	58.2%	64.3%	51.6%
Mongolia	Altanshiree	2017	78.4%	83.2%	72.6%
Mongolia	Altantsögts	2000	22.8%	32.7%	15.1%
Mongolia	Altantsögts	2017	59.5%	71.4%	46.2%
Mongolia	Arbulag	2000	23.8%	30.4%	17.9%
Mongolia	Arbulag	2017	57.7%	66.8%	49.5%
Mongolia	Argalant	2000	54.6%	70.6%	38.2%
Mongolia	Argalant	2017	82.1%	90.2%	72.4%
Mongolia	Arkhusht	2000	57.4%	73.2%	42.7%
Mongolia	Arkhusht	2017	87.6%	94.2%	78.1%
Mongolia	Arvaikheer	2000	47.8%	56.1%	40.2%
Mongolia	Arvaikheer	2017	83.0%	87.9%	76.5%
Mongolia	Asgat	2000	25.4%	37.5%	15.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Asgat	2000	35.3%	43.2%	29.3%
Mongolia	Asgat	2017	61.8%	76.0%	47.8%
Mongolia	Asgat	2017	74.0%	80.1%	66.2%
Mongolia	Baatsagaan	2000	25.5%	31.7%	19.9%
Mongolia	Baatsagaan	2017	63.7%	72.4%	55.4%
Mongolia	Baruun	2000	26.1%	32.3%	19.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ulaan				
Mongolia	Baruun	2017	64.0%	72.6%	52.8%
Mongolia	Baruun-Ulaan				
Mongolia	Baruunbüren	2000	41.2%	54.5%	28.3%
Mongolia	Baruunbüren	2017	76.7%	86.0%	65.5%
Mongolia	Baruuntutuun	2000	34.1%	46.8%	25.0%
Mongolia	Baruuntutuun	2017	69.4%	79.8%	56.6%
Mongolia	Bat-Ölzii	2000	23.1%	30.3%	16.9%
Mongolia	Bat-Ölzii	2017	58.2%	66.9%	48.0%
Mongolia	Batnorov	2000	41.5%	48.9%	35.2%
Mongolia	Batnorov	2017	75.2%	80.1%	69.9%
Mongolia	Batshireet	2000	29.8%	36.4%	24.2%
Mongolia	Batshireet	2017	65.0%	72.6%	58.1%
Mongolia	Batsumber	2000	47.4%	61.3%	33.7%
Mongolia	Batsumber	2017	80.7%	86.9%	72.4%
Mongolia	Battsengel	2000	23.0%	34.1%	15.5%
Mongolia	Battsengel	2017	56.4%	68.9%	42.1%
Mongolia	Bayan	2000	54.3%	72.6%	34.0%
Mongolia	Bayan	2017	86.5%	94.5%	69.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Adarga	2000	30.5%	39.8%	23.3%
Mongolia	Bayan-Adarga	2017	63.0%	72.0%	52.2%
Mongolia	Bayan-Agt	2000	34.1%	41.9%	26.2%
Mongolia	Bayan-Agt	2017	69.1%	76.8%	60.8%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	30.0%	37.9%	22.9%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	11.9%	14.7%	9.8%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	67.1%	76.3%	58.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	90.9%	95.3%	83.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	41.2%	50.1%	33.9%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	69.7%	80.6%	60.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Önjüül	2000	37.4%	45.4%	29.5%
Mongolia	Bayan-Önjüül	2017	76.5%	82.6%	68.7%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	41.7%	49.6%	32.6%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	29.3%	37.3%	22.5%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	29.7%	34.9%	25.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	76.9%	83.8%	67.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	68.5%	76.9%	58.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	67.6%	73.9%	61.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2000	19.7%	27.7%	14.6%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2000	26.9%	32.5%	21.9%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2017	54.6%	64.9%	42.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2017	60.2%	68.1%	52.2%
Mongolia	Bayanbulag	2000	18.2%	25.5%	12.8%
Mongolia	Bayanbulag	2017	53.4%	66.8%	38.9%
Mongolia	Bayanchandmani	2000	55.8%	69.6%	40.1%
Mongolia	Bayanchandmani	2017	87.8%	93.0%	80.6%
Mongolia	Bayandalai	2000	25.5%	30.4%	21.1%
Mongolia	Bayandalai	2017	63.3%	70.5%	55.8%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2000	32.7%	38.6%	27.9%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2000	51.2%	62.0%	39.9%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2017	72.0%	77.3%	67.2%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2017	85.7%	91.3%	78.4%
Mongolia	Bayandun	2000	23.1%	29.0%	18.4%
Mongolia	Bayandun	2017	55.7%	62.9%	47.5%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2000	31.6%	40.6%	24.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Bayangol	2000	34.5%	48.3%	21.8%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2017	70.8%	80.4%	59.4%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2017	70.1%	78.0%	58.8%
Mongolia	Bayangovi	2000	20.9%	27.4%	14.8%
Mongolia	Bayangovi	2017	59.8%	71.1%	45.9%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2000	37.3%	46.2%	28.8%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2000	44.9%	54.2%	37.1%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2017	75.2%	83.2%	66.1%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2017	81.7%	86.9%	74.4%
Mongolia	Bayankhairkhan	2000	27.8%	36.1%	20.9%
Mongolia	Bayankhairkhan	2017	62.2%	71.2%	52.5%
Mongolia	Bayankhangai	2000	54.6%	73.4%	33.5%
Mongolia	Bayankhangai	2017	85.8%	93.4%	71.8%
Mongolia	Bayankhongor	2000	43.0%	46.5%	39.2%
Mongolia	Bayankhongor	2017	80.3%	82.7%	77.9%
Mongolia	Bayankhutag	2000	70.0%	76.6%	61.0%
Mongolia	Bayankhutag	2017	91.4%	93.4%	88.6%
Mongolia	Bayanlig	2000	23.6%	28.8%	19.2%
Mongolia	Bayanlig	2017	61.4%	71.6%	49.7%
Mongolia	Bayanmönkh	2000	28.5%	36.6%	21.1%
Mongolia	Bayanmönkh	2017	66.4%	74.8%	57.6%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2000	34.2%	46.4%	22.7%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2000	24.0%	31.3%	16.8%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2017	71.1%	84.9%	51.8%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2017	63.1%	73.0%	50.6%
Mongolia	Bayantal	2000	54.5%	72.3%	38.0%
Mongolia	Bayantal	2017	87.4%	94.7%	73.9%
Mongolia	Bayantes	2000	32.8%	40.3%	26.5%
Mongolia	Bayantes	2017	71.1%	80.0%	60.8%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2000	19.3%	23.6%	15.5%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2000	41.5%	49.0%	33.1%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2017	78.2%	83.9%	72.2%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2017	53.0%	60.1%	44.4%
Mongolia	Bayantsogt	2000	51.2%	64.1%	37.5%
Mongolia	Bayantsogt	2017	84.6%	91.5%	72.6%
Mongolia	Bayantümen	2000	54.1%	59.6%	48.5%
Mongolia	Bayantümen	2017	82.7%	87.0%	77.6%
Mongolia	Bayanzürkh	2000	15.9%	20.9%	11.6%
Mongolia	Bayanzürkh	2017	43.8%	53.8%	35.6%
Mongolia	Biger	2000	21.0%	26.3%	15.7%
Mongolia	Biger	2017	58.2%	66.8%	48.4%
Mongolia	Binder	2000	28.6%	33.2%	23.1%
Mongolia	Binder	2017	61.6%	66.7%	54.7%
Mongolia	Bogd	2000	24.3%	28.4%	20.4%
Mongolia	Bogd	2000	24.5%	30.0%	19.1%
Mongolia	Bogd	2017	61.3%	68.0%	54.4%
Mongolia	Bogd	2017	62.5%	70.0%	53.3%
Mongolia	Bökhmörön	2000	24.4%	32.4%	18.1%
Mongolia	Bökhmörön	2017	62.1%	71.0%	53.9%
Mongolia	Bömbögör	2000	23.2%	29.5%	17.6%
Mongolia	Bömbögör	2017	60.8%	68.6%	52.8%
Mongolia	Bornuur	2000	36.0%	51.8%	23.0%
Mongolia	Bornuur	2017	78.1%	85.8%	68.3%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	63.4%	75.1%	51.8%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	23.6%	28.0%	19.6%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	42.9%	51.1%	34.8%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	58.2%	64.9%	49.8%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	81.3%	86.6%	74.5%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	89.0%	93.8%	82.2%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	21.0%	31.3%	13.6%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	17.7%	23.5%	13.6%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	28.7%	37.2%	22.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	27.8%	33.4%	22.6%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	31.8%	38.6%	26.3%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	67.2%	78.3%	55.6%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	70.4%	76.2%	62.7%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	52.0%	58.9%	45.1%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	51.4%	64.2%	38.3%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	65.5%	73.7%	57.3%
Mongolia	Büрд	2000	40.2%	48.8%	30.8%
Mongolia	Büрд	2017	78.0%	85.8%	67.4%
Mongolia	Büрегkhangai	2000	35.2%	45.7%	24.9%
Mongolia	Büрегkhangai	2017	69.6%	79.9%	57.2%
Mongolia	Büren	2000	32.3%	41.1%	23.9%
Mongolia	Büren	2017	71.8%	80.4%	61.4%
Mongolia	Bürentogtokh	2000	33.5%	41.6%	26.2%
Mongolia	Bürentogtokh	2017	66.9%	74.9%	58.0%
Mongolia	Buutsagaan	2000	20.8%	27.0%	15.9%
Mongolia	Buutsagaan	2017	58.1%	67.0%	47.4%
Mongolia	Buyant	2000	51.9%	57.7%	46.7%
Mongolia	Buyant	2000	12.6%	18.9%	7.6%
Mongolia	Buyant	2017	40.6%	51.9%	29.6%
Mongolia	Buyant	2017	81.9%	84.7%	78.9%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2000	25.1%	30.7%	20.1%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2000	17.9%	23.3%	13.8%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2017	64.7%	71.8%	57.2%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2017	50.0%	58.6%	41.4%
Mongolia	Chandmani-Öндөр	2000	23.5%	30.1%	17.2%
Mongolia	Chandmani-Öндөр	2017	52.8%	59.9%	45.8%
Mongolia	Choibalsan	2000	35.5%	43.4%	26.7%
Mongolia	Choibalsan	2017	70.2%	78.1%	60.4%
Mongolia	Chuluunkhoroot	2000	33.0%	42.5%	25.4%
Mongolia	Chuluunkhoroot	2017	65.6%	75.4%	55.1%
Mongolia	Chuluut	2000	13.1%	18.7%	9.0%
Mongolia	Chuluut	2017	40.7%	50.9%	31.2%
Mongolia	Dadal	2000	26.9%	34.9%	20.3%
Mongolia	Dadal	2017	58.4%	64.8%	51.7%
Mongolia	Dalanjargalan	2000	42.4%	50.2%	35.2%
Mongolia	Dalanjargalan	2017	79.4%	84.8%	72.4%
Mongolia	Dalanzadgad	2000	64.4%	70.6%	57.8%
Mongolia	Dalanzadgad	2017	86.0%	89.9%	81.0%
Mongolia	Dariganga	2000	36.7%	43.3%	29.6%
Mongolia	Dariganga	2017	74.4%	81.6%	64.7%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2000	73.0%	76.5%	70.1%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2000	41.2%	49.1%	33.9%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2017	91.3%	93.5%	88.8%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2017	78.4%	85.2%	69.6%
Mongolia	Darvi	2000	22.7%	30.2%	16.7%
Mongolia	Darvi	2000	21.5%	26.2%	16.9%
Mongolia	Darvi	2017	59.8%	72.0%	44.6%
Mongolia	Darvi	2017	57.3%	66.7%	47.9%
Mongolia	Dashbalbar	2000	26.9%	33.1%	22.0%
Mongolia	Dashbalbar	2017	61.9%	71.0%	53.9%
Mongolia	Dashinchilen	2000	35.4%	50.5%	24.3%
Mongolia	Dashinchilen	2017	71.5%	85.7%	55.1%
Mongolia	Davst	2000	29.6%	38.7%	22.4%
Mongolia	Davst	2017	63.1%	70.7%	55.3%
Mongolia	Delger	2000	22.2%	29.1%	16.7%
Mongolia	Delger	2017	54.6%	62.6%	45.9%
Mongolia	Delgerekh	2000	31.8%	39.0%	25.4%
Mongolia	Delgerekh	2017	70.5%	77.6%	60.7%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2000	31.0%	39.9%	23.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2000	35.6%	48.4%	25.2%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2017	70.5%	79.6%	59.9%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2017	70.8%	81.6%	57.9%
Mongolia	Delgerkhangai	2000	32.2%	38.7%	26.3%
Mongolia	Delgerkhangai	2017	70.4%	78.1%	61.7%
Mongolia	Delgertsogt	2000	42.4%	52.3%	32.6%
Mongolia	Delgertsogt	2017	80.4%	87.2%	70.4%
Mongolia	Delüün	2000	22.0%	26.6%	17.9%
Mongolia	Delüün	2017	64.2%	70.7%	58.2%
Mongolia	Deren	2000	36.5%	44.1%	29.1%
Mongolia	Deren	2017	75.3%	81.9%	67.3%
Mongolia	Dörgön	2000	22.3%	28.8%	16.9%
Mongolia	Dörgön	2017	58.3%	66.8%	49.0%
Mongolia	Dörvöljin	2000	17.1%	21.2%	13.7%
Mongolia	Dörvöljin	2017	53.3%	64.7%	41.3%
Mongolia	Duut	2000	18.6%	25.8%	13.0%
Mongolia	Duut	2017	51.8%	67.8%	35.8%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	46.4%	52.9%	39.6%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	11.1%	13.0%	9.7%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	40.7%	52.9%	29.0%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	80.1%	83.8%	74.8%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	77.1%	83.8%	68.8%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	32.2%	35.3%	28.8%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2000	27.6%	34.2%	22.4%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2000	32.0%	36.8%	26.7%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2017	67.0%	73.6%	57.8%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2017	58.9%	67.2%	51.7%
Mongolia	Erdenebüren	2000	22.4%	28.7%	16.6%
Mongolia	Erdenebüren	2017	57.8%	67.6%	46.8%
Mongolia	Erdenedalai	2000	37.7%	42.6%	32.1%
Mongolia	Erdenedalai	2017	75.9%	80.4%	70.0%
Mongolia	Erdenehairkhan	2000	19.5%	24.5%	15.0%
Mongolia	Erdenehairkhan	2017	54.7%	61.9%	47.0%
Mongolia	Erdenemandal	2000	20.0%	27.2%	14.2%
Mongolia	Erdenemandal	2017	51.6%	61.9%	39.9%
Mongolia	Erdenesant	2000	48.0%	56.8%	38.0%
Mongolia	Erdenesant	2017	84.7%	90.2%	75.7%
Mongolia	Erdenetsagaan	2000	35.4%	40.0%	31.3%
Mongolia	Erdenetsagaan	2017	74.1%	78.6%	68.6%
Mongolia	Erdenetsogt	2000	17.6%	25.4%	12.6%
Mongolia	Erdenetsogt	2017	44.9%	52.7%	37.6%
Mongolia	Galshar	2000	32.0%	37.8%	26.6%
Mongolia	Galshar	2017	70.0%	75.6%	64.1%
Mongolia	Galt	2000	22.1%	35.2%	13.9%
Mongolia	Galt	2017	53.8%	66.4%	40.1%
Mongolia	Galuu	2000	20.6%	25.7%	16.2%
Mongolia	Galuu	2017	56.9%	65.8%	48.7%
Mongolia	Govi-Ugtaal	2000	31.7%	40.9%	23.6%
Mongolia	Govi-Ugtaal	2017	70.7%	79.6%	60.9%
Mongolia	Guchin-Us	2000	29.6%	37.8%	23.1%
Mongolia	Guchin-Us	2017	67.8%	76.0%	57.0%
Mongolia	Gurvan tes	2000	26.5%	31.3%	22.3%
Mongolia	Gurvan tes	2017	64.7%	71.4%	56.5%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2000	30.6%	41.2%	22.0%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2000	16.9%	22.2%	12.0%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2017	64.7%	74.0%	54.3%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2017	51.5%	61.9%	40.5%
Mongolia	Gurvansaikhan	2000	34.7%	41.3%	28.9%
Mongolia	Gurvansaikhan	2017	72.2%	77.1%	66.8%
Mongolia	Gurvanzagal	2000	27.5%	33.1%	21.3%
Mongolia	Gurvanzagal	2017	63.3%	69.8%	56.0%
Mongolia	Ider	2000	17.8%	23.1%	13.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Ider	2017	49.1%	57.6%	40.0%
Mongolia	Ikh-Tamir	2000	24.2%	29.7%	19.2%
Mongolia	Ikh-Tamir	2017	55.6%	63.0%	48.0%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2000	18.8%	27.0%	12.8%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2000	31.1%	47.4%	19.4%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2017	35.7%	46.0%	27.8%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2017	69.0%	82.6%	49.2%
Mongolia	Ikhkheth	2000	30.3%	37.6%	24.4%
Mongolia	Ikhkheth	2017	68.3%	76.2%	59.6%
Mongolia	Jargalan	2000	20.5%	27.3%	15.1%
Mongolia	Jargalan	2017	56.7%	65.9%	46.2%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	35.5%	38.2%	32.8%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	48.8%	62.8%	36.1%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	45.2%	56.4%	34.9%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	17.6%	24.4%	12.3%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	20.0%	31.2%	11.3%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	18.4%	22.9%	14.5%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	81.4%	87.3%	73.7%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	46.6%	59.9%	33.7%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	72.9%	75.2%	70.2%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	59.1%	66.1%	52.3%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	81.7%	88.6%	72.1%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	52.2%	67.1%	37.1%
Mongolia	Jargaltkhaan	2000	48.4%	60.3%	36.9%
Mongolia	Jargaltkhaan	2017	84.1%	91.1%	73.0%
Mongolia	Javkhlan	2000	51.5%	63.4%	38.3%
Mongolia	Javkhlan	2017	82.0%	90.5%	70.1%
Mongolia	Jinst	2000	25.5%	31.3%	20.0%
Mongolia	Jinst	2017	63.2%	72.9%	52.7%
Mongolia	Khairkhan	2000	25.6%	38.2%	17.4%
Mongolia	Khairkhan	2017	61.4%	74.5%	46.9%
Mongolia	Khairkhandulaan	2000	34.5%	43.7%	27.4%
Mongolia	Khairkhandulaan	2017	73.9%	82.9%	63.4%
Mongolia	Khaliun	2000	20.0%	25.4%	15.9%
Mongolia	Khaliun	2017	54.1%	61.3%	46.5%
Mongolia	Khalkhgo	2000	41.0%	57.3%	27.8%
Mongolia	Khalkhgo	2017	76.7%	85.9%	60.6%
Mongolia	Khalzan	2000	35.8%	43.1%	28.9%
Mongolia	Khalzan	2017	74.2%	81.6%	64.8%
Mongolia	Khan khongor	2000	38.1%	43.3%	32.7%
Mongolia	Khan khongor	2017	71.1%	77.2%	64.2%
Mongolia	Khanbogd	2000	35.3%	41.1%	29.6%
Mongolia	Khanbogd	2017	72.8%	79.9%	64.2%
Mongolia	Khangai	2000	15.3%	21.4%	10.3%
Mongolia	Khangai	2017	45.2%	55.3%	33.9%
Mongolia	Khangal	2000	41.6%	61.7%	24.2%
Mongolia	Khangal	2017	79.0%	89.5%	61.9%
Mongolia	Khankh	2000	28.1%	38.2%	19.9%
Mongolia	Khankh	2017	59.6%	73.4%	45.9%
Mongolia	Kharkhorin	2000	41.0%	48.2%	33.9%
Mongolia	Kharkhorin	2017	78.0%	83.4%	70.7%
Mongolia	Khashaat	2000	30.7%	40.9%	22.4%
Mongolia	Khashaat	2017	66.0%	76.5%	53.6%
Mongolia	Khatanbulag	2000	25.7%	28.6%	23.0%
Mongolia	Khatanbulag	2017	63.1%	67.5%	58.8%
Mongolia	Khatgal	2000	21.3%	37.0%	9.4%
Mongolia	Khatgal	2017	47.3%	63.8%	32.2%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2000	67.6%	70.5%	64.6%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2000	46.7%	52.3%	40.9%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2017	83.0%	86.9%	77.5%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2017	89.9%	91.8%	87.7%
Mongolia	Khishig-Öndör	2000	34.4%	47.8%	23.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Khishig-Öndör	2017	70.1%	82.3%	53.2%
Mongolia	Khökh morit	2000	19.2%	24.5%	15.0%
Mongolia	Khökh morit	2017	56.1%	65.4%	45.6%
Mongolia	Khölönbuir	2000	31.9%	38.4%	25.4%
Mongolia	Khölönbuir	2017	74.7%	80.4%	67.6%
Mongolia	Khongor	2000	54.8%	70.2%	44.5%
Mongolia	Khongor	2017	85.3%	91.5%	76.4%
Mongolia	Khotont	2000	28.1%	36.1%	20.6%
Mongolia	Khotont	2017	63.6%	74.5%	49.2%
Mongolia	Khovd	2000	76.8%	80.7%	72.3%
Mongolia	Khovd	2000	25.3%	32.8%	18.3%
Mongolia	Khovd	2017	64.4%	74.1%	55.6%
Mongolia	Khovd	2017	83.2%	87.6%	78.4%
Mongolia	Khövsgöl	2000	27.6%	33.0%	23.3%
Mongolia	Khövsgöl	2017	64.3%	70.2%	57.8%
Mongolia	Khüder	2000	30.2%	40.6%	22.3%
Mongolia	Khüder	2017	66.0%	75.7%	55.8%
Mongolia	Khujirt	2000	36.7%	56.8%	22.0%
Mongolia	Khujirt	2017	70.0%	77.5%	58.6%
Mongolia	Khuld	2000	37.9%	44.1%	32.0%
Mongolia	Khuld	2017	75.8%	81.0%	69.7%
Mongolia	Khüreemara	2000	17.0%	21.7%	12.9%
Mongolia	Khüreemara	2017	49.2%	60.9%	38.1%
Mongolia	Khürmen	2000	25.9%	30.6%	21.9%
Mongolia	Khürmen	2017	63.5%	69.3%	57.4%
Mongolia	Khushaat	2000	35.9%	45.0%	26.2%
Mongolia	Khushaat	2017	71.4%	80.4%	59.8%
Mongolia	Khutag-Öndör	2000	36.5%	46.7%	28.0%
Mongolia	Khutag-Öndör	2017	70.7%	78.8%	62.2%
Mongolia	Khyargas	2000	30.5%	37.9%	24.1%
Mongolia	Khyargas	2017	69.8%	77.9%	62.9%
Mongolia	Lün	2000	51.9%	70.7%	32.8%
Mongolia	Lün	2017	84.8%	93.6%	67.8%
Mongolia	Luus	2000	42.0%	50.0%	33.5%
Mongolia	Luus	2017	79.8%	85.4%	72.1%
Mongolia	Malchin	2000	24.9%	31.9%	18.8%
Mongolia	Malchin	2017	62.4%	71.2%	52.3%
Mongolia	Mandakh	2000	24.4%	29.8%	19.7%
Mongolia	Mandakh	2017	63.8%	70.2%	55.9%
Mongolia	Mandal	2000	37.7%	46.4%	28.6%
Mongolia	Mandal	2017	76.1%	82.2%	68.8%
Mongolia	Mandal-Ovoo	2000	29.6%	37.2%	22.8%
Mongolia	Mandal-Ovoo	2017	66.8%	78.0%	51.7%
Mongolia	Mankhan	2000	25.5%	33.3%	19.1%
Mongolia	Mankhan	2017	60.7%	68.5%	53.8%
Mongolia	Manlai	2000	28.6%	33.0%	24.3%
Mongolia	Manlai	2017	63.8%	73.2%	53.2%
Mongolia	Matad	2000	26.9%	30.3%	23.7%
Mongolia	Matad	2017	64.0%	72.1%	56.1%
Mongolia	Mogod	2000	29.2%	45.7%	17.8%
Mongolia	Mogod	2017	62.2%	82.2%	42.4%
Mongolia	Möngönmorit	2000	31.7%	37.6%	25.3%
Mongolia	Möngönmorit	2017	66.5%	73.3%	59.2%
Mongolia	Mönkhkhairkhan	2000	18.8%	26.7%	12.6%
Mongolia	Mönkhkhairkhan	2017	53.3%	72.1%	34.4%
Mongolia	Mörön	2000	46.1%	54.9%	37.3%
Mongolia	Mörön	2000	41.4%	46.7%	36.9%
Mongolia	Mörön	2017	74.8%	79.5%	69.8%
Mongolia	Mörön	2017	82.3%	88.9%	74.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Möst	2000	21.3%	29.9%	14.1%
Mongolia	Möst	2017	57.4%	70.8%	43.7%
Mongolia	Myangad	2000	25.3%	34.4%	17.4%
Mongolia	Myangad	2017	64.0%	73.5%	53.2%
Mongolia	Naran	2000	34.6%	42.6%	26.9%
Mongolia	Naran	2017	73.0%	80.9%	64.9%
Mongolia	Naranbulag	2000	24.5%	31.0%	19.9%
Mongolia	Naranbulag	2017	62.7%	70.9%	54.0%
Mongolia	Nariinteel	2000	34.0%	44.0%	25.2%
Mongolia	Nariinteel	2017	71.9%	81.2%	61.8%
Mongolia	Nogoonnuur	2000	25.5%	32.9%	18.6%
Mongolia	Nogoonnuur	2017	63.4%	71.7%	54.6%
Mongolia	Nomgon	2000	25.3%	28.9%	22.0%
Mongolia	Nomgon	2017	62.7%	67.5%	57.8%
Mongolia	Nömrög	2000	23.4%	31.2%	17.7%
Mongolia	Nömrög	2017	58.5%	70.6%	45.4%
Mongolia	Norovlin	2000	29.6%	41.4%	20.5%
Mongolia	Norovlin	2017	64.9%	78.1%	48.7%
Mongolia	Noyon	2000	28.1%	33.5%	23.1%
Mongolia	Noyon	2017	65.0%	72.3%	56.5%
Mongolia	Ögii nuur	2000	24.0%	35.1%	15.3%
Mongolia	Ögii nuur	2017	57.1%	74.6%	40.7%
Mongolia	Ölgii	2000	24.3%	35.3%	16.4%
Mongolia	Ölgii	2017	62.8%	74.4%	49.6%
Mongolia	Ölgii (city)	2000	29.1%	34.6%	24.5%
Mongolia	Ölgii (city)	2017	71.2%	77.3%	64.1%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	33.8%	42.3%	24.3%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	45.2%	53.9%	35.8%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	24.8%	33.1%	17.8%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	34.3%	38.5%	30.4%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	55.6%	66.5%	46.0%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	77.9%	83.2%	71.5%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	72.5%	76.8%	68.1%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	70.9%	79.6%	61.1%
Mongolia	Ömnödelger	2000	33.6%	39.1%	28.1%
Mongolia	Ömnödelger	2017	69.4%	76.2%	61.3%
Mongolia	Ömnögovi	2000	22.0%	28.2%	16.3%
Mongolia	Ömnögovi	2017	57.3%	68.3%	45.4%
Mongolia	Öndör-Ulaan	2000	18.2%	23.6%	12.9%
Mongolia	Öndör-Ulaan	2017	49.2%	56.4%	40.5%
Mongolia	Öndörkhagai	2000	24.7%	36.3%	17.1%
Mongolia	Öndörkhagai	2017	60.4%	71.7%	46.1%
Mongolia	Öndörshil	2000	30.7%	37.2%	24.6%
Mongolia	Öndörshil	2017	69.1%	76.7%	60.7%
Mongolia	Öndörshireet	2000	41.8%	51.7%	31.4%
Mongolia	Öndörshireet	2017	78.6%	86.1%	69.7%
Mongolia	Ongon	2000	32.8%	38.8%	27.1%
Mongolia	Ongon	2017	71.1%	77.8%	62.9%
Mongolia	Örgön	2000	70.7%	79.2%	62.0%
Mongolia	Örgön	2017	90.5%	93.2%	87.3%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	41.9%	48.4%	36.5%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	35.6%	51.4%	22.0%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	50.5%	64.3%	37.6%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	66.6%	76.7%	54.0%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	81.4%	85.1%	77.4%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	80.9%	89.6%	68.4%
Mongolia	Orkhontuul	2000	37.0%	49.4%	27.3%
Mongolia	Orkhontuul	2017	71.3%	79.1%	62.3%
Mongolia	Otgon	2000	15.7%	20.4%	12.4%
Mongolia	Otgon	2017	45.1%	57.8%	32.1%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2000	32.0%	47.8%	19.5%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2000	220326.2%	34.8%	19.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Rashaant	2017	59.2%	69.5%	47.9%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2017	72.6%	84.3%	56.9%
Mongolia	Renchinlkhümbe	2000	23.8%	29.5%	18.6%
Mongolia	Renchinlkhümbe	2017	57.0%	65.1%	48.4%
Mongolia	Sagil	2000	28.7%	35.3%	23.4%
Mongolia	Sagil	2017	66.1%	73.7%	57.9%
Mongolia	Sagsai	2000	26.3%	33.5%	20.2%
Mongolia	Sagsai	2017	65.9%	73.2%	58.2%
Mongolia	Saikhan	2000	37.1%	49.7%	25.4%
Mongolia	Saikhan	2017	71.3%	80.5%	58.3%
Mongolia	Saikhan-Ovoo	2000	30.9%	37.3%	24.3%
Mongolia	Saikhan-Ovoo	2017	69.0%	76.5%	59.6%
Mongolia	Saikhandulaan	2000	32.7%	37.3%	27.7%
Mongolia	Saikhandulaan	2017	70.6%	75.4%	65.1%
Mongolia	Sainshand	2000	62.9%	70.5%	55.1%
Mongolia	Sainshand	2017	85.3%	89.2%	80.1%
Mongolia	Saintsagaan	2000	48.5%	55.4%	41.1%
Mongolia	Saintsagaan	2017	82.1%	86.4%	76.3%
Mongolia	Sant	2000	43.4%	57.1%	31.1%
Mongolia	Sant	2000	27.5%	35.2%	21.3%
Mongolia	Sant	2017	74.6%	87.6%	59.1%
Mongolia	Sant	2017	66.2%	75.1%	57.7%
Mongolia	Santmargats	2000	22.1%	29.8%	16.5%
Mongolia	Santmargats	2017	58.2%	69.7%	44.2%
Mongolia	Saykhan	2000	41.3%	47.1%	35.1%
Mongolia	Saykhan	2017	79.3%	83.6%	74.3%
Mongolia	Selenge	2000	58.8%	66.8%	49.9%
Mongolia	Selenge	2017	83.0%	88.1%	75.7%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2000	69.0%	79.4%	55.2%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2000	26.3%	32.8%	19.9%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2017	93.0%	96.0%	87.5%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2017	59.9%	67.8%	50.8%
Mongolia	Sevrei	2000	28.4%	33.2%	23.9%
Mongolia	Sevrei	2017	66.7%	72.7%	60.2%
Mongolia	Shaamar	2000	53.1%	75.5%	31.1%
Mongolia	Shaamar	2017	84.9%	93.0%	70.3%
Mongolia	Sharga	2000	24.5%	32.7%	17.9%
Mongolia	Sharga	2017	61.7%	71.7%	51.4%
Mongolia	Sharyngol	2000	35.7%	45.9%	26.7%
Mongolia	Sharyngol	2017	76.0%	84.0%	67.1%
Mongolia	Shilüüstei	2000	18.9%	26.4%	12.9%
Mongolia	Shilüüstei	2017	51.7%	63.5%	39.3%
Mongolia	Shine-Ider	2000	23.0%	39.7%	13.2%
Mongolia	Shine-Ider	2017	56.8%	74.9%	38.2%
Mongolia	Shinejinst	2000	17.5%	20.8%	15.1%
Mongolia	Shinejinst	2017	52.0%	57.0%	47.0%
Mongolia	Shiveegovi	2000	53.8%	67.1%	40.6%
Mongolia	Shiveegovi	2017	85.3%	92.8%	76.1%
Mongolia	Songino	2000	23.3%	30.4%	17.4%
Mongolia	Songino	2017	58.7%	67.2%	48.6%
Mongolia	Sükhbaatar	2000	55.1%	70.6%	42.1%
Mongolia	Sükhbaatar	2017	85.2%	93.4%	72.3%
Mongolia	Sümer	2000	44.5%	60.4%	30.6%
Mongolia	Sümer	2000	44.4%	51.2%	38.1%
Mongolia	Sümer	2017	81.1%	90.1%	70.4%
Mongolia	Sümer	2017	76.5%	82.4%	70.0%
Mongolia	Taishir	2000	24.8%	34.9%	17.9%
Mongolia	Taishir	2017	60.6%	71.0%	50.4%
Mongolia	Taragt	2000	45.4%	53.0%	38.6%
Mongolia	Taragt	2017	81.2%	85.7%	75.4%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2000	18.2%	24.9%	13.7%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2000	29.3%	38.9%	21.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Tarialan	2017	68.5%	76.9%	59.2%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2017	54.6%	61.3%	47.0%
Mongolia	Tariat	2000	14.5%	21.2%	9.9%
Mongolia	Tariat	2017	41.2%	51.3%	33.3%
Mongolia	Telmen	2000	22.9%	30.2%	17.1%
Mongolia	Telmen	2017	59.5%	72.6%	45.9%
Mongolia	Tes	2000	31.5%	45.2%	21.8%
Mongolia	Tes	2000	23.9%	30.5%	18.7%
Mongolia	Tes	2017	57.8%	65.4%	50.2%
Mongolia	Tes	2017	67.6%	84.4%	48.5%
Mongolia	Teshig	2000	35.4%	41.0%	28.8%
Mongolia	Teshig	2017	69.3%	76.0%	61.7%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2000	23.0%	32.9%	16.8%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2000	32.2%	40.2%	25.1%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2017	59.4%	68.6%	48.9%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2017	71.6%	81.3%	58.9%
Mongolia	Tolbo	2000	26.5%	34.5%	19.3%
Mongolia	Tolbo	2017	63.9%	72.9%	54.8%
Mongolia	Tömörbulag	2000	19.6%	27.5%	14.2%
Mongolia	Tömörbulag	2017	50.1%	58.6%	42.0%
Mongolia	Tonkhil	2000	16.7%	20.2%	13.5%
Mongolia	Tonkhil	2017	47.2%	57.7%	38.3%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2000	31.9%	42.3%	21.5%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2000	26.1%	41.6%	16.5%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2017	65.7%	77.2%	52.2%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2017	70.3%	81.1%	56.5%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Ovoo	2000	27.8%	32.7%	23.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Ovoo	2017	64.1%	69.9%	58.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Uul	2000	22.4%	29.6%	16.3%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Uul	2017	53.2%	62.5%	43.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Üür	2000	28.0%	35.0%	22.6%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Üür	2017	62.0%	72.9%	49.6%
Mongolia	Tsagaanchuluut	2000	19.9%	28.3%	13.1%
Mongolia	Tsagaanchuluut	2017	53.9%	65.1%	39.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaandelger	2000	39.8%	47.9%	31.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaandelger	2017	77.6%	84.5%	69.5%
Mongolia	Tsagaanhairhan	2000	26.3%	34.9%	20.0%
Mongolia	Tsagaanhairhan	2017	63.3%	72.9%	53.0%
Mongolia	Tsagaankhairkhan	2000	21.8%	37.8%	11.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaankhairkhan	2017	56.4%	74.1%	39.7%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	46.5%	69.1%	24.4%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	21.0%	36.7%	11.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	17.6%	21.5%	14.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	50.1%	55.8%	44.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	55.7%	76.8%	37.8%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	79.6%	89.4%	61.7%
Mongolia	Tsakhir	2000	13.1%	17.5%	9.1%
Mongolia	Tsakhir	2017	41.8%	49.9%	33.4%
Mongolia	Tseel	2000	38.2%	49.5%	26.8%
Mongolia	Tseel	2000	18.3%	22.7%	14.1%
Mongolia	Tseel	2017	53.6%	61.6%	45.8%
Mongolia	Tseel	2017	74.7%	83.0%	61.4%
Mongolia	Tsengel	2000	18.3%	27.0%	12.0%
Mongolia	Tsengel	2017	50.9%	61.1%	41.0%
Mongolia	Tsenkher	2000	25.2%	35.2%	17.2%
Mongolia	Tsenkher	2017	60.2%	70.9%	47.5%
Mongolia	Tsenkhermandal	2000	46.8%	57.0%	36.8%
Mongolia	Tsenkhermandal	2017	80.7%	87.5%	72.7%
Mongolia	Tsetseg	2000	20.8%	27.9%	15.5%
Mongolia	Tsetseg	2017	59.5%	74.1%	42.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Tsetsen-Uul	2000	21.9%	30.2%	15.7%
Mongolia	Tsetsen-Uul	2017	59.3%	68.0%	50.0%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2000	19.4%	25.4%	14.7%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2000	27.2%	34.2%	21.2%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2017	48.4%	54.8%	41.9%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2017	56.6%	63.3%	49.0%
Mongolia	Tsogt	2000	15.6%	18.8%	13.0%
Mongolia	Tsogt	2017	51.3%	59.6%	43.0%
Mongolia	Tsogt-Ovoo	2000	34.5%	39.9%	28.9%
Mongolia	Tsogt-Ovoo	2017	70.7%	79.0%	61.4%
Mongolia	Tsogttsetsii	2000	29.8%	35.5%	25.2%
Mongolia	Tsogttsetsii	2017	70.5%	77.4%	63.0%
Mongolia	Tüdevtei	2000	28.5%	40.7%	19.7%
Mongolia	Tüdevtei	2017	63.4%	74.4%	53.0%
Mongolia	Tümentsogt	2000	48.9%	58.2%	38.9%
Mongolia	Tümentsogt	2017	82.7%	89.3%	74.5%
Mongolia	Tünel	2000	42.6%	50.0%	36.2%
Mongolia	Tünel	2017	69.8%	76.9%	62.2%
Mongolia	Türgen	2000	23.5%	34.1%	15.0%
Mongolia	Türgen	2017	57.7%	71.0%	44.5%
Mongolia	Tüshig	2000	46.2%	73.6%	25.0%
Mongolia	Tüshig	2017	80.4%	91.3%	61.0%
Mongolia	Tüvshinshiree	2000	32.9%	41.7%	26.1%
Mongolia	Tüvshinshiree	2017	70.6%	79.0%	62.0%
Mongolia	Tüvshrüülekh	2000	25.0%	35.1%	16.4%
Mongolia	Tüvshrüülekh	2017	61.0%	72.1%	48.3%
Mongolia	Ugtaal	2000	40.4%	50.6%	30.5%
Mongolia	Ugtaal	2017	73.5%	81.8%	64.6%
Mongolia	Ulaan-Uul	2000	16.2%	22.7%	12.1%
Mongolia	Ulaan-Uul	2017	44.0%	50.9%	36.4%
Mongolia	Ulaanbadrakh	2000	34.5%	50.5%	22.8%
Mongolia	Ulaanbadrakh	2017	70.8%	79.1%	58.4%
Mongolia	Ulaangom	2000	28.8%	33.2%	25.4%
Mongolia	Ulaangom	2017	61.8%	68.0%	55.7%
Mongolia	Ulaankhus	2000	20.9%	29.1%	15.0%
Mongolia	Ulaankhus	2017	55.4%	64.1%	47.4%
Mongolia	Ulan Bator	2000	86.9%	89.6%	84.2%
Mongolia	Ulan Bator	2017	96.1%	97.2%	95.0%
Mongolia	Urgamal	2000	18.5%	24.0%	13.9%
Mongolia	Urgamal	2017	54.6%	64.8%	44.1%
Mongolia	Uulbayan	2000	36.6%	43.1%	29.8%
Mongolia	Uulbayan	2017	75.9%	80.9%	69.7%
Mongolia	Uyanga	2000	23.6%	29.6%	18.1%
Mongolia	Uyanga	2017	57.9%	66.7%	49.0%
Mongolia	Üyench	2000	25.7%	30.2%	21.0%
Mongolia	Üyench	2017	64.5%	70.3%	57.3%
Mongolia	Yaruu	2000	20.8%	25.9%	15.6%
Mongolia	Yaruu	2017	55.9%	65.3%	44.4%
Mongolia	Yeröö	2000	30.3%	37.0%	24.6%
Mongolia	Yeröö	2017	68.6%	76.5%	59.0%
Mongolia	Yesönbulag	2000	52.2%	60.1%	44.6%
Mongolia	Yesönbulag	2017	79.7%	84.1%	75.5%
Mongolia	Yesönzüil	2000	33.6%	43.1%	25.0%
Mongolia	Yesönzüil	2017	70.4%	79.8%	59.7%
Mongolia	Zaamar	2000	36.3%	46.3%	26.6%
Mongolia	Zaamar	2017	66.4%	76.4%	56.3%
Mongolia	Zag	2000	17.0%	23.7%	12.1%
Mongolia	Zag	2017	53.7%	66.3%	42.4%
Mongolia	Zamyn-Üüd	2000	69.0%	80.0%	54.3%
Mongolia	Zamyn-Üüd	2017	93.3%	96.2%	89.1%
Mongolia	Zavkhan	2000	20.1%	24.6%	16.2%
Mongolia	Zavkhan	2017	57.3%	63.9%	49.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Zavkhanmandal	2000	18.5%	26.3%	12.6%
Mongolia	Zavkhanmandal	2017	54.5%	69.2%	40.4%
Mongolia	Zereg	2000	23.7%	36.9%	14.8%
Mongolia	Zereg	2017	59.4%	73.2%	45.9%
Mongolia	Züünbayan-Ulaan	2000	33.4%	42.6%	25.3%
Mongolia	Züünbayan-Ulaan	2017	70.9%	78.7%	61.7%
Mongolia	Züünbüren	2000	48.3%	62.7%	34.6%
Mongolia	Züünbüren	2017	81.1%	88.1%	72.3%
Mongolia	Züüngovi	2000	26.6%	34.6%	19.5%
Mongolia	Züüngovi	2017	60.5%	71.0%	48.3%
Mongolia	Züünkhantai	2000	26.1%	35.0%	19.0%
Mongolia	Züünkhantai	2017	60.4%	73.1%	44.9%
Tajikistan	Asht	2000	71.5%	83.5%	56.8%
Tajikistan	Asht	2017	88.0%	95.1%	77.5%
Tajikistan	Ayni	2000	54.9%	72.1%	37.5%
Tajikistan	Ayni	2017	76.7%	88.7%	60.5%
Tajikistan	Baljuvon	2000	53.3%	85.8%	21.5%
Tajikistan	Baljuvon	2017	71.4%	95.4%	40.9%
Tajikistan	Bokhtar	2000	40.3%	44.2%	36.4%
Tajikistan	Bokhtar	2017	84.8%	86.3%	82.5%
Tajikistan	Danghara	2000	68.4%	83.9%	44.7%
Tajikistan	Danghara	2017	84.7%	93.7%	70.4%
Tajikistan	Darvoz	2000	65.5%	77.6%	50.1%
Tajikistan	Darvoz	2017	81.9%	91.7%	67.9%
Tajikistan	Farkhor	2000	53.3%	61.6%	45.4%
Tajikistan	Farkhor	2017	85.9%	91.5%	78.3%
Tajikistan	Fayzobod	2000	49.6%	58.9%	40.8%
Tajikistan	Fayzobod	2017	79.9%	88.0%	72.0%
Tajikistan	Ghafurov	2000	72.2%	75.7%	67.6%
Tajikistan	Ghafurov	2017	91.2%	92.6%	89.1%
Tajikistan	Ghonchi	2000	71.7%	86.9%	57.8%
Tajikistan	Ghonchi	2017	89.7%	98.1%	78.5%
Tajikistan	Hissor	2000	36.1%	39.7%	33.0%
Tajikistan	Hissor	2017	81.7%	83.4%	79.4%
Tajikistan	Isfara	2000	35.6%	42.0%	29.9%
Tajikistan	Isfara	2017	70.8%	78.7%	62.1%
Tajikistan	Ishkoshim	2000	45.2%	60.2%	30.6%
Tajikistan	Ishkoshim	2017	63.5%	77.1%	49.6%
Tajikistan	Istaravshan	2000	63.3%	71.4%	53.5%
Tajikistan	Istaravshan	2017	91.0%	96.7%	80.1%
Tajikistan	Jabor Rasulov	2000	61.5%	67.3%	54.9%
Tajikistan	Jabor Rasulov	2017	90.8%	93.3%	84.6%
Tajikistan	Jilikul	2000	36.0%	47.6%	24.1%
Tajikistan	Jilikul	2017	72.6%	79.6%	61.3%
Tajikistan	Jirgatal	2000	61.9%	77.9%	45.6%
Tajikistan	Jirgatal	2017	82.7%	92.7%	69.9%
Tajikistan	Jomi	2000	24.3%	34.2%	19.7%
Tajikistan	Jomi	2017	62.5%	74.7%	54.6%
Tajikistan	Khovaling	2000	69.8%	87.7%	44.6%
Tajikistan	Khovaling	2017	85.8%	95.3%	70.0%
Tajikistan	Khuroson	2000	29.0%	41.4%	17.1%
Tajikistan	Khuroson	2017	55.6%	66.9%	42.6%
Tajikistan	Kolkhozobod	2000	36.5%	49.0%	22.8%
Tajikistan	Kolkhozobod	2017	74.2%	81.4%	61.3%
Tajikistan	Konibodom	2000	63.5%	72.2%	54.4%
Tajikistan	Konibodom	2017	91.3%	96.0%	85.1%
Tajikistan	Kuhistoni Mastchoh	2000	63.6%	84.0%	39.6%
Tajikistan	Kuhistoni Mastchoh	2017	81.8%	95.7%	62.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tajikistan	Kulob	2000	79.4%	82.4%	76.7%
Tajikistan	Kulob	2017	96.6%	97.9%	95.4%
Tajikistan	Matchin	2000	80.4%	93.3%	63.5%
Tajikistan	Matchin	2017	93.2%	99.1%	81.2%
Tajikistan	Moskva	2000	72.3%	81.2%	63.1%
Tajikistan	Moskva	2017	87.2%	93.9%	78.2%
Tajikistan	Muminobod	2000	65.3%	73.1%	51.9%
Tajikistan	Muminobod	2017	83.4%	91.7%	75.4%
Tajikistan	Murghob	2000	53.9%	64.7%	44.7%
Tajikistan	Murghob	2017	72.6%	81.3%	63.6%
Tajikistan	Norak	2000	69.8%	88.7%	51.7%
Tajikistan	Norak	2017	82.3%	95.2%	67.6%
Tajikistan	Nosir Khusrav	2000	43.3%	80.2%	10.8%
Tajikistan	Nosir Khusrav	2017	64.3%	88.3%	33.7%
Tajikistan	Nurobod	2000	43.1%	65.1%	31.4%
Tajikistan	Nurobod	2017	65.0%	82.0%	51.8%
Tajikistan	Pandjakent	2000	73.9%	82.7%	65.2%
Tajikistan	Pandjakent	2017	87.7%	93.1%	80.8%
Tajikistan	Panj	2000	61.2%	69.3%	51.9%
Tajikistan	Panj	2017	84.5%	89.9%	78.4%
Tajikistan	Qabodiyon	2000	59.0%	67.1%	48.7%
Tajikistan	Qabodiyon	2017	83.5%	89.3%	75.2%
Tajikistan	Qumsangir	2000	45.3%	56.8%	33.2%
Tajikistan	Qumsangir	2017	78.6%	87.2%	64.4%
Tajikistan	Rasht	2000	64.5%	78.7%	52.8%
Tajikistan	Rasht	2017	82.0%	92.9%	70.6%
Tajikistan	Roghun	2000	67.6%	93.1%	44.8%
Tajikistan	Roghun	2017	81.9%	98.0%	62.4%
Tajikistan	Roshtqala	2000	46.8%	55.8%	37.2%
Tajikistan	Roshtqala	2017	70.1%	77.6%	61.5%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2000	86.8%	88.0%	85.5%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2000	50.4%	53.1%	47.9%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2017	99.2%	99.3%	99.1%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2017	88.4%	91.3%	85.9%
Tajikistan	Rushon	2000	50.3%	63.5%	38.9%
Tajikistan	Rushon	2017	75.3%	85.0%	65.5%
Tajikistan	Sarband	2000	52.3%	60.4%	40.1%
Tajikistan	Sarband	2017	88.1%	92.5%	77.6%
Tajikistan	Shahrinav	2000	43.3%	47.7%	39.7%
Tajikistan	Shahrinav	2017	67.4%	72.7%	63.0%
Tajikistan	Shahriston	2000	79.5%	92.0%	52.7%
Tajikistan	Shahriston	2017	91.4%	98.3%	77.9%
Tajikistan	Shahrituz	2000	52.4%	59.8%	42.7%
Tajikistan	Shahrituz	2017	83.5%	89.2%	74.5%
Tajikistan	Shughnon	2000	66.3%	70.9%	62.2%
Tajikistan	Shughnon	2017	89.4%	91.6%	86.7%
Tajikistan	Shurobod	2000	64.9%	81.5%	43.1%
Tajikistan	Shurobod	2017	85.6%	95.9%	70.5%
Tajikistan	Sovet	2000	71.6%	81.7%	55.0%
Tajikistan	Sovet	2017	84.6%	92.1%	75.5%
Tajikistan	Spitamen	2000	64.1%	75.1%	48.9%
Tajikistan	Spitamen	2017	89.7%	94.9%	76.1%
Tajikistan	Tavildara	2000	52.6%	69.7%	33.9%
Tajikistan	Tavildara	2017	69.5%	83.6%	52.9%
Tajikistan	Tojikobod	2000	58.9%	78.1%	33.2%
Tajikistan	Tojikobod	2017	86.0%	96.8%	67.4%
Tajikistan	Tursunzoda	2000	73.7%	75.9%	70.8%
Tajikistan	Tursunzoda	2017	90.3%	91.6%	88.5%
Tajikistan	Vahdat	2000	57.7%	66.0%	48.0%
Tajikistan	Vahdat	2017	85.1%	91.1%	75.7%
Tajikistan	Vakhsh	2000	32.9%	42.2%	25.4%
Tajikistan	Vakhsh	2017	73.2%	80.5%	64.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tajikistan	Vanj	2000	48.0%	59.8%	35.9%
Tajikistan	Vanj	2017	77.4%	85.6%	68.1%
Tajikistan	Varzob	2000	58.6%	63.7%	53.4%
Tajikistan	Varzob	2017	87.2%	91.9%	82.1%
Tajikistan	Vose	2000	82.2%	87.9%	76.0%
Tajikistan	Vose	2017	96.1%	98.0%	92.3%
Tajikistan	Yovon	2000	60.6%	64.9%	55.7%
Tajikistan	Yovon	2017	78.2%	81.6%	74.3%
Tajikistan	Zafarobod	2000	61.4%	80.9%	39.9%
Tajikistan	Zafarobod	2017	83.5%	95.0%	64.3%
Turkmenistan	Ahal	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Turkmenistan	Ahal	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Turkmenistan	Aşgabat	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Turkmenistan	Aşgabat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Turkmenistan	Balkan	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.5%
Turkmenistan	Balkan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Turkmenistan	Chardzhou	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.4%
Turkmenistan	Chardzhou	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Turkmenistan	Mary	2000	79.0%	82.4%	74.5%
Turkmenistan	Mary	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Turkmenistan	Tashauz	2000	70.7%	75.7%	65.1%
Turkmenistan	Tashauz	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Uzbekistan	Amudaryo	2000	92.5%	94.6%	90.0%
Uzbekistan	Amudaryo	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Andijon	2000	91.2%	94.0%	87.9%
Uzbekistan	Andijon	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Angor	2000	93.9%	96.3%	91.0%
Uzbekistan	Angor	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Aral Sea	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.6%
Uzbekistan	Aral Sea	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Arnasoy	2000	94.5%	96.3%	92.2%
Uzbekistan	Arnasoy	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Asaka	2000	90.0%	94.0%	85.5%
Uzbekistan	Asaka	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Baliqchi	2000	90.4%	93.5%	86.8%
Uzbekistan	Baliqchi	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Bandixon	2000	94.0%	95.8%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Bandixon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Baxmal	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.5%
Uzbekistan	Baxmal	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Bekobod	2000	93.5%	95.5%	91.2%
Uzbekistan	Bekobod	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Beruniy	2000	92.4%	94.3%	90.2%
Uzbekistan	Beruniy	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Beshariq	2000	92.4%	94.5%	90.1%
Uzbekistan	Beshariq	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Bo'ka	2000	94.7%	96.3%	92.7%
Uzbekistan	Bo'ka	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Bo'stonliq	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.3%
Uzbekistan	Bo'stonliq	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Bo'zsuv	2000	89.5%	94.0%	84.2%
Uzbekistan	Bo'zsuv	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Uzbekistan	Bog'dod	2000	90.1%	93.5%	86.6%
Uzbekistan	Bog'dod	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Bog'ot	2000	92.9%	95.5%	89.9%
Uzbekistan	Bog'ot	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Boyovut	2000	94.2%	95.8%	92.6%
Uzbekistan	Boyovut	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Boysun	2000	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%
Uzbekistan	Boysun	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Buloqboshi	2000	90.8%	94.5%	86.7%
Uzbekistan	Buloqboshi	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Bulung'ur	2000	93.9%	95.8%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Bulung'ur	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Buvayda	2000	89.8%	94.2%	85.2%
Uzbekistan	Buvayda	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Buxoro	2000	91.9%	94.3%	88.9%
Uzbekistan	Buxoro	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Chimboy	2000	92.3%	95.5%	87.7%
Uzbekistan	Chimboy	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Chinoz	2000	93.7%	95.9%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Chinoz	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Chiroqchi	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Uzbekistan	Chiroqchi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	Chortoq	2000	92.6%	95.4%	89.5%
Uzbekistan	Chortoq	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Chust	2000	91.6%	94.0%	88.4%
Uzbekistan	Chust	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Dang'ara	2000	90.8%	93.9%	86.9%
Uzbekistan	Dang'ara	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Dehqonobod	2000	94.4%	96.0%	92.7%
Uzbekistan	Dehqonobod	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Denov	2000	94.3%	96.4%	91.5%
Uzbekistan	Denov	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Do'stlik	2000	94.8%	96.7%	92.2%
Uzbekistan	Do'stlik	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Ellikqala	2000	92.6%	95.1%	90.3%
Uzbekistan	Ellikqala	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Farg'ona	2000	91.8%	94.0%	89.2%
Uzbekistan	Farg'ona	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Forish	2000	94.9%	95.7%	93.9%
Uzbekistan	Forish	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	Furqat	2000	90.2%	93.4%	85.9%
Uzbekistan	Furqat	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	G'allaorol	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.5%
Uzbekistan	G'allaorol	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	G'ijduvon	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.3%
Uzbekistan	G'ijduvon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	G'uzor	2000	94.7%	96.3%	92.9%
Uzbekistan	G'uzor	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Guliston	2000	94.4%	96.3%	92.0%
Uzbekistan	Guliston	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Gurlan	2000	91.9%	94.2%	89.0%
Uzbekistan	Gurlan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Hazorasp	2000	93.2%	95.0%	90.7%
Uzbekistan	Hazorasp	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Ishtixon	2000	93.5%	95.2%	91.5%
Uzbekistan	Ishtixon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Izboskan	2000	91.4%	94.4%	87.5%
Uzbekistan	Izboskan	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Jalolquduq	2000	92.4%	95.0%	89.0%
Uzbekistan	Jalolquduq	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Jarqo'rg'on	2000	94.6%	96.6%	92.5%
Uzbekistan	Jarqo'rg'on	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Jizzax	2000	93.8%	95.7%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Jizzax	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Jomboy	2000	92.8%	94.9%	90.3%
Uzbekistan	Jomboy	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Jondor	2000	92.9%	95.2%	90.3%
Uzbekistan	Jondor	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Karmana	2000	92.8%	94.9%	90.0%
Uzbekistan	Karmana	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Kasbi	2000	93.7%	95.6%	91.6%
Uzbekistan	Kasbi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Kattaqo'rg'on	2000	94.0%	95.8%	92.2%
Uzbekistan	Kattaqo'rg'on	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Kegeyli	2000	92.7%	94.8%	90.0%
Uzbekistan	Kegeyli	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Kitob	2000	93.8%	96.0%	91.1%
Uzbekistan	Kitob	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Kogon	2000	93.1%	95.6%	89.5%
Uzbekistan	Kogon	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Konimex	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.1%
Uzbekistan	Konimex	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Koson	2000	94.7%	96.5%	92.6%
Uzbekistan	Koson	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Kosonsoy	2000	90.1%	93.6%	85.5%
Uzbekistan	Kosonsoy	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Marhamat	2000	91.8%	95.0%	88.4%
Uzbekistan	Marhamat	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Mingbuloq	2000	88.7%	91.9%	85.2%
Uzbekistan	Mingbuloq	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Mirzacho'l	2000	94.6%	96.8%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Mirzacho'l	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Mirzaobod	2000	94.7%	96.4%	92.4%
Uzbekistan	Mirzaobod	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Mo'ynoq	2000	93.1%	94.1%	92.1%
Uzbekistan	Mo'ynoq	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Muborak	2000	94.6%	96.1%	93.0%
Uzbekistan	Muborak	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Muzrabot	2000	94.3%	96.6%	91.5%
Uzbekistan	Muzrabot	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Namangan	2000	88.8%	93.0%	82.9%
Uzbekistan	Namangan	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.0%
Uzbekistan	Narpay	2000	94.9%	96.6%	92.8%
Uzbekistan	Narpay	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Navbahor	2000	92.7%	95.4%	89.1%
Uzbekistan	Navbahor	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Nishon	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.4%
Uzbekistan	Nishon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Norin	2000	90.0%	93.8%	85.3%
Uzbekistan	Norin	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Nukus	2000	92.2%	95.2%	88.8%
Uzbekistan	Nukus	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Nurobod	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.3%
Uzbekistan	Nurobod	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	Nurota	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.6%
Uzbekistan	Nurota	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	O'rtachirchiq	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Uzbekistan	O'rtachirchiq	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	O'zbekiston	2000	91.4%	93.8%	88.5%
Uzbekistan	O'zbekiston	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Ohangaron	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Uzbekistan	Ohangaron	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Olot	2000	92.7%	95.2%	89.3%
Uzbekistan	Olot	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Oltiariq	2000	89.7%	93.5%	86.0%
Uzbekistan	Oltiariq	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Oltinko'l	2000	90.8%	93.8%	87.2%
Uzbekistan	Oltinko'l	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Oltinsoy	2000	94.5%	96.4%	92.5%
Uzbekistan	Oltinsoy	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Oqdaryo	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Oqdaryo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Oqoltin	2000	94.8%	96.4%	92.8%
Uzbekistan	Oqoltin	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	Oqqo'rg'on	2000	93.9%	95.7%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Oqqo'rg'on	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Oxunboboev	2000	89.6%	92.8%	85.7%
Uzbekistan	Oxunboboev	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Parkent	2000	94.8%	96.6%	92.6%
Uzbekistan	Parkent	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Pastdarg'om	2000	94.0%	95.6%	92.0%
Uzbekistan	Pastdarg'om	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Paxtachi	2000	94.1%	96.0%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Paxtachi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Paxtakor	2000	93.6%	96.1%	90.6%
Uzbekistan	Paxtakor	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Paxtaobod	2000	91.4%	94.6%	86.4%
Uzbekistan	Paxtaobod	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Payariq	2000	94.0%	95.9%	91.8%
Uzbekistan	Payariq	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Peshku	2000	92.6%	95.1%	89.7%
Uzbekistan	Peshku	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Piskent	2000	94.1%	95.7%	92.4%
Uzbekistan	Piskent	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Pop	2000	90.9%	93.1%	88.0%
Uzbekistan	Pop	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Qamashi	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Uzbekistan	Qamashi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	Qanliko'l	2000	92.5%	95.1%	89.4%
Uzbekistan	Qanliko'l	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Qarshi	2000	93.4%	95.5%	90.4%
Uzbekistan	Qarshi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Qibray	2000	93.0%	95.0%	90.7%
Uzbekistan	Qibray	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Qiziltepa	2000	93.8%	95.8%	90.9%
Uzbekistan	Qiziltepa	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Qiziriq	2000	94.0%	96.2%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Qiziriq	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Qo'ng'iro't	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.4%
Uzbekistan	Qo'ng'iro't	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Qo'rg'on'tepa	2000	90.9%	93.7%	87.8%
Uzbekistan	Qo'rg'on'tepa	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shko'pir	2000	92.6%	94.9%	90.0%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shko'pir	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shrabot	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.4%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shrabot	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Qorako'l	2000	93.0%	95.1%	90.0%
Uzbekistan	Qorako'l	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Qorao'zak	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.1%
Uzbekistan	Qorao'zak	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Qorovulbozor	2000	93.8%	95.3%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Qorovulbozor	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Qumqo'rg'on	2000	94.2%	96.0%	92.3%
Uzbekistan	Qumqo'rg'on	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Quva	2000	90.1%	93.1%	86.7%
Uzbekistan	Quva	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Quyichirchiq	2000	93.9%	95.9%	91.6%
Uzbekistan	Quyichirchiq	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Rishton	2000	88.5%	92.8%	83.5%
Uzbekistan	Rishton	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Romitan	2000	92.9%	95.3%	90.1%
Uzbekistan	Romitan	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Samarqand	2000	92.8%	95.4%	89.8%
Uzbekistan	Samarqand	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Sariosiyo	2000	94.0%	95.5%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Sariosiyo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Sayxunobod	2000	94.7%	96.3%	92.5%
Uzbekistan	Sayxunobod	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Shahrisabz	2000	94.5%	96.6%	91.5%
Uzbekistan	Shahrisabz	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Shahrixon	2000	89.6%	93.3%	85.3%
Uzbekistan	Shahrixon	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Sharof Rashidov	2000	94.8%	96.5%	92.6%
Uzbekistan	Sharof Rashidov	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Sherobod	2000	94.1%	95.9%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Sherobod	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Sho'rchi	2000	94.4%	96.6%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Sho'rchi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Shofirkon	2000	92.4%	94.8%	89.1%
Uzbekistan	Shofirkon	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Shovot	2000	91.9%	94.6%	88.8%
Uzbekistan	Shovot	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Shumanay	2000	92.6%	95.9%	88.6%
Uzbekistan	Shumanay	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Sirdaryo	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.7%
Uzbekistan	Sirdaryo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	So'x	2000	92.1%	95.4%	88.2%
Uzbekistan	So'x	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Tashkent City	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Uzbekistan	Tashkent City	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Uzbekistan	Taxtako'pir	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.3%
Uzbekistan	Taxtako'pir	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Termiz	2000	94.0%	96.7%	91.0%
Uzbekistan	Termiz	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	To'raqo'rg'on	2000	89.8%	93.2%	85.7%
Uzbekistan	To'raqo'rg'on	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	To'rtko'l	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.3%
Uzbekistan	To'rtko'l	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Tomdi	2000	93.5%	94.8%	91.8%
Uzbekistan	Tomdi	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Toshkent	2000	91.6%	94.1%	88.8%
Uzbekistan	Toshkent	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Toshloq	2000	89.2%	94.0%	84.1%
Uzbekistan	Toshloq	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Toyloq	2000	93.6%	96.2%	90.2%
Uzbekistan	Toyloq	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Uchko'prik	2000	89.8%	93.3%	85.9%
Uzbekistan	Uchko'prik	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Uchqo'rg'on	2000	90.5%	94.2%	85.8%
Uzbekistan	Uchqo'rg'on	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Uchquduq	2000	92.9%	93.8%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Uchquduq	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Ulug'nor	2000	89.1%	92.7%	85.0%
Uzbekistan	Ulug'nor	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Urganch	2000	92.5%	95.2%	89.5%
Uzbekistan	Urganch	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Urgut	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.7%
Uzbekistan	Urgut	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Usmon	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Uzbekistan	Yusupov				
Uzbekistan	Usmon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Yusupov				
Uzbekistan	Uychi	2000	89.7%	93.0%	85.5%
Uzbekistan	Uychi	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Uzun	2000	94.8%	96.4%	92.8%
Uzbekistan	Uzun	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Vobkent	2000	92.4%	94.7%	89.8%
Uzbekistan	Vobkent	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Xatirchi	2000	93.9%	95.5%	91.9%
Uzbekistan	Xatirchi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Xiva	2000	92.9%	95.4%	89.7%
Uzbekistan	Xiva	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jaobod	2000	90.7%	94.4%	86.8%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jaobod	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jayli	2000	92.3%	94.7%	89.1%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jayli	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Xonqa	2000	92.4%	94.8%	89.2%
Uzbekistan	Xonqa	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Xovos	2000	93.5%	95.4%	91.8%
Uzbekistan	Xovos	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Yagiqo'rg'on	2000	91.4%	93.7%	88.6%
Uzbekistan	Yagiqo'rg'on	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Yakkabog'	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.2%
Uzbekistan	Yakkabog'	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Yangiariq	2000	91.8%	94.6%	88.5%
Uzbekistan	Yangiariq	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Uzbekistan	Yangibozor	2000	92.1%	95.1%	88.1%
Uzbekistan	Yangibozor	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Uzbekistan	Yangiobod	2000	94.1%	96.0%	92.1%
Uzbekistan	Yangiobod	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Yangiyo'l	2000	93.8%	95.6%	91.5%
Uzbekistan	Yangiyo'l	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Zozyovon	2000	89.0%	93.6%	83.8%
Uzbekistan	Zozyovon	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Uzbekistan	Yuqorichirchiq	2000	93.6%	95.5%	91.5%
Uzbekistan	Yuqorichirchiq	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Zafarobod	2000	94.3%	96.2%	92.3%
Uzbekistan	Zafarobod	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Zangiota	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Uzbekistan	Zangiota	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Uzbekistan	Zarbdor	2000	94.2%	96.0%	92.1%
Uzbekistan	Zarbdor	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Uzbekistan	Zomin	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.1%
Uzbekistan	Zomin	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Latin America and Caribbean					
Bolivia	Abel Iturralde	2000	75.7%	79.9%	71.5%
Bolivia	Abel Iturralde	2017	87.2%	89.9%	84.0%
Bolivia	Alonso de	2000	71.2%	73.6%	69.1%
Bolivia	Ibáñez				
Bolivia	Alonso de	2017	78.3%	80.7%	75.9%
Bolivia	Ibáñez				
Bolivia	Andrés Ibáñez	2000	92.0%	92.5%	91.5%
Bolivia	Andrés Ibáñez	2017	95.1%	95.5%	94.7%
Bolivia	Aniceto Arce	2000	84.6%	87.3%	80.9%
Bolivia	Aniceto Arce	2017	88.7%	91.1%	85.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Antonio Quijarro	2000	70.7%	72.5%	68.6%
Bolivia	Antonio Quijarro	2017	76.0%	77.9%	73.4%
Bolivia	Arani	2000	76.1%	77.8%	74.1%
Bolivia	Arani	2017	88.3%	89.5%	87.0%
Bolivia	Aroma	2000	83.0%	84.8%	81.3%
Bolivia	Aroma	2017	87.5%	89.1%	85.9%
Bolivia	Arque	2000	75.0%	77.5%	72.4%
Bolivia	Arque	2017	85.7%	87.4%	83.7%
Bolivia	Atahuallpa	2000	83.1%	85.9%	79.5%
Bolivia	Atahuallpa	2017	90.5%	92.5%	88.0%
Bolivia	Ayopaya	2000	76.3%	78.7%	73.9%
Bolivia	Ayopaya	2017	87.0%	88.5%	85.4%
Bolivia	Bautista Saavedra	2000	83.5%	86.5%	79.9%
Bolivia	Bautista Saavedra	2017	87.6%	90.4%	84.1%
Bolivia	Belisario Boeto	2000	74.3%	78.0%	70.6%
Bolivia	Belisario Boeto	2017	78.9%	82.3%	75.4%
Bolivia	Bernardino Bilbao	2000	71.7%	74.8%	68.2%
Bolivia	Bernardino Bilbao	2017	79.7%	82.3%	76.5%
Bolivia	Burnet O'Connor	2000	83.2%	85.5%	81.0%
Bolivia	Burnet O'Connor	2017	87.0%	88.9%	85.1%
Bolivia	Capinota	2000	76.8%	78.6%	74.8%
Bolivia	Capinota	2017	87.3%	88.4%	86.1%
Bolivia	Carangas	2000	83.3%	85.5%	80.8%
Bolivia	Carangas	2017	90.5%	92.0%	88.6%
Bolivia	Carrasco	2000	76.9%	78.5%	75.2%
Bolivia	Carrasco	2017	88.7%	89.7%	87.5%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	85.0%	85.8%	84.1%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	75.7%	76.6%	74.8%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	83.3%	84.4%	82.1%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	68.6%	70.9%	66.4%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	89.3%	90.3%	88.2%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	86.3%	87.6%	85.0%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	91.2%	92.0%	90.2%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	88.0%	88.6%	87.5%
Bolivia	Chapare	2000	75.3%	76.5%	73.9%
Bolivia	Chapare	2017	87.9%	88.6%	87.1%
Bolivia	Charcas	2000	69.5%	71.6%	67.2%
Bolivia	Charcas	2017	75.2%	77.7%	72.7%
Bolivia	Chayanta	2000	69.2%	71.0%	67.6%
Bolivia	Chayanta	2017	74.3%	76.2%	72.3%
Bolivia	Chiquitos	2000	91.6%	92.6%	90.5%
Bolivia	Chiquitos	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.4%
Bolivia	Cordillera	2000	89.0%	90.5%	87.4%
Bolivia	Cordillera	2017	91.8%	93.1%	90.3%
Bolivia	Cornelio Saavedra	2000	69.0%	70.8%	67.3%
Bolivia	Cornelio Saavedra	2017	73.8%	75.9%	71.8%
Bolivia	Daniel Campos	2000	77.6%	82.4%	72.2%
Bolivia	Daniel Campos	2017	85.8%	89.5%	81.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Eduardo Avaroa	2000	79.5%	81.4%	77.5%
Bolivia	Eduardo Avaroa	2017	86.2%	87.9%	84.6%
Bolivia	Eliodoro Camacho	2000	82.1%	84.5%	79.1%
Bolivia	Eliodoro Camacho	2017	86.4%	88.7%	83.7%
Bolivia	Esteban Arce	2000	74.5%	76.6%	72.3%
Bolivia	Esteban Arce	2017	86.9%	88.2%	85.5%
Bolivia	Eustaquio Méndez	2000	84.2%	85.8%	82.6%
Bolivia	Eustaquio Méndez	2017	87.5%	88.9%	85.9%
Bolivia	Federico Román	2000	61.5%	64.0%	59.0%
Bolivia	Federico Román	2017	82.3%	83.9%	80.7%
Bolivia	Florida	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.2%
Bolivia	Florida	2017	94.5%	95.6%	92.9%
Bolivia	Franz Tamayo	2000	83.3%	86.6%	79.8%
Bolivia	Franz Tamayo	2017	88.6%	91.2%	85.6%
Bolivia	Germán Jordán	2000	76.3%	78.4%	74.3%
Bolivia	Germán Jordán	2017	88.0%	89.2%	86.7%
Bolivia	Gran Chaco	2000	85.2%	86.6%	83.7%
Bolivia	Gran Chaco	2017	88.9%	89.9%	87.6%
Bolivia	Gualberto Villarroel	2000	83.0%	86.1%	79.7%
Bolivia	Gualberto Villarroel	2017	89.0%	91.4%	86.4%
Bolivia	Hernando Siles	2000	68.4%	71.1%	65.8%
Bolivia	Hernando Siles	2017	73.4%	76.6%	69.9%
Bolivia	Ichilo	2000	90.3%	91.5%	89.1%
Bolivia	Ichilo	2017	93.9%	95.0%	93.0%
Bolivia	Ignacio Warnes	2000	91.8%	92.7%	90.8%
Bolivia	Ignacio Warnes	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.7%
Bolivia	Ingavi	2000	82.1%	83.6%	80.9%
Bolivia	Ingavi	2017	86.2%	87.5%	85.1%
Bolivia	Inquisivi	2000	81.7%	83.6%	79.5%
Bolivia	Inquisivi	2017	88.0%	89.6%	86.0%
Bolivia	Jaime Zudáñez	2000	67.4%	69.6%	65.2%
Bolivia	Jaime Zudáñez	2017	71.9%	73.9%	69.6%
Bolivia	José Ballivián	2000	67.4%	69.3%	65.3%
Bolivia	José Ballivián	2017	85.4%	86.7%	84.1%
Bolivia	José María Avilés	2000	84.3%	85.9%	82.6%
Bolivia	José María Avilés	2017	87.9%	89.1%	86.2%
Bolivia	José María Linares	2000	69.5%	71.5%	67.4%
Bolivia	José María Linares	2017	73.8%	76.2%	71.6%
Bolivia	José Miguel de Velasco	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	José Miguel de Velasco	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.7%
Bolivia	Juana Azurd-uay de Padilla	2000	66.4%	68.9%	63.7%
Bolivia	Juana Azurd-uay de Padilla	2017	70.1%	72.9%	67.4%
Bolivia	Ladislao Cabrera	2000	80.6%	83.0%	77.9%
Bolivia	Ladislao Cabrera	2017	87.9%	90.0%	85.6%
Bolivia	Larecaja	2000	83.0%	85.1%	80.7%
Bolivia	Larecaja	2017	87.4%	89.3%	85.6%
Bolivia	Litoral	2000	83.1%	86.3%	79.3%
Bolivia	Litoral	2017	90.1%	92.1%	88.1%
Bolivia	Loayza	2000	82.6%	84.7%	80.3%
Bolivia	Loayza	2017	87.2%	89.2%	84.8%
Bolivia	Los Andes	2000	82.2%	83.9%	80.4%
Bolivia	Los Andes	2017	85.5%	86.9%	83.9%
Bolivia	Luis Calvo	2000	76.8%	79.2%	74.2%
Bolivia	Luis Calvo	2017	80.8%	83.4%	78.1%
Bolivia	Madre de Dios	2000	61.7%	64.2%	59.3%
Bolivia	Madre de Dios	2017	81.9%	83.5%	80.1%
Bolivia	Mamoré	2000	68.0%	72.5%	63.0%
Bolivia	Mamoré	2017	85.6%	88.3%	82.3%
Bolivia	Manco Kapac	2000	81.2%	84.6%	77.7%
Bolivia	Manco Kapac	2017	85.8%	88.8%	82.6%
Bolivia	Manuel María Caballero	2000	88.9%	90.8%	86.9%
Bolivia	Manuel María Caballero	2017	93.1%	94.6%	91.3%
Bolivia	Manuripi	2000	60.0%	62.4%	57.6%
Bolivia	Manuripi	2017	80.9%	82.5%	78.7%
Bolivia	Marbán	2000	76.3%	78.3%	74.5%
Bolivia	Marbán	2017	89.1%	90.4%	87.4%
Bolivia	Mizque	2000	73.9%	76.4%	71.1%
Bolivia	Mizque	2017	85.9%	87.5%	84.2%
Bolivia	Modesto Omiste	2000	72.1%	75.1%	68.3%
Bolivia	Modesto Omiste	2017	77.9%	80.6%	74.1%
Bolivia	Moxos	2000	67.4%	69.9%	64.6%
Bolivia	Moxos	2017	85.5%	87.0%	83.8%
Bolivia	Muñecas	2000	82.4%	85.4%	79.2%
Bolivia	Muñecas	2017	86.5%	89.2%	84.0%
Bolivia	Narciso Campero	2000	75.2%	77.4%	72.7%
Bolivia	Narciso Campero	2017	85.9%	87.4%	84.2%
Bolivia	Nor Chichas	2000	69.0%	71.5%	66.6%
Bolivia	Nor Chichas	2017	73.2%	76.1%	70.8%
Bolivia	Nor Cinti	2000	67.3%	69.2%	65.4%
Bolivia	Nor Cinti	2017	70.9%	73.3%	68.7%
Bolivia	Nor López	2000	70.0%	74.1%	65.6%
Bolivia	Nor López	2017	75.9%	80.2%	71.4%
Bolivia	Nor Yungas	2000	83.4%	85.1%	81.4%
Bolivia	Nor Yungas	2017	88.4%	89.8%	86.5%
Bolivia	Ñuflo de Chávez	2000	91.4%	92.7%	90.2%
Bolivia	Ñuflo de Chávez	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.3%
Bolivia	Obispo Santistevan	2000	91.9%	93.0%	90.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Obispo Santistevan	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Bolivia	Omasuyos	2000	82.7%	84.4%	80.7%
Bolivia	Omasuyos	2017	86.6%	88.4%	84.7%
Bolivia	Oropeza	2000	67.0%	68.0%	65.9%
Bolivia	Oropeza	2017	72.2%	73.5%	70.9%
Bolivia	Pacajes	2000	82.6%	84.9%	79.9%
Bolivia	Pacajes	2017	87.3%	89.5%	84.9%
Bolivia	Pantaleón Dalance	2000	81.0%	82.8%	79.0%
Bolivia	Pantaleón Dalance	2017	88.2%	89.7%	86.3%
Bolivia	Pedro Domingo Murillo	2000	82.5%	83.3%	81.7%
Bolivia	Pedro Domingo Murillo	2017	87.2%	88.0%	86.5%
Bolivia	Poopó	2000	81.4%	83.4%	79.2%
Bolivia	Poopó	2017	89.0%	90.5%	87.2%
Bolivia	Punata	2000	76.6%	78.2%	74.8%
Bolivia	Punata	2017	88.3%	89.4%	87.2%
Bolivia	Quillacollo	2000	78.4%	79.6%	77.4%
Bolivia	Quillacollo	2017	89.3%	90.0%	88.6%
Bolivia	Rafael Bustillo	2000	71.3%	73.0%	69.6%
Bolivia	Rafael Bustillo	2017	77.8%	79.7%	75.9%
Bolivia	Sajama	2000	82.9%	85.6%	80.2%
Bolivia	Sajama	2017	89.8%	91.6%	87.6%
Bolivia	Sara	2000	91.7%	92.8%	90.5%
Bolivia	Sara	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.4%
Bolivia	Sucarí	2000	83.0%	85.5%	80.2%
Bolivia	Sucarí	2017	90.5%	92.1%	88.8%
Bolivia	Sud Chichas	2000	70.4%	72.8%	68.1%
Bolivia	Sud Chichas	2017	75.0%	77.8%	72.4%
Bolivia	Sud Cinti	2000	71.0%	73.5%	68.1%
Bolivia	Sud Cinti	2017	75.5%	77.7%	72.7%
Bolivia	Sud Lipez	2000	68.6%	76.2%	61.9%
Bolivia	Sud Lipez	2017	73.8%	80.5%	67.7%
Bolivia	Sud Yungas	2000	81.2%	83.1%	79.4%
Bolivia	Sud Yungas	2017	87.5%	89.2%	85.9%
Bolivia	Tapacarí	2000	76.7%	79.2%	74.0%
Bolivia	Tapacarí	2017	87.4%	89.0%	85.6%
Bolivia	Tomás Frías	2000	68.5%	70.5%	66.9%
Bolivia	Tomás Frías	2017	74.3%	76.2%	72.3%
Bolivia	Tomina	2000	66.7%	69.6%	64.0%
Bolivia	Tomina	2017	70.6%	73.7%	67.4%
Bolivia	Vaca Díez	2000	67.7%	69.2%	66.3%
Bolivia	Vaca Díez	2017	85.6%	86.5%	84.7%
Bolivia	Vallegrande	2000	88.4%	90.5%	86.1%
Bolivia	Vallegrande	2017	91.6%	93.5%	89.5%
Bolivia	Yacuma	2000	67.4%	70.6%	64.4%
Bolivia	Yacuma	2017	85.4%	87.3%	83.5%
Bolivia	Yamparáez	2000	66.6%	69.0%	64.4%
Bolivia	Yamparáez	2017	70.1%	72.3%	67.8%
Brazil	Abadia de Goiás	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Abadia de Goiás	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Abadia dos Dourados	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Abadia dos Dourados	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Abadiânia	2000	95.8%	96.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Abadiânia	2017	96.0%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Abaeté	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Abaeté	2017	95.7%	96.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Abaetetuba	2000	78.3%	80.8%	75.8%
Brazil	Abaetetuba	2017	79.1%	81.5%	76.6%
Brazil	Abaiara	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Abaiara	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Abaira	2000	94.9%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Abaira	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Abaré	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Abaré	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Abatiá	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Brazil	Abatiá	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Abdon	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Batista Abdon	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Abel Figueiredo	2000	85.1%	87.4%	82.5%
Brazil	Abel Figueiredo	2017	85.5%	87.7%	83.0%
Brazil	Abelardo Luz	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Abelardo Luz	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Abre Campo	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Abre Campo	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Abreu e Lima	2000	93.3%	94.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Abreu e Lima	2017	93.8%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Abreulândia	2000	91.8%	93.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Abreulândia	2017	92.1%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Acaiaca	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Acaiaca	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Açailândia	2000	89.8%	91.5%	87.8%
Brazil	Açailândia	2017	90.1%	91.8%	88.2%
Brazil	Acajutiba	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Acajutiba	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Acará	2000	78.0%	80.2%	76.0%
Brazil	Acará	2017	78.5%	80.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Acarapé	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Acarapé	2017	92.9%	94.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Acaraú	2000	91.8%	93.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Acaraú	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Acari	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Brazil	Acari	2017	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Acauã	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.1%
Brazil	Acauã	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.3%
Brazil	Acopiara	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Acopiara	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Acorizal	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Acorizal	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Acrelândia	2000	82.5%	84.5%	80.3%
Brazil	Acrelândia	2017	82.9%	84.9%	80.7%
Brazil	Acreúna	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Acreúna	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Açu	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Brazil	Açu	2017	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Açucena	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Açucena	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Adamantina	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Adamantina	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Adelândia	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Adelândia	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Adolfo	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Adolfo	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Adrianópolis	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Adrianópolis	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Adustina	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Adustina	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Afogados da Ingazeira	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Afogados da Ingazeira	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Afonso Bezerra	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.5%
Brazil	Afonso Bezerra	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.6%
Brazil	Afonso Cláudio	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Afonso Cláudio	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Afonso Cunha	2000	91.1%	92.6%	89.6%
Brazil	Afonso Cunha	2017	91.4%	92.9%	89.9%
Brazil	Afrânio	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Afrânio	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Afuá	2000	80.8%	83.6%	77.9%
Brazil	Afuá	2017	81.2%	84.0%	78.3%
Brazil	Agrestina	2000	93.5%	94.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Agrestina	2017	93.7%	94.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Agricolândia	2000	91.8%	93.3%	90.2%
Brazil	Agricolândia	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Agrolândia	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Agrolândia	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Agronômica	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Agronômica	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Água Azul do Norte	2000	80.8%	84.1%	77.1%
Brazil	Água Azul do Norte	2017	81.2%	84.4%	77.5%
Brazil	Água Boa	2000	93.2%	95.3%	90.6%
Brazil	Água Boa	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Água Boa	2017	93.5%	95.4%	90.9%
Brazil	Água Boa	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	92.1%	93.3%	90.9%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	91.7%	93.3%	90.1%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	92.6%	93.7%	91.4%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	94.0%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	91.9%	93.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Água Clara	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Água Clara	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Água Comprida	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Água Comprida	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Água Doce	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Água Doce	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Água Doce do Maranhão	2000	90.4%	92.2%	88.5%
Brazil	Água Doce do Maranhão	2017	90.7%	92.4%	88.9%
Brazil	Água Doce do Norte	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Água Doce do Norte	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Água Fria	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Água Fria	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Água Fria de Goiás	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Brazil	Água Fria de Goiás	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Brazil	Água Limpa	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Água Limpa	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Água Nova	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Água Nova	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Água Preta	2000	92.3%	93.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Água Preta	2017	92.5%	93.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Água Santa	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Água Santa	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Aguai	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	Aguai	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Aguanil	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Aguanil	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Águas Belas	2000	92.2%	93.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Águas Belas	2017	92.5%	93.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Águas da Prata	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	Águas da Prata	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Águas de Chapecó	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Águas de Chapecó	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Águas de Lindóia	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Brazil	Águas de Lindóia	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Águas de Santa Bárbara	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Águas de Santa Bárbara	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Águas de São Pedro	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Águas de São Pedro	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Águas Formosas	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Águas Formosas	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Águas Frias	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Águas Frias	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Águas Lindas de Goiás	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Brazil	Águas Lindas de Goiás	2017	98.0%	98.3%	97.6%
Brazil	Águas Mornas	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Águas Mornas	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Águas Vermelhas	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Águas Vermelhas	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Agudo	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Agudo	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Agudos	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Agudos	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Agudos do Sul	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Agudos do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Aguiar	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Aguiar	2017	93.8%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Aguiarnópolis	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Aguiarnópolis	2017	92.5%	94.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Aimorés	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Aimorés	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Aiquara	2000	94.5%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Aiquara	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Aiuaba	2000	93.6%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Aiuaba	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Aiuruoca	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Aiuruoca	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Ajuricaba	2000	96.2%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Ajuricaba	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Alagoa	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Alagoa	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Alagoa Grande	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Alagoa Grande	2017	94.5%	95.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Alagoa Nova	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Alagoa Nova	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Alagoinha do Piauí	2000	92.5%	94.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Alagoinha do Piauí	2017	92.8%	94.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Alagoinhas	2000	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Alagoinhas	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Alambari	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Alambari	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Albertina	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Albertina	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Alcântara	2000	88.2%	90.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Alcântara	2017	88.5%	90.3%	86.5%
Brazil	Alcântaras	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Alcântaras	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Alcantil	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Alcantil	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Alcinópolis	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Alcinópolis	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Alcobaca	2000	94.7%	96.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Alcobaca	2017	94.9%	96.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Aldeias Altas	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.7%
Brazil	Aldeias Altas	2017	91.3%	92.8%	90.0%
Brazil	Alecrim	2000	95.6%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Alecrim	2017	95.8%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Alegre	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Alegre	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Alegrete	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Alegrete	2017	96.4%	97.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Alegrete do Piauí	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Alegrete do Piauí	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alegria	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Alegria	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Além Paraíba	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Além Paraíba	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Alenquer	2000	80.6%	83.9%	76.9%
Brazil	Alenquer	2017	81.1%	84.3%	77.4%
Brazil	Alexandria	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Alexandria	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Alexania	2000	96.7%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Alexania	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
Brazil	Alexânia	2000	96.5%	97.1%	95.8%
Brazil	Alexânia	2017	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Alfenas	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Alfenas	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Alfredo Chaves	2000	95.7%	96.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Alfredo Chaves	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Alfredo Marcconde	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Alfredo Marcconde	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Alfredo Vasconcelos	2000	96.9%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Alfredo Vasconcelos	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Alfredo Wagner	2000	95.1%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Alfredo Wagner	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Algodão de Jandaíra	2000	95.7%	96.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Algodão de Jandaíra	2017	95.9%	96.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Alhandra	2000	93.7%	94.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Alhandra	2017	93.9%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Aliança	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Aliança	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Aliança do Tocantins	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Aliança do Tocantins	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Almadina	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Almadina	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Almas	2000	94.6%	96.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Almas	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Almenara	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Almenara	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Almerim	2000	83.1%	85.8%	80.0%
Brazil	Almerim	2017	83.7%	86.2%	80.6%
Brazil	Almino Afonso	2000	95.8%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Almino Afonso	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Almirante Tamandaré	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Almirante Tamandaré	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Brazil	Aloândia	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Aloândia	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Alpercata	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Alpercata	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alpestre	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Alpestre	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Alpinópolis	2000	96.5%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Alpinópolis	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Alta Floresta	2000	90.3%	92.6%	87.2%
Brazil	Alta Floresta	2017	90.6%	92.8%	87.6%
Brazil	Alta Floresta d'Oeste	2000	85.0%	87.1%	82.5%
Brazil	Alta Floresta d'Oeste	2017	85.3%	87.4%	82.8%
Brazil	Altair	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Altair	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Altamira	2000	81.6%	85.0%	78.2%
Brazil	Altamira	2017	82.0%	85.4%	78.6%
Brazil	Altamira do Maranhão	2000	89.7%	91.6%	87.4%
Brazil	Altamira do Maranhão	2017	90.1%	91.8%	87.8%
Brazil	Altamira do Paran	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Altamira do Paran	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Altaneira	2000	93.2%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Altaneira	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Alterosa	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Alterosa	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Altinho	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Altinho	2017	93.8%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Altinópolis	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	Altinópolis	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	96.2%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Maranhão	2000	90.8%	92.4%	89.3%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Maranhão	2017	91.1%	92.6%	89.6%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Pindaré	2000	88.3%	90.2%	86.3%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Pindaré	2017	88.6%	90.5%	86.7%
Brazil	Alto Alegre dos Parecis	2000	85.1%	87.1%	82.6%
Brazil	Alto Alegre dos Parecis	2017	85.6%	87.7%	83.2%
Brazil	Alto Araguaia	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Alto Araguaia	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Alto Bela Vista	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Alto Bela Vista	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Alto Boa Vista	2000	92.7%	94.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Alto Boa Vista	2017	93.0%	95.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Alto Caparaó	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Alto Caparaó	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Alto do Rodrigues	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alto do Rodrigues	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.5%
Brazil	Alto Feliz	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Alto Feliz	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Alto Garças	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Alto Garças	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Alto Horizonte	2000	94.5%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Alto Horizonte	2017	94.7%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Alto Jequitibá	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Alto Jequitibá	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Alto Longá	2000	91.4%	92.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Alto Longá	2017	91.6%	92.9%	90.3%
Brazil	Alto Paraguai	2000	92.6%	94.3%	90.7%
Brazil	Alto Paraguai	2017	92.8%	94.5%	91.0%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso	2000	82.5%	84.7%	80.2%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso	2017	82.8%	85.0%	80.6%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso de Goiás	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso de Goiás	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Alto Paraná	2000	96.9%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Alto Paraná	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Alto Parnaíba	2000	92.1%	94.1%	89.5%
Brazil	Alto Parnaíba	2017	92.3%	94.3%	89.8%
Brazil	Alto Piquiri	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Alto Piquiri	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Alto Rio doce	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Alto Rio doce	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Alto Rio Novo	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Alto Rio Novo	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Alto Santo	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Alto Santo	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Alto Taquari	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Alto Taquari	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Altônia	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Altônia	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Altos	2000	91.9%	93.0%	90.7%
Brazil	Altos	2017	92.1%	93.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Alumínio	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Alumínio	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Alvarães	2000	84.1%	87.3%	80.6%
Brazil	Alvarães	2017	84.5%	87.6%	81.1%
Brazil	Alvarenga	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Alvarenga	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Álvares Florence	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Álvares Florence	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Álvares Machado	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Álvares Machado	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Álvaro de Carvalho	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Álvaro de Carvalho	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Alvinlândia	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Alvinlândia	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Alvinópolis	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Alvinópolis	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Alvorada	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Alvorada	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Alvorada d'Oeste	2000	84.3%	86.3%	82.4%
Brazil	Alvorada d'Oeste	2017	84.6%	86.6%	82.7%
Brazil	Alvorada de Minas	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Alvorada de Minas	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Alvorada do Gurguéia	2000	91.6%	93.6%	89.3%
Brazil	Alvorada do Gurguéia	2017	91.9%	93.8%	89.6%
Brazil	Alvorada do Norte	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Alvorada do Norte	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Alvorada do Sul	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Alvorada do Sul	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Amajari	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Amajari	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Amambai	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Amambai	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Amapá	2000	85.2%	88.0%	81.9%
Brazil	Amapá	2017	85.6%	88.3%	82.3%
Brazil	Amapá do Maranhão	2000	83.3%	86.2%	80.5%
Brazil	Amapá do Maranhão	2017	83.8%	86.5%	81.0%
Brazil	Amapora	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Amapora	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Amaraji	2000	93.3%	94.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Amaraji	2017	93.5%	94.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Amaral Ferrador	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Amaral Ferrador	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Amaralina	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Amaralina	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Amarante	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.7%
Brazil	Amarante	2017	91.7%	93.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Amarante do Maranhão	2000	90.9%	92.9%	89.0%
Brazil	Amarante do Maranhão	2017	91.2%	93.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Amargosa	2000	95.4%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Amargosa	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Amaturá	2000	80.9%	85.1%	77.1%
Brazil	Amaturá	2017	81.5%	85.5%	77.7%
Brazil	Amélia Rodrigues	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Amélia Rodrigues	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	América dourada	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	América dourada	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Americana	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Americana	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Americano do Brazil	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Americano do Brazil	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Américo Brasiliense	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Américo Brasiliense	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Américo de Campos	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Américo de Campos	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Brazil	Ametista do Sul	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Ametista do Sul	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Amontada	2000	91.5%	93.0%	89.8%
Brazil	Amontada	2017	91.8%	93.2%	90.1%
Brazil	Amorinópolis	2000	94.5%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Amorinópolis	2017	94.7%	96.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Amparo	2000	93.9%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Amparo	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Brazil	Amparo	2017	94.1%	95.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Amparo	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Amparo de São Francisco	2000	92.9%	93.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Amparo de São Francisco	2017	93.2%	94.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Amparo do Serra	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Amparo do Serra	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Ampére	2000	96.3%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Ampére	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Anadia	2000	92.0%	92.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Anadia	2017	92.3%	93.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Anagé	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Anagé	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Anahy	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Anahy	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Anajas	2000	79.2%	82.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Anajas	2017	79.6%	82.4%	76.9%
Brazil	Anajatuba	2000	89.8%	91.6%	88.0%
Brazil	Anajatuba	2017	90.4%	92.1%	88.6%
Brazil	Analandia	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Analandia	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Anamã	2000	82.3%	85.4%	79.1%
Brazil	Anamã	2017	82.8%	85.8%	79.7%
Brazil	Ananás	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Ananás	2017	92.5%	93.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Ananindeua	2000	78.1%	79.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Ananindeua	2017	78.5%	80.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Anápolis	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Anápolis	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Anapu	2000	79.5%	83.5%	75.4%
Brazil	Anapu	2017	80.0%	84.0%	75.9%
Brazil	Anapuros	2000	91.1%	92.6%	89.4%
Brazil	Anapuros	2017	91.4%	92.8%	89.7%
Brazil	Anastácio	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Anastácio	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Anaurilândia	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Anaurilândia	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Anchieta	2000	95.0%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Anchieta	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Anchieta	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Anchieta	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Andaraí	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Andaraí	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Andira	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Andira	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
Brazil	Andirá	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Andirá	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Andorinha	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Andorinha	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Andradas	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Andradas	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Brazil	Andradina	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Andradina	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	André da Rocha	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	André da Rocha	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Andrelândia	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Andrelândia	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Angatuba	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Angatuba	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Angelândia	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Angelândia	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Angélica	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Angélica	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Angelim	2000	93.3%	94.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Angelim	2017	93.5%	94.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Angelina	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Angelina	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Angical	2000	94.6%	96.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Angical	2017	94.8%	96.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Angical do Piauí	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Angical do Piauí	2017	92.0%	93.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Angico	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Angico	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Angicos	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.7%
Brazil	Angicos	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	Angra dos Reis	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Angra dos Reis	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Anguera	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Anguera	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Ângulo	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Ângulo	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Anhanguera	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Anhanguera	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Anhembi	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Anhembi	2017	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Anhumas	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Anhumas	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Anicuns	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Anicuns	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Anísio de Abreu	2000	92.8%	94.4%	90.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Anísio de Abreu	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Anita Garibaldi	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Anita Garibaldi	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Anitápolis	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Anitápolis	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Anori	2000	81.7%	84.8%	78.6%
Brazil	Anori	2017	82.2%	85.3%	79.2%
Brazil	Anta Gorda	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Anta Gorda	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Antas	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Antas	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Antonina	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Antonina	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Antonina do Norte	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Antonina do Norte	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Antônio Almeida	2000	91.8%	93.8%	89.8%
Brazil	Antônio Almeida	2017	92.1%	94.0%	90.1%
Brazil	Antônio Cardoso	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Antônio Cardoso	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2000	93.3%	94.9%	91.4%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2017	93.6%	95.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Antônio Dias	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Antônio Dias	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Antônio Gonçalves	2000	94.8%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Antônio Gonçalves	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Antônio João	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Antônio João	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Antônio Martins	2000	95.8%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Antônio Martins	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Antonio Olinto	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Antonio Olinto	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Antônio Prado	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Antônio Prado	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Antonio Prado de Minas	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Antonio Prado de Minas	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aparecida	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Brazil	Aparecida	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Aparecida	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Aparecida	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Aparecida de Goiânia	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Aparecida de Goiânia	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio doce	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio doce	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio Negro	2000	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio Negro	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Aparecida do Taboado	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Brazil	Aparecida do Taboado	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Aparecida doeste	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Aparecida doeste	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Aperibé	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Aperibé	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Apiacá	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Apiacá	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Apiacás	2000	90.2%	93.0%	86.6%
Brazil	Apiacás	2017	90.6%	93.3%	87.1%
Brazil	Apiaiá	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	Apiaiá	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Apicum-Açu	2000	87.9%	90.6%	84.4%
Brazil	Apicum-Açu	2017	88.2%	90.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Apiúna	2000	94.2%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Apiúna	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Apodi	2000	95.6%	96.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Apodi	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Aporá	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Aporá	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Aporé	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Aporé	2017	95.5%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Apuarema	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Apuarema	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Apucarana	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Apucarana	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Apuí	2000	87.5%	90.9%	83.0%
Brazil	Apuí	2017	88.0%	91.3%	83.5%
Brazil	Apuiarés	2000	92.7%	93.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Apuiarés	2017	93.0%	94.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Aquidabã	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Aquidabã	2017	93.9%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Aquidauana	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Aquidauana	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Aquiraz	2000	92.1%	93.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Aquiraz	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.2%
Brazil	Arabutã	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Arabutã	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Aracagi	2000	94.9%	95.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Aracagi	2017	95.1%	95.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Aracai	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Aracai	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aracaju	2000	94.1%	94.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Aracaju	2017	94.3%	94.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Araçariгуama	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Araçariгуama	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Araças	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Araças	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Aracati	2000	94.2%	95.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Aracati	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Aracatu	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Aracatu	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Araçatuba	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Araçatuba	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Araci	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Araci	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Aracitaba	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Aracitaba	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2000	94.0%	94.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Araçoiaba da Serra	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Araçoiaba da Serra	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Aracruz	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Aracruz	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Araçu	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Araçu	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Araçuaí	2000	96.2%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Araçuaí	2017	96.4%	97.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Aragarças	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Aragarças	2017	93.8%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Aragoiânia	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Aragoiânia	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Aragominas	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.5%
Brazil	Aragominas	2017	91.7%	93.1%	89.8%
Brazil	Araguacema	2000	88.7%	91.0%	86.4%
Brazil	Araguacema	2017	89.0%	91.3%	86.7%
Brazil	Araguaçu	2000	93.8%	95.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Araguaçu	2017	94.0%	95.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Araguaiana	2000	93.2%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Araguaiana	2017	93.5%	95.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Araguaína	2000	93.0%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Araguaína	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Araguainha	2000	93.7%	95.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Araguainha	2017	93.9%	95.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Araguanã	2000	90.5%	92.3%	88.7%
Brazil	Araguanã	2000	88.0%	90.0%	85.6%
Brazil	Araguanã	2017	90.8%	92.6%	89.0%
Brazil	Araguanã	2017	88.4%	90.3%	86.0%
Brazil	Araguapaz	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Araguapaz	2017	94.0%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Araguari	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Araguari	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Araguatins	2000	89.7%	91.2%	87.8%
Brazil	Araguatins	2017	90.0%	91.5%	88.2%
Brazil	Araioses	2000	91.1%	92.8%	89.3%
Brazil	Araioses	2017	91.4%	93.0%	89.6%
Brazil	Aral Moreira	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Aral Moreira	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Aramari	2000	94.4%	95.5%	93.1%
Brazil	Aramari	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Arambaré	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Arambaré	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Arame	2000	90.4%	92.5%	88.3%
Brazil	Arame	2017	90.7%	92.7%	88.6%
Brazil	Aramina	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Aramina	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Arandu	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Arandu	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Arantina	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Arantina	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Arapeí	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Arapeí	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Arapiraca	2000	92.2%	93.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Arapiraca	2017	92.5%	93.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Arapoema	2000	89.7%	91.4%	87.4%
Brazil	Arapoema	2017	90.0%	91.7%	87.8%
Brazil	Araponga	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Araponga	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Arapongas	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Arapongas	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Araporã	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Araporã	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Arapoti	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Arapoti	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Arapu	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Arapu	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Arapuá	2000	95.8%	97.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Arapuá	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Araputanga	2000	92.6%	94.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Araputanga	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.3%
Brazil	Araquari	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Araquari	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Arara	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Arara	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Araranguá	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Araranguá	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Araraquara	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Araraquara	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Araras	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Araras	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Ararendá	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Ararendá	2017	93.0%	94.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Arari	2000	90.1%	91.7%	88.1%
Brazil	Arari	2017	90.4%	92.0%	88.4%
Brazil	Araricá	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Araricá	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Araripe	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Araripe	2017	93.4%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Araripina	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Araripina	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Araruama	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Araruama	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Araruna	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Araruna	2000	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Araruna	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Araruna	2017	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Brazil	Arataca	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Arataca	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Aratiba	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Aratiba	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Aratuba	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Aratuba	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aratuípe	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2000	93.6%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Arauaá	2000	94.5%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Arauaá	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Araucária	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Brazil	Araucária	2017	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Brazil	Araujos	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Araujos	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Araújos	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Araújos	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Araxá	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Araxá	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Arceburgo	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Arceburgo	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Arco-íris	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Arco-íris	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Arcos	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Arcos	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Arcoverde	2000	93.3%	94.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Arcoverde	2017	93.5%	94.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Areado	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Areado	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Areal	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Areal	2017	95.6%	96.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Arealva	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Arealva	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Areia	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Areia	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2000	94.7%	95.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2017	94.9%	95.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Areia de Baraúnas	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Areia de Baraúnas	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Areial	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Areial	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Areias	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.1%
Brazil	Areias	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	Areiópolis	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Areiópolis	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.2%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2017	92.4%	94.1%	90.5%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2000	94.1%	95.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2017	94.3%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Arês	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Arês	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Brazil	Argirita	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Argirita	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Aricanduva	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Aricanduva	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Arinos	2000	96.4%	97.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Arinos	2017	96.6%	98.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Aripuanã	2000	89.1%	91.5%	86.4%
Brazil	Aripuanã	2017	89.5%	91.8%	86.8%
Brazil	Ariquemes	2000	84.2%	86.3%	82.1%
Brazil	Ariquemes	2017	84.5%	86.6%	82.4%
Brazil	Ariranha	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Ariranha	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ariranha do Ivaí	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Ariranha do Ivaí	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Armação dos Búzios	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Armação dos Búzios	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Armazém	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Armazém	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Arneiroz	2000	93.5%	94.7%	91.9%
Brazil	Arneiroz	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Aroazes	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.7%
Brazil	Aroazes	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Aroeiras	2000	93.8%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Aroeiras	2017	94.0%	94.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Arraial	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.6%
Brazil	Arraial	2017	91.7%	93.1%	89.9%
Brazil	Arraial do Cabo	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Arraial do Cabo	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Arraias	2000	94.6%	96.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Arraias	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Arroio do Meio	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Arroio do Meio	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Arroio do Sal	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Arroio do Sal	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Arroio do Tigre	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Arroio do Tigre	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Arroio dos Ratos	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Arroio dos Ratos	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Arroio Grande	2000	95.7%	97.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Arroio Grande	2017	95.9%	97.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Arroio Trinta	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Arroio Trinta	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Artur Nogueira	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Artur Nogueira	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Aruanã	2000	93.4%	95.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Aruanã	2017	93.6%	95.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Aruja	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Aruja	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Arvoredo	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Arvoredo	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Arvorezinha	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Arvorezinha	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Ascurra	2000	94.2%	95.3%	92.9%
Brazil	Ascurra	2017	94.4%	95.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Aspásia	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Aspásia	2017	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
Brazil	Assaí	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Assaí	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Assaré	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Assaré	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Assis	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Assis	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Assis Brazil	2000	84.2%	87.2%	81.1%
Brazil	Assis Brazil	2017	84.6%	87.6%	81.6%
Brazil	Assis Chateaubri	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Assis Chateaubri	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Assunção	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Assunção	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Assunção do Piauí	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Assunção do Piauí	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Astolfo Dutra	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Astolfo Dutra	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Astorga	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Astorga	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Atalaia do Norte	2000	82.3%	85.3%	78.2%
Brazil	Atalaia do Norte	2017	82.8%	85.7%	78.8%
Brazil	Atalanta	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Atalanta	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Ataleia	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Ataleia	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Ataléia	2000	91.9%	92.8%	91.0%
Brazil	Ataléia	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Ataléia	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Ataléia	2017	92.1%	93.0%	91.3%
Brazil	Atibaia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Atibaia	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Atilio Vivacqua	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Atilio Vivacqua	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Augustinópolis	2000	91.2%	92.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Augustinópolis	2017	91.5%	93.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Augusto Corrêa	2000	82.2%	84.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Augusto Corrêa	2017	82.6%	85.1%	79.8%
Brazil	Augusto de Lima	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Augusto de Lima	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Augusto Pestana	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Augusto Pestana	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Augusto Severo	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Augusto Severo	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Aurelino Leal	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Aurelino Leal	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Auriflama	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.8%
Brazil	Auriflama	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Aurilândia	2000	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Aurilândia	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Aurora	2000	92.9%	93.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Aurora	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aurora	2017	93.1%	94.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Aurora	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Aurora do Pará	2000	78.6%	81.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Aurora do Pará	2017	79.1%	81.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Aurora do Tocantins	2000	94.5%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Aurora do Tocantins	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Autazes	2000	81.0%	83.7%	78.6%
Brazil	Autazes	2017	81.5%	84.1%	79.1%
Brazil	Avaí	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Avaí	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Avanhandava	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Avanhandava	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.9%
Brazil	Avare	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Avare	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.1%
Brazil	Aveiro	2000	78.7%	82.1%	74.2%
Brazil	Aveiro	2017	79.1%	82.5%	74.7%
Brazil	Avelino Lopes	2000	93.5%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Avelino Lopes	2017	93.7%	95.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Avelinópolis	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Avelinópolis	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Axixá	2000	89.7%	91.2%	88.0%
Brazil	Axixá	2017	90.0%	91.5%	88.3%
Brazil	Axixá do Tocantins	2000	91.7%	93.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Axixá do Tocantins	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.4%
Brazil	Babaçulândia	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.3%
Brazil	Babaçulândia	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Bacabal	2000	89.7%	91.6%	87.9%
Brazil	Bacabal	2017	90.0%	91.8%	88.3%
Brazil	Bacabeira	2000	89.8%	91.3%	88.0%
Brazil	Bacabeira	2017	90.1%	91.6%	88.4%
Brazil	Bacuri	2000	88.3%	90.6%	85.7%
Brazil	Bacuri	2017	88.7%	90.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Bacurituba	2000	88.6%	90.4%	86.8%
Brazil	Bacurituba	2017	89.0%	90.7%	87.2%
Brazil	Bady Bassitt	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Bady Bassitt	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Baependi	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Baependi	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Bagé	2000	79.4%	82.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Bagé	2017	79.8%	82.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Baía da Traição	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Baía da Traição	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Baía Formosa	2000	95.8%	96.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Baía Formosa	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Baianópolis	2000	94.9%	96.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Baianópolis	2017	95.1%	96.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Baião	2000	77.3%	80.8%	74.4%
Brazil	Baião	2017	77.7%	81.2%	74.9%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2000	91.9%	94.1%	89.2%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2017	92.2%	94.3%	89.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Baixio	2000	93.8%	94.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Baixio	2017	94.0%	94.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Baixo Guandu	2000	95.0%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Baixo Guandu	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Baje	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Baje	2017	96.7%	97.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Balbinos	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Balbinos	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Baldim	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Baldim	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Baliza	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Baliza	2017	93.8%	95.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Balneário Arroio do Silva	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Balneário Arroio do Silva	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Balneário Barra do Sul	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Balneário Barra do Sul	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Balneário Camboriú	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Balneário Camboriú	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Balneário Gaivota	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Balneário Gaivota	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Balneário Pinhal	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Balneário Pinhal	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Balsa Nova	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Balsa Nova	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Bálsamo	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Bálsamo	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Balsas	2000	92.0%	94.1%	89.6%
Brazil	Balsas	2017	92.5%	94.5%	90.2%
Brazil	Bambuí	2000	96.4%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Bambuí	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Banabuiú	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Banabuiú	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Bananal	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Brazil	Bananal	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	Bananeiras	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Bananeiras	2017	95.4%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Bandeira	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Bandeira	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Bandeirante	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Bandeirante	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Bandeirantes do Tocantins	2000	92.5%	93.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Bandeirantes do Tocantins	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Bandiera do Sul	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Bandiera do Sul	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bannach	2000	82.5%	85.9%	79.1%
Brazil	Bannach	2017	82.9%	86.2%	79.5%
Brazil	Banzaê	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Banzaê	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Barão de Antonina	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Barão de Antonina	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Barão de Coacais	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Barão de Coacais	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Barao de Cotegipe	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Barao de Cotegipe	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Barão de Grajaú	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Barão de Grajaú	2017	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Barão de Melgaço	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Barão de Melgaço	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Barão de Monte Alto	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Barão de Monte Alto	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Barão do Triunfo	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Barão do Triunfo	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Baraúna	2000	95.6%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Baraúna	2000	96.5%	97.1%	95.8%
Brazil	Baraúna	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Baraúna	2017	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Barbacena	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Barbacena	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Barbalha	2000	93.1%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Barbalha	2017	93.4%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Barbosa	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Barbosa	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Barbosa Ferraz	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Barbosa Ferraz	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Barcarena	2000	78.2%	80.3%	76.4%
Brazil	Barcarena	2017	78.7%	80.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Barcelona	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Brazil	Barcelona	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	Barcelos	2000	88.5%	91.4%	84.3%
Brazil	Barcelos	2017	89.3%	92.0%	85.3%
Brazil	Bariri	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Bariri	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Baro	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Baro	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Brazil	Barra	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Barra	2017	94.7%	96.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barra d'Alcântara	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.1%
Brazil	Barra d'Alcântara	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Barra da Choça	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Barra da Choça	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Barra da Estiva	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Barra da Estiva	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Barra de Guabira	2000	93.9%	94.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Barra de Guabira	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Barra de Santa Rosa	2000	96.3%	96.9%	95.7%
Brazil	Barra de Santa Rosa	2017	96.5%	97.0%	95.8%
Brazil	Barra de Santana	2000	94.1%	95.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Barra de Santana	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Barra de Santo Antônio	2000	90.9%	92.1%	89.6%
Brazil	Barra de Santo Antônio	2017	91.2%	92.3%	89.9%
Brazil	Barra de São Francisco	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Barra de São Francisco	2017	96.0%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2000	90.6%	91.7%	89.3%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2000	94.2%	95.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2017	91.3%	92.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Barra do Chapéu	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Barra do Chapéu	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Barra do Corda	2000	90.8%	92.5%	88.9%
Brazil	Barra do Corda	2017	91.1%	92.8%	89.2%
Brazil	Barra do Garças	2000	93.5%	95.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Barra do Garças	2017	93.7%	95.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Barra do Guarita	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Barra do Guarita	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Barra do Jacaré	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Barra do Jacaré	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	Barra do Mendes	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barra do Mendes	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Barra do Ouro	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Barra do Ouro	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Barra do Piraí	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Barra do Piraí	2017	95.6%	96.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Barra do Quaraí	2000	95.8%	98.0%	92.5%
Brazil	Barra do Quaraí	2017	96.0%	98.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Barra do Ribeiro	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Barra do Ribeiro	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Barra do Rio Azul	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Barra do Rio Azul	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Barra do Rocha	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Barra do Rocha	2017	94.5%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Barra do Turvo	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Barra do Turvo	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Barra dos Bugre	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Barra dos Bugre	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Barra dos Coqueiros	2000	93.3%	94.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Barra dos Coqueiros	2017	93.6%	94.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Barra Funda	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Barra Funda	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Barra Longa	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Barra Longa	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Barra Mansa	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Barra Mansa	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Barra Velha	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Barra Velha	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Barracão	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Barracão	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Barracão	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Barracão	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Barras	2000	91.0%	92.3%	89.6%
Brazil	Barras	2017	91.3%	92.5%	89.9%
Brazil	Barreira	2000	92.7%	93.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Barreira	2017	92.9%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Barreiras	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Barreiras	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Barreiras do Piauí	2000	92.6%	94.6%	90.1%
Brazil	Barreiras do Piauí	2017	92.8%	94.8%	90.4%
Brazil	Barreirinha	2000	82.0%	84.5%	79.2%
Brazil	Barreirinha	2017	82.5%	85.0%	79.8%
Brazil	Barreirinhas	2000	90.4%	92.2%	88.1%
Brazil	Barreirinhas	2017	90.7%	92.5%	88.5%
Brazil	Barreiros	2000	91.8%	93.0%	90.4%
Brazil	Barreiros	2017	92.1%	93.2%	90.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barretos	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Barretos	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Barrinha	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Barrinha	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Barro	2000	93.7%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Barro	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Barro Duro	2000	91.6%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Barro Duro	2017	91.9%	93.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Barro Preto	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Barro Preto	2017	94.5%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Barrolândia	2000	93.4%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Barrolândia	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Barroquinha	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.7%
Brazil	Barroquinha	2017	92.0%	93.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Barros Cassal	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Barros Cassal	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Barroso	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Barroso	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Barueri	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Barueri	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Bastos	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Bastos	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Bataguassu	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Bataguassu	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Bataiporã	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Bataiporã	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Batalha	2000	90.7%	92.0%	89.2%
Brazil	Batalha	2000	92.1%	92.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Batalha	2017	91.0%	92.2%	89.5%
Brazil	Batalha	2017	92.3%	93.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Batatais	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Batatais	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Baturité	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Baturité	2017	92.9%	94.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Bauru	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Bauru	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Bayeux	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Bayeux	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Bebedouro	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Bebedouro	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Beberibe	2000	93.1%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Beberibe	2017	93.3%	94.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Bela Cruz	2000	91.9%	93.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Bela Cruz	2017	92.1%	93.8%	90.2%
Brazil	Bela Vista	2000	95.3%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Bela Vista	2017	95.5%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Bela Vista da Caroba	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Bela Vista da Caroba	2017	96.2%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Goiás	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Goiás	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Minas	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Minas	2017	96.0%	96.8%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bela Vista do Maranhão	2000	89.3%	91.0%	87.4%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Maranhão	2017	89.6%	91.3%	87.8%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Paraíso	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Paraíso	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Piauí	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.5%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Piauí	2017	92.9%	94.3%	90.8%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Toldo	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Toldo	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Belágua	2000	89.8%	91.7%	87.7%
Brazil	Belágua	2017	90.1%	92.0%	88.1%
Brazil	Belém	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Belém	2000	78.5%	80.3%	76.9%
Brazil	Belém	2000	91.2%	92.1%	90.3%
Brazil	Belém	2017	91.5%	92.4%	90.6%
Brazil	Belém	2017	79.0%	80.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Belém	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Belém de Maria	2000	92.6%	93.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Belém de Maria	2017	92.8%	93.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Belém de São Francisco	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Belém de São Francisco	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Belém do Brejo do Cruz	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Belém do Brejo do Cruz	2017	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Belém do Piauí	2000	92.6%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Belém do Piauí	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Belford Roxo	2000	95.2%	95.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Belford Roxo	2017	95.4%	95.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Belmiro Braga	2000	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Belmiro Braga	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Belmonte	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Belmonte	2000	94.1%	95.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Belmonte	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Belmonte	2017	94.3%	96.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Belo Campo	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Belo Campo	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Belo Horizonte	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Belo Horizonte	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Belo Jardim	2000	93.9%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Belo Jardim	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Belo Monte	2000	92.5%	93.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Belo Monte	2017	92.7%	93.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Belo Oriente	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Belo Oriente	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Belo Vale	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Belo Vale	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Belterra	2000	80.7%	83.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Belterra	2017	81.1%	84.1%	77.9%
Brazil	Beneditinos	2000	91.2%	92.5%	89.6%
Brazil	Beneditinos	2017	91.7%	92.9%	90.2%
Brazil	Benedito Leite	2000	91.6%	93.7%	89.4%
Brazil	Benedito Leite	2017	91.9%	93.9%	89.7%
Brazil	Benedito Novo	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Benedito Novo	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Benevides	2000	77.7%	79.6%	75.9%
Brazil	Benevides	2017	78.1%	80.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant	2000	83.6%	86.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant	2017	84.1%	87.0%	80.7%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Bento de Abreu	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Bento de Abreu	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Bento Fernandes	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	Bento Fernandes	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Brazil	Bento Gonçalves	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Bento Gonçalves	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Bequimão	2000	88.9%	90.8%	87.0%
Brazil	Bequimão	2017	89.3%	91.1%	87.4%
Brazil	Berilo	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Berilo	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Berizal	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Berizal	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Bernardino Batista	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Bernardino Batista	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Bernardino de Campos	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Bernardino de Campos	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Bernardo do Mearim	2000	90.1%	92.0%	88.3%
Brazil	Bernardo do Mearim	2017	90.4%	92.2%	88.6%
Brazil	Bernardo Sayão	2000	90.4%	92.0%	88.2%
Brazil	Bernardo Sayão	2017	90.7%	92.3%	88.5%
Brazil	Bertioga	2000	96.9%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Bertioga	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Bertolândia	2000	92.0%	93.9%	89.6%
Brazil	Bertolândia	2017	92.2%	94.1%	89.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bertópolis	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Bertópolis	2017	95.7%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Beruri	2000	81.7%	84.4%	78.8%
Brazil	Beruri	2017	82.2%	84.9%	79.4%
Brazil	Betânia	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Betânia	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Betânia do Piauí	2000	92.9%	94.5%	91.0%
Brazil	Betânia do Piauí	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Betim	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Betim	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Bezerros	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Bezerros	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Bias Fortes	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Bias Fortes	2017	96.0%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Bicas	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Bicas	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Biguaçu	2000	93.4%	95.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Biguaçu	2017	93.6%	95.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Bilac	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Bilac	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Biquinhas	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Biquinhas	2017	95.6%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Birigui	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Birigui	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Biritiba Mirim	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Biritiba Mirim	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Biritinga	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Biritinga	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Bituruna	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Bituruna	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Blumenau	2000	94.0%	95.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Blumenau	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Iguaçu	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Iguaçu	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Sul	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Sul	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Boa Hora	2000	91.0%	92.3%	89.4%
Brazil	Boa Hora	2017	91.2%	92.5%	89.7%
Brazil	Boa Nova	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Boa Nova	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Boa Ventura	2000	93.2%	94.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Boa Ventura	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Boa Ventura de São Roque	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Boa Ventura de São Roque	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Boa Viagem	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Boa Viagem	2017	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.2%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista da Aparecida	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Boa Vista da Aparecida	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Misses	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Misses	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Missões	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Missões	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Buricá	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Buricá	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Gurupi	2000	82.6%	85.5%	79.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Gurupi	2017	83.3%	86.1%	80.4%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Ramos	2000	81.0%	83.9%	77.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Ramos	2017	81.5%	84.4%	78.3%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Sul	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Sul	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Tupim	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Tupim	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Boca da Mata	2000	91.3%	92.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Boca da Mata	2017	91.6%	92.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Boca do Acre	2000	83.7%	86.2%	81.3%
Brazil	Boca do Acre	2017	84.1%	86.5%	81.7%
Brazil	Bocaina	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Brazil	Bocaina	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Bocaina	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Brazil	Bocaina	2017	92.5%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Bocaina de Minas	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Bocaina de Minas	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.5%
Brazil	Bocaina do Sul	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Bocaina do Sul	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Bocaiúva	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Bocaiúva	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bocaiúva do Sul	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.4%
Brazil	Bocaiúva do Sul	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Brazil	Bodó	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Brazil	Bodó	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Brazil	Bodocó	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Bodocó	2017	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Bodoquena	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Bodoquena	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Bofete	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Bofete	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Boituva	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Boituva	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Bom Conselho	2000	92.4%	93.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Bom Conselho	2017	92.7%	93.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Bom despacho	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Bom despacho	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	93.2%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Bom Jardim da Serra	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Bom Jardim da Serra	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Goiás	2000	93.6%	95.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Goiás	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Minas	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Minas	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	88.7%	90.5%	86.9%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	89.1%	90.8%	87.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	91.7%	93.6%	89.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	94.1%	95.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	91.9%	93.9%	89.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Lapa	2000	94.9%	96.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Lapa	2017	95.3%	96.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Penha	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Penha	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Serra	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Serra	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus das Selvas	2000	88.7%	90.7%	86.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus das Selvas	2017	89.1%	91.0%	86.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bom Jesus de Goiás	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus de Goiás	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Amparo	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Amparo	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Galho	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Galho	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Itabapoana	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Itabapoana	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Norte	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Norte	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Oeste	2000	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Oeste	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Sul	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Sul	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2000	84.2%	86.5%	81.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2017	84.6%	86.8%	82.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Bom Lugar	2000	90.3%	92.1%	88.6%
Brazil	Bom Lugar	2017	90.6%	92.4%	88.9%
Brazil	Bom Princípio	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Bom Princípio	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Bom Princípio do Piauí	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.1%
Brazil	Bom Princípio do Piauí	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Bom Progresso	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Bom Progresso	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Bom Repouso	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Bom Repouso	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Bom Retiro	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Bom Retiro	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Bom Retiro do Sul	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Bom Retiro do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bom Sucesso de Itararé	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso de Itararé	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso do Sul	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso do Sul	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Bombinhas	2000	93.6%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Bombinhas	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Bon Jesus dos Perdoes	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Brazil	Bon Jesus dos Perdoes	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Bonfim	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Bonfim	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Bonfim	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Bonfim	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Bonfim do Piauí	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.3%
Brazil	Bonfim do Piauí	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis	2000	94.0%	95.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis	2017	94.2%	95.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis de Minas	2000	96.4%	97.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis de Minas	2017	96.5%	97.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Boninal	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Boninal	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	93.8%	94.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	78.0%	80.6%	75.2%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	78.4%	81.0%	75.7%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	93.9%	94.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Bonito de Minas	2000	95.8%	97.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Bonito de Minas	2017	95.9%	97.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Bonito de Santa Fé	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Bonito de Santa Fé	2017	93.8%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Bonópolis	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Bonópolis	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Boqueirão	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Boqueirão	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Leão	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Leão	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Piauí	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.5%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Piauí	2017	91.3%	92.7%	89.7%
Brazil	Boqueirao dos Cochos	2000	93.4%	94.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Boqueirao dos Cochos	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Boquira	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Boquira	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Borá	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Borá	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Boracéia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Boracéia	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Borba	2000	81.9%	85.4%	78.2%
Brazil	Borba	2017	82.4%	85.8%	78.8%
Brazil	Borborema	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Borborema	2000	95.0%	95.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Borborema	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Borborema	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Borda da Mata	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Brazil	Borda da Mata	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Brazil	Borebi	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Borebi	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Borrazópolis	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Borrazópolis	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Bossoroca	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Bossoroca	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Botelhos	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Botelhos	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Botucatu	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Botucatu	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Botumirim	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Botumirim	2017	96.4%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Botuporã	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Botuporã	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Botuverá	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Botuverá	2017	93.8%	95.0%	92.4%
Brazil	Braço do Norte	2000	94.2%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Braço do Norte	2017	94.4%	95.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Braço do Trombudo	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Braço do Trombudo	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Braga	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Braga	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Bragança Paulista	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Bragança Paulista	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Braganey	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Braganey	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Braganga	2000	81.6%	84.3%	78.5%
Brazil	Braganga	2017	82.1%	84.7%	79.1%
Brazil	Branquinha	2000	91.7%	92.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Branquinha	2017	91.9%	92.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Bras Pires	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Bras Pires	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Brasabrantés	2000	94.9%	95.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Brasabrantés	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Brasilândia	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Brasilândia	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Brasilândia de Minas	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Brasilândia de Minas	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Brasilândia do Sul	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Brasilândia do Sul	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Brasilândia do Tocantins	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Brasilândia do Tocantins	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Brasília	2000	83.2%	85.6%	80.7%
Brazil	Brasília	2017	83.6%	86.0%	81.1%
Brazil	Brasília	2000	98.3%	98.6%	98.0%
Brazil	Brasília	2017	98.4%	98.6%	98.1%
Brazil	Brasília de Minas	2000	96.1%	97.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Brasília de Minas	2017	96.3%	97.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Brasnorte	2000	91.5%	93.9%	89.0%
Brazil	Brasnorte	2017	91.8%	94.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Brasópolis	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Brasópolis	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Brauna	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Brauna	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Braúnas	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Braúnas	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	Brazil Novo	2000	81.2%	84.9%	77.2%
Brazil	Brazil Novo	2017	81.6%	85.3%	77.7%
Brazil	Brazileira	2000	91.1%	92.4%	89.5%
Brazil	Brazileira	2017	91.4%	92.7%	89.8%
Brazil	Brejão	2000	92.3%	93.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Brejão	2017	92.6%	93.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Brejetuba	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Brejetuba	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Brejinho	2000	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
Brazil	Brejinho	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Brejinho	2017	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Brejinho	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Brejinho de Nazaré	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Brejinho de Nazaré	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Brejo	2000	90.3%	91.8%	88.6%
Brazil	Brejo	2017	90.5%	92.0%	88.9%
Brazil	Brejo Alegre	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Brejo Alegre	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Brejo da Madre de deus	2000	93.9%	94.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Brejo da Madre de deus	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Brejo de Areia	2000	90.1%	91.9%	87.6%
Brazil	Brejo de Areia	2017	90.4%	92.2%	87.9%
Brazil	Brejo do Cruz	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Brejo do Cruz	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Brejo do Piauí	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.4%
Brazil	Brejo do Piauí	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.7%
Brazil	Brejo dos Santos	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Brejo dos Santos	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Brejo Grande	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.9%
Brazil	Brejo Grande	2017	92.4%	93.7%	91.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Brejo Grande do Araguaia	2000	88.4%	90.2%	86.5%
Brazil	Brejo Grande do Araguaia	2017	88.8%	90.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Brejo Santo	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Brejo Santo	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Brejões	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Brejões	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Brejolândia	2000	94.9%	96.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Brejolândia	2017	95.1%	96.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Breu Branco	2000	77.7%	80.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Breu Branco	2017	78.2%	81.4%	75.6%
Brazil	Breves	2000	81.6%	84.2%	78.8%
Brazil	Breves	2017	82.0%	84.6%	79.2%
Brazil	Brochier	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Brochier	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Brodosqui	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Brodosqui	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	Brotas	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Brotas	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Brotas de Macaúbas	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Brotas de Macaúbas	2017	95.5%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Brumadinho	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Brumadinho	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Brumado	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Brumado	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Brunópolis	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Brunópolis	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Brusque	2000	93.5%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Brusque	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.5%
Brazil	Bueno Brandão	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Brazil	Bueno Brandão	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Buenópolis	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Buenópolis	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Buenos Aires	2000	94.0%	94.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Buenos Aires	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Buerarema	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Buerarema	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Bugre	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Bugre	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Buíque	2000	92.6%	93.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Buíque	2017	92.8%	93.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Bujari	2000	81.4%	82.8%	79.7%
Brazil	Bujari	2017	81.8%	83.2%	80.1%
Brazil	Bujaru	2000	76.7%	78.9%	74.6%
Brazil	Bujaru	2017	77.2%	79.3%	75.1%
Brazil	Buquim	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Buquim	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Buri	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Buri	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Brazil	Buritama	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.3%
Brazil	Buritama	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Buriti	2000	90.7%	92.0%	89.1%
Brazil	Buriti	2017	91.0%	92.3%	89.4%
Brazil	Buriti Alegre	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Buriti Alegre	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Buriti Bravo	2000	91.6%	93.2%	89.7%
Brazil	Buriti Bravo	2017	91.8%	93.4%	90.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Buriti de Goiás	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Buriti de Goiás	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Buriti do Tocantins	2000	88.4%	90.3%	86.3%
Brazil	Buriti do Tocantins	2017	88.7%	90.6%	86.7%
Brazil	Buriti dos Lopes	2000	91.1%	92.7%	89.5%
Brazil	Buriti dos Lopes	2017	91.4%	92.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Buriti dos Montes	2000	92.7%	94.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Buriti dos Montes	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Buriticupu	2000	89.1%	91.1%	86.8%
Brazil	Buriticupu	2017	89.4%	91.4%	87.2%
Brazil	Buritinópolis	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Buritinópolis	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Buritirama	2000	93.5%	95.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Buritirama	2017	93.7%	95.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Buritirana	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.8%
Brazil	Buritirana	2017	91.7%	93.5%	90.1%
Brazil	Buritis	2000	96.9%	98.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Buritis	2000	83.9%	86.1%	81.7%
Brazil	Buritis	2017	97.0%	98.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Buritis	2017	84.2%	86.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Buritizal	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Buritizal	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Buritizeiro	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Buritizeiro	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Butiá	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Butiá	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Caapiranga	2000	82.6%	85.5%	79.8%
Brazil	Caapiranga	2017	83.1%	85.9%	80.3%
Brazil	Caaporã	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Caaporã	2017	93.9%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Caarapó	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Caarapó	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Caatiba	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Caatiba	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Cabaceiras	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Cabaceiras	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Cabaceiras do Paraguaçu	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Cabaceiras do Paraguaçu	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Cabeceira Grande	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Cabeceira Grande	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Cabeceiras	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Cabeceiras	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Cabeceiras do Piauí	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.7%
Brazil	Cabeceiras do Piauí	2017	91.3%	92.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Cabedelo	2000	93.9%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Cabedelo	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Cabixi	2000	84.8%	87.5%	81.9%
Brazil	Cabixi	2017	85.1%	87.7%	82.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cabo	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Cabo	2017	92.9%	93.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Cabo Frio	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Cabo Frio	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Cabo Verde	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Cabo Verde	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Cabrália	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Paulista Cabrália	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Cabreúva	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Cabreúva	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Cabrobó	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Cabrobó	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Caçador	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Caçador	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Caçapava	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Caçapava	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Caçapava do Sul	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.5%
Brazil	Caçapava do Sul	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Brazil	Cacaulândia	2000	83.8%	85.8%	81.7%
Brazil	Cacaulândia	2017	84.0%	86.1%	82.0%
Brazil	Cacequi	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Cacequi	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Cáceres	2000	93.2%	95.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Cáceres	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Cachoeira	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Cachoeira	2000	95.5%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Alta Cachoeira	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira da Prata	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira da Prata	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Goiás	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Goiás	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Minas	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Minas	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Pajes	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Pajes	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Arari	2000	78.4%	80.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Arari	2017	78.8%	81.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Piriá	2000	81.4%	84.2%	78.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Piriá	2017	81.9%	84.7%	78.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Sul	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Sul	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cachoeira dos índios	2000	93.8%	94.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira dos índios	2017	94.1%	95.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira Grande	2000	89.6%	91.3%	87.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira Grande	2017	89.9%	91.6%	88.1%
Brazil	Cachoeira Paulista	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira Paulista	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Brazil	Cachoeiras de Macacu	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Cachoeiras de Macacu	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.8%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	92.7%	94.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Cachoeiro de Itapemirim	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Cachoeiro de Itapemirim	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	93.9%	94.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Cacimba de Areia	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Cacimba de Areia	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Cacimba de dentro	2000	96.2%	96.8%	95.6%
Brazil	Cacimba de dentro	2017	96.4%	96.9%	95.7%
Brazil	Cacimbas	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Cacimbas	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Cacimbinhas	2000	92.1%	93.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Cacimbinhas	2017	92.3%	93.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Cacique doble	2000	95.1%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Cacique doble	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Cacoal	2000	84.9%	86.7%	83.2%
Brazil	Cacoal	2017	85.2%	87.0%	83.5%
Brazil	Caconde	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Caconde	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Caçu	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Caçu	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Caculé	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Caculé	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Caém	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Caém	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Caetanópolis	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Caetanópolis	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Caetanos	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Caetanos	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caeté	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Caeté	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Caetés	2000	93.1%	94.0%	92.1%
Brazil	Caetés	2017	93.3%	94.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Caetité	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Caetité	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Cafarnaum	2000	95.4%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Cafarnaum	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Cafeara	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Cafeara	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Cafezal do Sul	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Cafezal do Sul	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Caiabu	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Caiabu	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Caiana	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Caiana	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Caiapônia	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Caiapônia	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Caibaté	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Caibaté	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Caibi	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Caibi	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Caiçara	2000	95.3%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Caiçara	2000	96.0%	96.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Caiçara	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Caiçara	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Caiçara do Norte	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Brazil	Caiçara do Norte	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Caiçara do Rio do Vento	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Caiçara do Rio do Vento	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	Caicó	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Caicó	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Caieiras	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Caieiras	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Cairu	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Cairu	2017	91.8%	93.5%	89.7%
Brazil	Caiuá	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Caiuá	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Cajamar	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Brazil	Cajamar	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Brazil	Cajapió	2000	89.0%	90.9%	87.1%
Brazil	Cajapió	2017	89.4%	91.2%	87.5%
Brazil	Cajari	2000	88.8%	90.5%	86.8%
Brazil	Cajari	2017	89.1%	90.8%	87.2%
Brazil	Cajati	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Cajati	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Cajazeiras	2000	94.2%	95.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Cajazeiras	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Cajazeiras do Piauí	2000	91.6%	93.0%	89.8%
Brazil	Cajazeiras do Piauí	2017	91.8%	93.2%	90.1%
Brazil	Cajazeirinhas	2000	94.0%	94.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Cajazeirinhas	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cajobi	2000	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Cajobi	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Cajueiro	2000	90.9%	91.8%	89.9%
Brazil	Cajueiro	2017	91.7%	92.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Cajueiro da Praia	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.5%
Brazil	Cajueiro da Praia	2017	91.8%	93.4%	89.8%
Brazil	Cajuri	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Cajuri	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Cajuru	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Cajuru	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	Calçado	2000	93.4%	94.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Calçado	2017	93.6%	94.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Calçoene	2000	85.4%	88.3%	81.8%
Brazil	Calçoene	2017	85.7%	88.6%	82.2%
Brazil	Caldas	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Caldas	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Caldas	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	94.7%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	94.2%	95.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	93.7%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	93.9%	94.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	92.0%	93.7%	89.9%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	93.4%	94.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	93.7%	94.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Brandão Caldas	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cambará	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Cambará	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Cambará do Sul	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Cambará do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Cambé	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.1%
Brazil	Cambé	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Cambira	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Cambira	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Camboriú	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Camboriú	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Cambuci	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Cambuci	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Cambuí	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Brazil	Cambuí	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Cambuquira	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Cambuquira	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Cametá	2000	78.3%	81.1%	75.6%
Brazil	Cametá	2017	78.7%	81.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Camocim	2000	91.6%	93.5%	89.5%
Brazil	Camocim	2017	92.3%	94.1%	90.3%
Brazil	Camocim de São Félix	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Camocim de São Félix	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Campanário	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Campanário	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Campanha	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Campanha	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Campestre	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Campestre	2000	92.0%	93.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Campestre	2017	92.3%	93.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Campestre	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Campestre da Serra	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Campestre da Serra	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Campestre de Goiás	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Campestre de Goiás	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Campestre do Maranhão	2000	92.9%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Campestre do Maranhão	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.9%
Brazil	Campina da Lagoa	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Campina da Lagoa	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Campina das Missões	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Campina das Missões	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Campina do Monte Alegre	2000	98.5%	99.1%	97.8%
Brazil	Campina do Monte Alegre	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Brazil	Campina do Simão	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Campina do Simão	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campina Grande	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Campina Grande	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Campina Grande do Sul	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	Campina Grande do Sul	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.6%
Brazil	Campina Verde	2000	96.7%	97.8%	95.6%
Brazil	Campina Verde	2017	96.9%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Campinaçu	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Campinaçu	2017	94.9%	96.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Campinápolis	2000	92.9%	95.0%	90.5%
Brazil	Campinápolis	2017	93.2%	95.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Campinas	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Campinas	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Campinas do Piauí	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Campinas do Piauí	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Campinas do Sul	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Campinas do Sul	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Campinorte	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Campinorte	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Campo	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Campo	2017	96.7%	97.3%	95.9%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2000	92.5%	93.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2017	92.8%	93.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Goiás	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Goiás	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Lourdes	2000	93.2%	94.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Lourdes	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Campo Alegre do Fidalgo	2000	92.9%	94.4%	90.7%
Brazil	Campo Alegre do Fidalgo	2017	93.1%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Campo Azul	2000	95.8%	97.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Campo Azul	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Campo Belo	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Campo Belo	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Campo Belo do Sul	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Campo Belo do Sul	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Campo Bom	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Campo Bom	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Campo Bonito	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Campo Bonito	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Campo do Brito	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campo Brito do	2017	94.6%	95.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Campo Meio do	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Campo Meio do	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Campo Tenente do	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Campo Tenente do	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Campo Erê	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Campo Erê	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Campo Florido	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Campo Florido	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Campo Formoso	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Campo Formoso	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2017	92.9%	93.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Campo Grande do Piauí	2000	92.7%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Campo Grande do Piauí	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Campo Largo do Piauí	2000	90.5%	91.9%	89.0%
Brazil	Campo Largo do Piauí	2017	90.8%	92.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Campo Limpo Paulista	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Campo Limpo Paulista	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Campo Magro	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.9%
Brazil	Campo Magro	2017	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Campo Maior	2000	91.5%	92.9%	90.0%
Brazil	Campo Maior	2017	91.8%	93.1%	90.3%
Brazil	Campo Mourão	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Campo Mourão	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Campo Novo	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Campo Novo	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Campo Novo de Rondônia	2000	84.5%	86.6%	82.3%
Brazil	Campo Novo de Rondônia	2017	84.8%	86.9%	82.6%
Brazil	Campo Novo do Parecis	2000	92.8%	94.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Campo Novo do Parecis	2017	93.0%	94.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Campo Real	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Campo Real	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campo Redondo	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Brazil	Campo Redondo	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Brazil	Campo Verde	2000	92.9%	94.4%	91.2%
Brazil	Campo Verde	2017	93.1%	94.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Campos	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Campos	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Campos Altos	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Campos Altos	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Campos Belos	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Campos Belos	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Campos Borges	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Campos Borges	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Campos de Júlio	2000	90.6%	92.9%	87.9%
Brazil	Campos de Júlio	2017	90.8%	93.1%	88.2%
Brazil	Campos do Jordão	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Campos do Jordão	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Campos Gerais	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Campos Gerais	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Campos Lindos	2000	93.4%	95.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Campos Lindos	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Campos Novos	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Campos Novos	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Campos Novos	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Campos Paulista	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Campos Paulista	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Campos Sales	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Campos Sales	2000	94.5%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Campos Verdes	2017	94.7%	96.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Campos Verdes	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Campos Verdes de Goiás	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Campos Verdes de Goiás	2000	95.0%	95.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Camutanga	2017	95.2%	95.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Camutanga	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Canaã	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Canaã dos Carajás	2000	84.0%	86.6%	81.2%
Brazil	Canaã dos Carajás	2017	84.5%	87.0%	81.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Canabrava do Norte	2000	91.6%	94.3%	88.4%
Brazil	Canabrava do Norte	2017	91.9%	94.5%	88.7%
Brazil	Cananéia	2000	96.4%	97.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Cananéia	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Canapi	2000	92.1%	93.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Canapi	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.2%
Brazil	Canápolis	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Canápolis	2000	95.0%	96.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Canápolis	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Canápolis	2017	95.2%	96.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Canarana	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Canarana	2000	93.3%	95.3%	90.8%
Brazil	Canarana	2017	93.5%	95.5%	91.1%
Brazil	Canarana	2017	95.5%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Canas	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.8%
Brazil	Canas	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.9%
Brazil	Canavieira	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.4%
Brazil	Canavieira	2017	91.9%	93.7%	89.7%
Brazil	Canavieiras	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Canavieiras	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Candeal	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Candeal	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Candeias	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Candeias	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Candeias	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Candeias	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Candeias do Jamari	2000	81.8%	83.7%	79.9%
Brazil	Candeias do Jamari	2017	82.1%	84.0%	80.3%
Brazil	Candelária	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Candelária	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Candiba	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Candiba	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Cândido de Abreu	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Cândido de Abreu	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Cândido Godói	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Cândido Godói	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Cândido Mendes	2000	83.3%	86.2%	80.2%
Brazil	Cândido Mendes	2017	83.7%	86.5%	80.7%
Brazil	Cândido Mota	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Cândido Mota	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Cândido Rodrigues	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Cândido Rodrigues	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Cândido Sales	2000	95.5%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Cândido Sales	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Candiota	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Candiota	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Candói	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Candói	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Canela	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Canela	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Canelinha	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Canelinha	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Canguaretama	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Canguaretama	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Canguçu	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Canguçu	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Canhoba	2000	93.0%	94.0%	91.9%
Brazil	Canhoba	2017	93.3%	94.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Canhotinho	2000	93.1%	93.9%	92.2%
Brazil	Canhotinho	2017	93.3%	94.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Canindé	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Canindé	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Canindé de São Francisco	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Canindé de São Francisco	2017	93.2%	94.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Canitar	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Canitar	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Canoas	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Canoas	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Canoinhas	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Canoinhas	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Cansanção	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Cansanção	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Cantá	2000	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Cantá	2017	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	96.1%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	95.9%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Cantanhede	2000	89.3%	90.9%	87.5%
Brazil	Cantanhede	2017	89.6%	91.2%	87.8%
Brazil	Canto do Buriti	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.1%
Brazil	Canto do Buriti	2017	92.3%	93.8%	90.4%
Brazil	Canudos	2000	94.5%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Canudos	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Canutama	2000	83.5%	86.6%	79.4%
Brazil	Canutama	2017	84.0%	87.0%	79.9%
Brazil	Capanema	2000	79.6%	82.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Capanema	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Capanema	2017	80.0%	82.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Capanema	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Capão Alto	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Capão Alto	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Capão Bonito	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Capão Bonito	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Capão da Canoa	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.4%
Brazil	Capão da Canoa	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.5%
Brazil	Capão do Leão	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Capão do Leão	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Caparaó	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Caparaó	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Capela	2000	91.0%	92.0%	90.0%
Brazil	Capela	2000	93.8%	94.5%	92.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Capela	2017	91.3%	92.3%	90.4%
Brazil	Capela	2017	94.0%	94.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Capela de Santana	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Capela de Santana	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Capela do Alto	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Capela do Alto	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Capela do Alto Alegre	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Capela do Alto Alegre	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Capela Nova	2000	96.0%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Capela Nova	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Capelinha	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Capelinha	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Capetinga	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Capetinga	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Capim	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Capim	2017	94.9%	95.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Capim Branco	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Capim Branco	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Capim Grosso	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Capim Grosso	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Capinópolis	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Capinópolis	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Capinzal	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Capinzal	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Capinzal do Norte	2000	90.7%	92.2%	89.1%
Brazil	Capinzal do Norte	2017	91.0%	92.5%	89.4%
Brazil	Capistrano	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Capistrano	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Capitão	2000	96.5%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Capitão	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Capitão	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Capitão Andrade	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Capitão de Campos	2000	91.5%	92.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Capitão de Campos	2017	91.8%	93.1%	90.4%
Brazil	Capitão Enéas	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Capitão Enéas	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Capitão Gervásio Oliveira	2000	92.9%	94.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Capitão Gervásio Oliveira	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.1%
Brazil	Capitão Leônidas Marques	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Capitão Leônidas Marques	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Capitão Poço	2000	78.7%	81.7%	76.0%
Brazil	Capitão Poço	2017	79.2%	82.1%	76.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Capit6lio	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Capit6lio	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Capivari	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Capivari	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Capivari de Baixo	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Capivari de Baixo	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Capivari do Sul	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Capivari do Sul	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Capixaba	2000	81.3%	83.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Capixaba	2017	81.7%	83.8%	79.8%
Brazil	Capoeiras	2000	93.4%	94.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Capoeiras	2017	93.6%	94.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Caputira	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Caputira	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Cara6	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Cara6	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Caracara6	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Caracara6	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Caracol	2000	95.6%	97.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Caracol	2000	92.9%	94.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Caracol	2017	95.7%	97.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Caracol	2017	93.1%	94.8%	91.1%
Brazil	Caraguatatuba	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Caraguatatuba	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Cara6	2000	96.4%	97.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Cara6	2017	96.5%	97.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Carambe6	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Carambe6	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Carana6ba	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Carana6ba	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Caranda6	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Caranda6	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Carangola	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Carangola	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Carapic6ba	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Carapic6ba	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Caratinga	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Caratinga	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Carauari	2000	83.4%	87.8%	79.1%
Brazil	Carauari	2017	83.9%	88.2%	79.6%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2000	94.0%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2017	94.2%	95.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Cara6bas	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Cara6bas do Piauí	2000	90.8%	92.2%	89.2%
Brazil	Cara6bas do Piauí	2017	91.1%	92.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Caravallhopolis	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Caravallhopolis	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Caravelas	2000	94.3%	96.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Caravelas	2017	94.5%	96.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Carazinho	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Carazinho	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Carbonita	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Carbonita	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cardeal da Silva	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Cardeal da Silva	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Cardoso	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Cardoso	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Cardoso Moreira	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Cardoso Moreira	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Careaçú	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Careaçú	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Careiro	2000	80.7%	82.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Careiro	2017	81.2%	83.0%	78.8%
Brazil	Careiro da Várzea	2000	79.8%	81.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Careiro da Várzea	2017	80.3%	82.1%	78.7%
Brazil	Carepebus	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Carepebus	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Cariacica	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Cariacica	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Caridade	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Caridade	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Caridade do Piauí	2000	92.8%	94.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Caridade do Piauí	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.1%
Brazil	Carinhanha	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Carinhanha	2017	95.5%	97.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Carira	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Carira	2017	94.9%	95.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Cariré	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Cariré	2017	92.3%	93.6%	90.7%
Brazil	Cariri do Tocantins	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Cariri do Tocantins	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Caririaçu	2000	92.9%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Caririaçu	2017	93.2%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Cariús	2000	92.9%	93.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Cariús	2017	93.1%	94.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Carlinda	2000	89.9%	92.1%	86.9%
Brazil	Carlinda	2017	90.2%	92.4%	87.3%
Brazil	Carlópolis	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Carlópolis	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Carlos Barbosa	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Carlos Barbosa	2017	96.8%	97.4%	95.9%
Brazil	Carlos Chagas	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Carlos Chagas	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Carlos Gomes	2000	95.1%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Carlos Gomes	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Carmésia	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Carmésia	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Carmo	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Carmo	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Carmo da Cachoeira	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Carmo da Cachoeira	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Carmo da Mata	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Carmo da Mata	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Carmo de Minas	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Carmo de Minas	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Carmo do Cajuru	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Carmo do Cajuru	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Carmo do Paranaíba	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Carmo do Paranaíba	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Claro	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Claro	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Verde	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Verde	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Carmolândia	2000	92.5%	93.8%	91.0%
Brazil	Carmolândia	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.3%
Brazil	Carmópolis	2000	93.1%	93.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Carmópolis	2017	93.3%	94.0%	92.4%
Brazil	Carmópolis de Minas	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Carmópolis de Minas	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Carnaíba	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Carnaíba	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Carnaúba dos Dantas	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.1%
Brazil	Carnaúba dos Dantas	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	Carnaubais	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	Carnaubais	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.4%
Brazil	Carnaubal	2000	93.4%	94.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Carnaubal	2017	93.7%	94.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Carnaubeira da Penha	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Carnaubeira da Penha	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Carneirinho	2000	96.4%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Carneirinho	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Carneiros	2000	91.9%	92.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Carneiros	2017	92.1%	93.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Caroebe	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Caroebe	2017	95.2%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Carolina	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Carolina	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Carpina	2000	94.9%	95.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Carpina	2017	95.2%	95.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Carrancas	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Carrancas	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Carrapateira	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Carrapateira	2017	94.1%	95.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Carrasco Bonito	2000	89.4%	91.2%	87.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Carrasco Bonito	2017	89.7%	91.5%	87.7%
Brazil	Caruaru	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Caruaru	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Carutapera	2000	83.4%	86.6%	80.4%
Brazil	Carutapera	2017	83.8%	87.0%	80.9%
Brazil	Carvalhos	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Carvalhos	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Casa Branca	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Casa Branca	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Casa Grande	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Casa Grande	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Casa Nova	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Casa Nova	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Casca	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Casca	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Cascalho Rico	2000	95.3%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Cascalho Rico	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Cascavel	2000	92.7%	93.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Cascavel	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Cascavel	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Cascavel	2017	93.0%	94.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Caseara	2000	88.7%	91.2%	86.1%
Brazil	Caseara	2017	89.0%	91.4%	86.4%
Brazil	Caseiros	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Caseiros	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Casimiro de Abreu	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Casimiro de Abreu	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Casinhas	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Casinhas	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Casserengue	2000	95.6%	96.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Casserengue	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Cássia	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Cássia	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Cássia dos Coqueiros	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Cássia dos Coqueiros	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Cassilândia	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Cassilândia	2017	95.6%	96.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Cassiterita	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Cassiterita	2017	95.9%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Castanhal	2000	78.1%	80.3%	75.8%
Brazil	Castanhal	2017	78.5%	80.7%	76.3%
Brazil	Castanheira	2000	90.6%	93.2%	87.6%
Brazil	Castanheira	2017	90.9%	93.4%	88.0%
Brazil	Castanheiras	2000	83.7%	85.5%	81.8%
Brazil	Castanheiras	2017	84.0%	85.8%	82.1%
Brazil	Castelândia	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Castelândia	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Castelo	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Castelo	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Castelo do Piauí	2000	91.9%	93.2%	90.3%
Brazil	Castelo do Piauí	2017	92.2%	93.4%	90.6%
Brazil	Castilho	2000	96.9%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Castilho	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Castro	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Castro	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Castro Alves	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Castro Alves	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Cataguases	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Cataguases	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Catalão	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Catalão	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Catanduva	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Catanduva	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Catandubas	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Catandubas	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Catandubas	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Catandubas	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Catarina	2000	93.5%	94.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Catarina	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Catas Altas	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Catas Altas	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Catas Altas da Noruega	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Catas Altas da Noruega	2017	96.1%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Catende	2000	92.4%	93.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Catende	2017	92.6%	93.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Catigua	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Catigua	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Catingueira	2000	93.5%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Catingueira	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Catolândia	2000	95.1%	96.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Catolândia	2017	95.2%	96.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Catolé do Rocha	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Catolé do Rocha	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Catu	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Catu	2017	94.3%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Catuípe	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Catuípe	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Catuji	2000	96.2%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Catuji	2017	96.3%	97.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Catunda	2000	92.7%	94.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Catunda	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Caturai	2000	94.8%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Caturai	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Caturama	2000	95.2%	96.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Caturama	2017	95.3%	96.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Caturité	2000	94.9%	95.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Caturité	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Catuti	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Catuti	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Caucaia	2000	91.8%	92.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Caucaia	2017	92.1%	93.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Cavalcante	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Cavalcante	2017	95.6%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Caxambu	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Caxambu	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Caxambu do Sul	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Caxambu do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Caxias	2000	91.7%	93.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Caxias	2017	91.9%	93.3%	90.6%
Brazil	Caxias do Sul	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Caxias do Sul	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caxingó	2000	90.7%	92.2%	89.2%
Brazil	Caxingó	2017	91.0%	92.5%	89.5%
Brazil	Ceará-Mirim	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Brazil	Ceará-Mirim	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Brazil	Cedral	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Cedral	2000	88.0%	90.4%	85.6%
Brazil	Cedral	2017	88.3%	90.7%	86.0%
Brazil	Cedral	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Cedro	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Cedro	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Cedro	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Cedro	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Cedro de São João	2000	93.3%	94.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Cedro de São João	2017	93.5%	94.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Cedro do Abaeté	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Cedro do Abaeté	2017	95.6%	96.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Celso Ramos	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Celso Ramos	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Centenário	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Centenário	2000	93.8%	95.7%	91.9%
Brazil	Centenário	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Centenário	2017	94.0%	95.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Centenário do Sul	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Centenário do Sul	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Central	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Central	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Central de Minas	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Central de Minas	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Central do Maranhão	2000	88.8%	90.8%	86.8%
Brazil	Central do Maranhão	2017	89.1%	91.1%	87.2%
Brazil	Centralina	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Centralina	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Centro do Guilherme	2000	84.7%	87.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Centro do Guilherme	2017	85.2%	87.7%	82.5%
Brazil	Centro Novo do Maranhão	2000	83.7%	86.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Centro Novo do Maranhão	2017	84.1%	86.4%	81.0%
Brazil	Cerejeiras	2000	84.5%	87.0%	82.0%
Brazil	Cerejeiras	2017	84.8%	87.2%	82.4%
Brazil	Ceres	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Ceres	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Cerqueira César	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Cerqueira César	2017	98.6%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Cerquilho	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Cerquilho	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Cerrito	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Cerrito	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cêro Azul	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Cêro Azul	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Cerro Branco	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Cerro Branco	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Cerro Corá	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Cerro Corá	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Brazil	Cerro Grande	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Cerro Grande	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Cerro Grande	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Cerro Grande do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Cerro Largo	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Cerro Largo	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Cerro Negro	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Cerro Negro	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Cesário Lange	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Cesário Lange	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Céu Azul	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Céu Azul	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Cezarina	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Cezarina	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Chã de Alegria	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Chã de Alegria	2017	93.9%	94.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Chã Grande	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Chã Grande	2017	94.9%	95.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Chã Preta	2000	91.1%	92.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Chã Preta	2017	91.3%	92.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Chácara	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Chácara	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Chale	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Chale	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Chapada	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Chapada	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Chapada da Natividade	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Chapada da Natividade	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Chapada de Areia	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Chapada de Areia	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.7%
Brazil	Chapada do Norte	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Chapada do Norte	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Chapada dos Guimarães	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.0%
Brazil	Chapada dos Guimarães	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Chapada Gaúcha	2000	96.2%	97.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Chapada Gaúcha	2017	96.4%	97.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Chapadão do Céu	2000	90.5%	92.0%	88.8%
Brazil	Chapadão do Céu	2017	90.8%	92.2%	89.1%
Brazil	Chapadão do Lageado	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Chapadão do Lageado	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Chapadão do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Chapadão do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Chapadinha	2000	95.1%	96.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Chapadinha	2017	95.3%	96.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Chapecó	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Chapecó	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Charqueada	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Charqueada	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Charqueadas	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Charqueadas	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Charrua	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Charrua	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Chaval	2000	91.8%	93.6%	90.0%
Brazil	Chaval	2017	92.1%	93.8%	90.4%
Brazil	Chavantes	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Chavantes	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Chaves	2000	80.5%	83.2%	78.2%
Brazil	Chaves	2017	80.9%	83.6%	78.6%
Brazil	Chaveslandia	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Chaveslandia	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Chiador	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Chiador	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Chiapeta	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Chiapeta	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Chopinzinho	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Chopinzinho	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Choró	2000	93.2%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Choró	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Chorozinho	2000	93.0%	94.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Chorozinho	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Chorrochó	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Chorrochó	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Chuí	2000	95.2%	97.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Chuí	2017	95.4%	97.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Chupinguaia	2000	84.4%	86.8%	82.0%
Brazil	Chupinguaia	2017	84.7%	87.0%	82.3%
Brazil	Chuisca	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Chuisca	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Cianorte	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Cianorte	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Cícero Dantas	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Cícero Dantas	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Cidade Gaúcha	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Cidade Gaúcha	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Cidade Ocidental	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Brazil	Cidade Ocidental	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Brazil	Cidelândia	2000	89.9%	91.6%	88.0%
Brazil	Cidelândia	2017	90.2%	91.9%	88.4%
Brazil	Cidreira	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Cidreira	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Cipó	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Cipó	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Cipotânea	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Cipotânea	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ciriaco	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Ciriaco	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Claraval	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Claraval	2017	97.1%	98.0%	95.9%
Brazil	Claro dos Poções	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Claro dos Poções	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Cláudia	2000	91.7%	93.6%	89.0%
Brazil	Cláudia	2017	92.0%	93.8%	89.4%
Brazil	Cláudio	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Cláudio	2017	96.0%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Clementina	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Clementina	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Clevelândia	2000	95.4%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Clevelândia	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Coaraci	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Coaraci	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Coari	2000	86.5%	89.4%	82.9%
Brazil	Coari	2017	86.9%	89.8%	83.4%
Brazil	Cocal	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.7%
Brazil	Cocal	2017	92.5%	93.7%	91.0%
Brazil	Cocal de Telha	2000	91.2%	92.6%	89.6%
Brazil	Cocal de Telha	2017	91.4%	92.8%	89.9%
Brazil	Cocal do Sul	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Cocal do Sul	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Cocal dos Alves	2000	92.4%	93.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Cocal dos Alves	2017	92.6%	93.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Cocalinho	2000	93.1%	95.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Cocalinho	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Cocalzinho de Goiás	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Cocalzinho de Goiás	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	Cocos	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Cocos	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Codajás	2000	82.4%	85.4%	78.9%
Brazil	Codajás	2017	82.9%	85.8%	79.4%
Brazil	Codó	2000	90.9%	92.4%	89.5%
Brazil	Codó	2017	91.2%	92.7%	89.8%
Brazil	Coelho Neto	2000	91.5%	92.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Coelho Neto	2017	91.7%	92.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Coimbra	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Coimbra	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Coité do Nória	2000	91.4%	92.3%	90.6%
Brazil	Coité do Nória	2017	91.7%	92.5%	90.9%
Brazil	Coivaras	2000	91.5%	92.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Coivaras	2017	91.8%	93.0%	90.4%
Brazil	Colares	2000	77.9%	80.2%	75.7%
Brazil	Colares	2017	78.3%	80.7%	76.2%
Brazil	Colatina	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Colatina	2017	95.2%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Colíder	2000	92.4%	94.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Colíder	2017	92.7%	94.4%	90.2%
Brazil	Colina	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Colina	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Colinas	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.5%
Brazil	Colinas	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Colinas	2017	91.8%	93.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Colinas	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Colinas do Sul	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Colinas do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Colinas do Tocantins	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Colinas do Tocantins	2017	93.5%	94.7%	91.9%
Brazil	Colméia	2000	92.8%	94.5%	91.2%
Brazil	Colméia	2017	93.0%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	Colômbia	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Colômbia	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Colombo	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Brazil	Colombo	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Brazil	Colônia do Gurguéia	2000	91.8%	93.7%	89.6%
Brazil	Colônia do Gurguéia	2017	92.1%	93.9%	89.9%
Brazil	Colônia do Piauí	2000	91.7%	93.2%	89.8%
Brazil	Colônia do Piauí	2017	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Colônia Leopoldina	2000	92.0%	92.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Colônia Leopoldina	2017	92.2%	93.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Colorado	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Colorado	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Colorado	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Colorado	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Colorado do Oeste	2000	84.9%	87.4%	82.6%
Brazil	Colorado do Oeste	2017	85.2%	87.6%	82.9%
Brazil	Coluna	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Coluna	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Combinado	2000	94.7%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Combinado	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Comendador Gomes	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Comendador Gomes	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Comendador Levy Gasparian	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Comendador Levy Gasparian	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Comercinho	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Comercinho	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Comodoro	2000	87.9%	90.2%	84.9%
Brazil	Comodoro	2017	88.2%	90.4%	85.2%
Brazil	Conceição	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Conceição	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Conceição da Aparecida	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Conceição da Aparecida	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Conceição da Barra	2000	94.8%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Conceição da Barra	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Conceição da Feira	2000	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Conceição da Feira	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Conceição das Alagoas	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Conceição das Alagoas	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Conceição das Pedras	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Conceição das Pedras	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Conceição de Ipanema	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Conceição de Ipanema	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Conceicao do Almeida	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Conceicao do Almeida	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Conceição do Almeida	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Conceição do Almeida	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Conceição do Araguaia	2000	77.3%	79.7%	74.8%
Brazil	Conceição do Araguaia	2017	77.8%	80.1%	75.3%
Brazil	Conceição do Canindé	2000	92.1%	93.6%	89.8%
Brazil	Conceição do Canindé	2017	92.4%	93.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Conceição do Castelo	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Castelo	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Conceição do Coité	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Coité	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Conceição do Jacuípe	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Conceição do Jacuípe	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Conceição do Lago-Açu	2000	89.6%	91.4%	87.6%
Brazil	Conceição do Lago-Açu	2017	90.0%	91.7%	88.0%
Brazil	Conceição do Mato Dentro	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Mato Dentro	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Conceição do Para	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Para	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Conceição do Rio Verde	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Conceição do Rio Verde	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Conceição do Tocantins	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Conceição do Tocantins	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Conceição dos Ouros	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Conceição dos Ouros	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Brazil	Conceicao Macabu	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Conceicao Macabu	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Conchal	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Conchal	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Conchas	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Conchas	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Concórdia	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Concórdia	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Concórdia do Pará	2000	89.6%	91.7%	87.5%
Brazil	Concórdia do Pará	2017	89.9%	92.0%	87.8%
Brazil	Condado	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Condado	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Condado	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Condado	2017	94.9%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Conde	2000	93.7%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Conde	2000	93.9%	94.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Conde	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Conde	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Condeúba	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Condeúba	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Condor	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Condor	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Cônego Marinho	2000	95.7%	97.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Cônego Marinho	2017	95.9%	97.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Confins	2000	95.9%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Confins	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Confresa	2000	91.1%	93.8%	88.1%
Brazil	Confresa	2017	91.4%	94.0%	88.5%
Brazil	Congo	2000	94.1%	95.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Congo	2017	94.3%	95.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Congonhal	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.6%
Brazil	Congonhal	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.7%
Brazil	Congonhas	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Congonhas	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Congonhas do Norte	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Congonhas do Norte	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Congonhinas	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Congonhinas	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Conquista	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Conquista	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Conselheiro Lafaiete	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Conselheiro Lafaiete	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Conselheiro Mayrinck	2000	97.1%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Conselheiro Mayrinck	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Conselheiro Pena	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Conselheiro Pena	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Consolação	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Consolação	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Constantina	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Constantina	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Contagem	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Contagem	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Contenda	2000	96.6%	97.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Contenda	2017	96.7%	97.3%	95.9%
Brazil	Contendas do Sincorá	2000	94.9%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Contendas do Sincorá	2017	95.1%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Coqueiral	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Coqueiral	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Coqueiro Seco	2000	90.3%	91.3%	89.1%
Brazil	Coqueiro Seco	2017	90.6%	91.6%	89.4%
Brazil	Coqueiros do Sul	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Coqueiros do Sul	2017	96.1%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Coração de Jesus	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Coração de Jesus	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Coração de Maria	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Coração de Maria	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Corbélia	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Corbélia	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Cordeiro	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Cordeiro	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Cordeirópolis	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Cordeirópolis	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Cordeiros	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Cordeiros	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Cordilheira Alta	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Cordilheira Alta	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Cordisburgo	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Cordisburgo	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Cordislândia	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Cordislândia	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Coreaú	2000	92.0%	93.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Coreaú	2017	92.3%	93.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Coremas	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Coremas	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Corguinho	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Corguinho	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Coribe	2000	95.4%	97.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Coribe	2017	95.6%	97.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Corinto	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Corinto	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Cornélio Procópio	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Brazil	Cornélio Procópio	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Coroaci	2000	95.8%	97.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Coroaci	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Coroados	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Coroados	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Coroatá	2000	90.7%	92.0%	88.9%
Brazil	Coroatá	2017	91.0%	92.2%	89.2%
Brazil	Coromandel	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Coromandel	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Coronel Barros	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Coronel Barros	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Coronel Bicaco	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Coronel Bicaco	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Coronel domingos Soares	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Coronel domingos Soares	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Coronel Ezequiel	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.2%
Brazil	Coronel Ezequiel	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	Coronel Fabriciano	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Coronel Fabriciano	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Coronel Freitas	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Coronel Freitas	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Coronel João Pessoa	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Coronel João Pessoa	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Coronel João Sá	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Coronel João Sá	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Coronel José Dias	2000	92.9%	94.7%	91.0%
Brazil	Coronel José Dias	2017	93.2%	94.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Coronel Macedo	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Coronel Macedo	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Coronel Martins	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Coronel Martins	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Coronel Murta	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Coronel Murta	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Coronel Pacheco	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Coronel Pacheco	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Coronel Sapucaia	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Coronel Sapucaia	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Coronel Vivida	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Coronel Vivida	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Coronel Xavier Chaves	2000	96.1%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Coronel Xavier Chaves	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Córrego Danta	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Córrego Danta	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Córrego do Bom Jesus	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	Córrego do Bom Jesus	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Córrego do Ouro	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Córrego do Ouro	2017	94.5%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Córrego Fundo	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Córrego Fundo	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Córrego Novo	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Córrego Novo	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Correia Pinto	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Correia Pinto	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Corrente	2000	93.1%	95.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Corrente	2017	93.3%	95.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Correntes	2000	91.3%	92.3%	90.1%
Brazil	Correntes	2017	91.5%	92.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Correntina	2000	95.3%	96.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Correntina	2017	95.5%	97.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Cortes	2000	93.3%	94.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Cortes	2017	93.5%	94.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Corumbá	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Corumbá	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Corumbá de Goiás	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Corumbá de Goiás	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Corumbaíba	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Corumbaíba	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Corumbataí	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Corumbataí	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Corumbataí do Sul	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Corumbataí do Sul	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Corumbiara	2000	84.1%	86.6%	81.8%
Brazil	Corumbiara	2017	84.4%	86.9%	82.1%
Brazil	Corupá	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Corupá	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Coruripe	2000	92.4%	93.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Coruripe	2017	92.6%	93.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Cosmópolis	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Cosmópolis	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cosmorama	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Cosmorama	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Costa Marques	2000	84.8%	87.6%	81.5%
Brazil	Costa Marques	2017	85.1%	87.9%	81.9%
Brazil	Costa Rica	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Costa Rica	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Cotegipe	2000	94.5%	96.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Cotegipe	2017	94.7%	96.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Cotia	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Cotia	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Cotiporã	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Cotiporã	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Cotriguaçu	2000	90.4%	93.1%	87.7%
Brazil	Cotriguaçu	2017	90.7%	93.4%	88.1%
Brazil	Couto de Magalhães	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Couto de Magalhães	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Couto Magalhães	2000	90.1%	92.1%	87.9%
Brazil	Couto Magalhães	2017	90.5%	92.4%	88.3%
Brazil	Coxilha	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Coxilha	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Coxim	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Coxim	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Coxixola	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Coxixola	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Craíbas	2000	92.4%	93.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Craíbas	2017	92.6%	93.4%	91.8%
Brazil	Crateús	2000	92.6%	93.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Crateús	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Crato	2000	93.0%	94.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Crato	2017	93.3%	94.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Cravinhos	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Cravinhos	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Cravolândia	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Cravolândia	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Criciúma	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Criciúma	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Crisólita	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Crisólita	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Crisópolis	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Crisópolis	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Crissiumal	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Crissiumal	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Cristais	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Cristais	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Cristais Paulista	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Cristais Paulista	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	Cristal	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Cristal	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Cristal do Sul	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Cristal do Sul	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Cristalândia	2000	93.8%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Cristalândia	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Cristalândia do Piauí	2000	93.3%	95.3%	91.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cristalândia do Piauí	2017	93.5%	95.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Cristália	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Cristália	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Cristalina	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Cristalina	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Cristiano Otoni	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Cristiano Otoni	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Cristianópolis	2000	95.1%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Cristianópolis	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Cristina	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Cristina	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Cristinápolis	2000	94.4%	95.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Cristinápolis	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Cristino Castro	2000	91.3%	93.5%	88.7%
Brazil	Cristino Castro	2017	91.6%	93.7%	89.0%
Brazil	Cristópolis	2000	94.9%	96.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Cristópolis	2017	95.1%	96.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Crixás	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Crixás	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Crixás do Tocantins	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Crixás do Tocantins	2017	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Croata	2000	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Croata	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Cromínia	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Cromínia	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Crucilândia	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Crucilândia	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Cruz	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Cruz	2017	92.0%	93.6%	89.9%
Brazil	Cruz Alta	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Cruz Alta	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Cruz das Almas	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Cruz das Almas	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Cruz do Espírito Santo	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Cruz do Espírito Santo	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Cruz Machado	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Cruz Machado	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Cruzália	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Cruzália	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Cruzeiro	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Cruzeiro	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Cruzeiro da Fortaleza	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Cruzeiro da Fortaleza	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Iguaçu	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Iguaçu	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Oeste	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Oeste	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	82.6%	84.8%	80.3%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	82.9%	85.1%	80.6%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Cruzeta	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Cruzeta	2017	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Cruzília	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Cruzília	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Cruzmalina	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Cruzmalina	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Cubatão	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Cubatão	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Cubati	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Cubati	2017	96.2%	96.8%	95.4%
Brazil	Cuiaba	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Cuiaba	2017	92.8%	94.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Cuité	2000	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Cuité	2017	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Brazil	Cuité de Manguape	2000	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Cuité de Manguape	2017	94.9%	95.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Cuitegi	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Cuitegi	2017	94.9%	95.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Cujubim	2000	82.4%	84.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Cujubim	2017	82.7%	84.9%	80.5%
Brazil	Cumari	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Cumari	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Cumarú	2000	93.8%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Cumarú	2017	94.0%	94.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Cumarú do Norte	2000	83.3%	86.4%	79.8%
Brazil	Cumarú do Norte	2017	83.7%	86.8%	80.3%
Brazil	Cumbe	2000	93.9%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Cumbe	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Cunha	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Brazil	Cunha	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Brazil	Cunha Porã	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Cunha Porã	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Cunhataí	2000	95.3%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Cunhataí	2017	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Cuparaque	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Cuparaque	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Cupira	2000	93.1%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Cupira	2017	93.3%	94.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Curaçá	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Curaçá	2017	93.6%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Curimatá	2000	92.5%	94.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Curimatá	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Curionópolis	2000	83.8%	86.2%	81.3%
Brazil	Curionópolis	2017	84.1%	86.5%	81.6%
Brazil	Curitiba	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Brazil	Curitiba	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Curitibanos	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Curitibanos	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Curiúva	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Curiúva	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Currais	2000	91.7%	93.7%	89.2%
Brazil	Currais	2017	91.9%	93.9%	89.5%
Brazil	Currais Novos	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	Currais Novos	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Brazil	Curral de Cima	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Curral de Cima	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Curral de dentro	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Curral de dentro	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Curral Novo do Piauí	2000	92.9%	94.4%	91.0%
Brazil	Curral Novo do Piauí	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.3%
Brazil	Curral Velho	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Curral Velho	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Curralinho	2000	79.1%	82.0%	76.1%
Brazil	Curralinho	2017	79.6%	82.4%	76.6%
Brazil	Curralinhos	2000	91.6%	93.0%	90.0%
Brazil	Curralinhos	2017	91.9%	93.2%	90.3%
Brazil	Curuá	2000	80.0%	83.2%	76.2%
Brazil	Curuá	2017	80.4%	83.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Curuçá	2000	78.3%	80.7%	75.4%
Brazil	Curuçá	2017	78.7%	81.2%	75.9%
Brazil	Cururupu	2000	89.0%	91.3%	86.4%
Brazil	Cururupu	2017	89.3%	91.6%	86.7%
Brazil	Curvelo	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Curvelo	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Custódia	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Custódia	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Cutias	2000	86.1%	88.0%	84.1%
Brazil	Cutias	2017	86.5%	88.3%	84.6%
Brazil	Damianópolis	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Damianópolis	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Damião	2000	96.2%	96.8%	95.5%
Brazil	Damião	2017	96.3%	96.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Darcinópolis	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Darcinópolis	2017	93.4%	94.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Dário Meira	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Dário Meira	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Datas	2000	96.5%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Datas	2017	96.6%	97.7%	95.3%
Brazil	David Canabarro	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	David Canabarro	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2000	90.7%	92.4%	89.0%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2000	95.0%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2017	95.2%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2017	91.0%	92.7%	89.4%
Brazil	Delfim Moreira	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Delfim Moreira	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Delfinópolis	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Delfinópolis	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Delmiro Gouveia	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Delmiro Gouveia	2017	92.9%	94.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Delta	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Delta	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Demerval Lobão	2000	91.5%	92.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Demerval Lobão	2017	91.7%	92.9%	90.3%
Brazil	Denise	2000	92.0%	93.7%	89.9%
Brazil	Denise	2017	92.2%	93.9%	90.2%
Brazil	Deodápolis	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Deodápolis	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Deputado Irapuan Pinheiro	2000	93.4%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Deputado Irapuan Pinheiro	2017	93.6%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Derrubadas	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Derrubadas	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Descalvado	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Descalvado	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Descanso	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Descanso	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Descoberto	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Descoberto	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Desterro	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Desterro	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Desterro de Entre Rios	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Desterro de Entre Rios	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Desterro de Malta	2000	95.8%	96.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Desterro de Malta	2017	96.0%	96.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Desterro do Melo	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Desterro do Melo	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Dezesseis de Novembro	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Dezesseis de Novembro	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Diadema	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Brazil	Diadema	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Brazil	Diamante	2000	93.3%	94.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Diamante	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Diamante d'Oeste	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Diamante d'Oeste	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Diamante do Norte	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Diamante do Norte	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Diamante do Sul	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Diamante do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Diamantina	2000	96.4%	97.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Diamantina	2017	96.6%	97.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Diamantino	2000	92.9%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Diamantino	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Dianopolis	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Dianopolis	2017	94.9%	96.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Dias d'vila	2000	94.2%	95.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Dias d'vila	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Dilermano de Aguiar	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Dilermano de Aguiar	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Diogo de Vasconcelos	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Diogo de Vasconcelos	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Dionísio	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Dionísio	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Dionísio	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Cerqueira	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Diorama	2000	94.2%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Diorama	2017	94.4%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Dirce Reis	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%
Brazil	Dirce Reis	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
Brazil	Dirceu Arcoverde	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Dirceu Arcoverde	2017	93.6%	95.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Divina Pastora	2000	93.6%	94.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Divina Pastora	2017	93.8%	94.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Divinésia	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Divinésia	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Divino	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Divino	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Divino das Laranjeiras	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Divino das Laranjeiras	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Divino de São Lourenço	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Divino de São Lourenço	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Divinolândia	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Divinolândia	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	Divinolandia de Minas	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Divinolandia de Minas	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Divinópolis	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Divinópolis	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Divinópolis de Goiás	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Divinópolis de Goiás	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Divinópolis do Tocantins	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Divinópolis do Tocantins	2017	92.4%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Divisa Alegre	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Divisa Alegre	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Divisa Nova	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Divisa Nova	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Divisópolis	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Divisópolis	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Dobrada	2000	98.6%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Dobrada	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Dois Córregos	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Dois Córregos	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos das Missões	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos das Missões	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos do Buriti	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos do Buriti	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Dois Lajeados	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Dois Lajeados	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Dois Riachos	2000	91.9%	92.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Dois Riachos	2017	92.2%	93.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Dois Vizinhos	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Dois Vizinhos	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Dom Aquino	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Dom Aquino	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Dom Basílio	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Dom Basílio	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Dom Bosco	2000	96.3%	97.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Dom Bosco	2017	96.4%	97.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Dom Cavati	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Dom Cavati	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Dom Eliseu	2000	86.5%	88.7%	84.3%
Brazil	Dom Eliseu	2017	86.9%	89.0%	84.7%
Brazil	Dom Expedito Lopes	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Dom Expedito Lopes	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Dom Feliciano	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Dom Feliciano	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Dom Inocêncio	2000	93.0%	94.8%	91.0%
Brazil	Dom Inocêncio	2017	93.2%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Dom Joaquim	2000	95.4%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Dom Joaquim	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Dom Macedo Costa	2000	94.4%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Dom Macedo Costa	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Dom Pedrito	2000	97.0%	98.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Dom Pedrito	2017	97.3%	98.3%	96.0%
Brazil	Dom Pedro	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.3%
Brazil	Dom Pedro	2017	91.4%	92.8%	89.7%
Brazil	Dom Pedro de Alcântara	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Dom Pedro de Alcântara	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Dom Silvério	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Dom Silvério	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Dom Viçoso	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Dom Viçoso	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Domingos Martins	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Domingos Martins	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Domingos Mourão	2000	91.9%	93.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Domingos Mourão	2017	92.1%	93.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Dona Emma	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Dona Emma	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Dona Eusébia	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Dona Eusébia	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Dona Francisca	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Dona Francisca	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Dona Inês	2000	95.7%	96.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Dona Inês	2017	95.9%	96.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Dores de Campos	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Dores de Campos	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Dores de Guanhães	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Dores de Guanhães	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Dores do Indaiá	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Dores do Indaiá	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Dores do Rio Preto	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Dores do Rio Preto	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Dores do Turvo	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Dores do Turvo	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Doresópolis	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Doresópolis	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Dormentes	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.2%
Brazil	Dormentes	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	Douradina	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Douradina	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Douradina	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Douradina	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Dourado	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Dourado	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Douradoquara	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Douradoquara	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Dourados	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Dourados	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Doutor Camargo	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Doutor Camargo	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Doutor Maurício Cardoso	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Doutor Maurício Cardoso	2017	95.5%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Doutor Pedrinho	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Doutor Pedrinho	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Doutor Ricardo	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Doutor Ricardo	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Doutor Severiano	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Doutor Severiano	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Doutor Ulysses	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Doutor Ulysses	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Doverlândia	2000	94.2%	95.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Doverlândia	2017	94.1%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Dracena	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Dracena	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Duartina	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Duartina	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Duas Barras	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Duas Barras	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Duas Estradas	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Duas Estradas	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Dueré	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Dueré	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Dulcinópolis	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Dulcinópolis	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Brazil	Dumont	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Dumont	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Duque Baccelar	2000	90.6%	91.9%	89.1%
Brazil	Duque Baccelar	2017	90.9%	92.1%	89.4%
Brazil	Duque de Caxias	2000	94.9%	95.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Duque de Caxias	2017	95.1%	95.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Durandé	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Durandé	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Echaporã	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Echaporã	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Ecoporanga	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Ecoporanga	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Edealina	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Edealina	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Edéia	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Edéia	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Eirunepé	2000	84.2%	87.0%	80.4%
Brazil	Eirunepé	2017	84.6%	87.4%	80.9%
Brazil	Eldorado	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Eldorado	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Eldorado	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Eldorado	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Eldorado do Sul	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Eldorado do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Eldorado dos Carajás	2000	83.4%	85.6%	80.8%
Brazil	Eldorado dos Carajás	2017	83.8%	86.0%	81.2%
Brazil	Elesbão Veloso	2000	91.6%	93.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Elesbão Veloso	2017	92.1%	93.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Elias Fausto	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Elias Fausto	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Eliseu Martins	2000	92.1%	93.9%	89.9%
Brazil	Eliseu Martins	2017	92.3%	94.1%	90.2%
Brazil	Elisiário	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Elisiário	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Elísio Medrado	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Elísio Medrado	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Elói Mendes	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Elói Mendes	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Emas	2000	93.2%	94.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Emas	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Embaúba	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Embaúba	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Embu	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Embu	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Embu-Guaçu	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Embu-Guaçu	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Emilianópolis	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Emilianópolis	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Encantado	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Encantado	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Encanto	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Encanto	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Encruzilhada	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Encruzilhada	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Encruzilhada do Sul	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Encruzilhada do Sul	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Enéas Marques	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Enéas Marques	2017	96.3%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Engenheiro Beltrão	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Engenheiro Beltrão	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Engenheiro Caldas	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Engenheiro Caldas	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Engenheiro Coelho	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Engenheiro Coelho	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Engenheiro Navarro	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Engenheiro Navarro	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Engenheiro Paulo de Front	2000	96.2%	96.8%	95.7%
Brazil	Engenheiro Paulo de Front	2017	96.4%	96.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Engenho Velho	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Engenho Velho	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Entre Folhas	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Entre Folhas	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Entre Rios de Minas	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Entre Rios de Minas	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Oeste	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Oeste	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Entre-Ijuís	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Entre-Ijuís	2017	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Envira	2000	82.6%	85.4%	80.0%
Brazil	Envira	2017	83.0%	85.7%	80.6%
Brazil	Epitaciolândia	2000	82.7%	85.3%	80.1%
Brazil	Epitaciolândia	2017	83.1%	85.7%	80.5%
Brazil	Equador	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Equador	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Erebango	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Erebango	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Erechim	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Erechim	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Ererê	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Ererê	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Érico Cardoso	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Érico Cardoso	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Ermo	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Ermo	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Ernestina	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Ernestina	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Eerval	2000	96.0%	97.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Eerval	2017	96.2%	97.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Eerval Grande	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Eerval Grande	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Eerval Seco	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Eerval Seco	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Eerval Velho	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Eerval Velho	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Ervália	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Ervália	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Escada	2000	93.0%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Escada	2017	93.2%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Esmeralda	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Esmeralda	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Esmeraldas	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Esmeraldas	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Espera Feliz	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Espera Feliz	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Esperança	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Esperança	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Esperança do Sul	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Esperança do Sul	2017	95.4%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Esperança Nova	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Esperança Nova	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Esperantina	2000	90.6%	92.2%	89.0%
Brazil	Esperantina	2000	85.9%	88.1%	83.6%
Brazil	Esperantina	2017	90.9%	92.4%	89.3%
Brazil	Esperantina	2017	86.4%	88.5%	84.1%
Brazil	Esperantinópolis	2000	89.8%	91.7%	87.7%
Brazil	Esperantinópolis	2017	90.1%	91.9%	88.1%
Brazil	Espigão Alto do Iguaçu	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Espigão Alto do Iguaçu	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Espigão d'Oeste	2000	84.7%	86.5%	82.6%
Brazil	Espigão d'Oeste	2017	85.0%	86.8%	82.9%
Brazil	Espinosa	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Espinosa	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Espírito Santo	2000	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Espírito Santo	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Dourado	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Dourado	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Pinhal	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Pinhal	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Turvo	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Turvo	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Esplanada	2000	94.4%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Esplanada	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Espumoso	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Espumoso	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Estação	2000	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Estação	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Estância	2000	94.0%	94.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Estância	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Estância Velha	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Estância Velha	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Esteio	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Esteio	2017	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Estiva	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Estiva	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Brazil	Estiva Gerbi	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Estiva Gerbi	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Estreito	2000	92.5%	94.2%	91.0%
Brazil	Estreito	2017	92.8%	94.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Estrela	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Estrela	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Estrela dalva	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Estrela dalva	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Estrela de Alagoas	2000	92.1%	93.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Estrela de Alagoas	2017	92.3%	93.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Estrela do Indaiá	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Estrela do Indaiá	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2000	94.3%	95.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.1%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2017	94.5%	96.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Estrela do Oeste	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
Brazil	Estrela do Oeste	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Estrela do Sul	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Estrela do Sul	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Estrela Velha	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Estrela Velha	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha Paulista	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha Paulista	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Eugênio de Castro	2000	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Eugênio de Castro	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Eugenópolis	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Eugenópolis	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Eunápolis	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Eunápolis	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Eusébio	2000	91.7%	92.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Eusébio	2017	92.2%	93.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Ewbank da Câmara	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Ewbank da Câmara	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Extrema	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Extrema	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Extremoz	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Extremoz	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Exu	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Exu	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Fagundes	2000	93.9%	94.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Fagundes	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Fagundes Varela	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Fagundes Varela	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Faina	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Faina	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Fama	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Fama	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Faria Lemos	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Faria Lemos	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Farias Brito	2000	93.3%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Farias Brito	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Faro	2000	79.8%	83.2%	76.1%
Brazil	Faro	2017	80.2%	83.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Farol	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Farol	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Farroupilha	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Farroupilha	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Fartura	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Fartura	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Fartura do Piauí	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Fartura do Piauí	2017	93.7%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Fátima	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Fátima	2000	94.1%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Fátima	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Fátima	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Fatima do Sul	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Fatima do Sul	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Faxinal	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Faxinal	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Faxinal do Soturno	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Faxinal do Soturno	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Faxinal dos Guedes	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Faxinal dos Guedes	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Faxinalzinho	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Faxinalzinho	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Fazenda Nova	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Fazenda Nova	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Fazenda Rio Grande	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Brazil	Fazenda Rio Grande	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Fazenda Vilanova	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Fazenda Vilanova	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Feijó	2000	82.6%	85.0%	80.2%
Brazil	Feijó	2017	83.0%	85.3%	80.6%
Brazil	Feira da Mata	2000	95.3%	96.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Feira da Mata	2017	95.5%	97.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Feira de Santana	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Feira de Santana	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Feira Grande	2000	92.1%	93.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Feira Grande	2017	92.3%	93.2%	91.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Feira Nova	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2000	94.0%	94.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Feira Nova do Maranhão	2000	92.7%	94.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Feira Nova do Maranhão	2017	92.9%	94.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Felício dos Santos	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Felício dos Santos	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Felipe Guerra	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Felipe Guerra	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Felisberto Caldeira	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Felisberto Caldeira	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Felisburgo	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Felisburgo	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Felixlândia	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Felixlândia	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Feliz	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Feliz	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Feliz Deserto	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Feliz Deserto	2017	91.4%	92.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Feliz Natal	2000	92.0%	94.1%	89.5%
Brazil	Feliz Natal	2017	92.6%	94.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Fênix	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Fênix	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Fernandes Pinheiro	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Fernandes Pinheiro	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Fernandes Tourinho	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Fernandes Tourinho	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Fernando de Noronha	2000	95.2%	98.3%	89.8%
Brazil	Fernando de Noronha	2017	95.4%	98.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Fernando Falcão	2000	91.0%	92.9%	89.0%
Brazil	Fernando Falcão	2017	91.3%	93.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Fernando Pedroza	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.7%
Brazil	Fernando Pedroza	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	Fernando Prestes	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Fernando Prestes	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Fernandópolis	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.8%
Brazil	Fernandópolis	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Ferno	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Ferno	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Ferraz de Vascon	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Ferraz de Vascon	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ferreira Gomes	2000	86.2%	88.2%	84.3%
Brazil	Ferreira Gomes	2017	86.6%	88.5%	84.7%
Brazil	Ferreiros	2000	94.9%	95.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Ferreiros	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Ferros	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Ferros	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Fervedouro	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Fervedouro	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Figueira	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Figueira	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Figueirópolis	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Figueirópolis	2017	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Figueirópolis d'Oeste	2000	92.7%	94.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Figueirópolis d'Oeste	2017	92.9%	95.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2000	94.5%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2017	94.7%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Firmino Alves	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Firmino Alves	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Firminópolis	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Firminópolis	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Flexeiras	2000	91.7%	92.6%	90.7%
Brazil	Flexeiras	2017	91.9%	92.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Flor da Serra do Sul	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Flor da Serra do Sul	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Flor do Sertão	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Flor do Sertão	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Flora Rica	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Flora Rica	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Floraí	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Floraí	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Florânia	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Brazil	Florânia	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	Floreal	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Floreal	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
Brazil	Flores	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Flores	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Flores da Cunha	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Flores da Cunha	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Flores de Goiás	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Flores de Goiás	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Flores do Piauí	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.6%
Brazil	Flores do Piauí	2017	92.7%	94.2%	90.8%
Brazil	Floresta	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Floresta	2000	92.6%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Floresta	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Floresta	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Floresta Azul	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Floresta Azul	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Floresta do Araguaia	2000	85.4%	87.9%	82.5%
Brazil	Floresta do Araguaia	2017	85.9%	88.3%	82.9%
Brazil	Floresta do Piauí	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Floresta do Piauí	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Florestal	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Florestal	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Florestópolis	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Florestópolis	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Floriano	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Floriano	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Floriano Peixoto	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Floriano Peixoto	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Florianópolis	2000	93.7%	95.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Florianópolis	2017	93.9%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Flórida	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Flórida	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Flórida Paulista	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Flórida Paulista	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Florínia	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Florínia	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Florinópolis	2000	93.8%	95.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Florinópolis	2017	94.0%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Fonte Boa	2000	82.5%	86.3%	78.1%
Brazil	Fonte Boa	2017	83.0%	86.6%	78.7%
Brazil	Fontoura Xavier	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Fontoura Xavier	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Formiga	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Formiga	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Formigueiro	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Formigueiro	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Formosa	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Formosa	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Brazil	Formosa da Serra Negra	2000	92.1%	94.0%	90.0%
Brazil	Formosa da Serra Negra	2017	92.4%	94.2%	90.4%
Brazil	Formosa do Oeste	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Formosa do Oeste	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Formosa do Rio Preto	2000	94.2%	95.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Formosa do Rio Preto	2017	94.4%	96.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Formosa do Sul	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Formosa do Sul	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Formoso	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Formoso	2000	96.4%	97.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Formoso	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Formoso	2017	96.5%	97.7%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Formoso do Araguaia	2000	93.3%	95.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Formoso do Araguaia	2017	93.6%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Forquilha	2000	92.9%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Forquilha	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Forquilha	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Forquilha	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Fortaleza	2000	91.8%	92.7%	90.9%
Brazil	Fortaleza	2017	92.1%	93.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Fortaleza de Minas	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Fortaleza de Minas	2017	97.0%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Fortaleza do Tabocão	2000	93.9%	95.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Fortaleza do Tabocão	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Nogueiras	2000	92.5%	94.4%	90.2%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Nogueiras	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Valos	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Valos	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Fortim	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Fortim	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Fortuna	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.5%
Brazil	Fortuna	2017	91.8%	93.3%	89.8%
Brazil	Fortuna de Minas	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Fortuna de Minas	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Foz do Iguaçu	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Foz do Iguaçu	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Foz do Jordão	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Foz do Jordão	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Fraiburgo	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Fraiburgo	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Franca	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Franca	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%
Brazil	Francinópolis	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.8%
Brazil	Francinópolis	2017	91.8%	93.3%	90.1%
Brazil	Francisco Alves	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Francisco Alves	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Francisco Ayres	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.7%
Brazil	Francisco Ayres	2017	91.6%	93.2%	90.0%
Brazil	Francisco Badaró	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Francisco Badaró	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Francisco Beltrão	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Francisco Beltrão	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Francisco Dantas	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Francisco Dantas	2017	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Francisco Dumon	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Francisco Dumon	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Francisco Macêdo	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Francisco Macêdo	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Francisco Morato	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Francisco Morato	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Francisco Sá	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Francisco Sá	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Francisco Santos	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Francisco Santos	2017	92.6%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Franciscópolis	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Franciscópolis	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Franco da Rocha	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Franco da Rocha	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Frecheirinha	2000	92.4%	93.7%	91.0%
Brazil	Frecheirinha	2017	92.7%	93.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Frederico Westphalen	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Frederico Westphalen	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Frei Gaspar	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Frei Gaspar	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Frei Inocêncio	2000	95.4%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Frei Inocêncio	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Frei Lagonegro	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Frei Lagonegro	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Frei Martinho	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Frei Martinho	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.6%
Brazil	Frei Miguelinho	2000	93.9%	94.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Frei Miguelinho	2017	94.1%	95.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Frei Paulo	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Frei Paulo	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Frei Rogério	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Frei Rogério	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Fronteira	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Fronteira	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Fronteira dos Vales	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Fronteira dos Vales	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Fronteiras	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Fronteiras	2017	93.4%	94.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Fruta de Leite	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Fruta de Leite	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Frutal	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Frutal	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Frutuoso Gomes	2000	95.6%	96.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Frutuoso Gomes	2017	95.8%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Fundão	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Fundão	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Funilândia	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Funilândia	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Gabriel Monteiro	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Gabriel Monteiro	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Gado Bravo	2000	93.8%	94.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Gado Bravo	2017	94.0%	94.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Gália	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Gália	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Galiléia	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Galiléia	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Galinhos	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Galinhos	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	Galvão	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Galvão	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Gameleira	2000	92.1%	93.2%	90.8%
Brazil	Gameleira	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.1%
Brazil	Gameleiras	2000	95.6%	97.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Gameleiras	2017	95.8%	97.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Gandu	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Gandu	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Garanhuns	2000	93.0%	93.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Garanhuns	2017	93.3%	94.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Gararu	2000	92.9%	93.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Gararu	2017	93.1%	94.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Garça	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Garça	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Garibaldi	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Garibaldi	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Garopaba	2000	93.5%	95.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Garopaba	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Garrafão do Norte	2000	80.3%	83.2%	77.4%
Brazil	Garrafão do Norte	2017	80.8%	83.6%	77.9%
Brazil	Garruchos	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Garruchos	2017	95.6%	97.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Garuva	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Garuva	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Gaspar	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Gaspar	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Gastão Vidigal	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Gastão Vidigal	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Gaúcha do Norte	2000	91.3%	94.0%	88.6%
Brazil	Gaúcha do Norte	2017	91.6%	94.2%	88.9%
Brazil	Gaurama	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Gaurama	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Gavião	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Gavião	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Gavião Peixoto	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Gavião Peixoto	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Geminiano	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Geminiano	2017	92.4%	94.0%	91.0%
Brazil	General Câmara	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	General Câmara	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2000	92.9%	94.5%	90.6%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2017	93.1%	94.7%	90.9%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	General Maynard	2000	93.0%	93.8%	92.0%
Brazil	General Maynard	2017	93.2%	94.0%	92.3%
Brazil	General Salgado	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Brazil	General Salgado	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	General Sampaio	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	General Sampaio	2017	93.1%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Gentil	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Gentil	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Gentio do Ouro	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Gentio do Ouro	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Getulina	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Getulina	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.9%
Brazil	Getúlio Vargas	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Getúlio Vargas	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Gilbués	2000	92.6%	94.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Gilbués	2017	92.8%	94.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Girau do Ponciano	2000	92.9%	93.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Girau do Ponciano	2017	93.1%	93.9%	92.2%
Brazil	Giruá	2000	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Giruá	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Glaucilândia	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Glaucilândia	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Glicério	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Glicério	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Glória	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Glória	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Glória d'Oeste	2000	92.9%	94.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Glória d'Oeste	2017	93.1%	95.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Glória de Dourados	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Glória de Dourados	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Glória do Goitá	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Glória do Goitá	2017	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Glorinha	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Glorinha	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Godofredo Viana	2000	83.1%	86.2%	80.1%
Brazil	Godofredo Viana	2017	83.8%	86.9%	80.9%
Brazil	Godoy Moreira	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Godoy Moreira	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Goiabeira	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Goiabeira	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Goianá	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Goianá	2000	93.8%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Goianá	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Goianá	2017	94.0%	94.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Goianópolis	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Goianópolis	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Goianira	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Goianira	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Goianésia	2000	96.1%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Goianésia	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Goianésia do Pará	2000	80.2%	83.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Goianésia do Pará	2017	80.7%	83.4%	78.0%
Brazil	Goiania	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Goiania	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Goianinha	2000	97.1%	97.5%	96.5%
Brazil	Goianinha	2017	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Brazil	Goianira	2000	94.9%	95.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Goianira	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Goianorte	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.9%
Brazil	Goianorte	2017	92.0%	93.7%	90.2%
Brazil	Goiás	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Goiás	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Goiatins	2000	93.4%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Goiatins	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Goiatuba	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Goiatuba	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Goioerê	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Goioerê	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Goioxim	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Goioxim	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Gonçalves	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	Gonçalves	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Gonçalves Dias	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Gonçalves Dias	2017	91.6%	93.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Gongogi	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Gongogi	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Gonzaga	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Gonzaga	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Gouvea	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Gouvea	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Gouvelândia	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Gouvelândia	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Governador Archer	2000	91.5%	92.9%	90.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Governador Archer	2017	91.8%	93.2%	90.4%
Brazil	Governador Celso Ramos	2000	93.4%	95.0%	91.8%
Brazil	Governador Celso Ramos	2017	93.6%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Governador Dix-Sept Rosad	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.4%
Brazil	Governador Dix-Sept Rosad	2017	96.3%	96.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Governador Edison Lobão	2000	91.3%	92.8%	89.7%
Brazil	Governador Edison Lobão	2017	91.6%	93.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Governador Eugênio Barros	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.6%
Brazil	Governador Eugênio Barros	2017	91.7%	93.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Governador Jorge Teixeira	2000	84.4%	86.3%	82.4%
Brazil	Governador Jorge Teixeira	2017	84.6%	86.6%	82.7%
Brazil	Governador Luiz Rocha	2000	91.2%	92.9%	89.3%
Brazil	Governador Luiz Rocha	2017	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Governador Mangabeira	2000	94.4%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Governador Mangabeira	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Governador Newton Bello	2000	88.3%	90.3%	86.3%
Brazil	Governador Newton Bello	2017	88.7%	90.6%	86.7%
Brazil	Governador Nunes Freire	2000	85.3%	87.7%	82.8%
Brazil	Governador Nunes Freire	2017	85.7%	88.0%	83.1%
Brazil	Governador Valadares	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Governador Valadares	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Graça	2000	92.1%	93.3%	90.6%
Brazil	Graça	2017	92.4%	93.6%	90.9%
Brazil	Graça Aranha	2000	91.3%	93.0%	89.4%
Brazil	Graça Aranha	2017	91.6%	93.3%	89.7%
Brazil	Gracho Cardoso	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Gracho Cardoso	2017	93.8%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Grajaú	2000	90.9%	93.1%	89.0%
Brazil	Grajaú	2017	91.5%	93.7%	89.6%
Brazil	Gramado	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Gramado	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Gramado dos Loureiros	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Gramado dos Loureiros	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Gramado Xavier	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Gramado Xavier	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Grandes Rios	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Grandes Rios	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Granito	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Granito	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Granja	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Granja	2017	92.4%	93.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Granjeiro	2000	93.0%	94.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Granjeiro	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Grão Mogol	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Grão Mogol	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Grão Pará	2000	94.3%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Grão Pará	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Gravatá	2000	94.9%	95.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Gravatá	2017	95.1%	95.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Gravataí	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Gravataí	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Gravataí	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Gravataí	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Groaíras	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Groaíras	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Groaíras	2017	92.5%	93.7%	90.9%
Brazil	Groaíras	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Grupiara	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Grupiara	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Guabiju	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Guabiju	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Guabiruba	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Guabiruba	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Guaçuí	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Guaçuí	2017	95.9%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Guadalupe	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.3%
Brazil	Guadalupe	2017	92.4%	94.0%	90.6%
Brazil	Guaíba	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Guaíba	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Guaçara	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Guaçara	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Guaimbê	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Guaimbê	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Guaíra	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Guaíra	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Guaíra	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Guaíra	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Guairaçá	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Guairaçá	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Guaiúba	2000	92.2%	93.3%	90.9%
Brazil	Guaiúba	2017	92.5%	93.5%	91.2%
Brazil	Guajará	2000	82.5%	84.8%	80.3%
Brazil	Guajará	2017	82.6%	84.9%	80.4%
Brazil	Guajará-Mirim	2000	83.9%	86.7%	81.2%
Brazil	Guajará-Mirim	2017	84.2%	87.0%	81.5%
Brazil	Guajeru	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Guajeru	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Guamaré	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Guamaré	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	Guamiranga	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Guamiranga	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guanambi	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Guanambi	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Guanhães	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Guanhães	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Guapé	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Guapé	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Guapiaçu	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Guapiaçu	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Guapiara	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Guapiara	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Guapimirim	2000	94.6%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Guapimirim	2017	94.8%	95.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Guapirama	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Guapirama	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Guapó	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Guapó	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Guaporé	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Guaporé	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Guaporema	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Guaporema	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Guará	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Guará	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Guarabira	2000	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Guarabira	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Guaraçai	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Guaraçai	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Guaraci	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Guaraci	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Guaraci	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Guaraci	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Guaraciaba do Norte	2000	93.2%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Guaraciaba do Norte	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Guaraciama	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Guaraciama	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Guaraíta	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Guaraíta	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Guaramiranga	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Guaramiranga	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Guaramirim	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Guaramirim	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Guaranesia	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Guaranesia	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Guarani	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Guarani	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Guarani das Missões	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Guarani das Missões	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Guarani de Goiás	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Guarani de Goiás	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Guarani do Oeste	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Guarani do Oeste	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guaraniaçu	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Guaraniaçu	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Guarantã	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Guarantã	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Guarantã do Norte	2000	90.0%	92.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Guarantã do Norte	2017	90.3%	92.9%	87.3%
Brazil	Guarapari	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Guarapari	2017	94.9%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Guarapuava	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Guarapuava	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Guaraqueçaba	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Guaraqueçaba	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Guarará	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Guarará	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Guararapes	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Brazil	Guararapes	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Brazil	Guararema	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Guararema	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Guaratinga	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Guaratinga	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Guaratinguetá	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Guaratinguetá	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Guaratuba	2000	93.7%	95.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Guaratuba	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Guarda-Mor	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Guarda-Mor	2017	95.6%	97.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Guareí	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Guareí	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Guariba	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Guariba	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Guaribas	2000	92.9%	94.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Guaribas	2017	93.1%	94.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Guarinos	2000	94.5%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Guarinos	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Guarujá	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Guarujá	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Guarujá do Sul	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Guarujá do Sul	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Guarulhos	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Guarulhos	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Guatambú	2000	95.1%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Guatambú	2017	95.3%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Guataparã	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Guataparã	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Guaxupé	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Guaxupé	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Guia Branca	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Guia Branca	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Guia Lopes da Laguna	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Guia Lopes da Laguna	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Guidoval	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Guidoval	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Guimarães	2000	87.9%	90.1%	85.5%
Brazil	Guimarães	2017	88.2%	90.5%	85.9%
Brazil	Guimaranã	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Guimaranã	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guiratinga	2000	93.6%	95.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Guiratinga	2017	93.8%	95.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Guiricema	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Guiricema	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Gurinhata	2000	96.1%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Gurinhata	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Gurinhém	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Gurinhém	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Gurjão	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Gurjão	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Gurupá	2000	80.8%	83.4%	78.1%
Brazil	Gurupá	2017	81.2%	83.8%	78.5%
Brazil	Gurupi	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Gurupi	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Guzolandia	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
Brazil	Guzolandia	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.7%
Brazil	Harmonia	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Harmonia	2017	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Heitorai	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Heitorai	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Heliadora	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Heliadora	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	Heliópolis	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Heliópolis	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Herculândia	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Herculândia	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Herval	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Herval d'Oeste	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Herveiras	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Herveiras	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.7%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2017	92.4%	93.8%	91.0%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Hidrolina	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Hidrolina	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Holambra	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Holambra	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Honório Serpa	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Honório Serpa	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Horizonte	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Horizonte	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Horizontina	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Horizontina	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Hortolândia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Hortolândia	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Hugo Napoleão	2000	91.5%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Hugo Napoleão	2017	91.8%	93.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Hulha Negra	2000	96.4%	97.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Hulha Negra	2017	96.5%	97.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Humaitá	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Humaitá	2000	85.2%	87.5%	82.4%
Brazil	Humaitá	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Humaitá	2017	85.6%	87.9%	82.8%
Brazil	Humberto Campos	2000	89.0%	91.1%	86.9%
Brazil	Humberto Campos	2017	89.4%	91.4%	87.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Iacanga	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Iacanga	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Iaciara	2000	95.5%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Iaciara	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Iacri	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Iacri	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Iaçu	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Iaçu	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Iaçu	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Iaçu	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Iaras	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Iaras	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Iati	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Iati	2017	93.0%	93.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Ibaiti	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Ibaiti	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Ibarama	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Ibarama	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Ibaretama	2000	93.2%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Ibaretama	2017	93.5%	94.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Ibaté	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Ibaté	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Ibateguara	2000	92.3%	93.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Ibateguara	2017	92.5%	93.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Ibatiba	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Ibatiba	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Ibema	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Ibema	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Ibertioga	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Ibertioga	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Ibiá	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Ibiá	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Ibiaçá	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Ibiaçá	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Ibiaí	2000	95.8%	97.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Ibiaí	2017	95.9%	97.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Ibiam	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Ibiam	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Ibiapina	2000	93.0%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Ibiapina	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.8%
Brazil	Ibiara	2000	93.2%	94.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Ibiara	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Ibiassucê	2000	95.2%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Ibiassucê	2017	95.2%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Ibicaraí	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Ibicaraí	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Ibicaré	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Ibicaré	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Ibicoara	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Ibicoara	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Ibicuí	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Ibicuí	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Ibicuitinga	2000	93.5%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Ibicuitinga	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Ibimirim	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Ibimirim	2017	92.9%	93.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Ibipeba	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Ibipeba	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Ibipitanga	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Ibipitanga	2017	95.4%	96.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Ibiporã	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	Ibiporã	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ibiquera	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Ibiquera	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Ibirá	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Ibirá	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Ibiracatu	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Ibiracatu	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Ibiraci	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Ibiraci	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	Ibiraçu	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Ibiraçu	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Ibiraiaras	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Ibiraiaras	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Ibirajuba	2000	93.5%	94.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Ibirajuba	2017	93.7%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Ibirama	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Ibirama	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.9%
Brazil	Ibirapitanga	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Ibirapitanga	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Ibirapuã	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Ibirapuã	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Ibirapuitã	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Ibirapuitã	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Ibirarema	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Ibirarema	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Ibirataia	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Ibirataia	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Ibirité	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Ibirité	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Ibirubá	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Ibirubá	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Ibitiara	2000	95.6%	96.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Ibitiara	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Ibitinga	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Ibitinga	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Ibitirama	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Ibitirama	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Ibititá	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Ibititá	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Ibitiúra de Minas	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Ibitiúra de Minas	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
Brazil	Ibituruna	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Ibituruna	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Ibiúna	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Ibiúna	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Brazil	Ibotirama	2000	94.7%	96.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Ibotirama	2017	94.9%	96.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Icapuí	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Icapuí	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Içara	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Içara	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Icará de Minas	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Icará de Minas	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Icaraíma	2000	96.1%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Icaraíma	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Icatu	2000	88.9%	90.6%	87.0%
Brazil	Icatu	2017	89.2%	91.0%	87.4%
Brazil	Icém	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Icém	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ichu	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Ichu	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Icó	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Icó	2017	94.1%	95.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Iconha	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Iconha	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Ielmo Marinho	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.8%
Brazil	Ielmo Marinho	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	Iepê	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	Iepê	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Igaci	2000	91.5%	92.4%	90.7%
Brazil	Igaci	2017	91.8%	92.7%	91.0%
Brazil	Igaporã	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Igaporã	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Igaracu	2000	93.2%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Igaracu	2017	93.5%	94.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Igaraçu do Tietê	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Igaraçu do Tietê	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Igarapava	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Igarapava	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Igarapé	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Igarapé	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Igarapé do Meio	2000	90.1%	91.9%	88.2%
Brazil	Igarapé do Meio	2017	90.4%	92.2%	88.6%
Brazil	Igarapé Grande	2000	89.2%	91.0%	87.1%
Brazil	Igarapé Grande	2017	89.5%	91.2%	87.4%
Brazil	Igarapé-Açu	2000	78.7%	80.9%	76.0%
Brazil	Igarapé-Açu	2017	79.1%	81.3%	76.4%
Brazil	Igarapé-Miri	2000	78.4%	80.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Igarapé-Miri	2017	78.8%	81.3%	76.0%
Brazil	Igaratá	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Brazil	Igaratá	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Igaratinga	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Igaratinga	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Igrapiúna	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.0%
Brazil	Igrapiúna	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Igreja Nova	2000	92.1%	93.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Igreja Nova	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Igrejinha	2000	95.9%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Igrejinha	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Iguaba Grande	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Iguaba Grande	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Iguaí	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Iguaí	2017	95.5%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Iguape	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Iguape	2017	97.3%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Iguaraci	2000	93.3%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Iguaraci	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Iguaraçu	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Iguaraçu	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Iguatama	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Iguatama	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Iguatemi	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Iguatemi	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Iguatu	2000	96.3%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Iguatu	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Iguatu	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Iguatu	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Ijaci	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Ijaci	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Ijuí	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Ijuí	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Ilha das Flores	2000	92.3%	93.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Ilha das Flores	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Ilha Grande	2000	91.2%	93.0%	89.1%
Brazil	Ilha Grande	2017	91.5%	93.2%	89.5%
Brazil	Ilha Solteira	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Ilha Solteira	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Ilhabela	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Ilhabela	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Ilhéus	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Ilhéus	2017	93.6%	95.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Ilhota	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Ilhota	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Ilicínea	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Ilicínea	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Ilópolis	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Ilópolis	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Imaculada	2000	94.1%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Imaculada	2017	94.3%	95.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Imaruí	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Imaruí	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Imbaú	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Imbaú	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Imbé	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Imbé	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Imbé de Minas	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Imbé de Minas	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Imbituba	2000	93.7%	95.0%	91.9%
Brazil	Imbituba	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Imbituva	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Imbituva	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Imbuia	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Imbuia	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Imigrante	2000	95.9%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Imigrante	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Imperatriz	2000	90.9%	92.6%	89.2%
Brazil	Imperatriz	2017	91.2%	92.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Inácio Martins	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Inácio Martins	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Inaciolândia	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Inaciolândia	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Inajá	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Inajá	2000	92.5%	93.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Inajá	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Inajá	2017	92.8%	93.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Inconfidentes	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Brazil	Inconfidentes	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Brazil	Indaiabira	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Indaiabira	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Indaial	2000	94.0%	95.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Indaial	2017	94.2%	95.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Indaiatuba	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Indaiatuba	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Independência	2000	93.6%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Independência	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Independência	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Independência	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Indiana	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Indiana	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Indiaporã	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	Indiaporã	2017	97.3%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Indiara	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Indiara	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Indiaroba	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Indiaroba	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Indiavaí	2000	92.6%	94.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Indiavaí	2017	92.9%	94.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Ingá	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Ingá	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Ingáí	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Ingáí	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Ingazeira	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Ingazeira	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Inhacor	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Inhacor	2017	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Inhambupe	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Inhambupe	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Inhangapi	2000	77.4%	79.7%	75.2%
Brazil	Inhangapi	2017	77.8%	80.2%	75.6%
Brazil	Inhapi	2000	92.1%	93.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Inhapi	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.2%
Brazil	Inhapim	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Inhapim	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Inhaúma	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Inhaúma	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Inhuma	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.5%
Brazil	Inhuma	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Inhumas	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Inhumas	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Inimutaba	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Inimutaba	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Inocência	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Inocência	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Inúbia Paulista	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Inúbia Paulista	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Iomerê	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Iomerê	2017	95.2%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Ipaba	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Ipaba	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Ipameri	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Ipameri	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Ipanema	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Ipanema	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Ipanguaçu	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Brazil	Ipanguaçu	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ipaporanga	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Ipaporanga	2017	92.8%	94.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Ipatinga	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Ipatinga	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Ipaucu	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Ipaucu	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Ipaumirim	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Ipaumirim	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Ipê	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Ipê	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Ipecaetá	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Ipecaetá	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Iperó	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Iperó	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Ipeúna	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Ipeúna	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Ipiaçu	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Ipiaçu	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Ipiaú	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Ipiaú	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Ipiguá	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Ipiguá	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Ipirá	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Ipirá	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Ipirá	2017	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Ipirá	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Ipiranga	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Ipiranga	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Piauí	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.6%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Piauí	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Sul	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Sul	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Ipixuna	2000	82.6%	85.6%	79.0%
Brazil	Ipixuna	2017	83.0%	86.0%	79.5%
Brazil	Ipixuna do Pará	2000	79.5%	82.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Ipixuna do Pará	2017	79.9%	82.5%	77.1%
Brazil	Ipojuca	2000	91.6%	92.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Ipojuca	2017	91.9%	93.0%	90.4%
Brazil	Iporá	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Iporá	2000	94.3%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Iporá	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Iporá	2017	94.5%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Iporã	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Iporã	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Iporã do Oeste	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Iporã do Oeste	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Iporanga	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Iporanga	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Ipú	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Ipú	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Ipuã	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Ipuã	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Ipuaçu	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Ipuaçu	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ipubi	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Ipubi	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Ipueira	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Ipueira	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2000	92.5%	93.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Ipuiúna	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Ipuiúna	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Brazil	Ipumirim	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Ipumirim	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Ipupiara	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Ipupiara	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Iracema	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Iracema	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Iracema	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Iracema	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Iracema do Oeste	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Iracema do Oeste	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Iracemápolis	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Iracemápolis	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Iraceminha	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Iraceminha	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Iraí	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Iraí	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Iraí de Minas	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Iraí de Minas	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Irajuba	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Irajuba	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Iramaia	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Iramaia	2017	95.2%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Iranduba	2000	81.0%	82.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Iranduba	2017	81.5%	82.9%	80.0%
Brazil	Irani	2000	95.2%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Irani	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Irapuã	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Irapuã	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Irapuru	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Irapuru	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Iraquara	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Iraquara	2017	95.4%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Irará	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Irará	2017	95.1%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Irati	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Irati	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Irati	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Irati	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Irauçuba	2000	93.2%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Irauçuba	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Irecê	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Irecê	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Iretama	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Iretama	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Irineópolis	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Irineópolis	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Irituia	2000	77.3%	80.1%	74.7%
Brazil	Irituia	2017	77.8%	80.6%	75.3%
Brazil	Irupi	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Irupi	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Isaiás Coelho	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.2%
Brazil	Isaiás Coelho	2017	92.3%	93.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Israelândia	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Israelândia	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Itá	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Itá	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Itaara	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Itaara	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2000	94.7%	95.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2017	94.9%	95.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Itabaianinha	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Itabaianinha	2017	94.9%	95.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Itabela	2000	94.8%	96.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Itabela	2017	95.0%	96.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Itaberá	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Itaberá	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Itaberaba	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Itaberaba	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Itaberaí	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Itaberaí	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Itabi	2000	93.2%	94.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Itabi	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Itabira	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Itabira	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Itabirinha de Mantena	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Itabirinha de Mantena	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Itabirito	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Itabirito	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Itaboraí	2000	95.4%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Itaboraí	2017	95.6%	96.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Itabuna	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Itabuna	2017	94.7%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Itacajá	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Itacajá	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Itacarambi	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Itacarambi	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Itacarambira	2000	95.8%	97.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Itacarambira	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Itacaré	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.3%
Brazil	Itacaré	2017	92.7%	94.4%	90.4%
Brazil	Itacoatiara	2000	83.0%	85.2%	80.7%
Brazil	Itacoatiara	2017	83.5%	85.6%	81.2%
Brazil	Itacuruba	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Itacuruba	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Itacurubi	2000	95.6%	97.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Itacurubi	2017	95.8%	97.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Itaeté	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Itaeté	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Itagi	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Itagi	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Itagibá	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Itagibá	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Itagimirim	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Itagimirim	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Itaguaçu	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Itaguaçu	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Itaguaçu da Bahia	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itaguaçu da Bahia	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2000	95.4%	96.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2017	95.6%	96.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Itaguara	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Itaguara	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Itaguari	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Itaguari	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Itaguaru	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Itaguaru	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Itaguatins	2000	91.2%	92.9%	89.6%
Brazil	Itaguatins	2017	91.5%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Itaí	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Itaí	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Brazil	Itaíba	2000	92.0%	93.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Itaíba	2017	92.3%	93.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Itaíçaba	2000	94.1%	95.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Itaíçaba	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Itainópolis	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Itainópolis	2017	92.4%	93.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Itaiópolis	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Itaiópolis	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Itaipava do Grajaú	2000	90.1%	92.1%	87.9%
Brazil	Itaipava do Grajaú	2017	90.4%	92.4%	88.3%
Brazil	Itaipé	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Itaipé	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Itaipulândia	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Itaipulândia	2017	96.1%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Itaitinga	2000	92.2%	93.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Itaitinga	2017	92.5%	93.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Itaituba	2000	80.5%	84.0%	76.3%
Brazil	Itaituba	2017	80.9%	84.4%	76.7%
Brazil	Itajá	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Itajá	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Itajá	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Itajá	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Itajaí	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Itajaí	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Itajobi	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Itajobi	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Itaju	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Itaju	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Itaju do Colônia	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Itaju do Colônia	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Itajubá	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Brazil	Itajubá	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Brazil	Itajuípe	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Itajuípe	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Italva	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Italva	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Itamaraju	2000	94.9%	96.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Itamaraju	2017	95.2%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Itamarandiba	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Itamarandiba	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Itamarati	2000	82.2%	86.7%	77.1%
Brazil	Itamarati	2017	82.7%	87.1%	77.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itamarati de Minas	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Itamarati de Minas	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Itamari	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Itamari	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Itambacuri	2000	95.7%	96.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Itambacuri	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Itambaraca	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Itambaraca	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Itambaracá	2000	93.0%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Itambaracá	2017	93.2%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Itambé	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Itambé	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Itambé	2017	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Itambé	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Itambé do Mato Dentro	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Itambé do Mato Dentro	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Itamogi	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Itamogi	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Itamonte	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Itamonte	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Itanagra	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Itanagra	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Itanhaém	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Itanhaém	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Itanhandu	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Itanhandu	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Brazil	Itanhém	2000	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Itanhém	2017	95.7%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Itanhomi	2000	96.5%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Itanhomi	2017	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Itaobim	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Itaobim	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Itaóca	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Itaóca	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Itaocara	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Itaocara	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Itapaci	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Itapaci	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Itapagipe	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Itapagipe	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Itapajé	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Itapajé	2017	93.7%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Itaparica	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Itaparica	2017	92.8%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Itapé	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Itapé	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Itapebi	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Itapebi	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Itapecerica	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Itapecerica	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Itapecerica da Serra	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Itapecerica da Serra	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Brazil	Itapecuru Mirim	2000	90.1%	91.7%	88.4%
Brazil	Itapecuru Mirim	2017	90.4%	92.0%	88.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itapejara d'Oeste	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Itapejara d'Oeste	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Itapema	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Itapema	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Itapemirim	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Itapemirim	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Itaperuçu	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Itaperuçu	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.4%
Brazil	Itaperuna	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Itaperuna	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Itapetim	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Itapetim	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Itapetinga	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Itapetinga	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Itapetininga	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Itapetininga	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Itapeva	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Itapeva	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Itapeva	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.7%
Brazil	Itapeva	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Itapevi	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Itapevi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Itapicuru	2000	95.0%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Itapicuru	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Itapipoca	2000	92.4%	93.6%	90.9%
Brazil	Itapipoca	2017	92.6%	93.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Itapira	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Brazil	Itapira	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Brazil	Itapiranga	2000	89.9%	91.4%	88.3%
Brazil	Itapiranga	2017	90.1%	91.6%	88.5%
Brazil	Itapirapuã	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Itapirapuã	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Itapirapuã Paulista	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Itapirapuã Paulista	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Itapiratins	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Itapiratins	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Itapissuma	2000	93.1%	93.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Itapissuma	2017	93.3%	94.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Itapitanga	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Itapitanga	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Itapiúna	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.3%
Brazil	Itapiúna	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Itapoá	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Itapoá	2017	93.6%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Itápolis	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Itápolis	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Itaporã	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Itaporã	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Itaporã do Tocantins	2000	92.7%	94.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Itaporã do Tocantins	2017	93.0%	94.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Itaporanga da-Juda	2000	94.0%	94.7%	93.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itaporanga da-Juda	2017	94.2%	94.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Itapororoca	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Itapororoca	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Itapuã do Oeste	2000	82.2%	84.2%	79.8%
Brazil	Itapuã do Oeste	2017	82.5%	84.5%	80.1%
Brazil	Itapuca	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Itapuca	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Itapuí	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Itapuí	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Itapura	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Itapura	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Itapuranga	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Itapuranga	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Itaquaquecetuba	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Itaquaquecetuba	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Brazil	Itaquara	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Itaquara	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Itaqui	2000	97.0%	98.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Itaqui	2017	97.2%	98.3%	95.9%
Brazil	Itaquiraí	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Itaquiraí	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Itaquitinga	2000	94.1%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Itaquitinga	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Itarana	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Itarana	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Itarantim	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Itarantim	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Itararé	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Itararé	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Itariri	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Itariri	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Itaruma	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Itaruma	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Itarumã	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.4%
Brazil	Itarumã	2017	91.8%	93.4%	89.8%
Brazil	Itatiaia	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Itatiaia	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Itatiaiuçu	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.8%
Brazil	Itatiaiuçu	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	Itatiba	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Itatiba	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Itatiba do Sul	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Itatiba do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Itatim	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Itatim	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Itatinga	2000	98.6%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Itatinga	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Itatira	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Itatira	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Itatuba	2000	94.0%	94.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Itatuba	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Itaú	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Itaú	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Itaú de Minas	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Itaú de Minas	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Itaúba	2000	91.8%	93.8%	89.3%
Brazil	Itaúba	2017	92.1%	94.0%	89.5%
Brazil	Itaubal	2000	85.7%	87.5%	83.8%
Brazil	Itaubal	2017	86.0%	87.9%	84.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itaçu	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Itaçu	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Itaueira	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
Brazil	Itaueira	2017	92.4%	93.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Itaúna	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Itaúna	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Itaúna do Sul	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Itaúna do Sul	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Itaverava	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Itaverava	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Itinga	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Itinga	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Itinga do Maranhão	2000	87.2%	89.4%	85.0%
Brazil	Itinga do Maranhão	2017	87.5%	89.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Itiquira	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Itiquira	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Itirapina	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Itirapina	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Itirapuã	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Itirapuã	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Itiruçu	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Itiruçu	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Itiúba	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Itiúba	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Itobi	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Itobi	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Itororó	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Itororó	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Itu	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Itu	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Ituaçu	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Ituaçu	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Ituberá	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.0%
Brazil	Ituberá	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.3%
Brazil	Itueta	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Itueta	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Ituiutaba	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Ituiutaba	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Itumbiara	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Itumbiara	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Itumirim	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Itumirim	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Itupeva	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Itupeva	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Itupiranga	2000	79.7%	82.6%	77.1%
Brazil	Itupiranga	2017	80.1%	83.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Ituporanga	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Ituporanga	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Iturama	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Iturama	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Itutinga	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Itutinga	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Ituverava	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%
Brazil	Ituverava	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Iuiú	2000	95.5%	97.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Iuiú	2017	95.6%	97.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Iúna	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Iúna	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Ivaí	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Ivaí	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ivaiporã	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Ivaiporã	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Ivaté	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Ivaté	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Ivatuva	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Ivatuva	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Ivinhema	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Ivinhema	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Ivolândia	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Ivolândia	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Ivorá	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Ivorá	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Ivoti	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Ivoti	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Jaboatão dos Guararapes	2000	93.1%	94.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Jaboatão dos Guararapes	2017	93.3%	94.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Jaborá	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Jaborá	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2000	95.4%	97.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2017	95.5%	97.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Jaboticaba	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Jaboticaba	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Jaboticatubas	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Jaboticatubas	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Jabuti	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Jabuti	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.1%
Brazil	Jabuticabal	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Jabuticabal	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Jaçanã	2000	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
Brazil	Jaçanã	2017	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Brazil	Jacaraci	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Jacaraci	2017	95.5%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Jacaraú	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Jacaraú	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Jacaré dos Homens	2000	91.9%	92.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Jacaré dos Homens	2017	92.2%	93.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Jacareacanga	2000	83.6%	87.5%	78.9%
Brazil	Jacareacanga	2017	84.0%	87.8%	79.3%
Brazil	Jacareí	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Jacareí	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Jacarezinho	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Jacarezinho	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Jaci	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Jaci	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Jaciara	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Jaciara	2017	92.6%	94.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Jacinto	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Jacinto	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Jacinto Machado	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Jacinto Machado	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Jacobina	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Jacobina	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Jacobina do Piauí	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jacobina do Piauí	2017	92.7%	94.2%	90.7%
Brazil	Jacuí	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Jacuí	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Jacuípe	2000	92.2%	93.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Jacuípe	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Jacundá	2000	80.2%	82.9%	77.0%
Brazil	Jacundá	2017	80.6%	83.3%	77.5%
Brazil	Jacupiranga	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Jacupiranga	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Jaguapitã	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Jaguapitã	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Jaguaquara	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Jaguaquara	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Jaguaraçu	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Jaguaraçu	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Jaguarão	2000	96.0%	97.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Jaguarão	2017	95.8%	97.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Jaguarari	2000	94.6%	96.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Jaguarari	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Jaguaré	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Jaguaré	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Jaguaretama	2000	93.3%	94.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Jaguaretama	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Jaguari	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Jaguari	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Jaguariaíva	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Jaguariaíva	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Jaguaribara	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.4%
Brazil	Jaguaribara	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Jaguaribe	2000	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Jaguaribe	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Jaguaripe	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Jaguaripe	2017	93.5%	94.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Jaguariúna	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Jaguariúna	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Jaguaruana	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Jaguaruana	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Jaguaruna	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Jaguaruna	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Jaíba	2000	95.6%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Jaíba	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Jaicós	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Jaicós	2017	92.7%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Jales	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Jales	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Brazil	Jambeiro	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Jambeiro	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Jampruca	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Jampruca	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Janaúba	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Janaúba	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Jandaia	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Jandaia	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Jandaia do Sul	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Jandaia do Sul	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jandaíra	2000	94.0%	95.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2017	94.2%	95.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Brazil	Jandira	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Jandira	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Janduís	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Janduís	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Jangada	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.7%
Brazil	Jangada	2017	92.7%	94.2%	91.0%
Brazil	Janiópolis	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Janiópolis	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Januária	2000	95.7%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Januária	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Januário	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.6%
Brazil	Cicco Januário Cicco	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Japaraíba	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Japaraíba	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2000	91.6%	92.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2017	91.9%	93.1%	90.4%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2000	93.4%	94.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2017	93.7%	94.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Japeri	2000	95.0%	95.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Japeri	2017	95.2%	95.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Japi	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.8%
Brazil	Japi	2017	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Japira	2000	97.0%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Japira	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Japoatã	2000	93.3%	94.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Japoatã	2017	93.5%	94.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Japonvar	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Japonvar	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Japorã	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Japorã	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Japurá	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Japurá	2000	79.6%	83.7%	74.8%
Brazil	Japurá	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Japurá	2017	80.1%	84.2%	75.5%
Brazil	Jaqueira	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Jaqueira	2017	92.9%	93.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Jaquirana	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Jaquirana	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Jaraguá	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Jaraguá	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Jaraguá do Sul	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Jaraguá do Sul	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Jaraguari	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Jaraguari	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Jaramataia	2000	92.3%	93.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Jaramataia	2017	92.5%	93.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Jardim	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Jardim	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Jardim	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Jardim	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Jardim Alegre	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Jardim Alegre	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Jardim de Angicos	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jardim de Angicos	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Brazil	Jardim do Mulato	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.9%
Brazil	Jardim do Mulato	2017	91.7%	93.3%	90.2%
Brazil	Jardim do Seridó	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Jardim do Seridó	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.9%
Brazil	Jardim Olinda	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Jardim Olinda	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Jardim-Piranhas	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Jardim-Piranhas	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.6%
Brazil	Jari	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Jari	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Jarinu	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Jarinu	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Jaru	2000	84.6%	86.5%	82.8%
Brazil	Jaru	2017	84.9%	86.7%	83.1%
Brazil	Jataí	2000	95.3%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Jataí	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Jataizinho	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Jataizinho	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Jataúba	2000	94.0%	94.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Jataúba	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Jateí	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Jateí	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Jati	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Jati	2017	93.1%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Jatobá	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Jatobá	2000	91.5%	93.0%	89.6%
Brazil	Jatobá	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Jatobá	2017	91.7%	93.3%	90.0%
Brazil	Jatobá do Piauí	2000	91.4%	92.8%	89.8%
Brazil	Jatobá do Piauí	2017	91.7%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Jaú	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Jaú	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Jaú do Tocantins	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Jaú do Tocantins	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Jaupaci	2000	93.9%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Jaupaci	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Jauru	2000	93.0%	95.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Jauru	2017	93.2%	95.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Jeceaba	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Jeceaba	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Jenipapo de Minas	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Jenipapo de Minas	2017	96.4%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Jenipapo dos Vieiras	2000	90.6%	92.7%	88.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jenipapo dos Vieiras	2017	90.9%	92.9%	89.0%
Brazil	Jequeri	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Jequeri	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Jequié	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Jequié	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Jequitaí	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Jequitaí	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Jequitibá	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Jequitibá	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Jequitinhonha	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Jequitinhonha	2017	95.7%	97.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Jeremoabo	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Jeremoabo	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Jericó	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Jericó	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Jeriquara	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Jeriquara	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Jerônimo Monteiro	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Jerônimo Monteiro	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Jerumenha	2000	91.8%	93.4%	89.9%
Brazil	Jerumenha	2017	92.1%	93.6%	90.2%
Brazil	Jesuânia	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Jesuânia	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Jesúitas	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Jesúitas	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Jesópolis	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Jesópolis	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Ji-Paraná	2000	84.5%	86.3%	82.9%
Brazil	Ji-Paraná	2017	84.8%	86.6%	83.2%
Brazil	Jijoca de Jericoacoara	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Jijoca de Jericoacoara	2017	92.0%	93.6%	89.9%
Brazil	Jiquiriçá	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Jiquiriçá	2017	94.3%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Jitaúna	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Jitaúna	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Joaçaba	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Joaçaba	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Joaíma	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Joaíma	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Joanésia	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Joanésia	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Joanópolis	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Joanópolis	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	João Alfredo	2000	93.2%	94.3%	92.3%
Brazil	João Alfredo	2017	93.5%	94.5%	92.6%
Brazil	João Câmara	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Brazil	João Câmara	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	João Costa	2000	92.8%	94.4%	90.7%
Brazil	João Costa	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	João Dias	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	João Dias	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	João Dourado	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	João Dourado	2017	95.5%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	João Lisboa	2000	90.7%	92.3%	88.9%
Brazil	João Lisboa	2017	91.0%	92.6%	89.3%
Brazil	João Monlevade	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	João Monlevade	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	João Neiva	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	João Neiva	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	João Pessoa	2000	93.8%	94.7%	92.8%
Brazil	João Pessoa	2017	94.0%	94.9%	93.0%
Brazil	João Pinheiro	2000	95.6%	97.2%	93.9%
Brazil	João Pinheiro	2017	95.8%	97.3%	94.2%
Brazil	João Ramalho	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	João Ramalho	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Joaquim Felício	2000	95.8%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Joaquim Felício	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Joaquim Gomes	2000	91.6%	92.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Joaquim Gomes	2017	91.9%	92.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Joaquim Nabuco	2000	92.7%	93.7%	91.7%
Brazil	Joaquim Nabuco	2017	93.0%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Joaquim Pires	2000	90.6%	92.1%	89.1%
Brazil	Joaquim Pires	2017	90.9%	92.3%	89.4%
Brazil	Joaquim Távora	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Joaquim Távora	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Joca Marques	2000	90.6%	92.2%	89.0%
Brazil	Joca Marques	2017	90.9%	92.5%	89.3%
Brazil	Jóia	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Jóia	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Joinville	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Joinville	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Jordânia	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Jordânia	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Jordão	2000	78.6%	81.6%	74.6%
Brazil	Jordão	2017	79.0%	82.0%	75.1%
Brazil	José Boiteux	2000	94.3%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	José Boiteux	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	José Bonifácio	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	José Bonifácio	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	José da Penha	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	José da Penha	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	José de Freitas	2000	91.9%	93.0%	90.7%
Brazil	José de Freitas	2017	92.2%	93.2%	91.0%
Brazil	José Gonçalves de Minas	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	José Gonçalves de Minas	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	José Raydan	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	José Raydan	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Joselândia	2000	90.1%	91.8%	88.1%
Brazil	Joselândia	2017	90.4%	92.1%	88.4%
Brazil	Josenópolis	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Josenópolis	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Joviânia	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Joviânia	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Juara	2000	91.5%	93.5%	88.9%
Brazil	Juara	2017	91.8%	93.8%	89.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Juarez Távora	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Juarez Távora	2017	94.5%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Juarina	2000	89.9%	91.9%	87.7%
Brazil	Juarina	2017	90.2%	92.1%	88.1%
Brazil	Juatuba	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Juatuba	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Juazeirinho	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Juazeirinho	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Juazeiro	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Juazeiro	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Norte	2000	93.2%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Norte	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Piauí	2000	91.6%	93.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Piauí	2017	91.9%	93.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Jucás	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Jucás	2017	92.9%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Jucati	2000	93.2%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Jucati	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Jucuruçu	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Jucuruçu	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Jucurutu	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Jucurutu	2017	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Juína	2000	90.8%	93.3%	87.7%
Brazil	Juína	2017	91.1%	93.5%	88.1%
Brazil	Juiz de Fora	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Juiz de Fora	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Júlio Borges	2000	92.9%	94.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Júlio Borges	2017	93.2%	95.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Júlio de Castilhos	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Júlio de Castilhos	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Júlio Mesquita	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Júlio Mesquita	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Jumirim	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Jumirim	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Junco	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Junco	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Junco do Maranhão	2000	83.7%	86.6%	80.8%
Brazil	Junco do Maranhão	2017	84.1%	86.9%	81.2%
Brazil	Junco do Seridó	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Junco do Seridó	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Jundiá	2000	91.9%	92.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Jundiá	2017	92.1%	93.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Jundiáí	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Jundiáí	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Jundiáí do Sul	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Jundiáí do Sul	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Junqueiro	2000	91.2%	92.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Junqueiro	2017	91.5%	92.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Junqueirópolis	2000	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Junqueirópolis	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jupia	2000	93.3%	94.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Jupia	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Jupia	2017	93.5%	94.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Jupia	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Juquia	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Juquia	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Juquitiba	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Juquitiba	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Juramento	2000	96.2%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Juramento	2017	96.4%	97.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Juranda	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Juranda	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Jurema	2000	92.8%	94.4%	90.7%
Brazil	Jurema	2000	93.7%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Jurema	2017	93.9%	94.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Jurema	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Juripiranga	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Juripiranga	2017	94.9%	95.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Juru	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Juru	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Juruá	2000	80.3%	84.5%	76.0%
Brazil	Juruá	2017	81.5%	85.6%	77.3%
Brazil	Juruiaia	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Juruiaia	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Juruena	2000	90.4%	93.1%	87.3%
Brazil	Juruena	2017	90.8%	93.3%	87.7%
Brazil	Juruti	2000	78.9%	82.1%	75.4%
Brazil	Juruti	2017	79.5%	82.7%	76.0%
Brazil	Juscimeira	2000	92.9%	94.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Juscimeira	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Jussari	2000	94.8%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Jussari	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Jussiape	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Jussiape	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Jutaí	2000	81.1%	85.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Jutaí	2017	81.6%	85.4%	77.1%
Brazil	Juti	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Juti	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Juvenília	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Juvenília	2017	95.6%	97.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Kaloré	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Kaloré	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Lábrea	2000	84.6%	87.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Lábrea	2017	85.0%	88.0%	81.1%
Brazil	Lacerdópolis	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Lacerdópolis	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Ladainha	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Ladainha	2017	96.3%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Lafaiete Coutinho	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Lafaiete Coutinho	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Lagamar	2000	95.3%	96.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Lagamar	2017	95.4%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Lagarto	2000	94.6%	95.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Lagarto	2017	94.9%	95.5%	94.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lages	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Lages	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Lago da Pedra	2000	90.4%	92.1%	88.5%
Brazil	Lago da Pedra	2017	90.7%	92.3%	88.9%
Brazil	Lago do Junco	2000	90.2%	92.1%	88.3%
Brazil	Lago do Junco	2017	90.5%	92.3%	88.7%
Brazil	Lago dos Rodrigues	2000	90.2%	92.1%	88.4%
Brazil	Lago dos Rodrigues	2017	90.5%	92.3%	88.7%
Brazil	Lago Verde	2000	89.3%	91.1%	87.4%
Brazil	Lago Verde	2017	89.9%	91.6%	88.1%
Brazil	Lagoa	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Lagoa	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Alegre	2000	91.4%	92.5%	89.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Alegre	2017	91.6%	92.8%	90.2%
Brazil	Lagoa da Canoa	2000	92.4%	93.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Lagoa da Canoa	2017	92.6%	93.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Lagoa da Confusão	2000	92.5%	94.3%	90.4%
Brazil	Lagoa da Confusão	2017	92.9%	94.6%	90.9%
Brazil	Lagoa da Prata	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Lagoa da Prata	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Lagoa de Anta	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.0%
Brazil	Lagoa de Anta	2017	96.8%	97.4%	96.2%
Brazil	Lagoa de dentro	2000	95.6%	96.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Lagoa de dentro	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Lagoa de Pedras	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Lagoa de Pedras	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.5%
Brazil	Lagoa de São Francisco	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Lagoa de São Francisco	2017	92.4%	93.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Lagoa do Barro do Piauí	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.4%
Brazil	Lagoa do Barro do Piauí	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Lagoa do Carro	2000	95.3%	95.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Lagoa do Carro	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Lagoa do Itaenga	2000	95.0%	95.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Lagoa do Itaenga	2017	95.2%	95.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Lagoa do Mato	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.9%
Brazil	Lagoa do Mato	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.5%
Brazil	Lagoa do Ouro	2000	91.4%	92.4%	90.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lagoa do Ouro	2017	91.7%	92.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Lagoa do Piauí	2000	91.5%	92.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Lagoa do Piauí	2017	91.7%	92.9%	90.3%
Brazil	Lagoa do Sítio	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
Brazil	Lagoa do Sítio	2017	92.4%	93.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Lagoa do Tocantins	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Lagoa do Tocantins	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Gatos	2000	93.0%	94.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Gatos	2017	93.2%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Patos	2000	95.7%	97.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Patos	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Três Cantos	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Três Cantos	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Lagoa dourada	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Lagoa dourada	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Formosa	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Lagoa Formosa	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2000	95.3%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2017	95.5%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande do Maranhão	2000	90.1%	92.0%	87.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande do Maranhão	2017	90.4%	92.2%	88.3%
Brazil	Lagoa Mirim	2000	95.3%	97.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Lagoa Mirim	2017	95.4%	97.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Lagoa Nova	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Lagoa Nova	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Brazil	Lagoa Real	2000	95.1%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Lagoa Real	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Salgada	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Salgada	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Santa	2000	95.9%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Lagoa Santa	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Seca	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Lagoa Seca	2017	94.7%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Lagoa Vermelha	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Lagoa Vermelha	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Lagoão	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Lagoão	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Lagoas de Velhos	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Lagoas de Velhos	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lagoinha	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Lagoinha	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Lagoinha do Piauí	2000	91.8%	93.3%	90.2%
Brazil	Lagoinha do Piauí	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Laguna	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Laguna	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Laguna Carapã	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Laguna Carapã	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Laje	2000	94.4%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Laje	2017	94.6%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Laje do Muriaé	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Laje do Muriaé	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Lajeado do Bugre	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Lajeado do Bugre	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Lajeado Grande	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Lajeado Grande	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Lajeado Novo	2000	91.8%	93.7%	90.2%
Brazil	Lajeado Novo	2017	92.1%	93.9%	90.5%
Brazil	Lajedao	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Lajedao	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Lajedão	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Lajedão	2000	93.4%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Lajedão	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.4%
Brazil	Lajedão	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Lajedinho	2000	95.4%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Lajedinho	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Lajedo	2000	93.6%	94.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Lajedo	2017	93.8%	94.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Lajedo do Tabocal	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Lajedo do Tabocal	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Lajes	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Brazil	Lajes	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Brazil	Lajes Pintadas	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Brazil	Lajes Pintadas	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.8%
Brazil	Lajinha	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Lajinha	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Lamarão	2000	95.1%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Lamarão	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Lambari	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Lambari	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	Lambari	2000	92.6%	94.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Lambari d'Oeste	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Lamim	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Lamim	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Landri Sales	2000	92.0%	93.7%	89.9%
Brazil	Landri Sales	2017	92.2%	93.9%	90.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lapão	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Lapão	2017	95.4%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Laranja da Terra	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Laranja da Terra	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Laranjal	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Laranjal	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Laranjal	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Laranjal	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Laranjal do Jari	2000	84.1%	86.5%	80.9%
Brazil	Laranjal do Jari	2017	84.5%	86.9%	81.4%
Brazil	Laranjal Paulista	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Laranjal Paulista	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Laranjeiras	2000	93.9%	94.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Laranjeiras	2017	94.1%	94.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Laranjeiras do Sul	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Laranjeiras do Sul	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Lassance	2000	95.8%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Lassance	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Lastro	2000	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Lastro	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Laurentino	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.1%
Brazil	Laurentino	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Lauro de Freitas	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Lauro de Freitas	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Lauro Muller	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Lauro Muller	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Lavandeira	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Lavandeira	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Lavínia	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Lavínia	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Lavras	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Lavras	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Lavras da Mangabeira	2000	93.0%	94.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Lavras da Mangabeira	2017	93.2%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Lavras do Sul	2000	96.5%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Lavras do Sul	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Lavrinhas	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Lavrinhas	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Leandro Ferreira	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Leandro Ferreira	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Lebon Régis	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Lebon Régis	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Leme	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Leme	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Leme do Prado	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Leme do Prado	2017	96.3%	97.5%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lençóis	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Lençóis	2017	94.6%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Lençóis Paulista	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Lençóis Paulista	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Leoberto Leal	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Leoberto Leal	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Leopoldina	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Leopoldina	2017	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Leopoldo de Bulhões	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Leopoldo de Bulhões	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Leópolis	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	Leópolis	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Brazil	Liberato Salzano	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Liberato Salzano	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Liberdade	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Liberdade	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Brazil	Licínio de Almeida	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Licínio de Almeida	2017	95.5%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Lidianópolis	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Lidianópolis	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Lima Campos	2000	90.5%	92.3%	88.8%
Brazil	Lima Campos	2017	90.8%	92.5%	89.1%
Brazil	Lima Duarte	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Lima Duarte	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Limeira	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Limeira	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Limeira do Oeste	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Limeira do Oeste	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Limoeiro	2000	93.8%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Limoeiro	2017	94.0%	94.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Limoeiro de Anadia	2000	91.4%	92.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Limoeiro de Anadia	2017	91.6%	92.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Ajuru	2000	78.3%	80.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Ajuru	2017	78.8%	81.3%	75.9%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Norte	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Norte	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Lindoeste	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Lindoeste	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Lindóia	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Brazil	Lindóia	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Lindóia do Sul	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Lindóia do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Lindolfo Col- lor	2000	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Lindolfo Col- lor	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Linha Nova	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Linha Nova	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Linhares	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Linhares	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Lins	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Lins	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Livramento	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Livramento	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Livramento do Brumado	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Livramento do Brumado	2017	95.5%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Lizarda	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Lizarda	2017	94.0%	95.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Loanda	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Loanda	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Lobato	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Lobato	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Logradouro	2000	96.3%	96.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Logradouro	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.8%
Brazil	Londrina	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Londrina	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.4%
Brazil	Lontra	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Lontra	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Lontras	2000	94.4%	95.5%	93.1%
Brazil	Lontras	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Lorena	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Brazil	Lorena	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Brazil	Loreto	2000	91.9%	93.8%	89.6%
Brazil	Loreto	2017	92.1%	94.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Lourdes	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.0%
Brazil	Lourdes	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
Brazil	Louveira	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Louveira	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Lucas do Rio Verde	2000	92.8%	94.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Lucas do Rio Verde	2017	93.0%	95.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Lucélia	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Lucélia	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Lucena	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Lucena	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Lucianópolis	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Lucianópolis	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Luciára	2000	91.2%	93.8%	88.1%
Brazil	Luciára	2017	91.4%	93.9%	88.3%
Brazil	Lucrécia	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Lucrécia	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Luís Antônio	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Luís Antônio	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Luís Correia	2000	91.7%	93.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Luís Correia	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.2%
Brazil	Luís Domingues	2000	84.2%	87.4%	81.4%
Brazil	Luís Domingues	2017	85.0%	88.1%	82.2%
Brazil	Luís Gomes	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Luís Gomes	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Luisburgo	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Luisburgo	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Luisiania	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Luisiania	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Luislândia	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Luislândia	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Luiz Alves	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Luiz Alves	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Luiziânia	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Luiziânia	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Luminárias	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Luminárias	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Lunardelli	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Lunardelli	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Lupercio	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Lupercio	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Lupionópolis	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Lupionópolis	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Lutécia	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Lutécia	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Luz	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Luz	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Luzerna	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Luzerna	2017	95.2%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Luziânia	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	Luziânia	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Luzilândia	2000	91.0%	92.5%	89.4%
Brazil	Luzilândia	2017	91.2%	92.7%	89.7%
Brazil	Luzinópolis	2000	92.5%	93.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Luzinópolis	2017	92.8%	94.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Macaé	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Macaé	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Macaíba	2000	97.1%	97.5%	96.5%
Brazil	Macaíba	2017	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Brazil	Macaçuba	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Macaçuba	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Maçambara	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Maçambara	2000	94.9%	95.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Maçambara	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Maçambara	2017	95.1%	95.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Macapa	2000	85.3%	86.3%	84.1%
Brazil	Macapa	2017	85.7%	86.7%	84.6%
Brazil	Macaparana	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Macaparana	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Macarani	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Macarani	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Macatuba	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.5%
Brazil	Macatuba	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Brazil	Macau	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Macau	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Macaubal	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Macaubal	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Macaúbas	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Macaúbas	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Macedonia	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Macedonia	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Maceió (capital)	2000	90.9%	91.8%	89.8%
Brazil	Maceió (capital)	2017	91.2%	92.0%	90.1%
Brazil	Machacalis	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Machacalis	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Machadinho	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Machadinho	2000	84.0%	86.4%	81.6%
Brazil	Machadinho	2017	95.2%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Machadinho	2017	84.4%	86.7%	82.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Machado	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Machado	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Machados	2000	93.9%	94.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Machados	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Macieira	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Macieira	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Macuco	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Macuco	2017	95.9%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Macururé	2000	93.7%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Macururé	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Madalena	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Madalena	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Madeiro	2000	90.6%	92.3%	88.9%
Brazil	Madeiro	2017	90.8%	92.5%	89.2%
Brazil	Madre de deus	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Madre de deus	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Madre de deus	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Madre de deus de Minas	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Mãe d'Água	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Mãe d'Água	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Maetinga	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Maetinga	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Mafra	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Mafra	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Magalhães	2000	78.3%	80.8%	74.8%
Brazil	Magalhães Barata	2017	78.7%	81.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Magalhães de Almeida	2000	90.7%	92.1%	89.1%
Brazil	Magalhães de Almeida	2017	91.0%	92.4%	89.4%
Brazil	Magda	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Magda	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
Brazil	Magé	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Magé	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Maiquinique	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Maiquinique	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Mairi	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Mairi	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Mairinque	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Mairinque	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Mairiporã	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Mairiporã	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Mairipotaba	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Mairipotaba	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Major Gercino	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Major Gercino	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Major Isidoro	2000	92.1%	93.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Major Isidoro	2017	92.3%	93.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Major Sales	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Major Sales	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Major Vieira	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Major Vieira	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Malacacheta	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Malacacheta	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Malhada	2000	95.4%	97.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Malhada	2017	95.6%	97.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Malhada de Pedras	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Malhada de Pedras	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Malhada dos Bois	2000	93.6%	94.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Malhada dos Bois	2017	93.8%	94.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Malhador	2000	94.2%	94.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Malhador	2017	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Mallet	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Mallet	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Malta	2000	94.9%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Malta	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Mamanguape	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Mamanguape	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Mambaí	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Mambaí	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Mamborê	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Mamborê	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Mamonas	2000	95.6%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Mamonas	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Mampituba	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Mampituba	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Manacapuru	2000	82.0%	84.1%	79.7%
Brazil	Manacapuru	2017	82.5%	84.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Manaíra	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Manaíra	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Manaquiri	2000	80.7%	82.8%	78.4%
Brazil	Manaquiri	2017	81.2%	83.3%	79.0%
Brazil	Manari	2000	92.2%	93.3%	90.9%
Brazil	Manari	2017	92.4%	93.5%	91.2%
Brazil	Mâncio Lima	2000	82.1%	84.6%	79.6%
Brazil	Mâncio Lima	2017	82.5%	84.9%	80.0%
Brazil	Mandaguaçu	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Mandaguaçu	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Mandaguari	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Mandaguari	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Mandirituba	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Mandirituba	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Manduri	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Manduri	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Brazil	Manfrinópolis	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Manfrinópolis	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Manga	2000	95.8%	97.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Manga	2017	95.9%	97.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.4%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2017	96.3%	96.9%	95.5%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Mangueirinha	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Mangueirinha	2017	96.0%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Manhuaçu	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Manhuaçu	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Manhumirim	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Manhumirim	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Manicore	2000	83.9%	87.2%	80.1%
Brazil	Manicore	2017	84.4%	87.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Manoel Emídio	2000	91.8%	93.8%	89.6%
Brazil	Manoel Emídio	2017	92.1%	94.0%	90.0%
Brazil	Manoel Ribas	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Manoel Ribas	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Manoel Urbano	2000	80.8%	83.6%	77.9%
Brazil	Manoel Urbano	2017	81.8%	84.5%	79.0%
Brazil	Manoel Viana	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Manoel Viana	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Manoel Vitorino	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Manoel Vitorino	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Mansidão	2000	93.5%	95.5%	90.9%
Brazil	Mansidão	2017	93.7%	95.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Mantena	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Mantena	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Mantenópolis	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Mantenópolis	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Maquiné	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Maquiné	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Mar de Espanha	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Mar de Espanha	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Mar Vermelho	2000	91.2%	92.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Mar Vermelho	2017	91.4%	92.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Mara Rosa	2000	94.4%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Mara Rosa	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Maraã	2000	81.5%	84.6%	77.6%
Brazil	Maraã	2017	82.0%	85.0%	78.2%
Brazil	Marabá	2000	81.6%	84.0%	79.4%
Brazil	Marabá	2017	82.1%	84.4%	80.0%
Brazil	Marabá Paulista	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.7%
Brazil	Marabá Paulista	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Maracaçumé	2000	84.4%	86.9%	81.6%
Brazil	Maracaçumé	2017	84.8%	87.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Maracaí	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Maracaí	2017	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Maracajá	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Maracajá	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Maracaju	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Maracaju	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Maracanã	2000	79.1%	81.6%	76.0%
Brazil	Maracanã	2017	79.5%	82.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Maracanaú	2000	91.9%	92.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Maracanaú	2017	92.2%	93.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Maracás	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Maracás	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Maragogi	2000	91.6%	92.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Maragogi	2017	91.9%	93.0%	90.4%
Brazil	Maragogipe	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Maragogipe	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Maraial	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Maraial	2017	92.9%	93.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Marajá do Sena	2000	90.1%	91.9%	88.2%
Brazil	Marajá do Sena	2017	90.4%	92.1%	88.6%
Brazil	Maranguape	2000	92.1%	93.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Maranguape	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Maranhãozinho	2000	85.5%	87.7%	83.0%
Brazil	Maranhãozinho	2017	85.9%	88.1%	83.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Marapanim	2000	77.9%	80.6%	74.8%
Brazil	Marapanim	2017	78.4%	81.0%	75.3%
Brazil	Marapoama	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Marapoama	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Maratá	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Maratá	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Marataízes	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Marataízes	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Maraú	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Maraú	2000	92.0%	93.7%	89.9%
Brazil	Maraú	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Maraú	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.2%
Brazil	Maravilha	2000	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Maravilha	2000	91.6%	92.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Maravilha	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Maravilha	2017	91.9%	92.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Maravilhas	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Maravilhas	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Marcação	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Marcação	2017	94.9%	95.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Marcelândia	2000	91.2%	93.5%	88.3%
Brazil	Marcelândia	2017	91.4%	93.7%	88.7%
Brazil	Marcelino Vieira	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Marcelino Vieira	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Marcionílio Dias	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Marcionílio Dias	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Marcionílio Souza	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Marcionílio Souza	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Marco	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Marco	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.6%
Brazil	Marcolândia	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Marcolândia	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Marcos Parente	2000	92.1%	93.8%	90.0%
Brazil	Marcos Parente	2017	92.4%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Marechal Cândido Rondon	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Marechal Cândido Rondon	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Marechal deodoro	2000	91.3%	92.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Marechal deodoro	2017	91.6%	92.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Marechal Floriano	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Marechal Floriano	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Marechal Thaumaturgo	2000	82.2%	85.4%	79.0%
Brazil	Marechal Thaumaturgo	2017	82.5%	85.6%	79.3%
Brazil	Marema	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Marema	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Mari	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Mari	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Maria da Fé	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	Maria da Fé	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Maria Helena	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Maria Helena	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Marialva	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Marialva	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Mariana	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Mariana	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Mariana	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Pimentel				
Brazil	Mariana	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Pimentel				
Brazil	Mariano Moro	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Mariano Moro	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Marianópolis	2000	91.0%	93.1%	88.8%
Brazil	Marianópolis do Tocantins				
Brazil	Marianópolis do Tocantins	2017	91.3%	93.3%	89.2%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Maribondo	2000	90.9%	91.8%	89.9%
Brazil	Maribondo	2017	91.1%	92.1%	90.2%
Brazil	Maricá	2000	95.4%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Maricá	2017	95.6%	96.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Marilac	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Marilac	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Marilândia	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Marilândia	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Marilândia do Sul	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Marilândia do Sul	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Marilena	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Marilena	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Marília	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Marília	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Mariluz	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Mariluz	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Maringá	2000	96.8%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Maringá	2017	96.9%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Marinópolis	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
Brazil	Marinópolis	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Mário Campos	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Mário Campos	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Maripá	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Maripá	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Maripá de Minas	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Maripá de Minas	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Marituba	2000	77.9%	79.8%	76.2%
Brazil	Marituba	2017	78.3%	80.2%	76.7%
Brazil	Marizópolis	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Marizópolis	2017	94.0%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Marliéria	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Marliéria	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Marmeleiro	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Marmeleiro	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Marmelópolis	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Marmelópolis	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.4%
Brazil	Marques de Souza	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Marques de Souza	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Marquinho	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Marquinho	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Martinho Campos	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Martinho Campos	2017	95.7%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2017	92.8%	94.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Martins	2000	95.8%	96.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Martins	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Martins Soares	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Martins Soares	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Maruim	2000	93.4%	94.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Maruim	2017	93.6%	94.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Marumbi	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Marumbi	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Marzagão	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Marzagão	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Mascote	2000	94.8%	96.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Mascote	2017	94.9%	96.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Massapê	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Massapê	2017	92.5%	94.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Massapê do Piauí	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Massapê do Piauí	2017	92.6%	94.0%	90.7%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Mata	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Mata	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Mata de São João	2000	94.1%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Mata de São João	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Mata Grande	2000	92.1%	93.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Mata Grande	2017	92.4%	93.5%	91.2%
Brazil	Mata Roma	2000	90.7%	92.1%	89.0%
Brazil	Mata Roma	2017	91.0%	92.4%	89.3%
Brazil	Mata Verde	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Mata Verde	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Matão	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Matão	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Brazil	Mataraca	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Mataraca	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Mateira	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Mateira	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Mateiros	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Mateiros	2017	94.0%	95.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Matelândia	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Matelândia	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Materlândia	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Materlândia	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Mates do Norte	2000	89.7%	91.3%	87.9%
Brazil	Mates do Norte	2017	90.1%	91.6%	88.3%
Brazil	Mateus Leme	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Mateus Leme	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Mathias Lobato	2000	95.4%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Mathias Lobato	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Matias Barbosa	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Matias Barbosa	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Matias Cardoso	2000	95.7%	97.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Matias Cardoso	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Matias Olímpio	2000	90.6%	92.1%	89.1%
Brazil	Matias Olímpio	2017	90.9%	92.3%	89.4%
Brazil	Matina	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Matina	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Matinha	2000	89.1%	90.9%	87.0%
Brazil	Matinha	2017	89.4%	91.2%	87.4%
Brazil	Matinhas	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Matinhas	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Matinhos	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Matinhos	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Matipó	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Matipó	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Mato Castelhano	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Mato Castelhano	2017	96.2%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2000	91.0%	93.5%	88.1%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2017	91.4%	93.9%	88.6%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Mato Leitão	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Mato Leitão	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Mato Rico	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Mato Rico	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Mato Verde	2000	95.7%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Mato Verde	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Matões	2000	91.5%	92.7%	89.8%
Brazil	Matões	2017	91.7%	93.0%	90.2%
Brazil	Matos Costa	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Matos Costa	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Matozinhos	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Matozinhos	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Matrinchã	2000	93.8%	95.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Matrinchã	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Matriz de Camaragibe	2000	91.6%	92.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Matriz de Camaragibe	2017	91.9%	92.8%	90.8%
Brazil	Matupá	2000	90.5%	93.0%	87.2%
Brazil	Matupá	2017	90.8%	93.2%	87.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Maturéia	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Maturéia	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Matutina	2000	95.7%	97.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Matutina	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Mauá	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Mauá	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Brazil	Mauá da Serra	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Mauá da Serra	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Maués	2000	81.0%	82.2%	79.9%
Brazil	Maués	2017	81.6%	82.7%	80.5%
Brazil	Maurilândia	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Maurilândia	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Maurilândia do Tocantins	2000	91.7%	93.4%	90.2%
Brazil	Maurilândia do Tocantins	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Maxaranguape	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Maxaranguape	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Brazil	Maximiliano de Almeida	2000	95.1%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Maximiliano de Almeida	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Mazagão	2000	85.0%	86.5%	83.3%
Brazil	Mazagão	2017	85.3%	86.8%	83.6%
Brazil	Me do Rio	2000	78.9%	81.4%	76.4%
Brazil	Me do Rio	2017	79.3%	81.9%	76.9%
Brazil	Medeiros	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Medeiros	2017	96.4%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Medeiros Neto	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Medeiros Neto	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Medianeira	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Medianeira	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Medicilândia	2000	81.7%	85.8%	77.4%
Brazil	Medicilândia	2017	82.1%	86.2%	77.9%
Brazil	Medina	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Medina	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Meleiro	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Meleiro	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Melgaco	2000	80.2%	83.1%	76.9%
Brazil	Melgaco	2017	80.6%	83.5%	77.4%
Brazil	Melgaço	2000	79.9%	82.9%	76.8%
Brazil	Melgaço	2017	80.4%	83.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Mendes	2000	96.4%	96.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Mendes	2017	96.6%	97.1%	96.0%
Brazil	Mendes	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Pimentel	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Mendes Pimentel	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Mendonça	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Mendonça	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Mercedes	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Mercedes	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Mercês	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Mercês	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Meridiano	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.9%
Brazil	Meridiano	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Meruoca	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Meruoca	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Mesópolis	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.7%
Brazil	Mesópolis	2017	97.1%	98.0%	95.9%
Brazil	Mesquita	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Mesquita	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Messias	2000	92.0%	92.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Messias	2017	92.2%	93.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Miguel Alves	2000	91.1%	92.3%	89.7%
Brazil	Miguel Alves	2017	91.3%	92.6%	90.0%
Brazil	Miguel Calmon	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Miguel Calmon	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Miguel Leão	2000	91.6%	93.0%	90.1%
Brazil	Miguel Leão	2017	91.9%	93.3%	90.4%
Brazil	Miguel Pereira	2000	96.2%	96.8%	95.6%
Brazil	Miguel Pereira	2017	96.3%	96.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Miguelópolis	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Brazil	Miguelópolis	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Milagres	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Milagres	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Milagres	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Milagres	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Milagres do Maranhão	2000	90.3%	92.0%	88.6%
Brazil	Milagres do Maranhão	2017	90.6%	92.2%	88.9%
Brazil	Milhã	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Milhã	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Milton Brandão	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.8%
Brazil	Milton Brandão	2017	92.6%	94.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Mimoso de Goiás	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Mimoso de Goiás	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Mimoso do Sul	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Mimoso do Sul	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Minaçu	2000	94.8%	96.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Minaçu	2017	95.0%	96.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Minador do Negrão	2000	92.2%	93.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Minador do Negrão	2017	92.5%	93.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Minas do Leão	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Minas do Leão	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Minas Novas	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Minas Novas	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Minduri	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Minduri	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Mineiros	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Mineiros	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Mineiros do Tietê	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Mineiros do Tietê	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Ministro Andreazza	2000	84.8%	86.5%	83.1%
Brazil	Ministro Andreazza	2017	85.1%	86.8%	83.4%
Brazil	Mira Estrela	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Mira Estrela	2017	97.3%	98.2%	96.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mirabela	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Mirabela	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Miracatu	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Miracatu	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Miracema	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Miracema	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Miracema do Tocantins	2000	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Miracema do Tocantins	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Mirador	2000	91.1%	92.8%	89.1%
Brazil	Mirador	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Mirador	2017	91.4%	93.0%	89.4%
Brazil	Mirador	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Miradouro	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Miradouro	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Miraguai	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Miraguai	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Mirai	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Mirai	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Miraíma	2000	92.5%	93.7%	90.9%
Brazil	Miraíma	2017	92.7%	93.9%	91.2%
Brazil	Miranda	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Miranda	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Miranda do Norte	2000	90.2%	91.6%	88.3%
Brazil	Miranda do Norte	2017	90.5%	91.9%	88.7%
Brazil	Mirandiba	2000	92.8%	94.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Mirandiba	2017	93.0%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Mirandópolis	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Mirandópolis	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Mirangaba	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Mirangaba	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Miranorte	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Miranorte	2017	93.4%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Mirante	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Mirante	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Mirante da Serra	2000	84.6%	86.5%	82.7%
Brazil	Mirante da Serra	2017	84.9%	86.8%	83.1%
Brazil	Mirante do Parana-panema	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Mirante do Parana-panema	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Miraselva	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Miraselva	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	Mirassol	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Mirassol	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Mirassol d'Oeste	2000	93.5%	95.3%	91.5%
Brazil	Mirassol d'Oeste	2017	93.8%	95.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Mirassolândia	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Mirassolândia	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Miravânia	2000	95.6%	97.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Miravânia	2017	95.7%	97.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Mirim doce	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Mirim doce	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mirinzal	2000	88.6%	90.8%	86.1%
Brazil	Mirinzal	2017	88.9%	91.1%	86.5%
Brazil	Missal	2000	96.1%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Missal	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Misso Velha	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Misso Velha	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Mocajuba	2000	78.2%	80.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Mocajuba	2017	78.6%	81.4%	76.0%
Brazil	Mococa	2000	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Mococa	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	Modelo	2000	95.3%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Modelo	2017	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Moeda	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Moeda	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Moema	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Moema	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Mogi das Cruzes	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Mogi das Cruzes	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Mogi Guaçu	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Mogi Guaçu	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Mogi Mirim	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Mogi Mirim	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Moiporá	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Moiporá	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Moita Bonita	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Moita Bonita	2017	94.6%	95.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Moju	2000	78.4%	80.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Moju	2017	78.8%	81.0%	76.5%
Brazil	Mombaça	2000	93.2%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Mombaça	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Mombaça	2017	93.4%	94.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Mombaça	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Monção	2000	88.8%	90.5%	86.8%
Brazil	Monção	2017	89.1%	90.8%	87.1%
Brazil	Monções	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Monções	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Mondai	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Mondai	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Mongaguá	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Brazil	Mongaguá	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Brazil	Mongeiro	2000	94.5%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Mongeiro	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Monjolos	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Monjolos	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Monsenhor Gil	2000	91.5%	92.9%	90.0%
Brazil	Monsenhor Gil	2017	91.7%	93.1%	90.2%
Brazil	Monsenhor Hipólito	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Monsenhor Hipólito	2017	92.7%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Monsenhor Paulo	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Monsenhor Paulo	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Monsenhor Tabosa	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Monsenhor Tabosa	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Montadas	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Montadas	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Montalvânia	2000	95.5%	97.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Montalvânia	2017	95.7%	97.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Montanha	2000	95.4%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Montanha	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Montanhas	2000	96.0%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Montanhas	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.4%
Brazil	Montauri	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Montauri	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2000	81.0%	84.6%	77.1%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2017	81.5%	85.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Goiás	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Goiás	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Minas	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Minas	2017	96.1%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Sergipe	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Sergipe	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Piauí	2000	92.4%	94.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Piauí	2017	92.7%	94.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Sul	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Sul	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Monte Alegre dos Campos	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Monte Alegre dos Campos	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Monte Azul	2000	95.6%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Monte Azul	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Monte Azul Paulista	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Monte Azul Paulista	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Monte Belo	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Monte Belo	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Monte Belo do Sul	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Monte Belo do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Monte Carlo	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Monte Carlo	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Monte Carmelo	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Monte Carmelo	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Monte das Gameleiras	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Brazil	Monte das Gameleiras	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Monte do Carmo	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Monte do Carmo	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Monte Formoso	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Monte Formoso	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Monte Horebe	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Monte Horebe	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Monte Mor	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Monte Mor	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Monte Negro	2000	83.6%	85.7%	81.1%
Brazil	Monte Negro	2017	83.9%	86.0%	81.4%
Brazil	Monte Santo	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Monte Santo	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Monte Santo de Minas	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Monte Santo de Minas	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Monte Santo do Tocantins	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Monte Santo do Tocantins	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Monte São	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Brazil	Monte São	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Monteiro	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Monteiro	2017	93.7%	94.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Monteiro Lobato	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Brazil	Monteiro Lobato	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Monteirópolis	2000	91.8%	92.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Monteirópolis	2017	92.1%	93.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Montenegro	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Montenegro	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Montes Altos	2000	92.0%	93.7%	90.5%
Brazil	Montes Altos	2017	92.2%	93.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Montes Claros	2000	96.4%	97.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Montes Claros	2017	96.6%	97.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Montes Claros de Goiás	2000	93.7%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Montes Claros de Goiás	2017	94.0%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Montezuma	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Montezuma	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Montividiu	2000	94.9%	96.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Montividiu	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Montividiu do Norte	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Montividiu do Norte	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Morada Nova	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Morada Nova	2017	93.8%	94.8%	92.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Morada Nova de Minas	2000	95.6%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Morada Nova de Minas	2017	95.7%	97.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Moraújo	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Moraújo	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Moreira Sales	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Moreira Sales	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Moreno	2000	93.4%	94.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Moreno	2017	93.6%	94.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Mormaço	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Mormaço	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Morpará	2000	94.4%	96.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Morpará	2017	94.6%	96.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Morretes	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Morretes	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Morrinhos do Sul	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Morrinhos do Sul	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Morro Agudo	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Morro Agudo	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Morro Agudo de Goiás	2000	94.6%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Morro Agudo de Goiás	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Morro Cabeça No Tempo	2000	92.9%	94.8%	90.8%
Brazil	Morro Cabeça No Tempo	2017	93.1%	95.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Morro da Fumaça	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Morro da Fumaça	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Morro da Garça	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Morro da Garça	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu do Piauí	2000	90.9%	92.5%	89.4%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu do Piauí	2017	91.2%	92.7%	89.7%
Brazil	Morro do Pilar	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Morro do Pilar	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Morro Grande	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Morro Grande	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Morro Redondo	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Morro Redondo	2017	96.4%	97.4%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Morro Reuter	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Morro Reuter	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Morros	2000	89.3%	91.0%	87.5%
Brazil	Morros	2017	89.6%	91.3%	87.8%
Brazil	Mortugaba	2000	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Mortugaba	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Morungaba	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Morungaba	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Mosquito	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Mosquito	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Mossâmedes	2000	94.4%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Mossâmedes	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Mossoró	2000	96.3%	96.9%	95.5%
Brazil	Mossoró	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Mostardas	2000	96.2%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Mostardas	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Motuca	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Motuca	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Mozarlândia	2000	94.0%	95.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Mozarlândia	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Muaná	2000	78.0%	80.7%	75.5%
Brazil	Muaná	2017	78.4%	81.1%	75.9%
Brazil	Mucajaí	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Mucajaí	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Brazil	Mucambo	2000	91.6%	93.0%	89.8%
Brazil	Mucambo	2017	91.9%	93.2%	90.1%
Brazil	Mucugê	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Mucugê	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Muçum	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Muçum	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Mucuri	2000	94.6%	96.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Mucuri	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Mucurici	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Mucurici	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Muitos	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Muitos Capões	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Muliterno	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Muliterno	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Mulungu	2000	93.2%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Mulungu	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Mulungu	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Mulungu	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Mulungu do Morro	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Mulungu do Morro	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	93.8%	95.6%	91.3%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	94.1%	95.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Munhoz	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Munhoz	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Munhoz de Melo	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Munhoz de Melo	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Muniz Ferreira	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Muniz Ferreira	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Muniz Freire	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Muniz Freire	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Muquém de São Francisco	2000	94.8%	96.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Muquém de São Francisco	2017	95.0%	96.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Muqui	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Muqui	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Muriaé	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Muriaé	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Muribeca	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Muribeca	2017	93.9%	94.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Murici	2000	91.9%	92.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Murici	2017	92.2%	93.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Murici dos Portelas	2000	90.7%	92.1%	89.1%
Brazil	Murici dos Portelas	2017	91.0%	92.4%	89.4%
Brazil	Muricilândia	2000	91.2%	92.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Muricilândia	2017	91.6%	93.1%	89.9%
Brazil	Muritiba	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Muritiba	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Murutinga do Sul	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Murutinga do Sul	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Mutuípe	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Mutuípe	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Mutum	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Mutum	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Mutunópolis	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Mutunópolis	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Muzambinho	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Muzambinho	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
Brazil	Nacip Raydan	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Nacip Raydan	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Nantes	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Nantes	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.7%
Brazil	Nanuque	2000	95.5%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Nanuque	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Naque	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Naque	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Narandiba	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Narandiba	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Natal	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Natal	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Natalândia	2000	96.4%	97.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Natalândia	2017	96.6%	97.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Natércia	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	Natércia	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.7%
Brazil	Natividade	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Natividade	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Natividade	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Natividade	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Natividade da Serra	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Natividade da Serra	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Natuba	2000	93.9%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Natuba	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Navegantes	2000	94.3%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Navegantes	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Naviraí	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Naviraí	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Nazaré	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Nazaré	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Nazaré	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Nazaré	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Nazaré da Mata	2000	94.1%	94.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Nazaré da Mata	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Nazaré do Piauí	2000	91.8%	93.3%	89.9%
Brazil	Nazaré do Piauí	2017	92.1%	93.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Nazaré Paulista	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Nazaré Paulista	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Nazareno	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Nazareno	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Nazarezinho	2000	94.0%	94.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Nazarezinho	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Nazário	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Nazário	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Neópolis	2000	92.8%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Neópolis	2017	93.1%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Nepomuceno	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Nepomuceno	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Nerópolis	2000	95.0%	95.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Nerópolis	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Neves	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Neves Paulista	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Nhamundá	2000	80.0%	83.3%	76.6%
Brazil	Nhamundá	2017	80.5%	83.8%	77.2%
Brazil	Nhandeara	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Nhandeara	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Nicolau Vergueiro	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Nicolau Vergueiro	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Nilo Peçanha	2000	92.8%	94.3%	90.8%
Brazil	Nilo Peçanha	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.1%
Brazil	Nilópolis	2000	95.9%	96.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Nilópolis	2017	96.1%	96.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Nina Rodrigues	2000	89.6%	91.2%	87.7%
Brazil	Nina Rodrigues	2017	89.9%	91.5%	88.1%
Brazil	Ninheira	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Ninheira	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Nioaque	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Nioaque	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Nipoã	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Nipoã	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Niquelândia	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Niquelândia	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Nísia Floresta	2000	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Nísia Floresta	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Niterói	2000	95.3%	95.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Niterói	2017	95.5%	96.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Nobres	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Nobres	2017	92.5%	94.2%	90.6%
Brazil	Nonoai	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Nonoai	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Nordestina	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Nordestina	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Normandia	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Normandia	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.6%
Brazil	Nortelândia	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Nortelândia	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.6%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora Aprecido	2000	94.7%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora Aprecido	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora da Glória	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora da Glória	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Dores	2000	94.1%	94.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Dores	2017	94.3%	94.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Graças	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Graças	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Lourdes	2000	93.0%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Lourdes	2017	93.2%	94.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Nazaré	2000	91.2%	92.6%	89.6%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Nazaré	2017	91.4%	92.8%	89.9%
Brazil	Nossa Sen- hora do Livramento	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Nossa Sen- hora do Livramento	2017	93.0%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Socorro	2000	94.1%	94.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Socorro	2017	94.3%	94.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora dos Remédios	2000	90.7%	92.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora dos Remédios	2017	91.0%	92.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Nova Aliança	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Nova Aliança	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Nova Aliança do Ivaí	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Aliança do Ivaí	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Nova Alvo- rada	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Alvo- rada	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Alvo- rada do Sul	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Alvorada do Sul	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Nova América	2000	94.5%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Nova América	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Nova América da Colina	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Nova América da Colina	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Nova Andradina	2000	96.1%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Andradina	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Araçá	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Nova Araçá	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Bandeirantes	2000	90.9%	93.4%	87.9%
Brazil	Nova Bandeirantes	2017	91.4%	93.9%	88.7%
Brazil	Nova Bassano	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Bassano	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Belém	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Nova Belém	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Boa Vista	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Nova Boa Vista	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia	2000	92.5%	94.4%	90.2%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia d'Oeste	2000	84.8%	86.7%	82.5%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia d'Oeste	2017	85.1%	87.0%	82.8%
Brazil	Nova Bréscia	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Nova Bréscia	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Nova Campina	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Nova Campina	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Nova Canaã	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Nova Canaã	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Nova Canaã do Norte	2000	91.7%	93.6%	88.8%
Brazil	Nova Canaã do Norte	2017	92.0%	93.8%	89.1%
Brazil	Nova Canaã Paulista	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Nova Canaã Paulista	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	Nova Candelária	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Nova Candelária	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Nova Cantu	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Cantu	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Castilho	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Nova Castilho	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Colinas	2000	92.2%	94.2%	89.5%
Brazil	Nova Colinas	2017	92.5%	94.4%	89.7%
Brazil	Nova Crixás	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Nova Crixás	2017	94.0%	95.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Nova Cruz	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.8%
Brazil	Nova Cruz	2017	96.6%	97.1%	95.9%
Brazil	Nova Era	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Era	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Nova Erechim	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Nova Erechim	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Nova Esperança	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Nova Esperança	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Piriá	2000	81.6%	84.3%	78.6%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Piriá	2017	82.0%	84.7%	79.1%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sudoeste	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sudoeste	2017	96.2%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sul	2000	96.2%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sul	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Europa	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Nova Europa	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Nova Floresta	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Brazil	Nova Floresta	2017	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Nova Friburgo	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Nova Friburgo	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	Nova Glória	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Nova Glória	2017	95.2%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Nova Granada	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Nova Granada	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Nova Guarita	2000	90.5%	92.7%	87.6%
Brazil	Nova Guarita	2017	90.8%	93.0%	88.0%
Brazil	Nova Guataporanga	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Nova Guataporanga	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Nova Hartz	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Hartz	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Ibiá	2000	94.5%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Nova Ibiá	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu	2000	95.5%	95.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu	2017	95.6%	96.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu de Goiás	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu de Goiás	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Independência	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Nova Independência	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Nova Iorque	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.1%
Brazil	Nova Iorque	2017	91.6%	93.5%	89.4%
Brazil	Nova Ipixuna	2000	80.6%	83.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Nova Ipixuna	2017	81.1%	83.7%	78.4%
Brazil	Nova Itaberaba	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Nova Itaberaba	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Nova Itarana	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Nova Itarana	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Nova Lacerda	2000	90.0%	92.6%	87.3%
Brazil	Nova Lacerda	2017	90.3%	92.8%	87.7%
Brazil	Nova Laranjeiras	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Nova Laranjeiras	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Lima	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Nova Lima	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Nova Londrina	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Nova Londrina	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.5%
Brazil	Nova Luzitânia	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Nova Luzitânia	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Nova Mamoré	2000	83.7%	86.3%	81.2%
Brazil	Nova Mamoré	2017	84.0%	86.6%	81.5%
Brazil	Nova Marilândia	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.2%
Brazil	Nova Marilândia	2017	92.5%	94.2%	90.5%
Brazil	Nova Maringá	2000	92.2%	94.2%	89.5%
Brazil	Nova Maringá	2017	92.4%	94.4%	89.9%
Brazil	Nova Módica	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Nova Módica	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Nova Monte Verde	2000	91.0%	93.3%	87.5%
Brazil	Nova Monte Verde	2017	91.3%	93.5%	87.9%
Brazil	Nova Mutum	2000	92.7%	94.6%	90.9%
Brazil	Nova Mutum	2017	93.2%	95.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Nova Odessa	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Nova Odessa	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2000	92.1%	94.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2017	92.3%	94.2%	90.3%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	93.1%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	93.1%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	93.4%	94.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	93.3%	94.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	93.3%	94.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Maranhão	2000	87.4%	89.4%	85.0%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Maranhão	2017	87.8%	89.8%	85.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Norte	2000	80.8%	83.6%	78.0%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Norte	2017	81.3%	84.1%	78.5%
Brazil	Nova Pádua	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Pádua	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Palma	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Nova Palma	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Nova Palmeira	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Nova Palmeira	2017	96.6%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Nova Petrópolis	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Petrópolis	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Nova Ponte	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Ponte	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Porteirinha	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Nova Porteirinha	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Prata	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Prata	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Prata do Iguaçu	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Prata do Iguaçu	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Ramada	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Ramada	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Rendeção	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Nova Rendeção	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Nova Resende	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Nova Resende	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Nova Roma	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Nova Roma	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Nova Roma do Sul	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Roma do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Rosalândia	2000	93.7%	95.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Nova Rosalândia	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Nova Russas	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Nova Russas	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Nova Santa Bárbara	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Nova Santa Bárbara	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.5%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2017	92.8%	94.3%	90.8%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rosa	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Santa Rosa	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Nova Serrana	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Nova Serrana	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Soure	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Nova Soure	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Nova Tebas	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Nova Tebas	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Nova Timboteua	2000	78.3%	80.7%	75.5%
Brazil	Nova Timboteua	2017	78.8%	81.2%	75.9%
Brazil	Nova Trento	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Nova Trento	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Nova Uiratã	2000	92.2%	94.4%	89.7%
Brazil	Nova Uiratã	2017	92.4%	94.6%	90.0%
Brazil	Nova União	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Nova União	2000	84.6%	86.5%	82.7%
Brazil	Nova União	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova União	2017	84.9%	86.7%	83.1%
Brazil	Nova Venécia	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Nova Venécia	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Nova Viçosa	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Nova Viçosa	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Nova Xavantina	2000	93.4%	95.2%	90.8%
Brazil	Nova Xavantina	2017	93.6%	95.4%	91.1%
Brazil	Novais	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Novais	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Novo Acordo	2000	93.7%	95.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Novo Acordo	2017	93.9%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Novo Airão	2000	83.2%	85.9%	80.3%
Brazil	Novo Airão	2017	84.4%	86.9%	81.5%
Brazil	Novo Alegre	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Novo Alegre	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Novo Aripuanã	2000	83.6%	87.1%	79.0%
Brazil	Novo Aripuanã	2017	84.0%	87.5%	79.5%
Brazil	Novo Barreiro	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Novo Barreiro	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Novo Brazil	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Novo Brazil	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Novo Cabrais	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Novo Cabrais	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Novo Cruzeiro	2000	96.5%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Novo Cruzeiro	2017	96.6%	97.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Novo Gama	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Brazil	Novo Gama	2017	97.9%	98.2%	97.5%
Brazil	Novo Hamburgo	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.3%
Brazil	Novo Hamburgo	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Norte	2000	92.2%	94.3%	89.7%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Norte	2017	92.5%	94.5%	90.1%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Oeste	2000	84.2%	86.3%	81.9%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Oeste	2017	84.5%	86.5%	82.2%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Sul	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Sul	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Novo Itacolomi	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Novo Itacolomi	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Novo Jardim	2000	94.2%	95.9%	92.2%
Brazil	Novo Jardim	2017	94.4%	96.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Novo Lino	2000	92.1%	93.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Novo Lino	2017	92.3%	93.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Novo Machado	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Novo Machado	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Novo Mundo	2000	89.2%	91.8%	86.0%
Brazil	Novo Mundo	2017	89.6%	92.2%	86.4%
Brazil	Novo Oriente	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Novo Oriente	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Novo Oriente de Minas	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Novo Oriente de Minas	2017	96.3%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Novo Oriente do Piauí	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Novo Oriente do Piauí	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Novo Planalto	2000	93.9%	95.4%	91.8%
Brazil	Novo Planalto	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Novo Progresso	2000	81.8%	86.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Novo Progresso	2017	82.2%	86.3%	77.6%
Brazil	Novo Repartimento	2000	79.8%	82.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Novo Repartimento	2017	80.2%	83.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Novo Santo Antônio	2000	91.5%	92.8%	89.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Novo Santo Antônio	2017	91.7%	93.0%	90.1%
Brazil	Novo São Joaquim	2000	92.6%	94.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Novo São Joaquim	2017	92.8%	94.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Novo Tiradentes	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Novo Tiradentes	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Novo Triunfo	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Novo Triunfo	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Novorizonte	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Novorizonte	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Nuporanga	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Nuporanga	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Óbidos	2000	80.2%	83.5%	76.4%
Brazil	Óbidos	2017	80.6%	83.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Ocara	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Ocara	2017	93.8%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Ocaçu	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Ocaçu	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Oeiras	2000	91.7%	93.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Oeiras	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Oeiras do Pará	2000	79.1%	82.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Oeiras do Pará	2017	79.5%	82.4%	76.9%
Brazil	Oiapoque	2000	86.4%	89.7%	82.1%
Brazil	Oiapoque	2017	86.7%	90.0%	82.5%
Brazil	Olaria	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Olaria	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Óleo	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Óleo	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Olho d'Água	2000	93.0%	94.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Olho d'Água	2017	93.3%	94.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Cunhãs	2000	90.0%	91.8%	88.1%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Cunhãs	2017	90.3%	92.1%	88.5%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Flores	2000	91.5%	92.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Flores	2017	91.8%	92.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Olho d'Água do Casado	2000	92.9%	94.0%	91.9%
Brazil	Olho d'Água do Casado	2017	93.2%	94.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Olho d'água do Piauí	2000	91.7%	93.2%	90.1%
Brazil	Olho d'água do Piauí	2017	91.9%	93.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Olho d'Água Grande	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Olho d'Água Grande	2017	93.0%	93.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Olho-d'Água do Borges	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Olho-d'Água do Borges	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Olhos-d'Água	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Olhos-d'Água	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Olímpia	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Brazil	Olímpia	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Olímpio	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Noronha	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Olinda	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Olinda	2017	93.9%	94.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Olinda Nova do Maranhão	2000	89.1%	90.9%	87.0%
Brazil	Olinda Nova do Maranhão	2017	89.4%	91.2%	87.4%
Brazil	Olindina	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Olindina	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Olivedos	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Olivedos	2017	95.8%	96.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Oliveira	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Oliveira	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Oliveira de Fátima	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Oliveira de Fátima	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Oliveira Fortes	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Oliveira Fortes	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Olivença	2000	91.8%	92.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Olivença	2017	92.0%	93.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Oliveria dos Brejinhos	2000	94.9%	96.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Oliveria dos Brejinhos	2017	95.1%	96.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Onça de Pitangui	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Onça de Pitangui	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Onda Verde	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Onda Verde	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Oratórios	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Oratórios	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Oriente	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Oriente	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Orindiúva	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Orindiúva	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Oriximiná	2000	78.9%	82.4%	75.0%
Brazil	Oriximiná	2017	79.4%	82.9%	75.6%
Brazil	Orizânia	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Orizânia	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Orizona	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Orizona	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Orlandia	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Orlandia	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Brazil	Orleaes	2000	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Orleaes	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Orobó	2000	93.6%	94.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Orobó	2017	93.8%	94.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Orós	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Orós	2017	93.7%	94.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Ortigueira	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Ortigueira	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Osasco	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Osasco	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Oscar Bressane	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Oscar Bressane	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Osório	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Osório	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Oswaldo Cruz	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Oswaldo Cruz	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Otacílio Costa	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Otacílio Costa	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Ourém	2000	78.7%	81.2%	76.0%
Brazil	Ourém	2017	79.2%	81.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Ouriçangas	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Ouriçangas	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Ouricuri	2000	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Ouricuri	2017	93.6%	94.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Ourlândia do Norte	2000	80.5%	84.1%	76.5%
Brazil	Ourlândia do Norte	2017	80.9%	84.5%	76.9%
Brazil	Ourinhos	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Ourinhos	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Ourizona	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Ourizona	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Ouro	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Ouro	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	91.7%	92.9%	90.6%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	92.0%	93.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Ouro Fino	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Brazil	Ouro Fino	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Ouro Preto	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Ouro Preto	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Ouro Preto do Oeste	2000	85.1%	86.9%	83.4%
Brazil	Ouro Preto do Oeste	2017	85.4%	87.2%	83.8%
Brazil	Ouro Velho	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Ouro Velho	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Goiás	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Goiás	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Minas	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Minas	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Ouro Verde do Oeste	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Ouro Verde do Oeste	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Ouroeste	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	Ouroeste	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Ourolândia	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Ourolândia	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ouvidor	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Ouvidor	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Pacaembu	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Pacaembu	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Pacajá	2000	79.6%	83.4%	75.7%
Brazil	Pacajá	2017	80.0%	83.7%	76.2%
Brazil	Pacajús	2000	92.9%	93.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Pacajús	2017	93.2%	94.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Pacaraima	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Pacaraima	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.8%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2000	92.2%	93.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2017	92.9%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Paço do Lumiar	2000	89.7%	91.2%	88.0%
Brazil	Paço do Lumiar	2017	90.1%	91.5%	88.4%
Brazil	Pacoti	2000	93.0%	94.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Pacoti	2017	93.2%	94.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Pacujá	2000	91.7%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Pacujá	2017	91.9%	93.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Padre Bernardo	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Brazil	Padre Bernardo	2017	97.6%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	Padre Carvalho	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Padre Carvalho	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Padre Marcos	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Padre Marcos	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Padre Paraíso	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Padre Paraíso	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Paes Landim	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Paes Landim	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.5%
Brazil	Pai Pedro	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Pai Pedro	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Paial	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Paial	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Paiçandu	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Paiçandu	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Paim Filho	2000	95.2%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Paim Filho	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Paineiras	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Paineiras	2017	95.6%	97.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Painel	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Painel	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Pains	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Pains	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Paiva	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Paiva	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Pajeú do Piauí	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Pajeú do Piauí	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.6%
Brazil	Palestina	2000	92.1%	93.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Palestina	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Palestina	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Palestina	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Palestina de Goiás	2000	94.3%	95.9%	92.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Palestina de Goiás	2017	94.5%	96.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Palestina do Pará	2000	89.1%	90.9%	87.2%
Brazil	Palestina do Pará	2017	89.5%	91.2%	87.5%
Brazil	Palhano	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Palhano	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Palhoça	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Palhoça	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Palma	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Palma	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Palma Sola	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Palma Sola	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Palmácia	2000	92.8%	93.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Palmácia	2017	93.0%	94.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Palmares	2000	92.1%	92.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Palmares	2017	92.3%	93.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Palmares do Sul	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Palmares do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Palmares Paulista	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Palmares Paulista	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Brazil	Palmas	2000	94.2%	95.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Palmas	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Palmas	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Palmas	2017	96.0%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Palmas de Monte Alto	2000	95.1%	96.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Palmas de Monte Alto	2017	95.3%	96.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Palmeira	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Palmeira	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Palmeira	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Palmeira	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Palmeira das Missões	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Palmeira das Missões	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Palmeira do Oeste	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%
Brazil	Palmeira do Oeste	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
Brazil	Palmeira do Piauí	2000	91.5%	93.6%	89.2%
Brazil	Palmeira do Piauí	2017	91.8%	93.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Palmeira dos índios	2000	91.6%	92.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Palmeira dos índios	2017	91.9%	92.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Palmeirais	2000	91.5%	92.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Palmeirais	2017	91.9%	93.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Palmeirândia	2000	88.8%	90.7%	86.7%
Brazil	Palmeirândia	2017	89.2%	91.0%	87.1%
Brazil	Palmeirante	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Palmeirante	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Palmeiras	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Palmeiras	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Palmeiras de Goiás	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Palmeiras de Goiás	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Palmeirina	2000	92.2%	93.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Palmeirina	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Palmeirópolis	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Palmeirópolis	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Palmelo	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Palmelo	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Palminópolis	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Palminópolis	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Palmital	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Palmital	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Palmital	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Palmital	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Palmitinhos	2000	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Palmitinhos	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Palmitos	2000	95.0%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Palmitos	2017	95.4%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Palmópolis	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Palmópolis	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Palotina	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Palotina	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Panamá	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Panamá	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Panambi	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Panambi	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Pancas	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Pancas	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Panelas	2000	94.0%	94.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Panelas	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Panorama	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Panorama	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Pantano Grande	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Pantano Grande	2017	96.2%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Pão de Açúcar	2000	92.4%	93.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Pão de Açúcar	2017	92.7%	93.7%	91.7%
Brazil	Papagaios	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Papagaios	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Papanduva	2000	95.1%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Papanduva	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Paquetá	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Paquetá	2017	92.5%	93.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Pará de Minas	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Pará de Minas	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Paracambi	2000	95.1%	95.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Paracambi	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Paracatu	2000	96.1%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Paracatu	2017	96.2%	97.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Paracuru	2000	92.1%	93.3%	90.6%
Brazil	Paracuru	2017	92.4%	93.5%	90.9%
Brazil	Paragominas	2000	82.4%	85.2%	79.3%
Brazil	Paragominas	2017	83.0%	85.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Paraguaçu	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Paraguaçu	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Paraguaçu Paulista	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Paraguaçu Paulista	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paraí	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Paraí	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Paraíba do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Paraíba do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Paraibano	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Paraibano	2017	92.5%	94.2%	90.7%
Brazil	Paraibuna	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Paraibuna	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Paraipaba	2000	92.3%	93.5%	91.0%
Brazil	Paraipaba	2017	92.5%	93.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Paraíso	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Paraíso	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Paraíso	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Paraíso	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Paraíso do Norte	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Paraíso do Norte	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Paraíso do Sul	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Paraíso do Sul	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Paraíso do Tocantins	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Paraíso do Tocantins	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Paraisópolis	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Brazil	Paraisópolis	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Parambu	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Parambu	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Paramirim	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Paramirim	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Paramoti	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Paramoti	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Paraná	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Paraná	2000	94.5%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Paraná	2017	94.7%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Paraná	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Paranacity	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Paranacity	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Paranaguá	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Paranaguá	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Paranaíba	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Paranaíba	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Paranaíta	2000	92.6%	94.8%	90.3%
Brazil	Paranaíta	2017	92.8%	94.9%	90.6%
Brazil	Paranaparema	2000	98.6%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Paranaparema	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Brazil	Paranapoema	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Paranapoema	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Paranapuã	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	Paranapuã	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Paranatama	2000	92.9%	93.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Paranatama	2017	93.1%	94.0%	92.1%
Brazil	Paranatinga	2000	89.3%	91.9%	85.6%
Brazil	Paranatinga	2017	89.7%	92.1%	86.0%
Brazil	Paranavaí	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Paranavaí	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Paranhos	2000	95.6%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Paranhos	2017	95.8%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Paraopeba	2000	96.0%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Paraopeba	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Parapuã	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Parapuã	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Parari	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Parari	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Parati	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Parati	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Paratinga	2000	94.9%	96.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Paratinga	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Paraú	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Paraú	2017	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Parauapebas	2000	81.4%	84.3%	78.7%
Brazil	Parauapebas	2017	81.9%	84.7%	79.1%
Brazil	Paraúna	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Paraúna	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Parazinho	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Brazil	Parazinho	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Brazil	Pardinho	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Pardinho	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Pareci Novo	2000	95.8%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Pareci Novo	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Parecis	2000	84.6%	87.1%	82.3%
Brazil	Parecis	2017	84.9%	87.4%	82.6%
Brazil	Parelhas	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Parelhas	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	Pariconha	2000	92.5%	93.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Pariconha	2017	92.7%	93.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Parintins	2000	82.2%	85.0%	79.7%
Brazil	Parintins	2017	82.7%	85.4%	80.2%
Brazil	Paripiranga	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Paripiranga	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Paripueira	2000	91.3%	92.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Paripueira	2017	91.6%	92.7%	90.4%
Brazil	Pariquera-Açu	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Pariquera-Açu	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Parisi	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Parisi	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Brazil	Parnaguá	2000	92.8%	94.8%	90.3%
Brazil	Parnaguá	2017	93.3%	95.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Parnaíba	2000	91.6%	93.4%	89.7%
Brazil	Parnaíba	2017	91.9%	93.6%	90.0%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2000	97.1%	97.5%	96.5%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2017	93.4%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2017	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Brazil	Parnarama	2000	91.4%	92.7%	89.6%
Brazil	Parnarama	2017	91.7%	92.9%	89.9%
Brazil	Parobé	2000	95.9%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Parobé	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Passa e Fica	2000	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Passa e Fica	2017	96.7%	97.3%	96.0%
Brazil	Passa Quatro	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Brazil	Passa Quatro	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Brazil	Passa Sete	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Passa Sete	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Passa Tempo	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Passa Tempo	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Passa Vinte	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Passa Vinte	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	96.7%	97.2%	96.1%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Passabém	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Passabém	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Passabém	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Passagem Franca	2000	92.0%	93.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Passagem Franca	2017	92.2%	93.9%	90.5%
Brazil	Passagem Franca do Piauí	2000	91.3%	92.9%	89.7%
Brazil	Passagem Franca do Piauí	2017	91.5%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Passira	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Passira	2017	93.8%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Passo de Camaragibe	2000	91.4%	92.5%	90.2%
Brazil	Passo de Camaragibe	2017	91.7%	92.7%	90.5%
Brazil	Passo de Torres	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Passo de Torres	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Passo do Sobrado	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Passo do Sobrado	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Passo Fundo	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Passo Fundo	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Passos	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Passos	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Passos Maia	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Passos Maia	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Pastos Bons	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.5%
Brazil	Pastos Bons	2017	92.0%	93.7%	89.8%
Brazil	Patis	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Patis	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Pato Bragado	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Pato Bragado	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Pato Branco	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Pato Branco	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Patos	2000	94.6%	95.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Patos	2017	94.8%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Patos de Minas	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Patos de Minas	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Patos do Piauí	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Patos do Piauí	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.4%
Brazil	Patrocínio	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Patrocínio	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Patrocínio do Muriaé	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Patrocínio do Muriaé	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Patrocínio Paulista	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Patrocínio Paulista	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Patu	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	Patu	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.8%
Brazil	Paty do Alferes	2000	96.1%	96.7%	95.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paty do Alferes	2017	96.2%	96.7%	95.5%
Brazil	Pau Brazil	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Pau Brazil	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2000	87.8%	89.8%	85.0%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2000	83.7%	86.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2017	88.1%	90.1%	85.4%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2017	84.1%	86.9%	80.7%
Brazil	Pau dos Ferros	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Pau dos Ferros	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Paudalho	2000	93.9%	94.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Paudalho	2017	94.1%	94.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Pauini	2000	83.5%	87.0%	80.3%
Brazil	Pauini	2017	83.9%	87.3%	80.7%
Brazil	Paula Cândido	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Paula Cândido	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Paula Freitas	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Paula Freitas	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Paulicéia	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Paulicéia	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Paulínia	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Paulínia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Paulino Neves	2000	90.5%	92.4%	88.3%
Brazil	Paulino Neves	2017	90.8%	92.6%	88.7%
Brazil	Paulista	2000	93.6%	94.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Paulista	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Paulista	2017	93.8%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Paulista	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Paulistana	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Paulistana	2017	93.4%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	Paulistânia	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Paulistânia	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Paulistas	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Paulistas	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Paulo Afonso	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.3%
Brazil	Paulo Afonso	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Paulo de Faria	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Paulo de Faria	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Paulo Frontin	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Paulo Frontin	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Paulo Jacinto	2000	90.8%	91.9%	89.7%
Brazil	Paulo Jacinto	2017	91.1%	92.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Paulo Lopez	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Paulo Lopez	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Paulo Ramos	2000	90.2%	91.9%	88.1%
Brazil	Paulo Ramos	2017	90.5%	92.2%	88.5%
Brazil	Pavão	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Pavão	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Paverama	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Paverama	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Pavussu	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Pavussu	2017	92.6%	94.2%	90.6%
Brazil	Pé de Serra	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Pé de Serra	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Peabiru	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Peabiru	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Peçanha	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Peçanha	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pederneiras	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Pederneiras	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Pedra	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Pedra	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Pedra Azul	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Pedra Azul	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Pedra Bela	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Pedra Bela	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Brazil	Pedra Bonita	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Pedra Bonita	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2017	93.1%	94.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2000	86.9%	88.7%	84.8%
Brazil	do Amapari				
Brazil	Pedra Branca do Amapari	2017	87.3%	89.1%	85.2%
Brazil	Pedra do Anta	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Pedra do Anta	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Pedra do Indaiá	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Pedra do Indaiá	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Pedra dourada	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Pedra dourada	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Pedra Grande	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Brazil	Pedra Grande	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Pedra Lavadra	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Pedra Lavadra	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	Pedra Mole	2000	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Pedra Mole	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Pedralva	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Pedralva	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Pedranópolis	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Pedranópolis	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Pedrão	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Pedrão	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Pedras de Fogo	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Pedras de Fogo	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Pedras da Cruz	2000	95.8%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Pedras da Cruz	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Pedras Grandes	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Pedras Grandes	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Pedregulho	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Pedregulho	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pedreira	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Pedreira	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Pedreiras	2000	90.2%	92.1%	88.4%
Brazil	Pedreiras	2017	90.5%	92.3%	88.7%
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Paulista Pedrinhas	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Pedrinópolis	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Pedrinópolis	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Pedro Afonso	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Pedro Afonso	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Pedro Alexandre	2000	94.1%	95.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Pedro Alexandre	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Pedro Avelino	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Brazil	Pedro Avelino	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Brazil	Pedro Canário	2000	94.8%	96.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Pedro Canário	2017	95.0%	96.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Pedro de Toledo	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Pedro de Toledo	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Pedro do Rosário	2000	88.8%	90.6%	86.5%
Brazil	Pedro do Rosário	2017	89.2%	90.9%	86.9%
Brazil	Pedro Gomes	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Pedro Gomes	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Pedro Laurentino	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.6%
Brazil	Pedro Laurentino	2017	92.7%	94.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Pedro Leopoldo	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Pedro Leopoldo	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Pedro Li	2000	92.7%	94.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Pedro Li	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Pedro Osório	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Pedro Osório	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Pedro Régis	2000	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Pedro Régis	2017	95.8%	96.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Pedro Teixeira	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Pedro Teixeira	2017	95.9%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Pedro Velho	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Pedro Velho	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Peixe	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Peixe	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Peixe Boi	2000	79.2%	81.5%	76.2%
Brazil	Peixe Boi	2017	79.6%	82.0%	76.7%
Brazil	Peixoto de Azevedo	2000	89.7%	92.2%	86.2%
Brazil	Peixoto de Azevedo	2017	90.0%	92.4%	86.6%
Brazil	Pejuçara	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Pejuçara	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Pelotas	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Pelotas	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Penaforte	2000	93.0%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Penaforte	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Penalva	2000	89.0%	90.7%	87.0%
Brazil	Penalva	2017	89.4%	91.0%	87.3%
Brazil	Penápolis	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Penápolis	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Pendências	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Pendências	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Brazil	Penedo	2000	93.3%	94.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Penedo	2017	93.6%	94.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Penha	2000	93.5%	94.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Penha	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Pentecoste	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Pentecoste	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Pequeri	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Pequeri	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Pequi	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Pequi	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Pequizeiro	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Pequizeiro	2017	92.3%	93.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Perdigão	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Perdigão	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Perdizes	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Perdizes	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Perdões	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Perdões	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Pereira Barreto	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Pereira Barreto	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Pereiras	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Pereiras	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Pereiro	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Pereiro	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Peri-Mirim	2000	89.0%	90.8%	86.9%
Brazil	Peri-Mirim	2017	89.3%	91.1%	87.3%
Brazil	Periquito	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Periquito	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Peritiba	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Peritiba	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Peritoró	2000	90.7%	92.2%	89.1%
Brazil	Peritoró	2017	91.0%	92.4%	89.4%
Brazil	Perobal	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Perobal	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Pérola	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Pérola	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Pérola d'Oeste	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Pérola d'Oeste	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Perolândia	2000	94.8%	96.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Perolândia	2017	95.0%	96.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Peruíbe	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Peruíbe	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Pescador	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Pescador	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Pesqueira	2000	93.5%	94.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Pesqueira	2017	93.8%	94.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.2%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Petrolina	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Petrolina	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Petrolina de Goiás	2000	94.9%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Petrolina de Goiás	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Petrópolis	2000	96.3%	96.8%	95.6%
Brazil	Petrópolis	2017	96.4%	96.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Piaçabuçu	2000	91.8%	93.1%	90.5%
Brazil	Piaçabuçu	2017	92.1%	93.4%	90.8%
Brazil	Piacatu	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Piacatu	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Piancó	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Piancó	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Piatã	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Piatã	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Piau	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Piau	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Picada Café	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Picada Café	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Piçarra	2000	87.8%	89.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Piçarra	2017	88.2%	90.3%	86.1%
Brazil	Piçarras	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Piçarras	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Picos	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Picos	2017	92.6%	94.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Picuí	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.2%
Brazil	Picuí	2017	96.9%	97.5%	96.4%
Brazil	Piedade	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.5%
Brazil	Piedade	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Brazil	Piedade de Caratinga	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Piedade de Caratinga	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Piedade do Ponte Nova	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Piedade do Ponte Nova	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Piedade do Rio Grande	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Piedade do Rio Grande	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Piedade dos Gerais	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Piedade dos Gerais	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Piên	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Piên	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Pilão Arcado	2000	93.9%	95.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Pilão Arcado	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Pilar	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Pilar	2000	90.6%	91.6%	89.5%
Brazil	Pilar	2017	90.9%	91.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Pilar	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Pilar de Goiás	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Pilar de Goiás	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Pilar do Sul	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Pilar do Sul	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Brazil	Pilões	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Pilões	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Pilões	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Pilões	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Pilõesinhos	2000	94.7%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Pilõesinhos	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pimenta	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Pimenta	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Pimenta	2000	83.9%	85.8%	81.8%
Brazil	Bueno				
Brazil	Pimenta	2017	84.2%	86.1%	82.1%
Brazil	Bueno				
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2000	84.5%	87.3%	81.9%
Brazil	do Oeste				
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2017	84.8%	87.6%	82.2%
Brazil	do Oeste				
Brazil	Pindaí	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Pindaí	2017	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Pindamonhangaba	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Pindamonhangaba	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Pindaré-Mirim	2000	89.0%	90.8%	87.1%
Brazil	Pindaré-Mirim	2017	89.3%	91.1%	87.4%
Brazil	Pindoba	2000	90.8%	91.7%	89.8%
Brazil	Pindoba	2017	91.0%	92.0%	90.1%
Brazil	Pindobaçu	2000	94.7%	96.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Pindobaçu	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Pindorama	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Pindorama	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Pindorama do Tocantins	2000	94.5%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Pindorama do Tocantins	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Pindoretama	2000	92.4%	93.5%	91.0%
Brazil	Pindoretama	2017	92.6%	93.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Pingo d'Água	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Pingo d'Água	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Pinhais	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Brazil	Pinhais	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.7%
Brazil	Pinhal	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Pinhal	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Pinhal	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Brazil	Pinhal	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Pinhal de São Bento	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Pinhal de São Bento	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Pinhal Grande	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Pinhal Grande	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Pinhalão	2000	97.0%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Pinhalão	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Pinhão	2000	94.8%	95.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Pinhão	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Pinhão	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Pinhão	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Pinheiral	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Pinheiral	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Pinheirinho do Vale	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pinheirinho do Vale	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Pinheiro	2000	88.7%	90.7%	86.6%
Brazil	Pinheiro	2017	89.1%	91.1%	87.0%
Brazil	Pinheiro	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Machado Pinheiro	2017	96.4%	97.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Machado Pinheiro	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Preto Pinheiro	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Preto Pinheiros	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Pinheiros	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Pintadas	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Pintadas	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Pintópolis	2000	96.1%	97.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Pintópolis	2017	96.2%	97.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Pio IX	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Pio IX	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Pio XII	2000	89.4%	91.3%	87.5%
Brazil	Pio XII	2017	89.7%	91.6%	87.9%
Brazil	Piquerobi	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Piquerobi	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Piquet	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Carneiro Piquet	2017	93.4%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Carneiro Piquete	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Piquete	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Brazil	Piracaia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Piracaia	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Piracanjuba	2000	95.0%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Piracanjuba	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Piracema	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Piracema	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Piracicaba	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Piracicaba	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Piracununga	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Piracununga	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Piracuruca	2000	91.2%	92.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Piracuruca	2017	91.5%	92.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Piraí	2000	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Piraí	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Piraí do Norte	2000	93.7%	95.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Piraí do Norte	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Piraí do Sul	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Piraí do Sul	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	Pirajuba	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Pirajuba	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Pirajui	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Pirajui	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Pirajuí	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Pirajuí	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Pirambu	2000	92.9%	93.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Pirambu	2017	93.2%	94.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Piranga	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Piranga	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Pirangi	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Pirangi	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Piranguçu	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Piranguçu	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Piranguinho	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Piranguinho	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Piranhas	2000	92.8%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Piranhas	2000	94.0%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Piranhas	2017	94.2%	95.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Piranhas	2017	93.1%	94.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Pirapemas	2000	89.6%	91.2%	87.5%
Brazil	Pirapemas	2017	89.9%	91.5%	87.9%
Brazil	Pirapetinga	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Pirapetinga	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Pirapó	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Pirapó	2017	95.6%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Pirapora	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Pirapora	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Pirapora do Bom Jesus	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Brazil	Pirapora do Bom Jesus	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Pirapozinho	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Pirapozinho	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Piraquara	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Piraquara	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.6%
Brazil	Piraquê	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.7%
Brazil	Piraquê	2017	92.4%	93.8%	91.1%
Brazil	Piratini	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Piratini	2017	96.3%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Piratininga	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Piratininga	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Piratuba	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Piratuba	2017	95.2%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Pirauba	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Pirauba	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Pirenópolis	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Pirenópolis	2017	95.6%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Pires do Rio	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Pires do Rio	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Pires Ferreira	2000	91.6%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Pires Ferreira	2017	91.9%	93.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Piripá	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Piripá	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Piripiri	2000	91.8%	93.1%	90.2%
Brazil	Piripiri	2017	92.0%	93.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Piritiba	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Piritiba	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Pirpirituba	2000	95.2%	95.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Pirpirituba	2017	95.4%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Pitanga	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Pitanga	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Pitanguí	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Pitanguí	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Pitimbu	2000	93.7%	94.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Pitimbu	2017	93.9%	94.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Piui	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Piui	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Pium	2000	91.7%	93.3%	89.9%
Brazil	Pium	2017	91.6%	93.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Piúma	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Piúma	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Placas	2000	79.1%	83.0%	75.1%
Brazil	Placas	2017	79.5%	83.3%	75.5%
Brazil	Plácido de Castro	2000	81.7%	83.5%	79.7%
Brazil	Plácido de Castro	2017	82.2%	83.9%	80.1%
Brazil	Planaltina	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Brazil	Planaltina	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Brazil	Planaltina do Paraná	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Planaltina do Paraná	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Planaltino	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Planaltino	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Planalto Alegre	2000	95.1%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Planalto Alegre	2017	95.3%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Planalto da Serra	2000	92.4%	94.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Planalto da Serra	2017	92.6%	94.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Planura	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Planura	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Platina	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Platina	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Poá	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Poá	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Brazil	Poção	2000	93.8%	94.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Poção	2017	94.0%	94.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Poção de Pedras	2000	90.4%	92.2%	88.7%
Brazil	Poção de Pedras	2017	90.7%	92.4%	89.1%
Brazil	Pocinhos	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Pocinhos	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Poço Branco	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	Poço Branco	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Brazil	Poço Dantas	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Poço Dantas	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Poço das Antas	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Poço das Antas	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Poço das Trincheiras	2000	91.6%	92.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Poço das Trincheiras	2017	91.9%	92.8%	90.8%
Brazil	Poço de José de Moura	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Poço de José de Moura	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Poço Fundo	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Poço Fundo	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Poço Redondo	2000	93.3%	94.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Poço Redondo	2017	93.5%	94.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Poço Verde	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Poço Verde	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Poções	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Poções	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Poconé	2000	92.9%	94.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Poconé	2017	93.1%	94.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Poços de Caldas	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Brazil	Poços de Caldas	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Pocrane	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Pocrane	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Pojuca	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Pojuca	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Poloni	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.3%
Brazil	Poloni	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Pombal	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Pombal	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Pombos	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Pombos	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Pomerode	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Pomerode	2017	94.2%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Pompéia	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Pompéia	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Pompéu	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Pompéu	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Pongaí	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Pongaí	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Ponta Alta	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Ponta Alta	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Ponta de Pedras	2000	78.1%	80.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Ponta de Pedras	2017	78.6%	80.9%	76.6%
Brazil	Ponta Grossa	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Ponta Grossa	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Ponta Porã	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Ponta Porã	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Pontal	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Pontal	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Brazil	Pontal do Araguaia	2000	93.5%	95.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Pontal do Araguaia	2017	93.7%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Pontal do Paraná	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Pontal do Paraná	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Pontalina	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Pontalina	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Pontalinda	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.5%
Brazil	Pontalinda	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.6%
Brazil	Pontão	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Pontão	2017	96.1%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Bom Jesus	2000	94.3%	95.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Bom Jesus	2017	94.5%	96.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2000	94.0%	95.6%	92.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2017	94.2%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Ponte Branca	2000	93.4%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Ponte Branca	2017	93.6%	95.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Ponte Nova	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Ponte Nova	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Ponte Preta	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Ponte Preta	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Ponte Serrada	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Ponte Serrada	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Pontes e Lacerda	2000	92.1%	94.3%	89.5%
Brazil	Pontes e Lacerda	2017	92.4%	94.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Pontes Gestral	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Pontes Gestral	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Ponto Belo	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Ponto Belo	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Ponto Chique	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Ponto Chique	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Ponto dos Volantes	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Ponto dos Volantes	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Ponto Novo	2000	94.7%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Ponto Novo	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Populina	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Populina	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Poranga	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Poranga	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Porangaba	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Porangaba	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Porangatu	2000	94.6%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Porangatu	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Porciúncula	2000	95.6%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Porciúncula	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Porecatu	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Porecatu	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Portalegre	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Portalegre	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Portao	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Portao	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Porteiras	2000	92.9%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Porteiras	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Porteirinha	2000	95.8%	97.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Porteirinha	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Porteiro	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Porteiro	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Portel	2000	79.1%	82.2%	75.6%
Brazil	Portel	2017	79.5%	82.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Portelândia	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Portelândia	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Porto	2000	90.6%	91.9%	89.1%
Brazil	Porto	2017	90.9%	92.1%	89.4%
Brazil	Porto Acre	2000	81.7%	83.3%	79.8%
Brazil	Porto Acre	2017	82.1%	83.7%	80.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Porto Alegre	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Porto Alegre	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Norte	2000	91.6%	94.3%	88.5%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Norte	2017	91.9%	94.5%	88.9%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Piauí	2000	91.5%	93.5%	89.1%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Piauí	2017	91.8%	93.7%	89.5%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Tocantins	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Tocantins	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Porto Amazonas	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Porto Amazonas	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Porto Barreiro	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Porto Barreiro	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Porto Belo	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Porto Belo	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Porto Calvo	2000	92.3%	93.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Porto Calvo	2017	92.6%	93.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Porto da Folha	2000	93.3%	94.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Porto da Folha	2017	93.5%	94.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Porto de Moz	2000	78.6%	82.1%	75.0%
Brazil	Porto de Moz	2017	79.3%	82.7%	75.7%
Brazil	Porto de Pedras	2000	92.1%	93.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Porto de Pedras	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Porto do Mangue	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Porto do Mangue	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Porto dos Gaúchos	2000	91.7%	93.9%	89.0%
Brazil	Porto dos Gaúchos	2017	91.9%	94.1%	89.4%
Brazil	Porto Esperidião	2000	92.8%	94.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Porto Esperidião	2017	93.1%	95.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Porto Estrela	2000	92.5%	94.3%	90.8%
Brazil	Porto Estrela	2017	92.8%	94.5%	91.1%
Brazil	Porto Feliz	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Porto Feliz	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Porto Ferreira	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Porto Ferreira	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Porto Firme	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Porto Firme	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Porto Franco	2000	92.6%	94.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Porto Franco	2017	92.8%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Porto Grande	2000	86.9%	88.5%	85.2%
Brazil	Porto Grande	2017	87.2%	88.8%	85.6%
Brazil	Porto Lucena	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Porto Lucena	2017	95.7%	96.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Porto Mauá	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Porto Mauá	2017	95.5%	96.7%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Porto Murtinho	2000	95.0%	96.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Porto Murtinho	2017	95.2%	97.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Porto Nacional	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Porto Nacional	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Porto Real	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Porto Real	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Porto Real do Colégio	2000	92.5%	93.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Porto Real do Colégio	2017	92.7%	93.7%	91.7%
Brazil	Porto Rico	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Porto Rico	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Porto Rico do Maranhão	2000	88.4%	90.9%	85.9%
Brazil	Porto Rico do Maranhão	2017	88.8%	91.2%	86.3%
Brazil	Porto Seguro	2000	94.4%	96.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Porto Seguro	2017	94.6%	96.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Porto União	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Porto União	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Porto Velho	2000	83.2%	85.1%	81.6%
Brazil	Porto Velho	2017	83.5%	85.4%	82.0%
Brazil	Porto Vera Cruz	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Porto Vera Cruz	2017	95.5%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Porto Vitória	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Porto Vitória	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Porto Walter	2000	81.4%	84.1%	78.6%
Brazil	Porto Walter	2017	81.8%	84.4%	79.0%
Brazil	Porto Xavier	2000	95.6%	97.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Porto Xavier	2017	95.8%	97.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Posse	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Posse	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Poté	2000	96.3%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Poté	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Potengi	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Potengi	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Potim	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Brazil	Potim	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	Potiraguá	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Potiraguá	2017	95.4%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Potirendaba	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Potirendaba	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Potiretama	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Potiretama	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Pouso Alegre	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Brazil	Pouso Alegre	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Pouso Alto	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Pouso Alto	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Pouso Novo	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Pouso Novo	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Pouso Redondo	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Pouso Redondo	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Poxoréo	2000	92.5%	94.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Poxoréo	2017	92.9%	94.6%	91.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pracinha	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Pracinha	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Pracuúba	2000	86.3%	88.4%	84.0%
Brazil	Pracuúba	2017	86.6%	88.7%	84.3%
Brazil	Prado	2000	94.8%	96.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Prado	2017	95.0%	96.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Prado Ferreira	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Prado Ferreira	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Pradópolis	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Pradópolis	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Prados	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Prados	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Praia Norte	2000	90.2%	91.9%	88.5%
Brazil	Praia Norte	2017	90.5%	92.1%	88.8%
Brazil	Prainha	2000	79.8%	83.6%	76.0%
Brazil	Prainha	2017	80.2%	83.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Pranchita	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Pranchita	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Prata	2000	93.7%	94.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Prata	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Prata	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Prata	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Prata do Piauí	2000	91.2%	92.8%	89.6%
Brazil	Prata do Piauí	2017	91.4%	93.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Pratânia	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	Pratânia	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Brazil	Pratópolis	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Pratópolis	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Pratinha	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Pratinha	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Presidente Alves	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Presidente Alves	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2000	91.0%	92.7%	89.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2017	95.5%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2017	91.6%	93.3%	90.0%
Brazil	Presidente Epitácio	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Presidente Epitácio	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Presidente Figueiredo	2000	83.9%	86.5%	81.0%
Brazil	Presidente Figueiredo	2017	84.3%	86.9%	81.5%
Brazil	Presidente Getúlio	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Presidente Getúlio	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Presidente Jânio Quadros	2000	95.5%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Presidente Jânio Quadros	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.8%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	89.4%	91.1%	87.8%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	89.7%	91.4%	88.1%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2000	93.4%	94.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2017	93.6%	95.0%	92.1%
Brazil	Presidente Kubitschek	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Presidente Kubitschek	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Presidente Lucena	2000	95.9%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Presidente Lucena	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2000	84.1%	85.8%	82.3%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2000	86.1%	88.3%	83.6%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2017	84.4%	86.1%	82.7%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2017	86.5%	88.7%	84.1%
Brazil	Presidente Nereu	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Presidente Nereu	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Presidente Olegário	2000	95.6%	97.0%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Presidente Olegário	2017	95.7%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Presidente Prudente	2000	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Presidente Prudente	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Presidente Sarney	2000	87.0%	89.2%	84.5%
Brazil	Presidente Sarney	2017	87.4%	89.5%	84.9%
Brazil	Presidente Tancredo Neves	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Presidente Tancredo Neves	2017	94.5%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Presidente Vargas	2000	89.4%	91.1%	87.6%
Brazil	Presidente Vargas	2017	89.8%	91.3%	88.0%
Brazil	Presidente Venceslau	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Presidente Venceslau	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Primavera	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Primavera	2000	80.1%	82.5%	76.9%
Brazil	Primavera	2017	93.9%	94.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Primavera	2017	80.5%	82.9%	77.3%
Brazil	Primavera de Rondônia	2000	83.8%	86.0%	81.7%
Brazil	Primavera de Rondônia	2017	84.1%	86.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Primavera do Leste	2000	93.1%	94.8%	91.1%
Brazil	Primavera do Leste	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Primeira Cruz	2000	89.2%	91.3%	86.9%
Brazil	Primeira Cruz	2017	89.5%	91.6%	87.3%
Brazil	Primeiro de Maio	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Primeiro de Maio	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Professor Jamil	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Professor Jamil	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Progresso	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Progresso	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Promissão	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Promissão	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Brazil	Propriá	2000	92.9%	93.9%	91.7%
Brazil	Propriá	2017	93.1%	94.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Protásio Alves	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Protásio Alves	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Prudente de Moraes	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Prudente de Moraes	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Prudentópolis	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Prudentópolis	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Pugmil	2000	93.6%	95.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Pugmil	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Pureza	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Brazil	Pureza	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Brazil	Putinga	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Putinga	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Puxinanã	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Puxinanã	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Quadra	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Quadra	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Quaraí	2000	96.1%	97.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Quaraí	2017	96.3%	97.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Quartel Geral	2000	95.6%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Quartel Geral	2017	95.7%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Quarto Centenário	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Quarto Centenário	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Quatá	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Quatá	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Quatiguá	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Quatiguá	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Quatipuru	2000	80.2%	82.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Quatipuru	2017	80.6%	83.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Quatis	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Quatis	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Quatro Barras	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Brazil	Quatro Barras	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Brazil	Quatro Pontes	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Quatro Pontes	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Quebrangulo	2000	90.8%	91.9%	89.6%
Brazil	Quebrangulo	2017	91.1%	92.1%	89.9%
Brazil	Quedas do Iguaçu	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Quedas do Iguaçu	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Queimada Nova	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Queimada Nova	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Queimadas	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Queimadas	2000	94.9%	95.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Queimadas	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Queimadas	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Queimados	2000	95.0%	95.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Queimados	2017	95.2%	95.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Queiroz	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Queiroz	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Queluz	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Queluz	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Queluzita	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Queluzita	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Querência	2000	92.0%	94.4%	89.1%
Brazil	Querência	2017	92.7%	94.9%	90.0%
Brazil	Querência do Norte	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Querência do Norte	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Quevedos	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Quevedos	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Quijingue	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Quijingue	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Quilombo	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Quilombo	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Quinta do Sol	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Quinta do Sol	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Quinze de Novembro	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Quinze de Novembro	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Quipapá	2000	93.1%	94.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Quipapá	2017	93.3%	94.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Quirinópolis	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Quirinópolis	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Quissamã	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Quissamã	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Quitana	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Quitana	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Quitandinha	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Quitandinha	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Quiterianópolis	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Quiterianópolis	2017	93.5%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Quixabá	2000	93.3%	94.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Quixabá	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Quixabá	2017	93.6%	94.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Quixabá	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Quixabeira	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Quixabeira	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Quixada	2000	94.1%	95.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Quixada	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Quixelô	2000	93.6%	94.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Quixelô	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Quixeramobim	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Quixeramobim	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Quixeré	2000	94.8%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Quixeré	2017	95.0%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Rafael Fernandes	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Rafael Fernandes	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Rafael Godeiro	2000	95.8%	96.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Rafael Godeiro	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Rafael Jambeiro	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Rafael Jambeiro	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Rafard	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Rafard	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Ramilândia	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Ramilândia	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Rancharia	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Rancharia	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre d'Oeste	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rancho Alegre d'Oeste	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Rancho Queimado	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Rancho Queimado	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Raposa	2000	89.8%	91.4%	88.0%
Brazil	Raposa	2017	90.2%	91.7%	88.4%
Brazil	Raposos	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Raposos	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Raul Soares	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Raul Soares	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Realeza	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Realeza	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Rebouças	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Rebouças	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Recife	2000	93.1%	94.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Recife	2017	93.3%	94.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Recreio	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Recreio	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Recursolândia	2000	93.7%	95.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Recursolândia	2017	94.0%	95.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Redenção	2000	85.7%	88.6%	82.2%
Brazil	Redenção	2000	92.7%	93.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Redenção	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Redenção	2017	86.1%	88.9%	82.7%
Brazil	Redenção da Serra	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Redenção da Serra	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Redenção do Gurguéia	2000	91.5%	93.6%	88.7%
Brazil	Redenção do Gurguéia	2017	91.8%	93.8%	89.1%
Brazil	Redentora	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Redentora	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Reduto	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Reduto	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Regeneração	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.8%
Brazil	Regeneração	2017	91.8%	93.3%	90.1%
Brazil	Regente Feijó	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Regente Feijó	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Brazil	Reginópolis	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Reginópolis	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Registro	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Registro	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Relvado	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Relvado	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Remanso	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Remanso	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Remígio	2000	94.6%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Remígio	2017	94.8%	95.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Renascença	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Renascença	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Reriutaba	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.5%
Brazil	Reriutaba	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Resende	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Resende	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.9%
Brazil	Resende Costa	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Resende Costa	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Reserva	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Reserva	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Reserva do Cabaçal	2000	93.2%	95.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Reserva do Cabaçal	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Reserva do Iguaçu	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Reserva do Iguaçu	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Resplendor	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Resplendor	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Ressaquinha	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Ressaquinha	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Restinga	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Restinga	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Restinga Seca	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Restinga Seca	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Retirolândia	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Retirolândia	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Riachão	2000	93.0%	94.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Riachão	2017	93.3%	94.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Riachão das Neves	2000	94.3%	96.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Riachão das Neves	2017	94.5%	96.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Riachão do Bacamarte	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Riachão do Bacamarte	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.9%
Brazil	Riachao do dantas	2000	94.6%	95.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Riachao do dantas	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Riachao do Jacuipe	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Riachao do Jacuipe	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Riachão do Poço	2000	94.6%	95.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Riachão do Poço	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Riachinho	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Riachinho	2000	96.3%	97.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Riachinho	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.9%
Brazil	Riachinho	2017	96.6%	97.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Riacho	2000	94.1%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Riacho	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Riacho da Cruz	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Riacho da Cruz	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Riacho das Almas	2000	94.1%	95.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Riacho das Almas	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2000	95.0%	96.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2017	95.2%	96.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Riacho de Santo Antônio	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Riacho de Santo Antônio	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Riacho dos Machados	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Riacho dos Machados	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Riacho Frio	2000	92.5%	94.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Riacho Frio	2017	92.8%	94.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2000	93.7%	94.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2017	93.9%	94.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Rialma	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Rialma	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Rianópolis	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Rianópolis	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Ribamar Fiquene	2000	91.7%	93.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Ribamar Fiquene	2017	92.0%	93.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Ribas do Rio Pardo	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Ribas do Rio Pardo	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Ribeira	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Ribeira	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Ribeira do Amparo	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Ribeira do Amparo	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Ribeira do Piauí	2000	91.9%	93.3%	89.9%
Brazil	Ribeira do Piauí	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.2%
Brazil	Ribeira do Pombal	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Ribeira do Pombal	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2000	92.3%	93.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2017	92.6%	93.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão Branco	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão Branco	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão Cascalheira	2000	93.2%	95.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Ribeirão Cascalheira	2017	93.3%	95.4%	90.9%
Brazil	Ribeirão Claro	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão Claro	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão Corrente	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ribeirão Corrente	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Ribeirão das Neves	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão das Neves	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Largo	2000	95.5%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Largo	2017	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Pinhal	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Pinhal	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Sul	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Sul	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão dos índios	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão dos índios	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão Grande	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão Grande	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão Pires	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Ribeirão Pires	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Ribeirao Preto	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Brazil	Ribeirao Preto	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão Vermelho	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Ribeirão Vermelho	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Ribeirãozinho	2000	93.4%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Ribeirãozinho	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Ribeiro Gonçalves	2000	91.6%	93.7%	89.2%
Brazil	Ribeiro Gonçalves	2017	91.8%	93.9%	89.4%
Brazil	Ribeirópolis	2000	94.9%	95.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Ribeirópolis	2017	95.1%	95.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Ricaho dos Cavalos	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Ricaho dos Cavalos	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Rifaina	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Brazil	Rifaina	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Rincão	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Rincão	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Rinópolis	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Rinópolis	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Rio Acima	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Rio Acima	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Rio Azul	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Rio Azul	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Rio Bananal	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Rio Bananal	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Rio Bom	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Rio Bom	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rio Bonito	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Rio Bonito	2017	95.6%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Rio Bonito do Iguaçu	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Rio Bonito do Iguaçu	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2000	92.4%	94.3%	90.2%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2000	81.1%	82.3%	79.5%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2017	81.5%	82.7%	80.0%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Ivaí	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Ivaí	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Sul	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Sul	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.5%
Brazil	Rio Brilhante	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Rio Brilhante	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Rio Casca	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Rio Casca	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2000	96.7%	97.2%	96.1%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2017	96.8%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Rio Crespo	2000	82.7%	84.5%	80.4%
Brazil	Rio Crespo	2017	83.0%	84.8%	80.7%
Brazil	Rio da Conceição	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Rio da Conceição	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Rio das Antas	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Rio das Antas	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Rio das Flores	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Rio das Flores	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Rio das Ostras	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Rio das Ostras	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Rio das Pedras	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Rio das Pedras	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Brazil	Rio de Contas	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Rio de Contas	2017	95.3%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Rio de Janeiro	2000	95.8%	96.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Rio de Janeiro	2017	95.9%	96.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Rio do Antônio	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Rio do Antônio	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Rio do Campo	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Rio do Campo	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Rio do Fogo	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Rio do Fogo	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Brazil	Rio do Oeste	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Rio do Oeste	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Rio do Pires	2000	95.2%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Rio do Pires	2017	95.4%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Rio do Prado	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Rio do Prado	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Rio do Sul	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Rio do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rio doce	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Rio doce	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Rio dos Bois	2000	93.1%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Rio dos Bois	2017	93.4%	95.0%	91.9%
Brazil	Rio dos Cedros	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Rio dos Cedros	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Rio dos índios	2000	95.1%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Rio dos índios	2017	95.3%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Rio Espera	2000	96.0%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Rio Espera	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Rio Formoso	2000	91.2%	92.4%	89.8%
Brazil	Rio Formoso	2017	91.5%	92.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Rio Fortuna	2000	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Rio Fortuna	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Rio Grande	2000	95.8%	97.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Rio Grande	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Rio Grande da Serra	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Rio Grande da Serra	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Rio Grande do Piauí	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Rio Grande do Piauí	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Rio Largo	2000	92.5%	93.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Rio Largo	2017	92.7%	93.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Rio Manso	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Rio Manso	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Rio Maria	2000	82.7%	85.8%	79.1%
Brazil	Rio Maria	2017	83.2%	86.2%	79.5%
Brazil	Rio Negrinho	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Rio Negrinho	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Rio Novo	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Rio Novo	2017	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Rio Novo do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Rio Novo do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2000	95.9%	97.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Rio Pardo	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Rio Pardo	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Rio Pardo de Minas	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Rio Pardo de Minas	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Rio Pomba	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Rio Pomba	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Rio Preto	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Rio Preto	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Rio Preto da Eva	2000	81.7%	83.8%	79.5%
Brazil	Rio Preto da Eva	2017	82.2%	84.3%	80.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rio Quente	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Rio Quente	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Rio Real	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Rio Real	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Rio Rufino	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Rio Rufino	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Rio Sono	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Rio Sono	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Rio Tinto	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Rio Tinto	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Rio Verde	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Rio Verde	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Rio Verde de Mato Grosso	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Rio Verde de Mato Grosso	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Rio Vermelho	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Rio Vermelho	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Riolândia	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Riolândia	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Riozinho	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Riozinho	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Riqueza	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Riqueza	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Ritópolis	2000	96.1%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Ritópolis	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Riversul	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Riversul	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Roca Sales	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Roca Sales	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Rochedo	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Rochedo	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Rochedo de Minas	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Rochedo de Minas	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Rodeio	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Rodeio	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Rodeio Bonito	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Rodeio Bonito	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Rodeiro	2000	95.9%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Rodeiro	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Rodelas	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Rodelas	2017	93.5%	94.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Rodolfo	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Rodolfo Fernandes	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Rodrigues Alves	2000	82.1%	84.4%	79.7%
Brazil	Rodrigues Alves	2017	82.5%	84.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Rolândia	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Rolândia	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Rolante	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Rolante	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Rolim de Moura	2000	84.4%	86.4%	82.4%
Brazil	Rolim de Moura	2017	84.7%	86.6%	82.7%
Brazil	Romaria	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Romaria	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Romelândia	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Romelândia	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Roncador	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Roncador	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Ronda Alta	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Ronda Alta	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Rondinha	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Rondinha	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Rondon	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Rondon	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Rondon do Pará	2000	85.7%	88.0%	83.5%
Brazil	Rondon do Pará	2017	86.1%	88.3%	83.9%
Brazil	Rondonópolis	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Rondonópolis	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Roque Gonzales	2000	95.6%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Roque Gonzales	2017	95.7%	97.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Rorainópolis	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Rorainópolis	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Rosana	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Rosana	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Rosário	2000	89.6%	91.1%	87.7%
Brazil	Rosário	2017	89.9%	91.4%	88.1%
Brazil	Rosário da Limeira	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Rosário da Limeira	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Rosário do Catete	2000	93.2%	94.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Rosário do Catete	2017	93.4%	94.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Rosário do Ivaí	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Rosário do Ivaí	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Rosário do Sul	2000	96.4%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Rosário do Sul	2017	96.5%	97.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Rosário Oeste	2000	92.4%	94.1%	90.6%
Brazil	Rosário Oeste	2017	92.7%	94.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Roseira	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	Roseira	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Brazil	Roteiro	2000	91.0%	92.1%	89.7%
Brazil	Roteiro	2017	91.0%	92.1%	89.8%
Brazil	Rubelita	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Rubelita	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Rubiácea	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Rubiácea	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Rubiataba	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Rubiataba	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Rubim	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Rubim	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Rubinéia	2000	96.8%	97.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Rubinéia	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Rurópolis	2000	79.5%	83.5%	75.3%
Brazil	Rurópolis	2017	79.9%	83.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Russas	2000	94.4%	95.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Russas	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Sabar	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Sabar	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Sabudia	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	Sabudia	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Sabino	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Sabino	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Sabinpolis	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Sabinpolis	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Saboeiro	2000	93.0%	94.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Saboeiro	2017	93.3%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Sacramento	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Sacramento	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Sagrada Famlia	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Sagrada Famlia	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Sagres	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	Sagres	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Brazil	Sair	2000	94.3%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Sair	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Saldanha Maranho	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Saldanha Maranho	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Sales	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.7%
Brazil	Sales	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Sales Oliveira	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Brazil	Sales Oliveira	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	Salespolis	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Salespolis	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Saete	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Saete	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2000	93.2%	94.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Salgado	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Salgado	2017	94.7%	95.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Salgado de So Flix	2000	94.1%	94.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Salgado de So Flix	2017	94.3%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Salgado Filho	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Salgado Filho	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Salgueiro	2000	93.2%	94.4%	91.8%
Brazil	Salgueiro	2017	93.4%	94.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Salido	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Salido	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Salinas	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Salinas	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Salinas da Margarida	2000	93.4%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Salinas da Margarida	2017	93.6%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Salinpolis	2000	79.4%	82.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Salinpolis	2017	79.8%	82.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Salitre	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.5%
Brazil	Salitre	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Salmouro	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Salmouro	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Saloá	2000	92.6%	93.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Saloá	2017	92.9%	93.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Saltinho	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Saltinho	2000	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Saltinho	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Saltinho	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Salto	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Salto	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Salto da Divisa	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Salto da Divisa	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Salto do Céu	2000	93.1%	94.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Salto do Céu	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Salto do Itararé	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Salto do Itararé	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Salto do Jacuí	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Salto do Jacuí	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Salto do Londera	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Salto do Londera	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Salto do Pirapora	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Salto do Pirapora	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Salto Grande	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Salto Grande	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Salto Veloso	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Salto Veloso	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Salvador	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Salvador	2017	94.1%	95.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Salvador das Missões	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Salvador das Missões	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Salvador do Sul	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Salvador do Sul	2017	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Salvaterra	2000	78.2%	80.5%	75.7%
Brazil	Salvaterra	2017	78.6%	80.9%	76.2%
Brazil	Sambaíba	2000	91.5%	93.6%	89.2%
Brazil	Sambaíba	2017	91.8%	93.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Sampaio	2000	89.5%	91.3%	87.6%
Brazil	Sampaio	2017	89.8%	91.6%	88.0%
Brazil	San Antonio do Itambe	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	San Antonio do Itambe	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	San Antonio do Rio Abai	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	San Antonio do Rio Abai	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Sananduva	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Sananduva	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Sanclerlândia	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Sanclerlândia	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Sandolândia	2000	93.7%	95.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Sandolândia	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sandovalina	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Sandovalina	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Sangão	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Sangão	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Sanharó	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Sanharó	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Adélia	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Santa Adélia	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Santa Albertina	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Santa Albertina	2017	97.1%	98.0%	95.9%
Brazil	Santa Amélia	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Santa Amélia	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara d'Oeste	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara de Goiás	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara de Goiás	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Leste	2000	96.0%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Leste	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Monte Verde	2000	96.3%	96.9%	95.5%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Monte Verde	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Pará	2000	77.9%	79.8%	75.9%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Pará	2017	78.3%	80.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Sul	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Sul	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Tugúrio	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Tugúrio	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Santa Branca	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Santa Branca	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Santa Brígida	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Santa Brígida	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Santa Carmem	2000	92.5%	94.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Santa Carmem	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2000	93.8%	94.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Santa Cecília do Pavão	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Cecília do Pavão	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Oeste	2000	96.8%	97.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Oeste	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.7%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Sul	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2000	96.9%	97.3%	96.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2017	96.9%	97.4%	96.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2000	94.5%	96.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Cabrália				
Brazil	Santa Cruz Cabrália	2017	94.7%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Baixa Verde	2000	93.2%	94.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Baixa Verde	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Conceição	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Conceição	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Esperança	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Esperança	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Vitória	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Vitória	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz das Palmeiras	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz das Palmeiras	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Goiás	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Goiás	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Minas	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Minas	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Monte Caste	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Monte Caste	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Salinas	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Salinas	2017	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Arari	2000	78.9%	82.4%	75.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Arari	2017	79.3%	82.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Capibaribe	2000	94.0%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Capibaribe	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Escalvado	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Escalvado	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Piaui	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Piaui	2017	92.1%	93.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Rio Pardo	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Rio Pardo	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Sul	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz dos Milagres	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz dos Milagres	2017	91.7%	93.3%	89.8%
Brazil	Santa Efigênia de Minas	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Santa Efigênia de Minas	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Ernestina	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Santa Ernestina	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Santa Fé	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Santa Fé	2017	96.8%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Goiás	2000	91.0%	92.8%	89.0%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Goiás	2017	91.3%	93.1%	89.4%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Minas	2000	96.1%	97.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Minas	2017	96.2%	97.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Araguaia	2000	90.7%	92.4%	88.8%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Araguaia	2017	91.0%	92.6%	89.2%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Sul	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Sul	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2000	91.7%	93.8%	89.2%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.6%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2017	92.0%	94.1%	89.5%
Brazil	Santa Filomena do Maranhão	2000	93.9%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Santa Filomena do Maranhão	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Santa Gertrudes	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Gertrudes	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	94.0%	94.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	87.2%	89.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	87.5%	89.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Goiás	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Goiás	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Minas	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Minas	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	89.3%	91.2%	87.4%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	93.2%	94.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	96.9%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	89.7%	91.4%	87.8%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Ivaí	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Ivaí	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Oeste	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Oeste	2017	96.2%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Pará	2000	78.5%	80.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Pará	2017	78.9%	80.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Rio Negro	2000	85.9%	90.4%	80.8%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Rio Negro	2017	86.6%	90.9%	81.6%
Brazil	Santa Juliana	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Juliana	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Leopoldina	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Santa Leopoldina	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Santa Lucia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Santa Lucia	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Santa Lúcia	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Lúcia	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Santa Luz	2000	91.6%	93.7%	89.2%
Brazil	Santa Luz	2017	91.9%	94.0%	89.5%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	89.4%	91.1%	87.4%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	94.6%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	89.7%	91.4%	87.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	94.8%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Santa Luzia d'Oeste	2000	84.6%	86.5%	82.5%
Brazil	Santa Luzia d'Oeste	2017	84.9%	86.8%	82.9%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Itanhy	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Itanhy	2017	94.0%	94.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Norte	2000	89.8%	90.7%	88.6%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Norte	2017	90.1%	91.0%	88.9%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Pará	2000	79.7%	82.3%	76.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Pará	2017	80.2%	82.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Paruá	2000	86.8%	88.8%	84.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Paruá	2017	87.1%	89.1%	84.6%
Brazil	Santa Margarida	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Margarida	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Boa Vista	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Boa Vista	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Serra	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Serra	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Vitória	2000	95.3%	97.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Vitória	2017	95.5%	97.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria das Barreiras	2000	86.6%	89.0%	83.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria das Barreiras	2017	86.9%	89.3%	84.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Itabira	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Itabira	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Jetibá	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Jetibá	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Cambucá	2000	94.1%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Cambucá	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Herval	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Maria do Herval	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Oeste	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Oeste	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Pará	2000	78.4%	80.8%	75.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Pará	2017	78.8%	81.2%	76.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Salto	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Salto	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Suaçuí	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Suaçuí	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Tocantins	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Tocantins	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria Madalena	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria Madalena	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Mariana	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Santa Mariana	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Mercedes	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Santa Mercedes	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Santa Mônica	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Santa Mônica	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria	2017	93.1%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria do Maranhão	2000	90.7%	92.3%	89.1%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria do Maranhão	2017	91.0%	92.6%	89.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2000	89.4%	91.2%	87.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2017	89.7%	91.4%	88.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Araguaia	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Araguaia	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Caldas	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Caldas	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Cássia	2000	93.8%	95.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Cássia	2017	94.0%	95.7%	91.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Rita de Jacutinga	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Jacutinga	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Minas	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Minas	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Ibitipoca	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Ibitipoca	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Novo destino	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Novo destino	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Oeste	2000	97.0%	98.0%	95.8%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Oeste	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Pardo	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Pardo	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Passa Quatro	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Passa Quatro	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Sapucaí	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Sapucaí	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Tocantins	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Tocantins	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita Itueto	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita Itueto	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Santa Rosa	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Rosa da Serra	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Santa Rosa da Serra	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Goiás	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Goiás	2017	95.0%	96.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	94.0%	94.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	94.2%	94.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	95.1%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Piauí	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.2%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Piauí	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Purus	2000	80.9%	84.0%	77.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Purus	2017	81.3%	84.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Sul	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Tocantins	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Tocantins	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Santa Salete	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
Brazil	Santa Salete	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Brazil	Santa Teresa	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Teresa	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2017	95.4%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Tereza	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Santa Tereza	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Santa Tereza de Goiás	2000	94.3%	95.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Santa Tereza de Goiás	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Oeste	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Oeste	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Tocantins	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Tocantins	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	90.2%	92.8%	87.2%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	90.6%	93.1%	87.5%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Goiás	2000	94.4%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Goiás	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Itaipu	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Itaipu	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Progresso	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Progresso	2017	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Tocantins	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Tocantins	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Santa Vitória	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Santa Vitória	2017	95.7%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Santa Vitória do Palmar	2000	95.7%	97.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Santa Vitória do Palmar	2017	95.9%	97.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Santaluz	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Santaluz	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Santana	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Santana	2000	85.5%	86.6%	84.4%
Brazil	Santana	2000	94.9%	96.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Santana	2017	85.9%	86.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Santana	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Santana	2017	95.1%	96.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Santana da Boa Vista	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Santana da Boa Vista	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Santana da Ponte Pensa	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Santana da Ponte Pensa	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Santana da Vargem	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Santana da Vargem	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Santana de Cataguases	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Santana de Cataguases	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Santana de Mangueira	2000	93.3%	94.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Santana de Mangueira	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Santana de Parnaíba	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Brazil	Santana de Parnaíba	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Santana de Pirapama	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Santana de Pirapama	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Santana do Acaraú	2000	92.3%	93.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Santana do Acaraú	2017	92.5%	93.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Santana do Araguaia	2000	88.5%	90.9%	85.5%
Brazil	Santana do Araguaia	2017	88.8%	91.2%	85.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santana do Cariri	2000	93.2%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Santana do Cariri	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Santana do deserto	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Santana do deserto	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Santana do Garambéu	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Santana do Garambéu	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Santana do Ipanema	2000	91.8%	92.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Santana do Ipanema	2017	92.0%	93.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Santana do Itararé	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Santana do Itararé	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Santana do Jacaré	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Santana do Jacaré	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Santana do Livramento	2000	96.2%	97.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Santana do Livramento	2017	96.3%	97.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Santana do Manhuaçu	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Santana do Manhuaçu	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Santana do Maranhão	2000	90.5%	92.2%	88.7%
Brazil	Santana do Maranhão	2017	90.8%	92.5%	89.0%
Brazil	Santana do Matos	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Santana do Matos	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Brazil	Santana do Mundaú	2000	91.3%	92.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Santana do Mundaú	2017	91.6%	92.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Santana do Paraíso	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Santana do Paraíso	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Santana do Piauí	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Santana do Piauí	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Santana do Riacho	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Santana do Riacho	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Santana do São Francisco	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Santana do São Francisco	2017	92.9%	93.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Santana dos Garrotes	2000	93.2%	94.3%	92.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santana dos Garrotes	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Santana dos Montes	2000	96.0%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Santana dos Montes	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Santanópolis	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Santanópolis	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	79.0%	82.2%	75.9%
Brazil	Santarém	2017	79.5%	82.6%	76.4%
Brazil	Santarém	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	79.7%	81.8%	76.5%
Brazil	Novo Santarém	2017	80.1%	82.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Novo Santiago	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Santiago	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Santiago do Sul	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Santiago do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Santo Afonso	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.4%
Brazil	Santo Afonso	2017	92.8%	94.4%	90.7%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	da Imperatriz				
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2000	93.2%	94.0%	92.4%
Brazil	das Brotas				
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2017	93.5%	94.2%	92.6%
Brazil	das Brotas				
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2000	89.4%	91.5%	87.0%
Brazil	do Maranhão				
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2017	89.7%	91.8%	87.4%
Brazil	do Maranhão				
Brazil	Santo Anastácio	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Santo Anastácio	2017	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Santo André	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Santo André	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Santo André	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Santo André	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Brazil	Santo Ângelo	2000	96.3%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Santo Ângelo	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2017	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Brazil	da Alegria				
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Brazil	da Alegria				
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2000	94.7%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	da Barra				
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	da Barra				
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	da Patrulha				
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	da Patrulha				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Antonio da Platina	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Santo Antonio da Platina	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio das Missões	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio das Missões	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Goiás	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Goiás	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Jesus	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Jesus	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Lisboa	2000	91.7%	93.3%	90.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Lisboa	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Pádua	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Pádua	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Posse	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Posse	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Amparo	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Amparo	2017	96.0%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aracanguá	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aracanguá	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aventureiro	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aventureiro	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.3%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Caiuá	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Caiuá	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Descoberto	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Descoberto	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Grama	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Grama	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Içá	2000	80.7%	84.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Içá	2017	81.3%	84.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Jacinto	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Jacinto	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Leverger	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Leverger	2017	93.0%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Monte	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Monte	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Palma	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Palma	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Paraíso	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Paraíso	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Pinhal	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Pinhal	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Planalto	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Planalto	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Retiro	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Retiro	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Tauá	2000	78.3%	80.4%	76.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Tauá	2017	78.8%	80.9%	76.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Lopes	2000	90.6%	92.0%	88.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Lopes	2017	90.9%	92.3%	89.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Milagres	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Milagres	2017	92.6%	94.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Santo Augusto	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Santo Augusto	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Santo Cristo	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Santo Cristo	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Santo Estêvão	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Santo Estêvão	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Santo Expedito	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Santo Expedito	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.8%
Brazil	Santo Expedito do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Santo Expedito do Sul	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Santo Hipólito	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Santo Hipólito	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Santo Inácio	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Santo Inácio	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Santo Inácio do Piauí	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Inácio do Piauí	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Santópolis do Aguapeí	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Santópolis do Aguapeí	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Santos	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Santos	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Santos Dumont	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Santos Dumont	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	São Antonio de Sudoeste	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	São Antonio de Sudoeste	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	São Benedito	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.4%
Brazil	São Benedito	2017	93.0%	94.1%	91.7%
Brazil	São Benedito do Rio Preto	2000	90.1%	91.8%	88.1%
Brazil	São Benedito do Rio Preto	2017	90.4%	92.1%	88.4%
Brazil	São Benedito do Sul	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.6%
Brazil	São Benedito do Sul	2017	92.9%	93.8%	91.9%
Brazil	São Bentinho	2000	94.3%	95.2%	93.3%
Brazil	São Bentinho	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.5%
Brazil	São Bento	2000	88.9%	90.6%	86.8%
Brazil	São Bento	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	São Bento	2017	89.2%	90.9%	87.1%
Brazil	São Bento	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	São Bento Abade	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	São Bento Abade	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	São Bento do Norte	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	São Bento do Norte	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	São Bento do Sapucaí	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.6%
Brazil	São Bento do Sapucaí	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	São Bento do Sul	2000	95.9%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	São Bento do Sul	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	São Bento do Tocantins	2000	92.0%	93.3%	90.2%
Brazil	São Bento do Tocantins	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.5%
Brazil	São Bento do Trairí	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Brazil	São Bento do Trairí	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	São Bento do Una	2000	93.8%	94.6%	93.0%
Brazil	São Bento do Una	2017	94.0%	94.8%	93.2%
Brazil	São Bernardino	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Bernardino	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	São Bernardo	2000	90.6%	92.2%	88.9%
Brazil	São Bernardo	2017	90.9%	92.5%	89.3%
Brazil	São Bernardo do Campo	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	São Bernardo do Campo	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	São Bonifácio	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	São Bonifácio	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	São Borja	2000	95.8%	97.3%	93.5%
Brazil	São Borja	2017	95.9%	97.4%	93.7%
Brazil	São Brás	2000	92.8%	93.7%	91.7%
Brazil	São Brás	2017	93.0%	93.9%	92.0%
Brazil	São Brás do Suaçuí	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	São Brás do Suaçuí	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	São Braz do Piauí	2000	93.0%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	São Braz do Piauí	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.3%
Brazil	São Caetano de Odivelas	2000	78.1%	80.6%	75.5%
Brazil	São Caetano de Odivelas	2017	78.5%	81.0%	75.9%
Brazil	São Caetano do Sul	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Brazil	São Caetano do Sul	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Brazil	São Caitano	2000	94.1%	94.8%	93.3%
Brazil	São Caitano	2017	94.3%	95.0%	93.5%
Brazil	São Carlos	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	São Carlos	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	São Carlos	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	São Carlos	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	São Carlos do Ivaí	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	São Carlos do Ivaí	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	São Cristóvão	2000	93.9%	94.5%	93.1%
Brazil	São Cristóvão	2017	94.1%	94.7%	93.3%
Brazil	São Cristóvão do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	São Cristóvão do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	São Desidério	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.8%
Brazil	São Desidério	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	94.6%	95.3%	93.9%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	95.4%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.1%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	São Domingos das Dores	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	São Domingos das Dores	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	São Domingos de Pombal	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Domingos de Pombal	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	São Domingos do Araguaia	2000	85.9%	87.9%	83.7%
Brazil	São Domingos do Araguaia	2017	86.3%	88.2%	84.1%
Brazil	São Domingos do Azeitão	2000	91.6%	93.5%	89.3%
Brazil	São Domingos do Azeitão	2017	92.3%	94.1%	90.2%
Brazil	São Domingos do Capim	2000	77.0%	79.5%	74.6%
Brazil	São Domingos do Capim	2017	77.5%	80.0%	75.1%
Brazil	São Domingos do Cariri	2000	94.1%	95.1%	93.0%
Brazil	São Domingos do Cariri	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.2%
Brazil	São Domingos do Maranhão	2000	91.6%	93.3%	89.6%
Brazil	São Domingos do Maranhão	2017	91.8%	93.5%	90.0%
Brazil	São Domingos do Norte	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	São Domingos do Norte	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	São Domingos do Prata	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	São Domingos do Prata	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	São Domingos do Sul	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	São Domingos do Sul	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	São Felipe	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	São Felipe	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	São Felipe d'Oeste	2000	85.0%	86.9%	82.5%
Brazil	São Felipe d'Oeste	2017	85.2%	87.1%	82.7%
Brazil	São Félix	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	São Félix	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	São Félix de Balsas	2000	91.4%	93.4%	89.0%
Brazil	São Félix de Balsas	2017	91.7%	93.6%	89.3%
Brazil	São Félix de Minas	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	São Félix de Minas	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	São Félix do Coribe	2000	95.3%	97.0%	93.2%
Brazil	São Félix do Coribe	2017	95.5%	97.1%	93.5%
Brazil	São Félix do Piauí	2000	91.3%	93.0%	89.6%
Brazil	São Félix do Piauí	2017	91.5%	93.2%	89.9%
Brazil	São Félix do Tocantins	2000	93.6%	95.5%	91.3%
Brazil	São Félix do Tocantins	2017	93.8%	95.7%	91.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Félix do Xingu	2000	79.1%	82.9%	75.4%
Brazil	São Félix do Xingu	2017	79.5%	83.3%	75.9%
Brazil	São Félix Xingu	2000	92.0%	94.2%	89.2%
Brazil	São Félix Xingu	2017	92.3%	94.4%	89.5%
Brazil	São Fernando	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	São Fernando	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.3%
Brazil	São Fidélis	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	São Fidélis	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	93.5%	94.3%	92.5%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	93.7%	94.5%	92.8%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.5%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.1%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis do Piauí	2000	92.8%	94.3%	90.5%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis do Piauí	2017	93.0%	94.5%	90.8%
Brazil	São Francisco de Goiás	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	São Francisco de Goiás	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	São Francisco de Itabapoana	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	São Francisco de Itabapoana	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	São Francisco de Oliveira	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	São Francisco de Oliveira	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	São Francisco de Paula	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	São Francisco de Paula	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	São Francisco de Sales	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	São Francisco de Sales	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Brejão	2000	90.8%	92.6%	89.0%
Brazil	São Francisco do Brejão	2017	91.1%	92.8%	89.4%
Brazil	São Francisco do Conde	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	São Francisco do Conde	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Glória	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	São Francisco do Glória	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Francisco do Guaporé	2000	84.6%	86.6%	82.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Guaporé	2017	84.9%	86.9%	82.5%
Brazil	São Francisco do Maranhão	2000	91.6%	93.1%	89.9%
Brazil	São Francisco do Maranhão	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.3%
Brazil	São Francisco do Oeste	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	São Francisco do Oeste	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Pará	2000	77.8%	80.3%	75.1%
Brazil	São Francisco do Pará	2017	78.3%	80.8%	75.7%
Brazil	São Francisco do Piauí	2000	91.7%	93.2%	90.0%
Brazil	São Francisco do Piauí	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.3%
Brazil	São Francisco do Sul	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	São Francisco do Sul	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2000	95.3%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	São Gabriel da Palha	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	São Gabriel da Palha	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	São Gabriel de Cahoeira	2000	82.6%	87.7%	76.9%
Brazil	São Gabriel de Cahoeira	2017	83.1%	88.0%	77.5%
Brazil	São Gabriel do Oeste	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	São Gabriel do Oeste	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	São Geraldo	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	São Geraldo	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	São Geraldo da Piedade	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	São Geraldo da Piedade	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Araguaia	2000	89.2%	91.1%	87.2%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Araguaia	2017	89.4%	91.3%	87.5%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Baixo	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Baixo	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	São Gonçalo	2000	95.5%	96.0%	94.8%
Brazil	São Gonçalo	2017	95.7%	96.2%	95.0%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Abaeté	2000	95.6%	97.1%	93.8%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Abaeté	2017	95.8%	97.2%	94.0%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2000	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2000	92.0%	93.0%	90.6%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.8%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2017	92.2%	93.3%	90.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Gurguéia	2000	93.1%	94.9%	90.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Gurguéia	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Pará	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Pará	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Piauí	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Piauí	2017	92.1%	93.6%	90.4%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Rio Abaixo	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Rio Abaixo	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Sapucaí	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Sapucaí	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo dos Campos	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	São Gonçalo dos Campos	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	São Gotardo	2000	95.8%	97.2%	93.9%
Brazil	São Gotardo	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.1%
Brazil	São Jerônimo	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	São Jerônimo	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	São Jerônimo da Serra	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	São Jerônimo da Serra	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	São João	2000	93.8%	94.4%	92.9%
Brazil	São João	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	São João	2017	94.0%	94.6%	93.2%
Brazil	São João	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	São João Batista	2000	88.9%	90.9%	86.9%
Brazil	São João Batista	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	São João Batista	2017	89.3%	91.2%	87.3%
Brazil	São João Batista	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	São João Batista do Glória	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	São João Batista do Glória	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	São João d'Aliança	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	São João d'Aliança	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
Brazil	São João da Baliza	2000	95.5%	96.6%	93.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João da Baliza	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	São João da Barra	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	São João da Barra	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	São João da Boa Vista	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	São João da Boa Vista	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Brazil	São João da Canabrava	2000	92.1%	93.7%	90.4%
Brazil	São João da Canabrava	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.6%
Brazil	São João da Fronteira	2000	92.3%	93.5%	90.8%
Brazil	São João da Fronteira	2017	92.6%	93.7%	91.2%
Brazil	São João da Lagoa	2000	95.8%	97.2%	93.9%
Brazil	São João da Lagoa	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.2%
Brazil	São João da Mata	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	São João da Mata	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	São João da Paraúna	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	São João da Paraúna	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	São João da Ponta	2000	77.9%	80.3%	75.4%
Brazil	São João da Ponta	2017	78.3%	80.7%	75.9%
Brazil	São João da Ponte	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	São João da Ponte	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	São João da Serra	2000	91.5%	93.0%	89.8%
Brazil	São João da Serra	2017	91.8%	93.2%	90.1%
Brazil	São João da Urtiga	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	São João da Urtiga	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	São João da Varjota	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.6%
Brazil	São João da Varjota	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	São João das Duas Ponte	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.7%
Brazil	São João das Duas Ponte	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Brazil	São João das Missões	2000	95.7%	97.2%	93.8%
Brazil	São João das Missões	2017	95.8%	97.3%	94.0%
Brazil	São João de Iracema	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.9%
Brazil	São João de Iracema	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João de Meriti	2000	95.5%	96.0%	95.0%
Brazil	São João de Meriti	2017	95.7%	96.1%	95.2%
Brazil	São João de Pirabas	2000	80.1%	82.6%	76.9%
Brazil	São João de Pirabas	2017	80.5%	82.9%	77.3%
Brazil	São João del Rei	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	São João del Rei	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	São João do Araguaia	2000	84.5%	86.4%	82.3%
Brazil	São João do Araguaia	2017	84.9%	86.7%	82.7%
Brazil	São João do Arraial	2000	90.6%	92.2%	89.1%
Brazil	São João do Arraial	2017	90.9%	92.4%	89.4%
Brazil	São João do Belm	2000	93.3%	94.3%	92.0%
Brazil	São João do Belm	2017	93.5%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	São João do Belmonte	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.1%
Brazil	São João do Belmonte	2017	92.9%	94.0%	91.4%
Brazil	São João do Caiuá	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	São João do Caiuá	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	São João do Cariri	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Brazil	São João do Cariri	2017	94.9%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	São João do Carú	2000	87.5%	89.8%	85.2%
Brazil	São João do Carú	2017	87.9%	90.1%	85.6%
Brazil	São João do Itaperiú	2000	94.3%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	São João do Itaperiú	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	São João do Ivaí	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	São João do Ivaí	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	São João do Jaguaribe	2000	93.9%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	São João do Jaguaribe	2017	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%
Brazil	São João do Manhauçu	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	São João do Manhauçu	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	São João do Mantenhina	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	São João do Mantenhina	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	São João do Oeste	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João do Oeste	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	São João do Oriente	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	São João do Oriente	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	São João do Pacuí	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.1%
Brazil	São João do Pacuí	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.3%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2000	92.8%	94.5%	91.2%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2017	93.1%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	São João do Pau d'Alho	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	São João do Pau d'Alho	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	São João do Polésine	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	São João do Polésine	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	São João do Rio do Peixe	2000	93.9%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	São João do Rio do Peixe	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	São João do Sabugi	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	São João do Sabugi	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	São João do Soter	2000	91.0%	92.6%	89.4%
Brazil	São João do Soter	2017	91.3%	92.9%	89.7%
Brazil	São João do Sul	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	São João do Sul	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	São João do Tigre	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.4%
Brazil	São João do Tigre	2017	93.9%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	São João do Triunfo	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	São João do Triunfo	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	São João dos Patos	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.1%
Brazil	São João dos Patos	2017	92.3%	93.8%	90.4%
Brazil	São João Evangelista	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	São João Evangelista	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	São João Nepomuceno	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	São João Nepomuceno	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João Piauí	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.4%
Brazil	São João Piauí	2017	92.8%	94.3%	90.7%
Brazil	São Joaquim	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	São Joaquim	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	São Joaquim da Barra	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	São Joaquim da Barra	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	São Joaquim de Bicas	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	São Joaquim de Bicas	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	São Joaquim do Monte	2000	93.8%	94.6%	92.8%
Brazil	São Joaquim do Monte	2017	94.0%	94.8%	93.1%
Brazil	São Jorge	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	São Jorge	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	São Jorge d'Oeste	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	São Jorge d'Oeste	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	São Jorge do Ivaí	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	São Jorge do Ivaí	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	São Jorge do Patrocínio	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	São Jorge do Patrocínio	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	São José	2000	93.7%	95.3%	91.8%
Brazil	São José	2017	93.9%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	São José da Barra	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	São José da Barra	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	São José da Bela Vista	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Brazil	São José da Bela Vista	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	São José da Boa Vista	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	São José da Boa Vista	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	São José da Coroa Grande	2000	92.2%	93.4%	90.7%
Brazil	São José da Coroa Grande	2017	92.0%	93.3%	90.5%
Brazil	São José da Lagoa Tapada	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Brazil	São José da Lagoa Tapada	2017	94.1%	95.0%	92.9%
Brazil	São José da Laje	2000	92.4%	93.4%	91.5%
Brazil	São José da Laje	2017	92.3%	93.2%	91.3%
Brazil	São José da Lapa	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	São José da Lapa	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José da Safira	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	São José da Safira	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	São José da Tapera	2000	91.9%	93.0%	90.8%
Brazil	São José da Tapera	2017	92.1%	93.2%	91.1%
Brazil	São José da Varginha	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	São José da Varginha	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	São José da Vitória	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.7%
Brazil	São José da Vitória	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.0%
Brazil	São José das Missões	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	São José das Missões	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	São José das Palmeiras	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	São José das Palmeiras	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	São José de Caiana	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	São José de Caiana	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.9%
Brazil	São José de Espinharas	2000	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%
Brazil	São José de Espinharas	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.3%
Brazil	São José de Mipibu	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Brazil	São José de Mipibu	2017	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	São José de Piranhas	2000	93.7%	94.8%	92.5%
Brazil	São José de Piranhas	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.7%
Brazil	São José de Princesa	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.3%
Brazil	São José de Princesa	2017	93.7%	94.7%	92.5%
Brazil	São José de Ribamar	2000	90.0%	91.3%	88.4%
Brazil	São José de Ribamar	2017	90.3%	91.6%	88.8%
Brazil	São José de Ubá	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.1%
Brazil	São José de Ubá	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	São José do Alegre	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	São José do Alegre	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Brazil	São José do Barreiro	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	São José do Barreiro	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	São José do Belmonte	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José do Belmonte	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	São José do Bonfim	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	São José do Bonfim	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	São José do Calçado	2000	95.9%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	São José do Calçado	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	São José do Campestre	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.2%
Brazil	São José do Campestre	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Brazil	São José do Cedro	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	São José do Cedro	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	São José do Cerrito	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	São José do Cerrito	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2000	90.6%	91.9%	89.0%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2017	90.9%	92.2%	89.3%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	São José do Goiabal	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	São José do Goiabal	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	São José do Herval	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	São José do Herval	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	São José do Hortêncio	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	São José do Hortêncio	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	São José do Inhacorá	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	São José do Inhacorá	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	São José do Jacuípe	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	São José do Jacuípe	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	São José do Jacuri	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	São José do Jacuri	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	São José do Mantimento	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	São José do Mantimento	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	São José do Ouro	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	São José do Ouro	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José do Peixe	2000	91.8%	93.3%	89.9%
Brazil	São José do Peixe	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.2%
Brazil	São José do Piauí	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.6%
Brazil	São José do Piauí	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.8%
Brazil	São José do Povo	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.7%
Brazil	São José do Povo	2017	92.8%	94.4%	91.0%
Brazil	São José do Rio Claro	2000	92.7%	94.5%	90.2%
Brazil	São José do Rio Claro	2017	93.0%	94.7%	90.5%
Brazil	São José do Rio Pardo	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	São José do Rio Pardo	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	São José do Rio Preto	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	São José do Rio Preto	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	São José do Sabugi	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	São José do Sabugi	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	São José do Seridó	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	São José do Seridó	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	São José do Vale do Rio Preto	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.3%
Brazil	São José do Vale do Rio Preto	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	São José do Xingu	2000	89.7%	92.7%	86.1%
Brazil	São José do Xingu	2017	89.9%	92.9%	86.5%
Brazil	São José dos Ausentes	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	São José dos Ausentes	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	São José dos Basílios	2000	90.9%	92.4%	89.2%
Brazil	São José dos Basílios	2017	91.2%	92.7%	89.5%
Brazil	São José dos Campos	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	São José dos Campos	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	São José dos Cordeiros	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.5%
Brazil	São José dos Cordeiros	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.7%
Brazil	São José dos Pinhais	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	São José dos Pinhais	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José dos Quatro Marcos	2000	93.2%	95.0%	91.0%
Brazil	São José dos Quatro Marcos	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	São José dos Ramos	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	São José dos Ramos	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	São Juliao	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	São Juliao	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	São Leopoldo	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	São Leopoldo	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	São Lourenço	2000	96.9%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	São Lourenço	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Mata	2000	93.1%	93.8%	92.2%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Mata	2017	93.4%	94.1%	92.6%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Serra	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Serra	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Oeste	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Oeste	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Piauí	2000	93.3%	94.9%	91.4%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Piauí	2017	93.6%	95.0%	91.7%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Sul	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Sul	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	São Ludgero	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	São Ludgero	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	São Luis	2000	89.9%	91.3%	88.2%
Brazil	São Luis	2017	90.2%	91.6%	88.5%
Brazil	São Luís de Montes Belos	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	São Luís de Montes Belos	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	São Luis do Piauí	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.6%
Brazil	São Luis do Piauí	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.9%
Brazil	São Luís do Quitunde	2000	91.6%	92.6%	90.6%
Brazil	São Luís do Quitunde	2017	91.9%	92.8%	90.9%
Brazil	São Luis Gonzaga do Maranhao	2000	90.1%	91.9%	88.4%
Brazil	São Luis Gonzaga do Maranhao	2017	90.4%	92.2%	88.7%
Brazil	São Luiz	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	São Luiz	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	São Luiz do Curu	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Luiz do Curu	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.6%
Brazil	São Luiz do Norte	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	São Luiz do Norte	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	São Luiz do Paraitinga	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	São Luiz do Paraitinga	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	São Luiz Gonzaga	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	São Luiz Gonzaga	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	São Mamede	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	São Mamede	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	São Manoel do Paraná	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	São Manoel do Paraná	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	São Manuel	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Brazil	São Manuel	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.5%
Brazil	São Marcos	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	São Marcos	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	São Martinho	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	São Martinho	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	São Martinho	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	São Martinho	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	São Martinho da Serra	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	São Martinho da Serra	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	São Mateus	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	São Mateus	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	São Mateus do Maranhão	2000	90.0%	91.5%	88.2%
Brazil	São Mateus do Maranhão	2017	90.3%	91.8%	88.5%
Brazil	São Mateus do Sul	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	São Mateus do Sul	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	São Miguel	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	São Miguel	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	São Miguel Arcanjo	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
Brazil	São Miguel Arcanjo	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Brazil	São Miguel da Baixa Grande	2000	91.3%	92.9%	89.8%
Brazil	São Miguel da Baixa Grande	2017	91.6%	93.2%	90.1%
Brazil	São Miguel da Boa Vista	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	São Miguel da Boa Vista	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	São Miguel das Matas	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	São Miguel das Matas	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	São Miguel das Misses	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Miguel das Misses	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	São Miguel de Touros	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Brazil	São Miguel de Touros	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Brazil	São Miguel do Aleixo	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Aleixo	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	São Miguel do Anta	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	São Miguel do Anta	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Araguaia	2000	93.7%	95.5%	91.4%
Brazil	São Miguel do Araguaia	2017	93.9%	95.7%	91.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Fidalgo	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	São Miguel do Fidalgo	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.5%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guamá	2000	77.2%	80.0%	74.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guamá	2017	77.7%	80.4%	75.1%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guaporé	2000	84.7%	86.4%	82.8%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guaporé	2017	85.0%	86.7%	83.2%
Brazil	São Miguel do Iguaçu	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	São Miguel do Iguaçu	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	São Miguel do Oeste	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	São Miguel do Oeste	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	São Miguel do Passa Quatro	2000	95.1%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	São Miguel do Passa Quatro	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	São Miguel do Tocantins	2000	90.9%	92.6%	89.1%
Brazil	São Miguel do Tocantins	2017	91.2%	92.8%	89.5%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Campos	2000	92.9%	93.8%	92.1%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Campos	2017	93.1%	94.0%	92.3%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Milagres	2000	91.5%	92.8%	90.2%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Milagres	2017	91.8%	93.0%	90.5%
Brazil	São Miguel Taípu	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	São Miguel Taípu	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	São Miguel Tapuio	2000	92.5%	93.8%	91.0%
Brazil	São Miguel Tapuio	2017	92.8%	94.0%	91.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Nicolau	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.6%
Brazil	São Nicolau	2017	95.7%	97.0%	93.8%
Brazil	São Patrício	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	São Patrício	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	São Paulo	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	São Paulo	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Brazil	São Paulo das Missões	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	São Paulo das Missões	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	São Paulo de Olivença	2000	83.1%	86.3%	79.7%
Brazil	São Paulo de Olivença	2017	83.6%	86.7%	80.2%
Brazil	São Paulo do Potengi	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	São Paulo do Potengi	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Brazil	São Pedro	2000	97.4%	97.8%	96.8%
Brazil	São Pedro	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	São Pedro	2017	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	São Pedro	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	São Pedro da Água Branca	2000	85.2%	87.5%	82.5%
Brazil	São Pedro da Água Branca	2017	85.6%	87.9%	82.9%
Brazil	São Pedro da Aldeia	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	São Pedro da Aldeia	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	São Pedro da Cipa	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	São Pedro da Cipa	2017	92.7%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	São Pedro da Serra	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Brazil	São Pedro da Serra	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	São Pedro da União	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	São Pedro da União	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	São Pedro de Alcântara	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	São Pedro de Alcântara	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	São Pedro do Butiá	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	São Pedro do Butiá	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	São Pedro do Iguaçu	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	São Pedro do Iguaçu	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	São Pedro do Ivaí	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	São Pedro do Ivaí	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	São Pedro do Paraná	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	São Pedro do Paraná	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Pedro do Piauí	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.9%
Brazil	São Pedro do Piauí	2017	92.6%	94.1%	91.2%
Brazil	São Pedro do Suaçuí	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	São Pedro do Suaçuí	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	São Pedro do Sul	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	São Pedro do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	São Pedro do Turvo	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	São Pedro do Turvo	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Crentes	2000	92.6%	94.5%	90.1%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Crentes	2017	92.9%	94.7%	90.5%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Ferros	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Ferros	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	São Rafael	2000	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	São Rafael	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	São Raimundo das Mangabeiras	2000	91.5%	93.5%	89.3%
Brazil	São Raimundo das Mangabeiras	2017	91.7%	93.7%	89.6%
Brazil	São Raimundo do Doca Bezerra	2000	90.1%	92.0%	87.9%
Brazil	São Raimundo do Doca Bezerra	2017	90.4%	92.3%	88.3%
Brazil	São Raimundo Nonato	2000	93.5%	95.0%	91.8%
Brazil	São Raimundo Nonato	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.0%
Brazil	São Roberto	2000	89.9%	91.9%	87.7%
Brazil	São Roberto	2017	90.2%	92.1%	88.1%
Brazil	São Romão	2000	96.1%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	São Romão	2017	96.3%	97.6%	94.8%
Brazil	São Roque	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	São Roque	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	São Roque de Minas	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	São Roque de Minas	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	São Roque do Canaã	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Brazil	São Roque do Canaã	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	São Salvador do Tocantins	2000	94.4%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	São Salvador do Tocantins	2017	94.6%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2000	91.4%	92.5%	90.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Sebastião	2017	91.6%	92.7%	90.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Amoreira	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Bela Vista	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Bela Vista	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Boa Vista	2000	78.8%	81.3%	76.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Boa Vista	2017	79.2%	81.8%	76.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Grama	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Grama	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião de Lagoa de Roça	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião de Lagoa de Roça	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Alto	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Alto	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Anta	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Anta	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Caí	2000	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Caí	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Maranhão	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Maranhão	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Oeste	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Oeste	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Paraíso	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Paraíso	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Passé	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Passé	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Preto	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Preto	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Verde	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Verde	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Tocantins	2000	87.8%	89.7%	85.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Sebastião do Tocantins	2017	88.1%	90.0%	86.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Uatumã	2000	83.6%	86.8%	80.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Uatumã	2017	84.1%	87.2%	81.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Umbuzeiro	2000	93.2%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Umbuzeiro	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Vargem Alegre	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Vargem Alegre	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	São Sepé	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	São Sepé	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	São Simão	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	São Simão	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Brazil	São Simão	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	São Simão	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	São Thomé das Letras	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	São Thomé das Letras	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	São Tiago	2000	96.0%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	São Tiago	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	São Tomás de Aquino	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	São Tomás de Aquino	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	São Tomé	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	São Tomé	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.8%
Brazil	São Tomé	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	São Tomé	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	São Valentim	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	São Valentim	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	São Valentim do Sul	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	São Valentim do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	São Valério da Natividade	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	São Valério da Natividade	2017	94.5%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	São Valério do Sul	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	São Valério do Sul	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	São Vendelino	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	São Vendelino	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	São Vicente	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Brazil	São Vicente	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	São Vicente	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Brazil	São Vicente	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	São Vicente de Minas	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	São Vicente de Minas	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2000	94.6%	95.4%	93.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2000	88.8%	90.7%	86.8%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2017	89.2%	91.0%	87.1%
Brazil	Sapé	2000	94.8%	95.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Sapé	2017	95.0%	95.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Sapeaçu	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Sapeaçu	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Sapezal	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Sapezal	2000	91.5%	93.7%	89.0%
Brazil	Sapezal	2017	91.8%	93.9%	89.3%
Brazil	Sapezal	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Sapiranga	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Sapiranga	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Sapopema	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Sapopema	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Sapucaí-Mirim	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	Sapucaí-Mirim	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2000	85.2%	87.6%	82.0%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2017	85.5%	88.0%	82.4%
Brazil	Sapucaia do Sul	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Sapucaia do Sul	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Saquarema	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Saquarema	2017	95.8%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Sarandi	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Sarandi	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Sarandi	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Sarandi	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Sarapuí	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Sarapuí	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Sardoá	2000	96.2%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Sardoá	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Sarutaiá	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Sarutaiá	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Sarzedo	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Sarzedo	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Sátiro Dias	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Sátiro Dias	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Satuba	2000	90.2%	91.1%	89.0%
Brazil	Satuba	2017	90.5%	91.4%	89.3%
Brazil	Satubinha	2000	89.5%	91.4%	87.6%
Brazil	Satubinha	2017	89.9%	91.7%	88.0%
Brazil	Saubara	2000	93.4%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Saubara	2017	93.6%	94.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Saudade do Iguaçu	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Saudade do Iguaçu	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Saudades	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Saudades	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Saúde	2000	94.7%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Saúde	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Schroeder	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Schroeder	2017	94.4%	95.5%	93.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Seabra	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Seabra	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Seara	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Seara	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Sebastianópolis do Sul	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Brazil	Sebastianópolis do Sul	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Sebastião Barros	2000	93.3%	95.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Sebastião Barros	2017	93.5%	95.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Sebastião Laranjeiras	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Sebastião Laranjeiras	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Sebastião Leal	2000	92.2%	94.0%	90.0%
Brazil	Sebastião Leal	2017	92.4%	94.2%	90.3%
Brazil	Seberi	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Seberi	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Sede Nova	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Sede Nova	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Segredo	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Segredo	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Selbach	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Selbach	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Selvíria	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Selvíria	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Sem-Peixe	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Sem-Peixe	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Sena	2000	82.1%	84.2%	79.7%
Brazil	Madureira Sena	2017	82.5%	84.6%	80.1%
Brazil	Madureira Senador Alexandre Costa	2000	91.8%	93.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Madureira Senador Alexandre Costa	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Senador Amaral	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Senador Amaral	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Brazil	Senador Canedo	2000	94.9%	95.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Senador Canedo	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Senador Cortes	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Senador Cortes	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Senador Elói de Souza	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Brazil	Senador Elói de Souza	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Brazil	Senador Firmino	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Senador Firmino	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Senador Georgino Avelino	2000	96.7%	97.2%	96.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Senador Georgino Avelino	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
Brazil	Senador Guiomard	2000	81.1%	82.4%	79.5%
Brazil	Senador Guiomard	2017	81.5%	82.7%	79.9%
Brazil	Senador José Bento	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Brazil	Senador José Bento	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Brazil	Senador José Porfírio	2000	79.4%	82.9%	75.6%
Brazil	Senador José Porfírio	2017	79.6%	83.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Senador La Rocque	2000	90.9%	92.5%	89.1%
Brazil	Senador La Rocque	2017	91.2%	92.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Senador Modestino Gonçalves	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Senador Modestino Gonçalves	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Senador Pompeu	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Senador Pompeu	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Senador Rui Palmeira	2000	92.1%	93.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Senador Rui Palmeira	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.2%
Brazil	Senador Sá	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Senador Sá	2017	92.8%	94.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Senador Salgado Filho	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Senador Salgado Filho	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Senhor Sengés	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Senhor Sengés	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Senhor do Bonfim	2000	94.7%	96.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Senhor do Bonfim	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Senhora de Oliveira	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Senhora de Oliveira	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Senhora do Porto	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Senhora do Porto	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Senhora dos Remédios	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Senhora dos Remédios	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Sentinela do Sul	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Sentinela do Sul	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Sento Sé	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sento Sé	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Serafina Cor-rêa	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Serafina Cor-rêa	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Sericita	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Sericita	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Seridó	2000	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Seridó	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Seringueiras	2000	85.0%	87.2%	82.1%
Brazil	Seringueiras	2017	85.3%	87.5%	82.4%
Brazil	Sério	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Sério	2017	96.8%	97.6%	96.0%
Brazil	Seritinga	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Seritinga	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Seropédica	2000	95.3%	95.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Seropédica	2017	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Serra	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Serra	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Serra Alta	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Serra Alta	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Serra Azul	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Brazil	Serra Azul	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.6%
Brazil	Serra Azul de Minas	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Serra Azul de Minas	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Serra Branca	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Serra Branca	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Serra da Raiz	2000	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Serra da Raiz	2017	95.7%	96.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Serra da Saudad	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Serra da Saudad	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Serra de São Bento	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.3%
Brazil	Serra de São Bento	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Serra do Mel	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.1%
Brazil	Serra do Mel	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	Serra do Navio	2000	86.5%	88.6%	84.3%
Brazil	Serra do Navio	2017	86.9%	88.9%	84.7%
Brazil	Serra do Ramalho	2000	94.8%	96.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Serra do Ramalho	2017	95.0%	96.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Serra do Salitre	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Serra do Salitre	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Serra dos Aimorés	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Serra dos Aimorés	2017	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Serra dourada	2000	94.9%	96.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Serra dourada	2017	95.1%	96.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Serra Grande	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Serra Grande	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Serra Negra	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Serra Negra	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Serra Negra do Norte	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Serra Negra do Norte	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.7%
Brazil	Serra Preta	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Serra Preta	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Serra Redonda	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Serra Redonda	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Serra Talhada	2000	93.0%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Serra Talhada	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Serrana	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Brazil	Serrana	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Serrania	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Serrania	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Serrano do Maranhão	2000	88.6%	90.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Serrano do Maranhão	2017	88.9%	91.2%	86.4%
Brazil	Serranópolis	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Serranópolis	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Serranópolis de Minas	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Serranópolis de Minas	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Serranópolis do Iguaçu	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Serranópolis do Iguaçu	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Serranos	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Serranos	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Serraria	2000	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Serraria	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Serrinha	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Brazil	Serrinha	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Serrinha	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Brazil	Serrinha	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Serrinha dos Pintos	2000	95.7%	96.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Serrinha dos Pintos	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Serrita	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Serrita	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Serro	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Serro	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Serrolândia	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Serrolândia	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Sertaneja	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Sertaneja	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.5%
Brazil	Sertânia	2000	93.1%	94.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Sertânia	2017	93.3%	94.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Sertanópolis	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	Sertanópolis	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Sertão	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Sertão	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Sertão Santana	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Sertão Santana	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2000	95.4%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2017	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Sete Barras	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Sete Barras	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.4%
Brazil	Sete de Setembro	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Sete de Setembro	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Sete Lagoas	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Sete Lagoas	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Sete Quedas	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Sete Quedas	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Setubinha	2000	96.4%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Setubinha	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Severiano de Almeida	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Severiano de Almeida	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Severiano Melo	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Severiano Melo	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Severínia	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Brazil	Severínia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Siderópolis	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Siderópolis	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Sidrolândia	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Sidrolândia	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Sigefredo Pacheco	2000	91.6%	92.9%	89.9%
Brazil	Sigefredo Pacheco	2017	91.9%	93.1%	90.2%
Brazil	Silva Jardim	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Silva Jardim	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Silvânia	2000	95.6%	96.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Silvânia	2017	95.8%	96.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Silvanópolis	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Silvanópolis	2017	94.5%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Silveira Martins	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Silveira Martins	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Silveirânia	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Silveirânia	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Silveiras	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Brazil	Silveiras	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Brazil	Silves	2000	82.3%	85.1%	79.4%
Brazil	Silves	2017	82.8%	85.5%	80.0%
Brazil	Silvianópolis	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Silvianópolis	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Simão Dias	2000	94.8%	95.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Simão Dias	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Simão Pereira	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Simão Pereira	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Simões	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Simões	2017	93.5%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Simões Filho	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Simões Filho	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Simolândia	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Simolândia	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Simonésia	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Simonésia	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Simplício Mendes	2000	92.5%	94.1%	90.6%
Brazil	Simplício Mendes	2017	92.7%	94.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Sinimbu	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Sinimbu	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Sinop	2000	93.0%	94.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Sinop	2017	93.3%	94.9%	91.2%
Brazil	Siqueira Campos	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Siqueira Campos	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Sirinhaém	2000	91.2%	92.4%	89.8%
Brazil	Sirinhaém	2017	91.5%	92.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Siriri	2000	93.5%	94.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Siriri	2017	93.8%	94.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Sítio d'Abadia	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Sítio d'Abadia	2017	96.4%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Sítio do Mato	2000	94.8%	96.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Sítio do Mato	2017	95.0%	96.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Sítio do Quinto	2000	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Sítio do Quinto	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Sítio dos Moreiras	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Sítio dos Moreiras	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2000	91.7%	93.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2017	92.0%	93.9%	90.3%
Brazil	Sítio Novo do Tocantins	2000	91.4%	92.8%	89.7%
Brazil	Sítio Novo do Tocantins	2017	91.7%	93.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Sobrado	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Sobrado	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Sobral	2000	92.8%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Sobral	2017	93.1%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Sobralia	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Sobralia	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Socorro	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Socorro	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Socorro do Piauí	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Socorro do Piauí	2017	92.5%	93.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Solânea	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Solânea	2017	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Soledade	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Soledade	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Soledade	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Soledade	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Soledade de Minas	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Soledade de Minas	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Solonópole	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Solonópole	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Sombrio	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Sombrio	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Sonora	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Sonora	2017	94.8%	96.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Sooretama	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Sooretama	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Sorocaba	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Sorocaba	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Sossêgo	2000	96.3%	96.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Sossêgo	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Soure	2000	78.0%	80.6%	75.4%
Brazil	Soure	2017	78.5%	81.0%	75.8%
Brazil	Sousa	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Sousa	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Souto Soares	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Souto Soares	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Sucupira	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Sucupira	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Sucupira do Norte	2000	91.6%	93.4%	89.4%
Brazil	Sucupira do Norte	2017	91.9%	93.6%	89.7%
Brazil	Sucupira do Riachão	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.2%
Brazil	Sucupira do Riachão	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.5%
Brazil	Sud Mennucci	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
Brazil	Sud Mennucci	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.3%
Brazil	Sul Brazil	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Sul Brazil	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Sulina	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Sulina	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Sumaré	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Sumaré	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Sumé	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Sumé	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Sumidouro	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Sumidouro	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Surubim	2000	94.0%	95.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Surubim	2017	94.2%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Sussuapara	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Sussuapara	2017	92.4%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Suzanópolis	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Suzanópolis	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Brazil	Suzano	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Suzano	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Tabaí	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Tabaí	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Tabaporã	2000	92.0%	94.0%	89.5%
Brazil	Tabaporã	2017	92.3%	94.3%	89.9%
Brazil	Tabapuã	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Tabapuã	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2000	82.8%	85.9%	79.5%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2017	83.3%	86.3%	80.0%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Tabira	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Tabira	2017	93.8%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Taboão da Serra	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Taboão da Serra	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Tabocas do Brejo Velho	2000	95.0%	96.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Tabocas do Brejo Velho	2017	95.2%	96.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Taboleiro Grande	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Taboleiro Grande	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Tabuleiro	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Tabuleiro	2017	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Tabuleiro do Norte	2000	94.4%	95.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Tabuleiro do Norte	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Tacaimbó	2000	93.8%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Tacaimbó	2017	94.0%	94.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Tacaratu	2000	92.5%	93.7%	91.1%
Brazil	Tacaratu	2017	92.8%	94.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Taciba	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.4%
Brazil	Taciba	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Tacima	2000	96.2%	96.8%	95.5%
Brazil	Tacima	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Tacuru	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Tacuru	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Taguaí	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.1%
Brazil	Taguaí	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Taguatinga	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Taguatinga	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Taiapu	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Taiapu	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Tailândia	2000	78.8%	81.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Tailândia	2017	79.3%	82.3%	76.3%
Brazil	Taió	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Taió	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Taiobeiras	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Taiobeiras	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Taipas do Tocantins	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Taipas do Tocantins	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Taipu	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Brazil	Taipu	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Brazil	Taiúva	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Taiúva	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Talismã	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Talismã	2017	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Tamandaré	2000	92.4%	93.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Tamandaré	2017	92.7%	93.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Tamarana	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Brazil	Tamarana	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Tambaú	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Brazil	Tambaú	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Brazil	Tambe	2000	94.9%	95.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Tambe	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Tamboara	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Tamboara	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Tamboril	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Tamboril	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Tamboril do Piauí	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Tamboril do Piauí	2017	92.4%	94.0%	90.5%
Brazil	Tanabi	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Tanabi	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Tangará	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Tangará	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Tangará	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Tangará	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Tangará da Serra	2000	93.1%	94.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Tangará da Serra	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Tanguá	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Tanguá	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Tanhaçu	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Tanhaçu	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Tanque d'Arca	2000	91.3%	92.2%	90.3%
Brazil	Tanque d'Arca	2017	91.6%	92.4%	90.6%
Brazil	Tanque do Piauí	2000	92.3%	93.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Tanque do Piauí	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Tanque Novo	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Tanque Novo	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Tanquinho	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Tanquinho	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Taparuba	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Taparuba	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Tapauá	2000	82.6%	86.9%	77.4%
Brazil	Tapauá	2017	83.0%	87.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Tapejara	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Tapejara	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Tapejara	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Tapejara	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Tapera	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Tapera	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Taperoá	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Taperoá	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Taperoá	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Taperoá	2017	92.9%	94.4%	90.8%
Brazil	Tapes	2000	96.3%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Tapes	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Tapira	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.6%
Brazil	Tapira	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Tapira	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Tapira	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Tapiramutá	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Tapiramutá	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Tapiratiba	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Tapiratiba	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Tapurah	2000	92.5%	94.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Tapurah	2017	92.8%	94.5%	90.8%
Brazil	Taquara	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Taquara	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Taquaraçu de Minas	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Taquaraçu de Minas	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Taquaral	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Taquaral	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Taquaral de Goiás	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Taquaral de Goiás	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Taquarana	2000	91.4%	92.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Taquarana	2017	91.7%	92.5%	90.7%
Brazil	Taquari	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Taquari	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Taquaritinga	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Taquaritinga	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Taquaritinga do Norte	2000	94.2%	95.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Taquaritinga do Norte	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Taquarituba	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Taquarituba	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Taquarivaí	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Taquarivaí	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Taquaruçu do Sul	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Taquaruçu do Sul	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Taquarussu	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Taquarussu	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Tarabai	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Tarabai	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Tarauacá	2000	82.0%	84.2%	79.3%
Brazil	Tarauacá	2017	82.4%	84.6%	79.7%
Brazil	Tarrafas	2000	93.2%	94.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Tarrafas	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Tartarugalzinho	2000	86.8%	88.5%	84.8%
Brazil	Tartarugalzinho	2017	87.1%	88.9%	85.2%
Brazil	Tarumã	2000	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
Brazil	Tarumã	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Tarumirim	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Tarumirim	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Tasso Fragoso	2000	92.1%	94.2%	89.6%
Brazil	Tasso Fragoso	2017	92.3%	94.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Tatuí	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Brazil	Tatuí	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Brazil	Tauá	2000	93.5%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Tauá	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Taubaté	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Taubaté	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Tavares	2000	93.4%	94.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Tavares	2000	95.9%	97.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Tavares	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Tavares	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Tefé	2000	84.0%	87.3%	80.3%
Brazil	Tefé	2017	84.5%	87.7%	80.9%
Brazil	Teixeira	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Teixeira	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Teixeira de Freitas	2000	95.3%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Teixeira de Freitas	2017	95.5%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Teixeiras	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Teixeiras	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Teixeirópolis	2000	84.1%	86.2%	82.3%
Brazil	Teixeirópolis	2017	84.4%	86.4%	82.6%
Brazil	Tejuçuoca	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Tejuçuoca	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Tejupa	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.3%
Brazil	Tejupa	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Telêmaco Borba	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Telêmaco Borba	2017	96.9%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Telha	2000	92.9%	93.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Telha	2017	93.1%	94.0%	92.1%
Brazil	Tenente Ananias	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Tenente Ananias	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Tenente Laurentino Cruz	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Tenente Laurentino Cruz	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.8%
Brazil	Tenente Portela	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Tenente Portela	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Tenório	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Tenório	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2000	94.3%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2000	96.6%	97.7%	95.5%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Teofilândia	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Teofilândia	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Teófilo Otoni	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Teófilo Otoni	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Teolândia	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Teolândia	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Teotônio Vilela	2000	91.2%	92.4%	89.9%
Brazil	Teotônio Vilela	2017	91.5%	92.6%	90.2%
Brazil	Terenos	2000	95.1%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Terenos	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Teresina	2000	92.0%	93.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Teresina	2017	92.3%	93.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Teresina de Goiás	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Teresina de Goiás	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Teresópolis	2000	96.7%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Teresópolis	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
Brazil	Terezinha	2000	92.1%	93.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Terezinha	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Terezópolis de Goiás	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Terezópolis de Goiás	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Terra Alta	2000	77.9%	80.4%	75.2%
Brazil	Terra Alta	2017	78.3%	80.8%	75.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Terra Boa	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Terra Boa	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Terra de Areia	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Terra de Areia	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2017	93.4%	94.7%	91.7%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Terra Nova do Norte	2000	91.3%	93.3%	88.4%
Brazil	Terra Nova do Norte	2017	91.6%	93.5%	88.7%
Brazil	Terra Rica	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Terra Rica	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Terra Santa	2000	79.3%	83.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Terra Santa	2017	79.8%	83.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Tesouro	2000	92.8%	94.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Tesouro	2017	93.1%	94.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Teutônia	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Teutônia	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Texeira Soares	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Texeira Soares	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Theobroma	2000	84.2%	86.0%	82.1%
Brazil	Theobroma	2017	84.5%	86.2%	82.5%
Brazil	Tianguá	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Tianguá	2017	94.0%	94.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Tibaji	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Tibaji	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Tibau	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Tibau	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Tibau do Sul	2000	96.5%	97.1%	95.8%
Brazil	Tibau do Sul	2017	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Tietê	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Tietê	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Brazil	Tigrinhos	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Tigrinhos	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Tijucas	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Tijucas	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Tijucas do Sul	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Tijucas do Sul	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Timbaúba	2000	94.6%	95.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Timbaúba	2017	94.8%	95.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Timbaúba dos Batistas	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Timbaúba dos Batistas	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Timbé do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Timbé do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Timbiras	2000	91.0%	92.3%	89.5%
Brazil	Timbiras	2017	91.3%	92.6%	89.8%
Brazil	Timbó	2000	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Timbó	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Timbó Grande	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Timbó Grande	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Timburi	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Timburi	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Timon	2000	91.9%	93.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Timon	2017	92.2%	93.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Timóteo	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Timóteo	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Tiradentes	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Tiradentes	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Tiradentes do Sul	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Tiradentes do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Tiros	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Tiros	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Tobias Barreto	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Tobias Barreto	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Tocantínia	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Tocantínia	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Tocantinópolis	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Tocantinópolis	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Tocantins	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	Tocantins	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Tocos do Moji	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Tocos do Moji	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Brazil	Toledo	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Toledo	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Toledo	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.3%
Brazil	Toledo	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Tomar do Geru	2000	94.8%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Tomar do Geru	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Tomazina	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.1%
Brazil	Tomazina	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Tombos	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Tombos	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Tomé-Açu	2000	76.7%	79.5%	73.5%
Brazil	Tomé-Açu	2017	77.2%	79.9%	74.0%
Brazil	Tonantins	2000	80.2%	84.2%	75.5%
Brazil	Tonantins	2017	80.7%	84.7%	76.1%
Brazil	Toritama	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Toritama	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Torixoréu	2000	93.4%	95.1%	91.3%
Brazil	Torixoréu	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Toropi	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Toropi	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Torre de Pedra	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Torre de Pedra	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Torres	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Torres	2017	95.3%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Torrinha	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Torrinha	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Touros	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Brazil	Touros	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Brazil	Trabiju	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Trabiju	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.2%
Brazil	Tracuateua	2000	80.1%	82.6%	77.0%
Brazil	Tracuateua	2017	80.6%	83.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Tracunhaém	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Tracunhaém	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Traipu	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Traipu	2017	92.9%	93.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Trairão	2000	79.7%	84.2%	75.0%
Brazil	Trairão	2017	80.2%	84.6%	75.5%
Brazil	Trairi	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Trairi	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.6%
Brazil	Trajano de Morais	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Trajano de Morais	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Tramandaí	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Tramandaí	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Travesseiro	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Travesseiro	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Tremedal	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Tremedal	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Tremembé	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Tremembé	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Brazil	Três Arroios	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Três Arroios	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Três Barras do Paraná	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Três Barras do Paraná	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Três Ca- choeiras	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Três Ca- choeiras	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Três Corações	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Três Corações	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Três Coroas	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Brazil	Três Coroas	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Três de Maio	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Três de Maio	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Três Forquil- has	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Três Forquil- has	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Três Fron- teiras	2000	97.1%	98.0%	95.9%
Brazil	Três Fron- teiras	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Três Lagoas	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Três Lagoas	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Três Marias	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Três Marias	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Três Palmeiras	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Três Palmeiras	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Três Passos	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Três Passos	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Três Pontas	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Três Pontas	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Três Ranchos	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Três Ranchos	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Três Rios	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Três Rios	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Treviso	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Treviso	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Treze de Maio	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Treze de Maio	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Treze Tílias	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Treze Tílias	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Trindade	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Trindade	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Trindade	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Trindade	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Trindade do Sul	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Trindade do Sul	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	93.4%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	93.7%	94.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Triunfo Potiguar	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	Triunfo Potiguar	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.8%
Brazil	Trizidela do Vale	2000	90.2%	92.1%	88.3%
Brazil	Trizidela do Vale	2017	90.5%	92.3%	88.6%
Brazil	Trombas	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Trombas	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Trombudo Central	2000	94.3%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Trombudo Central	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Tubarão	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Tubarão	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Tucano	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Tucano	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Tucumã	2000	80.4%	84.1%	76.3%
Brazil	Tucumã	2017	80.8%	84.5%	76.8%
Brazil	Tucunduva	2000	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Tucunduva	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Tucuruí	2000	78.0%	81.3%	75.0%
Brazil	Tucuruí	2017	78.5%	81.7%	75.5%
Brazil	Tufilândia	2000	88.8%	90.9%	86.8%
Brazil	Tufilândia	2017	89.1%	91.2%	87.2%
Brazil	Tuiuti	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Brazil	Tuiuti	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Brazil	Tumiritinga	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Tumiritinga	2017	95.4%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Tunápolis	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Tunápolis	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Tunas	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Tunas	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Tunas do Paraná	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	Tunas do Paraná	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	Tuneiras do Oeste	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Tuneiras do Oeste	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Tuntum	2000	91.2%	92.8%	89.4%
Brazil	Tuntum	2017	91.4%	93.0%	89.7%
Brazil	Tupã	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Brazil	Tupã	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Tupaciguara	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Tupaciguara	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Tupanatinga	2000	92.3%	93.5%	91.0%
Brazil	Tupanatinga	2017	92.5%	93.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Tupanci do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Tupanci do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Tupanciretã	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Tupanciretã	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Tupandi	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Tupandi	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Brazil	Tuparendi	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Tuparendi	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Tuparetama	2000	93.8%	94.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Tuparetama	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Tupãssi	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Tupãssi	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Tupi Paulista	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Brazil	Tupi Paulista	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Brazil	Tupirama	2000	93.5%	94.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Tupirama	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Tupiratins	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Tupiratins	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Turiaçu	2000	85.6%	88.1%	82.8%
Brazil	Turiaçu	2017	86.0%	88.5%	83.3%
Brazil	Turilândia	2000	87.1%	89.2%	84.5%
Brazil	Turilândia	2017	87.4%	89.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Turiúba	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.2%
Brazil	Turiúba	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Turmalina	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Brazil	Turmalina	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Turmalina	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Turmalina	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Turuçu	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Turuçu	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Tururu	2000	92.7%	93.7%	91.4%
Brazil	Tururu	2017	93.2%	94.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Turvânia	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Turvânia	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Turvelândia	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Turvelândia	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Turvo	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Turvo	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Turvo	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Turvo	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Turvolandia	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Turvolandia	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Tutoia	2000	90.4%	92.2%	88.5%
Brazil	Tutoia	2017	90.7%	92.5%	88.8%
Brazil	Tutóia	2000	90.4%	92.3%	88.4%
Brazil	Tutóia	2017	90.7%	92.5%	88.8%
Brazil	Uarini	2000	81.3%	85.3%	77.1%
Brazil	Uarini	2017	81.8%	85.7%	77.6%
Brazil	Uauá	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Uauá	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Ubá	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Ubá	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Ubaí	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Ubaí	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Ubaíra	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Ubaíra	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ubaitaba	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Ubaitaba	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Ubajara	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.2%
Brazil	Ubajara	2017	93.0%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Ubaporanga	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Ubaporanga	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Ubarana	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.7%
Brazil	Ubarana	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Brazil	Ubatã	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Ubatã	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Ubatuba	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Ubatuba	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Uberaba	2000	97.0%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Uberaba	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
Brazil	Uberlândia	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Uberlândia	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Ubirajara	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Brazil	Ubirajara	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Brazil	Ubiratã	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Ubiratã	2017	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Ubiretama	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Ubiretama	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Uchoa	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Brazil	Uchoa	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Brazil	Uibaí	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Uibaí	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Uiramutã	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.1%
Brazil	Uiramutã	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.3%
Brazil	Uirapuru	2000	94.2%	95.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Uirapuru	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Uiraúna	2000	95.0%	95.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Uiraúna	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Ulianópolis	2000	85.8%	88.4%	83.1%
Brazil	Ulianópolis	2017	86.1%	88.7%	83.6%
Brazil	Umari	2000	94.1%	95.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Umari	2017	94.3%	95.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Umarizal	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Umarizal	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Umbauba	2000	94.8%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Umbauba	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Umburanas	2000	94.9%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Umburanas	2017	95.0%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Umburatiba	2000	95.3%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Umburatiba	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Umbuzeiro	2000	93.5%	94.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Umbuzeiro	2017	93.7%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Umirim	2000	92.9%	94.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Umirim	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Umuarama	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Umuarama	2017	96.8%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Una	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Una	2017	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Unaí	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Unaí	2017	97.1%	98.0%	95.8%
Brazil	União	2000	91.3%	92.5%	89.9%
Brazil	União	2017	91.6%	92.7%	90.2%
Brazil	União da Serra	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	União da Serra	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	União da Vitória	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	União da Vitória	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	União de Minas	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	União de Minas	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	União do Oeste	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	União do Oeste	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	União do Sul	2000	91.2%	93.7%	88.0%
Brazil	União do Sul	2017	91.5%	93.9%	88.3%
Brazil	União dos Palmares	2000	92.1%	93.0%	91.2%
Brazil	União dos Palmares	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.4%
Brazil	União Paulista	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	União Paulista	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Uniflor	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Uniflor	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Unistalda	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Unistalda	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Upanema	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Upanema	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Uraí	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Brazil	Uraí	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Brazil	Urundi	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Urundi	2017	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Urânia	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.5%
Brazil	Urânia	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Urbano Santos	2000	90.3%	92.0%	88.2%
Brazil	Urbano Santos	2017	90.6%	92.3%	88.6%
Brazil	urea	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	urea	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Uru	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Uru	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Uruaçu	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Uruaçu	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Uruana	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Uruana	2017	95.1%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Uruana de Minas	2000	96.6%	97.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Uruana de Minas	2017	96.7%	98.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Uruará	2000	80.1%	84.2%	75.9%
Brazil	Uruará	2017	80.5%	84.5%	76.4%
Brazil	Urubici	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Urubici	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Uruburetama	2000	92.9%	94.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Uruburetama	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Urucânia	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Urucânia	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Urucará	2000	82.8%	86.0%	79.2%
Brazil	Urucará	2017	83.3%	86.4%	79.7%
Brazil	Uruçuca	2000	93.6%	95.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Uruçuca	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Uruçuí	2000	91.7%	93.7%	89.5%
Brazil	Uruçuí	2017	92.0%	93.9%	89.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Urucuaia	2000	96.2%	97.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Urucuaia	2017	96.5%	97.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Urucurituba	2000	82.6%	85.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Urucurituba	2017	83.1%	85.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Uruguaiana	2000	96.3%	98.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Uruguaiana	2017	96.4%	98.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Uruoca	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Uruoca	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Urupá	2000	84.0%	86.1%	82.2%
Brazil	Urupá	2017	84.3%	86.3%	82.5%
Brazil	Urupema	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Urupema	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Urupês	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Brazil	Urupês	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Urussanga	2000	94.3%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Urussanga	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Urutaí	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Urutaí	2017	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Utinga	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Utinga	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Vacaria	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Vacaria	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Vale do Anari	2000	84.2%	86.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Vale do Anari	2017	84.5%	86.6%	82.4%
Brazil	Vale do Paraíso	2000	84.6%	86.3%	82.6%
Brazil	Vale do Paraíso	2017	84.9%	86.6%	83.0%
Brazil	Vale do Sol	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Vale do Sol	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Vale Real	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Vale Real	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Vale Verde	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Vale Verde	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Valença	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.6%
Brazil	Valença	2017	92.9%	94.3%	90.9%
Brazil	Valença do Piauí	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.1%
Brazil	Valença do Piauí	2017	92.2%	93.8%	90.4%
Brazil	Valencia	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Valencia	2017	96.2%	96.8%	95.5%
Brazil	Valente	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Valente	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Valentim Gentil	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Brazil	Valentim Gentil	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Valinhos	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Brazil	Valinhos	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Valparaíso	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Brazil	Valparaíso	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Brazil	Valparaíso de Goiás	2000	97.9%	98.2%	97.5%
Brazil	Valparaíso de Goiás	2017	98.0%	98.3%	97.6%
Brazil	Vanini	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Vanini	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Vargeão	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Vargeão	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Vargem	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Vargem	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Alegre				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Vargem Alta	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Vargem Alta	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Bonita				
Brazil	Vargem	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Bonita				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Bonita				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	95.2%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Bonita				
Brazil	Vargem	2000	89.6%	91.3%	87.8%
Brazil	Grande				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	89.9%	91.5%	88.1%
Brazil	Grande				
Brazil	Vargem	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Grande do				
Brazil	Rio Pardo				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Grande do				
Brazil	Rio Pardo				
Brazil	Vargem	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Brazil	Grande do Sul				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Brazil	Grande do Sul				
Brazil	Vargem	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Brazil	Grande				
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Vargem	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Grande				
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Varginha	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Varginha	2017	96.9%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Varjao	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Varjao	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Varjão de Mi-	2000	95.6%	97.2%	93.7%
Brazil	nas				
Brazil	Varjão de Mi-	2017	95.8%	97.3%	93.9%
Brazil	nas				
Brazil	Varjota	2000	91.6%	93.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Varjota	2017	91.9%	93.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Varre-Sai	2000	95.9%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Varre-Sai	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Várzea	2000	96.6%	97.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Várzea	2017	96.7%	97.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Várzea Alegre	2000	93.1%	94.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Várzea Alegre	2017	93.5%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Várzea Branca	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Várzea Branca	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Várzea da	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Palma				
Brazil	Várzea da	2017	96.3%	97.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Palma				
Brazil	Várzea da	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Roça				
Brazil	Várzea da	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Roça				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Várzea do Poço	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Várzea do Poço	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.4%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Várzea Nova	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Várzea Nova	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Várzea Paulista	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Várzea Paulista	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Brazil	Varzedo	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Varzedo	2017	95.4%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Varzelândia	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Varzelândia	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Vassouras	2000	95.8%	96.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Vassouras	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Venâncio Aires	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Venâncio Aires	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Venceslau Bras	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Venceslau Bras	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.7%
Brazil	Venda Nova do Imigrante	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Venda Nova do Imigrante	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Venha-Ver	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Venha-Ver	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Ventania	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Ventania	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Venturosa	2000	93.2%	94.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Venturosa	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Vera	2000	92.1%	93.9%	89.9%
Brazil	Vera	2017	92.4%	94.1%	90.2%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Brazil	Vera Cruz do Oeste	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Vera Cruz do Oeste	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Vera Mendes	2000	92.1%	93.6%	89.9%
Brazil	Vera Mendes	2017	92.4%	93.8%	90.2%
Brazil	Veranópolis	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Veranópolis	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Verdejante	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Verdejante	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Verdelândia	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Verdelândia	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Verê	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Verê	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Vereda	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Vereda	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Veredinha	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Veredinha	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Veríssimo	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Veríssimo	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Vermelho	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Brazil	Novo Vermelho	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Vertente do Lério	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Vertente do Lério	2017	94.0%	94.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Vertentes	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Vertentes	2017	94.7%	95.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Correa Vespasiano	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Viadutos	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Viadutos	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Viamão	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Viamão	2017	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Viana	2000	89.0%	90.7%	87.0%
Brazil	Viana	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Viana	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Viana	2017	89.3%	91.0%	87.3%
Brazil	Vianópolis	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Vianópolis	2017	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Vicência	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Vicência	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Vicente Dutra	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Vicente Dutra	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Vicentina	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Vicentina	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Vicentinópolis	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Vicentinópolis	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	95.6%	96.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	90.8%	91.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	91.1%	92.2%	90.1%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Viçosa do Ceará	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Viçosa do Ceará	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Victor Graeff	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Victor Graeff	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Victorino Freire	2000	90.0%	91.7%	87.9%
Brazil	Victorino Freire	2017	90.3%	92.0%	88.2%
Brazil	Vidal Ramos	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Vidal Ramos	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Vieira	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Vieira	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Vieiras	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Vieiras	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Vieirópolis	2000	94.9%	95.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Vieirópolis	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Vigia	2000	78.0%	80.3%	75.7%
Brazil	Vigia	2017	78.4%	80.7%	76.2%
Brazil	Vila Alta	2000	96.1%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Vila Alta	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Vila Boa	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Vila Boa	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Vila Flor	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Vila Flor	2017	96.2%	96.8%	95.4%
Brazil	Vila Flores	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Vila Flores	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Vila Lângaro	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Vila Lângaro	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Vila Maria	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Vila Maria	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Piauí	2000	92.7%	94.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Piauí	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Sul	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Sul	2017	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Vila Nova dos Martírios	2000	88.0%	90.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Vila Nova dos Martírios	2017	88.5%	90.5%	86.7%
Brazil	Vila Pavão	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Vila Pavão	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Vila Propício	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Vila Propício	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Vila Rica	2000	89.8%	92.4%	86.9%
Brazil	Vila Rica	2017	90.1%	92.7%	87.3%
Brazil	Vila Valério	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Vila Valério	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Vila Velha	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Vila Velha	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Vilhena	2000	86.5%	88.6%	84.1%
Brazil	Vilhena	2017	86.8%	88.9%	84.5%
Brazil	Vinhedo	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Brazil	Vinhedo	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Brazil	Viradouro	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Brazil	Viradouro	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Brazil	Virgem da Lapa	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Virgem da Lapa	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Virgínia	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Brazil	Virgínia	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Brazil	Virginópolis	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Virginópolis	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Virgolândia	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Virgolândia	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Virmond	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Virmond	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Visconde do Rio Branco	2000	96.1%	96.7%	95.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Visconde do Rio Branco	2017	96.3%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Viseu	2000	82.1%	84.9%	79.4%
Brazil	Viseu	2017	82.5%	85.2%	79.9%
Brazil	Vista Alegre	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Vista Alegre	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Alto	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Alto	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Prata	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Prata	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Vista Gaúcha	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Vista Gaúcha	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Vitor Meireles	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Vitor Meireles	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Vitoria	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Vitoria	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Vitória Brasil	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%
Brazil	Vitória Brasil	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
Brazil	Vitória da Conquista	2000	96.1%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Vitória da Conquista	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Vitória das Misses	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Vitória das Misses	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Vitória de Santo Antônio	2000	93.8%	94.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Vitória de Santo Antônio	2017	94.1%	94.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Vitória do Jari	2000	84.1%	86.2%	81.0%
Brazil	Vitória do Jari	2017	84.5%	86.6%	81.4%
Brazil	Vitória do Mearim	2000	89.7%	91.5%	87.7%
Brazil	Vitória do Mearim	2017	90.0%	91.7%	88.1%
Brazil	Vitória do Xingu	2000	80.4%	84.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Vitória do Xingu	2017	81.2%	85.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Vitorino	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Vitorino	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Volta Grande	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Volta Grande	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Volta Redonda	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	Volta Redonda	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.8%
Brazil	Votorantim	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Brazil	Votorantim	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Brazil	Votuporanga	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.3%
Brazil	Votuporanga	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Wagner	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Wagner	2017	95.5%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Wall Ferraz	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Wall Ferraz	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.3%
Brazil	Wanderlândia	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Wanderlândia	2017	93.3%	94.5%	92.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Wenceslau Braz	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	Wenceslau Braz	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.3%
Brazil	Wenceslau Guimarães	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Wenceslau Guimarães	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Witmarsum	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Witmarsum	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Xambioá	2000	90.6%	92.3%	88.7%
Brazil	Xambioá	2017	90.9%	92.6%	89.1%
Brazil	Xambrê	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Xambrê	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Xangri-lá	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Xangri-lá	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Xanxerê	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Xanxerê	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Xapuri	2000	81.3%	83.5%	78.8%
Brazil	Xapuri	2017	81.7%	84.0%	79.3%
Brazil	Xavantina	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Xavantina	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Xaxim	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Xaxim	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Xexéu	2000	92.2%	93.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Xexéu	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Xinguara	2000	85.3%	88.0%	82.1%
Brazil	Xinguara	2017	85.7%	88.3%	82.5%
Brazil	Xique-Xique	2000	94.6%	96.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Xique-Xique	2017	94.8%	96.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Zabelê	2000	93.2%	94.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Zabelê	2017	93.5%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Zacarias	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Brazil	Zacarias	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Brazil	Zé Doca	2000	88.9%	90.9%	86.9%
Brazil	Zé Doca	2017	89.2%	91.2%	87.3%
Brazil	Zortéa	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Zortéa	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Colombia	Abejorral	2000	78.4%	99.8%	24.5%
Colombia	Abejorral	2017	72.5%	98.8%	27.1%
Colombia	Abrego	2000	68.3%	94.7%	29.7%
Colombia	Abrego	2017	62.9%	89.1%	27.9%
Colombia	Abriaquí	2000	89.3%	95.4%	71.8%
Colombia	Abriaquí	2017	72.0%	83.7%	50.5%
Colombia	Acacías	2000	90.2%	96.3%	81.5%
Colombia	Acacías	2017	71.9%	85.2%	56.4%
Colombia	Acandí	2000	71.5%	90.9%	56.4%
Colombia	Acandí	2017	63.7%	77.9%	53.2%
Colombia	Acevedo	2000	85.0%	88.9%	81.1%
Colombia	Acevedo	2017	46.8%	51.9%	41.1%
Colombia	Achí	2000	48.3%	60.0%	38.0%
Colombia	Achí	2017	51.7%	60.4%	42.5%
Colombia	Agrado	2000	27.0%	75.0%	2.9%
Colombia	Agrado	2017	32.7%	81.7%	2.5%
Colombia	Agua de Dios	2000	95.2%	98.8%	83.8%
Colombia	Agua de Dios	2017	85.3%	98.9%	49.9%
Colombia	Aguachica	2000	85.0%	92.8%	73.0%
Colombia	Aguachica	2017	53.9%	66.7%	42.7%
Colombia	Aguada	2000	78.5%	100.0%	7.4%
Colombia	Aguada	2017	76.5%	100.0%	7.8%
Colombia	Aguadas	2000	65.0%	99.2%	12.2%
Colombia	Aguadas	2017	58.8%	96.3%	17.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Aguazul	2000	77.7%	89.0%	63.8%
Colombia	Aguazul	2017	76.7%	85.8%	65.2%
Colombia	Agustín Codazzi	2000	77.9%	89.1%	66.7%
Colombia	Agustín Codazzi	2017	68.3%	79.2%	58.1%
Colombia	Aipe	2000	87.2%	98.9%	62.5%
Colombia	Aipe	2017	75.6%	95.4%	41.1%
Colombia	Albán	2000	99.0%	100.0%	91.0%
Colombia	Albán	2000	88.1%	99.0%	69.6%
Colombia	Albán	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.6%
Colombia	Albán	2017	80.2%	93.8%	64.7%
Colombia	Albania	2000	73.7%	97.0%	27.9%
Colombia	Albania	2000	76.6%	83.8%	71.8%
Colombia	Albania	2017	60.0%	66.7%	55.8%
Colombia	Albania	2017	56.8%	88.3%	19.9%
Colombia	Alcalá	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.4%
Colombia	Alcalá	2017	94.1%	96.6%	89.9%
Colombia	Aldana	2000	35.3%	51.1%	23.6%
Colombia	Aldana	2017	50.9%	63.0%	35.8%
Colombia	Alejandría	2000	58.4%	99.8%	5.1%
Colombia	Alejandría	2017	60.4%	98.5%	8.1%
Colombia	Algeciras	2000	87.3%	94.1%	77.3%
Colombia	Algeciras	2017	82.0%	88.7%	73.6%
Colombia	Almaguer	2000	64.4%	97.6%	15.6%
Colombia	Almaguer	2017	62.0%	94.8%	20.5%
Colombia	Almeida	2000	77.3%	99.2%	36.3%
Colombia	Almeida	2017	60.8%	98.6%	15.5%
Colombia	Alpujarra	2000	71.7%	99.6%	18.3%
Colombia	Alpujarra	2017	66.8%	96.8%	22.7%
Colombia	Altamira	2000	87.2%	98.6%	66.2%
Colombia	Altamira	2017	69.7%	90.2%	42.8%
Colombia	Alto Baudó	2000	68.8%	78.0%	58.9%
Colombia	Alto Baudó	2017	85.2%	90.8%	78.1%
Colombia	Altos del Rosario	2000	84.3%	96.8%	54.1%
Colombia	Altos del Rosario	2017	71.1%	96.7%	29.8%
Colombia	Alvarado	2000	86.8%	97.5%	60.9%
Colombia	Alvarado	2017	75.2%	93.2%	46.8%
Colombia	Amagá	2000	96.5%	99.5%	91.1%
Colombia	Amagá	2017	88.2%	99.4%	66.8%
Colombia	Amalfi	2000	77.1%	96.9%	47.4%
Colombia	Amalfi	2017	65.5%	89.4%	38.0%
Colombia	Ambalema	2000	91.6%	97.8%	76.4%
Colombia	Ambalema	2017	73.0%	86.7%	49.2%
Colombia	Anapoima	2000	57.8%	99.8%	5.5%
Colombia	Anapoima	2017	57.1%	98.5%	6.6%
Colombia	Ancuyá	2000	96.4%	99.0%	92.6%
Colombia	Ancuyá	2017	86.4%	96.0%	67.2%
Colombia	Andalucía	2000	82.7%	88.6%	74.4%
Colombia	Andalucía	2017	80.6%	88.0%	73.0%
Colombia	Andes	2000	89.1%	97.2%	73.8%
Colombia	Andes	2017	79.6%	95.1%	53.1%
Colombia	Angelópolis	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.6%
Colombia	Angelópolis	2017	93.1%	98.6%	83.8%
Colombia	Angostura	2000	89.5%	96.0%	74.8%
Colombia	Angostura	2017	79.1%	87.6%	59.7%
Colombia	Anolaima	2000	95.6%	99.1%	81.2%
Colombia	Anolaima	2017	88.5%	98.3%	57.1%
Colombia	Anorí	2000	67.8%	98.1%	30.3%
Colombia	Anorí	2017	67.6%	94.1%	35.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Anserma	2000	89.3%	93.1%	85.0%
Colombia	Anserma	2017	62.3%	74.2%	50.6%
Colombia	Ansermanuevo	2000	96.7%	99.8%	84.6%
Colombia	Ansermanuevo	2017	89.3%	98.5%	66.2%
Colombia	Anzá	2000	72.7%	100.0%	11.1%
Colombia	Anzá	2017	71.1%	99.8%	16.8%
Colombia	Anzoátegui	2000	86.4%	99.7%	57.0%
Colombia	Anzoátegui	2017	80.5%	99.2%	48.9%
Colombia	Apartadó	2000	94.4%	96.4%	91.1%
Colombia	Apartadó	2017	75.9%	82.0%	70.2%
Colombia	Apía	2000	59.8%	71.0%	53.7%
Colombia	Apía	2017	37.0%	51.1%	28.7%
Colombia	Apulo	2000	78.3%	98.9%	39.8%
Colombia	Apulo	2017	88.4%	99.6%	55.7%
Colombia	Aquitania	2000	78.5%	90.7%	65.6%
Colombia	Aquitania	2017	57.0%	69.4%	45.0%
Colombia	Aracataca	2000	91.3%	95.2%	86.3%
Colombia	Aracataca	2017	76.7%	83.2%	69.3%
Colombia	Aranzazú	2000	99.1%	99.5%	97.3%
Colombia	Aranzazú	2017	89.8%	94.5%	74.4%
Colombia	Aratoca	2000	62.2%	99.2%	18.3%
Colombia	Aratoca	2017	57.3%	96.2%	15.3%
Colombia	Arauca	2000	84.9%	91.0%	69.3%
Colombia	Arauca	2017	79.4%	85.7%	69.3%
Colombia	Arauquita	2000	90.1%	99.7%	64.6%
Colombia	Arauquita	2017	84.8%	98.8%	57.7%
Colombia	Arbeláez	2000	61.1%	95.0%	13.8%
Colombia	Arbeláez	2017	37.2%	75.0%	5.4%
Colombia	Arboleda	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Colombia	Arboleda	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Colombia	Arboledas	2000	72.0%	100.0%	14.8%
Colombia	Arboledas	2017	69.6%	100.0%	19.4%
Colombia	Arboletes	2000	77.4%	99.3%	35.0%
Colombia	Arboletes	2017	73.4%	97.6%	30.9%
Colombia	Arcabuco	2000	53.7%	88.5%	17.8%
Colombia	Arcabuco	2017	48.6%	92.0%	13.4%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	67.6%	99.5%	20.9%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	63.9%	99.7%	10.5%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	91.3%	99.7%	59.5%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	73.9%	97.5%	33.7%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	63.4%	97.7%	16.5%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	79.5%	97.6%	37.3%
Colombia	Ariguaní	2000	57.3%	70.5%	44.8%
Colombia	Ariguaní	2017	69.2%	78.1%	59.1%
Colombia	Arjona	2000	85.7%	98.9%	43.3%
Colombia	Arjona	2017	79.0%	98.2%	38.4%
Colombia	Armenia	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Colombia	Armenia	2000	52.8%	78.7%	22.1%
Colombia	Armenia	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.5%
Colombia	Armenia	2017	54.5%	83.4%	17.5%
Colombia	Armero	2000	88.5%	96.3%	78.4%
Colombia	Armero	2017	74.3%	88.9%	60.8%
Colombia	Astrea	2000	61.4%	99.6%	6.3%
Colombia	Astrea	2017	65.5%	98.6%	21.0%
Colombia	Ataco	2000	77.7%	84.8%	67.7%
Colombia	Ataco	2017	63.0%	72.6%	52.4%
Colombia	Ayapel	2000	63.3%	88.3%	36.4%
Colombia	Ayapel	2017	61.4%	78.9%	43.5%
Colombia	Bagadó	2000	67.3%	88.0%	46.5%
Colombia	Bagadó	2017	70.9%	89.5%	47.0%
Colombia	Bahía Solano	2000	70.8%	99.0%	26.6%
Colombia	Bahía Solano	2017	76.2%	98.6%	38.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Bajo Baudó	2000	73.1%	90.6%	53.4%
Colombia	Bajo Baudó	2017	79.2%	92.7%	63.4%
Colombia	Balboa	2000	84.6%	100.0%	40.8%
Colombia	Balboa	2000	83.0%	96.7%	60.7%
Colombia	Balboa	2017	67.8%	89.7%	43.8%
Colombia	Balboa	2017	72.6%	99.7%	39.4%
Colombia	Baranoa	2000	98.4%	99.6%	96.1%
Colombia	Baranoa	2017	96.8%	99.0%	92.3%
Colombia	Baraya	2000	89.0%	99.8%	39.9%
Colombia	Baraya	2017	81.9%	98.5%	31.0%
Colombia	Barbacoas	2000	74.4%	87.1%	56.5%
Colombia	Barbacoas	2017	73.1%	84.9%	57.8%
Colombia	Barbosa	2000	94.1%	97.0%	89.3%
Colombia	Barbosa	2000	63.6%	85.9%	43.9%
Colombia	Barbosa	2017	73.0%	82.9%	63.2%
Colombia	Barbosa	2017	33.5%	48.8%	20.2%
Colombia	Barichara	2000	77.6%	100.0%	4.9%
Colombia	Barichara	2017	69.5%	100.0%	7.1%
Colombia	Barranca de Upía	2000	66.0%	93.8%	31.6%
Colombia	Barranca de Upía	2017	60.0%	92.0%	20.9%
Colombia	Barrancabermeja	2000	78.9%	90.4%	68.2%
Colombia	Barrancabermeja	2017	57.6%	73.3%	42.7%
Colombia	Barrancas	2000	91.2%	97.3%	70.4%
Colombia	Barrancas	2017	82.8%	92.0%	60.2%
Colombia	Barranco de Loba	2000	87.3%	97.9%	67.3%
Colombia	Barranco de Loba	2017	79.0%	96.0%	52.9%
Colombia	Barranco Minas	2000	57.2%	67.9%	47.7%
Colombia	Barranco Minas	2017	69.9%	77.5%	62.6%
Colombia	Barranquilla	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Colombia	Barranquilla	2017	94.4%	96.2%	92.0%
Colombia	Becerril	2000	75.8%	97.8%	36.4%
Colombia	Becerril	2017	71.3%	93.5%	43.1%
Colombia	Belalcázar	2000	63.1%	92.6%	37.0%
Colombia	Belalcázar	2017	65.5%	94.6%	28.3%
Colombia	Belén	2000	69.7%	98.5%	19.4%
Colombia	Belén	2000	90.7%	100.0%	29.0%
Colombia	Belén	2017	50.4%	88.0%	23.7%
Colombia	Belén	2017	89.3%	100.0%	27.8%
Colombia	Belén de los Andaquies	2000	68.2%	95.3%	34.7%
Colombia	Belén de los Andaquies	2017	61.4%	85.9%	29.0%
Colombia	Belén de Umbria	2000	81.8%	84.5%	79.3%
Colombia	Belén de Umbria	2017	32.1%	35.5%	28.3%
Colombia	Bello	2000	97.8%	98.1%	97.5%
Colombia	Bello	2017	84.3%	86.1%	82.1%
Colombia	Belmira	2000	89.8%	100.0%	53.9%
Colombia	Belmira	2017	86.0%	100.0%	47.9%
Colombia	Beltrán	2000	89.1%	99.9%	70.4%
Colombia	Beltrán	2017	84.1%	98.9%	65.6%
Colombia	Berbeo	2000	73.7%	100.0%	2.3%
Colombia	Berbeo	2017	73.3%	100.0%	5.1%
Colombia	Betania	2000	95.4%	99.4%	79.4%
Colombia	Betania	2017	89.4%	99.1%	57.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Beteitiva	2000	92.4%	100.0%	46.9%
Colombia	Beteitiva	2017	91.0%	100.0%	51.7%
Colombia	Betulia	2000	35.4%	56.4%	5.8%
Colombia	Betulia	2000	67.8%	98.6%	25.0%
Colombia	Betulia	2017	41.5%	68.0%	12.6%
Colombia	Betulia	2017	58.4%	92.5%	23.4%
Colombia	Bituima	2000	36.0%	45.9%	27.9%
Colombia	Bituima	2017	56.1%	70.4%	40.2%
Colombia	Boavita	2000	49.8%	68.1%	32.1%
Colombia	Boavita	2017	16.3%	31.5%	6.8%
Colombia	Bochalema	2000	79.9%	99.4%	39.8%
Colombia	Bochalema	2017	66.8%	94.3%	27.0%
Colombia	Bojacá	2000	94.7%	96.7%	92.3%
Colombia	Bojacá	2017	91.2%	95.0%	86.5%
Colombia	Bojayá	2000	62.3%	83.9%	37.8%
Colombia	Bojayá	2017	71.7%	89.4%	52.7%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	90.1%	99.8%	77.0%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	71.0%	88.3%	52.8%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	75.7%	96.9%	52.5%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	76.9%	83.9%	73.0%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	69.0%	91.0%	42.6%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	58.7%	77.1%	38.6%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	88.4%	98.9%	72.6%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	65.9%	80.8%	53.5%
Colombia	Bosconia	2000	33.0%	90.8%	6.1%
Colombia	Bosconia	2017	23.8%	74.4%	7.0%
Colombia	Boyacá	2000	35.5%	77.8%	11.9%
Colombia	Boyacá	2017	14.3%	44.1%	2.7%
Colombia	Briceño	2000	97.0%	99.3%	92.7%
Colombia	Briceño	2000	91.1%	100.0%	41.3%
Colombia	Briceño	2017	88.0%	99.3%	63.2%
Colombia	Briceño	2017	84.9%	99.7%	35.6%
Colombia	Bucaramanga	2000	83.8%	86.8%	80.8%
Colombia	Bucaramanga	2017	64.5%	70.4%	58.8%
Colombia	Bucarasica	2000	87.9%	99.9%	42.2%
Colombia	Bucarasica	2017	78.6%	98.9%	32.6%
Colombia	Buenaventura	2000	94.6%	97.4%	91.1%
Colombia	Buenaventura	2017	92.2%	95.5%	88.0%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	47.8%	75.5%	8.8%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	95.6%	97.6%	92.2%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	60.0%	81.0%	37.4%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	21.1%	55.2%	1.1%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	94.7%	96.7%	91.7%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.5%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	52.9%	73.7%	34.5%
Colombia	Buenos Aires	2000	70.2%	90.2%	57.5%
Colombia	Buenos Aires	2017	61.1%	70.4%	50.3%
Colombia	Buesaco	2000	98.5%	100.0%	88.0%
Colombia	Buesaco	2017	96.4%	100.0%	74.4%
Colombia	Bugalagrande	2000	90.6%	97.9%	81.0%
Colombia	Bugalagrande	2017	85.1%	97.7%	65.0%
Colombia	Buriticá	2000	83.4%	99.1%	47.5%
Colombia	Buriticá	2017	72.4%	96.2%	32.5%
Colombia	Busbanza	2000	99.2%	100.0%	94.5%
Colombia	Busbanza	2017	98.3%	99.9%	90.9%
Colombia	Cabrera	2000	79.1%	99.9%	18.8%
Colombia	Cabrera	2000	80.0%	100.0%	10.8%
Colombia	Cabrera	2017	61.5%	98.9%	7.6%
Colombia	Cabrera	2017	80.0%	100.0%	21.2%
Colombia	Cabuyaro	2000	66.8%	93.0%	37.7%
Colombia	Cabuyaro	2017	64.4%	87.1%	40.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Cacahual	2000	67.8%	79.5%	56.0%
Colombia	Cacahual	2017	74.8%	86.1%	60.7%
Colombia	Cáceres	2000	60.8%	73.4%	44.4%
Colombia	Cáceres	2017	52.7%	67.4%	33.7%
Colombia	Cachipay	2000	95.6%	100.0%	72.8%
Colombia	Cachipay	2017	85.3%	99.7%	32.8%
Colombia	Cáchira	2000	78.3%	99.7%	38.9%
Colombia	Cáchira	2017	72.9%	97.6%	32.8%
Colombia	Cácota	2000	56.9%	97.2%	9.2%
Colombia	Cácota	2017	68.3%	97.9%	25.1%
Colombia	Caicedo	2000	76.5%	100.0%	8.9%
Colombia	Caicedo	2017	75.6%	100.0%	15.3%
Colombia	Caicedonia	2000	93.4%	96.8%	88.0%
Colombia	Caicedonia	2017	67.4%	78.5%	56.2%
Colombia	Caimito	2000	90.5%	99.4%	66.4%
Colombia	Caimito	2017	90.4%	97.9%	74.6%
Colombia	Cajamarca	2000	87.9%	96.8%	74.7%
Colombia	Cajamarca	2017	70.8%	91.6%	44.4%
Colombia	Cajibío	2000	90.3%	99.1%	71.8%
Colombia	Cajibío	2017	76.5%	95.9%	48.5%
Colombia	Cajicá	2000	98.0%	98.8%	96.7%
Colombia	Cajicá	2017	90.7%	94.6%	82.6%
Colombia	Calamar	2000	96.1%	99.5%	84.7%
Colombia	Calamar	2000	65.6%	79.3%	48.0%
Colombia	Calamar	2017	91.6%	99.4%	74.0%
Colombia	Calamar	2017	69.8%	80.2%	57.8%
Colombia	Calarcá	2000	97.7%	99.5%	95.5%
Colombia	Calarcá	2017	92.5%	95.4%	88.1%
Colombia	Caldas	2000	76.6%	97.0%	32.6%
Colombia	Caldas	2000	96.4%	98.9%	92.9%
Colombia	Caldas	2017	43.6%	82.4%	10.5%
Colombia	Caldas	2017	87.9%	97.1%	68.0%
Colombia	Caldonó	2000	96.1%	97.6%	91.9%
Colombia	Caldonó	2017	85.9%	90.8%	75.8%
Colombia	California	2000	75.2%	100.0%	11.3%
Colombia	California	2017	73.7%	100.0%	16.3%
Colombia	Calima	2000	75.4%	100.0%	17.4%
Colombia	Calima	2017	75.9%	100.0%	29.2%
Colombia	Caloto	2000	86.8%	96.8%	64.6%
Colombia	Caloto	2017	70.7%	84.1%	57.8%
Colombia	Campamento	2000	87.7%	97.9%	70.3%
Colombia	Campamento	2017	70.7%	89.5%	46.3%
Colombia	Campo de la Cruz	2000	98.0%	100.0%	71.5%
Colombia	Campo de la Cruz	2017	96.4%	100.0%	58.5%
Colombia	Campoalegre	2000	53.1%	84.8%	29.0%
Colombia	Campoalegre	2017	45.4%	80.8%	25.1%
Colombia	Campohermoso	2000	70.9%	100.0%	11.5%
Colombia	Campohermoso	2017	68.1%	99.2%	19.5%
Colombia	Canalete	2000	72.5%	96.3%	31.8%
Colombia	Canalete	2017	63.5%	88.5%	32.2%
Colombia	Cañasgordas	2000	95.7%	99.2%	81.7%
Colombia	Cañasgordas	2017	85.4%	95.0%	65.8%
Colombia	Candelaria	2000	99.1%	99.6%	98.2%
Colombia	Candelaria	2000	99.4%	100.0%	93.4%
Colombia	Candelaria	2017	97.9%	100.0%	85.1%
Colombia	Candelaria	2017	98.4%	99.4%	96.3%
Colombia	Cantagallo	2000	79.9%	99.9%	32.8%
Colombia	Cantagallo	2017	78.6%	99.1%	36.8%
Colombia	Caparrapí	2000	77.0%	99.5%	36.2%
Colombia	Caparrapí	2017	72.2%	95.9%	38.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Capitanejo	2000	77.6%	88.2%	60.4%
Colombia	Capitanejo	2017	30.9%	49.4%	19.7%
Colombia	Cáqueza	2000	52.5%	59.9%	46.5%
Colombia	Cáqueza	2017	30.5%	38.5%	23.6%
Colombia	Caracolí	2000	90.0%	100.0%	48.6%
Colombia	Caracolí	2017	85.4%	100.0%	31.7%
Colombia	Caramanta	2000	77.2%	99.9%	18.6%
Colombia	Caramanta	2017	60.6%	97.5%	10.3%
Colombia	Carcasí	2000	44.5%	93.9%	3.7%
Colombia	Carcasí	2017	41.5%	90.4%	4.2%
Colombia	Carepa	2000	93.5%	97.2%	85.6%
Colombia	Carepa	2017	63.3%	76.8%	49.0%
Colombia	Carmen de Apicalá	2000	93.7%	99.6%	58.4%
Colombia	Carmen de Apicalá	2017	84.8%	97.6%	45.8%
Colombia	Carmen de Carupa	2000	96.8%	100.0%	71.3%
Colombia	Carmen de Carupa	2017	91.4%	99.8%	48.1%
Colombia	Carolina del Príncipe	2000	97.6%	100.0%	84.2%
Colombia	Carolina del Príncipe	2017	92.7%	99.9%	63.8%
Colombia	Cartagena de Indias	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.5%
Colombia	Cartagena de Indias	2017	88.4%	92.5%	83.0%
Colombia	Cartagena del Chairá	2000	71.8%	81.6%	61.3%
Colombia	Cartagena del Chairá	2017	67.1%	76.8%	55.9%
Colombia	Cartago	2000	80.6%	84.2%	78.4%
Colombia	Cartago	2017	83.1%	89.7%	79.3%
Colombia	Carurú	2000	52.8%	64.3%	42.3%
Colombia	Carurú	2017	67.4%	77.2%	56.7%
Colombia	Casabianca	2000	86.3%	97.9%	63.7%
Colombia	Casabianca	2017	64.6%	87.2%	34.7%
Colombia	Castilla la Nueva	2000	77.6%	99.1%	30.6%
Colombia	Castilla la Nueva	2017	69.3%	97.1%	26.1%
Colombia	Caucasia	2000	82.2%	91.9%	72.0%
Colombia	Caucasia	2017	73.3%	83.8%	62.3%
Colombia	Cepitá	2000	59.4%	99.6%	6.7%
Colombia	Cepitá	2017	57.2%	95.8%	12.0%
Colombia	Cereté	2000	93.8%	96.7%	91.3%
Colombia	Cereté	2017	90.9%	96.7%	80.8%
Colombia	Cerinsa	2000	98.1%	100.0%	73.7%
Colombia	Cerinsa	2017	97.1%	100.0%	77.0%
Colombia	Cerrito	2000	63.5%	100.0%	3.8%
Colombia	Cerrito	2017	64.3%	100.0%	8.6%
Colombia	Cerro de San Antonio	2000	92.6%	100.0%	64.9%
Colombia	Cerro de San Antonio	2017	91.4%	99.9%	66.6%
Colombia	Chachagüí	2000	98.8%	100.0%	87.3%
Colombia	Chachagüí	2017	96.8%	99.8%	76.8%
Colombia	Chaguaní	2000	70.5%	99.0%	23.3%
Colombia	Chaguaní	2017	70.7%	99.1%	23.0%
Colombia	Chalán	2000	68.2%	97.5%	19.7%
Colombia	Chalán	2017	69.1%	98.1%	20.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Chámeza	2000	75.8%	98.7%	26.8%
Colombia	Chámeza	2017	81.1%	97.9%	48.2%
Colombia	Chaparral	2000	79.4%	88.0%	71.9%
Colombia	Chaparral	2017	58.9%	69.6%	50.6%
Colombia	Charalá	2000	75.9%	99.8%	20.9%
Colombia	Charalá	2017	73.3%	98.7%	32.1%
Colombia	Charta	2000	91.7%	100.0%	60.0%
Colombia	Charta	2017	89.0%	99.8%	52.9%
Colombia	Chía	2000	97.7%	98.9%	96.2%
Colombia	Chía	2017	92.1%	95.6%	87.2%
Colombia	Chigorodó	2000	85.6%	93.3%	73.0%
Colombia	Chigorodó	2017	61.1%	75.3%	43.0%
Colombia	Chimá	2000	27.0%	51.9%	14.3%
Colombia	Chimá	2000	72.1%	100.0%	8.0%
Colombia	Chimá	2017	71.4%	100.0%	12.9%
Colombia	Chimá	2017	50.9%	79.3%	25.8%
Colombia	Chimichagua	2000	74.9%	93.5%	43.3%
Colombia	Chimichagua	2017	69.4%	89.9%	40.7%
Colombia	Chinácota	2000	80.9%	97.4%	47.8%
Colombia	Chinácota	2017	60.3%	93.1%	22.0%
Colombia	Chinavita	2000	86.3%	100.0%	28.5%
Colombia	Chinavita	2017	85.2%	100.0%	37.2%
Colombia	Chinchiná	2000	94.3%	99.8%	74.7%
Colombia	Chinchiná	2017	88.8%	98.9%	65.1%
Colombia	Chinú	2000	89.4%	92.2%	84.4%
Colombia	Chinú	2017	78.0%	84.2%	66.8%
Colombia	Chipaque	2000	93.9%	99.7%	76.5%
Colombia	Chipaque	2017	86.7%	98.7%	61.3%
Colombia	Chipatá	2000	94.5%	99.9%	57.2%
Colombia	Chipatá	2017	84.3%	99.0%	37.9%
Colombia	Chiquinquirá	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.7%
Colombia	Chiquinquirá	2017	72.0%	81.5%	58.6%
Colombia	Chíquiza	2000	79.5%	100.0%	17.1%
Colombia	Chíquiza	2017	75.2%	99.9%	13.8%
Colombia	Chiriguaná	2000	73.8%	84.3%	59.4%
Colombia	Chiriguaná	2017	53.4%	66.3%	37.8%
Colombia	Chiscas	2000	36.3%	79.2%	7.9%
Colombia	Chiscas	2017	50.7%	84.0%	19.2%
Colombia	Chita	2000	57.6%	99.5%	8.4%
Colombia	Chita	2017	59.3%	97.8%	10.6%
Colombia	Chitagá	2000	52.2%	97.0%	12.6%
Colombia	Chitagá	2017	60.5%	94.6%	23.7%
Colombia	Chitaraque	2000	85.7%	100.0%	30.9%
Colombia	Chitaraque	2017	75.3%	99.6%	20.0%
Colombia	Chivatá	2000	81.4%	93.3%	63.2%
Colombia	Chivatá	2017	84.2%	95.3%	59.7%
Colombia	Chivolo	2000	50.5%	94.1%	17.7%
Colombia	Chivolo	2017	54.9%	91.4%	22.1%
Colombia	Chivor	2000	58.4%	100.0%	1.5%
Colombia	Chivor	2017	62.1%	100.0%	6.0%
Colombia	Choachí	2000	98.7%	100.0%	92.8%
Colombia	Choachí	2017	97.2%	99.9%	85.8%
Colombia	Chocontá	2000	61.7%	96.1%	16.1%
Colombia	Chocontá	2017	68.4%	97.1%	14.7%
Colombia	Cicuco	2000	91.9%	96.1%	86.4%
Colombia	Cicuco	2017	84.6%	92.1%	75.9%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2000	44.8%	99.7%	0.8%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2000	90.3%	95.6%	83.7%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2017	54.4%	99.1%	1.5%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2017	85.0%	92.1%	78.4%
Colombia	Ciénaga de Oro	2000	55.8%	84.0%	22.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Ciénaga de Oro	2017	59.7%	82.8%	23.9%
Colombia	Cimitarra	2000	73.9%	91.4%	38.4%
Colombia	Cimitarra	2017	63.3%	84.9%	35.7%
Colombia	Circasia	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.0%
Colombia	Circasia	2017	93.2%	97.8%	84.2%
Colombia	Cisneros	2000	90.1%	99.8%	48.5%
Colombia	Cisneros	2017	76.1%	99.3%	27.1%
Colombia	Cocorná	2000	82.3%	94.0%	67.1%
Colombia	Cocorná	2017	55.8%	75.1%	37.2%
Colombia	Coello	2000	92.6%	99.7%	73.8%
Colombia	Coello	2017	86.9%	98.0%	58.7%
Colombia	Cogua	2000	95.4%	99.0%	88.3%
Colombia	Cogua	2017	88.4%	93.4%	82.9%
Colombia	Colombia	2000	82.1%	99.3%	52.2%
Colombia	Colombia	2017	76.7%	96.0%	50.6%
Colombia	Colón	2000	73.9%	97.8%	32.3%
Colombia	Colón	2000	83.4%	100.0%	26.4%
Colombia	Colón	2017	46.2%	85.7%	17.1%
Colombia	Colón	2017	72.7%	99.9%	26.1%
Colombia	Colosó	2000	61.7%	96.4%	11.5%
Colombia	Colosó	2017	67.2%	97.2%	19.4%
Colombia	Cómbita	2000	86.6%	88.8%	83.2%
Colombia	Cómbita	2017	77.5%	82.0%	72.2%
Colombia	Concepción	2000	67.5%	99.5%	14.7%
Colombia	Concepción	2000	65.7%	99.6%	12.3%
Colombia	Concepción	2017	58.9%	97.0%	23.4%
Colombia	Concepción	2017	57.8%	94.9%	16.1%
Colombia	Concordia	2000	79.7%	98.7%	35.5%
Colombia	Concordia	2017	76.3%	96.0%	51.4%
Colombia	Condoto	2000	85.3%	94.2%	71.1%
Colombia	Condoto	2017	90.5%	97.5%	80.2%
Colombia	Confines	2000	79.9%	100.0%	7.3%
Colombia	Confines	2017	74.8%	100.0%	9.8%
Colombia	Consacá	2000	99.3%	100.0%	93.4%
Colombia	Consacá	2017	98.1%	100.0%	84.4%
Colombia	Contadero	2000	94.5%	96.6%	92.1%
Colombia	Contadero	2017	57.7%	69.4%	47.1%
Colombia	Contratación	2000	72.0%	100.0%	4.2%
Colombia	Contratación	2017	72.1%	100.0%	12.3%
Colombia	Convención	2000	64.8%	83.1%	41.9%
Colombia	Convención	2017	47.8%	72.6%	26.9%
Colombia	Copacabana	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Colombia	Copacabana	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Colombia	Coper	2000	56.9%	80.0%	24.7%
Colombia	Coper	2017	37.4%	66.9%	10.0%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	96.1%	98.7%	87.0%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	98.5%	100.0%	88.2%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	71.1%	94.8%	26.5%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	69.1%	93.1%	23.6%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	83.1%	90.7%	67.9%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	93.8%	99.8%	74.0%
Colombia	Corinto	2000	72.3%	83.9%	63.2%
Colombia	Corinto	2017	62.8%	82.2%	44.1%
Colombia	Coromoro	2000	76.1%	99.7%	28.7%
Colombia	Coromoro	2017	71.8%	97.3%	25.7%
Colombia	Corozal	2000	95.3%	97.3%	86.2%
Colombia	Corozal	2017	84.5%	89.0%	74.3%
Colombia	Corrales	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Colombia	Corrales	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.6%
Colombia	Cota	2000	90.1%	93.6%	86.4%
Colombia	Cota	2017	75.6%	81.1%	69.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Covarachía	2000	61.5%	97.4%	12.8%
Colombia	Covarachía	2017	33.3%	82.2%	3.3%
Colombia	Coyaima	2000	59.7%	91.7%	30.7%
Colombia	Coyaima	2017	55.7%	87.0%	26.2%
Colombia	Cravo Norte	2000	79.0%	97.6%	45.4%
Colombia	Cravo Norte	2017	80.1%	95.8%	56.2%
Colombia	Cuaspud	2000	65.4%	74.1%	58.3%
Colombia	Cuaspud	2017	31.8%	40.3%	23.6%
Colombia	Cubará	2000	75.9%	98.3%	40.1%
Colombia	Cubará	2017	79.7%	96.9%	47.7%
Colombia	Cucaita	2000	98.2%	99.8%	94.4%
Colombia	Cucaita	2017	93.1%	99.3%	78.1%
Colombia	Cucunubá	2000	95.1%	98.3%	84.3%
Colombia	Cucunubá	2017	82.5%	94.2%	65.2%
Colombia	Cucutilla	2000	65.9%	100.0%	6.9%
Colombia	Cucutilla	2017	67.9%	100.0%	15.3%
Colombia	Cúitiva	2000	82.1%	100.0%	19.4%
Colombia	Cúitiva	2017	76.3%	99.8%	12.8%
Colombia	Cumaral	2000	69.1%	74.7%	63.9%
Colombia	Cumaral	2017	74.8%	79.5%	69.6%
Colombia	Cumaribo	2000	76.3%	83.7%	67.2%
Colombia	Cumaribo	2017	71.4%	78.1%	64.0%
Colombia	Cumbal	2000	63.6%	88.0%	32.1%
Colombia	Cumbal	2017	52.3%	78.3%	27.2%
Colombia	Cumbitara	2000	63.9%	99.6%	11.3%
Colombia	Cumbitara	2017	62.0%	98.2%	12.4%
Colombia	Cunday	2000	98.3%	100.0%	86.7%
Colombia	Cunday	2017	96.3%	99.9%	78.7%
Colombia	Curillo	2000	76.1%	97.2%	45.0%
Colombia	Curillo	2017	65.3%	92.9%	29.1%
Colombia	Curití	2000	49.6%	95.8%	8.0%
Colombia	Curití	2017	49.3%	91.5%	14.0%
Colombia	Curumaní	2000	84.3%	97.0%	47.0%
Colombia	Curumaní	2017	67.4%	87.7%	36.5%
Colombia	Dabeiba	2000	81.6%	96.9%	49.2%
Colombia	Dabeiba	2017	74.0%	92.2%	47.9%
Colombia	Dagua	2000	73.3%	98.3%	41.1%
Colombia	Dagua	2017	75.2%	97.8%	45.7%
Colombia	Dolores	2000	79.4%	100.0%	25.9%
Colombia	Dolores	2017	76.0%	99.9%	29.9%
Colombia	Don Matías	2000	96.3%	99.9%	82.6%
Colombia	Don Matías	2017	91.3%	99.7%	73.2%
Colombia	Dosquebradas	2000	97.8%	98.1%	97.5%
Colombia	Dosquebradas	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.6%
Colombia	Duitama	2000	96.1%	97.6%	93.2%
Colombia	Duitama	2017	74.1%	80.4%	67.8%
Colombia	Durania	2000	83.1%	100.0%	28.7%
Colombia	Durania	2017	71.3%	99.9%	17.8%
Colombia	Ebéjico	2000	95.3%	100.0%	71.9%
Colombia	Ebéjico	2017	92.1%	100.0%	62.6%
Colombia	El Águila	2000	82.3%	100.0%	19.6%
Colombia	El Águila	2017	78.1%	99.9%	19.0%
Colombia	El Bagre	2000	58.3%	81.3%	35.8%
Colombia	El Bagre	2017	56.3%	71.6%	41.1%
Colombia	El Banco	2000	76.0%	82.5%	68.1%
Colombia	El Banco	2017	51.9%	60.8%	41.7%
Colombia	El Cairo	2000	77.5%	100.0%	14.8%
Colombia	El Cairo	2017	73.1%	100.0%	14.4%
Colombia	El Calvario	2000	83.1%	99.9%	34.4%
Colombia	El Calvario	2017	79.3%	99.4%	37.1%
Colombia	El Cantón del San Pablo	2000	74.9%	96.3%	47.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	El Cantón del San Pablo	2017	79.0%	95.4%	57.2%
Colombia	El Carmen	2000	68.0%	96.6%	36.3%
Colombia	El Carmen	2017	64.3%	87.8%	37.8%
Colombia	El Carmen de Atrato	2000	68.4%	90.0%	44.3%
Colombia	El Carmen de Atrato	2017	70.8%	90.9%	45.5%
Colombia	El Carmen de Bolívar	2000	52.7%	68.5%	38.2%
Colombia	El Carmen de Bolívar	2017	76.9%	87.2%	63.7%
Colombia	El Carmen de Chucurí	2000	74.8%	99.0%	34.7%
Colombia	El Carmen de Chucurí	2017	68.0%	94.8%	35.6%
Colombia	El Carmen de Viboral	2000	96.1%	98.3%	91.2%
Colombia	El Carmen de Viboral	2017	82.9%	92.0%	69.5%
Colombia	El Castillo	2000	67.7%	83.3%	53.8%
Colombia	El Castillo	2017	42.1%	56.9%	33.7%
Colombia	El Cerrito	2000	70.5%	82.7%	49.0%
Colombia	El Cerrito	2017	67.2%	84.5%	48.2%
Colombia	El Charco	2000	62.9%	86.6%	39.7%
Colombia	El Charco	2017	64.3%	84.6%	40.0%
Colombia	El Cocuy	2000	82.9%	99.8%	37.1%
Colombia	El Cocuy	2017	76.0%	99.1%	27.5%
Colombia	El Colegio	2000	74.6%	96.6%	44.3%
Colombia	El Colegio	2017	49.1%	82.9%	21.9%
Colombia	El Copey	2000	92.1%	97.6%	83.6%
Colombia	El Copey	2017	88.1%	95.1%	77.3%
Colombia	El Doncello	2000	65.3%	82.9%	46.6%
Colombia	El Doncello	2017	57.0%	72.2%	40.0%
Colombia	El Dorado	2000	84.7%	99.1%	53.6%
Colombia	El Dorado	2017	68.6%	96.1%	34.2%
Colombia	El Dovio	2000	81.8%	99.9%	46.3%
Colombia	El Dovio	2017	80.4%	99.7%	48.7%
Colombia	El Encanto	2000	65.5%	81.8%	46.7%
Colombia	El Encanto	2017	73.5%	84.9%	60.5%
Colombia	El Espino	2000	23.7%	82.2%	4.0%
Colombia	El Espino	2017	40.8%	75.9%	20.1%
Colombia	El Guacamayo	2000	75.6%	100.0%	10.3%
Colombia	El Guacamayo	2017	75.7%	99.9%	25.6%
Colombia	El Guamo	2000	89.1%	99.7%	60.8%
Colombia	El Guamo	2017	90.2%	99.7%	62.6%
Colombia	El Litoral del San Juan	2000	68.4%	84.7%	48.4%
Colombia	El Litoral del San Juan	2017	76.2%	87.4%	62.7%
Colombia	El Molino	2000	99.2%	100.0%	94.3%
Colombia	El Molino	2017	98.3%	100.0%	75.7%
Colombia	El Paso	2000	62.2%	99.5%	19.7%
Colombia	El Paso	2017	65.2%	97.0%	23.4%
Colombia	El Paujíl	2000	49.0%	65.3%	31.5%
Colombia	El Paujíl	2017	48.0%	72.0%	25.7%
Colombia	El Peñon	2000	62.1%	99.4%	14.9%
Colombia	El Peñon	2000	70.3%	98.8%	27.4%
Colombia	El Peñon	2017	65.2%	97.9%	18.5%
Colombia	El Peñon	2017	60.5%	93.3%	20.5%
Colombia	El Piñón	2000	88.7%	97.4%	72.6%
Colombia	El Piñón	2017	86.0%	96.8%	67.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	El Playón	2000	81.3%	100.0%	17.1%
Colombia	El Playón	2017	74.1%	99.9%	18.0%
Colombia	El Retorno	2000	68.5%	79.3%	56.2%
Colombia	El Retorno	2017	61.4%	72.6%	48.3%
Colombia	El Rosario	2000	53.9%	99.0%	6.4%
Colombia	El Rosario	2017	58.1%	96.8%	15.1%
Colombia	El Santuario	2000	59.1%	93.4%	20.0%
Colombia	El Santuario	2017	50.4%	83.0%	23.4%
Colombia	El Tablón de Gomez	2000	86.0%	99.5%	63.0%
Colombia	El Tablón de Gomez	2017	82.9%	97.9%	55.5%
Colombia	El Tambo	2000	98.4%	99.8%	87.8%
Colombia	El Tambo	2000	74.0%	96.3%	42.5%
Colombia	El Tambo	2017	91.5%	98.8%	73.1%
Colombia	El Tambo	2017	72.5%	93.0%	45.1%
Colombia	El Tarra	2000	63.7%	100.0%	10.7%
Colombia	El Tarra	2017	67.7%	99.7%	22.1%
Colombia	El Zulia	2000	71.1%	90.8%	46.3%
Colombia	El Zulia	2017	66.7%	90.6%	40.5%
Colombia	Elías	2000	58.8%	96.9%	17.1%
Colombia	Elías	2017	64.2%	98.1%	23.7%
Colombia	Encino	2000	79.8%	100.0%	27.5%
Colombia	Encino	2017	79.9%	99.9%	34.3%
Colombia	Enciso	2000	90.5%	97.1%	79.1%
Colombia	Enciso	2017	60.0%	76.7%	46.1%
Colombia	Entrerriós	2000	92.8%	100.0%	45.4%
Colombia	Entrerriós	2017	87.7%	100.0%	35.7%
Colombia	Envigado	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Colombia	Envigado	2017	91.9%	95.0%	87.7%
Colombia	Espinal	2000	94.6%	96.9%	91.6%
Colombia	Espinal	2017	85.2%	90.8%	78.3%
Colombia	Facatativá	2000	97.3%	98.3%	95.0%
Colombia	Facatativá	2017	94.0%	96.5%	90.2%
Colombia	Falán	2000	95.2%	97.0%	92.5%
Colombia	Falán	2017	75.1%	82.7%	67.7%
Colombia	Filadelfia	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.1%
Colombia	Filadelfia	2017	81.8%	87.9%	72.3%
Colombia	Filandia	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.2%
Colombia	Filandia	2017	97.6%	99.5%	92.6%
Colombia	Firavitoba	2000	85.0%	99.8%	49.6%
Colombia	Firavitoba	2017	79.2%	99.1%	35.2%
Colombia	Flandes	2000	97.7%	99.9%	90.2%
Colombia	Flandes	2017	92.9%	99.6%	82.1%
Colombia	Florencia	2000	78.3%	91.4%	60.1%
Colombia	Florencia	2000	77.7%	81.1%	74.0%
Colombia	Florencia	2017	50.5%	69.4%	34.3%
Colombia	Florencia	2017	60.1%	64.5%	56.0%
Colombia	Floresta	2000	95.0%	96.3%	91.8%
Colombia	Floresta	2017	83.1%	86.8%	75.1%
Colombia	Florián	2000	96.9%	100.0%	71.7%
Colombia	Florián	2017	94.4%	100.0%	62.7%
Colombia	Florida	2000	70.3%	83.2%	52.0%
Colombia	Florida	2017	70.4%	84.5%	55.2%
Colombia	Floridablanca	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.3%
Colombia	Floridablanca	2017	73.5%	78.9%	67.8%
Colombia	Fómeque	2000	95.3%	99.4%	76.0%
Colombia	Fómeque	2017	91.2%	97.8%	71.9%
Colombia	Fonseca	2000	93.0%	100.0%	68.3%
Colombia	Fonseca	2017	91.6%	99.9%	66.6%
Colombia	Fortul	2000	68.9%	78.6%	51.8%
Colombia	Fortul	2017	68.5%	79.0%	52.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Fosca	2000	45.3%	67.0%	21.9%
Colombia	Fosca	2017	34.1%	74.0%	8.7%
Colombia	Francisco Pizarro	2000	82.4%	99.3%	39.0%
Colombia	Francisco Pizarro	2017	79.9%	97.7%	45.9%
Colombia	Fredonia	2000	87.2%	99.6%	39.8%
Colombia	Fredonia	2017	78.9%	98.6%	30.8%
Colombia	Fresno	2000	89.1%	93.7%	80.4%
Colombia	Fresno	2017	65.5%	76.3%	56.0%
Colombia	Frontino	2000	75.3%	93.9%	56.7%
Colombia	Frontino	2017	68.8%	86.5%	50.5%
Colombia	Fuente de Oro	2000	45.6%	59.6%	35.4%
Colombia	Fuente de Oro	2017	34.0%	42.0%	26.8%
Colombia	Fundación	2000	85.2%	94.9%	69.8%
Colombia	Fundación	2017	73.5%	92.3%	52.3%
Colombia	Funes	2000	59.3%	95.7%	23.5%
Colombia	Funes	2017	57.9%	91.1%	25.2%
Colombia	Funza	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.5%
Colombia	Funza	2017	87.5%	89.7%	85.0%
Colombia	Fúquene	2000	79.4%	100.0%	15.6%
Colombia	Fúquene	2017	70.7%	99.8%	12.2%
Colombia	Fusagasugá	2000	86.8%	90.9%	82.1%
Colombia	Fusagasugá	2017	69.4%	74.5%	64.8%
Colombia	Gachalá	2000	76.3%	97.8%	43.0%
Colombia	Gachalá	2017	70.9%	95.6%	38.8%
Colombia	Gachancipá	2000	97.2%	99.8%	81.4%
Colombia	Gachancipá	2017	87.8%	98.4%	46.6%
Colombia	Gachantivá	2000	61.9%	98.6%	6.2%
Colombia	Gachantivá	2017	49.7%	94.2%	5.1%
Colombia	Gachetá	2000	60.4%	100.0%	5.6%
Colombia	Gachetá	2017	66.9%	100.0%	19.5%
Colombia	Galán	2000	78.0%	100.0%	14.0%
Colombia	Galán	2017	72.4%	100.0%	19.3%
Colombia	Galapa	2000	96.0%	97.5%	94.2%
Colombia	Galapa	2017	94.2%	97.0%	89.1%
Colombia	Galeras	2000	98.3%	100.0%	85.3%
Colombia	Galeras	2017	95.1%	100.0%	70.3%
Colombia	Gama	2000	66.4%	100.0%	5.8%
Colombia	Gama	2017	67.9%	99.8%	7.1%
Colombia	Gamarra	2000	81.7%	97.9%	43.1%
Colombia	Gamarra	2017	62.7%	88.5%	28.1%
Colombia	Gámbita	2000	66.9%	97.6%	22.7%
Colombia	Gámbita	2017	60.5%	92.6%	18.4%
Colombia	Gámeza	2000	84.6%	99.9%	48.1%
Colombia	Gámeza	2017	83.9%	99.7%	47.7%
Colombia	Garagoa	2000	92.9%	97.7%	81.9%
Colombia	Garagoa	2017	75.2%	92.1%	51.7%
Colombia	Garzón	2000	60.4%	68.0%	55.2%
Colombia	Garzón	2017	62.0%	75.9%	52.1%
Colombia	Génova	2000	75.6%	79.6%	73.0%
Colombia	Génova	2017	62.2%	67.5%	49.7%
Colombia	Gigante	2000	76.5%	98.2%	29.5%
Colombia	Gigante	2017	77.6%	98.0%	34.6%
Colombia	Ginebra	2000	41.4%	71.6%	16.5%
Colombia	Ginebra	2017	46.7%	87.6%	17.0%
Colombia	Giraldo	2000	95.2%	100.0%	60.2%
Colombia	Giraldo	2017	89.4%	100.0%	32.6%
Colombia	Girardot	2000	96.7%	99.3%	90.0%
Colombia	Girardot	2017	95.2%	98.9%	86.8%
Colombia	Girardota	2000	99.3%	99.8%	97.4%
Colombia	Girardota	2017	94.6%	99.2%	83.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Girón	2000	81.7%	87.2%	74.3%
Colombia	Girón	2017	49.7%	73.2%	36.8%
Colombia	Gómez Plata	2000	93.6%	99.5%	63.6%
Colombia	Gómez Plata	2017	88.0%	96.6%	61.5%
Colombia	González	2000	52.7%	65.7%	42.8%
Colombia	González	2017	9.3%	13.6%	6.6%
Colombia	Gramalote	2000	87.4%	97.6%	69.5%
Colombia	Gramalote	2017	66.9%	94.8%	36.8%
Colombia	Granada	2000	69.0%	75.8%	62.3%
Colombia	Granada	2000	49.3%	86.3%	28.1%
Colombia	Granada	2017	43.7%	48.9%	37.5%
Colombia	Granada	2017	38.4%	71.1%	13.8%
Colombia	Guaca	2000	84.1%	100.0%	19.6%
Colombia	Guaca	2017	78.6%	99.8%	23.3%
Colombia	Guacamayas	2000	46.3%	70.0%	31.9%
Colombia	Guacamayas	2017	34.7%	68.7%	14.6%
Colombia	Guacarí	2000	81.6%	87.8%	77.3%
Colombia	Guacarí	2017	67.3%	80.9%	58.9%
Colombia	Guachetá	2000	80.1%	99.8%	20.5%
Colombia	Guachetá	2017	70.9%	99.3%	17.8%
Colombia	Guachucal	2000	49.4%	87.4%	14.9%
Colombia	Guachucal	2017	70.7%	96.1%	35.0%
Colombia	Guadalajara de Buga	2000	94.2%	99.0%	89.6%
Colombia	Guadalajara de Buga	2017	89.6%	95.9%	83.2%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	82.0%	98.9%	41.4%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	80.8%	88.7%	74.7%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	89.6%	98.6%	64.3%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	65.4%	90.7%	29.0%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	74.2%	93.7%	45.0%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	51.4%	60.9%	41.8%
Colombia	Guaduas	2000	93.7%	98.4%	74.7%
Colombia	Guaduas	2017	90.4%	97.1%	71.9%
Colombia	Guaitarilla	2000	92.7%	98.8%	76.1%
Colombia	Guaitarilla	2017	83.3%	92.6%	70.3%
Colombia	Gualmatán	2000	94.5%	97.7%	89.1%
Colombia	Gualmatán	2017	66.9%	83.4%	53.3%
Colombia	Guamal	2000	74.5%	99.0%	38.1%
Colombia	Guamal	2000	84.1%	99.7%	37.0%
Colombia	Guamal	2017	75.1%	98.1%	30.2%
Colombia	Guamal	2017	63.1%	94.3%	27.7%
Colombia	Guamo	2000	85.3%	87.8%	82.0%
Colombia	Guamo	2017	62.9%	71.5%	54.6%
Colombia	Guapí	2000	75.9%	93.3%	55.3%
Colombia	Guapí	2017	81.2%	94.1%	62.9%
Colombia	Guapotá	2000	83.9%	100.0%	25.8%
Colombia	Guapotá	2017	73.2%	99.7%	15.6%
Colombia	Guarandá	2000	36.3%	46.7%	28.1%
Colombia	Guarandá	2017	55.4%	63.5%	44.5%
Colombia	Guarne	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Colombia	Guarne	2017	98.6%	99.6%	97.0%
Colombia	Guasca	2000	63.7%	93.5%	21.1%
Colombia	Guasca	2017	60.1%	91.6%	18.5%
Colombia	Guatapé	2000	40.4%	97.9%	1.2%
Colombia	Guatapé	2017	56.8%	98.8%	3.3%
Colombia	Guataquí	2000	65.2%	99.8%	9.8%
Colombia	Guataquí	2017	71.7%	99.5%	20.7%
Colombia	Guatavita	2000	81.4%	100.0%	16.3%
Colombia	Guatavita	2017	77.5%	99.9%	22.7%
Colombia	Guateque	2000	75.8%	100.0%	5.7%
Colombia	Guateque	2017	73.5%	100.0%	3.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Guática	2000	83.9%	99.8%	31.4%
Colombia	Guática	2017	64.8%	96.7%	14.0%
Colombia	Guavatá	2000	63.1%	81.7%	55.8%
Colombia	Guavatá	2017	28.5%	39.9%	20.8%
Colombia	Guayabal de Siquima	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
Colombia	Guayabal de Siquima	2017	97.4%	99.0%	94.5%
Colombia	Guayabetal	2000	45.6%	99.4%	4.7%
Colombia	Guayabetal	2017	50.4%	95.4%	9.1%
Colombia	Guayatá	2000	69.2%	100.0%	2.4%
Colombia	Guayatá	2017	69.0%	100.0%	7.2%
Colombia	Güepsa	2000	92.7%	100.0%	44.9%
Colombia	Güepsa	2017	87.8%	100.0%	40.4%
Colombia	Guicán	2000	70.9%	99.7%	29.1%
Colombia	Guicán	2017	71.3%	98.0%	32.2%
Colombia	Gutiérrez	2000	86.3%	99.8%	51.4%
Colombia	Gutiérrez	2017	81.2%	98.8%	37.2%
Colombia	Hacarí	2000	46.6%	99.3%	4.5%
Colombia	Hacarí	2017	53.8%	97.1%	9.3%
Colombia	Hatillo de Loba	2000	99.5%	100.0%	98.2%
Colombia	Hatillo de Loba	2017	98.0%	99.9%	91.9%
Colombia	Hato	2000	71.6%	100.0%	7.2%
Colombia	Hato	2017	66.5%	100.0%	10.6%
Colombia	Hato Corozal	2000	64.9%	78.9%	53.6%
Colombia	Hato Corozal	2017	62.6%	76.7%	47.7%
Colombia	Heliconia	2000	98.4%	100.0%	90.4%
Colombia	Heliconia	2017	96.5%	100.0%	83.4%
Colombia	Herrán	2000	74.3%	99.8%	9.6%
Colombia	Herrán	2017	65.4%	98.4%	8.8%
Colombia	Herveo	2000	74.0%	98.3%	31.1%
Colombia	Herveo	2017	69.5%	92.7%	32.6%
Colombia	Hispania	2000	97.7%	99.8%	94.4%
Colombia	Hispania	2017	86.9%	99.7%	65.4%
Colombia	Hobo	2000	78.9%	98.7%	33.3%
Colombia	Hobo	2017	83.3%	99.7%	33.2%
Colombia	Honda	2000	81.3%	94.9%	51.3%
Colombia	Honda	2017	76.0%	92.5%	43.5%
Colombia	Ibagué	2000	94.0%	95.8%	91.8%
Colombia	Ibagué	2017	76.6%	80.0%	72.7%
Colombia	Icononzo	2000	72.6%	100.0%	10.3%
Colombia	Icononzo	2017	66.5%	99.7%	11.2%
Colombia	Iles	2000	72.7%	97.0%	44.1%
Colombia	Iles	2017	37.9%	75.0%	15.3%
Colombia	Imués	2000	71.2%	95.1%	44.9%
Colombia	Imués	2017	59.8%	88.0%	34.3%
Colombia	Inzá	2000	85.5%	97.3%	57.1%
Colombia	Inzá	2017	74.1%	90.7%	44.1%
Colombia	Ipiales	2000	82.2%	88.9%	74.7%
Colombia	Ipiales	2017	63.7%	75.6%	53.8%
Colombia	Iquira	2000	92.8%	99.6%	60.8%
Colombia	Iquira	2017	82.6%	97.3%	45.3%
Colombia	Isnos	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Colombia	Isnos	2017	66.3%	72.9%	60.4%
Colombia	Istmina	2000	79.1%	90.1%	63.2%
Colombia	Istmina	2017	86.2%	94.7%	71.7%
Colombia	Itagüí	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
Colombia	Itagüí	2017	86.7%	89.2%	82.0%
Colombia	Ituango	2000	77.9%	96.8%	55.9%
Colombia	Ituango	2017	72.9%	90.2%	51.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Izá	2000	82.0%	100.0%	23.9%
Colombia	Izá	2017	77.4%	99.9%	12.8%
Colombia	Jambaló	2000	88.1%	91.9%	82.4%
Colombia	Jambaló	2017	58.1%	70.6%	45.8%
Colombia	Jamundí	2000	95.8%	98.1%	90.8%
Colombia	Jamundí	2017	90.9%	96.5%	83.7%
Colombia	Jardín	2000	73.2%	98.7%	30.5%
Colombia	Jardín	2017	62.1%	93.2%	31.7%
Colombia	Jenesano	2000	68.0%	95.4%	31.8%
Colombia	Jenesano	2017	55.9%	90.2%	14.1%
Colombia	Jericó	2000	76.5%	84.0%	65.3%
Colombia	Jericó	2000	48.8%	100.0%	1.9%
Colombia	Jericó	2017	53.4%	99.7%	7.2%
Colombia	Jericó	2017	42.1%	52.4%	32.8%
Colombia	Jerusalén	2000	61.0%	98.1%	13.7%
Colombia	Jerusalén	2017	71.5%	98.2%	27.2%
Colombia	Jesús María	2000	55.3%	84.8%	23.6%
Colombia	Jesús María	2017	55.3%	82.3%	29.2%
Colombia	Jordán	2000	78.9%	99.8%	23.4%
Colombia	Jordán	2017	69.9%	99.1%	17.8%
Colombia	Juan de Acosta	2000	55.0%	99.0%	7.6%
Colombia	Juan de Acosta	2017	67.9%	98.6%	9.8%
Colombia	Junín	2000	67.4%	100.0%	9.7%
Colombia	Junín	2017	69.2%	99.9%	18.6%
Colombia	Juradó	2000	80.8%	100.0%	32.8%
Colombia	Juradó	2017	83.9%	100.0%	49.9%
Colombia	La Argentina	2000	86.3%	99.6%	63.7%
Colombia	La Argentina	2017	81.0%	97.3%	63.4%
Colombia	La Belleza	2000	89.5%	99.5%	72.1%
Colombia	La Belleza	2017	83.6%	97.3%	58.6%
Colombia	La Calera	2000	92.6%	94.3%	90.9%
Colombia	La Calera	2017	82.2%	86.0%	77.8%
Colombia	La Capilla	2000	89.7%	100.0%	38.7%
Colombia	La Capilla	2017	83.5%	99.8%	35.8%
Colombia	La Ceja	2000	91.6%	98.5%	74.5%
Colombia	La Ceja	2017	69.4%	96.9%	34.6%
Colombia	La Celia	2000	59.7%	99.6%	3.7%
Colombia	La Celia	2017	42.1%	95.9%	2.7%
Colombia	La Chorrera	2000	64.5%	75.9%	51.0%
Colombia	La Chorrera	2017	70.9%	80.4%	60.3%
Colombia	La Cruz	2000	67.8%	98.0%	27.9%
Colombia	La Cruz	2017	53.8%	89.9%	26.8%
Colombia	La Cumbre	2000	44.8%	86.0%	11.3%
Colombia	La Cumbre	2017	65.0%	94.8%	31.9%
Colombia	La Dorada	2000	92.2%	94.2%	88.0%
Colombia	La Dorada	2017	77.9%	84.4%	66.8%
Colombia	La Esperanza	2000	80.2%	99.0%	31.2%
Colombia	La Esperanza	2017	73.4%	95.6%	34.8%
Colombia	La Estrella	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Colombia	La Estrella	2017	91.8%	95.7%	86.8%
Colombia	La Florida	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Colombia	La Florida	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.7%
Colombia	La Gloria	2000	64.8%	99.7%	11.2%
Colombia	La Gloria	2017	65.0%	97.9%	20.2%
Colombia	La Guadalupe	2000	53.6%	90.7%	15.8%
Colombia	La Guadalupe	2017	60.3%	86.1%	29.5%
Colombia	La Jagua de Ibirico	2000	79.9%	98.0%	46.6%
Colombia	La Jagua de Ibirico	2017	69.8%	89.7%	38.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	La Llanada	2000	89.2%	99.9%	58.4%
Colombia	La Llanada	2017	87.3%	99.6%	56.4%
Colombia	La Macarena	2000	68.4%	79.2%	55.7%
Colombia	La Macarena	2017	66.9%	77.4%	54.1%
Colombia	La Merced	2000	85.8%	93.3%	76.9%
Colombia	La Merced	2017	37.1%	48.8%	27.9%
Colombia	La Mesa	2000	87.7%	96.6%	76.0%
Colombia	La Mesa	2017	65.4%	79.1%	53.5%
Colombia	La Montañita	2000	76.0%	83.6%	68.8%
Colombia	La Montañita	2017	68.2%	74.3%	61.9%
Colombia	La Palma	2000	58.0%	99.9%	4.9%
Colombia	La Palma	2017	57.9%	99.3%	10.3%
Colombia	La Paz	2000	78.1%	90.0%	59.9%
Colombia	La Paz	2000	81.1%	97.6%	39.6%
Colombia	La Paz	2017	69.1%	80.0%	55.1%
Colombia	La Paz	2017	77.0%	95.3%	37.5%
Colombia	La Pedrera	2000	66.4%	81.5%	47.9%
Colombia	La Pedrera	2017	75.7%	85.2%	62.3%
Colombia	La Peña	2000	72.6%	99.5%	20.4%
Colombia	La Peña	2017	67.7%	97.9%	21.2%
Colombia	La Plata	2000	89.1%	95.4%	74.7%
Colombia	La Plata	2017	84.5%	93.8%	71.9%
Colombia	La Playa de Belén	2000	46.2%	91.5%	9.3%
Colombia	La Playa de Belén	2017	46.0%	87.2%	10.4%
Colombia	La Primavera	2000	72.7%	81.8%	64.5%
Colombia	La Primavera	2017	68.8%	76.5%	61.2%
Colombia	La Salina	2000	61.3%	100.0%	3.0%
Colombia	La Salina	2017	62.4%	99.6%	6.6%
Colombia	La Sierra	2000	73.3%	98.1%	38.8%
Colombia	La Sierra	2017	67.5%	90.1%	42.9%
Colombia	La Tebaida	2000	89.8%	93.9%	86.3%
Colombia	La Tebaida	2017	87.1%	94.9%	79.1%
Colombia	La Tola	2000	72.6%	90.9%	51.7%
Colombia	La Tola	2017	72.2%	85.7%	54.2%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	36.4%	50.8%	31.9%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	79.0%	97.4%	56.5%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	96.6%	99.6%	86.7%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	77.7%	98.5%	25.3%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	68.2%	93.3%	39.1%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	69.6%	96.2%	25.3%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	32.7%	79.7%	16.7%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	91.1%	98.8%	76.0%
Colombia	La Uribe	2000	64.2%	84.8%	40.3%
Colombia	La Uribe	2017	64.1%	80.7%	49.1%
Colombia	La Uvita	2000	54.3%	92.3%	21.7%
Colombia	La Uvita	2017	35.0%	71.3%	8.9%
Colombia	La Vega	2000	83.4%	99.9%	27.5%
Colombia	La Vega	2000	76.5%	90.6%	58.7%
Colombia	La Vega	2017	54.9%	68.4%	40.9%
Colombia	La Vega	2017	77.1%	99.6%	26.5%
Colombia	La Victoria	2000	80.4%	98.0%	56.6%
Colombia	La Victoria	2000	32.0%	64.2%	6.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	La Victoria	2017	71.7%	97.0%	46.0%
Colombia	La Victoria	2017	36.0%	81.6%	5.2%
Colombia	La Virginia	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Colombia	La Virginia	2017	96.7%	99.1%	91.9%
Colombia	Labateca	2000	64.4%	81.6%	57.2%
Colombia	Labateca	2017	41.3%	62.6%	27.2%
Colombia	Labranzagrande	2000	27.0%	66.1%	3.5%
Colombia	Labranzagrande	2017	41.6%	78.1%	10.3%
Colombia	Landázuri	2000	77.8%	86.2%	65.2%
Colombia	Landázuri	2017	68.2%	79.5%	52.4%
Colombia	Lebrija	2000	87.0%	90.7%	82.9%
Colombia	Lebrija	2017	80.0%	87.0%	75.3%
Colombia	Leiva	2000	66.1%	96.5%	24.1%
Colombia	Leiva	2017	58.9%	92.1%	23.5%
Colombia	Lejanías	2000	85.9%	94.1%	71.3%
Colombia	Lejanías	2017	80.2%	87.0%	67.6%
Colombia	Lenguazaque	2000	87.2%	97.6%	57.8%
Colombia	Lenguazaque	2017	76.6%	95.1%	37.4%
Colombia	Lérida	2000	84.8%	98.5%	47.0%
Colombia	Lérida	2017	55.7%	86.8%	24.5%
Colombia	Leticia	2000	66.9%	73.5%	59.2%
Colombia	Leticia	2017	77.2%	83.8%	68.5%
Colombia	Líbano	2000	88.2%	95.0%	77.3%
Colombia	Líbano	2017	65.4%	88.1%	45.6%
Colombia	Liborina	2000	76.8%	100.0%	12.5%
Colombia	Liborina	2017	75.4%	100.0%	12.5%
Colombia	Linares	2000	97.0%	98.6%	89.7%
Colombia	Linares	2017	82.4%	93.1%	60.3%
Colombia	Lloró	2000	84.0%	99.2%	44.8%
Colombia	Lloró	2017	84.1%	99.2%	51.9%
Colombia	López de Micay	2000	63.9%	88.6%	38.3%
Colombia	López de Micay	2017	71.6%	89.5%	49.6%
Colombia	Los Andes	2000	76.6%	96.3%	44.7%
Colombia	Los Andes	2017	69.3%	89.7%	42.9%
Colombia	Los Córdoba	2000	73.1%	99.1%	20.9%
Colombia	Los Córdoba	2017	68.3%	96.3%	19.8%
Colombia	Los Palmitos	2000	96.5%	99.7%	81.0%
Colombia	Los Palmitos	2017	92.3%	99.3%	73.1%
Colombia	Los Patios	2000	88.7%	99.8%	44.6%
Colombia	Los Patios	2017	86.2%	99.6%	43.0%
Colombia	Los Santos	2000	98.4%	100.0%	89.3%
Colombia	Los Santos	2017	96.2%	99.9%	81.7%
Colombia	Lourdes	2000	90.0%	99.7%	50.3%
Colombia	Lourdes	2017	78.2%	98.1%	33.0%
Colombia	Luruaco	2000	74.0%	96.0%	42.0%
Colombia	Luruaco	2017	80.4%	99.0%	38.5%
Colombia	Macanal	2000	77.5%	96.9%	48.5%
Colombia	Macanal	2017	55.2%	92.4%	18.5%
Colombia	Macaravita	2000	6.2%	18.6%	1.7%
Colombia	Macaravita	2017	19.0%	44.8%	5.2%
Colombia	Maceo	2000	86.5%	100.0%	32.2%
Colombia	Maceo	2017	82.9%	99.9%	34.8%
Colombia	Machetá	2000	70.3%	100.0%	7.4%
Colombia	Machetá	2017	69.2%	100.0%	11.7%
Colombia	Madrid	2000	95.7%	98.5%	92.3%
Colombia	Madrid	2017	94.1%	98.8%	85.1%
Colombia	Magüí	2000	66.5%	83.5%	42.6%
Colombia	Magüí	2017	74.0%	86.6%	54.5%
Colombia	Mahates	2000	73.3%	93.8%	44.3%
Colombia	Mahates	2017	75.1%	97.0%	41.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Maicao	2000	82.2%	87.2%	75.1%
Colombia	Maicao	2017	80.3%	85.1%	73.9%
Colombia	Majagual	2000	75.1%	90.9%	55.2%
Colombia	Majagual	2017	76.9%	91.5%	56.8%
Colombia	Málaga	2000	87.0%	97.0%	65.9%
Colombia	Málaga	2017	61.9%	78.0%	45.2%
Colombia	Malambo	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Colombia	Malambo	2017	97.2%	98.5%	95.2%
Colombia	Mallama	2000	77.8%	100.0%	27.7%
Colombia	Mallama	2017	77.2%	99.9%	31.5%
Colombia	Manatí	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Colombia	Manatí	2017	98.5%	99.9%	89.7%
Colombia	Manaure	2000	71.6%	86.8%	48.8%
Colombia	Manaure	2000	79.0%	84.1%	74.5%
Colombia	Manaure	2017	73.4%	85.8%	39.0%
Colombia	Manaure	2017	80.8%	85.8%	76.2%
Colombia	Maní	2000	80.0%	95.3%	51.7%
Colombia	Maní	2017	81.3%	93.8%	57.7%
Colombia	Manizales	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Colombia	Manizales	2017	85.0%	86.9%	82.6%
Colombia	Manta	2000	71.2%	100.0%	3.4%
Colombia	Manta	2017	69.3%	100.0%	6.1%
Colombia	Manzanares	2000	86.6%	99.9%	29.7%
Colombia	Manzanares	2017	64.3%	98.6%	9.0%
Colombia	Mapiripán	2000	69.5%	81.1%	56.7%
Colombia	Mapiripán	2017	68.9%	79.0%	57.2%
Colombia	Margarita	2000	82.9%	100.0%	31.3%
Colombia	Margarita	2017	82.7%	99.7%	47.2%
Colombia	María la Baja	2000	64.3%	99.1%	19.4%
Colombia	María la Baja	2017	64.9%	98.1%	18.1%
Colombia	Marinilla	2000	89.0%	96.4%	77.0%
Colombia	Marinilla	2017	78.1%	85.5%	68.1%
Colombia	Maripí	2000	63.1%	70.7%	55.0%
Colombia	Maripí	2017	44.0%	53.5%	34.8%
Colombia	Marmato	2000	95.7%	99.3%	84.8%
Colombia	Marmato	2017	81.7%	94.7%	59.6%
Colombia	Marquetalia	2000	83.7%	100.0%	23.4%
Colombia	Marquetalia	2017	60.8%	99.6%	5.8%
Colombia	Marsella	2000	60.2%	70.7%	50.3%
Colombia	Marsella	2017	55.7%	68.2%	40.2%
Colombia	Marulanda	2000	93.3%	100.0%	54.5%
Colombia	Marulanda	2017	88.4%	99.8%	49.9%
Colombia	Matanza	2000	71.9%	94.4%	39.2%
Colombia	Matanza	2017	64.9%	88.3%	39.3%
Colombia	Medellín	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.8%
Colombia	Medellín	2017	91.1%	93.7%	87.4%
Colombia	Medina	2000	77.3%	93.3%	56.2%
Colombia	Medina	2017	78.0%	89.6%	62.6%
Colombia	Melgar	2000	79.1%	99.9%	14.7%
Colombia	Melgar	2017	70.4%	99.3%	18.3%
Colombia	Mercaderes	2000	56.5%	77.7%	40.0%
Colombia	Mercaderes	2017	39.1%	57.2%	23.3%
Colombia	Mesetas	2000	51.6%	60.9%	43.3%
Colombia	Mesetas	2017	46.6%	56.6%	37.1%
Colombia	Milán	2000	40.7%	50.9%	33.2%
Colombia	Milán	2017	37.9%	51.3%	27.3%
Colombia	Miraflores	2000	72.4%	100.0%	11.8%
Colombia	Miraflores	2000	61.5%	76.3%	46.1%
Colombia	Miraflores	2017	68.7%	99.9%	18.9%
Colombia	Miraflores	2017	65.9%	76.8%	52.7%
Colombia	Miranda	2000	89.2%	96.8%	79.8%
Colombia	Miranda	2017	78.2%	93.4%	60.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Mirití-Paraná	2000	66.1%	83.6%	45.9%
Colombia	Mirití-Paraná	2017	74.8%	86.7%	61.4%
Colombia	Mistrato	2000	71.3%	99.3%	34.5%
Colombia	Mistrato	2017	69.1%	95.2%	34.0%
Colombia	Mitú	2000	63.3%	72.1%	54.0%
Colombia	Mitú	2017	77.9%	85.0%	69.5%
Colombia	Mogotes	2000	72.8%	84.6%	54.5%
Colombia	Mogotes	2017	40.0%	54.7%	24.8%
Colombia	Molagavita	2000	71.3%	98.9%	23.9%
Colombia	Molagavita	2017	59.9%	93.2%	12.1%
Colombia	Momil	2000	79.1%	98.3%	40.5%
Colombia	Momil	2017	72.7%	98.1%	22.1%
Colombia	Mompós	2000	72.5%	86.6%	55.2%
Colombia	Mompós	2017	68.6%	80.9%	53.9%
Colombia	Mongua	2000	48.0%	86.2%	13.0%
Colombia	Mongua	2017	46.5%	87.7%	10.9%
Colombia	Monguí	2000	64.3%	75.6%	55.0%
Colombia	Monguí	2017	57.4%	74.9%	47.3%
Colombia	Moniquirá	2000	65.1%	88.9%	36.3%
Colombia	Moniquirá	2017	43.0%	66.1%	16.7%
Colombia	Moñitos	2000	73.7%	98.1%	18.4%
Colombia	Moñitos	2017	68.3%	94.8%	24.5%
Colombia	Montebello	2000	84.3%	99.9%	30.8%
Colombia	Montebello	2017	73.0%	99.0%	21.9%
Colombia	Montecristo	2000	70.5%	92.2%	40.0%
Colombia	Montecristo	2017	73.4%	91.7%	48.6%
Colombia	Montelíbano	2000	81.1%	93.9%	49.4%
Colombia	Montelíbano	2017	79.5%	91.4%	58.4%
Colombia	Montenegro	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Colombia	Montenegro	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Colombia	Montería	2000	72.4%	86.0%	54.8%
Colombia	Montería	2017	60.1%	71.9%	45.6%
Colombia	Monterrey	2000	85.6%	97.9%	66.5%
Colombia	Monterrey	2017	87.1%	97.3%	72.7%
Colombia	Morales	2000	81.9%	92.8%	65.6%
Colombia	Morales	2000	74.0%	95.0%	47.2%
Colombia	Morales	2017	59.6%	83.8%	35.3%
Colombia	Morales	2017	73.0%	90.7%	50.5%
Colombia	Morelia	2000	38.0%	87.1%	3.4%
Colombia	Morelia	2017	40.3%	74.2%	7.2%
Colombia	Morroa	2000	96.1%	99.3%	88.2%
Colombia	Morroa	2017	94.4%	97.6%	87.3%
Colombia	Mosquera	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Colombia	Mosquera	2000	59.3%	99.5%	10.4%
Colombia	Mosquera	2017	95.7%	97.3%	93.8%
Colombia	Mosquera	2017	63.3%	97.7%	14.7%
Colombia	Motavita	2000	79.5%	99.9%	27.4%
Colombia	Motavita	2017	81.9%	99.4%	39.7%
Colombia	Murillo	2000	74.9%	100.0%	20.4%
Colombia	Murillo	2017	75.4%	100.0%	27.5%
Colombia	Murindó	2000	72.1%	100.0%	24.8%
Colombia	Murindó	2017	77.3%	100.0%	38.5%
Colombia	Mutatá	2000	57.4%	97.9%	14.1%
Colombia	Mutatá	2017	65.4%	96.5%	33.6%
Colombia	Mutiscua	2000	66.5%	100.0%	2.6%
Colombia	Mutiscua	2017	67.4%	100.0%	9.0%
Colombia	Muzo	2000	71.4%	78.0%	64.8%
Colombia	Muzo	2017	33.5%	43.8%	26.4%
Colombia	Nariño	2000	86.3%	99.5%	52.4%
Colombia	Nariño	2000	75.4%	99.9%	18.2%
Colombia	Nariño	2017	88.1%	99.4%	54.0%
Colombia	Nariño	2017	73.4%	99.3%	19.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Nátaga	2000	95.7%	100.0%	56.6%
Colombia	Nátaga	2017	87.3%	99.3%	45.4%
Colombia	Natagaima	2000	76.0%	99.6%	20.8%
Colombia	Natagaima	2017	71.0%	97.2%	31.0%
Colombia	Nechí	2000	51.2%	78.2%	26.9%
Colombia	Nechí	2017	53.3%	78.5%	27.4%
Colombia	Necoclí	2000	80.7%	99.4%	50.4%
Colombia	Necoclí	2017	76.0%	97.6%	42.8%
Colombia	Neira	2000	97.1%	98.2%	95.6%
Colombia	Neira	2017	82.6%	86.1%	76.7%
Colombia	Neiva	2000	96.5%	97.9%	93.9%
Colombia	Neiva	2017	87.7%	90.5%	84.7%
Colombia	Nemocón	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.1%
Colombia	Nemocón	2017	96.0%	98.8%	89.6%
Colombia	Nilo	2000	79.9%	99.4%	27.8%
Colombia	Nilo	2017	69.0%	97.3%	19.2%
Colombia	Nimaima	2000	84.3%	96.6%	65.5%
Colombia	Nimaima	2017	66.4%	86.3%	43.7%
Colombia	Nobsa	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Colombia	Nobsa	2017	93.0%	94.5%	90.6%
Colombia	Nocaima	2000	80.9%	98.2%	50.0%
Colombia	Nocaima	2017	64.5%	88.1%	29.6%
Colombia	Novita	2000	65.6%	80.7%	51.8%
Colombia	Novita	2017	68.6%	79.8%	55.2%
Colombia	Nuevo Colón	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Colombia	Nuevo Colón	2017	84.4%	88.6%	78.5%
Colombia	Nunchía	2000	79.6%	95.6%	51.7%
Colombia	Nunchía	2017	67.3%	85.8%	44.7%
Colombia	Nuquí	2000	63.4%	94.4%	27.5%
Colombia	Nuquí	2017	73.4%	96.3%	39.5%
Colombia	Obando	2000	66.7%	73.7%	59.0%
Colombia	Obando	2017	53.8%	69.6%	39.5%
Colombia	Ocamonte	2000	78.3%	100.0%	6.5%
Colombia	Ocamonte	2017	73.0%	100.0%	6.4%
Colombia	Ocaña	2000	90.0%	92.0%	88.1%
Colombia	Ocaña	2017	75.7%	80.7%	71.0%
Colombia	Oiba	2000	88.0%	97.9%	53.0%
Colombia	Oiba	2017	66.8%	88.6%	31.3%
Colombia	Oicatá	2000	88.2%	90.2%	85.9%
Colombia	Oicatá	2017	77.6%	82.2%	72.0%
Colombia	Olaya	2000	80.8%	100.0%	10.2%
Colombia	Olaya	2017	77.3%	100.0%	33.5%
Colombia	Olaya Herrera	2000	67.9%	91.7%	32.7%
Colombia	Olaya Herrera	2017	66.4%	90.4%	35.2%
Colombia	Onzaga	2000	63.3%	99.2%	8.4%
Colombia	Onzaga	2017	58.9%	95.5%	16.0%
Colombia	Oporapa	2000	60.5%	97.9%	13.3%
Colombia	Oporapa	2017	62.3%	96.1%	12.3%
Colombia	Orito	2000	66.2%	82.4%	55.8%
Colombia	Orito	2017	61.8%	73.3%	54.9%
Colombia	Orocué	2000	76.6%	94.2%	47.4%
Colombia	Orocué	2017	77.9%	92.6%	56.5%
Colombia	Ortega	2000	58.0%	88.4%	16.9%
Colombia	Ortega	2017	47.5%	82.2%	17.8%
Colombia	Ospina	2000	90.3%	97.7%	73.5%
Colombia	Ospina	2017	74.3%	91.9%	42.9%
Colombia	Otanche	2000	89.4%	100.0%	46.8%
Colombia	Otanche	2017	83.3%	99.6%	36.0%
Colombia	Ovejas	2000	94.6%	98.6%	88.6%
Colombia	Ovejas	2017	89.9%	96.7%	80.7%
Colombia	Pachavita	2000	95.5%	100.0%	66.8%
Colombia	Pachavita	2017	89.8%	99.9%	54.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Pacho	2000	78.8%	98.6%	28.7%
Colombia	Pacho	2017	73.2%	96.9%	28.8%
Colombia	Pacoa	2000	60.1%	73.1%	47.4%
Colombia	Pacoa	2017	72.2%	82.1%	61.2%
Colombia	Pácora	2000	81.3%	93.0%	57.0%
Colombia	Pácora	2017	54.9%	73.8%	35.9%
Colombia	Padilla	2000	90.6%	93.8%	85.5%
Colombia	Padilla	2017	70.4%	76.8%	64.9%
Colombia	Páez	2000	85.2%	95.3%	71.7%
Colombia	Páez	2000	75.8%	100.0%	12.5%
Colombia	Páez	2017	75.7%	100.0%	15.3%
Colombia	Páez	2017	80.8%	91.8%	66.4%
Colombia	Paicol	2000	92.6%	99.5%	78.0%
Colombia	Paicol	2017	88.6%	99.5%	69.8%
Colombia	Pailitas	2000	94.0%	99.6%	69.1%
Colombia	Pailitas	2017	85.7%	96.7%	52.4%
Colombia	Paime	2000	57.5%	99.3%	2.6%
Colombia	Paime	2017	53.9%	96.1%	5.3%
Colombia	Paipa	2000	90.3%	99.6%	63.4%
Colombia	Paipa	2017	84.0%	99.0%	54.3%
Colombia	Pajarito	2000	48.1%	99.2%	4.4%
Colombia	Pajarito	2017	63.9%	99.3%	8.4%
Colombia	Palermo	2000	97.3%	99.6%	87.8%
Colombia	Palermo	2017	92.0%	98.2%	77.7%
Colombia	Palestina	2000	55.1%	88.1%	18.9%
Colombia	Palestina	2000	96.6%	99.6%	84.3%
Colombia	Palestina	2017	40.6%	71.6%	9.3%
Colombia	Palestina	2017	90.8%	98.5%	73.3%
Colombia	Palmar	2000	73.5%	100.0%	4.3%
Colombia	Palmar	2017	61.4%	99.9%	4.0%
Colombia	Palmar de Varela	2000	90.2%	99.9%	51.1%
Colombia	Palmar de Varela	2017	91.7%	99.8%	58.6%
Colombia	Palmas del Socorro	2000	79.5%	100.0%	5.5%
Colombia	Palmas del Socorro	2017	72.1%	100.0%	7.8%
Colombia	Palmira	2000	94.4%	95.5%	93.8%
Colombia	Palmira	2017	88.9%	90.7%	87.3%
Colombia	Pamplona	2000	75.5%	98.7%	12.7%
Colombia	Pamplona	2017	61.3%	97.7%	15.2%
Colombia	Pamplonita	2000	73.3%	99.7%	15.7%
Colombia	Pamplonita	2017	56.2%	97.0%	15.4%
Colombia	Pana Pana	2000	57.9%	75.7%	40.2%
Colombia	Pana Pana	2017	67.5%	78.2%	53.8%
Colombia	Pandi	2000	65.1%	100.0%	2.0%
Colombia	Pandi	2017	60.7%	100.0%	4.1%
Colombia	Panqueba	2000	73.8%	98.2%	52.1%
Colombia	Panqueba	2017	65.4%	95.6%	26.7%
Colombia	Papunahua	2000	60.8%	81.1%	40.2%
Colombia	Papunahua	2017	72.0%	86.9%	57.0%
Colombia	Páramo	2000	85.9%	99.7%	35.2%
Colombia	Páramo	2017	69.0%	98.8%	14.4%
Colombia	Paratebueno	2000	72.4%	84.1%	58.3%
Colombia	Paratebueno	2017	63.7%	74.4%	51.5%
Colombia	Pasca	2000	13.3%	46.1%	0.9%
Colombia	Pasca	2017	33.6%	76.4%	3.2%
Colombia	Patía	2000	69.0%	94.7%	34.8%
Colombia	Patía	2017	56.8%	82.8%	29.1%
Colombia	Pauna	2000	87.4%	95.8%	67.5%
Colombia	Pauna	2017	77.6%	94.9%	48.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Paya	2000	58.5%	97.9%	17.2%
Colombia	Paya	2017	66.2%	97.2%	26.4%
Colombia	Paz de Ariporo	2000	70.6%	78.1%	60.9%
Colombia	Paz de Ariporo	2017	68.6%	76.1%	60.6%
Colombia	Paz de Río	2000	76.9%	100.0%	4.6%
Colombia	Paz de Río	2017	74.7%	100.0%	7.5%
Colombia	Pedraza	2000	80.8%	100.0%	45.8%
Colombia	Pedraza	2017	80.8%	99.5%	49.8%
Colombia	Pelaya	2000	68.0%	99.5%	15.3%
Colombia	Pelaya	2017	61.6%	96.8%	14.5%
Colombia	Peñol	2000	54.9%	94.0%	8.1%
Colombia	Peñol	2017	64.6%	94.9%	21.0%
Colombia	Pensilvania	2000	91.5%	99.8%	56.9%
Colombia	Pensilvania	2017	83.3%	98.2%	45.6%
Colombia	Pequé	2000	86.1%	99.2%	64.3%
Colombia	Pequé	2017	70.6%	93.1%	44.2%
Colombia	Pereira	2000	94.2%	95.2%	92.7%
Colombia	Pereira	2017	81.3%	83.3%	78.9%
Colombia	Pesca	2000	50.6%	98.9%	4.5%
Colombia	Pesca	2017	54.1%	96.9%	8.8%
Colombia	Piedecuesta	2000	93.7%	95.6%	90.2%
Colombia	Piedecuesta	2017	55.8%	61.3%	50.6%
Colombia	Piedras	2000	60.7%	90.7%	21.3%
Colombia	Piedras	2017	61.8%	94.2%	22.8%
Colombia	Piendamó	2000	95.9%	97.5%	93.0%
Colombia	Piendamó	2017	73.9%	81.2%	66.8%
Colombia	Pijao	2000	99.4%	100.0%	95.4%
Colombia	Pijao	2017	97.1%	99.7%	85.0%
Colombia	Pinchote	2000	88.7%	95.6%	75.3%
Colombia	Pinchote	2017	59.1%	74.5%	42.8%
Colombia	Pinillos	2000	80.2%	94.8%	57.2%
Colombia	Pinillos	2017	77.8%	93.4%	55.6%
Colombia	Piojó	2000	61.7%	97.7%	20.2%
Colombia	Piojó	2017	78.7%	99.3%	46.0%
Colombia	Pisba	2000	54.6%	100.0%	6.1%
Colombia	Pisba	2017	62.9%	99.8%	16.4%
Colombia	Pital	2000	30.7%	66.5%	9.3%
Colombia	Pital	2017	39.2%	80.5%	9.6%
Colombia	Pitalito	2000	85.1%	87.1%	83.2%
Colombia	Pitalito	2017	60.3%	66.1%	55.0%
Colombia	Pivijay	2000	88.0%	99.2%	70.8%
Colombia	Pivijay	2017	84.4%	97.3%	64.8%
Colombia	Planadas	2000	81.1%	97.0%	64.8%
Colombia	Planadas	2017	77.9%	92.4%	63.9%
Colombia	Planeta Rica	2000	65.4%	84.5%	40.9%
Colombia	Planeta Rica	2017	56.9%	80.2%	30.9%
Colombia	Plato	2000	83.4%	97.4%	63.3%
Colombia	Plato	2017	80.0%	94.3%	60.1%
Colombia	Policarpa	2000	68.0%	93.1%	34.4%
Colombia	Policarpa	2017	62.8%	92.6%	22.3%
Colombia	Polonuevo	2000	98.9%	99.9%	95.3%
Colombia	Polonuevo	2017	97.0%	99.6%	87.3%
Colombia	Ponedera	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.5%
Colombia	Ponedera	2017	96.1%	99.6%	88.8%
Colombia	Popayán	2000	93.2%	94.7%	90.6%
Colombia	Popayán	2017	72.5%	80.3%	64.5%
Colombia	Pore	2000	78.0%	96.6%	53.6%
Colombia	Pore	2017	73.0%	94.1%	44.3%
Colombia	Potosí	2000	84.1%	92.2%	68.3%
Colombia	Potosí	2017	63.6%	75.4%	51.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Pradera	2000	85.3%	88.7%	82.6%
Colombia	Pradera	2017	79.3%	83.8%	74.9%
Colombia	Prado	2000	98.6%	99.8%	94.4%
Colombia	Prado	2017	90.4%	97.9%	67.4%
Colombia	Providencia	2000	96.7%	99.1%	85.2%
Colombia	Providencia	2017	87.4%	97.1%	56.6%
Colombia	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	35.8%	83.5%	5.5%
Colombia	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	50.0%	84.9%	16.1%
Colombia	Pueblo Rico	2000	46.0%	86.9%	13.2%
Colombia	Pueblo Rico	2017	57.5%	88.6%	21.2%
Colombia	Pueblo Viejo	2000	58.7%	79.2%	44.9%
Colombia	Pueblo Viejo	2017	58.7%	78.4%	45.1%
Colombia	Pueblorrico	2000	69.1%	93.9%	25.1%
Colombia	Pueblorrico	2017	56.2%	91.7%	12.9%
Colombia	Puente Nacional	2000	15.9%	51.0%	4.7%
Colombia	Puente Nacional	2017	21.4%	55.0%	3.0%
Colombia	Puerres	2000	95.8%	99.2%	82.6%
Colombia	Puerres	2017	83.6%	92.4%	62.6%
Colombia	Puerto Asís	2000	54.9%	65.1%	44.5%
Colombia	Puerto Asís	2017	49.2%	56.6%	40.9%
Colombia	Puerto Berrío	2000	91.3%	99.3%	69.5%
Colombia	Puerto Berrío	2017	83.8%	95.3%	65.4%
Colombia	Puerto Boyacá	2000	91.8%	97.0%	84.0%
Colombia	Puerto Boyacá	2017	76.5%	88.4%	62.4%
Colombia	Puerto Caicedo	2000	41.9%	66.4%	29.2%
Colombia	Puerto Caicedo	2017	33.1%	51.4%	20.6%
Colombia	Puerto Carreño	2000	78.6%	87.5%	64.7%
Colombia	Puerto Carreño	2017	65.0%	74.5%	55.3%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2000	59.5%	74.1%	45.6%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2000	99.0%	99.6%	97.1%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2017	93.1%	98.4%	83.5%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2017	69.1%	79.5%	56.9%
Colombia	Puerto Concordia	2000	65.2%	78.0%	49.0%
Colombia	Puerto Concordia	2017	65.5%	76.5%	49.5%
Colombia	Puerto Escondido	2000	69.6%	99.7%	17.4%
Colombia	Puerto Escondido	2017	67.9%	98.1%	28.8%
Colombia	Puerto Gaitán	2000	73.6%	85.6%	57.3%
Colombia	Puerto Gaitán	2017	73.2%	85.8%	54.9%
Colombia	Puerto Guzmán	2000	70.6%	96.8%	39.2%
Colombia	Puerto Guzmán	2017	71.3%	95.2%	43.5%
Colombia	Puerto Inírida	2000	52.5%	61.9%	44.1%
Colombia	Puerto Inírida	2017	62.3%	70.2%	56.0%
Colombia	Puerto Leguízamo	2000	66.4%	89.9%	39.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Puerto Leguízamo	2017	71.9%	89.9%	51.0%
Colombia	Puerto Libertador	2000	79.2%	92.2%	57.1%
Colombia	Puerto Libertador	2017	81.1%	93.0%	61.3%
Colombia	Puerto Lleras	2000	77.4%	93.6%	56.7%
Colombia	Puerto Lleras	2017	71.0%	88.0%	51.9%
Colombia	Puerto López	2000	79.5%	91.7%	60.2%
Colombia	Puerto López	2017	72.0%	89.2%	50.6%
Colombia	Puerto Nare	2000	88.6%	99.2%	61.0%
Colombia	Puerto Nare	2017	76.7%	95.0%	48.3%
Colombia	Puerto Nariño	2000	62.1%	70.8%	53.4%
Colombia	Puerto Nariño	2017	81.7%	88.9%	73.7%
Colombia	Puerto Parra	2000	68.5%	100.0%	13.8%
Colombia	Puerto Parra	2017	68.8%	99.7%	24.3%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2000	76.3%	91.2%	56.2%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2000	72.1%	86.2%	59.2%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2017	58.7%	74.3%	44.5%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2017	68.2%	80.4%	50.3%
Colombia	Puerto Rondón	2000	77.0%	89.8%	60.0%
Colombia	Puerto Rondón	2017	73.9%	88.8%	52.1%
Colombia	Puerto Salgar	2000	95.8%	97.4%	91.7%
Colombia	Puerto Salgar	2017	78.1%	89.2%	66.0%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2000	64.6%	84.4%	42.8%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2000	98.4%	100.0%	82.6%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2017	72.9%	88.3%	55.3%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2017	95.6%	99.8%	67.1%
Colombia	Puerto Tejada	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.8%
Colombia	Puerto Tejada	2017	81.4%	84.7%	77.8%
Colombia	Puerto Trunfo	2000	96.5%	99.4%	88.5%
Colombia	Puerto Trunfo	2017	90.3%	97.5%	72.3%
Colombia	Puerto Wilches	2000	81.8%	92.6%	49.8%
Colombia	Puerto Wilches	2017	76.9%	90.6%	44.8%
Colombia	Pulí	2000	52.2%	99.9%	3.4%
Colombia	Pulí	2017	53.1%	99.1%	5.9%
Colombia	Pupiales	2000	71.1%	82.2%	61.8%
Colombia	Pupiales	2017	63.9%	79.2%	50.2%
Colombia	Puracé	2000	96.7%	100.0%	68.4%
Colombia	Puracé	2017	94.5%	100.0%	64.2%
Colombia	Purificación	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.2%
Colombia	Purificación	2017	88.2%	92.3%	81.7%
Colombia	Purísima	2000	58.9%	95.8%	17.7%
Colombia	Purísima	2017	59.9%	92.8%	17.6%
Colombia	Quebradanegra	2000	79.2%	99.7%	30.8%
Colombia	Quebradanegra	2017	73.1%	99.0%	25.5%
Colombia	Quetame	2000	13.7%	34.5%	4.2%
Colombia	Quetame	2017	20.4%	56.7%	5.0%
Colombia	Quibdó	2000	79.3%	86.1%	73.3%
Colombia	Quibdó	2017	84.5%	89.0%	78.6%
Colombia	Quimbaya	2000	99.0%	99.5%	98.3%
Colombia	Quimbaya	2017	91.4%	95.8%	84.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Quinchía	2000	97.6%	100.0%	87.8%
Colombia	Quinchía	2017	91.3%	99.6%	63.1%
Colombia	Quípama	2000	97.2%	98.5%	95.5%
Colombia	Quípama	2017	86.2%	95.5%	74.6%
Colombia	Quipile	2000	46.1%	91.7%	4.5%
Colombia	Quipile	2017	33.7%	81.1%	4.5%
Colombia	Ragonvalia	2000	71.0%	99.8%	6.5%
Colombia	Ragonvalia	2017	64.5%	99.2%	8.7%
Colombia	Ramiriquí	2000	49.2%	98.6%	4.9%
Colombia	Ramiriquí	2017	52.5%	93.0%	8.2%
Colombia	Ráquira	2000	63.7%	99.3%	12.7%
Colombia	Ráquira	2017	59.0%	96.8%	10.8%
Colombia	Recetor	2000	60.8%	88.6%	25.6%
Colombia	Recetor	2017	73.7%	94.8%	38.9%
Colombia	Remedios	2000	76.1%	98.1%	34.7%
Colombia	Remedios	2017	72.3%	95.7%	39.0%
Colombia	Remolino	2000	96.2%	100.0%	81.0%
Colombia	Remolino	2017	95.5%	99.9%	81.3%
Colombia	Repelón	2000	93.4%	99.4%	82.5%
Colombia	Repelón	2017	94.8%	99.7%	83.5%
Colombia	Restrepo	2000	66.0%	97.9%	11.5%
Colombia	Restrepo	2000	86.0%	87.9%	84.1%
Colombia	Restrepo	2017	82.3%	83.7%	80.7%
Colombia	Restrepo	2017	75.6%	99.0%	24.5%
Colombia	Retiro	2000	99.1%	99.6%	98.0%
Colombia	Retiro	2017	94.8%	98.1%	90.2%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2000	95.5%	98.0%	90.6%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2000	78.6%	96.3%	52.7%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2017	75.1%	91.6%	52.3%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2017	85.6%	93.3%	72.5%
Colombia	Río de Oro	2000	83.7%	92.3%	71.4%
Colombia	Río de Oro	2017	51.6%	72.6%	34.8%
Colombia	Río Viejo	2000	64.7%	97.8%	11.5%
Colombia	Río Viejo	2017	67.7%	96.5%	22.9%
Colombia	Rioblanco	2000	68.7%	89.8%	44.8%
Colombia	Rioblanco	2017	70.6%	87.3%	51.1%
Colombia	Riofrío	2000	86.7%	100.0%	33.7%
Colombia	Riofrío	2017	84.7%	100.0%	34.2%
Colombia	Riohacha	2000	83.5%	88.4%	77.7%
Colombia	Riohacha	2017	73.2%	78.0%	66.7%
Colombia	Rionegro	2000	94.4%	97.2%	90.6%
Colombia	Rionegro	2000	44.7%	55.8%	28.3%
Colombia	Rionegro	2017	85.3%	92.0%	76.4%
Colombia	Rionegro	2017	46.5%	61.1%	32.1%
Colombia	Riosucio	2000	69.4%	87.5%	50.8%
Colombia	Riosucio	2000	91.5%	94.0%	89.4%
Colombia	Riosucio	2017	73.2%	87.9%	55.3%
Colombia	Riosucio	2017	79.0%	84.8%	73.3%
Colombia	Risaralda	2000	74.7%	89.6%	60.4%
Colombia	Risaralda	2017	56.1%	74.1%	31.6%
Colombia	Rivera	2000	75.8%	97.9%	50.2%
Colombia	Rivera	2017	66.1%	91.7%	46.7%
Colombia	Roberto Payán	2000	70.8%	94.8%	42.8%
Colombia	Roberto Payán	2017	66.2%	84.9%	39.8%
Colombia	Roldanillo	2000	97.0%	98.6%	91.9%
Colombia	Roldanillo	2017	94.4%	98.3%	83.8%
Colombia	Roncesvalles	2000	49.9%	94.0%	14.7%
Colombia	Roncesvalles	2017	54.2%	89.9%	17.5%
Colombia	Rondón	2000	54.9%	99.9%	2.7%
Colombia	Rondón	2017	61.3%	99.2%	6.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Rosas	2000	58.4%	99.3%	16.8%
Colombia	Rosas	2017	59.3%	93.9%	24.9%
Colombia	Rovira	2000	67.6%	99.2%	23.0%
Colombia	Rovira	2017	60.9%	94.7%	23.1%
Colombia	Sabana de Torres	2000	79.7%	92.8%	42.9%
Colombia	Sabana de Torres	2017	73.5%	92.1%	38.5%
Colombia	Sabanagrande	2000	98.4%	100.0%	86.6%
Colombia	Sabanagrande	2017	96.3%	100.0%	68.4%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	74.9%	98.4%	37.8%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	98.2%	99.2%	96.3%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	74.5%	99.9%	25.1%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	96.6%	98.5%	93.3%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	68.3%	98.7%	17.5%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	72.9%	95.0%	42.9%
Colombia	Sabaneta	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Colombia	Sabaneta	2017	92.9%	95.8%	89.6%
Colombia	Saboyá	2000	82.2%	86.1%	77.9%
Colombia	Saboyá	2017	34.8%	41.2%	28.8%
Colombia	Sácama	2000	65.1%	95.0%	23.7%
Colombia	Sácama	2017	71.0%	95.8%	33.0%
Colombia	Sáchica	2000	86.3%	98.8%	60.8%
Colombia	Sáchica	2017	69.7%	98.6%	28.2%
Colombia	Saladoblanco	2000	84.2%	98.6%	60.9%
Colombia	Saladoblanco	2017	68.2%	90.2%	42.6%
Colombia	Salamina	2000	90.5%	97.3%	83.8%
Colombia	Salamina	2000	93.1%	95.2%	90.2%
Colombia	Salamina	2017	90.8%	98.5%	75.7%
Colombia	Salamina	2017	69.9%	75.6%	62.0%
Colombia	Salazar de las Palmas	2000	86.3%	97.5%	66.2%
Colombia	Salazar de las Palmas	2017	67.8%	86.5%	46.4%
Colombia	Saldaña	2000	84.0%	97.3%	70.8%
Colombia	Saldaña	2017	62.8%	87.8%	45.6%
Colombia	Salento	2000	95.0%	98.5%	91.0%
Colombia	Salento	2017	74.5%	85.6%	61.4%
Colombia	Salgar	2000	87.7%	96.8%	77.4%
Colombia	Salgar	2017	72.6%	90.5%	49.8%
Colombia	Samacá	2000	83.5%	98.6%	52.3%
Colombia	Samacá	2017	72.5%	93.7%	46.5%
Colombia	Samaná	2000	72.3%	98.4%	41.1%
Colombia	Samaná	2017	66.2%	92.5%	38.2%
Colombia	Samaniego	2000	95.0%	97.7%	89.3%
Colombia	Samaniego	2017	83.0%	89.4%	72.1%
Colombia	Sampués	2000	98.1%	98.9%	97.1%
Colombia	Sampués	2017	98.3%	99.3%	96.1%
Colombia	San Agustín	2000	68.0%	84.2%	49.8%
Colombia	San Agustín	2017	77.6%	92.3%	59.7%
Colombia	San Alberto	2000	74.2%	93.5%	40.7%
Colombia	San Alberto	2017	67.3%	90.0%	34.3%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2000	91.1%	99.9%	41.0%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2000	68.5%	99.9%	12.4%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2017	82.9%	99.5%	29.4%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2017	66.6%	99.3%	12.4%
Colombia	San Andrés de Sotavento	2000	51.1%	60.1%	43.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Andrés de Sotavento	2017	59.5%	70.4%	46.6%
Colombia	San Antero	2000	83.2%	99.7%	23.4%
Colombia	San Antero	2017	81.0%	97.2%	47.8%
Colombia	San Antonio	2000	60.9%	98.1%	13.5%
Colombia	San Antonio	2017	56.1%	94.6%	20.2%
Colombia	San Antonio de Palmito	2000	91.7%	99.4%	70.1%
Colombia	San Antonio de Palmito	2017	88.4%	99.4%	58.4%
Colombia	San Antonio del Tequendama	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Colombia	San Antonio del Tequendama	2017	97.7%	99.8%	91.7%
Colombia	San Benito	2000	83.6%	100.0%	10.9%
Colombia	San Benito	2017	79.6%	100.0%	13.4%
Colombia	San Benito Abad	2000	79.3%	97.6%	48.1%
Colombia	San Benito Abad	2017	78.4%	95.9%	54.4%
Colombia	San Bernardino de Sahagún	2000	67.2%	89.1%	41.9%
Colombia	San Bernardino de Sahagún	2017	70.5%	87.3%	48.2%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2000	67.5%	100.0%	5.5%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2000	71.6%	98.2%	35.2%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2017	66.1%	100.0%	4.9%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2017	63.2%	88.2%	37.5%
Colombia	San Bernardo del Viento	2000	77.9%	85.2%	65.8%
Colombia	San Bernardo del Viento	2017	68.1%	76.8%	57.8%
Colombia	San Calixto	2000	58.5%	91.3%	16.5%
Colombia	San Calixto	2017	52.8%	88.0%	13.8%
Colombia	San Carlos	2000	70.6%	76.6%	59.0%
Colombia	San Carlos	2000	75.5%	99.1%	20.2%
Colombia	San Carlos	2017	67.6%	75.8%	58.9%
Colombia	San Carlos	2017	72.2%	98.2%	23.5%
Colombia	San Carlos de Guaroa	2000	71.1%	91.7%	48.7%
Colombia	San Carlos de Guaroa	2017	68.1%	89.2%	45.7%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2000	86.6%	100.0%	39.8%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2000	93.9%	100.0%	55.4%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2017	82.3%	99.8%	39.4%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2017	91.4%	100.0%	53.0%
Colombia	San Diego	2000	87.8%	99.2%	41.3%
Colombia	San Diego	2017	83.9%	98.0%	37.2%
Colombia	San Eduardo	2000	73.5%	100.0%	10.2%
Colombia	San Eduardo	2017	68.8%	99.6%	8.0%
Colombia	San Estanislao de Kostka	2000	99.0%	99.7%	96.3%
Colombia	San Estanislao de Kostka	2017	96.5%	99.6%	89.1%
Colombia	San Felipe	2000	58.2%	80.0%	35.7%
Colombia	San Felipe	2017	66.4%	83.3%	45.8%
Colombia	San Fernando	2000	91.3%	97.8%	73.7%
Colombia	San Fernando	2017	75.8%	88.7%	57.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	87.2%	99.9%	41.0%
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	56.4%	99.2%	6.8%
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	71.5%	94.6%	37.1%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	58.5%	96.2%	13.9%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	80.6%	99.6%	29.2%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	57.0%	84.3%	32.0%
Colombia	San Gil	2000	73.4%	99.1%	28.8%
Colombia	San Gil	2017	57.1%	92.5%	27.2%
Colombia	San Jacinto	2000	83.7%	95.5%	67.3%
Colombia	San Jacinto	2017	83.9%	94.8%	64.8%
Colombia	San Jerónimo	2000	99.3%	100.0%	95.3%
Colombia	San Jerónimo	2017	97.9%	100.0%	83.8%
Colombia	San Joaquín	2000	60.0%	96.1%	12.6%
Colombia	San Joaquín	2017	41.1%	82.8%	5.0%
Colombia	San José de Cúcuta	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.7%
Colombia	San José de Cúcuta	2017	85.8%	87.9%	83.7%
Colombia	San José de la Montaña	2000	87.5%	100.0%	32.5%
Colombia	San José de la Montaña	2017	80.0%	99.8%	27.4%
Colombia	San José de Miranda	2000	86.4%	93.7%	73.3%
Colombia	San José de Miranda	2017	53.9%	71.6%	32.3%
Colombia	San Jose de Ocune	2000	70.2%	77.2%	62.0%
Colombia	San Jose de Ocune	2017	71.6%	77.3%	64.9%
Colombia	San José de Pare	2000	86.3%	92.3%	67.8%
Colombia	San José de Pare	2017	51.5%	60.5%	37.5%
Colombia	San José del Fragua	2000	66.7%	90.2%	39.0%
Colombia	San José del Fragua	2017	63.4%	82.8%	38.6%
Colombia	San José del Guaviare	2000	58.9%	64.4%	53.9%
Colombia	San José del Guaviare	2017	49.8%	53.8%	46.0%
Colombia	San José del Palmar	2000	74.2%	97.8%	25.7%
Colombia	San José del Palmar	2017	56.0%	88.7%	15.9%
Colombia	San Juan de Arama	2000	82.7%	96.3%	61.2%
Colombia	San Juan de Arama	2017	78.8%	92.7%	56.0%
Colombia	San Juan de Betulia	2000	98.5%	99.8%	88.9%
Colombia	San Juan de Betulia	2017	93.2%	98.1%	78.4%
Colombia	San Juan de Pasto	2000	90.2%	96.3%	86.3%
Colombia	San Juan de Pasto	2017	81.2%	87.4%	75.3%
Colombia	San Juan de Río Seco	2000	57.5%	85.2%	39.9%
Colombia	San Juan de Río Seco	2017	63.7%	89.1%	34.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Juan de Urabá	2000	77.3%	99.5%	31.1%
Colombia	San Juan de Urabá	2017	70.4%	96.0%	27.2%
Colombia	San Juan del Cesar	2000	45.0%	80.2%	21.9%
Colombia	San Juan del Cesar	2017	61.0%	88.5%	32.5%
Colombia	San Juan Nepomuceno	2000	65.1%	74.6%	56.4%
Colombia	San Juan Nepomuceno	2017	89.4%	93.7%	83.9%
Colombia	San Juanito	2000	89.1%	100.0%	32.3%
Colombia	San Juanito	2017	87.3%	100.0%	31.9%
Colombia	San Lorenzo	2000	98.8%	99.9%	96.0%
Colombia	San Lorenzo	2017	94.7%	99.4%	86.2%
Colombia	San Luis	2000	62.4%	95.8%	18.7%
Colombia	San Luis	2017	50.8%	82.5%	13.6%
Colombia	San Luís	2000	83.5%	92.5%	75.6%
Colombia	San Luís	2017	73.5%	82.3%	63.2%
Colombia	San Luis de Cubarral	2000	71.1%	93.7%	43.5%
Colombia	San Luis de Cubarral	2017	66.9%	90.3%	35.0%
Colombia	San Luis de Gaceno	2000	62.7%	100.0%	5.9%
Colombia	San Luis de Gaceno	2017	67.2%	99.7%	12.4%
Colombia	San Luis de Palenque	2000	68.8%	93.0%	37.0%
Colombia	San Luis de Palenque	2017	72.7%	93.2%	42.9%
Colombia	San Marcos	2000	85.7%	92.6%	76.3%
Colombia	San Marcos	2017	80.3%	90.9%	64.9%
Colombia	San Martín	2000	80.0%	90.2%	61.2%
Colombia	San Martín	2000	70.1%	91.9%	38.5%
Colombia	San Martín	2017	73.2%	86.4%	52.5%
Colombia	San Martín	2017	55.0%	84.8%	24.1%
Colombia	San Martín de Loba	2000	75.8%	88.4%	58.0%
Colombia	San Martín de Loba	2017	65.0%	77.9%	48.8%
Colombia	San Mateo	2000	67.5%	75.5%	59.8%
Colombia	San Mateo	2017	26.4%	38.0%	18.7%
Colombia	San Miguel	2000	60.4%	70.7%	53.6%
Colombia	San Miguel	2017	25.0%	33.9%	16.6%
Colombia	San Miguel de Mocoa	2000	91.9%	96.2%	86.1%
Colombia	San Miguel de Mocoa	2017	75.6%	81.2%	69.5%
Colombia	San Miguel de Sema	2000	73.9%	88.5%	60.6%
Colombia	San Miguel de Sema	2017	63.3%	81.0%	49.7%
Colombia	San Onofre	2000	88.3%	98.6%	66.4%
Colombia	San Onofre	2017	86.1%	97.6%	64.2%
Colombia	San Pablo	2000	96.3%	97.9%	94.1%
Colombia	San Pablo	2000	87.7%	98.7%	67.8%
Colombia	San Pablo	2017	74.3%	83.1%	63.4%
Colombia	San Pablo	2017	83.5%	96.9%	53.5%
Colombia	San Pablo de Borbur	2000	90.1%	97.7%	63.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Pablo de Borbur	2017	71.2%	90.5%	35.0%
Colombia	San Pedro	2000	99.6%	100.0%	96.7%
Colombia	San Pedro	2000	86.2%	98.0%	64.1%
Colombia	San Pedro	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.9%
Colombia	San Pedro	2017	89.0%	99.2%	57.1%
Colombia	San Pedro de Cartago	2000	98.7%	99.5%	97.1%
Colombia	San Pedro de Cartago	2017	91.1%	97.0%	83.5%
Colombia	San Pedro de los Milagros	2000	99.3%	100.0%	91.5%
Colombia	San Pedro de los Milagros	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.9%
Colombia	San Pedro de Urabá	2000	75.4%	83.5%	63.9%
Colombia	San Pedro de Urabá	2017	59.6%	70.8%	48.6%
Colombia	San Pelayo	2000	39.0%	64.0%	17.8%
Colombia	San Pelayo	2017	45.5%	73.0%	22.6%
Colombia	San Rafael	2000	62.0%	99.8%	11.5%
Colombia	San Rafael	2017	65.5%	98.7%	19.8%
Colombia	San Roque	2000	89.0%	99.3%	57.5%
Colombia	San Roque	2017	75.8%	98.4%	40.0%
Colombia	San Sebastián	2000	77.1%	99.7%	35.4%
Colombia	San Sebastián	2017	74.6%	99.0%	39.5%
Colombia	San Sebastián de Buenavista	2000	84.2%	99.9%	44.0%
Colombia	San Sebastián de Buenavista	2017	81.3%	99.6%	42.9%
Colombia	San Sebastian de Mariquita	2000	91.2%	95.1%	86.7%
Colombia	San Sebastian de Mariquita	2017	65.2%	75.9%	57.2%
Colombia	San Vicente	2000	94.3%	99.9%	77.6%
Colombia	San Vicente	2017	91.6%	99.8%	68.9%
Colombia	San Vicente de Chucurí	2000	64.6%	80.1%	47.2%
Colombia	San Vicente de Chucurí	2017	54.1%	72.0%	33.4%
Colombia	San Vicente del Caguán	2000	79.2%	86.0%	71.6%
Colombia	San Vicente del Caguán	2017	72.8%	79.3%	65.6%
Colombia	San Zenón	2000	93.8%	99.5%	79.6%
Colombia	San Zenón	2017	84.7%	97.8%	57.4%
Colombia	Sandoná	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.7%
Colombia	Sandoná	2017	98.1%	99.9%	92.1%
Colombia	Santa Ana	2000	69.0%	91.0%	44.2%
Colombia	Santa Ana	2017	69.2%	87.7%	51.1%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	98.6%	100.0%	89.8%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	81.8%	98.5%	54.0%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	63.6%	92.8%	31.8%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	68.5%	91.8%	38.9%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	96.5%	99.8%	82.7%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	68.5%	91.6%	40.4%
Colombia	Santa Catalina	2000	70.1%	88.8%	53.1%
Colombia	Santa Catalina	2017	86.4%	93.4%	74.6%
Colombia	Santa Cruz	2000	92.6%	99.9%	67.1%
Colombia	Santa Cruz	2017	88.1%	99.6%	50.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Santa Cruz de Lorica	2000	70.1%	89.5%	45.8%
Colombia	Santa Cruz de Lorica	2017	66.2%	83.5%	46.0%
Colombia	Santa Fe de Antioquia	2000	85.5%	99.9%	39.5%
Colombia	Santa Fe de Antioquia	2017	80.6%	99.4%	45.0%
Colombia	Santa Helena del Opón	2000	71.0%	99.9%	21.0%
Colombia	Santa Helena del Opón	2017	72.8%	99.6%	29.2%
Colombia	Santa Isabel	2000	80.6%	99.8%	40.0%
Colombia	Santa Isabel	2017	74.6%	98.8%	37.2%
Colombia	Santa Lucía	2000	98.6%	100.0%	90.0%
Colombia	Santa Lucía	2017	97.0%	100.0%	79.0%
Colombia	Santa María	2000	87.6%	100.0%	33.0%
Colombia	Santa María	2000	54.2%	98.8%	5.7%
Colombia	Santa María	2017	84.0%	100.0%	36.0%
Colombia	Santa María	2017	60.3%	94.7%	15.5%
Colombia	Santa Marta (Dist. Esp.)	2000	93.0%	94.9%	90.6%
Colombia	Santa Marta (Dist. Esp.)	2017	90.4%	92.8%	87.1%
Colombia	Santa Rita	2000	69.4%	82.8%	56.4%
Colombia	Santa Rita	2017	69.4%	78.5%	59.4%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2000	86.6%	96.1%	71.1%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2000	65.2%	90.8%	37.2%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2017	66.5%	86.5%	42.8%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2017	77.3%	97.3%	43.4%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Cabal	2000	95.0%	97.6%	88.3%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Cabal	2017	76.3%	85.6%	67.3%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Osos	2000	90.1%	99.0%	51.9%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Osos	2017	78.8%	94.3%	46.8%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2017	83.0%	87.0%	79.1%
Colombia	Santa Rosa del Sur	2000	52.6%	70.0%	33.6%
Colombia	Santa Rosa del Sur	2017	60.9%	76.8%	43.8%
Colombia	Santa Rosalía	2000	70.4%	85.7%	57.1%
Colombia	Santa Rosalía	2017	61.6%	73.7%	45.7%
Colombia	Santa Sofía	2000	61.2%	91.7%	24.6%
Colombia	Santa Sofía	2017	36.8%	84.3%	6.4%
Colombia	Santafé de Bogotá	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.3%
Colombia	Santafé de Bogotá	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Colombia	Santana	2000	83.7%	100.0%	18.2%
Colombia	Santana	2017	78.9%	100.0%	16.5%
Colombia	Santander de Quilichao	2000	81.8%	87.9%	73.8%
Colombia	Santander de Quilichao	2017	71.2%	78.8%	63.6%
Colombia	Santiago	2000	80.0%	99.9%	23.1%
Colombia	Santiago	2000	78.8%	97.0%	50.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Santiago	2017	72.7%	99.6%	16.1%
Colombia	Santiago	2017	66.3%	90.2%	30.5%
Colombia	Santiago de Cali	2000	99.1%	99.2%	99.0%
Colombia	Santiago de Cali	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.7%
Colombia	Santo Domingo	2000	83.0%	99.5%	46.3%
Colombia	Santo Domingo	2017	73.0%	96.9%	33.8%
Colombia	Santo Domingo de Silos	2000	70.4%	100.0%	13.3%
Colombia	Santo Domingo de Silos	2017	71.5%	100.0%	23.3%
Colombia	Santo Tomás	2000	93.4%	100.0%	54.4%
Colombia	Santo Tomás	2017	92.8%	99.9%	61.4%
Colombia	Santuario	2000	80.7%	88.3%	67.2%
Colombia	Santuario	2017	40.1%	57.3%	27.2%
Colombia	Sapuyes	2000	71.9%	98.5%	16.2%
Colombia	Sapuyes	2017	70.0%	98.4%	18.3%
Colombia	Saravena	2000	95.6%	98.6%	91.4%
Colombia	Saravena	2017	91.1%	96.0%	83.4%
Colombia	Sardinata	2000	74.5%	88.0%	51.1%
Colombia	Sardinata	2017	59.2%	72.5%	38.5%
Colombia	Sasaima	2000	90.4%	99.9%	58.2%
Colombia	Sasaima	2017	91.9%	99.8%	69.2%
Colombia	Sativanorte	2000	63.9%	100.0%	2.6%
Colombia	Sativanorte	2017	65.4%	100.0%	6.9%
Colombia	Sativasur	2000	68.5%	100.0%	1.2%
Colombia	Sativasur	2017	69.6%	100.0%	5.3%
Colombia	Segovia	2000	82.2%	97.6%	56.7%
Colombia	Segovia	2017	74.3%	91.7%	50.4%
Colombia	Sesquilé	2000	95.8%	100.0%	70.1%
Colombia	Sesquilé	2017	94.2%	100.0%	54.6%
Colombia	Sevilla	2000	77.1%	91.3%	62.2%
Colombia	Sevilla	2017	69.0%	84.0%	42.9%
Colombia	Siachoque	2000	54.3%	92.4%	17.8%
Colombia	Siachoque	2017	70.8%	97.7%	32.0%
Colombia	Sibaté	2000	71.3%	90.7%	33.5%
Colombia	Sibaté	2017	69.6%	85.7%	28.8%
Colombia	Sibundoy	2000	87.4%	98.7%	49.8%
Colombia	Sibundoy	2017	67.4%	92.3%	32.0%
Colombia	Silvania	2000	85.4%	88.7%	81.9%
Colombia	Silvania	2017	66.7%	72.8%	60.7%
Colombia	Silvia	2000	59.8%	79.3%	45.6%
Colombia	Silvia	2017	58.3%	75.3%	40.6%
Colombia	Simacota	2000	68.5%	99.0%	28.0%
Colombia	Simacota	2017	68.2%	95.6%	32.7%
Colombia	Simijaca	2000	95.5%	97.8%	90.2%
Colombia	Simijaca	2017	88.3%	93.9%	80.8%
Colombia	Simití	2000	78.4%	96.1%	60.0%
Colombia	Simití	2017	82.5%	95.4%	68.3%
Colombia	Sincé	2000	94.9%	99.4%	83.0%
Colombia	Sincé	2017	91.2%	98.4%	73.3%
Colombia	Sincelejo	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Colombia	Sincelejo	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Colombia	Sipí	2000	55.8%	94.7%	21.1%
Colombia	Sipí	2017	66.1%	92.2%	34.7%
Colombia	Soacha	2000	94.8%	98.4%	87.8%
Colombia	Soacha	2017	89.8%	94.5%	82.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Soatá	2000	58.6%	96.4%	7.4%
Colombia	Soatá	2017	31.9%	77.6%	3.9%
Colombia	Socha	2000	75.0%	99.7%	11.7%
Colombia	Socha	2017	72.4%	99.3%	15.2%
Colombia	Socorro	2000	84.3%	99.4%	51.0%
Colombia	Socorro	2017	58.7%	93.5%	18.7%
Colombia	Socotá	2000	61.1%	99.5%	14.0%
Colombia	Socotá	2017	63.5%	97.8%	26.4%
Colombia	Sogamoso	2000	96.4%	98.3%	93.7%
Colombia	Sogamoso	2017	93.7%	96.9%	87.1%
Colombia	Solano	2000	63.2%	74.5%	53.6%
Colombia	Solano	2017	68.7%	78.2%	59.6%
Colombia	Soledad	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Colombia	Soledad	2017	94.5%	96.5%	91.8%
Colombia	Somondoco	2000	75.6%	99.8%	14.3%
Colombia	Somondoco	2017	69.1%	99.7%	11.0%
Colombia	Sonsón	2000	77.6%	95.3%	52.7%
Colombia	Sonsón	2017	66.3%	86.7%	43.4%
Colombia	Sopetrán	2000	92.0%	99.7%	59.3%
Colombia	Sopetrán	2017	81.1%	96.2%	52.7%
Colombia	Soplaviento	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Colombia	Soplaviento	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.6%
Colombia	Sopó	2000	94.4%	96.9%	90.6%
Colombia	Sopó	2017	82.9%	91.1%	71.0%
Colombia	Sora	2000	93.4%	100.0%	65.9%
Colombia	Sora	2017	90.8%	99.9%	62.6%
Colombia	Soracá	2000	27.0%	77.0%	8.0%
Colombia	Soracá	2017	37.8%	79.9%	11.7%
Colombia	Sotaquirá	2000	88.7%	98.8%	52.5%
Colombia	Sotaquirá	2017	84.8%	96.7%	46.9%
Colombia	Sotará	2000	89.4%	94.3%	79.0%
Colombia	Sotará	2017	77.6%	85.1%	65.1%
Colombia	Suaita	2000	76.5%	99.7%	23.1%
Colombia	Suaita	2017	67.7%	98.0%	23.8%
Colombia	Suan	2000	98.3%	100.0%	79.3%
Colombia	Suan	2017	96.1%	100.0%	60.3%
Colombia	Suárez	2000	95.0%	97.0%	90.9%
Colombia	Suárez	2000	55.4%	98.2%	13.0%
Colombia	Suárez	2017	55.9%	93.4%	13.9%
Colombia	Suárez	2017	81.1%	87.1%	70.5%
Colombia	Suaza	2000	54.9%	88.1%	17.2%
Colombia	Suaza	2017	41.5%	82.3%	7.4%
Colombia	Subachoque	2000	99.4%	100.0%	97.0%
Colombia	Subachoque	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.4%
Colombia	Sucre	2000	62.8%	95.9%	18.8%
Colombia	Sucre	2000	72.3%	92.2%	40.1%
Colombia	Sucre	2017	68.0%	94.2%	32.3%
Colombia	Sucre	2017	66.8%	86.8%	34.7%
Colombia	Suesca	2000	93.5%	98.7%	86.6%
Colombia	Suesca	2017	95.2%	99.4%	79.4%
Colombia	Supatá	2000	86.0%	100.0%	26.0%
Colombia	Supatá	2017	83.5%	100.0%	23.2%
Colombia	Supía	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.4%
Colombia	Supía	2017	93.5%	97.6%	86.8%
Colombia	Suratá	2000	77.5%	100.0%	11.5%
Colombia	Suratá	2017	75.8%	100.0%	19.8%
Colombia	Susa	2000	88.6%	98.7%	53.3%
Colombia	Susa	2017	76.8%	97.8%	29.2%
Colombia	Susacón	2000	58.1%	99.9%	2.5%
Colombia	Susacón	2017	53.9%	98.9%	6.8%
Colombia	Sutamarchán	2000	71.2%	92.8%	44.6%
Colombia	Sutamarchán	2017	49.7%	92.2%	11.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Sutatausa	2000	94.5%	99.1%	74.5%
Colombia	Sutatausa	2017	77.5%	91.2%	51.4%
Colombia	Sutatenza	2000	83.9%	100.0%	25.0%
Colombia	Sutatenza	2017	77.0%	100.0%	16.2%
Colombia	Tabio	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.4%
Colombia	Tabio	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.3%
Colombia	Tadó	2000	74.2%	82.9%	65.5%
Colombia	Tadó	2017	76.1%	88.2%	64.3%
Colombia	Talaigua Nuevo	2000	56.6%	98.8%	9.2%
Colombia	Talaigua Nuevo	2017	58.1%	96.6%	8.3%
Colombia	Tamalameque	2000	60.2%	95.4%	18.7%
Colombia	Tamalameque	2017	64.3%	93.2%	26.2%
Colombia	Támara	2000	60.8%	88.9%	25.6%
Colombia	Támara	2017	65.8%	89.7%	35.0%
Colombia	Tame	2000	68.0%	78.2%	57.6%
Colombia	Tame	2017	67.4%	76.8%	59.0%
Colombia	Támesis	2000	43.7%	83.7%	12.9%
Colombia	Támesis	2017	39.1%	80.4%	8.4%
Colombia	Taminango	2000	92.5%	99.6%	68.6%
Colombia	Taminango	2017	83.6%	96.3%	54.9%
Colombia	Tangua	2000	52.0%	95.5%	6.4%
Colombia	Tangua	2017	59.3%	97.9%	7.4%
Colombia	Taraira	2000	67.7%	90.4%	44.4%
Colombia	Taraira	2017	78.0%	92.7%	62.2%
Colombia	Tarapacá	2000	65.6%	78.6%	54.0%
Colombia	Tarapacá	2017	73.1%	81.2%	63.7%
Colombia	Tarazá	2000	76.2%	95.3%	55.1%
Colombia	Tarazá	2017	73.0%	87.7%	57.2%
Colombia	Tarquí	2000	82.6%	99.8%	38.0%
Colombia	Tarquí	2017	75.2%	98.5%	30.8%
Colombia	Tarso	2000	96.9%	100.0%	80.4%
Colombia	Tarso	2017	93.5%	99.8%	70.2%
Colombia	Tasco	2000	84.1%	99.9%	35.5%
Colombia	Tasco	2017	80.8%	99.4%	37.5%
Colombia	Tauramena	2000	79.4%	93.2%	59.5%
Colombia	Tauramena	2017	87.3%	94.8%	75.6%
Colombia	Tausa	2000	89.5%	93.9%	82.7%
Colombia	Tausa	2017	62.3%	73.2%	53.2%
Colombia	Tello	2000	99.1%	100.0%	92.7%
Colombia	Tello	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.3%
Colombia	Tena	2000	98.2%	99.2%	96.6%
Colombia	Tena	2017	95.3%	98.4%	88.6%
Colombia	Tenerife	2000	74.1%	84.6%	63.4%
Colombia	Tenerife	2017	74.4%	85.6%	60.5%
Colombia	Tenjo	2000	71.1%	78.3%	63.5%
Colombia	Tenjo	2017	67.5%	73.4%	59.4%
Colombia	Tenza	2000	91.0%	100.0%	46.7%
Colombia	Tenza	2017	82.8%	99.9%	28.4%
Colombia	Teorama	2000	83.2%	94.7%	64.2%
Colombia	Teorama	2017	63.5%	81.8%	38.4%
Colombia	Teruel	2000	96.4%	99.8%	82.1%
Colombia	Teruel	2017	91.3%	99.2%	69.2%
Colombia	Tesalia	2000	96.9%	100.0%	82.1%
Colombia	Tesalia	2017	92.4%	99.7%	69.7%
Colombia	Tibacuy	2000	80.1%	98.2%	49.6%
Colombia	Tibacuy	2017	56.7%	91.4%	19.9%
Colombia	Tibaná	2000	89.0%	99.0%	56.2%
Colombia	Tibaná	2017	82.8%	98.4%	46.1%
Colombia	Tibasosa	2000	98.4%	99.3%	96.6%
Colombia	Tibasosa	2017	90.5%	95.7%	78.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Tibirita	2000	74.4%	100.0%	4.0%
Colombia	Tibirita	2017	71.1%	100.0%	6.3%
Colombia	Tibú	2000	60.3%	75.9%	45.1%
Colombia	Tibú	2017	54.2%	69.2%	41.1%
Colombia	Tierralta	2000	61.0%	84.3%	33.9%
Colombia	Tierralta	2017	63.0%	82.4%	41.2%
Colombia	Timaná	2000	64.6%	87.2%	39.4%
Colombia	Timaná	2017	63.4%	84.8%	41.1%
Colombia	Timbío	2000	89.4%	98.1%	65.1%
Colombia	Timbío	2017	80.8%	92.9%	59.3%
Colombia	Timbiquí	2000	62.3%	84.7%	39.3%
Colombia	Timbiquí	2017	66.5%	86.1%	43.9%
Colombia	Tinjacá	2000	59.9%	95.3%	23.0%
Colombia	Tinjacá	2017	47.8%	90.0%	9.9%
Colombia	Tipacoque	2000	57.8%	98.1%	10.0%
Colombia	Tipacoque	2017	35.9%	86.8%	3.8%
Colombia	Titiribí	2000	87.8%	93.1%	79.2%
Colombia	Titiribí	2017	77.0%	85.1%	67.5%
Colombia	Toca	2000	49.9%	95.5%	9.8%
Colombia	Toca	2017	74.7%	99.2%	22.5%
Colombia	Tocaima	2000	94.4%	98.3%	88.5%
Colombia	Tocaima	2017	95.2%	98.7%	89.4%
Colombia	Tocancipá	2000	88.6%	94.4%	79.6%
Colombia	Tocancipá	2017	61.8%	90.3%	34.2%
Colombia	Toguí	2000	90.2%	98.3%	65.3%
Colombia	Toguí	2017	68.7%	88.4%	35.7%
Colombia	Toledo	2000	90.8%	99.4%	54.2%
Colombia	Toledo	2000	74.6%	84.5%	60.7%
Colombia	Toledo	2017	76.6%	95.5%	32.0%
Colombia	Toledo	2017	47.8%	56.9%	34.5%
Colombia	Tolú	2000	93.2%	98.0%	77.2%
Colombia	Tolú	2017	87.0%	96.2%	73.0%
Colombia	Toluviejo	2000	70.6%	95.8%	28.6%
Colombia	Toluviejo	2017	71.3%	96.8%	29.3%
Colombia	Tona	2000	95.9%	99.9%	80.3%
Colombia	Tona	2017	93.8%	99.8%	77.2%
Colombia	Topagá	2000	96.2%	98.7%	93.4%
Colombia	Topagá	2017	93.5%	98.9%	81.5%
Colombia	Topaipí	2000	53.9%	99.4%	4.9%
Colombia	Topaipí	2017	55.8%	96.2%	10.6%
Colombia	Toribío	2000	68.2%	95.0%	36.8%
Colombia	Toribío	2017	51.7%	81.4%	24.9%
Colombia	Toro	2000	38.4%	46.7%	31.7%
Colombia	Toro	2017	30.3%	50.7%	19.4%
Colombia	Tota	2000	63.9%	99.2%	18.8%
Colombia	Tota	2017	53.9%	94.2%	10.5%
Colombia	Totoró	2000	95.2%	99.7%	82.5%
Colombia	Totoró	2017	90.5%	98.4%	75.3%
Colombia	Trinidad	2000	71.4%	90.9%	48.8%
Colombia	Trinidad	2017	72.8%	90.4%	47.6%
Colombia	Trujillo	2000	84.2%	100.0%	34.1%
Colombia	Trujillo	2017	80.3%	100.0%	25.4%
Colombia	Tubará	2000	86.7%	100.0%	47.9%
Colombia	Tubará	2017	87.1%	99.9%	54.4%
Colombia	Tuluá	2000	93.0%	98.5%	87.2%
Colombia	Tuluá	2017	92.0%	96.5%	86.3%
Colombia	Tumaco	2000	63.5%	71.6%	54.6%
Colombia	Tumaco	2017	50.6%	63.1%	39.6%
Colombia	Tunja	2000	87.2%	90.8%	84.2%
Colombia	Tunja	2017	69.3%	74.0%	63.6%
Colombia	Tunungua	2000	99.9%	100.0%	98.6%
Colombia	Tunungua	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Túquerres	2000	95.5%	100.0%	69.5%
Colombia	Túquerres	2017	94.3%	99.6%	82.0%
Colombia	Turbaco	2000	92.1%	99.5%	67.0%
Colombia	Turbaco	2017	92.9%	99.7%	67.0%
Colombia	Turbaná	2000	94.0%	99.8%	79.2%
Colombia	Turbaná	2017	90.6%	99.4%	67.3%
Colombia	Turbo	2000	85.2%	93.0%	75.4%
Colombia	Turbo	2017	83.5%	92.0%	73.5%
Colombia	Turmequé	2000	95.2%	99.4%	83.7%
Colombia	Turmequé	2017	72.5%	93.2%	50.9%
Colombia	Tuta	2000	86.2%	97.7%	69.0%
Colombia	Tuta	2017	90.3%	98.2%	68.9%
Colombia	Tutazá	2000	84.2%	100.0%	6.4%
Colombia	Tutazá	2017	82.5%	100.0%	20.4%
Colombia	Ubalá	2000	63.0%	99.9%	6.9%
Colombia	Ubalá	2017	63.5%	99.4%	10.7%
Colombia	Ubaque	2000	96.8%	100.0%	84.4%
Colombia	Ubaque	2017	93.9%	99.8%	79.6%
Colombia	Ulloa	2000	98.8%	99.4%	97.9%
Colombia	Ulloa	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.6%
Colombia	Umbita	2000	92.7%	100.0%	62.0%
Colombia	Umbita	2017	85.9%	99.9%	47.0%
Colombia	Une	2000	88.0%	99.3%	57.8%
Colombia	Une	2017	80.6%	97.4%	52.4%
Colombia	Unguía	2000	85.1%	93.2%	74.8%
Colombia	Unguía	2017	83.3%	92.7%	69.6%
Colombia	Uramita	2000	92.1%	100.0%	48.2%
Colombia	Uramita	2017	87.3%	100.0%	37.4%
Colombia	Uribia	2000	46.6%	61.2%	34.1%
Colombia	Uribia	2017	56.1%	66.5%	44.0%
Colombia	Urrao	2000	75.3%	95.8%	45.0%
Colombia	Urrao	2017	72.5%	91.8%	46.5%
Colombia	Urumita	2000	76.0%	79.9%	73.0%
Colombia	Urumita	2017	71.4%	81.7%	63.2%
Colombia	Usiacurí	2000	92.6%	99.6%	75.6%
Colombia	Usiacurí	2017	95.4%	99.7%	78.0%
Colombia	Utica	2000	74.5%	100.0%	9.8%
Colombia	Utica	2017	73.0%	99.9%	14.8%
Colombia	Valdivia	2000	95.7%	99.7%	86.1%
Colombia	Valdivia	2017	92.0%	99.0%	76.2%
Colombia	Valencia	2000	44.1%	73.8%	19.4%
Colombia	Valencia	2017	42.4%	68.0%	18.8%
Colombia	Valle de San José	2000	83.4%	99.9%	18.2%
Colombia	Valle de San José	2017	68.8%	99.4%	11.2%
Colombia	Valle de San Juan	2000	68.2%	98.1%	21.0%
Colombia	Valle de San Juan	2017	64.8%	93.4%	21.4%
Colombia	Valle del Guamuez	2000	71.7%	87.1%	49.2%
Colombia	Valle del Guamuez	2017	63.6%	77.6%	44.7%
Colombia	Valledupar	2000	88.6%	93.6%	82.3%
Colombia	Valledupar	2017	81.9%	86.8%	76.4%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2000	52.6%	99.1%	3.7%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2000	66.2%	90.5%	37.6%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2017	49.9%	97.9%	5.7%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2017	61.0%	80.9%	37.4%
Colombia	Vegachí	2000	69.6%	100.0%	9.3%
Colombia	Vegachí	2017	70.3%	99.9%	14.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Vélez	2000	89.8%	95.3%	80.8%
Colombia	Vélez	2017	69.3%	79.8%	55.1%
Colombia	Venadillo	2000	89.2%	99.6%	54.0%
Colombia	Venadillo	2017	75.8%	98.2%	28.6%
Colombia	Venecia	2000	76.0%	100.0%	3.3%
Colombia	Venecia	2000	97.8%	100.0%	90.4%
Colombia	Venecia	2017	75.9%	100.0%	9.0%
Colombia	Venecia	2017	94.3%	100.0%	78.9%
Colombia	Ventaquemada	2000	91.5%	95.9%	82.6%
Colombia	Ventaquemada	2017	70.7%	81.9%	49.2%
Colombia	Vergara	2000	86.9%	99.9%	36.7%
Colombia	Vergara	2017	75.9%	98.8%	24.2%
Colombia	Versalles	2000	75.4%	99.3%	38.9%
Colombia	Versalles	2017	69.6%	95.8%	31.8%
Colombia	Vetas	2000	72.3%	100.0%	4.5%
Colombia	Vetas	2017	72.6%	100.0%	6.4%
Colombia	Vianí	2000	41.4%	71.2%	19.6%
Colombia	Vianí	2017	64.0%	90.1%	39.1%
Colombia	Victoria	2000	68.5%	85.3%	50.7%
Colombia	Victoria	2017	61.9%	78.2%	36.7%
Colombia	Vigía del Fuerte	2000	72.1%	99.5%	30.0%
Colombia	Vigía del Fuerte	2017	76.1%	98.5%	44.9%
Colombia	Vijes	2000	90.7%	99.3%	77.2%
Colombia	Vijes	2017	90.2%	98.5%	76.6%
Colombia	Villa Caro	2000	82.2%	100.0%	19.6%
Colombia	Villa Caro	2017	79.6%	100.0%	30.8%
Colombia	Villa de Leyva	2000	74.8%	98.7%	23.1%
Colombia	Villa de Leyva	2017	65.2%	98.9%	10.8%
Colombia	Villa de San Diego de Ubaté	2000	82.7%	100.0%	16.1%
Colombia	Villa de San Diego de Ubaté	2017	70.2%	99.8%	14.8%
Colombia	Villa del Rosario	2000	97.6%	98.9%	95.0%
Colombia	Villa del Rosario	2017	94.2%	97.1%	90.2%
Colombia	Villagarzón	2000	82.6%	91.2%	70.7%
Colombia	Villagarzón	2017	51.6%	60.1%	43.3%
Colombia	Villagómez	2000	73.3%	100.0%	10.8%
Colombia	Villagómez	2017	69.3%	99.7%	11.1%
Colombia	Villahermosa	2000	82.4%	96.7%	56.3%
Colombia	Villahermosa	2017	70.8%	94.8%	35.7%
Colombia	Villamaría	2000	98.7%	99.8%	95.7%
Colombia	Villamaría	2017	93.6%	98.4%	82.6%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	85.4%	97.6%	61.3%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	73.7%	100.0%	7.1%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	95.7%	99.8%	80.4%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	92.6%	97.9%	56.9%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	83.8%	96.8%	64.0%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	84.0%	97.1%	49.1%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	65.8%	100.0%	7.5%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	88.6%	99.7%	44.1%
Colombia	Villapinzón	2000	66.5%	99.0%	20.0%
Colombia	Villapinzón	2017	48.1%	97.3%	9.6%
Colombia	Villarrica	2000	94.3%	100.0%	47.1%
Colombia	Villarrica	2017	93.5%	100.0%	56.7%
Colombia	Villavicencio	2000	78.9%	82.4%	75.0%
Colombia	Villavicencio	2017	69.1%	72.2%	65.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Villavieja	2000	82.8%	99.7%	41.9%
Colombia	Villavieja	2017	79.1%	98.8%	41.1%
Colombia	Villeta	2000	69.2%	98.7%	29.7%
Colombia	Villeta	2017	69.7%	93.6%	41.0%
Colombia	Viotá	2000	90.6%	98.7%	75.2%
Colombia	Viotá	2017	88.2%	96.7%	69.2%
Colombia	Viracachá	2000	35.3%	93.2%	0.6%
Colombia	Viracachá	2017	45.0%	92.6%	1.1%
Colombia	Vista Hermosa	2000	74.0%	87.9%	55.7%
Colombia	Vista Hermosa	2017	66.7%	79.5%	51.5%
Colombia	Viterbo	2000	85.1%	91.3%	79.8%
Colombia	Viterbo	2017	55.5%	64.6%	45.2%
Colombia	Yacopí	2000	82.3%	94.8%	68.8%
Colombia	Yacopí	2017	70.9%	81.5%	56.7%
Colombia	Yacuanquer	2000	79.5%	99.9%	30.6%
Colombia	Yacuanquer	2017	76.5%	99.7%	22.6%
Colombia	Yaguará	2000	92.0%	100.0%	47.5%
Colombia	Yaguará	2017	89.2%	100.0%	36.7%
Colombia	Yalí	2000	66.7%	100.0%	10.8%
Colombia	Yalí	2017	67.1%	99.8%	16.0%
Colombia	Yarumal	2000	95.4%	99.0%	84.9%
Colombia	Yarumal	2017	84.1%	96.8%	62.5%
Colombia	Yavaraté	2000	57.9%	81.4%	38.5%
Colombia	Yavaraté	2017	70.0%	88.5%	49.9%
Colombia	Yolombó	2000	81.5%	97.1%	53.0%
Colombia	Yolombó	2017	72.7%	92.1%	47.8%
Colombia	Yondó	2000	62.8%	93.5%	29.6%
Colombia	Yondó	2017	62.1%	90.8%	31.2%
Colombia	Yopal	2000	74.8%	84.1%	65.8%
Colombia	Yopal	2017	77.6%	81.5%	72.0%
Colombia	Yotoco	2000	89.7%	100.0%	38.2%
Colombia	Yotoco	2017	86.6%	100.0%	40.9%
Colombia	Yumbo	2000	85.0%	90.0%	81.0%
Colombia	Yumbo	2017	79.3%	84.2%	74.3%
Colombia	Zambrano	2000	93.9%	100.0%	64.5%
Colombia	Zambrano	2017	88.4%	99.6%	53.6%
Colombia	Zapatoca	2000	79.0%	96.9%	19.0%
Colombia	Zapatoca	2017	74.3%	96.6%	18.0%
Colombia	Zaragoza	2000	81.9%	95.6%	55.2%
Colombia	Zaragoza	2017	75.2%	89.6%	54.2%
Colombia	Zarzal	2000	90.1%	98.8%	60.4%
Colombia	Zarzal	2017	86.9%	98.8%	58.2%
Colombia	Zetaquirá	2000	70.5%	99.3%	14.2%
Colombia	Zetaquirá	2017	66.6%	98.1%	13.5%
Colombia	Zipacón	2000	98.5%	99.8%	91.6%
Colombia	Zipacón	2017	89.9%	98.4%	52.6%
Colombia	Zipaquirá	2000	94.6%	97.5%	88.2%
Colombia	Zipaquirá	2017	85.6%	89.7%	79.9%
Dominican Republic	Altamira	2000	76.3%	99.8%	39.1%
Dominican Republic	Altamira	2017	75.0%	99.3%	40.0%
Dominican Republic	Arenoso	2000	91.6%	100.0%	54.1%
Dominican Republic	Arenoso	2017	98.0%	100.0%	79.3%
Dominican Republic	Azua de Compostela	2000	94.3%	100.0%	42.9%
Dominican Republic	Azua de Compostela	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Bajos Haina	2000	90.9%	100.0%	29.6%
Dominican Republic	Bajos Haina	2017	97.7%	100.0%	66.6%
Dominican Republic	Baní	2000	89.9%	99.4%	66.4%
Dominican Republic	Baní	2017	97.3%	100.0%	88.3%
Dominican Republic	Banica	2000	91.8%	100.0%	61.9%
Dominican Republic	Banica	2017	96.9%	100.0%	75.7%
Dominican Republic	Bayaguana	2000	92.9%	100.0%	69.9%
Dominican Republic	Bayaguana	2017	97.8%	100.0%	83.2%
Dominican Republic	Boca Chica	2000	92.0%	100.0%	43.8%
Dominican Republic	Boca Chica	2017	97.5%	100.0%	73.6%
Dominican Republic	Bohechio	2000	92.6%	100.0%	58.1%
Dominican Republic	Bohechio	2017	97.5%	100.0%	82.1%
Dominican Republic	Bonao	2000	93.6%	99.8%	77.2%
Dominican Republic	Bonao	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.9%
Dominican Republic	Cabral	2000	95.8%	100.0%	55.7%
Dominican Republic	Cabral	2017	99.5%	100.0%	94.1%
Dominican Republic	Cabrera	2000	91.5%	100.0%	62.0%
Dominican Republic	Cabrera	2017	97.2%	100.0%	78.3%
Dominican Republic	Cambita	2000	67.6%	99.4%	26.4%
Dominican Republic	Garabito	2017	66.1%	98.9%	40.8%
Dominican Republic	Castañuela	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Dominican Republic	Castañuela	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Dominican Republic	Castillo	2000	93.2%	100.0%	56.8%
Dominican Republic	Castillo	2017	97.9%	100.0%	82.1%
Dominican Republic	Cayetano Germosén	2000	93.8%	100.0%	35.4%
Dominican Republic	Cayetano Germosén	2017	98.1%	100.0%	73.3%
Dominican Republic	Cevicos	2000	92.7%	100.0%	66.1%
Dominican Republic	Cevicos	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.2%
Dominican Republic	Comendador	2000	90.5%	99.9%	64.9%
Dominican Republic	Comendador	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.7%
Dominican Republic	Concepción de la Vega	2000	94.1%	99.9%	80.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Concepción de la Vega	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.3%
Dominican Republic	Constanza	2000	94.4%	99.9%	68.5%
Dominican Republic	Constanza	2017	97.4%	100.0%	72.8%
Dominican Republic	Consuelo	2000	92.4%	100.0%	38.3%
Dominican Republic	Consuelo	2017	98.3%	100.0%	83.4%
Dominican Republic	Cotuí	2000	94.2%	99.9%	77.6%
Dominican Republic	Cotuí	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.1%
Dominican Republic	Cristobal	2000	94.4%	100.0%	65.5%
Dominican Republic	Cristobal	2017	98.2%	100.0%	79.7%
Dominican Republic	Dajabón	2000	93.5%	100.0%	51.0%
Dominican Republic	Dajabón	2017	98.0%	100.0%	73.9%
Dominican Republic	Distrito Nacional	2000	95.8%	100.0%	71.0%
Dominican Republic	Distrito Nacional	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.0%
Dominican Republic	Duvergé	2000	91.5%	100.0%	66.8%
Dominican Republic	Duvergé	2017	96.9%	100.0%	80.6%
Dominican Republic	El Cercado	2000	93.6%	100.0%	59.6%
Dominican Republic	El Cercado	2017	98.0%	100.0%	84.9%
Dominican Republic	El Factor	2000	95.9%	100.0%	58.9%
Dominican Republic	El Factor	2017	99.1%	100.0%	90.4%
Dominican Republic	El Llano	2000	92.4%	100.0%	49.9%
Dominican Republic	El Llano	2017	97.3%	100.0%	62.6%
Dominican Republic	El Peñón	2000	93.3%	100.0%	38.0%
Dominican Republic	El Peñón	2017	98.2%	100.0%	84.5%
Dominican Republic	El Pino	2000	96.5%	100.0%	77.8%
Dominican Republic	El Pino	2017	99.3%	100.0%	91.9%
Dominican Republic	El Valle	2000	91.8%	100.0%	50.1%
Dominican Republic	El Valle	2017	97.3%	100.0%	69.2%
Dominican Republic	Enriquillo	2000	93.2%	100.0%	40.7%
Dominican Republic	Enriquillo	2017	98.0%	100.0%	80.1%
Dominican Republic	Esperalvilla	2000	99.1%	100.0%	87.3%
Dominican Republic	Esperalvilla	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Esperanza	2000	95.4%	100.0%	76.9%
Dominican Republic	Esperanza	2017	99.5%	100.0%	94.4%
Dominican Republic	Estebania	2000	93.0%	100.0%	60.8%
Dominican Republic	Estebania	2017	98.1%	100.0%	82.9%
Dominican Republic	Fantino	2000	92.1%	100.0%	37.1%
Dominican Republic	Fantino	2017	97.7%	100.0%	71.8%
Dominican Republic	Fundación	2000	92.4%	100.0%	25.9%
Dominican Republic	Fundación	2017	99.4%	100.0%	92.8%
Dominican Republic	Galvan	2000	97.9%	100.0%	88.1%
Dominican Republic	Galvan	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.2%
Dominican Republic	Gaspar Hernández	2000	90.8%	100.0%	59.1%
Dominican Republic	Gaspar Hernández	2017	97.3%	100.0%	83.1%
Dominican Republic	Guananico	2000	88.2%	100.0%	40.2%
Dominican Republic	Guananico	2017	95.5%	100.0%	56.5%
Dominican Republic	Guayabal	2000	92.0%	100.0%	60.8%
Dominican Republic	Guayabal	2017	97.7%	100.0%	81.2%
Dominican Republic	Guayacanes	2000	98.4%	100.0%	84.4%
Dominican Republic	Guayacanes	2017	99.7%	100.0%	94.4%
Dominican Republic	Guaymate	2000	94.2%	100.0%	71.1%
Dominican Republic	Guaymate	2017	98.0%	100.0%	83.8%
Dominican Republic	Guayubín	2000	92.6%	99.9%	73.6%
Dominican Republic	Guayubín	2017	98.0%	100.0%	87.3%
Dominican Republic	Guerra	2000	91.7%	100.0%	52.8%
Dominican Republic	Guerra	2017	97.9%	100.0%	81.8%
Dominican Republic	Hato Mayor del Rey	2000	94.6%	100.0%	77.1%
Dominican Republic	Hato Mayor del Rey	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.2%
Dominican Republic	Hondo Valle	2000	93.1%	100.0%	53.8%
Dominican Republic	Hondo Valle	2017	97.1%	100.0%	74.5%
Dominican Republic	Hostos	2000	91.2%	100.0%	45.9%
Dominican Republic	Hostos	2017	97.1%	100.0%	73.1%
Dominican Republic	Imbert	2000	86.1%	99.9%	44.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Imbert	2017	86.0%	99.9%	58.2%
Dominican Republic	Jamao al Norte	2000	84.4%	100.0%	32.7%
Dominican Republic	Jamao al Norte	2017	93.3%	100.0%	54.8%
Dominican Republic	Janico	2000	93.7%	100.0%	69.7%
Dominican Republic	Janico	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.0%
Dominican Republic	Jaquimeyes	2000	91.7%	100.0%	48.7%
Dominican Republic	Jaquimeyes	2017	96.4%	100.0%	57.2%
Dominican Republic	Jarabacoa	2000	91.9%	99.9%	63.0%
Dominican Republic	Jarabacoa	2017	96.5%	100.0%	72.1%
Dominican Republic	Jima Abajo	2000	93.0%	100.0%	49.3%
Dominican Republic	Jima Abajo	2017	98.7%	100.0%	84.3%
Dominican Republic	Jimaní	2000	91.7%	100.0%	54.5%
Dominican Republic	Jimaní	2017	96.5%	100.0%	69.7%
Dominican Republic	Juan de Herrera	2000	99.3%	100.0%	92.1%
Dominican Republic	Juan de Herrera	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Dominican Republic	Juan Santiago	2000	93.0%	100.0%	61.1%
Dominican Republic	Juan Santiago	2017	97.8%	100.0%	76.0%
Dominican Republic	La Cienaga	2000	93.9%	100.0%	65.0%
Dominican Republic	La Cienaga	2017	98.6%	100.0%	88.0%
Dominican Republic	La Descubierta	2000	92.6%	100.0%	45.9%
Dominican Republic	La Descubierta	2017	97.6%	100.0%	79.8%
Dominican Republic	La Isabela	2000	92.5%	100.0%	56.7%
Dominican Republic	La Isabela	2017	97.7%	100.0%	80.7%
Dominican Republic	La Laguna de Nisibón	2000	92.8%	100.0%	62.8%
Dominican Republic	La Laguna de Nisibón	2017	97.7%	100.0%	81.3%
Dominican Republic	La Mata	2000	98.1%	100.0%	87.3%
Dominican Republic	La Mata	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.8%
Dominican Republic	La Romana	2000	97.5%	100.0%	79.5%
Dominican Republic	La Romana	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Dominican Republic	Laguna Salada	2000	90.5%	100.0%	42.9%
Dominican Republic	Laguna Salada	2017	97.0%	100.0%	66.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Las Charcas	2000	91.8%	100.0%	61.3%
Dominican Republic	Las Charcas	2017	97.6%	100.0%	79.9%
Dominican Republic	Las Guaranas	2000	92.4%	100.0%	51.7%
Dominican Republic	Las Guaranas	2017	97.6%	100.0%	78.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Farfan	2000	96.0%	100.0%	79.5%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Farfan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Santa Cruz	2000	97.1%	100.0%	76.2%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Santa Cruz	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Salinas	2000	93.7%	100.0%	46.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Salinas	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Terrenas	2000	91.9%	100.0%	60.5%
Dominican Republic	Las Terrenas	2017	95.2%	100.0%	62.4%
Dominican Republic	Las Yayas de Viajama	2000	92.4%	100.0%	62.8%
Dominican Republic	Las Yayas de Viajama	2017	98.2%	100.0%	86.4%
Dominican Republic	Licey al Medio	2000	95.2%	100.0%	68.1%
Dominican Republic	Licey al Medio	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.6%
Dominican Republic	Loma de Cabrera	2000	94.1%	100.0%	64.0%
Dominican Republic	Loma de Cabrera	2017	98.9%	100.0%	88.6%
Dominican Republic	Los Alcarrizos	2000	94.5%	100.0%	46.8%
Dominican Republic	Los Alcarrizos	2017	98.4%	100.0%	80.3%
Dominican Republic	Los Almácigos	2000	91.8%	100.0%	48.4%
Dominican Republic	Los Almácigos	2017	97.6%	100.0%	78.6%
Dominican Republic	Los Cacaos	2000	93.9%	100.0%	59.9%
Dominican Republic	Los Cacaos	2017	98.2%	100.0%	86.6%
Dominican Republic	Los Hidalgos	2000	88.0%	100.0%	38.2%
Dominican Republic	Los Hidalgos	2017	97.6%	100.0%	72.4%
Dominican Republic	Los Llanos	2000	93.8%	100.0%	71.8%
Dominican Republic	Los Llanos	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.2%
Dominican Republic	Los Rios	2000	94.3%	100.0%	62.6%
Dominican Republic	Los Rios	2017	97.9%	100.0%	67.9%
Dominican Republic	Luperon	2000	91.8%	100.0%	61.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Luperon	2017	97.5%	100.0%	83.8%
Dominican Republic	Maimón	2000	81.8%	100.0%	25.2%
Dominican Republic	Maimón	2017	97.0%	100.0%	64.4%
Dominican Republic	Mao	2000	95.9%	100.0%	80.0%
Dominican Republic	Mao	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.9%
Dominican Republic	Mella	2000	92.5%	100.0%	62.2%
Dominican Republic	Mella	2017	97.5%	100.0%	79.1%
Dominican Republic	Miches	2000	91.5%	100.0%	60.8%
Dominican Republic	Miches	2017	97.8%	100.0%	85.1%
Dominican Republic	Moca	2000	94.8%	100.0%	76.2%
Dominican Republic	Moca	2017	98.4%	100.0%	83.1%
Dominican Republic	Monción	2000	93.0%	100.0%	47.0%
Dominican Republic	Monción	2017	97.5%	100.0%	76.5%
Dominican Republic	Monte Plata	2000	90.4%	99.9%	56.1%
Dominican Republic	Monte Plata	2017	97.8%	100.0%	87.4%
Dominican Republic	Montellano	2000	92.2%	100.0%	39.0%
Dominican Republic	Montellano	2017	97.5%	100.0%	67.5%
Dominican Republic	Nagua	2000	96.6%	100.0%	87.1%
Dominican Republic	Nagua	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.5%
Dominican Republic	Neyba	2000	96.9%	100.0%	69.9%
Dominican Republic	Neyba	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.0%
Dominican Republic	Nigua	2000	95.0%	100.0%	62.8%
Dominican Republic	Nigua	2017	98.0%	100.0%	64.2%
Dominican Republic	Nizao	2000	94.0%	100.0%	36.5%
Dominican Republic	Nizao	2017	97.8%	100.0%	69.4%
Dominican Republic	Padre Casas	Las 2000	93.6%	100.0%	73.8%
Dominican Republic	Padre Casas	Las 2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.4%
Dominican Republic	Paraiso	2000	91.3%	100.0%	35.5%
Dominican Republic	Paraiso	2017	97.4%	100.0%	65.3%
Dominican Republic	Partido	2000	94.4%	100.0%	70.9%
Dominican Republic	Partido	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Pedernales	2000	92.9%	99.6%	76.0%
Dominican Republic	Pedernales	2017	97.6%	100.0%	84.9%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Brand	2000	90.8%	100.0%	50.4%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Brand	2017	96.9%	100.0%	68.7%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Santana	2000	92.7%	100.0%	72.9%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Santana	2017	97.5%	100.0%	83.5%
Dominican Republic	Pepillo Salcedo	2000	93.2%	100.0%	65.1%
Dominican Republic	Pepillo Salcedo	2017	98.1%	100.0%	79.4%
Dominican Republic	Peralta	2000	93.8%	100.0%	66.4%
Dominican Republic	Peralta	2017	97.5%	100.0%	68.7%
Dominican Republic	Piedra Blanca	2000	80.9%	100.0%	35.0%
Dominican Republic	Piedra Blanca	2017	96.2%	100.0%	67.7%
Dominican Republic	Pimentel	2000	94.8%	100.0%	52.0%
Dominican Republic	Pimentel	2017	97.9%	100.0%	76.8%
Dominican Republic	Polo	2000	92.1%	100.0%	40.3%
Dominican Republic	Polo	2017	97.1%	100.0%	70.0%
Dominican Republic	Postrer Rio	2000	93.9%	100.0%	57.1%
Dominican Republic	Postrer Rio	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.6%
Dominican Republic	Pueblo Viejo	2000	95.5%	100.0%	72.5%
Dominican Republic	Pueblo Viejo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.3%
Dominican Republic	Puñal	2000	89.9%	100.0%	28.5%
Dominican Republic	Puñal	2017	98.0%	100.0%	82.3%
Dominican Republic	Quisquella	2000	97.7%	100.0%	75.7%
Dominican Republic	Quisquella	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.0%
Dominican Republic	Ramón Santana	2000	92.2%	100.0%	63.3%
Dominican Republic	Ramón Santana	2017	97.0%	100.0%	75.1%
Dominican Republic	Rancho Arriba	2000	95.4%	100.0%	66.2%
Dominican Republic	Rancho Arriba	2017	98.0%	100.0%	83.0%
Dominican Republic	Restauración	2000	91.3%	100.0%	57.0%
Dominican Republic	Restauración	2017	97.5%	100.0%	83.4%
Dominican Republic	Rio San Juan	2000	90.6%	100.0%	48.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Rio San Juan	2017	97.2%	100.0%	79.2%
Dominican Republic	Sabana de la Mar	2000	90.1%	100.0%	54.1%
Dominican Republic	Sabana de la Mar	2017	96.2%	100.0%	53.6%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Boyá	2000	92.1%	100.0%	58.7%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Boyá	2017	98.1%	100.0%	79.9%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Palenque	2000	93.4%	100.0%	24.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Palenque	2017	98.4%	100.0%	77.6%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Iglesia	2000	92.1%	100.0%	50.1%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Iglesia	2017	97.2%	100.0%	66.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Larga	2000	99.3%	100.0%	95.3%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Larga	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.5%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Yegua	2000	97.6%	100.0%	72.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Yegua	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Dominican Republic	Salcedo	2000	96.8%	100.0%	81.0%
Dominican Republic	Salcedo	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.9%
Dominican Republic	Salvaleón de Higüey	2000	95.0%	99.7%	85.1%
Dominican Republic	Salvaleón de Higüey	2017	98.9%	100.0%	95.0%
Dominican Republic	San Cristóbal	2000	93.3%	99.9%	75.8%
Dominican Republic	San Cristóbal	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.4%
Dominican Republic	San Felipe de Puerto Plata	2000	91.8%	99.9%	51.3%
Dominican Republic	San Felipe de Puerto Plata	2017	97.6%	100.0%	77.3%
Dominican Republic	San Fernando de Monte Cristi	2000	94.9%	100.0%	70.0%
Dominican Republic	San Fernando de Monte Cristi	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.0%
Dominican Republic	San Francisco de Macorís	2000	88.9%	99.9%	56.5%
Dominican Republic	San Francisco de Macorís	2017	95.9%	100.0%	61.9%
Dominican Republic	San Gregorio de Yaguatae	2000	92.9%	100.0%	63.9%
Dominican Republic	San Gregorio de Yaguatae	2017	97.1%	100.0%	74.4%
Dominican Republic	San Ignacio de Sabaneta	2000	92.2%	99.8%	64.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	San Ignacio de Sabaneta	2017	97.3%	100.0%	77.5%
Dominican Republic	San José de Las Matas	2000	93.1%	99.5%	74.7%
Dominican Republic	San José de Las Matas	2017	97.8%	100.0%	89.4%
Dominican Republic	San José de Ocoa	2000	97.7%	100.0%	88.4%
Dominican Republic	San José de Ocoa	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.3%
Dominican Republic	San Juan de la Maguana	2000	97.3%	99.9%	91.0%
Dominican Republic	San Juan de la Maguana	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.0%
Dominican Republic	San Pedro de Macorís	2000	97.8%	100.0%	77.3%
Dominican Republic	San Pedro de Macorís	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Dominican Republic	San Rafael del Yuma	2000	94.0%	99.9%	77.4%
Dominican Republic	San Rafael del Yuma	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.9%
Dominican Republic	Sánchez	2000	91.9%	100.0%	64.3%
Dominican Republic	Sánchez	2017	97.6%	100.0%	82.6%
Dominican Republic	Santa Bárbara de Samaná	2000	93.1%	99.9%	77.9%
Dominican Republic	Santa Bárbara de Samaná	2017	95.7%	100.0%	87.5%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz de Barahona	2000	92.1%	100.0%	25.5%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz de Barahona	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.6%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz del Seybo	2000	93.3%	99.5%	79.0%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz del Seybo	2017	98.4%	100.0%	94.0%
Dominican Republic	Santiago de los Caballeros	2000	97.2%	100.0%	87.6%
Dominican Republic	Santiago de los Caballeros	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.7%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Este	2000	88.6%	100.0%	35.7%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Este	2017	98.2%	100.0%	77.3%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Norte	2000	96.5%	100.0%	82.1%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Norte	2017	99.3%	100.0%	91.4%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Oeste	2000	93.4%	100.0%	60.7%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Oeste	2017	98.8%	100.0%	80.8%
Dominican Republic	Sosua	2000	90.9%	100.0%	58.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Sosua	2017	96.6%	100.0%	72.7%
Dominican Republic	Tamayo	2000	92.7%	100.0%	52.8%
Dominican Republic	Tamayo	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.7%
Dominican Republic	Tamboril	2000	95.8%	100.0%	60.1%
Dominican Republic	Tamboril	2017	99.5%	100.0%	92.7%
Dominican Republic	Tenares	2000	98.0%	100.0%	86.7%
Dominican Republic	Tenares	2017	99.5%	100.0%	93.8%
Dominican Republic	Vallejuelo	2000	93.4%	100.0%	60.9%
Dominican Republic	Vallejuelo	2017	97.7%	100.0%	77.5%
Dominican Republic	Vicente Noble	2000	91.9%	100.0%	46.4%
Dominican Republic	Vicente Noble	2017	98.0%	100.0%	87.4%
Dominican Republic	Villa Altagracia	2000	90.4%	100.0%	52.7%
Dominican Republic	Villa Altagracia	2017	96.2%	100.0%	73.4%
Dominican Republic	Villa Bisonó	2000	92.6%	100.0%	41.7%
Dominican Republic	Villa Bisonó	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.3%
Dominican Republic	Villa Gonzalez	2000	92.4%	100.0%	52.8%
Dominican Republic	Villa Gonzalez	2017	97.9%	100.0%	77.5%
Dominican Republic	Villa Hermosa	2000	96.9%	100.0%	54.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Hermosa	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.3%
Dominican Republic	Villa Jaragua	2000	91.9%	100.0%	52.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Jaragua	2017	97.3%	100.0%	82.9%
Dominican Republic	Villa Rivas	2000	92.2%	100.0%	65.5%
Dominican Republic	Villa Rivas	2017	97.8%	100.0%	84.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tabara Arriba	2000	93.3%	100.0%	63.6%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tabara Arriba	2017	97.9%	100.0%	81.4%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tapia	2000	97.3%	100.0%	82.9%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tapia	2017	99.2%	100.0%	89.7%
Dominican Republic	Villa Vázquez	2000	98.3%	100.0%	88.5%
Dominican Republic	Villa Vázquez	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.6%
Dominican Republic	Yamasá	2000	92.5%	100.0%	61.7%
Dominican Republic	Yamasá	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	24 De Mayo	2000	65.6%	97.5%	18.0%
Ecuador	24 De Mayo	2017	64.9%	97.4%	17.0%
Ecuador	Aguarico	2000	62.2%	99.6%	11.9%
Ecuador	Aguarico	2017	62.5%	99.8%	12.5%
Ecuador	Alausí	2000	91.6%	98.5%	80.6%
Ecuador	Alausí	2017	91.8%	98.1%	81.5%
Ecuador	Alfredo Baquerizo Moreno	2000	77.0%	97.1%	36.0%
Ecuador	Alfredo Baquerizo Moreno	2017	76.3%	97.4%	31.6%
Ecuador	Ambato	2000	97.0%	98.2%	94.4%
Ecuador	Ambato	2017	97.0%	98.1%	94.5%
Ecuador	Antonio Ante	2000	77.0%	100.0%	8.8%
Ecuador	Antonio Ante	2017	76.9%	100.0%	8.1%
Ecuador	Arajuno	2000	68.4%	98.8%	28.3%
Ecuador	Arajuno	2017	67.9%	98.9%	26.6%
Ecuador	Archidona	2000	73.1%	92.6%	48.6%
Ecuador	Archidona	2017	73.5%	92.6%	44.5%
Ecuador	Arenillas	2000	89.7%	98.3%	72.3%
Ecuador	Arenillas	2017	90.4%	98.1%	75.3%
Ecuador	Atacames	2000	71.4%	89.9%	49.9%
Ecuador	Atacames	2017	73.8%	88.9%	58.0%
Ecuador	Atahualpa	2000	97.0%	99.8%	86.8%
Ecuador	Atahualpa	2017	97.0%	99.8%	87.8%
Ecuador	Azogues	2000	97.3%	98.5%	95.7%
Ecuador	Azogues	2017	96.9%	98.3%	95.0%
Ecuador	Baba	2000	68.8%	83.6%	50.2%
Ecuador	Baba	2017	67.1%	81.8%	49.1%
Ecuador	Babahoyo	2000	86.6%	94.5%	71.3%
Ecuador	Babahoyo	2017	86.7%	94.2%	71.9%
Ecuador	Balao	2000	94.8%	99.6%	78.8%
Ecuador	Balao	2017	94.6%	99.6%	80.1%
Ecuador	Balsas	2000	96.7%	99.7%	89.4%
Ecuador	Balsas	2017	96.9%	99.7%	90.2%
Ecuador	Balzar	2000	84.2%	98.6%	64.0%
Ecuador	Balzar	2017	84.3%	98.5%	63.8%
Ecuador	Baños de Agua Santa	2000	96.0%	99.3%	86.8%
Ecuador	Baños de Agua Santa	2017	95.8%	99.3%	85.6%
Ecuador	Biblián	2000	98.2%	99.3%	96.4%
Ecuador	Biblián	2017	98.1%	99.2%	96.3%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2000	88.3%	98.0%	73.1%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2000	43.9%	70.1%	17.8%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2017	87.9%	97.9%	73.0%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2017	41.6%	68.7%	15.9%
Ecuador	Buena Fé	2000	76.4%	94.1%	48.9%
Ecuador	Buena Fé	2017	76.0%	94.4%	48.7%
Ecuador	Caluma	2000	90.9%	98.0%	74.6%
Ecuador	Caluma	2017	89.7%	98.0%	70.8%
Ecuador	Calvas	2000	89.8%	99.3%	69.0%
Ecuador	Calvas	2017	89.1%	99.3%	67.7%
Ecuador	Camilo Ponce Enriquez	2000	86.5%	100.0%	24.2%
Ecuador	Camilo Ponce Enriquez	2017	86.4%	100.0%	23.8%
Ecuador	Cañar	2000	91.1%	97.3%	79.4%
Ecuador	Cañar	2017	90.9%	97.2%	79.3%
Ecuador	Carlos Julio Arosemena Tola	2000	82.3%	100.0%	29.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Carlos Julio Arosemena Tola	2017	83.5%	100.0%	22.7%
Ecuador	Cascales	2000	73.6%	99.3%	30.7%
Ecuador	Cascales	2017	74.9%	98.9%	38.8%
Ecuador	Catamayo	2000	95.6%	99.2%	87.6%
Ecuador	Catamayo	2017	95.6%	99.2%	87.2%
Ecuador	Cayambe	2000	89.1%	99.5%	41.0%
Ecuador	Cayambe	2017	88.8%	99.5%	42.1%
Ecuador	Celica	2000	75.0%	100.0%	17.9%
Ecuador	Celica	2017	74.6%	100.0%	17.2%
Ecuador	Centinela del Cóndor	2000	90.4%	99.9%	45.6%
Ecuador	Centinela del Cóndor	2017	89.5%	100.0%	42.4%
Ecuador	Cevallos	2000	85.0%	90.0%	78.5%
Ecuador	Cevallos	2017	84.5%	89.6%	77.8%
Ecuador	Chaguarpamba	2000	96.0%	99.7%	81.7%
Ecuador	Chaguarpamba	2017	95.6%	99.6%	80.1%
Ecuador	Chambo	2000	68.1%	97.9%	19.8%
Ecuador	Chambo	2017	67.9%	97.7%	19.6%
Ecuador	Chilla	2000	86.5%	100.0%	44.6%
Ecuador	Chilla	2017	85.6%	100.0%	42.1%
Ecuador	Chillanes	2000	83.6%	95.8%	58.8%
Ecuador	Chillanes	2017	83.2%	95.5%	58.8%
Ecuador	Chimbo	2000	90.4%	94.5%	84.6%
Ecuador	Chimbo	2017	89.8%	94.3%	82.9%
Ecuador	Chinchi	2000	79.4%	100.0%	27.3%
Ecuador	Chinchi	2017	79.4%	100.0%	23.3%
Ecuador	Chone	2000	70.9%	91.6%	37.0%
Ecuador	Chone	2017	70.6%	91.8%	38.2%
Ecuador	Chordeleg	2000	90.6%	100.0%	45.2%
Ecuador	Chordeleg	2017	86.5%	99.9%	37.0%
Ecuador	Chunchi	2000	91.1%	99.6%	52.0%
Ecuador	Chunchi	2017	90.8%	99.6%	51.9%
Ecuador	Colimes	2000	67.8%	99.8%	15.8%
Ecuador	Colimes	2017	67.9%	99.7%	15.8%
Ecuador	Colta	2000	97.9%	99.7%	92.2%
Ecuador	Colta	2017	97.8%	99.7%	92.1%
Ecuador	Coronel	2000	88.9%	99.9%	56.8%
Ecuador	Marcelino Maridueña	2017	89.8%	99.9%	61.4%
Ecuador	Cotacachi	2000	73.5%	99.9%	17.9%
Ecuador	Cotacachi	2017	72.8%	99.8%	18.2%
Ecuador	Cuenca	2000	83.9%	99.0%	37.9%
Ecuador	Cuenca	2017	83.2%	98.9%	37.1%
Ecuador	Cumanda	2000	91.7%	99.7%	68.8%
Ecuador	Cumanda	2017	91.7%	99.7%	69.4%
Ecuador	Cuyabeno	2000	66.7%	99.9%	14.2%
Ecuador	Cuyabeno	2017	66.5%	100.0%	13.5%
Ecuador	Daule	2000	83.6%	90.3%	73.2%
Ecuador	Daule	2017	83.7%	90.2%	74.4%
Ecuador	Déleg	2000	97.6%	99.2%	93.4%
Ecuador	Déleg	2017	97.5%	99.1%	93.1%
Ecuador	Durán	2000	98.1%	99.9%	92.2%
Ecuador	Durán	2017	98.2%	99.8%	92.6%
Ecuador	Echeandía	2000	89.4%	97.8%	69.8%
Ecuador	Echeandía	2017	88.1%	98.0%	62.8%
Ecuador	El Carmen	2000	64.4%	87.1%	39.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	El Carmen	2017	63.8%	86.4%	38.4%
Ecuador	El Chaco	2000	87.9%	98.3%	68.7%
Ecuador	El Chaco	2017	89.7%	98.9%	73.0%
Ecuador	El Empalme	2000	75.5%	94.7%	44.4%
Ecuador	El Empalme	2017	75.0%	93.7%	45.7%
Ecuador	El Guabo	2000	94.8%	98.9%	86.3%
Ecuador	El Guabo	2017	94.7%	98.7%	86.9%
Ecuador	El Pan	2000	85.5%	100.0%	36.4%
Ecuador	El Pan	2017	85.2%	100.0%	36.8%
Ecuador	El Pangui	2000	63.9%	99.9%	3.9%
Ecuador	El Pangui	2017	63.5%	99.9%	3.3%
Ecuador	El Tambo	2000	97.8%	99.0%	96.3%
Ecuador	El Tambo	2017	97.7%	98.9%	96.2%
Ecuador	El Triunfo	2000	87.9%	99.1%	65.2%
Ecuador	El Triunfo	2017	88.2%	99.3%	64.9%
Ecuador	Eloy Alfaro	2000	64.0%	82.0%	42.3%
Ecuador	Eloy Alfaro	2017	63.5%	82.0%	41.7%
Ecuador	Esmeraldas	2000	87.6%	97.4%	63.9%
Ecuador	Esmeraldas	2017	88.3%	97.6%	66.8%
Ecuador	Espejo	2000	96.3%	99.2%	90.6%
Ecuador	Espejo	2017	96.6%	99.2%	91.5%
Ecuador	Espíndola	2000	74.5%	100.0%	9.1%
Ecuador	Espíndola	2017	74.3%	100.0%	9.3%
Ecuador	Flavio Alfaro	2000	50.0%	75.4%	17.4%
Ecuador	Flavio Alfaro	2017	48.6%	73.8%	16.2%
Ecuador	General Antonio Elizalde	2000	89.3%	99.9%	44.4%
Ecuador	General Antonio Elizalde	2017	90.5%	99.9%	52.8%
Ecuador	Girón	2000	67.1%	99.1%	14.1%
Ecuador	Girón	2017	65.8%	99.1%	13.3%
Ecuador	Gonzalo Pizarro	2000	73.1%	99.9%	20.6%
Ecuador	Gonzalo Pizarro	2017	73.2%	99.9%	19.4%
Ecuador	Gonzanamá	2000	89.0%	99.3%	62.7%
Ecuador	Gonzanamá	2017	89.5%	99.3%	62.3%
Ecuador	Guachapala	2000	97.2%	99.7%	89.1%
Ecuador	Guachapala	2017	97.3%	99.7%	89.1%
Ecuador	Gualaceo	2000	78.7%	98.2%	34.4%
Ecuador	Gualaceo	2017	79.2%	98.2%	34.8%
Ecuador	Gualaquiza	2000	81.4%	95.1%	64.7%
Ecuador	Gualaquiza	2017	82.1%	95.0%	67.0%
Ecuador	Guamote	2000	84.5%	97.9%	62.8%
Ecuador	Guamote	2017	84.7%	98.0%	63.6%
Ecuador	Guano	2000	97.3%	98.4%	95.3%
Ecuador	Guano	2017	97.2%	98.3%	95.0%
Ecuador	Guaranda	2000	93.4%	97.9%	84.4%
Ecuador	Guaranda	2017	93.2%	97.9%	84.1%
Ecuador	Guayaquil	2000	94.9%	99.2%	84.4%
Ecuador	Guayaquil	2017	96.0%	99.2%	88.5%
Ecuador	Huamboya	2000	75.4%	100.0%	11.5%
Ecuador	Huamboya	2017	75.2%	100.0%	10.3%
Ecuador	Huaquillas	2000	97.6%	99.9%	90.9%
Ecuador	Huaquillas	2017	97.7%	99.9%	92.0%
Ecuador	Ibarra	2000	75.5%	99.4%	16.5%
Ecuador	Ibarra	2017	74.9%	99.4%	13.7%
Ecuador	Isabela	2000	49.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Isabela	2017	49.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Isidro Ayora	2000	83.4%	98.9%	52.6%
Ecuador	Isidro Ayora	2017	83.8%	98.8%	52.9%
Ecuador	Jama	2000	62.0%	99.8%	6.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Jama	2017	61.3%	99.8%	7.4%
Ecuador	Jaramijó	2000	79.3%	99.9%	29.5%
Ecuador	Jaramijó	2017	82.0%	99.9%	31.5%
Ecuador	Jipijapa	2000	80.5%	94.0%	62.0%
Ecuador	Jipijapa	2017	79.8%	93.1%	61.7%
Ecuador	Junín	2000	68.9%	86.7%	45.3%
Ecuador	Junín	2017	69.1%	87.3%	45.2%
Ecuador	La Concordia	2000	74.6%	100.0%	8.1%
Ecuador	La Concordia	2017	73.7%	100.0%	9.1%
Ecuador	La Joya de los Sachas	2000	71.3%	98.7%	23.8%
Ecuador	La Joya de los Sachas	2017	70.3%	98.6%	22.6%
Ecuador	La Libertad	2000	86.0%	100.0%	25.8%
Ecuador	La Libertad	2017	91.6%	100.0%	39.5%
Ecuador	La Maná	2000	89.4%	97.8%	77.3%
Ecuador	La Maná	2017	89.0%	97.7%	77.5%
Ecuador	La Troncal	2000	95.0%	97.6%	90.0%
Ecuador	La Troncal	2017	94.9%	97.6%	88.6%
Ecuador	Lago Agrio	2000	68.3%	88.6%	48.2%
Ecuador	Lago Agrio	2017	67.1%	87.5%	47.2%
Ecuador	Las Lajas	2000	78.6%	96.6%	47.4%
Ecuador	Las Lajas	2017	78.5%	96.5%	47.2%
Ecuador	Las Naves	2000	82.6%	88.9%	76.6%
Ecuador	Las Naves	2017	80.0%	87.5%	72.7%
Ecuador	Latacunga	2000	98.0%	99.0%	96.4%
Ecuador	Latacunga	2017	97.9%	99.0%	96.1%
Ecuador	Limón In-danza	2000	78.1%	100.0%	21.8%
Ecuador	Limón In-danza	2017	77.2%	100.0%	17.7%
Ecuador	Logroño	2000	68.3%	99.9%	20.9%
Ecuador	Logroño	2017	68.0%	99.9%	17.2%
Ecuador	Loja	2000	94.7%	99.5%	84.3%
Ecuador	Loja	2017	94.5%	99.4%	84.4%
Ecuador	Lomas de Sargentillo	2000	93.1%	99.1%	81.1%
Ecuador	Lomas de Sargentillo	2017	92.8%	99.0%	80.0%
Ecuador	Loreto	2000	62.7%	96.2%	17.5%
Ecuador	Loreto	2017	62.4%	95.8%	17.0%
Ecuador	Macará	2000	74.4%	100.0%	17.7%
Ecuador	Macará	2017	74.2%	100.0%	18.5%
Ecuador	Machala	2000	95.0%	99.2%	82.0%
Ecuador	Machala	2017	95.1%	99.1%	82.4%
Ecuador	Manta	2000	92.2%	99.7%	72.0%
Ecuador	Manta	2017	91.6%	99.6%	70.7%
Ecuador	Marcabelí	2000	86.0%	99.9%	39.0%
Ecuador	Marcabelí	2017	86.9%	99.9%	40.7%
Ecuador	Mejía	2000	90.2%	99.9%	42.9%
Ecuador	Mejía	2000	95.5%	99.3%	85.9%
Ecuador	Mejía	2017	89.4%	99.9%	40.4%
Ecuador	Mejía	2017	95.7%	99.3%	86.1%
Ecuador	Mera	2000	95.8%	99.8%	81.3%
Ecuador	Mera	2017	95.5%	99.8%	79.2%
Ecuador	Milagro	2000	92.1%	99.3%	78.1%
Ecuador	Milagro	2017	92.3%	99.2%	78.4%
Ecuador	Mira	2000	90.8%	99.0%	73.4%
Ecuador	Mira	2017	91.1%	99.0%	77.5%
Ecuador	Mocache	2000	66.1%	90.8%	35.5%
Ecuador	Mocache	2017	65.7%	89.5%	37.2%
Ecuador	Mocha	2000	95.7%	97.5%	93.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Mocha	2017	95.5%	97.4%	93.6%
Ecuador	Montalvo	2000	83.6%	99.8%	34.7%
Ecuador	Montalvo	2017	83.3%	99.8%	35.1%
Ecuador	Montecristi	2000	89.3%	98.0%	69.1%
Ecuador	Montecristi	2017	89.0%	98.1%	67.2%
Ecuador	Montúfar	2000	99.1%	99.7%	98.0%
Ecuador	Montúfar	2017	99.1%	99.7%	98.0%
Ecuador	Morona	2000	84.0%	95.5%	69.1%
Ecuador	Morona	2017	83.4%	94.5%	68.0%
Ecuador	Muisne	2000	53.3%	77.1%	31.3%
Ecuador	Muisne	2017	52.1%	76.8%	28.6%
Ecuador	Nabón	2000	71.7%	99.4%	23.8%
Ecuador	Nabón	2017	71.2%	99.4%	23.3%
Ecuador	Nangaritza	2000	84.3%	99.9%	47.6%
Ecuador	Nangaritza	2017	84.2%	99.9%	47.1%
Ecuador	Naranjal	2000	85.5%	98.3%	65.7%
Ecuador	Naranjal	2017	86.4%	98.2%	67.2%
Ecuador	Naranjito	2000	93.1%	99.8%	71.3%
Ecuador	Naranjito	2017	93.2%	99.8%	72.3%
Ecuador	Nobol	2000	92.5%	99.5%	62.3%
Ecuador	Nobol	2017	92.5%	99.5%	64.7%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2000	94.4%	99.6%	76.0%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2000	69.5%	100.0%	5.1%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2017	93.8%	99.6%	73.9%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2017	68.8%	100.0%	4.7%
Ecuador	Oña	2000	77.4%	98.4%	30.0%
Ecuador	Oña	2017	77.5%	98.2%	32.0%
Ecuador	Orellana	2000	76.9%	94.6%	35.7%
Ecuador	Orellana	2017	76.8%	94.9%	35.2%
Ecuador	Otavalo	2000	77.0%	100.0%	10.5%
Ecuador	Otavalo	2017	77.0%	100.0%	10.7%
Ecuador	Pablo Sexto	2000	66.5%	99.1%	19.1%
Ecuador	Pablo Sexto	2017	67.0%	98.7%	18.5%
Ecuador	Paján	2000	50.5%	78.3%	21.0%
Ecuador	Paján	2017	48.9%	77.0%	19.5%
Ecuador	Palanda	2000	74.4%	100.0%	20.3%
Ecuador	Palanda	2017	74.3%	100.0%	17.4%
Ecuador	Palenque	2000	61.1%	89.2%	24.9%
Ecuador	Palenque	2017	60.4%	89.0%	24.4%
Ecuador	Palestina	2000	58.9%	94.5%	15.7%
Ecuador	Palestina	2017	58.8%	94.6%	14.9%
Ecuador	Pallatanga	2000	86.9%	97.9%	66.0%
Ecuador	Pallatanga	2017	86.7%	97.8%	65.8%
Ecuador	Palora	2000	79.1%	99.9%	21.5%
Ecuador	Palora	2017	78.9%	99.9%	21.2%
Ecuador	Paltas	2000	88.2%	98.4%	71.0%
Ecuador	Paltas	2017	87.5%	98.3%	69.9%
Ecuador	Pangua	2000	89.7%	98.0%	70.0%
Ecuador	Pangua	2017	89.6%	97.7%	72.1%
Ecuador	Paquisha	2000	84.7%	100.0%	36.1%
Ecuador	Paquisha	2017	85.8%	100.0%	37.7%
Ecuador	Pasaje	2000	98.9%	99.6%	97.6%
Ecuador	Pasaje	2017	98.7%	99.6%	97.3%
Ecuador	Pastaza	2000	90.0%	97.7%	76.4%
Ecuador	Pastaza	2017	90.0%	97.9%	76.6%
Ecuador	Patate	2000	96.9%	99.2%	90.7%
Ecuador	Patate	2017	96.7%	99.2%	89.5%
Ecuador	Paute	2000	92.6%	98.9%	75.0%
Ecuador	Paute	2017	93.2%	98.8%	78.3%
Ecuador	Pedernales	2000	65.3%	91.8%	34.0%
Ecuador	Pedernales	2017	64.6%	91.0%	31.6%
Ecuador	Pedro Carbo	2000	44.8%	70.6%	18.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Pedro Carbo	2017	43.0%	67.6%	17.5%
Ecuador	Pedro Moncayo	2000	87.4%	99.9%	42.2%
Ecuador	Pedro Moncayo	2017	86.7%	99.9%	39.7%
Ecuador	Pedro Vicente Maldonado	2000	86.7%	99.7%	51.0%
Ecuador	Pedro Vicente Maldonado	2017	86.3%	99.7%	47.9%
Ecuador	Penipe	2000	94.0%	100.0%	74.7%
Ecuador	Penipe	2017	94.0%	99.9%	74.4%
Ecuador	Pichincha	2000	67.3%	99.9%	16.3%
Ecuador	Pichincha	2017	66.9%	99.9%	15.6%
Ecuador	Pimampiro	2000	72.5%	99.0%	20.6%
Ecuador	Pimampiro	2017	71.7%	99.1%	19.0%
Ecuador	Piñas	2000	95.0%	98.9%	87.4%
Ecuador	Piñas	2017	95.4%	99.0%	88.0%
Ecuador	Pindal	2000	69.6%	100.0%	9.3%
Ecuador	Pindal	2017	68.9%	100.0%	9.8%
Ecuador	Playas	2000	92.5%	99.9%	72.4%
Ecuador	Playas	2017	93.2%	99.9%	74.2%
Ecuador	Portovelo	2000	95.5%	99.3%	85.9%
Ecuador	Portovelo	2017	95.2%	99.3%	85.0%
Ecuador	Portoviejo	2000	89.0%	97.7%	70.9%
Ecuador	Portoviejo	2017	89.3%	97.6%	72.5%
Ecuador	Pucará	2000	80.4%	97.8%	40.7%
Ecuador	Pucará	2017	79.9%	97.0%	43.0%
Ecuador	Pueblo Viejo	2000	74.9%	90.5%	40.8%
Ecuador	Pueblo Viejo	2017	74.7%	90.4%	38.6%
Ecuador	Puerto López	2000	83.7%	100.0%	21.9%
Ecuador	Puerto López	2017	83.8%	100.0%	25.5%
Ecuador	Puerto Quito	2000	80.9%	99.5%	50.4%
Ecuador	Puerto Quito	2017	80.8%	99.4%	47.8%
Ecuador	Pujilí	2000	90.5%	96.9%	79.0%
Ecuador	Pujilí	2017	90.3%	96.8%	79.5%
Ecuador	Putumayo	2000	65.3%	100.0%	18.3%
Ecuador	Putumayo	2017	64.9%	100.0%	17.1%
Ecuador	Puyango	2000	85.9%	99.1%	67.6%
Ecuador	Puyango	2017	85.7%	99.0%	67.5%
Ecuador	Quero	2000	96.4%	97.7%	94.5%
Ecuador	Quero	2017	96.3%	97.7%	94.5%
Ecuador	Quevedo	2000	79.8%	93.3%	57.7%
Ecuador	Quevedo	2017	79.4%	93.1%	55.9%
Ecuador	Quijos	2000	83.9%	98.9%	46.7%
Ecuador	Quijos	2017	84.0%	98.8%	45.5%
Ecuador	Quilanga	2000	80.0%	100.0%	12.1%
Ecuador	Quilanga	2017	79.6%	100.0%	11.5%
Ecuador	Quinindé	2000	67.1%	87.9%	44.4%
Ecuador	Quinindé	2017	67.3%	87.3%	45.2%
Ecuador	Quinsaloma	2000	65.3%	91.1%	24.4%
Ecuador	Quinsaloma	2017	63.1%	90.3%	24.5%
Ecuador	Quito	2000	98.1%	99.5%	94.7%
Ecuador	Quito	2017	98.2%	99.5%	94.6%
Ecuador	Río Verde	2000	53.3%	80.6%	27.0%
Ecuador	Río Verde	2017	52.8%	80.7%	27.6%
Ecuador	Riobamba	2000	94.3%	98.4%	87.8%
Ecuador	Riobamba	2017	94.0%	98.4%	87.3%
Ecuador	Rocafuerte	2000	89.5%	99.2%	70.2%
Ecuador	Rocafuerte	2017	89.2%	99.0%	70.1%
Ecuador	Rumiñahui	2000	98.8%	99.8%	96.1%
Ecuador	Rumiñahui	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.3%
Ecuador	Salcedo	2000	94.8%	96.6%	92.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Salcedo	2017	94.6%	96.5%	92.1%
Ecuador	Salinas	2000	93.9%	99.8%	75.6%
Ecuador	Salinas	2017	93.3%	99.7%	74.6%
Ecuador	Samborondón	2000	90.2%	99.9%	70.9%
Ecuador	Samborondón	2017	92.2%	99.9%	75.4%
Ecuador	San Cristóbal	2000	51.2%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	San Cristóbal	2017	51.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	San Fernando	2000	71.7%	100.0%	13.5%
Ecuador	San Fernando	2017	70.9%	100.0%	13.1%
Ecuador	San Jacinto de Yaguachi	2000	91.3%	99.6%	66.8%
Ecuador	San Jacinto de Yaguachi	2017	91.0%	99.6%	67.3%
Ecuador	San Juan Bosco	2000	80.5%	100.0%	23.7%
Ecuador	San Juan Bosco	2017	79.7%	100.0%	22.9%
Ecuador	San Lorenzo	2000	80.9%	97.7%	55.2%
Ecuador	San Lorenzo	2017	82.3%	97.4%	59.4%
Ecuador	San Miguel	2000	86.6%	90.7%	79.6%
Ecuador	San Miguel	2017	86.3%	90.7%	78.3%
Ecuador	San Miguel de los Bancos	2000	81.5%	98.8%	45.5%
Ecuador	San Miguel de los Bancos	2017	81.4%	98.7%	44.4%
Ecuador	San Miguel de Urcuquí	2000	77.8%	100.0%	17.1%
Ecuador	San Miguel de Urcuquí	2017	77.2%	100.0%	13.9%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Huaca	2000	98.0%	98.8%	96.7%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Huaca	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.6%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Pelileo	2000	98.8%	99.3%	97.6%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Pelileo	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.0%
Ecuador	San Vicente	2000	80.6%	98.1%	47.1%
Ecuador	San Vicente	2017	80.5%	98.3%	47.4%
Ecuador	Santa Ana	2000	75.6%	94.1%	51.2%
Ecuador	Santa Ana	2017	74.9%	93.7%	49.8%
Ecuador	Santa Clara	2000	89.4%	99.9%	61.5%
Ecuador	Santa Clara	2017	90.8%	99.8%	67.8%
Ecuador	Santa Cruz	2000	51.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Santa Cruz	2017	51.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Santa Elena	2000	84.1%	97.3%	66.8%
Ecuador	Santa Elena	2017	84.0%	97.2%	65.2%
Ecuador	Santa Isabel	2000	86.1%	97.6%	64.8%
Ecuador	Santa Isabel	2017	85.4%	97.5%	63.2%
Ecuador	Santa Lucia	2000	34.8%	55.0%	15.5%
Ecuador	Santa Lucia	2017	32.6%	51.4%	14.6%
Ecuador	Santa Rosa	2000	97.0%	99.8%	91.0%
Ecuador	Santa Rosa	2017	97.2%	99.7%	91.7%
Ecuador	Santiago	2000	77.8%	99.2%	37.8%
Ecuador	Santiago	2017	77.0%	99.2%	31.8%
Ecuador	Santiago de Pillaro	2000	97.4%	99.6%	91.8%
Ecuador	Santiago de Pillaro	2017	97.3%	99.6%	91.4%
Ecuador	Santo Domingo	2000	77.7%	93.1%	55.4%
Ecuador	Santo Domingo	2017	78.7%	93.4%	58.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2000	92.0%	96.3%	86.0%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2017	91.6%	96.1%	85.4%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2000	97.6%	99.3%	90.4%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2017	97.4%	99.2%	90.5%
Ecuador	Saraguro	2000	88.6%	97.9%	72.7%
Ecuador	Saraguro	2017	88.1%	97.6%	72.2%
Ecuador	Sevilla de Oro	2000	81.9%	100.0%	26.1%
Ecuador	Sevilla de Oro	2017	81.8%	100.0%	25.8%
Ecuador	Shushufindi	2000	80.1%	94.9%	60.7%
Ecuador	Shushufindi	2017	79.0%	94.7%	58.1%
Ecuador	Sigchos	2000	83.1%	97.6%	56.6%
Ecuador	Sigchos	2017	82.9%	97.4%	56.6%
Ecuador	Sigsig	2000	83.2%	93.8%	61.1%
Ecuador	Sigsig	2017	83.1%	94.0%	60.4%
Ecuador	Simon Bolivar	2000	81.7%	100.0%	23.0%
Ecuador	Simon Bolivar	2017	81.3%	100.0%	20.0%
Ecuador	Sozoranga	2000	81.1%	99.4%	36.4%
Ecuador	Sozoranga	2017	80.9%	99.4%	36.4%
Ecuador	Sucre	2000	84.7%	98.9%	56.8%
Ecuador	Sucre	2017	84.5%	98.8%	57.1%
Ecuador	Sucúa	2000	79.2%	98.5%	51.3%
Ecuador	Sucúa	2017	79.8%	98.4%	50.5%
Ecuador	Sucumbíos	2000	88.7%	99.7%	57.8%
Ecuador	Sucumbíos	2017	87.3%	99.7%	52.8%
Ecuador	Suscal	2000	91.1%	95.8%	73.9%
Ecuador	Suscal	2017	90.6%	95.3%	74.1%
Ecuador	Taisha	2000	49.7%	87.8%	14.4%
Ecuador	Taisha	2017	48.9%	88.4%	12.9%
Ecuador	Tena	2000	82.8%	94.1%	66.4%
Ecuador	Tena	2017	82.2%	93.8%	64.3%
Ecuador	Tisaleo	2000	94.3%	97.2%	91.2%
Ecuador	Tisaleo	2017	94.1%	97.0%	90.8%
Ecuador	Tiwintza	2000	66.3%	100.0%	12.0%
Ecuador	Tiwintza	2017	65.4%	100.0%	10.3%
Ecuador	Tosagua	2000	84.0%	96.2%	60.5%
Ecuador	Tosagua	2017	83.5%	95.9%	59.6%
Ecuador	Tulcán	2000	95.4%	99.3%	87.8%
Ecuador	Tulcán	2017	95.3%	99.2%	88.0%
Ecuador	Urbina Jado	2000	63.8%	96.7%	15.5%
Ecuador	Urbina Jado	2017	62.8%	96.6%	14.6%
Ecuador	Urdaneta	2000	85.0%	99.9%	25.7%
Ecuador	Urdaneta	2017	84.6%	99.9%	23.4%
Ecuador	Valencia	2000	75.3%	95.3%	41.5%
Ecuador	Valencia	2017	74.8%	93.9%	45.2%
Ecuador	Ventanas	2000	73.4%	89.1%	54.8%
Ecuador	Ventanas	2017	73.1%	88.6%	54.9%
Ecuador	Vinces	2000	70.3%	82.3%	56.5%
Ecuador	Vinces	2017	70.0%	81.4%	56.8%
Ecuador	Yacuambi	2000	80.3%	98.3%	49.9%
Ecuador	Yacuambi	2017	80.8%	98.1%	51.5%
Ecuador	Yantzaza	2000	88.0%	99.7%	64.3%
Ecuador	Yantzaza	2017	87.9%	99.8%	61.1%
Ecuador	Zamora	2000	88.7%	98.5%	65.4%
Ecuador	Zamora	2017	88.2%	98.4%	65.3%
Ecuador	Zapotillo	2000	61.1%	94.4%	17.0%
Ecuador	Zapotillo	2017	60.6%	94.5%	16.5%
Ecuador	Zaruma	2000	88.8%	95.6%	78.7%
Ecuador	Zaruma	2017	88.3%	95.2%	77.7%
El Salvador	Acajutla	2000	44.5%	69.3%	24.4%
El Salvador	Acajutla	2017	88.5%	97.0%	68.7%
El Salvador	Agua Caliente	2000	79.1%	97.0%	50.4%
El Salvador	Agua Caliente	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Aguilares	2000	47.9%	61.4%	31.2%
El Salvador	Aguilares	2017	98.1%	99.5%	94.9%
El Salvador	Ahuachapán	2000	57.5%	79.6%	34.9%
El Salvador	Ahuachapán	2017	95.6%	99.7%	85.6%
El Salvador	Alegria	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
El Salvador	Alegria	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
El Salvador	Anamorós	2000	32.0%	57.4%	10.5%
El Salvador	Anamorós	2017	87.6%	98.2%	68.1%
El Salvador	Antiguo Cuscatlán	2000	92.9%	99.9%	78.2%
El Salvador	Antiguo Cuscatlán	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.3%
El Salvador	Apaneca	2000	92.0%	99.3%	72.0%
El Salvador	Apaneca	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.1%
El Salvador	Apastepeque	2000	39.1%	50.7%	25.5%
El Salvador	Apastepeque	2017	92.5%	95.8%	81.9%
El Salvador	Apopa	2000	41.2%	55.5%	25.7%
El Salvador	Apopa	2017	96.1%	97.2%	93.3%
El Salvador	Arambala	2000	32.5%	56.6%	12.5%
El Salvador	Arambala	2017	86.3%	96.2%	67.2%
El Salvador	Arcatao	2000	80.0%	99.1%	37.9%
El Salvador	Arcatao	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.0%
El Salvador	Armenia	2000	74.6%	87.5%	60.2%
El Salvador	Armenia	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.7%
El Salvador	Atiquizaya	2000	68.9%	81.3%	54.1%
El Salvador	Atiquizaya	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.8%
El Salvador	Ayutuxtepeque	2000	78.3%	82.2%	75.0%
El Salvador	Ayutuxtepeque	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
El Salvador	Azacualpa	2000	54.7%	95.8%	8.8%
El Salvador	Azacualpa	2017	92.2%	100.0%	57.1%
El Salvador	Berlín	2000	95.8%	100.0%	79.7%
El Salvador	Berlín	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.0%
El Salvador	Bolívar	2000	45.9%	68.2%	25.1%
El Salvador	Bolívar	2017	92.1%	97.0%	84.6%
El Salvador	Cacaopera	2000	72.0%	95.3%	35.5%
El Salvador	Cacaopera	2017	97.1%	100.0%	78.3%
El Salvador	California	2000	98.2%	99.0%	97.0%
El Salvador	California	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
El Salvador	Caluco	2000	58.6%	91.3%	14.3%
El Salvador	Caluco	2017	93.7%	99.8%	59.9%
El Salvador	Candelaria	2000	67.2%	70.4%	64.3%
El Salvador	Candelaria	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
El Salvador	Candelaria de la Frontera	2000	59.1%	79.8%	40.6%
El Salvador	Candelaria de la Frontera	2017	96.9%	99.6%	90.0%
El Salvador	Carolina	2000	19.7%	35.4%	8.6%
El Salvador	Carolina	2017	81.3%	91.1%	65.8%
El Salvador	Chalatenango	2000	65.0%	89.0%	38.1%
El Salvador	Chalatenango	2017	97.2%	99.9%	88.7%
El Salvador	Chalchuapa	2000	74.8%	85.5%	63.2%
El Salvador	Chalchuapa	2017	96.1%	99.7%	87.0%
El Salvador	Chapeltique	2000	48.0%	69.7%	26.9%
El Salvador	Chapeltique	2017	92.0%	97.9%	77.6%
El Salvador	Chilanga	2000	45.2%	54.0%	37.3%
El Salvador	Chilanga	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.3%
El Salvador	Chiltiupán	2000	72.9%	97.9%	37.6%
El Salvador	Chiltiupán	2017	95.0%	100.0%	72.9%
El Salvador	Chinameca	2000	82.3%	93.5%	65.6%
El Salvador	Chinameca	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.2%
El Salvador	Chirilagua	2000	66.7%	90.9%	35.2%
El Salvador	Chirilagua	2017	95.4%	99.9%	81.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Cinquera	2000	75.4%	89.0%	60.7%
El Salvador	Cinquera	2017	97.5%	99.4%	91.4%
El Salvador	Citalá	2000	99.3%	100.0%	95.4%
El Salvador	Citalá	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
El Salvador	Ciudad Arce	2000	55.3%	75.4%	36.6%
El Salvador	Ciudad Arce	2017	98.5%	99.7%	95.1%
El Salvador	Ciudad Barrios	2000	39.9%	51.0%	30.9%
El Salvador	Ciudad Barrios	2017	92.9%	95.1%	88.0%
El Salvador	Coatepeque	2000	53.0%	77.1%	27.3%
El Salvador	Coatepeque	2017	95.7%	99.7%	86.0%
El Salvador	Cojutepeque	2000	72.8%	76.2%	69.3%
El Salvador	Cojutepeque	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.3%
El Salvador	Colón	2000	62.9%	69.3%	55.8%
El Salvador	Colón	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.5%
El Salvador	Comacarán	2000	48.8%	70.4%	16.6%
El Salvador	Comacarán	2017	97.2%	99.4%	79.9%
El Salvador	Comalapa	2000	98.6%	100.0%	93.4%
El Salvador	Comalapa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
El Salvador	Comasagua	2000	69.1%	80.7%	57.4%
El Salvador	Comasagua	2017	97.8%	99.1%	94.7%
El Salvador	Concepción Batres	2000	47.4%	74.5%	24.5%
El Salvador	Concepción Batres	2017	93.8%	99.2%	78.8%
El Salvador	Concepción de Ataco	2000	82.2%	88.9%	76.1%
El Salvador	Concepción de Ataco	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.3%
El Salvador	Concepción de Oriente	2000	49.6%	82.0%	17.1%
El Salvador	Concepción de Oriente	2017	94.1%	99.9%	68.1%
El Salvador	Concepción Quezaltepeque	2000	83.5%	91.8%	73.4%
El Salvador	Concepción Quezaltepeque	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
El Salvador	Conchagua	2000	60.1%	89.3%	25.4%
El Salvador	Conchagua	2017	92.4%	99.8%	70.3%
El Salvador	Corinto	2000	65.1%	99.8%	7.5%
El Salvador	Corinto	2017	93.0%	100.0%	36.3%
El Salvador	Cuisnahuat	2000	59.9%	89.5%	25.2%
El Salvador	Cuisnahuat	2017	92.2%	99.6%	58.1%
El Salvador	Cuscatancingo	2000	49.6%	53.3%	46.6%
El Salvador	Cuscatancingo	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
El Salvador	Cuyultitán	2000	48.6%	72.1%	31.9%
El Salvador	Cuyultitán	2017	94.0%	99.5%	82.4%
El Salvador	Delgado	2000	61.7%	64.3%	59.2%
El Salvador	Delgado	2017	97.5%	98.4%	95.8%
El Salvador	Delicias de Concepción	2000	68.0%	77.9%	53.2%
El Salvador	Delicias de Concepción	2017	98.3%	99.2%	95.1%
El Salvador	Dolores	2000	42.6%	72.7%	17.1%
El Salvador	Dolores	2017	88.1%	98.2%	64.2%
El Salvador	Dulce Nombre de María	2000	95.0%	98.6%	86.9%
El Salvador	Dulce Nombre de María	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	El Carmen	2000	48.5%	77.7%	17.4%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2000	23.9%	26.0%	21.9%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2017	82.1%	84.1%	79.8%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2017	95.5%	99.3%	81.0%
El Salvador	El Carrizal	2000	98.1%	100.0%	84.1%
El Salvador	El Carrizal	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
El Salvador	El Congo	2000	68.9%	89.7%	43.1%
El Salvador	El Congo	2017	98.8%	100.0%	95.2%
El Salvador	El Divisadero	2000	50.5%	68.9%	31.8%
El Salvador	El Divisadero	2017	98.4%	99.5%	93.6%
El Salvador	El Paisnal	2000	64.8%	85.9%	34.9%
El Salvador	El Paisnal	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.6%
El Salvador	El Paraíso	2000	61.4%	67.3%	52.6%
El Salvador	El Paraíso	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
El Salvador	El Porvenir	2000	66.5%	79.9%	51.8%
El Salvador	El Porvenir	2017	96.6%	99.7%	89.3%
El Salvador	El Refugio	2000	80.0%	84.3%	75.5%
El Salvador	El Refugio	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.3%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	20.0%	49.4%	5.4%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	26.8%	30.5%	23.0%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	65.1%	81.6%	46.7%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.3%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	84.6%	95.9%	62.5%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	97.5%	99.8%	86.6%
El Salvador	El Sauce	2000	51.8%	78.7%	18.8%
El Salvador	El Sauce	2017	93.9%	99.8%	72.2%
El Salvador	El Tránsito	2000	60.3%	91.8%	21.4%
El Salvador	El Tránsito	2017	96.6%	99.9%	79.7%
El Salvador	El Triunfo	2000	95.5%	100.0%	83.4%
El Salvador	El Triunfo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.1%
El Salvador	Embalse Cer- ron Grande	2000	61.6%	82.7%	39.0%
El Salvador	Embalse Cer- ron Grande	2017	94.5%	99.5%	81.2%
El Salvador	Ereguayquín	2000	44.7%	82.9%	6.5%
El Salvador	Ereguayquín	2017	93.1%	98.0%	65.9%
El Salvador	Estanzuelas	2000	97.3%	100.0%	80.9%
El Salvador	Estanzuelas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
El Salvador	Guacotecti	2000	90.3%	95.0%	82.3%
El Salvador	Guacotecti	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
El Salvador	Guadalupe	2000	76.2%	88.4%	63.7%
El Salvador	Guadalupe	2017	97.3%	99.5%	92.5%
El Salvador	Gualococti	2000	30.0%	40.6%	19.7%
El Salvador	Gualococti	2017	88.4%	92.7%	80.5%
El Salvador	Guatajiagua	2000	43.7%	66.5%	22.1%
El Salvador	Guatajiagua	2017	94.4%	98.7%	80.6%
El Salvador	Guaymango	2000	52.6%	62.7%	38.0%
El Salvador	Guaymango	2017	91.3%	94.9%	85.6%
El Salvador	Guazapa	2000	46.6%	71.1%	26.4%
El Salvador	Guazapa	2017	95.6%	99.6%	81.1%
El Salvador	Huizúcar	2000	68.6%	87.2%	34.3%
El Salvador	Huizúcar	2017	97.2%	99.3%	90.7%
El Salvador	Ilobasco	2000	42.1%	56.3%	29.2%
El Salvador	Ilobasco	2017	94.1%	96.3%	88.2%
El Salvador	Ilopango	2000	76.7%	83.7%	68.2%
El Salvador	Ilopango	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
El Salvador	Intipucá	2000	83.8%	100.0%	54.5%
El Salvador	Intipucá	2017	98.1%	100.0%	88.9%
El Salvador	Izalco	2000	46.4%	60.0%	29.9%
El Salvador	Izalco	2017	93.2%	96.7%	86.5%
El Salvador	Jayaque	2000	86.5%	94.6%	71.7%
El Salvador	Jayaque	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Jerusalén	2000	91.8%	96.0%	86.5%
El Salvador	Jerusalén	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.2%
El Salvador	Jicalapa	2000	52.8%	96.9%	11.6%
El Salvador	Jicalapa	2017	88.5%	100.0%	55.0%
El Salvador	Jiquilisco	2000	53.1%	82.2%	26.9%
El Salvador	Jiquilisco	2017	91.6%	99.5%	74.2%
El Salvador	Joateca	2000	70.8%	97.7%	25.2%
El Salvador	Joateca	2017	95.6%	99.9%	72.0%
El Salvador	Jocoaitique	2000	23.6%	44.4%	14.8%
El Salvador	Jocoaitique	2017	77.9%	93.9%	51.8%
El Salvador	Jocoro	2000	24.5%	33.6%	17.9%
El Salvador	Jocoro	2017	94.7%	97.2%	89.6%
El Salvador	Juayúa	2000	78.8%	90.2%	65.9%
El Salvador	Juayúa	2017	94.8%	99.5%	90.3%
El Salvador	Jucuapa	2000	97.7%	99.8%	93.2%
El Salvador	Jucuapa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
El Salvador	Jucuarán	2000	77.1%	98.9%	47.5%
El Salvador	Jucuarán	2017	96.8%	100.0%	85.7%
El Salvador	Jujutla	2000	62.1%	83.2%	28.6%
El Salvador	Jujutla	2017	94.8%	99.3%	80.2%
El Salvador	Jutiapa	2000	35.7%	49.9%	18.3%
El Salvador	Jutiapa	2017	89.3%	93.8%	79.3%
El Salvador	La Laguna	2000	99.3%	100.0%	94.5%
El Salvador	La Laguna	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
El Salvador	La Libertad	2000	53.0%	80.1%	26.3%
El Salvador	La Libertad	2017	92.5%	99.6%	76.1%
El Salvador	La Palma	2000	98.2%	100.0%	88.2%
El Salvador	La Palma	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
El Salvador	La Reina	2000	83.3%	93.2%	65.7%
El Salvador	La Reina	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
El Salvador	La Unión	2000	57.1%	90.0%	24.0%
El Salvador	La Unión	2017	90.1%	99.8%	60.5%
El Salvador	Lago de Coatepeque	2000	74.8%	99.7%	19.0%
El Salvador	Lago de Coatepeque	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.2%
El Salvador	Lago de Guija	2000	62.1%	97.6%	12.0%
El Salvador	Lago de Guija	2017	91.7%	100.0%	56.0%
El Salvador	Lago de Llopango	2000	73.7%	79.3%	65.5%
El Salvador	Lago de Llopango	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
El Salvador	Las Vueltas	2000	93.9%	99.9%	79.4%
El Salvador	Las Vueltas	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.5%
El Salvador	Lislique	2000	59.0%	99.5%	9.4%
El Salvador	Lislique	2017	91.1%	100.0%	59.1%
El Salvador	Lolotique	2000	87.9%	97.8%	70.1%
El Salvador	Lolotique	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.1%
El Salvador	Lolotiquillo	2000	26.2%	28.3%	23.6%
El Salvador	Lolotiquillo	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.8%
El Salvador	Masahuat	2000	35.3%	55.6%	18.9%
El Salvador	Masahuat	2017	88.2%	97.2%	74.8%
El Salvador	Meanguera	2000	74.0%	86.9%	58.5%
El Salvador	Meanguera	2017	97.1%	98.5%	93.8%
El Salvador	Meanguera del Golfo	2000	41.1%	69.4%	6.3%
El Salvador	Meanguera del Golfo	2017	89.1%	97.8%	58.7%
El Salvador	Mejicanos	2000	94.4%	96.7%	92.2%
El Salvador	Mejicanos	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
El Salvador	Mercedes La Ceiba	2000	94.1%	97.1%	88.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Mercedes La Ceiba	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
El Salvador	Mercedes Umaña	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
El Salvador	Mercedes Umaña	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
El Salvador	Metapán	2000	67.0%	85.4%	39.7%
El Salvador	Metapán	2017	95.6%	99.7%	85.7%
El Salvador	Moncagua	2000	57.8%	79.6%	35.8%
El Salvador	Moncagua	2017	98.1%	99.8%	92.2%
El Salvador	Monte San Juan	2000	56.3%	59.8%	53.0%
El Salvador	Monte San Juan	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
El Salvador	Nahuizalco	2000	67.4%	72.1%	61.5%
El Salvador	Nahuizalco	2017	91.8%	98.0%	89.0%
El Salvador	Nahulingo	2000	25.2%	31.6%	19.6%
El Salvador	Nahulingo	2017	96.0%	97.9%	93.5%
El Salvador	Nejapa	2000	68.3%	84.1%	58.9%
El Salvador	Nejapa	2017	98.6%	99.8%	95.0%
El Salvador	Nombre de Jesús	2000	56.5%	68.0%	42.5%
El Salvador	Nombre de Jesús	2017	95.7%	98.9%	89.6%
El Salvador	Nueva Concepción	2000	63.6%	83.6%	39.3%
El Salvador	Nueva Concepción	2017	96.1%	99.7%	88.9%
El Salvador	Nueva Esparta	2000	61.9%	99.8%	11.3%
El Salvador	Nueva Esparta	2017	91.5%	100.0%	54.5%
El Salvador	Nueva Granada	2000	94.6%	100.0%	70.3%
El Salvador	Nueva Granada	2017	99.6%	100.0%	95.9%
El Salvador	Nueva Guadalupe	2000	83.4%	97.7%	54.7%
El Salvador	Nueva Guadalupe	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.5%
El Salvador	Nueva San Salvador	2000	87.3%	92.4%	78.9%
El Salvador	Nueva San Salvador	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.5%
El Salvador	Nueva Trinidad	2000	77.1%	99.6%	29.1%
El Salvador	Nueva Trinidad	2017	97.2%	100.0%	83.0%
El Salvador	Nuevo Cuscatlán	2000	84.2%	99.6%	36.2%
El Salvador	Nuevo Cuscatlán	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.9%
El Salvador	Nuevo Edén de San Juan	2000	31.3%	60.7%	9.6%
El Salvador	Nuevo Edén de San Juan	2017	84.0%	95.5%	64.4%
El Salvador	Ojos de Agua	2000	94.6%	100.0%	79.5%
El Salvador	Ojos de Agua	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
El Salvador	Olocuilta	2000	48.3%	67.8%	29.6%
El Salvador	Olocuilta	2017	92.7%	96.8%	83.2%
El Salvador	Opico	2000	56.6%	80.1%	31.3%
El Salvador	Opico	2017	97.1%	99.8%	86.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Oratorio de Concepción	2000	29.0%	37.9%	18.3%
El Salvador	Oratorio de Concepción	2017	90.6%	93.3%	84.6%
El Salvador	Osicala	2000	69.2%	75.2%	60.1%
El Salvador	Osicala	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.2%
El Salvador	Ozatlán	2000	45.9%	85.8%	10.5%
El Salvador	Ozatlán	2017	93.4%	99.9%	67.7%
El Salvador	Panchimalco	2000	36.0%	43.4%	28.7%
El Salvador	Panchimalco	2017	88.8%	91.7%	84.1%
El Salvador	Paraíso de Osorio	2000	65.1%	70.3%	57.3%
El Salvador	Paraíso de Osorio	2017	79.2%	97.6%	69.7%
El Salvador	Pasaquina	2000	60.9%	83.0%	37.7%
El Salvador	Pasaquina	2017	92.3%	99.3%	77.1%
El Salvador	Perquín	2000	44.0%	75.3%	18.2%
El Salvador	Perquín	2017	86.6%	98.4%	57.8%
El Salvador	Polorós	2000	61.7%	96.8%	16.7%
El Salvador	Polorós	2017	93.5%	100.0%	70.0%
El Salvador	Potonico	2000	45.8%	78.9%	9.5%
El Salvador	Potonico	2017	88.8%	99.3%	53.0%
El Salvador	Puerto El Triunfo	2000	39.7%	77.1%	14.2%
El Salvador	Puerto El Triunfo	2017	95.7%	99.8%	86.1%
El Salvador	Quelepa	2000	44.1%	62.9%	28.5%
El Salvador	Quelepa	2017	98.0%	99.7%	93.2%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque	2000	59.8%	77.6%	43.0%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque	2017	97.9%	99.9%	92.4%
El Salvador	Rosario de Mora	2000	33.3%	59.6%	14.3%
El Salvador	Rosario de Mora	2017	83.8%	94.8%	62.9%
El Salvador	Sacacoyo	2000	87.8%	94.0%	76.9%
El Salvador	Sacacoyo	2017	99.6%	100.0%	99.0%
El Salvador	Salcoatitán	2000	80.0%	88.6%	58.4%
El Salvador	Salcoatitán	2017	98.5%	99.6%	95.6%
El Salvador	San Agustín	2000	79.2%	99.6%	38.9%
El Salvador	San Agustín	2017	96.7%	100.0%	79.7%
El Salvador	San Alejo	2000	37.7%	58.3%	15.8%
El Salvador	San Alejo	2017	85.2%	95.8%	69.9%
El Salvador	San Antonio	2000	18.3%	37.6%	6.4%
El Salvador	San Antonio	2017	79.3%	92.5%	59.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio de la Cruz	2000	88.4%	99.4%	69.7%
El Salvador	San Antonio de la Cruz	2017	97.7%	100.0%	80.7%
El Salvador	San Antonio del Monte	2000	57.6%	67.9%	43.8%
El Salvador	San Antonio del Monte	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio Los Ranchos	2000	65.6%	98.6%	12.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio Los Ranchos	2017	95.6%	100.0%	66.6%
El Salvador	San Antonio Masahuat	2000	83.2%	97.5%	49.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio Masahuat	2017	97.8%	100.0%	90.5%
El Salvador	San Antonio Pajonal	2000	65.6%	98.1%	17.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Antonio Pajonal	2017	93.5%	100.0%	62.1%
El Salvador	San Bartolomé Perulapía	2000	26.2%	29.0%	23.0%
El Salvador	San Bartolomé Perulapía	2017	92.8%	93.7%	91.3%
El Salvador	San Buenaventura	2000	96.9%	99.9%	84.6%
El Salvador	San Buenaventura	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
El Salvador	San Carlos	2000	79.1%	97.8%	39.4%
El Salvador	San Carlos	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.6%
El Salvador	San Cayetano Istepeque	2000	28.3%	34.0%	23.4%
El Salvador	San Cayetano Istepeque	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.1%
El Salvador	San Cristóbal	2000	98.4%	99.3%	96.4%
El Salvador	San Cristóbal	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
El Salvador	San Dionisio	2000	69.7%	95.2%	38.8%
El Salvador	San Dionisio	2017	96.7%	100.0%	84.1%
El Salvador	San Emigdio	2000	83.1%	93.1%	63.6%
El Salvador	San Emigdio	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.5%
El Salvador	San Esteban Catarina	2000	18.4%	27.8%	12.2%
El Salvador	San Esteban Catarina	2017	77.5%	85.5%	68.7%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2000	99.4%	100.0%	94.5%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2000	34.4%	90.1%	0.6%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2017	76.1%	99.4%	12.1%
El Salvador	San Francisco Chinameca	2000	50.3%	83.4%	14.4%
El Salvador	San Francisco Chinameca	2017	91.5%	99.2%	66.5%
El Salvador	San Francisco Gotera	2000	41.9%	53.4%	31.2%
El Salvador	San Francisco Gotera	2017	98.2%	99.4%	95.3%
El Salvador	San Francisco Javier	2000	81.3%	99.7%	40.0%
El Salvador	San Francisco Javier	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.7%
El Salvador	San Francisco Lempa	2000	52.9%	98.1%	3.3%
El Salvador	San Francisco Lempa	2017	87.8%	100.0%	40.7%
El Salvador	San Francisco Menéndez	2000	50.4%	81.8%	17.5%
El Salvador	San Francisco Menéndez	2017	91.2%	99.3%	70.4%
El Salvador	San Francisco Morazán	2000	82.5%	93.9%	59.2%
El Salvador	San Francisco Morazán	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.2%
El Salvador	San Gerardo	2000	22.5%	43.0%	7.6%
El Salvador	San Gerardo	2017	76.2%	86.6%	58.0%
El Salvador	San Ignacio	2000	99.6%	100.0%	96.9%
El Salvador	San Ignacio	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
El Salvador	San Ildefonso	2000	76.6%	95.7%	54.7%
El Salvador	San Ildefonso	2017	97.5%	100.0%	87.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Isidro	2000	24.2%	69.6%	2.8%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2000	40.9%	55.8%	28.9%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2017	79.3%	96.8%	57.9%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2017	78.8%	97.7%	39.0%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2000	71.0%	99.4%	21.4%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2017	96.0%	100.0%	68.1%
El Salvador	San Jorge	2000	58.8%	76.1%	38.4%
El Salvador	San Jorge	2017	98.5%	99.9%	91.8%
El Salvador	San José	2000	70.6%	79.3%	57.6%
El Salvador	San José	2017	95.8%	97.9%	93.5%
El Salvador	San José Can- casque	2000	64.2%	99.1%	11.4%
El Salvador	San José Can- casque	2017	94.0%	100.0%	57.2%
El Salvador	San José	2000	75.4%	85.9%	60.7%
El Salvador	San José	2017	97.7%	98.6%	95.3%
El Salvador	San José Las Flores	2000	79.0%	100.0%	30.5%
El Salvador	San José Las Flores	2017	96.1%	100.0%	68.7%
El Salvador	San José Vil- lanueva	2000	79.2%	88.6%	61.5%
El Salvador	San José Vil- lanueva	2017	98.7%	99.4%	96.7%
El Salvador	San Juan	2000	23.8%	37.4%	13.5%
El Salvador	San Juan	2017	83.1%	91.2%	71.9%
El Salvador	San Juan	2000	85.3%	95.8%	73.4%
El Salvador	San Juan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
El Salvador	San Juan Te- pezontes	2000	88.1%	99.0%	71.0%
El Salvador	San Juan Te- pezontes	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.5%
El Salvador	San Julián	2000	80.6%	92.8%	60.4%
El Salvador	San Julián	2017	98.4%	99.9%	93.5%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2000	14.0%	16.4%	12.0%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2000	72.9%	92.9%	50.5%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2017	76.0%	80.3%	71.6%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.6%
El Salvador	San Luis de la Reina	2000	32.5%	58.7%	13.0%
El Salvador	San Luis de la Reina	2017	87.0%	95.3%	73.4%
El Salvador	San Luis del Carmen	2000	57.0%	98.7%	3.9%
El Salvador	San Luis del Carmen	2017	91.2%	100.0%	47.0%
El Salvador	San Luis La Herradura	2000	60.9%	99.8%	11.9%
El Salvador	San Luis La Herradura	2017	90.3%	100.0%	56.5%
El Salvador	San Luis	2000	62.0%	80.7%	46.3%
El Salvador	San Luis	2017	96.8%	99.9%	86.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Marcos	2000	55.1%	61.2%	44.1%
El Salvador	San Marcos	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.5%
El Salvador	San Martín	2000	37.6%	39.8%	35.4%
El Salvador	San Martín	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
El Salvador	San Matías	2000	56.7%	92.3%	12.9%
El Salvador	San Matías	2017	95.1%	100.0%	68.6%
El Salvador	San Miguel	2000	45.7%	65.3%	29.0%
El Salvador	San Miguel	2017	95.8%	99.2%	88.3%
El Salvador	San Miguel de Mercedes	2000	58.7%	97.7%	9.5%
El Salvador	San Miguel de Mercedes	2017	93.9%	100.0%	66.6%
El Salvador	San Miguel Tepezontes	2000	77.8%	96.3%	46.0%
El Salvador	San Miguel Tepezontes	2017	97.0%	99.9%	80.1%
El Salvador	San Pablo Tacachico	2000	44.6%	71.1%	19.6%
El Salvador	San Pablo Tacachico	2017	95.3%	99.7%	85.1%
El Salvador	San Pedro Masahuat	2000	70.5%	94.9%	43.1%
El Salvador	San Pedro Masahuat	2017	96.6%	100.0%	81.4%
El Salvador	San Pedro Nonualco	2000	28.6%	45.8%	14.9%
El Salvador	San Pedro Nonualco	2017	63.5%	94.4%	36.4%
El Salvador	San Pedro Perulapán	2000	43.1%	51.0%	34.3%
El Salvador	San Pedro Perulapán	2017	94.7%	96.5%	90.4%
El Salvador	San Pedro Puxtla	2000	68.4%	75.3%	60.2%
El Salvador	San Pedro Puxtla	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2000	65.0%	73.2%	53.8%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2000	47.9%	75.4%	24.7%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2017	99.1%	99.6%	97.9%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2017	97.9%	99.9%	88.2%
El Salvador	San Rafael Cedros	2000	25.9%	29.3%	22.9%
El Salvador	San Rafael Cedros	2017	85.3%	86.9%	83.4%
El Salvador	San Rafael Obrajuelo	2000	19.0%	24.5%	15.1%
El Salvador	San Rafael Obrajuelo	2017	86.4%	92.4%	81.1%
El Salvador	San Ramón	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
El Salvador	San Ramón	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
El Salvador	San Salvador	2000	90.4%	93.2%	80.4%
El Salvador	San Salvador	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
El Salvador	San Sebastián	2000	31.8%	39.7%	26.3%
El Salvador	San Sebastián	2017	90.2%	93.1%	85.3%
El Salvador	San Sebastián Salitrillo	2000	73.7%	92.1%	53.3%
El Salvador	San Sebastián Salitrillo	2017	98.3%	100.0%	91.7%
El Salvador	San Simón	2000	35.8%	55.9%	19.0%
El Salvador	San Simón	2017	88.2%	94.6%	75.7%
El Salvador	San Vicente	2000	43.4%	56.0%	29.7%
El Salvador	San Vicente	2017	91.2%	98.2%	80.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Santa Ana	2000	40.8%	55.6%	29.9%
El Salvador	Santa Ana	2017	95.2%	98.6%	87.1%
El Salvador	Santa Catarina Masahuat	2000	69.5%	75.9%	62.1%
El Salvador	Santa Catarina Masahuat	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.2%
El Salvador	Santa Clara	2000	45.3%	82.6%	10.3%
El Salvador	Santa Clara	2017	88.0%	99.1%	61.3%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Analquito	2000	84.4%	91.7%	72.8%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Analquito	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.2%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Michapa	2000	56.0%	59.4%	53.0%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Michapa	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.4%
El Salvador	Santa Elena	2000	69.0%	89.8%	41.5%
El Salvador	Santa Elena	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.6%
El Salvador	Santa Isabel Ishuatán	2000	48.7%	74.9%	24.6%
El Salvador	Santa Isabel Ishuatán	2017	89.9%	97.6%	73.3%
El Salvador	Santa María	2000	43.8%	69.5%	16.6%
El Salvador	Santa María	2017	94.8%	98.3%	71.7%
El Salvador	Santa María Ostuma	2000	29.2%	35.9%	24.1%
El Salvador	Santa María Ostuma	2017	59.3%	94.9%	38.9%
El Salvador	Santa Rita	2000	79.8%	97.0%	55.1%
El Salvador	Santa Rita	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.4%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	55.1%	71.6%	38.0%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	96.6%	99.7%	88.1%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa Guachipilín	2000	51.2%	72.9%	20.2%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa Guachipilín	2017	96.3%	99.7%	82.3%
El Salvador	Santiago de la Frontera	2000	90.1%	99.4%	72.1%
El Salvador	Santiago de la Frontera	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
El Salvador	Santiago de María	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
El Salvador	Santiago de María	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
El Salvador	Santiago Nonualco	2000	45.4%	64.8%	21.7%
El Salvador	Santiago Nonualco	2017	92.7%	97.4%	77.5%
El Salvador	Santiago Texacuangos	2000	54.5%	63.3%	45.0%
El Salvador	Santiago Texacuangos	2017	95.3%	97.3%	89.5%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2000	65.0%	73.5%	54.8%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2000	59.9%	65.5%	49.6%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.3%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Santo Tomás	2000	28.5%	31.1%	26.0%
El Salvador	Santo Tomás	2017	93.1%	94.0%	92.2%
El Salvador	Sensembra	2000	58.5%	96.3%	31.6%
El Salvador	Sensembra	2017	97.1%	100.0%	88.2%
El Salvador	Sensuntepeque	2000	65.5%	82.9%	48.2%
El Salvador	Sensuntepeque	2017	95.4%	99.2%	84.8%
El Salvador	Sesori	2000	49.9%	78.3%	22.6%
El Salvador	Sesori	2017	93.5%	99.6%	77.3%
El Salvador	Sociedad	2000	34.6%	60.6%	12.4%
El Salvador	Sociedad	2017	92.0%	99.1%	71.8%
El Salvador	Sonsonate	2000	54.3%	71.9%	39.9%
El Salvador	Sonsonate	2017	93.5%	98.2%	83.4%
El Salvador	Sonzacate	2000	56.3%	65.8%	44.6%
El Salvador	Sonzacate	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.2%
El Salvador	Soyapango	2000	70.5%	84.7%	48.8%
El Salvador	Soyapango	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
El Salvador	Suchitoto	2000	65.3%	88.7%	33.4%
El Salvador	Suchitoto	2017	95.0%	99.7%	79.1%
El Salvador	Tacuba	2000	43.2%	58.0%	27.9%
El Salvador	Tacuba	2017	90.4%	94.6%	81.3%
El Salvador	Talnique	2000	85.4%	94.1%	70.4%
El Salvador	Talnique	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.6%
El Salvador	Tamanique	2000	68.4%	96.1%	30.8%
El Salvador	Tamanique	2017	94.9%	100.0%	73.1%
El Salvador	Tapalhuaca	2000	93.1%	99.5%	75.8%
El Salvador	Tapalhuaca	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.2%
El Salvador	Tecapán	2000	84.2%	91.5%	68.2%
El Salvador	Tecapán	2017	99.0%	99.9%	92.5%
El Salvador	Tecoluca	2000	48.5%	74.6%	21.9%
El Salvador	Tecoluca	2017	89.7%	99.1%	71.3%
El Salvador	Tejutepeque	2000	32.2%	36.3%	27.3%
El Salvador	Tejutepeque	2017	89.0%	90.8%	86.8%
El Salvador	Tejutla	2000	80.8%	94.9%	55.5%
El Salvador	Tejutla	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.5%
El Salvador	Tenancingo	2000	70.0%	82.0%	52.1%
El Salvador	Tenancingo	2017	97.0%	98.8%	91.1%
El Salvador	Teotepeque	2000	40.8%	65.8%	18.6%
El Salvador	Teotepeque	2017	83.6%	97.1%	61.3%
El Salvador	Tepecoyo	2000	92.4%	97.8%	83.6%
El Salvador	Tepecoyo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.9%
El Salvador	Tepetitán	2000	51.5%	55.8%	47.0%
El Salvador	Tepetitán	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.2%
El Salvador	Texistepeque	2000	42.5%	68.1%	19.7%
El Salvador	Texistepeque	2017	89.9%	98.9%	74.1%
El Salvador	Tonacatepeque	2000	65.8%	69.4%	58.0%
El Salvador	Tonacatepeque	2017	98.7%	99.1%	97.9%
El Salvador	Torola	2000	29.3%	56.2%	9.3%
El Salvador	Torola	2017	85.8%	95.7%	66.1%
El Salvador	Turín	2000	66.0%	92.7%	47.7%
El Salvador	Turín	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.9%
El Salvador	Uluazapa	2000	81.1%	95.6%	54.5%
El Salvador	Uluazapa	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.2%
El Salvador	Usulután	2000	47.2%	62.5%	34.0%
El Salvador	Usulután	2017	96.6%	99.0%	91.3%
El Salvador	Verapaz	2000	95.2%	97.9%	88.0%
El Salvador	Verapaz	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
El Salvador	Victoria	2000	45.5%	64.5%	28.1%
El Salvador	Victoria	2017	91.1%	97.7%	80.0%
El Salvador	Yamabal	2000	62.0%	92.6%	26.1%
El Salvador	Yamabal	2017	95.3%	99.9%	75.9%
El Salvador	Yayantique	2000	68.3%	88.4%	39.2%
El Salvador	Yayantique	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Yoloaiquín	2000	62.3%	69.4%	54.1%
El Salvador	Yoloaiquín	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
El Salvador	Yucuaiquín	2000	39.7%	54.4%	28.8%
El Salvador	Yucuaiquín	2017	94.6%	97.6%	88.1%
El Salvador	Zacatecoluca	2000	33.4%	59.2%	13.0%
El Salvador	Zacatecoluca	2017	86.7%	96.6%	62.8%
El Salvador	Zaragoza	2000	88.3%	97.7%	70.8%
El Salvador	Zaragoza	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.9%
Guatemala	Acatenango	2000	92.6%	99.4%	69.9%
Guatemala	Acatenango	2017	98.1%	99.9%	89.8%
Guatemala	Agua Blanca	2000	82.8%	95.2%	54.6%
Guatemala	Agua Blanca	2017	94.1%	98.3%	83.1%
Guatemala	Aguacatán	2000	81.5%	96.8%	59.3%
Guatemala	Aguacatán	2017	92.3%	98.8%	79.1%
Guatemala	Almolonga	2000	98.6%	99.8%	96.1%
Guatemala	Almolonga	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Guatemala	Alotenango	2000	96.3%	99.0%	89.8%
Guatemala	Alotenango	2017	99.4%	99.9%	96.8%
Guatemala	Amatitlán	2000	92.0%	97.2%	81.3%
Guatemala	Amatitlán	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Guatemala	Antigua	2000	94.6%	96.9%	91.6%
Guatemala	Antigua	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Guatemala	Asunción Mita	2000	84.7%	97.6%	65.0%
Guatemala	Asunción Mita	2017	90.3%	98.6%	76.5%
Guatemala	Atescatempa	2000	89.0%	94.5%	72.9%
Guatemala	Atescatempa	2017	94.6%	98.2%	80.6%
Guatemala	Ayutla	2000	74.9%	94.1%	39.5%
Guatemala	Ayutla	2017	94.8%	99.5%	81.0%
Guatemala	Barberena	2000	86.2%	95.3%	68.9%
Guatemala	Barberena	2017	98.0%	99.7%	93.6%
Guatemala	Cabañas	2000	93.7%	98.5%	83.0%
Guatemala	Cabañas	2017	97.5%	99.5%	92.0%
Guatemala	Cabricán	2000	97.3%	99.5%	90.9%
Guatemala	Cabricán	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.7%
Guatemala	Cajolá	2000	94.8%	96.8%	91.6%
Guatemala	Cajolá	2017	97.9%	99.0%	95.5%
Guatemala	Camotán	2000	87.2%	96.8%	71.2%
Guatemala	Camotán	2017	90.3%	97.0%	76.3%
Guatemala	Canillá	2000	81.0%	98.9%	46.4%
Guatemala	Canillá	2017	93.5%	99.9%	73.6%
Guatemala	Cantel	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.0%
Guatemala	Cantel	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.2%
Guatemala	Casillas	2000	71.8%	87.4%	54.1%
Guatemala	Casillas	2017	92.6%	98.9%	77.8%
Guatemala	Catarina	2000	61.0%	78.5%	41.7%
Guatemala	Catarina	2017	94.8%	98.9%	87.9%
Guatemala	Chahal	2000	75.4%	92.7%	51.6%
Guatemala	Chahal	2017	89.9%	97.9%	72.6%
Guatemala	Chajul	2000	86.4%	96.1%	72.0%
Guatemala	Chajul	2017	94.7%	99.0%	86.4%
Guatemala	Champerico	2000	62.8%	78.1%	43.9%
Guatemala	Champerico	2017	90.5%	96.5%	80.0%
Guatemala	Chiantla	2000	83.1%	95.8%	61.6%
Guatemala	Chiantla	2017	91.9%	99.2%	79.3%
Guatemala	Chicacao	2000	89.8%	96.5%	80.2%
Guatemala	Chicacao	2017	97.1%	99.3%	91.9%
Guatemala	Chicaman	2000	81.1%	91.6%	67.1%
Guatemala	Chicaman	2017	93.1%	97.8%	84.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Chiché	2000	72.7%	84.3%	58.0%
Guatemala	Chiché	2017	93.9%	98.1%	84.4%
Guatemala	Chichicastenango	2000	94.5%	98.1%	83.6%
Guatemala	Chichicastenango	2017	97.6%	99.4%	91.7%
Guatemala	Chimaltenango	2000	92.4%	94.7%	89.6%
Guatemala	Chimaltenango	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Guatemala	Chinautla	2000	87.8%	93.3%	79.3%
Guatemala	Chinautla	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.2%
Guatemala	Chinique	2000	81.5%	98.4%	38.7%
Guatemala	Chinique	2017	92.3%	99.4%	69.7%
Guatemala	Chiquimula	2000	88.2%	93.5%	81.4%
Guatemala	Chiquimula	2017	98.4%	99.1%	97.2%
Guatemala	Chiquimulilla	2000	79.4%	90.8%	65.2%
Guatemala	Chiquimulilla	2017	92.8%	98.3%	81.3%
Guatemala	Chisec	2000	70.4%	82.2%	57.3%
Guatemala	Chisec	2017	82.5%	89.8%	72.9%
Guatemala	Chuarrancho	2000	77.3%	99.1%	36.5%
Guatemala	Chuarrancho	2017	92.8%	99.9%	71.8%
Guatemala	Ciudad Vieja	2000	98.8%	99.6%	96.9%
Guatemala	Ciudad Vieja	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Guatemala	Coatepeque	2000	43.0%	55.1%	33.2%
Guatemala	Coatepeque	2017	86.1%	91.9%	74.2%
Guatemala	Cobán	2000	75.2%	82.6%	65.1%
Guatemala	Cobán	2017	89.7%	94.8%	83.3%
Guatemala	Colomba	2000	84.2%	89.1%	75.2%
Guatemala	Colomba	2017	90.2%	94.3%	86.1%
Guatemala	Colotenango	2000	93.8%	98.3%	87.1%
Guatemala	Colotenango	2017	94.8%	98.6%	87.1%
Guatemala	Comalapa	2000	89.7%	93.4%	86.6%
Guatemala	Comalapa	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.3%
Guatemala	Comapa	2000	83.3%	94.5%	62.9%
Guatemala	Comapa	2017	94.5%	98.9%	82.9%
Guatemala	Comitancillo	2000	92.5%	95.6%	85.1%
Guatemala	Comitancillo	2017	97.8%	99.1%	95.0%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	91.8%	99.4%	74.4%
Guatemala	Concepción	2017	98.1%	100.0%	90.8%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	97.5%	99.4%	94.4%
Guatemala	Chiquirichapa	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	86.2%	98.8%	59.6%
Guatemala	Huista	2017	93.1%	99.8%	73.3%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	81.8%	93.8%	65.8%
Guatemala	Las Minas	2017	97.2%	99.7%	90.8%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	88.8%	98.9%	72.0%
Guatemala	Tutuapa	2017	92.9%	99.3%	80.1%
Guatemala	Conguaco	2000	91.3%	98.8%	75.2%
Guatemala	Conguaco	2017	96.9%	99.8%	86.9%
Guatemala	Cubulco	2000	83.7%	93.8%	70.2%
Guatemala	Cubulco	2017	93.6%	98.3%	86.3%
Guatemala	Cuilapa	2000	94.8%	99.0%	85.0%
Guatemala	Cuilapa	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.5%
Guatemala	Cuilco	2000	82.9%	96.0%	64.0%
Guatemala	Cuilco	2017	91.8%	98.6%	80.8%
Guatemala	Cunén	2000	85.4%	98.3%	62.0%
Guatemala	Cunén	2017	93.1%	99.4%	77.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Cuyotenango	2000	64.9%	79.7%	45.1%
Guatemala	Cuyotenango	2017	85.9%	96.2%	67.8%
Guatemala	Dolores	2000	82.7%	90.8%	71.2%
Guatemala	Dolores	2017	95.5%	98.3%	91.0%
Guatemala	El Adelanto	2000	93.6%	98.3%	80.8%
Guatemala	El Adelanto	2017	96.7%	99.8%	86.5%
Guatemala	El Asintal	2000	52.7%	64.3%	40.8%
Guatemala	El Asintal	2017	86.6%	90.7%	79.8%
Guatemala	El Estor	2000	77.2%	89.1%	63.4%
Guatemala	El Estor	2017	89.8%	96.2%	80.9%
Guatemala	El Jícaro	2000	92.1%	96.4%	84.0%
Guatemala	El Jícaro	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.9%
Guatemala	El Palmar	2000	83.1%	96.7%	54.0%
Guatemala	El Palmar	2017	94.2%	99.4%	78.9%
Guatemala	El Progreso	2000	68.1%	88.5%	45.6%
Guatemala	El Progreso	2017	94.0%	97.8%	85.8%
Guatemala	El Quetzal	2000	76.6%	90.5%	54.3%
Guatemala	El Quetzal	2017	89.8%	97.3%	71.2%
Guatemala	El Rodeo	2000	70.4%	95.6%	24.2%
Guatemala	El Rodeo	2017	90.5%	99.5%	58.3%
Guatemala	El Tejar	2000	90.9%	93.8%	88.2%
Guatemala	El Tejar	2017	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
Guatemala	El Tumbador	2000	71.7%	89.8%	46.5%
Guatemala	El Tumbador	2017	87.5%	97.0%	70.3%
Guatemala	Escuintla	2000	76.1%	82.5%	66.8%
Guatemala	Escuintla	2017	97.2%	98.7%	94.6%
Guatemala	Esquipulas	2000	82.3%	93.8%	65.3%
Guatemala	Esquipulas	2017	92.3%	98.2%	80.5%
Guatemala	Esquipulas Palo Gordo	2000	92.8%	97.8%	85.3%
Guatemala	Esquipulas Palo Gordo	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.6%
Guatemala	Estanzuela	2000	83.4%	92.7%	74.0%
Guatemala	Estanzuela	2017	96.5%	99.5%	86.4%
Guatemala	Flores	2000	71.1%	78.6%	63.2%
Guatemala	Flores	2017	90.2%	94.3%	85.3%
Guatemala	Flores Costa Cuca	2000	85.5%	90.4%	74.7%
Guatemala	Flores Costa Cuca	2017	94.4%	97.6%	87.0%
Guatemala	Fraijanes	2000	84.0%	98.2%	50.4%
Guatemala	Fraijanes	2017	97.9%	99.9%	88.9%
Guatemala	Fray Bartolomé de las Casas	2000	74.4%	89.5%	54.2%
Guatemala	Fray Bartolomé de las Casas	2017	89.0%	96.8%	73.4%
Guatemala	Génova	2000	74.9%	93.7%	43.8%
Guatemala	Génova	2017	88.8%	97.9%	71.1%
Guatemala	Granados	2000	88.9%	97.6%	71.2%
Guatemala	Granados	2017	97.1%	99.6%	90.3%
Guatemala	Gualán	2000	84.5%	92.9%	73.7%
Guatemala	Gualán	2017	95.0%	98.5%	89.0%
Guatemala	Guanagazapa	2000	79.1%	92.7%	58.7%
Guatemala	Guanagazapa	2017	93.5%	98.4%	83.4%
Guatemala	Guastatoya	2000	89.3%	94.2%	82.2%
Guatemala	Guastatoya	2017	98.5%	99.6%	96.1%
Guatemala	Guazacapán	2000	80.9%	94.2%	63.2%
Guatemala	Guazacapán	2017	96.7%	99.2%	92.2%
Guatemala	Huehuetenango	2000	80.8%	96.5%	54.2%
Guatemala	Huehuetenango	2017	94.0%	98.7%	74.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Huitán	2000	96.9%	98.8%	89.0%
Guatemala	Huitán	2017	98.4%	99.6%	94.5%
Guatemala	Huité	2000	94.5%	98.5%	85.2%
Guatemala	Huité	2017	98.6%	99.7%	94.0%
Guatemala	Ipala	2000	87.7%	97.9%	63.7%
Guatemala	Ipala	2017	96.4%	99.6%	86.0%
Guatemala	Ixcán	2000	69.6%	86.8%	50.7%
Guatemala	Ixcán	2017	83.6%	93.8%	68.2%
Guatemala	Ixchiguan	2000	94.3%	98.5%	84.0%
Guatemala	Ixchiguan	2017	97.9%	99.8%	90.8%
Guatemala	Iztapa	2000	86.1%	98.4%	59.8%
Guatemala	Iztapa	2017	97.6%	99.9%	90.4%
Guatemala	Jacaltenango	2000	85.5%	98.7%	58.9%
Guatemala	Jacaltenango	2017	93.7%	99.7%	75.3%
Guatemala	Jalapa	2000	84.6%	90.3%	75.0%
Guatemala	Jalapa	2017	95.1%	97.3%	91.7%
Guatemala	Jalpatagua	2000	88.8%	97.6%	73.1%
Guatemala	Jalpatagua	2017	96.6%	99.6%	86.2%
Guatemala	Jerez	2000	92.6%	99.5%	72.9%
Guatemala	Jerez	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.9%
Guatemala	Jocotán	2000	81.2%	93.8%	61.8%
Guatemala	Jocotán	2017	84.6%	94.0%	69.4%
Guatemala	Jocotenango	2000	88.7%	92.2%	85.0%
Guatemala	Jocotenango	2017	98.9%	99.5%	98.2%
Guatemala	Joyabaj	2000	85.8%	97.2%	65.7%
Guatemala	Joyabaj	2017	94.8%	99.4%	84.0%
Guatemala	Jutiapa	2000	71.3%	79.7%	62.2%
Guatemala	Jutiapa	2017	92.3%	95.8%	87.7%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2000	79.4%	94.9%	58.8%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2000	75.2%	89.0%	53.6%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2017	88.0%	97.1%	70.1%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2017	96.6%	99.6%	87.8%
Guatemala	La Esperanza	2000	94.2%	96.6%	91.4%
Guatemala	La Esperanza	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.0%
Guatemala	La Gomera	2000	72.8%	89.0%	52.4%
Guatemala	La Gomera	2017	92.2%	98.1%	81.6%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2000	85.2%	96.5%	56.2%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2000	77.9%	84.9%	69.3%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2017	93.0%	99.5%	76.4%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2017	92.1%	95.7%	87.0%
Guatemala	La Reforma	2000	65.3%	85.4%	33.3%
Guatemala	La Reforma	2017	85.0%	98.4%	58.3%
Guatemala	La Unión	2000	84.6%	90.7%	76.3%
Guatemala	La Unión	2017	87.7%	92.6%	83.1%
Guatemala	Lanquín	2000	69.6%	91.8%	34.9%
Guatemala	Lanquín	2017	86.2%	98.1%	66.4%
Guatemala	Livingston	2000	78.0%	88.2%	65.9%
Guatemala	Livingston	2017	92.2%	97.2%	86.0%
Guatemala	Los Amates	2000	85.4%	94.8%	72.6%
Guatemala	Los Amates	2017	96.4%	99.1%	90.4%
Guatemala	Magdalena	2000	99.3%	100.0%	97.5%
Guatemala	Magdalena Milpas Altas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Guatemala	Malacatán	2000	74.2%	88.1%	54.7%
Guatemala	Malacatán	2017	94.4%	98.5%	83.8%
Guatemala	Malacatancito	2000	84.3%	94.4%	64.5%
Guatemala	Malacatancito	2017	94.9%	98.3%	87.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Masagua	2000	76.7%	91.1%	55.1%
Guatemala	Masagua	2017	94.8%	99.2%	84.3%
Guatemala	Mataquesuintla	2000	94.6%	98.4%	86.0%
Guatemala	Mataquesuintla	2017	96.8%	99.3%	89.6%
Guatemala	Mazatenango	2000	49.4%	54.6%	43.5%
Guatemala	Mazatenango	2017	92.6%	94.8%	88.7%
Guatemala	Melchor de Mencos	2000	84.7%	95.0%	69.8%
Guatemala	Melchor de Mencos	2017	96.9%	99.4%	91.2%
Guatemala	Mixco	2000	94.4%	97.3%	89.3%
Guatemala	Mixco	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Guatemala	Momostenango	2000	75.0%	80.5%	67.2%
Guatemala	Momostenango	2017	87.3%	92.4%	79.4%
Guatemala	Monjas	2000	89.0%	95.2%	78.9%
Guatemala	Monjas	2017	98.0%	99.6%	93.4%
Guatemala	Morales	2000	77.9%	85.7%	68.3%
Guatemala	Morales	2017	94.5%	97.5%	89.7%
Guatemala	Morazán	2000	85.8%	95.1%	72.7%
Guatemala	Morazán	2017	95.1%	98.9%	88.1%
Guatemala	Moyuta	2000	73.7%	91.3%	42.4%
Guatemala	Moyuta	2017	90.0%	98.7%	68.7%
Guatemala	NA	2000	81.7%	92.2%	66.6%
Guatemala	NA	2000	80.2%	87.7%	73.3%
Guatemala	NA	2017	92.9%	98.3%	82.1%
Guatemala	NA	2017	88.5%	93.2%	83.6%
Guatemala	Nahualá	2000	97.8%	98.7%	96.2%
Guatemala	Nahualá	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
Guatemala	Nebaj	2000	86.4%	96.0%	74.1%
Guatemala	Nebaj	2017	94.4%	98.8%	86.6%
Guatemala	Nentón	2000	83.0%	95.3%	66.0%
Guatemala	Nentón	2017	91.1%	97.9%	79.0%
Guatemala	Nueva Concepción	2000	62.4%	79.5%	40.9%
Guatemala	Nueva Concepción	2017	90.7%	97.4%	79.9%
Guatemala	Nueva Santa Rosa	2000	76.2%	83.5%	70.1%
Guatemala	Nueva Santa Rosa	2017	96.1%	98.5%	90.1%
Guatemala	Nuevo Progreso	2000	61.7%	86.4%	30.7%
Guatemala	Nuevo Progreso	2017	79.4%	96.8%	49.7%
Guatemala	Nuevo San Carlos	2000	66.6%	70.3%	62.8%
Guatemala	Nuevo San Carlos	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.7%
Guatemala	Ocos	2000	73.2%	92.0%	34.6%
Guatemala	Ocos	2017	93.5%	98.8%	74.4%
Guatemala	Olintepeque	2000	91.3%	93.4%	88.0%
Guatemala	Olintepeque	2017	97.2%	98.7%	94.6%
Guatemala	Olopa	2000	76.7%	90.2%	56.7%
Guatemala	Olopa	2017	92.8%	97.0%	84.4%
Guatemala	Oratorio	2000	78.5%	95.0%	59.3%
Guatemala	Oratorio	2017	94.7%	99.4%	82.3%
Guatemala	Ostuncalco	2000	96.0%	98.6%	87.7%
Guatemala	Ostuncalco	2017	98.5%	99.7%	94.6%
Guatemala	Pachalúm	2000	86.9%	99.3%	53.6%
Guatemala	Pachalúm	2017	95.1%	99.6%	75.6%
Guatemala	Pajapita	2000	63.3%	89.7%	35.1%
Guatemala	Pajapita	2017	88.7%	98.9%	64.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Palencia	2000	90.5%	97.7%	76.9%
Guatemala	Palencia	2017	98.2%	99.8%	93.1%
Guatemala	Palestina de Los Altos	2000	96.0%	98.6%	85.7%
Guatemala	Palestina de Los Altos	2017	98.4%	99.5%	93.2%
Guatemala	Palín	2000	89.9%	99.4%	61.8%
Guatemala	Palín	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.2%
Guatemala	Panajachel	2000	94.1%	99.2%	79.1%
Guatemala	Panajachel	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Guatemala	Panzós	2000	77.5%	90.2%	62.0%
Guatemala	Panzós	2017	87.6%	95.7%	73.9%
Guatemala	Parramos	2000	89.6%	94.5%	83.7%
Guatemala	Parramos	2017	98.4%	99.6%	95.3%
Guatemala	Pasaco	2000	75.4%	95.5%	44.6%
Guatemala	Pasaco	2017	88.4%	99.2%	64.5%
Guatemala	Pastores	2000	84.9%	87.3%	82.0%
Guatemala	Pastores	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Guatemala	Patulul	2000	54.7%	68.2%	42.7%
Guatemala	Patulul	2017	80.5%	92.5%	66.8%
Guatemala	Patzicía	2000	82.0%	88.5%	66.8%
Guatemala	Patzicía	2017	96.0%	98.3%	89.5%
Guatemala	Patzité	2000	91.8%	95.3%	81.5%
Guatemala	Patzité	2017	97.5%	99.0%	93.1%
Guatemala	Patzún	2000	84.0%	90.9%	66.6%
Guatemala	Patzún	2017	94.8%	98.3%	86.4%
Guatemala	Petapa	2000	92.6%	95.9%	87.9%
Guatemala	Petapa	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Guatemala	Pochuta	2000	94.7%	99.7%	77.4%
Guatemala	Pochuta	2017	97.4%	99.9%	87.3%
Guatemala	Poptún	2000	86.4%	94.0%	73.2%
Guatemala	Poptún	2017	97.5%	99.2%	93.8%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2000	94.3%	99.6%	79.0%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.7%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2000	86.2%	98.2%	66.5%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2017	95.3%	99.6%	83.5%
Guatemala	Puerto Barrios	2000	73.8%	80.2%	64.1%
Guatemala	Puerto Barrios	2017	96.9%	98.4%	92.9%
Guatemala	Purulhá	2000	81.0%	92.0%	65.2%
Guatemala	Purulhá	2017	90.0%	96.6%	78.5%
Guatemala	Quetzaltenango	2000	96.8%	98.9%	92.9%
Guatemala	Quetzaltenango	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Guatemala	Quezada	2000	77.3%	91.8%	47.2%
Guatemala	Quezada	2017	95.0%	99.5%	82.1%
Guatemala	Quezaltepeque	2000	85.0%	93.0%	74.3%
Guatemala	Quezaltepeque	2017	96.7%	98.8%	92.4%
Guatemala	Rabinal	2000	91.7%	96.2%	81.9%
Guatemala	Rabinal	2017	96.1%	98.7%	89.5%
Guatemala	Retalhuleu	2000	72.5%	82.3%	61.6%
Guatemala	Retalhuleu	2017	92.3%	96.2%	86.2%
Guatemala	Río Blanco	2000	98.6%	99.7%	95.4%
Guatemala	Río Blanco	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Guatemala	Río Bravo	2000	81.2%	87.9%	69.1%
Guatemala	Río Bravo	2017	91.9%	96.2%	86.1%
Guatemala	Río Hondo	2000	89.8%	96.2%	77.6%
Guatemala	Río Hondo	2017	97.8%	99.7%	93.3%
Guatemala	Sacapulas	2000	86.1%	96.6%	69.9%
Guatemala	Sacapulas	2017	94.6%	99.4%	84.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Salamá	2000	83.1%	90.6%	74.2%
Guatemala	Salamá	2017	95.9%	98.8%	90.5%
Guatemala	Salcajá	2000	88.6%	91.0%	85.3%
Guatemala	Salcajá	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Guatemala	Samayac	2000	56.0%	59.8%	51.8%
Guatemala	Samayac	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Guatemala	San Agustín	2000	91.6%	96.1%	83.5%
Guatemala	Acasaguastlán San Agustín	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.8%
Guatemala	Acasaguastlán				
Guatemala	San Andrés	2000	82.0%	88.7%	74.9%
Guatemala	San Andrés	2017	96.4%	98.1%	93.7%
Guatemala	San Andrés	2000	93.2%	96.4%	89.8%
Guatemala	Itzapa				
Guatemala	San Andrés	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.2%
Guatemala	Itzapa				
Guatemala	San Andrés	2000	82.3%	96.7%	52.6%
Guatemala	Sajcabajá				
Guatemala	San Andrés	2017	93.2%	99.5%	76.2%
Guatemala	Sajcabajá				
Guatemala	San Andrés	2000	95.3%	98.7%	87.9%
Guatemala	Semetabaj				
Guatemala	San Andrés	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.7%
Guatemala	Semetabaj				
Guatemala	San Andrés	2000	68.3%	79.8%	53.7%
Guatemala	Villa Seca				
Guatemala	San Andrés	2017	90.3%	96.1%	81.5%
Guatemala	Villa Seca				
Guatemala	San Andrés	2000	79.0%	81.2%	76.6%
Guatemala	Xecul				
Guatemala	San Andrés	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Guatemala	Xecul				
Guatemala	San Antonio	2000	90.7%	97.3%	82.9%
Guatemala	Agua Calientes				
Guatemala	San Antonio	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.2%
Guatemala	Agua Calientes				
Guatemala	San Antonio	2000	89.9%	98.4%	72.7%
Guatemala	Huista				
Guatemala	San Antonio	2017	96.0%	99.7%	84.8%
Guatemala	Huista				
Guatemala	San Antonio	2000	79.0%	85.7%	68.2%
Guatemala	Ilotenango				
Guatemala	San Antonio	2017	95.5%	97.2%	92.0%
Guatemala	Ilotenango				
Guatemala	San Antonio	2000	90.4%	97.1%	78.7%
Guatemala	La Paz				
Guatemala	San Antonio	2017	99.0%	99.7%	96.7%
Guatemala	La Paz				
Guatemala	San Antonio	2000	87.6%	96.2%	73.3%
Guatemala	Palopó				
Guatemala	San Antonio	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.8%
Guatemala	Palopó				
Guatemala	San Antonio	2000	95.6%	99.7%	79.0%
Guatemala	Sacatepéquez				
Guatemala	San Antonio	2017	99.0%	99.9%	92.4%
Guatemala	Sacatepéquez				
Guatemala	San Antonio	2000	70.4%	77.7%	62.3%
Guatemala	Su-chitapéquez				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Antonio Suchitepéquez	2017	91.0%	93.6%	86.7%
Guatemala	San Bartolo	2000	74.5%	89.0%	56.2%
Guatemala	San Bartolo	2017	96.5%	99.0%	90.8%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Jocotenango	2000	77.2%	98.9%	39.5%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Jocotenango	2017	91.7%	99.7%	70.7%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Milpas Altas	2000	97.7%	99.8%	91.4%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Milpas Altas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Guatemala	San Benito	2000	90.2%	96.4%	82.2%
Guatemala	San Benito	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.1%
Guatemala	San Bernardino	2000	54.6%	58.2%	51.4%
Guatemala	San Bernardino	2017	88.7%	90.8%	86.1%
Guatemala	San Carlos Alzatate	2000	98.3%	99.7%	92.2%
Guatemala	San Carlos Alzatate	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.9%
Guatemala	San Carlos Sija	2000	80.2%	87.7%	70.0%
Guatemala	San Carlos Sija	2017	88.3%	95.1%	79.0%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Acasaguastlán	2000	93.0%	98.0%	83.4%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Acasaguastlán	2017	98.4%	99.8%	94.7%
Guatemala	San Cristobal Cucho	2000	98.1%	99.8%	91.6%
Guatemala	San Cristobal Cucho	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.9%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Totonicapán	2000	86.7%	88.5%	84.7%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Totonicapán	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Verapaz	2000	78.1%	95.5%	51.4%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Verapaz	2017	91.5%	99.0%	76.9%
Guatemala	San Diego	2000	91.2%	98.1%	72.6%
Guatemala	San Diego	2017	97.4%	99.5%	90.0%
Guatemala	San Felipe	2000	79.5%	84.4%	67.6%
Guatemala	San Felipe	2017	96.2%	97.5%	94.1%
Guatemala	San Francisco	2000	91.3%	98.6%	76.8%
Guatemala	San Francisco	2017	98.1%	99.8%	90.9%
Guatemala	San Francisco El Alto	2000	91.0%	92.0%	89.5%
Guatemala	San Francisco El Alto	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.3%
Guatemala	San Francisco La Unión	2000	86.3%	91.6%	77.6%
Guatemala	San Francisco La Unión	2017	94.1%	97.9%	86.8%
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2000	78.8%	84.4%	68.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2017	98.1%	98.8%	96.9%
Guatemala	San Gabriel	2000	42.9%	46.7%	39.0%
Guatemala	San Gabriel	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.6%
Guatemala	San Gaspar Ixchil	2000	85.6%	94.3%	73.0%
Guatemala	San Gaspar Ixchil	2017	87.4%	95.1%	76.9%
Guatemala	San Ildefonso Ixtahuacán	2000	58.5%	79.2%	38.4%
Guatemala	San Ildefonso Ixtahuacán	2017	79.4%	94.4%	55.6%
Guatemala	San Jacinto	2000	90.9%	96.1%	85.1%
Guatemala	San Jacinto	2017	97.7%	99.7%	91.1%
Guatemala	San Jerónimo	2000	86.6%	96.9%	72.2%
Guatemala	San Jerónimo	2017	96.5%	99.8%	87.1%
Guatemala	San José	2000	86.0%	97.1%	65.6%
Guatemala	San José	2000	83.3%	94.8%	66.3%
Guatemala	San José	2017	97.3%	99.8%	91.0%
Guatemala	San José	2017	96.3%	99.4%	89.6%
Guatemala	San José Acatempa	2000	87.3%	97.9%	70.5%
Guatemala	San José Acatempa	2017	97.9%	99.9%	91.6%
Guatemala	San José Chacayá	2000	85.8%	91.6%	80.6%
Guatemala	San José Chacayá	2017	98.4%	99.5%	96.0%
Guatemala	San José del Golfo	2000	81.3%	96.4%	55.2%
Guatemala	San José del Golfo	2017	97.5%	99.9%	88.7%
Guatemala	San José El Idolo	2000	69.5%	94.4%	31.3%
Guatemala	San José El Idolo	2017	90.7%	99.1%	66.1%
Guatemala	San José La Arada	2000	89.1%	99.1%	58.3%
Guatemala	San José La Arada	2017	97.8%	99.9%	89.0%
Guatemala	San José Ojetenam	2000	87.9%	99.7%	53.8%
Guatemala	San José Ojetenam	2017	91.5%	99.8%	62.3%
Guatemala	San José Pinula	2000	89.5%	96.8%	74.7%
Guatemala	San José Pinula	2017	97.1%	99.7%	87.6%
Guatemala	San José Poaquil	2000	94.1%	99.9%	73.0%
Guatemala	San José Poaquil	2017	97.1%	99.9%	85.6%
Guatemala	San Juan Atitán	2000	90.4%	99.3%	58.1%
Guatemala	San Juan Atitán	2017	92.9%	99.6%	65.5%
Guatemala	San Juan Bautista	2000	45.0%	60.5%	38.8%
Guatemala	San Juan Bautista	2017	75.3%	94.5%	50.7%
Guatemala	San Juan Chamelco	2000	85.0%	91.7%	74.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Juan Chamelco	2017	94.9%	97.9%	88.4%
Guatemala	San Juan Cotzal	2000	77.2%	96.3%	43.5%
Guatemala	San Juan Cotzal	2017	91.9%	99.0%	74.3%
Guatemala	San Juan Ermita	2000	88.9%	91.6%	85.9%
Guatemala	San Juan Ermita	2017	95.9%	97.5%	94.0%
Guatemala	San Juan Ixcay	2000	81.1%	98.0%	43.9%
Guatemala	San Juan Ixcay	2017	90.6%	99.3%	61.4%
Guatemala	San Juan La Laguna	2000	96.8%	99.2%	84.2%
Guatemala	San Juan La Laguna	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.3%
Guatemala	San Juan Sacatepéquez	2000	71.0%	80.2%	60.3%
Guatemala	San Juan Sacatepéquez	2017	94.5%	97.7%	87.4%
Guatemala	San Juan Tecuaco	2000	83.1%	97.7%	46.6%
Guatemala	San Juan Tecuaco	2017	94.5%	99.8%	78.5%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2000	74.1%	89.8%	52.8%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2000	90.6%	99.8%	49.7%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2017	96.8%	100.0%	75.5%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2017	91.8%	97.9%	80.5%
Guatemala	San Lucas Sacatepéquez	2000	97.4%	99.7%	91.6%
Guatemala	San Lucas Sacatepéquez	2017	99.8%	100.0%	97.9%
Guatemala	San Lucas Tolimán	2000	96.3%	99.5%	85.8%
Guatemala	San Lucas Tolimán	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Guatemala	San Luis	2000	77.1%	93.7%	58.1%
Guatemala	San Luis	2000	78.9%	87.5%	68.5%
Guatemala	San Luis	2017	92.3%	96.3%	86.4%
Guatemala	San Luis	2017	93.5%	98.8%	83.3%
Guatemala	San Luis Jilotepeque	2000	93.4%	97.8%	84.3%
Guatemala	San Luis Jilotepeque	2017	97.2%	99.4%	92.2%
Guatemala	San Manuel Chaparrón	2000	90.6%	97.2%	77.3%
Guatemala	San Manuel Chaparrón	2017	95.9%	98.8%	87.8%
Guatemala	San Marcos	2000	91.6%	98.4%	75.1%
Guatemala	San Marcos	2017	96.8%	99.8%	84.6%
Guatemala	San Marcos La Laguna	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.8%
Guatemala	San Marcos La Laguna	2017	94.7%	96.9%	91.9%
Guatemala	San Martín Jilotepeque	2000	88.1%	95.1%	73.5%
Guatemala	San Martín Jilotepeque	2017	96.2%	99.1%	89.5%
Guatemala	San Martín Sacatepéquez	2000	96.9%	99.7%	87.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Martín Sacatepéquez	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.7%
Guatemala	San Martín Zapotitlán	2000	96.8%	98.8%	89.8%
Guatemala	San Martín Zapotitlán	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Guatemala	San Mateo	2000	97.3%	98.9%	94.8%
Guatemala	San Mateo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Guatemala	San Mateo Ixtatán	2000	80.5%	96.5%	58.1%
Guatemala	San Mateo Ixtatán	2017	91.2%	99.1%	76.9%
Guatemala	San Miguel Acatán	2000	92.9%	98.9%	80.6%
Guatemala	San Miguel Acatán	2017	96.5%	99.7%	87.8%
Guatemala	San Miguel Chicaj	2000	92.2%	95.1%	85.4%
Guatemala	San Miguel Chicaj	2017	98.2%	99.3%	94.6%
Guatemala	San Miguel Dueñas	2000	93.9%	99.3%	84.2%
Guatemala	San Miguel Dueñas	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.6%
Guatemala	San Miguel Ixtahuacán	2000	80.7%	96.7%	55.0%
Guatemala	San Miguel Ixtahuacán	2017	91.3%	98.9%	74.2%
Guatemala	San Miguel Panán	2000	79.5%	83.8%	75.2%
Guatemala	San Miguel Panán	2017	96.6%	98.2%	94.4%
Guatemala	San Miguel Sigüilá	2000	90.0%	93.7%	85.7%
Guatemala	San Miguel Sigüilá	2017	97.3%	98.4%	95.6%
Guatemala	San Pablo	2000	81.4%	96.8%	51.9%
Guatemala	San Pablo	2017	93.8%	99.4%	78.2%
Guatemala	San Pablo Jocopilas	2000	78.3%	82.9%	73.5%
Guatemala	San Pablo Jocopilas	2017	94.5%	96.7%	91.3%
Guatemala	San Pablo La Laguna	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.5%
Guatemala	San Pablo La Laguna	2017	97.3%	98.6%	95.3%
Guatemala	San Pedro Ayampuc	2000	75.6%	85.8%	62.5%
Guatemala	San Pedro Ayampuc	2017	97.6%	99.1%	93.4%
Guatemala	San Pedro Carchá	2000	71.8%	83.0%	60.0%
Guatemala	San Pedro Carchá	2017	90.7%	96.4%	81.9%
Guatemala	San Pedro Jocopilas	2000	75.3%	91.8%	52.0%
Guatemala	San Pedro Jocopilas	2017	84.2%	94.4%	67.0%
Guatemala	San Pedro La Laguna	2000	87.8%	95.8%	64.4%
Guatemala	San Pedro La Laguna	2017	98.2%	99.7%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Pedro Necta	2000	76.9%	96.1%	32.7%
Guatemala	San Pedro Necta	2017	87.7%	99.5%	56.2%
Guatemala	San Pedro Pinula	2000	74.3%	90.0%	46.5%
Guatemala	San Pedro Pinula	2017	89.9%	96.4%	71.8%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2000	95.7%	99.6%	83.3%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2000	89.0%	91.9%	86.0%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2017	99.0%	99.5%	98.2%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.8%
Guatemala	San Rafael La Independencia	2000	94.5%	99.8%	68.2%
Guatemala	San Rafael La Independencia	2017	96.5%	99.9%	78.2%
Guatemala	San Rafael Las Flores	2000	76.2%	92.2%	44.6%
Guatemala	San Rafael Las Flores	2017	93.6%	99.1%	72.2%
Guatemala	San Rafael Petzal	2000	97.1%	98.9%	92.1%
Guatemala	San Rafael Petzal	2017	97.7%	99.5%	92.4%
Guatemala	San Rafael Pie de la Cuesta	2000	86.5%	97.5%	64.5%
Guatemala	San Rafael Pie de la Cuesta	2017	95.6%	99.3%	89.2%
Guatemala	San Raymundo	2000	67.6%	90.1%	37.4%
Guatemala	San Raymundo	2017	95.7%	99.6%	81.5%
Guatemala	San Sebastián	2000	37.6%	43.1%	32.7%
Guatemala	San Sebastián	2017	84.7%	88.2%	80.9%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Coatán	2000	93.1%	99.8%	76.8%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Coatán	2017	97.0%	99.9%	86.3%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Huehuetenango	2000	92.2%	95.8%	86.5%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Huehuetenango	2017	95.3%	97.3%	90.6%
Guatemala	San Sibinal	2000	83.5%	99.6%	47.9%
Guatemala	San Sibinal	2017	88.5%	99.7%	60.3%
Guatemala	San Vicente Pacaya	2000	79.5%	96.3%	40.1%
Guatemala	San Vicente Pacaya	2017	95.8%	99.9%	84.4%
Guatemala	Sanarate	2000	82.7%	88.4%	75.0%
Guatemala	Sanarate	2017	96.0%	97.9%	93.0%
Guatemala	Sansare	2000	72.7%	83.1%	59.2%
Guatemala	Sansare	2017	81.6%	88.3%	68.8%
Guatemala	Santa Ana	2000	61.7%	76.3%	49.6%
Guatemala	Santa Ana	2017	81.5%	90.1%	70.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santa Ana Huista	2000	86.6%	98.5%	66.0%
Guatemala	Santa Ana Huista	2017	94.8%	99.6%	82.2%
Guatemala	Santa Apolonia	2000	90.4%	93.3%	80.1%
Guatemala	Santa Apolonia	2017	96.8%	98.7%	89.3%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2000	86.2%	90.8%	79.7%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2000	79.0%	96.1%	48.9%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2017	94.4%	97.5%	88.5%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2017	89.7%	97.0%	70.0%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Barahona	2000	93.7%	99.0%	85.0%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Barahona	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.6%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Ixtahuacan	2000	97.3%	98.5%	93.4%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Ixtahuacan	2017	99.1%	99.7%	95.5%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Mita	2000	93.4%	98.5%	83.6%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Mita	2017	98.1%	99.9%	93.3%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Palopó	2000	92.3%	98.9%	74.7%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Palopó	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Pinula	2000	87.7%	97.8%	68.8%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Pinula	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.2%
Guatemala	Santa Clara La Laguna	2000	97.9%	98.8%	94.7%
Guatemala	Santa Clara La Laguna	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.1%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Balanyá	2000	85.4%	90.1%	79.6%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Balanyá	2017	97.4%	98.5%	95.5%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Barillas	2000	77.4%	89.1%	62.4%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Barillas	2017	88.1%	95.8%	77.1%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz del Quiché	2000	67.2%	78.2%	57.7%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz del Quiché	2017	96.2%	98.5%	90.9%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz El Chol	2000	89.3%	99.3%	60.7%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz El Chol	2017	95.2%	99.5%	78.3%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz La Laguna	2000	74.7%	81.5%	68.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz La Laguna	2017	82.7%	89.8%	75.2%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Muluá	2000	67.5%	71.8%	62.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Muluá	2017	92.8%	94.4%	90.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Naranjo	2000	89.3%	92.6%	85.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Naranjo	2017	98.5%	99.2%	97.5%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Verapaz	2000	88.7%	98.0%	75.1%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Verapaz	2017	96.1%	99.8%	86.0%
Guatemala	Santa Eulalia	2000	78.9%	95.4%	56.6%
Guatemala	Santa Eulalia	2017	88.6%	98.6%	70.4%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Cotzumalguapa	2000	66.7%	83.0%	48.2%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Cotzumalguapa	2017	92.5%	98.2%	77.7%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía La Reforma	2000	49.1%	67.6%	31.6%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía La Reforma	2017	51.6%	64.7%	37.4%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Milpas Altas	2000	99.1%	99.9%	96.9%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Milpas Altas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Utatlán	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Utatlán	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.2%
Guatemala	Santa María Cahabón	2000	79.3%	95.4%	54.7%
Guatemala	Santa María Cahabón	2017	90.7%	98.6%	71.4%
Guatemala	Santa María Chiquimula	2000	90.2%	96.0%	77.5%
Guatemala	Santa María Chiquimula	2017	96.3%	98.9%	89.6%
Guatemala	Santa María de Jesús	2000	98.0%	99.9%	92.9%
Guatemala	Santa María de Jesús	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Guatemala	Santa María Ixhuateán	2000	87.6%	95.8%	68.1%
Guatemala	Santa María Ixhuateán	2017	96.7%	99.4%	84.3%
Guatemala	Santa María Visitación	2000	99.1%	100.0%	95.6%
Guatemala	Santa María Visitación	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.2%
Guatemala	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	69.9%	88.5%	49.4%
Guatemala	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	95.4%	99.4%	84.2%
Guatemala	Santiago Atitlán	2000	91.1%	94.7%	85.5%
Guatemala	Santiago Atitlán	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.3%
Guatemala	Santiago Chimaltenango	2000	80.3%	98.5%	35.7%
Guatemala	Santiago Chimaltenango	2017	90.5%	99.5%	58.2%
Guatemala	Santiago Sacatepéquez	2000	94.3%	97.7%	88.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santiago Sacatepéquez	2017	99.5%	99.7%	98.8%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Suchitepequez	2000	56.0%	67.8%	40.8%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Suchitepequez	2017	89.0%	94.0%	80.5%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Xenacoj	2000	96.4%	98.4%	92.6%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Xenacoj	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.2%
Guatemala	Santo Tomás La Unión	2000	95.7%	97.8%	92.6%
Guatemala	Santo Tomás La Unión	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.5%
Guatemala	Sayaxché	2000	74.1%	83.4%	63.7%
Guatemala	Sayaxché	2017	89.3%	94.0%	82.9%
Guatemala	Senahú	2000	77.8%	88.4%	60.9%
Guatemala	Senahú	2017	88.4%	94.4%	77.9%
Guatemala	Sibilia	2000	96.4%	98.7%	90.5%
Guatemala	Sibilia	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.5%
Guatemala	Sipacapa	2000	79.4%	98.3%	46.9%
Guatemala	Sipacapa	2017	90.5%	99.6%	64.7%
Guatemala	Siquinalá	2000	79.6%	90.7%	64.9%
Guatemala	Siquinalá	2017	97.9%	99.5%	92.1%
Guatemala	Sololá	2000	89.7%	96.0%	76.0%
Guatemala	Sololá	2017	98.2%	99.4%	92.2%
Guatemala	Soloma	2000	91.2%	98.4%	75.3%
Guatemala	Soloma	2017	95.3%	99.2%	84.9%
Guatemala	Sumpango	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.5%
Guatemala	Sumpango	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Guatemala	Tacaná	2000	86.5%	97.4%	66.3%
Guatemala	Tacaná	2017	89.2%	97.8%	73.2%
Guatemala	Tactic	2000	90.1%	97.5%	74.6%
Guatemala	Tactic	2017	96.7%	99.4%	88.7%
Guatemala	Tajumulco	2000	89.4%	97.8%	71.3%
Guatemala	Tajumulco	2017	94.4%	99.1%	83.6%
Guatemala	Tamahú	2000	86.4%	98.7%	52.7%
Guatemala	Tamahú	2017	97.0%	99.6%	88.5%
Guatemala	Taxisco	2000	68.5%	84.0%	50.8%
Guatemala	Taxisco	2017	91.9%	97.3%	83.4%
Guatemala	Tecpán Guatemala	2000	80.8%	87.8%	72.8%
Guatemala	Tecpán Guatemala	2017	91.9%	98.1%	82.6%
Guatemala	Tectitán	2000	81.0%	97.9%	55.4%
Guatemala	Tectitán	2017	88.8%	98.9%	69.4%
Guatemala	Teculután	2000	89.8%	96.3%	79.1%
Guatemala	Teculután	2017	98.6%	99.8%	95.0%
Guatemala	Tejutla	2000	90.6%	96.4%	76.3%
Guatemala	Tejutla	2017	98.0%	99.5%	92.5%
Guatemala	Tiquisate	2000	74.7%	84.4%	59.8%
Guatemala	Tiquisate	2017	93.9%	97.7%	87.2%
Guatemala	Todos Santos Cuchumatán	2000	83.0%	98.0%	52.2%
Guatemala	Todos Santos Cuchumatán	2017	88.5%	99.4%	65.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Totonicapán	2000	95.3%	97.7%	89.8%
Guatemala	Totonicapán	2017	98.3%	99.5%	94.9%
Guatemala	Tucurú	2000	79.1%	96.6%	52.5%
Guatemala	Tucurú	2017	83.9%	97.8%	60.9%
Guatemala	Uspantán	2000	85.8%	95.0%	71.5%
Guatemala	Uspantán	2017	94.0%	98.7%	85.8%
Guatemala	Usumatlán	2000	93.3%	97.9%	86.9%
Guatemala	Usumatlán	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.2%
Guatemala	Villa Canales	2000	91.3%	97.6%	78.6%
Guatemala	Villa Canales	2017	98.6%	99.9%	94.6%
Guatemala	Villa Nueva	2000	94.6%	97.1%	90.7%
Guatemala	Villa Nueva	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Guatemala	Yepocapa	2000	94.3%	99.0%	84.8%
Guatemala	Yepocapa	2017	98.9%	99.9%	96.2%
Guatemala	Yupiltepeque	2000	94.6%	98.5%	81.5%
Guatemala	Yupiltepeque	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.0%
Guatemala	Zacapa	2000	85.3%	90.6%	78.5%
Guatemala	Zacapa	2017	95.5%	97.6%	91.1%
Guatemala	Zacualpa	2000	82.2%	97.2%	57.2%
Guatemala	Zacualpa	2017	91.1%	98.6%	74.6%
Guatemala	Zapotitlán	2000	88.8%	99.5%	57.3%
Guatemala	Zapotitlán	2017	96.5%	99.9%	82.8%
Guatemala	Zaragoza	2000	88.8%	92.1%	83.5%
Guatemala	Zaragoza	2017	98.0%	98.9%	96.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 1	2000	94.7%	97.5%	91.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 1	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 10	2000	97.1%	99.4%	91.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 10	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 11	2000	96.4%	99.2%	88.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 11	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 12	2000	96.9%	99.3%	91.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 12	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 13	2000	97.7%	99.7%	92.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 13	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 14	2000	97.5%	99.7%	90.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 14	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 15	2000	93.4%	99.1%	81.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 15	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 16	2000	94.8%	99.1%	84.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 16	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 17	2000	96.6%	98.9%	93.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 17	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 18	2000	94.1%	97.0%	89.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 18	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 19	2000	91.5%	97.0%	82.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 19	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 2	2000	94.5%	96.6%	91.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 2	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 22	2000	96.5%	98.7%	92.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 22	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 24	2000	95.4%	98.9%	88.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 24	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 25	2000	95.0%	98.8%	86.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 25	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 3	2000	95.0%	97.6%	91.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 3	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 4	2000	97.3%	99.4%	91.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 4	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 5	2000	96.7%	98.6%	94.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 5	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 6	2000	94.7%	96.8%	91.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 6	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	ZONA 7	2000	93.0%	96.9%	86.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 7	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 8	2000	97.3%	99.4%	91.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 8	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 9	2000	97.5%	99.7%	91.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 9	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Guatemala	Zunil	2000	94.1%	99.9%	70.9%
Guatemala	Zunil	2017	98.1%	100.0%	85.6%
Guatemala	Zunilito	2000	89.3%	98.8%	60.8%
Guatemala	Zunilito	2017	98.4%	99.9%	90.9%
Guyana	Abary / Mahaicony	2000	89.8%	98.7%	66.7%
Guyana	Abary / Mahaicony	2017	97.6%	99.8%	88.3%
Guyana	Agatash	2000	58.9%	63.5%	54.0%
Guyana	Agatash	2017	89.2%	92.8%	84.8%
Guyana	Aishalton - Karaudanawa, Achiwib	2000	27.7%	40.0%	17.5%
Guyana	Aishalton - Karaudanawa, Achiwib	2017	54.9%	64.7%	43.8%
Guyana	Amsterdam (Demerara River) / Vriesland	2000	86.7%	94.4%	71.8%
Guyana	Amsterdam (Demerara River) / Vriesland	2017	98.2%	99.2%	96.2%
Guyana	Anna Regina	2000	53.8%	65.4%	40.4%
Guyana	Anna Regina	2017	96.4%	97.7%	94.3%
Guyana	Arau	2000	34.2%	67.7%	9.7%
Guyana	Arau	2017	62.2%	85.3%	33.4%
Guyana	Barima / Amakura	2000	36.4%	44.5%	28.9%
Guyana	Barima / Amakura	2017	75.9%	83.1%	67.5%
Guyana	Bartica	2000	62.7%	67.9%	57.8%
Guyana	Bartica	2017	88.1%	92.4%	82.9%
Guyana	Bel Air / Woodlands	2000	89.7%	96.5%	80.3%
Guyana	Bel Air / Woodlands	2017	98.7%	99.6%	94.8%
Guyana	Berbice River Settlements	2000	40.3%	58.0%	25.0%
Guyana	Berbice River Settlements	2017	76.2%	84.9%	63.8%
Guyana	Black Bush Polder land Development Scheme	2000	84.9%	93.5%	65.1%
Guyana	Black Bush Polder land Development Scheme	2017	96.1%	98.9%	86.8%
Guyana	Blankenburg / Hague	2000	93.3%	98.3%	81.6%
Guyana	Blankenburg / Hague	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Guyana	Bonasika / Boerasirie	2000	76.8%	88.0%	64.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Bonasika / Boerasirie	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.6%
Guyana	Borlam (No.37) / Kintyre	2000	81.2%	92.5%	69.3%
Guyana	Borlam (No.37) / Kintyre	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.6%
Guyana	Bush Lot / Adventure	2000	88.2%	92.2%	84.6%
Guyana	Bush Lot / Adventure	2017	97.3%	99.2%	93.9%
Guyana	Canal No. 2 (part) + The Belle + Little Alliance	2000	86.2%	96.0%	71.4%
Guyana	Canal No. 2 (part) + The Belle + Little Alliance	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Guyana	Canals Polder	2000	82.3%	91.8%	72.2%
Guyana	Canals Polder	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.6%
Guyana	Cane Field / Enterprise	2000	76.2%	86.9%	66.0%
Guyana	Cane Field / Enterprise	2017	98.4%	99.6%	95.5%
Guyana	Cane Grove Land Development Scheme	2000	92.7%	98.6%	80.7%
Guyana	Cane Grove Land Development Scheme	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.2%
Guyana	Chance / Hamlet	2000	93.7%	98.0%	84.8%
Guyana	Chance / Hamlet	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.3%
Guyana	Charity / Urasara	2000	40.5%	53.8%	25.8%
Guyana	Charity / Urasara	2017	83.3%	89.1%	74.7%
Guyana	Chenapau River	2000	17.4%	45.1%	4.7%
Guyana	Chenapau River	2017	55.5%	80.9%	27.2%
Guyana	City of Georgetown	2000	91.2%	96.4%	81.8%
Guyana	City of Georgetown	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Guyana	Coomaka Lands	2000	58.1%	70.1%	46.7%
Guyana	Coomaka Lands	2017	80.0%	86.8%	72.4%
Guyana	Corentyne River	2000	84.6%	88.8%	79.9%
Guyana	Corentyne River	2017	97.7%	98.6%	96.3%
Guyana	Cornelia Ida / Stewartville	2000	93.4%	98.3%	82.2%
Guyana	Cornelia Ida / Stewartville	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Corriverton	2000	73.6%	92.3%	41.7%
Guyana	Corriverton	2017	94.5%	99.0%	86.1%
Guyana	Demerara Conservancy	2000	86.4%	94.9%	73.6%
Guyana	Demerara Conservancy	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.3%
Guyana	Diamond / Golden Grove	2000	89.4%	96.9%	77.8%
Guyana	Diamond / Golden Grove	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.9%
Guyana	East Bank Berbice	2000	29.2%	36.6%	21.9%
Guyana	East Bank Berbice	2017	64.2%	70.0%	58.0%
Guyana	Eccles / Rams- burg	2000	94.7%	97.9%	88.8%
Guyana	Eccles / Rams- burg	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Guyana	Enfield / New Doe Park	2000	89.8%	97.6%	79.2%
Guyana	Enfield / New Doe Park	2017	98.1%	99.8%	93.9%
Guyana	Enmore / Hope	2000	91.0%	97.6%	81.3%
Guyana	Enmore / Hope	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Guyana	Farm / Wood- lands	2000	85.6%	97.1%	61.9%
Guyana	Farm / Wood- lands	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.0%
Guyana	Foulis / Bux- ton	2000	88.8%	97.3%	72.0%
Guyana	Foulis / Bux- ton	2017	99.4%	99.9%	96.7%
Guyana	Fyrish / Gibraltar	2000	79.3%	97.7%	50.6%
Guyana	Fyrish / Gibraltar	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.9%
Guyana	Gelderland / No. 3	2000	92.1%	96.5%	84.6%
Guyana	Gelderland / No. 3	2017	98.9%	99.5%	97.6%
Guyana	Good Hope / Hydronie	2000	87.3%	98.3%	66.7%
Guyana	Good Hope / Hydronie	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.7%
Guyana	Good Hope / Pomona	2000	58.5%	74.9%	41.9%
Guyana	Good Hope / Pomona	2017	97.9%	99.4%	93.7%
Guyana	Good Success / Caledonia	2000	88.4%	97.7%	73.6%
Guyana	Good Success / Caledonia	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.3%
Guyana	Grove / Haslington	2000	81.5%	89.9%	73.4%
Guyana	Grove / Haslington	2017	98.7%	99.7%	95.8%
Guyana	Hampshire / Kilcoy	2000	91.5%	95.5%	86.2%
Guyana	Hampshire / Kilcoy	2017	98.8%	99.7%	97.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Herstelling / Little Diamond	2000	89.1%	96.2%	76.4%
Guyana	Herstelling / Little Diamond	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Guyana	Hogstye / Lancaster	2000	93.4%	96.5%	90.2%
Guyana	Hogstye / Lancaster	2017	98.7%	99.7%	96.4%
Guyana	Ireng / Sawarivau (Including St. Ignatius)	2000	45.9%	55.1%	36.1%
Guyana	Ireng / Sawarivau (Including St. Ignatius)	2017	66.5%	73.8%	58.5%
Guyana	Ituni	2000	39.8%	68.1%	19.5%
Guyana	Ituni	2017	75.4%	91.3%	52.5%
Guyana	Jackson Creek / Crabwood Creek	2000	60.8%	81.7%	44.2%
Guyana	Jackson Creek / Crabwood Creek	2017	91.5%	98.8%	70.5%
Guyana	Jawalla, Kubenang River	2000	24.0%	44.5%	6.8%
Guyana	Jawalla, Kubenang River	2017	62.0%	78.8%	39.9%
Guyana	John / Port Mourant	2000	91.5%	95.1%	87.5%
Guyana	John / Port Mourant	2017	98.8%	99.7%	96.9%
Guyana	Joppa / Macedonia	2000	95.7%	98.9%	91.4%
Guyana	Joppa / Macedonia	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Guyana	Kaibarupai	2000	27.6%	59.2%	9.0%
Guyana	Kaibarupai	2017	32.4%	55.9%	15.3%
Guyana	Kamarang	2000	30.0%	44.4%	17.1%
Guyana	Kamarang	2017	70.1%	80.1%	59.2%
Guyana	Karambaru to Kukui River + Phillipi	2000	24.3%	39.8%	12.6%
Guyana	Karambaru to Kukui River + Phillipi	2017	57.9%	70.3%	43.4%
Guyana	Klein Pouderoyen / Best	2000	89.2%	98.5%	71.0%
Guyana	Klein Pouderoyen / Best	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Guyana	Kopanang, Waipa, Kenepai	2000	28.4%	49.9%	11.3%
Guyana	Kopanang, Waipa, Kenepai	2017	34.3%	54.6%	20.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Kwakwani	2000	52.2%	83.7%	25.1%
Guyana	Kwakwani	2017	79.0%	94.0%	50.3%
Guyana	La Bonne Intention / Better Hope	2000	89.9%	95.6%	79.2%
Guyana	La Bonne Intention / Better Hope	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Guyana	La Reconnaissance / Mon Repos	2000	85.3%	95.8%	72.2%
Guyana	La Reconnaissance / Mon Repos	2017	98.8%	99.9%	92.7%
Guyana	Leguan (Essequibo Islands)	2000	65.1%	93.2%	28.2%
Guyana	Leguan (Essequibo Islands)	2017	95.4%	99.8%	78.4%
Guyana	Linden	2000	78.3%	81.7%	75.0%
Guyana	Linden	2017	89.9%	93.1%	86.2%
Guyana	Lower West Demerara	2000	47.6%	71.8%	20.6%
Guyana	Lower West Demerara	2017	85.8%	95.6%	64.6%
Guyana	Mabaruma / Kumaka / Hosororo	2000	46.6%	59.1%	36.9%
Guyana	Mabaruma / Kumaka / Hosororo	2017	77.8%	85.4%	68.0%
Guyana	Mabura Hills	2000	41.2%	59.0%	25.0%
Guyana	Mabura Hills	2017	73.2%	84.0%	59.3%
Guyana	Madhia + Kurubrong River + Mona Falls	2000	26.3%	36.6%	16.9%
Guyana	Madhia + Kurubrong River + Mona Falls	2017	63.5%	74.2%	51.9%
Guyana	Makouria River	2000	62.8%	68.2%	57.9%
Guyana	Makouria River	2017	87.8%	92.1%	82.5%
Guyana	Maripari River + Kurukabaru	2000	28.1%	45.2%	14.5%
Guyana	Maripari River + Kurukabaru	2017	53.2%	68.1%	37.6%
Guyana	Marudi	2000	26.7%	49.0%	12.1%
Guyana	Marudi	2017	53.1%	70.3%	35.7%
Guyana	Matthews Ridge / Arakaka (Matakai) / Port Kaituma	2000	31.8%	50.9%	16.9%
Guyana	Matthews Ridge / Arakaka (Matakai) / Port Kaituma	2017	76.1%	88.4%	61.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Meer Zorgen / Malgre Tout	2000	90.0%	96.8%	79.1%
Guyana	Meer Zorgen / Malgre Tout	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Guyana	Mocha / Arcadia	2000	89.8%	98.7%	73.9%
Guyana	Mocha / Arcadia	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.3%
Guyana	Monkey Mountain	2000	40.7%	75.1%	14.5%
Guyana	Monkey Mountain	2017	47.3%	73.2%	22.7%
Guyana	Naarstigheid / Union	2000	94.5%	98.3%	88.0%
Guyana	Naarstigheid / Union	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.3%
Guyana	New Amsterdam	2000	94.3%	98.4%	87.3%
Guyana	New Amsterdam	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Guyana	Nismes / La Grange	2000	90.5%	95.6%	83.6%
Guyana	Nismes / La Grange	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Guyana	No. 38 / Ordnance Fortlands	2000	83.3%	88.8%	77.5%
Guyana	No. 38 / Ordnance Fortlands	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.8%
Guyana	No.51 Village / Good Hope	2000	94.6%	98.8%	88.9%
Guyana	No.51 Village / Good Hope	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.5%
Guyana	No.74 Village / No.52 Village	2000	90.3%	94.8%	83.3%
Guyana	No.74 Village / No.52 Village	2017	97.6%	99.3%	93.4%
Guyana	Nouvelle Flanders / La Jalousie	2000	89.5%	97.6%	77.8%
Guyana	Nouvelle Flanders / La Jalousie	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.4%
Guyana	Paradise / Evergreen (including Somerset and Berks)	2000	56.6%	66.1%	43.3%
Guyana	Paradise / Evergreen (including Somerset and Berks)	2017	94.3%	96.8%	89.0%
Guyana	Paramakatoi	2000	29.1%	48.2%	13.2%
Guyana	Paramakatoi	2017	46.2%	62.0%	34.3%
Guyana	Parika / Mora	2000	74.2%	94.3%	40.2%
Guyana	Parika / Mora	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.8%
Guyana	Paruima	2000	30.7%	46.2%	15.9%
Guyana	Paruima	2017	59.8%	73.2%	44.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Patentia / Toevlugt	2000	88.7%	95.2%	77.4%
Guyana	Patentia / Toevlugt	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Guyana	Plaisance / Industry	2000	89.5%	95.0%	81.6%
Guyana	Plaisance / Industry	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Guyana	Rest of Region 1	2000	35.5%	47.0%	24.3%
Guyana	Rest of Region 1	2017	74.6%	82.8%	65.1%
Guyana	Rest of Region 10	2000	42.5%	56.4%	30.4%
Guyana	Rest of Region 10	2017	76.2%	84.0%	66.4%
Guyana	Rest of Region 7	2000	35.8%	44.3%	27.5%
Guyana	Rest of Region 7	2017	67.3%	72.4%	61.2%
Guyana	Rest of Region 8	2000	27.3%	32.7%	20.9%
Guyana	Rest of Region 8	2017	56.1%	63.4%	48.2%
Guyana	Rest of Region 9	2000	34.4%	43.2%	26.3%
Guyana	Rest of Region 9	2017	61.1%	68.5%	52.3%
Guyana	Rising Sun / Profit	2000	83.1%	88.4%	73.1%
Guyana	Rising Sun / Profit	2017	96.0%	97.6%	93.1%
Guyana	Riverstown / Annandale	2000	23.1%	28.7%	16.6%
Guyana	Riverstown / Annandale	2017	87.1%	91.8%	80.9%
Guyana	Rose Hall	2000	91.5%	95.5%	86.4%
Guyana	Rose Hall	2017	98.8%	99.7%	97.0%
Guyana	Rosignol / Zeelust	2000	92.4%	97.1%	86.1%
Guyana	Rosignol / Zeelust	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.5%
Guyana	Sand Creek - Dadanawa, Catunarib, Sawariwau	2000	34.5%	47.9%	22.0%
Guyana	Sand Creek - Dadanawa, Catunarib, Sawariwau	2017	59.5%	71.7%	50.3%
Guyana	Soesdyke-Linden highway (including Timehri)	2000	62.9%	76.5%	44.8%
Guyana	Soesdyke-Linden highway (including Timehri)	2017	92.1%	96.5%	85.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Sparta / Bonasika and Rest of Essequibo Islands	2000	53.3%	76.4%	24.5%
Guyana	Sparta / Bonasika and Rest of Essequibo Islands	2017	91.5%	98.5%	74.9%
Guyana	St. Cuthberts / Orange Nassau (Mahaica River)	2000	76.3%	85.5%	64.1%
Guyana	St. Cuthberts / Orange Nassau (Mahaica River)	2017	96.5%	98.5%	91.4%
Guyana	St. Francis Mission	2000	47.5%	67.0%	27.5%
Guyana	St. Francis Mission	2017	80.5%	92.7%	66.7%
Guyana	Supernaam River, Bethany and Mashabo Villages	2000	50.6%	71.7%	24.9%
Guyana	Supernaam River, Bethany and Mashabo Villages	2017	86.7%	94.3%	68.1%
Guyana	Tarlogie / Maida	2000	91.0%	93.7%	88.2%
Guyana	Tarlogie / Maida	2017	98.2%	99.4%	96.2%
Guyana	Te Huist Coverden / Soesdyke	2000	81.9%	97.4%	54.7%
Guyana	Te Huist Coverden / Soesdyke	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.4%
Guyana	Tempe / Seafield	2000	98.2%	99.7%	95.3%
Guyana	Tempe / Seafield	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Guyana	Toka - Jakaretinga	2000	40.6%	58.3%	22.0%
Guyana	Toka - Jakaretinga	2017	65.4%	79.4%	50.3%
Guyana	Triumph / Beterverwagting	2000	90.0%	97.3%	76.9%
Guyana	Triumph / Beterverwagting	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Guyana	Uitvlugt / Tuschen	2000	84.2%	92.7%	72.4%
Guyana	Uitvlugt / Tuschen	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.8%
Guyana	Vereeniging / Unity	2000	84.2%	91.9%	74.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Vereeniging / Unity	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.8%
Guyana	Vergenoegen / Greenwich Park	2000	74.2%	87.4%	59.5%
Guyana	Vergenoegen / Greenwich Park	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.1%
Guyana	Waini	2000	39.5%	49.9%	29.0%
Guyana	Waini	2017	78.3%	85.0%	68.6%
Guyana	Wakenaam (Essequibo Islands)	2000	68.9%	92.5%	40.5%
Guyana	Wakenaam (Essequibo Islands)	2017	97.5%	99.8%	90.3%
Guyana	Waramadan	2000	26.4%	49.4%	11.2%
Guyana	Waramadan	2017	69.3%	83.8%	50.4%
Guyana	West bank Berbice	2000	90.5%	94.5%	85.1%
Guyana	West bank Berbice	2017	98.0%	99.0%	96.4%
Guyana	Whim / Bloomfield	2000	94.1%	97.5%	89.9%
Guyana	Whim / Bloomfield	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.1%
Guyana	Woodley Park / Bath	2000	90.0%	97.4%	80.6%
Guyana	Woodley Park / Bath	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.6%
Guyana	Yakarinta - Wowetta, Surama	2000	33.0%	45.6%	21.6%
Guyana	Yakarinta - Wowetta, Surama	2017	58.8%	69.9%	46.9%
Guyana	Yarong Paru - Good Hope	2000	31.7%	48.3%	18.2%
Guyana	Yarong Paru - Good Hope	2017	57.3%	71.0%	41.8%
Guyana	Zorg-en-Vlygt / Aberdeen	2000	36.2%	61.0%	12.3%
Guyana	Zorg-en-Vlygt / Aberdeen	2017	88.8%	96.3%	75.4%
Haiti	Anse d'Hainault	2000	41.6%	45.2%	37.7%
Haiti	Anse d'Hainault	2017	39.5%	43.5%	34.7%
Haiti	Aquin	2000	60.2%	62.7%	56.8%
Haiti	Aquin	2017	65.2%	68.0%	61.6%
Haiti	Bainet	2000	36.4%	41.5%	31.6%
Haiti	Bainet	2017	36.6%	42.0%	29.8%
Haiti	Belle-Anse	2000	63.6%	69.2%	58.3%
Haiti	Belle-Anse	2017	70.0%	75.9%	63.2%
Haiti	Borgne	2000	42.4%	57.2%	35.2%
Haiti	Borgne	2017	51.0%	61.7%	45.0%
Haiti	Cerca La Source	2000	34.0%	45.7%	24.0%
Haiti	Cerca La Source	2017	34.7%	47.6%	26.0%
Haiti	Corail	2000	39.0%	44.0%	31.6%
Haiti	Corail	2017	57.8%	62.4%	49.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Haiti	Croix-des-Bouquets	2000	52.1%	56.7%	48.5%
Haiti	Croix-des-Bouquets	2017	72.1%	76.5%	68.2%
Haiti	Dessalines	2000	38.0%	41.6%	34.6%
Haiti	Dessalines	2017	50.2%	53.8%	46.7%
Haiti	Fort-Liberté	2000	56.7%	64.5%	48.4%
Haiti	Fort-Liberté	2017	64.3%	70.8%	57.7%
Haiti	Grande-Rivière du Nord	2000	31.5%	34.4%	29.0%
Haiti	Grande-Rivière du Nord	2017	34.5%	36.5%	32.5%
Haiti	Gros-Morne	2000	41.0%	48.3%	33.0%
Haiti	Gros-Morne	2017	52.3%	61.7%	36.9%
Haiti	Hinche	2000	45.7%	50.8%	40.9%
Haiti	Hinche	2017	47.1%	52.4%	42.0%
Haiti	Jacmel	2000	62.5%	64.3%	60.0%
Haiti	Jacmel	2017	63.3%	66.3%	60.3%
Haiti	Jérémie	2000	31.2%	33.3%	29.3%
Haiti	Jérémie	2017	32.8%	35.1%	30.4%
Haiti	l'Acul-du-Nord	2000	58.3%	60.7%	56.0%
Haiti	l'Acul-du-Nord	2017	72.1%	73.6%	70.6%
Haiti	l'Anse-à-Veau	2000	33.4%	35.1%	31.9%
Haiti	l'Anse-à-Veau	2017	35.2%	36.9%	33.6%
Haiti	l'Arcahaie	2000	49.5%	63.5%	35.0%
Haiti	l'Arcahaie	2017	57.8%	70.0%	46.7%
Haiti	La Gonâve	2000	50.4%	67.2%	35.9%
Haiti	La Gonâve	2017	65.8%	89.1%	37.9%
Haiti	Lascahobas	2000	52.3%	56.5%	48.1%
Haiti	Lascahobas	2017	54.0%	60.0%	48.9%
Haiti	le Cap-Haïtien	2000	72.7%	76.0%	68.6%
Haiti	le Cap-Haïtien	2017	88.9%	90.6%	85.5%
Haiti	le Limbé	2000	69.5%	78.0%	63.4%
Haiti	le Limbé	2017	74.5%	78.1%	72.0%
Haiti	le Trou-du-Nord	2000	46.2%	50.1%	42.5%
Haiti	le Trou-du-Nord	2017	60.9%	63.8%	58.5%
Haiti	Léogâne	2000	56.1%	61.0%	53.0%
Haiti	Léogâne	2017	74.9%	77.6%	72.2%
Haiti	les Cayes	2000	57.2%	60.4%	52.6%
Haiti	les Cayes	2017	67.1%	69.4%	63.4%
Haiti	les Chardonnières	2000	47.5%	57.1%	41.4%
Haiti	les Chardonnières	2017	49.4%	60.5%	41.0%
Haiti	les Côteaux	2000	52.6%	64.2%	36.1%
Haiti	les Côteaux	2017	49.6%	59.7%	35.7%
Haiti	les Gonaïves	2000	47.5%	53.8%	42.6%
Haiti	les Gonaïves	2017	70.7%	76.4%	65.3%
Haiti	Marmelade	2000	32.5%	45.7%	21.8%
Haiti	Marmelade	2017	29.7%	41.6%	18.8%
Haiti	Miragoâne	2000	69.6%	71.0%	68.3%
Haiti	Miragoâne	2017	72.6%	74.3%	71.0%
Haiti	Mirebalais	2000	41.0%	47.4%	35.7%
Haiti	Mirebalais	2017	42.8%	52.6%	35.4%
Haiti	Môle Saint-Nicolas	2000	56.9%	62.2%	50.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Haiti	Môle Saint-Nicolas	2017	59.9%	64.7%	54.1%
Haiti	Ouanaminthe	2000	41.3%	46.1%	38.8%
Haiti	Ouanaminthe	2017	67.3%	70.9%	64.6%
Haiti	Plaisance	2000	40.5%	55.5%	29.9%
Haiti	Plaisance	2017	29.7%	45.2%	22.0%
Haiti	Port-au-Prince	2000	86.7%	87.9%	85.8%
Haiti	Port-au-Prince	2017	92.7%	93.2%	92.2%
Haiti	Port-de-Paix	2000	65.5%	68.9%	62.7%
Haiti	Port-de-Paix	2017	68.6%	71.8%	65.8%
Haiti	Port-Salut	2000	47.6%	58.4%	36.3%
Haiti	Port-Salut	2017	44.8%	53.4%	32.8%
Haiti	Saint-Louis du Nord	2000	60.0%	62.8%	57.4%
Haiti	Saint-Louis du Nord	2017	55.8%	58.5%	52.5%
Haiti	Saint-Marc	2000	67.4%	73.1%	61.7%
Haiti	Saint-Marc	2017	73.1%	78.1%	68.4%
Haiti	Saint-Raphaël	2000	47.2%	50.7%	44.2%
Haiti	Saint-Raphaël	2017	51.1%	56.3%	46.8%
Haiti	Vallières	2000	35.4%	45.0%	28.1%
Haiti	Vallières	2017	38.0%	45.5%	31.8%
Honduras	Aguaqueterique	2000	73.0%	94.2%	39.3%
Honduras	Aguaqueterique	2017	53.6%	84.3%	23.8%
Honduras	Ahuas	2000	71.7%	96.7%	31.4%
Honduras	Ahuas	2017	76.9%	99.9%	31.4%
Honduras	Ajuterique	2000	86.0%	89.1%	82.8%
Honduras	Ajuterique	2017	99.2%	99.8%	96.7%
Honduras	Alauca	2000	81.2%	92.8%	58.3%
Honduras	Alauca	2017	91.2%	99.5%	70.2%
Honduras	Alianza	2000	89.4%	94.0%	79.9%
Honduras	Alianza	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Honduras	Alubarén	2000	92.9%	97.3%	84.9%
Honduras	Alubarén	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.5%
Honduras	Amapala	2000	88.3%	94.8%	76.7%
Honduras	Amapala	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.3%
Honduras	Apacilagua	2000	66.4%	88.9%	35.9%
Honduras	Apacilagua	2017	94.7%	99.8%	78.9%
Honduras	Arada	2000	56.8%	77.4%	30.5%
Honduras	Arada	2017	77.9%	97.8%	44.6%
Honduras	Aramecina	2000	84.4%	98.6%	55.8%
Honduras	Aramecina	2017	97.7%	99.9%	84.9%
Honduras	Arenal	2000	68.4%	94.8%	29.0%
Honduras	Arenal	2017	71.2%	98.6%	32.9%
Honduras	Arizona	2000	65.7%	86.1%	44.9%
Honduras	Arizona	2017	79.7%	92.7%	60.7%
Honduras	Atima	2000	65.4%	86.5%	41.1%
Honduras	Atima	2017	83.8%	93.5%	71.5%
Honduras	Azacualpa	2000	80.4%	89.4%	68.6%
Honduras	Azacualpa	2017	97.8%	99.7%	91.1%
Honduras	Balfate	2000	67.2%	86.7%	48.5%
Honduras	Balfate	2017	84.0%	97.4%	63.9%
Honduras	Belen	2000	84.6%	97.4%	58.9%
Honduras	Belen	2017	83.2%	98.3%	60.8%
Honduras	Belén Gualcho	2000	87.8%	92.0%	82.2%
Honduras	Belén Gualcho	2017	83.8%	90.9%	76.1%
Honduras	Bonito Oriental	2000	88.0%	95.3%	78.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Bonito Oriental	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Honduras	Brus Laguna	2000	71.4%	83.8%	53.5%
Honduras	Brus Laguna	2017	88.1%	97.7%	73.6%
Honduras	Cabañas	2000	88.7%	99.0%	68.2%
Honduras	Cabañas	2000	73.7%	91.3%	51.5%
Honduras	Cabañas	2017	98.3%	99.9%	91.0%
Honduras	Cabañas	2017	76.8%	96.9%	44.8%
Honduras	Camasca	2000	98.8%	99.5%	94.8%
Honduras	Camasca	2017	97.8%	99.4%	94.5%
Honduras	Campamento	2000	68.6%	88.4%	43.5%
Honduras	Campamento	2017	85.5%	96.8%	68.9%
Honduras	Candelaria	2000	74.8%	90.1%	49.2%
Honduras	Candelaria	2017	98.9%	99.9%	88.9%
Honduras	Cane	2000	91.6%	98.3%	82.8%
Honduras	Cane	2017	99.7%	100.0%	96.5%
Honduras	Caridad	2000	92.2%	96.4%	85.4%
Honduras	Caridad	2017	84.4%	92.8%	75.7%
Honduras	Catacamas	2000	72.6%	78.8%	65.8%
Honduras	Catacamas	2017	90.3%	94.5%	85.0%
Honduras	Cedros	2000	73.3%	88.3%	51.7%
Honduras	Cedros	2017	85.9%	97.3%	67.4%
Honduras	Ceguaca	2000	80.9%	93.7%	64.2%
Honduras	Ceguaca	2017	97.2%	99.3%	93.8%
Honduras	Chinacla	2000	98.2%	98.4%	97.7%
Honduras	Chinacla	2017	96.2%	98.1%	94.9%
Honduras	Chinda	2000	63.3%	85.7%	33.8%
Honduras	Chinda	2017	71.2%	96.9%	40.5%
Honduras	Choloma	2000	71.2%	78.2%	62.1%
Honduras	Choloma	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Honduras	Choluteca	2000	80.7%	88.9%	71.3%
Honduras	Choluteca	2017	97.4%	99.7%	92.3%
Honduras	Cololaca	2000	86.6%	96.0%	72.4%
Honduras	Cololaca	2017	87.3%	98.3%	71.3%
Honduras	Colomoncagua	2000	75.4%	89.3%	53.7%
Honduras	Colomoncagua	2017	60.8%	80.4%	37.7%
Honduras	Comayagua	2000	83.9%	88.0%	78.6%
Honduras	Comayagua	2017	96.8%	98.9%	94.0%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	86.5%	95.3%	73.6%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	55.6%	59.9%	52.2%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	67.2%	86.5%	40.7%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	87.9%	98.6%	71.0%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	55.7%	70.3%	48.7%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	94.4%	98.5%	90.3%
Honduras	Concepción de Maria	2000	93.0%	96.3%	86.6%
Honduras	Concepción de Maria	2017	96.3%	98.2%	92.7%
Honduras	Concepción del Norte	2000	42.6%	78.8%	9.7%
Honduras	Concepción del Norte	2017	44.6%	86.2%	8.7%
Honduras	Concepción del Sur	2000	80.1%	98.7%	53.1%
Honduras	Concepción del Sur	2017	98.1%	100.0%	89.6%
Honduras	Concordia	2000	70.4%	95.6%	30.0%
Honduras	Concordia	2017	84.3%	99.7%	49.8%
Honduras	Copán Ruinas	2000	81.7%	90.7%	66.6%
Honduras	Copán Ruinas	2017	94.4%	98.0%	90.6%
Honduras	Corquín	2000	92.4%	97.3%	83.3%
Honduras	Corquín	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Cucuyagua	2000	75.2%	94.1%	62.8%
Honduras	Cucuyagua	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.5%
Honduras	Curarén	2000	80.0%	96.5%	53.0%
Honduras	Curarén	2017	77.4%	95.4%	53.0%
Honduras	Danlí	2000	78.8%	86.0%	70.5%
Honduras	Danlí	2017	87.0%	93.1%	81.2%
Honduras	Distrito Central	2000	84.1%	88.9%	78.4%
Honduras	Distrito Central	2017	97.6%	99.4%	95.2%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	89.6%	93.2%	78.2%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	76.5%	94.3%	51.4%
Honduras	Dolores	2017	98.8%	99.5%	96.5%
Honduras	Dolores	2017	55.4%	79.1%	34.9%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	75.6%	95.1%	45.6%
Honduras	Merendon				
Honduras	Dolores Merendon	2017	94.4%	98.6%	84.6%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre	2000	87.6%	92.3%	82.1%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre	2017	98.9%	99.8%	93.6%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre de Culmí	2000	71.7%	84.5%	56.2%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre de Culmí	2017	72.2%	87.2%	50.4%
Honduras	Duyure	2000	73.5%	96.9%	24.8%
Honduras	Duyure	2017	84.1%	99.4%	30.3%
Honduras	El Corpus	2000	77.3%	86.4%	61.6%
Honduras	El Corpus	2017	81.9%	92.0%	70.2%
Honduras	El Negrito	2000	86.3%	93.9%	75.5%
Honduras	El Negrito	2017	97.8%	99.9%	93.4%
Honduras	El Nispero	2000	86.8%	93.6%	66.4%
Honduras	El Nispero	2017	93.2%	100.0%	68.5%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2000	85.7%	94.9%	74.1%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2000	63.0%	78.1%	44.4%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2017	97.3%	99.5%	92.5%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2017	93.9%	99.4%	86.2%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2000	82.9%	96.4%	60.6%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2000	88.0%	95.4%	73.9%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2017	90.5%	99.7%	71.4%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.2%
Honduras	El Progreso	2000	84.6%	89.7%	76.6%
Honduras	El Progreso	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Honduras	El Rosario	2000	88.7%	98.5%	69.1%
Honduras	El Rosario	2000	90.7%	99.7%	64.9%
Honduras	El Rosario	2017	91.0%	99.8%	57.7%
Honduras	El Rosario	2017	87.7%	96.5%	70.5%
Honduras	El Triunfo	2000	67.0%	88.0%	42.4%
Honduras	El Triunfo	2017	95.0%	99.7%	82.9%
Honduras	Erandique	2000	80.0%	90.9%	60.5%
Honduras	Erandique	2017	59.0%	77.6%	37.1%
Honduras	Esparta	2000	86.7%	98.5%	67.0%
Honduras	Esparta	2017	94.9%	99.6%	85.8%
Honduras	Esquíás	2000	82.3%	97.6%	53.4%
Honduras	Esquíás	2017	81.9%	99.0%	50.3%
Honduras	Esquipulas del Norte	2000	81.8%	97.3%	59.2%
Honduras	Esquipulas del Norte	2017	92.9%	100.0%	69.5%
Honduras	Florida	2000	77.7%	89.3%	58.8%
Honduras	Florida	2017	83.6%	95.8%	65.7%
Honduras	Fraternidad	2000	84.6%	91.5%	72.9%
Honduras	Fraternidad	2017	86.6%	95.4%	73.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Goascorán	2000	65.4%	71.6%	59.5%
Honduras	Goascorán	2017	93.6%	98.5%	83.4%
Honduras	Gracias	2000	86.2%	92.3%	79.9%
Honduras	Gracias	2017	97.8%	99.7%	94.5%
Honduras	Guaimaca	2000	69.0%	86.3%	50.0%
Honduras	Guaimaca	2017	87.2%	95.9%	78.2%
Honduras	Guajiquiro	2000	85.6%	97.6%	68.4%
Honduras	Guajiquiro	2017	74.3%	92.8%	54.8%
Honduras	Gualaco	2000	92.9%	99.0%	69.2%
Honduras	Gualaco	2017	96.7%	100.0%	88.1%
Honduras	Gualala	2000	81.9%	99.1%	44.9%
Honduras	Gualala	2017	93.1%	100.0%	60.4%
Honduras	Gualcince	2000	74.2%	84.6%	49.2%
Honduras	Gualcince	2017	85.0%	96.8%	59.3%
Honduras	Guanaja	2000	94.1%	100.0%	74.3%
Honduras	Guanaja	2017	99.8%	100.0%	97.5%
Honduras	Guarita	2000	75.2%	98.3%	38.5%
Honduras	Guarita	2017	65.9%	95.6%	25.3%
Honduras	Guarizama	2000	76.6%	99.0%	38.1%
Honduras	Guarizama	2017	95.9%	100.0%	78.7%
Honduras	Guata	2000	94.0%	99.5%	83.4%
Honduras	Guata	2017	98.4%	100.0%	91.8%
Honduras	Guayape	2000	79.8%	98.8%	40.3%
Honduras	Guayape	2017	87.0%	99.7%	49.1%
Honduras	Guinope	2000	79.6%	99.1%	44.0%
Honduras	Guinope	2017	75.9%	99.7%	35.9%
Honduras	Humuya	2000	75.0%	94.7%	39.5%
Honduras	Humuya	2017	91.6%	100.0%	66.0%
Honduras	Ilama	2000	74.4%	97.0%	43.9%
Honduras	Ilama	2017	90.6%	99.8%	66.7%
Honduras	Intibucá	2000	80.8%	89.3%	72.2%
Honduras	Intibucá	2017	86.1%	91.6%	80.2%
Honduras	Iriona	2000	83.0%	92.7%	65.8%
Honduras	Iriona	2017	90.3%	98.5%	71.6%
Honduras	Jacaleapa	2000	65.6%	85.1%	42.6%
Honduras	Jacaleapa	2017	97.6%	99.8%	89.1%
Honduras	Jano	2000	88.8%	99.9%	64.7%
Honduras	Jano	2017	95.9%	100.0%	76.4%
Honduras	Jesús de Otoro	2000	88.9%	93.8%	81.9%
Honduras	Jesús de Otoro	2017	90.9%	96.8%	82.7%
Honduras	Jocón	2000	72.2%	93.6%	45.2%
Honduras	Jocón	2017	67.8%	94.2%	40.1%
Honduras	José Santos Guardiola	2000	48.6%	68.9%	34.5%
Honduras	José Santos Guardiola	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Honduras	Juan Francisco Bulnes	2000	73.1%	90.5%	51.5%
Honduras	Juan Francisco Bulnes	2017	92.3%	99.0%	74.5%
Honduras	Jutiapa	2000	83.4%	96.8%	63.4%
Honduras	Jutiapa	2017	93.7%	99.5%	82.0%
Honduras	Juticalpa	2000	72.6%	80.6%	61.6%
Honduras	Juticalpa	2017	94.5%	97.9%	90.7%
Honduras	La Campa	2000	90.7%	98.7%	73.3%
Honduras	La Campa	2017	87.1%	98.6%	64.5%
Honduras	La Ceiba	2000	82.8%	89.9%	74.0%
Honduras	La Ceiba	2017	96.1%	99.5%	92.0%
Honduras	La Encarnación	2000	73.9%	80.2%	67.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	La Encarnación	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Honduras	La Esperanza	2000	57.1%	81.7%	39.5%
Honduras	La Esperanza	2017	97.4%	99.9%	86.1%
Honduras	La Iguala	2000	89.7%	98.0%	73.0%
Honduras	La Iguala	2017	92.0%	98.7%	76.0%
Honduras	La Jigua	2000	66.6%	80.4%	50.4%
Honduras	La Jigua	2017	98.6%	99.6%	97.0%
Honduras	La Labor	2000	87.2%	93.1%	73.7%
Honduras	La Labor	2017	97.8%	99.5%	93.0%
Honduras	La Libertad	2000	92.8%	99.1%	59.9%
Honduras	La Libertad	2000	90.6%	98.2%	77.5%
Honduras	La Libertad	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.5%
Honduras	La Libertad	2017	92.6%	98.5%	79.7%
Honduras	La Lima	2000	91.0%	97.2%	79.0%
Honduras	La Lima	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Honduras	La Masica	2000	83.8%	93.7%	70.2%
Honduras	La Masica	2017	98.2%	100.0%	94.3%
Honduras	La Paz	2000	71.0%	75.6%	66.5%
Honduras	La Paz	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.0%
Honduras	La Trinidad	2000	92.6%	99.1%	71.9%
Honduras	La Trinidad	2017	94.5%	99.9%	72.0%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	76.1%	93.9%	45.7%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	77.3%	94.4%	50.4%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	91.0%	97.4%	71.9%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	90.4%	99.5%	75.4%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	81.5%	96.0%	60.2%
Honduras	La Venta	2000	81.9%	98.9%	46.8%
Honduras	La Venta	2017	87.8%	99.8%	55.0%
Honduras	La Virtud	2000	63.5%	74.0%	48.9%
Honduras	La Virtud	2017	94.4%	98.6%	83.5%
Honduras	Lamaní	2000	60.7%	86.1%	28.6%
Honduras	Lamaní	2017	77.9%	95.9%	48.7%
Honduras	Langue	2000	90.1%	92.3%	87.1%
Honduras	Langue	2017	96.7%	98.8%	93.6%
Honduras	Las Flores	2000	86.5%	89.7%	79.4%
Honduras	Las Flores	2017	93.6%	98.7%	84.2%
Honduras	Las Lajas	2000	95.5%	99.9%	69.9%
Honduras	Las Lajas	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.3%
Honduras	Las Vegas	2000	85.3%	97.2%	56.4%
Honduras	Las Vegas	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Honduras	Lauterique	2000	81.6%	95.2%	62.4%
Honduras	Lauterique	2017	65.5%	85.7%	47.1%
Honduras	Lejamaní	2000	46.9%	63.8%	34.3%
Honduras	Lejamaní	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Honduras	Lepaera	2000	91.0%	96.8%	79.7%
Honduras	Lepaera	2017	92.1%	98.4%	80.1%
Honduras	Lepaterique	2000	74.2%	93.9%	45.6%
Honduras	Lepaterique	2017	70.0%	91.0%	41.7%
Honduras	Limón	2000	96.8%	100.0%	86.2%
Honduras	Limón	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.3%
Honduras	Liure	2000	29.9%	55.4%	8.6%
Honduras	Liure	2017	92.7%	98.6%	77.2%
Honduras	Lucerna	2000	80.0%	86.2%	72.1%
Honduras	Lucerna	2017	95.8%	99.2%	88.9%
Honduras	Macuelizo	2000	91.5%	96.4%	83.8%
Honduras	Macuelizo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Honduras	Magdalena	2000	98.7%	99.3%	97.3%
Honduras	Magdalena	2017	95.2%	99.0%	89.8%
Honduras	Mangulile	2000	64.1%	87.6%	31.7%
Honduras	Mangulile	2017	61.9%	91.8%	26.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Manto	2000	94.1%	99.9%	77.1%
Honduras	Manto	2017	98.5%	100.0%	85.3%
Honduras	Mapulaca	2000	69.0%	82.5%	49.9%
Honduras	Mapulaca	2017	97.8%	99.6%	93.4%
Honduras	Maraita	2000	81.3%	98.6%	49.3%
Honduras	Maraita	2017	90.7%	99.8%	59.6%
Honduras	Marale	2000	96.3%	100.0%	82.7%
Honduras	Marale	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.7%
Honduras	Marcala	2000	89.7%	94.8%	83.6%
Honduras	Marcala	2017	97.1%	99.2%	92.5%
Honduras	Marcovia	2000	71.2%	84.7%	58.3%
Honduras	Marcovia	2017	95.5%	99.7%	85.1%
Honduras	Masaguara	2000	89.4%	95.2%	80.8%
Honduras	Masaguara	2017	89.6%	97.0%	80.2%
Honduras	Meámbar	2000	81.1%	98.2%	52.0%
Honduras	Meámbar	2017	85.0%	99.5%	54.4%
Honduras	Mercedes	2000	80.4%	84.9%	74.6%
Honduras	Mercedes	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.7%
Honduras	Mercedes de Oriente	2000	72.4%	99.4%	18.9%
Honduras	Mercedes de Oriente	2017	59.9%	97.3%	14.3%
Honduras	Minas de Oro	2000	88.7%	98.4%	69.2%
Honduras	Minas de Oro	2017	96.1%	99.7%	85.3%
Honduras	Morazán	2000	77.0%	90.0%	59.3%
Honduras	Morazán	2017	94.7%	99.4%	85.3%
Honduras	Morocelí	2000	97.2%	99.9%	88.1%
Honduras	Morocelí	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.6%
Honduras	Morolica	2000	74.5%	94.0%	46.4%
Honduras	Morolica	2017	90.4%	99.8%	63.5%
Honduras	Nacaome	2000	76.6%	81.3%	71.2%
Honduras	Nacaome	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Honduras	Namasigue	2000	83.9%	93.6%	69.9%
Honduras	Namasigue	2017	96.2%	98.9%	88.1%
Honduras	Naranjito	2000	82.6%	95.0%	60.8%
Honduras	Naranjito	2017	93.1%	99.7%	76.6%
Honduras	Nueva Arcadia	2000	77.3%	85.8%	69.6%
Honduras	Nueva Arcadia	2017	97.7%	99.1%	95.5%
Honduras	Nueva Armenia	2000	77.0%	94.6%	49.2%
Honduras	Nueva Armenia	2017	92.7%	99.9%	72.8%
Honduras	Nueva Frontera	2000	86.5%	96.3%	67.6%
Honduras	Nueva Frontera	2017	95.1%	99.1%	87.3%
Honduras	Nuevo Celilac	2000	81.1%	98.6%	51.9%
Honduras	Nuevo Celilac	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.7%
Honduras	Ocotepeque	2000	76.9%	90.1%	53.0%
Honduras	Ocotepeque	2017	95.8%	98.3%	90.4%
Honduras	Ojo de Agua	2000	85.4%	94.1%	71.1%
Honduras	Ojo de Agua	2017	97.7%	99.9%	91.6%
Honduras	Ojojona	2000	65.3%	87.6%	40.5%
Honduras	Ojojona	2017	88.9%	99.3%	66.0%
Honduras	Olanchito	2000	83.4%	92.2%	73.6%
Honduras	Olanchito	2017	97.5%	99.7%	93.6%
Honduras	Omoa	2000	86.5%	96.6%	71.3%
Honduras	Omoa	2017	95.1%	99.6%	83.0%
Honduras	Opatoro	2000	90.9%	98.7%	72.3%
Honduras	Opatoro	2017	88.1%	98.2%	67.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Orica	2000	89.9%	99.0%	73.9%
Honduras	Orica	2017	96.9%	99.9%	88.9%
Honduras	Orocuina	2000	52.0%	78.0%	31.6%
Honduras	Orocuina	2017	77.2%	94.6%	53.2%
Honduras	Oropolí	2000	72.3%	98.7%	21.7%
Honduras	Oropolí	2017	69.6%	99.7%	16.7%
Honduras	Patuca	2000	68.2%	87.4%	44.1%
Honduras	Patuca	2017	65.1%	85.4%	37.3%
Honduras	Pespire	2000	74.1%	87.9%	57.2%
Honduras	Pespire	2017	91.5%	94.8%	86.5%
Honduras	Pettoa	2000	79.2%	96.2%	53.7%
Honduras	Pettoa	2017	86.3%	98.4%	68.6%
Honduras	Pimienta	2000	72.2%	95.3%	49.1%
Honduras	Pimienta	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.9%
Honduras	Piraera	2000	89.5%	98.6%	65.4%
Honduras	Piraera	2017	91.8%	98.0%	74.5%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2000	68.4%	86.4%	46.8%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2000	87.4%	99.2%	61.6%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2017	93.2%	100.0%	71.9%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2017	99.0%	100.0%	96.1%
Honduras	Protección	2000	83.3%	95.6%	55.3%
Honduras	Protección	2017	85.2%	99.1%	57.1%
Honduras	Puerto Cortés	2000	91.4%	97.7%	81.9%
Honduras	Puerto Cortés	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.5%
Honduras	Puerto Lem-pira	2000	66.0%	75.8%	53.8%
Honduras	Puerto Lem-pira	2017	84.1%	93.2%	72.6%
Honduras	Quimistán	2000	77.5%	89.1%	60.0%
Honduras	Quimistán	2017	93.1%	97.8%	85.6%
Honduras	Ramón Villeda Morales	2000	63.4%	81.7%	40.9%
Honduras	Ramón Villeda Morales	2017	94.5%	99.9%	78.1%
Honduras	Reitoca	2000	67.5%	87.6%	47.3%
Honduras	Reitoca	2017	86.2%	99.7%	59.5%
Honduras	Roatán	2000	68.2%	84.4%	45.4%
Honduras	Roatán	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Honduras	Sabá	2000	68.5%	78.6%	57.7%
Honduras	Sabá	2017	99.6%	99.9%	97.8%
Honduras	Sabanagrande	2000	85.0%	97.5%	56.8%
Honduras	Sabanagrande	2017	94.2%	99.9%	74.8%
Honduras	Salamá	2000	84.1%	98.9%	56.1%
Honduras	Salamá	2017	90.6%	99.3%	63.5%
Honduras	San Agustín	2000	76.9%	99.7%	29.3%
Honduras	San Agustín	2017	94.2%	100.0%	63.6%
Honduras	San Andrés	2000	79.5%	93.1%	59.7%
Honduras	San Andrés	2017	71.0%	87.7%	51.5%
Honduras	San Antonio	2000	88.4%	91.9%	82.3%
Honduras	San Antonio	2000	90.7%	100.0%	54.0%
Honduras	San Antonio	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Honduras	San Antonio	2017	92.2%	100.0%	53.9%
Honduras	San Antonio de Cortés	2000	46.5%	73.1%	25.5%
Honduras	San Antonio de Cortés	2017	87.2%	95.8%	75.6%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2000	82.2%	98.9%	42.1%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2000	78.6%	94.6%	50.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2017	70.6%	94.7%	34.2%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2017	96.9%	100.0%	76.4%
Honduras	San Antonio de Oriente	2000	78.9%	89.7%	62.8%
Honduras	San Antonio de Oriente	2017	93.3%	99.0%	81.5%
Honduras	San Antonio del Norte	2000	73.9%	95.8%	39.8%
Honduras	San Antonio del Norte	2017	55.8%	86.1%	19.9%
Honduras	San Buenaventura	2000	75.3%	97.5%	26.8%
Honduras	San Buenaventura	2017	93.8%	100.0%	65.6%
Honduras	San Esteban	2000	78.1%	92.9%	55.9%
Honduras	San Esteban	2017	87.1%	98.5%	70.3%
Honduras	San Fernando	2000	85.6%	90.8%	79.7%
Honduras	San Fernando	2017	95.6%	98.5%	90.6%
Honduras	San Francisco	2000	63.7%	73.4%	52.0%
Honduras	San Francisco	2000	94.6%	99.2%	82.8%
Honduras	San Francisco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.0%
Honduras	San Francisco	2017	51.9%	76.7%	36.5%
Honduras	San Francisco de Becerra	2000	73.3%	91.0%	49.7%
Honduras	San Francisco de Becerra	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.4%
Honduras	San Francisco de Coray	2000	93.4%	95.8%	87.6%
Honduras	San Francisco de Coray	2017	95.6%	97.9%	91.2%
Honduras	San Francisco de la Paz	2000	73.0%	92.5%	47.3%
Honduras	San Francisco de la Paz	2017	98.8%	99.9%	92.5%
Honduras	San Francisco de Ojuera	2000	67.8%	93.4%	33.2%
Honduras	San Francisco de Ojuera	2017	61.7%	93.0%	29.4%
Honduras	San Francisco de Opalaca	2000	59.8%	79.6%	37.2%
Honduras	San Francisco de Opalaca	2017	48.5%	72.1%	26.2%
Honduras	San Francisco de Yojoa	2000	95.8%	100.0%	80.1%
Honduras	San Francisco de Yojoa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Honduras	San Francisco del Valle	2000	94.5%	97.6%	88.1%
Honduras	San Francisco del Valle	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Honduras	San Ignacio	2000	92.1%	98.4%	78.1%
Honduras	San Ignacio	2017	99.3%	100.0%	92.8%
Honduras	San Isidro	2000	60.5%	87.7%	28.3%
Honduras	San Isidro	2000	94.9%	99.6%	74.8%
Honduras	San Isidro	2017	68.3%	93.9%	36.9%
Honduras	San Isidro	2017	88.1%	98.4%	61.3%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2000	81.9%	98.2%	51.3%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2000	89.6%	95.4%	70.4%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2017	94.4%	97.5%	90.9%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2017	82.0%	99.3%	52.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Jorge	2000	86.6%	97.5%	62.2%
Honduras	San Jorge	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.5%
Honduras	San José	2000	90.9%	95.3%	79.3%
Honduras	San José	2000	97.3%	98.1%	94.7%
Honduras	San José	2000	86.5%	95.7%	73.4%
Honduras	San José	2017	93.3%	96.7%	90.2%
Honduras	San José	2017	96.0%	98.4%	93.6%
Honduras	San José	2017	73.7%	90.9%	56.7%
Honduras	San José de Colinas	2000	98.1%	99.9%	85.1%
Honduras	San José de Colinas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Honduras	San José de Comayagua	2000	79.5%	98.7%	41.6%
Honduras	San José de Comayagua	2017	76.7%	97.5%	33.6%
Honduras	San José del Potrero	2000	92.1%	99.9%	67.0%
Honduras	San José del Potrero	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.5%
Honduras	San Juan	2000	87.3%	97.7%	68.5%
Honduras	San Juan	2000	69.9%	99.6%	12.0%
Honduras	San Juan	2017	76.9%	93.8%	58.2%
Honduras	San Juan	2017	54.9%	98.4%	7.5%
Honduras	San Juan de Flores	2000	96.0%	99.8%	89.9%
Honduras	San Juan de Flores	2017	94.4%	99.5%	89.2%
Honduras	San Juan de Opoa	2000	73.4%	89.2%	66.1%
Honduras	San Juan de Opoa	2017	81.5%	94.8%	64.6%
Honduras	San Juan Guarita	2000	68.9%	99.8%	9.5%
Honduras	San Juan Guarita	2017	60.5%	99.3%	6.7%
Honduras	San Lorenzo	2000	82.2%	84.8%	77.1%
Honduras	San Lorenzo	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.2%
Honduras	San Lucas	2000	80.5%	95.5%	54.1%
Honduras	San Lucas	2017	76.9%	98.3%	44.7%
Honduras	San Luis	2000	92.2%	98.9%	77.4%
Honduras	San Luis	2000	92.0%	99.7%	64.5%
Honduras	San Luis	2017	95.2%	100.0%	73.8%
Honduras	San Luis	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.9%
Honduras	San Manuel	2000	95.7%	99.1%	87.4%
Honduras	San Manuel	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Honduras	San Manuel Colohete	2000	82.1%	97.2%	55.6%
Honduras	San Manuel Colohete	2017	89.8%	97.5%	64.7%
Honduras	San Marcos	2000	82.7%	94.4%	62.8%
Honduras	San Marcos	2000	87.7%	94.1%	79.7%
Honduras	San Marcos	2017	92.5%	96.3%	88.0%
Honduras	San Marcos	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.7%
Honduras	San Marcos de Caiquín	2000	78.9%	98.6%	42.6%
Honduras	San Marcos de Caiquín	2017	79.1%	98.6%	49.6%
Honduras	San Marcos de Colón	2000	80.7%	95.0%	59.7%
Honduras	San Marcos de Colón	2017	94.3%	99.4%	81.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Marcos de la Sierra	2000	44.0%	65.4%	19.3%
Honduras	San Marcos de la Sierra	2017	47.6%	71.2%	22.4%
Honduras	San Matías	2000	70.9%	93.3%	44.0%
Honduras	San Matías	2017	96.8%	100.0%	84.9%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2000	95.0%	99.5%	77.0%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2000	67.0%	83.4%	45.6%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2017	98.9%	99.9%	93.9%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2017	50.9%	73.5%	27.0%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2000	65.6%	86.8%	39.4%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2000	63.7%	83.5%	45.9%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2017	75.8%	92.0%	52.6%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2017	94.5%	97.2%	91.3%
Honduras	San Pedro	2000	85.5%	97.9%	68.9%
Honduras	San Pedro	2017	96.8%	99.9%	75.4%
Honduras	San Pedro de Tutule	2000	97.9%	98.7%	96.7%
Honduras	San Pedro de Tutule	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.3%
Honduras	San Pedro Sula	2000	76.7%	82.0%	70.4%
Honduras	San Pedro Sula	2017	98.5%	99.4%	97.1%
Honduras	San Pedro Zapaca	2000	81.0%	98.0%	46.9%
Honduras	San Pedro Zapaca	2017	77.5%	97.6%	46.3%
Honduras	San Rafael	2000	83.1%	99.3%	48.3%
Honduras	San Rafael	2017	94.9%	99.9%	76.4%
Honduras	San Sebastian	2000	86.1%	95.7%	68.5%
Honduras	San Sebastian	2017	79.5%	92.6%	61.6%
Honduras	San Sebastián	2000	68.0%	85.3%	52.2%
Honduras	San Sebastián	2017	92.8%	99.8%	81.8%
Honduras	San Vicente Centenario	2000	24.3%	45.2%	11.7%
Honduras	San Vicente Centenario	2017	92.9%	99.9%	71.3%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2000	82.3%	93.5%	61.1%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2000	64.4%	84.8%	34.8%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2017	87.6%	97.6%	67.5%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2017	98.1%	100.0%	89.9%
Honduras	Santa Ana de Yusguare	2000	96.4%	98.6%	92.0%
Honduras	Santa Ana de Yusguare	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.5%
Honduras	Santa Bárbara	2000	75.7%	81.8%	66.8%
Honduras	Santa Bárbara	2017	98.1%	99.6%	94.6%
Honduras	Santa Cruz	2000	74.8%	97.5%	35.3%
Honduras	Santa Cruz	2017	65.0%	92.8%	24.5%
Honduras	Santa Cruz de Yojoa	2000	93.7%	98.6%	83.8%
Honduras	Santa Cruz de Yojoa	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.6%
Honduras	Santa Elena	2000	64.4%	80.0%	48.0%
Honduras	Santa Elena	2017	64.8%	87.7%	42.2%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2000	64.2%	83.5%	36.5%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2000	78.0%	98.3%	40.8%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2017	65.8%	94.2%	36.1%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2017	86.6%	99.4%	46.2%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2000	98.4%	99.9%	85.5%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2017	96.5%	100.0%	75.8%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Honduras	Santa María	2000	93.4%	98.2%	78.6%
Honduras	Santa María	2017	87.6%	96.3%	70.1%
Honduras	Santa Maria del Real	2000	89.9%	93.0%	86.4%
Honduras	Santa Maria del Real	2017	99.8%	99.9%	98.7%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	87.5%	97.1%	68.9%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	94.4%	99.8%	77.2%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	42.7%	81.9%	11.8%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	89.8%	98.5%	69.0%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	99.7%	100.0%	96.6%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	81.2%	99.7%	31.1%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Aguán	2000	74.3%	90.2%	44.4%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Aguán	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.3%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Copán	2000	52.3%	63.3%	39.7%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Copán	2017	90.2%	98.0%	81.8%
Honduras	Santiago de Puringla	2000	95.6%	99.4%	86.6%
Honduras	Santiago de Puringla	2017	93.8%	99.7%	82.1%
Honduras	Sensenti	2000	82.9%	89.9%	74.0%
Honduras	Sensenti	2017	94.4%	97.2%	90.8%
Honduras	Siguatepeque	2000	86.8%	90.8%	78.7%
Honduras	Siguatepeque	2017	97.6%	99.2%	95.6%
Honduras	Silca	2000	89.1%	99.8%	59.4%
Honduras	Silca	2017	98.0%	100.0%	87.7%
Honduras	Sinuapa	2000	68.1%	81.1%	46.1%
Honduras	Sinuapa	2017	95.5%	99.4%	86.7%
Honduras	Soledad	2000	55.4%	82.4%	25.9%
Honduras	Soledad	2017	79.6%	96.4%	44.9%
Honduras	Sonaguera	2000	76.0%	82.3%	66.9%
Honduras	Sonaguera	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Honduras	Sulaco	2000	86.7%	98.8%	62.2%
Honduras	Sulaco	2017	94.5%	99.9%	82.4%
Honduras	Talanga	2000	77.6%	89.3%	60.9%
Honduras	Talanga	2017	94.1%	98.2%	88.4%
Honduras	Talgua	2000	92.4%	94.5%	88.8%
Honduras	Talgua	2017	82.7%	92.9%	72.3%
Honduras	Tambla	2000	84.5%	99.5%	41.9%
Honduras	Tambla	2017	73.9%	98.7%	26.6%
Honduras	Tatumbra	2000	89.0%	99.5%	62.1%
Honduras	Tatumbra	2017	97.4%	100.0%	84.3%
Honduras	Taulabe	2000	72.3%	91.2%	46.3%
Honduras	Taulabe	2017	82.1%	98.2%	56.3%
Honduras	Tela	2000	73.4%	79.9%	65.3%
Honduras	Tela	2017	97.1%	99.4%	93.1%
Honduras	Teupasenti	2000	80.4%	91.7%	66.1%
Honduras	Teupasenti	2017	84.1%	92.6%	72.3%
Honduras	Texiguat	2000	58.9%	85.0%	35.7%
Honduras	Texiguat	2017	90.7%	99.0%	69.6%
Honduras	Tocoa	2000	79.5%	87.3%	69.3%
Honduras	Tocoa	2017	98.8%	100.0%	97.0%
Honduras	Tomalá	2000	87.0%	99.9%	32.1%
Honduras	Tomalá	2017	78.1%	99.7%	23.0%
Honduras	Trinidad	2000	48.7%	70.5%	28.2%
Honduras	Trinidad	2017	91.0%	96.4%	80.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Trinidad de Copán	2000	82.6%	94.5%	63.8%
Honduras	Trinidad de Copán	2017	97.4%	99.3%	95.6%
Honduras	Trojes	2000	66.4%	81.4%	47.9%
Honduras	Trojes	2017	61.7%	78.7%	43.6%
Honduras	Trujillo	2000	84.7%	93.0%	72.5%
Honduras	Trujillo	2017	98.3%	99.9%	94.8%
Honduras	Utila	2000	84.1%	100.0%	36.5%
Honduras	Utila	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.8%
Honduras	Vado Ancho	2000	58.8%	95.2%	13.8%
Honduras	Vado Ancho	2017	80.0%	98.9%	30.0%
Honduras	Valladolid	2000	79.7%	86.4%	67.1%
Honduras	Valladolid	2017	89.1%	99.6%	64.4%
Honduras	Valle de Ángeles	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Honduras	Valle de Ángeles	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Honduras	Vallecillo	2000	66.8%	95.9%	25.0%
Honduras	Vallecillo	2017	57.5%	94.2%	16.4%
Honduras	Veracruz	2000	91.1%	93.9%	86.0%
Honduras	Veracruz	2017	88.9%	97.1%	77.8%
Honduras	Victoria	2000	75.9%	91.5%	53.2%
Honduras	Victoria	2017	78.0%	95.2%	57.4%
Honduras	Villa de San Antonio	2000	64.2%	76.9%	45.1%
Honduras	Villa de San Antonio	2017	93.5%	99.3%	77.8%
Honduras	Villa de San Francisco	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Honduras	Villa de San Francisco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Honduras	Villanueva	2000	82.2%	91.6%	68.4%
Honduras	Villanueva	2017	98.7%	100.0%	95.5%
Honduras	Virginia	2000	88.1%	99.7%	60.1%
Honduras	Virginia	2017	99.2%	100.0%	86.7%
Honduras	Wampusirpi	2000	67.9%	93.9%	38.6%
Honduras	Wampusirpi	2017	65.4%	96.8%	31.3%
Honduras	Yamaranguila	2000	76.3%	86.4%	61.7%
Honduras	Yamaranguila	2017	85.3%	94.6%	72.3%
Honduras	Yarula	2000	73.4%	91.6%	52.4%
Honduras	Yarula	2017	83.4%	94.7%	63.8%
Honduras	Yauyupe	2000	92.2%	99.9%	68.9%
Honduras	Yauyupe	2017	97.7%	100.0%	84.7%
Honduras	Yocón	2000	76.1%	94.6%	47.8%
Honduras	Yocón	2017	87.1%	98.8%	64.2%
Honduras	Yorito	2000	78.3%	99.0%	43.7%
Honduras	Yorito	2017	85.8%	100.0%	57.3%
Honduras	Yoro	2000	80.3%	87.7%	72.6%
Honduras	Yoro	2017	86.1%	94.0%	76.6%
Honduras	Yuscarán	2000	73.1%	95.2%	38.1%
Honduras	Yuscarán	2017	72.3%	98.6%	32.7%
Mexico	Abala	2000	97.5%	99.8%	89.2%
Mexico	Abala	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	92.9%	98.9%	77.2%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	97.9%	100.0%	85.9%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	96.5%	99.4%	89.2%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	90.9%	99.8%	64.1%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.6%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Abejones	2000	87.2%	99.9%	43.6%
Mexico	Abejones	2017	98.1%	100.0%	85.6%
Mexico	Acacoyagua	2000	73.2%	84.1%	60.4%
Mexico	Acacoyagua	2017	97.8%	99.2%	95.3%
Mexico	Acajete	2000	63.5%	74.2%	45.8%
Mexico	Acajete	2000	97.9%	99.5%	94.7%
Mexico	Acajete	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Acajete	2017	95.6%	97.7%	92.1%
Mexico	Acala	2000	92.2%	98.0%	80.8%
Mexico	Acala	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Acambaro	2000	94.8%	98.0%	89.4%
Mexico	Acambaro	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Acambay	2000	94.4%	99.2%	82.9%
Mexico	Acambay	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.3%
Mexico	Acanceh	2000	95.2%	99.9%	77.0%
Mexico	Acanceh	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Acapetahua	2000	82.5%	90.1%	71.4%
Mexico	Acapetahua	2017	98.3%	99.4%	95.2%
Mexico	Acaponeta	2000	89.9%	97.8%	69.4%
Mexico	Acaponeta	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.1%
Mexico	Acapulco De Juarez	2000	71.2%	80.3%	61.1%
Mexico	Acapulco De Juarez	2017	94.6%	97.3%	91.2%
Mexico	Acateno	2000	87.3%	94.1%	74.1%
Mexico	Acateno	2017	98.5%	99.7%	94.8%
Mexico	Acatepec	2000	87.8%	96.3%	72.4%
Mexico	Acatepec	2017	98.3%	99.9%	93.4%
Mexico	Acatic	2000	92.8%	99.8%	67.5%
Mexico	Acatic	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.9%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	90.4%	99.9%	59.8%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	68.9%	81.6%	53.5%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	96.5%	99.7%	86.8%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.8%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	96.2%	98.8%	89.8%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Acatlan De Juarez	2000	92.8%	99.1%	77.7%
Mexico	Acatlan De Juarez	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Acatlan De Perez Figueroa	2000	88.0%	97.6%	69.2%
Mexico	Acatlan De Perez Figueroa	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	Acatzingo	2000	94.1%	97.0%	89.2%
Mexico	Acatzingo	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Mexico	Acaxochitlan	2000	86.0%	94.6%	69.1%
Mexico	Acaxochitlan	2017	98.8%	99.7%	95.9%
Mexico	Acayucan	2000	82.1%	94.2%	58.5%
Mexico	Acayucan	2017	98.3%	99.7%	92.8%
Mexico	Acolman	2000	97.8%	99.9%	90.3%
Mexico	Acolman	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Aconchi	2000	98.9%	100.0%	93.9%
Mexico	Aconchi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Acteopan	2000	92.3%	99.3%	76.2%
Mexico	Acteopan	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Actopan	2000	88.2%	96.7%	75.8%
Mexico	Actopan	2000	92.0%	99.7%	70.8%
Mexico	Actopan	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.3%
Mexico	Actopan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Acuamanala De Miguel Hidalgo	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Acuamanala De Miguel Hidalgo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Acuitzio	2000	90.8%	99.9%	58.5%
Mexico	Acuitzio	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Acula	2000	90.5%	99.7%	66.3%
Mexico	Acula	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Aculco	2000	93.4%	99.5%	80.2%
Mexico	Aculco	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Acultzingo	2000	93.2%	98.6%	80.7%
Mexico	Acultzingo	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Acuna	2000	98.1%	99.5%	94.7%
Mexico	Acuna	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Agua Blanca De Iturbide	2000	98.2%	99.9%	92.9%
Mexico	Agua Blanca De Iturbide	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Agua Dulce	2000	84.0%	99.0%	42.3%
Mexico	Agua Dulce	2017	97.2%	99.9%	79.7%
Mexico	Agua Prieta	2000	99.0%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Agua Prieta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Agualeguas	2000	89.7%	99.3%	65.2%
Mexico	Agualeguas	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Aguascalientes	2000	94.7%	98.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Aguascalientes	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.3%
Mexico	Aguililla	2000	88.2%	98.8%	58.8%
Mexico	Aguililla	2017	98.5%	99.9%	91.8%
Mexico	Ahome	2000	95.9%	98.1%	92.1%
Mexico	Ahome	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2000	95.4%	98.8%	86.2%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2000	63.4%	93.2%	28.2%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2017	92.2%	99.7%	70.6%
Mexico	Ahuacuotzingo	2000	74.5%	91.9%	52.7%
Mexico	Ahuacuotzingo	2017	96.2%	99.3%	88.7%
Mexico	Ahualulco	2000	71.6%	89.4%	49.6%
Mexico	Ahualulco	2017	94.7%	99.2%	85.0%
Mexico	Ahualulco De Mercado	2000	89.7%	99.8%	43.2%
Mexico	Ahualulco De Mercado	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.7%
Mexico	Ahuatlan	2000	88.2%	99.8%	49.9%
Mexico	Ahuatlan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.3%
Mexico	Ahuazotepic	2000	87.1%	99.9%	39.6%
Mexico	Ahuazotepic	2017	98.1%	100.0%	82.8%
Mexico	Ahuehuetitla	2000	87.1%	99.7%	53.3%
Mexico	Ahuehuetitla	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	Ahumada	2000	91.1%	98.7%	66.4%
Mexico	Ahumada	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.2%
Mexico	Ajacuba	2000	95.0%	99.8%	78.3%
Mexico	Ajacuba	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Ajalpan	2000	87.6%	95.3%	74.7%
Mexico	Ajalpan	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.2%
Mexico	Ajuchitlan	2000	86.4%	96.4%	62.2%
Mexico	Ajuchitlan Del Progreso	2017	98.0%	99.7%	90.2%
Mexico	Akil	2000	97.4%	99.5%	91.4%
Mexico	Akil	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Alamos	2000	88.5%	94.2%	81.4%
Mexico	Alamos	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.2%
Mexico	Alaquines	2000	81.2%	97.2%	54.9%
Mexico	Alaquines	2017	96.8%	99.8%	89.1%
Mexico	Albino Zertuche	2000	93.7%	100.0%	67.1%
Mexico	Albino Zertuche	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.5%
Mexico	Alcozauca De Guerrero	2000	81.9%	93.8%	63.6%
Mexico	Alcozauca De Guerrero	2017	97.8%	99.7%	90.5%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	92.9%	98.2%	80.5%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	87.9%	99.9%	49.2%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	93.2%	97.5%	86.0%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	97.8%	100.0%	84.0%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.9%
Mexico	Alfajayucan	2000	89.5%	99.4%	60.6%
Mexico	Alfajayucan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Aljojuca	2000	90.5%	99.9%	56.0%
Mexico	Aljojuca	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Allende	2000	91.2%	99.0%	67.7%
Mexico	Allende	2000	96.9%	99.7%	89.6%
Mexico	Allende	2000	98.0%	99.5%	94.7%
Mexico	Allende	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Allende	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Allende	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Almoleya	2000	88.2%	99.6%	51.4%
Mexico	Almoleya	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Almoleya De Alquisiras	2000	81.6%	90.7%	66.2%
Mexico	Almoleya De Alquisiras	2017	98.5%	99.5%	95.1%
Mexico	Almoleya De Juarez	2000	87.8%	94.9%	76.8%
Mexico	Almoleya De Juarez	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.6%
Mexico	Almoleya Del Rio	2000	88.9%	98.2%	72.3%
Mexico	Almoleya Del Rio	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Alpatlahuac	2000	94.4%	100.0%	72.2%
Mexico	Alpatlahuac	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Alpoyeca	2000	88.6%	99.7%	53.7%
Mexico	Alpoyeca	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.5%
Mexico	Altamira	2000	97.2%	99.0%	94.5%
Mexico	Altamira	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Altamirano	2000	90.8%	97.9%	78.0%
Mexico	Altamirano	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.7%
Mexico	Altar	2000	95.6%	99.7%	78.0%
Mexico	Altar	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Altepexi	2000	90.7%	96.2%	82.1%
Mexico	Altepexi	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.5%
Mexico	Alto Lucero De Gutierrez Barrios	2000	89.3%	98.5%	67.2%
Mexico	Alto Lucero De Gutierrez Barrios	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	Altotonga	2000	79.6%	91.4%	62.3%
Mexico	Altotonga	2017	96.6%	99.5%	90.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Altzayanca	2000	92.7%	99.6%	72.6%
Mexico	Altzayanca	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Alvarado	2000	88.0%	97.6%	65.4%
Mexico	Alvarado	2017	98.2%	99.9%	90.2%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2000	96.9%	98.3%	95.3%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2000	85.0%	99.3%	55.6%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2017	97.7%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Amacueca	2000	89.1%	99.8%	54.5%
Mexico	Amacueca	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.0%
Mexico	Amacuzac	2000	89.9%	95.9%	82.0%
Mexico	Amacuzac	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.3%
Mexico	Amanalco	2000	80.8%	99.0%	45.0%
Mexico	Amanalco	2017	96.7%	100.0%	81.8%
Mexico	Amatan	2000	84.6%	98.7%	52.2%
Mexico	Amatan	2017	97.6%	100.0%	87.1%
Mexico	Amatenango De La Frontera	2000	83.8%	98.1%	57.9%
Mexico	Amatenango De La Frontera	2017	97.9%	99.9%	88.9%
Mexico	Amatenango Del Valle	2000	87.4%	99.5%	55.5%
Mexico	Amatenango Del Valle	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.4%
Mexico	Amatepec	2000	83.1%	98.9%	56.9%
Mexico	Amatepec	2017	97.6%	99.9%	88.6%
Mexico	Amatitan	2000	91.1%	99.9%	51.6%
Mexico	Amatitan	2017	98.9%	100.0%	90.5%
Mexico	Amatitlan	2000	86.6%	99.6%	50.1%
Mexico	Amatitlan	2017	98.0%	100.0%	85.3%
Mexico	Amatlan De Canas	2000	91.1%	98.8%	75.7%
Mexico	Amatlan De Canas	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	Amatlan De Los Reyes	2000	88.8%	94.6%	81.4%
Mexico	Amatlan De Los Reyes	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Mexico	Amaxac De Guerrero	2000	97.5%	99.1%	95.4%
Mexico	Amaxac De Guerrero	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Amealco De Bonfil	2000	86.7%	96.1%	72.5%
Mexico	Amealco De Bonfil	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.9%
Mexico	Ameca	2000	93.4%	99.5%	73.3%
Mexico	Ameca	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.9%
Mexico	Amecameca	2000	92.9%	99.9%	61.3%
Mexico	Amecameca	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.0%
Mexico	Amixtlan	2000	72.2%	86.6%	52.8%
Mexico	Amixtlan	2017	97.5%	99.3%	93.0%
Mexico	Amozoc	2000	98.3%	99.7%	94.6%
Mexico	Amozoc	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Anahuac	2000	98.8%	99.8%	93.9%
Mexico	Anahuac	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Angamacutiro	2000	88.7%	99.0%	58.5%
Mexico	Angamacutiro	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Angangueo	2000	89.2%	99.9%	56.8%
Mexico	Angangueo	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Angel Albino Corzo	2000	77.2%	96.5%	43.7%
Mexico	Angel Albino Corzo	2017	96.5%	99.8%	84.8%
Mexico	Angel R. Cabada	2000	82.1%	92.4%	66.6%
Mexico	Angel R. Cabada	2017	98.3%	99.7%	94.5%
Mexico	Angostura	2000	93.7%	98.8%	82.7%
Mexico	Angostura	2017	99.5%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Animas Trujano	2000	91.2%	96.9%	80.7%
Mexico	Animas Trujano	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Antiguo Morelos	2000	94.1%	99.5%	81.1%
Mexico	Antiguo Morelos	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Apan	2000	89.6%	99.2%	51.7%
Mexico	Apan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.9%
Mexico	Apaseo El Alto	2000	91.2%	98.1%	75.4%
Mexico	Apaseo El Alto	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Apaseo El Grande	2000	90.2%	98.6%	74.1%
Mexico	Apaseo El Grande	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Apatzingan	2000	87.6%	91.6%	81.5%
Mexico	Apatzingan	2017	99.4%	99.6%	98.8%
Mexico	Apaxco	2000	97.2%	99.9%	83.2%
Mexico	Apaxco	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Apaxtla	2000	89.0%	98.8%	61.6%
Mexico	Apaxtla	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Apazapan	2000	86.2%	99.7%	54.2%
Mexico	Apazapan	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.7%
Mexico	Apetatitlan De Antonio Carvajal	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Mexico	Apetatitlan De Antonio Carvajal	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Apizaco	2000	99.0%	99.6%	98.0%
Mexico	Apizaco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Apodaca	2000	98.8%	99.7%	96.2%
Mexico	Apodaca	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Aporo	2000	86.6%	99.9%	36.8%
Mexico	Aporo	2017	98.0%	100.0%	80.3%
Mexico	Apozol	2000	98.5%	99.9%	93.7%
Mexico	Apozol	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Apulco	2000	89.1%	99.4%	61.3%
Mexico	Apulco	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Aquila	2000	89.8%	96.7%	78.8%
Mexico	Aquila	2000	90.0%	100.0%	55.0%
Mexico	Aquila	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Aquila	2017	98.9%	99.9%	96.2%
Mexico	Aquiles Serdan	2000	91.4%	98.7%	72.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Aquiles Serdan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.0%
Mexico	Aquismon	2000	81.3%	91.8%	67.8%
Mexico	Aquismon	2017	97.4%	99.4%	92.3%
Mexico	Aquixtla	2000	79.1%	99.3%	41.2%
Mexico	Aquixtla	2017	95.8%	100.0%	77.2%
Mexico	Aramberri	2000	89.2%	95.4%	79.2%
Mexico	Aramberri	2017	98.8%	99.7%	96.6%
Mexico	Arandas	2000	89.1%	99.4%	54.3%
Mexico	Arandas	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.7%
Mexico	Arcelia	2000	87.2%	98.4%	64.3%
Mexico	Arcelia	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.2%
Mexico	Ario	2000	89.1%	98.0%	66.5%
Mexico	Ario	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.2%
Mexico	Arivechi	2000	89.3%	99.6%	59.7%
Mexico	Arivechi	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.2%
Mexico	Arizpe	2000	91.3%	99.3%	71.0%
Mexico	Arizpe	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Armadillo De Los Infante	2000	88.0%	98.5%	69.4%
Mexico	Armadillo De Los Infante	2017	98.4%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Armeria	2000	98.0%	99.8%	92.0%
Mexico	Armeria	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Arriaga	2000	88.1%	98.0%	71.8%
Mexico	Arriaga	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.2%
Mexico	Arroyo Seco	2000	88.1%	96.9%	69.4%
Mexico	Arroyo Seco	2017	98.5%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Arteaga	2000	95.0%	98.8%	87.6%
Mexico	Arteaga	2000	89.9%	97.7%	68.6%
Mexico	Arteaga	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Arteaga	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.9%
Mexico	Ascension	2000	95.8%	98.8%	90.4%
Mexico	Ascension	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Asientos	2000	94.8%	99.3%	83.7%
Mexico	Asientos	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Astacinga	2000	86.5%	99.8%	40.9%
Mexico	Astacinga	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.2%
Mexico	Asuncion	2000	79.9%	99.6%	36.8%
Mexico	Cacalotepec	2017	96.4%	100.0%	78.4%
Mexico	Asuncion Cacalotepec	2000	95.1%	100.0%	72.6%
Mexico	Asuncion Cuyotepeji	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Asuncion Ixtaltepec	2000	84.5%	96.2%	70.1%
Mexico	Asuncion Ixtaltepec	2017	97.8%	99.8%	90.6%
Mexico	Asuncion Nochixtlan	2000	85.0%	95.6%	63.7%
Mexico	Asuncion Nochixtlan	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.8%
Mexico	Asuncion Ocotlan	2000	48.8%	67.4%	35.5%
Mexico	Asuncion Ocotlan	2017	86.1%	97.3%	71.7%
Mexico	Asuncion Tlacolulita	2000	89.9%	99.7%	61.5%
Mexico	Asuncion Tlacolulita	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Atarjea	2000	83.6%	94.2%	66.6%
Mexico	Atarjea	2017	98.1%	99.8%	93.0%
Mexico	Atemajac De Brizuela	2000	93.3%	99.9%	58.8%
Mexico	Atemajac De Brizuela	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.0%
Mexico	Atempan	2000	84.7%	90.6%	77.2%
Mexico	Atempan	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.3%
Mexico	Atenango Del Rio	2000	84.2%	97.2%	62.5%
Mexico	Atenango Del Rio	2017	98.5%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Atenco	2000	98.2%	99.2%	96.3%
Mexico	Atenco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Atengo	2000	92.5%	99.8%	68.7%
Mexico	Atengo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Atenguillo	2000	90.5%	99.7%	63.3%
Mexico	Atenguillo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	Atexcal	2000	90.2%	99.9%	57.2%
Mexico	Atexcal	2017	98.6%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Atil	2000	89.6%	100.0%	49.4%
Mexico	Atil	2017	98.9%	100.0%	89.7%
Mexico	Atitalaquia	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.5%
Mexico	Atitalaquia	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Atizapan	2000	80.7%	97.8%	50.6%
Mexico	Atizapan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.4%
Mexico	Atizapan De Zaragoza	2000	92.5%	96.2%	85.0%
Mexico	Atizapan De Zaragoza	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Atlacomulco	2000	91.6%	98.4%	79.0%
Mexico	Atlacomulco	2017	99.3%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Atlahuilco	2000	75.3%	92.9%	44.9%
Mexico	Atlahuilco	2017	96.6%	99.6%	85.2%
Mexico	Atlamajalcingo Del Monte	2000	81.7%	99.3%	40.2%
Mexico	Atlamajalcingo Del Monte	2017	97.1%	100.0%	84.6%
Mexico	Atlangatepec	2000	94.2%	99.9%	70.6%
Mexico	Atlangatepec	2017	99.2%	100.0%	90.7%
Mexico	Atlapexco	2000	89.1%	96.9%	72.3%
Mexico	Atlapexco	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.0%
Mexico	Atlatlahucan	2000	95.6%	100.0%	78.9%
Mexico	Atlatlahucan	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Atlautla	2000	89.8%	99.9%	55.7%
Mexico	Atlautla	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.1%
Mexico	Atlequizayan	2000	65.9%	74.4%	56.0%
Mexico	Atlequizayan	2017	96.9%	98.1%	95.0%
Mexico	Atlixco	2000	89.7%	95.0%	80.1%
Mexico	Atlixco	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.2%
Mexico	Atlixco	2000	82.6%	92.1%	68.3%
Mexico	Atlixco	2017	97.0%	99.3%	91.9%
Mexico	Atolinga	2000	90.6%	99.6%	60.6%
Mexico	Atolinga	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	Atotonilco De Tula	2000	93.7%	96.7%	88.3%
Mexico	Atotonilco De Tula	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Alto	2000	90.4%	99.7%	62.2%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Alto	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Atotonilco El Grande	2000	87.6%	99.4%	57.6%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Grande	2017	97.9%	100.0%	86.0%
Mexico	Atoyac	2000	95.3%	99.6%	87.0%
Mexico	Atoyac	2000	89.8%	99.3%	63.8%
Mexico	Atoyac	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Atoyac	2017	99.0%	100.0%	95.3%
Mexico	Atoyac De Alvarez	2000	89.3%	97.4%	78.1%
Mexico	Atoyac De Alvarez	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	Atoyatempan	2000	85.5%	99.8%	41.1%
Mexico	Atoyatempan	2017	98.1%	100.0%	84.6%
Mexico	Atzacan	2000	80.4%	90.3%	68.5%
Mexico	Atzacan	2017	96.6%	99.0%	92.0%
Mexico	Atzala	2000	81.6%	87.3%	75.7%
Mexico	Atzala	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.8%
Mexico	Atzalan	2000	84.8%	96.6%	61.9%
Mexico	Atzalan	2017	97.6%	99.9%	87.9%
Mexico	Atzitzihuacan	2000	90.0%	99.3%	67.3%
Mexico	Atzitzihuacan	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Atzitzintla	2000	80.9%	99.2%	46.9%
Mexico	Atzitzintla	2017	97.3%	100.0%	83.3%
Mexico	Autlan De Navarro	2000	96.3%	98.7%	92.1%
Mexico	Autlan De Navarro	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Axapusco	2000	93.5%	99.4%	80.4%
Mexico	Axapusco	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Axochiapan	2000	88.4%	99.8%	52.9%
Mexico	Axochiapan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	Axtla De Terrazas	2000	62.5%	71.6%	53.2%
Mexico	Axtla De Terrazas	2017	89.7%	93.7%	84.5%
Mexico	Axutla	2000	87.1%	99.8%	43.6%
Mexico	Axutla	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.1%
Mexico	Ayahualulco	2000	58.5%	88.1%	29.1%
Mexico	Ayahualulco	2017	86.4%	99.3%	60.1%
Mexico	Ayala	2000	96.0%	99.5%	87.6%
Mexico	Ayala	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Ayapango	2000	94.4%	100.0%	68.3%
Mexico	Ayapango	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.9%
Mexico	Ayoquezco De Aldama	2000	89.8%	99.6%	62.3%
Mexico	Ayoquezco De Aldama	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Ayotlan	2000	93.3%	98.3%	83.3%
Mexico	Ayotlan	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.3%
Mexico	Ayotoxco De Guerrero	2000	89.9%	99.5%	56.2%
Mexico	Ayotoxco De Guerrero	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Ayotzintepc	2000	78.0%	98.3%	39.8%
Mexico	Ayotzintepc	2017	96.0%	100.0%	76.0%
Mexico	Ayutla	2000	90.8%	99.4%	62.2%
Mexico	Ayutla	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Ayutla De Los Libres	2000	83.5%	95.0%	61.7%
Mexico	Ayutla De Los Libres	2017	97.9%	99.7%	92.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Azcapotzalco	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	Azcapotzalco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Azoyu	2000	84.0%	97.6%	50.7%
Mexico	Azoyu	2017	97.8%	99.9%	88.8%
Mexico	Baca	2000	94.8%	100.0%	73.5%
Mexico	Baca	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Bacadehuachi	2000	90.9%	99.8%	50.0%
Mexico	Bacadehuachi	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.9%
Mexico	Bacanora	2000	90.5%	99.6%	57.3%
Mexico	Bacanora	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Bacerac	2000	89.3%	99.2%	52.7%
Mexico	Bacerac	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.5%
Mexico	Bachiniva	2000	90.6%	98.8%	72.4%
Mexico	Bachiniva	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Bacoachi	2000	91.3%	99.9%	52.6%
Mexico	Bacoachi	2017	98.5%	100.0%	81.9%
Mexico	Bacum	2000	97.9%	99.8%	91.2%
Mexico	Bacum	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Badiraguato	2000	75.9%	82.9%	66.6%
Mexico	Badiraguato	2017	94.7%	97.3%	90.2%
Mexico	Bahía De Banderas	2000	85.1%	94.9%	69.6%
Mexico	Bahía De Banderas	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.5%
Mexico	Balancan	2000	82.2%	90.5%	71.8%
Mexico	Balancan	2017	96.6%	99.1%	90.4%
Mexico	Balleza	2000	88.6%	95.0%	77.9%
Mexico	Balleza	2017	98.8%	99.7%	96.6%
Mexico	Banamichi	2000	98.1%	99.9%	88.7%
Mexico	Banamichi	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Banderilla	2000	93.5%	96.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Banderilla	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Mexico	Batopilas	2000	86.2%	95.3%	71.6%
Mexico	Batopilas	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.9%
Mexico	Baviacora	2000	97.2%	99.9%	89.5%
Mexico	Baviacora	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Bavispe	2000	89.8%	99.7%	55.3%
Mexico	Bavispe	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.1%
Mexico	Bejucal De Ocampo	2000	82.8%	99.4%	48.7%
Mexico	Bejucal De Ocampo	2017	97.4%	100.0%	85.4%
Mexico	Bella Vista	2000	83.5%	98.0%	59.4%
Mexico	Bella Vista	2017	98.3%	99.9%	91.5%
Mexico	Benemerito De Las Americas	2000	84.6%	96.4%	56.1%
Mexico	Benemerito De Las Americas	2017	98.0%	99.8%	91.3%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	97.5%	99.9%	89.4%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	95.9%	99.7%	85.7%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	91.4%	97.5%	82.7%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	95.9%	99.9%	76.6%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	88.6%	99.2%	63.7%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	92.5%	97.2%	85.2%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.6%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	Benjamin Hill	2000	96.5%	99.8%	83.6%
Mexico	Benjamin Hill	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.5%
Mexico	Berriozabal	2000	84.3%	95.3%	67.6%
Mexico	Berriozabal	2017	98.2%	99.8%	94.7%
Mexico	Boca Del Rio	2000	96.7%	98.5%	94.0%
Mexico	Boca Del Rio	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Mexico	Bochil	2000	90.0%	95.3%	81.0%
Mexico	Bochil	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.5%
Mexico	Bocoyna	2000	70.1%	77.7%	60.9%
Mexico	Bocoyna	2017	96.3%	97.8%	94.1%
Mexico	Bokoba	2000	97.2%	99.9%	86.2%
Mexico	Bokoba	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Bolanos	2000	84.3%	97.8%	57.9%
Mexico	Bolanos	2017	97.8%	99.9%	90.1%
Mexico	Brisenas	2000	98.9%	99.9%	93.5%
Mexico	Brisenas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Buctzotz	2000	91.1%	99.8%	64.9%
Mexico	Buctzotz	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.9%
Mexico	Buenaventura	2000	96.4%	99.0%	91.1%
Mexico	Buenaventura	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Buenavista	2000	90.1%	98.7%	74.1%
Mexico	Buenavista	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Buenavista De Cuellar	2000	77.6%	97.5%	37.9%
Mexico	Buenavista De Cuellar	2017	97.3%	99.8%	85.7%
Mexico	Burgos	2000	89.7%	98.0%	74.6%
Mexico	Burgos	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.6%
Mexico	Bustamante	2000	98.2%	99.9%	86.5%
Mexico	Bustamante	2000	92.3%	98.0%	81.5%
Mexico	Bustamante	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Bustamante	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.0%
Mexico	Cabo Corrientes	2000	89.7%	98.6%	68.8%
Mexico	Cabo Corrientes	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.4%
Mexico	Caborca	2000	97.0%	98.9%	93.9%
Mexico	Caborca	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Cacahoatan	2000	83.1%	94.5%	66.2%
Mexico	Cacahoatan	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.3%
Mexico	Cacalchen	2000	95.4%	100.0%	66.3%
Mexico	Cacalchen	2017	99.6%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Cadereyta De Montes	2000	92.4%	98.1%	77.9%
Mexico	Cadereyta De Montes	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.5%
Mexico	Cadereyta Jimenez	2000	94.1%	97.9%	88.5%
Mexico	Cadereyta Jimenez	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.1%
Mexico	Cajeme	2000	99.1%	99.6%	98.1%
Mexico	Cajeme	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Calakmul	2000	86.1%	90.9%	78.8%
Mexico	Calakmul	2017	98.7%	99.4%	97.5%
Mexico	Calchualco	2000	90.6%	99.1%	65.2%
Mexico	Calchualco	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	Calera	2000	96.6%	98.9%	91.2%
Mexico	Calera	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Calihuala	2000	88.7%	99.9%	49.7%
Mexico	Calihuala	2017	98.6%	100.0%	88.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Calimaya	2000	93.6%	99.8%	69.6%
Mexico	Calimaya	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.0%
Mexico	Calkini	2000	94.4%	97.8%	88.4%
Mexico	Calkini	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.9%
Mexico	Calnali	2000	85.5%	99.1%	55.5%
Mexico	Calnali	2017	97.9%	100.0%	89.4%
Mexico	Calotmul	2000	91.4%	99.7%	61.4%
Mexico	Calotmul	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Calpan	2000	97.6%	99.5%	92.6%
Mexico	Calpan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Calpulalpan	2000	97.8%	99.6%	94.6%
Mexico	Calpulalpan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Caltepec	2000	88.7%	99.2%	59.5%
Mexico	Caltepec	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Calvillo	2000	94.7%	99.1%	83.0%
Mexico	Calvillo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Camargo	2000	91.6%	99.6%	62.4%
Mexico	Camargo	2000	94.5%	98.4%	82.9%
Mexico	Camargo	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	Camargo	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Mexico	Camaron De Tejeda	2000	87.9%	99.6%	59.6%
Mexico	Camaron De Tejeda	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.5%
Mexico	Camerino Z. Mendoza	2000	50.9%	60.6%	42.1%
Mexico	Camerino Z. Mendoza	2017	93.2%	96.7%	87.8%
Mexico	Camocuautila	2000	93.9%	98.7%	85.3%
Mexico	Camocuautila	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Campeche	2000	85.7%	91.8%	78.3%
Mexico	Campeche	2017	96.7%	98.8%	93.4%
Mexico	Canada Morelos	2000	91.0%	99.7%	64.6%
Mexico	Canada Morelos	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	Canadas De Obregon	2000	92.2%	99.9%	64.3%
Mexico	Canadas De Obregon	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Cananea	2000	98.3%	99.8%	93.4%
Mexico	Cananea	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Canatlan	2000	92.5%	98.1%	82.2%
Mexico	Canatlan	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	Candela	2000	91.8%	99.5%	70.3%
Mexico	Candela	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	Candelaria	2000	78.5%	85.7%	71.3%
Mexico	Candelaria	2017	95.7%	98.2%	92.1%
Mexico	Candelaria	2000	81.9%	94.3%	46.3%
Mexico	Loxicha	2017	98.2%	99.6%	91.5%
Mexico	Canelas	2000	87.8%	97.1%	71.3%
Mexico	Canelas	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.7%
Mexico	Canitas De Felipe Pescador	2000	96.1%	99.5%	87.3%
Mexico	Canitas De Felipe Pescador	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Cansahcab	2000	98.3%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Cansahcab	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Cantamayec	2000	89.2%	99.8%	57.6%
Mexico	Cantamayec	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Capulalpam De Mendez	2000	87.0%	98.8%	45.1%
Mexico	Capulalpam De Mendez	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.5%
Mexico	Capulhuac	2000	75.0%	96.2%	41.9%
Mexico	Capulhuac	2017	97.4%	99.9%	88.8%
Mexico	Caracuaro	2000	90.1%	98.6%	71.4%
Mexico	Caracuaro	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.6%
Mexico	Carbo	2000	96.1%	99.8%	81.4%
Mexico	Carbo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Cardenas	2000	84.1%	90.2%	76.5%
Mexico	Cardenas	2000	83.9%	92.6%	70.2%
Mexico	Cardenas	2017	97.6%	99.4%	93.5%
Mexico	Cardenas	2017	97.2%	99.3%	93.8%
Mexico	Cardonal	2000	86.9%	99.3%	58.0%
Mexico	Cardonal	2017	98.1%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	Carichi	2000	86.8%	93.7%	77.1%
Mexico	Carichi	2017	98.7%	99.6%	96.5%
Mexico	Carlos A. Carrillo	2000	85.3%	97.7%	57.3%
Mexico	Carlos A. Carrillo	2017	98.5%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Carmen	2000	98.8%	99.8%	95.8%
Mexico	Carmen	2000	91.4%	95.2%	85.6%
Mexico	Carmen	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Carmen	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.3%
Mexico	Carrillo Puerto	2000	88.7%	99.7%	59.6%
Mexico	Carrillo Puerto	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2000	90.3%	97.7%	78.0%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2017	98.9%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2000	92.0%	97.5%	80.4%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Casimiro Castillo	2000	90.7%	99.7%	62.3%
Mexico	Casimiro Castillo	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	Castanos	2000	92.0%	99.6%	62.8%
Mexico	Castanos	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Castillo De Teayo	2000	96.2%	100.0%	81.8%
Mexico	Castillo De Teayo	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Catazaja	2000	84.4%	97.2%	61.3%
Mexico	Catazaja	2017	97.7%	99.9%	89.7%
Mexico	Catemaco	2000	54.8%	66.8%	39.9%
Mexico	Catemaco	2017	95.1%	98.0%	89.4%
Mexico	Catorce	2000	87.6%	98.3%	64.0%
Mexico	Catorce	2017	98.3%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Caxhuacan	2000	59.8%	64.8%	54.4%
Mexico	Caxhuacan	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Mexico	Cazones	2000	92.9%	99.7%	77.7%
Mexico	Cazones	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Cedral	2000	97.1%	99.5%	92.1%
Mexico	Cedral	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Celaya	2000	94.4%	98.2%	88.5%
Mexico	Celaya	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Mexico	Celestun	2000	89.4%	100.0%	42.1%
Mexico	Celestun	2017	98.3%	100.0%	87.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cenotillo	2000	89.3%	99.8%	51.3%
Mexico	Cenotillo	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Centla	2000	85.5%	93.1%	71.5%
Mexico	Centla	2017	98.3%	99.6%	95.8%
Mexico	Centro	2000	92.5%	95.9%	87.6%
Mexico	Centro	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.4%
Mexico	Cerralvo	2000	98.4%	99.6%	95.2%
Mexico	Cerralvo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Cerritos	2000	89.1%	97.7%	67.2%
Mexico	Cerritos	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Cerro Azul	2000	98.8%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Cerro Azul	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Cerro De San Pedro	2000	53.6%	66.4%	44.0%
Mexico	Cerro De San Pedro	2017	90.2%	97.1%	81.1%
Mexico	Chacaltianguis	2000	77.0%	92.1%	57.4%
Mexico	Chacaltianguis	2017	94.7%	99.1%	84.4%
Mexico	Chacsinkin	2000	94.9%	99.9%	76.7%
Mexico	Chacsinkin	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Chahuites	2000	89.8%	99.9%	42.9%
Mexico	Chahuites	2017	98.9%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	Chalcatongo	2000	79.0%	94.1%	57.8%
Mexico	Chalcatongo De Hidalgo	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	Chalchicomula De Sesma	2000	89.8%	98.6%	67.2%
Mexico	Chalchicomula De Sesma	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Chalchihuitan	2000	84.1%	94.5%	68.8%
Mexico	Chalchihuitan	2017	98.2%	99.8%	93.5%
Mexico	Chalchihuites	2000	88.9%	99.1%	57.1%
Mexico	Chalchihuites	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Chalco	2000	98.1%	99.5%	95.0%
Mexico	Chalco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Chalma	2000	76.6%	94.3%	47.1%
Mexico	Chalma	2017	95.9%	99.5%	84.3%
Mexico	Champoton	2000	84.9%	95.5%	67.0%
Mexico	Champoton	2017	97.4%	99.7%	88.0%
Mexico	Chamula	2000	87.4%	94.8%	72.9%
Mexico	Chamula	2017	99.2%	99.8%	96.9%
Mexico	Chanal	2000	87.7%	99.6%	52.9%
Mexico	Chanal	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Chankom	2000	92.1%	99.2%	75.3%
Mexico	Chankom	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Chapa De Mota	2000	91.3%	99.6%	60.1%
Mexico	Chapa De Mota	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Chapab	2000	89.8%	99.9%	61.2%
Mexico	Chapab	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	Chapala	2000	90.6%	99.3%	65.4%
Mexico	Chapala	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Chapantongo	2000	87.0%	99.5%	56.5%
Mexico	Chapantongo	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Chapulco	2000	97.7%	99.9%	90.2%
Mexico	Chapulco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Chapulhuacan	2000	88.2%	95.3%	76.4%
Mexico	Chapulhuacan	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.1%
Mexico	Chapultenango	2000	77.1%	98.7%	35.5%
Mexico	Chapultenango	2017	95.6%	99.9%	78.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chapultepec	2000	98.4%	99.8%	94.0%
Mexico	Chapultepec	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Charapan	2000	90.5%	99.7%	67.2%
Mexico	Charapan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Charcas	2000	82.0%	91.2%	67.5%
Mexico	Charcas	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.3%
Mexico	Charo	2000	62.1%	89.8%	32.4%
Mexico	Charo	2017	85.4%	98.0%	64.4%
Mexico	Chavinda	2000	79.0%	99.3%	46.8%
Mexico	Chavinda	2017	96.0%	100.0%	79.6%
Mexico	Chemax	2000	96.1%	99.0%	91.2%
Mexico	Chemax	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Chenalho	2000	74.9%	97.6%	44.8%
Mexico	Chenalho	2017	95.3%	99.9%	80.6%
Mexico	Cheran	2000	86.5%	98.8%	49.9%
Mexico	Cheran	2017	98.3%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	Chiapa De Corzo	2000	63.7%	78.4%	48.7%
Mexico	Chiapa De Corzo	2017	94.9%	98.1%	87.5%
Mexico	Chiapilla	2000	90.3%	99.4%	63.4%
Mexico	Chiapilla	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Chiautempan	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.6%
Mexico	Chiautempan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Chiautla	2000	94.3%	99.0%	85.1%
Mexico	Chiautla	2000	95.6%	99.9%	73.8%
Mexico	Chiautla	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Chiautla	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Chiautzingo	2000	87.9%	92.4%	83.0%
Mexico	Chiautzingo	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Mexico	Chichimila	2000	86.8%	98.5%	53.1%
Mexico	Chichimila	2017	98.1%	99.9%	90.0%
Mexico	Chichiquila	2000	74.5%	85.9%	58.9%
Mexico	Chichiquila	2017	97.8%	99.3%	93.0%
Mexico	Chicoasen	2000	88.4%	99.9%	51.3%
Mexico	Chicoasen	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.7%
Mexico	Chicoloapan	2000	97.3%	98.4%	94.7%
Mexico	Chicoloapan	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Chicomuselo	2000	87.0%	97.9%	68.6%
Mexico	Chicomuselo	2017	98.3%	99.9%	92.4%
Mexico	Chiconamel	2000	50.8%	65.6%	36.2%
Mexico	Chiconamel	2017	90.2%	95.6%	81.0%
Mexico	Chiconcuac	2000	95.6%	99.9%	73.4%
Mexico	Chiconcuac	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Chiconcuautla	2000	94.4%	97.1%	90.4%
Mexico	Chiconcuautla	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	Chiconquiaco	2000	86.3%	99.5%	56.0%
Mexico	Chiconquiaco	2017	98.0%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	Chicontepec	2000	86.0%	94.5%	71.1%
Mexico	Chicontepec	2017	98.3%	99.6%	94.3%
Mexico	Chicxulub Pueblo	2000	92.3%	99.9%	55.7%
Mexico	Chicxulub Pueblo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Chietla	2000	77.0%	84.5%	64.9%
Mexico	Chietla	2017	98.5%	99.2%	96.3%
Mexico	Chigmecatitlan	2000	90.6%	99.9%	56.1%
Mexico	Chigmecatitlan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.0%
Mexico	Chignahuapan	2000	88.4%	98.4%	63.2%
Mexico	Chignahuapan	2017	98.0%	99.9%	90.0%
Mexico	Chignautla	2000	74.2%	88.1%	52.7%
Mexico	Chignautla	2017	99.0%	99.7%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chihuahua	2000	96.7%	97.8%	95.0%
Mexico	Chihuahua	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	Chikindzonot	2000	88.7%	99.6%	59.7%
Mexico	Chikindzonot	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Chila	2000	91.3%	99.9%	57.5%
Mexico	Chila	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Chila De La Sal	2000	98.0%	99.9%	90.1%
Mexico	Chila De La Sal	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Chilapa De Alvarez	2000	76.0%	84.1%	66.0%
Mexico	Chilapa De Alvarez	2017	97.8%	99.0%	95.3%
Mexico	Chilchota	2000	95.5%	98.8%	87.4%
Mexico	Chilchota	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Chilchotla	2000	81.5%	89.7%	68.8%
Mexico	Chilchotla	2017	96.9%	99.1%	92.6%
Mexico	Chilcuautla	2000	96.1%	99.9%	82.9%
Mexico	Chilcuautla	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Chilon	2000	80.8%	92.1%	63.2%
Mexico	Chilon	2017	96.7%	99.4%	89.8%
Mexico	Chilpancingo De Los Bravo	2000	76.6%	83.5%	69.9%
Mexico	Chilpancingo De Los Bravo	2017	97.4%	98.9%	94.5%
Mexico	Chimalhuacan	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.5%
Mexico	Chimalhuacan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Chimaltitan	2000	91.2%	99.4%	73.9%
Mexico	Chimaltitan	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.9%
Mexico	China	2000	95.1%	99.3%	66.1%
Mexico	China	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.2%
Mexico	Chinameca	2000	88.5%	98.3%	72.2%
Mexico	Chinameca	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Chinampa De Gorostiza	2000	84.3%	96.1%	66.0%
Mexico	Chinampa De Gorostiza	2017	98.8%	99.9%	96.4%
Mexico	Chinantla	2000	89.3%	99.7%	62.6%
Mexico	Chinantla	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Chinicuila	2000	87.8%	97.8%	67.3%
Mexico	Chinicuila	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.4%
Mexico	Chinipas	2000	87.1%	95.2%	68.9%
Mexico	Chinipas	2017	98.3%	99.7%	92.2%
Mexico	Chiquihuitlan De Benito Juarez	2000	68.7%	95.3%	27.5%
Mexico	Chiquihuitlan De Benito Juarez	2017	95.4%	99.8%	77.7%
Mexico	Chiquilistlan	2000	96.4%	99.5%	88.3%
Mexico	Chiquilistlan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Chocaman	2000	85.2%	97.0%	71.1%
Mexico	Chocaman	2017	94.9%	99.4%	84.9%
Mexico	Chochola	2000	92.5%	99.9%	61.4%
Mexico	Chochola	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	Choix	2000	94.0%	98.0%	88.4%
Mexico	Choix	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.0%
Mexico	Chontla	2000	93.8%	99.5%	77.5%
Mexico	Chontla	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.3%
Mexico	Chucandiro	2000	91.9%	99.9%	60.7%
Mexico	Chucandiro	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chumatlan	2000	54.9%	82.1%	21.7%
Mexico	Chumatlan	2017	86.7%	98.5%	60.9%
Mexico	Chumayel	2000	95.2%	99.9%	69.7%
Mexico	Chumayel	2017	99.6%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Churintzio	2000	91.2%	99.8%	58.4%
Mexico	Churintzio	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Churumuco	2000	89.5%	98.4%	66.4%
Mexico	Churumuco	2017	98.7%	99.9%	91.2%
Mexico	Cienega De Flores	2000	93.1%	100.0%	58.7%
Mexico	Cienega De Flores	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Cienega De Zimatlan	2000	78.4%	99.9%	28.6%
Mexico	Cienega De Zimatlan	2017	96.3%	100.0%	71.6%
Mexico	Cihuatlan	2000	92.3%	99.7%	70.1%
Mexico	Cihuatlan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.0%
Mexico	Cintalapa	2000	85.7%	95.6%	68.8%
Mexico	Cintalapa	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.5%
Mexico	Citlaltepetl	2000	98.2%	99.9%	91.3%
Mexico	Citlaltepetl	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Ciudad Del Maiz	2000	88.3%	94.1%	81.4%
Mexico	Ciudad Del Maiz	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.6%
Mexico	Ciudad Fernandez	2000	91.0%	95.4%	85.7%
Mexico	Ciudad Fernandez	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Mexico	Ciudad Ixteppec	2000	86.5%	99.8%	39.8%
Mexico	Ciudad Ixteppec	2017	97.8%	100.0%	79.4%
Mexico	Ciudad Madero	2000	97.9%	99.0%	96.2%
Mexico	Ciudad Madero	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Ciudad Valles	2000	91.2%	95.7%	84.6%
Mexico	Ciudad Valles	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.4%
Mexico	Coacalco De Berriozabal	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Coacalco De Berriozabal	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Coacoatzintla	2000	97.5%	99.8%	91.2%
Mexico	Coacoatzintla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Coahuayana	2000	90.0%	99.8%	56.2%
Mexico	Coahuayana	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Coahuayutla	2000	89.1%	96.5%	74.3%
Mexico	De Jose Maria Izazaga	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.8%
Mexico	Coahuayutla De Jose Maria Izazaga	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.8%
Mexico	Coahuatlan	2000	82.7%	99.3%	36.3%
Mexico	Coahuatlan	2017	96.7%	100.0%	74.1%
Mexico	Coalcoman De Vazquez Palares	2000	88.8%	98.5%	62.9%
Mexico	Coalcoman De Vazquez Palares	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Coapilla	2000	84.9%	98.8%	53.6%
Mexico	Coapilla	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.7%
Mexico	Coatecas Altas	2000	83.8%	99.5%	45.7%
Mexico	Coatecas Altas	2017	98.1%	100.0%	89.4%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	73.2%	79.3%	65.6%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	89.7%	98.7%	70.5%
Mexico	Coatepec	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Coatepec	2017	85.9%	92.2%	79.1%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	88.8%	99.5%	56.8%
Mexico	Coatepec Harinas	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Coatlan Del Rio	2000	92.0%	98.8%	81.8%
Mexico	Coatlan Del Rio	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Coatzacoalcos	2000	87.5%	95.5%	73.5%
Mexico	Coatzacoalcos	2017	99.2%	99.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Coatzingo	2000	87.8%	99.9%	34.1%
Mexico	Coatzingo	2017	97.7%	100.0%	78.9%
Mexico	Coatzintla	2000	88.2%	94.2%	78.4%
Mexico	Coatzintla	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.4%
Mexico	Cochoapa El Grande	2000	86.9%	98.3%	63.5%
Mexico	Cochoapa El Grande	2017	98.0%	99.9%	89.1%
Mexico	Cocotitlan	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.5%
Mexico	Cocotitlan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Cocula	2000	94.5%	98.9%	81.3%
Mexico	Cocula	2000	93.0%	99.7%	66.1%
Mexico	Cocula	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Cocula	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Coeneo	2000	79.7%	95.3%	51.3%
Mexico	Coeneo	2017	95.9%	99.7%	86.2%
Mexico	Coetzala	2000	53.2%	88.0%	22.3%
Mexico	Coetzala	2017	92.3%	99.5%	76.5%
Mexico	Cohetzala	2000	88.1%	99.8%	56.8%
Mexico	Cohetzala	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Cohuecan	2000	91.8%	98.3%	79.7%
Mexico	Cohuecan	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	Coicoyan De Las Flores	2000	86.3%	99.3%	55.3%
Mexico	Coicoyan De Las Flores	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Cojumatlan De Regules	2000	90.4%	99.8%	49.0%
Mexico	Cojumatlan De Regules	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	Colima	2000	98.3%	99.1%	96.5%
Mexico	Colima	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Colipa	2000	87.3%	96.9%	68.7%
Mexico	Colipa	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.9%
Mexico	Colon	2000	94.1%	99.0%	82.2%
Mexico	Colon	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Colotlan	2000	92.2%	99.7%	58.7%
Mexico	Colotlan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Comala	2000	98.0%	99.4%	94.1%
Mexico	Comala	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Comalcalco	2000	89.6%	95.3%	82.5%
Mexico	Comalcalco	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Comapa	2000	88.9%	97.7%	71.0%
Mexico	Comapa	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.4%
Mexico	Comitan De Dominguez	2000	94.0%	97.5%	87.6%
Mexico	Comitan De Dominguez	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	Comondu	2000	96.9%	98.5%	93.9%
Mexico	Comondu	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Comonfort	2000	85.6%	93.7%	75.1%
Mexico	Comonfort	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.7%
Mexico	Compostela	2000	88.5%	98.1%	68.2%
Mexico	Compostela	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.4%
Mexico	Concepcion Buenavista	2000	87.8%	99.6%	51.3%
Mexico	Concepcion Buenavista	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Concepcion De Buenos Aires	2000	90.7%	99.9%	54.6%
Mexico	Concepcion De Buenos Aires	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Concepcion Del Oro	2000	93.1%	98.4%	79.4%
Mexico	Concepcion Del Oro	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Concepcion Papalo	2000	92.5%	98.0%	79.8%
Mexico	Concepcion Papalo	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.4%
Mexico	Concordia	2000	94.5%	98.2%	88.1%
Mexico	Concordia	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Coneto De Comonfort	2000	90.6%	98.8%	73.1%
Mexico	Coneto De Comonfort	2017	99.1%	100.0%	96.2%
Mexico	Conkal	2000	95.2%	100.0%	71.9%
Mexico	Conkal	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.9%
Mexico	Constancia Del Rosario	2000	88.8%	98.6%	71.0%
Mexico	Constancia Del Rosario	2017	99.0%	100.0%	95.3%
Mexico	Contepec	2000	88.2%	97.8%	69.4%
Mexico	Contepec	2017	98.8%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	Contla De Juan Cua-matzi	2000	99.0%	99.5%	98.3%
Mexico	Contla De Juan Cua-matzi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Copainala	2000	94.8%	99.7%	84.2%
Mexico	Copainala	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Copala	2000	94.3%	99.4%	82.0%
Mexico	Copala	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Copalillo	2000	85.2%	97.5%	59.8%
Mexico	Copalillo	2017	98.2%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Copanatoyac	2000	31.6%	47.6%	16.4%
Mexico	Copanatoyac	2017	77.8%	89.0%	57.5%
Mexico	Copandaro	2000	91.3%	98.1%	73.5%
Mexico	Copandaro	2017	99.2%	99.9%	95.6%
Mexico	Coquimatlan	2000	98.0%	99.9%	91.8%
Mexico	Coquimatlan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cordoba	2000	95.3%	97.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Cordoba	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	Coronado	2000	90.7%	99.0%	66.9%
Mexico	Coronado	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Coronango	2000	89.1%	92.0%	85.7%
Mexico	Coronango	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Mexico	Coroneo	2000	89.4%	99.8%	58.6%
Mexico	Coroneo	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	Corregidora	2000	95.8%	98.7%	90.2%
Mexico	Corregidora	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Cortazar	2000	94.4%	98.8%	87.9%
Mexico	Cortazar	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Cosala	2000	92.9%	98.5%	82.4%
Mexico	Cosala	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.0%
Mexico	Cosamaloapan De Carpio	2000	82.3%	90.9%	70.6%
Mexico	Cosamaloapan De Carpio	2017	95.9%	99.2%	89.0%
Mexico	Cosautlan De Carvajal	2000	90.5%	99.9%	48.3%
Mexico	Cosautlan De Carvajal	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.1%
Mexico	Coscomatepec	2000	89.6%	97.5%	78.4%
Mexico	Coscomatepec	2017	96.6%	99.7%	88.7%
Mexico	Cosio	2000	95.0%	99.9%	77.0%
Mexico	Cosio	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.9%
Mexico	Cosolapa	2000	85.5%	99.7%	48.1%
Mexico	Cosolapa	2017	98.2%	100.0%	89.2%
Mexico	Cosoleacaque	2000	85.5%	91.9%	76.5%
Mexico	Cosoleacaque	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.3%
Mexico	Cosoltepec	2000	90.5%	99.9%	53.8%
Mexico	Cosoltepec	2017	98.9%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Cotaxtla	2000	90.1%	99.2%	66.6%
Mexico	Cotaxtla	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.0%
Mexico	Cotija	2000	92.2%	99.8%	59.4%
Mexico	Cotija	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2000	86.5%	94.9%	74.1%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2000	75.1%	98.3%	32.5%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.2%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2017	95.7%	99.9%	78.1%
Mexico	Coxquihui	2000	65.4%	85.9%	39.7%
Mexico	Coxquihui	2017	89.9%	98.4%	74.7%
Mexico	Coyame Del Sotol	2000	89.9%	97.9%	66.7%
Mexico	Coyame Del Sotol	2017	98.5%	99.8%	90.7%
Mexico	Coyoacan	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Coyoacan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Coyomeapan	2000	65.4%	78.6%	50.3%
Mexico	Coyomeapan	2017	95.7%	98.1%	91.5%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2000	93.6%	99.9%	69.2%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2000	90.6%	99.8%	58.2%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.5%
Mexico	Coyuca De Benitez	2000	87.4%	96.6%	72.1%
Mexico	Coyuca De Benitez	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.5%
Mexico	Coyuca De Catalan	2000	83.7%	94.0%	68.7%
Mexico	Coyuca De Catalan	2017	97.9%	99.6%	92.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Coyutla	2000	73.1%	90.9%	45.4%
Mexico	Coyutla	2017	95.4%	99.6%	81.8%
Mexico	Cozumel	2000	96.6%	98.9%	91.5%
Mexico	Cozumel	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Cruillas	2000	88.2%	98.3%	68.8%
Mexico	Cruillas	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.2%
Mexico	Cuajimalpa De Morelos	2000	96.9%	99.0%	92.5%
Mexico	Cuajimalpa De Morelos	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Cuajinicuilapa	2000	86.2%	97.6%	65.4%
Mexico	Cuajinicuilapa	2017	98.3%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Cualac	2000	88.4%	98.6%	55.5%
Mexico	Cualac	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla	2000	95.4%	99.8%	65.3%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla	2017	99.6%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla De Madero	2000	95.8%	99.2%	86.6%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla De Madero	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Mexico	Cuatrocienegas	2000	94.8%	99.2%	69.1%
Mexico	Cuatrocienegas	2017	99.6%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	94.0%	99.6%	78.2%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	91.4%	97.1%	73.3%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	94.3%	97.3%	90.0%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	99.3%	99.9%	94.5%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Cuautempan	2000	67.4%	99.2%	15.2%
Mexico	Cuautempan	2017	92.2%	100.0%	55.4%
Mexico	Cuatepec	2000	76.5%	84.8%	67.1%
Mexico	Cuatepec	2017	98.0%	99.4%	94.8%
Mexico	Cuatepec De Hinojosa	2000	91.3%	96.9%	81.8%
Mexico	Cuatepec De Hinojosa	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	Cuautinchan	2000	91.3%	99.7%	67.9%
Mexico	Cuautinchan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Cuautitlan	2000	94.1%	97.9%	86.1%
Mexico	Cuautitlan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	Cuautitlan De Garcia Barragan	2000	91.6%	98.4%	76.5%
Mexico	Cuautitlan De Garcia Barragan	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	Cuautitlan Izcalli	2000	94.5%	96.9%	89.9%
Mexico	Cuautitlan Izcalli	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Cuautla	2000	97.2%	98.7%	94.3%
Mexico	Cuautla	2000	90.6%	99.3%	62.4%
Mexico	Cuautla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Cuautla	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Cuautlancingo	2000	75.3%	80.6%	70.9%
Mexico	Cuautlancingo	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.9%
Mexico	Cuaxomulco	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Cuaxomulco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Cuayuca De Andrade	2000	89.5%	99.9%	49.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cuayuca De Andrade	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.8%
Mexico	Cucurpe	2000	90.4%	99.7%	52.2%
Mexico	Cucurpe	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Cuencame	2000	92.6%	96.9%	86.4%
Mexico	Cuencame	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Cueramaro	2000	97.9%	99.9%	91.0%
Mexico	Cueramaro	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Cuernavaca	2000	97.0%	98.5%	93.8%
Mexico	Cuernavaca	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Cuetzala Del Progreso	2000	90.9%	99.6%	69.0%
Mexico	Cuetzala Del Progreso	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.0%
Mexico	Cuetzalan Del Progreso	2000	84.0%	98.8%	47.4%
Mexico	Cuetzalan Del Progreso	2017	97.9%	100.0%	88.6%
Mexico	Cuichapa	2000	77.2%	96.5%	47.4%
Mexico	Cuichapa	2017	97.2%	99.9%	87.2%
Mexico	Cuilapam De Guerrero	2000	79.4%	87.0%	71.8%
Mexico	Cuilapam De Guerrero	2017	98.6%	99.2%	97.6%
Mexico	Cuitlahuac	2000	90.0%	99.6%	60.3%
Mexico	Cuitlahuac	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Cuitzeo	2000	88.4%	98.8%	59.7%
Mexico	Cuitzeo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Culiacan	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Mexico	Culiacan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	Cumpas	2000	95.0%	99.8%	79.3%
Mexico	Cumpas	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Cuncunul	2000	90.0%	99.9%	52.4%
Mexico	Cuncunul	2017	98.9%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Cunduacan	2000	85.7%	95.1%	70.9%
Mexico	Cunduacan	2017	98.1%	99.8%	92.5%
Mexico	Cuquio	2000	75.1%	85.2%	61.8%
Mexico	Cuquio	2017	96.8%	98.9%	91.3%
Mexico	Cusihuiachi	2000	87.3%	98.2%	66.8%
Mexico	Cusihuiachi	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.6%
Mexico	Cutzamala De Pinzon	2000	88.2%	96.9%	72.8%
Mexico	Cutzamala De Pinzon	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.5%
Mexico	Cuyamecalco Villa De Zaragoza	2000	80.4%	97.6%	39.1%
Mexico	Cuyamecalco Villa De Zaragoza	2017	96.8%	99.9%	76.4%
Mexico	Cuyoaco	2000	89.0%	99.4%	64.9%
Mexico	Cuyoaco	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	Cuzama	2000	95.0%	99.9%	73.5%
Mexico	Cuzama	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Degollado	2000	96.0%	99.7%	85.7%
Mexico	Degollado	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.1%
Mexico	Del Nayar	2000	83.1%	90.3%	74.1%
Mexico	Del Nayar	2017	97.3%	98.8%	94.6%
Mexico	Delicias	2000	94.0%	97.6%	85.7%
Mexico	Delicias	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	Divisaderos	2000	92.0%	100.0%	55.1%
Mexico	Divisaderos	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Doctor Mora	2000	93.2%	99.2%	78.5%
Mexico	Doctor Mora	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Dolores Hidalgo Cuna De La Independenc	2000	94.5%	97.8%	88.3%
Mexico	Dolores Hidalgo Cuna De La Independenc	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Domingo Arenas	2000	96.7%	99.0%	92.2%
Mexico	Domingo Arenas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Donato Guerra	2000	79.0%	92.3%	62.7%
Mexico	Donato Guerra	2017	97.8%	99.7%	91.9%
Mexico	Dr. Arroyo	2000	89.6%	95.6%	81.1%
Mexico	Dr. Arroyo	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.9%
Mexico	Dr. Belisario Dominguez	2000	92.3%	99.6%	75.1%
Mexico	Dr. Belisario Dominguez	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.0%
Mexico	Dr. Coss	2000	93.9%	99.5%	76.9%
Mexico	Dr. Coss	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.9%
Mexico	Dr. Gonzalez	2000	83.9%	97.8%	53.4%
Mexico	Dr. Gonzalez	2017	97.9%	99.9%	90.1%
Mexico	Durango	2000	97.1%	98.4%	94.3%
Mexico	Durango	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	Dzan	2000	91.6%	99.7%	62.8%
Mexico	Dzan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Dzemul	2000	93.6%	100.0%	70.4%
Mexico	Dzemul	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Dzidzantun	2000	92.9%	100.0%	60.2%
Mexico	Dzidzantun	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	Dzilam De Bravo	2000	91.3%	99.9%	58.3%
Mexico	Dzilam De Bravo	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.0%
Mexico	Dzilam Gonzalez	2000	95.3%	99.9%	74.9%
Mexico	Dzilam Gonzalez	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Dzitas	2000	89.8%	99.7%	60.3%
Mexico	Dzitas	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	Dzoncauich	2000	91.9%	100.0%	67.7%
Mexico	Dzoncauich	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Ebano	2000	85.2%	97.0%	60.3%
Mexico	Ebano	2017	98.4%	99.9%	91.4%
Mexico	Ecatepec De Morelos	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Mexico	Ecatepec De Morelos	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Ecatzingo	2000	91.0%	99.8%	57.3%
Mexico	Ecatzingo	2017	98.7%	100.0%	86.8%
Mexico	Ecuandureo	2000	86.8%	98.3%	57.9%
Mexico	Ecuandureo	2017	98.0%	99.9%	91.6%
Mexico	Eduardo Neri	2000	77.6%	93.9%	54.6%
Mexico	Eduardo Neri	2017	95.1%	99.4%	81.5%
Mexico	Ejutla	2000	89.6%	99.6%	63.2%
Mexico	Ejutla	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	El Arenal	2000	89.0%	99.8%	53.2%
Mexico	El Arenal	2000	95.2%	99.1%	86.6%
Mexico	El Arenal	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	El Arenal	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.2%
Mexico	El Barrio De La Soledad	2000	86.4%	95.6%	71.4%
Mexico	El Barrio De La Soledad	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.4%
Mexico	El Bosque	2000	75.6%	95.6%	46.7%
Mexico	El Bosque	2017	96.7%	99.8%	85.7%
Mexico	El Carmen Tequexquitla	2000	98.6%	99.9%	93.4%
Mexico	El Carmen Tequexquitla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	El Espinal	2000	84.8%	96.0%	68.3%
Mexico	El Espinal	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.9%
Mexico	El Fuerte	2000	95.3%	97.9%	91.5%
Mexico	El Fuerte	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	El Grullo	2000	93.8%	98.8%	81.7%
Mexico	El Grullo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	El Higo	2000	92.8%	97.8%	82.7%
Mexico	El Higo	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.9%
Mexico	El Limon	2000	89.1%	99.8%	51.7%
Mexico	El Limon	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	El Llano	2000	93.1%	99.5%	76.4%
Mexico	El Llano	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	El Mante	2000	95.5%	97.9%	91.7%
Mexico	El Mante	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	El Marques	2000	95.8%	99.2%	87.4%
Mexico	El Marques	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.3%
Mexico	El Naranjo	2000	85.8%	96.8%	65.1%
Mexico	El Naranjo	2017	98.6%	99.9%	94.9%
Mexico	El Oro	2000	82.0%	98.7%	47.9%
Mexico	El Oro	2000	91.3%	96.3%	83.2%
Mexico	El Oro	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.1%
Mexico	El Oro	2017	97.3%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	El Plateado De Joaquin Amaro	2000	91.7%	99.6%	70.0%
Mexico	El Plateado De Joaquin Amaro	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	El Porvenir	2000	69.9%	88.2%	50.2%
Mexico	El Porvenir	2017	97.2%	99.5%	88.6%
Mexico	El Salto	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.9%
Mexico	El Salto	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	El Salvador	2000	90.4%	99.7%	66.8%
Mexico	El Salvador	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	El Tule	2000	92.0%	98.9%	73.3%
Mexico	El Tule	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Elota	2000	95.7%	99.1%	87.4%
Mexico	Elota	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2000	84.4%	93.9%	71.1%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2000	88.4%	99.9%	49.0%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2017	98.6%	99.7%	95.8%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	87.9%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan De Flores Magon	2000	88.9%	96.6%	72.1%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan De Flores Magon	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	92.1%	99.7%	65.1%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	95.2%	97.2%	92.0%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	94.0%	98.8%	85.2%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	81.1%	92.2%	58.6%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	85.1%	99.8%	32.6%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	99.2%	99.9%	95.7%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	97.6%	100.0%	79.5%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	97.7%	99.7%	91.8%
Mexico	Empalme	2000	98.7%	99.8%	96.0%
Mexico	Empalme	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Encarnacion De Diaz	2000	97.2%	99.4%	93.0%
Mexico	Encarnacion De Diaz	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Ensenada	2000	95.1%	97.2%	92.4%
Mexico	Ensenada	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Epatlan	2000	91.5%	100.0%	49.2%
Mexico	Epatlan	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	Epazoyucan	2000	98.0%	99.9%	92.1%
Mexico	Epazoyucan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Epitacio Huerta	2000	87.9%	99.2%	65.9%
Mexico	Epitacio Huerta	2017	98.5%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Erongaricuario	2000	87.3%	99.5%	57.5%
Mexico	Erongaricuario	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Escarcega	2000	91.7%	94.8%	87.7%
Mexico	Escarcega	2017	99.2%	99.7%	97.8%
Mexico	Escobedo	2000	93.0%	99.6%	72.2%
Mexico	Escobedo	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.0%
Mexico	Escuinapa	2000	92.0%	98.7%	75.2%
Mexico	Escuinapa	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Escuintla	2000	85.5%	97.7%	64.6%
Mexico	Escuintla	2017	98.3%	99.9%	92.6%
Mexico	Espanita	2000	98.6%	99.9%	94.1%
Mexico	Espanita	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Esperanza	2000	86.8%	99.0%	55.2%
Mexico	Esperanza	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	Espinal	2000	85.0%	96.0%	64.4%
Mexico	Espinal	2017	98.2%	99.8%	92.9%
Mexico	Espita	2000	97.1%	99.6%	90.9%
Mexico	Espita	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Etchojoa	2000	98.4%	99.9%	93.6%
Mexico	Etchojoa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Etzatlan	2000	91.7%	99.7%	58.6%
Mexico	Etzatlan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Ezequiel Montes	2000	93.6%	99.5%	74.7%
Mexico	Ezequiel Montes	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Felipe Carrillo Puerto	2000	88.4%	92.9%	83.2%
Mexico	Felipe Carrillo Puerto	2017	98.4%	99.3%	96.7%
Mexico	Filomeno Mata	2000	53.9%	84.7%	23.5%
Mexico	Filomeno Mata	2017	93.1%	98.9%	74.4%
Mexico	Florencio Villarreal	2000	91.2%	96.8%	78.9%
Mexico	Florencio Villarreal	2017	99.4%	99.8%	97.7%
Mexico	Fortin	2000	95.0%	98.1%	91.2%
Mexico	Fortin	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.4%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2000	94.5%	98.8%	85.3%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2000	98.1%	99.6%	95.1%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Francisco Leon	2000	82.9%	98.7%	48.2%
Mexico	Francisco Leon	2017	97.2%	100.0%	83.2%
Mexico	Francisco Z. Mena	2000	92.7%	99.5%	76.2%
Mexico	Francisco Z. Mena	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.7%
Mexico	Fresnillo	2000	94.2%	96.5%	91.0%
Mexico	Fresnillo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.1%
Mexico	Fresnillo De Trujano	2000	84.4%	98.9%	48.3%
Mexico	Fresnillo De Trujano	2017	97.9%	100.0%	83.7%
Mexico	Frontera	2000	98.3%	99.4%	96.3%
Mexico	Frontera	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Frontera Co-malapa	2000	83.9%	93.3%	73.3%
Mexico	Frontera Co-malapa	2017	98.4%	99.7%	95.6%
Mexico	Frontera Hidalgo	2000	88.6%	99.6%	59.4%
Mexico	Frontera Hidalgo	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.6%
Mexico	Fronteras	2000	95.6%	99.8%	76.5%
Mexico	Fronteras	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Gabriel Zamora	2000	94.3%	98.8%	85.1%
Mexico	Gabriel Zamora	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.6%
Mexico	Galeana	2000	92.3%	96.4%	86.0%
Mexico	Galeana	2000	93.0%	99.3%	74.2%
Mexico	Galeana	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.7%
Mexico	Galeana	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.9%
Mexico	Garcia	2000	98.6%	99.6%	96.6%
Mexico	Garcia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Genaro Codina	2000	89.7%	99.0%	62.0%
Mexico	Genaro Codina	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	General Bravo	2000	96.1%	99.5%	84.8%
Mexico	General Bravo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	General Canuto A. Neri	2000	90.5%	99.6%	66.8%
Mexico	General Canuto A. Neri	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	General Cepeda	2000	93.0%	97.8%	85.2%
Mexico	General Cepeda	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	General Enrique Estrada	2000	93.4%	99.0%	78.6%
Mexico	General Enrique Estrada	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	General Felipe Angeles	2000	93.3%	99.9%	61.6%
Mexico	General Felipe Angeles	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	General Francisco R. Murguia	2000	90.0%	96.3%	81.6%
Mexico	General Francisco R. Murguia	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.4%
Mexico	General Heliodoro Castillo	2000	66.6%	79.4%	50.0%
Mexico	General Heliodoro Castillo	2017	87.8%	95.0%	73.7%
Mexico	General Panfilo Natera	2000	96.6%	99.4%	90.1%
Mexico	General Panfilo Natera	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	General Plutarco Elias Calles	2000	96.9%	99.7%	85.6%
Mexico	General Plutarco Elias Calles	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	93.5%	99.5%	78.0%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	90.4%	99.8%	52.8%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	92.5%	99.1%	76.2%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.1%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Gomez Palacio	2000	97.5%	98.6%	95.5%
Mexico	Gomez Palacio	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Gonzalez	2000	92.8%	98.4%	82.4%
Mexico	Gonzalez	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Gral. Escobedo	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Mexico	Gral. Escobedo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Gral. Simon Bolivar	2000	93.6%	98.5%	85.6%
Mexico	Gral. Simon Bolivar	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Gral. Teran	2000	94.6%	98.1%	88.2%
Mexico	Gral. Teran	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Mexico	Gral. Trevino	2000	89.1%	99.9%	40.5%
Mexico	Gral. Trevino	2017	98.4%	100.0%	84.8%
Mexico	Gral. Zaragoza	2000	84.8%	96.6%	63.7%
Mexico	Gral. Zaragoza	2017	98.3%	99.8%	92.6%
Mexico	Gral. Zuazua	2000	94.7%	99.9%	73.7%
Mexico	Gral. Zuazua	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.2%
Mexico	Gran Morelos	2000	96.1%	99.6%	84.5%
Mexico	Gran Morelos	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Granados	2000	90.7%	100.0%	41.7%
Mexico	Granados	2017	98.8%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	Guachinango	2000	90.1%	99.0%	68.2%
Mexico	Guachinango	2017	98.7%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Guachochi	2000	74.9%	79.8%	69.8%
Mexico	Guachochi	2017	96.8%	98.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Guadalajara	2000	95.6%	97.6%	91.5%
Mexico	Guadalajara	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Guadalcazar	2000	90.5%	96.1%	82.5%
Mexico	Guadalcazar	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.2%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.8%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	96.2%	99.4%	87.3%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	83.6%	98.0%	55.0%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	98.0%	99.4%	95.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Guadalupe De Ramirez	2000	83.2%	99.9%	42.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe De Ramirez	2017	96.9%	100.0%	79.2%
Mexico	Guadalupe Etlá	2000	91.5%	96.9%	84.0%
Mexico	Guadalupe Etlá	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2000	91.3%	96.2%	83.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2000	90.0%	97.1%	75.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.9%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe Y Calvo	2000	73.1%	78.1%	66.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe Y Calvo	2017	95.2%	96.8%	93.0%
Mexico	Guanacevi	2000	85.3%	95.0%	69.3%
Mexico	Guanacevi	2017	98.0%	99.6%	94.0%
Mexico	Guanajuato	2000	89.0%	95.4%	77.3%
Mexico	Guanajuato	2017	98.5%	99.8%	95.5%
Mexico	Guasave	2000	91.4%	95.8%	85.8%
Mexico	Guasave	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.5%
Mexico	Guaymas	2000	96.9%	98.8%	92.8%
Mexico	Guaymas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Guazapares	2000	90.2%	97.1%	77.7%
Mexico	Guazapares	2017	98.9%	99.8%	97.0%
Mexico	Guelatao De Juarez	2000	88.4%	99.9%	43.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Guelatao De Juarez	2017	98.4%	100.0%	83.2%
Mexico	Guemez	2000	95.1%	99.2%	84.7%
Mexico	Guemez	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	91.8%	99.4%	73.0%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	91.5%	96.1%	84.5%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	92.8%	99.0%	73.9%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.3%
Mexico	Guevea De Humboldt	2000	83.1%	97.7%	53.6%
Mexico	Guevea De Humboldt	2017	97.8%	99.9%	86.8%
Mexico	Gustavo A. Madero	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Mexico	Gustavo A. Madero	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Gustavo Diaz Ordaz	2000	93.5%	99.8%	70.1%
Mexico	Gustavo Diaz Ordaz	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Gutierrez Zamora	2000	89.1%	95.1%	79.9%
Mexico	Gutierrez Zamora	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.9%
Mexico	Halacho	2000	74.2%	94.1%	45.3%
Mexico	Halacho	2017	95.1%	99.7%	82.8%
Mexico	Hecelchakan	2000	95.0%	98.7%	87.4%
Mexico	Hecelchakan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Hermenegildo Galeana	2000	70.4%	93.6%	32.4%
Mexico	Hermenegildo Galeana	2017	96.1%	99.7%	81.0%
Mexico	Hermosillo	2000	99.0%	99.5%	98.1%
Mexico	Hermosillo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Ejutla De Crespo	2000	79.5%	94.0%	56.8%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Ejutla De Crespo	2017	97.8%	99.8%	90.5%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Huajuapam De Leon	2000	80.8%	95.3%	57.1%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Huajuapam De Leon	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.4%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Tlaxiaco	2000	79.4%	88.8%	67.4%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Tlaxiaco	2017	98.7%	99.5%	96.6%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	78.7%	89.5%	67.2%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	93.1%	99.8%	61.5%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	90.4%	97.9%	76.7%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	98.8%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	93.3%	98.9%	80.5%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	97.8%	99.5%	94.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.2%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.3%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Hidalgo Del Parral	2000	95.5%	98.1%	91.1%
Mexico	Hidalgo Del Parral	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Hidalgotitlan	2000	84.8%	96.3%	68.6%
Mexico	Hidalgotitlan	2017	97.4%	99.8%	90.1%
Mexico	Higueras	2000	89.8%	99.9%	44.6%
Mexico	Higueras	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Hocaba	2000	94.2%	100.0%	67.7%
Mexico	Hocaba	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Hoctun	2000	98.6%	100.0%	93.7%
Mexico	Hoctun	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Homun	2000	97.0%	99.7%	88.9%
Mexico	Homun	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Honey	2000	88.6%	99.9%	46.7%
Mexico	Honey	2017	98.5%	100.0%	86.5%
Mexico	Hopelchen	2000	92.2%	95.9%	87.0%
Mexico	Hopelchen	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.6%
Mexico	Hostotipaquillo	2000	89.1%	99.3%	61.2%
Mexico	Hostotipaquillo	2017	98.7%	100.0%	93.0%
Mexico	Huachinera	2000	87.9%	99.5%	51.2%
Mexico	Huachinera	2017	98.1%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	Huajicori	2000	88.8%	97.5%	72.9%
Mexico	Huajicori	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.9%
Mexico	Hualahuises	2000	94.7%	99.9%	71.9%
Mexico	Hualahuises	2017	99.7%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Huamantla	2000	97.4%	99.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Huamantla	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Huamuxtitlan	2000	80.8%	92.3%	64.4%
Mexico	Huamuxtitlan	2017	98.4%	99.7%	93.8%
Mexico	Huandacareo	2000	98.8%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	Huandacareo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Huanimaro	2000	97.0%	99.9%	84.0%
Mexico	Huanimaro	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Huaniqueo	2000	90.4%	99.8%	62.4%
Mexico	Huaniqueo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.0%
Mexico	Huanusco	2000	89.4%	99.7%	61.7%
Mexico	Huanusco	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Huaquechula	2000	87.9%	99.4%	56.4%
Mexico	Huaquechula	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Huasabas	2000	92.2%	100.0%	53.5%
Mexico	Huasabas	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Huasca De Ocampo	2000	90.4%	99.6%	61.3%
Mexico	Huasca De Ocampo	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Huatabampo	2000	96.9%	99.1%	91.8%
Mexico	Huatabampo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Huatlatlauca	2000	90.7%	99.9%	63.6%
Mexico	Huatlatlauca	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Huatusco	2000	94.0%	96.6%	89.6%
Mexico	Huatusco	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Huauchinango	2000	91.8%	96.3%	80.2%
Mexico	Huauchinango	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.3%
Mexico	Huautepec	2000	77.6%	94.8%	47.7%
Mexico	Huautepec	2017	97.8%	99.9%	89.8%
Mexico	Huautla	2000	91.3%	99.3%	66.7%
Mexico	Huautla	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huautla De Jimenez	2000	72.0%	77.9%	65.8%
Mexico	Huautla De Jimenez	2017	97.9%	98.8%	96.0%
Mexico	Huayacocotla	2000	89.8%	98.9%	68.4%
Mexico	Huayacocotla	2017	98.6%	100.0%	94.0%
Mexico	Huazalingo	2000	86.6%	99.5%	55.9%
Mexico	Huazalingo	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.7%
Mexico	Huehuetan	2000	88.8%	99.6%	61.8%
Mexico	Huehuetan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2000	85.9%	96.6%	62.8%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2000	48.5%	54.7%	43.4%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2017	90.0%	94.3%	84.2%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2017	98.1%	99.9%	90.1%
Mexico	Huehuetlan	2000	90.2%	98.2%	70.7%
Mexico	Huehuetlan	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Chico	2000	91.6%	99.8%	64.7%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Chico	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Grande	2000	89.3%	99.8%	57.4%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Grande	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Huehuetoca	2000	94.8%	99.9%	72.2%
Mexico	Huehuetoca	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Huejotitan	2000	91.9%	99.5%	74.6%
Mexico	Huejotitan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.8%
Mexico	Huejotzingo	2000	89.3%	94.6%	83.2%
Mexico	Huejotzingo	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Mexico	Huejucar	2000	89.9%	99.7%	63.0%
Mexico	Huejucar	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Huejuquilla El Alto	2000	90.3%	99.4%	54.4%
Mexico	Huejuquilla El Alto	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Huejutla De Reyes	2000	77.3%	85.5%	63.5%
Mexico	Huejutla De Reyes	2017	96.8%	98.3%	92.2%
Mexico	Huepac	2000	97.0%	100.0%	82.7%
Mexico	Huepac	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Huetamo	2000	89.5%	95.8%	78.2%
Mexico	Huetamo	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.3%
Mexico	Hueyapan	2000	91.0%	97.8%	80.6%
Mexico	Hueyapan	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Hueyapan De Ocampo	2000	86.0%	97.6%	65.8%
Mexico	Hueyapan De Ocampo	2017	98.1%	99.9%	92.0%
Mexico	Hueyotlipan	2000	99.0%	99.8%	97.1%
Mexico	Hueyotlipan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Hueypoxtla	2000	97.6%	100.0%	85.9%
Mexico	Hueypoxtla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Hueytamalco	2000	85.7%	98.9%	55.8%
Mexico	Hueytamalco	2017	97.9%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Hueytlalpan	2000	74.3%	80.5%	66.0%
Mexico	Hueytlalpan	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.7%
Mexico	Huhi	2000	92.4%	100.0%	63.0%
Mexico	Huhi	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.5%
Mexico	Huichapan	2000	91.0%	98.5%	72.7%
Mexico	Huichapan	2017	99.1%	99.9%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huiloapan	2000	68.0%	78.8%	55.7%
Mexico	Huiloapan	2017	97.9%	98.8%	96.2%
Mexico	Huimanguillo	2000	77.8%	86.4%	65.9%
Mexico	Huimanguillo	2017	95.1%	97.8%	90.6%
Mexico	Huimilpan	2000	91.8%	98.6%	76.9%
Mexico	Huimilpan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Huiramba	2000	86.2%	99.2%	48.8%
Mexico	Huiramba	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Huitiupan	2000	85.2%	94.4%	71.9%
Mexico	Huitiupan	2017	98.7%	99.7%	95.5%
Mexico	Huitzilac	2000	93.6%	97.7%	87.5%
Mexico	Huitzilac	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Huitzilan De Serdan	2000	84.6%	99.0%	52.9%
Mexico	Huitzilan De Serdan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	Huitziltepec	2000	82.7%	99.4%	45.8%
Mexico	Huitziltepec	2017	97.7%	100.0%	86.2%
Mexico	Huitzucu De Los Figueroa	2000	78.5%	93.5%	52.1%
Mexico	Huitzucu De Los Figueroa	2017	96.5%	99.5%	87.7%
Mexico	Huixquilucan	2000	93.0%	95.9%	88.4%
Mexico	Huixquilucan	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.5%
Mexico	Huixtan	2000	87.1%	98.5%	60.9%
Mexico	Huixtan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	92.1%
Mexico	Huixtla	2000	85.6%	90.9%	78.1%
Mexico	Huixtla	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.2%
Mexico	Hunucma	2000	96.9%	99.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Hunucma	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Ignacio De La Llave	2000	88.3%	99.6%	55.1%
Mexico	Ignacio De La Llave	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Ignacio Zaragoza	2000	92.1%	99.1%	66.2%
Mexico	Ignacio Zaragoza	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.5%
Mexico	Iguala De La Independencia	2000	85.8%	92.1%	74.4%
Mexico	Iguala De La Independencia	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.3%
Mexico	Igualapa	2000	71.4%	88.0%	48.5%
Mexico	Igualapa	2017	96.4%	98.9%	89.5%
Mexico	Ilamatlan	2000	86.2%	99.6%	54.5%
Mexico	Ilamatlan	2017	98.1%	100.0%	88.9%
Mexico	Iliatenco	2000	83.1%	99.3%	51.8%
Mexico	Iliatenco	2017	97.0%	100.0%	83.8%
Mexico	Imuris	2000	96.5%	99.8%	82.5%
Mexico	Imuris	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Indaparapeo	2000	86.9%	98.6%	59.2%
Mexico	Indaparapeo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Inde	2000	90.2%	98.3%	74.4%
Mexico	Inde	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.7%
Mexico	Irapuato	2000	96.2%	98.2%	92.0%
Mexico	Irapuato	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Irimbo	2000	83.6%	96.1%	61.3%
Mexico	Irimbo	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.5%
Mexico	Isidro Fabela	2000	92.6%	99.0%	76.9%
Mexico	Isidro Fabela	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Isla	2000	87.1%	97.0%	63.4%
Mexico	Isla	2017	98.1%	99.7%	90.4%
Mexico	Isla Mujeres	2000	74.8%	98.4%	35.1%
Mexico	Isla Mujeres	2017	96.1%	99.9%	76.0%
Mexico	Iturbide	2000	74.5%	95.4%	46.3%
Mexico	Iturbide	2017	96.3%	99.8%	80.5%
Mexico	Ixcamilpa De Guerrero	2000	89.1%	99.8%	61.0%
Mexico	Ixcamilpa De Guerrero	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Ixcaquixtla	2000	88.9%	99.8%	53.8%
Mexico	Ixcaquixtla	2017	98.1%	100.0%	84.8%
Mexico	Ixcateopan De Cuauhtemoc	2000	91.8%	99.7%	63.3%
Mexico	Ixcateopan De Cuauhtemoc	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Ixcatepec	2000	96.6%	99.8%	88.7%
Mexico	Ixcatepec	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Ixhuacan De Los Reyes	2000	69.2%	92.8%	32.7%
Mexico	Ixhuacan De Los Reyes	2017	91.7%	99.6%	67.7%
Mexico	Ixhuatan	2000	71.3%	88.8%	48.9%
Mexico	Ixhuatan	2017	97.0%	99.4%	91.9%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan De Madero	2000	84.3%	93.9%	67.2%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan De Madero	2017	98.3%	99.7%	93.2%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Cafe	2000	97.1%	99.5%	91.7%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Cafe	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Sureste	2000	89.3%	98.0%	67.6%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Sureste	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.9%
Mexico	Ixhuatlancillo	2000	93.1%	95.2%	90.1%
Mexico	Ixhuatlancillo	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Ixil	2000	92.5%	99.9%	55.7%
Mexico	Ixil	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Ixmatlahuacan	2000	87.5%	99.2%	59.1%
Mexico	Ixmatlahuacan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Ixmiquilpan	2000	84.4%	95.4%	63.0%
Mexico	Ixmiquilpan	2017	98.9%	99.8%	95.7%
Mexico	Ixpantepec Nieves	2000	91.0%	99.6%	66.0%
Mexico	Ixpantepec Nieves	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Ixtacamaxtitlan	2000	85.5%	96.6%	64.7%
Mexico	Ixtacamaxtitlan	2017	98.2%	99.9%	91.8%
Mexico	Ixtacomitan	2000	77.0%	99.1%	34.5%
Mexico	Ixtacomitan	2017	95.9%	100.0%	78.4%
Mexico	Ixtacuixtla De Mariano Matamoros	2000	95.0%	97.4%	91.4%
Mexico	Ixtacuixtla De Mariano Matamoros	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	Ixtaczoquitlan	2000	89.7%	94.9%	80.5%
Mexico	Ixtaczoquitlan	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.2%
Mexico	Ixtapa	2000	87.3%	99.0%	56.8%
Mexico	Ixtapa	2017	98.4%	100.0%	91.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Ixtapaluca	2000	98.8%	99.7%	95.8%
Mexico	Ixtapaluca	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Ixtapan De La Sal	2000	89.7%	98.9%	64.2%
Mexico	Ixtapan De La Sal	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Ixtapan Del Oro	2000	89.3%	99.9%	50.5%
Mexico	Ixtapan Del Oro	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Ixtapangajoya	2000	80.9%	94.4%	64.3%
Mexico	Ixtapangajoya	2017	97.6%	99.8%	90.5%
Mexico	Ixtenco	2000	99.2%	99.9%	96.4%
Mexico	Ixtenco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Ixtepec	2000	63.5%	69.2%	56.5%
Mexico	Ixtepec	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.7%
Mexico	Ixtlahuaca	2000	88.2%	97.3%	73.5%
Mexico	Ixtlahuaca	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.5%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2000	95.1%	99.3%	87.1%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2000	90.0%	99.4%	65.2%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan De Los Membrillos	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan De Los Membrillos	2000	83.1%	93.3%	67.1%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan Del Rio	2017	97.9%	99.5%	93.7%
Mexico	Ixtlan	2000	88.8%	97.6%	73.1%
Mexico	Ixtlan	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.6%
Mexico	Ixtlan De Juarez	2000	83.5%	95.9%	66.0%
Mexico	Ixtlan De Juarez	2017	97.7%	99.8%	89.8%
Mexico	Ixtlan Del Rio	2000	94.7%	97.8%	89.4%
Mexico	Ixtlan Del Rio	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Izamal	2000	95.9%	98.9%	89.9%
Mexico	Izamal	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Iztacalco	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	Iztacalco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Iztapalapa	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Iztapalapa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Izucar De Matamoros	2000	95.7%	98.2%	91.0%
Mexico	Izucar De Matamoros	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Jacala De Ledezma	2000	95.7%	99.7%	86.1%
Mexico	Jacala De Ledezma	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Jacona	2000	90.7%	94.7%	84.5%
Mexico	Jacona	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.4%
Mexico	Jala	2000	94.4%	98.0%	86.1%
Mexico	Jala	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Jalacingo	2000	92.9%	99.4%	76.7%
Mexico	Jalacingo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Jalapa	2000	85.0%	95.2%	69.1%
Mexico	Jalapa	2017	98.0%	99.8%	92.7%
Mexico	Jalcomulco	2000	87.3%	99.9%	43.6%
Mexico	Jalcomulco	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jalostotitlan	2000	91.5%	99.8%	57.9%
Mexico	Jalostotitlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	Jalpa	2000	93.7%	98.4%	84.8%
Mexico	Jalpa	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Jalpa De Mendez	2000	88.7%	94.5%	80.3%
Mexico	Jalpa De Mendez	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.0%
Mexico	Jalpan	2000	86.5%	99.2%	56.1%
Mexico	Jalpan	2017	97.9%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	Jalpan De Serra	2000	88.3%	95.9%	75.7%
Mexico	Jalpan De Serra	2017	99.1%	99.9%	97.0%
Mexico	Jaltenco	2000	99.0%	99.6%	98.2%
Mexico	Jaltenco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Jaltipan	2000	84.9%	98.9%	57.3%
Mexico	Jaltipan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	Jaltocan	2000	65.1%	74.8%	53.6%
Mexico	Jaltocan	2017	97.0%	98.3%	94.0%
Mexico	Jamapa	2000	79.7%	99.0%	39.9%
Mexico	Jamapa	2017	95.7%	99.8%	78.9%
Mexico	Jamay	2000	98.0%	99.8%	88.6%
Mexico	Jamay	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Janos	2000	92.0%	97.7%	81.1%
Mexico	Janos	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	Jantetelco	2000	77.4%	85.8%	67.7%
Mexico	Jantetelco	2017	97.3%	98.9%	94.0%
Mexico	Jaral Del Progreso	2000	93.7%	99.9%	59.1%
Mexico	Jaral Del Progreso	2017	99.1%	100.0%	89.0%
Mexico	Jaumave	2000	93.3%	98.1%	82.6%
Mexico	Jaumave	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.3%
Mexico	Jerecuaro	2000	87.5%	97.1%	72.8%
Mexico	Jerecuaro	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.0%
Mexico	Jerez	2000	93.3%	97.4%	87.7%
Mexico	Jerez	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Mexico	Jesus Caranza	2000	84.0%	95.5%	64.5%
Mexico	Jesus Caranza	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.1%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2000	94.5%	98.2%	88.0%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2000	91.2%	99.4%	59.8%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.2%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2000	95.4%	98.7%	88.3%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2000	92.0%	98.8%	76.7%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.4%
Mexico	Jilotlan De Los Dolores	2000	89.5%	98.5%	71.9%
Mexico	Jilotlan De Los Dolores	2017	98.6%	99.9%	94.4%
Mexico	Jilotzingo	2000	86.7%	94.7%	73.4%
Mexico	Jilotzingo	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	93.8%	99.8%	72.2%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	98.2%	99.4%	95.3%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	97.0%	99.4%	91.6%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	83.9%	92.1%	74.6%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	97.2%	99.4%	92.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Jimenez Del Teul	2000	88.0%	98.6%	67.2%
Mexico	Jimenez Del Teul	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.0%
Mexico	Jiquilpan	2000	93.0%	97.5%	85.7%
Mexico	Jiquilpan	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.2%
Mexico	Jiquipilas	2000	88.2%	97.9%	70.0%
Mexico	Jiquipilas	2017	98.3%	99.9%	91.7%
Mexico	Jiquipilco	2000	90.1%	99.7%	56.3%
Mexico	Jiquipilco	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.0%
Mexico	Jitotol	2000	64.7%	90.6%	30.7%
Mexico	Jitotol	2017	93.2%	99.2%	74.4%
Mexico	Jiutepec	2000	96.0%	97.7%	92.4%
Mexico	Jiutepec	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Jocotepec	2000	94.2%	99.7%	77.6%
Mexico	Jocotepec	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.0%
Mexico	Jocotitlan	2000	80.4%	94.2%	57.4%
Mexico	Jocotitlan	2017	96.4%	99.7%	89.2%
Mexico	Jojutla	2000	99.1%	99.8%	97.2%
Mexico	Jojutla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Jolalpan	2000	89.0%	99.6%	53.5%
Mexico	Jolalpan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.1%
Mexico	Jonacatepec	2000	82.4%	98.4%	52.8%
Mexico	Jonacatepec	2017	97.6%	99.9%	86.6%
Mexico	Jonotla	2000	81.7%	92.0%	61.7%
Mexico	Jonotla	2017	98.3%	99.7%	91.6%
Mexico	Jonuta	2000	76.4%	88.3%	63.5%
Mexico	Jonuta	2017	94.8%	98.5%	88.0%
Mexico	Jopala	2000	73.7%	93.3%	39.9%
Mexico	Jopala	2017	96.4%	99.4%	86.3%
Mexico	Joquicingo	2000	85.2%	96.7%	63.2%
Mexico	Joquicingo	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.8%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2000	90.2%	98.3%	69.8%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2000	79.5%	91.0%	59.5%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2017	99.2%	99.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2017	96.2%	99.2%	90.1%
Mexico	Jose Joaquin De Herrera	2000	87.0%	99.8%	43.9%
Mexico	Jose Joaquin De Herrera	2017	98.1%	100.0%	83.3%
Mexico	Jose Maria Morelos	2000	88.8%	95.9%	78.7%
Mexico	Jose Maria Morelos	2017	98.4%	99.7%	95.7%
Mexico	Jose Sixto Verduzco	2000	91.8%	99.7%	64.0%
Mexico	Jose Sixto Verduzco	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Juan Aldama	2000	92.1%	97.7%	79.8%
Mexico	Juan Aldama	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.0%
Mexico	Juan C. Bonilla	2000	89.4%	96.2%	79.0%
Mexico	Juan C. Bonilla	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Juan Galindo	2000	74.3%	90.3%	60.3%
Mexico	Juan Galindo	2017	98.1%	99.6%	95.5%
Mexico	Juan N. Mendez	2000	88.4%	99.6%	54.2%
Mexico	Juan N. Mendez	2017	98.2%	100.0%	89.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Juan R. Escudero	2000	94.7%	98.4%	88.2%
Mexico	Juan R. Escudero	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.2%
Mexico	Juan Rodriguez Clara	2000	84.9%	95.7%	67.2%
Mexico	Juan Rodriguez Clara	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.7%
Mexico	Juanacatlan	2000	90.2%	97.5%	76.9%
Mexico	Juanacatlan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	92.1%	99.6%	65.1%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	91.4%	95.2%	86.5%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	86.2%	99.9%	47.7%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	89.6%	93.1%	85.6%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	82.2%	96.4%	57.1%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	98.8%	99.6%	97.4%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	97.7%	100.0%	87.0%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.7%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	97.4%	99.8%	88.9%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Juarez Hidalgo	2000	93.1%	97.6%	84.4%
Mexico	Juarez Hidalgo	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Mexico	Juchipila	2000	98.6%	99.8%	94.9%
Mexico	Juchipila	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Juchique De Ferrer	2000	82.1%	99.6%	39.9%
Mexico	Juchique De Ferrer	2017	97.2%	100.0%	81.0%
Mexico	Juchitan	2000	87.0%	99.5%	51.1%
Mexico	Juchitan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Juchitan De Zaragoza	2000	95.2%	98.2%	88.3%
Mexico	Juchitan De Zaragoza	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Juchitepec	2000	98.2%	99.9%	91.9%
Mexico	Juchitepec	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Juchitlan	2000	86.6%	99.8%	43.5%
Mexico	Juchitlan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Julimes	2000	91.8%	99.5%	69.8%
Mexico	Julimes	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.0%
Mexico	Jungapeo	2000	75.3%	86.8%	61.0%
Mexico	Jungapeo	2017	97.4%	99.4%	92.7%
Mexico	Kanasin	2000	97.4%	99.3%	94.6%
Mexico	Kanasin	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Kantunil	2000	96.6%	99.9%	83.4%
Mexico	Kantunil	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Kaua	2000	90.7%	99.8%	53.8%
Mexico	Kaua	2017	99.0%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Kinchil	2000	92.4%	99.9%	58.4%
Mexico	Kinchil	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.7%
Mexico	Kopoma	2000	91.1%	100.0%	57.8%
Mexico	Kopoma	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	La Antigua	2000	91.4%	99.9%	60.3%
Mexico	La Antigua	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.0%
Mexico	La Barca	2000	96.3%	99.1%	90.1%
Mexico	La Barca	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	La Colorada	2000	90.3%	98.6%	72.9%
Mexico	La Colorada	2017	98.8%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	La Compania	2000	86.1%	99.7%	42.8%
Mexico	La Compania	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	La Concordia	2000	89.3%	96.1%	79.5%
Mexico	La Concordia	2017	98.7%	99.7%	95.8%
Mexico	La Cruz	2000	89.4%	99.9%	49.7%
Mexico	La Cruz	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.0%
Mexico	La Grandeza	2000	77.2%	99.2%	41.4%
Mexico	La Grandeza	2017	96.2%	100.0%	83.2%
Mexico	La Huacana	2000	90.1%	98.1%	73.8%
Mexico	La Huacana	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	La Huerta	2000	91.2%	98.4%	76.9%
Mexico	La Huerta	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	La Independencia	2000	86.1%	95.2%	68.4%
Mexico	La Independencia	2017	98.5%	99.8%	92.7%
Mexico	La Libertad	2000	87.9%	97.9%	69.1%
Mexico	La Libertad	2017	98.1%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	La Magdalena Contreras	2000	91.9%	95.6%	87.4%
Mexico	La Magdalena Contreras	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlaltelulco	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlaltelulco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlatlauquitepec	2000	88.4%	100.0%	48.9%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlatlauquitepec	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.6%
Mexico	La Manzanilla De La Paz	2000	92.0%	99.9%	62.6%
Mexico	La Manzanilla De La Paz	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	La Mision	2000	91.8%	99.6%	68.7%
Mexico	La Mision	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	La Paz	2000	97.9%	98.7%	96.7%
Mexico	La Paz	2000	97.0%	98.7%	93.9%
Mexico	La Paz	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	La Paz	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	La Pe	2000	84.1%	99.8%	43.0%
Mexico	La Pe	2017	97.7%	100.0%	80.9%
Mexico	La Perla	2000	78.2%	94.6%	53.2%
Mexico	La Perla	2017	95.6%	99.5%	81.9%
Mexico	La Piedad	2000	95.2%	98.7%	85.5%
Mexico	La Piedad	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.4%
Mexico	La Reforma	2000	82.4%	99.1%	39.3%
Mexico	La Reforma	2017	97.1%	100.0%	81.7%
Mexico	La Trinidad Vista Hermosa	2000	87.0%	100.0%	43.3%
Mexico	La Trinidad Vista Hermosa	2017	97.9%	100.0%	82.5%
Mexico	La Trinitaria	2000	87.4%	96.3%	72.4%
Mexico	La Trinitaria	2017	98.5%	99.8%	93.8%
Mexico	La Union De Montes De Oca	2000	89.3%	96.8%	73.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	La Union De Isidoro Montes De Oca	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.5%
Mexico	La Yesca	2000	86.9%	95.2%	73.0%
Mexico	La Yesca	2017	98.1%	99.6%	93.1%
Mexico	Lafragua	2000	75.3%	85.3%	59.3%
Mexico	Lafragua	2017	90.9%	97.5%	82.4%
Mexico	Lagos De Moreno	2000	96.1%	98.6%	92.2%
Mexico	Lagos De Moreno	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2000	90.0%	99.5%	58.9%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2000	88.8%	99.1%	66.7%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2017	98.7%	100.0%	93.5%
Mexico	Lamadrid	2000	94.3%	99.9%	74.2%
Mexico	Lamadrid	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Lampazos De Naranjo	2000	92.6%	99.8%	60.0%
Mexico	Lampazos De Naranjo	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Landa De Matamoros	2000	90.4%	99.3%	74.7%
Mexico	Landa De Matamoros	2017	98.8%	100.0%	95.3%
Mexico	Landero Y Coss	2000	88.0%	99.9%	49.6%
Mexico	Landero Y Coss	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.2%
Mexico	Larrainzar	2000	84.8%	98.7%	53.2%
Mexico	Larrainzar	2017	97.5%	99.9%	87.0%
Mexico	Las Choapas	2000	88.6%	95.8%	75.3%
Mexico	Las Choapas	2017	98.4%	99.6%	95.5%
Mexico	Las Margaritas	2000	85.4%	94.0%	70.3%
Mexico	Las Margaritas	2017	98.0%	99.6%	93.6%
Mexico	Las Minas	2000	73.5%	95.5%	39.7%
Mexico	Las Minas	2017	95.3%	99.8%	73.6%
Mexico	Las Rosas	2000	87.1%	99.4%	43.6%
Mexico	Las Rosas	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Las Vigas De Ramirez	2000	83.7%	99.7%	33.2%
Mexico	Las Vigas De Ramirez	2017	97.8%	100.0%	83.2%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	94.4%	98.5%	83.4%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	86.6%	99.8%	36.9%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	93.3%	98.4%	83.1%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.2%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Leon	2000	88.7%	94.2%	81.2%
Mexico	Leon	2017	97.8%	99.5%	93.9%
Mexico	Leonardo Bravo	2000	78.0%	93.0%	57.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Leonardo Bravo	2017	94.5%	98.9%	87.5%
Mexico	Lerdo	2000	97.0%	98.3%	95.1%
Mexico	Lerdo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Lerdo De Tejada	2000	88.5%	99.8%	54.9%
Mexico	Lerdo De Tejada	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.9%
Mexico	Lerma	2000	90.6%	97.0%	80.7%
Mexico	Lerma	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.8%
Mexico	Libres	2000	96.2%	99.7%	87.0%
Mexico	Libres	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Linares	2000	97.1%	98.9%	94.3%
Mexico	Linares	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Llera	2000	86.2%	92.3%	76.6%
Mexico	Llera	2017	98.8%	99.6%	97.0%
Mexico	Lolotla	2000	93.7%	99.8%	77.7%
Mexico	Lolotla	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Loma Bonita	2000	82.6%	95.1%	63.3%
Mexico	Loma Bonita	2017	98.5%	99.8%	95.1%
Mexico	Lopez	2000	91.9%	99.8%	61.3%
Mexico	Lopez	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Loreto	2000	92.3%	98.1%	80.7%
Mexico	Loreto	2000	97.2%	99.3%	92.1%
Mexico	Loreto	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Mexico	Loreto	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Los Aldamas	2000	93.7%	99.7%	67.8%
Mexico	Los Aldamas	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Los Cabos	2000	98.1%	99.2%	95.7%
Mexico	Los Cabos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Los Herreras	2000	98.5%	99.9%	94.9%
Mexico	Los Herreras	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Los Ramones	2000	92.3%	98.6%	80.8%
Mexico	Los Ramones	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2000	75.1%	96.6%	29.5%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2000	81.1%	94.9%	60.8%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2017	94.0%	99.8%	59.8%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2017	97.5%	99.8%	89.7%
Mexico	Los Reyes De Juarez	2000	95.7%	98.5%	89.9%
Mexico	Los Reyes De Juarez	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Luis Moya	2000	97.4%	99.2%	93.5%
Mexico	Luis Moya	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Luvianos	2000	84.9%	99.0%	56.6%
Mexico	Luvianos	2017	97.9%	100.0%	87.2%
Mexico	Macuspana	2000	81.4%	89.0%	72.8%
Mexico	Macuspana	2017	97.4%	99.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Madera	2000	96.1%	98.4%	92.1%
Mexico	Madera	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Madero	2000	90.4%	98.7%	68.8%
Mexico	Madero	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.9%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	93.3%	99.8%	57.2%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	75.1%	99.8%	21.7%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	98.6%	99.9%	92.9%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	94.9%	100.0%	64.9%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	76.8%	89.4%	60.6%
Mexico	Apasco				
Mexico	Magdalena Apasco	2017	98.3%	99.7%	94.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Magdalena Jaltepec	2000	85.4%	99.5%	52.7%
Mexico	Magdalena Jaltepec	2017	97.9%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	Magdalena Mixtepec	2000	60.1%	89.4%	23.1%
Mexico	Magdalena Mixtepec	2017	89.4%	99.5%	61.4%
Mexico	Magdalena Ocotlan	2000	61.2%	88.2%	33.6%
Mexico	Magdalena Ocotlan	2017	90.6%	99.5%	72.3%
Mexico	Magdalena Penasco	2000	85.2%	99.2%	46.5%
Mexico	Magdalena Penasco	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.0%
Mexico	Magdalena Teitipac	2000	92.0%	99.9%	58.4%
Mexico	Magdalena Teitipac	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Magdalena Tequisistlan	2000	89.4%	99.3%	51.6%
Mexico	Magdalena Tequisistlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	Magdalena Tlacotepec	2000	90.4%	100.0%	53.9%
Mexico	Magdalena Tlacotepec	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Magdalena Yodocono De Porfirio Diaz	2000	83.0%	99.8%	39.6%
Mexico	Magdalena Yodocono De Porfirio Diaz	2017	97.6%	100.0%	85.7%
Mexico	Magdalena Zahuatlan	2000	83.7%	99.1%	46.2%
Mexico	Magdalena Zahuatlan	2017	97.6%	100.0%	84.6%
Mexico	Maguarichi	2000	89.7%	98.4%	66.0%
Mexico	Maguarichi	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.8%
Mexico	Mainero	2000	90.0%	99.6%	52.1%
Mexico	Mainero	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Malinalco	2000	89.5%	99.5%	61.1%
Mexico	Malinalco	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Malinaltepec	2000	65.4%	81.7%	46.7%
Mexico	Malinaltepec	2017	88.5%	95.1%	76.5%
Mexico	Maltrata	2000	85.1%	98.8%	45.0%
Mexico	Maltrata	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.2%
Mexico	Mama	2000	96.6%	100.0%	78.0%
Mexico	Mama	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Mani	2000	91.5%	99.6%	66.2%
Mexico	Mani	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Manlio Fabio Altamirano	2000	89.0%	97.1%	72.7%
Mexico	Manlio Fabio Altamirano	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Manuel Benavides	2000	94.3%	98.3%	87.7%
Mexico	Manuel Benavides	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.1%
Mexico	Manuel Doblado	2000	95.3%	99.5%	87.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Manuel Doblado	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Manzanillo	2000	93.8%	99.0%	79.0%
Mexico	Manzanillo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Mapastepec	2000	75.4%	84.6%	65.5%
Mexico	Mapastepec	2017	97.7%	99.1%	95.0%
Mexico	Mapimi	2000	92.1%	97.1%	81.3%
Mexico	Mapimi	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Mexico	Maravatio	2000	90.6%	96.8%	80.8%
Mexico	Maravatio	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.2%
Mexico	Maravilla	2000	84.1%	98.9%	56.4%
Mexico	Tenejapa Maravilla	2017	97.7%	99.9%	89.2%
Mexico	Tenejapa Marcos Castellanos	2000	90.5%	99.5%	63.4%
Mexico	Marcos Castellanos	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Mariano Escobedo	2000	88.6%	96.5%	71.6%
Mexico	Mariano Escobedo	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.1%
Mexico	Marin	2000	90.2%	99.6%	59.6%
Mexico	Marin	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Mariscal De Juarez	2000	82.9%	98.1%	42.8%
Mexico	Mariscal De Juarez	2017	97.8%	99.9%	85.6%
Mexico	Marquelia	2000	89.3%	99.5%	57.4%
Mexico	Marquelia	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Marques De Comillas	2000	83.7%	96.2%	62.3%
Mexico	Marques De Comillas	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.1%
Mexico	Martinez De La Torre	2000	90.4%	96.5%	81.4%
Mexico	Martinez De La Torre	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Martir De Cuilapan	2000	89.3%	98.4%	68.0%
Mexico	Martir De Cuilapan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.5%
Mexico	Martires De Tacubaya	2000	87.8%	99.9%	45.8%
Mexico	Martires De Tacubaya	2017	98.3%	100.0%	84.3%
Mexico	Mascota	2000	90.6%	98.2%	69.6%
Mexico	Mascota	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Matachi	2000	90.3%	99.6%	58.1%
Mexico	Matachi	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	95.3%	98.4%	88.7%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	90.9%	98.7%	71.1%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	94.3%	97.8%	87.6%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.4%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Matehuala	2000	88.8%	95.8%	75.2%
Mexico	Matehuala	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.4%
Mexico	Matias Romero Avendano	2000	83.8%	90.9%	73.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Matias Romero Avendano	2017	98.5%	99.5%	96.2%
Mexico	Matlapa	2000	78.9%	87.8%	68.1%
Mexico	Matlapa	2017	98.5%	99.4%	96.1%
Mexico	Maxcanu	2000	94.9%	99.2%	80.4%
Mexico	Maxcanu	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Mayapan	2000	92.2%	100.0%	53.7%
Mexico	Mayapan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	91.1%
Mexico	Mazamitla	2000	93.6%	99.8%	70.8%
Mexico	Mazamitla	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.5%
Mexico	Mazapa De Madero	2000	80.6%	99.7%	38.8%
Mexico	Mazapa De Madero	2017	96.3%	100.0%	73.8%
Mexico	Mazapil	2000	87.1%	93.0%	79.2%
Mexico	Mazapil	2017	98.4%	99.5%	95.8%
Mexico	Mazapiltepec	2000	95.7%	99.2%	87.6%
Mexico	Mazapiltepec De Juarez	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Mazatan	2000	94.0%	99.9%	67.1%
Mexico	Mazatan	2000	87.9%	99.5%	58.0%
Mexico	Mazatan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Mazatan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	Mazatecochco De Jose Maria Morelos	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.7%
Mexico	Mazatecochco De Jose Maria Morelos	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Mazatepec	2000	90.1%	98.0%	72.9%
Mexico	Mazatepec	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.9%
Mexico	Mazatlan	2000	98.0%	99.1%	95.5%
Mexico	Mazatlan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Mazatlan De Villa Flores	2000	73.9%	86.1%	55.1%
Mexico	Mazatlan De Villa Flores	2017	97.6%	99.2%	92.6%
Mexico	Mecatlan	2000	45.4%	63.0%	31.1%
Mexico	Mecatlan	2017	89.4%	95.9%	79.1%
Mexico	Mecayapan	2000	87.7%	98.6%	57.9%
Mexico	Mecayapan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Medellin	2000	82.4%	89.4%	72.8%
Mexico	Medellin	2017	95.9%	98.2%	90.6%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	93.2%	96.9%	87.6%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	85.9%	97.7%	63.1%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.1%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	97.8%	99.9%	89.5%
Mexico	Mendez	2000	92.9%	98.7%	79.9%
Mexico	Mendez	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Meoqui	2000	86.7%	95.3%	71.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Meoqui	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.7%
Mexico	Merida	2000	96.9%	98.5%	94.3%
Mexico	Merida	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Mesones Hidalgo	2000	85.1%	99.4%	52.5%
Mexico	Mesones Hidalgo	2017	98.4%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Metapa	2000	95.9%	99.5%	85.7%
Mexico	Metapa	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Metepec	2000	97.0%	98.5%	94.3%
Mexico	Metepec	2000	95.6%	98.9%	87.8%
Mexico	Metepec	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Metepec	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Metlatonoc	2000	84.3%	95.6%	68.5%
Mexico	Metlatonoc	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.6%
Mexico	Metztitlan	2000	88.1%	99.0%	63.6%
Mexico	Metztitlan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.4%
Mexico	Mexicali	2000	97.9%	98.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Mexicali	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Mexicaltzingo	2000	98.0%	99.8%	89.7%
Mexico	Mexicaltzingo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Mexquitic De Carmona	2000	74.2%	85.7%	62.2%
Mexico	Mexquitic De Carmona	2017	96.3%	98.7%	90.4%
Mexico	Mexticacan	2000	88.4%	99.5%	55.3%
Mexico	Mexticacan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	Mezquital	2000	90.0%	94.4%	82.2%
Mexico	Mezquital	2017	98.8%	99.6%	96.6%
Mexico	Mezquital Del Oro	2000	90.0%	99.8%	47.7%
Mexico	Mezquital Del Oro	2017	98.7%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	Mezquitic	2000	72.3%	83.6%	58.4%
Mexico	Mezquitic	2017	93.5%	97.9%	86.8%
Mexico	Miacatlan	2000	92.9%	98.2%	81.7%
Mexico	Miacatlan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Miahuatlan	2000	90.0%	99.9%	55.0%
Mexico	Miahuatlan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Miahuatlan De Porfirio Diaz	2000	79.3%	89.6%	69.1%
Mexico	Miahuatlan De Porfirio Diaz	2017	98.3%	99.5%	94.6%
Mexico	Mier	2000	84.6%	99.1%	49.6%
Mexico	Mier	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Mier Y Noriega	2000	91.6%	98.5%	76.4%
Mexico	Mier Y Noriega	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.9%
Mexico	Miguel Aleman	2000	92.3%	98.5%	73.2%
Mexico	Miguel Aleman	2017	99.5%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Miguel Auza	2000	95.4%	98.6%	90.5%
Mexico	Miguel Auza	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Miguel Hidalgo	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Mexico	Miguel Hidalgo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Milpa Alta	2000	96.7%	99.8%	86.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Milpa Alta	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Mina	2000	98.1%	99.6%	93.7%
Mexico	Mina	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2000	91.8%	94.3%	89.1%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2000	98.0%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.4%
Mexico	Mineral De La Reforma	2000	94.8%	98.8%	86.8%
Mexico	Mineral De La Reforma	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Mineral Del Chico	2000	93.6%	99.7%	80.6%
Mexico	Mineral Del Chico	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.9%
Mexico	Mineral Del Monte	2000	78.1%	99.2%	38.1%
Mexico	Mineral Del Monte	2017	96.7%	100.0%	81.4%
Mexico	Miquihuana	2000	91.2%	99.2%	64.3%
Mexico	Miquihuana	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Misantla	2000	55.8%	67.9%	43.9%
Mexico	Misantla	2017	86.9%	94.9%	77.4%
Mexico	Mitontic	2000	78.0%	99.3%	41.6%
Mexico	Mitontic	2017	96.2%	100.0%	78.9%
Mexico	Mixistlan De La Reforma	2000	80.9%	99.9%	25.5%
Mexico	Mixistlan De La Reforma	2017	96.4%	100.0%	68.9%
Mexico	Mixquiahuala De Juarez	2000	99.0%	99.9%	94.7%
Mexico	Mixquiahuala De Juarez	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Mixtla	2000	93.7%	99.5%	79.9%
Mexico	Mixtla	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Mixtla De Altamirano	2000	84.7%	99.8%	43.8%
Mexico	Mixtla De Altamirano	2017	97.8%	100.0%	86.0%
Mexico	Mixtlan	2000	92.1%	99.4%	75.2%
Mexico	Mixtlan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Mochitlan	2000	89.8%	99.1%	69.9%
Mexico	Mochitlan	2017	98.9%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Mococho	2000	91.9%	100.0%	50.5%
Mexico	Mococho	2017	99.1%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Mocorito	2000	93.4%	97.8%	85.6%
Mexico	Mocorito	2017	99.3%	99.9%	98.1%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2000	93.3%	100.0%	63.3%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2000	89.9%	96.4%	79.7%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Molango De Escamilla	2000	96.6%	99.8%	86.9%
Mexico	Molango De Escamilla	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Molcaxac	2000	83.2%	99.4%	46.7%
Mexico	Molcaxac	2017	97.7%	100.0%	87.3%
Mexico	Moloacan	2000	72.2%	92.5%	34.2%
Mexico	Moloacan	2017	93.4%	99.2%	71.8%
Mexico	Momax	2000	90.8%	99.9%	59.9%
Mexico	Momax	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	Monclova	2000	98.8%	99.5%	97.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Monclova	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Monjas	2000	82.8%	98.2%	52.7%
Mexico	Monjas	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Monte Es-cobedo	2000	89.0%	97.7%	68.9%
Mexico	Monte Es-cobedo	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.3%
Mexico	Montecristo De Guerrero	2000	82.5%	99.0%	46.5%
Mexico	Montecristo De Guerrero	2017	97.5%	100.0%	81.0%
Mexico	Montemorelos	2000	97.2%	99.2%	94.0%
Mexico	Montemorelos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Monterrey	2000	99.2%	99.7%	98.5%
Mexico	Monterrey	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Morelia	2000	79.6%	89.3%	66.5%
Mexico	Morelia	2017	94.9%	98.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	82.0%	91.7%	68.5%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	98.8%	99.9%	89.0%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	84.3%	95.7%	61.1%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	96.8%	99.6%	87.5%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	97.3%	99.7%	88.7%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	97.3%	99.2%	93.5%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	98.4%	99.8%	93.0%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Moris	2000	88.2%	98.2%	64.2%
Mexico	Moris	2017	98.6%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Moroleon	2000	98.6%	99.8%	95.4%
Mexico	Moroleon	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Motozintla	2000	87.6%	97.5%	71.5%
Mexico	Motozintla	2017	98.4%	99.9%	93.3%
Mexico	Motul	2000	95.3%	98.1%	91.2%
Mexico	Motul	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Moyahua De Estrada	2000	89.2%	99.7%	56.5%
Mexico	Moyahua De Estrada	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.7%
Mexico	Mugica	2000	86.4%	99.4%	50.0%
Mexico	Mugica	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Mulege	2000	75.9%	81.3%	69.0%
Mexico	Mulege	2017	97.3%	98.4%	95.8%
Mexico	Muna	2000	98.9%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Muna	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Munoz De Domingo Arenas	2000	99.2%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	Munoz De Domingo Arenas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Muxupip	2000	98.4%	99.7%	95.4%
Mexico	Muxupip	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Muzquiz	2000	97.2%	99.3%	91.7%
Mexico	Muzquiz	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Nacajuca	2000	91.9%	97.7%	82.7%
Mexico	Nacajuca	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.4%
Mexico	Naco	2000	95.0%	99.9%	72.0%
Mexico	Naco	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Nacori Chico	2000	88.8%	98.9%	57.0%
Mexico	Nacori Chico	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.4%
Mexico	Nacozari De Garcia	2000	97.1%	100.0%	81.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Nacozari De Garcia	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.9%
Mexico	Nadadores	2000	96.4%	99.7%	80.2%
Mexico	Nadadores	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Nahuatzen	2000	91.9%	99.4%	70.3%
Mexico	Nahuatzen	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Namiquipa	2000	85.0%	90.4%	78.2%
Mexico	Namiquipa	2017	98.4%	99.4%	96.5%
Mexico	Nanacamilpa De Mariano Arista	2000	98.9%	99.9%	93.9%
Mexico	Nanacamilpa De Mariano Arista	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Nanchital De Lazaro Cardenas Del Rio	2000	93.3%	97.7%	86.5%
Mexico	Nanchital De Lazaro Cardenas Del Rio	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Naolinco	2000	91.6%	99.9%	59.0%
Mexico	Naolinco	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Naranjal	2000	72.8%	96.9%	37.7%
Mexico	Naranjal	2017	95.5%	99.9%	81.6%
Mexico	Naranjos Amatlan	2000	91.5%	98.3%	79.3%
Mexico	Naranjos Amatlan	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Mexico	Natividad	2000	87.6%	99.6%	40.9%
Mexico	Natividad	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.5%
Mexico	Nativitas	2000	95.5%	97.7%	91.8%
Mexico	Nativitas	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	Naucalpan De Juarez	2000	94.9%	97.8%	90.2%
Mexico	Naucalpan De Juarez	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Naupan	2000	85.8%	99.7%	47.8%
Mexico	Naupan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.1%
Mexico	Nautla	2000	86.8%	97.0%	68.0%
Mexico	Nautla	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.3%
Mexico	Nauzontla	2000	76.5%	99.6%	23.3%
Mexico	Nauzontla	2017	95.6%	100.0%	71.5%
Mexico	Nava	2000	95.2%	99.4%	83.2%
Mexico	Nava	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Navojoa	2000	97.3%	98.7%	95.0%
Mexico	Navojoa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Navolato	2000	93.6%	97.6%	85.7%
Mexico	Navolato	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Mexico	Nazareno Etna	2000	89.9%	95.5%	82.8%
Mexico	Nazareno Etna	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Mexico	Nazas	2000	94.0%	99.2%	76.7%
Mexico	Nazas	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Nealtican	2000	95.8%	98.8%	88.7%
Mexico	Nealtican	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Nejapa De Madero	2000	86.3%	99.2%	53.0%
Mexico	Nejapa De Madero	2017	98.1%	100.0%	87.1%
Mexico	Nextlalpan	2000	95.9%	98.4%	92.5%
Mexico	Nextlalpan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Nezahualcoyotl	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.9%
Mexico	Nezahualcoyotl	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Nicolas Bravo	2000	94.3%	99.4%	76.2%
Mexico	Nicolas Bravo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.7%
Mexico	Nicolas Flores	2000	88.0%	99.8%	51.8%
Mexico	Nicolas Flores	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Nicolas Romero	2000	93.4%	96.9%	84.9%
Mexico	Nicolas Romero	2017	99.6%	99.9%	97.9%
Mexico	Nicolas Ruiz	2000	99.0%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	Nicolas Ruiz	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Nochistlan De Mejia	2000	96.4%	99.0%	92.1%
Mexico	Nochistlan De Mejia	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Nocupetaro	2000	88.8%	99.2%	64.7%
Mexico	Nocupetaro	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	Nogales	2000	50.9%	61.7%	40.9%
Mexico	Nogales	2000	98.6%	99.7%	95.6%
Mexico	Nogales	2017	94.1%	96.7%	90.0%
Mexico	Nogales	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Nombre De Dios	2000	93.7%	98.8%	82.8%
Mexico	Nombre De Dios	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Nonoava	2000	90.3%	99.1%	60.0%
Mexico	Nonoava	2017	98.9%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Nopala De Villagran	2000	87.2%	99.1%	59.8%
Mexico	Nopala De Villagran	2017	98.4%	100.0%	91.6%
Mexico	Nopaltepec	2000	92.9%	99.5%	62.8%
Mexico	Nopaltepec	2017	99.3%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Nopalucan	2000	93.0%	99.9%	62.6%
Mexico	Nopalucan	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Noria De Angeles	2000	95.0%	99.7%	82.9%
Mexico	Noria De Angeles	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Nuevo Casas Grandes	2000	95.5%	98.3%	90.7%
Mexico	Nuevo Casas Grandes	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Nuevo Ideal	2000	90.2%	96.9%	77.7%
Mexico	Nuevo Ideal	2017	98.9%	99.9%	96.4%
Mexico	Nuevo Laredo	2000	90.4%	95.7%	81.6%
Mexico	Nuevo Laredo	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.4%
Mexico	Nuevo Morelos	2000	89.5%	99.8%	46.5%
Mexico	Nuevo Morelos	2017	98.3%	100.0%	82.8%
Mexico	Nuevo Parangaricutiro	2000	97.3%	99.8%	89.6%
Mexico	Nuevo Parangaricutiro	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Nuevo Urecho	2000	88.4%	99.6%	55.9%
Mexico	Nuevo Urecho	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.8%
Mexico	Nuevo Zoquiapam	2000	88.4%	99.8%	46.1%
Mexico	Nuevo Zoquiapam	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Numaran	2000	88.3%	99.9%	55.5%
Mexico	Numaran	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.2%
Mexico	Oaxaca De	2000	86.5%	88.6%	84.0%
	Juarez				
Mexico	Oaxaca De	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
	Juarez				
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	91.9%	97.8%	80.4%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	90.4%	98.5%	75.2%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	91.3%	98.6%	77.4%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	71.7%	82.4%	58.8%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	88.0%	99.8%	54.4%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	90.3%	97.0%	80.5%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	92.6%	96.7%	85.1%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Ocosingo	2000	81.9%	87.6%	73.3%
Mexico	Ocosingo	2017	97.0%	98.7%	94.4%
Mexico	Ocotepc	2000	82.9%	98.6%	54.0%
Mexico	Ocotepc	2000	88.9%	100.0%	44.4%
Mexico	Ocotepc	2017	98.5%	100.0%	92.1%
Mexico	Ocotepc	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.3%
Mexico	Ocotlan	2000	94.2%	97.1%	89.9%
Mexico	Ocotlan	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Ocotlan De	2000	56.8%	74.7%	37.5%
	Morelos				
Mexico	Ocotlan De	2017	89.3%	96.8%	77.3%
	Morelos				
Mexico	Ocoyoacac	2000	82.4%	96.7%	54.9%
Mexico	Ocoyoacac	2017	98.4%	99.9%	92.4%
Mexico	Ocoyucan	2000	74.4%	80.9%	67.8%
Mexico	Ocoyucan	2017	95.7%	97.6%	93.6%
Mexico	Ocozocoautla	2000	90.0%	95.9%	82.8%
	De Espinosa				
Mexico	Ocozocoautla	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.9%
	De Espinosa				
Mexico	Ocuilan	2000	88.1%	99.4%	56.0%
Mexico	Ocuilan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	Ocuituco	2000	97.4%	99.1%	93.5%
Mexico	Ocuituco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Ojinaga	2000	91.5%	98.0%	75.8%
Mexico	Ojinaga	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.7%
Mexico	Ojocaliente	2000	94.5%	98.8%	83.3%
Mexico	Ojocaliente	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.2%
Mexico	Ojuelos De	2000	91.7%	98.8%	69.8%
	Jalisco				
Mexico	Ojuelos De	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.7%
	Jalisco				
Mexico	Olinala	2000	86.2%	97.0%	61.9%
Mexico	Olinala	2017	98.5%	99.9%	92.3%
Mexico	Olintla	2000	50.8%	59.3%	41.8%
Mexico	Olintla	2017	92.0%	94.2%	89.0%
Mexico	Oluta	2000	84.7%	99.7%	48.2%
Mexico	Oluta	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	Omealca	2000	81.3%	94.0%	61.6%
Mexico	Omealca	2017	98.1%	99.7%	94.3%
Mexico	Ometepec	2000	77.2%	85.5%	66.5%
Mexico	Ometepec	2017	98.2%	99.3%	96.2%
Mexico	Omitlan De	2000	83.7%	99.5%	47.5%
	Juarez				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Omitlan De Juarez	2017	97.3%	100.0%	86.5%
Mexico	Onavas	2000	90.6%	99.9%	51.7%
Mexico	Onavas	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.7%
Mexico	Opichen	2000	87.5%	99.9%	42.5%
Mexico	Opichen	2017	97.9%	100.0%	81.1%
Mexico	Opodepe	2000	92.9%	99.7%	69.5%
Mexico	Opodepe	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Oquitoa	2000	90.7%	99.9%	38.5%
Mexico	Oquitoa	2017	98.5%	100.0%	84.4%
Mexico	Oriental	2000	93.8%	99.8%	76.4%
Mexico	Oriental	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.7%
Mexico	Orizaba	2000	88.8%	92.2%	85.3%
Mexico	Orizaba	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Mexico	Ostuacan	2000	78.3%	95.9%	51.6%
Mexico	Ostuacan	2017	96.2%	99.8%	83.4%
Mexico	Osumacinta	2000	83.0%	99.1%	39.6%
Mexico	Osumacinta	2017	97.3%	100.0%	80.3%
Mexico	Otaez	2000	87.5%	97.1%	69.6%
Mexico	Otaez	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.5%
Mexico	Otatitlan	2000	70.6%	95.2%	27.3%
Mexico	Otatitlan	2017	90.9%	99.5%	63.5%
Mexico	Oteapan	2000	88.1%	99.8%	68.1%
Mexico	Oteapan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Othon Blanco	P. 2000	89.9%	94.2%	84.6%
Mexico	Othon Blanco	P. 2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.3%
Mexico	Otumba	2000	96.0%	99.9%	76.0%
Mexico	Otumba	2017	99.6%	100.0%	95.9%
Mexico	Otzoloapan	2000	87.3%	99.6%	51.1%
Mexico	Otzoloapan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Otzolotepec	2000	95.5%	99.9%	76.5%
Mexico	Otzolotepec	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Oxchuc	2000	83.0%	96.1%	54.7%
Mexico	Oxchuc	2017	98.0%	99.8%	89.9%
Mexico	Oxkutzcab	2000	90.7%	99.4%	67.4%
Mexico	Oxkutzcab	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Ozuluama De Mascarenas	2000	86.2%	95.7%	67.6%
Mexico	Ozuluama De Mascarenas	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.2%
Mexico	Ozumba	2000	91.7%	100.0%	50.9%
Mexico	Ozumba	2017	98.9%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Pabellon De Arteaga	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.5%
Mexico	Pabellon De Arteaga	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Pachuca De Soto	2000	96.2%	98.8%	92.2%
Mexico	Pachuca De Soto	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Pacula	2000	91.6%	99.7%	61.9%
Mexico	Pacula	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.7%
Mexico	Padilla	2000	93.8%	99.8%	70.5%
Mexico	Padilla	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.9%
Mexico	Pahuatlan	2000	85.9%	99.6%	33.3%
Mexico	Pahuatlan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	81.3%
Mexico	Pajacuaran	2000	91.5%	99.9%	66.3%
Mexico	Pajacuaran	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Pajapan	2000	86.4%	99.4%	55.0%
Mexico	Pajapan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Palenque	2000	83.9%	91.6%	70.9%
Mexico	Palenque	2017	97.6%	99.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Palizada	2000	91.5%	95.6%	85.0%
Mexico	Palizada	2017	98.4%	99.5%	96.7%
Mexico	Palmar De Bravo	2000	92.3%	97.5%	82.5%
Mexico	Palmar De Bravo	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.3%
Mexico	Pamillas	2000	91.8%	99.5%	69.8%
Mexico	Pamillas	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.4%
Mexico	Panaba	2000	92.0%	99.5%	65.7%
Mexico	Panaba	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Panindicuaro	2000	92.8%	99.7%	72.6%
Mexico	Panindicuaro	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Panotla	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	Panotla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Pantelho	2000	71.1%	87.5%	54.0%
Mexico	Pantelho	2017	96.5%	99.2%	90.2%
Mexico	Pantepec	2000	59.4%	77.4%	37.2%
Mexico	Pantepec	2000	86.9%	98.9%	60.7%
Mexico	Pantepec	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Pantepec	2017	95.3%	98.6%	85.9%
Mexico	Panuco	2000	85.6%	93.4%	70.7%
Mexico	Panuco	2000	94.4%	99.5%	81.6%
Mexico	Panuco	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Panuco	2017	98.6%	99.6%	96.0%
Mexico	Panuco De Coronado	2000	95.5%	99.2%	86.2%
Mexico	Panuco De Coronado	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Papalotla	2000	95.9%	100.0%	63.5%
Mexico	Papalotla	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.1%
Mexico	Papalotla De Xicohtencatl	2000	97.3%	98.8%	94.5%
Mexico	Papalotla De Xicohtencatl	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Papantla	2000	82.6%	89.5%	73.5%
Mexico	Papantla	2017	98.2%	99.4%	95.6%
Mexico	Paracho	2000	89.4%	99.5%	54.1%
Mexico	Paracho	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Paracuaro	2000	89.8%	98.7%	68.1%
Mexico	Paracuaro	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Paraiso	2000	85.8%	95.0%	67.6%
Mexico	Paraiso	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.1%
Mexico	Paras	2000	91.7%	99.7%	57.5%
Mexico	Paras	2017	99.1%	100.0%	90.9%
Mexico	Parras	2000	94.7%	98.2%	88.7%
Mexico	Parras	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Paso De Ovejas	2000	87.9%	99.2%	60.4%
Mexico	Paso De Ovejas	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Paso Del Macho	2000	76.2%	95.7%	49.1%
Mexico	Paso Del Macho	2017	96.7%	99.7%	87.8%
Mexico	Patzcuaro	2000	94.2%	97.6%	88.2%
Mexico	Patzcuaro	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	Pedro Ascencio Alquisiras	2000	88.4%	99.4%	54.0%
Mexico	Pedro Ascencio Alquisiras	2017	98.4%	100.0%	86.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Pedro Es-cobedo	2000	96.1%	99.5%	86.5%
Mexico	Pedro Es-cobedo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Penamiller	2000	84.8%	96.5%	66.4%
Mexico	Penamiller	2017	98.0%	99.8%	91.6%
Mexico	Penjamillo	2000	89.6%	97.7%	72.9%
Mexico	Penjamillo	2017	99.0%	99.9%	94.6%
Mexico	Penjamo	2000	89.6%	97.8%	73.3%
Mexico	Penjamo	2017	98.9%	99.9%	93.9%
Mexico	Penon Blanco	2000	90.3%	97.1%	79.1%
Mexico	Penon Blanco	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.9%
Mexico	Periban	2000	90.9%	98.8%	73.0%
Mexico	Periban	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.1%
Mexico	Perote	2000	79.1%	90.8%	64.5%
Mexico	Perote	2017	98.0%	99.5%	94.5%
Mexico	Pesqueria	2000	94.9%	97.9%	90.3%
Mexico	Pesqueria	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Petatlan	2000	91.5%	98.8%	66.6%
Mexico	Petatlan	2017	98.9%	99.9%	92.9%
Mexico	Petlalcingo	2000	96.3%	99.9%	84.8%
Mexico	Petlalcingo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Peto	2000	90.3%	98.9%	65.0%
Mexico	Peto	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.4%
Mexico	Piaxtla	2000	89.8%	98.6%	72.7%
Mexico	Piaxtla	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Pichucalco	2000	78.6%	91.7%	62.8%
Mexico	Pichucalco	2017	97.8%	99.6%	94.0%
Mexico	Piedras Ne-gras	2000	96.6%	99.3%	90.8%
Mexico	Piedras Ne-gras	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Pihuamo	2000	90.7%	99.5%	64.3%
Mexico	Pihuamo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Pijijiapan	2000	84.3%	92.0%	74.5%
Mexico	Pijijiapan	2017	98.1%	99.5%	95.1%
Mexico	Pilcaya	2000	75.0%	91.7%	47.5%
Mexico	Pilcaya	2017	93.5%	98.5%	83.0%
Mexico	Pinal De Amoles	2000	67.5%	77.3%	55.2%
Mexico	Pinal De Amoles	2017	90.0%	96.2%	81.6%
Mexico	Pinos	2000	86.8%	93.2%	77.9%
Mexico	Pinos	2017	98.4%	99.5%	96.5%
Mexico	Pinotepa De Don Luis	2000	84.0%	99.7%	45.9%
Mexico	Pinotepa De Don Luis	2017	98.0%	100.0%	87.9%
Mexico	Pisaflores	2000	85.2%	99.0%	56.5%
Mexico	Pisaflores	2017	98.3%	100.0%	90.0%
Mexico	Pitiquito	2000	94.1%	99.5%	79.3%
Mexico	Pitiquito	2017	99.1%	99.9%	94.0%
Mexico	Platon Sanchez	2000	77.3%	98.6%	41.0%
Mexico	Platon Sanchez	2017	95.9%	99.9%	77.1%
Mexico	Playa Vicente	2000	87.1%	96.2%	73.2%
Mexico	Playa Vicente	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.4%
Mexico	Playas De Rosarito	2000	98.4%	99.6%	95.8%
Mexico	Playas De Rosarito	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Pluma Hidalgo	2000	86.3%	99.9%	38.7%
Mexico	Pluma Hidalgo	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	Poanas	2000	91.4%	96.1%	83.9%
Mexico	Poanas	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Polotitlan	2000	87.3%	99.8%	53.1%
Mexico	Polotitlan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.4%
Mexico	Poncitlan	2000	93.8%	98.4%	84.1%
Mexico	Poncitlan	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Poza Rica De Hidalgo	2000	93.2%	96.6%	87.6%
Mexico	Poza Rica De Hidalgo	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Praxedis Guerrero	2000	93.2%	99.8%	68.6%
Mexico	Praxedis Guerrero	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Progreso	2000	93.7%	98.7%	82.5%
Mexico	Progreso	2000	96.0%	99.3%	89.7%
Mexico	Progreso	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Progreso	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Progreso De Obregon	2000	98.6%	99.6%	96.2%
Mexico	Progreso De Obregon	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Puebla	2000	85.4%	88.3%	82.2%
Mexico	Puebla	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.1%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	91.7%	99.8%	60.8%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	88.2%	93.5%	81.0%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	98.7%	99.3%	97.6%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	73.2%	97.1%	37.9%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo Solistahuacan	2017	95.1%	99.9%	75.1%
Mexico	Pueblo Viejo	2000	98.7%	99.7%	96.0%
Mexico	Pueblo Viejo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Puente De Ixtla	2000	95.4%	98.2%	90.1%
Mexico	Puente De Ixtla	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Puente Nacional	2000	88.4%	97.3%	72.4%
Mexico	Puente Nacional	2017	98.6%	99.9%	92.2%
Mexico	Puerto Peñasco	2000	97.7%	99.9%	85.1%
Mexico	Puerto Peñasco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Puerto Vallarta	2000	94.8%	97.8%	90.7%
Mexico	Puerto Vallarta	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Mexico	Pungarabato	2000	84.4%	98.3%	61.4%
Mexico	Pungarabato	2017	98.5%	100.0%	92.1%
Mexico	Purepero	2000	92.0%	98.8%	73.5%
Mexico	Purepero	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Purisima Del Rincon	2000	96.1%	99.3%	89.5%
Mexico	Purisima Del Rincon	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Puruandiro	2000	95.0%	99.2%	86.2%
Mexico	Puruandiro	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Putla Villa De Guerrero	2000	87.6%	94.9%	75.6%
Mexico	Putla Villa De Guerrero	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.0%
Mexico	Quecholac	2000	97.5%	99.9%	87.9%
Mexico	Quecholac	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Quechultenango	2000	86.8%	97.4%	63.1%
Mexico	Quechultenango	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.0%
Mexico	Querendaro	2000	88.0%	98.9%	64.5%
Mexico	Querendaro	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Queretaro	2000	86.7%	93.0%	80.1%
Mexico	Queretaro	2017	96.1%	99.1%	91.5%
Mexico	Quimixtlan	2000	71.5%	97.0%	38.9%
Mexico	Quimixtlan	2017	93.9%	99.9%	76.3%
Mexico	Quintana Roo	2000	90.0%	100.0%	47.9%
Mexico	Quintana Roo	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	Quiriego	2000	93.0%	98.7%	82.7%
Mexico	Quiriego	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.9%
Mexico	Quiroga	2000	89.6%	99.6%	56.3%
Mexico	Quiroga	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	Quitupan	2000	86.2%	99.1%	62.2%
Mexico	Quitupan	2017	98.1%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Rafael Delgado	2000	74.1%	91.4%	52.7%
Mexico	Rafael Delgado	2017	97.4%	99.7%	91.4%
Mexico	Rafael Lara Grajales	2000	92.9%	100.0%	55.0%
Mexico	Rafael Lara Grajales	2017	99.4%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Rafael Lucio	2000	86.9%	93.9%	73.4%
Mexico	Rafael Lucio	2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.2%
Mexico	Ramos Arizpe	2000	98.6%	99.4%	97.2%
Mexico	Ramos Arizpe	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	80.4%	95.5%	48.1%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	90.3%	99.9%	53.2%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	54.2%	70.3%	39.0%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	97.1%	99.5%	89.0%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	94.4%	98.1%	86.8%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	95.8%	99.6%	80.2%
Mexico	Rayones	2000	90.9%	99.3%	70.4%
Mexico	Rayones	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	Reforma	2000	90.3%	97.6%	77.1%
Mexico	Reforma	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Mexico	Reforma De Pineda	2000	79.9%	99.2%	41.4%
Mexico	Reforma De Pineda	2017	97.6%	100.0%	84.3%
Mexico	Reyes Etla	2000	87.1%	93.6%	78.2%
Mexico	Reyes Etla	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Mexico	Reynosa	2000	93.6%	96.3%	89.9%
Mexico	Reynosa	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Mexico	Rincon De Romos	2000	98.7%	99.9%	95.2%
Mexico	Rincon De Romos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Rio Blanco	2000	84.4%	87.6%	80.9%
Mexico	Rio Blanco	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Rio Bravo	2000	94.4%	97.6%	89.0%
Mexico	Rio Bravo	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	Rio Grande	2000	96.4%	99.1%	90.5%
Mexico	Rio Grande	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Rio Lagartos	2000	85.7%	99.8%	42.6%
Mexico	Rio Lagartos	2017	98.1%	100.0%	85.3%
Mexico	Rioverde	2000	90.6%	95.0%	85.3%
Mexico	Rioverde	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.0%
Mexico	Riva Palacio	2000	89.5%	98.4%	70.1%
Mexico	Riva Palacio	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.2%
Mexico	Rodeo	2000	89.1%	98.1%	74.9%
Mexico	Rodeo	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Rojas De Cuauhtemoc	2000	96.2%	99.8%	85.7%
Mexico	Rojas De Cuauhtemoc	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Romita	2000	85.9%	98.1%	64.1%
Mexico	Romita	2017	98.3%	99.9%	93.1%
Mexico	Rosales	2000	75.7%	94.1%	55.8%
Mexico	Rosales	2017	97.0%	99.8%	89.3%
Mexico	Rosamorada	2000	85.8%	94.9%	73.2%
Mexico	Rosamorada	2017	98.0%	99.8%	93.6%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	88.8%	97.9%	67.2%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	93.4%	98.3%	78.6%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	91.2%	99.5%	69.3%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.4%
Mexico	Ruiz	2000	90.4%	98.7%	64.3%
Mexico	Ruiz	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.9%
Mexico	Sabanilla	2000	90.9%	99.7%	64.6%
Mexico	Sabanilla	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Sabinas	2000	97.7%	99.5%	93.0%
Mexico	Sabinas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Sabinas Hidalgo	2000	97.3%	99.3%	92.9%
Mexico	Sabinas Hidalgo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Sacalum	2000	97.1%	99.8%	86.3%
Mexico	Sacalum	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Sacramento	2000	94.4%	99.9%	68.8%
Mexico	Sacramento	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Sahuaripa	2000	93.7%	98.5%	80.0%
Mexico	Sahuaripa	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Sahuayo	2000	92.8%	96.7%	85.7%
Mexico	Sahuayo	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Sain Alto	2000	90.9%	97.3%	81.5%
Mexico	Sain Alto	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Salamanca	2000	96.4%	99.2%	90.0%
Mexico	Salamanca	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Mexico	Salina Cruz	2000	93.9%	97.9%	84.2%
Mexico	Salina Cruz	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Salinas	2000	86.9%	96.5%	69.1%
Mexico	Salinas	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.6%
Mexico	Salinas Victoria	2000	98.6%	99.8%	94.8%
Mexico	Salinas Victoria	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Saltabarranca	2000	89.7%	98.9%	61.9%
Mexico	Saltabarranca	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Saltillo	2000	98.2%	99.2%	96.8%
Mexico	Saltillo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Salto De Agua	2000	85.6%	96.7%	69.1%
Mexico	Salto De Agua	2017	98.0%	99.8%	92.3%
Mexico	Salvador Alvarado	2000	96.3%	98.7%	91.8%
Mexico	Salvador Alvarado	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Salvador Escalante	2000	95.0%	99.5%	82.9%
Mexico	Salvador Escalante	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.2%
Mexico	Salvatierra	2000	92.9%	99.1%	79.8%
Mexico	Salvatierra	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.5%
Mexico	Samahil	2000	93.7%	99.9%	65.8%
Mexico	Samahil	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	San Agustin Amatengo	2000	82.3%	98.8%	40.7%
Mexico	San Agustin Amatengo	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.0%
Mexico	San Agustin Atenango	2000	87.2%	99.9%	45.8%
Mexico	San Agustin Atenango	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.9%
Mexico	San Agustin Chayuco	2000	85.8%	99.5%	47.9%
Mexico	San Agustin Chayuco	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.7%
Mexico	San Agustin De Las Juntas	2000	94.8%	97.1%	91.1%
Mexico	San Agustin De Las Juntas	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	San Agustin ETLA	2000	89.2%	97.6%	75.3%
Mexico	San Agustin ETLA	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	San Agustin Loxicha	2000	80.9%	95.3%	53.5%
Mexico	San Agustin Loxicha	2017	98.0%	99.8%	90.0%
Mexico	San Agustin Metzquitlan	2000	80.3%	92.8%	58.7%
Mexico	San Agustin Metzquitlan	2017	98.3%	99.7%	94.0%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlacotepec	2000	89.2%	99.9%	44.2%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlacotepec	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlaxiaca	2000	98.7%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlaxiaca	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	San Agustin Yatareni	2000	94.3%	96.3%	91.8%
Mexico	San Agustin Yatareni	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	San Andres Cabecera Nueva	2000	86.2%	99.5%	51.3%
Mexico	San Andres Cabecera Nueva	2017	97.9%	100.0%	85.6%
Mexico	San Andres Cholula	2000	79.7%	82.8%	76.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Andres Cholula	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Mexico	San Andres Dinicuiti	2000	91.3%	99.9%	50.6%
Mexico	San Andres Dinicuiti	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	San Andres Duraznal	2000	68.2%	79.4%	51.6%
Mexico	San Andres Duraznal	2017	97.0%	98.3%	93.4%
Mexico	San Andres Huaxpaltepec	2000	79.8%	99.1%	41.0%
Mexico	San Andres Huaxpaltepec	2017	97.0%	100.0%	80.5%
Mexico	San Andres Huayapam	2000	92.9%	98.7%	83.3%
Mexico	San Andres Huayapam	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	San Andres Ixtlahuaca	2000	88.2%	99.0%	57.4%
Mexico	San Andres Ixtlahuaca	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	San Andres Lagunas	2000	85.3%	99.8%	42.9%
Mexico	San Andres Lagunas	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.1%
Mexico	San Andres Nuxino	2000	88.3%	99.9%	43.4%
Mexico	San Andres Nuxino	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.5%
Mexico	San Andres Paxtlan	2000	86.9%	99.9%	41.8%
Mexico	San Andres Paxtlan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.0%
Mexico	San Andres Sinaxtla	2000	81.2%	94.0%	60.0%
Mexico	San Andres Sinaxtla	2017	98.7%	99.8%	93.5%
Mexico	San Andres Solaga	2000	89.6%	99.1%	68.8%
Mexico	San Andres Solaga	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	San Andres Tenejapan	2000	67.7%	97.6%	29.3%
Mexico	San Andres Tenejapan	2017	92.5%	99.9%	61.7%
Mexico	San Andres Teotilalpam	2000	82.7%	97.0%	55.3%
Mexico	San Andres Teotilalpam	2017	97.3%	99.9%	84.5%
Mexico	San Andres Tepetlapa	2000	89.7%	100.0%	44.7%
Mexico	San Andres Tepetlapa	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.2%
Mexico	San Andres Tuxtla	2000	83.1%	88.9%	74.5%
Mexico	San Andres Tuxtla	2017	98.4%	99.4%	96.2%
Mexico	San Andres Yaa	2000	82.1%	99.9%	35.8%
Mexico	San Andres Yaa	2017	97.9%	100.0%	83.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Andres Zabache	2000	89.8%	100.0%	35.6%
Mexico	San Andres Zabache	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.3%
Mexico	San Andres Zautla	2000	78.3%	89.0%	66.9%
Mexico	San Andres Zautla	2017	98.5%	99.6%	95.2%
Mexico	San Antonino Castillo Velasco	2000	70.0%	93.8%	36.6%
Mexico	San Antonino Castillo Velasco	2017	93.6%	99.8%	74.5%
Mexico	San Antonino El Alto	2000	89.5%	99.9%	56.5%
Mexico	San Antonino El Alto	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	San Antonino Monte Verde	2000	88.7%	99.9%	50.8%
Mexico	San Antonino Monte Verde	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.5%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2000	66.9%	73.9%	58.6%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2017	96.2%	97.8%	93.5%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2000	85.2%	99.9%	40.3%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.6%
Mexico	San Antonio Canada	2000	86.5%	98.8%	59.9%
Mexico	San Antonio Canada	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	San Antonio De La Cal	2000	96.2%	98.1%	92.7%
Mexico	San Antonio De La Cal	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	San Antonio Huitepec	2000	89.3%	99.3%	62.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Huitepec	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	San Antonio La Isla	2000	99.0%	99.9%	95.5%
Mexico	San Antonio La Isla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	San Antonio Nanahuatipam	2000	89.1%	99.7%	55.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Nanahuatipam	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	San Antonio Sinicahua	2000	86.9%	99.9%	40.1%
Mexico	San Antonio Sinicahua	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Tepetlapa	2000	82.0%	99.6%	33.3%
Mexico	San Antonio Tepetlapa	2017	97.4%	100.0%	79.6%
Mexico	San Baltazar Chichicapam	2000	89.7%	100.0%	52.0%
Mexico	San Baltazar Chichicapam	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Baltazar Loxicha	2000	90.0%	99.7%	58.9%
Mexico	San Baltazar Loxicha	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	San Baltazar Yatzachi El Bajo	2000	91.8%	99.3%	65.3%
Mexico	San Baltazar Yatzachi El Bajo	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	San Bartolo Coyotepec	2000	91.0%	99.5%	69.5%
Mexico	San Bartolo Coyotepec	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	San Bartolo Soyaltepec	2000	86.3%	99.5%	54.6%
Mexico	San Bartolo Soyaltepec	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	San Bartolo Tutotepec	2000	83.0%	92.6%	68.4%
Mexico	San Bartolo Tutotepec	2017	98.2%	99.6%	94.1%
Mexico	San Bartolo Yautepec	2000	90.2%	99.9%	46.3%
Mexico	San Bartolo Yautepec	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.2%
Mexico	San Bartolome Ayautla	2000	74.8%	99.6%	23.5%
Mexico	San Bartolome Ayautla	2017	94.7%	100.0%	69.5%
Mexico	San Bartolome Loxicha	2000	86.4%	99.7%	41.6%
Mexico	San Bartolome Loxicha	2017	98.2%	100.0%	84.2%
Mexico	San Bartolome Quialana	2000	88.7%	99.8%	54.8%
Mexico	San Bartolome Quialana	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	San Bartolome Yucane	2000	88.2%	99.9%	50.1%
Mexico	San Bartolome Yucane	2017	98.3%	100.0%	87.9%
Mexico	San Bartolome Zoogocho	2000	92.6%	98.4%	80.4%
Mexico	San Bartolome Zoogocho	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2000	88.7%	97.9%	71.4%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2017	98.4%	99.9%	91.9%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2000	86.1%	98.7%	46.3%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Blas	2000	87.7%	97.1%	73.3%
Mexico	San Blas	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.7%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2000	87.2%	98.5%	62.2%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2017	98.8%	99.9%	92.4%
Mexico	San Buenaventura	2000	96.2%	99.4%	85.8%
Mexico	San Buenaventura	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	San Carlos	2000	90.8%	97.1%	80.1%
Mexico	San Carlos	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.6%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2000	86.7%	95.5%	73.9%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2017	98.4%	99.8%	94.1%
Mexico	San Ciro De Acosta	2000	95.9%	99.6%	82.6%
Mexico	San Ciro De Acosta	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amatlan	2000	88.3%	100.0%	42.5%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amatlan	2017	98.1%	100.0%	83.7%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amoltepec	2000	84.1%	99.5%	36.8%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amoltepec	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	San Cristobal De La Barranca	2000	89.2%	99.5%	59.9%
Mexico	San Cristobal De La Barranca	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.0%
Mexico	San Cristobal De Las Casas	2000	84.5%	88.4%	79.6%
Mexico	San Cristobal De Las Casas	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.4%
Mexico	San Cristobal Lachirioag	2000	81.8%	99.7%	35.2%
Mexico	San Cristobal Lachirioag	2017	97.6%	100.0%	81.4%
Mexico	San Cristobal Suchixtlahuaca	2000	88.1%	99.7%	49.4%
Mexico	San Cristobal Suchixtlahuaca	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.1%
Mexico	San Damian Texoloc	2000	99.4%	99.9%	98.5%
Mexico	San Damian Texoloc	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Diego De Alejandria	2000	95.1%	99.7%	76.4%
Mexico	San Diego De Alejandria	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.3%
Mexico	San Diego De La Union	2000	89.8%	98.8%	68.1%
Mexico	San Diego De La Union	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	San Diego La Mesa Tochimiltzingo	2000	91.0%	99.8%	62.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Diego La Mesa Tochimiltzingo	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	San Dimas	2000	85.7%	92.5%	73.6%
Mexico	San Dimas	2017	98.3%	99.4%	95.5%
Mexico	San Dionisio Del Mar	2000	88.2%	99.4%	61.9%
Mexico	San Dionisio Del Mar	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.2%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotepc	2000	87.9%	96.8%	67.0%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotepc	2017	98.6%	99.9%	92.2%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotlan	2000	55.0%	80.1%	27.8%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotlan	2017	86.6%	97.3%	67.2%
Mexico	San Esteban Atatlahuca	2000	84.4%	99.7%	42.8%
Mexico	San Esteban Atatlahuca	2017	97.8%	100.0%	83.5%
Mexico	San Felipe	2000	93.6%	98.1%	85.6%
Mexico	San Felipe	2000	86.5%	99.9%	41.4%
Mexico	San Felipe	2017	97.8%	100.0%	83.9%
Mexico	San Felipe	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.7%
Mexico	San Felipe De Jesus	2000	98.1%	100.0%	88.0%
Mexico	San Felipe De Jesus	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	San Felipe Del Progreso	2000	81.7%	91.8%	67.7%
Mexico	San Felipe Del Progreso	2017	97.5%	99.6%	92.5%
Mexico	San Felipe Jalapa De Diaz	2000	55.7%	64.5%	46.9%
Mexico	San Felipe Jalapa De Diaz	2017	91.3%	94.5%	87.1%
Mexico	San Felipe Orizatlan	2000	80.9%	86.8%	73.1%
Mexico	San Felipe Orizatlan	2017	96.3%	97.8%	93.2%
Mexico	San Felipe Tejalapam	2000	90.7%	98.5%	73.2%
Mexico	San Felipe Tejalapam	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.9%
Mexico	San Felipe Teotlalcingo	2000	93.1%	97.5%	80.4%
Mexico	San Felipe Teotlalcingo	2017	99.6%	99.8%	98.7%
Mexico	San Felipe Tepatlan	2000	69.1%	95.8%	26.6%
Mexico	San Felipe Tepatlan	2017	94.9%	99.7%	73.7%
Mexico	San Felipe Usila	2000	74.9%	97.9%	34.2%
Mexico	San Felipe Usila	2017	94.9%	99.9%	74.1%
Mexico	San Fernando	2000	84.2%	97.4%	51.5%
Mexico	San Fernando	2000	92.2%	97.5%	84.0%
Mexico	San Fernando	2017	99.3%	99.9%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Fernando	2017	98.1%	99.9%	86.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Cahuacua	2000	86.5%	99.5%	51.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Cahuacua	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.2%
Mexico	San Francisco Cajonos	2000	90.4%	99.7%	61.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Cajonos	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Chapulapa	2000	62.6%	81.5%	41.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Chapulapa	2017	96.3%	99.1%	89.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Chindua	2000	82.4%	98.9%	43.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Chindua	2017	98.1%	100.0%	88.4%
Mexico	San Francisco De Borja	2000	90.2%	99.7%	53.2%
Mexico	San Francisco De Borja	2017	98.8%	100.0%	88.9%
Mexico	San Francisco De Conchos	2000	88.6%	99.6%	53.2%
Mexico	San Francisco De Conchos	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.4%
Mexico	San Francisco De Los Romo	2000	83.5%	96.1%	68.3%
Mexico	San Francisco De Los Romo	2017	97.9%	99.9%	91.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Mar	2000	84.4%	99.1%	48.5%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Mar	2017	97.7%	100.0%	89.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Oro	2000	92.2%	99.5%	62.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Oro	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Rincon	2000	97.5%	99.4%	93.5%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Rincon	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Huehuetlan	2000	96.4%	99.7%	88.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Huehuetlan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Ixhuatan	2000	83.6%	99.3%	47.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Ixhuatan	2017	97.9%	100.0%	88.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Jaltepetongo	2000	80.1%	99.0%	42.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Jaltepetongo	2017	97.3%	100.0%	84.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Lachigolo	2000	95.5%	99.9%	83.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Lachigolo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Logueche	2000	91.7%	100.0%	54.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Logueche	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Nuxano	2000	84.4%	99.8%	42.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Francisco Nuxano	2017	98.1%	100.0%	87.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Ozolotepec	2000	89.2%	99.9%	44.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Ozolotepec	2017	98.7%	100.0%	87.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Sola	2000	86.6%	99.7%	48.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Sola	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	San Francisco Telixtlahuaca	2000	83.4%	96.9%	60.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Telixtlahuaca	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Teopan	2000	85.7%	100.0%	30.2%
Mexico	San Francisco Teopan	2017	97.2%	100.0%	74.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Tetlanohcan	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	San Francisco Tetlanohcan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Tlapancingo	2000	87.7%	99.9%	53.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Tlapancingo	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	San Gabriel	2000	90.7%	99.5%	59.7%
Mexico	San Gabriel	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	San Gabriel Chilac	2000	96.7%	99.0%	91.8%
Mexico	San Gabriel Chilac	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	San Gabriel Mixtepec	2000	80.0%	97.7%	34.2%
Mexico	San Gabriel Mixtepec	2017	96.6%	99.9%	74.5%
Mexico	San Gregorio Atzompa	2000	86.5%	90.8%	80.4%
Mexico	San Gregorio Atzompa	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Mexico	San Ignacio	2000	91.0%	97.6%	79.5%
Mexico	San Ignacio	2017	99.1%	99.8%	96.0%
Mexico	San Ignacio Rio Muerto	2000	97.3%	99.8%	89.1%
Mexico	San Ignacio Rio Muerto	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Amatlan	2000	85.5%	99.4%	50.3%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Amatlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.2%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Sola	2000	82.8%	99.5%	45.7%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Sola	2017	98.1%	100.0%	89.3%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Villa Alta	2000	81.6%	99.2%	42.8%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Villa Alta	2017	97.1%	100.0%	80.8%
Mexico	San Jacinto Amilpas	2000	92.3%	96.1%	87.2%
Mexico	San Jacinto Amilpas	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Jacinto Tlacotepec	2000	89.2%	99.9%	54.3%
Mexico	San Jacinto Tlacotepec	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	San Javier	2000	94.2%	99.9%	68.1%
Mexico	San Javier	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Coatlan	2000	90.2%	99.4%	68.9%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Coatlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.0%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Silacayoapilla	2000	86.0%	99.8%	46.6%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Silacayoapilla	2017	98.4%	100.0%	86.0%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Sosola	2000	87.4%	99.4%	59.2%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Sosola	2017	98.3%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Taviche	2000	86.7%	98.0%	51.0%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Taviche	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecoatl	2000	97.8%	99.4%	94.4%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecoatl	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecuanipan	2000	90.5%	94.9%	84.4%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecuanipan	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tlacoahuaya	2000	92.0%	99.9%	65.5%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tlacoahuaya	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Xayacatlan	2000	90.1%	99.9%	48.1%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Xayacatlan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Zacualpan	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Zacualpan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Joaquin	2000	85.6%	97.6%	60.6%
Mexico	San Joaquin	2017	98.3%	99.8%	92.4%
Mexico	San Jorge Nuchita	2000	86.0%	99.4%	47.9%
Mexico	San Jorge Nuchita	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.1%
Mexico	San Jose Ayuquila	2000	88.3%	100.0%	45.7%
Mexico	San Jose Ayuquila	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.2%
Mexico	San Jose Chiapa	2000	94.9%	99.9%	71.0%
Mexico	San Jose Chiapa	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.7%
Mexico	San Jose Chiltepec	2000	86.2%	99.3%	52.8%
Mexico	San Jose Chiltepec	2017	98.1%	100.0%	85.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Jose De Gracia	2000	98.1%	99.9%	92.3%
Mexico	San Jose De Gracia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	San Jose Del Penasco	2000	84.6%	97.6%	66.1%
Mexico	San Jose Del Penasco	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	San Jose Del Progreso	2000	69.7%	95.1%	33.6%
Mexico	San Jose Del Progreso	2017	93.5%	99.8%	72.7%
Mexico	San Jose Del Rincon	2000	88.4%	98.9%	65.5%
Mexico	San Jose Del Rincon	2017	98.5%	100.0%	93.0%
Mexico	San Jose Estancia Grande	2000	81.3%	99.5%	36.1%
Mexico	San Jose Estancia Grande	2017	97.1%	100.0%	80.4%
Mexico	San Jose Independencia	2000	81.6%	99.7%	41.4%
Mexico	San Jose Independencia	2017	96.9%	100.0%	83.1%
Mexico	San Jose Iturbide	2000	96.2%	99.7%	87.3%
Mexico	San Jose Iturbide	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	San Jose Lachiguiri	2000	91.2%	100.0%	45.2%
Mexico	San Jose Lachiguiri	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.4%
Mexico	San Jose Miahuatlan	2000	93.8%	99.8%	69.1%
Mexico	San Jose Miahuatlan	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	San Jose Teacalco	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Mexico	San Jose Teacalco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Jose Tenango	2000	69.3%	92.1%	41.4%
Mexico	San Jose Tenango	2017	94.2%	99.6%	80.2%
Mexico	San Juan Achiutla	2000	86.9%	99.8%	43.3%
Mexico	San Juan Achiutla	2017	98.2%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	San Juan Atenco	2000	90.8%	99.9%	63.2%
Mexico	San Juan Atenco	2017	98.8%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	San Juan Atepec	2000	86.2%	99.9%	43.9%
Mexico	San Juan Atepec	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.6%
Mexico	San Juan Atzompa	2000	91.4%	99.9%	54.7%
Mexico	San Juan Atzompa	2017	99.3%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Atatlahuca	2000	88.4%	99.6%	57.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Atlatlahuca	2017	98.0%	100.0%	83.4%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Coixtlahuaca	2000	87.6%	99.5%	46.7%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Coixtlahuaca	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.0%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Cuicatlan	2000	84.9%	96.5%	63.1%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Cuicatlan	2017	98.2%	99.9%	91.8%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Guelache	2000	80.8%	95.7%	52.6%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Guelache	2017	97.9%	99.9%	88.9%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Jayacatlan	2000	88.6%	99.9%	51.7%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Jayacatlan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.7%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Lo De Soto	2000	86.9%	99.9%	48.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Lo De Soto	2017	98.1%	100.0%	87.9%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Suchitepec	2000	90.7%	100.0%	56.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Suchitepec	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlachichilco	2000	85.3%	98.5%	52.0%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlachichilco	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlacoatzintepec	2000	78.4%	99.8%	33.1%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlacoatzintepec	2017	96.1%	100.0%	72.8%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tuxtepec	2000	87.8%	94.6%	79.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tuxtepec	2017	98.1%	99.7%	94.3%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Valle Nacional	2000	76.6%	95.7%	51.3%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Valle Nacional	2017	96.6%	99.8%	86.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Cacahuatepec	2000	92.1%	99.9%	56.3%
Mexico	San Juan Cacahuatepec	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.0%
Mexico	San Juan Cancuc	2000	75.9%	96.5%	47.1%
Mexico	San Juan Cancuc	2017	95.4%	99.8%	83.7%
Mexico	San Juan Chicomezuchil	2000	89.0%	99.9%	41.1%
Mexico	San Juan Chicomezuchil	2017	98.4%	100.0%	85.6%
Mexico	San Juan Chilalteca	2000	70.9%	94.3%	37.0%
Mexico	San Juan Chilalteca	2017	93.8%	99.8%	74.9%
Mexico	San Juan Cieneguilla	2000	88.4%	99.7%	58.0%
Mexico	San Juan Cieneguilla	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	San Juan Coatzospam	2000	81.4%	96.8%	49.8%
Mexico	San Juan Coatzospam	2017	98.0%	99.9%	91.9%
Mexico	San Juan Colorado	2000	84.1%	99.7%	48.6%
Mexico	San Juan Colorado	2017	97.8%	100.0%	84.8%
Mexico	San Juan Comaltepec	2000	76.8%	96.6%	43.6%
Mexico	San Juan Comaltepec	2017	96.8%	99.9%	83.9%
Mexico	San Juan Cotzocon	2000	83.3%	95.0%	68.1%
Mexico	San Juan Cotzocon	2017	97.6%	99.8%	92.0%
Mexico	San Juan De Guadalupe	2000	90.0%	97.5%	77.8%
Mexico	San Juan De Guadalupe	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.9%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Cues	2000	84.5%	96.4%	56.3%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Cues	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Lagos	2000	95.4%	98.9%	88.9%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Lagos	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	San Juan De Sabinas	2000	98.9%	99.8%	96.8%
Mexico	San Juan De Sabinas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	San Juan Del Estado	2000	80.5%	98.7%	49.0%
Mexico	San Juan Del Estado	2017	97.8%	100.0%	87.4%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	94.8%	98.5%	86.7%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	91.9%	97.6%	82.5%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	92.3%	100.0%	56.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.0%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	San Juan Dixi	2000	84.1%	99.9%	35.0%
Mexico	San Juan Dixi	2017	97.8%	100.0%	84.3%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2000	87.9%	97.2%	70.4%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2017	98.4%	99.9%	94.1%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2000	87.1%	99.9%	48.1%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista Analco	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.0%
Mexico	San Juan Guelavia	2000	90.9%	100.0%	54.9%
Mexico	San Juan Guelavia	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	San Juan Guichicovi	2000	86.6%	95.1%	70.9%
Mexico	San Juan Guichicovi	2017	98.4%	99.7%	94.3%
Mexico	San Juan Huactzinco	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	San Juan Huactzinco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Juan Ihualtepec	2000	89.1%	99.9%	53.8%
Mexico	San Juan Ihualtepec	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Mixes	2000	77.8%	97.4%	42.2%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Mixes	2017	96.6%	99.9%	82.6%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Vijanos	2000	82.1%	98.6%	47.9%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Vijanos	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	San Juan Lachao	2000	72.9%	96.8%	41.9%
Mexico	San Juan Lachao	2017	95.8%	99.5%	83.5%
Mexico	San Juan Lachigalla	2000	88.6%	99.9%	48.2%
Mexico	San Juan Lachigalla	2017	98.6%	100.0%	87.8%
Mexico	San Juan Lajarcia	2000	90.2%	99.9%	53.7%
Mexico	San Juan Lajarcia	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.6%
Mexico	San Juan Lalana	2000	59.4%	75.4%	41.6%
Mexico	San Juan Lalana	2017	90.2%	95.5%	78.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Mazatlan	2000	84.0%	95.3%	67.1%
Mexico	San Juan Mazatlan	2017	97.6%	99.7%	89.9%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 08 -	2000	88.8%	99.3%	58.9%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 08 -	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2000	91.6%	100.0%	55.2%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	San Juan Numi	2000	88.3%	99.5%	58.0%
Mexico	San Juan Numi	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	San Juan Ozolotepec	2000	87.5%	99.6%	53.0%
Mexico	San Juan Ozolotepec	2017	98.2%	100.0%	89.4%
Mexico	San Juan Petlapa	2000	76.5%	98.6%	35.3%
Mexico	San Juan Petlapa	2017	95.6%	99.9%	79.4%
Mexico	San Juan Quiahije	2000	88.6%	99.9%	48.1%
Mexico	San Juan Quiahije	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.7%
Mexico	San Juan Quiotepec	2000	87.5%	99.7%	44.7%
Mexico	San Juan Quiotepec	2017	98.4%	100.0%	85.8%
Mexico	San Juan Sayultepec	2000	79.6%	96.0%	49.0%
Mexico	San Juan Sayultepec	2017	98.4%	99.9%	91.9%
Mexico	San Juan Tabaa	2000	82.9%	99.9%	42.8%
Mexico	San Juan Tabaa	2017	97.7%	100.0%	83.7%
Mexico	San Juan Tamazola	2000	85.5%	98.3%	59.2%
Mexico	San Juan Tamazola	2017	98.3%	99.9%	92.1%
Mexico	San Juan Teita	2000	81.3%	99.2%	38.9%
Mexico	San Juan Teita	2017	96.7%	100.0%	78.2%
Mexico	San Juan Teitipac	2000	89.8%	99.9%	49.8%
Mexico	San Juan Teitipac	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.6%
Mexico	San Juan Tepeuxila	2000	87.7%	99.3%	56.7%
Mexico	San Juan Tepeuxila	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	San Juan Teposcolula	2000	86.2%	99.8%	52.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Teposcolula	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.7%
Mexico	San Juan Yaee	2000	79.3%	98.8%	33.1%
Mexico	San Juan Yaee	2017	97.5%	100.0%	82.8%
Mexico	San Juan Yat-zona	2000	80.2%	99.8%	38.6%
Mexico	San Juan Yat-zona	2017	96.9%	100.0%	79.1%
Mexico	San Juan Yucuita	2000	84.1%	94.3%	70.3%
Mexico	San Juan Yucuita	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.1%
Mexico	San Juanito De Escobedo	2000	92.6%	99.8%	69.2%
Mexico	San Juanito De Escobedo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	San Julian	2000	90.0%	99.9%	41.6%
Mexico	San Julian	2017	98.5%	100.0%	82.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo	2000	84.6%	99.9%	47.3%
Mexico	San Lorenzo	2017	97.7%	100.0%	86.5%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Albarradas	2000	90.2%	99.9%	59.7%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Albarradas	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Axocomanitla	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Axocomanitla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cacaotepec	2000	94.1%	97.6%	89.1%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cacaotepec	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cuaunecuiltla	2000	92.4%	99.4%	75.4%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cuaunecuiltla	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.3%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Texmelucan	2000	82.0%	98.5%	41.8%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Texmelucan	2017	98.0%	99.9%	89.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Victoria	2000	86.4%	99.4%	51.4%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Victoria	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	San Lucas	2000	85.1%	97.2%	65.6%
Mexico	San Lucas	2000	94.8%	99.3%	78.3%
Mexico	San Lucas	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.4%
Mexico	San Lucas	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	San Lucas Camotlan	2000	85.3%	99.7%	43.3%
Mexico	San Lucas Camotlan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.1%
Mexico	San Lucas Ojitlan	2000	84.0%	90.7%	74.4%
Mexico	San Lucas Ojitlan	2017	98.4%	99.5%	95.4%
Mexico	San Lucas Quiavini	2000	83.8%	98.0%	48.7%
Mexico	San Lucas Quiavini	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Lucas Tecopilco	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	San Lucas Tecopilco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	San Lucas Zoquiapam	2000	87.5%	90.9%	82.3%
Mexico	San Lucas Zoquiapam	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Mexico	San Luis Acatlan	2000	82.5%	92.9%	64.2%
Mexico	San Luis Acatlan	2017	98.2%	99.6%	94.1%
Mexico	San Luis Amatlan	2000	88.1%	99.4%	63.8%
Mexico	San Luis Amatlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	San Luis De La Paz	2000	96.2%	98.9%	89.6%
Mexico	San Luis De La Paz	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	San Luis Del Cordero	2000	89.7%	99.9%	52.8%
Mexico	San Luis Del Cordero	2017	98.6%	100.0%	88.0%
Mexico	San Luis Potosi	2000	85.7%	88.3%	82.5%
Mexico	San Luis Potosi	2017	97.9%	99.0%	96.0%
Mexico	San Luis Rio Colorado	2000	97.6%	99.3%	94.4%
Mexico	San Luis Rio Colorado	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	San Marcial Ozolotepec	2000	87.8%	99.9%	51.8%
Mexico	San Marcial Ozolotepec	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.9%
Mexico	San Marcos	2000	88.2%	97.3%	72.3%
Mexico	San Marcos	2000	90.2%	99.8%	48.4%
Mexico	San Marcos	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.3%
Mexico	San Marcos	2017	98.5%	100.0%	83.6%
Mexico	San Marcos Arteaga	2000	87.1%	99.1%	56.2%
Mexico	San Marcos Arteaga	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.2%
Mexico	San Martin Chalchicautla	2000	74.2%	84.7%	58.2%
Mexico	San Martin Chalchicautla	2017	93.3%	97.7%	84.8%
Mexico	San Martin De Bolanos	2000	90.5%	99.8%	52.1%
Mexico	San Martin De Bolanos	2017	98.9%	100.0%	90.9%
Mexico	San Martin De Las Piramides	2000	98.9%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	San Martin De Las Piramides	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	San Martin De Los Cansecos	2000	80.7%	99.9%	33.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Martin De Los Cansecos	2017	97.1%	100.0%	79.3%
Mexico	San Martin Hidalgo	2000	96.3%	99.6%	87.9%
Mexico	San Martin Hidalgo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Mexico	San Martin Huamelulpam	2000	87.4%	99.9%	44.2%
Mexico	San Martin Huamelulpam	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.7%
Mexico	San Martin Itunyoso	2000	86.0%	99.8%	41.1%
Mexico	San Martin Itunyoso	2017	97.8%	100.0%	83.5%
Mexico	San Martin Lachila	2000	89.7%	100.0%	36.9%
Mexico	San Martin Lachila	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.7%
Mexico	San Martin Peras	2000	87.0%	99.6%	47.4%
Mexico	San Martin Peras	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.0%
Mexico	San Martin Texmelucan	2000	92.2%	94.4%	89.9%
Mexico	San Martin Texmelucan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Mexico	San Martin Tilcajete	2000	80.1%	98.9%	41.9%
Mexico	San Martin Tilcajete	2017	96.5%	100.0%	79.0%
Mexico	San Martin Totoltepec	2000	91.2%	100.0%	45.8%
Mexico	San Martin Totoltepec	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	San Martin Toxpalan	2000	84.9%	97.5%	58.2%
Mexico	San Martin Toxpalan	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.2%
Mexico	San Martin Zacatepec	2000	80.5%	95.5%	54.6%
Mexico	San Martin Zacatepec	2017	98.5%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	San Mateo Atenco	2000	87.2%	93.3%	78.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Atenco	2017	98.5%	99.5%	96.8%
Mexico	San Mateo Cajonos	2000	90.2%	99.9%	56.3%
Mexico	San Mateo Cajonos	2017	98.9%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	San Mateo Del Mar	2000	85.8%	99.3%	51.4%
Mexico	San Mateo Del Mar	2017	97.5%	100.0%	86.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Etlatongo	2000	83.4%	96.6%	60.5%
Mexico	San Mateo Etlatongo	2017	98.6%	99.9%	95.2%
Mexico	San Mateo Nejapam	2000	89.4%	99.9%	58.6%
Mexico	San Mateo Nejapam	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Mateo Peñasco	2000	86.7%	99.9%	38.8%
Mexico	San Mateo Peñasco	2017	98.6%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	San Mateo Pinas	2000	85.1%	99.7%	43.6%
Mexico	San Mateo Pinas	2017	97.7%	100.0%	84.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Rio Hondo	2000	88.4%	99.2%	63.1%
Mexico	San Mateo Rio Hondo	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	San Mateo Sindihui	2000	52.4%	83.5%	19.2%
Mexico	San Mateo Sindihui	2017	89.7%	96.4%	78.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Tlapiltepec	2000	87.6%	100.0%	36.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Tlapiltepec	2017	98.2%	100.0%	86.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Yoloxochitlan	2000	83.9%	89.1%	77.7%
Mexico	San Mateo Yoloxochitlan	2017	98.6%	99.2%	97.9%
Mexico	San Matias Tlalancaleca	2000	96.1%	99.0%	89.7%
Mexico	San Matias Tlalancaleca	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	San Melchor Betaza	2000	86.7%	99.9%	40.3%
Mexico	San Melchor Betaza	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Achiutla	2000	85.5%	99.8%	43.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Achiutla	2017	98.2%	100.0%	86.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Ahuehuetitlan	2000	88.6%	99.9%	54.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Ahuehuetitlan	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Aloapam	2000	88.3%	99.9%	45.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Aloapam	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatitlan	2000	85.1%	98.9%	43.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatitlan	2017	97.6%	100.0%	79.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatlan	2000	88.2%	99.7%	59.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatlan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Chicahua	2000	83.9%	99.9%	36.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Chicahua	2017	97.5%	100.0%	79.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Chimalapa	2000	89.3%	98.6%	64.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Chimalapa	2017	98.7%	99.9%	92.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Miguel Coatlan	2000	89.1%	99.9%	48.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Coatlan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	89.4%
Mexico	San Miguel De Allende	2000	89.4%	95.1%	82.7%
Mexico	San Miguel De Allende	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.8%
Mexico	San Miguel De Horcasitas	2000	96.8%	99.7%	88.4%
Mexico	San Miguel De Horcasitas	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Puerto	2000	83.8%	95.8%	64.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Puerto	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Rio	2000	87.9%	99.9%	51.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Rio	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Ejutla	2000	79.4%	99.0%	44.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Ejutla	2017	98.1%	100.0%	87.4%
Mexico	San Miguel El Alto	2000	92.6%	99.7%	55.3%
Mexico	San Miguel El Alto	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	San Miguel El Grande	2000	82.4%	98.2%	50.7%
Mexico	San Miguel El Grande	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Huautla	2000	86.9%	99.9%	46.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Huautla	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Ix-itlan	2000	88.3%	99.9%	44.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Ix-itlan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	83.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Mixtepec	2000	91.0%	99.9%	64.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Mixtepec	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Panixt-lahuaca	2000	85.4%	99.7%	45.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Panixt-lahuaca	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Peras	2000	84.7%	99.7%	44.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Peras	2017	97.8%	100.0%	85.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Piedras	2000	86.4%	98.6%	48.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Piedras	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Quetzaltepec	2000	84.4%	99.0%	48.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Quetzaltepec	2017	98.0%	100.0%	85.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Miguel Santa Flor	2000	83.8%	93.4%	70.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Santa Flor	2017	97.8%	99.6%	92.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Soyaltepec	2000	89.2%	97.5%	74.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Soyaltepec	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Suchixtepec	2000	87.3%	99.9%	34.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Suchixtepec	2017	98.2%	100.0%	81.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Tecomatlan	2000	79.8%	98.8%	39.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Tecomatlan	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Tenango	2000	86.1%	98.2%	56.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Tenango	2017	98.7%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Tequixtepec	2000	88.2%	99.7%	49.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Tequixtepec	2017	98.5%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Tilquiapam	2000	94.6%	98.8%	80.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Tilquiapam	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacamama	2000	74.2%	90.5%	55.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacamama	2017	97.2%	99.4%	89.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacotepec	2000	94.9%	98.6%	89.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacotepec	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Totolapan	2000	76.0%	89.8%	55.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Totolapan	2017	95.8%	98.8%	88.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Tulancingo	2000	85.5%	99.8%	48.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Tulancingo	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Xoxtla	2000	96.7%	98.6%	94.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Xoxtla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Yotao	2000	78.8%	92.3%	56.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Yotao	2017	98.6%	99.7%	95.9%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2000	86.6%	99.9%	43.1%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2000	90.0%	99.4%	61.7%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.0%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	San Nicolas Buenos Aires	2000	88.6%	99.3%	60.5%
Mexico	San Nicolas Buenos Aires	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Garza	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Garza	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Ranchos	2000	98.5%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Ranchos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	San Nicolas Hidalgo	2000	80.7%	99.9%	26.9%
Mexico	San Nicolas Hidalgo	2017	96.1%	100.0%	73.1%
Mexico	San Nicolas Tolentino	2000	90.5%	99.5%	69.4%
Mexico	San Nicolas Tolentino	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Anicano	2000	73.3%	91.0%	48.3%
Mexico	San Pablo Anicano	2017	97.9%	99.6%	94.1%
Mexico	San Pablo Coatlan	2000	89.7%	99.7%	64.9%
Mexico	San Pablo Coatlan	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	San Pablo Cuatro Venados	2000	75.7%	97.8%	36.6%
Mexico	San Pablo Cuatro Venados	2017	95.0%	99.8%	79.2%
Mexico	San Pablo Del Monte	2000	90.2%	93.3%	85.7%
Mexico	San Pablo Del Monte	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Mexico	San Pablo ETLA	2000	91.6%	96.3%	85.5%
Mexico	San Pablo ETLA	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Huitzo	2000	79.9%	92.5%	64.1%
Mexico	San Pablo Huitzo	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.2%
Mexico	San Pablo Huixtepec	2000	88.4%	97.2%	72.5%
Mexico	San Pablo Huixtepec	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	San Pablo Macuiltian-guis	2000	89.5%	99.9%	55.6%
Mexico	San Pablo Macuiltian-guis	2017	98.9%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	San Pablo Tlaxiaco	2000	79.8%	98.8%	41.0%
Mexico	San Pablo Tlaxiaco	2017	97.4%	100.0%	84.1%
Mexico	San Pablo Villa De Mitla	2000	86.5%	99.3%	54.0%
Mexico	San Pablo Villa De Mitla	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.5%
Mexico	San Pablo Yaganiza	2000	90.5%	99.9%	48.9%
Mexico	San Pablo Yaganiza	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro	2000	93.1%	96.6%	87.6%
Mexico	San Pedro	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Amuzgos	2000	89.7%	100.0%	45.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Amuzgos	2017	99.2%	100.0%	91.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Apostol	2000	55.2%	81.2%	31.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Apostol	2017	89.8%	99.1%	70.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2000	82.8%	99.9%	33.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2017	97.0%	100.0%	77.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Cajonos	2000	90.0%	100.0%	46.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Cajonos	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Cholula	2000	84.7%	88.4%	80.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Cholula	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Comitancillo	2000	90.4%	100.0%	42.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Comitancillo	2017	98.7%	100.0%	87.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Coxcaltepec	2000	84.6%	99.1%	35.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Coxcaltepec Cantaros	2017	97.0%	100.0%	76.9%
Mexico	San Pedro De La Cueva	2000	93.6%	99.7%	68.9%
Mexico	San Pedro De La Cueva	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Del Gallo	2000	89.8%	98.9%	72.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Del Gallo	2017	98.5%	99.9%	91.7%
Mexico	San Pedro El Alto	2000	86.4%	99.9%	39.1%
Mexico	San Pedro El Alto	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Garza Garcia	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Garza Garcia	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Huamelula	2000	88.8%	99.4%	63.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Huamelula	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Huilotepec	2000	84.0%	99.3%	43.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Huilotepec	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixcatlan	2000	61.1%	82.7%	36.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixcatlan	2017	94.3%	98.2%	86.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixtlahuaca	2000	86.9%	96.4%	65.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Ixtlahuaca	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Jaltepetongo	2000	79.1%	97.8%	40.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Jaltepetongo	2017	96.8%	100.0%	76.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Jicayan	2000	80.4%	97.7%	46.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Jicayan	2017	98.1%	99.9%	89.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Jocotipac	2000	86.2%	99.9%	43.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Jocotipac	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Juchatengo	2000	97.8%	100.0%	86.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Juchatengo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Lagunillas	2000	93.7%	99.5%	75.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Lagunillas	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir	2000	51.5%	78.8%	25.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir	2017	84.2%	97.1%	61.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Quiechapa	2000	89.4%	99.9%	53.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Quiechapa	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Yucuxaco	2000	87.8%	99.9%	44.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Yucuxaco	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 22 -	2000	91.1%	97.9%	75.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 22 -	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2000	92.6%	99.9%	64.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Molinos	2000	87.8%	99.9%	52.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Molinos	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Nopala	2000	85.7%	99.9%	38.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Nopala	2017	97.8%	100.0%	80.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocopetatillo	2000	96.1%	99.8%	85.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocopetatillo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Ocotepc	2000	79.6%	99.8%	40.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocotepc	2017	96.7%	100.0%	80.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Pochutla	2000	82.0%	95.6%	61.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Pochutla	2017	98.1%	99.7%	92.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Quiatoni	2000	83.4%	95.5%	64.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Quiatoni	2017	98.1%	99.8%	91.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Sochiapam	2000	80.3%	98.3%	42.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Sochiapam	2017	97.4%	99.9%	84.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Tapanatepec	2000	86.0%	97.0%	63.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Tapanatepec	2017	98.8%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Taviche	2000	86.9%	100.0%	42.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Taviche	2017	98.0%	100.0%	80.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Teozacoalco	2000	77.6%	98.3%	30.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Teozacoalco	2017	97.0%	99.9%	79.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Teutila	2000	78.5%	96.9%	46.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Teutila	2017	96.2%	99.9%	81.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Tidaa	2000	82.5%	99.8%	33.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Tidaa	2017	97.7%	100.0%	83.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Topiltepec	2000	83.3%	99.8%	40.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Topiltepec	2017	97.5%	100.0%	81.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Totolapa	2000	90.8%	99.8%	58.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Totolapa	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Ayutla	2000	86.6%	99.2%	60.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Ayutla	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Teposcolula	2000	87.2%	99.8%	38.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Teposcolula	2017	98.2%	100.0%	82.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Tequixtepec	2000	89.7%	99.6%	66.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Tequixtepec	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Yaneri	2000	82.9%	98.9%	45.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Yaneri	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Yeloixtlahuaca	2000	82.9%	98.4%	48.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Yeloixtlahuaca	2017	98.2%	99.9%	91.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Yolox	2000	86.5%	99.3%	52.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Yolox	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Yucunama	2000	83.7%	100.0%	32.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Yucunama	2017	97.2%	100.0%	79.3%
Mexico	San Rafael	2000	86.2%	98.4%	57.3%
Mexico	San Rafael	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.6%
Mexico	San Raymundo Jalpan	2000	86.4%	94.0%	76.4%
Mexico	San Raymundo Jalpan	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.8%
Mexico	San Salvador	2000	92.7%	99.2%	78.2%
Mexico	San Salvador	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	San Salvador El Seco	2000	92.1%	99.6%	66.6%
Mexico	San Salvador El Seco	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.0%
Mexico	San Salvador El Verde	2000	97.5%	99.4%	91.7%
Mexico	San Salvador El Verde	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	San Salvador Huixcolotla	2000	98.6%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	San Salvador Huixcolotla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	San Sebastian Abasolo	2000	91.3%	99.9%	52.3%
Mexico	San Sebastian Abasolo	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	San Sebastian Coatlan	2000	89.7%	99.7%	64.6%
Mexico	San Sebastian Coatlan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	San Sebastian Del Oeste	2000	90.1%	99.1%	71.7%
Mexico	San Sebastian Del Oeste	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Ixcapa	2000	85.3%	99.7%	42.0%
Mexico	San Sebastian Ixcapa	2017	98.0%	100.0%	85.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Nicananduta	2000	86.0%	100.0%	39.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Nicananduta	2017	98.0%	100.0%	84.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Rio Hondo	2000	92.0%	99.4%	67.3%
Mexico	San Sebastian Rio Hondo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Sebastian Tecomaxt-lahuaca	2000	87.3%	97.5%	72.0%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tecomaxt-lahuaca	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.1%
Mexico	San Sebastian Teitipac	2000	90.1%	99.9%	49.9%
Mexico	San Sebastian Teitipac	2017	98.5%	100.0%	85.9%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tlacotepec	2000	84.2%	97.9%	58.6%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tlacotepec	2017	97.7%	99.9%	89.4%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tutla	2000	95.1%	96.8%	92.5%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tutla	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	San Simon Almolongas	2000	86.2%	99.3%	50.9%
Mexico	San Simon Almolongas	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.1%
Mexico	San Simon De Guerrero	2000	87.4%	99.7%	52.3%
Mexico	San Simon De Guerrero	2017	98.3%	100.0%	87.0%
Mexico	San Simon Zahuatlan	2000	83.7%	99.5%	44.9%
Mexico	San Simon Zahuatlan	2017	97.8%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	San Vicente Coatlan	2000	89.3%	100.0%	41.7%
Mexico	San Vicente Coatlan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	San Vicente Lachixio	2000	84.9%	98.3%	51.6%
Mexico	San Vicente Lachixio	2017	97.7%	99.9%	85.1%
Mexico	San Vicente Nunu	2000	85.7%	99.5%	46.1%
Mexico	San Vicente Nunu	2017	98.1%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	San Vicente Tancuayalab	2000	90.0%	99.4%	65.5%
Mexico	San Vicente Tancuayalab	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Sanahcat	2000	96.2%	99.9%	80.5%
Mexico	Sanahcat	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Sanctorum De Lazaro Cardenas	2000	99.2%	99.9%	97.1%
Mexico	Sanctorum De Lazaro Cardenas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2000	97.5%	99.6%	92.8%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2000	87.4%	98.2%	65.8%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Ateixtlahuaca	2000	91.7%	99.8%	67.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana Ateixtlahuaca	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Ana Cuauhtemoc	2000	67.3%	93.3%	30.7%
Mexico	Santa Ana Cuauhtemoc	2017	94.8%	99.6%	75.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana Del Valle	2000	95.2%	99.4%	85.4%
Mexico	Santa Ana Del Valle	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Santa Ana Maya	2000	91.1%	99.9%	57.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana Maya	2017	99.1%	100.0%	91.1%
Mexico	Santa Ana Nopalucan	2000	97.3%	98.7%	95.6%
Mexico	Santa Ana Nopalucan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tavela	2000	82.8%	95.9%	61.4%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tavela	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tlapacoyan	2000	90.3%	98.9%	67.6%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tlapacoyan	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Santa Ana Yareni	2000	87.4%	100.0%	45.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana Yareni	2017	98.4%	100.0%	85.5%
Mexico	Santa Ana Zegache	2000	82.8%	94.6%	63.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Zegache	2017	98.5%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Santa Apolonia Teacalco	2000	98.8%	99.4%	98.0%
Mexico	Santa Apolonia Teacalco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Santa Barbara	2000	90.3%	98.4%	66.4%
Mexico	Santa Barbara	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Santa Catalina Quieri	2000	88.9%	99.9%	48.3%
Mexico	Santa Catalina Quieri	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	86.6%	97.5%	61.0%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	89.2%	99.9%	49.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	98.2%	99.9%	90.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ayometla	2000	99.2%	99.8%	98.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ayometla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Cuixtla	2000	79.5%	94.5%	58.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Catarina Cuixtla	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ixtepeji	2000	88.5%	99.6%	58.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ixtepeji	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Juquila	2000	84.4%	98.3%	47.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Juquila	2017	97.8%	100.0%	82.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Lachatao	2000	88.7%	99.6%	61.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Lachatao	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Loxicha	2000	89.6%	97.7%	70.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Loxicha	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Mechoacan	2000	75.7%	95.4%	47.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Mechoacan	2017	97.7%	100.0%	84.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Minas	2000	84.0%	94.2%	69.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Minas	2017	98.2%	99.8%	93.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiane	2000	83.3%	99.9%	41.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiane	2017	98.3%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quioquitani	2000	92.1%	100.0%	46.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quioquitani	2017	98.8%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tayata	2000	86.0%	99.8%	42.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tayata	2017	98.1%	100.0%	83.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ticua	2000	86.8%	99.9%	38.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ticua	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.0%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tlaltempan	2000	90.5%	99.9%	62.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tlaltempan	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Yasonotu	2000	84.3%	99.8%	39.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Yasonotu	2017	97.9%	100.0%	85.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Zapouquila	2000	90.5%	99.9%	53.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Zapouquila	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Clara	2000	95.0%	99.0%	88.9%
Mexico	Santa Clara	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	92.6%	99.8%	65.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	88.8%	92.8%	83.4%
Mexico	Acatepec				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.5%
Mexico	Acatepec				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	94.8%	96.6%	92.1%
Mexico	Amilpas				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Amilpas				
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Bravo	2000	88.5%	99.9%	44.0%
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Bravo	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	93.0%	98.5%	83.9%
Mexico	De Juventino Rosas				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	De Juventino Rosas				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	72.0%	88.6%	50.0%
Mexico	Itundujia				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	95.0%	99.4%	85.1%
Mexico	Itundujia				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	89.6%	99.3%	62.7%
Mexico	Mixtepec				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.2%
Mexico	Mixtepec				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	90.2%	95.9%	82.9%
Mexico	Nundaco				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	Nundaco				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	90.3%	100.0%	49.1%
Mexico	Papalutla				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	98.6%	100.0%	85.4%
Mexico	Papalutla				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Quilehltla				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Quilehltla				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	82.7%	99.8%	30.7%
Mexico	Tacache De Mina				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	97.4%	100.0%	79.4%
Mexico	Tacache De Mina				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	85.0%	99.8%	32.9%
Mexico	Tacahua				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	97.1%	100.0%	75.2%
Mexico	Tacahua				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	86.7%	100.0%	42.3%
Mexico	Tayata				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	98.0%	100.0%	80.5%
Mexico	Tayata				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	97.5%	99.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Tlaxcala				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tlaxcala				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	84.7%	94.5%	67.7%
Mexico	Xitla				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xitla	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.1%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xoxocotlan	2000	85.5%	88.3%	82.4%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xoxocotlan	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Zenzontepec	2000	86.6%	98.8%	61.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Zenzontepec	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.7%
Mexico	Santa Elena	2000	89.1%	99.7%	54.8%
Mexico	Santa Elena	2017	98.9%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	Santa Gertrudis	2000	88.5%	96.0%	72.9%
Mexico	Santa Gertrudis	2017	99.4%	99.8%	97.8%
Mexico	Santa Ines Ahuatempan	2000	89.1%	99.8%	48.7%
Mexico	Santa Ines Ahuatempan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Santa Ines De Zaragoza	2000	87.8%	99.9%	40.9%
Mexico	Santa Ines De Zaragoza	2017	97.8%	100.0%	79.3%
Mexico	Santa Ines Del Monte	2000	45.0%	60.4%	30.4%
Mexico	Santa Ines Del Monte	2017	80.2%	90.8%	69.4%
Mexico	Santa Ines Yatzeche	2000	89.1%	97.0%	76.0%
Mexico	Santa Ines Yatzeche	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Mexico	Santa Isabel	2000	91.7%	99.2%	65.8%
Mexico	Santa Isabel	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.2%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Cholula	2000	87.6%	93.3%	79.3%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Cholula	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.9%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Xiloxotla	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Xiloxotla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Del Camino	2000	94.1%	95.9%	91.6%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Del Camino	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Miahuatlan	2000	88.5%	99.9%	48.7%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Miahuatlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Monteverde	2000	84.0%	99.6%	37.9%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Monteverde	2017	97.3%	100.0%	82.5%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Ocotlan	2000	58.2%	91.1%	27.5%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Ocotlan	2017	89.6%	99.7%	66.3%
Mexico	Santa Magdalena Jicotlan	2000	86.9%	99.9%	40.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Magdalena Jicotlan	2017	97.8%	100.0%	81.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Alotepec	2000	82.1%	99.4%	41.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Alotepec	2017	97.2%	100.0%	82.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Apazco	2000	75.2%	90.3%	54.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Apazco	2017	97.8%	99.6%	93.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Atzompa	2000	90.7%	96.4%	82.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Atzompa	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Camotlan	2000	98.0%	99.9%	87.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Camotlan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chachoapam	2000	84.8%	94.5%	70.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chachoapam	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chilchotla	2000	70.5%	97.2%	38.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chilchotla	2017	93.4%	99.9%	73.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chimalapa	2000	80.9%	92.7%	62.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chimalapa	2017	96.9%	99.4%	91.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Colotepec	2000	92.0%	99.6%	70.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Colotepec	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Cortijo	2000	87.5%	99.9%	47.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Cortijo	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Coyotepec	2000	92.0%	97.3%	82.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Coyotepec	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria De La Paz	2000	90.0%	99.7%	61.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria De La Paz	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria De Los Angeles	2000	88.2%	99.5%	50.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria De Los Angeles	2017	98.4%	100.0%	85.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2000	90.6%	99.3%	71.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2000	91.4%	97.6%	78.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2017	98.6%	100.0%	93.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rio	2000	87.5%	96.8%	71.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rio	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rosario	2000	84.6%	99.9%	31.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rosario	2017	97.3%	100.0%	79.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Tule	2000	97.6%	99.7%	91.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Tule	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ecatepec	2000	87.7%	98.4%	61.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ecatepec	2017	98.2%	99.9%	92.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guelace	2000	95.0%	99.9%	80.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guelace	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guienagati	2000	85.6%	99.3%	54.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guienagati	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huatulco	2000	89.2%	99.3%	66.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huatulco	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huazolotitlan	2000	82.0%	98.7%	53.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huazolotitlan	2017	97.3%	100.0%	86.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ipalapa	2000	85.2%	99.8%	47.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ipalapa	2017	97.7%	100.0%	86.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ixcatlan	2000	87.9%	99.6%	56.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ixcatlan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jacatepec	2000	88.5%	97.1%	71.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jacatepec	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jalapa Del Marques	2000	90.9%	99.7%	58.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jalapa Del Marques	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jaltianguis	2000	87.0%	99.9%	50.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jaltianguis	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria La Asuncion	2000	68.6%	88.7%	43.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria La Asuncion	2017	97.2%	99.6%	90.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Lachixio	2000	88.9%	100.0%	49.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Lachixio	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Mixtequilla	2000	88.4%	99.9%	51.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Mixtequilla	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nativitas	2000	87.3%	100.0%	44.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nativitas	2017	98.4%	100.0%	85.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nduayaco	2000	84.4%	99.8%	34.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nduayaco	2017	97.4%	100.0%	79.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ozolotepec	2000	89.1%	99.8%	63.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ozolotepec	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Papalo	2000	87.8%	99.1%	45.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Papalo	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Penoles	2000	87.1%	98.9%	63.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Penoles	2017	98.8%	99.9%	93.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Petapa	2000	81.3%	87.9%	71.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Petapa	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Quiegolani	2000	90.7%	99.9%	48.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Quiegolani	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Sola	2000	51.1%	88.3%	16.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Sola	2017	88.6%	99.0%	55.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tataltepec	2000	80.5%	100.0%	19.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tataltepec	2017	95.4%	100.0%	64.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tecomavaca	2000	87.0%	99.3%	56.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tecomavaca	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcalapa	2000	80.4%	99.8%	38.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcalapa	2017	97.4%	100.0%	83.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcaltepec	2000	75.8%	86.7%	58.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcaltepec	2017	97.5%	98.4%	95.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Teopoxco	2000	98.5%	99.8%	96.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Teopoxco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tepantlali	2000	81.8%	99.1%	38.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tepantlali	2017	97.1%	100.0%	79.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Texcatitlan	2000	80.3%	99.9%	30.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Texcatitlan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlahuitoltepec	2000	82.5%	99.0%	42.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlahuitoltepec	2017	97.9%	99.9%	86.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlalixtac	2000	65.3%	90.0%	33.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlalixtac	2017	95.8%	99.6%	81.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tonameca	2000	87.5%	96.9%	72.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tonameca	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Totolapilla	2000	87.2%	99.5%	57.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Totolapilla	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Xadani	2000	90.8%	99.6%	67.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Xadani	2017	97.8%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yalina	2000	90.9%	98.4%	76.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yalina	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yavesia	2000	85.7%	99.9%	48.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yavesia	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yolotepec	2000	87.0%	99.9%	36.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yolotepec	2017	97.6%	100.0%	78.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yosoyua	2000	87.5%	99.9%	52.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yosoyua	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yucuhiti	2000	85.5%	99.4%	48.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yucuhiti	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zacatepec	2000	86.5%	98.7%	55.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zacatepec	2017	98.3%	100.0%	90.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zaniza	2000	90.6%	99.6%	62.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zaniza	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zoquitlan	2000	90.1%	99.8%	61.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zoquitlan	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Santiago	2000	91.0%	95.1%	84.2%
Mexico	Santiago	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.4%
Mexico	Santiago Amoltepec	2000	72.0%	86.1%	54.3%
Mexico	Santiago Amoltepec	2017	96.9%	99.3%	91.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Apoala	2000	81.1%	98.6%	36.7%
Mexico	Santiago Apoala	2017	97.1%	100.0%	79.4%
Mexico	Santiago Apostol	2000	70.9%	83.2%	53.7%
Mexico	Santiago Apostol	2017	94.4%	98.4%	85.4%
Mexico	Santiago Astata	2000	91.3%	100.0%	58.8%
Mexico	Santiago Astata	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Santiago Atitlan	2000	79.2%	99.7%	36.5%
Mexico	Santiago Atitlan	2017	96.6%	100.0%	77.8%
Mexico	Santiago Ayuquillilla	2000	87.3%	99.9%	43.9%
Mexico	Santiago Ayuquillilla	2017	98.2%	100.0%	85.2%
Mexico	Santiago Cacaloxtepc	2000	90.7%	99.7%	56.9%
Mexico	Santiago Cacaloxtepc	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Santiago Camotlan	2000	78.0%	97.6%	46.1%
Mexico	Santiago Camotlan	2017	95.7%	99.9%	75.9%
Mexico	Santiago Chazumba	2000	90.2%	99.6%	55.5%
Mexico	Santiago Chazumba	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	Santiago Choapam	2000	81.1%	97.3%	53.5%
Mexico	Santiago Choapam	2017	96.8%	99.8%	86.6%
Mexico	Santiago Comaltepec	2000	87.2%	99.2%	52.0%
Mexico	Santiago Comaltepec	2017	98.1%	100.0%	87.1%
Mexico	Santiago De Anaya	2000	88.3%	99.6%	56.8%
Mexico	Santiago De Anaya	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.1%
Mexico	Santiago Del Rio	2000	85.9%	99.8%	37.5%
Mexico	Santiago Del Rio	2017	97.9%	100.0%	83.4%
Mexico	Santiago El Pinar	2000	86.5%	99.9%	43.0%
Mexico	Santiago El Pinar	2017	98.0%	100.0%	84.1%
Mexico	Santiago Huajolotitlan	2000	87.8%	96.8%	70.9%
Mexico	Santiago Huajolotitlan	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Mexico	Santiago Huauclilla	2000	88.1%	99.6%	55.3%
Mexico	Santiago Huauclilla	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Santiago Ihuatlan Plumas	2000	89.9%	99.9%	53.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Ihuitlan Plumas	2017	98.8%	100.0%	86.8%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintepec	2000	88.9%	99.9%	56.5%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintepec	2017	98.3%	100.0%	85.2%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintla	2000	87.9%	95.4%	77.4%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintla	2017	98.5%	99.8%	95.0%
Mexico	Santiago Ixtayutla	2000	55.0%	88.8%	33.9%
Mexico	Santiago Ixtayutla	2017	85.4%	99.3%	62.1%
Mexico	Santiago Jamiltepec	2000	84.5%	93.7%	71.1%
Mexico	Santiago Jamiltepec	2017	98.9%	99.8%	95.7%
Mexico	Santiago Jocotepec	2000	69.9%	88.8%	46.3%
Mexico	Santiago Jocotepec	2017	93.8%	99.1%	79.6%
Mexico	Santiago Juxtahuaca	2000	80.6%	92.3%	59.5%
Mexico	Santiago Juxtahuaca	2017	97.3%	99.5%	89.8%
Mexico	Santiago Lachiguiri	2000	91.8%	99.2%	74.4%
Mexico	Santiago Lachiguiri	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Santiago Lalopa	2000	79.5%	99.6%	39.9%
Mexico	Santiago Lalopa	2017	96.9%	100.0%	80.4%
Mexico	Santiago Laolalaga	2000	93.8%	99.9%	70.1%
Mexico	Santiago Laolalaga	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Santiago Laxopa	2000	91.1%	99.7%	68.2%
Mexico	Santiago Laxopa	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Santiago Llano Grande	2000	87.3%	99.9%	40.7%
Mexico	Santiago Llano Grande	2017	98.2%	100.0%	85.8%
Mexico	Santiago Maravatio	2000	94.4%	99.9%	74.0%
Mexico	Santiago Maravatio	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Santiago Matatlan	2000	84.2%	98.6%	52.0%
Mexico	Santiago Matatlan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	89.2%
Mexico	Santiago Miahuatlan	2000	89.8%	97.5%	76.5%
Mexico	Santiago Miahuatlan	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.5%
Mexico	Santiago Miltepec	2000	89.4%	99.9%	60.1%
Mexico	Santiago Miltepec	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Minas	2000	90.7%	99.7%	59.8%
Mexico	Santiago Minas	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	Santiago Nacaltepec	2000	88.2%	99.8%	56.4%
Mexico	Santiago Nacaltepec	2017	98.3%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	Santiago Nejapilla	2000	82.9%	99.9%	30.6%
Mexico	Santiago Nejapilla	2017	97.4%	100.0%	82.1%
Mexico	Santiago Niloteppec	2000	90.2%	99.3%	57.1%
Mexico	Santiago Niloteppec	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.7%
Mexico	Santiago Nundiche	2000	82.9%	97.6%	49.6%
Mexico	Santiago Nundiche	2017	98.3%	99.9%	90.9%
Mexico	Santiago Nuyoo	2000	85.5%	99.8%	42.5%
Mexico	Santiago Nuyoo	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	Santiago Papasquiario	2000	89.8%	95.6%	79.8%
Mexico	Santiago Papasquiario	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.7%
Mexico	Santiago Pinotepa Nacional	2000	80.5%	92.5%	61.9%
Mexico	Santiago Pinotepa Nacional	2017	97.8%	99.5%	91.9%
Mexico	Santiago Sochiapa	2000	83.4%	98.2%	53.4%
Mexico	Santiago Sochiapa	2017	97.5%	99.9%	85.9%
Mexico	Santiago Suchilquitongo	2000	74.9%	84.5%	64.3%
Mexico	Santiago Suchilquitongo	2017	98.4%	99.3%	96.5%
Mexico	Santiago Tamazola	2000	86.8%	99.5%	57.4%
Mexico	Santiago Tamazola	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.8%
Mexico	Santiago Tapextla	2000	87.6%	99.8%	53.4%
Mexico	Santiago Tapextla	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.1%
Mexico	Santiago Tenango	2000	85.8%	99.8%	43.7%
Mexico	Santiago Tenango	2017	98.0%	100.0%	85.6%
Mexico	Santiago Tepetlapa	2000	88.9%	100.0%	48.1%
Mexico	Santiago Tepetlapa	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.5%
Mexico	Santiago Tetepec	2000	85.4%	96.4%	62.2%
Mexico	Santiago Tetepec	2017	98.6%	99.8%	92.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Texcalcingo	2000	90.9%	96.0%	83.1%
Mexico	Santiago Texcalcingo	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Mexico	Santiago Tex-titlan	2000	90.0%	99.9%	54.0%
Mexico	Santiago Tex-titlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.5%
Mexico	Santiago Tilantongo	2000	83.4%	99.7%	41.5%
Mexico	Santiago Tilantongo	2017	97.3%	100.0%	84.3%
Mexico	Santiago Tillo	2000	83.1%	98.7%	49.4%
Mexico	Santiago Tillo	2017	98.1%	100.0%	87.2%
Mexico	Santiago Tlazoyaltepec	2000	87.0%	99.7%	54.8%
Mexico	Santiago Tlazoyaltepec	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	Santiago Tulantepec De Lugo Guerrero	2000	84.8%	95.6%	71.9%
Mexico	Santiago Tulantepec De Lugo Guerrero	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.3%
Mexico	Santiago Tuxtla	2000	85.2%	98.5%	57.7%
Mexico	Santiago Tuxtla	2017	98.3%	99.9%	91.5%
Mexico	Santiago Xan-ica	2000	85.8%	99.9%	47.2%
Mexico	Santiago Xan-ica	2017	97.6%	100.0%	81.6%
Mexico	Santiago Xiacui	2000	86.2%	99.6%	50.1%
Mexico	Santiago Xiacui	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	Santiago Yaitepec	2000	82.6%	99.6%	24.1%
Mexico	Santiago Yaitepec	2017	97.2%	99.9%	74.3%
Mexico	Santiago Yaveo	2000	85.7%	96.3%	67.5%
Mexico	Santiago Yaveo	2017	98.1%	99.8%	92.8%
Mexico	Santiago Yolomecatl	2000	86.4%	100.0%	34.2%
Mexico	Santiago Yolomecatl	2017	97.8%	100.0%	77.0%
Mexico	Santiago Yosondua	2000	86.7%	99.1%	56.0%
Mexico	Santiago Yosondua	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.7%
Mexico	Santiago Yucuyachi	2000	87.8%	100.0%	44.8%
Mexico	Santiago Yucuyachi	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	Santiago Zcatepec	2000	85.0%	95.3%	65.3%
Mexico	Santiago Zcatepec	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Zochila	2000	93.5%	98.8%	79.6%
Mexico	Santiago Zochila	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo	2000	86.5%	96.2%	69.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Albarradas	2000	89.0%	99.8%	53.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Albarradas	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Armenta	2000	85.0%	99.8%	38.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Armenta	2017	98.0%	100.0%	84.1%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Chihuitan	2000	90.6%	99.9%	58.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Chihuitan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo De Morelos	2000	78.6%	89.7%	60.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo De Morelos	2017	96.0%	98.5%	88.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ingenio	2000	88.7%	99.5%	60.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ingenio	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ixcatlan	2000	88.5%	100.0%	38.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ixcatlan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	84.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Nuxaa	2000	87.6%	99.8%	53.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Nuxaa	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ozolotepec	2000	88.9%	99.9%	50.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ozolotepec	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Petapa	2000	86.5%	99.5%	52.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Petapa	2017	98.3%	100.0%	90.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santo Domingo Roayaga	2000	80.7%	99.1%	42.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Roayaga	2017	97.5%	100.0%	86.1%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tehuantepec	2000	89.8%	97.9%	68.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tehuantepec	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Teojomulco	2000	88.8%	99.8%	57.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Teojomulco	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tepuxtepec	2000	87.4%	99.9%	51.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tepuxtepec	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tlatayapam	2000	82.8%	99.9%	30.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tlatayapam	2017	97.6%	100.0%	79.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tomaltepec	2000	97.8%	99.6%	93.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tomaltepec	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonalá	2000	86.5%	99.8%	48.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonalá	2017	98.1%	100.0%	83.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonaltepec	2000	86.7%	99.9%	41.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonaltepec	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Xagacia	2000	90.0%	99.9%	48.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Xagacia	2017	98.9%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yanhuitlan	2000	86.9%	99.9%	43.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yanhuitlan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	83.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yodohino	2000	89.4%	99.9%	46.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yodohino	2017	98.6%	100.0%	88.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Zanatepec	2000	83.2%	98.2%	52.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Zanatepec	2017	97.6%	99.9%	83.5%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2000	88.7%	99.7%	53.9%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2000	93.4%	99.0%	81.1%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Jalieza	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Jalieza	2000	80.8%	98.5%	44.0%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Mazaltepec	2017	96.3%	100.0%	82.5%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Mazaltepec	2000	83.0%	95.4%	59.1%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Ocotepc	2017	98.4%	99.7%	94.3%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Ocotepc	2000	86.2%	99.2%	50.1%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Tamazulapan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.8%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Tamazulapan	2000	80.5%	98.5%	43.3%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Nopala	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.9%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Nopala	2000	87.8%	96.0%	69.9%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Papalo	2017	99.0%	99.8%	95.3%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Papalo	2000	91.6%	99.7%	62.1%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Tepejillo	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Tepejillo	2000	88.3%	99.9%	48.4%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Yucuna	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.0%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Yucuna	2000	84.0%	99.7%	36.2%
Mexico	Saric	2017	97.9%	100.0%	85.7%
Mexico	Saric	2000	91.3%	99.6%	69.5%
Mexico	Satevo	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.1%
Mexico	Satevo	2000	91.9%	98.3%	78.1%
Mexico	Saucillo	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Saucillo	2000	91.9%	97.8%	81.6%
Mexico	Sayula	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Sayula De Aleman	2000	91.4%	99.9%	60.8%
Mexico	Sayula De Aleman	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Sayula De Aleman	2000	84.2%	96.4%	63.6%
Mexico	Senguio	2017	97.7%	99.9%	87.4%
Mexico	Senguio	2000	88.6%	99.5%	54.4%
Mexico	Sey	2017	98.7%	100.0%	89.4%
Mexico	Sey	2000	94.7%	99.6%	81.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Seye	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Sierra Mojada	2000	90.3%	98.5%	67.5%
Mexico	Sierra Mojada	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Silacayoapam	2000	87.8%	98.2%	66.7%
Mexico	Silacayoapam	2017	98.6%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Silao	2000	93.2%	98.0%	86.4%
Mexico	Silao	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.0%
Mexico	Siltepec	2000	79.6%	93.7%	60.3%
Mexico	Siltepec	2017	97.1%	99.6%	91.1%
Mexico	Simojovel	2000	81.7%	95.0%	60.2%
Mexico	Simojovel	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.0%
Mexico	Sinaloa	2000	77.3%	84.9%	68.0%
Mexico	Sinaloa	2017	94.6%	97.2%	90.7%
Mexico	Sinanche	2000	90.2%	100.0%	46.3%
Mexico	Sinanche	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Singuilucan	2000	91.8%	97.9%	74.8%
Mexico	Singuilucan	2017	99.1%	99.9%	94.9%
Mexico	Sitala	2000	36.0%	53.2%	23.4%
Mexico	Sitala	2017	77.7%	92.4%	62.3%
Mexico	Sitio De Xitlapahua	2000	80.9%	98.5%	40.7%
Mexico	Sitio De Xitlapahua	2017	98.1%	99.9%	89.6%
Mexico	Sochiapa	2000	94.7%	98.8%	85.4%
Mexico	Sochiapa	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.9%
Mexico	Socoltenango	2000	81.6%	94.5%	63.7%
Mexico	Socoltenango	2017	98.1%	99.7%	92.4%
Mexico	Soconusco	2000	85.4%	97.3%	65.0%
Mexico	Soconusco	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.5%
Mexico	Soledad	2000	66.3%	94.3%	24.8%
Mexico	Atzompa	2017	93.3%	99.8%	64.2%
Mexico	Soledad De Doblado	2000	90.6%	99.4%	64.5%
Mexico	Soledad De Doblado	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Soledad De Graciano Sanchez	2000	78.1%	83.9%	73.0%
Mexico	Soledad De Graciano Sanchez	2017	96.3%	98.8%	92.8%
Mexico	Soledad Etlá	2000	93.5%	97.1%	88.7%
Mexico	Soledad Etlá	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Mexico	Solidaridad	2000	96.7%	98.9%	92.9%
Mexico	Solidaridad	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Solosuchiapa	2000	75.5%	95.8%	42.6%
Mexico	Solosuchiapa	2017	96.9%	99.8%	86.7%
Mexico	Soltepec	2000	91.7%	98.2%	79.4%
Mexico	Soltepec	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Sombrerete	2000	92.0%	97.1%	84.9%
Mexico	Sombrerete	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.7%
Mexico	Soteapan	2000	86.5%	98.8%	55.6%
Mexico	Soteapan	2017	98.1%	100.0%	87.7%
Mexico	Soto La Marina	2000	92.6%	96.7%	85.9%
Mexico	Soto La Marina	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.7%
Mexico	Sotuta	2000	89.1%	99.7%	61.8%
Mexico	Sotuta	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Soyalo	2000	87.0%	99.7%	48.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Soyalo	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.5%
Mexico	Soyaniquilpan De Juarez	2000	88.6%	99.7%	53.5%
Mexico	Soyaniquilpan De Juarez	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.9%
Mexico	Soyopa	2000	91.0%	99.5%	72.4%
Mexico	Soyopa	2017	99.0%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Suaqui Grande	2000	94.2%	99.9%	55.1%
Mexico	Suaqui Grande	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.6%
Mexico	Suchiapa	2000	88.2%	95.0%	76.6%
Mexico	Suchiapa	2017	88.9%	96.9%	74.3%
Mexico	Suchiate	2000	87.7%	99.4%	56.8%
Mexico	Suchiate	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Suchil	2000	83.9%	98.3%	51.0%
Mexico	Suchil	2017	97.9%	99.9%	88.5%
Mexico	Sucila	2000	91.2%	99.9%	55.2%
Mexico	Sucila	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.0%
Mexico	Sudzal	2000	93.9%	99.7%	71.0%
Mexico	Sudzal	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Sultepec	2000	85.5%	98.5%	58.7%
Mexico	Sultepec	2017	98.1%	99.9%	89.8%
Mexico	Suma	2000	98.5%	99.9%	93.5%
Mexico	Suma	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Sunuapa	2000	76.3%	98.9%	36.3%
Mexico	Sunuapa	2017	95.7%	100.0%	76.9%
Mexico	Susticacan	2000	88.4%	99.9%	42.7%
Mexico	Susticacan	2017	98.1%	100.0%	85.9%
Mexico	Susupuato	2000	60.0%	83.2%	36.9%
Mexico	Susupuato	2017	92.6%	98.4%	82.0%
Mexico	Tabasco	2000	93.0%	99.2%	76.5%
Mexico	Tabasco	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Tacambaro	2000	84.1%	93.2%	67.9%
Mexico	Tacambaro	2017	98.5%	99.7%	94.5%
Mexico	Tacotalpa	2000	83.0%	92.5%	67.1%
Mexico	Tacotalpa	2017	98.2%	99.6%	94.0%
Mexico	Tahdziu	2000	94.5%	99.9%	72.1%
Mexico	Tahdziu	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Mexico	Tahmek	2000	92.1%	100.0%	63.0%
Mexico	Tahmek	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Tala	2000	92.3%	99.1%	71.5%
Mexico	Tala	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Talpa De Al-lende	2000	90.2%	98.6%	54.9%
Mexico	Talpa De Al-lende	2017	98.3%	99.9%	86.8%
Mexico	Tamalin	2000	85.4%	96.1%	72.2%
Mexico	Tamalin	2017	98.0%	99.8%	93.5%
Mexico	Tamasopo	2000	86.6%	96.4%	68.6%
Mexico	Tamasopo	2017	98.4%	99.8%	93.4%
Mexico	Tamazula	2000	88.6%	95.2%	78.4%
Mexico	Tamazula	2017	98.4%	99.7%	96.0%
Mexico	Tamazula De Gordiano	2000	91.1%	99.4%	67.0%
Mexico	Tamazula De Gordiano	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.7%
Mexico	Tamazulapam Del Espiritu Santo	2000	84.9%	98.0%	58.7%
Mexico	Tamazulapam Del Espiritu Santo	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tamazunchale	2000	86.9%	95.2%	77.1%
Mexico	Tamazunchale	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.5%
Mexico	Tamiahua	2000	76.7%	91.7%	57.9%
Mexico	Tamiahua	2017	95.1%	99.0%	86.4%
Mexico	Tampacan	2000	63.9%	90.4%	31.5%
Mexico	Tampacan	2017	92.4%	99.4%	73.8%
Mexico	Tampamolón Corona	2000	70.6%	82.0%	57.0%
Mexico	Tampamolón Corona	2017	96.9%	99.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Tampico	2000	98.7%	99.4%	96.7%
Mexico	Tampico	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tampico Alto	2000	88.7%	97.8%	67.9%
Mexico	Tampico Alto	2017	98.4%	99.9%	93.2%
Mexico	Tamuín	2000	89.3%	97.2%	71.5%
Mexico	Tamuín	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.4%
Mexico	Tancanhuitz	2000	83.1%	89.6%	74.6%
Mexico	Tancanhuitz	2017	97.9%	99.3%	94.6%
Mexico	Tancitaro	2000	96.1%	99.6%	87.3%
Mexico	Tancitaro	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Tancoco	2000	93.8%	99.3%	76.4%
Mexico	Tancoco	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.9%
Mexico	Tanetze De Zaragoza	2000	82.1%	96.3%	59.0%
Mexico	Tanetze De Zaragoza	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	Tangamandapio	2000	78.3%	91.6%	60.0%
Mexico	Tangamandapio	2017	97.7%	99.6%	90.7%
Mexico	Tangancicuaro	2000	85.3%	97.6%	58.6%
Mexico	Tangancicuaro	2017	98.3%	99.9%	90.0%
Mexico	Tanhuato	2000	79.4%	97.3%	38.9%
Mexico	Tanhuato	2017	96.1%	99.8%	81.0%
Mexico	Taniche	2000	82.2%	98.6%	54.9%
Mexico	Taniche	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Tanlajas	2000	83.6%	90.6%	72.5%
Mexico	Tanlajas	2017	98.1%	99.4%	95.4%
Mexico	Tanquian De Escobedo	2000	97.5%	99.5%	92.0%
Mexico	Tanquian De Escobedo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Tantima	2000	83.6%	94.1%	69.1%
Mexico	Tantima	2017	97.1%	99.5%	93.1%
Mexico	Tantoyuca	2000	87.5%	98.2%	64.7%
Mexico	Tantoyuca	2017	98.6%	99.9%	94.1%
Mexico	Tapachula	2000	73.4%	82.2%	64.3%
Mexico	Tapachula	2017	98.0%	99.0%	95.9%
Mexico	Tapalapa	2000	75.2%	90.6%	56.2%
Mexico	Tapalapa	2017	97.9%	99.5%	93.4%
Mexico	Tapalpa	2000	92.0%	99.5%	63.5%
Mexico	Tapalpa	2017	99.1%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Tapilula	2000	62.8%	86.1%	38.0%
Mexico	Tapilula	2017	95.9%	99.6%	86.5%
Mexico	Tarandacuaó	2000	86.8%	99.8%	47.3%
Mexico	Tarandacuaó	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.8%
Mexico	Taretan	2000	73.2%	95.7%	38.5%
Mexico	Taretan	2017	93.4%	99.6%	75.9%
Mexico	Tarimbaro	2000	74.8%	87.5%	62.0%
Mexico	Tarimbaro	2017	96.8%	99.1%	92.3%
Mexico	Tarimoro	2000	92.0%	98.2%	76.4%
Mexico	Tarimoro	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.5%
Mexico	Tasquillo	2000	86.7%	94.0%	74.6%
Mexico	Tasquillo	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tatahuicapan De Juarez	2000	87.3%	99.0%	64.0%
Mexico	Tatahuicapan De Juarez	2017	98.0%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Tataltepec De Valdes	2000	87.7%	99.8%	49.6%
Mexico	Tataltepec De Valdes	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.0%
Mexico	Tatatila	2000	79.2%	99.5%	38.2%
Mexico	Tatatila	2017	96.5%	100.0%	79.6%
Mexico	Taxco De Alarcon	2000	76.6%	87.1%	64.9%
Mexico	Taxco De Alarcon	2017	97.7%	99.3%	94.7%
Mexico	Teabo	2000	98.4%	99.9%	94.6%
Mexico	Teabo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Teapa	2000	95.1%	99.2%	87.1%
Mexico	Teapa	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Tecali De Herrera	2000	91.1%	99.5%	68.5%
Mexico	Tecali De Herrera	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	Tecalitlan	2000	91.5%	99.2%	60.2%
Mexico	Tecalitlan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.1%
Mexico	Tecamac	2000	96.8%	98.9%	92.9%
Mexico	Tecamac	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Tecamachalco	2000	94.2%	98.4%	87.7%
Mexico	Tecamachalco	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Tecate	2000	98.1%	99.5%	95.2%
Mexico	Tecate	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Techaluta De Montenegro	2000	91.4%	100.0%	50.5%
Mexico	Techaluta De Montenegro	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Tecoanapa	2000	84.9%	97.3%	63.9%
Mexico	Tecoanapa	2017	98.3%	99.9%	93.0%
Mexico	Tecoh	2000	93.9%	99.8%	71.0%
Mexico	Tecoh	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Tecolotlan	2000	86.8%	99.1%	49.4%
Mexico	Tecolotlan	2017	98.0%	99.9%	84.2%
Mexico	Tecolutla	2000	79.9%	91.8%	65.6%
Mexico	Tecolutla	2017	96.2%	99.4%	89.4%
Mexico	Tecoman	2000	97.1%	99.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Tecoman	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Tecomatlan	2000	91.5%	99.5%	69.7%
Mexico	Tecomatlan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Tecoautla	2000	94.9%	99.5%	83.6%
Mexico	Tecoautla	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Tecpan De Galeana	2000	80.9%	93.1%	62.7%
Mexico	Tecpan De Galeana	2017	98.1%	99.6%	93.8%
Mexico	Tecpatan	2000	82.8%	93.6%	67.7%
Mexico	Tecpatan	2017	97.9%	99.7%	93.4%
Mexico	Tecuala	2000	87.7%	92.6%	81.3%
Mexico	Tecuala	2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.2%
Mexico	Tehuacan	2000	91.5%	95.5%	84.1%
Mexico	Tehuacan	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.5%
Mexico	Tehuipango	2000	92.1%	98.9%	73.5%
Mexico	Tehuipango	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Tehuitzingo	2000	88.9%	99.4%	53.9%
Mexico	Tehuitzingo	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tejupilco	2000	64.4%	78.4%	53.3%
Mexico	Tejupilco	2017	95.3%	98.3%	88.3%
Mexico	Tekal De Venegas	2000	94.5%	99.9%	66.7%
Mexico	Tekal De Venegas	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.6%
Mexico	Tekanto	2000	99.1%	100.0%	96.2%
Mexico	Tekanto	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Tekax	2000	91.4%	97.0%	78.6%
Mexico	Tekax	2017	99.4%	99.8%	97.8%
Mexico	Tekit	2000	98.3%	99.9%	88.8%
Mexico	Tekit	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Tekom	2000	89.1%	99.8%	46.7%
Mexico	Tekom	2017	98.4%	100.0%	85.6%
Mexico	Telchac Pueblo	2000	91.7%	100.0%	56.6%
Mexico	Telchac Pueblo	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Telchac Puerto	2000	97.1%	100.0%	77.8%
Mexico	Telchac Puerto	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Teloloapan	2000	89.3%	96.0%	75.2%
Mexico	Teloloapan	2017	99.1%	99.8%	96.6%
Mexico	Temamatla	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Temamatla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Temapache	2000	93.9%	97.6%	86.8%
Mexico	Temapache	2017	99.1%	99.8%	96.9%
Mexico	Temascalapa	2000	92.7%	97.8%	80.7%
Mexico	Temascalapa	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Temascalcingo	2000	88.2%	99.1%	63.3%
Mexico	Temascalcingo	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	Temascaltepec	2000	87.1%	97.8%	67.4%
Mexico	Temascaltepec	2017	98.2%	99.9%	91.2%
Mexico	Temax	2000	94.1%	99.9%	75.5%
Mexico	Temax	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Temixco	2000	96.4%	97.8%	94.6%
Mexico	Temixco	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Mexico	Temoac	2000	85.4%	89.7%	80.6%
Mexico	Temoac	2017	98.9%	99.4%	98.3%
Mexico	Temoaya	2000	93.7%	99.9%	67.0%
Mexico	Temoaya	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	Temosachi	2000	89.6%	96.9%	78.4%
Mexico	Temosachi	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.7%
Mexico	Temozon	2000	84.8%	99.1%	57.8%
Mexico	Temozon	2017	97.7%	100.0%	90.0%
Mexico	Tempoal	2000	84.7%	92.9%	72.5%
Mexico	Tempoal	2017	98.8%	99.7%	97.0%
Mexico	Tenabo	2000	94.2%	99.6%	75.8%
Mexico	Tenabo	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Tenamaxtlan	2000	91.3%	99.2%	70.5%
Mexico	Tenamaxtlan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Tenampa	2000	84.5%	99.8%	32.5%
Mexico	Tenampa	2017	97.7%	100.0%	82.1%
Mexico	Tenampulco	2000	88.8%	99.5%	58.8%
Mexico	Tenampulco	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2000	94.9%	98.5%	88.2%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2000	95.1%	99.8%	83.1%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.1%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Tenango De Doria	2000	90.0%	99.3%	59.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tenango De Doria	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Tenango Del Aire	2000	99.0%	100.0%	95.4%
Mexico	Tenango Del Aire	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tenango Del Valle	2000	75.9%	88.6%	58.1%
Mexico	Tenango Del Valle	2017	98.0%	99.5%	94.3%
Mexico	Tenejapa	2000	80.8%	95.7%	52.8%
Mexico	Tenejapa	2017	97.9%	99.8%	90.5%
Mexico	Tenochtitlan	2000	68.9%	98.5%	21.3%
Mexico	Tenochtitlan	2017	91.9%	99.9%	63.8%
Mexico	Tenosique	2000	80.9%	93.6%	58.1%
Mexico	Tenosique	2017	96.8%	99.6%	87.5%
Mexico	Teocaltiche	2000	95.2%	99.1%	86.7%
Mexico	Teocaltiche	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Teocelo	2000	97.7%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Teocelo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.2%
Mexico	Teococuilco	2000	85.5%	99.8%	48.2%
Mexico	De Marcos Perez				
Mexico	Teococuilco	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.5%
Mexico	De Marcos Perez				
Mexico	Teocuitatlan	2000	89.1%	99.5%	60.0%
Mexico	De Corona				
Mexico	Teocuitatlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	De Corona				
Mexico	Teolocholco	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Teolocholco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Teoloyucan	2000	90.5%	97.8%	74.7%
Mexico	Teoloyucan	2017	99.3%	99.9%	95.9%
Mexico	Teopantlan	2000	89.2%	99.5%	58.4%
Mexico	Teopantlan	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	Teopisca	2000	90.0%	99.8%	62.4%
Mexico	Teopisca	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.0%
Mexico	Teotihuacan	2000	96.9%	100.0%	83.6%
Mexico	Teotihuacan	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Teotitlan De Flores Magon	2000	86.1%	98.8%	53.7%
Mexico	Teotitlan De Flores Magon	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	Teotitlan Del Valle	2000	92.3%	99.8%	62.2%
Mexico	Teotitlan Del Valle	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Teotlalco	2000	89.9%	99.8%	57.0%
Mexico	Teotlalco	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.1%
Mexico	Teotongo	2000	86.1%	99.9%	44.7%
Mexico	Teotongo	2017	98.2%	100.0%	89.0%
Mexico	Tepache	2000	91.3%	100.0%	48.2%
Mexico	Tepache	2017	99.0%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Tepakan	2000	94.8%	99.9%	71.4%
Mexico	Tepakan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.9%
Mexico	Tepalcatepec	2000	91.7%	99.1%	65.9%
Mexico	Tepalcatepec	2017	98.9%	100.0%	90.1%
Mexico	Tepalcingo	2000	92.7%	98.8%	79.7%
Mexico	Tepalcingo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.4%
Mexico	Tepanco De Lopez	2000	76.9%	98.3%	48.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tepanco De Lopez	2017	95.7%	100.0%	80.5%
Mexico	Tepango De Rodriguez	2000	83.9%	97.8%	60.7%
Mexico	Tepango De Rodriguez	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.2%
Mexico	Tepatitlan De Morelos	2000	95.7%	98.7%	89.3%
Mexico	Tepatitlan De Morelos	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco	2000	87.4%	99.8%	56.0%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco De Hidalgo	2000	97.5%	99.4%	93.3%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco De Hidalgo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tepeaca	2000	94.3%	97.7%	87.2%
Mexico	Tepeaca	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.2%
Mexico	Tepeapulco	2000	90.1%	99.5%	64.8%
Mexico	Tepeapulco	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Tepechitlan	2000	89.1%	99.5%	54.9%
Mexico	Tepechitlan	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.2%
Mexico	Tepecoacuilco De Trujano	2000	83.5%	96.1%	67.1%
Mexico	Tepecoacuilco De Trujano	2017	97.4%	99.8%	91.0%
Mexico	Tepehuacan De Guerrero	2000	90.2%	99.3%	69.9%
Mexico	Tepehuacan De Guerrero	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.7%
Mexico	Tepehuanes	2000	81.3%	87.5%	72.2%
Mexico	Tepehuanes	2017	98.2%	99.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Tepeji Del Rio De Ocampo	2000	90.4%	97.0%	80.1%
Mexico	Tepeji Del Rio De Ocampo	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	Tepelmeme Villa De Morelos	2000	90.0%	99.4%	65.2%
Mexico	Tepelmeme Villa De Morelos	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.0%
Mexico	Tepemaxalco	2000	90.0%	99.9%	49.5%
Mexico	Tepemaxalco	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	Tepeojuma	2000	89.6%	99.9%	49.8%
Mexico	Tepeojuma	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.0%
Mexico	Tepetitla De Lardizabal	2000	87.3%	92.8%	80.4%
Mexico	Tepetitla De Lardizabal	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Mexico	Tepetitlan	2000	89.6%	99.8%	59.6%
Mexico	Tepetitlan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	Tepetlan	2000	89.2%	99.8%	59.1%
Mexico	Tepetlan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	Tepetlaoxtoc	2000	93.5%	99.9%	71.8%
Mexico	Tepetlaoxtoc	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.8%
Mexico	Tepetlixpa	2000	92.6%	100.0%	55.4%
Mexico	Tepetlixpa	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Tepetongo	2000	91.6%	98.7%	72.7%
Mexico	Tepetongo	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2000	89.3%	99.9%	42.5%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2000	64.9%	96.7%	26.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2017	92.3%	99.9%	65.7%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2017	98.4%	100.0%	83.7%
Mexico	Tepexco	2000	87.5%	99.8%	45.3%
Mexico	Tepexco	2017	98.5%	100.0%	85.0%
Mexico	Tepexi De Rodriguez	2000	88.6%	98.2%	64.3%
Mexico	Tepexi De Rodriguez	2017	99.0%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco	2000	87.1%	98.9%	54.6%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.6%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco De Cuauhtemoc	2000	83.6%	99.8%	40.4%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco De Cuauhtemoc	2017	97.7%	100.0%	82.4%
Mexico	Tepeyanco	2000	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Tepeyanco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Tepezala	2000	94.4%	99.0%	84.3%
Mexico	Tepezala	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Tepic	2000	94.2%	98.5%	80.9%
Mexico	Tepic	2017	99.5%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Tepotzotlan	2000	92.2%	98.6%	78.4%
Mexico	Tepotzotlan	2017	99.5%	100.0%	98.2%
Mexico	Tepoztlan	2000	97.1%	99.1%	92.6%
Mexico	Tepoztlan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Tequila	2000	88.7%	98.7%	58.8%
Mexico	Tequila	2000	67.1%	96.3%	25.3%
Mexico	Tequila	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Tequila	2017	93.3%	99.8%	70.9%
Mexico	Tequisquiapan	2000	96.3%	99.8%	82.6%
Mexico	Tequisquiapan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Tequixquiac	2000	98.0%	100.0%	87.6%
Mexico	Tequixquiac	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Terrenate	2000	82.9%	99.6%	37.7%
Mexico	Terrenate	2017	97.6%	100.0%	83.1%
Mexico	Tetecala	2000	93.0%	99.3%	80.3%
Mexico	Tetecala	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Tetela De Ocampo	2000	77.4%	93.8%	56.2%
Mexico	Tetela De Ocampo	2017	97.3%	99.7%	89.7%
Mexico	Tetela Del Volcan	2000	93.5%	97.2%	87.9%
Mexico	Tetela Del Volcan	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Teteles De Avila Castillo	2000	85.1%	97.9%	58.1%
Mexico	Teteles De Avila Castillo	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Tetepango	2000	98.9%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Tetepango	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tetipac	2000	62.6%	85.3%	35.1%
Mexico	Tetipac	2017	84.7%	96.1%	63.1%
Mexico	Tetiz	2000	94.5%	99.9%	74.1%
Mexico	Tetiz	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Tetla De La Solidaridad	2000	97.6%	99.7%	92.9%
Mexico	Tetla De La Solidaridad	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Tetlatlahuca	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.6%
Mexico	Tetlatlahuca	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Teuchitlan	2000	91.1%	99.8%	61.2%
Mexico	Teuchitlan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Teul De Gonzalez Ortega	2000	92.3%	99.2%	75.8%
Mexico	Teul De Gonzalez Ortega	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.6%
Mexico	Texcaltitlan	2000	84.6%	99.6%	40.4%
Mexico	Texcaltitlan	2017	97.8%	100.0%	82.5%
Mexico	Texcalyacac	2000	89.9%	98.2%	78.5%
Mexico	Texcalyacac	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Texcatepec	2000	84.4%	97.1%	58.4%
Mexico	Texcatepec	2017	98.6%	99.9%	94.1%
Mexico	Texcoco	2000	98.8%	99.8%	94.2%
Mexico	Texcoco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Texhuacan	2000	80.8%	99.5%	31.8%
Mexico	Texhuacan	2017	96.7%	100.0%	76.7%
Mexico	Texistepec	2000	84.7%	97.8%	58.5%
Mexico	Texistepec	2017	97.8%	99.9%	91.5%
Mexico	Teya	2000	95.3%	100.0%	64.0%
Mexico	Teya	2017	99.4%	100.0%	93.9%
Mexico	Teziutlan	2000	93.3%	96.6%	85.9%
Mexico	Teziutlan	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Mexico	Tezoatlan De Segura Y Luna	2000	90.0%	99.5%	59.5%
Mexico	Tezoatlan De Segura Y Luna	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Tezonapa	2000	85.1%	95.8%	62.5%
Mexico	Tezonapa	2017	98.3%	99.8%	92.8%
Mexico	Tezontepec De Aldama	2000	99.1%	99.9%	96.4%
Mexico	Tezontepec De Aldama	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tezoyuca	2000	98.3%	99.9%	92.8%
Mexico	Tezoyuca	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Tianguismanalco	2000	92.1%	98.0%	77.8%
Mexico	Tianguismanalco	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Mexico	Tianguistenco	2000	78.2%	95.7%	52.1%
Mexico	Tianguistenco	2017	97.4%	99.9%	88.7%
Mexico	Tianguistengo	2000	86.0%	98.5%	57.2%
Mexico	Tianguistengo	2017	98.2%	99.9%	89.6%
Mexico	Ticul	2000	97.4%	99.7%	92.4%
Mexico	Ticul	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2000	91.6%	99.8%	65.7%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2000	88.6%	97.2%	72.7%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.9%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.2%
Mexico	Tierra Nueva	2000	91.0%	99.9%	60.7%
Mexico	Tierra Nueva	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Tihuatlan	2000	89.2%	95.1%	80.1%
Mexico	Tihuatlan	2017	99.1%	99.8%	96.5%
Mexico	Tijuana	2000	98.7%	99.6%	96.3%
Mexico	Tijuana	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Tila	2000	92.7%	98.5%	81.6%
Mexico	Tila	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Tilapa	2000	91.3%	98.2%	74.4%
Mexico	Tilapa	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Timilpan	2000	91.9%	99.6%	70.2%
Mexico	Timilpan	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Timucuy	2000	98.4%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Timucuy	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tingambato	2000	94.9%	99.4%	79.9%
Mexico	Tingambato	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Tinguindin	2000	94.7%	99.9%	77.4%
Mexico	Tinguindin	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.6%
Mexico	Tinum	2000	93.2%	99.8%	72.5%
Mexico	Tinum	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Tiquicheo	2000	89.2%	97.8%	72.2%
Mexico	De Nicolas Romero Tiquicheo	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Tixcacalcupul	2000	87.7%	99.2%	60.7%
Mexico	Tixcacalcupul	2017	98.4%	100.0%	91.6%
Mexico	Tixkokob	2000	97.8%	99.7%	93.1%
Mexico	Tixkokob	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Tixmehuac	2000	92.1%	99.9%	61.9%
Mexico	Tixmehuac	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.1%
Mexico	Tixpehual	2000	95.0%	99.9%	72.3%
Mexico	Tixpehual	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Tixtla De Guerrero	2000	87.5%	99.0%	59.2%
Mexico	Tixtla De Guerrero	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.1%
Mexico	Tizapan El Alto	2000	92.4%	99.9%	60.4%
Mexico	Tizapan El Alto	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.4%
Mexico	Tizayuca	2000	95.8%	98.7%	91.0%
Mexico	Tizayuca	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Tizimin	2000	94.9%	97.8%	89.9%
Mexico	Tizimin	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Tlachichilco	2000	84.6%	97.7%	60.3%
Mexico	Tlachichilco	2017	97.8%	99.9%	89.9%
Mexico	Tlachichuca	2000	93.3%	98.9%	82.7%
Mexico	Tlachichuca	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Tlacoachistlahuaca	2000	82.5%	94.8%	63.5%
Mexico	Tlacoachistlahuaca	2017	97.5%	99.8%	91.2%
Mexico	Tlacoapa	2000	74.1%	94.5%	45.6%
Mexico	Tlacoapa	2017	95.5%	99.7%	82.2%
Mexico	Tlacojalpan	2000	81.9%	99.7%	30.6%
Mexico	Tlacojalpan	2017	96.0%	100.0%	72.8%
Mexico	Tlacolula De Matamoros	2000	92.2%	98.7%	70.5%
Mexico	Tlacolula De Matamoros	2017	99.6%	99.9%	97.5%
Mexico	Tlacolulan	2000	87.1%	99.3%	51.6%
Mexico	Tlacolulan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.5%
Mexico	Tlacotalpan	2000	94.9%	99.5%	86.3%
Mexico	Tlacotalpan	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Benito Juarez	2000	93.7%	99.4%	80.2%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Benito Juarez	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.9%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Mejia	2000	87.5%	99.4%	57.0%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Mejia	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Tlacotepec Plumas	2000	88.5%	100.0%	41.2%
Mexico	Tlacotepec Plumas	2017	98.2%	100.0%	81.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tlacuilotepec	2000	88.0%	99.2%	63.2%
Mexico	Tlacuilotepec	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Tlahuac	2000	99.4%	99.9%	96.7%
Mexico	Tlahuac	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tlahualilo	2000	94.8%	98.2%	88.0%
Mexico	Tlahualilo	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Tlahuapan	2000	96.7%	99.6%	89.5%
Mexico	Tlahuapan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Tlahuelilpan	2000	99.7%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Tlahuelilpan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Tlahuiltepa	2000	91.3%	98.9%	74.1%
Mexico	Tlahuiltepa	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Tlajomulco De Zuniga	2000	93.2%	97.7%	84.8%
Mexico	Tlajomulco De Zuniga	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.5%
Mexico	Tlalchapa	2000	87.8%	99.2%	60.4%
Mexico	Tlalchapa	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	Tlalixcoyan	2000	88.3%	97.5%	73.0%
Mexico	Tlalixcoyan	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.3%
Mexico	Tlalixtac De Cabrera	2000	97.2%	98.6%	94.5%
Mexico	Tlalixtac De Cabrera	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Tlalixtaquilla De Maldonado	2000	81.8%	90.9%	67.8%
Mexico	Tlalixtaquilla De Maldonado	2017	98.6%	99.6%	96.0%
Mexico	Tlalmanalco	2000	97.1%	99.4%	92.6%
Mexico	Tlalmanalco	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Tlalnelhuayocan	2000	52.7%	59.0%	46.9%
Mexico	Tlalnelhuayocan	2017	86.3%	91.0%	81.6%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla	2000	95.7%	99.2%	86.9%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla De Baz	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.4%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla De Baz	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Tlalpan	2000	96.7%	98.8%	93.3%
Mexico	Tlalpan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Tlalpujahuac	2000	78.3%	89.7%	62.6%
Mexico	Tlalpujahuac	2017	98.6%	99.6%	96.0%
Mexico	Tlaltenango	2000	95.0%	98.5%	90.0%
Mexico	Tlaltenango	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Tlaltenango De Sanchez Roman	2000	96.1%	98.9%	91.2%
Mexico	Tlaltenango De Sanchez Roman	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Tlaltetela	2000	90.8%	99.5%	67.6%
Mexico	Tlaltetela	2017	98.8%	100.0%	90.8%
Mexico	Tlaltizapan	2000	88.1%	99.7%	56.3%
Mexico	Tlaltizapan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.9%
Mexico	Tlanalapa	2000	86.4%	99.8%	47.6%
Mexico	Tlanalapa	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	Tlanchinol	2000	93.5%	99.8%	76.0%
Mexico	Tlanchinol	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.5%
Mexico	Tlanepantla	2000	90.3%	99.7%	51.6%
Mexico	Tlanepantla	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tlaola	2000	59.4%	71.5%	45.7%
Mexico	Tlaola	2017	93.7%	97.2%	86.7%
Mexico	Tlapa De Comonfort	2000	72.5%	88.0%	60.2%
Mexico	Tlapa De Comonfort	2017	97.8%	99.5%	94.9%
Mexico	Tlapacoya	2000	72.6%	98.2%	23.5%
Mexico	Tlapacoya	2017	94.8%	99.9%	63.3%
Mexico	Tlapacoyan	2000	87.2%	99.5%	50.1%
Mexico	Tlapacoyan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.0%
Mexico	Tlapanala	2000	90.1%	99.9%	55.4%
Mexico	Tlapanala	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.7%
Mexico	Tlapehuala	2000	83.0%	95.8%	52.0%
Mexico	Tlapehuala	2017	98.0%	99.9%	88.4%
Mexico	Tlaquepaque	2000	97.6%	98.6%	96.0%
Mexico	Tlaquepaque	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tlaquilpa	2000	84.5%	99.7%	37.6%
Mexico	Tlaquilpa	2017	98.0%	100.0%	85.3%
Mexico	Tlaquiltenango	2000	96.0%	99.5%	87.7%
Mexico	Tlaquiltenango	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.0%
Mexico	Tlatlauquitepec	2000	91.7%	98.9%	74.7%
Mexico	Tlatlauquitepec	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Tlatlaya	2000	88.7%	99.0%	68.9%
Mexico	Tlatlaya	2017	98.4%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Tlaxcala	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Mexico	Tlaxcala	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2000	92.1%	99.1%	76.2%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2000	87.4%	99.7%	40.1%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.3%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2017	98.3%	100.0%	85.3%
Mexico	Tlaxcoapan	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Tlaxcoapan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Tlayacapan	2000	97.6%	99.9%	87.4%
Mexico	Tlayacapan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Tlazazalca	2000	89.2%	99.8%	50.5%
Mexico	Tlazazalca	2017	98.5%	100.0%	86.3%
Mexico	Tlilapan	2000	74.7%	92.8%	51.6%
Mexico	Tlilapan	2017	97.9%	99.7%	91.2%
Mexico	Tocatlan	2000	95.1%	100.0%	65.4%
Mexico	Tocatlan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.4%
Mexico	Tochimilco	2000	88.1%	99.0%	57.4%
Mexico	Tochimilco	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Tochtepec	2000	85.9%	98.5%	60.7%
Mexico	Tochtepec	2017	98.7%	100.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Tocumbo	2000	88.1%	97.6%	71.6%
Mexico	Tocumbo	2017	98.4%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	Tolcayuca	2000	99.1%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Tolcayuca	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Toliman	2000	94.0%	99.1%	83.2%
Mexico	Toliman	2000	92.6%	99.1%	77.5%
Mexico	Toliman	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Toliman	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Toluca	2000	94.6%	97.2%	91.0%
Mexico	Toluca	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2000	88.8%	96.7%	73.1%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2000	98.9%	99.9%	96.1%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.7%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tonala	2000	93.2%	95.8%	87.9%
Mexico	Tonala	2000	90.4%	97.2%	78.6%
Mexico	Tonala	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.4%
Mexico	Tonala	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tonanitla	2000	97.8%	99.5%	95.0%
Mexico	Tonanitla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Tonatico	2000	83.8%	99.3%	42.9%
Mexico	Tonatico	2017	97.7%	100.0%	86.8%
Mexico	Tonaya	2000	90.8%	99.8%	58.5%
Mexico	Tonaya	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Tonayan	2000	91.9%	99.9%	63.0%
Mexico	Tonayan	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.9%
Mexico	Tonila	2000	92.2%	100.0%	60.6%
Mexico	Tonila	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	Topia	2000	90.4%	97.7%	78.0%
Mexico	Topia	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.1%
Mexico	Torreón	2000	97.9%	99.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Torreón	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Totatiche	2000	88.2%	99.7%	60.6%
Mexico	Totatiche	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.8%
Mexico	Totolac	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Totolac	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Totolapa	2000	96.5%	99.0%	91.5%
Mexico	Totolapa	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Mexico	Totolapan	2000	97.1%	99.8%	87.1%
Mexico	Totolapan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Totoltepec De Guerrero	2000	91.7%	99.9%	60.5%
Mexico	Totoltepec De Guerrero	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Totontepec Villa De Morelos	2000	79.2%	97.1%	46.1%
Mexico	Totontepec Villa De Morelos	2017	97.0%	99.9%	81.6%
Mexico	Tototlan	2000	85.6%	99.1%	49.6%
Mexico	Tototlan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	90.5%
Mexico	Totutla	2000	90.2%	99.7%	59.4%
Mexico	Totutla	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Trancoso	2000	93.6%	98.8%	84.1%
Mexico	Trancoso	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Tres Valles	2000	80.2%	95.2%	54.2%
Mexico	Tres Valles	2017	96.2%	99.7%	84.4%
Mexico	Trincheras	2000	91.6%	99.6%	53.6%
Mexico	Trincheras	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.2%
Mexico	Trinidad Garcia De La Cadena	2000	93.8%	99.5%	74.2%
Mexico	Trinidad Garcia De La Cadena	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Trinidad Zaachila	2000	75.9%	98.1%	37.1%
Mexico	Trinidad Zaachila	2017	97.7%	99.9%	89.0%
Mexico	Tubutama	2000	89.9%	99.5%	63.5%
Mexico	Tubutama	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.6%
Mexico	Tula	2000	92.4%	97.8%	81.6%
Mexico	Tula	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.6%
Mexico	Tula De Alende	2000	87.9%	96.6%	74.7%
Mexico	Tula De Alende	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.9%
Mexico	Tulancingo De Bravo	2000	90.1%	95.1%	81.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tulancingo De Bravo	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.5%
Mexico	Tulcingo	2000	96.4%	99.9%	86.7%
Mexico	Tulcingo	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Tultepec	2000	98.5%	99.5%	96.5%
Mexico	Tultepec	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Tultitlan	2000	99.0%	99.5%	97.9%
Mexico	Tultitlan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Tumbala	2000	79.5%	88.5%	62.5%
Mexico	Tumbala	2017	98.3%	99.6%	94.1%
Mexico	Tumbiscatio	2000	89.2%	98.1%	70.5%
Mexico	Tumbiscatio	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.6%
Mexico	Tunkas	2000	90.7%	99.9%	55.6%
Mexico	Tunkas	2017	99.0%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Turicato	2000	88.3%	98.2%	62.5%
Mexico	Turicato	2017	98.3%	99.9%	90.9%
Mexico	Tuxcacuesco	2000	89.3%	99.5%	60.5%
Mexico	Tuxcacuesco	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.7%
Mexico	Tuxcueca	2000	88.8%	99.8%	56.7%
Mexico	Tuxcueca	2017	98.5%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	Tuxpam	2000	91.4%	95.8%	85.3%
Mexico	Tuxpam	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	92.2%	98.2%	80.6%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	92.4%	95.3%	87.9%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	73.4%	99.2%	30.3%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.9%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	95.9%	100.0%	75.0%
Mexico	Tuxtilla	2000	82.3%	99.9%	42.0%
Mexico	Tuxtilla	2017	97.3%	100.0%	78.5%
Mexico	Tuxtla Chico	2000	67.5%	89.2%	43.5%
Mexico	Tuxtla Chico	2017	95.9%	99.5%	83.9%
Mexico	Tuxtla Gutierrez	2000	68.6%	73.9%	62.2%
Mexico	Tuxtla Gutierrez	2017	94.2%	96.7%	91.2%
Mexico	Tuzamapan De Galeana	2000	77.8%	88.8%	52.5%
Mexico	Tuzamapan De Galeana	2017	97.2%	99.3%	88.2%
Mexico	Tuzantan	2000	85.3%	95.5%	71.3%
Mexico	Tuzantan	2017	98.4%	99.8%	94.6%
Mexico	Tuzantla	2000	86.6%	95.2%	75.8%
Mexico	Tuzantla	2017	98.7%	99.8%	96.4%
Mexico	Tzicatlacoyan	2000	87.5%	99.4%	63.8%
Mexico	Tzicatlacoyan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	92.1%
Mexico	Tzimol	2000	92.2%	99.3%	74.7%
Mexico	Tzimol	2017	99.3%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Tzintzuntzan	2000	85.5%	97.1%	52.8%
Mexico	Tzintzuntzan	2017	98.3%	99.8%	92.9%
Mexico	Tzitzio	2000	89.5%	99.0%	69.5%
Mexico	Tzitzio	2017	98.6%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Tzompantepec	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.3%
Mexico	Tzompantepec	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Tzucacab	2000	83.1%	99.3%	39.8%
Mexico	Tzucacab	2017	96.7%	100.0%	74.7%
Mexico	Uayma	2000	90.6%	99.8%	54.4%
Mexico	Uayma	2017	98.7%	100.0%	87.2%
Mexico	Ucu	2000	94.4%	99.7%	71.4%
Mexico	Ucu	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.0%
Mexico	Uman	2000	97.2%	99.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Uman	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Union De San Antonio	2000	87.5%	96.0%	66.8%
Mexico	Union De San Antonio	2017	97.9%	99.6%	94.0%
Mexico	Union De Tula	2000	91.2%	99.7%	60.1%
Mexico	Union De Tula	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.3%
Mexico	Union Hidalgo	2000	81.5%	96.6%	55.1%
Mexico	Union Hidalgo	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.2%
Mexico	Union Juarez	2000	96.6%	99.5%	86.1%
Mexico	Union Juarez	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Ures	2000	97.3%	99.6%	90.6%
Mexico	Ures	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Uriangato	2000	98.2%	99.7%	93.9%
Mexico	Uriangato	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Urique	2000	85.7%	93.7%	75.3%
Mexico	Urique	2017	98.0%	99.5%	94.9%
Mexico	Ursulo Galvan	2000	95.1%	98.1%	88.2%
Mexico	Ursulo Galvan	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Mexico	Uruachi	2000	80.6%	88.5%	70.5%
Mexico	Uruachi	2017	97.9%	99.3%	95.6%
Mexico	Uruapan	2000	76.3%	86.1%	66.9%
Mexico	Uruapan	2017	97.7%	99.1%	95.6%
Mexico	Uxpanapa	2000	76.0%	90.5%	51.8%
Mexico	Uxpanapa	2017	95.5%	99.3%	84.6%
Mexico	Valerio Trujano	2000	83.1%	99.4%	41.9%
Mexico	Valerio Trujano	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.4%
Mexico	Valladolid	2000	94.9%	98.3%	87.9%
Mexico	Valladolid	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Valle De Bravo	2000	93.4%	98.4%	81.6%
Mexico	Valle De Bravo	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.7%
Mexico	Valle De Chalco Solidaridad	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.2%
Mexico	Valle De Chalco Solidaridad	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Valle De Guadalupe	2000	90.4%	99.8%	52.4%
Mexico	Valle De Guadalupe	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.4%
Mexico	Valle De Juarez	2000	89.3%	99.8%	52.4%
Mexico	Valle De Juarez	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.2%
Mexico	Valle De Santiago	2000	95.1%	99.0%	88.5%
Mexico	Valle De Santiago	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Valle De Zaragoza	2000	92.6%	98.8%	74.8%
Mexico	Valle De Zaragoza	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.3%
Mexico	Valle Hermoso	2000	94.1%	99.2%	79.6%
Mexico	Valle Hermoso	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Mexico	Vallecillo	2000	91.6%	98.9%	75.2%
Mexico	Vallecillo	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.8%
Mexico	Valparaiso	2000	86.4%	90.9%	80.8%
Mexico	Valparaiso	2017	97.5%	99.1%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Vanegas	2000	87.9%	96.9%	72.7%
Mexico	Vanegas	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.0%
Mexico	Vega De Alatorre	2000	96.5%	99.7%	86.1%
Mexico	Vega De Alatorre	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Venado	2000	81.2%	89.9%	69.7%
Mexico	Venado	2017	98.6%	99.6%	95.8%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	88.6%	97.7%	69.3%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	86.9%	99.6%	49.7%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	89.1%	99.3%	60.1%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	98.8%	99.9%	93.3%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	98.0%	100.0%	86.7%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.3%
Mexico	Veracruz	2000	94.5%	97.5%	89.6%
Mexico	Veracruz	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Mexico	Vetagrande	2000	96.1%	99.5%	84.4%
Mexico	Vetagrande	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2000	96.4%	99.3%	89.9%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2000	90.1%	98.8%	64.9%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.0%
Mexico	Victoria	2000	89.2%	98.9%	66.0%
Mexico	Victoria	2000	95.1%	98.2%	89.9%
Mexico	Victoria	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.0%
Mexico	Victoria	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Viesca	2000	95.2%	98.6%	88.3%
Mexico	Viesca	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Mexico	Villa Aldama	2000	82.9%	92.6%	67.2%
Mexico	Villa Aldama	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.5%
Mexico	Villa Comaltitlan	2000	86.0%	94.6%	71.3%
Mexico	Villa Comaltitlan	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.3%
Mexico	Villa Corona	2000	96.5%	99.4%	88.9%
Mexico	Villa Corona	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Villa Corzo	2000	85.4%	94.0%	71.3%
Mexico	Villa Corzo	2017	98.4%	99.7%	94.6%
Mexico	Villa De Alende	2000	68.5%	89.2%	43.8%
Mexico	Villa De Alende	2017	90.6%	98.6%	73.9%
Mexico	Villa De Alvarez	2000	98.2%	99.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Villa De Alvarez	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Villa De Arista	2000	84.6%	97.8%	58.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Villa De Arista	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.5%
Mexico	Villa De Arriaga	2000	89.2%	98.5%	68.3%
Mexico	Villa De Arriaga	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.9%
Mexico	Villa De Chilapa De Diaz	2000	89.2%	99.8%	54.8%
Mexico	Villa De Chilapa De Diaz	2017	99.0%	100.0%	89.5%
Mexico	Villa De Cos	2000	94.0%	97.1%	88.0%
Mexico	Villa De Cos	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Mexico	Villa De ETLA	2000	90.5%	96.3%	82.6%
Mexico	Villa De ETLA	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Mexico	Villa De Guadalupe	2000	89.8%	97.6%	74.1%
Mexico	Villa De Guadalupe	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.6%
Mexico	Villa De La Paz	2000	85.2%	99.0%	47.1%
Mexico	Villa De La Paz	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.3%
Mexico	Villa De Ramos	2000	89.5%	95.6%	81.5%
Mexico	Villa De Ramos	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.2%
Mexico	Villa De Reyes	2000	81.5%	92.2%	63.2%
Mexico	Villa De Reyes	2017	98.0%	99.5%	91.7%
Mexico	Villa De Tamazulapam Del Progreso	2000	85.9%	99.8%	45.1%
Mexico	Villa De Tamazulapam Del Progreso	2017	98.1%	100.0%	85.4%
Mexico	Villa De Tezontepec	2000	92.6%	100.0%	56.7%
Mexico	Villa De Tezontepec	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.8%
Mexico	Villa De Tututepec De Melchor Ocampo	2000	88.8%	96.8%	73.9%
Mexico	Villa De Tututepec De Melchor Ocampo	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.4%
Mexico	Villa De Zaachila	2000	85.6%	97.0%	65.9%
Mexico	Villa De Zaachila	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.0%
Mexico	Villa Del Carbon	2000	94.1%	99.1%	86.2%
Mexico	Villa Del Carbon	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Mexico	Villa Diaz Ordaz	2000	94.1%	99.2%	80.8%
Mexico	Villa Diaz Ordaz	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Mexico	Villa Garcia	2000	92.7%	99.6%	73.2%
Mexico	Villa Garcia	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.0%
Mexico	Villa Gonzalez Ortega	2000	94.1%	99.0%	80.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Villa Gonzalez Ortega	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.3%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2000	68.8%	88.3%	45.9%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2000	93.6%	99.5%	72.5%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.3%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2017	96.5%	99.4%	88.9%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	89.8%	99.8%	51.3%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	88.9%	99.8%	45.8%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	86.9%	96.0%	68.5%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	93.8%	98.7%	83.0%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	91.8%	99.9%	59.2%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	98.7%	99.8%	93.9%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	98.5%	100.0%	85.0%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.5%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.0%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Villa Juarez	2000	83.4%	95.3%	66.0%
Mexico	Villa Juarez	2017	98.2%	99.8%	93.7%
Mexico	Villa Pesqueira	2000	94.7%	99.8%	76.3%
Mexico	Villa Pesqueira	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Mexico	Villa Purificacion	2000	89.5%	98.0%	69.6%
Mexico	Villa Purificacion	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.5%
Mexico	Villa Sola De Vega	2000	89.2%	96.9%	76.2%
Mexico	Villa Sola De Vega	2017	98.6%	99.7%	95.1%
Mexico	Villa Talea De Castro	2000	81.5%	99.7%	40.6%
Mexico	Villa Talea De Castro	2017	97.2%	100.0%	81.3%
Mexico	Villa Tejupam De La Union	2000	81.4%	97.0%	52.2%
Mexico	Villa Tejupam De La Union	2017	98.3%	99.9%	93.6%
Mexico	Villa Union	2000	96.6%	99.4%	86.2%
Mexico	Villa Union	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Mexico	Villa Victoria	2000	74.8%	85.4%	62.2%
Mexico	Villa Victoria	2017	94.2%	97.9%	89.2%
Mexico	Villaflora	2000	85.5%	96.0%	67.7%
Mexico	Villaflora	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.4%
Mexico	Villagran	2000	85.6%	97.9%	56.7%
Mexico	Villagran	2000	91.1%	98.6%	78.8%
Mexico	Villagran	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.5%
Mexico	Villagran	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.6%
Mexico	Villaldama	2000	96.2%	99.8%	79.7%
Mexico	Villaldama	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Mexico	Villamar	2000	70.1%	91.8%	42.4%
Mexico	Villamar	2017	92.7%	99.5%	76.2%
Mexico	Villanueva	2000	93.7%	97.5%	87.8%
Mexico	Villanueva	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Vista Hermosa	2000	95.9%	98.8%	90.7%
Mexico	Vista Hermosa	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Mexico	Xalapa	2000	80.1%	84.2%	74.8%
Mexico	Xalapa	2017	95.7%	97.5%	93.9%
Mexico	Xalatlaco	2000	69.2%	96.8%	30.7%
Mexico	Xalatlaco	2017	94.5%	99.9%	74.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Xalisco	2000	98.1%	99.4%	95.8%
Mexico	Xalisco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Xaloztoc	2000	97.0%	99.9%	82.3%
Mexico	Xaloztoc	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.2%
Mexico	Xalpatlahuac	2000	68.8%	88.7%	52.3%
Mexico	Xalpatlahuac	2017	92.7%	99.1%	80.7%
Mexico	Xaltocan	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Xaltocan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Xayacatlan	2000	84.4%	99.9%	35.6%
Mexico	Xayacatlan De Bravo	2017	97.2%	100.0%	74.4%
Mexico	Xichu	2000	77.9%	91.5%	61.4%
Mexico	Xichu	2017	97.1%	99.5%	91.9%
Mexico	Xico	2000	97.8%	99.7%	92.8%
Mexico	Xico	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.4%
Mexico	Xicohtzinco	2000	98.1%	98.8%	96.7%
Mexico	Xicohtzinco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Xicotencatl	2000	97.2%	99.6%	92.1%
Mexico	Xicotencatl	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Xicotepec	2000	81.0%	91.6%	70.1%
Mexico	Xicotepec	2017	98.3%	99.5%	96.0%
Mexico	Xicotlan	2000	93.5%	99.8%	75.5%
Mexico	Xicotlan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.8%
Mexico	Xilitla	2000	86.1%	96.1%	72.7%
Mexico	Xilitla	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.7%
Mexico	Xiutetelco	2000	88.9%	94.7%	79.4%
Mexico	Xiutetelco	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.8%
Mexico	Xocchel	2000	98.1%	100.0%	91.9%
Mexico	Xocchel	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Mexico	Xochiapulco	2000	91.5%	100.0%	63.4%
Mexico	Xochiapulco	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.8%
Mexico	Xochiatipan	2000	88.0%	99.6%	56.4%
Mexico	Xochiatipan	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.7%
Mexico	Xochicoatlan	2000	90.9%	99.0%	71.6%
Mexico	Xochicoatlan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Xochihuehuetlan	2000	90.9%	99.8%	67.6%
Mexico	Xochihuehuetlan	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.2%
Mexico	Xochiltepec	2000	90.9%	100.0%	48.8%
Mexico	Xochiltepec	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	Xochimilco	2000	99.0%	99.8%	97.0%
Mexico	Xochimilco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Mexico	Xochistlahuaca	2000	89.9%	97.0%	75.8%
Mexico	Xochistlahuaca	2017	98.7%	99.5%	96.2%
Mexico	Xochitepec	2000	96.6%	98.7%	92.4%
Mexico	Xochitepec	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Mexico	Xochitlan	2000	71.2%	95.4%	32.1%
Mexico	Xochitlan De Vicente Suarez	2017	95.9%	99.8%	80.6%
Mexico	Xochitlan Todos Santos	2000	61.8%	71.0%	51.3%
Mexico	Xochitlan Todos Santos	2017	96.6%	98.5%	93.0%
Mexico	Xonacatlan	2000	94.4%	99.9%	75.4%
Mexico	Xonacatlan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.5%
Mexico	Xoxocotla	2000	83.9%	99.8%	40.2%
Mexico	Xoxocotla	2017	98.2%	100.0%	86.5%
Mexico	Yahualica	2000	77.0%	85.7%	66.0%
Mexico	Yahualica	2017	94.5%	97.6%	89.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Yahualica De Gonzalez Gallo	2000	95.9%	99.3%	89.4%
Mexico	Yahualica De Gonzalez Gallo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Mexico	Yajalon	2000	82.9%	94.2%	68.5%
Mexico	Yajalon	2017	98.6%	99.7%	94.8%
Mexico	Yanga	2000	89.6%	98.9%	65.9%
Mexico	Yanga	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.7%
Mexico	Yaonahuac	2000	91.7%	99.0%	71.3%
Mexico	Yaonahuac	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Yauhquemecan	2000	99.2%	99.7%	98.4%
Mexico	Yauhquemecan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mexico	Yautepec	2000	93.8%	99.0%	79.6%
Mexico	Yautepec	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Yaxcaba	2000	88.4%	97.9%	73.8%
Mexico	Yaxcaba	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.8%
Mexico	Yaxe	2000	90.0%	100.0%	48.9%
Mexico	Yaxe	2017	98.7%	100.0%	87.9%
Mexico	Yaxkukul	2000	94.4%	99.9%	65.6%
Mexico	Yaxkukul	2017	99.7%	100.0%	96.4%
Mexico	Yecapixtla	2000	95.0%	98.7%	78.4%
Mexico	Yecapixtla	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.2%
Mexico	Yecora	2000	91.2%	99.1%	68.0%
Mexico	Yecora	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.9%
Mexico	Yecuatla	2000	81.4%	92.5%	63.5%
Mexico	Yecuatla	2017	98.4%	99.5%	95.4%
Mexico	Yehualtepec	2000	78.5%	97.0%	46.3%
Mexico	Yehualtepec	2017	97.5%	99.9%	89.1%
Mexico	Yobain	2000	92.2%	99.9%	55.1%
Mexico	Yobain	2017	98.7%	100.0%	86.9%
Mexico	Yogana	2000	85.9%	99.5%	47.1%
Mexico	Yogana	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.5%
Mexico	Yurecuaro	2000	90.2%	99.4%	55.8%
Mexico	Yurecuaro	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.6%
Mexico	Yuriria	2000	92.7%	98.0%	81.5%
Mexico	Yuriria	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.5%
Mexico	Yutanduchi De Guerrero	2000	70.2%	97.9%	27.3%
Mexico	Yutanduchi De Guerrero	2017	95.6%	99.9%	73.3%
Mexico	Zacapala	2000	87.9%	98.3%	65.9%
Mexico	Zacapala	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.4%
Mexico	Zacapoaxtla	2000	90.4%	99.8%	60.5%
Mexico	Zacapoaxtla	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.4%
Mexico	Zacapu	2000	92.8%	96.6%	86.6%
Mexico	Zacapu	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.5%
Mexico	Zacatecas	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.4%
Mexico	Zacatecas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Zacatelco	2000	99.0%	99.5%	98.0%
Mexico	Zacatelco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Mexico	Zacatepec De Hidalgo	2000	97.9%	99.2%	94.5%
Mexico	Zacatepec De Hidalgo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Mexico	Zacatlan	2000	91.1%	96.9%	80.4%
Mexico	Zacatlan	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.2%
Mexico	Zacazonapan	2000	87.9%	99.8%	45.8%
Mexico	Zacazonapan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	Zacoalco De Torres	2000	90.2%	99.7%	62.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zacoalco De Torres	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.4%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2000	88.3%	99.4%	63.1%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2000	87.5%	99.3%	56.4%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2017	98.3%	100.0%	92.3%
Mexico	Zacualpan De Amilpas	2000	94.3%	97.6%	89.6%
Mexico	Zacualpan De Amilpas	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Mexico	Zacualtipan De Angeles	2000	90.3%	99.3%	63.4%
Mexico	Zacualtipan De Angeles	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.9%
Mexico	Zamora	2000	90.1%	95.7%	80.3%
Mexico	Zamora	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.4%
Mexico	Zapopan	2000	91.2%	94.4%	87.2%
Mexico	Zapopan	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.5%
Mexico	Zapotiltic	2000	91.1%	97.5%	79.1%
Mexico	Zapotiltic	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.0%
Mexico	Zapotitlan	2000	89.3%	98.9%	62.8%
Mexico	Zapotitlan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.6%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Mendez	2000	81.1%	90.4%	64.2%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Mendez	2017	98.7%	99.5%	96.7%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Vadillo	2000	96.4%	99.7%	87.4%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Vadillo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Del Rio	2000	84.8%	99.2%	52.7%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Del Rio	2017	97.9%	100.0%	87.6%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Lagunas	2000	88.7%	99.6%	49.1%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Lagunas	2017	98.5%	100.0%	88.8%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Palmas	2000	84.4%	99.7%	39.6%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Palmas	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Tablas	2000	53.9%	71.9%	42.2%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Tablas	2017	85.9%	95.0%	74.9%
Mexico	Zapotlan De Juarez	2000	96.6%	100.0%	74.3%
Mexico	Zapotlan De Juarez	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.7%
Mexico	Zapotlan Del Rey	2000	88.6%	97.6%	72.6%
Mexico	Zapotlan Del Rey	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.9%
Mexico	Zapotlan El Grande	2000	97.3%	99.4%	92.8%
Mexico	Zapotlan El Grande	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Mexico	Zapotlanejo	2000	92.6%	98.7%	75.3%
Mexico	Zapotlanejo	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.5%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	92.8%	100.0%	58.7%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	82.4%	96.3%	60.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	96.3%	99.4%	81.2%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	90.1%	98.6%	73.8%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.2%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.1%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.6%
Mexico	Zautla	2000	92.3%	99.6%	74.9%
Mexico	Zautla	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Mexico	Zempoala	2000	95.6%	99.8%	83.9%
Mexico	Zempoala	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.1%
Mexico	Zentla	2000	89.8%	96.8%	78.9%
Mexico	Zentla	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Zihuateutla	2000	72.5%	86.2%	49.0%
Mexico	Zihuateutla	2017	94.4%	98.5%	86.2%
Mexico	Zimapan	2000	89.5%	98.5%	66.8%
Mexico	Zimapan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	93.7%
Mexico	Zimatlan De Alvarez	2000	85.3%	97.9%	57.3%
Mexico	Zimatlan De Alvarez	2017	98.3%	99.9%	91.0%
Mexico	Zinacantan	2000	82.0%	92.1%	67.1%
Mexico	Zinacantan	2017	98.7%	99.6%	96.2%
Mexico	Zinacantepec	2000	90.0%	96.7%	79.8%
Mexico	Zinacantepec	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.1%
Mexico	Zinacatepec	2000	95.1%	99.6%	73.3%
Mexico	Zinacatepec	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.4%
Mexico	Zinaparo	2000	89.9%	99.6%	59.3%
Mexico	Zinaparo	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Zinapécuaro	2000	89.3%	98.4%	76.5%
Mexico	Zinapécuaro	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.8%
Mexico	Ziracuaretiro	2000	67.0%	87.9%	29.5%
Mexico	Ziracuaretiro	2017	92.4%	98.7%	74.0%
Mexico	Zirandaro	2000	85.3%	95.7%	68.9%
Mexico	Zirandaro	2017	97.9%	99.8%	90.7%
Mexico	Zitacuaro	2000	94.1%	97.9%	86.8%
Mexico	Zitacuaro	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.9%
Mexico	Zitlala	2000	77.6%	95.7%	51.5%
Mexico	Zitlala	2017	97.6%	99.9%	88.8%
Mexico	Zitlaltepec	2000	98.5%	100.0%	91.8%
Mexico	De Trinidad Sanchez Santos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Mexico	Zongolica	2000	73.2%	91.1%	46.8%
Mexico	Zongolica	2017	95.7%	99.4%	84.5%
Mexico	Zongozotla	2000	90.3%	98.5%	63.1%
Mexico	Zongozotla	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.7%
Mexico	Zontecomatlan De Lopez Y Fuentes	2000	88.0%	98.9%	65.0%
Mexico	Zontecomatlan De Lopez Y Fuentes	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.5%
Mexico	Zoquiapan	2000	75.4%	86.6%	59.2%
Mexico	Zoquiapan	2017	97.6%	99.2%	92.5%
Mexico	Zoquitlan	2000	61.4%	81.7%	40.4%
Mexico	Zoquitlan	2017	93.1%	98.7%	77.4%
Mexico	Zozocolco De Hidalgo	2000	55.2%	80.3%	28.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zozocolco De Hidalgo	2017	84.6%	96.9%	62.4%
Mexico	Zumpahuacan	2000	92.2%	99.1%	75.9%
Mexico	Zumpahuacan	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.1%
Mexico	Zumpango	2000	95.3%	98.4%	89.7%
Mexico	Zumpango	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Nicaragua	Achuapa	2000	80.1%	98.4%	52.1%
Nicaragua	Achuapa	2017	81.1%	98.7%	53.6%
Nicaragua	Acoyapa	2000	68.9%	86.4%	47.3%
Nicaragua	Acoyapa	2017	70.9%	86.9%	51.9%
Nicaragua	Altagracia	2000	71.4%	96.6%	36.6%
Nicaragua	Altagracia	2017	74.0%	96.9%	40.9%
Nicaragua	Belén	2000	79.6%	94.0%	59.5%
Nicaragua	Belén	2017	80.0%	94.1%	60.4%
Nicaragua	Bluefields	2000	70.9%	83.3%	54.3%
Nicaragua	Bluefields	2017	71.9%	81.9%	60.1%
Nicaragua	Boaco	2000	68.9%	88.8%	46.9%
Nicaragua	Boaco	2017	71.1%	90.4%	50.0%
Nicaragua	Bocana de Paiwas	2000	72.7%	86.1%	55.9%
Nicaragua	Bocana de Paiwas	2017	73.8%	88.2%	57.7%
Nicaragua	Bonanza	2000	69.0%	83.3%	51.9%
Nicaragua	Bonanza	2017	70.2%	83.0%	53.3%
Nicaragua	Buenos Aires	2000	57.3%	69.5%	45.5%
Nicaragua	Buenos Aires	2017	56.7%	69.4%	44.6%
Nicaragua	Camoapa	2000	69.7%	89.6%	43.6%
Nicaragua	Camoapa	2017	71.8%	89.1%	48.4%
Nicaragua	Catarina	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Nicaragua	Catarina	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Nicaragua	Chichigalpa	2000	78.0%	91.1%	54.4%
Nicaragua	Chichigalpa	2017	79.1%	91.3%	54.6%
Nicaragua	Chinandega	2000	75.2%	92.6%	52.3%
Nicaragua	Chinandega	2017	76.1%	93.5%	51.2%
Nicaragua	Cinco Pinos	2000	89.0%	100.0%	71.5%
Nicaragua	Cinco Pinos	2017	90.1%	100.0%	74.8%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Antigua	2000	67.2%	94.4%	34.7%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Antigua	2017	69.2%	94.2%	37.5%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Darío	2000	78.8%	93.9%	61.3%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Darío	2017	79.9%	94.2%	61.4%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Sandino	2000	67.3%	88.5%	37.5%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Sandino	2017	70.4%	90.4%	44.0%
Nicaragua	Comalapa	2000	70.2%	93.9%	48.1%
Nicaragua	Comalapa	2017	71.7%	95.2%	49.2%
Nicaragua	Condega	2000	86.6%	98.3%	72.4%
Nicaragua	Condega	2017	87.8%	98.6%	73.5%
Nicaragua	Corinto	2000	85.2%	99.4%	61.5%
Nicaragua	Corinto	2017	84.8%	99.4%	59.3%
Nicaragua	Dipilto	2000	39.5%	63.9%	11.9%
Nicaragua	Dipilto	2017	42.0%	67.4%	11.7%
Nicaragua	Diriá	2000	97.4%	100.0%	91.3%
Nicaragua	Diriá	2017	98.2%	100.0%	93.9%
Nicaragua	Diriamba	2000	80.6%	91.8%	67.9%
Nicaragua	Diriamba	2017	83.1%	94.3%	68.1%
Nicaragua	Diriomo	2000	95.9%	100.0%	88.3%
Nicaragua	Diriomo	2017	96.8%	100.0%	91.1%
Nicaragua	Dolores	2000	87.3%	100.0%	52.7%
Nicaragua	Dolores	2017	89.7%	100.0%	52.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	El Almendro	2000	63.4%	79.1%	43.1%
Nicaragua	El Almendro	2017	63.9%	81.6%	43.8%
Nicaragua	El Cuá	2000	70.5%	81.3%	58.5%
Nicaragua	El Cuá	2017	71.8%	82.0%	60.5%
Nicaragua	El Jicaral	2000	78.7%	95.2%	61.5%
Nicaragua	El Jicaral	2017	79.7%	96.1%	61.7%
Nicaragua	El Rama	2000	70.1%	80.0%	59.5%
Nicaragua	El Rama	2017	71.8%	81.5%	61.1%
Nicaragua	El Realejo	2000	70.8%	95.0%	43.9%
Nicaragua	El Realejo	2017	71.4%	95.6%	46.8%
Nicaragua	El Rosario	2000	94.6%	100.0%	69.1%
Nicaragua	El Rosario	2017	95.3%	100.0%	68.1%
Nicaragua	El Sauce	2000	77.6%	93.6%	55.8%
Nicaragua	El Sauce	2017	79.8%	94.7%	57.4%
Nicaragua	El Viejo	2000	77.1%	92.7%	56.5%
Nicaragua	El Viejo	2017	78.4%	92.6%	58.8%
Nicaragua	Esquipulas	2000	65.2%	76.1%	52.9%
Nicaragua	Esquipulas	2017	70.3%	80.4%	58.2%
Nicaragua	Estelí	2000	78.6%	92.4%	57.7%
Nicaragua	Estelí	2017	80.8%	93.9%	61.8%
Nicaragua	Granada	2000	81.6%	94.5%	62.5%
Nicaragua	Granada	2017	83.6%	94.9%	66.9%
Nicaragua	Jalapa	2000	72.4%	91.9%	50.7%
Nicaragua	Jalapa	2017	74.3%	93.4%	53.2%
Nicaragua	Jinotega	2000	71.0%	89.4%	48.9%
Nicaragua	Jinotega	2017	72.6%	90.1%	50.5%
Nicaragua	Jinotepe	2000	88.6%	99.6%	58.2%
Nicaragua	Jinotepe	2017	89.9%	99.9%	58.3%
Nicaragua	Juigalpa	2000	72.1%	90.2%	50.8%
Nicaragua	Juigalpa	2017	73.8%	91.2%	51.2%
Nicaragua	Kukra Hill	2000	73.7%	89.9%	52.3%
Nicaragua	Kukra Hill	2017	74.4%	88.1%	56.5%
Nicaragua	La Concepción	2000	85.3%	100.0%	55.1%
Nicaragua	La Concepción	2017	87.4%	100.0%	55.8%
Nicaragua	La Concordia	2000	73.0%	99.1%	40.8%
Nicaragua	La Concordia	2017	75.4%	100.0%	44.6%
Nicaragua	La Conquista	2000	90.1%	100.0%	74.5%
Nicaragua	La Conquista	2017	92.5%	100.0%	78.5%
Nicaragua	La Cruz de Río Grande	2000	70.2%	79.4%	61.5%
Nicaragua	La Cruz de Río Grande	2017	71.4%	80.4%	62.2%
Nicaragua	La Libertad	2000	68.1%	90.1%	39.4%
Nicaragua	La Libertad	2017	69.7%	92.2%	40.0%
Nicaragua	La Paz Centro	2000	77.0%	91.2%	55.9%
Nicaragua	La Paz Centro	2017	78.2%	92.6%	56.3%
Nicaragua	La Paz de Carazo	2000	99.7%	100.0%	97.9%
Nicaragua	La Paz de Carazo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.5%
Nicaragua	La Trinidad	2000	72.0%	89.5%	49.5%
Nicaragua	La Trinidad	2017	74.1%	90.9%	51.2%
Nicaragua	Lago de Managua	2000	82.3%	99.0%	52.3%
Nicaragua	Lago de Managua	2017	82.8%	99.1%	54.2%
Nicaragua	Lago de Nicaragua	2000	71.8%	88.0%	51.4%
Nicaragua	Lago de Nicaragua	2017	73.7%	88.4%	51.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Laguna de Perlas	2000	69.5%	80.8%	58.1%
Nicaragua	Laguna de Perlas	2017	70.9%	81.9%	58.4%
Nicaragua	Larreynaga-Malpaisillo	2000	80.9%	94.1%	63.3%
Nicaragua	Larreynaga-Malpaisillo	2017	81.6%	94.6%	62.8%
Nicaragua	Las Sabanas	2000	69.1%	89.1%	52.9%
Nicaragua	Las Sabanas	2017	66.6%	85.5%	51.2%
Nicaragua	León	2000	70.8%	89.7%	50.0%
Nicaragua	León	2017	71.9%	91.9%	49.2%
Nicaragua	Macuelizo	2000	50.0%	79.0%	22.7%
Nicaragua	Macuelizo	2017	52.7%	82.0%	25.2%
Nicaragua	Managua	2000	88.3%	99.0%	69.1%
Nicaragua	Managua	2017	89.0%	99.1%	68.7%
Nicaragua	Masatepe	2000	87.5%	100.0%	69.1%
Nicaragua	Masatepe	2017	88.9%	100.0%	71.8%
Nicaragua	Masaya	2000	90.8%	99.5%	78.8%
Nicaragua	Masaya	2017	91.6%	99.6%	79.8%
Nicaragua	Matagalpa	2000	76.8%	93.5%	61.0%
Nicaragua	Matagalpa	2017	78.4%	93.9%	61.7%
Nicaragua	Mateare	2000	82.5%	98.3%	55.2%
Nicaragua	Mateare	2017	83.2%	98.9%	55.5%
Nicaragua	Matiguás	2000	68.0%	83.1%	48.2%
Nicaragua	Matiguás	2017	69.8%	83.9%	52.0%
Nicaragua	Morrito	2000	62.4%	79.9%	45.0%
Nicaragua	Morrito	2017	64.5%	82.4%	47.2%
Nicaragua	Moyogalpa	2000	83.6%	98.6%	47.8%
Nicaragua	Moyogalpa	2017	84.4%	98.9%	49.3%
Nicaragua	Mozonte	2000	70.5%	91.6%	42.3%
Nicaragua	Mozonte	2017	73.9%	93.5%	47.2%
Nicaragua	Muelle de los Bueyes	2000	67.6%	80.7%	48.6%
Nicaragua	Muelle de los Bueyes	2017	68.1%	82.1%	49.2%
Nicaragua	Murra	2000	58.6%	82.6%	35.4%
Nicaragua	Murra	2017	61.0%	84.8%	37.4%
Nicaragua	Muy Muy	2000	63.9%	88.8%	31.4%
Nicaragua	Muy Muy	2017	64.3%	87.6%	33.8%
Nicaragua	Nagarote	2000	71.7%	92.4%	43.9%
Nicaragua	Nagarote	2017	73.0%	94.5%	47.9%
Nicaragua	Nandaime	2000	86.6%	96.7%	74.6%
Nicaragua	Nandaime	2017	87.7%	97.0%	77.3%
Nicaragua	Nandasmo	2000	91.7%	100.0%	79.3%
Nicaragua	Nandasmo	2017	92.6%	100.0%	81.0%
Nicaragua	Nindirí	2000	89.3%	100.0%	75.9%
Nicaragua	Nindirí	2017	89.6%	100.0%	75.7%
Nicaragua	Niquinohomo	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Nicaragua	Niquinohomo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Nicaragua	Nueva Guinea	2000	70.7%	83.0%	57.3%
Nicaragua	Nueva Guinea	2017	72.0%	83.8%	58.7%
Nicaragua	Ocotal	2000	80.7%	96.9%	51.3%
Nicaragua	Ocotal	2017	83.7%	98.2%	51.8%
Nicaragua	Palacagüina	2000	77.4%	90.4%	68.4%
Nicaragua	Palacagüina	2017	78.1%	92.4%	68.8%
Nicaragua	Posoltega	2000	82.0%	100.0%	49.9%
Nicaragua	Posoltega	2017	85.6%	100.0%	50.9%
Nicaragua	Potosí	2000	76.3%	87.9%	60.6%
Nicaragua	Potosí	2017	76.3%	87.2%	60.4%
Nicaragua	Prinzapolka	2000	71.5%	79.1%	62.7%
Nicaragua	Prinzapolka	2017	72.7%	79.6%	64.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	76.1%	89.4%	57.7%
Nicaragua	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	76.2%	89.2%	58.6%
Nicaragua	Puerto Cabezas	2000	71.3%	81.0%	58.9%
Nicaragua	Puerto Cabezas	2017	72.4%	80.9%	61.1%
Nicaragua	Puerto Morazán	2000	84.2%	97.6%	64.7%
Nicaragua	Puerto Morazán	2017	85.3%	98.1%	66.4%
Nicaragua	Quezalguaque	2000	69.9%	90.5%	45.2%
Nicaragua	Quezalguaque	2017	73.6%	92.0%	49.5%
Nicaragua	Quilalí	2000	72.8%	95.6%	42.7%
Nicaragua	Quilalí	2017	74.5%	96.0%	44.6%
Nicaragua	Rancho Grande	2000	64.2%	85.5%	39.0%
Nicaragua	Rancho Grande	2017	66.2%	88.0%	41.5%
Nicaragua	Río Blanco	2000	67.9%	80.7%	52.1%
Nicaragua	Río Blanco	2017	70.1%	82.2%	56.6%
Nicaragua	Rivas	2000	68.6%	87.1%	51.8%
Nicaragua	Rivas	2017	69.0%	86.9%	51.6%
Nicaragua	Rosita	2000	72.3%	82.5%	56.0%
Nicaragua	Rosita	2017	73.1%	83.1%	60.3%
Nicaragua	San Carlos	2000	72.4%	84.3%	60.8%
Nicaragua	San Carlos	2017	74.3%	84.7%	64.3%
Nicaragua	San Dionisio	2000	60.5%	84.5%	33.0%
Nicaragua	San Dionisio	2017	65.6%	87.3%	35.4%
Nicaragua	San Fernando	2000	64.8%	84.5%	42.9%
Nicaragua	San Fernando	2017	64.7%	85.2%	43.6%
Nicaragua	San Francisco del Norte	2000	73.9%	100.0%	38.3%
Nicaragua	San Francisco del Norte	2017	76.6%	100.0%	37.3%
Nicaragua	San Francisco Libre	2000	75.2%	92.1%	49.1%
Nicaragua	San Francisco Libre	2017	75.2%	92.2%	49.4%
Nicaragua	San Isidro	2000	75.9%	94.6%	53.1%
Nicaragua	San Isidro	2017	80.0%	94.6%	57.6%
Nicaragua	San Jorge	2000	59.7%	71.3%	45.6%
Nicaragua	San Jorge	2017	59.3%	70.6%	44.8%
Nicaragua	San José de Cusmapa	2000	60.0%	80.5%	35.2%
Nicaragua	San José de Cusmapa	2017	63.6%	87.9%	39.0%
Nicaragua	San José de los Remates	2000	76.5%	97.3%	56.6%
Nicaragua	San José de los Remates	2017	77.8%	97.6%	57.1%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Limay	2000	77.5%	92.7%	59.2%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Limay	2017	79.7%	93.2%	64.2%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Oriente	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Oriente	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Norte	2000	71.1%	85.5%	52.7%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Norte	2017	72.4%	86.8%	53.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	San Juan del Río Coco	2000	85.0%	99.4%	63.3%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Río Coco	2017	86.6%	99.8%	64.8%
Nicaragua	San Lorenzo	2000	66.9%	87.4%	45.9%
Nicaragua	San Lorenzo	2017	67.1%	87.8%	45.7%
Nicaragua	San Lucas	2000	73.2%	91.7%	50.2%
Nicaragua	San Lucas	2017	74.6%	92.6%	49.8%
Nicaragua	San Marcos	2000	86.9%	99.4%	71.2%
Nicaragua	San Marcos	2017	88.7%	99.7%	70.3%
Nicaragua	San Miguelito	2000	71.2%	87.7%	49.2%
Nicaragua	San Miguelito	2017	72.4%	87.4%	52.5%
Nicaragua	San Nicolás	2000	74.5%	91.1%	49.0%
Nicaragua	San Nicolás	2017	75.1%	90.2%	48.6%
Nicaragua	San Pedro de Lóvago	2000	71.7%	88.0%	52.9%
Nicaragua	San Pedro de Lóvago	2017	72.5%	88.1%	54.5%
Nicaragua	San Pedro del Norte	2000	88.4%	100.0%	60.0%
Nicaragua	San Pedro del Norte	2017	89.8%	100.0%	62.6%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Norte	2000	70.8%	91.3%	45.0%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Norte	2017	72.8%	92.5%	46.7%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Sur	2000	76.5%	98.4%	48.7%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Sur	2017	78.5%	98.8%	50.0%
Nicaragua	San Ramón	2000	72.8%	93.1%	48.1%
Nicaragua	San Ramón	2017	74.4%	94.2%	49.6%
Nicaragua	San Sebastián de Yalí	2000	80.5%	97.6%	52.9%
Nicaragua	San Sebastián de Yalí	2017	83.0%	98.8%	56.5%
Nicaragua	Santa Lucía	2000	60.7%	86.6%	31.9%
Nicaragua	Santa Lucía	2017	64.4%	90.2%	36.1%
Nicaragua	Santa María	2000	70.4%	99.4%	34.5%
Nicaragua	Santa María	2017	72.1%	100.0%	36.7%
Nicaragua	Santa María de Pantasma	2000	71.4%	90.4%	50.3%
Nicaragua	Santa María de Pantasma	2017	72.8%	91.8%	49.9%
Nicaragua	Santa Rosa del Peñón	2000	86.4%	98.7%	72.3%
Nicaragua	Santa Rosa del Peñón	2017	87.2%	98.6%	72.5%
Nicaragua	Santo Domingo	2000	68.7%	90.3%	42.6%
Nicaragua	Santo Domingo	2017	70.0%	91.4%	45.0%
Nicaragua	Santo Tomás	2000	71.2%	92.8%	41.9%
Nicaragua	Santo Tomás	2017	72.6%	93.5%	42.2%
Nicaragua	Sébaco	2000	67.1%	85.6%	45.0%
Nicaragua	Sébaco	2017	69.7%	87.4%	46.4%
Nicaragua	Siuna	2000	72.2%	81.3%	61.6%
Nicaragua	Siuna	2017	73.0%	81.3%	62.9%
Nicaragua	Somotillo	2000	82.5%	93.1%	67.4%
Nicaragua	Somotillo	2017	82.9%	94.3%	64.3%
Nicaragua	Somoto	2000	73.5%	90.0%	54.3%
Nicaragua	Somoto	2017	75.9%	90.8%	54.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Telica	2000	63.8%	84.2%	46.0%
Nicaragua	Telica	2017	65.4%	87.9%	45.9%
Nicaragua	Telpaneca	2000	71.3%	95.4%	44.4%
Nicaragua	Telpaneca	2017	72.2%	96.8%	42.4%
Nicaragua	Terrabona	2000	66.7%	88.7%	45.5%
Nicaragua	Terrabona	2017	69.1%	90.9%	44.0%
Nicaragua	Teustepe	2000	72.3%	90.2%	52.4%
Nicaragua	Teustepe	2017	74.1%	91.2%	52.5%
Nicaragua	Ticuanetepe	2000	90.0%	100.0%	70.1%
Nicaragua	Ticuanetepe	2017	91.1%	100.0%	71.2%
Nicaragua	Tipitapa	2000	77.1%	92.3%	58.4%
Nicaragua	Tipitapa	2017	78.0%	93.2%	57.9%
Nicaragua	Tisma	2000	77.6%	89.2%	54.5%
Nicaragua	Tisma	2017	77.5%	88.2%	58.2%
Nicaragua	Tola	2000	76.7%	88.3%	61.7%
Nicaragua	Tola	2017	77.7%	90.0%	62.7%
Nicaragua	Totogalpa	2000	91.4%	99.6%	78.1%
Nicaragua	Totogalpa	2017	94.1%	99.7%	84.4%
Nicaragua	Tuma-La	2000	69.4%	95.2%	41.4%
Nicaragua	Dalia				
Nicaragua	Tuma-La	2017	70.7%	95.6%	42.8%
Nicaragua	Dalia				
Nicaragua	Villa Carlos Fonseca	2000	78.1%	94.1%	59.2%
Nicaragua	Villa Carlos Fonseca	2017	79.5%	95.3%	60.2%
Nicaragua	Villa Sandino	2000	68.3%	84.4%	52.4%
Nicaragua	Villa Sandino	2017	70.0%	85.7%	54.5%
Nicaragua	Villanueva	2000	75.5%	91.1%	54.0%
Nicaragua	Villanueva	2017	76.3%	90.7%	54.4%
Nicaragua	Waslala	2000	66.0%	83.4%	46.3%
Nicaragua	Waslala	2017	67.9%	85.0%	49.1%
Nicaragua	Waspán	2000	70.5%	77.8%	62.4%
Nicaragua	Waspán	2017	71.3%	78.1%	63.9%
Nicaragua	Wiwilí	2000	67.4%	79.8%	54.8%
Nicaragua	Wiwilí	2017	68.9%	80.5%	56.0%
Nicaragua	Yalagüina	2000	94.5%	100.0%	82.0%
Nicaragua	Yalagüina	2017	95.4%	100.0%	83.8%
Panama	Aguadulce	2000	95.4%	97.6%	91.9%
Panama	Aguadulce	2017	95.6%	97.7%	92.1%
Panama	Alanje	2000	75.3%	86.8%	58.7%
Panama	Alanje	2017	76.6%	87.5%	60.4%
Panama	Antón	2000	95.5%	97.8%	92.5%
Panama	Antón	2017	95.8%	98.0%	92.9%
Panama	Arraiján	2000	96.3%	98.0%	93.9%
Panama	Arraiján	2017	96.5%	98.2%	94.3%
Panama	Atalaya	2000	90.8%	95.8%	83.5%
Panama	Atalaya	2017	91.3%	96.1%	84.8%
Panama	Balboa	2000	84.7%	99.3%	46.1%
Panama	Balboa	2017	85.4%	99.4%	46.7%
Panama	Barú	2000	72.6%	88.5%	49.8%
Panama	Barú	2017	73.3%	88.8%	51.2%
Panama	Besiko	2000	35.4%	51.3%	20.5%
Panama	Besiko	2017	36.6%	52.3%	21.4%
Panama	Bocas del Toro	2000	52.4%	78.2%	27.1%
Panama	Bocas del Toro	2017	53.3%	79.2%	27.9%
Panama	Boquerón	2000	78.5%	90.8%	59.0%
Panama	Boquerón	2017	79.8%	91.5%	61.0%
Panama	Boquete	2000	69.6%	86.8%	45.1%
Panama	Boquete	2017	70.2%	87.3%	45.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Bugaba	2000	80.3%	89.9%	70.0%
Panama	Bugaba	2017	81.2%	90.5%	71.2%
Panama	Calobre	2000	92.9%	97.2%	85.7%
Panama	Calobre	2017	93.3%	97.4%	86.4%
Panama	Cañazas	2000	86.8%	93.0%	77.8%
Panama	Cañazas	2017	86.7%	93.0%	77.8%
Panama	Capira	2000	93.7%	97.3%	88.6%
Panama	Capira	2017	94.0%	97.5%	89.0%
Panama	Cémaco	2000	32.2%	44.4%	21.4%
Panama	Cémaco	2017	33.8%	45.9%	22.5%
Panama	Chagres	2000	78.2%	95.3%	49.3%
Panama	Chagres	2017	79.1%	95.5%	51.0%
Panama	Chame	2000	96.2%	98.6%	90.1%
Panama	Chame	2017	96.3%	98.7%	90.3%
Panama	Changuinola	2000	59.3%	72.3%	46.3%
Panama	Changuinola	2017	60.5%	73.0%	47.7%
Panama	Chepigana	2000	63.3%	73.5%	52.4%
Panama	Chepigana	2017	64.8%	74.8%	53.9%
Panama	Chepo	2000	87.7%	93.6%	75.8%
Panama	Chepo	2017	88.4%	93.9%	77.7%
Panama	Chimán	2000	80.5%	95.3%	56.5%
Panama	Chimán	2017	81.0%	95.4%	58.2%
Panama	Chiriquí Grande	2000	41.8%	54.6%	30.7%
Panama	Chiriquí Grande	2017	43.1%	55.9%	32.2%
Panama	Chitré	2000	96.5%	97.9%	94.4%
Panama	Chitré	2017	96.7%	98.0%	94.7%
Panama	Colón	2000	75.8%	86.0%	61.0%
Panama	Colón	2017	76.9%	86.8%	62.6%
Panama	David	2000	75.0%	83.2%	64.5%
Panama	David	2017	76.1%	83.9%	66.2%
Panama	Dolega	2000	73.0%	85.4%	55.3%
Panama	Dolega	2017	73.9%	85.9%	56.5%
Panama	Donoso	2000	78.5%	91.3%	59.2%
Panama	Donoso	2017	79.5%	91.7%	60.9%
Panama	Gualaca	2000	52.8%	73.7%	29.2%
Panama	Gualaca	2017	53.1%	74.0%	30.3%
Panama	Guararé	2000	99.2%	99.7%	98.1%
Panama	Guararé	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.2%
Panama	Kankintú	2000	23.8%	37.2%	15.4%
Panama	Kankintú	2017	24.8%	38.7%	16.1%
Panama	Kuna Yala	2000	64.1%	83.7%	35.0%
Panama	Kuna Yala	2017	64.4%	83.5%	35.0%
Panama	Kusapín	2000	32.9%	58.4%	15.0%
Panama	Kusapín	2017	33.4%	59.4%	14.9%
Panama	La Chorrera	2000	96.1%	97.8%	93.5%
Panama	La Chorrera	2017	96.2%	97.9%	93.8%
Panama	La Mesa	2000	92.6%	96.7%	85.8%
Panama	La Mesa	2017	92.9%	96.9%	86.5%
Panama	La Pintada	2000	92.3%	96.6%	85.2%
Panama	La Pintada	2017	92.7%	96.8%	86.0%
Panama	Lago Alajuela	2000	84.4%	94.4%	66.9%
Panama	Lago Alajuela	2017	86.7%	95.6%	71.3%
Panama	Lago Bayano	2000	89.1%	97.0%	67.0%
Panama	Lago Bayano	2017	89.8%	97.3%	69.5%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2000	85.9%	95.6%	70.9%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2000	78.2%	86.8%	66.7%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2017	86.7%	95.8%	72.7%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2017	79.0%	87.4%	67.8%
Panama	Las Minas	2000	92.1%	96.7%	83.7%
Panama	Las Minas	2017	92.4%	96.8%	84.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Las Palmas	2000	86.5%	94.0%	70.4%
Panama	Las Palmas	2017	86.7%	94.1%	70.7%
Panama	Las Tablas	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Panama	Las Tablas	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Panama	Los Pozos	2000	95.6%	99.1%	88.4%
Panama	Los Pozos	2017	95.9%	99.2%	88.8%
Panama	Los Santos	2000	97.8%	98.9%	96.1%
Panama	Los Santos	2017	97.9%	98.9%	96.3%
Panama	Macaracas	2000	98.1%	99.7%	93.8%
Panama	Macaracas	2017	98.2%	99.7%	93.9%
Panama	Mironó	2000	40.3%	62.2%	23.4%
Panama	Mironó	2017	41.4%	63.2%	24.2%
Panama	Montijo	2000	91.5%	96.2%	84.1%
Panama	Montijo	2017	91.9%	96.3%	84.6%
Panama	Müna	2000	35.9%	44.6%	25.4%
Panama	Müna	2017	36.3%	45.0%	26.0%
Panama	Natá	2000	96.1%	98.1%	93.3%
Panama	Natá	2017	96.3%	98.2%	93.6%
Panama	Nole Duima	2000	42.9%	56.8%	29.4%
Panama	Nole Duima	2017	43.6%	57.4%	30.3%
Panama	Ñürüm	2000	55.3%	68.8%	43.2%
Panama	Ñürüm	2017	54.1%	67.6%	42.4%
Panama	Ocú	2000	91.5%	96.0%	83.4%
Panama	Ocú	2017	91.9%	96.2%	84.3%
Panama	Olá	2000	93.3%	98.4%	83.9%
Panama	Olá	2017	93.8%	98.5%	85.0%
Panama	Panamá	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.0%
Panama	Panamá	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.4%
Panama	Parita	2000	94.0%	97.0%	89.8%
Panama	Parita	2017	94.3%	97.2%	90.2%
Panama	Pedasí	2000	98.5%	99.9%	92.9%
Panama	Pedasí	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.2%
Panama	Penonomé	2000	94.0%	96.7%	89.4%
Panama	Penonomé	2017	94.5%	97.0%	90.0%
Panama	Pesé	2000	95.2%	98.0%	89.7%
Panama	Pesé	2017	95.1%	98.0%	89.6%
Panama	Pinogana	2000	48.4%	61.5%	36.5%
Panama	Pinogana	2017	49.4%	63.0%	38.0%
Panama	Pocrí	2000	99.2%	99.9%	96.3%
Panama	Pocrí	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.4%
Panama	Portobelo	2000	67.1%	92.8%	34.5%
Panama	Portobelo	2017	68.2%	93.4%	35.1%
Panama	Remedios	2000	73.3%	85.7%	59.7%
Panama	Remedios	2017	73.9%	85.7%	61.0%
Panama	Renacimiento	2000	82.1%	94.4%	56.7%
Panama	Renacimiento	2017	82.8%	94.7%	57.9%
Panama	Río de Jesús	2000	91.8%	97.1%	80.6%
Panama	Río de Jesús	2017	92.3%	97.3%	81.6%
Panama	Sambú	2000	72.1%	92.4%	37.3%
Panama	Sambú	2017	73.2%	92.8%	39.0%
Panama	San Carlos	2000	95.5%	98.4%	89.0%
Panama	San Carlos	2017	95.8%	98.5%	89.5%
Panama	San Félix	2000	68.6%	87.1%	47.9%
Panama	San Félix	2017	69.8%	87.7%	49.3%
Panama	San Francisco	2000	93.0%	97.6%	85.5%
Panama	San Francisco	2017	93.4%	97.8%	86.3%
Panama	San Lorenzo	2000	64.6%	85.6%	37.4%
Panama	San Lorenzo	2017	65.0%	85.5%	38.0%
Panama	San Miguelito	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.5%
Panama	San Miguelito	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.6%
Panama	Santa Fe	2000	86.5%	93.9%	77.6%
Panama	Santa Fe	2017	87.1%	94.2%	78.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Santa Isabel	2000	71.6%	96.6%	31.0%
Panama	Santa Isabel	2017	72.7%	96.8%	32.0%
Panama	Santa María	2000	91.7%	96.9%	82.8%
Panama	Santa María	2017	92.1%	97.1%	83.4%
Panama	Santiago	2000	91.8%	94.8%	88.3%
Panama	Santiago	2017	92.3%	95.2%	89.0%
Panama	Soná	2000	92.3%	96.5%	85.8%
Panama	Soná	2017	92.7%	96.7%	86.6%
Panama	Taboga	2000	93.5%	99.1%	79.6%
Panama	Taboga	2017	93.9%	99.2%	80.5%
Panama	Tolé	2000	72.0%	83.8%	52.3%
Panama	Tolé	2017	72.2%	83.8%	52.8%
Panama	Tonosí	2000	97.7%	99.8%	91.8%
Panama	Tonosí	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.2%
Paraguay	25 de Diciembre	2000	78.3%	85.5%	70.2%
Paraguay	25 de Diciembre	2017	94.8%	97.7%	90.2%
Paraguay	3 de Febrero	2000	63.8%	81.4%	45.5%
Paraguay	3 de Febrero	2017	87.4%	96.2%	74.2%
Paraguay	Abaí	2000	73.6%	80.0%	66.7%
Paraguay	Abaí	2017	92.4%	95.2%	89.3%
Paraguay	Acahay	2000	75.0%	90.9%	56.2%
Paraguay	Acahay	2017	93.5%	98.6%	84.8%
Paraguay	Alberdi	2000	64.3%	70.3%	58.0%
Paraguay	Alberdi	2017	89.0%	92.3%	84.8%
Paraguay	Alto Verá	2000	70.9%	79.2%	62.7%
Paraguay	Alto Verá	2017	91.6%	95.2%	87.6%
Paraguay	Altos	2000	87.6%	91.3%	82.3%
Paraguay	Altos	2017	98.0%	98.7%	96.8%
Paraguay	Antequera	2000	71.9%	86.8%	55.6%
Paraguay	Antequera	2017	91.7%	97.7%	80.6%
Paraguay	Areguá	2000	95.3%	99.0%	87.3%
Paraguay	Areguá	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.7%
Paraguay	Arroyos y Esteros	2000	83.7%	91.9%	71.7%
Paraguay	Arroyos y Esteros	2017	96.7%	98.8%	92.6%
Paraguay	Asunción	2000	92.8%	93.5%	91.9%
Paraguay	Asunción	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.4%
Paraguay	Atyrá	2000	87.0%	90.2%	82.5%
Paraguay	Atyrá	2017	97.5%	98.5%	95.8%
Paraguay	Ayolas	2000	79.6%	87.2%	72.2%
Paraguay	Ayolas	2017	95.4%	97.9%	91.9%
Paraguay	Belén	2000	87.7%	93.5%	80.5%
Paraguay	Belén	2017	97.8%	99.0%	96.0%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2000	74.6%	85.3%	59.1%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2000	75.0%	81.6%	67.1%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2017	93.2%	96.8%	86.2%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2017	93.2%	95.8%	89.0%
Paraguay	Benjamín Aceval	2000	76.2%	82.9%	68.9%
Paraguay	Benjamín Aceval	2017	93.7%	96.2%	90.4%
Paraguay	Borja	2000	69.6%	82.6%	54.3%
Paraguay	Borja	2017	89.9%	96.2%	79.8%
Paraguay	Caacupé	2000	87.0%	91.3%	81.1%
Paraguay	Caacupé	2017	97.8%	98.8%	96.5%
Paraguay	Caaguazú	2000	68.3%	72.8%	63.0%
Paraguay	Caaguazú	2017	90.6%	92.6%	88.2%
Paraguay	Caapucú	2000	74.5%	82.9%	65.1%
Paraguay	Caapucú	2017	92.8%	96.2%	88.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Caazapá	2000	64.8%	71.4%	57.6%
Paraguay	Caazapá	2017	88.7%	92.3%	84.3%
Paraguay	Cambyreta	2000	75.6%	79.9%	70.8%
Paraguay	Cambyreta	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.5%
Paraguay	Capiatá	2000	92.1%	94.3%	88.8%
Paraguay	Capiatá	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Paraguay	Capitán Bado	2000	70.7%	77.3%	64.0%
Paraguay	Capitán Bado	2017	91.4%	94.1%	88.4%
Paraguay	Capitán Mauricio José Troche	2000	71.6%	88.5%	49.3%
Paraguay	Capitán Mauricio José Troche	2017	90.9%	97.8%	78.8%
Paraguay	Capitán Meza	2000	72.9%	80.4%	65.5%
Paraguay	Capitán Meza	2017	92.1%	95.0%	88.0%
Paraguay	Capitán Miranda	2000	69.2%	83.6%	54.1%
Paraguay	Capitán Miranda	2017	90.2%	96.3%	82.0%
Paraguay	Caraguatay	2000	82.0%	87.1%	76.1%
Paraguay	Caraguatay	2017	96.4%	97.9%	94.1%
Paraguay	Carapeguá	2000	75.0%	85.5%	64.5%
Paraguay	Carapeguá	2017	93.2%	97.1%	87.6%
Paraguay	Carayaó	2000	77.3%	86.0%	67.1%
Paraguay	Carayaó	2017	94.2%	97.4%	89.6%
Paraguay	Carlos Antonio López	2000	72.9%	83.2%	62.4%
Paraguay	Carlos Antonio López	2017	91.8%	95.9%	85.8%
Paraguay	Carmen del Paraná	2000	71.1%	84.7%	55.6%
Paraguay	Carmen del Paraná	2017	92.2%	97.3%	83.6%
Paraguay	Cerrito	2000	70.0%	81.4%	58.5%
Paraguay	Cerrito	2017	90.6%	96.2%	82.3%
Paraguay	Choré	2000	74.9%	78.2%	71.5%
Paraguay	Choré	2017	93.4%	95.0%	91.8%
Paraguay	Ciudad del Este	2000	74.4%	75.9%	72.8%
Paraguay	Ciudad del Este	2017	93.3%	93.8%	92.7%
Paraguay	Concepción	2000	80.8%	83.4%	77.5%
Paraguay	Concepción	2017	96.0%	96.7%	94.9%
Paraguay	Coronel Bogado	2000	74.7%	80.7%	67.8%
Paraguay	Coronel Bogado	2017	92.9%	95.3%	89.3%
Paraguay	Coronel Martínez	2000	75.8%	90.6%	55.0%
Paraguay	Coronel Martínez	2017	93.4%	98.5%	82.1%
Paraguay	Coronel Oviedo	2000	79.2%	82.4%	75.4%
Paraguay	Coronel Oviedo	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.7%
Paraguay	Corpus Christi	2000	71.3%	78.8%	62.7%
Paraguay	Corpus Christi	2017	91.6%	94.6%	87.3%
Paraguay	Desmochados	2000	71.8%	82.0%	59.1%
Paraguay	Desmochados	2017	91.8%	95.8%	85.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Doctor Botrell	2000	78.6%	92.1%	58.5%
Paraguay	Doctor Botrell	2017	94.4%	98.8%	86.7%
Paraguay	Doctor Cecilio Báez	2000	78.1%	83.7%	71.0%
Paraguay	Doctor Cecilio Báez	2017	95.2%	96.6%	92.9%
Paraguay	Doctor J. Eulogio Estigarribia	2000	75.7%	79.7%	71.6%
Paraguay	Doctor J. Eulogio Estigarribia	2017	94.4%	95.7%	92.8%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan León Mallorquín	2000	76.3%	83.4%	67.8%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan León Mallorquín	2017	93.1%	95.5%	89.2%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan Manuel Frutos	2000	65.3%	75.6%	53.7%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan Manuel Frutos	2017	88.5%	93.4%	81.7%
Paraguay	Doctor Moisés S. Bertoni	2000	64.6%	75.7%	51.8%
Paraguay	Doctor Moisés S. Bertoni	2017	87.2%	93.8%	78.5%
Paraguay	Doctor Pedro P. Peña	2000	86.9%	89.4%	83.9%
Paraguay	Doctor Pedro P. Peña	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Paraguay	Domingo Martínez de Irala	2000	72.6%	84.2%	57.2%
Paraguay	Domingo Martínez de Irala	2017	91.9%	96.3%	83.5%
Paraguay	Edelira	2000	69.5%	79.0%	59.0%
Paraguay	Edelira	2017	90.4%	94.8%	83.8%
Paraguay	Emboscada	2000	85.1%	95.4%	70.1%
Paraguay	Emboscada	2017	96.6%	99.4%	90.8%
Paraguay	Emboscada (Caazapa)	2000	57.3%	74.2%	40.2%
Paraguay	Emboscada (Caazapa)	2017	84.5%	94.1%	71.2%
Paraguay	Encarnación	2000	73.4%	79.5%	64.9%
Paraguay	Encarnación	2017	92.9%	95.0%	89.0%
Paraguay	Escobar	2000	74.1%	84.0%	61.8%
Paraguay	Escobar	2017	93.6%	96.7%	88.4%
Paraguay	Eusebio Ayala	2000	90.2%	94.5%	83.6%
Paraguay	Eusebio Ayala	2017	98.5%	99.3%	97.0%
Paraguay	Félix Perez Cardozo	2000	78.1%	93.0%	56.9%
Paraguay	Félix Perez Cardozo	2017	94.5%	99.0%	85.6%
Paraguay	Fernando de la Mora	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.0%
Paraguay	Fernando de la Mora	2017	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Paraguay	Fram	2000	72.3%	84.9%	60.8%
Paraguay	Fram	2017	92.3%	97.4%	86.0%
Paraguay	Fuerte Olimpo	2000	74.7%	78.6%	69.6%
Paraguay	Fuerte Olimpo	2017	92.9%	94.7%	90.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Fulgencio Yegros	2000	69.8%	79.7%	58.0%
Paraguay	Fulgencio Yegros	2017	90.5%	95.2%	83.7%
Paraguay	General Artigas	2000	70.7%	81.2%	59.4%
Paraguay	General Artigas	2017	90.9%	95.6%	84.8%
Paraguay	General Bernardino Caballero	2000	75.7%	84.9%	66.2%
Paraguay	General Bernardino Caballero	2017	94.4%	97.3%	90.5%
Paraguay	General Delgado	2000	71.5%	81.6%	60.0%
Paraguay	General Delgado	2017	91.9%	95.7%	86.5%
Paraguay	General Elizardo Aquino	2000	78.9%	82.9%	74.2%
Paraguay	General Elizardo Aquino	2017	95.4%	96.8%	93.2%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2000	83.9%	87.5%	79.8%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2000	77.4%	90.3%	59.2%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.7%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2017	94.2%	98.5%	85.2%
Paraguay	General Francisco C. Alvarez	2000	69.4%	74.4%	63.4%
Paraguay	General Francisco C. Alvarez	2017	91.3%	93.4%	88.4%
Paraguay	General Higinio Morínigo	2000	73.1%	85.0%	60.2%
Paraguay	General Higinio Morínigo	2017	92.6%	97.2%	84.7%
Paraguay	General Isidoro Resquín	2000	77.7%	84.4%	70.8%
Paraguay	General Isidoro Resquín	2017	93.6%	96.3%	89.6%
Paraguay	General José Eduvigis Díaz	2000	68.7%	78.3%	57.6%
Paraguay	General José Eduvigis Díaz	2017	90.6%	94.4%	84.7%
Paraguay	Guarambaré	2000	89.3%	94.1%	82.8%
Paraguay	Guarambaré	2017	98.0%	99.2%	96.1%
Paraguay	Guazú Cuá	2000	73.5%	82.6%	61.8%
Paraguay	Guazú Cuá	2017	92.5%	96.4%	86.4%
Paraguay	Hernandarias	2000	75.7%	78.7%	72.4%
Paraguay	Hernandarias	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.6%
Paraguay	Hohenau	2000	72.4%	78.6%	66.2%
Paraguay	Hohenau	2017	92.5%	94.9%	89.4%
Paraguay	Horqueta	2000	76.7%	81.1%	72.6%
Paraguay	Horqueta	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Humaitá	2000	74.7%	86.7%	61.2%
Paraguay	Humaitá	2017	93.0%	97.6%	85.7%
Paraguay	Independencia	2000	74.3%	82.5%	66.3%
Paraguay	Independencia	2017	92.8%	96.4%	88.7%
Paraguay	Isla Pucú	2000	87.6%	92.7%	78.8%
Paraguay	Isla Pucú	2017	98.0%	99.0%	95.7%
Paraguay	Isla Umbú	2000	81.5%	88.0%	73.2%
Paraguay	Isla Umbú	2017	96.2%	98.1%	93.1%
Paraguay	Itá	2000	78.3%	85.9%	67.7%
Paraguay	Itá	2017	93.9%	96.5%	89.6%
Paraguay	Itacurubí de la Cordillera	2000	80.6%	94.3%	65.0%
Paraguay	Itacurubí de la Cordillera	2017	95.2%	99.3%	87.1%
Paraguay	Itacurubí del Rosario	2000	68.9%	77.0%	59.6%
Paraguay	Itacurubí del Rosario	2017	90.0%	94.1%	85.3%
Paraguay	Itakyry	2000	71.0%	78.5%	62.4%
Paraguay	Itakyry	2017	90.7%	94.2%	86.4%
Paraguay	Itanara	2000	64.5%	78.4%	50.6%
Paraguay	Itanara	2017	88.0%	94.0%	80.3%
Paraguay	Itapé	2000	72.6%	85.5%	55.9%
Paraguay	Itapé	2017	91.7%	97.5%	80.5%
Paraguay	Itauguá	2000	98.3%	99.2%	96.8%
Paraguay	Itauguá	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Paraguay	Iturbe	2000	74.0%	88.0%	55.6%
Paraguay	Iturbe	2017	92.6%	98.0%	81.3%
Paraguay	Jesús	2000	68.1%	79.2%	56.4%
Paraguay	Jesús	2017	90.4%	95.1%	84.1%
Paraguay	Jose A. Fassardi	2000	76.4%	87.9%	60.7%
Paraguay	Jose A. Fassardi	2017	93.2%	97.2%	85.9%
Paraguay	José Domingo Ocampos	2000	68.2%	85.6%	47.5%
Paraguay	José Domingo Ocampos	2017	88.7%	96.7%	75.9%
Paraguay	José Leandro Oviedo	2000	72.4%	84.4%	59.0%
Paraguay	José Leandro Oviedo	2017	92.7%	97.2%	85.4%
Paraguay	Juan Augusto Saldívar	2000	90.7%	91.9%	89.2%
Paraguay	Juan Augusto Saldívar	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Paraguay	Juan de Mena	2000	76.9%	86.8%	65.4%
Paraguay	Juan de Mena	2017	93.7%	97.5%	87.6%
Paraguay	Juan Emilio O'Leary	2000	71.1%	84.1%	54.4%
Paraguay	Juan Emilio O'Leary	2017	90.6%	96.5%	81.5%
Paraguay	La Colmena	2000	71.1%	78.3%	63.4%
Paraguay	La Colmena	2017	93.0%	95.3%	89.4%
Paraguay	La Pastora	2000	74.1%	89.2%	56.4%
Paraguay	La Pastora	2017	92.6%	98.3%	82.7%
Paraguay	La Paz	2000	67.0%	80.8%	51.5%
Paraguay	La Paz	2017	88.7%	95.8%	79.2%
Paraguay	La Victoria	2000	78.2%	82.3%	74.0%
Paraguay	La Victoria	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Paraguay	Lambaré	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.9%
Paraguay	Lambaré	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Laureles	2000	72.2%	80.9%	62.6%
Paraguay	Laureles	2017	92.1%	95.7%	87.8%
Paraguay	Lima	2000	85.6%	90.0%	79.4%
Paraguay	Lima	2017	97.4%	98.5%	95.4%
Paraguay	Limpio	2000	84.8%	92.5%	75.1%
Paraguay	Limpio	2017	96.6%	98.9%	92.5%
Paraguay	Loma Grande	2000	84.5%	87.7%	80.9%
Paraguay	Loma Grande	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Paraguay	Loreto	2000	77.5%	86.6%	67.1%
Paraguay	Loreto	2017	94.6%	97.7%	90.1%
Paraguay	Los Cedrales	2000	74.8%	86.2%	61.0%
Paraguay	Los Cedrales	2017	92.6%	97.1%	86.0%
Paraguay	Luque	2000	91.1%	92.4%	89.5%
Paraguay	Luque	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Paraguay	Maciel	2000	74.2%	84.9%	60.8%
Paraguay	Maciel	2017	92.1%	96.6%	84.8%
Paraguay	Mariano	2000	82.0%	87.5%	75.6%
Paraguay	Roque Alonso Mariano	2017	96.3%	97.9%	94.2%
Paraguay	Mariscal Francisco Solano López	2000	72.1%	80.5%	63.1%
Paraguay	Mariscal Francisco Solano López	2017	91.6%	95.5%	86.1%
Paraguay	Mariscal José Félix Estigarribia	2000	89.6%	94.2%	83.2%
Paraguay	Mariscal José Félix Estigarribia	2017	98.1%	99.1%	95.8%
Paraguay	Mayor José J. Martínez	2000	73.6%	86.4%	57.5%
Paraguay	Mayor José J. Martínez	2017	92.6%	97.2%	84.2%
Paraguay	Mayor Julio D. Otaño	2000	68.4%	78.6%	54.3%
Paraguay	Mayor Julio D. Otaño	2017	89.7%	94.8%	81.4%
Paraguay	Mayor Pablo Lagerenza	2000	75.1%	80.1%	69.1%
Paraguay	Mayor Pablo Lagerenza	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.2%
Paraguay	Mbaracayú	2000	66.8%	77.2%	55.8%
Paraguay	Mbaracayú	2017	88.6%	93.4%	82.5%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Guairá	2000	75.6%	89.6%	57.7%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Guairá	2017	93.3%	98.3%	84.1%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Yhaguy	2000	79.0%	92.0%	65.2%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Yhaguy	2017	94.9%	98.7%	88.8%
Paraguay	Mbuyapey	2000	74.1%	85.8%	62.3%
Paraguay	Mbuyapey	2017	92.8%	97.1%	86.1%
Paraguay	Minga Guazú	2000	78.4%	82.1%	73.7%
Paraguay	Minga Guazú	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Paraguay	Minga Porá	2000	71.9%	80.1%	61.9%
Paraguay	Minga Porá	2017	91.6%	95.3%	86.1%
Paraguay	Ñacunday	2000	71.8%	79.6%	63.4%
Paraguay	Ñacunday	2017	91.4%	94.9%	86.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Naranjal	2000	71.9%	79.8%	63.2%
Paraguay	Naranjal	2017	91.0%	95.1%	84.7%
Paraguay	Natalicio Talavera	2000	70.9%	87.4%	49.6%
Paraguay	Natalicio Talavera	2017	90.7%	97.4%	78.3%
Paraguay	Natalio	2000	74.7%	84.8%	62.4%
Paraguay	Natalio	2017	92.8%	96.4%	87.1%
Paraguay	Ñemby	2000	94.0%	96.5%	90.6%
Paraguay	Ñemby	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.6%
Paraguay	Nueva Alborada	2000	66.5%	80.2%	47.8%
Paraguay	Nueva Alborada	2017	87.1%	94.5%	75.7%
Paraguay	Nueva Colombia	2000	92.0%	95.9%	85.9%
Paraguay	Nueva Colombia	2017	98.7%	99.4%	97.2%
Paraguay	Nueva Germania	2000	75.0%	81.3%	67.5%
Paraguay	Nueva Germania	2017	93.6%	96.5%	88.3%
Paraguay	Nueva Italia	2000	79.0%	91.8%	62.2%
Paraguay	Nueva Italia	2017	95.0%	99.0%	87.3%
Paraguay	Nueva Londres	2000	64.5%	75.4%	54.4%
Paraguay	Nueva Londres	2017	88.4%	94.0%	81.9%
Paraguay	Ñumí	2000	69.3%	86.5%	47.7%
Paraguay	Ñumí	2017	90.8%	97.7%	77.5%
Paraguay	Obligado	2000	72.9%	78.0%	67.0%
Paraguay	Obligado	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.1%
Paraguay	Paraguarí	2000	68.4%	75.5%	61.3%
Paraguay	Paraguarí	2017	91.6%	94.1%	88.1%
Paraguay	Paso de Patria	2000	78.3%	92.3%	57.7%
Paraguay	Paso de Patria	2017	94.4%	98.9%	83.7%
Paraguay	Pedro Juan Caballero	2000	76.0%	79.5%	72.0%
Paraguay	Pedro Juan Caballero	2017	94.4%	95.7%	92.7%
Paraguay	Pilar	2000	71.9%	77.6%	65.9%
Paraguay	Pilar	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.4%
Paraguay	Pirapó	2000	72.7%	84.2%	60.8%
Paraguay	Pirapó	2017	91.7%	96.5%	85.6%
Paraguay	Pirayú	2000	80.0%	89.7%	68.4%
Paraguay	Pirayú	2017	96.0%	98.4%	91.9%
Paraguay	Piribebuy	2000	71.8%	81.9%	60.5%
Paraguay	Piribebuy	2017	92.6%	96.4%	86.7%
Paraguay	Pozo Colorado	2000	82.6%	85.2%	79.6%
Paraguay	Pozo Colorado	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
Paraguay	Presidente Franco	2000	77.6%	81.1%	73.6%
Paraguay	Presidente Franco	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.2%
Paraguay	Primero de Marzo	2000	87.6%	93.0%	78.7%
Paraguay	Primero de Marzo	2017	98.0%	99.1%	95.7%
Paraguay	Puerto Pinasco	2000	73.1%	77.7%	68.1%
Paraguay	Puerto Pinasco	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Quiindy	2000	72.5%	85.1%	58.8%
Paraguay	Quiindy	2017	93.0%	97.6%	85.1%
Paraguay	Quyquyhó	2000	75.9%	82.4%	69.0%
Paraguay	Quyquyhó	2017	94.5%	96.6%	91.9%
Paraguay	R. I. 3 Corrales	2000	72.3%	81.4%	61.3%
Paraguay	R. I. 3 Corrales	2017	90.8%	95.5%	83.5%
Paraguay	Raúl Arsenio Oviedo	2000	70.3%	77.8%	62.1%
Paraguay	Raúl Arsenio Oviedo	2017	90.4%	93.5%	86.2%
Paraguay	Repatriación	2000	67.4%	77.6%	56.3%
Paraguay	Repatriación	2017	87.5%	92.8%	80.4%
Paraguay	Salto del Guairá	2000	70.6%	76.9%	61.8%
Paraguay	Salto del Guairá	2017	91.8%	94.9%	86.0%
Paraguay	San Alberto	2000	70.2%	79.0%	60.2%
Paraguay	San Alberto	2017	90.9%	94.5%	85.7%
Paraguay	San Antonio	2000	96.7%	99.1%	92.1%
Paraguay	San Antonio	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Paraguay	San Bernardino	2000	94.3%	98.4%	84.1%
Paraguay	San Bernardino	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.9%
Paraguay	San Carlos	2000	73.4%	82.4%	63.2%
Paraguay	San Carlos	2017	92.6%	96.1%	87.4%
Paraguay	San Cosme y Damián	2000	72.5%	81.4%	61.0%
Paraguay	San Cosme y Damián	2017	91.7%	96.1%	84.3%
Paraguay	San Cristóbal	2000	73.5%	81.1%	62.9%
Paraguay	San Cristóbal	2017	93.0%	96.0%	87.1%
Paraguay	San Estanislao	2000	77.9%	80.8%	74.8%
Paraguay	San Estanislao	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Paraguay	San Ignacio	2000	80.6%	84.5%	76.1%
Paraguay	San Ignacio	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Paraguay	San Joaquín	2000	66.2%	73.9%	57.9%
Paraguay	San Joaquín	2017	89.0%	93.2%	83.8%
Paraguay	San José de los Arroyos	2000	72.7%	80.7%	63.7%
Paraguay	San José de los Arroyos	2017	93.0%	95.7%	89.3%
Paraguay	San José Obrero	2000	84.8%	93.8%	69.4%
Paraguay	San José Obrero	2017	97.0%	99.2%	92.2%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista de las Misiones	2000	80.6%	86.1%	73.5%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista de las Misiones	2017	95.0%	97.4%	91.4%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista del Ñeembucu	2000	69.6%	79.2%	59.0%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista del Ñeembucu	2017	90.1%	94.8%	84.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	San Juan del Paraná	2000	71.7%	86.1%	55.5%
Paraguay	San Juan del Paraná	2017	90.4%	96.4%	81.7%
Paraguay	San Juan Nepomuceno	2000	66.5%	73.5%	60.1%
Paraguay	San Juan Nepomuceno	2017	89.9%	93.5%	86.2%
Paraguay	San Lázaro	2000	76.8%	84.1%	67.1%
Paraguay	San Lázaro	2017	94.7%	97.1%	90.6%
Paraguay	San Lorenzo	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.7%
Paraguay	San Lorenzo	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Paraguay	San Miguel	2000	77.4%	85.9%	67.9%
Paraguay	San Miguel	2017	94.7%	97.4%	90.8%
Paraguay	San Pablo	2000	73.6%	82.7%	62.1%
Paraguay	San Pablo	2017	93.2%	96.4%	88.1%
Paraguay	San Patricio	2000	83.7%	87.5%	79.2%
Paraguay	San Patricio	2017	97.1%	98.1%	96.0%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Paraná	2000	70.8%	76.6%	65.1%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Paraná	2017	91.5%	93.7%	88.9%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Ycuamandyú	2000	75.5%	80.1%	70.5%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Ycuamandyú	2017	93.9%	95.5%	91.8%
Paraguay	San Rafael del Paraná	2000	70.8%	78.1%	62.9%
Paraguay	San Rafael del Paraná	2017	90.7%	94.4%	86.4%
Paraguay	San Roque González de Santa Cruz	2000	64.5%	71.9%	55.6%
Paraguay	San Roque González de Santa Cruz	2017	88.8%	92.5%	84.3%
Paraguay	San Salvador	2000	62.3%	79.0%	47.4%
Paraguay	San Salvador	2017	85.9%	94.7%	75.7%
Paraguay	Santa Elena	2000	82.7%	93.7%	69.1%
Paraguay	Santa Elena	2017	96.3%	99.2%	90.8%
Paraguay	Santa María	2000	82.4%	92.1%	68.7%
Paraguay	Santa María	2017	96.3%	98.9%	90.6%
Paraguay	Santa Rita	2000	80.1%	86.2%	71.7%
Paraguay	Santa Rita	2017	94.6%	97.0%	90.9%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa	2000	81.6%	85.6%	76.6%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.6%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Mbutuy	2000	75.6%	86.5%	62.4%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Mbutuy	2017	93.7%	97.4%	87.3%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Monday	2000	74.8%	83.4%	65.9%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Monday	2017	92.2%	95.8%	87.7%
Paraguay	Santiago	2000	78.9%	86.0%	70.8%
Paraguay	Santiago	2017	94.7%	97.4%	90.2%
Paraguay	Sapucaí	2000	77.2%	86.8%	65.6%
Paraguay	Sapucaí	2017	94.8%	97.8%	90.4%
Paraguay	Simón Bolívar	2000	74.7%	82.3%	65.9%
Paraguay	Simón Bolívar	2017	93.7%	96.4%	90.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Tabaí	2000	72.0%	80.2%	62.3%
Paraguay	Tabaí	2017	91.5%	95.0%	86.3%
Paraguay	Tacuaras	2000	72.8%	81.9%	63.8%
Paraguay	Tacuaras	2017	92.0%	96.2%	86.1%
Paraguay	Tacuatí	2000	75.6%	82.0%	69.6%
Paraguay	Tacuatí	2017	93.1%	95.7%	89.8%
Paraguay	Tebicuarymí	2000	73.8%	88.5%	54.5%
Paraguay	Tebicuarymí	2017	92.5%	98.1%	82.4%
Paraguay	Tobatí	2000	82.1%	92.9%	66.1%
Paraguay	Tobatí	2017	96.0%	99.1%	89.6%
Paraguay	Tomás Romero Pereira	2000	70.4%	80.5%	57.3%
Paraguay	Tomás Romero Pereira	2017	91.3%	95.8%	83.3%
Paraguay	Trinidad	2000	71.4%	83.6%	58.9%
Paraguay	Trinidad	2017	91.3%	96.4%	84.5%
Paraguay	Unión	2000	75.1%	83.4%	63.8%
Paraguay	Unión	2017	93.7%	96.4%	89.0%
Paraguay	Valenzuela	2000	74.7%	90.7%	55.2%
Paraguay	Valenzuela	2017	93.0%	98.5%	82.0%
Paraguay	Villa del Rosario	2000	77.5%	83.9%	70.7%
Paraguay	Villa del Rosario	2017	94.7%	96.8%	91.4%
Paraguay	Villa Elisa	2000	96.5%	98.9%	92.5%
Paraguay	Villa Elisa	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%
Paraguay	Villa Florida	2000	79.6%	94.0%	60.1%
Paraguay	Villa Florida	2017	94.9%	99.2%	85.4%
Paraguay	Villa Franca	2000	70.1%	81.9%	54.8%
Paraguay	Villa Franca	2017	91.8%	96.3%	84.2%
Paraguay	Villa Hayes	2000	84.9%	87.4%	81.3%
Paraguay	Villa Hayes	2017	96.4%	97.3%	94.8%
Paraguay	Villa Oliva	2000	74.2%	82.8%	65.1%
Paraguay	Villa Oliva	2017	92.6%	96.3%	87.5%
Paraguay	Villa San Isidro Curguaty	2000	73.1%	77.7%	69.2%
Paraguay	Villa San Isidro Curguaty	2017	92.3%	94.1%	90.4%
Paraguay	Villa Ygatimí	2000	67.6%	74.3%	60.9%
Paraguay	Villa Ygatimí	2017	90.1%	93.7%	85.7%
Paraguay	Villalbín	2000	73.4%	86.1%	59.3%
Paraguay	Villalbín	2017	92.7%	97.1%	85.9%
Paraguay	Villarrica	2000	78.7%	90.7%	60.8%
Paraguay	Villarrica	2017	94.9%	98.7%	85.4%
Paraguay	Villeta	2000	89.9%	95.6%	82.1%
Paraguay	Villeta	2017	97.7%	99.2%	95.3%
Paraguay	Water body	2000	96.2%	99.4%	85.9%
Paraguay	Water body	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.7%
Paraguay	Yabebyry	2000	77.4%	85.5%	65.8%
Paraguay	Yabebyry	2017	94.1%	97.0%	89.1%
Paraguay	Yaguarón	2000	72.2%	81.5%	60.1%
Paraguay	Yaguarón	2017	92.8%	96.1%	86.8%
Paraguay	Yataity del Guairá	2000	79.6%	95.3%	60.2%
Paraguay	Yataity del Guairá	2017	94.9%	99.4%	84.8%
Paraguay	Yataity del Norte	2000	78.4%	85.2%	71.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Yataity del Norte	2017	95.3%	97.3%	92.7%
Paraguay	Yatytay	2000	73.7%	82.9%	62.7%
Paraguay	Yatytay	2017	92.6%	95.8%	87.7%
Paraguay	Yby Yaù	2000	75.2%	80.8%	69.1%
Paraguay	Yby Yaù	2017	94.1%	96.1%	91.3%
Paraguay	Ybycui	2000	78.2%	86.9%	67.9%
Paraguay	Ybycui	2017	95.0%	97.9%	89.7%
Paraguay	Ybytimí	2000	72.9%	84.0%	60.1%
Paraguay	Ybytimí	2017	92.6%	96.9%	85.5%
Paraguay	Yguazú	2000	73.1%	83.5%	59.7%
Paraguay	Yguazú	2017	91.8%	96.2%	84.9%
Paraguay	Yhú	2000	68.4%	74.2%	62.5%
Paraguay	Yhú	2017	90.6%	93.2%	87.7%
Paraguay	Ypacaraí	2000	96.2%	98.9%	90.8%
Paraguay	Ypacaraí	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Paraguay	Ypané	2000	98.9%	99.6%	97.2%
Paraguay	Ypané	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Paraguay	Ypejhú	2000	65.8%	75.7%	56.7%
Paraguay	Ypejhú	2017	89.0%	93.6%	83.3%
Paraguay	Yuty	2000	66.1%	73.1%	57.4%
Paraguay	Yuty	2017	88.8%	92.5%	84.7%
Peru	Abancay	2000	76.0%	83.4%	65.5%
Peru	Abancay	2017	92.1%	94.8%	88.9%
Peru	Acobamba	2000	62.6%	67.7%	57.5%
Peru	Acobamba	2017	87.9%	90.1%	85.5%
Peru	Acomayo	2000	65.1%	83.6%	44.8%
Peru	Acomayo	2017	85.9%	95.3%	70.3%
Peru	Aija	2000	72.4%	90.1%	46.7%
Peru	Aija	2017	89.1%	98.2%	72.3%
Peru	Alto Amazonas	2000	35.2%	40.6%	29.4%
Peru	Alto Amazonas	2017	67.7%	71.2%	64.1%
Peru	Ambo	2000	53.7%	61.8%	46.6%
Peru	Ambo	2017	79.1%	84.6%	73.4%
Peru	Andahuaylas	2000	79.2%	83.2%	74.6%
Peru	Andahuaylas	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.2%
Peru	Angaraes	2000	77.9%	83.0%	72.1%
Peru	Angaraes	2017	91.1%	94.7%	82.7%
Peru	Anta	2000	73.3%	82.1%	63.2%
Peru	Anta	2017	89.7%	94.2%	84.5%
Peru	Antabamba	2000	54.9%	76.4%	39.3%
Peru	Antabamba	2017	76.6%	86.7%	65.4%
Peru	Antonio Raymondi	2000	74.3%	88.0%	55.4%
Peru	Antonio Raymondi	2017	90.1%	96.8%	76.2%
Peru	Arequipa	2000	91.8%	92.5%	90.9%
Peru	Arequipa	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Peru	Ascope	2000	70.3%	79.8%	58.3%
Peru	Ascope	2017	93.1%	96.2%	88.7%
Peru	Asunción	2000	70.8%	87.1%	49.9%
Peru	Asunción	2017	87.7%	96.9%	71.7%
Peru	Atalaya	2000	44.0%	51.8%	35.0%
Peru	Atalaya	2017	69.5%	74.9%	63.9%
Peru	Ayabaca	2000	58.7%	69.9%	47.4%
Peru	Ayabaca	2017	80.7%	87.8%	72.1%
Peru	Aymaraes	2000	61.9%	75.0%	50.9%
Peru	Aymaraes	2017	82.0%	89.8%	72.8%
Peru	Azángaro	2000	46.0%	54.9%	38.4%
Peru	Azángaro	2017	74.5%	79.5%	69.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Bagua	2000	59.2%	64.3%	53.8%
Peru	Bagua	2017	79.1%	83.5%	74.3%
Peru	Barranca	2000	77.7%	82.2%	70.8%
Peru	Barranca	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.3%
Peru	Bellavista	2000	43.0%	52.9%	34.6%
Peru	Bellavista	2017	79.4%	85.7%	72.5%
Peru	Bolívar	2000	60.7%	90.9%	26.0%
Peru	Bolívar	2017	77.3%	96.2%	53.8%
Peru	Bolognesi	2000	58.3%	74.5%	41.0%
Peru	Bolognesi	2017	78.3%	88.0%	65.5%
Peru	Bongará	2000	68.1%	77.7%	57.8%
Peru	Bongará	2017	87.0%	92.3%	80.9%
Peru	Cajabamba	2000	47.3%	65.0%	31.3%
Peru	Cajabamba	2017	77.2%	87.0%	66.1%
Peru	Cajamarca	2000	76.5%	84.0%	67.0%
Peru	Cajamarca	2017	94.1%	96.7%	89.1%
Peru	Cajatambo	2000	51.0%	81.7%	18.8%
Peru	Cajatambo	2017	73.1%	92.3%	50.9%
Peru	Calca	2000	57.9%	67.8%	47.6%
Peru	Calca	2017	78.4%	85.6%	70.1%
Peru	Callao	2000	90.0%	94.3%	79.8%
Peru	Callao	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Peru	Camaná	2000	81.1%	91.5%	64.9%
Peru	Camaná	2017	94.3%	98.2%	89.0%
Peru	Canas	2000	64.4%	77.1%	50.9%
Peru	Canas	2017	85.6%	92.4%	77.4%
Peru	Canchis	2000	73.2%	81.4%	65.2%
Peru	Canchis	2017	90.5%	94.3%	85.9%
Peru	Candarave	2000	73.7%	88.0%	53.6%
Peru	Candarave	2017	90.8%	96.9%	80.4%
Peru	Cañete	2000	78.1%	83.1%	73.0%
Peru	Cañete	2017	94.3%	97.0%	91.0%
Peru	Cangallo	2000	71.7%	82.9%	58.0%
Peru	Cangallo	2017	90.3%	95.1%	82.2%
Peru	Canta	2000	70.9%	82.3%	55.2%
Peru	Canta	2017	91.5%	96.1%	83.5%
Peru	Carabaya	2000	53.8%	69.4%	39.9%
Peru	Carabaya	2017	75.2%	85.2%	66.3%
Peru	Caravelí	2000	54.6%	66.7%	43.2%
Peru	Caravelí	2017	86.0%	91.2%	79.8%
Peru	Carhuaz	2000	80.2%	88.4%	68.9%
Peru	Carhuaz	2017	93.3%	96.8%	88.2%
Peru	Carlos Fermin Fitzcarrald	2000	62.1%	74.4%	47.8%
Peru	Carlos Fermin Fitzcarrald	2017	82.1%	89.7%	70.1%
Peru	Casma	2000	79.5%	88.9%	68.0%
Peru	Casma	2017	95.6%	99.1%	90.6%
Peru	Castilla	2000	66.8%	80.8%	52.6%
Peru	Castilla	2017	90.2%	95.1%	82.0%
Peru	Castrovirreyna	2000	56.8%	70.6%	44.3%
Peru	Castrovirreyna	2017	80.6%	88.4%	72.3%
Peru	Caylloma	2000	61.2%	72.9%	48.9%
Peru	Caylloma	2017	84.6%	90.0%	77.4%
Peru	Celendín	2000	66.0%	78.4%	53.0%
Peru	Celendín	2017	87.7%	94.3%	78.2%
Peru	Chachapoyas	2000	66.6%	74.7%	57.3%
Peru	Chachapoyas	2017	88.6%	93.1%	84.1%
Peru	Chanchamayo	2000	68.2%	76.7%	60.2%
Peru	Chanchamayo	2017	89.6%	93.8%	84.3%
Peru	Chepén	2000	72.8%	81.2%	62.4%
Peru	Chepén	2017	93.6%	96.8%	88.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Chiclayo	2000	83.2%	84.4%	81.8%
Peru	Chiclayo	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Peru	Chincha	2000	81.1%	83.7%	78.7%
Peru	Chincha	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Peru	Chincheros	2000	74.3%	80.7%	67.8%
Peru	Chincheros	2017	90.2%	93.4%	85.8%
Peru	Chota	2000	54.7%	61.8%	46.8%
Peru	Chota	2017	83.6%	87.6%	78.6%
Peru	Chucúto	2000	55.4%	66.7%	46.2%
Peru	Chucúto	2017	75.0%	84.2%	65.0%
Peru	Chumbivilcas	2000	66.7%	75.7%	53.3%
Peru	Chumbivilcas	2017	86.6%	91.5%	79.9%
Peru	Chupaca	2000	78.1%	82.3%	73.5%
Peru	Chupaca	2017	94.0%	95.7%	92.0%
Peru	Churcampa	2000	65.6%	70.2%	59.9%
Peru	Churcampa	2017	86.9%	89.5%	83.8%
Peru	Concepción	2000	72.1%	83.9%	61.6%
Peru	Concepción	2017	88.3%	94.7%	81.2%
Peru	Condesuyos	2000	63.1%	80.3%	42.1%
Peru	Condesuyos	2017	83.9%	93.8%	69.8%
Peru	Condorcanqui	2000	45.9%	56.7%	34.3%
Peru	Condorcanqui	2017	65.6%	73.4%	56.7%
Peru	Contralmirante Villar	2000	65.4%	78.8%	51.5%
Peru	Contralmirante Villar	2017	95.1%	98.0%	90.8%
Peru	Contumazá	2000	59.0%	76.3%	41.9%
Peru	Contumazá	2017	83.1%	92.3%	71.5%
Peru	Coronel Portillo	2000	45.7%	49.6%	42.0%
Peru	Coronel Portillo	2017	88.7%	91.2%	84.6%
Peru	Corongo	2000	65.4%	82.6%	47.2%
Peru	Corongo	2017	83.9%	95.2%	69.0%
Peru	Cotabambas	2000	64.8%	73.7%	54.7%
Peru	Cotabambas	2017	86.7%	92.6%	77.7%
Peru	Cusco	2000	84.0%	89.1%	78.9%
Peru	Cusco	2017	95.1%	98.0%	88.8%
Peru	Cutervo	2000	66.8%	75.5%	57.2%
Peru	Cutervo	2017	87.6%	92.3%	81.9%
Peru	Daniel Alcides Carrión	2000	60.3%	67.4%	52.3%
Peru	Daniel Alcides Carrión	2017	84.1%	88.8%	78.6%
Peru	Dos de Mayo	2000	47.5%	57.2%	39.4%
Peru	Dos de Mayo	2017	74.2%	82.8%	64.8%
Peru	El Collao	2000	52.1%	58.5%	46.3%
Peru	El Collao	2017	81.1%	85.0%	77.5%
Peru	El Dorado	2000	64.0%	73.1%	55.0%
Peru	El Dorado	2017	88.5%	93.2%	82.9%
Peru	Espinar	2000	47.9%	61.2%	37.3%
Peru	Espinar	2017	76.5%	84.8%	67.0%
Peru	Ferreñafe	2000	70.1%	80.3%	61.1%
Peru	Ferreñafe	2017	91.2%	94.9%	86.2%
Peru	General Sánchez Cerro	2000	72.5%	79.8%	64.6%
Peru	General Sánchez Cerro	2017	89.6%	93.4%	85.1%
Peru	Gran Chimú	2000	62.4%	81.7%	38.5%
Peru	Gran Chimú	2017	83.4%	93.3%	70.8%
Peru	Grau	2000	65.0%	75.0%	52.1%
Peru	Grau	2017	81.1%	88.0%	71.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Huacaybamba	2000	52.9%	76.3%	24.6%
Peru	Huacaybamba	2017	74.2%	89.5%	53.5%
Peru	Hualgayoc	2000	63.3%	75.8%	49.5%
Peru	Hualgayoc	2017	87.3%	95.2%	76.9%
Peru	Huallaga	2000	50.4%	65.3%	36.5%
Peru	Huallaga	2017	85.6%	92.5%	76.4%
Peru	Huamalíes	2000	47.8%	55.4%	41.2%
Peru	Huamalíes	2017	74.5%	80.7%	67.9%
Peru	Huamanga	2000	77.7%	79.5%	75.9%
Peru	Huamanga	2017	93.0%	94.1%	91.9%
Peru	Huanca Sancos	2000	66.2%	80.0%	51.9%
Peru	Huanca Sancos	2017	84.4%	93.2%	74.6%
Peru	Huancabamba	2000	57.9%	72.2%	40.6%
Peru	Huancabamba	2017	77.0%	86.8%	64.5%
Peru	Huancane	2000	42.6%	53.5%	32.9%
Peru	Huancane	2017	74.3%	81.3%	67.7%
Peru	Huancavelica	2000	73.5%	77.6%	69.1%
Peru	Huancavelica	2017	90.2%	92.4%	87.4%
Peru	Huancayo	2000	86.2%	88.0%	84.5%
Peru	Huancayo	2017	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Peru	Huanta	2000	74.2%	83.5%	64.1%
Peru	Huanta	2017	90.0%	93.8%	85.8%
Peru	Huaral	2000	74.4%	78.2%	69.5%
Peru	Huaral	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.8%
Peru	Huaraz	2000	83.7%	88.1%	77.9%
Peru	Huaraz	2017	96.1%	97.8%	93.2%
Peru	Huari	2000	69.6%	81.2%	58.8%
Peru	Huari	2017	88.1%	94.5%	78.5%
Peru	Huarmey	2000	64.8%	84.8%	44.5%
Peru	Huarmey	2017	91.1%	96.8%	83.5%
Peru	Huarochari	2000	63.0%	73.5%	51.4%
Peru	Huarochari	2017	88.3%	93.3%	81.2%
Peru	Huaura	2000	74.3%	80.1%	68.3%
Peru	Huaura	2017	94.9%	96.9%	92.9%
Peru	Huaylas	2000	69.7%	80.8%	60.5%
Peru	Huaylas	2017	86.9%	93.3%	79.2%
Peru	Huaytara	2000	55.0%	66.0%	41.8%
Peru	Huaytara	2017	79.9%	86.8%	71.8%
Peru	Huenuco	2000	61.9%	65.3%	58.7%
Peru	Huenuco	2017	86.9%	88.7%	84.9%
Peru	Ica	2000	81.0%	84.7%	76.2%
Peru	Ica	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
Peru	Ilo	2000	88.2%	94.9%	78.6%
Peru	Ilo	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.5%
Peru	Islay	2000	70.9%	83.9%	54.1%
Peru	Islay	2017	93.0%	97.6%	85.6%
Peru	Jaén	2000	67.1%	75.0%	58.5%
Peru	Jaén	2017	87.2%	91.2%	82.8%
Peru	Jauja	2000	70.3%	76.1%	61.8%
Peru	Jauja	2017	88.2%	91.9%	83.0%
Peru	Jorge Basadre	2000	60.8%	86.0%	37.1%
Peru	Jorge Basadre	2017	88.8%	97.0%	75.2%
Peru	Julcan	2000	56.6%	70.7%	43.1%
Peru	Julcan	2017	83.9%	90.3%	76.4%
Peru	Junín	2000	64.8%	78.5%	53.6%
Peru	Junín	2017	84.5%	91.4%	77.4%
Peru	La Convención	2000	65.8%	72.2%	59.9%
Peru	La Convención	2017	82.9%	88.0%	77.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	La Mar	2000	65.9%	74.2%	56.5%
Peru	La Mar	2017	86.0%	90.8%	80.7%
Peru	La Unión	2000	59.8%	74.7%	43.7%
Peru	La Unión	2017	81.4%	90.3%	70.3%
Peru	Lago Titicaca	2000	60.5%	68.1%	52.9%
Peru	Lago Titicaca	2017	83.9%	88.2%	78.8%
Peru	Lamas	2000	48.3%	55.2%	41.0%
Peru	Lamas	2017	82.7%	86.7%	78.2%
Peru	Lambayeque	2000	69.4%	75.2%	62.8%
Peru	Lambayeque	2017	91.7%	93.7%	89.3%
Peru	Lampa	2000	41.9%	56.5%	28.5%
Peru	Lampa	2017	70.2%	81.2%	59.4%
Peru	Lauricocha	2000	54.8%	66.6%	43.6%
Peru	Lauricocha	2017	81.2%	88.9%	73.7%
Peru	Leoncio Prado	2000	42.4%	49.2%	35.4%
Peru	Leoncio Prado	2017	78.2%	84.5%	71.6%
Peru	Lima	2000	90.2%	91.0%	89.2%
Peru	Lima	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Peru	Loreto	2000	34.0%	42.0%	26.5%
Peru	Loreto	2017	64.8%	70.2%	59.6%
Peru	Lucanas	2000	68.1%	77.1%	58.2%
Peru	Lucanas	2017	85.8%	91.3%	79.3%
Peru	Luya	2000	69.7%	77.9%	60.7%
Peru	Luya	2017	87.1%	92.0%	81.2%
Peru	Manu	2000	44.4%	53.6%	35.0%
Peru	Manu	2017	75.7%	80.8%	68.7%
Peru	Marañón	2000	57.1%	71.1%	39.3%
Peru	Marañón	2017	78.4%	86.5%	67.4%
Peru	Mariscal Cáceres	2000	54.1%	63.9%	44.2%
Peru	Mariscal Cáceres	2017	86.2%	90.6%	81.3%
Peru	Mariscal Luzuriaga	2000	55.7%	67.6%	42.4%
Peru	Mariscal Luzuriaga	2017	78.8%	86.8%	70.8%
Peru	Mariscal Nieto	2000	82.8%	86.2%	78.1%
Peru	Mariscal Nieto	2017	95.6%	97.2%	93.3%
Peru	Mariscal Ramón Castilla	2000	34.0%	42.3%	25.5%
Peru	Mariscal Ramón Castilla	2017	62.9%	68.8%	56.2%
Peru	Maynas	2000	39.4%	52.6%	29.9%
Peru	Maynas	2017	71.5%	79.3%	63.3%
Peru	Melgar	2000	54.2%	65.8%	44.0%
Peru	Melgar	2017	77.0%	84.2%	69.7%
Peru	Moho	2000	50.2%	71.3%	27.9%
Peru	Moho	2017	75.7%	88.4%	56.2%
Peru	Morropón	2000	71.3%	80.0%	61.7%
Peru	Morropón	2017	93.0%	96.3%	88.3%
Peru	Moyobamba	2000	58.4%	66.5%	47.5%
Peru	Moyobamba	2017	88.0%	91.4%	83.8%
Peru	Nazca	2000	61.3%	68.7%	54.2%
Peru	Nazca	2017	93.8%	96.4%	90.8%
Peru	Ocros	2000	62.9%	85.3%	40.4%
Peru	Ocros	2017	83.4%	95.2%	63.3%
Peru	Otuzco	2000	61.5%	72.3%	50.7%
Peru	Otuzco	2017	82.0%	88.6%	73.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Oxapampa	2000	60.0%	66.6%	54.3%
Peru	Oxapampa	2017	77.4%	81.8%	73.0%
Peru	Oyon	2000	68.9%	85.8%	48.9%
Peru	Oyon	2017	88.2%	95.7%	78.1%
Peru	Pacasmayo	2000	68.5%	77.5%	59.3%
Peru	Pacasmayo	2017	94.6%	96.5%	91.8%
Peru	Pachitea	2000	43.2%	54.2%	34.3%
Peru	Pachitea	2017	68.6%	75.6%	61.7%
Peru	Padre Abad	2000	44.3%	55.8%	35.2%
Peru	Padre Abad	2017	76.6%	83.9%	69.2%
Peru	Paita	2000	63.8%	76.9%	48.4%
Peru	Paita	2017	93.2%	97.4%	87.9%
Peru	Pallasca	2000	66.7%	81.7%	48.7%
Peru	Pallasca	2017	82.0%	92.6%	68.7%
Peru	Palpa	2000	79.8%	88.9%	68.4%
Peru	Palpa	2017	96.5%	99.0%	92.4%
Peru	Parinacochas	2000	67.6%	81.7%	51.4%
Peru	Parinacochas	2017	82.6%	92.5%	71.3%
Peru	Paruro	2000	70.9%	84.2%	53.3%
Peru	Paruro	2017	86.6%	94.5%	75.0%
Peru	Pasco	2000	65.0%	68.4%	61.7%
Peru	Pasco	2017	87.1%	89.5%	84.5%
Peru	Pataz	2000	62.8%	77.2%	47.8%
Peru	Pataz	2017	82.8%	90.8%	72.2%
Peru	Paucar del Sara Sara	2000	63.5%	76.6%	49.9%
Peru	Paucar del Sara Sara	2017	84.7%	91.6%	76.3%
Peru	Paucartambo	2000	65.3%	78.0%	51.9%
Peru	Paucartambo	2017	86.1%	92.4%	76.9%
Peru	Picota	2000	54.7%	63.8%	44.1%
Peru	Picota	2017	85.6%	90.6%	77.9%
Peru	Pisco	2000	82.1%	87.3%	73.6%
Peru	Pisco	2017	97.9%	98.7%	96.6%
Peru	Piura	2000	66.5%	71.8%	61.7%
Peru	Piura	2017	90.4%	91.8%	89.0%
Peru	Pomabamba	2000	63.7%	77.4%	48.5%
Peru	Pomabamba	2017	82.7%	90.9%	72.6%
Peru	Puerto Inca	2000	41.8%	55.7%	29.2%
Peru	Puerto Inca	2017	69.3%	78.5%	60.1%
Peru	Puno	2000	55.0%	60.1%	49.0%
Peru	Puno	2017	79.9%	84.6%	74.5%
Peru	Purús	2000	48.2%	91.1%	7.9%
Peru	Purús	2017	67.4%	90.5%	40.2%
Peru	Quispicanchi	2000	63.7%	75.7%	48.8%
Peru	Quispicanchi	2017	84.3%	90.5%	75.7%
Peru	Recuay	2000	67.8%	86.6%	42.2%
Peru	Recuay	2017	85.4%	95.1%	69.1%
Peru	Requena	2000	36.5%	48.0%	27.1%
Peru	Requena	2017	65.6%	73.0%	59.1%
Peru	Rioja	2000	52.8%	56.4%	49.4%
Peru	Rioja	2017	85.7%	88.9%	82.8%
Peru	Rodríguez de Mendoza	2000	65.9%	72.0%	58.8%
Peru	Rodríguez de Mendoza	2017	84.0%	89.3%	78.0%
Peru	San Antonio de Putina	2000	59.3%	73.8%	43.1%
Peru	San Antonio de Putina	2017	85.2%	91.8%	76.0%
Peru	San Ignacio	2000	65.0%	74.6%	52.3%
Peru	San Ignacio	2017	82.4%	89.0%	74.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	San Marcos	2000	64.2%	74.5%	51.2%
Peru	San Marcos	2017	87.0%	92.7%	79.4%
Peru	San Martín	2000	61.6%	65.4%	57.9%
Peru	San Martín	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Peru	San Miguel	2000	57.7%	70.4%	44.0%
Peru	San Miguel	2017	81.6%	89.3%	71.6%
Peru	San Pablo	2000	68.8%	80.3%	54.6%
Peru	San Pablo	2017	91.3%	96.1%	83.8%
Peru	San Román	2000	54.3%	67.5%	44.4%
Peru	San Román	2017	81.9%	88.2%	72.4%
Peru	Sánchez Carrión	2000	66.6%	75.6%	57.7%
Peru	Sánchez Carrión	2017	87.6%	92.4%	80.5%
Peru	Sandia	2000	48.2%	62.5%	35.0%
Peru	Sandia	2017	67.6%	78.1%	57.8%
Peru	Santa	2000	80.4%	86.9%	73.4%
Peru	Santa	2017	97.4%	98.4%	95.9%
Peru	Santa Cruz	2000	54.6%	65.4%	40.2%
Peru	Santa Cruz	2017	84.4%	91.0%	75.5%
Peru	Santiago de Chuco	2000	59.3%	71.1%	45.4%
Peru	Santiago de Chuco	2017	79.8%	89.5%	69.0%
Peru	Satipo	2000	62.5%	68.6%	55.2%
Peru	Satipo	2017	81.8%	86.6%	76.7%
Peru	Sechura	2000	64.8%	79.7%	46.9%
Peru	Sechura	2017	91.0%	95.5%	84.9%
Peru	Sihuas	2000	66.3%	83.9%	38.8%
Peru	Sihuas	2017	83.8%	93.4%	67.7%
Peru	Sucre	2000	53.9%	77.6%	32.3%
Peru	Sucre	2017	75.4%	90.3%	56.9%
Peru	Sullana	2000	61.4%	66.4%	56.6%
Peru	Sullana	2017	89.0%	91.9%	85.8%
Peru	Tacna	2000	87.4%	90.9%	82.8%
Peru	Tacna	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.1%
Peru	Tahuamanu	2000	41.4%	54.6%	29.5%
Peru	Tahuamanu	2017	74.2%	82.2%	66.1%
Peru	Talara	2000	70.6%	80.2%	59.6%
Peru	Talara	2017	95.9%	98.2%	92.0%
Peru	Tambopata	2000	54.0%	57.8%	50.4%
Peru	Tambopata	2017	87.3%	89.0%	85.5%
Peru	Tarata	2000	67.5%	85.3%	46.8%
Peru	Tarata	2017	87.8%	95.8%	77.2%
Peru	Tarma	2000	73.7%	83.7%	63.3%
Peru	Tarma	2017	89.4%	94.9%	83.2%
Peru	Tayacaja	2000	60.0%	66.0%	53.7%
Peru	Tayacaja	2017	82.1%	86.2%	77.1%
Peru	Tocache	2000	37.4%	46.5%	29.0%
Peru	Tocache	2017	73.4%	79.2%	67.2%
Peru	Trujillo	2000	78.1%	79.9%	76.0%
Peru	Trujillo	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Peru	Tumbes	2000	74.6%	78.8%	70.7%
Peru	Tumbes	2017	98.0%	98.8%	96.8%
Peru	Ucayali	2000	39.4%	48.6%	31.7%
Peru	Ucayali	2017	65.5%	72.2%	60.0%
Peru	Urubamba	2000	71.4%	78.2%	63.9%
Peru	Urubamba	2017	89.8%	93.5%	85.2%
Peru	Utcubamba	2000	62.9%	67.5%	57.7%
Peru	Utcubamba	2017	83.8%	87.2%	80.0%
Peru	Victor Fajardo	2000	67.0%	78.0%	55.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Victor Fa-jardo	2017	87.8%	92.4%	81.6%
Peru	Vilcas Huamán	2000	58.6%	70.9%	44.7%
Peru	Vilcas Huamán	2017	80.9%	88.3%	71.6%
Peru	Viru	2000	59.0%	73.0%	45.5%
Peru	Viru	2017	86.2%	94.2%	78.6%
Peru	Yarowilca	2000	66.8%	73.1%	56.1%
Peru	Yarowilca	2017	90.2%	93.0%	84.9%
Peru	Yauli	2000	77.8%	88.2%	63.5%
Peru	Yauli	2017	91.8%	96.4%	84.3%
Peru	Yauyos	2000	54.1%	76.6%	31.4%
Peru	Yauyos	2017	78.5%	90.2%	63.2%
Peru	Yungay	2000	74.0%	82.2%	65.6%
Peru	Yungay	2017	90.3%	94.1%	85.1%
Peru	Yunguyo	2000	79.8%	83.8%	74.8%
Peru	Yunguyo	2017	94.8%	96.4%	92.8%
Peru	Zarumilla	2000	71.4%	79.3%	63.1%
Peru	Zarumilla	2017	98.3%	99.3%	96.8%
North Africa and Middle East					
Afghanistan	Ab Band	2000	11.6%	32.0%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Ab Band	2017	60.1%	82.0%	31.6%
Afghanistan	Ab Kamari	2000	14.7%	34.1%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Ab Kamari	2017	63.6%	81.4%	40.0%
Afghanistan	Achin	2000	70.7%	86.1%	53.4%
Afghanistan	Achin	2017	90.4%	99.0%	76.8%
Afghanistan	Adraskan	2000	14.5%	24.8%	8.2%
Afghanistan	Adraskan	2017	61.2%	72.4%	49.4%
Afghanistan	Ajristan	2000	15.2%	33.4%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Ajristan	2017	63.2%	84.6%	40.6%
Afghanistan	Alasay	2000	11.4%	57.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Alasay	2017	54.9%	95.6%	8.0%
Afghanistan	Ali abad	2000	11.8%	31.2%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Ali abad	2017	64.4%	84.4%	40.0%
Afghanistan	Alingar	2000	9.4%	35.9%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Alingar	2017	61.3%	86.4%	31.7%
Afghanistan	Alishing	2000	15.0%	56.4%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Alishing	2017	61.4%	92.6%	24.7%
Afghanistan	Almar	2000	15.7%	47.6%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Almar	2017	61.6%	86.7%	35.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Anar Dara	2000	13.4%	20.0%	8.0%
Afghanistan	Anar Dara	2017	59.8%	69.8%	49.7%
Afghanistan	Andar	2000	5.7%	18.9%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Andar	2017	55.4%	72.8%	35.4%
Afghanistan	Andarab	2000	9.1%	21.4%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Andarab	2017	52.3%	71.7%	31.0%
Afghanistan	Andkhoy	2000	16.9%	27.3%	9.7%
Afghanistan	Andkhoy	2017	63.8%	76.9%	50.1%
Afghanistan	Aqcha	2000	16.8%	26.4%	11.2%
Afghanistan	Aqcha	2017	74.6%	81.5%	66.5%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2000	4.1%	18.4%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2000	20.6%	38.0%	7.9%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2017	69.9%	84.5%	54.3%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2017	46.0%	68.5%	24.5%
Afghanistan	Arghistan	2000	14.7%	32.4%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Arghistan	2017	65.9%	80.8%	50.9%
Afghanistan	Asad abad	2000	11.9%	18.4%	6.6%
Afghanistan	Asad abad	2017	58.4%	66.5%	48.9%
Afghanistan	Atghar	2000	9.7%	26.4%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Atghar	2017	53.3%	75.6%	25.7%
Afghanistan	Aybak	2000	7.5%	18.8%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Aybak	2017	43.1%	65.9%	22.8%
Afghanistan	Azro	2000	14.2%	36.7%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Azro	2017	53.5%	76.9%	24.3%
Afghanistan	Baghlan City	2000	51.9%	61.6%	41.1%
Afghanistan	Baghlan City	2017	87.3%	92.2%	82.5%
Afghanistan	Baghlani Ja- did	2000	19.9%	36.6%	8.4%
Afghanistan	Baghlani Ja- did	2017	55.5%	78.7%	34.9%
Afghanistan	Bagram	2000	3.8%	9.8%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Bagram	2017	43.9%	64.9%	29.6%
Afghanistan	Bagrami	2000	4.1%	10.6%	1.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Bagrami	2017	83.5%	92.9%	70.8%
Afghanistan	Baharak	2000	21.6%	34.6%	12.0%
Afghanistan	Baharak	2017	70.2%	84.3%	54.1%
Afghanistan	Bak	2000	24.4%	50.3%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Bak	2017	77.4%	96.9%	47.3%
Afghanistan	Bakwa	2000	10.1%	21.6%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Bakwa	2017	61.7%	75.2%	48.3%
Afghanistan	Bala Buluk	2000	8.4%	23.2%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Bala Buluk	2017	58.9%	74.6%	39.6%
Afghanistan	Balkh	2000	2.5%	9.3%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Balkh	2017	59.2%	82.6%	44.1%
Afghanistan	Balkhab	2000	12.4%	24.8%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Balkhab	2017	55.2%	71.2%	38.4%
Afghanistan	Bamyan City	2000	10.9%	31.5%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Bamyan City	2017	65.6%	81.1%	49.8%
Afghanistan	Bangi	2000	7.3%	28.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Bangi	2017	46.7%	73.2%	17.1%
Afghanistan	Bar Kunar	2000	6.0%	22.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Bar Kunar	2017	51.2%	71.6%	29.6%
Afghanistan	Baraki Barak	2000	6.4%	26.6%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Baraki Barak	2017	53.1%	76.0%	33.7%
Afghanistan	Bargi Matal	2000	13.1%	31.1%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Bargi Matal	2017	58.0%	75.9%	39.3%
Afghanistan	Bati Kot	2000	3.5%	36.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bati Kot	2017	40.2%	88.9%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Bilchiragh	2000	10.7%	23.1%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Bilchiragh	2017	59.8%	77.4%	40.7%
Afghanistan	Bughran	2000	14.1%	27.5%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Bughran	2017	63.9%	78.8%	46.6%
Afghanistan	Burka	2000	8.4%	29.6%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Burka	2017	58.2%	85.3%	29.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Burmul	2000	9.5%	26.0%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Burmul	2017	67.3%	85.4%	46.0%
Afghanistan	Chaghcharan	2000	12.2%	21.1%	6.2%
Afghanistan	Chaghcharan	2017	59.3%	68.6%	48.5%
Afghanistan	Chah Ab	2000	5.1%	16.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Chah Ab	2017	52.0%	70.6%	31.7%
Afghanistan	Chahar Asyab	2000	4.2%	22.2%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Chahar Asyab	2017	85.8%	97.6%	53.8%
Afghanistan	Chaharikar	2000	4.7%	11.1%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Chaharikar	2017	56.4%	70.2%	41.7%
Afghanistan	Chak	2000	12.1%	41.4%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Chak	2017	55.1%	84.7%	26.8%
Afghanistan	Chakhansur	2000	13.0%	25.3%	6.1%
Afghanistan	Chakhansur	2017	62.2%	74.4%	49.5%
Afghanistan	Chal	2000	12.8%	42.9%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Chal	2017	56.1%	90.0%	18.7%
Afghanistan	Chamkani	2000	6.0%	15.3%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Chamkani	2017	64.4%	77.6%	50.0%
Afghanistan	Chapa Dara	2000	20.7%	55.2%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Chapa Dara	2017	70.2%	97.4%	30.6%
Afghanistan	Chaparhar	2000	52.1%	83.9%	26.0%
Afghanistan	Chaparhar	2017	92.3%	99.8%	78.7%
Afghanistan	Char Bolak	2000	3.7%	12.3%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Char Bolak	2017	53.1%	69.4%	36.5%
Afghanistan	Char Burjak	2000	10.9%	15.9%	6.7%
Afghanistan	Char Burjak	2017	57.7%	65.4%	49.3%
Afghanistan	Char Dara	2000	14.8%	33.7%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Char Dara	2017	66.1%	86.7%	39.5%
Afghanistan	Charkh	2000	7.2%	18.6%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Charkh	2017	53.6%	72.9%	33.8%
Afghanistan	Charkint	2000	14.9%	38.1%	2.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Charkint	2017	62.1%	86.0%	32.9%
Afghanistan	Chawkay	2000	27.0%	48.2%	9.8%
Afghanistan	Chawkay	2017	87.6%	92.6%	77.4%
Afghanistan	Chimtal	2000	8.0%	19.6%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Chimtal	2017	56.8%	74.6%	36.2%
Afghanistan	Chishti Sharif	2000	15.5%	35.7%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Chishti Sharif	2017	63.4%	83.6%	38.3%
Afghanistan	Chora	2000	13.5%	27.4%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Chora	2017	63.9%	79.3%	45.7%
Afghanistan	Dahana-I-Ghori	2000	16.2%	35.7%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Dahana-I-Ghori	2017	64.8%	86.9%	37.6%
Afghanistan	Daman	2000	19.2%	29.9%	8.5%
Afghanistan	Daman	2017	59.6%	76.1%	50.0%
Afghanistan	Dand Patan	2000	10.9%	26.3%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Dand Patan	2017	60.8%	90.0%	33.9%
Afghanistan	Dangam	2000	10.8%	45.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dangam	2017	47.6%	79.8%	11.2%
Afghanistan	Dara-I-Nur	2000	5.3%	33.7%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Dara-I-Nur	2017	58.7%	91.3%	23.6%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Pech	2000	13.9%	32.3%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Pech	2017	58.9%	75.1%	40.4%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Suf	2000	16.2%	28.7%	6.4%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Suf	2017	62.0%	74.8%	47.0%
Afghanistan	Darqad	2000	14.1%	44.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Darqad	2017	66.1%	93.7%	27.8%
Afghanistan	Darwaz	2000	11.2%	26.4%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Darwaz	2017	54.9%	73.5%	39.3%
Afghanistan	Darzab	2000	22.5%	45.2%	9.9%
Afghanistan	Darzab	2017	77.8%	88.7%	65.2%
Afghanistan	Dashti Archi	2000	14.0%	30.2%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Dashti Archi	2017	65.2%	81.5%	45.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2000	9.9%	19.2%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2000	11.0%	31.0%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2017	67.9%	79.6%	55.3%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2017	54.2%	78.3%	31.8%
Afghanistan	Dawlat Shah	2000	9.1%	27.8%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Dawlat Shah	2017	65.2%	82.9%	43.4%
Afghanistan	Day Kundi	2000	17.3%	26.1%	10.5%
Afghanistan	Day Kundi	2017	64.9%	74.5%	54.7%
Afghanistan	Daychopan	2000	18.7%	32.8%	7.1%
Afghanistan	Daychopan	2017	68.1%	83.3%	52.8%
Afghanistan	Daymirdad	2000	11.8%	30.5%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Daymirdad	2017	51.9%	79.3%	24.1%
Afghanistan	Dih Bala	2000	31.7%	69.3%	10.1%
Afghanistan	Dih Bala	2017	79.9%	98.6%	51.6%
Afghanistan	Dih Sabz	2000	41.1%	48.3%	36.9%
Afghanistan	Dih Sabz	2017	89.3%	97.7%	77.7%
Afghanistan	Dihdadi	2000	3.3%	12.4%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Dihdadi	2017	79.2%	84.9%	70.7%
Afghanistan	Dihrawud	2000	11.1%	29.5%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Dihrawud	2017	66.2%	86.0%	42.6%
Afghanistan	Dihyak	2000	8.0%	27.5%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Dihyak	2017	61.4%	87.3%	33.3%
Afghanistan	Dila	2000	20.4%	39.5%	8.4%
Afghanistan	Dila	2017	63.0%	85.2%	41.1%
Afghanistan	Disho	2000	12.0%	19.0%	5.7%
Afghanistan	Disho	2017	55.1%	64.7%	44.4%
Afghanistan	Doshi	2000	16.0%	38.2%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Doshi	2017	64.8%	88.1%	37.9%
Afghanistan	Dur Baba	2000	13.1%	47.2%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Dur Baba	2017	65.1%	95.5%	25.6%
Afghanistan	Farah City	2000	3.6%	9.1%	0.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Farah City	2017	60.1%	72.1%	48.4%
Afghanistan	Farkhar	2000	14.4%	36.9%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Farkhar	2017	63.5%	83.0%	38.8%
Afghanistan	Farsi	2000	13.0%	25.2%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Farsi	2017	62.9%	78.6%	47.4%
Afghanistan	Fayz abad	2000	20.3%	39.3%	10.8%
Afghanistan	Fayz abad	2017	68.9%	86.8%	48.8%
Afghanistan	Fayzabad	2000	8.1%	22.3%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Fayzabad	2017	60.9%	77.3%	40.5%
Afghanistan	Gardez	2000	5.4%	19.1%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Gardez	2017	57.6%	83.5%	33.2%
Afghanistan	Garmser	2000	13.3%	26.5%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Garmser	2017	60.2%	70.9%	47.4%
Afghanistan	Gayan	2000	5.6%	20.0%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Gayan	2017	59.2%	76.9%	38.7%
Afghanistan	Gelan	2000	12.9%	31.9%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Gelan	2017	64.4%	83.9%	41.4%
Afghanistan	Ghazni	2000	0.5%	1.9%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Ghazni	2017	51.1%	64.9%	38.9%
Afghanistan	Ghorak	2000	12.8%	29.6%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Ghorak	2017	59.3%	83.4%	35.3%
Afghanistan	Ghorband	2000	25.5%	45.9%	8.7%
Afghanistan	Ghorband	2017	82.4%	93.3%	67.2%
Afghanistan	Ghormach	2000	12.1%	37.0%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Ghormach	2017	59.7%	85.2%	30.9%
Afghanistan	Ghoryan	2000	11.3%	21.3%	5.5%
Afghanistan	Ghoryan	2017	61.9%	75.0%	48.7%
Afghanistan	Giro	2000	15.1%	35.5%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Giro	2017	62.1%	87.0%	31.1%
Afghanistan	Gizab	2000	15.5%	28.5%	6.8%
Afghanistan	Gizab	2017	62.7%	77.5%	47.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Gomal	2000	15.2%	29.3%	6.5%
Afghanistan	Gomal	2017	62.6%	77.3%	47.7%
Afghanistan	Goshta	2000	15.2%	49.5%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Goshta	2017	59.4%	95.4%	16.2%
Afghanistan	Gul dara	2000	4.0%	35.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Gul dara	2017	57.3%	89.9%	12.9%
Afghanistan	Gulistan	2000	12.8%	22.0%	6.5%
Afghanistan	Gulistan	2017	59.9%	73.3%	48.6%
Afghanistan	Gulran	2000	15.9%	26.0%	8.0%
Afghanistan	Gulran	2017	63.0%	74.5%	52.8%
Afghanistan	Gurbuz	2000	13.3%	44.4%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Gurbuz	2017	69.2%	96.3%	33.7%
Afghanistan	Guzara	2000	6.8%	23.6%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Guzara	2017	60.0%	83.7%	34.3%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Imam	2000	9.0%	20.7%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Imam	2017	53.2%	78.0%	29.7%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Sultan	2000	20.7%	41.4%	7.4%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Sultan	2017	77.7%	93.1%	57.3%
Afghanistan	Hirat City	2000	3.4%	9.8%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Hirat City	2017	79.6%	82.2%	76.3%
Afghanistan	Hisa-i-Awali Bihsud	2000	14.3%	41.3%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Hisa-i-Awali Bihsud	2017	54.5%	83.6%	28.3%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Awali Panjsher	2000	17.2%	37.5%	4.6%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Awali Panjsher	2017	64.1%	79.0%	46.9%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Duwum Panjsher	2000	11.9%	40.9%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Duwum Panjsher	2017	61.4%	88.9%	25.4%
Afghanistan	Hisarak	2000	13.1%	49.1%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Hisarak	2017	63.7%	93.5%	27.6%
Afghanistan	Injil	2000	12.1%	23.2%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Injil	2017	76.3%	86.1%	66.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Ishkamish	2000	6.1%	22.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Ishkamish	2017	41.0%	64.3%	17.1%
Afghanistan	Ishkashim	2000	11.5%	29.3%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Ishkashim	2017	59.0%	80.5%	32.6%
Afghanistan	Istalif	2000	10.0%	62.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Istalif	2017	61.2%	98.8%	7.8%
Afghanistan	Jabalussaraj	2000	16.2%	47.2%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Jabalussaraj	2017	74.1%	92.5%	33.9%
Afghanistan	Jadran	2000	10.9%	36.9%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Jadran	2017	56.8%	84.9%	22.7%
Afghanistan	Jaghatu	2000	12.0%	26.2%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Jaghatu	2017	60.6%	77.3%	42.7%
Afghanistan	Jaghuri	2000	25.0%	40.5%	13.1%
Afghanistan	Jaghuri	2017	64.1%	78.7%	46.4%
Afghanistan	Jaji	2000	32.8%	57.2%	17.9%
Afghanistan	Jaji	2017	70.3%	86.5%	48.6%
Afghanistan	Jaji Maydan	2000	8.3%	32.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Jaji Maydan	2017	58.6%	92.1%	28.1%
Afghanistan	Jalal abad	2000	52.6%	57.2%	45.9%
Afghanistan	Jalal abad	2017	87.9%	88.6%	86.7%
Afghanistan	Jalrez	2000	6.7%	17.3%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Jalrez	2017	50.4%	72.4%	29.9%
Afghanistan	Jani Khel	2000	9.7%	25.6%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Jani Khel	2017	69.6%	90.1%	41.7%
Afghanistan	Jawand	2000	17.3%	24.8%	10.7%
Afghanistan	Jawand	2017	61.1%	70.9%	50.9%
Afghanistan	Jurm	2000	15.5%	31.7%	5.6%
Afghanistan	Jurm	2017	67.7%	82.4%	51.0%
Afghanistan	Kabul City	2000	14.8%	17.6%	13.0%
Afghanistan	Kabul City	2017	69.9%	72.3%	64.6%
Afghanistan	Kahmard	2000	13.8%	29.3%	4.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Kahmard	2017	57.1%	73.3%	37.0%
Afghanistan	Kajaki	2000	15.5%	42.5%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Kajaki	2017	59.8%	85.0%	35.0%
Afghanistan	Kalafgan	2000	9.7%	35.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Kalafgan	2017	55.2%	85.5%	26.4%
Afghanistan	Kalakan	2000	8.6%	63.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kalakan	2017	60.9%	97.0%	9.3%
Afghanistan	Kaldar	2000	12.7%	44.5%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Kaldar	2017	53.8%	87.5%	20.7%
Afghanistan	Kama	2000	22.3%	36.9%	13.0%
Afghanistan	Kama	2017	84.3%	97.8%	63.7%
Afghanistan	Kamdesh	2000	13.9%	33.2%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Kamdesh	2017	56.9%	79.2%	32.8%
Afghanistan	Kandahar City	2000	4.2%	8.3%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Kandahar City	2017	44.6%	55.5%	38.3%
Afghanistan	Kang	2000	11.6%	41.2%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Kang	2017	69.9%	91.6%	38.1%
Afghanistan	Karukh	2000	12.8%	30.3%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Karukh	2017	67.0%	86.9%	44.7%
Afghanistan	Khaki Jabar	2000	17.8%	50.3%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Khaki Jabar	2017	66.3%	92.6%	30.1%
Afghanistan	Khaki Safed	2000	9.6%	24.4%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Khaki Safed	2017	59.4%	79.8%	40.2%
Afghanistan	Khakrez	2000	16.5%	35.3%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Khakrez	2017	65.9%	87.1%	42.1%
Afghanistan	Khamyab	2000	11.1%	35.7%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Khamyab	2017	53.9%	85.1%	17.1%
Afghanistan	Khan Abad	2000	17.6%	39.5%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Khan Abad	2017	71.9%	88.8%	45.2%
Afghanistan	Khan Char Bagh	2000	6.5%	19.1%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Khan Char Bagh	2017	42.9%	67.1%	19.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Khas Kunar	2000	34.9%	69.3%	8.3%
Afghanistan	Khas Kunar	2017	83.7%	98.9%	57.3%
Afghanistan	Khas Uruzgan	2000	10.0%	19.3%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Khas Uruzgan	2017	53.7%	70.8%	34.9%
Afghanistan	Khash Rod	2000	12.6%	28.4%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Khash Rod	2017	59.1%	72.4%	45.7%
Afghanistan	Khinjan	2000	16.0%	43.3%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Khinjan	2017	65.5%	92.0%	28.9%
Afghanistan	Khogyani	2000	16.0%	51.2%	5.7%
Afghanistan	Khogyani	2017	60.9%	95.3%	22.5%
Afghanistan	Khost (Matun)	2000	3.4%	11.9%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Khost (Matun)	2017	59.1%	85.9%	40.2%
Afghanistan	Khost Wa Firing	2000	10.6%	24.2%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Khost Wa Firing	2017	58.3%	74.8%	39.6%
Afghanistan	Khulm	2000	9.4%	18.2%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Khulm	2017	52.7%	70.1%	37.9%
Afghanistan	Khuram Wa Sarbagh	2000	7.8%	17.5%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Khuram Wa Sarbagh	2017	47.0%	64.1%	29.0%
Afghanistan	Khushi	2000	11.4%	41.1%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Khushi	2017	57.6%	92.0%	22.7%
Afghanistan	Khwahan	2000	11.6%	23.6%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Khwahan	2017	56.6%	75.9%	39.0%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Du Koh	2000	14.9%	30.6%	5.8%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Du Koh	2017	66.2%	85.3%	45.0%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Ghar	2000	8.7%	27.6%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Ghar	2017	57.6%	79.4%	32.7%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Sabz Posh	2000	29.3%	39.6%	21.8%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Sabz Posh	2017	71.9%	82.4%	57.4%
Afghanistan	Kijran	2000	14.2%	29.0%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Kijran	2017	57.9%	77.2%	38.7%
Afghanistan	Kishim	2000	5.7%	13.0%	1.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Kishim	2017	56.1%	67.6%	44.4%
Afghanistan	Kishindih	2000	12.8%	30.3%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Kishindih	2017	60.8%	81.9%	37.5%
Afghanistan	Kohband	2000	5.4%	24.3%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Kohband	2017	39.3%	58.2%	19.1%
Afghanistan	Kohi Safi	2000	13.0%	33.8%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Kohi Safi	2017	56.8%	82.8%	29.6%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2000	8.2%	36.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2000	16.0%	31.4%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2017	55.7%	69.5%	21.8%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2017	63.6%	81.2%	42.5%
Afghanistan	Kohistanat	2000	13.6%	22.3%	5.9%
Afghanistan	Kohistanat	2017	59.5%	70.4%	46.7%
Afghanistan	Kuhsan	2000	13.1%	29.3%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Kuhsan	2017	64.6%	84.1%	43.3%
Afghanistan	Kunduz	2000	13.4%	53.0%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Kunduz	2017	68.1%	88.6%	34.4%
Afghanistan	Kuran Wa Munjan	2000	11.7%	23.3%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Kuran Wa Munjan	2017	59.1%	74.4%	40.4%
Afghanistan	Kushk	2000	15.1%	33.1%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Kushk	2017	67.9%	82.3%	51.2%
Afghanistan	Kushki Kuhna	2000	10.5%	23.1%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Kushki Kuhna	2017	60.1%	77.4%	41.8%
Afghanistan	Kuz Kunar	2000	11.7%	21.4%	7.6%
Afghanistan	Kuz Kunar	2017	47.2%	69.8%	30.6%
Afghanistan	Lal Pur	2000	16.4%	49.2%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Lal Pur	2017	61.3%	89.7%	28.8%
Afghanistan	Lal Wa Sarjanganal	2000	12.3%	24.7%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Lal Wa Sarjanganal	2017	57.8%	73.3%	39.3%
Afghanistan	Lash Wa Juwayn	2000	11.4%	19.2%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Lash Wa Juwayn	2017	57.3%	68.4%	45.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Lashkargah	2000	7.8%	18.5%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Lashkargah	2017	62.3%	74.2%	46.6%
Afghanistan	Lija Mangal	2000	40.4%	50.0%	37.5%
Afghanistan	Lija Mangal	2017	76.9%	87.5%	66.8%
Afghanistan	Mahmud Raqi	2000	5.3%	17.6%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Mahmud Raqi	2017	46.4%	59.6%	29.7%
Afghanistan	Malistan	2000	11.0%	26.6%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Malistan	2017	54.1%	71.4%	31.9%
Afghanistan	Mando Zayi	2000	3.8%	10.2%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Mando Zayi	2017	72.6%	92.8%	49.4%
Afghanistan	Mandol	2000	9.5%	21.6%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Mandol	2017	55.4%	71.2%	39.6%
Afghanistan	Mardyan	2000	19.3%	31.5%	12.9%
Afghanistan	Mardyan	2017	73.8%	87.9%	54.8%
Afghanistan	Markazi Bih-sud	2000	13.0%	27.7%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Markazi Bih-sud	2017	59.5%	78.8%	41.1%
Afghanistan	Marmul	2000	12.0%	34.7%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Marmul	2017	62.7%	90.5%	28.9%
Afghanistan	Maruf	2000	15.2%	27.4%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Maruf	2017	62.7%	79.7%	45.5%
Afghanistan	Marwara	2000	13.8%	43.2%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Marwara	2017	88.7%	97.5%	61.5%
Afghanistan	Mata Khan	2000	17.2%	37.3%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Mata Khan	2017	63.2%	85.1%	37.9%
Afghanistan	Maydan Shahr	2000	7.7%	30.8%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Maydan Shahr	2017	56.2%	88.3%	21.7%
Afghanistan	Maymana	2000	18.6%	36.5%	6.6%
Afghanistan	Maymana	2017	65.4%	85.1%	40.9%
Afghanistan	Maywand	2000	13.9%	32.1%	4.2%
Afghanistan	Maywand	2017	61.0%	78.4%	41.6%
Afghanistan	Mazar-i-Sharif	2000	2.9%	15.4%	0.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Mazar-i-Sharif	2017	82.7%	91.2%	58.6%
Afghanistan	Mihtarlam	2000	46.6%	69.3%	29.7%
Afghanistan	Mihtarlam	2017	88.3%	94.9%	75.8%
Afghanistan	Mingajik	2000	7.2%	18.6%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Mingajik	2017	52.7%	76.7%	34.8%
Afghanistan	Mirbacha Kot	2000	2.0%	15.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Mirbacha Kot	2017	46.2%	67.5%	24.4%
Afghanistan	Mizan	2000	15.8%	41.0%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Mizan	2017	60.4%	86.8%	26.4%
Afghanistan	Muhammad Agha	2000	8.1%	27.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Muhammad Agha	2017	55.3%	80.6%	27.9%
Afghanistan	Muhmand Dara	2000	12.6%	42.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Muhmand Dara	2017	63.2%	83.5%	30.8%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2000	13.0%	34.8%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2000	13.6%	35.9%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2017	70.4%	89.7%	48.1%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2017	68.2%	88.8%	40.8%
Afghanistan	Murghab	2000	9.0%	17.7%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Murghab	2017	57.3%	75.3%	42.0%
Afghanistan	Musa Khel	2000	11.0%	44.2%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Musa Khel	2017	62.2%	91.3%	26.5%
Afghanistan	Musa Qala	2000	13.3%	31.0%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Musa Qala	2017	61.3%	80.0%	37.1%
Afghanistan	Musayi	2000	2.9%	10.5%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Musayi	2017	54.4%	77.6%	30.7%
Afghanistan	Nad Ali	2000	13.6%	26.4%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Nad Ali	2017	59.1%	73.9%	42.1%
Afghanistan	Nadir Shah Kot	2000	11.9%	30.1%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Nadir Shah Kot	2017	68.9%	81.4%	50.8%
Afghanistan	Nahri Sarraj	2000	16.3%	33.2%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Nahri Sarraj	2017	64.7%	83.9%	43.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Nahri Shahi	2000	5.4%	10.5%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Nahri Shahi	2017	77.3%	86.0%	64.7%
Afghanistan	Nahrin	2000	13.4%	31.3%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Nahrin	2017	59.0%	79.6%	36.5%
Afghanistan	Nali	2000	7.5%	20.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Nali	2017	47.9%	70.1%	20.3%
Afghanistan	Narang Wa Badil	2000	3.9%	8.0%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Narang Wa Badil	2017	55.0%	73.4%	38.8%
Afghanistan	Naw Zad	2000	12.6%	22.9%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Naw Zad	2017	60.6%	75.4%	42.0%
Afghanistan	Nawa	2000	15.9%	31.1%	5.1%
Afghanistan	Nawa	2017	61.8%	80.1%	41.8%
Afghanistan	Nawa-i-Barak Zayi	2000	19.6%	39.2%	7.2%
Afghanistan	Nawa-i-Barak Zayi	2017	64.2%	84.0%	39.9%
Afghanistan	Nawur	2000	13.0%	21.6%	6.5%
Afghanistan	Nawur	2017	59.9%	72.7%	47.4%
Afghanistan	Nazyan	2000	15.0%	60.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nazyan	2017	65.5%	99.7%	14.8%
Afghanistan	Nesh	2000	17.6%	43.6%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Nesh	2017	64.5%	84.8%	39.3%
Afghanistan	Nijrab	2000	38.5%	50.2%	28.2%
Afghanistan	Nijrab	2017	65.9%	80.0%	54.5%
Afghanistan	Nika	2000	12.5%	48.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Nika	2017	75.3%	98.9%	36.4%
Afghanistan	Nirkh	2000	15.5%	30.0%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Nirkh	2017	57.2%	74.1%	36.5%
Afghanistan	Nurgal	2000	17.0%	59.8%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Nurgal	2017	71.0%	95.4%	29.0%
Afghanistan	Nuristan	2000	15.3%	32.8%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Nuristan	2017	64.4%	82.2%	43.2%
Afghanistan	Obe	2000	7.0%	14.7%	1.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Obe	2017	60.6%	78.9%	45.2%
Afghanistan	Omna	2000	17.0%	33.3%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Omna	2017	69.9%	90.5%	48.3%
Afghanistan	Pachir Wa	2000	16.8%	60.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Pachir Wa	2017	65.6%	96.1%	23.1%
Afghanistan	Agam	2000	1.5%	7.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Paghman	2017	15.6%	27.1%	7.4%
Afghanistan	Panjab	2000	12.9%	29.5%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Panjab	2017	59.2%	78.4%	38.6%
Afghanistan	Panjsher	2000	19.3%	24.0%	15.0%
Afghanistan	Panjsher	2017	70.9%	77.3%	62.5%
Afghanistan	Panjwayi	2000	12.1%	20.4%	6.6%
Afghanistan	Panjwayi	2017	48.8%	59.7%	37.0%
Afghanistan	Pasaband	2000	12.4%	21.1%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Pasaband	2017	58.4%	71.9%	45.5%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Kot	2000	19.5%	26.8%	15.1%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Kot	2017	67.3%	86.9%	51.1%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Zarghun	2000	10.3%	31.5%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Zarghun	2017	57.9%	84.4%	32.5%
Afghanistan	Puli Alam	2000	4.2%	10.6%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Puli Alam	2017	31.7%	51.3%	14.1%
Afghanistan	Puli Khumri	2000	47.7%	65.1%	24.0%
Afghanistan	Puli Khumri	2017	84.7%	93.4%	67.7%
Afghanistan	Purchaman	2000	11.6%	18.9%	5.7%
Afghanistan	Purchaman	2017	59.5%	70.7%	47.9%
Afghanistan	Pusht Rod	2000	8.3%	26.3%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Pusht Rod	2017	62.7%	83.2%	38.9%
Afghanistan	Qadis	2000	12.4%	24.8%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Qadis	2017	61.2%	77.4%	41.3%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Kah	2000	10.2%	22.1%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Kah	2017	60.1%	73.9%	45.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Naw	2000	13.1%	44.1%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Naw	2017	64.3%	88.4%	32.4%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Zal	2000	14.6%	34.6%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Zal	2017	60.9%	84.7%	37.6%
Afghanistan	Qalandar	2000	11.5%	46.9%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Qalandar	2017	63.4%	97.7%	14.9%
Afghanistan	Qalat	2000	20.0%	36.6%	8.6%
Afghanistan	Qalat	2017	70.6%	85.3%	52.5%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2000	8.5%	20.1%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2000	7.8%	24.6%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2017	75.3%	91.9%	50.8%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2017	57.0%	78.0%	36.3%
Afghanistan	Qaram Qol	2000	28.3%	45.8%	13.1%
Afghanistan	Qaram Qol	2017	76.9%	91.3%	51.0%
Afghanistan	Qarghayi	2000	9.1%	21.1%	4.2%
Afghanistan	Qarghayi	2017	60.8%	76.1%	47.3%
Afghanistan	Qarqin	2000	11.8%	31.0%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Qarqin	2017	53.4%	80.5%	27.2%
Afghanistan	Qaysar	2000	16.5%	43.7%	6.1%
Afghanistan	Qaysar	2017	61.3%	83.2%	37.2%
Afghanistan	Ragh	2000	10.1%	29.1%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Ragh	2017	71.1%	86.4%	50.0%
Afghanistan	Reg	2000	13.5%	30.3%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Reg	2000	12.7%	19.4%	7.7%
Afghanistan	Reg	2017	62.8%	76.7%	46.6%
Afghanistan	Reg	2017	56.1%	65.3%	47.6%
Afghanistan	Rodat	2000	32.7%	55.7%	13.9%
Afghanistan	Rodat	2017	80.7%	95.0%	60.1%
Afghanistan	Royi Du Ab	2000	12.3%	32.0%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Royi Du Ab	2017	59.0%	78.1%	38.4%
Afghanistan	Rustaq	2000	12.9%	32.6%	1.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Rustaq	2017	64.3%	85.0%	33.9%
Afghanistan	Sabari	2000	41.4%	64.1%	16.6%
Afghanistan	Sabari	2017	82.8%	98.1%	64.0%
Afghanistan	Saghar	2000	12.4%	22.5%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Saghar	2017	57.0%	71.7%	39.0%
Afghanistan	Salang	2000	12.5%	41.5%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Salang	2017	60.7%	90.6%	19.6%
Afghanistan	Sangcharak	2000	13.0%	24.9%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Sangcharak	2017	58.9%	69.9%	46.3%
Afghanistan	Sangin	2000	11.1%	42.3%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Sangin	2017	62.5%	91.1%	28.5%
Afghanistan	Sar Hawza	2000	17.5%	45.0%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Sar Hawza	2017	72.2%	94.6%	44.7%
Afghanistan	Sar-i-Pul City	2000	5.0%	10.5%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Sar-i-Pul City	2017	47.7%	63.0%	32.6%
Afghanistan	Sarobi	2000	7.0%	28.8%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Sarobi	2017	68.7%	93.1%	37.4%
Afghanistan	Sayid Abad	2000	21.3%	41.1%	6.2%
Afghanistan	Sayid Abad	2017	70.0%	90.2%	44.1%
Afghanistan	Sayid Karam	2000	12.5%	31.4%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Sayid Karam	2017	70.8%	91.8%	42.9%
Afghanistan	Sayyad	2000	9.4%	30.4%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Sayyad	2017	53.1%	77.5%	25.4%
Afghanistan	Shah Wali Kot	2000	15.8%	36.7%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Shah Wali Kot	2017	63.4%	83.4%	43.1%
Afghanistan	Shahidi Hasas	2000	14.7%	26.4%	6.1%
Afghanistan	Shahidi Hasas	2017	61.3%	79.8%	44.5%
Afghanistan	Shahjoy	2000	26.1%	45.6%	11.8%
Afghanistan	Shahjoy	2017	68.7%	87.2%	45.4%
Afghanistan	Shahrak	2000	12.6%	19.9%	6.3%
Afghanistan	Shahrak	2017	59.2%	69.2%	48.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Shahri Buzurg	2000	9.4%	26.6%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Shahri Buzurg	2017	61.8%	81.9%	38.7%
Afghanistan	Shahristan	2000	10.5%	18.7%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Shahristan	2017	53.0%	67.8%	38.4%
Afghanistan	Shakar Dara	2000	2.6%	7.9%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Shakar Dara	2017	55.8%	62.7%	48.4%
Afghanistan	Shamul	2000	20.3%	77.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shamul	2017	65.3%	99.8%	10.9%
Afghanistan	Shamul zayi	2000	14.7%	28.0%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Shamul zayi	2017	60.6%	76.8%	42.8%
Afghanistan	Sharan	2000	21.1%	47.2%	6.2%
Afghanistan	Sharan	2017	71.3%	91.6%	46.8%
Afghanistan	Shekh Ali	2000	19.6%	51.3%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Shekh Ali	2017	68.0%	92.7%	37.7%
Afghanistan	Sherzad	2000	13.1%	39.7%	3.9%
Afghanistan	Sherzad	2017	54.6%	88.3%	25.9%
Afghanistan	Shib Koh	2000	9.9%	27.1%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Shib Koh	2017	56.7%	77.8%	31.8%
Afghanistan	Shibar	2000	11.1%	32.6%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Shibar	2017	56.7%	84.6%	29.7%
Afghanistan	Shibirghan	2000	58.7%	70.3%	46.0%
Afghanistan	Shibirghan	2017	83.7%	92.9%	73.9%
Afghanistan	Shighnan	2000	14.3%	27.4%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Shighnan	2017	64.5%	77.9%	51.0%
Afghanistan	Shindand	2000	13.1%	25.6%	5.6%
Afghanistan	Shindand	2017	70.2%	79.6%	56.9%
Afghanistan	Shinkay	2000	19.0%	39.5%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Shinkay	2017	65.0%	87.1%	38.1%
Afghanistan	Shinwar	2000	5.4%	38.4%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Shinwar	2017	48.7%	85.8%	20.9%
Afghanistan	Shinwari	2000	38.5%	68.3%	14.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Shinwari	2017	77.8%	95.2%	49.7%
Afghanistan	Shirin Tagab	2000	17.6%	33.4%	6.9%
Afghanistan	Shirin Tagab	2017	67.3%	82.3%	48.7%
Afghanistan	Sholgara	2000	15.7%	33.8%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Sholgara	2017	64.1%	87.7%	39.3%
Afghanistan	Shorabak	2000	16.1%	29.2%	6.2%
Afghanistan	Shorabak	2017	62.4%	75.8%	46.9%
Afghanistan	Shortepa	2000	10.9%	33.6%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Shortepa	2017	61.2%	82.6%	29.7%
Afghanistan	Shwak	2000	1.6%	9.6%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Shwak	2017	45.2%	61.9%	28.6%
Afghanistan	Sirkanay	2000	10.9%	32.4%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Sirkanay	2017	81.2%	96.4%	57.4%
Afghanistan	Sozma Qala	2000	16.5%	34.8%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Sozma Qala	2017	64.2%	82.5%	38.2%
Afghanistan	Spera	2000	18.4%	44.6%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Spera	2017	60.8%	84.4%	32.1%
Afghanistan	Spin Boldak	2000	16.5%	32.2%	7.7%
Afghanistan	Spin Boldak	2017	63.8%	80.5%	45.2%
Afghanistan	Surkh Rod	2000	55.1%	65.8%	52.4%
Afghanistan	Surkh Rod	2017	80.8%	91.7%	70.3%
Afghanistan	Surkhi Parsa	2000	18.4%	41.7%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Surkhi Parsa	2017	69.8%	89.8%	45.2%
Afghanistan	Surobi	2000	11.2%	32.4%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Surobi	2017	67.8%	88.4%	45.2%
Afghanistan	Tagab	2000	18.4%	61.8%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Tagab	2017	69.3%	97.2%	30.5%
Afghanistan	Tala Wa Bar-fak	2000	11.1%	23.0%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Tala Wa Bar-fak	2017	53.9%	71.0%	36.2%
Afghanistan	Taluqan	2000	8.7%	31.7%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Taluqan	2017	54.6%	74.8%	30.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Tani	2000	19.6%	60.4%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Tani	2017	74.6%	97.6%	36.0%
Afghanistan	Tarnak Jaldak	2000	22.0%	49.5%	7.3%
Afghanistan	Tarnak Jaldak	2017	65.9%	85.4%	44.8%
Afghanistan	Taywara	2000	9.0%	20.2%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Taywara	2017	54.0%	69.7%	38.5%
Afghanistan	Tere Zayi	2000	18.8%	50.2%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Tere Zayi	2017	77.6%	96.5%	53.4%
Afghanistan	Tirin Kot	2000	10.1%	26.6%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Tirin Kot	2017	62.7%	80.5%	41.0%
Afghanistan	Tulak	2000	12.3%	21.9%	4.6%
Afghanistan	Tulak	2017	55.4%	71.3%	39.9%
Afghanistan	Urgun	2000	8.1%	25.2%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Urgun	2017	67.3%	86.1%	45.7%
Afghanistan	Wakhan	2000	14.6%	27.1%	6.3%
Afghanistan	Wakhan	2017	60.3%	71.2%	47.3%
Afghanistan	Wama	2000	12.1%	26.9%	4.5%
Afghanistan	Wama	2017	55.4%	74.6%	37.7%
Afghanistan	Waras	2000	11.3%	21.4%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Waras	2017	58.1%	72.2%	44.2%
Afghanistan	Warsaj	2000	24.2%	39.9%	11.7%
Afghanistan	Warsaj	2017	70.2%	83.2%	56.6%
Afghanistan	Washer	2000	14.1%	25.3%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Washer	2017	58.5%	73.5%	42.3%
Afghanistan	Waygal	2000	11.0%	31.7%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Waygal	2017	56.7%	83.9%	25.5%
Afghanistan	Wazakhwa	2000	11.9%	25.6%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Wazakhwa	2017	60.9%	78.7%	40.5%
Afghanistan	Wolmamay	2000	12.8%	24.8%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Wolmamay	2017	56.2%	72.2%	37.9%
Afghanistan	Yakawlang	2000	13.0%	37.2%	3.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Yakawlang	2017	55.0%	73.9%	34.6%
Afghanistan	Yangi Qala	2000	6.9%	15.9%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Yangi Qala	2017	64.4%	78.2%	48.6%
Afghanistan	Zana Khan	2000	13.8%	56.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Zana Khan	2017	54.8%	92.9%	11.1%
Afghanistan	Zaranj	2000	13.8%	45.8%	3.8%
Afghanistan	Zaranj	2017	81.5%	95.8%	46.7%
Afghanistan	Zarghun Shahr	2000	16.2%	33.6%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Zarghun Shahr	2017	71.4%	83.7%	57.9%
Afghanistan	Zebak	2000	16.3%	53.0%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Zebak	2017	64.0%	83.7%	34.8%
Afghanistan	Ziluk	2000	18.0%	50.9%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Ziluk	2017	81.3%	95.4%	51.7%
Afghanistan	Zinda Jan	2000	15.3%	35.9%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Zinda Jan	2017	59.4%	82.3%	34.7%
Afghanistan	Zurmat	2000	27.6%	39.0%	18.0%
Afghanistan	Zurmat	2017	68.3%	82.1%	53.6%
Algeria	Abadla	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Abadla	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Abalissa	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Abalissa	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Abi Youcef	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Abi Youcef	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Abou El Has-sen	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Abou El Has-sen	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Achaacha	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Achaacha	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Adekar	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Adekar	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Adrar	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Adrar	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Afir	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Afir	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Aflou	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Aflou	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Aghbal	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Aghbal	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Aghbalou	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Aghbalou	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Aghlal	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Aghlal	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Aghni-Goughrane	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Aghni-Goughrane	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Aghrib	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Aghrib	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Ahl El Ksar	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ahl El Ksar	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ahmed Rachedi	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Algeria	Ahmed Rachedi	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Algeria	Ahmer El Ain	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ahmer El Ain	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ahnif	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ahnif	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Abessa	2000	97.5%	97.9%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Abessa	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Abid	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Abid	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Adden	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Ain Adden	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Ain Arnat	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Arnat	2017	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Azel	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Azel	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Bebouche	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Bebouche	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Beida Harriche	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Ben Beida	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Ben Beida	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Ben Khelil	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.7%
Algeria	Ain Ben Khelil	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Biya	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Ain Biya	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Ain Bouchekif	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Bouchekif	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Boucif	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Boucif	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Boudinar	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Ain Boudinar	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Ain Bouihi	2000	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Bouihi	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Bouziane	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Bouziane	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Charchar	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Charchar	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Chouhada	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Chouhada	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Defla	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Defla	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Deheb	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Deheb	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Djasser	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Djasser	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain El Arbaa	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain El Arbaa	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain El Assel	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain El Assel	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain El Berd	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain El Berd	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain El Berda	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain El Berda	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain El Diss	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain El Diss	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain El Fakroun	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Algeria	Ain El Fakroun	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	Ain El Hadid	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Ain El Hadid	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjel	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjel	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain El Ibel	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain El Ibel	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain El Kebira	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain El Kebira	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain El Kercha	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	Ain El Kercha	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	Ain El Melh	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain El Melh	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain El Orak	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Algeria	Ain El Orak	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Algeria	Ain Errich	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Errich	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain Fekan	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Fekan	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Fekka	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Fekka	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Ferah	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Ferah	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Fettah	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Fettah	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Fezza	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Ain Fezza	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Ain Frass	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Ain Frass	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Ain Ghoraba	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Ghoraba	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Kada	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Ain Kada	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Ain Kebira	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Ain Kebira	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Ain Kechra	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ain Kechra	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Kermes	2000	97.5%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Kermes	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Khadra	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Khadra	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Kihel	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Kihel	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Lahdjar	2000	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Lahdjar	2017	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Laloui	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Laloui	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Larbi	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Larbi	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Lechiakh	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Lechiakh	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain M'Lila	2000	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain M'Lila	2017	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Maabed	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Maabed	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Madhi	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Ain Madhi	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.3%
Algeria	Ain Makhlouf	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Makhlouf	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Mellouk	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Mellouk	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Merrane	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Ain Merrane	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Ain Naga	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Ain Naga	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Ain Nehala	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Nehala	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Nouissy	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Ain Nouissy	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Ouksir	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Ain Ouksir	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Ain Oulmane	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Oulmane	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Oussera	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Oussera	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Rahma	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Ain Rahma	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Ain Rekada	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ain Rekada	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ain Romana	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Romana	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Roua	2000	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Roua	2017	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Safra	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Safra	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Sandel	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain Sandel	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain Sekhouana	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Algeria	Ain Sekhouana	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Algeria	Ain Semara	2000	98.3%	98.6%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Semara	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Ali	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Ali	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Cherif	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Sidi Cherif	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Taghrout	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Taghrout	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Tagourait	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Tagourait	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Tallout	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Tallout	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Tarek	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Tarek	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Tedles	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Tedles	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Temouchent	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Temouchent	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Tesra	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Tesra	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Thrid	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain Thrid	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Ain Tindamine	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Tindamine	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Tine	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ain Tine	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ain Tolba	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Tolba	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ain Touila	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Touila	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Touta	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain Touta	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Yagout	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Yagout	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Youcef	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Youcef	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Ain Zaatout	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Zaatout	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Zana	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Algeria	Ain Zana	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Algeria	Ain Zarit	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Zarit	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Zerga	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Algeria	Ain Zerga	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Algeria	Ain Zitoun	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Zitoun	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Zouit	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Zouit	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain-Bessem	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain-Bessem	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain-El-Hammam	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain-El-Hammam	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain-Legradj	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain-Legradj	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain-Sebt	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain-Sebt	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain-Zaouia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ain-Zaouia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Aissaouia	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Aissaouia	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait Ag-gouacha	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ait Ag-gouacha	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ait Aissa Mimoun	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ait Aissa Mimoun	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ait Bouadou	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ait Bouadou	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ait Boumehdi	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait Boumehdi	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait Khelili	2000	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ait Khelili	2017	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ait Laaziz	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait Laaziz	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait Naoual Mezada	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ait Naoual Mezada	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ait Oumalou	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ait Oumalou	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ait R'Zine	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait R'Zine	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait Toudert	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ait Toudert	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ait Yahia Moussa	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ait Yahia Moussa	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ait-Chaffaa	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait-Chaffaa	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait-Mahmoud	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait-Mahmoud	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ait-Smail	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Ait-Smail	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Ait-Tizi	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ait-Tizi	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ait-Yahia	2000	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ait-Yahia	2017	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Akabli	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Akabli	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Algeria	Akbil	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Akbil	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Akbou	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Akbou	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Akerrou	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Akerrou	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Akfadou	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Akfadou	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Alaimia	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Alaimia	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Amalou	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Amalou	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Amernas	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Amernas	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Amieur	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Amieur	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Amirat Arres	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Amirat Arres	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Amizour	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Amizour	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ammal	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ammal	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ammari	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Ammari	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Ammi Moussa	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Ammi Moussa	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Amoucha	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Amoucha	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Amourah	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Amourah	2017	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Annaba	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Annaba	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Aokas	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Aokas	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Aomar	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Aomar	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Aoubellil	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Aoubellil	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Aouf	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Aouf	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Aougrouit	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Aougrouit	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Aoulef	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Aoulef	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Arbaouat	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Algeria	Arbaouat	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Algeria	Arib	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Arib	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Arris	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Arris	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Arzew	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Arzew	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Asfour	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Asfour	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Assela	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Algeria	Assela	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Algeria	Assi Youcef	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Assi Youcef	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ath Mansour	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ath Mansour Taourirt	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Azails	2000	98.6%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Azails	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Azazga	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Azazga	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Azil Ab- delkader (Metkouak)	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Azil Abdelkader (Metkouak)	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Azzaba	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Azzaba	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Azzefoun	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Azzefoun	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Azziz	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Azziz	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Azzizia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Azzizia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Baata	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Baata	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Bab El Assa	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Bab El Assa	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Babar	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Babar	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Babor	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Babor	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Badredine El Mokrani	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Badredine El Mokrani	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Baghai	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Baghai	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Baghlia	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Baghlia	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Baladiet Amor	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Baladiet Amor	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Baraki	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Baraki	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Barbouche	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Barbouche	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Barika	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Barika	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Bathia	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Bathia	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Batna	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Batna	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Bayadha	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Bayadha	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Bazer-Sakra	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.7%
Algeria	Bazer-Sakra	2017	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	Bechar	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Bechar	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Bechloul	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Bechloul	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Bedjene	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Bedjene	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Beidha Bordj	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Beidha Bordj	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Bejaia	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Bejaia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Bekkaria	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Bekkaria	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Bekkouche Lakhdar	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bekkouche Lakhdar	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Belaas	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Belaas	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Belaassel	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
	Bouzagza				
Algeria	Belaassel	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
	Bouzagza				
Algeria	Belaiba	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Belaiba	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Belala	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Belala	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Belarbi	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Belarbi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Belimour	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Belimour	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Belkheir	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Belkheir	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Bellaa	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Algeria	Bellaa	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Algeria	Ben Allal	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ben Allal	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Ben Azzouz	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ben Azzouz	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Ben Chicao	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ben Chicao	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ben Choud	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Ben Choud	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Ben Daoud	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Ben Daoud	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Ben Djerrah	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ben Djerrah	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ben Freha	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Ben Freha	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Ben Guecha	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Ben Guecha	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Ben M'Hidi	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ben M'Hidi	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ben Srour	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.9%
Algeria	Ben Srour	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Algeria	Benabdelmalek	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
	Ramdane				
Algeria	Benabdelmalek	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
	Ramdane				
Algeria	Benaceur	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Benaceur	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Benaicha Che- lia	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Benaicha Che- lia	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Benairia	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Benairia	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Bendaoud	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Bendaoud	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Benhar	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Benhar	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Beni Abbes	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Beni Abbes	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Beni Aissi	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Aissi	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Amrane	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Beni Amrane	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Beni Bahdel	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Beni Bahdel	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Beni Bechir	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Beni Bechir	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Bouat-tab	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Beni Bouat-tab	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Beni Boussaid	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni Boussaid	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.4%
Algeria	Beni Chaib	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Beni Chaib	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Beni Chebana	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Beni Chebana	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Beni Dejlil	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Beni Dejlil	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Beni Dergoun	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni Dergoun	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni Fouda	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Beni Fouda	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Beni Foudala	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	El Hakania				
Algeria	Beni Foudala	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	El Hakania				
Algeria	Beni Hamidane	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Beni Hamidane	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni Haoua	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Haoua	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Ikhlef	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Beni Ikhlef	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Beni Ilmane	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni Ilmane	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni K'Sila	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Beni K'Sila	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Beni Khellad	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Beni Khellad	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Beni Lahcene	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Beni Lahcene	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Beni Merad	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Beni Merad	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Beni Mester	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Beni Mester	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Beni Mezline	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Beni Mezline	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Beni Mileuk	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Mileuk	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Ouarsous	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Beni Ouarsous	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Beni Oulbane	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Oulbane	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Beni Ounif	2000	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Algeria	Beni Ounif	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Algeria	Beni Oussine	2000	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Beni Oussine	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Beni Rached	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Rached	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Saf	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Beni Saf	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Beni Slimane	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Beni Slimane	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Beni Smiel	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Beni Smiel	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Beni Snous	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Algeria	Beni Snous	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Algeria	Beni Yenni	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Yenni	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Zentis	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni Zentis	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Beni Zid	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Beni Zid	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Beni Zmenzer	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Zmenzer	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni-Aziz	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Beni-Aziz	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Beni-Douala	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Beni-Douala	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Beni-Mellikeche	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Beni-Mellikeche	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Beni-Mouhli	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Beni-Mouhli	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Beni-Quartilane	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Beni-Quartilane	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Beni-Tamou	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Beni-Tamou	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Beni-Zikki	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Beni-Zikki	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Benian	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Benian	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Benimaouche	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Benimaouche	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Benkhelil	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Benkhelil	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Bensekrane	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Bensekrane	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Benyacoub	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Benyacoub	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Benyahia Abderrahmane	2000	98.1%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Benyahia Abderrahmane	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Benzouh	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Benzouh	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Berbacha	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Berbacha	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Berhoum	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Berhoum	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Berrahal	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Berrahal	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Berriane	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Berriane	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Berriche	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Berriche	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Berrihane	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Berrihane	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Berrouaghia	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Berrouaghia	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Besbes	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Besbes	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Besbes	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Besbes	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Bethioua	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Bethioua	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Bhir El Cher- gui	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Bhir El Cher- gui	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Bin El Ouiden	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Bin El Ouiden	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bir Ben Laabed	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Bir Ben Laabed	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Bir	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Bouhouche	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Bir Chouhada	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Bir Chouhada	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Bir Dheb	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Bir Dheb	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Bir El Ater	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Algeria	Bir El Ater	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Bir El Djir	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Bir El Djir	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Bir El Ham- mam	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Algeria	Bir El Ham- mam	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Bir Foda	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Bir Foda	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Bir Ghalou	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Bir Ghalou	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Bir Haddada	2000	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Bir Haddada	2017	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Bir Kasdali	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Bir Kasdali	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Bir Mokka- dem	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Bir Mokka- dem	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	Bir Ould Khe- lifa	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Bir Ould Khe- lifa	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Bir-El-Arch	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Bir-El-Arch	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Birine	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Birine	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Birtouta	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Birtouta	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Biskra	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Biskra	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Bitam	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Bitam	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Blida	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Blida	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Boghni	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Boghni	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bordj Badji Mokhtar	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Bordj Badji Mokhtar	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Bordj Ben Az-zouz	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Bordj Ben Az-zouz	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Bordj Bou Ar-reridj	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Bordj Bou Ar-reridj	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Bordj Bounaama	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Bordj Bounaama	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Bordj El Emir Abdelkader	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Bordj El Emir Abdelkader	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Bordj El Haouasse	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Algeria	Bordj El Haouasse	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Algeria	Bordj El Kif-fan	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bordj El Kif-fan	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Bordj Emir Khaled	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Bordj Emir Khaled	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Bordj Ghdir	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Bordj Ghdir	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Bordj Menaiel	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bordj Menaiel	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bordj Okhriss	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Bordj Okhriss	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Bordj Omar Driss	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Bordj Omar Driss	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Bordj Sebbat	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bordj Sebbat	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bordj Tahar	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bordj Tahar	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bordj Zemmoura	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Bordj Zemmoura	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Bou Caid	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Bou Caid	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Bou Hachana	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Bou Hachana	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Bou Hamdane	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bou Hamdane	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bou Henni	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Bou Henni	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Bou Ismail	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bou Ismail	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bou Saada	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bou Saada	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Bou Zedjar	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Bou Zedjar	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Bouaarfa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bouaarfa	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouaiche	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Bouaiche	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Bouaichoune	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouaichoune	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Boualem	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Algeria	Boualem	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Algeria	Bouandas	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Bouandas	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Bouati Mahmoud	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouati Mahmoud	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouchakroune	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Bouchakroune	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Bouchekouf	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouchekouf	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Boucherahil	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Boucherahil	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouchetata	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouchetata	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouda	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Bouda	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Bouderbala	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouderbala	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Boudjebaa El Bordj	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Boudjebaa El Bordj	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Boudjellil	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Boudjellil	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Boudjeriou Messaoud	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Boudjeriou Messaoud	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Boudjima	2000	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Boudjima	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Boudouaou	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Boudouaou	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Boudouaou El Bahri	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Boudouaou El Bahri	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Boudria Beniyadjis	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Boudria Beniyadjis	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Boufarik	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Boufarik	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Boufatis	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Boufatis	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Bougaa	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Bougaa	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Bougara	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bougara	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Bougara	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Bougara	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.5%
Algeria	Boughar	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Boughar	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Boughezoul	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Boughezoul	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Bougous	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Bougous	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Bougtoub	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Bougtoub	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Bouguirat	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Bouguirat	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Bouhadjar	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Bouhadjar	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Bouhamza	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouhamza	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouhanifia	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Bouhanifia	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Bouhatem	2000	98.3%	98.6%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouhatem	2017	98.4%	98.6%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouhlou	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Bouhlou	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Bouhmama	2000	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Bouhmama	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Bouihi	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.3%
Algeria	Bouihi	2017	98.2%	98.9%	97.4%
Algeria	Bouinan	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouinan	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouira	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouira	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouira	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Lahdab				
Algeria	Bouira	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Lahdab				
Algeria	Boukadir	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Boukadir	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Boukais	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
Algeria	Boukais	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
Algeria	Boukhadra	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Boukhadra	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Boukhenifis	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Boukhenifis	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Boukhelifa	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Boukhelifa	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Boukram	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Boukram	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Boulhaf Dyr	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Boulhaf Dyr	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Boulhilat	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Boulhilat	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Boumahra	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ahmed				
Algeria	Boumahra	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ahmed				
Algeria	Boumedfaa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Boumedfaa	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Boumegueur	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Boumegueur	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Boumerdes	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Boumerdes	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Boumia	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Boumia	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Bounouh	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bounouh	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Bounoura	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bounoura	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Bourached	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bourached	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouraoui Bel-hadef	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Bouraoui Bel-hadef	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Bourkika	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Bourkika	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Bousfer	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Bousfer	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Bouskene	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouskene	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Bousselam	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Bousselam	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Boussemgoun	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%
Algeria	Boussemgoun	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.5%
Algeria	Boussif Ouled Askeur	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Boussif Ouled Askeur	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Boutaleb	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Boutaleb	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Bouteldja	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Bouteldja	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Bouti Sayeh	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Bouti Sayeh	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Boutlelis	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Boutlelis	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Bouzareah	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Bouzareah	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Bouzeghaia	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouzeghaia	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouzeguene	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouzeguene	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Bouzegza Ked-dara	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouzegza Ked-dara	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Bouzina	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Bouzina	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Branis	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Branis	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Breira	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Breira	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Brezina	2000	98.4%	99.1%	97.7%
Algeria	Brezina	2017	98.6%	99.2%	97.9%
Algeria	Brida	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Brida	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Chaabet El Ham	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Chaabet El Ham	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Chabet El Aneur	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Chabet El Aneur	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Chahbounia	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Chahbounia	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Chahna	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Chahna	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Chaiba	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Chaiba	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Charef	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Charef	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Charouine	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Charouine	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Chebaita	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Mokhtar				
Algeria	Chebaita	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Mokhtar				
Algeria	Chebli	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Chebli	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Chechar	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Algeria	Chechar	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Algeria	Chefia	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Chefia	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Cheguig	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Cheguig	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Cehaima	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Cehaima	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Chekfa	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Chekfa	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Chelghoum	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Laid				
Algeria	Chelghoum	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Laid				
Algeria	Chelia	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Chelia	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Chellal	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Algeria	Chellal	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Algeria	Chellala	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
Algeria	Chellala	2017	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
Algeria	Chellalet Ladhaura	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Chellalet Ladhaura	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Chellata	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Chellata	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Chemini	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Chemini	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Chemora	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Chemora	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Cheniguel	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Cheniguel	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Chentouf	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Chentouf	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Cheraga	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Cheraga	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Cheraga	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Cheraga	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Cheraia	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Cheraia	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Cherchel	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Cherchel	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Chetaibi	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Chetaibi	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Chetma	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Chetma	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Chetouane	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Chetouane	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Chetouane Belaila	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Chetouane Belaila	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Chettia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Chettia	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Chiffa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Chiffa	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Chihani	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Chihani	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Chir	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Chir	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Algeria	Chlef	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Chlef	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Chouaiba Ouled Rahma	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Algeria	Chouaiba Ouled Rahma	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Algeria	Chrea	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Chrea	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Chrea	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Chrea	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Colla	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Colla	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Collo	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Collo	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Constantine	2000	98.4%	98.6%	98.0%
Algeria	Constantine	2017	98.4%	98.6%	98.0%
Algeria	Corso	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Corso	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Dahmouni	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Algeria	Dahmouni	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Algeria	Dahouara	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Dahouara	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Dahra	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Dahra	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Damous	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Algeria	Damous	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Algeria	Daoussen	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Daoussen	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Dar Ben Ab- delah	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Dar Ben Ab- delah	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Dar Chioukh	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Dar Chioukh	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Dar El Beida	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Dar El Beida	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Dar Yagh- mouracene	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Dar Yagh- mouracene	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Darguina	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Darguina	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Debdeb	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Debdeb	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Debila	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Debila	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Dechmia	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Dechmia	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Deahna	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Deahna	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Dehamcha	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Dehamcha	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Deldoul	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Deldoul	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Deldoul	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Deldoul	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Dellys	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Dellys	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Derradji Bous-selah	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
Algeria	Derradji Bous-selah	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
Algeria	Derrag	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Derrag	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Deux Bassins	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Deux Bassins	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Dhaya	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Algeria	Dhaya	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Algeria	Dhayet Bend-hahoua	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Algeria	Dhayet Bend-hahoua	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Algeria	Didouche Mourad	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Didouche Mourad	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Dirrah	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Dirrah	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Djaafra	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Djaafra	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Djamaa	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Djamaa	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Djamora	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Djamora	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Djanet	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Algeria	Djanet	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Algeria	Djasr	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Djasr Kasentina	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Djababra	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Djababra	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Djebahia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Djebahia	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Djebala	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Djebala	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Djebala El Khemissi	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Djebala El Khemissi	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Djebel Mes-saad	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Djebel Mes-saad	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.6%
Algeria	Djebilet Rosfa	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Djebilet Rosfa	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Djelfa	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Djelfa	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Djelida	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Djelida	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Djellal	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Djellal	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Djemaa Beni Habibi	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Djemaa Beni Habibi	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Djemaa Ouled Cheikh	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Djemaa Ouled Cheikh	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Djemila	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Djemila	2000	98.3%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Djemila	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Djemila	2017	98.3%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Djendel	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Djendel	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Djendel Saadi Mohamed	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Djendel Saadi Mohamed	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Djeniane Bourzeg	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.0%
Algeria	Djeniane Bourzeg	2017	98.1%	98.9%	97.1%
Algeria	Djerma	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Djerma	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Djezzar	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Djezzar	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Djidiouia	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Djidiouia	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Djillali Ben Ammar	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Djillali Ben Ammar	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Djinet	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Djinet	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Djouab	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Djouab	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Douaouda	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Douaouda	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Douar El Ma	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Douar El Ma	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Douera	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Douera	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Doui Thabet	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Doui Thabet	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Douis	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Douis	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Draa El Caid	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Draa El Caid	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Draa El Mizan	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Draa El Mizan	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Draa Smar	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Draa Smar	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Draa-Ben-Khedda	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Draa-Ben-Khedda	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Draa-Kebila	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Draa-Kebila	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Draria	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Draria	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Drea	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Drea	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Drean	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Drean	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Echatt	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Echatt	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Abadia	2000	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Abadia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Ach	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	El Ach	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	El Achir	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	El Achir	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	El Achour	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	El Achour	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	El Adjiba	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	El Adjiba	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Aioun	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Algeria	El Aioun	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.5%
Algeria	El Allia	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El Allia	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El Amiria	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	El Amiria	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	El Amra	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Amra	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Amria	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	El Amria	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	El Ançar	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	El Ançar	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	El Ancer	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Ancer	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Anseur	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	El Anseur	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Algeria	El Aouana	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Aouana	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	El Aricha	2000	97.9%	98.7%	97.0%
Algeria	El Aricha	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.0%
Algeria	El Asnam	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Asnam	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Assafia	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	El Assafia	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	El Attaf	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Attaf	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Atteuf	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Atteuf	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Bayadh	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	El Bayadh	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	El Beidha	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	El Beidha	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	El Biod	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	El Biod	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	El Biodh Sidi Cheikh	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.7%
Algeria	El Biodh Sidi Cheikh	2017	97.9%	98.6%	96.9%
Algeria	El Bnou	2000	98.8%	99.3%	98.2%
Algeria	El Bnou	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.3%
Algeria	El Bordj	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El Bordj	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El Borma	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Borma	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Bouni	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Bouni	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Braya	2000	99.2%	99.3%	99.0%
Algeria	El Braya	2017	99.2%	99.3%	99.0%
Algeria	El Dhaala	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	El Dhaala	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	El Djazia	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	El Djazia	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	El Eulma	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.7%
Algeria	El Eulma	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Eulma	2017	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	El Eulma	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Bouhrara Saoudi				
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Bouhrara Saoudi				
Algeria	El Fehoul	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	El Fehoul	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	El Feidh	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Algeria	El Feidh	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Algeria	El Gaada	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	El Gaada	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	El Ghedir	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Ghedir	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	El Ghicha	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Ghicha	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Ghomri	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	El Ghomri	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	El Ghrous	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	El Ghrous	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	El Gor	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Algeria	El Gor	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Algeria	El Guedid	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	El Guedid	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	El Guelb El Kebir	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Guelb El Kebir	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Guerrarra	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El Guerrarra	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El Guettana	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	El Guettana	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	El Guettar	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	El Guettar	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El H'Madna	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	El H'Madna	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	El Hacaiba	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	El Hacaiba	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Algeria	El Hachem	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	El Hachem	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El Hachimia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	El Hachimia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	El Hadaiek	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	El Hadaiek	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Hadjab	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	El Hadjab	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Hadjadj	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	El Hadjadj	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	El Hadjar	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Hadjar	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Hadjira	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El Hadjira	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El Hakimia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Hakimia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Hamadia	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	El Hamadia	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	El Hamdania	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Hamdania	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Hamma	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	El Hamma	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	El Haouaita	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Algeria	El Haouaita	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Algeria	El Haouch	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	El Haouch	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	El Harmilia	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	El Harmilia	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	El Harrouch	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	El Harrouch	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	El Hassania	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	El Hassania	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	El Hassasna	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	El Hassasna	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	El Hassi	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Hassi	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	El Hassi	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Hassi	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	El Houamed	2000	99.3%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	El Houamed	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	El Houidjbet	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.0%
Algeria	El Houidjbet	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.0%
Algeria	El Idrissia	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	El Idrissia	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	El Kaf Lakhdar	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	El Kaf Lakhdar	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	El Kala	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	El Kala	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	El Karimia	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	El Karimia	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	El Kennar Nouchfi	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El Kennar Nouchfi	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El Kentara	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	El Kentara	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	El Kerma	2000	99.2%	99.3%	99.0%
Algeria	El Kerma	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	El Keurt	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	El Keurt	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	El Khabouzia	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	El Khabouzia	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	El Kharrouba	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Kharrouba	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Kheither	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	El Kheither	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	El Khemis	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	El Khemis	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	El Khroub	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	El Khroub	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
Algeria	El Kouif	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Algeria	El Kouif	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Kseur	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Kseur	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El M'Ghair	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El M'Ghair	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	El M'Hir	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El M'Hir	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	El Madher	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	El Madher	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	El Mahmal	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	El Mahmal	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	El Main	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	El Main	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	El Maine	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	El Maine	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	El Malabiodh	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	El Malabiodh	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	El Malah	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	El Malah	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	El Mamounia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	El Mamounia	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	El Marsa	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Algeria	El Marsa	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	El Marsa	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	El Marsa	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	El Matmar	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	El Matmar	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	El Matmor	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	El Matmor	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	El Mechira	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	El Mechira	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	El Mehara	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	El Mehara	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	El Menaouer	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El Menaouer	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	El Meniaa	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	El Meniaa	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	El Meridj	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Algeria	El Meridj	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Algeria	El Messaid	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	El Messaid	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	El Mezeraa	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	El Mezeraa	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Algeria	El Milia	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Milia	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	El Mokrani El Madjen	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Mokrani El Madjen	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	El Ogla	2000	97.7%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	El Ogla	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	El Ogla	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.8%
Algeria	El Ogla	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	El Ouata	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Ouata	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Oued	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Oued	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.5%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Ouinet	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	El Ouitaya	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	El Ouitaya	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	El Oumaria	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	El Oumaria	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	El Ouricia	2000	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Algeria	El Ouricia	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Algeria	El Rahia	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	El Rahia	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	El Tarf	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	El Tarf	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	El Youssoufia	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	El Youssoufia	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	El-Affroun	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	El-Affroun	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Elayadi	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Barbes				
Algeria	Elayadi	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Barbes				
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Emjez Edchich	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Emjez Edchich	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ensigha	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Ensigha	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Erg Ferradj	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Erg Ferradj	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Erraguene	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Erraguene	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Es Sebt	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Es Sebt	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Es Senia	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Es Senia	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Faidh El Botma	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.5%
Algeria	Faidh El Botma	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.5%
Algeria	Faidja	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Faidja	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Fellaoucene	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Fellaoucene	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Fenoughil	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Fenoughil	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Feraoun	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Feraoun	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Ferdjioua	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Ferdjioua	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Ferkane	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Ferkane	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Algeria	Ferraguig	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Ferraguig	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Fesdis	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Fesdis	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Filfila	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Filfila	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Fkirina	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Fkirina	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	Foggaret Az-zouia	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Foggaret Az-zouia	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Fornaka	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Fornaka	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Foughala	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Foughala	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Fouka	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Fouka	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Foum Toub	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Foum Toub	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Freha	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Freha	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Frenda	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Frenda	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Frikat	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Frikat	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Froha	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Froha	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Gdyel	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Gdyel	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Ghardaia	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Ghardaia	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Gharrous	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Gharrous	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Ghassoul	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.7%
Algeria	Ghassoul	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.7%
Algeria	Ghazaouet	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Ghazaouet	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Ghebala	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ghebala	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Gherouaou	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Gherouaou	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ghessira	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Ghessira	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Ghilassa	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ghilassa	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ghriss	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Ghriss	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Gosbat	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Gosbat	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Gouraya	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Gouraya	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Grarem	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Algeria	Gouga				
Algeria	Grarem	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Gouga				
Algeria	Guellal	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.7%
Algeria	Guellal	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.8%
Algeria	Guelma	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Guelma	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Guelta Zerka	2000	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Guelta Zerka	2017	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Gueltat Sidi Saad	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Gueltat Sidi Saad	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Guemar	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Guemar	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Guenzet Tassameurt	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Guenzet Tassameurt	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Guerdjoum	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Guerdjoum	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Guernini	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Guernini	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Guerrouma	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Guerrouma	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Guertoufa	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.5%
Algeria	Guertoufa	2017	98.0%	98.3%	97.6%
Algeria	Guettara	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Guettara	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Guidjel	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	Guidjel	2017	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	Guiga	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Guiga	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Guorriguer	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Guorriguer	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Hacine	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Algeria	Hacine	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Had Echkalla	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Had Echkalla	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Had Sahary	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Had Sahary	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Haddada	2000	97.9%	98.6%	96.9%
Algeria	Haddada	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Algeria	Hadj Mechri	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Hadj Mechri	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Hadjadj	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Hadjadj	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Hadjera Zerga	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Algeria	Hadjera Zerga	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Hadjout	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Hadjout	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Hadjret En-nous	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Hadjret En-nous	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Haizer	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Haizer	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Hamadi Krouma	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Hamadi Krouma	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Hamadia	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Hamadia	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Algeria	Hamala	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Hamala	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Hamma	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Hamma	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Hamma Bouziane	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Hamma Bouziane	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Hammadi	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Hammadi	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Hammam Ben Salah	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Hammam Ben Salah	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Hammam Boughrara	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Hammam Boughrara	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Hammam Bouhadjar	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Hammam Bouhadjar	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Hammam Dalaa	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Hammam Dalaa	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Hammam De-bagh	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Hammam De-bagh	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Hammam Guergour	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Hammam Guergour	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Hammam Melouane	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Hammam Melouane	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Hammam N'Bail	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Hammam N'Bail	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Hammam Righa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Hammam Righa	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Hammam Soukhna	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Hammam Soukhna	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Hammamet	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Hammamet	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Hamraia	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Hamraia	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Hamri	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Hamri	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Hamri	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Hamri	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Hanchir Toumghani	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.6%
Algeria	Hanchir Toumghani	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.6%
Algeria	Hanench	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Hanench	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Hannacha	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Hannacha	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Haraoua	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Haraoua	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Haraza	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Haraza	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Harbil	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Harbil	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Harchoune	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Harchoune	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Hasnaoua	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Hasnaoua	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Hassaine Beni Yahi	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassaine Beni Yahi	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassani Abdelkrim	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassani Abdelkrim	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassasna	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Hassasna	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Hassi Bahbah	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassi Bahbah	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Abdellah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Abdellah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Okba	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Okba	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassi Bounif	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Hassi Bounif	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Hassi Dahou	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Hassi Dahou	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Hassi Delaa	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Algeria	Hassi Delaa	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Algeria	Hassi El Euch	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Hassi El Euch	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Hassi El Ghella	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Hassi El Ghella	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Hassi Fedoul	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Hassi Fedoul	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Hassi Fehal	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Hassi Fehal	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Hassi Gara	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Hassi Gara	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Hassi Khalifa	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassi Khalifa	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassi Mameche	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Hassi Mameche	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Hassi Mef-soukh	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassi Mef-soukh	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Hassi MESSAoud	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassi MESSAoud	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Hassi R'Mel	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Algeria	Hassi R'Mel	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Algeria	Hassi Zehana	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Hassi Zehana	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Hattatba	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Hattatba	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Helliopolis	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Helliopolis	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Hennaya	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Hennaya	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Herenfa	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Herenfa	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Hidoussa	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Hidoussa	2017	97.6%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Hoceinia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Hoceinia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Honaine	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Honaine	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Houari	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Boumedi- ene Houari	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Boumedi- ene				
Algeria	Hounet	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Hounet	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Ibn Ziad	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ibn Ziad	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Iboudraren	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Iboudraren	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ichmoul	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Ichmoul	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Idjeur	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Idjeur	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Idles	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Idles	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Ifelain Ilma- then	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ifelain Ilma- then	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Iferhounene	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Iferhounene	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ifigha	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ifigha	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Iflissen	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Iflissen	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Ighil-Ali	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ighil-Ali	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ighrem	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ighrem	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Igli	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Igli	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Illilten	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Illilten	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Illizi	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Algeria	Illizi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Illoula	2000	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Oumalou Illoula	2017	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Oumalou				
Algeria	Imsouhal	2000	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Imsouhal	2017	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	In Amenas	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	In Amenas	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Algeria	In Ghar	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	In Ghar	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	In Guezzam	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	In Guezzam	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	In M'Guel	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	In M'Guel	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	In Salah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	In Salah	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	In Zghmir	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	In Zghmir	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Inoughissen	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Inoughissen	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Irdjen	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Irdjen	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Isser	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Isser	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Jijel	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Jijel	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Kadiria	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Kadiria	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Kais	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Kais	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Kalaa	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Kalaa	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Kalaat Bous- baa	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Kalaat Bous- baa	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Kanoua	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Kanoua	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Kasdir	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.0%
Algeria	Kasdir	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
Algeria	Kef El Ahmar	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
Algeria	Kef El Ahmar	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
Algeria	Kenadsa	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Kenadsa	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Kendira	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Kendira	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Kerkera	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Kerkera	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Kerzaz	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Kerzaz	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Khadra	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Khadra	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Khalouia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Khalouia	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Khams Djoua- maa	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Khams Djoua- maa	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Khatouti Sed Eldjir	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Khatouti Sed Eldjir	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Khedara	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Algeria	Khedara	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Algeria	Kheir Oued Adjoul	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Kheir Oued Adjoul	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Kheiredine	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Kheiredine	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Khelil	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Khelil	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Khemis El Khechna	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Khemis El Khechna	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Khemis Miliana	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Khemis Miliana	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Khemissa	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Algeria	Khemissa	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Khemisti	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Khemisti	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Khenchela	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Khenchela	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Kheneg	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Kheneg	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Kheng Maoun	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Kheng Maoun	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Khenguet Sidi Nadji	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.0%
Algeria	Khenguet Sidi Nadji	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Kherrata	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Kherrata	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Khezzara	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Khezzara	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Khirane	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Khirane	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Khoubana	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Khoubana	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Algeria	Khraicia	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Khraicia	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Kimmel	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Kimmel	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Kolea	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Kolea	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Kouas	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Kouas	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Kouba	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Kouba	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Kouinine	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Kouinine	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Krakda	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Algeria	Krakda	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.6%
Algeria	Ksabi	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ksabi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ksar Bellezma	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Ksar Bellezma	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Ksar Chellala	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Ksar Chellala	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Ksar El Abtal	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Ksar El Abtal	2017	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Ksar El Boukhari	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Ksar El Boukhari	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Ksar El Sbihi	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
Algeria	Ksar El Sbihi	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Ksar Hirane	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Ksar Hirane	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Ksar Kaddour	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ksar Kaddour	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Ksour	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ksour	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Labioud Med-jadja	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Labioud Med-jadja	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Lac Des Oiseaux	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Lac Des Oiseaux	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Laghouat	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Laghouat	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Lahlef	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Lahlef	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Lahmar	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Algeria	Lahmar	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Algeria	Lakhdaria	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Lakhdaria	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Larbaa-Nath-Irathen	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Larbaa-Nath-Irathen	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Larbatache	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Larbatache	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Lardjem	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Lardjem	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Larhat	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Larhat	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Layoune	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Layoune	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Lazharia	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Lazharia	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Lazrou	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Lazrou	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Leghata	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Leghata	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Lemsane	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Lemsane	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Lemtar	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Lemtar	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Les Eucalyptus	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Les Eucalyptus	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Lichana	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Lichana	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Lioua	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Lioua	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	M_Ziraa	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	M_Ziraa	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	M'Chedallah	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	M'Chedallah	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	M'Cid	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	M'Cid	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	M'Cif	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	M'Cif	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	M'Daourouche	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	M'Daourouche	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	M'Doukal	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	M'Doukal	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	M'Kira	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	M'Kira	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	M'Lili	2000	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	M'Lili	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	M'Liliha	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	M'Liliha	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	M'Naguer	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	M'Naguer	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	M'Rara	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	M'Rara	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	M'Sara	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	M'Sara	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	M'Sila	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Algeria	M'Sila	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Algeria	M'Tarfa	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Algeria	M'Tarfa	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Algeria	M'Toussa	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	M'Toussa	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Maacem	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Maacem	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Maadid	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Maadid	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Maafa	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Maafa	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Maala	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Maala	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Maamora	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Maamora	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Maamora	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Maamora	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Maaouia	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Maaouia	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Maarif	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Maarif	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Maatkas	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Maatkas	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Machroha	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Machroha	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Madna	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Madna	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Maghnia	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Maghnia	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Magra	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Magra	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Magrane	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Magrane	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Magtaa Douz	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Magtaa Douz	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Mahdia	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Mahdia	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Mahelma	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Mahelma	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Makhda	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Makhda	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Makman Ben Amer	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Algeria	Makman Ben Amer	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Algeria	Makouda	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Makouda	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Mansoura	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Mansoura	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Mansoura	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Mansoura	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Mansourah	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Mansourah	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Mansourah	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Mansourah	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Maouaklane	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Maouaklane	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Maoussa	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Maoussa	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Marsa Ben M'Hidi	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Algeria	Marsa Ben M'Hidi	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Algeria	Marsat El Hadjadj	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Marsat El Hadjadj	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Mascara	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Mascara	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Mazouna	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Mazouna	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Mecheria	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Mecheria	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Mechouneche	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Mechouneche	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Mechraa Houari Boumediene	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Mechraa Houari Boumediene	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Mechraa Safa	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Mechraa Safa	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Mechtrass	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Mechtrass	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Medea	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Medea	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Mediouna	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Mediouna	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Medjana	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Medjana	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Medjaz Ammar	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Medjaz Ammar	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Medjaz Sfa	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Medjaz Sfa	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Medjebar	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Medjebar	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Medjedel	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Medjedel	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Medrissa	2000	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Medrissa	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Medroussa	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Medroussa	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Meftah	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Meftah	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Meftaha	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Meftaha	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Megarine	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Megarine	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Megheraoua	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Megheraoua	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Meghila	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Meghila	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Mekhadma	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Mekhadma	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Mekhareg	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Mekhareg	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Mekhatria	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Mekhatria	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Mekkedra	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Mekkedra	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Mekla	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Mekla	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Melaab	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Melaab	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Melbou	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Melbou	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Mellakou	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Mellakou	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Menaa	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Menaa	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Menaa	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Menaa	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Menaceur	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Menaceur	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Mendes	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Mendes	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Merad	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Merad	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Merahna	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	Merahna	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	Merdja Sidi Abed	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Merdja Sidi Abed	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Merhoum	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Merhoum	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Meridja	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Algeria	Meridja	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.9%
Algeria	Merine	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Merine	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Merouana	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Merouana	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Mers El Kebir	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Mers El Kebir	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Meskiana	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Meskiana	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Mesra	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Mesra	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Messaad	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Messaad	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Messelmoun	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Messelmoun	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Metarfa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Metarfa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Metlili	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Metlili	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Mezaourou	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Mezaourou	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Mezdour	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Mezdour	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Mezghrane	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Mezghrane	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Mezloug	2000	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Algeria	Mezloug	2017	97.4%	97.8%	97.0%
Algeria	Mezrenna	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Mezrenna	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Mih Ouansa	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Mih Ouansa	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Mihoub	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Mihoub	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Mila	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Algeria	Mila	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Miliana	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Miliana	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Minar Zarza	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Minar Zarza	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Misserghin	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Misserghin	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Mizrana	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Mizrana	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Mogheul	2000	98.7%	99.4%	97.8%
Algeria	Mogheul	2017	98.7%	99.4%	97.8%
Algeria	Moghrar	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Moghrar	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Mohamed Boudiaf	2000	99.0%	99.5%	98.6%
Algeria	Mohamed Boudiaf	2017	99.0%	99.5%	98.6%
Algeria	Mohammadia	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Mohammadia	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Morsot	2000	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Morsot	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Mostaganem	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Mostaganem	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Moudjebara	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Moudjebara	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Moulay Larbi	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Moulay Larbi	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Moulay Slissen	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Moulay Slissen	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Moussadek	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Moussadek	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Mouzaia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Mouzaia	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Msirda	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.7%
Algeria	Fouaga Msirda	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Algeria	Fouaga				
Algeria	Mustafa Ben Brahim	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Mustafa Ben Brahim	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	N'Gaous	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	N'Gaous	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	N'Goussa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	N'Goussa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Naama	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Algeria	Naama	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Algeria	Naciria	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Naciria	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Nador	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Nador	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Nadorah	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Nadorah	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Naima	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Naima	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Nakhla	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Nakhla	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Nechemaya	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Nechemaya	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Nedroma	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Nedroma	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Negrine	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Negrine	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Nekmaria	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Nekmaria	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Nesmoth	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Nesmoth	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Nezla	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Nezla	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Oggaz	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Oggaz	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Ogla Melha	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Ogla Melha	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Oran	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Oran	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Ouacif	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouacif	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouadhia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouadhia	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouaguenoun	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouaguenoun	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouamri	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouamri	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouanougha	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouanougha	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouargla	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Ouargla	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Ouarizane	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Ouarizane	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Oudjana	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Oudjana	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Oued Athmenia	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Athmenia	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Berkeche	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Oued Berkeche	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Oued Chaaba	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Oued Chaaba	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Cheham	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Oued Cheham	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Oued Chorfa	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued Chorfa	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued Chouly	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued Chouly	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Oued Djemaa	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Oued Djemaa	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Oued Djer	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Djer	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued El Abtal	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued El Abtal	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued El Al-enda	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Oued El Al-enda	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Oued El Alleug	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued El Alleug	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued El Aneb	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued El Aneb	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued El Barad	2000	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued El Barad	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Oued El Berdi	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued El Berdi	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued El Djemaa	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued El Djemaa	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued El Kheir	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Oued El Kheir	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Oued El Ma	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued El Ma	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Endja	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued Endja	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued Essalem	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Essalem	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Fodda	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Fodda	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Fragha	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued Fragha	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued Ghir	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Oued Ghir	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Oued Gous-sine	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Gous-sine	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Harbil	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued Harbil	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Kebrit	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Oued Kebrit	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Oued Lilli	2000	98.0%	98.3%	97.7%
Algeria	Oued Lilli	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.7%
Algeria	Oued M'Zi	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued M'Zi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued Mora	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued Mora	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued Nini	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Nini	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Rhiou	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Oued Rhiou	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Oued Sebaa	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Sebaa	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Sebbah	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Oued Sebbah	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Oued Sefioune	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued Sefioune	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Oued Seguen	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
Algeria	Oued Seguen	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
Algeria	Oued Sly	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued Sly	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued Taga	2000	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Taga	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Oued Taourira	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Algeria	Oued Taourira	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Oued Taria	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Oued Taria	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Oued Tlelat	2000	99.2%	99.3%	99.0%
Algeria	Oued Tlelat	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Oued Zenati	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Oued Zenati	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Oued Zhour	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Oued Zhour	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued Zitoun	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Oued Zitoun	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Ouenza	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Ouenza	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Ouezra	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ouezra	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ouillen	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Algeria	Ouillen	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Algeria	Ouldja Boulbalout	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouldja Boulbalout	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Abbes	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Abbes	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Addi Guebala	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Ouled Addi Guebala	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Ouled Ad-douane	2000	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Ouled Ad-douane	2017	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Ahmed Temmi	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Ouled Ahmed Temmi	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ouled Ammar	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Ouled Ammar	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Ouled Antar	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Antar	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Aouf	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Ouled Aouf	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Attia	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Ouled Attia	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Ouled Ben Abdelkader	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouled Ben Abdelkader	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Bessem	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Ouled Bessem	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Ouled Bouachra	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouled Bouachra	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ouled Boudjemaa	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ouled Boudjemaa	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ouled Boughalem	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Algeria	Ouled Boughalem	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Algeria	Ouled Brahem	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ouled Brahem	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ouled Chebel	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Chebel	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Dahmane	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Ouled Dahmane	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Ouled Daid	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Daid	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Derradj	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Ouled Derradj	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Ouled Djellal	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Ouled Djellal	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Ouled Driss	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Driss	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Ouled Fadhel	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Ouled Fadhel	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Ouled Fares	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Fares	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Fayet	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouled Fayet	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Gacem	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Gacem	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Hamla	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ouled Hamla	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Ouled Hebbaba	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Ouled Hebbaba	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Ouled Hedadj	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Hedadj	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Hellal	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Hellal	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Khaled	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Ouled Khaled	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Khelouf	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ouled Khelouf	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Ouled Khoudir	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ouled Khoudir	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ouled Kihel	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Ouled Kihel	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Maalah	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Ouled Maalah	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Ouled Maaraf	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Maaraf	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Madhi	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Ouled Madhi	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Ouled Mansour	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Ouled Mansour	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Algeria	Ouled Mimoun	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Ouled Mimoun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Ouled Moumen	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.2%
Algeria	Ouled Moumen	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.2%
Algeria	Ouled Moussa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Moussa	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Rabah	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Rabah	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Ouled Rached	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Rached	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Rahmoune	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Ouled Rahmoune	2017	98.0%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Ouled Rechache	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Rechache	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Riyah	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Ouled Riyah	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Ouled Saber	2000	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Algeria	Ouled Saber	2017	97.4%	97.8%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Said	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Ouled Said	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Ouled Sellem	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Sellem	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Si Ahmed	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ouled Si Ahmed	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ouled Si Slimane	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ouled Si Slimane	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Mihoub	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Mihoub	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Ouled Slama	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Slama	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Slimane	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Ouled Slimane	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Ouled Tebben	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Tebben	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Yahia Khadrouche	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouled Yahia Khadrouche	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Zaoui	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ouled Zaoui	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Oulhaca El Gheraba	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Oulhaca El Gheraba	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Oultene	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Oultene	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Oum Ali	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.2%
Algeria	Oum Ali	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.2%
Algeria	Oum Drou	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oum Drou	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oum El Adhaim	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Oum El Adhaim	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Oum El Assel	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Oum El Assel	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Oum El Bouaghi	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Oum El Bouaghi	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Oum El Djellil	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Oum El Djellil	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Oum Laadham	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Oum Laadham	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Algeria	Oum Toub	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Oum Toub	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Oum Touyour	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Oum Touyour	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Oumache	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Oumache	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Algeria	Ourlal	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Ourlal	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Ourmes	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Ourmes	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Ouyoun El Asafir	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouyoun El Asafir	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouzzelaguen	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouzzelaguen	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Rabta	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Rabta	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Ragouba	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Ragouba	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Rahouia	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Rahouia	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ramdane	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Djamel				
Algeria	Ramdane	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Djamel				
Algeria	Ramka	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Ramka	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Raml Souk	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Raml Souk	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.6%
Algeria	Raouraoua	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Raouraoua	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ras Ain	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Amirouche				
Algeria	Ras Ain	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Amirouche				
Algeria	Ras El Agba	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ras El Agba	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ras El Aioun	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ras El Aioun	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Ras El Ma	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.2%
Algeria	Ras El Ma	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
Algeria	Ras El Oued	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Ras El Oued	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ras Mi-	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	aad Ouled				
Algeria	Sassi				
Algeria	Ras Mi-	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	aad Ouled				
Algeria	Sassi				
Algeria	Rechaiga	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Rechaiga	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Redjem De-	2000	97.9%	98.6%	96.8%
Algeria	mouche				
Algeria	Redjem De-	2017	97.9%	98.7%	96.9%
Algeria	mouche				
Algeria	Reggane	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Reggane	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Reghaia	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Reghaia	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Reguiba	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Reguiba	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Algeria	Rehbat	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Rehbat	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Relizane	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Relizane	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Algeria	Remchi	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Remchi	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Remila	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Remila	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Ridane	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ridane	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Robbah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Robbah	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Rogassa	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Algeria	Rogassa	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Algeria	Roknia	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Roknia	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Rosfa	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Rosfa	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Rouached	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Algeria	Rouached	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Roubia	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Roubia	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Rouiba	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Rouiba	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Rouina	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Rouina	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Rouissat	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Rouissat	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Sabra	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Algeria	Sabra	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Safel	El 2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
	Ouiden				
Algeria	Safel	El 2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.2%
	Ouiden				
Algeria	Safsaf	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Safsaf	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Safsaf	El 2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
	Ouesra				
Algeria	Safsaf	El 2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.2%
	Ouesra				
Algeria	Saharidj	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Saharidj	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Saida	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Saida	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Salah Bey	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Salah Bey	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Salah	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
	Bouchaour				
Algeria	Salah	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
	Bouchaour				
Algeria	Sali	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Sali	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Saneg	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Saneg	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Saoula	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Saoula	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Sayada	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Sayada	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Sebaa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Sebaa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Sebaine	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.3%
Algeria	Sebaine	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.2%
Algeria	Sebbaa	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
	Chioukh				
Algeria	Sebbaa	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
	Chioukh				
Algeria	Sebdou	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Sebdou	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Sebgag	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Sebgag	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Sebseb	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Sebseb	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Sebt	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Sebt	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Sed Rahal	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Sed Rahal	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Seddouk	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Seddouk	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Sedjerara	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Algeria	Sedjerara	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sedrata	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Sedrata	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sedraya	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Sedraya	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Sefiane	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Sefiane	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Seggana	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Seggana	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Seghouane	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Seghouane	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Sehailia	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Sehailia	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Sehala	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Thaoura	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Selaoua	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Selaoua	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Selma Benzi- ada	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Selma Benzi- ada	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Selmana	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Algeria	Selmana	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Sendjas	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Sendjas	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Seraidi	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Seraidi	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Serdj-El- Ghoul	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Serdj-El- Ghoul	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Serghine	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Serghine	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Seriana	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Seriana	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Setif	2000	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Algeria	Setif	2017	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Algeria	Settara	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Settara	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Sfisef	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Sfisef	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Sfissifa	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
Algeria	Sfissifa	2017	97.9%	98.7%	97.0%
Algeria	Si Abdelghani	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.2%
Algeria	Si Abdelghani	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.2%
Algeria	Si El Mahd- joub	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Si El Mahd- joub	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Si Mustapha	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Si Mustapha	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelaziz	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelaziz	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abdeldje- bar	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Sidi Abdeldje- bar	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelli	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelli	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Abdel- moumene	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Abdelmoumene	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abed	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Abed	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ahmed	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ahmed	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Sidi Aissa	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Aissa	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Akkacha	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Akkacha	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ali	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Sidi Ali	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Benyoub	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Benyoub	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Bous-sidi	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Bous-sidi	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Mellal	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Mellal	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ameur	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ameur	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Ameur	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ameur	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Amrane	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Amrane	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Aoun	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Aoun	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Baizid	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Sidi Baizid	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Sidi Bakhti	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Sidi Bakhti	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Sidi Bel Abbes	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Bel Abbes	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Belattar	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Belattar	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Adda	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Adda	2017	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Yebka	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Yebka	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Boubekeur	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Boubekour	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Boumediene	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Sidi Boumediene	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Sidi Boussaid	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Boussaid	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Boutouchent	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Sidi Boutouchent	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Sidi Bouzid	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Sidi Bouzid	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Sidi Brahim	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Brahim	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Chahmi	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Chahmi	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Chouab	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	Sidi Chouab	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	Sidi Dahou Zair	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Dahou Zair	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Damed	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Sidi Damed	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Sidi Daoud	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Daoud	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Djilali	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Djilali	2017	98.3%	99.0%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Embarek	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Sidi Embarek	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Sidi Errabia	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Errabia	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Fredj	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Sidi Fredj	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Sidi Ghiles	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ghiles	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Hadjeres	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Sidi Hadjeres	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Sidi Hamadouche	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Hamadouche	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Hosni	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Hosni	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Sidi Kada	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Kada	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Sidi Khelifa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Khelifa	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Khelil	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khelil	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khettab	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Khettab	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Khouiled	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khouiled	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ladjel	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Algeria	Sidi Ladjel	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Lahcene	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Lahcene	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Lantri	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Lantri	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Lazreg	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Lazreg	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Benali				
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Benali				
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Algeria	Benaouda				
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Algeria	Benaouda				
Algeria	Sidi Makhoulouf	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Makhoulouf	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Marouf	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Sidi Marouf	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Sidi Medjahed	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Medjahed	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.5%
Algeria	Sidi Merouane	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Sidi Merouane	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Sidi	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Mezghiche				
Algeria	Sidi	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Mezghiche				
Algeria	Sidi Moussa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Moussa	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Sidi Okba	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Okba	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ouri-ache Tadmaya	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ouri-ache Tadmaya	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Sidi Rached	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Rached	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Saada	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Saada	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Safi	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Safi	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Sidi Said	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Said	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Semiane	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Semiane	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Sidi Tifour	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Algeria	Sidi Tifour	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Yacoub	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Yacoub	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Sidi Zahar	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Zahar	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ziane	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ziane	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sig	2000	99.1%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Sig	2017	99.1%	99.2%	98.9%
Algeria	Sigous	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Sigous	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Sirat	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Sirat	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Skikda	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Skikda	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Slim	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Slim	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Smaoun	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Smaoun	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Sobha	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Sobha	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Souafli	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Souafli	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Souagui	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Souagui	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Souahlia	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Souahlia	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Souamaa	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Souamaa	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Souani	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.6%
Algeria	Souani	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.6%
Algeria	Souarekh	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.6%
Algeria	Souarekh	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.6%
Algeria	Sougueur	2000	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Sougueur	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Souhan	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Souhan	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Souidania	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Souidania	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Souk Ahras	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Souk Ahras	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Souk El Khemis	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Souk El Khemis	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Souk Naamane	2000	97.7%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Souk Naamane	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Souk Oufella	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Souk Oufella	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Souk Tleta	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Souk Tleta	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Algeria	Soumaa	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Soumaa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Soumaa	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Soumaa	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Algeria	Sour	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sour	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Sour El Ghouzlane	2000	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Sour El Ghouzlane	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Stah Guentis	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Algeria	Stah Guentis	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.4%
Algeria	Staoueli	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Staoueli	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Stidia	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Stidia	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Still	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Still	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Stitten	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Stitten	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	T Kout	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	T Kout	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Tabelbala	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tabelbala	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tabia	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Tabia	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Tablat	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Tablat	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Tacheta	2000	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Zegagha				
Algeria	Tacheta	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Zegagha				
Algeria	Tachouda	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Tachouda	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Tadjemout	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Tadjemout	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Tadjena	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Tadjena	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Tadjenanet	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.5%
Algeria	Tadjenanet	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Tadjrouna	2000	98.7%	99.2%	97.9%
Algeria	Tadjrouna	2017	98.7%	99.2%	97.9%
Algeria	Tadmait	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Tadmait	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Tadmit	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Algeria	Tadmit	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Algeria	Tafissour	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Tafissour	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Tafraoui	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Tafraoui	2017	99.2%	99.3%	99.0%
Algeria	Tafraout	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Tafraout	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Tafreg	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Tafreg	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Tagdemt	2000	97.9%	98.2%	97.4%
Algeria	Tagdemt	2017	97.9%	98.2%	97.4%
Algeria	Taghit	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Taghit	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Taghlimet	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Taghlimet	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Taghzout	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Taghzout	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Taghzout	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Taghzout	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Taglait	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Taglait	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Taguedit	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Taguedit	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Algeria	Taher	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Taher	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Taibet	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Taibet	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Takhemaret	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Takhemaret	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Tala Hamza	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Tala Hamza	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Tala-Ifacene	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Tala-Ifacene	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Taleb Larbi	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Taleb Larbi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Talkhamt	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Talkhamt	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Talmine	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Talmine	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tamalous	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Tamalous	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Tamantit	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Tamantit	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Tamekten	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Tamekten	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Tamelaht	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Tamelaht	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Algeria	Tamenghasset	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Tamenghasset	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Tamest	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tamest	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tamezguida	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Tamezguida	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Tamlouka	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Tamlouka	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Algeria	Tamokra	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Tamokra	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Tamridjet	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Tamridjet	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Tamsa	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Tamsa	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Tamtert	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tamtert	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tamza	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Tamza	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Tamzoura	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Tamzoura	2017	99.2%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Taouala	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Algeria	Taouala	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Algeria	Taoudmout	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Taoudmout	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Taougrit	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Taougrit	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Taoura	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Algeria	Taoura	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Algeria	Taourga	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Taourga	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Taurit Ighil	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Taurit Ighil	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Taouzianat	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Taouzianat	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Tarik Ibn-Ziad	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Tarik Ibn-Ziad	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Tarmount	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Tarmount	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Algeria	Taskriout	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Taskriout	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Tassadane Haddada	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Tassadane Haddada	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Tassala	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Algeria	Tassala	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Taxlent	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Taxlent	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Taya	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Taya	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Tazgait	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Tazgait	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Tazmalt	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Tazmalt	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Tazoult	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Tazoult	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Tazrouk	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Tazrouk	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Tebesbest	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tebesbest	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tebessa	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Tebessa	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Telaa	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Telaa	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Telagh	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Telagh	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Telassa	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Telassa	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Algeria	Teleghma	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Teleghma	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Temacine	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Temacine	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tenedla	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Tenedla	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Tenes	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.1%
Algeria	Tenes	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.1%
Algeria	Teniet El Abed	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Teniet El Abed	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Teniet En Nasr	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Teniet En Nasr	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Tenira	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Tenira	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Terga	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Algeria	Terga	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Algeria	Terny Beni Hediel	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Terny Beni Hediel	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Terraguelt	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Terraguelt	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Terrai Bainnane	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Terrai Bainnane	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Tesmart	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Tesmart	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Tessala Lamtai	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Tessala Lamtai	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Tessala-El-Merdja	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Algeria	Tessala-El-Merdja	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Texenna	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Texenna	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Thelidjene	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.2%
Algeria	Thelidjene	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	Thenia	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Thenia	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Theniet El Had	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Theniet El Had	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Thleth Douair	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Thleth Douair	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Tianet	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Tianet	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Tiaret	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Algeria	Tiaret	2017	97.9%	98.2%	97.5%
Algeria	Tiberguent	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Algeria	Tiberguent	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Algeria	Tiberkanine	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Tiberkanine	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Tichy	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Tichy	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Tidda	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Tidda	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Tidjelabine	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Tidjelabine	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Tiffech	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Tiffech	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Tifra	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Tifra	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Tighanimine	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Tighanimine	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Tigharghar	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	Tigharghar	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	Tighenif	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Tighenif	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Tigzirt	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Tigzirt	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Algeria	Tilatou	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Tilatou	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Tilmouni	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Tilmouni	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Timezrit	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Timezrit	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Timezrit	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Timezrit	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Timgad	2000	97.6%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Timgad	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Timiaouine	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Algeria	Timiaouine	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Timizart	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Timizart	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Timmimoun	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Timmimoun	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Timoudi	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Timoudi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tin Zaouatine	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Algeria	Tin Zaouatine	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Algeria	Tindouf	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Tindouf	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Tinedbar	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Tinedbar	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Tinerkouk	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Algeria	Tinerkouk	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Algeria	Tiout	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Tiout	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Tipaza	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Tipaza	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Tircine	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Tircine	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Tirmitine	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Tirmitine	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Tissemsilt	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Tissemsilt	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Tit	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Tit	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Algeria	Tixter	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Algeria	Tixter	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Algeria	Tizi	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Tizi	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Tizi Mahdi	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Tizi Mahdi	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Tizi N'Bechar	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Tizi N'Bechar	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Tizi N'Tleta	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Tizi N'Tleta	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Tizi Ouzou	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Tizi Ouzou	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Tizi-Ghenif	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Tizi-Ghenif	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Tizi-N'Berber	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Tizi-N'Berber	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Tizi-Rached	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Tizi-Rached	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Tlemcen	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Tlemcen	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Algeria	Tolga	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Tolga	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Algeria	Touahria	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Touahria	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Toudja	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Toudja	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Touggourt	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Touggourt	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Tousmouline	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Algeria	Tousmouline	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Tousnina	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Tousnina	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Treat	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Treat	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Trifaoui	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Trifaoui	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Tsabit	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Tsabit	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Yabous	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Yabous	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Yahia Be-niguecha	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Algeria	Yahia Be-niguecha	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Algeria	Yakourene	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Yakourene	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Yatafene	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Yatafene	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Yellel	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Yellel	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Algeria	Youb	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Youb	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Zaafrane	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Algeria	Zaafrane	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Zaarouria	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Zaarouria	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Zaccar	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Zaccar	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Algeria	Zahana	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Zahana	2017	99.2%	99.3%	98.9%
Algeria	Zanet El Beida	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Zanet El Beida	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Zaouia El Abidia	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Zaouia El Abidia	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Algeria	Zaouiet Kounta	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Zaouiet Kounta	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Zarzour	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Zarzour	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.7%
Algeria	Zbarbar El Is-seri	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Zbarbar El Is-seri	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Zeboudja	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Zeboudja	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Zeddine	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Zeddine	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Zeghaia	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Algeria	Zeghaia	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Algeria	Zekri	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Zekri	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Zelfana	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Zelfana	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Algeria	Zelmata	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Zelmata	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Zemmoura	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Algeria	Zemmoura	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Zemmouri	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Zemmouri	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Zenata	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Zenata	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Algeria	Zeralda	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Zeralda	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Algeria	Zerdeza	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Zerdeza	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Zeribet El Oued	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Zeribet El Oued	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Algeria	Zerizer	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Zerizer	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Zerouala	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Zerouala	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Algeria	Ziama Mansouria	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ziama Mansouria	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Zighoud Youcef	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Zighoud Youcef	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Zitouna	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Zitouna	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Zitouna	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Zitouna	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Zmalet El Emir Abdelkader	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Zmalet El Emir Abdelkader	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Zorg	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Zorg	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Zouabi	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Algeria	Zouabi	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Algeria	Zoubiria	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Zoubiria	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Egypt	'Abdin	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	'Abdin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	'Ain Schams	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	'Ain Schams	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	'Ataqah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	'Ataqah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 1	2000	99.7%	100.0%	97.3%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 2	2000	99.6%	100.0%	97.4%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	15 Mayu	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	15 Mayu	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abnub	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Abnub	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu al-Matamir	2000	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Egypt	Abu al-Matamir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu Hammad	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
Egypt	Abu Hammad	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu Hummus	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Abu Hummus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Abu Kabir	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Egypt	Abu Kabir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu Qurqas	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Egypt	Abu Qurqas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu Radis	2000	99.7%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Abu Radis	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu Tij	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Abu Tij	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu Tisht	2000	99.1%	99.6%	98.1%
Egypt	Abu Tisht	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Abu Zenima	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.4%
Egypt	Abu Zenima	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dab'ah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Egypt	Ad-Dab'ah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Darb al-Ahmar	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Darb al-Ahmar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dawahy	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ad-Dawahy	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dilinat	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Ad-Dilinat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad- Dukhaylah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ad- Dukhaylah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Duqi	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ad-Duqi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Aja	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Egypt	Aja	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Akhmim	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Akhmim	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Ajuzah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-'Ajuzah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Amriyah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-'Amriyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arab	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arab	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 1	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 2	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 3	2000	99.9%	100.0%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 3	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 4	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 4	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Atarin	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-'Atarin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Ayyat	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.5%
Egypt	Al-'Ayyat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Idwah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-'Idwah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Ubur	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Egypt	Al-'Ubur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al- 'Umranayah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al- 'Umranayah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Usayrat	2000	98.2%	99.1%	96.9%
Egypt	Al-'Usayrat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ahram	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Ahram	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Arb'in	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Arb'in	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Azbakiyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Azbakiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Badari	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Badari	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Badrashayn	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.6%
Egypt	Al-Badrashayn	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Bajur	2000	99.4%	99.6%	98.9%
Egypt	Al-Bajur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Baliyana	2000	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Baliyana	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Basatin	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Basatin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Burulus	2000	98.3%	99.5%	95.7%
Egypt	Al-Burulus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Fashn	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Al-Fashn	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Fath	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Fath	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum City	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub 2	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ghanayim	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Ghanayim	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah 2	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.6%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Hammam	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Hammam	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Hamul	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.8%
Egypt	Al-Hamul	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Hasanah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Hasanah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Hawamidiyah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Hawamidiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Husayniyah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Husayniyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ibrahimiya	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.6%
Egypt	Al-Ibrahimiya	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Janayin	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Janayin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Jumruk	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Jumruk	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Kawtar	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-Kawtar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Khalifa	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Khalifa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Khankah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Khankah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Khusus	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Khusus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Laban	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Laban	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ma'adi	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Ma'adi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 1	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 2	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mahmudiyah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-Mahmudiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manakh	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manakh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manasrah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manasrah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manshah	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.5%
Egypt	Al-Manshah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manshiyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Manshiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 1	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 2	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manzilah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Al-Manzilah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Maraghah	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Egypt	Al-Maraghah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Marj	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Marj	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Minya	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Minya	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Minya City	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Minya City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Muntazah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Muntazah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Muski	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Muski	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qanatir al-Khayriyah	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Egypt	Al-Qanatir al-Khayriyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qanayat	2000	98.1%	99.1%	96.4%
Egypt	Al-Qanayat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah ash-Sharqiyah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah ash-Sharqiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qurayn	2000	98.4%	99.4%	96.2%
Egypt	Al-Qurayn	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qusayr	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Qusayr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Qusiyah	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Qusiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Bahariyah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.5%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Bahariyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Kharijah	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Kharijah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Waili	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Waili	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Waqf	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Egypt	Al-Waqf	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Warraq	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Warraq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Wasta	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Wasta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	An-Nuzhah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	An-Nuzhah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ancient Cairo	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ancient Cairo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ar-Rahmaniyah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Egypt	Ar-Rahmaniyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 1	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 2	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ar-Riyad	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.2%
Egypt	Ar-Riyad	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Armant	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Armant	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Saff	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Egypt	As-Saff	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	As-Sajil	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Sajil	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Salam	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Salam	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Salum	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.4%
Egypt	As-Salum	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Santah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	As-Santah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Sayidah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Sayidah Zaynab	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	As-Sinbillawayn	2000	98.4%	99.1%	97.4%
Egypt	As-Sinbillawayn	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Shalatin	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Ash-Shalatin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Sharabiyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Sharabiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Sharq	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Sharq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Shruq	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Ash-Shruq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Shuhada	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Egypt	Ash-Shuhada	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ashmun	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Egypt	Ashmun	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Aswan	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Aswan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Aswan City	2000	99.7%	100.0%	97.3%
Egypt	Aswan City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Asyut	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Asyut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Asyut 1	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Asyut 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Asyut 2	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Asyut 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	At-Tall al-Kabir	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Egypt	At-Tall al-Kabir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	At-Tebin	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	At-Tebin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	At-Tur	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	At-Tur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Atfih	2000	98.9%	99.5%	97.8%
Egypt	Atfih	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Awlad Saqr	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Egypt	Awlad Saqr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zahir	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zahir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zaytun	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zaytun	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zohur	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zohur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bab ash-Sha'riyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bab ash-Sha'riyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Bab Sharqi	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Bab Sharqi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Badr	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.2%
Egypt	Badr	2000	99.5%	100.0%	95.3%
Egypt	Badr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Badr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Banha	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Banha	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bani Mazar	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Egypt	Bani Mazar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf City	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bani Ubayd	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Egypt	Bani Ubayd	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Baris Shurtah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Egypt	Baris Shurtah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Basyun	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Egypt	Basyun	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Biba	2000	98.4%	99.1%	97.2%
Egypt	Biba	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bilbays	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.8%
Egypt	Bilbays	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bilqas	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Egypt	Bilqas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bir al-'Abd	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Bir al-'Abd	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Birkat as-Sab'	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Egypt	Birkat as-Sab'	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Biyala	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Egypt	Biyala	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bulaq	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Bulaq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bulaq al-Dakrur	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bulaq al-Dakrur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Burj al-'Arab	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Egypt	Burj al-'Arab	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Dahab	2000	99.4%	100.0%	97.9%
Egypt	Dahab	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Damanhur	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Damanhur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Damietta	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Damietta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Damietta 1	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Damietta 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Damietta 2	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Damietta 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Dar as-Salam	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Egypt	Dar as-Salam	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Daraw	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Daraw	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Dayr Mawas	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Egypt	Dayr Mawas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Dayrut	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Egypt	Dayrut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Dikirnis	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Dikirnis	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Dishna	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Egypt	Dishna	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Disuq	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Disuq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Diyarb Najm	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.5%
Egypt	Diyarb Najm	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Fa'id	2000	99.6%	100.0%	97.8%
Egypt	Fa'id	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Faisal	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Egypt	Faisal	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Faqus	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Faqus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Faraskur	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Faraskur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Farshut	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.4%
Egypt	Farshut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Fuwah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Fuwah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Gamsa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Egypt	Gamsa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Giza	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Giza	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Hada'iq	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Hada'iq al-Qubbah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Hawsh 'Isa	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Hawsh 'Isa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Heliopolis	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Heliopolis	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Helwan	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Helwan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Hihya	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.5%
Egypt	Hihya	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ibshaway	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ibshaway	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Idfu	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Idfu	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Idku	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Idku	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ihnasiya	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Ihnasiya	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Imbabah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Imbabah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ismailia	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Egypt	Ismailia	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ismailia 1	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ismailia 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ismailia 2	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Ismailia 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ismailia 3	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ismailia 3	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Isna	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Isna	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Itsa	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Itsa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ityay al-Barud	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.3%
Egypt	Ityay al-Barud	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Jirja	2000	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Egypt	Jirja	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Juhaynah al-Gharbiyah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Juhaynah al-Gharbiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kafr ad-Dawwar	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Kafr ad-Dawwar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kafr ash-Shaykh	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Kafr ash-Shaykh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kafr az-Zayyat	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.1%
Egypt	Kafr az-Zayyat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kafr Sa'd	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Kafr Sa'd	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kafr Saqr	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.6%
Egypt	Kafr Saqr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kafr Shukr	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Kafr Shukr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Karmuz	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Karmuz	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kawm Hamadah	2000	99.4%	99.6%	98.8%
Egypt	Kawm Hamadah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kawm Umbu	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Kawm Umbu	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Kirdasah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Kirdasah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Luxor	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Luxor	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Maghaghah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Maghaghah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mahalat Dimnah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Mahalat Dimnah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mallawi	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Egypt	Mallawi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mallawi City	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Mallawi City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Manfalut	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Manfalut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Marina al-'Alamayn as-Siyahiyah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Marina al-'Alamayn as-Siyahiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Marsa 'Alam	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Marsa 'Alam	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Marsa Matruh	2000	98.7%	99.5%	97.5%
Egypt	Marsa Matruh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mashtul as-Suq	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
Egypt	Mashtul as-Suq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Matay	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.8%
Egypt	Matay	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Minuf	2000	99.5%	99.7%	98.9%
Egypt	Minuf	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Minuf City	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.8%
Egypt	Minuf City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Minya al-Qamh	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.0%
Egypt	Minya al-Qamh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Minyat an-Nasr	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Minyat an-Nasr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mit Ghamr	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.6%
Egypt	Mit Ghamr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mit Salsil	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Mit Salsil	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Monshat Nasr	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Monshat Nasr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mubarak - Sharq at-Tafri'tah	2000	99.7%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Mubarak - Sharq at-Tafri'tah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Muharam Bik	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Muharam Bik	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mutubis	2000	99.4%	99.9%	98.3%
Egypt	Mutubis	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nabaruh	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Nabaruh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Naj' Ham-madi	2000	98.5%	99.1%	97.5%
Egypt	Naj' Ham-madi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nakhl	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Egypt	Nakhl	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Naqadah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Naqadah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nasir Bush	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Nasir Bush	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nasr	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Nasr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nasr City 1	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Nasr City 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nasr City 2	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nasr City 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Akhmim City	2000	98.7%	100.0%	94.1%
Egypt	New Akhmim City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Asyut City	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	New Asyut City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Burj al-'Arab City	2000	99.6%	100.0%	97.9%
Egypt	New Burj al-'Arab City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Cairo 1	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.6%
Egypt	New Cairo 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Cairo 2	2000	99.2%	100.0%	97.6%
Egypt	New Cairo 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Cairo 3	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	New Cairo 3	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	New Damietta City	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	New Damietta City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Minya City	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	New Minya City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Salhiyah	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.1%
Egypt	New Salhiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Sawhaj City	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	New Sawhaj City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	New Tushka City	2000	99.7%	100.0%	97.9%
Egypt	New Tushka City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Nuweiba'	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Egypt	Nuweiba'	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port al-Basal	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Port al-Basal	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Alexandria Police Department	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Port Alexandria Police Department	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Fuad	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Fuad	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Fuad 2	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Port Fuad 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port of Damietta Police Department	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Port of Damietta Police Department	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Sa'id Police Department	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Sa'id Police Department	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Port Suez Police Department	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Port Suez Police Department	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qaha	2000	98.8%	99.6%	97.1%
Egypt	Qaha	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qallin	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.1%
Egypt	Qallin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qalyub	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Qalyub	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qasr an-Nil	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Qasr an-Nil	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qift	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Qift	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qina	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Qina	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Qina City	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Egypt	Qina City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qus	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Egypt	Qus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Qutur	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Qutur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Quwaysina	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.5%
Egypt	Quwaysina	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Rafah	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Egypt	Rafah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ras Gharib	2000	99.4%	99.9%	98.3%
Egypt	Ras Gharib	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ras Sidr	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Egypt	Ras Sidr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Rosetta	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.7%
Egypt	Rosetta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Rud al-Faraj	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Rud al-Faraj	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sadat City	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Sadat City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Safaja	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.2%
Egypt	Safaja	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sahil Salim	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Sahil Salim	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Samalut	2000	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Egypt	Samalut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Samannud	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Samannud	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sant Katrin	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.3%
Egypt	Sant Katrin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Saqltah	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Egypt	Saqltah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sawhaj	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Sawhaj	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sawhaj 2	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Sawhaj 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sharm el-Sheikh	2000	99.2%	99.9%	97.3%
Egypt	Sharm el-Sheikh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sheikh Zawid	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Sheikh Zawid	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sheikh Zayed	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	Sheikh Zayed	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shibin al-Kawm	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Shibin al-Kawm	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shibin al-Qanatir	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Shibin al-Qanatir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shirbin	2000	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Egypt	Shirbin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shubra	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Shubra	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 1	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 2	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shubra Khit	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Egypt	Shubra Khit	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Dakhlah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Dakhlah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Farafirah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Farafirah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Qasimah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Qasimah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Shurtah Rumanah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Egypt	Shurtah Rumanah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sidfa	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Sidfa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sidi Barrani	2000	99.9%	100.0%	98.9%
Egypt	Sidi Barrani	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sidi Jabir	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Sidi Jabir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sidi Salim	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.3%
Egypt	Sidi Salim	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sinnuris	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Sinnuris	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sirs al-Layyanah	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Egypt	Sirs al-Layyanah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Siwa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Siwa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sixth of October 1 City	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Egypt	Sixth of October 1 City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sixth of October 2 City	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Egypt	Sixth of October 2 City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Suez	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Suez	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Sumusta al-Waqf	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.5%
Egypt	Sumusta al-Waqf	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Taba	2000	99.7%	100.0%	97.7%
Egypt	Taba	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tahta	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Tahta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tahta City	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Tahta City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tala	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%
Egypt	Tala	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Talkha	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Talkha	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tamiyah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Tamiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tanta	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Tanta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tanta 1	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Tanta 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tanta 2	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Tanta 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tibah Police Dept.	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Tibah Police Dept.	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tima	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Tima	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Timay al-Imdid	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Timay al-Imdid	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Tukh	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Egypt	Tukh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Turah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Turah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Buhayrah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Buhayrah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Fayoum	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Fayoum	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Iskandariyah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Iskandariyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Jizah	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Jizah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Minya	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Minya	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qahirah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qahirah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qalyubiyah	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qalyubiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Uqsur	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Uqsur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Unorganized in Ash Sharqiyah	2000	99.1%	99.6%	97.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Ash Sharqiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Aswan	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Aswan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Asyut	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Egypt	Unorganized in Asyut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Bani Suwayf	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Bani Suwayf	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Qina	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Qina	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Suhaj	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Suhaj	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Wadi Al-Natron	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Wadi Al-Natron	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	West Nubariyah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Egypt	West Nubariyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Yusuf as-Sidiq	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Yusuf as-Sidiq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zamalik	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Zamalik	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zaqaziq	2000	98.9%	99.4%	98.1%
Egypt	Zaqaziq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 1	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.6%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 1	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 2	2000	99.1%	99.7%	98.1%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zarqa	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Zarqa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zawiyya Al-Hamra	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zawiyya Al-Hamra	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Zifta	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Egypt	Zifta	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Iraq	Abu al Khasib	2000	80.1%	91.9%	64.0%
Iraq	Abu al Khasib	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.5%
Iraq	Abu Ghraib	2000	93.0%	98.5%	81.8%
Iraq	Abu Ghraib	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.9%
Iraq	Ad Diwaniyah	2000	82.5%	92.8%	69.3%
Iraq	Ad Diwaniyah	2017	98.5%	99.6%	95.7%
Iraq	Adhamiya	2000	93.2%	98.1%	85.3%
Iraq	Adhamiya	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Afak	2000	80.1%	93.3%	60.9%
Iraq	Afak	2017	98.3%	99.5%	95.7%
Iraq	Ain Al Tamur	2000	79.0%	93.8%	54.1%
Iraq	Ain Al Tamur	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.9%
Iraq	Akre	2000	89.1%	97.1%	73.3%
Iraq	Akre	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Iraq	Al Amarah	2000	80.8%	87.4%	73.9%
Iraq	Al Amarah	2017	96.4%	98.5%	93.4%
Iraq	Al Ba'aj	2000	82.7%	89.7%	74.7%
Iraq	Al Ba'aj	2017	98.7%	99.5%	97.5%
Iraq	Al Door	2000	84.2%	94.4%	69.3%
Iraq	Al Door	2017	98.9%	99.7%	97.2%
Iraq	Al Fallujah	2000	90.0%	93.4%	85.0%
Iraq	Al Fallujah	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Iraq	Al Faw	2000	88.6%	98.0%	67.2%
Iraq	Al Faw	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Iraq	Al Haditha	2000	85.9%	92.7%	77.2%
Iraq	Al Haditha	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.7%
Iraq	Al Hamdaniyah	2000	83.6%	93.1%	71.0%
Iraq	Al Hamdaniyah	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Iraq	Al Hamza	2000	81.7%	92.1%	65.1%
Iraq	Al Hamza	2017	98.8%	99.7%	97.3%
Iraq	Al Hashimiyah	2000	78.1%	92.2%	61.1%
Iraq	Al Hashimiyah	2017	98.3%	99.6%	95.6%
Iraq	Al Hayy	2000	80.8%	92.6%	62.0%
Iraq	Al Hayy	2017	98.7%	99.7%	96.7%
Iraq	Al Hillah	2000	79.1%	91.4%	63.9%
Iraq	Al Hillah	2017	98.4%	99.5%	95.9%
Iraq	Al Jadwal al Gharbi	2000	82.1%	94.1%	66.5%
Iraq	Al Jadwal al Gharbi	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.0%
Iraq	Al Kahla	2000	77.9%	91.9%	58.0%
Iraq	Al Kahla	2017	98.3%	99.6%	95.3%
Iraq	Al Khalis	2000	74.2%	91.1%	54.4%
Iraq	Al Khalis	2017	98.2%	99.6%	95.1%
Iraq	Al Khithir	2000	76.1%	82.6%	68.5%
Iraq	Al Khithir	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Iraq	Al Kufa	2000	78.6%	88.8%	59.6%
Iraq	Al Kufa	2017	95.9%	98.2%	92.3%
Iraq	Al Kut	2000	83.4%	90.9%	73.5%
Iraq	Al Kut	2017	98.2%	99.5%	95.3%
Iraq	Al Madiana	2000	77.2%	91.3%	59.6%
Iraq	Al Madiana	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.1%
Iraq	Al Mahawil	2000	81.7%	93.2%	65.3%
Iraq	Al Mahawil	2017	98.8%	99.7%	96.0%
Iraq	Al Manathera	2000	84.3%	93.3%	70.6%
Iraq	Al Manathera	2017	98.4%	99.7%	95.1%
Iraq	Al Miamona	2000	72.6%	90.2%	48.2%
Iraq	Al Miamona	2017	92.0%	98.0%	85.4%
Iraq	Al Mijar al Kabir	2000	71.9%	87.4%	47.5%
Iraq	Al Mijar al Kabir	2017	98.1%	99.7%	93.6%
Iraq	Al Miqdadiyah	2000	76.7%	91.8%	57.5%
Iraq	Al Miqdadiyah	2017	98.3%	99.6%	95.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Al Misiab	2000	78.8%	95.0%	49.1%
Iraq	Al Misiab	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.5%
Iraq	Al Noamania	2000	75.8%	88.3%	59.1%
Iraq	Al Noamania	2017	97.0%	99.1%	94.0%
Iraq	Al Qa'im	2000	79.3%	86.5%	69.8%
Iraq	Al Qa'im	2017	97.7%	99.0%	95.7%
Iraq	Al Qurnah	2000	81.7%	90.4%	69.6%
Iraq	Al Qurnah	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.2%
Iraq	Al Shikhan	2000	92.1%	98.2%	81.1%
Iraq	Al Shikhan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Iraq	Al Shirkat	2000	66.5%	76.6%	52.0%
Iraq	Al Shirkat	2017	98.5%	99.4%	96.5%
Iraq	Al Zubair	2000	87.0%	92.9%	80.6%
Iraq	Al Zubair	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Iraq	Al-Faris	2000	74.5%	91.8%	54.8%
Iraq	Al-Faris	2017	98.0%	99.7%	94.2%
Iraq	Al-Mada'in	2000	88.0%	97.1%	66.5%
Iraq	Al-Mada'in	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.3%
Iraq	Ali al Gharbi	2000	80.5%	90.2%	69.0%
Iraq	Ali al Gharbi	2017	98.7%	99.6%	96.3%
Iraq	Amedi	2000	88.6%	95.3%	78.5%
Iraq	Amedi	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Iraq	An Nasiriyah	2000	86.1%	92.9%	76.6%
Iraq	An Nasiriyah	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.7%
Iraq	Anah	2000	75.4%	86.3%	64.2%
Iraq	Anah	2017	98.3%	99.2%	96.8%
Iraq	Ar Ramadi	2000	89.5%	93.2%	85.5%
Iraq	Ar Ramadi	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.4%
Iraq	Ar Rutbah	2000	75.9%	80.0%	71.0%
Iraq	Ar Rutbah	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Iraq	Arbil	2000	92.2%	96.8%	85.3%
Iraq	Arbil	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Iraq	As Salman	2000	86.0%	92.1%	77.5%
Iraq	As Salman	2017	98.8%	99.5%	96.7%
Iraq	As Samawah	2000	77.0%	83.3%	70.3%
Iraq	As Samawah	2017	98.8%	99.6%	97.5%
Iraq	As Suwayrah	2000	78.2%	85.8%	69.2%
Iraq	As Suwayrah	2017	98.0%	99.0%	96.2%
Iraq	Ba'qubah	2000	78.6%	90.4%	62.9%
Iraq	Ba'qubah	2017	98.7%	99.7%	95.3%
Iraq	Badrah	2000	89.3%	95.8%	79.8%
Iraq	Badrah	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.0%
Iraq	Balad	2000	73.9%	91.9%	46.4%
Iraq	Balad	2017	98.5%	99.7%	94.2%
Iraq	Balad Ruz	2000	84.2%	92.1%	73.6%
Iraq	Balad Ruz	2017	98.8%	99.6%	97.4%
Iraq	Basrah	2000	86.1%	93.5%	76.2%
Iraq	Basrah	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Iraq	Bayji	2000	84.7%	92.2%	72.1%
Iraq	Bayji	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.3%
Iraq	Chamchamal	2000	79.1%	89.7%	66.3%
Iraq	Chamchamal	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.2%
Iraq	Chibayish	2000	82.5%	94.1%	63.9%
Iraq	Chibayish	2017	98.9%	99.8%	97.0%
Iraq	Choman	2000	90.9%	97.9%	78.7%
Iraq	Choman	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.6%
Iraq	Dahuk	2000	85.5%	94.7%	73.9%
Iraq	Dahuk	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Iraq	Daquq	2000	85.7%	95.3%	71.9%
Iraq	Daquq	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.9%
Iraq	Darbandokeh	2000	78.3%	87.6%	59.8%
Iraq	Darbandokeh	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Dibis	2000	83.6%	97.4%	60.3%
Iraq	Dibis	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.1%
Iraq	Dukan	2000	75.5%	91.1%	52.1%
Iraq	Dukan	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.9%
Iraq	Halabja	2000	88.3%	95.7%	79.8%
Iraq	Halabja	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Iraq	Hatra	2000	80.9%	90.5%	68.0%
Iraq	Hatra	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.9%
Iraq	Haweeja	2000	89.4%	96.5%	79.1%
Iraq	Haweeja	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.7%
Iraq	Hit	2000	87.3%	91.3%	82.5%
Iraq	Hit	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.3%
Iraq	Kadhimiya	2000	96.3%	99.1%	89.5%
Iraq	Kadhimiya	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Iraq	Kalar	2000	82.9%	94.7%	63.2%
Iraq	Kalar	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.5%
Iraq	Karbala	2000	81.0%	89.2%	68.8%
Iraq	Karbala	2017	95.0%	96.8%	92.9%
Iraq	Khanaqin	2000	81.6%	91.0%	68.4%
Iraq	Khanaqin	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.1%
Iraq	Kifri	2000	80.7%	91.4%	66.0%
Iraq	Kifri	2017	98.2%	99.5%	95.3%
Iraq	Kirkuk	2000	88.6%	95.0%	78.8%
Iraq	Kirkuk	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Iraq	Koisanjaq	2000	84.2%	94.8%	68.8%
Iraq	Koisanjaq	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.0%
Iraq	Mahmudiya	2000	83.5%	96.4%	65.4%
Iraq	Mahmudiya	2017	98.7%	99.8%	96.2%
Iraq	Makhmur	2000	87.3%	95.3%	75.9%
Iraq	Makhmur	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Iraq	Mergasur	2000	81.6%	93.8%	62.9%
Iraq	Mergasur	2017	99.1%	99.9%	97.5%
Iraq	Mosul	2000	85.6%	91.6%	77.8%
Iraq	Mosul	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%
Iraq	Najaf	2000	74.2%	83.0%	67.4%
Iraq	Najaf	2017	93.4%	96.1%	90.8%
Iraq	Penjwin	2000	80.3%	92.5%	62.9%
Iraq	Penjwin	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.6%
Iraq	Pshdar	2000	89.7%	97.9%	75.5%
Iraq	Pshdar	2017	99.5%	100.0%	98.0%
Iraq	Qal'at Salih	2000	81.2%	94.3%	59.0%
Iraq	Qal'at Salih	2017	98.3%	99.7%	94.3%
Iraq	Rania	2000	89.6%	97.8%	74.7%
Iraq	Rania	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Iraq	Refai	2000	82.0%	89.7%	71.3%
Iraq	Refai	2017	98.6%	99.5%	96.4%
Iraq	Rumaitha	2000	71.8%	79.7%	64.2%
Iraq	Rumaitha	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.8%
Iraq	Samarra	2000	83.2%	91.4%	72.6%
Iraq	Samarra	2017	97.8%	99.0%	95.5%
Iraq	Shamiya	2000	79.7%	90.9%	60.2%
Iraq	Shamiya	2017	98.5%	99.6%	96.0%
Iraq	Shaqlawā	2000	88.4%	97.4%	76.1%
Iraq	Shaqlawā	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Iraq	Sharbazher	2000	91.2%	97.6%	81.6%
Iraq	Sharbazher	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.9%
Iraq	Shatrah	2000	83.4%	95.2%	60.4%
Iraq	Shatrah	2017	98.7%	99.7%	95.5%
Iraq	Shatt Al Arab	2000	79.7%	91.3%	61.5%
Iraq	Shatt Al Arab	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.6%
Iraq	Shekhan	2000	86.1%	96.6%	69.0%
Iraq	Shekhan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Simele	2000	83.1%	95.6%	68.2%
Iraq	Simele	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Iraq	Sinjar	2000	82.0%	91.6%	70.2%
Iraq	Sinjar	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Iraq	Soran	2000	85.8%	93.5%	75.1%
Iraq	Soran	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.4%
Iraq	Sulaymaniya	2000	86.9%	93.3%	80.1%
Iraq	Sulaymaniya	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Iraq	Suq ash	2000	82.0%	93.9%	62.5%
Iraq	Shuyukh				
Iraq	Suq ash	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.8%
Iraq	Talafar	2000	85.5%	92.7%	76.3%
Iraq	Talafar	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Iraq	Tikrit	2000	77.1%	89.1%	60.2%
Iraq	Tikrit	2017	98.8%	99.6%	96.9%
Iraq	Tilkef	2000	82.4%	94.5%	66.9%
Iraq	Tilkef	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Iraq	Touz Hour-mato	2000	84.9%	92.8%	74.1%
Iraq	Touz Hour-mato	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.5%
Iraq	Zakho	2000	87.1%	95.2%	76.4%
Iraq	Zakho	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.1%
Jordan	Aghwar Shamaliyyeh	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Aghwar Shamaliyyeh	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Ajloun	2000	98.9%	99.0%	98.7%
Jordan	Ajloun	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.6%
Jordan	Al-Balqa	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Jordan	Al-Balqa	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Jordan	Amman	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Amman	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Aqaba	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Aqaba	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Ar-Ruwayshid	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Jordan	Ar-Ruwayshid	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Jordan	Ardhah	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Jordan	Ardhah	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Jordan	Ayy	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Jordan	Ayy	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.5%
Jordan	Azraq	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Jordan	Azraq	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Jordan	Bal'ama	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Bal'ama	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Jordan	Bani Kenanah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Jordan	Bani Kenanah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Bierain	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Bierain	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Jordan	Bsaira	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Bsaira	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Dair Alla	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Jordan	Dair Alla	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Jordan	Dhiban	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Dhiban	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Jordan	Faqqoo'	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Jordan	Faqqoo'	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Jordan	Ghour El-Mazra'ah	2000	99.4%	99.6%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Jordan	Ghour El-Mazra'ah	2017	98.8%	99.3%	97.9%
Jordan	Ghour Essafi	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Jordan	Ghour Essafi	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Jordan	Hariema	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Hariema	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Hesa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Jordan	Hesa	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Jordan	Husseiniyyeh	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Jordan	Husseiniyyeh	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Jordan	Iel	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.6%
Jordan	Iel	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.2%
Jordan	Irbid	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Jordan	Irbid	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Jordan	Jarash	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Jordan	Jarash	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Jordan	Jizeh	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Jordan	Jizeh	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Jordan	Karak	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Jordan	Karak	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Jordan	Kofranjah	2000	97.8%	98.1%	97.4%
Jordan	Kofranjah	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.6%
Jordan	Kora	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Jordan	Kora	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Ma'an	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.7%
Jordan	Ma'an	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.6%
Jordan	Madaba	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Madaba	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Mafraq	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Mafraq	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Mazar Janoobi	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Jordan	Mazar Janoobi	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Jordan	Mazar Shamali	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Jordan	Mazar Shamali	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Jordan	Mowaqqar	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Jordan	Mowaqqar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Jordan	Na'oor	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Na'oor	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Jordan	Qasr	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Jordan	Qasr	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Quaira	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Jordan	Quaira	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Jordan	Ramtha	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Jordan	Ramtha	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Jordan	Sabha	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Jordan	Sabha	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Jordan	Sahab	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Sahab	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Salt	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Jordan	Salt	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Jordan	Sama Serhan	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Jordan	Sama Serhan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Jordan	Shoabak	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Jordan	Shoabak	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.0%
Jordan	Shooneh	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%
Jordan	Janoobiyyeh	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%
Jordan	Shooneh	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.0%
Jordan	Janoobiyyeh	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Jordan	Tafileh	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Jordan	Tafileh	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Tayybeh	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Jordan	Tayybeh	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Jordan	Um El-Basatien	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Jordan	Um El-Basatien	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.3%
Jordan	Wadi Arabah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Jordan	Wadi Arabah	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.0%
Jordan	Wadi Essier	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Wadi Essier	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Wadi Musa	2000	97.9%	98.2%	97.6%
Jordan	Wadi Musa	2017	96.3%	96.8%	95.7%
Jordan	Wastiyyeh	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Jordan	Wastiyyeh	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.2%
Jordan	Zarqa	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Zarqa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Libya	Al Butnan	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Libya	Al Butnan	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Libya	Al Jabal al Akhdar	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Libya	Al Jabal al Akhdar	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Libya	Al Jabal al Gharbi	2000	83.2%	86.0%	80.7%
Libya	Al Jabal al Gharbi	2017	82.5%	85.4%	79.7%
Libya	Al Jifarah	2000	86.5%	88.6%	84.4%
Libya	Al Jifarah	2017	86.7%	88.8%	84.6%
Libya	Al Jufrah	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Libya	Al Jufrah	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Libya	Al Kufrah	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Libya	Al Kufrah	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Libya	Al Marj	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Libya	Al Marj	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.2%
Libya	Al Marqab	2000	79.5%	81.7%	77.1%
Libya	Al Marqab	2017	79.7%	81.8%	77.3%
Libya	Al Wahat	2000	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Libya	Al Wahat	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Libya	An Nuqat al Khams	2000	93.4%	94.2%	92.5%
Libya	An Nuqat al Khams	2017	93.5%	94.3%	92.5%
Libya	Az Zawiyah	2000	89.0%	90.5%	87.0%
Libya	Az Zawiyah	2017	89.1%	90.6%	86.8%
Libya	Benghazi	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Libya	Benghazi	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Libya	Darnah	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Libya	Darnah	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Libya	Ghat	2000	98.3%	98.6%	98.0%
Libya	Ghat	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Libya	Misratah	2000	94.6%	95.1%	93.9%
Libya	Misratah	2017	94.7%	95.3%	94.1%
Libya	Murzuq	2000	98.9%	99.5%	97.6%
Libya	Murzuq	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.5%
Libya	Nalut	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.1%
Libya	Nalut	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Libya	Sabha	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Libya	Sabha	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Libya	Surt	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Libya	Surt	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Libya	Tripoli	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.9%
Libya	Tripoli	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.7%
Libya	Wadi al Hayat	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Libya	Wadi al Hayat	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Libya	Wadi ash Shati'	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Libya	Wadi ash Shati'	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Morocco	Agadir-Ida ou Tanane	2000	93.8%	98.2%	86.7%
Morocco	Agadir-Ida ou Tanane	2017	93.5%	97.9%	86.7%
Morocco	Al Haouz	2000	65.7%	77.4%	55.1%
Morocco	Al Haouz	2017	65.6%	77.3%	54.9%
Morocco	Al Hoceïma	2000	62.6%	77.7%	46.2%
Morocco	Al Hoceïma	2017	60.1%	76.0%	43.4%
Morocco	Assa-Zag	2000	74.9%	100.0%	8.2%
Morocco	Assa-Zag	2017	74.4%	100.0%	8.9%
Morocco	Azilal	2000	53.1%	67.2%	37.2%
Morocco	Azilal	2017	51.8%	65.6%	36.3%
Morocco	Ben Slimane	2000	91.3%	98.5%	82.6%
Morocco	Ben Slimane	2017	90.7%	98.3%	81.4%
Morocco	Béni Mellal	2000	75.4%	87.7%	57.8%
Morocco	Béni Mellal	2017	74.3%	86.7%	56.6%
Morocco	Berkane Taourirt	2000	75.8%	97.4%	40.5%
Morocco	Berkane Taourirt	2017	74.5%	96.9%	39.4%
Morocco	Boulemane	2000	60.8%	91.5%	25.9%
Morocco	Boulemane	2017	59.8%	91.0%	25.1%
Morocco	Casablanca	2000	98.5%	99.8%	96.0%
Morocco	Casablanca	2017	98.0%	99.7%	94.8%
Morocco	Chefchaouen	2000	45.7%	67.1%	27.7%
Morocco	Chefchaouen	2017	44.4%	66.6%	26.4%
Morocco	Chichaoua	2000	40.9%	58.9%	23.9%
Morocco	Chichaoua	2017	39.5%	57.7%	22.7%
Morocco	Chtouka-Aït Baha	2000	95.6%	99.3%	82.0%
Morocco	Chtouka-Aït Baha	2017	95.6%	99.3%	82.8%
Morocco	El Hajeb	2000	46.7%	62.2%	24.8%
Morocco	El Hajeb	2017	45.4%	60.3%	23.2%
Morocco	El Jadida	2000	65.9%	75.9%	53.1%
Morocco	El Jadida	2017	65.6%	75.8%	52.7%
Morocco	El Kelaâ des Sraghna	2000	47.2%	68.6%	26.5%
Morocco	El Kelaâ des Sraghna	2017	46.3%	67.6%	25.5%
Morocco	Errachidia	2000	82.1%	95.1%	64.7%
Morocco	Errachidia	2017	81.1%	94.2%	63.4%
Morocco	Essaouira	2000	68.0%	88.7%	43.0%
Morocco	Essaouira	2017	67.2%	88.1%	42.4%
Morocco	Fahs Anjra	2000	64.5%	78.3%	50.7%
Morocco	Fahs Anjra	2017	60.9%	76.3%	46.1%
Morocco	Fès	2000	94.1%	98.7%	80.8%
Morocco	Fès	2017	93.0%	98.3%	79.6%
Morocco	Figuig	2000	64.8%	91.6%	26.8%
Morocco	Figuig	2017	64.6%	91.5%	26.7%
Morocco	Guelmim	2000	95.5%	99.8%	82.9%
Morocco	Guelmim	2017	95.4%	99.8%	82.3%
Morocco	Ifrane	2000	91.7%	98.5%	75.0%
Morocco	Ifrane	2017	90.6%	98.2%	73.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Morocco	Inezgane-Ait Melloul	2000	94.9%	98.0%	90.4%
Morocco	Inezgane-Ait Melloul	2017	93.3%	97.2%	88.0%
Morocco	Jerada	2000	68.3%	91.0%	40.3%
Morocco	Jerada	2017	66.3%	89.8%	38.1%
Morocco	Kénitra	2000	73.2%	85.6%	61.0%
Morocco	Kénitra	2017	71.7%	84.6%	59.3%
Morocco	Khémisset	2000	52.9%	92.0%	21.8%
Morocco	Khémisset	2017	52.0%	91.5%	21.7%
Morocco	Khénifra	2000	79.9%	90.6%	65.9%
Morocco	Khénifra	2017	79.1%	90.4%	64.4%
Morocco	Khouribga	2000	55.4%	67.2%	44.1%
Morocco	Khouribga	2017	53.8%	65.7%	43.4%
Morocco	Laâyoune	2000	74.4%	100.0%	4.9%
Morocco	Laâyoune	2017	74.0%	100.0%	4.2%
Morocco	Larache	2000	71.5%	83.3%	55.9%
Morocco	Larache	2017	69.8%	81.8%	54.0%
Morocco	Marrakech	2000	93.5%	98.2%	87.3%
Morocco	Marrakech	2017	93.5%	98.2%	87.2%
Morocco	Meknès	2000	89.0%	93.8%	77.4%
Morocco	Meknès	2017	88.3%	93.8%	75.0%
Morocco	Mohammedia	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Morocco	Mohammedia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Morocco	Nador	2000	74.3%	87.1%	58.2%
Morocco	Nador	2017	74.9%	87.5%	58.8%
Morocco	Ouarzazate	2000	68.6%	84.8%	47.0%
Morocco	Ouarzazate	2017	67.6%	83.7%	46.3%
Morocco	Oujda Angad	2000	97.2%	99.5%	92.7%
Morocco	Oujda Angad	2017	96.7%	99.3%	91.1%
Morocco	Rabat	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Morocco	Rabat	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Morocco	Safi	2000	64.8%	75.7%	54.4%
Morocco	Safi	2017	64.1%	75.1%	54.0%
Morocco	Salé	2000	99.5%	100.0%	97.6%
Morocco	Salé	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.4%
Morocco	Sefrou	2000	71.3%	84.6%	52.2%
Morocco	Sefrou	2017	69.8%	83.6%	49.7%
Morocco	Settat	2000	48.5%	64.5%	32.4%
Morocco	Settat	2017	48.9%	64.3%	33.3%
Morocco	Sidi Kacem	2000	57.7%	70.2%	47.1%
Morocco	Sidi Kacem	2017	56.5%	68.2%	46.6%
Morocco	Skhirate-Témara	2000	97.2%	99.7%	88.8%
Morocco	Skhirate-Témara	2017	96.9%	99.7%	88.5%
Morocco	Tan-Tan	2000	83.9%	100.0%	41.2%
Morocco	Tan-Tan	2017	83.7%	100.0%	34.1%
Morocco	Tanger-Assilah	2000	71.9%	83.4%	59.6%
Morocco	Tanger-Assilah	2017	69.1%	81.7%	55.8%
Morocco	Taounate	2000	42.1%	55.3%	31.2%
Morocco	Taounate	2017	40.2%	53.3%	29.8%
Morocco	Taroudannt	2000	73.6%	86.7%	59.4%
Morocco	Taroudannt	2017	72.7%	86.2%	57.7%
Morocco	Tata	2000	78.8%	98.9%	39.9%
Morocco	Tata	2017	78.4%	98.9%	38.8%
Morocco	Taza	2000	73.6%	83.7%	61.9%
Morocco	Taza	2017	72.4%	82.9%	60.1%
Morocco	Tétouan	2000	77.3%	84.6%	65.4%
Morocco	Tétouan	2017	75.9%	83.8%	62.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Morocco	Tiznit	2000	92.1%	99.1%	70.8%
Morocco	Tiznit	2017	91.7%	98.8%	70.7%
Morocco	Zagora	2000	77.1%	96.8%	50.3%
Morocco	Zagora	2017	77.1%	96.8%	49.4%
Morocco	Zouagha-Moulay Yacoub	2000	78.3%	86.3%	69.6%
Morocco	Zouagha-Moulay Yacoub	2017	76.8%	84.7%	67.7%
Sudan	Abu Hamad	2000	74.0%	76.3%	71.3%
Sudan	Abu Hamad	2017	75.1%	77.5%	72.0%
Sudan	Abu Jubaiyah	2000	40.9%	46.0%	36.1%
Sudan	Abu Jubaiyah	2017	61.4%	66.7%	56.2%
Sudan	Abyei	2000	46.5%	51.0%	42.4%
Sudan	Abyei	2017	66.7%	70.9%	62.6%
Sudan	Ad Damazin	2000	57.0%	63.0%	49.7%
Sudan	Ad Damazin	2017	71.2%	76.7%	64.1%
Sudan	Ad Damer	2000	72.1%	79.9%	64.8%
Sudan	Ad Damer	2017	74.8%	82.4%	66.6%
Sudan	Ad Dinder	2000	72.7%	76.1%	68.9%
Sudan	Ad Dinder	2017	79.6%	82.7%	75.5%
Sudan	Ad Douiem	2000	66.3%	71.0%	61.5%
Sudan	Ad Douiem	2017	74.0%	78.2%	69.1%
Sudan	Addabah	2000	79.8%	81.8%	77.5%
Sudan	Addabah	2017	78.6%	81.0%	76.1%
Sudan	Al Deain	2000	50.1%	53.0%	47.0%
Sudan	Al Deain	2017	65.6%	68.3%	62.6%
Sudan	Al Fasher	2000	47.3%	49.5%	45.0%
Sudan	Al Fasher	2017	65.1%	67.2%	62.9%
Sudan	Al Faw	2000	62.0%	65.1%	58.4%
Sudan	Al Faw	2017	73.4%	76.4%	69.6%
Sudan	Al Fushqa	2000	63.3%	66.9%	59.7%
Sudan	Al Fushqa	2017	73.2%	77.4%	69.5%
Sudan	Al Gadaref	2000	68.1%	76.7%	58.9%
Sudan	Al Gadaref	2017	78.0%	85.5%	68.8%
Sudan	Al Galabat	2000	60.0%	64.1%	56.2%
Sudan	Al Galabat	2017	72.4%	76.2%	68.6%
Sudan	Al Gash	2000	62.5%	66.7%	57.7%
Sudan	Al Gash	2017	69.9%	73.5%	66.4%
Sudan	Al Geneina	2000	47.8%	50.7%	45.2%
Sudan	Al Geneina	2017	64.3%	67.3%	61.3%
Sudan	Al Gutaina	2000	66.0%	69.7%	62.2%
Sudan	Al Gutaina	2017	76.0%	79.5%	72.5%
Sudan	Al Jabalian	2000	70.2%	75.1%	64.7%
Sudan	Al Jabalian	2017	77.6%	81.9%	72.6%
Sudan	Al Kamlin	2000	86.1%	88.3%	83.4%
Sudan	Al Kamlin	2017	88.3%	90.4%	85.3%
Sudan	Al Kurumik	2000	49.7%	55.2%	43.8%
Sudan	Al Kurumik	2017	64.9%	70.4%	59.1%
Sudan	Al Mahagil	2000	83.5%	86.7%	80.1%
Sudan	Al Mahagil	2017	85.2%	88.4%	81.2%
Sudan	Al Matammah	2000	70.8%	74.1%	67.1%
Sudan	Al Matammah	2017	71.0%	74.4%	67.3%
Sudan	Al Rahd	2000	61.9%	65.4%	57.9%
Sudan	Al Rahd	2017	73.0%	76.1%	69.1%
Sudan	Al Roseires	2000	54.5%	60.2%	49.0%
Sudan	Al Roseires	2017	68.8%	74.1%	63.2%
Sudan	As Salam	2000	45.7%	51.5%	39.2%
Sudan	As Salam	2017	65.8%	72.2%	58.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Atbara	2000	71.0%	75.8%	66.3%
Sudan	Atbara	2017	73.0%	78.2%	66.9%
Sudan	Bara	2000	59.1%	65.3%	52.9%
Sudan	Bara	2017	73.0%	79.0%	67.0%
Sudan	Baw	2000	48.8%	55.2%	42.8%
Sudan	Baw	2017	63.3%	70.0%	56.5%
Sudan	Berber	2000	70.7%	75.3%	65.5%
Sudan	Berber	2017	74.2%	79.8%	67.6%
Sudan	Buram	2000	51.9%	55.1%	49.0%
Sudan	Buram	2017	67.4%	70.2%	64.5%
Sudan	Dilling	2000	42.0%	46.2%	37.4%
Sudan	Dilling	2017	63.8%	67.9%	58.5%
Sudan	Dongola	2000	80.8%	82.7%	78.9%
Sudan	Dongola	2017	80.1%	82.7%	77.8%
Sudan	East al Gazera	2000	85.9%	88.1%	83.7%
Sudan	East al Gazera	2017	85.4%	88.4%	82.2%
Sudan	En Nuhud	2000	50.9%	53.5%	48.3%
Sudan	En Nuhud	2017	70.6%	72.9%	68.0%
Sudan	Geissan	2000	47.9%	54.1%	42.1%
Sudan	Geissan	2017	63.5%	69.1%	57.1%
Sudan	Ghebeish	2000	50.5%	54.4%	46.6%
Sudan	Ghebeish	2017	70.1%	73.4%	66.3%
Sudan	Halayeb	2000	68.1%	73.4%	62.7%
Sudan	Halayeb	2017	79.5%	83.9%	74.6%
Sudan	Hamashkorieb	2000	64.2%	69.2%	59.7%
Sudan	Hamashkorieb	2017	70.6%	75.9%	65.7%
Sudan	Id El Ghanem	2000	49.6%	53.3%	46.1%
Sudan	Id El Ghanem	2017	65.6%	69.4%	62.0%
Sudan	Jebrat al Sheikh	2000	62.4%	65.3%	58.8%
Sudan	Jebrat al Sheikh	2017	74.7%	77.4%	71.5%
Sudan	Kabkabiya	2000	48.0%	51.1%	44.9%
Sudan	Kabkabiya	2017	65.5%	68.5%	62.7%
Sudan	Kadugli	2000	45.6%	49.5%	41.7%
Sudan	Kadugli	2017	67.4%	71.2%	63.8%
Sudan	Karary	2000	86.5%	88.4%	83.9%
Sudan	Karary	2017	88.7%	90.8%	86.4%
Sudan	Kas	2000	48.9%	57.5%	41.2%
Sudan	Kas	2017	65.2%	72.2%	58.1%
Sudan	Kassala	2000	61.3%	66.7%	56.4%
Sudan	Kassala	2017	69.6%	74.2%	64.9%
Sudan	Khartoum	2000	91.5%	94.1%	89.2%
Sudan	Khartoum	2017	94.1%	96.1%	92.0%
Sudan	Khartoum	2000	86.9%	90.5%	82.5%
Sudan	Bahri	2017	89.7%	92.9%	85.7%
Sudan	Kosti	2000	69.5%	73.5%	65.4%
Sudan	Kosti	2017	79.0%	82.5%	74.9%
Sudan	Kutum	2000	48.7%	52.3%	45.7%
Sudan	Kutum	2017	65.6%	68.8%	62.5%
Sudan	Lagawa	2000	47.2%	50.7%	43.3%
Sudan	Lagawa	2017	68.2%	71.4%	64.4%
Sudan	Mellit	2000	48.2%	51.2%	45.3%
Sudan	Mellit	2017	64.9%	67.6%	61.7%
Sudan	Merawi	2000	79.9%	82.1%	77.6%
Sudan	Merawi	2017	78.6%	81.7%	75.7%
Sudan	Mukjar	2000	47.7%	51.5%	44.4%
Sudan	Mukjar	2017	64.2%	67.8%	61.1%
Sudan	Nahr Atbara	2000	66.5%	70.8%	62.2%
Sudan	Nahr Atbara	2017	75.3%	79.3%	70.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	North al Gazera	2000	86.7%	90.2%	83.3%
Sudan	North al Gazera	2017	87.8%	91.0%	84.5%
Sudan	Nyala	2000	49.9%	54.2%	46.1%
Sudan	Nyala	2000	50.3%	54.5%	45.3%
Sudan	Nyala	2017	66.3%	70.3%	62.0%
Sudan	Nyala	2017	67.0%	71.2%	62.1%
Sudan	Omdurman	2000	84.7%	90.5%	78.0%
Sudan	Omdurman	2017	88.5%	93.2%	82.2%
Sudan	Port Sudan	2000	68.7%	74.1%	62.0%
Sudan	Port Sudan	2017	81.4%	85.1%	76.4%
Sudan	Rashad	2000	42.8%	47.3%	38.4%
Sudan	Rashad	2017	64.0%	68.6%	59.1%
Sudan	Sennar	2000	74.8%	78.1%	71.6%
Sudan	Sennar	2017	81.5%	84.5%	78.5%
Sudan	Seteet	2000	61.6%	65.4%	56.9%
Sudan	Seteet	2017	69.7%	73.9%	64.7%
Sudan	Sharg En Nile	2000	87.1%	90.3%	82.3%
Sudan	Sharg En Nile	2017	89.4%	92.4%	85.6%
Sudan	Sharq al Gazera	2000	85.3%	88.7%	80.8%
Sudan	Sharq al Gazera	2017	86.4%	89.6%	82.4%
Sudan	Sheikan	2000	61.2%	64.5%	58.1%
Sudan	Sheikan	2017	73.9%	76.7%	71.0%
Sudan	Shendi	2000	69.4%	72.5%	66.0%
Sudan	Shendi	2017	69.3%	72.6%	65.7%
Sudan	Singa	2000	71.9%	75.3%	68.4%
Sudan	Singa	2017	78.4%	81.8%	74.2%
Sudan	Sinkat	2000	67.3%	69.6%	65.3%
Sudan	Sinkat	2017	78.9%	80.7%	77.1%
Sudan	South al Gazera	2000	85.2%	87.9%	81.7%
Sudan	South al Gazera	2017	87.0%	89.9%	83.6%
Sudan	South Khartoum	2000	88.0%	90.6%	85.0%
Sudan	South Khartoum	2017	91.3%	93.5%	88.3%
Sudan	Sowdari	2000	59.3%	62.6%	56.4%
Sudan	Sowdari	2017	71.8%	74.6%	69.0%
Sudan	Talodi	2000	43.4%	48.1%	39.2%
Sudan	Talodi	2017	64.8%	69.2%	60.4%
Sudan	Tokar	2000	67.3%	69.3%	65.1%
Sudan	Tokar	2017	78.9%	80.6%	76.9%
Sudan	Tulus	2000	49.4%	59.5%	40.5%
Sudan	Tulus	2017	65.0%	74.5%	55.7%
Sudan	Um Al Gura	2000	86.8%	90.9%	81.6%
Sudan	Um Al Gura	2017	86.9%	91.6%	81.0%
Sudan	Um Badda	2000	84.2%	89.3%	79.3%
Sudan	Um Badda	2017	88.4%	92.5%	83.9%
Sudan	Um Kadada	2000	48.2%	51.2%	45.2%
Sudan	Um Kadada	2017	65.9%	68.7%	63.0%
Sudan	Um Rawaba	2000	60.6%	63.5%	57.4%
Sudan	Um Rawaba	2017	74.5%	77.0%	71.6%
Sudan	Wadi Halfa	2000	82.4%	85.1%	79.4%
Sudan	Wadi Halfa	2017	83.0%	86.1%	79.3%
Sudan	Zallingi	2000	45.6%	49.2%	42.3%
Sudan	Zallingi	2017	62.8%	66.3%	59.4%
Syria	'Ayn al-'Arab	2000	99.7%	99.9%	98.6%
Syria	'Ayn al-'Arab	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Syria	A'zaz	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.6%
Syria	A'zaz	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.7%
Syria	Abu Kamal	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.4%
Syria	Abu Kamal	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.6%
Syria	Afrin	2000	99.3%	99.8%	97.6%
Syria	Afrin	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.5%
Syria	Al Bab	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Syria	Al Bab	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Syria	Al Qamishli	2000	98.9%	99.9%	94.9%
Syria	Al Qamishli	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.2%
Syria	Al-Haffah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Syria	Al-Haffah	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Syria	Al-Hasakah	2000	99.4%	99.9%	98.0%
Syria	Al-Hasakah	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.2%
Syria	Al-Malikiyah	2000	98.8%	99.9%	95.8%
Syria	Al-Malikiyah	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.7%
Syria	Al-Mukharram	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Syria	Al-Mukharram	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Syria	Al-Qusayr	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Syria	Al-Qusayr	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Syria	Al-Qutayfah	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Syria	Al-Qutayfah	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Syria	An-Nabk	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	An-Nabk	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Ar-Raqqah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Ar-Raqqah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Ar-Rastan	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Syria	Ar-Rastan	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.9%
Syria	Arihah	2000	98.2%	99.7%	95.0%
Syria	Arihah	2017	98.2%	99.7%	94.8%
Syria	As-Safirah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	As-Safirah	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	As-Sanamayn	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	As-Sanamayn	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	As-Suqaylabiyah	2000	98.4%	99.7%	95.0%
Syria	As-Suqaylabiyah	2017	98.5%	99.7%	95.1%
Syria	As-Suwayda	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	As-Suwayda	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Ash-Shaykh Badr	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Ash-Shaykh Badr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	At-Tall	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	At-Tall	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Ath-Thawrah	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Syria	Ath-Thawrah	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Syria	Baniyas	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Syria	Baniyas	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Syria	Damascus	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Syria	Damascus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Syria	Daraa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Syria	Daraa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Syria	Darayya	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Syria	Darayya	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Syria	Deir ez-Zor	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Syria	Deir ez-Zor	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Syria	Duma	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Syria	Duma	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Syria	Duraykish	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Duraykish	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Hama	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.0%
Syria	Hama	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Syria	Harem	2000	99.1%	100.0%	96.2%
Syria	Harem	2017	99.1%	100.0%	96.5%
Syria	Hims	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Syria	Hims	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Syria	Idlib	2000	99.2%	100.0%	96.4%
Syria	Idlib	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.3%
Syria	Izra'	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Syria	Izra'	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Syria	Jabal Sam'an	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Jabal Sam'an	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Jableh	2000	97.6%	99.2%	94.3%
Syria	Jableh	2017	97.6%	99.3%	94.2%
Syria	Jarabulus	2000	99.0%	99.8%	97.0%
Syria	Jarabulus	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.9%
Syria	Jisr ash-Shugur	2000	98.4%	99.7%	95.3%
Syria	Jisr ash-Shugur	2017	98.4%	99.7%	95.1%
Syria	Latakia	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	Latakia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	Ma'arrat al-Numan	2000	98.5%	99.5%	96.7%
Syria	Ma'arrat al-Numan	2017	98.5%	99.5%	96.7%
Syria	Manbij	2000	99.0%	99.6%	97.6%
Syria	Manbij	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.7%
Syria	Markaz Rif Dimashq	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Syria	Markaz Rif Dimashq	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Syria	Masyaf	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	Masyaf	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	Mayadin	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Syria	Mayadin	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Syria	Muhardeh	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	Muhardeh	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	Palmyra	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Syria	Palmyra	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Syria	Qardaha	2000	98.5%	99.6%	96.3%
Syria	Qardaha	2017	98.6%	99.6%	96.5%
Syria	Qatana	2000	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Syria	Qatana	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Syria	Quneitra	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Syria	Quneitra	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Syria	Ra's al-'Ayn	2000	97.7%	99.2%	94.8%
Syria	Ra's al-'Ayn	2017	97.7%	99.2%	94.8%
Syria	Safita	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Syria	Safita	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Syria	Salamiyah	2000	98.5%	99.6%	96.4%
Syria	Salamiyah	2017	98.4%	99.6%	95.7%
Syria	Salkhad	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Salkhad	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Shahba	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	Shahba	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	Tal Abyad	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Syria	Tal Abyad	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Syria	Talkalakh	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	Talkalakh	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Syria	Tartus	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.7%
Syria	Tartus	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.7%
Syria	Yabrud	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Syria	Yabrud	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Syria	Zabadani	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	Zabadani	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Agareb	2000	96.6%	99.9%	82.5%
Tunisia	Agareb	2017	96.3%	99.9%	81.3%
Tunisia	Aïn Draham	2000	85.9%	95.7%	76.2%
Tunisia	Aïn Draham	2017	85.2%	95.2%	75.4%
Tunisia	Akouda	2000	92.6%	99.7%	71.4%
Tunisia	Akouda	2017	91.8%	99.6%	70.4%
Tunisia	Alaa	2000	97.1%	98.7%	94.4%
Tunisia	Alaa	2017	96.7%	98.5%	93.7%
Tunisia	Amdoun	2000	91.5%	94.6%	88.3%
Tunisia	Amdoun	2017	90.6%	93.9%	87.2%
Tunisia	Ariana Médina	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Ariana Médina	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Ayoun	2000	98.4%	99.3%	96.8%
Tunisia	Ayoun	2017	98.2%	99.2%	96.4%
Tunisia	Bab Bhar	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Bab Bhar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Bab Souika	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Bab Souika	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Balta Bou Aouane	2000	89.9%	95.1%	82.8%
Tunisia	Balta Bou Aouane	2017	89.1%	94.4%	82.1%
Tunisia	Bardo	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Bardo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Bargou	2000	91.5%	97.0%	84.4%
Tunisia	Bargou	2017	90.8%	96.6%	83.6%
Tunisia	Béja Nord	2000	92.5%	96.9%	86.9%
Tunisia	Béja Nord	2017	91.8%	96.5%	85.9%
Tunisia	Béja Sud	2000	92.8%	97.3%	85.4%
Tunisia	Béja Sud	2017	92.2%	97.0%	84.6%
Tunisia	Bekalta	2000	92.4%	99.9%	65.6%
Tunisia	Bekalta	2017	92.8%	99.9%	67.2%
Tunisia	Belkhir	2000	99.7%	99.9%	98.7%
Tunisia	Belkhir	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Tunisia	Bembla	2000	93.0%	99.8%	71.0%
Tunisia	Bembla	2017	92.5%	99.8%	70.4%
Tunisia	Ben Arous	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Ben Arous	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Ben Guerdane	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Ben Guerdane	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Beni Hassen	2000	92.7%	99.8%	68.4%
Tunisia	Beni Hassen	2017	92.2%	99.8%	67.5%
Tunisia	Beni Khalled	2000	98.4%	99.1%	97.0%
Tunisia	Beni Khalled	2017	98.0%	99.0%	96.1%
Tunisia	Beni Khedache	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Beni Khedache	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Beni Khiar	2000	96.4%	99.4%	90.7%
Tunisia	Beni Khiar	2017	95.9%	99.3%	89.6%
Tunisia	Bir Ali Ben Khélifa	2000	95.6%	99.6%	82.6%
Tunisia	Bir Ali Ben Khélifa	2017	95.1%	99.5%	81.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Bir El Hfay	2000	93.4%	96.4%	88.9%
Tunisia	Bir El Hfay	2017	92.4%	95.8%	87.3%
Tunisia	Bir Lahmar	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Bir Lahmar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Bir Mchergua	2000	98.0%	99.4%	95.0%
Tunisia	Bir Mchergua	2017	97.9%	99.4%	94.8%
Tunisia	Bizerte Nord	2000	98.2%	99.6%	95.3%
Tunisia	Bizerte Nord	2017	98.0%	99.6%	94.7%
Tunisia	Bizerte Sud	2000	98.0%	99.6%	94.7%
Tunisia	Bizerte Sud	2017	97.6%	99.6%	93.9%
Tunisia	Borj El Amri	2000	98.7%	99.8%	95.6%
Tunisia	Borj El Amri	2017	98.5%	99.7%	95.0%
Tunisia	Bou Argoub	2000	97.5%	98.8%	95.1%
Tunisia	Bou Argoub	2017	97.3%	98.8%	94.6%
Tunisia	Bouarada	2000	89.4%	94.1%	84.0%
Tunisia	Bouarada	2017	88.6%	93.5%	83.1%
Tunisia	Bouficha	2000	96.3%	99.6%	87.3%
Tunisia	Bouficha	2017	95.8%	99.5%	85.8%
Tunisia	Bouhaira	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Bouhaira	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Bouhajla	2000	96.3%	98.4%	93.1%
Tunisia	Bouhajla	2017	96.0%	98.3%	92.7%
Tunisia	Boumerdès	2000	92.8%	99.8%	69.0%
Tunisia	Boumerdès	2017	92.1%	99.8%	67.6%
Tunisia	Boumhel	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Boumhel	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Tunisia	Bourouis	2000	88.6%	95.3%	80.6%
Tunisia	Bourouis	2017	87.7%	94.7%	79.6%
Tunisia	Bousalem	2000	92.4%	97.3%	85.4%
Tunisia	Bousalem	2017	92.7%	97.3%	86.0%
Tunisia	Carthage	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Carthage	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Chebba	2000	94.4%	100.0%	68.4%
Tunisia	Chebba	2017	93.9%	100.0%	67.5%
Tunisia	Chebika	2000	96.2%	98.4%	91.9%
Tunisia	Chebika	2017	95.5%	98.1%	90.5%
Tunisia	Chorbane	2000	94.5%	99.7%	76.8%
Tunisia	Chorbane	2017	93.9%	99.7%	75.0%
Tunisia	Chrarda	2000	96.5%	99.2%	89.8%
Tunisia	Chrarda	2017	96.1%	99.0%	88.7%
Tunisia	Cité El Khadra	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Cité El Khadra	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Dahmani	2000	89.2%	93.0%	85.3%
Tunisia	Dahmani	2017	89.3%	93.1%	85.5%
Tunisia	Dar Chaabane El Fehri	2000	96.7%	99.4%	91.6%
Tunisia	Dar Chaabane El Fehri	2017	96.2%	99.3%	90.7%
Tunisia	Degueche	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Degueche	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Dhiba	2000	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Tunisia	Dhiba	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Tunisia	Djerba Ajim	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Djerba Ajim	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Djerba Midoun	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Djerba Midoun	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Douar Hicher	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Douar Hicher	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Douz	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Douz	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	El Alia	2000	98.9%	99.6%	97.6%
Tunisia	El Alia	2017	98.7%	99.5%	97.3%
Tunisia	El Amra	2000	96.1%	100.0%	74.3%
Tunisia	El Amra	2017	95.7%	100.0%	73.2%
Tunisia	El Battan	2000	98.0%	99.6%	93.9%
Tunisia	El Battan	2017	97.6%	99.5%	93.0%
Tunisia	El Ghraiba	2000	97.9%	99.9%	89.8%
Tunisia	El Ghraiba	2017	97.6%	99.9%	88.4%
Tunisia	El Jem	2000	93.3%	99.9%	71.5%
Tunisia	El Jem	2017	93.1%	99.9%	71.1%
Tunisia	El Krib	2000	90.4%	94.4%	86.0%
Tunisia	El Krib	2017	89.5%	93.9%	84.8%
Tunisia	El Menzah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	El Menzah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	El Mida	2000	96.6%	98.8%	92.6%
Tunisia	El Mida	2017	96.3%	98.7%	92.1%
Tunisia	El Mourouj	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	El Mourouj	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	El Ouardia	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	El Ouardia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	El Tahrir	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	El Tahrir	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Enfidha	2000	95.4%	99.6%	82.8%
Tunisia	Enfidha	2017	94.8%	99.5%	81.4%
Tunisia	Es Sers	2000	88.5%	95.2%	80.3%
Tunisia	Es Sers	2017	87.9%	94.7%	79.9%
Tunisia	Ettadhamen	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Ettadhamen	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Ezzahra	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Ezzahra	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2000	97.5%	98.4%	96.1%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2017	97.1%	98.2%	95.6%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Fahs	2000	96.6%	98.3%	94.0%
Tunisia	Fahs	2017	96.1%	98.0%	93.2%
Tunisia	Faouar	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Faouar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Feriana	2000	97.9%	99.2%	95.7%
Tunisia	Feriana	2017	97.5%	99.1%	94.6%
Tunisia	Fernana	2000	87.0%	93.7%	79.0%
Tunisia	Fernana	2017	86.4%	93.3%	78.6%
Tunisia	Fouchana	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Fouchana	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Foussana	2000	98.4%	99.6%	95.6%
Tunisia	Foussana	2017	98.1%	99.6%	95.2%
Tunisia	Gaafour	2000	88.7%	95.0%	82.0%
Tunisia	Gaafour	2017	87.9%	94.4%	81.0%
Tunisia	Gabès Médina	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Gabès Médina	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Gabès Ouest	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Gabès Ouest	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Gabès Sud	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Gabès Sud	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Gafsa Nord	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Tunisia	Gafsa Nord	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Tunisia	Gafsa Sud	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Gafsa Sud	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Ghannouch	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Ghannouch	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Ghar El Melh	2000	98.8%	99.8%	96.4%
Tunisia	Ghar El Melh	2017	98.7%	99.7%	96.1%
Tunisia	Ghardimaou	2000	88.1%	96.6%	78.9%
Tunisia	Ghardimaou	2017	87.8%	96.3%	78.7%
Tunisia	Ghazala	2000	97.8%	99.0%	95.9%
Tunisia	Ghazala	2017	97.5%	98.9%	95.2%
Tunisia	Ghomrassen	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Ghomrassen	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Goubellat	2000	92.4%	96.9%	85.7%
Tunisia	Goubellat	2017	91.8%	96.5%	85.1%
Tunisia	Grombalia	2000	99.0%	99.6%	97.4%
Tunisia	Grombalia	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.3%
Tunisia	Guetar	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Guetar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Haffouz	2000	96.8%	98.8%	93.2%
Tunisia	Haffouz	2017	96.3%	98.7%	92.3%
Tunisia	Hajeb El Ayoun	2000	95.3%	98.3%	89.9%
Tunisia	Hajeb El Ayoun	2017	94.6%	98.0%	88.7%
Tunisia	Hamma	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Hamma	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Hammam Chott	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Tunisia	Hammam Chott	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Tunisia	Hammam Ghezaz	2000	97.0%	99.7%	89.9%
Tunisia	Hammam Ghezaz	2017	96.6%	99.7%	88.7%
Tunisia	Hammam Lif	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Tunisia	Hammam Lif	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.1%
Tunisia	Hammam Sousse	2000	93.2%	99.8%	71.8%
Tunisia	Hammam Sousse	2017	92.5%	99.8%	70.2%
Tunisia	Hammamet	2000	97.0%	99.5%	91.1%
Tunisia	Hammamet	2017	96.7%	99.4%	90.4%
Tunisia	Haouaria	2000	96.8%	99.7%	88.8%
Tunisia	Haouaria	2017	96.4%	99.6%	88.1%
Tunisia	Hassi El Ferid	2000	95.1%	98.3%	89.5%
Tunisia	Hassi El Ferid	2017	94.5%	97.9%	88.6%
Tunisia	Hazoua	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Hazoua	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Hbira	2000	95.3%	99.4%	84.6%
Tunisia	Hbira	2017	94.7%	99.3%	82.9%
Tunisia	Hencha	2000	94.3%	99.9%	71.0%
Tunisia	Hencha	2017	93.8%	99.9%	68.9%
Tunisia	Hergla	2000	94.4%	99.7%	78.6%
Tunisia	Hergla	2017	94.1%	99.7%	78.0%
Tunisia	Hidra	2000	97.7%	99.6%	93.5%
Tunisia	Hidra	2017	97.4%	99.5%	92.7%
Tunisia	Houmt Souk	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Houmt Souk	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Hrairia	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Hrairia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Jammel	2000	92.3%	99.8%	68.2%
Tunisia	Jammel	2017	91.7%	99.8%	66.7%
Tunisia	Jebel Jelloud	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Jebel Jelloud	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Jebeniana	2000	95.2%	100.0%	73.5%
Tunisia	Jebeniana	2017	94.8%	99.9%	72.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Jedaida	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Tunisia	Jedaida	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.0%
Tunisia	Jedeliane	2000	97.4%	98.9%	95.3%
Tunisia	Jedeliane	2017	97.1%	98.7%	94.7%
Tunisia	Jelma	2000	95.5%	98.5%	90.2%
Tunisia	Jelma	2017	94.8%	98.2%	89.1%
Tunisia	Jendouba	2000	91.0%	94.8%	86.1%
Tunisia	Jendouba Nord	2017	90.3%	94.4%	85.2%
Tunisia	Jendouba Sud	2000	92.7%	97.1%	86.5%
Tunisia	Jendouba Sud	2017	92.0%	96.7%	85.3%
Tunisia	Jerissa	2000	91.3%	96.5%	84.6%
Tunisia	Jerissa	2017	90.5%	96.1%	83.6%
Tunisia	Joumine	2000	94.4%	97.8%	89.8%
Tunisia	Joumine	2017	93.9%	97.5%	89.1%
Tunisia	Kabaria	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Kabaria	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Kairouan	2000	95.0%	96.8%	92.0%
Tunisia	Kairouan Nord	2017	94.4%	96.5%	91.1%
Tunisia	Kairouan Sud	2000	95.0%	97.9%	89.9%
Tunisia	Kairouan Sud	2017	94.4%	97.6%	88.8%
Tunisia	Kalaa Kebira	2000	92.6%	99.6%	74.6%
Tunisia	Kalaa Kebira	2017	91.9%	99.5%	73.2%
Tunisia	Kalaa Khesba	2000	95.2%	98.8%	88.1%
Tunisia	Kalaa Khesba	2017	94.6%	98.6%	87.1%
Tunisia	Kalaa Sghira	2000	93.6%	99.8%	75.5%
Tunisia	Kalaa Sghira	2017	92.8%	99.7%	73.9%
Tunisia	Kalaat El Andalous	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Tunisia	Kalaat El Andalous	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Tunisia	Kalaat Senan	2000	92.2%	97.6%	84.3%
Tunisia	Kalaat Senan	2017	91.4%	97.3%	83.4%
Tunisia	Kasserine Nord	2000	97.1%	98.4%	94.7%
Tunisia	Kasserine Nord	2017	96.7%	98.2%	94.2%
Tunisia	Kasserine Sud	2000	97.2%	98.3%	95.4%
Tunisia	Kasserine Sud	2017	96.8%	98.0%	94.9%
Tunisia	Kebili Nord	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Kebili Nord	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Kebili Sud	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Kebili Sud	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Kef Est	2000	90.4%	93.5%	86.6%
Tunisia	Kef Est	2017	89.6%	92.9%	85.8%
Tunisia	Kef Ouest	2000	90.1%	94.6%	84.3%
Tunisia	Kef Ouest	2017	89.5%	94.1%	83.7%
Tunisia	Kelibia	2000	97.3%	99.6%	91.7%
Tunisia	Kelibia	2017	96.9%	99.5%	90.7%
Tunisia	Kerkennah	2000	96.6%	100.0%	73.6%
Tunisia	Kerkennah	2017	96.3%	100.0%	71.6%
Tunisia	Kesra	2000	93.2%	97.6%	86.5%
Tunisia	Kesra	2017	92.2%	97.2%	85.1%
Tunisia	Kondar	2000	94.5%	99.1%	84.0%
Tunisia	Kondar	2017	93.8%	99.0%	82.3%
Tunisia	Korba	2000	96.8%	99.2%	91.4%
Tunisia	Korba	2017	96.3%	99.1%	90.3%
Tunisia	Ksar	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Ksar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Ksar Hellal	2000	93.3%	99.9%	68.0%
Tunisia	Ksar Hellal	2017	92.7%	99.9%	66.6%
Tunisia	Ksibet El Mediouni	2000	91.9%	99.8%	67.4%
Tunisia	Ksibet El Mediouni	2017	92.1%	99.8%	68.6%
Tunisia	Ksour	2000	89.8%	94.0%	85.0%
Tunisia	Ksour	2017	89.1%	93.4%	84.3%
Tunisia	Ksour Essef	2000	93.9%	99.9%	66.6%
Tunisia	Ksour Essef	2017	93.4%	99.9%	65.9%
Tunisia	La Goulette	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	La Goulette	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	La Marsa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	La Marsa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Lake Ichkeul	2000	98.2%	99.6%	95.5%
Tunisia	Lake Ichkeul	2017	98.0%	99.6%	94.8%
Tunisia	Laroussa	2000	87.5%	94.3%	79.3%
Tunisia	Laroussa	2017	86.7%	93.7%	78.4%
Tunisia	M'Hamdia	2000	99.7%	100.0%	99.2%
Tunisia	M'Hamdia	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.1%
Tunisia	M'Saken	2000	92.5%	99.8%	71.7%
Tunisia	M'Saken	2017	91.9%	99.8%	70.7%
Tunisia	Mahdia	2000	93.9%	99.9%	68.2%
Tunisia	Mahdia	2017	93.3%	99.9%	66.7%
Tunisia	Mahres	2000	98.1%	100.0%	88.0%
Tunisia	Mahres	2017	97.9%	100.0%	86.0%
Tunisia	Majel Be-labbes	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.8%
Tunisia	Majel Be-labbes	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.7%
Tunisia	Makthar	2000	87.9%	91.7%	82.8%
Tunisia	Makthar	2017	87.1%	91.1%	81.7%
Tunisia	Manouba	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Manouba	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Mareth	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Mareth	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Mateur	2000	98.4%	99.5%	96.1%
Tunisia	Mateur	2017	98.1%	99.4%	95.6%
Tunisia	Matmata	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Tunisia	Matmata	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Tunisia	Matmata Nouvelle	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Matmata Nouvelle	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Mazzouna	2000	97.4%	99.4%	91.7%
Tunisia	Mazzouna	2017	97.0%	99.3%	90.6%
Tunisia	Mdhilla	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Mdhilla	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Médenine Nord	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Médenine Nord	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Médenine Sud	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Médenine Sud	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Médina	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Médina	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Mégrine	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Mégrine	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Mejez El Bab	2000	93.0%	96.5%	87.6%
Tunisia	Mejez El Bab	2017	92.5%	96.1%	86.6%
Tunisia	Meknassi	2000	94.4%	97.9%	88.5%
Tunisia	Meknassi	2017	93.8%	97.6%	87.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Melloulech	2000	94.6%	100.0%	67.9%
Tunisia	Melloulech	2017	94.1%	100.0%	66.8%
Tunisia	Menzel Bourguiba	2000	99.0%	99.8%	97.4%
Tunisia	Menzel Bourguiba	2017	98.9%	99.7%	97.0%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzaiene	2000	97.6%	99.4%	94.2%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzaiene	2017	97.4%	99.3%	93.7%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzelifa	2000	98.3%	99.4%	95.9%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzelifa	2017	98.0%	99.3%	95.4%
Tunisia	Menzel Chaker	2000	95.0%	99.7%	79.1%
Tunisia	Menzel Chaker	2017	94.5%	99.7%	77.4%
Tunisia	Menzel Habib	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Tunisia	Menzel Habib	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Tunisia	Menzel Jemil	2000	98.5%	99.3%	97.0%
Tunisia	Menzel Jemil	2017	98.3%	99.3%	96.8%
Tunisia	Menzel Temime	2000	97.4%	98.9%	94.7%
Tunisia	Menzel Temime	2017	97.1%	98.8%	94.1%
Tunisia	Metlaoui	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Metlaoui	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Metouia	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Tunisia	Metouia	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Tunisia	Mnihla	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Mnihla	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Moknine	2000	93.8%	99.9%	72.7%
Tunisia	Moknine	2017	93.3%	99.8%	71.5%
Tunisia	Monastir	2000	92.5%	99.8%	67.6%
Tunisia	Monastir	2017	91.9%	99.8%	66.9%
Tunisia	Mornag	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Tunisia	Mornag	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Tunisia	Mornaguia	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Tunisia	Mornaguia	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Tunisia	Nabeul	2000	96.8%	99.6%	91.5%
Tunisia	Nabeul	2017	96.4%	99.5%	90.5%
Tunisia	Nadhour	2000	96.6%	99.1%	90.9%
Tunisia	Nadhour	2017	96.1%	99.0%	89.7%
Tunisia	Nasrallah	2000	95.8%	98.2%	90.9%
Tunisia	Nasrallah	2017	95.2%	97.9%	89.8%
Tunisia	Nebeur	2000	90.2%	94.7%	84.9%
Tunisia	Nebeur	2017	89.3%	94.0%	84.0%
Tunisia	Nefta	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Nefta	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Nefza	2000	95.1%	99.1%	86.8%
Tunisia	Nefza	2017	94.6%	99.0%	85.9%
Tunisia	Nouvelle Médina	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Nouvelle Médina	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Omrane	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Omrane	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Omrane Supérieur	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Omrane Supérieur	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Oued Ellil	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Oued Ellil	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Oued Mliz	2000	87.9%	94.8%	80.5%
Tunisia	Oued Mliz	2017	87.0%	94.2%	79.4%
Tunisia	Ouerdanine	2000	92.3%	99.8%	71.2%
Tunisia	Ouerdanine	2017	91.7%	99.8%	70.5%
Tunisia	Oueslatia	2000	95.8%	98.5%	91.4%
Tunisia	Oueslatia	2017	95.1%	98.2%	90.4%
Tunisia	Ouled	2000	94.7%	99.5%	83.0%
Tunisia	Chamekh				
Tunisia	Ouled	2017	93.8%	99.4%	80.9%
Tunisia	Chamekh				
Tunisia	Ouled Haffouz	2000	95.4%	98.8%	89.1%
Tunisia	Ouled Haffouz	2017	94.9%	98.6%	87.9%
Tunisia	Oum Larais	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Oum Larais	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Tunisia	Radès	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Radès	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Raoued	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Raoued	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Ras Jebel	2000	98.8%	99.8%	96.7%
Tunisia	Ras Jebel	2017	98.6%	99.8%	96.4%
Tunisia	Redeyef	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Redeyef	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Regueb	2000	95.5%	97.9%	91.0%
Tunisia	Regueb	2017	94.8%	97.6%	89.8%
Tunisia	Remada	2000	99.5%	100.0%	97.2%
Tunisia	Remada	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Tunisia	Rouhia	2000	95.7%	98.0%	92.2%
Tunisia	Rouhia	2017	95.2%	97.8%	91.5%
Tunisia	Sabalat Ouled	2000	95.1%	98.1%	90.7%
Tunisia	Asker				
Tunisia	Sabalat Ouled	2017	94.4%	97.8%	89.6%
Tunisia	Asker				
Tunisia	Sabkhet	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Sijoumi				
Tunisia	Sabkhet	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Sijoumi				
Tunisia	Sahline	2000	92.5%	99.9%	69.5%
Tunisia	Sahline	2017	91.8%	99.8%	68.6%
Tunisia	Sakiet	Ed-	2000	97.0%	100.0%
Tunisia	daier				
Tunisia	Sakiet	Ed-	2017	96.6%	100.0%
Tunisia	daier				
Tunisia	Sakiet Ezzit	2000	95.9%	100.0%	73.6%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ezzit	2017	95.5%	100.0%	71.9%
Tunisia	Sakiet	Sidi	2000	87.9%	95.4%
Tunisia	Youssef				
Tunisia	Sakiet	Sidi	2017	87.2%	94.8%
Tunisia	Youssef				
Tunisia	Samar	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Samar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Saouaf	2000	96.4%	99.3%	88.4%
Tunisia	Saouaf	2017	96.0%	99.2%	87.5%
Tunisia	Sayada-	2000	93.2%	99.9%	67.2%
Tunisia	Lamta-Bou				
Tunisia	Hjar				
Tunisia	Sayada-	2017	92.6%	99.9%	66.4%
Tunisia	Lamta-Bou				
Tunisia	Hjar				
Tunisia	Sbeitla	2000	97.3%	98.4%	95.7%
Tunisia	Sbeitla	2017	96.8%	98.1%	95.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Sbiba	2000	98.1%	99.3%	96.0%
Tunisia	Sbiba	2017	97.9%	99.2%	95.8%
Tunisia	Sbikha	2000	95.5%	98.8%	88.1%
Tunisia	Sbikha	2017	94.9%	98.4%	87.0%
Tunisia	Sebkhat Sidi El Hani	2000	92.9%	99.6%	76.1%
Tunisia	Sebkhat Sidi El Hani	2017	92.1%	99.5%	74.3%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Ariana	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Ariana	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Sebkhet El Moknine	2000	93.7%	99.9%	69.0%
Tunisia	Sebkhet El Moknine	2017	93.6%	99.9%	69.4%
Tunisia	Sebkhit El Kabla	2000	94.0%	99.3%	84.7%
Tunisia	Sebkhit El Kabla	2017	93.2%	99.2%	82.9%
Tunisia	Sejnane	2000	96.6%	99.5%	90.4%
Tunisia	Sejnane	2017	96.2%	99.4%	89.5%
Tunisia	Sened	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.7%
Tunisia	Sened	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.5%
Tunisia	Sfax Médina	2000	97.6%	100.0%	81.4%
Tunisia	Sfax Médina	2017	97.4%	100.0%	79.4%
Tunisia	Sfax Ouest	2000	97.8%	100.0%	80.3%
Tunisia	Sfax Ouest	2017	97.5%	100.0%	78.8%
Tunisia	Sfax Sud	2000	97.2%	100.0%	79.4%
Tunisia	Sfax Sud	2017	96.8%	100.0%	77.7%
Tunisia	Sidi Aich	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.9%
Tunisia	Sidi Aich	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.7%
Tunisia	Sidi Ali Ben Aoun	2000	95.9%	98.0%	92.7%
Tunisia	Sidi Ali Ben Aoun	2017	95.3%	97.7%	91.8%
Tunisia	Sidi Alouane	2000	93.6%	99.9%	67.6%
Tunisia	Sidi Alouane	2017	93.1%	99.9%	66.8%
Tunisia	Sidi Bou Ali	2000	93.9%	99.6%	79.4%
Tunisia	Sidi Bou Ali	2017	93.6%	99.6%	78.6%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Est	2000	94.3%	97.6%	89.7%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Est	2017	93.7%	97.3%	88.3%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Ouest	2000	93.9%	97.3%	89.0%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Ouest	2017	93.1%	96.8%	87.6%
Tunisia	Sidi El Béchir	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Sidi El Béchir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Sidi El Heni	2000	92.3%	99.2%	79.6%
Tunisia	Sidi El Heni	2017	91.4%	99.1%	78.1%
Tunisia	Sidi Hassine	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Sidi Hassine	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Sidi Makhlouf	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Sidi Makhlouf	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Sidi Thabet	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Sidi Thabet	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Sijoumi	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Sijoumi	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Siliana Nord	2000	88.4%	93.2%	83.1%
Tunisia	Siliana Nord	2017	87.6%	92.5%	82.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Siliana Sud	2000	90.0%	93.8%	84.7%
Tunisia	Siliana Sud	2017	89.2%	93.1%	84.0%
Tunisia	Skhira	2000	98.9%	99.9%	95.1%
Tunisia	Skhira	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.5%
Tunisia	Soliman	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.8%
Tunisia	Soliman	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.7%
Tunisia	Souassi	2000	93.9%	99.8%	73.8%
Tunisia	Souassi	2017	93.2%	99.8%	72.5%
Tunisia	Souk El Ahed	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Souk El Ahed	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Souk Jedid	2000	93.2%	97.9%	84.8%
Tunisia	Souk Jedid	2017	92.2%	97.6%	82.7%
Tunisia	Soukra	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Soukra	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Sousse	2000	94.3%	99.9%	75.8%
Tunisia	Jaouhara				
Tunisia	Sousse	2017	93.7%	99.8%	74.6%
Tunisia	Jaouhara				
Tunisia	Sousse Méd-ina	2000	94.0%	99.9%	74.8%
Tunisia	Sousse Méd-ina	2017	93.4%	99.8%	73.3%
Tunisia	Sousse Riadh	2000	94.2%	99.9%	75.4%
Tunisia	Sousse Riadh	2017	93.5%	99.8%	74.0%
Tunisia	Sousse Sidi Abdelhamid	2000	94.3%	99.8%	76.3%
Tunisia	Sousse Sidi Abdelhamid	2017	93.6%	99.8%	74.6%
Tunisia	Tabarka	2000	92.7%	98.6%	80.4%
Tunisia	Tabarka	2017	91.9%	98.4%	78.8%
Tunisia	Tajerouine	2000	88.3%	92.6%	82.3%
Tunisia	Tajerouine	2017	87.3%	91.6%	81.3%
Tunisia	Takelsa	2000	98.1%	99.6%	94.3%
Tunisia	Takelsa	2017	97.9%	99.5%	93.6%
Tunisia	Tamaghza	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Tunisia	Tamaghza	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Tataouine Nord	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Tataouine Nord	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Tunisia	Tataouine Sud	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Tunisia	Tataouine Sud	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Tunisia	Teboulba	2000	93.4%	99.9%	68.0%
Tunisia	Teboulba	2017	92.8%	99.9%	66.8%
Tunisia	Tebourba	2000	98.2%	99.6%	94.5%
Tunisia	Tebourba	2017	97.9%	99.6%	93.8%
Tunisia	Téboursouk	2000	89.3%	94.5%	82.8%
Tunisia	Téboursouk	2017	88.5%	94.0%	81.9%
Tunisia	Testour	2000	88.7%	93.7%	82.9%
Tunisia	Testour	2017	87.8%	92.7%	82.2%
Tunisia	Thala	2000	97.6%	99.1%	94.7%
Tunisia	Thala	2017	97.2%	98.9%	93.9%
Tunisia	Thibar	2000	91.5%	96.2%	85.5%
Tunisia	Thibar	2017	90.7%	95.8%	84.3%
Tunisia	Tinja	2000	98.7%	99.7%	96.7%
Tunisia	Tinja	2017	98.6%	99.7%	96.3%
Tunisia	Tozeur	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Tozeur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Unknown	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Unknown	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Tunisia	Unknown1	2000	93.1%	99.9%	65.1%
Tunisia	Unknown1	2017	92.5%	99.9%	63.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Utique	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.2%
Tunisia	Utique	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Tunisia	Zaghouan	2000	97.6%	98.9%	95.5%
Tunisia	Zaghouan	2017	97.2%	98.7%	94.8%
Tunisia	Zarzis	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Zarzis	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Tunisia	Zeramdine	2000	92.4%	99.8%	66.7%
Tunisia	Zeramdine	2017	91.8%	99.8%	65.6%
Tunisia	Zriba	2000	96.8%	98.8%	93.0%
Tunisia	Zriba	2017	96.5%	98.6%	92.3%
Yemen	Abs	2000	71.6%	84.6%	55.2%
Yemen	Abs	2017	95.6%	99.5%	87.8%
Yemen	Ad Dahi	2000	54.9%	82.3%	22.5%
Yemen	Ad Dahi	2017	92.7%	99.4%	76.9%
Yemen	Ad Dhale'e	2000	70.2%	80.5%	54.6%
Yemen	Ad Dhale'e	2017	96.9%	99.8%	86.0%
Yemen	Ad Dis	2000	74.6%	91.8%	42.7%
Yemen	Ad Dis	2017	95.0%	99.4%	83.1%
Yemen	Ad Durayhimi	2000	60.8%	91.0%	21.4%
Yemen	Ad Durayhimi	2017	86.2%	99.4%	56.7%
Yemen	Adh Dhli'a'h	2000	68.3%	85.7%	47.2%
Yemen	Adh Dhli'a'h	2017	90.9%	98.0%	76.4%
Yemen	Aflah Al Yaman	2000	4.1%	5.6%	3.0%
Yemen	Aflah Al Yaman	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Yemen	Aflah Ash Shawm	2000	3.1%	13.8%	1.4%
Yemen	Aflah Ash Shawm	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Yemen	Ahwar	2000	64.5%	86.6%	31.8%
Yemen	Ahwar	2017	89.4%	98.3%	59.0%
Yemen	Ain	2000	59.6%	89.9%	26.8%
Yemen	Ain	2017	94.5%	99.7%	79.0%
Yemen	Al Hawtah	2000	95.3%	99.6%	87.2%
Yemen	Al Hawtah	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.4%
Yemen	Al Mukha	2000	66.1%	88.3%	42.4%
Yemen	Al Mukha	2017	89.6%	99.0%	77.9%
Yemen	Al A'rsh	2000	58.6%	88.5%	21.0%
Yemen	Al A'rsh	2017	95.5%	99.7%	83.1%
Yemen	Al Abdiyah	2000	54.7%	83.9%	21.9%
Yemen	Al Abdiyah	2017	84.8%	98.9%	63.5%
Yemen	Al Abr	2000	63.7%	84.5%	35.1%
Yemen	Al Abr	2017	89.3%	97.3%	70.1%
Yemen	Al Ashah	2000	57.0%	80.5%	29.5%
Yemen	Al Ashah	2017	92.9%	99.3%	79.8%
Yemen	Al Azariq	2000	71.3%	88.4%	53.4%
Yemen	Al Azariq	2017	95.4%	99.8%	79.4%
Yemen	Al Bayda	2000	64.0%	78.1%	47.4%
Yemen	Al Bayda	2017	98.7%	99.8%	91.9%
Yemen	Al Bayda City	2000	70.2%	89.7%	41.9%
Yemen	Al Bayda City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Yemen	Al Buraiqeh	2000	75.9%	96.8%	44.6%
Yemen	Al Buraiqeh	2017	92.7%	99.7%	71.6%
Yemen	Al Dhaher	2000	19.1%	42.3%	2.4%
Yemen	Al Dhaher	2017	88.6%	95.9%	77.8%
Yemen	Al Dhihar	2000	76.1%	81.5%	70.4%
Yemen	Al Dhihar	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Yemen	Al Garrahi	2000	72.6%	94.0%	36.7%
Yemen	Al Garrahi	2017	93.7%	100.0%	67.6%
Yemen	Al Ghaydah	2000	60.9%	77.3%	42.9%
Yemen	Al Ghaydah	2017	88.2%	97.0%	72.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Ghayl	2000	70.8%	90.3%	49.8%
Yemen	Al Ghayl	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.3%
Yemen	Al Had	2000	42.0%	71.2%	12.2%
Yemen	Al Had	2017	91.9%	98.9%	72.4%
Yemen	Al Hada	2000	70.9%	88.5%	48.6%
Yemen	Al Hada	2017	94.1%	99.5%	82.0%
Yemen	Al Hajjaylah	2000	51.7%	95.3%	10.6%
Yemen	Al Hajjaylah	2017	93.5%	99.9%	63.7%
Yemen	Al Hali	2000	73.8%	96.3%	50.1%
Yemen	Al Hali	2017	97.6%	100.0%	89.7%
Yemen	Al Hashwah	2000	69.8%	97.4%	27.4%
Yemen	Al Hashwah	2017	91.8%	100.0%	63.6%
Yemen	Al Hawak	2000	57.3%	99.9%	4.4%
Yemen	Al Hawak	2017	90.9%	100.0%	58.0%
Yemen	Al Haymah	2000	51.1%	57.9%	41.5%
Yemen	Ad Dakhiliyah				
Yemen	Al Haymah	2017	86.7%	91.3%	80.4%
Yemen	Ad Dakhiliyah				
Yemen	Al Haymah Al	2000	46.8%	74.4%	20.0%
Yemen	Kharijiyah				
Yemen	Al Haymah Al	2017	80.5%	97.4%	61.7%
Yemen	Kharijiyah				
Yemen	Al Hazm	2000	69.2%	92.9%	43.6%
Yemen	Al Hazm	2017	95.2%	99.8%	84.0%
Yemen	Al Humaydat	2000	67.4%	86.8%	41.8%
Yemen	Al Humaydat	2017	97.5%	99.9%	84.6%
Yemen	Al Husha	2000	26.2%	39.3%	16.1%
Yemen	Al Husha	2017	89.9%	95.0%	80.6%
Yemen	Al Husn	2000	60.1%	88.6%	26.2%
Yemen	Al Husn	2017	95.2%	99.8%	79.7%
Yemen	Al Hussein	2000	60.3%	89.1%	36.4%
Yemen	Al Hussein	2017	96.2%	99.9%	78.1%
Yemen	Al Jabin	2000	19.9%	27.4%	13.7%
Yemen	Al Jabin	2017	88.6%	89.7%	87.3%
Yemen	Al Jafariyah	2000	29.5%	35.5%	22.5%
Yemen	Al Jafariyah	2017	87.9%	89.3%	86.5%
Yemen	Al Jamimah	2000	60.7%	69.5%	46.8%
Yemen	Al Jamimah	2017	92.6%	96.4%	90.1%
Yemen	Al Jubah	2000	67.0%	87.7%	42.9%
Yemen	Al Jubah	2017	93.7%	99.6%	76.4%
Yemen	Al Khabt	2000	23.1%	59.8%	14.0%
Yemen	Al Khabt	2017	94.7%	96.6%	93.5%
Yemen	Al Khalq	2000	81.2%	99.0%	26.6%
Yemen	Al Khalq	2017	99.4%	100.0%	93.3%
Yemen	Al Khawkhah	2000	70.6%	94.0%	40.4%
Yemen	Al Khawkhah	2017	92.9%	99.7%	77.1%
Yemen	Al Ma'afer	2000	51.4%	77.4%	33.3%
Yemen	Al Ma'afer	2017	95.8%	99.5%	73.1%
Yemen	Al Madan	2000	10.7%	39.4%	0.6%
Yemen	Al Madan	2017	70.5%	88.0%	55.3%
Yemen	Al Madaribah	2000	57.6%	74.6%	37.8%
Yemen	Wa Al Arah				
Yemen	Al Madaribah	2017	78.1%	92.4%	61.4%
Yemen	Wa Al Arah				
Yemen	Al Maflahy	2000	21.7%	47.5%	1.3%
Yemen	Al Maflahy	2017	84.4%	95.5%	63.1%
Yemen	Al Maghrabah	2000	18.7%	30.6%	12.5%
Yemen	Al Maghrabah	2017	66.0%	79.3%	58.8%
Yemen	Al Ma-	2000	22.7%	25.7%	19.6%
Yemen	habishah				
Yemen	Al Ma-	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.6%
Yemen	habishah				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Mahfad	2000	70.5%	88.4%	45.2%
Yemen	Al Mahfad	2017	93.0%	99.2%	80.9%
Yemen	Al Mahwait	2000	74.2%	79.5%	68.4%
Yemen	Al Mahwait	2017	94.8%	97.1%	89.4%
Yemen	Al Mahwait	2000	92.3%	93.4%	91.0%
Yemen	Al Mahwait City	2017	92.8%	95.4%	89.7%
Yemen	Al Makhadir	2000	57.6%	80.7%	28.3%
Yemen	Al Makhadir	2017	98.7%	99.6%	95.1%
Yemen	Al Malagim	2000	58.7%	79.5%	29.7%
Yemen	Al Malagim	2017	91.2%	99.5%	68.3%
Yemen	Al Manar	2000	52.3%	67.0%	32.8%
Yemen	Al Manar	2017	80.5%	88.1%	67.8%
Yemen	Al Mansura	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Yemen	Al Mansura	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Yemen	Al Mansuriyah	2000	62.5%	90.6%	27.8%
Yemen	Al Mansuriyah	2017	93.4%	99.9%	65.3%
Yemen	Al Maqatirah	2000	36.6%	53.0%	16.8%
Yemen	Al Maqatirah	2017	89.3%	97.0%	68.6%
Yemen	Al Marawi'ah	2000	73.5%	94.2%	43.1%
Yemen	Al Marawi'ah	2017	93.5%	98.6%	75.1%
Yemen	Al Mashannah	2000	75.3%	76.6%	73.9%
Yemen	Al Mashannah	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Yemen	Al Masilah	2000	69.9%	83.9%	53.6%
Yemen	Al Masilah	2017	91.4%	98.2%	78.9%
Yemen	Al Maslub	2000	66.0%	86.9%	40.9%
Yemen	Al Maslub	2017	97.1%	100.0%	84.4%
Yemen	Al Matammah	2000	75.6%	91.8%	48.6%
Yemen	Al Matammah	2017	97.7%	100.0%	85.2%
Yemen	Al Maton	2000	60.2%	79.8%	38.9%
Yemen	Al Maton	2017	96.6%	99.6%	88.5%
Yemen	Al Mawasit	2000	45.1%	57.7%	35.6%
Yemen	Al Mawasit	2017	97.3%	98.7%	89.6%
Yemen	Al Miftah	2000	62.0%	65.4%	49.0%
Yemen	Al Miftah	2017	95.6%	97.5%	93.0%
Yemen	Al Mighlaf	2000	77.0%	91.5%	47.9%
Yemen	Al Mighlaf	2017	95.8%	99.6%	78.8%
Yemen	Al Milah	2000	61.9%	85.2%	35.1%
Yemen	Al Milah	2017	90.5%	99.4%	69.1%
Yemen	Al Mina	2000	66.9%	100.0%	5.5%
Yemen	Al Mina	2017	96.3%	100.0%	80.6%
Yemen	Al Misrakh	2000	36.8%	48.8%	26.5%
Yemen	Al Misrakh	2017	98.3%	99.0%	97.1%
Yemen	Al Mualla	2000	97.8%	99.9%	93.0%
Yemen	Al Mualla	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.4%
Yemen	Al Mudhaffar	2000	61.4%	71.8%	51.4%
Yemen	Al Mudhaffar	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.3%
Yemen	Al Mukalla	2000	62.7%	89.2%	37.0%
Yemen	Al Mukalla	2017	86.9%	99.3%	66.2%
Yemen	Al Mukalla City	2000	48.5%	89.5%	9.1%
Yemen	Al Mukalla City	2017	77.3%	99.3%	41.3%
Yemen	Al Munirah	2000	63.4%	87.5%	34.9%
Yemen	Al Munirah	2017	86.9%	99.6%	61.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Musaymir	2000	65.0%	91.8%	33.0%
Yemen	Al Musaymir	2017	89.5%	99.7%	66.7%
Yemen	Al Qabbaytah	2000	58.4%	79.1%	36.0%
Yemen	Al Qabbaytah	2017	84.2%	96.5%	68.4%
Yemen	Al Qaf	2000	66.8%	78.7%	55.1%
Yemen	Al Qaf	2017	90.5%	96.6%	81.7%
Yemen	Al Qaflah	2000	52.4%	75.3%	27.1%
Yemen	Al Qaflah	2017	89.7%	98.6%	76.3%
Yemen	Al Qafr	2000	68.5%	81.5%	51.9%
Yemen	Al Qafr	2017	96.8%	98.5%	91.7%
Yemen	Al Qahirah	2000	56.9%	64.7%	48.4%
Yemen	Al Qahirah	2017	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Yemen	Al Qanawis	2000	73.3%	96.5%	47.1%
Yemen	Al Qanawis	2017	93.9%	99.5%	80.6%
Yemen	Al Qatn	2000	70.0%	91.9%	39.9%
Yemen	Al Qatn	2017	95.3%	99.5%	81.5%
Yemen	Al Quraishyah	2000	59.1%	76.1%	39.7%
Yemen	Al Quraishyah	2017	90.9%	98.7%	73.5%
Yemen	Al Talh	2000	67.4%	85.6%	45.0%
Yemen	Al Talh	2017	91.5%	99.0%	76.6%
Yemen	Al Udayn	2000	62.5%	74.2%	48.9%
Yemen	Al Udayn	2017	89.8%	93.0%	85.5%
Yemen	Al Wade'a	2000	71.4%	95.0%	40.0%
Yemen	Al Wade'a	2017	95.2%	100.0%	80.9%
Yemen	Al Wahdah	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.1%
Yemen	Al Wahdah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Yemen	Al Wazi'iyah	2000	73.7%	97.7%	39.6%
Yemen	Al Wazi'iyah	2017	92.8%	100.0%	63.1%
Yemen	Alluheyah	2000	55.6%	75.9%	35.0%
Yemen	Alluheyah	2017	91.4%	98.4%	77.9%
Yemen	Amd	2000	73.3%	96.3%	43.0%
Yemen	Amd	2017	94.7%	99.9%	72.6%
Yemen	Amran	2000	56.0%	73.7%	49.8%
Yemen	Amran	2017	94.4%	96.6%	93.7%
Yemen	An Nadirah	2000	56.9%	85.3%	32.9%
Yemen	An Nadirah	2017	92.8%	99.6%	67.8%
Yemen	Anss	2000	55.7%	75.7%	34.7%
Yemen	Anss	2017	92.4%	98.6%	81.5%
Yemen	Ar Radmah	2000	68.6%	86.2%	36.5%
Yemen	Ar Radmah	2017	98.0%	99.7%	91.0%
Yemen	Ar Rawdah	2000	68.9%	90.3%	41.5%
Yemen	Ar Rawdah	2017	92.4%	99.5%	72.3%
Yemen	Ar Raydah	2000	60.1%	81.5%	39.0%
Yemen	Ar Raydah	2017	84.2%	98.0%	59.4%
Yemen	Ar Rujum	2000	54.6%	60.4%	49.1%
Yemen	Ar Rujum	2017	86.7%	91.2%	80.9%
Yemen	Ar Ryashyyah	2000	62.0%	85.5%	42.2%
Yemen	Ar Ryashyyah	2017	95.9%	99.9%	79.1%
Yemen	Arhab	2000	55.7%	75.5%	30.3%
Yemen	Arhab	2017	96.2%	99.6%	79.4%
Yemen	Arma	2000	60.6%	80.6%	40.5%
Yemen	Arma	2017	88.1%	97.5%	72.0%
Yemen	As Sabain	2000	59.0%	61.6%	56.4%
Yemen	As Sabain	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Yemen	As Sabrah	2000	62.8%	71.0%	52.5%
Yemen	As Sabrah	2017	98.3%	99.1%	96.6%
Yemen	As Saddah	2000	57.5%	99.7%	5.5%
Yemen	As Saddah	2017	88.9%	100.0%	45.1%
Yemen	As Safra	2000	71.0%	81.3%	60.6%
Yemen	As Safra	2017	95.2%	99.6%	85.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	As Said	2000	74.5%	95.4%	43.1%
Yemen	As Said	2017	95.6%	100.0%	79.4%
Yemen	As Salafiyah	2000	26.3%	46.1%	9.8%
Yemen	As Salafiyah	2017	84.5%	90.0%	78.9%
Yemen	As Salif	2000	59.9%	99.6%	9.3%
Yemen	As Salif	2017	86.3%	100.0%	47.2%
Yemen	As Sawadiyah	2000	53.0%	70.8%	33.6%
Yemen	As Sawadiyah	2017	94.9%	99.3%	87.0%
Yemen	As Sawd	2000	34.2%	49.2%	29.1%
Yemen	As Sawd	2017	57.0%	71.2%	54.1%
Yemen	As Sawm	2000	67.4%	85.7%	45.7%
Yemen	As Sawm	2017	91.2%	97.7%	77.1%
Yemen	As Sawma'ah	2000	79.1%	92.9%	57.0%
Yemen	As Sawma'ah	2017	97.5%	99.9%	83.7%
Yemen	As Sayyani	2000	78.7%	81.2%	73.6%
Yemen	As Sayyani	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.4%
Yemen	As Silw	2000	41.2%	58.2%	33.5%
Yemen	As Silw	2017	94.3%	96.5%	88.6%
Yemen	As Sudah	2000	65.6%	72.4%	52.0%
Yemen	As Sudah	2017	97.4%	98.7%	94.7%
Yemen	As Sukhnah	2000	61.7%	90.4%	28.7%
Yemen	As Sukhnah	2017	87.0%	94.6%	67.0%
Yemen	Ash Sha'ir	2000	72.0%	94.0%	43.6%
Yemen	Ash Sha'ir	2017	80.3%	99.2%	48.8%
Yemen	Ash Shaghadi- rah	2000	11.8%	15.0%	9.4%
Yemen	Ash Shaghadi- rah	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.0%
Yemen	Ash Shahil	2000	69.6%	75.3%	64.5%
Yemen	Ash Shahil	2017	98.8%	99.3%	97.6%
Yemen	Ash Shaikh Outhman	2000	82.0%	96.9%	69.4%
Yemen	Ash Shaikh Outhman	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Yemen	Ash Shamay- atayn	2000	34.1%	48.2%	19.0%
Yemen	Ash Shamay- atayn	2017	81.9%	90.0%	70.8%
Yemen	Ash Sharyah	2000	56.4%	86.2%	27.3%
Yemen	Ash Sharyah	2017	87.2%	98.9%	68.0%
Yemen	Ash Shihr	2000	61.5%	82.9%	34.9%
Yemen	Ash Shihr	2017	86.8%	98.0%	69.6%
Yemen	Ash Shu'ayb	2000	34.7%	72.9%	5.4%
Yemen	Ash Shu'ayb	2017	87.6%	98.8%	60.1%
Yemen	Aslem	2000	10.8%	18.7%	4.1%
Yemen	Aslem	2017	91.1%	93.9%	86.7%
Yemen	Assafi'yah	2000	57.8%	65.9%	50.6%
Yemen	Assafi'yah	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Yemen	At Ta'iziyah	2000	47.2%	56.6%	37.7%
Yemen	At Ta'iziyah	2017	92.9%	96.2%	88.9%
Yemen	At Taffah	2000	65.8%	84.0%	41.7%
Yemen	At Taffah	2017	90.3%	99.0%	77.1%
Yemen	At Tahrir	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Yemen	At Tahrir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Yemen	At Tawilah	2000	46.6%	60.8%	41.3%
Yemen	At Tawilah	2017	68.7%	84.1%	48.0%
Yemen	At Tuhayat	2000	70.4%	92.7%	39.3%
Yemen	At Tuhayat	2017	92.2%	99.5%	64.2%
Yemen	Ataq	2000	66.3%	91.3%	38.5%
Yemen	Ataq	2017	95.7%	99.8%	85.6%
Yemen	Ath'thaorah	2000	93.3%	99.4%	77.2%
Yemen	Ath'thaorah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Attawahi	2000	99.1%	100.0%	96.3%
Yemen	Attawahi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Yemen	Attyal	2000	66.3%	78.8%	51.7%
Yemen	Attyal	2017	97.2%	99.5%	90.5%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2000	58.2%	88.5%	17.7%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2000	58.0%	74.6%	40.2%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2017	98.3%	100.0%	92.1%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2017	97.2%	99.5%	88.5%
Yemen	Az Zaydiyah	2000	72.4%	92.8%	44.2%
Yemen	Az Zaydiyah	2017	94.8%	99.5%	81.4%
Yemen	Az Zuhrah	2000	59.9%	80.9%	41.6%
Yemen	Az Zuhrah	2017	90.4%	99.3%	71.7%
Yemen	Az'zal	2000	58.5%	66.9%	51.1%
Yemen	Az'zal	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Yemen	Ba'dan	2000	59.6%	79.9%	41.7%
Yemen	Ba'dan	2017	86.8%	98.4%	77.2%
Yemen	Bajil	2000	62.5%	80.4%	36.9%
Yemen	Bajil	2017	89.7%	97.0%	78.0%
Yemen	Bakil Al Mir	2000	64.1%	89.9%	33.6%
Yemen	Bakil Al Mir	2017	91.1%	99.7%	73.4%
Yemen	Bani Al Awam	2000	56.2%	58.6%	53.7%
Yemen	Bani Al Awam	2017	93.5%	94.8%	91.5%
Yemen	Bani Al Harith	2000	83.0%	93.6%	68.7%
Yemen	Bani Al Harith	2017	98.8%	100.0%	94.7%
Yemen	Bani Dhabyan	2000	67.5%	86.3%	44.8%
Yemen	Bani Dhabyan	2017	91.7%	99.1%	79.5%
Yemen	Bani Hushaysh	2000	76.2%	86.9%	63.4%
Yemen	Bani Hushaysh	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.2%
Yemen	Bani Matar	2000	78.2%	85.9%	70.6%
Yemen	Bani Matar	2017	96.9%	98.9%	93.7%
Yemen	Bani Qa'is	2000	35.2%	53.9%	15.0%
Yemen	Bani Qa'is	2017	93.2%	98.6%	83.1%
Yemen	Bani Sa'd	2000	19.2%	35.2%	9.9%
Yemen	Bani Sa'd	2017	90.2%	95.5%	75.7%
Yemen	Bani Suraim	2000	62.9%	85.9%	31.1%
Yemen	Bani Suraim	2017	91.5%	99.7%	68.1%
Yemen	Baqim	2000	65.3%	89.4%	33.5%
Yemen	Baqim	2017	92.4%	99.3%	79.6%
Yemen	Bart Al Anan	2000	55.9%	76.5%	31.6%
Yemen	Bart Al Anan	2017	91.7%	98.4%	76.7%
Yemen	Bayhan	2000	70.9%	93.9%	38.4%
Yemen	Bayhan	2017	96.3%	100.0%	83.7%
Yemen	Bayt Al Faqiah	2000	68.5%	84.9%	51.5%
Yemen	Bayt Al Faqiah	2017	93.4%	99.4%	80.7%
Yemen	Bidbadah	2000	18.5%	41.1%	2.5%
Yemen	Bidbadah	2017	87.7%	94.0%	74.5%
Yemen	Bilad Ar Rus	2000	69.9%	87.3%	43.5%
Yemen	Bilad Ar Rus	2017	93.3%	99.4%	75.4%
Yemen	Bilad At Ta'am	2000	32.9%	47.5%	17.2%
Yemen	Bilad At Ta'am	2017	78.4%	87.9%	69.6%
Yemen	Brom Mayfa	2000	60.1%	84.5%	31.6%
Yemen	Brom Mayfa	2017	86.4%	98.6%	56.0%
Yemen	Bura	2000	12.0%	35.5%	2.2%
Yemen	Bura	2017	23.5%	38.9%	16.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Craiter	2000	98.5%	100.0%	93.8%
Yemen	Craiter	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Yemen	Damt	2000	63.2%	79.1%	44.6%
Yemen	Damt	2017	96.6%	97.6%	94.1%
Yemen	Dar Sad	2000	82.7%	95.5%	70.6%
Yemen	Dar Sad	2017	98.9%	99.8%	97.1%
Yemen	Daw'an	2000	63.4%	85.9%	37.1%
Yemen	Daw'an	2017	89.5%	98.9%	62.2%
Yemen	Dawran Aness	2000	63.8%	76.3%	46.5%
Yemen	Dawran Aness	2017	92.4%	97.0%	82.5%
Yemen	Dhamar City	2000	70.0%	82.9%	55.5%
Yemen	Dhamar City	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.7%
Yemen	Dhar	2000	67.1%	89.4%	37.0%
Yemen	Dhar	2017	89.6%	99.4%	69.0%
Yemen	Dhi As Sufal	2000	57.5%	59.8%	55.2%
Yemen	Dhi As Sufal	2017	74.1%	77.5%	72.1%
Yemen	Dhi Bin	2000	56.0%	79.5%	24.9%
Yemen	Dhi Bin	2017	96.2%	99.6%	83.6%
Yemen	Dhi Na'im	2000	90.8%	97.3%	75.0%
Yemen	Dhi Na'im	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Yemen	Dhubab	2000	54.4%	81.0%	25.6%
Yemen	Dhubab	2017	77.4%	94.3%	49.2%
Yemen	Dimnat	2000	46.6%	76.2%	25.9%
Yemen	Dimnat Khadir	2017	91.0%	99.3%	61.1%
Yemen	Far Al Udayn	2000	45.3%	56.0%	32.7%
Yemen	Far Al Udayn	2017	91.7%	95.8%	86.1%
Yemen	Ghamr	2000	30.5%	32.4%	25.4%
Yemen	Ghamr	2017	92.6%	94.0%	90.1%
Yemen	Ghayl Ba Wazir	2000	64.1%	91.0%	28.2%
Yemen	Ghayl Ba Wazir	2017	86.3%	99.1%	52.7%
Yemen	Ghayl Bin Yamin	2000	68.3%	85.5%	46.2%
Yemen	Ghayl Bin Yamin	2017	90.8%	98.2%	73.7%
Yemen	Habban	2000	67.9%	95.8%	34.9%
Yemen	Habban	2017	91.8%	99.9%	68.6%
Yemen	Habil Jabr	2000	60.9%	92.6%	20.7%
Yemen	Habil Jabr	2017	83.1%	97.5%	53.2%
Yemen	Habur Zulaymah	2000	28.3%	63.4%	5.4%
Yemen	Habur Zulaymah	2017	81.5%	91.4%	67.2%
Yemen	Hagr As Sai'ar	2000	68.7%	85.9%	44.4%
Yemen	Hagr As Sai'ar	2017	91.6%	98.7%	76.8%
Yemen	Hajjah	2000	23.0%	28.7%	17.7%
Yemen	Hajjah	2017	80.3%	82.4%	77.7%
Yemen	Hajjah City	2000	84.7%	87.4%	81.7%
Yemen	Hajjah City	2017	76.0%	80.1%	71.8%
Yemen	Hajr	2000	61.3%	79.9%	41.7%
Yemen	Hajr	2017	87.4%	97.1%	68.7%
Yemen	Halimayn	2000	54.0%	92.8%	15.1%
Yemen	Halimayn	2017	86.9%	99.9%	58.1%
Yemen	Hamdan	2000	81.9%	94.4%	64.0%
Yemen	Hamdan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	97.1%
Yemen	Harad	2000	66.2%	90.1%	38.5%
Yemen	Harad	2017	92.8%	99.7%	78.2%
Yemen	Harf Sufyan	2000	66.4%	81.6%	50.0%
Yemen	Harf Sufyan	2017	91.4%	98.1%	78.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Harib	2000	61.2%	80.2%	39.3%
Yemen	Harib	2017	95.8%	99.2%	88.1%
Yemen	Harib Al Qaramish	2000	53.0%	88.7%	16.6%
Yemen	Harib Al Qaramish	2017	85.9%	99.0%	58.5%
Yemen	Hat	2000	69.1%	77.5%	59.6%
Yemen	Hat	2017	91.4%	96.4%	83.5%
Yemen	Hatib	2000	72.6%	97.0%	30.5%
Yemen	Hatib	2017	93.6%	100.0%	66.4%
Yemen	Hawf	2000	70.0%	93.8%	37.8%
Yemen	Hawf	2017	92.8%	99.8%	72.2%
Yemen	Haydan	2000	28.6%	39.7%	13.0%
Yemen	Haydan	2017	91.8%	96.2%	78.4%
Yemen	Hayfan	2000	56.1%	61.3%	50.6%
Yemen	Hayfan	2017	98.7%	99.2%	97.4%
Yemen	Hayran	2000	58.8%	99.7%	3.3%
Yemen	Hayran	2017	87.6%	100.0%	29.5%
Yemen	Hays	2000	77.7%	98.6%	35.7%
Yemen	Hays	2017	96.3%	100.0%	74.7%
Yemen	Hazm Al Udayn	2000	80.2%	90.3%	63.2%
Yemen	Hazm Al Udayn	2017	98.7%	99.8%	93.9%
Yemen	Hidaybu	2000	62.2%	84.2%	40.6%
Yemen	Hidaybu	2017	88.1%	98.1%	72.1%
Yemen	Hubaysh	2000	74.9%	87.5%	52.8%
Yemen	Hubaysh	2017	98.8%	99.4%	97.1%
Yemen	Hufash	2000	4.4%	7.8%	3.0%
Yemen	Hufash	2017	88.7%	89.9%	87.4%
Yemen	Huraidhah	2000	64.2%	91.2%	27.4%
Yemen	Huraidhah	2017	90.4%	99.8%	64.8%
Yemen	Huswain	2000	52.2%	76.9%	26.3%
Yemen	Huswain	2017	80.8%	97.9%	51.1%
Yemen	Huth	2000	53.4%	85.1%	13.2%
Yemen	Huth	2017	89.4%	99.2%	53.1%
Yemen	Ibb	2000	73.4%	79.0%	66.6%
Yemen	Ibb	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Yemen	Iyal Surayh	2000	79.6%	86.4%	72.6%
Yemen	Iyal Surayh	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Yemen	Jabal Ash sharq	2000	55.4%	75.1%	38.3%
Yemen	Jabal Ash sharq	2017	93.2%	96.8%	89.6%
Yemen	Jabal Habashy	2000	59.8%	68.3%	47.2%
Yemen	Jabal Habashy	2017	94.2%	98.2%	88.5%
Yemen	Jabal Iyal Yazid	2000	50.8%	67.1%	35.2%
Yemen	Jabal Iyal Yazid	2017	78.5%	88.7%	67.7%
Yemen	Jabal Murad	2000	44.3%	69.4%	18.7%
Yemen	Jabal Murad	2017	95.6%	99.4%	78.4%
Yemen	Jabal Ra's	2000	70.6%	94.1%	36.3%
Yemen	Jabal Ra's	2017	93.5%	100.0%	67.7%
Yemen	Jahaf	2000	42.4%	47.1%	39.8%
Yemen	Jahaf	2017	98.8%	99.4%	96.1%
Yemen	Jahrnan	2000	81.0%	97.7%	60.5%
Yemen	Jahrnan	2017	96.2%	100.0%	83.6%
Yemen	Jardan	2000	66.4%	83.0%	47.0%
Yemen	Jardan	2017	91.3%	98.5%	74.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Jayshan	2000	65.5%	92.7%	35.9%
Yemen	Jayshan	2017	93.5%	99.9%	74.0%
Yemen	Jiblah	2000	77.3%	78.9%	75.2%
Yemen	Jiblah	2017	88.2%	90.2%	85.1%
Yemen	Jihanah	2000	75.5%	91.2%	55.1%
Yemen	Jihanah	2017	98.4%	100.0%	92.9%
Yemen	Juban	2000	68.3%	87.5%	44.2%
Yemen	Juban	2017	94.2%	99.3%	84.4%
Yemen	Kamaran	2000	56.7%	99.8%	2.3%
Yemen	Kamaran	2017	83.1%	100.0%	20.5%
Yemen	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	2000	67.2%	78.1%	53.2%
Yemen	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	2017	92.4%	97.3%	84.9%
Yemen	Khamir	2000	45.0%	56.9%	35.0%
Yemen	Khamir	2017	94.9%	99.2%	88.2%
Yemen	Khanfir	2000	61.3%	84.4%	35.4%
Yemen	Khanfir	2017	89.1%	97.8%	70.2%
Yemen	Kharab Al Marashi	2000	40.4%	64.7%	20.5%
Yemen	Kharab Al Marashi	2017	97.2%	99.4%	89.5%
Yemen	Kharif	2000	47.7%	70.1%	27.4%
Yemen	Kharif	2017	90.1%	94.9%	80.6%
Yemen	Khayran Al Muharraq	2000	7.1%	16.9%	1.7%
Yemen	Khayran Al Muharraq	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Yemen	Khur Maksar	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Yemen	Khur Maksar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Yemen	Khwlan	2000	50.8%	74.5%	21.3%
Yemen	Khwlan	2017	93.0%	98.7%	74.4%
Yemen	Kitaf wa Al Boqe'e	2000	69.5%	86.7%	48.5%
Yemen	Kitaf wa Al Boqe'e	2017	92.0%	98.4%	81.0%
Yemen	Ku'aydinah	2000	34.7%	53.6%	18.2%
Yemen	Ku'aydinah	2017	94.4%	97.1%	79.3%
Yemen	Kuhlan Affar	2000	17.2%	26.3%	12.8%
Yemen	Kuhlan Affar	2017	84.8%	86.8%	82.8%
Yemen	Kuhlan Ash Sharaf	2000	58.9%	63.9%	35.8%
Yemen	Kuhlan Ash Sharaf	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Yemen	Kushar	2000	27.2%	60.3%	3.3%
Yemen	Kushar	2017	85.7%	95.3%	72.8%
Yemen	Kusmah	2000	6.5%	7.1%	5.9%
Yemen	Kusmah	2017	86.1%	87.5%	84.8%
Yemen	Lawdar	2000	66.7%	85.1%	44.5%
Yemen	Lawdar	2017	93.3%	99.3%	81.6%
Yemen	Ma'ain	2000	98.0%	99.7%	93.1%
Yemen	Ma'ain	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Yemen	Mabyan	2000	82.8%	91.3%	72.6%
Yemen	Mabyan	2017	97.3%	98.8%	94.2%
Yemen	Maghirib Ans	2000	29.9%	43.4%	15.3%
Yemen	Maghirib Ans	2017	88.3%	92.3%	76.5%
Yemen	Mahliyah	2000	57.5%	84.1%	24.5%
Yemen	Mahliyah	2017	90.8%	99.3%	67.4%
Yemen	Majz	2000	51.4%	71.1%	30.3%
Yemen	Majz	2017	89.7%	95.5%	74.7%
Yemen	Majzar	2000	62.4%	84.9%	34.6%
Yemen	Majzar	2017	96.1%	99.8%	85.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Man'ar	2000	70.9%	83.0%	57.4%
Yemen	Man'ar	2017	92.9%	97.3%	84.9%
Yemen	Manakhah	2000	34.6%	50.1%	21.0%
Yemen	Manakhah	2017	83.5%	91.8%	68.5%
Yemen	Maqbanah	2000	65.3%	78.3%	49.1%
Yemen	Maqbanah	2017	92.4%	97.9%	83.9%
Yemen	Marib	2000	68.8%	82.5%	53.0%
Yemen	Marib	2017	95.5%	98.3%	90.2%
Yemen	Marib City	2000	62.2%	92.2%	32.9%
Yemen	Marib City	2017	97.4%	99.3%	93.0%
Yemen	Mashra'a Wa Hadnan	2000	55.5%	64.7%	45.5%
Yemen	Mashra'a Wa Hadnan	2017	97.7%	99.2%	94.9%
Yemen	Maswar	2000	58.0%	61.0%	52.6%
Yemen	Maswar	2017	90.3%	91.6%	88.6%
Yemen	Maswarah	2000	71.7%	94.2%	47.8%
Yemen	Maswarah	2017	95.4%	99.9%	83.2%
Yemen	Mawiyah	2000	37.6%	49.3%	22.4%
Yemen	Mawiyah	2017	96.9%	97.9%	93.6%
Yemen	Mawza	2000	69.0%	92.9%	32.0%
Yemen	Mawza	2017	94.8%	99.9%	78.9%
Yemen	Mayfa'a	2000	84.4%	94.9%	69.8%
Yemen	Mayfa'a	2017	95.9%	99.7%	86.4%
Yemen	Mayfa'at Anss	2000	62.2%	91.2%	28.1%
Yemen	Mayfa'at Anss	2017	88.6%	99.7%	61.5%
Yemen	Mazhar	2000	16.3%	21.8%	10.8%
Yemen	Mazhar	2017	84.1%	86.5%	82.3%
Yemen	Medghal	2000	57.9%	95.0%	22.8%
Yemen	Medghal	2017	90.5%	99.7%	64.1%
Yemen	Merkhah Al Ulya	2000	74.6%	91.9%	47.0%
Yemen	Merkhah Al Ulya	2017	97.1%	100.0%	82.6%
Yemen	Merkhah As Sufla	2000	61.2%	77.8%	41.6%
Yemen	Merkhah As Sufla	2017	89.1%	97.8%	76.4%
Yemen	Midi	2000	60.9%	86.6%	25.4%
Yemen	Midi	2017	90.8%	99.5%	71.8%
Yemen	Milhan	2000	6.4%	15.6%	2.7%
Yemen	Milhan	2017	89.0%	90.4%	86.5%
Yemen	Monabbih	2000	36.2%	51.8%	21.9%
Yemen	Monabbih	2017	72.1%	82.0%	62.8%
Yemen	Mudhaykhirah	2000	53.3%	59.9%	45.9%
Yemen	Mudhaykhirah	2017	86.8%	92.3%	79.7%
Yemen	Mudiyah	2000	64.8%	89.2%	35.1%
Yemen	Mudiyah	2017	92.0%	99.7%	71.2%
Yemen	Mukayras	2000	80.2%	95.7%	49.1%
Yemen	Mukayras	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.6%
Yemen	Mustaba	2000	37.4%	64.9%	10.1%
Yemen	Mustaba	2017	92.2%	96.9%	83.2%
Yemen	Na'man	2000	56.9%	88.4%	12.5%
Yemen	Na'man	2017	91.5%	99.7%	69.5%
Yemen	Najrah	2000	21.7%	24.4%	20.2%
Yemen	Najrah	2017	84.4%	86.7%	81.6%
Yemen	Nati'	2000	72.3%	92.1%	38.2%
Yemen	Nati'	2017	96.6%	99.9%	82.8%
Yemen	Nihm	2000	62.7%	85.7%	32.2%
Yemen	Nihm	2017	89.2%	99.3%	69.5%
Yemen	Nisab	2000	60.0%	81.3%	34.7%
Yemen	Nisab	2017	83.6%	97.3%	65.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Old City	2000	63.2%	70.8%	56.6%
Yemen	Old City	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Yemen	Qa'atabah	2000	66.8%	73.0%	60.5%
Yemen	Qa'atabah	2017	98.3%	99.3%	95.9%
Yemen	Qafil Shamer	2000	17.1%	22.3%	13.6%
Yemen	Qafil Shamer	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Yemen	Qarah	2000	43.9%	75.5%	11.5%
Yemen	Qarah	2017	82.5%	97.9%	55.8%
Yemen	Qatabir	2000	56.8%	95.1%	13.0%
Yemen	Qatabir	2017	90.1%	99.6%	64.8%
Yemen	Qishn	2000	55.0%	91.4%	22.6%
Yemen	Qishn	2017	88.3%	99.5%	52.8%
Yemen	Qulensya Wa Abd Al Kuri	2000	68.4%	92.4%	40.4%
Yemen	Qulensya Wa Abd Al Kuri	2017	91.8%	99.8%	72.4%
Yemen	Rada'	2000	65.1%	80.0%	48.4%
Yemen	Rada'	2017	93.7%	98.4%	79.5%
Yemen	Radfan	2000	65.2%	90.2%	34.0%
Yemen	Radfan	2017	91.6%	99.8%	58.5%
Yemen	Radman Al Awad	2000	77.8%	92.9%	54.0%
Yemen	Radman Al Awad	2017	98.6%	99.8%	91.7%
Yemen	Raghwan	2000	70.8%	95.8%	34.0%
Yemen	Raghwan	2017	95.5%	100.0%	80.8%
Yemen	Rahabah	2000	66.7%	91.5%	32.7%
Yemen	Rahabah	2017	91.5%	99.8%	67.1%
Yemen	Rajuzah	2000	50.8%	75.5%	23.7%
Yemen	Rajuzah	2017	89.4%	98.1%	73.0%
Yemen	Rakhyah	2000	63.1%	94.1%	25.5%
Yemen	Rakhyah	2017	88.8%	99.8%	58.6%
Yemen	Rasad	2000	39.6%	74.6%	8.5%
Yemen	Rasad	2017	85.1%	98.8%	60.9%
Yemen	Raydah	2000	41.2%	64.6%	17.1%
Yemen	Raydah	2017	96.5%	98.4%	93.5%
Yemen	Razih	2000	39.9%	51.9%	30.3%
Yemen	Razih	2017	87.1%	94.4%	80.6%
Yemen	Rudum	2000	63.9%	79.1%	47.0%
Yemen	Rudum	2017	87.5%	96.0%	73.2%
Yemen	Rumah	2000	69.5%	79.2%	58.4%
Yemen	Rumah	2017	91.9%	96.5%	85.2%
Yemen	Sa'adah	2000	85.0%	92.3%	77.6%
Yemen	Sa'adah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Yemen	Sa'fan	2000	16.4%	33.3%	3.4%
Yemen	Sa'fan	2017	90.3%	92.5%	86.9%
Yemen	Sabah	2000	69.0%	87.1%	41.1%
Yemen	Sabah	2017	90.0%	99.1%	77.5%
Yemen	Sabir Al Mawadim	2000	57.0%	69.9%	43.5%
Yemen	Sabir Al Mawadim	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.5%
Yemen	Sah	2000	65.9%	88.0%	41.2%
Yemen	Sah	2017	90.6%	98.9%	70.2%
Yemen	Sahar	2000	57.1%	69.7%	46.0%
Yemen	Sahar	2017	98.0%	99.7%	93.6%
Yemen	Salh	2000	60.9%	73.3%	48.4%
Yemen	Salh	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Yemen	Sama	2000	6.0%	12.4%	3.5%
Yemen	Sama	2017	92.9%	95.3%	88.5%
Yemen	Sanhan	2000	56.7%	61.6%	51.7%
Yemen	Sanhan	2017	98.2%	98.7%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Saqayn	2000	37.2%	50.8%	17.4%
Yemen	Saqayn	2017	93.7%	95.9%	86.0%
Yemen	Sarar	2000	56.0%	79.7%	30.4%
Yemen	Sarar	2017	90.7%	99.4%	71.7%
Yemen	Sayhut	2000	76.7%	93.3%	55.4%
Yemen	Sayhut	2017	93.4%	99.7%	75.1%
Yemen	Sayun	2000	78.1%	99.0%	37.9%
Yemen	Sayun	2017	96.1%	100.0%	77.3%
Yemen	Shada'a	2000	40.0%	67.1%	24.0%
Yemen	Shada'a	2017	88.8%	99.3%	78.7%
Yemen	Shahan	2000	67.0%	80.2%	51.7%
Yemen	Shahan	2017	90.6%	97.2%	80.7%
Yemen	Shaharah	2000	33.8%	43.5%	27.3%
Yemen	Shaharah	2017	85.5%	92.8%	82.7%
Yemen	Shara'b Ar Rawnah	2000	46.9%	71.6%	16.2%
Yemen	Shara'b Ar Rawnah	2017	87.9%	95.7%	67.2%
Yemen	Shara'b As Salam	2000	62.4%	81.9%	38.1%
Yemen	Shara'b As Salam	2017	98.2%	99.7%	87.3%
Yemen	Sharas	2000	52.1%	56.1%	46.9%
Yemen	Sharas	2017	88.0%	90.8%	85.4%
Yemen	Shibam	2000	73.8%	96.1%	40.1%
Yemen	Shibam	2017	96.3%	99.9%	85.9%
Yemen	Shibam Kawkaban	2000	48.4%	53.2%	43.7%
Yemen	Shibam Kawkaban	2017	62.4%	70.2%	54.2%
Yemen	Shu'aub	2000	77.7%	82.9%	71.6%
Yemen	Shu'aub	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Yemen	Sibah	2000	59.8%	91.2%	22.1%
Yemen	Sibah	2017	92.6%	100.0%	74.1%
Yemen	Sirwah	2000	59.4%	81.9%	34.6%
Yemen	Sirwah	2017	90.0%	98.9%	74.8%
Yemen	Suwayr	2000	24.6%	57.5%	4.2%
Yemen	Suwayr	2017	46.1%	78.0%	27.5%
Yemen	Tarim	2000	66.0%	90.5%	38.9%
Yemen	Tarim	2017	93.8%	99.7%	77.6%
Yemen	Thamud	2000	68.1%	78.4%	57.2%
Yemen	Thamud	2017	91.1%	96.4%	83.3%
Yemen	Thula	2000	54.5%	65.1%	34.2%
Yemen	Thula	2017	93.3%	95.3%	88.8%
Yemen	Tuban	2000	72.0%	88.7%	52.7%
Yemen	Tuban	2017	92.0%	99.6%	75.4%
Yemen	Tur Al Bahah	2000	58.9%	80.5%	33.7%
Yemen	Tur Al Bahah	2017	90.2%	98.1%	75.3%
Yemen	Usaylan	2000	63.3%	87.9%	26.1%
Yemen	Usaylan	2017	90.7%	98.7%	65.2%
Yemen	Utmah	2000	17.1%	30.1%	8.6%
Yemen	Utmah	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.9%
Yemen	Wadhrah	2000	8.1%	20.6%	1.8%
Yemen	Wadhrah	2017	96.8%	99.3%	82.2%
Yemen	Wadi Al Ayn	2000	66.5%	88.7%	39.5%
Yemen	Wadi Al Ayn	2017	92.4%	99.5%	77.0%
Yemen	Wald Rabi'	2000	57.2%	82.7%	31.6%
Yemen	Wald Rabi'	2017	89.5%	98.4%	72.0%
Yemen	Washhah	2000	26.4%	44.1%	10.3%
Yemen	Washhah	2017	96.6%	98.5%	93.9%
Yemen	Wusab Al Ali	2000	26.2%	36.3%	19.0%
Yemen	Wusab Al Ali	2017	81.8%	86.6%	77.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Wusab As Safil	2000	31.0%	45.5%	16.0%
Yemen	Wusab As Safil	2017	79.6%	88.3%	71.6%
Yemen	Yabuth	2000	64.9%	86.4%	36.3%
Yemen	Yabuth	2017	89.5%	99.1%	71.2%
Yemen	Yafa'a	2000	49.1%	75.7%	18.3%
Yemen	Yafa'a	2017	91.1%	98.8%	72.5%
Yemen	Yahr	2000	40.8%	61.0%	22.6%
Yemen	Yahr	2017	86.9%	95.8%	75.4%
Yemen	Yarim	2000	67.2%	86.2%	39.6%
Yemen	Yarim	2017	97.9%	99.8%	91.0%
Yemen	Zabid	2000	70.9%	91.7%	48.1%
Yemen	Zabid	2017	95.6%	99.9%	80.9%
Yemen	Zamakh wa Manwakh	2000	65.5%	76.1%	55.1%
Yemen	Zamakh wa Manwakh	2017	90.2%	95.5%	82.3%
Yemen	Zingibar	2000	58.5%	99.9%	0.6%
Yemen	Zingibar	2017	87.1%	100.0%	18.9%
South Asia					
Bangladesh	Bagerhat	2000	75.2%	81.7%	68.9%
Bangladesh	Bagerhat	2017	57.4%	66.1%	48.8%
Bangladesh	Bandarban	2000	90.0%	94.3%	84.7%
Bangladesh	Bandarban	2017	80.4%	87.5%	73.5%
Bangladesh	Barguna	2000	89.4%	92.6%	84.8%
Bangladesh	Barguna	2017	79.0%	83.8%	72.9%
Bangladesh	Barisal	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.4%
Bangladesh	Barisal	2017	97.7%	98.8%	95.8%
Bangladesh	Bhola	2000	98.6%	99.2%	97.6%
Bangladesh	Bhola	2017	96.2%	97.8%	93.7%
Bangladesh	Bogra	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Bangladesh	Bogra	2017	98.3%	99.2%	96.6%
Bangladesh	Brahamanbaria	2000	97.8%	98.9%	95.4%
Bangladesh	Brahamanbaria	2017	93.7%	96.2%	89.5%
Bangladesh	Chandpur	2000	98.6%	99.5%	95.9%
Bangladesh	Chandpur	2017	95.2%	97.7%	89.8%
Bangladesh	Chittagong	2000	98.4%	99.1%	97.3%
Bangladesh	Chittagong	2017	95.4%	97.0%	93.2%
Bangladesh	Chuadanga	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.6%
Bangladesh	Chuadanga	2017	97.9%	99.3%	94.0%
Bangladesh	Comilla	2000	97.8%	98.9%	95.6%
Bangladesh	Comilla	2017	94.4%	96.8%	90.9%
Bangladesh	Cox'S Bazar	2000	97.4%	99.3%	92.9%
Bangladesh	Cox'S Bazar	2017	93.3%	97.8%	85.5%
Bangladesh	Dhaka	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Bangladesh	Dhaka	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.3%
Bangladesh	Dinajpur	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Bangladesh	Dinajpur	2017	98.3%	99.4%	96.5%
Bangladesh	Faridpur	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.6%
Bangladesh	Faridpur	2017	97.8%	99.5%	94.0%
Bangladesh	Feni	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.5%
Bangladesh	Feni	2017	97.7%	99.2%	95.1%
Bangladesh	Gaibandha	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.6%
Bangladesh	Gaibandha	2017	98.4%	99.4%	96.1%
Bangladesh	Gazipur	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.9%
Bangladesh	Gazipur	2017	98.0%	99.6%	94.3%
Bangladesh	Gopalganj	2000	98.1%	99.7%	93.9%
Bangladesh	Gopalganj	2017	95.0%	99.1%	86.8%
Bangladesh	Habiganj	2000	98.3%	99.1%	96.9%
Bangladesh	Habiganj	2017	94.6%	96.7%	91.3%
Bangladesh	Jamalpur	2000	99.2%	99.9%	97.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bangladesh	Jamalpur	2017	97.7%	99.4%	93.0%
Bangladesh	Jessore	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Bangladesh	Jessore	2017	98.0%	99.1%	96.0%
Bangladesh	Jhalokati	2000	89.2%	92.5%	87.0%
Bangladesh	Jhalokati	2017	83.6%	86.1%	79.4%
Bangladesh	Jhenaidah	2000	99.1%	99.7%	97.6%
Bangladesh	Jhenaidah	2017	96.9%	98.8%	93.2%
Bangladesh	Joypurhat	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.0%
Bangladesh	Joypurhat	2017	98.2%	99.7%	93.7%
Bangladesh	Khagrachhari	2000	93.6%	98.0%	85.2%
Bangladesh	Khagrachhari	2017	85.3%	94.0%	71.9%
Bangladesh	Khulna	2000	92.3%	94.7%	88.9%
Bangladesh	Khulna	2017	85.1%	88.7%	80.5%
Bangladesh	Kishoreganj	2000	99.1%	99.8%	97.4%
Bangladesh	Kishoreganj	2017	97.1%	99.1%	93.0%
Bangladesh	Kurigram	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.1%
Bangladesh	Kurigram	2017	97.9%	99.3%	94.9%
Bangladesh	Kushtia	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.3%
Bangladesh	Kushtia	2017	98.1%	99.3%	95.3%
Bangladesh	Lakshmipur	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.2%
Bangladesh	Lakshmipur	2017	96.6%	98.8%	92.1%
Bangladesh	Lalmonirhat	2000	98.0%	99.4%	95.6%
Bangladesh	Lalmonirhat	2017	94.9%	97.9%	90.1%
Bangladesh	Madaripur	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.3%
Bangladesh	Madaripur	2017	97.8%	99.5%	93.5%
Bangladesh	Magura	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.0%
Bangladesh	Magura	2017	97.3%	99.2%	92.4%
Bangladesh	Manikganj	2000	99.0%	99.8%	96.3%
Bangladesh	Manikganj	2017	97.1%	99.5%	91.9%
Bangladesh	Maulvibazar	2000	90.6%	94.0%	86.7%
Bangladesh	Maulvibazar	2017	82.1%	86.4%	76.3%
Bangladesh	Meherpur	2000	99.4%	99.9%	96.9%
Bangladesh	Meherpur	2017	97.7%	99.6%	92.4%
Bangladesh	Munshiganj	2000	98.9%	99.8%	95.5%
Bangladesh	Munshiganj	2017	96.7%	99.2%	89.7%
Bangladesh	Mymensingh	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.1%
Bangladesh	Mymensingh	2017	97.9%	99.3%	95.1%
Bangladesh	Naogaon	2000	97.0%	98.7%	94.1%
Bangladesh	Naogaon	2017	93.3%	96.8%	89.2%
Bangladesh	Narail	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.6%
Bangladesh	Narail	2017	97.5%	99.6%	93.4%
Bangladesh	Narayanganj	2000	98.6%	99.6%	97.0%
Bangladesh	Narayanganj	2017	96.0%	98.0%	92.5%
Bangladesh	Narsingdi	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.4%
Bangladesh	Narsingdi	2017	97.4%	99.1%	94.3%
Bangladesh	Natore	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.5%
Bangladesh	Natore	2017	97.9%	99.4%	94.9%
Bangladesh	Nawabganj	2000	94.6%	97.5%	90.0%
Bangladesh	Nawabganj	2017	87.1%	91.9%	79.9%
Bangladesh	Netrakona	2000	98.4%	99.5%	95.4%
Bangladesh	Netrakona	2017	95.2%	98.1%	89.5%
Bangladesh	Nilphamari	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.1%
Bangladesh	Nilphamari	2017	98.0%	99.3%	94.9%
Bangladesh	Noakhali	2000	98.8%	99.6%	97.4%
Bangladesh	Noakhali	2017	96.5%	98.5%	93.7%
Bangladesh	Pabna	2000	99.0%	99.7%	96.8%
Bangladesh	Pabna	2017	97.0%	99.1%	92.8%
Bangladesh	Panchagarh	2000	98.7%	99.7%	96.3%
Bangladesh	Panchagarh	2017	96.0%	99.0%	91.0%
Bangladesh	Patuakhali	2000	98.5%	99.4%	96.9%
Bangladesh	Patuakhali	2017	95.8%	98.0%	92.5%
Bangladesh	Pirojpur	2000	83.4%	88.9%	75.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bangladesh	Pirojpur	2017	68.4%	76.7%	59.7%
Bangladesh	Rajbari	2000	98.9%	99.8%	95.8%
Bangladesh	Rajbari	2017	96.3%	99.3%	88.8%
Bangladesh	Rajshahi	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.0%
Bangladesh	Rajshahi	2017	97.9%	99.2%	95.1%
Bangladesh	Rangamati	2000	93.6%	97.5%	88.1%
Bangladesh	Rangamati	2017	85.9%	92.9%	76.5%
Bangladesh	Rangpur	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
Bangladesh	Rangpur	2017	98.2%	99.3%	95.8%
Bangladesh	Satkhira	2000	91.9%	94.3%	88.5%
Bangladesh	Satkhira	2017	83.1%	87.2%	77.0%
Bangladesh	Shariatpur	2000	98.5%	99.8%	93.5%
Bangladesh	Shariatpur	2017	95.7%	99.3%	86.4%
Bangladesh	Sherpur	2000	99.1%	99.8%	97.0%
Bangladesh	Sherpur	2017	97.3%	99.5%	92.9%
Bangladesh	Sirajganj	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Bangladesh	Sirajganj	2017	98.1%	99.4%	95.8%
Bangladesh	Sunamganj	2000	96.3%	97.9%	93.8%
Bangladesh	Sunamganj	2017	90.2%	93.3%	86.3%
Bangladesh	Sylhet	2000	90.8%	93.1%	88.4%
Bangladesh	Sylhet	2017	82.7%	86.0%	79.5%
Bangladesh	Tangail	2000	98.9%	99.7%	97.3%
Bangladesh	Tangail	2017	96.8%	98.9%	92.5%
Bangladesh	Thakurgaon	2000	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Bangladesh	Thakurgaon	2017	98.0%	99.5%	95.1%
India	Adilabad	2000	77.3%	85.6%	67.4%
India	Adilabad	2017	86.6%	92.4%	78.8%
India	Agar Malwa	2000	63.9%	68.3%	59.0%
India	Agar Malwa	2017	77.0%	80.3%	72.6%
India	Agra	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.6%
India	Agra	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
India	Ahmadnagar	2000	81.0%	83.8%	78.0%
India	Ahmadnagar	2017	90.2%	92.2%	87.9%
India	Ahmedabad	2000	91.0%	92.4%	89.5%
India	Ahmedabad	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
India	Aizawl	2000	79.6%	83.1%	75.7%
India	Aizawl	2017	87.7%	90.3%	84.7%
India	Ajmer	2000	84.7%	86.8%	82.7%
India	Ajmer	2017	92.0%	93.3%	90.7%
India	Akola	2000	91.5%	93.7%	89.0%
India	Akola	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.3%
India	Alappuzha	2000	78.9%	81.0%	76.5%
India	Alappuzha	2017	89.5%	90.7%	88.2%
India	Aligarh	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
India	Aligarh	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
India	Alipurduar	2000	88.0%	92.7%	81.0%
India	Alipurduar	2017	94.1%	96.9%	88.8%
India	Alirajpur	2000	68.1%	70.8%	65.5%
India	Alirajpur	2017	81.6%	83.7%	79.8%
India	Allahabad	2000	82.8%	84.9%	80.3%
India	Allahabad	2017	90.9%	92.3%	89.0%
India	Almora	2000	75.7%	78.0%	73.1%
India	Almora	2017	84.0%	85.9%	81.5%
India	Alwar	2000	84.2%	85.9%	82.6%
India	Alwar	2017	92.9%	93.9%	92.0%
India	Ambala	2000	97.5%	98.6%	95.8%
India	Ambala	2017	98.9%	99.5%	97.9%
India	Ambedkar Na- gar	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
India	Ambedkar Na- gar	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
India	Amethi	2000	88.5%	91.2%	85.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Amethi	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
India	Amravati	2000	82.4%	85.9%	78.4%
India	Amravati	2017	89.9%	92.5%	86.8%
India	Amreli	2000	89.7%	92.3%	86.5%
India	Amreli	2017	95.2%	96.8%	93.2%
India	Amritsar	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
India	Amritsar	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
India	Amroha	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
India	Amroha	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
India	Anand	2000	95.8%	97.1%	93.5%
India	Anand	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.4%
India	Anantapur	2000	90.6%	93.2%	88.2%
India	Anantapur	2017	95.6%	97.2%	93.9%
India	Anantnag	2000	82.1%	84.4%	79.3%
India	Anantnag	2017	91.4%	92.8%	89.1%
India	Angul	2000	53.4%	57.7%	48.6%
India	Angul	2017	70.1%	74.3%	65.6%
India	Anjaw	2000	79.7%	85.4%	74.0%
India	Anjaw	2017	87.1%	91.8%	81.9%
India	Anuppur	2000	49.2%	53.1%	44.4%
India	Anuppur	2017	65.2%	68.4%	60.1%
India	Araria	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.1%
India	Araria	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.2%
India	Ariyalur	2000	94.7%	96.6%	92.3%
India	Ariyalur	2017	97.7%	98.7%	96.5%
India	Arvalli	2000	83.9%	89.1%	78.0%
India	Arvalli	2017	92.0%	95.1%	87.9%
India	Arwal	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.4%
India	Arwal	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
India	Ashoknagar	2000	80.8%	83.7%	77.4%
India	Ashoknagar	2017	90.2%	92.0%	87.7%
India	Auraiya	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.0%
India	Auraiya	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.0%
India	Aurangabad	2000	81.4%	84.6%	78.2%
India	Aurangabad	2000	94.0%	95.7%	92.0%
India	Aurangabad	2017	90.7%	92.8%	88.5%
India	Aurangabad	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
India	Azamgarh	2000	94.1%	95.9%	91.5%
India	Azamgarh	2017	97.3%	98.4%	95.7%
India	Badgam	2000	84.8%	87.8%	80.8%
India	Badgam	2017	92.5%	94.4%	89.5%
India	Bagalkot	2000	90.1%	92.3%	87.4%
India	Bagalkot	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
India	Bageshwar	2000	65.9%	69.5%	62.2%
India	Bageshwar	2017	77.0%	79.8%	74.1%
India	Baghpat	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.4%
India	Baghpat	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
India	Bahraich	2000	93.4%	95.3%	90.4%
India	Bahraich	2017	97.2%	98.1%	95.6%
India	Baksa	2000	69.9%	72.0%	67.6%
India	Baksa	2017	79.9%	81.3%	78.3%
India	Balaghat	2000	59.8%	63.9%	55.8%
India	Balaghat	2017	74.2%	78.0%	70.3%
India	Balangir	2000	80.0%	83.3%	75.9%
India	Balangir	2017	89.4%	91.7%	86.2%
India	Baleshwar	2000	85.1%	87.5%	82.5%
India	Baleshwar	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.3%
India	Ballary	2000	91.9%	94.0%	89.6%
India	Ballary	2017	96.1%	97.6%	94.4%
India	Ballia	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.6%
India	Ballia	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.3%
India	Balod	2000	89.3%	92.8%	84.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Balod	2017	94.6%	96.8%	91.7%
India	Baloda Bazar	2000	79.2%	83.3%	73.8%
India	Baloda Bazar	2017	89.5%	92.1%	85.8%
India	Balrampur	2000	92.9%	94.9%	90.9%
India	Balrampur	2000	64.4%	70.8%	56.6%
India	Balrampur	2017	96.9%	98.0%	95.4%
India	Balrampur	2017	75.2%	80.4%	68.7%
India	Banaskantha	2000	87.8%	90.8%	83.9%
India	Banaskantha	2017	94.1%	96.0%	91.8%
India	Banda	2000	92.9%	94.5%	91.1%
India	Banda	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
India	Bandipore	2000	77.3%	79.0%	75.4%
India	Bandipore	2017	87.4%	88.7%	85.9%
India	Bangalore	2000	89.6%	91.2%	88.0%
India	Bangalore	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.0%
India	Bangalore Rural	2000	87.8%	89.9%	84.9%
India	Bangalore Rural	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.4%
India	Banka	2000	80.1%	83.0%	77.4%
India	Banka	2017	88.9%	90.9%	86.8%
India	Bankura	2000	88.5%	91.1%	85.4%
India	Bankura	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.9%
India	Banswara	2000	76.8%	79.0%	74.1%
India	Banswara	2017	87.6%	89.2%	85.9%
India	Barabanki	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.5%
India	Barabanki	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.6%
India	Baramulla	2000	81.7%	83.7%	79.3%
India	Baramulla	2017	90.0%	91.3%	88.4%
India	Baran	2000	80.2%	83.9%	76.4%
India	Baran	2017	89.5%	92.2%	86.4%
India	Bareilly	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
India	Bareilly	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
India	Bargarh	2000	82.4%	85.6%	78.0%
India	Bargarh	2017	91.3%	93.1%	88.2%
India	Barmer	2000	77.0%	80.5%	73.3%
India	Barmer	2017	86.6%	89.6%	83.1%
India	Barnala	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
India	Barnala	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
India	Barpeta	2000	82.0%	84.0%	79.7%
India	Barpeta	2017	90.7%	91.7%	89.3%
India	Barwani	2000	68.7%	71.7%	65.7%
India	Barwani	2017	80.8%	83.1%	78.5%
India	Bastar	2000	68.5%	78.4%	59.6%
India	Bastar	2017	79.8%	87.9%	71.4%
India	Basti	2000	96.6%	97.6%	94.8%
India	Basti	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
India	Bathinda	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
India	Bathinda	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
India	Begusarai	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
India	Begusarai	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
India	Belagavi	2000	89.3%	91.5%	86.5%
India	Belagavi	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.3%
India	Bemetara	2000	85.1%	90.7%	76.7%
India	Bemetara	2017	92.6%	95.9%	85.9%
India	Betul	2000	69.7%	74.5%	65.2%
India	Betul	2017	81.7%	85.3%	78.0%
India	Bhadradi	2000	90.1%	94.3%	83.3%
India	Bhadradi Kothagudem	2017	95.1%	97.7%	90.3%
India	Bhadrak	2000	89.0%	90.2%	87.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Bhadrak	2017	94.6%	95.2%	93.8%
India	Bhagalpur	2000	88.5%	90.4%	86.8%
India	Bhagalpur	2017	92.9%	94.6%	91.3%
India	Bhandara	2000	66.0%	68.4%	63.0%
India	Bhandara	2017	79.6%	81.5%	77.2%
India	Bharatpur	2000	72.0%	74.7%	69.3%
India	Bharatpur	2017	85.1%	87.0%	82.9%
India	Bharuch	2000	92.2%	94.9%	88.2%
India	Bharuch	2017	96.9%	98.3%	94.2%
India	Bhavnagar	2000	88.1%	91.9%	84.0%
India	Bhavnagar	2017	93.9%	96.3%	91.0%
India	Bhilwara	2000	75.2%	78.6%	71.1%
India	Bhilwara	2017	86.1%	88.4%	83.2%
India	Bhind	2000	73.9%	76.8%	70.9%
India	Bhind	2017	85.4%	87.5%	83.1%
India	Bhiwani	2000	85.0%	87.5%	81.9%
India	Bhiwani	2017	92.2%	94.0%	89.7%
India	Bhojpur	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
India	Bhojpur	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
India	Bhopal	2000	83.0%	84.7%	81.4%
India	Bhopal	2017	91.7%	92.8%	90.4%
India	Bid	2000	77.8%	81.6%	73.2%
India	Bid	2017	87.2%	90.0%	83.5%
India	Bidar	2000	89.8%	92.7%	85.9%
India	Bidar	2017	95.3%	97.1%	92.8%
India	Bijapur	2000	85.7%	88.1%	82.7%
India	Bijapur	2017	92.4%	94.0%	90.2%
India	Bijnor	2000	92.8%	94.5%	91.1%
India	Bijnor	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
India	Bikaner	2000	85.7%	88.2%	83.3%
India	Bikaner	2017	91.9%	93.8%	89.9%
India	Bilaspur	2000	82.3%	85.4%	78.8%
India	Bilaspur	2000	83.9%	85.5%	82.2%
India	Bilaspur	2017	90.4%	92.6%	87.5%
India	Bilaspur	2017	92.9%	93.9%	91.9%
India	Birbhum	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.3%
India	Birbhum	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
India	Bishnupur	2000	33.9%	34.6%	33.3%
India	Bishnupur	2017	52.7%	53.4%	51.9%
India	Biswanath	2000	68.0%	73.6%	61.0%
India	Biswanath	2017	82.3%	85.9%	77.2%
India	Bokaro	2000	52.8%	54.4%	51.2%
India	Bokaro	2017	70.5%	72.1%	69.1%
India	Bongaigaon	2000	59.3%	61.1%	57.5%
India	Bongaigaon	2017	71.4%	73.0%	69.9%
India	Botad	2000	90.4%	95.6%	84.3%
India	Botad	2017	95.0%	98.3%	90.2%
India	Boudh	2000	64.4%	70.1%	58.4%
India	Boudh	2017	76.2%	82.3%	69.0%
India	Budaun	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.5%
India	Budaun	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
India	Bulandshahr	2000	92.9%	94.9%	89.9%
India	Bulandshahr	2017	96.9%	97.9%	95.2%
India	Buldana	2000	76.6%	79.7%	73.5%
India	Buldana	2017	85.7%	88.3%	83.1%
India	Bundi	2000	79.4%	82.8%	76.0%
India	Bundi	2017	89.1%	91.3%	86.6%
India	Burhanpur	2000	82.0%	83.8%	80.1%
India	Burhanpur	2017	90.7%	92.0%	89.5%
India	Buxar	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
India	Buxar	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
India	Cachar	2000	41.3%	44.2%	39.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Cachar	2017	55.3%	58.2%	52.6%
India	Central	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
India	Central	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
India	Chamba	2000	79.1%	82.2%	75.8%
India	Chamba	2017	88.5%	90.7%	85.6%
India	Chamoli	2000	82.8%	87.4%	78.2%
India	Chamoli	2017	89.8%	93.3%	85.8%
India	Champawat	2000	75.4%	79.0%	70.8%
India	Champawat	2017	86.0%	88.9%	82.3%
India	Champhai	2000	88.3%	89.5%	87.0%
India	Champhai	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
India	Chamrajnagar	2000	90.5%	92.4%	88.1%
India	Chamrajnagar	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.0%
India	Chandauli	2000	83.2%	84.7%	81.6%
India	Chandauli	2017	91.6%	92.5%	90.7%
India	Chandel	2000	37.6%	45.7%	31.8%
India	Chandel	2017	50.6%	58.2%	44.9%
India	Chandigarh	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
India	Chandigarh	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
India	Chandrapur	2000	81.4%	84.5%	78.1%
India	Chandrapur	2017	88.9%	91.4%	86.1%
India	Changlang	2000	71.0%	72.9%	69.2%
India	Changlang	2017	79.5%	80.8%	78.0%
India	Charaideo	2000	82.8%	86.3%	78.3%
India	Charaideo	2017	91.0%	93.1%	88.0%
India	Charkhi Dadri	2000	87.6%	90.0%	84.9%
India	Charkhi Dadri	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.8%
India	Chatra	2000	48.2%	50.5%	46.1%
India	Chatra	2017	62.9%	64.9%	60.9%
India	Chennai	2000	92.8%	93.8%	91.2%
India	Chennai	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
India	Chhatarpur	2000	55.9%	59.9%	52.4%
India	Chhatarpur	2017	69.3%	73.1%	65.8%
India	Chhindwara	2000	68.4%	72.3%	64.2%
India	Chhindwara	2017	80.0%	82.8%	76.3%
India	Chhotaudepur	2000	78.8%	83.6%	72.7%
India	Chhotaudepur	2017	88.0%	91.3%	83.2%
India	Chikballapura	2000	90.9%	93.4%	87.4%
India	Chikballapura	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.0%
India	Chikmagalur	2000	83.6%	87.1%	78.8%
India	Chikmagalur	2017	90.3%	92.9%	87.0%
India	Chirang	2000	53.0%	55.4%	50.6%
India	Chirang	2017	68.9%	70.7%	67.0%
India	Chitradurga	2000	92.0%	94.2%	89.3%
India	Chitradurga	2017	96.4%	97.8%	94.6%
India	Chitrakoot	2000	86.7%	88.5%	84.5%
India	Chitrakoot	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.3%
India	Chittaurgarh	2000	86.0%	88.9%	82.4%
India	Chittaurgarh	2017	93.3%	95.1%	90.5%
India	Chittoor	2000	86.9%	89.7%	84.2%
India	Chittoor	2017	93.4%	95.3%	91.2%
India	Churachandpur	2000	47.8%	50.6%	44.4%
India	Churachandpur	2017	64.1%	66.6%	61.1%
India	Churu	2000	81.9%	85.5%	78.2%
India	Churu	2017	90.5%	92.9%	87.5%
India	Coimbatore	2000	87.2%	91.8%	82.6%
India	Coimbatore	2017	93.4%	96.5%	89.7%
India	Cuddalore	2000	95.0%	96.6%	93.2%
India	Cuddalore	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.1%
India	Cuttack	2000	74.3%	76.5%	72.1%
India	Cuttack	2017	86.8%	88.4%	85.0%
India	Dadra and Nagar Haveli	2000	77.9%	80.6%	75.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Dadra and Nagar Haveli	2017	89.0%	90.8%	87.1%
India	Dakshin Dinajpur	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.5%
India	Dakshin Dinajpur	2017	97.3%	98.3%	96.2%
India	Dakshina Kannada	2000	75.7%	78.5%	72.3%
India	Dakshina Kannada	2017	86.4%	88.8%	83.4%
India	Daman	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.3%
India	Daman	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
India	Damoh	2000	63.2%	67.1%	60.1%
India	Damoh	2017	76.0%	79.3%	72.7%
India	Dang	2000	57.8%	60.0%	55.7%
India	Dang	2017	70.9%	72.7%	68.6%
India	Dantewada	2000	77.7%	84.0%	70.3%
India	Dantewada	2017	85.0%	90.1%	78.3%
India	Darbhanga	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
India	Darbhanga	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
India	Darjiling	2000	58.1%	61.9%	54.7%
India	Darjiling	2017	73.5%	76.7%	70.2%
India	Darrang	2000	79.7%	81.7%	77.9%
India	Darrang	2017	90.0%	91.0%	88.8%
India	Datia	2000	81.9%	83.9%	79.5%
India	Datia	2017	91.2%	92.2%	89.8%
India	Dausa	2000	88.8%	90.2%	86.9%
India	Dausa	2017	94.8%	95.5%	93.8%
India	Davanagere	2000	89.7%	93.3%	85.7%
India	Davanagere	2017	95.0%	97.2%	92.5%
India	Dehradun	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.3%
India	Dehradun	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
India	Deogarh	2000	60.8%	63.2%	57.7%
India	Deogarh	2017	77.9%	80.1%	75.8%
India	Deoghar	2000	57.1%	60.9%	53.5%
India	Deoghar	2017	74.6%	77.4%	71.4%
India	Deoria	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.5%
India	Deoria	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
India	Devbhumi Dwarka	2000	85.1%	91.5%	78.0%
India	Devbhumi Dwarka	2017	90.8%	95.4%	84.5%
India	Dewas	2000	77.8%	81.4%	73.2%
India	Dewas	2017	87.9%	90.5%	84.6%
India	Dhalai	2000	51.4%	54.1%	48.6%
India	Dhalai	2017	65.5%	67.7%	63.1%
India	Dhamtari	2000	86.0%	88.6%	83.2%
India	Dhamtari	2017	92.9%	94.6%	90.9%
India	Dhanbad	2000	62.6%	63.8%	61.4%
India	Dhanbad	2017	77.5%	78.6%	76.6%
India	Dhar	2000	74.9%	77.8%	71.3%
India	Dhar	2017	85.9%	87.8%	83.6%
India	Dharmapuri	2000	95.4%	97.0%	92.8%
India	Dharmapuri	2017	98.3%	99.0%	96.7%
India	Dharwad	2000	93.8%	95.9%	90.9%
India	Dharwad	2017	97.4%	98.5%	96.0%
India	Dhaulpur	2000	80.3%	82.6%	77.8%
India	Dhaulpur	2017	89.5%	90.9%	87.8%
India	Dhemaji	2000	71.6%	74.0%	69.1%
India	Dhemaji	2017	84.8%	86.7%	83.0%
India	Dhenkanal	2000	37.2%	40.3%	34.2%
India	Dhenkanal	2017	53.3%	56.5%	50.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Dhubri	2000	80.7%	82.9%	78.7%
India	Dhubri	2017	88.8%	90.2%	87.2%
India	Dhule	2000	85.2%	88.5%	81.0%
India	Dhule	2017	91.8%	93.9%	88.6%
India	Dibang Valley	2000	87.5%	93.0%	78.6%
India	Dibang Valley	2017	92.1%	96.7%	83.2%
India	Dibrugarh	2000	85.8%	87.9%	83.4%
India	Dibrugarh	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.3%
India	Dima Hasao	2000	44.8%	48.7%	41.5%
India	Dima Hasao	2017	55.4%	59.5%	52.5%
India	Dimapur	2000	48.6%	49.6%	47.5%
India	Dimapur	2017	68.8%	69.9%	67.9%
India	Dindigul	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.1%
India	Dindigul	2017	98.1%	98.9%	96.6%
India	Dindori	2000	56.0%	58.9%	52.5%
India	Dindori	2017	71.6%	73.9%	68.7%
India	Diu	2000	89.9%	90.6%	89.1%
India	Diu	2017	96.3%	96.6%	95.9%
India	Doda	2000	70.0%	72.9%	67.2%
India	Doda	2017	83.9%	86.0%	81.7%
India	Dohad	2000	60.0%	63.2%	56.6%
India	Dohad	2017	75.2%	77.8%	72.3%
India	Dumka	2000	63.1%	66.0%	60.0%
India	Dumka	2017	77.6%	79.8%	75.2%
India	Dungarpur	2000	78.1%	80.1%	74.8%
India	Dungarpur	2017	89.3%	90.6%	87.4%
India	Durg	2000	91.4%	92.7%	89.8%
India	Durg	2017	96.8%	97.4%	96.0%
India	East	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
India	East	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
India	East Garo Hills	2000	34.5%	39.1%	31.1%
India	East Garo Hills	2017	48.7%	52.9%	44.9%
India	East Godavari	2000	87.8%	90.1%	84.9%
India	East Godavari	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.4%
India	East Jaintia Hills	2000	49.1%	55.0%	44.6%
India	East Jaintia Hills	2017	58.9%	70.0%	50.8%
India	East Kameng	2000	73.5%	78.4%	68.2%
India	East Kameng	2017	79.9%	84.3%	75.2%
India	East Khasi Hills	2000	68.3%	70.0%	66.4%
India	East Khasi Hills	2017	82.4%	83.6%	80.7%
India	East Nimar	2000	72.3%	76.3%	67.5%
India	East Nimar	2017	82.6%	85.8%	78.4%
India	East Siang	2000	76.6%	80.9%	73.0%
India	East Siang	2017	89.8%	92.3%	87.5%
India	East Sikkim	2000	90.1%	91.1%	88.9%
India	East Sikkim	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.0%
India	Ernakulam	2000	82.5%	84.3%	80.2%
India	Ernakulam	2017	90.2%	91.4%	88.4%
India	Erode	2000	94.6%	96.6%	92.1%
India	Erode	2017	97.6%	98.8%	95.9%
India	Etah	2000	96.4%	97.4%	94.9%
India	Etah	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
India	Etawah	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.3%
India	Etawah	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.0%
India	Faizabad	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.5%
India	Faizabad	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Faridabad	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.0%
India	Faridabad	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
India	Faridkot	2000	97.0%	98.0%	95.5%
India	Faridkot	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.1%
India	Farrukhabad	2000	96.6%	97.7%	95.0%
India	Farrukhabad	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.8%
India	Fatehabad	2000	95.3%	97.1%	91.8%
India	Fatehabad	2017	98.1%	99.0%	95.9%
India	Fatehgarh Sahib	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
India	Fatehgarh Sahib	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
India	Fatehpur	2000	91.0%	92.9%	88.5%
India	Fatehpur	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.4%
India	Fazilka	2000	92.7%	95.4%	88.0%
India	Fazilka	2017	96.4%	98.1%	93.6%
India	Firozabad	2000	93.9%	94.8%	92.3%
India	Firozabad	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
India	Firozpur	2000	99.1%	99.6%	98.1%
India	Firozpur	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
India	Gadag	2000	87.7%	90.0%	84.7%
India	Gadag	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.0%
India	Gadchiroli	2000	65.4%	70.1%	61.3%
India	Gadchiroli	2017	77.6%	81.0%	73.7%
India	Gajapati	2000	59.9%	64.0%	56.1%
India	Gajapati	2017	73.8%	77.1%	69.6%
India	Ganderbal	2000	71.6%	75.7%	67.1%
India	Ganderbal	2017	84.9%	87.8%	81.7%
India	Gandhinagar	2000	97.1%	98.2%	95.2%
India	Gandhinagar	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.3%
India	Ganganagar	2000	86.5%	89.7%	82.0%
India	Ganganagar	2017	92.7%	94.9%	89.4%
India	Ganjam	2000	68.8%	72.8%	64.4%
India	Ganjam	2017	82.4%	85.2%	79.0%
India	Garhwa	2000	70.1%	73.2%	66.5%
India	Garhwa	2017	82.9%	85.1%	80.0%
India	Gariaband	2000	73.4%	81.0%	65.0%
India	Gariaband	2017	84.7%	90.6%	77.5%
India	Gautam Buddha Nagar	2000	95.7%	96.4%	95.0%
India	Gautam Buddha Nagar	2017	98.3%	98.6%	98.1%
India	Gaya	2000	87.6%	90.3%	84.2%
India	Gaya	2017	93.0%	94.6%	90.7%
India	Ghaziabad	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
India	Ghaziabad	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
India	Ghazipur	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.4%
India	Ghazipur	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
India	Gir Somnath	2000	90.6%	93.7%	87.6%
India	Gir Somnath	2017	94.3%	96.7%	91.7%
India	Giridih	2000	42.3%	44.9%	39.8%
India	Giridih	2017	60.3%	62.5%	57.7%
India	Goalpara	2000	64.1%	67.1%	61.4%
India	Goalpara	2017	75.1%	77.6%	72.5%
India	Godda	2000	56.1%	58.5%	53.6%
India	Godda	2017	71.5%	73.8%	69.3%
India	Golaghat	2000	80.6%	82.8%	78.0%
India	Golaghat	2017	89.8%	91.1%	88.0%
India	Gomati	2000	71.0%	75.8%	66.0%
India	Gomati	2017	82.8%	86.4%	78.2%
India	Gonda	2000	88.4%	90.6%	86.3%
India	Gonda	2017	93.6%	95.1%	92.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Gondiya	2000	60.0%	64.4%	55.9%
India	Gondiya	2017	75.9%	79.2%	71.8%
India	Gopalganj	2000	93.5%	94.6%	92.4%
India	Gopalganj	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.7%
India	Gorakhpur	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.8%
India	Gorakhpur	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
India	Gumla	2000	33.9%	38.4%	28.8%
India	Gumla	2017	48.8%	53.2%	43.6%
India	Guna	2000	68.9%	73.2%	64.7%
India	Guna	2017	79.2%	83.2%	75.5%
India	Guntur	2000	89.3%	91.8%	86.4%
India	Guntur	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.5%
India	Gurdaspur	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.2%
India	Gurdaspur	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
India	Gurugram	2000	94.2%	95.2%	92.8%
India	Gurugram	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
India	Gwalior	2000	82.2%	84.4%	80.1%
India	Gwalior	2017	91.8%	93.1%	90.5%
India	Hailakandi	2000	38.8%	40.4%	37.3%
India	Hailakandi	2017	55.1%	56.8%	53.7%
India	Hamirpur	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.3%
India	Hamirpur	2000	85.9%	87.4%	84.6%
India	Hamirpur	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
India	Hamirpur	2017	93.7%	94.4%	92.9%
India	Hanumangarh	2000	85.3%	88.7%	81.4%
India	Hanumangarh	2017	92.4%	94.6%	89.9%
India	Haora	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.8%
India	Haora	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.9%
India	Hapur	2000	96.8%	97.6%	96.0%
India	Hapur	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
India	Harda	2000	70.2%	74.0%	65.8%
India	Harda	2017	82.8%	85.6%	79.2%
India	Hardoi	2000	93.2%	94.9%	91.3%
India	Hardoi	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.2%
India	Hardwar	2000	93.3%	94.4%	91.8%
India	Hardwar	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.2%
India	Hassan	2000	90.3%	92.4%	87.6%
India	Hassan	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
India	Hathras	2000	96.8%	98.0%	95.0%
India	Hathras	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
India	Haveri	2000	90.5%	92.3%	88.3%
India	Haveri	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
India	Hazaribagh	2000	54.3%	57.6%	50.8%
India	Hazaribagh	2017	69.4%	71.9%	66.6%
India	Hingoli	2000	79.6%	82.3%	76.8%
India	Hingoli	2017	88.5%	90.4%	86.2%
India	Hisar	2000	92.1%	94.4%	89.3%
India	Hisar	2017	95.7%	97.3%	93.4%
India	Hojai	2000	80.0%	85.2%	74.3%
India	Hojai	2017	90.8%	93.5%	87.4%
India	Hoshangabad	2000	77.7%	80.7%	74.7%
India	Hoshangabad	2017	87.9%	90.0%	85.7%
India	Hoshiarpur	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.1%
India	Hoshiarpur	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.1%
India	Hugli	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.2%
India	Hugli	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.1%
India	Hyderabad	2000	94.7%	95.3%	93.8%
India	Hyderabad	2017	98.3%	98.5%	97.9%
India	Idukki	2000	72.8%	77.2%	68.6%
India	Idukki	2017	84.1%	87.7%	80.5%
India	Imphal East	2000	44.6%	45.1%	44.0%
India	Imphal East	2017	63.7%	64.4%	63.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Imphal West	2000	43.1%	43.6%	42.6%
India	Imphal West	2017	61.9%	62.5%	61.3%
India	Indore	2000	91.5%	92.9%	89.4%
India	Indore	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
India	Jabalpur	2000	84.2%	85.3%	83.0%
India	Jabalpur	2017	91.5%	92.2%	90.7%
India	Jagatsinghapur	2000	87.9%	89.8%	85.7%
India	Jagatsinghapur	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
India	Jagitial	2000	72.3%	81.0%	61.6%
India	Jagitial	2017	84.4%	90.7%	77.0%
India	Jaipur	2000	86.4%	87.9%	84.8%
India	Jaipur	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
India	Jaisalmer	2000	79.6%	84.1%	74.6%
India	Jaisalmer	2017	88.4%	91.7%	84.6%
India	Jajapur	2000	70.0%	71.4%	68.4%
India	Jajapur	2017	82.7%	83.7%	81.7%
India	Jalandhar	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
India	Jalandhar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
India	Jalaun	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.6%
India	Jalaun	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
India	Jalgaon	2000	85.1%	88.3%	81.3%
India	Jalgaon	2017	92.1%	94.2%	89.6%
India	Jalna	2000	71.2%	76.0%	66.5%
India	Jalna	2017	81.8%	85.7%	77.8%
India	Jalor	2000	83.3%	86.9%	79.7%
India	Jalor	2017	91.4%	93.7%	88.8%
India	Jalpaiguri	2000	74.7%	78.2%	71.3%
India	Jalpaiguri	2017	85.5%	87.9%	82.8%
India	Jammu	2000	89.0%	90.5%	86.9%
India	Jammu	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.6%
India	Jamnagar	2000	83.9%	91.1%	75.1%
India	Jamnagar	2017	90.1%	95.6%	82.2%
India	Jamtara	2000	63.7%	66.7%	61.5%
India	Jamtara	2017	78.9%	81.1%	76.9%
India	Jamui	2000	63.6%	66.4%	60.7%
India	Jamui	2017	76.1%	78.3%	74.1%
India	Jangoan	2000	90.7%	97.6%	79.5%
India	Jangoan	2017	95.4%	99.1%	87.8%
India	Janjgir-Champa	2000	77.9%	81.0%	74.2%
India	Janjgir-Champa	2017	88.8%	90.5%	86.5%
India	Jashpur	2000	65.2%	68.3%	61.5%
India	Jashpur	2017	77.4%	80.0%	74.4%
India	Jaunpur	2000	82.3%	84.3%	80.3%
India	Jaunpur	2017	90.4%	91.7%	89.0%
India	Jayashankar Bhupalapal	2000	83.1%	90.2%	74.3%
India	Jayashankar Bhupalapal	2017	90.5%	95.4%	83.5%
India	Jehanabad	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
India	Jehanabad	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
India	Jhabua	2000	69.5%	72.1%	66.8%
India	Jhabua	2017	83.8%	85.6%	82.0%
India	Jhajjar	2000	95.4%	96.8%	92.9%
India	Jhajjar	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.2%
India	Jhalawar	2000	66.2%	69.6%	62.8%
India	Jhalawar	2017	78.6%	81.7%	75.5%
India	Jhansi	2000	84.0%	85.4%	82.5%
India	Jhansi	2017	91.5%	92.4%	90.5%
India	Jhargram	2000	76.3%	83.2%	69.5%
India	Jhargram	2017	85.3%	90.3%	79.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Jharsuguda	2000	74.6%	76.2%	73.1%
India	Jharsuguda	2017	87.2%	88.2%	86.1%
India	Jhunjhunun	2000	87.5%	89.4%	85.3%
India	Jhunjhunun	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.2%
India	Jind	2000	91.7%	93.6%	89.2%
India	Jind	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
India	Jiribam	2000	8.9%	10.3%	7.5%
India	Jiribam	2017	16.2%	18.5%	14.1%
India	Jodhpur	2000	87.1%	89.3%	84.4%
India	Jodhpur	2017	93.6%	95.2%	91.9%
India	Jogulamba	2000	87.8%	93.8%	79.7%
India	Jogulamba	2017	93.9%	97.4%	88.5%
India	Jorhat	2000	61.3%	63.4%	59.3%
India	Jorhat	2017	74.4%	76.9%	72.0%
India	Junagadh	2000	92.0%	94.7%	88.3%
India	Junagadh	2017	96.3%	97.8%	93.5%
India	Kabeerddham	2000	77.0%	80.7%	73.9%
India	Kabeerddham	2017	87.9%	90.4%	85.6%
India	Kachchh	2000	90.4%	92.9%	87.6%
India	Kachchh	2017	94.9%	96.7%	93.0%
India	Kaimur	2000	89.2%	91.0%	87.2%
India	Kaimur	2017	94.3%	95.4%	92.8%
India	Kaithal	2000	96.4%	97.5%	94.6%
India	Kaithal	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.5%
India	Kakching	2000	34.7%	35.8%	33.8%
India	Kakching	2017	54.0%	55.2%	52.7%
India	Kalaburgi	2000	89.9%	92.3%	87.1%
India	Kalaburgi	2017	95.0%	96.6%	92.9%
India	Kalahandi	2000	79.8%	83.4%	75.8%
India	Kalahandi	2017	89.4%	91.8%	86.7%
India	Kalimpong	2000	84.4%	94.0%	64.3%
India	Kalimpong	2017	91.3%	97.4%	76.8%
India	Kamareddy	2000	95.9%	98.2%	92.0%
India	Kamareddy	2017	98.3%	99.4%	96.3%
India	Kamjong	2000	26.6%	40.3%	18.0%
India	Kamjong	2017	36.5%	51.5%	26.0%
India	Kamle	2000	79.7%	90.6%	67.0%
India	Kamle	2017	87.8%	95.1%	77.4%
India	Kamrup	2000	79.9%	82.4%	77.5%
India	Kamrup	2017	89.0%	90.8%	86.9%
India	Kamrup	2000	59.3%	61.5%	57.1%
India	Kamrup	2017	74.6%	76.8%	72.6%
India	Kancheepuram	2000	88.6%	91.2%	84.9%
India	Kancheepuram	2017	94.1%	95.7%	91.4%
India	Kandhamal	2000	46.6%	51.4%	41.7%
India	Kandhamal	2017	61.6%	66.1%	57.0%
India	Kangpokpi	2000	40.2%	41.3%	39.3%
India	Kangpokpi	2017	58.2%	59.2%	57.0%
India	Kangra	2000	91.4%	93.2%	88.8%
India	Kangra	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.5%
India	Kannauj	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
India	Kannauj	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
India	Kanniyakumari	2000	87.4%	89.8%	85.2%
India	Kanniyakumari	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
India	Kannur	2000	71.0%	74.7%	67.2%
India	Kannur	2017	84.8%	87.5%	82.1%
India	Kanpur Dehat	2000	90.5%	92.3%	88.1%
India	Kanpur Dehat	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Kanpur Nagar	2000	92.7%	93.5%	91.8%
India	Kanpur Nagar	2017	96.7%	97.1%	96.3%
India	Kapurthala	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
India	Kapurthala	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
India	Karaikal	2000	98.8%	99.6%	97.2%
India	Karaikal	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
India	Karauli	2000	69.9%	72.4%	67.3%
India	Karauli	2017	82.6%	84.5%	80.8%
India	Karbi Ang-long	2000	52.1%	56.2%	47.6%
India	Karbi Ang-long	2017	65.7%	69.2%	61.7%
India	Kargil	2000	73.7%	78.3%	68.8%
India	Kargil	2017	83.5%	87.4%	79.3%
India	Karimganj	2000	39.6%	43.3%	36.6%
India	Karimganj	2017	56.7%	60.1%	53.7%
India	Karimnagar	2000	82.4%	87.7%	75.2%
India	Karimnagar	2017	89.1%	93.7%	82.8%
India	Karnal	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
India	Karnal	2017	98.1%	98.8%	96.8%
India	Karur	2000	92.4%	95.0%	89.0%
India	Karur	2017	96.7%	98.1%	94.6%
India	Kasaragod	2000	73.5%	76.4%	70.0%
India	Kasaragod	2017	86.8%	88.9%	84.0%
India	Kasganj	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
India	Kasganj	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
India	Kathua	2000	77.9%	80.9%	75.3%
India	Kathua	2017	87.3%	89.4%	85.2%
India	Katihar	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.7%
India	Katihar	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
India	Katni	2000	78.5%	81.7%	74.9%
India	Katni	2017	87.5%	89.9%	84.6%
India	Kaushambi	2000	90.2%	91.3%	88.8%
India	Kaushambi	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.0%
India	Kendrapara	2000	88.5%	90.3%	86.0%
India	Kendrapara	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.7%
India	Kendujhar	2000	73.8%	77.1%	69.6%
India	Kendujhar	2017	84.8%	87.1%	81.6%
India	Khagaria	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
India	Khagaria	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
India	Khammam	2000	93.6%	96.4%	89.3%
India	Khammam	2017	97.3%	98.6%	94.5%
India	Khargone	2000	76.3%	79.2%	73.5%
India	Khargone	2017	86.6%	88.5%	84.4%
India	Kheda	2000	94.0%	95.7%	91.8%
India	Kheda	2017	97.5%	98.5%	96.3%
India	Khordha	2000	63.8%	65.4%	62.2%
India	Khordha	2017	77.9%	79.3%	76.4%
India	Khowai	2000	79.3%	82.4%	75.6%
India	Khowai	2017	89.0%	91.0%	86.9%
India	Khunti	2000	27.2%	30.1%	24.7%
India	Khunti	2017	43.3%	46.5%	40.5%
India	Kinnaur	2000	84.9%	88.0%	81.1%
India	Kinnaur	2017	91.2%	93.5%	88.4%
India	Kiphire	2000	76.8%	78.4%	75.2%
India	Kiphire	2017	87.1%	88.2%	86.0%
India	Kishanganj	2000	91.6%	92.6%	90.1%
India	Kishanganj	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.2%
India	Kishtwar	2000	76.8%	81.3%	71.5%
India	Kishtwar	2017	85.2%	88.7%	81.2%
India	Koch Bihar	2000	92.9%	94.3%	90.6%
India	Koch Bihar	2017	96.3%	97.0%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Kodagu	2000	73.3%	76.2%	70.0%
India	Kodagu	2017	85.1%	87.4%	82.1%
India	Koderma	2000	57.2%	60.0%	54.2%
India	Koderma	2017	74.5%	76.2%	72.5%
India	Kohima	2000	68.2%	71.1%	64.7%
India	Kohima	2017	83.2%	84.5%	81.3%
India	Kokrajhar	2000	54.1%	57.1%	50.3%
India	Kokrajhar	2017	68.4%	70.7%	65.6%
India	Kolar	2000	93.7%	95.4%	91.6%
India	Kolar	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.4%
India	Kolasib	2000	85.4%	86.9%	83.9%
India	Kolasib	2017	93.3%	94.3%	92.3%
India	Kolhapur	2000	86.9%	90.6%	82.5%
India	Kolhapur	2017	92.8%	95.3%	89.4%
India	Kolkata	2000	92.4%	93.6%	91.3%
India	Kolkata	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
India	Kollam	2000	73.0%	75.5%	70.2%
India	Kollam	2017	85.1%	86.6%	83.4%
India	Kondagaon	2000	81.6%	86.5%	75.8%
India	Kondagaon	2017	90.1%	93.4%	86.1%
India	Koppal	2000	86.1%	89.1%	82.3%
India	Koppal	2017	92.8%	94.8%	89.9%
India	Koraput	2000	71.5%	75.0%	68.2%
India	Koraput	2017	82.9%	85.5%	80.3%
India	Korba	2000	63.0%	66.0%	60.1%
India	Korba	2017	77.8%	80.0%	75.5%
India	Koriya	2000	57.5%	60.5%	54.1%
India	Koriya	2017	72.5%	75.2%	69.7%
India	Kota	2000	92.4%	93.5%	91.0%
India	Kota	2017	96.9%	97.4%	96.2%
India	Kottayam	2000	74.6%	77.1%	72.2%
India	Kottayam	2017	87.0%	88.4%	85.4%
India	Kozhikode	2000	70.6%	72.8%	68.3%
India	Kozhikode	2017	85.4%	86.7%	83.9%
India	Kra Daddi	2000	90.2%	95.5%	79.7%
India	Kra Daddi	2017	93.3%	97.4%	84.6%
India	Krishna	2000	86.9%	89.3%	83.9%
India	Krishna	2017	93.8%	95.2%	91.7%
India	Krishnagiri	2000	94.0%	96.1%	91.6%
India	Krishnagiri	2017	97.5%	98.6%	95.9%
India	Kulgam	2000	90.3%	92.3%	87.4%
India	Kulgam	2017	95.8%	96.8%	93.8%
India	Kullu	2000	90.0%	92.6%	86.3%
India	Kullu	2017	95.0%	96.7%	92.7%
India	Kumuram Bheem Asifabad	2000	85.8%	92.7%	76.6%
India	Kumuram Bheem Asifabad	2017	92.4%	96.7%	85.8%
India	Kupwara	2000	70.6%	73.3%	67.8%
India	Kupwara	2017	84.9%	86.6%	82.9%
India	Kurnool	2000	88.9%	91.9%	85.3%
India	Kurnool	2017	94.6%	96.6%	92.1%
India	Kurukshetra	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.2%
India	Kurukshetra	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
India	Kurung Kumey	2000	70.0%	77.7%	63.1%
India	Kurung Kumey	2017	80.2%	87.3%	74.0%
India	Kushinagar	2000	95.7%	96.8%	93.9%
India	Kushinagar	2017	98.1%	98.7%	96.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Lahul & Spiti	2000	88.0%	91.6%	83.4%
India	Lahul & Spiti	2017	92.3%	95.2%	88.7%
India	Lakhimpur	2000	59.0%	61.5%	55.7%
India	Lakhimpur	2017	71.9%	73.6%	69.8%
India	Lakhimpur	2000	95.1%	96.7%	93.2%
India	Lakhimpur Kheri	2017	97.8%	98.6%	96.7%
India	Lakhisarai	2000	73.4%	75.3%	71.5%
India	Lakhisarai	2017	85.2%	86.5%	83.9%
India	Lakshadweep	2000	81.1%	85.9%	75.1%
India	Lakshadweep	2017	91.4%	93.6%	87.9%
India	Lalitpur	2000	85.0%	88.2%	81.4%
India	Lalitpur	2017	91.9%	93.7%	89.6%
India	Latehar	2000	51.2%	54.4%	47.8%
India	Latehar	2017	69.0%	71.7%	66.1%
India	Latur	2000	85.4%	88.2%	82.2%
India	Latur	2017	92.9%	94.7%	90.5%
India	Lawangtlai	2000	59.3%	63.6%	55.6%
India	Lawangtlai	2017	71.9%	76.5%	67.8%
India	Leh (Ladakh)	2000	71.9%	76.4%	67.3%
India	Leh (Ladakh)	2017	80.7%	84.2%	76.5%
India	Lohardaga	2000	38.4%	40.7%	36.7%
India	Lohardaga	2017	57.6%	59.7%	55.8%
India	Lohit	2000	78.1%	81.6%	73.9%
India	Lohit	2017	87.5%	89.8%	84.4%
India	Longding	2000	63.3%	65.5%	61.1%
India	Longding	2017	70.8%	72.6%	68.9%
India	Longleng	2000	33.4%	34.6%	31.9%
India	Longleng	2017	52.9%	54.5%	51.2%
India	Lower Dibang Valley	2000	82.0%	86.3%	77.4%
India	Lower Dibang Valley	2017	90.9%	93.7%	87.1%
India	Lower Siang	2000	83.0%	87.1%	75.8%
India	Lower Siang	2017	91.5%	94.0%	85.8%
India	Lower Suban- siri	2000	93.8%	96.0%	91.3%
India	Lower Suban- siri	2017	97.1%	98.3%	95.4%
India	Lucknow	2000	94.1%	95.1%	93.2%
India	Lucknow	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
India	Ludhiana	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
India	Ludhiana	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
India	Lunglei	2000	82.3%	85.5%	79.0%
India	Lunglei	2017	89.7%	91.9%	87.0%
India	Madhepura	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.5%
India	Madhepura	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
India	Madhubani	2000	96.4%	97.6%	94.1%
India	Madhubani	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.3%
India	Madurai	2000	90.6%	92.4%	88.5%
India	Madurai	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.3%
India	Maharajganj	2000	97.1%	98.0%	95.6%
India	Maharajganj	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.1%
India	Mahasamund	2000	84.9%	87.3%	82.1%
India	Mahasamund	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.4%
India	Mahbubnagar	2000	94.5%	97.8%	88.6%
India	Mahbubnagar	2017	97.4%	99.2%	93.0%
India	Mahe	2000	73.5%	81.0%	56.3%
India	Mahe	2017	85.0%	90.0%	71.2%
India	Mahendragarh	2000	96.5%	97.6%	95.3%
India	Mahendragarh	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Mahesana	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.5%
India	Mahesana	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
India	Mahisagar	2000	70.7%	75.4%	65.7%
India	Mahisagar	2017	80.2%	83.8%	76.3%
India	Mahoba	2000	84.4%	86.3%	82.4%
India	Mahoba	2017	91.8%	93.1%	90.3%
India	Mahuababad	2000	86.4%	91.4%	79.7%
India	Mahuababad	2017	93.3%	96.2%	88.0%
India	Mainpuri	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
India	Mainpuri	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.2%
India	Majuli	2000	81.3%	85.2%	76.4%
India	Majuli	2017	89.9%	92.3%	86.2%
India	Malappuram	2000	72.0%	74.4%	69.1%
India	Malappuram	2017	83.1%	84.6%	81.1%
India	Maldah	2000	83.7%	85.8%	81.2%
India	Maldah	2017	89.2%	90.7%	87.1%
India	Malkangiri	2000	73.3%	78.0%	69.1%
India	Malkangiri	2017	82.4%	86.1%	78.7%
India	Mamit	2000	73.7%	77.3%	70.8%
India	Mamit	2017	82.6%	85.3%	79.8%
India	Mancherial	2000	76.2%	86.1%	65.3%
India	Mancherial	2017	86.1%	93.3%	77.4%
India	Mandi	2000	85.1%	88.2%	81.8%
India	Mandi	2017	92.4%	94.4%	90.1%
India	Mandla	2000	57.4%	60.5%	54.5%
India	Mandla	2017	71.7%	74.0%	69.4%
India	Mandsaur	2000	54.4%	58.2%	51.0%
India	Mandsaur	2017	68.5%	71.7%	65.4%
India	Mandya	2000	90.8%	93.1%	88.0%
India	Mandya	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.4%
India	Mansa	2000	96.1%	96.9%	94.8%
India	Mansa	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
India	Mathura	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.1%
India	Mathura	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.3%
India	Mau	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.1%
India	Mau	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
India	Mayurbhanj	2000	65.7%	69.2%	62.0%
India	Mayurbhanj	2017	79.4%	82.3%	76.4%
India	Medak	2000	94.0%	97.6%	88.6%
India	Medak	2017	97.4%	99.1%	93.9%
India	Medchal	2000	98.6%	99.5%	96.7%
India	Malkajgiri	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
India	Meerut	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
India	Meerut	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
India	Mewat	2000	86.1%	87.2%	84.8%
India	Mewat	2017	94.0%	94.6%	93.4%
India	Mirzapur	2000	77.9%	80.2%	75.4%
India	Mirzapur	2017	87.0%	88.8%	85.1%
India	Moga	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
India	Moga	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
India	Mokokchung	2000	65.9%	68.4%	63.3%
India	Mokokchung	2017	81.0%	83.2%	79.0%
India	Mon	2000	60.4%	62.0%	58.9%
India	Mon	2017	71.3%	72.6%	70.0%
India	Moradabad	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.4%
India	Moradabad	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
India	Morbi	2000	87.9%	92.9%	78.3%
India	Morbi	2017	94.0%	97.0%	87.1%
India	Morena	2000	80.3%	82.4%	78.2%
India	Morena	2017	88.4%	89.9%	86.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Morigaon	2000	82.6%	83.9%	80.9%
India	Morigaon	2017	91.0%	92.0%	90.0%
India	Muktsar	2000	96.6%	98.0%	94.4%
India	Muktsar	2017	98.7%	99.3%	97.6%
India	Mumbai City	2000	73.2%	75.1%	71.2%
India	Mumbai City	2017	85.5%	87.1%	83.9%
India	Mumbai Sub-urban	2000	91.7%	92.6%	90.6%
India	Mumbai Sub-urban	2017	96.6%	97.0%	96.1%
India	Mungeli	2000	83.8%	87.8%	79.0%
India	Mungeli	2017	90.6%	93.4%	87.4%
India	Munger	2000	75.4%	77.3%	73.3%
India	Munger	2017	86.4%	87.7%	84.8%
India	Murshidabad	2000	94.8%	96.3%	92.5%
India	Murshidabad	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.3%
India	Muzaffarnagar	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.0%
India	Muzaffarnagar	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
India	Muzaffarpur	2000	96.5%	97.6%	94.8%
India	Muzaffarpur	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.6%
India	Mysuru	2000	89.2%	91.5%	85.6%
India	Mysuru	2017	94.9%	96.4%	92.8%
India	Nabarangapur	2000	84.2%	87.8%	80.0%
India	Nabarangapur	2017	92.2%	94.4%	88.9%
India	Nadia	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.1%
India	Nadia	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.4%
India	Nagaon	2000	76.0%	78.4%	73.7%
India	Nagaon	2017	85.7%	87.2%	84.0%
India	Nagappattinam	2000	91.7%	94.0%	88.7%
India	Nagappattinam	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.5%
India	Nagarkurnool	2000	91.9%	96.3%	85.0%
India	Nagarkurnool	2017	96.1%	98.5%	92.1%
India	Nagaur	2000	80.2%	82.6%	77.5%
India	Nagaur	2017	89.7%	91.3%	87.7%
India	Nagpur	2000	87.8%	89.5%	85.8%
India	Nagpur	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.9%
India	Nainital	2000	86.9%	88.3%	85.1%
India	Nainital	2017	93.5%	94.4%	92.4%
India	Nalanda	2000	92.7%	93.7%	91.4%
India	Nalanda	2017	96.8%	97.2%	96.2%
India	Nalbari	2000	86.3%	87.8%	84.4%
India	Nalbari	2017	92.8%	93.8%	91.6%
India	Nalgonda	2000	93.0%	96.1%	88.9%
India	Nalgonda	2017	96.7%	98.5%	94.0%
India	Namakkal	2000	93.4%	95.2%	91.0%
India	Namakkal	2017	97.2%	98.0%	95.8%
India	Namsai	2000	74.1%	76.1%	71.5%
India	Namsai	2017	83.0%	84.5%	81.4%
India	Nanded	2000	80.6%	83.9%	77.4%
India	Nanded	2017	89.3%	91.4%	86.9%
India	Nandurbar	2000	78.2%	81.4%	75.2%
India	Nandurbar	2017	87.5%	89.8%	85.1%
India	Narayanpur	2000	80.3%	84.1%	75.6%
India	Narayanpur	2017	89.3%	91.8%	85.9%
India	Narmada	2000	92.7%	94.2%	90.7%
India	Narmada	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.6%
India	Narsimhapur	2000	86.0%	88.7%	83.1%
India	Narsimhapur	2017	93.2%	94.9%	91.1%
India	Nashik	2000	80.0%	83.8%	75.9%
India	Nashik	2017	88.2%	90.9%	85.0%
India	Navsari	2000	83.8%	86.1%	80.9%
India	Navsari	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Nawada	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.0%
India	Nawada	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.5%
India	Nayagarh	2000	58.3%	61.0%	55.4%
India	Nayagarh	2017	74.8%	77.0%	72.5%
India	Neemuch	2000	68.3%	71.5%	64.8%
India	Neemuch	2017	81.3%	83.8%	78.6%
India	Nellore	2000	89.7%	92.2%	86.5%
India	Nellore	2017	95.0%	96.6%	92.8%
India	New Delhi	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
India	New Delhi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
India	Nicobars	2000	95.3%	99.3%	87.2%
India	Nicobars	2017	97.4%	99.7%	91.0%
India	Nirmal	2000	81.3%	85.8%	75.9%
India	Nirmal	2017	89.2%	92.4%	85.4%
India	Niwari	2000	62.8%	66.4%	59.3%
India	Niwari	2017	77.7%	80.3%	75.1%
India	Nizamabad	2000	95.4%	97.9%	91.5%
India	Nizamabad	2017	98.2%	99.3%	96.1%
India	Noney	2000	41.8%	54.7%	29.8%
India	Noney	2017	42.0%	47.8%	34.3%
India	North	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
India	North	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
India	North & Middle Andaman	2000	78.7%	86.0%	68.9%
India	North & Middle Andaman	2017	87.9%	92.2%	81.4%
India	North 24 Parganas	2000	92.7%	93.8%	91.4%
India	North 24 Parganas	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.4%
India	North East	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
India	North East	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
India	North Garo Hills	2000	13.5%	14.7%	12.4%
India	North Garo Hills	2017	24.7%	26.3%	23.1%
India	North Goa	2000	87.9%	89.7%	85.2%
India	North Goa	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.2%
India	North Sikkim	2000	86.4%	89.4%	81.6%
India	North Sikkim	2017	92.2%	94.5%	89.7%
India	North Tripura	2000	54.6%	58.6%	51.4%
India	North Tripura	2017	68.8%	71.9%	65.6%
India	North West	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
India	North West	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
India	Nuapada	2000	77.4%	80.8%	73.0%
India	Nuapada	2017	87.4%	89.6%	84.5%
India	Osmanabad	2000	86.3%	88.7%	83.3%
India	Osmanabad	2017	92.7%	94.4%	90.7%
India	Pakke Kessang	2000	61.1%	66.1%	56.1%
India	Pakke Kessang	2017	72.5%	76.7%	68.0%
India	Pakur	2000	68.4%	70.6%	66.5%
India	Pakur	2017	80.4%	82.1%	78.7%
India	Palakkad	2000	70.0%	73.1%	66.5%
India	Palakkad	2017	83.4%	85.5%	80.5%
India	Palamu	2000	60.9%	63.9%	57.6%
India	Palamu	2017	76.2%	78.2%	73.5%
India	Palghar	2000	80.6%	84.4%	76.0%
India	Palghar	2017	89.7%	92.3%	86.3%
India	Pali	2000	82.6%	85.3%	79.8%
India	Pali	2017	90.5%	92.4%	88.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Palwal	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
India	Palwal	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
India	Panch Mahals	2000	64.1%	67.0%	61.3%
India	Panch Mahals	2017	76.8%	79.3%	74.4%
India	Panchkula	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
India	Panchkula	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
India	Panipat	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.6%
India	Panipat	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
India	Panna	2000	60.6%	65.5%	55.6%
India	Panna	2017	73.9%	77.7%	70.0%
India	Papum Pare	2000	73.6%	75.3%	71.7%
India	Papum Pare	2017	85.3%	86.4%	84.1%
India	Parbhani	2000	79.3%	83.4%	75.4%
India	Parbhani	2017	88.9%	91.8%	85.7%
India	Paschimi	2000	84.3%	87.0%	82.0%
India	Barddhama Paschimi	2017	92.2%	93.8%	90.3%
India	Pashchim Champaran	2000	90.2%	92.5%	87.5%
India	Pashchim Champaran	2017	94.7%	96.2%	92.8%
India	Pashchim Me- dinipur	2000	87.9%	91.1%	84.5%
India	Pashchim Me- dinipur	2017	93.7%	96.0%	91.0%
India	Pashchimi Singhbhum	2000	49.0%	53.2%	44.9%
India	Pashchimi Singhbhum	2017	64.8%	68.3%	60.7%
India	Patan	2000	92.5%	94.8%	89.6%
India	Patan	2017	96.2%	97.6%	94.3%
India	Pathanamthitta	2000	70.1%	73.0%	66.8%
India	Pathanamthitta	2017	84.9%	86.8%	82.5%
India	Pathankot	2000	95.8%	98.6%	89.3%
India	Pathankot	2017	98.1%	99.5%	94.3%
India	Patiala	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.6%
India	Patiala	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
India	Patna	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.6%
India	Patna	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
India	Pauri	2000	77.9%	80.8%	74.8%
India	Garhwal Pauri	2017	85.9%	88.2%	83.1%
India	Peddapalli	2000	71.2%	80.7%	63.3%
India	Peddapalli	2017	81.5%	88.4%	74.7%
India	Perambalur	2000	90.6%	92.4%	88.4%
India	Perambalur	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.0%
India	Peren	2000	42.9%	45.5%	40.3%
India	Peren	2017	58.9%	61.4%	56.3%
India	Phek	2000	81.9%	84.7%	79.1%
India	Phek	2017	90.0%	92.4%	87.6%
India	Pherzawl	2000	60.1%	66.9%	52.9%
India	Pherzawl	2017	64.3%	71.6%	57.1%
India	Pilibhit	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.1%
India	Pilibhit	2017	98.1%	98.7%	96.8%
India	Pithoragarh	2000	67.6%	69.9%	65.0%
India	Pithoragarh	2017	78.4%	80.5%	76.4%
India	Poonch	2000	54.9%	61.4%	48.3%
India	Poonch	2017	70.0%	75.4%	64.3%
India	Porbandar	2000	85.4%	87.9%	82.9%
India	Porbandar	2017	92.0%	93.6%	90.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Prakasam	2000	80.9%	84.5%	77.3%
India	Prakasam	2017	89.5%	91.9%	86.7%
India	Pratapgarh	2000	66.8%	70.3%	61.8%
India	Pratapgarh	2000	85.2%	87.4%	83.0%
India	Pratapgarh	2017	92.6%	93.9%	90.9%
India	Pratapgarh	2017	80.9%	83.7%	76.7%
India	Puducherry	2000	84.1%	87.3%	80.6%
India	Puducherry	2017	92.9%	94.6%	90.7%
India	Pudukkottai	2000	88.2%	91.0%	84.7%
India	Pudukkottai	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.7%
India	Pulwama	2000	84.9%	86.6%	83.1%
India	Pulwama	2017	93.1%	94.0%	92.1%
India	Pune	2000	89.3%	91.2%	87.4%
India	Pune	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%
India	Purba Bardhaman	2000	93.2%	96.1%	89.2%
India	Purba Bardhaman	2017	96.7%	98.3%	94.3%
India	Purba Champaran	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
India	Purba Champaran	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.5%
India	Purba Medinipur	2000	95.9%	97.1%	93.5%
India	Purba Medinipur	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.2%
India	Purbi Singhbhum	2000	65.7%	67.8%	63.8%
India	Purbi Singhbhum	2017	81.2%	82.6%	79.7%
India	Puri	2000	83.7%	86.7%	80.4%
India	Puri	2017	91.7%	93.6%	89.0%
India	Purnia	2000	97.1%	97.8%	95.9%
India	Purnia	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.2%
India	Puruliya	2000	68.3%	70.6%	65.6%
India	Puruliya	2017	79.6%	81.7%	77.3%
India	Rae Bareli	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.4%
India	Rae Bareli	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
India	Raichur	2000	80.6%	84.0%	77.1%
India	Raichur	2017	88.4%	90.9%	85.9%
India	Raigad	2000	84.7%	87.4%	81.1%
India	Raigad	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.0%
India	Raigarh	2000	80.7%	83.5%	77.2%
India	Raigarh	2017	89.0%	91.4%	85.8%
India	Raipur	2000	86.3%	87.9%	84.8%
India	Raipur	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.1%
India	Raisen	2000	83.0%	85.5%	79.7%
India	Raisen	2017	90.8%	92.5%	88.8%
India	Rajanna Sircilla	2000	78.8%	88.1%	69.7%
India	Rajanna Sircilla	2017	86.3%	93.7%	78.1%
India	Rajgarh	2000	50.7%	54.7%	47.2%
India	Rajgarh	2017	65.3%	69.0%	61.9%
India	Rajkot	2000	90.7%	92.9%	88.1%
India	Rajkot	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.1%
India	Rajnandgaon	2000	77.5%	80.7%	74.4%
India	Rajnandgaon	2017	87.1%	89.6%	84.3%
India	Rajouri	2000	50.1%	53.5%	46.6%
India	Rajouri	2017	65.4%	68.4%	62.5%
India	Rajsamand	2000	78.9%	82.0%	76.0%
India	Rajsamand	2017	88.4%	90.4%	86.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Ramanagara	2000	91.1%	93.3%	88.7%
India	Ramanagara	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.3%
India	Ramanathapuram	2000	79.9%	85.6%	74.1%
India	Ramanathapuram	2017	86.6%	91.3%	81.8%
India	Ramban	2000	68.2%	69.9%	66.5%
India	Ramban	2017	81.1%	82.5%	79.8%
India	Ramgarh	2000	47.0%	48.8%	45.5%
India	Ramgarh	2017	66.1%	67.5%	64.8%
India	Rampur	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
India	Rampur	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
India	Ranchi	2000	53.3%	55.4%	51.2%
India	Ranchi	2017	69.1%	71.1%	67.1%
India	Ranga Reddy	2000	94.2%	96.8%	89.6%
India	Ranga Reddy	2017	97.2%	98.9%	93.4%
India	Ratlam	2000	77.0%	79.7%	74.5%
India	Ratlam	2017	86.9%	88.8%	85.1%
India	Ratnagiri	2000	71.0%	77.1%	64.8%
India	Ratnagiri	2017	81.6%	86.8%	75.8%
India	Rayagada	2000	77.0%	80.8%	73.2%
India	Rayagada	2017	86.8%	89.3%	83.8%
India	Reasi	2000	65.4%	67.6%	62.7%
India	Reasi	2017	77.4%	79.0%	75.3%
India	Rewa	2000	73.1%	75.5%	70.3%
India	Rewa	2017	85.4%	87.0%	83.4%
India	Rewari	2000	97.0%	98.0%	95.6%
India	Rewari	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
India	Ri Bhoi	2000	53.7%	57.1%	50.3%
India	Ri Bhoi	2017	68.8%	71.8%	65.9%
India	Rohtak	2000	97.0%	98.0%	95.5%
India	Rohtak	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
India	Rohtas	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.2%
India	Rohtas	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
India	Rudraprayag	2000	78.3%	81.4%	75.5%
India	Rudraprayag	2017	87.2%	89.1%	85.3%
India	Rupnagar	2000	98.1%	98.8%	96.8%
India	Rupnagar	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
India	Sabar Kantha	2000	87.8%	91.6%	82.9%
India	Sabar Kantha	2017	93.0%	95.7%	89.0%
India	Sagar	2000	67.4%	71.0%	63.5%
India	Sagar	2017	80.4%	83.2%	76.9%
India	Saharanpur	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
India	Saharanpur	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
India	Saharsa	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
India	Saharsa	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
India	Sahibganj	2000	62.0%	63.7%	60.2%
India	Sahibganj	2017	77.1%	78.3%	75.7%
India	Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.0%
India	Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
India	Saiha	2000	69.3%	72.1%	66.3%
India	Saiha	2017	80.4%	82.4%	78.1%
India	Salem	2000	94.2%	95.8%	92.5%
India	Salem	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.5%
India	Samastipur	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
India	Samastipur	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
India	Samba	2000	89.7%	91.0%	88.2%
India	Samba	2017	95.9%	96.4%	95.2%
India	Sambalpur	2000	65.5%	69.7%	61.1%
India	Sambalpur	2017	79.7%	83.3%	76.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Sambhal	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.6%
India	Sambhal	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
India	Sangareddy	2000	95.5%	97.8%	91.7%
India	Sangareddy	2017	98.1%	99.3%	95.9%
India	Sangli	2000	88.4%	91.1%	85.4%
India	Sangli	2017	94.4%	96.0%	92.5%
India	Sangrur	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
India	Sangrur	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
India	Sant Kabir Nagar	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
India	Sant Kabir Nagar	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
India	Sant Ravi Das Nagar	2000	67.0%	68.6%	65.3%
India	Sant Ravi Das Nagar	2017	82.8%	83.8%	81.7%
India	Saraikela Kharsawan	2000	59.4%	62.2%	56.5%
India	Saraikela Kharsawan	2017	74.9%	77.0%	72.6%
India	Saran	2000	90.0%	92.3%	87.4%
India	Saran	2017	94.9%	96.5%	92.8%
India	Satara	2000	82.3%	86.2%	77.2%
India	Satara	2017	90.5%	93.2%	86.8%
India	Satna	2000	71.3%	73.8%	68.7%
India	Satna	2017	83.9%	85.7%	82.1%
India	Sawai Madhopur	2000	72.8%	75.1%	70.5%
India	Sawai Madhopur	2017	84.2%	85.8%	82.3%
India	Sehore	2000	70.8%	73.6%	67.4%
India	Sehore	2017	83.1%	85.5%	80.2%
India	Senapati	2000	52.7%	58.2%	46.6%
India	Senapati	2017	62.2%	67.3%	55.6%
India	Seoni	2000	63.5%	67.2%	59.8%
India	Seoni	2017	75.7%	78.5%	72.5%
India	Serchhip	2000	82.7%	84.9%	80.2%
India	Serchhip	2017	91.4%	92.7%	89.6%
India	Shahdara	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
India	Shahdara	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
India	Shahdol	2000	42.8%	48.0%	38.8%
India	Shahdol	2017	58.2%	62.9%	54.2%
India	Shahid Bhagat Singh Nagar	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
India	Shahid Bhagat Singh Nagar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
India	Shahjahanpur	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.4%
India	Shahjahanpur	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.0%
India	Shajapur	2000	72.3%	77.0%	67.3%
India	Shajapur	2017	84.3%	87.8%	80.3%
India	Shamli	2000	95.6%	97.5%	92.3%
India	Shamli	2017	98.3%	99.1%	96.9%
India	Sheikhpura	2000	84.9%	86.6%	83.2%
India	Sheikhpura	2017	92.3%	93.3%	91.1%
India	Sheohar	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.7%
India	Sheohar	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
India	Sheopur	2000	77.5%	81.2%	74.2%
India	Sheopur	2017	87.1%	90.1%	83.9%
India	Shi Yomi	2000	93.3%	95.8%	89.2%
India	Shi Yomi	2017	96.2%	98.0%	92.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Shimla	2000	89.0%	91.4%	86.2%
India	Shimla	2017	94.7%	96.2%	92.8%
India	Shivamogga	2000	81.0%	84.3%	77.1%
India	Shivamogga	2017	89.0%	91.5%	85.9%
India	Shivpuri	2000	61.0%	64.7%	56.8%
India	Shivpuri	2017	73.1%	76.2%	69.5%
India	Shravasti	2000	92.4%	94.4%	90.1%
India	Shravasti	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.6%
India	Shupiyan	2000	82.6%	83.9%	81.1%
India	Shupiyan	2017	91.2%	92.1%	90.0%
India	Siang	2000	85.3%	90.6%	77.7%
India	Siang	2017	90.3%	94.4%	84.4%
India	Siddharth Nagar	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.5%
India	Siddharth Nagar	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
India	Siddipet	2000	93.3%	97.7%	84.2%
India	Siddipet	2017	96.7%	99.2%	90.5%
India	Sidhi	2000	48.8%	52.4%	45.8%
India	Sidhi	2017	63.5%	66.8%	60.6%
India	Sikar	2000	86.2%	88.5%	83.5%
India	Sikar	2017	93.7%	95.1%	91.8%
India	Simdega	2000	31.4%	35.5%	28.0%
India	Simdega	2017	47.9%	52.2%	44.0%
India	Sindhudurg	2000	61.3%	66.3%	55.8%
India	Sindhudurg	2017	74.7%	78.8%	70.5%
India	Singrauli	2000	52.3%	55.7%	48.3%
India	Singrauli	2017	62.8%	66.0%	59.3%
India	Sipahijala	2000	90.7%	94.4%	84.2%
India	Sipahijala	2017	95.5%	97.8%	90.2%
India	Sirmaur	2000	81.1%	83.2%	78.2%
India	Sirmaur	2017	90.1%	91.6%	87.8%
India	Sirohi	2000	79.9%	82.9%	76.6%
India	Sirohi	2017	88.4%	90.7%	85.9%
India	Sirsa	2000	97.1%	98.3%	95.3%
India	Sirsa	2017	98.7%	99.4%	97.5%
India	Sitamarhi	2000	98.1%	98.7%	96.6%
India	Sitamarhi	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.6%
India	Sitapur	2000	89.9%	92.0%	87.8%
India	Sitapur	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.1%
India	Sivaganga	2000	84.1%	87.7%	80.7%
India	Sivaganga	2017	91.0%	93.7%	88.0%
India	Sivasagar	2000	78.6%	80.8%	76.4%
India	Sivasagar	2017	89.2%	90.7%	87.5%
India	Siwan	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
India	Siwan	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
India	Solan	2000	85.6%	88.2%	82.8%
India	Solan	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.2%
India	Solapur	2000	79.7%	83.1%	75.8%
India	Solapur	2017	89.0%	91.4%	85.9%
India	Sonbhadra	2000	77.2%	79.9%	74.3%
India	Sonbhadra	2017	85.7%	87.9%	83.6%
India	Sonepur	2000	84.8%	87.0%	82.2%
India	Sonepur	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.6%
India	Sonipat	2000	96.4%	97.6%	94.7%
India	Sonipat	2017	98.7%	99.2%	97.9%
India	Sonitpur	2000	50.0%	53.4%	46.7%
India	Sonitpur	2017	63.5%	66.5%	60.7%
India	South	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.8%
India	South	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
India	South 24 Parganas	2000	90.5%	93.0%	87.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	South 24 Parganas	2017	95.8%	97.1%	93.7%
India	South Andaman	2000	85.7%	90.1%	80.7%
India	South Andaman	2017	94.3%	96.2%	92.3%
India	South East	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.4%
India	South East	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
India	South Garo Hills	2000	44.2%	48.6%	39.3%
India	South Garo Hills	2017	59.1%	62.3%	55.5%
India	South Goa	2000	89.5%	91.2%	87.5%
India	South Goa	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
India	South Salmara Mancachar	2000	70.9%	72.8%	68.9%
India	South Salmara Mancachar	2017	80.1%	82.0%	78.2%
India	South Sikkim	2000	82.3%	83.7%	80.8%
India	South Sikkim	2017	90.7%	91.5%	89.7%
India	South Tripura	2000	70.2%	75.5%	65.3%
India	South Tripura	2017	81.6%	87.1%	77.5%
India	South West	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.5%
India	South West	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
India	South West Garo Hills	2000	52.3%	55.1%	49.5%
India	South West Garo Hills	2017	65.9%	67.7%	63.9%
India	South West Khasi Hills	2000	56.1%	64.0%	45.0%
India	South West Khasi Hills	2017	70.0%	76.7%	58.3%
India	Srikakulam	2000	74.7%	77.6%	71.8%
India	Srikakulam	2017	85.3%	87.4%	83.0%
India	Srinagar	2000	81.4%	82.8%	79.8%
India	Srinagar	2017	91.3%	92.1%	90.3%
India	Sukma	2000	89.3%	94.2%	82.4%
India	Sukma	2017	94.1%	97.2%	89.2%
India	Sultanpur	2000	88.9%	90.9%	86.2%
India	Sultanpur	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
India	Sundargarh	2000	79.7%	81.8%	77.2%
India	Sundargarh	2017	88.1%	89.6%	86.2%
India	Supaul	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.7%
India	Supaul	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.6%
India	Surajpur	2000	56.5%	63.2%	48.7%
India	Surajpur	2017	72.2%	77.1%	65.8%
India	Surat	2000	93.7%	96.2%	77.8%
India	Surat	2017	97.5%	99.0%	85.3%
India	Surendranagar	2000	86.2%	90.7%	82.2%
India	Surendranagar	2017	91.6%	95.1%	88.1%
India	Surguja	2000	76.8%	80.9%	71.7%
India	Surguja	2017	85.5%	88.7%	81.7%
India	Suryapet	2000	91.1%	95.1%	85.0%
India	Suryapet	2017	95.9%	98.1%	91.5%
India	Tamenglong	2000	27.2%	31.0%	24.4%
India	Tamenglong	2017	38.9%	43.4%	35.8%
India	Tapi	2000	81.0%	83.2%	78.7%
India	Tapi	2017	90.1%	91.8%	88.5%
India	Tarn Taran	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
India	Tarn Taran	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Tawang	2000	86.3%	90.8%	80.8%
India	Tawang	2017	92.2%	95.8%	87.5%
India	Tehri Garhwal	2000	72.9%	75.3%	69.9%
India	Tehri Garhwal	2017	83.1%	85.1%	80.3%
India	Tengnoupal	2000	19.0%	28.4%	13.9%
India	Tengnoupal	2017	29.7%	38.7%	23.5%
India	Thane	2000	84.6%	86.3%	82.8%
India	Thane	2017	93.0%	94.0%	91.6%
India	Thanjavur	2000	93.5%	95.6%	90.9%
India	Thanjavur	2017	97.3%	98.5%	95.7%
India	The Nilgiris	2000	86.8%	88.9%	84.4%
India	The Nilgiris	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.2%
India	Theni	2000	92.0%	94.3%	89.3%
India	Theni	2017	96.0%	97.5%	94.2%
India	Thiruvallur	2000	92.7%	95.1%	90.3%
India	Thiruvallur	2017	96.8%	98.2%	94.8%
India	Thiruvananthapuram	2000	73.4%	78.1%	69.4%
India	Thiruvananthapuram	2017	86.4%	89.4%	83.3%
India	Thiruvarur	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
India	Thiruvarur	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
India	Thoubal	2000	31.8%	32.4%	31.0%
India	Thoubal	2017	50.8%	51.7%	49.8%
India	Thrissur	2000	79.9%	82.2%	76.6%
India	Thrissur	2017	89.3%	90.6%	87.3%
India	Tikamgarh	2000	60.7%	64.0%	57.5%
India	Tikamgarh	2017	74.5%	77.5%	71.7%
India	Tinsukia	2000	83.4%	85.2%	81.4%
India	Tinsukia	2017	90.6%	92.1%	89.2%
India	Tirap	2000	76.4%	78.0%	74.4%
India	Tirap	2017	83.8%	85.4%	81.6%
India	Tiruchirappalli	2000	94.1%	96.0%	91.9%
India	Tiruchirappalli	2017	97.6%	98.6%	96.3%
India	Tirunelveli	2000	94.4%	96.7%	91.0%
India	Tirunelveli	2017	97.6%	98.8%	95.7%
India	Tiruppur	2000	94.9%	96.9%	92.0%
India	Tiruppur	2017	97.8%	98.9%	95.8%
India	Tiruvannamalai	2000	95.2%	96.9%	92.0%
India	Tiruvannamalai	2017	98.1%	99.0%	96.2%
India	Tonk	2000	75.2%	79.4%	68.3%
India	Tonk	2017	86.4%	89.2%	81.3%
India	Tuensang	2000	80.8%	82.3%	78.8%
India	Tuensang	2017	90.0%	90.9%	88.5%
India	Tumakuru	2000	88.5%	90.8%	85.9%
India	Tumakuru	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.2%
India	Tuticorin	2000	93.6%	95.8%	90.8%
India	Tuticorin	2017	97.4%	98.5%	95.7%
India	Udaipur	2000	68.0%	71.0%	64.9%
India	Udaipur	2017	81.4%	83.6%	79.0%
India	Udalguri	2000	51.2%	53.2%	49.2%
India	Udalguri	2017	64.5%	66.6%	62.5%
India	Udham Singh Nagar	2000	88.9%	90.0%	87.6%
India	Udham Singh Nagar	2017	95.2%	95.8%	94.4%
India	Udhampur	2000	46.0%	47.6%	44.3%
India	Udhampur	2017	63.9%	65.4%	62.2%
India	Udupi	2000	48.1%	51.8%	44.1%
India	Udupi	2017	63.6%	67.8%	59.3%
India	Ujjain	2000	77.8%	80.0%	75.5%
India	Ujjain	2017	88.2%	89.5%	86.4%
India	Ukhrul	2000	28.7%	33.2%	25.6%
India	Ukhrul	2017	42.9%	48.0%	39.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Umara	2000	49.3%	53.6%	46.4%
India	Umara	2017	65.1%	68.8%	62.2%
India	Una	2000	92.1%	94.0%	89.6%
India	Una	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.1%
India	Unnao	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.3%
India	Unnao	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.0%
India	Unokoti	2000	60.1%	64.5%	54.8%
India	Unokoti	2017	74.8%	78.3%	70.3%
India	Upper Siang	2000	84.1%	87.3%	79.3%
India	Upper Siang	2017	91.3%	93.2%	88.0%
India	Upper Subansiri	2000	75.1%	83.3%	66.1%
India	Upper Subansiri	2017	82.6%	89.8%	73.7%
India	Uttar Bastar Kanker	2000	85.7%	89.3%	81.0%
India	Uttar Bastar Kanker	2017	92.7%	95.0%	89.3%
India	Uttar Dinajpur	2000	90.5%	92.1%	88.6%
India	Uttar Dinajpur	2017	94.9%	95.7%	93.7%
India	Uttara Kanna	2000	55.8%	60.3%	51.9%
India	Uttara Kanna	2017	69.0%	73.1%	65.4%
India	Uttarkashi	2000	72.0%	75.4%	68.5%
India	Uttarkashi	2017	81.5%	83.9%	79.0%
India	Vadodara	2000	91.7%	93.8%	88.7%
India	Vadodara	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.2%
India	Vaishali	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
India	Vaishali	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
India	Valsad	2000	78.3%	81.8%	74.6%
India	Valsad	2017	88.2%	90.5%	85.0%
India	Varanasi	2000	87.5%	88.5%	86.5%
India	Varanasi	2017	94.3%	94.8%	93.7%
India	Vellore	2000	91.2%	93.3%	88.8%
India	Vellore	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
India	Vidisha	2000	75.6%	79.2%	71.5%
India	Vidisha	2017	86.5%	89.1%	83.1%
India	Vijaypura	2000	84.3%	88.0%	79.6%
India	Vijaypura	2017	91.9%	94.3%	88.6%
India	Vikarabad	2000	92.6%	98.1%	83.2%
India	Vikarabad	2017	96.4%	99.3%	90.4%
India	Viluppuram	2000	93.3%	95.2%	90.2%
India	Viluppuram	2017	97.1%	98.1%	95.3%
India	Virudunagar	2000	90.8%	92.7%	88.2%
India	Virudunagar	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
India	Visakhapatnam	2000	88.8%	91.0%	86.3%
India	Visakhapatnam	2017	94.0%	95.5%	92.0%
India	Vizianagaram	2000	85.5%	88.5%	82.0%
India	Vizianagaram	2017	92.9%	94.7%	90.5%
India	Wanaparthi	2000	93.8%	97.5%	87.6%
India	Wanaparthi	2017	96.7%	99.0%	92.6%
India	Warangal Rural	2000	86.5%	90.8%	80.4%
India	Warangal Rural	2017	93.5%	95.9%	89.6%
India	Warangal Urban	2000	89.0%	93.2%	83.0%
India	Warangal Urban	2017	95.0%	97.3%	90.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Wardha	2000	86.0%	89.1%	81.2%
India	Wardha	2017	93.0%	94.8%	89.9%
India	Washim	2000	74.2%	77.6%	70.4%
India	Washim	2017	84.2%	86.8%	81.0%
India	Wayanad	2000	74.8%	78.0%	71.1%
India	Wayanad	2017	87.4%	89.2%	84.9%
India	West	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.4%
India	West	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
India	West Garo Hills	2000	36.9%	38.9%	35.4%
India	West Garo Hills	2017	49.2%	51.4%	47.4%
India	West Godavari	2000	88.9%	91.0%	86.4%
India	West Godavari	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.0%
India	West Jaintia Hills	2000	64.7%	67.9%	60.1%
India	West Jaintia Hills	2017	79.0%	81.3%	74.9%
India	West Kameng	2000	86.0%	90.1%	80.8%
India	West Kameng	2017	90.0%	92.8%	85.8%
India	West Karbi Anglong	2000	37.4%	43.0%	32.1%
India	West Karbi Anglong	2017	52.6%	58.4%	47.4%
India	West Khasi Hills	2000	58.5%	62.0%	55.0%
India	West Khasi Hills	2017	72.1%	75.9%	68.8%
India	West Siang	2000	71.9%	80.7%	60.1%
India	West Siang	2017	80.3%	87.5%	69.7%
India	West Sikkim	2000	83.9%	85.5%	82.3%
India	West Sikkim	2017	90.7%	91.9%	89.6%
India	West Tripura	2000	78.7%	84.2%	74.0%
India	West Tripura	2017	89.1%	92.9%	84.7%
India	Wokha	2000	50.2%	53.2%	47.6%
India	Wokha	2017	70.5%	72.9%	68.3%
India	Y.S.R.	2000	91.5%	93.9%	88.7%
India	Y.S.R.	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.5%
India	Yadadri Bhuvanagiri	2000	90.3%	95.3%	81.0%
India	Yadadri Bhuvanagiri	2017	95.4%	98.2%	89.4%
India	Yadgir	2000	79.7%	83.8%	74.6%
India	Yadgir	2017	88.1%	90.9%	84.7%
India	Yamunanagar	2000	93.7%	95.3%	91.7%
India	Yamunanagar	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
India	Yanam	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
India	Yanam	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
India	Yavatmal	2000	72.4%	76.2%	68.8%
India	Yavatmal	2017	82.1%	85.4%	78.9%
India	Zunheboto	2000	80.2%	81.6%	78.7%
India	Zunheboto	2017	88.7%	89.7%	87.7%
Nepal	Bagmati	2000	87.9%	92.5%	83.3%
Nepal	Bagmati	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.7%
Nepal	Bheri	2000	88.2%	91.2%	84.4%
Nepal	Bheri	2017	96.0%	97.7%	93.7%
Nepal	Dhaulagiri	2000	83.4%	90.6%	75.7%
Nepal	Dhaulagiri	2017	92.4%	96.5%	87.5%
Nepal	Gandaki	2000	87.2%	91.3%	82.3%
Nepal	Gandaki	2017	96.1%	98.1%	93.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nepal	Janakpur	2000	91.2%	93.8%	88.0%
Nepal	Janakpur	2017	96.8%	98.1%	94.9%
Nepal	Karnali	2000	75.5%	86.4%	63.9%
Nepal	Karnali	2017	86.5%	93.1%	78.2%
Nepal	Koshi	2000	92.0%	94.8%	88.5%
Nepal	Koshi	2017	99.1%	99.7%	98.2%
Nepal	Lumbini	2000	91.4%	94.0%	87.6%
Nepal	Lumbini	2017	97.3%	98.5%	95.5%
Nepal	Mahakali	2000	86.1%	91.4%	79.3%
Nepal	Mahakali	2017	97.5%	99.0%	95.2%
Nepal	Mechi	2000	89.7%	93.5%	85.7%
Nepal	Mechi	2017	96.9%	98.6%	94.2%
Nepal	Narayani	2000	95.0%	97.1%	92.4%
Nepal	Narayani	2017	98.5%	99.4%	97.1%
Nepal	Rapti	2000	79.5%	85.9%	72.8%
Nepal	Rapti	2017	93.3%	97.0%	88.1%
Nepal	Sagarmatha	2000	88.9%	93.5%	83.1%
Nepal	Sagarmatha	2017	97.6%	99.0%	95.1%
Nepal	Seti	2000	86.5%	90.8%	82.1%
Nepal	Seti	2017	96.1%	97.8%	94.0%
Pakistan	Azad Kashmir	2000	60.2%	66.2%	53.9%
Pakistan	Azad Kashmir	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.0%
Pakistan	Bahawalpur	2000	50.6%	53.6%	48.1%
Pakistan	Bahawalpur	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.0%
Pakistan	Bannu	2000	55.2%	62.5%	47.9%
Pakistan	Bannu	2017	94.4%	96.0%	92.2%
Pakistan	Dera Ghazi Khan	2000	50.8%	53.9%	47.7%
Pakistan	Dera Ghazi Khan	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.7%
Pakistan	Dera Ismail Khan	2000	49.1%	56.5%	42.1%
Pakistan	Dera Ismail Khan	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.3%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 1	2000	49.1%	54.4%	44.3%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 1	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.8%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 2	2000	49.3%	64.3%	34.0%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 2	2017	93.0%	96.5%	87.3%
Pakistan	Faisalabad	2000	51.7%	55.8%	47.9%
Pakistan	Faisalabad	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.4%
Pakistan	Gujranwala	2000	58.0%	60.6%	55.0%
Pakistan	Gujranwala	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.1%
Pakistan	Hazara	2000	53.0%	57.3%	49.3%
Pakistan	Hazara	2017	94.2%	95.2%	92.9%
Pakistan	Hyderabad	2000	46.4%	49.8%	43.4%
Pakistan	Hyderabad	2017	92.2%	93.4%	90.8%
Pakistan	Islamabad	2000	66.3%	74.1%	58.3%
Pakistan	Islamabad	2017	97.3%	98.3%	96.0%
Pakistan	Kalat	2000	43.3%	45.6%	41.0%
Pakistan	Kalat	2017	89.8%	91.1%	88.4%
Pakistan	Karachi	2000	69.7%	72.7%	65.9%
Pakistan	Karachi	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.1%
Pakistan	Kohat	2000	49.4%	54.2%	43.8%
Pakistan	Kohat	2017	93.9%	95.5%	92.1%
Pakistan	Lahore	2000	55.2%	58.6%	51.1%
Pakistan	Lahore	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Pakistan	Larkana	2000	49.9%	53.2%	46.3%
Pakistan	Larkana	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.2%
Pakistan	Makran	2000	47.2%	50.8%	43.7%
Pakistan	Makran	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Pakistan	Malakand	2000	50.2%	54.0%	46.5%
Pakistan	Malakand	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Pakistan	Mardan	2000	50.7%	56.5%	45.7%
Pakistan	Mardan	2017	93.8%	95.4%	91.7%
Pakistan	Mirpur Khas	2000	49.2%	52.3%	46.3%
Pakistan	Mirpur Khas	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.6%
Pakistan	Multan	2000	53.7%	56.3%	50.4%
Pakistan	Multan	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Pakistan	Nasirabad	2000	42.9%	45.8%	39.7%
Pakistan	Nasirabad	2017	90.6%	92.1%	89.1%
Pakistan	Northern Areas	2000	47.9%	51.0%	45.0%
Pakistan	Northern Areas	2017	88.3%	89.7%	86.7%
Pakistan	Peshawar	2000	56.5%	60.5%	52.8%
Pakistan	Peshawar	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Pakistan	Quetta	2000	55.0%	57.5%	52.6%
Pakistan	Quetta	2017	92.7%	93.8%	91.3%
Pakistan	Rann of Kutch	2000	55.2%	66.1%	46.0%
Pakistan	Rann of Kutch	2017	94.3%	96.9%	90.0%
Pakistan	Rawalpindi	2000	61.2%	64.3%	58.0%
Pakistan	Rawalpindi	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Pakistan	Sargodha	2000	51.6%	54.9%	48.3%
Pakistan	Sargodha	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.3%
Pakistan	Sibi	2000	44.5%	48.7%	41.1%
Pakistan	Sibi	2017	90.2%	91.9%	88.3%
Pakistan	Sukkur	2000	49.0%	52.1%	46.5%
Pakistan	Sukkur	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.2%
Pakistan	Zhob	2000	42.4%	45.4%	40.0%
Pakistan	Zhob	2017	89.5%	90.7%	88.0%
Southeast Asia, East Asia, and Oceania					
Cambodia	Aek Phnum	2000	40.3%	53.4%	30.8%
Cambodia	Aek Phnum	2017	88.0%	96.2%	77.6%
Cambodia	Andoung Meas	2000	15.3%	33.5%	4.1%
Cambodia	Andoung Meas	2017	81.4%	94.8%	64.6%
Cambodia	Angk Snuol	2000	39.3%	48.4%	31.1%
Cambodia	Angk Snuol	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.8%
Cambodia	Angkor Borei	2000	20.2%	48.7%	7.9%
Cambodia	Angkor Borei	2017	89.0%	98.6%	68.9%
Cambodia	Angkor Chey	2000	26.6%	39.5%	16.3%
Cambodia	Angkor Chey	2017	97.7%	99.1%	94.1%
Cambodia	Angkor Chum	2000	23.9%	37.1%	12.4%
Cambodia	Angkor Chum	2017	91.2%	97.1%	83.2%
Cambodia	Angkor Thum	2000	32.9%	56.9%	15.9%
Cambodia	Angkor Thum	2017	96.1%	99.6%	87.8%
Cambodia	Anlong Veaeng	2000	36.4%	54.8%	19.2%
Cambodia	Anlong Veaeng	2017	87.3%	98.9%	71.4%
Cambodia	Aoral	2000	53.0%	84.7%	16.6%
Cambodia	Aoral	2017	90.4%	99.5%	70.4%
Cambodia	Ba Phnum	2000	49.0%	62.5%	27.1%
Cambodia	Ba Phnum	2017	96.9%	98.7%	92.5%
Cambodia	Bakan	2000	21.9%	32.9%	13.6%
Cambodia	Bakan	2017	92.3%	96.5%	87.0%
Cambodia	Ban Lung	2000	16.8%	20.2%	13.9%
Cambodia	Ban Lung	2017	95.4%	98.0%	91.4%
Cambodia	Banan	2000	42.5%	58.7%	28.0%
Cambodia	Banan	2017	96.6%	99.3%	92.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Banteay Ampil	2000	14.6%	29.1%	5.0%
Cambodia	Banteay Ampil	2017	70.9%	84.2%	55.6%
Cambodia	Banteay Meas	2000	17.4%	29.5%	11.7%
Cambodia	Banteay Meas	2017	94.4%	98.2%	86.5%
Cambodia	Banteay Srei	2000	37.3%	56.7%	20.5%
Cambodia	Banteay Srei	2017	92.4%	99.3%	72.5%
Cambodia	Bar Kaev	2000	11.8%	44.0%	1.3%
Cambodia	Bar Kaev	2017	82.2%	97.9%	51.1%
Cambodia	Baray	2000	20.2%	29.9%	14.2%
Cambodia	Baray	2017	92.6%	97.1%	85.6%
Cambodia	Baribour	2000	10.9%	20.7%	4.7%
Cambodia	Baribour	2017	84.7%	94.8%	71.5%
Cambodia	Basedth	2000	23.1%	30.2%	16.3%
Cambodia	Basedth	2017	94.5%	97.7%	89.3%
Cambodia	Bat Dambang	2000	35.3%	40.1%	30.4%
Cambodia	Bat Dambang	2017	94.7%	97.7%	90.6%
Cambodia	Batheay	2000	53.6%	64.0%	41.9%
Cambodia	Batheay	2017	98.1%	99.4%	94.9%
Cambodia	Bati	2000	35.7%	39.5%	30.8%
Cambodia	Bati	2017	97.3%	98.6%	95.3%
Cambodia	Bavel	2000	38.1%	54.6%	21.2%
Cambodia	Bavel	2017	92.6%	99.1%	77.3%
Cambodia	Botum Sakor	2000	41.3%	71.2%	13.2%
Cambodia	Botum Sakor	2017	90.8%	98.9%	75.4%
Cambodia	Bourei Cholsar	2000	12.8%	30.5%	1.3%
Cambodia	Bourei Cholsar	2017	82.6%	94.3%	67.3%
Cambodia	Chamkar Leu	2000	20.7%	28.0%	13.4%
Cambodia	Chamkar Leu	2017	93.0%	97.5%	86.7%
Cambodia	Chantrea	2000	46.7%	74.6%	22.1%
Cambodia	Chantrea	2017	94.5%	99.8%	77.1%
Cambodia	Chbar Mon	2000	55.8%	66.3%	43.5%
Cambodia	Chbar Mon	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Cambodia	Cheung Prey	2000	51.7%	59.6%	43.4%
Cambodia	Cheung Prey	2017	97.5%	99.1%	94.5%
Cambodia	Chey Saen	2000	20.1%	48.4%	2.0%
Cambodia	Chey Saen	2017	69.2%	92.2%	38.3%
Cambodia	Chhaeb	2000	40.2%	82.0%	3.7%
Cambodia	Chhaeb	2017	66.8%	98.1%	21.4%
Cambodia	Chhloung	2000	40.1%	48.1%	31.5%
Cambodia	Chhloung	2017	87.2%	97.7%	75.5%
Cambodia	Chhuk	2000	22.6%	28.2%	17.4%
Cambodia	Chhuk	2017	93.1%	97.3%	83.4%
Cambodia	Chi Kraeng	2000	21.7%	31.8%	13.1%
Cambodia	Chi Kraeng	2017	85.4%	94.2%	75.0%
Cambodia	Choam	2000	30.1%	46.9%	13.8%
Cambodia	Khsant				
Cambodia	Choam	2017	38.2%	68.6%	21.8%
Cambodia	Khsant				
Cambodia	Chol Kiri	2000	31.0%	50.8%	9.8%
Cambodia	Chol Kiri	2017	87.1%	95.6%	72.2%
Cambodia	Chong Kal	2000	8.7%	17.4%	2.7%
Cambodia	Chong Kal	2017	59.0%	78.8%	40.1%
Cambodia	Chum Kiri	2000	15.7%	24.4%	9.2%
Cambodia	Chum Kiri	2017	89.0%	96.7%	74.5%
Cambodia	Dambae	2000	28.6%	55.8%	11.1%
Cambodia	Dambae	2017	83.4%	98.6%	50.8%
Cambodia	Dang Tong	2000	15.9%	32.7%	7.8%
Cambodia	Dang Tong	2017	93.6%	97.1%	85.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Dangkao	2000	57.7%	63.8%	51.1%
Cambodia	Dangkao	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Cambodia	Doun Kaev	2000	27.0%	31.3%	23.2%
Cambodia	Doun Kaev	2017	95.3%	98.7%	92.0%
Cambodia	Kaeb	2000	11.8%	15.8%	8.2%
Cambodia	Kaeb	2017	94.4%	98.1%	90.1%
Cambodia	Kaev Seima	2000	36.3%	59.6%	13.9%
Cambodia	Kaev Seima	2017	80.7%	96.4%	56.3%
Cambodia	Kamchay	2000	50.4%	75.2%	30.4%
Cambodia	Mear				
Cambodia	Kamchay	2017	97.9%	99.8%	90.1%
Cambodia	Mear				
Cambodia	Kampong Bay	2000	69.3%	83.0%	51.9%
Cambodia	Kampong Bay	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Cambodia	Kampong	2000	32.5%	60.5%	12.9%
Cambodia	Cham				
Cambodia	Kampong	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Cambodia	Cham				
Cambodia	Kampong	2000	39.3%	43.7%	35.5%
Cambodia	Chhnang				
Cambodia	Kampong	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Cambodia	Chhnang				
Cambodia	Kampong	2000	38.0%	53.0%	21.0%
Cambodia	Leaeng				
Cambodia	Kampong	2017	94.4%	97.9%	88.3%
Cambodia	Leaeng				
Cambodia	Kampong	2000	49.0%	60.3%	40.2%
Cambodia	Leav				
Cambodia	Kampong	2017	98.6%	99.7%	96.4%
Cambodia	Leav				
Cambodia	Kampong Rou	2000	55.3%	70.8%	39.5%
Cambodia	Kampong Rou	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.1%
Cambodia	Kampong	2000	42.7%	76.4%	10.1%
Cambodia	Seila				
Cambodia	Kampong	2017	88.9%	99.6%	69.3%
Cambodia	Seila				
Cambodia	Kampong	2000	10.9%	15.8%	7.3%
Cambodia	Siem				
Cambodia	Kampong	2000	42.0%	53.5%	28.4%
Cambodia	Siem				
Cambodia	Kampong	2017	96.8%	98.9%	93.6%
Cambodia	Siem				
Cambodia	Kampong	2017	91.7%	96.0%	87.7%
Cambodia	Siem				
Cambodia	Kampong	2000	21.4%	33.4%	11.1%
Cambodia	Svay				
Cambodia	Kampong	2017	86.1%	94.2%	75.8%
Cambodia	Svay				
Cambodia	Kampong Tra-	2000	67.4%	73.9%	60.0%
Cambodia	baek				
Cambodia	Kampong Tra-	2017	98.1%	99.4%	96.6%
Cambodia	baek				
Cambodia	Kampong	2000	23.4%	37.8%	13.3%
Cambodia	Trach				
Cambodia	Kampong	2017	91.0%	98.4%	77.8%
Cambodia	Trach				
Cambodia	Kampong	2000	29.9%	35.3%	24.7%
Cambodia	Tralach				
Cambodia	Kampong	2017	95.0%	97.6%	90.5%
Cambodia	Tralach				
Cambodia	Kampot	2000	31.5%	40.7%	22.5%
Cambodia	Kampot	2017	90.7%	97.2%	80.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Kandal Stuong	2000	42.6%	46.3%	38.4%
Cambodia	Kandal Stuong	2017	98.2%	98.8%	96.6%
Cambodia	Kandieng	2000	39.0%	61.4%	22.8%
Cambodia	Kandieng	2017	95.9%	99.7%	87.4%
Cambodia	Kang Meas	2000	49.6%	56.3%	42.9%
Cambodia	Kang Meas	2017	97.4%	99.9%	92.2%
Cambodia	Kanhchriech	2000	40.4%	56.4%	29.1%
Cambodia	Kanhchriech	2017	97.4%	99.2%	93.3%
Cambodia	Kaoh Andaet	2000	24.5%	40.0%	10.5%
Cambodia	Kaoh Andaet	2017	93.1%	97.6%	86.0%
Cambodia	Kaoh Kong	2000	33.8%	76.7%	3.7%
Cambodia	Kaoh Kong	2017	84.1%	98.1%	59.6%
Cambodia	Kaoh Nheak	2000	37.3%	79.4%	5.9%
Cambodia	Kaoh Nheak	2017	66.8%	91.2%	33.9%
Cambodia	Kaoh Soutin	2000	30.1%	35.2%	24.0%
Cambodia	Kaoh Soutin	2017	97.9%	98.7%	97.0%
Cambodia	Kaoh Thum	2000	23.6%	33.3%	17.4%
Cambodia	Kaoh Thum	2017	92.1%	97.3%	81.3%
Cambodia	Khsach Kandal	2000	37.6%	42.8%	33.1%
Cambodia	Khsach Kandal	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.8%
Cambodia	Kien Svay	2000	21.9%	28.8%	17.4%
Cambodia	Kien Svay	2017	95.6%	98.7%	92.1%
Cambodia	Kiri Sakor	2000	58.7%	96.5%	17.7%
Cambodia	Kiri Sakor	2017	91.1%	100.0%	70.9%
Cambodia	Kiri Vong	2000	34.0%	45.9%	22.5%
Cambodia	Kiri Vong	2017	91.8%	98.8%	80.6%
Cambodia	Kong Pisei	2000	24.2%	28.5%	20.9%
Cambodia	Kong Pisei	2017	97.2%	98.6%	95.3%
Cambodia	Koun Mom	2000	21.7%	58.9%	3.3%
Cambodia	Koun Mom	2017	62.2%	96.0%	26.2%
Cambodia	Kracheh	2000	20.0%	30.6%	11.8%
Cambodia	Kracheh	2017	94.7%	98.7%	89.1%
Cambodia	Krakor	2000	23.8%	36.2%	14.7%
Cambodia	Krakor	2017	90.4%	97.3%	82.9%
Cambodia	Kralanh	2000	32.0%	48.2%	17.4%
Cambodia	Kralanh	2017	93.4%	98.4%	83.9%
Cambodia	Krouch Chhmar	2000	35.5%	62.3%	12.9%
Cambodia	Krouch Chhmar	2017	89.3%	97.8%	75.5%
Cambodia	Kuleaen	2000	52.0%	74.1%	27.7%
Cambodia	Kuleaen	2017	86.1%	98.7%	57.4%
Cambodia	Leuk Daek	2000	22.1%	32.7%	12.3%
Cambodia	Leuk Daek	2017	93.0%	97.7%	86.4%
Cambodia	Lumphat	2000	16.7%	38.6%	3.6%
Cambodia	Lumphat	2017	70.3%	91.9%	47.5%
Cambodia	Lvea Aem	2000	41.9%	47.9%	36.2%
Cambodia	Lvea Aem	2017	98.2%	99.1%	97.2%
Cambodia	Malai	2000	39.8%	69.4%	12.9%
Cambodia	Malai	2017	91.2%	99.6%	74.9%
Cambodia	Me Sang	2000	68.6%	79.6%	55.8%
Cambodia	Me Sang	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Cambodia	Mean Chey	2000	62.0%	65.6%	58.6%
Cambodia	Mean Chey	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cambodia	Memot	2000	26.6%	38.3%	15.8%
Cambodia	Memot	2017	88.6%	95.4%	77.8%
Cambodia	Mittakpheap	2000	35.7%	42.1%	29.4%
Cambodia	Mittakpheap	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Mondol Seima	2000	62.5%	81.6%	43.5%
Cambodia	Mondol Seima	2017	92.3%	99.9%	79.7%
Cambodia	Mongkol Borei	2000	18.8%	25.0%	14.0%
Cambodia	Mongkol Borei	2017	92.4%	97.6%	85.7%
Cambodia	Moung Ruessei	2000	18.7%	30.3%	9.1%
Cambodia	Moung Ruessei	2017	84.4%	92.2%	74.1%
Cambodia	Mukh Kampul	2000	31.1%	36.2%	24.3%
Cambodia	Mukh Kampul	2017	93.3%	95.5%	90.3%
Cambodia	Odongk	2000	25.4%	34.7%	19.5%
Cambodia	Odongk	2017	94.3%	98.5%	87.7%
Cambodia	Ou Chrov	2000	39.7%	63.7%	18.7%
Cambodia	Ou Chrov	2017	95.4%	99.2%	88.5%
Cambodia	Ou Chum	2000	46.8%	64.6%	28.0%
Cambodia	Ou Chum	2017	90.5%	98.7%	75.6%
Cambodia	Ou Reang	2000	66.2%	92.3%	33.7%
Cambodia	Ou Reang	2017	89.5%	99.7%	72.2%
Cambodia	Ou Reang Ov	2000	42.3%	56.8%	27.2%
Cambodia	Ou Reang Ov	2017	98.4%	99.5%	95.4%
Cambodia	Ou Ya Dav	2000	17.4%	40.1%	3.1%
Cambodia	Ou Ya Dav	2017	79.6%	94.7%	60.1%
Cambodia	Pailin	2000	42.3%	53.1%	32.2%
Cambodia	Pailin	2017	96.0%	99.9%	81.8%
Cambodia	Pea Reang	2000	59.7%	71.6%	47.2%
Cambodia	Pea Reang	2017	99.4%	99.8%	97.8%
Cambodia	Peam Chor	2000	48.4%	63.8%	28.9%
Cambodia	Peam Chor	2017	94.3%	98.8%	84.9%
Cambodia	Peam Ro	2000	21.6%	37.7%	11.2%
Cambodia	Peam Ro	2017	93.3%	97.8%	84.1%
Cambodia	Pechr Chenda	2000	36.2%	67.0%	9.1%
Cambodia	Pechr Chenda	2017	68.4%	92.5%	41.7%
Cambodia	Phnom Penh	2000	57.0%	61.6%	52.8%
Cambodia	Phnom Penh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cambodia	Phnum Kravanh	2000	31.6%	43.0%	19.8%
Cambodia	Phnum Kravanh	2017	89.2%	96.6%	79.1%
Cambodia	Phnum Proek	2000	24.0%	57.6%	6.5%
Cambodia	Phnum Proek	2017	72.5%	93.6%	43.9%
Cambodia	Phnum Srok	2000	16.4%	38.4%	4.6%
Cambodia	Phnum Srok	2017	69.8%	89.0%	48.9%
Cambodia	Phnum Sruoch	2000	49.9%	68.6%	29.3%
Cambodia	Phnum Sruoch	2017	94.8%	99.5%	86.8%
Cambodia	Ponhea Kraek	2000	29.8%	43.0%	19.3%
Cambodia	Ponhea Kraek	2017	91.3%	97.5%	81.8%
Cambodia	Ponhea Lueu	2000	11.1%	22.3%	6.4%
Cambodia	Ponhea Lueu	2017	89.2%	97.9%	73.9%
Cambodia	Prasat Bakong	2000	27.8%	37.4%	19.3%
Cambodia	Prasat Bakong	2017	89.5%	95.2%	84.0%
Cambodia	Prasat Balangk	2000	23.0%	52.3%	4.0%
Cambodia	Prasat Balangk	2017	79.1%	96.0%	54.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Prasat Sambour	2000	30.5%	56.8%	11.3%
Cambodia	Prasat Sambour	2017	93.6%	98.8%	82.5%
Cambodia	Preaek Prasab	2000	26.2%	40.3%	15.1%
Cambodia	Preaek Prasab	2017	89.8%	96.4%	80.8%
Cambodia	Preah Netr	2000	26.3%	45.2%	13.5%
Cambodia	Preah Netr	2017	90.4%	97.8%	79.2%
Cambodia	Preah Sdach	2000	53.6%	65.3%	44.0%
Cambodia	Preah Sdach	2017	97.0%	99.4%	92.4%
Cambodia	Prey Chhor	2000	45.3%	52.7%	36.0%
Cambodia	Prey Chhor	2017	96.1%	98.8%	92.2%
Cambodia	Prey Kabbas	2000	37.1%	43.9%	30.1%
Cambodia	Prey Kabbas	2017	95.3%	98.5%	89.8%
Cambodia	Prey Nob	2000	40.3%	50.3%	31.0%
Cambodia	Prey Nob	2017	94.8%	98.2%	89.4%
Cambodia	Prey Veaeng	2000	50.6%	65.3%	35.8%
Cambodia	Prey Veaeng	2017	98.2%	99.6%	94.7%
Cambodia	Puok	2000	41.8%	57.3%	28.8%
Cambodia	Puok	2017	97.3%	99.5%	91.5%
Cambodia	Rolea B'ier	2000	31.0%	39.1%	25.6%
Cambodia	Rolea B'ier	2017	95.2%	98.2%	90.0%
Cambodia	Romeas Haek	2000	56.1%	73.9%	37.8%
Cambodia	Romeas Haek	2017	98.3%	99.9%	93.6%
Cambodia	Rotanak Mondol	2000	53.1%	85.4%	17.6%
Cambodia	Rotanak Mondol	2017	91.0%	99.6%	69.3%
Cambodia	Rovieng	2000	35.6%	60.6%	10.7%
Cambodia	Rovieng	2017	84.2%	96.0%	65.2%
Cambodia	Ruessei Kaev	2000	52.1%	56.1%	48.0%
Cambodia	Ruessei Kaev	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Cambodia	Rumduol	2000	70.4%	84.3%	49.1%
Cambodia	Rumduol	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Cambodia	S'ang	2000	15.4%	19.3%	12.4%
Cambodia	S'ang	2017	96.7%	98.7%	94.2%
Cambodia	Saen	2000	47.5%	66.5%	32.7%
Cambodia	Monourom Saen	2017	89.2%	99.3%	72.8%
Cambodia	Sala Krau	2000	27.8%	53.8%	10.4%
Cambodia	Sala Krau	2017	87.9%	98.3%	68.2%
Cambodia	Sambour	2000	29.2%	47.6%	13.2%
Cambodia	Sambour	2017	77.7%	89.1%	65.0%
Cambodia	Sameakki	2000	37.5%	59.0%	17.3%
Cambodia	Mean Chey Sameakki	2017	95.5%	99.1%	85.5%
Cambodia	Mean Chey Samlout	2000	41.6%	73.1%	13.9%
Cambodia	Mean Chey Samlout	2017	86.6%	98.8%	64.9%
Cambodia	Sampov Meas	2000	15.8%	21.5%	11.1%
Cambodia	Sampov Meas	2017	89.0%	93.1%	84.3%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	34.4%	38.9%	29.1%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	24.9%	38.2%	10.2%
Cambodia	Samraong	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.3%
Cambodia	Samraong	2017	85.1%	96.1%	58.2%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	42.3%	48.4%	36.1%
Cambodia	Tong Samraong	2017	98.7%	99.4%	96.5%
Cambodia	Tong				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Sandan	2000	33.0%	60.5%	12.2%
Cambodia	Sandan	2017	81.8%	99.0%	59.0%
Cambodia	Sangkae	2000	21.8%	26.7%	18.9%
Cambodia	Sangkae	2017	84.8%	93.8%	75.7%
Cambodia	Sangkom	2000	33.8%	60.1%	10.5%
Cambodia	Thmei				
Cambodia	Sangkom	2017	86.1%	98.9%	62.6%
Cambodia	Thmei				
Cambodia	Santuk	2000	19.6%	30.2%	10.7%
Cambodia	Santuk	2017	82.3%	94.9%	70.1%
Cambodia	Serei	2000	14.1%	17.3%	11.4%
Cambodia	Saophoan				
Cambodia	Serei	2017	94.0%	97.2%	90.4%
Cambodia	Saophoan				
Cambodia	Sesan	2000	18.1%	43.0%	1.9%
Cambodia	Sesan	2017	61.3%	84.5%	31.6%
Cambodia	Siem Bouk	2000	10.9%	32.2%	1.3%
Cambodia	Siem Bouk	2017	65.9%	90.0%	36.5%
Cambodia	Siem Pang	2000	21.4%	47.7%	1.9%
Cambodia	Siem Pang	2017	53.1%	84.9%	18.1%
Cambodia	Siem Reab	2000	62.3%	69.5%	56.1%
Cambodia	Siem Reab	2017	98.5%	99.9%	95.5%
Cambodia	Sithor Kandal	2000	63.2%	75.4%	47.9%
Cambodia	Sithor Kandal	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.3%
Cambodia	Smach Mean	2000	71.9%	85.6%	57.3%
Cambodia	Chey				
Cambodia	Smach Mean	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.5%
Cambodia	Chey				
Cambodia	Snuol	2000	28.9%	50.1%	10.3%
Cambodia	Snuol	2017	85.1%	96.5%	68.6%
Cambodia	Soutr Nikom	2000	12.3%	21.1%	6.7%
Cambodia	Soutr Nikom	2017	81.7%	91.7%	70.4%
Cambodia	Srae Ambel	2000	24.8%	41.4%	13.6%
Cambodia	Srae Ambel	2017	92.1%	97.4%	81.7%
Cambodia	Srei Santhor	2000	60.6%	71.0%	46.6%
Cambodia	Srei Santhor	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Cambodia	Srei Snam	2000	21.7%	47.4%	3.5%
Cambodia	Srei Snam	2017	83.1%	96.1%	58.9%
Cambodia	Stoung	2000	18.3%	31.4%	7.0%
Cambodia	Stoung	2017	85.8%	94.5%	75.8%
Cambodia	Stueng hav	2000	54.7%	92.8%	21.9%
Cambodia	Stueng hav	2017	99.3%	100.0%	93.9%
Cambodia	Stueng Saen	2000	37.2%	47.3%	27.0%
Cambodia	Stueng Saen	2017	97.4%	99.3%	91.1%
Cambodia	Stueng Traeng	2000	8.8%	18.1%	4.0%
Cambodia	Stueng Traeng	2017	80.0%	93.8%	64.7%
Cambodia	Stueng Trang	2000	28.4%	42.8%	15.8%
Cambodia	Stueng Trang	2017	83.5%	93.2%	73.1%
Cambodia	Svay Chek	2000	25.3%	43.1%	8.8%
Cambodia	Svay Chek	2017	90.5%	97.0%	78.0%
Cambodia	Svay Chrum	2000	66.7%	75.5%	57.1%
Cambodia	Svay Chrum	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Cambodia	Svay Leu	2000	34.0%	67.2%	9.5%
Cambodia	Svay Leu	2017	75.9%	96.6%	37.4%
Cambodia	Svay Pao	2000	25.0%	28.1%	21.9%
Cambodia	Svay Pao	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Cambodia	Svay Rieng	2000	74.9%	85.2%	60.7%
Cambodia	Svay Rieng	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Cambodia	Svay Teab	2000	61.7%	77.3%	43.5%
Cambodia	Svay Teab	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.3%
Cambodia	Ta Khmau	2000	73.2%	78.8%	65.4%
Cambodia	Ta Khmau	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Ta Veang	2000	29.1%	71.1%	3.5%
Cambodia	Ta Veang	2017	63.5%	96.6%	27.7%
Cambodia	Tbaeng Mean chey	2000	60.0%	79.1%	37.1%
Cambodia	Tbaeng Mean chey	2017	95.7%	99.8%	81.6%
Cambodia	Tboug Khmum	2000	25.5%	33.7%	17.7%
Cambodia	Tboug Khmum	2017	95.9%	98.1%	92.7%
Cambodia	Thala Barivat	2000	19.7%	50.1%	2.4%
Cambodia	Thala Barivat	2017	59.1%	89.2%	31.5%
Cambodia	Thma Bang	2000	41.0%	84.5%	7.0%
Cambodia	Thma Bang	2017	71.8%	98.1%	37.7%
Cambodia	Thma Puok	2000	25.0%	46.2%	9.2%
Cambodia	Thma Puok	2017	87.5%	97.2%	72.3%
Cambodia	Thpong	2000	44.5%	74.8%	17.4%
Cambodia	Thpong	2017	93.7%	99.5%	75.8%
Cambodia	Tram Kak	2000	27.7%	37.1%	20.3%
Cambodia	Tram Kak	2017	95.4%	97.3%	93.4%
Cambodia	Treang	2000	24.0%	33.2%	16.5%
Cambodia	Treang	2017	91.6%	95.6%	85.4%
Cambodia	Tuek Phos	2000	32.0%	56.6%	11.9%
Cambodia	Tuek Phos	2017	90.5%	97.8%	77.5%
Cambodia	Varin	2000	31.5%	73.1%	7.3%
Cambodia	Varin	2017	80.0%	98.4%	50.8%
Cambodia	Veal Veang	2000	47.2%	90.7%	9.3%
Cambodia	Veal Veang	2017	81.5%	99.0%	55.5%
Cambodia	Veun Sai	2000	17.8%	39.6%	3.6%
Cambodia	Veun Sai	2017	58.4%	85.3%	34.6%
China	Aksu	2000	96.7%	99.1%	92.0%
China	Aksu	2017	87.3%	97.8%	66.5%
China	Altay	2000	96.4%	99.1%	92.1%
China	Altay	2017	86.0%	97.8%	65.3%
China	Alxa	2000	96.4%	97.9%	94.1%
China	Alxa	2017	83.4%	90.6%	73.2%
China	Ankang	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.3%
China	Ankang	2017	78.7%	82.4%	74.8%
China	Anqing	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.3%
China	Anqing	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.1%
China	Anshan	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.1%
China	Anshan	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.7%
China	Anshun	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
China	Anshun	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
China	Anyang	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
China	Anyang	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
China	Baicheng	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
China	Baicheng	2017	74.5%	79.5%	68.6%
China	Baise	2000	97.9%	98.6%	96.9%
China	Baise	2017	92.2%	94.4%	90.0%
China	Baishan	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.3%
China	Baishan	2017	72.8%	78.1%	66.9%
China	Baiyin	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.6%
China	Baiyin	2017	83.9%	86.6%	81.1%
China	Baoding	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
China	Baoding	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
China	Baoji	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
China	Baoji	2017	81.7%	84.9%	78.7%
China	Baoshan	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.1%
China	Baoshan	2017	90.4%	92.9%	87.6%
China	Baotou	2000	96.1%	98.0%	93.5%
China	Baotou	2017	83.5%	93.2%	68.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Bayin'gholin Mongol	2000	96.3%	98.9%	92.0%
China	Bayin'gholin Mongol	2017	85.5%	96.9%	64.7%
China	Baynnur	2000	96.3%	98.3%	92.9%
China	Baynnur	2017	84.0%	94.5%	67.0%
China	Bazhong	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.5%
China	Bazhong	2017	76.3%	80.2%	72.5%
China	Beihai	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.3%
China	Beihai	2017	93.1%	95.2%	90.5%
China	Beijing	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
China	Beijing	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
China	Bengbu	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
China	Bengbu	2017	96.4%	97.7%	95.0%
China	Benxi	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.5%
China	Benxi	2017	95.7%	97.1%	93.8%
China	Bijie	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
China	Bijie	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.8%
China	Binzhou	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.6%
China	Binzhou	2017	86.0%	88.7%	82.8%
China	Börtala Mon- gol	2000	96.6%	99.1%	91.6%
China	Börtala Mon- gol	2017	87.2%	97.7%	63.4%
China	Bozhou	2000	98.6%	99.2%	97.9%
China	Bozhou	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
China	Cangzhou	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
China	Cangzhou	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
China	Central and Western	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.9%
China	Central and Western	2017	90.9%	93.8%	87.6%
China	Chamdo	2000	95.1%	97.6%	90.7%
China	Chamdo	2017	78.9%	89.5%	64.1%
China	Changchun	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
China	Changchun	2017	73.7%	76.8%	70.6%
China	Changde	2000	94.8%	96.4%	93.0%
China	Changde	2017	80.7%	84.8%	76.7%
China	Changji Hui	2000	96.6%	99.0%	93.3%
China	Changji Hui	2017	87.0%	97.6%	68.7%
China	Changsha	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
China	Changsha	2017	81.6%	84.6%	78.3%
China	Changzhi	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
China	Changzhi	2017	88.5%	90.1%	86.8%
China	Changzhou	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
China	Changzhou	2017	90.9%	92.4%	89.3%
China	Chaohu	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.1%
China	Chaohu	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%
China	Chaoyang	2000	98.7%	99.3%	97.9%
China	Chaoyang	2017	97.5%	98.4%	96.3%
China	Chaozhou	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
China	Chaozhou	2017	91.9%	93.7%	90.1%
China	Chengde	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
China	Chengde	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.0%
China	Chengdu	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
China	Chengdu	2017	76.9%	79.4%	74.2%
China	Chenzhou	2000	96.5%	97.7%	95.2%
China	Chenzhou	2017	85.0%	88.2%	81.0%
China	Chifeng	2000	98.2%	99.2%	96.7%
China	Chifeng	2017	95.5%	98.0%	90.9%
China	Chizhou	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
China	Chizhou	2017	93.5%	95.4%	91.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Chongqing	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.6%
China	Chongqing	2017	80.8%	81.7%	79.7%
China	Chongzuo	2000	97.9%	98.8%	96.9%
China	Chongzuo	2017	91.9%	94.3%	89.0%
China	Chuxiong Yi	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
China	Chuxiong Yi	2017	89.4%	91.8%	86.8%
China	Chuzhou	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
China	Chuzhou	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
China	Dali Bai	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
China	Dali Bai	2017	90.5%	92.6%	87.9%
China	Dalian	2000	98.6%	99.1%	97.8%
China	Dalian	2017	96.9%	98.0%	95.4%
China	Dandong	2000	98.5%	99.2%	97.5%
China	Dandong	2017	96.3%	98.0%	94.2%
China	Daqing	2000	96.6%	97.7%	95.1%
China	Daqing	2017	90.8%	92.8%	88.5%
China	Datong	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
China	Datong	2017	89.9%	91.5%	88.3%
China	Daxing'anling	2000	96.6%	98.8%	93.2%
China	Daxing'anling	2017	93.0%	97.2%	85.4%
China	Dazhou	2000	94.1%	95.7%	92.4%
China	Dazhou	2017	76.3%	79.1%	73.6%
China	Dehong Dai and Jingpo	2000	97.4%	98.5%	96.0%
China	Dehong Dai and Jingpo	2017	90.5%	93.8%	86.2%
China	Dêqên Tibetan	2000	96.9%	98.2%	95.1%
China	Dêqên Tibetan	2017	89.0%	92.6%	83.4%
China	Deyang	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.0%
China	Deyang	2017	77.0%	79.9%	73.7%
China	Dezhou	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.2%
China	Dezhou	2017	90.2%	92.4%	87.9%
China	Dingxi	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
China	Dingxi	2017	84.1%	86.3%	81.8%
China	Dongguan	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
China	Dongguan	2017	92.5%	93.5%	91.4%
China	Dongying	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
China	Dongying	2017	84.8%	88.3%	81.0%
China	Eastern	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
China	Eastern	2017	92.4%	94.9%	89.5%
China	Enshi Tujia and Miao	2000	94.0%	95.9%	91.8%
China	Enshi Tujia and Miao	2017	81.6%	84.6%	78.3%
China	Ezhou	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.5%
China	Ezhou	2017	85.8%	88.1%	82.7%
China	Fangchenggang	2000	98.1%	98.9%	97.1%
China	Fangchenggang	2017	92.6%	95.2%	88.7%
China	Foshan	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
China	Foshan	2017	92.4%	93.5%	91.2%
China	Fushun	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.2%
China	Fushun	2017	94.8%	96.3%	92.9%
China	Fuxin	2000	98.4%	99.2%	97.5%
China	Fuxin	2017	96.8%	98.1%	95.3%
China	Fuyang	2000	98.5%	99.1%	97.6%
China	Fuyang	2017	97.0%	98.1%	95.7%
China	Fuzhou	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
China	Fuzhou	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
China	Fuzhou	2017	88.8%	90.6%	87.3%
China	Fuzhou	2017	85.2%	86.8%	83.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Gannan Tibetan	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
China	Gannan Tibetan	2017	83.6%	87.1%	79.7%
China	Ganzhou	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
China	Ganzhou	2017	85.1%	86.7%	83.4%
China	Garzê Tibetan	2000	95.3%	96.9%	93.1%
China	Garzê Tibetan	2017	77.6%	83.1%	71.1%
China	Golog Tibetan	2000	94.9%	96.9%	92.1%
China	Golog Tibetan	2017	76.2%	87.0%	63.7%
China	Guang'an	2000	93.7%	95.4%	91.8%
China	Guang'an	2017	76.9%	79.7%	74.5%
China	Guangyuan	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
China	Guangyuan	2017	77.8%	81.5%	74.3%
China	Guangzhou	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
China	Guangzhou	2017	92.4%	93.3%	91.4%
China	Guigang	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
China	Guigang	2017	92.0%	94.0%	89.8%
China	Guilin	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
China	Guilin	2017	90.3%	92.3%	87.8%
China	Guiyang	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
China	Guiyang	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
China	Guyuan	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.8%
China	Guyuan	2017	84.2%	87.5%	80.1%
China	Gyêgu Tibetan	2000	94.3%	97.3%	89.7%
China	Gyêgu Tibetan	2017	75.6%	89.7%	57.0%
China	Haibei Tibetan	2000	96.2%	97.7%	94.3%
China	Haibei Tibetan	2017	80.8%	87.0%	73.6%
China	Haidong	2000	96.8%	98.0%	95.3%
China	Haidong	2017	84.2%	89.1%	78.9%
China	Haikou	2000	98.0%	98.9%	96.6%
China	Haikou	2017	92.0%	96.3%	85.6%
China	Hainan	2000	97.9%	99.0%	96.2%
China	Hainan	2017	91.7%	96.7%	83.1%
China	Hainan Tibetan	2000	96.5%	98.0%	94.5%
China	Hainan Tibetan	2017	82.7%	91.8%	71.1%
China	Haixi Mongol and Tibetan	2000	96.8%	98.2%	94.9%
China	Haixi Mongol and Tibetan	2017	84.0%	93.1%	74.0%
China	Hami	2000	96.6%	98.6%	93.1%
China	Hami	2017	85.6%	96.3%	68.3%
China	Handan	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
China	Handan	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.7%
China	Hangzhou	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
China	Hangzhou	2017	88.5%	90.3%	86.1%
China	Hanzhong	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.1%
China	Hanzhong	2017	79.5%	83.3%	75.6%
China	Harbin	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.2%
China	Harbin	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.1%
China	Hebi	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
China	Hebi	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.1%
China	Hechi	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
China	Hechi	2017	92.6%	94.6%	90.4%
China	Hefei	2000	98.8%	99.3%	98.2%
China	Hefei	2017	96.9%	97.9%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Hegang	2000	96.0%	97.6%	93.2%
China	Hegang	2017	93.6%	95.7%	91.0%
China	Heihe	2000	96.1%	97.8%	93.2%
China	Heihe	2017	93.8%	96.1%	90.7%
China	Hengshui	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
China	Hengshui	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
China	Hengyang	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.8%
China	Hengyang	2017	81.5%	85.3%	77.9%
China	Heyuan	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
China	Heyuan	2017	91.4%	93.0%	89.5%
China	Heze	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
China	Heze	2017	87.2%	89.4%	84.4%
China	Hezhou	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.4%
China	Hezhou	2017	90.7%	93.2%	88.2%
China	Hohhot	2000	96.6%	98.2%	94.2%
China	Hohhot	2017	87.3%	94.1%	77.3%
China	Honghe Hani and Yi	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.4%
China	Honghe Hani and Yi	2017	90.5%	92.7%	88.2%
China	Huai'an	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
China	Huai'an	2017	91.4%	93.2%	89.6%
China	Huaibei	2000	98.5%	99.1%	97.6%
China	Huaibei	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.1%
China	Huaihua	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.4%
China	Huaihua	2017	84.2%	87.7%	80.7%
China	Huainan	2000	98.8%	99.4%	98.1%
China	Huainan	2017	97.2%	98.3%	95.8%
China	Huanggang	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
China	Huanggang	2017	87.6%	89.7%	85.1%
China	Huangnan Tibetan	2000	96.4%	97.8%	94.6%
China	Huangnan Tibetan	2017	82.9%	88.9%	73.5%
China	Huangshan	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
China	Huangshan	2017	91.8%	94.3%	89.0%
China	Huangshi	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
China	Huangshi	2017	85.7%	88.3%	82.7%
China	Huizhou	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.6%
China	Huizhou	2017	92.6%	94.1%	91.2%
China	Huludao	2000	98.9%	99.4%	98.2%
China	Huludao	2017	97.9%	98.7%	96.9%
China	Hulunbuir	2000	96.3%	98.3%	93.1%
China	Hulunbuir	2017	91.6%	96.5%	82.7%
China	Huzhou	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
China	Huzhou	2017	89.0%	91.2%	86.7%
China	Ilhas	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
China	Ilhas	2017	93.2%	94.9%	91.0%
China	Ili Kazakh	2000	96.7%	99.1%	92.7%
China	Ili Kazakh	2017	87.7%	97.6%	65.8%
China	Islands	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.3%
China	Islands	2017	92.6%	94.9%	90.1%
China	Ji'an	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
China	Ji'an	2017	84.3%	86.0%	82.4%
China	Jiamusi	2000	96.3%	97.7%	94.3%
China	Jiamusi	2017	94.0%	95.7%	92.0%
China	Jiangmen	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
China	Jiangmen	2017	91.9%	93.4%	90.3%
China	Jiaozuo	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
China	Jiaozuo	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
China	Jiaxing	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.3%
China	Jiaxing	2017	87.3%	89.6%	85.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Jiayuguan	2000	96.8%	98.1%	95.2%
China	Jiayuguan	2017	84.5%	89.4%	79.5%
China	Jieyang	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
China	Jieyang	2017	92.7%	94.1%	91.3%
China	Jilin	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
China	Jilin	2017	73.5%	77.0%	69.8%
China	Jinan	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
China	Jinan	2017	85.0%	87.9%	82.1%
China	Jinchang	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.4%
China	Jinchang	2017	83.3%	87.2%	79.4%
China	Jincheng	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
China	Jincheng	2017	88.0%	89.9%	86.0%
China	Jingdezhen	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
China	Jingdezhen	2017	84.7%	86.8%	82.5%
China	Jingmen	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
China	Jingmen	2017	83.7%	86.9%	80.0%
China	Jingzhou	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
China	Jingzhou	2017	83.8%	86.3%	81.3%
China	Jinhua	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.7%
China	Jinhua	2017	87.5%	90.1%	84.2%
China	Jining	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
China	Jining	2017	85.0%	87.1%	82.5%
China	Jinzhong	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
China	Jinzhong	2017	88.9%	90.3%	87.5%
China	Jinzhou	2000	98.7%	99.3%	97.9%
China	Jinzhou	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.5%
China	Jiujiang	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.5%
China	Jiujiang	2017	84.7%	86.5%	83.2%
China	Jiuquan	2000	96.7%	97.8%	95.3%
China	Jiuquan	2017	83.7%	87.5%	79.3%
China	Jixi	2000	96.6%	98.1%	94.7%
China	Jixi	2017	93.8%	96.0%	91.1%
China	Jiyuan shi	2000	97.2%	98.1%	95.9%
China	Jiyuan shi	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.5%
China	Kaifeng	2000	97.9%	98.8%	97.0%
China	Kaifeng	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
China	Karamay	2000	96.8%	99.3%	93.1%
China	Karamay	2017	88.3%	97.9%	68.2%
China	Kashgar	2000	96.7%	99.2%	93.1%
China	Kashgar	2017	87.3%	98.4%	66.3%
China	Khotan	2000	96.7%	99.0%	92.8%
China	Khotan	2017	87.5%	97.6%	67.5%
China	Kizilsu Kirghiz	2000	96.6%	99.1%	92.1%
China	Kizilsu Kirghiz	2017	86.3%	98.2%	65.1%
China	Kowloon City	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
China	Kowloon City	2017	92.5%	94.8%	90.0%
China	Kunming	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
China	Kunming	2017	90.3%	92.2%	88.2%
China	Kwai Tsing	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
China	Kwai Tsing	2017	92.4%	94.7%	90.0%
China	Kwun Tong	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.4%
China	Kwun Tong	2017	92.8%	95.1%	90.3%
China	Laibin	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.9%
China	Laibin	2017	92.0%	94.1%	89.8%
China	Laiwu	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
China	Laiwu	2017	84.3%	87.6%	80.5%
China	Langfang	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.4%
China	Langfang	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
China	Lanzhou	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
China	Lanzhou	2017	83.6%	85.5%	81.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Leshan	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
China	Leshan	2017	77.4%	80.6%	73.8%
China	Lhasa	2000	95.8%	98.7%	90.7%
China	Lhasa	2017	81.2%	96.0%	57.4%
China	Liangshan Yi	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
China	Liangshan Yi	2017	80.3%	83.5%	77.0%
China	Lianyungang	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
China	Lianyungang	2017	90.5%	92.4%	88.4%
China	Liaocheng	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
China	Liaocheng	2017	90.1%	92.1%	87.7%
China	Liaoyang	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
China	Liaoyang	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
China	Liaoyuan	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
China	Liaoyuan	2017	76.4%	80.5%	72.2%
China	Lijiang	2000	96.8%	98.0%	95.3%
China	Lijiang	2017	87.8%	90.7%	84.3%
China	Lincang	2000	97.4%	98.4%	96.1%
China	Lincang	2017	90.4%	93.1%	87.2%
China	Linfen	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
China	Linfen	2017	87.9%	89.5%	86.3%
China	Linxia Hui	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.6%
China	Linxia Hui	2017	84.0%	86.6%	81.3%
China	Linyi	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.6%
China	Linyi	2017	85.9%	88.2%	83.4%
China	Lishui	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.0%
China	Lishui	2017	87.9%	90.3%	85.2%
China	Liupanshui	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
China	Liupanshui	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
China	Liuzhou	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.7%
China	Liuzhou	2017	92.2%	94.2%	89.9%
China	Longnan	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
China	Longnan	2017	83.4%	86.1%	80.7%
China	Longyan	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
China	Longyan	2017	88.1%	90.1%	86.0%
China	Loudi	2000	94.6%	96.2%	92.4%
China	Loudi	2017	79.9%	84.2%	75.4%
China	Lu'an	2000	98.6%	99.2%	98.0%
China	Lu'an	2017	96.6%	97.6%	94.9%
China	Luliang	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
China	Luliang	2017	87.8%	89.5%	86.2%
China	Luohe	2000	98.1%	98.9%	97.1%
China	Luohe	2017	97.0%	98.0%	95.8%
China	Luoyang	2000	97.5%	98.4%	96.3%
China	Luoyang	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
China	Luzhou	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.5%
China	Luzhou	2017	80.8%	83.4%	78.3%
China	Ma'anshan	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
China	Ma'anshan	2017	94.0%	95.6%	91.6%
China	Macau	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
China	Macau	2017	93.1%	94.7%	91.2%
China	Maoming	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
China	Maoming	2017	92.5%	93.8%	90.8%
China	Meishan	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
China	Meishan	2017	77.0%	79.5%	73.8%
China	Meizhou	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
China	Meizhou	2017	91.7%	93.2%	89.8%
China	Mianyang	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
China	Mianyang	2017	77.5%	80.7%	74.7%
China	Mudanjiang	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.0%
China	Mudanjiang	2017	92.0%	94.4%	89.0%
China	Nagchu	2000	93.9%	97.2%	88.3%
China	Nagchu	2017	74.4%	91.0%	50.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Nanchang	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
China	Nanchang	2017	83.9%	85.5%	82.0%
China	Nanchong	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.4%
China	Nanchong	2017	76.3%	79.2%	73.6%
China	Nanjing	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
China	Nanjing	2017	91.7%	93.1%	90.1%
China	Nanning	2000	97.9%	98.7%	96.9%
China	Nanning	2017	92.0%	94.0%	89.9%
China	Nanping	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
China	Nanping	2017	88.1%	90.3%	85.8%
China	Nantong	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
China	Nantong	2017	89.7%	91.4%	87.8%
China	Nanyang	2000	97.1%	98.1%	95.7%
China	Nanyang	2017	93.8%	95.4%	91.8%
China	Neijiang	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
China	Neijiang	2017	76.4%	79.5%	72.8%
China	Neijiang]]	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.2%
China	Neijiang]]	2017	76.2%	79.1%	72.6%
China	Ngari	2000	94.1%	97.3%	89.4%
China	Ngari	2017	74.1%	91.6%	52.5%
China	Ngawa Tibetan and Qiang	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
China	Ngawa Tibetan and Qiang	2017	78.5%	82.6%	74.3%
China	Ningbo	2000	96.3%	97.5%	95.1%
China	Ningbo	2017	86.1%	88.4%	83.2%
China	Ningde	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
China	Ningde	2017	88.0%	89.9%	85.8%
China	North	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
China	North	2017	92.9%	94.4%	91.1%
China	Nujiang Lisu	2000	97.5%	98.4%	96.0%
China	Nujiang Lisu	2017	91.3%	94.4%	86.7%
China	Nyingtri	2000	96.0%	98.2%	92.4%
China	Nyingtri	2017	83.1%	94.6%	63.7%
China	Ordos	2000	96.1%	97.5%	94.1%
China	Ordos	2017	83.2%	89.6%	73.5%
China	Panjin	2000	98.9%	99.4%	98.2%
China	Panjin	2017	98.0%	98.8%	96.8%
China	Panzhuhua	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.1%
China	Panzhuhua	2017	82.1%	85.7%	77.4%
China	Pingdingshan	2000	97.9%	98.8%	96.7%
China	Pingdingshan	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.2%
China	Pingliang	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
China	Pingliang	2017	83.7%	86.1%	81.2%
China	Pingxiang	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
China	Pingxiang	2017	83.1%	85.5%	80.5%
China	Pu'er	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.2%
China	Pu'er	2017	90.4%	92.7%	87.3%
China	Putian	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
China	Putian	2017	88.5%	90.3%	86.9%
China	Puyang	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
China	Puyang	2017	92.8%	94.4%	90.9%
China	Qiandongnan Miao and Dong	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.0%
China	Qiandongnan Miao and Dong	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.2%
China	Qianjiang	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
China	Qianjiang	2017	84.5%	87.7%	80.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Qiannan Buyei and Miao	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
China	Qiannan Buyei and Miao	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.6%
China	Qianxinan Buyei and Miao	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
China	Qianxinan Buyei and Miao	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.7%
China	Qingdao	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
China	Qingdao	2017	85.2%	87.9%	82.0%
China	Qingyang	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
China	Qingyang	2017	83.1%	85.7%	79.8%
China	Qingyuan	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
China	Qingyuan	2017	91.7%	93.3%	90.0%
China	Qinhuangdao	2000	98.8%	99.3%	98.2%
China	Qinhuangdao	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
China	Qinzhou	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.0%
China	Qinzhou	2017	92.2%	94.1%	89.6%
China	Qiqihar	2000	96.5%	97.9%	94.4%
China	Qiqihar	2017	93.5%	95.2%	91.0%
China	Qitaihe	2000	96.6%	98.0%	94.6%
China	Qitaihe	2017	94.3%	96.3%	91.4%
China	Quanzhou	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
China	Quanzhou	2017	88.3%	89.7%	86.9%
China	Qujing	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
China	Qujing	2017	91.7%	93.3%	90.0%
China	Quzhou	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
China	Quzhou	2017	87.2%	90.1%	83.8%
China	Rizhao	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
China	Rizhao	2017	86.0%	88.8%	82.5%
China	Sai Kung	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.4%
China	Sai Kung	2017	92.6%	95.0%	90.1%
China	Sanmenxia	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.5%
China	Sanmenxia	2017	90.3%	92.2%	88.1%
China	Sanming	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
China	Sanming	2017	88.1%	90.0%	85.8%
China	Sanya	2000	97.9%	99.3%	95.7%
China	Sanya	2017	91.9%	98.5%	79.5%
China	Sha Tin	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
China	Sha Tin	2017	91.9%	94.3%	89.6%
China	Sham Shui Po	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.2%
China	Sham Shui Po	2017	92.2%	94.5%	89.6%
China	Shanghai	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
China	Shanghai	2017	86.6%	88.2%	84.7%
China	Shangluo	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
China	Shangluo	2017	81.3%	85.0%	77.9%
China	Shangqiu	2000	97.9%	98.6%	96.9%
China	Shangqiu	2017	94.4%	95.8%	93.0%
China	Shangrao	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
China	Shangrao	2017	84.7%	86.3%	83.3%
China	Shannan	2000	95.6%	98.5%	91.2%
China	Shannan	2017	80.7%	96.1%	56.8%
China	Shantou	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
China	Shantou	2017	92.4%	93.8%	90.6%
China	Shanwei	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
China	Shanwei	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.9%
China	Shaoguan	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
China	Shaoguan	2017	89.6%	91.8%	87.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Shaoxing	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.6%
China	Shaoxing	2017	87.0%	89.5%	84.6%
China	Shaoyang	2000	95.0%	96.7%	92.9%
China	Shaoyang	2017	81.4%	85.2%	77.6%
China	Shennongjia	2000	95.8%	97.2%	93.6%
China	Shennongjia	2017	84.5%	88.8%	79.8%
China	Shenyang	2000	98.6%	99.1%	97.8%
China	Shenyang	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.0%
China	Shenzhen	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
China	Shenzhen	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.6%
China	Shigatse	2000	95.0%	98.2%	90.2%
China	Shigatse	2017	78.1%	94.7%	55.8%
China	Shihezi	2000	97.1%	99.4%	92.8%
China	Shihezi	2017	89.4%	98.3%	68.8%
China	Shijiazhuang	2000	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
China	Shijiazhuang	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
China	Shiyan	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.5%
China	Shiyan	2017	83.6%	87.1%	79.7%
China	Shizuishan	2000	96.2%	97.9%	93.1%
China	Shizuishan	2017	81.7%	92.0%	66.9%
China	Shuangyashan	2000	96.3%	97.9%	94.1%
China	Shuangyashan	2017	93.9%	96.0%	91.1%
China	Shuozhou	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.6%
China	Shuozhou	2017	88.1%	90.2%	86.1%
China	Siping	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
China	Siping	2017	76.3%	80.0%	72.9%
China	Songyuan	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
China	Songyuan	2017	74.6%	77.8%	70.8%
China	Southern	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.2%
China	Southern	2017	92.0%	94.6%	89.0%
China	Suihua	2000	96.5%	97.7%	94.7%
China	Suihua	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.3%
China	Suining	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
China	Suining	2017	76.6%	79.6%	73.6%
China	Suizhou Shi	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%
China	Suizhou Shi	2017	86.5%	89.6%	83.2%
China	Suqian	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
China	Suqian	2017	91.5%	93.1%	89.8%
China	Suzhou	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
China	Suzhou	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
China	Suzhou	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.9%
China	Suzhou	2017	89.1%	90.7%	87.6%
China	Tacheng	2000	96.7%	99.0%	92.7%
China	Tacheng	2017	87.5%	97.5%	65.8%
China	Tai Po	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
China	Tai Po	2017	92.6%	94.5%	90.5%
China	Tai'an	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
China	Tai'an	2017	84.2%	87.1%	81.5%
China	Taiyuan	2000	96.9%	97.6%	95.8%
China	Taiyuan	2017	87.7%	89.5%	85.8%
China	Taizhou	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
China	Taizhou	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
China	Taizhou	2017	91.0%	92.4%	89.5%
China	Taizhou	2017	87.8%	90.0%	85.2%
China	Tangshan	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
China	Tangshan	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.2%
China	Tianjin	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
China	Tianjin	2017	88.7%	89.7%	87.6%
China	Tianmen	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.9%
China	Tianmen	2017	84.7%	88.0%	81.6%
China	Tianshui	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.5%
China	Tianshui	2017	83.7%	85.9%	81.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Tieling	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
China	Tieling	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.9%
China	Tongchuan	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
China	Tongchuan	2017	79.9%	84.0%	75.5%
China	Tonghua	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.8%
China	Tonghua	2017	76.8%	80.9%	72.4%
China	Tongliao	2000	97.1%	98.2%	95.8%
China	Tongliao	2017	86.9%	91.7%	82.0%
China	Tongling	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
China	Tongling	2017	96.2%	97.7%	94.2%
China	Tongren	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.3%
China	Tongren	2017	91.2%	92.8%	89.2%
China	Tsuen Wan	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
China	Tsuen Wan	2017	92.3%	94.5%	90.1%
China	Tuen Mun	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
China	Tuen Mun	2017	92.9%	94.7%	91.1%
China	Turfan	2000	96.9%	99.1%	93.3%
China	Turfan	2017	86.7%	98.0%	64.6%
China	Ulaan Chab	2000	97.3%	98.6%	95.7%
China	Ulaan Chab	2017	91.5%	95.2%	85.9%
China	Ürümqi	2000	96.2%	99.1%	92.2%
China	Ürümqi	2017	85.9%	98.0%	63.9%
China	Wan Chai	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.4%
China	Wan Chai	2017	92.7%	95.2%	90.0%
China	Weifang	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
China	Weifang	2017	85.1%	87.3%	82.1%
China	Weihai	2000	97.4%	98.4%	96.1%
China	Weihai	2017	85.3%	89.3%	80.7%
China	Weinan	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
China	Weinan	2017	82.4%	85.1%	79.7%
China	Wenshan	2000	97.5%	98.5%	96.6%
China	Zhuang and Miao				
China	Wenshan	2017	91.0%	93.3%	88.2%
China	Zhuang and Miao				
China	Wenzhou	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.0%
China	Wenzhou	2017	88.2%	90.9%	85.5%
China	Wong Tai Sin	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
China	Wong Tai Sin	2017	91.5%	94.0%	88.9%
China	Wuhai	2000	96.1%	98.1%	92.5%
China	Wuhai	2017	81.9%	92.7%	64.0%
China	Wuhan	2000	96.5%	97.6%	95.4%
China	Wuhan	2017	85.6%	87.8%	83.2%
China	Wuhu	2000	98.6%	99.1%	97.8%
China	Wuhu	2017	95.4%	96.9%	93.3%
China	Wuwei	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.6%
China	Wuwei	2017	83.4%	86.7%	80.4%
China	Wuxi	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
China	Wuxi	2017	90.3%	91.9%	88.8%
China	Wuzhong	2000	96.5%	97.9%	94.5%
China	Wuzhong	2017	83.0%	89.2%	75.2%
China	Wuzhou	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
China	Wuzhou	2017	91.9%	93.9%	89.8%
China	Xi'an	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
China	Xi'an	2017	80.8%	83.4%	78.3%
China	Xiamen	2000	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
China	Xiamen	2017	88.6%	90.3%	86.6%
China	Xiangfan	2000	95.8%	97.1%	93.9%
China	Xiangfan	2017	86.1%	88.8%	82.8%
China	Xiangtan	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.6%
China	Xiangtan	2017	81.3%	84.4%	77.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Xiangxi Tujia and Miao	2000	94.5%	96.2%	92.8%
China	Xiangxi Tujia and Miao	2017	82.2%	86.2%	78.7%
China	Xianning	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
China	Xianning	2017	84.9%	87.3%	82.0%
China	Xiantao	2000	96.3%	97.5%	95.1%
China	Xiantao	2017	85.0%	88.2%	82.1%
China	Xianyang	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
China	Xianyang	2017	81.5%	84.0%	78.5%
China	Xiaogan	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
China	Xiaogan	2017	85.1%	88.0%	82.3%
China	Xilin Gol	2000	97.8%	99.1%	96.0%
China	Xilin Gol	2017	94.0%	97.9%	86.9%
China	Xing'an	2000	95.9%	97.5%	93.8%
China	Xing'an	2017	80.3%	87.1%	73.4%
China	Xingtai	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
China	Xingtai	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
China	Xining	2000	96.9%	98.2%	95.0%
China	Xining	2017	84.9%	91.2%	76.0%
China	Xinxiang	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
China	Xinxiang	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.5%
China	Xinyang	2000	97.7%	98.6%	96.5%
China	Xinyang	2017	94.7%	96.2%	92.7%
China	Xinyu	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
China	Xinyu	2017	84.7%	87.0%	82.5%
China	Xinzhou	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
China	Xinzhou	2017	88.4%	89.9%	86.5%
China	Xishuangbanna Dai	2000	97.2%	98.4%	95.5%
China	Xishuangbanna Dai	2017	89.8%	93.7%	85.4%
China	Xuancheng	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.4%
China	Xuancheng	2017	93.0%	95.0%	90.5%
China	Xuchang	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.1%
China	Xuchang	2017	96.9%	97.9%	95.7%
China	Xuzhou	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
China	Xuzhou	2017	90.7%	92.2%	88.8%
China	Ya'an	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.9%
China	Ya'an	2017	77.2%	81.4%	72.7%
China	Yan'an	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
China	Yan'an	2017	82.3%	86.0%	78.0%
China	Yanbian Korean	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.4%
China	Yanbian Korean	2017	73.7%	79.0%	68.3%
China	Yancheng	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
China	Yancheng	2017	90.8%	92.4%	89.0%
China	Yangjiang	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.5%
China	Yangjiang	2017	92.9%	94.6%	91.2%
China	Yangquan	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
China	Yangquan	2017	91.0%	92.8%	88.8%
China	Yangzhou	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
China	Yangzhou	2017	90.9%	92.6%	89.2%
China	Yantai	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
China	Yantai	2017	85.2%	88.3%	81.6%
China	Yau Mong Tsim	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
China	Yau Mong Tsim	2017	92.5%	94.8%	90.0%
China	Yibin	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
China	Yibin	2017	78.8%	81.9%	75.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Yichang	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.4%
China	Yichang	2017	82.6%	85.9%	79.1%
China	Yichun	2000	95.8%	97.7%	93.5%
China	Yichun	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.5%
China	Yichun	2017	93.8%	95.9%	91.0%
China	Yichun	2017	84.2%	85.8%	82.2%
China	Yinchuan	2000	96.4%	98.1%	93.7%
China	Yinchuan	2017	82.9%	91.1%	70.9%
China	Yingtian	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.7%
China	Yingtian	2017	85.0%	87.1%	83.1%
China	Yiyang	2000	95.0%	96.6%	93.1%
China	Yiyang	2017	81.1%	84.6%	77.5%
China	Yongzhou	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.3%
China	Yongzhou	2017	84.5%	87.9%	80.6%
China	Yuen Long	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
China	Yuen Long	2017	92.8%	94.4%	91.1%
China	Yueyang	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
China	Yueyang	2017	82.6%	85.7%	79.0%
China	Yulin	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
China	Yulin	2000	97.8%	98.6%	97.1%
China	Yulin	2017	83.0%	86.6%	79.5%
China	Yulin	2017	92.0%	93.7%	90.2%
China	Yuncheng	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
China	Yuncheng	2017	88.1%	89.6%	86.6%
China	Yunfu	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
China	Yunfu	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
China	Yuxi	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.5%
China	Yuxi	2017	90.6%	92.7%	88.0%
China	Zaozhuang	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
China	Zaozhuang	2017	85.8%	88.1%	83.4%
China	Zhangjiajie	2000	94.2%	96.2%	91.8%
China	Zhangjiajie	2017	79.4%	84.5%	74.2%
China	Zhangjiakou	2000	98.6%	99.2%	97.9%
China	Zhangjiakou	2017	96.7%	97.8%	95.5%
China	Zhangye	2000	96.7%	97.8%	95.3%
China	Zhangye	2017	83.9%	87.7%	79.7%
China	Zhangzhou	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
China	Zhangzhou	2017	89.3%	90.8%	87.7%
China	Zhanjiang	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.1%
China	Zhanjiang	2017	92.3%	94.0%	90.6%
China	Zhaoqing	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
China	Zhaoqing	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.2%
China	Zhaotong	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.7%
China	Zhaotong	2017	88.1%	90.1%	85.8%
China	Zhengzhou	2000	97.9%	98.7%	96.9%
China	Zhengzhou	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
China	Zhenjiang	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
China	Zhenjiang	2017	90.8%	92.3%	89.3%
China	Zhongshan	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
China	Zhongshan	2017	92.3%	93.7%	91.0%
China	Zhongwei	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.2%
China	Zhongwei	2017	83.4%	88.1%	77.5%
China	Zhoukou	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.2%
China	Zhoukou	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.7%
China	Zhoushan	2000	95.9%	97.4%	94.2%
China	Zhoushan	2017	85.6%	89.9%	80.8%
China	Zhuhai	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
China	Zhuhai	2017	92.7%	94.3%	90.9%
China	Zhumadian	2000	97.7%	98.7%	96.5%
China	Zhumadian	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%
China	Zhuzhou	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
China	Zhuzhou	2017	82.4%	85.3%	79.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Zibo	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
China	Zibo	2017	85.3%	87.9%	82.4%
China	Zigong	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
China	Zigong	2017	75.9%	78.8%	72.6%
China	Ziyang	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.5%
China	Ziyang	2017	76.5%	79.1%	73.3%
China	Zunyi	2000	97.1%	98.0%	95.9%
China	Zunyi	2017	91.8%	93.1%	90.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat	2000	49.6%	52.9%	46.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat	2017	70.7%	73.1%	68.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat Daya	2000	56.0%	59.8%	52.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat Daya	2017	73.7%	76.7%	70.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Besar	2000	70.8%	74.6%	67.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Besar	2017	81.0%	84.7%	77.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Jaya	2000	52.3%	55.5%	48.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Jaya	2017	71.7%	74.2%	68.5%
Indonesia	Aceh Selatan	2000	59.1%	63.9%	53.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Selatan	2017	73.9%	78.5%	68.8%
Indonesia	Aceh Singkil	2000	53.0%	58.2%	48.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Singkil	2017	68.0%	72.1%	64.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Tamiang	2000	56.5%	58.2%	54.9%
Indonesia	Aceh Tamiang	2017	70.4%	72.8%	68.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Tengah	2000	53.2%	59.0%	47.8%
Indonesia	Aceh Tengah	2017	67.8%	72.7%	63.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Teng- gara	2000	56.7%	60.8%	52.5%
Indonesia	Aceh Teng- gara	2017	71.2%	76.0%	65.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Timur	2000	52.6%	55.9%	48.9%
Indonesia	Aceh Timur	2017	68.7%	71.9%	65.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Utara	2000	60.0%	61.6%	58.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Utara	2017	75.6%	77.1%	74.2%
Indonesia	Agam	2000	69.0%	71.2%	67.0%
Indonesia	Agam	2017	82.6%	84.4%	80.8%
Indonesia	Alor	2000	89.7%	94.9%	82.4%
Indonesia	Alor	2017	90.8%	96.1%	82.8%
Indonesia	Ambon	2000	79.1%	83.3%	74.7%
Indonesia	Ambon	2017	89.3%	91.5%	86.2%
Indonesia	Asahan	2000	75.3%	77.1%	73.4%
Indonesia	Asahan	2017	86.8%	88.4%	84.7%
Indonesia	Asmat	2000	59.8%	70.3%	51.2%
Indonesia	Asmat	2017	70.8%	77.7%	63.0%
Indonesia	Badung	2000	91.3%	92.2%	90.0%
Indonesia	Badung	2017	96.0%	96.5%	95.3%
Indonesia	Balangan	2000	52.1%	53.5%	50.7%
Indonesia	Balangan	2017	67.2%	69.0%	65.6%
Indonesia	Balikpapan	2000	73.3%	79.7%	65.2%
Indonesia	Balikpapan	2017	69.6%	77.2%	61.2%
Indonesia	Banda Aceh	2000	93.8%	94.4%	93.2%
Indonesia	Banda Aceh	2017	96.5%	96.9%	96.2%
Indonesia	Bandar Lam- pung	2000	82.9%	83.5%	82.3%
Indonesia	Bandar Lam- pung	2017	92.3%	92.6%	91.9%
Indonesia	Bandung	2000	83.3%	83.8%	82.7%
Indonesia	Bandung	2017	93.2%	93.4%	92.9%
Indonesia	Bandung Barat	2000	76.6%	77.3%	75.8%
Indonesia	Bandung Barat	2017	88.6%	89.0%	88.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Banggai	2000	59.1%	63.2%	55.4%
Indonesia	Banggai	2017	71.2%	75.8%	67.1%
Indonesia	Banggai Kepulauan	2000	68.3%	70.7%	65.9%
Indonesia	Banggai Kepulauan	2017	78.1%	80.3%	75.9%
Indonesia	Bangka	2000	66.5%	69.3%	63.5%
Indonesia	Bangka	2017	81.6%	83.9%	79.0%
Indonesia	Bangka Barat	2000	45.3%	49.6%	41.8%
Indonesia	Bangka Barat	2017	65.8%	69.8%	62.2%
Indonesia	Bangka Selatan	2000	59.1%	64.4%	53.8%
Indonesia	Bangka Selatan	2017	76.6%	81.3%	71.8%
Indonesia	Bangka Tengah	2000	69.4%	74.4%	64.3%
Indonesia	Bangka Tengah	2017	85.1%	87.9%	81.6%
Indonesia	Bangkalan	2000	80.7%	81.5%	79.8%
Indonesia	Bangkalan	2017	90.9%	91.4%	90.5%
Indonesia	Bangli	2000	82.6%	83.2%	82.0%
Indonesia	Bangli	2017	91.1%	91.5%	90.7%
Indonesia	Banjar	2000	72.7%	73.9%	71.3%
Indonesia	Banjar	2000	55.1%	56.7%	53.7%
Indonesia	Banjar	2017	83.6%	84.3%	82.8%
Indonesia	Banjar	2017	61.3%	63.4%	59.6%
Indonesia	Banjar Baru	2000	61.6%	66.1%	58.2%
Indonesia	Banjar Baru	2017	71.8%	75.7%	68.5%
Indonesia	Banjarmasin	2000	88.1%	88.5%	87.7%
Indonesia	Banjarmasin	2017	85.8%	86.4%	85.2%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	61.8%	62.5%	61.1%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	82.6%	83.1%	82.1%
Indonesia	Bantaeng	2000	77.5%	78.6%	76.1%
Indonesia	Bantaeng	2017	87.8%	88.9%	86.3%
Indonesia	Bantul	2000	83.2%	83.7%	82.7%
Indonesia	Bantul	2017	93.5%	93.7%	93.2%
Indonesia	Banyu Asin	2000	63.5%	65.4%	61.7%
Indonesia	Banyu Asin	2017	79.4%	80.7%	77.8%
Indonesia	Banyumas	2000	70.5%	71.2%	69.9%
Indonesia	Banyumas	2017	86.6%	87.0%	86.3%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2000	76.2%	77.7%	74.9%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2017	88.6%	89.6%	87.4%
Indonesia	Barito Kuala	2000	45.8%	46.7%	45.0%
Indonesia	Barito Kuala	2017	46.2%	47.4%	45.2%
Indonesia	Barito Selatan	2000	43.1%	46.7%	39.3%
Indonesia	Barito Selatan	2017	47.3%	50.2%	43.9%
Indonesia	Barito Timur	2000	38.4%	42.2%	34.6%
Indonesia	Barito Timur	2017	53.7%	58.0%	49.2%
Indonesia	Barito Utara	2000	47.6%	52.1%	43.2%
Indonesia	Barito Utara	2017	50.9%	55.0%	46.6%
Indonesia	Barru	2000	75.0%	76.2%	74.0%
Indonesia	Barru	2017	83.5%	84.9%	82.0%
Indonesia	Batam	2000	86.2%	88.1%	84.0%
Indonesia	Batam	2017	92.5%	93.9%	90.4%
Indonesia	Batang	2000	78.1%	78.8%	77.4%
Indonesia	Batang	2017	90.3%	90.6%	89.9%
Indonesia	Batang Hari	2000	48.9%	51.3%	46.7%
Indonesia	Batang Hari	2017	64.6%	66.9%	62.3%
Indonesia	Batu	2000	94.8%	95.2%	94.4%
Indonesia	Batu	2017	97.2%	97.4%	96.9%
Indonesia	Batu Bara	2000	71.7%	73.3%	70.0%
Indonesia	Batu Bara	2017	86.4%	87.6%	85.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Bau-Bau	2000	91.2%	92.3%	90.1%
Indonesia	Bau-Bau	2017	93.6%	94.5%	92.5%
Indonesia	Bekasi	2000	81.7%	82.5%	80.9%
Indonesia	Bekasi	2017	91.3%	91.8%	90.6%
Indonesia	Belitung	2000	54.8%	58.5%	51.2%
Indonesia	Belitung	2017	76.0%	79.1%	72.4%
Indonesia	Belitung	2000	50.4%	55.5%	45.4%
Indonesia	Timur Belitung	2017	68.9%	73.9%	63.9%
Indonesia	Belu	2000	77.5%	85.6%	65.6%
Indonesia	Belu	2017	82.7%	90.1%	72.0%
Indonesia	Bener Meriah	2000	62.9%	68.6%	56.0%
Indonesia	Bener Meriah	2017	75.4%	80.3%	68.5%
Indonesia	Bengkalis	2000	66.1%	71.7%	60.9%
Indonesia	Bengkalis	2017	81.1%	85.2%	76.4%
Indonesia	Bengkayang	2000	68.4%	79.5%	55.9%
Indonesia	Bengkayang	2017	76.5%	86.1%	63.9%
Indonesia	Bengkulu	2000	66.3%	67.4%	65.3%
Indonesia	Bengkulu	2017	81.9%	82.8%	81.1%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Se- latan	2000	36.7%	39.4%	34.2%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Se- latan	2017	56.1%	58.8%	53.1%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Ten- gah	2000	32.4%	34.5%	30.3%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Ten- gah	2017	46.5%	48.7%	44.6%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Utara	2000	49.0%	53.9%	43.5%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Utara	2017	59.1%	64.0%	53.3%
Indonesia	Berau	2000	72.7%	77.7%	66.9%
Indonesia	Berau	2017	75.3%	80.3%	69.4%
Indonesia	Biak Numfor	2000	81.0%	90.5%	67.4%
Indonesia	Biak Numfor	2017	84.5%	93.6%	72.7%
Indonesia	Bima	2000	75.3%	77.5%	72.8%
Indonesia	Bima	2017	86.3%	88.1%	84.0%
Indonesia	Bintan	2000	71.4%	77.2%	64.4%
Indonesia	Bintan	2017	85.1%	88.4%	80.8%
Indonesia	Bireuen	2000	73.6%	75.4%	71.1%
Indonesia	Bireuen	2017	86.7%	87.9%	84.9%
Indonesia	Bitung	2000	85.9%	87.7%	84.6%
Indonesia	Bitung	2017	92.2%	93.0%	91.5%
Indonesia	Blitar	2000	80.2%	80.8%	79.5%
Indonesia	Blitar	2017	91.9%	92.2%	91.6%
Indonesia	Blora	2000	76.6%	77.5%	75.6%
Indonesia	Blora	2017	88.8%	89.2%	88.4%
Indonesia	Boalemo	2000	63.9%	75.8%	51.4%
Indonesia	Boalemo	2017	76.2%	86.5%	65.9%
Indonesia	Bogor	2000	67.3%	67.9%	66.8%
Indonesia	Bogor	2017	83.4%	83.9%	82.9%
Indonesia	Bojonegoro	2000	74.7%	75.4%	73.9%
Indonesia	Bojonegoro	2017	89.5%	89.9%	89.1%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mon- gondow	2000	50.9%	53.1%	48.5%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mon- gondow	2017	67.8%	70.2%	65.1%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mon- gondow Sela- tan	2000	53.4%	68.2%	36.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Selatan	2017	67.4%	79.0%	52.6%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Timur	2000	70.7%	74.1%	65.4%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Timur	2017	79.7%	82.5%	75.9%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Utara	2000	61.8%	71.8%	53.5%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Utara	2017	77.4%	85.3%	69.3%
Indonesia	Bombana	2000	56.0%	59.4%	53.3%
Indonesia	Bombana	2017	68.1%	70.7%	65.2%
Indonesia	Bondowoso	2000	70.9%	72.0%	69.6%
Indonesia	Bondowoso	2017	86.5%	87.2%	85.5%
Indonesia	Bone	2000	66.7%	68.0%	65.3%
Indonesia	Bone	2017	80.1%	81.1%	79.0%
Indonesia	Bone Bolango	2000	67.2%	74.3%	59.7%
Indonesia	Bone Bolango	2017	79.6%	85.7%	71.8%
Indonesia	Bontang	2000	89.2%	92.6%	84.0%
Indonesia	Bontang	2017	93.9%	95.8%	90.6%
Indonesia	Boven Digoel	2000	52.0%	59.4%	45.2%
Indonesia	Boven Digoel	2017	62.6%	68.3%	56.0%
Indonesia	Boyolali	2000	77.8%	78.5%	77.2%
Indonesia	Boyolali	2017	90.6%	90.9%	90.3%
Indonesia	Brebes	2000	76.2%	76.8%	75.6%
Indonesia	Brebes	2017	89.5%	89.8%	89.1%
Indonesia	Bukittinggi	2000	88.2%	88.6%	87.8%
Indonesia	Bukittinggi	2017	93.6%	93.8%	93.3%
Indonesia	Buleleng	2000	83.5%	84.7%	82.2%
Indonesia	Buleleng	2017	90.0%	90.7%	89.1%
Indonesia	Bulukumba	2000	68.7%	69.7%	67.6%
Indonesia	Bulukumba	2017	82.9%	83.8%	82.1%
Indonesia	Bulungan	2000	69.2%	76.9%	61.3%
Indonesia	Bulungan	2017	73.9%	80.6%	66.5%
Indonesia	Bungo	2000	46.5%	48.7%	44.2%
Indonesia	Bungo	2017	66.6%	68.9%	64.3%
Indonesia	Buol	2000	61.8%	66.3%	56.8%
Indonesia	Buol	2017	72.6%	76.3%	68.3%
Indonesia	Buru	2000	55.8%	65.6%	47.3%
Indonesia	Buru	2017	70.3%	79.1%	60.5%
Indonesia	Buru Selatan	2000	55.2%	67.6%	42.8%
Indonesia	Buru Selatan	2017	63.8%	76.3%	50.9%
Indonesia	Buton	2000	76.5%	78.0%	75.1%
Indonesia	Buton	2017	82.4%	84.2%	80.5%
Indonesia	Buton Utara	2000	70.6%	75.0%	65.3%
Indonesia	Buton Utara	2017	82.7%	86.6%	76.3%
Indonesia	Ciamis	2000	69.9%	70.7%	68.9%
Indonesia	Ciamis	2017	85.7%	86.2%	85.2%
Indonesia	Cianjur	2000	66.1%	66.9%	65.1%
Indonesia	Cianjur	2017	84.3%	84.9%	83.5%
Indonesia	Cilacap	2000	69.7%	70.6%	69.0%
Indonesia	Cilacap	2017	85.2%	85.8%	84.5%
Indonesia	Cilegon	2000	87.8%	88.5%	87.0%
Indonesia	Cilegon	2017	95.1%	95.4%	94.6%
Indonesia	Cimahi	2000	86.7%	87.0%	86.3%
Indonesia	Cimahi	2017	94.3%	94.5%	94.1%
Indonesia	Cirebon	2000	82.5%	83.0%	82.0%
Indonesia	Cirebon	2017	92.4%	92.7%	92.1%
Indonesia	Dairi	2000	50.2%	52.3%	47.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Dairi	2017	64.8%	66.6%	63.1%
Indonesia	Danau	2000	49.8%	66.2%	34.6%
Indonesia	Danau	2017	48.5%	62.9%	37.0%
Indonesia	Danau Limboto	2000	77.2%	80.8%	73.0%
Indonesia	Danau Limboto	2017	88.2%	90.5%	84.8%
Indonesia	Deiyai	2000	49.0%	64.7%	33.4%
Indonesia	Deiyai	2017	64.3%	78.4%	47.8%
Indonesia	Deli Serdang	2000	81.4%	82.0%	80.8%
Indonesia	Deli Serdang	2017	90.6%	91.1%	90.1%
Indonesia	Demak	2000	75.2%	75.8%	74.6%
Indonesia	Demak	2017	87.9%	88.3%	87.5%
Indonesia	Denpasar	2000	92.5%	93.0%	91.8%
Indonesia	Denpasar	2017	96.8%	97.0%	96.5%
Indonesia	Depok	2000	78.4%	78.9%	77.9%
Indonesia	Depok	2017	90.9%	91.2%	90.7%
Indonesia	Dharmasraya	2000	55.9%	59.8%	52.1%
Indonesia	Dharmasraya	2017	69.9%	74.7%	65.1%
Indonesia	Dogiyai	2000	52.2%	60.6%	44.6%
Indonesia	Dogiyai	2017	64.4%	71.5%	56.2%
Indonesia	Dompu	2000	74.6%	78.1%	71.5%
Indonesia	Dompu	2017	86.5%	89.2%	83.5%
Indonesia	Donggala	2000	57.9%	60.8%	54.5%
Indonesia	Donggala	2017	72.8%	75.5%	69.2%
Indonesia	Dumai	2000	60.4%	73.2%	48.1%
Indonesia	Dumai	2017	76.6%	85.5%	64.4%
Indonesia	Empat Lawang	2000	46.1%	49.2%	43.1%
Indonesia	Empat Lawang	2017	62.9%	65.7%	60.1%
Indonesia	Ende	2000	75.7%	88.7%	57.1%
Indonesia	Ende	2017	76.7%	90.2%	61.0%
Indonesia	Enrekang	2000	61.0%	62.0%	59.9%
Indonesia	Enrekang	2017	74.6%	75.7%	73.6%
Indonesia	Fakfak	2000	72.7%	82.4%	61.8%
Indonesia	Fakfak	2017	76.0%	84.7%	66.1%
Indonesia	Flores Timur	2000	66.6%	82.8%	47.7%
Indonesia	Flores Timur	2017	72.4%	86.5%	54.2%
Indonesia	Garut	2000	66.1%	66.9%	65.0%
Indonesia	Garut	2017	82.1%	82.7%	81.3%
Indonesia	Gayo Lues	2000	50.9%	55.1%	47.6%
Indonesia	Gayo Lues	2017	61.7%	65.5%	58.2%
Indonesia	Gianyar	2000	92.2%	92.6%	91.7%
Indonesia	Gianyar	2017	95.9%	96.2%	95.6%
Indonesia	Gorontalo	2000	72.6%	77.0%	66.8%
Indonesia	Gorontalo	2017	82.2%	86.4%	76.4%
Indonesia	Gorontalo Utara	2000	65.7%	74.5%	54.1%
Indonesia	Gorontalo Utara	2017	73.5%	81.8%	62.6%
Indonesia	Gowa	2000	72.1%	72.8%	71.4%
Indonesia	Gowa	2017	82.5%	83.3%	81.7%
Indonesia	Gresik	2000	85.7%	86.2%	85.0%
Indonesia	Gresik	2017	93.4%	93.8%	93.0%
Indonesia	Grobogan	2000	57.9%	58.7%	57.2%
Indonesia	Grobogan	2017	77.8%	78.3%	77.3%
Indonesia	Gunung Kidul	2000	76.4%	77.2%	75.7%
Indonesia	Gunung Kidul	2017	88.5%	89.0%	88.1%
Indonesia	Gunung Mas	2000	42.7%	47.0%	37.3%
Indonesia	Gunung Mas	2017	49.8%	53.5%	46.0%
Indonesia	Gunungsitoli	2000	42.0%	57.7%	27.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Gunungsitoli	2017	46.7%	62.5%	31.8%
Indonesia	Halmahera Barat	2000	64.9%	67.3%	62.5%
Indonesia	Halmahera Barat	2017	76.6%	78.9%	74.0%
Indonesia	Halmahera Selatan	2000	56.1%	62.7%	49.4%
Indonesia	Halmahera Selatan	2017	66.7%	72.7%	60.3%
Indonesia	Halmahera Tengah	2000	65.5%	75.3%	50.7%
Indonesia	Halmahera Tengah	2017	78.6%	86.6%	65.1%
Indonesia	Halmahera Timur	2000	40.2%	46.4%	35.2%
Indonesia	Halmahera Timur	2017	52.4%	60.8%	45.5%
Indonesia	Halmahera Utara	2000	53.5%	58.9%	47.4%
Indonesia	Halmahera Utara	2017	66.9%	71.5%	60.6%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Selatan	2000	50.1%	51.6%	49.0%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Selatan	2017	55.2%	57.0%	53.5%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Tengah	2000	57.4%	58.3%	56.6%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Tengah	2017	68.4%	69.8%	67.3%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Utara	2000	54.5%	55.5%	53.5%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Utara	2017	59.3%	60.7%	58.2%
Indonesia	Humbang Hasundutan	2000	50.6%	52.3%	48.7%
Indonesia	Humbang Hasundutan	2017	66.6%	68.6%	64.8%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hilir	2000	83.4%	86.0%	80.6%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hilir	2017	89.6%	91.8%	87.0%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hulu	2000	50.5%	53.2%	47.6%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hulu	2017	61.4%	64.6%	58.1%
Indonesia	Indramayu	2000	81.3%	82.1%	80.3%
Indonesia	Indramayu	2017	91.5%	92.0%	90.9%
Indonesia	Intan Jaya	2000	62.2%	72.3%	50.1%
Indonesia	Intan Jaya	2017	69.0%	77.6%	56.4%
Indonesia	Jakarta Barat	2000	95.7%	95.9%	95.5%
Indonesia	Jakarta Barat	2017	97.7%	97.8%	97.6%
Indonesia	Jakarta Pusat	2000	96.2%	96.3%	96.1%
Indonesia	Jakarta Pusat	2017	97.9%	98.0%	97.9%
Indonesia	Jakarta Selatan	2000	86.0%	86.3%	85.7%
Indonesia	Jakarta Selatan	2017	94.4%	94.5%	94.3%
Indonesia	Jakarta Timur	2000	88.7%	89.0%	88.5%
Indonesia	Jakarta Timur	2017	95.1%	95.2%	95.0%
Indonesia	Jakarta Utara	2000	98.3%	98.4%	98.1%
Indonesia	Jakarta Utara	2017	98.7%	98.8%	98.6%
Indonesia	Jambi	2000	81.1%	81.7%	80.3%
Indonesia	Jambi	2017	89.3%	89.7%	88.7%
Indonesia	Jayapura	2000	65.3%	71.9%	56.2%
Indonesia	Jayapura	2017	71.9%	78.2%	64.4%
Indonesia	Jayawijaya	2000	50.0%	55.8%	45.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Jayawijaya	2017	60.6%	65.4%	55.5%
Indonesia	Jember	2000	79.4%	80.4%	78.2%
Indonesia	Jember	2017	91.1%	91.7%	90.3%
Indonesia	Jembrana	2000	80.7%	81.9%	79.2%
Indonesia	Jembrana	2017	90.2%	90.8%	89.5%
Indonesia	Jeneponto	2000	73.4%	74.2%	72.5%
Indonesia	Jeneponto	2017	86.8%	87.4%	86.1%
Indonesia	Jepara	2000	74.5%	75.3%	73.7%
Indonesia	Jepara	2017	88.8%	89.3%	88.2%
Indonesia	Jombang	2000	77.4%	78.2%	76.2%
Indonesia	Jombang	2017	91.1%	91.4%	90.6%
Indonesia	Kaimana	2000	67.0%	76.0%	56.8%
Indonesia	Kaimana	2017	72.5%	80.1%	64.1%
Indonesia	Kampar	2000	63.5%	66.4%	60.6%
Indonesia	Kampar	2017	79.0%	81.4%	76.3%
Indonesia	Kapuas	2000	39.3%	43.7%	34.3%
Indonesia	Kapuas	2017	48.4%	53.4%	43.1%
Indonesia	Kapuas Hulu	2000	53.0%	59.4%	46.9%
Indonesia	Kapuas Hulu	2017	60.4%	66.6%	54.8%
Indonesia	Karanganyar	2000	87.8%	88.2%	87.3%
Indonesia	Karanganyar	2017	95.1%	95.3%	94.8%
Indonesia	Karangasem	2000	83.2%	83.9%	82.5%
Indonesia	Karangasem	2017	89.0%	89.8%	88.2%
Indonesia	Karawang	2000	72.4%	73.1%	71.7%
Indonesia	Karawang	2017	85.4%	85.8%	84.9%
Indonesia	Karimun	2000	61.3%	63.7%	59.3%
Indonesia	Karimun	2017	75.6%	77.8%	73.5%
Indonesia	Karo	2000	72.6%	73.7%	71.6%
Indonesia	Karo	2017	77.9%	79.0%	76.8%
Indonesia	Katingan	2000	44.2%	48.7%	39.9%
Indonesia	Katingan	2017	56.3%	60.3%	52.1%
Indonesia	Kaur	2000	42.4%	47.4%	36.8%
Indonesia	Kaur	2017	61.0%	65.2%	56.1%
Indonesia	Kayong Utara	2000	63.9%	79.4%	47.9%
Indonesia	Kayong Utara	2017	72.5%	84.9%	55.6%
Indonesia	Kebumen	2000	70.8%	71.6%	70.0%
Indonesia	Kebumen	2017	87.3%	87.8%	86.8%
Indonesia	Kediri	2000	80.1%	80.7%	79.4%
Indonesia	Kediri	2017	92.1%	92.4%	91.7%
Indonesia	Keerom	2000	63.3%	70.6%	55.1%
Indonesia	Keerom	2017	72.4%	78.6%	65.6%
Indonesia	Kendal	2000	84.9%	85.4%	84.3%
Indonesia	Kendal	2017	93.3%	93.6%	93.0%
Indonesia	Kendari	2000	84.2%	84.8%	83.5%
Indonesia	Kendari	2017	91.3%	91.7%	90.9%
Indonesia	Kepahiang	2000	43.7%	45.5%	42.2%
Indonesia	Kepahiang	2017	58.1%	59.7%	56.6%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Anambas	2000	42.8%	52.0%	32.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Anambas	2017	51.3%	60.4%	41.8%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Aru	2000	59.4%	65.1%	54.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Aru	2017	71.0%	75.4%	66.2%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Mentawai	2000	45.4%	52.9%	38.9%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Mentawai	2017	59.4%	65.8%	53.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Meranti	2000	82.3%	90.2%	73.2%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Meranti	2017	88.3%	95.2%	79.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sangihe	2000	66.5%	67.8%	65.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sangihe	2017	75.2%	76.5%	74.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Selayar	2000	71.9%	74.1%	69.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Selayar	2017	82.3%	84.4%	80.1%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Seribu	2000	97.1%	100.0%	68.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Seribu	2017	97.0%	100.0%	72.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sula	2000	63.0%	69.0%	55.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sula	2017	72.6%	77.8%	65.8%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Talaud	2000	76.2%	78.0%	74.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Talaud	2017	83.9%	85.1%	82.5%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Yapen	2000	59.7%	73.8%	40.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Yapen	2017	58.9%	74.4%	41.1%
Indonesia	Kerinci	2000	67.0%	69.3%	64.7%
Indonesia	Kerinci	2017	74.4%	76.6%	71.8%
Indonesia	Ketapang	2000	62.2%	68.2%	56.1%
Indonesia	Ketapang	2017	69.8%	75.4%	64.1%
Indonesia	Klaten	2000	86.7%	87.2%	86.3%
Indonesia	Klaten	2017	94.7%	94.9%	94.5%
Indonesia	Klungkung	2000	94.0%	94.5%	93.3%
Indonesia	Klungkung	2017	96.2%	96.6%	95.8%
Indonesia	Kolaka	2000	74.2%	76.2%	72.1%
Indonesia	Kolaka	2017	84.1%	85.9%	82.3%
Indonesia	Kolaka Utara	2000	63.9%	68.4%	59.1%
Indonesia	Kolaka Utara	2017	78.1%	81.2%	74.3%
Indonesia	Konawe	2000	60.6%	63.1%	57.8%
Indonesia	Konawe	2017	76.5%	78.7%	73.8%
Indonesia	Konawe Selatan	2000	57.9%	59.8%	56.2%
Indonesia	Konawe Selatan	2017	71.3%	72.9%	69.6%
Indonesia	Konawe Utara	2000	53.6%	59.8%	46.7%
Indonesia	Konawe Utara	2017	64.6%	70.3%	58.9%
Indonesia	Kota Bandung	2000	90.2%	90.6%	89.8%
Indonesia	Kota Bandung	2017	95.2%	95.4%	95.1%
Indonesia	Kota Baru	2000	46.6%	50.6%	42.1%
Indonesia	Kota Baru	2017	58.0%	61.8%	54.1%
Indonesia	Kota Bekasi	2000	86.5%	86.9%	86.0%
Indonesia	Kota Bekasi	2017	94.6%	94.8%	94.4%
Indonesia	Kota Bima	2000	87.9%	89.7%	85.8%
Indonesia	Kota Bima	2017	93.8%	95.0%	92.2%
Indonesia	Kota Binjai	2000	82.8%	83.5%	82.1%
Indonesia	Kota Binjai	2017	92.8%	93.1%	92.4%
Indonesia	Kota Blitar	2000	86.6%	87.2%	86.1%
Indonesia	Kota Blitar	2017	95.0%	95.2%	94.8%
Indonesia	Kota Bogor	2000	88.0%	88.4%	87.6%
Indonesia	Kota Bogor	2017	94.1%	94.3%	93.9%
Indonesia	Kota Cirebon	2000	94.7%	95.0%	94.4%
Indonesia	Kota Cirebon	2017	96.8%	97.0%	96.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kota Gorontalo	2000	93.3%	93.8%	92.7%
Indonesia	Kota Gorontalo	2017	96.0%	96.4%	95.6%
Indonesia	Kota Jayapura	2000	70.1%	82.4%	55.2%
Indonesia	Kota Jayapura	2017	80.2%	90.7%	66.9%
Indonesia	Kota Kediri	2000	82.1%	82.7%	81.4%
Indonesia	Kota Kediri	2017	92.8%	93.1%	92.5%
Indonesia	Kota Kupang	2000	79.3%	85.1%	70.5%
Indonesia	Kota Kupang	2017	85.2%	90.0%	77.8%
Indonesia	Kota Madiun	2000	91.5%	91.9%	91.1%
Indonesia	Kota Madiun	2017	95.4%	95.7%	95.2%
Indonesia	Kota Magelang	2000	93.0%	93.4%	92.5%
Indonesia	Kota Magelang	2017	94.5%	94.9%	94.0%
Indonesia	Kota Malang	2000	90.1%	90.5%	89.7%
Indonesia	Kota Malang	2017	95.0%	95.2%	94.7%
Indonesia	Kota Medan	2000	90.9%	91.2%	90.6%
Indonesia	Kota Medan	2017	94.4%	94.6%	94.2%
Indonesia	Kota Mojokerto	2000	86.5%	87.1%	85.9%
Indonesia	Kota Mojokerto	2017	95.1%	95.3%	94.8%
Indonesia	Kota Pasuruan	2000	91.8%	92.3%	91.3%
Indonesia	Kota Pasuruan	2017	95.7%	95.9%	95.4%
Indonesia	Kota Pekalongan	2000	89.9%	90.3%	89.4%
Indonesia	Kota Pekalongan	2017	95.5%	95.8%	95.3%
Indonesia	Kota Pontianak	2000	68.0%	68.8%	67.4%
Indonesia	Kota Pontianak	2017	78.9%	80.1%	77.8%
Indonesia	Kota Probolinggo	2000	85.7%	86.6%	84.9%
Indonesia	Kota Probolinggo	2017	94.5%	94.8%	94.2%
Indonesia	Kota Semarang	2000	90.4%	90.8%	89.9%
Indonesia	Kota Semarang	2017	94.9%	95.2%	94.7%
Indonesia	Kota Serang	2000	75.9%	76.8%	74.8%
Indonesia	Kota Serang	2017	90.1%	90.6%	89.5%
Indonesia	Kota Solok	2000	81.5%	82.1%	80.9%
Indonesia	Kota Solok	2017	87.4%	87.9%	86.9%
Indonesia	Kota Sorong	2000	75.5%	87.9%	60.8%
Indonesia	Kota Sorong	2017	75.3%	84.1%	67.3%
Indonesia	Kota Sukabumi	2000	85.8%	86.5%	85.2%
Indonesia	Kota Sukabumi	2017	94.3%	94.6%	94.0%
Indonesia	Kota Tangerang	2000	87.9%	88.4%	87.5%
Indonesia	Kota Tangerang	2017	94.6%	94.8%	94.4%
Indonesia	Kota Tanjungbalai	2000	82.9%	83.8%	81.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kota Tanjungbalai	2017	87.4%	88.3%	86.4%
Indonesia	Kota Tasikmalaya	2000	73.8%	74.7%	72.9%
Indonesia	Kota Tasikmalaya	2017	87.6%	88.2%	87.1%
Indonesia	Kota Tegal	2000	95.0%	95.4%	94.5%
Indonesia	Kota Tegal	2017	96.5%	96.9%	96.2%
Indonesia	Kota Yogyakarta	2000	88.6%	89.0%	88.2%
Indonesia	Kota Yogyakarta	2017	95.5%	95.6%	95.3%
Indonesia	Kotamobagu	2000	81.1%	81.6%	80.5%
Indonesia	Kotamobagu	2017	88.1%	88.5%	87.7%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Barat	2000	62.9%	66.3%	59.4%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Barat	2017	75.1%	79.1%	71.2%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Timur	2000	46.8%	51.0%	42.8%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Timur	2017	55.1%	59.1%	51.0%
Indonesia	Kuantan Singingi	2000	48.7%	52.4%	44.6%
Indonesia	Kuantan Singingi	2017	68.4%	71.3%	65.4%
Indonesia	Kubu Raya	2000	71.2%	76.0%	66.2%
Indonesia	Kubu Raya	2017	80.0%	84.0%	75.5%
Indonesia	Kudus	2000	86.4%	86.9%	85.9%
Indonesia	Kudus	2017	94.4%	94.6%	94.1%
Indonesia	Kulon Progo	2000	69.3%	70.0%	68.6%
Indonesia	Kulon Progo	2017	87.1%	87.4%	86.7%
Indonesia	Kuningan	2000	75.9%	76.9%	74.9%
Indonesia	Kuningan	2017	89.7%	90.1%	89.3%
Indonesia	Kupang	2000	66.8%	79.2%	54.1%
Indonesia	Kupang	2017	74.3%	85.7%	62.2%
Indonesia	Kutai Barat	2000	62.5%	68.1%	56.9%
Indonesia	Kutai Barat	2017	67.9%	73.2%	62.9%
Indonesia	Kutai Kartanegara	2000	76.4%	79.6%	72.1%
Indonesia	Kutai Kartanegara	2017	81.0%	84.0%	77.6%
Indonesia	Kutai Timur	2000	67.9%	71.7%	62.7%
Indonesia	Kutai Timur	2017	73.5%	78.0%	68.2%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu	2000	73.7%	76.0%	71.2%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu	2017	84.4%	87.0%	82.2%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Selatan	2000	53.7%	57.4%	50.2%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Selatan	2017	71.5%	74.5%	68.5%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Utara	2000	55.8%	59.8%	51.8%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Utara	2017	70.1%	74.8%	65.6%
Indonesia	Lahat	2000	55.2%	57.9%	52.2%
Indonesia	Lahat	2017	69.7%	71.8%	67.9%
Indonesia	Lake Toba	2000	61.0%	64.1%	57.8%
Indonesia	Lake Toba	2017	72.7%	76.0%	69.2%
Indonesia	Lamandau	2000	35.2%	39.6%	31.0%
Indonesia	Lamandau	2017	51.9%	56.2%	47.7%
Indonesia	Lamongan	2000	79.8%	80.5%	79.2%
Indonesia	Lamongan	2017	91.1%	91.5%	90.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Lampung Barat	2000	44.9%	47.8%	42.5%
Indonesia	Lampung Barat	2017	57.1%	60.3%	54.2%
Indonesia	Lampung Selatan	2000	57.4%	58.8%	56.0%
Indonesia	Lampung Selatan	2017	79.2%	80.4%	78.1%
Indonesia	Lampung Tengah	2000	52.4%	54.3%	50.2%
Indonesia	Lampung Tengah	2017	72.5%	74.4%	70.2%
Indonesia	Lampung Timur	2000	54.8%	57.7%	51.9%
Indonesia	Lampung Timur	2017	74.9%	77.4%	71.5%
Indonesia	Lampung Utara	2000	47.7%	48.7%	46.5%
Indonesia	Lampung Utara	2017	69.7%	70.6%	68.6%
Indonesia	Landak	2000	53.2%	61.4%	43.1%
Indonesia	Landak	2017	65.2%	73.8%	54.9%
Indonesia	Langkat	2000	60.3%	62.2%	58.5%
Indonesia	Langkat	2017	77.1%	78.4%	75.9%
Indonesia	Langsa	2000	82.2%	82.9%	81.5%
Indonesia	Langsa	2017	93.3%	93.6%	93.0%
Indonesia	Lanny Jaya	2000	56.8%	65.5%	48.0%
Indonesia	Lanny Jaya	2017	64.1%	71.6%	55.1%
Indonesia	Lebak	2000	59.3%	60.3%	58.3%
Indonesia	Lebak	2017	77.1%	78.0%	76.4%
Indonesia	Lebong	2000	39.1%	44.2%	35.5%
Indonesia	Lebong	2017	47.7%	53.9%	43.0%
Indonesia	Lembata	2000	75.1%	86.9%	60.7%
Indonesia	Lembata	2017	78.4%	89.2%	65.5%
Indonesia	Lhokseumawe	2000	62.2%	72.1%	52.2%
Indonesia	Lhokseumawe	2017	75.4%	84.6%	61.7%
Indonesia	Lima Puluh Kota	2000	52.7%	55.1%	50.7%
Indonesia	Lima Puluh Kota	2017	70.9%	73.4%	68.3%
Indonesia	Lingga	2000	53.8%	59.5%	48.5%
Indonesia	Lingga	2017	66.5%	71.4%	61.0%
Indonesia	Lombok Barat	2000	74.5%	76.5%	71.9%
Indonesia	Lombok Barat	2017	87.5%	88.9%	85.3%
Indonesia	Lombok Tengah	2000	68.5%	69.8%	67.1%
Indonesia	Lombok Tengah	2017	85.0%	86.1%	83.9%
Indonesia	Lombok Timur	2000	78.1%	79.6%	75.8%
Indonesia	Lombok Timur	2017	89.7%	91.0%	87.0%
Indonesia	Lombok Utara	2000	65.3%	68.4%	62.2%
Indonesia	Lombok Utara	2017	76.9%	80.7%	73.4%
Indonesia	Lubuklinggau	2000	73.9%	76.4%	71.3%
Indonesia	Lubuklinggau	2017	85.5%	88.0%	82.7%
Indonesia	Lumajang	2000	85.1%	85.7%	84.5%
Indonesia	Lumajang	2017	92.8%	93.2%	92.5%
Indonesia	Luwu	2000	52.1%	54.2%	50.4%
Indonesia	Luwu	2017	71.0%	72.8%	69.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Luwu Timur	2000	61.1%	63.3%	58.5%
Indonesia	Luwu Timur	2017	72.5%	75.5%	69.3%
Indonesia	Luwu Utara	2000	51.2%	53.8%	48.7%
Indonesia	Luwu Utara	2017	67.2%	69.6%	64.7%
Indonesia	Madiun	2000	80.8%	81.5%	79.9%
Indonesia	Madiun	2017	91.1%	91.6%	90.6%
Indonesia	Magelang	2000	80.7%	81.3%	80.2%
Indonesia	Magelang	2017	91.7%	92.0%	91.4%
Indonesia	Magetan	2000	89.7%	90.2%	89.1%
Indonesia	Magetan	2017	94.9%	95.2%	94.6%
Indonesia	Majalengka	2000	70.5%	71.3%	69.7%
Indonesia	Majalengka	2017	87.2%	87.7%	86.7%
Indonesia	Majene	2000	64.2%	66.5%	62.0%
Indonesia	Majene	2017	75.3%	77.8%	72.3%
Indonesia	Makassar	2000	89.5%	89.9%	89.0%
Indonesia	Makassar	2017	93.6%	94.0%	93.3%
Indonesia	Malang	2000	82.4%	83.0%	81.7%
Indonesia	Malang	2017	91.3%	91.7%	90.9%
Indonesia	Malinau	2000	71.2%	77.2%	63.9%
Indonesia	Malinau	2017	73.7%	78.5%	67.3%
Indonesia	Maluku Barat Daya	2000	76.6%	85.1%	66.1%
Indonesia	Maluku Barat Daya	2017	83.7%	91.8%	73.4%
Indonesia	Maluku Tengah	2000	79.5%	83.6%	74.8%
Indonesia	Maluku Tengah	2017	86.0%	89.5%	81.9%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara	2000	79.6%	83.1%	74.9%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara	2017	85.8%	89.0%	81.8%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara Barat	2000	72.8%	80.5%	63.7%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara Barat	2017	77.9%	85.3%	68.3%
Indonesia	Mamasa	2000	35.3%	38.1%	32.2%
Indonesia	Mamasa	2017	47.9%	50.4%	45.3%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Raya	2000	50.5%	61.4%	38.2%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Raya	2017	59.5%	69.6%	47.2%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Tengah	2000	58.0%	66.2%	48.0%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Tengah	2017	56.0%	63.2%	47.8%
Indonesia	Mamuju	2000	42.0%	47.3%	36.8%
Indonesia	Mamuju	2017	57.8%	62.9%	52.6%
Indonesia	Mamuju Utara	2000	57.7%	62.6%	53.1%
Indonesia	Mamuju Utara	2017	74.5%	77.8%	70.6%
Indonesia	Manado	2000	83.2%	83.9%	82.4%
Indonesia	Manado	2017	91.8%	92.5%	91.2%
Indonesia	Mandailing Natal	2000	55.0%	57.4%	53.1%
Indonesia	Mandailing Natal	2017	67.3%	71.3%	64.5%
Indonesia	Manggarai	2000	68.8%	81.4%	55.5%
Indonesia	Manggarai	2017	78.1%	88.7%	65.0%
Indonesia	Manggarai Barat	2000	70.8%	80.5%	59.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Manggarai Barat	2017	74.4%	82.5%	63.5%
Indonesia	Manggarai Timur	2000	53.4%	62.6%	44.3%
Indonesia	Manggarai Timur	2017	54.0%	62.1%	44.6%
Indonesia	Manokwari	2000	65.2%	71.9%	56.6%
Indonesia	Manokwari	2017	75.5%	82.1%	67.5%
Indonesia	Mappi	2000	48.7%	56.0%	42.3%
Indonesia	Mappi	2017	60.4%	67.0%	53.5%
Indonesia	Maros	2000	68.9%	69.8%	68.1%
Indonesia	Maros	2017	81.7%	82.6%	80.7%
Indonesia	Mataram	2000	89.3%	89.8%	88.5%
Indonesia	Mataram	2017	94.6%	94.9%	94.2%
Indonesia	Maybrat	2000	57.9%	72.6%	45.7%
Indonesia	Maybrat	2017	68.9%	78.6%	57.0%
Indonesia	Melawi	2000	51.8%	61.9%	42.7%
Indonesia	Melawi	2017	62.7%	70.9%	52.9%
Indonesia	Merangin	2000	43.2%	45.8%	40.8%
Indonesia	Merangin	2017	59.4%	61.8%	57.0%
Indonesia	Merauke	2000	69.0%	74.3%	62.4%
Indonesia	Merauke	2017	78.0%	82.8%	72.8%
Indonesia	Mesuji	2000	50.6%	55.2%	46.2%
Indonesia	Mesuji	2017	70.6%	74.8%	66.5%
Indonesia	Metro	2000	74.1%	75.0%	73.2%
Indonesia	Metro	2017	89.8%	90.2%	89.4%
Indonesia	Mimika	2000	66.7%	72.9%	60.0%
Indonesia	Mimika	2017	75.0%	81.0%	69.6%
Indonesia	Minahasa	2000	83.1%	83.9%	82.1%
Indonesia	Minahasa	2017	92.0%	92.4%	91.5%
Indonesia	Minahasa Selatan	2000	80.0%	81.4%	78.5%
Indonesia	Minahasa Selatan	2017	89.7%	90.9%	88.4%
Indonesia	Minahasa Tenggara	2000	80.0%	81.8%	78.0%
Indonesia	Minahasa Tenggara	2017	90.3%	91.5%	88.9%
Indonesia	Minahasa Utara	2000	75.5%	76.6%	74.4%
Indonesia	Minahasa Utara	2017	87.0%	87.8%	86.0%
Indonesia	Mojokerto	2000	81.8%	82.5%	81.0%
Indonesia	Mojokerto	2017	92.8%	93.2%	92.5%
Indonesia	Morowali	2000	63.3%	68.7%	59.1%
Indonesia	Morowali	2017	74.0%	77.5%	70.8%
Indonesia	Muara Enim	2000	60.4%	62.3%	58.8%
Indonesia	Muara Enim	2017	74.2%	76.1%	72.5%
Indonesia	Muaro Jambi	2000	53.4%	55.3%	51.6%
Indonesia	Muaro Jambi	2017	68.7%	70.9%	66.1%
Indonesia	Mukomuko	2000	44.4%	48.3%	40.7%
Indonesia	Mukomuko	2017	60.6%	63.9%	57.0%
Indonesia	Muna	2000	71.6%	74.1%	69.1%
Indonesia	Muna	2017	80.8%	82.5%	79.0%
Indonesia	Murung Raya	2000	33.3%	37.9%	28.2%
Indonesia	Murung Raya	2017	37.8%	42.2%	32.8%
Indonesia	Musi	2000	51.4%	54.4%	47.9%
Indonesia	Banyuasin				
Indonesia	Musi	2017	65.8%	68.7%	62.1%
Indonesia	Banyuasin				
Indonesia	Musi Rawas	2000	42.7%	46.1%	39.5%
Indonesia	Musi Rawas	2017	57.1%	60.5%	54.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Nabire	2000	65.2%	71.7%	56.9%
Indonesia	Nabire	2017	74.0%	79.7%	67.6%
Indonesia	Nagan Raya	2000	55.7%	61.2%	50.7%
Indonesia	Nagan Raya	2017	72.7%	76.5%	68.6%
Indonesia	Nagekeo	2000	60.5%	79.4%	39.7%
Indonesia	Nagekeo	2017	66.3%	83.2%	46.3%
Indonesia	Natuna	2000	60.6%	71.8%	46.8%
Indonesia	Natuna	2017	72.1%	81.6%	59.7%
Indonesia	Nduga	2000	62.9%	69.8%	55.8%
Indonesia	Nduga	2017	68.3%	74.1%	61.7%
Indonesia	Ngada	2000	68.3%	82.8%	48.5%
Indonesia	Ngada	2017	72.4%	86.7%	52.6%
Indonesia	Nganjuk	2000	79.4%	80.4%	78.4%
Indonesia	Nganjuk	2017	91.9%	92.3%	91.4%
Indonesia	Ngawi	2000	78.7%	79.5%	77.9%
Indonesia	Ngawi	2017	91.1%	91.5%	90.6%
Indonesia	Nias	2000	20.8%	22.9%	19.1%
Indonesia	Nias	2017	36.3%	39.1%	34.1%
Indonesia	Nias Barat	2000	30.8%	33.5%	27.7%
Indonesia	Nias Barat	2017	48.7%	51.0%	46.0%
Indonesia	Nias Selatan	2000	27.8%	30.1%	25.4%
Indonesia	Nias Selatan	2017	44.6%	47.5%	41.5%
Indonesia	Nias Utara	2000	21.0%	24.1%	17.9%
Indonesia	Nias Utara	2017	35.3%	38.9%	31.9%
Indonesia	Nunukan	2000	71.8%	78.5%	62.7%
Indonesia	Nunukan	2017	78.3%	84.5%	70.5%
Indonesia	Ogan Ilir	2000	44.6%	47.2%	41.5%
Indonesia	Ogan Ilir	2017	59.5%	62.2%	56.1%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ilir	2000	56.9%	61.5%	52.5%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ilir	2017	71.4%	74.8%	67.7%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu	2000	59.6%	61.6%	57.3%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu	2017	74.8%	76.7%	72.6%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Sela- tan	2000	44.4%	47.4%	41.3%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Sela- tan	2017	55.0%	57.7%	52.1%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Timur	2000	69.8%	72.1%	68.0%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Timur	2017	83.4%	84.9%	82.0%
Indonesia	Pacitan	2000	60.1%	61.5%	58.7%
Indonesia	Pacitan	2017	79.4%	80.5%	78.0%
Indonesia	Padang	2000	86.3%	87.0%	85.7%
Indonesia	Padang	2017	92.5%	93.0%	92.1%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas	2000	58.4%	63.1%	54.3%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas	2017	71.0%	75.2%	66.6%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas Utara	2000	48.0%	51.8%	44.9%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas Utara	2017	63.8%	67.4%	60.2%
Indonesia	Padang Pan- jang	2000	86.2%	86.9%	85.5%
Indonesia	Padang Pan- jang	2017	91.2%	91.6%	90.7%
Indonesia	Padang Paria- man	2000	60.7%	62.9%	58.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Padang Pariaman	2017	80.1%	81.9%	78.2%
Indonesia	Padangsidempuan	2000	70.5%	73.7%	66.6%
Indonesia	Padangsidempuan	2017	78.2%	80.8%	75.3%
Indonesia	Pagar Alam	2000	66.9%	69.0%	64.5%
Indonesia	Pagar Alam	2017	81.0%	82.5%	79.3%
Indonesia	Pakpak Barat	2000	41.3%	45.0%	37.4%
Indonesia	Pakpak Barat	2017	57.3%	60.4%	54.5%
Indonesia	Palangka Raya	2000	64.0%	72.1%	58.3%
Indonesia	Palangka Raya	2017	74.1%	78.7%	69.6%
Indonesia	Palembang	2000	77.9%	78.5%	77.3%
Indonesia	Palembang	2017	82.7%	83.4%	82.1%
Indonesia	Palopo	2000	76.9%	78.0%	75.6%
Indonesia	Palopo	2017	86.6%	87.2%	85.8%
Indonesia	Palu	2000	77.3%	78.4%	76.2%
Indonesia	Palu	2017	88.8%	89.5%	87.9%
Indonesia	Pamekasan	2000	75.3%	76.1%	74.5%
Indonesia	Pamekasan	2017	88.9%	89.4%	88.4%
Indonesia	Pandeglang	2000	49.3%	50.3%	48.2%
Indonesia	Pandeglang	2017	71.9%	73.0%	70.9%
Indonesia	Pangkajene Dan Kepulauan	2000	72.8%	73.7%	72.1%
Indonesia	Pangkajene Dan Kepulauan	2017	82.6%	83.3%	81.9%
Indonesia	Pangkalpinang	2000	79.0%	79.8%	77.8%
Indonesia	Pangkalpinang	2017	92.8%	93.2%	91.9%
Indonesia	Paniai	2000	45.5%	53.1%	38.2%
Indonesia	Paniai	2017	60.6%	67.9%	52.5%
Indonesia	Parepare	2000	86.8%	87.5%	86.1%
Indonesia	Parepare	2017	93.6%	93.9%	93.3%
Indonesia	Pariaman	2000	71.0%	71.8%	69.9%
Indonesia	Pariaman	2017	88.3%	88.8%	87.8%
Indonesia	Parigi Moutong	2000	53.9%	58.1%	49.8%
Indonesia	Parigi Moutong	2017	70.6%	74.6%	66.5%
Indonesia	Pasaman	2000	56.1%	61.2%	51.5%
Indonesia	Pasaman	2017	64.8%	70.1%	59.1%
Indonesia	Pasaman Barat	2000	53.8%	60.9%	46.8%
Indonesia	Pasaman Barat	2017	66.2%	73.3%	59.3%
Indonesia	Paser	2000	74.1%	78.7%	67.9%
Indonesia	Paser	2017	78.7%	82.8%	73.3%
Indonesia	Pasuruan	2000	83.1%	83.7%	82.5%
Indonesia	Pasuruan	2017	92.7%	93.0%	92.4%
Indonesia	Pati	2000	85.3%	85.8%	84.6%
Indonesia	Pati	2017	94.4%	94.6%	94.1%
Indonesia	Payakumbuh	2000	75.8%	76.7%	75.0%
Indonesia	Payakumbuh	2017	84.9%	85.5%	84.3%
Indonesia	Pegunungan Bintang	2000	56.9%	65.0%	48.1%
Indonesia	Pegunungan Bintang	2017	66.8%	74.0%	59.0%
Indonesia	Pekalongan	2000	79.8%	80.4%	79.2%
Indonesia	Pekalongan	2017	91.4%	91.7%	91.1%
Indonesia	Pekanbaru	2000	68.3%	76.1%	61.1%
Indonesia	Pekanbaru	2017	84.3%	89.9%	77.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Pelalawan	2000	50.6%	55.4%	46.1%
Indonesia	Pelalawan	2017	66.7%	71.2%	61.8%
Indonesia	Pemalang	2000	76.1%	76.8%	75.5%
Indonesia	Pemalang	2017	89.3%	89.7%	89.0%
Indonesia	Pematangsiantar	2000	93.5%	93.9%	93.1%
Indonesia	Pematangsiantar	2017	92.8%	93.3%	92.3%
Indonesia	Penajam	2000	78.4%	87.0%	68.3%
Indonesia	Paser Utara				
Indonesia	Penajam	2017	82.1%	90.3%	72.7%
Indonesia	Paser Utara				
Indonesia	Pesawaran	2000	64.0%	66.0%	62.2%
Indonesia	Pesawaran	2017	82.1%	83.9%	80.1%
Indonesia	Pesisir Selatan	2000	55.3%	61.1%	50.5%
Indonesia	Pesisir Selatan	2017	66.3%	71.0%	62.1%
Indonesia	Pidie	2000	68.8%	71.9%	65.5%
Indonesia	Pidie	2017	82.1%	84.9%	79.2%
Indonesia	Pidie Jaya	2000	46.3%	52.5%	40.0%
Indonesia	Pidie Jaya	2017	68.5%	73.0%	63.9%
Indonesia	Pinrang	2000	75.0%	75.8%	74.0%
Indonesia	Pinrang	2017	85.7%	86.6%	85.0%
Indonesia	Pohuwato	2000	69.5%	80.5%	58.6%
Indonesia	Pohuwato	2017	76.5%	86.1%	66.7%
Indonesia	Polewali Mandar	2000	63.8%	66.2%	61.9%
Indonesia	Polewali Mandar	2017	75.3%	78.0%	72.9%
Indonesia	Ponorogo	2000	75.6%	76.3%	75.0%
Indonesia	Ponorogo	2017	89.7%	90.0%	89.4%
Indonesia	Pontianak	2000	73.1%	78.6%	66.4%
Indonesia	Pontianak	2017	83.9%	88.4%	78.0%
Indonesia	Poso	2000	69.6%	72.9%	65.8%
Indonesia	Poso	2017	78.3%	81.3%	74.8%
Indonesia	Prabumulih	2000	70.3%	71.4%	69.0%
Indonesia	Prabumulih	2017	87.6%	88.2%	87.0%
Indonesia	Pringsewu	2000	61.4%	62.6%	60.3%
Indonesia	Pringsewu	2017	82.3%	83.2%	81.5%
Indonesia	Probolinggo	2000	68.8%	69.6%	67.9%
Indonesia	Probolinggo	2017	85.2%	85.8%	84.7%
Indonesia	Pulang Pisau	2000	52.7%	58.8%	47.2%
Indonesia	Pulang Pisau	2017	63.1%	68.4%	57.8%
Indonesia	Pulau Morotai	2000	61.9%	69.2%	54.4%
Indonesia	Pulau Morotai	2017	72.3%	79.2%	64.8%
Indonesia	Puncak	2000	59.2%	69.6%	48.8%
Indonesia	Puncak	2017	67.8%	76.1%	58.4%
Indonesia	Puncak Jaya	2000	56.1%	65.0%	48.0%
Indonesia	Puncak Jaya	2017	60.4%	70.7%	50.2%
Indonesia	Purbalingga	2000	66.5%	67.2%	65.9%
Indonesia	Purbalingga	2017	84.4%	84.9%	84.1%
Indonesia	Purwakarta	2000	55.1%	55.9%	54.2%
Indonesia	Purwakarta	2017	73.7%	74.3%	73.0%
Indonesia	Purworejo	2000	75.1%	75.8%	74.3%
Indonesia	Purworejo	2017	88.7%	89.1%	88.3%
Indonesia	Raja Ampat	2000	67.1%	81.3%	51.7%
Indonesia	Raja Ampat	2017	72.6%	84.7%	59.0%
Indonesia	Rejang	2000	56.6%	58.9%	54.5%
Indonesia	Lebong				
Indonesia	Rejang	2017	68.5%	70.8%	66.5%
Indonesia	Lebong				
Indonesia	Rembang	2000	85.7%	86.4%	85.1%
Indonesia	Rembang	2017	93.4%	93.8%	93.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Rokan Hilir	2000	59.7%	63.5%	55.7%
Indonesia	Rokan Hilir	2017	71.8%	75.5%	68.1%
Indonesia	Rokan Hulu	2000	46.0%	49.6%	42.2%
Indonesia	Rokan Hulu	2017	64.2%	67.3%	60.5%
Indonesia	Rote Ndao	2000	86.4%	99.3%	63.7%
Indonesia	Rote Ndao	2017	86.8%	99.5%	62.7%
Indonesia	Sabang	2000	85.4%	87.9%	82.7%
Indonesia	Sabang	2017	91.4%	93.6%	88.5%
Indonesia	Sabu Raijua	2000	71.1%	89.1%	38.5%
Indonesia	Sabu Raijua	2017	75.2%	91.5%	44.3%
Indonesia	Salatiga	2000	91.3%	91.7%	90.9%
Indonesia	Salatiga	2017	93.9%	94.2%	93.6%
Indonesia	Samarinda	2000	77.2%	78.3%	75.5%
Indonesia	Samarinda	2017	84.0%	85.0%	83.0%
Indonesia	Sambas	2000	75.8%	83.2%	68.5%
Indonesia	Sambas	2017	82.3%	88.9%	75.8%
Indonesia	Samosir	2000	45.4%	48.3%	42.5%
Indonesia	Samosir	2017	62.1%	64.3%	59.3%
Indonesia	Sampang	2000	60.5%	61.5%	59.3%
Indonesia	Sampang	2017	79.2%	79.9%	78.4%
Indonesia	Sanggau	2000	59.1%	66.7%	52.7%
Indonesia	Sanggau	2017	68.1%	74.7%	62.4%
Indonesia	Sarmi	2000	56.5%	69.7%	44.7%
Indonesia	Sarmi	2017	66.3%	78.8%	55.4%
Indonesia	Sarolangun	2000	40.1%	43.1%	37.2%
Indonesia	Sarolangun	2017	54.6%	58.0%	51.1%
Indonesia	Sawahlunto	2000	70.0%	71.2%	68.8%
Indonesia	Sawahlunto	2017	84.0%	84.8%	83.0%
Indonesia	Sekadau	2000	62.4%	72.2%	51.4%
Indonesia	Sekadau	2017	73.5%	80.6%	64.1%
Indonesia	Seluma	2000	25.7%	27.7%	23.6%
Indonesia	Seluma	2017	43.4%	45.6%	41.1%
Indonesia	Semarang	2000	78.4%	79.0%	77.7%
Indonesia	Semarang	2017	90.1%	90.4%	89.7%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Barat	2000	73.7%	78.0%	69.3%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Barat	2017	83.6%	87.3%	79.9%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Timur	2000	66.0%	75.3%	49.6%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Timur	2017	74.3%	82.5%	61.0%
Indonesia	Serang	2000	67.1%	68.0%	66.2%
Indonesia	Serang	2017	83.7%	84.3%	83.2%
Indonesia	Serdang Bedagai	2000	74.3%	75.5%	73.0%
Indonesia	Serdang Bedagai	2017	87.6%	88.4%	86.8%
Indonesia	Seruyan	2000	44.9%	50.5%	38.9%
Indonesia	Seruyan	2017	55.8%	61.2%	50.3%
Indonesia	Siak	2000	70.4%	78.5%	61.8%
Indonesia	Siak	2017	84.0%	89.4%	77.2%
Indonesia	Siau Tagulandang Biaro	2000	86.5%	89.3%	83.2%
Indonesia	Siau Tagulandang Biaro	2017	91.7%	94.9%	87.3%
Indonesia	Sibolga	2000	95.7%	96.1%	95.3%
Indonesia	Sibolga	2017	95.9%	96.4%	95.4%
Indonesia	Sidenreng Rappang	2000	76.2%	77.1%	75.3%
Indonesia	Sidenreng Rappang	2017	86.3%	87.3%	85.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Sidoarjo	2000	92.9%	93.1%	92.5%
Indonesia	Sidoarjo	2017	96.6%	96.7%	96.3%
Indonesia	Sigi	2000	67.9%	70.1%	65.2%
Indonesia	Sigi	2017	80.3%	82.2%	77.8%
Indonesia	Sijunjung	2000	55.6%	59.1%	51.5%
Indonesia	Sijunjung	2017	67.3%	71.4%	62.8%
Indonesia	Sikka	2000	78.0%	89.0%	67.4%
Indonesia	Sikka	2017	80.3%	90.8%	68.9%
Indonesia	Simalungun	2000	74.3%	75.4%	73.2%
Indonesia	Simalungun	2017	81.3%	82.7%	80.2%
Indonesia	Simeulue	2000	36.3%	41.3%	31.7%
Indonesia	Simeulue	2017	53.8%	58.0%	49.3%
Indonesia	Singkawang	2000	85.7%	90.4%	76.8%
Indonesia	Singkawang	2017	92.8%	95.8%	86.6%
Indonesia	Sinjai	2000	60.8%	61.8%	59.6%
Indonesia	Sinjai	2017	76.1%	76.9%	75.2%
Indonesia	Sintang	2000	50.1%	55.8%	43.3%
Indonesia	Sintang	2017	60.5%	65.6%	54.0%
Indonesia	Situbondo	2000	74.9%	76.7%	72.5%
Indonesia	Situbondo	2017	88.9%	89.9%	87.5%
Indonesia	Sleman	2000	82.9%	83.5%	82.4%
Indonesia	Sleman	2017	93.8%	94.0%	93.5%
Indonesia	Solok	2000	61.9%	64.9%	59.3%
Indonesia	Solok	2017	70.5%	73.8%	67.5%
Indonesia	Solok Selatan	2000	54.8%	61.0%	48.0%
Indonesia	Solok Selatan	2017	63.2%	69.4%	55.3%
Indonesia	Soppeng	2000	71.3%	72.3%	70.0%
Indonesia	Soppeng	2017	85.6%	86.4%	84.6%
Indonesia	Sorong	2000	62.0%	67.6%	55.7%
Indonesia	Sorong	2017	71.6%	76.1%	66.3%
Indonesia	Sorong Selatan	2000	67.9%	81.1%	52.1%
Indonesia	Sorong Selatan	2017	74.0%	84.6%	61.0%
Indonesia	Sragen	2000	87.8%	88.3%	87.4%
Indonesia	Sragen	2017	94.9%	95.2%	94.7%
Indonesia	Subang	2000	76.9%	77.7%	76.1%
Indonesia	Subang	2017	89.6%	90.0%	89.2%
Indonesia	Subulussalam	2000	77.5%	86.8%	67.4%
Indonesia	Subulussalam	2017	80.8%	87.2%	73.4%
Indonesia	Sukabumi	2000	57.8%	59.0%	56.5%
Indonesia	Sukabumi	2017	76.8%	77.6%	75.8%
Indonesia	Sukamara	2000	53.0%	63.2%	41.0%
Indonesia	Sukamara	2017	65.9%	72.6%	57.2%
Indonesia	Sukoharjo	2000	87.1%	87.5%	86.7%
Indonesia	Sukoharjo	2017	94.8%	95.0%	94.6%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat	2000	59.6%	81.2%	36.8%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat	2017	67.8%	85.2%	44.8%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat Daya	2000	85.2%	92.2%	77.7%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat Daya	2017	85.0%	91.2%	78.8%
Indonesia	Sumba Tengah	2000	68.8%	77.2%	61.0%
Indonesia	Sumba Tengah	2017	71.8%	80.1%	64.6%
Indonesia	Sumba Timur	2000	66.7%	79.8%	49.6%
Indonesia	Sumba Timur	2017	70.9%	82.8%	56.1%
Indonesia	Sumbawa	2000	74.7%	77.1%	72.1%
Indonesia	Sumbawa	2017	84.0%	86.4%	81.1%
Indonesia	Sumbawa Barat	2000	78.7%	85.4%	71.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Sumbawa Barat	2017	88.5%	92.2%	83.8%
Indonesia	Sumedang	2000	76.8%	77.7%	75.9%
Indonesia	Sumedang	2017	90.5%	90.9%	89.9%
Indonesia	Sumenep	2000	81.2%	82.4%	80.0%
Indonesia	Sumenep	2017	89.9%	90.8%	88.8%
Indonesia	Sungai Penuh	2000	87.2%	88.4%	86.2%
Indonesia	Sungai Penuh	2017	88.3%	89.4%	87.2%
Indonesia	Supiori	2000	67.6%	86.4%	47.3%
Indonesia	Supiori	2017	74.3%	91.9%	54.8%
Indonesia	Surabaya	2000	97.1%	97.3%	96.8%
Indonesia	Surabaya	2017	98.0%	98.2%	97.8%
Indonesia	Surakarta	2000	87.2%	87.6%	86.8%
Indonesia	Surakarta	2017	93.6%	93.8%	93.3%
Indonesia	Tabalong	2000	56.1%	57.5%	55.0%
Indonesia	Tabalong	2017	65.2%	66.8%	63.7%
Indonesia	Tabanan	2000	86.4%	87.1%	85.7%
Indonesia	Tabanan	2017	92.0%	92.5%	91.4%
Indonesia	Takalar	2000	65.4%	66.3%	64.5%
Indonesia	Takalar	2017	84.9%	85.6%	84.3%
Indonesia	Tambrauw	2000	59.2%	77.4%	37.2%
Indonesia	Tambrauw	2017	64.1%	78.8%	46.2%
Indonesia	Tana Tidung	2000	70.4%	82.2%	57.7%
Indonesia	Tana Tidung	2017	76.1%	86.1%	64.5%
Indonesia	Tana Toraja	2000	50.9%	52.8%	48.9%
Indonesia	Tana Toraja	2017	62.6%	64.5%	60.6%
Indonesia	Tanah Bumbu	2000	51.7%	57.7%	46.3%
Indonesia	Tanah Bumbu	2017	63.2%	68.0%	58.2%
Indonesia	Tanah Datar	2000	65.6%	67.0%	64.2%
Indonesia	Tanah Datar	2017	78.5%	79.8%	77.1%
Indonesia	Tanah Laut	2000	32.9%	36.3%	30.0%
Indonesia	Tanah Laut	2017	48.0%	51.1%	44.3%
Indonesia	Tangerang	2000	79.4%	80.1%	78.8%
Indonesia	Tangerang	2017	90.9%	91.3%	90.6%
Indonesia	Tangerang Selatan	2000	83.8%	84.3%	83.3%
Indonesia	Tangerang Selatan	2017	93.7%	93.9%	93.4%
Indonesia	Tanggamus	2000	43.6%	45.8%	41.4%
Indonesia	Tanggamus	2017	61.5%	64.3%	59.0%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung B	2000	72.1%	75.9%	68.3%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung B	2017	84.9%	87.9%	81.3%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung T	2000	86.1%	89.7%	80.8%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung T	2017	92.1%	95.2%	87.1%
Indonesia	Tanjungpinang	2000	86.4%	91.5%	78.5%
Indonesia	Tanjungpinang	2017	91.8%	95.2%	86.2%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Selatan	2000	49.9%	52.4%	47.4%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Selatan	2017	65.9%	68.3%	63.1%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Tengah	2000	58.3%	59.9%	56.6%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Tengah	2017	65.9%	67.6%	64.4%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Utara	2000	59.7%	61.1%	58.0%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Utara	2017	75.4%	76.9%	73.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Tapin	2000	50.8%	52.1%	49.6%
Indonesia	Tapin	2017	54.9%	56.4%	53.5%
Indonesia	Tarakan	2000	86.4%	95.8%	76.1%
Indonesia	Tarakan	2017	87.0%	95.3%	80.5%
Indonesia	Tasikmalaya	2000	49.7%	50.7%	48.8%
Indonesia	Tasikmalaya	2017	73.3%	74.1%	72.6%
Indonesia	Tebingtinggi	2000	81.6%	82.5%	80.7%
Indonesia	Tebingtinggi	2017	91.6%	92.0%	91.1%
Indonesia	Tebo	2000	47.9%	51.2%	45.1%
Indonesia	Tebo	2017	65.2%	68.8%	61.7%
Indonesia	Tegal	2000	81.0%	81.5%	80.5%
Indonesia	Tegal	2017	91.8%	92.1%	91.5%
Indonesia	Teluk Bintuni	2000	60.2%	69.2%	49.4%
Indonesia	Teluk Bintuni	2017	69.8%	79.6%	58.5%
Indonesia	Teluk Wondama	2000	68.2%	80.5%	48.6%
Indonesia	Teluk Wondama	2017	73.2%	82.9%	60.1%
Indonesia	Temanggung	2000	79.0%	79.8%	78.3%
Indonesia	Temanggung	2017	91.1%	91.5%	90.7%
Indonesia	Ternate	2000	72.6%	75.7%	70.2%
Indonesia	Ternate	2017	81.4%	83.3%	78.6%
Indonesia	Tidore Kepulauan	2000	61.8%	80.6%	43.4%
Indonesia	Tidore Kepulauan	2017	73.6%	87.9%	56.3%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Selatan	2000	54.0%	68.4%	41.2%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Selatan	2017	63.0%	75.5%	50.3%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Utara	2000	71.6%	80.7%	59.8%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Utara	2017	77.9%	86.9%	66.2%
Indonesia	Toba Samosir	2000	60.0%	62.8%	57.2%
Indonesia	Toba Samosir	2017	74.0%	77.0%	70.4%
Indonesia	Tojo Una-Una	2000	64.9%	71.3%	57.9%
Indonesia	Tojo Una-Una	2017	78.8%	83.2%	72.9%
Indonesia	Toli-Toli	2000	64.9%	69.2%	60.1%
Indonesia	Toli-Toli	2017	72.4%	75.9%	68.7%
Indonesia	Tolikara	2000	64.5%	74.8%	55.5%
Indonesia	Tolikara	2017	71.8%	79.4%	64.7%
Indonesia	Tomohon	2000	85.2%	85.8%	84.5%
Indonesia	Tomohon	2017	91.2%	91.5%	90.9%
Indonesia	Toraja Utara	2000	58.2%	59.6%	56.8%
Indonesia	Toraja Utara	2017	72.2%	73.5%	70.9%
Indonesia	Trenggalek	2000	63.7%	64.6%	62.7%
Indonesia	Trenggalek	2017	82.0%	82.7%	81.2%
Indonesia	Tual	2000	58.6%	64.8%	51.1%
Indonesia	Tual	2017	57.6%	65.6%	47.7%
Indonesia	Tuban	2000	81.9%	82.5%	81.3%
Indonesia	Tuban	2017	91.7%	92.2%	91.3%
Indonesia	Tulang Bawang Barat	2000	46.6%	49.3%	44.0%
Indonesia	Tulang Bawang Barat	2017	67.7%	69.4%	66.1%
Indonesia	Tulangbawang	2000	61.6%	66.1%	55.2%
Indonesia	Tulangbawang	2017	73.9%	77.6%	69.1%
Indonesia	Tulungagung	2000	80.4%	81.1%	79.7%
Indonesia	Tulungagung	2017	91.9%	92.3%	91.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Waduk Cirata	2000	74.9%	80.9%	66.4%
Indonesia	Waduk Cirata	2017	88.9%	91.6%	84.9%
Indonesia	Waduk Kedungombo	2000	76.7%	81.3%	72.0%
Indonesia	Waduk Kedungombo	2017	90.5%	92.5%	88.3%
Indonesia	Wajo	2000	64.5%	65.6%	63.6%
Indonesia	Wajo	2017	79.7%	80.5%	78.9%
Indonesia	Wakatobi	2000	76.6%	78.5%	74.1%
Indonesia	Wakatobi	2017	83.2%	84.8%	81.0%
Indonesia	Waropen	2000	59.5%	71.0%	47.8%
Indonesia	Waropen	2017	71.1%	80.7%	60.6%
Indonesia	Way Kanan	2000	47.5%	49.1%	45.8%
Indonesia	Way Kanan	2017	67.2%	68.3%	66.0%
Indonesia	Wonogiri	2000	78.5%	79.2%	77.8%
Indonesia	Wonogiri	2017	90.5%	91.0%	90.1%
Indonesia	Wonosobo	2000	75.4%	76.1%	74.8%
Indonesia	Wonosobo	2017	86.7%	87.2%	86.2%
Indonesia	Yahukimo	2000	52.3%	57.4%	46.8%
Indonesia	Yahukimo	2017	62.5%	66.8%	58.1%
Indonesia	Yalimo	2000	55.3%	62.7%	46.6%
Indonesia	Yalimo	2017	68.2%	75.9%	59.7%
Laos	Atsaphangthong	2000	32.7%	58.0%	11.2%
Laos	Atsaphangthong	2017	77.9%	93.1%	58.3%
Laos	Atsaphone	2000	37.3%	61.6%	18.6%
Laos	Atsaphone	2017	82.1%	94.3%	65.6%
Laos	Bachiangchaleunsok	2000	34.7%	53.2%	18.4%
Laos	Bachiangchaleunsok	2017	82.2%	92.6%	66.3%
Laos	Beng	2000	46.4%	68.3%	25.8%
Laos	Beng	2017	78.3%	92.3%	57.4%
Laos	Bolikhanh	2000	36.3%	58.0%	17.4%
Laos	Bolikhanh	2017	82.1%	94.4%	59.7%
Laos	Boon Neua	2000	44.6%	69.9%	23.5%
Laos	Boon Neua	2017	83.9%	96.4%	61.4%
Laos	Boontai	2000	38.3%	59.6%	22.4%
Laos	Boontai	2017	79.1%	91.3%	64.9%
Laos	Botene	2000	68.1%	84.5%	53.5%
Laos	Botene	2017	90.4%	98.3%	78.9%
Laos	Bualapha	2000	39.4%	58.4%	23.8%
Laos	Bualapha	2017	80.2%	90.0%	65.0%
Laos	Champpassack	2000	63.3%	79.0%	43.6%
Laos	Champpassack	2017	93.3%	97.7%	85.3%
Laos	Champhone	2000	31.4%	48.9%	14.8%
Laos	Champhone	2017	81.4%	92.4%	66.5%
Laos	Chanthabuly	2000	96.5%	97.8%	94.2%
Laos	Chanthabuly	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
Laos	Chomphet	2000	66.1%	76.8%	53.8%
Laos	Chomphet	2017	90.9%	96.2%	83.4%
Laos	Dakcheung	2000	41.5%	58.1%	23.3%
Laos	Dakcheung	2017	80.3%	91.3%	65.7%
Laos	Feuang	2000	49.1%	80.5%	24.2%
Laos	Feuang	2017	85.6%	97.7%	63.3%
Laos	Hadxaifong	2000	66.6%	85.5%	43.9%
Laos	Hadxaifong	2017	94.8%	99.4%	83.1%
Laos	Hinboon	2000	40.4%	54.2%	28.3%
Laos	Hinboon	2017	82.6%	91.9%	71.6%
Laos	Hinhurp	2000	41.6%	63.8%	19.3%
Laos	Hinhurp	2017	82.6%	94.6%	63.6%
Laos	Hom	2000	36.2%	65.3%	14.6%
Laos	Hom	2017	75.7%	92.8%	48.8%
Laos	Hongsa	2000	44.9%	69.3%	20.4%
Laos	Hongsa	2017	84.3%	93.6%	61.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Hoon	2000	43.8%	61.3%	24.5%
Laos	Hoon	2017	75.5%	88.1%	59.2%
Laos	Houixai	2000	43.5%	65.3%	23.6%
Laos	Houixai	2017	84.5%	94.4%	68.3%
Laos	Huameuang	2000	45.9%	61.0%	31.1%
Laos	Huameuang	2017	80.6%	89.8%	68.4%
Laos	Kaleum	2000	46.3%	63.9%	27.9%
Laos	Kaleum	2017	83.7%	92.9%	69.1%
Laos	Kasy	2000	36.5%	58.4%	17.7%
Laos	Kasy	2017	80.9%	93.4%	63.8%
Laos	Kenethao	2000	42.7%	60.6%	24.6%
Laos	Kenethao	2017	84.1%	94.7%	69.2%
Laos	Keo Oudom	2000	51.0%	79.9%	25.6%
Laos	Keo Oudom	2017	91.2%	97.8%	75.8%
Laos	Kham	2000	46.8%	67.0%	25.7%
Laos	Kham	2017	85.7%	94.4%	70.8%
Laos	Khamkheuth	2000	41.7%	59.6%	24.6%
Laos	Khamkheuth	2017	83.3%	92.4%	69.2%
Laos	Khanthabouly	2000	51.8%	70.9%	31.8%
Laos	Khanthabouly	2017	90.7%	96.7%	80.2%
Laos	Khong	2000	19.8%	33.8%	8.5%
Laos	Khong	2017	67.2%	79.3%	51.8%
Laos	Khongxedone	2000	40.5%	61.7%	18.7%
Laos	Khongxedone	2017	80.2%	89.3%	61.9%
Laos	Khop	2000	43.2%	71.2%	15.9%
Laos	Khop	2017	75.2%	92.0%	45.8%
Laos	Khoune	2000	49.5%	70.1%	26.3%
Laos	Khoune	2017	81.8%	93.6%	63.2%
Laos	Khua	2000	49.0%	65.1%	31.1%
Laos	Khua	2017	85.6%	94.2%	72.9%
Laos	La	2000	56.0%	72.6%	38.6%
Laos	La	2017	80.2%	91.3%	66.5%
Laos	Lakhonepheng	2000	30.1%	46.7%	14.0%
Laos	Lakhonepheng	2017	80.5%	90.9%	66.1%
Laos	Lamarm	2000	47.4%	62.5%	31.9%
Laos	Lamarm	2017	83.9%	91.8%	74.3%
Laos	Lao Ngarm	2000	40.7%	55.6%	27.0%
Laos	Lao Ngarm	2017	76.7%	87.6%	61.2%
Laos	Long	2000	46.1%	66.2%	28.4%
Laos	Long	2017	81.9%	92.8%	65.0%
Laos	Longsane	2000	35.3%	67.5%	12.1%
Laos	Longsane	2017	76.2%	94.3%	50.4%
Laos	Louangphrabang	2000	48.7%	62.7%	36.7%
Laos	Louangphrabang	2017	85.2%	93.5%	76.4%
Laos	Mad	2000	47.0%	68.4%	27.9%
Laos	Mad	2017	83.1%	95.0%	65.8%
Laos	Mahaxay	2000	36.8%	54.8%	20.0%
Laos	Mahaxay	2017	81.6%	92.3%	62.9%
Laos	May	2000	41.2%	57.7%	27.2%
Laos	May	2017	80.3%	89.8%	67.0%
Laos	Mayparkngum	2000	46.2%	71.9%	25.1%
Laos	Mayparkngum	2017	85.0%	96.7%	68.5%
Laos	Meung	2000	41.8%	70.5%	16.1%
Laos	Meung	2017	79.8%	94.6%	55.8%
Laos	Moonlapamok	2000	21.6%	35.3%	11.7%
Laos	Moonlapamok	2017	65.6%	80.2%	52.3%
Laos	Morkmay	2000	44.3%	68.7%	22.9%
Laos	Morkmay	2017	79.0%	93.7%	55.0%
Laos	Muang Et	2000	52.5%	79.8%	21.9%
Laos	Muang Et	2017	86.6%	97.2%	62.0%
Laos	Nakai	2000	40.0%	57.3%	26.0%
Laos	Nakai	2017	80.3%	90.7%	69.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Nalae	2000	46.7%	72.3%	26.4%
Laos	Nalae	2017	81.0%	94.5%	64.3%
Laos	Nam You	2000	19.0%	39.7%	4.0%
Laos	Nam You	2017	65.0%	79.3%	45.9%
Laos	Nambak	2000	50.7%	66.6%	31.8%
Laos	Nambak	2017	84.2%	93.1%	70.4%
Laos	Namor	2000	47.0%	64.7%	31.7%
Laos	Namor	2017	80.1%	91.0%	64.6%
Laos	Namtha	2000	59.5%	71.9%	46.4%
Laos	Namtha	2017	92.1%	96.8%	84.7%
Laos	Nan	2000	53.6%	70.5%	33.1%
Laos	Nan	2017	86.8%	94.1%	75.6%
Laos	Naxaithong	2000	42.2%	62.5%	19.1%
Laos	Naxaithong	2017	82.1%	93.1%	64.8%
Laos	Nga	2000	41.7%	60.8%	26.1%
Laos	Nga	2017	80.6%	90.8%	68.3%
Laos	Ngeun	2000	41.4%	75.0%	15.8%
Laos	Ngeun	2017	79.2%	96.7%	44.9%
Laos	Ngoi	2000	46.5%	63.1%	31.2%
Laos	Ngoi	2017	81.9%	90.8%	68.3%
Laos	Nhommalath	2000	35.5%	60.8%	15.9%
Laos	Nhommalath	2017	79.2%	92.7%	59.5%
Laos	Nhot Ou	2000	49.0%	64.4%	32.4%
Laos	Nhot Ou	2017	82.6%	91.4%	70.9%
Laos	Nong	2000	43.5%	62.1%	21.7%
Laos	Nong	2017	82.3%	94.0%	63.5%
Laos	Nongbok	2000	41.7%	62.0%	23.2%
Laos	Nongbok	2017	90.2%	97.8%	74.5%
Laos	Nonghed	2000	43.8%	63.1%	25.2%
Laos	Nonghed	2017	80.3%	90.9%	65.7%
Laos	Outhoomphone	2000	42.2%	58.8%	23.8%
Laos	Outhoomphone	2017	85.1%	94.7%	70.5%
Laos	Pak Xeng	2000	43.1%	60.8%	24.6%
Laos	Pak Xeng	2017	78.3%	89.6%	60.4%
Laos	Pakbeng	2000	44.4%	64.8%	25.9%
Laos	Pakbeng	2017	82.1%	93.8%	67.8%
Laos	Pakkading	2000	37.8%	57.0%	22.3%
Laos	Pakkading	2017	83.8%	93.7%	70.4%
Laos	Paksane	2000	51.3%	67.6%	31.1%
Laos	Paksane	2017	88.3%	96.5%	76.6%
Laos	Paksong	2000	45.8%	57.9%	34.9%
Laos	Paksong	2017	86.2%	94.0%	76.1%
Laos	Paktha	2000	48.0%	74.5%	21.7%
Laos	Paktha	2017	82.3%	94.3%	64.3%
Laos	Pakxe	2000	85.3%	94.5%	71.8%
Laos	Pakxe	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.3%
Laos	Park Ou	2000	42.0%	65.4%	21.9%
Laos	Park Ou	2017	83.1%	95.4%	65.8%
Laos	Parklai	2000	33.5%	50.5%	18.4%
Laos	Parklai	2017	72.9%	83.8%	57.4%
Laos	Pathoomphone	2000	39.5%	53.6%	23.1%
Laos	Pathoomphone	2017	84.7%	93.9%	72.5%
Laos	Pek	2000	37.7%	47.9%	25.8%
Laos	Pek	2017	81.8%	90.6%	70.5%
Laos	Pha Oudom	2000	35.6%	52.4%	19.1%
Laos	Pha Oudom	2017	77.0%	88.7%	59.6%
Laos	Phaxay	2000	55.6%	82.9%	26.3%
Laos	Phaxay	2017	83.7%	97.5%	57.3%
Laos	Phiang	2000	30.8%	50.2%	17.4%
Laos	Phiang	2017	77.4%	87.0%	63.0%
Laos	Phine	2000	42.7%	60.8%	25.7%
Laos	Phine	2017	82.5%	90.9%	70.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Phongsaly	2000	44.3%	63.1%	29.8%
Laos	Phongsaly	2017	83.8%	92.9%	71.9%
Laos	Phonhong	2000	59.5%	83.9%	37.4%
Laos	Phonhong	2017	91.8%	99.1%	67.8%
Laos	Phonthong	2000	68.4%	78.3%	56.2%
Laos	Phonthong	2017	96.0%	98.8%	90.7%
Laos	Phonxay	2000	51.0%	70.8%	32.0%
Laos	Phonxay	2017	82.6%	93.1%	68.2%
Laos	Phookood	2000	43.9%	60.0%	28.7%
Laos	Phookood	2017	80.4%	90.1%	67.6%
Laos	Phoukhoun	2000	47.6%	68.6%	27.9%
Laos	Phoukhoun	2017	83.6%	95.6%	62.7%
Laos	Phouvong	2000	41.6%	64.9%	18.5%
Laos	Phouvong	2017	82.8%	93.0%	61.8%
Laos	Phun	2000	37.9%	61.0%	18.4%
Laos	Phun	2017	78.8%	92.6%	60.7%
Laos	Samakkhixay	2000	60.8%	82.2%	41.2%
Laos	Samakkhixay	2017	91.9%	99.4%	76.5%
Laos	Samphanh	2000	44.2%	62.6%	27.4%
Laos	Samphanh	2017	80.5%	92.0%	65.6%
Laos	Samuoi	2000	49.1%	76.3%	24.4%
Laos	Samuoi	2017	87.9%	98.4%	67.5%
Laos	Sanamxay	2000	43.3%	64.2%	22.0%
Laos	Sanamxay	2017	83.9%	94.2%	66.6%
Laos	Sanasomboon	2000	33.5%	47.3%	22.3%
Laos	Sanasomboon	2017	78.6%	89.0%	63.6%
Laos	Sangthong	2000	41.6%	64.6%	18.4%
Laos	Sangthong	2017	81.4%	95.5%	57.3%
Laos	Sanxay	2000	38.9%	61.4%	20.1%
Laos	Sanxay	2017	78.9%	91.5%	62.4%
Laos	Saravane	2000	50.6%	66.2%	37.1%
Laos	Saravane	2017	82.8%	90.1%	73.4%
Laos	Sepone	2000	47.5%	67.9%	26.3%
Laos	Sepone	2017	83.0%	94.3%	62.2%
Laos	Sikhottabong	2000	83.3%	94.9%	65.6%
Laos	Sikhottabong	2017	98.4%	99.9%	91.3%
Laos	Sing	2000	54.8%	79.8%	25.6%
Laos	Sing	2017	88.4%	97.0%	65.8%
Laos	Sisattanak	2000	83.8%	91.6%	72.9%
Laos	Sisattanak	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Laos	Songkhone	2000	41.1%	61.3%	25.3%
Laos	Songkhone	2017	84.7%	93.9%	72.7%
Laos	Sopbao	2000	47.3%	68.4%	25.4%
Laos	Sopbao	2017	85.5%	96.9%	67.3%
Laos	Sukhuma	2000	31.4%	52.0%	15.7%
Laos	Sukhuma	2017	81.4%	94.0%	64.8%
Laos	Ta Oi	2000	39.9%	57.6%	25.6%
Laos	Ta Oi	2017	76.0%	89.6%	59.3%
Laos	Thakhek	2000	52.9%	69.3%	38.2%
Laos	Thakhek	2017	91.4%	97.5%	81.4%
Laos	Thapangthong	2000	42.4%	58.8%	24.1%
Laos	Thapangthong	2017	82.6%	91.5%	69.4%
Laos	Thaphabath	2000	37.8%	58.4%	16.7%
Laos	Thaphabath	2017	81.9%	92.6%	58.3%
Laos	Thaphalanxay	2000	36.0%	66.2%	12.8%
Laos	Thaphalanxay	2017	74.5%	92.2%	48.9%
Laos	Thateng	2000	33.3%	52.3%	16.7%
Laos	Thateng	2017	81.2%	92.3%	67.9%
Laos	Thathom	2000	44.1%	74.7%	20.1%
Laos	Thathom	2017	81.9%	96.0%	62.6%
Laos	Thongmyxay	2000	37.1%	60.4%	16.0%
Laos	Thongmyxay	2017	83.8%	95.8%	62.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Thoulakhom	2000	38.2%	65.5%	16.7%
Laos	Thoulakhom	2017	83.0%	95.4%	61.6%
Laos	Tonpheung	2000	38.5%	66.4%	12.5%
Laos	Tonpheung	2017	76.6%	92.6%	47.3%
Laos	Toomlarn	2000	58.0%	80.2%	31.6%
Laos	Toomlarn	2017	90.9%	98.6%	73.1%
Laos	Vangvieng	2000	43.7%	67.2%	25.9%
Laos	Vangvieng	2017	86.0%	96.1%	70.4%
Laos	Vapy	2000	32.5%	53.6%	16.2%
Laos	Vapy	2017	78.1%	90.6%	60.8%
Laos	Viengkham	2000	43.2%	57.1%	28.3%
Laos	Viengkham	2000	44.2%	62.5%	30.9%
Laos	Viengkham	2017	95.4%	98.4%	90.6%
Laos	Viengkham	2017	81.3%	89.8%	67.7%
Laos	Viengphoukha	2000	42.4%	70.5%	19.7%
Laos	Viengphoukha	2017	77.9%	94.0%	52.7%
Laos	Viengthong	2000	38.2%	56.9%	21.8%
Laos	Viengthong	2000	37.9%	51.5%	26.2%
Laos	Viengthong	2017	76.1%	88.9%	61.8%
Laos	Viengthong	2017	67.5%	79.3%	54.2%
Laos	Viengxay	2000	41.3%	62.0%	21.2%
Laos	Viengxay	2017	78.3%	91.8%	57.5%
Laos	Vilabuly	2000	37.6%	55.7%	21.2%
Laos	Vilabuly	2017	79.0%	92.0%	61.2%
Laos	Xamneua	2000	50.7%	64.6%	35.6%
Laos	Xamneua	2017	83.7%	92.2%	73.2%
Laos	Xamtay	2000	32.9%	41.7%	24.1%
Laos	Xamtay	2017	70.0%	79.3%	59.5%
Laos	Xanakharm	2000	43.0%	62.2%	24.9%
Laos	Xanakharm	2017	82.7%	93.4%	65.3%
Laos	Xay	2000	62.3%	77.1%	39.3%
Laos	Xay	2017	89.8%	95.8%	82.4%
Laos	Xayabury	2000	35.9%	50.4%	22.5%
Laos	Xayabury	2017	81.8%	90.3%	68.6%
Laos	Xaybuathong	2000	33.4%	58.7%	13.0%
Laos	Xaybuathong	2017	74.9%	91.9%	51.2%
Laos	Xaybuly	2000	38.1%	61.9%	16.5%
Laos	Xaybuly	2017	84.0%	95.6%	64.8%
Laos	Xayphoothong	2000	49.1%	75.6%	25.2%
Laos	Xayphoothong	2017	83.4%	95.8%	62.9%
Laos	Xaysetha	2000	73.5%	84.2%	65.3%
Laos	Xaysetha	2000	36.5%	51.7%	22.9%
Laos	Xaysetha	2017	84.9%	92.3%	75.1%
Laos	Xaysetha	2017	91.8%	98.2%	84.9%
Laos	Xaysomboun	2000	42.5%	65.3%	22.7%
Laos	Xaysomboun	2017	80.9%	92.8%	62.2%
Laos	Xaythany	2000	51.6%	66.4%	37.8%
Laos	Xaythany	2017	84.7%	93.6%	71.4%
Laos	Xebangfay	2000	34.4%	58.5%	14.1%
Laos	Xebangfay	2017	80.7%	92.5%	59.7%
Laos	Xieng Ngeun	2000	46.5%	71.4%	25.6%
Laos	Xieng Ngeun	2017	81.1%	93.9%	64.3%
Laos	Xienghone	2000	39.2%	68.4%	12.8%
Laos	Xienghone	2017	78.0%	94.5%	51.5%
Laos	Xiengkhor	2000	54.7%	78.9%	30.4%
Laos	Xiengkhor	2017	88.2%	96.7%	71.2%
Laos	Xonbuly	2000	43.3%	66.4%	21.6%
Laos	Xonbuly	2017	82.9%	94.3%	60.7%
Myanmar	Bassein	2000	61.2%	67.7%	55.3%
Myanmar	Bassein	2017	82.7%	87.1%	78.4%
Myanmar	Bawlake	2000	63.9%	72.8%	55.3%
Myanmar	Bawlake	2017	82.7%	88.8%	75.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Myanmar	Bhamo	2000	63.2%	69.3%	57.3%
Myanmar	Bhamo	2017	85.2%	88.8%	80.9%
Myanmar	Buthidaung	2000	47.4%	58.0%	38.6%
Myanmar	Buthidaung	2017	74.4%	82.0%	66.5%
Myanmar	Dawei	2000	59.0%	64.4%	53.8%
Myanmar	Dawei	2017	82.5%	86.1%	78.7%
Myanmar	Hinthada	2000	57.1%	65.2%	50.1%
Myanmar	Hinthada	2017	79.3%	85.3%	72.7%
Myanmar	Hkamti	2000	53.7%	58.6%	48.9%
Myanmar	Hkamti	2017	73.6%	77.6%	68.6%
Myanmar	Hpa-an	2000	52.7%	60.0%	45.2%
Myanmar	Hpa-an	2017	77.3%	82.5%	71.1%
Myanmar	Kalemyo	2000	67.7%	74.4%	60.2%
Myanmar	Kalemyo	2017	87.1%	91.3%	82.8%
Myanmar	Katha	2000	58.3%	63.1%	53.2%
Myanmar	Katha	2017	80.4%	84.2%	76.4%
Myanmar	Kawkareik	2000	51.4%	56.7%	45.7%
Myanmar	Kawkareik	2017	77.4%	81.3%	72.4%
Myanmar	Kawthoung	2000	62.2%	68.9%	56.0%
Myanmar	Kawthoung	2017	82.2%	86.5%	77.9%
Myanmar	Kengtung	2000	65.2%	71.5%	58.4%
Myanmar	Kengtung	2017	81.7%	86.2%	76.2%
Myanmar	Kunlong	2000	59.8%	71.6%	47.5%
Myanmar	Kunlong	2017	83.6%	90.6%	75.0%
Myanmar	Kyaukme	2000	59.3%	64.8%	54.3%
Myanmar	Kyaukme	2017	81.6%	84.8%	78.0%
Myanmar	Kyaukse	2000	63.9%	73.4%	53.4%
Myanmar	Kyaukse	2017	82.3%	89.0%	74.5%
Myanmar	Kyaunkpyu	2000	50.4%	58.4%	43.0%
Myanmar	Kyaunkpyu	2017	75.6%	82.2%	68.7%
Myanmar	Lasho	2000	55.1%	59.7%	50.3%
Myanmar	Lasho	2017	75.6%	79.5%	72.4%
Myanmar	Lauking	2000	58.2%	70.8%	42.2%
Myanmar	Lauking	2017	79.0%	88.6%	64.6%
Myanmar	Loikaw	2000	64.1%	69.5%	58.6%
Myanmar	Loikaw	2017	85.4%	88.6%	81.7%
Myanmar	Loilen	2000	57.9%	63.2%	53.0%
Myanmar	Loilen	2017	79.8%	82.7%	76.2%
Myanmar	Magwe Minbu	2000	58.7%	65.0%	51.6%
Myanmar	Magwe Minbu	2017	82.1%	86.2%	77.0%
Myanmar	Mandalay	2000	75.4%	79.3%	70.3%
Myanmar	Mandalay	2017	91.9%	93.7%	89.6%
Myanmar	Maubin	2000	51.0%	59.1%	43.5%
Myanmar	Maubin	2017	75.4%	81.3%	68.6%
Myanmar	Maungtaw	2000	50.3%	63.1%	37.2%
Myanmar	Maungtaw	2017	76.3%	86.5%	62.9%
Myanmar	Mawlamyine	2000	63.7%	70.3%	55.5%
Myanmar	Mawlamyine	2017	86.1%	89.6%	81.3%
Myanmar	Mawleik	2000	62.3%	71.0%	55.1%
Myanmar	Mawleik	2017	83.3%	88.6%	78.0%
Myanmar	Meiktila	2000	66.0%	73.7%	57.4%
Myanmar	Meiktila	2017	86.0%	91.3%	79.4%
Myanmar	Mergui	2000	57.0%	62.2%	51.9%
Myanmar	Mergui	2017	80.2%	83.3%	76.4%
Myanmar	Minbu	2000	63.0%	70.2%	54.2%
Myanmar	Minbu	2017	85.2%	89.4%	79.7%
Myanmar	Mindat	2000	54.6%	59.7%	49.6%
Myanmar	Mindat	2017	76.1%	79.8%	71.9%
Myanmar	Mongphat	2000	58.1%	64.7%	51.1%
Myanmar	Mongphat	2017	78.8%	83.7%	73.6%
Myanmar	Mongsat	2000	62.5%	69.5%	54.3%
Myanmar	Mongsat	2017	81.9%	86.3%	76.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Myanmar	Monywa	2000	60.3%	66.6%	52.4%
Myanmar	Monywa	2017	83.7%	87.2%	78.6%
Myanmar	Muse	2000	62.9%	71.8%	53.2%
Myanmar	Muse	2017	82.7%	88.1%	76.0%
Myanmar	Myawady	2000	51.9%	61.1%	42.7%
Myanmar	Myawady	2017	78.4%	84.9%	70.8%
Myanmar	Myingyan	2000	59.1%	66.0%	52.3%
Myanmar	Myingyan	2017	81.0%	85.4%	75.6%
Myanmar	Myitkyina	2000	60.3%	63.8%	56.9%
Myanmar	Myitkyina	2017	83.4%	85.6%	81.1%
Myanmar	Myoungmya	2000	54.4%	61.8%	46.7%
Myanmar	Myoungmya	2017	77.9%	83.4%	71.3%
Myanmar	Naypyitaw	2000	42.8%	56.0%	34.9%
Myanmar	Naypyitaw	2017	68.2%	80.6%	56.2%
Myanmar	Pakokku	2000	60.7%	65.6%	54.8%
Myanmar	Pakokku	2017	82.6%	86.3%	78.2%
Myanmar	Palam	2000	59.5%	65.8%	52.7%
Myanmar	Palam	2017	79.4%	83.7%	74.3%
Myanmar	Pegu	2000	58.6%	64.2%	52.4%
Myanmar	Pegu	2017	82.6%	86.3%	78.6%
Myanmar	Pharpon	2000	55.7%	63.7%	46.5%
Myanmar	Pharpon	2017	80.6%	86.7%	72.9%
Myanmar	Putao	2000	54.0%	62.9%	44.9%
Myanmar	Putao	2017	74.7%	80.7%	67.8%
Myanmar	Pyay	2000	56.3%	64.4%	48.7%
Myanmar	Pyay	2017	80.6%	86.3%	74.3%
Myanmar	Pyin-Oo-Lwin	2000	72.1%	77.8%	64.7%
Myanmar	Pyin-Oo-Lwin	2017	88.2%	91.7%	83.5%
Myanmar	Sagaing	2000	55.7%	62.3%	49.0%
Myanmar	Sagaing	2017	79.5%	84.3%	73.5%
Myanmar	Shwebo	2000	62.5%	68.1%	56.7%
Myanmar	Shwebo	2017	84.6%	87.9%	80.5%
Myanmar	Sittwe	2000	45.4%	52.0%	39.4%
Myanmar	Sittwe	2017	71.3%	77.3%	65.2%
Myanmar	Tamu	2000	62.2%	75.5%	48.3%
Myanmar	Tamu	2017	83.7%	91.5%	72.7%
Myanmar	Tarchilaik	2000	64.2%	77.4%	50.5%
Myanmar	Tarchilaik	2017	84.7%	91.1%	76.5%
Myanmar	Taunggye	2000	57.6%	62.2%	53.4%
Myanmar	Taunggye	2017	79.4%	82.5%	76.0%
Myanmar	Taungoo	2000	60.6%	68.6%	51.9%
Myanmar	Taungoo	2017	83.9%	89.3%	78.2%
Myanmar	Thandwe	2000	52.5%	59.8%	44.6%
Myanmar	Thandwe	2017	76.6%	82.1%	70.0%
Myanmar	Thaton	2000	51.4%	58.0%	44.3%
Myanmar	Thaton	2017	74.4%	79.7%	69.1%
Myanmar	Thayarwady	2000	62.7%	70.7%	55.2%
Myanmar	Thayarwady	2017	84.5%	89.4%	79.2%
Myanmar	Thayetmyo	2000	58.3%	64.0%	52.2%
Myanmar	Thayetmyo	2017	80.7%	85.0%	75.7%
Myanmar	Yamethin	2000	67.8%	72.1%	62.1%
Myanmar	Yamethin	2017	87.2%	90.1%	83.4%
Myanmar	Yangon-E	2000	80.4%	83.1%	77.7%
Myanmar	Yangon-E	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.8%
Myanmar	Yangon-N	2000	70.0%	75.8%	63.0%
Myanmar	Yangon-N	2017	89.0%	92.1%	85.4%
Myanmar	Yangon-S	2000	55.5%	63.6%	48.4%
Myanmar	Yangon-S	2017	78.8%	84.3%	72.7%
Myanmar	Yangon-W	2000	89.9%	93.2%	85.8%
Myanmar	Yangon-W	2017	98.0%	98.7%	96.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Abau	2000	59.0%	76.7%	40.9%
Papua New Guinea	Abau	2017	60.2%	77.5%	41.8%
Papua New Guinea	Aitape-Lumi	2000	62.2%	81.8%	39.6%
Papua New Guinea	Aitape-Lumi	2017	64.7%	84.1%	41.8%
Papua New Guinea	Alotau	2000	61.9%	74.6%	47.2%
Papua New Guinea	Alotau	2017	62.5%	75.2%	48.2%
Papua New Guinea	Ambunti-Dreikikir	2000	53.5%	67.6%	38.9%
Papua New Guinea	Ambunti-Dreikikir	2017	54.4%	68.1%	40.6%
Papua New Guinea	Anglimp-South Waghi	2000	69.0%	84.0%	48.6%
Papua New Guinea	Anglimp-South Waghi	2017	71.3%	85.5%	49.7%
Papua New Guinea	Angoram	2000	50.2%	66.0%	34.3%
Papua New Guinea	Angoram	2017	51.2%	66.7%	35.6%
Papua New Guinea	Bogia	2000	56.7%	78.7%	34.1%
Papua New Guinea	Bogia	2017	58.5%	79.6%	36.5%
Papua New Guinea	Bulolo	2000	75.9%	85.5%	65.1%
Papua New Guinea	Bulolo	2017	77.9%	87.3%	67.0%
Papua New Guinea	Central Bougainville	2000	78.4%	91.0%	63.1%
Papua New Guinea	Central Bougainville	2017	78.5%	91.3%	62.9%
Papua New Guinea	Chuave	2000	80.9%	96.9%	54.6%
Papua New Guinea	Chuave	2017	83.8%	97.0%	61.9%
Papua New Guinea	Daulo	2000	80.0%	96.3%	59.9%
Papua New Guinea	Daulo	2017	80.7%	96.4%	61.0%
Papua New Guinea	Dei	2000	65.0%	94.9%	30.4%
Papua New Guinea	Dei	2017	65.9%	95.2%	32.2%
Papua New Guinea	Esa'ala	2000	83.2%	97.1%	58.6%
Papua New Guinea	Esa'ala	2017	83.7%	97.2%	59.4%
Papua New Guinea	Finschhafen	2000	84.8%	97.3%	65.6%
Papua New Guinea	Finschhafen	2017	84.7%	97.4%	64.4%
Papua New Guinea	Gazelle	2000	78.8%	89.6%	62.1%
Papua New Guinea	Gazelle	2017	78.8%	90.2%	64.0%
Papua New Guinea	Goilala	2000	39.4%	58.7%	23.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Goilala	2017	40.0%	59.0%	24.1%
Papua New Guinea	Goroka	2000	94.5%	99.8%	83.4%
Papua New Guinea	Goroka	2017	94.5%	99.8%	83.5%
Papua New Guinea	Gumine	2000	87.0%	97.4%	66.5%
Papua New Guinea	Gumine	2017	87.4%	97.6%	67.6%
Papua New Guinea	Henganofi	2000	34.7%	63.6%	13.2%
Papua New Guinea	Henganofi	2017	34.5%	64.1%	13.3%
Papua New Guinea	Huon	2000	76.3%	89.5%	62.2%
Papua New Guinea	Huon	2017	76.7%	90.3%	61.1%
Papua New Guinea	Ialibu-Pangia	2000	58.8%	80.6%	32.2%
Papua New Guinea	Ialibu-Pangia	2017	57.5%	80.2%	32.4%
Papua New Guinea	Ijivitari	2000	48.8%	61.0%	35.4%
Papua New Guinea	Ijivitari	2017	49.2%	61.3%	35.8%
Papua New Guinea	Imbonggu	2000	72.9%	88.4%	56.2%
Papua New Guinea	Imbonggu	2017	73.5%	88.6%	56.5%
Papua New Guinea	Jimi	2000	60.2%	81.7%	39.0%
Papua New Guinea	Jimi	2017	61.4%	83.0%	40.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kabwum	2000	70.9%	88.2%	47.4%
Papua New Guinea	Kabwum	2017	71.5%	88.4%	48.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kagua-Erave	2000	63.1%	87.0%	36.4%
Papua New Guinea	Kagua-Erave	2017	63.5%	88.4%	36.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kainantu	2000	55.5%	77.1%	32.2%
Papua New Guinea	Kainantu	2017	55.2%	78.0%	32.1%
Papua New Guinea	Kairuku-Hiri	2000	82.3%	92.7%	69.4%
Papua New Guinea	Kairuku-Hiri	2017	82.7%	92.9%	69.7%
Papua New Guinea	Kandep	2000	66.5%	92.1%	38.8%
Papua New Guinea	Kandep	2017	68.0%	92.0%	40.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kandrian-Gloucester	2000	57.7%	71.3%	43.2%
Papua New Guinea	Kandrian-Gloucester	2017	59.3%	72.5%	44.8%
Papua New Guinea	Karimui-Nomane	2000	72.5%	92.9%	43.7%
Papua New Guinea	Karimui-Nomane	2017	74.5%	93.8%	45.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Kavieng	2000	81.9%	96.0%	62.4%
Papua New Guinea	Kavieng	2017	82.6%	96.2%	63.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kerema	2000	42.8%	58.7%	25.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kerema	2017	44.9%	60.5%	27.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kerowagi	2000	75.3%	93.3%	53.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kerowagi	2017	76.5%	94.3%	54.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kikori	2000	72.9%	84.1%	58.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kikori	2017	73.4%	84.2%	59.2%
Papua New Guinea	Kiriwina-Goodenough	2000	38.0%	64.6%	17.7%
Papua New Guinea	Kiriwina-Goodenough	2017	41.7%	67.9%	21.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kokopo	2000	96.9%	99.8%	88.1%
Papua New Guinea	Kokopo	2017	96.8%	99.9%	87.8%
Papua New Guinea	Komo-Magarima	2000	47.5%	75.7%	23.2%
Papua New Guinea	Komo-Magarima	2017	49.4%	77.7%	25.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kompiani-Ambum	2000	80.6%	95.4%	59.8%
Papua New Guinea	Kompiani-Ambum	2017	81.8%	95.9%	60.4%
Papua New Guinea	Koroba-Kopiago	2000	40.1%	60.1%	22.9%
Papua New Guinea	Koroba-Kopiago	2017	39.9%	60.6%	22.8%
Papua New Guinea	Kundiawa-Gembogl	2000	87.2%	96.6%	77.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kundiawa-Gembogl	2017	86.3%	96.7%	75.7%
Papua New Guinea	Lae	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Papua New Guinea	Lae	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Papua New Guinea	Lagaip-Porgera	2000	80.4%	92.3%	65.4%
Papua New Guinea	Lagaip-Porgera	2017	80.4%	92.5%	65.6%
Papua New Guinea	Lufa	2000	43.4%	75.7%	12.7%
Papua New Guinea	Lufa	2017	45.8%	78.5%	14.1%
Papua New Guinea	Madang	2000	84.5%	95.0%	68.2%
Papua New Guinea	Madang	2017	84.8%	95.3%	69.0%
Papua New Guinea	Manus	2000	80.2%	94.9%	58.4%
Papua New Guinea	Manus	2017	81.0%	95.6%	60.7%
Papua New Guinea	Maprik	2000	42.0%	72.1%	14.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Maprik	2017	42.3%	73.0%	14.7%
Papua New Guinea	Markham	2000	45.1%	65.0%	25.1%
Papua New Guinea	Markham	2017	45.6%	65.6%	25.9%
Papua New Guinea	Mendi-Munihu	2000	68.6%	83.8%	55.0%
Papua New Guinea	Mendi-Munihu	2017	68.5%	84.6%	54.0%
Papua New Guinea	Menyamya	2000	34.1%	54.7%	14.7%
Papua New Guinea	Menyamya	2017	35.8%	56.2%	16.0%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Fly	2000	56.9%	67.5%	46.5%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Fly	2017	57.8%	68.0%	47.8%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Ramu	2000	42.7%	57.6%	25.2%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Ramu	2017	43.9%	58.6%	26.5%
Papua New Guinea	Mount Hagen	2000	78.9%	96.4%	58.3%
Papua New Guinea	Mount Hagen	2017	77.7%	96.5%	55.2%
Papua New Guinea	Mul-Baiyer	2000	71.0%	96.2%	36.7%
Papua New Guinea	Mul-Baiyer	2017	71.0%	96.5%	36.5%
Papua New Guinea	Namatanai	2000	64.0%	81.0%	47.1%
Papua New Guinea	Namatanai	2017	65.1%	82.1%	48.0%
Papua New Guinea	National Capital District	2000	95.7%	100.0%	77.6%
Papua New Guinea	National Capital District	2017	96.2%	100.0%	78.9%
Papua New Guinea	Nawae	2000	83.1%	96.1%	68.0%
Papua New Guinea	Nawae	2017	83.5%	96.1%	68.1%
Papua New Guinea	Nipa-Kutubu	2000	55.9%	79.2%	33.5%
Papua New Guinea	Nipa-Kutubu	2017	55.6%	78.9%	33.3%
Papua New Guinea	North Bougainville	2000	71.7%	85.0%	58.5%
Papua New Guinea	North Bougainville	2017	73.9%	86.4%	60.8%
Papua New Guinea	North Fly	2000	56.4%	76.1%	33.2%
Papua New Guinea	North Fly	2017	57.9%	77.7%	33.5%
Papua New Guinea	North Waghi	2000	48.6%	78.3%	31.4%
Papua New Guinea	North Waghi	2017	52.0%	79.6%	35.0%
Papua New Guinea	Nuku	2000	49.7%	72.0%	26.4%
Papua New Guinea	Nuku	2017	51.6%	73.6%	29.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Obura-Wonenara	2000	42.6%	71.1%	18.1%
Papua New Guinea	Obura-Wonenara	2017	43.7%	71.6%	18.6%
Papua New Guinea	Okapa	2000	44.0%	71.1%	21.1%
Papua New Guinea	Okapa	2017	45.4%	71.4%	22.4%
Papua New Guinea	Pomio	2000	67.2%	83.8%	47.5%
Papua New Guinea	Pomio	2017	69.3%	85.1%	50.5%
Papua New Guinea	Rabaul	2000	97.7%	100.0%	83.6%
Papua New Guinea	Rabaul	2017	97.9%	100.0%	86.5%
Papua New Guinea	Rai Coast	2000	74.7%	86.1%	58.1%
Papua New Guinea	Rai Coast	2017	75.6%	86.6%	59.1%
Papua New Guinea	Rigo	2000	86.8%	98.9%	66.1%
Papua New Guinea	Rigo	2017	87.4%	99.1%	66.7%
Papua New Guinea	Samarai-Murua	2000	76.8%	91.6%	60.9%
Papua New Guinea	Samarai-Murua	2017	77.2%	91.9%	60.4%
Papua New Guinea	Sina Sina-Yonggomugl	2000	87.0%	96.0%	71.7%
Papua New Guinea	Sina Sina-Yonggomugl	2017	86.7%	96.0%	71.2%
Papua New Guinea	Sohe	2000	41.6%	57.7%	26.5%
Papua New Guinea	Sohe	2017	43.3%	59.6%	27.8%
Papua New Guinea	South Bougainville	2000	61.7%	76.9%	45.1%
Papua New Guinea	South Bougainville	2017	64.1%	78.8%	47.5%
Papua New Guinea	South Fly	2000	70.1%	82.4%	55.2%
Papua New Guinea	South Fly	2017	71.1%	83.3%	56.4%
Papua New Guinea	Sumkar	2000	71.6%	93.0%	42.0%
Papua New Guinea	Sumkar	2017	72.9%	93.7%	44.2%
Papua New Guinea	Talasea	2000	73.2%	83.8%	60.9%
Papua New Guinea	Talasea	2017	73.6%	83.5%	60.8%
Papua New Guinea	Tambul-Nebilyer	2000	64.0%	79.0%	49.4%
Papua New Guinea	Tambul-Nebilyer	2017	65.6%	80.6%	50.4%
Papua New Guinea	Tari-Pori	2000	73.4%	93.8%	48.9%
Papua New Guinea	Tari-Pori	2017	73.8%	93.8%	50.3%
Papua New Guinea	Telefomin	2000	39.8%	58.2%	19.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Telefomin	2017	40.9%	60.6%	20.4%
Papua New Guinea	Tewae-Siassi	2000	89.5%	99.7%	73.2%
Papua New Guinea	Tewae-Siassi	2017	89.5%	99.8%	73.0%
Papua New Guinea	Unggai-Bena	2000	70.0%	90.8%	45.1%
Papua New Guinea	Unggai-Bena	2017	70.7%	91.7%	46.1%
Papua New Guinea	Usino-Bundi	2000	69.4%	85.6%	50.3%
Papua New Guinea	Usino-Bundi	2017	69.2%	86.8%	50.0%
Papua New Guinea	Vanimo-Green River	2000	64.7%	77.8%	50.1%
Papua New Guinea	Vanimo-Green River	2017	66.0%	79.8%	50.9%
Papua New Guinea	Wabag	2000	78.3%	97.2%	55.3%
Papua New Guinea	Wabag	2017	81.3%	97.7%	61.1%
Papua New Guinea	Wapenamanda	2000	83.3%	97.8%	59.8%
Papua New Guinea	Wapenamanda	2017	84.4%	98.1%	61.3%
Papua New Guinea	Wewak	2000	69.5%	86.3%	49.2%
Papua New Guinea	Wewak	2017	69.8%	87.0%	49.8%
Papua New Guinea	Wosera-Gawi	2000	43.1%	71.0%	17.9%
Papua New Guinea	Wosera-Gawi	2017	43.4%	72.0%	17.6%
Papua New Guinea	Yangoro-Saussia	2000	41.3%	67.0%	18.0%
Papua New Guinea	Yangoro-Saussia	2017	42.2%	67.4%	19.1%
Philippines	Aborlan	2000	79.0%	94.1%	49.5%
Philippines	Aborlan	2017	93.1%	99.2%	72.9%
Philippines	Abra de Ilog	2000	71.2%	95.1%	38.6%
Philippines	Abra de Ilog	2017	89.4%	99.1%	68.0%
Philippines	Abucay	2000	81.2%	84.5%	77.5%
Philippines	Abucay	2017	91.1%	94.4%	87.0%
Philippines	Abulug	2000	44.5%	78.1%	11.5%
Philippines	Abulug	2017	78.9%	93.9%	48.3%
Philippines	Abuyog	2000	87.0%	99.5%	46.2%
Philippines	Abuyog	2017	92.8%	99.8%	58.9%
Philippines	Adams	2000	83.0%	100.0%	30.3%
Philippines	Adams	2017	92.1%	100.0%	52.1%
Philippines	Agdangan	2000	79.8%	98.5%	41.9%
Philippines	Agdangan	2017	96.5%	99.8%	82.4%
Philippines	Aglipay	2000	88.3%	96.6%	68.2%
Philippines	Aglipay	2017	94.7%	98.7%	87.4%
Philippines	Agno	2000	76.9%	98.9%	29.4%
Philippines	Agno	2017	88.7%	99.8%	41.7%
Philippines	Agoncillo	2000	96.5%	100.0%	85.8%
Philippines	Agoncillo	2017	97.6%	100.0%	84.8%
Philippines	Agoo	2000	83.7%	100.0%	16.1%
Philippines	Agoo	2017	93.9%	100.0%	51.6%
Philippines	Aguilar	2000	92.3%	99.4%	70.5%
Philippines	Aguilar	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Aguinaldo	2000	71.2%	91.5%	42.9%
Philippines	Aguinaldo	2017	84.9%	96.7%	58.3%
Philippines	Agutaya	2000	80.7%	98.3%	52.2%
Philippines	Agutaya	2017	92.9%	99.8%	70.3%
Philippines	Ajuy	2000	77.5%	98.0%	47.0%
Philippines	Ajuy	2017	92.2%	99.6%	71.1%
Philippines	Akbar	2000	97.8%	98.9%	89.7%
Philippines	Akbar	2017	98.1%	99.2%	92.2%
Philippines	Al-Barka	2000	93.2%	97.3%	75.4%
Philippines	Al-Barka	2017	94.9%	98.1%	82.6%
Philippines	Alabat	2000	79.8%	99.9%	19.0%
Philippines	Alabat	2017	91.3%	100.0%	46.9%
Philippines	Alabel	2000	79.0%	89.8%	62.5%
Philippines	Alabel	2017	92.8%	97.5%	80.6%
Philippines	Alamada	2000	60.2%	82.4%	31.8%
Philippines	Alamada	2017	82.4%	96.5%	57.5%
Philippines	Alaminos	2000	79.2%	90.7%	61.8%
Philippines	Alaminos	2017	58.8%	93.9%	31.5%
Philippines	Alaminos City	2000	85.1%	94.4%	67.5%
Philippines	Alaminos City	2017	97.6%	99.4%	92.0%
Philippines	Alangalang	2000	93.8%	98.5%	76.2%
Philippines	Alangalang	2017	98.4%	99.7%	91.7%
Philippines	Albuera	2000	78.5%	100.0%	28.4%
Philippines	Albuera	2017	88.7%	100.0%	45.0%
Philippines	Albuquerque	2000	97.6%	99.9%	89.9%
Philippines	Albuquerque	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.9%
Philippines	Alcala	2000	94.2%	98.2%	86.9%
Philippines	Alcala	2000	96.7%	99.8%	82.6%
Philippines	Alcala	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.0%
Philippines	Alcala	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.1%
Philippines	Alcantara	2000	65.5%	85.2%	43.0%
Philippines	Alcantara	2000	84.6%	94.6%	57.0%
Philippines	Alcantara	2017	88.9%	97.9%	65.2%
Philippines	Alcantara	2017	95.9%	99.6%	81.9%
Philippines	Alcoy	2000	83.0%	94.0%	64.4%
Philippines	Alcoy	2017	89.5%	96.9%	74.2%
Philippines	Alegria	2000	96.0%	100.0%	72.3%
Philippines	Alegria	2000	68.3%	94.4%	26.3%
Philippines	Alegria	2017	98.1%	100.0%	86.2%
Philippines	Alegria	2017	81.7%	98.4%	34.7%
Philippines	Aleosan	2000	63.8%	78.6%	49.2%
Philippines	Aleosan	2017	85.2%	96.6%	65.0%
Philippines	Alfonso	2000	88.8%	99.9%	40.7%
Philippines	Alfonso	2017	95.0%	100.0%	67.5%
Philippines	Alfonso Cas- taneda	2000	72.2%	97.5%	31.5%
Philippines	Alfonso Cas- taneda	2017	83.4%	99.2%	44.0%
Philippines	Alfonso Lista	2000	81.0%	95.5%	60.3%
Philippines	Alfonso Lista	2017	93.7%	98.7%	81.1%
Philippines	Aliaga	2000	92.7%	100.0%	59.2%
Philippines	Aliaga	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.1%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	71.9%	99.5%	11.2%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	62.0%	92.0%	23.0%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	61.0%	94.7%	29.8%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	86.8%	99.9%	32.9%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	86.5%	99.7%	52.3%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	80.1%	98.4%	41.8%
Philippines	Alilem	2000	83.9%	99.9%	30.2%
Philippines	Alilem	2017	93.8%	100.0%	62.6%
Philippines	Alimodian	2000	88.6%	99.5%	57.9%
Philippines	Alimodian	2017	97.5%	100.0%	84.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Alitagtag	2000	88.4%	100.0%	34.5%
Philippines	Alitagtag	2017	92.9%	100.0%	41.3%
Philippines	Allacapan	2000	53.8%	83.3%	17.4%
Philippines	Allacapan	2017	85.2%	97.8%	45.6%
Philippines	Allen	2000	91.5%	98.9%	63.5%
Philippines	Allen	2017	89.6%	98.2%	62.1%
Philippines	Almagro	2000	69.9%	99.9%	9.2%
Philippines	Almagro	2017	86.6%	100.0%	40.3%
Philippines	Almeria	2000	98.7%	100.0%	93.1%
Philippines	Almeria	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.1%
Philippines	Aloguinsan	2000	79.9%	99.7%	20.0%
Philippines	Aloguinsan	2017	90.6%	99.9%	37.3%
Philippines	Aloran	2000	98.6%	99.5%	97.7%
Philippines	Aloran	2017	97.5%	98.8%	96.4%
Philippines	Altavas	2000	69.4%	93.3%	29.4%
Philippines	Altavas	2017	91.6%	99.5%	66.0%
Philippines	Alubijid	2000	82.3%	91.4%	71.3%
Philippines	Alubijid	2017	91.1%	97.2%	81.1%
Philippines	Amadeo	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Philippines	Amadeo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Philippines	Ambaguio	2000	88.8%	99.4%	66.2%
Philippines	Ambaguio	2017	94.7%	99.9%	77.6%
Philippines	Amlan	2000	94.8%	99.5%	78.4%
Philippines	Amlan	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.4%
Philippines	Ampatuan	2000	50.3%	94.5%	14.0%
Philippines	Ampatuan	2017	80.3%	98.5%	37.7%
Philippines	Amulung	2000	74.8%	97.2%	54.0%
Philippines	Amulung	2017	90.7%	99.8%	71.1%
Philippines	Anahawan	2000	88.2%	99.6%	62.4%
Philippines	Anahawan	2017	96.4%	99.9%	84.4%
Philippines	Anao	2000	98.7%	100.0%	92.0%
Philippines	Anao	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Philippines	Anda	2000	77.8%	99.8%	23.6%
Philippines	Anda	2000	99.7%	100.0%	97.1%
Philippines	Anda	2017	99.8%	100.0%	97.9%
Philippines	Anda	2017	91.1%	100.0%	52.2%
Philippines	Angadanan	2000	68.9%	95.2%	38.6%
Philippines	Angadanan	2017	94.0%	99.7%	71.2%
Philippines	Angat	2000	52.4%	80.7%	30.5%
Philippines	Angat	2017	92.2%	98.5%	76.3%
Philippines	Angeles City	2000	97.1%	99.6%	90.8%
Philippines	Angeles City	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Philippines	Angono	2000	99.1%	99.8%	97.7%
Philippines	Angono	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Anilao	2000	79.0%	91.1%	53.6%
Philippines	Anilao	2017	96.3%	99.2%	78.1%
Philippines	Anini-Y	2000	91.0%	99.4%	62.4%
Philippines	Anini-Y	2017	98.6%	100.0%	93.3%
Philippines	Antequera	2000	82.1%	91.2%	67.8%
Philippines	Antequera	2017	92.4%	98.2%	77.3%
Philippines	Antipas	2000	61.3%	93.9%	27.0%
Philippines	Antipas	2017	75.5%	97.6%	49.8%
Philippines	Antipolo City	2000	95.8%	97.2%	93.6%
Philippines	Antipolo City	2017	98.5%	99.6%	94.4%
Philippines	Apalit	2000	99.1%	99.9%	95.5%
Philippines	Apalit	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Philippines	Aparri	2000	45.6%	89.7%	12.2%
Philippines	Aparri	2017	84.7%	97.0%	51.5%
Philippines	Araceli	2000	73.4%	98.8%	26.1%
Philippines	Araceli	2017	88.7%	99.7%	52.9%
Philippines	Arakan	2000	70.8%	96.6%	32.2%
Philippines	Arakan	2017	85.7%	99.3%	52.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Arayat	2000	89.0%	99.9%	47.0%
Philippines	Arayat	2017	95.8%	100.0%	68.8%
Philippines	Argao	2000	86.3%	92.9%	72.4%
Philippines	Argao	2017	94.9%	98.7%	84.7%
Philippines	Aringay	2000	91.1%	99.7%	50.4%
Philippines	Aringay	2017	96.7%	100.0%	75.8%
Philippines	Aritao	2000	80.3%	91.0%	65.2%
Philippines	Aritao	2017	92.8%	97.4%	84.0%
Philippines	Aroroy	2000	77.1%	89.9%	60.5%
Philippines	Aroroy	2017	85.7%	94.0%	71.6%
Philippines	Arteche	2000	91.8%	95.7%	86.9%
Philippines	Arteche	2017	96.3%	98.0%	94.1%
Philippines	Asingan	2000	82.4%	92.7%	63.9%
Philippines	Asingan	2017	97.7%	99.4%	94.3%
Philippines	Asipulo	2000	74.4%	95.7%	40.4%
Philippines	Asipulo	2017	84.0%	97.0%	55.7%
Philippines	Asturias	2000	76.6%	97.6%	35.6%
Philippines	Asturias	2017	88.5%	98.7%	65.2%
Philippines	Asuncion	2000	87.3%	97.5%	73.0%
Philippines	Asuncion	2017	93.3%	99.6%	78.1%
Philippines	Atimonan	2000	68.7%	85.9%	46.8%
Philippines	Atimonan	2017	87.2%	93.5%	74.9%
Philippines	Atok	2000	65.7%	97.0%	18.8%
Philippines	Atok	2017	85.3%	99.0%	48.9%
Philippines	Aurora	2000	51.4%	74.8%	22.1%
Philippines	Aurora	2000	60.0%	78.3%	42.2%
Philippines	Aurora	2017	80.5%	95.9%	44.2%
Philippines	Aurora	2017	92.6%	96.0%	86.4%
Philippines	Ayungon	2000	79.3%	99.8%	32.3%
Philippines	Ayungon	2017	93.2%	100.0%	61.4%
Philippines	Baao	2000	66.8%	93.8%	22.1%
Philippines	Baao	2017	93.4%	99.8%	57.0%
Philippines	Babatngon	2000	78.7%	99.6%	35.3%
Philippines	Babatngon	2017	88.4%	99.9%	51.4%
Philippines	Bacacay	2000	87.3%	94.8%	76.1%
Philippines	Bacacay	2017	95.4%	98.8%	87.2%
Philippines	Bacarra	2000	97.2%	99.4%	92.8%
Philippines	Bacarra	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.0%
Philippines	Baclayon	2000	97.5%	100.0%	83.9%
Philippines	Baclayon	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.6%
Philippines	Bacnotan	2000	88.9%	97.7%	79.6%
Philippines	Bacnotan	2017	97.9%	99.9%	89.5%
Philippines	Baco	2000	74.7%	93.9%	48.3%
Philippines	Baco	2017	91.8%	97.5%	80.0%
Philippines	Bacolod	2000	91.5%	99.8%	72.9%
Philippines	Bacolod	2017	97.1%	100.0%	83.7%
Philippines	Bacolod City	2000	92.1%	94.6%	88.4%
Philippines	Bacolod City	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.0%
Philippines	Bacolod	2000	39.9%	68.2%	18.0%
Philippines	Kalawi	2017	73.1%	94.0%	40.2%
Philippines	Bacolor	2000	96.1%	98.2%	92.2%
Philippines	Bacolor	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Philippines	Bacong	2000	96.2%	99.9%	85.4%
Philippines	Bacong	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.2%
Philippines	Bacoor	2000	96.5%	98.1%	92.6%
Philippines	Bacoor	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Philippines	Bacuag	2000	79.6%	100.0%	27.8%
Philippines	Bacuag	2017	91.5%	100.0%	46.0%
Philippines	Bacungan	2000	71.3%	90.2%	32.4%
Philippines	Bacungan	2017	87.9%	97.8%	54.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Badian	2000	91.9%	99.2%	58.0%
Philippines	Badian	2017	95.3%	99.7%	74.8%
Philippines	Badiangan	2000	75.1%	99.6%	17.1%
Philippines	Badiangan	2017	91.2%	100.0%	48.6%
Philippines	Badoc	2000	85.6%	98.8%	54.8%
Philippines	Badoc	2017	98.0%	99.9%	90.1%
Philippines	Bagabag	2000	93.1%	95.4%	90.9%
Philippines	Bagabag	2017	98.2%	98.8%	96.2%
Philippines	Bagac	2000	99.1%	100.0%	95.3%
Philippines	Bagac	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.4%
Philippines	Bagamanoc	2000	61.3%	99.0%	6.3%
Philippines	Bagamanoc	2017	72.9%	98.0%	18.5%
Philippines	Baganga	2000	68.2%	84.7%	46.9%
Philippines	Baganga	2017	80.7%	91.5%	64.2%
Philippines	Baggao	2000	75.2%	93.2%	40.9%
Philippines	Baggao	2017	92.1%	99.1%	69.4%
Philippines	Bago City	2000	75.2%	85.6%	63.7%
Philippines	Bago City	2017	93.0%	95.6%	87.1%
Philippines	Baguio City	2000	85.3%	87.8%	82.5%
Philippines	Baguio City	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.2%
Philippines	Bagulin	2000	50.9%	80.8%	24.3%
Philippines	Bagulin	2017	78.1%	94.8%	50.2%
Philippines	Bagumbayan	2000	83.6%	96.3%	65.8%
Philippines	Bagumbayan	2017	93.9%	99.3%	81.7%
Philippines	Bais City	2000	91.2%	98.0%	79.9%
Philippines	Bais City	2017	97.0%	99.6%	89.4%
Philippines	Bakun	2000	80.6%	92.1%	56.7%
Philippines	Bakun	2017	93.4%	98.7%	78.2%
Philippines	Balabac	2000	74.6%	92.6%	50.4%
Philippines	Balabac	2017	87.8%	98.6%	69.7%
Philippines	Balabagan	2000	61.2%	74.1%	45.1%
Philippines	Balabagan	2017	91.3%	95.1%	85.7%
Philippines	Balagtas	2000	97.4%	99.3%	90.5%
Philippines	Balagtas	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Philippines	Balamban	2000	75.2%	87.7%	47.3%
Philippines	Balamban	2017	92.9%	97.6%	80.1%
Philippines	Balanga City	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.4%
Philippines	Balanga City	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Philippines	Balangiga	2000	84.2%	99.0%	48.3%
Philippines	Balangiga	2017	95.8%	99.9%	77.4%
Philippines	Balangkayan	2000	86.3%	99.5%	51.6%
Philippines	Balangkayan	2017	96.1%	99.9%	78.6%
Philippines	Balaoan	2000	59.6%	76.3%	32.5%
Philippines	Balaoan	2017	93.7%	98.2%	82.6%
Philippines	Balasan	2000	72.2%	99.7%	15.0%
Philippines	Balasan	2017	88.3%	100.0%	35.8%
Philippines	Balatan	2000	74.0%	99.1%	20.5%
Philippines	Balatan	2017	87.0%	99.9%	48.2%
Philippines	Balayan	2000	88.0%	98.5%	46.5%
Philippines	Balayan	2017	98.3%	99.8%	92.2%
Philippines	Balbalan	2000	75.2%	94.4%	47.1%
Philippines	Balbalan	2017	93.6%	99.5%	80.5%
Philippines	Baleno	2000	54.1%	82.2%	21.7%
Philippines	Baleno	2017	84.9%	96.3%	54.8%
Philippines	Baler	2000	87.2%	99.0%	49.2%
Philippines	Baler	2017	97.8%	99.9%	88.0%
Philippines	Balete	2000	96.3%	99.3%	88.3%
Philippines	Balete	2000	57.1%	81.7%	31.0%
Philippines	Balete	2017	89.6%	98.4%	69.7%
Philippines	Balete	2017	74.9%	90.8%	50.9%
Philippines	Baliangao	2000	85.4%	96.1%	44.9%
Philippines	Baliangao	2017	97.4%	99.0%	92.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Baliguian	2000	70.4%	95.8%	34.0%
Philippines	Baliguian	2017	78.4%	97.1%	49.8%
Philippines	Balilihan	2000	67.4%	78.8%	52.9%
Philippines	Balilihan	2017	93.7%	96.4%	83.6%
Philippines	Balindong	2000	34.5%	40.7%	29.6%
Philippines	Balindong	2017	68.6%	80.2%	53.1%
Philippines	Balingasag	2000	93.1%	99.8%	79.3%
Philippines	Balingasag	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.2%
Philippines	Balingoan	2000	92.7%	100.0%	53.7%
Philippines	Balingoan	2017	96.7%	100.0%	78.4%
Philippines	Baliuag	2000	98.7%	99.9%	92.2%
Philippines	Baliuag	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Philippines	Ballesteros	2000	65.9%	87.1%	40.9%
Philippines	Ballesteros	2017	90.8%	98.5%	79.2%
Philippines	Baloi	2000	77.0%	91.7%	68.3%
Philippines	Baloi	2017	87.8%	97.0%	71.1%
Philippines	Balud	2000	73.9%	98.3%	35.1%
Philippines	Balud	2017	89.4%	99.7%	64.8%
Philippines	Balungao	2000	93.7%	99.0%	82.4%
Philippines	Balungao	2017	97.8%	99.9%	90.2%
Philippines	Bamban	2000	93.3%	99.6%	66.3%
Philippines	Bamban	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.3%
Philippines	Bambang	2000	82.7%	88.8%	72.6%
Philippines	Bambang	2017	89.3%	92.8%	84.8%
Philippines	Banate	2000	84.6%	99.0%	51.5%
Philippines	Banate	2017	97.3%	99.9%	81.1%
Philippines	Banaue	2000	42.5%	72.4%	18.5%
Philippines	Banaue	2017	73.1%	91.7%	40.5%
Philippines	Banaybanay	2000	78.9%	97.4%	43.9%
Philippines	Banaybanay	2017	94.8%	99.8%	70.9%
Philippines	Banayoyo	2000	96.8%	99.9%	82.6%
Philippines	Banayoyo	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.2%
Philippines	Banga	2000	90.7%	95.9%	79.4%
Philippines	Banga	2000	80.3%	92.1%	63.9%
Philippines	Banga	2017	97.4%	98.7%	92.0%
Philippines	Banga	2017	87.9%	98.3%	69.3%
Philippines	Bangar	2000	97.3%	99.9%	89.0%
Philippines	Bangar	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.2%
Philippines	Bangued	2000	95.8%	98.1%	93.3%
Philippines	Bangued	2017	98.4%	99.5%	94.9%
Philippines	Bangui	2000	77.8%	99.8%	13.7%
Philippines	Bangui	2017	90.4%	99.9%	39.0%
Philippines	Bani	2000	73.3%	97.2%	35.5%
Philippines	Bani	2017	86.5%	98.8%	57.7%
Philippines	Banisilan	2000	55.2%	76.3%	33.0%
Philippines	Banisilan	2017	79.7%	93.8%	57.4%
Philippines	Banna	2000	86.5%	99.4%	49.4%
Philippines	Banna	2017	98.0%	100.0%	82.4%
Philippines	Bansalan	2000	81.3%	97.2%	56.1%
Philippines	Bansalan	2017	91.8%	99.4%	73.4%
Philippines	Bansud	2000	85.1%	97.2%	62.1%
Philippines	Bansud	2017	98.1%	99.8%	92.9%
Philippines	Bantay	2000	91.5%	95.7%	83.2%
Philippines	Bantay	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.6%
Philippines	Bantayan	2000	75.0%	99.4%	21.2%
Philippines	Bantayan	2017	89.6%	100.0%	44.7%
Philippines	Banton	2000	68.0%	99.5%	5.6%
Philippines	Banton	2017	86.1%	100.0%	29.1%
Philippines	Baras	2000	99.1%	99.9%	95.7%
Philippines	Baras	2000	96.2%	100.0%	75.5%
Philippines	Baras	2017	96.7%	100.0%	73.3%
Philippines	Baras	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Barbaza	2000	94.5%	99.5%	83.0%
Philippines	Barbaza	2017	96.6%	99.9%	81.9%
Philippines	Barcelona	2000	95.3%	99.8%	85.1%
Philippines	Barcelona	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Philippines	Barili	2000	87.1%	98.8%	59.0%
Philippines	Barili	2017	94.5%	99.7%	69.7%
Philippines	Barira	2000	61.7%	97.4%	14.1%
Philippines	Barira	2017	81.3%	99.6%	33.6%
Philippines	Barlig	2000	37.0%	67.0%	16.6%
Philippines	Barlig	2017	31.7%	52.4%	15.7%
Philippines	Barobo	2000	91.1%	97.7%	76.3%
Philippines	Barobo	2017	98.0%	99.6%	92.9%
Philippines	Barotac Nuevo	2000	86.6%	99.4%	47.6%
Philippines	Barotac Nuevo	2017	96.2%	100.0%	68.5%
Philippines	Barotac Viejo	2000	85.0%	98.9%	54.8%
Philippines	Barotac Viejo	2017	96.3%	99.9%	83.2%
Philippines	Baroy	2000	88.4%	94.6%	76.6%
Philippines	Baroy	2017	94.6%	97.6%	89.3%
Philippines	Barugo	2000	52.2%	64.4%	45.2%
Philippines	Barugo	2017	80.4%	91.4%	64.5%
Philippines	Basay	2000	76.5%	99.9%	19.9%
Philippines	Basay	2017	88.5%	100.0%	38.4%
Philippines	Basco	2000	99.0%	99.8%	97.3%
Philippines	Basco	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Philippines	Basey	2000	76.5%	88.3%	61.7%
Philippines	Basey	2017	93.3%	98.6%	81.7%
Philippines	Basilisa	2000	88.8%	94.0%	77.4%
Philippines	Basilisa	2017	93.4%	96.3%	86.7%
Philippines	Basista	2000	98.5%	99.9%	91.5%
Philippines	Basista	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Basud	2000	94.4%	96.6%	88.4%
Philippines	Basud	2017	96.3%	98.1%	91.1%
Philippines	Batac City	2000	78.3%	86.3%	68.3%
Philippines	Batac City	2017	98.3%	99.0%	97.1%
Philippines	Batad	2000	76.7%	99.9%	19.0%
Philippines	Batad	2017	89.2%	100.0%	39.6%
Philippines	Batan	2000	78.4%	87.8%	59.0%
Philippines	Batan	2017	97.4%	98.9%	93.6%
Philippines	Batangas City	2000	96.6%	99.3%	90.7%
Philippines	Batangas City	2017	97.8%	99.9%	85.8%
Philippines	Bataraza	2000	74.7%	92.9%	49.4%
Philippines	Bataraza	2017	89.3%	97.8%	70.3%
Philippines	Bato	2000	65.9%	83.1%	51.0%
Philippines	Bato	2000	63.2%	73.9%	44.1%
Philippines	Bato	2000	97.3%	100.0%	80.9%
Philippines	Bato	2017	92.0%	98.5%	76.7%
Philippines	Bato	2017	75.6%	89.8%	57.9%
Philippines	Bato	2017	99.4%	100.0%	94.4%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2000	79.0%	89.5%	65.7%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2000	82.6%	86.7%	78.3%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2017	94.4%	97.1%	90.8%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2017	96.2%	98.6%	90.7%
Philippines	Batuan	2000	74.8%	99.9%	18.2%
Philippines	Batuan	2000	70.1%	98.4%	23.0%
Philippines	Batuan	2017	89.8%	100.0%	46.6%
Philippines	Batuan	2017	85.4%	99.9%	35.8%
Philippines	Bauan	2000	85.4%	98.6%	56.4%
Philippines	Bauan	2017	95.8%	99.7%	83.9%
Philippines	Bauang	2000	90.5%	98.0%	67.1%
Philippines	Bauang	2017	99.0%	99.8%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Bauko	2000	43.9%	53.5%	32.0%
Philippines	Bauko	2017	43.1%	62.9%	25.9%
Philippines	Baungon	2000	68.4%	90.1%	47.2%
Philippines	Baungon	2017	84.4%	98.3%	60.5%
Philippines	Bautista	2000	93.7%	100.0%	59.7%
Philippines	Bautista	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.9%
Philippines	Bay	2000	93.4%	99.7%	70.9%
Philippines	Bay	2017	98.1%	99.9%	91.6%
Philippines	Bayabas	2000	82.5%	99.3%	43.1%
Philippines	Bayabas	2017	95.2%	100.0%	70.9%
Philippines	Bayambang	2000	93.7%	99.8%	71.9%
Philippines	Bayambang	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.9%
Philippines	Bayang	2000	45.9%	88.3%	11.0%
Philippines	Bayang	2017	80.2%	97.4%	48.5%
Philippines	Bayawan City	2000	83.5%	97.2%	58.1%
Philippines	Bayawan City	2017	94.0%	99.7%	81.3%
Philippines	Baybay City	2000	88.9%	96.9%	74.8%
Philippines	Baybay City	2017	87.4%	95.4%	74.3%
Philippines	Bayog	2000	60.8%	79.2%	39.7%
Philippines	Bayog	2017	74.7%	90.6%	50.9%
Philippines	Bayombong	2000	84.5%	88.7%	79.9%
Philippines	Bayombong	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.0%
Philippines	Bayugan City	2000	81.2%	87.5%	73.5%
Philippines	Bayugan City	2017	93.4%	95.8%	91.3%
Philippines	Belison	2000	41.9%	90.4%	12.2%
Philippines	Belison	2017	73.9%	97.5%	33.7%
Philippines	Benito Soliven	2000	82.7%	97.8%	57.9%
Philippines	Benito Soliven	2017	93.7%	99.7%	77.6%
Philippines	Besao	2000	13.1%	38.3%	1.4%
Philippines	Besao	2017	34.3%	69.7%	6.5%
Philippines	Bien Unido	2000	59.8%	98.2%	16.2%
Philippines	Bien Unido	2017	81.7%	99.3%	43.0%
Philippines	Bilar	2000	67.7%	99.2%	16.2%
Philippines	Bilar	2017	81.9%	99.8%	29.6%
Philippines	Biliran	2000	97.7%	98.7%	96.3%
Philippines	Biliran	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.2%
Philippines	Binalbagan	2000	73.3%	98.6%	27.7%
Philippines	Binalbagan	2017	91.8%	99.3%	59.6%
Philippines	Binalonan	2000	89.4%	97.9%	70.2%
Philippines	Binalonan	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.8%
Philippines	Biñan	2000	97.7%	99.1%	95.3%
Philippines	Biñan	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Philippines	Binangonan	2000	98.3%	99.9%	93.1%
Philippines	Binangonan	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.4%
Philippines	Bindoy	2000	88.4%	99.5%	63.9%
Philippines	Bindoy	2017	95.4%	99.9%	80.4%
Philippines	Bingawan	2000	80.7%	99.8%	32.3%
Philippines	Bingawan	2017	92.5%	100.0%	51.2%
Philippines	Binidayan	2000	41.9%	77.4%	13.5%
Philippines	Binidayan	2017	74.3%	89.0%	41.2%
Philippines	Binmaley	2000	92.7%	99.6%	72.1%
Philippines	Binmaley	2017	98.5%	100.0%	92.6%
Philippines	Binuangan	2000	93.6%	100.0%	59.7%
Philippines	Binuangan	2017	97.4%	100.0%	82.7%
Philippines	Biri	2000	67.3%	99.5%	9.3%
Philippines	Biri	2017	85.3%	99.9%	27.8%
Philippines	Bislig City	2000	79.9%	90.9%	63.1%
Philippines	Bislig City	2017	88.6%	96.4%	80.4%
Philippines	Boac	2000	95.3%	98.5%	88.5%
Philippines	Boac	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.2%
Philippines	Bobon	2000	77.6%	99.2%	21.4%
Philippines	Bobon	2017	89.8%	99.9%	36.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Bocau	2000	99.1%	100.0%	95.2%
Philippines	Bocau	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Philippines	Bogo City	2000	62.9%	87.0%	43.0%
Philippines	Bogo City	2017	72.6%	96.2%	37.6%
Philippines	Bokod	2000	65.7%	91.7%	25.7%
Philippines	Bokod	2017	83.4%	98.4%	47.6%
Philippines	Bolinao	2000	86.9%	99.5%	55.6%
Philippines	Bolinao	2017	96.1%	100.0%	81.1%
Philippines	Boliney	2000	54.8%	83.0%	21.4%
Philippines	Boliney	2017	85.5%	97.8%	54.8%
Philippines	Boljoon	2000	82.1%	99.9%	30.0%
Philippines	Boljoon	2017	89.1%	100.0%	42.2%
Philippines	Bombon	2000	91.9%	99.7%	70.5%
Philippines	Bombon	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.4%
Philippines	Bongabon	2000	86.2%	99.4%	49.3%
Philippines	Bongabon	2017	95.8%	99.9%	64.6%
Philippines	Bongabong	2000	87.1%	95.8%	71.8%
Philippines	Bongabong	2017	96.2%	99.2%	87.3%
Philippines	Bongao	2000	81.4%	92.0%	70.9%
Philippines	Bongao	2017	97.5%	99.3%	93.6%
Philippines	Bonifacio	2000	86.0%	90.4%	74.5%
Philippines	Bonifacio	2017	86.4%	91.0%	77.2%
Philippines	Bontoc	2000	86.4%	93.3%	78.3%
Philippines	Bontoc	2000	58.8%	68.7%	52.5%
Philippines	Bontoc	2017	84.4%	94.6%	73.5%
Philippines	Bontoc	2017	76.7%	94.4%	62.0%
Philippines	Borbon	2000	72.6%	99.8%	18.1%
Philippines	Borbon	2017	85.9%	99.9%	28.3%
Philippines	Borongan	2000	75.3%	93.9%	42.1%
Philippines	Borongan City	2017	94.5%	99.1%	80.3%
Philippines	Boston	2000	71.8%	97.6%	24.2%
Philippines	Boston	2017	85.8%	99.4%	51.0%
Philippines	Botolan	2000	80.0%	97.0%	30.9%
Philippines	Botolan	2017	91.3%	98.7%	56.4%
Philippines	Braulio E. Dujali	2000	76.5%	93.5%	49.0%
Philippines	Braulio E. Dujali	2017	94.2%	99.4%	71.7%
Philippines	Brooke's Point	2000	69.0%	89.9%	39.2%
Philippines	Brooke's Point	2017	88.6%	98.1%	67.6%
Philippines	Buadiposo-Buntong	2000	69.0%	97.2%	21.8%
Philippines	Buadiposo-Buntong	2017	80.0%	98.1%	37.7%
Philippines	Bubong	2000	68.1%	98.2%	18.3%
Philippines	Bubong	2017	79.6%	99.2%	32.9%
Philippines	Bucay	2000	88.2%	96.0%	78.9%
Philippines	Bucay	2017	98.7%	99.7%	96.1%
Philippines	Bucloc	2000	46.7%	82.4%	6.7%
Philippines	Bucloc	2017	77.5%	96.5%	32.3%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	68.6%	98.8%	16.3%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	90.1%	93.7%	83.7%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	88.4%	98.0%	67.4%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	93.2%	99.8%	75.3%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	91.3%	99.5%	68.7%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	97.9%	98.9%	96.0%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	85.1%	99.8%	34.8%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	97.5%	99.9%	88.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	94.6%	99.8%	82.0%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	97.3%	100.0%	81.1%
Philippines	Bugallon	2000	85.7%	99.4%	45.6%
Philippines	Bugallon	2017	96.6%	99.9%	79.5%
Philippines	Bugasong	2000	73.9%	91.2%	44.9%
Philippines	Bugasong	2017	93.1%	98.3%	77.2%
Philippines	Buguey	2000	60.3%	98.7%	12.4%
Philippines	Buguey	2017	86.4%	100.0%	33.4%
Philippines	Buguias	2000	70.3%	88.8%	59.4%
Philippines	Buguias	2017	89.0%	97.9%	68.1%
Philippines	Buhi	2000	80.8%	98.1%	48.8%
Philippines	Buhi	2017	96.9%	99.8%	83.8%
Philippines	Buhi Lake	2000	97.0%	100.0%	83.4%
Philippines	Buhi Lake	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.4%
Philippines	Bula	2000	88.4%	97.4%	75.4%
Philippines	Bula	2017	98.1%	99.8%	91.3%
Philippines	Bulacan	2000	94.5%	99.9%	78.3%
Philippines	Bulacan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.8%
Philippines	Bulalacao	2000	69.2%	95.5%	19.9%
Philippines	Bulalacao	2017	87.2%	98.8%	39.6%
Philippines	Bulan	2000	87.7%	98.9%	51.5%
Philippines	Bulan	2017	95.9%	99.9%	74.3%
Philippines	Buldon	2000	49.9%	77.4%	18.6%
Philippines	Buldon	2017	79.2%	94.9%	52.9%
Philippines	Buluan	2000	83.7%	99.4%	36.3%
Philippines	Buluan	2017	97.8%	100.0%	69.5%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2000	62.4%	99.4%	5.0%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2000	65.3%	99.8%	9.8%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2017	88.3%	100.0%	37.0%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2017	92.4%	100.0%	44.8%
Philippines	Bulusan	2000	89.6%	99.5%	65.2%
Philippines	Bulusan	2017	97.0%	99.9%	79.1%
Philippines	Bumbaran	2000	58.8%	95.1%	14.5%
Philippines	Bumbaran	2017	78.2%	98.1%	37.9%
Philippines	Bunawan	2000	85.0%	95.7%	60.9%
Philippines	Bunawan	2017	92.5%	98.3%	78.4%
Philippines	Burauen	2000	89.5%	99.9%	38.8%
Philippines	Burauen	2017	96.3%	100.0%	74.6%
Philippines	Burdeos	2000	74.6%	98.4%	40.6%
Philippines	Burdeos	2017	88.3%	99.8%	62.0%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	87.3%	100.0%	39.8%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	55.6%	75.4%	36.1%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	81.4%	99.7%	34.4%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	48.6%	92.3%	14.5%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	75.8%	100.0%	2.6%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	89.9%	99.6%	58.0%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	97.5%	100.0%	81.6%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	93.4%	97.7%	81.6%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	92.7%	100.0%	60.7%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	73.0%	95.1%	49.7%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	98.0%	100.0%	84.9%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	87.3%	100.0%	15.6%
Philippines	Buruanga	2000	82.0%	93.6%	51.4%
Philippines	Buruanga	2017	93.6%	98.9%	67.9%
Philippines	Bustos	2000	92.4%	99.0%	65.7%
Philippines	Bustos	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.3%
Philippines	Busuanga	2000	77.5%	97.1%	42.9%
Philippines	Busuanga	2017	90.7%	99.6%	67.8%
Philippines	Butig	2000	24.6%	62.7%	8.0%
Philippines	Butig	2017	66.1%	85.4%	40.4%
Philippines	Butuan City	2000	93.4%	96.8%	88.6%
Philippines	Butuan City	2017	96.1%	98.6%	93.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Buug	2000	94.0%	100.0%	58.2%
Philippines	Buug	2017	94.9%	100.0%	65.0%
Philippines	Caba	2000	97.2%	99.7%	80.6%
Philippines	Caba	2017	99.3%	100.0%	93.5%
Philippines	Cabadbaran	2000	94.0%	99.1%	86.1%
Philippines	Cabadbaran City	2017	97.6%	99.9%	89.3%
Philippines	Cabagan	2000	80.6%	97.0%	54.6%
Philippines	Cabagan	2017	92.3%	98.3%	81.7%
Philippines	Cabanatuan	2000	97.3%	99.4%	90.5%
Philippines	Cabanatuan City	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.9%
Philippines	Cabangan	2000	83.5%	94.1%	67.0%
Philippines	Cabangan	2017	97.7%	99.5%	93.7%
Philippines	Cabanglasan	2000	94.2%	99.3%	83.1%
Philippines	Cabanglasan	2017	97.3%	99.9%	86.4%
Philippines	Cabarroguis	2000	82.8%	94.1%	61.8%
Philippines	Cabarroguis	2017	87.0%	96.8%	67.9%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2000	72.5%	99.0%	30.0%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2000	73.9%	94.9%	37.6%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2017	80.7%	99.6%	42.9%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2017	97.7%	99.8%	89.3%
Philippines	Cabiao	2000	98.5%	99.9%	92.0%
Philippines	Cabiao	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.7%
Philippines	Cabucgayan	2000	85.5%	95.8%	66.6%
Philippines	Cabucgayan	2017	94.3%	98.6%	80.7%
Philippines	Cabugao	2000	80.2%	100.0%	13.1%
Philippines	Cabugao	2017	93.3%	100.0%	49.0%
Philippines	Cabusao	2000	91.3%	99.9%	67.6%
Philippines	Cabusao	2017	97.3%	100.0%	78.5%
Philippines	Cabuyao	2000	96.9%	99.7%	87.3%
Philippines	Cabuyao	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.3%
Philippines	Cadiz City	2000	82.0%	98.8%	40.4%
Philippines	Cadiz City	2017	92.9%	99.9%	61.5%
Philippines	Cagayan de Oro City	2000	92.9%	95.6%	90.0%
Philippines	Cagayan de Oro City	2017	97.7%	99.0%	95.0%
Philippines	Cagayancillo	2000	75.6%	99.7%	20.6%
Philippines	Cagayancillo	2017	90.4%	100.0%	40.9%
Philippines	Cagdianao	2000	80.8%	96.3%	56.5%
Philippines	Cagdianao	2017	94.4%	98.9%	76.2%
Philippines	Cagwait	2000	86.1%	96.7%	73.2%
Philippines	Cagwait	2017	94.6%	99.6%	83.1%
Philippines	Caibiran	2000	99.4%	100.0%	96.2%
Philippines	Caibiran	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.2%
Philippines	Cainta	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.3%
Philippines	Cainta	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Philippines	Cajidiocan	2000	73.4%	98.5%	29.6%
Philippines	Cajidiocan	2017	83.3%	98.8%	50.2%
Philippines	Calabanga	2000	93.2%	99.3%	83.0%
Philippines	Calabanga	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.9%
Philippines	Calaca	2000	89.0%	99.2%	57.7%
Philippines	Calaca	2017	96.6%	99.9%	79.2%
Philippines	Calamba	2000	80.7%	92.9%	66.3%
Philippines	Calamba	2017	89.9%	97.7%	73.5%
Philippines	Calamba City	2000	95.7%	97.8%	92.8%
Philippines	Calamba City	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.6%
Philippines	Calanasan	2000	82.8%	98.3%	53.4%
Philippines	Calanasan	2017	91.9%	99.5%	70.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Calanogas	2000	18.8%	37.7%	6.2%
Philippines	Calanogas	2017	56.9%	67.1%	37.7%
Philippines	Calapan City	2000	88.1%	94.1%	79.0%
Philippines	Calapan City	2017	96.2%	98.9%	91.3%
Philippines	Calape	2000	73.4%	99.8%	25.0%
Philippines	Calape	2017	89.8%	99.9%	56.8%
Philippines	Calasiao	2000	91.9%	99.1%	65.1%
Philippines	Calasiao	2017	99.2%	99.9%	94.6%
Philippines	Calatagan	2000	76.5%	99.3%	21.3%
Philippines	Calatagan	2017	89.9%	99.9%	38.3%
Philippines	Calatrava	2000	76.6%	92.4%	48.4%
Philippines	Calatrava	2000	66.0%	93.9%	23.5%
Philippines	Calatrava	2017	85.2%	97.3%	59.0%
Philippines	Calatrava	2017	86.4%	99.2%	57.5%
Philippines	Calauag	2000	80.3%	96.0%	51.2%
Philippines	Calauag	2017	93.3%	99.5%	79.6%
Philippines	Calauan	2000	93.4%	98.5%	79.3%
Philippines	Calauan	2017	96.5%	99.7%	84.5%
Philippines	Calayan	2000	76.2%	95.5%	48.4%
Philippines	Calayan	2017	89.0%	98.9%	69.0%
Philippines	Calbayog City	2000	89.4%	96.1%	77.9%
Philippines	Calbayog City	2017	93.4%	98.1%	83.4%
Philippines	Calbiga	2000	94.8%	99.2%	85.8%
Philippines	Calbiga	2017	98.2%	99.9%	92.9%
Philippines	Calinog	2000	72.9%	99.3%	20.7%
Philippines	Calinog	2017	90.5%	100.0%	50.7%
Philippines	Calintaan	2000	80.0%	99.3%	40.2%
Philippines	Calintaan	2017	92.2%	99.9%	62.0%
Philippines	Calubian	2000	75.4%	88.4%	45.2%
Philippines	Calubian	2017	89.1%	93.9%	77.5%
Philippines	Calumpit	2000	98.3%	99.8%	91.8%
Philippines	Calumpit	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Philippines	Caluya	2000	75.5%	98.4%	29.9%
Philippines	Caluya	2017	89.7%	99.7%	53.1%
Philippines	Camalaniugan	2000	51.1%	83.6%	14.7%
Philippines	Camalaniugan	2017	91.0%	99.0%	58.8%
Philippines	Camalig	2000	61.5%	72.0%	48.2%
Philippines	Camalig	2017	92.5%	95.5%	84.6%
Philippines	Camaligan	2000	91.3%	95.5%	85.2%
Philippines	Camaligan	2017	98.4%	99.4%	96.3%
Philippines	Camiling	2000	90.9%	99.0%	63.7%
Philippines	Camiling	2017	98.1%	100.0%	85.3%
Philippines	Can-Avid	2000	83.2%	98.0%	57.2%
Philippines	Can-Avid	2017	96.5%	99.8%	86.2%
Philippines	Canaman	2000	96.6%	99.4%	90.0%
Philippines	Canaman	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Philippines	Candaba	2000	89.7%	99.3%	66.5%
Philippines	Candaba	2017	95.4%	100.0%	76.2%
Philippines	Candelaria	2000	88.1%	99.3%	53.2%
Philippines	Candelaria	2000	97.4%	99.9%	89.5%
Philippines	Candelaria	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.4%
Philippines	Candelaria	2017	97.7%	99.9%	89.4%
Philippines	Candijay	2000	71.7%	95.5%	39.9%
Philippines	Candijay	2017	86.4%	99.5%	51.7%
Philippines	Candon City	2000	94.5%	99.5%	84.6%
Philippines	Candon City	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.4%
Philippines	Candoni	2000	67.5%	96.7%	30.2%
Philippines	Candoni	2017	91.6%	98.6%	77.8%
Philippines	Canlaon City	2000	69.5%	99.1%	11.1%
Philippines	Canlaon City	2017	84.0%	99.9%	29.6%
Philippines	Cantilan	2000	93.2%	99.3%	73.8%
Philippines	Cantilan	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Caoayan	2000	84.4%	97.6%	57.4%
Philippines	Caoayan	2017	98.4%	99.9%	90.9%
Philippines	Capalonga	2000	80.7%	99.2%	33.8%
Philippines	Capalonga	2017	87.4%	99.1%	45.1%
Philippines	Capas	2000	95.8%	99.4%	84.9%
Philippines	Capas	2017	98.5%	99.9%	90.8%
Philippines	Capoocan	2000	37.6%	72.8%	4.1%
Philippines	Capoocan	2017	59.9%	91.8%	16.4%
Philippines	Capul	2000	78.5%	99.9%	18.9%
Philippines	Capul	2017	90.5%	100.0%	50.2%
Philippines	Caraga	2000	72.7%	96.0%	36.9%
Philippines	Caraga	2017	81.4%	97.2%	53.5%
Philippines	Caramoan	2000	78.1%	99.2%	35.4%
Philippines	Caramoan	2017	90.9%	99.8%	61.7%
Philippines	Caramoran	2000	45.5%	83.7%	20.0%
Philippines	Caramoran	2017	52.5%	79.5%	37.0%
Philippines	Carasi	2000	85.4%	99.8%	46.5%
Philippines	Carasi	2017	93.0%	100.0%	66.2%
Philippines	Carcar	2000	73.9%	83.6%	64.1%
Philippines	Carcar	2017	87.9%	94.5%	77.6%
Philippines	Cardona	2000	95.3%	99.9%	71.1%
Philippines	Cardona	2017	98.4%	100.0%	85.0%
Philippines	Carigara	2000	8.3%	25.0%	1.9%
Philippines	Carigara	2017	18.4%	31.4%	10.9%
Philippines	Carles	2000	65.7%	86.8%	39.8%
Philippines	Carles	2017	85.2%	95.8%	66.5%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	79.1%	99.2%	26.7%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	72.5%	86.3%	63.0%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	76.3%	99.7%	12.0%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	79.4%	97.3%	50.9%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	90.7%	99.2%	72.3%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	72.4%	96.6%	28.2%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	90.4%	99.9%	51.4%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	97.7%	100.0%	90.4%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	86.9%	94.3%	82.9%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	84.1%	96.6%	57.6%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	89.2%	99.4%	56.4%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	87.1%	100.0%	34.9%
Philippines	Carmona	2000	92.6%	97.4%	87.0%
Philippines	Carmona	2017	98.0%	99.7%	93.0%
Philippines	Carranglan	2000	74.0%	86.6%	56.9%
Philippines	Carranglan	2017	89.5%	96.7%	77.0%
Philippines	Carrascal	2000	87.9%	99.7%	56.7%
Philippines	Carrascal	2017	96.2%	99.9%	82.0%
Philippines	Casiguran	2000	93.7%	99.3%	84.5%
Philippines	Casiguran	2000	77.6%	95.4%	46.1%
Philippines	Casiguran	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.3%
Philippines	Casiguran	2017	93.2%	99.3%	75.7%
Philippines	Castilla	2000	70.4%	96.8%	25.7%
Philippines	Castilla	2017	88.7%	99.5%	48.8%
Philippines	Castillejos	2000	74.5%	94.9%	44.8%
Philippines	Castillejos	2017	97.8%	99.4%	93.3%
Philippines	Cataingan	2000	75.6%	96.6%	38.9%
Philippines	Cataingan	2017	88.7%	99.5%	58.0%
Philippines	Catanauan	2000	72.0%	98.6%	21.7%
Philippines	Catanauan	2017	87.0%	99.8%	51.3%
Philippines	Catarman	2000	82.3%	98.5%	51.0%
Philippines	Catarman	2000	98.5%	100.0%	88.0%
Philippines	Catarman	2017	96.2%	99.9%	82.5%
Philippines	Catarman	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.5%
Philippines	Catbalogan City	2000	86.9%	97.8%	69.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Catbalogan City	2017	96.9%	99.3%	91.3%
Philippines	Cateel	2000	68.9%	85.7%	52.0%
Philippines	Cateel	2017	86.9%	96.1%	74.4%
Philippines	Catigbian	2000	78.5%	97.9%	27.6%
Philippines	Catigbian	2017	93.6%	99.8%	64.9%
Philippines	Catmon	2000	73.9%	99.7%	19.4%
Philippines	Catmon	2017	84.6%	99.9%	41.5%
Philippines	Catubig	2000	74.4%	96.6%	33.1%
Philippines	Catubig	2017	73.0%	96.9%	36.0%
Philippines	Cauayan	2000	69.8%	93.2%	36.2%
Philippines	Cauayan	2017	89.1%	98.9%	63.9%
Philippines	Cauayan City	2000	85.3%	92.5%	75.0%
Philippines	Cauayan City	2017	98.3%	99.2%	95.9%
Philippines	Cavinti	2000	92.4%	100.0%	39.8%
Philippines	Cavinti	2017	96.7%	100.0%	77.7%
Philippines	Cavite City	2000	93.3%	99.9%	52.0%
Philippines	Cavite City	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.1%
Philippines	Cawayan	2000	46.2%	68.9%	20.7%
Philippines	Cawayan	2017	74.2%	89.1%	50.5%
Philippines	Cebu City	2000	90.5%	93.5%	86.1%
Philippines	Cebu City	2017	97.5%	98.4%	95.9%
Philippines	Cervantes	2000	90.6%	99.8%	66.9%
Philippines	Cervantes	2017	97.4%	100.0%	86.8%
Philippines	Clarín	2000	88.7%	100.0%	51.4%
Philippines	Clarín	2000	90.5%	98.7%	68.9%
Philippines	Clarín	2017	96.3%	100.0%	77.9%
Philippines	Clarín	2017	96.5%	99.8%	77.3%
Philippines	Claver	2000	84.9%	99.5%	43.2%
Philippines	Claver	2017	92.6%	99.9%	64.3%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	88.2%	97.3%	63.0%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	75.2%	98.6%	37.9%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	78.1%	96.6%	44.5%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	89.5%	99.2%	62.2%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	98.1%	99.8%	90.9%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	88.7%	99.8%	59.0%
Philippines	Columbio	2000	65.3%	94.3%	28.6%
Philippines	Columbio	2017	87.1%	99.5%	53.4%
Philippines	Compostela	2000	69.4%	96.8%	15.5%
Philippines	Compostela	2000	90.0%	99.0%	66.6%
Philippines	Compostela	2017	89.1%	99.7%	48.6%
Philippines	Compostela	2017	95.4%	99.3%	84.4%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	74.3%	98.9%	24.9%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	85.4%	98.9%	50.6%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	69.3%	99.7%	8.2%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	72.2%	98.2%	29.3%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	85.0%	98.4%	53.3%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	85.3%	100.0%	27.5%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	88.3%	99.9%	45.8%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	98.3%	99.9%	89.8%
Philippines	Conner	2000	50.9%	59.7%	40.3%
Philippines	Conner	2017	68.7%	79.0%	58.7%
Philippines	Consolacion	2000	89.7%	95.4%	80.7%
Philippines	Consolacion	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.5%
Philippines	Corcuera	2000	70.7%	96.5%	32.2%
Philippines	Corcuera	2017	95.7%	99.9%	76.9%
Philippines	Cordoba	2000	65.3%	76.6%	52.7%
Philippines	Cordoba	2017	97.0%	98.8%	93.9%
Philippines	Cordon	2000	72.5%	98.6%	31.7%
Philippines	Cordon	2017	88.9%	99.9%	37.4%
Philippines	Corella	2000	94.0%	99.2%	73.0%
Philippines	Corella	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Coron	2000	73.1%	94.0%	42.7%
Philippines	Coron	2017	90.9%	98.5%	71.4%
Philippines	Cortes	2000	76.5%	99.6%	23.8%
Philippines	Cortes	2000	88.0%	98.9%	50.6%
Philippines	Cortes	2017	89.5%	99.9%	47.4%
Philippines	Cortes	2017	96.6%	99.9%	74.0%
Philippines	Cotabato City	2000	84.1%	90.3%	75.9%
Philippines	Cotabato City	2017	93.0%	97.2%	86.2%
Philippines	Cuartero	2000	79.6%	98.2%	45.2%
Philippines	Cuartero	2017	95.2%	99.9%	73.8%
Philippines	Cuenca	2000	92.6%	99.9%	58.2%
Philippines	Cuenca	2017	96.0%	100.0%	71.4%
Philippines	Culaba	2000	91.3%	99.3%	66.9%
Philippines	Culaba	2017	96.1%	99.6%	79.4%
Philippines	Culasi	2000	75.2%	96.5%	36.1%
Philippines	Culasi	2017	94.2%	99.8%	60.9%
Philippines	Culion	2000	78.7%	95.8%	52.0%
Philippines	Culion	2017	90.8%	99.2%	68.1%
Philippines	Currimao	2000	91.6%	99.4%	65.5%
Philippines	Currimao	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.3%
Philippines	Cuyapo	2000	94.2%	99.9%	77.8%
Philippines	Cuyapo	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.3%
Philippines	Cuyo	2000	67.2%	89.2%	41.2%
Philippines	Cuyo	2017	90.4%	99.2%	68.0%
Philippines	Daanbantayan	2000	75.5%	99.7%	29.9%
Philippines	Daanbantayan	2017	87.3%	100.0%	40.2%
Philippines	Daet	2000	80.5%	93.0%	57.6%
Philippines	Daet	2017	78.4%	90.5%	63.2%
Philippines	Dagami	2000	95.2%	99.6%	83.7%
Philippines	Dagami	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.6%
Philippines	Dagohoy	2000	65.3%	97.8%	21.1%
Philippines	Dagohoy	2017	84.8%	98.5%	60.6%
Philippines	Daguioan	2000	72.4%	99.8%	12.2%
Philippines	Daguioan	2017	86.8%	100.0%	33.0%
Philippines	Dagupan City	2000	95.1%	99.7%	83.2%
Philippines	Dagupan City	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.4%
Philippines	Dalaguete	2000	89.2%	97.3%	52.8%
Philippines	Dalaguete	2017	94.2%	98.9%	72.4%
Philippines	Damulog	2000	65.0%	97.0%	18.0%
Philippines	Damulog	2017	85.1%	99.8%	37.7%
Philippines	Danao	2000	77.9%	99.7%	28.4%
Philippines	Danao	2017	91.9%	100.0%	60.0%
Philippines	Danao City	2000	76.8%	99.1%	15.2%
Philippines	Danao City	2017	88.9%	99.9%	43.9%
Philippines	Danao Lake	2000	75.0%	100.0%	6.8%
Philippines	Danao Lake	2017	87.8%	100.0%	21.7%
Philippines	Dangcagan	2000	83.7%	93.1%	63.2%
Philippines	Dangcagan	2017	88.5%	96.7%	68.3%
Philippines	Danglas	2000	81.4%	98.7%	39.1%
Philippines	Danglas	2017	96.0%	99.9%	77.9%
Philippines	Dao	2000	84.7%	96.6%	59.5%
Philippines	Dao	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.3%
Philippines	Dapa	2000	91.4%	98.0%	73.9%
Philippines	Dapa	2017	97.1%	99.7%	86.5%
Philippines	Dapao Lake	2000	4.7%	19.8%	1.0%
Philippines	Dapao Lake	2017	17.9%	22.0%	14.6%
Philippines	Dapitan City	2000	81.8%	88.0%	75.0%
Philippines	Dapitan City	2017	94.3%	96.0%	90.5%
Philippines	Daraga	2000	57.8%	62.9%	51.8%
Philippines	Daraga	2017	87.0%	89.9%	83.6%
Philippines	Daram	2000	79.2%	98.3%	55.9%
Philippines	Daram	2017	82.7%	97.1%	49.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Dasmariñas	2000	90.6%	94.1%	86.6%
Philippines	Dasmariñas	2017	98.1%	99.2%	95.5%
Philippines	Dasol	2000	79.3%	99.4%	35.2%
Philippines	Dasol	2017	92.6%	99.9%	65.1%
Philippines	Datu Abdul-lah Sanki	2000	66.8%	80.1%	47.7%
Philippines	Datu Abdul-lah Sanki	2017	95.3%	98.4%	87.2%
Philippines	Datu Anggal Midtimbang	2000	62.6%	97.7%	14.1%
Philippines	Datu Anggal Midtimbang	2017	79.7%	97.1%	48.5%
Philippines	Datu Blah T. Sinsuat	2000	63.1%	80.0%	39.1%
Philippines	Datu Blah T. Sinsuat	2017	86.8%	95.0%	72.9%
Philippines	Datu Odin Sinsuat	2000	79.1%	93.3%	50.0%
Philippines	Datu Odin Sinsuat	2017	93.6%	98.9%	79.8%
Philippines	Datu Paglas	2000	52.6%	75.1%	29.1%
Philippines	Datu Paglas	2017	86.1%	98.4%	64.9%
Philippines	Datu Piang	2000	23.0%	49.0%	11.4%
Philippines	Datu Piang	2017	52.9%	82.9%	25.4%
Philippines	Datu Saudi-Ampatuan	2000	28.7%	56.8%	12.1%
Philippines	Datu Saudi-Ampatuan	2017	45.0%	60.7%	32.4%
Philippines	Datu Unsay	2000	23.4%	47.5%	1.7%
Philippines	Datu Unsay	2017	39.0%	56.8%	14.7%
Philippines	Dauin	2000	89.5%	99.7%	62.8%
Philippines	Dauin	2017	96.7%	100.0%	80.3%
Philippines	Daus	2000	92.9%	99.8%	64.0%
Philippines	Daus	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.4%
Philippines	Davao City	2000	83.6%	88.0%	79.2%
Philippines	Davao City	2017	94.9%	97.2%	91.5%
Philippines	Del Carmen	2000	90.0%	99.5%	73.7%
Philippines	Del Carmen	2017	96.4%	99.9%	80.3%
Philippines	Del Gallego	2000	69.8%	97.4%	14.5%
Philippines	Del Gallego	2017	85.2%	99.8%	42.9%
Philippines	Delfin Albano	2000	72.0%	97.0%	27.8%
Philippines	Delfin Albano	2017	91.3%	99.3%	64.2%
Philippines	Diadi	2000	91.0%	96.7%	79.6%
Philippines	Diadi	2017	97.0%	99.5%	87.5%
Philippines	Diffun	2000	75.0%	83.9%	64.4%
Philippines	Diffun	2017	94.5%	97.0%	88.9%
Philippines	Digos City	2000	88.0%	93.8%	78.4%
Philippines	Digos City	2017	96.3%	98.5%	92.1%
Philippines	Dilasag	2000	80.1%	99.0%	41.7%
Philippines	Dilasag	2017	92.0%	99.8%	67.3%
Philippines	Dimasalang	2000	77.3%	96.5%	41.8%
Philippines	Dimasalang	2017	96.5%	99.8%	84.0%
Philippines	Dimataling	2000	74.2%	99.3%	29.8%
Philippines	Dimataling	2017	87.2%	99.9%	51.6%
Philippines	Dimiao	2000	76.2%	99.9%	15.3%
Philippines	Dimiao	2017	87.7%	100.0%	27.9%
Philippines	Dinagat	2000	69.6%	92.1%	33.6%
Philippines	Dinagat	2017	94.1%	98.8%	75.2%
Philippines	Dinalungan	2000	72.0%	99.7%	18.9%
Philippines	Dinalungan	2017	87.7%	100.0%	47.8%
Philippines	Dinalupihan	2000	79.2%	85.3%	71.4%
Philippines	Dinalupihan	2017	78.2%	87.6%	68.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Dinapigue	2000	74.3%	98.2%	26.4%
Philippines	Dinapigue	2017	86.8%	99.2%	50.2%
Philippines	Dinas	2000	78.9%	99.6%	34.4%
Philippines	Dinas	2017	86.3%	99.9%	36.0%
Philippines	Dingalan	2000	72.8%	98.3%	30.6%
Philippines	Dingalan	2017	86.5%	99.6%	44.0%
Philippines	Dingle	2000	68.7%	76.8%	61.3%
Philippines	Dingle	2017	88.4%	96.0%	74.4%
Philippines	Dingras	2000	96.7%	99.9%	84.8%
Philippines	Dingras	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.0%
Philippines	Dipaculao	2000	84.7%	95.6%	68.0%
Philippines	Dipaculao	2017	96.4%	99.7%	87.0%
Philippines	Diplahan	2000	71.8%	96.0%	55.7%
Philippines	Diplahan	2017	82.9%	98.1%	59.0%
Philippines	Dipolog City	2000	73.8%	91.7%	48.9%
Philippines	Dipolog City	2017	95.1%	99.0%	82.0%
Philippines	Ditsaan-Ramain	2000	74.1%	97.5%	27.2%
Philippines	Ditsaan-Ramain	2017	83.9%	98.3%	49.8%
Philippines	Divilacan	2000	74.0%	98.7%	22.6%
Philippines	Divilacan	2017	87.3%	99.8%	36.6%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.4%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	67.5%	88.9%	37.8%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	89.9%	97.9%	68.6%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	97.7%	99.5%	92.6%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	98.1%	99.7%	93.3%
Philippines	Don Carlos	2000	84.4%	91.4%	69.4%
Philippines	Don Carlos	2017	91.2%	95.2%	77.8%
Philippines	Don Marcelino	2000	48.9%	84.2%	15.4%
Philippines	Don Marcelino	2017	58.9%	86.8%	29.5%
Philippines	Don Victoriano Chiongbian	2000	74.6%	98.9%	41.9%
Philippines	Don Victoriano Chiongbian	2017	83.9%	99.2%	54.3%
Philippines	Doña Remedios Trinidad	2000	61.1%	84.1%	32.4%
Philippines	Doña Remedios Trinidad	2017	85.3%	98.0%	58.4%
Philippines	Donsol	2000	64.1%	98.5%	15.5%
Philippines	Donsol	2017	73.2%	98.4%	27.4%
Philippines	Duenas	2000	58.3%	75.6%	38.6%
Philippines	Duenas	2017	91.6%	98.1%	74.7%
Philippines	Duero	2000	79.5%	99.2%	39.8%
Philippines	Duero	2017	95.7%	99.8%	75.4%
Philippines	Dulag	2000	89.5%	99.9%	47.0%
Philippines	Dulag	2017	97.4%	100.0%	73.8%
Philippines	Dumaguete City	2000	97.4%	100.0%	88.6%
Philippines	Dumaguete City	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.2%
Philippines	Dumalag	2000	79.4%	94.1%	44.6%
Philippines	Dumalag	2017	95.4%	99.4%	76.2%
Philippines	Dumalinao	2000	94.7%	99.7%	79.6%
Philippines	Dumalinao	2017	97.4%	99.9%	90.8%
Philippines	Dumalneg	2000	71.7%	99.9%	8.2%
Philippines	Dumalneg	2017	85.4%	100.0%	24.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Dumangas	2000	92.5%	99.9%	65.7%
Philippines	Dumangas	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.0%
Philippines	Dumanjug	2000	72.3%	97.0%	34.0%
Philippines	Dumanjug	2017	95.9%	99.3%	90.3%
Philippines	Dumaran	2000	70.7%	92.7%	40.3%
Philippines	Dumaran	2017	87.8%	98.7%	66.6%
Philippines	Dumarao	2000	75.3%	93.9%	45.9%
Philippines	Dumarao	2017	95.0%	99.6%	75.7%
Philippines	Dumingag	2000	76.4%	96.3%	41.0%
Philippines	Dumingag	2017	87.8%	99.0%	52.5%
Philippines	Dupax Del Norte	2000	78.7%	96.9%	50.3%
Philippines	Dupax Del Norte	2017	89.3%	99.5%	65.7%
Philippines	Dupax Del Sur	2000	81.0%	95.3%	60.9%
Philippines	Dupax Del Sur	2017	90.7%	99.1%	76.6%
Philippines	Echague	2000	56.4%	73.3%	40.4%
Philippines	Echague	2017	87.1%	95.0%	73.8%
Philippines	El Nido	2000	73.4%	92.7%	48.1%
Philippines	El Nido	2017	90.1%	97.9%	68.1%
Philippines	El Salvador City	2000	95.4%	99.5%	86.0%
Philippines	El Salvador City	2017	98.2%	99.9%	94.3%
Philippines	Enrile	2000	89.7%	98.6%	67.4%
Philippines	Enrile	2017	96.9%	99.9%	80.9%
Philippines	Enrique B. Magalona	2000	87.0%	98.5%	60.1%
Philippines	Enrique B. Magalona	2017	97.1%	99.7%	86.7%
Philippines	Enrique Villanueva	2000	94.8%	99.9%	73.7%
Philippines	Enrique Villanueva	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.8%
Philippines	Escalante City	2000	40.5%	73.3%	13.1%
Philippines	Escalante City	2017	51.1%	83.0%	32.0%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	47.1%	80.6%	11.6%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	90.4%	98.6%	70.1%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	86.8%	96.4%	69.5%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	95.9%	99.2%	89.0%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	96.3%	99.8%	86.3%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	76.2%	92.2%	38.2%
Philippines	Estancia	2000	71.3%	100.0%	7.2%
Philippines	Estancia	2017	86.0%	100.0%	22.1%
Philippines	Famy	2000	89.4%	99.7%	51.8%
Philippines	Famy	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.1%
Philippines	Ferrol	2000	71.7%	89.5%	49.0%
Philippines	Ferrol	2017	95.5%	99.2%	83.8%
Philippines	Flora	2000	75.1%	86.6%	57.3%
Philippines	Flora	2017	88.6%	97.5%	76.0%
Philippines	Floridablanca	2000	92.4%	99.8%	64.5%
Philippines	Floridablanca	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.3%
Philippines	Gabaldon	2000	79.7%	99.8%	24.0%
Philippines	Gabaldon	2017	90.7%	100.0%	46.1%
Philippines	Gainza	2000	93.9%	99.3%	81.6%
Philippines	Gainza	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Philippines	Galimuyod	2000	98.1%	99.9%	92.3%
Philippines	Galimuyod	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Philippines	Gamay	2000	55.0%	83.2%	12.8%
Philippines	Gamay	2017	78.8%	92.3%	38.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Gamu	2000	35.9%	83.4%	8.3%
Philippines	Gamu	2017	71.6%	98.3%	23.8%
Philippines	Ganassi	2000	36.0%	72.8%	14.5%
Philippines	Ganassi	2017	72.4%	78.8%	63.0%
Philippines	Gandara	2000	80.8%	99.2%	39.3%
Philippines	Gandara	2017	92.0%	99.9%	62.3%
Philippines	Gapan City	2000	94.9%	98.6%	88.4%
Philippines	Gapan City	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.4%
Philippines	Garchitorena	2000	80.9%	98.1%	49.5%
Philippines	Garchitorena	2017	89.0%	98.7%	65.1%
Philippines	Garcia Hernandez	2000	88.2%	99.7%	54.0%
Philippines	Garcia Hernandez	2017	95.0%	100.0%	73.7%
Philippines	Gasán	2000	92.2%	100.0%	57.1%
Philippines	Gasán	2017	97.4%	100.0%	81.1%
Philippines	Gattaran	2000	73.7%	94.1%	40.9%
Philippines	Gattaran	2017	91.9%	99.5%	67.8%
Philippines	Gen. S. K. Pendatun	2000	82.0%	91.4%	70.5%
Philippines	Gen. S. K. Pendatun	2017	96.4%	99.2%	87.9%
Philippines	General Emilio Aguinaldo	2000	81.9%	100.0%	16.9%
Philippines	General Emilio Aguinaldo	2017	92.2%	100.0%	45.6%
Philippines	General Luna	2000	60.0%	96.8%	13.1%
Philippines	General Luna	2000	90.3%	99.2%	68.2%
Philippines	General Luna	2017	81.2%	99.8%	39.5%
Philippines	General Luna	2017	98.5%	99.9%	92.3%
Philippines	General Macarthur	2000	83.7%	99.8%	26.2%
Philippines	General Macarthur	2017	94.4%	100.0%	61.9%
Philippines	General Mamerto Natividad	2000	65.7%	77.4%	56.6%
Philippines	General Mamerto Natividad	2017	82.2%	96.6%	71.3%
Philippines	General Mariano Alvarez	2000	88.6%	96.1%	81.3%
Philippines	General Mariano Alvarez	2017	96.5%	99.5%	87.5%
Philippines	General Nakar	2000	76.7%	95.2%	39.0%
Philippines	General Nakar	2017	89.2%	98.7%	62.9%
Philippines	General Santos City	2000	93.2%	95.7%	90.3%
Philippines	General Santos City	2017	97.4%	98.8%	95.1%
Philippines	General Tinio	2000	89.1%	99.2%	65.5%
Philippines	General Tinio	2017	96.1%	99.9%	86.4%
Philippines	General Trias	2000	96.7%	98.0%	94.1%
Philippines	General Trias	2017	99.1%	99.7%	96.5%
Philippines	Gerona	2000	95.9%	99.3%	87.1%
Philippines	Gerona	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.5%
Philippines	Gigaquit	2000	88.2%	100.0%	30.1%
Philippines	Gigaquit	2017	93.8%	100.0%	51.9%
Philippines	Gigmoto	2000	85.5%	95.2%	65.5%
Philippines	Gigmoto	2017	78.6%	93.5%	59.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Ginatilan	2000	71.7%	100.0%	7.6%
Philippines	Ginatilan	2017	85.5%	100.0%	33.5%
Philippines	Gingoog City	2000	93.3%	98.2%	84.5%
Philippines	Gingoog City	2017	97.5%	99.7%	91.2%
Philippines	Giporlos	2000	80.0%	100.0%	28.4%
Philippines	Giporlos	2017	93.0%	100.0%	60.9%
Philippines	Gitagum	2000	89.7%	93.2%	84.4%
Philippines	Gitagum	2017	95.0%	96.7%	90.7%
Philippines	Glan	2000	89.0%	96.9%	71.9%
Philippines	Glan	2017	97.1%	99.5%	89.8%
Philippines	Gloria	2000	87.0%	95.5%	68.7%
Philippines	Gloria	2017	97.0%	99.6%	89.4%
Philippines	Goa	2000	93.2%	99.7%	79.5%
Philippines	Goa	2017	95.8%	99.8%	87.0%
Philippines	Godod	2000	74.0%	98.9%	26.1%
Philippines	Godod	2017	86.5%	99.8%	47.6%
Philippines	Gonzaga	2000	55.3%	79.2%	32.1%
Philippines	Gonzaga	2017	91.5%	97.7%	74.9%
Philippines	Governor Generoso	2000	77.5%	98.3%	41.7%
Philippines	Governor Generoso	2017	89.6%	99.7%	61.5%
Philippines	Gregorio Del Pilar	2000	98.6%	100.0%	89.4%
Philippines	Gregorio Del Pilar	2017	98.9%	100.0%	87.3%
Philippines	Guagua	2000	95.6%	99.7%	74.6%
Philippines	Guagua	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.4%
Philippines	Gubat	2000	90.7%	99.9%	48.1%
Philippines	Gubat	2017	95.9%	100.0%	63.7%
Philippines	Guiguinto	2000	97.6%	99.4%	91.3%
Philippines	Guiguinto	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Philippines	Guihulngan City	2000	64.5%	80.2%	45.1%
Philippines	Guihulngan City	2017	87.6%	96.8%	72.1%
Philippines	Guimba	2000	96.4%	100.0%	70.8%
Philippines	Guimba	2017	98.5%	100.0%	85.1%
Philippines	Guimbal	2000	51.3%	75.7%	14.4%
Philippines	Guimbal	2017	87.9%	97.4%	52.3%
Philippines	Guinayangan	2000	80.1%	98.2%	38.0%
Philippines	Guinayangan	2017	88.8%	99.6%	54.2%
Philippines	Guindulman	2000	83.8%	95.1%	72.8%
Philippines	Guindulman	2017	94.9%	99.3%	83.9%
Philippines	Guindulungan	2000	50.8%	93.4%	9.1%
Philippines	Guindulungan	2017	62.1%	89.7%	33.5%
Philippines	Guinobatan	2000	65.1%	89.0%	19.1%
Philippines	Guinobatan	2017	91.3%	98.2%	66.1%
Philippines	Guinsiliban	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.5%
Philippines	Guinsiliban	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.1%
Philippines	Guipos	2000	78.4%	93.6%	50.2%
Philippines	Guipos	2017	84.4%	96.7%	57.4%
Philippines	Guiuan	2000	77.1%	96.6%	45.7%
Philippines	Guiuan	2017	94.9%	99.6%	80.9%
Philippines	Gumaca	2000	57.8%	88.7%	10.7%
Philippines	Gumaca	2017	79.6%	96.4%	31.4%
Philippines	Gutalac	2000	48.9%	73.7%	22.4%
Philippines	Gutalac	2017	79.0%	95.0%	55.5%
Philippines	Hadji Mohammad Ajul	2000	92.8%	100.0%	70.7%
Philippines	Hadji Mohammad Ajul	2017	96.2%	100.0%	77.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Hadji Panglima Tahil	2000	85.0%	98.5%	63.8%
Philippines	Hadji Panglima Tahil	2017	92.0%	99.8%	70.5%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2000	90.8%	98.5%	66.6%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2000	94.7%	99.9%	80.9%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2017	98.3%	100.0%	87.8%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2017	97.8%	99.3%	94.1%
Philippines	Hamtic	2000	81.1%	97.6%	38.8%
Philippines	Hamtic	2017	94.6%	99.3%	69.0%
Philippines	Hermosa	2000	82.5%	90.7%	76.1%
Philippines	Hermosa	2017	83.4%	93.5%	68.1%
Philippines	Hernani	2000	93.5%	99.9%	61.1%
Philippines	Hernani	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.4%
Philippines	Hilongos	2000	90.8%	96.3%	78.0%
Philippines	Hilongos	2017	94.8%	98.1%	90.0%
Philippines	Himamaylan City	2000	77.3%	98.6%	30.9%
Philippines	Himamaylan City	2017	89.8%	99.8%	58.6%
Philippines	Hinabangan	2000	86.8%	98.6%	63.1%
Philippines	Hinabangan	2017	94.3%	99.8%	74.4%
Philippines	Hinatuan	2000	80.7%	96.3%	42.4%
Philippines	Hinatuan	2017	89.4%	98.9%	58.7%
Philippines	Hindang	2000	89.6%	100.0%	47.2%
Philippines	Hindang	2017	96.8%	100.0%	78.1%
Philippines	Hingyon	2000	56.4%	66.2%	46.0%
Philippines	Hingyon	2017	75.1%	86.8%	60.7%
Philippines	Hinigaran	2000	88.4%	96.5%	67.1%
Philippines	Hinigaran	2017	98.7%	99.5%	96.0%
Philippines	Hinoba-An	2000	78.5%	99.2%	36.5%
Philippines	Hinoba-An	2017	90.8%	99.8%	54.4%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2000	82.6%	97.5%	59.1%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2000	78.1%	100.0%	4.7%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2017	89.1%	97.8%	70.9%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2017	85.0%	100.0%	12.9%
Philippines	Hinundayan	2000	78.4%	99.8%	17.7%
Philippines	Hinundayan	2017	89.0%	99.9%	43.4%
Philippines	Hungduan	2000	41.7%	81.7%	7.6%
Philippines	Hungduan	2017	71.0%	96.3%	32.0%
Philippines	Iba	2000	85.1%	99.9%	36.3%
Philippines	Iba	2017	95.4%	100.0%	71.0%
Philippines	Ibaan	2000	90.3%	97.8%	76.4%
Philippines	Ibaan	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.0%
Philippines	Ibajay	2000	55.4%	89.2%	19.8%
Philippines	Ibajay	2017	89.3%	98.7%	58.3%
Philippines	Igbaras	2000	68.6%	86.5%	50.7%
Philippines	Igbaras	2017	95.7%	98.6%	86.7%
Philippines	Iguig	2000	86.6%	98.1%	63.1%
Philippines	Iguig	2017	96.8%	99.9%	88.0%
Philippines	Ilagan	2000	68.9%	91.4%	41.5%
Philippines	Ilagan	2017	89.8%	98.5%	65.8%
Philippines	Iligan City	2000	86.3%	88.8%	82.3%
Philippines	Iligan City	2017	93.6%	95.0%	91.5%
Philippines	Ilog	2000	78.6%	97.3%	44.1%
Philippines	Ilog	2017	89.8%	99.6%	61.1%
Philippines	Iloilo City	2000	98.2%	99.8%	92.0%
Philippines	Iloilo City	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Philippines	Imelda	2000	82.9%	84.7%	81.1%
Philippines	Imelda	2017	90.2%	93.2%	86.6%
Philippines	Impasug-Ong	2000	76.5%	95.9%	36.7%
Philippines	Impasug-Ong	2017	87.9%	99.2%	53.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Imus	2000	94.2%	97.3%	89.3%
Philippines	Imus	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.9%
Philippines	Inabanga	2000	93.0%	100.0%	63.6%
Philippines	Inabanga	2017	97.9%	100.0%	84.4%
Philippines	Indanan	2000	94.8%	97.2%	89.6%
Philippines	Indanan	2017	95.5%	97.3%	92.2%
Philippines	Indang	2000	91.5%	98.5%	78.1%
Philippines	Indang	2017	98.1%	99.9%	91.9%
Philippines	Infanta	2000	77.8%	97.5%	40.8%
Philippines	Infanta	2000	86.8%	99.4%	55.1%
Philippines	Infanta	2017	96.2%	100.0%	83.6%
Philippines	Infanta	2017	91.9%	99.2%	71.0%
Philippines	Initao	2000	62.7%	99.0%	6.8%
Philippines	Initao	2017	80.1%	99.3%	21.0%
Philippines	Inopacan	2000	83.3%	99.2%	31.2%
Philippines	Inopacan	2017	92.4%	99.6%	64.3%
Philippines	Ipil	2000	73.9%	83.7%	60.4%
Philippines	Ipil	2017	89.8%	93.6%	82.2%
Philippines	Iriga City	2000	72.0%	80.7%	61.7%
Philippines	Iriga City	2017	97.1%	98.8%	93.9%
Philippines	Irosin	2000	80.8%	90.5%	61.6%
Philippines	Irosin	2017	96.0%	98.5%	89.1%
Philippines	Isabel	2000	81.9%	99.2%	33.6%
Philippines	Isabel	2017	92.8%	99.8%	66.3%
Philippines	Isabela	2000	49.4%	77.1%	27.0%
Philippines	Isabela	2017	91.9%	96.8%	80.8%
Philippines	Isabela City	2000	86.4%	90.1%	82.4%
Philippines	Isabela City	2017	92.5%	94.7%	90.0%
Philippines	Isulan	2000	93.1%	98.1%	87.0%
Philippines	Isulan	2017	97.1%	99.7%	91.7%
Philippines	Itbayat	2000	86.6%	99.9%	39.8%
Philippines	Itbayat	2017	93.9%	100.0%	59.4%
Philippines	Itogon	2000	73.7%	79.9%	65.7%
Philippines	Itogon	2017	88.9%	93.2%	81.7%
Philippines	Ivana	2000	98.4%	99.9%	95.0%
Philippines	Ivana	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.9%
Philippines	Ivisan	2000	68.8%	81.9%	55.8%
Philippines	Ivisan	2017	95.4%	98.7%	87.9%
Philippines	Jabonga	2000	85.8%	99.8%	51.4%
Philippines	Jabonga	2017	94.1%	100.0%	66.5%
Philippines	Jaen	2000	97.0%	99.7%	85.2%
Philippines	Jaen	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.0%
Philippines	Jagna	2000	84.5%	99.8%	36.0%
Philippines	Jagna	2017	91.8%	100.0%	51.5%
Philippines	Jala-Jala	2000	88.7%	99.9%	58.1%
Philippines	Jala-Jala	2017	97.9%	100.0%	84.9%
Philippines	Jamindan	2000	51.1%	80.0%	15.4%
Philippines	Jamindan	2017	70.1%	88.6%	29.5%
Philippines	Janiuay	2000	77.8%	99.4%	30.8%
Philippines	Janiuay	2017	90.9%	99.9%	56.3%
Philippines	Jaro	2000	72.4%	98.9%	18.9%
Philippines	Jaro	2017	86.5%	99.6%	42.4%
Philippines	Jasaan	2000	96.8%	99.9%	85.3%
Philippines	Jasaan	2017	98.4%	100.0%	86.9%
Philippines	Javier	2000	85.6%	93.1%	76.7%
Philippines	Javier	2017	94.6%	98.9%	84.8%
Philippines	Jetafe	2000	82.5%	99.1%	33.2%
Philippines	Jetafe	2017	95.1%	99.9%	68.2%
Philippines	Jiabong	2000	81.7%	96.6%	53.8%
Philippines	Jiabong	2017	91.4%	98.4%	72.6%
Philippines	Jimalalud	2000	56.9%	85.0%	21.9%
Philippines	Jimalalud	2017	83.4%	96.7%	45.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Jimenez	2000	97.0%	99.1%	89.9%
Philippines	Jimenez	2017	98.2%	99.3%	94.1%
Philippines	Jipapad	2000	88.7%	97.7%	70.8%
Philippines	Jipapad	2017	94.4%	99.4%	79.6%
Philippines	Jolo	2000	70.0%	74.9%	65.6%
Philippines	Jolo	2017	72.2%	79.4%	64.3%
Philippines	Jomalig	2000	75.7%	100.0%	23.8%
Philippines	Jomalig	2017	89.5%	100.0%	50.4%
Philippines	Jones	2000	76.8%	95.5%	47.8%
Philippines	Jones	2017	93.8%	99.4%	79.2%
Philippines	Jordan	2000	64.3%	82.8%	43.7%
Philippines	Jordan	2017	91.2%	96.1%	77.5%
Philippines	Jose Abad Santos	2000	70.3%	86.8%	47.7%
Philippines	Jose Abad Santos	2017	85.7%	95.8%	68.4%
Philippines	Jose Dalman	2000	55.6%	80.6%	30.6%
Philippines	Jose Dalman	2017	88.1%	97.7%	68.7%
Philippines	Jose Pangani-ban	2000	79.1%	91.0%	64.2%
Philippines	Jose Pangani-ban	2017	92.3%	98.1%	79.3%
Philippines	Josefina	2000	95.9%	99.4%	87.8%
Philippines	Josefina	2017	86.4%	98.2%	63.4%
Philippines	Jovellar	2000	71.1%	91.1%	26.9%
Philippines	Jovellar	2017	81.7%	96.0%	38.1%
Philippines	Juban	2000	90.2%	93.7%	85.6%
Philippines	Juban	2017	97.3%	98.5%	95.7%
Philippines	Julita	2000	95.5%	99.8%	78.4%
Philippines	Julita	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Philippines	Kabacan	2000	83.6%	91.1%	71.6%
Philippines	Kabacan	2017	97.1%	99.0%	90.2%
Philippines	Kabankalan City	2000	66.5%	87.6%	35.8%
Philippines	Kabankalan City	2017	85.1%	97.6%	59.2%
Philippines	Kabasaran	2000	65.9%	97.1%	16.1%
Philippines	Kabasaran	2017	83.3%	99.6%	33.2%
Philippines	Kabayan	2000	59.4%	88.2%	22.7%
Philippines	Kabayan	2017	81.1%	96.5%	50.9%
Philippines	Kabugao	2000	78.5%	95.3%	48.7%
Philippines	Kabugao	2017	88.5%	97.1%	73.3%
Philippines	Kabuntalan	2000	77.1%	94.7%	30.1%
Philippines	Kabuntalan	2017	86.5%	98.2%	47.8%
Philippines	Kadingilan	2000	88.7%	98.4%	67.2%
Philippines	Kadingilan	2017	92.5%	99.2%	71.2%
Philippines	Kalamansig	2000	41.7%	77.7%	11.0%
Philippines	Kalamansig	2017	80.9%	96.0%	43.9%
Philippines	Kalawit	2000	78.0%	96.7%	38.4%
Philippines	Kalawit	2017	90.9%	99.2%	69.5%
Philippines	Kalayaan	2000	66.8%	98.5%	16.7%
Philippines	Kalayaan	2017	89.7%	99.9%	52.0%
Philippines	Kalibato Lake	2000	99.4%	100.0%	96.9%
Philippines	Kalibato Lake	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Philippines	Kalibo	2000	97.9%	99.9%	92.2%
Philippines	Kalibo	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Philippines	Kalilangan	2000	36.1%	66.9%	10.2%
Philippines	Kalilangan	2017	73.5%	96.0%	34.5%
Philippines	Kalingalan Caluang	2000	33.0%	69.6%	2.3%
Philippines	Kalingalan Caluang	2017	52.3%	82.5%	6.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Kalookan City	2000	98.9%	99.5%	97.9%
Philippines	Kalookan City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Kananga	2000	71.2%	96.9%	33.6%
Philippines	Kananga	2017	87.1%	99.5%	55.9%
Philippines	Kapai	2000	86.7%	95.9%	64.7%
Philippines	Kapai	2017	92.6%	98.1%	74.9%
Philippines	Kapalong	2000	82.7%	94.0%	66.3%
Philippines	Kapalong	2017	93.1%	98.4%	83.9%
Philippines	Kapangan	2000	48.5%	77.2%	17.0%
Philippines	Kapangan	2017	73.8%	93.3%	35.0%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2000	88.3%	95.9%	77.5%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2000	76.1%	97.4%	36.1%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2017	87.0%	96.8%	74.2%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2017	92.0%	99.8%	65.6%
Philippines	Kasibu	2000	66.6%	96.4%	19.9%
Philippines	Kasibu	2017	82.4%	99.4%	42.6%
Philippines	Katipunan	2000	52.1%	76.1%	30.7%
Philippines	Katipunan	2017	80.6%	93.9%	58.3%
Philippines	Kauswagan	2000	87.7%	98.3%	72.2%
Philippines	Kauswagan	2017	96.8%	99.7%	86.9%
Philippines	Kawayan	2000	94.7%	100.0%	71.2%
Philippines	Kawayan	2017	97.5%	100.0%	76.2%
Philippines	Kawit	2000	93.2%	99.0%	74.2%
Philippines	Kawit	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Philippines	Kayapa	2000	72.0%	93.7%	33.2%
Philippines	Kayapa	2017	84.3%	97.6%	59.7%
Philippines	Kiamba	2000	82.5%	96.1%	59.4%
Philippines	Kiamba	2017	95.7%	99.3%	82.5%
Philippines	Kiangan	2000	74.7%	87.3%	56.6%
Philippines	Kiangan	2017	93.0%	95.3%	90.0%
Philippines	Kibawe	2000	77.5%	96.1%	54.0%
Philippines	Kibawe	2017	92.1%	99.2%	72.4%
Philippines	Kiblawan	2000	78.4%	84.4%	71.3%
Philippines	Kiblawan	2017	92.7%	96.5%	88.8%
Philippines	Kibungan	2000	74.2%	99.6%	27.4%
Philippines	Kibungan	2017	85.5%	99.9%	40.9%
Philippines	Kidapawan City	2000	84.3%	94.2%	71.7%
Philippines	Kidapawan City	2017	94.6%	99.3%	80.7%
Philippines	Kinoguitan	2000	98.5%	100.0%	90.3%
Philippines	Kinoguitan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.7%
Philippines	Kitaotao	2000	73.5%	88.3%	47.4%
Philippines	Kitaotao	2017	88.7%	94.8%	73.0%
Philippines	Kitcharao	2000	89.2%	100.0%	38.5%
Philippines	Kitcharao	2017	94.1%	100.0%	63.7%
Philippines	Kolambugan	2000	78.2%	98.6%	34.3%
Philippines	Kolambugan	2017	85.4%	99.3%	43.9%
Philippines	Koronadal City	2000	95.1%	99.2%	84.2%
Philippines	Koronadal City	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Philippines	Kumalarang	2000	83.7%	93.2%	67.5%
Philippines	Kumalarang	2017	79.0%	91.2%	63.3%
Philippines	La Carlota City	2000	63.6%	90.8%	31.5%
Philippines	La Carlota City	2017	88.8%	99.1%	66.6%
Philippines	La Castellana	2000	53.3%	79.4%	24.2%
Philippines	La Castellana	2017	82.3%	96.3%	50.4%
Philippines	La Libertad	2000	25.2%	44.2%	14.1%
Philippines	La Libertad	2000	72.7%	98.1%	27.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	La Libertad	2017	65.7%	75.1%	54.1%
Philippines	La Libertad	2017	88.4%	99.4%	49.6%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	69.8%	89.6%	42.7%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	78.1%	95.5%	59.3%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	92.1%	100.0%	51.7%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	92.2%	99.7%	62.9%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	97.3%	99.7%	88.4%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	89.4%	97.1%	66.9%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	97.7%	100.0%	83.7%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	98.1%	100.0%	84.2%
Philippines	La Trinidad	2000	87.1%	89.0%	85.1%
Philippines	La Trinidad	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Philippines	Laak	2000	69.7%	84.6%	48.9%
Philippines	Laak	2017	89.6%	96.9%	72.2%
Philippines	Labangan	2000	72.3%	96.9%	36.5%
Philippines	Labangan	2017	89.2%	99.4%	51.5%
Philippines	Labason	2000	62.9%	90.7%	31.2%
Philippines	Labason	2017	88.5%	98.2%	67.0%
Philippines	Labo	2000	67.7%	79.4%	56.5%
Philippines	Labo	2017	83.8%	90.1%	73.6%
Philippines	Labrador	2000	78.5%	99.9%	19.4%
Philippines	Labrador	2017	92.3%	100.0%	54.8%
Philippines	Lacub	2000	76.7%	99.2%	28.4%
Philippines	Lacub	2017	90.6%	99.9%	55.1%
Philippines	Lagangilang	2000	88.3%	98.0%	61.2%
Philippines	Lagangilang	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.0%
Philippines	Lagawe	2000	82.9%	88.6%	74.9%
Philippines	Lagawe	2017	93.5%	95.9%	88.1%
Philippines	Lagayan	2000	60.5%	99.0%	10.5%
Philippines	Lagayan	2017	90.0%	100.0%	50.7%
Philippines	Lagonglong	2000	97.7%	100.0%	84.0%
Philippines	Lagonglong	2017	98.7%	100.0%	92.8%
Philippines	Lagonoy	2000	86.0%	98.3%	64.8%
Philippines	Lagonoy	2017	93.5%	99.3%	80.2%
Philippines	Laguindingan	2000	94.8%	97.4%	91.6%
Philippines	Laguindingan	2017	98.6%	99.4%	96.8%
Philippines	Laguna lake	2000	94.1%	97.0%	90.4%
Philippines	Laguna lake	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.4%
Philippines	Lake Sebu	2000	70.6%	92.9%	43.9%
Philippines	Lake Sebu	2017	88.7%	98.6%	68.0%
Philippines	Lakewood	2000	69.0%	97.7%	16.5%
Philippines	Lakewood	2017	77.3%	97.8%	28.1%
Philippines	Lakewood Lake	2000	69.5%	99.8%	3.3%
Philippines	Lakewood Lake	2017	79.6%	99.9%	16.2%
Philippines	Lal-Lo	2000	62.6%	90.7%	25.4%
Philippines	Lal-Lo	2017	89.3%	99.1%	63.3%
Philippines	Lala	2000	91.4%	95.3%	85.5%
Philippines	Lala	2017	98.5%	99.4%	96.9%
Philippines	Lambayong	2000	72.5%	88.7%	33.5%
Philippines	Lambayong	2017	93.5%	99.0%	73.7%
Philippines	Lambunao	2000	78.5%	94.2%	50.3%
Philippines	Lambunao	2017	94.8%	99.4%	80.0%
Philippines	Lamitan City	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.9%
Philippines	Lamitan City	2017	92.2%	94.9%	89.4%
Philippines	Lamut	2000	87.0%	99.6%	59.0%
Philippines	Lamut	2017	95.0%	99.8%	84.6%
Philippines	Lanao Lake	2000	65.2%	77.8%	50.6%
Philippines	Lanao Lake	2017	89.6%	93.5%	82.5%
Philippines	Langiden	2000	94.6%	97.6%	91.8%
Philippines	Langiden	2017	96.7%	99.5%	91.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Languyan	2000	68.1%	92.2%	32.1%
Philippines	Languyan	2017	89.7%	99.3%	67.7%
Philippines	Lantapan	2000	84.5%	99.2%	47.9%
Philippines	Lantapan	2017	92.6%	99.8%	68.6%
Philippines	Lantawan	2000	83.3%	94.5%	65.5%
Philippines	Lantawan	2017	86.6%	93.2%	73.8%
Philippines	Lanuza	2000	86.3%	99.1%	56.4%
Philippines	Lanuza	2017	95.9%	99.9%	81.2%
Philippines	Laoac	2000	94.0%	99.7%	74.6%
Philippines	Laoac	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.1%
Philippines	Laoag City	2000	95.8%	99.2%	86.3%
Philippines	Laoag City	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Philippines	Laoang	2000	79.7%	91.3%	57.1%
Philippines	Laoang	2017	97.1%	99.2%	91.1%
Philippines	Lapinig	2000	89.5%	95.8%	79.3%
Philippines	Lapinig	2017	97.5%	99.4%	94.5%
Philippines	Lapu-Lapu City	2000	87.0%	91.2%	81.0%
Philippines	Lapu-Lapu City	2017	98.8%	99.3%	97.7%
Philippines	Lapuyan	2000	48.1%	90.4%	10.8%
Philippines	Lapuyan	2017	71.0%	95.5%	16.7%
Philippines	Larena	2000	98.2%	99.9%	93.6%
Philippines	Larena	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.4%
Philippines	Las Navas	2000	85.3%	99.6%	55.9%
Philippines	Las Navas	2017	89.3%	99.7%	60.6%
Philippines	Las Nieves	2000	81.5%	90.6%	68.4%
Philippines	Las Nieves	2017	92.1%	97.2%	83.2%
Philippines	Las Piñas	2000	97.8%	99.3%	93.6%
Philippines	Las Piñas	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Philippines	Lasam	2000	78.7%	98.9%	29.3%
Philippines	Lasam	2017	94.1%	99.9%	58.4%
Philippines	Laua-An	2000	85.2%	98.4%	36.2%
Philippines	Laua-An	2017	92.3%	99.6%	52.8%
Philippines	Laur	2000	90.7%	99.9%	54.4%
Philippines	Laur	2017	95.5%	100.0%	63.5%
Philippines	Laurel	2000	95.2%	99.9%	82.3%
Philippines	Laurel	2017	97.9%	100.0%	84.3%
Philippines	Lavezares	2000	63.5%	76.5%	54.3%
Philippines	Lavezares	2017	74.1%	85.7%	59.0%
Philippines	Lawaan	2000	73.4%	99.8%	11.3%
Philippines	Lawaan	2017	88.9%	100.0%	29.0%
Philippines	Lazi	2000	94.8%	99.9%	79.2%
Philippines	Lazi	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.1%
Philippines	Lebak	2000	55.0%	85.7%	15.3%
Philippines	Lebak	2017	75.7%	93.3%	33.4%
Philippines	Leganes	2000	92.3%	97.9%	79.7%
Philippines	Leganes	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.3%
Philippines	Legazpi City	2000	71.2%	75.6%	67.6%
Philippines	Legazpi City	2017	88.5%	94.5%	81.6%
Philippines	Lemery	2000	91.0%	100.0%	44.4%
Philippines	Lemery	2000	66.3%	90.6%	17.2%
Philippines	Lemery	2017	94.5%	100.0%	58.9%
Philippines	Lemery	2017	90.7%	99.4%	46.5%
Philippines	Leon	2000	93.7%	99.3%	77.1%
Philippines	Leon	2017	98.7%	99.9%	91.9%
Philippines	Leyte	2000	60.4%	94.7%	18.6%
Philippines	Leyte	2017	79.4%	98.1%	36.4%
Philippines	Lezo	2000	92.2%	95.9%	86.3%
Philippines	Lezo	2017	97.6%	99.4%	93.8%
Philippines	Lian	2000	75.0%	99.6%	23.7%
Philippines	Lian	2017	89.8%	99.9%	50.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Lianga	2000	77.4%	99.7%	21.1%
Philippines	Lianga	2017	86.8%	99.7%	46.6%
Philippines	Libacao	2000	68.3%	98.0%	21.6%
Philippines	Libacao	2017	89.3%	99.7%	55.1%
Philippines	Libagon	2000	85.4%	99.5%	48.2%
Philippines	Libagon	2017	94.7%	99.9%	65.7%
Philippines	Libertad	2000	72.1%	96.3%	41.3%
Philippines	Libertad	2000	68.9%	86.4%	36.2%
Philippines	Libertad	2017	92.8%	98.5%	74.6%
Philippines	Libertad	2017	81.4%	95.5%	58.3%
Philippines	Libjo	2000	89.8%	98.3%	69.3%
Philippines	Libjo	2017	90.0%	97.1%	73.0%
Philippines	Libmanan	2000	77.8%	97.8%	35.8%
Philippines	Libmanan	2017	93.5%	99.9%	75.8%
Philippines	Libon	2000	74.7%	90.0%	49.5%
Philippines	Libon	2017	95.2%	99.2%	81.4%
Philippines	Libona	2000	75.8%	81.1%	71.1%
Philippines	Libona	2017	83.0%	89.2%	75.6%
Philippines	Libungan	2000	72.6%	86.1%	54.1%
Philippines	Libungan	2017	88.6%	96.5%	71.7%
Philippines	Licab	2000	87.9%	100.0%	41.9%
Philippines	Licab	2017	96.4%	100.0%	66.9%
Philippines	Licuan-Baay	2000	82.7%	95.4%	62.6%
Philippines	Licuan-Baay	2017	95.7%	99.4%	87.5%
Philippines	Lidlidda	2000	91.2%	99.9%	60.3%
Philippines	Lidlidda	2017	98.3%	100.0%	83.7%
Philippines	Ligao City	2000	66.0%	89.1%	31.6%
Philippines	Ligao City	2017	86.4%	96.6%	64.1%
Philippines	Lila	2000	65.3%	99.9%	5.0%
Philippines	Lila	2017	80.0%	99.8%	24.7%
Philippines	Liliw	2000	96.3%	100.0%	75.8%
Philippines	Liliw	2017	98.7%	100.0%	84.9%
Philippines	Liloan	2000	84.7%	96.6%	52.6%
Philippines	Liloan	2000	80.7%	99.9%	43.9%
Philippines	Liloan	2017	96.9%	99.4%	81.0%
Philippines	Liloan	2017	88.7%	100.0%	54.7%
Philippines	Liloy	2000	79.2%	99.8%	32.9%
Philippines	Liloy	2017	92.5%	100.0%	61.5%
Philippines	Limasawa	2000	77.8%	100.0%	9.5%
Philippines	Limasawa	2017	89.8%	100.0%	26.8%
Philippines	Limay	2000	96.6%	100.0%	86.1%
Philippines	Limay	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.4%
Philippines	Linamon	2000	86.7%	92.9%	80.8%
Philippines	Linamon	2017	89.6%	94.6%	79.8%
Philippines	Linapacan	2000	78.2%	97.1%	42.1%
Philippines	Linapacan	2017	90.8%	99.5%	62.6%
Philippines	Lingayen	2000	81.1%	100.0%	15.6%
Philippines	Lingayen	2017	93.4%	100.0%	49.3%
Philippines	Lingig	2000	74.0%	98.9%	26.7%
Philippines	Lingig	2017	87.4%	99.8%	51.3%
Philippines	Lipa City	2000	94.7%	98.9%	85.4%
Philippines	Lipa City	2017	96.2%	99.4%	89.4%
Philippines	Llanera	2000	79.7%	93.5%	48.9%
Philippines	Llanera	2017	89.8%	97.5%	73.9%
Philippines	Llorente	2000	87.1%	99.3%	43.2%
Philippines	Llorente	2017	94.8%	99.8%	61.3%
Philippines	Loay	2000	88.4%	99.1%	59.6%
Philippines	Loay	2017	94.5%	99.8%	76.1%
Philippines	Lobo	2000	85.8%	99.8%	41.2%
Philippines	Lobo	2017	94.0%	100.0%	70.6%
Philippines	Loboc	2000	68.1%	86.2%	49.2%
Philippines	Loboc	2017	81.7%	94.4%	67.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Looc	2000	77.2%	98.9%	30.5%
Philippines	Looc	2000	54.7%	88.5%	16.7%
Philippines	Looc	2017	89.0%	99.8%	51.3%
Philippines	Looc	2017	86.7%	98.2%	51.3%
Philippines	Loon	2000	81.6%	99.4%	31.0%
Philippines	Loon	2017	93.1%	99.9%	64.2%
Philippines	Lope de Vega	2000	96.0%	99.8%	84.7%
Philippines	Lope de Vega	2017	97.9%	100.0%	89.4%
Philippines	Lopez	2000	76.6%	97.1%	32.7%
Philippines	Lopez	2017	92.4%	99.1%	73.2%
Philippines	Lopez Jaena	2000	87.2%	95.4%	64.7%
Philippines	Lopez Jaena	2017	94.1%	98.5%	75.9%
Philippines	Loreto	2000	81.6%	99.7%	40.8%
Philippines	Loreto	2000	52.8%	75.7%	26.0%
Philippines	Loreto	2017	81.6%	95.1%	58.3%
Philippines	Loreto	2017	90.5%	99.9%	64.1%
Philippines	Los Baños	2000	95.8%	99.5%	86.3%
Philippines	Los Baños	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.1%
Philippines	Luba	2000	89.1%	98.5%	63.0%
Philippines	Luba	2017	98.5%	99.9%	92.3%
Philippines	Lubang	2000	75.9%	99.3%	24.7%
Philippines	Lubang	2017	89.9%	100.0%	48.0%
Philippines	Lubao	2000	95.8%	99.9%	75.2%
Philippines	Lubao	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.5%
Philippines	Lubuagan	2000	87.6%	92.1%	80.4%
Philippines	Lubuagan	2017	97.4%	99.3%	92.4%
Philippines	Lucban	2000	24.5%	73.6%	3.7%
Philippines	Lucban	2017	56.0%	94.0%	10.6%
Philippines	Lucena City	2000	89.6%	95.6%	81.1%
Philippines	Lucena City	2017	97.3%	99.3%	91.3%
Philippines	Lugait	2000	64.1%	99.5%	3.6%
Philippines	Lugait	2017	87.6%	100.0%	24.3%
Philippines	Lugus	2000	72.3%	89.9%	49.9%
Philippines	Lugus	2017	77.0%	90.7%	55.0%
Philippines	Luisiana	2000	87.0%	99.9%	38.9%
Philippines	Luisiana	2017	94.0%	100.0%	53.1%
Philippines	Lumba-Bayabao	2000	37.5%	62.2%	17.1%
Philippines	Lumba-Bayabao	2017	64.7%	85.5%	31.4%
Philippines	Lumbaca Un-ayan	2000	55.7%	99.5%	2.9%
Philippines	Lumbaca Un-ayan	2017	88.0%	99.9%	33.7%
Philippines	Lumban	2000	81.0%	96.9%	56.0%
Philippines	Lumban	2017	96.8%	99.7%	87.2%
Philippines	Lumbatan	2000	59.5%	93.9%	22.3%
Philippines	Lumbatan	2017	93.6%	99.7%	69.8%
Philippines	Lumbayanague	2000	72.4%	93.9%	28.7%
Philippines	Lumbayanague	2017	94.9%	99.6%	78.8%
Philippines	Luna	2000	57.7%	75.5%	43.5%
Philippines	Luna	2000	76.3%	90.7%	56.5%
Philippines	Luna	2000	64.8%	85.5%	35.8%
Philippines	Luna	2017	87.3%	94.5%	72.0%
Philippines	Luna	2017	94.5%	98.6%	79.6%
Philippines	Luna	2017	97.0%	99.4%	90.9%
Philippines	Lupao	2000	94.3%	99.8%	74.2%
Philippines	Lupao	2017	98.2%	100.0%	84.9%
Philippines	Lupi	2000	75.9%	96.5%	46.9%
Philippines	Lupi	2017	89.2%	99.5%	60.5%
Philippines	Lupon	2000	84.7%	96.9%	57.3%
Philippines	Lupon	2017	96.6%	99.6%	87.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Lutayan	2000	72.4%	98.7%	22.6%
Philippines	Lutayan	2017	93.4%	100.0%	61.6%
Philippines	Luuk	2000	81.8%	89.8%	64.1%
Philippines	Luuk	2017	66.8%	80.8%	53.2%
Philippines	M'Lang	2000	91.4%	99.6%	60.7%
Philippines	M'Lang	2017	96.7%	99.9%	80.2%
Philippines	Ma-Ayon	2000	69.5%	90.4%	39.2%
Philippines	Ma-Ayon	2017	92.5%	99.1%	73.0%
Philippines	Maasim	2000	75.8%	98.4%	31.5%
Philippines	Maasim	2017	89.9%	99.8%	58.1%
Philippines	Maasin	2000	74.4%	99.5%	18.2%
Philippines	Maasin	2017	88.1%	99.9%	38.4%
Philippines	Maasin City	2000	81.7%	94.0%	56.9%
Philippines	Maasin City	2017	92.3%	98.1%	78.8%
Philippines	Mabalacat	2000	97.2%	99.8%	90.6%
Philippines	Mabalacat	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.5%
Philippines	Mabinay	2000	78.8%	98.8%	35.2%
Philippines	Mabinay	2017	91.8%	99.8%	65.1%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	82.0%	100.0%	23.8%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	79.9%	99.9%	29.9%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	93.8%	99.5%	80.0%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	74.7%	87.9%	42.3%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	98.0%	99.9%	89.5%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	92.0%	100.0%	43.7%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	90.3%	100.0%	50.0%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	87.2%	96.4%	66.0%
Philippines	Mabitac	2000	89.7%	99.7%	60.8%
Philippines	Mabitac	2017	98.1%	100.0%	88.0%
Philippines	Mabuhay	2000	76.4%	99.7%	23.3%
Philippines	Mabuhay	2017	89.1%	99.9%	46.8%
Philippines	Macabebe	2000	98.6%	100.0%	93.0%
Philippines	Macabebe	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Philippines	Macalelon	2000	27.1%	61.5%	3.3%
Philippines	Macalelon	2017	62.8%	90.0%	24.6%
Philippines	Macarthur	2000	85.6%	95.5%	72.7%
Philippines	Macarthur	2017	96.7%	99.7%	87.5%
Philippines	Maco	2000	75.2%	92.6%	56.5%
Philippines	Maco	2017	86.9%	95.8%	73.9%
Philippines	Maconacon	2000	74.2%	99.8%	9.2%
Philippines	Maconacon	2017	86.9%	100.0%	22.4%
Philippines	Macrohon	2000	80.9%	97.1%	49.7%
Philippines	Macrohon	2017	92.2%	99.1%	71.8%
Philippines	Madalag	2000	69.4%	95.5%	40.0%
Philippines	Madalag	2017	88.7%	97.5%	76.0%
Philippines	Madalum	2000	30.9%	62.8%	10.9%
Philippines	Madalum	2017	53.1%	79.9%	19.6%
Philippines	Madamba	2000	21.4%	55.2%	4.4%
Philippines	Madamba	2017	21.2%	47.2%	7.3%
Philippines	Maddela	2000	88.2%	92.5%	82.9%
Philippines	Maddela	2017	96.3%	98.0%	93.8%
Philippines	Madrid	2000	91.4%	99.7%	71.5%
Philippines	Madrid	2017	98.5%	100.0%	93.5%
Philippines	Madridejos	2000	74.8%	99.9%	4.5%
Philippines	Madridejos	2017	87.0%	100.0%	17.8%
Philippines	Magalang	2000	94.4%	99.7%	76.7%
Philippines	Magalang	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.0%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	87.7%	100.0%	41.2%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	80.9%	100.0%	25.3%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	96.8%	99.9%	87.3%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	91.7%	100.0%	49.9%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.1%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	91.4%	100.0%	40.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Magarao	2000	94.3%	99.2%	85.4%
Philippines	Magarao	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.4%
Philippines	Magdalena	2000	97.9%	99.3%	95.2%
Philippines	Magdalena	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.8%
Philippines	Magdiwang	2000	87.5%	99.4%	66.7%
Philippines	Magdiwang	2017	97.1%	99.8%	91.3%
Philippines	Magpet	2000	71.7%	95.8%	38.4%
Philippines	Magpet	2017	87.3%	98.8%	62.2%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	75.4%	89.1%	51.6%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	81.8%	99.5%	38.2%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	81.6%	93.8%	60.9%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	70.3%	94.6%	35.6%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	73.0%	99.0%	23.7%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	93.7%	98.2%	79.7%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	91.6%	99.9%	54.5%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	71.0%	91.6%	49.0%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	93.2%	99.4%	67.2%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	92.2%	99.9%	65.1%
Philippines	Magsingal	2000	83.6%	99.6%	34.2%
Philippines	Magsingal	2017	96.6%	100.0%	68.7%
Philippines	Maguing	2000	34.1%	64.6%	12.8%
Philippines	Maguing	2017	60.9%	83.5%	31.1%
Philippines	Mahaplag	2000	75.0%	99.7%	21.4%
Philippines	Mahaplag	2017	84.0%	99.8%	31.3%
Philippines	Mahatao	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Philippines	Mahatao	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Mahayag	2000	85.5%	91.1%	79.3%
Philippines	Mahayag	2017	79.3%	88.3%	68.0%
Philippines	Mahinog	2000	93.4%	98.5%	82.8%
Philippines	Mahinog	2017	94.8%	99.6%	83.9%
Philippines	Maigo	2000	81.1%	99.7%	27.8%
Philippines	Maigo	2017	91.9%	99.3%	54.0%
Philippines	Maimbung	2000	72.5%	92.7%	38.3%
Philippines	Maimbung	2017	84.6%	95.6%	57.7%
Philippines	Mainit	2000	98.8%	99.9%	95.9%
Philippines	Mainit	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2000	89.2%	100.0%	35.0%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2000	98.7%	100.0%	86.8%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2017	93.3%	100.0%	58.5%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2017	99.4%	100.0%	93.4%
Philippines	Maitum	2000	68.7%	87.3%	44.1%
Philippines	Maitum	2017	84.5%	94.3%	61.9%
Philippines	Majayjay	2000	80.9%	99.8%	33.3%
Philippines	Majayjay	2017	92.1%	100.0%	39.8%
Philippines	Makati City	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.8%
Philippines	Makati City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Makato	2000	84.2%	95.5%	65.4%
Philippines	Makato	2017	97.3%	99.7%	89.2%
Philippines	Makilala	2000	84.0%	97.1%	60.7%
Philippines	Makilala	2017	91.4%	99.6%	62.7%
Philippines	Malabang	2000	26.0%	62.3%	9.9%
Philippines	Malabang	2017	66.8%	89.4%	31.3%
Philippines	Malabon	2000	99.7%	99.9%	98.8%
Philippines	Malabon	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Malabuyoc	2000	77.6%	97.0%	38.7%
Philippines	Malabuyoc	2017	89.5%	99.2%	61.9%
Philippines	Malalag	2000	73.9%	88.3%	48.9%
Philippines	Malalag	2017	88.1%	97.9%	58.1%
Philippines	Malangas	2000	54.0%	61.2%	47.3%
Philippines	Malangas	2017	83.2%	91.3%	73.9%
Philippines	Malapatan	2000	76.5%	97.2%	39.0%
Philippines	Malapatan	2017	87.8%	99.2%	57.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Malasiqui	2000	95.5%	98.4%	87.8%
Philippines	Malasiqui	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Philippines	Malay	2000	94.0%	99.5%	82.0%
Philippines	Malay	2017	97.3%	99.9%	86.5%
Philippines	Malaybalay	2000	89.0%	93.5%	83.1%
Philippines	Malaybalay City	2017	93.3%	97.7%	86.6%
Philippines	Malibcong	2000	73.0%	99.4%	21.9%
Philippines	Malibcong	2017	86.2%	99.9%	43.0%
Philippines	Malilipot	2000	62.3%	70.7%	49.9%
Philippines	Malilipot	2017	84.0%	91.4%	72.8%
Philippines	Malimono	2000	96.0%	100.0%	82.3%
Philippines	Malimono	2017	97.9%	100.0%	87.9%
Philippines	Malinao	2000	66.1%	79.1%	49.9%
Philippines	Malinao	2000	73.2%	96.4%	35.3%
Philippines	Malinao	2017	91.6%	99.0%	75.2%
Philippines	Malinao	2017	80.8%	93.1%	59.8%
Philippines	Malita	2000	63.6%	74.8%	50.5%
Philippines	Malita	2017	84.0%	91.2%	73.1%
Philippines	Malitbog	2000	74.4%	98.5%	22.1%
Philippines	Malitbog	2000	75.4%	96.5%	38.9%
Philippines	Malitbog	2017	89.4%	99.9%	43.1%
Philippines	Malitbog	2017	86.8%	99.1%	55.8%
Philippines	Mallig	2000	52.4%	68.9%	31.6%
Philippines	Mallig	2017	75.7%	86.1%	57.1%
Philippines	Malolos City	2000	94.6%	98.9%	81.0%
Philippines	Malolos City	2017	99.2%	99.9%	95.2%
Philippines	Malungon	2000	65.2%	87.8%	38.0%
Philippines	Malungon	2017	81.1%	95.7%	58.5%
Philippines	Maluso	2000	93.9%	99.7%	72.1%
Philippines	Maluso	2017	95.9%	99.9%	79.1%
Philippines	Malvar	2000	90.8%	97.5%	76.7%
Philippines	Malvar	2017	85.7%	97.3%	64.1%
Philippines	Mamasapano	2000	30.1%	39.0%	22.7%
Philippines	Mamasapano	2017	64.0%	68.0%	59.4%
Philippines	Mambajao	2000	98.5%	99.8%	96.2%
Philippines	Mambajao	2017	98.9%	100.0%	95.1%
Philippines	Mamburao	2000	88.2%	97.1%	73.9%
Philippines	Mamburao	2017	96.3%	99.5%	87.5%
Philippines	Mambusao	2000	72.5%	96.6%	17.0%
Philippines	Mambusao	2017	93.8%	99.7%	60.2%
Philippines	Manabo	2000	75.0%	84.7%	63.7%
Philippines	Manabo	2017	97.3%	98.6%	95.7%
Philippines	Manaoag	2000	95.0%	99.6%	82.8%
Philippines	Manaoag	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.7%
Philippines	Manapla	2000	76.5%	99.7%	10.2%
Philippines	Manapla	2017	90.7%	100.0%	33.8%
Philippines	Manay	2000	64.8%	87.4%	44.7%
Philippines	Manay	2017	82.3%	92.8%	56.5%
Philippines	Mandaluyong	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Philippines	Mandaluyong	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Philippines	Mandaon	2000	77.6%	98.8%	27.8%
Philippines	Mandaon	2017	90.1%	99.7%	49.5%
Philippines	Mandaue City	2000	98.1%	99.5%	94.3%
Philippines	Mandaue City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Mangaldan	2000	93.6%	99.8%	58.7%
Philippines	Mangaldan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	93.4%
Philippines	Mangatarem	2000	90.3%	99.6%	62.0%
Philippines	Mangatarem	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.2%
Philippines	Mangudadatu	2000	61.3%	98.4%	10.9%
Philippines	Mangudadatu	2017	92.2%	99.9%	57.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Manila	2000	98.6%	99.4%	97.2%
Philippines	Manila	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Philippines	Manito	2000	90.5%	99.9%	56.5%
Philippines	Manito	2017	93.6%	100.0%	59.2%
Philippines	Manjuyod	2000	85.2%	99.9%	47.9%
Philippines	Manjuyod	2017	94.0%	100.0%	61.5%
Philippines	Mankayan	2000	45.4%	60.8%	35.2%
Philippines	Mankayan	2017	72.2%	88.2%	48.0%
Philippines	Manolo Fortich	2000	78.3%	90.7%	56.5%
Philippines	Manolo Fortich	2017	82.8%	92.5%	63.0%
Philippines	Mansalay	2000	53.2%	79.3%	26.4%
Philippines	Mansalay	2017	69.6%	92.5%	40.3%
Philippines	Manticao	2000	69.4%	99.3%	13.6%
Philippines	Manticao	2017	87.1%	99.9%	44.9%
Philippines	Manukan	2000	62.7%	92.0%	19.4%
Philippines	Manukan	2017	85.9%	98.8%	47.2%
Philippines	Mapanas	2000	50.4%	90.7%	5.1%
Philippines	Mapanas	2017	77.0%	97.3%	22.7%
Philippines	Mapandan	2000	89.7%	98.3%	69.7%
Philippines	Mapandan	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.9%
Philippines	Mapun	2000	75.2%	99.3%	23.3%
Philippines	Mapun	2017	86.6%	99.9%	47.1%
Philippines	Marabut	2000	74.2%	96.4%	28.8%
Philippines	Marabut	2017	89.1%	99.4%	44.8%
Philippines	Maragondon	2000	85.1%	99.4%	38.2%
Philippines	Maragondon	2017	95.9%	99.9%	66.9%
Philippines	Maragusan	2000	68.4%	91.1%	32.6%
Philippines	Maragusan	2017	89.9%	98.7%	70.5%
Philippines	Maramag	2000	82.0%	98.2%	45.4%
Philippines	Maramag	2017	92.4%	99.6%	69.7%
Philippines	Marantao	2000	54.1%	64.0%	39.2%
Philippines	Marantao	2017	90.5%	92.5%	87.4%
Philippines	Marawi City	2000	97.6%	99.6%	88.9%
Philippines	Marawi City	2017	96.5%	99.8%	84.7%
Philippines	Marcos	2000	92.5%	99.6%	72.2%
Philippines	Marcos	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.5%
Philippines	Margosatubig	2000	70.5%	92.8%	39.2%
Philippines	Margosatubig	2017	68.7%	95.3%	41.3%
Philippines	Maria	2000	97.4%	99.9%	89.7%
Philippines	Maria	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.0%
Philippines	Maria Aurora	2000	80.6%	96.1%	52.4%
Philippines	Maria Aurora	2017	95.3%	99.7%	80.1%
Philippines	Maribojoc	2000	84.1%	99.6%	41.3%
Philippines	Maribojoc	2017	91.3%	100.0%	44.5%
Philippines	Marihatag	2000	67.4%	98.3%	18.5%
Philippines	Marihatag	2017	89.9%	99.9%	46.9%
Philippines	Marikina	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.5%
Philippines	Marikina	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Marilao	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.3%
Philippines	Marilao	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Philippines	Maripipi	2000	77.9%	99.9%	15.4%
Philippines	Maripipi	2017	89.4%	100.0%	33.0%
Philippines	Mariveles	2000	88.5%	97.5%	66.6%
Philippines	Mariveles	2017	97.8%	99.7%	90.1%
Philippines	Marogong	2000	45.8%	83.3%	15.0%
Philippines	Marogong	2017	52.9%	90.9%	21.7%
Philippines	Masantol	2000	99.0%	100.0%	94.8%
Philippines	Masantol	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Masbate City	2000	71.7%	98.6%	16.4%
Philippines	Masbate City	2017	90.9%	99.9%	43.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Masinloc	2000	94.7%	98.9%	82.4%
Philippines	Masinloc	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.0%
Philippines	Masiu	2000	63.0%	99.7%	2.6%
Philippines	Masiu	2017	86.9%	100.0%	20.5%
Philippines	Maslog	2000	91.7%	100.0%	53.5%
Philippines	Maslog	2017	95.2%	100.0%	68.1%
Philippines	Mataas Na Kahoy	2000	93.5%	99.9%	59.0%
Philippines	Mataas Na Kahoy	2017	93.2%	99.9%	59.8%
Philippines	Matag-Ob	2000	77.0%	98.9%	20.7%
Philippines	Matag-Ob	2017	91.6%	99.6%	61.3%
Philippines	Matalam	2000	50.3%	68.5%	32.2%
Philippines	Matalam	2017	90.9%	95.2%	82.4%
Philippines	Matalom	2000	52.7%	80.1%	18.6%
Philippines	Matalom	2017	58.8%	88.3%	34.8%
Philippines	Matanao	2000	91.5%	97.8%	77.3%
Philippines	Matanao	2017	97.5%	99.3%	91.7%
Philippines	Matanog	2000	69.7%	99.5%	13.2%
Philippines	Matanog	2017	86.8%	99.7%	32.7%
Philippines	Mati City	2000	73.3%	85.2%	59.9%
Philippines	Mati City	2017	88.9%	95.7%	75.5%
Philippines	Matnog	2000	72.2%	91.9%	47.0%
Philippines	Matnog	2017	76.3%	93.9%	62.2%
Philippines	Matuguinao	2000	76.6%	99.3%	33.6%
Philippines	Matuguinao	2017	88.7%	99.8%	49.0%
Philippines	Matungao	2000	56.4%	86.6%	28.6%
Philippines	Matungao	2017	77.5%	97.5%	35.4%
Philippines	Mauban	2000	79.3%	98.8%	26.9%
Philippines	Mauban	2017	92.8%	99.8%	50.2%
Philippines	Mawab	2000	49.2%	92.5%	10.8%
Philippines	Mawab	2017	63.3%	92.9%	31.9%
Philippines	Mayantoc	2000	83.3%	99.2%	35.6%
Philippines	Mayantoc	2017	94.1%	100.0%	64.3%
Philippines	Maydolong	2000	70.4%	96.7%	26.2%
Philippines	Maydolong	2017	87.5%	99.5%	53.0%
Philippines	Mayorga	2000	94.2%	100.0%	61.6%
Philippines	Mayorga	2017	98.7%	100.0%	84.6%
Philippines	Mayoyao	2000	87.0%	94.5%	69.6%
Philippines	Mayoyao	2017	95.1%	98.5%	84.8%
Philippines	Medellin	2000	73.4%	98.8%	23.1%
Philippines	Medellin	2017	84.0%	99.9%	38.8%
Philippines	Medina	2000	83.8%	99.4%	35.0%
Philippines	Medina	2017	94.1%	99.9%	65.8%
Philippines	Mendez	2000	91.5%	99.9%	69.8%
Philippines	Mendez	2017	95.6%	100.0%	62.9%
Philippines	Mercedes	2000	93.6%	99.6%	75.5%
Philippines	Mercedes	2000	81.6%	88.3%	68.7%
Philippines	Mercedes	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.9%
Philippines	Mercedes	2017	87.4%	93.8%	76.2%
Philippines	Merida	2000	88.2%	98.9%	52.9%
Philippines	Merida	2017	93.8%	99.8%	62.5%
Philippines	Mexico	2000	96.4%	99.7%	87.4%
Philippines	Mexico	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.4%
Philippines	Meycauayan City	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.4%
Philippines	Meycauayan City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Miagao	2000	79.4%	99.0%	39.6%
Philippines	Miagao	2017	93.3%	99.9%	60.5%
Philippines	Midsalip	2000	76.7%	99.2%	31.7%
Philippines	Midsalip	2017	84.8%	98.6%	49.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Midsayap	2000	47.7%	63.2%	31.7%
Philippines	Midsayap	2017	91.4%	96.6%	83.6%
Philippines	Milagros	2000	68.3%	89.2%	30.3%
Philippines	Milagros	2017	87.0%	96.9%	56.8%
Philippines	Milaor	2000	77.0%	85.3%	68.9%
Philippines	Milaor	2017	93.2%	95.9%	89.6%
Philippines	Mina	2000	78.2%	92.3%	51.6%
Philippines	Mina	2017	93.4%	99.1%	78.4%
Philippines	Minalabac	2000	87.6%	91.1%	83.7%
Philippines	Minalabac	2017	84.2%	91.4%	77.7%
Philippines	Minalin	2000	98.4%	99.9%	90.6%
Philippines	Minalin	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Philippines	Minglanilla	2000	95.8%	99.5%	83.8%
Philippines	Minglanilla	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.9%
Philippines	Moalboal	2000	96.6%	100.0%	86.9%
Philippines	Moalboal	2017	98.4%	100.0%	88.2%
Philippines	Mobo	2000	80.4%	97.9%	47.8%
Philippines	Mobo	2017	96.6%	99.8%	83.6%
Philippines	Mogpog	2000	93.4%	97.8%	81.2%
Philippines	Mogpog	2017	96.4%	97.9%	91.3%
Philippines	Moises Padilla	2000	52.3%	84.8%	9.8%
Philippines	Moises Padilla	2017	86.2%	98.9%	47.6%
Philippines	Molave	2000	77.7%	85.0%	68.2%
Philippines	Molave	2017	72.6%	80.0%	63.4%
Philippines	Moncada	2000	96.7%	99.3%	87.9%
Philippines	Moncada	2017	99.6%	99.9%	97.6%
Philippines	Mondragon	2000	75.3%	99.4%	26.3%
Philippines	Mondragon	2017	89.7%	99.9%	46.8%
Philippines	Monkayo	2000	75.4%	94.7%	51.9%
Philippines	Monkayo	2017	85.8%	97.6%	74.0%
Philippines	Monreal	2000	74.1%	99.8%	19.1%
Philippines	Monreal	2017	87.4%	99.9%	39.0%
Philippines	Montevista	2000	38.4%	64.3%	17.9%
Philippines	Montevista	2017	72.4%	95.5%	40.2%
Philippines	Morong	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.5%
Philippines	Morong	2000	91.3%	97.8%	80.5%
Philippines	Morong	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Morong	2017	95.1%	98.9%	87.3%
Philippines	Motiong	2000	85.1%	99.8%	43.0%
Philippines	Motiong	2017	92.7%	99.9%	65.5%
Philippines	Mulanay	2000	64.0%	90.9%	23.7%
Philippines	Mulanay	2017	82.3%	97.4%	39.7%
Philippines	Mulondo	2000	41.8%	65.1%	24.1%
Philippines	Mulondo	2017	67.9%	82.2%	46.8%
Philippines	Munai	2000	32.7%	68.3%	6.5%
Philippines	Munai	2017	57.3%	92.3%	9.9%
Philippines	Muñoz City	2000	91.2%	97.1%	76.0%
Philippines	Muñoz City	2017	98.7%	99.8%	91.4%
Philippines	Muntinlupa	2000	96.8%	98.1%	95.5%
Philippines	Muntinlupa	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.3%
Philippines	Murcia	2000	64.2%	75.0%	53.7%
Philippines	Murcia	2017	78.0%	85.2%	68.9%
Philippines	Mutia	2000	74.3%	98.1%	29.8%
Philippines	Mutia	2017	79.5%	97.9%	38.2%
Philippines	Naawan	2000	70.1%	99.7%	9.5%
Philippines	Naawan	2017	87.2%	99.9%	41.9%
Philippines	Nabas	2000	77.6%	91.4%	53.6%
Philippines	Nabas	2017	97.3%	99.2%	87.8%
Philippines	Nabua	2000	74.5%	85.2%	61.0%
Philippines	Nabua	2017	97.4%	99.2%	93.5%
Philippines	Nabunturan	2000	54.4%	75.1%	38.2%
Philippines	Nabunturan	2017	83.5%	97.1%	60.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Naga	2000	72.3%	97.8%	26.5%
Philippines	Naga	2017	84.8%	99.0%	47.8%
Philippines	Naga City	2000	90.4%	99.2%	68.7%
Philippines	Naga City	2000	75.0%	90.7%	56.8%
Philippines	Naga City	2017	93.2%	97.9%	79.9%
Philippines	Naga City	2017	98.6%	99.9%	90.5%
Philippines	Nagbukel	2000	93.6%	99.7%	74.3%
Philippines	Nagbukel	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.2%
Philippines	Nagcarlan	2000	97.9%	99.9%	91.9%
Philippines	Nagcarlan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	91.8%
Philippines	Nagtipunan	2000	80.4%	91.7%	65.4%
Philippines	Nagtipunan	2017	92.0%	98.5%	81.6%
Philippines	Naguilian	2000	48.9%	57.9%	41.8%
Philippines	Naguilian	2000	74.4%	88.1%	63.3%
Philippines	Naguilian	2017	96.7%	98.6%	93.7%
Philippines	Naguilian	2017	83.4%	89.7%	72.3%
Philippines	Naic	2000	92.6%	98.9%	78.8%
Philippines	Naic	2017	98.2%	99.9%	91.0%
Philippines	Nampicuan	2000	99.5%	100.0%	97.1%
Philippines	Nampicuan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.9%
Philippines	Narra	2000	79.4%	97.4%	46.6%
Philippines	Narra	2017	91.6%	99.6%	67.3%
Philippines	Narvacan	2000	92.7%	99.8%	67.8%
Philippines	Narvacan	2017	97.9%	100.0%	88.1%
Philippines	Nasipit	2000	82.0%	94.2%	59.6%
Philippines	Nasipit	2017	97.7%	99.5%	93.3%
Philippines	Nasugbu	2000	80.5%	98.2%	42.2%
Philippines	Nasugbu	2017	92.2%	99.8%	63.3%
Philippines	Natividad	2000	85.2%	99.6%	47.5%
Philippines	Natividad	2017	97.4%	100.0%	84.0%
Philippines	Natonin	2000	29.1%	57.3%	10.6%
Philippines	Natonin	2017	48.3%	79.2%	19.8%
Philippines	Naujan	2000	83.9%	98.3%	61.3%
Philippines	Naujan	2017	94.8%	99.7%	79.7%
Philippines	Naujan Lake	2000	86.7%	99.8%	58.3%
Philippines	Naujan Lake	2017	94.7%	99.9%	80.7%
Philippines	Naval	2000	98.7%	100.0%	95.3%
Philippines	Naval	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.3%
Philippines	Navotas	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Philippines	Navotas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	New Bataan	2000	78.6%	95.9%	46.0%
Philippines	New Bataan	2017	92.8%	99.5%	74.9%
Philippines	New Corella	2000	66.6%	87.9%	37.6%
Philippines	New Corella	2017	82.7%	95.2%	57.2%
Philippines	New Lucena	2000	85.6%	91.4%	78.6%
Philippines	New Lucena	2017	96.6%	98.1%	94.0%
Philippines	New Washington	2000	97.1%	99.2%	93.5%
Philippines	New Washington	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Philippines	Norala	2000	85.4%	94.7%	66.1%
Philippines	Norala	2017	97.5%	99.5%	90.1%
Philippines	Northern Kabuntalan	2000	77.0%	95.7%	44.4%
Philippines	Northern Kabuntalan	2017	91.8%	99.5%	63.6%
Philippines	Norzagaray	2000	91.6%	97.2%	83.3%
Philippines	Norzagaray	2017	98.9%	99.4%	97.7%
Philippines	Noveleta	2000	94.2%	99.4%	76.1%
Philippines	Noveleta	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Philippines	Nueva Era	2000	80.7%	98.3%	40.2%
Philippines	Nueva Era	2017	93.8%	99.8%	67.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Nueva Valencia	2000	60.5%	86.1%	32.1%
Philippines	Nueva Valencia	2017	88.0%	98.4%	68.6%
Philippines	Numancia	2000	92.3%	99.3%	72.7%
Philippines	Numancia	2017	98.8%	99.9%	92.4%
Philippines	Nunungan	2000	47.0%	73.7%	17.0%
Philippines	Nunungan	2017	73.3%	90.1%	40.8%
Philippines	Oas	2000	69.4%	88.9%	43.3%
Philippines	Oas	2017	90.3%	98.6%	77.7%
Philippines	Obando	2000	99.1%	99.9%	97.2%
Philippines	Obando	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Ocampo	2000	67.2%	98.5%	13.0%
Philippines	Ocampo	2017	88.0%	99.9%	36.1%
Philippines	Odiangan	2000	70.1%	82.7%	49.3%
Philippines	Odiangan	2017	92.7%	96.4%	82.1%
Philippines	Old Panamao	2000	3.9%	13.3%	0.7%
Philippines	Old Panamao	2017	7.0%	19.9%	1.6%
Philippines	Olongapo City	2000	92.9%	95.8%	89.3%
Philippines	Olongapo City	2017	93.8%	97.1%	89.9%
Philippines	Olutanga	2000	88.5%	99.8%	59.3%
Philippines	Olutanga	2017	95.4%	100.0%	74.1%
Philippines	Opol	2000	94.8%	99.2%	86.9%
Philippines	Opol	2017	96.8%	99.7%	87.7%
Philippines	Orani	2000	78.7%	88.9%	72.9%
Philippines	Orani	2017	76.8%	89.1%	62.7%
Philippines	Oras	2000	93.4%	99.4%	66.1%
Philippines	Oras	2017	98.1%	99.8%	94.3%
Philippines	Orion	2000	99.1%	99.9%	96.9%
Philippines	Orion	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Philippines	Ormoc City	2000	77.3%	92.8%	58.3%
Philippines	Ormoc City	2017	91.9%	98.8%	77.8%
Philippines	Oroquieta City	2000	94.7%	97.0%	90.1%
Philippines	Oroquieta City	2017	97.5%	98.8%	93.3%
Philippines	Oslob	2000	76.1%	99.4%	23.9%
Philippines	Oslob	2017	88.6%	99.9%	45.0%
Philippines	Oton	2000	88.9%	97.6%	72.3%
Philippines	Oton	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.2%
Philippines	Ozamis City	2000	96.4%	99.1%	91.3%
Philippines	Ozamis City	2017	98.6%	99.8%	96.0%
Philippines	Padada	2000	92.6%	98.6%	76.5%
Philippines	Padada	2017	97.5%	99.7%	90.3%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2000	75.6%	99.6%	25.5%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2000	91.5%	98.3%	74.6%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2017	96.5%	99.8%	83.2%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2017	91.8%	100.0%	55.5%
Philippines	Padre Garcia	2000	81.8%	99.9%	20.6%
Philippines	Padre Garcia	2017	94.5%	100.0%	63.4%
Philippines	Paete	2000	66.2%	99.3%	12.7%
Philippines	Paete	2017	86.8%	100.0%	31.6%
Philippines	Pagadian City	2000	93.8%	98.1%	86.4%
Philippines	Pagadian City	2017	96.3%	98.7%	91.0%
Philippines	Pagagawan	2000	90.1%	98.3%	69.1%
Philippines	Pagagawan	2017	95.0%	99.7%	79.4%
Philippines	Pagalungan	2000	87.6%	95.9%	69.9%
Philippines	Pagalungan	2017	96.1%	99.9%	77.9%
Philippines	Pagayawan	2000	22.3%	39.8%	12.7%
Philippines	Pagayawan	2017	56.9%	62.3%	51.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pagbilao	2000	92.9%	98.8%	77.9%
Philippines	Pagbilao	2017	96.5%	99.9%	82.4%
Philippines	Paglat	2000	99.5%	100.0%	95.9%
Philippines	Paglat	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Pagsanghan	2000	90.7%	99.8%	68.1%
Philippines	Pagsanghan	2017	96.9%	100.0%	84.6%
Philippines	Pagsanjan	2000	91.7%	97.1%	85.2%
Philippines	Pagsanjan	2017	98.6%	99.7%	96.6%
Philippines	Pagudpud	2000	70.7%	92.5%	42.7%
Philippines	Pagudpud	2017	87.6%	98.2%	67.4%
Philippines	Pakil	2000	78.3%	99.3%	33.0%
Philippines	Pakil	2017	90.8%	100.0%	40.7%
Philippines	Palakpakin Lake	2000	95.0%	99.5%	82.4%
Philippines	Palakpakin Lake	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.1%
Philippines	Palanan	2000	74.3%	97.2%	34.9%
Philippines	Palanan	2017	87.9%	99.0%	62.0%
Philippines	Palanas	2000	73.2%	90.1%	42.8%
Philippines	Palanas	2017	94.5%	98.2%	80.7%
Philippines	Palapag	2000	52.2%	67.1%	36.6%
Philippines	Palapag	2017	91.4%	95.7%	84.8%
Philippines	Palauig	2000	87.5%	99.7%	36.0%
Philippines	Palauig	2017	96.2%	100.0%	71.9%
Philippines	Palayan City	2000	97.1%	99.4%	91.7%
Philippines	Palayan City	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Philippines	Palimbang	2000	73.3%	92.1%	45.1%
Philippines	Palimbang	2017	88.9%	97.9%	70.8%
Philippines	Palo	2000	95.6%	98.6%	86.3%
Philippines	Palo	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.2%
Philippines	Palompon	2000	79.0%	97.0%	37.3%
Philippines	Palompon	2017	91.8%	98.9%	67.2%
Philippines	Paluan	2000	78.9%	98.3%	44.6%
Philippines	Paluan	2017	91.4%	99.8%	61.4%
Philippines	Pambujan	2000	75.2%	99.7%	21.6%
Philippines	Pambujan	2017	90.9%	99.9%	45.8%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	56.3%	82.7%	35.2%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	86.2%	99.0%	51.0%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	83.1%	94.8%	59.6%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	86.0%	98.7%	62.3%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	98.9%	99.9%	92.1%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	91.4%	98.7%	75.4%
Philippines	Panabo City	2000	89.9%	97.4%	77.6%
Philippines	Panabo City	2017	97.3%	99.4%	89.3%
Philippines	Panaon	2000	96.0%	98.3%	92.5%
Philippines	Panaon	2017	95.3%	97.7%	91.1%
Philippines	Panay	2000	91.8%	94.1%	86.8%
Philippines	Panay	2017	97.6%	99.0%	93.3%
Philippines	Pandag	2000	92.9%	100.0%	67.0%
Philippines	Pandag	2017	98.8%	100.0%	81.7%
Philippines	Pandami	2000	91.6%	99.5%	71.8%
Philippines	Pandami	2017	97.6%	99.9%	88.3%
Philippines	Pandan	2000	80.6%	92.7%	59.2%
Philippines	Pandan	2000	77.6%	99.0%	30.7%
Philippines	Pandan	2017	86.3%	97.0%	62.0%
Philippines	Pandan	2017	90.9%	99.7%	59.1%
Philippines	Pandi	2000	92.5%	96.1%	87.9%
Philippines	Pandi	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.2%
Philippines	Panganiban	2000	48.2%	80.2%	15.9%
Philippines	Panganiban	2017	54.8%	72.5%	35.3%
Philippines	Pangantucan	2000	60.4%	83.9%	28.6%
Philippines	Pangantucan	2017	80.2%	95.2%	48.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pangil	2000	75.8%	99.9%	17.3%
Philippines	Pangil	2017	89.9%	100.0%	31.5%
Philippines	Panglao	2000	79.8%	99.5%	33.4%
Philippines	Panglao	2017	95.7%	100.0%	74.0%
Philippines	Panglima Estino	2000	4.0%	6.8%	2.3%
Philippines	Panglima Estino	2017	14.9%	28.1%	9.9%
Philippines	Panglima Sugala	2000	60.7%	83.6%	38.6%
Philippines	Panglima Sugala	2017	84.6%	96.2%	66.3%
Philippines	Pangutaran	2000	75.9%	98.3%	23.0%
Philippines	Pangutaran	2017	88.8%	99.7%	43.2%
Philippines	Paniqui	2000	92.2%	97.0%	84.4%
Philippines	Paniqui	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Philippines	Panitan	2000	60.8%	69.6%	51.1%
Philippines	Panitan	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.8%
Philippines	Pantabangan	2000	59.8%	92.0%	22.1%
Philippines	Pantabangan	2017	80.6%	98.8%	46.7%
Philippines	Pantao Ragat	2000	27.8%	68.0%	5.7%
Philippines	Pantao Ragat	2017	69.8%	97.0%	17.5%
Philippines	Pantar	2000	45.9%	81.2%	26.4%
Philippines	Pantar	2017	80.2%	98.0%	39.7%
Philippines	Pantukan	2000	72.8%	91.0%	44.4%
Philippines	Pantukan	2017	94.2%	99.3%	82.2%
Philippines	Panukulan	2000	75.4%	99.4%	28.7%
Philippines	Panukulan	2017	88.0%	99.9%	53.2%
Philippines	Paoay	2000	88.5%	95.3%	77.5%
Philippines	Paoay	2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.2%
Philippines	Paoay Lake	2000	94.4%	98.4%	86.6%
Philippines	Paoay Lake	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Philippines	Paombong	2000	88.9%	99.8%	61.3%
Philippines	Paombong	2017	96.8%	100.0%	81.4%
Philippines	Paracale	2000	66.6%	91.1%	43.9%
Philippines	Paracale	2017	86.1%	98.8%	45.9%
Philippines	Paracelis	2000	46.6%	68.8%	19.3%
Philippines	Paracelis	2017	64.9%	80.8%	37.1%
Philippines	Parañaque	2000	98.7%	99.5%	96.8%
Philippines	Parañaque	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Paranas	2000	87.5%	99.2%	56.8%
Philippines	Paranas	2017	93.6%	99.9%	68.1%
Philippines	Parang	2000	67.6%	97.3%	20.0%
Philippines	Parang	2000	49.3%	84.4%	19.2%
Philippines	Parang	2017	78.0%	88.4%	64.5%
Philippines	Parang	2017	80.6%	96.3%	32.4%
Philippines	Pasacao	2000	77.8%	96.7%	37.1%
Philippines	Pasacao	2017	90.8%	98.6%	62.8%
Philippines	Pasay City	2000	99.3%	99.9%	96.4%
Philippines	Pasay City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Pasig City	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Philippines	Pasig City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Pasil	2000	86.8%	97.5%	59.9%
Philippines	Pasil	2017	96.7%	99.8%	87.0%
Philippines	Passi City	2000	86.4%	96.1%	70.6%
Philippines	Passi City	2017	96.9%	99.6%	85.2%
Philippines	Pastrana	2000	89.7%	97.8%	70.9%
Philippines	Pastrana	2017	96.0%	99.3%	84.0%
Philippines	Pasuquin	2000	84.0%	99.6%	31.1%
Philippines	Pasuquin	2017	94.0%	99.9%	60.4%
Philippines	Pata	2000	74.2%	99.7%	13.7%
Philippines	Pata	2017	88.2%	99.9%	43.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pateros	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.9%
Philippines	Pateros	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Patikul	2000	61.3%	67.6%	55.9%
Philippines	Patikul	2017	67.8%	74.9%	60.0%
Philippines	Patnanungan	2000	74.6%	99.8%	15.1%
Philippines	Patnanungan	2017	86.2%	99.9%	35.4%
Philippines	Patnongon	2000	45.6%	76.5%	20.2%
Philippines	Patnongon	2017	81.7%	98.0%	57.0%
Philippines	Pavia	2000	98.3%	99.9%	91.3%
Philippines	Pavia	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Philippines	Payao	2000	76.1%	89.9%	56.7%
Philippines	Payao	2017	91.2%	96.9%	73.6%
Philippines	Peñablanca	2000	89.1%	98.1%	74.6%
Philippines	Peñablanca	2017	97.4%	99.7%	90.2%
Philippines	Peñaranda	2000	96.1%	99.4%	84.0%
Philippines	Peñaranda	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.1%
Philippines	Peñarrubia	2000	88.9%	95.0%	82.6%
Philippines	Peñarrubia	2017	97.4%	99.1%	90.2%
Philippines	Perez	2000	74.6%	99.9%	11.8%
Philippines	Perez	2017	86.8%	100.0%	21.9%
Philippines	Piagapo	2000	22.8%	67.9%	6.1%
Philippines	Piagapo	2017	63.2%	95.6%	21.5%
Philippines	Piat	2000	51.2%	96.3%	5.9%
Philippines	Piat	2017	77.8%	99.5%	26.5%
Philippines	Picong	2000	79.0%	98.4%	42.7%
Philippines	Picong	2017	93.4%	99.9%	67.1%
Philippines	Piddig	2000	92.5%	99.9%	62.5%
Philippines	Piddig	2017	97.9%	100.0%	85.5%
Philippines	Pidigan	2000	85.7%	91.7%	76.8%
Philippines	Pidigan	2017	92.6%	98.3%	81.2%
Philippines	Pigkawayan	2000	59.1%	81.5%	39.9%
Philippines	Pigkawayan	2017	73.2%	90.9%	49.8%
Philippines	Pikit	2000	80.7%	99.0%	46.6%
Philippines	Pikit	2017	91.4%	99.7%	66.7%
Philippines	Pila	2000	89.9%	98.4%	61.5%
Philippines	Pila	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	80.9%	98.5%	41.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	74.5%	99.9%	23.1%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	86.1%	99.2%	57.4%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	53.1%	83.9%	18.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.5%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	83.0%	98.7%	34.2%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	71.9%	98.2%	24.0%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	98.4%	99.9%	93.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	88.3%	100.0%	45.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	87.3%	99.1%	47.9%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	67.1%	92.3%	35.4%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	98.3%	100.0%	90.4%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	93.4%	99.8%	59.8%
Philippines	Pili	2000	49.9%	90.0%	16.8%
Philippines	Pili	2017	78.5%	96.0%	51.8%
Philippines	Pililla	2000	90.1%	100.0%	48.4%
Philippines	Pililla	2017	96.9%	100.0%	75.8%
Philippines	Pinabacdao	2000	91.4%	99.5%	68.1%
Philippines	Pinabacdao	2017	96.2%	99.9%	80.7%
Philippines	Pinamalayan	2000	80.6%	98.6%	35.5%
Philippines	Pinamalayan	2017	90.7%	99.7%	54.0%
Philippines	Pinamungahan	2000	86.4%	99.5%	57.9%
Philippines	Pinamungahan	2017	93.9%	99.9%	61.0%
Philippines	Pinan	2000	70.2%	90.8%	39.2%
Philippines	Pinan	2017	73.0%	87.4%	52.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pinili	2000	88.3%	96.7%	73.4%
Philippines	Pinili	2017	98.5%	99.8%	95.5%
Philippines	Pintuyan	2000	90.8%	99.7%	59.0%
Philippines	Pintuyan	2017	96.2%	100.0%	76.7%
Philippines	Pinukpuk	2000	74.3%	93.8%	36.4%
Philippines	Pinukpuk	2017	88.9%	97.2%	60.0%
Philippines	Pio Duran	2000	66.4%	96.9%	9.8%
Philippines	Pio Duran	2017	81.2%	99.2%	28.0%
Philippines	Pio V. Corpuz	2000	40.0%	71.6%	8.7%
Philippines	Pio V. Corpuz	2017	76.5%	94.9%	41.0%
Philippines	Pitogo	2000	15.4%	50.5%	0.8%
Philippines	Pitogo	2000	87.5%	97.8%	65.5%
Philippines	Pitogo	2017	48.3%	84.7%	9.9%
Philippines	Pitogo	2017	95.5%	99.2%	86.1%
Philippines	Placer	2000	83.9%	97.9%	34.2%
Philippines	Placer	2000	48.6%	78.7%	14.6%
Philippines	Placer	2017	93.4%	99.0%	67.3%
Philippines	Placer	2017	78.6%	94.4%	38.1%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	78.5%	99.9%	13.1%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	98.0%	98.9%	96.2%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	70.4%	92.0%	36.3%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	72.3%	90.5%	44.5%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	90.8%	100.0%	36.6%
Philippines	Pola	2000	83.7%	99.3%	53.4%
Philippines	Pola	2017	89.8%	99.5%	62.2%
Philippines	Polanco	2000	55.5%	61.9%	49.1%
Philippines	Polanco	2017	88.6%	91.3%	85.2%
Philippines	Polangui	2000	69.7%	96.5%	18.1%
Philippines	Polangui	2017	95.6%	99.8%	72.6%
Philippines	Polillo	2000	75.8%	99.0%	28.1%
Philippines	Polillo	2017	88.6%	99.8%	51.3%
Philippines	Polomolok	2000	79.4%	86.6%	70.0%
Philippines	Polomolok	2017	86.2%	94.1%	77.5%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2000	83.9%	97.7%	64.0%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2000	74.6%	83.6%	57.6%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2017	93.9%	97.5%	84.2%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2017	95.4%	99.5%	83.6%
Philippines	Poona	2000	48.4%	99.4%	0.9%
Philippines	Bayabao				
Philippines	Poona	2017	74.7%	100.0%	6.8%
Philippines	Bayabao				
Philippines	Poona Pia-gapo	2000	52.3%	96.6%	9.0%
Philippines	Poona Pia-gapo	2017	83.0%	99.8%	27.7%
Philippines	Porac	2000	89.8%	99.4%	63.5%
Philippines	Porac	2017	97.8%	100.0%	81.1%
Philippines	Porac	2000	73.6%	99.9%	8.3%
Philippines	Porac	2017	87.3%	100.0%	27.2%
Philippines	Pototan	2000	73.9%	82.2%	65.2%
Philippines	Pototan	2017	86.8%	96.8%	69.0%
Philippines	Pozzorubio	2000	97.8%	100.0%	89.3%
Philippines	Pozzorubio	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Philippines	Pres. Carlos P. Garcia	2000	69.2%	98.9%	13.3%
Philippines	Pres. Carlos P. Garcia	2017	87.0%	99.9%	37.0%
Philippines	Pres. Manuel A. Roxas	2000	55.9%	89.9%	10.9%
Philippines	Pres. Manuel A. Roxas	2017	82.9%	97.6%	46.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Presentacion	2000	77.1%	99.8%	26.5%
Philippines	Presentacion	2017	89.2%	99.9%	53.7%
Philippines	President Quirino	2000	77.8%	94.9%	56.8%
Philippines	President Quirino	2017	97.6%	99.7%	88.9%
Philippines	President Roxas	2000	81.1%	99.1%	28.5%
Philippines	President Roxas	2000	48.8%	76.0%	22.7%
Philippines	President Roxas	2017	90.9%	99.9%	44.7%
Philippines	President Roxas	2017	84.8%	95.4%	67.8%
Philippines	Prieto Diaz	2000	91.7%	99.9%	66.0%
Philippines	Prieto Diaz	2017	98.1%	100.0%	86.9%
Philippines	Prosperidad	2000	93.5%	99.2%	81.1%
Philippines	Prosperidad	2017	96.7%	99.7%	88.0%
Philippines	Pualas	2000	7.2%	31.2%	1.3%
Philippines	Pualas	2017	5.8%	12.1%	4.7%
Philippines	Pudtol	2000	80.1%	88.1%	67.0%
Philippines	Pudtol	2017	96.0%	98.1%	92.2%
Philippines	Puerto Galera	2000	74.6%	99.2%	18.6%
Philippines	Puerto Galera	2017	86.3%	99.8%	22.1%
Philippines	Puerto Princesa City	2000	93.1%	97.7%	85.9%
Philippines	Puerto Princesa City	2017	97.5%	99.3%	93.4%
Philippines	Pugo	2000	74.1%	99.8%	17.3%
Philippines	Pugo	2017	94.3%	100.0%	57.2%
Philippines	Pulilan	2000	98.9%	99.7%	96.5%
Philippines	Pulilan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Philippines	Pulupandan	2000	91.2%	100.0%	35.5%
Philippines	Pulupandan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	89.5%
Philippines	Pura	2000	99.3%	100.0%	95.6%
Philippines	Pura	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	88.9%	100.0%	37.6%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	78.3%	99.9%	27.6%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	85.5%	95.5%	70.8%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	63.4%	78.8%	44.1%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	72.3%	97.2%	32.4%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	71.5%	98.3%	21.4%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	97.3%	100.0%	76.2%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	90.8%	100.0%	51.8%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	95.1%	99.3%	85.9%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	87.0%	94.5%	75.3%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	86.1%	99.0%	43.6%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	92.4%	99.9%	59.9%
Philippines	Quezon City	2000	98.8%	99.3%	98.0%
Philippines	Quezon City	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Philippines	Quinapondan	2000	83.6%	99.9%	43.2%
Philippines	Quinapondan	2017	95.4%	99.9%	77.2%
Philippines	Quirino	2000	30.9%	57.2%	8.0%
Philippines	Quirino	2000	88.2%	99.6%	71.6%
Philippines	Quirino	2017	76.0%	86.9%	50.8%
Philippines	Quirino	2017	94.0%	99.9%	73.9%
Philippines	Ragay	2000	73.7%	99.2%	27.1%
Philippines	Ragay	2017	88.9%	99.9%	54.4%
Philippines	Rajah Buayan	2000	69.0%	73.0%	63.4%
Philippines	Rajah Buayan	2017	95.4%	96.6%	92.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Ramon	2000	88.9%	98.6%	60.2%
Philippines	Ramon	2017	98.5%	99.9%	91.6%
Philippines	Ramon	2000	83.9%	98.1%	51.7%
Philippines	Magsaysay				
Philippines	Ramon	2017	93.2%	99.9%	63.1%
Philippines	Magsaysay				
Philippines	Ramos	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Philippines	Ramos	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Rapu-Rapu	2000	75.2%	96.2%	37.8%
Philippines	Rapu-Rapu	2017	87.9%	99.0%	59.6%
Philippines	Real	2000	76.4%	98.4%	33.7%
Philippines	Real	2017	89.7%	99.8%	58.6%
Philippines	Reina Mercedes	2000	53.9%	78.2%	31.8%
Philippines	Reina Mercedes	2017	90.6%	97.4%	71.4%
Philippines	Remedios T. Romualdez	2000	94.1%	99.9%	78.8%
Philippines	Remedios T. Romualdez	2017	98.4%	100.0%	92.1%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	94.5%	99.7%	82.5%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	73.7%	93.4%	40.6%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	21.8%	30.5%	14.3%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	99.4%	100.0%	97.0%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	91.4%	99.0%	72.9%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	42.8%	84.3%	5.3%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	62.8%	93.4%	20.9%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	78.8%	84.6%	71.7%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	90.1%	99.3%	51.3%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	97.4%	100.0%	87.7%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	97.9%	99.9%	90.6%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	88.2%	98.6%	65.2%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	75.8%	98.2%	31.0%
Philippines	Rodriguez	2000	89.6%	98.2%	65.8%
Philippines	Rodriguez	2017	96.9%	99.9%	81.7%
Philippines	Romblon	2000	73.4%	95.4%	23.5%
Philippines	Romblon	2017	90.8%	99.4%	50.8%
Philippines	Ronda	2000	77.5%	94.9%	38.2%
Philippines	Ronda	2017	95.6%	99.2%	84.5%
Philippines	Rosales	2000	97.1%	99.5%	89.7%
Philippines	Rosales	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	52.0%	78.8%	19.6%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	79.4%	99.3%	28.0%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	81.7%	99.6%	34.2%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	91.6%	98.7%	77.7%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	97.4%	99.9%	85.1%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	95.2%	99.9%	71.3%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	93.8%	99.9%	64.8%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	90.8%	97.8%	70.1%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	97.4%	99.8%	91.0%
Philippines	Roseller Lim	2000	76.0%	91.8%	57.2%
Philippines	Roseller Lim	2017	82.7%	95.2%	70.8%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	42.4%	62.2%	26.2%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	68.7%	86.8%	41.4%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	87.8%	92.5%	76.0%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	75.2%	85.9%	63.7%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	88.6%	97.7%	69.3%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	97.1%	98.2%	94.8%
Philippines	Roxas City	2000	96.1%	99.0%	90.8%
Philippines	Roxas City	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Sabangan	2000	82.9%	93.9%	57.5%
Philippines	Sabangan	2017	60.3%	77.3%	35.5%
Philippines	Sablan	2000	63.1%	75.0%	51.0%
Philippines	Sablan	2017	81.6%	91.5%	71.9%
Philippines	Sablayan	2000	83.3%	93.5%	66.1%
Philippines	Sablayan	2017	94.8%	98.7%	85.6%
Philippines	Sabtang	2000	84.7%	100.0%	18.2%
Philippines	Sabtang	2017	91.5%	100.0%	45.6%
Philippines	Sadanga	2000	81.7%	99.8%	36.2%
Philippines	Sadanga	2017	89.9%	99.7%	44.5%
Philippines	Sagada	2000	24.7%	52.6%	10.3%
Philippines	Sagada	2017	16.2%	29.3%	7.9%
Philippines	Sagay	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Philippines	Sagay	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Philippines	Sagay City	2000	88.9%	95.2%	76.3%
Philippines	Sagay City	2017	97.1%	99.1%	91.3%
Philippines	Sagbayan	2000	78.6%	99.9%	20.8%
Philippines	Sagbayan	2017	92.1%	100.0%	53.3%
Philippines	Sagnay	2000	85.5%	99.9%	27.6%
Philippines	Sagnay	2017	94.9%	100.0%	62.3%
Philippines	Saguday	2000	72.8%	86.1%	47.2%
Philippines	Saguday	2017	97.7%	98.7%	95.1%
Philippines	Saguiaran	2000	91.6%	99.8%	74.5%
Philippines	Saguiaran	2017	97.8%	100.0%	83.3%
Philippines	Saint Bernard	2000	88.2%	99.5%	58.8%
Philippines	Saint Bernard	2017	94.2%	99.9%	64.9%
Philippines	Salay	2000	95.6%	100.0%	63.9%
Philippines	Salay	2017	97.2%	100.0%	81.6%
Philippines	Salcedo	2000	96.9%	99.3%	92.6%
Philippines	Salcedo	2000	86.0%	99.8%	46.1%
Philippines	Salcedo	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.3%
Philippines	Salcedo	2017	94.4%	100.0%	66.9%
Philippines	Sallapadan	2000	52.0%	64.2%	39.4%
Philippines	Sallapadan	2017	88.8%	93.8%	77.1%
Philippines	Salug	2000	78.9%	92.3%	58.4%
Philippines	Salug	2017	91.9%	96.2%	82.8%
Philippines	Salvador	2000	72.1%	96.2%	37.2%
Philippines	Salvador	2017	93.9%	98.9%	81.8%
Philippines	Salvador	2000	36.6%	69.5%	9.2%
Philippines	Benedicto				
Philippines	Salvador	2017	60.6%	80.3%	30.5%
Philippines	Benedicto				
Philippines	Samal	2000	80.5%	85.7%	76.4%
Philippines	Samal	2017	60.4%	77.8%	45.7%
Philippines	Samal City	2000	84.8%	97.4%	61.4%
Philippines	Samal City	2017	95.0%	99.6%	78.5%
Philippines	Samboan	2000	79.5%	99.9%	21.0%
Philippines	Samboan	2017	92.4%	100.0%	60.5%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2000	92.4%	99.5%	76.1%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2017	97.3%	99.9%	87.2%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2000	87.0%	92.7%	79.5%
Philippines	Lake				
Philippines	Sampaloc	2017	98.0%	99.2%	95.5%
Philippines	Lake				
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	78.7%	99.7%	36.2%
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	55.1%	82.6%	17.4%
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	83.9%	99.6%	38.4%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	90.9%	99.9%	62.9%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	68.5%	89.0%	40.1%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	95.1%	100.0%	72.8%
Philippines	San Andres	2000	71.3%	98.9%	26.6%
Philippines	San Andres	2000	77.8%	89.6%	66.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Andres	2000	51.2%	80.9%	20.2%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	86.0%	99.8%	44.3%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	83.7%	95.0%	48.7%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	93.7%	98.6%	83.1%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	95.2%	99.9%	77.4%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	82.5%	99.6%	35.0%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	87.9%	100.0%	29.1%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	91.9%	99.5%	69.4%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	94.5%	100.0%	59.9%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	99.0%	100.0%	95.3%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	98.2%	100.0%	81.8%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	97.4%	100.0%	80.3%
Philippines	San Benito	2000	80.8%	99.9%	21.6%
Philippines	San Benito	2017	93.4%	100.0%	51.0%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2000	51.4%	79.5%	21.4%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2000	92.6%	98.4%	77.2%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2017	85.4%	97.2%	56.5%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2017	98.6%	99.9%	92.3%
Philippines	San Clemente	2000	89.0%	98.2%	52.3%
Philippines	San Clemente	2017	98.2%	99.9%	85.1%
Philippines	San Dionisio	2000	73.8%	97.8%	19.6%
Philippines	San Dionisio	2017	90.6%	99.8%	50.7%
Philippines	San Emilio	2000	87.1%	99.8%	52.4%
Philippines	San Emilio	2017	95.5%	100.0%	68.1%
Philippines	San Enrique	2000	94.3%	99.4%	76.9%
Philippines	San Enrique	2000	77.0%	93.5%	60.9%
Philippines	San Enrique	2017	90.8%	98.9%	72.1%
Philippines	San Enrique	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Philippines	San Esteban	2000	86.7%	100.0%	38.9%
Philippines	San Esteban	2017	97.2%	100.0%	79.5%
Philippines	San Fabian	2000	94.7%	99.2%	86.8%
Philippines	San Fabian	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.4%
Philippines	San Felipe	2000	81.5%	95.5%	58.9%
Philippines	San Felipe	2017	97.4%	99.4%	93.0%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	82.5%	99.4%	53.7%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	81.1%	89.3%	69.3%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	87.4%	98.6%	63.7%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	73.2%	100.0%	12.6%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	74.4%	96.9%	40.0%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	93.2%	99.9%	56.2%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	85.3%	99.0%	52.1%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	96.6%	99.3%	89.8%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	89.1%	100.0%	33.4%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	95.9%	99.5%	82.3%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2000	86.1%	95.3%	66.1%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2000	96.6%	99.0%	89.7%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.1%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2017	98.8%	99.5%	96.4%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	88.9%	96.2%	77.9%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	67.7%	96.7%	24.7%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	79.1%	99.8%	31.8%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	73.6%	99.8%	19.5%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	79.5%	99.9%	24.4%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	83.8%	99.4%	46.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	96.5%	99.3%	85.3%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	92.8%	100.0%	63.7%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	89.2%	100.0%	40.8%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	87.8%	100.0%	43.8%
Philippines	San Gabriel	2000	84.0%	99.3%	55.8%
Philippines	San Gabriel	2017	93.4%	99.9%	73.7%
Philippines	San Guillermo	2000	68.5%	96.0%	28.1%
Philippines	San Guillermo	2017	90.5%	99.7%	64.1%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2000	96.0%	98.5%	88.3%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2000	74.0%	99.0%	19.1%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.8%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2017	89.2%	99.9%	42.6%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	62.7%	89.8%	22.9%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	72.2%	93.0%	39.2%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	65.1%	89.0%	43.1%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	74.1%	99.5%	15.6%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	97.2%	99.5%	91.7%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	92.8%	99.7%	73.3%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	70.4%	99.2%	31.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	79.8%	95.8%	51.1%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	70.6%	92.2%	34.9%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	86.7%	97.8%	53.5%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	93.0%	100.0%	51.4%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	88.2%	98.9%	61.3%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	87.9%	100.0%	35.2%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	82.2%	95.9%	44.6%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	85.1%	99.2%	54.4%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	98.0%	100.0%	87.4%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	94.9%	99.3%	84.2%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2000	75.2%	99.7%	11.9%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2000	97.0%	99.9%	85.9%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2017	88.4%	100.0%	40.5%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.6%
Philippines	San Joaquin	2000	69.8%	92.7%	27.6%
Philippines	San Joaquin	2017	89.6%	98.9%	52.6%
Philippines	San Jorge	2000	80.4%	99.4%	37.2%
Philippines	San Jorge	2017	90.7%	99.9%	51.2%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	80.8%	91.2%	64.8%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	91.1%	100.0%	48.0%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	88.9%	97.3%	71.2%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	78.8%	99.9%	14.8%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	96.1%	99.9%	85.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	93.9%	97.7%	82.2%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	95.3%	98.4%	90.0%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	88.5%	99.4%	62.1%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	71.2%	96.4%	13.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.7%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	96.7%	100.0%	74.4%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	92.3%	100.0%	51.8%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	89.1%	99.6%	33.8%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	94.6%	99.9%	79.4%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	96.3%	98.7%	91.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	98.8%	99.5%	96.7%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	97.3%	99.7%	86.5%
Philippines	San Jose City	2000	84.4%	89.3%	76.8%
Philippines	San Jose City	2017	95.0%	97.9%	90.2%
Philippines	San Jose de Buan	2000	79.3%	99.8%	14.6%
Philippines	San Jose de Buan	2017	90.0%	100.0%	36.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Jose del Monte City	2000	97.9%	99.6%	92.2%
Philippines	San Jose del Monte City	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	78.7%	99.9%	12.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	75.2%	96.8%	39.2%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	47.4%	87.8%	8.4%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	93.4%	99.9%	65.8%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	98.4%	99.9%	94.3%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	97.7%	99.7%	93.6%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	91.1%	99.5%	66.9%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	92.2%	100.0%	46.2%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	97.3%	100.0%	84.9%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.3%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	92.0%	99.5%	64.6%
Philippines	San Julian	2000	73.3%	99.3%	11.4%
Philippines	San Julian	2017	87.5%	99.9%	25.2%
Philippines	San Leonardo	2000	97.8%	99.2%	95.4%
Philippines	San Leonardo	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Philippines	San Lorenzo	2000	74.0%	82.2%	62.1%
Philippines	San Lorenzo	2017	95.3%	96.9%	92.8%
Philippines	San Lorenzo Ruiz	2000	85.6%	97.8%	49.9%
Philippines	San Lorenzo Ruiz	2017	84.9%	96.4%	56.8%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	81.8%	94.7%	65.0%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	92.7%	100.0%	62.0%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	82.2%	94.2%	62.8%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	83.4%	100.0%	14.9%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	92.5%	100.0%	50.2%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	97.5%	100.0%	80.3%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	94.5%	99.1%	81.2%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	95.2%	99.2%	87.3%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	56.7%	69.4%	43.3%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	77.9%	97.2%	42.9%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	98.3%	100.0%	91.6%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	74.3%	87.2%	61.6%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	98.4%	99.9%	92.4%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.4%
Philippines	San Marcelino	2000	78.2%	93.8%	48.7%
Philippines	San Marcelino	2017	93.9%	98.7%	83.8%
Philippines	San Mariano	2000	67.6%	87.5%	39.2%
Philippines	San Mariano	2017	90.7%	98.4%	72.2%
Philippines	San Mateo	2000	95.5%	98.3%	90.5%
Philippines	San Mateo	2000	82.0%	98.2%	42.6%
Philippines	San Mateo	2017	99.2%	99.8%	96.8%
Philippines	San Mateo	2017	98.3%	99.9%	87.8%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	90.2%	98.6%	75.1%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	94.1%	99.2%	86.7%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	72.5%	95.9%	36.1%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	84.1%	99.5%	36.2%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	92.5%	99.8%	59.1%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	61.5%	80.2%	38.4%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	78.7%	87.1%	64.9%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	97.6%	99.8%	86.7%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	94.2%	99.9%	65.3%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	92.4%	97.5%	79.1%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	89.7%	96.6%	75.4%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	94.3%	99.5%	85.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.5%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	80.7%	98.4%	33.5%
Philippines	San Narciso	2000	81.2%	99.4%	46.0%
Philippines	San Narciso	2000	50.8%	84.8%	13.1%
Philippines	San Narciso	2017	96.8%	99.9%	80.7%
Philippines	San Narciso	2017	73.3%	90.6%	43.1%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	96.2%	100.0%	67.5%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	92.9%	99.1%	72.7%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	84.7%	98.4%	57.8%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.6%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	96.8%	100.0%	71.3%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	97.2%	99.9%	86.9%
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	78.4%	98.7%	30.9%
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	80.7%	99.8%	30.6%
Philippines	San Pablo	2017	90.9%	100.0%	49.5%
Philippines	San Pablo	2017	89.7%	99.5%	47.8%
Philippines	San Pablo City	2000	91.0%	94.8%	85.9%
Philippines	San Pablo City	2017	96.9%	98.8%	94.1%
Philippines	San Pascual	2000	89.8%	95.9%	79.4%
Philippines	San Pascual	2000	75.0%	97.5%	39.4%
Philippines	San Pascual	2017	87.2%	99.3%	58.5%
Philippines	San Pascual	2017	96.4%	99.4%	84.7%
Philippines	San Pedro	2000	97.6%	99.1%	95.9%
Philippines	San Pedro	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.3%
Philippines	San Policarpo	2000	88.5%	98.7%	60.9%
Philippines	San Policarpo	2017	93.9%	98.7%	77.5%
Philippines	San Quintin	2000	92.8%	98.3%	83.8%
Philippines	San Quintin	2000	84.4%	99.9%	23.9%
Philippines	San Quintin	2017	97.4%	99.6%	90.7%
Philippines	San Quintin	2017	94.7%	100.0%	54.7%
Philippines	San Rafael	2000	57.8%	87.2%	19.6%
Philippines	San Rafael	2000	73.6%	93.3%	42.9%
Philippines	San Rafael	2017	90.5%	99.3%	58.7%
Philippines	San Rafael	2017	94.8%	99.6%	73.4%
Philippines	San Remigio	2000	70.7%	84.4%	53.3%
Philippines	San Remigio	2000	71.1%	98.5%	26.9%
Philippines	San Remigio	2017	88.6%	99.5%	50.7%
Philippines	San Remigio	2017	95.6%	98.6%	86.9%
Philippines	San Ricardo	2000	89.1%	99.6%	58.2%
Philippines	San Ricardo	2017	95.2%	100.0%	72.0%
Philippines	San Roque	2000	73.0%	99.8%	11.1%
Philippines	San Roque	2017	88.5%	100.0%	34.7%
Philippines	San Sebastian	2000	95.7%	99.8%	81.2%
Philippines	San Sebastian	2017	98.3%	100.0%	90.9%
Philippines	San Simon	2000	98.0%	100.0%	87.7%
Philippines	San Simon	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.1%
Philippines	San Teodoro	2000	78.9%	91.5%	61.7%
Philippines	San Teodoro	2017	87.4%	95.4%	74.2%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	73.3%	99.9%	16.0%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	89.0%	99.3%	52.9%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	82.4%	95.5%	60.1%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	96.9%	99.8%	80.4%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	87.7%	100.0%	45.6%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	74.9%	97.4%	35.3%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	92.9%	99.1%	80.6%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.7%
Philippines	Sanchez-Mira	2000	71.6%	87.7%	50.9%
Philippines	Sanchez-Mira	2017	87.9%	98.6%	58.2%
Philippines	Santa	2000	78.4%	97.2%	42.8%
Philippines	Santa	2017	97.0%	99.9%	82.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Santa Ana	2000	86.9%	99.9%	37.5%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2000	71.9%	98.3%	15.1%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2017	92.8%	100.0%	54.8%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2017	90.1%	99.8%	53.4%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2000	85.8%	94.3%	60.9%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2000	92.3%	96.2%	77.2%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2017	98.6%	99.5%	94.5%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2017	99.0%	99.8%	94.1%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2000	78.9%	97.1%	38.5%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2000	88.6%	99.4%	62.3%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.3%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2017	91.9%	99.2%	64.7%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	80.2%	95.5%	54.4%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	60.9%	78.3%	45.1%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	80.5%	99.4%	24.4%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	42.8%	63.6%	25.0%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	93.2%	99.5%	65.9%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	91.8%	96.9%	81.1%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.3%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	92.1%	99.9%	50.2%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	85.7%	95.2%	63.1%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	92.5%	99.1%	75.0%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.8%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	60.5%	71.6%	49.1%
Philippines	Santa Elena	2000	63.6%	88.4%	22.4%
Philippines	Santa Elena	2017	84.6%	97.2%	45.0%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	73.1%	99.8%	14.6%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	50.8%	84.7%	10.3%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	93.7%	95.5%	91.7%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	72.6%	99.2%	19.3%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	88.0%	100.0%	44.2%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	87.3%	99.8%	50.9%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	74.4%	96.1%	34.4%
Philippines	Santa Ignacia	2000	89.6%	100.0%	50.2%
Philippines	Santa Ignacia	2017	95.5%	100.0%	76.3%
Philippines	Santa Josefa	2000	83.7%	93.9%	52.8%
Philippines	Santa Josefa	2017	96.0%	98.8%	83.2%
Philippines	Santa Lucia	2000	87.5%	99.4%	46.9%
Philippines	Santa Lucia	2017	97.3%	100.0%	77.8%
Philippines	Santa Magdalena	2000	68.5%	99.0%	22.0%
Philippines	Santa Magdalena	2017	66.8%	98.4%	26.7%
Philippines	Santa Marcela	2000	53.5%	85.3%	24.0%
Philippines	Santa Marcela	2017	81.7%	98.4%	51.4%
Philippines	Santa Margarita	2000	81.0%	99.6%	34.9%
Philippines	Santa Margarita	2017	90.9%	99.9%	40.8%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	90.9%	98.9%	71.3%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	89.9%	99.9%	48.0%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	66.7%	93.8%	24.9%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	91.4%	99.7%	67.7%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	97.9%	99.0%	95.4%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	87.8%	96.5%	77.5%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	52.9%	89.7%	14.0%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	97.1%	99.7%	88.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	55.0%	95.3%	9.8%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	96.9%	100.0%	84.1%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	98.2%	99.9%	93.2%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	84.7%	99.1%	46.8%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	98.1%	100.0%	80.0%
Philippines	Santa Monica	2000	75.8%	100.0%	5.8%
Philippines	Santa Monica	2017	88.0%	100.0%	25.9%
Philippines	Santa Praxedes	2000	92.3%	100.0%	53.6%
Philippines	Santa Praxedes	2017	96.2%	100.0%	59.2%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2000	91.3%	99.8%	48.7%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2000	77.1%	96.3%	45.2%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.0%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2017	89.6%	98.8%	68.0%
Philippines	Santa Rosa	2000	96.4%	99.8%	84.8%
Philippines	Santa Rosa	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.3%
Philippines	Santa Rosa City	2000	98.7%	99.9%	93.5%
Philippines	Santa Rosa City	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2000	88.9%	100.0%	38.3%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2000	64.4%	98.8%	10.5%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2017	93.6%	100.0%	47.3%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2017	88.7%	100.0%	32.0%
Philippines	Santander	2000	92.3%	99.1%	72.7%
Philippines	Santander	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.3%
Philippines	Santiago	2000	91.9%	96.8%	84.7%
Philippines	Santiago	2000	90.8%	99.9%	46.4%
Philippines	Santiago	2017	97.2%	99.3%	92.0%
Philippines	Santiago	2017	98.2%	100.0%	87.7%
Philippines	Santiago City	2000	30.5%	44.8%	20.2%
Philippines	Santiago City	2017	71.1%	85.8%	52.5%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	92.6%	99.6%	67.9%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	91.9%	93.6%	88.3%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	95.4%	99.6%	82.4%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.2%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.5%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	93.0%	95.7%	90.4%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2000	77.4%	98.1%	31.8%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2000	73.1%	99.9%	17.2%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2017	91.6%	99.9%	44.1%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2017	87.9%	100.0%	44.5%
Philippines	Santo Niño	2000	78.4%	96.9%	44.7%
Philippines	Santo Niño	2017	94.3%	99.8%	76.8%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	97.9%	99.9%	90.9%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	88.2%	97.1%	71.0%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	76.5%	98.8%	29.7%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	79.2%	99.9%	22.7%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	63.0%	93.5%	28.6%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	97.5%	100.0%	84.4%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	89.6%	99.6%	58.9%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	88.3%	99.1%	56.9%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	91.1%	100.0%	40.6%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	87.0%	98.4%	69.8%
Philippines	Santol	2000	75.3%	99.0%	23.5%
Philippines	Santol	2017	93.1%	100.0%	64.2%
Philippines	Sapa-Sapa	2000	57.3%	86.8%	19.5%
Philippines	Sapa-Sapa	2017	82.2%	98.4%	44.8%
Philippines	Sapad	2000	81.8%	97.9%	42.4%
Philippines	Sapad	2017	95.5%	99.5%	79.1%
Philippines	Sapang Dalaga	2000	39.5%	59.0%	22.7%
Philippines	Sapang Dalaga	2017	78.3%	89.1%	58.5%
Philippines	Sapi-An	2000	85.0%	97.4%	55.6%
Philippines	Sapi-An	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.8%
Philippines	Sara	2000	69.6%	93.1%	25.7%
Philippines	Sara	2017	91.0%	98.6%	64.7%
Philippines	Sarangani	2000	48.4%	83.4%	13.5%
Philippines	Sarangani	2017	74.7%	94.8%	42.1%
Philippines	Sariaya	2000	67.1%	82.4%	54.3%
Philippines	Sariaya	2017	91.6%	98.8%	78.4%
Philippines	Sarrat	2000	88.9%	94.8%	71.3%
Philippines	Sarrat	2017	98.7%	99.4%	96.3%
Philippines	Sasmuan	2000	98.9%	99.9%	94.7%
Philippines	Sasmuan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Philippines	Sebaste	2000	72.7%	99.9%	17.6%
Philippines	Sebaste	2017	84.5%	99.9%	39.8%
Philippines	Sen. Ninoy Aquino	2000	63.0%	94.4%	20.5%
Philippines	Sen. Ninoy Aquino	2017	78.1%	97.3%	39.2%
Philippines	Sergio Osmena Sr.	2000	57.8%	87.5%	22.0%
Philippines	Sergio Osmena Sr.	2017	71.7%	91.7%	40.5%
Philippines	Sevilla	2000	55.6%	84.7%	20.3%
Philippines	Sevilla	2017	82.2%	95.4%	53.1%
Philippines	Shariff Aguak	2000	18.1%	48.6%	1.0%
Philippines	Shariff Aguak	2017	45.7%	70.3%	11.2%
Philippines	Siasi	2000	69.0%	86.8%	44.3%
Philippines	Siasi	2017	89.2%	97.9%	68.2%
Philippines	Siaton	2000	87.2%	98.8%	66.9%
Philippines	Siaton	2017	96.7%	99.9%	86.1%
Philippines	Siay	2000	57.4%	77.4%	35.7%
Philippines	Siay	2017	77.5%	90.6%	59.3%
Philippines	Siayan	2000	23.1%	42.1%	8.8%
Philippines	Siayan	2017	40.5%	53.2%	26.5%
Philippines	Sibagat	2000	54.4%	74.9%	26.0%
Philippines	Sibagat	2017	69.7%	92.8%	42.4%
Philippines	Sibalom	2000	72.6%	80.3%	64.1%
Philippines	Sibalom	2017	95.0%	96.9%	91.5%
Philippines	Sibonga	2000	78.7%	93.4%	38.2%
Philippines	Sibonga	2017	86.9%	98.3%	40.5%
Philippines	Sibuco	2000	75.8%	96.7%	44.1%
Philippines	Sibuco	2017	89.1%	99.3%	64.8%
Philippines	Sibulan	2000	95.3%	99.5%	86.4%
Philippines	Sibulan	2017	98.6%	99.9%	95.9%
Philippines	Sibunag	2000	56.4%	71.2%	42.5%
Philippines	Sibunag	2017	89.5%	94.9%	78.2%
Philippines	Sibutad	2000	48.3%	66.1%	25.7%
Philippines	Sibutad	2017	94.4%	97.2%	86.6%
Philippines	Sibutu	2000	75.8%	93.5%	49.2%
Philippines	Sibutu	2017	95.0%	99.6%	77.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Sierra Bul- lones	2000	81.6%	99.9%	20.2%
Philippines	Sierra Bul- lones	2017	89.9%	100.0%	48.1%
Philippines	Sigay	2000	85.7%	100.0%	40.2%
Philippines	Sigay	2017	94.5%	100.0%	66.7%
Philippines	Sigma	2000	71.0%	87.8%	46.2%
Philippines	Sigma	2017	97.0%	99.0%	90.8%
Philippines	Sikatuna	2000	81.3%	93.8%	66.4%
Philippines	Sikatuna	2017	97.2%	99.3%	92.2%
Philippines	Silago	2000	87.5%	99.5%	58.1%
Philippines	Silago	2017	95.5%	99.9%	76.8%
Philippines	Silang	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Silang	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Silay City	2000	96.6%	99.6%	89.4%
Philippines	Silay City	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.2%
Philippines	Silvino Lobos	2000	75.3%	99.4%	22.1%
Philippines	Silvino Lobos	2017	87.4%	99.8%	43.6%
Philippines	Simunul	2000	48.3%	72.7%	20.5%
Philippines	Simunul	2017	84.4%	96.8%	55.1%
Philippines	Sinacaban	2000	94.8%	99.1%	82.8%
Philippines	Sinacaban	2017	97.3%	99.7%	86.0%
Philippines	Sinait	2000	86.8%	99.9%	45.0%
Philippines	Sinait	2017	97.5%	100.0%	79.7%
Philippines	Sindangan	2000	71.0%	85.5%	54.9%
Philippines	Sindangan	2017	94.1%	96.7%	89.2%
Philippines	Siniloan	2000	84.6%	99.7%	41.3%
Philippines	Siniloan	2017	97.4%	100.0%	82.9%
Philippines	Siocon	2000	80.3%	95.2%	42.2%
Philippines	Siocon	2017	91.9%	98.6%	68.4%
Philippines	Sipalay City	2000	77.2%	97.4%	41.2%
Philippines	Sipalay City	2017	94.3%	99.9%	72.7%
Philippines	Sipocot	2000	74.8%	97.7%	36.7%
Philippines	Sipocot	2017	92.7%	99.3%	81.5%
Philippines	Siquijor	2000	98.7%	99.9%	94.9%
Philippines	Siquijor	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.3%
Philippines	Sirawai	2000	76.9%	99.3%	31.3%
Philippines	Sirawai	2017	89.5%	99.8%	52.3%
Philippines	Siruma	2000	75.3%	99.5%	30.2%
Philippines	Siruma	2017	88.4%	99.9%	48.2%
Philippines	Sison	2000	82.9%	94.7%	69.3%
Philippines	Sison	2000	91.6%	99.8%	55.5%
Philippines	Sison	2017	93.4%	98.3%	77.4%
Philippines	Sison	2017	97.9%	100.0%	82.6%
Philippines	Sitangkai	2000	75.8%	98.9%	29.9%
Philippines	Sitangkai	2017	91.7%	99.9%	50.3%
Philippines	Socorro	2000	82.7%	95.1%	62.4%
Philippines	Socorro	2000	77.3%	99.9%	16.4%
Philippines	Socorro	2017	88.4%	100.0%	42.3%
Philippines	Socorro	2017	86.7%	97.4%	66.0%
Philippines	Sofronio Espanola	2000	62.7%	89.3%	27.5%
Philippines	Sofronio Espanola	2017	73.2%	90.9%	42.4%
Philippines	Sogod	2000	86.6%	97.9%	67.4%
Philippines	Sogod	2000	74.7%	99.9%	11.6%
Philippines	Sogod	2017	95.3%	99.4%	84.1%
Philippines	Sogod	2017	85.4%	100.0%	35.2%
Philippines	Solana	2000	76.4%	88.7%	59.3%
Philippines	Solana	2017	87.8%	98.3%	70.2%
Philippines	Solano	2000	76.9%	86.6%	62.9%
Philippines	Solano	2017	95.4%	97.2%	92.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Solsona	2000	98.6%	100.0%	92.6%
Philippines	Solsona	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.3%
Philippines	Sominot	2000	87.3%	99.8%	47.9%
Philippines	Sominot	2017	93.9%	99.9%	68.3%
Philippines	Sorsogon City	2000	88.3%	95.4%	77.2%
Philippines	Sorsogon City	2017	97.9%	99.6%	93.4%
Philippines	South Ubian	2000	73.8%	99.1%	21.2%
Philippines	South Ubian	2017	86.8%	99.9%	49.9%
Philippines	South Upi	2000	58.3%	92.5%	14.9%
Philippines	South Upi	2017	75.9%	97.1%	27.3%
Philippines	Sual	2000	85.3%	99.8%	46.9%
Philippines	Sual	2017	96.3%	100.0%	77.6%
Philippines	Subic	2000	94.1%	98.4%	85.7%
Philippines	Subic	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.9%
Philippines	Sudipen	2000	93.0%	99.8%	73.5%
Philippines	Sudipen	2017	98.2%	100.0%	90.5%
Philippines	Sugbongcogon	2000	93.0%	100.0%	52.3%
Philippines	Sugbongcogon	2017	97.3%	100.0%	83.0%
Philippines	Sugpon	2000	77.9%	99.4%	23.5%
Philippines	Sugpon	2017	89.8%	99.9%	51.6%
Philippines	Sulat	2000	72.8%	99.6%	13.4%
Philippines	Sulat	2017	87.9%	99.9%	42.7%
Philippines	Sulop	2000	69.2%	82.5%	56.1%
Philippines	Sulop	2017	91.6%	98.5%	73.4%
Philippines	Sultan Dumlondong	2000	50.4%	87.5%	15.7%
Philippines	Sultan Dumlondong	2017	79.5%	98.4%	48.2%
Philippines	Sultan Kudarat	2000	73.1%	79.2%	62.6%
Philippines	Sultan Kudarat	2017	87.2%	91.5%	80.3%
Philippines	Sultan Mastura	2000	33.6%	48.8%	19.7%
Philippines	Sultan Mastura	2017	65.9%	76.7%	53.4%
Philippines	Sultan Naga Dimaporo	2000	82.5%	96.0%	54.8%
Philippines	Sultan Naga Dimaporo	2017	94.0%	99.4%	73.4%
Philippines	Sultan Sa Barongis	2000	80.8%	85.6%	72.6%
Philippines	Sultan Sa Barongis	2017	96.5%	97.9%	94.2%
Philippines	Sumilao	2000	78.7%	97.9%	30.9%
Philippines	Sumilao	2017	87.8%	98.6%	47.9%
Philippines	Sumisip	2000	67.1%	89.2%	32.7%
Philippines	Sumisip	2017	78.0%	92.4%	49.2%
Philippines	Surallah	2000	77.2%	95.0%	49.8%
Philippines	Surallah	2017	93.8%	99.3%	76.4%
Philippines	Surigao City	2000	89.7%	97.2%	77.8%
Philippines	Surigao City	2017	97.7%	99.8%	93.1%
Philippines	Suyo	2000	88.6%	99.8%	56.0%
Philippines	Suyo	2017	97.5%	100.0%	86.7%
Philippines	T'Boli	2000	84.7%	93.2%	71.1%
Philippines	T'Boli	2017	92.5%	97.0%	81.2%
Philippines	Taal	2000	92.4%	100.0%	50.5%
Philippines	Taal	2017	94.7%	100.0%	59.8%
Philippines	Taal lake	2000	95.3%	99.4%	86.0%
Philippines	Taal lake	2017	97.5%	99.8%	89.9%
Philippines	Tabaco City	2000	64.9%	81.9%	45.8%
Philippines	Tabaco City	2017	88.6%	96.0%	75.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tabango	2000	73.0%	99.6%	11.7%
Philippines	Tabango	2017	85.8%	99.9%	36.9%
Philippines	Tabina	2000	81.2%	98.9%	45.3%
Philippines	Tabina	2017	89.3%	99.2%	59.4%
Philippines	Tabogon	2000	72.3%	97.2%	26.7%
Philippines	Tabogon	2017	90.0%	99.4%	60.8%
Philippines	Tabontabon	2000	96.6%	99.9%	83.3%
Philippines	Tabontabon	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.3%
Philippines	Tabuelan	2000	74.1%	99.8%	18.2%
Philippines	Tabuelan	2017	87.9%	99.9%	50.5%
Philippines	Tabuk City	2000	84.3%	90.2%	76.6%
Philippines	Tabuk City	2017	96.1%	98.8%	91.4%
Philippines	Tacloban City	2000	90.3%	95.6%	83.3%
Philippines	Tacloban City	2017	98.4%	99.3%	96.1%
Philippines	Tacurong City	2000	83.6%	92.5%	64.2%
Philippines	Tacurong City	2017	97.4%	98.8%	91.1%
Philippines	Tadian	2000	23.2%	55.4%	4.3%
Philippines	Tadian	2017	56.2%	81.3%	13.5%
Philippines	Taft	2000	76.5%	99.3%	26.5%
Philippines	Taft	2017	91.0%	99.9%	53.0%
Philippines	Tagana-An	2000	93.7%	99.4%	78.3%
Philippines	Tagana-An	2017	96.9%	99.9%	83.4%
Philippines	Tagapul-An	2000	72.0%	99.9%	5.8%
Philippines	Tagapul-An	2017	85.1%	100.0%	28.4%
Philippines	Tagaytay City	2000	98.0%	100.0%	90.7%
Philippines	Tagaytay City	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.7%
Philippines	Tagbilaran City	2000	93.7%	100.0%	59.8%
Philippines	Tagbilaran City	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.9%
Philippines	Tagbina	2000	86.7%	99.4%	49.1%
Philippines	Tagbina	2017	92.7%	99.7%	63.2%
Philippines	Tagkawayan	2000	81.9%	95.0%	51.0%
Philippines	Tagkawayan	2017	92.9%	98.9%	74.3%
Philippines	Tago	2000	89.9%	97.1%	75.0%
Philippines	Tago	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.7%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2000	71.7%	77.0%	66.8%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2000	97.9%	99.8%	92.5%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2017	94.9%	96.7%	92.6%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Philippines	Tagoloan II	2000	57.0%	89.0%	13.8%
Philippines	Tagoloan II	2017	77.1%	95.6%	33.0%
Philippines	Tagudin	2000	97.1%	100.0%	77.0%
Philippines	Tagudin	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.1%
Philippines	Taguig	2000	98.6%	99.5%	96.9%
Philippines	Taguig	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Philippines	Tagum City	2000	88.1%	93.5%	81.4%
Philippines	Tagum City	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.3%
Philippines	Talacogon	2000	78.1%	91.6%	61.5%
Philippines	Talacogon	2017	97.5%	99.3%	92.2%
Philippines	Talaingod	2000	72.0%	93.0%	39.3%
Philippines	Talaingod	2017	86.1%	98.5%	59.9%
Philippines	Talakag	2000	73.7%	92.7%	51.9%
Philippines	Talakag	2017	85.4%	97.7%	65.0%
Philippines	Talalora	2000	29.3%	51.0%	16.9%
Philippines	Talalora	2017	26.6%	43.7%	13.9%
Philippines	Talavera	2000	86.3%	95.7%	72.5%
Philippines	Talavera	2017	94.7%	97.9%	87.3%
Philippines	Talayan	2000	58.4%	94.8%	11.9%
Philippines	Talayan	2017	76.5%	94.9%	44.4%
Philippines	Talibon	2000	72.3%	99.0%	22.8%
Philippines	Talibon	2017	86.9%	99.8%	47.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Talipao	2000	66.5%	72.0%	59.6%
Philippines	Talipao	2017	84.1%	88.0%	78.1%
Philippines	Talisay	2000	95.1%	98.8%	86.2%
Philippines	Talisay	2000	98.2%	100.0%	85.8%
Philippines	Talisay	2017	93.6%	98.4%	88.2%
Philippines	Talisay	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.9%
Philippines	Talisay City	2000	95.5%	99.6%	80.8%
Philippines	Talisay City	2000	73.0%	77.5%	65.8%
Philippines	Talisay City	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.2%
Philippines	Talisay City	2017	83.8%	90.6%	74.0%
Philippines	Talisayan	2000	87.1%	100.0%	32.0%
Philippines	Talisayan	2017	94.1%	100.0%	67.6%
Philippines	Talitay	2000	66.9%	99.5%	16.9%
Philippines	Talitay	2017	83.2%	97.9%	61.0%
Philippines	Talugtug	2000	96.2%	100.0%	74.1%
Philippines	Talugtug	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.3%
Philippines	Talusan	2000	79.4%	99.6%	21.2%
Philippines	Talusan	2017	90.8%	100.0%	53.8%
Philippines	Tambulig	2000	77.6%	91.0%	55.8%
Philippines	Tambulig	2017	87.9%	94.1%	70.5%
Philippines	Tampakan	2000	96.1%	99.4%	85.8%
Philippines	Tampakan	2017	96.7%	99.4%	90.9%
Philippines	Tamparan	2000	47.7%	93.8%	5.3%
Philippines	Tamparan	2017	76.1%	98.2%	22.0%
Philippines	Tampilisan	2000	79.0%	99.3%	24.0%
Philippines	Tampilisan	2017	89.4%	99.9%	46.5%
Philippines	Tanauan	2000	96.6%	99.9%	75.4%
Philippines	Tanauan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	95.9%
Philippines	Tanauan City	2000	93.9%	98.2%	84.4%
Philippines	Tanauan City	2017	96.1%	99.6%	84.3%
Philippines	Tanay	2000	90.2%	99.6%	71.0%
Philippines	Tanay	2017	96.9%	99.9%	84.4%
Philippines	Tandag City	2000	71.9%	98.4%	12.9%
Philippines	Tandag City	2017	93.5%	99.8%	56.4%
Philippines	Tandubas	2000	59.5%	88.5%	24.2%
Philippines	Tandubas	2017	87.9%	98.1%	60.1%
Philippines	Tangalan	2000	87.2%	98.7%	63.3%
Philippines	Tangalan	2017	97.7%	99.9%	90.9%
Philippines	Tangcal	2000	53.6%	97.4%	8.7%
Philippines	Tangcal	2017	66.2%	97.9%	20.4%
Philippines	Tangub City	2000	90.0%	95.4%	82.7%
Philippines	Tangub City	2017	92.6%	97.9%	83.2%
Philippines	Tanjay City	2000	92.9%	98.8%	78.6%
Philippines	Tanjay City	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.4%
Philippines	Tantangan	2000	89.7%	96.0%	78.2%
Philippines	Tantangan	2017	97.8%	99.4%	93.1%
Philippines	Tanudan	2000	76.5%	98.1%	46.3%
Philippines	Tanudan	2017	74.8%	98.0%	46.9%
Philippines	Tanza	2000	95.7%	99.7%	88.4%
Philippines	Tanza	2017	97.4%	100.0%	87.0%
Philippines	Tapaz	2000	58.7%	87.1%	26.1%
Philippines	Tapaz	2017	82.1%	96.0%	49.4%
Philippines	Tapul	2000	74.7%	99.4%	12.8%
Philippines	Tapul	2017	84.4%	99.7%	23.2%
Philippines	Taraka	2000	45.4%	87.0%	9.7%
Philippines	Taraka	2017	71.3%	97.7%	20.5%
Philippines	Tarangnan	2000	82.4%	99.8%	34.7%
Philippines	Tarangnan	2017	91.9%	100.0%	60.3%
Philippines	Tarlac City	2000	94.4%	97.3%	87.7%
Philippines	Tarlac City	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.6%
Philippines	Tarragona	2000	65.7%	92.1%	31.9%
Philippines	Tarragona	2017	90.1%	99.1%	69.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tayabas City	2000	37.3%	55.8%	24.0%
Philippines	Tayabas City	2017	69.4%	88.2%	42.3%
Philippines	Tayasan	2000	65.5%	93.5%	27.8%
Philippines	Tayasan	2017	86.8%	99.3%	56.6%
Philippines	Taysan	2000	91.2%	100.0%	54.6%
Philippines	Taysan	2017	96.8%	100.0%	74.3%
Philippines	Taytay	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Philippines	Taytay	2000	76.4%	91.9%	56.6%
Philippines	Taytay	2017	90.5%	98.0%	75.8%
Philippines	Taytay	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Tayug	2000	92.6%	99.6%	69.9%
Philippines	Tayug	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.3%
Philippines	Tayum	2000	92.4%	95.3%	86.3%
Philippines	Tayum	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.1%
Philippines	Teresa	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.2%
Philippines	Teresa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Ternate	2000	84.0%	100.0%	40.7%
Philippines	Ternate	2017	95.6%	100.0%	65.9%
Philippines	Tiaong	2000	97.2%	99.7%	90.2%
Philippines	Tiaong	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.1%
Philippines	Tibiao	2000	68.7%	80.9%	52.6%
Philippines	Tibiao	2017	93.4%	97.6%	83.9%
Philippines	Tigaon	2000	85.0%	100.0%	24.9%
Philippines	Tigaon	2017	95.4%	100.0%	64.8%
Philippines	Tigbao	2000	74.7%	98.4%	25.4%
Philippines	Tigbao	2017	83.9%	99.2%	47.2%
Philippines	Tigbauan	2000	44.2%	66.9%	28.8%
Philippines	Tigbauan	2017	88.7%	97.5%	67.0%
Philippines	Tinambac	2000	91.2%	99.7%	70.8%
Philippines	Tinambac	2017	95.5%	99.9%	81.2%
Philippines	Tineg	2000	75.3%	96.8%	40.4%
Philippines	Tineg	2017	90.4%	99.5%	67.4%
Philippines	Tinglayan	2000	87.9%	96.4%	70.5%
Philippines	Tinglayan	2017	95.2%	99.1%	85.2%
Philippines	Tingloy	2000	75.3%	99.9%	16.0%
Philippines	Tingloy	2017	87.8%	100.0%	30.2%
Philippines	Tinoc	2000	68.0%	97.2%	23.8%
Philippines	Tinoc	2017	83.6%	99.6%	41.4%
Philippines	Tipo-Tipo	2000	98.2%	99.6%	92.5%
Philippines	Tipo-Tipo	2017	98.5%	99.6%	94.9%
Philippines	Titay	2000	85.9%	99.0%	33.4%
Philippines	Titay	2017	95.3%	99.9%	74.8%
Philippines	Tiwi	2000	90.0%	97.2%	74.0%
Philippines	Tiwi	2017	94.9%	98.8%	84.1%
Philippines	Tobias Fornier	2000	79.7%	97.4%	38.7%
Philippines	Tobias Fornier	2017	94.0%	99.6%	67.0%
Philippines	Toboso	2000	56.0%	98.7%	9.0%
Philippines	Toboso	2017	67.0%	97.6%	20.1%
Philippines	Toledo City	2000	87.4%	91.9%	81.9%
Philippines	Toledo City	2017	98.0%	99.0%	96.8%
Philippines	Tolosa	2000	91.1%	99.9%	56.6%
Philippines	Tolosa	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.6%
Philippines	Tomas Oppus	2000	66.4%	72.4%	53.7%
Philippines	Tomas Oppus	2017	88.9%	96.9%	79.0%
Philippines	Tongkil	2000	73.1%	94.4%	33.1%
Philippines	Tongkil	2017	88.9%	99.1%	56.7%
Philippines	Torrijos	2000	81.3%	89.6%	73.8%
Philippines	Torrijos	2017	84.6%	92.1%	78.5%
Philippines	Trece Martires City	2000	85.6%	99.5%	69.3%
Philippines	Trece Martires City	2017	93.5%	99.9%	63.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Trento	2000	72.6%	97.0%	24.2%
Philippines	Trento	2017	88.7%	98.6%	54.2%
Philippines	Trinidad	2000	53.7%	82.4%	25.4%
Philippines	Trinidad	2017	86.1%	95.6%	66.1%
Philippines	Tuao	2000	38.8%	75.6%	7.7%
Philippines	Tuao	2017	58.3%	93.5%	14.1%
Philippines	Tuba	2000	78.2%	87.0%	66.1%
Philippines	Tuba	2017	96.4%	98.0%	91.5%
Philippines	Tubajon	2000	92.4%	99.7%	76.3%
Philippines	Tubajon	2017	96.2%	99.9%	85.2%
Philippines	Tubao	2000	81.0%	100.0%	36.9%
Philippines	Tubao	2017	91.8%	100.0%	49.4%
Philippines	Tubaran	2000	24.9%	42.2%	11.4%
Philippines	Tubaran	2017	67.1%	82.8%	54.3%
Philippines	Tubay	2000	90.0%	97.8%	78.6%
Philippines	Tubay	2017	95.7%	99.5%	85.2%
Philippines	Tubigon	2000	92.4%	99.6%	72.5%
Philippines	Tubigon	2017	97.7%	100.0%	87.4%
Philippines	Tublay	2000	89.3%	93.9%	83.3%
Philippines	Tublay	2017	97.0%	98.7%	94.2%
Philippines	Tubo	2000	78.2%	98.4%	37.7%
Philippines	Tubo	2017	91.3%	99.6%	65.4%
Philippines	Tubod	2000	86.7%	99.3%	56.4%
Philippines	Tubod	2000	76.4%	95.2%	39.5%
Philippines	Tubod	2017	81.8%	94.8%	46.0%
Philippines	Tubod	2017	95.2%	99.9%	74.4%
Philippines	Tubungan	2000	86.0%	98.6%	61.5%
Philippines	Tubungan	2017	98.1%	99.9%	89.8%
Philippines	Tuburan	2000	84.8%	95.9%	66.8%
Philippines	Tuburan	2000	98.8%	99.7%	95.9%
Philippines	Tuburan	2017	99.2%	99.9%	94.8%
Philippines	Tuburan	2017	91.1%	97.6%	76.5%
Philippines	Tudela	2000	89.6%	99.1%	61.5%
Philippines	Tudela	2000	72.5%	100.0%	9.5%
Philippines	Tudela	2017	93.6%	99.8%	55.0%
Philippines	Tudela	2017	86.1%	100.0%	37.8%
Philippines	Tugaya	2000	36.2%	54.7%	23.0%
Philippines	Tugaya	2017	69.7%	89.2%	44.2%
Philippines	Tuguegarao	2000	94.9%	99.7%	79.2%
Philippines	Tuguegarao City	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.8%
Philippines	Tukuran	2000	63.0%	87.8%	16.4%
Philippines	Tukuran	2017	86.7%	96.3%	40.1%
Philippines	Tulunan	2000	90.6%	97.3%	81.6%
Philippines	Tulunan	2017	97.0%	99.7%	89.8%
Philippines	Tumauini	2000	71.4%	92.4%	42.4%
Philippines	Tumauini	2017	90.3%	98.7%	64.8%
Philippines	Tunga	2000	58.8%	93.1%	36.2%
Philippines	Tunga	2017	70.5%	96.7%	54.3%
Philippines	Tungawan	2000	66.0%	93.1%	29.0%
Philippines	Tungawan	2017	84.3%	97.7%	54.3%
Philippines	Tupi	2000	85.1%	95.6%	70.6%
Philippines	Tupi	2017	73.3%	87.5%	58.0%
Philippines	Tuy	2000	86.3%	97.4%	65.1%
Philippines	Tuy	2017	95.9%	99.7%	84.6%
Philippines	Ubay	2000	56.0%	86.8%	24.1%
Philippines	Ubay	2017	86.9%	97.9%	63.0%
Philippines	Umingan	2000	86.2%	99.9%	40.1%
Philippines	Umingan	2017	95.8%	100.0%	69.2%
Philippines	Ungkaya Pukan	2000	89.4%	98.3%	68.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Ungkaya Pukan	2017	91.7%	98.0%	73.2%
Philippines	Unisan	2000	49.2%	85.2%	14.8%
Philippines	Unisan	2017	66.2%	91.2%	19.4%
Philippines	Upi	2000	73.3%	80.7%	65.4%
Philippines	Upi	2017	86.1%	92.3%	79.0%
Philippines	Urbiztondo	2000	92.7%	100.0%	54.5%
Philippines	Urbiztondo	2017	98.8%	100.0%	87.5%
Philippines	Urdaneta City	2000	89.9%	96.4%	77.0%
Philippines	Urdaneta City	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.1%
Philippines	Uson	2000	75.8%	98.4%	30.2%
Philippines	Uson	2017	92.3%	99.9%	60.6%
Philippines	Uyugan	2000	98.5%	99.9%	95.3%
Philippines	Uyugan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Philippines	Valderrama	2000	60.5%	88.3%	24.5%
Philippines	Valderrama	2017	91.4%	99.2%	71.0%
Philippines	Valencia	2000	86.5%	99.7%	52.7%
Philippines	Valencia	2000	92.5%	99.7%	62.9%
Philippines	Valencia	2017	93.7%	100.0%	63.9%
Philippines	Valencia	2017	96.5%	99.9%	76.6%
Philippines	Valencia City	2000	86.6%	95.5%	75.3%
Philippines	Valencia City	2017	92.3%	98.1%	83.9%
Philippines	Valenzuela	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Philippines	Valenzuela	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Philippines	Valladolid	2000	93.2%	99.0%	75.5%
Philippines	Valladolid	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.9%
Philippines	Vallehermoso	2000	48.4%	75.1%	20.5%
Philippines	Vallehermoso	2017	82.2%	94.9%	56.9%
Philippines	Veruela	2000	76.3%	90.3%	58.7%
Philippines	Veruela	2017	83.4%	94.6%	70.7%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	95.4%	99.9%	76.3%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	88.0%	99.8%	49.8%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	92.9%	98.5%	79.2%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	91.5%	99.3%	75.3%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.4%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	96.9%	99.7%	87.3%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	98.1%	99.9%	89.3%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	97.2%	100.0%	75.1%
Philippines	Victorias City	2000	78.1%	99.5%	26.2%
Philippines	Victorias City	2017	95.0%	99.8%	75.9%
Philippines	Viga	2000	48.4%	72.1%	24.3%
Philippines	Viga	2017	48.3%	69.0%	26.4%
Philippines	Vigan City	2000	86.7%	98.0%	61.3%
Philippines	Vigan City	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.9%
Philippines	Villaba	2000	76.7%	99.9%	25.5%
Philippines	Villaba	2017	88.9%	100.0%	44.1%
Philippines	Villanueva	2000	98.2%	100.0%	92.7%
Philippines	Villanueva	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.4%
Philippines	Villareal	2000	68.4%	96.8%	27.0%
Philippines	Villareal	2017	81.6%	97.6%	37.5%
Philippines	Villasis	2000	94.4%	99.4%	76.7%
Philippines	Villasis	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Philippines	Villaverde	2000	83.9%	92.5%	63.3%
Philippines	Villaverde	2017	90.1%	96.2%	73.7%
Philippines	Villaviciosa	2000	89.8%	97.7%	78.4%
Philippines	Villaviciosa	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.5%
Philippines	Vincenzo A. Sagun	2000	86.5%	99.2%	64.5%
Philippines	Vincenzo A. Sagun	2017	86.3%	99.4%	60.5%
Philippines	Vintar	2000	83.3%	96.3%	63.0%
Philippines	Vintar	2017	95.1%	99.5%	80.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Vinzons	2000	95.5%	99.2%	87.2%
Philippines	Vinzons	2017	97.7%	99.6%	90.4%
Philippines	Virac	2000	96.1%	98.3%	92.8%
Philippines	Virac	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.1%
Philippines	Wao	2000	41.2%	68.4%	11.3%
Philippines	Wao	2017	72.9%	94.0%	36.4%
Philippines	Waterbody	2000	94.9%	99.5%	81.4%
Philippines	Waterbody	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.9%
Philippines	Zamboanga	2000	90.5%	94.3%	86.1%
Philippines	Zamboanga	2017	95.2%	97.3%	92.2%
Philippines	Zamboanguita	2000	76.9%	99.3%	20.1%
Philippines	Zamboanguita	2017	91.1%	99.9%	46.3%
Philippines	Zaragoza	2000	89.1%	100.0%	48.1%
Philippines	Zaragoza	2017	96.9%	100.0%	76.1%
Philippines	Zarraga	2000	93.9%	98.9%	81.2%
Philippines	Zarraga	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.3%
Philippines	Zumarraga	2000	91.6%	99.8%	63.9%
Philippines	Zumarraga	2017	97.0%	100.0%	81.9%
Sri Lanka	Addalachchenai	2000	88.6%	98.0%	68.6%
Sri Lanka	Addalachchenai	2017	95.2%	99.3%	85.4%
Sri Lanka	Agalawatta	2000	79.3%	94.2%	56.7%
Sri Lanka	Agalawatta	2017	90.5%	97.9%	75.8%
Sri Lanka	Akkaraipattu	2000	87.1%	97.9%	65.5%
Sri Lanka	Akkaraipattu	2017	94.4%	99.3%	81.0%
Sri Lanka	Akmeemana	2000	80.4%	93.5%	55.5%
Sri Lanka	Akmeemana	2017	90.9%	97.5%	73.8%
Sri Lanka	Akurana	2000	71.3%	90.8%	45.4%
Sri Lanka	Akurana	2017	85.5%	96.5%	65.7%
Sri Lanka	Akuressa	2000	69.0%	82.0%	52.0%
Sri Lanka	Akuressa	2017	83.7%	92.6%	70.1%
Sri Lanka	Alawwa	2000	80.9%	94.6%	63.0%
Sri Lanka	Alawwa	2017	91.2%	98.0%	80.1%
Sri Lanka	Alayadiwembu	2000	88.6%	97.8%	73.0%
Sri Lanka	Alayadiwembu	2017	95.1%	99.2%	86.5%
Sri Lanka	Ambagamuwa	2000	57.6%	76.3%	42.9%
Sri Lanka	Ambagamuwa	2017	74.3%	87.7%	60.5%
Sri Lanka	Ambalangoda	2000	77.5%	90.6%	54.3%
Sri Lanka	Ambalangoda	2017	89.2%	96.5%	74.6%
Sri Lanka	Ambalanthota	2000	85.2%	93.5%	73.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambalanthota	2017	93.6%	97.6%	86.8%
Sri Lanka	Ambanganga	2000	76.2%	93.8%	48.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambanganga	2017	88.4%	97.7%	68.5%
Sri Lanka	Ambanpola	2000	85.9%	93.6%	74.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambanpola	2017	94.0%	97.5%	87.2%
Sri Lanka	Ampara	2000	86.9%	96.5%	73.1%
Sri Lanka	Ampara	2017	94.5%	98.8%	87.0%
Sri Lanka	Anamaduwa	2000	87.5%	94.9%	73.8%
Sri Lanka	Anamaduwa	2017	94.7%	98.2%	87.9%
Sri Lanka	Angunakolapelessa	2000	89.7%	96.2%	80.3%
Sri Lanka	Angunakolapelessa	2017	95.7%	98.7%	90.2%
Sri Lanka	Arachchikattuwa	2000	88.4%	95.9%	75.5%
Sri Lanka	Arachchikattuwa	2017	95.2%	98.5%	89.0%
Sri Lanka	Aranayaka	2000	76.1%	91.3%	54.1%
Sri Lanka	Aranayaka	2017	88.1%	96.4%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Athuraliya	2000	66.2%	76.7%	54.4%
Sri Lanka	Athuraliya	2017	83.0%	90.1%	73.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Attanagalla	2000	79.2%	93.3%	60.9%
Sri Lanka	Attanagalla	2017	90.0%	97.3%	76.8%
Sri Lanka	Ayagama	2000	71.9%	89.2%	49.9%
Sri Lanka	Ayagama	2017	85.5%	95.7%	69.3%
Sri Lanka	Badalkumbura	2000	73.3%	89.1%	55.2%
Sri Lanka	Badalkumbura	2017	86.4%	95.5%	73.3%
Sri Lanka	Baddegama	2000	79.1%	91.0%	57.6%
Sri Lanka	Baddegama	2017	89.9%	96.3%	74.4%
Sri Lanka	Badulla	2000	69.6%	89.3%	42.4%
Sri Lanka	Badulla	2017	83.8%	95.9%	62.6%
Sri Lanka	Balangoda	2000	68.6%	88.8%	48.2%
Sri Lanka	Balangoda	2017	83.1%	95.4%	65.6%
Sri Lanka	Balapitiya	2000	77.2%	91.3%	55.4%
Sri Lanka	Balapitiya	2017	88.9%	96.8%	73.1%
Sri Lanka	Bamunakotuwa	2000	79.8%	93.1%	60.2%
Sri Lanka	Bamunakotuwa	2017	90.7%	97.7%	77.5%
Sri Lanka	Bandaragama	2000	83.2%	95.7%	63.2%
Sri Lanka	Bandaragama	2017	92.4%	98.4%	81.2%
Sri Lanka	Bandarawela	2000	38.0%	69.8%	18.3%
Sri Lanka	Bandarawela	2017	55.6%	82.3%	31.8%
Sri Lanka	Beliatta	2000	84.0%	96.2%	65.0%
Sri Lanka	Beliatta	2017	93.1%	98.7%	82.4%
Sri Lanka	Bentota	2000	81.2%	93.8%	61.9%
Sri Lanka	Bentota	2017	91.2%	97.7%	79.5%
Sri Lanka	Beruwala	2000	79.9%	94.8%	61.2%
Sri Lanka	Beruwala	2017	90.4%	98.1%	78.0%
Sri Lanka	Bibile	2000	80.3%	93.2%	62.8%
Sri Lanka	Bibile	2017	90.6%	97.1%	79.7%
Sri Lanka	Bingiriya	2000	87.9%	96.2%	73.9%
Sri Lanka	Bingiriya	2017	94.9%	98.6%	86.7%
Sri Lanka	Biyagama	2000	88.2%	94.5%	79.3%
Sri Lanka	Biyagama	2017	95.2%	98.0%	90.9%
Sri Lanka	Bope-Poddala	2000	79.7%	95.7%	55.4%
Sri Lanka	Bope-Poddala	2017	90.2%	98.4%	74.3%
Sri Lanka	Bulathkohupitiya	2000	68.9%	83.9%	50.3%
Sri Lanka	Bulathkohupitiya	2017	84.2%	92.8%	70.0%
Sri Lanka	Bulathsinhala	2000	77.5%	90.6%	56.5%
Sri Lanka	Bulathsinhala	2017	89.2%	96.4%	75.5%
Sri Lanka	Buttala	2000	80.5%	89.0%	69.2%
Sri Lanka	Buttala	2017	90.9%	95.7%	84.4%
Sri Lanka	Chilaw	2000	89.9%	98.1%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Chilaw	2017	96.0%	99.4%	87.2%
Sri Lanka	Colombo	2000	88.7%	96.7%	75.1%
Sri Lanka	Colombo	2017	95.4%	98.9%	88.5%
Sri Lanka	Damana	2000	86.6%	95.2%	73.0%
Sri Lanka	Damana	2017	94.3%	98.2%	86.8%
Sri Lanka	Dambulla	2000	83.2%	93.5%	66.7%
Sri Lanka	Dambulla	2017	92.4%	97.8%	83.4%
Sri Lanka	Dankotuwa	2000	86.0%	96.5%	69.5%
Sri Lanka	Dankotuwa	2017	94.1%	98.8%	85.3%
Sri Lanka	Dehiattakandiya	2000	85.0%	92.6%	72.7%
Sri Lanka	Dehiattakandiya	2017	93.6%	97.2%	86.4%
Sri Lanka	Dehiovita	2000	75.3%	89.0%	54.0%
Sri Lanka	Dehiovita	2017	87.9%	95.7%	73.6%
Sri Lanka	Dehiwala-Mount Lavinia	2000	82.9%	95.5%	59.7%
Sri Lanka	Dehiwala-Mount Lavinia	2017	92.3%	98.3%	78.8%
Sri Lanka	Delft	2000	85.4%	96.7%	67.2%
Sri Lanka	Delft	2017	93.7%	98.9%	83.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Delhota	2000	70.3%	91.1%	45.4%
Sri Lanka	Delhota	2017	84.5%	96.7%	65.3%
Sri Lanka	Deraniyagala	2000	66.4%	85.2%	45.4%
Sri Lanka	Deraniyagala	2017	81.7%	93.7%	64.3%
Sri Lanka	Devinuwara	2000	80.4%	94.3%	59.3%
Sri Lanka	Devinuwara	2017	90.9%	97.8%	77.2%
Sri Lanka	Dickwella	2000	82.0%	94.5%	59.6%
Sri Lanka	Dickwella	2017	91.6%	98.2%	76.6%
Sri Lanka	Dimbulagala	2000	85.8%	92.7%	75.6%
Sri Lanka	Dimbulagala	2017	93.8%	97.2%	88.1%
Sri Lanka	Divulapitiya	2000	84.1%	94.5%	68.7%
Sri Lanka	Divulapitiya	2017	92.8%	98.0%	84.0%
Sri Lanka	Dodangoda	2000	84.2%	94.2%	68.1%
Sri Lanka	Dodangoda	2017	93.0%	97.9%	83.9%
Sri Lanka	Doluwa	2000	72.4%	87.3%	49.6%
Sri Lanka	Doluwa	2017	85.7%	94.7%	69.0%
Sri Lanka	Dompe	2000	80.2%	92.0%	61.5%
Sri Lanka	Dompe	2017	91.1%	96.9%	80.0%
Sri Lanka	Eheliyagoda	2000	76.0%	89.7%	58.9%
Sri Lanka	Eheliyagoda	2017	88.2%	96.1%	76.9%
Sri Lanka	Ehetuwewa	2000	87.4%	96.1%	74.9%
Sri Lanka	Ehetuwewa	2017	94.6%	98.6%	87.5%
Sri Lanka	Elahera	2000	84.5%	94.1%	71.9%
Sri Lanka	Elahera	2017	93.1%	97.8%	85.1%
Sri Lanka	Elapatha	2000	71.9%	87.6%	47.9%
Sri Lanka	Elapatha	2017	86.4%	95.2%	68.6%
Sri Lanka	Ella	2000	56.2%	77.3%	30.4%
Sri Lanka	Ella	2017	74.2%	88.5%	50.2%
Sri Lanka	Elpitiya	2000	75.2%	89.3%	55.8%
Sri Lanka	Elpitiya	2017	88.1%	96.0%	74.7%
Sri Lanka	Embilipitiya	2000	83.7%	93.2%	70.3%
Sri Lanka	Embilipitiya	2017	92.8%	97.3%	85.1%
Sri Lanka	Eragama	2000	88.5%	97.8%	73.3%
Sri Lanka	Eragama	2017	95.5%	99.3%	87.5%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Pattu	2000	87.8%	96.6%	70.0%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Pattu	2017	94.8%	98.9%	85.9%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Town	2000	84.8%	97.5%	56.2%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Town	2017	93.2%	99.2%	75.8%
Sri Lanka	Galenbindunuwewa	2000	86.4%	94.9%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Galenbindunuwewa	2017	94.2%	98.0%	87.1%
Sri Lanka	Galewela	2000	82.5%	94.0%	63.2%
Sri Lanka	Galewela	2017	92.4%	98.0%	80.7%
Sri Lanka	Galgamuwa	2000	85.9%	95.2%	71.8%
Sri Lanka	Galgamuwa	2017	93.9%	98.4%	85.8%
Sri Lanka	Galigamuwa	2000	78.9%	89.8%	62.4%
Sri Lanka	Galigamuwa	2017	90.1%	96.0%	79.6%
Sri Lanka	Galle Four Gravets	2000	79.3%	94.6%	55.6%
Sri Lanka	Galle Four Gravets	2017	90.1%	97.9%	74.9%
Sri Lanka	Galnewa	2000	90.7%	97.8%	77.8%
Sri Lanka	Galnewa	2017	96.3%	99.3%	89.4%
Sri Lanka	Gampaha	2000	87.4%	95.4%	74.6%
Sri Lanka	Gampaha	2017	94.4%	98.2%	86.1%
Sri Lanka	Ganewatta	2000	83.8%	94.2%	65.7%
Sri Lanka	Ganewatta	2017	93.1%	98.0%	83.5%
Sri Lanka	Ganga Ihala Korale	2000	65.4%	85.9%	41.6%
Sri Lanka	Ganga Ihala Korale	2017	81.7%	94.2%	61.8%
Sri Lanka	Giribawa	2000	85.0%	95.2%	69.7%
Sri Lanka	Giribawa	2017	93.3%	98.3%	85.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Godakawela	2000	79.8%	88.8%	68.4%
Sri Lanka	Godakawela	2017	90.5%	95.3%	83.1%
Sri Lanka	Gomarankadawala	2000	87.5%	96.3%	72.3%
Sri Lanka	Gomarankadawala	2017	94.5%	98.8%	86.3%
Sri Lanka	Habaraduwa	2000	78.9%	92.3%	58.6%
Sri Lanka	Habaraduwa	2017	89.8%	96.7%	76.8%
Sri Lanka	Hakmana	2000	84.9%	96.7%	64.7%
Sri Lanka	Hakmana	2017	93.1%	98.9%	81.3%
Sri Lanka	Hali-Ela	2000	58.5%	71.5%	41.8%
Sri Lanka	Hali-Ela	2017	76.1%	85.0%	62.3%
Sri Lanka	Hambantota	2000	84.8%	93.3%	71.2%
Sri Lanka	Hambantota	2017	93.3%	97.3%	85.3%
Sri Lanka	Hanguranketha	2000	60.7%	82.1%	37.7%
Sri Lanka	Hanguranketha	2017	76.6%	90.7%	56.5%
Sri Lanka	Hanwella	2000	79.5%	92.6%	59.6%
Sri Lanka	Hanwella	2017	90.3%	97.2%	77.0%
Sri Lanka	Haputale	2000	44.6%	74.5%	21.7%
Sri Lanka	Haputale	2017	63.3%	86.6%	39.5%
Sri Lanka	Harispattuwa	2000	68.0%	89.5%	37.4%
Sri Lanka	Harispattuwa	2017	82.9%	96.0%	56.2%
Sri Lanka	Hatharaliyadda	2000	81.0%	93.6%	57.6%
Sri Lanka	Hatharaliyadda	2017	91.2%	97.6%	76.6%
Sri Lanka	Hikkaduwa	2000	80.0%	92.6%	59.6%
Sri Lanka	Hikkaduwa	2017	90.6%	97.2%	77.7%
Sri Lanka	Hildummulla	2000	58.2%	75.9%	41.5%
Sri Lanka	Hildummulla	2017	74.5%	87.4%	60.3%
Sri Lanka	Hingurakgoda	2000	85.9%	93.8%	75.6%
Sri Lanka	Hingurakgoda	2017	94.1%	97.7%	88.1%
Sri Lanka	Homagama	2000	81.9%	94.5%	57.0%
Sri Lanka	Homagama	2017	91.7%	98.1%	75.7%
Sri Lanka	Horana	2000	80.8%	94.6%	59.8%
Sri Lanka	Horana	2017	91.4%	98.2%	79.3%
Sri Lanka	Horowpothana	2000	86.2%	92.9%	75.7%
Sri Lanka	Horowpothana	2017	94.0%	97.3%	87.9%
Sri Lanka	Ibbagamuwa	2000	80.4%	91.8%	65.0%
Sri Lanka	Ibbagamuwa	2017	91.4%	97.0%	82.0%
Sri Lanka	Imaduwa	2000	79.6%	94.4%	58.1%
Sri Lanka	Imaduwa	2017	90.4%	98.0%	78.0%
Sri Lanka	Imbulpe	2000	63.2%	81.7%	41.9%
Sri Lanka	Imbulpe	2017	78.9%	91.3%	60.5%
Sri Lanka	Ingiriya	2000	79.0%	94.8%	53.1%
Sri Lanka	Ingiriya	2017	90.2%	98.1%	73.6%
Sri Lanka	Ipalogama	2000	90.7%	97.1%	79.4%
Sri Lanka	Ipalogama	2017	96.4%	99.0%	90.8%
Sri Lanka	Island South (Velanai)	2000	88.7%	95.8%	78.5%
Sri Lanka	Island South (Velanai)	2017	95.1%	98.5%	89.3%
Sri Lanka	Islands North (Kayts)	2000	87.4%	96.6%	74.8%
Sri Lanka	Islands North (Kayts)	2017	95.0%	99.0%	88.0%
Sri Lanka	Ja-Ela	2000	86.9%	96.6%	71.4%
Sri Lanka	Ja-Ela	2017	94.3%	98.7%	86.2%
Sri Lanka	Jaffna	2000	90.9%	98.7%	74.4%
Sri Lanka	Jaffna	2017	96.4%	99.6%	88.8%
Sri Lanka	K.F.G. & G. Korale	2000	66.9%	74.6%	57.9%
Sri Lanka	K.F.G. & G. Korale	2017	83.3%	88.6%	76.3%
Sri Lanka	Kaduwela	2000	91.6%	96.5%	83.3%
Sri Lanka	Kaduwela	2017	96.6%	98.8%	91.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Kahatagasdigiliya	2000	87.1%	95.8%	77.5%
Sri Lanka	Kahatagasdigiliya	2017	94.6%	98.5%	89.1%
Sri Lanka	Kahawatta	2000	76.9%	92.1%	52.8%
Sri Lanka	Kahawatta	2017	88.5%	97.0%	71.7%
Sri Lanka	Kalawana	2000	67.7%	85.0%	48.4%
Sri Lanka	Kalawana	2017	82.8%	93.7%	69.4%
Sri Lanka	Kalmunai	2000	88.0%	98.2%	65.6%
Sri Lanka	Kalmunai	2017	94.9%	99.5%	82.8%
Sri Lanka	Kalpitiya	2000	82.7%	93.2%	66.7%
Sri Lanka	Kalpitiya	2017	91.7%	97.4%	81.2%
Sri Lanka	Kalutara	2000	81.6%	93.8%	62.3%
Sri Lanka	Kalutara	2017	91.7%	97.7%	80.4%
Sri Lanka	Kamburupitiya	2000	80.0%	93.8%	57.9%
Sri Lanka	Kamburupitiya	2017	90.8%	97.7%	76.1%
Sri Lanka	Kandaketiya	2000	75.5%	91.2%	57.7%
Sri Lanka	Kandaketiya	2017	87.8%	96.5%	75.3%
Sri Lanka	Kandawali	2000	85.9%	94.5%	69.2%
Sri Lanka	Kandawali	2017	93.3%	97.9%	83.3%
Sri Lanka	Kantalai	2000	86.1%	95.9%	70.2%
Sri Lanka	Kantalai	2017	94.0%	98.7%	84.7%
Sri Lanka	Karachchi	2000	86.4%	94.9%	72.3%
Sri Lanka	Karachchi	2017	94.0%	98.2%	85.5%
Sri Lanka	Karandeniya	2000	77.9%	93.0%	54.3%
Sri Lanka	Karandeniya	2017	89.4%	97.5%	72.9%
Sri Lanka	Karativu	2000	86.5%	97.1%	70.2%
Sri Lanka	Karativu	2017	94.2%	99.0%	85.4%
Sri Lanka	Karuwalagaswewa	2000	85.5%	93.6%	75.3%
Sri Lanka	Karuwalagaswewa	2017	93.6%	97.5%	87.9%
Sri Lanka	Katana	2000	92.2%	97.2%	83.5%
Sri Lanka	Katana	2017	96.9%	99.0%	93.0%
Sri Lanka	Katharagama	2000	85.6%	96.4%	68.2%
Sri Lanka	Katharagama	2017	93.6%	98.6%	82.9%
Sri Lanka	Kattankudy	2000	85.0%	98.4%	52.8%
Sri Lanka	Kattankudy	2017	93.2%	99.5%	72.4%
Sri Lanka	Katupotha	2000	83.7%	92.7%	67.8%
Sri Lanka	Katupotha	2017	93.4%	97.7%	84.4%
Sri Lanka	Katuwana	2000	81.2%	93.1%	66.5%
Sri Lanka	Katuwana	2017	91.9%	97.4%	82.9%
Sri Lanka	Kebithigollewa	2000	88.7%	95.7%	78.6%
Sri Lanka	Kebithigollewa	2017	95.3%	98.5%	90.2%
Sri Lanka	Kegalle	2000	79.0%	90.5%	59.6%
Sri Lanka	Kegalle	2017	89.9%	95.9%	76.5%
Sri Lanka	Kekirawa	2000	88.0%	94.5%	79.1%
Sri Lanka	Kekirawa	2017	95.0%	98.0%	90.5%
Sri Lanka	Kelaniya	2000	89.1%	96.9%	73.9%
Sri Lanka	Kelaniya	2017	95.5%	99.0%	87.1%
Sri Lanka	Kesbewa	2000	84.0%	95.1%	66.0%
Sri Lanka	Kesbewa	2017	92.8%	98.3%	81.6%
Sri Lanka	Kinniya	2000	88.2%	97.3%	70.7%
Sri Lanka	Kinniya	2017	95.0%	99.1%	87.4%
Sri Lanka	Kiriella	2000	77.2%	91.3%	57.6%
Sri Lanka	Kiriella	2017	89.0%	96.6%	76.6%
Sri Lanka	Kirinda-Puhulwella	2000	84.9%	97.3%	64.2%
Sri Lanka	Kirinda-Puhulwella	2017	93.4%	99.1%	82.6%
Sri Lanka	Kobeigane	2000	85.6%	95.9%	72.0%
Sri Lanka	Kobeigane	2017	93.7%	98.6%	85.5%
Sri Lanka	Kolonna	2000	67.3%	85.6%	48.4%
Sri Lanka	Kolonna	2017	82.7%	93.8%	68.4%
Sri Lanka	Kolonnawa	2000	95.5%	98.8%	88.5%
Sri Lanka	Kolonnawa	2017	98.5%	99.6%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu (Valachchenai)	2000	87.4%	96.9%	70.1%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu (Valachchenai)	2017	94.7%	98.9%	85.2%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu North	2000	86.0%	94.3%	73.6%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu North	2017	93.9%	97.9%	87.9%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu West (Odd-amavadi)	2000	86.4%	96.0%	72.5%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu West (Odd-amavadi)	2017	94.1%	98.5%	86.3%
Sri Lanka	Kotapola	2000	72.1%	89.8%	48.0%
Sri Lanka	Kotapola	2017	86.0%	96.2%	69.4%
Sri Lanka	Kotavehera	2000	86.0%	94.7%	69.9%
Sri Lanka	Kotavehera	2017	93.9%	98.1%	85.4%
Sri Lanka	Kothmale	2000	53.3%	72.8%	33.3%
Sri Lanka	Kothmale	2017	69.5%	85.1%	49.9%
Sri Lanka	Kuchchaveli	2000	85.6%	93.7%	70.6%
Sri Lanka	Kuchchaveli	2017	93.6%	97.7%	84.9%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya East	2000	84.4%	93.7%	68.7%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya East	2017	93.6%	98.0%	85.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya West	2000	84.7%	91.4%	74.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya West	2017	93.2%	96.7%	87.2%
Sri Lanka	Kundasale	2000	71.1%	82.7%	56.0%
Sri Lanka	Kundasale	2017	85.9%	92.8%	74.9%
Sri Lanka	Kurunegala	2000	82.3%	92.9%	65.6%
Sri Lanka	Kurunegala	2017	91.9%	97.0%	83.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuruvita	2000	74.6%	87.0%	56.4%
Sri Lanka	Kuruvita	2017	87.5%	94.5%	75.3%
Sri Lanka	Laggala-Pallegama	2000	75.2%	87.6%	60.0%
Sri Lanka	Laggala-Pallegama	2017	86.8%	94.3%	76.2%
Sri Lanka	Lahugala	2000	85.0%	92.8%	76.9%
Sri Lanka	Lahugala	2017	93.4%	97.3%	87.7%
Sri Lanka	Lankapura	2000	85.9%	95.0%	71.1%
Sri Lanka	Lankapura	2017	94.2%	98.4%	87.5%
Sri Lanka	Lunugala	2000	65.4%	87.2%	40.8%
Sri Lanka	Lunugala	2017	81.1%	94.7%	61.4%
Sri Lanka	Lunugamvehera	2000	88.3%	94.2%	80.7%
Sri Lanka	Lunugamvehera	2017	95.0%	97.9%	90.9%
Sri Lanka	Madampe	2000	90.2%	96.5%	79.0%
Sri Lanka	Madampe	2017	95.9%	98.8%	89.6%
Sri Lanka	Madhu	2000	86.7%	93.2%	75.7%
Sri Lanka	Madhu	2017	94.1%	97.6%	87.4%
Sri Lanka	Madulla	2000	81.9%	91.4%	70.0%
Sri Lanka	Madulla	2017	91.7%	96.6%	84.9%
Sri Lanka	Madurawala	2000	79.7%	89.7%	64.2%
Sri Lanka	Madurawala	2017	91.0%	96.0%	81.3%
Sri Lanka	Maha Vialachchiya	2000	86.6%	95.1%	74.1%
Sri Lanka	Maha Vialachchiya	2017	94.3%	98.2%	88.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahakumbukkad	2000	87.6%	95.2%	78.6%
Sri Lanka	Mahakumbukkad	2017	94.8%	98.3%	90.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Mahaoya	2000	84.1%	93.5%	71.8%
Sri Lanka	Mahaoya	2017	93.0%	97.7%	85.4%
Sri Lanka	Mahara	2000	83.9%	94.0%	66.5%
Sri Lanka	Mahara	2017	92.7%	97.8%	82.3%
Sri Lanka	Maharagama	2000	90.5%	97.3%	76.5%
Sri Lanka	Maharagama	2017	96.1%	99.1%	89.8%
Sri Lanka	Mahawa	2000	85.1%	93.7%	71.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahawa	2017	93.5%	97.6%	85.8%
Sri Lanka	Mahawewa	2000	88.4%	95.9%	74.9%
Sri Lanka	Mahawewa	2017	95.0%	98.4%	87.3%
Sri Lanka	Mahiyanganaya	2000	80.2%	89.7%	68.2%
Sri Lanka	Mahiyanganaya	2017	90.9%	96.0%	83.8%
Sri Lanka	Malimbada	2000	77.7%	93.3%	52.7%
Sri Lanka	Malimbada	2017	89.4%	97.4%	72.7%
Sri Lanka	Mallawapitiya	2000	82.2%	91.7%	67.7%
Sri Lanka	Mallawapitiya	2017	92.1%	96.7%	83.4%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai	2000	84.8%	97.3%	58.4%
Sri Lanka	North Manmunai	2017	93.2%	99.1%	75.6%
Sri Lanka	North Manmunai	2000	87.9%	95.7%	75.0%
Sri Lanka	Pattu (Araipattai) Manmunai	2017	94.7%	98.4%	86.5%
Sri Lanka	Pattu (Araipattai) Manmunai	2000	87.1%	96.9%	71.0%
Sri Lanka	South and Eruvilpattu Manmunai	2017	94.6%	99.0%	85.3%
Sri Lanka	South and Eruvilpattu Manmunai	2000	87.6%	94.9%	76.3%
Sri Lanka	South-West Manmunai	2017	94.6%	98.1%	88.2%
Sri Lanka	South-West Manmunai	2000	87.0%	94.7%	74.4%
Sri Lanka	West Manmunai	2017	94.5%	98.1%	87.7%
Sri Lanka	Mannar Town	2000	86.5%	96.2%	69.9%
Sri Lanka	Mannar Town	2017	94.2%	98.7%	84.7%
Sri Lanka	Manthai East	2000	84.6%	93.4%	72.2%
Sri Lanka	Manthai East	2017	92.3%	96.9%	84.6%
Sri Lanka	Manthai West	2000	87.0%	93.8%	75.6%
Sri Lanka	Manthai West	2017	94.3%	97.8%	87.9%
Sri Lanka	Maritimepattu	2000	85.0%	93.6%	70.7%
Sri Lanka	Maritimepattu	2017	93.3%	97.5%	84.7%
Sri Lanka	Maspotha	2000	79.0%	92.1%	61.7%
Sri Lanka	Maspotha	2017	90.6%	97.0%	79.9%
Sri Lanka	Matale	2000	72.2%	89.9%	53.4%
Sri Lanka	Matale	2017	85.3%	96.0%	70.4%
Sri Lanka	Matara Four Gravets	2000	79.6%	94.4%	57.4%
Sri Lanka	Matara Four Gravets	2017	90.3%	97.8%	75.6%
Sri Lanka	Mathugama	2000	81.2%	95.5%	62.6%
Sri Lanka	Mathugama	2017	91.4%	98.5%	80.1%
Sri Lanka	Mawanella	2000	79.0%	91.6%	61.2%
Sri Lanka	Mawanella	2017	90.2%	97.1%	79.7%
Sri Lanka	Mawathagama	2000	81.9%	94.4%	62.6%
Sri Lanka	Mawathagama	2017	91.8%	98.0%	80.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Medadumbara	2000	66.3%	85.3%	42.7%
Sri Lanka	Medadumbara	2017	81.6%	93.8%	62.6%
Sri Lanka	Medagama	2000	81.2%	94.3%	64.0%
Sri Lanka	Medagama	2017	91.3%	97.9%	79.4%
Sri Lanka	Medawachchiya	2000	85.2%	93.8%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Medawachchiya	2017	93.5%	97.7%	87.0%
Sri Lanka	Medirigiriya	2000	85.5%	93.6%	74.5%
Sri Lanka	Medirigiriya	2017	93.7%	97.6%	86.7%
Sri Lanka	Meegahakivula	2000	74.1%	89.8%	46.1%
Sri Lanka	Meegahakivula	2017	86.6%	96.1%	65.8%
Sri Lanka	Mihinhale	2000	85.1%	93.0%	73.9%
Sri Lanka	Mihinhale	2017	93.4%	97.5%	86.8%
Sri Lanka	Millaniya	2000	84.0%	92.9%	71.8%
Sri Lanka	Millaniya	2017	93.1%	97.5%	85.3%
Sri Lanka	Minipe	2000	75.1%	89.2%	58.7%
Sri Lanka	Minipe	2017	87.4%	95.8%	73.9%
Sri Lanka	Minuwangoda	2000	88.9%	96.2%	76.2%
Sri Lanka	Minuwangoda	2017	95.3%	98.6%	87.6%
Sri Lanka	Mirigama	2000	80.9%	93.3%	62.2%
Sri Lanka	Mirigama	2017	91.4%	97.6%	80.4%
Sri Lanka	Moneragala	2000	82.4%	93.1%	65.1%
Sri Lanka	Moneragala	2017	92.1%	97.4%	81.9%
Sri Lanka	Moratuwa	2000	78.8%	93.4%	52.0%
Sri Lanka	Moratuwa	2017	89.8%	97.6%	73.2%
Sri Lanka	Morawewa	2000	85.6%	94.7%	73.8%
Sri Lanka	Morawewa	2017	93.6%	98.1%	85.9%
Sri Lanka	Mulatiyana	2000	79.9%	92.3%	60.5%
Sri Lanka	Mulatiyana	2017	91.0%	97.3%	79.9%
Sri Lanka	Mundalama	2000	88.0%	96.5%	73.3%
Sri Lanka	Mundalama	2017	95.1%	98.8%	88.6%
Sri Lanka	Musali	2000	87.6%	95.7%	73.8%
Sri Lanka	Musali	2017	94.8%	98.4%	86.8%
Sri Lanka	Muttur	2000	89.8%	97.6%	75.4%
Sri Lanka	Muttur	2017	95.9%	99.3%	89.2%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha Central	2000	86.3%	94.9%	74.0%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha Central	2017	94.2%	98.1%	87.3%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha East	2000	85.7%	95.6%	67.7%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha East	2017	93.9%	98.3%	84.6%
Sri Lanka	Nachchadoowa	2000	85.6%	95.2%	68.2%
Sri Lanka	Nachchadoowa	2017	93.8%	98.2%	84.3%
Sri Lanka	Nagoda	2000	81.3%	94.1%	66.6%
Sri Lanka	Nagoda	2017	91.5%	97.8%	82.2%
Sri Lanka	Nallur	2000	90.4%	98.1%	73.5%
Sri Lanka	Nallur	2017	96.2%	99.4%	88.0%
Sri Lanka	Nanaddan	2000	87.8%	95.1%	75.3%
Sri Lanka	Nanaddan	2017	94.6%	98.2%	87.6%
Sri Lanka	Narammala	2000	83.4%	94.9%	64.3%
Sri Lanka	Narammala	2017	92.5%	98.3%	81.1%
Sri Lanka	Nattandiya	2000	85.6%	95.8%	64.6%
Sri Lanka	Nattandiya	2017	93.5%	98.4%	80.7%
Sri Lanka	Naula	2000	80.5%	92.6%	61.7%
Sri Lanka	Naula	2017	91.0%	97.2%	79.8%
Sri Lanka	Navithanveli	2000	90.5%	98.3%	80.6%
Sri Lanka	Navithanveli	2017	96.5%	99.5%	91.8%
Sri Lanka	Nawagattegama	2000	85.7%	96.1%	71.5%
Sri Lanka	Nawagattegama	2017	93.7%	98.6%	85.1%
Sri Lanka	Negombo	2000	89.6%	96.8%	76.8%
Sri Lanka	Negombo	2017	95.7%	98.9%	88.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Neluwa	2000	76.9%	90.2%	56.5%
Sri Lanka	Neluwa	2017	88.9%	96.3%	76.1%
Sri Lanka	Nikaweratiya	2000	84.2%	95.4%	64.5%
Sri Lanka	Nikaweratiya	2017	93.1%	98.5%	82.0%
Sri Lanka	Ninthavur	2000	86.9%	98.4%	64.0%
Sri Lanka	Ninthavur	2017	94.4%	99.5%	81.9%
Sri Lanka	Nivithigala	2000	73.9%	89.3%	53.4%
Sri Lanka	Nivithigala	2017	87.3%	95.7%	73.6%
Sri Lanka	Niyagama	2000	78.6%	92.4%	57.9%
Sri Lanka	Niyagama	2017	90.0%	97.2%	76.4%
Sri Lanka	Nochchiyagama	2000	85.7%	92.2%	76.8%
Sri Lanka	Nochchiyagama	2017	94.1%	97.2%	89.4%
Sri Lanka	Nuwara Eliya	2000	37.4%	53.5%	22.6%
Sri Lanka	Nuwara Eliya	2017	53.0%	68.3%	38.0%
Sri Lanka	Oddusuddan	2000	88.1%	94.6%	75.6%
Sri Lanka	Oddusuddan	2017	94.4%	97.8%	88.0%
Sri Lanka	Okewela	2000	83.7%	93.7%	70.8%
Sri Lanka	Okewela	2017	93.2%	97.7%	85.9%
Sri Lanka	Opanayaka	2000	76.2%	93.1%	54.4%
Sri Lanka	Opanayaka	2017	87.3%	97.3%	71.5%
Sri Lanka	Pachchilaipalli	2000	84.5%	95.6%	68.5%
Sri Lanka	Pachchilaipalli	2017	93.0%	98.5%	82.3%
Sri Lanka	Padavi Sri Pura	2000	86.3%	95.6%	72.4%
Sri Lanka	Padavi Sri Pura	2017	93.9%	98.6%	86.0%
Sri Lanka	Padaviya	2000	86.7%	95.0%	75.1%
Sri Lanka	Padaviya	2017	94.6%	98.3%	88.3%
Sri Lanka	Padiyathalawa	2000	85.0%	95.0%	70.5%
Sri Lanka	Padiyathalawa	2017	93.3%	98.3%	85.7%
Sri Lanka	Padukka	2000	80.3%	95.0%	59.3%
Sri Lanka	Padukka	2017	90.8%	98.2%	77.9%
Sri Lanka	Palagala	2000	85.0%	95.4%	69.0%
Sri Lanka	Palagala	2017	93.3%	98.4%	83.5%
Sri Lanka	Palindanuwara	2000	75.8%	90.5%	56.7%
Sri Lanka	Palindanuwara	2017	88.0%	96.5%	75.5%
Sri Lanka	Pallama	2000	85.3%	95.1%	69.6%
Sri Lanka	Pallama	2017	93.5%	98.2%	84.5%
Sri Lanka	Pallepola	2000	77.8%	91.1%	56.5%
Sri Lanka	Pallepola	2017	89.1%	96.4%	74.2%
Sri Lanka	Palugaswewa	2000	86.4%	95.4%	71.9%
Sri Lanka	Palugaswewa	2017	94.2%	98.4%	86.2%
Sri Lanka	Panadura	2000	79.1%	94.1%	59.0%
Sri Lanka	Panadura	2017	90.1%	97.7%	77.4%
Sri Lanka	Panduwasnuwara	2000	85.7%	93.2%	73.5%
Sri Lanka	Panduwasnuwara	2017	93.7%	97.4%	88.0%
Sri Lanka	Pannala	2000	84.4%	92.7%	71.2%
Sri Lanka	Pannala	2017	93.2%	97.4%	86.0%
Sri Lanka	Panvila	2000	63.3%	86.1%	40.0%
Sri Lanka	Panvila	2017	80.0%	94.1%	62.1%
Sri Lanka	Pasbage Korale	2000	61.1%	82.5%	37.5%
Sri Lanka	Pasbage Korale	2017	78.2%	91.9%	57.6%
Sri Lanka	Pasgoda	2000	74.9%	91.3%	54.3%
Sri Lanka	Pasgoda	2017	87.7%	96.6%	72.4%
Sri Lanka	Passara	2000	64.5%	85.2%	42.1%
Sri Lanka	Passara	2017	80.4%	93.7%	61.0%
Sri Lanka	Pathadumbara	2000	67.7%	84.1%	47.8%
Sri Lanka	Pathadumbara	2017	83.8%	93.6%	68.5%
Sri Lanka	Pathahewaheta	2000	76.4%	88.3%	61.7%
Sri Lanka	Pathahewaheta	2017	89.0%	95.5%	79.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Pelmadulla	2000	72.3%	88.0%	53.9%
Sri Lanka	Pelmadulla	2017	85.8%	95.2%	71.2%
Sri Lanka	Pitabeddara	2000	79.1%	92.2%	60.0%
Sri Lanka	Pitabeddara	2017	90.1%	97.1%	77.0%
Sri Lanka	Polgahawela	2000	81.2%	95.1%	64.2%
Sri Lanka	Polgahawela	2017	91.3%	98.2%	81.4%
Sri Lanka	Polpithigama	2000	85.3%	94.4%	73.4%
Sri Lanka	Polpithigama	2017	93.6%	98.0%	86.8%
Sri Lanka	Poojapitiya	2000	71.9%	90.8%	44.8%
Sri Lanka	Poojapitiya	2017	85.8%	96.5%	65.7%
Sri Lanka	Poonakary	2000	87.5%	95.3%	76.9%
Sri Lanka	Poonakary	2017	94.6%	98.2%	89.1%
Sri Lanka	Porativu	2000	87.6%	96.5%	73.3%
Sri Lanka	Pattu				
Sri Lanka	Porativu	2017	94.6%	98.7%	86.7%
Sri Lanka	Pothuvil	2000	85.6%	96.0%	66.8%
Sri Lanka	Pothuvil	2017	93.7%	98.7%	81.3%
Sri Lanka	Puthukudiyiruppu	2000	86.3%	94.6%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Puthukudiyiruppu	2017	93.5%	97.7%	86.6%
Sri Lanka	Puttalam	2000	86.6%	95.1%	70.3%
Sri Lanka	Puttalam	2017	94.3%	98.2%	84.2%
Sri Lanka	Rajanganaya	2000	86.6%	96.1%	71.3%
Sri Lanka	Rajanganaya	2017	94.3%	98.7%	86.6%
Sri Lanka	Rambewa	2000	87.2%	94.4%	75.9%
Sri Lanka	Rambewa	2017	94.5%	98.0%	87.9%
Sri Lanka	Rambukkana	2000	82.8%	93.3%	64.7%
Sri Lanka	Rambukkana	2017	92.1%	97.4%	81.0%
Sri Lanka	Rasnayakapura	2000	85.1%	95.1%	67.8%
Sri Lanka	Rasnayakapura	2017	93.4%	98.2%	83.5%
Sri Lanka	Ratnapura	2000	64.0%	77.5%	50.1%
Sri Lanka	Ratnapura	2017	80.9%	89.2%	70.0%
Sri Lanka	Rattota	2000	73.2%	91.7%	49.9%
Sri Lanka	Rattota	2017	86.5%	96.8%	68.9%
Sri Lanka	Rideegama	2000	79.5%	90.6%	62.0%
Sri Lanka	Rideegama	2017	90.7%	96.4%	80.8%
Sri Lanka	Rideemaliyadda	2000	78.3%	88.1%	67.1%
Sri Lanka	Rideemaliyadda	2017	89.9%	94.8%	82.9%
Sri Lanka	Ruwanwella	2000	77.2%	91.1%	57.0%
Sri Lanka	Ruwanwella	2017	89.0%	96.5%	75.6%
Sri Lanka	Sainthamarathu	2000	87.3%	97.8%	72.0%
Sri Lanka	Sainthamarathu	2017	94.5%	99.3%	85.8%
Sri Lanka	Samanthurai	2000	91.0%	97.4%	80.3%
Sri Lanka	Samanthurai	2017	96.4%	99.2%	91.3%
Sri Lanka	Seruvila	2000	89.3%	96.2%	75.5%
Sri Lanka	Seruvila	2017	95.6%	98.7%	88.0%
Sri Lanka	Sevanagala	2000	81.5%	92.7%	62.1%
Sri Lanka	Sevanagala	2017	91.4%	97.2%	79.4%
Sri Lanka	Siyambalanduwa	2000	82.7%	91.3%	71.4%
Sri Lanka	Siyambalanduwa	2017	92.1%	96.7%	85.4%
Sri Lanka	Sooriyawewa	2000	82.3%	92.9%	65.9%
Sri Lanka	Sooriyawewa	2017	92.1%	97.4%	81.9%
Sri Lanka	Soranathota	2000	67.2%	87.3%	44.9%
Sri Lanka	Soranathota	2017	82.2%	94.7%	64.0%
Sri Lanka	Sri Jayawar-	2000	96.0%	98.3%	92.1%
	danapura				
Sri Lanka	Kotte				
Sri Lanka	Sri Jayawar-	2017	98.6%	99.5%	96.9%
	danapura				
Sri Lanka	Kotte				
Sri Lanka	Tangalle	2000	85.7%	95.2%	71.2%
Sri Lanka	Tangalle	2017	93.8%	98.2%	85.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Thalawa	2000	86.2%	93.9%	74.7%
Sri Lanka	Thalawa	2017	94.3%	98.0%	87.6%
Sri Lanka	Thamankaduwa	2000	82.3%	90.7%	71.4%
Sri Lanka	Thamankaduwa	2017	92.1%	96.3%	86.1%
Sri Lanka	Thambuttegama	2000	88.2%	96.4%	73.3%
Sri Lanka	Thambuttegama	2017	95.1%	98.9%	86.9%
Sri Lanka	Thampalakamam	2000	84.6%	95.6%	62.6%
Sri Lanka	Thampalakamam	2017	93.1%	98.3%	81.1%
Sri Lanka	Thanamalvila	2000	81.4%	88.1%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Thanamalvila	2017	91.7%	95.3%	86.9%
Sri Lanka	Thawalama	2000	79.1%	91.1%	62.8%
Sri Lanka	Thawalama	2017	90.2%	96.6%	80.2%
Sri Lanka	Thenmaradchy (Chavakachcheri)	2000	88.1%	96.5%	72.6%
Sri Lanka	Thenmaradchy (Chavakachcheri)	2017	95.2%	98.8%	87.1%
Sri Lanka	Thihagoda	2000	81.2%	95.3%	60.3%
Sri Lanka	Thihagoda	2017	91.2%	98.3%	78.3%
Sri Lanka	Thimbirigasyaya	2000	91.7%	97.4%	80.9%
Sri Lanka	Thimbirigasyaya	2017	96.9%	99.2%	91.6%
Sri Lanka	Thirappane	2000	85.2%	94.6%	71.2%
Sri Lanka	Thirappane	2017	93.6%	98.1%	85.5%
Sri Lanka	Thirukkovil	2000	89.4%	97.1%	74.7%
Sri Lanka	Thirukkovil	2017	95.7%	99.1%	88.5%
Sri Lanka	Thissamaharama	2000	87.9%	95.2%	77.8%
Sri Lanka	Thissamaharama	2017	94.8%	98.3%	89.4%
Sri Lanka	Thumpane	2000	79.5%	94.0%	57.8%
Sri Lanka	Thumpane	2017	90.2%	97.7%	75.2%
Sri Lanka	Thunukkai	2000	84.0%	91.0%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Thunukkai	2017	92.3%	96.0%	86.8%
Sri Lanka	Trincomalee Town and Gravets	2000	85.3%	95.3%	67.7%
Sri Lanka	Trincomalee Town and Gravets	2017	93.5%	98.4%	83.3%
Sri Lanka	Udadumbara	2000	61.1%	83.7%	40.1%
Sri Lanka	Udadumbara	2017	77.3%	92.8%	58.2%
Sri Lanka	Udawalata	2000	68.6%	87.9%	46.6%
Sri Lanka	Udawalata	2017	83.4%	95.0%	67.0%
Sri Lanka	Udubaddawa	2000	86.8%	95.5%	74.4%
Sri Lanka	Udubaddawa	2017	94.2%	98.4%	87.1%
Sri Lanka	Udunuwara	2000	72.6%	90.8%	52.6%
Sri Lanka	Udunuwara	2017	86.1%	96.5%	71.8%
Sri Lanka	Uhana	2000	87.6%	94.4%	76.2%
Sri Lanka	Uhana	2017	94.7%	98.0%	88.2%
Sri Lanka	Ukuwela	2000	70.5%	88.7%	44.1%
Sri Lanka	Ukuwela	2017	85.0%	95.2%	64.5%
Sri Lanka	Uva Paranagama	2000	53.6%	75.0%	32.4%
Sri Lanka	Uva Paranagama	2017	71.5%	87.3%	50.7%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchi South-West	2000	87.0%	96.9%	67.9%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchi South-West	2017	94.4%	99.0%	84.3%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy East	2000	85.9%	94.0%	72.8%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy East	2017	93.8%	97.9%	87.2%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy North	2000	87.4%	96.8%	68.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy North	2017	94.6%	98.9%	84.7%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam East	2000	89.5%	94.7%	80.4%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam East	2017	95.8%	98.1%	91.1%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam North	2000	87.3%	96.9%	64.8%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam North	2017	94.5%	99.0%	81.7%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South	2000	87.9%	96.4%	72.0%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South	2017	95.0%	98.7%	86.3%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South-West	2000	88.6%	97.1%	74.1%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South-West	2017	95.2%	99.0%	87.9%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam West	2000	88.3%	97.0%	72.2%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam West	2017	95.1%	99.0%	86.9%
Sri Lanka	Vanathavilluwa	2000	85.4%	94.3%	69.8%
Sri Lanka	Vanathavilluwa	2017	93.5%	98.1%	84.2%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya	2000	85.6%	92.7%	71.3%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya	2017	93.4%	97.1%	85.1%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya North	2000	86.6%	93.7%	77.9%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya North	2017	94.4%	97.9%	89.2%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya South	2000	84.5%	96.9%	60.4%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya South	2017	92.8%	98.8%	77.6%
Sri Lanka	Vengalcheddikulam	2000	87.3%	96.5%	72.0%
Sri Lanka	Vengalcheddikulam	2017	94.6%	98.8%	85.1%
Sri Lanka	Verugal	2000	87.7%	96.3%	74.6%
Sri Lanka	Verugal	2017	94.6%	98.8%	87.6%
Sri Lanka	Walallawita	2000	77.5%	91.7%	57.0%
Sri Lanka	Walallawita	2017	89.3%	97.1%	75.8%
Sri Lanka	Walapane	2000	56.3%	77.7%	35.7%
Sri Lanka	Walapane	2017	72.3%	88.5%	52.1%
Sri Lanka	Warakapola	2000	79.0%	92.1%	61.7%
Sri Lanka	Warakapola	2017	90.1%	97.1%	79.4%
Sri Lanka	Wariyapola	2000	84.5%	93.9%	69.1%
Sri Lanka	Wariyapola	2017	93.1%	97.8%	84.9%
Sri Lanka	Wattala	2000	83.8%	94.9%	65.4%
Sri Lanka	Wattala	2017	92.7%	98.3%	82.0%
Sri Lanka	Weeraketiya	2000	87.9%	93.9%	79.7%
Sri Lanka	Weeraketiya	2017	95.1%	97.8%	90.8%
Sri Lanka	Weerambugedara	2000	82.0%	94.7%	62.3%
Sri Lanka	Weerambugedara	2017	91.6%	97.9%	79.4%
Sri Lanka	Weligama	2000	80.4%	93.1%	58.0%
Sri Lanka	Weligama	2017	91.0%	97.4%	77.5%
Sri Lanka	Weligepola	2000	75.6%	86.9%	59.2%
Sri Lanka	Weligepola	2017	88.3%	94.7%	77.4%
Sri Lanka	Welikanda	2000	85.1%	93.2%	73.4%
Sri Lanka	Welikanda	2017	93.4%	97.6%	86.6%
Sri Lanka	Welimada	2000	46.7%	69.5%	22.7%
Sri Lanka	Welimada	2017	64.7%	84.2%	39.3%
Sri Lanka	Welipitiya	2000	79.4%	94.0%	56.4%
Sri Lanka	Welipitiya	2017	90.5%	97.7%	75.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Welivitiya-Divithura	2000	70.2%	83.4%	54.0%
Sri Lanka	Welivitiya-Divithura	2017	85.7%	93.4%	72.8%
Sri Lanka	Wellawaya	2000	81.4%	90.5%	67.3%
Sri Lanka	Wellawaya	2017	91.7%	96.6%	84.8%
Sri Lanka	Wennappuwa	2000	86.6%	96.5%	67.1%
Sri Lanka	Wennappuwa	2017	94.2%	98.8%	82.7%
Sri Lanka	Wilgamuwa	2000	84.7%	94.9%	66.5%
Sri Lanka	Wilgamuwa	2017	93.2%	98.2%	83.2%
Sri Lanka	Yakkalamulla	2000	79.8%	92.9%	57.6%
Sri Lanka	Yakkalamulla	2017	90.5%	97.4%	75.9%
Sri Lanka	Yatawatta	2000	73.8%	90.4%	52.6%
Sri Lanka	Yatawatta	2017	86.3%	95.8%	70.6%
Sri Lanka	Yatinuwara	2000	68.1%	85.9%	43.3%
Sri Lanka	Yatinuwara	2017	83.4%	94.4%	65.2%
Sri Lanka	Yatyanthota	2000	70.0%	86.1%	50.6%
Sri Lanka	Yatyanthota	2017	84.4%	94.3%	70.2%
Thailand	Akat Amnuai	2000	92.1%	93.8%	90.4%
Thailand	Akat Amnuai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Amphawa	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Thailand	Amphawa	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Amphoe Muang Ya-sothon	2000	92.1%	93.7%	90.3%
Thailand	Amphoe Muang Ya-sothon	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Amphoe Sai Mun	2000	91.7%	93.3%	89.8%
Thailand	Amphoe Sai Mun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Ao Luk	2000	74.7%	77.9%	71.4%
Thailand	Ao Luk	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.5%
Thailand	Aranyaprathet	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Thailand	Aranyaprathet	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	At Samat	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.4%
Thailand	At Samat	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Bacho	2000	74.4%	77.2%	71.4%
Thailand	Bacho	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.4%
Thailand	Bamnet Narong	2000	91.6%	93.2%	89.2%
Thailand	Bamnet Narong	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Ban Bung	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Thailand	Ban Bung	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Chang	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Thailand	Ban Chang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Dan Lan Hoi	2000	91.1%	92.9%	89.1%
Thailand	Ban Dan Lan Hoi	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Ban Dung	2000	92.1%	93.9%	90.0%
Thailand	Ban Dung	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Ban Fang	2000	91.6%	93.1%	89.6%
Thailand	Ban Fang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Ban Hong	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.4%
Thailand	Ban Hong	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Ban Khai	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Ban Khai	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Khok	2000	89.9%	92.3%	87.3%
Thailand	Ban Khok	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Ban Khwao	2000	91.6%	93.3%	89.4%
Thailand	Ban Khwao	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Ban Kruat	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.4%
Thailand	Ban Kruat	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Ban Laem	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Ban Laem	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Lat	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Ban Lat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Ban Luam	2000	91.6%	93.1%	89.9%
Thailand	Ban Luam	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Ban Luang	2000	90.7%	92.8%	88.2%
Thailand	Ban Luang	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Ban Mai Chai Pho	2000	92.0%	93.2%	90.2%
Thailand	Ban Mai Chai Pho	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Ban Mi	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Thailand	Ban Mi	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Ban Mo	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Ban Mo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Ban Muang	2000	91.6%	93.4%	89.2%
Thailand	Ban Muang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Ban Na	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Ban Na	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Ban Na Doem	2000	75.8%	79.0%	72.6%
Thailand	Ban Na Doem	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.9%
Thailand	Ban Na San	2000	74.4%	77.5%	71.0%
Thailand	Ban Na San	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.5%
Thailand	Ban Phaeng	2000	92.5%	94.5%	90.1%
Thailand	Ban Phaeng	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Ban Phaeo	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Ban Phaeo	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Phai	2000	91.9%	93.4%	89.9%
Thailand	Ban Phai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Ban Pho	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Ban Pho	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Phraek	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Thailand	Ban Phraek	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Thailand	Ban Phu	2000	92.3%	93.9%	89.9%
Thailand	Ban Phu	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Ban Pong	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Ban Pong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Rai	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.3%
Thailand	Ban Rai	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Ban Sang	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Ban Sang	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Ta Khun	2000	69.8%	73.1%	66.0%
Thailand	Ban Ta Khun	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.9%
Thailand	Ban Tak	2000	91.4%	93.4%	89.0%
Thailand	Ban Tak	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	Ban Thaen	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.0%
Thailand	Ban Thaen	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Ban Thi	2000	91.9%	93.2%	90.4%
Thailand	Ban Thi	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Bang Ban	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Bang Ban	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Bo	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Bang Bo	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Bon	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Bon	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Bua Thong	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Bang Bua Thong	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Kaeo	2000	76.4%	79.2%	73.7%
Thailand	Bang Kaeo	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.1%
Thailand	Bang Kapi	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Kapi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Khae	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Khae	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Khan	2000	70.1%	73.3%	66.5%
Thailand	Bang Khan	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.4%
Thailand	Bang Khen	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Khen	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Khla	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Bang Khla	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Kho Laem	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Bang Kho Laem	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Khon Ti	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Bang Khon Ti	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Bang Klam	2000	75.8%	78.0%	73.0%
Thailand	Bang Klam	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Thailand	Bang Krathum	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.6%
Thailand	Bang Krathum	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Kruai	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Bang Kruai	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Lamung	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Thailand	Bang Lamung	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Len	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Bang Len	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Mun Nak	2000	92.6%	93.9%	91.0%
Thailand	Bang Mun Nak	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Na	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Na	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Nam Prieo	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Bang Nam Prieo	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Pa-In	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Bang Pa-In	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Pahan	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	Bang Pahan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Bang Pakong	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Bang Pakong	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Phae	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Bang Phae	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Pla Ma	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Bang Pla Ma	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Bang Plad	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Bang Plad	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Plee	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Plee	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Rachan	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Thailand	Bang Rachan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Bang Rak	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Rak	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Rakam	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.6%
Thailand	Bang Rakam	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Bang Sai	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Bang Sai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Saphan	2000	89.5%	92.5%	86.3%
Thailand	Bang Saphan	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Thailand	Bang Saphan Noi	2000	82.5%	86.6%	78.4%
Thailand	Bang Saphan Noi	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Thailand	Bang Su	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Su	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Yai	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Bang Yai	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bangkhuntien	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Bangkhuntien	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Bangkok Noi	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Bangkok Noi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Bangkok Yai	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Bangkok Yai	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Bannang Star	2000	70.0%	73.9%	66.3%
Thailand	Bannang Star	2017	94.2%	95.2%	93.1%
Thailand	Banphot Phisai	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.4%
Thailand	Banphot Phisai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Batong	2000	74.6%	81.2%	68.2%
Thailand	Batong	2017	95.4%	96.8%	93.6%
Thailand	Benchalak	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.6%
Thailand	Benchalak	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Bo Klue	2000	88.3%	91.8%	84.6%
Thailand	Bo Klue	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Thailand	Bo Phloi	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.8%
Thailand	Bo Phloi	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Bo Rai	2000	97.0%	98.2%	95.6%
Thailand	Bo Rai	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Bo Thong	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Thailand	Bo Thong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Borabu	2000	91.3%	92.8%	89.6%
Thailand	Borabu	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Bua Chet	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.3%
Thailand	Bua Chet	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Bua Yai	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.0%
Thailand	Bua Yai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Buang Sam Phan	2000	92.7%	94.3%	91.1%
Thailand	Buang Sam Phan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Bung Bun	2000	91.6%	93.3%	89.7%
Thailand	Bung Bun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Bung Kan	2000	92.1%	94.3%	89.2%
Thailand	Bung Kan	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Bung Khong Long	2000	92.1%	94.1%	89.4%
Thailand	Bung Khong Long	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Bung Kum	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Bung Kum	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Buntharik	2000	91.3%	93.7%	88.5%
Thailand	Buntharik	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Thailand	Cha-Am	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.1%
Thailand	Cha-Am	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Cha-uat	2000	75.4%	78.0%	72.9%
Thailand	Cha-uat	2017	95.5%	96.1%	94.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Chae Hom	2000	90.8%	92.6%	88.8%
Thailand	Chae Hom	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Chai Badan	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.4%
Thailand	Chai Badan	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Chai Prakarn	2000	92.1%	93.8%	89.9%
Thailand	Chai Prakarn	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Chai Wan	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.6%
Thailand	Chai Wan	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Chaiburi	2000	73.9%	76.9%	70.5%
Thailand	Chaiburi	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.4%
Thailand	Chaiya	2000	77.0%	79.9%	73.2%
Thailand	Chaiya	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Thailand	Chaiyo	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Thailand	Chaiyo	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Chakkarat	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
Thailand	Chakkarat	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.8%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	88.1%	91.8%	84.1%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	92.8%	94.4%	91.1%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	76.5%	79.2%	73.8%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	95.7%	96.4%	95.0%
Thailand	Cham Ni	2000	92.5%	93.9%	90.6%
Thailand	Cham Ni	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Chana	2000	77.3%	80.2%	74.6%
Thailand	Chana	2017	96.0%	96.5%	95.2%
Thailand	Changhan	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.3%
Thailand	Changhan	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Chanuman	2000	91.1%	93.3%	88.4%
Thailand	Chanuman	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Chareon Silp	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.8%
Thailand	Chareon Silp	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Chat Trakan	2000	89.5%	91.9%	86.8%
Thailand	Chat Trakan	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Chatturat	2000	91.6%	93.2%	89.3%
Thailand	Chatturat	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Chatuchak	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Chatuchak	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Chaturaphak Phim	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.4%
Thailand	Chaturaphak Phim	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Chawang	2000	72.8%	75.6%	69.9%
Thailand	Chawang	2017	94.8%	95.6%	94.0%
Thailand	Chian Yai	2000	75.1%	78.2%	72.4%
Thailand	Chian Yai	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.6%
Thailand	Chiang Dao	2000	91.4%	93.5%	89.3%
Thailand	Chiang Dao	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Chiang Kham	2000	91.9%	93.8%	90.0%
Thailand	Chiang Kham	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Chiang Khan	2000	91.1%	93.3%	88.5%
Thailand	Chiang Khan	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Chiang Khong	2000	90.9%	93.5%	88.5%
Thailand	Chiang Khong	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	Chiang Klang	2000	92.1%	94.0%	89.9%
Thailand	Chiang Klang	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Chiang Muan	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.2%
Thailand	Chiang Muan	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Chiang Saen	2000	90.3%	92.7%	87.4%
Thailand	Chiang Saen	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Chiang Yun	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.6%
Thailand	Chiang Yun	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Cho-I-rong	2000	73.2%	76.9%	70.3%
Thailand	Cho-I-rong	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Thailand	Chok Chai	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.8%
Thailand	Chok Chai	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Chom Bung	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.0%
Thailand	Chom Bung	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Chom Phra	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.6%
Thailand	Chom Phra	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.4%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Chon Daen	2000	91.9%	93.6%	90.0%
Thailand	Chon Daen	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Chonnabot	2000	91.8%	93.4%	89.9%
Thailand	Chonnabot	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Chulaphon	2000	74.7%	77.6%	71.8%
Thailand	Chulaphon	2017	95.4%	96.0%	94.6%
Thailand	Chum Phae	2000	91.9%	93.6%	90.1%
Thailand	Chum Phae	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Chum Phuang	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Thailand	Chum Phuang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Chumphon	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.6%
Thailand	Buri				
Thailand	Chumphon	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Chumsaeng	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.4%
Thailand	Chumsaeng	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Chun	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.6%
Thailand	Chun	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Damnoen Saduak	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Damnoen Saduak	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Dan Chang	2000	96.3%	97.2%	94.9%
Thailand	Dan Chang	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Dan Khun Thot	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.1%
Thailand	Dan Khun Thot	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Dan Makham Tia	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Thailand	Dan Makham Tia	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Dan Sai	2000	89.3%	91.5%	86.8%
Thailand	Dan Sai	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Den Chai	2000	90.5%	92.5%	88.0%
Thailand	Den Chai	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Det Udom	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.5%
Thailand	Det Udom	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Din Dang	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Din Dang	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Doembang Nangbua	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.3%
Thailand	Doembang Nangbua	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Doi Saket	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.3%
Thailand	Doi Saket	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Doi Tao	2000	90.8%	93.1%	88.4%
Thailand	Doi Tao	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Dok Kham Tai	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.6%
Thailand	Dok Kham Tai	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Don Chedi	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Thailand	Don Chedi	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Don Muang	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Don Muang	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Don Phut	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Thailand	Don Phut	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Thailand	Don Sak	2000	75.9%	79.1%	71.8%
Thailand	Don Sak	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.6%
Thailand	Don Tan	2000	91.5%	93.6%	89.0%
Thailand	Don Tan	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Don Tum	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Don Tum	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Dong Luang	2000	91.5%	93.5%	89.5%
Thailand	Dong Luang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Donmotdaeng	2000	92.5%	94.1%	90.7%
Thailand	Donmotdaeng	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Dusit	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Dusit	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Fak Tha	2000	90.3%	92.8%	87.6%
Thailand	Fak Tha	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Fang	2000	91.7%	93.6%	89.2%
Thailand	Fang	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	Han Kha	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Thailand	Han Kha	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Hang Chat	2000	90.8%	92.5%	89.2%
Thailand	Hang Chat	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Hang Dong	2000	92.3%	93.6%	90.9%
Thailand	Hang Dong	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Hat Yai	2000	75.7%	77.9%	73.1%
Thailand	Hat Yai	2017	95.6%	96.2%	95.0%
Thailand	Hot	2000	91.0%	93.2%	88.6%
Thailand	Hot	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	Hua Hin	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.5%
Thailand	Hua Hin	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Hua Sai	2000	75.4%	79.0%	72.4%
Thailand	Hua Sai	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.7%
Thailand	Hua Taphan	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.6%
Thailand	Hua Taphan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Huai Khot	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Thailand	Huai Khot	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Huai Kra Chao	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Thailand	Huai Kra Chao	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Huai Kwang	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Huai Kwang	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Huai Mek	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.4%
Thailand	Huai Mek	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Huai Phung	2000	92.0%	93.3%	90.4%
Thailand	Huai Phung	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Huai Rat	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.4%
Thailand	Huai Rat	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Huai Thalang	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.1%
Thailand	Huai Thalang	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Huai Thap Than	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Huai Thap Than	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Huai Yot	2000	74.1%	76.8%	70.9%
Thailand	Huai Yot	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.5%
Thailand	In Buri	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Thailand	In Buri	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Ja-Nae	2000	71.0%	75.3%	66.6%
Thailand	Ja-Nae	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.1%
Thailand	K. Ban Dan	2000	92.4%	93.6%	90.7%
Thailand	K. Ban Dan	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Ban Haet	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.2%
Thailand	K. Ban Haet	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Ban Kha	2000	96.8%	97.9%	95.5%
Thailand	K. Ban Kha	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Bang Sao Thon	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Bang Sao Thon	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	K. Bua Lai	2000	91.5%	92.9%	89.7%
Thailand	K. Bua Lai	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Bung Khla	2000	92.0%	94.3%	89.0%
Thailand	K. Bung Khla	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Bung Narang	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
Thailand	K. Bung Narang	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Bung Samakki	2000	92.1%	93.7%	90.5%
Thailand	K. Bung Samakki	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Chang Klang	2000	73.0%	75.9%	70.1%
Thailand	K. Chang Klang	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.1%
Thailand	K. Chiang Kwan	2000	92.7%	94.0%	91.3%
Thailand	K. Chiang Kwan	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	K. Chum Ta Bong	2000	93.3%	94.8%	91.7%
Thailand	K. Chum Ta Bong	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Chun Chom	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.3%
Thailand	K. Chun Chom	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Daen Kong	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.4%
Thailand	K. Daen Kong	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Doi Lo	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.3%
Thailand	K. Doi Lo	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Doi Luang	2000	91.2%	93.4%	89.0%
Thailand	K. Doi Luang	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	K. Don Chan	2000	92.2%	93.4%	90.7%
Thailand	K. Don Chan	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Dong Charoen	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.9%
Thailand	K. Dong Charoen	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Erawan	2000	90.6%	92.6%	88.4%
Thailand	K. Erawan	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	K. Fao Rai	2000	91.6%	93.6%	89.2%
Thailand	K. Fao Rai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Hat Samran	2000	75.8%	79.4%	71.9%
Thailand	K. Hat Samran	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.8%
Thailand	K. Kao Kichakut	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.9%
Thailand	K. Kao Kichakut	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Khao Chamao	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Thailand	K. Khao Chamao	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Khlong Khuan	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	K. Khlong Khuan	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	K. Khok Pho Cha	2000	91.8%	93.3%	89.6%
Thailand	K. Khok Pho Cha	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Ko Chan	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	K. Ko Chan	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	K. Ko Chang	2000	95.0%	96.8%	92.2%
Thailand	K. Ko Chang	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.8%
Thailand	K. Ko Kut	2000	91.8%	95.0%	86.8%
Thailand	K. Ko Kut	2017	98.7%	99.3%	97.9%
Thailand	K. Ko Sam Pi Nakhon	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.5%
Thailand	K. Ko Sam Pi Nakhon	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	K. Kok Sung	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Thailand	K. Kok Sung	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Kong Chai	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.4%
Thailand	K. Kong Chai	2017	99.0%	99.1%	98.7%
Thailand	K. Krong Pi Nung	2000	73.4%	76.4%	70.3%
Thailand	K. Krong Pi Nung	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%
Thailand	K. Ku Kaeo	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.7%
Thailand	K. Ku Kaeo	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Kut Rang	2000	91.3%	92.9%	89.4%
Thailand	K. Kut Rang	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Kwao Si Narin	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.5%
Thailand	K. Kwao Si Narin	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Lam Tha Men Chai	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Thailand	K. Lam Tha Men Chai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Lao Sua Kok	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.4%
Thailand	K. Lao Sua Kok	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Ma Nang	2000	72.8%	76.9%	68.3%
Thailand	K. Ma Nang	2017	94.9%	95.7%	93.8%
Thailand	K. Mae On	2000	89.5%	91.2%	87.2%
Thailand	K. Mae On	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	K. Mae Poen	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.4%
Thailand	K. Mae Poen	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Muang Yang	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Muang Yang	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Na Du	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.0%
Thailand	K. Na Du	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Na Tan	2000	91.9%	94.2%	89.1%
Thailand	K. Na Tan	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Na Yai Am	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Thailand	K. Na Yai Am	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Na Yia	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.7%
Thailand	K. Na Yia	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Nam Khun	2000	92.1%	94.1%	89.5%
Thailand	K. Nam Khun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Nikhom Pattan	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	K. Nikhom Pattan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Noen Kham	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.4%
Thailand	K. Noen Kham	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Non Narai	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Thailand	K. Non Narai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Non Sila	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.2%
Thailand	K. Non Sila	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Nong Hi	2000	91.8%	93.5%	90.2%
Thailand	K. Nong Hi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Nong Hin	2000	90.2%	92.5%	88.1%
Thailand	K. Nong Hin	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	K. Nong Ma Mong	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.1%
Thailand	K. Nong Ma Mong	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	K. Nong Na Kham	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.1%
Thailand	K. Nong Na Kham	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Nophi Tam	2000	68.0%	71.5%	64.4%
Thailand	K. Nophi Tam	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.4%
Thailand	K. Phanom Dong Rak	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.1%
Thailand	K. Phanom Dong Rak	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Pho Si Suwan	2000	91.6%	93.3%	89.7%
Thailand	K. Pho Si Suwan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Pho Tak	2000	92.9%	94.5%	90.6%
Thailand	K. Pho Tak	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Phra Thong Kham	2000	91.6%	93.1%	89.6%
Thailand	K. Phra Thong Kham	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Phu Kam Yao	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Thailand	K. Phu Kam Yao	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Phu Pieng	2000	92.9%	94.5%	90.8%
Thailand	K. Phu Pieng	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Phu Sang	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.4%
Thailand	K. Phu Sang	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	K. Prachak Silapakhom	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Prachak Silapakhom	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	K. Rattana Wapi	2000	91.6%	93.9%	88.9%
Thailand	K. Rattana Wapi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	K. Sa Khrai	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.6%
Thailand	K. Sa Khrai	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Sak Lek	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.7%
Thailand	K. Sak Lek	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Sam Chai	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.8%
Thailand	K. Sam Chai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Sam Roi Yot	2000	97.7%	98.6%	96.7%
Thailand	K. Sam Roi Yot	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Sam Sung	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.5%
Thailand	K. Sam Sung	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Sap Yai	2000	90.5%	92.5%	87.9%
Thailand	K. Sap Yai	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	K. Sawang Weeraw	2000	92.6%	94.1%	91.1%
Thailand	K. Sawang Weeraw	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Sida	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.2%
Thailand	K. Sida	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Sila Lat	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.9%
Thailand	K. Sila Lat	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Sri Nakarin	2000	72.4%	75.6%	69.2%
Thailand	K. Sri Nakarin	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.0%
Thailand	K. Sri Narong	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.7%
Thailand	K. Sri Narong	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Suk Samran	2000	80.9%	84.6%	76.3%
Thailand	K. Suk Samran	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.7%
Thailand	K. The Pha Rak	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.4%
Thailand	K. The Pha Rak	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Thung Kao Lua	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.7%
Thailand	K. Thung Kao Lua	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Wang Chao	2000	91.4%	93.2%	89.5%
Thailand	K. Wang Chao	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	K. Wang Sombun	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Thailand	K. Wang Sombun	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Wang Yang	2000	92.5%	94.5%	90.4%
Thailand	K. Wang Yang	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Wiang Nong Long	2000	92.7%	94.4%	90.9%
Thailand	K. Wiang Nong Long	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Wieng Chiang	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.7%
Thailand	K. Wieng Chiang	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Wipawadi	2000	70.7%	74.3%	66.6%
Thailand	K. Wipawadi	2017	94.2%	95.3%	93.0%
Thailand	Ka Bang	2000	70.7%	75.0%	66.1%
Thailand	Ka Bang	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.3%
Thailand	Ka Pho	2000	74.1%	77.1%	70.9%
Thailand	Ka Pho	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.3%
Thailand	Kabin Buri	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.5%
Thailand	Kabin Buri	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Kae Dam	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.5%
Thailand	Kae Dam	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Kaeng Khlo	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.2%
Thailand	Kaeng Khlo	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Kaeng Khoi	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.6%
Thailand	Kaeng Khoi	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Kaeng Krachan	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.2%
Thailand	Kaeng Krachan	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Kaeng Sanam Nang	2000	91.7%	93.3%	90.0%
Thailand	Kaeng Sanam Nang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kamalasai	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.6%
Thailand	Kamalasai	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Kamphaeng Saen	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Kamphaeng Saen	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Kanchanadit	2000	76.6%	79.6%	73.3%
Thailand	Kanchanadit	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.0%
Thailand	Kang Hang Maeo	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Thailand	Kang Hang Maeo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Kantharalak	2000	92.2%	94.0%	90.0%
Thailand	Kantharalak	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kanthararom	2000	92.3%	93.7%	90.7%
Thailand	Kanthararom	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Kantharawichai	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.5%
Thailand	Kantharawichai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Kantrang	2000	75.9%	79.1%	72.4%
Thailand	Kantrang	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Thailand	Kao Cha Kan	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Thailand	Kao Cha Kan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Kao Lio	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.2%
Thailand	Kao Lio	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kap Choeng	2000	92.8%	94.3%	90.8%
Thailand	Kap Choeng	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Kapoe	2000	79.0%	82.6%	74.7%
Thailand	Kapoe	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Thailand	Kapong	2000	75.0%	78.6%	71.1%
Thailand	Kapong	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Thailand	Kaset Sombon	2000	90.7%	92.5%	88.5%
Thailand	Kaset Sombon	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Kaset Wisai	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.4%
Thailand	Kaset Wisai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kathu	2000	77.0%	80.9%	73.4%
Thailand	Kathu	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.9%
Thailand	Khai Bang Rachan	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.7%
Thailand	Khai Bang Rachan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Kham Khuan Kaeo	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.9%
Thailand	Kham Khuan Kaeo	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kham Muang	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.4%
Thailand	Kham Muang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kham Sakae Saeng	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.1%
Thailand	Kham Sakae Saeng	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kham Ta Kla	2000	91.6%	93.4%	89.6%
Thailand	Kham Ta Kla	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Kham Thala So	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.6%
Thailand	Kham Thala So	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Khamcha-i	2000	91.7%	93.7%	89.6%
Thailand	Khamcha-i	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khan Na Yao	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Khan Na Yao	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Khanom	2000	74.0%	77.7%	70.2%
Thailand	Khanom	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Thailand	Khanu	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.3%
Thailand	Khanu Woralaksaburi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khao Chaison	2000	75.1%	78.0%	72.2%
Thailand	Khao Chaison	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.8%
Thailand	Khao Kho	2000	88.4%	90.5%	85.6%
Thailand	Khao Kho	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Thailand	Khao Phanom	2000	72.6%	75.4%	69.5%
Thailand	Khao Phanom	2017	94.8%	95.6%	94.0%
Thailand	Khao Saming	2000	97.4%	98.5%	96.2%
Thailand	Khao Saming	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Khao Suan Kwang	2000	91.8%	93.6%	90.0%
Thailand	Khao Suan Kwang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khao Wong	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.1%
Thailand	Khao Wong	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khao Yoi	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Thailand	Khao Yoi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Khemarat	2000	91.8%	93.9%	89.1%
Thailand	Khemarat	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Khian Sa	2000	74.7%	77.7%	71.4%
Thailand	Khian Sa	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.5%
Thailand	Khiri Mat	2000	91.6%	93.3%	89.7%
Thailand	Khiri Mat	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Khiri Ratthanikhom	2000	73.8%	76.6%	70.2%
Thailand	Khiri Ratthanikhom	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%
Thailand	Khlong Hat	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Thailand	Khlong Hat	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Khlong Hoi Kong	2000	75.3%	78.4%	71.7%
Thailand	Khlong Hoi Kong	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.7%
Thailand	Khlong Luang	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Khlong Luang	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Khlong Sam Wa	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Khlong Sam Wa	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Khlong San	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Khlong San	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Khlong Thom	2000	75.1%	78.0%	71.6%
Thailand	Khlong Thom	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.6%
Thailand	Khlong Toey	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Khlong Toey	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Khlong Yai	2000	91.0%	94.8%	85.4%
Thailand	Khlong Yai	2017	98.6%	99.3%	97.6%
Thailand	Khlung	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.6%
Thailand	Khlung	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Kho Wang	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.1%
Thailand	Kho Wang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khok Charoen	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%
Thailand	Khok Charoen	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	Khok Pho	2000	76.1%	79.0%	73.0%
Thailand	Khok Pho	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Thailand	Khok Sam-rong	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Thailand	Khok Sam-rong	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Khok Sri Supan	2000	92.4%	94.2%	90.6%
Thailand	Khok Sri Supan	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Khon Buri	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.5%
Thailand	Khon Buri	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	Khon San	2000	90.7%	92.5%	88.7%
Thailand	Khon San	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Khon Sawan	2000	91.6%	93.2%	89.7%
Thailand	Khon Sawan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khong	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.4%
Thailand	Khong	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Khong Chiam	2000	91.2%	93.5%	88.6%
Thailand	Khong Chiam	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Khu Muang	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.2%
Thailand	Khu Muang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khuan Don	2000	73.0%	77.6%	68.4%
Thailand	Khuan Don	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Thailand	Khuan Ka Long	2000	72.5%	76.7%	67.8%
Thailand	Khuan Ka Long	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.5%
Thailand	Khuan Khanun	2000	74.8%	77.5%	72.4%
Thailand	Khuan Khanun	2017	95.4%	96.0%	94.8%
Thailand	Khuan Niang	2000	77.1%	79.5%	74.3%
Thailand	Khuan Niang	2017	95.9%	96.5%	95.3%
Thailand	Khuang Nai	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.1%
Thailand	Khuang Nai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Khukhan	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.4%
Thailand	Khukhan	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Khun Han	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.1%
Thailand	Khun Han	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khun Tan	2000	91.6%	93.5%	89.5%
Thailand	Khun Tan	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Khun Yuam	2000	90.0%	93.0%	85.9%
Thailand	Khun Yuam	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Khura Buri	2000	81.3%	85.2%	76.6%
Thailand	Khura Buri	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Thailand	Klaeng	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	Klaeng	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Klong Khlung	2000	92.4%	94.0%	91.1%
Thailand	Klong Khlung	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Klong Lan	2000	90.6%	92.9%	88.8%
Thailand	Klong Lan	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Ko Kha	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.8%
Thailand	Ko Kha	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Ko Lanta	2000	75.3%	78.9%	70.9%
Thailand	Ko Lanta	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Thailand	Ko Phangan	2000	70.4%	76.4%	63.8%
Thailand	Ko Phangan	2017	94.3%	95.9%	92.5%
Thailand	Ko Samui	2000	75.7%	80.3%	70.6%
Thailand	Ko Samui	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Thailand	Ko Sichang	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Ko Sichang	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ko Yao	2000	75.9%	79.5%	72.7%
Thailand	Ko Yao	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Thailand	Kong Krailat	2000	92.8%	94.1%	91.0%
Thailand	Kong Krailat	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kong Ra	2000	72.2%	75.3%	68.5%
Thailand	Kong Ra	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Thailand	Kosum Phisai	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.3%
Thailand	Kosum Phisai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Kra Buri	2000	74.0%	77.9%	69.7%
Thailand	Kra Buri	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.8%
Thailand	Kranuan	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.8%
Thailand	Kranuan	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Krasae Sinthu	2000	74.7%	78.2%	71.3%
Thailand	Krasae Sinthu	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Thailand	Krasang	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.6%
Thailand	Krasang	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Krathum Baen	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Krathum Baen	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Krok Phra	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.3%
Thailand	Krok Phra	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Kuchinarai	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.4%
Thailand	Kuchinarai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Kui Buri	2000	97.6%	98.5%	96.6%
Thailand	Kui Buri	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Kumphawapi	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.8%
Thailand	Kumphawapi	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Kusuman	2000	92.6%	94.4%	90.5%
Thailand	Kusuman	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Kut Bak	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.8%
Thailand	Kut Bak	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Kut Chap	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.4%
Thailand	Kut Chap	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Kut Chum	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.6%
Thailand	Kut Chum	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Kut Khao Pun	2000	91.7%	93.6%	89.3%
Thailand	Kut Khao Pun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	La-Un	2000	75.4%	78.5%	70.8%
Thailand	La-Un	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.2%
Thailand	Laem Ngop	2000	97.4%	98.4%	96.0%
Thailand	Laem Ngop	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Laem Sing	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
Thailand	Laem Sing	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Lahan Sai	2000	93.4%	95.1%	91.7%
Thailand	Lahan Sai	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Lak Si	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Lak Si	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Lam Luk Ka	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Lam Luk Ka	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Lam Plai Mat	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.2%
Thailand	Lam Plai Mat	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Lam Son Thi	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.8%
Thailand	Lam Son Thi	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Lam Thap	2000	69.4%	72.9%	65.2%
Thailand	Lam Thap	2017	94.0%	94.9%	93.2%
Thailand	Lamae	2000	75.9%	79.1%	72.5%
Thailand	Lamae	2017	95.6%	96.3%	94.7%
Thailand	Lamduan	2000	92.7%	94.2%	91.0%
Thailand	Lamduan	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Lan Krabu	2000	91.7%	93.2%	89.8%
Thailand	Lan Krabu	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Lan Sak	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.7%
Thailand	Lan Sak	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Lan Saka	2000	70.4%	73.0%	67.5%
Thailand	Lan Saka	2017	94.2%	94.9%	93.4%
Thailand	Lang Suan	2000	76.2%	79.4%	72.8%
Thailand	Lang Suan	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.7%
Thailand	Langu	2000	73.9%	77.9%	68.6%
Thailand	Langu	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Thailand	Lao Khwan	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Thailand	Lao Khwan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Laplae	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.0%
Thailand	Laplae	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Lat Bua Luang	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Lat Bua Luang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Lat Krabang	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Lat Krabang	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Lat Lum Kaeo	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Lat Lum Kaeo	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Lat Phrao	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Lat Phrao	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Lat Yao	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.7%
Thailand	Lat Yao	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Li	2000	89.5%	91.7%	87.3%
Thailand	Li	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Loeng Nok Tha	2000	91.6%	93.5%	89.3%
Thailand	Loeng Nok Tha	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Lom Kao	2000	91.2%	93.0%	89.2%
Thailand	Lom Kao	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Lom Sak	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.5%
Thailand	Lom Sak	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Long	2000	90.5%	92.2%	88.3%
Thailand	Long	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Thailand	Lu Amnat	2000	92.1%	93.9%	90.2%
Thailand	Lu Amnat	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Mae Ai	2000	90.9%	92.9%	88.3%
Thailand	Mae Ai	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Mae Chaem	2000	89.8%	92.1%	86.5%
Thailand	Mae Chaem	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Mae Chai	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.1%
Thailand	Mae Chai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Mae Chan	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.0%
Thailand	Mae Chan	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Mae Charim	2000	90.2%	92.6%	87.2%
Thailand	Mae Charim	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Mae Fa Luang	2000	88.2%	90.9%	84.9%
Thailand	Mae Fa Luang	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Mae La Noi	2000	90.5%	93.3%	86.5%
Thailand	Mae La Noi	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Thailand	Mae Lan	2000	75.9%	78.9%	72.7%
Thailand	Mae Lan	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.1%
Thailand	Mae Lao	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.7%
Thailand	Mae Lao	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Mae Mo	2000	89.2%	91.3%	86.9%
Thailand	Mae Mo	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Mae Phrik	2000	90.9%	92.9%	88.5%
Thailand	Mae Phrik	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Mae Ramat	2000	92.2%	94.2%	89.7%
Thailand	Mae Ramat	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Thailand	Mae Rim	2000	92.5%	93.8%	90.9%
Thailand	Mae Rim	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Mae Sai	2000	90.1%	92.6%	86.9%
Thailand	Mae Sai	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Mae Sariang	2000	92.2%	94.7%	88.8%
Thailand	Mae Sariang	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
Thailand	Mae Sot	2000	93.2%	94.9%	91.2%
Thailand	Mae Sot	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Mae Suai	2000	91.6%	93.2%	89.5%
Thailand	Mae Suai	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Mae Taeng	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.6%
Thailand	Mae Taeng	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2000	89.7%	91.5%	87.8%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2000	90.1%	91.9%	88.2%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Mae Wang	2000	91.1%	92.9%	89.0%
Thailand	Mae Wang	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Mae Wong	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.8%
Thailand	Mae Wong	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Maha Chana Chai	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.0%
Thailand	Maha Chana Chai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Maha Rat	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Thailand	Maha Rat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Mai Kaen	2000	75.4%	78.4%	72.1%
Thailand	Mai Kaen	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Makham	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
Thailand	Makham	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Mancha Khiri	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.6%
Thailand	Mancha Khiri	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Manorom	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.7%
Thailand	Manorom	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Mayo	2000	74.9%	78.0%	71.8%
Thailand	Mayo	2017	95.4%	96.0%	94.7%
Thailand	Min Buri	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Min Buri	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Moei Wadi	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.1%
Thailand	Moei Wadi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muak Lek	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Thailand	Muak Lek	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Amnat Charoen	2000	91.6%	93.4%	89.5%
Thailand	Muang Amnat Charoen	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Muang Ang Thong	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Thailand	Muang Ang Thong	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Buri Ram	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.0%
Thailand	Muang Buri Ram	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Chachoengsao	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Chachoengsao	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Chai Nat	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.3%
Thailand	Muang Chai Nat	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Chaiyaphum	2000	91.9%	93.4%	89.9%
Thailand	Muang Chaiyaphum	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Chan	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.9%
Thailand	Muang Chan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Chanthaburi	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.4%
Thailand	Muang Chanthaburi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Mai	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Mai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Rai	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Rai	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Muang Chon Buri	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	Muang Chon Buri	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Chumphon	2000	78.1%	81.0%	74.5%
Thailand	Muang Chumphon	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.2%
Thailand	Muang Kalasin	2000	92.9%	94.0%	91.5%
Thailand	Muang Kalasin	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Thailand	Muang Kanchanaburi	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Thailand	Muang Kanchanaburi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Khon Kaen	2000	92.5%	93.9%	90.9%
Thailand	Muang Khon Kaen	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Krabi	2000	75.3%	78.4%	71.6%
Thailand	Muang Krabi	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Muang Lampang	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Lam-pang	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Muang Lam-phun	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.2%
Thailand	Muang Lam-phun	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Loei	2000	90.9%	92.8%	88.5%
Thailand	Muang Loei	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Lop Buri	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Thailand	Muang Lop Buri	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Mae Hong Son	2000	91.9%	94.4%	88.9%
Thailand	Muang Mae Hong Son	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.1%
Thailand	Muang Maha Sarakam	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.7%
Thailand	Muang Maha Sarakam	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Muk-dahan	2000	92.1%	94.2%	90.0%
Thailand	Muang Muk-dahan	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Nayok	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Nayok	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Pathom	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Pathom	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Phanom	2000	92.8%	94.9%	90.6%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Phanom	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Ratchasima	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.1%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Ratchasima	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Sawan	2000	93.4%	94.7%	91.8%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Sawan	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Si Thammarat	2000	76.4%	78.9%	73.9%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Si Thammarat	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.1%
Thailand	Muang Nan	2000	92.7%	94.4%	90.7%
Thailand	Muang Nan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Narathiwat	2000	75.1%	78.2%	71.9%
Thailand	Muang Narathiwat	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Muang Nong Bua Lam Phu	2000	91.5%	93.4%	89.7%
Thailand	Muang Nong Bua Lam Phu	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Nong Khai	2000	93.1%	94.7%	90.8%
Thailand	Muang Nong Khai	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Non-thaburi	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Muang Non-thaburi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Pathum Thani	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Muang Pathum Thani	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Pattani	2000	77.3%	80.4%	73.9%
Thailand	Muang Pattani	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.3%
Thailand	Muang Phangnga	2000	77.0%	79.9%	74.1%
Thailand	Muang Phangnga	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Thailand	Muang Phatthalung	2000	75.7%	78.4%	73.1%
Thailand	Muang Phatthalung	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Thailand	Muang Phayao	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.4%
Thailand	Muang Phayao	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Phetchabun	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.9%
Thailand	Muang Phetchabun	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Phetchaburi	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	Muang Phetchaburi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Phichit	2000	93.4%	94.5%	91.9%
Thailand	Muang Phichit	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Phitsanulok	2000	93.6%	94.8%	92.1%
Thailand	Muang Phitsanulok	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Phrae	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.3%
Thailand	Muang Phrae	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Muang Phuket	2000	78.6%	82.5%	75.3%
Thailand	Muang Phuket	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Thailand	Muang Prachin Buri	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Prachin Buri	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Prachuap Khiri Khan	2000	97.3%	98.3%	96.0%
Thailand	Muang Prachuap Khiri Khan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Ratanong	2000	83.8%	86.6%	80.0%
Thailand	Muang Ratanong	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.4%
Thailand	Muang Ratchaburi	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Muang Ratchaburi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Rayong	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Thailand	Muang Rayong	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Roi Et	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.4%
Thailand	Muang Roi Et	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Sa Kaeo	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Thailand	Muang Sa Kaeo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Sakon Nakhon	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.8%
Thailand	Muang Sakon Nakhon	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sakhon	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.3%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sakhon	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Samut Prakan	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Samut Prakan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sakhon	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sakhon	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Samut Songkhram	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Muang Samut Songkhram	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Saraburi	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Muang Saraburi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Satun	2000	73.8%	79.0%	68.4%
Thailand	Muang Satun	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.9%
Thailand	Muang Si Sa Ket	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.3%
Thailand	Muang Si Sa Ket	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Sing Buri	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Thailand	Muang Sing Buri	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Songkhla	2000	76.6%	79.1%	74.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Songkhla	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.0%
Thailand	Muang Suang	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Thailand	Muang Suang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Sukhothai	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.1%
Thailand	Muang Sukhothai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Suphanburi	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Muang Suphanburi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Surat Thani	2000	78.3%	81.1%	75.3%
Thailand	Muang Surat Thani	2017	96.2%	96.8%	95.4%
Thailand	Muang Surin	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.0%
Thailand	Muang Surin	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Tak	2000	91.3%	93.1%	89.3%
Thailand	Muang Tak	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Muang Trang	2000	76.3%	79.0%	73.3%
Thailand	Muang Trang	2017	95.8%	96.5%	95.1%
Thailand	Muang Trat	2000	97.0%	98.2%	95.4%
Thailand	Muang Trat	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Ubon Ratchatani	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.0%
Thailand	Muang Ubon Ratchatani	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Udon Thani	2000	92.9%	94.4%	91.3%
Thailand	Muang Udon Thani	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Uthai Thani	2000	95.2%	96.1%	93.9%
Thailand	Muang Uthai Thani	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Muang Utaradit	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.3%
Thailand	Muang Utaradit	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Yala	2000	76.2%	78.8%	73.3%
Thailand	Muang Yala	2017	95.7%	96.3%	94.9%
Thailand	Mueang Kamphaeng Phet	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.5%
Thailand	Mueang Kamphaeng Phet	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Mueang Pan	2000	89.8%	91.5%	87.5%
Thailand	Mueang Pan	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Na Bon	2000	73.9%	76.6%	70.8%
Thailand	Na Bon	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.4%
Thailand	Na Chaluai	2000	91.7%	94.0%	89.0%
Thailand	Na Chaluai	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Thailand	Na Chuak	2000	91.2%	92.7%	89.4%
Thailand	Na Chuak	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Na Di	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Thailand	Na Di	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Na Duang	2000	90.5%	92.6%	88.0%
Thailand	Na Duang	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Na Dun	2000	91.5%	93.0%	89.8%
Thailand	Na Dun	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Na Haeo	2000	88.6%	91.1%	85.6%
Thailand	Na Haeo	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Na Kae	2000	92.6%	94.5%	90.2%
Thailand	Na Kae	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Na Klang	2000	91.1%	93.2%	88.8%
Thailand	Na Klang	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Na Mom	2000	74.1%	76.7%	71.2%
Thailand	Na Mom	2017	95.3%	95.9%	94.5%
Thailand	Na Mon	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.5%
Thailand	Na Mon	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Na Mun	2000	89.5%	91.9%	87.0%
Thailand	Na Mun	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Na Noi	2000	90.6%	92.8%	88.3%
Thailand	Na Noi	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Na Pho	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.1%
Thailand	Na Pho	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Na Thawi	2000	73.9%	77.5%	70.1%
Thailand	Na Thawi	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Thailand	Na Thom	2000	92.0%	93.9%	89.7%
Thailand	Na Thom	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Na Wa	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.9%
Thailand	Na Wa	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Na Wang	2000	90.9%	93.0%	88.6%
Thailand	Na Wang	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Na Yong	2000	73.7%	76.5%	70.3%
Thailand	Na Yong	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.3%
Thailand	Na Yung	2000	92.1%	94.0%	89.4%
Thailand	Na Yung	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Nakhon Chaisi	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Nakhon Chaisi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Nakhon Luang	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Nakhon Luang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Nakhon Thai	2000	89.9%	91.9%	87.3%
Thailand	Nakhon Thai	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Nam Kliang	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.4%
Thailand	Nam Kliang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Nam Nao	2000	89.2%	91.7%	87.0%
Thailand	Nam Nao	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Nam Pat	2000	90.6%	92.7%	88.0%
Thailand	Nam Pat	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Nam Phong	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.5%
Thailand	Nam Phong	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Nam Som	2000	92.0%	93.9%	89.4%
Thailand	Nam Som	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Nam Yun	2000	91.6%	94.0%	88.6%
Thailand	Nam Yun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Nang Rong	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.5%
Thailand	Nang Rong	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Ngao	2000	91.1%	92.9%	89.2%
Thailand	Ngao	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Nikhom Kham Soi	2000	91.5%	93.7%	89.0%
Thailand	Nikhom Kham Soi	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Nikhom Nam Un	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.0%
Thailand	Nikhom Nam Un	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Noen Maprang	2000	91.7%	93.2%	89.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Noen Maprang	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Noen Sa-Nga	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.4%
Thailand	Noen Sa-Nga	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Non Daeng	2000	92.3%	93.6%	90.4%
Thailand	Non Daeng	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Non Din Daeng	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.7%
Thailand	Non Din Daeng	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Non Khun	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.3%
Thailand	Non Khun	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Non Sa-at	2000	92.0%	93.7%	90.2%
Thailand	Non Sa-at	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Non Sang	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.7%
Thailand	Non Sang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Non Sung	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Thailand	Non Sung	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Non Suwan	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.1%
Thailand	Non Suwan	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Non Thai	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
Thailand	Non Thai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Nong Bua	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.5%
Thailand	Nong Bua	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Nong Bua Daeng	2000	89.7%	92.0%	87.2%
Thailand	Nong Bua Daeng	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Nong Bua Rawae	2000	90.5%	92.7%	87.9%
Thailand	Nong Bua Rawae	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Nong Bunnak	2000	92.7%	94.2%	90.9%
Thailand	Nong Bunnak	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Nong Chang	2000	95.2%	96.1%	93.8%
Thailand	Nong Chang	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Nong Chik	2000	77.0%	80.2%	74.1%
Thailand	Nong Chik	2017	95.9%	96.5%	95.2%
Thailand	Nong Chok	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Nong Chok	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Nong Don	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Thailand	Nong Don	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Thailand	Nong Han	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.9%
Thailand	Nong Han	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Nong Hong	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.3%
Thailand	Nong Hong	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Nong Khae	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Nong Khae	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Nong Khayang	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.2%
Thailand	Nong Khayang	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Thailand	Nong Ki	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.8%
Thailand	Nong Ki	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Nong Kung Si	2000	91.8%	93.3%	90.3%
Thailand	Nong Kung Si	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Nong Muang	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Thailand	Nong Muang	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Nong Muang Kai	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.7%
Thailand	Nong Muang Kai	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Nong Phai	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.5%
Thailand	Nong Phai	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Nong Phok	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.4%
Thailand	Nong Phok	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Nong Prue	2000	97.1%	97.9%	95.9%
Thailand	Nong Prue	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Nong Rua	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.2%
Thailand	Nong Rua	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2000	91.5%	93.4%	89.4%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Nong Song Hong	2000	91.7%	93.0%	90.0%
Thailand	Nong Song Hong	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Nong Sua	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Nong Sua	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Nong Sung	2000	91.3%	93.4%	89.2%
Thailand	Nong Sung	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Nong Wua So	2000	91.5%	93.5%	89.6%
Thailand	Nong Wua So	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Nong Ya Plong	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.7%
Thailand	Nong Ya Plong	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Nong Ya Sai	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
Thailand	Nong Ya Sai	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Nong Yai	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Nong Yai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Nongkheam	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Nongkheam	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Nua Khlong	2000	76.5%	79.4%	73.2%
Thailand	Nua Khlong	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Thailand	Omkoï	2000	90.2%	92.7%	87.3%
Thailand	Omkoï	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Ongkharak	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Ongkharak	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Pa Bon	2000	75.6%	78.6%	72.5%
Thailand	Pa Bon	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.9%
Thailand	Pa Daet	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.6%
Thailand	Pa Daet	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Pa Kham	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.0%
Thailand	Pa Kham	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Pa Mok	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Thailand	Pa Mok	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Pa Payom	2000	73.2%	76.1%	70.4%
Thailand	Pa Payom	2017	95.1%	95.7%	94.3%
Thailand	Pa Sang	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Thailand	Pa Sang	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Pa Tiu	2000	91.7%	93.3%	89.7%
Thailand	Pa Tiu	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Pai	2000	90.5%	93.2%	88.1%
Thailand	Pai	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Thailand	Pak Chom	2000	91.6%	93.6%	89.0%
Thailand	Pak Chom	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Pak Chong	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Thailand	Pak Chong	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Pak Khat	2000	91.9%	94.1%	89.2%
Thailand	Pak Khat	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Pak Kret	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Pak Kret	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Pak Phanang	2000	75.9%	79.1%	73.2%
Thailand	Pak Phanang	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.9%
Thailand	Pak Phayun	2000	76.5%	79.1%	73.7%
Thailand	Pak Phayun	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.1%
Thailand	Pak Phli	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Pak Phli	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Pak Tho	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Thailand	Pak Tho	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Pak Thong	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.5%
Thailand	Pak Thong Chai	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Palian	2000	75.2%	78.4%	71.8%
Thailand	Palian	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.6%
Thailand	Panare	2000	73.3%	77.0%	69.2%
Thailand	Panare	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Thailand	Pang Ma Pha	2000	91.1%	94.0%	87.8%
Thailand	Pang Ma Pha	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.9%
Thailand	Pang Sila Thong	2000	91.7%	93.6%	90.0%
Thailand	Pang Sila Thong	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Panom Phrai	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.2%
Thailand	Panom Phrai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Pathiu	2000	79.4%	83.2%	75.0%
Thailand	Pathiu	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Thailand	Pathum Rat	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.1%
Thailand	Pathum Rat	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Pathum Rat-wongsa	2000	91.2%	93.3%	88.5%
Thailand	Pathum Rat-wongsa	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Pathum Wan	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Pathum Wan	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Pha Khao	2000	90.6%	92.6%	88.5%
Thailand	Pha Khao	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Phachi	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Phachi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Phaisali	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.1%
Thailand	Phaisali	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Phak Hai	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Thailand	Phak Hai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Phakdi	2000	89.4%	91.7%	86.5%
Thailand	Phakdi Chumphol	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Phan	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.5%
Thailand	Phan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phan Thong	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Phan Thong	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Phana	2000	92.3%	94.2%	90.3%
Thailand	Phana	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phanat Nikhom	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Phanat Nikhom	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Phang Khon	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.9%
Thailand	Phang Khon	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Phanna Nikhom	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.6%
Thailand	Phanna Nikhom	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Phanom	2000	70.1%	73.3%	66.3%
Thailand	Phanom	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.2%
Thailand	Phanom	2000	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	Sarakham				
Thailand	Phanom	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Sarakham				
Thailand	Phanom	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Thailand	Thuan				
Thailand	Phanom	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Thuan				
Thailand	Phasi	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Charoen				
Thailand	Phasi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Charoen				
Thailand	Phato	2000	71.8%	75.6%	67.6%
Thailand	Phato	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.5%
Thailand	Phatthana	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.5%
Thailand	Nikhom				
Thailand	Phatthana	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Nikhom				
Thailand	Phaya Mengrai	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.9%
Thailand	Phaya Mengrai	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Phaya Thai	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Phaya Thai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Phayakkhaphum	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.2%
Thailand	Phisai				
Thailand	Phayakkhaphum	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Phisai				
Thailand	Phayu	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.3%
Thailand	Phayu	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Phayuha	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.0%
Thailand	Khiri				
Thailand	Phayuha	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	Khiri				
Thailand	Phen	2000	92.6%	94.3%	90.8%
Thailand	Phen	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Phi Pun	2000	67.8%	71.6%	64.5%
Thailand	Phi Pun	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.5%
Thailand	Phibun	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.5%
Thailand	Mangsahan				
Thailand	Phibun	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Mangsahan				
Thailand	Phibun Rak	2000	92.6%	94.3%	90.8%
Thailand	Phibun Rak	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Phichai	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.7%
Thailand	Phichai	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Phimai	2000	92.4%	93.6%	90.5%
Thailand	Phimai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phlapphlachai	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.7%
Thailand	Phlapphlachai	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Pho Chai	2000	92.3%	93.7%	90.8%
Thailand	Pho Chai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Pho Prathap Chan	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.6%
Thailand	Pho Prathap Chan	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Pho Si	2000	91.6%	93.8%	88.6%
Thailand	Pho Si	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Pho Thale	2000	92.5%	93.8%	90.8%
Thailand	Pho Thale	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Pho Thong	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Thailand	Pho Thong	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Phon	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.1%
Thailand	Phon	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Phon Charoen	2000	91.7%	93.8%	88.9%
Thailand	Phon Charoen	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Phon Na Kaeo	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.6%
Thailand	Phon Na Kaeo	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Phon Phisai	2000	91.9%	93.9%	89.6%
Thailand	Phon Phisai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Phon Sai	2000	92.1%	93.7%	90.3%
Thailand	Phon Sai	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Phon Sawan	2000	92.9%	94.6%	90.7%
Thailand	Phon Sawan	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Phon Thong	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.4%
Thailand	Phon Thong	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phop Phra	2000	91.7%	93.9%	89.4%
Thailand	Phop Phra	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	Photharam	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Photharam	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon Si Ayutthaya	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon Si Ayutthaya	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Phra Phrom	2000	76.7%	79.4%	74.3%
Thailand	Phra Phrom	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.1%
Thailand	Phra Phutthabat	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Thailand	Phra Phutthabat	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Phra Pra Daeng	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Phra Pra Daeng	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Phra Samut Jadedee	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Phra Samut Jadedee	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Phra Yun	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.0%
Thailand	Phra Yun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phrai Bung	2000	92.1%	93.9%	90.2%
Thailand	Phrai Bung	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phran Kratai	2000	91.3%	93.1%	89.7%
Thailand	Phran Kratai	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.2%
Thailand	Phrao	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.5%
Thailand	Phrao	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Phrasat	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.0%
Thailand	Phrasat	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Phrom Buri	2000	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Thailand	Phrom Buri	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Phrom Phiram	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.5%
Thailand	Phrom Phiram	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phrommakhiri	2000	72.3%	75.0%	69.5%
Thailand	Phrommakhiri	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.8%
Thailand	Phu Khieo	2000	91.8%	93.3%	89.9%
Thailand	Phu Khieo	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Phu Kradung	2000	90.5%	92.7%	88.1%
Thailand	Phu Kradung	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Phu Luang	2000	90.0%	92.3%	87.8%
Thailand	Phu Luang	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Phu Phan	2000	91.0%	92.7%	89.0%
Thailand	Phu Phan	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Phu Rua	2000	89.0%	91.3%	86.4%
Thailand	Phu Rua	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Phu Sing	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.1%
Thailand	Phu Sing	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Phu Wiang	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.3%
Thailand	Phu Wiang	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Phunphin	2000	77.6%	80.2%	74.2%
Thailand	Phunphin	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Thailand	Phupa Man	2000	90.5%	92.6%	88.4%
Thailand	Phupa Man	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Phuttha Mon Thon	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Phuttha Mon Thon	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Phutthaisong	2000	92.0%	93.2%	90.4%
Thailand	Phutthaisong	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Pla Pak	2000	92.6%	94.6%	90.3%
Thailand	Pla Pak	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Plaeng Yao	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	Plaeng Yao	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Plai Phraya	2000	73.6%	76.5%	70.7%
Thailand	Plai Phraya	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.3%
Thailand	Pluak Daeng	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.6%
Thailand	Pluak Daeng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Pom Pram Sattru	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Pom Pram Sattru	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Pong	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.4%
Thailand	Pong	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Pong Nam Ron	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.0%
Thailand	Pong Nam Ron	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Pra Thai	2000	92.0%	93.2%	90.3%
Thailand	Pra Thai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Prachantakham	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Thailand	Prachantakham	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Prakanong	2000	98.9%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Prakanong	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Prakhon Chai	2000	92.9%	94.4%	91.3%
Thailand	Prakhon Chai	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Pran Buri	2000	97.9%	98.6%	96.9%
Thailand	Pran Buri	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Prang Ku	2000	92.1%	93.8%	90.3%
Thailand	Prang Ku	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Prasaeng	2000	74.6%	77.4%	71.6%
Thailand	Prasaeng	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.6%
Thailand	Prawet	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Prawet	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Pua	2000	91.4%	93.5%	89.0%
Thailand	Pua	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	Puai Noi	2000	91.4%	93.0%	89.5%
Thailand	Puai Noi	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Raman	2000	75.0%	77.3%	72.5%
Thailand	Raman	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.6%
Thailand	Rangae	2000	72.9%	76.3%	69.7%
Thailand	Rangae	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Ranot	2000	76.6%	79.6%	73.3%
Thailand	Ranot	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Thailand	Rasada	2000	73.9%	76.7%	71.1%
Thailand	Rasada	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.6%
Thailand	Rasi Salai	2000	91.7%	93.3%	89.9%
Thailand	Rasi Salai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Rat Burana	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Rat Burana	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Ratchasan	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Ratchasan	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ratchathewi	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Ratchathewi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Rattana Buri	2000	92.1%	93.7%	90.4%
Thailand	Rattana Buri	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Rattaphum	2000	75.1%	77.9%	72.1%
Thailand	Rattaphum	2017	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Thailand	Renu Nakhon	2000	92.6%	94.7%	89.9%
Thailand	Renu Nakhon	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Ron Phi Pun	2000	74.4%	77.3%	71.6%
Thailand	Ron Phi Pun	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.6%
Thailand	Rong Kham	2000	92.5%	93.9%	91.0%
Thailand	Rong Kham	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Rong Kwang	2000	91.1%	93.0%	89.0%
Thailand	Rong Kwang	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Ruso	2000	72.1%	75.2%	69.1%
Thailand	Ruso	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.7%
Thailand	Saba Yoi	2000	72.5%	76.2%	68.9%
Thailand	Saba Yoi	2017	94.8%	95.6%	93.7%
Thailand	Sadao	2000	74.8%	78.5%	70.4%
Thailand	Sadao	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Thailand	Sahatsakhan	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.6%
Thailand	Sahatsakhan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Sai Buri	2000	75.2%	78.2%	71.7%
Thailand	Sai Buri	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Thailand	Sai Mai	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Sai Mai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Sai Ngam	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.1%
Thailand	Sai Ngam	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Sai Noi	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Sai Noi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Sai Thong	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.7%
Thailand	Sai Thong Watthana	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Sai Yok	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.1%
Thailand	Sai Yok	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Sam Chuk	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Thailand	Sam Chuk	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Sam Khok	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Sam Khok	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Sam Ngam	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.7%
Thailand	Sam Ngam	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Sam Ngao	2000	90.7%	92.7%	88.2%
Thailand	Sam Ngao	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Sam Phran	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Sam Phran	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Samko	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Thailand	Samko	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Samoeng	2000	89.9%	92.0%	87.6%
Thailand	Samoeng	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Samphantawong	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Samphantawong	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Samrong	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.8%
Thailand	Samrong	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Samrong	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.5%
	Thap				
Thailand	Samrong	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
	Thap				
Thailand	San Kamphaeng	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.6%
Thailand	San Kamphaeng	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	San Pa Tong	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.5%
Thailand	San Pa Tong	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	San Sai	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.2%
Thailand	San Sai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Sanam	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
	Chaikhet				
Thailand	Sanam	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
	Chaikhet				
Thailand	Sang Khom	2000	92.4%	94.2%	90.3%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2000	92.5%	94.4%	89.9%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Sangkha	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.6%
Thailand	Sangkha	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Sangkha Buri	2000	94.7%	96.6%	92.3%
Thailand	Sangkha Buri	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Thailand	Sankha Buri	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Thailand	Sankha Buri	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Sanom	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.1%
Thailand	Sanom	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Sanphaya	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Thailand	Sanphaya	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Thailand	Santi Suk	2000	91.6%	93.6%	88.8%
Thailand	Santi Suk	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	Sao Hai	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Sao Hai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Saphan Sung	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Saphan Sung	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Saraphi	2000	92.4%	93.7%	91.0%
Thailand	Saraphi	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Sathing Phra	2000	78.0%	81.1%	74.9%
Thailand	Sathing Phra	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.3%
Thailand	Sathorn	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Sathorn	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Sattahip	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	Sattahip	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Satuk	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.5%
Thailand	Satuk	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Sawaengha	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.7%
Thailand	Sawaengha	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Sawang Arom	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Thailand	Sawang Arom	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Sawang Daen Din	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.6%
Thailand	Sawang Daen Din	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Sawankhalok	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.6%
Thailand	Sawankhalok	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Sawi	2000	76.1%	79.1%	72.3%
Thailand	Sawi	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Seka	2000	91.9%	93.9%	89.3%
Thailand	Seka	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Selaphum	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.7%
Thailand	Selaphum	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Sena	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Sena	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Senangkhanikhon	2000	91.5%	93.5%	89.0%
Thailand	Senangkhanikhon	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Si Banphot	2000	71.7%	74.8%	68.9%
Thailand	Si Banphot	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.8%
Thailand	Si Bun Ruang	2000	91.6%	93.5%	89.7%
Thailand	Si Bun Ruang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Si Chiang Mai	2000	93.5%	95.0%	91.4%
Thailand	Si Chiang Mai	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Si Chomphu	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.9%
Thailand	Si Chomphu	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Si Mahosot	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Thailand	Si Mahosot	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Si Muang Mai	2000	91.5%	93.6%	89.0%
Thailand	Si Muang Mai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Si Nakhon	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.5%
Thailand	Si Nakhon	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Si Prachan	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Thailand	Si Prachan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Si Racha	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Thailand	Si Racha	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Si Rin Ton	2000	91.4%	93.6%	89.1%
Thailand	Si Rin Ton	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Si Sakhon	2000	70.7%	74.5%	66.9%
Thailand	Si Sakhon	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.0%
Thailand	Si Sam Rong	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.1%
Thailand	Si Sam Rong	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Si Satchanalai	2000	91.4%	93.2%	89.7%
Thailand	Si Satchanalai	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Si Sawat	2000	96.4%	97.5%	94.9%
Thailand	Si Sawat	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Si Somdet	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.7%
Thailand	Si Somdet	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Si Songkhram	2000	92.4%	94.4%	90.5%
Thailand	Si Songkhram	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Si That	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.5%
Thailand	Si That	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Si Thep	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Thailand	Si Thep	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Si Wilai	2000	91.9%	94.0%	88.9%
Thailand	Si Wilai	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Sichon	2000	75.6%	78.7%	72.4%
Thailand	Sichon	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.6%
Thailand	Sikao	2000	74.9%	78.3%	71.4%
Thailand	Sikao	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Thailand	Sikhiu	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.7%
Thailand	Sikhiu	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Sikhoraphum	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.7%
Thailand	Sikhoraphum	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Singha Nakhon	2000	77.7%	80.2%	75.1%
Thailand	Singha Nakhon	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.3%
Thailand	So Phisai	2000	91.7%	93.8%	89.1%
Thailand	So Phisai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Soem Ngam	2000	89.8%	91.7%	87.6%
Thailand	Soem Ngam	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Soeng Sang	2000	93.6%	95.1%	92.1%
Thailand	Soeng Sang	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Somdet	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.5%
Thailand	Somdet	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Song	2000	91.4%	93.0%	89.2%
Thailand	Song	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Song Dao	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.5%
Thailand	Song Dao	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Song Kwae	2000	90.5%	92.8%	88.0%
Thailand	Song Kwae	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Song Phi Nong	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	Song Phi Nong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2000	75.0%	78.2%	71.8%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2000	75.8%	78.4%	73.1%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2017	95.6%	96.3%	94.9%
Thailand	Sop Moei	2000	92.4%	94.7%	89.3%
Thailand	Sop Moei	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.2%
Thailand	Sop Prap	2000	90.7%	92.5%	88.5%
Thailand	Sop Prap	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Soydow	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Thailand	Soydow	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Sra Both	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Thailand	Sra Both	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Sri Mahar Pho	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Sri Mahar Pho	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Sri Ratana	2000	92.1%	93.8%	90.3%
Thailand	Sri Ratana	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Su-ngai Ko Lok	2000	75.0%	79.1%	71.4%
Thailand	Su-ngai Ko Lok	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Thailand	Suan Luang	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Suan Luang	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Suan Phung	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.2%
Thailand	Suan Phung	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Sukhirin	2000	73.7%	78.1%	68.9%
Thailand	Sukhirin	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Thailand	Sung Men	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.3%
Thailand	Sung Men	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Sung Noen	2000	93.5%	94.8%	91.8%
Thailand	Sung Noen	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Sungai Padi	2000	74.0%	77.6%	70.7%
Thailand	Sungai Padi	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.1%
Thailand	Suwan Khuha	2000	91.7%	93.6%	89.4%
Thailand	Suwan Khuha	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Suwannaphum	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Thailand	Suwannaphum	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Ta Phraya	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.5%
Thailand	Ta Phraya	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Tak Bai	2000	76.1%	80.3%	72.6%
Thailand	Tak Bai	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Thailand	Tak Fa	2000	94.3%	95.5%	93.1%
Thailand	Tak Fa	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	Takhli	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Thailand	Takhli	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Takua Pa	2000	81.0%	84.9%	76.7%
Thailand	Takua Pa	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Thailand	Takua Thung	2000	78.6%	81.5%	75.3%
Thailand	Takua Thung	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.4%
Thailand	Taling Chan	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Taling Chan	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Tamot	2000	74.3%	77.6%	71.5%
Thailand	Tamot	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.5%
Thailand	Tan Sum	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.2%
Thailand	Tan Sum	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Tao Ngoi	2000	91.9%	93.7%	90.1%
Thailand	Tao Ngoi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Taphan Hin	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.5%
Thailand	Taphan Hin	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Tha Bo	2000	93.0%	94.6%	90.9%
Thailand	Tha Bo	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Tha Chana	2000	76.2%	79.6%	72.4%
Thailand	Tha Chana	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.8%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2000	77.1%	79.7%	73.8%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Thailand	Tha Khantho	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.4%
Thailand	Tha Khantho	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Tha Li	2000	90.1%	92.3%	87.6%
Thailand	Tha Li	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Tha Luang	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Thailand	Tha Luang	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Tha Mai	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Thailand	Tha Mai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Tha Maka	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Tha Maka	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Tha Muang	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Thailand	Tha Muang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Tha Phae	2000	74.7%	79.1%	69.4%
Thailand	Tha Phae	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Thailand	Tha Pla	2000	90.4%	92.6%	87.7%
Thailand	Tha Pla	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Tha Rua	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Thailand	Tha Rua	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Tha Sae	2000	76.4%	80.0%	72.6%
Thailand	Tha Sae	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Thailand	Tha Sala	2000	76.7%	79.3%	74.1%
Thailand	Tha Sala	2017	95.8%	96.5%	95.1%
Thailand	Tha Song Yang	2000	93.0%	95.0%	90.5%
Thailand	Tha Song Yang	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Tha Ta Kieb	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Thailand	Tha Ta Kieb	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Tha Tako	2000	93.5%	94.9%	92.0%
Thailand	Tha Tako	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Tha Tum	2000	92.3%	93.6%	90.6%
Thailand	Tha Tum	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Tha Uthen	2000	93.0%	95.1%	90.7%
Thailand	Tha Uthen	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Tha Wang Pha	2000	92.1%	93.9%	90.0%
Thailand	Tha Wang Pha	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Tha Wung	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.6%
Thailand	Tha Wung	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Tha Yang	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Thailand	Tha Yang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Thai Charoen	2000	91.6%	93.3%	89.3%
Thailand	Thai Charoen	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Thai Muang	2000	80.3%	83.5%	76.6%
Thailand	Thai Muang	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Thalang	2000	78.9%	82.4%	75.5%
Thailand	Thalang	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.5%
Thailand	Tham Phan-nara	2000	74.2%	77.0%	71.0%
Thailand	Tham Phan-nara	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.4%
Thailand	Than To	2000	68.4%	73.6%	63.8%
Thailand	Than To	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.2%
Thailand	Thanyaburi	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Thanyaburi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Thap Khlo	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.2%
Thailand	Thap Khlo	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Thap Put	2000	75.3%	78.2%	72.3%
Thailand	Thap Put	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Thap Sakae	2000	95.7%	97.2%	94.0%
Thailand	Thap Sakae	2017	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
Thailand	Thap Than	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Thailand	Thap Than	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	That Phanom	2000	92.8%	94.8%	90.3%
Thailand	That Phanom	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Thawatchaburi	2000	92.5%	94.0%	91.1%
Thailand	Thawatchaburi	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Thailand	Thawi Wat-tana	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Thawi Wat-tana	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Thep Sathit	2000	90.4%	92.5%	87.6%
Thailand	Thep Sathit	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Thepha	2000	76.3%	79.4%	73.4%
Thailand	Thepha	2017	95.7%	96.4%	95.0%
Thailand	Thoen	2000	90.3%	92.2%	88.1%
Thailand	Thoen	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Thoeng	2000	91.7%	93.6%	89.9%
Thailand	Thoeng	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Thon Buri	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Thon Buri	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Thong Pha Phum	2000	95.6%	97.2%	93.6%
Thailand	Thong Pha Phum	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Thailand	Thong Saen Khan	2000	92.1%	93.9%	90.3%
Thailand	Thong Saen Khan	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Thung Chang	2000	89.7%	92.4%	86.6%
Thailand	Thung Chang	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Thung Fon	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.3%
Thailand	Thung Fon	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Thung Hua Chang	2000	88.7%	90.8%	86.1%
Thailand	Thung Hua Chang	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Thung Saliam	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.5%
Thailand	Thung Saliam	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Thung Si Udom	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.2%
Thailand	Thung Si Udom	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Thung Song	2000	73.6%	76.4%	70.8%
Thailand	Thung Song	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.4%
Thailand	Thung Tako	2000	76.0%	79.1%	72.6%
Thailand	Thung Tako	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Thung Wa	2000	72.4%	76.3%	67.6%
Thailand	Thung Wa	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.7%
Thailand	Thung Yai	2000	73.2%	75.8%	70.0%
Thailand	Thung Yai	2017	94.9%	95.7%	94.2%
Thailand	Thung Yang Daeng	2000	73.9%	76.7%	70.9%
Thailand	Thung Yang Daeng	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.3%
Thailand	Thungkru	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Thungkru	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Trakan Phutphon	2000	92.1%	93.8%	90.0%
Thailand	Trakan Phutphon	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Tron	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.2%
Thailand	Tron	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	U Thong	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
Thailand	U Thong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Ubol Ratana	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.7%
Thailand	Ubol Ratana	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Umphang	2000	90.8%	93.4%	88.2%
Thailand	Umphang	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Thailand	Uthai	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Uthai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Uthumphon Phisai	2000	91.8%	93.5%	90.1%
Thailand	Uthumphon Phisai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Wachira Barami	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.6%
Thailand	Wachira Barami	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Waeng	2000	78.9%	82.6%	74.9%
Thailand	Waeng	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Thailand	Waeng Noi	2000	91.7%	93.1%	89.7%
Thailand	Waeng Noi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Waeng Yai	2000	91.8%	93.4%	89.7%
Thailand	Waeng Yai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Wan Yai	2000	92.4%	94.3%	90.4%
Thailand	Wan Yai	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Wang Chan	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	Wang Chan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Wang Chin	2000	90.2%	92.0%	88.2%
Thailand	Wang Chin	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Wang Hin	2000	91.9%	93.6%	90.1%
Thailand	Wang Hin	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Wang Muang	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.3%
Thailand	Wang Muang	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Wang Nam Yen	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Thailand	Wang Nam Yen	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Wang Noi	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Wang Noi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Wang Nua	2000	91.3%	93.0%	89.3%
Thailand	Wang Nua	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Wang Num Khiaw	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Thailand	Wang Num Khiaw	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Wang Pong	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.4%
Thailand	Wang Pong	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Wang Sai Phun	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.2%
Thailand	Wang Sai Phun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Wang Sam Mo	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.4%
Thailand	Wang Sam Mo	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Wang Sa-phung	2000	90.6%	92.6%	88.2%
Thailand	Wang Sa-phung	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Wang Thong	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.7%
Thailand	Wang Thong	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Wang Thonglang	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Wang Thonglang	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Wang Wiset	2000	74.1%	77.2%	70.8%
Thailand	Wang Wiset	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.3%
Thailand	Wanon Niwat	2000	91.8%	93.3%	90.1%
Thailand	Wanon Niwat	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Wapi Pathum	2000	91.7%	93.2%	90.2%
Thailand	Wapi Pathum	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Warin Chamrap	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.4%
Thailand	Warin Chamrap	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Waritchaphum	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
Thailand	Waritchaphum	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Wat Bot	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.5%
Thailand	Wat Bot	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Wat Phleng	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Thailand	Wat Phleng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Wat Sing	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Thailand	Wat Sing	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Wattana	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Wattana	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Wattana Nakhon	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.5%
Thailand	Wattana Nakhon	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Wiang Chai	2000	92.7%	94.2%	91.2%
Thailand	Wiang Chai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Wiang Haeng	2000	90.1%	93.1%	87.2%
Thailand	Wiang Haeng	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	Wiang Kao	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.3%
Thailand	Wiang Kao	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Wiang Pa Pao	2000	91.1%	92.7%	88.9%
Thailand	Wiang Pa Pao	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2000	75.5%	78.6%	72.5%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2000	91.9%	93.6%	89.9%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.8%
Thailand	Wichian Buri	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.1%
Thailand	Wichian Buri	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Wiang Kaen	2000	90.0%	92.5%	87.4%
Thailand	Wiang Kaen	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Wihan Daeng	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Wihan Daeng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Wiset Chai Chan	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.1%
Thailand	Wiset Chai Chan	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Yaha	2000	71.7%	75.1%	67.8%
Thailand	Yaha	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.7%
Thailand	Yan Ta Khao	2000	75.7%	78.3%	72.8%
Thailand	Yan Ta Khao	2017	95.6%	96.3%	95.0%
Thailand	Yang Chum Noi	2000	91.7%	93.2%	90.1%
Thailand	Yang Chum Noi	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Yang Si Surat	2000	91.6%	93.0%	89.8%
Thailand	Yang Si Surat	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Yang Talat	2000	92.5%	93.9%	91.1%
Thailand	Yang Talat	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Thailand	Yannawa	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Yannawa	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Thailand	Yarang	2000	76.9%	79.6%	74.1%
Thailand	Yarang	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.2%
Thailand	Yaring	2000	76.2%	79.7%	72.4%
Thailand	Yaring	2017	95.7%	96.5%	95.0%
Thailand	Yi-ngo	2000	74.6%	77.5%	71.4%
Thailand	Yi-ngo	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.3%
Timor-Leste	Aileu	2000	50.9%	53.3%	48.6%
Timor-Leste	Aileu	2017	74.5%	76.5%	72.4%
Timor-Leste	Ainaro	2000	49.8%	53.4%	46.9%
Timor-Leste	Ainaro	2017	72.2%	74.2%	70.1%
Timor-Leste	Alas	2000	65.9%	77.4%	53.0%
Timor-Leste	Alas	2017	74.1%	85.6%	61.6%
Timor-Leste	Atabai	2000	72.4%	81.2%	60.5%
Timor-Leste	Atabai	2017	82.7%	90.0%	71.4%
Timor-Leste	Atauro	2000	86.4%	92.5%	78.0%
Timor-Leste	Atauro	2017	91.5%	96.0%	85.8%
Timor-Leste	Atsabe	2000	59.3%	62.2%	56.3%
Timor-Leste	Atsabe	2017	81.7%	83.4%	80.1%
Timor-Leste	Baguia	2000	25.1%	28.9%	22.3%
Timor-Leste	Baguia	2017	44.0%	48.2%	40.2%
Timor-Leste	Balibó	2000	73.3%	80.3%	66.6%
Timor-Leste	Balibó	2017	80.2%	86.9%	72.1%
Timor-Leste	Barique	2000	69.8%	81.6%	53.0%
Timor-Leste	Barique	2017	78.6%	89.4%	62.0%
Timor-Leste	Baucau	2000	68.4%	71.0%	66.0%
Timor-Leste	Baucau	2017	82.3%	83.7%	80.9%
Timor-Leste	Bazar Tete	2000	82.5%	85.8%	77.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Bazar Tete	2017	93.4%	95.6%	89.5%
Timor-Leste	Bobonaro	2000	60.5%	65.6%	55.9%
Timor-Leste	Bobonaro	2017	80.3%	83.1%	77.3%
Timor-Leste	Cailaco	2000	62.7%	67.1%	59.1%
Timor-Leste	Cailaco	2017	80.9%	84.5%	78.2%
Timor-Leste	Dili Barat	2000	94.6%	95.2%	94.0%
Timor-Leste	Dili Barat	2017	97.8%	98.1%	97.5%
Timor-Leste	Dili Timur	2000	91.4%	92.5%	90.2%
Timor-Leste	Dili Timur	2017	96.3%	96.8%	95.6%
Timor-Leste	Ermera	2000	63.1%	65.6%	60.5%
Timor-Leste	Ermera	2017	84.9%	86.3%	83.4%
Timor-Leste	Fato Berliu	2000	60.9%	69.6%	52.4%
Timor-Leste	Fato Berliu	2017	71.2%	79.2%	63.2%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Fulic	2000	84.8%	90.1%	78.4%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Fulic	2017	96.0%	97.7%	93.7%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Mean	2000	47.0%	65.3%	33.0%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Mean	2017	56.3%	76.7%	39.8%
Timor-Leste	Fohorem	2000	66.4%	74.8%	58.4%
Timor-Leste	Fohorem	2017	85.8%	90.8%	78.1%
Timor-Leste	Hato Bulico	2000	48.6%	51.2%	45.7%
Timor-Leste	Hato Bulico	2017	70.6%	72.6%	68.5%
Timor-Leste	Hato Hudo	2000	63.8%	71.8%	54.8%
Timor-Leste	Hato Hudo	2017	79.7%	85.5%	72.0%
Timor-Leste	Hatólia	2000	42.6%	46.8%	39.2%
Timor-Leste	Hatólia	2017	67.2%	70.6%	63.2%
Timor-Leste	Iliomar	2000	54.8%	66.5%	42.6%
Timor-Leste	Iliomar	2017	65.2%	74.9%	55.2%
Timor-Leste	Laclo	2000	74.1%	82.8%	63.1%
Timor-Leste	Laclo	2017	84.7%	91.5%	75.3%
Timor-Leste	Lachubar	2000	66.7%	72.8%	60.6%
Timor-Leste	Lachubar	2017	84.6%	89.1%	79.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Lacluta	2000	78.0%	89.2%	64.8%
Timor-Leste	Lacluta	2017	82.8%	92.1%	71.8%
Timor-Leste	Laga	2000	35.9%	40.5%	31.3%
Timor-Leste	Laga	2017	51.3%	55.2%	47.4%
Timor-Leste	Laleia	2000	73.1%	90.3%	53.3%
Timor-Leste	Laleia	2017	83.0%	95.2%	65.8%
Timor-Leste	Lau Lara	2000	73.3%	75.8%	70.8%
Timor-Leste	Lau Lara	2017	88.8%	90.3%	87.2%
Timor-Leste	Lautém	2000	69.7%	76.3%	63.1%
Timor-Leste	Lautém	2017	82.3%	87.0%	76.2%
Timor-Leste	Lequidoe	2000	66.0%	70.3%	61.3%
Timor-Leste	Lequidoe	2017	83.9%	86.8%	79.7%
Timor-Leste	Letefoho	2000	52.4%	54.4%	50.1%
Timor-Leste	Letefoho	2017	80.1%	81.6%	78.6%
Timor-Leste	Liquiçá	2000	78.0%	81.1%	74.7%
Timor-Leste	Liquiçá	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.4%
Timor-Leste	Lolotoi	2000	83.7%	87.8%	75.6%
Timor-Leste	Lolotoi	2017	92.3%	94.4%	86.8%
Timor-Leste	Los Palos	2000	69.3%	73.5%	65.1%
Timor-Leste	Los Palos	2017	81.1%	84.2%	77.6%
Timor-Leste	Luro	2000	51.6%	61.1%	42.1%
Timor-Leste	Luro	2017	65.1%	75.4%	55.8%
Timor-Leste	Maliana	2000	79.2%	82.3%	75.5%
Timor-Leste	Maliana	2017	92.1%	93.3%	90.4%
Timor-Leste	Manatuto	2000	86.6%	90.7%	81.4%
Timor-Leste	Manatuto	2017	95.6%	97.3%	92.5%
Timor-Leste	Mape	2000	51.7%	56.4%	46.6%
Timor-Leste	Mape	2017	69.9%	73.1%	67.1%
Timor-Leste	Maubara	2000	72.4%	78.5%	65.5%
Timor-Leste	Maubara	2017	84.5%	89.9%	78.0%
Timor-Leste	Maubisse	2000	29.8%	33.7%	26.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Maubisse	2017	52.2%	56.3%	49.3%
Timor-Leste	Metinaro	2000	68.7%	88.1%	48.1%
Timor-Leste	Metinaro	2017	74.2%	92.2%	53.5%
Timor-Leste	Nitibe	2000	56.8%	64.1%	47.7%
Timor-Leste	Nitibe	2017	73.8%	79.9%	65.8%
Timor-Leste	Oe Silo	2000	65.8%	69.8%	61.4%
Timor-Leste	Oe Silo	2017	86.3%	88.1%	84.1%
Timor-Leste	Ossu	2000	64.9%	72.0%	55.8%
Timor-Leste	Ossu	2017	79.7%	84.6%	70.3%
Timor-Leste	Pante Macassar	2000	72.3%	75.4%	69.7%
Timor-Leste	Pante Macassar	2017	84.9%	87.1%	82.2%
Timor-Leste	Passabe	2000	91.2%	96.3%	82.3%
Timor-Leste	Passabe	2017	97.5%	99.1%	94.1%
Timor-Leste	Quelicai	2000	37.7%	40.3%	35.2%
Timor-Leste	Quelicai	2017	51.3%	54.3%	48.7%
Timor-Leste	Railaco	2000	68.9%	71.4%	66.3%
Timor-Leste	Railaco	2017	87.8%	89.2%	86.2%
Timor-Leste	Remexio	2000	78.1%	80.6%	75.7%
Timor-Leste	Remexio	2017	90.5%	92.0%	88.9%
Timor-Leste	Same	2000	69.2%	73.9%	64.6%
Timor-Leste	Same	2017	86.2%	89.2%	83.1%
Timor-Leste	Soibada	2000	64.6%	80.0%	48.5%
Timor-Leste	Soibada	2017	80.5%	89.2%	68.5%
Timor-Leste	Suai Kota	2000	76.7%	80.0%	72.9%
Timor-Leste	Suai Kota	2017	87.9%	90.0%	85.6%
Timor-Leste	Tilomar	2000	73.2%	81.2%	62.2%
Timor-Leste	Tilomar	2017	85.5%	91.9%	73.5%
Timor-Leste	Turisciai	2000	23.8%	29.7%	18.2%
Timor-Leste	Turisciai	2017	41.6%	49.0%	35.1%
Timor-Leste	Tutuala	2000	52.6%	72.4%	37.2%
Timor-Leste	Tutuala	2017	64.6%	86.6%	44.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Uato Carbau	2000	54.3%	61.1%	46.5%
Timor-Leste	Uato Carbau	2017	73.6%	78.3%	67.2%
Timor-Leste	Uatolari	2000	62.6%	65.6%	58.4%
Timor-Leste	Uatolari	2017	71.5%	73.9%	68.8%
Timor-Leste	Vemasse	2000	66.6%	76.7%	56.4%
Timor-Leste	Vemasse	2017	80.3%	87.4%	72.5%
Timor-Leste	Venilale	2000	54.7%	57.6%	51.7%
Timor-Leste	Venilale	2017	69.9%	72.4%	67.4%
Timor-Leste	Viqueque	2000	75.4%	80.6%	68.5%
Timor-Leste	Viqueque	2017	85.9%	89.4%	81.4%
Vietnam	A Lưới	2000	74.8%	88.9%	52.3%
Vietnam	A Lưới	2017	87.9%	96.2%	69.7%
Vietnam	An Biên	2000	87.1%	96.4%	71.8%
Vietnam	An Biên	2017	95.0%	98.9%	86.1%
Vietnam	An Dương	2000	95.7%	98.7%	89.9%
Vietnam	An Dương	2017	98.8%	99.7%	96.0%
Vietnam	An Khê	2000	63.9%	79.0%	43.8%
Vietnam	An Khê	2017	83.5%	91.4%	70.1%
Vietnam	An Lão	2000	76.5%	93.3%	48.9%
Vietnam	An Lão	2000	94.4%	97.7%	86.7%
Vietnam	An Lão	2017	98.3%	99.5%	93.7%
Vietnam	An Lão	2017	90.7%	98.1%	73.9%
Vietnam	An Minh	2000	81.8%	94.7%	60.4%
Vietnam	An Minh	2017	92.6%	98.4%	79.8%
Vietnam	An Nhơn	2000	70.0%	84.2%	50.7%
Vietnam	An Nhơn	2017	87.2%	94.9%	72.1%
Vietnam	An Phú	2000	61.2%	78.8%	33.8%
Vietnam	An Phú	2017	79.1%	90.7%	56.2%
Vietnam	Ấn Thi	2000	90.8%	97.0%	79.4%
Vietnam	Ấn Thi	2017	96.6%	99.0%	91.3%
Vietnam	Anh Sơn	2000	75.8%	88.0%	57.4%
Vietnam	Anh Sơn	2017	90.1%	96.0%	78.4%
Vietnam	Ayun Pa	2000	74.8%	94.1%	46.8%
Vietnam	Ayun Pa	2017	88.9%	98.0%	72.1%
Vietnam	Ba Bể	2000	77.4%	90.1%	58.3%
Vietnam	Ba Bể	2017	89.2%	96.2%	76.7%
Vietnam	Ba Chẽ	2000	74.1%	91.7%	50.6%
Vietnam	Ba Chẽ	2017	88.1%	97.4%	69.6%
Vietnam	Ba Đình	2000	97.1%	98.4%	95.1%
Vietnam	Ba Đình	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Vietnam	Ba Đồn	2000	88.3%	97.9%	64.1%
Vietnam	Ba Đồn	2017	95.8%	99.4%	83.8%
Vietnam	Bà Rịa	2000	91.1%	97.6%	74.5%
Vietnam	Bà Rịa	2017	97.7%	99.5%	92.3%
Vietnam	Bá Thước	2000	76.9%	92.3%	51.7%
Vietnam	Bá Thước	2017	89.6%	97.6%	73.0%
Vietnam	Ba Tơ	2000	73.4%	87.6%	54.6%
Vietnam	Ba Tơ	2017	87.8%	95.5%	75.4%
Vietnam	Ba Tri	2000	85.2%	96.5%	59.3%
Vietnam	Ba Tri	2017	94.3%	99.1%	81.6%
Vietnam	Ba Vì	2000	86.8%	96.2%	71.0%
Vietnam	Ba Vì	2017	95.5%	99.3%	85.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Bác Ái	2000	58.0%	76.4%	41.0%
Vietnam	Bác Ái	2017	77.6%	90.3%	62.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Bình	2000	67.5%	79.9%	53.4%
Vietnam	Bắc Bình	2017	84.2%	91.4%	73.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Giang	2000	91.0%	94.0%	86.1%
Vietnam	Bắc Giang	2017	97.5%	98.6%	95.9%
Vietnam	Bắc Hà	2000	63.1%	81.6%	42.8%
Vietnam	Bắc Hà	2017	81.7%	93.3%	62.9%
Vietnam	Bạc Liêu	2000	76.3%	92.9%	47.8%
Vietnam	Bạc Liêu	2017	90.4%	98.0%	73.3%
Vietnam	Bắc Mê	2000	68.9%	87.4%	39.9%
Vietnam	Bắc Mê	2017	84.6%	95.3%	62.4%
Vietnam	Bắc Ninh	2000	88.3%	96.7%	56.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Ninh	2017	95.9%	99.2%	78.0%
Vietnam	Bắc Quang	2000	74.5%	88.4%	53.3%
Vietnam	Bắc Quang	2017	88.1%	95.9%	75.1%
Vietnam	Bắc Sơn	2000	68.4%	81.6%	51.7%
Vietnam	Bắc Sơn	2017	87.2%	94.5%	76.5%
Vietnam	Bắc Tân Uyên	2000	84.7%	94.7%	65.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Tân Uyên	2017	93.9%	98.6%	82.4%
Vietnam	Bắc Trà My	2000	78.3%	91.0%	55.7%
Vietnam	Bắc Trà My	2017	90.8%	97.2%	75.0%
Vietnam	Bắc Từ Liêm	2000	96.7%	99.6%	87.1%
Vietnam	Bắc Từ Liêm	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.3%
Vietnam	Bắc Yên	2000	57.8%	72.1%	40.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Yên	2017	76.6%	86.9%	61.8%
Vietnam	Bạch Thông	2000	69.5%	85.3%	50.3%
Vietnam	Bạch Thông	2017	86.9%	95.6%	72.1%
Vietnam	Bảo Lạc	2000	71.1%	87.3%	48.4%
Vietnam	Bảo Lạc	2017	86.4%	94.9%	69.7%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2000	67.6%	85.4%	46.8%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2000	77.2%	86.7%	66.3%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2017	90.5%	95.7%	83.7%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2017	84.1%	95.1%	68.4%
Vietnam	Bảo Lộc	2000	87.7%	94.2%	79.3%
Vietnam	Bảo Lộc	2017	96.0%	98.3%	92.3%
Vietnam	Bảo Thắng	2000	65.0%	83.9%	40.6%
Vietnam	Bảo Thắng	2017	83.9%	94.8%	66.3%
Vietnam	Bảo Yên	2000	65.7%	79.7%	49.2%
Vietnam	Bảo Yên	2017	83.8%	92.1%	73.0%
Vietnam	Bát Xát	2000	61.3%	76.0%	45.1%
Vietnam	Bát Xát	2017	82.0%	91.9%	69.4%
Vietnam	Bàu Bàng	2000	85.0%	95.9%	65.8%
Vietnam	Bàu Bàng	2017	95.2%	99.1%	85.4%
Vietnam	Bến Cát	2000	91.5%	98.5%	73.8%
Vietnam	Bến Cát	2017	97.7%	99.7%	91.8%
Vietnam	Bến Cầu	2000	85.4%	96.4%	68.1%
Vietnam	Bến Cầu	2017	94.6%	99.0%	84.4%
Vietnam	Bến Lức	2000	85.2%	93.6%	73.6%
Vietnam	Bến Lức	2017	95.0%	98.4%	88.2%
Vietnam	Bến Tre	2000	62.6%	84.9%	38.2%
Vietnam	Bến Tre	2017	82.4%	95.4%	61.8%
Vietnam	Biên Hòa	2000	87.7%	91.4%	82.5%
Vietnam	Biên Hòa	2017	97.2%	98.3%	95.4%
Vietnam	Bỉm Sơn	2000	82.9%	97.8%	48.6%
Vietnam	Bỉm Sơn	2017	95.2%	99.7%	75.8%
Vietnam	Bình Chánh	2000	97.0%	99.1%	93.0%
Vietnam	Bình Chánh	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.9%
Vietnam	Bình Đại	2000	78.3%	92.5%	52.4%
Vietnam	Bình Đại	2017	90.1%	97.1%	75.3%
Vietnam	Bình Gia	2000	62.5%	81.2%	48.3%
Vietnam	Bình Gia	2017	80.5%	92.1%	69.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Bình Giang	2000	89.7%	98.7%	66.3%
Vietnam	Bình Giang	2017	96.4%	99.6%	85.9%
Vietnam	Bình Liêu	2000	72.0%	92.6%	35.0%
Vietnam	Bình Liêu	2017	86.5%	97.7%	56.4%
Vietnam	Bình Long	2000	78.1%	92.8%	57.8%
Vietnam	Bình Long	2017	91.0%	98.0%	78.0%
Vietnam	Bình Lục	2000	87.9%	97.9%	64.1%
Vietnam	Bình Lục	2017	95.9%	99.5%	84.5%
Vietnam	Bình Minh	2000	73.6%	87.5%	58.4%
Vietnam	Bình Minh	2017	87.9%	96.6%	77.9%
Vietnam	Bình Sơn	2000	89.2%	98.1%	73.7%
Vietnam	Bình Sơn	2017	96.3%	99.5%	88.4%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2000	51.7%	70.9%	31.5%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2000	97.7%	99.4%	93.7%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2017	72.2%	83.9%	54.4%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.7%
Vietnam	Bình Thạnh	2000	96.5%	98.1%	94.1%
Vietnam	Bình Thạnh	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Vietnam	Bình Thủy	2000	56.9%	70.6%	44.1%
Vietnam	Bình Thủy	2017	86.6%	92.2%	79.2%
Vietnam	Bình Xuyên	2000	87.7%	91.7%	79.6%
Vietnam	Bình Xuyên	2017	95.1%	96.7%	91.9%
Vietnam	Bố Trạch	2000	82.8%	92.5%	66.7%
Vietnam	Bố Trạch	2017	92.9%	97.4%	84.5%
Vietnam	Bù Đăng	2000	71.8%	86.5%	51.2%
Vietnam	Bù Đăng	2017	86.8%	94.8%	72.9%
Vietnam	Bù Đốp	2000	61.3%	82.7%	35.9%
Vietnam	Bù Đốp	2017	81.0%	93.6%	60.6%
Vietnam	Bù Gia Mập	2000	73.1%	87.0%	56.0%
Vietnam	Bù Gia Mập	2017	88.5%	95.6%	77.7%
Vietnam	Buôn Đôn	2000	65.5%	77.9%	50.0%
Vietnam	Buôn Đôn	2017	83.1%	91.5%	69.1%
Vietnam	Buôn Ma Thuột	2000	72.4%	76.8%	66.5%
Vietnam	Buôn Ma Thuột	2017	90.6%	92.7%	87.7%
Vietnam	Cà Mau	2000	91.4%	98.4%	76.1%
Vietnam	Cà Mau	2017	97.7%	99.7%	92.2%
Vietnam	Cái Bè	2000	80.9%	92.4%	62.6%
Vietnam	Cái Bè	2017	92.5%	98.0%	81.2%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy	2000	81.4%	91.6%	66.7%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy	2017	94.4%	98.2%	87.9%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy (Thị xã)	2000	90.7%	98.2%	77.0%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy (Thị xã)	2017	97.0%	99.5%	90.1%
Vietnam	Cái Nước	2000	77.9%	91.5%	56.8%
Vietnam	Cái Nước	2017	91.5%	98.0%	78.8%
Vietnam	Cái Răng	2000	78.0%	84.5%	68.9%
Vietnam	Cái Răng	2017	94.8%	96.9%	91.2%
Vietnam	Cẩm Giàng	2000	88.5%	94.9%	70.0%
Vietnam	Cẩm Giàng	2017	95.6%	98.6%	86.7%
Vietnam	Cẩm Khê	2000	81.7%	90.9%	71.5%
Vietnam	Cẩm Khê	2017	91.3%	96.8%	84.2%
Vietnam	Cam Lâm	2000	60.1%	76.8%	41.9%
Vietnam	Cam Lâm	2017	76.4%	89.5%	61.7%
Vietnam	Cẩm Lệ	2000	95.7%	97.7%	92.3%
Vietnam	Cẩm Lệ	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
Vietnam	Cam Lộ	2000	63.8%	79.3%	48.1%
Vietnam	Cam Lộ	2017	82.4%	92.4%	68.8%
Vietnam	Cẩm Mỹ	2000	76.5%	91.6%	53.5%
Vietnam	Cẩm Mỹ	2017	91.2%	97.8%	78.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Cẩm Phả	2000	89.9%	96.8%	77.5%
Vietnam	Cẩm Phả	2017	96.7%	99.2%	90.7%
Vietnam	Cam Ranh	2000	81.4%	91.3%	68.3%
Vietnam	Cam Ranh	2017	92.5%	97.5%	84.3%
Vietnam	Cẩm Thủy	2000	75.1%	87.5%	58.8%
Vietnam	Cẩm Thủy	2017	90.7%	96.4%	81.2%
Vietnam	Cẩm Xuyên	2000	81.6%	93.7%	64.0%
Vietnam	Cẩm Xuyên	2017	93.3%	98.2%	84.2%
Vietnam	Cần Đước	2000	88.9%	97.8%	71.5%
Vietnam	Cần Đước	2017	95.0%	99.2%	85.7%
Vietnam	Cần Giờ	2000	78.2%	91.9%	57.4%
Vietnam	Cần Giờ	2017	90.2%	97.3%	76.7%
Vietnam	Cần Giuộc	2000	88.6%	98.3%	68.5%
Vietnam	Cần Giuộc	2017	96.1%	99.6%	85.1%
Vietnam	Can Lộc	2000	88.0%	97.0%	73.3%
Vietnam	Can Lộc	2017	95.9%	99.2%	88.0%
Vietnam	Càng Long	2000	72.0%	85.7%	55.8%
Vietnam	Càng Long	2017	87.7%	95.3%	76.9%
Vietnam	Cao Bằng	2000	68.2%	82.0%	53.4%
Vietnam	Cao Bằng	2017	85.5%	94.0%	74.9%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2000	56.7%	74.2%	40.4%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2017	75.4%	89.0%	61.3%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2000	68.2%	83.3%	49.1%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh (Thành phố)	2017	87.9%	94.5%	76.0%
Vietnam	Cao Lộc	2000	79.3%	89.0%	67.1%
Vietnam	Cao Lộc	2017	89.9%	96.8%	75.9%
Vietnam	Cao Phong	2000	47.0%	71.4%	21.5%
Vietnam	Cao Phong	2017	67.5%	84.7%	43.2%
Vietnam	Cát Hải	2000	83.7%	95.7%	63.4%
Vietnam	Cát Hải	2017	93.6%	98.6%	81.6%
Vietnam	Cát Tiên	2000	75.3%	91.6%	45.9%
Vietnam	Cát Tiên	2017	89.3%	97.4%	68.3%
Vietnam	Cầu Giấy	2000	97.4%	99.0%	94.6%
Vietnam	Cầu Giấy	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.2%
Vietnam	Cầu Kè	2000	80.4%	96.1%	47.8%
Vietnam	Cầu Kè	2017	91.3%	98.9%	70.9%
Vietnam	Cầu Ngang	2000	79.8%	91.2%	65.0%
Vietnam	Cầu Ngang	2017	91.0%	96.7%	83.6%
Vietnam	Châu Đốc	2000	68.0%	84.7%	50.6%
Vietnam	Châu Đốc	2017	90.9%	96.2%	84.0%
Vietnam	Châu Đức	2000	88.4%	97.0%	74.2%
Vietnam	Châu Đức	2017	95.8%	99.2%	88.5%
Vietnam	Châu Phú	2000	60.9%	81.1%	38.4%
Vietnam	Châu Phú	2017	78.8%	92.2%	62.4%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	81.7%	94.5%	64.3%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	75.6%	91.1%	51.8%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	82.5%	94.2%	60.3%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	69.8%	87.7%	44.0%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	78.9%	91.7%	63.0%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	60.7%	81.2%	39.0%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	82.7%	93.6%	61.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	54.7%	72.0%	33.1%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	74.8%	95.6%	42.3%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	74.4%	88.4%	57.3%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	93.3%	98.5%	82.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	88.3%	97.0%	69.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	92.4%	98.1%	84.4%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	93.0%	98.5%	76.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	79.4%	91.3%	58.6%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	74.7%	86.7%	53.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	93.5%	98.2%	84.1%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	90.2%	98.8%	70.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	88.8%	97.2%	70.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	89.1%	96.3%	76.2%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	69.8%	85.7%	49.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành A	2017	86.7%	94.6%	73.8%
Vietnam	Chi Lăng	2000	66.7%	86.7%	43.5%
Vietnam	Chi Lăng	2017	84.2%	95.4%	65.1%
Vietnam	Chí Linh	2000	85.8%	96.8%	60.4%
Vietnam	Chí Linh	2017	94.4%	99.1%	76.9%
Vietnam	Chiêm Hóa	2000	68.7%	82.6%	50.0%
Vietnam	Chiêm Hóa	2017	85.5%	93.9%	71.4%
Vietnam	Chợ Đồn	2000	63.7%	83.2%	41.1%
Vietnam	Chợ Đồn	2017	81.1%	93.9%	62.4%
Vietnam	Chợ Gạo	2000	84.4%	93.6%	69.0%
Vietnam	Chợ Gạo	2017	94.3%	98.2%	86.8%
Vietnam	Chợ Lách	2000	71.6%	91.7%	38.4%
Vietnam	Chợ Lách	2017	86.7%	96.9%	62.9%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2000	72.7%	89.2%	45.2%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2000	81.9%	92.1%	68.9%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2017	87.2%	96.2%	67.6%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2017	93.8%	98.2%	86.9%
Vietnam	Chơn Thành	2000	74.6%	91.5%	50.5%
Vietnam	Chơn Thành	2017	89.3%	97.3%	71.9%
Vietnam	Chư Păh	2000	71.8%	85.3%	54.8%
Vietnam	Chư Păh	2017	87.1%	95.2%	76.5%
Vietnam	Chư Prông	2000	75.1%	85.4%	61.4%
Vietnam	Chư Prông	2017	89.0%	95.0%	78.0%
Vietnam	Chư Pưh	2000	72.1%	90.3%	52.1%
Vietnam	Chư Pưh	2017	88.2%	97.2%	72.0%
Vietnam	Chư Sê	2000	74.5%	84.3%	59.9%
Vietnam	Chư Sê	2017	90.9%	95.3%	82.7%
Vietnam	Chương Mỹ	2000	68.4%	86.3%	46.7%
Vietnam	Chương Mỹ	2017	85.8%	95.5%	69.3%
Vietnam	Cờ Đỏ	2000	66.1%	89.1%	37.4%
Vietnam	Cờ Đỏ	2017	82.1%	96.0%	57.3%
Vietnam	Cô Tô	2000	77.5%	96.4%	37.0%
Vietnam	Cô Tô	2017	89.9%	98.9%	63.9%
Vietnam	Con Cuông	2000	62.9%	76.3%	48.5%
Vietnam	Con Cuông	2017	79.2%	88.7%	67.4%
Vietnam	Củ Chi	2000	91.9%	97.1%	80.5%
Vietnam	Củ Chi	2017	97.6%	99.3%	92.8%
Vietnam	Cư Jút	2000	72.6%	86.2%	57.4%
Vietnam	Cư Jút	2017	88.8%	95.6%	79.1%
Vietnam	Cư Kuin	2000	60.3%	72.5%	45.0%
Vietnam	Cư Kuin	2017	77.5%	84.5%	65.9%
Vietnam	Cù Lao Dung	2000	82.2%	96.5%	58.1%
Vietnam	Cù Lao Dung	2017	92.5%	99.1%	76.7%
Vietnam	Cư M'gar	2000	62.7%	76.8%	46.7%
Vietnam	Cư M'gar	2017	83.4%	91.3%	71.9%
Vietnam	Cửa Lò	2000	90.4%	99.8%	60.8%
Vietnam	Cửa Lò	2017	95.9%	100.0%	77.5%
Vietnam	Đà Bắc	2000	63.8%	79.5%	47.5%
Vietnam	Đà Bắc	2017	82.5%	92.1%	69.9%
Vietnam	Đạ Huoai	2000	79.8%	94.6%	57.6%
Vietnam	Đạ Huoai	2017	90.6%	98.2%	74.8%
Vietnam	Đa Krông	2000	78.3%	89.9%	64.0%
Vietnam	Đa Krông	2017	90.6%	96.5%	82.2%
Vietnam	Đà Lạt	2000	85.8%	90.9%	80.4%
Vietnam	Đà Lạt	2017	96.4%	98.2%	93.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Đạ Tễh	2000	87.5%	95.4%	71.0%
Vietnam	Đạ Tễh	2017	94.8%	98.4%	86.9%
Vietnam	Đại Lộc	2000	78.2%	86.6%	67.3%
Vietnam	Đại Lộc	2017	92.3%	95.5%	86.7%
Vietnam	Đại Từ	2000	80.0%	92.4%	60.2%
Vietnam	Đại Từ	2017	91.7%	98.0%	77.9%
Vietnam	Đăk Đoa	2000	63.0%	73.9%	48.6%
Vietnam	Đăk Đoa	2017	79.6%	87.1%	68.1%
Vietnam	Đăk Glei	2000	71.8%	87.0%	56.0%
Vietnam	Đăk Glei	2017	86.7%	94.5%	76.5%
Vietnam	Đăk Glong	2000	57.2%	70.8%	41.0%
Vietnam	Đăk Glong	2017	77.3%	86.8%	64.5%
Vietnam	Đăk Hà	2000	55.8%	76.2%	36.0%
Vietnam	Đăk Hà	2017	78.0%	91.1%	60.7%
Vietnam	Đăk Mil	2000	72.2%	85.0%	58.8%
Vietnam	Đăk Mil	2017	89.6%	95.3%	79.9%
Vietnam	Đăk Pơ	2000	68.5%	83.8%	48.0%
Vietnam	Đăk Pơ	2017	86.4%	95.4%	71.3%
Vietnam	Đăk R'Lấp	2000	62.5%	83.1%	41.3%
Vietnam	Đăk R'Lấp	2017	81.1%	93.1%	63.9%
Vietnam	Đăk Song	2000	60.2%	80.5%	39.3%
Vietnam	Đăk Song	2017	80.9%	92.9%	65.5%
Vietnam	Đăk Tô	2000	63.7%	82.1%	40.8%
Vietnam	Đăk Tô	2017	84.6%	93.7%	70.3%
Vietnam	Đầm Dơi	2000	85.9%	94.6%	72.9%
Vietnam	Đầm Dơi	2017	94.5%	98.6%	85.9%
Vietnam	Đầm Hà	2000	83.4%	96.7%	56.0%
Vietnam	Đầm Hà	2017	93.4%	99.1%	76.6%
Vietnam	Đam Rông	2000	58.6%	79.7%	35.2%
Vietnam	Đam Rông	2017	77.4%	92.2%	55.2%
Vietnam	Đan Phượng	2000	83.2%	96.1%	58.8%
Vietnam	Đan Phượng	2017	94.5%	99.4%	81.7%
Vietnam	Đất Đỏ	2000	85.9%	98.0%	62.0%
Vietnam	Đất Đỏ	2017	94.3%	99.5%	76.3%
Vietnam	Dầu Tiếng	2000	85.6%	95.4%	71.4%
Vietnam	Dầu Tiếng	2017	94.5%	98.7%	85.4%
Vietnam	Dĩ An	2000	96.4%	99.0%	91.3%
Vietnam	Dĩ An	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.5%
Vietnam	Di Linh	2000	84.7%	91.5%	75.7%
Vietnam	Di Linh	2017	93.5%	96.7%	89.0%
Vietnam	Điện Bàn	2000	83.8%	91.9%	68.1%
Vietnam	Điện Bàn	2017	94.7%	97.8%	87.4%
Vietnam	Điện Biên	2000	73.3%	87.3%	49.0%
Vietnam	Điện Biên	2017	87.8%	95.5%	70.7%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Đông	2000	55.5%	71.0%	38.8%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Đông	2017	74.5%	85.2%	60.1%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Phủ	2000	76.6%	94.3%	48.7%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Phủ	2017	92.6%	98.9%	79.5%
Vietnam	Diễn Châu	2000	79.5%	91.0%	62.2%
Vietnam	Diễn Châu	2017	92.8%	97.8%	82.7%
Vietnam	Diên Khánh	2000	51.0%	72.9%	29.3%
Vietnam	Diên Khánh	2017	67.6%	86.4%	48.6%
Vietnam	Định Hóa	2000	58.0%	73.3%	38.5%
Vietnam	Định Hóa	2017	71.9%	81.1%	58.7%
Vietnam	Đình Lập	2000	65.8%	83.4%	44.9%
Vietnam	Đình Lập	2017	82.6%	93.5%	64.0%
Vietnam	Định Quán	2000	87.2%	94.7%	70.3%
Vietnam	Định Quán	2017	95.2%	98.3%	86.6%
Vietnam	Đô Lương	2000	70.9%	83.4%	51.3%
Vietnam	Đô Lương	2017	85.9%	91.8%	74.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Đồ Sơn	2000	77.0%	92.1%	51.1%
Vietnam	Đồ Sơn	2017	91.7%	98.0%	77.1%
Vietnam	Đoan Hùng	2000	80.9%	93.9%	54.8%
Vietnam	Đoan Hùng	2017	92.7%	98.4%	77.2%
Vietnam	Đơn Dương	2000	77.0%	86.5%	64.1%
Vietnam	Đơn Dương	2017	91.1%	95.6%	84.8%
Vietnam	Đông Anh	2000	94.4%	98.7%	83.0%
Vietnam	Đông Anh	2017	98.5%	99.8%	93.7%
Vietnam	Đống Đa	2000	97.4%	98.5%	95.4%
Vietnam	Đống Đa	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Vietnam	Đông Giang	2000	76.6%	90.5%	57.4%
Vietnam	Đông Giang	2017	89.3%	96.6%	77.1%
Vietnam	Đông Hà	2000	94.9%	98.7%	89.8%
Vietnam	Đông Hà	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.8%
Vietnam	Đông Hải	2000	90.0%	98.0%	77.2%
Vietnam	Đông Hải	2017	96.1%	99.5%	87.7%
Vietnam	Đông Hòa	2000	76.7%	92.8%	46.5%
Vietnam	Đông Hòa	2017	90.2%	98.0%	72.2%
Vietnam	Đông Hưng	2000	88.3%	96.4%	75.0%
Vietnam	Đông Hưng	2017	95.9%	98.9%	89.1%
Vietnam	Đồng Hỷ	2000	69.6%	84.1%	51.8%
Vietnam	Đồng Hỷ	2017	86.5%	95.2%	73.3%
Vietnam	Đồng Phú	2000	79.7%	90.9%	64.2%
Vietnam	Đồng Phú	2017	92.3%	97.3%	85.0%
Vietnam	Đông Sơn	2000	86.5%	95.7%	69.7%
Vietnam	Đông Sơn	2017	95.9%	99.2%	88.6%
Vietnam	Đông Triều	2000	82.2%	95.0%	60.6%
Vietnam	Đông Triều	2017	93.0%	98.4%	78.4%
Vietnam	Đồng Văn	2000	69.8%	90.8%	37.6%
Vietnam	Đồng Văn	2017	86.4%	97.0%	63.1%
Vietnam	Đồng Xoài	2000	86.2%	97.6%	63.6%
Vietnam	Đồng Xoài	2017	95.5%	99.4%	86.2%
Vietnam	Đồng Xuân	2000	64.9%	78.1%	47.6%
Vietnam	Đồng Xuân	2017	85.5%	93.5%	74.7%
Vietnam	Đức Cơ	2000	65.3%	79.8%	48.3%
Vietnam	Đức Cơ	2017	83.7%	92.5%	69.9%
Vietnam	Đức Hòa	2000	88.2%	97.0%	74.1%
Vietnam	Đức Hòa	2017	96.0%	99.2%	88.3%
Vietnam	Đức Huệ	2000	79.6%	94.5%	52.5%
Vietnam	Đức Huệ	2017	91.1%	98.3%	73.7%
Vietnam	Đức Linh	2000	59.8%	73.4%	44.3%
Vietnam	Đức Linh	2017	79.9%	86.9%	70.8%
Vietnam	Đức Phổ	2000	83.8%	95.6%	62.9%
Vietnam	Đức Phổ	2017	94.0%	98.8%	83.4%
Vietnam	Đức Thọ	2000	65.0%	82.4%	40.7%
Vietnam	Đức Thọ	2017	86.9%	94.2%	70.1%
Vietnam	Đức Trọng	2000	82.1%	90.5%	70.6%
Vietnam	Đức Trọng	2017	92.3%	96.2%	86.3%
Vietnam	Dương Kinh	2000	94.7%	99.0%	83.0%
Vietnam	Dương Kinh	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.0%
Vietnam	Dương Minh Châu	2000	87.5%	95.7%	73.0%
Vietnam	Dương Minh Châu	2017	95.5%	98.9%	87.5%
Vietnam	Duy Tiên	2000	93.0%	98.2%	80.9%
Vietnam	Duy Tiên	2017	98.2%	99.6%	93.3%
Vietnam	Duy Xuyên	2000	75.8%	88.8%	63.0%
Vietnam	Duy Xuyên	2017	89.7%	96.3%	80.9%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải	2000	79.9%	95.4%	54.6%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải	2017	91.7%	98.7%	76.0%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải (Thị xã)	2000	70.9%	94.6%	31.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Duyên Hải (Thị xã)	2017	86.4%	98.6%	58.5%
Vietnam	Ea H'leo	2000	58.6%	71.9%	44.5%
Vietnam	Ea H'leo	2017	79.9%	87.8%	69.2%
Vietnam	Ea Kar	2000	63.2%	74.5%	50.2%
Vietnam	Ea Kar	2017	80.7%	88.3%	69.6%
Vietnam	Ea Súp	2000	69.6%	82.3%	55.1%
Vietnam	Ea Súp	2017	86.3%	93.4%	73.4%
Vietnam	Gia Bình	2000	83.9%	95.3%	60.8%
Vietnam	Gia Bình	2017	93.8%	98.8%	78.3%
Vietnam	Gia Lâm	2000	96.0%	99.4%	87.2%
Vietnam	Gia Lâm	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.2%
Vietnam	Gia Lộc	2000	91.8%	97.3%	80.0%
Vietnam	Gia Lộc	2017	97.5%	99.4%	93.0%
Vietnam	Gia Nghĩa	2000	58.6%	69.4%	41.3%
Vietnam	Gia Nghĩa	2017	84.0%	90.3%	72.8%
Vietnam	Giá Rai	2000	88.6%	94.3%	80.0%
Vietnam	Giá Rai	2017	96.5%	98.6%	92.5%
Vietnam	Gia Viễn	2000	90.5%	98.7%	69.8%
Vietnam	Gia Viễn	2017	96.5%	99.6%	85.6%
Vietnam	Giang Thành	2000	71.9%	90.6%	40.5%
Vietnam	Giang Thành	2017	84.8%	95.8%	61.3%
Vietnam	Giao Thủy	2000	93.3%	98.9%	82.5%
Vietnam	Giao Thủy	2017	97.7%	99.7%	93.1%
Vietnam	Gio Linh	2000	77.8%	90.2%	59.9%
Vietnam	Gio Linh	2017	91.2%	96.9%	79.3%
Vietnam	Giồng Riềng	2000	68.7%	84.3%	49.4%
Vietnam	Giồng Riềng	2017	85.9%	94.2%	73.2%
Vietnam	Giồng Trôm	2000	72.9%	86.2%	56.4%
Vietnam	Giồng Trôm	2017	88.7%	95.4%	77.4%
Vietnam	Gò Công	2000	91.6%	98.4%	77.3%
Vietnam	Gò Công	2017	97.4%	99.7%	91.3%
Vietnam	Gò Công Đông	2000	88.0%	97.0%	68.9%
Vietnam	Gò Công Đông	2017	94.7%	99.1%	82.9%
Vietnam	Gò Công Tây	2000	83.6%	94.0%	59.1%
Vietnam	Gò Công Tây	2017	92.6%	98.3%	77.5%
Vietnam	Gò Dầu	2000	87.8%	95.7%	73.9%
Vietnam	Gò Dầu	2017	96.2%	99.0%	90.4%
Vietnam	Gò Quao	2000	78.4%	90.1%	63.0%
Vietnam	Gò Quao	2017	91.2%	96.9%	80.6%
Vietnam	Gò Vấp	2000	96.0%	97.6%	93.2%
Vietnam	Gò Vấp	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.6%
Vietnam	Hà Đông	2000	98.4%	99.6%	95.0%
Vietnam	Hà Đông	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Vietnam	Hà Giang	2000	62.2%	80.7%	39.9%
Vietnam	Hà Giang	2017	83.4%	94.4%	67.3%
Vietnam	Hạ Hoà	2000	77.0%	89.1%	55.1%
Vietnam	Hạ Hoà	2017	89.5%	96.4%	75.0%
Vietnam	Hạ Lang	2000	63.3%	85.1%	36.6%
Vietnam	Hạ Lang	2017	82.7%	95.3%	59.4%
Vietnam	Hạ Long	2000	89.1%	97.2%	73.7%
Vietnam	Hạ Long	2017	96.0%	99.3%	88.8%
Vietnam	Hà Quảng	2000	63.6%	84.9%	37.3%
Vietnam	Hà Quảng	2017	81.5%	94.7%	58.9%
Vietnam	Hà Tiên	2000	81.6%	98.1%	47.1%
Vietnam	Hà Tiên	2017	94.3%	99.4%	80.4%
Vietnam	Hà Tĩnh	2000	96.8%	99.6%	87.8%
Vietnam	Hà Tĩnh	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Vietnam	Hà Trung	2000	79.9%	91.0%	64.8%
Vietnam	Hà Trung	2017	92.2%	97.8%	82.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Hải An	2000	95.6%	99.6%	82.2%
Vietnam	Hải An	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.8%
Vietnam	Hai Bà Trưng	2000	97.4%	99.0%	94.0%
Vietnam	Hai Bà Trưng	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Vietnam	Hải Châu	2000	95.6%	97.5%	93.3%
Vietnam	Hải Châu	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.8%
Vietnam	Hải Dương	2000	95.5%	98.4%	87.3%
Vietnam	Hải Dương	2017	99.0%	99.7%	96.5%
Vietnam	Hải Hà	2000	76.5%	93.2%	48.3%
Vietnam	Hải Hà	2017	89.7%	97.7%	66.1%
Vietnam	Hải Hậu	2000	83.1%	95.1%	64.9%
Vietnam	Hải Hậu	2017	92.2%	98.3%	80.7%
Vietnam	Hải Lăng	2000	80.9%	93.3%	58.8%
Vietnam	Hải Lăng	2017	92.0%	97.5%	77.6%
Vietnam	Hàm Tân	2000	79.8%	92.9%	61.6%
Vietnam	Hàm Tân	2017	91.9%	98.1%	81.0%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Bắc	2000	66.5%	80.2%	50.3%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Bắc	2017	83.5%	92.6%	71.1%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Nam	2000	76.4%	88.4%	58.7%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Nam	2017	89.8%	95.7%	79.0%
Vietnam	Hàm Yên	2000	68.1%	82.6%	49.8%
Vietnam	Hàm Yên	2017	84.6%	93.6%	71.5%
Vietnam	Hậu Lộc	2000	84.1%	96.0%	63.5%
Vietnam	Hậu Lộc	2017	94.2%	98.9%	82.7%
Vietnam	Hiệp Đức	2000	78.7%	92.8%	53.0%
Vietnam	Hiệp Đức	2017	91.3%	97.9%	76.8%
Vietnam	Hiệp Hòa	2000	57.4%	72.8%	38.2%
Vietnam	Hiệp Hòa	2017	80.5%	90.4%	63.4%
Vietnam	Hoà An	2000	67.9%	79.0%	55.2%
Vietnam	Hoà An	2017	85.1%	91.9%	73.9%
Vietnam	Hoà Bình	2000	72.0%	88.6%	44.8%
Vietnam	Hoà Bình	2017	87.7%	96.7%	65.8%
Vietnam	Hòa Bình	2000	69.3%	81.9%	56.0%
Vietnam	Hòa Bình	2017	89.1%	95.2%	80.3%
Vietnam	Hoa Lư	2000	92.1%	98.9%	79.5%
Vietnam	Hoa Lư	2017	97.4%	99.7%	91.2%
Vietnam	Hòa Thành	2000	86.7%	95.5%	71.0%
Vietnam	Hòa Thành	2017	95.5%	98.7%	87.4%
Vietnam	Hòa Vang	2000	93.2%	97.4%	85.5%
Vietnam	Hòa Vang	2017	98.1%	99.4%	95.4%
Vietnam	Hoài Ân	2000	80.2%	92.5%	58.6%
Vietnam	Hoài Ân	2017	91.3%	97.5%	77.4%
Vietnam	Hoài Đức	2000	95.2%	99.5%	83.1%
Vietnam	Hoài Đức	2017	98.9%	99.9%	94.9%
Vietnam	Hoài Nhơn	2000	88.6%	95.4%	73.5%
Vietnam	Hoài Nhơn	2017	95.9%	98.6%	89.0%
Vietnam	Hoàn Kiếm	2000	96.9%	98.8%	93.1%
Vietnam	Hoàn Kiếm	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Vietnam	Hoàng Hóa	2000	79.5%	89.9%	61.5%
Vietnam	Hoàng Hóa	2017	92.2%	97.1%	82.8%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2000	98.9%	99.9%	94.9%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2000	72.6%	82.8%	52.9%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2017	85.3%	90.9%	75.3%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Vietnam	Hoàng Su Phì	2000	60.6%	84.0%	34.5%
Vietnam	Hoàng Su Phì	2017	79.2%	94.4%	57.0%
Vietnam	Hoành Bồ	2000	80.4%	91.9%	60.9%
Vietnam	Hoành Bồ	2017	92.3%	97.9%	80.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Hóc Môn	2000	97.2%	98.8%	93.2%
Vietnam	Hóc Môn	2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.1%
Vietnam	Hội An	2000	82.5%	98.3%	46.9%
Vietnam	Hội An	2017	94.5%	99.7%	78.1%
Vietnam	Hòn Đất	2000	65.3%	83.2%	46.2%
Vietnam	Hòn Đất	2017	83.2%	94.3%	68.7%
Vietnam	Hớn Quản	2000	77.5%	90.9%	57.0%
Vietnam	Hớn Quản	2017	89.7%	97.0%	75.4%
Vietnam	Hồng Bàng	2000	97.9%	99.6%	92.8%
Vietnam	Hồng Bàng	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.9%
Vietnam	Hồng Dân	2000	88.8%	96.8%	76.0%
Vietnam	Hồng Dân	2017	96.4%	99.2%	90.4%
Vietnam	Hồng Lĩnh	2000	79.6%	97.9%	31.9%
Vietnam	Hồng Lĩnh	2017	91.4%	99.3%	57.6%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự	2000	64.3%	79.9%	46.0%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự	2017	86.1%	94.0%	71.9%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự (Thị xã)	2000	66.2%	94.1%	29.2%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự (Thị xã)	2017	88.0%	98.7%	61.4%
Vietnam	Huế	2000	95.3%	97.1%	91.5%
Vietnam	Huế	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.4%
Vietnam	Hưng Hà	2000	94.9%	99.1%	80.6%
Vietnam	Hưng Hà	2017	98.3%	99.8%	92.5%
Vietnam	Hưng Nguyên	2000	84.5%	94.0%	68.7%
Vietnam	Hưng Nguyên	2017	95.0%	98.4%	87.5%
Vietnam	Hưng Yên	2000	95.6%	99.3%	83.3%
Vietnam	Hưng Yên	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.7%
Vietnam	Hướng Hóa	2000	74.6%	91.5%	54.6%
Vietnam	Hướng Hóa	2017	87.9%	96.6%	74.4%
Vietnam	Hương Khê	2000	84.0%	93.9%	67.6%
Vietnam	Hương Khê	2017	93.8%	98.3%	84.4%
Vietnam	Hương Sơn	2000	75.4%	89.2%	55.6%
Vietnam	Hương Sơn	2017	89.8%	96.5%	75.1%
Vietnam	Hương Thủy	2000	82.7%	93.4%	68.9%
Vietnam	Hương Thủy	2017	93.6%	98.4%	83.0%
Vietnam	Hương Trà	2000	93.7%	98.4%	84.8%
Vietnam	Hương Trà	2017	98.1%	99.6%	94.5%
Vietnam	Hữu Lũng	2000	67.0%	80.6%	48.5%
Vietnam	Hữu Lũng	2017	84.7%	92.9%	71.5%
Vietnam	Ia Grai	2000	72.8%	82.9%	57.9%
Vietnam	Ia Grai	2017	91.2%	96.0%	82.1%
Vietnam	Ia H' Drai	2000	71.3%	86.1%	52.2%
Vietnam	Ia H' Drai	2017	86.6%	95.0%	72.9%
Vietnam	Ia Pa	2000	57.8%	75.7%	37.5%
Vietnam	Ia Pa	2017	78.9%	89.9%	62.5%
Vietnam	KBang	2000	60.1%	74.1%	43.1%
Vietnam	KBang	2017	80.3%	89.2%	66.3%
Vietnam	Kế Sách	2000	71.3%	90.3%	40.7%
Vietnam	Kế Sách	2017	86.9%	97.2%	62.1%
Vietnam	Khánh Sơn	2000	34.5%	63.0%	15.0%
Vietnam	Khánh Sơn	2017	58.5%	81.7%	35.0%
Vietnam	Khánh Vĩnh	2000	58.4%	80.3%	33.5%
Vietnam	Khánh Vĩnh	2017	77.0%	91.5%	57.5%
Vietnam	Khoái Châu	2000	95.8%	99.3%	86.0%
Vietnam	Khoái Châu	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.3%
Vietnam	Kiến An	2000	94.8%	97.0%	91.4%
Vietnam	Kiến An	2017	98.9%	99.4%	98.0%
Vietnam	Kiên Hải	2000	77.1%	97.3%	42.3%
Vietnam	Kiên Hải	2017	89.9%	99.2%	61.5%
Vietnam	Kiên Lương	2000	80.0%	94.9%	53.0%
Vietnam	Kiên Lương	2017	91.8%	98.3%	78.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Kiến Thủy	2000	91.5%	97.3%	80.8%
Vietnam	Kiến Thủy	2017	97.5%	99.3%	93.3%
Vietnam	Kiến Tường	2000	64.1%	92.2%	24.7%
Vietnam	Kiến Tường	2017	82.3%	97.6%	48.2%
Vietnam	Kiến Xương	2000	76.3%	91.4%	57.7%
Vietnam	Kiến Xương	2017	90.4%	97.6%	78.3%
Vietnam	Kim Bảng	2000	80.9%	95.8%	52.6%
Vietnam	Kim Bảng	2017	91.7%	99.0%	74.2%
Vietnam	Kim Bôi	2000	58.8%	74.2%	40.2%
Vietnam	Kim Bôi	2017	78.4%	88.4%	64.2%
Vietnam	Kim Động	2000	87.3%	97.9%	60.4%
Vietnam	Kim Động	2017	95.4%	99.4%	81.7%
Vietnam	Kim Sơn	2000	90.7%	98.1%	73.3%
Vietnam	Kim Sơn	2017	97.2%	99.5%	90.2%
Vietnam	Kim Thành	2000	82.8%	94.5%	59.1%
Vietnam	Kim Thành	2017	94.1%	98.7%	81.8%
Vietnam	Kinh Môn	2000	87.9%	98.2%	66.8%
Vietnam	Kinh Môn	2017	95.6%	99.5%	83.6%
Vietnam	Kon Plông	2000	71.9%	85.1%	59.9%
Vietnam	Kon Plông	2017	87.5%	94.4%	78.0%
Vietnam	Kon Rẫy	2000	60.7%	79.3%	34.5%
Vietnam	Kon Rẫy	2017	80.4%	92.2%	59.9%
Vietnam	Kon Tum	2000	65.0%	73.1%	55.3%
Vietnam	Kon Tum	2017	87.2%	91.3%	82.7%
Vietnam	Kông Chro	2000	64.8%	82.4%	46.5%
Vietnam	Kông Chro	2017	82.7%	93.3%	66.6%
Vietnam	Krông A Na	2000	83.0%	89.8%	70.8%
Vietnam	Krông A Na	2017	93.7%	96.4%	87.4%
Vietnam	Krông Bông	2000	54.0%	74.8%	31.6%
Vietnam	Krông Bông	2017	75.6%	89.2%	55.5%
Vietnam	Krông Búk	2000	62.7%	83.5%	40.1%
Vietnam	Krông Búk	2017	81.5%	94.5%	64.0%
Vietnam	Krông Năng	2000	57.3%	72.8%	38.5%
Vietnam	Krông Năng	2017	76.1%	87.2%	61.2%
Vietnam	Krông Nô	2000	73.2%	86.5%	58.2%
Vietnam	Krông Nô	2017	87.0%	95.2%	75.7%
Vietnam	Krông Pa	2000	62.0%	75.9%	46.4%
Vietnam	Krông Pa	2017	80.4%	90.6%	66.8%
Vietnam	Krông Pắc	2000	55.3%	65.2%	45.9%
Vietnam	Krông Pắc	2017	76.8%	84.0%	69.1%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh	2000	71.2%	88.4%	47.4%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh	2017	87.4%	96.4%	70.3%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh (Thị xã)	2000	79.1%	95.7%	51.3%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh (Thị xã)	2017	90.7%	98.8%	68.9%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2000	60.7%	74.7%	46.3%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2000	74.2%	90.3%	49.6%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2017	89.9%	96.6%	77.3%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2017	79.1%	88.5%	65.7%
Vietnam	La Gi	2000	82.3%	98.0%	42.6%
Vietnam	La Gi	2017	94.0%	99.6%	71.3%
Vietnam	Lạc Dương	2000	73.5%	87.2%	54.5%
Vietnam	Lạc Dương	2017	87.3%	95.2%	73.9%
Vietnam	Lạc Sơn	2000	63.4%	76.7%	43.5%
Vietnam	Lạc Sơn	2017	81.7%	90.7%	67.1%
Vietnam	Lạc Thủy	2000	61.6%	77.5%	41.5%
Vietnam	Lạc Thủy	2017	82.4%	91.1%	67.0%
Vietnam	Lai Châu	2000	55.4%	89.0%	19.2%
Vietnam	Lai Châu	2017	76.7%	96.8%	38.1%
Vietnam	Lai Vung	2000	52.4%	74.7%	26.7%
Vietnam	Lai Vung	2017	75.4%	89.5%	50.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Lắk	2000	62.9%	78.2%	43.4%
Vietnam	Lắk	2017	82.0%	92.1%	66.3%
Vietnam	Lâm Bình	2000	73.7%	89.6%	55.1%
Vietnam	Lâm Bình	2017	88.2%	96.6%	74.2%
Vietnam	Lâm Hà	2000	60.7%	70.7%	49.3%
Vietnam	Lâm Hà	2017	77.5%	85.6%	66.4%
Vietnam	Lâm Thao	2000	78.5%	92.8%	56.5%
Vietnam	Lâm Thao	2017	91.0%	97.6%	76.6%
Vietnam	Lang Chánh	2000	69.5%	88.8%	44.1%
Vietnam	Lang Chánh	2017	85.1%	96.4%	66.9%
Vietnam	Lạng Giang	2000	61.8%	78.2%	40.7%
Vietnam	Lạng Giang	2017	82.7%	93.4%	65.7%
Vietnam	Lạng Sơn	2000	84.2%	91.1%	74.4%
Vietnam	Lạng Sơn	2017	95.5%	97.6%	91.3%
Vietnam	Lào Cai	2000	67.3%	80.8%	47.3%
Vietnam	Lào Cai	2017	85.4%	93.2%	72.7%
Vietnam	Lập Thạch	2000	70.5%	94.3%	34.7%
Vietnam	Lập Thạch	2017	86.1%	98.4%	57.2%
Vietnam	Lấp Vò	2000	61.0%	74.6%	47.8%
Vietnam	Lấp Vò	2017	80.1%	88.4%	68.6%
Vietnam	Lê Chân	2000	98.4%	99.8%	94.9%
Vietnam	Lê Chân	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Vietnam	Lệ Thủy	2000	86.1%	95.3%	69.9%
Vietnam	Lệ Thủy	2017	94.7%	98.7%	86.0%
Vietnam	Liên Chiểu	2000	95.3%	97.9%	90.4%
Vietnam	Liên Chiểu	2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.1%
Vietnam	Lộc Bình	2000	76.2%	88.8%	60.4%
Vietnam	Lộc Bình	2017	90.9%	96.8%	82.4%
Vietnam	Lộc Hà	2000	94.4%	99.3%	81.8%
Vietnam	Lộc Hà	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.2%
Vietnam	Lộc Ninh	2000	75.5%	89.7%	53.6%
Vietnam	Lộc Ninh	2017	89.5%	97.0%	72.9%
Vietnam	Long Biên	2000	97.0%	98.5%	93.9%
Vietnam	Long Biên	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Vietnam	Long Điền	2000	92.7%	99.4%	69.3%
Vietnam	Long Điền	2017	97.8%	99.9%	87.1%
Vietnam	Long Hồ	2000	65.3%	77.8%	43.9%
Vietnam	Long Hồ	2017	83.8%	90.9%	68.3%
Vietnam	Long Khánh	2000	82.3%	94.2%	59.8%
Vietnam	Long Khánh	2017	93.7%	98.6%	80.7%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ	2000	86.9%	97.4%	65.1%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ	2017	94.9%	99.2%	83.2%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ (Thị xã)	2000	88.6%	97.6%	72.7%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ (Thị xã)	2017	95.4%	99.2%	84.6%
Vietnam	Long Phú	2000	83.1%	94.3%	65.6%
Vietnam	Long Phú	2017	93.7%	98.4%	84.2%
Vietnam	Long Thành	2000	89.2%	97.2%	77.0%
Vietnam	Long Thành	2017	96.3%	99.2%	90.4%
Vietnam	Long Xuyên	2000	93.2%	97.9%	81.7%
Vietnam	Long Xuyên	2017	98.3%	99.5%	94.0%
Vietnam	Lục Nam	2000	73.7%	86.6%	56.5%
Vietnam	Lục Nam	2017	89.6%	95.9%	78.5%
Vietnam	Lục Ngạn	2000	69.7%	80.7%	55.5%
Vietnam	Lục Ngạn	2017	87.6%	93.9%	76.6%
Vietnam	Lục Yên	2000	74.7%	89.1%	56.7%
Vietnam	Lục Yên	2017	88.7%	96.2%	77.2%
Vietnam	Lương Sơn	2000	75.5%	88.6%	59.4%
Vietnam	Lương Sơn	2017	90.0%	96.1%	77.7%
Vietnam	Lương Tài	2000	84.8%	94.3%	69.9%
Vietnam	Lương Tài	2017	94.1%	98.8%	84.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Lý Nhân	2000	90.0%	98.5%	70.4%
Vietnam	Lý Nhân	2017	96.3%	99.6%	85.0%
Vietnam	Lý Sơn	2000	81.8%	99.2%	31.0%
Vietnam	Lý Sơn	2017	92.5%	99.8%	58.8%
Vietnam	M'Đrắk	2000	56.2%	73.0%	37.1%
Vietnam	M'Đrắk	2017	76.6%	88.5%	58.2%
Vietnam	Mai Châu	2000	79.8%	92.8%	60.9%
Vietnam	Mai Châu	2017	90.6%	97.5%	78.7%
Vietnam	Mai Sơn	2000	71.9%	83.0%	60.5%
Vietnam	Mai Sơn	2017	87.7%	94.6%	79.7%
Vietnam	Mang Thít	2000	54.3%	75.6%	30.4%
Vietnam	Mang Thít	2017	76.0%	90.1%	55.9%
Vietnam	Mang Yang	2000	57.3%	74.6%	39.8%
Vietnam	Mang Yang	2017	74.2%	86.5%	59.9%
Vietnam	Mê Linh	2000	91.9%	97.9%	78.1%
Vietnam	Mê Linh	2017	97.0%	99.3%	91.0%
Vietnam	Mèo Vạc	2000	65.7%	85.5%	43.8%
Vietnam	Mèo Vạc	2017	83.5%	94.8%	66.4%
Vietnam	Minh Hóa	2000	75.5%	88.5%	56.1%
Vietnam	Minh Hóa	2017	88.4%	95.2%	76.6%
Vietnam	Minh Long	2000	79.3%	97.3%	49.8%
Vietnam	Minh Long	2017	90.9%	99.2%	71.4%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Bắc	2000	69.0%	89.0%	43.5%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Bắc	2017	84.8%	95.9%	66.0%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Nam	2000	84.6%	94.7%	66.6%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Nam	2017	95.0%	98.6%	87.2%
Vietnam	Mộ Đức	2000	75.4%	90.6%	50.2%
Vietnam	Mộ Đức	2017	90.3%	97.0%	73.8%
Vietnam	Mộc Châu	2000	60.8%	72.7%	48.7%
Vietnam	Mộc Châu	2017	79.6%	87.7%	69.4%
Vietnam	Mộc Hóa	2000	64.3%	90.3%	33.6%
Vietnam	Mộc Hóa	2017	82.1%	97.1%	53.1%
Vietnam	Móng Cái	2000	82.9%	94.4%	62.6%
Vietnam	Móng Cái	2017	93.4%	98.3%	82.4%
Vietnam	Mù Căng Chải	2000	65.5%	83.0%	47.9%
Vietnam	Mù Căng Chải	2017	82.6%	93.7%	70.0%
Vietnam	Mường Ảng	2000	69.5%	90.6%	40.3%
Vietnam	Mường Ảng	2017	85.7%	97.1%	62.1%
Vietnam	Mường Chà	2000	65.3%	81.0%	46.1%
Vietnam	Mường Chà	2017	83.1%	92.8%	68.7%
Vietnam	Mường	2000	65.8%	84.9%	41.5%
Vietnam	Khương				
Vietnam	Mường	2017	84.4%	94.6%	67.7%
Vietnam	Khương				
Vietnam	Mường La	2000	54.7%	71.3%	37.6%
Vietnam	Mường La	2017	74.6%	87.2%	60.6%
Vietnam	Mường Lát	2000	69.6%	88.3%	43.9%
Vietnam	Mường Lát	2017	85.3%	96.1%	67.5%
Vietnam	Mường Nhé	2000	70.5%	84.6%	52.1%
Vietnam	Mường Nhé	2017	84.9%	93.2%	71.4%
Vietnam	Mường Tè	2000	67.1%	79.4%	53.6%
Vietnam	Mường Tè	2017	83.8%	91.1%	73.6%
Vietnam	Mỹ Đức	2000	58.5%	75.8%	36.2%
Vietnam	Mỹ Đức	2017	76.2%	87.5%	57.3%
Vietnam	Mỹ Hòa	2000	91.4%	97.4%	79.5%
Vietnam	Mỹ Hòa	2017	97.3%	99.2%	92.3%
Vietnam	Mỹ Lộc	2000	91.7%	99.3%	69.4%
Vietnam	Mỹ Lộc	2017	97.3%	99.9%	86.8%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tho	2000	89.0%	96.6%	75.6%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tho	2017	97.0%	99.4%	92.6%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tú	2000	73.1%	91.1%	45.6%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tú	2017	87.2%	97.2%	64.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Mỹ Xuyên	2000	69.8%	83.6%	50.6%
Vietnam	Mỹ Xuyên	2017	87.1%	94.8%	72.4%
Vietnam	Nà Hang	2000	52.7%	65.6%	39.1%
Vietnam	Nà Hang	2017	73.1%	82.8%	62.0%
Vietnam	Na Rì	2000	65.8%	83.9%	47.5%
Vietnam	Na Rì	2017	83.7%	94.3%	67.7%
Vietnam	Năm Căn	2000	68.5%	86.0%	49.3%
Vietnam	Năm Căn	2017	85.4%	95.5%	69.5%
Vietnam	Nam Đàn	2000	69.7%	84.7%	45.0%
Vietnam	Nam Đàn	2017	86.5%	94.9%	68.5%
Vietnam	Nam Định	2000	97.7%	99.9%	89.5%
Vietnam	Nam Định	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.5%
Vietnam	Nam Đông	2000	77.5%	94.3%	46.5%
Vietnam	Nam Đông	2017	89.7%	98.1%	69.7%
Vietnam	Nam Giang	2000	78.5%	88.5%	67.0%
Vietnam	Nam Giang	2017	90.5%	95.6%	82.4%
Vietnam	Nậm Nhùn	2000	63.5%	79.5%	43.5%
Vietnam	Nậm Nhùn	2017	81.7%	91.9%	66.8%
Vietnam	Nậm Pồ	2000	68.2%	82.3%	53.7%
Vietnam	Nậm Pồ	2017	84.4%	92.8%	73.6%
Vietnam	Nam Sách	2000	94.5%	99.1%	84.2%
Vietnam	Nam Sách	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.8%
Vietnam	Nam Trà My	2000	77.4%	92.9%	55.1%
Vietnam	Nam Trà My	2017	90.1%	97.5%	75.9%
Vietnam	Nam Trực	2000	88.7%	93.4%	82.2%
Vietnam	Nam Trực	2017	96.3%	98.2%	93.1%
Vietnam	Nam Từ Liêm	2000	98.1%	99.7%	93.2%
Vietnam	Nam Từ Liêm	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.9%
Vietnam	Ngã Bảy	2000	83.9%	96.1%	55.7%
Vietnam	Ngã Bảy	2017	93.6%	98.8%	79.3%
Vietnam	Ngã Năm	2000	77.8%	96.3%	45.4%
Vietnam	Ngã Năm	2017	89.8%	98.8%	66.6%
Vietnam	Nga Sơn	2000	81.1%	92.0%	64.8%
Vietnam	Nga Sơn	2017	92.9%	97.5%	83.8%
Vietnam	Ngân Sơn	2000	67.2%	87.6%	39.0%
Vietnam	Ngân Sơn	2017	84.3%	95.5%	63.6%
Vietnam	Nghi Lộc	2000	82.9%	95.0%	65.1%
Vietnam	Nghi Lộc	2017	92.6%	98.4%	83.0%
Vietnam	Nghi Xuân	2000	91.0%	98.2%	76.5%
Vietnam	Nghi Xuân	2017	97.1%	99.6%	89.0%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Đàn	2000	59.7%	72.1%	46.4%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Đàn	2017	75.1%	84.4%	64.2%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hành	2000	84.8%	97.2%	61.9%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hành	2017	94.6%	99.1%	83.7%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hưng	2000	91.3%	97.4%	80.0%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hưng	2017	96.8%	99.2%	90.5%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Lộ	2000	89.7%	97.8%	73.4%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Lộ	2017	96.5%	99.4%	90.5%
Vietnam	Ngô Quyền	2000	98.9%	99.9%	96.4%
Vietnam	Ngô Quyền	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hiến	2000	82.5%	93.2%	68.4%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hiến	2017	93.1%	97.9%	84.4%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hồi	2000	60.5%	77.7%	40.1%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hồi	2017	79.0%	90.8%	61.1%
Vietnam	Ngọc Lặc	2000	80.2%	91.5%	59.1%
Vietnam	Ngọc Lặc	2017	91.6%	97.2%	78.2%
Vietnam	Ngũ Hành Sơn	2000	95.7%	99.5%	86.1%
Vietnam	Ngũ Hành Sơn	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.4%
Vietnam	Nguyễn Bình	2000	66.7%	85.5%	45.9%
Vietnam	Nguyễn Bình	2017	83.1%	94.8%	62.9%
Vietnam	Nhà Bè	2000	96.8%	99.5%	91.5%
Vietnam	Nhà Bè	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Nha Trang	2000	66.0%	76.5%	56.2%
Vietnam	Nha Trang	2017	83.0%	89.8%	73.5%
Vietnam	Nho Quan	2000	88.4%	95.8%	75.3%
Vietnam	Nho Quan	2017	96.0%	98.7%	89.7%
Vietnam	Nhơn Trạch	2000	87.2%	94.8%	76.0%
Vietnam	Nhơn Trạch	2017	96.2%	98.7%	90.8%
Vietnam	Như Thanh	2000	81.4%	92.9%	63.3%
Vietnam	Như Thanh	2017	92.0%	97.8%	81.1%
Vietnam	Như Xuân	2000	74.4%	90.4%	48.4%
Vietnam	Như Xuân	2017	87.9%	97.0%	70.8%
Vietnam	Ninh Bình	2000	94.4%	99.6%	76.6%
Vietnam	Ninh Bình	2017	98.6%	99.9%	92.7%
Vietnam	Ninh Giang	2000	93.1%	98.9%	79.1%
Vietnam	Ninh Giang	2017	97.5%	99.7%	90.2%
Vietnam	Ninh Hải	2000	72.4%	88.0%	50.4%
Vietnam	Ninh Hải	2017	86.4%	95.8%	70.7%
Vietnam	Ninh Hòa	2000	79.2%	87.8%	66.1%
Vietnam	Ninh Hòa	2017	90.1%	95.8%	81.4%
Vietnam	Ninh Kiều	2000	70.2%	74.3%	66.5%
Vietnam	Ninh Kiều	2017	92.2%	94.0%	90.1%
Vietnam	Ninh Phước	2000	72.2%	84.2%	59.0%
Vietnam	Ninh Phước	2017	90.2%	95.3%	82.8%
Vietnam	Ninh Sơn	2000	67.4%	83.5%	44.7%
Vietnam	Ninh Sơn	2017	85.6%	94.8%	68.6%
Vietnam	Nông Cống	2000	83.2%	93.2%	69.4%
Vietnam	Nông Cống	2017	94.2%	98.2%	86.3%
Vietnam	Nông Sơn	2000	78.4%	94.3%	52.8%
Vietnam	Nông Sơn	2017	91.0%	98.2%	76.1%
Vietnam	Núi Thành	2000	88.3%	95.9%	70.7%
Vietnam	Núi Thành	2017	96.1%	99.1%	87.6%
Vietnam	Ô Môn	2000	65.1%	84.8%	35.5%
Vietnam	Ô Môn	2017	85.8%	95.4%	64.9%
Vietnam	Pác Nặm	2000	67.8%	89.9%	43.4%
Vietnam	Pác Nặm	2017	84.3%	96.3%	65.2%
Vietnam	Phan Rang- Tháp Chàm	2000	83.7%	95.3%	64.5%
Vietnam	Phan Rang- Tháp Chàm	2017	95.4%	99.2%	85.8%
Vietnam	Phan Thiết	2000	76.9%	92.0%	62.5%
Vietnam	Phan Thiết	2017	87.7%	97.8%	73.2%
Vietnam	Phổ Yên	2000	84.6%	93.1%	72.9%
Vietnam	Phổ Yên	2017	95.0%	98.2%	89.6%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2000	86.1%	96.1%	67.2%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2000	56.1%	73.1%	34.9%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2017	94.9%	98.9%	85.2%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2017	81.0%	91.0%	60.4%
Vietnam	Phong Thổ	2000	59.7%	78.7%	37.8%
Vietnam	Phong Thổ	2017	79.7%	93.0%	61.9%
Vietnam	Phú Bình	2000	89.7%	95.5%	79.2%
Vietnam	Phú Bình	2017	96.7%	98.7%	91.3%
Vietnam	Phù Cát	2000	74.0%	87.1%	55.7%
Vietnam	Phù Cát	2017	87.9%	95.4%	75.4%
Vietnam	Phù Cừ	2000	87.3%	97.0%	71.4%
Vietnam	Phù Cừ	2017	94.5%	99.2%	85.6%
Vietnam	Phú Giáo	2000	83.2%	95.3%	60.2%
Vietnam	Phú Giáo	2017	94.1%	98.9%	82.9%
Vietnam	Phú Hoà	2000	78.1%	88.8%	65.1%
Vietnam	Phú Hoà	2017	90.3%	96.4%	81.2%
Vietnam	Phú Lộc	2000	82.2%	92.6%	64.3%
Vietnam	Phú Lộc	2017	93.6%	97.6%	85.1%
Vietnam	Phú Lương	2000	74.2%	88.5%	51.2%
Vietnam	Phú Lương	2017	88.9%	96.1%	73.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Phủ Lý	2000	89.3%	98.8%	63.9%
Vietnam	Phủ Lý	2017	96.3%	99.8%	83.4%
Vietnam	Phù Mỹ	2000	65.4%	77.6%	50.2%
Vietnam	Phù Mỹ	2017	82.7%	91.2%	70.8%
Vietnam	Phú Nhuận	2000	95.3%	97.3%	92.8%
Vietnam	Phú Nhuận	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Vietnam	Phú Ninh	2000	81.0%	93.2%	63.7%
Vietnam	Phú Ninh	2017	91.6%	98.6%	79.9%
Vietnam	Phù Ninh	2000	82.6%	89.2%	72.9%
Vietnam	Phù Ninh	2017	93.2%	96.2%	87.7%
Vietnam	Phú Quí	2000	75.7%	97.9%	27.2%
Vietnam	Phú Quí	2017	89.3%	99.5%	56.8%
Vietnam	Phú Quốc	2000	65.7%	85.9%	39.1%
Vietnam	Phú Quốc	2017	83.9%	95.7%	62.6%
Vietnam	Phú Riềng	2000	78.6%	93.5%	58.3%
Vietnam	Phú Riềng	2017	91.9%	98.2%	80.7%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2000	58.1%	78.5%	31.9%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2000	82.3%	94.3%	59.0%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2017	79.3%	93.4%	55.8%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2017	93.4%	98.7%	78.8%
Vietnam	Phú Thiện	2000	45.6%	61.4%	31.9%
Vietnam	Phú Thiện	2017	65.8%	78.2%	51.1%
Vietnam	Phú Thọ	2000	94.7%	98.4%	87.6%
Vietnam	Phú Thọ	2017	98.4%	99.6%	95.5%
Vietnam	Phú Vang	2000	88.7%	98.3%	70.2%
Vietnam	Phú Vang	2017	96.0%	99.7%	85.8%
Vietnam	Phú Xuyên	2000	88.5%	97.2%	70.7%
Vietnam	Phú Xuyên	2017	96.0%	99.3%	86.6%
Vietnam	Phù Yên	2000	54.7%	70.4%	34.8%
Vietnam	Phù Yên	2017	75.6%	87.6%	57.1%
Vietnam	Phục Hoà	2000	68.0%	84.7%	41.7%
Vietnam	Phục Hoà	2017	85.5%	94.5%	61.5%
Vietnam	Phước Thọ	2000	82.5%	88.3%	74.1%
Vietnam	Phước Thọ	2017	94.3%	96.8%	90.6%
Vietnam	Phước Yên	2000	93.3%	98.8%	78.4%
Vietnam	Phước Yên	2017	97.9%	99.7%	91.3%
Vietnam	Phụng Hiệp	2000	81.6%	92.4%	66.1%
Vietnam	Phụng Hiệp	2017	92.8%	97.6%	83.6%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2000	85.6%	96.9%	67.8%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2000	86.0%	97.3%	63.4%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2017	96.1%	99.6%	87.8%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2017	94.6%	99.2%	82.0%
Vietnam	Phước Sơn	2000	75.9%	91.2%	50.7%
Vietnam	Phước Sơn	2017	89.2%	96.5%	73.9%
Vietnam	Pleiku	2000	68.6%	75.7%	60.9%
Vietnam	Pleiku	2017	89.9%	93.8%	85.2%
Vietnam	Quận 1	2000	93.7%	96.6%	89.8%
Vietnam	Quận 1	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.5%
Vietnam	Quận 10	2000	93.3%	94.9%	91.8%
Vietnam	Quận 10	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Vietnam	Quận 11	2000	93.1%	96.7%	87.5%
Vietnam	Quận 11	2017	98.8%	99.4%	97.8%
Vietnam	Quận 12	2000	96.3%	98.3%	92.5%
Vietnam	Quận 12	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.0%
Vietnam	Quận 2	2000	97.9%	99.7%	93.4%
Vietnam	Quận 2	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Vietnam	Quận 3	2000	93.5%	96.4%	89.6%
Vietnam	Quận 3	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.5%
Vietnam	Quận 4	2000	93.8%	96.8%	89.6%
Vietnam	Quận 4	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.5%
Vietnam	Quận 5	2000	93.6%	95.0%	92.1%
Vietnam	Quận 5	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Quận 6	2000	96.5%	98.4%	94.1%
Vietnam	Quận 6	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Vietnam	Quận 7	2000	96.5%	99.1%	90.5%
Vietnam	Quận 7	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.3%
Vietnam	Quận 8	2000	98.3%	99.4%	95.9%
Vietnam	Quận 8	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Vietnam	Quận 9	2000	95.8%	99.0%	87.4%
Vietnam	Quận 9	2017	99.1%	99.8%	96.5%
Vietnam	Quần Bạ	2000	67.3%	89.5%	31.3%
Vietnam	Quần Bạ	2017	83.7%	96.0%	53.7%
Vietnam	Quan Hóa	2000	71.0%	83.1%	54.8%
Vietnam	Quan Hóa	2017	85.8%	93.1%	72.8%
Vietnam	Quan Sơn	2000	57.2%	74.4%	41.7%
Vietnam	Quan Sơn	2017	72.8%	84.4%	58.8%
Vietnam	Quang Bình	2000	63.6%	79.7%	40.8%
Vietnam	Quang Bình	2017	79.7%	89.5%	64.3%
Vietnam	Quảng Điền	2000	89.1%	97.8%	70.9%
Vietnam	Quảng Điền	2017	96.2%	99.5%	86.1%
Vietnam	Quảng Ngãi	2000	91.5%	98.1%	74.7%
Vietnam	Quảng Ngãi	2017	96.8%	99.5%	88.8%
Vietnam	Quảng Ninh	2000	87.2%	94.2%	76.4%
Vietnam	Quảng Ninh	2017	95.1%	98.4%	89.3%
Vietnam	Quảng Trạch	2000	81.5%	93.8%	60.3%
Vietnam	Quảng Trạch	2017	92.7%	98.3%	78.6%
Vietnam	Quảng Trị	2000	80.2%	96.7%	36.8%
Vietnam	Quảng Trị	2017	91.4%	99.1%	63.9%
Vietnam	Quảng Uyên	2000	62.6%	88.7%	32.3%
Vietnam	Quảng Uyên	2017	81.6%	97.1%	48.7%
Vietnam	Quảng Xương	2000	79.5%	93.9%	57.4%
Vietnam	Quảng Xương	2017	93.2%	98.5%	81.6%
Vietnam	Quảng Yên	2000	80.0%	95.7%	51.0%
Vietnam	Quảng Yên	2017	91.7%	98.7%	75.9%
Vietnam	Quế Phong	2000	62.7%	75.9%	48.8%
Vietnam	Quế Phong	2017	81.3%	90.1%	71.5%
Vietnam	Quế Sơn	2000	83.6%	93.1%	66.3%
Vietnam	Quế Sơn	2017	93.3%	97.6%	82.6%
Vietnam	Quế Võ	2000	83.5%	91.4%	70.6%
Vietnam	Quế Võ	2017	94.0%	97.6%	86.0%
Vietnam	Qui Nhơn	2000	91.0%	97.1%	81.0%
Vietnam	Qui Nhơn	2017	97.2%	99.3%	93.1%
Vietnam	Quốc Oai	2000	82.3%	93.7%	63.3%
Vietnam	Quốc Oai	2017	94.3%	98.6%	82.5%
Vietnam	Quỳ Châu	2000	70.3%	86.1%	47.5%
Vietnam	Quỳ Châu	2017	85.7%	94.5%	70.2%
Vietnam	Quỳ Hợp	2000	58.9%	78.5%	36.7%
Vietnam	Quỳ Hợp	2017	77.8%	91.6%	58.9%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Lưu	2000	65.6%	79.4%	46.0%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Lưu	2017	79.9%	89.1%	62.8%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Nhai	2000	61.8%	79.3%	42.9%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Nhai	2017	80.9%	91.9%	66.4%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Phụ	2000	88.3%	97.4%	69.7%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Phụ	2017	95.5%	99.4%	84.2%
Vietnam	Rạch Giá	2000	92.7%	97.5%	82.3%
Vietnam	Rạch Giá	2017	97.8%	99.3%	94.0%
Vietnam	Sa Đéc	2000	62.2%	88.0%	40.4%
Vietnam	Sa Đéc	2017	80.4%	96.3%	65.1%
Vietnam	Sa Pa	2000	52.4%	75.4%	31.6%
Vietnam	Sa Pa	2017	73.4%	89.3%	52.9%
Vietnam	Sa Thầy	2000	67.0%	82.7%	49.1%
Vietnam	Sa Thầy	2017	84.4%	92.8%	70.7%
Vietnam	Sầm Sơn	2000	69.8%	87.0%	39.9%
Vietnam	Sầm Sơn	2017	88.0%	96.8%	66.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Si Ma Cai	2000	50.9%	77.6%	22.7%
Vietnam	Si Ma Cai	2017	71.2%	90.3%	41.1%
Vietnam	Sin Hồ	2000	56.5%	72.3%	41.9%
Vietnam	Sin Hồ	2017	74.3%	85.2%	61.7%
Vietnam	Sóc Sơn	2000	87.5%	95.1%	73.0%
Vietnam	Sóc Sơn	2017	95.9%	98.8%	89.6%
Vietnam	Sóc Trăng	2000	68.9%	75.5%	61.3%
Vietnam	Sóc Trăng	2017	89.2%	92.1%	85.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Động	2000	69.4%	85.7%	52.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Động	2017	86.7%	95.1%	74.8%
Vietnam	Sơn Dương	2000	73.6%	86.1%	57.1%
Vietnam	Sơn Dương	2017	89.5%	95.8%	79.7%
Vietnam	Sơn Hà	2000	68.7%	84.3%	46.9%
Vietnam	Sơn Hà	2017	84.1%	93.3%	67.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Hòa	2000	67.6%	84.1%	41.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Hòa	2017	83.7%	93.8%	65.4%
Vietnam	Sơn La	2000	79.3%	94.2%	52.1%
Vietnam	Sơn La	2017	92.4%	98.2%	77.4%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2000	62.1%	88.7%	27.4%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2000	94.8%	99.4%	78.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2017	98.3%	99.9%	91.8%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2017	81.7%	96.3%	50.0%
Vietnam	Sơn Tĩnh	2000	76.7%	91.1%	54.6%
Vietnam	Sơn Tĩnh	2017	90.7%	97.0%	75.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Trà	2000	97.5%	99.7%	92.0%
Vietnam	Sơn Trà	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.8%
Vietnam	Sông Cầu	2000	73.6%	92.1%	46.7%
Vietnam	Sông Cầu	2017	88.1%	97.6%	69.9%
Vietnam	Sông Công	2000	80.4%	90.0%	66.9%
Vietnam	Sông Công	2017	95.6%	98.6%	89.3%
Vietnam	Sông Ninh	2000	67.4%	84.2%	46.5%
Vietnam	Sông Ninh	2017	83.2%	93.4%	68.7%
Vietnam	Sông Lô	2000	67.1%	87.6%	43.1%
Vietnam	Sông Lô	2017	82.3%	96.8%	57.5%
Vietnam	Sông Mã	2000	59.9%	73.9%	45.2%
Vietnam	Sông Mã	2017	79.5%	88.2%	68.4%
Vietnam	Sốp Cộp	2000	51.3%	69.4%	35.7%
Vietnam	Sốp Cộp	2017	69.6%	85.8%	52.8%
Vietnam	Tam Bình	2000	54.8%	73.3%	34.5%
Vietnam	Tam Bình	2017	78.7%	91.2%	62.6%
Vietnam	Tam Đảo	2000	84.2%	97.8%	57.5%
Vietnam	Tam Đảo	2017	92.7%	99.4%	74.7%
Vietnam	Tam Điệp	2000	86.7%	99.3%	57.0%
Vietnam	Tam Điệp	2017	95.0%	99.8%	78.9%
Vietnam	Tam Dương	2000	80.8%	96.2%	51.5%
Vietnam	Tam Dương	2017	93.4%	99.0%	74.5%
Vietnam	Tam Đường	2000	58.9%	81.2%	34.3%
Vietnam	Tam Đường	2017	78.6%	93.5%	58.1%
Vietnam	Tam Kỳ	2000	79.4%	91.4%	62.3%
Vietnam	Tam Kỳ	2017	93.7%	98.4%	83.6%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2000	85.4%	94.1%	73.1%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2000	56.2%	71.7%	34.8%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2017	95.0%	98.2%	89.6%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2017	76.8%	87.5%	60.4%
Vietnam	Tân An	2000	93.1%	98.9%	75.3%
Vietnam	Tân An	2017	98.0%	99.7%	92.3%
Vietnam	Tân Biên	2000	83.2%	92.4%	69.2%
Vietnam	Tân Biên	2017	93.2%	97.6%	84.4%
Vietnam	Tân Bình	2000	96.1%	97.8%	93.9%
Vietnam	Tân Bình	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2000	75.4%	85.4%	60.3%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2000	80.1%	91.7%	63.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2017	91.5%	97.2%	81.9%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2017	91.2%	95.7%	82.7%
Vietnam	Tân Hiệp	2000	68.0%	86.9%	45.0%
Vietnam	Tân Hiệp	2017	84.4%	95.6%	66.4%
Vietnam	Tân Hồng	2000	63.0%	86.7%	31.5%
Vietnam	Tân Hồng	2017	83.3%	95.3%	58.6%
Vietnam	Tân Hưng	2000	58.9%	81.1%	35.2%
Vietnam	Tân Hưng	2017	78.6%	93.3%	56.3%
Vietnam	Tân Kỳ	2000	76.6%	90.7%	59.9%
Vietnam	Tân Kỳ	2017	89.8%	97.1%	78.9%
Vietnam	Tân Lạc	2000	70.3%	86.5%	42.3%
Vietnam	Tân Lạc	2017	86.0%	94.4%	65.8%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2000	95.2%	97.7%	91.3%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2000	87.9%	95.0%	75.9%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.4%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2017	95.9%	98.7%	90.5%
Vietnam	Tân Phú Đông	2000	71.0%	88.8%	49.2%
Vietnam	Tân Phú Đông	2017	84.3%	96.5%	64.3%
Vietnam	Tân Phước	2000	76.3%	92.9%	48.4%
Vietnam	Tân Phước	2017	89.6%	98.0%	70.8%
Vietnam	Tân Sơn	2000	73.8%	90.3%	52.6%
Vietnam	Tân Sơn	2017	87.7%	96.1%	73.3%
Vietnam	Tân Thành	2000	89.7%	97.0%	75.3%
Vietnam	Tân Thành	2017	96.4%	99.2%	88.7%
Vietnam	Tân Thạnh	2000	64.3%	85.3%	37.9%
Vietnam	Tân Thạnh	2017	82.5%	95.1%	59.9%
Vietnam	Tân Trụ	2000	83.4%	97.2%	52.9%
Vietnam	Tân Trụ	2017	93.9%	99.2%	76.4%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2000	62.3%	84.9%	38.7%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2000	88.2%	97.4%	68.1%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2017	96.6%	99.5%	86.0%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2017	81.2%	95.2%	60.6%
Vietnam	Tân Yên	2000	74.4%	87.2%	55.9%
Vietnam	Tân Yên	2017	91.4%	96.7%	79.9%
Vietnam	Tánh Linh	2000	70.7%	87.2%	46.6%
Vietnam	Tánh Linh	2017	86.3%	95.6%	68.2%
Vietnam	Tây Giang	2000	68.7%	86.9%	44.9%
Vietnam	Tây Giang	2017	84.4%	95.4%	67.9%
Vietnam	Tây Hồ	2000	94.0%	97.7%	82.1%
Vietnam	Tây Hồ	2017	99.0%	99.7%	96.5%
Vietnam	Tây Hoà	2000	71.4%	88.7%	47.7%
Vietnam	Tây Hoà	2017	85.4%	95.6%	67.4%
Vietnam	Tây Ninh	2000	89.2%	94.4%	80.2%
Vietnam	Tây Ninh	2017	96.9%	98.8%	92.8%
Vietnam	Tây Sơn	2000	80.5%	91.6%	63.4%
Vietnam	Tây Sơn	2017	92.7%	97.6%	83.5%
Vietnam	Tây Trà	2000	78.9%	94.2%	55.2%
Vietnam	Tây Trà	2017	90.7%	98.4%	75.0%
Vietnam	Thạch An	2000	64.3%	83.2%	43.6%
Vietnam	Thạch An	2017	81.6%	94.7%	59.1%
Vietnam	Thạch Hà	2000	93.6%	97.6%	87.7%
Vietnam	Thạch Hà	2017	98.0%	99.4%	95.0%
Vietnam	Thạch Thành	2000	82.5%	91.2%	65.7%
Vietnam	Thạch Thành	2017	92.3%	96.4%	83.7%
Vietnam	Thạch Thất	2000	79.8%	89.0%	64.6%
Vietnam	Thạch Thất	2017	92.7%	96.5%	83.5%
Vietnam	Thái Bình	2000	82.6%	91.6%	70.8%
Vietnam	Thái Bình	2017	94.5%	97.9%	89.4%
Vietnam	Thái Hoà	2000	47.4%	65.8%	28.1%
Vietnam	Thái Hoà	2017	64.9%	79.1%	47.3%
Vietnam	Thái Nguyên	2000	69.3%	78.2%	61.0%
Vietnam	Thái Nguyên	2017	89.7%	93.9%	82.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Thái Thụy	2000	88.8%	97.4%	71.0%
Vietnam	Thái Thụy	2017	95.9%	99.3%	86.7%
Vietnam	Than Uyên	2000	49.1%	67.0%	32.5%
Vietnam	Than Uyên	2017	71.7%	85.6%	54.0%
Vietnam	Thăng Bình	2000	85.8%	96.6%	65.4%
Vietnam	Thăng Bình	2017	95.0%	99.1%	84.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Ba	2000	90.7%	95.2%	83.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Ba	2017	96.9%	98.6%	93.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Bình	2000	67.8%	85.9%	47.8%
Vietnam	Thanh Bình	2017	85.4%	95.3%	70.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Chương	2000	63.2%	75.4%	50.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Chương	2017	78.1%	87.1%	66.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Hà	2000	92.0%	98.2%	76.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Hà	2017	97.6%	99.6%	92.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Hóa	2000	94.7%	97.9%	89.4%
Vietnam	Thanh Hóa	2017	98.4%	99.5%	95.6%
Vietnam	Thạch Hóa	2000	61.3%	89.0%	27.3%
Vietnam	Thạch Hóa	2017	81.7%	96.5%	52.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Khê	2000	91.0%	94.7%	85.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Khê	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Liêm	2000	89.5%	97.9%	69.1%
Vietnam	Thanh Liêm	2017	95.8%	99.3%	85.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Miện	2000	91.7%	98.4%	80.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Miện	2017	96.9%	99.5%	90.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Oai	2000	83.9%	90.7%	72.6%
Vietnam	Thanh Oai	2017	94.5%	97.1%	89.4%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Bắc Kạn	2000	81.6%	97.6%	51.6%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Bắc Kạn	2017	93.6%	99.5%	78.2%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Đồng Hới	2000	91.5%	99.5%	64.3%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Đồng Hới	2017	96.7%	99.9%	82.0%
Vietnam	Thạch Phú	2000	81.4%	94.8%	59.2%
Vietnam	Thạch Phú	2017	92.5%	98.6%	78.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Sơn	2000	80.2%	90.2%	68.9%
Vietnam	Thanh Sơn	2017	92.2%	97.0%	85.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Thủy	2000	88.1%	96.6%	68.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Thủy	2017	95.7%	98.9%	85.9%
Vietnam	Thanh Trì	2000	98.0%	99.7%	93.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Trì	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.9%
Vietnam	Thạch Trị	2000	56.8%	74.6%	34.8%
Vietnam	Thạch Trị	2017	78.0%	91.8%	57.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Xuân	2000	98.9%	99.5%	97.8%
Vietnam	Thanh Xuân	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Vietnam	Tháp Mười	2000	56.7%	82.4%	32.3%
Vietnam	Tháp Mười	2017	78.4%	94.2%	57.8%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Buôn Hồ	2000	80.4%	92.9%	60.1%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Buôn Hồ	2017	92.3%	98.1%	78.6%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Mường Lay	2000	82.5%	95.7%	59.4%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Mường Lay	2017	93.2%	98.9%	78.8%
Vietnam	Thịệu Hóa	2000	89.6%	97.6%	74.9%
Vietnam	Thịệu Hóa	2017	95.9%	99.2%	88.2%
Vietnam	Thọ Xuân	2000	84.3%	93.7%	62.7%
Vietnam	Thọ Xuân	2017	94.5%	98.2%	82.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Thoại Sơn	2000	55.7%	75.5%	35.0%
Vietnam	Thoại Sơn	2017	76.9%	90.2%	57.3%
Vietnam	Thới Bình	2000	81.4%	92.9%	62.4%
Vietnam	Thới Bình	2017	92.1%	97.5%	79.7%
Vietnam	Thới Lai	2000	72.6%	88.6%	50.1%
Vietnam	Thới Lai	2017	89.4%	97.0%	76.5%
Vietnam	Thống Nhất	2000	83.5%	94.0%	65.3%
Vietnam	Thống Nhất	2017	94.4%	98.4%	83.3%
Vietnam	Thông Nông	2000	76.0%	92.3%	56.6%
Vietnam	Thông Nông	2017	89.1%	97.5%	75.8%
Vietnam	Thốt Nốt	2000	70.1%	88.3%	48.5%
Vietnam	Thốt Nốt	2017	85.0%	96.0%	64.8%
Vietnam	Thủ Dầu Một	2000	96.1%	99.3%	88.0%
Vietnam	Thủ Dầu Một	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.3%
Vietnam	Thủ Đức	2000	98.1%	99.6%	93.6%
Vietnam	Thủ Đức	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Vietnam	Thủ Thừa	2000	82.4%	95.0%	62.7%
Vietnam	Thủ Thừa	2017	94.3%	98.9%	86.1%
Vietnam	Thuận An	2000	96.7%	98.9%	91.3%
Vietnam	Thuận An	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.7%
Vietnam	Thuận Bắc	2000	70.0%	91.8%	43.8%
Vietnam	Thuận Bắc	2017	84.7%	97.2%	65.3%
Vietnam	Thuận Châu	2000	62.3%	78.9%	44.8%
Vietnam	Thuận Châu	2017	79.9%	90.9%	65.7%
Vietnam	Thuận Nam	2000	52.4%	76.1%	26.1%
Vietnam	Thuận Nam	2017	72.8%	89.2%	47.7%
Vietnam	Thuận Thành	2000	83.9%	95.0%	66.1%
Vietnam	Thuận Thành	2017	94.5%	99.0%	85.0%
Vietnam	Thường Tín	2000	83.5%	92.9%	68.0%
Vietnam	Thường Tín	2017	94.4%	97.9%	87.8%
Vietnam	Thường Xuân	2000	78.8%	89.5%	65.1%
Vietnam	Thường Xuân	2017	90.2%	95.9%	80.8%
Vietnam	Thủy Nguyên	2000	91.2%	97.6%	81.0%
Vietnam	Thủy Nguyên	2017	96.4%	99.4%	90.1%
Vietnam	Tiên Du	2000	87.3%	97.3%	64.6%
Vietnam	Tiên Du	2017	95.8%	99.1%	84.7%
Vietnam	Tiền Hải	2000	76.9%	94.6%	55.1%
Vietnam	Tiền Hải	2017	89.9%	98.6%	71.3%
Vietnam	Tiền Lãng	2000	88.6%	97.9%	66.7%
Vietnam	Tiền Lãng	2017	96.0%	99.5%	85.4%
Vietnam	Tiên Lữ	2000	91.5%	98.9%	68.9%
Vietnam	Tiên Lữ	2017	96.9%	99.7%	85.6%
Vietnam	Tiên Phước	2000	78.3%	92.6%	52.1%
Vietnam	Tiên Phước	2017	90.9%	97.7%	72.0%
Vietnam	Tiên Yên	2000	81.8%	92.9%	61.4%
Vietnam	Tiên Yên	2017	93.3%	98.0%	83.4%
Vietnam	Tiểu Cần	2000	89.2%	96.3%	73.1%
Vietnam	Tiểu Cần	2017	95.7%	98.9%	87.4%
Vietnam	Tịnh Biên	2000	70.0%	87.7%	47.7%
Vietnam	Tịnh Biên	2017	85.5%	95.7%	69.3%
Vietnam	Tĩnh Gia	2000	75.6%	88.9%	59.3%
Vietnam	Tĩnh Gia	2017	90.3%	97.1%	78.4%
Vietnam	Trà Bồng	2000	78.4%	95.5%	44.2%
Vietnam	Trà Bồng	2017	90.2%	98.7%	68.7%
Vietnam	Trà Cú	2000	85.6%	96.1%	68.4%
Vietnam	Trà Cú	2017	94.2%	98.7%	84.9%
Vietnam	Trà Lĩnh	2000	81.1%	93.7%	62.8%
Vietnam	Trà Lĩnh	2017	93.1%	98.4%	83.3%
Vietnam	Trà Ôn	2000	60.6%	79.9%	40.0%
Vietnam	Trà Ôn	2017	81.8%	93.0%	64.6%
Vietnam	Trà Vinh	2000	81.6%	95.3%	60.1%
Vietnam	Trà Vinh	2017	93.1%	98.9%	81.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Trạm Tàu	2000	70.6%	86.9%	47.9%
Vietnam	Trạm Tàu	2017	86.5%	95.7%	72.3%
Vietnam	Trần Đề	2000	83.2%	95.5%	65.2%
Vietnam	Trần Đề	2017	93.2%	98.8%	83.1%
Vietnam	Trần Văn Thời	2000	85.8%	96.0%	69.4%
Vietnam	Trần Văn Thời	2017	94.7%	98.8%	85.6%
Vietnam	Trần Yên	2000	83.4%	92.4%	71.0%
Vietnam	Trần Yên	2017	93.6%	98.0%	85.6%
Vietnam	Trảng Bàng	2000	91.6%	97.7%	80.6%
Vietnam	Trảng Bàng	2017	97.3%	99.4%	93.1%
Vietnam	Trảng Bom	2000	90.9%	96.7%	79.4%
Vietnam	Trảng Bom	2017	97.4%	99.2%	93.1%
Vietnam	Trảng Định	2000	65.8%	80.0%	49.8%
Vietnam	Trảng Định	2017	85.0%	92.4%	73.7%
Vietnam	Tri Tôn	2000	54.3%	71.5%	34.4%
Vietnam	Tri Tôn	2017	77.5%	88.6%	61.2%
Vietnam	Triệu Phong	2000	69.9%	87.1%	44.5%
Vietnam	Triệu Phong	2017	86.3%	95.8%	67.0%
Vietnam	Triệu Sơn	2000	88.6%	96.6%	72.6%
Vietnam	Triệu Sơn	2017	96.2%	99.1%	88.9%
Vietnam	Trực Ninh	2000	75.8%	92.5%	53.9%
Vietnam	Trực Ninh	2017	89.2%	97.8%	71.4%
Vietnam	Trùng Khánh	2000	61.9%	84.3%	37.3%
Vietnam	Trùng Khánh	2017	80.9%	95.6%	53.7%
Vietnam	Tứ Kỳ	2000	89.8%	97.1%	72.3%
Vietnam	Tứ Kỳ	2017	96.3%	99.4%	86.7%
Vietnam	Tu Mơ Rông	2000	76.2%	91.6%	53.6%
Vietnam	Tu Mơ Rông	2017	89.1%	97.3%	74.6%
Vietnam	Tư Nghĩa	2000	82.5%	92.3%	64.2%
Vietnam	Tư Nghĩa	2017	92.9%	97.8%	80.2%
Vietnam	Từ Sơn	2000	91.9%	97.9%	77.7%
Vietnam	Từ Sơn	2017	97.4%	99.5%	92.4%
Vietnam	Tủa Chùa	2000	57.7%	76.6%	37.8%
Vietnam	Tủa Chùa	2017	78.2%	91.4%	60.8%
Vietnam	Tuần Giáo	2000	55.5%	73.7%	35.5%
Vietnam	Tuần Giáo	2017	74.7%	85.9%	55.9%
Vietnam	Tương Dương	2000	60.4%	72.4%	44.4%
Vietnam	Tương Dương	2017	77.4%	86.9%	62.1%
Vietnam	Tuy An	2000	68.1%	82.8%	49.8%
Vietnam	Tuy An	2017	85.5%	93.5%	71.6%
Vietnam	Tuy Đức	2000	64.9%	81.7%	44.9%
Vietnam	Tuy Đức	2017	82.6%	92.4%	67.4%
Vietnam	Tuy Hoà	2000	68.5%	82.0%	50.6%
Vietnam	Tuy Hoà	2017	87.9%	93.0%	80.2%
Vietnam	Tuy Phong	2000	69.0%	84.7%	49.5%
Vietnam	Tuy Phong	2017	84.5%	93.9%	70.8%
Vietnam	Tuy Phước	2000	75.1%	91.0%	56.1%
Vietnam	Tuy Phước	2017	91.0%	98.0%	77.2%
Vietnam	Tuyên Hóa	2000	79.1%	90.9%	64.1%
Vietnam	Tuyên Hóa	2017	91.0%	97.4%	81.2%
Vietnam	Tuyên Quang	2000	66.1%	79.6%	46.6%
Vietnam	Tuyên Quang	2017	87.0%	93.0%	74.4%
Vietnam	U Minh	2000	81.8%	93.1%	66.7%
Vietnam	U Minh	2017	93.1%	98.1%	84.9%
Vietnam	U Minh Thượng	2000	75.1%	91.5%	45.9%
Vietnam	U Minh Thượng	2017	87.5%	97.2%	65.7%
Vietnam	Ứng Hòa	2000	64.2%	81.2%	41.0%
Vietnam	Ứng Hòa	2017	81.4%	90.6%	67.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Uông Bí	2000	74.2%	89.8%	53.8%
Vietnam	Uông Bí	2017	89.3%	96.9%	73.9%
Vietnam	Văn Bàn	2000	65.4%	78.9%	49.5%
Vietnam	Văn Bàn	2017	83.4%	91.2%	71.8%
Vietnam	Vân Canh	2000	74.0%	91.4%	49.1%
Vietnam	Vân Canh	2017	87.5%	96.9%	70.9%
Vietnam	Văn Chấn	2000	77.3%	88.8%	63.8%
Vietnam	Văn Chấn	2017	90.0%	95.8%	82.3%
Vietnam	Vân Đồn	2000	80.6%	92.6%	64.3%
Vietnam	Vân Đồn	2017	92.0%	97.6%	82.3%
Vietnam	Văn Giang	2000	94.3%	99.4%	79.8%
Vietnam	Văn Giang	2017	98.2%	99.9%	92.1%
Vietnam	Vân Hồ	2000	61.3%	77.4%	41.9%
Vietnam	Vân Hồ	2017	80.2%	91.5%	66.1%
Vietnam	Văn Lâm	2000	88.8%	95.0%	75.1%
Vietnam	Văn Lâm	2017	96.4%	98.6%	91.3%
Vietnam	Văn Lăng	2000	57.6%	78.9%	32.2%
Vietnam	Văn Lăng	2017	76.7%	92.1%	52.6%
Vietnam	Vạn Ninh	2000	67.3%	86.3%	43.9%
Vietnam	Vạn Ninh	2017	83.3%	95.1%	65.1%
Vietnam	Văn Quan	2000	66.3%	87.4%	41.2%
Vietnam	Văn Quan	2017	84.2%	96.2%	61.7%
Vietnam	Văn Yên	2000	72.3%	86.7%	51.9%
Vietnam	Văn Yên	2017	87.1%	94.8%	73.5%
Vietnam	Vị Thanh	2000	83.7%	97.4%	57.3%
Vietnam	Vị Thanh	2017	94.4%	99.4%	79.6%
Vietnam	Vị Thủy	2000	76.3%	90.5%	55.2%
Vietnam	Vị Thủy	2017	90.7%	97.3%	77.1%
Vietnam	Vị Xuyên	2000	59.7%	72.1%	46.3%
Vietnam	Vị Xuyên	2017	79.1%	87.2%	68.5%
Vietnam	Việt Trì	2000	71.8%	86.0%	51.3%
Vietnam	Việt Trì	2017	89.9%	96.4%	77.4%
Vietnam	Việt Yên	2000	80.7%	88.8%	72.7%
Vietnam	Việt Yên	2017	94.4%	97.2%	91.0%
Vietnam	Vinh	2000	93.2%	95.3%	90.8%
Vietnam	Vinh	2017	98.6%	99.2%	97.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Bảo	2000	86.3%	98.4%	61.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Bảo	2017	94.2%	99.6%	74.5%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Châu	2000	90.6%	98.1%	77.0%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Châu	2017	96.4%	99.4%	88.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Cửu	2000	82.8%	90.5%	70.7%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Cửu	2017	93.8%	97.4%	86.9%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Hưng	2000	69.1%	88.2%	47.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Hưng	2017	86.5%	96.5%	70.5%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Linh	2000	85.5%	95.3%	65.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Linh	2017	94.4%	98.7%	82.5%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lộc	2000	92.1%	97.8%	81.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lộc	2017	97.7%	99.5%	92.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lợi	2000	66.2%	79.7%	51.1%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lợi	2017	86.1%	93.2%	74.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Long	2000	63.8%	83.9%	42.7%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Long	2017	82.9%	94.3%	62.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2000	74.7%	90.7%	54.9%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2000	63.0%	85.9%	37.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2017	88.2%	97.0%	74.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2017	79.8%	94.6%	56.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thuận	2000	82.8%	96.3%	60.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thuận	2017	92.9%	99.0%	79.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Tường	2000	90.7%	97.7%	74.0%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Tường	2017	96.9%	99.4%	89.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Yên	2000	88.5%	93.8%	74.3%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Yên	2017	96.4%	98.2%	91.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Võ Nhài	2000	76.4%	90.1%	54.7%
Vietnam	Võ Nhài	2017	89.5%	96.7%	76.1%
Vietnam	Vụ Bản	2000	93.0%	98.8%	79.5%
Vietnam	Vụ Bản	2017	98.2%	99.8%	92.6%
Vietnam	Vũ Quang	2000	75.7%	92.0%	52.8%
Vietnam	Vũ Quang	2017	89.1%	97.2%	74.6%
Vietnam	Vũ Thư	2000	93.1%	97.5%	85.3%
Vietnam	Vũ Thư	2017	97.8%	99.4%	94.2%
Vietnam	Vũng Liêm	2000	71.4%	86.6%	45.6%
Vietnam	Vũng Liêm	2017	87.5%	95.0%	70.7%
Vietnam	Vũng Tàu	2000	92.2%	97.6%	83.9%
Vietnam	Vũng Tàu	2017	97.7%	99.5%	94.3%
Vietnam	Xín Mần	2000	56.7%	77.4%	34.1%
Vietnam	Xín Mần	2017	76.5%	90.6%	59.3%
Vietnam	Xuân Lộc	2000	78.9%	88.5%	65.3%
Vietnam	Xuân Lộc	2017	93.0%	96.7%	86.2%
Vietnam	Xuân Trường	2000	71.7%	94.8%	42.4%
Vietnam	Xuân Trường	2017	86.0%	98.4%	58.9%
Vietnam	Xuyên Mộc	2000	86.8%	94.8%	72.2%
Vietnam	Xuyên Mộc	2017	95.1%	98.6%	87.1%
Vietnam	Ý Yên	2000	93.1%	98.4%	79.6%
Vietnam	Ý Yên	2017	98.0%	99.7%	93.3%
Vietnam	Yên Bái	2000	91.5%	99.0%	71.8%
Vietnam	Yên Bái	2017	97.4%	99.8%	87.4%
Vietnam	Yên Bình	2000	79.6%	91.5%	64.3%
Vietnam	Yên Bình	2017	91.6%	97.1%	81.7%
Vietnam	Yên Châu	2000	64.5%	83.7%	39.0%
Vietnam	Yên Châu	2017	82.4%	94.1%	61.4%
Vietnam	Yên Định	2000	86.3%	97.5%	64.1%
Vietnam	Yên Định	2017	95.1%	99.5%	82.5%
Vietnam	Yên Dũng	2000	85.2%	93.9%	69.6%
Vietnam	Yên Dũng	2017	95.3%	98.4%	87.5%
Vietnam	Yên Khánh	2000	95.9%	99.3%	87.5%
Vietnam	Yên Khánh	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.4%
Vietnam	Yên Lạc	2000	82.1%	88.4%	74.7%
Vietnam	Yên Lạc	2017	92.6%	96.2%	87.0%
Vietnam	Yên Lập	2000	78.5%	95.0%	53.0%
Vietnam	Yên Lập	2017	90.2%	98.4%	72.5%
Vietnam	Yên Minh	2000	74.0%	90.1%	53.7%
Vietnam	Yên Minh	2017	88.2%	96.7%	74.4%
Vietnam	Yên Mô	2000	90.7%	99.0%	68.0%
Vietnam	Yên Mô	2017	96.6%	99.7%	85.3%
Vietnam	Yên Mỹ	2000	94.6%	99.3%	80.2%
Vietnam	Yên Mỹ	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.8%
Vietnam	Yên Phong	2000	91.6%	98.0%	77.1%
Vietnam	Yên Phong	2017	97.2%	99.4%	91.1%
Vietnam	Yên Sơn	2000	67.4%	77.0%	55.9%
Vietnam	Yên Sơn	2017	86.1%	91.5%	77.5%
Vietnam	Yên Thành	2000	65.4%	82.2%	48.1%
Vietnam	Yên Thành	2017	83.1%	94.3%	67.0%
Vietnam	Yên Thế	2000	85.8%	94.3%	72.2%
Vietnam	Yên Thế	2017	95.1%	98.1%	88.8%
Vietnam	Yên Thủy	2000	82.4%	90.9%	66.6%
Vietnam	Yên Thủy	2017	93.0%	97.1%	85.5%
Sub-Saharan Africa					
Angola	Alto Cauale	2000	57.2%	72.3%	40.5%
Angola	Alto Cauale	2017	32.6%	47.8%	19.1%
Angola	Alto Zambeze	2000	61.1%	67.1%	54.4%
Angola	Alto Zambeze	2017	38.3%	44.5%	33.6%
Angola	Ambaca	2000	57.2%	68.7%	46.0%
Angola	Ambaca	2017	33.7%	43.8%	24.1%
Angola	Amboim	2000	60.6%	74.9%	43.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Amboim	2017	29.9%	43.8%	17.3%
Angola	Ambriz	2000	72.8%	87.8%	54.3%
Angola	Ambriz	2017	51.3%	69.3%	34.4%
Angola	Ambuila	2000	69.3%	81.4%	54.4%
Angola	Ambuila	2017	47.0%	60.0%	32.6%
Angola	Andulo	2000	53.9%	63.1%	43.9%
Angola	Andulo	2017	30.4%	39.3%	21.2%
Angola	Baía Farta	2000	79.8%	87.7%	69.8%
Angola	Baía Farta	2017	60.6%	72.4%	49.9%
Angola	Bailundo	2000	58.3%	68.6%	47.4%
Angola	Bailundo	2017	33.7%	42.8%	24.6%
Angola	Balombo	2000	54.6%	69.7%	37.9%
Angola	Balombo	2017	30.4%	43.0%	17.2%
Angola	Banga	2000	67.1%	89.2%	41.8%
Angola	Banga	2017	43.7%	72.3%	18.9%
Angola	Belize	2000	39.9%	57.4%	21.7%
Angola	Belize	2017	18.1%	33.8%	7.1%
Angola	Bembe	2000	61.7%	76.2%	47.4%
Angola	Bembe	2017	37.6%	53.0%	25.0%
Angola	Benguela	2000	85.3%	92.3%	77.9%
Angola	Benguela	2017	75.0%	82.1%	67.1%
Angola	Bibala	2000	75.9%	84.3%	68.0%
Angola	Bibala	2017	54.7%	63.4%	44.4%
Angola	Bocoio	2000	66.6%	77.6%	55.5%
Angola	Bocoio	2017	42.5%	54.9%	31.0%
Angola	Bolongongo	2000	75.7%	89.2%	59.4%
Angola	Bolongongo	2017	52.8%	71.8%	36.6%
Angola	Buco Zau	2000	55.4%	79.6%	31.8%
Angola	Buco Zau	2017	36.7%	57.2%	20.9%
Angola	Buengas	2000	52.0%	67.5%	37.7%
Angola	Buengas	2017	28.9%	43.8%	16.0%
Angola	Bula Atumba	2000	48.0%	66.1%	26.7%
Angola	Bula Atumba	2017	26.5%	45.2%	9.8%
Angola	Bungo	2000	43.5%	63.7%	24.3%
Angola	Bungo	2017	22.9%	43.6%	9.0%
Angola	Caála	2000	63.3%	75.3%	49.3%
Angola	Caála	2017	36.2%	47.3%	25.4%
Angola	Cabinda	2000	67.1%	79.1%	53.0%
Angola	Cabinda	2017	59.5%	72.4%	48.3%
Angola	Cacolo	2000	48.5%	58.9%	39.0%
Angola	Cacolo	2017	27.6%	36.7%	20.4%
Angola	Caconda	2000	63.9%	76.6%	49.4%
Angola	Caconda	2017	36.0%	50.0%	21.8%
Angola	Cacuaco	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.3%
Angola	Cacuaco	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.1%
Angola	Cacuzo	2000	52.6%	62.3%	43.1%
Angola	Cacuzo	2017	33.9%	46.9%	22.3%
Angola	Cahama	2000	58.9%	70.8%	46.3%
Angola	Cahama	2017	35.9%	46.5%	26.5%
Angola	Caiambambo	2000	79.0%	89.3%	63.9%
Angola	Caiambambo	2017	57.8%	73.5%	41.4%
Angola	Calai	2000	56.7%	67.1%	45.2%
Angola	Calai	2017	31.4%	43.7%	21.8%
Angola	Calandula	2000	61.7%	71.3%	49.9%
Angola	Calandula	2017	35.0%	46.6%	24.9%
Angola	Caluquembe	2000	55.2%	66.0%	43.7%
Angola	Caluquembe	2017	31.4%	41.1%	21.9%
Angola	Camacuio	2000	55.0%	64.3%	45.4%
Angola	Camacuio	2017	32.7%	42.6%	23.7%
Angola	Camacupa	2000	56.0%	66.3%	46.6%
Angola	Camacupa	2017	32.2%	41.5%	24.3%
Angola	Camanongue	2000	52.2%	64.5%	39.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Camanongue	2017	27.5%	39.5%	18.0%
Angola	Cambambe	2000	71.3%	81.3%	60.9%
Angola	Cambambe	2017	54.8%	64.0%	46.1%
Angola	Cambulo	2000	63.7%	72.1%	54.6%
Angola	Cambulo	2017	39.4%	48.7%	30.1%
Angola	Cambundi-Catembo	2000	58.0%	70.4%	45.1%
Angola	Cambundi-Catembo	2017	34.2%	47.9%	23.6%
Angola	Cameia	2000	49.6%	60.1%	39.1%
Angola	Cameia	2017	23.7%	33.4%	14.8%
Angola	Cangandala	2000	58.0%	74.9%	40.0%
Angola	Cangandala	2017	33.1%	47.5%	22.1%
Angola	Caombo	2000	58.8%	72.4%	45.1%
Angola	Caombo	2017	36.1%	50.9%	23.9%
Angola	Capenda	2000	56.7%	68.4%	46.2%
Angola	Capenda	2017	33.9%	45.3%	25.5%
Angola	Cassongue	2000	63.2%	74.9%	48.5%
Angola	Cassongue	2017	38.2%	50.9%	23.9%
Angola	Catabola	2000	63.3%	75.1%	49.2%
Angola	Catabola	2017	36.0%	48.5%	23.4%
Angola	Catchiungo	2000	61.9%	74.3%	46.9%
Angola	Catchiungo	2017	36.2%	50.9%	23.2%
Angola	Caungula	2000	55.9%	66.6%	46.4%
Angola	Caungula	2017	32.8%	43.1%	24.8%
Angola	Cazenga	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Angola	Cazenga	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Angola	Cazengo	2000	89.6%	95.8%	81.8%
Angola	Cazengo	2017	83.9%	90.0%	77.2%
Angola	Chibia	2000	61.5%	73.1%	48.5%
Angola	Chibia	2017	35.3%	49.0%	23.2%
Angola	Chicomba	2000	69.9%	78.8%	61.1%
Angola	Chicomba	2017	46.0%	56.0%	35.9%
Angola	Chinguar	2000	51.1%	66.1%	37.8%
Angola	Chinguar	2017	27.8%	40.2%	16.9%
Angola	Chipindo	2000	68.2%	84.1%	49.3%
Angola	Chipindo	2017	42.4%	58.8%	25.3%
Angola	Chitato	2000	65.4%	72.2%	57.7%
Angola	Chitato	2017	44.8%	50.9%	38.7%
Angola	Chitembo	2000	63.7%	70.5%	57.5%
Angola	Chitembo	2017	39.4%	46.4%	33.3%
Angola	Chongoroi	2000	70.1%	80.1%	56.9%
Angola	Chongoroi	2017	47.1%	59.9%	31.8%
Angola	Conda	2000	68.4%	83.1%	50.8%
Angola	Conda	2017	41.6%	60.0%	25.4%
Angola	Cuaba Nzogo	2000	63.3%	78.7%	45.0%
Angola	Cuaba Nzogo	2017	37.8%	55.6%	22.3%
Angola	Cuanger	2000	64.4%	73.6%	53.6%
Angola	Cuanger	2017	41.3%	54.0%	29.3%
Angola	Cuango	2000	62.3%	75.5%	49.5%
Angola	Cuango	2017	38.1%	50.1%	28.7%
Angola	Cuanhama	2000	64.4%	72.5%	55.3%
Angola	Cuanhama	2017	49.2%	58.2%	40.6%
Angola	Cubal	2000	64.4%	76.2%	52.9%
Angola	Cubal	2017	39.9%	52.5%	27.3%
Angola	Cuchi	2000	58.1%	66.4%	48.1%
Angola	Cuchi	2017	34.0%	42.6%	24.5%
Angola	Cuemba	2000	52.4%	62.9%	42.7%
Angola	Cuemba	2017	32.1%	42.0%	23.3%
Angola	Cuilo	2000	58.9%	68.0%	48.3%
Angola	Cuilo	2017	35.4%	45.1%	26.9%
Angola	Cuimba	2000	72.8%	84.1%	61.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Cuimba	2017	49.7%	62.2%	38.0%
Angola	Cuito Cuanavale	2000	67.2%	73.6%	60.8%
Angola	Cuito Cuanavale	2017	43.6%	50.5%	36.0%
Angola	Cunda-dia-Baza	2000	63.4%	78.0%	47.7%
Angola	Cunda-dia-Baza	2017	37.8%	52.7%	23.2%
Angola	Cunhinga	2000	43.2%	59.4%	28.2%
Angola	Cunhinga	2017	23.8%	40.4%	12.0%
Angola	Curoca	2000	65.9%	78.3%	51.8%
Angola	Curoca	2017	40.2%	52.1%	29.7%
Angola	Cuvelai	2000	60.3%	69.3%	52.6%
Angola	Cuvelai	2017	38.6%	48.4%	30.8%
Angola	Dala	2000	51.8%	60.9%	43.0%
Angola	Dala	2017	30.2%	38.4%	21.8%
Angola	Damba	2000	60.1%	71.4%	49.4%
Angola	Damba	2017	35.1%	45.9%	24.8%
Angola	Dande	2000	68.2%	74.8%	60.8%
Angola	Dande	2017	49.5%	56.3%	42.1%
Angola	Dembos	2000	63.2%	78.9%	41.8%
Angola	Dembos	2017	33.5%	52.1%	16.4%
Angola	Dirico	2000	62.1%	72.8%	52.6%
Angola	Dirico	2017	42.4%	54.2%	31.6%
Angola	Ebo	2000	68.1%	81.7%	53.3%
Angola	Ebo	2017	41.5%	56.7%	28.0%
Angola	Ekunha	2000	60.4%	79.0%	41.5%
Angola	Ekunha	2017	33.7%	57.1%	16.9%
Angola	Gambos	2000	63.6%	77.1%	49.1%
Angola	Gambos	2017	42.1%	54.2%	30.0%
Angola	Ganda	2000	63.7%	74.7%	51.3%
Angola	Ganda	2017	36.7%	48.1%	25.7%
Angola	Golungo Alto	2000	77.8%	88.4%	63.0%
Angola	Golungo Alto	2017	57.3%	71.4%	41.8%
Angola	Huambo	2000	66.1%	75.9%	57.1%
Angola	Huambo	2017	42.0%	49.2%	36.1%
Angola	Humpata	2000	61.7%	83.3%	43.9%
Angola	Humpata	2017	49.5%	68.9%	38.1%
Angola	Icolo e Bengo	2000	70.8%	82.5%	57.0%
Angola	Icolo e Bengo	2017	43.8%	61.0%	29.4%
Angola	Ingombota	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.5%
Angola	Ingombota	2017	96.0%	98.2%	92.2%
Angola	Jamba	2000	62.3%	73.6%	49.9%
Angola	Jamba	2017	39.6%	51.2%	29.3%
Angola	Kilamba	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Angola	Kiaxi				
Angola	Kilamba	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Angola	Kuito	2000	64.5%	75.3%	53.7%
Angola	Kuito	2017	40.4%	50.1%	31.2%
Angola	Kuvango	2000	63.4%	73.9%	51.6%
Angola	Kuvango	2017	39.9%	50.3%	28.7%
Angola	Landana	2000	49.6%	74.2%	28.0%
Angola	Landana	2017	30.5%	60.6%	11.5%
Angola	Léua	2000	47.2%	60.3%	35.2%
Angola	Léua	2017	21.9%	35.1%	12.2%
Angola	Libolo	2000	65.6%	77.7%	54.0%
Angola	Libolo	2017	39.3%	51.7%	28.3%
Angola	Lobito	2000	86.3%	91.8%	78.6%
Angola	Lobito	2017	79.2%	85.6%	71.0%
Angola	Londuimbale	2000	62.4%	76.9%	47.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Londumbale	2017	38.7%	53.7%	24.3%
Angola	Longonjo	2000	62.1%	79.5%	44.3%
Angola	Longonjo	2017	34.5%	55.3%	19.9%
Angola	Luau	2000	57.3%	68.9%	43.7%
Angola	Luau	2017	35.8%	45.9%	26.1%
Angola	Lubalo	2000	54.6%	64.2%	45.5%
Angola	Lubalo	2017	33.1%	42.0%	24.7%
Angola	Lubango	2000	57.5%	69.2%	45.5%
Angola	Lubango	2017	37.9%	45.8%	31.7%
Angola	Lucala	2000	63.6%	77.2%	45.2%
Angola	Lucala	2017	40.8%	55.2%	26.0%
Angola	Lucano	2000	56.7%	65.5%	44.9%
Angola	Lucano	2017	30.4%	38.0%	23.0%
Angola	Lucapa	2000	64.6%	72.4%	57.2%
Angola	Lucapa	2017	41.4%	48.3%	34.1%
Angola	Luchazes	2000	52.2%	57.4%	46.9%
Angola	Luchazes	2017	32.1%	37.2%	28.0%
Angola	Lumbala-Nguimbo	2000	63.7%	69.3%	57.6%
Angola	Lumbala-Nguimbo	2017	41.7%	47.8%	35.2%
Angola	Luquembo	2000	58.4%	67.3%	48.6%
Angola	Luquembo	2017	34.7%	43.4%	25.8%
Angola	M'Banza Congo	2000	67.4%	76.0%	57.9%
Angola	M'Banza Congo	2017	42.3%	50.5%	34.5%
Angola	Maianga	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Angola	Maianga	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.1%
Angola	Malanje	2000	69.4%	77.3%	61.0%
Angola	Malanje	2017	42.1%	48.7%	35.0%
Angola	Maquela do Zombo	2000	60.0%	68.8%	51.3%
Angola	Maquela do Zombo	2017	32.4%	41.1%	24.2%
Angola	Marimba	2000	60.5%	72.1%	48.5%
Angola	Marimba	2017	35.6%	46.6%	25.3%
Angola	Massango	2000	52.5%	64.5%	40.9%
Angola	Massango	2017	28.8%	41.2%	18.8%
Angola	Matala	2000	74.4%	81.2%	67.0%
Angola	Matala	2017	47.5%	55.9%	39.0%
Angola	Mavinga	2000	57.8%	64.8%	51.3%
Angola	Mavinga	2017	37.4%	44.7%	30.7%
Angola	Menongue	2000	58.6%	64.8%	52.4%
Angola	Menongue	2017	35.2%	40.7%	30.3%
Angola	Milunga	2000	47.4%	60.9%	34.8%
Angola	Milunga	2017	25.2%	36.8%	16.0%
Angola	Moxico	2000	54.1%	60.0%	47.4%
Angola	Moxico	2017	33.7%	39.0%	28.5%
Angola	Mucaba	2000	54.7%	76.3%	32.8%
Angola	Mucaba	2017	31.1%	51.3%	14.6%
Angola	Mucari	2000	50.4%	66.8%	31.7%
Angola	Mucari	2017	27.2%	42.2%	11.9%
Angola	Muconda	2000	54.2%	61.8%	47.8%
Angola	Muconda	2017	34.2%	41.6%	27.8%
Angola	Mungo	2000	57.3%	73.1%	42.2%
Angola	Mungo	2017	34.6%	48.8%	21.5%
Angola	Mussende	2000	51.4%	62.8%	41.9%
Angola	Mussende	2017	31.1%	41.3%	23.2%
Angola	Muxima	2000	77.2%	89.1%	65.9%
Angola	Muxima	2017	49.6%	65.0%	37.8%
Angola	N'Zeto	2000	69.8%	81.4%	56.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	N'Zeto	2017	44.2%	56.2%	30.4%
Angola	Namakunde	2000	61.7%	72.9%	50.8%
Angola	Namakunde	2017	41.3%	53.2%	31.3%
Angola	Nambuanguongo	2000	63.9%	72.1%	53.3%
Angola	Nambuanguongo	2017	38.3%	49.1%	26.0%
Angola	Namibe	2000	71.9%	79.3%	66.1%
Angola	Namibe	2017	68.4%	72.9%	64.0%
Angola	Nancova	2000	57.0%	67.6%	45.7%
Angola	Nancova	2017	34.3%	45.6%	23.0%
Angola	Negage	2000	61.7%	75.5%	46.6%
Angola	Negage	2017	39.0%	52.3%	27.4%
Angola	Ngonguembo	2000	65.0%	84.1%	42.5%
Angola	Ngonguembo	2017	37.6%	58.8%	18.3%
Angola	Nharea	2000	51.8%	62.9%	38.9%
Angola	Nharea	2017	30.5%	41.2%	19.7%
Angola	Noqui	2000	54.8%	67.4%	39.7%
Angola	Noqui	2017	32.6%	45.0%	21.0%
Angola	Ombadja	2000	56.8%	65.0%	48.6%
Angola	Ombadja	2017	34.4%	42.4%	26.7%
Angola	Pango	2000	59.9%	73.9%	43.2%
Angola	Aluquém				
Angola	Pango	2017	35.9%	52.2%	19.9%
Angola	Porto Am-boim	2000	72.3%	85.3%	58.0%
Angola	Porto Am-boim	2017	52.0%	65.1%	39.0%
Angola	Puri	2000	57.7%	75.4%	40.6%
Angola	Puri	2017	32.5%	51.6%	17.9%
Angola	Quela	2000	67.8%	80.4%	51.1%
Angola	Quela	2017	43.1%	56.5%	29.7%
Angola	Quibala	2000	56.2%	67.9%	44.8%
Angola	Quibala	2017	33.4%	46.5%	23.0%
Angola	Quiculungo	2000	84.4%	97.7%	65.7%
Angola	Quiculungo	2017	72.6%	89.7%	56.2%
Angola	Quilenda	2000	75.1%	87.9%	56.4%
Angola	Quilenda	2017	53.2%	70.0%	35.3%
Angola	Quilengues	2000	69.9%	83.1%	56.2%
Angola	Quilengues	2017	45.3%	63.4%	31.2%
Angola	Quimbele	2000	42.2%	52.9%	31.9%
Angola	Quimbele	2017	20.8%	29.9%	12.6%
Angola	Quirima	2000	52.4%	63.8%	39.9%
Angola	Quirima	2017	32.5%	45.1%	21.1%
Angola	Quitexe	2000	68.7%	83.6%	52.2%
Angola	Quitexe	2017	46.0%	62.1%	29.1%
Angola	Rangel	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Angola	Rangel	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Angola	Rivungo	2000	63.1%	69.4%	56.1%
Angola	Rivungo	2017	39.7%	45.6%	33.3%
Angola	Samba	2000	96.5%	99.5%	92.1%
Angola	Samba	2017	94.0%	98.0%	89.7%
Angola	Samba Cajú	2000	64.8%	79.3%	48.2%
Angola	Samba Cajú	2017	45.0%	60.8%	28.9%
Angola	Sambizanga	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Angola	Sambizanga	2017	98.8%	99.4%	97.4%
Angola	Sanza Pombo	2000	53.1%	70.4%	37.0%
Angola	Sanza Pombo	2017	31.0%	50.5%	17.6%
Angola	Saurimo	2000	60.8%	67.0%	53.7%
Angola	Saurimo	2017	44.9%	50.2%	39.3%
Angola	Seles	2000	71.8%	81.5%	60.1%
Angola	Seles	2017	45.9%	57.5%	32.8%
Angola	Songo	2000	57.5%	71.0%	42.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Songo	2017	34.4%	48.0%	23.2%
Angola	Soyo	2000	60.7%	71.3%	49.7%
Angola	Soyo	2017	40.9%	48.4%	33.9%
Angola	Sumbe	2000	69.1%	81.9%	55.0%
Angola	Sumbe	2017	47.9%	58.7%	36.9%
Angola	Tchicala-Tcholoanga	2000	59.6%	72.9%	45.0%
Angola	Tchicala-Tcholoanga	2017	37.0%	51.4%	23.5%
Angola	Tchindjenje	2000	56.4%	84.5%	25.6%
Angola	Tchindjenje	2017	33.3%	70.0%	8.8%
Angola	Tchipungo	2000	66.1%	76.7%	55.0%
Angola	Tchipungo	2017	40.8%	53.2%	29.4%
Angola	Tomboco	2000	62.7%	73.6%	51.0%
Angola	Tomboco	2017	36.6%	47.8%	26.4%
Angola	Tombwa	2000	52.3%	62.1%	41.6%
Angola	Tombwa	2017	39.4%	47.9%	32.0%
Angola	Uíge	2000	59.1%	74.3%	46.7%
Angola	Uíge	2017	32.6%	39.6%	27.0%
Angola	Ukuma	2000	60.1%	77.6%	43.2%
Angola	Ukuma	2017	32.3%	49.2%	17.1%
Angola	Viana	2000	92.6%	97.3%	87.5%
Angola	Viana	2017	92.3%	96.0%	88.0%
Angola	Virei	2000	63.0%	72.8%	52.6%
Angola	Virei	2017	41.1%	49.9%	32.9%
Angola	Waku Kungo	2000	63.7%	73.7%	52.7%
Angola	Waku Kungo	2017	37.6%	49.2%	28.7%
Angola	Xá Muteba	2000	63.4%	72.7%	54.2%
Angola	Xá Muteba	2017	38.4%	46.7%	30.8%
Benin	Abomey	2000	65.9%	68.7%	63.6%
Benin	Abomey	2017	87.7%	89.7%	85.8%
Benin	Abomey-Calavi	2000	67.7%	68.7%	66.8%
Benin	Abomey-Calavi	2017	71.2%	72.4%	70.0%
Benin	Adja-Ouèrè	2000	49.3%	55.0%	44.6%
Benin	Adja-Ouèrè	2017	73.9%	79.0%	69.1%
Benin	Adjarra	2000	51.0%	52.4%	49.4%
Benin	Adjarra	2017	58.7%	61.5%	56.3%
Benin	Adjohoun	2000	44.9%	47.9%	40.8%
Benin	Adjohoun	2017	68.7%	71.5%	65.6%
Benin	Agbangnizoun	2000	30.7%	33.2%	28.1%
Benin	Agbangnizoun	2017	59.5%	61.8%	57.7%
Benin	Aguégués	2000	88.1%	89.1%	87.2%
Benin	Aguégués	2017	88.0%	89.8%	86.8%
Benin	Akpro-Missérété	2000	56.8%	58.1%	55.5%
Benin	Akpro-Missérété	2017	75.1%	76.2%	74.0%
Benin	Allada	2000	72.1%	76.3%	67.9%
Benin	Allada	2017	84.1%	86.6%	80.3%
Benin	Aplahoué	2000	38.4%	44.5%	32.0%
Benin	Aplahoué	2017	61.7%	66.6%	55.6%
Benin	Athiémé	2000	65.3%	68.1%	62.1%
Benin	Athiémé	2017	80.2%	82.6%	77.2%
Benin	Avrankou	2000	33.6%	35.3%	31.9%
Benin	Avrankou	2017	72.6%	74.0%	71.2%
Benin	Banikoara	2000	41.7%	48.5%	35.9%
Benin	Banikoara	2017	61.9%	67.6%	55.7%
Benin	Bantè	2000	60.7%	70.0%	50.7%
Benin	Bantè	2017	76.1%	84.5%	68.0%
Benin	Bassila	2000	47.3%	55.6%	39.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Benin	Bassila	2017	64.1%	70.8%	57.0%
Benin	Bembéréké	2000	45.8%	56.2%	36.9%
Benin	Bembéréké	2017	63.4%	72.7%	54.4%
Benin	Bohicon	2000	55.9%	58.4%	53.9%
Benin	Bohicon	2017	79.1%	82.3%	76.1%
Benin	Bonou	2000	45.4%	55.6%	36.1%
Benin	Bonou	2017	57.4%	67.0%	48.5%
Benin	Bopa	2000	54.9%	59.0%	50.7%
Benin	Bopa	2017	77.7%	81.6%	74.9%
Benin	Boukoubé	2000	39.8%	52.8%	26.6%
Benin	Boukoubé	2017	60.0%	70.6%	47.0%
Benin	Cobly	2000	53.8%	66.8%	42.3%
Benin	Cobly	2017	70.9%	81.5%	60.7%
Benin	Comè	2000	66.9%	69.8%	64.2%
Benin	Comè	2017	69.9%	72.8%	67.1%
Benin	Copargo	2000	29.2%	39.9%	18.2%
Benin	Copargo	2017	45.3%	53.2%	36.4%
Benin	Cotonou	2000	96.8%	97.3%	96.1%
Benin	Cotonou	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Benin	Covè	2000	55.3%	63.5%	47.0%
Benin	Covè	2017	71.9%	78.1%	64.2%
Benin	Dangbo	2000	54.5%	57.1%	52.3%
Benin	Dangbo	2017	61.9%	65.4%	59.0%
Benin	Dassa-Zoumè	2000	74.3%	81.4%	66.7%
Benin	Dassa-Zoumè	2017	87.8%	92.8%	81.3%
Benin	Djakotomey	2000	49.1%	51.5%	46.4%
Benin	Djakotomey	2017	71.7%	73.6%	69.7%
Benin	Djidja	2000	50.6%	59.5%	41.0%
Benin	Djidja	2017	70.5%	76.7%	63.2%
Benin	Djougou	2000	39.0%	45.8%	32.9%
Benin	Djougou	2017	52.9%	60.1%	46.5%
Benin	Dogbo	2000	63.9%	66.5%	60.2%
Benin	Dogbo	2017	83.2%	86.2%	81.0%
Benin	Glazoué	2000	65.8%	73.3%	58.5%
Benin	Glazoué	2017	83.5%	89.1%	78.1%
Benin	Gogounou	2000	39.4%	48.4%	31.4%
Benin	Gogounou	2017	59.5%	68.0%	51.0%
Benin	Grand-Popo	2000	59.2%	64.0%	54.2%
Benin	Grand-Popo	2017	59.4%	64.9%	54.9%
Benin	Houéyogbé	2000	43.4%	45.5%	41.4%
Benin	Houéyogbé	2017	64.8%	66.6%	63.3%
Benin	Ifangni	2000	49.9%	55.2%	44.4%
Benin	Ifangni	2017	85.5%	88.8%	80.4%
Benin	Kalalé	2000	41.6%	51.1%	33.5%
Benin	Kalalé	2017	60.4%	68.8%	50.2%
Benin	Kandi	2000	38.6%	49.7%	27.4%
Benin	Kandi	2017	61.5%	70.4%	51.2%
Benin	Karimama	2000	38.0%	45.0%	29.7%
Benin	Karimama	2017	59.3%	66.9%	50.8%
Benin	Kérou	2000	41.4%	53.1%	31.8%
Benin	Kérou	2017	60.4%	69.2%	51.3%
Benin	Kétou	2000	57.8%	65.1%	50.1%
Benin	Kétou	2017	66.3%	73.0%	59.3%
Benin	Klouékanmè	2000	25.7%	27.3%	24.3%
Benin	Klouékanmè	2017	46.4%	48.8%	44.6%
Benin	Kouandé	2000	33.8%	42.2%	25.5%
Benin	Kouandé	2017	55.4%	64.5%	47.4%
Benin	Kpomassè	2000	40.4%	45.3%	35.8%
Benin	Kpomassè	2017	64.2%	69.8%	57.3%
Benin	Lalo	2000	70.9%	73.7%	67.5%
Benin	Lalo	2017	80.7%	83.1%	77.9%
Benin	Malanville	2000	34.5%	43.0%	27.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Benin	Malanville	2017	57.7%	65.3%	49.7%
Benin	Matéri	2000	48.7%	58.6%	38.9%
Benin	Matéri	2017	69.7%	79.1%	60.1%
Benin	N'Dali	2000	43.9%	52.9%	34.3%
Benin	N'Dali	2017	61.1%	69.9%	53.4%
Benin	Natitingou	2000	35.7%	43.7%	28.3%
Benin	Natitingou	2017	57.1%	65.3%	48.2%
Benin	Nikki	2000	46.9%	55.7%	37.0%
Benin	Nikki	2017	64.0%	72.8%	55.1%
Benin	Ouaké	2000	41.6%	55.0%	28.8%
Benin	Ouaké	2017	62.0%	74.8%	45.7%
Benin	Ouèssè	2000	55.7%	64.6%	47.8%
Benin	Ouèssè	2017	66.7%	74.9%	58.1%
Benin	Ouidah	2000	46.8%	60.7%	35.2%
Benin	Ouidah	2017	56.2%	64.6%	49.4%
Benin	Ouinhi	2000	68.3%	74.2%	61.5%
Benin	Ouinhi	2017	69.6%	75.5%	62.5%
Benin	Parakou	2000	51.9%	58.4%	45.6%
Benin	Parakou	2017	67.8%	74.7%	60.8%
Benin	Péhunco	2000	41.4%	54.8%	30.3%
Benin	Péhunco	2017	61.1%	73.8%	50.7%
Benin	Pèrèrè	2000	45.4%	57.4%	34.5%
Benin	Pèrèrè	2017	63.9%	73.9%	52.4%
Benin	Pobè	2000	52.0%	63.4%	39.9%
Benin	Pobè	2017	70.9%	80.7%	58.5%
Benin	Porto-Novo	2000	71.4%	73.0%	69.9%
Benin	Porto-Novo	2017	73.1%	75.3%	70.9%
Benin	Sakété	2000	61.3%	64.2%	58.0%
Benin	Sakété	2017	81.7%	83.9%	78.7%
Benin	Savalou	2000	43.4%	51.4%	34.9%
Benin	Savalou	2017	64.6%	72.1%	56.8%
Benin	Savè	2000	65.9%	76.0%	55.1%
Benin	Savè	2017	80.1%	87.6%	71.6%
Benin	Segbana	2000	37.7%	46.1%	29.0%
Benin	Segbana	2017	56.0%	64.4%	46.2%
Benin	Sèmè-Kpodji	2000	72.2%	74.6%	70.1%
Benin	Sèmè-Kpodji	2017	74.6%	78.2%	70.9%
Benin	Sinendé	2000	38.3%	47.8%	29.4%
Benin	Sinendé	2017	54.3%	63.6%	44.0%
Benin	Sô-Ava	2000	88.7%	89.9%	87.3%
Benin	Sô-Ava	2017	90.2%	90.9%	89.5%
Benin	Tanguiéta	2000	42.4%	51.2%	35.7%
Benin	Tanguiéta	2017	62.3%	70.4%	54.6%
Benin	Tchaourou	2000	46.4%	52.8%	39.7%
Benin	Tchaourou	2017	63.7%	70.4%	57.0%
Benin	Toffo	2000	61.4%	67.5%	55.4%
Benin	Toffo	2017	73.0%	78.6%	67.2%
Benin	Tori-Bossito	2000	28.6%	30.5%	26.8%
Benin	Tori-Bossito	2017	57.3%	59.5%	55.3%
Benin	Toucountouna	2000	37.8%	57.0%	20.6%
Benin	Toucountouna	2017	62.0%	79.3%	43.4%
Benin	Toviklin	2000	41.5%	42.8%	40.3%
Benin	Toviklin	2017	67.3%	69.1%	65.7%
Benin	Za-Kpota	2000	37.6%	44.9%	31.9%
Benin	Za-Kpota	2017	49.7%	55.6%	44.2%
Benin	Zagnanado	2000	47.7%	58.2%	35.6%
Benin	Zagnanado	2017	57.7%	65.3%	45.5%
Benin	Zè	2000	46.6%	51.7%	42.0%
Benin	Zè	2017	61.7%	65.6%	58.2%
Benin	Zogbodomey	2000	68.1%	76.1%	60.9%
Benin	Zogbodomey	2017	83.4%	90.6%	75.9%
Botswana	Barolong	2000	96.8%	97.9%	95.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Botswana	Barolong	2017	98.0%	98.8%	96.8%
Botswana	Bobonong	2000	94.2%	94.9%	93.4%
Botswana	Bobonong	2017	96.2%	96.7%	95.6%
Botswana	Chobe	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
Botswana	Chobe	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Botswana	Francistown	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Botswana	Francistown	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Botswana	Gaborone	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Botswana	Gaborone	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Botswana	Gemsbok	2000	98.3%	99.2%	97.0%
Botswana	Gemsbok	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.7%
Botswana	Ghanzi	2000	99.1%	99.2%	98.8%
Botswana	Ghanzi	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Botswana	Hukunsti	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Botswana	Hukunsti	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Botswana	Jwaneng	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Botswana	Jwaneng	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Botswana	Kgatleng	2000	97.9%	98.2%	97.4%
Botswana	Kgatleng	2017	99.0%	99.1%	98.7%
Botswana	Kweneng	2000	96.8%	97.2%	96.2%
Botswana	Kweneng North	2017	98.1%	98.4%	97.7%
Botswana	Kweneng South	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Botswana	Kweneng South	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Botswana	Lethlakane	2000	97.0%	97.4%	96.5%
Botswana	Lethlakane	2017	98.4%	98.6%	98.1%
Botswana	Lobatse	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Botswana	Lobatse	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Botswana	Machaneng	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.5%
Botswana	Machaneng	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
Botswana	Mahalapye	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.7%
Botswana	Mahalapye	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Botswana	Masungu	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Botswana	Masungu	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Botswana	Ngamiland East	2000	94.2%	94.8%	93.4%
Botswana	Ngamiland East	2017	95.4%	95.9%	94.8%
Botswana	Ngamiland West	2000	93.1%	93.7%	92.5%
Botswana	Ngamiland West	2017	93.8%	94.4%	93.2%
Botswana	Ngwaketse Central	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.5%
Botswana	Ngwaketse Central	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Botswana	Ngwaketse North	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%
Botswana	Ngwaketse North	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Botswana	Ngwaketse South	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.3%
Botswana	Ngwaketse South	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Botswana	Palapye	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.7%
Botswana	Palapye	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Botswana	Selibe Phikwe	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Botswana	Selibe Phikwe	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Botswana	Serowe	2000	97.2%	97.5%	96.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Botswana	Serowe	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.3%
Botswana	South East	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Botswana	South East	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Botswana	Sowa	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.1%
Botswana	Sowa	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.3%
Botswana	Tshabong	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Botswana	Tshabong	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Botswana	Tuli	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Botswana	Tuli	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Botswana	Tutume	2000	95.6%	96.0%	95.2%
Botswana	Tutume	2017	97.2%	97.5%	96.9%
Burkina Faso	Balé	2000	67.4%	74.0%	59.9%
Burkina Faso	Balé	2017	66.6%	73.6%	59.5%
Burkina Faso	Bam	2000	70.6%	78.7%	62.3%
Burkina Faso	Bam	2017	69.9%	77.9%	61.5%
Burkina Faso	Banwa	2000	65.9%	73.3%	59.4%
Burkina Faso	Banwa	2017	65.7%	73.0%	58.8%
Burkina Faso	Bazèga	2000	73.7%	80.0%	66.1%
Burkina Faso	Bazèga	2017	73.1%	79.3%	66.1%
Burkina Faso	Bougouriba	2000	66.0%	73.9%	59.3%
Burkina Faso	Bougouriba	2017	65.8%	73.7%	56.7%
Burkina Faso	Boulgou	2000	73.5%	79.4%	67.6%
Burkina Faso	Boulgou	2017	73.4%	79.2%	67.7%
Burkina Faso	Boulkiemdé	2000	69.6%	75.8%	63.6%
Burkina Faso	Boulkiemdé	2017	68.1%	74.3%	62.1%
Burkina Faso	Comoé	2000	68.0%	73.7%	62.6%
Burkina Faso	Comoé	2017	67.2%	71.8%	62.9%
Burkina Faso	Ganzourgou	2000	75.7%	81.6%	67.7%
Burkina Faso	Ganzourgou	2017	75.9%	82.2%	68.2%
Burkina Faso	Gnagna	2000	72.6%	78.8%	66.3%
Burkina Faso	Gnagna	2017	72.1%	79.1%	65.1%
Burkina Faso	Gourma	2000	69.8%	76.0%	63.4%
Burkina Faso	Gourma	2017	70.3%	76.2%	64.5%
Burkina Faso	Houet	2000	73.4%	79.3%	66.5%
Burkina Faso	Houet	2017	73.4%	78.5%	67.7%
Burkina Faso	Ioba	2000	71.5%	77.8%	63.7%
Burkina Faso	Ioba	2017	70.6%	76.7%	63.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Kadiogo	2000	91.7%	93.7%	88.7%
Burkina Faso	Kadiogo	2017	91.6%	93.5%	88.8%
Burkina Faso	Kéné Dougou	2000	67.2%	73.3%	60.0%
Burkina Faso	Kéné Dougou	2017	66.4%	72.8%	59.4%
Burkina Faso	Komandjoari	2000	74.4%	81.3%	67.1%
Burkina Faso	Komandjoari	2017	74.6%	81.6%	67.0%
Burkina Faso	Kompienga	2000	71.5%	79.0%	62.5%
Burkina Faso	Kompienga	2017	69.8%	77.6%	61.2%
Burkina Faso	Kossi	2000	66.2%	72.3%	59.3%
Burkina Faso	Kossi	2017	66.3%	72.5%	59.1%
Burkina Faso	Koupléogo	2000	62.4%	70.8%	54.2%
Burkina Faso	Koupléogo	2017	62.3%	69.6%	54.6%
Burkina Faso	Kouritenga	2000	75.4%	82.4%	67.6%
Burkina Faso	Kouritenga	2017	75.4%	82.4%	68.0%
Burkina Faso	Kourwéogo	2000	74.8%	82.8%	65.8%
Burkina Faso	Kourwéogo	2017	76.0%	84.0%	66.9%
Burkina Faso	Léraba	2000	70.5%	78.6%	62.6%
Burkina Faso	Léraba	2017	70.3%	79.5%	61.5%
Burkina Faso	Loroum	2000	69.2%	76.8%	59.9%
Burkina Faso	Loroum	2017	68.5%	76.2%	60.0%
Burkina Faso	Mouhoun	2000	66.8%	73.0%	59.8%
Burkina Faso	Mouhoun	2017	66.2%	72.2%	59.0%
Burkina Faso	Nahouri	2000	65.3%	75.8%	53.8%
Burkina Faso	Nahouri	2017	68.2%	77.8%	58.1%
Burkina Faso	Namentenga	2000	71.2%	77.6%	63.6%
Burkina Faso	Namentenga	2017	71.4%	77.9%	63.6%
Burkina Faso	Nayala	2000	65.9%	75.3%	57.5%
Burkina Faso	Nayala	2017	65.6%	75.2%	56.8%
Burkina Faso	Noumbiel	2000	63.9%	73.5%	54.3%
Burkina Faso	Noumbiel	2017	63.6%	72.9%	53.4%
Burkina Faso	Oubritenga	2000	78.1%	85.0%	70.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Oubritenga	2017	78.3%	85.1%	70.6%
Burkina Faso	Oudalan	2000	69.4%	76.2%	63.1%
Burkina Faso	Oudalan	2017	69.3%	76.0%	62.0%
Burkina Faso	Passoré	2000	70.0%	76.8%	61.5%
Burkina Faso	Passoré	2017	69.7%	76.9%	61.0%
Burkina Faso	Poni	2000	67.8%	74.5%	61.5%
Burkina Faso	Poni	2017	66.4%	72.8%	60.6%
Burkina Faso	Sanguié	2000	72.0%	78.2%	66.1%
Burkina Faso	Sanguié	2017	71.1%	77.8%	65.7%
Burkina Faso	Sanmatenga	2000	71.8%	77.0%	66.8%
Burkina Faso	Sanmatenga	2017	72.7%	77.9%	67.4%
Burkina Faso	Séno	2000	64.7%	70.4%	58.5%
Burkina Faso	Séno	2017	64.2%	69.9%	57.7%
Burkina Faso	Sissili	2000	71.7%	78.1%	64.6%
Burkina Faso	Sissili	2017	71.3%	78.3%	64.0%
Burkina Faso	Soum	2000	64.8%	70.9%	58.9%
Burkina Faso	Soum	2017	64.4%	70.7%	58.7%
Burkina Faso	Sourou	2000	67.4%	75.0%	60.4%
Burkina Faso	Sourou	2017	66.0%	73.2%	59.3%
Burkina Faso	Tapoa	2000	70.4%	75.9%	64.0%
Burkina Faso	Tapoa	2017	70.2%	75.5%	64.3%
Burkina Faso	Tuy	2000	68.8%	75.8%	62.2%
Burkina Faso	Tuy	2017	68.0%	75.0%	61.4%
Burkina Faso	Yagha	2000	66.1%	72.1%	59.0%
Burkina Faso	Yagha	2017	66.5%	72.3%	59.3%
Burkina Faso	Yatenga	2000	64.6%	71.0%	57.9%
Burkina Faso	Yatenga	2017	65.1%	71.5%	58.6%
Burkina Faso	Ziro	2000	69.4%	77.7%	59.6%
Burkina Faso	Ziro	2017	69.3%	76.6%	60.0%
Burkina Faso	Zonдома	2000	65.1%	75.9%	52.7%
Burkina Faso	Zonдома	2017	63.1%	74.9%	51.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Zoundwéogo	2000	75.3%	81.8%	68.6%
Burkina Faso	Zoundwéogo	2017	75.0%	81.8%	67.9%
Burundi	Bisoro	2000	89.7%	92.7%	83.0%
Burundi	Bisoro	2017	93.3%	95.4%	88.3%
Burundi	Bubanza	2000	72.3%	73.7%	71.0%
Burundi	Bubanza	2017	81.9%	82.7%	81.1%
Burundi	Bugabira	2000	59.5%	70.1%	51.2%
Burundi	Bugabira	2017	64.3%	73.9%	54.9%
Burundi	Buganda	2000	59.2%	68.4%	44.5%
Burundi	Buganda	2017	67.7%	72.8%	52.0%
Burundi	Bugarama	2000	56.9%	76.3%	43.5%
Burundi	Bugarama	2017	72.2%	86.5%	61.7%
Burundi	Bugendana	2000	61.8%	70.2%	52.4%
Burundi	Bugendana	2017	65.7%	73.4%	56.6%
Burundi	Bugenyuzi	2000	71.1%	74.3%	68.8%
Burundi	Bugenyuzi	2017	71.8%	75.6%	69.1%
Burundi	Buhiga	2000	91.5%	92.6%	90.3%
Burundi	Buhiga	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.7%
Burundi	Buhinyuza	2000	84.2%	96.0%	66.0%
Burundi	Buhinyuza	2017	86.6%	96.9%	68.3%
Burundi	Bukemba	2000	49.5%	63.6%	35.1%
Burundi	Bukemba	2017	70.9%	78.7%	55.5%
Burundi	Bukeye	2000	83.8%	87.1%	80.5%
Burundi	Bukeye	2017	87.9%	90.5%	85.3%
Burundi	Bukinanyana	2000	80.5%	82.6%	73.8%
Burundi	Bukinanyana	2017	86.5%	88.7%	79.0%
Burundi	Bukirasazi	2000	65.0%	91.9%	49.4%
Burundi	Bukirasazi	2017	67.0%	93.5%	50.0%
Burundi	Burambi	2000	45.6%	59.8%	36.1%
Burundi	Burambi	2017	62.5%	70.3%	54.3%
Burundi	Buraza	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.7%
Burundi	Buraza	2017	95.2%	96.0%	93.9%
Burundi	Bururi	2000	50.8%	55.2%	47.7%
Burundi	Bururi	2017	63.6%	67.6%	60.3%
Burundi	Busiga	2000	97.3%	98.5%	96.0%
Burundi	Busiga	2017	97.1%	98.5%	95.2%
Burundi	Busoni	2000	69.1%	81.6%	54.0%
Burundi	Busoni	2017	77.2%	86.8%	61.1%
Burundi	Butaganzwa1	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Burundi	Butaganzwa1	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Burundi	Butaganzwa2	2000	86.9%	89.6%	78.4%
Burundi	Butaganzwa2	2017	88.4%	90.8%	82.8%
Burundi	Buterere	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Burundi	Buterere	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Burundi	Butezi	2000	73.0%	88.1%	54.7%
Burundi	Butezi	2017	78.2%	92.3%	59.2%
Burundi	Butihinda	2000	88.7%	89.7%	87.4%
Burundi	Butihinda	2017	91.7%	92.5%	90.8%
Burundi	Buyengero	2000	50.4%	60.9%	41.8%
Burundi	Buyengero	2017	62.1%	71.0%	52.5%
Burundi	Buyenze	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Burundi	Buyenze	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Burundi	Bwambarangwe	2000	83.0%	88.3%	79.4%
Burundi	Bwambarangwe	2017	85.6%	89.9%	82.0%
Burundi	Bweru	2000	75.8%	83.5%	68.2%
Burundi	Bweru	2017	80.3%	86.7%	73.3%
Burundi	Bwiza	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.1%
Burundi	Bwiza	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Burundi	Cankuzo	2000	80.1%	86.2%	71.5%
Burundi	Cankuzo	2017	84.9%	90.7%	77.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Cendajuru	2000	43.3%	48.7%	34.1%
Burundi	Cendajuru	2017	48.7%	53.2%	39.7%
Burundi	Cibitoke	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.7%
Burundi	Cibitoke	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Burundi	Gahombo	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.0%
Burundi	Gahombo	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.4%
Burundi	Gashikanwa	2000	92.2%	95.4%	86.2%
Burundi	Gashikanwa	2017	95.6%	96.9%	92.9%
Burundi	Gashoho	2000	95.5%	98.0%	90.1%
Burundi	Gashoho	2017	96.7%	98.4%	92.2%
Burundi	Gasorwe	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.9%
Burundi	Gasorwe	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Burundi	Gatara	2000	93.5%	96.1%	89.7%
Burundi	Gatara	2017	96.5%	97.9%	94.4%
Burundi	Gihanga	2000	77.4%	84.2%	62.5%
Burundi	Gihanga	2017	87.9%	91.2%	76.2%
Burundi	Giharo	2000	48.4%	60.0%	37.1%
Burundi	Giharo	2017	67.4%	76.2%	57.4%
Burundi	Giheta	2000	83.7%	89.6%	74.4%
Burundi	Giheta	2017	86.2%	91.0%	78.3%
Burundi	Gihogazi	2000	92.1%	93.6%	89.3%
Burundi	Gihogazi	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.8%
Burundi	Gihosha	2000	97.3%	99.0%	94.2%
Burundi	Gihosha	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.2%
Burundi	Gisagara	2000	66.4%	77.6%	51.0%
Burundi	Gisagara	2017	76.5%	83.8%	65.5%
Burundi	Gishubi	2000	92.2%	94.0%	89.9%
Burundi	Gishubi	2017	94.6%	95.9%	92.8%
Burundi	Gisozi	2000	88.1%	95.5%	71.3%
Burundi	Gisozi	2017	93.4%	97.7%	81.7%
Burundi	Gisuru	2000	65.1%	69.8%	58.9%
Burundi	Gisuru	2017	74.2%	77.2%	69.5%
Burundi	Gitanga	2000	72.1%	77.5%	64.9%
Burundi	Gitanga	2017	79.9%	84.5%	73.2%
Burundi	Gitaramuka	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Burundi	Gitaramuka	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Burundi	Gitega	2000	88.9%	91.6%	83.8%
Burundi	Gitega	2017	93.2%	94.7%	90.1%
Burundi	Giteranyi	2000	75.6%	80.1%	70.2%
Burundi	Giteranyi	2017	77.0%	81.8%	71.9%
Burundi	Gitobe	2000	87.2%	95.1%	83.9%
Burundi	Gitobe	2017	86.9%	95.5%	83.0%
Burundi	Isale	2000	83.8%	85.6%	82.2%
Burundi	Isale	2017	93.8%	94.4%	93.3%
Burundi	Itaba	2000	76.6%	85.1%	69.3%
Burundi	Itaba	2017	79.4%	86.6%	73.5%
Burundi	Kabarore	2000	80.9%	89.6%	66.2%
Burundi	Kabarore	2017	83.1%	91.8%	66.1%
Burundi	Kabezi	2000	81.4%	83.4%	79.2%
Burundi	Kabezi	2017	85.2%	87.4%	83.1%
Burundi	Kamenge	2000	97.2%	99.1%	93.9%
Burundi	Kamenge	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.2%
Burundi	Kanyosha1	2000	85.1%	86.7%	83.4%
Burundi	Kanyosha1	2017	95.6%	96.1%	95.1%
Burundi	Kanyosha2	2000	81.7%	84.6%	78.3%
Burundi	Kanyosha2	2017	87.7%	89.7%	86.2%
Burundi	Kayanza	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.3%
Burundi	Kayanza	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Burundi	Kayogoro	2000	54.7%	68.9%	42.0%
Burundi	Kayogoro	2017	71.9%	83.6%	57.4%
Burundi	Kayokwe	2000	94.1%	94.9%	93.4%
Burundi	Kayokwe	2017	96.8%	97.2%	96.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Kibago	2000	73.7%	88.6%	53.5%
Burundi	Kibago	2017	85.4%	95.6%	66.5%
Burundi	Kigamba	2000	69.3%	84.7%	48.6%
Burundi	Kigamba	2017	75.4%	89.4%	54.3%
Burundi	Kiganda	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.4%
Burundi	Kiganda	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Burundi	Kinama	2000	97.4%	98.6%	95.5%
Burundi	Kinama	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Burundi	Kinindo	2000	95.6%	97.1%	93.8%
Burundi	Kinindo	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Burundi	Kinyinya	2000	47.6%	51.7%	45.2%
Burundi	Kinyinya	2017	58.9%	68.1%	55.0%
Burundi	Kiremba	2000	85.2%	89.2%	78.7%
Burundi	Kiremba	2017	88.9%	91.5%	83.2%
Burundi	Kirundo	2000	79.0%	82.9%	75.5%
Burundi	Kirundo	2017	82.1%	86.5%	78.0%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	88.2%	96.6%	77.9%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.3%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	84.8%	88.9%	74.3%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	93.0%	98.8%	64.6%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	96.2%	99.5%	88.6%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	97.1%	99.8%	78.0%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	91.2%	94.1%	82.0%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Burundi	Mabanda	2000	86.5%	94.0%	75.0%
Burundi	Mabanda	2017	93.5%	97.4%	85.1%
Burundi	Mabayi	2000	71.2%	81.8%	61.4%
Burundi	Mabayi	2017	77.7%	90.5%	66.1%
Burundi	Makamba	2000	91.8%	92.7%	90.6%
Burundi	Makamba	2017	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Burundi	Makebuko	2000	74.1%	82.0%	67.9%
Burundi	Makebuko	2017	82.6%	89.7%	77.0%
Burundi	Marangara	2000	93.9%	95.7%	91.4%
Burundi	Marangara	2017	95.0%	96.6%	92.9%
Burundi	Matana	2000	80.4%	84.1%	75.2%
Burundi	Matana	2017	90.2%	91.8%	88.5%
Burundi	Matongo	2000	98.4%	99.5%	93.4%
Burundi	Matongo	2017	98.8%	99.6%	95.6%
Burundi	Mbuye	2000	97.5%	97.8%	97.1%
Burundi	Mbuye	2017	98.0%	98.3%	97.7%
Burundi	Mishiha	2000	64.8%	73.1%	54.9%
Burundi	Mishiha	2017	74.5%	81.8%	64.4%
Burundi	Mpanda	2000	72.5%	75.8%	69.2%
Burundi	Mpanda	2017	91.3%	92.4%	90.2%
Burundi	Mpinga-Kayove	2000	68.8%	72.6%	65.0%
Burundi	Mpinga-Kayove	2017	76.4%	80.3%	72.0%
Burundi	Mubimbi	2000	75.8%	78.1%	73.5%
Burundi	Mubimbi	2017	83.4%	85.3%	81.6%
Burundi	Mugamba	2000	84.1%	88.6%	80.2%
Burundi	Mugamba	2017	89.1%	93.6%	85.2%
Burundi	Mugina	2000	51.0%	60.5%	42.4%
Burundi	Mugina	2017	63.8%	73.3%	53.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Mugongomanga	2000	79.0%	80.8%	77.0%
Burundi	Mugongomanga	2017	88.2%	89.6%	86.8%
Burundi	Muhanga	2000	98.8%	99.6%	96.9%
Burundi	Muhanga	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.7%
Burundi	Muhuta	2000	69.8%	77.5%	65.5%
Burundi	Muhuta	2017	80.1%	87.1%	76.0%
Burundi	Mukike	2000	59.6%	67.9%	55.7%
Burundi	Mukike	2017	70.5%	80.7%	64.6%
Burundi	Muramvya	2000	88.6%	89.7%	87.2%
Burundi	Muramvya	2017	90.8%	91.7%	89.7%
Burundi	Muruta	2000	99.2%	99.7%	98.5%
Burundi	Muruta	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Burundi	Murwi	2000	79.4%	84.2%	72.3%
Burundi	Murwi	2017	86.4%	89.6%	82.0%
Burundi	Musaga	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Burundi	Musaga	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Burundi	Musigati	2000	64.8%	67.9%	60.2%
Burundi	Musigati	2017	71.6%	74.3%	67.7%
Burundi	Musongati	2000	67.8%	74.5%	57.5%
Burundi	Musongati	2017	74.5%	80.9%	63.6%
Burundi	Mutaho	2000	79.2%	82.4%	76.1%
Burundi	Mutaho	2017	84.9%	87.4%	82.3%
Burundi	Mutambu	2000	69.2%	71.0%	67.2%
Burundi	Mutambu	2017	76.5%	78.3%	74.6%
Burundi	Mutimbuzi	2000	93.6%	96.7%	83.9%
Burundi	Mutimbuzi	2017	97.6%	99.3%	90.0%
Burundi	Mutumba	2000	97.3%	99.1%	90.0%
Burundi	Mutumba	2017	97.9%	99.4%	90.5%
Burundi	Muyinga	2000	85.2%	91.3%	78.5%
Burundi	Muyinga	2017	89.2%	94.1%	82.5%
Burundi	Mwakiro	2000	83.9%	96.7%	58.2%
Burundi	Mwakiro	2017	86.4%	97.7%	62.7%
Burundi	Mwumba	2000	87.8%	92.1%	82.7%
Burundi	Mwumba	2017	90.3%	93.7%	85.7%
Burundi	Ndava	2000	91.0%	92.1%	89.9%
Burundi	Ndava	2017	92.8%	93.8%	92.0%
Burundi	Ngagara	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.2%
Burundi	Ngagara	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Burundi	Ngozi	2000	88.7%	91.5%	85.2%
Burundi	Ngozi	2017	91.5%	93.5%	89.1%
Burundi	Ntega	2000	70.1%	73.5%	62.6%
Burundi	Ntega	2017	72.6%	75.9%	65.3%
Burundi	Nyabihanga	2000	89.9%	90.7%	88.7%
Burundi	Nyabihanga	2017	93.1%	93.7%	92.3%
Burundi	Nyabikere	2000	93.7%	97.4%	78.3%
Burundi	Nyabikere	2017	95.2%	98.2%	80.2%
Burundi	Nyabiraba	2000	68.8%	72.4%	65.2%
Burundi	Nyabiraba	2017	83.7%	87.0%	80.3%
Burundi	Nyabitsinda	2000	54.1%	56.9%	51.5%
Burundi	Nyabitsinda	2017	61.0%	64.4%	58.1%
Burundi	Nyakabiga	2000	96.7%	97.9%	95.1%
Burundi	Nyakabiga	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Burundi	Nyamurenza	2000	89.3%	92.4%	82.9%
Burundi	Nyamurenza	2017	93.3%	94.9%	90.3%
Burundi	Nyanrusange	2000	96.4%	97.4%	94.7%
Burundi	Nyanrusange	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.5%
Burundi	Nyanza-Lac	2000	86.8%	91.8%	79.7%
Burundi	Nyanza-Lac	2017	95.8%	98.1%	91.7%
Burundi	Rango	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Burundi	Rango	2017	97.8%	98.3%	96.9%
Burundi	Roherero	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Burundi	Roherero	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Rugazi	2000	66.4%	69.1%	63.7%
Burundi	Rugazi	2017	79.5%	81.7%	77.1%
Burundi	Rugombo	2000	68.0%	83.7%	52.8%
Burundi	Rugombo	2017	82.2%	95.5%	68.5%
Burundi	Ruhororo	2000	97.1%	98.5%	94.2%
Burundi	Ruhororo	2017	98.0%	98.9%	96.1%
Burundi	Rumonge	2000	85.1%	89.4%	80.1%
Burundi	Rumonge	2017	94.8%	96.4%	91.9%
Burundi	Rusaka	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Burundi	Rusaka	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Burundi	Rutana	2000	57.9%	62.8%	53.2%
Burundi	Rutana	2017	69.8%	76.1%	63.8%
Burundi	Rutegama	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Burundi	Rutegama	2017	95.8%	96.5%	95.0%
Burundi	Rutovu	2000	57.3%	66.2%	49.5%
Burundi	Rutovu	2017	72.2%	81.3%	65.2%
Burundi	Ruyigi	2000	52.0%	56.7%	48.7%
Burundi	Ruyigi	2017	64.4%	69.1%	61.0%
Burundi	Ryansoro	2000	83.0%	87.5%	77.9%
Burundi	Ryansoro	2017	89.2%	92.3%	85.9%
Burundi	Shombo	2000	90.6%	93.6%	83.7%
Burundi	Shombo	2017	92.9%	95.4%	86.7%
Burundi	Songa	2000	75.9%	82.8%	69.5%
Burundi	Songa	2017	88.7%	92.7%	85.1%
Burundi	Tangara	2000	91.1%	95.5%	84.7%
Burundi	Tangara	2017	95.0%	97.1%	89.3%
Burundi	Vugizo	2000	76.6%	82.7%	66.0%
Burundi	Vugizo	2017	83.0%	89.1%	73.3%
Burundi	Vumbi	2000	94.6%	97.0%	89.9%
Burundi	Vumbi	2017	95.7%	97.5%	92.1%
Burundi	Vyanda	2000	53.6%	68.5%	41.0%
Burundi	Vyanda	2017	64.9%	78.3%	54.2%
Cameroon	Bamboutos	2000	37.0%	42.8%	32.2%
Cameroon	Bamboutos	2017	59.7%	66.5%	54.0%
Cameroon	Bénoué	2000	40.6%	45.8%	35.2%
Cameroon	Bénoué	2017	62.2%	67.1%	56.7%
Cameroon	Boumba et Ngoko	2000	37.5%	44.1%	30.7%
Cameroon	Boumba et Ngoko	2017	62.3%	68.3%	56.4%
Cameroon	Boyo	2000	48.1%	60.3%	36.2%
Cameroon	Boyo	2017	56.0%	66.7%	44.4%
Cameroon	Bui	2000	58.8%	68.1%	47.6%
Cameroon	Bui	2017	64.6%	73.2%	54.3%
Cameroon	Diamaré	2000	33.5%	41.7%	25.4%
Cameroon	Diamaré	2017	61.3%	69.3%	51.6%
Cameroon	Dja et Lobo	2000	47.8%	55.8%	40.8%
Cameroon	Dja et Lobo	2017	70.7%	76.4%	64.8%
Cameroon	Djerem	2000	41.9%	50.7%	34.8%
Cameroon	Djerem	2017	64.8%	72.0%	58.5%
Cameroon	Donga Mantung	2000	44.4%	53.1%	34.1%
Cameroon	Donga Mantung	2017	58.6%	66.3%	49.5%
Cameroon	Fako	2000	78.0%	82.1%	73.7%
Cameroon	Fako	2017	84.7%	88.5%	80.8%
Cameroon	Faro	2000	40.9%	52.0%	31.4%
Cameroon	Faro	2017	61.8%	73.2%	49.0%
Cameroon	Faro et Déo	2000	39.0%	48.0%	31.4%
Cameroon	Faro et Déo	2017	60.4%	68.9%	50.9%
Cameroon	Haut Nkam	2000	54.6%	65.9%	45.3%
Cameroon	Haut Nkam	2017	74.2%	82.7%	64.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cameroon	Haut Nyong	2000	39.5%	46.1%	33.2%
Cameroon	Haut Nyong	2017	65.0%	70.6%	58.6%
Cameroon	Haute Sanaga	2000	46.5%	55.2%	38.3%
Cameroon	Haute Sanaga	2017	68.0%	75.7%	60.4%
Cameroon	Hauts Plateaux	2000	44.4%	51.0%	38.8%
Cameroon	Hauts Plateaux	2017	69.7%	75.6%	64.1%
Cameroon	Kadey	2000	34.7%	43.0%	27.7%
Cameroon	Kadey	2017	59.0%	67.0%	51.2%
Cameroon	Koung Khi	2000	72.5%	81.8%	61.6%
Cameroon	Koung Khi	2017	91.4%	95.6%	83.6%
Cameroon	Koupé Manengouba	2000	64.3%	71.7%	52.9%
Cameroon	Koupé Manengouba	2017	73.0%	81.0%	62.9%
Cameroon	Lebialem	2000	20.3%	37.6%	13.4%
Cameroon	Lebialem	2017	33.6%	47.1%	25.1%
Cameroon	Lekié	2000	56.9%	64.4%	49.1%
Cameroon	Lekié	2017	79.5%	85.3%	72.6%
Cameroon	Logone et Chari	2000	44.0%	51.3%	35.9%
Cameroon	Logone et Chari	2017	64.3%	71.5%	57.0%
Cameroon	Lom Djerem	2000	43.4%	49.0%	38.2%
Cameroon	Lom Djerem	2017	65.2%	70.7%	60.1%
Cameroon	Manyu	2000	52.4%	63.5%	42.7%
Cameroon	Manyu	2017	68.7%	77.1%	59.6%
Cameroon	Mayo Banyo	2000	43.3%	53.1%	34.7%
Cameroon	Mayo Banyo	2017	67.7%	75.3%	61.3%
Cameroon	Mayo Danay	2000	46.3%	54.3%	39.1%
Cameroon	Mayo Danay	2017	68.3%	74.5%	61.7%
Cameroon	Mayo Kani	2000	38.3%	50.7%	27.6%
Cameroon	Mayo Kani	2017	65.1%	75.5%	53.5%
Cameroon	Mayo Louti	2000	37.9%	44.8%	31.3%
Cameroon	Mayo Louti	2017	57.8%	63.6%	51.3%
Cameroon	Mayo Rey	2000	37.4%	43.9%	31.9%
Cameroon	Mayo Rey	2017	60.7%	66.5%	55.1%
Cameroon	Mayo Sava	2000	48.5%	58.1%	39.8%
Cameroon	Mayo Sava	2017	71.5%	79.8%	63.1%
Cameroon	Mayo Tsanaga	2000	41.3%	51.1%	32.1%
Cameroon	Mayo Tsanaga	2017	64.1%	73.0%	54.1%
Cameroon	Mbam et Inoubou	2000	55.3%	64.0%	44.8%
Cameroon	Mbam et Inoubou	2017	76.4%	83.5%	68.0%
Cameroon	Mbam et Kim	2000	47.2%	54.3%	39.3%
Cameroon	Mbam et Kim	2017	68.9%	74.6%	62.1%
Cameroon	Mbéré	2000	41.1%	49.6%	32.6%
Cameroon	Mbéré	2017	63.8%	71.2%	55.0%
Cameroon	Mefou et Afamba	2000	56.6%	60.9%	52.4%
Cameroon	Mefou et Afamba	2017	84.9%	87.6%	82.2%
Cameroon	Mefou et Akono	2000	61.7%	73.8%	50.0%
Cameroon	Mefou et Akono	2017	85.3%	92.0%	73.5%
Cameroon	Meme	2000	64.3%	73.3%	53.7%
Cameroon	Meme	2017	74.0%	81.2%	64.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cameroon	Menchum	2000	48.8%	60.5%	38.6%
Cameroon	Menchum	2017	64.0%	73.6%	53.6%
Cameroon	Menoua	2000	31.4%	40.2%	25.9%
Cameroon	Menoua	2017	49.8%	56.6%	43.8%
Cameroon	Mezam	2000	61.8%	67.5%	56.7%
Cameroon	Mezam	2017	72.2%	78.0%	66.5%
Cameroon	Mfoundi	2000	74.0%	77.1%	71.1%
Cameroon	Mfoundi	2017	90.9%	92.3%	88.8%
Cameroon	Mifi	2000	53.8%	58.4%	50.5%
Cameroon	Mifi	2017	68.3%	72.3%	64.5%
Cameroon	Momo	2000	52.1%	63.9%	40.5%
Cameroon	Momo	2017	66.6%	76.5%	55.6%
Cameroon	Moungo	2000	68.4%	73.2%	62.8%
Cameroon	Moungo	2017	81.0%	84.9%	75.6%
Cameroon	Mvila	2000	49.2%	57.7%	40.5%
Cameroon	Mvila	2017	68.2%	76.8%	59.6%
Cameroon	Ndé	2000	62.6%	75.8%	48.6%
Cameroon	Ndé	2017	80.6%	90.0%	67.9%
Cameroon	Ndian	2000	50.7%	63.4%	36.4%
Cameroon	Ndian	2017	68.3%	78.1%	57.0%
Cameroon	Ngo Ketunjia	2000	58.7%	67.2%	46.8%
Cameroon	Ngo Ketunjia	2017	70.6%	79.2%	60.4%
Cameroon	Nkam	2000	48.5%	61.1%	33.8%
Cameroon	Nkam	2017	69.7%	78.4%	58.6%
Cameroon	Noun	2000	49.6%	56.2%	43.1%
Cameroon	Noun	2017	68.5%	75.3%	62.1%
Cameroon	Nyong et Kélé	2000	52.8%	60.5%	44.4%
Cameroon	Nyong et Kélé	2017	74.6%	81.1%	67.5%
Cameroon	Nyong et Mfoumou	2000	51.7%	62.4%	40.8%
Cameroon	Nyong et Mfoumou	2017	73.1%	81.7%	63.1%
Cameroon	Nyong et So'o	2000	64.4%	73.5%	55.8%
Cameroon	Nyong et So'o	2017	76.2%	84.6%	67.8%
Cameroon	Océan	2000	52.9%	62.9%	44.2%
Cameroon	Océan	2017	70.4%	76.4%	63.7%
Cameroon	Sanaga Maritime	2000	68.4%	77.1%	59.6%
Cameroon	Sanaga Maritime	2017	85.1%	91.0%	77.1%
Cameroon	Vallée du Ntem	2000	41.2%	52.9%	30.4%
Cameroon	Vallée du Ntem	2017	66.8%	75.7%	56.9%
Cameroon	Vina	2000	48.2%	56.5%	41.4%
Cameroon	Vina	2017	67.1%	74.2%	60.4%
Cameroon	Wouri	2000	89.8%	93.6%	85.3%
Cameroon	Wouri	2017	96.7%	98.5%	93.1%
Central African Republic	Alindao	2000	33.3%	38.6%	28.9%
Central African Republic	Alindao	2017	25.9%	30.1%	22.1%
Central African Republic	Baboua	2000	58.4%	63.0%	54.4%
Central African Republic	Baboua	2017	49.4%	54.4%	45.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Bakala	2000	47.7%	57.4%	38.4%
Central African Republic	Bakala	2017	38.9%	49.1%	29.7%
Central African Republic	Bakouma	2000	48.1%	52.1%	43.2%
Central African Republic	Bakouma	2017	39.5%	43.3%	35.2%
Central African Republic	Bambari	2000	45.6%	49.2%	42.0%
Central African Republic	Bambari	2017	36.4%	39.8%	33.7%
Central African Republic	Bambio	2000	55.4%	61.4%	48.9%
Central African Republic	Bambio	2017	47.5%	53.7%	41.1%
Central African Republic	Bamingui	2000	64.7%	70.8%	58.1%
Central African Republic	Bamingui	2017	55.5%	61.4%	48.8%
Central African Republic	Bangassou	2000	40.1%	45.4%	35.5%
Central African Republic	Bangassou	2017	31.4%	35.3%	27.7%
Central African Republic	Bangui	2000	92.4%	94.8%	89.9%
Central African Republic	Bangui	2017	86.9%	89.7%	84.3%
Central African Republic	Baoro	2000	58.9%	63.6%	54.5%
Central African Republic	Baoro	2017	49.7%	54.3%	45.5%
Central African Republic	Batangafu	2000	75.2%	77.8%	72.3%
Central African Republic	Batangafu	2017	68.1%	71.6%	64.2%
Central African Republic	Berbérati	2000	51.3%	59.1%	44.2%
Central African Republic	Berbérati	2017	39.8%	46.4%	34.7%
Central African Republic	Bimbo	2000	78.6%	83.0%	73.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Bimbo	2017	69.3%	74.6%	64.5%
Central African Republic	Birao	2000	58.5%	63.4%	54.1%
Central African Republic	Birao	2017	47.3%	51.2%	43.3%
Central African Republic	Boali	2000	70.0%	77.6%	61.6%
Central African Republic	Boali	2017	60.3%	68.0%	51.5%
Central African Republic	Bocaranga	2000	75.6%	78.1%	73.0%
Central African Republic	Bocaranga	2017	69.2%	71.7%	66.3%
Central African Republic	Boda	2000	68.9%	73.1%	64.6%
Central African Republic	Boda	2017	61.2%	65.7%	56.6%
Central African Republic	Bossangoa	2000	75.5%	77.9%	72.7%
Central African Republic	Bossangoa	2017	68.7%	71.4%	65.6%
Central African Republic	Bouar	2000	56.5%	61.1%	52.1%
Central African Republic	Bouar	2017	48.5%	52.5%	44.2%
Central African Republic	Bouca	2000	74.5%	79.0%	70.5%
Central African Republic	Bouca	2017	67.5%	72.3%	63.1%
Central African Republic	Bozoum	2000	72.6%	76.6%	68.7%
Central African Republic	Bozoum	2017	65.7%	70.1%	61.0%
Central African Republic	Bria	2000	66.3%	69.5%	63.2%
Central African Republic	Bria	2017	59.2%	62.5%	56.2%
Central African Republic	Carnot	2000	54.6%	58.8%	50.7%
Central African Republic	Carnot	2017	42.9%	46.9%	39.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Damara	2000	67.1%	72.9%	62.4%
Central African Republic	Damara	2017	58.0%	63.6%	53.4%
Central African Republic	Dékoa	2000	59.7%	64.0%	55.4%
Central African Republic	Dékoa	2017	51.5%	56.2%	46.9%
Central African Republic	Djemah	2000	58.1%	68.7%	44.6%
Central African Republic	Djemah	2017	50.5%	61.0%	38.7%
Central African Republic	Gambo-Ouango	2000	40.2%	46.2%	34.7%
Central African Republic	Gambo-Ouango	2017	32.5%	38.8%	27.3%
Central African Republic	Gamboula	2000	53.9%	60.7%	47.3%
Central African Republic	Gamboula	2017	42.5%	48.1%	36.1%
Central African Republic	Grimari	2000	47.0%	52.7%	41.9%
Central African Republic	Grimari	2017	37.6%	42.2%	33.6%
Central African Republic	Ippy	2000	44.4%	49.7%	39.2%
Central African Republic	Ippy	2017	35.8%	40.5%	31.2%
Central African Republic	Kabo	2000	76.0%	81.5%	69.4%
Central African Republic	Kabo	2017	68.7%	75.8%	61.5%
Central African Republic	Kaga-Bandoro	2000	67.9%	72.3%	62.6%
Central African Republic	Kaga-Bandoro	2017	60.8%	65.4%	55.6%
Central African Republic	Kembé	2000	36.2%	41.4%	30.3%
Central African Republic	Kembé	2017	29.2%	34.4%	24.0%
Central African Republic	Kouango	2000	45.8%	50.1%	41.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Kouango	2017	37.4%	41.8%	33.6%
Central African Republic	M'Baïki	2000	67.5%	70.6%	64.2%
Central African Republic	M'Baïki	2017	60.5%	64.1%	57.5%
Central African Republic	Markounda	2000	75.1%	78.5%	71.0%
Central African Republic	Markounda	2017	67.7%	72.1%	62.7%
Central African Republic	Mbrès	2000	72.7%	77.2%	67.6%
Central African Republic	Mbrès	2017	66.3%	71.2%	60.7%
Central African Republic	Mingala	2000	44.9%	51.7%	37.1%
Central African Republic	Mingala	2017	35.6%	42.3%	28.7%
Central African Republic	Mobaye	2000	32.9%	40.3%	26.6%
Central African Republic	Mobaye	2017	26.1%	33.0%	20.6%
Central African Republic	Mongoumba	2000	62.6%	72.2%	53.2%
Central African Republic	Mongoumba	2017	54.6%	66.2%	44.4%
Central African Republic	Ndélé	2000	67.0%	70.1%	63.4%
Central African Republic	Ndélé	2017	56.6%	60.0%	53.1%
Central African Republic	Nola	2000	54.3%	58.2%	50.1%
Central African Republic	Nola	2017	45.7%	49.3%	42.3%
Central African Republic	Obo	2000	61.9%	66.0%	57.8%
Central African Republic	Obo	2017	54.4%	58.9%	49.9%
Central African Republic	Ouadda	2000	66.7%	70.1%	63.2%
Central African Republic	Ouadda	2017	59.4%	63.6%	55.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Ouanda Djallé	2000	61.4%	72.0%	50.4%
Central African Republic	Ouanda Djallé	2017	51.5%	64.4%	39.8%
Central African Republic	Paoua	2000	75.8%	79.5%	71.9%
Central African Republic	Paoua	2017	68.9%	73.3%	64.6%
Central African Republic	Rafaï	2000	44.0%	51.5%	37.4%
Central African Republic	Rafaï	2017	35.9%	43.3%	29.2%
Central African Republic	Sibut	2000	61.0%	64.2%	57.3%
Central African Republic	Sibut	2017	52.7%	56.2%	49.2%
Central African Republic	Yalinga	2000	64.4%	70.3%	59.3%
Central African Republic	Yalinga	2017	56.8%	62.8%	51.1%
Central African Republic	Yaloké	2000	66.2%	69.8%	62.5%
Central African Republic	Yaloké	2017	57.4%	61.2%	53.3%
Central African Republic	Zémio	2000	59.3%	64.7%	53.1%
Central African Republic	Zémio	2017	51.9%	57.9%	46.1%
Chad	Aboudeïa	2000	36.8%	42.9%	29.9%
Chad	Aboudeïa	2017	44.8%	51.3%	37.9%
Chad	Assoungaha	2000	34.5%	42.1%	28.8%
Chad	Assoungaha	2017	40.8%	47.7%	34.7%
Chad	Baguirmi	2000	56.9%	61.4%	52.5%
Chad	Baguirmi	2017	65.4%	69.9%	60.8%
Chad	Barh Azoum	2000	39.9%	44.6%	35.5%
Chad	Barh Azoum	2017	48.2%	52.9%	43.8%
Chad	Barh El Gazel	2000	62.8%	66.2%	59.2%
Chad	Barh El Gazel	2017	70.4%	73.2%	67.3%
Chad	Barh Köh	2000	42.0%	47.1%	37.4%
Chad	Barh Köh	2017	50.3%	55.5%	45.4%
Chad	Barh Sara	2000	35.8%	42.0%	29.3%
Chad	Barh Sara	2017	43.5%	49.7%	36.8%
Chad	Barh Signaka	2000	50.6%	56.8%	43.2%
Chad	Barh Signaka	2017	58.0%	64.2%	50.4%
Chad	Batha Est	2000	47.1%	52.6%	42.1%
Chad	Batha Est	2017	54.6%	59.7%	50.0%
Chad	Batha Oues	2000	54.5%	59.3%	49.2%
Chad	Batha Oues	2017	62.8%	67.8%	58.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Chad	Béré	2000	32.6%	44.5%	21.2%
Chad	Béré	2017	41.0%	54.8%	28.8%
Chad	Biltine	2000	33.3%	37.4%	28.9%
Chad	Biltine	2017	39.1%	42.8%	34.9%
Chad	Bitkine	2000	46.2%	53.1%	40.5%
Chad	Bitkine	2017	53.7%	60.2%	47.5%
Chad	Borkou	2000	37.9%	40.9%	35.1%
Chad	Borkou	2017	45.0%	48.0%	42.2%
Chad	Dababa	2000	64.1%	69.5%	58.6%
Chad	Dababa	2017	72.1%	76.9%	67.2%
Chad	Dagana	2000	68.5%	74.8%	63.4%
Chad	Dagana	2017	75.9%	81.0%	71.3%
Chad	Dar Tama	2000	24.9%	30.0%	20.0%
Chad	Dar Tama	2017	30.5%	35.5%	24.6%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2000	37.0%	46.7%	29.0%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2000	42.4%	48.0%	36.9%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2017	44.1%	54.2%	35.2%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2017	50.8%	56.2%	45.4%
Chad	Dodjé	2000	40.3%	48.8%	30.2%
Chad	Dodjé	2017	49.1%	57.5%	38.8%
Chad	Ennedi Est	2000	24.0%	26.9%	21.0%
Chad	Ennedi Est	2017	28.8%	32.0%	25.8%
Chad	Ennedi Ouest	2000	29.6%	32.5%	26.5%
Chad	Ennedi Ouest	2017	36.3%	39.2%	33.3%
Chad	Fitri	2000	40.4%	43.9%	36.3%
Chad	Fitri	2017	48.0%	51.3%	44.2%
Chad	Grande Sido	2000	35.1%	43.6%	26.3%
Chad	Grande Sido	2017	42.9%	51.7%	33.6%
Chad	Guéra	2000	38.3%	42.2%	34.4%
Chad	Guéra	2017	46.2%	50.4%	41.8%
Chad	Haraze Al Biar	2000	64.8%	71.8%	58.1%
Chad	Haraze Al Biar	2017	72.7%	79.2%	65.9%
Chad	Haraze Manguaigne	2000	34.0%	38.2%	30.1%
Chad	Haraze Manguaigne	2017	41.7%	45.8%	37.2%
Chad	Kabbia	2000	40.2%	48.9%	32.3%
Chad	Kabbia	2017	47.4%	56.1%	38.9%
Chad	Kanem	2000	62.8%	67.2%	58.0%
Chad	Kanem	2017	70.9%	74.8%	67.0%
Chad	Kobé	2000	22.7%	26.9%	18.9%
Chad	Kobé	2017	26.9%	31.5%	23.0%
Chad	Lac Iro	2000	39.3%	44.7%	33.8%
Chad	Lac Iro	2017	46.6%	51.9%	40.7%
Chad	Lac Léré	2000	40.2%	47.8%	31.2%
Chad	Lac Léré	2017	48.6%	55.8%	39.5%
Chad	Lac Wey	2000	39.5%	46.3%	32.2%
Chad	Lac Wey	2017	48.1%	55.1%	40.2%
Chad	Lanya	2000	41.7%	51.7%	31.6%
Chad	Lanya	2017	51.0%	61.3%	40.1%
Chad	Loug Chari	2000	56.2%	62.2%	49.6%
Chad	Loug Chari	2017	64.2%	69.8%	58.1%
Chad	Mamdi	2000	57.2%	62.2%	51.6%
Chad	Mamdi	2017	65.6%	69.8%	60.4%
Chad	Mandoul Occidental	2000	33.4%	43.4%	24.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Chad	Mandoul Occidental	2017	41.5%	52.2%	30.7%
Chad	Mandoul Oriental	2000	33.5%	39.5%	27.2%
Chad	Mandoul Oriental	2017	40.9%	47.4%	34.4%
Chad	Mangalmé	2000	44.7%	51.4%	38.2%
Chad	Mangalmé	2017	53.1%	59.6%	46.4%
Chad	Mayo-Boneye	2000	53.4%	58.7%	47.4%
Chad	Mayo-Boneye	2017	61.7%	67.5%	55.6%
Chad	Mayo-Dallah	2000	34.7%	40.5%	28.2%
Chad	Mayo-Dallah	2017	42.5%	48.4%	35.6%
Chad	Mont Illi	2000	46.0%	55.9%	37.0%
Chad	Mont Illi	2017	54.5%	63.2%	45.7%
Chad	Monts de Lam	2000	38.2%	45.1%	31.4%
Chad	Monts de Lam	2017	46.6%	53.4%	39.2%
Chad	N'Djamena	2000	79.9%	86.5%	74.3%
Chad	N'Djamena	2000	77.5%	83.8%	71.1%
Chad	N'Djamena	2017	83.7%	88.8%	77.5%
Chad	N'Djamena	2017	84.2%	90.7%	79.0%
Chad	Ngourkosso	2000	39.4%	50.2%	29.7%
Chad	Ngourkosso	2017	48.3%	60.1%	37.6%
Chad	Nokou	2000	48.0%	53.1%	43.3%
Chad	Nokou	2017	55.2%	59.2%	50.9%
Chad	Nya Pendé	2000	39.7%	46.3%	33.6%
Chad	Nya Pendé	2017	48.4%	55.1%	42.3%
Chad	Ouara	2000	39.6%	44.1%	35.4%
Chad	Ouara	2017	47.5%	52.5%	43.1%
Chad	Pendé	2000	36.6%	43.4%	30.5%
Chad	Pendé	2017	45.0%	52.6%	38.7%
Chad	Sila	2000	36.7%	41.5%	32.4%
Chad	Sila	2017	44.9%	49.5%	40.6%
Chad	Tandjilé Est	2000	46.0%	51.7%	40.5%
Chad	Tandjilé Est	2017	54.5%	59.7%	49.1%
Chad	Tandjilé Ouest	2000	34.6%	42.5%	26.7%
Chad	Tandjilé Ouest	2017	41.7%	50.1%	33.3%
Chad	Tibesti	2000	28.9%	31.4%	25.9%
Chad	Tibesti	2017	35.3%	38.7%	32.1%
Chad	Wayi	2000	66.1%	72.1%	60.3%
Chad	Wayi	2017	74.4%	79.7%	69.2%
Comoros	Mwali	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Comoros	Mwali	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Comoros	Njazidja	2000	90.5%	95.2%	83.9%
Comoros	Njazidja	2017	91.0%	95.2%	84.9%
Comoros	Nzwani	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.1%
Comoros	Nzwani	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Agnéby-Tiassa	2000	72.5%	77.5%	66.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Agnéby-Tiassa	2017	71.1%	75.7%	66.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bafing	2000	75.4%	80.2%	70.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bafing	2017	71.0%	76.3%	65.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bagoué	2000	79.2%	83.2%	75.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Côte d'Ivoire	Bagoué	2017	74.4%	79.1%	69.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béliér	2000	80.9%	85.7%	75.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béliér	2017	79.8%	84.7%	74.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béré	2000	76.3%	80.1%	71.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béré	2017	72.1%	76.4%	67.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bounkani	2000	79.6%	83.7%	75.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bounkani	2017	76.2%	80.4%	71.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Cavally	2000	69.4%	74.6%	64.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Cavally	2017	64.3%	69.3%	59.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Folon	2000	74.4%	80.9%	68.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Folon	2017	68.7%	75.7%	61.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbeke	2000	86.0%	88.1%	83.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbeke	2017	83.3%	85.6%	80.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbôkle	2000	72.0%	77.9%	67.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbôkle	2017	69.4%	75.2%	63.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gôh	2000	73.1%	77.8%	67.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gôh	2017	67.6%	72.6%	61.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gontougo	2000	77.0%	80.5%	73.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gontougo	2017	74.8%	78.2%	71.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Grands Ponts	2000	76.6%	84.3%	67.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Grands Ponts	2017	75.7%	82.8%	67.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Guémon	2000	71.5%	76.8%	65.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Guémon	2017	64.7%	71.1%	58.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Hambol	2000	82.3%	85.6%	79.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Hambol	2017	80.3%	83.7%	76.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Haut-Sassandra	2000	70.9%	75.2%	66.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Haut-Sassandra	2017	66.1%	70.7%	61.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Iffou	2000	78.3%	83.2%	73.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Iffou	2017	76.9%	81.6%	71.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Indénié-Djuablin	2000	74.6%	78.0%	71.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Indénié-Djuablin	2017	72.6%	76.4%	68.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Côte d'Ivoire	Kabadougou	2000	77.8%	81.8%	73.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Kabadougou	2017	72.0%	76.0%	67.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	La Mé	2000	77.7%	82.0%	72.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	La Mé	2017	75.9%	80.8%	71.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Lôh-Djiboua	2000	72.0%	76.8%	66.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Lôh-Djiboua	2017	68.2%	72.9%	62.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Marahoué	2000	73.9%	79.5%	68.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Marahoué	2017	71.3%	77.3%	66.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Moronou	2000	75.7%	81.4%	69.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Moronou	2017	74.9%	80.6%	68.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	N'zi	2000	83.4%	87.5%	77.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	N'zi	2017	82.0%	86.3%	75.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Nawa	2000	68.6%	73.4%	63.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Nawa	2017	62.8%	68.1%	57.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Poro	2000	84.9%	87.1%	81.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Poro	2017	81.6%	84.3%	78.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	San-Pédro	2000	71.7%	75.8%	67.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	San-Pédro	2017	70.5%	74.7%	66.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Sud Comoé	2000	79.9%	84.6%	74.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Sud Comoé	2017	80.7%	85.4%	75.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tchologo	2000	81.3%	84.6%	77.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tchologo	2017	76.7%	80.5%	72.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tonkpi	2000	75.8%	80.2%	71.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tonkpi	2017	70.9%	75.3%	65.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Worodougou	2000	77.0%	80.9%	73.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Worodougou	2017	72.8%	76.8%	68.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Yamoussoukro	2000	86.0%	90.9%	79.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Yamoussoukro	2017	84.6%	90.0%	78.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aba	2000	28.1%	76.2%	5.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aba	2017	21.5%	56.1%	4.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi	2000	33.5%	43.2%	24.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi	2017	30.8%	39.3%	22.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi (ville)	2000	36.3%	59.7%	19.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi (ville)	2017	35.3%	54.9%	21.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ango	2000	30.0%	39.5%	21.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ango	2017	26.4%	39.7%	16.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ariwara	2000	7.5%	30.8%	2.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ariwara	2017	9.9%	27.9%	3.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru	2000	32.1%	43.5%	22.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru	2017	29.1%	38.8%	20.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru (ville)	2000	24.2%	46.7%	10.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru (ville)	2017	25.4%	52.6%	9.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bafwasende	2000	33.2%	46.5%	24.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bafwasende	2017	30.0%	41.5%	21.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bagata	2000	31.8%	41.0%	24.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bagata	2017	27.1%	35.5%	19.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bambesa	2000	30.2%	42.1%	19.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bambesa	2017	25.2%	35.3%	16.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Banalia	2000	35.6%	47.8%	25.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Banalia	2017	32.6%	44.5%	23.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bandundu	2000	60.2%	77.6%	46.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bandundu	2017	45.2%	63.2%	32.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bangu	2000	35.3%	95.6%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bangu	2017	29.7%	91.4%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Baraka	2000	0.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Baraka	2017	0.7%	4.6%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu	2000	33.2%	44.9%	22.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu	2017	30.3%	40.9%	20.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu (ville)	2000	22.2%	63.8%	1.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu (ville)	2017	21.0%	56.1%	1.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko	2000	27.8%	35.6%	20.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko	2017	24.0%	30.7%	17.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko (ville)	2000	16.2%	22.4%	11.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko (ville)	2017	14.6%	19.7%	10.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Befale	2000	38.8%	49.3%	28.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Befale	2017	36.4%	47.1%	25.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bena-Dibele	2000	29.0%	95.7%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bena-Dibele	2017	25.6%	85.1%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Beni	2000	73.0%	84.5%	61.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Beni	2017	65.1%	77.5%	53.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bikoro	2000	34.6%	46.9%	22.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bikoro	2017	31.9%	43.5%	20.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende	2000	36.0%	46.0%	27.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende	2017	33.4%	42.3%	24.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende (ville)	2000	19.1%	33.6%	10.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende (ville)	2017	17.3%	28.8%	9.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bokungu	2000	29.2%	39.1%	20.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bokungu	2017	26.8%	36.6%	18.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo	2000	25.2%	41.8%	9.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo	2017	20.8%	38.2%	8.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo (ville)	2000	21.1%	94.0%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo (ville)	2017	17.4%	85.9%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolomba	2000	34.9%	45.2%	25.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolomba	2017	32.7%	42.5%	24.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boma	2000	82.8%	91.1%	77.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boma	2017	75.4%	86.3%	66.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bomongo	2000	30.7%	37.0%	24.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bomongo	2017	27.7%	36.7%	20.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo	2000	29.7%	39.8%	21.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo	2017	26.3%	37.0%	17.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo (ville)	2000	40.3%	99.5%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo (ville)	2017	36.0%	98.0%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bongandanga	2000	32.6%	41.3%	24.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bongandanga	2017	30.2%	38.3%	22.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bosobolo	2000	30.6%	41.4%	20.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bosobolo	2017	27.3%	38.1%	17.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Budjala	2000	31.1%	39.8%	22.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Budjala	2017	28.4%	37.3%	19.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukama	2000	33.9%	42.7%	25.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukama	2017	29.5%	36.8%	21.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukavu	2000	74.2%	75.9%	72.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukavu	2017	52.6%	55.3%	49.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu	2000	51.5%	58.8%	45.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu	2017	47.9%	56.1%	40.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu (ville)	2000	52.5%	95.6%	35.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu (ville)	2017	49.3%	95.5%	35.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba	2000	37.4%	46.5%	28.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba	2017	34.4%	43.3%	26.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba (ville)	2000	29.3%	47.4%	8.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba (ville)	2017	28.9%	46.9%	8.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bunia	2000	51.1%	60.8%	39.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bunia	2017	42.1%	52.3%	31.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Businga	2000	30.7%	40.0%	20.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Businga	2017	28.1%	39.1%	18.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta	2000	40.3%	53.9%	29.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta	2017	37.6%	50.7%	28.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta (ville)	2000	25.4%	46.6%	11.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta (ville)	2017	33.1%	57.6%	15.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Butembo	2000	73.2%	77.6%	68.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Butembo	2017	67.3%	74.3%	59.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dekese	2000	31.0%	41.0%	20.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dekese	2017	27.9%	37.2%	18.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Demba	2000	28.7%	39.9%	18.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Demba	2017	25.3%	36.4%	15.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya	2000	28.5%	39.8%	18.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya	2017	26.1%	37.5%	16.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya-Lubwe	2000	23.8%	77.7%	2.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya-Lubwe	2017	19.9%	65.0%	1.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dilolo	2000	38.3%	48.6%	28.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dilolo	2017	34.1%	43.1%	25.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dimbelenge	2000	32.0%	49.0%	19.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dimbelenge	2017	29.2%	43.9%	18.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dingila	2000	39.2%	99.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dingila	2017	34.4%	99.1%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djolu	2000	29.7%	41.8%	19.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djolu	2017	27.0%	38.6%	17.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djugu	2000	45.7%	53.9%	38.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djugu	2017	41.0%	49.0%	33.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu	2000	33.5%	41.6%	25.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu	2017	29.0%	36.9%	21.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu (ville)	2000	35.8%	96.9%	1.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu (ville)	2017	30.3%	94.2%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Faradje	2000	33.1%	43.1%	24.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Faradje	2017	29.1%	38.7%	20.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Feshi	2000	30.1%	40.0%	21.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Feshi	2017	26.0%	36.1%	18.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Fizi	2000	33.2%	42.3%	24.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Fizi	2017	28.9%	37.5%	20.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gbadolite	2000	32.5%	49.4%	18.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gbadolite	2017	29.4%	47.8%	15.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena	2000	29.8%	38.4%	20.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena	2017	27.2%	35.4%	17.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena (ville)	2000	25.7%	41.2%	14.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena (ville)	2017	25.1%	39.3%	14.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Goma	2000	39.8%	44.9%	35.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Goma	2017	28.2%	37.2%	20.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu	2000	37.3%	46.4%	29.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu	2017	33.6%	42.0%	27.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu (ville)	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu (ville)	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa	2000	33.3%	40.5%	25.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa	2017	30.4%	36.8%	23.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa (ville)	2000	23.8%	74.9%	1.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa (ville)	2017	21.3%	75.3%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idjwi	2000	41.4%	68.4%	21.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idjwi	2017	35.2%	61.0%	19.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ikela	2000	28.8%	43.0%	18.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ikela	2017	26.4%	39.3%	16.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo	2000	34.8%	42.7%	27.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo	2017	31.2%	39.6%	23.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo (ville)	2000	26.7%	58.0%	6.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo (ville)	2017	19.1%	49.2%	4.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingbokolo	2000	34.9%	95.9%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingbokolo	2017	31.6%	96.4%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingende	2000	31.0%	39.8%	23.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingende	2017	28.8%	39.1%	20.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inkisi	2000	34.8%	94.8%	0.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inkisi	2017	29.6%	92.6%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo	2000	26.0%	34.9%	17.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo	2017	23.2%	32.7%	14.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo (ville)	2000	15.3%	34.3%	6.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo (ville)	2017	15.2%	32.9%	6.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Irumu	2000	42.5%	53.1%	32.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Irumu	2017	38.4%	48.1%	29.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi	2000	35.4%	47.6%	24.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi	2017	32.9%	44.6%	22.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi (ville)	2000	54.6%	99.9%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi (ville)	2017	50.4%	99.6%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isiro	2000	29.1%	42.7%	17.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isiro	2017	29.2%	43.7%	15.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabalo	2000	31.9%	42.1%	22.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabalo	2017	28.3%	38.2%	19.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabambare	2000	30.3%	39.1%	23.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabambare	2017	27.7%	35.5%	20.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabare	2000	51.7%	58.3%	45.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabare	2017	48.9%	56.0%	42.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabeya-Kamwanga	2000	34.6%	57.9%	17.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabeya-Kamwanga	2017	27.6%	46.7%	14.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda	2000	36.9%	45.4%	27.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda	2017	32.0%	40.5%	23.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda (ville)	2000	26.4%	29.7%	23.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda (ville)	2017	24.7%	28.1%	21.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabongo	2000	36.0%	47.0%	26.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabongo	2017	31.7%	41.6%	23.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba	2000	31.7%	39.1%	25.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba	2017	28.4%	36.2%	21.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba (ville)	2000	19.1%	50.4%	2.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba (ville)	2017	18.3%	50.0%	2.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kailo	2000	35.5%	48.5%	24.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kailo	2017	34.4%	47.0%	23.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalehe	2000	35.2%	49.3%	22.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalehe	2017	34.6%	48.6%	20.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie	2000	36.1%	48.3%	24.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie	2017	32.8%	42.7%	23.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie (ville)	2000	32.6%	93.2%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie (ville)	2017	30.2%	90.7%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalima	2000	32.4%	84.5%	0.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalima	2017	27.8%	76.9%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kambove	2000	40.6%	54.5%	28.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kambove	2017	36.1%	48.8%	26.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamiji	2000	38.9%	65.0%	15.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamiji	2017	33.5%	59.8%	12.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina	2000	38.0%	44.5%	30.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina	2017	33.3%	39.6%	26.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina (ville)	2000	50.6%	63.5%	39.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina (ville)	2017	44.2%	53.5%	33.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamituga	2000	41.1%	87.9%	9.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamituga	2017	52.5%	91.2%	14.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamonia	2000	35.2%	41.9%	29.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamonia	2017	31.7%	38.8%	25.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kananga	2000	16.5%	20.8%	13.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kananga	2017	16.6%	21.0%	13.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaniama	2000	37.1%	49.6%	26.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaniama	2017	32.6%	44.0%	23.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaoze	2000	46.9%	99.7%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaoze	2017	39.2%	98.6%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kapanga	2000	35.1%	42.9%	27.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kapanga	2017	31.9%	40.0%	24.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasaji	2000	47.4%	96.4%	3.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasaji	2017	40.9%	95.8%	1.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu	2000	41.0%	55.8%	27.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu	2017	36.2%	49.7%	23.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu (ville)	2000	61.6%	82.2%	34.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu (ville)	2017	40.4%	63.6%	18.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasenga	2000	36.5%	46.2%	26.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasenga	2017	32.3%	40.9%	24.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo	2000	33.9%	41.3%	25.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo	2017	32.2%	39.6%	23.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo (ville)	2000	40.8%	60.0%	24.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo (ville)	2017	43.1%	60.4%	26.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda	2000	26.3%	33.1%	20.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda	2017	22.5%	29.5%	16.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda (ville)	2000	8.5%	26.7%	2.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda (ville)	2017	4.9%	12.5%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katako-Kombe	2000	29.6%	35.6%	22.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katako-Kombe	2017	26.2%	32.4%	20.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katanda	2000	61.3%	73.5%	49.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katanda	2017	56.9%	69.3%	45.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kazumba	2000	29.9%	39.4%	22.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kazumba	2017	28.2%	37.0%	20.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge	2000	28.2%	35.3%	21.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge	2017	23.4%	30.0%	16.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge (ville)	2000	10.2%	29.6%	4.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge (ville)	2017	10.8%	23.5%	5.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kibombo	2000	31.1%	40.4%	22.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kibombo	2017	28.5%	37.3%	19.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kikwit	2000	91.8%	96.3%	85.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kikwit	2017	88.0%	94.2%	80.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kimvula	2000	24.3%	41.3%	10.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kimvula	2017	20.2%	33.6%	9.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kindu	2000	40.4%	48.3%	33.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kindu	2017	46.7%	54.7%	39.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kinshasa	2000	81.4%	83.0%	79.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kinshasa	2017	66.8%	69.1%	64.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi	2000	41.0%	52.9%	28.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi	2017	34.8%	45.4%	21.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi (ville)	2000	54.7%	64.1%	47.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi (ville)	2017	50.2%	62.6%	33.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kiri	2000	33.4%	48.2%	22.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kiri	2017	29.9%	42.7%	20.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kisangani	2000	44.4%	53.9%	37.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kisangani	2017	34.4%	45.4%	26.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kole	2000	29.0%	37.8%	21.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kole	2017	26.2%	34.8%	19.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kolwezi	2000	73.6%	86.6%	59.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kolwezi	2017	64.4%	78.7%	51.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo	2000	33.5%	42.6%	22.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo	2017	28.6%	38.3%	19.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo (ville)	2000	21.2%	43.4%	6.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo (ville)	2017	19.1%	43.3%	5.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kungu	2000	30.3%	43.0%	18.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kungu	2017	26.9%	39.3%	15.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kutu	2000	31.8%	39.7%	24.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kutu	2017	27.8%	35.4%	21.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kwamouth	2000	43.1%	51.2%	34.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kwamouth	2017	36.0%	46.5%	25.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Libenge	2000	31.0%	41.5%	22.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Libenge	2017	27.1%	35.3%	18.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Likasi	2000	87.1%	96.7%	74.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Likasi	2017	84.5%	95.8%	70.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala	2000	35.9%	47.3%	26.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala	2017	33.0%	44.6%	23.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala (ville)	2000	8.0%	13.8%	4.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala (ville)	2017	7.4%	11.7%	4.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja	2000	31.7%	41.5%	23.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja	2017	26.1%	36.1%	18.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja (ville)	2000	17.8%	22.2%	14.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja (ville)	2017	14.2%	19.2%	9.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lomela	2000	28.0%	33.4%	22.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lomela	2017	25.2%	30.3%	20.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao	2000	33.7%	42.0%	26.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao	2017	29.3%	36.9%	22.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao (ville)	2000	29.7%	55.6%	9.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao (ville)	2017	19.3%	40.1%	6.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubefu	2000	29.5%	38.5%	20.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubefu	2017	25.3%	33.3%	17.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubero	2000	52.1%	60.0%	44.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubero	2017	49.2%	57.6%	40.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubudi	2000	38.7%	53.7%	26.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubudi	2017	34.7%	48.1%	23.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubumbashi	2000	61.7%	67.4%	54.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubumbashi	2017	45.5%	52.8%	37.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu	2000	24.1%	31.7%	17.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu	2017	22.6%	31.6%	15.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu (ville)	2000	23.3%	28.9%	18.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu (ville)	2017	28.1%	34.2%	22.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo	2000	29.7%	39.7%	20.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo	2017	27.9%	37.8%	18.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo (ville)	2000	11.0%	31.4%	5.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo (ville)	2017	11.4%	33.1%	5.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luilu	2000	43.9%	52.9%	35.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luilu	2017	38.3%	47.0%	31.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luiza	2000	29.3%	38.5%	20.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luiza	2017	26.2%	34.9%	18.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukalaba	2000	74.4%	81.7%	69.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukalaba	2017	77.3%	83.9%	72.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukolela	2000	20.5%	35.6%	10.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukolela	2017	17.9%	30.6%	9.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula	2000	24.6%	40.2%	10.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula	2017	22.6%	37.7%	9.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula (ville)	2000	49.9%	88.3%	8.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula (ville)	2017	47.3%	89.1%	6.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luozi	2000	38.8%	52.3%	27.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luozi	2017	36.1%	48.2%	25.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lupatapata	2000	71.6%	76.5%	67.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lupatapata	2017	57.8%	62.8%	53.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo	2000	28.9%	39.3%	18.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo	2017	25.6%	36.7%	15.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo (ville)	2000	25.1%	64.1%	5.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo (ville)	2017	19.5%	48.9%	4.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Madimba	2000	23.8%	35.8%	15.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Madimba	2017	20.2%	31.7%	11.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi	2000	41.1%	52.5%	31.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi	2017	35.3%	46.3%	26.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi (ville)	2000	28.1%	62.7%	6.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi (ville)	2017	23.3%	54.9%	4.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Makanza	2000	38.1%	52.4%	25.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Makanza	2017	36.3%	52.0%	24.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Malemba-Nkulu	2000	32.8%	43.1%	22.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Malemba-Nkulu	2017	26.6%	37.3%	18.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mambasa	2000	31.8%	42.9%	21.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mambasa	2017	27.0%	35.7%	18.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mangai	2000	3.0%	10.1%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mangai	2017	3.3%	10.8%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono	2000	34.6%	43.1%	26.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono	2017	29.3%	36.8%	22.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono (ville)	2000	28.2%	50.9%	9.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono (ville)	2017	24.2%	45.2%	6.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba	2000	35.5%	43.9%	27.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba	2017	32.6%	41.9%	24.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba (ville)	2000	50.6%	68.2%	34.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba (ville)	2017	26.9%	39.6%	17.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masisi	2000	37.0%	54.4%	21.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masisi	2017	35.1%	52.6%	20.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Matadi	2000	98.0%	99.9%	95.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Matadi	2017	94.7%	99.6%	87.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbandaka	2000	49.7%	65.7%	37.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbandaka	2017	49.2%	64.2%	37.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu	2000	47.1%	56.2%	38.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu	2017	41.2%	49.8%	33.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu (ville)	2000	76.8%	84.2%	56.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu (ville)	2017	70.5%	81.4%	53.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbuji-Mayi	2000	84.2%	87.3%	80.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbuji-Mayi	2017	73.7%	79.0%	66.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi	2000	41.0%	56.7%	26.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi	2017	35.1%	51.2%	20.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi (ville)	2000	35.6%	46.7%	23.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi (ville)	2017	37.1%	49.9%	24.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mitwaba	2000	36.5%	46.0%	27.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mitwaba	2017	31.1%	39.9%	23.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda	2000	37.6%	51.3%	26.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda	2017	33.1%	44.3%	23.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda (ville)	2000	35.3%	90.5%	1.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda (ville)	2017	28.7%	83.2%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moba	2000	37.8%	49.8%	27.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moba	2017	33.1%	43.6%	23.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo	2000	34.8%	49.1%	21.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo	2017	31.1%	44.9%	17.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo (ville)	2000	36.4%	99.0%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo (ville)	2017	33.7%	98.0%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mongwalu	2000	50.2%	95.8%	13.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mongwalu	2017	35.2%	82.4%	8.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Monkoto	2000	32.2%	42.5%	23.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Monkoto	2017	29.6%	39.6%	21.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mushie	2000	28.5%	40.8%	18.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mushie	2017	26.0%	38.1%	16.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mutshatsha	2000	46.7%	56.7%	37.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mutshatsha	2017	39.8%	49.2%	30.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mweka	2000	30.5%	39.2%	22.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mweka	2017	28.0%	36.4%	19.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwene-Ditu	2000	36.0%	43.3%	28.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwene-Ditu	2017	35.0%	41.7%	27.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwenga	2000	31.2%	40.9%	21.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwenga	2017	30.6%	40.2%	20.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Namoya	2000	23.7%	89.6%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Namoya	2017	21.6%	91.0%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika	2000	42.3%	52.5%	32.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika	2017	39.9%	49.0%	31.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika (ville)	2000	38.5%	45.0%	30.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika (ville)	2017	39.0%	46.4%	30.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Niangara	2000	32.5%	45.0%	22.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Niangara	2017	28.8%	41.9%	18.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nioki	2000	42.8%	66.6%	20.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nioki	2017	43.0%	68.9%	18.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyiragongo	2000	34.1%	62.9%	14.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyiragongo	2017	27.1%	55.8%	10.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyunzu	2000	42.1%	51.7%	32.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyunzu	2017	35.7%	45.0%	26.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oicha	2000	50.0%	60.4%	40.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oïcha	2017	48.1%	57.9%	39.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oïcha (ville)	2000	87.8%	96.4%	75.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oïcha (ville)	2017	88.0%	96.8%	75.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Opala	2000	34.4%	44.4%	25.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Opala	2017	31.6%	40.9%	22.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oshwe	2000	28.7%	35.1%	22.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oshwe	2017	25.5%	31.8%	19.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pangi	2000	34.0%	42.1%	26.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pangi	2017	31.5%	38.3%	24.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Poko	2000	31.2%	41.5%	20.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Poko	2017	27.2%	38.5%	17.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Popokabaka	2000	30.0%	41.6%	19.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Popokabaka	2017	26.0%	36.4%	16.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia	2000	29.9%	40.4%	22.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia	2017	29.3%	41.5%	20.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia (ville)	2000	43.7%	69.3%	22.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia (ville)	2017	46.4%	72.4%	25.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pweto	2000	37.7%	47.9%	28.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pweto	2017	33.4%	42.5%	25.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rungu	2000	32.0%	45.9%	20.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rungu	2017	28.2%	39.6%	18.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru	2000	42.1%	52.5%	31.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru	2017	38.5%	51.7%	26.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru (ville)	2000	64.2%	89.4%	41.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru (ville)	2017	40.6%	84.2%	13.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sakania	2000	36.5%	53.9%	22.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sakania	2017	31.7%	46.7%	19.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sandoa	2000	39.0%	48.9%	30.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sandoa	2017	34.3%	43.2%	26.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Seke-Banza	2000	45.2%	59.4%	33.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Seke-Banza	2017	37.4%	52.2%	26.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda	2000	31.8%	40.5%	23.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda	2017	27.2%	35.3%	20.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda (ville)	2000	35.4%	48.1%	23.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda (ville)	2017	38.0%	51.7%	24.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Songololo	2000	39.8%	51.5%	30.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Songololo	2017	33.2%	44.0%	24.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela	2000	43.8%	54.7%	34.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela	2017	41.5%	52.5%	32.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela (ville)	2000	33.5%	47.6%	27.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela (ville)	2017	36.0%	51.7%	27.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshikapa	2000	57.9%	65.9%	50.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshikapa	2017	59.1%	66.6%	51.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge	2000	36.9%	52.9%	22.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge	2017	37.2%	54.6%	21.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge (ville)	2000	68.0%	89.1%	41.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge (ville)	2017	59.2%	80.1%	35.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshimbulu	2000	19.6%	24.3%	15.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshimbulu	2017	17.8%	22.9%	13.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ubundu	2000	34.5%	44.3%	25.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ubundu	2017	31.4%	41.6%	22.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira	2000	36.0%	49.5%	23.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira	2017	28.4%	41.5%	17.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira (ville)	2000	44.9%	54.5%	37.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira (ville)	2017	16.2%	25.2%	11.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walikale	2000	30.9%	39.6%	22.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walikale	2017	26.2%	34.2%	19.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walungu	2000	44.2%	59.0%	27.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walungu	2017	42.6%	57.5%	27.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba	2000	33.0%	49.9%	20.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba	2017	28.4%	43.4%	16.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba (ville)	2000	23.2%	78.3%	2.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba (ville)	2017	18.5%	66.6%	1.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa	2000	36.1%	43.8%	27.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa	2017	31.5%	38.1%	24.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa (ville)	2000	47.0%	71.6%	33.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa (ville)	2017	42.5%	62.0%	33.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yahuma	2000	30.4%	41.0%	21.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yahuma	2017	26.5%	35.9%	18.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yakoma	2000	28.3%	41.3%	18.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yakoma	2017	25.5%	37.5%	15.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yangambi	2000	34.2%	95.9%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yangambi	2017	31.1%	94.8%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yumbi	2000	17.4%	39.3%	3.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yumbi	2017	13.5%	32.5%	2.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Zongo	2000	26.7%	62.1%	4.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Zongo	2017	24.8%	58.6%	4.1%
Eritrea	Adi Keyih	2000	60.1%	64.8%	54.4%
Eritrea	Adi Keyih	2017	60.4%	65.0%	54.7%
Eritrea	Adi Kwala	2000	53.4%	59.0%	48.6%
Eritrea	Adi Kwala	2017	53.5%	59.2%	48.6%
Eritrea	Adi Teklezan	2000	68.5%	72.8%	63.8%
Eritrea	Adi Teklezan	2017	69.2%	73.8%	64.0%
Eritrea	Afabet	2000	68.5%	71.8%	65.1%
Eritrea	Afabet	2017	69.5%	72.6%	66.1%
Eritrea	Akordat	2000	71.6%	78.7%	66.2%
Eritrea	Akordat	2017	71.7%	78.9%	66.2%
Eritrea	Areta'	2000	79.8%	82.8%	76.9%
Eritrea	Areta'	2017	79.9%	82.8%	76.9%
Eritrea	Areza	2000	59.1%	62.0%	56.6%
Eritrea	Areza	2017	59.4%	62.3%	56.9%
Eritrea	Asmara City	2000	91.4%	94.7%	87.5%
Eritrea	Asmara City	2017	91.6%	94.9%	87.6%
Eritrea	Asmat	2000	62.6%	65.7%	59.1%
Eritrea	Asmat	2017	62.7%	65.9%	59.3%
Eritrea	Barentu	2000	73.5%	77.6%	69.5%
Eritrea	Barentu	2017	73.6%	77.7%	69.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Eritrea	Berikh	2000	92.3%	94.5%	90.1%
Eritrea	Berikh	2017	91.3%	93.8%	88.9%
Eritrea	Central So. Red-Sea	2000	81.1%	84.3%	77.6%
Eritrea	Central So. Red-Sea	2017	81.0%	84.4%	77.5%
Eritrea	Dahlak	2000	74.5%	82.3%	65.7%
Eritrea	Dahlak	2017	74.5%	82.7%	65.7%
Eritrea	Dekemhare	2000	56.3%	59.1%	53.5%
Eritrea	Dekemhare	2017	56.3%	59.1%	53.6%
Eritrea	Dghe	2000	72.2%	76.4%	68.3%
Eritrea	Dghe	2017	72.0%	76.3%	67.9%
Eritrea	Dibarwa	2000	58.4%	63.1%	54.9%
Eritrea	Dibarwa	2017	59.1%	64.1%	55.7%
Eritrea	Elabered	2000	65.9%	69.6%	62.2%
Eritrea	Elabered	2017	66.3%	70.1%	62.6%
Eritrea	Foro	2000	71.6%	75.5%	67.9%
Eritrea	Foro	2017	73.0%	77.0%	69.1%
Eritrea	Forto	2000	75.2%	79.8%	70.6%
Eritrea	Forto	2017	75.1%	79.7%	70.6%
Eritrea	Ghala Nefhi	2000	74.6%	79.6%	70.5%
Eritrea	Ghala Nefhi	2017	74.2%	79.3%	70.0%
Eritrea	Ghelaelo'	2000	69.5%	73.5%	65.5%
Eritrea	Ghelaelo'	2017	69.9%	73.8%	66.0%
Eritrea	Gheleb	2000	66.0%	71.5%	60.6%
Eritrea	Gheleb	2017	66.2%	71.9%	60.7%
Eritrea	Ghida'e	2000	70.2%	74.2%	66.4%
Eritrea	Ghida'e	2017	70.4%	74.2%	66.5%
Eritrea	Gogne	2000	73.9%	77.3%	70.4%
Eritrea	Gogne	2017	73.9%	77.2%	70.5%
Eritrea	Habero	2000	63.9%	68.3%	59.7%
Eritrea	Habero	2017	64.0%	68.4%	59.7%
Eritrea	Hagaz	2000	70.1%	73.8%	66.3%
Eritrea	Hagaz	2017	69.6%	73.2%	65.7%
Eritrea	Halhal	2000	64.9%	68.7%	61.2%
Eritrea	Halhal	2017	65.0%	68.6%	61.3%
Eritrea	Haykota	2000	73.2%	77.6%	68.3%
Eritrea	Haykota	2017	73.3%	77.9%	68.2%
Eritrea	Karora	2000	65.8%	68.9%	62.2%
Eritrea	Karora	2017	66.0%	69.1%	62.4%
Eritrea	Keren	2000	64.9%	69.5%	60.4%
Eritrea	Keren	2017	65.5%	70.1%	61.1%
Eritrea	Kerke Bet	2000	65.7%	70.1%	61.3%
Eritrea	Kerke Bet	2017	65.8%	70.6%	61.1%
Eritrea	Kudo Bu'er	2000	53.7%	58.5%	50.4%
Eritrea	Kudo Bu'er	2017	53.5%	58.2%	50.2%
Eritrea	La'Elay Gash	2000	73.7%	77.4%	69.6%
Eritrea	La'Elay Gash	2017	73.9%	77.4%	70.0%
Eritrea	Logo Anseba	2000	72.0%	76.2%	67.9%
Eritrea	Logo Anseba	2017	72.3%	76.5%	68.3%
Eritrea	Mansura	2000	72.1%	75.5%	68.8%
Eritrea	Mansura	2017	72.2%	75.5%	68.8%
Eritrea	May Mine	2000	59.3%	64.0%	55.1%
Eritrea	May Mine	2017	59.5%	64.3%	55.2%
Eritrea	Mendefera	2000	55.5%	60.2%	52.1%
Eritrea	Mendefera	2017	55.0%	59.4%	51.5%
Eritrea	Mitswa'e City	2000	71.0%	76.9%	65.5%
Eritrea	Mitswa'e City	2017	71.7%	77.6%	66.1%
Eritrea	Mogolo	2000	73.1%	76.9%	69.1%
Eritrea	Mogolo	2017	73.2%	77.0%	69.3%
Eritrea	Nakfa	2000	68.0%	74.2%	62.8%
Eritrea	Nakfa	2017	68.2%	74.3%	62.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Eritrea	Omhajer	2000	73.8%	77.2%	69.8%
Eritrea	Omhajer	2017	74.0%	77.3%	69.8%
Eritrea	Segeneyiti	2000	56.5%	60.9%	53.3%
Eritrea	Segeneyiti	2017	56.8%	61.1%	53.5%
Eritrea	Sel'a	2000	63.9%	67.5%	60.7%
Eritrea	Sel'a	2017	64.1%	67.6%	60.9%
Eritrea	Senafe	2000	58.6%	64.7%	53.5%
Eritrea	Senafe	2017	58.4%	64.7%	53.3%
Eritrea	Serejeka	2000	81.6%	86.7%	75.9%
Eritrea	Serejeka	2017	81.4%	86.5%	75.7%
Eritrea	Sheib	2000	74.2%	77.5%	70.5%
Eritrea	Sheib	2017	74.5%	77.9%	70.7%
Eritrea	Shemboko	2000	71.8%	74.4%	69.1%
Eritrea	Shemboko	2017	72.0%	74.8%	69.4%
Eritrea	So. Southern Red-Sea	2000	80.7%	83.3%	77.6%
Eritrea	So. Southern Red-Sea	2017	80.8%	83.5%	77.5%
Eritrea	Teseneye	2000	80.4%	85.6%	74.6%
Eritrea	Teseneye	2017	81.0%	86.1%	75.3%
Eritrea	Tsorena	2000	56.7%	62.0%	51.8%
Eritrea	Tsorena	2017	57.1%	62.4%	52.2%
Ethiopia	Addis Abeba	2000	92.6%	93.5%	91.6%
Ethiopia	Addis Abeba	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.0%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 1	2000	31.2%	35.4%	27.1%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 1	2017	63.9%	67.5%	60.3%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 2	2000	31.1%	37.0%	25.6%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 2	2017	63.9%	68.9%	57.4%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 3	2000	37.8%	43.1%	31.4%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 3	2017	65.1%	70.6%	58.4%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 4	2000	25.0%	31.5%	19.7%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 4	2017	57.5%	64.0%	50.3%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 5	2000	27.9%	33.5%	22.5%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 5	2017	60.0%	65.2%	54.3%
Ethiopia	Afder	2000	29.6%	33.8%	25.9%
Ethiopia	Afder	2017	66.7%	70.2%	63.2%
Ethiopia	Agew Awi	2000	32.2%	39.7%	24.4%
Ethiopia	Agew Awi	2017	70.1%	76.5%	62.7%
Ethiopia	Agnuak	2000	27.2%	30.5%	23.9%
Ethiopia	Agnuak	2017	64.9%	68.8%	61.1%
Ethiopia	Alaba	2000	54.0%	64.0%	44.6%
Ethiopia	Alaba	2017	84.1%	90.3%	76.3%
Ethiopia	Alle	2000	36.4%	60.4%	17.3%
Ethiopia	Alle	2017	74.7%	89.3%	52.6%
Ethiopia	Amaro	2000	30.2%	47.2%	15.7%
Ethiopia	Amaro	2017	67.3%	79.5%	50.7%
Ethiopia	Argoba	2000	17.2%	29.3%	9.9%
Ethiopia	Argoba	2017	66.2%	82.1%	49.8%
Ethiopia	Arsi	2000	28.7%	33.5%	24.2%
Ethiopia	Arsi	2017	66.8%	71.5%	62.9%
Ethiopia	Asosa	2000	42.7%	45.8%	40.0%
Ethiopia	Asosa	2017	80.8%	83.0%	78.5%
Ethiopia	Bahir Dar Special Zone	2000	51.2%	55.2%	44.6%
Ethiopia	Bahir Dar Special Zone	2017	88.0%	91.7%	84.1%
Ethiopia	Bale	2000	28.7%	34.2%	23.1%
Ethiopia	Bale	2017	65.8%	70.4%	60.9%
Ethiopia	Basketo	2000	41.0%	72.1%	13.0%
Ethiopia	Basketo	2017	69.6%	90.7%	40.5%
Ethiopia	Bench Maji	2000	29.5%	37.7%	22.6%
Ethiopia	Bench Maji	2017	68.8%	75.1%	61.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Borena	2000	32.0%	37.7%	26.5%
Ethiopia	Borena	2017	70.3%	74.7%	65.2%
Ethiopia	Burji	2000	31.2%	57.6%	12.2%
Ethiopia	Burji	2017	67.7%	83.4%	48.5%
Ethiopia	Dawro	2000	34.8%	47.0%	22.9%
Ethiopia	Dawro	2017	71.7%	80.9%	60.4%
Ethiopia	Debub	2000	24.6%	30.0%	20.4%
Ethiopia	Gondar				
Ethiopia	Debub	2017	64.7%	69.5%	60.2%
Ethiopia	Gondar				
Ethiopia	Debub Mirab	2000	36.1%	43.1%	28.7%
Ethiopia	Shewa				
Ethiopia	Debub Mirab	2017	72.5%	78.4%	66.4%
Ethiopia	Shewa				
Ethiopia	Debub Omo	2000	29.7%	37.7%	22.0%
Ethiopia	Debub Omo	2017	67.4%	74.2%	58.8%
Ethiopia	Debub Wollo	2000	34.0%	39.6%	29.0%
Ethiopia	Debub Wollo	2017	72.0%	76.7%	66.7%
Ethiopia	Debubawi	2000	51.4%	56.0%	47.3%
Ethiopia	Debubawi	2017	82.1%	85.3%	78.6%
Ethiopia	Derashe	2000	26.9%	41.4%	14.4%
Ethiopia	Derashe	2017	58.6%	71.8%	44.2%
Ethiopia	Dire Dawa	2000	61.5%	63.1%	59.9%
Ethiopia	Dire Dawa	2017	90.9%	91.6%	90.2%
Ethiopia	Doolo	2000	29.7%	35.4%	24.3%
Ethiopia	Doolo	2017	67.6%	72.6%	62.6%
Ethiopia	Fafan	2000	32.9%	37.4%	29.0%
Ethiopia	Fafan	2017	71.7%	75.4%	67.3%
Ethiopia	Gamo Gofa	2000	26.3%	32.7%	20.9%
Ethiopia	Gamo Gofa	2017	62.5%	67.9%	56.1%
Ethiopia	Gedeo	2000	33.5%	43.8%	26.8%
Ethiopia	Gedeo	2017	70.4%	75.6%	64.2%
Ethiopia	Guji	2000	23.2%	29.8%	18.0%
Ethiopia	Guji	2017	57.7%	64.1%	50.8%
Ethiopia	Gurage	2000	31.4%	36.6%	26.7%
Ethiopia	Gurage	2017	69.2%	73.7%	64.8%
Ethiopia	Hadiya	2000	29.6%	34.4%	25.3%
Ethiopia	Hadiya	2017	68.3%	72.6%	63.9%
Ethiopia	Hareri	2000	36.5%	37.9%	35.0%
Ethiopia	Hareri	2017	82.9%	83.9%	81.8%
Ethiopia	Horo Guduru	2000	26.6%	34.6%	19.8%
Ethiopia	Horo Guduru	2017	68.4%	75.7%	61.5%
Ethiopia	Ilubabor	2000	30.6%	37.0%	25.4%
Ethiopia	Ilubabor	2017	69.4%	75.0%	63.8%
Ethiopia	Jarar	2000	28.6%	34.9%	23.5%
Ethiopia	Jarar	2017	66.8%	72.7%	60.7%
Ethiopia	Jimma	2000	31.2%	36.8%	26.7%
Ethiopia	Jimma	2017	69.7%	73.9%	65.7%
Ethiopia	Keffa	2000	26.0%	32.9%	20.1%
Ethiopia	Keffa	2017	64.2%	71.1%	57.7%
Ethiopia	Kelem	2000	31.5%	40.7%	23.6%
Ethiopia	Wellega				
Ethiopia	Kelem	2017	70.1%	77.8%	61.1%
Ethiopia	Wellega				
Ethiopia	Kemashi	2000	30.3%	35.9%	24.7%
Ethiopia	Kemashi	2017	67.5%	73.5%	61.2%
Ethiopia	Kembata	2000	47.4%	54.9%	38.0%
Ethiopia	Tembaro				
Ethiopia	Kembata	2017	79.3%	83.4%	73.5%
Ethiopia	Tembaro				
Ethiopia	Konso	2000	34.6%	52.1%	19.4%
Ethiopia	Konso	2017	77.1%	87.5%	64.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Konta	2000	26.2%	45.3%	11.5%
Ethiopia	Konta	2017	62.1%	76.3%	42.6%
Ethiopia	Korahe	2000	28.5%	33.6%	23.5%
Ethiopia	Korahe	2017	65.7%	70.4%	60.6%
Ethiopia	Liben	2000	30.7%	35.2%	26.2%
Ethiopia	Liben	2017	68.7%	72.5%	64.5%
Ethiopia	Majang	2000	24.4%	28.3%	20.5%
Ethiopia	Majang	2017	75.4%	79.0%	71.9%
Ethiopia	Mehakelegnaw	2000	38.5%	43.0%	34.0%
Ethiopia	Mehakelegnaw	2017	77.1%	80.9%	73.0%
Ethiopia	Metekel	2000	30.0%	33.3%	27.0%
Ethiopia	Metekel	2017	69.7%	72.5%	66.8%
Ethiopia	Mi'irabawi	2000	36.4%	43.3%	30.2%
Ethiopia	Mi'irabawi	2017	73.9%	79.2%	68.5%
Ethiopia	Mirab Arsi	2000	32.9%	38.2%	28.5%
Ethiopia	Mirab Arsi	2017	69.8%	74.9%	64.9%
Ethiopia	Mirab Gojjam	2000	32.8%	37.7%	27.5%
Ethiopia	Mirab Gojjam	2017	73.2%	77.2%	68.8%
Ethiopia	Mirab	2000	29.1%	34.9%	24.4%
Ethiopia	Hararghe				
Ethiopia	Mirab Hararghe	2017	66.9%	72.2%	61.6%
Ethiopia	Mirab Shewa	2000	31.9%	36.5%	27.3%
Ethiopia	Mirab Shewa	2017	68.4%	72.9%	63.6%
Ethiopia	Mirab Welega	2000	28.1%	33.4%	22.7%
Ethiopia	Mirab Welega	2017	67.0%	72.1%	61.6%
Ethiopia	Misraq Gojjam	2000	28.4%	34.6%	23.5%
Ethiopia	Misraq Gojjam	2017	69.8%	75.2%	64.8%
Ethiopia	Misraq Harerge	2000	24.4%	27.6%	21.3%
Ethiopia	Misraq Harerge	2017	66.0%	69.6%	61.6%
Ethiopia	Misraq Shewa	2000	40.2%	45.6%	35.1%
Ethiopia	Misraq Shewa	2017	73.3%	77.9%	68.0%
Ethiopia	Misraq Wellega	2000	25.9%	31.8%	20.1%
Ethiopia	Misraq Wellega	2017	66.2%	72.1%	59.8%
Ethiopia	Misraqawi	2000	44.0%	49.6%	39.3%
Ethiopia	Misraqawi	2017	79.1%	83.0%	75.0%
Ethiopia	Nogob	2000	31.2%	38.8%	24.0%
Ethiopia	Nogob	2017	70.3%	76.7%	64.1%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2000	32.3%	37.8%	26.5%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2000	26.8%	31.5%	22.4%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2017	71.8%	76.9%	66.3%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2017	67.0%	71.2%	61.9%
Ethiopia	Nuer	2000	26.4%	30.9%	22.3%
Ethiopia	Nuer	2017	69.4%	73.9%	64.7%
Ethiopia	Oromia	2000	24.4%	33.7%	18.3%
Ethiopia	Oromia	2017	64.1%	73.6%	55.2%
Ethiopia	Semen	2000	28.4%	32.8%	24.3%
Ethiopia	Gondar				
Ethiopia	Semen Gondar	2017	67.5%	71.7%	63.3%
Ethiopia	Semen Wello	2000	28.2%	33.5%	23.4%
Ethiopia	Semen Wello	2017	68.1%	73.3%	62.8%
Ethiopia	Semien	2000	34.6%	40.5%	28.9%
Ethiopia	Mi'irabaw				
Ethiopia	Semien Mi'irabaw	2017	71.7%	76.8%	65.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Shabelle	2000	27.9%	34.4%	23.3%
Ethiopia	Shabelle	2017	67.2%	72.8%	61.1%
Ethiopia	Sheka	2000	31.7%	46.0%	19.5%
Ethiopia	Sheka	2017	76.0%	84.8%	65.0%
Ethiopia	Sidama	2000	32.5%	36.3%	29.0%
Ethiopia	Sidama	2017	72.1%	75.1%	69.0%
Ethiopia	Silti	2000	33.5%	44.1%	24.4%
Ethiopia	Silti	2017	72.5%	80.6%	63.6%
Ethiopia	Siti	2000	32.1%	36.9%	27.6%
Ethiopia	Siti	2017	66.6%	70.8%	61.9%
Ethiopia	Wag Himra	2000	27.5%	36.4%	19.4%
Ethiopia	Wag Himra	2017	67.9%	74.8%	59.1%
Ethiopia	Wolayita	2000	35.2%	39.9%	30.9%
Ethiopia	Wolayita	2017	78.1%	81.9%	74.5%
Ethiopia	Yem	2000	22.7%	44.7%	7.0%
Ethiopia	Yem	2017	58.3%	77.4%	35.6%
Gabon	Abanga-Bigné	2000	57.3%	66.2%	47.8%
Gabon	Abanga-Bigné	2017	80.3%	86.4%	73.1%
Gabon	Basse Banio	2000	53.8%	63.0%	41.6%
Gabon	Basse Banio	2017	77.0%	83.6%	69.5%
Gabon	Bendjé	2000	62.1%	69.6%	53.3%
Gabon	Bendjé	2017	82.0%	87.1%	75.6%
Gabon	Boumi-lowetsi	2000	51.4%	61.4%	42.3%
Gabon	Boumi-lowetsi	2017	76.7%	83.6%	70.1%
Gabon	Dola	2000	63.2%	74.0%	53.7%
Gabon	Dola	2017	83.2%	89.7%	76.5%
Gabon	Douigny	2000	52.8%	67.4%	38.9%
Gabon	Douigny	2017	76.6%	85.4%	65.4%
Gabon	Douya Onoye	2000	72.3%	79.9%	63.6%
Gabon	Douya Onoye	2017	87.7%	92.6%	80.8%
Gabon	Étimboué	2000	58.6%	65.4%	51.3%
Gabon	Étimboué	2017	81.3%	86.0%	76.4%
Gabon	Haut-Como	2000	52.9%	69.2%	39.5%
Gabon	Haut-Como	2017	78.3%	88.5%	68.1%
Gabon	Haut-Ntem	2000	49.9%	57.2%	43.3%
Gabon	Haut-Ntem	2017	76.8%	81.7%	71.4%
Gabon	Haute-Banio	2000	43.8%	60.1%	28.1%
Gabon	Haute-Banio	2017	68.9%	81.6%	56.0%
Gabon	Ivindo	2000	53.8%	60.0%	48.0%
Gabon	Ivindo	2017	78.1%	82.6%	73.2%
Gabon	Komo	2000	58.4%	69.4%	47.5%
Gabon	Komo	2017	80.7%	86.8%	72.5%
Gabon	Komo-Mondah	2000	90.2%	93.3%	85.6%
Gabon	Komo-Mondah	2017	96.1%	97.6%	93.7%
Gabon	Léboumbi-Leyou	2000	68.3%	79.5%	56.7%
Gabon	Léboumbi-Leyou	2017	83.4%	90.9%	75.9%
Gabon	Léconi-Djoué	2000	52.7%	64.6%	41.4%
Gabon	Léconi-Djoué	2017	76.3%	83.3%	67.9%
Gabon	Lékoko	2000	55.1%	64.3%	44.7%
Gabon	Lékoko	2017	78.8%	85.2%	71.2%
Gabon	Lolo Bouen-guidi	2000	53.7%	60.6%	47.3%
Gabon	Lolo Bouen-guidi	2017	77.0%	82.3%	71.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Gabon	Lombo-Bouenguidi	2000	53.2%	62.3%	43.4%
Gabon	Lombo-Bouenguidi	2017	77.1%	83.8%	68.6%
Gabon	Lopé	2000	51.9%	59.9%	44.8%
Gabon	Lopé	2017	77.9%	83.1%	72.6%
Gabon	Louetsi-Wano	2000	62.8%	76.4%	48.3%
Gabon	Louetsi-Wano	2017	85.2%	93.4%	75.3%
Gabon	Mougoutsi	2000	55.5%	63.7%	47.7%
Gabon	Mougoutsi	2017	77.9%	83.2%	71.7%
Gabon	Mouloudnou	2000	56.2%	63.0%	49.4%
Gabon	Mouloudnou	2017	79.5%	84.2%	74.7%
Gabon	Mpassa	2000	61.5%	69.0%	54.2%
Gabon	Mpassa	2017	80.9%	86.6%	75.1%
Gabon	Mvoug	2000	54.4%	66.4%	44.1%
Gabon	Mvoug	2017	78.7%	85.8%	70.9%
Gabon	Ndolou	2000	62.0%	71.2%	52.5%
Gabon	Ndolou	2017	84.1%	89.9%	76.2%
Gabon	Ndougou	2000	56.1%	64.9%	47.2%
Gabon	Ndougou	2017	77.7%	84.4%	71.0%
Gabon	Noya	2000	56.6%	68.1%	44.4%
Gabon	Noya	2017	78.4%	86.2%	69.8%
Gabon	Ntem	2000	55.3%	67.4%	43.8%
Gabon	Ntem	2017	81.6%	88.5%	73.3%
Gabon	Ogooué et des Lacs	2000	59.0%	67.1%	51.2%
Gabon	Ogooué et des Lacs	2017	80.3%	85.5%	74.8%
Gabon	Ogoulou	2000	52.9%	61.4%	44.4%
Gabon	Ogoulou	2017	77.3%	83.7%	70.9%
Gabon	Okano	2000	57.3%	64.6%	51.2%
Gabon	Okano	2017	80.8%	85.8%	75.8%
Gabon	Plateaux	2000	53.9%	64.9%	43.6%
Gabon	Plateaux	2017	77.7%	85.2%	69.6%
Gabon	Sébé-Brikolo	2000	51.7%	59.2%	43.9%
Gabon	Sébé-Brikolo	2017	76.6%	81.7%	70.8%
Gabon	Tsamba Mangotsi	2000	59.1%	65.9%	51.5%
Gabon	Tsamba Mangotsi	2017	81.7%	86.3%	75.4%
Gabon	Woleu	2000	60.7%	68.8%	52.0%
Gabon	Woleu	2017	83.6%	89.4%	77.5%
Gabon	Zadié	2000	47.3%	54.1%	40.1%
Gabon	Zadié	2017	75.1%	79.9%	69.9%
Gambia	Banjul	2000	89.2%	100.0%	18.7%
Gambia	Banjul	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Gambia	Central Bad-dibu	2000	67.1%	99.4%	27.1%
Gambia	Central Bad-dibu	2017	75.2%	100.0%	37.8%
Gambia	Foni Bintang Karanai	2000	72.3%	99.1%	38.8%
Gambia	Foni Bintang Karanai	2017	78.4%	100.0%	42.6%
Gambia	Foni Bondali	2000	77.6%	100.0%	39.3%
Gambia	Foni Bondali	2017	87.1%	100.0%	51.2%
Gambia	Foni Brefet	2000	53.0%	84.7%	19.1%
Gambia	Foni Brefet	2017	49.5%	90.7%	17.8%
Gambia	Foni Jarrol	2000	73.4%	100.0%	35.3%
Gambia	Foni Jarrol	2017	81.5%	100.0%	35.4%
Gambia	Foni Kansala	2000	69.7%	99.1%	31.1%
Gambia	Foni Kansala	2017	84.3%	100.0%	57.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Gambia	Fulladu East	2000	74.3%	92.9%	45.3%
Gambia	Fulladu East	2017	84.7%	98.5%	61.1%
Gambia	Fulladu West	2000	82.1%	97.9%	62.5%
Gambia	Fulladu West	2017	87.7%	99.9%	68.2%
Gambia	Janjanbureh	2000	96.3%	100.0%	84.2%
Gambia	Janjanbureh	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.3%
Gambia	Jarra Central	2000	85.2%	100.0%	52.0%
Gambia	Jarra Central	2017	89.6%	100.0%	58.3%
Gambia	Jarra East	2000	81.3%	100.0%	45.7%
Gambia	Jarra East	2017	87.3%	100.0%	46.2%
Gambia	Jarra West	2000	95.9%	100.0%	78.6%
Gambia	Jarra West	2017	97.4%	100.0%	88.2%
Gambia	Jokadu	2000	86.4%	100.0%	63.7%
Gambia	Jokadu	2017	90.5%	100.0%	66.7%
Gambia	Kanifing	2000	99.3%	100.0%	93.5%
Gambia	Kanifing	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Gambia	Kantora	2000	78.3%	99.8%	41.9%
Gambia	Kantora	2017	85.7%	100.0%	56.3%
Gambia	Kiang Central	2000	84.7%	100.0%	59.0%
Gambia	Kiang Central	2017	89.2%	100.0%	64.7%
Gambia	Kiang East	2000	88.3%	100.0%	38.4%
Gambia	Kiang East	2017	93.1%	100.0%	57.3%
Gambia	Kiang West	2000	71.9%	92.7%	50.8%
Gambia	Kiang West	2017	80.0%	97.1%	58.0%
Gambia	Kombo Central	2000	90.7%	100.0%	60.2%
Gambia	Kombo Central	2017	96.0%	100.0%	72.6%
Gambia	Kombo East	2000	85.5%	100.0%	64.5%
Gambia	Kombo East	2017	92.8%	100.0%	81.1%
Gambia	Kombo Saint Mary	2000	92.2%	100.0%	77.1%
Gambia	Kombo Saint Mary	2017	88.9%	100.0%	63.5%
Gambia	Kombo South	2000	83.7%	100.0%	59.9%
Gambia	Kombo South	2017	88.2%	100.0%	67.5%
Gambia	Lower Bad-dibu	2000	84.6%	100.0%	67.7%
Gambia	Lower Bad-dibu	2017	91.3%	100.0%	74.7%
Gambia	Lower Nuimi	2000	84.6%	100.0%	48.5%
Gambia	Lower Nuimi	2017	89.7%	100.0%	61.0%
Gambia	Lower Saloum	2000	77.1%	100.0%	34.9%
Gambia	Lower Saloum	2017	83.2%	100.0%	44.0%
Gambia	Niamina	2000	70.8%	100.0%	29.7%
Gambia	Dankunku Niamina	2017	80.7%	100.0%	42.8%
Gambia	Niamina East	2000	77.4%	100.0%	46.9%
Gambia	Niamina East	2017	83.6%	100.0%	54.0%
Gambia	Niamina West	2000	78.7%	100.0%	37.8%
Gambia	Niamina West	2017	84.1%	100.0%	45.2%
Gambia	Niani	2000	77.2%	98.9%	47.8%
Gambia	Niani	2017	83.1%	99.9%	59.9%
Gambia	Nianija	2000	82.5%	100.0%	51.3%
Gambia	Nianija	2017	86.7%	100.0%	61.8%
Gambia	Sami	2000	84.7%	98.9%	61.6%
Gambia	Sami	2017	89.1%	100.0%	69.2%
Gambia	Sandu	2000	78.3%	99.8%	45.7%
Gambia	Sandu	2017	85.3%	100.0%	60.2%
Gambia	Upper Bad-dibu	2000	83.1%	97.6%	63.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Gambia	Upper Bad-dibu	2017	88.9%	99.9%	70.0%
Gambia	Upper Nuimi	2000	80.2%	99.9%	53.4%
Gambia	Upper Nuimi	2017	85.3%	100.0%	58.2%
Gambia	Upper Saloum	2000	73.5%	98.4%	42.2%
Gambia	Upper Saloum	2017	83.1%	100.0%	54.5%
Gambia	Wuli	2000	84.2%	98.8%	65.6%
Gambia	Wuli	2017	89.8%	100.0%	73.3%
Ghana	Abura-Asebu-Kwamankese	2000	75.5%	83.3%	68.2%
Ghana	Abura-Asebu-Kwamankese	2017	95.2%	98.3%	89.8%
Ghana	Accra	2000	88.5%	90.4%	86.5%
Ghana	Accra	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Ghana	Adaklu	2000	69.0%	79.6%	57.3%
Ghana	Anyigbe	2017	84.6%	91.5%	75.8%
Ghana	Adansi North	2000	60.6%	69.3%	51.5%
Ghana	Adansi North	2017	92.9%	96.5%	86.3%
Ghana	Adansi South	2000	73.7%	83.4%	61.5%
Ghana	Adansi South	2017	91.4%	96.5%	82.4%
Ghana	Afigya Sekyere	2000	69.5%	80.0%	56.5%
Ghana	Afigya Sekyere	2017	90.8%	95.9%	83.4%
Ghana	Afram Plains	2000	48.9%	57.5%	40.0%
Ghana	Afram Plains	2017	74.1%	80.8%	66.3%
Ghana	Agona	2000	59.7%	65.1%	54.2%
Ghana	Agona	2017	89.7%	92.7%	83.8%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano North	2000	60.5%	75.0%	43.8%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano North	2017	88.4%	96.7%	74.5%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano South	2000	68.5%	82.0%	51.2%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano South	2017	87.6%	95.7%	77.5%
Ghana	Ahanta West	2000	66.1%	78.0%	53.5%
Ghana	Ahanta West	2017	91.5%	97.0%	83.5%
Ghana	Ajumako-Enyan-Esiam	2000	47.3%	52.4%	42.2%
Ghana	Ajumako-Enyan-Esiam	2017	73.3%	76.7%	70.6%
Ghana	Akatsi	2000	62.0%	67.2%	55.9%
Ghana	Akatsi	2017	88.8%	93.1%	83.6%
Ghana	Akwapim North	2000	47.6%	57.9%	38.7%
Ghana	Akwapim North	2017	69.5%	75.5%	62.0%
Ghana	Akwapim South	2000	52.7%	66.6%	40.9%
Ghana	Akwapim South	2017	73.9%	86.5%	64.9%
Ghana	Amansie Central	2000	71.4%	82.4%	57.8%
Ghana	Amansie Central	2017	91.6%	97.1%	83.2%
Ghana	Amansie East	2000	77.7%	86.9%	64.2%
Ghana	Amansie East	2017	92.2%	97.3%	82.7%
Ghana	Amansie West	2000	61.9%	74.6%	48.6%
Ghana	Amansie West	2017	83.0%	90.3%	74.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Aowin-Suaman	2000	55.9%	65.2%	46.1%
Ghana	Aowin-Suaman	2017	79.0%	86.0%	70.9%
Ghana	Asante Akim North	2000	70.4%	83.0%	56.5%
Ghana	Asante Akim North	2017	89.7%	97.1%	79.9%
Ghana	Asante Akim South	2000	58.0%	73.2%	43.0%
Ghana	Asante Akim South	2017	80.1%	90.3%	69.0%
Ghana	Asikuma Odoben Brakwa	2000	63.1%	69.8%	54.5%
Ghana	Asikuma Odoben Brakwa	2017	85.3%	91.6%	76.7%
Ghana	Assin North	2000	58.6%	67.7%	50.5%
Ghana	Assin North	2017	88.1%	92.7%	81.9%
Ghana	Assin South	2000	50.7%	64.2%	37.1%
Ghana	Assin South	2017	80.1%	89.2%	68.6%
Ghana	Asunafo North	2000	64.1%	75.7%	52.2%
Ghana	Asunafo North	2017	88.8%	94.0%	80.2%
Ghana	Asunafo South	2000	50.0%	62.6%	37.3%
Ghana	Asunafo South	2017	81.2%	90.1%	70.0%
Ghana	Asuogyaman	2000	75.5%	86.7%	62.3%
Ghana	Asuogyaman	2017	91.3%	97.8%	81.6%
Ghana	Asutifi	2000	50.8%	61.2%	38.8%
Ghana	Asutifi	2017	82.9%	90.4%	74.1%
Ghana	Atebubu-Amantin	2000	66.6%	76.1%	56.5%
Ghana	Atebubu-Amantin	2017	91.0%	95.5%	83.8%
Ghana	Atiwa	2000	57.6%	72.6%	39.8%
Ghana	Atiwa	2017	79.6%	89.3%	65.3%
Ghana	Atwima	2000	67.9%	74.5%	61.3%
Ghana	Atwima	2017	95.9%	97.9%	92.1%
Ghana	Atwima Mponua	2000	63.0%	74.0%	51.4%
Ghana	Atwima Mponua	2017	83.6%	91.4%	74.0%
Ghana	Awutu Senya	2000	76.0%	79.8%	70.8%
Ghana	Awutu Senya	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.5%
Ghana	Bawku Municipal	2000	35.1%	41.1%	30.4%
Ghana	Bawku Municipal	2017	84.8%	87.7%	81.1%
Ghana	Bawku West	2000	49.0%	57.3%	40.2%
Ghana	Bawku West	2017	83.0%	88.3%	75.5%
Ghana	Berekum	2000	63.7%	74.9%	50.6%
Ghana	Berekum	2017	89.0%	95.8%	80.6%
Ghana	Bia	2000	54.5%	67.8%	41.6%
Ghana	Bia	2017	79.6%	87.9%	69.4%
Ghana	Bibiani Anhwiaso Bekwai	2000	71.4%	80.6%	61.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Bibiani	2017	91.1%	96.2%	83.4%
	Anhwiaso				
	Bekwai				
Ghana	Birim North	2000	70.7%	79.9%	59.7%
Ghana	Birim North	2017	90.4%	95.8%	82.6%
Ghana	Birim South	2000	67.1%	76.2%	57.6%
Ghana	Birim South	2017	93.1%	97.0%	87.0%
Ghana	Bole	2000	71.5%	82.4%	57.5%
Ghana	Bole	2017	87.8%	93.9%	79.4%
Ghana	Bolgatanga	2000	50.3%	52.8%	46.8%
Ghana	Bolgatanga	2017	86.2%	87.3%	84.7%
Ghana	Bongo	2000	61.6%	65.4%	56.4%
Ghana	Bongo	2017	94.6%	95.9%	91.6%
Ghana	Bosomtwe-Kwanwoma	2000	84.2%	89.9%	75.5%
Ghana	Bosomtwe-Kwanwoma	2017	97.6%	99.1%	94.8%
Ghana	Builsa	2000	43.3%	51.4%	34.6%
Ghana	Builsa	2017	74.6%	82.0%	67.1%
Ghana	Bunkpurugu Yunyoo	2000	43.0%	52.3%	34.0%
Ghana	Bunkpurugu Yunyoo	2017	73.3%	80.2%	64.9%
Ghana	Cape Coast	2000	61.3%	69.6%	55.4%
Ghana	Cape Coast	2017	89.0%	93.1%	85.1%
Ghana	Central Gonja	2000	55.9%	65.3%	47.0%
Ghana	Central Gonja	2017	77.0%	83.0%	71.0%
Ghana	Dangbe East	2000	81.8%	90.2%	72.3%
Ghana	Dangbe East	2017	95.2%	98.7%	88.9%
Ghana	Dangbe West	2000	55.0%	70.8%	40.5%
Ghana	Dangbe West	2017	78.9%	91.7%	64.5%
Ghana	Dormaa	2000	62.5%	70.3%	54.1%
Ghana	Dormaa	2017	88.1%	92.7%	82.7%
Ghana	East Akim	2000	49.8%	53.7%	45.6%
Ghana	East Akim	2017	84.2%	88.5%	78.9%
Ghana	East Gonja	2000	47.1%	54.6%	39.9%
Ghana	East Gonja	2017	73.6%	79.2%	67.6%
Ghana	East Mamprusi	2000	65.0%	73.0%	56.8%
Ghana	East Mamprusi	2017	89.8%	93.4%	84.4%
Ghana	Ejisu-Juabeng	2000	72.5%	83.0%	57.2%
Ghana	Ejisu-Juabeng	2017	91.7%	98.0%	81.0%
Ghana	Ejura Sekyedumase	2000	63.3%	77.6%	49.8%
Ghana	Ejura Sekyedumase	2017	83.1%	92.0%	71.6%
Ghana	Fanteakwa	2000	45.9%	55.0%	36.2%
Ghana	Fanteakwa	2017	78.8%	86.4%	68.7%
Ghana	Ga East	2000	84.7%	90.4%	74.4%
Ghana	Ga East	2017	95.6%	98.7%	93.5%
Ghana	Ga West	2000	66.2%	73.7%	57.0%
Ghana	Ga West	2017	94.4%	97.7%	88.7%
Ghana	Garu Tempane	2000	49.4%	56.8%	41.9%
Ghana	Garu Tempane	2017	87.9%	92.3%	81.5%
Ghana	Gomoa	2000	59.4%	67.5%	50.5%
Ghana	Gomoa	2017	84.8%	90.7%	75.1%
Ghana	Gushiegu	2000	48.8%	56.6%	40.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Gushiegu	2017	75.4%	81.4%	68.3%
Ghana	Ho	2000	57.0%	64.1%	51.7%
Ghana	Ho	2017	82.6%	88.0%	76.2%
Ghana	Hohoe	2000	66.5%	72.8%	58.2%
Ghana	Hohoe	2017	88.2%	93.1%	80.9%
Ghana	Jaman North	2000	82.6%	89.1%	73.8%
Ghana	Jaman North	2017	94.7%	98.3%	87.7%
Ghana	Jaman South	2000	74.2%	84.4%	61.3%
Ghana	Jaman South	2017	93.3%	98.2%	85.1%
Ghana	Jasikan	2000	62.3%	72.6%	49.9%
Ghana	Jasikan	2017	80.9%	87.4%	70.2%
Ghana	Jirapa Lam- bussie	2000	62.0%	70.0%	54.4%
Ghana	Jirapa Lam- bussie	2017	89.4%	94.3%	83.8%
Ghana	Jomoro	2000	66.5%	76.9%	54.5%
Ghana	Jomoro	2017	86.0%	93.1%	76.6%
Ghana	Juabeso	2000	56.9%	68.4%	44.7%
Ghana	Juabeso	2017	81.1%	89.9%	70.9%
Ghana	Kadjebi	2000	61.6%	70.5%	49.4%
Ghana	Kadjebi	2017	78.6%	86.6%	68.9%
Ghana	Karaga	2000	54.7%	64.6%	44.2%
Ghana	Karaga	2017	79.1%	86.5%	71.0%
Ghana	Kassena	2000	66.6%	75.3%	56.7%
Ghana	Nankana Kassena Nankana	2017	91.0%	96.2%	83.8%
Ghana	Keta	2000	68.4%	79.8%	56.9%
Ghana	Keta	2017	88.0%	94.7%	76.7%
Ghana	Ketu	2000	40.4%	45.1%	35.8%
Ghana	Ketu	2017	79.1%	82.8%	74.0%
Ghana	Kintampo North	2000	55.8%	68.6%	45.1%
Ghana	Kintampo North	2017	81.5%	89.4%	74.3%
Ghana	Kintampo South	2000	55.2%	66.8%	43.0%
Ghana	Kintampo South	2017	79.5%	86.9%	71.0%
Ghana	Komenda- Edina-Eguafo- Abirem	2000	62.8%	69.9%	55.3%
Ghana	Komenda- Edina-Eguafo- Abirem	2017	82.1%	87.7%	75.5%
Ghana	Kpandu	2000	56.4%	70.0%	37.5%
Ghana	Kpandu	2017	80.6%	89.0%	67.9%
Ghana	Krachi	2000	63.5%	78.3%	49.1%
Ghana	Krachi	2017	80.6%	91.1%	69.8%
Ghana	Krachi East	2000	58.7%	74.6%	43.1%
Ghana	Krachi East	2017	80.2%	89.3%	68.8%
Ghana	Kumasi	2000	72.7%	74.0%	71.2%
Ghana	Kumasi	2017	98.0%	98.2%	97.9%
Ghana	Kwabibirem	2000	65.0%	72.9%	56.7%
Ghana	Kwabibirem	2017	86.4%	91.8%	79.6%
Ghana	Kwabre	2000	81.7%	85.9%	74.9%
Ghana	Kwabre	2017	96.4%	98.0%	93.9%
Ghana	Kwahu South	2000	46.2%	57.6%	32.5%
Ghana	Kwahu South	2017	81.2%	88.6%	70.1%
Ghana	Kwahu West	2000	59.3%	68.3%	48.2%
Ghana	Kwahu West	2017	84.9%	92.8%	75.2%
Ghana	Lawra	2000	56.1%	61.3%	51.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Lawra	2017	87.9%	91.6%	83.3%
Ghana	Lower Denkyira	2000	46.2%	54.6%	35.8%
Ghana	Lower Denkyira	2017	76.0%	84.2%	66.9%
Ghana	Manya Krobo	2000	50.0%	62.9%	37.2%
Ghana	Manya Krobo	2017	68.9%	79.1%	57.8%
Ghana	Mfantsiman	2000	74.3%	76.9%	71.1%
Ghana	Mfantsiman	2017	89.7%	91.0%	87.9%
Ghana	Mpohor	2000	61.2%	69.1%	54.0%
Ghana	Wassa East Mpohor	2017	87.6%	92.4%	82.4%
Ghana	Wassa East Nadowli	2000	70.6%	78.2%	62.1%
Ghana	Nadowli	2017	91.5%	96.1%	86.0%
Ghana	Nanumba North	2000	42.7%	52.8%	33.1%
Ghana	Nanumba North	2017	73.4%	80.4%	66.0%
Ghana	Nanumba South	2000	52.3%	61.5%	43.9%
Ghana	Nanumba South	2017	79.7%	86.8%	72.9%
Ghana	New Juaben	2000	68.5%	71.1%	62.5%
Ghana	New Juaben	2017	94.2%	96.1%	91.6%
Ghana	Nkoranza	2000	67.0%	75.1%	58.1%
Ghana	Nkoranza	2017	86.3%	91.1%	79.9%
Ghana	Nkwanta	2000	55.0%	66.1%	43.6%
Ghana	Nkwanta	2017	76.3%	84.5%	67.4%
Ghana	North Tongu	2000	67.3%	74.9%	60.1%
Ghana	North Tongu	2017	85.6%	91.7%	79.0%
Ghana	Nzema East	2000	53.8%	66.2%	41.8%
Ghana	Nzema East	2017	74.7%	84.4%	62.8%
Ghana	Obuasi Municipal	2000	78.8%	90.5%	60.7%
Ghana	Obuasi Municipal	2017	91.7%	97.7%	77.8%
Ghana	Offinso	2000	61.4%	74.0%	49.3%
Ghana	Offinso	2017	80.8%	89.9%	71.3%
Ghana	Pru	2000	46.9%	60.0%	34.8%
Ghana	Pru	2017	75.9%	85.2%	65.5%
Ghana	Saboba Chereponi	2000	47.6%	56.8%	38.6%
Ghana	Saboba Chereponi	2017	77.1%	83.9%	68.7%
Ghana	Savelugu Nanton	2000	44.8%	51.1%	38.4%
Ghana	Savelugu Nanton	2017	70.6%	76.2%	65.4%
Ghana	Sawa-Tuna-Kalba	2000	61.1%	69.4%	52.6%
Ghana	Sawa-Tuna-Kalba	2017	82.4%	87.4%	76.7%
Ghana	Sefwi Wiawso	2000	55.0%	63.9%	44.2%
Ghana	Sefwi Wiawso	2017	82.5%	88.8%	74.9%
Ghana	Sekyere East	2000	70.3%	79.5%	59.4%
Ghana	Sekyere East	2017	87.2%	92.5%	80.5%
Ghana	Sekyere West	2000	53.8%	63.6%	41.3%
Ghana	Sekyere West	2017	76.8%	84.9%	66.2%
Ghana	Sene	2000	58.7%	68.2%	47.4%
Ghana	Sene	2017	79.7%	86.5%	72.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Shama	2000	77.3%	80.6%	74.2%
	Ahanta				
	East				
Ghana	Shama	2017	93.7%	95.9%	90.7%
	Ahanta				
	East				
Ghana	Sissala East	2000	70.8%	79.4%	61.2%
Ghana	Sissala East	2017	86.7%	92.6%	80.4%
Ghana	Sissala West	2000	62.0%	72.2%	51.5%
Ghana	Sissala West	2017	83.9%	90.5%	76.2%
Ghana	South Dayi	2000	49.4%	72.0%	34.3%
Ghana	South Dayi	2017	76.6%	90.0%	56.9%
Ghana	South Tongu	2000	75.5%	90.1%	58.8%
Ghana	South Tongu	2017	90.2%	97.2%	77.1%
Ghana	Suhum	2000	50.3%	59.0%	41.8%
	Krabo				
	Coaltar				
Ghana	Suhum	2017	81.4%	88.3%	73.5%
	Krabo				
	Coaltar				
Ghana	Sunyani	2000	71.4%	80.1%	62.7%
Ghana	Sunyani	2017	91.7%	96.3%	85.6%
Ghana	Tain	2000	62.5%	71.8%	53.8%
Ghana	Tain	2017	83.9%	89.3%	77.1%
Ghana	Talensi Nabdam	2000	62.7%	69.3%	54.5%
Ghana	Talensi Nabdam	2017	89.8%	93.9%	83.7%
Ghana	Tamale	2000	55.2%	58.8%	48.0%
Ghana	Tamale	2017	83.6%	85.8%	79.2%
Ghana	Tano North	2000	67.4%	82.4%	50.8%
Ghana	Tano North	2017	90.8%	97.6%	79.8%
Ghana	Tano South	2000	57.4%	67.2%	46.1%
Ghana	Tano South	2017	85.5%	91.4%	78.7%
Ghana	Techiman	2000	62.2%	67.0%	56.1%
Ghana	Techiman	2017	85.3%	89.5%	80.1%
Ghana	Tema	2000	93.2%	96.8%	88.1%
Ghana	Tema	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.2%
Ghana	Tolon-Kumbungu	2000	46.4%	55.0%	38.8%
Ghana	Tolon-Kumbungu	2017	77.1%	82.5%	70.4%
Ghana	Upper Denkyira	2000	52.9%	64.7%	40.9%
Ghana	Upper Denkyira	2017	81.4%	90.4%	70.9%
Ghana	Wa	2000	69.7%	75.3%	63.9%
Ghana	Wa	2017	93.3%	96.2%	89.7%
Ghana	Wa East	2000	65.3%	77.4%	51.9%
Ghana	Wa East	2017	82.4%	90.4%	73.0%
Ghana	Wa West	2000	53.7%	59.2%	47.5%
Ghana	Wa West	2017	83.5%	88.6%	77.5%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi East	2000	50.5%	62.9%	36.8%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi East	2017	76.5%	84.7%	65.8%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi West	2000	52.9%	63.3%	42.6%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi West	2017	77.6%	85.5%	70.2%
Ghana	Wassa West	2000	56.5%	64.5%	45.9%
Ghana	Wassa West	2017	81.7%	87.0%	73.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	West Akim	2000	35.6%	40.7%	30.3%
Ghana	West Akim	2017	76.3%	79.5%	72.0%
Ghana	West Gonja	2000	63.3%	71.4%	53.6%
Ghana	West Gonja	2017	82.6%	88.5%	75.4%
Ghana	West Mamprusi	2000	52.5%	63.3%	41.2%
Ghana	West Mamprusi	2017	78.6%	85.9%	70.9%
Ghana	Yendi	2000	48.8%	57.0%	40.5%
Ghana	Yendi	2017	77.7%	83.5%	71.2%
Ghana	Yilo Krobo	2000	57.2%	65.4%	48.1%
Ghana	Yilo Krobo	2017	83.0%	88.6%	75.3%
Ghana	Zabzugu	2000	51.9%	60.2%	42.9%
Ghana	Tatale Zabzugu	2017	75.0%	82.3%	67.3%
Ghana	Tatale				
Guinea	Beyla	2000	46.7%	55.0%	37.2%
Guinea	Beyla	2017	75.4%	82.1%	67.5%
Guinea	Boffa	2000	42.8%	52.0%	34.2%
Guinea	Boffa	2017	73.0%	80.8%	64.3%
Guinea	Boké	2000	43.7%	51.7%	35.6%
Guinea	Boké	2017	74.4%	80.2%	67.3%
Guinea	Conakry	2000	91.4%	94.2%	86.9%
Guinea	Conakry	2017	98.6%	99.5%	96.9%
Guinea	Coyah	2000	81.9%	86.7%	77.0%
Guinea	Coyah	2017	95.5%	97.6%	92.4%
Guinea	Dabola	2000	48.3%	57.2%	39.4%
Guinea	Dabola	2017	79.2%	85.1%	71.5%
Guinea	Dalaba	2000	39.1%	49.1%	29.7%
Guinea	Dalaba	2017	69.8%	78.5%	60.4%
Guinea	Dinguiraye	2000	37.6%	45.6%	30.2%
Guinea	Dinguiraye	2017	67.8%	74.7%	60.1%
Guinea	Dubréka	2000	42.4%	53.8%	31.4%
Guinea	Dubréka	2017	74.1%	82.2%	63.3%
Guinea	Faranah	2000	51.9%	59.4%	43.8%
Guinea	Faranah	2017	78.7%	84.1%	72.3%
Guinea	Forécariah	2000	49.6%	59.0%	40.0%
Guinea	Forécariah	2017	76.4%	83.5%	66.5%
Guinea	Fria	2000	59.7%	73.3%	41.9%
Guinea	Fria	2017	81.2%	90.3%	65.6%
Guinea	Gaoual	2000	40.0%	49.4%	31.3%
Guinea	Gaoual	2017	71.0%	78.9%	63.1%
Guinea	Guéckédou	2000	36.9%	44.9%	28.7%
Guinea	Guéckédou	2017	70.4%	77.4%	61.0%
Guinea	Kankan	2000	54.9%	62.2%	45.1%
Guinea	Kankan	2017	76.1%	83.2%	69.0%
Guinea	Kérouané	2000	58.3%	66.0%	50.3%
Guinea	Kérouané	2017	80.9%	86.8%	73.7%
Guinea	Kindia	2000	52.1%	59.3%	43.9%
Guinea	Kindia	2017	79.6%	84.8%	73.3%
Guinea	Kissidougou	2000	49.4%	57.8%	40.6%
Guinea	Kissidougou	2017	79.2%	84.8%	72.4%
Guinea	Koubia	2000	37.1%	50.4%	25.9%
Guinea	Koubia	2017	69.1%	79.7%	56.4%
Guinea	Koundara	2000	44.7%	55.0%	33.6%
Guinea	Koundara	2017	75.9%	83.6%	66.3%
Guinea	Kouroussa	2000	47.7%	58.1%	38.0%
Guinea	Kouroussa	2017	75.4%	83.4%	67.3%
Guinea	Labé	2000	42.7%	49.6%	36.9%
Guinea	Labé	2017	81.6%	86.1%	76.3%
Guinea	Lélouma	2000	40.8%	50.4%	31.8%
Guinea	Lélouma	2017	74.6%	82.1%	65.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea	Lola	2000	41.0%	54.9%	30.4%
Guinea	Lola	2017	72.7%	83.2%	62.6%
Guinea	Macenta	2000	44.7%	54.3%	35.7%
Guinea	Macenta	2017	76.0%	82.3%	68.5%
Guinea	Mali	2000	36.4%	45.4%	27.5%
Guinea	Mali	2017	68.1%	75.8%	59.6%
Guinea	Mamou	2000	44.2%	51.6%	37.5%
Guinea	Mamou	2017	77.2%	82.5%	71.3%
Guinea	Mandiana	2000	42.3%	52.0%	33.2%
Guinea	Mandiana	2017	72.7%	81.0%	63.7%
Guinea	Nzérékoré	2000	46.0%	54.4%	35.7%
Guinea	Nzérékoré	2017	79.2%	85.1%	70.6%
Guinea	Pita	2000	38.6%	46.1%	31.5%
Guinea	Pita	2017	73.2%	79.4%	67.4%
Guinea	Siguiiri	2000	48.2%	55.4%	40.5%
Guinea	Siguiiri	2017	77.0%	82.4%	68.8%
Guinea	Télimélé	2000	38.9%	47.6%	31.2%
Guinea	Télimélé	2017	69.6%	76.5%	61.6%
Guinea	Tougué	2000	47.2%	58.9%	36.5%
Guinea	Tougué	2017	75.9%	83.6%	66.4%
Guinea	Yamou	2000	48.2%	63.7%	34.1%
Guinea	Yamou	2017	76.5%	87.1%	63.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Bafata	2000	66.0%	90.5%	30.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Bafata	2017	57.0%	87.9%	22.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Bambadinca	2000	64.2%	90.0%	34.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Bambadinca	2017	55.7%	86.0%	25.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Bedanda	2000	62.3%	86.4%	37.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Bedanda	2017	53.4%	78.3%	30.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Bigene	2000	63.5%	85.1%	40.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Bigene	2017	53.7%	78.7%	29.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Bissau	2000	62.2%	99.0%	31.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Bissau	2017	52.0%	96.8%	12.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Bissora	2000	73.2%	92.5%	48.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Bissora	2017	65.1%	87.6%	38.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Boe	2000	66.6%	82.8%	47.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Boe	2017	58.9%	77.9%	39.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Bolama	2000	70.3%	99.7%	25.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Bolama	2017	63.8%	99.1%	17.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Buba	2000	69.4%	91.4%	43.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Buba	2017	61.5%	87.4%	28.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Bubaque	2000	65.9%	83.2%	43.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Bubaque	2017	57.7%	78.9%	35.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea-Bissau	Bula	2000	66.7%	90.3%	32.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Bula	2017	59.3%	85.3%	25.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacheu	2000	63.2%	86.0%	35.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacheu	2017	54.9%	81.4%	28.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacine	2000	65.5%	93.5%	29.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacine	2017	57.8%	90.1%	20.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Caio	2000	61.2%	92.0%	26.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Caio	2017	53.2%	88.4%	21.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Canghungo	2000	61.5%	88.4%	35.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Canghungo	2017	52.2%	83.5%	25.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Caravela	2000	65.6%	92.3%	27.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Caravela	2017	57.8%	88.9%	22.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Catio	2000	66.7%	90.4%	39.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Catio	2017	58.5%	86.8%	31.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Contuboel	2000	63.3%	83.8%	39.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Contuboel	2017	54.8%	77.5%	30.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Empada	2000	68.6%	90.5%	41.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Empada	2017	61.3%	85.8%	32.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Farim	2000	62.9%	83.8%	41.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Farim	2017	54.3%	76.6%	34.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Fulacunda	2000	64.3%	88.3%	38.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Fulacunda	2017	56.7%	84.2%	28.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Gabu	2000	72.9%	85.0%	55.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Gabu	2017	64.2%	78.4%	46.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Galomaro	2000	71.8%	91.3%	48.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Galomaro	2017	63.4%	88.0%	40.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Gamamundo	2000	64.9%	83.9%	39.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Gamamundo	2017	56.8%	79.4%	30.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansaba	2000	63.9%	84.4%	39.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansaba	2017	55.9%	78.4%	31.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansoa	2000	65.1%	85.9%	42.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea-Bissau	Mansoa	2017	56.6%	80.1%	32.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Nhacra	2000	81.7%	98.0%	51.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Nhacra	2017	79.3%	96.3%	47.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Piche	2000	64.6%	84.1%	44.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Piche	2017	55.9%	76.2%	35.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Pirada	2000	63.2%	84.1%	38.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Pirada	2017	56.2%	78.6%	31.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Prabis	2000	31.0%	78.4%	0.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Prabis	2017	23.3%	75.5%	0.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Quebo	2000	69.7%	90.7%	41.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Quebo	2017	61.8%	86.1%	32.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Quinhamel	2000	65.5%	87.9%	36.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Quinhamel	2017	57.4%	83.5%	27.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Safim	2000	58.5%	99.7%	10.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Safim	2017	52.7%	98.4%	8.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Sao Domingos	2000	59.3%	85.1%	35.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Sao Domingos	2017	50.2%	77.3%	25.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Sonaco	2000	59.8%	83.6%	30.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Sonaco	2017	51.5%	77.8%	21.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Tite	2000	79.0%	96.3%	55.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Tite	2017	72.4%	92.8%	48.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Xitole	2000	64.7%	85.2%	39.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Xitole	2017	57.1%	81.6%	30.6%
Kenya	805	2000	36.7%	51.6%	21.4%
Kenya	805	2017	49.3%	60.8%	36.4%
Kenya	Ainabkoi	2000	47.5%	49.4%	45.7%
Kenya	Ainabkoi	2017	60.0%	62.3%	58.1%
Kenya	Ainamoi	2000	60.8%	62.4%	59.0%
Kenya	Ainamoi	2017	66.8%	68.3%	64.9%
Kenya	Aldai	2000	25.3%	26.7%	24.0%
Kenya	Aldai	2017	42.4%	44.1%	40.8%
Kenya	Alego Usonga	2000	33.5%	35.1%	31.9%
Kenya	Alego Usonga	2017	51.9%	53.5%	50.1%
Kenya	Awendo	2000	16.3%	18.6%	14.4%
Kenya	Awendo	2017	28.9%	32.5%	26.4%
Kenya	Bahati	2000	77.7%	83.2%	72.4%
Kenya	Bahati	2017	82.8%	87.7%	77.3%
Kenya	Balambala	2000	45.8%	58.7%	32.6%
Kenya	Balambala	2017	50.8%	64.9%	36.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Banissa	2000	39.0%	62.8%	18.3%
Kenya	Banissa	2017	41.4%	61.5%	22.8%
Kenya	Baringo Central	2000	50.4%	59.7%	41.7%
Kenya	Baringo Central	2017	54.3%	62.6%	47.0%
Kenya	Baringo North	2000	23.9%	30.7%	17.6%
Kenya	Baringo North	2017	30.5%	36.4%	23.9%
Kenya	Baringo South	2000	21.3%	30.2%	14.3%
Kenya	Baringo South	2017	28.9%	39.3%	20.9%
Kenya	Belgut	2000	42.5%	44.2%	40.9%
Kenya	Belgut	2017	52.2%	54.2%	50.5%
Kenya	Bobasi	2000	30.5%	32.3%	28.5%
Kenya	Bobasi	2017	51.0%	53.3%	48.9%
Kenya	Bomachoge	2000	50.6%	53.5%	47.6%
Kenya	Borabu	2017	69.6%	71.8%	67.4%
Kenya	Bomachoge	2000	65.4%	69.2%	61.6%
Kenya	Chache	2017	80.5%	83.3%	77.7%
Kenya	Bomachoge	2017	80.5%	83.3%	77.7%
Kenya	Chache	2017	80.5%	83.3%	77.7%
Kenya	Bomet Central	2000	13.8%	16.1%	11.9%
Kenya	Bomet Central	2017	23.5%	26.8%	20.3%
Kenya	Bomet East	2000	14.7%	17.7%	12.2%
Kenya	Bomet East	2017	26.5%	30.3%	23.1%
Kenya	Bonchari	2000	51.6%	55.0%	48.8%
Kenya	Bonchari	2017	71.6%	74.0%	69.4%
Kenya	Bondo	2000	29.0%	32.9%	26.9%
Kenya	Bondo	2017	39.0%	42.6%	36.5%
Kenya	Borabu	2000	24.3%	25.7%	22.9%
Kenya	Borabu	2017	40.1%	42.0%	38.3%
Kenya	Budalangi	2000	39.4%	42.1%	37.0%
Kenya	Budalangi	2017	54.7%	57.7%	51.9%
Kenya	Bumula	2000	34.2%	36.3%	32.1%
Kenya	Bumula	2017	54.8%	57.0%	52.3%
Kenya	Bura	2000	49.9%	60.2%	40.7%
Kenya	Bura	2017	61.1%	69.6%	52.7%
Kenya	Bureti	2000	16.4%	18.2%	15.0%
Kenya	Bureti	2017	26.1%	28.3%	23.7%
Kenya	Butere	2000	41.8%	43.7%	39.8%
Kenya	Butere	2017	63.1%	64.9%	61.2%
Kenya	Butula	2000	41.1%	43.5%	38.1%
Kenya	Butula	2017	61.8%	64.4%	59.2%
Kenya	Buuri	2000	75.2%	83.6%	66.9%
Kenya	Buuri	2017	78.5%	86.2%	70.4%
Kenya	Central	2000	68.0%	70.6%	65.0%
Kenya	Imenti	2017	68.7%	71.4%	65.5%
Kenya	Central	2017	68.7%	71.4%	65.5%
Kenya	Imenti	2017	68.7%	71.4%	65.5%
Kenya	Changamwe	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.9%
Kenya	Changamwe	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Kenya	Chepalungu	2000	17.4%	19.4%	15.4%
Kenya	Chepalungu	2017	28.2%	30.9%	25.5%
Kenya	Cherangany	2000	42.8%	45.5%	40.3%
Kenya	Cherangany	2017	58.2%	60.6%	56.1%
Kenya	Chesumei	2000	27.3%	29.3%	25.4%
Kenya	Chesumei	2017	40.8%	43.0%	38.8%
Kenya	Chuka/Igambang	2000	27.8%	29.0%	26.5%
Kenya	Chuka/Igambang	2017	32.5%	33.8%	31.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Daadab	2000	61.3%	84.4%	32.9%
Kenya	Daadab	2017	65.1%	86.3%	33.6%
Kenya	Dagoretti North	2000	97.2%	98.2%	95.8%
Kenya	Dagoretti North	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.1%
Kenya	Dagoretti South	2000	88.4%	90.4%	86.3%
Kenya	Dagoretti South	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Kenya	Eldama Ravine	2000	48.4%	55.1%	42.5%
Kenya	Eldama Ravine	2017	55.2%	62.0%	49.0%
Kenya	Eldas	2000	39.6%	54.6%	24.9%
Kenya	Eldas	2017	45.5%	59.6%	32.1%
Kenya	Embakasi Central	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.8%
Kenya	Embakasi Central	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Kenya	Embakasi East	2000	98.8%	99.6%	97.1%
Kenya	Embakasi East	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Kenya	Embakasi North	2000	99.2%	99.8%	98.3%
Kenya	Embakasi North	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Kenya	Embakasi South	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.7%
Kenya	Embakasi South	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Kenya	Embakasi West	2000	99.1%	99.8%	97.7%
Kenya	Embakasi West	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Kenya	Emgwen	2000	24.5%	26.4%	22.6%
Kenya	Emgwen	2017	39.9%	42.4%	37.5%
Kenya	Emuhaya	2000	40.3%	43.7%	37.2%
Kenya	Emuhaya	2017	64.6%	67.6%	61.5%
Kenya	Emurua Dikirr	2000	32.2%	38.3%	28.2%
Kenya	Emurua Dikirr	2017	37.3%	43.5%	32.5%
Kenya	Endebess	2000	38.7%	51.2%	28.4%
Kenya	Endebess	2017	50.3%	62.0%	39.0%
Kenya	Fafi	2000	51.1%	72.9%	21.7%
Kenya	Fafi	2017	54.7%	75.9%	22.8%
Kenya	Funyula	2000	44.9%	47.0%	42.8%
Kenya	Funyula	2017	61.8%	64.0%	59.4%
Kenya	Galole	2000	36.7%	45.8%	27.9%
Kenya	Galole	2017	44.7%	54.0%	35.7%
Kenya	Ganze	2000	55.8%	67.4%	44.0%
Kenya	Ganze	2017	60.3%	71.1%	48.0%
Kenya	Garissa Township	2000	94.4%	96.6%	91.8%
Kenya	Garissa Township	2017	95.4%	97.3%	92.9%
Kenya	Garsen	2000	46.3%	55.4%	37.7%
Kenya	Garsen	2017	51.9%	61.0%	43.4%
Kenya	Gatanga	2000	42.5%	45.1%	39.6%
Kenya	Gatanga	2017	52.6%	55.5%	49.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Gatundu North	2000	66.1%	69.5%	61.9%
Kenya	Gatundu North	2017	72.8%	76.1%	68.8%
Kenya	Gatundu South	2000	49.3%	51.5%	47.0%
Kenya	Gatundu South	2017	56.1%	58.6%	53.8%
Kenya	Gem	2000	20.8%	22.2%	19.6%
Kenya	Gem	2017	36.3%	37.9%	34.7%
Kenya	Gichugu	2000	59.2%	61.4%	57.1%
Kenya	Gichugu	2017	62.4%	64.8%	60.3%
Kenya	Gilgil	2000	59.4%	74.1%	44.3%
Kenya	Gilgil	2017	60.2%	73.2%	45.5%
Kenya	Githunguri	2000	46.7%	49.6%	43.9%
Kenya	Githunguri	2017	64.0%	66.6%	61.3%
Kenya	Hamisi	2000	32.4%	34.4%	30.3%
Kenya	Hamisi	2017	54.5%	56.5%	51.9%
Kenya	Homa Bay Town	2000	73.9%	78.8%	69.0%
Kenya	Homa Bay Town	2017	83.8%	87.7%	80.0%
Kenya	Igembe Central	2000	19.9%	25.9%	13.6%
Kenya	Igembe Central	2017	24.8%	34.4%	17.5%
Kenya	Igembe North	2000	25.6%	43.1%	13.6%
Kenya	Igembe North	2017	27.0%	46.3%	13.6%
Kenya	Igembe South	2000	47.4%	51.2%	44.0%
Kenya	Igembe South	2000	39.3%	43.1%	35.8%
Kenya	Igembe South	2017	41.5%	45.3%	38.1%
Kenya	Igembe South	2017	49.8%	55.0%	45.0%
Kenya	Ijara	2000	46.3%	55.3%	37.2%
Kenya	Ijara	2017	48.9%	59.2%	37.8%
Kenya	Ikolomani	2000	29.6%	31.8%	27.4%
Kenya	Ikolomani	2017	48.7%	51.5%	45.9%
Kenya	Isiolo North	2000	50.0%	54.6%	45.3%
Kenya	Isiolo North	2017	52.8%	57.9%	47.5%
Kenya	Isiolo South	2000	54.7%	64.1%	46.3%
Kenya	Isiolo South	2017	57.2%	65.4%	47.4%
Kenya	Jomvu	2000	93.4%	95.0%	91.5%
Kenya	Jomvu	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Kenya	Juja	2000	79.5%	81.6%	77.5%
Kenya	Juja	2017	87.0%	89.0%	85.1%
Kenya	Kabete	2000	76.3%	80.4%	72.4%
Kenya	Kabete	2017	87.7%	90.5%	84.8%
Kenya	Kabondo Kasipul	2000	18.9%	20.8%	17.4%
Kenya	Kabondo Kasipul	2017	34.8%	37.7%	32.2%
Kenya	Kabuchai	2000	45.8%	47.6%	44.2%
Kenya	Kabuchai	2017	62.4%	64.2%	60.6%
Kenya	Kacheliba	2000	34.0%	48.3%	19.6%
Kenya	Kacheliba	2017	40.5%	53.6%	25.9%
Kenya	Kaiti	2000	25.2%	35.2%	19.5%
Kenya	Kaiti	2017	27.3%	36.9%	21.6%
Kenya	Kajiado Central	2000	59.9%	71.8%	46.8%
Kenya	Kajiado Central	2017	65.8%	76.3%	53.4%
Kenya	Kajiado East	2000	67.2%	73.7%	60.4%
Kenya	Kajiado East	2017	72.5%	79.0%	65.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kajiado North	2000	90.4%	92.9%	87.6%
Kenya	Kajiado North	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Kenya	Kajiado South	2000	45.4%	60.4%	31.6%
Kenya	Kajiado South	2017	51.7%	66.8%	38.3%
Kenya	Kajiado West	2000	54.0%	62.1%	46.3%
Kenya	Kajiado West	2017	60.8%	68.2%	53.7%
Kenya	Kaloleni	2000	47.9%	49.7%	46.0%
Kenya	Kaloleni	2017	51.4%	53.0%	49.7%
Kenya	Kamukunji	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.9%
Kenya	Kamukunji	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Kenya	Kandara	2000	25.7%	27.2%	24.1%
Kenya	Kandara	2017	37.7%	39.8%	35.7%
Kenya	Kanduyi	2000	60.9%	63.3%	58.3%
Kenya	Kanduyi	2017	77.7%	79.4%	75.9%
Kenya	Kangema	2000	30.8%	33.5%	28.4%
Kenya	Kangema	2017	41.3%	44.2%	38.5%
Kenya	Kangundo	2000	33.5%	36.4%	30.9%
Kenya	Kangundo	2017	47.5%	50.7%	44.7%
Kenya	Kapenguria	2000	29.1%	33.7%	24.8%
Kenya	Kapenguria	2017	39.0%	43.3%	34.2%
Kenya	Kapseret	2000	75.9%	81.1%	71.6%
Kenya	Kapseret	2017	83.8%	88.4%	79.1%
Kenya	Karachuonyo	2000	25.5%	30.0%	20.3%
Kenya	Karachuonyo	2017	36.8%	41.3%	31.6%
Kenya	Kasarani	2000	98.5%	99.6%	95.9%
Kenya	Kasarani	2017	99.1%	99.8%	96.6%
Kenya	Kasipul	2000	38.4%	40.8%	35.9%
Kenya	Kasipul	2017	53.2%	55.7%	50.6%
Kenya	Kathiani	2000	24.5%	27.4%	22.0%
Kenya	Kathiani	2017	35.0%	38.3%	32.1%
Kenya	Keiyo North	2000	50.2%	52.6%	47.8%
Kenya	Keiyo North	2017	57.8%	60.2%	55.5%
Kenya	Keiyo South	2000	30.4%	36.1%	27.3%
Kenya	Keiyo South	2017	43.0%	49.3%	39.2%
Kenya	Kesses	2000	60.4%	64.6%	57.3%
Kenya	Kesses	2017	70.6%	75.1%	66.5%
Kenya	Khwisero	2000	30.5%	33.0%	28.4%
Kenya	Khwisero	2017	50.0%	52.9%	47.6%
Kenya	Kiambaa	2000	76.7%	79.9%	74.2%
Kenya	Kiambaa	2017	87.8%	89.5%	86.3%
Kenya	Kiambu	2000	72.0%	73.8%	70.0%
Kenya	Kiambu	2017	80.8%	82.6%	78.8%
Kenya	Kibra	2000	99.6%	99.8%	98.9%
Kenya	Kibra	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Kenya	Kibwezi East	2000	51.6%	68.3%	39.2%
Kenya	Kibwezi East	2017	58.4%	75.0%	44.8%
Kenya	Kibwezi West	2000	45.5%	58.3%	34.0%
Kenya	Kibwezi West	2017	48.6%	61.4%	36.8%
Kenya	Kieni	2000	66.7%	70.7%	62.4%
Kenya	Kieni	2017	70.1%	74.1%	65.7%
Kenya	Kigumo	2000	38.7%	45.7%	31.8%
Kenya	Kigumo	2017	52.1%	57.8%	46.0%
Kenya	Kiharu	2000	39.0%	40.8%	37.4%
Kenya	Kiharu	2017	50.1%	52.1%	48.3%
Kenya	Kikuyu	2000	81.9%	86.8%	77.9%
Kenya	Kikuyu	2017	88.1%	93.0%	83.6%
Kenya	Kilgoris	2000	19.3%	23.5%	15.5%
Kenya	Kilgoris	2017	28.8%	33.0%	24.7%
Kenya	Kilifi North	2000	73.2%	76.4%	70.0%
Kenya	Kilifi North	2017	75.0%	78.3%	72.0%
Kenya	Kilifi South	2000	68.2%	70.5%	65.9%
Kenya	Kilifi South	2017	73.5%	75.5%	71.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kilome	2000	56.1%	59.1%	52.5%
Kenya	Kilome	2017	63.7%	67.2%	60.5%
Kenya	Kimilili	2000	58.3%	61.1%	55.5%
Kenya	Kimilili	2017	73.7%	75.9%	71.8%
Kenya	Kiminini	2000	64.5%	66.6%	62.1%
Kenya	Kiminini	2017	81.7%	83.0%	80.1%
Kenya	Kinango	2000	53.2%	59.3%	46.2%
Kenya	Kinango	2017	58.8%	65.0%	51.7%
Kenya	Kinangop	2000	58.8%	60.7%	56.7%
Kenya	Kinangop	2017	65.4%	67.3%	63.1%
Kenya	Kipipiri	2000	32.4%	35.7%	29.7%
Kenya	Kipipiri	2017	42.9%	46.5%	39.7%
Kenya	Kipkelion	2000	35.8%	39.8%	31.6%
Kenya	East Kipkelion	2017	49.8%	54.2%	45.5%
Kenya	West Kipkelion	2000	30.3%	35.3%	18.4%
Kenya	West Kipkelion	2017	40.7%	44.9%	30.6%
Kenya	Central Kirinyaga	2000	51.8%	53.8%	49.8%
Kenya	Central Kirinyaga	2017	60.5%	62.6%	58.6%
Kenya	Kisauni	2000	87.2%	88.9%	85.8%
Kenya	Kisauni	2017	91.1%	92.8%	89.5%
Kenya	Central Kisumu	2000	80.7%	82.4%	78.9%
Kenya	Central Kisumu	2017	89.1%	90.4%	87.7%
Kenya	Kisumu East	2000	72.2%	74.4%	69.6%
Kenya	Kisumu East	2017	82.3%	83.9%	80.3%
Kenya	Kisumu West	2000	38.7%	41.1%	36.1%
Kenya	Kisumu West	2017	51.1%	53.6%	48.8%
Kenya	Central Kitui	2000	23.6%	27.8%	21.2%
Kenya	Central Kitui	2017	30.2%	34.1%	27.6%
Kenya	East Kitui	2000	40.7%	49.5%	31.9%
Kenya	East Kitui	2017	46.6%	56.0%	37.9%
Kenya	Rural Kitui	2000	30.6%	38.3%	24.2%
Kenya	Rural Kitui	2017	35.8%	42.6%	29.1%
Kenya	South Kitui	2000	31.9%	39.6%	23.9%
Kenya	South Kitui	2017	38.6%	47.2%	30.3%
Kenya	West Kitui	2000	17.5%	21.6%	14.0%
Kenya	West Kitui	2017	24.3%	27.7%	20.9%
Kenya	Kitutu	2000	35.7%	38.5%	33.0%
Kenya	North Kitutu	2017	57.2%	60.4%	54.1%
Kenya	North Kitutu	2000	44.7%	47.3%	42.1%
Kenya	South Kitutu	2017	68.8%	71.1%	66.5%
Kenya	South Kitutu	2000	42.0%	44.2%	39.9%
Kenya	Masaba Kitutu	2017	62.9%	65.0%	61.1%
Kenya	Konoin	2000	34.0%	37.7%	31.4%
Kenya	Konoin	2017	39.4%	43.1%	36.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kuresoi North	2000	33.9%	46.4%	20.8%
Kenya	Kuresoi North	2017	46.8%	58.4%	33.2%
Kenya	Kuresoi South	2000	25.2%	43.9%	10.6%
Kenya	Kuresoi South	2017	36.3%	55.9%	19.2%
Kenya	Kuria East	2000	14.2%	17.5%	11.1%
Kenya	Kuria East	2017	25.3%	28.8%	21.4%
Kenya	Kuria West	2000	14.9%	19.3%	11.3%
Kenya	Kuria West	2017	26.1%	30.9%	21.0%
Kenya	Kwanza	2000	44.9%	50.0%	39.2%
Kenya	Kwanza	2017	62.3%	67.1%	56.4%
Kenya	Lafey	2000	47.4%	62.9%	31.2%
Kenya	Lafey	2017	55.2%	69.2%	39.8%
Kenya	Lagdera	2000	38.1%	49.9%	27.6%
Kenya	Lagdera	2017	44.4%	56.5%	33.7%
Kenya	Laikipia East	2000	61.9%	70.3%	53.4%
Kenya	Laikipia East	2017	69.8%	77.1%	62.9%
Kenya	Laikipia North	2000	42.3%	52.0%	32.5%
Kenya	Laikipia North	2017	45.6%	56.2%	34.8%
Kenya	Laikipia West	2000	37.1%	43.2%	32.7%
Kenya	Laikipia West	2017	44.4%	50.1%	40.4%
Kenya	Laisamis	2000	40.0%	49.4%	30.8%
Kenya	Laisamis	2017	46.5%	55.7%	37.6%
Kenya	Lamu East	2000	31.0%	47.8%	14.8%
Kenya	Lamu East	2017	36.9%	54.2%	18.5%
Kenya	Lamu West	2000	62.1%	65.2%	58.5%
Kenya	Lamu West	2017	69.4%	72.6%	65.8%
Kenya	Langata	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Kenya	Langata	2017	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Kenya	Lari	2000	56.2%	60.4%	50.7%
Kenya	Lari	2017	71.0%	73.9%	67.2%
Kenya	Likoni	2000	88.4%	90.7%	85.4%
Kenya	Likoni	2017	93.9%	95.7%	92.0%
Kenya	Likuyani	2000	42.9%	46.7%	39.3%
Kenya	Likuyani	2017	64.5%	68.2%	60.9%
Kenya	Limuru	2000	83.2%	85.8%	80.5%
Kenya	Limuru	2017	90.6%	92.5%	88.5%
Kenya	Loima	2000	43.8%	54.4%	33.2%
Kenya	Loima	2017	48.1%	58.9%	37.7%
Kenya	Luanda	2000	35.8%	37.7%	33.7%
Kenya	Luanda	2017	57.9%	60.1%	55.5%
Kenya	Lugari	2000	25.9%	28.1%	24.1%
Kenya	Lugari	2000	48.8%	51.5%	45.7%
Kenya	Lugari	2017	45.8%	48.6%	43.5%
Kenya	Lugari	2017	69.3%	71.9%	66.7%
Kenya	Lungalunga	2000	26.5%	33.4%	21.0%
Kenya	Lungalunga	2017	35.5%	42.4%	29.8%
Kenya	Lurambi	2000	39.5%	41.1%	37.7%
Kenya	Lurambi	2017	56.2%	58.3%	54.0%
Kenya	Maara	2000	53.8%	56.2%	51.8%
Kenya	Maara	2017	56.9%	59.2%	54.8%
Kenya	Machakos Town	2000	44.0%	46.7%	41.1%
Kenya	Machakos Town	2017	51.0%	54.4%	47.9%
Kenya	Magarini	2000	42.2%	53.6%	29.0%
Kenya	Magarini	2017	46.8%	58.8%	32.4%
Kenya	Makadara	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Kenya	Makadara	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Kenya	Makueni	2000	22.4%	28.2%	17.3%
Kenya	Makueni	2017	28.9%	35.6%	23.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Malava	2000	32.9%	35.9%	29.8%
Kenya	Malava	2017	51.8%	54.7%	48.5%
Kenya	Malindi	2000	87.3%	89.1%	84.9%
Kenya	Malindi	2017	90.0%	91.7%	87.8%
Kenya	Mandera East	2000	58.1%	68.6%	49.0%
Kenya	Mandera East	2017	67.3%	77.8%	58.3%
Kenya	Mandera North	2000	42.3%	52.6%	31.6%
Kenya	Mandera North	2017	50.6%	61.1%	39.4%
Kenya	Mandera South	2000	37.5%	48.3%	27.9%
Kenya	Mandera South	2017	48.0%	59.5%	38.1%
Kenya	Mandera West	2000	30.2%	42.0%	18.3%
Kenya	Mandera West	2017	34.6%	46.5%	22.1%
Kenya	Manyatta	2000	68.4%	70.1%	66.5%
Kenya	Manyatta	2017	68.8%	70.6%	66.8%
Kenya	Maragwa	2000	24.2%	28.7%	21.7%
Kenya	Maragwa	2017	37.1%	43.3%	33.5%
Kenya	Marakwet East	2000	19.3%	29.9%	11.1%
Kenya	Marakwet East	2017	24.8%	35.3%	15.0%
Kenya	Marakwet West	2000	30.9%	33.5%	28.0%
Kenya	Marakwet West	2017	38.1%	40.7%	35.3%
Kenya	Masinga	2000	31.1%	42.2%	21.5%
Kenya	Masinga	2017	37.2%	48.7%	27.1%
Kenya	Matayos	2000	39.9%	44.6%	36.1%
Kenya	Matayos	2017	59.3%	64.7%	54.1%
Kenya	Mathare	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.8%
Kenya	Mathare	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Kenya	Mathioya	2000	25.9%	27.9%	23.8%
Kenya	Mathioya	2017	37.8%	40.1%	35.3%
Kenya	Mathira	2000	58.7%	60.7%	56.6%
Kenya	Mathira	2017	62.2%	64.3%	60.0%
Kenya	Matuga	2000	58.5%	64.8%	52.1%
Kenya	Matuga	2017	62.7%	68.7%	56.5%
Kenya	Matungu	2000	25.8%	28.2%	23.7%
Kenya	Matungu	2017	45.0%	48.3%	41.8%
Kenya	Matungulu	2000	46.5%	51.7%	42.0%
Kenya	Matungulu	2017	60.2%	64.7%	55.4%
Kenya	Mavoko	2000	88.4%	95.6%	79.4%
Kenya	Mavoko	2017	90.4%	96.8%	82.3%
Kenya	Mbeere North	2000	35.2%	40.8%	30.7%
Kenya	Mbeere North	2017	43.6%	49.7%	38.6%
Kenya	Mbeere South	2000	20.6%	28.2%	15.4%
Kenya	Mbeere South	2017	30.9%	38.3%	24.7%
Kenya	Mbita	2000	42.5%	50.1%	35.7%
Kenya	Mbita	2017	53.1%	61.1%	44.9%
Kenya	Mbooni	2000	23.8%	36.2%	15.1%
Kenya	Mbooni	2017	30.1%	43.3%	20.7%
Kenya	Mogotio	2000	23.4%	31.9%	17.6%
Kenya	Mogotio	2017	28.0%	36.3%	21.7%
Kenya	Moiben	2000	60.8%	66.1%	54.8%
Kenya	Moiben	2017	70.5%	74.6%	64.7%
Kenya	Molo	2000	56.8%	64.5%	44.2%
Kenya	Molo	2017	68.7%	74.7%	61.1%
Kenya	Mosop	2000	24.9%	30.8%	20.9%
Kenya	Mosop	2017	40.3%	45.3%	36.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Moyale	2000	39.1%	43.9%	34.2%
Kenya	Moyale	2017	49.3%	55.9%	43.1%
Kenya	Msambweni	2000	69.6%	73.8%	65.1%
Kenya	Msambweni	2017	79.3%	83.0%	74.7%
Kenya	Mt. Elgon	2000	62.5%	65.6%	58.3%
Kenya	Mt. Elgon	2017	70.1%	73.2%	65.8%
Kenya	Muhoroni	2000	31.0%	35.0%	28.3%
Kenya	Muhoroni	2017	44.1%	48.4%	40.7%
Kenya	Mukurweini	2000	28.4%	30.7%	26.3%
Kenya	Mukurweini	2017	39.4%	42.7%	36.7%
Kenya	Mumias East	2000	30.9%	32.9%	28.8%
Kenya	Mumias East	2017	50.9%	53.4%	48.1%
Kenya	Mumias West	2000	49.3%	52.3%	46.6%
Kenya	Mumias West	2017	68.0%	70.8%	65.3%
Kenya	Mvita	2000	89.8%	91.6%	87.4%
Kenya	Mvita	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Kenya	Mwala	2000	40.8%	49.3%	33.1%
Kenya	Mwala	2017	48.3%	55.8%	41.0%
Kenya	Mwatate	2000	42.8%	47.0%	39.2%
Kenya	Mwatate	2017	43.9%	48.7%	39.9%
Kenya	Mwea	2000	29.8%	31.5%	28.4%
Kenya	Mwea	2017	35.9%	37.8%	34.2%
Kenya	Mwingi Central	2000	32.6%	39.9%	26.6%
Kenya	Mwingi Central	2017	36.2%	44.2%	29.6%
Kenya	Mwingi North	2000	26.0%	34.1%	18.0%
Kenya	Mwingi North	2017	30.0%	38.5%	20.9%
Kenya	Mwingi West	2000	18.2%	23.7%	14.6%
Kenya	Mwingi West	2017	25.2%	31.4%	20.6%
Kenya	Naivasha	2000	70.7%	74.4%	66.2%
Kenya	Naivasha	2017	74.0%	78.3%	68.9%
Kenya	Nakuru Town East	2000	92.3%	95.3%	84.8%
Kenya	Nakuru Town East	2017	94.6%	96.7%	89.3%
Kenya	Nakuru Town West	2000	96.6%	98.1%	93.4%
Kenya	Nakuru Town West	2017	97.8%	98.7%	96.3%
Kenya	Nambale	2000	36.5%	39.0%	34.5%
Kenya	Nambale	2017	60.3%	62.7%	58.0%
Kenya	Nandi Hills	2000	21.3%	22.8%	19.9%
Kenya	Nandi Hills	2017	35.4%	37.5%	33.6%
Kenya	Narok East	2000	29.5%	45.4%	18.6%
Kenya	Narok East	2017	33.0%	51.8%	19.8%
Kenya	Narok North	2000	46.5%	55.5%	38.0%
Kenya	Narok North	2017	53.6%	62.8%	44.8%
Kenya	Narok South	2000	27.0%	35.8%	18.8%
Kenya	Narok South	2017	34.4%	44.3%	25.6%
Kenya	Narok West	2000	30.4%	42.6%	19.2%
Kenya	Narok West	2017	35.9%	47.9%	23.1%
Kenya	Navakholo	2000	32.9%	35.8%	30.2%
Kenya	Navakholo	2017	53.7%	56.9%	50.6%
Kenya	Ndaragwa	2000	31.9%	40.2%	26.1%
Kenya	Ndaragwa	2017	47.9%	55.5%	40.9%
Kenya	Ndhiwa	2000	20.6%	23.3%	18.5%
Kenya	Ndhiwa	2017	35.6%	38.4%	33.3%
Kenya	Ndia	2000	41.4%	44.5%	38.7%
Kenya	Ndia	2017	45.0%	48.4%	42.4%
Kenya	Njoro	2000	50.2%	58.0%	43.9%
Kenya	Njoro	2017	56.2%	63.9%	49.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	North Horr	2000	33.2%	40.2%	26.9%
Kenya	North Horr	2017	37.8%	44.8%	32.0%
Kenya	North Imenti	2000	79.1%	81.7%	76.1%
Kenya	North Imenti	2017	80.9%	83.4%	78.0%
Kenya	North Mugirango	2000	19.5%	21.1%	18.2%
Kenya	North Mugirango	2017	34.0%	36.1%	32.1%
Kenya	Nyakach	2000	34.4%	41.3%	26.9%
Kenya	Nyakach	2017	43.2%	49.3%	36.2%
Kenya	Nyali	2000	98.3%	99.2%	96.9%
Kenya	Nyali	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.7%
Kenya	Nyando	2000	45.3%	53.3%	40.3%
Kenya	Nyando	2017	57.2%	65.1%	51.9%
Kenya	Nyaribari Chache	2000	49.6%	52.5%	46.7%
Kenya	Nyaribari Chache	2017	68.2%	70.6%	65.7%
Kenya	Nyaribari Masaba	2000	36.5%	39.1%	34.1%
Kenya	Nyaribari Masaba	2017	58.7%	61.5%	55.9%
Kenya	Nyatike	2000	20.1%	38.5%	6.5%
Kenya	Nyatike	2017	24.4%	42.4%	10.8%
Kenya	Nyeri Town	2000	72.8%	75.0%	70.7%
Kenya	Nyeri Town	2017	77.6%	79.6%	75.3%
Kenya	Ol Jorok	2000	38.1%	41.1%	35.3%
Kenya	Ol Jorok	2017	56.9%	60.0%	54.1%
Kenya	Ol Kalou	2000	39.2%	45.7%	33.7%
Kenya	Ol Kalou	2017	57.4%	63.6%	50.7%
Kenya	Othaya	2000	43.3%	46.0%	40.9%
Kenya	Othaya	2017	52.7%	55.6%	50.0%
Kenya	Pokot South	2000	34.3%	39.2%	29.1%
Kenya	Pokot South	2017	38.2%	43.4%	33.6%
Kenya	Rabai	2000	75.1%	77.3%	72.4%
Kenya	Rabai	2017	76.6%	79.1%	74.5%
Kenya	Rangwe	2000	38.4%	42.7%	34.3%
Kenya	Rangwe	2017	59.2%	62.8%	55.4%
Kenya	Rarieda	2000	31.0%	32.8%	29.3%
Kenya	Rarieda	2017	40.6%	42.5%	39.0%
Kenya	Rongai	2000	68.4%	75.3%	61.7%
Kenya	Rongai	2017	71.9%	78.0%	65.2%
Kenya	Rongo	2000	24.9%	26.9%	23.2%
Kenya	Rongo	2017	42.5%	45.2%	40.3%
Kenya	Roysambu	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.7%
Kenya	Roysambu	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Kenya	Ruaraka	2000	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Kenya	Ruaraka	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Kenya	Ruiru	2000	89.9%	91.8%	87.7%
Kenya	Ruiru	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.2%
Kenya	Runyenjes	2000	41.9%	44.2%	39.5%
Kenya	Runyenjes	2017	47.6%	50.0%	44.9%
Kenya	Sabatia	2000	37.1%	38.9%	35.1%
Kenya	Sabatia	2017	59.3%	61.1%	57.1%
Kenya	Saboti	2000	59.6%	62.2%	57.2%
Kenya	Saboti	2017	77.1%	79.2%	75.3%
Kenya	Saku	2000	55.8%	65.1%	48.2%
Kenya	Saku	2017	65.6%	73.1%	58.7%
Kenya	Samburu East	2000	41.4%	50.2%	32.4%
Kenya	Samburu East	2017	44.9%	53.5%	35.8%
Kenya	Samburu North	2000	40.5%	49.6%	31.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Samburu North	2017	46.1%	55.0%	36.8%
Kenya	Samburu West	2000	34.8%	41.8%	29.2%
Kenya	Samburu West	2017	40.3%	47.6%	33.8%
Kenya	Seme	2000	37.1%	40.1%	34.1%
Kenya	Seme	2017	53.7%	56.7%	50.6%
Kenya	Shinyalu	2000	33.1%	34.9%	31.0%
Kenya	Shinyalu	2017	49.8%	52.3%	47.6%
Kenya	Sigor	2000	20.3%	30.1%	11.7%
Kenya	Sigor	2017	22.9%	32.7%	13.9%
Kenya	Sigowet/Soin	2000	13.6%	17.0%	11.5%
Kenya	Sigowet/Soin	2017	19.8%	23.1%	17.2%
Kenya	Sirisia	2000	44.9%	48.0%	41.8%
Kenya	Sirisia	2017	56.5%	58.4%	54.2%
Kenya	Sotik	2000	26.3%	28.4%	24.4%
Kenya	Sotik	2017	36.4%	38.9%	33.8%
Kenya	South Imenti	2000	69.6%	72.0%	67.1%
Kenya	South Imenti	2017	72.2%	74.4%	70.0%
Kenya	South Mugi-rango	2000	53.2%	55.7%	50.9%
Kenya	South Mugi-rango	2017	70.1%	72.3%	68.2%
Kenya	Soy	2000	52.9%	54.6%	50.7%
Kenya	Soy	2017	68.2%	69.7%	66.5%
Kenya	Starehe	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Kenya	Starehe	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Kenya	Suba	2000	49.7%	64.9%	36.5%
Kenya	Suba	2017	55.9%	70.3%	43.0%
Kenya	Subukia	2000	50.6%	58.5%	41.9%
Kenya	Subukia	2017	60.4%	68.5%	52.2%
Kenya	Suna East	2000	20.2%	21.9%	18.6%
Kenya	Suna East	2017	32.8%	35.1%	30.7%
Kenya	Suna West	2000	29.8%	33.1%	23.0%
Kenya	Suna West	2017	39.0%	41.8%	32.4%
Kenya	Tarbaj	2000	38.6%	53.9%	23.8%
Kenya	Tarbaj	2017	44.8%	58.3%	29.3%
Kenya	Taveta	2000	74.1%	80.9%	64.5%
Kenya	Taveta	2017	78.1%	84.6%	69.3%
Kenya	Teso North	2000	26.0%	28.8%	23.6%
Kenya	Teso North	2017	45.8%	49.3%	42.6%
Kenya	Teso South	2000	40.9%	42.9%	39.3%
Kenya	Teso South	2017	56.7%	59.0%	54.5%
Kenya	Tetu	2000	64.5%	67.5%	61.2%
Kenya	Tetu	2017	71.5%	74.2%	68.6%
Kenya	Tharaka	2000	30.6%	36.1%	26.5%
Kenya	Tharaka	2017	40.0%	45.2%	36.1%
Kenya	Thika Town	2000	66.6%	69.4%	64.4%
Kenya	Thika Town	2017	70.4%	73.4%	68.0%
Kenya	Tiaty	2000	40.2%	54.1%	27.5%
Kenya	Tiaty	2017	45.3%	58.9%	32.0%
Kenya	Tigania East	2000	42.5%	49.6%	35.4%
Kenya	Tigania East	2017	47.1%	54.3%	38.9%
Kenya	Tigania West	2000	41.0%	48.3%	29.2%
Kenya	Tigania West	2017	43.6%	51.0%	31.5%
Kenya	Tinderet	2000	29.1%	32.4%	26.0%
Kenya	Tinderet	2017	35.7%	39.0%	32.6%
Kenya	Tongaren	2000	37.3%	39.1%	35.6%
Kenya	Tongaren	2017	56.1%	57.8%	54.3%
Kenya	Turbo	2000	57.2%	59.8%	54.9%
Kenya	Turbo	2017	72.8%	75.2%	70.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Turkana Central	2000	39.8%	50.8%	30.3%
Kenya	Turkana Central	2017	45.7%	58.1%	35.8%
Kenya	Turkana East	2000	34.3%	44.8%	22.3%
Kenya	Turkana East	2017	39.7%	49.1%	28.0%
Kenya	Turkana North	2000	34.8%	44.7%	26.3%
Kenya	Turkana North	2017	39.9%	49.4%	30.3%
Kenya	Turkana South	2000	43.4%	56.7%	29.4%
Kenya	Turkana South	2017	49.2%	63.1%	35.2%
Kenya	Turkana West	2000	46.2%	53.9%	37.8%
Kenya	Turkana West	2017	50.5%	58.3%	42.4%
Kenya	Ugenya	2000	41.3%	44.4%	38.3%
Kenya	Ugenya	2017	61.2%	64.7%	58.2%
Kenya	Ugunja	2000	41.7%	44.7%	38.6%
Kenya	Ugunja	2017	58.2%	61.4%	55.3%
Kenya	unknown 1	2000	41.1%	67.5%	20.1%
Kenya	unknown 1	2017	45.9%	70.7%	22.9%
Kenya	unknown 2	2000	31.2%	46.4%	18.0%
Kenya	unknown 2	2017	37.3%	55.0%	22.6%
Kenya	unknown 4	2000	32.1%	37.4%	27.2%
Kenya	unknown 4	2017	48.5%	53.3%	43.4%
Kenya	unknown 5	2000	52.5%	60.9%	43.8%
Kenya	unknown 5	2017	56.4%	63.6%	48.3%
Kenya	unknown 6	2000	12.2%	20.5%	9.1%
Kenya	unknown 6	2017	23.1%	29.6%	19.2%
Kenya	unknown 7	2000	55.1%	79.3%	25.2%
Kenya	unknown 7	2017	55.9%	74.4%	34.0%
Kenya	Uriri	2000	16.1%	21.3%	13.6%
Kenya	Uriri	2017	28.4%	32.6%	25.5%
Kenya	Vihiga	2000	36.9%	38.9%	35.1%
Kenya	Vihiga	2017	58.2%	60.2%	56.3%
Kenya	Voi	2000	70.3%	74.9%	65.0%
Kenya	Voi	2017	74.8%	79.6%	68.8%
Kenya	Wajir East	2000	24.6%	31.0%	18.4%
Kenya	Wajir East	2017	33.3%	38.2%	28.2%
Kenya	Wajir North	2000	40.3%	63.8%	23.6%
Kenya	Wajir North	2017	46.0%	63.3%	31.7%
Kenya	Wajir South	2000	50.3%	58.9%	42.2%
Kenya	Wajir South	2017	52.2%	60.0%	44.5%
Kenya	Wajir West	2000	48.1%	61.0%	36.1%
Kenya	Wajir West	2017	53.1%	64.9%	42.2%
Kenya	Webute West	2000	53.1%	54.9%	50.8%
Kenya	Webute West	2017	66.6%	68.5%	64.9%
Kenya	Webuye East	2000	49.0%	51.3%	47.0%
Kenya	Webuye East	2017	69.4%	71.3%	67.6%
Kenya	West Mugi-rango	2000	32.4%	33.8%	31.1%
Kenya	West Mugi-rango	2017	54.1%	55.6%	52.7%
Kenya	Westlands	2000	93.3%	94.6%	92.1%
Kenya	Westlands	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.5%
Kenya	Wundanyi	2000	32.1%	36.8%	27.6%
Kenya	Wundanyi	2017	33.9%	38.8%	29.6%
Kenya	Yatta	2000	35.9%	42.7%	28.2%
Kenya	Yatta	2017	44.2%	51.0%	36.6%
Lesotho	Berea	2000	76.0%	78.2%	73.9%
Lesotho	Berea	2017	89.1%	90.6%	87.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Lesotho	Butha-Buthe	2000	66.1%	70.5%	60.5%
Lesotho	Butha-Buthe	2017	81.8%	85.6%	76.8%
Lesotho	Leribe	2000	57.0%	58.9%	55.0%
Lesotho	Leribe	2017	74.5%	76.5%	72.5%
Lesotho	Mafeteng	2000	68.0%	70.2%	65.6%
Lesotho	Mafeteng	2017	82.3%	84.0%	79.9%
Lesotho	Maseru	2000	81.3%	83.5%	78.9%
Lesotho	Maseru	2017	90.6%	92.4%	88.5%
Lesotho	Mohale's Hoek	2000	68.5%	70.6%	66.4%
Lesotho	Mohale's Hoek	2017	82.2%	83.8%	80.4%
Lesotho	Mokhotlong	2000	61.5%	68.9%	53.3%
Lesotho	Mokhotlong	2017	72.3%	79.6%	64.5%
Lesotho	Qacha's Nek	2000	75.9%	79.4%	72.1%
Lesotho	Qacha's Nek	2017	85.9%	88.7%	82.8%
Lesotho	Quthing	2000	67.1%	71.5%	63.4%
Lesotho	Quthing	2017	80.2%	84.4%	76.6%
Lesotho	Thaba-Tseka	2000	54.6%	58.6%	50.3%
Lesotho	Thaba-Tseka	2017	66.7%	70.7%	62.8%
Liberia	Barrobo	2000	22.2%	37.2%	10.1%
Liberia	Barrobo	2017	77.7%	89.4%	62.6%
Liberia	Belleh	2000	23.1%	38.0%	11.5%
Liberia	Belleh	2017	76.4%	88.7%	61.6%
Liberia	Bokomu	2000	26.8%	43.6%	14.3%
Liberia	Bokomu	2017	80.2%	92.1%	65.8%
Liberia	Bopolu	2000	27.9%	40.7%	16.3%
Liberia	Bopolu	2017	85.8%	93.6%	77.1%
Liberia	Buah	2000	21.2%	47.9%	4.9%
Liberia	Buah	2017	75.9%	92.5%	52.0%
Liberia	Butaw	2000	22.4%	34.7%	10.6%
Liberia	Butaw	2017	74.8%	86.1%	62.3%
Liberia	Careysburg	2000	13.1%	22.4%	6.0%
Liberia	Careysburg	2017	85.6%	95.1%	76.9%
Liberia	Commnwealth	2000	43.0%	73.5%	15.4%
Liberia	Commnwealth	2017	94.5%	99.5%	83.1%
Liberia	District # 1	2000	21.8%	37.8%	7.9%
Liberia	District # 1	2017	85.5%	95.8%	73.4%
Liberia	District # 2	2000	28.0%	48.2%	11.3%
Liberia	District # 2	2017	78.3%	90.7%	64.0%
Liberia	District # 3	2000	28.3%	36.2%	20.7%
Liberia	District # 3	2017	91.8%	95.9%	86.5%
Liberia	District # 4	2000	29.4%	45.8%	15.4%
Liberia	District # 4	2017	86.6%	96.3%	72.7%
Liberia	Dugbe River	2000	22.4%	48.6%	3.2%
Liberia	Dugbe River	2017	82.4%	97.8%	56.4%
Liberia	Firestone	2000	20.2%	24.9%	14.6%
Liberia	Firestone	2017	79.6%	82.7%	76.3%
Liberia	Foya	2000	15.1%	36.7%	3.4%
Liberia	Foya	2017	83.4%	97.8%	64.4%
Liberia	Fuamah	2000	18.5%	35.5%	7.0%
Liberia	Fuamah	2017	66.5%	81.7%	46.2%
Liberia	Garwula	2000	25.1%	39.5%	13.9%
Liberia	Garwula	2017	81.9%	91.7%	69.7%
Liberia	Gbarma	2000	35.6%	46.1%	25.7%
Liberia	Gbarma	2017	83.8%	93.5%	73.2%
Liberia	Gbarzon	2000	30.3%	42.4%	18.0%
Liberia	Gbarzon	2017	84.1%	92.4%	75.2%
Liberia	Gbeapo	2000	24.7%	32.5%	16.6%
Liberia	Gbeapo	2017	76.0%	82.6%	67.5%
Liberia	Gbehlagheh	2000	30.0%	47.5%	15.8%
Liberia	Gbehlagheh	2017	85.4%	95.7%	72.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Liberia	Gibi	2000	27.5%	49.5%	9.6%
Liberia	Gibi	2017	85.0%	97.3%	64.4%
Liberia	Golakonneh	2000	33.0%	43.2%	23.1%
Liberia	Golakonneh	2017	86.9%	95.6%	76.3%
Liberia	Greater Monrovia	2000	41.3%	46.6%	36.6%
Liberia	Greater Monrovia	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.6%
Liberia	Greenville	2000	24.3%	34.3%	17.4%
Liberia	Greenville	2017	77.2%	78.2%	75.9%
Liberia	Jaedae	2000	26.1%	38.1%	14.2%
Liberia	Jaedae	2017	81.7%	90.5%	70.3%
Liberia	Jaedepo	2000	27.6%	43.0%	15.5%
Liberia	Jaedepo	2017	84.0%	93.6%	70.9%
Liberia	Jorquelleh	2000	25.7%	42.1%	12.5%
Liberia	Jorquelleh	2017	82.1%	92.9%	67.8%
Liberia	Juarzon	2000	20.5%	31.5%	11.2%
Liberia	Juarzon	2017	81.3%	88.6%	71.0%
Liberia	Kakata	2000	43.4%	53.4%	34.3%
Liberia	Kakata	2017	94.4%	97.1%	89.5%
Liberia	Klay	2000	29.5%	56.1%	9.9%
Liberia	Klay	2017	82.2%	96.3%	61.7%
Liberia	Kokoyah	2000	35.6%	49.7%	22.8%
Liberia	Kokoyah	2017	84.2%	92.3%	74.5%
Liberia	Kolahun	2000	27.7%	41.9%	14.3%
Liberia	Kolahun	2017	81.5%	90.3%	69.0%
Liberia	Kongba	2000	24.0%	34.6%	14.2%
Liberia	Kongba	2017	77.5%	86.8%	65.0%
Liberia	Konobo	2000	33.2%	51.2%	18.1%
Liberia	Konobo	2017	90.4%	98.8%	75.0%
Liberia	Kpayan	2000	16.4%	33.4%	5.7%
Liberia	Kpayan	2017	39.7%	67.9%	11.8%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2000	86.3%	99.4%	63.6%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2017	80.6%	95.1%	60.2%
Liberia	Mambah-Kaba	2000	31.4%	45.0%	18.5%
Liberia	Mambah-Kaba	2017	92.7%	97.7%	81.9%
Liberia	Mecca	2000	22.8%	54.3%	2.1%
Liberia	Mecca	2017	73.2%	92.0%	38.8%
Liberia	Morweh	2000	23.8%	39.2%	12.2%
Liberia	Morweh	2017	72.4%	85.5%	58.7%
Liberia	Owensgrove	2000	15.9%	39.7%	1.5%
Liberia	Owensgrove	2017	79.0%	91.6%	54.4%
Liberia	Panta-Kpa	2000	28.0%	49.1%	13.7%
Liberia	Panta-Kpa	2017	84.2%	95.2%	70.4%
Liberia	Pleebo/Sodeken	2000	26.5%	33.6%	21.2%
Liberia	Pleebo/Sodeken	2017	90.7%	96.5%	83.8%
Liberia	Porkpa	2000	34.8%	53.7%	18.3%
Liberia	Porkpa	2017	86.2%	95.4%	73.8%
Liberia	Pyneston	2000	26.0%	45.8%	10.6%
Liberia	Pyneston	2017	78.0%	92.4%	59.8%
Liberia	Saclepea	2000	36.8%	52.2%	24.7%
Liberia	Saclepea	2017	87.5%	95.5%	76.7%
Liberia	Salala	2000	42.1%	60.0%	25.9%
Liberia	Salala	2017	89.8%	98.0%	77.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Liberia	Salayea	2000	31.9%	50.5%	17.1%
Liberia	Salayea	2017	85.9%	95.4%	74.8%
Liberia	Sanayea	2000	34.8%	53.0%	17.1%
Liberia	Sanayea	2017	85.5%	96.3%	71.1%
Liberia	Sanniquelleh-Mahn	2000	26.3%	39.1%	15.3%
Liberia	Sanniquelleh-Mahn	2017	89.2%	96.6%	80.5%
Liberia	Sasstown 180606	2000	25.2%	44.5%	10.8%
Liberia	Sasstown 180606	2017	79.9%	92.4%	61.0%
Liberia	St Paul River	2000	29.4%	36.5%	23.6%
Liberia	St Paul River	2017	98.3%	99.6%	94.4%
Liberia	Stjohnriver	2000	34.4%	51.8%	19.9%
Liberia	Stjohnriver	2017	90.1%	98.4%	77.7%
Liberia	Suakoko	2000	25.9%	40.1%	13.2%
Liberia	Suakoko	2017	84.9%	94.7%	72.8%
Liberia	Tappita	2000	30.8%	43.3%	19.0%
Liberia	Tappita	2017	83.2%	91.5%	73.5%
Liberia	Tchien	2000	35.1%	50.7%	23.0%
Liberia	Tchien	2017	88.3%	96.1%	79.0%
Liberia	Tewor	2000	27.0%	46.9%	9.8%
Liberia	Tewor	2017	94.0%	99.5%	79.8%
Liberia	Timbo	2000	18.5%	28.5%	10.5%
Liberia	Timbo	2017	83.2%	90.2%	76.2%
Liberia	Todee	2000	18.0%	26.2%	11.0%
Liberia	Todee	2017	75.3%	83.7%	63.6%
Liberia	Upperkrucoast	2000	47.6%	62.9%	35.2%
Liberia	Upperkrucoast	2017	86.0%	93.6%	76.6%
Liberia	Voinjama	2000	33.1%	47.1%	19.5%
Liberia	Voinjama	2017	81.1%	88.5%	70.4%
Liberia	Webbo	2000	29.1%	40.6%	18.6%
Liberia	Webbo	2017	84.2%	92.3%	73.7%
Liberia	Yarwein-Mehnsohnne	2000	27.2%	46.7%	10.1%
Liberia	Yarwein-Mehnsohnne	2017	77.7%	92.1%	58.4%
Liberia	Zoegeh	2000	30.7%	48.7%	16.2%
Liberia	Zoegeh	2017	85.0%	95.3%	66.9%
Liberia	Zorzor	2000	29.7%	44.3%	15.9%
Liberia	Zorzor	2017	83.1%	92.4%	72.0%
Liberia	Zota	2000	30.4%	56.3%	9.3%
Liberia	Zota	2017	84.8%	97.4%	62.6%
Madagascar	Alaotra-Mangoro	2000	30.6%	36.7%	25.8%
Madagascar	Alaotra-Mangoro	2017	44.5%	49.6%	39.7%
Madagascar	Amoron'i mania	2000	26.8%	33.6%	21.4%
Madagascar	Amoron'i mania	2017	38.8%	45.0%	33.4%
Madagascar	Analamanga	2000	59.7%	62.7%	56.8%
Madagascar	Analamanga	2017	75.2%	77.7%	72.1%
Madagascar	Analanjirifo	2000	26.5%	31.5%	22.0%
Madagascar	Analanjirifo	2017	36.1%	41.3%	31.8%
Madagascar	Androy	2000	33.2%	38.1%	28.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Madagascar	Androy	2017	47.6%	51.5%	43.5%
Madagascar	Anosy	2000	33.9%	38.9%	30.0%
Madagascar	Anosy	2017	41.2%	45.3%	37.2%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Andrefana	2000	36.3%	40.7%	32.2%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Andrefana	2017	48.6%	53.0%	43.9%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Atsinana	2000	27.6%	33.1%	22.4%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Atsinana	2017	36.0%	41.4%	30.9%
Madagascar	Atsinanana	2000	32.7%	39.1%	27.3%
Madagascar	Atsinanana	2017	41.5%	50.7%	33.9%
Madagascar	Betsiboka	2000	27.5%	32.0%	24.0%
Madagascar	Betsiboka	2017	38.4%	43.1%	33.7%
Madagascar	Boeny	2000	28.0%	39.3%	21.4%
Madagascar	Boeny	2017	40.8%	49.8%	32.9%
Madagascar	Bongolava	2000	31.3%	37.6%	26.1%
Madagascar	Bongolava	2017	49.0%	54.4%	43.4%
Madagascar	Diana	2000	29.6%	34.5%	25.1%
Madagascar	Diana	2017	45.5%	51.6%	40.9%
Madagascar	Haute matsiatra	2000	37.5%	43.6%	31.6%
Madagascar	Haute matsiatra	2017	48.6%	53.4%	42.6%
Madagascar	Ihorombe	2000	30.2%	35.1%	25.4%
Madagascar	Ihorombe	2017	44.8%	50.5%	38.9%
Madagascar	Itasy	2000	42.7%	49.6%	36.8%
Madagascar	Itasy	2017	57.8%	64.3%	52.4%
Madagascar	Melaky	2000	25.3%	29.7%	21.4%
Madagascar	Melaky	2017	37.0%	41.7%	33.1%
Madagascar	Menabe	2000	34.3%	39.2%	29.8%
Madagascar	Menabe	2017	47.1%	51.6%	42.7%
Madagascar	Sava	2000	31.9%	37.0%	26.8%
Madagascar	Sava	2017	39.3%	43.3%	34.8%
Madagascar	Sofia	2000	29.5%	32.8%	26.1%
Madagascar	Sofia	2017	39.5%	43.3%	36.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Madagascar	Vakinankaratra	2000	32.7%	39.8%	26.3%
Madagascar	Vakinankaratra	2017	47.7%	54.8%	41.7%
Madagascar	Vatovavy Fitovinany	2000	34.1%	39.2%	29.0%
Madagascar	Vatovavy Fitovinany	2017	42.1%	46.6%	37.6%
Malawi	Balaka	2000	79.5%	81.6%	77.4%
Malawi	Balaka	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.2%
Malawi	Blantyre	2000	82.2%	82.9%	81.4%
Malawi	Blantyre	2017	95.3%	95.7%	94.8%
Malawi	Chikwawa	2000	65.4%	68.7%	62.3%
Malawi	Chikwawa	2017	84.5%	87.2%	81.3%
Malawi	Chiradzulu	2000	77.6%	78.5%	76.7%
Malawi	Chiradzulu	2017	94.8%	95.2%	94.3%
Malawi	Chitipa	2000	67.5%	71.6%	63.5%
Malawi	Chitipa	2017	86.1%	88.9%	83.0%
Malawi	Dedza	2000	54.2%	56.3%	52.0%
Malawi	Dedza	2017	81.5%	82.9%	79.8%
Malawi	Dowa	2000	48.1%	50.6%	45.8%
Malawi	Dowa	2017	79.2%	80.8%	77.4%
Malawi	Karonga	2000	81.1%	83.3%	78.9%
Malawi	Karonga	2017	94.6%	95.9%	92.9%
Malawi	Kasungu	2000	54.1%	58.0%	50.5%
Malawi	Kasungu	2017	79.9%	82.9%	76.6%
Malawi	Likoma	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.2%
Malawi	Likoma	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Malawi	Lilongwe	2000	65.5%	67.4%	63.0%
Malawi	Lilongwe	2017	88.6%	89.9%	87.3%
Malawi	Machinga	2000	59.4%	61.1%	57.7%
Malawi	Machinga	2017	85.7%	86.7%	84.6%
Malawi	Mangochi	2000	67.7%	70.6%	64.3%
Malawi	Mangochi	2017	87.1%	89.4%	84.5%
Malawi	Mchinji	2000	52.9%	56.7%	49.3%
Malawi	Mchinji	2017	81.4%	84.1%	78.3%
Malawi	Mulanje	2000	74.8%	75.8%	73.8%
Malawi	Mulanje	2017	92.4%	92.9%	91.6%
Malawi	Mwanza	2000	73.0%	74.8%	71.0%
Malawi	Mwanza	2017	92.3%	93.4%	90.9%
Malawi	Mzimba	2000	71.8%	74.6%	68.1%
Malawi	Mzimba	2017	88.3%	90.5%	85.6%
Malawi	Neno	2000	57.5%	60.5%	54.5%
Malawi	Neno	2017	81.7%	83.9%	78.5%
Malawi	Nkhata Bay	2000	64.9%	68.1%	60.8%
Malawi	Nkhata Bay	2017	83.9%	86.3%	81.0%
Malawi	Nkhotakota	2000	69.6%	72.0%	66.9%
Malawi	Nkhotakota	2017	88.8%	90.2%	86.9%
Malawi	Nsanje	2000	73.6%	77.4%	70.1%
Malawi	Nsanje	2017	89.8%	92.6%	86.7%
Malawi	Ntcheu	2000	75.1%	78.4%	72.1%
Malawi	Ntcheu	2017	91.9%	94.6%	89.0%
Malawi	Ntchisi	2000	57.6%	59.7%	55.6%
Malawi	Ntchisi	2017	84.8%	87.0%	82.9%
Malawi	Phalombe	2000	79.8%	80.8%	78.6%
Malawi	Phalombe	2017	94.7%	95.0%	94.2%
Malawi	Rumphi	2000	76.5%	79.8%	73.1%
Malawi	Rumphi	2017	90.7%	92.8%	87.9%
Malawi	Salima	2000	66.7%	68.9%	63.9%
Malawi	Salima	2017	90.1%	91.4%	88.3%
Malawi	Thyolo	2000	48.8%	49.9%	47.8%
Malawi	Thyolo	2017	82.7%	83.4%	82.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Malawi	Zomba	2000	78.1%	79.2%	77.0%
Malawi	Zomba	2017	94.8%	95.4%	94.2%
Mali	Abeïbara	2000	34.9%	43.7%	27.1%
Mali	Abeïbara	2017	57.3%	66.1%	47.5%
Mali	Ansongo	2000	38.8%	45.9%	32.1%
Mali	Ansongo	2017	62.9%	68.7%	56.6%
Mali	Bafoulabé	2000	38.1%	47.9%	30.2%
Mali	Bafoulabé	2017	62.0%	69.9%	54.8%
Mali	Bamako	2000	82.6%	83.1%	82.0%
Mali	Bamako	2017	96.4%	96.5%	96.2%
Mali	Banamba	2000	31.8%	41.3%	24.8%
Mali	Banamba	2017	56.2%	64.1%	48.9%
Mali	Bandiagara	2000	28.2%	32.5%	23.9%
Mali	Bandiagara	2017	60.9%	64.9%	56.2%
Mali	Bankass	2000	36.1%	42.6%	29.2%
Mali	Bankass	2017	55.6%	62.6%	48.1%
Mali	Barouéli	2000	41.9%	51.3%	33.3%
Mali	Barouéli	2017	64.2%	71.5%	55.7%
Mali	Bla	2000	25.6%	33.3%	18.7%
Mali	Bla	2017	51.9%	58.8%	45.2%
Mali	Bougouni	2000	36.0%	42.4%	29.3%
Mali	Bougouni	2017	64.0%	69.1%	57.6%
Mali	Bourem	2000	30.9%	37.8%	24.4%
Mali	Bourem	2017	55.3%	60.7%	50.7%
Mali	Diéma	2000	41.3%	49.4%	34.5%
Mali	Diéma	2017	65.6%	72.3%	59.1%
Mali	Dioïla	2000	27.4%	33.7%	20.2%
Mali	Dioïla	2017	53.9%	60.0%	47.3%
Mali	Diré	2000	42.1%	56.0%	29.2%
Mali	Diré	2017	70.7%	81.3%	57.4%
Mali	Djenné	2000	39.5%	46.6%	32.4%
Mali	Djenné	2017	67.2%	73.0%	61.0%
Mali	Douentza	2000	40.8%	49.5%	32.6%
Mali	Douentza	2017	64.8%	72.6%	56.8%
Mali	Gao	2000	48.1%	51.3%	45.0%
Mali	Gao	2017	69.4%	73.5%	65.8%
Mali	Goundam	2000	41.9%	47.7%	36.0%
Mali	Goundam	2017	61.7%	67.3%	56.0%
Mali	Gourma-Rharous	2000	34.2%	40.7%	27.7%
Mali	Gourma-Rharous	2017	59.6%	65.4%	53.3%
Mali	Kadiolo	2000	33.7%	43.7%	25.6%
Mali	Kadiolo	2017	66.7%	75.9%	58.2%
Mali	Kangaba	2000	32.7%	42.1%	22.0%
Mali	Kangaba	2017	64.1%	71.4%	55.1%
Mali	Kati	2000	44.3%	47.8%	40.7%
Mali	Kati	2017	78.2%	80.2%	75.5%
Mali	Kayes	2000	57.5%	62.3%	53.1%
Mali	Kayes	2017	79.8%	82.9%	76.5%
Mali	Kéniéba	2000	44.3%	51.6%	36.6%
Mali	Kéniéba	2017	69.7%	76.5%	62.0%
Mali	Kidal	2000	33.9%	41.7%	27.5%
Mali	Kidal	2017	56.4%	65.1%	47.4%
Mali	Kita	2000	41.8%	47.2%	36.4%
Mali	Kita	2017	66.0%	71.3%	60.3%
Mali	Kolokani	2000	34.3%	43.4%	26.0%
Mali	Kolokani	2017	59.7%	68.2%	51.1%
Mali	Kolondiéba	2000	35.0%	43.5%	26.8%
Mali	Kolondiéba	2017	63.4%	70.0%	55.3%
Mali	Koro	2000	30.8%	37.6%	25.2%
Mali	Koro	2017	51.6%	57.9%	45.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mali	Koulikoro	2000	32.3%	38.4%	26.5%
Mali	Koulikoro	2017	63.6%	69.5%	57.3%
Mali	Koutiala	2000	33.1%	38.9%	27.4%
Mali	Koutiala	2017	66.0%	71.0%	60.8%
Mali	Macina	2000	33.0%	42.1%	24.9%
Mali	Macina	2017	63.6%	70.7%	55.8%
Mali	Ménaka	2000	39.8%	46.4%	34.2%
Mali	Ménaka	2017	62.0%	67.5%	56.9%
Mali	Mopti	2000	46.8%	52.5%	42.2%
Mali	Mopti	2017	70.2%	74.8%	66.2%
Mali	Nara	2000	36.5%	43.8%	30.1%
Mali	Nara	2017	60.0%	66.1%	53.1%
Mali	Niafunké	2000	38.1%	46.2%	29.8%
Mali	Niafunké	2017	59.8%	67.6%	51.1%
Mali	Niono	2000	37.9%	43.0%	33.3%
Mali	Niono	2017	73.8%	78.6%	69.0%
Mali	Nioro	2000	30.5%	37.2%	24.2%
Mali	Nioro	2017	60.0%	66.7%	52.5%
Mali	San	2000	31.8%	38.6%	26.4%
Mali	San	2017	57.2%	63.6%	50.9%
Mali	Ségou	2000	43.2%	47.6%	39.7%
Mali	Ségou	2017	69.2%	73.1%	65.6%
Mali	Sikasso	2000	37.7%	42.6%	32.9%
Mali	Sikasso	2017	73.9%	77.8%	69.9%
Mali	Ténenkou	2000	32.3%	40.7%	24.1%
Mali	Ténenkou	2017	59.1%	65.2%	51.7%
Mali	Tessalit	2000	33.3%	39.9%	26.2%
Mali	Tessalit	2017	56.7%	63.6%	48.3%
Mali	Tin-Essako	2000	30.5%	45.5%	17.6%
Mali	Tin-Essako	2017	54.8%	67.3%	41.3%
Mali	Tombouctou	2000	31.4%	35.3%	27.7%
Mali	Tombouctou	2017	58.5%	61.7%	55.6%
Mali	Tominian	2000	29.1%	38.3%	20.0%
Mali	Tominian	2017	51.8%	61.5%	43.0%
Mali	Yanfolila	2000	35.6%	42.6%	27.8%
Mali	Yanfolila	2017	63.0%	69.3%	55.7%
Mali	Yélimané	2000	32.3%	42.3%	24.1%
Mali	Yélimané	2017	61.5%	71.1%	51.7%
Mali	Yorosso	2000	39.5%	48.1%	32.5%
Mali	Yorosso	2017	60.9%	68.7%	52.4%
Mali	Youwarou	2000	37.4%	47.8%	28.7%
Mali	Youwarou	2017	60.7%	69.0%	52.4%
Mauritania	Aïoun	2000	70.4%	79.2%	60.2%
Mauritania	Aïoun	2017	83.3%	89.2%	74.9%
Mauritania	Akjoujt	2000	82.7%	88.4%	75.2%
Mauritania	Akjoujt	2017	89.0%	92.8%	83.7%
Mauritania	Aleg	2000	74.4%	80.6%	67.8%
Mauritania	Aleg	2017	83.4%	88.6%	78.8%
Mauritania	Amourj	2000	56.9%	65.7%	47.7%
Mauritania	Amourj	2017	69.5%	77.9%	60.9%
Mauritania	Aoujeft	2000	72.0%	80.4%	60.9%
Mauritania	Aoujeft	2017	80.8%	87.9%	70.4%
Mauritania	Atar	2000	91.1%	93.4%	87.9%
Mauritania	Atar	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.3%
Mauritania	Bababé	2000	66.5%	85.7%	47.6%
Mauritania	Bababé	2017	82.4%	94.6%	65.1%
Mauritania	Barkéol	2000	68.6%	80.1%	55.7%
Mauritania	Barkéol	2017	75.4%	86.2%	62.2%
Mauritania	Bassikounou	2000	51.4%	62.2%	39.1%
Mauritania	Bassikounou	2017	57.6%	70.8%	46.4%
Mauritania	Bir Moghreïn	2000	75.1%	83.5%	65.2%
Mauritania	Bir Moghreïn	2017	79.7%	87.1%	69.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mauritania	Boghé	2000	69.6%	83.7%	59.6%
Mauritania	Boghé	2017	81.5%	91.4%	71.6%
Mauritania	Boumdeïd	2000	70.8%	85.8%	55.7%
Mauritania	Boumdeïd	2017	78.6%	92.2%	63.2%
Mauritania	Boutilimit	2000	72.7%	80.2%	65.5%
Mauritania	Boutilimit	2017	81.7%	87.8%	75.6%
Mauritania	Chinguetti	2000	73.1%	80.0%	65.3%
Mauritania	Chinguetti	2017	76.3%	82.4%	69.0%
Mauritania	Djiguenni	2000	51.4%	62.8%	41.0%
Mauritania	Djiguenni	2017	64.6%	75.8%	52.9%
Mauritania	F'Dérik	2000	87.3%	96.2%	73.1%
Mauritania	F'Dérik	2017	90.7%	97.8%	79.2%
Mauritania	Guérou	2000	72.9%	83.0%	57.9%
Mauritania	Guérou	2017	86.5%	93.5%	76.5%
Mauritania	Kaédi	2000	58.5%	70.1%	46.9%
Mauritania	Kaédi	2017	78.0%	87.7%	67.2%
Mauritania	Kankossa	2000	65.3%	76.1%	50.6%
Mauritania	Kankossa	2017	72.9%	82.8%	61.0%
Mauritania	Keur-Macène	2000	85.1%	94.3%	76.0%
Mauritania	Keur-Macène	2017	89.8%	97.4%	80.8%
Mauritania	Kiffa	2000	72.8%	81.8%	62.1%
Mauritania	Kiffa	2017	79.7%	88.0%	71.3%
Mauritania	Kobenni	2000	56.3%	68.9%	42.8%
Mauritania	Kobenni	2017	65.7%	77.6%	53.3%
Mauritania	M'Bagne	2000	55.0%	68.8%	42.6%
Mauritania	M'Bagne	2017	75.2%	89.1%	62.7%
Mauritania	M'Bout	2000	55.4%	67.7%	43.1%
Mauritania	M'Bout	2017	63.5%	74.9%	50.9%
Mauritania	Maghama	2000	70.8%	83.5%	57.7%
Mauritania	Maghama	2017	80.3%	90.7%	68.2%
Mauritania	Magta-Lahjar	2000	82.4%	89.4%	73.5%
Mauritania	Magta-Lahjar	2017	87.7%	92.8%	80.3%
Mauritania	Méderdra	2000	74.0%	86.8%	60.5%
Mauritania	Méderdra	2017	78.4%	90.3%	64.3%
Mauritania	Monguel	2000	59.1%	72.8%	48.1%
Mauritania	Monguel	2017	68.5%	79.9%	57.1%
Mauritania	Moudjéria	2000	63.0%	71.5%	53.8%
Mauritania	Moudjéria	2017	74.3%	81.8%	66.4%
Mauritania	Néma	2000	62.9%	69.8%	54.0%
Mauritania	Néma	2017	77.3%	84.0%	68.7%
Mauritania	Nouadhibou	2000	80.7%	93.7%	44.4%
Mauritania	Nouadhibou	2017	82.5%	95.6%	52.4%
Mauritania	Nouakchott	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Mauritania	Nouakchott	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Mauritania	Ouad-Naga	2000	71.6%	89.0%	54.5%
Mauritania	Ouad-Naga	2017	75.5%	91.4%	58.6%
Mauritania	Ouadane	2000	87.8%	93.0%	81.5%
Mauritania	Ouadane	2017	90.5%	94.4%	85.4%
Mauritania	Ould Yengé	2000	68.4%	79.9%	56.7%
Mauritania	Ould Yengé	2017	72.9%	84.0%	60.4%
Mauritania	R'Kiz	2000	73.1%	86.3%	57.6%
Mauritania	R'Kiz	2017	80.7%	91.4%	66.0%
Mauritania	Rosso	2000	85.3%	89.1%	80.7%
Mauritania	Rosso	2017	89.4%	92.7%	86.0%
Mauritania	Sélibaby	2000	56.9%	67.1%	49.1%
Mauritania	Sélibaby	2017	65.7%	75.5%	56.5%
Mauritania	Tamchakett	2000	60.8%	72.9%	45.6%
Mauritania	Tamchakett	2017	67.9%	80.0%	54.1%
Mauritania	Tichitt	2000	65.0%	73.8%	56.6%
Mauritania	Tichitt	2017	71.4%	81.3%	61.5%
Mauritania	Tidjikja	2000	60.0%	71.4%	49.3%
Mauritania	Tidjikja	2017	70.0%	79.8%	60.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mauritania	Timbédra	2000	63.6%	73.1%	52.5%
Mauritania	Timbédra	2017	73.5%	81.8%	63.7%
Mauritania	Tintane	2000	64.1%	74.2%	52.3%
Mauritania	Tintane	2017	70.8%	81.1%	58.2%
Mauritania	Zouérate	2000	79.4%	88.9%	68.3%
Mauritania	Zouérate	2017	94.0%	98.1%	87.4%
Mozambique	Alto Molocue	2000	30.8%	44.3%	18.9%
Mozambique	Alto Molocue	2017	37.9%	55.0%	24.7%
Mozambique	Ancuabe	2000	32.9%	44.1%	24.0%
Mozambique	Ancuabe	2017	37.4%	52.3%	26.8%
Mozambique	Angoche	2000	51.1%	65.3%	35.6%
Mozambique	Angoche	2017	61.2%	74.6%	43.5%
Mozambique	Angónia	2000	35.0%	45.1%	24.8%
Mozambique	Angónia	2017	47.8%	62.0%	35.8%
Mozambique	Balama	2000	32.2%	44.4%	22.2%
Mozambique	Balama	2017	37.8%	51.8%	25.6%
Mozambique	Barue	2000	45.7%	58.3%	32.1%
Mozambique	Barue	2017	54.5%	71.4%	37.6%
Mozambique	Bilene	2000	68.8%	76.7%	60.1%
Mozambique	Bilene	2017	76.1%	83.8%	68.8%
Mozambique	Boane	2000	55.7%	66.7%	49.3%
Mozambique	Boane	2017	53.4%	61.3%	48.8%
Mozambique	Buzi	2000	42.6%	53.5%	31.8%
Mozambique	Buzi	2017	55.7%	69.3%	42.4%
Mozambique	Cahora Bassa	2000	37.2%	50.5%	24.4%
Mozambique	Cahora Bassa	2017	50.4%	65.6%	34.4%
Mozambique	Caia	2000	47.3%	60.8%	33.9%
Mozambique	Caia	2017	61.2%	73.0%	49.1%
Mozambique	Changara	2000	46.9%	55.2%	38.1%
Mozambique	Changara	2017	58.2%	65.7%	50.6%
Mozambique	Chemba	2000	45.7%	71.9%	21.9%
Mozambique	Chemba	2017	50.2%	77.3%	22.5%
Mozambique	Cheringoma	2000	54.8%	75.4%	36.1%
Mozambique	Cheringoma	2017	63.6%	84.9%	41.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Chibabava	2000	42.1%	55.8%	28.7%
Mozambique	Chibabava	2017	54.7%	72.2%	37.1%
Mozambique	Chibuto	2000	60.5%	66.6%	53.9%
Mozambique	Chibuto	2017	72.8%	79.9%	65.8%
Mozambique	Chicualacuala	2000	52.3%	67.4%	36.6%
Mozambique	Chicualacuala	2017	58.1%	73.6%	40.9%
Mozambique	Chifunde	2000	46.6%	58.5%	31.8%
Mozambique	Chifunde	2017	55.7%	70.8%	37.7%
Mozambique	Chigubo	2000	59.0%	77.7%	39.9%
Mozambique	Chigubo	2017	63.1%	85.1%	38.7%
Mozambique	Chinde	2000	43.8%	63.7%	27.1%
Mozambique	Chinde	2017	48.1%	71.3%	30.7%
Mozambique	Chiúre	2000	33.5%	43.7%	23.7%
Mozambique	Chiúre	2017	38.1%	50.4%	25.7%
Mozambique	Chiuta	2000	47.0%	61.5%	32.1%
Mozambique	Chiuta	2017	54.2%	72.0%	36.6%
Mozambique	Chókwè	2000	59.0%	65.7%	51.2%
Mozambique	Chókwè	2017	73.2%	79.2%	66.4%
Mozambique	Cidade de Matola	2000	67.8%	70.1%	65.2%
Mozambique	Cidade de Matola	2017	96.9%	97.9%	94.7%
Mozambique	Cuamba	2000	58.5%	68.0%	47.6%
Mozambique	Cuamba	2017	71.0%	81.2%	60.7%
Mozambique	Dondo	2000	73.8%	77.7%	69.0%
Mozambique	Dondo	2017	87.2%	90.8%	83.7%
Mozambique	Erati	2000	35.6%	51.9%	22.9%
Mozambique	Erati	2017	40.5%	59.5%	25.6%
Mozambique	Funhalouro	2000	49.2%	68.0%	32.9%
Mozambique	Funhalouro	2017	55.5%	74.7%	38.8%
Mozambique	Gile	2000	35.8%	48.8%	24.3%
Mozambique	Gile	2017	42.6%	60.3%	27.5%
Mozambique	Gondola	2000	45.4%	50.9%	40.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Gondola	2017	60.5%	68.4%	52.4%
Mozambique	Gorongosa	2000	53.9%	70.1%	40.4%
Mozambique	Gorongosa	2017	54.7%	71.7%	40.6%
Mozambique	Govuro	2000	45.2%	68.8%	23.0%
Mozambique	Govuro	2017	51.4%	74.7%	23.7%
Mozambique	Guijá	2000	65.9%	79.2%	48.1%
Mozambique	Guijá	2017	75.8%	86.2%	60.7%
Mozambique	Guro	2000	50.1%	68.8%	34.4%
Mozambique	Guro	2017	55.2%	78.4%	34.8%
Mozambique	Gurue	2000	32.2%	43.3%	22.2%
Mozambique	Gurue	2017	43.7%	60.1%	30.8%
Mozambique	Homoine	2000	49.7%	57.0%	42.5%
Mozambique	Homoine	2017	51.3%	59.9%	44.4%
Mozambique	Ile	2000	33.9%	48.9%	20.7%
Mozambique	Ile	2017	38.7%	54.5%	25.0%
Mozambique	Inharrime	2000	39.8%	54.0%	26.0%
Mozambique	Inharrime	2017	51.8%	67.6%	35.0%
Mozambique	Inhassoro	2000	49.6%	69.3%	29.8%
Mozambique	Inhassoro	2017	53.3%	77.5%	31.7%
Mozambique	Inhassunge	2000	29.3%	43.7%	16.9%
Mozambique	Inhassunge	2017	46.8%	59.8%	35.7%
Mozambique	Jangamo	2000	46.7%	57.8%	35.8%
Mozambique	Jangamo	2017	64.4%	76.7%	54.2%
Mozambique	Lago	2000	40.9%	58.9%	24.2%
Mozambique	Lago	2017	47.6%	64.6%	30.5%
Mozambique	Lalaua	2000	46.7%	68.3%	27.6%
Mozambique	Lalaua	2017	53.0%	76.8%	28.2%
Mozambique	Lichinga	2000	41.4%	48.9%	34.1%
Mozambique	Lichinga	2017	45.7%	55.8%	37.9%
Mozambique	Lugela	2000	33.9%	53.1%	21.8%
Mozambique	Lugela	2017	35.6%	59.4%	19.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Mabalane	2000	44.0%	61.5%	27.3%
Mozambique	Mabalane	2017	50.0%	70.9%	30.9%
Mozambique	Mabote	2000	49.3%	65.9%	34.0%
Mozambique	Mabote	2017	53.1%	73.2%	34.9%
Mozambique	Macanga	2000	45.1%	58.6%	32.4%
Mozambique	Macanga	2017	48.2%	62.5%	34.5%
Mozambique	Machanga	2000	49.9%	70.1%	28.8%
Mozambique	Machanga	2017	55.8%	76.9%	35.7%
Mozambique	Machaze	2000	44.2%	56.1%	33.8%
Mozambique	Machaze	2017	50.4%	63.3%	39.8%
Mozambique	Macomia	2000	44.8%	63.7%	25.3%
Mozambique	Macomia	2017	49.3%	68.4%	28.8%
Mozambique	Macossa	2000	53.0%	76.7%	31.1%
Mozambique	Macossa	2017	59.3%	86.9%	31.0%
Mozambique	Maganja da Costa	2000	30.4%	44.0%	19.6%
Mozambique	Maganja da Costa	2017	32.9%	48.1%	20.7%
Mozambique	Magoe	2000	52.5%	68.3%	36.1%
Mozambique	Magoe	2017	57.2%	73.6%	41.2%
Mozambique	Magude	2000	46.4%	63.0%	32.5%
Mozambique	Magude	2017	64.1%	80.1%	43.2%
Mozambique	Majune	2000	47.5%	78.0%	22.5%
Mozambique	Majune	2017	57.7%	84.8%	27.9%
Mozambique	Malema	2000	37.6%	53.3%	22.7%
Mozambique	Malema	2017	45.8%	65.0%	24.2%
Mozambique	Mandimba	2000	37.9%	57.8%	21.9%
Mozambique	Mandimba	2017	48.8%	69.9%	27.4%
Mozambique	Mandlakazi	2000	38.6%	48.3%	28.9%
Mozambique	Mandlakazi	2017	42.5%	55.2%	31.3%
Mozambique	Manhiça	2000	61.4%	69.8%	52.4%
Mozambique	Manhiça	2017	81.4%	88.6%	72.6%
Mozambique	Manica	2000	45.4%	54.8%	36.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Manica	2017	55.4%	66.2%	44.8%
Mozambique	Maputo	2000	82.5%	84.0%	81.0%
Mozambique	Maputo	2017	95.4%	96.0%	94.7%
Mozambique	Maravia	2000	52.3%	63.2%	41.1%
Mozambique	Maravia	2017	55.6%	69.2%	40.9%
Mozambique	Maringue	2000	41.3%	59.5%	24.9%
Mozambique	Maringue	2017	49.4%	70.7%	28.5%
Mozambique	Marracuene	2000	68.1%	80.4%	57.7%
Mozambique	Marracuene	2017	85.5%	95.0%	76.6%
Mozambique	Marromeu	2000	50.2%	63.3%	37.3%
Mozambique	Marromeu	2017	58.3%	72.9%	45.0%
Mozambique	Marrupa	2000	43.4%	57.4%	26.2%
Mozambique	Marrupa	2017	55.2%	71.4%	37.9%
Mozambique	Massangena	2000	56.9%	88.6%	24.2%
Mozambique	Massangena	2017	63.0%	91.0%	26.5%
Mozambique	Massinga	2000	36.6%	47.7%	28.2%
Mozambique	Massinga	2017	45.1%	61.1%	32.9%
Mozambique	Massingir	2000	60.5%	80.7%	34.2%
Mozambique	Massingir	2017	71.1%	87.8%	44.5%
Mozambique	Matutuíne	2000	48.5%	67.4%	32.2%
Mozambique	Matutuíne	2017	55.0%	69.9%	40.0%
Mozambique	Maúá	2000	42.2%	57.6%	28.3%
Mozambique	Maúá	2017	58.3%	73.7%	41.2%
Mozambique	Mavago	2000	51.7%	70.0%	33.6%
Mozambique	Mavago	2017	60.3%	78.1%	39.9%
Mozambique	Mecanhelas	2000	33.1%	41.6%	24.4%
Mozambique	Mecanhelas	2017	33.4%	44.3%	24.6%
Mozambique	Meconta	2000	37.5%	59.1%	21.6%
Mozambique	Meconta	2017	52.5%	74.9%	31.2%
Mozambique	Mecuburi	2000	40.1%	54.7%	24.8%
Mozambique	Mecuburi	2017	43.5%	61.5%	25.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Mecufi	2000	76.7%	89.7%	58.8%
Mozambique	Mecufi	2017	81.6%	94.3%	62.1%
Mozambique	Mecula	2000	57.2%	77.6%	33.9%
Mozambique	Mecula	2017	62.8%	84.3%	39.2%
Mozambique	Meluco	2000	36.1%	60.3%	19.3%
Mozambique	Meluco	2017	39.8%	68.2%	17.3%
Mozambique	Memba	2000	29.5%	43.6%	16.6%
Mozambique	Memba	2017	40.8%	56.9%	22.5%
Mozambique	Metarica	2000	49.1%	69.4%	31.6%
Mozambique	Metarica	2017	52.8%	75.4%	31.7%
Mozambique	Milange	2000	36.7%	45.5%	28.0%
Mozambique	Milange	2017	40.4%	53.4%	29.3%
Mozambique	Moamba	2000	44.6%	68.2%	26.7%
Mozambique	Moamba	2017	55.5%	77.4%	35.0%
Mozambique	Moatize	2000	39.0%	49.9%	29.5%
Mozambique	Moatize	2017	43.7%	58.6%	33.4%
Mozambique	Mocimboa da Praia	2000	49.9%	64.7%	35.8%
Mozambique	Mocimboa da Praia	2017	53.5%	64.9%	40.1%
Mozambique	Mocuba	2000	34.7%	47.7%	24.5%
Mozambique	Mocuba	2017	39.4%	54.9%	26.6%
Mozambique	Mogovolas	2000	26.4%	38.2%	16.9%
Mozambique	Mogovolas	2017	33.2%	48.8%	20.3%
Mozambique	Moma	2000	30.8%	43.4%	19.7%
Mozambique	Moma	2017	37.8%	54.4%	21.9%
Mozambique	Monapo	2000	44.0%	59.6%	31.2%
Mozambique	Monapo	2017	55.5%	72.4%	38.2%
Mozambique	Mongincual	2000	38.3%	57.3%	22.0%
Mozambique	Mongincual	2017	34.2%	56.2%	17.4%
Mozambique	Montepuez	2000	30.0%	41.3%	20.2%
Mozambique	Montepuez	2017	28.0%	39.5%	18.8%
Mozambique	Mopeia	2000	47.4%	66.0%	27.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Mopeia	2017	49.5%	70.5%	25.5%
Mozambique	Morrumbala	2000	36.7%	47.7%	26.2%
Mozambique	Morrumbala	2017	41.0%	55.3%	28.1%
Mozambique	Morrumbene	2000	30.9%	41.9%	20.0%
Mozambique	Morrumbene	2017	37.7%	50.9%	25.7%
Mozambique	Mossuril	2000	58.8%	74.0%	41.4%
Mozambique	Mossuril	2017	69.0%	82.2%	52.8%
Mozambique	Mossurize	2000	39.5%	50.9%	26.8%
Mozambique	Mossurize	2017	54.1%	66.3%	40.3%
Mozambique	Muanza	2000	43.9%	70.8%	24.4%
Mozambique	Muanza	2017	49.8%	79.9%	23.9%
Mozambique	Muecate	2000	52.2%	74.0%	28.1%
Mozambique	Muecate	2017	61.8%	82.7%	38.6%
Mozambique	Mueda	2000	40.0%	50.9%	26.0%
Mozambique	Mueda	2017	46.3%	60.9%	31.8%
Mozambique	Muembe	2000	35.5%	58.6%	16.8%
Mozambique	Muembe	2017	45.5%	73.2%	21.8%
Mozambique	Muidumbe	2000	40.1%	59.5%	18.4%
Mozambique	Muidumbe	2017	48.5%	68.8%	22.6%
Mozambique	Murupula	2000	36.3%	53.5%	19.7%
Mozambique	Murupula	2017	44.1%	63.4%	25.0%
Mozambique	Mutarara	2000	42.4%	52.5%	31.1%
Mozambique	Mutarara	2017	42.7%	56.4%	30.8%
Mozambique	N'gauma	2000	27.9%	43.7%	15.5%
Mozambique	N'gauma	2017	31.4%	51.7%	14.9%
Mozambique	Nacala Velha	2000	66.5%	78.6%	52.1%
Mozambique	Nacala Velha	2017	77.8%	88.6%	67.3%
Mozambique	Nacaroa	2000	45.6%	67.2%	28.7%
Mozambique	Nacaroa	2017	52.7%	77.5%	32.8%
Mozambique	Namaacha	2000	74.0%	82.6%	64.5%
Mozambique	Namaacha	2017	82.1%	89.3%	75.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Namacurra	2000	34.7%	49.6%	20.2%
Mozambique	Namacurra	2017	40.2%	55.9%	23.1%
Mozambique	Namarroi	2000	33.4%	49.7%	20.0%
Mozambique	Namarroi	2017	38.9%	58.0%	22.1%
Mozambique	Nampula	2000	43.0%	51.5%	35.6%
Mozambique	Nampula	2017	67.6%	74.8%	60.0%
Mozambique	Namuno	2000	37.0%	52.6%	24.6%
Mozambique	Namuno	2017	42.8%	59.2%	25.1%
Mozambique	Nangade	2000	38.0%	57.3%	20.3%
Mozambique	Nangade	2017	46.8%	68.3%	26.6%
Mozambique	Nhamatanda	2000	42.4%	54.1%	32.2%
Mozambique	Nhamatanda	2017	58.5%	69.7%	47.8%
Mozambique	Nicoadala	2000	33.7%	44.8%	24.0%
Mozambique	Nicoadala	2017	64.0%	72.6%	55.0%
Mozambique	Nipepe	2000	50.2%	76.9%	28.3%
Mozambique	Nipepe	2017	57.4%	87.1%	30.8%
Mozambique	Palma	2000	42.1%	59.2%	27.1%
Mozambique	Palma	2017	43.9%	67.4%	25.8%
Mozambique	Panda	2000	42.7%	64.1%	24.8%
Mozambique	Panda	2017	46.6%	68.8%	26.5%
Mozambique	Pebane	2000	42.1%	56.1%	27.3%
Mozambique	Pebane	2017	43.1%	57.6%	28.6%
Mozambique	Pemba	2000	67.6%	83.2%	53.9%
Mozambique	Pemba	2017	80.6%	92.6%	67.1%
Mozambique	Quissanga	2000	43.7%	68.6%	20.6%
Mozambique	Quissanga	2017	49.5%	73.4%	23.9%
Mozambique	Ribaue	2000	45.4%	59.2%	30.4%
Mozambique	Ribaue	2017	57.3%	71.8%	40.3%
Mozambique	Sanga	2000	36.1%	53.8%	21.1%
Mozambique	Sanga	2017	41.2%	58.8%	24.2%
Mozambique	Sussundenga	2000	42.0%	59.0%	27.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Sussundenga	2017	50.3%	69.5%	33.6%
Mozambique	Tambara	2000	49.9%	74.5%	22.0%
Mozambique	Tambara	2017	57.0%	80.6%	22.4%
Mozambique	Tsangano	2000	32.3%	53.6%	15.3%
Mozambique	Tsangano	2017	42.0%	61.0%	19.9%
Mozambique	Vilanculos	2000	42.2%	57.4%	31.7%
Mozambique	Vilanculos	2017	55.3%	69.3%	41.2%
Mozambique	Xai-Xai	2000	48.5%	58.0%	39.1%
Mozambique	Xai-Xai	2017	71.6%	79.6%	61.2%
Mozambique	Zavala	2000	43.3%	59.9%	28.5%
Mozambique	Zavala	2017	53.1%	69.1%	34.3%
Mozambique	Zumbu	2000	62.9%	77.8%	47.8%
Mozambique	Zumbu	2017	65.2%	80.3%	44.0%
Namibia	Aminius	2000	91.5%	95.1%	86.3%
Namibia	Aminius	2017	90.6%	94.4%	84.9%
Namibia	Anamulenge	2000	62.4%	73.3%	46.6%
Namibia	Anamulenge	2017	68.8%	79.0%	54.7%
Namibia	Arandis	2000	97.8%	99.7%	92.0%
Namibia	Arandis	2017	98.0%	99.7%	93.7%
Namibia	Berseba	2000	91.3%	94.2%	87.4%
Namibia	Berseba	2017	90.7%	93.6%	86.8%
Namibia	Daures	2000	88.6%	94.1%	81.4%
Namibia	Daures	2017	87.1%	93.2%	78.4%
Namibia	Eenhana	2000	63.7%	76.0%	51.4%
Namibia	Eenhana	2017	65.5%	77.7%	52.7%
Namibia	Elim	2000	62.6%	74.1%	50.4%
Namibia	Elim	2017	66.3%	77.6%	54.2%
Namibia	Endola	2000	71.5%	79.2%	63.7%
Namibia	Endola	2017	72.2%	78.8%	64.2%
Namibia	Engela	2000	82.1%	88.2%	73.8%
Namibia	Engela	2017	85.4%	90.3%	76.9%
Namibia	Engodi	2000	73.8%	81.9%	64.6%
Namibia	Engodi	2017	73.4%	81.9%	63.5%
Namibia	Epembe	2000	64.1%	78.4%	46.2%
Namibia	Epembe	2017	62.7%	77.0%	46.5%
Namibia	Epukiro	2000	87.4%	93.3%	79.9%
Namibia	Epukiro	2017	85.7%	92.1%	77.6%
Namibia	Epupa	2000	74.6%	80.7%	68.2%
Namibia	Epupa	2017	73.9%	79.4%	67.4%
Namibia	Etayi	2000	54.9%	68.1%	41.3%
Namibia	Etayi	2017	58.6%	70.4%	44.4%
Namibia	Gibeon	2000	94.6%	96.6%	92.1%
Namibia	Gibeon	2017	93.6%	95.8%	90.9%
Namibia	Gobabis	2000	98.4%	99.2%	96.9%
Namibia	Gobabis	2017	98.3%	99.1%	96.9%
Namibia	Grootfontein	2000	93.1%	95.0%	90.7%
Namibia	Grootfontein	2017	92.4%	94.4%	89.9%
Namibia	Guinas	2000	80.6%	90.1%	69.1%
Namibia	Guinas	2017	79.9%	89.5%	67.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Kabe	2000	58.6%	68.6%	45.8%
Namibia	Kabe	2017	54.6%	65.5%	41.7%
Namibia	Kahenge	2000	61.7%	70.3%	53.3%
Namibia	Kahenge	2017	63.2%	71.6%	54.9%
Namibia	Kalahari	2000	90.7%	94.6%	85.7%
Namibia	Kalahari	2017	90.0%	93.9%	84.4%
Namibia	Kamanjab	2000	90.2%	93.8%	83.6%
Namibia	Kamanjab	2017	88.8%	92.5%	83.4%
Namibia	Kapako	2000	72.0%	84.5%	59.1%
Namibia	Kapako	2017	70.8%	83.7%	57.9%
Namibia	Karas	2000	89.4%	92.7%	85.7%
Namibia	Karas	2017	90.3%	93.6%	85.9%
Namibia	Karibib	2000	96.6%	98.5%	92.9%
Namibia	Karibib	2017	96.6%	98.4%	93.2%
Namibia	Katima	2000	82.7%	86.8%	77.5%
Namibia	Muliro Rural Katima	2017	82.0%	86.1%	76.6%
Namibia	Muliro Rural Katima	2000	75.9%	80.6%	70.6%
Namibia	Muliro Urban Katima	2017	85.2%	88.6%	81.6%
Namibia	Muliro Urban Katutura Central	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Muliro Urban Katutura Central	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Katutura East	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Katutura East	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Rural	2000	89.1%	94.3%	77.6%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Rural	2017	87.5%	93.4%	74.2%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Urban	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Urban	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.6%
Namibia	Khomasdal North	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Namibia	Khomasdal North	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Namibia	Khorixas	2000	89.8%	94.1%	77.9%
Namibia	Khorixas	2017	87.9%	93.2%	75.1%
Namibia	Kongola	2000	87.6%	93.5%	79.0%
Namibia	Kongola	2017	82.0%	90.1%	70.7%
Namibia	Linyandi	2000	78.7%	88.8%	66.4%
Namibia	Linyandi	2017	74.6%	86.3%	62.4%
Namibia	Luderitz	2000	98.7%	99.7%	95.3%
Namibia	Luderitz	2017	98.6%	99.7%	95.4%
Namibia	Mariental Rural	2000	94.0%	96.5%	90.9%
Namibia	Mariental Rural	2017	94.1%	96.2%	91.3%
Namibia	Mariental Urban	2000	90.9%	94.0%	86.7%
Namibia	Mariental Urban	2017	92.0%	95.3%	86.2%
Namibia	Mashare	2000	69.3%	83.0%	50.4%
Namibia	Mashare	2017	67.5%	81.7%	47.7%
Namibia	Moses Garoeb	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Moses Garoeb	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Mpungu	2000	62.2%	72.3%	53.0%
Namibia	Mpungu	2017	61.7%	72.5%	51.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Mukwe	2000	49.0%	61.0%	36.8%
Namibia	Mukwe	2017	50.1%	62.2%	36.7%
Namibia	Ndiyona	2000	45.7%	56.1%	34.8%
Namibia	Ndiyona	2017	47.7%	58.5%	37.0%
Namibia	Ogongo	2000	65.4%	74.0%	55.0%
Namibia	Ogongo	2017	65.0%	73.4%	55.8%
Namibia	Ohangwena	2000	61.3%	71.0%	45.6%
Namibia	Ohangwena	2017	59.5%	68.6%	43.4%
Namibia	Okahandja	2000	98.5%	99.3%	97.5%
Namibia	Okahandja	2017	98.3%	99.2%	97.2%
Namibia	Okahao	2000	77.2%	86.3%	63.4%
Namibia	Okahao	2017	81.3%	89.2%	67.3%
Namibia	Okakarara	2000	89.7%	93.2%	85.2%
Namibia	Okakarara	2017	88.5%	92.2%	82.9%
Namibia	Okaku	2000	73.3%	80.3%	67.9%
Namibia	Okaku	2017	79.4%	85.3%	73.2%
Namibia	Okalongo	2000	58.3%	69.1%	46.7%
Namibia	Okalongo	2017	61.3%	73.5%	49.1%
Namibia	Okankolo	2000	69.5%	79.3%	57.8%
Namibia	Okankolo	2017	70.3%	80.1%	58.1%
Namibia	Okatana	2000	79.7%	84.6%	72.8%
Namibia	Okatana	2017	80.7%	85.4%	74.4%
Namibia	Okatyali	2000	80.9%	96.2%	51.4%
Namibia	Okatyali	2017	81.0%	96.8%	48.4%
Namibia	Okongo	2000	69.8%	78.3%	61.5%
Namibia	Okongo	2017	68.2%	77.7%	58.4%
Namibia	Olukonda	2000	81.5%	92.9%	59.6%
Namibia	Olukonda	2017	85.9%	95.4%	67.3%
Namibia	Omaruru	2000	96.3%	98.6%	93.3%
Namibia	Omaruru	2017	96.1%	98.2%	93.9%
Namibia	Omatako	2000	93.6%	96.1%	88.1%
Namibia	Omatako	2017	93.2%	95.7%	88.3%
Namibia	Ompundja	2000	67.5%	84.1%	48.2%
Namibia	Ompundja	2017	72.2%	87.5%	52.2%
Namibia	Omulonga	2000	54.7%	67.6%	42.0%
Namibia	Omulonga	2017	50.3%	62.8%	38.2%
Namibia	Omundaungilo	2000	62.8%	82.0%	42.5%
Namibia	Omundaungilo	2017	60.2%	78.4%	38.8%
Namibia	Omuntele	2000	71.9%	82.2%	61.3%
Namibia	Omuntele	2017	74.6%	85.4%	64.2%
Namibia	Omuthiyagwipund	2000	81.8%	88.2%	74.1%
Namibia	Omuthiyagwipund	2017	82.9%	90.1%	74.1%
Namibia	Onayena	2000	64.9%	73.0%	56.8%
Namibia	Onayena	2017	69.5%	77.7%	60.7%
Namibia	Ondangwa	2000	89.9%	91.8%	87.7%
Namibia	Ondangwa	2017	93.4%	94.5%	91.9%
Namibia	Ondobe	2000	50.8%	62.0%	38.6%
Namibia	Ondobe	2017	50.0%	60.2%	37.8%
Namibia	Onesi	2000	54.7%	72.8%	36.6%
Namibia	Onesi	2017	58.9%	77.4%	42.6%
Namibia	Ongenga	2000	70.2%	76.8%	63.4%
Namibia	Ongenga	2017	74.4%	80.9%	66.7%
Namibia	Ongwediwa	2000	91.7%	93.1%	89.8%
Namibia	Ongwediwa	2017	94.5%	95.6%	92.8%
Namibia	Oniipa	2000	60.4%	67.1%	53.3%
Namibia	Oniipa	2017	69.3%	75.1%	63.2%
Namibia	Onyaanya	2000	62.7%	73.5%	50.4%
Namibia	Onyaanya	2017	67.1%	78.9%	54.6%
Namibia	Opuwo	2000	83.6%	88.9%	76.4%
Namibia	Opuwo	2017	82.3%	87.3%	76.5%
Namibia	Oranjemund	2000	98.1%	99.9%	90.3%
Namibia	Oranjemund	2017	97.8%	99.8%	90.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Oshakati East	2000	96.0%	98.2%	92.0%
Namibia	Oshakati East	2017	96.5%	98.5%	93.5%
Namibia	Oshakati West	2000	97.3%	99.2%	94.0%
Namibia	Oshakati West	2017	97.6%	99.2%	94.6%
Namibia	Oshikango	2000	65.8%	68.8%	62.0%
Namibia	Oshikango	2017	73.7%	76.2%	70.8%
Namibia	Oshikuku	2000	71.4%	85.2%	58.7%
Namibia	Oshikuku	2017	73.6%	85.6%	60.3%
Namibia	Otamanzi	2000	61.2%	76.3%	41.9%
Namibia	Otamanzi	2017	63.0%	77.6%	43.1%
Namibia	Otavi	2000	91.4%	94.4%	86.7%
Namibia	Otavi	2017	90.2%	93.3%	85.4%
Namibia	Otjinene	2000	91.6%	95.7%	86.5%
Namibia	Otjinene	2017	90.1%	94.2%	85.3%
Namibia	Otjiwarongo	2000	98.7%	99.4%	97.5%
Namibia	Otjiwarongo	2017	98.5%	99.3%	97.1%
Namibia	Otjombinde	2000	90.0%	94.2%	81.2%
Namibia	Otjombinde	2017	88.3%	92.9%	80.3%
Namibia	Outapi	2000	55.2%	67.6%	42.5%
Namibia	Outapi	2017	59.8%	72.1%	47.0%
Namibia	Outjo	2000	91.4%	95.9%	86.8%
Namibia	Outjo	2017	91.5%	94.7%	88.1%
Namibia	Rehoboth East	2000	98.2%	99.2%	96.6%
Namibia	Rehoboth East	2017	98.1%	99.1%	96.7%
Namibia	Rehoboth Rural	2000	93.4%	96.6%	89.5%
Namibia	Rehoboth Rural	2017	91.7%	95.3%	87.6%
Namibia	Rehoboth West	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Namibia	Rehoboth West	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Namibia	Ruacana	2000	78.2%	89.6%	65.3%
Namibia	Ruacana	2017	80.5%	90.4%	67.2%
Namibia	Rundu Rural East	2000	60.1%	68.4%	47.8%
Namibia	Rundu Rural East	2017	63.4%	70.1%	52.8%
Namibia	Rundu Rural West	2000	75.9%	79.1%	71.8%
Namibia	Rundu Rural West	2017	81.5%	84.5%	77.6%
Namibia	Rundu Urban	2000	90.6%	91.9%	88.9%
Namibia	Rundu Urban	2017	93.7%	94.6%	92.5%
Namibia	Sesfontein	2000	85.3%	91.1%	78.8%
Namibia	Sesfontein	2017	84.3%	90.1%	77.5%
Namibia	Sibinda	2000	88.6%	95.7%	76.9%
Namibia	Sibinda	2017	84.6%	93.9%	72.2%
Namibia	Soweto	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Soweto	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Namibia	Steinhausen	2000	89.2%	93.8%	79.4%
Namibia	Steinhausen	2017	87.3%	92.7%	76.2%
Namibia	Swakopmund	2000	99.1%	99.8%	96.5%
Namibia	Swakopmund	2017	99.0%	99.7%	95.9%
Namibia	Tobias Hainyeko	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Namibia	Tobias Hainyeko	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Namibia	Tsandi	2000	65.9%	76.6%	53.1%
Namibia	Tsandi	2017	67.7%	78.7%	55.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Tsumeb	2000	99.0%	99.9%	97.3%
Namibia	Tsumeb	2017	98.3%	99.7%	96.3%
Namibia	Tsumkwe	2000	79.7%	88.3%	67.2%
Namibia	Tsumkwe	2017	78.9%	87.0%	65.4%
Namibia	Uukwiyu	2000	90.2%	96.0%	80.8%
Namibia	Uukwiyu	2017	92.8%	97.3%	84.1%
Namibia	Uuvudhiya	2000	66.9%	84.7%	47.6%
Namibia	Uuvudhiya	2017	65.5%	83.3%	46.8%
Namibia	Walvisbay Rural	2000	98.9%	99.8%	94.0%
Namibia	Walvisbay Rural	2017	98.6%	99.8%	91.5%
Namibia	Walvisbay Urban	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Namibia	Walvisbay Urban	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Namibia	Wanaheda	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Namibia	Wanaheda	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Namibia	Windhoek East	2000	99.0%	100.0%	95.0%
Namibia	Windhoek East	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.5%
Namibia	Windhoek Rural	2000	95.2%	97.0%	92.3%
Namibia	Windhoek Rural	2017	95.1%	96.6%	92.8%
Namibia	Windhoek West	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Namibia	Windhoek West	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Niger	Aguié	2000	45.9%	50.4%	41.5%
Niger	Aguié	2017	76.8%	80.4%	73.0%
Niger	Arlit	2000	70.6%	73.8%	67.8%
Niger	Arlit	2017	91.4%	92.8%	90.0%
Niger	Bilma	2000	72.7%	75.1%	70.0%
Niger	Bilma	2017	92.3%	93.4%	91.1%
Niger	Bkonni	2000	47.0%	50.6%	43.5%
Niger	Bkonni	2017	77.2%	79.5%	74.6%
Niger	Boboye	2000	33.9%	37.6%	30.6%
Niger	Boboye	2017	64.4%	68.5%	60.7%
Niger	Bouza	2000	42.1%	44.8%	39.4%
Niger	Bouza	2017	73.5%	75.8%	71.3%
Niger	Dakoro	2000	49.0%	52.8%	45.5%
Niger	Dakoro	2017	78.4%	81.4%	75.4%
Niger	Diffa	2000	57.7%	60.5%	55.0%
Niger	Diffa	2017	85.7%	87.4%	83.9%
Niger	Dogon-Doutchi	2000	38.7%	41.7%	36.0%
Niger	Dogon-Doutchi	2017	70.2%	73.0%	67.4%
Niger	Dosso	2000	33.5%	36.6%	30.5%
Niger	Dosso	2017	64.0%	67.9%	59.7%
Niger	Filingué	2000	37.3%	39.6%	35.0%
Niger	Filingué	2017	67.7%	70.2%	65.2%
Niger	Gaya	2000	36.7%	41.3%	32.8%
Niger	Gaya	2017	68.4%	73.3%	63.8%
Niger	Gouré	2000	46.3%	48.4%	44.3%
Niger	Gouré	2017	76.8%	78.7%	75.1%
Niger	Groumdji	2000	48.6%	54.1%	43.6%
Niger	Groumdji	2017	80.3%	84.1%	76.6%
Niger	Illéla	2000	41.3%	43.8%	38.8%
Niger	Illéla	2017	72.8%	75.1%	70.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Niger	Keita	2000	41.4%	44.3%	39.0%
Niger	Keita	2017	73.6%	76.1%	71.3%
Niger	Kollo	2000	41.2%	44.4%	38.3%
Niger	Kollo	2017	70.4%	72.8%	68.0%
Niger	Loga	2000	34.0%	38.1%	30.3%
Niger	Loga	2017	64.4%	68.7%	59.9%
Niger	Madaoua	2000	40.9%	44.4%	37.9%
Niger	Madaoua	2017	72.5%	75.7%	69.8%
Niger	Madarounfa	2000	40.8%	44.1%	37.6%
Niger	Madarounfa	2017	75.6%	78.3%	72.6%
Niger	Magaria	2000	44.3%	46.7%	42.0%
Niger	Magaria	2017	76.2%	78.3%	74.1%
Niger	Mainé-Soroa	2000	56.3%	58.6%	54.2%
Niger	Mainé-Soroa	2017	84.6%	86.1%	83.1%
Niger	Matameye	2000	49.3%	52.5%	45.9%
Niger	Matameye	2017	80.7%	83.2%	78.4%
Niger	Mayahi	2000	46.2%	49.7%	43.2%
Niger	Mayahi	2017	76.2%	79.0%	73.5%
Niger	Mirriah	2000	42.7%	45.2%	40.5%
Niger	Mirriah	2017	74.0%	76.4%	71.7%
Niger	N'Guigmi	2000	59.1%	62.7%	55.7%
Niger	N'Guigmi	2017	85.8%	87.7%	83.6%
Niger	Niamey	2000	73.2%	77.1%	69.1%
Niger	Niamey	2017	90.5%	92.6%	88.1%
Niger	Ouallam	2000	36.9%	38.9%	34.8%
Niger	Ouallam	2017	67.3%	69.7%	64.9%
Niger	Say	2000	38.3%	42.2%	34.5%
Niger	Say	2017	67.7%	70.8%	64.5%
Niger	Tahoua	2000	41.7%	44.9%	38.7%
Niger	Tahoua	2017	73.2%	75.9%	70.2%
Niger	Tanout	2000	50.7%	52.9%	48.5%
Niger	Tanout	2017	80.1%	81.7%	78.2%
Niger	Tchighozerine	2000	77.0%	78.7%	75.2%
Niger	Tchighozerine	2017	94.2%	94.8%	93.5%
Niger	Tchin-Tabarade	2000	49.2%	52.2%	46.3%
Niger	Tchin-Tabarade	2017	78.6%	81.2%	75.9%
Niger	Téra	2000	36.3%	38.2%	34.1%
Niger	Téra	2017	66.5%	68.7%	64.2%
Niger	Tessaoua	2000	46.5%	49.7%	43.1%
Niger	Tessaoua	2017	76.7%	79.6%	73.5%
Niger	Tillabéry	2000	40.9%	43.7%	37.7%
Niger	Tillabéry	2017	71.5%	74.1%	68.2%
Nigeria	Aba North	2000	56.2%	59.3%	53.3%
Nigeria	Aba North	2017	95.2%	95.7%	94.6%
Nigeria	Aba South	2000	76.6%	79.5%	71.3%
Nigeria	Aba South	2017	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Nigeria	Abadam	2000	41.6%	57.2%	24.6%
Nigeria	Abadam	2017	65.2%	82.1%	47.5%
Nigeria	Abaji	2000	34.1%	45.1%	25.2%
Nigeria	Abaji	2017	63.8%	72.9%	54.2%
Nigeria	Abak	2000	46.9%	55.7%	38.7%
Nigeria	Abak	2017	80.3%	86.8%	73.4%
Nigeria	Abakalik	2000	40.0%	43.1%	36.6%
Nigeria	Abakalik	2017	78.8%	80.5%	76.6%
Nigeria	Abeokuta South	2000	83.5%	86.7%	80.4%
Nigeria	Abeokuta South	2017	94.3%	96.0%	91.6%
Nigeria	AbeokutaNorth	2000	65.6%	80.3%	51.2%
Nigeria	AbeokutaNorth	2017	81.4%	94.4%	64.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Abi	2000	49.9%	55.7%	44.0%
Nigeria	Abi	2017	66.0%	69.4%	63.4%
Nigeria	Aboh-Mba	2000	59.0%	62.3%	55.9%
Nigeria	Aboh-Mba	2017	94.2%	95.2%	93.3%
Nigeria	Abua/Odu	2000	45.8%	55.1%	38.0%
Nigeria	Abua/Odu	2017	71.3%	79.6%	64.4%
Nigeria	AbujaMun	2000	72.9%	76.0%	69.6%
Nigeria	AbujaMun	2017	89.6%	91.3%	87.3%
Nigeria	Adavi	2000	49.2%	56.7%	42.5%
Nigeria	Adavi	2017	84.4%	90.2%	77.2%
Nigeria	Ado	2000	17.4%	34.5%	6.1%
Nigeria	Ado	2017	45.1%	59.8%	31.3%
Nigeria	Ado-Ekiti	2000	34.7%	37.2%	32.5%
Nigeria	Ado-Ekiti	2017	69.0%	72.7%	65.1%
Nigeria	AdoOdo/Ota	2000	57.1%	64.3%	48.4%
Nigeria	AdoOdo/Ota	2017	83.9%	88.4%	78.7%
Nigeria	Afijio	2000	55.6%	71.1%	43.1%
Nigeria	Afijio	2017	84.6%	94.9%	70.5%
Nigeria	Afikpo	2000	53.6%	61.2%	47.4%
Nigeria	Afikpo	2017	85.3%	87.7%	82.8%
Nigeria	AfikpoSo	2000	24.0%	37.0%	13.7%
Nigeria	AfikpoSo	2017	47.4%	53.5%	37.8%
Nigeria	Agai	2000	52.5%	61.9%	46.3%
Nigeria	Agai	2017	44.9%	52.9%	39.7%
Nigeria	Agatu	2000	36.1%	54.7%	18.7%
Nigeria	Agatu	2017	61.1%	78.8%	41.3%
Nigeria	Agege	2000	93.7%	95.6%	91.4%
Nigeria	Agege	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Nigeria	Aguata	2000	61.6%	69.2%	54.1%
Nigeria	Aguata	2017	93.1%	95.5%	89.4%
Nigeria	Agwara	2000	23.5%	40.4%	11.3%
Nigeria	Agwara	2017	40.9%	58.3%	24.3%
Nigeria	Ahizu-Mb	2000	39.0%	41.1%	37.1%
Nigeria	Ahizu-Mb	2017	87.4%	88.3%	86.4%
Nigeria	Ahoada East	2000	51.7%	55.4%	48.7%
Nigeria	Ahoada East	2017	80.6%	84.3%	77.0%
Nigeria	Ahoada West	2000	38.1%	41.0%	34.8%
Nigeria	Ahoada West	2017	61.7%	63.8%	59.5%
Nigeria	Ajaokuta	2000	67.1%	78.4%	55.6%
Nigeria	Ajaokuta	2017	84.0%	92.0%	73.0%
Nigeria	Ajeromi/Ifelodun	2000	94.4%	96.0%	92.1%
Nigeria	Ajeromi/Ifelodun	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Nigeria	Ajingi	2000	33.5%	43.0%	26.2%
Nigeria	Ajingi	2017	59.5%	65.2%	54.8%
Nigeria	Akamkpa	2000	26.6%	36.7%	18.5%
Nigeria	Akamkpa	2017	42.2%	54.0%	33.2%
Nigeria	Akinyele	2000	52.5%	58.6%	43.9%
Nigeria	Akinyele	2017	89.2%	92.3%	83.8%
Nigeria	Akko	2000	41.8%	45.4%	38.6%
Nigeria	Akko	2017	53.9%	57.4%	50.7%
Nigeria	Akoko North-East	2000	45.5%	55.9%	35.8%
Nigeria	Akoko North-East	2017	80.6%	87.7%	68.3%
Nigeria	Akoko South-East	2000	30.3%	45.3%	21.6%
Nigeria	Akoko South-East	2017	74.1%	80.5%	65.4%
Nigeria	Akoko South-West	2000	41.2%	54.7%	29.5%
Nigeria	Akoko South-West	2017	76.2%	84.5%	64.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Akoko-Ed	2000	46.2%	61.2%	28.3%
Nigeria	Akoko-Ed	2017	71.8%	81.0%	59.8%
Nigeria	AkokoNorthWest	2000	44.9%	51.1%	40.2%
Nigeria	AkokoNorthWest	2017	72.3%	75.2%	69.7%
Nigeria	Akpabuyo	2000	51.7%	58.8%	44.3%
Nigeria	Akpabuyo	2017	74.0%	80.3%	68.3%
Nigeria	Akukutor	2000	25.8%	51.3%	7.9%
Nigeria	Akukutor	2017	51.4%	78.4%	22.1%
Nigeria	Akure North	2000	47.1%	52.2%	42.2%
Nigeria	Akure North	2017	81.7%	85.6%	77.3%
Nigeria	Akure South	2000	34.2%	40.4%	29.8%
Nigeria	Akure South	2017	76.5%	82.5%	69.6%
Nigeria	Akwanga	2000	18.6%	27.4%	13.4%
Nigeria	Akwanga	2017	40.2%	48.6%	32.0%
Nigeria	Albasu	2000	23.4%	34.4%	17.7%
Nigeria	Albasu	2017	62.3%	72.4%	51.3%
Nigeria	Aleiro	2000	39.9%	51.0%	29.9%
Nigeria	Aleiro	2017	57.2%	69.9%	42.3%
Nigeria	Alimosho	2000	87.1%	90.1%	83.1%
Nigeria	Alimosho	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Nigeria	Alkaleri	2000	23.4%	35.1%	14.2%
Nigeria	Alkaleri	2017	43.0%	53.7%	32.3%
Nigeria	Amuwo Od- ofin	2000	94.4%	96.3%	91.3%
Nigeria	Amuwo Od- ofin	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Nigeria	Anambra East	2000	49.7%	53.3%	45.9%
Nigeria	Anambra East	2017	82.4%	84.4%	80.0%
Nigeria	Anambra West	2000	48.4%	68.0%	31.3%
Nigeria	Anambra West	2017	61.6%	80.3%	39.5%
Nigeria	Anaocha	2000	45.6%	51.1%	40.0%
Nigeria	Anaocha	2017	90.8%	92.6%	88.3%
Nigeria	Andoni/O	2000	11.6%	25.3%	6.5%
Nigeria	Andoni/O	2017	16.0%	25.5%	12.5%
Nigeria	Aninri	2000	18.5%	24.8%	14.4%
Nigeria	Aninri	2017	55.9%	58.2%	53.4%
Nigeria	AniochaN	2000	47.1%	56.2%	38.6%
Nigeria	AniochaN	2017	83.9%	89.4%	75.3%
Nigeria	AniochaS	2000	39.5%	54.5%	26.6%
Nigeria	AniochaS	2017	64.0%	73.4%	53.5%
Nigeria	Anka	2000	46.5%	58.4%	32.8%
Nigeria	Anka	2017	70.2%	81.9%	57.4%
Nigeria	Ankpa	2000	33.6%	41.2%	27.0%
Nigeria	Ankpa	2017	58.4%	66.8%	50.6%
Nigeria	Apa	2000	44.5%	68.2%	29.4%
Nigeria	Apa	2017	66.9%	88.6%	47.5%
Nigeria	Apapa	2000	95.6%	98.0%	90.1%
Nigeria	Apapa	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.3%
Nigeria	Ardo-Kola	2000	21.9%	28.3%	17.2%
Nigeria	Ardo-Kola	2017	50.8%	57.9%	44.9%
Nigeria	Arewa	2000	29.6%	42.8%	19.2%
Nigeria	Arewa	2017	47.5%	61.3%	35.3%
Nigeria	Argungu	2000	37.9%	50.3%	29.4%
Nigeria	Argungu	2017	59.0%	68.7%	48.9%
Nigeria	Arochukw	2000	6.6%	18.1%	2.3%
Nigeria	Arochukw	2017	19.7%	27.5%	12.7%
Nigeria	Asa	2000	54.6%	59.6%	49.7%
Nigeria	Asa	2017	80.9%	85.1%	76.7%
Nigeria	Asari-To	2000	36.0%	41.7%	31.6%
Nigeria	Asari-To	2017	63.7%	69.6%	57.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Askira/U	2000	45.9%	60.7%	28.2%
Nigeria	Askira/U	2017	70.2%	83.1%	57.0%
Nigeria	Atakumosa East	2000	22.6%	31.1%	17.3%
Nigeria	Atakumosa East	2017	55.5%	63.9%	48.1%
Nigeria	Atakumosa West	2000	47.2%	54.4%	41.5%
Nigeria	Atakumosa West	2017	70.0%	77.9%	63.4%
Nigeria	Atiba	2000	30.9%	45.2%	18.9%
Nigeria	Atiba	2017	55.7%	70.0%	41.7%
Nigeria	Atisbo	2000	35.8%	48.8%	23.9%
Nigeria	Atisbo	2017	65.5%	77.8%	52.5%
Nigeria	Augie	2000	17.0%	26.0%	10.9%
Nigeria	Augie	2017	35.7%	42.8%	30.5%
Nigeria	Auyo	2000	71.7%	89.7%	50.2%
Nigeria	Auyo	2017	91.1%	98.2%	76.2%
Nigeria	Awe	2000	17.9%	30.3%	9.5%
Nigeria	Awe	2017	39.5%	52.0%	27.7%
Nigeria	Awgu	2000	17.1%	18.9%	15.8%
Nigeria	Awgu	2017	53.6%	55.5%	51.2%
Nigeria	AwkaNort	2000	50.7%	65.6%	34.9%
Nigeria	AwkaNort	2017	82.3%	88.1%	71.3%
Nigeria	AwkaSout	2000	62.7%	70.0%	54.6%
Nigeria	AwkaSout	2017	92.2%	93.8%	90.3%
Nigeria	Ayamelum	2000	30.3%	48.5%	15.9%
Nigeria	Ayamelum	2017	41.2%	57.3%	24.7%
Nigeria	Ayedaade	2000	45.0%	57.0%	35.3%
Nigeria	Ayedaade	2017	67.3%	76.3%	56.5%
Nigeria	Ayedire	2000	39.8%	51.5%	31.1%
Nigeria	Ayedire	2017	80.3%	87.8%	68.5%
Nigeria	Babura	2000	66.1%	76.4%	56.5%
Nigeria	Babura	2017	78.8%	86.5%	69.4%
Nigeria	Badagary	2000	65.2%	74.9%	54.6%
Nigeria	Badagary	2017	81.3%	88.7%	72.7%
Nigeria	Bade	2000	39.4%	59.9%	22.0%
Nigeria	Bade	2017	64.3%	80.8%	44.1%
Nigeria	Bagudo	2000	27.7%	37.8%	18.1%
Nigeria	Bagudo	2017	52.4%	63.5%	39.6%
Nigeria	Bagwai	2000	51.2%	67.7%	37.6%
Nigeria	Bagwai	2017	81.7%	90.8%	64.6%
Nigeria	Bakassi	2000	33.5%	79.0%	2.2%
Nigeria	Bakassi	2017	55.3%	88.0%	13.8%
Nigeria	Bakori	2000	32.9%	53.0%	16.1%
Nigeria	Bakori	2017	61.2%	80.9%	36.1%
Nigeria	Bakura	2000	30.9%	40.7%	23.1%
Nigeria	Bakura	2017	51.9%	60.8%	43.1%
Nigeria	Balanga	2000	33.6%	41.7%	26.7%
Nigeria	Balanga	2017	55.8%	63.5%	48.1%
Nigeria	Bali	2000	22.1%	30.8%	14.6%
Nigeria	Bali	2017	45.4%	55.4%	35.0%
Nigeria	Bama	2000	30.6%	45.1%	17.3%
Nigeria	Bama	2017	50.6%	69.0%	36.0%
Nigeria	Barkin Ladi	2000	24.3%	36.9%	14.9%
Nigeria	Barkin Ladi	2017	63.7%	73.7%	51.9%
Nigeria	Baruten	2000	38.0%	45.2%	30.4%
Nigeria	Baruten	2017	61.4%	69.4%	52.7%
Nigeria	Bassa	2000	33.5%	45.6%	22.9%
Nigeria	Bassa	2000	34.2%	45.7%	25.5%
Nigeria	Bassa	2017	55.7%	65.8%	44.8%
Nigeria	Bassa	2017	60.7%	66.7%	50.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Batagarawa	2000	59.6%	65.7%	54.3%
Nigeria	Batagarawa	2017	80.3%	87.6%	71.9%
Nigeria	Batsari	2000	40.1%	60.3%	25.3%
Nigeria	Batsari	2017	52.2%	64.8%	41.6%
Nigeria	Bauchi	2000	46.2%	53.4%	38.9%
Nigeria	Bauchi	2017	71.0%	79.3%	62.1%
Nigeria	Baure	2000	48.5%	59.8%	36.3%
Nigeria	Baure	2017	76.5%	83.7%	66.6%
Nigeria	Bayo	2000	33.1%	57.6%	15.0%
Nigeria	Bayo	2017	60.3%	83.6%	34.6%
Nigeria	Bebeji	2000	33.4%	45.9%	20.6%
Nigeria	Bebeji	2017	42.2%	58.4%	28.0%
Nigeria	Bekwarra	2000	21.8%	28.1%	17.0%
Nigeria	Bekwarra	2017	59.7%	64.1%	55.8%
Nigeria	Bende	2000	22.3%	35.0%	17.8%
Nigeria	Bende	2017	47.3%	53.7%	42.4%
Nigeria	Biase	2000	31.3%	46.7%	16.0%
Nigeria	Biase	2017	46.7%	61.8%	30.9%
Nigeria	Bichi	2000	37.2%	40.7%	34.1%
Nigeria	Bichi	2017	68.6%	73.1%	64.2%
Nigeria	Bida	2000	55.0%	60.9%	48.8%
Nigeria	Bida	2017	84.4%	85.6%	83.4%
Nigeria	Billiri	2000	27.0%	35.4%	18.5%
Nigeria	Billiri	2017	53.8%	61.4%	46.3%
Nigeria	Bindawa	2000	38.0%	46.8%	24.0%
Nigeria	Bindawa	2017	57.9%	60.8%	53.5%
Nigeria	Binji	2000	18.5%	27.1%	13.9%
Nigeria	Binji	2017	41.5%	50.1%	34.1%
Nigeria	Biriniwa	2000	53.4%	66.9%	42.0%
Nigeria	Biriniwa	2017	79.8%	90.0%	68.4%
Nigeria	Birnin-G	2000	29.1%	40.2%	18.8%
Nigeria	Birnin-G	2017	51.7%	66.8%	39.7%
Nigeria	Birnin-Magaji/Kiyaw	2000	29.6%	42.9%	18.2%
Nigeria	Birnin-Magaji/Kiyaw	2017	58.9%	68.5%	45.0%
Nigeria	BirninKe	2000	58.7%	64.2%	53.4%
Nigeria	BirninKe	2017	73.5%	76.9%	68.6%
Nigeria	BirninKu	2000	33.8%	51.5%	19.9%
Nigeria	BirninKu	2017	60.9%	77.8%	39.9%
Nigeria	Biu	2000	35.0%	48.9%	22.7%
Nigeria	Biu	2017	45.7%	60.5%	32.0%
Nigeria	Bodinga	2000	24.0%	29.2%	20.1%
Nigeria	Bodinga	2017	37.4%	50.0%	31.2%
Nigeria	Bogoro	2000	38.1%	53.7%	25.2%
Nigeria	Bogoro	2017	66.5%	79.5%	55.1%
Nigeria	Boki	2000	30.4%	41.4%	19.8%
Nigeria	Boki	2017	52.1%	62.6%	40.6%
Nigeria	Bokkos	2000	23.4%	48.0%	8.9%
Nigeria	Bokkos	2017	47.6%	68.5%	26.9%
Nigeria	Boluwaduro	2000	36.6%	42.5%	30.8%
Nigeria	Boluwaduro	2017	68.2%	73.3%	63.3%
Nigeria	Bomadi	2000	19.1%	24.3%	15.4%
Nigeria	Bomadi	2017	44.3%	51.6%	36.7%
Nigeria	Bonny	2000	18.3%	27.6%	10.5%
Nigeria	Bonny	2017	39.8%	52.0%	26.8%
Nigeria	Borgu	2000	29.9%	37.6%	22.3%
Nigeria	Borgu	2017	50.1%	58.1%	42.0%
Nigeria	Boripe	2000	18.8%	32.0%	15.3%
Nigeria	Boripe	2017	48.8%	57.5%	45.1%
Nigeria	Borsari	2000	29.7%	39.3%	20.9%
Nigeria	Borsari	2017	57.1%	68.0%	48.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Bosso	2000	59.5%	68.4%	51.5%
Nigeria	Bosso	2017	78.6%	87.0%	70.3%
Nigeria	Brass	2000	25.1%	37.1%	14.8%
Nigeria	Brass	2017	50.6%	61.6%	37.5%
Nigeria	Buji	2000	38.1%	53.7%	24.3%
Nigeria	Buji	2017	66.5%	79.5%	52.5%
Nigeria	Bukkuyum	2000	24.6%	37.3%	13.9%
Nigeria	Bukkuyum	2017	46.5%	59.4%	33.7%
Nigeria	Bungudu	2000	32.3%	44.5%	21.3%
Nigeria	Bungudu	2017	54.1%	64.6%	43.1%
Nigeria	Bunkure	2000	9.3%	17.7%	4.2%
Nigeria	Bunkure	2017	23.2%	29.2%	19.6%
Nigeria	Bunza	2000	63.3%	70.9%	54.8%
Nigeria	Bunza	2017	76.8%	82.6%	69.9%
Nigeria	Buruku	2000	37.6%	47.8%	28.9%
Nigeria	Buruku	2017	66.9%	79.9%	53.2%
Nigeria	Burutu	2000	17.5%	29.2%	9.1%
Nigeria	Burutu	2017	38.1%	50.3%	27.9%
Nigeria	Bwari	2000	62.0%	67.6%	56.7%
Nigeria	Bwari	2017	88.9%	91.9%	85.4%
Nigeria	Calabar	2000	70.4%	78.0%	63.0%
Nigeria	Calabar	2017	87.1%	90.1%	83.5%
Nigeria	Calabar South	2000	64.0%	71.0%	51.4%
Nigeria	Calabar South	2017	85.3%	90.8%	79.0%
Nigeria	Chanchaga	2000	56.0%	61.3%	51.8%
Nigeria	Chanchaga	2017	70.9%	81.5%	61.0%
Nigeria	Charanchi	2000	63.2%	77.4%	49.5%
Nigeria	Charanchi	2017	81.0%	93.2%	67.7%
Nigeria	Chibok	2000	27.9%	50.0%	8.4%
Nigeria	Chibok	2017	49.7%	72.0%	25.0%
Nigeria	Chikun	2000	40.2%	48.2%	33.2%
Nigeria	Chikun	2017	70.7%	78.6%	62.6%
Nigeria	Dala	2000	57.5%	59.8%	55.4%
Nigeria	Dala	2017	88.1%	89.4%	86.7%
Nigeria	Damaturu	2000	63.5%	72.8%	53.8%
Nigeria	Damaturu	2017	83.4%	89.3%	77.6%
Nigeria	Damban	2000	36.5%	47.9%	26.8%
Nigeria	Damban	2017	47.8%	60.4%	36.6%
Nigeria	Dambatta	2000	21.3%	29.0%	14.5%
Nigeria	Dambatta	2017	46.1%	55.6%	37.1%
Nigeria	Damboa	2000	30.4%	43.0%	18.9%
Nigeria	Damboa	2017	51.9%	63.1%	38.6%
Nigeria	Dandi	2000	38.5%	50.1%	29.7%
Nigeria	Dandi	2017	54.2%	65.4%	43.8%
Nigeria	Dandume	2000	23.3%	41.1%	12.6%
Nigeria	Dandume	2017	53.3%	66.0%	42.4%
Nigeria	Dange-Shuni	2000	30.6%	43.5%	21.5%
Nigeria	Dange-Shuni	2017	43.8%	53.7%	34.1%
Nigeria	Danja	2000	20.6%	51.5%	4.7%
Nigeria	Danja	2017	38.5%	67.5%	15.9%
Nigeria	Danko	2000	31.1%	42.9%	22.0%
Nigeria	Wasagu				
Nigeria	Danko	2017	51.8%	64.1%	40.4%
Nigeria	Wasagu				
Nigeria	Danmusa	2000	23.9%	42.6%	9.8%
Nigeria	Danmusa	2017	36.4%	53.6%	19.3%
Nigeria	Darazo	2000	30.5%	46.6%	17.1%
Nigeria	Darazo	2017	47.0%	62.2%	30.7%
Nigeria	Dass	2000	23.6%	53.3%	5.6%
Nigeria	Dass	2017	56.7%	84.5%	26.9%
Nigeria	Daura	2000	57.9%	65.4%	46.1%
Nigeria	Daura	2017	80.1%	83.5%	75.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	DawakinK	2000	30.7%	34.6%	25.1%
Nigeria	DawakinK	2017	49.1%	52.4%	45.7%
Nigeria	DawakinT	2000	25.7%	32.6%	21.4%
Nigeria	DawakinT	2017	68.8%	72.3%	66.5%
Nigeria	Degema	2000	32.7%	49.7%	23.3%
Nigeria	Degema	2017	55.8%	73.6%	39.7%
Nigeria	Dekina	2000	56.6%	64.7%	48.3%
Nigeria	Dekina	2017	80.4%	86.0%	74.0%
Nigeria	Demsa	2000	43.1%	54.6%	30.4%
Nigeria	Demsa	2017	76.9%	83.0%	67.0%
Nigeria	Dikwa	2000	39.8%	57.9%	22.7%
Nigeria	Dikwa	2017	64.6%	82.2%	46.2%
Nigeria	Dogua	2000	36.5%	59.7%	17.7%
Nigeria	Dogua	2017	54.0%	73.6%	31.1%
Nigeria	Doma	2000	22.4%	33.3%	14.5%
Nigeria	Doma	2017	45.3%	58.1%	33.4%
Nigeria	Donga	2000	21.6%	34.8%	10.9%
Nigeria	Donga	2017	50.7%	62.5%	38.1%
Nigeria	Dukku	2000	28.3%	39.0%	19.5%
Nigeria	Dukku	2017	36.4%	46.2%	27.3%
Nigeria	Dunukofia	2000	33.6%	35.9%	31.3%
Nigeria	Dunukofia	2017	74.8%	78.0%	71.5%
Nigeria	Dutse	2000	14.2%	23.8%	8.0%
Nigeria	Dutse	2017	34.9%	45.3%	27.6%
Nigeria	Dutsi	2000	46.8%	52.7%	41.7%
Nigeria	Dutsi	2017	62.7%	64.6%	60.9%
Nigeria	Dutsin-M	2000	56.5%	67.5%	44.8%
Nigeria	Dutsin-M	2017	64.7%	72.7%	52.7%
Nigeria	Eastern Obolo	2000	19.0%	33.3%	10.4%
Nigeria	Eastern Obolo	2017	46.1%	55.1%	36.7%
Nigeria	Ebonyi	2000	69.3%	73.1%	65.1%
Nigeria	Ebonyi	2017	86.4%	87.3%	85.2%
Nigeria	Edati	2000	43.6%	58.6%	31.2%
Nigeria	Edati	2017	62.1%	77.2%	46.3%
Nigeria	Ede North	2000	87.6%	89.4%	85.4%
Nigeria	Ede North	2017	92.5%	93.8%	91.2%
Nigeria	Ede South	2000	75.2%	82.6%	67.6%
Nigeria	Ede South	2017	89.9%	92.9%	84.0%
Nigeria	Edu	2000	39.5%	49.3%	31.3%
Nigeria	Edu	2017	66.3%	77.4%	57.3%
Nigeria	Efon	2000	31.0%	45.3%	21.3%
Nigeria	Efon	2017	57.6%	69.7%	43.4%
Nigeria	EgbadoNorth	2000	39.5%	51.7%	27.0%
Nigeria	EgbadoNorth	2017	66.2%	77.1%	52.8%
Nigeria	EgbadoSouth	2000	76.0%	85.3%	61.8%
Nigeria	EgbadoSouth	2017	95.0%	97.5%	91.0%
Nigeria	Egbeda	2000	43.7%	47.7%	39.5%
Nigeria	Egbeda	2017	87.7%	91.3%	83.9%
Nigeria	Egbedore	2000	72.5%	74.3%	70.5%
Nigeria	Egbedore	2017	90.9%	91.8%	89.8%
Nigeria	Egor	2000	88.7%	92.9%	82.1%
Nigeria	Egor	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
Nigeria	Ehime-Mb	2000	23.9%	37.5%	17.9%
Nigeria	Ehime-Mb	2017	76.7%	81.3%	69.2%
Nigeria	Ejigbo	2000	56.7%	63.7%	50.2%
Nigeria	Ejigbo	2017	86.1%	90.9%	77.6%
Nigeria	Ekeremor	2000	8.9%	14.6%	5.4%
Nigeria	Ekeremor	2017	21.0%	27.2%	16.3%
Nigeria	Eket	2000	64.7%	69.4%	60.1%
Nigeria	Eket	2017	87.1%	89.3%	84.8%
Nigeria	Ekiti	2000	33.8%	45.7%	22.9%
Nigeria	Ekiti	2017	65.9%	78.9%	51.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	EkitiEas	2000	61.3%	66.2%	55.5%
Nigeria	EkitiEas	2017	92.5%	94.5%	89.6%
Nigeria	EkitiSouth-West	2000	62.7%	66.1%	57.3%
Nigeria	EkitiSouth-West	2017	77.2%	79.5%	74.1%
Nigeria	EkitiWest	2000	47.6%	51.0%	42.8%
Nigeria	EkitiWest	2017	78.2%	81.0%	74.8%
Nigeria	Ekwasigbo	2000	59.3%	61.7%	56.9%
Nigeria	Ekwasigbo	2017	91.6%	92.4%	90.6%
Nigeria	Eleme	2000	52.3%	58.0%	45.8%
Nigeria	Eleme	2017	85.4%	86.7%	83.5%
Nigeria	Emuoha	2000	43.6%	52.5%	37.2%
Nigeria	Emuoha	2017	79.0%	82.4%	75.0%
Nigeria	Emure/Ise/Orun	2000	38.8%	47.6%	31.9%
Nigeria	Emure/Ise/Orun	2017	74.3%	79.1%	68.1%
Nigeria	Enugu East	2000	46.9%	58.3%	39.0%
Nigeria	Enugu East	2017	76.3%	88.4%	61.5%
Nigeria	Enugu North	2000	62.3%	65.0%	59.4%
Nigeria	Enugu North	2017	90.2%	91.5%	88.8%
Nigeria	EnuguSou	2000	44.9%	51.0%	38.4%
Nigeria	EnuguSou	2017	80.6%	83.2%	77.8%
Nigeria	Epe	2000	49.4%	56.6%	42.5%
Nigeria	Epe	2017	80.4%	86.4%	74.2%
Nigeria	EsanCent	2000	39.2%	43.1%	35.5%
Nigeria	EsanCent	2017	88.5%	89.9%	87.1%
Nigeria	EsanNort	2000	37.2%	43.1%	32.8%
Nigeria	EsanNort	2017	84.4%	89.3%	79.0%
Nigeria	EsanSout	2000	41.3%	49.6%	35.2%
Nigeria	EsanSout	2017	65.3%	74.1%	58.5%
Nigeria	EsanWest	2000	52.8%	65.7%	42.6%
Nigeria	EsanWest	2017	87.9%	93.8%	78.5%
Nigeria	Ese-Odo	2000	22.4%	35.8%	15.3%
Nigeria	Ese-Odo	2017	53.5%	61.0%	46.9%
Nigeria	Esit Eket	2000	27.7%	43.0%	19.7%
Nigeria	Esit Eket	2017	66.5%	70.8%	61.2%
Nigeria	Essien-U	2000	59.4%	68.5%	47.9%
Nigeria	Essien-U	2017	85.9%	92.8%	74.6%
Nigeria	Etche	2000	83.4%	88.5%	78.8%
Nigeria	Etche	2017	94.5%	96.6%	91.4%
Nigeria	Ethiophe West	2000	30.0%	39.3%	22.7%
Nigeria	Ethiophe West	2017	62.0%	66.9%	56.2%
Nigeria	EthiopheE	2000	42.8%	55.1%	34.1%
Nigeria	EthiopheE	2017	72.7%	84.6%	59.9%
Nigeria	Eti-Osa	2000	92.4%	97.0%	82.4%
Nigeria	Eti-Osa	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.0%
Nigeria	EtimEkpo	2000	46.7%	59.4%	35.6%
Nigeria	EtimEkpo	2017	89.0%	93.5%	81.0%
Nigeria	Etinan	2000	16.2%	22.6%	12.7%
Nigeria	Etinan	2017	49.6%	53.3%	45.7%
Nigeria	Etsako Central	2000	33.9%	44.4%	26.3%
Nigeria	Etsako Central	2017	58.2%	69.4%	48.2%
Nigeria	EtsakoEa	2000	29.6%	42.6%	19.0%
Nigeria	EtsakoEa	2017	56.4%	66.7%	45.1%
Nigeria	EtsakoWe	2000	54.1%	64.6%	42.7%
Nigeria	EtsakoWe	2017	80.6%	88.0%	71.7%
Nigeria	Etung	2000	11.7%	23.0%	3.6%
Nigeria	Etung	2017	25.5%	37.3%	15.2%
Nigeria	Ewekoro	2000	58.3%	73.5%	47.7%
Nigeria	Ewekoro	2017	83.3%	92.3%	72.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ezeagu	2000	44.0%	59.8%	31.0%
Nigeria	Ezeagu	2017	76.6%	87.3%	65.2%
Nigeria	Ezinihit	2000	56.8%	60.0%	53.2%
Nigeria	Ezinihit	2017	95.0%	95.6%	94.3%
Nigeria	Ezza North	2000	53.5%	58.1%	48.1%
Nigeria	Ezza North	2017	91.2%	92.5%	89.8%
Nigeria	Ezza South	2000	43.5%	53.2%	30.3%
Nigeria	Ezza South	2017	86.4%	88.9%	80.6%
Nigeria	Fagge	2000	55.8%	57.0%	54.2%
Nigeria	Fagge	2017	85.9%	86.5%	85.2%
Nigeria	Fakai	2000	23.9%	38.5%	12.5%
Nigeria	Fakai	2017	44.7%	62.1%	29.6%
Nigeria	Faskari	2000	28.4%	45.3%	13.6%
Nigeria	Faskari	2017	55.4%	70.6%	37.7%
Nigeria	Fika	2000	51.3%	66.5%	40.9%
Nigeria	Fika	2017	69.3%	79.1%	58.0%
Nigeria	Fufore	2000	41.3%	53.2%	31.3%
Nigeria	Fufore	2017	62.1%	73.4%	50.7%
Nigeria	Funakaye	2000	29.9%	38.5%	23.5%
Nigeria	Funakaye	2017	54.1%	63.9%	45.6%
Nigeria	Fune	2000	35.3%	48.2%	25.2%
Nigeria	Fune	2017	54.4%	66.7%	40.9%
Nigeria	Funtua	2000	30.9%	39.5%	24.6%
Nigeria	Funtua	2017	57.0%	64.0%	49.2%
Nigeria	Gabasawa	2000	36.9%	45.4%	28.9%
Nigeria	Gabasawa	2017	60.6%	67.7%	54.5%
Nigeria	Gada	2000	15.1%	23.1%	8.9%
Nigeria	Gada	2017	22.9%	32.8%	15.2%
Nigeria	Gagarawa	2000	85.0%	91.9%	74.7%
Nigeria	Gagarawa	2017	96.3%	98.9%	92.1%
Nigeria	Gamawa	2000	43.0%	53.6%	32.7%
Nigeria	Gamawa	2017	62.8%	73.5%	51.5%
Nigeria	Gamjuwa	2000	28.7%	42.3%	16.0%
Nigeria	Gamjuwa	2017	53.3%	67.8%	39.0%
Nigeria	Ganye	2000	23.1%	38.7%	11.0%
Nigeria	Ganye	2017	52.3%	68.1%	36.4%
Nigeria	Garki	2000	48.6%	63.1%	38.4%
Nigeria	Garki	2017	68.6%	80.5%	58.6%
Nigeria	Garko	2000	38.5%	44.6%	32.3%
Nigeria	Garko	2017	56.5%	64.5%	48.2%
Nigeria	Garum Mal-lam	2000	17.0%	31.5%	8.9%
Nigeria	Garum Mal-lam	2017	29.3%	36.3%	25.1%
Nigeria	Gashaka	2000	25.0%	35.3%	15.8%
Nigeria	Gashaka	2017	45.5%	56.1%	34.4%
Nigeria	Gassol	2000	19.5%	27.3%	12.4%
Nigeria	Gassol	2017	43.4%	53.7%	33.8%
Nigeria	Gaya	2000	52.9%	58.1%	47.0%
Nigeria	Gaya	2017	79.4%	81.5%	76.4%
Nigeria	Gbako	2000	39.8%	50.1%	29.9%
Nigeria	Gbako	2017	65.8%	75.1%	57.3%
Nigeria	Gboko	2000	50.2%	57.0%	43.4%
Nigeria	Gboko	2017	84.1%	88.1%	79.3%
Nigeria	Gboyin	2000	38.2%	47.7%	28.7%
Nigeria	Gboyin	2017	76.3%	80.5%	69.0%
Nigeria	Geidam	2000	35.0%	45.3%	25.1%
Nigeria	Geidam	2017	59.6%	70.6%	47.5%
Nigeria	Gezawa	2000	60.3%	62.8%	57.2%
Nigeria	Gezawa	2017	83.9%	85.9%	81.7%
Nigeria	Giade	2000	49.6%	59.1%	36.7%
Nigeria	Giade	2017	54.3%	64.9%	44.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Girie	2000	18.5%	27.7%	10.5%
Nigeria	Girie	2017	55.0%	66.1%	42.9%
Nigeria	Giwa	2000	22.2%	33.2%	14.5%
Nigeria	Giwa	2017	54.8%	66.5%	44.6%
Nigeria	Gokana	2000	43.7%	57.2%	29.3%
Nigeria	Gokana	2017	88.6%	92.7%	81.7%
Nigeria	Gombe	2000	58.3%	62.4%	54.1%
Nigeria	Gombe	2017	88.0%	89.7%	85.6%
Nigeria	Gombi	2000	64.6%	78.2%	46.8%
Nigeria	Gombi	2017	85.0%	91.7%	73.1%
Nigeria	Goronyo	2000	44.2%	60.2%	27.8%
Nigeria	Goronyo	2017	63.9%	78.0%	46.2%
Nigeria	Gubio	2000	30.8%	49.3%	14.8%
Nigeria	Gubio	2017	54.2%	73.1%	31.3%
Nigeria	Gudu	2000	31.8%	43.5%	22.3%
Nigeria	Gudu	2017	49.2%	62.9%	34.6%
Nigeria	Gujba	2000	37.7%	53.2%	25.2%
Nigeria	Gujba	2017	58.8%	73.9%	44.9%
Nigeria	Gulani	2000	32.2%	43.8%	22.5%
Nigeria	Gulani	2017	50.7%	63.8%	38.5%
Nigeria	Guma	2000	25.8%	41.0%	14.2%
Nigeria	Guma	2017	49.8%	67.8%	34.3%
Nigeria	Gumel	2000	86.5%	90.1%	81.4%
Nigeria	Gumel	2017	96.0%	97.7%	93.6%
Nigeria	Gummi	2000	27.4%	39.1%	17.2%
Nigeria	Gummi	2017	53.0%	63.3%	42.9%
Nigeria	Gurara	2000	36.9%	44.6%	30.5%
Nigeria	Gurara	2017	62.2%	70.4%	53.2%
Nigeria	Guri	2000	56.8%	71.6%	41.3%
Nigeria	Guri	2017	79.2%	87.6%	70.4%
Nigeria	Gusau	2000	51.4%	57.4%	46.5%
Nigeria	Gusau	2017	67.7%	75.5%	60.3%
Nigeria	Guyuk	2000	29.9%	51.8%	14.7%
Nigeria	Guyuk	2017	58.0%	76.3%	38.5%
Nigeria	Guzamala	2000	45.5%	63.5%	31.7%
Nigeria	Guzamala	2017	70.9%	85.0%	55.5%
Nigeria	Gwadabaw	2000	11.7%	21.6%	6.1%
Nigeria	Gwadabaw	2017	21.6%	31.5%	14.0%
Nigeria	Gwagwala	2000	49.4%	59.4%	42.9%
Nigeria	Gwagwala	2017	71.7%	79.9%	63.8%
Nigeria	Gwale	2000	57.6%	59.6%	55.7%
Nigeria	Gwale	2017	86.0%	87.4%	84.7%
Nigeria	Gwandu	2000	62.3%	72.0%	54.0%
Nigeria	Gwandu	2017	77.0%	84.5%	66.9%
Nigeria	Gwaram	2000	25.3%	36.5%	15.3%
Nigeria	Gwaram	2017	45.1%	56.2%	34.6%
Nigeria	Gwarzo	2000	38.0%	52.5%	26.4%
Nigeria	Gwarzo	2017	48.7%	62.0%	37.3%
Nigeria	Gwer East	2000	39.1%	50.5%	28.9%
Nigeria	Gwer East	2017	63.0%	71.5%	51.7%
Nigeria	Gwer West	2000	32.5%	59.0%	10.4%
Nigeria	Gwer West	2017	49.8%	77.1%	22.5%
Nigeria	Gwiwa	2000	41.4%	60.3%	25.6%
Nigeria	Gwiwa	2017	75.1%	82.4%	64.9%
Nigeria	Gwoza	2000	27.6%	43.5%	13.9%
Nigeria	Gwoza	2017	47.2%	63.0%	28.9%
Nigeria	Hadejia	2000	74.6%	80.1%	69.0%
Nigeria	Hadejia	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.7%
Nigeria	Hawul	2000	38.5%	55.3%	23.9%
Nigeria	Hawul	2017	54.4%	65.8%	41.6%
Nigeria	Hong	2000	37.2%	48.0%	27.0%
Nigeria	Hong	2017	67.8%	78.5%	57.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	IbadanNorth	2000	64.4%	66.7%	61.8%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth	2017	92.8%	93.7%	91.9%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-East	2000	57.0%	59.1%	55.1%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-East	2017	92.1%	92.6%	91.5%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-West	2000	63.8%	65.7%	61.8%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-West	2017	92.7%	93.5%	91.8%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-East	2000	66.9%	70.0%	63.7%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-East	2017	93.1%	94.1%	91.9%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-West	2000	69.8%	75.1%	63.5%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-West	2017	94.5%	95.6%	92.5%
Nigeria	Ibaji	2000	21.5%	36.9%	11.1%
Nigeria	Ibaji	2017	33.3%	47.6%	20.4%
Nigeria	Ibarapa Central	2000	53.3%	72.1%	34.9%
Nigeria	Ibarapa Central	2017	80.9%	88.5%	64.2%
Nigeria	Ibarapa East	2000	31.0%	49.8%	15.6%
Nigeria	Ibarapa East	2017	67.1%	78.8%	53.1%
Nigeria	Ibarapa North	2000	33.5%	44.8%	23.7%
Nigeria	Ibarapa North	2017	58.0%	65.0%	50.4%
Nigeria	Ibeju/Lekki	2000	34.0%	43.6%	22.6%
Nigeria	Ibeju/Lekki	2017	71.2%	79.2%	61.5%
Nigeria	Ibeno	2000	58.2%	72.1%	43.6%
Nigeria	Ibeno	2017	88.4%	93.9%	80.6%
Nigeria	Ibesikpo Asutan	2000	29.3%	34.9%	23.1%
Nigeria	Ibesikpo Asutan	2017	58.8%	61.6%	56.0%
Nigeria	Ibi	2000	27.7%	38.9%	17.7%
Nigeria	Ibi	2017	40.9%	51.9%	29.2%
Nigeria	Ibiono Ibom	2000	29.0%	36.3%	21.3%
Nigeria	Ibiono Ibom	2017	46.3%	52.6%	42.2%
Nigeria	Idah	2000	28.0%	36.5%	20.8%
Nigeria	Idah	2017	65.7%	71.3%	59.1%
Nigeria	Idanre	2000	41.5%	51.0%	32.5%
Nigeria	Idanre	2017	64.9%	76.2%	54.6%
Nigeria	Ideato South	2000	20.4%	23.0%	18.3%
Nigeria	Ideato South	2017	75.4%	77.7%	73.1%
Nigeria	IdeatoNo	2000	20.0%	23.7%	16.9%
Nigeria	IdeatoNo	2017	49.0%	50.8%	47.6%
Nigeria	Idemili North	2000	78.9%	81.6%	76.0%
Nigeria	Idemili North	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Nigeria	Idemili South	2000	80.5%	83.6%	77.3%
Nigeria	Idemili South	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Nigeria	Ido	2000	45.9%	54.5%	36.4%
Nigeria	Ido	2017	76.4%	80.6%	71.9%
Nigeria	Ido/Osi	2000	73.3%	75.4%	71.3%
Nigeria	Ido/Osi	2017	68.0%	71.2%	65.1%
Nigeria	Ifako/Ijaye	2000	83.0%	85.5%	80.0%
Nigeria	Ifako/Ijaye	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Nigeria	Ife East	2000	61.0%	65.2%	56.8%
Nigeria	Ife East	2017	81.2%	83.6%	78.4%
Nigeria	Ife North	2000	42.2%	49.1%	36.4%
Nigeria	Ife North	2017	68.9%	75.1%	63.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ife South	2000	22.4%	33.3%	15.8%
Nigeria	Ife South	2017	35.2%	45.6%	27.4%
Nigeria	IfeCentral	2000	62.9%	65.1%	60.3%
Nigeria	IfeCentral	2017	83.5%	84.9%	81.8%
Nigeria	Ifedayo	2000	68.4%	72.2%	63.6%
Nigeria	Ifedayo	2017	82.0%	84.5%	79.1%
Nigeria	Ifedore	2000	58.8%	61.1%	53.6%
Nigeria	Ifedore	2017	84.5%	86.5%	81.2%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2000	60.0%	63.3%	57.1%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2000	53.3%	64.1%	40.9%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2017	90.6%	92.1%	88.9%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2017	78.0%	85.6%	66.4%
Nigeria	Ifo	2000	61.0%	66.6%	56.1%
Nigeria	Ifo	2017	91.9%	94.1%	88.3%
Nigeria	Igabi	2000	31.0%	39.2%	25.5%
Nigeria	Igabi	2017	60.5%	68.7%	52.5%
Nigeria	Igalamela-Odolu	2000	48.6%	57.0%	40.8%
Nigeria	Igalamela-Odolu	2017	74.2%	81.3%	65.1%
Nigeria	Igbo-Eti	2000	31.7%	38.0%	26.2%
Nigeria	Igbo-Eti	2017	77.1%	80.2%	73.0%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze North	2000	57.3%	61.0%	54.2%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze North	2017	86.1%	87.9%	84.2%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze South	2000	41.8%	50.3%	34.6%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze South	2017	82.1%	86.8%	74.2%
Nigeria	Igueben	2000	44.4%	57.4%	31.4%
Nigeria	Igueben	2017	85.7%	91.4%	77.5%
Nigeria	Ihiala	2000	82.7%	89.7%	76.8%
Nigeria	Ihiala	2017	96.9%	98.7%	93.2%
Nigeria	Ihitte/U	2000	35.1%	38.0%	33.0%
Nigeria	Ihitte/U	2017	74.3%	77.7%	70.9%
Nigeria	Ijebu North-East	2000	52.8%	57.9%	47.8%
Nigeria	Ijebu North-East	2017	88.2%	92.0%	83.8%
Nigeria	IjebuEast	2000	43.3%	53.0%	36.1%
Nigeria	IjebuEast	2017	60.4%	68.8%	50.9%
Nigeria	IjebuNorth	2000	36.7%	45.7%	29.7%
Nigeria	IjebuNorth	2017	66.1%	74.5%	57.4%
Nigeria	IjebuOde	2000	74.7%	80.8%	67.0%
Nigeria	IjebuOde	2017	97.1%	98.1%	95.0%
Nigeria	Ijero	2000	49.4%	53.2%	44.1%
Nigeria	Ijero	2017	64.8%	68.6%	61.7%
Nigeria	Ijumu	2000	58.1%	75.6%	43.7%
Nigeria	Ijumu	2017	79.9%	91.1%	65.2%
Nigeria	Ika	2000	45.7%	57.8%	32.6%
Nigeria	Ika	2017	86.9%	91.7%	79.3%
Nigeria	IkaNorth	2000	48.5%	54.6%	41.3%
Nigeria	IkaNorth	2017	78.6%	83.4%	73.1%
Nigeria	Ikara	2000	23.4%	46.9%	6.7%
Nigeria	Ikara	2017	39.8%	59.1%	16.5%
Nigeria	IkaSouth	2000	66.2%	80.3%	49.4%
Nigeria	IkaSouth	2017	92.1%	97.3%	81.8%
Nigeria	Ikeduru	2000	49.6%	53.5%	45.7%
Nigeria	Ikeduru	2017	93.3%	94.2%	92.4%
Nigeria	Ikeja	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Nigeria	Ikeja	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ikenne	2000	65.1%	70.5%	58.4%
Nigeria	Ikenne	2017	93.3%	96.1%	89.3%
Nigeria	Ikere	2000	56.9%	61.8%	52.9%
Nigeria	Ikere	2017	88.5%	89.9%	86.8%
Nigeria	Ikole	2000	41.3%	50.6%	33.2%
Nigeria	Ikole	2017	81.7%	86.6%	73.9%
Nigeria	Ikom	2000	29.8%	44.8%	17.6%
Nigeria	Ikom	2017	54.5%	69.1%	39.1%
Nigeria	Ikono	2000	25.4%	32.5%	16.3%
Nigeria	Ikono	2017	42.7%	46.5%	38.7%
Nigeria	Ikorodu	2000	83.7%	89.6%	75.3%
Nigeria	Ikorodu	2017	98.0%	99.0%	94.2%
Nigeria	Ikot-Aba	2000	48.6%	57.7%	39.2%
Nigeria	Ikot-Aba	2017	76.0%	79.1%	71.5%
Nigeria	Ikot-Ekp	2000	35.5%	39.0%	32.1%
Nigeria	Ikot-Ekp	2017	79.2%	80.7%	77.7%
Nigeria	Ikpoba-Okha	2000	59.1%	71.2%	46.8%
Nigeria	Ikpoba-Okha	2017	89.7%	95.8%	79.4%
Nigeria	Ikwerre	2000	57.8%	63.2%	51.2%
Nigeria	Ikwerre	2017	79.9%	82.0%	76.4%
Nigeria	Ikwo	2000	30.5%	33.9%	28.0%
Nigeria	Ikwo	2017	67.1%	68.9%	65.6%
Nigeria	Ikwuano	2000	50.6%	69.2%	36.2%
Nigeria	Ikwuano	2017	81.4%	93.7%	66.7%
Nigeria	Ila	2000	31.9%	40.6%	25.7%
Nigeria	Ila	2017	61.7%	67.1%	57.3%
Nigeria	IlajeEseodo	2000	23.5%	32.7%	15.7%
Nigeria	IlajeEseodo	2017	56.2%	65.3%	44.3%
Nigeria	Ilejemeje	2000	86.4%	89.2%	82.5%
Nigeria	Ilejemeje	2017	83.9%	86.7%	80.2%
Nigeria	IleOluji/Okeigbo	2000	36.4%	52.9%	29.0%
Nigeria	IleOluji/Okeigbo	2017	45.9%	57.6%	42.3%
Nigeria	Ilesha East	2000	50.6%	57.7%	44.2%
Nigeria	Ilesha East	2017	88.2%	91.4%	84.4%
Nigeria	Ilesha West	2000	65.9%	76.7%	56.8%
Nigeria	Ilesha West	2017	88.8%	94.8%	80.0%
Nigeria	Illela	2000	32.2%	42.6%	22.5%
Nigeria	Illela	2017	44.2%	53.4%	32.8%
Nigeria	Ilorin East	2000	66.9%	72.8%	61.4%
Nigeria	Ilorin East	2017	90.1%	93.7%	85.2%
Nigeria	Ilorin South	2000	79.3%	91.7%	63.6%
Nigeria	Ilorin South	2017	90.4%	98.9%	71.1%
Nigeria	IlorinWe	2000	62.2%	64.6%	60.0%
Nigeria	IlorinWe	2017	90.1%	91.7%	87.9%
Nigeria	Imeko-Afon	2000	32.8%	46.9%	18.8%
Nigeria	Imeko-Afon	2017	54.0%	65.8%	40.7%
Nigeria	Ingawa	2000	20.7%	33.4%	11.4%
Nigeria	Ingawa	2017	54.6%	65.5%	41.6%
Nigeria	Ini	2000	27.3%	51.2%	10.8%
Nigeria	Ini	2017	43.3%	62.1%	28.0%
Nigeria	Ipokia	2000	39.2%	49.6%	29.7%
Nigeria	Ipokia	2017	64.2%	74.5%	52.7%
Nigeria	Irele	2000	32.3%	40.2%	23.8%
Nigeria	Irele	2017	51.3%	57.5%	44.4%
Nigeria	Irepo	2000	24.8%	38.5%	14.4%
Nigeria	Irepo	2017	62.0%	75.2%	46.2%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2000	69.5%	72.3%	66.6%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2000	70.6%	78.7%	62.0%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2017	81.3%	87.8%	73.7%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2017	93.2%	94.0%	92.2%
Nigeria	Irepodun/Ifelodun	2000	50.4%	60.5%	44.9%
Nigeria	Irepodun/Ifelodun	2017	71.7%	84.0%	60.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Irewole	2000	31.3%	35.2%	27.9%
Nigeria	Irewole	2017	66.4%	70.2%	61.2%
Nigeria	Isa	2000	46.4%	56.6%	36.2%
Nigeria	Isa	2017	63.0%	74.0%	53.5%
Nigeria	Ise/Orun	2000	42.6%	56.5%	25.4%
Nigeria	Ise/Orun	2017	75.7%	81.1%	64.4%
Nigeria	Iseyin	2000	42.2%	53.6%	30.2%
Nigeria	Iseyin	2017	79.7%	89.2%	65.9%
Nigeria	Ishielu	2000	21.1%	26.6%	17.8%
Nigeria	Ishielu	2017	48.6%	52.4%	45.7%
Nigeria	Isi-Uzo	2000	18.5%	29.6%	11.5%
Nigeria	Isi-Uzo	2017	40.5%	50.2%	31.9%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa North	2000	94.2%	96.5%	90.6%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa North	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa South	2000	91.6%	95.0%	83.8%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa South	2017	98.1%	98.8%	96.6%
Nigeria	IsialaMb	2000	23.6%	26.7%	20.9%
Nigeria	IsialaMb	2017	76.5%	79.6%	72.9%
Nigeria	Isin	2000	69.2%	76.1%	61.9%
Nigeria	Isin	2017	86.9%	92.0%	78.4%
Nigeria	Isokan	2000	46.3%	57.5%	37.6%
Nigeria	Isokan	2017	61.3%	68.4%	56.3%
Nigeria	IsokoNor	2000	43.6%	53.5%	30.2%
Nigeria	IsokoNor	2017	77.0%	82.3%	69.1%
Nigeria	IsokoSou	2000	36.2%	48.4%	27.5%
Nigeria	IsokoSou	2017	71.6%	77.4%	64.6%
Nigeria	Isu	2000	18.1%	21.0%	15.7%
Nigeria	Isu	2017	72.1%	74.6%	69.4%
Nigeria	Isuikwua	2000	12.4%	21.2%	7.2%
Nigeria	Isuikwua	2017	36.4%	47.8%	24.7%
Nigeria	Itas/Gad	2000	30.9%	43.7%	19.3%
Nigeria	Itas/Gad	2017	47.8%	61.8%	33.2%
Nigeria	Itesiwaju	2000	47.1%	58.7%	35.4%
Nigeria	Itesiwaju	2017	64.5%	78.4%	51.1%
Nigeria	Itu	2000	27.2%	31.6%	23.2%
Nigeria	Itu	2017	55.2%	58.8%	51.4%
Nigeria	Ivo	2000	6.5%	19.6%	2.7%
Nigeria	Ivo	2017	25.1%	35.2%	19.4%
Nigeria	Iwajowa	2000	38.4%	59.8%	18.6%
Nigeria	Iwajowa	2017	64.6%	80.1%	44.3%
Nigeria	Iwo	2000	61.6%	72.7%	51.8%
Nigeria	Iwo	2017	93.4%	96.7%	87.4%
Nigeria	Izzi	2000	26.7%	40.7%	16.6%
Nigeria	Izzi	2017	54.7%	66.6%	43.8%
Nigeria	Jaba	2000	46.0%	53.1%	39.0%
Nigeria	Jaba	2017	77.4%	81.8%	71.1%
Nigeria	Jada	2000	30.7%	46.8%	16.6%
Nigeria	Jada	2017	52.7%	68.5%	35.0%
Nigeria	Jahun	2000	36.7%	50.8%	27.4%
Nigeria	Jahun	2017	66.6%	82.2%	53.1%
Nigeria	Jakusko	2000	46.0%	56.6%	33.4%
Nigeria	Jakusko	2017	64.2%	74.4%	53.5%
Nigeria	Jalingo	2000	38.1%	42.1%	35.0%
Nigeria	Jalingo	2017	63.0%	67.0%	59.1%
Nigeria	Jama'are	2000	54.0%	66.4%	38.2%
Nigeria	Jama'are	2017	81.0%	85.8%	71.9%
Nigeria	Jega	2000	43.1%	53.7%	34.6%
Nigeria	Jega	2017	54.7%	65.7%	44.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Jema'a	2000	28.8%	41.9%	19.4%
Nigeria	Jema'a	2017	69.3%	80.1%	57.5%
Nigeria	Jere	2000	83.2%	90.6%	74.2%
Nigeria	Jere	2017	94.1%	98.3%	85.7%
Nigeria	Jibia	2000	67.5%	77.9%	57.8%
Nigeria	Jibia	2017	71.7%	84.1%	61.1%
Nigeria	Jos East	2000	20.0%	34.5%	9.5%
Nigeria	Jos East	2017	52.2%	69.8%	33.3%
Nigeria	Jos North	2000	57.7%	60.8%	54.6%
Nigeria	Jos North	2017	77.3%	79.3%	75.1%
Nigeria	Jos South	2000	35.3%	39.6%	31.2%
Nigeria	Jos South	2017	72.1%	75.2%	68.6%
Nigeria	Kabba/Bu	2000	41.7%	51.3%	33.6%
Nigeria	Kabba/Bu	2017	67.4%	77.0%	56.0%
Nigeria	Kabo	2000	47.9%	56.4%	40.5%
Nigeria	Kabo	2017	72.6%	81.6%	62.7%
Nigeria	Kachia	2000	40.4%	51.4%	31.5%
Nigeria	Kachia	2017	67.4%	76.9%	58.7%
Nigeria	Kaduna North	2000	58.8%	63.4%	54.5%
Nigeria	Kaduna North	2017	78.3%	81.3%	75.2%
Nigeria	Kaduna South	2000	57.9%	60.5%	55.2%
Nigeria	Kaduna South	2017	86.8%	88.5%	85.1%
Nigeria	KafinHau	2000	57.3%	68.1%	47.8%
Nigeria	KafinHau	2017	77.7%	83.1%	70.6%
Nigeria	Kafur	2000	31.2%	46.5%	18.3%
Nigeria	Kafur	2017	52.3%	65.5%	35.9%
Nigeria	Kaga	2000	29.9%	49.4%	14.8%
Nigeria	Kaga	2017	49.9%	69.9%	30.8%
Nigeria	Kagarko	2000	33.2%	43.7%	25.1%
Nigeria	Kagarko	2017	59.6%	67.3%	51.3%
Nigeria	Kaiama	2000	31.8%	44.0%	21.5%
Nigeria	Kaiama	2017	51.9%	64.4%	38.5%
Nigeria	Kaita	2000	48.2%	58.7%	38.7%
Nigeria	Kaita	2017	74.6%	82.5%	65.9%
Nigeria	Kajola	2000	30.2%	45.8%	18.3%
Nigeria	Kajola	2017	68.7%	80.9%	50.0%
Nigeria	Kajuru	2000	36.0%	55.0%	21.6%
Nigeria	Kajuru	2017	59.7%	79.1%	41.5%
Nigeria	Kala/Balge	2000	32.9%	57.8%	12.2%
Nigeria	Kala/Balge	2017	57.6%	78.9%	31.6%
Nigeria	Kalgo	2000	55.8%	69.7%	40.0%
Nigeria	Kalgo	2017	80.2%	90.4%	66.4%
Nigeria	Kaltungo	2000	20.6%	33.0%	14.0%
Nigeria	Kaltungo	2017	47.8%	55.9%	41.0%
Nigeria	Kanam	2000	18.2%	36.1%	7.9%
Nigeria	Kanam	2017	26.4%	41.9%	16.1%
Nigeria	Kankara	2000	42.4%	53.1%	33.5%
Nigeria	Kankara	2017	59.9%	72.0%	48.6%
Nigeria	Kanke	2000	23.4%	37.1%	13.9%
Nigeria	Kanke	2017	45.5%	60.3%	34.8%
Nigeria	Kankiya	2000	55.1%	66.0%	45.2%
Nigeria	Kankiya	2017	66.0%	74.7%	56.6%
Nigeria	Kano	2000	62.9%	65.2%	60.5%
Nigeria	Kano	2017	76.0%	78.4%	73.3%
Nigeria	Karasuwa	2000	44.8%	57.5%	35.2%
Nigeria	Karasuwa	2017	73.8%	83.2%	63.3%
Nigeria	Karaye	2000	54.1%	70.7%	37.6%
Nigeria	Karaye	2017	74.8%	90.0%	59.8%
Nigeria	Karim-La	2000	24.6%	33.1%	18.0%
Nigeria	Karim-La	2017	42.1%	50.7%	34.3%
Nigeria	Karu	2000	47.1%	59.3%	36.9%
Nigeria	Karu	2017	77.7%	86.2%	68.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Katagum	2000	43.6%	55.3%	33.2%
Nigeria	Katagum	2017	67.7%	76.9%	58.8%
Nigeria	Katcha	2000	53.4%	59.5%	48.6%
Nigeria	Katcha	2017	62.5%	70.3%	53.9%
Nigeria	Katsina (Benue)	2000	31.0%	40.9%	21.9%
Nigeria	Katsina (Benue)	2017	58.1%	68.3%	47.7%
Nigeria	Katsina (K)	2000	68.4%	72.0%	64.8%
Nigeria	Katsina (K)	2017	87.0%	88.8%	84.5%
Nigeria	Kaugama	2000	71.4%	85.8%	53.4%
Nigeria	Kaugama	2017	89.2%	97.2%	74.7%
Nigeria	Kaura	2000	14.5%	25.6%	9.1%
Nigeria	Kaura	2017	53.3%	60.9%	45.9%
Nigeria	Kaura-Na	2000	22.9%	29.3%	17.4%
Nigeria	Kaura-Na	2017	51.6%	58.7%	44.2%
Nigeria	Kauru	2000	25.3%	40.1%	15.5%
Nigeria	Kauru	2017	46.2%	59.7%	32.9%
Nigeria	Kazaure	2000	52.8%	66.1%	40.3%
Nigeria	Kazaure	2017	70.3%	79.3%	58.9%
Nigeria	Keana	2000	23.2%	39.6%	11.5%
Nigeria	Keana	2017	45.3%	62.9%	31.2%
Nigeria	Kebbe	2000	19.5%	30.6%	11.7%
Nigeria	Kebbe	2017	28.8%	42.0%	19.0%
Nigeria	Keffi	2000	77.9%	90.1%	69.2%
Nigeria	Keffi	2017	91.7%	96.9%	81.2%
Nigeria	Khana	2000	58.2%	61.7%	54.6%
Nigeria	Khana	2017	78.7%	80.8%	76.0%
Nigeria	Kibiya	2000	18.3%	24.1%	11.4%
Nigeria	Kibiya	2017	34.4%	39.1%	28.6%
Nigeria	Kirfi	2000	35.9%	55.1%	18.6%
Nigeria	Kirfi	2017	58.9%	76.9%	41.1%
Nigeria	KiriKasa	2000	63.9%	74.3%	51.1%
Nigeria	KiriKasa	2017	87.6%	92.9%	82.3%
Nigeria	Kiru	2000	29.7%	54.7%	11.7%
Nigeria	Kiru	2017	56.8%	73.7%	34.6%
Nigeria	Kiyawa	2000	39.5%	58.7%	23.4%
Nigeria	Kiyawa	2017	72.1%	87.2%	52.8%
Nigeria	Koko/Bes	2000	21.6%	31.9%	13.3%
Nigeria	Koko/Bes	2017	48.5%	55.8%	40.2%
Nigeria	Kokona	2000	28.9%	37.9%	20.6%
Nigeria	Kokona	2017	50.6%	60.9%	39.3%
Nigeria	Kolokuma/Opoku	2000	30.5%	47.0%	21.9%
Nigeria	Kolokuma/Opoku	2017	57.9%	67.8%	52.1%
Nigeria	Konduga	2000	57.6%	62.6%	52.4%
Nigeria	Konduga	2017	81.0%	84.7%	76.6%
Nigeria	Konshish	2000	39.3%	55.8%	25.6%
Nigeria	Konshish	2017	67.4%	80.8%	53.0%
Nigeria	Kontogur	2000	58.1%	65.5%	49.9%
Nigeria	Kontogur	2017	83.0%	87.5%	77.3%
Nigeria	Kosofe	2000	88.5%	90.7%	85.6%
Nigeria	Kosofe	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.7%
Nigeria	Kotonkar	2000	27.5%	42.3%	16.2%
Nigeria	Kotonkar	2017	46.5%	59.2%	33.1%
Nigeria	Kubau	2000	23.2%	33.5%	15.4%
Nigeria	Kubau	2017	43.8%	51.1%	35.6%
Nigeria	Kudan	2000	42.1%	66.5%	22.1%
Nigeria	Kudan	2017	69.3%	88.4%	43.7%
Nigeria	Kuje	2000	52.3%	61.1%	43.3%
Nigeria	Kuje	2017	68.3%	74.1%	62.0%
Nigeria	Kukawa	2000	43.7%	59.5%	28.5%
Nigeria	Kukawa	2017	69.7%	83.0%	53.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Kumbotso	2000	61.9%	63.4%	60.3%
Nigeria	Kumbotso	2017	77.9%	79.8%	76.0%
Nigeria	Kunchi	2000	52.9%	60.9%	44.3%
Nigeria	Kunchi	2017	83.0%	88.8%	75.3%
Nigeria	Kura	2000	37.4%	48.3%	27.3%
Nigeria	Kura	2017	58.2%	70.5%	50.3%
Nigeria	Kurfi	2000	42.9%	61.2%	26.1%
Nigeria	Kurfi	2017	64.7%	76.3%	49.3%
Nigeria	Kurmi	2000	28.4%	40.7%	15.4%
Nigeria	Kurmi	2017	56.5%	69.1%	43.5%
Nigeria	Kusada	2000	75.8%	89.0%	62.7%
Nigeria	Kusada	2017	89.6%	97.9%	76.0%
Nigeria	Kwali	2000	60.0%	69.2%	49.2%
Nigeria	Kwali	2017	79.9%	85.0%	73.4%
Nigeria	Kwami	2000	23.2%	29.7%	19.1%
Nigeria	Kwami	2017	43.1%	51.6%	35.6%
Nigeria	Kwande	2000	32.0%	44.0%	23.2%
Nigeria	Kwande	2017	66.3%	77.6%	53.9%
Nigeria	Kware	2000	33.2%	36.4%	30.8%
Nigeria	Kware	2017	48.8%	52.2%	45.2%
Nigeria	Kwaya Kusar	2000	33.3%	57.8%	13.6%
Nigeria	Kwaya Kusar	2017	60.2%	83.4%	35.7%
Nigeria	Lafia	2000	22.4%	28.0%	18.2%
Nigeria	Lafia	2017	51.6%	58.1%	44.4%
Nigeria	Lagelu	2000	55.4%	62.5%	48.4%
Nigeria	Lagelu	2017	93.4%	95.0%	90.1%
Nigeria	LagosIsland	2000	92.2%	94.0%	90.1%
Nigeria	LagosIsland	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Nigeria	Lake Chad	2000	36.1%	49.3%	24.4%
Nigeria	Lake Chad	2017	55.2%	67.5%	42.0%
Nigeria	Lamurde	2000	23.4%	35.7%	12.2%
Nigeria	Lamurde	2017	44.4%	53.7%	31.5%
Nigeria	Langtang North	2000	12.7%	19.1%	9.3%
Nigeria	Langtang North	2017	28.3%	32.8%	25.8%
Nigeria	Langtang South	2000	23.6%	42.4%	8.6%
Nigeria	Langtang South	2017	27.3%	43.0%	14.0%
Nigeria	Lapai	2000	35.6%	45.9%	27.5%
Nigeria	Lapai	2017	55.4%	63.7%	45.0%
Nigeria	Lau	2000	26.7%	43.6%	12.7%
Nigeria	Lau	2017	48.9%	65.3%	31.3%
Nigeria	Lavun	2000	27.7%	35.7%	21.4%
Nigeria	Lavun	2017	47.9%	57.1%	40.3%
Nigeria	Lere	2000	39.5%	52.8%	25.1%
Nigeria	Lere	2017	68.1%	80.0%	52.3%
Nigeria	Logo	2000	25.3%	43.7%	11.9%
Nigeria	Logo	2017	47.8%	62.2%	31.8%
Nigeria	Lokoja	2000	44.7%	52.1%	38.6%
Nigeria	Lokoja	2017	60.7%	69.8%	51.9%
Nigeria	Machina	2000	38.8%	58.7%	22.8%
Nigeria	Machina	2017	62.6%	82.4%	45.3%
Nigeria	Madagali	2000	23.9%	53.2%	5.0%
Nigeria	Madagali	2017	38.2%	77.7%	8.0%
Nigeria	Madobi	2000	50.5%	56.3%	45.1%
Nigeria	Madobi	2017	76.4%	79.7%	71.5%
Nigeria	Mafa	2000	43.2%	60.6%	27.5%
Nigeria	Mafa	2017	65.5%	80.9%	45.8%
Nigeria	Magama	2000	35.8%	50.8%	22.1%
Nigeria	Magama	2017	64.4%	77.7%	50.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Magumeri	2000	32.1%	44.0%	20.9%
Nigeria	Magumeri	2017	54.3%	71.6%	38.3%
Nigeria	Mai'Adua	2000	21.8%	29.8%	17.0%
Nigeria	Mai'Adua	2017	40.3%	47.1%	34.1%
Nigeria	Maidugur	2000	77.5%	81.6%	73.5%
Nigeria	Maidugur	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Nigeria	Maigatari	2000	77.6%	87.6%	65.9%
Nigeria	Maigatari	2017	91.7%	97.0%	83.6%
Nigeria	Maiha	2000	25.2%	40.2%	13.3%
Nigeria	Maiha	2017	43.1%	57.9%	29.5%
Nigeria	Mainland	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Nigeria	Mainland	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Nigeria	Maiyama	2000	29.9%	44.1%	18.5%
Nigeria	Maiyama	2017	37.6%	52.3%	27.0%
Nigeria	Makarfi	2000	36.3%	59.8%	20.6%
Nigeria	Makarfi	2017	48.8%	62.2%	29.9%
Nigeria	Makoda	2000	30.9%	51.1%	17.0%
Nigeria	Makoda	2017	55.3%	71.2%	41.2%
Nigeria	Makurdi	2000	38.6%	51.7%	29.1%
Nigeria	Makurdi	2017	77.8%	86.0%	69.7%
Nigeria	MalamMad	2000	66.3%	76.1%	55.9%
Nigeria	MalamMad	2017	86.5%	92.7%	75.9%
Nigeria	Malumfashi	2000	45.4%	59.1%	31.9%
Nigeria	Malumfashi	2017	74.2%	80.7%	63.9%
Nigeria	Mangu	2000	23.8%	31.1%	17.9%
Nigeria	Mangu	2017	52.4%	57.5%	47.4%
Nigeria	Mani	2000	31.7%	41.7%	20.3%
Nigeria	Mani	2017	50.7%	57.5%	43.2%
Nigeria	Maradun	2000	32.7%	45.2%	20.8%
Nigeria	Maradun	2017	55.1%	69.9%	39.3%
Nigeria	Mariga	2000	31.8%	40.6%	22.7%
Nigeria	Mariga	2017	54.2%	65.3%	42.6%
Nigeria	Marte	2000	35.9%	56.7%	14.9%
Nigeria	Marte	2017	59.9%	79.7%	35.2%
Nigeria	Maru	2000	26.1%	35.8%	17.1%
Nigeria	Maru	2017	44.7%	54.7%	33.4%
Nigeria	Mashegu	2000	26.2%	38.0%	17.1%
Nigeria	Mashegu	2017	45.6%	57.3%	36.5%
Nigeria	Mashi	2000	23.4%	31.1%	17.0%
Nigeria	Mashi	2017	41.9%	47.9%	35.3%
Nigeria	Matazu	2000	32.0%	52.9%	15.7%
Nigeria	Matazu	2017	58.7%	74.8%	39.8%
Nigeria	Mayo-Bel	2000	18.3%	27.5%	11.0%
Nigeria	Mayo-Bel	2017	43.3%	54.7%	31.5%
Nigeria	Mbaitoli	2000	58.5%	61.5%	55.0%
Nigeria	Mbaitoli	2017	93.1%	94.2%	91.9%
Nigeria	Mbo	2000	26.7%	40.1%	13.3%
Nigeria	Mbo	2017	60.6%	75.7%	47.2%
Nigeria	Michika	2000	24.7%	36.7%	16.0%
Nigeria	Michika	2017	60.5%	69.5%	51.5%
Nigeria	Miga	2000	60.6%	67.2%	52.4%
Nigeria	Miga	2017	84.4%	87.0%	82.2%
Nigeria	Mikang	2000	18.7%	37.3%	5.5%
Nigeria	Mikang	2017	27.0%	42.7%	13.2%
Nigeria	Minjibir	2000	26.1%	37.8%	20.4%
Nigeria	Minjibir	2017	58.8%	66.0%	56.0%
Nigeria	Misau	2000	47.7%	55.2%	40.8%
Nigeria	Misau	2017	50.6%	55.8%	46.5%
Nigeria	Mkpat Enin	2000	38.4%	46.1%	30.7%
Nigeria	Mkpat Enin	2017	59.3%	63.8%	51.2%
Nigeria	Moba	2000	81.5%	85.3%	77.1%
Nigeria	Moba	2017	75.6%	78.3%	72.7%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Mobbar	2000	41.6%	62.7%	22.4%
Nigeria	Mobbar	2017	66.1%	80.9%	47.0%
Nigeria	Mokwa	2000	34.5%	45.5%	25.7%
Nigeria	Mokwa	2017	62.2%	71.7%	52.4%
Nigeria	Monguno	2000	35.2%	54.9%	16.0%
Nigeria	Monguno	2017	62.6%	81.0%	41.3%
Nigeria	Mopa-Muro	2000	46.9%	57.6%	36.2%
Nigeria	Mopa-Muro	2017	74.1%	82.0%	65.5%
Nigeria	Moro	2000	55.3%	67.6%	44.8%
Nigeria	Moro	2017	74.9%	83.0%	66.2%
Nigeria	Mubi North	2000	23.2%	36.8%	13.3%
Nigeria	Mubi North	2017	59.3%	72.6%	46.5%
Nigeria	Mubi South	2000	34.7%	54.5%	19.6%
Nigeria	Mubi South	2017	82.8%	88.3%	72.7%
Nigeria	Musawa	2000	27.1%	45.7%	17.5%
Nigeria	Musawa	2017	53.1%	68.0%	42.1%
Nigeria	Mushin	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Nigeria	Mushin	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Nigeria	Muya	2000	38.2%	54.1%	25.0%
Nigeria	Muya	2017	52.9%	67.4%	38.7%
Nigeria	Nafada	2000	31.4%	38.2%	25.0%
Nigeria	Nafada	2017	47.9%	56.4%	40.3%
Nigeria	Nangere	2000	36.6%	42.2%	32.3%
Nigeria	Nangere	2017	61.8%	69.1%	56.1%
Nigeria	Nasarawa	2000	26.9%	34.9%	20.6%
Nigeria	Nasarawa	2017	56.3%	65.3%	46.3%
Nigeria	Nassaraw	2000	55.4%	57.1%	53.5%
Nigeria	Nassaraw	2017	89.5%	90.2%	88.9%
Nigeria	Nassarawa	2000	30.4%	39.7%	22.6%
Nigeria	Egon				
Nigeria	Nassarawa	2017	57.4%	67.4%	48.0%
Nigeria	Egon				
Nigeria	Ndokwa East	2000	47.1%	64.3%	32.2%
Nigeria	Ndokwa East	2017	67.9%	80.6%	52.7%
Nigeria	Ndokwa West	2000	47.2%	63.5%	34.6%
Nigeria	Ndokwa West	2017	79.7%	86.4%	69.1%
Nigeria	Nembe	2000	46.8%	56.1%	38.7%
Nigeria	Nembe	2017	71.4%	80.2%	61.6%
Nigeria	Ngala	2000	38.6%	55.5%	21.7%
Nigeria	Ngala	2017	72.2%	86.6%	55.1%
Nigeria	Nganzai	2000	36.9%	56.0%	20.7%
Nigeria	Nganzai	2017	58.6%	77.7%	39.5%
Nigeria	Ngaski	2000	28.6%	48.3%	13.5%
Nigeria	Ngaski	2017	49.7%	67.1%	32.8%
Nigeria	Ngor-Okp	2000	73.0%	77.5%	66.9%
Nigeria	Ngor-Okp	2017	96.5%	97.2%	94.7%
Nigeria	Nguru	2000	69.7%	75.8%	63.8%
Nigeria	Nguru	2017	89.0%	93.1%	83.6%
Nigeria	Ningi	2000	22.7%	31.3%	15.5%
Nigeria	Ningi	2017	44.7%	54.9%	34.8%
Nigeria	Njaba	2000	25.8%	28.2%	23.8%
Nigeria	Njaba	2017	81.2%	83.2%	79.5%
Nigeria	Njikoka	2000	31.1%	34.0%	28.0%
Nigeria	Njikoka	2017	82.6%	84.1%	80.9%
Nigeria	Nkanu East	2000	17.5%	26.0%	13.7%
Nigeria	Nkanu East	2017	53.0%	59.8%	47.2%
Nigeria	Nkanu West	2000	21.2%	23.2%	19.4%
Nigeria	Nkanu West	2017	71.5%	73.7%	68.7%
Nigeria	Nkwerre	2000	41.6%	46.4%	36.8%
Nigeria	Nkwerre	2017	90.5%	91.9%	89.0%
Nigeria	NnewiNort	2000	44.3%	50.3%	39.1%
Nigeria	NnewiNort	2017	90.3%	91.5%	89.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	NnewiSou	2000	55.4%	60.5%	49.8%
Nigeria	NnewiSou	2017	90.8%	92.8%	88.1%
Nigeria	Nsit Atai	2000	44.9%	56.0%	39.4%
Nigeria	Nsit Atai	2017	74.8%	76.9%	73.0%
Nigeria	Nsit Ibom	2000	23.6%	30.2%	17.4%
Nigeria	Nsit Ibom	2017	63.0%	66.3%	59.4%
Nigeria	Nsit Ubium	2000	38.4%	45.2%	33.6%
Nigeria	Nsit Ubium	2017	80.8%	83.1%	78.2%
Nigeria	Nsukka	2000	49.8%	58.7%	40.2%
Nigeria	Nsukka	2017	86.9%	90.2%	81.2%
Nigeria	Numan	2000	35.1%	46.2%	23.5%
Nigeria	Numan	2017	66.8%	75.0%	55.3%
Nigeria	Nwangele	2000	35.3%	39.0%	31.1%
Nigeria	Nwangele	2017	88.7%	90.2%	86.6%
Nigeria	Obafemi-Owode	2000	51.1%	60.2%	39.6%
Nigeria	Obafemi-Owode	2017	71.3%	77.0%	64.6%
Nigeria	Obanliku	2000	29.3%	39.7%	22.4%
Nigeria	Obanliku	2017	50.1%	57.9%	42.8%
Nigeria	Obi	2000	15.4%	20.4%	10.3%
Nigeria	Obi	2000	18.5%	43.4%	8.2%
Nigeria	Obi	2017	45.6%	53.9%	38.1%
Nigeria	Obi	2017	24.7%	43.7%	18.2%
Nigeria	Obio/Akp	2000	65.6%	68.6%	63.2%
Nigeria	Obio/Akp	2017	88.5%	89.7%	87.2%
Nigeria	Obokun	2000	40.5%	43.6%	38.8%
Nigeria	Obokun	2017	49.7%	52.5%	47.6%
Nigeria	Oboma Ngwa	2000	69.5%	74.0%	64.6%
Nigeria	Oboma Ngwa	2017	94.9%	96.4%	93.0%
Nigeria	Obot Akara	2000	48.0%	63.9%	32.5%
Nigeria	Obot Akara	2017	85.9%	94.2%	72.5%
Nigeria	Obowo	2000	24.3%	27.0%	22.0%
Nigeria	Obowo	2017	69.4%	71.5%	67.5%
Nigeria	Obubra	2000	23.4%	32.9%	17.2%
Nigeria	Obubra	2017	46.1%	53.9%	38.0%
Nigeria	Obudu	2000	63.7%	70.2%	53.9%
Nigeria	Obudu	2017	74.7%	80.1%	67.4%
Nigeria	Odeda	2000	51.0%	62.1%	39.0%
Nigeria	Odeda	2017	72.7%	81.7%	62.4%
Nigeria	Odigbo	2000	31.8%	51.1%	15.3%
Nigeria	Odigbo	2017	58.1%	75.6%	37.5%
Nigeria	Odo0tin	2000	48.2%	54.5%	43.7%
Nigeria	Odo0tin	2017	81.9%	88.6%	76.4%
Nigeria	Odogbolu	2000	70.1%	78.8%	63.4%
Nigeria	Odogbolu	2017	90.7%	95.3%	83.2%
Nigeria	Odukpani	2000	22.1%	31.1%	16.5%
Nigeria	Odukpani	2017	38.1%	44.4%	32.8%
Nigeria	Offa	2000	54.0%	58.5%	50.1%
Nigeria	Offa	2017	90.4%	92.7%	86.5%
Nigeria	Ofu	2000	35.7%	51.2%	21.4%
Nigeria	Ofu	2017	62.2%	75.9%	48.1%
Nigeria	Ogba/Egbe	2000	76.3%	84.1%	67.0%
Nigeria	Ogba/Egbe	2017	89.4%	93.8%	83.9%
Nigeria	Ogbadibo	2000	36.7%	50.4%	24.8%
Nigeria	Ogbadibo	2017	77.1%	84.3%	67.7%
Nigeria	Ogbaru	2000	44.5%	66.0%	25.3%
Nigeria	Ogbaru	2017	72.3%	88.7%	52.4%
Nigeria	Ogbia	2000	49.7%	52.7%	46.7%
Nigeria	Ogbia	2017	68.6%	72.4%	66.1%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho	2000	64.6%	77.4%	48.8%
Nigeria	North				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ogbomosho North	2017	88.3%	95.7%	77.2%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho South	2000	64.9%	74.3%	53.3%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho South	2017	80.8%	84.2%	72.7%
Nigeria	Ogo-Oluw	2000	38.3%	50.2%	31.5%
Nigeria	Ogo-Oluw	2017	60.9%	73.0%	46.7%
Nigeria	Ogoja	2000	20.9%	29.0%	14.3%
Nigeria	Ogoja	2017	45.9%	52.2%	40.3%
Nigeria	Ogori/Magongo	2000	54.5%	62.9%	43.4%
Nigeria	Ogori/Magongo	2017	85.6%	90.0%	80.4%
Nigeria	Ogu/Bolo	2000	63.3%	89.1%	21.9%
Nigeria	Ogu/Bolo	2017	88.9%	97.6%	77.2%
Nigeria	OgunWaterside	2000	28.6%	51.3%	10.9%
Nigeria	OgunWaterside	2017	58.1%	77.9%	37.7%
Nigeria	Oguta	2000	54.7%	65.7%	42.1%
Nigeria	Oguta	2017	84.5%	91.8%	72.9%
Nigeria	Ohafia Abia	2000	21.9%	27.9%	16.2%
Nigeria	Ohafia Abia	2017	48.9%	52.6%	45.3%
Nigeria	Ohaji/Eg	2000	57.5%	74.8%	41.6%
Nigeria	Ohaji/Eg	2017	78.4%	88.8%	63.4%
Nigeria	Ohaozara	2000	17.8%	25.2%	10.8%
Nigeria	Ohaozara	2017	59.1%	63.1%	53.3%
Nigeria	Ohaukwu	2000	29.8%	36.3%	23.3%
Nigeria	Ohaukwu	2017	49.8%	52.3%	46.8%
Nigeria	Ohimini	2000	17.2%	30.6%	11.0%
Nigeria	Ohimini	2017	42.7%	58.6%	30.3%
Nigeria	Oji-River	2000	56.8%	74.0%	40.3%
Nigeria	Oji-River	2017	75.3%	85.7%	62.0%
Nigeria	Ojo	2000	86.9%	92.7%	79.8%
Nigeria	Ojo	2017	98.3%	99.4%	95.9%
Nigeria	Oju	2000	35.4%	50.5%	22.6%
Nigeria	Oju	2017	50.6%	62.0%	36.6%
Nigeria	Oke-Ero	2000	61.4%	72.8%	53.9%
Nigeria	Oke-Ero	2017	81.0%	85.6%	75.5%
Nigeria	Okehi	2000	45.8%	52.8%	40.2%
Nigeria	Okehi	2017	83.0%	87.3%	77.4%
Nigeria	Okene	2000	61.0%	74.9%	46.9%
Nigeria	Okene	2017	91.0%	96.8%	76.5%
Nigeria	Okigwe	2000	14.1%	29.0%	4.9%
Nigeria	Okigwe	2017	39.4%	51.2%	22.9%
Nigeria	Okitipupa	2000	49.4%	61.9%	38.3%
Nigeria	Okitipupa	2017	83.0%	90.4%	75.0%
Nigeria	Okobo	2000	51.0%	53.1%	48.6%
Nigeria	Okobo	2017	70.1%	70.7%	69.3%
Nigeria	Okpe	2000	27.2%	37.2%	20.0%
Nigeria	Okpe	2017	68.4%	74.1%	58.7%
Nigeria	Okpokwu	2000	19.4%	41.7%	6.3%
Nigeria	Okpokwu	2017	47.5%	69.8%	28.6%
Nigeria	Okrika	2000	72.6%	86.7%	56.3%
Nigeria	Okrika	2017	90.8%	97.0%	80.2%
Nigeria	Ola-Oluwa	2000	43.8%	53.1%	36.0%
Nigeria	Ola-Oluwa	2017	84.7%	91.0%	74.0%
Nigeria	Olamabor	2000	21.1%	27.1%	16.2%
Nigeria	Olamabor	2017	48.4%	54.3%	42.1%
Nigeria	Olorunda	2000	73.7%	77.5%	70.8%
Nigeria	Olorunda	2017	91.9%	94.5%	90.3%
Nigeria	Olorunsogo	2000	19.0%	37.1%	8.5%
Nigeria	Olorunsogo	2017	42.4%	61.4%	26.2%
Nigeria	Oluyole	2000	57.4%	67.5%	45.6%
Nigeria	Oluyole	2017	84.9%	90.9%	78.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Omala	2000	22.5%	35.5%	11.3%
Nigeria	Omala	2017	46.3%	58.5%	33.7%
Nigeria	Omumma	2000	85.1%	89.1%	80.3%
Nigeria	Omumma	2017	96.6%	98.3%	93.6%
Nigeria	Ona-Ara	2000	47.4%	55.3%	41.0%
Nigeria	Ona-Ara	2017	90.3%	94.4%	84.7%
Nigeria	Ondo East	2000	13.3%	22.9%	7.0%
Nigeria	Ondo East	2017	32.5%	44.6%	20.5%
Nigeria	Ondo West	2000	44.8%	54.2%	36.2%
Nigeria	Ondo West	2017	73.2%	81.7%	61.4%
Nigeria	Onicha	2000	45.1%	54.4%	35.8%
Nigeria	Onicha	2017	76.5%	80.1%	72.8%
Nigeria	Onitsha North	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Nigeria	Onitsha North	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.6%
Nigeria	Onitsha South	2000	93.4%	99.4%	77.7%
Nigeria	Onitsha South	2017	98.6%	100.0%	88.7%
Nigeria	Onna	2000	92.9%	98.1%	84.7%
Nigeria	Onna	2017	97.7%	99.8%	90.7%
Nigeria	Opobo/Nkoro	2000	48.9%	55.9%	42.3%
Nigeria	Opobo/Nkoro	2017	57.2%	65.5%	49.0%
Nigeria	Oredo Edo	2000	72.7%	82.1%	60.9%
Nigeria	Oredo Edo	2017	90.5%	95.3%	82.4%
Nigeria	Orelope	2000	20.7%	36.0%	10.0%
Nigeria	Orelope	2017	45.5%	61.9%	30.5%
Nigeria	Orhionmw	2000	57.6%	69.2%	44.2%
Nigeria	Orhionmw	2017	81.8%	90.5%	72.1%
Nigeria	Ori-Ire	2000	36.6%	54.3%	21.2%
Nigeria	Ori-Ire	2017	68.5%	81.2%	55.4%
Nigeria	Oriade	2000	49.8%	56.5%	42.8%
Nigeria	Oriade	2017	64.3%	69.3%	59.2%
Nigeria	Orlu	2000	22.5%	24.6%	20.4%
Nigeria	Orlu	2017	78.8%	80.8%	76.8%
Nigeria	Orolu	2000	83.5%	85.5%	81.1%
Nigeria	Orolu	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Nigeria	Oron	2000	22.0%	29.5%	15.7%
Nigeria	Oron	2017	63.9%	68.2%	59.4%
Nigeria	Orsu	2000	54.0%	59.2%	47.5%
Nigeria	Orsu	2017	83.4%	87.1%	78.9%
Nigeria	Oru East	2000	43.5%	47.3%	39.5%
Nigeria	Oru East	2017	90.9%	92.5%	89.0%
Nigeria	Oru West	2000	63.5%	68.9%	57.9%
Nigeria	Oru West	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Nigeria	Oruk-Ana	2000	12.8%	26.7%	6.8%
Nigeria	Oruk-Ana	2017	35.0%	42.7%	30.7%
Nigeria	OrumbaNo	2000	31.6%	38.5%	27.8%
Nigeria	OrumbaNo	2017	64.9%	68.9%	61.7%
Nigeria	OrumbaSo	2000	46.6%	53.0%	37.2%
Nigeria	OrumbaSo	2017	62.9%	65.6%	58.9%
Nigeria	Ose	2000	27.5%	38.9%	18.1%
Nigeria	Ose	2017	51.0%	63.4%	35.9%
Nigeria	Oshimili	2000	58.3%	72.3%	47.5%
Nigeria	North Oshimili	2017	79.8%	90.4%	65.6%
Nigeria	North Oshimili	2000	66.4%	78.5%	46.6%
Nigeria	South Oshimili	2017	82.1%	87.9%	68.5%
Nigeria	South Oshimili	2000	66.4%	78.5%	46.6%
Nigeria	Oshodi/Isolo	2000	95.6%	97.3%	93.0%
Nigeria	Oshodi/Isolo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Nigeria	Osisioma	2000	71.7%	75.7%	66.8%
Nigeria	Ngwa				

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Osioma Ngwa	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.3%
Nigeria	Osogbo	2000	71.5%	94.5%	64.2%
Nigeria	Osogbo	2017	72.9%	94.2%	67.6%
Nigeria	Oturkpo	2000	41.5%	54.1%	33.0%
Nigeria	Oturkpo	2017	59.8%	75.2%	47.1%
Nigeria	OviaNort	2000	45.6%	55.8%	34.3%
Nigeria	OviaNort	2017	69.7%	77.7%	60.2%
Nigeria	OviaSouth-West	2000	24.9%	35.7%	16.3%
Nigeria	OviaSouth-West	2017	50.7%	60.4%	40.7%
Nigeria	Owan East	2000	49.2%	60.5%	36.4%
Nigeria	Owan East	2017	72.9%	80.4%	63.7%
Nigeria	OwanWest	2000	24.9%	46.0%	10.0%
Nigeria	OwanWest	2017	55.8%	73.2%	35.4%
Nigeria	Owerri Municipal	2000	87.1%	90.2%	83.5%
Nigeria	Owerri Municipal	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Nigeria	Owerri North	2000	68.3%	71.8%	65.0%
Nigeria	Owerri North	2017	95.8%	96.5%	95.0%
Nigeria	Owerri West	2000	65.1%	70.1%	60.1%
Nigeria	Owerri West	2017	91.6%	94.7%	88.5%
Nigeria	Owo	2000	47.8%	59.2%	35.6%
Nigeria	Owo	2017	72.7%	80.8%	61.1%
Nigeria	Oye	2000	74.1%	81.6%	62.5%
Nigeria	Oye	2017	89.1%	94.7%	79.7%
Nigeria	Oyi	2000	80.9%	81.6%	80.1%
Nigeria	Oyi	2017	94.0%	94.7%	93.2%
Nigeria	Oyigbo	2000	40.8%	47.7%	33.5%
Nigeria	Oyigbo	2017	83.7%	87.4%	78.5%
Nigeria	Oyo East	2000	55.9%	73.7%	42.9%
Nigeria	Oyo East	2017	76.1%	86.0%	64.8%
Nigeria	Oyo West	2000	54.3%	65.7%	45.6%
Nigeria	Oyo West	2017	86.2%	92.7%	76.9%
Nigeria	Oyun	2000	64.1%	75.5%	53.9%
Nigeria	Oyun	2017	83.3%	90.7%	68.8%
Nigeria	Paikoro	2000	41.7%	53.6%	30.8%
Nigeria	Paikoro	2017	66.4%	76.2%	56.4%
Nigeria	Pankshin	2000	34.1%	52.1%	17.8%
Nigeria	Pankshin	2017	54.9%	67.5%	41.8%
Nigeria	Patani	2000	42.5%	52.6%	30.8%
Nigeria	Patani	2017	68.4%	71.8%	64.6%
Nigeria	Pategi	2000	43.0%	56.4%	30.1%
Nigeria	Pategi	2017	72.3%	82.2%	61.7%
Nigeria	Port Harcourt	2000	81.5%	84.2%	77.5%
Nigeria	Port Harcourt	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.2%
Nigeria	Potiskum	2000	48.1%	51.4%	43.9%
Nigeria	Potiskum	2017	65.4%	68.5%	61.9%
Nigeria	Qua'anpa	2000	24.8%	39.5%	13.0%
Nigeria	Qua'anpa	2017	44.1%	59.9%	29.7%
Nigeria	Rabah	2000	43.3%	57.0%	31.2%
Nigeria	Rabah	2017	58.2%	71.4%	44.5%
Nigeria	Rafi	2000	27.8%	42.1%	15.6%
Nigeria	Rafi	2017	45.6%	60.2%	32.4%
Nigeria	Rano	2000	18.7%	39.2%	5.2%
Nigeria	Rano	2017	28.8%	48.7%	13.6%
Nigeria	Remo-North	2000	24.7%	31.1%	19.3%
Nigeria	Remo-North	2017	64.1%	68.5%	59.7%
Nigeria	Rijau	2000	26.8%	35.7%	19.1%
Nigeria	Rijau	2017	54.6%	64.5%	45.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Rimi	2000	58.6%	67.1%	48.2%
Nigeria	Rimi	2017	82.2%	87.4%	75.6%
Nigeria	RiminGad	2000	56.6%	59.2%	53.2%
Nigeria	RiminGad	2017	72.2%	74.1%	70.2%
Nigeria	Ringim	2000	36.8%	51.4%	26.1%
Nigeria	Ringim	2017	62.7%	74.9%	52.5%
Nigeria	Riyom	2000	15.7%	26.2%	9.3%
Nigeria	Riyom	2017	46.6%	56.3%	37.8%
Nigeria	Rogo	2000	30.2%	53.5%	14.2%
Nigeria	Rogo	2017	58.7%	78.2%	38.7%
Nigeria	Roni	2000	42.2%	61.4%	25.7%
Nigeria	Roni	2017	68.9%	85.2%	48.6%
Nigeria	Sabon Birni	2000	44.5%	53.9%	35.5%
Nigeria	Sabon Birni	2017	54.7%	63.8%	45.0%
Nigeria	Sabon-Ga	2000	56.6%	61.9%	50.0%
Nigeria	Sabon-Ga	2017	86.5%	89.4%	82.4%
Nigeria	Sabuwa	2000	23.5%	41.0%	10.9%
Nigeria	Sabuwa	2017	53.6%	71.1%	33.8%
Nigeria	Safana	2000	41.4%	54.3%	30.6%
Nigeria	Safana	2017	54.5%	65.6%	42.5%
Nigeria	Sagbama	2000	16.5%	23.3%	11.3%
Nigeria	Sagbama	2017	32.5%	37.6%	27.5%
Nigeria	Sakaba	2000	24.9%	47.0%	12.1%
Nigeria	Sakaba	2017	54.9%	69.9%	39.3%
Nigeria	Saki East	2000	32.5%	43.8%	19.7%
Nigeria	Saki East	2017	62.8%	73.0%	51.9%
Nigeria	Saki West	2000	36.0%	47.8%	26.7%
Nigeria	Saki West	2017	59.5%	71.0%	48.4%
Nigeria	Sandamu	2000	25.2%	29.4%	21.5%
Nigeria	Sandamu	2017	36.8%	41.6%	32.2%
Nigeria	Sanga	2000	32.9%	46.2%	23.2%
Nigeria	Sanga	2017	62.0%	71.7%	52.3%
Nigeria	Sapele	2000	33.3%	47.0%	26.6%
Nigeria	Sapele	2017	57.4%	65.9%	51.6%
Nigeria	Sardauna	2000	25.1%	33.3%	18.2%
Nigeria	Sardauna	2017	38.4%	46.2%	30.5%
Nigeria	Shagamu	2000	57.4%	63.5%	51.0%
Nigeria	Shagamu	2017	88.7%	91.3%	84.0%
Nigeria	Shagari	2000	25.2%	39.5%	14.8%
Nigeria	Shagari	2017	46.8%	60.7%	34.3%
Nigeria	Shanga	2000	18.5%	34.0%	7.4%
Nigeria	Shanga	2017	37.5%	51.9%	23.2%
Nigeria	Shani	2000	25.5%	49.7%	10.4%
Nigeria	Shani	2017	49.9%	70.3%	29.4%
Nigeria	Shanono	2000	41.7%	49.7%	33.8%
Nigeria	Shanono	2017	64.5%	71.8%	56.6%
Nigeria	Shelleng	2000	20.4%	35.9%	8.8%
Nigeria	Shelleng	2017	39.3%	54.1%	25.6%
Nigeria	Shendam	2000	22.3%	33.5%	11.9%
Nigeria	Shendam	2017	43.6%	55.1%	30.5%
Nigeria	Shinkafi	2000	40.7%	51.0%	29.0%
Nigeria	Shinkafi	2017	69.4%	75.4%	62.4%
Nigeria	Shira	2000	18.8%	29.4%	10.5%
Nigeria	Shira	2017	36.6%	45.3%	28.4%
Nigeria	Shiroro	2000	36.0%	47.4%	26.6%
Nigeria	Shiroro	2017	54.4%	66.7%	43.1%
Nigeria	Shomgom	2000	32.1%	42.6%	23.4%
Nigeria	Shomgom	2017	54.1%	61.6%	47.3%
Nigeria	Shomolu	2000	92.2%	93.4%	90.6%
Nigeria	Shomolu	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Nigeria	Silame	2000	26.1%	43.9%	14.6%
Nigeria	Silame	2017	41.4%	54.7%	30.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Soba	2000	21.0%	34.0%	10.9%
Nigeria	Soba	2017	44.9%	57.2%	33.4%
Nigeria	Sokoto North	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.8%
Nigeria	Sokoto North	2017	93.0%	93.7%	92.2%
Nigeria	Sokoto South	2000	87.4%	88.3%	86.3%
Nigeria	Sokoto South	2017	77.9%	79.9%	75.5%
Nigeria	Song	2000	32.2%	43.0%	20.3%
Nigeria	Song	2017	55.2%	66.4%	45.3%
Nigeria	Southern Ijaw	2000	13.0%	19.1%	8.2%
Nigeria	Southern Ijaw	2017	21.2%	26.9%	15.9%
Nigeria	Sule-Tan	2000	69.1%	80.5%	54.9%
Nigeria	Sule-Tan	2017	85.4%	92.3%	77.8%
Nigeria	Suleja	2000	65.4%	74.0%	59.0%
Nigeria	Suleja	2017	72.9%	77.5%	70.3%
Nigeria	Sumaila	2000	18.5%	30.7%	10.8%
Nigeria	Sumaila	2017	37.5%	48.4%	27.4%
Nigeria	Suru	2000	34.5%	49.4%	22.6%
Nigeria	Suru	2017	46.8%	61.5%	31.8%
Nigeria	Surulere	2000	37.5%	53.3%	25.4%
Nigeria	Surulere	2000	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Nigeria	Surulere	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Nigeria	Surulere	2017	51.5%	67.9%	37.3%
Nigeria	Tafa	2000	50.1%	52.8%	47.4%
Nigeria	Tafa	2017	72.8%	75.1%	70.5%
Nigeria	Tafawa-B	2000	22.2%	36.6%	10.4%
Nigeria	Tafawa-B	2017	47.0%	64.4%	30.0%
Nigeria	Tai	2000	28.6%	33.8%	21.7%
Nigeria	Tai	2017	60.6%	63.3%	58.4%
Nigeria	Takai	2000	43.2%	54.5%	30.6%
Nigeria	Takai	2017	77.0%	84.9%	66.3%
Nigeria	Takum	2000	35.7%	49.6%	20.6%
Nigeria	Takum	2017	66.1%	82.2%	48.2%
Nigeria	Talata-Mafara	2000	35.6%	51.8%	22.9%
Nigeria	Talata-Mafara	2017	63.2%	81.0%	45.2%
Nigeria	Tambawal	2000	27.6%	40.9%	15.9%
Nigeria	Tambawal	2017	44.5%	54.1%	34.4%
Nigeria	Tangazar	2000	30.2%	39.2%	22.4%
Nigeria	Tangazar	2017	42.5%	53.3%	33.9%
Nigeria	Tarauni	2000	64.8%	66.4%	63.3%
Nigeria	Tarauni	2017	83.7%	84.6%	82.7%
Nigeria	Tarka	2000	49.0%	56.3%	41.5%
Nigeria	Tarka	2017	84.3%	89.2%	77.0%
Nigeria	Tarmuwa	2000	26.0%	39.7%	16.1%
Nigeria	Tarmuwa	2017	48.5%	60.6%	36.7%
Nigeria	Taura	2000	66.6%	79.6%	51.8%
Nigeria	Taura	2017	82.2%	90.3%	69.5%
Nigeria	Teungo	2000	29.5%	43.8%	17.8%
Nigeria	Teungo	2017	52.8%	67.5%	37.7%
Nigeria	Tofa	2000	29.0%	33.6%	24.4%
Nigeria	Tofa	2017	76.1%	78.9%	72.9%
Nigeria	Toro	2000	31.4%	41.6%	22.6%
Nigeria	Toro	2017	54.0%	63.2%	44.4%
Nigeria	Toto	2000	28.0%	39.9%	18.1%
Nigeria	Toto	2017	51.9%	62.6%	41.9%
Nigeria	Tsafe	2000	29.8%	44.8%	16.3%
Nigeria	Tsafe	2017	53.1%	65.3%	38.4%
Nigeria	Tsanyawa	2000	68.2%	76.4%	57.8%
Nigeria	Tsanyawa	2017	85.4%	89.6%	78.3%
Nigeria	Tundun Wada	2000	20.7%	34.0%	11.0%
Nigeria	Tundun Wada	2017	31.3%	43.6%	18.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Tureta	2000	26.0%	44.9%	12.3%
Nigeria	Tureta	2017	30.4%	49.3%	16.3%
Nigeria	Udenu	2000	54.1%	57.7%	49.9%
Nigeria	Udenu	2017	87.1%	88.9%	85.2%
Nigeria	Udi	2000	45.3%	52.7%	39.2%
Nigeria	Udi	2017	77.3%	82.4%	71.7%
Nigeria	Udu	2000	53.2%	61.9%	44.0%
Nigeria	Udu	2017	76.1%	82.6%	68.0%
Nigeria	Udung Uko	2000	7.1%	9.5%	5.5%
Nigeria	Udung Uko	2017	42.4%	45.8%	38.9%
Nigeria	Ughelli North	2000	53.0%	56.2%	49.1%
Nigeria	Ughelli North	2017	77.4%	81.5%	72.2%
Nigeria	Ughelli South	2000	52.0%	62.7%	39.0%
Nigeria	Ughelli South	2017	65.3%	73.8%	52.8%
Nigeria	Ugwunagbo	2000	83.6%	89.0%	73.0%
Nigeria	Ugwunagbo	2017	92.6%	94.8%	89.7%
Nigeria	Uhunmwonde	2000	52.7%	63.5%	41.4%
Nigeria	Uhunmwonde	2017	83.0%	90.4%	74.0%
Nigeria	Ukanafun	2000	41.6%	52.2%	30.8%
Nigeria	Ukanafun	2017	74.9%	78.6%	69.6%
Nigeria	Ukum	2000	34.5%	48.4%	20.3%
Nigeria	Ukum	2017	66.0%	75.0%	53.1%
Nigeria	Ukwa East	2000	44.0%	65.8%	27.5%
Nigeria	Ukwa East	2017	74.7%	87.5%	54.6%
Nigeria	Ukwa West	2000	85.3%	89.3%	78.3%
Nigeria	Ukwa West	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.5%
Nigeria	Ukwuani	2000	40.2%	50.9%	30.8%
Nigeria	Ukwuani	2017	84.4%	90.7%	68.2%
Nigeria	Umu-Nneochi	2000	40.4%	50.5%	33.0%
Nigeria	Umu-Nneochi	2017	76.7%	82.2%	70.6%
Nigeria	Umuahia North	2000	64.8%	69.9%	59.9%
Nigeria	Umuahia North	2017	93.9%	94.8%	92.9%
Nigeria	Umuahia South	2000	55.6%	59.2%	51.3%
Nigeria	Umuahia South	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Nigeria	Ungogo	2000	50.8%	52.5%	49.1%
Nigeria	Ungogo	2017	89.9%	90.7%	89.1%
Nigeria	Unuimo	2000	38.1%	46.3%	30.2%
Nigeria	Unuimo	2017	71.7%	74.6%	67.0%
Nigeria	Uruan	2000	37.1%	41.5%	34.4%
Nigeria	Uruan	2017	49.1%	52.0%	48.3%
Nigeria	UrueOffo	2000	15.0%	18.2%	11.7%
Nigeria	UrueOffo	2017	57.6%	61.4%	53.4%
Nigeria	Ushongo	2000	25.2%	40.1%	14.0%
Nigeria	Ushongo	2017	53.7%	65.8%	42.6%
Nigeria	Ussa	2000	28.9%	39.4%	18.8%
Nigeria	Ussa	2017	55.7%	65.3%	44.8%
Nigeria	Uvwie	2000	61.5%	64.9%	57.9%
Nigeria	Uvwie	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.3%
Nigeria	Uyo	2000	36.3%	39.6%	33.1%
Nigeria	Uyo	2017	81.7%	83.0%	80.1%
Nigeria	Uzo-Uwani	2000	27.3%	43.7%	12.6%
Nigeria	Uzo-Uwani	2017	53.1%	69.0%	35.1%
Nigeria	Vandeikya	2000	38.1%	56.2%	24.5%
Nigeria	Vandeikya	2017	64.9%	79.5%	46.1%
Nigeria	Wamakko	2000	54.8%	57.7%	52.3%
Nigeria	Wamakko	2017	58.6%	64.1%	55.0%
Nigeria	Wamba	2000	21.0%	36.4%	10.0%
Nigeria	Wamba	2017	49.5%	64.4%	35.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Warawa	2000	23.1%	26.1%	20.8%
Nigeria	Warawa	2017	58.6%	60.5%	57.1%
Nigeria	Warji	2000	55.1%	67.2%	38.6%
Nigeria	Warji	2017	85.2%	89.2%	77.1%
Nigeria	Warri North	2000	33.6%	46.8%	21.9%
Nigeria	Warri North	2017	57.9%	72.4%	43.7%
Nigeria	Warri South	2000	49.5%	57.7%	43.8%
Nigeria	Warri South	2017	76.9%	84.0%	69.2%
Nigeria	Warri South-West	2000	22.3%	37.6%	12.9%
Nigeria	Warri South-West	2017	37.3%	56.2%	21.3%
Nigeria	Wase	2000	19.6%	27.4%	12.8%
Nigeria	Wase	2017	34.3%	42.5%	26.7%
Nigeria	Wudil	2000	41.1%	46.3%	36.6%
Nigeria	Wudil	2017	58.7%	64.4%	53.0%
Nigeria	Wukari	2000	21.5%	30.0%	13.6%
Nigeria	Wukari	2017	46.0%	55.9%	36.3%
Nigeria	Wurno	2000	13.1%	21.7%	7.6%
Nigeria	Wurno	2017	27.9%	35.8%	17.7%
Nigeria	Wushishi	2000	35.2%	52.7%	21.0%
Nigeria	Wushishi	2017	67.7%	79.1%	54.6%
Nigeria	Yabo	2000	29.0%	33.3%	26.0%
Nigeria	Yabo	2017	48.3%	53.6%	45.0%
Nigeria	Yagba East	2000	62.1%	72.5%	51.9%
Nigeria	Yagba East	2017	79.3%	88.0%	69.9%
Nigeria	Yagba West	2000	64.3%	74.3%	53.4%
Nigeria	Yagba West	2017	76.6%	84.4%	66.3%
Nigeria	Yakurr	2000	51.2%	57.3%	44.5%
Nigeria	Yakurr	2017	63.1%	67.3%	59.8%
Nigeria	Yala Cross	2000	31.0%	42.4%	22.1%
Nigeria	Yala Cross	2017	53.9%	62.3%	44.1%
Nigeria	Yamaltu	2000	41.1%	51.8%	32.1%
Nigeria	Yamaltu	2017	58.4%	66.9%	49.6%
Nigeria	Yankwashi	2000	57.6%	61.9%	52.3%
Nigeria	Yankwashi	2017	74.4%	79.2%	69.7%
Nigeria	Yauri	2000	29.9%	55.4%	12.0%
Nigeria	Yauri	2017	49.9%	69.6%	33.7%
Nigeria	Yenegoa	2000	58.9%	63.0%	54.4%
Nigeria	Yenegoa	2017	77.8%	78.8%	76.9%
Nigeria	Yola North	2000	56.5%	60.2%	53.0%
Nigeria	Yola North	2017	87.0%	89.6%	84.1%
Nigeria	Yola South	2000	66.8%	78.4%	51.9%
Nigeria	Yola South	2017	82.6%	91.0%	66.5%
Nigeria	Yorro	2000	39.1%	47.9%	30.7%
Nigeria	Yorro	2017	43.5%	51.6%	35.2%
Nigeria	Yunusari	2000	39.5%	51.4%	27.3%
Nigeria	Yunusari	2017	64.2%	76.0%	49.8%
Nigeria	Yusufari	2000	35.2%	44.9%	26.5%
Nigeria	Yusufari	2017	56.3%	68.1%	44.9%
Nigeria	Zaki	2000	39.1%	57.5%	27.3%
Nigeria	Zaki	2017	66.8%	83.3%	48.9%
Nigeria	Zango	2000	28.9%	39.5%	20.1%
Nigeria	Zango	2017	30.9%	38.0%	21.5%
Nigeria	ZangonKa	2000	28.8%	38.7%	20.6%
Nigeria	ZangonKa	2017	59.4%	70.9%	46.8%
Nigeria	Zaria	2000	42.3%	49.8%	35.9%
Nigeria	Zaria	2017	81.6%	88.6%	73.0%
Nigeria	Zing	2000	31.5%	42.8%	21.2%
Nigeria	Zing	2017	40.0%	50.5%	30.1%
Nigeria	Zurmi	2000	24.6%	35.2%	15.7%
Nigeria	Zurmi	2017	50.8%	60.3%	40.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Zuru	2000	22.4%	31.4%	15.2%
Nigeria	Zuru	2017	58.6%	63.4%	53.3%
Republic of Congo	Abala	2000	20.6%	24.3%	17.1%
Republic of Congo	Abala	2017	68.8%	72.7%	64.4%
Republic of Congo	Bambama	2000	18.6%	24.9%	13.5%
Republic of Congo	Bambama	2017	65.4%	71.6%	60.1%
Republic of Congo	Boko	2000	25.2%	32.8%	18.8%
Republic of Congo	Boko	2017	77.0%	82.9%	69.6%
Republic of Congo	Boko-Songho	2000	32.1%	43.4%	22.9%
Republic of Congo	Boko-Songho	2017	75.5%	81.3%	69.0%
Republic of Congo	Boundji	2000	19.9%	25.2%	15.7%
Republic of Congo	Boundji	2017	70.9%	75.8%	66.7%
Republic of Congo	Brazzaville	2000	59.8%	75.1%	45.2%
Republic of Congo	Brazzaville	2017	88.8%	94.7%	80.9%
Republic of Congo	Divénié	2000	25.3%	31.5%	20.6%
Republic of Congo	Divénié	2017	69.6%	74.5%	64.4%
Republic of Congo	Djambala	2000	21.2%	24.4%	18.3%
Republic of Congo	Djambala	2017	67.7%	70.6%	64.6%
Republic of Congo	Dongou	2000	23.0%	26.0%	20.2%
Republic of Congo	Dongou	2017	68.6%	71.2%	65.7%
Republic of Congo	Epéna	2000	18.3%	20.5%	16.3%
Republic of Congo	Epéna	2017	66.4%	69.1%	63.2%
Republic of Congo	Ewo	2000	20.2%	24.8%	16.3%
Republic of Congo	Ewo	2017	72.0%	76.0%	67.8%
Republic of Congo	Gamboma	2000	23.3%	26.7%	19.9%
Republic of Congo	Gamboma	2017	71.2%	75.0%	66.6%
Republic of Congo	Impfondo	2000	22.9%	27.7%	18.8%
Republic of Congo	Impfondo	2017	71.0%	74.6%	66.6%
Republic of Congo	Kakamoeka	2000	32.5%	40.5%	25.3%
Republic of Congo	Kakamoeka	2017	77.0%	81.6%	71.8%
Republic of Congo	Kéllé	2000	21.8%	26.3%	18.0%
Republic of Congo	Kéllé	2017	75.5%	78.8%	72.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Kibangou	2000	36.2%	44.5%	29.7%
Republic of Congo	Kibangou	2017	80.3%	84.2%	76.0%
Republic of Congo	Kimongo	2000	34.4%	43.4%	26.9%
Republic of Congo	Kimongo	2017	75.8%	81.0%	70.6%
Republic of Congo	Kindamba	2000	19.8%	23.7%	16.0%
Republic of Congo	Kindamba	2017	67.5%	71.8%	63.7%
Republic of Congo	Kinkala	2000	23.7%	32.5%	17.0%
Republic of Congo	Kinkala	2017	72.4%	80.4%	63.1%
Republic of Congo	Komono	2000	22.3%	27.8%	18.1%
Republic of Congo	Komono	2017	66.8%	71.7%	61.9%
Republic of Congo	Lékana	2000	18.0%	23.6%	13.8%
Republic of Congo	Lékana	2017	66.4%	71.4%	60.9%
Republic of Congo	Loandjili	2000	50.5%	60.4%	41.4%
Republic of Congo	Loandjili	2017	86.3%	90.2%	81.0%
Republic of Congo	Loudima	2000	36.1%	44.7%	26.7%
Republic of Congo	Loudima	2017	79.2%	83.8%	74.6%
Republic of Congo	Loukoléla	2000	20.2%	24.7%	15.9%
Republic of Congo	Loukoléla	2017	68.6%	72.4%	64.4%
Republic of Congo	Louvakou (Loubomo)	2000	37.2%	44.1%	30.2%
Republic of Congo	Louvakou (Loubomo)	2017	77.6%	81.5%	73.1%
Republic of Congo	Madingo-Kayes	2000	36.8%	45.9%	27.4%
Republic of Congo	Madingo-Kayes	2017	80.0%	84.3%	75.0%
Republic of Congo	Madingou	2000	34.7%	46.3%	25.7%
Republic of Congo	Madingou	2017	77.8%	84.8%	70.0%
Republic of Congo	Makoua	2000	18.8%	22.7%	15.0%
Republic of Congo	Makoua	2017	66.3%	70.7%	61.6%
Republic of Congo	Mayama	2000	22.7%	27.5%	18.4%
Republic of Congo	Mayama	2017	69.5%	73.4%	65.7%
Republic of Congo	Mayoko	2000	24.2%	31.2%	18.7%
Republic of Congo	Mayoko	2017	66.5%	71.8%	60.8%
Republic of Congo	Mbomo	2000	18.2%	21.8%	15.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Mbomo	2017	68.1%	71.3%	64.1%
Republic of Congo	Mfouati	2000	37.3%	54.0%	24.6%
Republic of Congo	Mfouati	2017	80.6%	89.5%	69.0%
Republic of Congo	Mindouli	2000	24.2%	30.3%	18.1%
Republic of Congo	Mindouli	2017	73.9%	79.4%	67.9%
Republic of Congo	Mossaka	2000	20.4%	24.0%	17.1%
Republic of Congo	Mossaka	2017	70.4%	74.2%	66.5%
Republic of Congo	Mossendjo	2000	26.1%	32.2%	20.5%
Republic of Congo	Mossendjo	2017	70.9%	74.8%	66.9%
Republic of Congo	Mouyondzi	2000	29.2%	36.7%	23.2%
Republic of Congo	Mouyondzi	2017	75.0%	79.3%	71.0%
Republic of Congo	Mvouti	2000	34.1%	41.8%	27.4%
Republic of Congo	Mvouti	2017	76.9%	81.3%	72.1%
Republic of Congo	Ngabé	2000	25.4%	29.6%	21.3%
Republic of Congo	Ngabé	2017	72.9%	78.3%	66.0%
Republic of Congo	Ngamaba	2000	26.1%	43.5%	15.0%
Republic of Congo	Ngamaba	2017	60.4%	76.2%	42.3%
Republic of Congo	Nkayi District	2000	36.0%	47.7%	26.3%
Republic of Congo	Nkayi District	2017	75.0%	81.2%	67.2%
Republic of Congo	Okoyo	2000	17.2%	22.2%	13.4%
Republic of Congo	Okoyo	2017	63.2%	67.5%	57.6%
Republic of Congo	Ouessou	2000	20.1%	22.6%	17.8%
Republic of Congo	Ouessou	2017	66.4%	69.5%	63.5%
Republic of Congo	Owando	2000	22.2%	25.8%	19.0%
Republic of Congo	Owando	2017	72.4%	75.7%	68.8%
Republic of Congo	Pointe Noire	2000	85.3%	88.3%	82.4%
Republic of Congo	Pointe Noire	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Republic of Congo	Sembé	2000	20.1%	24.5%	16.4%
Republic of Congo	Sembé	2017	65.8%	70.4%	61.4%
Republic of Congo	Sibiti	2000	27.4%	32.2%	22.3%
Republic of Congo	Sibiti	2017	72.7%	76.6%	68.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Souanké	2000	17.8%	21.1%	15.1%
Republic of Congo	Souanké	2017	66.0%	69.3%	62.7%
Republic of Congo	Zanaga	2000	21.1%	26.0%	16.7%
Republic of Congo	Zanaga	2017	65.6%	70.4%	60.6%
Rwanda	Bugesera	2000	60.0%	63.7%	56.1%
Rwanda	Bugesera	2017	69.6%	72.6%	66.0%
Rwanda	Burera	2000	65.8%	68.1%	64.1%
Rwanda	Burera	2017	74.8%	76.7%	72.9%
Rwanda	Gakenke	2000	71.0%	72.2%	69.7%
Rwanda	Gakenke	2017	83.1%	84.0%	82.2%
Rwanda	Gasabo	2000	86.7%	87.5%	85.9%
Rwanda	Gasabo	2017	91.9%	92.5%	91.4%
Rwanda	Gatsibo	2000	54.0%	55.5%	52.0%
Rwanda	Gatsibo	2017	66.8%	68.4%	64.7%
Rwanda	Gicumbi	2000	60.9%	62.6%	59.2%
Rwanda	Gicumbi	2017	74.6%	75.9%	73.2%
Rwanda	Gisagara	2000	62.8%	65.9%	59.8%
Rwanda	Gisagara	2017	75.1%	77.6%	72.4%
Rwanda	Huye	2000	72.3%	74.0%	70.8%
Rwanda	Huye	2017	83.5%	85.1%	81.8%
Rwanda	Kamonyi	2000	64.8%	66.0%	63.4%
Rwanda	Kamonyi	2017	77.4%	78.5%	76.1%
Rwanda	Karongi	2000	61.7%	64.3%	59.3%
Rwanda	Karongi	2017	75.0%	76.9%	73.2%
Rwanda	Kayonza	2000	62.2%	64.7%	60.3%
Rwanda	Kayonza	2017	70.9%	73.5%	68.4%
Rwanda	Kicukiro	2000	93.3%	93.8%	92.5%
Rwanda	Kicukiro	2017	95.2%	95.7%	94.5%
Rwanda	Kirehe	2000	64.4%	67.3%	61.1%
Rwanda	Kirehe	2017	74.8%	77.7%	71.7%
Rwanda	Muhanga	2000	63.5%	67.0%	60.7%
Rwanda	Muhanga	2017	77.6%	79.7%	75.7%
Rwanda	Musanze	2000	66.1%	68.8%	64.2%
Rwanda	Musanze	2017	75.7%	78.3%	73.7%
Rwanda	Ngoma	2000	56.3%	58.9%	53.7%
Rwanda	Ngoma	2017	65.9%	68.2%	63.4%
Rwanda	Ngororero	2000	58.1%	59.2%	56.9%
Rwanda	Ngororero	2017	74.5%	75.4%	73.5%
Rwanda	Nyabihu	2000	66.0%	67.1%	64.9%
Rwanda	Nyabihu	2017	78.3%	79.2%	77.3%
Rwanda	Nyagatare	2000	50.7%	53.4%	47.6%
Rwanda	Nyagatare	2017	62.1%	65.9%	57.4%
Rwanda	Nyamagabe	2000	59.5%	61.3%	56.6%
Rwanda	Nyamagabe	2017	76.0%	77.5%	73.5%
Rwanda	Nyamasheke	2000	60.8%	63.9%	57.4%
Rwanda	Nyamasheke	2017	74.7%	77.2%	71.4%
Rwanda	Nyanza	2000	64.4%	65.8%	62.8%
Rwanda	Nyanza	2017	78.7%	79.7%	77.6%
Rwanda	Nyarugenge	2000	87.2%	87.8%	86.6%
Rwanda	Nyarugenge	2017	89.8%	90.5%	89.0%
Rwanda	Nyaruguru	2000	62.7%	65.5%	60.0%
Rwanda	Nyaruguru	2017	76.8%	79.0%	74.7%
Rwanda	Rubavu	2000	70.1%	71.7%	68.1%
Rwanda	Rubavu	2017	73.4%	75.0%	71.6%
Rwanda	Ruhango	2000	51.9%	53.6%	50.3%
Rwanda	Ruhango	2017	70.0%	71.3%	68.7%
Rwanda	Rulindo	2000	67.4%	68.6%	66.2%
Rwanda	Rulindo	2017	81.3%	82.1%	80.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Rwanda	Rusizi	2000	64.9%	66.9%	62.9%
Rwanda	Rusizi	2017	74.0%	75.7%	72.2%
Rwanda	Rutsiro	2000	58.5%	60.1%	57.1%
Rwanda	Rutsiro	2017	71.6%	73.0%	70.0%
Rwanda	Rwamagana	2000	77.2%	79.3%	74.2%
Rwanda	Rwamagana	2017	84.0%	85.3%	81.9%
Senegal	Bakel	2000	48.2%	60.2%	35.7%
Senegal	Bakel	2017	58.3%	68.6%	47.5%
Senegal	Bambey	2000	72.9%	75.4%	68.2%
Senegal	Bambey	2017	83.3%	84.7%	81.4%
Senegal	Bignona	2000	22.8%	29.6%	17.6%
Senegal	Bignona	2017	29.6%	36.4%	23.8%
Senegal	Birkilane	2000	75.3%	78.9%	71.4%
Senegal	Birkilane	2017	88.9%	90.8%	86.7%
Senegal	Boukiling	2000	14.9%	22.8%	8.9%
Senegal	Boukiling	2017	19.8%	27.3%	13.8%
Senegal	Dagana	2000	63.8%	74.9%	52.6%
Senegal	Dagana	2017	71.0%	81.7%	59.2%
Senegal	Dakar	2000	84.0%	87.1%	80.2%
Senegal	Dakar	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.6%
Senegal	Diourbel	2000	44.0%	51.2%	35.3%
Senegal	Diourbel	2017	66.2%	72.7%	56.9%
Senegal	Fatick	2000	40.5%	42.9%	37.2%
Senegal	Fatick	2017	52.2%	54.0%	49.4%
Senegal	Foundiougne	2000	47.0%	56.1%	34.4%
Senegal	Foundiougne	2017	56.8%	64.4%	44.6%
Senegal	Gossas	2000	64.1%	72.7%	56.8%
Senegal	Gossas	2017	76.0%	82.2%	70.4%
Senegal	Goudiry	2000	40.8%	52.5%	30.0%
Senegal	Goudiry	2017	50.3%	62.7%	38.6%
Senegal	Goudomp	2000	13.7%	18.1%	10.8%
Senegal	Goudomp	2017	20.2%	24.8%	16.6%
Senegal	Guédiawaye	2000	85.1%	87.8%	82.1%
Senegal	Guédiawaye	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Senegal	Guinguinéo	2000	69.9%	72.8%	66.5%
Senegal	Guinguinéo	2017	79.7%	82.4%	76.7%
Senegal	Kaffrine	2000	73.7%	79.6%	69.4%
Senegal	Kaffrine	2017	77.0%	83.4%	72.3%
Senegal	Kanel	2000	54.4%	59.9%	48.5%
Senegal	Kanel	2017	67.0%	71.7%	61.4%
Senegal	Kaolack	2000	48.5%	52.4%	44.6%
Senegal	Kaolack	2017	61.0%	63.8%	58.3%
Senegal	Kébémér	2000	65.1%	71.9%	58.2%
Senegal	Kébémér	2017	80.4%	86.3%	74.9%
Senegal	Kédougou	2000	53.7%	62.8%	44.9%
Senegal	Kédougou	2017	61.8%	68.3%	55.0%
Senegal	Kolda	2000	10.8%	17.1%	5.9%
Senegal	Kolda	2017	17.1%	24.0%	11.0%
Senegal	Koungheul	2000	45.9%	52.5%	39.3%
Senegal	Koungheul	2017	62.8%	69.1%	56.9%
Senegal	Koupentoum	2000	38.1%	46.3%	30.8%
Senegal	Koupentoum	2017	48.7%	57.0%	41.3%
Senegal	Linguère	2000	69.0%	76.5%	60.6%
Senegal	Linguère	2017	78.3%	85.5%	70.0%
Senegal	Louga	2000	64.8%	71.9%	57.3%
Senegal	Louga	2017	78.8%	84.5%	72.5%
Senegal	Malème	2000	78.5%	90.1%	68.1%
Senegal	Hodar	2017	81.9%	94.1%	71.9%
Senegal	Matam	2000	53.3%	59.6%	46.4%
Senegal	Matam	2017	71.1%	75.6%	66.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Senegal	Mbacké	2000	86.4%	90.1%	82.5%
Senegal	Mbacké	2017	92.5%	95.7%	88.7%
Senegal	Mbour	2000	57.4%	65.1%	50.2%
Senegal	Mbour	2017	75.3%	80.8%	70.1%
Senegal	Médina Yoro Foula	2000	15.1%	26.5%	6.5%
Senegal	Médina Yoro Foula	2017	19.3%	31.0%	10.1%
Senegal	Nioro du Rip	2000	49.8%	55.8%	43.9%
Senegal	Nioro du Rip	2017	64.0%	69.6%	58.9%
Senegal	Oussouye	2000	19.4%	34.2%	13.2%
Senegal	Oussouye	2017	23.1%	38.1%	16.2%
Senegal	Pikine	2000	88.4%	89.8%	86.5%
Senegal	Pikine	2017	97.2%	97.6%	96.6%
Senegal	Podor	2000	46.4%	52.8%	40.7%
Senegal	Podor	2017	59.5%	65.2%	53.8%
Senegal	Ranérou Ferlo	2000	44.0%	55.9%	29.7%
Senegal	Ranérou Ferlo	2017	54.1%	65.0%	40.2%
Senegal	Rufisque	2000	63.2%	66.0%	60.0%
Senegal	Rufisque	2017	85.4%	86.8%	83.9%
Senegal	Saint-Louis	2000	73.7%	76.7%	70.6%
Senegal	Saint-Louis	2017	88.4%	90.0%	86.3%
Senegal	Salémata	2000	33.0%	45.9%	21.7%
Senegal	Salémata	2017	40.9%	53.2%	28.6%
Senegal	Saraya	2000	55.1%	67.4%	39.7%
Senegal	Saraya	2017	64.2%	76.1%	50.7%
Senegal	Sédhiou	2000	5.7%	10.4%	2.9%
Senegal	Sédhiou	2017	9.3%	14.6%	5.9%
Senegal	Tambacounda	2000	28.3%	36.9%	18.6%
Senegal	Tambacounda	2017	35.8%	44.3%	26.4%
Senegal	Thiès	2000	66.7%	74.0%	59.9%
Senegal	Thiès	2017	77.4%	83.7%	71.1%
Senegal	Tivaouane	2000	69.6%	74.0%	65.2%
Senegal	Tivaouane	2017	77.2%	81.5%	72.9%
Senegal	Vélingara	2000	23.5%	33.8%	13.4%
Senegal	Vélingara	2017	28.8%	38.9%	18.6%
Senegal	Ziguinchor	2000	8.7%	17.4%	4.6%
Senegal	Ziguinchor	2017	13.2%	23.6%	7.6%
Sierra Leone	Bo	2000	63.9%	70.0%	57.9%
Sierra Leone	Bo	2017	69.0%	74.9%	63.6%
Sierra Leone	Bombali	2000	41.4%	48.0%	34.6%
Sierra Leone	Bombali	2017	52.6%	58.3%	45.4%
Sierra Leone	Bonthe	2000	28.3%	36.4%	21.6%
Sierra Leone	Bonthe	2017	34.0%	43.5%	27.0%
Sierra Leone	Kailahun	2000	48.5%	56.7%	42.0%
Sierra Leone	Kailahun	2017	57.4%	65.2%	50.2%
Sierra Leone	Kambia	2000	31.1%	38.9%	24.3%
Sierra Leone	Kambia	2017	38.9%	47.0%	31.1%
Sierra Leone	Kenema	2000	66.6%	71.2%	61.7%
Sierra Leone	Kenema	2017	71.1%	76.1%	66.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sierra Leone	Koinadugu	2000	37.8%	44.7%	31.9%
Sierra Leone	Koinadugu	2017	41.3%	48.2%	34.6%
Sierra Leone	Kono	2000	53.7%	58.2%	49.7%
Sierra Leone	Kono	2017	65.5%	69.9%	60.7%
Sierra Leone	Moyamba	2000	32.8%	38.8%	26.2%
Sierra Leone	Moyamba	2017	38.8%	45.0%	31.5%
Sierra Leone	Port Loko	2000	38.2%	43.5%	32.5%
Sierra Leone	Port Loko	2017	51.7%	58.0%	45.6%
Sierra Leone	Pujehun	2000	50.5%	58.5%	43.0%
Sierra Leone	Pujehun	2017	60.9%	69.3%	52.9%
Sierra Leone	Tonkolili	2000	47.1%	53.8%	40.3%
Sierra Leone	Tonkolili	2017	52.9%	61.4%	45.1%
Sierra Leone	Western Rural	2000	75.8%	79.5%	71.8%
Sierra Leone	Western Rural	2017	82.7%	84.9%	79.9%
Sierra Leone	Western Urban	2000	96.7%	97.1%	96.3%
Sierra Leone	Western Urban	2017	89.8%	90.9%	88.3%
Somalia	Aadan	2000	28.7%	45.6%	16.2%
Somalia	Aadan	2017	92.4%	95.8%	87.1%
Somalia	Afgooye	2000	50.2%	63.8%	35.4%
Somalia	Afgooye	2017	93.7%	97.1%	89.5%
Somalia	Afmadow	2000	26.3%	32.7%	19.3%
Somalia	Afmadow	2017	91.3%	93.4%	88.9%
Somalia	Baar-Dheere	2000	23.1%	31.6%	16.5%
Somalia	Baar-Dheere	2017	90.8%	93.7%	86.9%
Somalia	Badhaadhe	2000	24.6%	39.5%	13.4%
Somalia	Badhaadhe	2017	87.8%	92.5%	83.1%
Somalia	Badhan	2000	50.7%	57.2%	43.8%
Somalia	Badhan	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.2%
Somalia	Baki	2000	46.0%	60.5%	31.6%
Somalia	Baki	2017	97.4%	98.9%	95.2%
Somalia	Balcad	2000	29.7%	47.1%	15.8%
Somalia	Balcad	2017	92.6%	96.2%	88.1%
Somalia	Bander-Beyla	2000	44.2%	52.6%	36.9%
Somalia	Bander-Beyla	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Somalia	Baraawe	2000	29.6%	46.3%	17.2%
Somalia	Baraawe	2017	93.4%	96.9%	88.2%
Somalia	Baydhabo	2000	24.9%	36.8%	16.5%
Somalia	Baydhabo	2017	90.1%	93.3%	86.3%
Somalia	Beled Weyn	2000	32.2%	39.7%	25.4%
Somalia	Beled Weyn	2017	95.2%	96.6%	93.6%
Somalia	Beled Xaawo	2000	28.0%	39.5%	17.3%
Somalia	Beled Xaawo	2017	93.8%	96.5%	90.0%
Somalia	Berbera	2000	47.3%	55.5%	40.4%
Somalia	Berbera	2017	97.8%	98.6%	96.7%
Somalia	Boorama	2000	45.7%	61.9%	31.4%
Somalia	Boorama	2017	97.8%	99.3%	95.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Somalia	Bosaaso	2000	45.5%	54.4%	37.0%
Somalia	Bosaaso	2017	97.6%	98.7%	96.4%
Somalia	Bu'aale	2000	31.8%	49.6%	17.5%
Somalia	Bu'aale	2017	92.8%	96.3%	88.0%
Somalia	Burao	2000	50.2%	57.3%	43.3%
Somalia	Burao	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.4%
Somalia	Burtinle	2000	44.0%	52.3%	36.6%
Somalia	Burtinle	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.3%
Somalia	Buuhoodle	2000	46.4%	56.9%	37.0%
Somalia	Buuhoodle	2017	97.7%	98.8%	96.2%
Somalia	Buulo Burdo	2000	27.8%	34.7%	21.5%
Somalia	Buulo Burdo	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.5%
Somalia	Buur Xakaba	2000	20.8%	28.1%	15.2%
Somalia	Buur Xakaba	2017	88.6%	91.8%	84.9%
Somalia	Caabudwaaq	2000	37.2%	48.5%	27.1%
Somalia	Caabudwaaq	2017	96.1%	97.8%	93.7%
Somalia	Cadaado	2000	35.6%	46.5%	25.9%
Somalia	Cadaado	2017	95.7%	97.4%	93.4%
Somalia	Cadale	2000	25.9%	39.9%	14.3%
Somalia	Cadale	2017	89.9%	94.7%	85.2%
Somalia	Calawla	2000	47.7%	59.4%	38.4%
Somalia	Calawla	2017	98.0%	99.0%	96.8%
Somalia	Caynabo	2000	50.1%	58.5%	40.9%
Somalia	Caynabo	2017	98.2%	98.9%	97.4%
Somalia	Ceel Barde	2000	25.7%	34.3%	17.7%
Somalia	Ceel Barde	2017	92.4%	94.8%	89.0%
Somalia	Ceel Buur	2000	37.0%	46.7%	28.5%
Somalia	Ceel Buur	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.3%
Somalia	Ceel Dheer	2000	36.7%	48.5%	26.9%
Somalia	Ceel Dheer	2017	95.6%	97.2%	93.4%
Somalia	Ceel Waaq	2000	24.3%	34.0%	15.7%
Somalia	Ceel Waaq	2017	91.9%	94.5%	88.4%
Somalia	Ceel-Afwein	2000	52.8%	60.9%	45.4%
Somalia	Ceel-Afwein	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Somalia	Ceerigaabo	2000	56.9%	62.6%	50.6%
Somalia	Ceerigaabo	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Somalia	Dhuusamareeb	2000	39.3%	49.3%	32.4%
Somalia	Dhuusamareeb	2017	96.6%	97.8%	95.0%
Somalia	Diinsoor	2000	24.5%	35.5%	16.6%
Somalia	Diinsoor	2017	90.1%	93.0%	86.8%
Somalia	Dolow	2000	33.3%	56.9%	16.0%
Somalia	Dolow	2017	95.6%	98.7%	88.9%
Somalia	Eyl	2000	43.9%	51.4%	36.0%
Somalia	Eyl	2017	97.2%	98.2%	95.9%
Somalia	Gaalkacayo	2000	42.4%	50.3%	35.6%
Somalia	Gaalkacayo	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.5%
Somalia	Gabiley	2000	48.9%	61.9%	38.4%
Somalia	Gabiley	2017	97.9%	99.0%	96.3%
Somalia	Garbahaaray	2000	24.7%	34.7%	16.5%
Somalia	Garbahaaray	2017	91.5%	94.2%	88.4%
Somalia	Garoowe	2000	47.1%	57.6%	39.2%
Somalia	Garoowe	2017	97.4%	98.5%	96.0%
Somalia	Goldogob	2000	42.4%	57.9%	27.9%
Somalia	Goldogob	2017	97.2%	99.0%	93.9%
Somalia	Hargeysa	2000	59.2%	67.3%	52.0%
Somalia	Hargeysa	2017	97.9%	98.8%	96.8%
Somalia	Hobyo	2000	44.6%	50.8%	38.6%
Somalia	Hobyo	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.4%
Somalia	Iskushuban	2000	47.5%	54.0%	40.9%
Somalia	Iskushuban	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Somalia	Jalalaqsi	2000	27.5%	40.8%	16.1%
Somalia	Jalalaqsi	2017	92.1%	95.8%	87.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Somalia	Jamaame	2000	20.6%	38.9%	9.6%
Somalia	Jamaame	2017	88.8%	94.3%	81.9%
Somalia	Jariiban	2000	49.0%	57.7%	41.2%
Somalia	Jariiban	2017	98.0%	98.9%	97.0%
Somalia	Jawhar	2000	25.1%	41.7%	12.1%
Somalia	Jawhar	2017	90.9%	95.2%	84.4%
Somalia	Jilib	2000	31.7%	50.6%	17.6%
Somalia	Jilib	2017	92.0%	96.0%	87.1%
Somalia	Kismaayo	2000	22.2%	34.7%	13.3%
Somalia	Kismaayo	2017	88.8%	93.2%	83.5%
Somalia	Kuntuwaaray	2000	21.5%	38.1%	9.9%
Somalia	Kuntuwaaray	2017	88.7%	94.3%	81.4%
Somalia	Lascaanod	2000	44.5%	51.5%	37.3%
Somalia	Lascaanod	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Somalia	Lughaya	2000	45.7%	60.6%	31.4%
Somalia	Lughaya	2017	97.7%	99.0%	95.7%
Somalia	Luuk	2000	28.9%	41.7%	19.2%
Somalia	Luuk	2017	93.7%	95.9%	91.0%
Somalia	Marka	2000	18.3%	42.1%	5.5%
Somalia	Marka	2017	83.2%	93.0%	73.5%
Somalia	Mogadisho	2000	65.6%	83.1%	48.9%
Somalia	Mogadisho	2017	98.0%	99.9%	92.0%
Somalia	Oodweyne	2000	45.8%	55.8%	36.7%
Somalia	Oodweyne	2017	97.6%	98.6%	96.6%
Somalia	Qandala	2000	48.5%	58.2%	39.8%
Somalia	Qandala	2017	97.8%	98.7%	96.6%
Somalia	Qansax	2000	22.3%	34.3%	11.3%
Somalia	Dheere				
Somalia	Qansax	2017	88.9%	93.6%	82.6%
Somalia	Dheere				
Somalia	Qardho	2000	48.2%	55.1%	41.3%
Somalia	Qardho	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Somalia	Qoryooley	2000	21.8%	39.6%	10.4%
Somalia	Qoryooley	2017	88.5%	94.4%	81.0%
Somalia	Rab Dhuure	2000	20.6%	32.6%	11.6%
Somalia	Rab Dhuure	2017	90.0%	94.0%	84.9%
Somalia	Saakow	2000	28.7%	43.1%	15.7%
Somalia	Saakow	2017	92.1%	95.6%	86.3%
Somalia	Sablale	2000	27.5%	39.8%	16.9%
Somalia	Sablale	2017	91.4%	95.0%	86.6%
Somalia	Sheekh	2000	47.8%	61.8%	34.2%
Somalia	Sheekh	2017	97.8%	98.9%	96.2%
Somalia	Taleex	2000	46.2%	55.6%	38.3%
Somalia	Taleex	2017	97.7%	98.6%	96.2%
Somalia	Tiyeeglow	2000	27.1%	39.7%	17.7%
Somalia	Tiyeeglow	2017	91.4%	94.8%	87.5%
Somalia	Wajid	2000	18.8%	33.4%	9.6%
Somalia	Wajid	2017	86.6%	92.3%	79.1%
Somalia	Wanla Weyn	2000	24.5%	41.0%	15.2%
Somalia	Wanla Weyn	2017	90.1%	94.5%	85.0%
Somalia	Xarardheere	2000	41.8%	54.2%	30.4%
Somalia	Xarardheere	2017	96.7%	98.5%	94.3%
Somalia	Xudun	2000	43.6%	52.5%	35.1%
Somalia	Xudun	2017	97.3%	98.3%	96.0%
Somalia	Xudur	2000	23.7%	35.8%	14.3%
Somalia	Xudur	2017	90.7%	94.4%	86.5%
Somalia	Zeylac	2000	38.0%	50.2%	27.4%
Somalia	Zeylac	2017	96.4%	97.9%	94.3%
South Africa	Alfred Nzo	2000	56.8%	57.6%	55.9%
South Africa	Alfred Nzo	2017	72.0%	72.6%	71.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Amajuba	2000	70.1%	72.3%	68.2%
South Africa	Amajuba	2017	84.2%	85.6%	82.9%
South Africa	Amathole	2000	56.5%	57.4%	55.5%
South Africa	Amathole	2017	71.5%	72.3%	70.5%
South Africa	Bojanala	2000	96.5%	96.9%	96.1%
South Africa	Bojanala	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
South Africa	Buffalo City	2000	57.8%	59.4%	56.3%
South Africa	Buffalo City	2017	73.0%	74.2%	71.7%
South Africa	Cacadu	2000	63.2%	65.3%	61.0%
South Africa	Cacadu	2017	77.7%	79.2%	75.9%
South Africa	Cape Winelands	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
South Africa	Cape Winelands	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
South Africa	Capricorn	2000	78.0%	78.8%	77.2%
South Africa	Capricorn	2017	92.0%	92.4%	91.5%
South Africa	Central Karoo	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
South Africa	Central Karoo	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.8%
South Africa	Chris Hani	2000	57.4%	58.4%	56.5%
South Africa	Chris Hani	2017	72.5%	73.4%	71.7%
South Africa	City of Cape Town	2000	99.2%	99.3%	99.0%
South Africa	City of Cape Town	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
South Africa	City of Johannesburg	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
South Africa	City of Johannesburg	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
South Africa	City of Tshwane	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
South Africa	City of Tshwane	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
South Africa	Dr Kenneth Kaunda	2000	96.7%	97.2%	96.0%
South Africa	Dr Kenneth Kaunda	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
South Africa	Dr Ruth Segomotsi Mompati	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.2%
South Africa	Dr Ruth Segomotsi Mompati	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
South Africa	Eden	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
South Africa	Eden	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Ehlanzeni	2000	83.1%	83.7%	82.4%
South Africa	Ehlanzeni	2017	94.2%	94.5%	93.7%
South Africa	Ekurhuleni	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
South Africa	Ekurhuleni	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
South Africa	eThekwini	2000	69.2%	70.0%	68.2%
South Africa	eThekwini	2017	83.3%	83.9%	82.5%
South Africa	Fezile Dabi	2000	98.0%	98.3%	97.6%
South Africa	Fezile Dabi	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
South Africa	Frances Baard	2000	95.8%	96.1%	95.5%
South Africa	Frances Baard	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.7%
South Africa	Gert Sibande	2000	86.2%	87.0%	85.5%
South Africa	Gert Sibande	2017	95.3%	95.6%	94.9%
South Africa	iLembe	2000	69.9%	71.2%	68.3%
South Africa	iLembe	2017	83.4%	84.5%	82.3%
South Africa	Joe Gqabi	2000	59.5%	61.8%	57.2%
South Africa	Joe Gqabi	2017	74.3%	76.0%	72.4%
South Africa	John Taolo Gaetsewe	2000	95.6%	96.3%	94.8%
South Africa	John Taolo Gaetsewe	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
South Africa	Lejweleputswa	2000	98.3%	98.7%	98.0%
South Africa	Lejweleputswa	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
South Africa	Mangaung	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
South Africa	Mangaung	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
South Africa	Mopani	2000	78.3%	79.0%	77.6%
South Africa	Mopani	2017	92.2%	92.6%	91.7%
South Africa	Namakwa	2000	95.8%	96.5%	95.1%
South Africa	Namakwa	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
South Africa	Nelson Mandela Bay	2000	55.2%	56.3%	54.1%
South Africa	Nelson Mandela Bay	2017	71.3%	72.3%	70.4%
South Africa	Ngaka Modiri Molema	2000	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
South Africa	Ngaka Modiri Molema	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
South Africa	Nkangala	2000	87.2%	87.9%	86.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Nkangala	2017	95.7%	95.9%	95.4%
South Africa	O.R.Tambo	2000	56.0%	56.7%	55.1%
South Africa	O.R.Tambo	2017	71.3%	71.9%	70.5%
South Africa	Overberg	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
South Africa	Overberg	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
South Africa	Pixley ka Seme	2000	95.6%	96.1%	95.1%
South Africa	Pixley ka Seme	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
South Africa	Sedibeng	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
South Africa	Sedibeng	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
South Africa	Sekhukhune	2000	81.5%	82.3%	80.6%
South Africa	Sekhukhune	2017	93.6%	94.1%	93.2%
South Africa	Sisonke	2000	66.0%	67.1%	64.9%
South Africa	Sisonke	2017	80.0%	80.9%	79.1%
South Africa	Siyanda	2000	95.7%	96.2%	95.1%
South Africa	Siyanda	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
South Africa	Thabo Mofutsanyane	2000	95.3%	95.6%	95.0%
South Africa	Thabo Mofutsanyane	2017	98.6%	98.7%	98.4%
South Africa	Ugu	2000	70.0%	71.6%	68.6%
South Africa	Ugu	2017	83.9%	85.0%	82.9%
South Africa	Umgungundlovu	2000	71.1%	72.3%	69.5%
South Africa	Umgungundlovu	2017	84.7%	85.5%	83.7%
South Africa	Umkhanyakude	2000	71.3%	73.3%	69.3%
South Africa	Umkhanyakude	2017	85.0%	86.3%	83.8%
South Africa	Umzinyathi	2000	70.8%	72.1%	69.4%
South Africa	Umzinyathi	2017	84.8%	85.8%	83.8%
South Africa	Uthukela	2000	71.4%	72.4%	70.1%
South Africa	Uthukela	2017	84.9%	85.8%	84.0%
South Africa	Uthungulu	2000	70.5%	72.2%	68.7%
South Africa	Uthungulu	2017	84.7%	85.8%	83.4%
South Africa	Vhembe	2000	77.6%	78.3%	76.8%
South Africa	Vhembe	2017	91.8%	92.3%	91.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Waterberg	2000	78.8%	79.9%	77.9%
South Africa	Waterberg	2017	92.4%	93.0%	91.9%
South Africa	West Coast	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
South Africa	West Coast	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
South Africa	West Rand	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
South Africa	West Rand	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
South Africa	Xhariep	2000	94.9%	95.6%	94.2%
South Africa	Xhariep	2017	97.2%	97.6%	96.9%
South Africa	Zululand	2000	72.5%	73.6%	71.2%
South Africa	Zululand	2017	86.0%	86.8%	85.2%
South Sudan	Akobo	2000	39.5%	43.3%	36.0%
South Sudan	Akobo	2017	36.0%	37.7%	34.4%
South Sudan	Al Leiri	2000	33.9%	39.2%	29.6%
South Sudan	Al Leiri	2017	32.0%	33.9%	30.1%
South Sudan	Al Mabien	2000	37.4%	43.2%	32.2%
South Sudan	Al Mabien	2017	32.8%	35.3%	30.7%
South Sudan	Al Mayom	2000	34.3%	42.5%	28.2%
South Sudan	Al Mayom	2017	30.6%	33.4%	28.0%
South Sudan	Al Renk	2000	40.3%	47.0%	34.2%
South Sudan	Al Renk	2017	27.6%	29.7%	25.6%
South Sudan	Aliab	2000	36.3%	43.1%	31.3%
South Sudan	Aliab	2017	36.2%	39.3%	33.6%
South Sudan	Amatonge	2000	39.6%	43.0%	36.4%
South Sudan	Amatonge	2017	41.4%	43.0%	39.7%
South Sudan	Aryat	2000	30.8%	41.0%	23.6%
South Sudan	Aryat	2017	31.7%	34.7%	28.8%
South Sudan	Aweil	2000	31.3%	35.6%	27.9%
South Sudan	Aweil	2017	33.4%	35.2%	31.3%
South Sudan	Ayod	2000	35.1%	38.9%	31.6%
South Sudan	Ayod	2017	32.4%	34.2%	31.0%
South Sudan	Bahr al Jabal	2000	43.6%	47.5%	39.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Bahr al Jabal	2017	43.1%	44.9%	40.9%
Sudan	Baleit	2000	38.9%	45.7%	33.7%
Sudan	Baleit	2017	31.0%	33.4%	28.7%
Sudan	Bor	2000	43.5%	49.8%	37.2%
Sudan	Bor	2017	37.6%	40.1%	34.8%
Sudan	Fam al Zaraf	2000	39.0%	45.7%	32.9%
Sudan	Fam al Zaraf	2017	31.4%	34.0%	29.1%
Sudan	Faring	2000	34.6%	43.3%	28.6%
Sudan	Faring	2017	31.2%	34.5%	28.1%
Sudan	Fashooda	2000	39.1%	45.1%	33.3%
Sudan	Fashooda	2017	29.0%	31.3%	26.8%
Sudan	Gogrial	2000	33.6%	38.4%	29.5%
Sudan	Gogrial	2017	33.6%	35.5%	31.9%
Sudan	Kajo Kaii	2000	43.0%	50.4%	36.0%
Sudan	Kajo Kaii	2017	45.4%	49.2%	41.7%
Sudan	Kapoeta	2000	35.3%	39.8%	31.7%
Sudan	Kapoeta	2017	35.7%	37.2%	34.2%
Sudan	Magwi	2000	40.3%	45.6%	35.3%
Sudan	Magwi	2017	42.6%	45.0%	39.8%
Sudan	Malek	2000	29.9%	36.9%	23.7%
Sudan	Malek	2017	32.6%	36.3%	28.9%
Sudan	Malut	2000	39.1%	44.3%	34.3%
Sudan	Malut	2017	29.7%	32.0%	27.7%
Sudan	Mayot	2000	46.3%	52.6%	41.8%
Sudan	Mayot	2017	36.4%	39.1%	34.2%
Sudan	Meridi	2000	37.2%	41.3%	33.7%
Sudan	Meridi	2017	40.4%	42.4%	38.7%
Sudan	Mundri	2000	36.8%	40.5%	33.5%
Sudan	Mundri	2017	39.7%	41.5%	37.8%
Sudan	Nahr Atiem	2000	37.1%	42.3%	32.7%
Sudan	Nahr Atiem	2017	34.7%	36.5%	32.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	South Nahr Lol	2000	30.3%	36.0%	25.4%
Sudan	South Nahr Lol	2017	30.9%	33.5%	28.3%
Sudan	South Nahr Yei	2000	44.2%	49.1%	39.6%
Sudan	South Nahr Yei	2017	43.2%	45.2%	41.3%
Sudan	South Pibor	2000	41.1%	45.3%	37.3%
Sudan	South Pibor	2017	38.4%	39.9%	36.9%
Sudan	South Rabkona	2000	34.1%	39.1%	29.7%
Sudan	South Rabkona	2017	30.8%	32.8%	29.0%
Sudan	South Raja	2000	36.1%	38.9%	33.3%
Sudan	South Raja	2017	35.9%	37.2%	34.5%
Sudan	South Rumbek	2000	32.7%	37.2%	29.1%
Sudan	South Rumbek	2017	34.5%	36.5%	32.7%
Sudan	South Shobet	2000	32.1%	37.2%	28.1%
Sudan	South Shobet	2017	34.7%	37.0%	32.5%
Sudan	South Shokodom	2000	37.3%	43.1%	31.7%
Sudan	South Shokodom	2017	39.4%	41.5%	37.0%
Sudan	South Sobat	2000	46.7%	52.1%	41.5%
Sudan	South Sobat	2017	32.2%	34.7%	30.3%
Sudan	South Terkaka	2000	42.7%	47.9%	38.0%
Sudan	South Terkaka	2017	38.7%	40.7%	36.9%
Sudan	South Tombura	2000	37.7%	41.7%	34.2%
Sudan	South Tombura	2017	40.7%	42.7%	38.9%
Sudan	South Tonga	2000	45.3%	50.5%	40.2%
Sudan	South Tonga	2017	27.7%	30.1%	25.2%
Sudan	South Tonj	2000	33.3%	37.8%	29.2%
Sudan	South Tonj	2017	34.2%	35.9%	32.5%
Sudan	South Wanjuk	2000	28.8%	33.3%	24.8%
Sudan	South Wanjuk	2017	31.6%	34.1%	29.3%
Sudan	South Warab	2000	34.8%	39.4%	31.0%
Sudan	South Warab	2017	34.4%	36.0%	32.7%
Sudan	South Wat	2000	35.5%	40.6%	31.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	South Wat	2017	33.7%	35.6%	31.7%
Sudan	South Wau	2000	38.8%	41.9%	35.5%
Sudan	South Wau	2017	38.8%	40.5%	37.2%
Sudan	South Yambio	2000	37.7%	42.2%	33.8%
Sudan	South Yambio	2017	40.9%	43.1%	38.7%
Sudan	South Yerol	2000	33.9%	38.9%	29.6%
Sudan	South Yerol	2017	35.2%	37.3%	33.2%
Swaziland	Dvokodvweni	2000	54.1%	68.8%	39.1%
Swaziland	Dvokodvweni	2017	77.2%	87.6%	63.7%
Swaziland	Ekukhanyeni	2000	50.1%	64.0%	41.4%
Swaziland	Ekukhanyeni	2017	77.5%	88.7%	65.6%
Swaziland	Gege	2000	40.4%	56.7%	27.4%
Swaziland	Gege	2017	67.3%	79.5%	52.5%
Swaziland	Hhukwini	2000	39.4%	49.8%	32.7%
Swaziland	Hhukwini	2017	58.4%	71.7%	47.4%
Swaziland	Hlane	2000	77.0%	92.0%	57.4%
Swaziland	Hlane	2017	89.9%	98.1%	71.4%
Swaziland	Hosea	2000	20.8%	31.0%	13.8%
Swaziland	Hosea	2017	45.9%	58.0%	35.2%
Swaziland	Kubuta	2000	35.1%	65.9%	10.8%
Swaziland	Kubuta	2017	59.4%	83.5%	29.1%
Swaziland	Kwaluseni	2000	52.9%	58.2%	48.3%
Swaziland	Kwaluseni	2017	82.2%	86.0%	78.7%
Swaziland	Lamgabhi	2000	63.7%	68.7%	57.8%
Swaziland	Lamgabhi	2017	86.2%	89.3%	81.9%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2000	83.6%	87.2%	77.6%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2017	94.6%	96.6%	92.0%
Swaziland	Lobamba Lomdzala	2000	84.0%	88.9%	77.9%
Swaziland	Lobamba Lomdzala	2017	96.0%	97.8%	93.0%
Swaziland	Lomahasha	2000	43.3%	67.2%	23.9%
Swaziland	Lomahasha	2017	68.2%	89.7%	44.7%
Swaziland	Lubuli	2000	44.9%	64.4%	20.0%
Swaziland	Lubuli	2017	65.8%	81.6%	40.0%
Swaziland	Ludzeludze	2000	59.1%	65.7%	54.3%
Swaziland	Ludzeludze	2017	82.9%	89.6%	77.2%
Swaziland	Lugongolweni	2000	68.3%	86.5%	44.7%
Swaziland	Lugongolweni	2017	83.4%	95.1%	65.0%
Swaziland	Madlangempisi	2000	31.2%	55.7%	15.0%
Swaziland	Madlangempisi	2017	57.0%	80.5%	32.7%
Swaziland	Mafutseni	2000	35.5%	51.8%	23.9%
Swaziland	Mafutseni	2017	63.8%	77.5%	48.2%
Swaziland	Mahlangatja	2000	43.1%	59.5%	24.3%
Swaziland	Mahlangatja	2017	63.1%	76.0%	45.0%
Swaziland	Mangcongco	2000	55.7%	85.4%	20.7%
Swaziland	Mangcongco	2017	75.2%	93.6%	43.1%
Swaziland	Manzini North	2000	67.2%	70.2%	63.8%
Swaziland	Manzini North	2017	90.7%	91.9%	88.9%
Swaziland	Manzini South	2000	62.6%	68.4%	55.1%
Swaziland	Manzini South	2017	81.0%	84.8%	77.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Swaziland	Maseyisini	2000	59.0%	66.6%	49.5%
Swaziland	Maseyisini	2017	83.3%	88.1%	75.4%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni North	2000	52.5%	69.2%	25.7%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni North	2017	71.8%	83.9%	50.0%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni South	2000	31.0%	47.6%	14.8%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni South	2017	57.8%	76.1%	36.4%
Swaziland	Mayiwane	2000	45.1%	63.4%	31.5%
Swaziland	Mayiwane	2017	73.2%	88.5%	57.7%
Swaziland	Mbabane East	2000	83.4%	85.1%	81.7%
Swaziland	Mbabane East	2017	95.2%	95.8%	94.5%
Swaziland	Mbabane West	2000	76.1%	79.3%	72.5%
Swaziland	Mbabane West	2017	92.6%	94.5%	90.3%
Swaziland	Mbangweni	2000	59.2%	66.7%	51.2%
Swaziland	Mbangweni	2017	81.5%	86.6%	75.1%
Swaziland	Mhlambanyatsi	2000	86.0%	92.6%	77.5%
Swaziland	Mhlambanyatsi	2017	95.0%	98.2%	89.9%
Swaziland	Mhlangatane	2000	62.0%	75.6%	43.8%
Swaziland	Mhlangatane	2017	82.5%	92.3%	67.5%
Swaziland	Mhlume	2000	79.7%	86.0%	71.4%
Swaziland	Mhlume	2017	91.8%	95.3%	86.2%
Swaziland	Mkhiweni	2000	71.6%	79.8%	58.7%
Swaziland	Mkhiweni	2017	86.4%	90.4%	79.5%
Swaziland	Motjane	2000	76.0%	84.8%	65.6%
Swaziland	Motjane	2017	92.5%	96.2%	87.6%
Swaziland	Mphalaleni	2000	43.9%	60.3%	27.9%
Swaziland	Mphalaleni	2017	66.3%	78.4%	49.8%
Swaziland	Mpholonjeni	2000	40.6%	55.0%	29.1%
Swaziland	Mpholonjeni	2017	67.0%	80.9%	54.2%
Swaziland	Mthongwaneni	2000	45.3%	57.6%	35.6%
Swaziland	Mthongwaneni	2017	65.8%	77.4%	54.0%
Swaziland	Mtsambama	2000	52.4%	59.1%	45.7%
Swaziland	Mtsambama	2017	75.4%	80.4%	70.1%
Swaziland	Ndzingeni	2000	43.1%	54.3%	32.8%
Swaziland	Ndzingeni	2017	70.4%	80.7%	60.0%
Swaziland	Ngudzeni	2000	43.5%	76.5%	17.6%
Swaziland	Ngudzeni	2017	67.6%	92.1%	36.8%
Swaziland	Ngwenpisi	2000	40.2%	53.5%	30.7%
Swaziland	Ngwenpisi	2017	64.2%	76.7%	53.4%
Swaziland	Nhlambeni	2000	36.7%	44.0%	29.8%
Swaziland	Nhlambeni	2017	60.4%	68.5%	51.6%
Swaziland	Nkhaba	2000	78.2%	88.0%	64.9%
Swaziland	Nkhaba	2017	91.2%	96.0%	82.2%
Swaziland	Nkilongo	2000	74.5%	81.8%	66.3%
Swaziland	Nkilongo	2017	88.3%	93.3%	81.6%
Swaziland	Nkwene	2000	62.9%	85.1%	37.7%
Swaziland	Nkwene	2017	80.3%	93.1%	60.6%
Swaziland	Ntfonjeni	2000	57.9%	68.2%	47.0%
Swaziland	Ntfonjeni	2017	78.1%	86.2%	66.5%
Swaziland	Ntondozi	2000	45.8%	61.7%	28.9%
Swaziland	Ntondozi	2017	68.1%	83.5%	49.5%
Swaziland	Pigg's Peak	2000	72.4%	82.8%	58.3%
Swaziland	Pigg's Peak	2017	87.6%	93.8%	78.3%
Swaziland	Sandleni	2000	36.6%	49.4%	26.6%
Swaziland	Sandleni	2017	62.8%	74.9%	51.0%
Swaziland	Shiselweni	2000	19.7%	35.4%	8.6%
Swaziland	Shiselweni	2017	45.6%	66.7%	25.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Swaziland	Sigwe	2000	36.2%	54.7%	21.3%
Swaziland	Sigwe	2017	64.6%	80.8%	43.5%
Swaziland	Siphofaneni	2000	44.9%	62.4%	27.6%
Swaziland	Siphofaneni	2017	69.8%	83.3%	53.7%
Swaziland	Sithobela	2000	22.8%	34.7%	14.2%
Swaziland	Sithobela	2017	46.9%	62.1%	33.6%
Swaziland	Somntongo	2000	71.2%	88.1%	51.2%
Swaziland	Somntongo	2017	87.2%	96.5%	72.6%
Swaziland	Timpisini	2000	72.8%	79.9%	64.4%
Swaziland	Timpisini	2017	91.4%	94.8%	87.2%
Swaziland	Zombodze	2000	21.3%	31.4%	15.3%
Swaziland	Zombodze	2017	48.6%	59.3%	38.7%
Tanzania	Arusha	2000	69.5%	76.6%	63.0%
Tanzania	Arusha	2017	87.3%	92.7%	82.4%
Tanzania	Arusha Urban	2000	80.3%	85.2%	73.8%
Tanzania	Arusha Urban	2017	96.2%	98.0%	91.7%
Tanzania	Babati	2000	39.2%	47.3%	31.5%
Tanzania	Babati	2017	63.8%	70.5%	55.5%
Tanzania	Babati Urban	2000	76.5%	86.3%	66.2%
Tanzania	Babati Urban	2017	89.6%	95.7%	81.4%
Tanzania	Bagamoyo	2000	46.7%	53.8%	39.9%
Tanzania	Bagamoyo	2017	73.0%	79.3%	67.1%
Tanzania	Bahi	2000	34.6%	44.7%	25.3%
Tanzania	Bahi	2017	56.1%	66.1%	47.1%
Tanzania	Bariadi	2000	32.8%	41.1%	25.1%
Tanzania	Bariadi	2017	63.3%	71.7%	54.9%
Tanzania	Biharamulo	2000	31.7%	41.7%	22.8%
Tanzania	Biharamulo	2017	58.2%	68.2%	49.2%
Tanzania	Buhigwe	2000	46.3%	59.2%	33.8%
Tanzania	Buhigwe	2017	71.4%	81.4%	60.2%
Tanzania	Bukoba Rural	2000	29.3%	39.9%	19.7%
Tanzania	Bukoba Rural	2017	52.8%	65.1%	40.6%
Tanzania	Bukoba Urban	2000	30.6%	45.3%	14.7%
Tanzania	Bukoba Urban	2017	60.9%	80.3%	44.2%
Tanzania	Bukombe	2000	29.5%	38.5%	20.1%
Tanzania	Bukombe	2017	61.1%	69.5%	51.7%
Tanzania	Bunda	2000	39.2%	49.3%	29.5%
Tanzania	Bunda	2017	64.3%	73.8%	54.4%
Tanzania	Busega	2000	31.7%	45.3%	18.6%
Tanzania	Busega	2017	57.8%	71.1%	43.4%
Tanzania	Butiama	2000	32.6%	43.3%	23.3%
Tanzania	Butiama	2017	58.6%	68.7%	46.8%
Tanzania	Chake	2000	81.0%	83.3%	77.7%
Tanzania	Chake	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.1%
Tanzania	Chamwino	2000	32.7%	41.3%	24.8%
Tanzania	Chamwino	2017	58.1%	65.3%	49.4%
Tanzania	Chato	2000	28.2%	37.3%	19.8%
Tanzania	Chato	2017	57.5%	66.9%	47.6%
Tanzania	Chemba	2000	30.2%	37.1%	23.5%
Tanzania	Chemba	2017	53.5%	61.5%	45.4%
Tanzania	Chunya	2000	30.5%	39.3%	23.7%
Tanzania	Chunya	2017	56.0%	63.6%	48.9%
Tanzania	Dodoma	2000	55.3%	62.7%	47.3%
Tanzania	Dodoma Urban	2017	76.4%	83.5%	68.5%
Tanzania	Dodoma Urban	2000	33.8%	45.6%	22.0%
Tanzania	Gairo	2017	58.7%	70.4%	48.1%
Tanzania	Geita	2000	32.8%	41.3%	25.7%
Tanzania	Geita	2017	59.9%	67.3%	52.4%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Hai	2000	73.0%	83.1%	58.9%
Tanzania	Hai	2017	91.3%	96.9%	80.9%
Tanzania	Hanang	2000	45.6%	54.9%	36.1%
Tanzania	Hanang	2017	68.2%	76.4%	58.6%
Tanzania	Handeni	2000	39.6%	49.7%	30.5%
Tanzania	Handeni	2017	64.6%	74.4%	55.4%
Tanzania	Handeni	2000	34.5%	49.0%	21.5%
Tanzania	Township Authority Handeni Township Authority	2017	68.0%	79.7%	54.5%
Tanzania	Igunga	2000	28.9%	36.7%	22.2%
Tanzania	Igunga	2017	52.5%	59.9%	44.0%
Tanzania	Ikungi	2000	27.6%	34.9%	20.8%
Tanzania	Ikungi	2017	51.1%	59.0%	43.7%
Tanzania	Ilala	2000	65.7%	70.2%	61.9%
Tanzania	Ilala	2017	93.2%	95.3%	90.3%
Tanzania	Ileje	2000	36.4%	50.6%	23.8%
Tanzania	Ileje	2017	62.8%	76.2%	49.9%
Tanzania	Ilemela	2000	73.3%	81.3%	63.4%
Tanzania	Ilemela	2017	90.1%	95.7%	83.9%
Tanzania	Iramba	2000	29.4%	38.4%	21.9%
Tanzania	Iramba	2017	54.3%	63.7%	45.8%
Tanzania	Iringa Rural	2000	32.3%	40.1%	24.9%
Tanzania	Iringa Rural	2017	58.1%	65.2%	50.4%
Tanzania	Iringa Urban	2000	69.8%	75.3%	63.7%
Tanzania	Iringa Urban	2017	84.4%	93.8%	79.0%
Tanzania	Itilima	2000	33.4%	43.0%	24.5%
Tanzania	Itilima	2017	62.5%	71.2%	51.7%
Tanzania	Kahama	2000	28.6%	35.3%	21.4%
Tanzania	Kahama	2017	54.8%	62.8%	46.5%
Tanzania	Kahama	2000	45.9%	64.1%	28.5%
Tanzania	Township Authority Kahama Township Authority	2017	68.1%	80.0%	50.8%
Tanzania	Kakonko	2000	34.6%	46.0%	23.3%
Tanzania	Kakonko	2017	62.0%	72.6%	49.4%
Tanzania	Kalambo	2000	29.6%	37.9%	20.9%
Tanzania	Kalambo	2017	54.8%	62.6%	44.6%
Tanzania	Kaliua	2000	26.5%	32.9%	19.7%
Tanzania	Kaliua	2017	50.8%	57.4%	43.0%
Tanzania	Karagwe	2000	27.1%	35.4%	19.6%
Tanzania	Karagwe	2017	53.8%	62.8%	45.3%
Tanzania	Karatu	2000	43.5%	55.4%	31.7%
Tanzania	Karatu	2017	68.3%	77.9%	56.0%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'A'	2000	68.1%	71.3%	64.5%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'A'	2017	91.9%	93.1%	90.4%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'B'	2000	81.2%	83.9%	77.8%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'B'	2017	97.1%	97.9%	95.7%
Tanzania	Kasulu	2000	42.3%	54.2%	30.2%
Tanzania	Kasulu	2017	68.6%	77.3%	56.6%
Tanzania	Kasulu Town- ship Authority	2000	42.3%	52.4%	33.5%
Tanzania	Kasulu Town- ship Authority	2017	71.8%	79.5%	62.1%
Tanzania	Kati	2000	53.0%	56.0%	49.8%
Tanzania	Kati	2017	87.1%	88.9%	85.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Kibaha	2000	42.4%	55.4%	28.4%
Tanzania	Kibaha	2017	71.1%	81.3%	59.6%
Tanzania	Kibaha Urban	2000	68.8%	80.4%	54.9%
Tanzania	Kibaha Urban	2017	88.5%	94.4%	80.3%
Tanzania	Kibondo	2000	32.0%	40.1%	23.7%
Tanzania	Kibondo	2017	61.8%	71.3%	52.6%
Tanzania	Kigoma Rural	2000	51.2%	63.4%	40.1%
Tanzania	Kigoma Rural	2017	74.3%	83.3%	64.2%
Tanzania	Kigoma Urban	2000	33.2%	37.5%	29.0%
Tanzania	Kigoma Urban	2017	85.2%	87.7%	82.5%
Tanzania	Kilindi	2000	26.8%	36.6%	18.0%
Tanzania	Kilindi	2017	50.5%	61.2%	39.0%
Tanzania	Kilolo	2000	41.7%	50.6%	34.1%
Tanzania	Kilolo	2017	65.1%	72.4%	56.9%
Tanzania	Kilombero	2000	46.6%	54.6%	38.5%
Tanzania	Kilombero	2017	72.3%	78.7%	65.5%
Tanzania	Kilosa	2000	42.6%	50.8%	36.0%
Tanzania	Kilosa	2017	67.5%	74.0%	61.9%
Tanzania	Kilwa	2000	37.2%	44.6%	29.6%
Tanzania	Kilwa	2017	61.4%	68.8%	54.4%
Tanzania	Kinondoni	2000	86.7%	89.1%	83.4%
Tanzania	Kinondoni	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.4%
Tanzania	Kisarawe	2000	53.6%	61.3%	45.4%
Tanzania	Kisarawe	2017	78.5%	84.0%	72.3%
Tanzania	Kishapu	2000	32.0%	40.4%	22.9%
Tanzania	Kishapu	2017	56.7%	66.7%	47.2%
Tanzania	Kiteto	2000	30.4%	39.2%	23.1%
Tanzania	Kiteto	2017	56.7%	65.9%	47.9%
Tanzania	Kondoa	2000	34.8%	43.4%	25.1%
Tanzania	Kondoa	2017	59.3%	67.2%	50.1%
Tanzania	Kongwa	2000	42.4%	52.3%	32.8%
Tanzania	Kongwa	2017	66.2%	75.0%	55.2%
Tanzania	Korogwe	2000	32.5%	42.4%	24.0%
Tanzania	Korogwe	2017	57.5%	67.8%	46.9%
Tanzania	Korogwe Township Authority	2000	31.8%	52.8%	17.9%
Tanzania	Korogwe Township Authority	2017	67.6%	85.3%	48.9%
Tanzania	Kusini	2000	74.1%	80.0%	66.1%
Tanzania	Kusini	2017	92.7%	96.1%	89.1%
Tanzania	Kwimba	2000	34.6%	46.0%	24.2%
Tanzania	Kwimba	2017	62.2%	72.3%	51.1%
Tanzania	Kyela	2000	61.4%	72.3%	47.6%
Tanzania	Kyela	2017	84.1%	92.6%	73.1%
Tanzania	Kyerwa	2000	30.2%	41.8%	20.1%
Tanzania	Kyerwa	2017	55.6%	68.1%	44.6%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2000	32.0%	58.9%	10.5%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2000	31.5%	76.7%	2.9%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2017	55.4%	80.9%	27.5%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2017	55.3%	93.6%	12.7%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2000	41.8%	58.6%	28.2%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2000	29.4%	73.0%	3.0%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2017	53.1%	88.1%	14.6%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2017	65.1%	81.2%	47.5%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	26.7%	69.3%	3.8%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	29.3%	59.0%	8.2%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	28.3%	46.1%	12.8%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	52.8%	83.3%	22.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	51.9%	71.9%	29.6%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	52.2%	85.6%	12.5%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	40.0%	52.7%	28.6%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	26.0%	49.2%	13.9%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	37.3%	52.0%	26.9%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	51.7%	74.1%	33.2%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	69.1%	78.7%	60.2%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	60.1%	73.8%	44.0%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	49.0%	56.1%	44.0%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	35.6%	53.0%	17.3%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	29.8%	37.5%	22.6%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	34.7%	65.2%	9.1%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	70.3%	76.2%	65.0%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	60.6%	85.2%	27.9%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	53.4%	62.3%	45.4%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	60.7%	75.7%	40.9%
Tanzania	Lindi Rural	2000	38.1%	46.0%	30.8%
Tanzania	Lindi Rural	2017	63.8%	71.3%	57.1%
Tanzania	Lindi Urban	2000	43.3%	49.3%	36.4%
Tanzania	Lindi Urban	2017	73.8%	79.4%	68.8%
Tanzania	Liwale	2000	34.7%	47.9%	23.8%
Tanzania	Liwale	2017	60.9%	70.6%	49.2%
Tanzania	Longido	2000	38.9%	47.5%	30.9%
Tanzania	Longido	2017	63.2%	70.6%	55.6%
Tanzania	Ludewa	2000	35.4%	45.0%	26.1%
Tanzania	Ludewa	2017	60.2%	68.8%	50.5%
Tanzania	Lushoto	2000	37.2%	45.7%	29.2%
Tanzania	Lushoto	2017	58.6%	66.7%	49.8%
Tanzania	Mafia	2000	28.7%	54.1%	10.3%
Tanzania	Mafia	2017	57.4%	80.1%	31.4%
Tanzania	Mafinga Township Authority	2000	59.0%	75.0%	47.9%
Tanzania	Mafinga Township Authority	2017	81.5%	92.9%	70.8%
Tanzania	Magharibi	2000	66.9%	68.5%	65.6%
Tanzania	Magharibi	2017	95.4%	96.0%	94.8%
Tanzania	Magu	2000	40.2%	52.0%	27.8%
Tanzania	Magu	2017	66.4%	77.3%	54.7%
Tanzania	Makambako Township Authority	2000	26.3%	32.6%	20.8%
Tanzania	Makambako Township Authority	2017	50.9%	59.7%	41.7%
Tanzania	Makete	2000	38.0%	49.4%	27.6%
Tanzania	Makete	2017	63.5%	74.0%	53.2%
Tanzania	Manyoni	2000	34.0%	41.8%	26.9%
Tanzania	Manyoni	2017	56.9%	64.2%	49.4%
Tanzania	Masasi	2000	37.1%	46.2%	28.3%
Tanzania	Masasi	2017	61.0%	69.3%	52.0%
Tanzania	Masasi Township Authority	2000	32.1%	51.0%	16.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Masasi Township Authority	2017	63.4%	79.6%	49.3%
Tanzania	Maswa	2000	33.9%	42.3%	25.8%
Tanzania	Maswa	2017	58.3%	67.9%	49.9%
Tanzania	Mbarali	2000	39.8%	53.0%	29.5%
Tanzania	Mbarali	2017	64.7%	75.1%	53.1%
Tanzania	Mbeya Rural	2000	48.3%	58.5%	38.3%
Tanzania	Mbeya Rural	2017	74.0%	81.7%	67.3%
Tanzania	Mbeya Urban	2000	72.6%	80.8%	60.9%
Tanzania	Mbeya Urban	2017	92.2%	96.2%	86.4%
Tanzania	Mbinga	2000	32.4%	40.2%	23.9%
Tanzania	Mbinga	2017	60.3%	68.9%	49.4%
Tanzania	Mbogwe	2000	35.5%	48.9%	21.8%
Tanzania	Mbogwe	2017	63.7%	75.1%	49.9%
Tanzania	Mbozi	2000	34.1%	43.6%	24.4%
Tanzania	Mbozi	2017	63.2%	72.8%	52.6%
Tanzania	Mbulu	2000	43.8%	53.2%	35.8%
Tanzania	Mbulu	2017	67.3%	76.0%	58.9%
Tanzania	Meatu	2000	28.1%	36.1%	19.7%
Tanzania	Meatu	2017	53.9%	62.2%	44.7%
Tanzania	Meru	2000	56.4%	66.9%	43.8%
Tanzania	Meru	2017	82.7%	89.2%	73.3%
Tanzania	Micheweni	2000	37.8%	41.0%	34.6%
Tanzania	Micheweni	2017	83.9%	86.2%	81.1%
Tanzania	Missenyi	2000	27.7%	41.0%	17.0%
Tanzania	Missenyi	2017	52.0%	65.5%	38.6%
Tanzania	Misungwi	2000	34.8%	46.6%	24.8%
Tanzania	Misungwi	2017	61.5%	72.6%	50.4%
Tanzania	Mjini	2000	78.8%	80.8%	76.4%
Tanzania	Mjini	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Tanzania	Mkalama	2000	30.7%	40.8%	20.7%
Tanzania	Mkalama	2017	58.2%	68.3%	47.7%
Tanzania	Mkinga	2000	38.1%	51.5%	25.2%
Tanzania	Mkinga	2017	61.6%	72.9%	49.0%
Tanzania	Mkoani	2000	57.1%	59.8%	54.9%
Tanzania	Mkoani	2017	78.7%	82.2%	76.0%
Tanzania	Mkuranga	2000	34.0%	41.9%	27.0%
Tanzania	Mkuranga	2017	68.7%	75.1%	61.3%
Tanzania	Mlele	2000	29.1%	34.5%	23.7%
Tanzania	Mlele	2017	53.1%	58.7%	47.4%
Tanzania	Momba	2000	29.2%	39.5%	19.8%
Tanzania	Momba	2017	54.9%	64.0%	44.0%
Tanzania	Monduli	2000	38.8%	49.2%	29.3%
Tanzania	Monduli	2017	64.6%	73.4%	54.9%
Tanzania	Morogoro Rural	2000	38.0%	47.4%	29.1%
Tanzania	Morogoro Rural	2017	62.1%	71.4%	52.7%
Tanzania	Morogoro Urban	2000	75.4%	81.3%	72.6%
Tanzania	Morogoro Urban	2017	85.3%	92.8%	79.1%
Tanzania	Moshi Rural	2000	69.0%	73.4%	64.2%
Tanzania	Moshi Rural	2017	90.8%	93.7%	87.5%
Tanzania	Moshi Urban	2000	75.3%	79.2%	70.5%
Tanzania	Moshi Urban	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.2%
Tanzania	Mpanda	2000	29.7%	35.2%	23.6%
Tanzania	Mpanda	2017	54.4%	61.0%	47.2%
Tanzania	Mpanda Urban	2000	35.5%	42.8%	29.8%
Tanzania	Mpanda Urban	2017	79.4%	84.8%	74.3%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Mpwapwa	2000	33.0%	42.2%	24.1%
Tanzania	Mpwapwa	2017	57.2%	65.6%	47.8%
Tanzania	Mtwara Rural	2000	41.4%	51.2%	31.5%
Tanzania	Mtwara Rural	2017	65.3%	74.5%	56.7%
Tanzania	Mtwara Urban	2000	52.7%	65.2%	42.0%
Tanzania	Mtwara Urban	2017	86.5%	92.3%	78.0%
Tanzania	Mufindi	2000	31.8%	40.0%	24.4%
Tanzania	Mufindi	2017	57.0%	64.9%	48.2%
Tanzania	Muheza	2000	58.2%	68.9%	47.4%
Tanzania	Muheza	2017	75.3%	85.3%	63.0%
Tanzania	Muleba	2000	30.2%	38.6%	22.0%
Tanzania	Muleba	2017	53.9%	63.3%	44.2%
Tanzania	Musoma Rural	2000	21.1%	35.7%	9.9%
Tanzania	Musoma Rural	2017	41.5%	57.7%	26.2%
Tanzania	Musoma Urban	2000	31.4%	34.0%	28.5%
Tanzania	Musoma Urban	2017	69.4%	73.6%	65.6%
Tanzania	Mvomero	2000	44.2%	52.0%	36.1%
Tanzania	Mvomero	2017	66.2%	73.2%	58.9%
Tanzania	Mwanga	2000	41.3%	53.2%	29.4%
Tanzania	Mwanga	2017	67.9%	80.3%	56.9%
Tanzania	Nachingwea	2000	37.6%	44.6%	30.8%
Tanzania	Nachingwea	2017	62.9%	70.0%	55.4%
Tanzania	Namtumbo	2000	32.6%	40.5%	25.6%
Tanzania	Namtumbo	2017	59.7%	67.3%	50.7%
Tanzania	Nanyumbu	2000	28.9%	40.8%	18.9%
Tanzania	Nanyumbu	2017	53.0%	64.2%	40.7%
Tanzania	Newala	2000	32.8%	46.3%	23.1%
Tanzania	Newala	2017	64.7%	76.2%	54.3%
Tanzania	Ngara	2000	30.0%	41.0%	20.4%
Tanzania	Ngara	2017	56.1%	66.5%	45.6%
Tanzania	Ngorongoro	2000	30.9%	38.8%	24.3%
Tanzania	Ngorongoro	2017	54.7%	62.8%	48.0%
Tanzania	Njombe	2000	28.1%	42.0%	16.6%
Tanzania	Njombe	2017	53.5%	67.3%	38.1%
Tanzania	Njombe Urban	2000	44.9%	54.1%	37.2%
Tanzania	Njombe Urban	2017	68.0%	76.4%	60.1%
Tanzania	Nkasi	2000	35.7%	42.3%	29.2%
Tanzania	Nkasi	2017	60.3%	66.7%	53.4%
Tanzania	Nyamagana	2000	63.8%	76.0%	51.0%
Tanzania	Nyamagana	2017	85.1%	93.4%	70.4%
Tanzania	Nyang'wale	2000	27.5%	42.4%	16.3%
Tanzania	Nyang'wale	2017	52.4%	66.7%	40.2%
Tanzania	Nyasa	2000	32.0%	44.0%	20.9%
Tanzania	Nyasa	2017	57.9%	68.9%	46.4%
Tanzania	Nzega	2000	33.2%	39.5%	27.3%
Tanzania	Nzega	2017	58.8%	64.5%	53.6%
Tanzania	Pangani	2000	44.0%	61.2%	27.6%
Tanzania	Pangani	2017	70.7%	84.3%	52.7%
Tanzania	Rombo	2000	69.8%	77.3%	62.2%
Tanzania	Rombo	2017	87.2%	93.1%	81.1%
Tanzania	Rorya	2000	21.1%	30.5%	14.3%
Tanzania	Rorya	2017	41.9%	54.4%	32.5%
Tanzania	Ruangwa	2000	27.0%	35.7%	19.6%
Tanzania	Ruangwa	2017	58.1%	66.0%	50.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Rufiji	2000	33.5%	41.9%	26.4%
Tanzania	Rufiji	2017	60.2%	69.2%	52.7%
Tanzania	Rungwe	2000	36.1%	47.4%	25.8%
Tanzania	Rungwe	2017	63.7%	73.7%	52.0%
Tanzania	Same	2000	45.9%	56.3%	34.4%
Tanzania	Same	2017	69.9%	78.8%	58.9%
Tanzania	Sengerema	2000	40.5%	50.6%	32.1%
Tanzania	Sengerema	2017	63.8%	74.0%	54.9%
Tanzania	Serengeti	2000	27.6%	37.6%	18.8%
Tanzania	Serengeti	2017	54.6%	65.3%	43.8%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Rural	2000	27.9%	38.4%	18.9%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Rural	2017	54.4%	64.5%	44.8%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Urban	2000	40.0%	54.8%	31.5%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Urban	2017	65.7%	81.0%	54.3%
Tanzania	Siha	2000	67.3%	81.6%	53.7%
Tanzania	Siha	2017	84.7%	93.2%	74.0%
Tanzania	Sikonge	2000	27.1%	34.4%	20.1%
Tanzania	Sikonge	2017	52.0%	60.1%	43.3%
Tanzania	Simanjiro	2000	35.7%	41.8%	30.2%
Tanzania	Simanjiro	2017	61.4%	66.6%	56.3%
Tanzania	Singida Rural	2000	28.4%	38.4%	19.9%
Tanzania	Singida Rural	2017	53.7%	64.2%	43.6%
Tanzania	Singida Urban	2000	52.9%	64.3%	43.9%
Tanzania	Singida Urban	2017	78.1%	86.5%	69.4%
Tanzania	Songea Rural	2000	38.3%	46.6%	31.1%
Tanzania	Songea Rural	2017	65.1%	72.6%	57.2%
Tanzania	Songea Urban	2000	59.0%	66.9%	50.9%
Tanzania	Songea Urban	2017	91.6%	95.1%	87.3%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Rural	2000	26.4%	34.2%	18.8%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Rural	2017	52.6%	60.4%	43.8%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Urban	2000	25.3%	31.9%	20.0%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Urban	2017	61.3%	67.9%	54.8%
Tanzania	Tabora Urban	2000	47.3%	55.8%	37.3%
Tanzania	Tabora Urban	2017	78.8%	85.0%	70.8%
Tanzania	Tandahimba	2000	32.4%	43.1%	23.2%
Tanzania	Tandahimba	2017	59.3%	71.1%	49.1%
Tanzania	Tanga	2000	85.5%	92.3%	78.1%
Tanzania	Tanga	2017	94.7%	98.2%	88.6%
Tanzania	Tarime	2000	16.3%	24.9%	9.0%
Tanzania	Tarime	2017	47.2%	57.1%	36.4%
Tanzania	Temeke	2000	65.4%	69.4%	61.4%
Tanzania	Temeke	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.1%
Tanzania	Tunduma	2000	52.6%	83.6%	13.5%
Tanzania	Tunduma	2017	78.7%	96.3%	42.3%
Tanzania	Tunduru	2000	37.4%	43.4%	31.5%
Tanzania	Tunduru	2017	61.8%	67.9%	54.9%
Tanzania	Ukerewe	2000	31.8%	46.2%	18.4%
Tanzania	Ukerewe	2017	57.6%	71.9%	43.0%
Tanzania	Ulanga	2000	43.7%	52.1%	35.5%
Tanzania	Ulanga	2017	65.3%	73.2%	56.1%
Tanzania	Urambo	2000	26.5%	39.9%	16.1%
Tanzania	Urambo	2017	51.5%	66.2%	36.2%
Tanzania	Uvinza	2000	29.1%	37.2%	21.4%
Tanzania	Uvinza	2017	54.7%	62.6%	45.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Uyui	2000	28.5%	34.7%	21.9%
Tanzania	Uyui	2017	53.7%	59.6%	46.0%
Tanzania	Wanging'ombe	2000	42.7%	52.7%	33.0%
Tanzania	Wanging'ombe	2017	69.0%	77.9%	59.0%
Tanzania	Wete	2000	69.2%	71.8%	66.2%
Tanzania	Wete	2017	90.7%	92.1%	88.9%
Togo	Amou	2000	37.3%	51.4%	24.5%
Togo	Amou	2017	54.7%	67.0%	42.2%
Togo	Assoli	2000	36.1%	53.6%	24.3%
Togo	Assoli	2017	63.8%	81.0%	52.7%
Togo	Bassar	2000	42.5%	51.7%	34.7%
Togo	Bassar	2017	68.3%	75.3%	60.8%
Togo	Bimah	2000	40.5%	55.4%	28.7%
Togo	Bimah	2017	74.3%	84.2%	63.0%
Togo	Doufelgou	2000	43.0%	55.6%	33.2%
Togo	Doufelgou	2017	71.5%	80.9%	60.9%
Togo	Golfe (incl Lomé)	2000	66.7%	71.6%	62.1%
Togo	Golfe (incl Lomé)	2017	79.0%	87.3%	69.2%
Togo	Haho	2000	35.7%	45.8%	25.6%
Togo	Haho	2017	58.9%	66.4%	49.8%
Togo	Kéran	2000	43.4%	54.9%	30.4%
Togo	Kéran	2017	68.2%	76.2%	58.8%
Togo	Kloto	2000	39.7%	50.5%	30.8%
Togo	Kloto	2017	54.7%	66.9%	45.1%
Togo	Kozah	2000	60.8%	70.4%	51.9%
Togo	Kozah	2017	83.1%	89.2%	74.5%
Togo	Lacs	2000	39.3%	50.0%	30.5%
Togo	Lacs	2017	68.0%	77.2%	56.7%
Togo	Ogou	2000	37.0%	45.9%	30.3%
Togo	Ogou	2017	60.0%	66.8%	53.7%
Togo	Oti	2000	32.5%	44.6%	23.2%
Togo	Oti	2017	61.1%	69.8%	51.8%
Togo	Sotouboua	2000	42.8%	62.8%	25.7%
Togo	Sotouboua	2017	66.3%	79.1%	51.3%
Togo	Tchamba (Nyala)	2000	39.8%	50.0%	31.5%
Togo	Tchamba (Nyala)	2017	67.3%	75.7%	59.6%
Togo	Tchaudjo	2000	51.5%	59.4%	44.9%
Togo	Tchaudjo	2017	77.1%	82.9%	69.6%
Togo	Tône	2000	44.1%	52.6%	35.9%
Togo	Tône	2017	63.6%	70.2%	56.3%
Togo	Vo	2000	37.1%	55.3%	23.0%
Togo	Vo	2017	60.0%	73.2%	45.5%
Togo	Wawa	2000	29.1%	48.4%	16.8%
Togo	Wawa	2017	39.8%	70.6%	27.9%
Togo	Yoto	2000	37.4%	49.2%	27.2%
Togo	Yoto	2017	62.3%	71.6%	52.7%
Togo	Zio	2000	44.7%	55.1%	36.3%
Togo	Zio	2017	70.0%	78.1%	61.2%
Uganda	Agago	2000	77.3%	85.9%	65.9%
Uganda	Agago	2017	88.5%	94.5%	80.4%
Uganda	Agule	2000	86.1%	91.9%	75.9%
Uganda	Agule	2017	95.7%	98.5%	87.9%
Uganda	Amuria	2000	73.7%	88.4%	52.6%
Uganda	Amuria	2017	86.3%	96.5%	66.8%
Uganda	Apac Municipality	2000	60.1%	73.4%	49.1%
Uganda	Apac Municipality	2017	80.1%	90.7%	67.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Aringa	2000	51.4%	63.9%	39.0%
Uganda	Aringa	2017	73.8%	85.3%	61.5%
Uganda	Arua Municipality	2000	81.9%	83.8%	79.5%
Uganda	Arua Municipality	2017	97.1%	97.5%	96.7%
Uganda	Aruu	2000	73.2%	83.7%	62.4%
Uganda	Aruu	2017	85.2%	92.8%	75.4%
Uganda	Aswa	2000	56.9%	76.1%	37.2%
Uganda	Aswa	2017	71.6%	88.2%	52.8%
Uganda	Ayivu	2000	76.3%	81.8%	69.4%
Uganda	Ayivu	2017	93.7%	96.2%	89.7%
Uganda	Bamunanika	2000	70.9%	82.6%	53.5%
Uganda	Bamunanika	2017	86.9%	95.1%	72.5%
Uganda	Bbaale	2000	50.1%	71.3%	32.0%
Uganda	Bbaale	2017	66.1%	84.7%	45.9%
Uganda	Bokora	2000	72.5%	82.4%	62.3%
Uganda	Bokora	2017	81.5%	89.8%	71.1%
Uganda	Bubulo East	2000	74.4%	77.4%	70.4%
Uganda	Bubulo East	2017	92.2%	94.1%	89.3%
Uganda	Bubulo West	2000	77.7%	85.6%	69.9%
Uganda	Bubulo West	2017	92.6%	96.6%	84.6%
Uganda	Budadiri	2000	56.4%	61.9%	51.8%
Uganda	Budadiri	2017	81.0%	85.7%	76.4%
Uganda	Budaka	2000	88.5%	91.2%	82.7%
Uganda	Budaka	2017	97.5%	98.2%	95.1%
Uganda	Budiope	2000	74.8%	83.0%	64.3%
Uganda	Budiope	2017	88.6%	94.0%	80.9%
Uganda	Bufumbira	2000	54.4%	65.4%	45.3%
Uganda	Bufumbira	2017	79.5%	87.5%	70.4%
Uganda	Bugabula	2000	78.7%	86.2%	70.6%
Uganda	Bugabula	2017	90.6%	95.5%	83.8%
Uganda	Bugahya	2000	38.9%	54.2%	25.4%
Uganda	Bugahya	2017	56.9%	70.9%	43.3%
Uganda	Bugangaizi	2000	65.0%	82.1%	48.7%
Uganda	Bugangaizi	2017	80.7%	93.1%	67.2%
Uganda	Bughendera	2000	55.2%	62.8%	47.2%
Uganda	Bughendera	2017	71.3%	77.2%	64.8%
Uganda	Bugiri Municipality	2000	60.7%	66.6%	54.9%
Uganda	Bugiri Municipality	2017	88.6%	91.5%	85.2%
Uganda	Bugweri	2000	75.9%	80.7%	71.7%
Uganda	Bugweri	2017	92.3%	95.1%	88.8%
Uganda	Buhaguzi	2000	51.7%	64.1%	39.9%
Uganda	Buhaguzi	2017	67.0%	79.0%	54.5%
Uganda	Buhweju	2000	42.8%	55.1%	27.9%
Uganda	Buhweju	2017	67.1%	77.3%	51.9%
Uganda	Buikwe	2000	63.8%	75.7%	52.3%
Uganda	Buikwe	2017	85.0%	93.3%	73.3%
Uganda	Bujenje	2000	64.0%	91.0%	32.1%
Uganda	Bujenje	2017	77.2%	96.8%	45.6%
Uganda	Bujumba	2000	42.5%	64.0%	21.7%
Uganda	Bujumba	2017	60.7%	80.2%	38.7%
Uganda	Bukanga	2000	37.4%	52.1%	22.1%
Uganda	Bukanga	2017	59.8%	73.2%	43.0%
Uganda	Bukedea	2000	81.3%	88.0%	74.6%
Uganda	Bukedea	2017	92.0%	96.8%	86.6%
Uganda	Bukomansimbi	2000	65.9%	75.9%	52.2%
Uganda	Bukomansimbi	2017	83.3%	88.7%	74.7%
Uganda	Bukonzo	2000	60.6%	69.0%	50.2%
Uganda	Bukonzo	2017	83.4%	89.0%	73.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Bukooli	2000	40.2%	52.7%	29.0%
Uganda	Bukooli	2017	62.8%	74.4%	50.1%
Uganda	Bukooli North	2000	54.5%	62.3%	47.2%
Uganda	Bukooli North	2017	74.8%	81.5%	67.7%
Uganda	Bukoto	2000	45.3%	50.3%	41.7%
Uganda	Bukoto	2000	58.0%	65.6%	49.1%
Uganda	Bukoto	2017	80.9%	87.1%	73.6%
Uganda	Bukoto	2017	68.8%	73.5%	64.5%
Uganda	Bulambuli	2000	36.9%	49.2%	27.6%
Uganda	Bulambuli	2017	62.2%	77.9%	49.4%
Uganda	Bulamogi	2000	82.6%	89.9%	74.8%
Uganda	Bulamogi	2017	93.1%	97.1%	86.9%
Uganda	Buliisa	2000	62.9%	84.6%	43.7%
Uganda	Buliisa	2017	78.0%	94.4%	60.4%
Uganda	Bungokho	2000	70.6%	73.5%	67.1%
Uganda	Bungokho	2017	93.5%	94.6%	91.3%
Uganda	Bunya	2000	52.8%	63.5%	42.3%
Uganda	Bunya	2017	70.9%	80.2%	60.6%
Uganda	Bunyangabu	2000	35.6%	46.3%	26.1%
Uganda	Bunyangabu	2017	56.2%	67.0%	45.9%
Uganda	Bunyaruguru	2000	52.6%	62.5%	42.3%
Uganda	Bunyaruguru	2017	78.8%	85.8%	70.5%
Uganda	Bunyole	2000	84.4%	91.2%	72.7%
Uganda	Bunyole	2017	95.0%	97.8%	87.6%
Uganda	Burahya	2000	52.1%	63.6%	41.2%
Uganda	Burahya	2017	74.7%	83.7%	65.2%
Uganda	Buruli	2000	46.5%	64.0%	30.3%
Uganda	Buruli	2000	68.8%	85.3%	45.5%
Uganda	Buruli	2017	61.8%	76.4%	46.3%
Uganda	Buruli	2017	80.4%	93.8%	59.9%
Uganda	Bushenyi-Ishaka Municipality	2000	73.1%	76.3%	70.3%
Uganda	Bushenyi-Ishaka Municipality	2017	92.6%	94.6%	91.1%
Uganda	Busia Municipality	2000	73.4%	85.9%	57.0%
Uganda	Busia Municipality	2017	95.1%	98.1%	89.5%
Uganda	Busiki	2000	74.1%	80.2%	66.1%
Uganda	Busiki	2017	88.9%	93.1%	83.3%
Uganda	Busiro	2000	66.3%	70.7%	61.8%
Uganda	Busiro	2017	87.9%	91.3%	84.1%
Uganda	Busongora	2000	52.5%	64.3%	40.5%
Uganda	Busongora	2017	72.4%	82.9%	59.7%
Uganda	Busujju	2000	49.1%	61.5%	39.6%
Uganda	Busujju	2017	66.8%	79.6%	55.9%
Uganda	Butambala	2000	56.5%	64.5%	46.5%
Uganda	Butambala	2017	79.1%	84.7%	72.8%
Uganda	Butebo	2000	62.6%	72.1%	53.7%
Uganda	Butebo	2017	84.8%	90.0%	78.4%
Uganda	Butembe	2000	86.3%	89.5%	82.5%
Uganda	Butembe	2017	95.2%	97.6%	91.7%
Uganda	Buvuma Island	2000	37.0%	54.7%	18.9%
Uganda	Buvuma Island	2017	50.2%	66.9%	29.6%
Uganda	Buweekula	2000	31.5%	53.5%	13.7%
Uganda	Buweekula	2017	47.3%	68.3%	27.3%
Uganda	Buyaga	2000	45.2%	54.1%	37.2%
Uganda	Buyaga	2017	68.5%	76.1%	60.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Buyanja	2000	44.1%	65.9%	26.3%
Uganda	Buyanja	2017	62.4%	81.0%	43.0%
Uganda	Buzaaya	2000	83.7%	85.5%	81.5%
Uganda	Buzaaya	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
Uganda	Bwamba	2000	52.5%	59.7%	40.4%
Uganda	Bwamba	2017	83.0%	87.2%	75.3%
Uganda	Chekwii	2000	55.1%	68.8%	41.0%
Uganda	Chekwii	2017	68.6%	80.5%	55.4%
Uganda	Chua	2000	74.5%	84.1%	63.3%
Uganda	Chua	2017	83.9%	92.2%	74.9%
Uganda	Dodoth	2000	67.2%	73.5%	60.3%
Uganda	Dodoth	2017	81.8%	87.3%	76.2%
Uganda	Dokolo	2000	44.3%	55.7%	32.3%
Uganda	Dokolo	2017	60.7%	70.7%	48.8%
Uganda	East Moyo	2000	76.6%	86.1%	67.6%
Uganda	East Moyo	2017	87.4%	95.3%	78.9%
Uganda	Entebbe Municipality	2000	84.5%	90.2%	75.2%
Uganda	Entebbe Municipality	2017	96.7%	98.2%	93.0%
Uganda	Erute	2000	65.0%	72.6%	57.2%
Uganda	Erute	2017	85.9%	91.5%	78.8%
Uganda	Fort Portal Municipality	2000	49.7%	54.6%	45.3%
Uganda	Fort Portal Municipality	2017	84.2%	87.6%	80.5%
Uganda	Gomba	2000	58.8%	71.2%	48.2%
Uganda	Gomba	2017	75.4%	86.4%	64.3%
Uganda	Gulu Municipality	2000	68.7%	72.4%	64.8%
Uganda	Gulu Municipality	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.8%
Uganda	Hoima Municipality	2000	84.9%	90.5%	78.5%
Uganda	Hoima Municipality	2017	95.2%	97.6%	91.4%
Uganda	Ibanda	2000	38.3%	52.4%	27.5%
Uganda	Ibanda	2017	61.9%	75.9%	51.2%
Uganda	Ibanda Municipality	2000	47.1%	58.0%	40.0%
Uganda	Ibanda Municipality	2017	70.8%	82.6%	60.1%
Uganda	Iganga Municipality	2000	94.0%	96.5%	90.9%
Uganda	Iganga Municipality	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.0%
Uganda	Igara	2000	53.2%	57.9%	48.1%
Uganda	Igara	2017	79.7%	84.4%	75.6%
Uganda	Iki-Iki	2000	61.2%	66.8%	55.3%
Uganda	Iki-Iki	2017	89.3%	92.3%	85.2%
Uganda	Isingiro	2000	41.7%	50.9%	34.3%
Uganda	Isingiro	2017	68.0%	75.7%	60.9%
Uganda	Jie	2000	68.6%	79.0%	58.5%
Uganda	Jie	2017	76.6%	87.3%	67.2%
Uganda	Jinja Municipality	2000	96.3%	99.1%	93.8%
Uganda	Jinja Municipality	2017	98.1%	99.9%	95.3%
Uganda	Jonam	2000	34.9%	47.4%	25.5%
Uganda	Jonam	2017	50.6%	63.0%	40.2%
Uganda	Kabale Municipality	2000	63.0%	67.1%	58.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Kabale Municipality	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.4%
Uganda	Kaberamaido	2000	31.9%	41.2%	23.4%
Uganda	Kaberamaido	2017	38.7%	50.9%	29.4%
Uganda	Kabula	2000	48.1%	69.5%	24.5%
Uganda	Kabula	2017	65.7%	81.2%	44.1%
Uganda	Kagoma	2000	89.5%	93.5%	83.2%
Uganda	Kagoma	2017	97.7%	98.8%	94.7%
Uganda	Kajara	2000	42.5%	60.5%	25.3%
Uganda	Kajara	2017	70.6%	85.2%	49.9%
Uganda	Kakuuto North	2000	48.0%	73.6%	17.0%
Uganda	Kakuuto North	2017	69.7%	91.7%	35.9%
Uganda	Kalaki	2000	84.3%	95.7%	56.6%
Uganda	Kalaki	2017	91.8%	98.5%	72.2%
Uganda	Kalungu	2000	56.2%	64.6%	47.9%
Uganda	Kalungu	2017	82.2%	87.7%	74.7%
Uganda	Kamuli Municipality	2000	84.3%	89.3%	76.8%
Uganda	Kamuli Municipality	2017	93.3%	96.2%	88.0%
Uganda	Kapchorwa Municipality	2000	61.2%	69.0%	51.0%
Uganda	Kapchorwa Municipality	2017	87.9%	92.4%	78.7%
Uganda	Kapelebyong	2000	86.7%	97.1%	64.9%
Uganda	Kapelebyong	2017	93.4%	99.2%	77.3%
Uganda	Kasambya	2000	35.9%	48.7%	24.5%
Uganda	Kasambya	2017	58.3%	72.3%	45.5%
Uganda	Kasese Municipality	2000	73.0%	80.1%	65.0%
Uganda	Kasese Municipality	2017	87.1%	93.4%	78.7%
Uganda	Kashari	2000	32.8%	46.8%	22.7%
Uganda	Kashari	2017	56.4%	70.3%	44.4%
Uganda	Kasilo	2000	71.3%	83.6%	54.6%
Uganda	Kasilo	2017	83.3%	91.7%	69.5%
Uganda	Kassanda	2000	37.7%	49.4%	26.8%
Uganda	Kassanda	2017	58.2%	69.9%	47.7%
Uganda	Katerera	2000	47.7%	55.7%	40.2%
Uganda	Katerera	2017	78.4%	83.7%	70.9%
Uganda	Katikamu	2000	64.7%	68.4%	60.6%
Uganda	Katikamu	2017	84.1%	88.0%	80.4%
Uganda	Katuuto East	2000	36.4%	72.5%	7.3%
Uganda	Katuuto East	2017	53.5%	84.3%	20.1%
Uganda	Katuuto West	2000	29.9%	56.8%	12.9%
Uganda	Katuuto West	2017	43.8%	76.8%	22.5%
Uganda	Kazo	2000	44.5%	59.1%	31.5%
Uganda	Kazo	2017	60.3%	74.4%	45.9%
Uganda	Kcca	2000	89.0%	89.9%	88.2%
Uganda	Kcca	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Uganda	Kibale	2000	58.8%	75.1%	38.3%
Uganda	Kibale	2017	76.6%	88.2%	55.4%
Uganda	Kibanda	2000	70.4%	82.2%	57.9%
Uganda	Kibanda	2017	81.0%	90.5%	70.3%
Uganda	Kiboga	2000	55.3%	68.3%	39.6%
Uganda	Kiboga	2000	45.0%	56.9%	32.8%
Uganda	Kiboga	2017	63.6%	75.0%	50.8%
Uganda	Kiboga	2017	73.0%	83.9%	59.5%
Uganda	Kibuku	2000	68.1%	75.7%	59.7%
Uganda	Kibuku	2017	81.5%	88.5%	73.6%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Kigulu	2000	80.1%	82.4%	75.6%
Uganda	Kigulu	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.0%
Uganda	Kilak	2000	40.4%	53.2%	28.6%
Uganda	Kilak	2017	58.7%	70.9%	46.5%
Uganda	Kinkiizi	2000	51.0%	59.9%	41.4%
Uganda	Kinkiizi	2017	73.9%	80.9%	64.5%
Uganda	Kioga	2000	61.5%	74.5%	47.1%
Uganda	Kioga	2017	70.6%	83.8%	59.1%
Uganda	Kira Municipality	2000	85.8%	89.4%	82.6%
Uganda	Kira Municipality	2017	97.8%	98.8%	96.5%
Uganda	Kisoro Municipality	2000	87.5%	91.0%	83.9%
Uganda	Kisoro Municipality	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Uganda	Kitagwenda	2000	30.8%	42.7%	22.3%
Uganda	Kitagwenda	2017	64.2%	74.6%	55.4%
Uganda	Kitgum Municipality	2000	93.9%	95.6%	91.5%
Uganda	Kitgum Municipality	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Uganda	Koboko	2000	51.9%	61.4%	38.9%
Uganda	Koboko	2017	78.6%	85.8%	66.3%
Uganda	Koboko Municipality	2000	62.9%	67.2%	54.5%
Uganda	Koboko Municipality	2017	88.3%	90.5%	85.3%
Uganda	Kole	2000	56.1%	61.7%	49.5%
Uganda	Kole	2017	82.4%	86.3%	77.4%
Uganda	Kongasis	2000	50.0%	74.8%	29.8%
Uganda	Kongasis	2017	73.0%	93.1%	49.9%
Uganda	Kooki	2000	17.1%	25.6%	10.6%
Uganda	Kooki	2017	29.4%	40.9%	20.3%
Uganda	Kotido Municipality	2000	68.4%	71.1%	65.5%
Uganda	Kotido Municipality	2017	79.3%	82.8%	76.6%
Uganda	Kumi	2000	64.2%	72.9%	55.4%
Uganda	Kumi	2017	82.4%	90.4%	74.3%
Uganda	Kumi Municipality	2000	73.5%	80.5%	61.3%
Uganda	Kumi Municipality	2017	84.2%	91.8%	74.6%
Uganda	Kwania	2000	66.8%	77.5%	53.4%
Uganda	Kwania	2017	83.9%	91.6%	72.9%
Uganda	Kween	2000	45.6%	53.9%	37.4%
Uganda	Kween	2017	72.1%	80.2%	64.0%
Uganda	Kyadondo	2000	83.6%	86.3%	80.9%
Uganda	Kyadondo	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Uganda	Kyaka	2000	36.5%	53.1%	19.0%
Uganda	Kyaka	2017	53.2%	68.1%	34.9%
Uganda	Kyamuswa	2000	26.6%	55.5%	7.9%
Uganda	Kyamuswa	2017	35.3%	66.2%	14.7%
Uganda	Kyotera	2000	30.2%	40.1%	22.1%
Uganda	Kyotera	2017	60.1%	70.0%	49.3%
Uganda	Labwor	2000	83.3%	92.3%	68.1%
Uganda	Labwor	2017	91.4%	97.5%	80.3%
Uganda	Lamwo	2000	74.0%	85.5%	60.9%
Uganda	Lamwo	2017	83.1%	93.9%	68.2%
Uganda	Lira Municipality	2000	78.1%	80.3%	75.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Lira Municipality	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Uganda	Lugazi Municipality	2000	79.0%	85.6%	70.9%
Uganda	Lugazi Municipality	2017	94.9%	97.1%	90.4%
Uganda	Luuka	2000	81.1%	84.3%	77.3%
Uganda	Luuka	2017	95.6%	96.9%	93.3%
Uganda	Lwemiyaga	2000	34.8%	66.2%	6.4%
Uganda	Lwemiyaga	2017	45.6%	76.4%	14.4%
Uganda	Madi Okollo	2000	54.2%	68.6%	36.5%
Uganda	Madi Okollo	2017	67.5%	81.4%	50.1%
Uganda	Makindye Ssabagabo Municipality	2000	85.8%	88.4%	82.4%
Uganda	Makindye Ssabagabo Municipality	2017	97.8%	98.6%	96.2%
Uganda	Manjiya	2000	58.6%	65.4%	53.0%
Uganda	Manjiya	2017	82.9%	88.5%	76.3%
Uganda	Maracha	2000	61.4%	67.0%	57.0%
Uganda	Maracha	2017	85.1%	89.7%	80.5%
Uganda	Maruzi	2000	66.2%	82.5%	51.6%
Uganda	Maruzi	2017	81.9%	93.7%	69.4%
Uganda	Masaka Municipality	2000	62.5%	71.0%	55.2%
Uganda	Masaka Municipality	2017	91.8%	94.9%	88.4%
Uganda	Masindi Municipality	2000	80.7%	85.8%	73.6%
Uganda	Masindi Municipality	2017	96.2%	97.4%	93.8%
Uganda	Matheniko	2000	65.2%	74.7%	54.8%
Uganda	Matheniko	2017	72.2%	83.5%	58.9%
Uganda	Mawogola	2000	42.2%	50.7%	33.6%
Uganda	Mawogola	2017	63.5%	71.1%	54.5%
Uganda	Mawokota	2000	59.3%	71.5%	47.0%
Uganda	Mawokota	2017	78.9%	88.0%	67.6%
Uganda	Mbale Municipality	2000	83.9%	86.7%	81.0%
Uganda	Mbale Municipality	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Uganda	Mbarara Municipality	2000	59.3%	72.2%	47.4%
Uganda	Mbarara Municipality	2017	83.4%	92.3%	71.7%
Uganda	Mityana	2000	36.7%	51.5%	24.2%
Uganda	Mityana	2017	66.7%	77.8%	53.6%
Uganda	Mityana Municipality	2000	56.7%	73.5%	41.1%
Uganda	Mityana Municipality	2017	85.3%	93.2%	67.2%
Uganda	Moroto	2000	64.8%	74.0%	54.8%
Uganda	Moroto	2017	82.4%	88.7%	72.2%
Uganda	Moroto Municipality	2000	97.9%	99.3%	94.3%
Uganda	Moroto Municipality	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Uganda	Mubende Municipality	2000	74.2%	82.8%	64.5%
Uganda	Mubende Municipality	2017	89.9%	94.4%	80.0%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Mukono	2000	65.0%	74.9%	56.2%
Uganda	Mukono	2017	84.6%	92.2%	75.4%
Uganda	Mukono Municipality	2000	87.2%	89.2%	84.9%
Uganda	Mukono Municipality	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Uganda	Mwenge	2000	47.5%	60.6%	36.5%
Uganda	Mwenge	2017	66.4%	77.8%	55.8%
Uganda	Nakaseke	2000	65.0%	78.9%	52.5%
Uganda	Nakaseke	2017	82.5%	92.1%	71.5%
Uganda	Nakifuma	2000	74.0%	79.2%	68.2%
Uganda	Nakifuma	2017	90.9%	94.4%	87.4%
Uganda	Nansana Municipality	2000	72.9%	78.1%	67.7%
Uganda	Nansana Municipality	2017	92.8%	95.8%	88.1%
Uganda	Ndorwa	2000	50.6%	62.2%	38.2%
Uganda	Ndorwa	2017	79.7%	88.4%	68.0%
Uganda	Nebbi Municipality	2000	82.7%	87.1%	78.7%
Uganda	Nebbi Municipality	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Uganda	Ngora	2000	60.7%	74.6%	48.5%
Uganda	Ngora	2017	72.1%	85.4%	58.6%
Uganda	Njeru Municipality	2000	78.0%	82.0%	72.6%
Uganda	Njeru Municipality	2017	94.4%	96.2%	91.7%
Uganda	Ntenjeru	2000	76.9%	81.3%	69.2%
Uganda	Ntenjeru	2017	90.4%	92.4%	85.7%
Uganda	Ntoroko	2000	45.9%	77.0%	19.4%
Uganda	Ntoroko	2017	62.1%	87.8%	34.6%
Uganda	Ntungamo Municipality	2000	87.9%	95.8%	65.5%
Uganda	Ntungamo Municipality	2017	98.5%	99.5%	94.8%
Uganda	Nwoya	2000	37.8%	53.4%	22.3%
Uganda	Nwoya	2017	52.7%	69.1%	34.9%
Uganda	Nyabushozi	2000	38.6%	55.8%	22.3%
Uganda	Nyabushozi	2017	55.0%	70.2%	38.2%
Uganda	Obongi	2000	64.3%	79.4%	38.6%
Uganda	Obongi	2017	81.2%	91.9%	61.8%
Uganda	Okoro	2000	56.7%	67.0%	46.2%
Uganda	Okoro	2017	80.1%	88.8%	69.6%
Uganda	Omoro	2000	62.2%	74.1%	50.0%
Uganda	Omoro	2017	82.3%	90.4%	71.7%
Uganda	Otuke	2000	57.4%	74.9%	37.8%
Uganda	Otuke	2017	74.8%	88.7%	58.7%
Uganda	Oyam	2000	57.0%	65.8%	49.1%
Uganda	Oyam	2017	79.9%	86.9%	72.9%
Uganda	Padyere	2000	63.4%	80.2%	44.6%
Uganda	Padyere	2017	81.4%	91.8%	65.5%
Uganda	Pallisa	2000	69.1%	72.6%	62.1%
Uganda	Pallisa	2017	90.2%	92.3%	87.7%
Uganda	Pian	2000	65.6%	81.7%	46.7%
Uganda	Pian	2017	75.5%	91.5%	56.2%
Uganda	Pokot	2000	76.8%	91.6%	56.6%
Uganda	Pokot	2017	86.7%	96.2%	72.8%
Uganda	Rubabo	2000	59.4%	67.7%	48.5%
Uganda	Rubabo	2017	81.9%	88.4%	72.9%
Uganda	Rubanda	2000	55.4%	66.1%	44.6%
Uganda	Rubanda	2017	78.8%	86.7%	69.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Ruhaama	2000	45.5%	56.9%	36.3%
Uganda	Ruhaama	2017	70.5%	81.0%	61.6%
Uganda	Ruhinda	2000	43.4%	47.5%	39.5%
Uganda	Ruhinda	2017	76.3%	79.4%	72.5%
Uganda	Rujumbura	2000	42.4%	52.0%	32.8%
Uganda	Rujumbura	2017	62.1%	72.1%	52.7%
Uganda	Rukiga	2000	65.9%	72.5%	53.6%
Uganda	Rukiga	2017	86.1%	90.2%	80.2%
Uganda	Rukungiri Municipality	2000	63.4%	73.6%	56.2%
Uganda	Rukungiri Municipality	2017	85.8%	91.4%	78.1%
Uganda	Rushenyi	2000	37.5%	55.9%	22.1%
Uganda	Rushenyi	2017	57.5%	76.6%	36.9%
Uganda	Rwampara	2000	41.1%	59.1%	24.4%
Uganda	Rwampara	2017	66.0%	81.2%	47.2%
Uganda	Samia-Bugwe	2000	57.2%	67.6%	48.4%
Uganda	Samia-Bugwe	2017	78.8%	87.4%	69.0%
Uganda	Serere	2000	78.2%	88.2%	63.8%
Uganda	Serere	2017	91.1%	97.3%	78.9%
Uganda	Sheema	2000	48.0%	60.9%	35.2%
Uganda	Sheema	2017	76.1%	85.6%	62.1%
Uganda	Sheema Municipality	2000	49.9%	57.1%	42.9%
Uganda	Sheema Municipality	2017	82.6%	86.6%	75.5%
Uganda	Soroti	2000	86.5%	92.9%	78.9%
Uganda	Soroti	2017	96.2%	98.9%	91.3%
Uganda	Soroti Municipality	2000	71.7%	76.5%	67.7%
Uganda	Soroti Municipality	2017	95.6%	96.3%	94.7%
Uganda	Terego	2000	69.5%	81.7%	57.6%
Uganda	Terego	2017	84.4%	94.0%	72.9%
Uganda	Tingey	2000	56.6%	64.1%	49.2%
Uganda	Tingey	2017	80.3%	89.3%	72.8%
Uganda	Toroma	2000	90.5%	99.8%	66.4%
Uganda	Toroma	2017	94.6%	100.0%	73.6%
Uganda	Tororo	2000	64.1%	72.9%	54.8%
Uganda	Tororo	2017	85.2%	92.9%	76.1%
Uganda	Tororo Municipality	2000	80.9%	85.4%	75.8%
Uganda	Tororo Municipality	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Uganda	Usuk	2000	91.1%	97.4%	77.4%
Uganda	Usuk	2017	95.8%	99.5%	85.4%
Uganda	Vurra	2000	43.5%	60.1%	30.9%
Uganda	Vurra	2017	67.4%	78.8%	51.1%
Uganda	West Budama	2000	54.8%	59.2%	51.4%
Uganda	West Budama	2017	73.4%	77.5%	69.7%
Uganda	West Moyo	2000	83.3%	95.3%	67.3%
Uganda	West Moyo	2017	89.3%	98.8%	74.7%
Zambia	Chadiza	2000	38.2%	54.8%	24.1%
Zambia	Chadiza	2017	62.0%	76.6%	48.5%
Zambia	Chama	2000	28.2%	36.3%	20.8%
Zambia	Chama	2017	53.8%	60.6%	47.0%
Zambia	Chavuma	2000	17.5%	31.1%	8.8%
Zambia	Chavuma	2017	41.0%	55.2%	28.4%
Zambia	Chibombo	2000	52.2%	56.9%	47.3%
Zambia	Chibombo	2017	76.8%	80.7%	73.0%
Zambia	Chiengi	2000	17.7%	30.7%	7.0%
Zambia	Chiengi	2017	41.6%	54.6%	29.5%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Chililabombwe	2000	72.2%	87.9%	55.9%
Zambia	Chililabombwe	2017	77.7%	91.5%	59.8%
Zambia	Chilubi	2000	21.4%	34.4%	10.9%
Zambia	Chilubi	2017	43.6%	56.0%	29.7%
Zambia	Chingola	2000	69.2%	72.0%	63.2%
Zambia	Chingola	2017	79.6%	86.6%	74.1%
Zambia	Chinsali	2000	28.3%	35.3%	20.6%
Zambia	Chinsali	2017	48.2%	54.9%	39.5%
Zambia	Chipata	2000	36.2%	44.8%	29.4%
Zambia	Chipata	2017	65.3%	72.3%	58.0%
Zambia	Choma	2000	36.4%	46.4%	27.5%
Zambia	Choma	2017	62.9%	70.6%	55.1%
Zambia	Chongwe	2000	46.3%	53.7%	39.3%
Zambia	Chongwe	2017	69.1%	75.0%	61.7%
Zambia	Gwembe	2000	37.4%	53.3%	24.4%
Zambia	Gwembe	2017	60.8%	75.6%	44.7%
Zambia	Isoka	2000	19.5%	28.6%	11.5%
Zambia	Isoka	2017	45.4%	55.2%	35.5%
Zambia	Itezhi-Tezhi	2000	36.1%	51.2%	23.3%
Zambia	Itezhi-Tezhi	2017	59.5%	72.7%	46.4%
Zambia	Kabompo	2000	33.5%	40.5%	26.9%
Zambia	Kabompo	2017	52.5%	59.7%	44.1%
Zambia	Kabwe	2000	64.2%	71.6%	58.2%
Zambia	Kabwe	2017	71.2%	78.1%	64.8%
Zambia	Kafue	2000	58.7%	63.1%	55.2%
Zambia	Kafue	2017	80.5%	83.7%	77.3%
Zambia	Kalabo	2000	25.7%	33.8%	19.0%
Zambia	Kalabo	2017	49.1%	57.8%	40.4%
Zambia	Kalomo	2000	33.5%	40.9%	25.9%
Zambia	Kalomo	2017	59.3%	67.5%	50.6%
Zambia	Kalulushi	2000	36.3%	47.3%	27.5%
Zambia	Kalulushi	2017	57.4%	68.5%	46.6%
Zambia	Kaoma	2000	31.3%	38.8%	24.5%
Zambia	Kaoma	2017	54.5%	62.1%	47.4%
Zambia	Kapiri Mposhi	2000	30.7%	37.7%	24.2%
Zambia	Kapiri Mposhi	2017	52.1%	59.2%	45.2%
Zambia	Kaputa	2000	27.5%	36.9%	19.6%
Zambia	Kaputa	2017	49.0%	58.8%	40.2%
Zambia	Kasama	2000	24.5%	31.3%	18.1%
Zambia	Kasama	2017	47.7%	56.7%	38.5%
Zambia	Kasempa	2000	25.5%	33.4%	19.1%
Zambia	Kasempa	2017	47.9%	55.9%	38.4%
Zambia	Katete	2000	31.2%	41.4%	21.8%
Zambia	Katete	2017	56.1%	64.6%	47.3%
Zambia	Kawambwa	2000	33.0%	41.6%	24.0%
Zambia	Kawambwa	2017	59.0%	67.4%	48.5%
Zambia	Kazungula	2000	34.7%	43.3%	25.7%
Zambia	Kazungula	2017	57.8%	67.0%	48.3%
Zambia	Kitwe	2000	55.0%	58.8%	51.1%
Zambia	Kitwe	2017	73.8%	77.0%	70.4%
Zambia	Livingstone	2000	76.0%	80.5%	71.4%
Zambia	Livingstone	2017	85.8%	90.7%	80.7%
Zambia	Luangwa	2000	30.5%	45.8%	17.4%
Zambia	Luangwa	2017	58.6%	74.5%	43.1%
Zambia	Luanshya	2000	14.9%	21.4%	10.4%
Zambia	Luanshya	2017	50.0%	59.6%	40.5%
Zambia	Lufwanyama	2000	21.1%	33.0%	13.0%
Zambia	Lufwanyama	2017	43.5%	56.1%	31.6%
Zambia	Lukulu	2000	31.0%	37.7%	23.9%
Zambia	Lukulu	2017	55.0%	63.0%	46.9%
Zambia	Lundazi	2000	34.1%	41.5%	28.2%
Zambia	Lundazi	2017	58.7%	65.9%	52.2%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Lusaka	2000	85.4%	87.3%	83.5%
Zambia	Lusaka	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Zambia	Luwingu	2000	26.2%	35.6%	17.5%
Zambia	Luwingu	2017	47.0%	57.7%	37.6%
Zambia	Mambwe	2000	27.0%	38.8%	17.5%
Zambia	Mambwe	2017	51.6%	66.2%	40.8%
Zambia	Mansa	2000	25.2%	32.6%	19.1%
Zambia	Mansa	2017	49.1%	56.1%	41.6%
Zambia	Masaiti	2000	30.2%	38.5%	22.2%
Zambia	Masaiti	2017	51.3%	62.1%	40.0%
Zambia	Mazabuka	2000	42.8%	51.3%	34.8%
Zambia	Mazabuka	2017	65.6%	72.6%	58.8%
Zambia	Mbala	2000	27.3%	38.1%	17.5%
Zambia	Mbala	2017	50.3%	61.5%	39.4%
Zambia	Milenge	2000	23.6%	33.7%	14.4%
Zambia	Milenge	2017	51.5%	65.4%	36.0%
Zambia	Mkushi	2000	32.5%	41.7%	24.4%
Zambia	Mkushi	2017	54.5%	63.5%	44.9%
Zambia	Mongu	2000	47.6%	55.6%	40.5%
Zambia	Mongu	2017	68.9%	76.4%	62.1%
Zambia	Monze	2000	49.6%	58.7%	41.2%
Zambia	Monze	2017	74.6%	82.4%	65.9%
Zambia	Mpika	2000	28.2%	34.6%	23.0%
Zambia	Mpika	2017	49.9%	56.4%	43.1%
Zambia	MPongwe	2000	27.7%	40.3%	18.2%
Zambia	MPongwe	2017	53.6%	66.6%	42.4%
Zambia	Mporokoso	2000	28.6%	37.4%	20.7%
Zambia	Mporokoso	2017	49.1%	58.7%	40.8%
Zambia	Mpulungu	2000	38.4%	47.5%	30.8%
Zambia	Mpulungu	2017	58.6%	66.3%	50.8%
Zambia	Mufulira	2000	56.9%	63.9%	51.3%
Zambia	Mufulira	2017	76.6%	83.1%	69.5%
Zambia	Mufumbwe	2000	22.4%	29.0%	17.2%
Zambia	Mufumbwe	2017	43.6%	52.1%	36.8%
Zambia	Mumbwa	2000	34.9%	43.8%	26.9%
Zambia	Mumbwa	2017	62.2%	71.8%	53.5%
Zambia	Mungwi	2000	26.1%	36.9%	16.0%
Zambia	Mungwi	2017	46.4%	57.7%	33.5%
Zambia	Mwense	2000	22.8%	31.3%	16.0%
Zambia	Mwense	2017	45.2%	55.9%	36.8%
Zambia	Mwinilunga	2000	26.0%	33.7%	19.3%
Zambia	Mwinilunga	2017	46.5%	55.4%	38.6%
Zambia	Nakonde	2000	21.3%	29.6%	15.1%
Zambia	Nakonde	2017	56.0%	63.6%	48.1%
Zambia	Namwala	2000	29.5%	39.1%	21.9%
Zambia	Namwala	2017	56.0%	65.6%	47.1%
Zambia	Nchelenge	2000	19.2%	29.4%	12.1%
Zambia	Nchelenge	2017	47.0%	55.5%	37.6%
Zambia	Ndola	2000	67.2%	71.8%	61.1%
Zambia	Ndola	2017	83.1%	88.2%	77.2%
Zambia	Nyimba	2000	30.8%	38.3%	24.5%
Zambia	Nyimba	2017	53.1%	62.7%	44.8%
Zambia	Petauke	2000	26.4%	34.4%	18.4%
Zambia	Petauke	2017	51.4%	59.4%	42.7%
Zambia	Samfya	2000	23.1%	32.5%	16.2%
Zambia	Samfya	2017	44.5%	54.0%	35.2%
Zambia	Senanga	2000	34.8%	43.4%	27.8%
Zambia	Senanga	2017	56.3%	65.0%	48.3%
Zambia	Serenje	2000	25.1%	32.1%	18.7%
Zambia	Serenje	2017	48.3%	55.9%	39.8%
Zambia	Sesheke	2000	33.7%	40.4%	27.5%
Zambia	Sesheke	2017	57.4%	63.3%	50.1%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Shangombo	2000	29.3%	38.4%	19.7%
Zambia	Shangombo	2017	54.3%	65.0%	43.1%
Zambia	Siavonga	2000	43.9%	55.4%	33.3%
Zambia	Siavonga	2017	65.3%	75.7%	55.3%
Zambia	Sinazongwe	2000	39.1%	53.7%	25.8%
Zambia	Sinazongwe	2017	66.5%	79.5%	50.7%
Zambia	Solwezi	2000	27.2%	36.0%	19.6%
Zambia	Solwezi	2017	45.2%	53.3%	37.4%
Zambia	Zambezi	2000	18.4%	25.6%	12.5%
Zambia	Zambezi	2017	38.3%	47.7%	28.9%
Zimbabwe	Beitbridge	2000	62.5%	68.3%	56.1%
Zimbabwe	Beitbridge	2017	72.7%	78.0%	66.5%
Zimbabwe	Bikita	2000	49.2%	58.3%	37.9%
Zimbabwe	Bikita	2017	64.4%	72.7%	53.8%
Zimbabwe	Bindura	2000	59.9%	69.3%	51.0%
Zimbabwe	Bindura	2017	72.3%	80.2%	63.7%
Zimbabwe	Binga	2000	44.1%	52.7%	36.2%
Zimbabwe	Binga	2017	58.7%	67.0%	50.7%
Zimbabwe	Bubi	2000	64.1%	74.2%	52.8%
Zimbabwe	Bubi	2017	76.0%	84.6%	66.4%
Zimbabwe	Buhera	2000	53.3%	62.6%	42.4%
Zimbabwe	Buhera	2017	68.6%	77.4%	58.4%
Zimbabwe	Bulawayo	2000	99.3%	99.8%	98.5%
Zimbabwe	Bulawayo	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Zimbabwe	Bulilima (North)	2000	58.8%	67.5%	48.0%
Zimbabwe	Bulilima (North)	2017	71.4%	80.0%	61.5%
Zimbabwe	Centenary	2000	44.6%	56.6%	32.2%
Zimbabwe	Centenary	2017	57.4%	69.4%	45.4%
Zimbabwe	Chegutu	2000	77.6%	84.2%	69.3%
Zimbabwe	Chegutu	2017	85.6%	90.8%	79.8%
Zimbabwe	Chikomba	2000	57.7%	66.3%	48.4%
Zimbabwe	Chikomba	2017	72.1%	79.1%	63.1%
Zimbabwe	Chimanimani	2000	68.3%	77.1%	57.1%
Zimbabwe	Chimanimani	2017	76.9%	84.8%	66.0%
Zimbabwe	Chipinge	2000	63.4%	70.5%	56.4%
Zimbabwe	Chipinge	2017	74.5%	80.5%	68.1%
Zimbabwe	Chiredzi	2000	63.5%	69.0%	57.9%
Zimbabwe	Chiredzi	2017	72.9%	77.8%	68.0%
Zimbabwe	Chirumhanzu	2000	61.8%	74.6%	45.9%
Zimbabwe	Chirumhanzu	2017	75.0%	85.2%	60.7%
Zimbabwe	Chivi	2000	55.5%	65.4%	44.0%
Zimbabwe	Chivi	2017	68.0%	77.2%	56.2%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe North	2000	40.4%	49.7%	31.0%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe North	2017	56.2%	65.0%	46.1%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe South	2000	46.9%	53.8%	39.8%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe South	2017	61.5%	68.0%	54.0%
Zimbabwe	Goromonzi	2000	70.7%	76.9%	64.0%
Zimbabwe	Goromonzi	2017	82.8%	87.6%	77.2%
Zimbabwe	Guruve	2000	50.6%	60.2%	40.4%
Zimbabwe	Guruve	2017	64.5%	73.2%	55.0%
Zimbabwe	Gutu	2000	51.1%	61.5%	42.0%
Zimbabwe	Gutu	2017	66.9%	76.5%	57.7%
Zimbabwe	Gwanda	2000	65.1%	73.4%	55.8%
Zimbabwe	Gwanda	2017	75.5%	82.2%	67.5%
Zimbabwe	Gweru	2000	86.1%	90.5%	81.1%
Zimbabwe	Gweru	2017	91.1%	94.1%	87.4%
Zimbabwe	Harare	2000	91.2%	92.5%	89.3%
Zimbabwe	Harare	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Zimbabwe	Hurungwe	2000	46.8%	53.3%	40.4%
Zimbabwe	Hurungwe	2017	61.3%	66.9%	54.8%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zimbabwe	Hwange	2000	71.6%	76.4%	66.8%
Zimbabwe	Hwange	2017	79.8%	83.6%	75.6%
Zimbabwe	Insiza	2000	55.1%	65.0%	45.5%
Zimbabwe	Insiza	2017	68.9%	77.1%	60.8%
Zimbabwe	Kadoma	2000	66.3%	74.6%	56.6%
Zimbabwe	Kadoma	2017	77.2%	84.2%	68.6%
Zimbabwe	Kariba	2000	56.9%	67.0%	44.3%
Zimbabwe	Kariba	2017	71.0%	78.9%	60.8%
Zimbabwe	Kwekwe	2000	82.9%	87.1%	77.7%
Zimbabwe	Kwekwe	2017	88.2%	91.7%	84.0%
Zimbabwe	Lupane	2000	54.0%	62.9%	46.1%
Zimbabwe	Lupane	2017	68.4%	76.1%	61.2%
Zimbabwe	Makonde	2000	66.2%	73.3%	57.3%
Zimbabwe	Makonde	2017	75.3%	81.5%	68.4%
Zimbabwe	Makoni	2000	53.1%	61.5%	43.0%
Zimbabwe	Makoni	2017	67.5%	75.3%	57.7%
Zimbabwe	Mangwe	2000	66.0%	73.5%	57.2%
Zimbabwe	Mangwe (South)	2017	76.9%	83.1%	69.2%
Zimbabwe	Marondera	2000	78.5%	85.9%	70.5%
Zimbabwe	Marondera	2017	85.8%	91.7%	79.2%
Zimbabwe	Masvingo	2000	74.1%	79.8%	66.7%
Zimbabwe	Masvingo	2017	81.0%	85.9%	74.9%
Zimbabwe	Matobo	2000	71.3%	77.3%	63.4%
Zimbabwe	Matobo	2017	80.0%	85.1%	73.4%
Zimbabwe	Mazowe	2000	73.3%	80.2%	66.3%
Zimbabwe	Mazowe	2017	82.4%	87.2%	76.7%
Zimbabwe	Mberengwa	2000	54.1%	63.8%	43.3%
Zimbabwe	Mberengwa	2017	67.8%	76.3%	57.7%
Zimbabwe	Mount Darwin	2000	45.2%	56.7%	33.2%
Zimbabwe	Mount Darwin	2017	60.3%	70.8%	49.0%
Zimbabwe	Mudzi	2000	46.1%	55.5%	35.7%
Zimbabwe	Mudzi	2017	59.9%	69.4%	49.0%
Zimbabwe	Murehwa	2000	54.2%	66.9%	40.7%
Zimbabwe	Murehwa	2017	69.8%	80.0%	58.4%
Zimbabwe	Mutare	2000	73.7%	79.1%	68.0%
Zimbabwe	Mutare	2017	81.6%	86.5%	76.6%
Zimbabwe	Mutasa	2000	73.3%	80.5%	65.1%
Zimbabwe	Mutasa	2017	76.8%	83.5%	68.8%
Zimbabwe	Mutoko	2000	55.7%	66.8%	46.0%
Zimbabwe	Mutoko	2017	69.5%	78.4%	60.4%
Zimbabwe	Mwenezi	2000	53.7%	62.6%	45.7%
Zimbabwe	Mwenezi	2017	66.5%	73.9%	59.3%
Zimbabwe	Nkayi	2000	47.0%	57.1%	35.7%
Zimbabwe	Nkayi	2017	61.5%	71.9%	49.9%
Zimbabwe	Nyanga	2000	58.8%	67.1%	49.7%
Zimbabwe	Nyanga	2017	70.8%	77.8%	62.5%
Zimbabwe	Rushinga	2000	62.1%	75.1%	48.2%
Zimbabwe	Rushinga	2017	75.0%	85.4%	62.5%
Zimbabwe	Seke	2000	59.0%	74.9%	46.5%
Zimbabwe	Seke	2017	71.2%	83.6%	59.8%
Zimbabwe	Shamva	2000	56.7%	71.0%	43.8%
Zimbabwe	Shamva	2017	69.3%	81.1%	58.6%
Zimbabwe	Shurugwi	2000	74.6%	82.9%	63.6%
Zimbabwe	Shurugwi	2017	83.1%	90.2%	73.4%
Zimbabwe	Tsholotsho	2000	63.9%	72.0%	54.1%
Zimbabwe	Tsholotsho	2017	75.1%	81.7%	67.2%
Zimbabwe	Umguzo	2000	87.3%	92.5%	81.2%
Zimbabwe	Umguzo	2017	92.0%	95.6%	87.9%

Improved Water Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zimbabwe	UMP	2000	52.0%	66.0%	38.6%
Zimbabwe	UMP	2017	67.1%	78.5%	53.8%
Zimbabwe	Umzingwane	2000	66.9%	78.6%	55.1%
Zimbabwe	Umzingwane	2017	76.5%	86.2%	65.6%
Zimbabwe	Wedza	2000	54.5%	67.3%	38.9%
Zimbabwe	Wedza	2017	69.1%	80.4%	55.1%
Zimbabwe	Zaka	2000	49.5%	61.6%	37.8%
Zimbabwe	Zaka	2017	63.4%	74.9%	52.2%
Zimbabwe	Zvimba	2000	75.2%	81.4%	68.4%
Zimbabwe	Zvimba	2017	83.7%	88.6%	78.2%
Zimbabwe	Zvishavane	2000	82.0%	88.4%	72.9%
Zimbabwe	Zvishavane	2017	88.2%	93.6%	80.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Country

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central Europe, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia				
Kyrgyzstan	2000	20.4%	22.5%	18.7%
Kyrgyzstan	2017	6.7%	8.2%	5.4%
Mongolia	2000	21.4%	22.1%	20.8%
Mongolia	2017	19.5%	20.1%	18.9%
Tajikistan	2000	10.0%	11.0%	9.1%
Tajikistan	2017	19.6%	21.0%	18.4%
Turkmenistan	2000	24.0%	25.4%	22.6%
Turkmenistan	2017	24.0%	25.4%	22.6%
Uzbekistan	2000	3.8%	4.1%	3.5%
Uzbekistan	2017	84.7%	88.0%	79.8%
Latin America and Caribbean				
Bolivia	2000	38.0%	38.4%	37.5%
Bolivia	2017	55.1%	55.6%	54.5%
Brazil	2000	64.5%	64.7%	64.3%
Brazil	2017	79.4%	80.0%	78.9%
Colombia	2000	67.0%	68.5%	65.6%
Colombia	2017	77.9%	78.6%	77.2%
Costa Rica	2000	88.6%	89.9%	87.4%
Costa Rica	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Dominican Republic	2000	24.8%	33.1%	17.7%
Dominican Republic	2017	66.1%	72.7%	56.8%
Ecuador	2000	63.7%	64.0%	63.3%
Ecuador	2017	63.6%	64.1%	63.3%
El Salvador	2000	50.9%	55.0%	46.1%
El Salvador	2017	50.9%	55.2%	45.3%
Guatemala	2000	6.4%	7.3%	5.7%
Guatemala	2017	56.9%	58.9%	54.8%
Guyana	2000	26.0%	27.2%	24.8%
Guyana	2017	67.5%	68.9%	66.2%
Haiti	2000	2.8%	2.9%	2.6%
Haiti	2017	8.8%	9.2%	8.4%
Honduras	2000	23.4%	24.7%	22.1%
Honduras	2017	56.2%	57.7%	54.9%
Mexico	2000	72.2%	73.1%	71.4%
Mexico	2017	72.3%	73.2%	71.4%
Nicaragua	2000	10.5%	16.2%	7.3%
Nicaragua	2017	37.5%	46.4%	29.5%
Panama	2000	46.6%	53.7%	39.6%
Panama	2017	46.5%	53.5%	39.1%
Paraguay	2000	4.0%	4.6%	3.6%
Paraguay	2017	46.0%	48.4%	44.2%
Peru	2000	50.3%	51.1%	49.6%
Peru	2017	65.3%	65.9%	64.7%
North Africa and Middle East				
Afghanistan	2000	7.4%	9.2%	6.0%
Afghanistan	2017	8.7%	11.0%	7.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Country (*continued*)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	2000	89.1%	89.4%	88.8%
Algeria	2017	89.1%	89.4%	88.7%
Egypt	2000	79.9%	80.1%	79.7%
Egypt	2017	76.0%	76.2%	75.8%
Iraq	2000	57.9%	61.6%	54.7%
Iraq	2017	63.8%	67.0%	60.7%
Jordan	2000	26.4%	26.9%	25.9%
Jordan	2017	56.9%	57.5%	56.4%
Libya	2000	3.3%	3.6%	3.0%
Libya	2017	3.2%	3.5%	3.0%
Morocco	2000	44.7%	47.3%	42.4%
Morocco	2017	44.8%	47.2%	42.4%
Sudan	2000	6.0%	6.3%	5.6%
Sudan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Syria	2000	79.2%	81.7%	76.8%
Syria	2017	79.1%	81.6%	76.7%
Tunisia	2000	62.3%	65.9%	58.0%
Tunisia	2017	62.4%	66.4%	57.7%
Yemen	2000	21.5%	24.3%	19.4%
Yemen	2017	8.4%	9.3%	7.4%
South Asia				
Bangladesh	2000	8.6%	9.2%	8.0%
Bangladesh	2017	14.7%	15.5%	13.9%
Bhutan	2000	7.6%	11.4%	5.3%
Bhutan	2017	66.3%	76.5%	55.0%
India	2000	12.0%	12.2%	11.9%
India	2017	55.7%	56.0%	55.5%
Nepal	2000	6.2%	7.1%	5.2%
Nepal	2017	59.8%	61.2%	58.2%
Pakistan	2000	45.4%	46.8%	44.1%
Pakistan	2017	45.5%	46.8%	44.2%
Southeast Asia, East Asia, and Oceania				
Cambodia	2000	10.9%	11.2%	10.6%
Cambodia	2017	73.0%	73.7%	72.2%
China	2000	32.0%	33.0%	31.1%
China	2017	46.2%	46.8%	45.5%
Indonesia	2000	56.5%	57.0%	56.0%
Indonesia	2017	65.6%	66.1%	65.1%
Laos	2000	1.3%	1.5%	1.2%
Laos	2017	10.8%	12.4%	9.4%
Myanmar	2000	5.7%	6.1%	5.3%
Myanmar	2017	6.0%	6.5%	5.6%
Papua New Guinea	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Philippines	2000	54.6%	56.2%	52.9%
Philippines	2017	79.3%	80.4%	78.2%
Sri Lanka	2000	4.4%	6.9%	2.5%
Sri Lanka	2017	7.1%	10.4%	4.5%
Thailand	2000	98.3%	98.4%	98.2%
Thailand	2017	94.8%	95.1%	94.5%
Timor-Leste	2000	22.0%	22.8%	21.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Country (*continued*)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	2017	23.3%	24.0%	22.7%
Vietnam	2000	14.4%	15.8%	12.8%
Vietnam	2017	77.1%	78.6%	75.5%
Sub-Saharan Africa				
Angola	2000	20.9%	21.6%	20.3%
Angola	2017	38.0%	39.0%	37.0%
Benin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	2017	4.2%	4.4%	4.0%
Botswana	2000	10.8%	12.0%	9.7%
Botswana	2017	32.1%	34.6%	29.6%
Burkina Faso	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%
Burkina Faso	2017	4.2%	4.6%	3.9%
Burundi	2000	3.3%	3.6%	3.1%
Burundi	2017	5.9%	6.3%	5.6%
Cameroon	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	2017	7.7%	8.5%	7.0%
Central African Republic	2000	0.9%	1.0%	0.7%
Central African Republic	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Chad	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	2017	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%
Comoros	2000	11.2%	14.3%	9.0%
Comoros	2017	11.4%	14.1%	9.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	2000	6.8%	7.5%	6.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	2017	21.0%	22.2%	19.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	2000	1.0%	1.1%	1.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	2017	6.2%	6.7%	5.9%
Eritrea	2000	0.6%	1.1%	0.5%
Eritrea	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.5%
Ethiopia	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	2017	2.4%	2.5%	2.2%
Gabon	2000	2.4%	4.4%	0.7%
Gabon	2017	35.2%	38.2%	32.8%
Ghana	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	2017	17.6%	18.2%	16.9%
Guinea	2000	1.1%	1.3%	0.8%
Guinea	2017	16.6%	17.9%	15.4%
Guinea-Bissau	2000	11.2%	18.0%	6.2%
Guinea-Bissau	2017	6.8%	12.8%	3.2%
Kenya	2000	10.1%	10.5%	9.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Country (*continued*)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	2017	9.2%	9.7%	8.9%
Lesotho	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Lesotho	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Liberia	2000	6.7%	7.4%	6.0%
Liberia	2017	12.8%	13.7%	11.9%
Madagascar	2000	6.4%	7.3%	5.6%
Madagascar	2017	2.5%	2.8%	2.3%
Malawi	2000	1.2%	1.3%	1.1%
Malawi	2017	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%
Mali	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	2017	6.5%	6.8%	6.2%
Mauritania	2000	35.0%	37.1%	33.0%
Mauritania	2017	4.4%	4.9%	4.0%
Mozambique	2000	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%
Mozambique	2017	1.6%	1.7%	1.5%
Namibia	2000	16.1%	16.9%	15.3%
Namibia	2017	47.0%	48.1%	46.0%
Niger	2000	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
Niger	2017	10.9%	11.7%	10.1%
Nigeria	2000	7.0%	7.4%	6.6%
Nigeria	2017	17.0%	17.4%	16.7%
Republic of Congo	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	2017	11.4%	19.0%	5.4%
Rwanda	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	2017	2.2%	2.7%	1.7%
Senegal	2000	3.4%	3.9%	2.9%
Senegal	2017	20.9%	21.8%	20.1%
Sierra Leone	2000	3.2%	3.3%	3.0%
Sierra Leone	2017	4.0%	4.2%	3.9%
Somalia	2000	7.8%	15.1%	4.0%
Somalia	2017	7.8%	21.4%	1.6%
South Africa	2000	47.6%	48.5%	46.6%
South Africa	2017	52.6%	53.6%	51.5%
South Sudan	2000	8.5%	11.4%	6.2%
South Sudan	2017	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%
Swaziland	2000	13.2%	19.9%	8.4%
Swaziland	2017	16.8%	25.2%	10.9%
Tanzania	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	2017	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
Togo	2000	6.0%	7.0%	5.0%
Togo	2017	13.2%	14.6%	11.8%
Uganda	2000	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
Uganda	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Country (*continued*)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	2000	3.5%	4.1%	3.1%
Zambia	2017	3.6%	4.2%	3.1%
Zimbabwe	2000	25.5%	26.3%	24.7%
Zimbabwe	2017	36.3%	37.2%	35.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central Europe, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia					
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Suu	2000	21.8%	33.4%	13.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Suu	2017	12.7%	21.1%	7.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Talaa	2000	19.3%	36.2%	6.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Talaa	2017	10.5%	22.6%	2.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Aksyi	2000	20.6%	31.5%	12.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Aksyi	2017	13.6%	19.8%	6.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Ala-Buka	2000	11.9%	27.0%	3.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Ala-Buka	2017	5.5%	14.0%	0.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Alai	2000	15.4%	26.2%	8.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Alai	2017	7.2%	14.6%	2.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Alamüdüń	2000	28.2%	37.7%	21.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Alamüdüń	2017	13.1%	21.1%	8.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Aravan	2000	10.5%	31.3%	0.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Aravan	2017	5.0%	22.1%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	At-Bashi	2000	18.0%	31.1%	9.3%
Kyrgyzstan	At-Bashi	2017	8.8%	17.0%	3.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Bakai-Ata	2000	18.1%	32.9%	7.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Bakai-Ata	2017	7.6%	17.9%	1.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Batken	2000	13.8%	24.0%	5.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Batken	2017	7.0%	14.8%	1.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Bazar-Korgon	2000	7.1%	19.0%	1.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Bazar-Korgon	2017	2.8%	9.5%	0.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Biškeek	2000	46.7%	49.9%	43.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Biškeek	2017	5.9%	6.6%	5.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Chatkal	2000	20.8%	39.6%	7.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Chatkal	2017	11.3%	26.4%	2.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Chong-Alay	2000	23.1%	45.4%	7.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Chong-Alay	2017	12.5%	29.1%	2.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Chui	2000	28.0%	41.7%	19.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Chui	2017	18.8%	28.1%	11.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Djety-Oguz	2000	14.0%	22.4%	7.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Djety-Oguz	2017	6.3%	12.1%	2.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Jaiyl	2000	20.6%	30.1%	14.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Jaiyl	2017	12.8%	19.1%	8.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Jungal	2000	16.0%	28.1%	7.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Jungal	2017	7.9%	16.6%	3.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Kadamjai	2000	13.1%	21.9%	6.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Kadamjai	2017	5.8%	11.5%	2.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Buura	2000	13.0%	26.4%	4.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Buura	2017	5.6%	14.6%	1.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Kuldja	2000	12.6%	25.3%	3.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Kuldja	2017	6.0%	13.9%	1.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Suu	2000	14.8%	20.5%	10.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Suu	2017	2.6%	5.6%	1.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kemin	2000	19.2%	37.6%	7.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Kemin	2017	9.7%	24.5%	3.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kochkor	2000	12.4%	21.0%	5.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Kochkor	2017	5.6%	11.1%	1.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Lailak	2000	8.8%	16.3%	3.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Lailak	2017	4.1%	9.1%	1.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Manas	2000	9.6%	24.2%	1.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kyrgyzstan	Manas	2017	5.1%	13.1%	0.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Moskovsky	2000	18.0%	30.2%	10.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Moskovsky	2017	8.8%	17.1%	4.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Naryn	2000	15.1%	29.2%	7.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Naryn	2017	6.4%	14.9%	2.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Nookat	2000	9.7%	18.6%	3.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Nookat	2017	4.1%	9.7%	0.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Nooken	2000	21.8%	36.1%	12.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Nooken	2017	14.4%	23.1%	7.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Osh	2000	28.0%	31.4%	24.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Osh	2017	1.7%	1.9%	1.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Panfilov	2000	20.4%	42.7%	6.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Panfilov	2017	10.1%	25.9%	2.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Sokuluk	2000	14.3%	25.9%	6.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Sokuluk	2017	5.3%	13.1%	1.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Song-Kol	2000	20.3%	50.7%	0.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Song-Kol	2017	10.2%	37.1%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Suzak	2000	8.7%	19.4%	2.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Suzak	2017	3.2%	9.2%	0.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Talas	2000	23.5%	33.3%	13.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Talas	2017	11.4%	19.1%	4.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Togus-Toro	2000	22.0%	44.5%	8.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Togus-Toro	2017	11.7%	29.8%	2.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Toktogul	2000	17.4%	29.5%	8.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Toktogul	2017	7.9%	15.2%	3.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Ton	2000	11.6%	31.2%	3.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Ton	2017	5.7%	21.2%	1.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Tüp	2000	13.8%	39.5%	4.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Tüp	2017	6.8%	24.4%	1.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Uzgen	2000	7.8%	17.4%	2.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Uzgen	2017	3.2%	9.8%	0.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Ata	2000	17.4%	29.1%	8.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Ata	2017	9.7%	16.5%	5.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl	2000	13.0%	20.7%	6.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl	2017	5.6%	11.5%	1.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl	2000	12.9%	37.3%	3.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl (lake)				
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl (lake)	2017	6.2%	25.6%	0.7%
Mongolia	Adaatsag	2000	9.3%	16.0%	4.8%
Mongolia	Adaatsag	2017	6.3%	12.4%	2.5%
Mongolia	Airag	2000	10.1%	16.0%	6.1%
Mongolia	Airag	2000	8.7%	14.9%	4.7%
Mongolia	Airag	2017	6.3%	11.8%	3.1%
Mongolia	Airag	2017	5.6%	10.3%	2.6%
Mongolia	Alag-Erdene	2000	11.7%	20.9%	5.9%
Mongolia	Alag-Erdene	2017	7.1%	14.8%	2.7%
Mongolia	Aldarkhaan	2000	23.4%	29.8%	17.4%
Mongolia	Aldarkhaan	2017	13.4%	18.1%	9.3%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	5.4%	8.5%	2.8%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	3.7%	8.2%	1.1%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	5.0%	7.7%	2.9%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	2.3%	6.4%	0.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Altai	2017	3.8%	8.2%	1.3%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	3.3%	5.9%	1.8%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2000	24.8%	35.0%	20.6%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2000	10.6%	22.2%	4.8%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2017	16.2%	25.5%	12.8%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2017	6.3%	19.9%	1.5%
Mongolia	Altanshiree	2000	33.9%	38.7%	29.7%
Mongolia	Altanshiree	2017	11.7%	18.4%	7.6%
Mongolia	Altantsögts	2000	8.3%	18.3%	2.4%
Mongolia	Altantsögts	2017	5.6%	14.2%	1.4%
Mongolia	Arbulag	2000	11.1%	19.9%	6.0%
Mongolia	Arbulag	2017	7.4%	17.2%	3.0%
Mongolia	Argalant	2000	10.7%	25.8%	2.3%
Mongolia	Argalant	2017	6.5%	16.1%	1.4%
Mongolia	Arkhus	2000	12.1%	31.2%	3.4%
Mongolia	Arkhus	2017	8.3%	23.9%	2.0%
Mongolia	Arvaikheer	2000	4.8%	8.0%	2.8%
Mongolia	Arvaikheer	2017	2.0%	3.8%	1.1%
Mongolia	Asgat	2000	7.7%	13.9%	3.6%
Mongolia	Asgat	2000	9.7%	23.5%	2.6%
Mongolia	Asgat	2017	5.1%	11.7%	2.2%
Mongolia	Asgat	2017	6.0%	15.8%	1.4%
Mongolia	Baatsagaan	2000	7.3%	12.9%	3.8%
Mongolia	Baatsagaan	2017	4.6%	10.0%	1.6%
Mongolia	Baruun	2000	6.4%	13.4%	2.6%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ulaan				
Mongolia	Baruun	2017	4.3%	12.1%	1.3%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ulaan				
Mongolia	Baruunbüren	2000	9.3%	26.7%	3.6%
Mongolia	Baruunbüren	2017	6.2%	17.0%	2.1%
Mongolia	Baruuntutuun	2000	17.2%	31.1%	7.0%
Mongolia	Baruuntutuun	2017	14.6%	30.8%	3.9%
Mongolia	Bat-Ölzii	2000	8.2%	16.5%	3.2%
Mongolia	Bat-Ölzii	2017	5.1%	11.7%	1.8%
Mongolia	Batnorov	2000	15.8%	24.1%	10.0%
Mongolia	Batnorov	2017	11.3%	17.2%	7.1%
Mongolia	Batshireet	2000	11.1%	17.7%	6.0%
Mongolia	Batshireet	2017	7.5%	14.2%	3.3%
Mongolia	Batsumber	2000	8.3%	20.1%	2.3%
Mongolia	Batsumber	2017	5.7%	13.6%	1.7%
Mongolia	Battsengel	2000	13.1%	30.7%	5.1%
Mongolia	Battsengel	2017	9.2%	27.0%	2.9%
Mongolia	Bayan	2000	9.0%	34.4%	1.6%
Mongolia	Bayan	2017	5.8%	32.5%	0.6%
Mongolia	Bayan-Adarga	2000	9.8%	16.5%	3.9%
Mongolia	Bayan-Adarga	2017	6.3%	16.5%	2.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Agt	2000	12.7%	21.9%	6.9%
Mongolia	Bayan-Agt	2017	8.2%	15.7%	4.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	3.3%	5.3%	2.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	7.7%	15.6%	3.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	34.4%	45.4%	30.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	4.7%	12.1%	1.2%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	27.7%	36.0%	24.5%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	2.0%	5.0%	0.8%
Mongolia	Bayan-Önjüül	2000	8.4%	15.6%	4.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Önjüül	2017	5.2%	10.8%	1.9%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	6.1%	9.9%	3.3%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	6.5%	14.9%	2.2%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	12.3%	21.4%	5.8%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	3.9%	10.2%	1.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	3.8%	7.5%	1.7%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	8.7%	19.4%	3.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2000	8.7%	17.4%	4.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2000	15.5%	23.7%	9.7%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2017	5.1%	11.7%	1.7%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2017	9.7%	18.2%	4.7%
Mongolia	Bayanbulag	2000	4.2%	10.3%	1.3%
Mongolia	Bayanbulag	2017	2.7%	11.8%	0.6%
Mongolia	Bayanchandmani	2000	10.9%	22.6%	3.2%
Mongolia	Bayanchandmani	2017	6.1%	15.2%	1.5%
Mongolia	Bayandalai	2000	5.0%	9.1%	2.4%
Mongolia	Bayandalai	2017	2.9%	7.2%	1.2%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2000	9.1%	19.1%	2.5%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2000	6.9%	11.3%	3.7%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2017	5.3%	14.7%	1.1%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2017	4.3%	8.4%	2.0%
Mongolia	Bayandun	2000	12.1%	17.2%	8.3%
Mongolia	Bayandun	2017	8.2%	13.7%	4.7%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2000	9.4%	17.9%	3.9%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2000	15.8%	33.3%	4.8%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2017	6.1%	15.4%	1.8%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2017	10.2%	23.6%	3.1%
Mongolia	Bayangovi	2000	3.8%	9.8%	1.4%
Mongolia	Bayangovi	2017	2.5%	8.2%	0.5%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2000	8.2%	15.8%	3.2%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2000	8.3%	16.0%	3.0%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2017	5.3%	12.1%	1.6%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2017	5.3%	12.7%	1.6%
Mongolia	Bayankhairkhan	2000	12.7%	23.1%	4.9%
Mongolia	Bayankhairkhan	2017	8.5%	16.9%	2.9%
Mongolia	Bayankhangai	2000	11.3%	35.2%	1.8%
Mongolia	Bayankhangai	2017	7.6%	25.4%	1.1%
Mongolia	Bayankhongor	2000	18.4%	20.8%	16.1%
Mongolia	Bayankhongor	2017	14.2%	16.3%	12.2%
Mongolia	Bayankhutag	2000	14.6%	19.5%	11.3%
Mongolia	Bayankhutag	2017	10.1%	13.5%	7.5%
Mongolia	Bayanlig	2000	4.5%	8.0%	2.3%
Mongolia	Bayanlig	2017	2.8%	9.4%	0.9%
Mongolia	Bayanmönkh	2000	8.6%	15.8%	3.0%
Mongolia	Bayanmönkh	2017	5.7%	12.1%	1.7%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2000	6.2%	16.8%	1.6%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2000	9.1%	25.9%	1.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2017	5.7%	24.7%	0.6%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2017	4.5%	14.1%	0.7%
Mongolia	Bayantal	2000	8.1%	28.6%	1.5%
Mongolia	Bayantal	2017	5.5%	30.3%	0.7%
Mongolia	Bayantes	2000	13.1%	20.9%	7.6%
Mongolia	Bayantes	2017	8.6%	18.0%	3.6%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2000	5.1%	9.1%	2.2%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2000	7.5%	14.1%	3.6%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2017	3.2%	6.9%	1.1%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2017	4.8%	10.5%	1.9%
Mongolia	Bayantsogt	2000	10.3%	23.5%	2.5%
Mongolia	Bayantsogt	2017	7.2%	17.7%	1.4%
Mongolia	Bayantümen	2000	11.8%	25.9%	5.2%
Mongolia	Bayantümen	2017	8.2%	18.8%	2.9%
Mongolia	Bayanzürkh	2000	8.7%	14.9%	4.1%
Mongolia	Bayanzürkh	2017	5.8%	14.0%	2.2%
Mongolia	Biger	2000	6.9%	14.2%	2.5%
Mongolia	Biger	2017	4.7%	11.9%	1.2%
Mongolia	Binder	2000	10.4%	16.5%	6.0%
Mongolia	Binder	2017	6.6%	11.3%	3.5%
Mongolia	Bogd	2000	4.9%	8.3%	2.4%
Mongolia	Bogd	2000	5.6%	11.7%	2.2%
Mongolia	Bogd	2017	3.1%	6.9%	1.3%
Mongolia	Bogd	2017	3.8%	9.0%	1.4%
Mongolia	Bökhmörön	2000	7.2%	13.4%	2.7%
Mongolia	Bökhmörön	2017	4.7%	10.8%	1.3%
Mongolia	Bömbögör	2000	6.3%	12.4%	2.3%
Mongolia	Bömbögör	2017	4.2%	9.9%	1.4%
Mongolia	Bornuur	2000	8.9%	32.2%	1.6%
Mongolia	Bornuur	2017	5.7%	16.7%	1.3%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	6.9%	11.7%	3.8%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	10.7%	31.0%	4.7%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	30.6%	38.4%	24.0%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	4.5%	8.5%	1.9%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	23.8%	31.7%	17.3%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	8.3%	19.0%	4.3%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	5.7%	12.3%	2.4%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	11.6%	25.0%	4.2%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	6.1%	11.2%	3.2%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	9.1%	14.2%	4.5%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	4.5%	9.4%	1.6%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	3.8%	7.7%	1.7%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	4.0%	12.1%	1.0%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	8.3%	20.7%	2.2%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	5.7%	11.3%	2.3%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	2.5%	6.0%	0.9%
Mongolia	Büрд	2000	11.4%	23.1%	4.4%
Mongolia	Büрд	2017	7.4%	21.9%	1.8%
Mongolia	Büрегkhangai	2000	12.5%	28.5%	4.1%
Mongolia	Büрегkhangai	2017	8.5%	23.3%	2.1%
Mongolia	Büren	2000	8.9%	16.6%	4.0%
Mongolia	Büren	2017	6.0%	15.7%	1.9%
Mongolia	Bürentogtokh	2000	14.2%	25.1%	5.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Bürentogtokh	2017	10.3%	22.4%	3.0%
Mongolia	Buutsagaan	2000	7.0%	14.1%	3.1%
Mongolia	Buutsagaan	2017	4.4%	11.6%	1.3%
Mongolia	Buyant	2000	17.2%	20.2%	14.2%
Mongolia	Buyant	2000	4.7%	12.8%	1.1%
Mongolia	Buyant	2017	10.6%	13.0%	8.0%
Mongolia	Buyant	2017	3.4%	11.7%	0.6%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2000	3.9%	7.5%	1.7%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2000	8.7%	15.2%	3.9%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2017	2.3%	5.5%	0.8%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2017	5.7%	11.3%	2.0%
Mongolia	Chandmani-Öndör	2000	12.0%	20.6%	6.3%
Mongolia	Chandmani-Öndör	2017	8.1%	14.2%	3.8%
Mongolia	Choibalsan	2000	10.1%	18.9%	5.5%
Mongolia	Choibalsan	2017	7.5%	15.6%	2.9%
Mongolia	Chuluunkhoroot	2000	14.8%	25.6%	8.5%
Mongolia	Chuluunkhoroot	2017	9.5%	20.4%	4.5%
Mongolia	Chuluut	2000	7.0%	14.7%	3.0%
Mongolia	Chuluut	2017	5.4%	14.0%	1.7%
Mongolia	Dadal	2000	10.5%	17.8%	5.3%
Mongolia	Dadal	2017	6.9%	12.2%	3.4%
Mongolia	Dalanjargalan	2000	11.0%	18.4%	5.1%
Mongolia	Dalanjargalan	2017	7.1%	14.3%	2.7%
Mongolia	Dalanzadgad	2000	24.5%	29.1%	20.0%
Mongolia	Dalanzadgad	2017	23.2%	28.1%	19.1%
Mongolia	Dariganga	2000	6.2%	11.4%	3.1%
Mongolia	Dariganga	2017	4.0%	9.8%	1.5%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2000	11.3%	18.0%	6.8%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2000	52.8%	53.4%	52.0%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2017	49.3%	50.5%	48.0%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2017	5.9%	11.2%	3.0%
Mongolia	Darvi	2000	6.1%	11.6%	2.4%
Mongolia	Darvi	2000	6.0%	11.0%	2.8%
Mongolia	Darvi	2017	3.9%	12.5%	0.9%
Mongolia	Darvi	2017	3.9%	8.6%	1.3%
Mongolia	Dashbalbar	2000	12.6%	18.1%	8.5%
Mongolia	Dashbalbar	2017	11.3%	19.0%	6.2%
Mongolia	Dashinchilen	2000	12.2%	31.8%	4.1%
Mongolia	Dashinchilen	2017	8.1%	32.2%	1.4%
Mongolia	Davst	2000	12.2%	21.3%	5.6%
Mongolia	Davst	2017	8.5%	15.0%	3.7%
Mongolia	Delger	2000	15.6%	23.7%	7.5%
Mongolia	Delger	2017	12.1%	19.2%	4.8%
Mongolia	Delgerekh	2000	7.7%	13.9%	3.4%
Mongolia	Delgerekh	2017	5.3%	12.7%	1.9%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2000	9.1%	19.8%	3.1%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2000	8.6%	22.4%	2.9%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2017	5.6%	20.4%	1.3%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2017	6.3%	20.9%	1.7%
Mongolia	Delgerkhangai	2000	6.2%	12.3%	3.0%
Mongolia	Delgerkhangai	2017	4.0%	11.0%	1.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Delgertsogt	2000	8.7%	17.1%	3.7%
Mongolia	Delgertsogt	2017	5.7%	13.6%	1.9%
Mongolia	Delüün	2000	3.7%	7.3%	1.7%
Mongolia	Delüün	2017	2.0%	4.5%	0.7%
Mongolia	Deren	2000	7.5%	14.3%	3.2%
Mongolia	Deren	2017	4.9%	11.6%	1.5%
Mongolia	Dörgön	2000	4.4%	8.5%	1.8%
Mongolia	Dörgön	2017	2.8%	7.5%	0.8%
Mongolia	Dörvöljin	2000	4.1%	6.8%	1.9%
Mongolia	Dörvöljin	2017	2.6%	7.9%	0.8%
Mongolia	Duut	2000	3.6%	9.9%	0.8%
Mongolia	Duut	2017	2.5%	10.5%	0.4%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	6.5%	12.4%	3.4%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	11.1%	31.1%	4.6%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	3.1%	4.7%	1.8%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	4.3%	8.4%	2.1%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	7.3%	18.0%	2.8%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	2.0%	3.6%	1.0%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2000	16.0%	20.9%	11.8%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2000	11.7%	18.3%	6.9%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2017	7.0%	11.5%	3.3%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2017	8.2%	14.0%	5.0%
Mongolia	Erdenebüren	2000	6.6%	13.7%	2.2%
Mongolia	Erdenebüren	2017	4.7%	13.4%	1.0%
Mongolia	Erdenedalai	2000	9.1%	13.3%	5.8%
Mongolia	Erdenedalai	2017	6.0%	9.6%	3.4%
Mongolia	Erdenehairkhan	2000	6.2%	11.6%	2.5%
Mongolia	Erdenehairkhan	2017	4.0%	8.2%	1.4%
Mongolia	Erdenemandal	2000	10.8%	19.2%	5.1%
Mongolia	Erdenemandal	2017	7.4%	16.2%	2.7%
Mongolia	Erdenesant	2000	7.0%	14.0%	3.0%
Mongolia	Erdenesant	2017	3.6%	11.2%	1.2%
Mongolia	Erdenetsagaan	2000	8.9%	13.4%	5.4%
Mongolia	Erdenetsagaan	2017	6.2%	12.9%	3.0%
Mongolia	Erdenetsogt	2000	6.1%	11.6%	2.6%
Mongolia	Erdenetsogt	2017	3.5%	7.5%	1.4%
Mongolia	Galshar	2000	7.6%	12.5%	4.1%
Mongolia	Galshar	2017	4.8%	9.4%	2.0%
Mongolia	Galt	2000	12.9%	31.3%	3.7%
Mongolia	Galt	2017	9.4%	26.1%	2.1%
Mongolia	Galut	2000	6.7%	12.5%	2.5%
Mongolia	Galut	2017	4.4%	11.0%	1.2%
Mongolia	Govi-Ugtaal	2000	9.0%	18.5%	3.7%
Mongolia	Govi-Ugtaal	2017	5.8%	14.3%	1.8%
Mongolia	Guchin-Us	2000	6.9%	12.3%	2.8%
Mongolia	Guchin-Us	2017	4.6%	10.2%	1.4%
Mongolia	Gurvan tes	2000	5.0%	8.5%	2.9%
Mongolia	Gurvan tes	2017	3.4%	7.8%	1.5%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2000	13.1%	27.0%	4.9%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2000	3.3%	7.5%	1.0%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2017	8.3%	19.8%	2.5%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2017	2.3%	7.8%	0.5%
Mongolia	Gurvansaikhan	2000	8.1%	14.2%	4.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Gurvansaikhan	2017	5.4%	10.1%	2.3%
Mongolia	Gurvanzagal	2000	10.8%	16.8%	5.7%
Mongolia	Gurvanzagal	2017	7.4%	13.0%	3.3%
Mongolia	Ider	2000	6.6%	13.2%	2.5%
Mongolia	Ider	2017	4.1%	9.2%	1.3%
Mongolia	Ikh-Tamir	2000	15.0%	21.6%	8.9%
Mongolia	Ikh-Tamir	2017	11.2%	18.6%	5.6%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2000	10.2%	22.1%	4.3%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2000	8.8%	27.6%	2.1%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2017	4.7%	10.7%	1.4%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2017	6.5%	30.0%	1.1%
Mongolia	Ikhkheth	2000	8.8%	16.5%	4.0%
Mongolia	Ikhkheth	2017	5.8%	12.8%	2.2%
Mongolia	Jargalan	2000	7.8%	15.7%	3.4%
Mongolia	Jargalan	2017	5.1%	12.2%	1.8%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	9.9%	23.4%	3.4%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	10.5%	13.6%	8.2%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	10.1%	18.7%	3.9%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	10.9%	26.6%	2.8%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	34.0%	51.7%	18.6%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	4.1%	7.3%	1.7%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	2.4%	5.8%	0.8%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	6.3%	17.0%	1.7%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	7.4%	24.7%	1.7%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	8.3%	23.5%	1.4%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	21.2%	39.4%	9.2%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	5.8%	8.1%	4.3%
Mongolia	Jargaltkhaan	2000	10.0%	21.0%	4.4%
Mongolia	Jargaltkhaan	2017	6.5%	20.5%	1.9%
Mongolia	Javkhlant	2000	15.1%	31.4%	5.5%
Mongolia	Javkhlant	2017	9.8%	25.8%	2.3%
Mongolia	Jinst	2000	6.7%	13.2%	3.1%
Mongolia	Jinst	2017	4.5%	12.1%	1.5%
Mongolia	Khairkhan	2000	10.7%	24.3%	3.6%
Mongolia	Khairkhan	2017	7.2%	20.3%	1.8%
Mongolia	Khairkhandulaan	2000	9.2%	15.8%	4.2%
Mongolia	Khairkhandulaan	2017	6.3%	15.2%	1.7%
Mongolia	Khaliun	2000	6.2%	10.5%	3.3%
Mongolia	Khaliun	2017	4.2%	8.5%	1.7%
Mongolia	Khalkhgal	2000	10.3%	30.0%	4.9%
Mongolia	Khalkhgal	2017	6.9%	24.5%	2.3%
Mongolia	Khalzan	2000	7.8%	14.3%	3.4%
Mongolia	Khalzan	2017	5.3%	14.0%	1.9%
Mongolia	Khan khongor	2000	16.9%	23.9%	11.2%
Mongolia	Khan khongor	2017	16.4%	22.9%	12.5%
Mongolia	Khanbogd	2000	6.7%	12.0%	3.9%
Mongolia	Khanbogd	2017	4.5%	9.9%	1.9%
Mongolia	Khangai	2000	6.3%	13.4%	2.4%
Mongolia	Khangai	2017	4.6%	12.6%	1.4%
Mongolia	Khangal	2000	10.1%	34.5%	2.3%
Mongolia	Khangal	2017	6.9%	25.1%	1.5%
Mongolia	Khankh	2000	14.7%	26.5%	6.7%
Mongolia	Khankh	2017	9.3%	24.8%	2.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Kharkhorin	2000	7.3%	16.0%	3.2%
Mongolia	Kharkhorin	2017	6.0%	13.7%	2.3%
Mongolia	Khashaat	2000	9.8%	20.2%	3.6%
Mongolia	Khashaat	2017	6.7%	17.1%	1.9%
Mongolia	Khatanbulag	2000	5.5%	8.1%	3.3%
Mongolia	Khatanbulag	2017	3.6%	6.4%	2.0%
Mongolia	Khatgal	2000	4.1%	19.5%	0.2%
Mongolia	Khatgal	2017	2.4%	13.8%	0.1%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2000	12.1%	18.5%	7.5%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2000	29.6%	33.5%	26.6%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2017	19.8%	26.4%	16.4%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2017	7.2%	12.2%	3.8%
Mongolia	Khishig-Öndör	2000	12.7%	31.5%	3.9%
Mongolia	Khishig-Öndör	2017	8.6%	27.9%	1.8%
Mongolia	Khökh morit	2000	5.2%	9.6%	2.7%
Mongolia	Khökh morit	2017	3.1%	8.1%	1.0%
Mongolia	Khölönbuir	2000	11.8%	19.4%	6.2%
Mongolia	Khölönbuir	2017	6.8%	13.3%	3.0%
Mongolia	Khongor	2000	13.7%	16.7%	11.2%
Mongolia	Khongor	2017	16.6%	19.8%	12.9%
Mongolia	Khotont	2000	12.9%	25.5%	5.0%
Mongolia	Khotont	2017	8.7%	22.8%	2.9%
Mongolia	Khovd	2000	55.4%	66.9%	38.8%
Mongolia	Khovd	2000	6.9%	14.6%	2.1%
Mongolia	Khovd	2017	31.3%	41.8%	19.2%
Mongolia	Khovd	2017	5.2%	14.1%	1.3%
Mongolia	Khövsgöl	2000	5.7%	9.4%	3.0%
Mongolia	Khövsgöl	2017	3.7%	8.5%	1.6%
Mongolia	Khüder	2000	10.2%	19.5%	4.0%
Mongolia	Khüder	2017	6.7%	15.4%	1.8%
Mongolia	Khujirt	2000	6.5%	17.3%	2.0%
Mongolia	Khujirt	2017	4.9%	11.9%	1.4%
Mongolia	Khuld	2000	6.5%	11.5%	3.3%
Mongolia	Khuld	2017	4.1%	8.9%	1.8%
Mongolia	Khüreemaraal	2000	5.6%	11.2%	2.2%
Mongolia	Khüreemaraal	2017	3.5%	9.9%	1.0%
Mongolia	Khürmen	2000	4.5%	8.2%	2.3%
Mongolia	Khürmen	2017	2.8%	5.8%	1.2%
Mongolia	Khushaat	2000	11.8%	22.9%	4.6%
Mongolia	Khushaat	2017	7.7%	19.4%	2.1%
Mongolia	Khutag-Öndör	2000	10.5%	20.5%	4.9%
Mongolia	Khutag-Öndör	2017	6.9%	17.5%	2.7%
Mongolia	Khyargas	2000	9.8%	17.4%	4.1%
Mongolia	Khyargas	2017	6.9%	14.4%	2.3%
Mongolia	Lün	2000	11.7%	38.8%	2.2%
Mongolia	Lün	2017	8.1%	33.5%	0.9%
Mongolia	Luus	2000	7.1%	13.3%	3.1%
Mongolia	Luus	2017	4.4%	9.9%	1.7%
Mongolia	Malchin	2000	8.7%	16.2%	4.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Malchin	2017	5.3%	10.8%	2.1%
Mongolia	Mandakh	2000	3.8%	7.3%	1.8%
Mongolia	Mandakh	2017	2.6%	6.6%	1.0%
Mongolia	Mandal	2000	16.2%	29.0%	9.3%
Mongolia	Mandal	2017	9.8%	19.2%	5.3%
Mongolia	Mandal-Ovoo	2000	6.7%	13.6%	2.6%
Mongolia	Mandal-Ovoo	2017	4.9%	17.7%	1.2%
Mongolia	Mankhan	2000	5.4%	13.1%	2.0%
Mongolia	Mankhan	2017	3.4%	9.1%	1.0%
Mongolia	Manlai	2000	4.8%	8.0%	2.7%
Mongolia	Manlai	2017	2.7%	7.0%	1.2%
Mongolia	Matad	2000	6.7%	9.8%	4.3%
Mongolia	Matad	2017	4.7%	10.6%	2.0%
Mongolia	Mogod	2000	11.8%	31.2%	4.0%
Mongolia	Mogod	2017	7.9%	35.4%	1.5%
Mongolia	Möngönmorit	2000	11.1%	18.2%	6.1%
Mongolia	Möngönmorit	2017	7.9%	17.3%	3.3%
Mongolia	Mönkhkhairkhan	2000	3.2%	8.3%	0.8%
Mongolia	Mönkhkhairkhan	2017	2.4%	11.0%	0.3%
Mongolia	Mörön	2000	9.1%	17.0%	3.6%
Mongolia	Mörön	2000	4.0%	7.8%	1.4%
Mongolia	Mörön	2017	5.6%	15.0%	1.7%
Mongolia	Mörön	2017	5.5%	15.2%	1.0%
Mongolia	Möst	2000	4.4%	14.4%	1.2%
Mongolia	Möst	2017	3.1%	13.6%	0.7%
Mongolia	Myangad	2000	6.3%	17.0%	1.7%
Mongolia	Myangad	2017	4.2%	11.9%	0.8%
Mongolia	Naran	2000	5.6%	11.6%	1.9%
Mongolia	Naran	2017	3.5%	9.4%	1.0%
Mongolia	Naranbulag	2000	6.8%	12.2%	3.3%
Mongolia	Naranbulag	2017	4.1%	10.7%	1.4%
Mongolia	Nariinteel	2000	8.8%	17.9%	3.6%
Mongolia	Nariinteel	2017	5.6%	17.4%	1.8%
Mongolia	Nogoonnuur	2000	7.7%	16.6%	2.9%
Mongolia	Nogoonnuur	2017	5.0%	12.8%	1.4%
Mongolia	Nomgon	2000	4.4%	7.3%	2.5%
Mongolia	Nomgon	2017	2.7%	5.1%	1.2%
Mongolia	Nömrög	2000	10.2%	19.8%	4.7%
Mongolia	Nömrög	2017	7.2%	22.2%	2.3%
Mongolia	Norovlin	2000	11.0%	24.0%	4.6%
Mongolia	Norovlin	2017	7.6%	23.4%	2.3%
Mongolia	Noyon	2000	3.8%	6.8%	1.9%
Mongolia	Noyon	2017	2.6%	5.3%	0.9%
Mongolia	Ögii nuur	2000	9.8%	23.4%	2.7%
Mongolia	Ögii nuur	2017	6.3%	21.5%	1.2%
Mongolia	Ölgii	2000	6.1%	17.2%	1.9%
Mongolia	Ölgii	2017	4.1%	13.0%	1.0%
Mongolia	Ölgii (city)	2000	8.4%	10.9%	6.1%
Mongolia	Ölgii (city)	2017	5.5%	7.9%	3.8%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	41.4%	46.7%	36.6%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	10.0%	19.8%	3.3%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	10.2%	20.2%	3.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	6.4%	9.1%	4.0%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	4.2%	7.5%	2.3%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	33.4%	40.7%	27.3%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	6.7%	15.4%	1.9%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	6.7%	17.2%	1.7%
Mongolia	Ömnödelger	2000	11.6%	17.3%	7.6%
Mongolia	Ömnödelger	2017	7.8%	17.6%	3.4%
Mongolia	Ömnögovi	2000	5.3%	11.0%	1.9%
Mongolia	Ömnögovi	2017	3.5%	10.6%	0.9%
Mongolia	Öndör-Ulaan	2000	10.6%	17.5%	5.8%
Mongolia	Öndör-Ulaan	2017	7.2%	14.2%	2.9%
Mongolia	Öndörkhangaï	2000	10.1%	25.9%	3.9%
Mongolia	Öndörkhangaï	2017	6.9%	19.8%	1.6%
Mongolia	Öndörshil	2000	6.3%	11.5%	3.1%
Mongolia	Öndörshil	2017	4.4%	9.6%	1.6%
Mongolia	Öndörshireet	2000	10.0%	19.3%	4.4%
Mongolia	Öndörshireet	2017	6.6%	14.7%	2.1%
Mongolia	Ongon	2000	5.9%	10.6%	3.1%
Mongolia	Ongon	2017	3.8%	9.1%	1.7%
Mongolia	Örgön	2000	36.7%	44.6%	30.4%
Mongolia	Örgön	2017	19.8%	26.5%	15.2%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	12.1%	24.4%	4.7%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	16.5%	34.1%	6.9%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	12.2%	17.2%	9.0%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	10.2%	22.8%	4.4%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	6.8%	20.7%	1.7%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	13.0%	16.8%	9.5%
Mongolia	Orkhontuul	2000	13.1%	23.6%	6.5%
Mongolia	Orkhontuul	2017	9.5%	17.9%	3.5%
Mongolia	Otgon	2000	4.2%	9.3%	1.5%
Mongolia	Otgon	2017	2.9%	11.7%	0.5%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2000	9.8%	37.5%	0.9%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2000	10.3%	20.4%	4.1%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2017	6.4%	15.0%	2.0%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2017	6.8%	30.7%	0.5%
Mongolia	Renchinlkhümbe	2000	11.7%	19.7%	7.1%
Mongolia	Renchinlkhümbe	2017	7.5%	15.8%	3.5%
Mongolia	Sagil	2000	9.3%	16.2%	5.0%
Mongolia	Sagil	2017	6.8%	16.3%	2.5%
Mongolia	Sagsai	2000	8.8%	15.5%	4.9%
Mongolia	Sagsai	2017	6.0%	11.3%	3.1%
Mongolia	Saikhan	2000	12.3%	24.8%	4.6%
Mongolia	Saikhan	2017	8.1%	19.0%	2.4%
Mongolia	Saikhan-Ovoo	2000	7.6%	14.6%	3.4%
Mongolia	Saikhan-Ovoo	2017	4.9%	11.6%	1.7%
Mongolia	Saikhandulaan	2000	6.3%	9.6%	3.6%
Mongolia	Saikhandulaan	2017	3.9%	6.3%	2.1%
Mongolia	Sainshand	2000	31.7%	38.8%	24.7%
Mongolia	Sainshand	2017	13.1%	19.0%	9.4%
Mongolia	Saintsagaan	2000	18.3%	25.6%	13.9%
Mongolia	Saintsagaan	2017	15.7%	24.8%	11.2%
Mongolia	Sant	2000	18.2%	31.1%	7.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Sant	2000	8.3%	18.5%	3.1%
Mongolia	Sant	2017	24.7%	45.5%	5.0%
Mongolia	Sant	2017	5.7%	14.4%	1.6%
Mongolia	Santmargats	2000	7.5%	15.6%	2.8%
Mongolia	Santmargats	2017	5.1%	15.3%	1.3%
Mongolia	Saykhan	2000	15.1%	23.0%	10.6%
Mongolia	Saykhan	2017	9.8%	16.9%	6.2%
Mongolia	Selenge	2000	25.3%	38.8%	15.0%
Mongolia	Selenge	2017	18.0%	30.1%	9.4%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2000	57.5%	74.4%	27.9%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2000	14.6%	22.0%	8.1%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2017	44.0%	64.0%	19.0%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2017	10.4%	18.8%	4.8%
Mongolia	Sevrei	2000	3.8%	6.5%	1.9%
Mongolia	Sevrei	2017	2.3%	4.6%	0.9%
Mongolia	Shaamar	2000	9.7%	22.5%	3.2%
Mongolia	Shaamar	2017	6.9%	17.3%	2.3%
Mongolia	Sharga	2000	9.0%	20.7%	3.6%
Mongolia	Sharga	2017	6.7%	18.8%	2.0%
Mongolia	Sharyngol	2000	14.6%	22.8%	9.1%
Mongolia	Sharyngol	2017	7.9%	13.4%	4.3%
Mongolia	Shilüüstei	2000	6.6%	15.8%	2.2%
Mongolia	Shilüüstei	2017	4.3%	10.9%	1.1%
Mongolia	Shine-Ider	2000	13.5%	36.3%	3.7%
Mongolia	Shine-Ider	2017	9.6%	31.6%	1.8%
Mongolia	Shinejinst	2000	3.2%	5.2%	1.8%
Mongolia	Shinejinst	2017	1.8%	4.0%	0.9%
Mongolia	Shiveegovi	2000	14.1%	28.5%	6.6%
Mongolia	Shiveegovi	2017	9.0%	22.4%	3.4%
Mongolia	Songino	2000	10.1%	18.6%	4.8%
Mongolia	Songino	2017	6.6%	17.9%	2.2%
Mongolia	Sükhbaatar	2000	23.3%	48.2%	12.1%
Mongolia	Sükhbaatar	2017	14.3%	35.3%	6.8%
Mongolia	Sümer	2000	7.5%	20.5%	1.3%
Mongolia	Sümer	2000	12.4%	19.9%	7.4%
Mongolia	Sümer	2017	4.6%	15.6%	0.7%
Mongolia	Sümer	2017	9.9%	19.4%	4.7%
Mongolia	Taishir	2000	10.9%	24.0%	4.8%
Mongolia	Taishir	2017	7.5%	17.3%	2.7%
Mongolia	Taragt	2000	9.4%	17.5%	4.2%
Mongolia	Taragt	2017	6.3%	13.8%	2.4%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2000	5.6%	11.1%	2.4%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2000	29.7%	41.8%	18.0%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2017	29.3%	41.9%	19.2%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2017	4.7%	9.6%	1.9%
Mongolia	Tariat	2000	8.8%	18.1%	3.2%
Mongolia	Tariat	2017	5.9%	13.7%	1.7%
Mongolia	Telmen	2000	9.4%	17.2%	4.2%
Mongolia	Telmen	2017	5.9%	14.5%	1.7%
Mongolia	Tes	2000	10.1%	17.4%	4.9%
Mongolia	Tes	2000	11.7%	27.0%	3.8%
Mongolia	Tes	2017	9.0%	32.5%	1.7%
Mongolia	Tes	2017	6.9%	13.6%	3.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Teshig	2000	14.0%	20.5%	7.5%
Mongolia	Teshig	2017	9.6%	16.7%	4.6%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2000	7.7%	18.4%	3.2%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2000	6.6%	12.8%	2.9%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2017	4.7%	13.2%	1.2%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2017	5.2%	14.9%	1.9%
Mongolia	Tolbo	2000	4.6%	10.9%	1.4%
Mongolia	Tolbo	2017	2.7%	7.2%	0.7%
Mongolia	Tömörbulag	2000	9.6%	17.8%	3.7%
Mongolia	Tömörbulag	2017	5.6%	12.4%	2.0%
Mongolia	Tonkhil	2000	6.1%	10.7%	3.5%
Mongolia	Tonkhil	2017	3.8%	10.0%	1.3%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2000	5.9%	13.5%	2.5%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2000	10.0%	20.8%	3.5%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2017	3.4%	8.6%	1.3%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2017	6.5%	19.2%	1.4%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Ovoo	2000	13.2%	19.9%	8.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Ovoo	2017	8.3%	14.3%	4.7%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Uul	2000	11.9%	22.4%	5.3%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Uul	2017	7.9%	16.3%	3.0%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Üür	2000	13.7%	21.5%	8.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Üür	2017	9.9%	23.8%	4.7%
Mongolia	Tsagaanchuluut	2000	7.8%	16.6%	2.6%
Mongolia	Tsagaanchuluut	2017	5.0%	14.6%	1.4%
Mongolia	Tsagaandelger	2000	7.9%	15.2%	3.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaandelger	2017	5.1%	12.9%	1.7%
Mongolia	Tsagaanhairhan	2000	9.0%	17.2%	3.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaanhairhan	2017	5.8%	13.1%	1.7%
Mongolia	Tsagaankhairkhan	2000	8.3%	27.9%	1.3%
Mongolia	Tsagaankhairkhan	2017	5.5%	20.6%	0.6%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	8.3%	13.0%	4.7%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	15.6%	51.5%	4.0%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	7.3%	24.1%	1.0%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	11.1%	38.0%	3.3%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	5.6%	9.9%	2.8%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	5.1%	23.3%	0.4%
Mongolia	Tsakhir	2000	4.9%	9.7%	1.9%
Mongolia	Tsakhir	2017	2.9%	7.2%	0.9%
Mongolia	Tseel	2000	10.6%	23.2%	3.8%
Mongolia	Tseel	2000	4.2%	8.5%	1.8%
Mongolia	Tseel	2017	7.0%	17.6%	1.9%
Mongolia	Tseel	2017	2.8%	6.5%	0.9%
Mongolia	Tsengel	2000	6.3%	14.9%	2.5%
Mongolia	Tsengel	2017	4.1%	9.6%	1.4%
Mongolia	Tsenkher	2000	12.3%	24.4%	5.5%
Mongolia	Tsenkher	2017	9.0%	19.5%	2.7%
Mongolia	Tsenkhermandal	2000	12.2%	22.1%	6.5%
Mongolia	Tsenkhermandal	2017	6.7%	14.9%	2.7%
Mongolia	Tsetseg	2000	4.6%	9.7%	1.7%
Mongolia	Tsetseg	2017	3.2%	11.4%	0.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Tsetsen-Uul	2000	7.6%	15.2%	2.2%
Mongolia	Tsetsen-Uul	2017	4.6%	10.9%	1.3%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2000	10.3%	16.1%	5.8%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2000	11.1%	20.8%	5.2%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2017	6.7%	11.7%	3.2%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2017	6.2%	13.5%	2.6%
Mongolia	Tsogt	2000	3.9%	6.7%	2.1%
Mongolia	Tsogt	2017	2.6%	6.7%	0.9%
Mongolia	Tsogt-Ovoo	2000	5.9%	10.4%	3.0%
Mongolia	Tsogt-Ovoo	2017	3.6%	9.9%	1.2%
Mongolia	Tsogttsetsii	2000	7.0%	11.2%	3.9%
Mongolia	Tsogttsetsii	2017	4.9%	12.1%	1.8%
Mongolia	Tüdevtei	2000	12.0%	24.7%	4.8%
Mongolia	Tüdevtei	2017	7.7%	17.6%	2.4%
Mongolia	Tümentsogt	2000	12.8%	24.2%	5.6%
Mongolia	Tümentsogt	2017	9.5%	23.6%	3.3%
Mongolia	Tünel	2000	8.7%	17.0%	3.7%
Mongolia	Tünel	2017	5.8%	12.8%	2.1%
Mongolia	Türgen	2000	6.8%	18.5%	1.8%
Mongolia	Türgen	2017	4.1%	11.5%	0.9%
Mongolia	Tüshig	2000	11.5%	57.1%	2.3%
Mongolia	Tüshig	2017	8.1%	44.8%	1.5%
Mongolia	Tüvshinshiree	2000	7.7%	15.3%	3.3%
Mongolia	Tüvshinshiree	2017	5.1%	12.4%	1.7%
Mongolia	Tüvshrüülekh	2000	10.5%	22.2%	2.7%
Mongolia	Tüvshrüülekh	2017	6.3%	16.5%	1.3%
Mongolia	Ugtaal	2000	11.9%	24.1%	4.2%
Mongolia	Ugtaal	2017	8.0%	17.1%	2.1%
Mongolia	Ulaan-Uul	2000	8.7%	15.3%	4.7%
Mongolia	Ulaan-Uul	2017	5.4%	10.2%	2.7%
Mongolia	Ulaanbadrakh	2000	9.8%	32.6%	2.9%
Mongolia	Ulaanbadrakh	2017	6.5%	25.6%	1.6%
Mongolia	Ulaangom	2000	15.1%	20.6%	11.1%
Mongolia	Ulaangom	2017	11.0%	16.5%	7.6%
Mongolia	Ulaankhus	2000	6.9%	15.8%	2.8%
Mongolia	Ulaankhus	2017	4.7%	10.8%	1.5%
Mongolia	Ulan Bator	2000	33.6%	34.7%	32.6%
Mongolia	Ulan Bator	2017	26.5%	27.5%	25.6%
Mongolia	Urgamal	2000	4.9%	9.6%	1.8%
Mongolia	Urgamal	2017	3.1%	7.3%	0.8%
Mongolia	Uulbayan	2000	7.8%	13.3%	3.7%
Mongolia	Uulbayan	2017	4.7%	8.9%	2.0%
Mongolia	Uyanga	2000	7.5%	15.1%	2.9%
Mongolia	Uyanga	2017	4.3%	9.6%	1.4%
Mongolia	Üyench	2000	4.5%	7.9%	2.3%
Mongolia	Üyench	2017	3.3%	7.8%	1.2%
Mongolia	Yaruu	2000	7.8%	14.1%	3.4%
Mongolia	Yaruu	2017	5.7%	13.9%	1.6%
Mongolia	Yeröö	2000	10.5%	17.7%	5.2%
Mongolia	Yeröö	2017	7.1%	16.4%	2.5%
Mongolia	Yesönbulag	2000	24.2%	37.9%	14.7%
Mongolia	Yesönbulag	2017	14.2%	23.5%	8.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Yesönzüil	2000	10.4%	21.1%	3.8%
Mongolia	Yesönzüil	2017	6.5%	15.6%	1.8%
Mongolia	Zaamar	2000	10.5%	24.0%	3.5%
Mongolia	Zaamar	2017	6.7%	17.0%	1.5%
Mongolia	Zag	2000	4.2%	10.2%	1.2%
Mongolia	Zag	2017	2.6%	9.7%	0.5%
Mongolia	Zamyn-Üüd	2000	23.8%	40.9%	12.9%
Mongolia	Zamyn-Üüd	2017	14.7%	25.2%	8.2%
Mongolia	Zavkhan	2000	4.6%	9.0%	2.1%
Mongolia	Zavkhan	2017	3.0%	6.6%	1.1%
Mongolia	Zavkhanmandal	2000	5.0%	12.2%	1.5%
Mongolia	Zavkhanmandal	2017	3.3%	11.6%	0.5%
Mongolia	Zereg	2000	4.2%	13.8%	0.9%
Mongolia	Zereg	2017	2.8%	8.9%	0.4%
Mongolia	Züünbayan-Ulaan	2000	9.9%	20.1%	4.2%
Mongolia	Züünbayan-Ulaan	2017	7.1%	15.7%	2.1%
Mongolia	Züünbüren	2000	13.4%	28.6%	4.6%
Mongolia	Züünbüren	2017	8.4%	19.3%	2.6%
Mongolia	Züüngovi	2000	11.1%	18.5%	4.9%
Mongolia	Züüngovi	2017	7.5%	15.8%	2.6%
Mongolia	Züünkhangai	2000	12.3%	21.6%	5.5%
Mongolia	Züünkhangai	2017	7.6%	19.4%	2.5%
Tajikistan	Asht	2000	9.4%	22.3%	2.5%
Tajikistan	Asht	2017	17.0%	32.7%	6.7%
Tajikistan	Ayni	2000	15.7%	30.2%	6.1%
Tajikistan	Ayni	2017	24.0%	39.3%	12.3%
Tajikistan	Baljuvon	2000	18.2%	48.6%	1.8%
Tajikistan	Baljuvon	2017	24.5%	57.3%	3.7%
Tajikistan	Bokhtar	2000	12.8%	13.7%	11.8%
Tajikistan	Bokhtar	2017	19.2%	19.6%	18.7%
Tajikistan	Danghara	2000	12.4%	28.3%	1.0%
Tajikistan	Danghara	2017	18.9%	36.2%	3.4%
Tajikistan	Darvoz	2000	16.3%	34.9%	6.8%
Tajikistan	Darvoz	2017	21.9%	43.9%	8.7%
Tajikistan	Farkhor	2000	4.3%	13.0%	0.1%
Tajikistan	Farkhor	2017	7.6%	19.3%	0.5%
Tajikistan	Fayzobod	2000	3.4%	9.6%	0.6%
Tajikistan	Fayzobod	2017	9.0%	19.3%	2.7%
Tajikistan	Ghafurov	2000	11.1%	13.6%	9.4%
Tajikistan	Ghafurov	2017	24.7%	28.3%	21.8%
Tajikistan	Ghonchi	2000	7.8%	14.7%	3.1%
Tajikistan	Ghonchi	2017	14.7%	24.6%	7.9%
Tajikistan	Hissor	2000	2.8%	7.0%	1.7%
Tajikistan	Hissor	2017	10.2%	14.4%	8.2%
Tajikistan	Isfara	2000	2.7%	8.7%	0.5%
Tajikistan	Isfara	2017	6.2%	12.9%	2.1%
Tajikistan	Ishkoshim	2000	12.8%	27.8%	4.0%
Tajikistan	Ishkoshim	2017	18.0%	35.4%	6.8%
Tajikistan	Istaravshan	2000	3.6%	12.9%	0.1%
Tajikistan	Istaravshan	2017	8.6%	21.3%	0.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tajikistan	Jabor Rasulov	2000	1.9%	6.5%	0.5%
Tajikistan	Jabor Rasulov	2017	4.9%	10.1%	2.4%
Tajikistan	Jilikul	2000	3.4%	13.0%	0.8%
Tajikistan	Jilikul	2017	7.9%	18.6%	3.5%
Tajikistan	Jirgatol	2000	11.7%	22.8%	3.6%
Tajikistan	Jirgatol	2017	19.6%	33.0%	7.3%
Tajikistan	Jomi	2000	19.3%	22.5%	17.0%
Tajikistan	Jomi	2017	18.5%	24.8%	16.1%
Tajikistan	Khovaling	2000	10.6%	38.4%	0.6%
Tajikistan	Khovaling	2017	14.8%	40.4%	1.3%
Tajikistan	Khuroson	2000	1.8%	8.4%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Khuroson	2017	3.7%	14.2%	0.1%
Tajikistan	Kolkhozobod	2000	3.3%	10.0%	1.0%
Tajikistan	Kolkhozobod	2017	7.5%	14.6%	4.2%
Tajikistan	Konibodom	2000	3.6%	6.9%	1.4%
Tajikistan	Konibodom	2017	10.5%	16.6%	5.9%
Tajikistan	Kuhistoni Mastchoh	2000	15.8%	29.3%	5.2%
Tajikistan	Kuhistoni Mastchoh	2017	21.8%	38.9%	7.5%
Tajikistan	Kulob	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Tajikistan	Kulob	2017	3.8%	4.7%	2.9%
Tajikistan	Matchin	2000	20.3%	38.0%	5.5%
Tajikistan	Matchin	2017	29.8%	48.1%	13.6%
Tajikistan	Moskva	2000	3.6%	9.7%	0.5%
Tajikistan	Moskva	2017	7.0%	15.4%	1.8%
Tajikistan	Muminobod	2000	5.9%	16.3%	1.2%
Tajikistan	Muminobod	2017	12.3%	26.2%	4.2%
Tajikistan	Murghob	2000	16.6%	22.8%	11.2%
Tajikistan	Murghob	2017	23.0%	30.8%	16.6%
Tajikistan	Norak	2000	46.9%	66.2%	26.4%
Tajikistan	Norak	2017	55.7%	69.5%	37.7%
Tajikistan	Nosir Khusrav	2000	5.6%	21.8%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Nosir Khusrav	2017	8.1%	25.4%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Nurobod	2000	11.3%	30.8%	1.3%
Tajikistan	Nurobod	2017	17.5%	35.0%	4.5%
Tajikistan	Pandjakent	2000	9.0%	17.8%	3.0%
Tajikistan	Pandjakent	2017	16.1%	26.6%	8.2%
Tajikistan	Panj	2000	7.5%	21.3%	1.6%
Tajikistan	Panj	2017	11.2%	30.5%	2.8%
Tajikistan	Qabodiyon	2000	5.0%	14.7%	0.5%
Tajikistan	Qabodiyon	2017	9.3%	21.3%	2.0%
Tajikistan	Qumsangir	2000	4.8%	11.3%	2.0%
Tajikistan	Qumsangir	2017	13.6%	25.1%	7.1%
Tajikistan	Rasht	2000	8.0%	15.2%	2.8%
Tajikistan	Rasht	2017	12.8%	22.3%	5.8%
Tajikistan	Roghun	2000	25.8%	36.8%	13.5%
Tajikistan	Roghun	2017	42.1%	57.5%	26.9%
Tajikistan	Roshtqala	2000	8.9%	15.9%	3.9%
Tajikistan	Roshtqala	2017	12.0%	20.6%	6.3%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2000	6.2%	7.7%	5.3%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2000	33.2%	34.1%	32.3%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2017	65.6%	66.2%	65.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2017	19.6%	21.6%	17.9%
Tajikistan	Rushon	2000	13.4%	24.0%	6.9%
Tajikistan	Rushon	2017	16.8%	29.9%	8.5%
Tajikistan	Sarband	2000	19.6%	43.9%	12.0%
Tajikistan	Sarband	2017	39.2%	64.5%	26.3%
Tajikistan	Shahrinav	2000	1.4%	4.8%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Shahrinav	2017	2.9%	8.4%	0.2%
Tajikistan	Shahriston	2000	3.1%	9.7%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Shahriston	2017	6.2%	17.7%	0.3%
Tajikistan	Shahrituz	2000	3.4%	9.7%	0.4%
Tajikistan	Shahrituz	2017	7.5%	15.1%	1.6%
Tajikistan	Shughnon	2000	17.9%	21.4%	15.1%
Tajikistan	Shughnon	2017	31.3%	35.2%	27.9%
Tajikistan	Shurobod	2000	6.6%	19.5%	0.4%
Tajikistan	Shurobod	2017	10.6%	26.8%	1.5%
Tajikistan	Sovet	2000	3.9%	14.4%	0.1%
Tajikistan	Sovet	2017	7.0%	20.6%	0.8%
Tajikistan	Spitamen	2000	3.6%	7.1%	1.1%
Tajikistan	Spitamen	2017	7.9%	12.8%	4.0%
Tajikistan	Tavildara	2000	13.9%	26.6%	5.1%
Tajikistan	Tavildara	2017	18.0%	33.0%	7.4%
Tajikistan	Tojikobod	2000	6.4%	28.2%	0.2%
Tajikistan	Tojikobod	2017	11.0%	39.0%	0.8%
Tajikistan	Tursunzoda	2000	3.4%	7.4%	2.1%
Tajikistan	Tursunzoda	2017	9.5%	14.7%	6.8%
Tajikistan	Vahdat	2000	4.0%	7.8%	2.0%
Tajikistan	Vahdat	2017	10.0%	15.9%	6.4%
Tajikistan	Vakhsh	2000	9.1%	21.9%	0.4%
Tajikistan	Vakhsh	2017	16.0%	32.5%	1.8%
Tajikistan	Vanj	2000	7.4%	13.9%	2.6%
Tajikistan	Vanj	2017	11.2%	19.5%	4.9%
Tajikistan	Varzob	2000	6.2%	9.8%	4.6%
Tajikistan	Varzob	2017	16.6%	21.9%	13.5%
Tajikistan	Vose	2000	1.0%	3.6%	0.4%
Tajikistan	Vose	2017	3.6%	7.0%	1.7%
Tajikistan	Yovon	2000	17.1%	25.0%	11.9%
Tajikistan	Yovon	2017	29.6%	38.2%	22.6%
Tajikistan	Zafarobod	2000	7.2%	29.4%	0.4%
Tajikistan	Zafarobod	2017	14.6%	40.6%	2.3%
Turkmenistan	Ahal	2000	29.8%	32.4%	27.0%
Turkmenistan	Ahal	2017	30.3%	33.0%	27.1%
Turkmenistan	Aşgabat	2000	86.0%	90.2%	81.7%
Turkmenistan	Aşgabat	2017	85.2%	89.7%	80.7%
Turkmenistan	Balkan	2000	28.6%	32.9%	25.1%
Turkmenistan	Balkan	2017	26.6%	30.6%	23.2%
Turkmenistan	Chardzhou	2000	12.8%	15.0%	11.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Turkmenistan	Chardzhou	2017	11.4%	13.4%	9.8%
Turkmenistan	Mary	2000	14.7%	17.5%	12.4%
Turkmenistan	Mary	2017	13.0%	15.3%	11.1%
Turkmenistan	Tashauz	2000	11.8%	14.3%	9.6%
Turkmenistan	Tashauz	2017	11.0%	13.2%	9.0%
Uzbekistan	Amudaryo	2000	1.1%	1.9%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Amudaryo	2017	80.1%	87.9%	71.5%
Uzbekistan	Andijon	2000	1.3%	2.4%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Andijon	2017	79.7%	89.9%	65.3%
Uzbekistan	Angor	2000	1.1%	2.3%	0.4%
Uzbekistan	Angor	2017	79.5%	89.4%	64.6%
Uzbekistan	Aral Sea	2000	2.6%	3.5%	1.9%
Uzbekistan	Aral Sea	2017	89.1%	92.8%	84.3%
Uzbekistan	Arnasoy	2000	3.2%	7.1%	1.4%
Uzbekistan	Arnasoy	2017	91.1%	95.9%	83.5%
Uzbekistan	Asaka	2000	2.0%	3.7%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Asaka	2017	84.4%	94.2%	70.5%
Uzbekistan	Baliqchi	2000	2.6%	4.9%	1.3%
Uzbekistan	Baliqchi	2017	88.3%	93.9%	76.7%
Uzbekistan	Bandixon	2000	1.7%	3.9%	0.7%
Uzbekistan	Bandixon	2017	87.0%	94.1%	76.7%
Uzbekistan	Baxmal	2000	2.4%	4.0%	1.4%
Uzbekistan	Baxmal	2017	89.0%	93.3%	82.7%
Uzbekistan	Bekobod	2000	1.8%	3.2%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Bekobod	2017	85.4%	91.3%	77.3%
Uzbekistan	Beruniy	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Beruniy	2017	79.4%	86.1%	70.1%
Uzbekistan	Beshariq	2000	1.7%	3.2%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Beshariq	2017	85.5%	92.1%	77.3%
Uzbekistan	Bo'ka	2000	5.7%	9.1%	3.4%
Uzbekistan	Bo'ka	2017	92.9%	96.1%	88.0%
Uzbekistan	Bo'stonliq	2000	2.9%	4.6%	1.7%
Uzbekistan	Bo'stonliq	2017	90.5%	94.8%	84.6%
Uzbekistan	Bo'zsuv	2000	2.1%	5.1%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Bo'zsuv	2017	85.5%	94.5%	73.4%
Uzbekistan	Bog'dod	2000	2.0%	3.7%	1.0%
Uzbekistan	Bog'dod	2017	84.9%	92.7%	72.0%
Uzbekistan	Bog'ot	2000	1.8%	3.7%	0.8%
Uzbekistan	Bog'ot	2017	86.0%	93.1%	76.0%
Uzbekistan	Boyovut	2000	2.3%	4.1%	1.3%
Uzbekistan	Boyovut	2017	88.2%	93.9%	78.6%
Uzbekistan	Boysun	2000	1.9%	3.6%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Boysun	2017	86.4%	91.6%	79.5%
Uzbekistan	Buloqboshi	2000	1.5%	3.9%	0.4%
Uzbekistan	Buloqboshi	2017	81.6%	93.3%	65.4%
Uzbekistan	Bulung'ur	2000	2.1%	3.9%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Bulung'ur	2017	86.4%	92.2%	78.4%
Uzbekistan	Buvayda	2000	1.1%	2.2%	0.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Buvayda	2017	78.8%	89.0%	64.9%
Uzbekistan	Buxoro	2000	1.9%	3.3%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Buxoro	2017	85.8%	91.6%	76.7%
Uzbekistan	Chimboy	2000	1.6%	3.8%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Chimboy	2017	84.5%	91.9%	73.3%
Uzbekistan	Chinoz	2000	2.7%	5.5%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Chinoz	2017	89.0%	95.5%	78.2%
Uzbekistan	Chiroqchi	2000	1.8%	3.0%	1.2%
Uzbekistan	Chiroqchi	2017	85.5%	91.7%	77.9%
Uzbekistan	Chortoq	2000	1.7%	3.6%	0.7%
Uzbekistan	Chortoq	2017	84.7%	92.9%	74.0%
Uzbekistan	Chust	2000	1.5%	2.9%	0.8%
Uzbekistan	Chust	2017	81.3%	89.0%	69.3%
Uzbekistan	Dang'ara	2000	0.9%	1.6%	0.3%
Uzbekistan	Dang'ara	2017	71.6%	82.4%	57.7%
Uzbekistan	Dehqonobod	2000	1.9%	3.6%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Dehqonobod	2017	85.9%	91.7%	77.9%
Uzbekistan	Denov	2000	1.8%	3.6%	0.8%
Uzbekistan	Denov	2017	86.2%	93.7%	75.3%
Uzbekistan	Do'stlik	2000	2.3%	4.5%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Do'stlik	2017	89.2%	95.6%	77.8%
Uzbekistan	Ellikqala	2000	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
Uzbekistan	Ellikqala	2017	85.7%	91.6%	78.3%
Uzbekistan	Farg'ona	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Farg'ona	2017	85.5%	90.8%	79.0%
Uzbekistan	Forish	2000	2.3%	3.3%	1.7%
Uzbekistan	Forish	2017	88.6%	92.1%	84.0%
Uzbekistan	Furqat	2000	1.3%	3.0%	0.5%
Uzbekistan	Furqat	2017	80.0%	89.2%	67.1%
Uzbekistan	G'allaorol	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	G'allaorol	2017	86.0%	91.6%	78.3%
Uzbekistan	G'ijduvon	2000	2.7%	4.0%	1.8%
Uzbekistan	G'ijduvon	2017	90.5%	94.1%	84.3%
Uzbekistan	G'uzor	2000	1.7%	3.1%	1.0%
Uzbekistan	G'uzor	2017	84.9%	90.5%	77.5%
Uzbekistan	Guliston	2000	1.9%	3.5%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Guliston	2017	85.1%	92.6%	73.7%
Uzbekistan	Gurlan	2000	1.4%	2.4%	0.8%
Uzbekistan	Gurlan	2017	82.7%	90.1%	74.4%
Uzbekistan	Hazorasp	2000	1.6%	2.7%	1.0%
Uzbekistan	Hazorasp	2017	85.3%	91.8%	74.8%
Uzbekistan	Ishtixon	2000	2.2%	3.9%	1.3%
Uzbekistan	Ishtixon	2017	88.9%	92.9%	83.0%
Uzbekistan	Izboskan	2000	1.3%	2.2%	0.7%
Uzbekistan	Izboskan	2017	83.5%	90.6%	73.0%
Uzbekistan	Jalolquduq	2000	1.8%	3.3%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Jalolquduq	2017	86.4%	93.4%	77.6%
Uzbekistan	Jarqo'rg'on	2000	1.5%	3.1%	0.7%
Uzbekistan	Jarqo'rg'on	2017	84.5%	92.5%	70.3%
Uzbekistan	Jizzax	2000	1.7%	2.9%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Jizzax	2017	83.9%	91.0%	74.0%
Uzbekistan	Jomboy	2000	1.5%	2.6%	0.8%
Uzbekistan	Jomboy	2017	82.3%	90.3%	70.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Jondor	2000	2.2%	3.6%	1.4%
Uzbekistan	Jondor	2017	88.6%	93.6%	81.4%
Uzbekistan	Karmana	2000	2.2%	3.7%	1.3%
Uzbekistan	Karmana	2017	88.7%	93.8%	81.5%
Uzbekistan	Kasbi	2000	1.2%	2.2%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Kasbi	2017	78.9%	87.7%	66.1%
Uzbekistan	Kattaqo'rg'on	2000	1.9%	3.2%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Kattaqo'rg'on	2017	86.3%	92.0%	77.1%
Uzbekistan	Kegeyli	2000	1.4%	2.7%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Kegeyli	2017	82.5%	88.1%	73.8%
Uzbekistan	Kitob	2000	1.5%	3.0%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Kitob	2017	83.1%	91.5%	69.8%
Uzbekistan	Kogon	2000	1.5%	3.3%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Kogon	2017	83.3%	92.0%	69.2%
Uzbekistan	Konimex	2000	2.0%	3.2%	1.4%
Uzbekistan	Konimex	2017	87.3%	91.9%	80.9%
Uzbekistan	Koson	2000	1.8%	3.3%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Koson	2017	86.3%	92.9%	76.5%
Uzbekistan	Kosonsoy	2000	1.6%	2.9%	0.7%
Uzbekistan	Kosonsoy	2017	84.1%	91.6%	74.1%
Uzbekistan	Marhamat	2000	2.9%	5.9%	1.2%
Uzbekistan	Marhamat	2017	87.0%	94.8%	74.6%
Uzbekistan	Mingbuloq	2000	2.6%	4.1%	1.6%
Uzbekistan	Mingbuloq	2017	88.6%	93.4%	82.6%
Uzbekistan	Mirzacho'l	2000	2.9%	6.0%	1.3%
Uzbekistan	Mirzacho'l	2017	91.5%	96.3%	85.2%
Uzbekistan	Mirzaobod	2000	2.0%	3.6%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Mirzaobod	2017	85.6%	93.5%	74.2%
Uzbekistan	Mo'ynoq	2000	2.4%	3.0%	2.0%
Uzbekistan	Mo'ynoq	2017	88.9%	92.0%	84.8%
Uzbekistan	Muborak	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.2%
Uzbekistan	Muborak	2017	86.3%	91.6%	78.7%
Uzbekistan	Muzrabot	2000	1.4%	3.2%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Muzrabot	2017	82.0%	90.5%	69.5%
Uzbekistan	Namangan	2000	1.2%	2.4%	0.5%
Uzbekistan	Namangan	2017	75.7%	85.8%	61.9%
Uzbekistan	Narpay	2000	2.4%	5.1%	1.2%
Uzbekistan	Narpay	2017	89.4%	94.5%	80.9%
Uzbekistan	Navbahor	2000	1.7%	3.4%	0.8%
Uzbekistan	Navbahor	2017	82.0%	92.4%	67.3%
Uzbekistan	Nishon	2000	1.6%	2.7%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Nishon	2017	84.9%	91.0%	76.1%
Uzbekistan	Norin	2000	1.6%	3.7%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Norin	2017	84.3%	92.7%	72.5%
Uzbekistan	Nukus	2000	0.8%	1.4%	0.4%
Uzbekistan	Nukus	2017	74.3%	84.6%	61.7%
Uzbekistan	Nurobod	2000	2.2%	3.5%	1.5%
Uzbekistan	Nurobod	2017	88.3%	93.0%	80.3%
Uzbekistan	Nurota	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Uzbekistan	Nurota	2017	86.2%	90.7%	80.2%
Uzbekistan	O'rtachirchiq	2000	27.0%	29.7%	25.1%
Uzbekistan	O'rtachirchiq	2017	93.8%	97.2%	88.6%
Uzbekistan	O'zbekiston	2000	1.2%	2.3%	0.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	O'zbekiston	2017	77.0%	86.2%	65.5%
Uzbekistan	Ohangaron	2000	2.8%	4.1%	1.9%
Uzbekistan	Ohangaron	2017	88.2%	92.5%	82.5%
Uzbekistan	Olot	2000	2.1%	3.7%	1.4%
Uzbekistan	Olot	2017	87.6%	93.2%	79.2%
Uzbekistan	Oltiariq	2000	1.3%	2.3%	0.7%
Uzbekistan	Oltiariq	2017	79.3%	87.2%	68.6%
Uzbekistan	Oltinko'l	2000	1.0%	2.2%	0.4%
Uzbekistan	Oltinko'l	2017	78.8%	89.0%	64.3%
Uzbekistan	Oltinsoy	2000	1.8%	3.2%	1.0%
Uzbekistan	Oltinsoy	2017	85.6%	91.9%	76.7%
Uzbekistan	Oqdaryo	2000	1.4%	2.8%	0.7%
Uzbekistan	Oqdaryo	2017	79.8%	89.0%	69.8%
Uzbekistan	Oqoltin	2000	2.8%	5.1%	1.5%
Uzbekistan	Oqoltin	2017	89.9%	94.7%	84.4%
Uzbekistan	Oqqo'rg'on	2000	2.5%	5.4%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Oqqo'rg'on	2017	88.2%	94.6%	78.8%
Uzbekistan	Oxunboboev	2000	1.1%	2.1%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Oxunboboev	2017	76.8%	88.4%	61.7%
Uzbekistan	Parkent	2000	2.9%	5.1%	1.4%
Uzbekistan	Parkent	2017	90.8%	95.7%	83.4%
Uzbekistan	Pastdarg'om	2000	1.8%	2.9%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Pastdarg'om	2017	85.6%	91.8%	77.9%
Uzbekistan	Paxtachi	2000	2.6%	4.7%	1.4%
Uzbekistan	Paxtachi	2017	89.1%	93.5%	81.9%
Uzbekistan	Paxtakor	2000	2.7%	5.6%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Paxtakor	2017	89.5%	95.7%	77.2%
Uzbekistan	Paxtaobod	2000	1.8%	4.0%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Paxtaobod	2017	84.6%	93.3%	72.6%
Uzbekistan	Payariq	2000	1.6%	2.7%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Payariq	2017	82.9%	90.2%	73.9%
Uzbekistan	Peshku	2000	2.2%	4.2%	1.4%
Uzbekistan	Peshku	2017	88.5%	93.7%	80.8%
Uzbekistan	Piskent	2000	2.1%	3.7%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Piskent	2017	87.0%	92.9%	77.5%
Uzbekistan	Pop	2000	2.1%	3.9%	1.2%
Uzbekistan	Pop	2017	87.5%	92.6%	80.6%
Uzbekistan	Qamashi	2000	1.9%	3.2%	1.0%
Uzbekistan	Qamashi	2017	85.6%	91.6%	77.8%
Uzbekistan	Qanliko'l	2000	1.6%	3.2%	0.7%
Uzbekistan	Qanliko'l	2017	84.6%	91.1%	75.3%
Uzbekistan	Qarshi	2000	1.2%	2.1%	0.7%
Uzbekistan	Qarshi	2017	82.0%	89.2%	71.1%
Uzbekistan	Qibray	2000	1.9%	3.3%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Qibray	2017	85.3%	91.0%	76.7%
Uzbekistan	Qiziltepa	2000	1.5%	2.7%	0.8%
Uzbekistan	Qiziltepa	2017	84.4%	91.7%	71.7%
Uzbekistan	Qiziriq	2000	1.6%	3.2%	0.7%
Uzbekistan	Qiziriq	2017	84.5%	92.0%	74.6%
Uzbekistan	Qo'ng'iro't	2000	2.1%	2.6%	1.7%
Uzbekistan	Qo'ng'iro't	2017	88.0%	91.4%	83.7%
Uzbekistan	Qo'rg'on'tepa	2000	1.8%	3.2%	1.2%
Uzbekistan	Qo'rg'on'tepa	2017	84.2%	91.6%	76.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Qo'shko'pir	2000	1.6%	3.3%	0.8%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shko'pir	2017	84.2%	91.0%	72.8%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shrabot	2000	2.5%	4.3%	1.4%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shrabot	2017	89.3%	93.1%	83.0%
Uzbekistan	Qorako'l	2000	2.1%	3.2%	1.4%
Uzbekistan	Qorako'l	2017	86.7%	92.3%	78.1%
Uzbekistan	Qorao'zak	2000	2.1%	3.1%	1.4%
Uzbekistan	Qorao'zak	2017	87.6%	91.5%	82.2%
Uzbekistan	Qorovulbozor	2000	2.6%	3.9%	1.8%
Uzbekistan	Qorovulbozor	2017	89.3%	94.2%	81.3%
Uzbekistan	Qumqo'rg'on	2000	1.8%	2.9%	1.0%
Uzbekistan	Qumqo'rg'on	2017	85.4%	91.8%	73.4%
Uzbekistan	Quva	2000	1.5%	2.8%	0.7%
Uzbekistan	Quva	2017	80.3%	90.0%	67.0%
Uzbekistan	Quyichirchiq	2000	3.0%	6.0%	1.5%
Uzbekistan	Quyichirchiq	2017	90.4%	95.7%	82.3%
Uzbekistan	Rishton	2000	1.2%	2.6%	0.5%
Uzbekistan	Rishton	2017	78.7%	88.9%	63.9%
Uzbekistan	Romitan	2000	2.2%	4.2%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Romitan	2017	88.7%	94.0%	81.1%
Uzbekistan	Samarqand	2000	1.4%	2.7%	0.7%
Uzbekistan	Samarqand	2017	81.1%	90.1%	69.8%
Uzbekistan	Sariosiyo	2000	2.1%	4.8%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Sariosiyo	2017	86.6%	93.6%	76.8%
Uzbekistan	Sayxunobod	2000	3.0%	6.2%	1.5%
Uzbekistan	Sayxunobod	2017	90.3%	95.5%	83.0%
Uzbekistan	Shahrisabz	2000	1.3%	2.6%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Shahrisabz	2017	80.5%	90.3%	66.4%
Uzbekistan	Shahrixon	2000	1.1%	2.5%	0.4%
Uzbekistan	Shahrixon	2017	78.2%	90.9%	63.1%
Uzbekistan	Sharof Rashidov	2000	2.8%	5.7%	1.5%
Uzbekistan	Sharof Rashidov	2017	90.3%	95.5%	82.9%
Uzbekistan	Sherobod	2000	1.4%	2.6%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Sherobod	2017	81.9%	88.2%	72.3%
Uzbekistan	Sho'rchi	2000	1.8%	4.3%	0.7%
Uzbekistan	Sho'rchi	2017	85.8%	93.6%	73.4%
Uzbekistan	Shofirkon	2000	1.9%	3.4%	1.2%
Uzbekistan	Shofirkon	2017	88.0%	93.5%	79.0%
Uzbekistan	Shovot	2000	1.4%	2.4%	0.8%
Uzbekistan	Shovot	2017	82.3%	90.1%	70.7%
Uzbekistan	Shumanay	2000	1.6%	3.7%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Shumanay	2017	85.8%	93.6%	76.3%
Uzbekistan	Sirdaryo	2000	2.0%	3.6%	1.0%
Uzbekistan	Sirdaryo	2017	86.1%	93.0%	76.9%
Uzbekistan	So'x	2000	2.4%	6.0%	0.8%
Uzbekistan	So'x	2017	87.9%	95.6%	77.3%
Uzbekistan	Tashkent City	2000	24.0%	25.2%	22.6%
Uzbekistan	Tashkent City	2017	81.2%	85.6%	76.6%
Uzbekistan	Taxtako'pir	2000	2.4%	3.0%	1.9%
Uzbekistan	Taxtako'pir	2017	89.0%	92.3%	84.8%
Uzbekistan	Termiz	2000	1.1%	2.2%	0.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Termiz	2017	80.0%	91.6%	63.5%
Uzbekistan	To'raqo'rg'on	2000	1.3%	2.8%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	To'raqo'rg'on	2017	81.5%	91.5%	68.5%
Uzbekistan	To'rtko'l	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.3%
Uzbekistan	To'rtko'l	2017	86.9%	91.3%	80.4%
Uzbekistan	Tomdi	2000	3.5%	5.0%	2.5%
Uzbekistan	Tomdi	2017	91.9%	94.4%	88.8%
Uzbekistan	Toshkent	2000	1.2%	2.5%	0.5%
Uzbekistan	Toshkent	2017	78.7%	88.3%	65.5%
Uzbekistan	Toshloq	2000	0.7%	1.4%	0.3%
Uzbekistan	Toshloq	2017	71.5%	84.3%	56.4%
Uzbekistan	Toyloq	2000	1.5%	3.8%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Toyloq	2017	83.3%	92.5%	69.0%
Uzbekistan	Uchko'prik	2000	1.1%	2.2%	0.5%
Uzbekistan	Uchko'prik	2017	77.0%	85.6%	66.8%
Uzbekistan	Uchqo'rg'on	2000	1.9%	4.3%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Uchqo'rg'on	2017	84.3%	92.0%	70.2%
Uzbekistan	Uchquduq	2000	3.6%	4.3%	3.0%
Uzbekistan	Uchquduq	2017	92.2%	93.9%	89.6%
Uzbekistan	Ulug'nor	2000	2.2%	4.6%	1.0%
Uzbekistan	Ulug'nor	2017	87.9%	94.0%	78.5%
Uzbekistan	Urganch	2000	1.1%	1.9%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Urganch	2017	77.3%	88.0%	65.3%
Uzbekistan	Urgut	2000	1.7%	2.7%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Urgut	2017	86.7%	91.9%	79.0%
Uzbekistan	Usmon	2000	2.0%	2.8%	1.4%
Uzbekistan	Yusupov				
Uzbekistan	Usmon	2017	87.1%	91.4%	81.4%
Uzbekistan	Yusupov				
Uzbekistan	Uychi	2000	1.8%	4.1%	0.8%
Uzbekistan	Uychi	2017	84.8%	93.4%	74.1%
Uzbekistan	Uzun	2000	2.5%	4.5%	1.2%
Uzbekistan	Uzun	2017	88.9%	94.0%	79.7%
Uzbekistan	Vobkent	2000	1.5%	2.5%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Vobkent	2017	83.6%	91.0%	72.8%
Uzbekistan	Xatirchi	2000	2.1%	3.2%	1.3%
Uzbekistan	Xatirchi	2017	87.1%	92.7%	81.5%
Uzbekistan	Xiva	2000	1.7%	3.2%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Xiva	2017	85.5%	91.8%	73.9%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jaobod	2000	1.2%	2.3%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jaobod	2017	79.5%	89.7%	65.1%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jayli	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jayli	2017	71.1%	81.1%	60.1%
Uzbekistan	Xonqa	2000	1.2%	2.2%	0.7%
Uzbekistan	Xonqa	2017	77.1%	87.3%	63.9%
Uzbekistan	Xovos	2000	2.5%	4.2%	1.4%
Uzbekistan	Xovos	2017	89.3%	94.3%	82.4%
Uzbekistan	Yagiqo'rg'on	2000	2.7%	4.7%	1.5%
Uzbekistan	Yagiqo'rg'on	2017	87.1%	92.7%	79.7%
Uzbekistan	Yakkabog'	2000	2.0%	3.7%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Yakkabog'	2017	87.5%	93.5%	78.2%
Uzbekistan	Yangiariq	2000	2.9%	5.2%	1.6%
Uzbekistan	Yangiariq	2017	90.0%	94.4%	83.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Yangibozor	2000	2.9%	5.3%	1.3%
Uzbekistan	Yangibozor	2017	88.5%	94.5%	80.2%
Uzbekistan	Yangiobod	2000	2.7%	5.0%	1.3%
Uzbekistan	Yangiobod	2017	89.6%	94.3%	82.4%
Uzbekistan	Yangiyo'l	2000	2.1%	4.3%	0.9%
Uzbekistan	Yangiyo'l	2017	87.6%	94.4%	76.4%
Uzbekistan	Yozyovon	2000	1.7%	3.9%	0.6%
Uzbekistan	Yozyovon	2017	83.0%	91.6%	70.8%
Uzbekistan	Yuqorichirchiq	2000	1.5%	3.1%	0.8%
Uzbekistan	Yuqorichirchiq	2017	84.7%	92.1%	74.0%
Uzbekistan	Zafarobod	2000	2.9%	5.5%	1.2%
Uzbekistan	Zafarobod	2017	89.9%	95.1%	81.8%
Uzbekistan	Zangiota	2000	7.5%	9.2%	6.8%
Uzbekistan	Zangiota	2017	90.2%	95.0%	82.9%
Uzbekistan	Zarbdor	2000	2.5%	4.8%	1.0%
Uzbekistan	Zarbdor	2017	87.9%	94.2%	79.7%
Uzbekistan	Zomin	2000	2.5%	4.3%	1.4%
Uzbekistan	Zomin	2017	88.4%	93.6%	82.0%
Latin America and Caribbean					
Bolivia	Abel Iturralde	2000	29.5%	33.4%	25.7%
Bolivia	Abel Iturralde	2017	45.8%	50.0%	41.7%
Bolivia	Alonso de Ibañez	2000	25.9%	27.9%	24.3%
Bolivia	Alonso de Ibañez	2017	41.6%	44.0%	39.3%
Bolivia	Andrés Ibañez	2000	40.1%	41.1%	39.3%
Bolivia	Andrés Ibañez	2017	57.9%	58.8%	57.0%
Bolivia	Aniceto Arce	2000	47.5%	50.5%	44.0%
Bolivia	Aniceto Arce	2017	64.8%	67.8%	61.8%
Bolivia	Antonio Quijarro	2000	23.6%	25.3%	21.9%
Bolivia	Antonio Quijarro	2017	38.6%	40.7%	36.5%
Bolivia	Arani	2000	39.7%	41.0%	38.2%
Bolivia	Arani	2017	57.2%	58.8%	55.5%
Bolivia	Aroma	2000	43.5%	46.2%	41.2%
Bolivia	Aroma	2017	61.1%	63.5%	58.8%
Bolivia	Arque	2000	33.2%	35.3%	30.9%
Bolivia	Arque	2017	50.3%	52.3%	47.8%
Bolivia	Atahuallpa	2000	33.3%	36.4%	30.3%
Bolivia	Atahuallpa	2017	50.3%	53.5%	47.1%
Bolivia	Ayopaya	2000	37.6%	40.0%	35.3%
Bolivia	Ayopaya	2017	55.0%	57.4%	52.8%
Bolivia	Bautista Saavedra	2000	43.6%	47.8%	39.7%
Bolivia	Bautista Saavedra	2017	61.1%	65.1%	57.1%
Bolivia	Belisario Boeto	2000	32.6%	35.5%	29.8%
Bolivia	Belisario Boeto	2017	49.6%	52.7%	46.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Bernardino Bilbao	2000	27.4%	29.5%	25.2%
Bolivia	Bernardino Bilbao	2017	43.2%	45.9%	40.3%
Bolivia	Burnet O'Connor	2000	47.7%	50.3%	45.6%
Bolivia	Burnet O'Connor	2017	64.5%	66.6%	62.6%
Bolivia	Capinota	2000	36.3%	38.2%	34.8%
Bolivia	Capinota	2017	53.5%	55.4%	52.0%
Bolivia	Carangas	2000	34.1%	36.3%	31.8%
Bolivia	Carangas	2017	51.1%	53.5%	48.5%
Bolivia	Carrasco	2000	39.1%	40.6%	37.2%
Bolivia	Carrasco	2017	56.6%	58.3%	54.7%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	33.8%	35.0%	32.4%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	48.4%	49.6%	47.1%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	24.0%	25.8%	22.2%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	38.5%	39.4%	37.6%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	51.0%	52.4%	49.3%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	39.0%	41.2%	36.8%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	66.0%	67.0%	65.0%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	56.3%	57.1%	55.3%
Bolivia	Chapare	2000	38.8%	39.9%	37.7%
Bolivia	Chapare	2017	56.5%	57.5%	55.4%
Bolivia	Charcas	2000	24.5%	26.0%	23.0%
Bolivia	Charcas	2017	39.6%	41.5%	37.7%
Bolivia	Chayanta	2000	24.0%	25.4%	22.8%
Bolivia	Chayanta	2017	39.0%	40.9%	37.3%
Bolivia	Chiquitos	2000	39.9%	42.0%	37.7%
Bolivia	Chiquitos	2017	57.3%	59.5%	55.2%
Bolivia	Cordillera	2000	37.7%	40.0%	35.4%
Bolivia	Cordillera	2017	55.3%	57.6%	53.0%
Bolivia	Cornelio Saavedra	2000	24.5%	25.7%	23.2%
Bolivia	Cornelio Saavedra	2017	39.6%	41.2%	38.0%
Bolivia	Daniel Campos	2000	26.7%	30.4%	23.1%
Bolivia	Daniel Campos	2017	43.1%	48.3%	38.2%
Bolivia	Eduardo Avaroa	2000	30.1%	32.0%	28.4%
Bolivia	Eduardo Avaroa	2017	46.5%	48.8%	44.6%
Bolivia	Eliodoro Camacho	2000	44.5%	48.2%	41.0%
Bolivia	Eliodoro Camacho	2017	62.0%	65.2%	58.5%
Bolivia	Esteban Arce	2000	35.7%	37.5%	34.3%
Bolivia	Esteban Arce	2017	54.3%	56.1%	52.8%
Bolivia	Eustaquio Méndez	2000	45.4%	47.1%	43.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Eustaquio Méndez	2017	63.0%	64.6%	61.3%
Bolivia	Federico Román	2000	18.9%	20.5%	17.5%
Bolivia	Federico Román	2017	32.1%	34.4%	30.2%
Bolivia	Florida	2000	41.2%	44.2%	38.5%
Bolivia	Florida	2017	58.7%	61.7%	56.1%
Bolivia	Franz Tamayo	2000	41.1%	45.0%	36.9%
Bolivia	Franz Tamayo	2017	58.0%	61.9%	53.7%
Bolivia	Germán Jordán	2000	38.9%	40.8%	37.1%
Bolivia	Germán Jordán	2017	56.4%	58.3%	54.7%
Bolivia	Gran Chaco	2000	48.7%	50.9%	46.7%
Bolivia	Gran Chaco	2017	65.7%	67.5%	64.1%
Bolivia	Gualberto Villarreal	2000	37.6%	40.8%	34.8%
Bolivia	Gualberto Villarreal	2017	55.1%	58.4%	52.2%
Bolivia	Hernando Siles	2000	32.0%	34.3%	29.9%
Bolivia	Hernando Siles	2017	48.8%	51.2%	46.1%
Bolivia	Ichilo	2000	39.2%	41.2%	37.2%
Bolivia	Ichilo	2017	56.6%	58.8%	54.4%
Bolivia	Ignacio Warnes	2000	39.4%	40.9%	37.6%
Bolivia	Ignacio Warnes	2017	57.1%	58.7%	55.3%
Bolivia	Ingavi	2000	43.5%	45.2%	41.8%
Bolivia	Ingavi	2017	61.4%	63.1%	59.8%
Bolivia	Inquisivi	2000	39.9%	42.2%	37.7%
Bolivia	Inquisivi	2017	57.7%	60.3%	55.7%
Bolivia	Jaime Zudáñez	2000	30.2%	31.8%	28.5%
Bolivia	Jaime Zudáñez	2017	47.0%	49.0%	44.9%
Bolivia	José Ballivián	2000	24.1%	25.7%	22.6%
Bolivia	José Ballivián	2017	39.5%	41.6%	37.4%
Bolivia	José María Avilés	2000	45.5%	47.2%	43.7%
Bolivia	José María Avilés	2017	63.4%	65.0%	61.7%
Bolivia	José María Linares	2000	23.8%	25.3%	22.4%
Bolivia	José María Linares	2017	38.8%	40.8%	36.9%
Bolivia	José Miguel de Velasco	2000	39.5%	41.9%	36.4%
Bolivia	José Miguel de Velasco	2017	56.9%	59.3%	53.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Juana Azurduy de Padilla	2000	29.2%	31.5%	27.1%
Bolivia	Juana Azurduy de Padilla	2017	45.7%	48.4%	43.2%
Bolivia	Ladislao Cabrera	2000	30.9%	34.3%	28.2%
Bolivia	Ladislao Cabrera	2017	47.7%	51.2%	44.6%
Bolivia	Larecaja	2000	42.8%	45.7%	39.9%
Bolivia	Larecaja	2017	60.4%	63.2%	57.4%
Bolivia	Litoral	2000	33.9%	37.3%	30.7%
Bolivia	Litoral	2017	50.6%	54.2%	46.9%
Bolivia	Loayza	2000	42.9%	45.5%	40.2%
Bolivia	Loayza	2017	60.5%	63.0%	57.7%
Bolivia	Los Andes	2000	42.4%	44.2%	40.3%
Bolivia	Los Andes	2017	60.0%	61.8%	58.0%
Bolivia	Luis Calvo	2000	35.4%	37.6%	33.5%
Bolivia	Luis Calvo	2017	53.0%	55.2%	50.8%
Bolivia	Madre de Dios	2000	20.1%	21.7%	18.6%
Bolivia	Madre de Dios	2017	32.9%	35.4%	31.1%
Bolivia	Mamoré	2000	24.5%	28.2%	21.4%
Bolivia	Mamoré	2017	39.6%	44.1%	35.7%
Bolivia	Manco Kapac	2000	41.5%	45.0%	37.4%
Bolivia	Manco Kapac	2017	59.8%	63.6%	55.8%
Bolivia	Manuel María Caballero	2000	40.5%	44.1%	37.4%
Bolivia	Manuel María Caballero	2017	58.0%	61.5%	54.6%
Bolivia	Manuripi	2000	18.6%	19.8%	17.3%
Bolivia	Manuripi	2017	31.1%	33.1%	29.1%
Bolivia	Marbán	2000	30.1%	32.1%	27.6%
Bolivia	Marbán	2017	47.4%	49.9%	44.5%
Bolivia	Mizque	2000	36.2%	39.0%	33.9%
Bolivia	Mizque	2017	53.6%	56.7%	51.1%
Bolivia	Modesto Omiste	2000	25.8%	28.2%	23.6%
Bolivia	Modesto Omiste	2017	41.1%	44.1%	38.2%
Bolivia	Moxos	2000	23.5%	25.5%	21.5%
Bolivia	Moxos	2017	39.1%	41.6%	36.8%
Bolivia	Muñecas	2000	44.0%	47.2%	40.7%
Bolivia	Muñecas	2017	61.6%	64.8%	58.4%
Bolivia	Narciso Campero	2000	37.9%	40.0%	35.6%
Bolivia	Narciso Campero	2017	55.3%	57.6%	52.8%
Bolivia	Nor Chichas	2000	24.0%	25.7%	22.3%
Bolivia	Nor Chichas	2017	38.8%	41.0%	36.6%
Bolivia	Nor Cinti	2000	29.3%	30.8%	27.8%
Bolivia	Nor Cinti	2017	45.8%	48.0%	43.9%
Bolivia	Nor López	2000	23.1%	26.2%	20.2%
Bolivia	Nor López	2017	37.8%	42.1%	34.0%
Bolivia	Nor Yungas	2000	44.6%	47.0%	42.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Nor Yungas	2017	61.9%	64.2%	59.5%
Bolivia	Ñuflo de Chávez	2000	39.2%	41.4%	37.3%
Bolivia	Ñuflo de Chávez	2017	56.7%	58.8%	54.5%
Bolivia	Obispo Santistevan	2000	39.9%	41.8%	38.0%
Bolivia	Obispo Santistevan	2017	57.4%	59.3%	55.4%
Bolivia	Omasuyos	2000	43.9%	46.2%	41.3%
Bolivia	Omasuyos	2017	61.3%	63.6%	58.8%
Bolivia	Oropeza	2000	30.6%	31.5%	29.6%
Bolivia	Oropeza	2017	47.6%	48.9%	46.4%
Bolivia	Pacajes	2000	42.5%	45.6%	39.4%
Bolivia	Pacajes	2017	60.0%	62.9%	56.9%
Bolivia	Pantaleón Dalance	2000	31.4%	33.3%	29.3%
Bolivia	Pantaleón Dalance	2017	48.3%	50.3%	45.7%
Bolivia	Pedro Domingo Murillo	2000	44.6%	45.7%	43.4%
Bolivia	Pedro Domingo Murillo	2017	62.3%	63.5%	61.2%
Bolivia	Poopó	2000	31.2%	33.1%	29.2%
Bolivia	Poopó	2017	48.3%	50.4%	45.7%
Bolivia	Punata	2000	40.1%	42.3%	38.2%
Bolivia	Punata	2017	57.5%	59.6%	55.7%
Bolivia	Quillacollo	2000	38.5%	39.8%	37.4%
Bolivia	Quillacollo	2017	56.0%	57.1%	54.8%
Bolivia	Rafael Bustillo	2000	24.9%	26.3%	23.6%
Bolivia	Rafael Bustillo	2017	40.2%	42.1%	38.3%
Bolivia	Sajama	2000	36.1%	39.5%	32.9%
Bolivia	Sajama	2017	53.1%	56.5%	49.8%
Bolivia	Sara	2000	39.2%	41.1%	37.4%
Bolivia	Sara	2017	56.7%	58.8%	54.8%
Bolivia	Saucarí	2000	33.4%	35.3%	31.4%
Bolivia	Saucarí	2017	50.4%	52.9%	48.0%
Bolivia	Sud Chichas	2000	23.8%	25.7%	22.0%
Bolivia	Sud Chichas	2017	38.8%	41.2%	36.3%
Bolivia	Sud Cinti	2000	34.4%	36.5%	32.5%
Bolivia	Sud Cinti	2017	51.6%	54.0%	49.2%
Bolivia	Sud López	2000	22.8%	27.0%	18.9%
Bolivia	Sud López	2017	37.3%	42.8%	31.7%
Bolivia	Sud Yungas	2000	41.1%	43.2%	38.8%
Bolivia	Sud Yungas	2017	58.3%	60.5%	55.7%
Bolivia	Tapacarí	2000	35.2%	37.2%	33.1%
Bolivia	Tapacarí	2017	52.5%	54.6%	50.3%
Bolivia	Tomás Frías	2000	22.9%	24.3%	21.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Tomás Frías	2017	38.2%	39.7%	36.6%
Bolivia	Tomina	2000	30.5%	32.4%	28.5%
Bolivia	Tomina	2017	47.1%	49.4%	44.7%
Bolivia	Vaca Díez	2000	23.6%	24.7%	22.6%
Bolivia	Vaca Díez	2017	38.4%	39.6%	36.8%
Bolivia	Vallegrande	2000	36.9%	39.7%	33.7%
Bolivia	Vallegrande	2017	54.3%	57.3%	50.8%
Bolivia	Yacuma	2000	23.5%	25.7%	21.1%
Bolivia	Yacuma	2017	38.2%	40.7%	35.2%
Bolivia	Yamparáez	2000	29.2%	30.8%	27.4%
Bolivia	Yamparáez	2017	45.8%	47.7%	43.7%
Brazil	Abadia de Goiás	2000	43.0%	46.3%	40.1%
Brazil	Abadia de Goiás	2017	67.3%	70.2%	64.2%
Brazil	Abadia dos Dourados	2000	56.7%	64.4%	49.1%
Brazil	Abadia dos Dourados	2017	75.9%	81.1%	70.1%
Brazil	Abadiânia	2000	41.0%	46.3%	35.7%
Brazil	Abadiânia	2017	62.7%	67.5%	57.5%
Brazil	Abaeté	2000	65.0%	72.4%	57.3%
Brazil	Abaeté	2017	81.7%	86.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Abaetetuba	2000	54.0%	58.4%	49.5%
Brazil	Abaetetuba	2017	75.0%	78.4%	71.6%
Brazil	Abaiara	2000	42.8%	47.5%	38.7%
Brazil	Abaiara	2017	64.5%	68.5%	60.5%
Brazil	Abaira	2000	45.4%	52.2%	38.8%
Brazil	Abaira	2017	66.8%	72.7%	60.5%
Brazil	Abaré	2000	40.2%	47.4%	33.8%
Brazil	Abaré	2017	61.7%	68.6%	55.0%
Brazil	Abatiá	2000	69.4%	74.0%	64.7%
Brazil	Abatiá	2017	84.5%	87.5%	81.3%
Brazil	Abdon Batista	2000	77.7%	83.0%	70.8%
Brazil	Abdon Batista	2017	89.4%	92.4%	85.4%
Brazil	Abel Figueiredo	2000	41.1%	47.4%	33.9%
Brazil	Abel Figueiredo	2017	62.8%	69.0%	55.2%
Brazil	Abelardo Luz	2000	73.4%	77.9%	67.7%
Brazil	Abelardo Luz	2017	86.9%	89.5%	83.5%
Brazil	Abre Campo	2000	68.1%	73.7%	63.4%
Brazil	Abre Campo	2017	83.8%	87.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Abreu e Lima	2000	37.0%	39.1%	34.9%
Brazil	Abreu e Lima	2017	61.3%	63.9%	59.0%
Brazil	Abreulândia	2000	20.9%	28.0%	15.2%
Brazil	Abreulândia	2017	38.9%	48.5%	29.6%
Brazil	Acaiaca	2000	62.3%	67.8%	56.9%
Brazil	Acaiaca	2017	79.9%	83.7%	76.2%
Brazil	Açailândia	2000	34.7%	41.8%	29.1%
Brazil	Açailândia	2017	56.1%	63.5%	49.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Acajutiba	2000	47.6%	53.8%	42.0%
Brazil	Acajutiba	2017	68.7%	73.8%	63.5%
Brazil	Acará	2000	53.1%	57.5%	48.9%
Brazil	Acará	2017	73.1%	76.5%	69.9%
Brazil	Acarapé	2000	43.4%	48.3%	38.9%
Brazil	Acarapé	2017	65.4%	69.7%	61.0%
Brazil	Acaraú	2000	44.4%	49.8%	39.4%
Brazil	Acaraú	2017	65.8%	70.4%	61.1%
Brazil	Acari	2000	59.1%	64.9%	52.9%
Brazil	Acari	2017	77.7%	81.6%	73.0%
Brazil	Acauã	2000	41.7%	50.6%	33.1%
Brazil	Acauã	2017	63.2%	71.2%	54.5%
Brazil	Acopiara	2000	41.5%	46.1%	36.7%
Brazil	Acopiara	2017	63.1%	67.6%	58.7%
Brazil	Acorizal	2000	47.4%	54.8%	40.0%
Brazil	Acorizal	2017	68.5%	74.6%	62.3%
Brazil	Acrelândia	2000	48.6%	56.1%	41.1%
Brazil	Acrelândia	2017	69.5%	75.5%	63.0%
Brazil	Acreúna	2000	43.5%	50.3%	36.5%
Brazil	Acreúna	2017	65.0%	70.7%	58.4%
Brazil	Açu	2000	65.4%	71.6%	59.5%
Brazil	Açu	2017	82.0%	86.0%	78.0%
Brazil	Açucena	2000	66.9%	72.2%	61.4%
Brazil	Açucena	2017	82.9%	86.2%	79.2%
Brazil	Adamantina	2000	88.9%	91.7%	85.5%
Brazil	Adamantina	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Adelândia	2000	43.1%	48.7%	37.5%
Brazil	Adelândia	2017	64.6%	70.0%	59.2%
Brazil	Adolfo	2000	87.8%	91.0%	83.7%
Brazil	Adolfo	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Adrianópolis	2000	71.1%	77.9%	62.7%
Brazil	Adrianópolis	2017	85.5%	89.7%	80.2%
Brazil	Adustina	2000	52.5%	57.6%	46.4%
Brazil	Adustina	2017	72.8%	76.7%	68.1%
Brazil	Afogados da Ingazeira	2000	40.7%	45.6%	35.9%
Brazil	Afogados da Ingazeira	2017	62.3%	67.0%	57.2%
Brazil	Afonso Bezerra	2000	63.2%	70.4%	57.1%
Brazil	Afonso Bezerra	2017	80.6%	85.0%	76.7%
Brazil	Afonso Cláudio	2000	56.4%	61.5%	50.9%
Brazil	Afonso Cláudio	2017	75.7%	79.5%	71.4%
Brazil	Afonso Cunha	2000	34.1%	41.3%	27.7%
Brazil	Afonso Cunha	2017	55.5%	63.3%	47.8%
Brazil	Afrânio	2000	42.4%	50.7%	33.6%
Brazil	Afrânio	2017	63.9%	71.2%	54.8%
Brazil	Afuá	2000	50.8%	56.9%	44.1%
Brazil	Afuá	2017	71.2%	76.3%	65.3%
Brazil	Agrestina	2000	33.3%	37.0%	30.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Agrestina	2017	54.7%	58.7%	50.6%
Brazil	Agricolândia	2000	45.0%	52.8%	37.1%
Brazil	Agricolândia	2017	66.3%	73.2%	59.1%
Brazil	Agrolândia	2000	78.0%	82.4%	72.6%
Brazil	Agrolândia	2017	89.5%	91.9%	86.5%
Brazil	Agronômica	2000	79.2%	83.9%	74.8%
Brazil	Agronômica	2017	90.2%	92.7%	87.7%
Brazil	Água Azul do Norte	2000	51.8%	58.3%	44.8%
Brazil	Água Azul do Norte	2017	72.1%	77.0%	66.2%
Brazil	Água Boa	2000	50.7%	59.2%	42.4%
Brazil	Água Boa	2000	64.7%	71.0%	57.7%
Brazil	Água Boa	2017	71.1%	78.2%	64.1%
Brazil	Água Boa	2017	81.6%	85.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	42.2%	48.0%	37.0%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	40.1%	45.8%	34.0%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	45.1%	53.7%	37.0%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	66.1%	71.3%	61.2%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	66.4%	73.9%	59.2%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	63.2%	68.8%	57.6%
Brazil	Água Clara	2000	25.7%	34.3%	18.6%
Brazil	Água Clara	2017	45.2%	55.7%	35.6%
Brazil	Água Comprida	2000	73.5%	77.9%	68.5%
Brazil	Água Comprida	2017	87.0%	89.5%	83.9%
Brazil	Água Doce	2000	78.8%	82.9%	74.4%
Brazil	Água Doce	2017	90.0%	92.2%	87.6%
Brazil	Água Doce do Maranhão	2000	37.9%	44.5%	30.1%
Brazil	Água Doce do Maranhão	2017	59.5%	66.0%	51.0%
Brazil	Água Doce do Norte	2000	60.9%	67.2%	54.8%
Brazil	Água Doce do Norte	2017	78.9%	83.3%	73.8%
Brazil	Água Fria	2000	45.2%	50.5%	40.0%
Brazil	Água Fria	2017	66.6%	71.6%	61.9%
Brazil	Água Fria de Goiás	2000	51.5%	58.9%	44.4%
Brazil	Água Fria de Goiás	2017	72.1%	78.2%	65.9%
Brazil	Água Limpa	2000	45.1%	52.1%	38.2%
Brazil	Água Limpa	2017	66.4%	72.4%	60.1%
Brazil	Água Nova	2000	53.6%	58.8%	48.1%
Brazil	Água Nova	2017	73.7%	77.7%	69.5%
Brazil	Água Preta	2000	38.5%	42.9%	34.8%
Brazil	Água Preta	2017	60.2%	64.6%	56.1%
Brazil	Água Santa	2000	75.3%	79.6%	70.7%
Brazil	Água Santa	2017	88.0%	90.7%	85.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aguai	2000	85.3%	88.0%	82.6%
Brazil	Aguai	2017	93.3%	94.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Aguanil	2000	65.8%	71.7%	59.1%
Brazil	Aguanil	2017	82.3%	86.1%	78.0%
Brazil	Águas Belas	2000	34.3%	38.6%	29.6%
Brazil	Águas Belas	2017	55.8%	60.6%	50.2%
Brazil	Águas da Prata	2000	77.9%	81.2%	74.2%
Brazil	Águas da Prata	2017	89.5%	91.4%	87.1%
Brazil	Águas de Chapecó	2000	76.6%	80.7%	71.8%
Brazil	Águas de Chapecó	2017	88.8%	91.0%	85.9%
Brazil	Águas de Lindóia	2000	81.4%	84.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Águas de Lindóia	2017	91.3%	93.1%	89.1%
Brazil	Águas de Santa Bárbara	2000	87.2%	90.2%	82.9%
Brazil	Águas de Santa Bárbara	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Águas de São Pedro	2000	87.0%	89.7%	83.7%
Brazil	Águas de São Pedro	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Águas Formosas	2000	64.4%	71.5%	55.8%
Brazil	Águas Formosas	2017	81.3%	85.8%	75.5%
Brazil	Águas Frias	2000	76.6%	81.1%	71.1%
Brazil	Águas Frias	2017	88.8%	91.3%	85.6%
Brazil	Águas Lindas de Goiás	2000	63.0%	66.1%	59.5%
Brazil	Águas Lindas de Goiás	2017	81.8%	83.9%	79.3%
Brazil	Águas Mornas	2000	78.8%	83.2%	74.4%
Brazil	Águas Mornas	2017	89.9%	92.1%	87.4%
Brazil	Águas Vermelhas	2000	61.7%	68.1%	55.3%
Brazil	Águas Vermelhas	2017	79.6%	83.8%	75.4%
Brazil	Agudo	2000	75.0%	79.4%	70.2%
Brazil	Agudo	2017	87.9%	90.3%	85.1%
Brazil	Agudos	2000	88.5%	91.1%	85.7%
Brazil	Agudos	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Agudos do Sul	2000	72.3%	76.5%	67.7%
Brazil	Agudos do Sul	2017	86.3%	88.8%	83.5%
Brazil	Aguiar	2000	47.3%	53.9%	40.7%
Brazil	Aguiar	2017	68.5%	74.0%	62.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aguiarnópolis	2000	21.9%	27.7%	17.3%
Brazil	Aguiarnópolis	2017	40.3%	48.3%	33.4%
Brazil	Aimorés	2000	59.4%	65.0%	53.4%
Brazil	Aimorés	2017	77.9%	82.2%	73.5%
Brazil	Aiquara	2000	46.3%	51.7%	41.3%
Brazil	Aiquara	2017	67.5%	72.0%	62.6%
Brazil	Aiuaba	2000	43.9%	49.9%	38.6%
Brazil	Aiuaba	2017	65.3%	70.6%	60.3%
Brazil	Aiuruoca	2000	73.5%	79.0%	67.8%
Brazil	Aiuruoca	2017	87.0%	90.3%	83.6%
Brazil	Ajuricaba	2000	73.7%	78.5%	68.4%
Brazil	Ajuricaba	2017	87.1%	89.9%	83.8%
Brazil	Alagoa	2000	80.1%	84.7%	75.5%
Brazil	Alagoa	2017	90.7%	93.2%	88.3%
Brazil	Alagoa Grande	2000	46.6%	51.7%	42.2%
Brazil	Alagoa Grande	2017	67.8%	72.2%	64.0%
Brazil	Alagoa Nova	2000	47.9%	52.2%	44.0%
Brazil	Alagoa Nova	2017	69.0%	72.8%	65.4%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2000	42.0%	46.5%	37.7%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2000	48.1%	54.1%	43.1%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2017	63.6%	67.8%	59.2%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2017	69.2%	74.1%	64.6%
Brazil	Alagoinha do Piauí	2000	42.8%	49.6%	35.9%
Brazil	Alagoinha do Piauí	2017	64.3%	70.8%	57.4%
Brazil	Alagoinhas	2000	46.5%	50.7%	42.2%
Brazil	Alagoinhas	2017	67.8%	71.7%	63.5%
Brazil	Alambari	2000	86.2%	89.1%	82.9%
Brazil	Alambari	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Albertina	2000	77.3%	81.2%	73.1%
Brazil	Albertina	2017	89.2%	91.3%	87.0%
Brazil	Alcântara	2000	28.9%	34.1%	24.1%
Brazil	Alcântara	2017	49.5%	55.6%	43.7%
Brazil	Alcântaras	2000	51.3%	55.7%	47.0%
Brazil	Alcântaras	2017	71.8%	75.5%	68.3%
Brazil	Alcantil	2000	44.0%	49.1%	39.0%
Brazil	Alcantil	2017	65.5%	70.0%	60.4%
Brazil	Alcinópolis	2000	31.6%	41.4%	22.4%
Brazil	Alcinópolis	2017	52.6%	63.6%	40.8%
Brazil	Alcobaca	2000	44.4%	52.2%	36.7%
Brazil	Alcobaca	2017	65.8%	72.6%	58.1%
Brazil	Aldeias Altas	2000	32.9%	39.6%	26.7%
Brazil	Aldeias Altas	2017	54.1%	61.5%	47.3%
Brazil	Alecrim	2000	73.7%	79.9%	67.3%
Brazil	Alecrim	2017	87.1%	90.5%	83.2%
Brazil	Alegre	2000	55.1%	60.7%	49.8%
Brazil	Alegre	2017	74.7%	78.8%	70.6%
Brazil	Alegrete	2000	77.0%	82.2%	71.7%
Brazil	Alegrete	2017	89.0%	91.8%	86.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alegrete do Piauí	2000	42.5%	49.3%	35.0%
Brazil	Alegrete do Piauí	2017	64.1%	70.1%	56.4%
Brazil	Alegria	2000	74.3%	78.1%	69.6%
Brazil	Alegria	2017	87.5%	89.8%	84.5%
Brazil	Além Paraíba	2000	71.3%	76.3%	66.4%
Brazil	Além Paraíba	2017	85.8%	88.7%	82.6%
Brazil	Alenquer	2000	51.7%	59.9%	44.4%
Brazil	Alenquer	2017	72.2%	78.5%	66.1%
Brazil	Alexandria	2000	55.8%	62.7%	48.8%
Brazil	Alexandria	2017	75.3%	80.2%	69.7%
Brazil	Alexania	2000	44.7%	50.4%	38.9%
Brazil	Alexania	2017	66.1%	71.1%	61.3%
Brazil	Alexânia	2000	43.3%	49.1%	37.9%
Brazil	Alexânia	2017	64.8%	70.3%	59.6%
Brazil	Alfenas	2000	66.2%	71.4%	61.6%
Brazil	Alfenas	2017	82.5%	85.9%	79.1%
Brazil	Alfredo Chaves	2000	57.8%	62.4%	53.4%
Brazil	Alfredo Chaves	2017	76.9%	80.2%	73.6%
Brazil	Alfredo Marcondes	2000	86.0%	89.5%	81.7%
Brazil	Alfredo Marcondes	2017	93.7%	95.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Alfredo Vasconcelos	2000	66.7%	71.2%	61.7%
Brazil	Alfredo Vasconcelos	2017	82.9%	85.8%	79.8%
Brazil	Alfredo Wagner	2000	80.6%	85.3%	75.2%
Brazil	Alfredo Wagner	2017	91.0%	93.6%	87.9%
Brazil	Algodão de Jandaíra	2000	48.4%	54.3%	42.8%
Brazil	Algodão de Jandaíra	2017	69.4%	74.1%	64.4%
Brazil	Alhandra	2000	45.6%	50.3%	41.2%
Brazil	Alhandra	2017	66.9%	71.3%	63.0%
Brazil	Aliança	2000	39.9%	43.0%	36.6%
Brazil	Aliança	2017	61.6%	64.5%	57.7%
Brazil	Aliança do Tocantins	2000	17.6%	23.1%	12.3%
Brazil	Aliança do Tocantins	2017	34.0%	42.2%	25.8%
Brazil	Almadina	2000	46.8%	52.6%	41.5%
Brazil	Almadina	2017	68.0%	72.9%	63.0%
Brazil	Almas	2000	19.9%	27.1%	14.0%
Brazil	Almas	2017	37.3%	47.7%	28.1%
Brazil	Almenara	2000	59.8%	67.4%	53.1%
Brazil	Almenara	2017	78.2%	83.3%	73.3%
Brazil	Almerim	2000	54.4%	63.3%	44.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Almerim	2017	74.4%	81.2%	66.6%
Brazil	Almino Afonso	2000	58.8%	64.5%	52.7%
Brazil	Almino Afonso	2017	77.4%	81.4%	72.5%
Brazil	Almirante Tamandaré	2000	64.3%	67.0%	61.3%
Brazil	Almirante Tamandaré	2017	81.3%	83.1%	79.3%
Brazil	Aloândia	2000	41.4%	47.8%	34.4%
Brazil	Aloândia	2017	63.1%	68.9%	56.6%
Brazil	Alpercata	2000	64.2%	68.4%	58.9%
Brazil	Alpercata	2017	81.2%	84.1%	77.7%
Brazil	Alpestre	2000	76.3%	80.6%	71.7%
Brazil	Alpestre	2017	88.6%	90.9%	85.8%
Brazil	Alpinópolis	2000	66.2%	72.5%	59.8%
Brazil	Alpinópolis	2017	82.5%	86.5%	78.4%
Brazil	Alta Floresta	2000	50.7%	60.0%	42.2%
Brazil	Alta Floresta	2017	71.2%	78.2%	63.7%
Brazil	Alta Floresta d'Oeste	2000	57.6%	63.3%	50.7%
Brazil	Alta Floresta d'Oeste	2017	76.6%	80.4%	71.7%
Brazil	Altair	2000	83.6%	87.7%	79.0%
Brazil	Altair	2017	92.5%	94.6%	90.0%
Brazil	Altamira	2000	54.4%	62.0%	47.2%
Brazil	Altamira	2017	74.1%	79.5%	68.0%
Brazil	Altamira do Maranhão	2000	33.1%	40.2%	26.4%
Brazil	Altamira do Maranhão	2017	54.3%	61.9%	46.5%
Brazil	Altamira do Paran	2000	62.7%	70.1%	55.4%
Brazil	Altamira do Paran	2017	80.3%	84.9%	75.1%
Brazil	Altaneira	2000	45.0%	50.2%	39.3%
Brazil	Altaneira	2017	66.4%	71.4%	61.1%
Brazil	Alterosa	2000	67.2%	72.4%	62.0%
Brazil	Alterosa	2017	83.2%	86.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Altinho	2000	33.6%	37.2%	29.9%
Brazil	Altinho	2017	55.0%	58.9%	50.6%
Brazil	Altinópolis	2000	82.6%	86.0%	79.2%
Brazil	Altinópolis	2017	92.0%	93.8%	90.2%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	86.9%	90.9%	82.2%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	74.3%	79.3%	69.4%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	73.4%	79.7%	66.6%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	87.5%	90.4%	84.4%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	86.2%	90.0%	81.7%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	94.1%	96.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Maranhão	2000	34.3%	40.4%	27.4%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Maranhão	2017	55.6%	61.8%	48.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Pindaré	2000	33.8%	40.7%	27.7%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Pindaré	2017	55.2%	62.2%	48.0%
Brazil	Alto Alegre dos Parecis	2000	56.9%	64.0%	49.7%
Brazil	Alto Alegre dos Parecis	2017	77.4%	82.3%	72.0%
Brazil	Alto Araguaia	2000	47.4%	56.8%	36.8%
Brazil	Alto Araguaia	2017	68.3%	75.8%	58.1%
Brazil	Alto Bela Vista	2000	75.9%	80.2%	70.9%
Brazil	Alto Bela Vista	2017	88.4%	90.8%	85.5%
Brazil	Alto Boa Vista	2000	46.5%	57.5%	36.4%
Brazil	Alto Boa Vista	2017	67.4%	76.4%	57.8%
Brazil	Alto Caparaó	2000	71.9%	76.2%	67.6%
Brazil	Alto Caparaó	2017	86.2%	88.8%	83.6%
Brazil	Alto do Rodrigues	2000	66.6%	73.1%	60.1%
Brazil	Alto do Rodrigues	2017	82.8%	86.9%	78.6%
Brazil	Alto Feliz	2000	74.4%	77.6%	71.4%
Brazil	Alto Feliz	2017	87.6%	89.4%	85.7%
Brazil	Alto Garças	2000	52.9%	62.5%	41.9%
Brazil	Alto Garças	2017	72.9%	80.0%	63.5%
Brazil	Alto Horizonte	2000	45.7%	53.6%	37.7%
Brazil	Alto Horizonte	2017	67.0%	73.5%	60.0%
Brazil	Alto Jequitibá	2000	63.5%	68.7%	58.8%
Brazil	Alto Jequitibá	2017	80.8%	84.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Alto Longá	2000	45.3%	53.3%	37.2%
Brazil	Alto Longá	2017	66.6%	73.8%	58.7%
Brazil	Alto Paraguai	2000	49.1%	56.1%	42.6%
Brazil	Alto Paraguai	2017	69.9%	75.6%	64.0%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso	2000	57.1%	63.3%	50.4%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso	2017	76.3%	80.7%	71.1%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso de Goiás	2000	47.8%	55.4%	39.2%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso de Goiás	2017	68.7%	74.8%	60.9%
Brazil	Alto Paraná	2000	67.4%	71.6%	62.2%
Brazil	Alto Paraná	2017	83.3%	85.9%	79.9%
Brazil	Alto Parnaíba	2000	33.3%	46.1%	22.9%
Brazil	Alto Parnaíba	2017	54.2%	67.2%	41.8%
Brazil	Alto Piquiri	2000	65.7%	71.4%	59.4%
Brazil	Alto Piquiri	2017	82.2%	85.9%	78.0%
Brazil	Alto Rio doce	2000	64.3%	69.3%	58.5%
Brazil	Alto Rio doce	2017	81.3%	84.6%	77.5%
Brazil	Alto Rio Novo	2000	63.9%	70.2%	56.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alto Rio Novo	2017	81.0%	85.0%	75.9%
Brazil	Alto Santo	2000	44.4%	49.8%	39.0%
Brazil	Alto Santo	2017	65.7%	70.6%	60.8%
Brazil	Alto Taquari	2000	42.7%	52.5%	33.6%
Brazil	Alto Taquari	2017	64.2%	72.8%	55.5%
Brazil	Altônia	2000	56.9%	62.9%	49.8%
Brazil	Altônia	2017	76.1%	80.6%	70.5%
Brazil	Altos	2000	46.9%	53.1%	40.6%
Brazil	Altos	2017	67.9%	73.1%	62.4%
Brazil	Alumínio	2000	88.2%	90.5%	86.2%
Brazil	Alumínio	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Alvarães	2000	53.0%	61.5%	44.3%
Brazil	Alvarães	2017	72.9%	79.6%	65.7%
Brazil	Alvarenga	2000	67.7%	73.7%	60.9%
Brazil	Alvarenga	2017	83.6%	87.3%	78.9%
Brazil	Álvares Florence	2000	84.9%	88.7%	80.2%
Brazil	Álvares Florence	2017	93.2%	95.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Álvares Machado	2000	84.9%	88.1%	81.2%
Brazil	Álvares Machado	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Álvaro de Carvalho	2000	86.1%	89.1%	82.3%
Brazil	Álvaro de Carvalho	2017	93.8%	95.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Alvinlândia	2000	85.8%	89.3%	80.9%
Brazil	Alvinlândia	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Alvinópolis	2000	66.0%	71.1%	60.7%
Brazil	Alvinópolis	2017	82.4%	85.6%	79.2%
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	23.4%	31.6%	17.1%
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	75.0%	76.4%	73.3%
Brazil	Alvorada	2017	42.4%	52.4%	33.3%
Brazil	Alvorada	2017	87.9%	88.7%	86.7%
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	54.3%	60.1%	48.5%
Brazil	Alvorada d'Oeste	2017	74.1%	78.7%	68.9%
Brazil	Alvorada de Minas	2000	64.6%	71.8%	57.9%
Brazil	Alvorada de Minas	2017	81.5%	86.1%	76.8%
Brazil	Alvorada do Gurguéia	2000	45.5%	57.4%	35.4%
Brazil	Alvorada do Gurguéia	2017	66.7%	76.4%	56.9%
Brazil	Alvorada do Norte	2000	46.2%	54.5%	38.4%
Brazil	Alvorada do Norte	2017	67.4%	74.1%	60.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alvorada do Sul	2000	72.0%	78.1%	66.5%
Brazil	Alvorada do Sul	2017	86.2%	89.5%	82.6%
Brazil	Amajari	2000	70.7%	78.5%	61.4%
Brazil	Amajari	2017	85.0%	90.0%	78.7%
Brazil	Amambai	2000	28.6%	35.1%	22.8%
Brazil	Amambai	2017	49.1%	56.5%	41.7%
Brazil	Amapá	2000	52.6%	64.6%	40.9%
Brazil	Amapá	2017	72.6%	81.5%	62.7%
Brazil	Amapá do Maranhão	2000	41.1%	49.1%	33.6%
Brazil	Amapá do Maranhão	2017	62.7%	70.3%	55.2%
Brazil	Amapora	2000	65.8%	71.8%	58.9%
Brazil	Amapora	2017	82.3%	86.2%	77.7%
Brazil	Amaraji	2000	41.8%	45.9%	37.9%
Brazil	Amaraji	2017	63.4%	67.6%	59.4%
Brazil	Amaral Ferrador	2000	73.8%	79.4%	66.4%
Brazil	Amaral Ferrador	2017	87.2%	90.4%	82.9%
Brazil	Amaralina	2000	45.5%	52.2%	38.6%
Brazil	Amaralina	2017	66.8%	72.4%	60.1%
Brazil	Amarante	2000	42.6%	51.4%	33.8%
Brazil	Amarante	2017	64.0%	71.7%	55.2%
Brazil	Amarante do Maranhão	2000	32.1%	39.6%	25.0%
Brazil	Amarante do Maranhão	2017	53.3%	61.6%	44.7%
Brazil	Amargosa	2000	46.1%	51.7%	41.0%
Brazil	Amargosa	2017	67.3%	71.9%	62.8%
Brazil	Amaturá	2000	48.5%	59.4%	37.5%
Brazil	Amaturá	2017	69.3%	78.0%	58.8%
Brazil	Amélia Rodrigues	2000	45.5%	49.8%	41.0%
Brazil	Amélia Rodrigues	2017	66.9%	70.6%	62.3%
Brazil	América dourada	2000	42.0%	48.0%	36.3%
Brazil	América dourada	2017	63.6%	68.8%	58.4%
Brazil	Americana	2000	87.9%	89.7%	85.9%
Brazil	Americana	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Americano do Brazil	2000	46.3%	52.0%	39.9%
Brazil	Americano do Brazil	2017	71.1%	75.5%	65.1%
Brazil	Américo Brasiliense	2000	88.4%	90.9%	85.9%
Brazil	Américo Brasiliense	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Américo de Campos	2000	85.9%	88.6%	83.4%
Brazil	Américo de Campos	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Ametista do Sul	2000	75.7%	79.9%	71.5%
Brazil	Ametista do Sul	2017	88.3%	90.7%	85.7%
Brazil	Amontada	2000	41.6%	46.8%	37.2%
Brazil	Amontada	2017	63.2%	68.3%	58.8%
Brazil	Amorinópolis	2000	44.6%	52.2%	37.6%
Brazil	Amorinópolis	2017	66.0%	72.6%	59.2%
Brazil	Amparo	2000	86.5%	88.9%	84.3%
Brazil	Amparo	2000	43.0%	49.2%	36.7%
Brazil	Amparo	2017	64.6%	70.1%	58.7%
Brazil	Amparo	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Amparo de São Francisco	2000	50.5%	55.8%	45.8%
Brazil	Amparo de São Francisco	2017	71.1%	75.3%	67.0%
Brazil	Amparo do Serra	2000	63.8%	69.3%	58.4%
Brazil	Amparo do Serra	2017	81.0%	84.5%	77.4%
Brazil	Ampére	2000	68.6%	73.9%	62.4%
Brazil	Ampére	2017	84.1%	87.2%	80.0%
Brazil	Anadia	2000	31.5%	35.3%	27.3%
Brazil	Anadia	2017	52.7%	56.9%	47.8%
Brazil	Anagé	2000	40.9%	47.1%	35.9%
Brazil	Anagé	2017	62.6%	68.2%	57.7%
Brazil	Anahy	2000	63.3%	69.0%	56.6%
Brazil	Anahy	2017	80.7%	84.3%	76.2%
Brazil	Anajas	2000	53.7%	60.6%	46.3%
Brazil	Anajas	2017	73.6%	79.1%	67.5%
Brazil	Anajatuba	2000	32.6%	39.4%	26.6%
Brazil	Anajatuba	2017	55.1%	62.4%	48.1%
Brazil	Analandia	2000	87.9%	90.6%	84.9%
Brazil	Analandia	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Anamã	2000	50.7%	59.4%	42.7%
Brazil	Anamã	2017	71.2%	78.0%	64.2%
Brazil	Ananás	2000	24.1%	30.5%	18.7%
Brazil	Ananás	2017	43.3%	51.6%	35.5%
Brazil	Ananindeua	2000	53.4%	55.0%	51.7%
Brazil	Ananindeua	2017	73.5%	75.0%	72.1%
Brazil	Anápolis	2000	44.5%	47.4%	41.5%
Brazil	Anápolis	2017	66.0%	68.6%	63.2%
Brazil	Anapu	2000	53.7%	62.1%	44.4%
Brazil	Anapu	2017	73.6%	79.8%	65.5%
Brazil	Anapuros	2000	36.9%	43.8%	29.7%
Brazil	Anapuros	2017	58.4%	65.5%	50.6%
Brazil	Anastácio	2000	28.2%	35.3%	22.5%
Brazil	Anastácio	2017	48.6%	56.7%	41.3%
Brazil	Anaurilândia	2000	46.5%	54.9%	39.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Anaurilândia	2017	67.5%	74.4%	61.0%
Brazil	Anchieta	2000	55.7%	60.5%	50.5%
Brazil	Anchieta	2000	75.6%	80.5%	69.3%
Brazil	Anchieta	2017	75.3%	79.0%	71.2%
Brazil	Anchieta	2017	88.2%	90.8%	84.6%
Brazil	Andaraí	2000	44.0%	50.3%	37.5%
Brazil	Andaraí	2017	65.4%	70.9%	59.3%
Brazil	Andira	2000	74.0%	78.9%	68.8%
Brazil	Andira	2017	87.3%	89.8%	84.0%
Brazil	Andirá	2000	73.5%	78.3%	68.0%
Brazil	Andirá	2017	87.0%	89.7%	84.0%
Brazil	Andorinha	2000	43.5%	51.1%	37.1%
Brazil	Andorinha	2017	65.0%	71.6%	58.5%
Brazil	Andradas	2000	74.7%	78.8%	70.3%
Brazil	Andradas	2017	87.7%	90.1%	85.1%
Brazil	Andradina	2000	70.5%	76.1%	64.1%
Brazil	Andradina	2017	85.2%	88.5%	81.4%
Brazil	André da Rocha	2000	75.3%	79.6%	70.0%
Brazil	André da Rocha	2017	88.0%	90.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Andrelândia	2000	69.8%	76.4%	62.8%
Brazil	Andrelândia	2017	84.8%	88.7%	80.4%
Brazil	Angatuba	2000	86.4%	90.3%	81.5%
Brazil	Angatuba	2017	93.9%	95.8%	91.6%
Brazil	Angelândia	2000	69.4%	75.8%	62.6%
Brazil	Angelândia	2017	84.6%	88.4%	80.0%
Brazil	Angélica	2000	28.9%	36.1%	23.5%
Brazil	Angélica	2017	49.5%	57.8%	42.3%
Brazil	Angelim	2000	38.4%	42.5%	34.5%
Brazil	Angelim	2017	60.1%	64.5%	56.2%
Brazil	Angelina	2000	80.1%	84.4%	75.0%
Brazil	Angelina	2017	90.7%	92.9%	87.9%
Brazil	Angical	2000	43.6%	50.3%	36.5%
Brazil	Angical	2017	65.1%	71.2%	57.9%
Brazil	Angical do Piauí	2000	44.2%	52.2%	35.4%
Brazil	Angical do Piauí	2017	65.6%	72.5%	57.4%
Brazil	Angico	2000	22.1%	27.7%	16.9%
Brazil	Angico	2017	40.6%	47.9%	33.2%
Brazil	Angicos	2000	65.6%	71.8%	58.2%
Brazil	Angicos	2017	82.1%	86.1%	77.3%
Brazil	Angra dos Reis	2000	85.4%	88.4%	81.8%
Brazil	Angra dos Reis	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.6%
Brazil	Anguera	2000	44.2%	49.9%	39.4%
Brazil	Anguera	2017	65.7%	70.9%	61.2%
Brazil	Ângulo	2000	61.2%	66.2%	56.6%
Brazil	Ângulo	2017	79.2%	82.7%	76.0%
Brazil	Anhanguera	2000	47.1%	53.8%	40.6%
Brazil	Anhanguera	2017	68.3%	73.6%	62.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Anhembi	2000	86.6%	89.9%	82.7%
Brazil	Anhembi	2017	94.0%	95.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Anhumas	2000	83.5%	86.6%	79.5%
Brazil	Anhumas	2017	92.4%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Anicuns	2000	46.6%	52.0%	40.8%
Brazil	Anicuns	2017	68.0%	72.6%	62.2%
Brazil	Anísio de Abreu	2000	46.0%	54.9%	38.0%
Brazil	Anísio de Abreu	2017	67.2%	74.7%	59.6%
Brazil	Anita Garibaldi	2000	78.9%	84.7%	72.5%
Brazil	Anita Garibaldi	2017	90.0%	92.9%	86.2%
Brazil	Anitápolis	2000	80.6%	85.4%	74.6%
Brazil	Anitápolis	2017	91.1%	93.6%	87.8%
Brazil	Anori	2000	48.8%	57.3%	42.2%
Brazil	Anori	2017	69.6%	76.5%	63.9%
Brazil	Anta Gorda	2000	75.3%	79.8%	70.5%
Brazil	Anta Gorda	2017	88.1%	90.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Antas	2000	46.4%	51.9%	39.6%
Brazil	Antas	2017	67.7%	72.1%	61.7%
Brazil	Antonina	2000	60.2%	65.8%	54.7%
Brazil	Antonina	2017	78.5%	82.2%	74.7%
Brazil	Antonina do Norte	2000	44.3%	50.2%	39.2%
Brazil	Antonina do Norte	2017	65.8%	71.1%	61.0%
Brazil	Antônio Almeida	2000	41.3%	50.0%	30.8%
Brazil	Antônio Almeida	2017	62.7%	70.7%	52.1%
Brazil	Antônio Cardoso	2000	43.9%	48.3%	39.8%
Brazil	Antônio Cardoso	2017	65.4%	69.5%	61.5%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2000	66.4%	71.7%	61.8%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2000	77.1%	80.9%	72.9%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2017	89.1%	91.2%	86.7%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2017	82.7%	86.1%	79.7%
Brazil	Antônio Dias	2000	65.4%	69.8%	60.4%
Brazil	Antônio Dias	2017	82.0%	84.9%	78.6%
Brazil	Antônio Gonçalves	2000	47.7%	52.7%	42.6%
Brazil	Antônio Gonçalves	2017	68.6%	72.9%	64.4%
Brazil	Antônio João	2000	29.7%	36.1%	23.4%
Brazil	Antônio João	2017	50.4%	58.1%	42.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Antônio Martins	2000	60.2%	65.4%	53.9%
Brazil	Antônio Martins	2017	76.8%	80.8%	71.6%
Brazil	Antonio Olinto	2000	69.7%	75.5%	63.7%
Brazil	Antonio Olinto	2017	84.7%	88.3%	81.0%
Brazil	Antônio Prado	2000	74.1%	78.2%	70.3%
Brazil	Antônio Prado	2017	87.4%	89.8%	85.2%
Brazil	Antonio Prado de Minas	2000	71.3%	76.6%	65.8%
Brazil	Antonio Prado de Minas	2017	85.7%	88.8%	82.3%
Brazil	Aparecida	2000	47.6%	53.6%	41.2%
Brazil	Aparecida	2000	84.8%	88.0%	81.6%
Brazil	Aparecida	2017	68.6%	73.6%	62.5%
Brazil	Aparecida	2017	93.1%	94.7%	91.4%
Brazil	Aparecida de Goiânia	2000	46.0%	47.9%	43.8%
Brazil	Aparecida de Goiânia	2017	67.4%	69.3%	65.1%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio doce	2000	42.8%	49.7%	36.4%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio doce	2017	64.4%	70.2%	58.1%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio Negro	2000	19.0%	24.5%	13.9%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio Negro	2017	36.1%	44.0%	28.0%
Brazil	Aparecida do Taboado	2000	57.6%	66.4%	49.7%
Brazil	Aparecida do Taboado	2017	76.5%	82.8%	70.5%
Brazil	Aparecida doeste	2000	77.8%	83.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Aparecida doeste	2017	89.5%	92.3%	86.1%
Brazil	Aperibé	2000	84.1%	87.9%	79.4%
Brazil	Aperibé	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Apiacá	2000	68.5%	73.3%	62.5%
Brazil	Apiacá	2017	84.0%	87.0%	80.3%
Brazil	Apiacás	2000	51.9%	62.1%	40.9%
Brazil	Apiacás	2017	72.1%	79.9%	62.6%
Brazil	Apiaiá	2000	81.9%	86.8%	76.2%
Brazil	Apiaiá	2017	91.6%	94.2%	88.5%
Brazil	Apicum-Açu	2000	35.2%	47.3%	24.5%
Brazil	Apicum-Açu	2017	56.4%	69.1%	43.8%
Brazil	Apiúna	2000	78.8%	82.4%	74.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Apiúna	2017	90.0%	92.0%	87.8%
Brazil	Apodi	2000	56.5%	62.2%	49.5%
Brazil	Apodi	2017	75.8%	79.9%	70.3%
Brazil	Aporá	2000	46.0%	51.8%	40.7%
Brazil	Aporá	2017	67.3%	72.5%	62.2%
Brazil	Aporé	2000	35.0%	42.2%	27.9%
Brazil	Aporé	2017	56.5%	63.9%	48.6%
Brazil	Apuarema	2000	46.9%	52.2%	41.7%
Brazil	Apuarema	2017	68.1%	72.6%	63.5%
Brazil	Apucarana	2000	66.2%	70.1%	62.4%
Brazil	Apucarana	2017	82.6%	85.2%	79.8%
Brazil	Apuí	2000	52.8%	65.8%	39.0%
Brazil	Apuí	2017	72.9%	82.0%	60.8%
Brazil	Apuiarés	2000	42.8%	48.9%	37.1%
Brazil	Apuiarés	2017	64.4%	70.1%	59.0%
Brazil	Aquidabã	2000	60.9%	65.9%	56.3%
Brazil	Aquidabã	2017	79.0%	82.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Aquidauana	2000	28.7%	35.2%	23.2%
Brazil	Aquidauana	2017	49.2%	56.9%	41.6%
Brazil	Aquiraz	2000	42.1%	45.9%	38.7%
Brazil	Aquiraz	2017	64.1%	67.8%	60.7%
Brazil	Arabutã	2000	76.7%	80.8%	71.7%
Brazil	Arabutã	2017	88.9%	90.9%	85.8%
Brazil	Aracagi	2000	49.5%	53.9%	45.1%
Brazil	Aracagi	2017	70.4%	73.9%	66.7%
Brazil	Aracai	2000	66.5%	72.5%	60.6%
Brazil	Aracai	2017	82.7%	86.6%	78.7%
Brazil	Aracaju	2000	63.2%	65.7%	60.5%
Brazil	Aracaju	2017	80.7%	82.4%	78.8%
Brazil	Araçariguama	2000	89.0%	90.6%	87.0%
Brazil	Araçariguama	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Araças	2000	44.0%	48.8%	39.3%
Brazil	Araças	2017	65.5%	69.7%	61.1%
Brazil	Aracati	2000	46.0%	51.4%	40.8%
Brazil	Aracati	2017	67.3%	71.9%	62.5%
Brazil	Aracatu	2000	45.3%	50.8%	39.5%
Brazil	Aracatu	2017	66.6%	71.7%	60.9%
Brazil	Araçatuba	2000	89.1%	91.4%	86.5%
Brazil	Araçatuba	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Araci	2000	44.5%	48.5%	39.7%
Brazil	Araci	2017	65.9%	69.9%	61.7%
Brazil	Aracitaba	2000	62.5%	67.5%	57.5%
Brazil	Aracitaba	2017	80.1%	83.6%	76.6%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2000	39.1%	42.3%	35.5%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2000	43.9%	47.8%	39.7%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2017	60.8%	64.3%	57.1%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2017	65.4%	69.2%	61.2%
Brazil	Araçoiaba da Serra	2000	87.5%	89.7%	85.0%
Brazil	Araçoiaba da Serra	2017	94.4%	95.5%	93.1%
Brazil	Aracruz	2000	57.6%	62.3%	53.6%
Brazil	Aracruz	2017	76.9%	80.4%	73.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Araçu	2000	43.9%	49.5%	38.6%
Brazil	Araçu	2017	65.4%	70.4%	60.1%
Brazil	Araçuaí	2000	65.5%	72.1%	58.1%
Brazil	Araçuaí	2017	82.1%	86.0%	77.1%
Brazil	Aragarças	2000	49.3%	57.3%	42.7%
Brazil	Aragarças	2017	70.1%	76.3%	64.3%
Brazil	Aragoiânia	2000	42.0%	47.1%	37.7%
Brazil	Aragoiânia	2017	64.0%	69.1%	59.7%
Brazil	Aragominas	2000	25.4%	31.2%	20.4%
Brazil	Aragominas	2017	44.9%	51.8%	38.0%
Brazil	Araguacema	2000	32.7%	40.4%	24.9%
Brazil	Araguacema	2017	53.9%	62.5%	44.4%
Brazil	Araguaçu	2000	32.7%	40.9%	24.6%
Brazil	Araguaçu	2017	53.8%	62.9%	44.0%
Brazil	Araguaiana	2000	45.8%	53.6%	36.4%
Brazil	Araguaiana	2017	67.0%	73.7%	57.9%
Brazil	Araguaína	2000	18.8%	22.5%	16.0%
Brazil	Araguaína	2017	37.8%	43.3%	33.4%
Brazil	Araguainha	2000	48.0%	58.3%	37.9%
Brazil	Araguainha	2017	68.9%	77.3%	59.9%
Brazil	Araguanã	2000	29.7%	35.5%	24.4%
Brazil	Araguanã	2000	35.0%	42.8%	27.7%
Brazil	Araguanã	2017	56.4%	64.1%	48.0%
Brazil	Araguanã	2017	50.4%	57.0%	43.7%
Brazil	Araguapaz	2000	44.8%	53.6%	36.0%
Brazil	Araguapaz	2017	66.1%	73.8%	57.6%
Brazil	Araguari	2000	65.9%	70.2%	61.7%
Brazil	Araguari	2017	82.3%	85.1%	79.3%
Brazil	Araguatins	2000	26.2%	30.7%	21.8%
Brazil	Araguatins	2017	46.1%	51.5%	40.3%
Brazil	Araioses	2000	40.6%	46.7%	34.0%
Brazil	Araioses	2017	62.2%	68.5%	55.5%
Brazil	Aral Moreira	2000	27.5%	34.0%	21.1%
Brazil	Aral Moreira	2017	47.7%	55.2%	38.7%
Brazil	Aramari	2000	43.3%	47.4%	38.6%
Brazil	Aramari	2017	64.8%	69.3%	60.6%
Brazil	Arambaré	2000	74.9%	80.4%	68.8%
Brazil	Arambaré	2017	87.9%	90.9%	84.1%
Brazil	Arame	2000	32.2%	40.4%	24.3%
Brazil	Arame	2017	53.2%	62.5%	43.7%
Brazil	Aramina	2000	75.4%	81.1%	70.5%
Brazil	Aramina	2017	88.1%	91.2%	85.1%
Brazil	Arandu	2000	84.0%	87.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Arandu	2017	92.6%	94.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Arantina	2000	74.2%	80.0%	67.9%
Brazil	Arantina	2017	87.4%	90.7%	83.4%
Brazil	Arapeí	2000	86.6%	89.4%	83.2%
Brazil	Arapeí	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Arapiraca	2000	35.7%	39.4%	32.1%
Brazil	Arapiraca	2017	57.7%	61.7%	54.0%
Brazil	Arapoema	2000	28.8%	36.1%	21.9%
Brazil	Arapoema	2017	49.3%	58.0%	40.6%
Brazil	Araponga	2000	71.8%	76.8%	66.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Araponga	2017	86.2%	89.1%	82.8%
Brazil	Arapongas	2000	65.9%	69.3%	62.4%
Brazil	Arapongas	2017	82.4%	84.5%	80.0%
Brazil	Araporã	2000	54.9%	60.0%	49.8%
Brazil	Araporã	2017	74.6%	78.7%	70.3%
Brazil	Arapoti	2000	67.6%	73.5%	60.9%
Brazil	Arapoti	2017	85.2%	88.6%	81.2%
Brazil	Arapu	2000	64.8%	70.4%	58.9%
Brazil	Arapu	2017	81.6%	85.3%	77.4%
Brazil	Arapuá	2000	66.4%	73.1%	58.4%
Brazil	Arapuá	2017	82.7%	86.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Araputanga	2000	48.6%	57.2%	40.8%
Brazil	Araputanga	2017	72.4%	79.0%	66.1%
Brazil	Araquari	2000	77.7%	80.7%	74.6%
Brazil	Araquari	2017	89.5%	91.3%	87.7%
Brazil	Arara	2000	52.6%	58.1%	47.7%
Brazil	Arara	2017	72.9%	77.2%	68.7%
Brazil	Araranguá	2000	80.2%	84.1%	75.9%
Brazil	Araranguá	2017	90.7%	92.9%	88.5%
Brazil	Araraquara	2000	87.8%	90.3%	85.0%
Brazil	Araraquara	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Araras	2000	87.9%	90.2%	85.3%
Brazil	Araras	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Ararendá	2000	42.6%	48.1%	36.7%
Brazil	Ararendá	2017	64.1%	69.1%	58.0%
Brazil	Arari	2000	34.0%	41.1%	27.5%
Brazil	Arari	2017	55.3%	62.8%	47.4%
Brazil	Araricá	2000	73.2%	75.8%	70.1%
Brazil	Araricá	2017	86.8%	88.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Araripe	2000	43.2%	48.7%	37.9%
Brazil	Araripe	2017	64.7%	69.8%	59.6%
Brazil	Araripina	2000	40.5%	45.4%	35.2%
Brazil	Araripina	2017	62.1%	66.9%	56.5%
Brazil	Araruama	2000	84.2%	87.2%	81.0%
Brazil	Araruama	2017	92.8%	94.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Araruna	2000	58.6%	63.4%	52.6%
Brazil	Araruna	2000	63.8%	68.8%	57.9%
Brazil	Araruna	2017	77.3%	80.8%	72.8%
Brazil	Araruna	2017	81.0%	84.3%	77.1%
Brazil	Arataca	2000	47.9%	53.7%	43.3%
Brazil	Arataca	2017	69.0%	73.8%	64.7%
Brazil	Aratiba	2000	76.0%	80.3%	71.8%
Brazil	Aratiba	2017	88.4%	90.7%	85.9%
Brazil	Aratuba	2000	48.6%	53.8%	43.9%
Brazil	Aratuba	2017	69.4%	73.8%	65.4%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2000	45.2%	50.3%	40.8%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2017	66.5%	71.0%	62.4%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2000	43.6%	49.3%	38.8%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2017	65.2%	70.5%	60.6%
Brazil	Araúá	2000	63.9%	68.4%	59.8%
Brazil	Araúá	2017	81.1%	84.0%	78.0%
Brazil	Araucária	2000	67.4%	70.3%	64.3%
Brazil	Araucária	2017	83.7%	85.6%	81.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Araujos	2000	66.3%	71.9%	60.9%
Brazil	Araujos	2017	82.7%	85.9%	79.0%
Brazil	Araújos	2000	64.1%	68.8%	60.3%
Brazil	Araújos	2017	81.2%	84.3%	78.5%
Brazil	Araxá	2000	69.6%	75.3%	63.4%
Brazil	Araxá	2017	84.6%	88.0%	80.4%
Brazil	Arceburgo	2000	74.0%	78.9%	68.2%
Brazil	Arceburgo	2017	87.3%	90.1%	84.1%
Brazil	Arco-íris	2000	86.7%	90.5%	82.5%
Brazil	Arco-íris	2017	94.0%	95.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Arcos	2000	65.9%	71.9%	60.2%
Brazil	Arcos	2017	82.4%	86.2%	78.4%
Brazil	Arcoverde	2000	40.4%	44.1%	36.4%
Brazil	Arcoverde	2017	62.1%	65.9%	57.9%
Brazil	Areado	2000	65.0%	70.3%	60.1%
Brazil	Areado	2017	81.8%	85.1%	78.2%
Brazil	Areal	2000	78.0%	81.1%	74.2%
Brazil	Areal	2017	89.4%	91.1%	87.2%
Brazil	Arealva	2000	86.3%	89.9%	82.0%
Brazil	Arealva	2017	93.8%	95.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Areia	2000	48.9%	53.4%	44.2%
Brazil	Areia	2017	69.9%	73.5%	66.0%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2000	56.0%	63.4%	47.8%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2000	68.1%	72.0%	64.7%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2017	83.8%	86.2%	81.5%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2017	75.4%	80.7%	69.5%
Brazil	Areia de Baraúnas	2000	48.8%	55.3%	42.7%
Brazil	Areia de Baraúnas	2017	69.8%	74.8%	64.5%
Brazil	Areial	2000	48.4%	54.3%	43.9%
Brazil	Areial	2017	69.4%	74.1%	65.6%
Brazil	Areias	2000	81.3%	85.3%	77.0%
Brazil	Areias	2017	91.2%	93.3%	88.7%
Brazil	Areiópolis	2000	88.1%	91.3%	84.5%
Brazil	Areiópolis	2017	94.7%	96.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2000	49.4%	56.7%	41.0%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2017	70.1%	75.8%	62.8%
Brazil	Arenópolis	2000	45.2%	53.2%	35.9%
Brazil	Arenópolis	2017	66.4%	73.5%	57.6%
Brazil	Arês	2000	64.7%	69.9%	59.5%
Brazil	Arês	2017	81.6%	84.8%	77.9%
Brazil	Argirita	2000	67.6%	73.5%	62.7%
Brazil	Argirita	2017	83.5%	87.1%	80.1%
Brazil	Aricanduva	2000	68.7%	74.7%	61.9%
Brazil	Aricanduva	2017	84.1%	87.8%	80.0%
Brazil	Arinos	2000	64.1%	72.2%	54.4%
Brazil	Arinos	2017	81.1%	86.2%	74.2%
Brazil	Aripuanã	2000	51.6%	59.9%	42.4%
Brazil	Aripuanã	2017	71.8%	78.0%	63.7%
Brazil	Ariquemes	2000	56.6%	62.0%	51.9%
Brazil	Ariquemes	2017	76.2%	80.2%	72.5%
Brazil	Ariranha	2000	86.7%	89.8%	83.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ariranha	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Ariranha do Ivaí	2000	64.6%	70.8%	57.6%
Brazil	Ariranha do Ivaí	2017	81.5%	85.5%	76.8%
Brazil	Armação dos Búzios	2000	82.6%	85.8%	79.4%
Brazil	Armação dos Búzios	2017	92.7%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Armazém	2000	76.5%	80.8%	71.0%
Brazil	Armazém	2017	89.6%	92.0%	86.8%
Brazil	Arneiroz	2000	43.4%	49.2%	37.6%
Brazil	Arneiroz	2017	64.9%	70.4%	59.0%
Brazil	Aroazes	2000	45.1%	54.3%	36.6%
Brazil	Aroazes	2017	66.3%	74.2%	57.9%
Brazil	Aroeiras	2000	39.5%	43.8%	35.0%
Brazil	Aroeiras	2017	61.2%	65.3%	56.7%
Brazil	Arraial	2000	43.9%	52.6%	34.8%
Brazil	Arraial	2017	65.3%	72.8%	56.6%
Brazil	Arraial do Cabo	2000	83.6%	87.7%	79.2%
Brazil	Arraial do Cabo	2017	92.5%	94.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Arraias	2000	30.7%	38.4%	23.9%
Brazil	Arraias	2017	51.4%	60.1%	43.2%
Brazil	Arroio do Meio	2000	74.0%	77.4%	69.9%
Brazil	Arroio do Meio	2017	87.3%	89.4%	84.8%
Brazil	Arroio do Sal	2000	74.5%	79.6%	68.9%
Brazil	Arroio do Sal	2017	88.5%	91.2%	85.3%
Brazil	Arroio do Tigre	2000	76.2%	80.9%	70.6%
Brazil	Arroio do Tigre	2017	88.6%	91.1%	85.3%
Brazil	Arroio dos Ratos	2000	75.9%	80.5%	71.1%
Brazil	Arroio dos Ratos	2017	88.4%	91.0%	85.8%
Brazil	Arroio Grande	2000	74.0%	79.7%	67.1%
Brazil	Arroio Grande	2017	87.3%	90.6%	83.3%
Brazil	Arroio Trinta	2000	79.4%	83.6%	74.1%
Brazil	Arroio Trinta	2017	90.3%	92.5%	87.4%
Brazil	Artur Nogueira	2000	86.2%	88.4%	83.8%
Brazil	Artur Nogueira	2017	93.8%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Aruanã	2000	45.2%	54.6%	37.0%
Brazil	Aruanã	2017	66.4%	74.4%	58.5%
Brazil	Aruja	2000	88.1%	89.6%	86.6%
Brazil	Aruja	2017	94.8%	95.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Arvoredo	2000	77.6%	81.5%	73.2%
Brazil	Arvoredo	2017	89.4%	91.5%	86.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Arvorezinha	2000	77.4%	81.6%	71.9%
Brazil	Arvorezinha	2017	89.2%	91.4%	86.0%
Brazil	Ascurra	2000	78.4%	81.8%	74.6%
Brazil	Ascurra	2017	89.8%	91.6%	87.7%
Brazil	Aspásia	2000	75.3%	80.9%	69.2%
Brazil	Aspásia	2017	88.0%	91.1%	84.5%
Brazil	Assaí	2000	65.5%	70.0%	60.6%
Brazil	Assaí	2017	82.1%	85.2%	78.9%
Brazil	Assaré	2000	44.6%	50.0%	39.3%
Brazil	Assaré	2017	66.1%	71.1%	61.4%
Brazil	Assis	2000	83.2%	86.5%	79.3%
Brazil	Assis	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.2%
Brazil	Assis Brazil	2000	52.6%	63.8%	40.8%
Brazil	Assis Brazil	2017	72.8%	81.4%	62.6%
Brazil	Assis	2000	67.3%	72.1%	61.9%
Brazil	Chateaubri Assis	2017	83.2%	86.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Chateaubri Assunção	2000	48.8%	55.8%	42.9%
Brazil	Chateaubri Assunção	2017	69.7%	75.4%	64.5%
Brazil	Assunção do Piauí	2000	46.4%	56.9%	38.2%
Brazil	Assunção do Piauí	2017	67.5%	76.0%	59.8%
Brazil	Astolfo Dutra	2000	63.4%	68.0%	58.8%
Brazil	Astolfo Dutra	2017	80.7%	83.8%	77.4%
Brazil	Astorga	2000	61.6%	67.1%	56.5%
Brazil	Astorga	2017	79.5%	83.0%	76.1%
Brazil	Atalaia do Norte	2000	48.7%	56.8%	40.4%
Brazil	Atalaia do Norte	2017	69.3%	75.7%	61.7%
Brazil	Atalanta	2000	78.7%	83.8%	73.5%
Brazil	Atalanta	2017	89.9%	92.5%	87.0%
Brazil	Ataleia	2000	62.9%	68.9%	56.1%
Brazil	Ataleia	2017	80.4%	84.3%	75.7%
Brazil	Ataléia	2000	62.2%	67.9%	56.8%
Brazil	Ataléia	2000	34.5%	38.6%	30.7%
Brazil	Ataléia	2017	79.9%	83.7%	75.8%
Brazil	Ataléia	2017	55.9%	60.3%	51.8%
Brazil	Atibaia	2000	85.7%	87.9%	83.5%
Brazil	Atibaia	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Atilio Vivacqua	2000	60.6%	64.7%	55.7%
Brazil	Atilio Vivacqua	2017	78.8%	81.8%	75.7%
Brazil	Augustinópolis	2000	27.0%	31.9%	22.5%
Brazil	Augustinópolis	2017	47.2%	53.3%	41.0%
Brazil	Augusto Corrêa	2000	49.2%	54.5%	43.7%
Brazil	Augusto Corrêa	2017	70.1%	74.3%	65.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Augusto de Lima	2000	64.9%	73.7%	56.4%
Brazil	Augusto de Lima	2017	81.6%	87.1%	75.8%
Brazil	Augusto Pestana	2000	73.9%	79.6%	68.8%
Brazil	Augusto Pestana	2017	87.3%	90.4%	84.1%
Brazil	Augusto Severo	2000	63.6%	69.8%	56.9%
Brazil	Augusto Severo	2017	80.8%	85.0%	76.3%
Brazil	Aurelino Leal	2000	45.6%	50.9%	41.1%
Brazil	Aurelino Leal	2017	66.9%	71.5%	62.7%
Brazil	Auriflama	2000	86.2%	89.9%	81.5%
Brazil	Auriflama	2017	93.8%	95.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Aurilândia	2000	43.2%	49.8%	36.4%
Brazil	Aurilândia	2017	64.7%	70.4%	57.8%
Brazil	Aurora	2000	41.7%	46.3%	36.8%
Brazil	Aurora	2000	79.5%	83.9%	75.3%
Brazil	Aurora	2017	63.4%	67.6%	58.8%
Brazil	Aurora	2017	90.3%	92.7%	87.9%
Brazil	Aurora do Pará	2000	54.8%	60.2%	49.9%
Brazil	Aurora do Pará	2017	74.5%	78.5%	70.5%
Brazil	Aurora do Tocantins	2000	32.0%	40.0%	24.8%
Brazil	Aurora do Tocantins	2017	53.0%	61.9%	44.7%
Brazil	Autazes	2000	49.8%	56.6%	42.3%
Brazil	Autazes	2017	70.5%	76.0%	64.0%
Brazil	Avaí	2000	86.0%	89.9%	82.0%
Brazil	Avaí	2017	93.7%	95.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Avanhandava	2000	88.6%	91.6%	84.5%
Brazil	Avanhandava	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Avare	2000	88.5%	91.4%	84.8%
Brazil	Avare	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Aveiro	2000	51.9%	59.5%	44.2%
Brazil	Aveiro	2017	72.1%	78.4%	66.2%
Brazil	Avelino Lopes	2000	48.8%	59.1%	38.2%
Brazil	Avelino Lopes	2017	69.6%	77.8%	60.1%
Brazil	Avelinópolis	2000	43.3%	50.0%	37.6%
Brazil	Avelinópolis	2017	64.8%	70.8%	58.9%
Brazil	Axixá	2000	32.6%	38.5%	26.9%
Brazil	Axixá	2017	53.8%	60.2%	47.3%
Brazil	Axixá do Tocantins	2000	23.7%	28.6%	18.7%
Brazil	Axixá do Tocantins	2017	42.8%	49.4%	36.4%
Brazil	Babaçulândia	2000	18.3%	22.6%	14.3%
Brazil	Babaçulândia	2017	35.1%	41.4%	29.2%
Brazil	Bacabal	2000	32.3%	38.3%	26.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bacabal	2017	53.6%	60.0%	46.5%
Brazil	Bacabeira	2000	31.0%	36.8%	26.4%
Brazil	Bacabeira	2017	52.0%	58.6%	46.2%
Brazil	Bacuri	2000	36.0%	46.0%	27.2%
Brazil	Bacuri	2017	57.4%	67.6%	47.8%
Brazil	Bacurituba	2000	31.5%	37.8%	25.6%
Brazil	Bacurituba	2017	52.6%	59.8%	45.6%
Brazil	Bady Bassitt	2000	85.8%	88.5%	82.9%
Brazil	Bady Bassitt	2017	93.6%	95.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Baependi	2000	70.0%	74.6%	63.9%
Brazil	Baependi	2017	84.9%	87.9%	80.8%
Brazil	Bagé	2000	52.1%	58.6%	44.4%
Brazil	Bagé	2017	72.4%	77.4%	65.9%
Brazil	Baía da Traição	2000	50.2%	57.3%	43.4%
Brazil	Baía da Traição	2017	70.8%	76.3%	65.2%
Brazil	Baía Formosa	2000	55.6%	62.2%	49.0%
Brazil	Baía Formosa	2017	75.1%	80.0%	69.9%
Brazil	Baianópolis	2000	45.8%	53.7%	37.9%
Brazil	Baianópolis	2017	67.0%	73.7%	58.6%
Brazil	Baião	2000	52.7%	59.3%	47.2%
Brazil	Baião	2017	72.8%	78.1%	68.2%
Brazil	Baixa Grande	2000	48.1%	53.7%	41.4%
Brazil	Baixa Grande	2017	68.7%	73.7%	62.7%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2000	40.8%	52.7%	29.9%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2017	62.2%	73.0%	50.8%
Brazil	Baixio	2000	43.5%	49.7%	38.2%
Brazil	Baixio	2017	65.0%	70.6%	60.1%
Brazil	Baixo Guandu	2000	59.9%	66.0%	53.7%
Brazil	Baixo Guandu	2017	78.2%	82.4%	73.7%
Brazil	Baje	2000	75.4%	80.3%	69.7%
Brazil	Baje	2017	88.0%	90.9%	84.6%
Brazil	Balbinos	2000	86.4%	89.9%	81.9%
Brazil	Balbinos	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Baldim	2000	65.7%	72.1%	59.7%
Brazil	Baldim	2017	82.3%	86.1%	78.3%
Brazil	Baliza	2000	46.5%	55.4%	38.1%
Brazil	Baliza	2017	67.9%	75.4%	59.9%
Brazil	Balneário Arroio do Silva	2000	78.7%	82.1%	75.7%
Brazil	Balneário Arroio do Silva	2017	89.9%	91.7%	88.1%
Brazil	Balneário Barra do Sul	2000	76.8%	81.7%	71.5%
Brazil	Balneário Barra do Sul	2017	89.9%	92.3%	87.1%
Brazil	Balneário Camboriú	2000	75.8%	80.5%	70.7%
Brazil	Balneário Camboriú	2017	89.6%	92.0%	86.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Balneário Gaivota	2000	76.1%	81.0%	70.9%
Brazil	Balneário Gaivota	2017	88.5%	91.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Balneário Pinalhal	2000	75.3%	79.8%	68.5%
Brazil	Balneário Pinalhal	2017	88.0%	90.4%	84.3%
Brazil	Balsa Nova	2000	68.3%	72.9%	64.2%
Brazil	Balsa Nova	2017	83.9%	86.6%	81.2%
Brazil	Bálsamo	2000	86.0%	88.8%	82.7%
Brazil	Bálsamo	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Balsas	2000	34.2%	42.8%	26.5%
Brazil	Balsas	2017	57.3%	66.1%	48.5%
Brazil	Bambuí	2000	68.7%	75.5%	62.2%
Brazil	Bambuí	2017	84.1%	88.1%	79.8%
Brazil	Banabuiú	2000	41.1%	46.5%	35.0%
Brazil	Banabuiú	2017	62.7%	67.6%	56.4%
Brazil	Bananal	2000	87.9%	90.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Bananal	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Bananeiras	2000	52.8%	57.5%	48.2%
Brazil	Bananeiras	2017	73.1%	76.7%	69.5%
Brazil	Bandeira	2000	58.6%	65.4%	50.6%
Brazil	Bandeira	2017	77.3%	82.1%	71.5%
Brazil	Bandeirante	2000	75.6%	80.7%	69.2%
Brazil	Bandeirante	2017	88.2%	91.1%	84.5%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2000	29.3%	37.0%	22.1%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2000	68.7%	73.1%	63.4%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2017	49.9%	58.2%	40.9%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2017	84.1%	86.7%	80.7%
Brazil	Bandeirantes do Tocantins	2000	20.0%	26.0%	15.2%
Brazil	Bandeirantes do Tocantins	2017	37.5%	45.6%	30.1%
Brazil	Bandiera do Sul	2000	66.2%	71.8%	61.0%
Brazil	Bandiera do Sul	2017	82.6%	86.0%	79.3%
Brazil	Bannach	2000	54.6%	62.4%	46.2%
Brazil	Bannach	2017	74.3%	80.3%	67.7%
Brazil	Banzaê	2000	45.1%	50.2%	38.9%
Brazil	Banzaê	2017	66.4%	71.1%	60.8%
Brazil	Barão de Antonina	2000	74.9%	80.0%	68.8%
Brazil	Barão de Antonina	2017	87.8%	90.6%	84.4%
Brazil	Barão de Coacais	2000	69.1%	73.2%	63.9%
Brazil	Barão de Coacais	2017	84.4%	87.0%	81.4%
Brazil	Barao de Cote-gipe	2000	76.5%	80.5%	72.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barao de Cote-gipe	2017	88.7%	90.8%	86.4%
Brazil	Barão de Gra-jaú	2000	43.8%	51.4%	36.0%
Brazil	Barão de Gra-jaú	2017	65.1%	71.7%	57.6%
Brazil	Barão de Melgaço	2000	46.3%	55.9%	37.4%
Brazil	Barão de Melgaço	2017	67.4%	75.8%	58.8%
Brazil	Barão de Monte Alto	2000	74.8%	79.1%	70.3%
Brazil	Barão de Monte Alto	2017	87.8%	90.1%	85.0%
Brazil	Barão do Triunfo	2000	75.2%	78.7%	71.4%
Brazil	Barão do Triunfo	2017	88.0%	89.9%	85.8%
Brazil	Baraúna	2000	50.5%	56.2%	44.5%
Brazil	Baraúna	2000	55.8%	61.4%	50.3%
Brazil	Baraúna	2017	71.1%	75.7%	66.3%
Brazil	Baraúna	2017	76.2%	80.3%	71.2%
Brazil	Barbacena	2000	69.1%	73.2%	64.9%
Brazil	Barbacena	2017	84.4%	87.0%	81.7%
Brazil	Barbalha	2000	45.7%	48.9%	42.4%
Brazil	Barbalha	2017	67.0%	70.0%	64.2%
Brazil	Barbosa	2000	87.1%	90.5%	83.3%
Brazil	Barbosa	2017	94.2%	95.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Barbosa Fer-raz	2000	62.5%	67.9%	56.8%
Brazil	Barbosa Fer-raz	2017	80.1%	83.9%	76.0%
Brazil	Barcarena	2000	52.7%	56.5%	49.1%
Brazil	Barcarena	2017	73.0%	76.0%	70.1%
Brazil	Barcelona	2000	61.7%	67.7%	56.1%
Brazil	Barcelona	2017	79.5%	83.6%	75.2%
Brazil	Barcelos	2000	57.8%	68.5%	46.8%
Brazil	Barcelos	2017	77.4%	84.5%	69.4%
Brazil	Bariri	2000	85.8%	89.1%	81.6%
Brazil	Bariri	2017	93.6%	95.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Baro	2000	75.9%	79.2%	72.3%
Brazil	Baro	2017	88.4%	90.2%	86.2%
Brazil	Barra	2000	45.7%	52.3%	38.7%
Brazil	Barra	2017	67.0%	72.6%	60.2%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2000	75.3%	79.9%	70.0%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2000	88.8%	91.3%	85.5%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2017	88.1%	90.5%	84.9%
Brazil	Barra	2000	45.6%	54.1%	37.7%
Brazil	d'Alcântara				
Brazil	Barra d'Alcântara	2017	66.8%	74.2%	59.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barra da Choça	2000	43.6%	48.8%	39.2%
Brazil	Barra da Choça	2017	65.2%	70.2%	60.7%
Brazil	Barra da Estiva	2000	50.6%	57.4%	43.6%
Brazil	Barra da Estiva	2017	70.9%	76.3%	64.8%
Brazil	Barra de Guabira	2000	42.0%	46.1%	37.9%
Brazil	Barra de Guabira	2017	63.7%	68.0%	59.6%
Brazil	Barra de Santa Rosa	2000	52.1%	58.5%	46.1%
Brazil	Barra de Santa Rosa	2017	72.4%	77.0%	67.5%
Brazil	Barra de Santana	2000	40.7%	46.0%	35.5%
Brazil	Barra de Santana	2017	62.4%	67.4%	56.9%
Brazil	Barra de Santo Antônio	2000	31.9%	36.8%	26.8%
Brazil	Barra de Santo Antônio	2017	53.2%	58.6%	47.0%
Brazil	Barra de São Francisco	2000	60.8%	66.3%	55.5%
Brazil	Barra de São Francisco	2017	78.9%	82.3%	74.7%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2000	28.5%	33.6%	23.3%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2000	41.8%	46.8%	36.2%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2017	52.1%	58.2%	45.3%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2017	63.4%	68.4%	57.7%
Brazil	Barra do Chapéu	2000	78.3%	84.6%	72.0%
Brazil	Barra do Chapéu	2017	89.7%	93.1%	85.9%
Brazil	Barra do Corda	2000	34.1%	41.4%	26.0%
Brazil	Barra do Corda	2017	55.4%	62.7%	46.1%
Brazil	Barra do Garças	2000	49.3%	56.6%	43.0%
Brazil	Barra do Garças	2017	70.1%	76.0%	64.5%
Brazil	Barra do Guarita	2000	75.7%	80.6%	70.1%
Brazil	Barra do Guarita	2017	88.3%	91.1%	85.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barra do Jacaré	2000	73.0%	77.8%	67.9%
Brazil	Barra do Jacaré	2017	86.7%	89.3%	83.3%
Brazil	Barra do Mendes	2000	44.3%	50.6%	37.6%
Brazil	Barra do Mendes	2017	65.8%	71.6%	59.4%
Brazil	Barra do Ouro	2000	18.5%	24.5%	12.8%
Brazil	Barra do Ouro	2017	35.3%	44.6%	26.0%
Brazil	Barra do Piraí	2000	82.8%	85.2%	80.1%
Brazil	Barra do Piraí	2017	92.1%	93.3%	90.7%
Brazil	Barra do Quaraí	2000	72.3%	83.1%	58.8%
Brazil	Barra do Quaraí	2017	86.2%	92.3%	77.4%
Brazil	Barra do Ribeiro	2000	75.7%	79.1%	71.1%
Brazil	Barra do Ribeiro	2017	88.3%	90.2%	85.7%
Brazil	Barra do Rio Azul	2000	76.6%	80.5%	72.5%
Brazil	Barra do Rio Azul	2017	88.8%	91.0%	86.4%
Brazil	Barra do Rocha	2000	47.5%	52.4%	42.7%
Brazil	Barra do Rocha	2017	68.1%	72.2%	63.5%
Brazil	Barra do Turvo	2000	76.8%	83.1%	68.8%
Brazil	Barra do Turvo	2017	88.8%	92.3%	84.4%
Brazil	Barra dos Bugre	2000	49.3%	55.8%	42.1%
Brazil	Barra dos Bugre	2017	70.1%	75.5%	63.7%
Brazil	Barra dos Coqueiros	2000	58.9%	61.8%	55.8%
Brazil	Barra dos Coqueiros	2017	77.5%	79.6%	75.1%
Brazil	Barra Funda	2000	74.3%	79.0%	69.6%
Brazil	Barra Funda	2017	87.5%	90.2%	84.8%
Brazil	Barra Longa	2000	62.0%	67.4%	56.8%
Brazil	Barra Longa	2017	79.8%	83.7%	76.1%
Brazil	Barra Mansa	2000	85.5%	87.3%	83.2%
Brazil	Barra Mansa	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Barra Velha	2000	77.9%	82.1%	73.9%
Brazil	Barra Velha	2017	89.7%	91.9%	87.3%
Brazil	Barracão	2000	71.8%	77.8%	65.6%
Brazil	Barracão	2000	77.4%	82.7%	71.8%
Brazil	Barracão	2017	86.0%	89.5%	81.9%
Brazil	Barracão	2017	89.2%	92.0%	85.8%
Brazil	Barras	2000	45.1%	50.8%	38.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barras	2017	66.4%	71.4%	59.9%
Brazil	Barreira	2000	43.3%	48.4%	38.9%
Brazil	Barreira	2017	64.9%	70.1%	60.4%
Brazil	Barreiras	2000	45.8%	50.7%	40.7%
Brazil	Barreiras	2017	67.0%	71.6%	62.2%
Brazil	Barreiras do Piauí	2000	40.0%	53.7%	27.9%
Brazil	Barreiras do Piauí	2017	61.5%	73.4%	48.6%
Brazil	Barreirinha	2000	50.5%	57.9%	44.4%
Brazil	Barreirinha	2017	71.0%	77.0%	65.5%
Brazil	Barreirinhas	2000	34.6%	42.5%	26.3%
Brazil	Barreirinhas	2017	56.2%	64.4%	46.2%
Brazil	Barreiros	2000	43.7%	48.6%	39.3%
Brazil	Barreiros	2017	65.1%	69.4%	60.7%
Brazil	Barretos	2000	85.0%	88.4%	81.3%
Brazil	Barretos	2017	93.2%	94.9%	91.4%
Brazil	Barrinha	2000	85.8%	88.4%	83.1%
Brazil	Barrinha	2017	93.6%	95.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Barro	2000	48.2%	53.3%	42.8%
Brazil	Barro	2017	69.1%	73.3%	64.6%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2000	44.3%	52.3%	37.0%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2000	42.7%	48.6%	36.8%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2017	65.8%	72.8%	58.6%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2017	64.3%	69.7%	58.1%
Brazil	Barro Duro	2000	45.7%	54.2%	38.5%
Brazil	Barro Duro	2017	66.9%	74.3%	59.9%
Brazil	Barro Preto	2000	45.6%	49.9%	41.3%
Brazil	Barro Preto	2017	66.8%	71.1%	62.8%
Brazil	Barrolândia	2000	18.0%	22.6%	13.6%
Brazil	Barrolândia	2017	34.6%	41.4%	27.6%
Brazil	Barroquinha	2000	41.8%	47.9%	34.8%
Brazil	Barroquinha	2017	63.4%	69.2%	56.5%
Brazil	Barros Cassal	2000	76.0%	80.7%	70.9%
Brazil	Barros Cassal	2017	88.5%	90.9%	85.5%
Brazil	Barroso	2000	66.9%	71.6%	61.5%
Brazil	Barroso	2017	83.0%	86.0%	79.2%
Brazil	Barueri	2000	86.5%	87.7%	85.3%
Brazil	Barueri	2017	94.0%	94.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Bastos	2000	88.8%	91.7%	85.3%
Brazil	Bastos	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Bataguassu	2000	46.2%	54.4%	39.2%
Brazil	Bataguassu	2017	67.2%	74.0%	60.8%
Brazil	Bataiporã	2000	40.5%	47.5%	34.6%
Brazil	Bataiporã	2017	62.0%	68.5%	55.8%
Brazil	Batalha	2000	45.1%	51.5%	39.6%
Brazil	Batalha	2000	38.0%	43.5%	32.5%
Brazil	Batalha	2017	66.3%	71.7%	60.8%
Brazil	Batalha	2017	59.7%	65.5%	53.8%
Brazil	Batatais	2000	83.1%	86.3%	80.4%
Brazil	Batatais	2017	92.2%	93.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Baturité	2000	46.1%	50.6%	42.2%
Brazil	Baturité	2017	67.4%	71.3%	63.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bauru	2000	87.8%	90.4%	84.6%
Brazil	Bauru	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Bayeux	2000	54.7%	57.8%	52.0%
Brazil	Bayeux	2017	74.5%	76.6%	72.4%
Brazil	Bebedouro	2000	85.9%	88.8%	82.4%
Brazil	Bebedouro	2017	93.6%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Beberibe	2000	42.8%	49.0%	37.7%
Brazil	Beberibe	2017	64.3%	69.9%	59.4%
Brazil	Bela Cruz	2000	43.9%	48.7%	39.5%
Brazil	Bela Cruz	2017	65.4%	70.0%	61.2%
Brazil	Bela Vista	2000	29.6%	38.8%	21.2%
Brazil	Bela Vista	2017	50.4%	60.7%	39.4%
Brazil	Bela Vista da Caroba	2000	66.0%	71.2%	59.7%
Brazil	Bela Vista da Caroba	2017	82.4%	85.8%	77.8%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Goiás	2000	46.9%	52.5%	40.5%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Goiás	2017	67.9%	73.2%	62.4%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Minas	2000	65.3%	69.5%	60.6%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Minas	2017	82.0%	84.7%	79.1%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Maranhão	2000	33.1%	38.9%	27.3%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Maranhão	2017	54.5%	60.8%	47.7%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Paraíso	2000	70.9%	75.6%	65.7%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Paraíso	2017	85.5%	88.3%	82.1%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Piauí	2000	45.2%	55.9%	35.4%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Piauí	2017	66.4%	75.6%	57.2%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Toldo	2000	76.0%	81.3%	69.6%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Toldo	2017	88.5%	91.4%	85.0%
Brazil	Belágua	2000	33.0%	41.3%	25.0%
Brazil	Belágua	2017	54.3%	62.6%	44.5%
Brazil	Belém	2000	51.3%	56.1%	46.6%
Brazil	Belém	2000	31.2%	35.1%	27.2%
Brazil	Belém	2000	52.8%	54.4%	50.9%
Brazil	Belém	2017	73.9%	77.5%	70.2%
Brazil	Belém	2017	73.0%	74.3%	71.5%
Brazil	Belém	2017	52.3%	57.1%	48.0%
Brazil	Belém de Maria	2000	34.9%	38.7%	31.5%
Brazil	Belém de Maria	2017	56.4%	60.7%	52.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Belém de São Francisco	2000	38.0%	45.0%	31.1%
Brazil	Belém de São Francisco	2017	59.5%	66.5%	52.2%
Brazil	Belém do Brejo do Cruz	2000	58.8%	65.0%	51.9%
Brazil	Belém do Brejo do Cruz	2017	77.5%	81.7%	72.3%
Brazil	Belém do Piauí	2000	41.8%	49.4%	33.6%
Brazil	Belém do Piauí	2017	63.3%	70.7%	55.0%
Brazil	Belford Roxo	2000	83.9%	84.8%	82.9%
Brazil	Belford Roxo	2017	92.7%	93.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Belmiro Braga	2000	70.7%	74.9%	66.2%
Brazil	Belmiro Braga	2017	85.4%	87.9%	82.6%
Brazil	Belmonte	2000	45.5%	51.8%	36.4%
Brazil	Belmonte	2000	76.5%	81.0%	70.5%
Brazil	Belmonte	2017	66.7%	72.3%	57.8%
Brazil	Belmonte	2017	88.7%	91.3%	85.1%
Brazil	Belo Campo	2000	44.5%	49.8%	39.1%
Brazil	Belo Campo	2017	66.1%	71.0%	61.1%
Brazil	Belo Horizonte	2000	67.0%	68.5%	65.7%
Brazil	Belo Horizonte	2017	83.1%	84.1%	82.1%
Brazil	Belo Jardim	2000	39.6%	43.3%	35.2%
Brazil	Belo Jardim	2017	61.3%	64.9%	56.7%
Brazil	Belo Monte	2000	42.6%	48.6%	37.0%
Brazil	Belo Monte	2017	64.1%	69.6%	58.4%
Brazil	Belo Oriente	2000	63.8%	68.4%	59.1%
Brazil	Belo Oriente	2017	81.0%	84.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Belo Vale	2000	64.8%	69.6%	59.1%
Brazil	Belo Vale	2017	81.7%	84.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Belterra	2000	54.1%	60.9%	47.6%
Brazil	Belterra	2017	73.7%	78.9%	68.5%
Brazil	Beneditinos	2000	44.4%	52.4%	36.9%
Brazil	Beneditinos	2017	67.2%	74.2%	59.9%
Brazil	Benedito Leite	2000	41.3%	52.3%	31.5%
Brazil	Benedito Leite	2017	62.9%	73.1%	53.3%
Brazil	Benedito Novo	2000	78.9%	82.4%	74.4%
Brazil	Benedito Novo	2017	90.0%	91.8%	87.5%
Brazil	Benevides	2000	50.4%	53.6%	47.5%
Brazil	Benevides	2017	71.1%	73.5%	68.7%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant	2000	51.9%	59.0%	44.6%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant	2017	72.1%	77.5%	66.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Benjamin Constant do Sul	2000	76.9%	81.2%	72.4%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant do Sul	2017	88.9%	91.3%	86.4%
Brazil	Bento de Abreu	2000	86.9%	90.2%	83.1%
Brazil	Bento de Abreu	2017	94.1%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Bento Fernandes	2000	61.9%	67.7%	56.2%
Brazil	Bento Fernandes	2017	79.7%	83.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Bento Gonçalves	2000	74.9%	78.2%	71.7%
Brazil	Bento Gonçalves	2017	88.0%	89.8%	86.1%
Brazil	Bequimão	2000	31.6%	37.7%	25.5%
Brazil	Bequimão	2017	52.6%	59.4%	45.3%
Brazil	Berilo	2000	64.3%	71.7%	56.7%
Brazil	Berilo	2017	81.3%	85.7%	76.3%
Brazil	Berizal	2000	61.6%	68.8%	53.8%
Brazil	Berizal	2017	79.5%	84.1%	73.6%
Brazil	Bernardino Batista	2000	48.8%	54.3%	43.0%
Brazil	Bernardino Batista	2017	69.7%	74.3%	65.2%
Brazil	Bernardino de Campos	2000	87.6%	90.3%	83.5%
Brazil	Bernardino de Campos	2017	94.5%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Bernardo do Mearim	2000	32.6%	38.7%	26.6%
Brazil	Bernardo do Mearim	2017	53.8%	61.0%	46.8%
Brazil	Bernardo Sayão	2000	25.5%	31.9%	19.6%
Brazil	Bernardo Sayão	2017	45.2%	53.5%	37.2%
Brazil	Bertioga	2000	89.0%	91.1%	86.4%
Brazil	Bertioga	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Bertolândia	2000	44.1%	55.0%	33.9%
Brazil	Bertolândia	2017	65.4%	74.8%	55.1%
Brazil	Bertópolis	2000	56.9%	64.4%	49.6%
Brazil	Bertópolis	2017	76.0%	81.4%	71.0%
Brazil	Beruri	2000	48.3%	56.5%	41.0%
Brazil	Beruri	2017	69.1%	75.8%	63.1%
Brazil	Betânia	2000	38.8%	44.8%	33.7%
Brazil	Betânia	2017	60.5%	66.3%	55.1%
Brazil	Betânia do Piauí	2000	41.1%	49.3%	34.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Betânia do Piauí	2017	62.7%	70.1%	55.7%
Brazil	Betim	2000	65.3%	67.4%	63.0%
Brazil	Betim	2017	82.0%	83.3%	80.3%
Brazil	Bezerros	2000	37.5%	41.0%	34.2%
Brazil	Bezerros	2017	59.2%	62.7%	55.7%
Brazil	Bias Fortes	2000	65.8%	72.2%	60.4%
Brazil	Bias Fortes	2017	82.3%	86.2%	78.6%
Brazil	Bicas	2000	70.5%	75.1%	65.0%
Brazil	Bicas	2017	85.2%	87.9%	82.0%
Brazil	Biguaçu	2000	78.6%	81.9%	75.1%
Brazil	Biguaçu	2017	89.9%	91.7%	87.7%
Brazil	Bilac	2000	87.7%	90.7%	84.1%
Brazil	Bilac	2017	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Biquinhas	2000	64.2%	73.4%	54.5%
Brazil	Biquinhas	2017	81.2%	87.1%	74.6%
Brazil	Birigui	2000	88.5%	91.1%	85.5%
Brazil	Birigui	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Biritiba Mirim	2000	88.5%	90.5%	86.2%
Brazil	Biritiba Mirim	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Biritinga	2000	45.5%	51.2%	38.9%
Brazil	Biritinga	2017	66.8%	72.2%	60.7%
Brazil	Bituruna	2000	68.1%	73.7%	61.1%
Brazil	Bituruna	2017	83.7%	87.1%	79.1%
Brazil	Blumenau	2000	79.0%	81.8%	76.1%
Brazil	Blumenau	2017	90.1%	91.6%	88.3%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	68.0%	73.2%	62.1%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	54.8%	60.1%	49.5%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	65.8%	71.4%	58.8%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	83.7%	86.9%	79.9%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	74.5%	78.4%	70.5%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	82.3%	85.7%	77.6%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Iguaçu	2000	64.0%	70.2%	58.4%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Iguaçu	2017	81.1%	85.1%	77.2%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Sul	2000	87.9%	90.7%	85.1%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Sul	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Boa Hora	2000	44.6%	51.0%	37.3%
Brazil	Boa Hora	2017	66.0%	71.6%	59.2%
Brazil	Boa Nova	2000	46.9%	52.7%	41.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Boa Nova	2017	68.0%	72.9%	63.3%
Brazil	Boa Ventura	2000	44.7%	50.9%	39.4%
Brazil	Boa Ventura	2017	66.1%	71.6%	61.4%
Brazil	Boa Ventura de São Roque	2000	66.2%	72.1%	59.5%
Brazil	Boa Ventura de São Roque	2017	82.6%	86.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Boa Viagem	2000	45.3%	49.5%	40.1%
Brazil	Boa Viagem	2017	66.7%	70.8%	61.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2000	43.1%	50.5%	36.2%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2000	74.8%	77.4%	71.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2017	64.7%	71.3%	58.2%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2017	87.8%	89.3%	86.0%
Brazil	Boa Vista da Aparecida	2000	64.1%	70.1%	57.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista da Aparecida	2017	81.2%	85.0%	76.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Misses	2000	75.9%	80.4%	71.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Misses	2017	88.4%	90.8%	86.0%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Missões	2000	75.7%	80.0%	71.3%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Missões	2017	88.3%	90.7%	85.5%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Buricá	2000	74.5%	78.3%	70.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Buricá	2017	87.6%	89.7%	85.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Gurupi	2000	45.1%	52.8%	37.0%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Gurupi	2017	67.3%	73.4%	59.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Ramos	2000	47.5%	55.5%	39.0%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Ramos	2017	68.5%	75.1%	60.9%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Sul	2000	75.3%	78.7%	71.4%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Sul	2017	88.0%	89.8%	85.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Tupim	2000	40.7%	47.4%	33.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Tupim	2017	62.2%	68.6%	55.0%
Brazil	Boca da Mata	2000	30.1%	34.2%	25.7%
Brazil	Boca da Mata	2017	51.0%	55.8%	45.3%
Brazil	Boca do Acre	2000	49.8%	58.6%	41.1%
Brazil	Boca do Acre	2017	70.4%	77.2%	62.5%
Brazil	Bocaina	2000	88.7%	90.9%	85.8%
Brazil	Bocaina	2000	43.6%	50.9%	37.0%
Brazil	Bocaina	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Bocaina	2017	65.1%	71.6%	58.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bocaina de Minas	2000	81.7%	85.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Bocaina de Minas	2017	91.6%	93.6%	89.2%
Brazil	Bocaina do Sul	2000	80.4%	85.5%	74.6%
Brazil	Bocaina do Sul	2017	90.8%	93.5%	87.6%
Brazil	Bocaiúva	2000	65.8%	71.9%	59.8%
Brazil	Bocaiúva	2017	82.3%	86.0%	78.2%
Brazil	Bocaiúva do Sul	2000	63.7%	68.8%	58.8%
Brazil	Bocaiúva do Sul	2017	80.8%	84.0%	77.2%
Brazil	Bodó	2000	66.6%	72.9%	60.8%
Brazil	Bodó	2017	82.8%	86.8%	78.8%
Brazil	Bodocó	2000	39.6%	45.2%	34.7%
Brazil	Bodocó	2017	61.2%	66.5%	56.0%
Brazil	Bodoquena	2000	33.6%	42.9%	25.5%
Brazil	Bodoquena	2017	51.7%	61.4%	41.6%
Brazil	Bofete	2000	86.8%	90.1%	83.1%
Brazil	Bofete	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Boituva	2000	85.3%	88.0%	82.3%
Brazil	Boituva	2017	93.4%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Bom Conselho	2000	40.0%	44.7%	35.5%
Brazil	Bom Conselho	2017	61.6%	66.0%	56.4%
Brazil	Bom despacho	2000	65.7%	71.5%	60.6%
Brazil	Bom despacho	2017	82.3%	86.0%	78.8%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	84.1%	86.8%	81.2%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	39.0%	42.4%	35.3%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	92.8%	94.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	60.6%	64.0%	57.2%
Brazil	Bom Jardim da Serra	2000	85.0%	89.1%	80.0%
Brazil	Bom Jardim da Serra	2017	93.2%	95.1%	90.6%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Goiás	2000	45.1%	53.6%	36.8%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Goiás	2017	66.5%	74.0%	58.4%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Minas	2000	74.9%	80.4%	69.2%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Minas	2017	87.8%	90.9%	84.1%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	35.9%	41.0%	30.4%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	57.4%	62.6%	51.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	62.0%	66.6%	57.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	45.1%	54.8%	34.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	75.5%	80.3%	69.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	77.3%	83.2%	70.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	45.6%	52.0%	40.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	88.2%	91.0%	84.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	79.8%	82.9%	76.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	66.9%	72.5%	61.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	89.2%	92.1%	85.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	66.3%	74.5%	56.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Lapa	2000	45.6%	52.1%	39.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Lapa	2017	68.6%	74.2%	63.1%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Penha	2000	68.6%	74.1%	62.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Penha	2017	84.1%	87.3%	80.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Serra	2000	40.8%	47.3%	35.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Serra	2017	62.5%	68.1%	57.1%
Brazil	Bom Jesus das Selvas	2000	34.6%	42.5%	27.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus das Selvas	2017	56.1%	64.3%	47.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus de Goiás	2000	50.2%	57.1%	44.1%
Brazil	Bom Jesus de Goiás	2017	70.9%	76.5%	65.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Amparo	2000	67.9%	72.4%	62.6%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Amparo	2017	83.6%	86.5%	80.1%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Galho	2000	64.2%	70.0%	59.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Galho	2017	81.2%	84.7%	77.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Itabapoana	2000	73.2%	77.4%	68.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Itabapoana	2017	86.8%	89.5%	83.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Norte	2000	70.1%	75.0%	64.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Norte	2017	85.0%	88.1%	81.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Oeste	2000	77.0%	81.3%	71.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Oeste	2017	89.0%	91.3%	86.1%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Sul	2000	69.6%	76.0%	63.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Sul	2017	84.7%	88.6%	80.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2000	17.7%	23.5%	12.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2000	46.3%	52.1%	40.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2017	34.2%	42.7%	25.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2017	67.5%	73.0%	62.1%
Brazil	Bom Lugar	2000	33.2%	39.1%	26.3%
Brazil	Bom Lugar	2017	54.5%	61.0%	46.2%
Brazil	Bom Princípio	2000	73.1%	76.4%	69.8%
Brazil	Bom Princípio	2017	86.8%	88.8%	85.0%
Brazil	Bom Princípio do Piauí	2000	43.8%	49.7%	37.2%
Brazil	Bom Princípio do Piauí	2017	65.3%	70.8%	59.1%
Brazil	Bom Progresso	2000	75.8%	80.0%	71.1%
Brazil	Bom Progresso	2017	88.3%	90.8%	85.5%
Brazil	Bom Repouso	2000	79.4%	82.7%	74.5%
Brazil	Bom Repouso	2017	90.2%	92.1%	87.5%
Brazil	Bom Retiro	2000	81.7%	86.6%	75.9%
Brazil	Bom Retiro	2017	91.6%	94.1%	88.5%
Brazil	Bom Retiro do Sul	2000	74.6%	78.0%	70.6%
Brazil	Bom Retiro do Sul	2017	89.0%	90.8%	86.8%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	66.2%	70.8%	61.2%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	65.2%	70.9%	59.4%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	55.4%	62.8%	48.3%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	81.9%	85.2%	78.1%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	75.0%	80.5%	69.3%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	82.6%	85.6%	79.4%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso de Itararé	2000	78.8%	84.2%	73.1%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso de Itararé	2017	90.0%	92.9%	86.6%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso do Sul	2000	64.9%	70.3%	59.3%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso do Sul	2017	81.7%	85.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Bombinhas	2000	76.5%	80.9%	71.8%
Brazil	Bombinhas	2017	88.7%	91.1%	86.1%
Brazil	Bon Jesus dos Perdoes	2000	85.8%	88.0%	83.2%
Brazil	Bon Jesus dos Perdoes	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Bonfim	2000	65.3%	70.0%	59.6%
Brazil	Bonfim	2000	72.8%	79.6%	64.5%
Brazil	Bonfim	2017	82.0%	85.1%	78.2%
Brazil	Bonfim	2017	86.5%	90.3%	81.4%
Brazil	Bonfim do Piauí	2000	44.9%	53.4%	36.9%
Brazil	Bonfim do Piauí	2017	66.3%	73.5%	58.6%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis	2000	43.3%	51.6%	34.8%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis	2017	64.7%	72.4%	56.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bonfinópolis de Minas	2000	69.8%	77.5%	59.5%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis de Minas	2017	84.7%	89.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Boninal	2000	50.8%	57.6%	44.0%
Brazil	Boninal	2017	71.3%	76.7%	65.7%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	31.6%	40.5%	23.7%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	51.6%	58.3%	45.3%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	53.3%	58.1%	48.0%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	40.3%	44.2%	36.5%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	72.0%	77.4%	66.9%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	73.4%	77.3%	68.7%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	61.9%	65.7%	58.0%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	52.6%	62.6%	42.5%
Brazil	Bonito de Minas	2000	63.6%	71.7%	55.7%
Brazil	Bonito de Minas	2017	80.8%	86.1%	75.4%
Brazil	Bonito de Santa Fé	2000	48.6%	53.6%	42.8%
Brazil	Bonito de Santa Fé	2017	69.5%	74.0%	64.3%
Brazil	Bonópolis	2000	45.4%	49.4%	41.6%
Brazil	Bonópolis	2017	66.3%	69.9%	62.6%
Brazil	Boqueirão	2000	41.6%	47.7%	35.3%
Brazil	Boqueirão	2017	63.2%	69.0%	56.9%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Leão	2000	76.9%	81.2%	72.3%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Leão	2017	88.9%	91.3%	86.3%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Piauí	2000	44.3%	51.5%	37.5%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Piauí	2017	65.8%	71.9%	58.9%
Brazil	Boqueirao dos Cochos	2000	46.3%	52.8%	39.8%
Brazil	Boqueirao dos Cochos	2017	67.5%	73.0%	61.5%
Brazil	Boquira	2000	46.8%	53.7%	39.4%
Brazil	Boquira	2017	68.0%	73.8%	61.4%
Brazil	Borá	2000	86.9%	90.3%	82.9%
Brazil	Borá	2017	94.1%	95.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Boracéia	2000	86.3%	89.3%	82.3%
Brazil	Boracéia	2017	93.8%	95.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Borba	2000	48.7%	56.8%	40.3%
Brazil	Borba	2017	69.5%	75.9%	61.7%
Brazil	Borborema	2000	53.0%	57.9%	48.5%
Brazil	Borborema	2000	85.3%	89.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Borborema	2017	93.3%	95.4%	90.8%
Brazil	Borborema	2017	72.9%	76.8%	69.3%
Brazil	Borda da Mata	2000	68.1%	73.3%	63.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Borda da Mata	2017	83.8%	86.9%	80.3%
Brazil	Borebi	2000	87.4%	90.3%	84.4%
Brazil	Borebi	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Borrazópolis	2000	64.5%	70.0%	59.0%
Brazil	Borrazópolis	2017	81.4%	85.0%	77.7%
Brazil	Bossoroca	2000	74.9%	81.5%	68.8%
Brazil	Bossoroca	2017	87.8%	91.2%	84.1%
Brazil	Botelhos	2000	66.9%	72.1%	61.9%
Brazil	Botelhos	2017	83.0%	86.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Botucatu	2000	88.1%	90.9%	84.3%
Brazil	Botucatu	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Botumirim	2000	68.5%	75.8%	59.8%
Brazil	Botumirim	2017	83.9%	88.2%	77.9%
Brazil	Botuporã	2000	45.9%	52.3%	40.1%
Brazil	Botuporã	2017	67.1%	72.5%	61.9%
Brazil	Botuverá	2000	77.8%	82.0%	72.8%
Brazil	Botuverá	2017	89.4%	91.7%	86.5%
Brazil	Braço do Norte	2000	76.9%	81.1%	71.0%
Brazil	Braço do Norte	2017	88.9%	91.4%	85.6%
Brazil	Braço do Trombudo	2000	78.0%	82.9%	73.0%
Brazil	Braço do Trombudo	2017	89.6%	92.2%	86.8%
Brazil	Braga	2000	75.8%	80.1%	71.1%
Brazil	Braga	2017	88.3%	90.8%	85.5%
Brazil	Bragança Paulista	2000	83.9%	86.6%	81.0%
Brazil	Bragança Paulista	2017	92.7%	94.0%	91.3%
Brazil	Braganey	2000	63.4%	69.0%	57.5%
Brazil	Braganey	2017	80.7%	84.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Braganga	2000	51.9%	56.5%	47.2%
Brazil	Braganga	2017	72.5%	76.1%	68.5%
Brazil	Branquinha	2000	35.4%	39.8%	30.9%
Brazil	Branquinha	2017	57.0%	61.4%	52.4%
Brazil	Bras Pires	2000	63.4%	68.5%	58.3%
Brazil	Bras Pires	2017	80.7%	84.2%	76.8%
Brazil	Brasabrantas	2000	43.5%	48.0%	39.2%
Brazil	Brasabrantas	2017	65.0%	68.9%	60.8%
Brazil	Brasilândia	2000	48.6%	56.6%	41.9%
Brazil	Brasilândia	2017	69.2%	75.5%	63.3%
Brazil	Brasilândia de Minas	2000	66.2%	71.5%	60.3%
Brazil	Brasilândia de Minas	2017	82.6%	86.1%	78.6%
Brazil	Brasilândia do Sul	2000	65.4%	71.2%	60.2%
Brazil	Brasilândia do Sul	2017	82.1%	85.8%	78.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Brasília do Tocantins	2000	19.0%	24.8%	13.9%
Brazil	Brasília do Tocantins	2017	36.0%	44.2%	27.6%
Brazil	Brasília	2000	48.5%	54.8%	41.4%
Brazil	Brasília	2017	69.3%	74.7%	62.9%
Brazil	Brasília	2000	81.8%	82.6%	81.1%
Brazil	Brasília	2017	91.4%	92.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Brasília de Minas	2000	69.2%	75.6%	61.5%
Brazil	Brasília de Minas	2017	84.4%	88.3%	79.4%
Brazil	Brasão	2000	49.1%	60.3%	38.2%
Brazil	Brasão	2017	69.7%	78.9%	58.9%
Brazil	Brasão	2000	69.4%	74.7%	63.7%
Brazil	Brasão	2017	84.4%	87.9%	80.0%
Brazil	Brauna	2000	87.1%	91.1%	83.0%
Brazil	Brauna	2017	94.2%	96.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Braúna	2000	79.9%	83.2%	75.7%
Brazil	Braúna	2017	90.5%	92.3%	88.3%
Brazil	Brazil Novo	2000	53.5%	62.2%	45.6%
Brazil	Brazil Novo	2017	73.4%	80.1%	66.6%
Brazil	Brazil Novo	2000	43.8%	51.4%	36.7%
Brazil	Brazil Novo	2017	65.2%	71.9%	58.4%
Brazil	Brejão	2000	37.9%	41.9%	33.9%
Brazil	Brejão	2017	59.6%	63.7%	55.6%
Brazil	Brejetuba	2000	62.0%	67.6%	56.2%
Brazil	Brejetuba	2017	79.8%	83.6%	75.7%
Brazil	Brejinho	2000	62.5%	67.3%	58.1%
Brazil	Brejinho	2000	51.4%	56.9%	45.9%
Brazil	Brejinho	2017	80.1%	83.3%	77.1%
Brazil	Brejinho	2017	71.9%	76.2%	66.9%
Brazil	Brejinho de Nazaré	2000	17.5%	22.7%	12.7%
Brazil	Brejinho de Nazaré	2017	33.8%	41.7%	26.3%
Brazil	Brejo	2000	37.2%	44.2%	30.7%
Brazil	Brejo	2017	58.8%	65.6%	51.8%
Brazil	Brejo Alegre	2000	87.7%	90.9%	84.5%
Brazil	Brejo Alegre	2017	94.5%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Brejo da Madre de Deus	2000	38.7%	42.1%	35.4%
Brazil	Brejo da Madre de Deus	2017	60.3%	63.7%	56.8%
Brazil	Brejo de Areia	2000	33.5%	42.0%	25.8%
Brazil	Brejo de Areia	2017	54.8%	63.9%	46.1%
Brazil	Brejo do Cruz	2000	56.0%	62.3%	49.0%
Brazil	Brejo do Cruz	2017	75.5%	79.8%	70.2%
Brazil	Brejo do Piauí	2000	45.9%	54.6%	36.1%
Brazil	Brejo do Piauí	2017	67.1%	74.5%	57.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Brejo dos Santos	2000	55.6%	62.8%	49.3%
Brazil	Brejo dos Santos	2017	75.1%	80.3%	69.7%
Brazil	Brejo Grande	2000	44.6%	52.5%	37.7%
Brazil	Brejo Grande	2017	66.0%	72.9%	59.2%
Brazil	Brejo Grande do Araguaia	2000	32.4%	38.2%	26.5%
Brazil	Brejo Grande do Araguaia	2017	53.4%	59.7%	46.0%
Brazil	Brejo Santo	2000	43.6%	48.6%	39.7%
Brazil	Brejo Santo	2017	65.4%	69.9%	61.5%
Brazil	Brejões	2000	49.2%	56.0%	42.5%
Brazil	Brejões	2017	70.0%	75.6%	63.8%
Brazil	Brejolândia	2000	44.8%	52.3%	37.3%
Brazil	Brejolândia	2017	66.2%	73.1%	59.1%
Brazil	Breu Branco	2000	51.7%	56.8%	46.6%
Brazil	Breu Branco	2017	72.2%	76.1%	68.0%
Brazil	Breves	2000	55.2%	60.3%	49.5%
Brazil	Breves	2017	74.7%	78.6%	70.6%
Brazil	Brochier	2000	74.7%	78.0%	70.3%
Brazil	Brochier	2017	87.7%	89.5%	85.3%
Brazil	Brodosqui	2000	82.4%	85.5%	79.2%
Brazil	Brodosqui	2017	91.9%	93.5%	90.2%
Brazil	Brotas	2000	88.1%	90.8%	84.8%
Brazil	Brotas	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Brotas de Macaúbas	2000	48.5%	56.4%	41.1%
Brazil	Brotas de Macaúbas	2017	69.2%	75.8%	62.8%
Brazil	Brumadinho	2000	64.1%	68.0%	60.3%
Brazil	Brumadinho	2017	81.2%	83.7%	78.6%
Brazil	Brumado	2000	43.5%	48.4%	37.9%
Brazil	Brumado	2017	65.0%	69.8%	59.5%
Brazil	Brunópolis	2000	79.0%	83.8%	73.0%
Brazil	Brunópolis	2017	90.1%	92.6%	86.7%
Brazil	Brusque	2000	77.4%	80.8%	73.6%
Brazil	Brusque	2017	89.8%	91.5%	87.6%
Brazil	Bueno Brandão	2000	78.9%	82.7%	73.5%
Brazil	Bueno Brandão	2017	90.0%	92.1%	86.9%
Brazil	Buenópolis	2000	64.5%	73.1%	54.6%
Brazil	Buenópolis	2017	81.4%	86.7%	74.5%
Brazil	Buenos Aires	2000	39.0%	42.5%	35.8%
Brazil	Buenos Aires	2017	60.8%	64.3%	57.0%
Brazil	Buerarema	2000	47.7%	52.6%	42.6%
Brazil	Buerarema	2017	68.8%	73.2%	64.6%
Brazil	Bugre	2000	64.0%	68.0%	59.3%
Brazil	Bugre	2017	81.1%	83.6%	77.6%
Brazil	Buíque	2000	36.6%	40.8%	32.9%
Brazil	Buíque	2017	58.2%	62.4%	53.8%
Brazil	Bujari	2000	45.3%	51.1%	39.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bujari	2017	66.6%	71.6%	61.4%
Brazil	Bujaru	2000	50.5%	55.8%	45.2%
Brazil	Bujaru	2017	71.1%	75.4%	66.7%
Brazil	Buquim	2000	65.9%	70.5%	61.3%
Brazil	Buquim	2017	82.4%	85.2%	79.7%
Brazil	Buri	2000	87.6%	91.1%	83.6%
Brazil	Buri	2017	94.5%	96.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Buritama	2000	87.8%	90.9%	84.1%
Brazil	Buritama	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Buriti	2000	37.6%	43.8%	31.0%
Brazil	Buriti	2017	59.2%	65.3%	52.2%
Brazil	Buriti Alegre	2000	47.0%	53.7%	40.5%
Brazil	Buriti Alegre	2017	68.1%	73.4%	62.0%
Brazil	Buriti Bravo	2000	33.5%	41.7%	26.5%
Brazil	Buriti Bravo	2017	54.8%	63.4%	47.1%
Brazil	Buriti de Goiás	2000	43.1%	50.8%	36.6%
Brazil	Buriti de Goiás	2017	64.6%	71.3%	58.1%
Brazil	Buriti do Tocantins	2000	29.9%	35.9%	24.6%
Brazil	Buriti do Tocantins	2017	50.8%	57.7%	44.3%
Brazil	Buriti dos Lopes	2000	45.7%	52.1%	39.4%
Brazil	Buriti dos Lopes	2017	66.9%	72.2%	60.8%
Brazil	Buriti dos Montes	2000	44.9%	53.0%	36.7%
Brazil	Buriti dos Montes	2017	66.2%	73.3%	58.1%
Brazil	Buriticupu	2000	33.9%	42.3%	26.8%
Brazil	Buriticupu	2017	55.3%	64.0%	46.8%
Brazil	Buritinópolis	2000	43.8%	51.4%	36.2%
Brazil	Buritinópolis	2017	65.4%	71.9%	57.8%
Brazil	Buritirama	2000	47.4%	56.8%	38.3%
Brazil	Buritirama	2017	68.3%	75.9%	60.2%
Brazil	Buritirana	2000	30.5%	38.5%	23.6%
Brazil	Buritirana	2017	51.4%	59.8%	42.6%
Brazil	Buritis	2000	58.7%	67.8%	48.6%
Brazil	Buritis	2000	57.3%	65.2%	49.7%
Brazil	Buritis	2017	77.2%	83.6%	69.1%
Brazil	Buritis	2017	76.3%	81.6%	70.2%
Brazil	Buritized	2000	77.4%	83.2%	72.1%
Brazil	Buritized	2017	89.2%	92.3%	86.2%
Brazil	Buritizeiro	2000	65.7%	72.0%	59.2%
Brazil	Buritizeiro	2017	82.2%	86.1%	77.7%
Brazil	Butiá	2000	75.3%	80.0%	70.1%
Brazil	Butiá	2017	88.1%	90.6%	85.1%
Brazil	Caapiranga	2000	50.3%	59.1%	41.8%
Brazil	Caapiranga	2017	70.8%	78.2%	63.3%
Brazil	Caaporã	2000	42.6%	48.0%	37.7%
Brazil	Caaporã	2017	64.3%	69.5%	59.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caarapó	2000	28.2%	33.9%	22.7%
Brazil	Caarapó	2017	48.5%	55.5%	41.4%
Brazil	Caatiba	2000	39.7%	45.1%	34.6%
Brazil	Caatiba	2017	61.5%	66.8%	56.1%
Brazil	Cabaceiras	2000	41.3%	48.6%	34.1%
Brazil	Cabaceiras	2017	62.9%	70.0%	55.7%
Brazil	Cabaceiras do Paraguaçu	2000	44.2%	48.8%	39.9%
Brazil	Cabaceiras do Paraguaçu	2017	65.7%	69.7%	61.7%
Brazil	Cabeceira Grande	2000	61.4%	67.5%	55.0%
Brazil	Cabeceira Grande	2017	79.3%	83.5%	74.5%
Brazil	Cabeceiras	2000	58.4%	67.2%	50.5%
Brazil	Cabeceiras	2017	77.2%	83.2%	71.3%
Brazil	Cabeceiras do Piauí	2000	45.2%	51.5%	38.3%
Brazil	Cabeceiras do Piauí	2017	66.5%	72.4%	60.0%
Brazil	Cabedelo	2000	50.5%	54.9%	46.3%
Brazil	Cabedelo	2017	71.1%	74.8%	67.5%
Brazil	Cabixi	2000	52.7%	62.5%	40.7%
Brazil	Cabixi	2017	72.8%	80.1%	63.0%
Brazil	Cabo	2000	40.1%	42.7%	37.6%
Brazil	Cabo	2017	61.8%	64.1%	59.0%
Brazil	Cabo Frio	2000	84.5%	87.4%	81.6%
Brazil	Cabo Frio	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.5%
Brazil	Cabo Verde	2000	68.4%	73.6%	63.6%
Brazil	Cabo Verde	2017	84.0%	87.3%	80.6%
Brazil	Cabrália Paulista	2000	86.2%	89.5%	81.4%
Brazil	Cabrália Paulista	2017	93.8%	95.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Cabreúva	2000	87.5%	89.3%	85.5%
Brazil	Cabreúva	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Cabrobó	2000	42.5%	48.8%	36.3%
Brazil	Cabrobó	2017	64.0%	69.5%	58.0%
Brazil	Caçador	2000	77.7%	82.1%	72.3%
Brazil	Caçador	2017	89.9%	92.3%	87.0%
Brazil	Caçapava	2000	85.1%	87.3%	82.6%
Brazil	Caçapava	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Caçapava do Sul	2000	78.2%	83.5%	72.8%
Brazil	Caçapava do Sul	2017	89.7%	92.4%	86.5%
Brazil	Cacaulândia	2000	54.3%	60.7%	46.4%
Brazil	Cacaulândia	2017	74.1%	78.9%	67.6%
Brazil	Cacequi	2000	75.7%	81.4%	69.4%
Brazil	Cacequi	2017	88.3%	91.3%	84.6%
Brazil	Cáceres	2000	49.7%	56.7%	43.0%
Brazil	Cáceres	2017	70.4%	75.8%	64.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira	2000	46.4%	50.7%	42.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cachoeira	2017	68.2%	71.9%	64.7%
Brazil	Cachoeira	2000	46.1%	52.5%	39.4%
Brazil	Alta Cachoeira	2017	67.3%	73.0%	60.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira da Prata	2000	65.3%	70.5%	59.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira da Prata	2017	82.0%	85.4%	77.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Goias	2000	47.3%	55.1%	40.7%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Goias	2017	68.4%	74.5%	62.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Minas	2000	66.2%	70.8%	61.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Minas	2017	82.6%	85.4%	79.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Pajes	2000	67.4%	73.8%	60.9%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Pajes	2017	83.3%	87.1%	79.1%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Arari	2000	51.7%	56.7%	45.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Arari	2017	72.1%	76.0%	67.0%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Piriá	2000	47.3%	53.6%	41.0%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Piriá	2017	69.1%	74.6%	62.9%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Sul	2000	78.9%	82.8%	74.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Sul	2017	89.4%	91.5%	86.9%
Brazil	Cachoeira dos índios	2000	46.5%	52.7%	40.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira dos índios	2017	67.8%	72.9%	62.4%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2000	50.8%	57.2%	44.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2000	50.0%	56.4%	43.7%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2017	71.3%	76.4%	65.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2017	70.7%	75.9%	65.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira Grande	2000	33.2%	39.8%	26.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira Grande	2017	54.5%	61.4%	46.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira Paulista	2000	81.6%	85.1%	77.9%
Brazil	Cachoeira Paulista	2017	91.5%	93.2%	89.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cachoeiras de Macacu	2000	81.6%	85.1%	78.0%
Brazil	Cachoeiras de Macacu	2017	91.4%	93.2%	89.5%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	73.4%	75.2%	71.7%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	23.2%	29.0%	17.8%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	87.8%	88.7%	86.6%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	42.2%	49.3%	34.5%
Brazil	Cachoeiro de Itapemirim	2000	57.5%	61.6%	54.1%
Brazil	Cachoeiro de Itapemirim	2017	76.7%	79.7%	73.9%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	34.4%	38.6%	29.8%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	55.9%	60.5%	50.4%
Brazil	Cacimba de Areia	2000	45.9%	52.0%	40.6%
Brazil	Cacimba de Areia	2017	67.3%	72.5%	62.1%
Brazil	Cacimba de dentro	2000	54.6%	59.6%	49.6%
Brazil	Cacimba de dentro	2017	74.4%	78.0%	70.1%
Brazil	Cacimbas	2000	50.7%	56.4%	44.1%
Brazil	Cacimbas	2017	71.2%	76.0%	65.0%
Brazil	Cacimbinhas	2000	33.7%	38.7%	28.9%
Brazil	Cacimbinhas	2017	55.1%	60.5%	49.1%
Brazil	Cacique doble	2000	76.3%	80.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Cacique doble	2017	88.6%	91.1%	85.8%
Brazil	Cacoal	2000	57.2%	62.0%	51.9%
Brazil	Cacoal	2017	76.4%	79.8%	72.6%
Brazil	Caconde	2000	70.6%	75.4%	65.1%
Brazil	Caconde	2017	85.3%	88.0%	82.0%
Brazil	Caçu	2000	43.2%	49.8%	36.5%
Brazil	Caçu	2017	64.6%	70.5%	57.8%
Brazil	Caculé	2000	46.0%	52.3%	39.7%
Brazil	Caculé	2017	67.3%	72.5%	61.5%
Brazil	Caém	2000	43.8%	49.3%	38.2%
Brazil	Caém	2017	65.1%	70.1%	59.7%
Brazil	Caetanópolis	2000	67.7%	73.7%	61.1%
Brazil	Caetanópolis	2017	83.5%	87.3%	79.3%
Brazil	Caetanos	2000	40.7%	47.3%	34.6%
Brazil	Caetanos	2017	62.4%	68.6%	56.1%
Brazil	Caeté	2000	65.9%	69.7%	61.6%
Brazil	Caeté	2017	82.4%	84.8%	79.4%
Brazil	Caetés	2000	39.9%	44.2%	35.9%
Brazil	Caetés	2017	61.6%	65.6%	57.6%
Brazil	Caetité	2000	48.8%	54.2%	43.3%
Brazil	Caetité	2017	69.7%	74.1%	64.7%
Brazil	Cafarnaum	2000	43.1%	49.3%	36.8%
Brazil	Cafarnaum	2017	64.6%	70.0%	58.6%
Brazil	Cafeara	2000	68.2%	74.0%	61.7%
Brazil	Cafeara	2017	83.8%	87.4%	79.5%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2000	87.2%	90.4%	83.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cafelândia	2000	65.7%	71.0%	60.1%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2017	82.2%	85.6%	78.3%
Brazil	Cafezal do Sul	2000	63.6%	69.0%	56.6%
Brazil	Cafezal do Sul	2017	80.8%	84.2%	76.0%
Brazil	Caiabu	2000	86.8%	90.2%	82.9%
Brazil	Caiabu	2017	94.1%	95.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Caiana	2000	67.4%	72.7%	62.3%
Brazil	Caiana	2017	83.3%	86.6%	79.8%
Brazil	Caiapônia	2000	46.2%	55.9%	38.1%
Brazil	Caiapônia	2017	67.3%	75.4%	59.8%
Brazil	Caibaté	2000	74.4%	79.2%	70.2%
Brazil	Caibaté	2017	87.6%	90.2%	84.8%
Brazil	Caibi	2000	76.4%	80.6%	70.0%
Brazil	Caibi	2017	88.7%	91.0%	85.0%
Brazil	Caçara	2000	53.5%	58.2%	48.4%
Brazil	Caçara	2000	76.2%	80.9%	71.2%
Brazil	Caçara	2017	88.5%	91.0%	85.6%
Brazil	Caçara	2017	73.6%	77.2%	70.0%
Brazil	Caçara do Norte	2000	63.0%	69.1%	56.8%
Brazil	Caçara do Norte	2017	80.5%	84.3%	75.7%
Brazil	Caçara do Rio do Vento	2000	61.4%	67.1%	56.1%
Brazil	Caçara do Rio do Vento	2017	79.3%	83.2%	75.6%
Brazil	Caicó	2000	57.3%	67.5%	47.0%
Brazil	Caicó	2017	76.4%	83.5%	68.7%
Brazil	Caieiras	2000	88.3%	89.5%	86.8%
Brazil	Caieiras	2017	94.8%	95.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Cairu	2000	44.6%	51.3%	39.0%
Brazil	Cairu	2017	66.1%	72.0%	60.4%
Brazil	Caiuá	2000	73.1%	78.1%	67.7%
Brazil	Caiuá	2017	86.8%	89.6%	83.8%
Brazil	Cajamar	2000	87.2%	88.6%	85.6%
Brazil	Cajamar	2017	94.2%	94.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Cajapió	2000	31.8%	38.7%	25.9%
Brazil	Cajapió	2017	52.9%	60.3%	45.6%
Brazil	Cajari	2000	32.1%	38.7%	26.5%
Brazil	Cajari	2017	53.3%	60.2%	46.6%
Brazil	Cajati	2000	81.5%	86.2%	76.1%
Brazil	Cajati	2017	92.3%	94.4%	89.7%
Brazil	Cajazeiras	2000	50.0%	55.9%	43.9%
Brazil	Cajazeiras	2017	70.6%	75.7%	65.3%
Brazil	Cajazeiras do Piauí	2000	43.7%	52.5%	35.3%
Brazil	Cajazeiras do Piauí	2017	65.1%	73.0%	56.8%
Brazil	Cajazeirinhas	2000	45.5%	52.2%	39.3%
Brazil	Cajazeirinhas	2017	66.8%	72.7%	61.0%
Brazil	Cajobi	2000	87.9%	90.9%	84.3%
Brazil	Cajobi	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cajueiro	2000	31.6%	36.4%	28.1%
Brazil	Cajueiro	2017	56.9%	62.3%	52.4%
Brazil	Cajueiro da Praia	2000	42.0%	49.4%	34.3%
Brazil	Cajueiro da Praia	2017	63.6%	70.6%	56.2%
Brazil	Cajuri	2000	66.3%	71.5%	61.6%
Brazil	Cajuri	2017	82.6%	85.6%	79.7%
Brazil	Cajuru	2000	82.8%	86.8%	78.5%
Brazil	Cajuru	2017	92.1%	94.1%	89.8%
Brazil	Calçado	2000	37.8%	41.4%	33.8%
Brazil	Calçado	2017	59.5%	63.3%	55.5%
Brazil	Calçoene	2000	54.9%	67.1%	42.4%
Brazil	Calçoene	2017	74.3%	82.9%	63.2%
Brazil	Caldas	2000	70.2%	74.3%	65.9%
Brazil	Caldas	2017	85.0%	87.6%	82.0%
Brazil	Caldas Brandão	2000	46.2%	51.0%	41.3%
Brazil	Caldas Brandão	2017	67.4%	71.9%	63.0%
Brazil	Caldas Novas	2000	46.4%	51.9%	40.7%
Brazil	Caldas Novas	2017	67.6%	72.0%	62.4%
Brazil	Caldazinha	2000	42.4%	46.5%	38.5%
Brazil	Caldazinha	2017	63.9%	67.4%	59.7%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande	2000	44.2%	49.6%	38.7%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande	2017	65.7%	70.6%	60.0%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande do Piauí	2000	42.8%	49.1%	35.5%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande do Piauí	2017	64.3%	70.0%	56.9%
Brazil	Califórnia	2000	65.7%	70.8%	61.1%
Brazil	Califórnia	2017	82.2%	85.6%	79.2%
Brazil	Calmon	2000	78.8%	83.4%	73.4%
Brazil	Calmon	2017	90.0%	92.4%	86.9%
Brazil	Calumbi	2000	41.2%	47.0%	35.3%
Brazil	Calumbi	2017	62.8%	68.3%	57.1%
Brazil	Camacan	2000	47.2%	52.8%	42.2%
Brazil	Camacan	2017	68.3%	73.2%	63.3%
Brazil	Camaçari	2000	48.0%	51.2%	44.6%
Brazil	Camaçari	2017	69.2%	72.2%	66.4%
Brazil	Camacho	2000	68.6%	73.8%	62.6%
Brazil	Camacho	2017	84.1%	87.4%	80.0%
Brazil	Camagua	2000	77.6%	82.0%	72.8%
Brazil	Camagua	2017	89.3%	91.8%	86.6%
Brazil	Camalaú	2000	40.3%	45.8%	33.4%
Brazil	Camalaú	2017	61.8%	66.8%	55.1%
Brazil	Camamu	2000	45.6%	51.6%	40.3%
Brazil	Camamu	2017	66.9%	72.0%	62.4%
Brazil	Camanducaia	2000	84.7%	87.8%	81.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Camanducaia	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Camapuã	2000	28.8%	36.0%	21.4%
Brazil	Camapuã	2017	49.2%	57.5%	39.7%
Brazil	Camaragibe	2000	39.3%	42.0%	36.7%
Brazil	Camaragibe	2017	61.3%	64.0%	58.9%
Brazil	Camargo	2000	75.0%	79.3%	70.3%
Brazil	Camargo	2017	87.8%	90.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Cambará	2000	75.9%	80.6%	71.3%
Brazil	Cambará	2017	88.4%	90.8%	85.6%
Brazil	Cambará do Sul	2000	80.2%	85.3%	75.8%
Brazil	Cambará do Sul	2017	90.7%	93.4%	88.2%
Brazil	Cambé	2000	65.9%	68.5%	62.7%
Brazil	Cambé	2017	82.4%	84.2%	80.1%
Brazil	Cambira	2000	62.6%	66.8%	58.1%
Brazil	Cambira	2017	80.2%	83.0%	77.3%
Brazil	Camboriú	2000	78.5%	81.8%	75.6%
Brazil	Camboriú	2017	89.9%	91.6%	88.2%
Brazil	Cambuci	2000	83.2%	86.0%	79.8%
Brazil	Cambuci	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.5%
Brazil	Cambuí	2000	72.9%	77.1%	67.0%
Brazil	Cambuí	2017	86.7%	89.2%	83.3%
Brazil	Cambuquira	2000	63.1%	68.1%	57.5%
Brazil	Cambuquira	2017	80.5%	83.7%	76.7%
Brazil	Cametá	2000	53.6%	58.3%	48.9%
Brazil	Cametá	2017	73.7%	77.2%	69.8%
Brazil	Camocim	2000	41.7%	48.0%	35.6%
Brazil	Camocim	2017	65.7%	71.2%	59.9%
Brazil	Camocim de São Félix	2000	40.0%	44.1%	36.1%
Brazil	Camocim de São Félix	2017	61.7%	65.7%	57.8%
Brazil	Campanário	2000	64.5%	71.2%	57.6%
Brazil	Campanário	2017	81.4%	85.7%	76.4%
Brazil	Campanha	2000	66.2%	71.0%	61.0%
Brazil	Campanha	2017	82.6%	85.3%	78.9%
Brazil	Campestre	2000	68.2%	73.7%	62.6%
Brazil	Campestre	2000	36.1%	41.1%	31.6%
Brazil	Campestre	2017	82.6%	86.1%	78.9%
Brazil	Campestre	2017	57.7%	62.9%	52.8%
Brazil	Campestre da Serra	2000	75.0%	79.9%	70.6%
Brazil	Campestre da Serra	2017	87.9%	90.5%	85.2%
Brazil	Campestre de Goiás	2000	41.5%	46.9%	36.0%
Brazil	Campestre de Goiás	2017	63.2%	68.6%	57.6%
Brazil	Campestre do Maranhão	2000	30.0%	37.0%	22.8%
Brazil	Campestre do Maranhão	2017	50.7%	58.8%	41.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campina da Lagoa	2000	64.4%	71.0%	57.3%
Brazil	Campina da Lagoa	2017	81.4%	85.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Campina das Missões	2000	74.4%	78.9%	69.4%
Brazil	Campina das Missões	2017	87.5%	90.0%	84.4%
Brazil	Campina do Monte Alegre	2000	86.8%	90.9%	82.2%
Brazil	Campina do Monte Alegre	2017	94.1%	96.0%	91.9%
Brazil	Campina do Simão	2000	66.8%	73.0%	60.2%
Brazil	Campina do Simão	2017	82.9%	86.8%	78.3%
Brazil	Campina Grande	2000	49.2%	52.8%	46.3%
Brazil	Campina Grande	2017	70.1%	73.2%	67.3%
Brazil	Campina Grande do Sul	2000	61.6%	65.1%	57.6%
Brazil	Campina Grande do Sul	2017	79.5%	81.7%	76.8%
Brazil	Campina Verde	2000	70.7%	78.5%	63.0%
Brazil	Campina Verde	2017	85.3%	89.8%	80.5%
Brazil	Campinaçu	2000	44.0%	51.7%	35.4%
Brazil	Campinaçu	2017	65.4%	71.9%	56.8%
Brazil	Campinápolis	2000	51.5%	62.0%	40.6%
Brazil	Campinápolis	2017	71.7%	79.8%	62.0%
Brazil	Campinas	2000	86.4%	87.9%	84.6%
Brazil	Campinas	2017	93.9%	94.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Campinas do Piauí	2000	44.7%	53.7%	36.1%
Brazil	Campinas do Piauí	2017	66.0%	74.0%	58.0%
Brazil	Campinas do Sul	2000	75.0%	79.6%	70.5%
Brazil	Campinas do Sul	2017	87.9%	90.5%	85.1%
Brazil	Campinorte	2000	46.3%	52.6%	39.6%
Brazil	Campinorte	2017	67.5%	72.9%	61.4%
Brazil	Campo	2000	64.7%	68.4%	60.8%
Brazil	Campo	2017	81.7%	84.0%	78.8%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2000	75.7%	79.3%	71.6%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2000	32.1%	35.3%	28.7%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2017	88.3%	90.3%	85.9%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2017	53.3%	57.4%	49.2%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Goiás	2000	47.9%	55.5%	40.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Goiás	2017	68.9%	75.3%	61.7%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Lourdes	2000	46.4%	53.6%	37.8%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Lourdes	2017	67.5%	73.6%	59.6%
Brazil	Campo Alegre do Fidalgo	2000	44.3%	55.1%	35.1%
Brazil	Campo Alegre do Fidalgo	2017	65.6%	74.7%	57.0%
Brazil	Campo Azul	2000	66.0%	73.9%	57.8%
Brazil	Campo Azul	2017	82.4%	87.3%	76.6%
Brazil	Campo Belo	2000	65.9%	71.8%	60.1%
Brazil	Campo Belo	2017	82.4%	86.1%	78.5%
Brazil	Campo Belo do Sul	2000	78.6%	84.4%	72.5%
Brazil	Campo Belo do Sul	2017	89.8%	93.1%	86.4%
Brazil	Campo Bom	2000	75.8%	77.9%	73.7%
Brazil	Campo Bom	2017	88.3%	89.6%	87.0%
Brazil	Campo Bonito	2000	65.4%	71.7%	58.6%
Brazil	Campo Bonito	2017	82.1%	85.9%	77.5%
Brazil	Campo do Brito	2000	63.3%	67.2%	59.3%
Brazil	Campo do Brito	2017	80.7%	83.3%	77.7%
Brazil	Campo do Meio	2000	64.0%	70.0%	57.8%
Brazil	Campo do Meio	2017	81.1%	85.1%	76.5%
Brazil	Campo do Tenente	2000	71.6%	76.4%	66.5%
Brazil	Campo do Tenente	2017	85.9%	88.8%	82.4%
Brazil	Campo Erê	2000	75.5%	80.4%	69.7%
Brazil	Campo Erê	2017	88.2%	90.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Campo Florido	2000	67.6%	74.6%	60.1%
Brazil	Campo Florido	2017	83.5%	87.7%	78.8%
Brazil	Campo Formoso	2000	46.4%	51.6%	42.2%
Brazil	Campo Formoso	2017	67.5%	72.0%	63.5%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2000	38.8%	43.2%	34.6%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2000	28.1%	30.0%	25.9%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2017	48.7%	51.2%	45.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campo Grande	2017	60.6%	64.9%	56.0%
Brazil	Campo Grande do Piauí	2000	44.0%	50.8%	36.4%
Brazil	Campo Grande do Piauí	2017	65.4%	72.1%	57.9%
Brazil	Campo Largo do Piauí	2000	41.5%	47.2%	35.1%
Brazil	Campo Largo do Piauí	2017	63.1%	68.5%	56.9%
Brazil	Campo Limpo Paulista	2000	89.2%	90.9%	87.5%
Brazil	Campo Limpo Paulista	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Campo Magro	2000	62.8%	65.7%	59.9%
Brazil	Campo Magro	2017	80.3%	82.3%	78.2%
Brazil	Campo Maior	2000	45.9%	53.1%	39.1%
Brazil	Campo Maior	2017	67.1%	73.2%	60.4%
Brazil	Campo Mourão	2000	63.9%	69.2%	57.3%
Brazil	Campo Mourão	2017	81.0%	84.3%	76.7%
Brazil	Campo Novo	2000	78.2%	82.6%	73.2%
Brazil	Campo Novo	2017	88.4%	91.0%	85.1%
Brazil	Campo Novo de Rondônia	2000	56.7%	64.6%	48.9%
Brazil	Campo Novo de Rondônia	2017	75.9%	81.9%	69.8%
Brazil	Campo Novo do Parecis	2000	50.0%	60.9%	40.6%
Brazil	Campo Novo do Parecis	2017	70.6%	79.0%	62.7%
Brazil	Campo Real	2000	75.1%	79.6%	70.9%
Brazil	Campo Real	2017	87.9%	90.4%	85.3%
Brazil	Campo Redondo	2000	57.9%	64.2%	51.4%
Brazil	Campo Redondo	2017	76.9%	81.0%	71.5%
Brazil	Campo Verde	2000	50.9%	58.5%	43.8%
Brazil	Campo Verde	2017	71.4%	77.3%	65.1%
Brazil	Campos	2000	82.5%	85.0%	79.7%
Brazil	Campos	2017	91.9%	93.1%	90.4%
Brazil	Campos Altos	2000	66.1%	72.3%	57.4%
Brazil	Campos Altos	2017	82.4%	86.7%	76.6%
Brazil	Campos Belos	2000	37.1%	45.2%	28.6%
Brazil	Campos Belos	2017	58.6%	66.8%	49.0%
Brazil	Campos Borges	2000	74.8%	79.6%	69.6%
Brazil	Campos Borges	2017	87.8%	90.7%	84.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campos de Júlio	2000	51.6%	64.6%	39.4%
Brazil	Campos de Júlio	2017	71.8%	81.3%	61.0%
Brazil	Campos do Jordão	2000	88.2%	89.9%	86.4%
Brazil	Campos do Jordão	2017	94.8%	95.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Campos Gerais	2000	64.9%	70.5%	59.1%
Brazil	Campos Gerais	2017	81.7%	85.4%	77.9%
Brazil	Campos Lindos	2000	24.9%	36.1%	15.8%
Brazil	Campos Lindos	2017	44.2%	57.6%	31.9%
Brazil	Campos Novos	2000	79.3%	83.5%	74.4%
Brazil	Campos Novos	2017	90.3%	92.5%	87.2%
Brazil	Campos Novos Paulista	2000	85.0%	88.5%	80.5%
Brazil	Campos Novos Paulista	2017	93.2%	94.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Campos Sales	2000	43.4%	49.0%	37.3%
Brazil	Campos Sales	2017	64.9%	69.8%	59.3%
Brazil	Campos Verdes	2000	45.5%	53.8%	37.4%
Brazil	Campos Verdes	2017	66.8%	73.7%	58.8%
Brazil	Campos Verdes de Goiás	2000	64.6%	70.1%	59.0%
Brazil	Campos Verdes de Goiás	2017	81.5%	85.2%	77.6%
Brazil	Camutanga	2000	44.5%	48.7%	40.6%
Brazil	Camutanga	2017	66.0%	69.5%	62.1%
Brazil	Canaã	2000	67.2%	72.9%	61.5%
Brazil	Canaã	2017	83.2%	86.8%	79.5%
Brazil	Canaã dos Carajás	2000	54.3%	61.2%	47.5%
Brazil	Canaã dos Carajás	2017	74.2%	79.4%	68.7%
Brazil	CanaBrava do Norte	2000	48.9%	61.1%	36.8%
Brazil	CanaBrava do Norte	2017	69.6%	79.4%	58.1%
Brazil	Cananéia	2000	83.1%	87.5%	78.0%
Brazil	Cananéia	2017	92.4%	94.7%	89.8%
Brazil	Canapi	2000	34.1%	39.5%	29.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Canapi	2017	55.6%	61.8%	49.2%
Brazil	Canápolis	2000	61.3%	67.2%	55.0%
Brazil	Canápolis	2000	47.7%	55.3%	40.5%
Brazil	Canápolis	2017	79.3%	83.4%	74.9%
Brazil	Canápolis	2017	68.7%	74.8%	62.1%
Brazil	Canarana	2000	42.3%	48.1%	36.7%
Brazil	Canarana	2000	52.9%	63.9%	42.0%
Brazil	Canarana	2017	72.9%	81.3%	64.0%
Brazil	Canarana	2017	63.9%	69.1%	58.6%
Brazil	Canas	2000	81.9%	84.9%	78.2%
Brazil	Canas	2017	91.6%	93.1%	89.8%
Brazil	Canavieira	2000	42.9%	52.9%	31.8%
Brazil	Canavieira	2017	64.3%	73.2%	52.9%
Brazil	Canavieiras	2000	47.5%	54.9%	39.1%
Brazil	Canavieiras	2017	65.8%	72.3%	57.6%
Brazil	Candeal	2000	45.7%	51.5%	40.4%
Brazil	Candeal	2017	67.0%	71.9%	62.0%
Brazil	Candeias	2000	66.5%	72.4%	60.0%
Brazil	Candeias	2000	44.9%	48.5%	41.4%
Brazil	Candeias	2017	82.7%	86.5%	78.4%
Brazil	Candeias	2017	66.3%	69.9%	63.0%
Brazil	Candeias do Jamari	2000	55.4%	60.6%	49.8%
Brazil	Candeias do Jamari	2017	75.0%	78.8%	70.4%
Brazil	Candelária	2000	73.8%	77.5%	69.6%
Brazil	Candelária	2017	87.2%	89.3%	84.7%
Brazil	Candiba	2000	45.2%	51.1%	38.9%
Brazil	Candiba	2017	66.5%	71.9%	60.9%
Brazil	Cândido de Abreu	2000	64.0%	71.3%	56.7%
Brazil	Cândido de Abreu	2017	81.1%	85.8%	75.9%
Brazil	Cândido Godói	2000	74.3%	78.7%	69.4%
Brazil	Cândido Godói	2017	87.5%	90.1%	84.8%
Brazil	Cândido Mendes	2000	37.0%	45.3%	29.3%
Brazil	Cândido Mendes	2017	58.5%	67.1%	49.7%
Brazil	Cândido Mota	2000	81.2%	84.7%	77.0%
Brazil	Cândido Mota	2017	91.2%	93.0%	89.0%
Brazil	Cândido Rodrigues	2000	86.1%	89.1%	82.6%
Brazil	Cândido Rodrigues	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Cândido Sales	2000	49.3%	54.8%	43.4%
Brazil	Cândido Sales	2017	70.1%	74.8%	65.3%
Brazil	Candiota	2000	73.6%	79.7%	65.6%
Brazil	Candiota	2017	87.0%	90.6%	82.4%
Brazil	Candói	2000	64.6%	71.2%	58.9%
Brazil	Candói	2017	81.5%	85.7%	77.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Canela	2000	76.9%	80.2%	72.4%
Brazil	Canela	2017	88.9%	90.8%	86.5%
Brazil	Canelinha	2000	78.5%	81.9%	74.7%
Brazil	Canelinha	2017	89.7%	91.5%	87.6%
Brazil	Canguaretama	2000	57.5%	63.0%	51.9%
Brazil	Canguaretama	2017	76.6%	80.4%	72.5%
Brazil	Canguçu	2000	76.7%	81.2%	71.5%
Brazil	Canguçu	2017	88.8%	91.4%	86.0%
Brazil	Canhoba	2000	53.1%	58.1%	48.2%
Brazil	Canhoba	2017	73.1%	77.0%	69.0%
Brazil	Canhotinho	2000	37.0%	40.8%	33.4%
Brazil	Canhotinho	2017	58.7%	62.9%	54.5%
Brazil	Canindé	2000	44.9%	50.1%	39.1%
Brazil	Canindé	2017	68.4%	72.7%	62.8%
Brazil	Canindé de São Francisco	2000	45.1%	50.5%	39.5%
Brazil	Canindé de São Francisco	2017	66.4%	71.0%	61.1%
Brazil	Canitar	2000	81.6%	85.2%	77.7%
Brazil	Canitar	2017	91.5%	93.3%	89.2%
Brazil	Canoas	2000	75.1%	76.8%	73.4%
Brazil	Canoas	2017	88.0%	88.9%	86.8%
Brazil	Canoinhas	2000	75.3%	80.4%	69.1%
Brazil	Canoinhas	2017	88.0%	90.8%	84.8%
Brazil	Cansanção	2000	44.7%	50.5%	38.7%
Brazil	Cansanção	2017	66.0%	71.6%	60.6%
Brazil	Cantá	2000	73.4%	76.9%	68.4%
Brazil	Cantá	2017	86.9%	89.1%	83.7%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	66.7%	73.5%	60.0%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	80.4%	84.9%	75.9%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	64.7%	72.0%	58.1%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	81.5%	86.1%	77.1%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	82.9%	87.3%	78.6%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	90.9%	93.1%	88.6%
Brazil	Cantanhede	2000	32.7%	39.1%	26.0%
Brazil	Cantanhede	2017	53.9%	60.7%	45.6%
Brazil	Canto do Buriti	2000	46.0%	55.6%	36.0%
Brazil	Canto do Buriti	2017	67.1%	75.5%	57.4%
Brazil	Canudos	2000	43.8%	51.2%	36.1%
Brazil	Canudos	2017	65.2%	72.0%	57.5%
Brazil	Canutama	2000	50.3%	60.2%	39.9%
Brazil	Canutama	2017	70.6%	78.8%	60.8%
Brazil	Capanema	2000	52.6%	57.4%	48.1%
Brazil	Capanema	2000	66.8%	72.0%	61.6%
Brazil	Capanema	2017	72.8%	76.6%	69.1%
Brazil	Capanema	2017	82.9%	86.0%	78.9%
Brazil	Capão Alto	2000	78.8%	84.4%	72.8%
Brazil	Capão Alto	2017	89.9%	92.8%	86.4%
Brazil	Capão Bonito	2000	88.2%	91.3%	84.5%
Brazil	Capão Bonito	2017	94.7%	96.2%	92.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Capão da Canoa	2000	74.7%	77.1%	72.5%
Brazil	Capão da Canoa	2017	87.7%	89.1%	86.4%
Brazil	Capão Leão	2000	76.3%	81.2%	71.3%
Brazil	Capão Leão	2017	88.6%	91.3%	85.6%
Brazil	Caparaó	2000	66.2%	71.0%	61.0%
Brazil	Caparaó	2017	82.6%	85.6%	79.5%
Brazil	Capela	2000	65.7%	69.8%	61.1%
Brazil	Capela	2000	33.2%	37.7%	29.9%
Brazil	Capela	2017	82.3%	84.9%	78.9%
Brazil	Capela	2017	54.9%	59.6%	50.9%
Brazil	Capela de Santana	2000	74.8%	79.7%	69.3%
Brazil	Capela de Santana	2017	87.8%	90.5%	84.6%
Brazil	Capela do Alto	2000	87.0%	89.5%	84.0%
Brazil	Capela do Alto	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Capela do Alto Alegre	2000	43.3%	49.6%	38.4%
Brazil	Capela do Alto Alegre	2017	64.9%	70.2%	59.9%
Brazil	Capela Nova	2000	64.0%	69.7%	58.7%
Brazil	Capela Nova	2017	81.2%	84.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Capelinha	2000	68.4%	74.1%	60.9%
Brazil	Capelinha	2017	84.0%	87.6%	79.0%
Brazil	Capetinga	2000	75.5%	80.2%	70.0%
Brazil	Capetinga	2017	87.9%	90.5%	84.6%
Brazil	Capim	2000	50.8%	55.3%	45.4%
Brazil	Capim	2017	71.1%	74.8%	67.0%
Brazil	Capim Branco	2000	67.7%	71.1%	63.1%
Brazil	Capim Branco	2017	83.6%	85.7%	80.9%
Brazil	Capim Grosso	2000	45.0%	50.3%	40.2%
Brazil	Capim Grosso	2017	66.4%	71.0%	61.9%
Brazil	Capinópolis	2000	60.6%	67.5%	54.4%
Brazil	Capinópolis	2017	78.8%	83.2%	74.1%
Brazil	Capinzal	2000	79.3%	83.2%	74.7%
Brazil	Capinzal	2017	90.2%	92.3%	87.6%
Brazil	Capinzal do Norte	2000	31.6%	38.3%	25.6%
Brazil	Capinzal do Norte	2017	52.7%	60.0%	45.3%
Brazil	Capistrano	2000	46.9%	52.4%	42.7%
Brazil	Capistrano	2017	67.9%	72.7%	63.7%
Brazil	Capitão	2000	76.0%	79.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Capitão	2017	88.4%	90.2%	86.3%
Brazil	Capitão Andrade	2000	63.7%	71.2%	56.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Capitão Andrade	2017	80.9%	85.8%	75.7%
Brazil	Capitão de Campos	2000	46.2%	53.2%	39.7%
Brazil	Capitão de Campos	2017	67.5%	73.5%	61.3%
Brazil	Capitão Enéas	2000	63.7%	69.3%	57.4%
Brazil	Capitão Enéas	2017	80.9%	84.8%	76.8%
Brazil	Capitão Gervásio Oliveira	2000	43.8%	54.9%	35.1%
Brazil	Capitão Gervásio Oliveira	2017	65.2%	74.6%	56.4%
Brazil	Capitão Leônidas Marques	2000	63.6%	69.5%	57.6%
Brazil	Capitão Leônidas Marques	2017	80.9%	84.9%	76.8%
Brazil	Capitão Poço	2000	54.7%	59.3%	49.3%
Brazil	Capitão Poço	2017	74.5%	78.1%	70.2%
Brazil	Capitólio	2000	65.1%	71.9%	58.1%
Brazil	Capitólio	2017	81.8%	86.2%	76.9%
Brazil	Capivari	2000	87.0%	89.1%	84.5%
Brazil	Capivari	2017	94.2%	95.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Capivari de Baixo	2000	79.4%	83.5%	74.8%
Brazil	Capivari de Baixo	2017	90.7%	92.8%	88.1%
Brazil	Capivari do Sul	2000	74.6%	78.5%	70.4%
Brazil	Capivari do Sul	2017	87.6%	89.9%	85.1%
Brazil	Capixaba	2000	45.4%	53.9%	36.4%
Brazil	Capixaba	2017	66.6%	74.1%	58.1%
Brazil	Capoeiras	2000	40.0%	44.2%	35.7%
Brazil	Capoeiras	2017	61.6%	65.6%	57.2%
Brazil	Caputira	2000	68.0%	73.2%	62.7%
Brazil	Caputira	2017	83.6%	86.9%	80.1%
Brazil	Caraá	2000	75.8%	79.6%	70.9%
Brazil	Caraá	2017	88.4%	90.5%	85.6%
Brazil	Caracaráí	2000	72.3%	80.2%	64.4%
Brazil	Caracaráí	2017	86.2%	90.6%	81.3%
Brazil	Caracol	2000	29.6%	38.9%	20.2%
Brazil	Caracol	2000	48.5%	57.8%	40.2%
Brazil	Caracol	2017	69.4%	77.0%	62.2%
Brazil	Caracol	2017	50.1%	60.8%	38.3%
Brazil	Caragatatuba	2000	86.9%	90.3%	83.1%
Brazil	Caragatatuba	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Caraí	2000	69.6%	75.9%	64.0%
Brazil	Caraí	2017	84.7%	88.2%	81.3%
Brazil	Caraíbas	2000	42.4%	49.6%	37.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caraúbas	2017	63.7%	70.3%	58.3%
Brazil	Carambeí	2000	67.3%	72.4%	62.6%
Brazil	Carambeí	2017	83.3%	86.5%	79.9%
Brazil	Caranaíba	2000	65.2%	70.1%	60.1%
Brazil	Caranaíba	2017	81.9%	85.0%	78.5%
Brazil	Carandaí	2000	66.8%	71.7%	61.9%
Brazil	Carandaí	2017	82.9%	85.9%	79.5%
Brazil	Carangola	2000	65.1%	70.2%	60.3%
Brazil	Carangola	2017	81.8%	84.8%	78.6%
Brazil	Carapicuíba	2000	86.7%	87.9%	85.6%
Brazil	Carapicuíba	2017	94.1%	94.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Caratinga	2000	68.7%	73.1%	64.5%
Brazil	Caratinga	2017	84.1%	86.9%	81.8%
Brazil	Carauari	2000	53.0%	66.6%	40.1%
Brazil	Carauari	2017	72.8%	82.9%	61.2%
Brazil	Caraúbas	2000	42.1%	48.1%	35.9%
Brazil	Caraúbas	2000	61.2%	67.2%	54.3%
Brazil	Caraúbas	2017	63.7%	69.2%	57.4%
Brazil	Caraúbas	2017	79.2%	83.2%	74.3%
Brazil	Caraúbas do Piauí	2000	44.1%	51.0%	36.1%
Brazil	Caraúbas do Piauí	2017	65.5%	71.4%	57.9%
Brazil	Caravahópolis	2000	62.8%	68.9%	56.5%
Brazil	Caravahópolis	2017	80.3%	84.3%	75.9%
Brazil	Caravelas	2000	45.8%	51.7%	39.5%
Brazil	Caravelas	2017	66.9%	72.4%	60.1%
Brazil	Carazinho	2000	74.0%	78.1%	69.7%
Brazil	Carazinho	2017	87.3%	89.7%	84.6%
Brazil	Carbonita	2000	64.0%	73.0%	55.4%
Brazil	Carbonita	2017	81.1%	86.8%	75.0%
Brazil	Cardeal da Silva	2000	45.6%	51.8%	39.5%
Brazil	Cardeal da Silva	2017	66.9%	72.5%	60.7%
Brazil	Cardoso	2000	81.2%	86.5%	75.1%
Brazil	Cardoso	2017	91.2%	93.9%	87.8%
Brazil	Cardoso Moreira	2000	80.8%	84.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Cardoso Moreira	2017	91.0%	92.9%	88.9%
Brazil	Careçu	2000	62.9%	68.5%	56.5%
Brazil	Careçu	2017	80.4%	84.2%	76.0%
Brazil	Careiro	2000	48.1%	54.0%	42.2%
Brazil	Careiro	2017	69.0%	73.9%	63.9%
Brazil	Careiro da Várzea	2000	46.5%	51.4%	41.4%
Brazil	Careiro da Várzea	2017	67.7%	71.7%	62.8%
Brazil	Carepebus	2000	83.8%	87.2%	79.8%
Brazil	Carepebus	2017	92.6%	94.2%	90.6%
Brazil	Cariacica	2000	54.0%	56.3%	51.5%
Brazil	Cariacica	2017	74.4%	76.4%	72.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caridade	2000	44.2%	49.3%	39.3%
Brazil	Caridade	2017	65.6%	70.2%	60.8%
Brazil	Caridade do Piauí	2000	41.8%	50.8%	33.8%
Brazil	Caridade do Piauí	2017	63.4%	71.0%	55.2%
Brazil	Carinhanha	2000	48.0%	54.4%	41.2%
Brazil	Carinhanha	2017	68.9%	74.2%	62.7%
Brazil	Carira	2000	60.3%	65.9%	54.5%
Brazil	Carira	2017	78.6%	82.4%	74.4%
Brazil	Cariré	2000	41.6%	45.9%	37.1%
Brazil	Cariré	2017	63.2%	67.4%	58.6%
Brazil	Cariri do Tocantins	2000	18.6%	23.8%	14.9%
Brazil	Cariri do Tocantins	2017	35.6%	43.3%	29.6%
Brazil	Caririaçu	2000	43.7%	48.7%	39.1%
Brazil	Caririaçu	2017	65.2%	69.8%	61.0%
Brazil	Cariús	2000	41.9%	46.5%	36.7%
Brazil	Cariús	2017	63.5%	68.1%	58.2%
Brazil	Carlinda	2000	49.2%	58.0%	40.4%
Brazil	Carlinda	2017	70.0%	76.9%	62.1%
Brazil	Carlópolis	2000	73.6%	78.5%	67.8%
Brazil	Carlópolis	2017	87.0%	89.7%	83.7%
Brazil	Carlos Barbosa	2000	75.7%	79.0%	72.4%
Brazil	Carlos Barbosa	2017	88.3%	90.2%	86.3%
Brazil	Carlos Chagas	2000	59.9%	66.0%	52.4%
Brazil	Carlos Chagas	2017	78.2%	82.7%	72.5%
Brazil	Carlos Gomes	2000	75.3%	80.0%	69.5%
Brazil	Carlos Gomes	2017	88.0%	90.5%	84.6%
Brazil	Carmésia	2000	66.8%	73.5%	59.7%
Brazil	Carmésia	2017	82.9%	87.2%	78.3%
Brazil	Carmo	2000	76.3%	80.9%	71.5%
Brazil	Carmo	2017	88.6%	91.2%	85.9%
Brazil	Carmo da Cachoeira	2000	65.4%	71.0%	59.6%
Brazil	Carmo da Cachoeira	2017	82.0%	85.5%	77.8%
Brazil	Carmo da Mata	2000	66.3%	71.4%	59.7%
Brazil	Carmo da Mata	2017	82.6%	86.2%	78.2%
Brazil	Carmo de Minas	2000	67.4%	71.8%	62.0%
Brazil	Carmo de Minas	2017	83.4%	86.0%	79.8%
Brazil	Carmo do Cajuru	2000	64.9%	68.9%	60.0%
Brazil	Carmo do Cajuru	2017	81.7%	84.2%	78.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Carmo do Paranaíba	2000	68.6%	75.3%	61.7%
Brazil	Carmo do Paranaíba	2017	84.0%	88.1%	79.6%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Claro	2000	65.6%	70.8%	60.0%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Claro	2017	81.9%	85.3%	77.8%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Verde	2000	43.8%	49.3%	37.9%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Verde	2017	65.3%	70.3%	59.6%
Brazil	Carmolândia	2000	20.5%	24.8%	16.2%
Brazil	Carmolândia	2017	38.4%	44.8%	32.1%
Brazil	Carmópolis	2000	60.7%	65.2%	55.8%
Brazil	Carmópolis	2017	78.9%	82.0%	75.3%
Brazil	Carmópolis de Minas	2000	67.6%	72.8%	61.7%
Brazil	Carmópolis de Minas	2017	83.4%	86.6%	79.5%
Brazil	Carnaíba	2000	39.8%	44.6%	35.0%
Brazil	Carnaíba	2017	61.5%	66.3%	56.4%
Brazil	Carnaúba dos Dantas	2000	59.1%	65.2%	52.3%
Brazil	Carnaúba dos Dantas	2017	77.7%	81.9%	72.8%
Brazil	Carnaubais	2000	64.4%	70.9%	58.5%
Brazil	Carnaubais	2017	81.3%	85.6%	77.2%
Brazil	Carnaubal	2000	44.3%	49.5%	40.0%
Brazil	Carnaubal	2017	65.9%	70.6%	61.5%
Brazil	Carnaubeira da Penha	2000	40.7%	47.6%	34.8%
Brazil	Carnaubeira da Penha	2017	62.3%	68.8%	56.4%
Brazil	Carneirinho	2000	58.1%	66.0%	50.3%
Brazil	Carneirinho	2017	76.8%	82.3%	70.6%
Brazil	Carneiros	2000	37.1%	42.5%	32.4%
Brazil	Carneiros	2017	58.7%	64.1%	53.5%
Brazil	Caroebe	2000	71.7%	80.6%	60.8%
Brazil	Caroebe	2017	85.8%	91.0%	79.0%
Brazil	Carolina	2000	22.4%	28.7%	16.2%
Brazil	Carolina	2017	41.0%	49.4%	32.2%
Brazil	Carpina	2000	44.4%	47.3%	41.0%
Brazil	Carpina	2017	66.1%	69.2%	63.0%
Brazil	Carrancas	2000	67.8%	74.0%	60.9%
Brazil	Carrancas	2017	83.5%	87.5%	79.1%
Brazil	Carrapateira	2000	49.0%	54.9%	41.6%
Brazil	Carrapateira	2017	69.8%	74.9%	63.0%
Brazil	Carrasco	2000	27.2%	32.7%	22.3%
Brazil	Bonito Carrasco	2017	47.4%	54.0%	41.0%
Brazil	Caruaru	2000	37.6%	40.4%	35.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caruaru	2017	59.4%	62.2%	56.5%
Brazil	Carutapera	2000	44.0%	52.5%	36.6%
Brazil	Carutapera	2017	65.4%	73.1%	58.4%
Brazil	Carvalhos	2000	75.2%	81.1%	69.9%
Brazil	Carvalhos	2017	88.0%	91.4%	84.7%
Brazil	Casa Branca	2000	85.6%	88.2%	82.2%
Brazil	Casa Branca	2017	93.5%	94.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Casa Grande	2000	64.7%	69.4%	59.4%
Brazil	Casa Grande	2017	81.6%	84.7%	77.9%
Brazil	Casa Nova	2000	42.2%	48.5%	36.6%
Brazil	Casa Nova	2017	63.7%	69.1%	57.8%
Brazil	Casca	2000	75.3%	79.2%	70.4%
Brazil	Casca	2017	88.0%	90.3%	85.5%
Brazil	Cascalho Rico	2000	56.9%	63.3%	50.2%
Brazil	Cascalho Rico	2017	76.1%	80.7%	70.8%
Brazil	Cascavel	2000	67.3%	71.6%	63.8%
Brazil	Cascavel	2000	44.0%	49.0%	39.2%
Brazil	Cascavel	2017	83.4%	86.1%	81.0%
Brazil	Cascavel	2017	65.4%	70.2%	60.9%
Brazil	Caseara	2000	35.5%	44.6%	27.8%
Brazil	Caseara	2017	56.9%	66.4%	48.1%
Brazil	Caseiros	2000	76.8%	81.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Caseiros	2017	88.9%	91.5%	85.7%
Brazil	Casimiro de Abreu	2000	84.5%	87.2%	81.7%
Brazil	Casimiro de Abreu	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Casinhas	2000	37.9%	42.1%	33.7%
Brazil	Casinhas	2017	59.6%	63.5%	55.4%
Brazil	Casserengue	2000	50.0%	55.7%	44.7%
Brazil	Casserengue	2017	70.8%	75.2%	65.9%
Brazil	Cássia	2000	70.7%	75.9%	64.2%
Brazil	Cássia	2017	85.3%	88.5%	81.1%
Brazil	Cássia dos Coqueiros	2000	81.5%	85.8%	77.6%
Brazil	Cássia dos Coqueiros	2017	91.4%	93.5%	89.3%
Brazil	Cassilândia	2000	35.5%	42.9%	28.5%
Brazil	Cassilândia	2017	56.9%	64.6%	49.1%
Brazil	Cassiterita	2000	63.1%	69.5%	56.1%
Brazil	Cassiterita	2017	80.5%	84.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Castanhal	2000	53.7%	57.1%	50.0%
Brazil	Castanhal	2017	73.7%	76.3%	70.5%
Brazil	Castanheira	2000	50.6%	60.8%	40.4%
Brazil	Castanheira	2017	71.1%	78.9%	62.5%
Brazil	Castanheiras	2000	53.4%	59.9%	47.2%
Brazil	Castanheiras	2017	73.5%	78.1%	68.4%
Brazil	Castelândia	2000	45.2%	52.2%	38.3%
Brazil	Castelândia	2017	66.6%	72.6%	59.9%
Brazil	Castelo	2000	52.5%	57.3%	47.2%
Brazil	Castelo	2017	72.8%	76.5%	68.1%
Brazil	Castelo do Piauí	2000	44.3%	54.4%	35.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Castelo do Piauí	2017	65.7%	74.3%	57.1%
Brazil	Castilho	2000	59.8%	66.1%	54.4%
Brazil	Castilho	2017	78.1%	82.3%	74.2%
Brazil	Castro	2000	67.3%	72.7%	62.0%
Brazil	Castro	2017	83.3%	86.5%	79.8%
Brazil	Castro Alves	2000	47.1%	52.4%	42.6%
Brazil	Castro Alves	2017	68.2%	72.7%	64.1%
Brazil	Cataguases	2000	68.6%	73.5%	64.3%
Brazil	Cataguases	2017	84.1%	87.0%	81.3%
Brazil	Catalão	2000	48.3%	53.5%	42.9%
Brazil	Catalão	2017	69.3%	73.7%	64.6%
Brazil	Catanduva	2000	88.1%	90.8%	84.9%
Brazil	Catanduva	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Catandubas	2000	67.0%	72.6%	60.3%
Brazil	Catandubas	2000	79.9%	83.7%	74.8%
Brazil	Catandubas	2017	82.1%	85.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Catandubas	2017	90.6%	92.7%	87.9%
Brazil	Catarina	2000	44.4%	50.5%	37.8%
Brazil	Catarina	2017	65.8%	71.2%	59.4%
Brazil	Catas Altas	2000	65.9%	71.2%	60.0%
Brazil	Catas Altas	2017	82.4%	85.7%	78.2%
Brazil	Catas Altas da Noruega	2000	64.8%	69.4%	59.3%
Brazil	Catas Altas da Noruega	2017	81.6%	84.6%	77.9%
Brazil	Catende	2000	38.1%	41.9%	34.7%
Brazil	Catende	2017	59.9%	63.9%	56.3%
Brazil	Catigua	2000	85.8%	88.9%	82.1%
Brazil	Catigua	2017	93.6%	95.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Catingueira	2000	43.8%	50.0%	37.2%
Brazil	Catingueira	2017	65.3%	70.9%	58.7%
Brazil	Catolândia	2000	45.3%	52.0%	38.1%
Brazil	Catolândia	2017	66.2%	72.2%	59.4%
Brazil	Catolé do Rocha	2000	55.6%	61.8%	49.8%
Brazil	Catolé do Rocha	2017	75.2%	79.8%	70.5%
Brazil	Catu	2000	46.4%	50.5%	42.2%
Brazil	Catu	2017	67.6%	71.1%	63.6%
Brazil	Catuípe	2000	75.3%	80.1%	70.5%
Brazil	Catuípe	2017	88.1%	90.7%	85.4%
Brazil	Catuji	2000	67.1%	73.8%	61.0%
Brazil	Catuji	2017	83.1%	87.1%	79.0%
Brazil	Catunda	2000	44.1%	50.2%	38.3%
Brazil	Catunda	2017	65.5%	71.0%	60.1%
Brazil	Caturai	2000	43.4%	48.1%	39.6%
Brazil	Caturai	2017	65.0%	69.1%	61.3%
Brazil	Caturama	2000	43.0%	50.2%	37.1%
Brazil	Caturama	2017	64.5%	71.0%	58.5%
Brazil	Caturité	2000	44.5%	50.1%	38.1%
Brazil	Caturité	2017	66.0%	71.0%	59.9%
Brazil	Catuti	2000	63.0%	69.8%	55.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Catuti	2017	80.4%	85.0%	74.8%
Brazil	Caucaia	2000	41.2%	43.5%	38.9%
Brazil	Caucaia	2017	62.9%	65.3%	60.3%
Brazil	Cavalcante	2000	42.2%	49.4%	34.9%
Brazil	Cavalcante	2017	63.5%	70.0%	55.9%
Brazil	Caxambu	2000	66.6%	71.5%	60.1%
Brazil	Caxambu	2017	82.8%	86.0%	78.1%
Brazil	Caxambu do Sul	2000	76.8%	81.2%	72.7%
Brazil	Caxambu do Sul	2017	88.9%	91.3%	86.3%
Brazil	Caxias	2000	35.2%	42.5%	29.2%
Brazil	Caxias	2017	56.7%	64.2%	49.8%
Brazil	Caxias do Sul	2000	77.0%	79.0%	74.6%
Brazil	Caxias do Sul	2017	89.0%	90.1%	87.6%
Brazil	Caxingó	2000	43.5%	50.0%	35.7%
Brazil	Caxingó	2017	65.0%	70.6%	57.9%
Brazil	Ceará-Mirim	2000	61.0%	65.9%	55.7%
Brazil	Ceará-Mirim	2017	79.1%	82.3%	75.3%
Brazil	Cedral	2000	85.7%	88.9%	82.7%
Brazil	Cedral	2000	31.1%	40.5%	22.2%
Brazil	Cedral	2017	93.5%	95.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Cedral	2017	52.0%	62.1%	40.5%
Brazil	Cedro	2000	45.3%	51.3%	38.5%
Brazil	Cedro	2000	42.9%	48.1%	37.8%
Brazil	Cedro	2017	66.7%	71.8%	60.6%
Brazil	Cedro	2017	64.4%	69.4%	59.3%
Brazil	Cedro de São João	2000	55.6%	60.4%	51.2%
Brazil	Cedro de São João	2017	75.2%	78.7%	71.6%
Brazil	Cedro do Abaeté	2000	65.0%	72.4%	57.2%
Brazil	Cedro do Abaeté	2017	81.8%	86.5%	76.3%
Brazil	Celso Ramos	2000	77.6%	83.2%	71.0%
Brazil	Celso Ramos	2017	89.4%	92.2%	85.5%
Brazil	Centenário	2000	75.0%	79.3%	69.5%
Brazil	Centenário	2000	21.3%	30.7%	13.8%
Brazil	Centenário	2017	87.9%	90.5%	84.6%
Brazil	Centenário	2017	39.3%	51.7%	28.3%
Brazil	Centenário do Sul	2000	69.1%	74.6%	63.2%
Brazil	Centenário do Sul	2017	84.4%	87.6%	80.4%
Brazil	Central	2000	46.7%	53.4%	41.0%
Brazil	Central	2017	67.9%	73.8%	62.6%
Brazil	Central de Minas	2000	64.0%	70.4%	57.4%
Brazil	Central de Minas	2017	81.1%	85.2%	76.2%
Brazil	Central do Maranhão	2000	32.4%	39.5%	25.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Central do Maranhão	2017	53.5%	61.1%	45.7%
Brazil	Centralina	2000	61.5%	67.4%	55.0%
Brazil	Centralina	2017	79.4%	83.3%	75.2%
Brazil	Centro do Guilherme	2000	40.2%	48.2%	31.7%
Brazil	Centro do Guilherme	2017	61.6%	69.4%	52.6%
Brazil	Centro Novo do Maranhão	2000	43.7%	50.8%	37.8%
Brazil	Centro Novo do Maranhão	2017	65.2%	71.4%	59.2%
Brazil	Cerejeiras	2000	55.5%	64.4%	47.0%
Brazil	Cerejeiras	2017	75.0%	81.4%	68.1%
Brazil	Ceres	2000	42.9%	48.3%	37.0%
Brazil	Ceres	2017	64.4%	69.3%	58.9%
Brazil	Cerqueira César	2000	87.3%	90.5%	83.3%
Brazil	Cerqueira César	2017	94.3%	95.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Cerquillo	2000	84.3%	87.5%	80.9%
Brazil	Cerquillo	2017	92.9%	94.4%	91.1%
Brazil	Cerrito	2000	74.0%	78.7%	67.7%
Brazil	Cerrito	2017	87.3%	90.2%	83.6%
Brazil	Cêro Azul	2000	63.5%	70.6%	55.8%
Brazil	Cêro Azul	2017	81.2%	85.8%	75.5%
Brazil	Cerro Branco	2000	74.4%	78.8%	69.9%
Brazil	Cerro Branco	2017	87.5%	89.9%	84.9%
Brazil	Cerro Corá	2000	64.7%	70.7%	58.6%
Brazil	Cerro Corá	2017	81.6%	85.4%	77.2%
Brazil	Cerro Grande	2000	74.8%	79.0%	70.3%
Brazil	Cerro Grande	2017	87.8%	90.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Cerro Grande do Sul	2000	75.0%	79.6%	70.3%
Brazil	Cerro Grande do Sul	2017	87.9%	90.4%	85.2%
Brazil	Cerro Largo	2000	74.3%	78.7%	69.8%
Brazil	Cerro Largo	2017	87.5%	90.1%	84.9%
Brazil	Cerro Negro	2000	78.4%	84.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Cerro Negro	2017	89.7%	92.8%	85.9%
Brazil	Cesário Lange	2000	85.5%	88.8%	82.1%
Brazil	Cesário Lange	2017	93.6%	95.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Céu Azul	2000	64.9%	70.2%	59.9%
Brazil	Céu Azul	2017	81.7%	85.1%	78.4%
Brazil	Cezarina	2000	41.5%	47.8%	35.3%
Brazil	Cezarina	2017	63.1%	69.1%	57.0%
Brazil	Chã de Alegria	2000	37.6%	41.0%	34.3%
Brazil	Chã de Alegria	2017	59.3%	62.8%	55.3%
Brazil	Chã Grande	2000	42.3%	46.6%	38.6%
Brazil	Chã Grande	2017	64.0%	68.0%	60.0%
Brazil	Chã Preta	2000	33.7%	38.7%	28.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Chã Preta	2017	55.1%	60.4%	49.7%
Brazil	Chácara	2000	67.5%	71.2%	63.2%
Brazil	Chácara	2017	83.4%	85.6%	80.8%
Brazil	Chale	2000	61.0%	66.8%	54.3%
Brazil	Chale	2017	79.1%	83.2%	74.5%
Brazil	Chapada	2000	74.2%	79.8%	69.0%
Brazil	Chapada	2017	87.4%	90.5%	84.3%
Brazil	Chapada da Natividade	2000	18.5%	26.0%	12.3%
Brazil	Chapada da Natividade	2017	35.2%	45.9%	25.5%
Brazil	Chapada de Areia	2000	18.2%	25.1%	13.4%
Brazil	Chapada de Areia	2017	34.9%	44.7%	27.5%
Brazil	Chapada do Norte	2000	65.3%	71.5%	57.9%
Brazil	Chapada do Norte	2017	82.0%	86.0%	77.2%
Brazil	Chapada dos Guimarães	2000	49.1%	54.5%	42.4%
Brazil	Chapada dos Guimarães	2017	69.9%	74.7%	64.1%
Brazil	Chapada Gaúcha	2000	64.5%	74.8%	52.9%
Brazil	Chapada Gaúcha	2017	81.4%	87.8%	73.1%
Brazil	Chapadão do Céu	2000	33.6%	39.5%	27.2%
Brazil	Chapadão do Céu	2017	54.9%	61.3%	47.1%
Brazil	Chapadão do Lageado	2000	78.8%	84.1%	73.0%
Brazil	Chapadão do Lageado	2017	90.0%	92.9%	86.7%
Brazil	Chapadão do Sul	2000	32.5%	41.4%	23.6%
Brazil	Chapadão do Sul	2017	54.7%	64.3%	43.9%
Brazil	Chapadinha	2000	35.5%	44.3%	27.6%
Brazil	Chapadinha	2017	56.9%	66.1%	47.6%
Brazil	Chapecó	2000	80.1%	83.3%	76.9%
Brazil	Chapecó	2017	90.7%	92.4%	88.8%
Brazil	Charqueada	2000	88.9%	90.9%	86.2%
Brazil	Charqueada	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Charqueadas	2000	77.5%	81.4%	73.9%
Brazil	Charqueadas	2017	89.3%	91.3%	87.2%
Brazil	Charrua	2000	74.6%	79.0%	70.2%
Brazil	Charrua	2017	87.6%	90.1%	85.1%
Brazil	Chaval	2000	42.1%	47.9%	36.2%
Brazil	Chaval	2017	63.7%	69.1%	57.4%
Brazil	Chavantes	2000	82.8%	86.1%	78.8%
Brazil	Chavantes	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Chaves	2000	52.0%	59.8%	43.8%
Brazil	Chaves	2017	72.2%	78.3%	64.9%
Brazil	Chaveslandia	2000	54.9%	61.8%	47.7%
Brazil	Chaveslandia	2017	74.4%	79.7%	68.3%
Brazil	Chiador	2000	71.5%	75.4%	66.9%
Brazil	Chiador	2017	85.7%	88.0%	83.1%
Brazil	Chiapeta	2000	74.9%	79.6%	69.9%
Brazil	Chiapeta	2017	87.8%	90.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Chopinzinho	2000	63.8%	69.0%	58.2%
Brazil	Chopinzinho	2017	81.0%	84.3%	77.3%
Brazil	Choró	2000	44.8%	50.5%	39.0%
Brazil	Choró	2017	66.2%	71.2%	61.0%
Brazil	Chorozinho	2000	42.5%	47.7%	37.7%
Brazil	Chorozinho	2017	64.2%	68.9%	59.3%
Brazil	Chorrochó	2000	41.0%	47.9%	33.4%
Brazil	Chorrochó	2017	62.5%	68.8%	54.7%
Brazil	Chuí	2000	70.2%	80.1%	57.7%
Brazil	Chuí	2017	85.0%	91.0%	76.8%
Brazil	Chupinguaia	2000	55.7%	63.9%	47.2%
Brazil	Chupinguaia	2017	75.2%	81.1%	68.1%
Brazil	Chuvisca	2000	75.2%	81.0%	69.5%
Brazil	Chuvisca	2017	88.0%	91.2%	84.6%
Brazil	Cianorte	2000	65.7%	70.9%	60.5%
Brazil	Cianorte	2017	82.2%	85.5%	78.5%
Brazil	Cícero Dantas	2000	47.4%	52.3%	41.7%
Brazil	Cícero Dantas	2017	68.5%	73.0%	63.5%
Brazil	Cidade Gaúcha	2000	69.5%	74.9%	62.4%
Brazil	Cidade Gaúcha	2017	84.6%	87.8%	79.9%
Brazil	Cidade Ocidental	2000	59.1%	62.1%	56.2%
Brazil	Cidade Ocidental	2017	77.8%	80.0%	75.7%
Brazil	Cidelândia	2000	33.2%	38.7%	27.4%
Brazil	Cidelândia	2017	54.3%	60.3%	47.5%
Brazil	Cidreira	2000	73.5%	78.7%	67.4%
Brazil	Cidreira	2017	87.0%	89.7%	83.7%
Brazil	Cipó	2000	47.9%	53.5%	41.6%
Brazil	Cipó	2017	69.0%	73.9%	63.0%
Brazil	Cipotânea	2000	63.2%	68.8%	57.9%
Brazil	Cipotânea	2017	80.6%	84.3%	76.9%
Brazil	Ciríaco	2000	76.1%	80.6%	70.8%
Brazil	Ciríaco	2017	88.5%	91.0%	85.5%
Brazil	Claraval	2000	77.2%	82.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Claraval	2017	89.1%	91.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Claro dos Poções	2000	64.4%	72.0%	57.0%
Brazil	Claro dos Poções	2017	81.4%	86.1%	76.1%
Brazil	Cláudia	2000	48.1%	57.5%	38.7%
Brazil	Cláudia	2017	69.0%	77.2%	60.8%
Brazil	Cláudio	2000	66.4%	71.6%	60.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cláudio	2017	82.7%	86.1%	78.8%
Brazil	Clementina	2000	88.2%	91.6%	84.2%
Brazil	Clementina	2017	94.8%	96.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Clevelândia	2000	71.3%	76.9%	66.0%
Brazil	Clevelândia	2017	85.7%	89.1%	82.5%
Brazil	Coaraci	2000	50.0%	55.0%	44.7%
Brazil	Coaraci	2017	70.7%	74.8%	66.1%
Brazil	Coari	2000	55.5%	66.5%	43.5%
Brazil	Coari	2017	74.8%	82.9%	65.1%
Brazil	Cocal	2000	44.4%	50.5%	37.2%
Brazil	Cocal	2017	65.8%	71.4%	58.9%
Brazil	Cocal de Telha	2000	44.2%	51.3%	37.3%
Brazil	Cocal de Telha	2017	65.6%	71.7%	58.9%
Brazil	Cocal do Sul	2000	79.2%	82.6%	75.5%
Brazil	Cocal do Sul	2017	90.2%	92.0%	88.0%
Brazil	Cocal dos Alves	2000	42.8%	49.4%	36.1%
Brazil	Cocal dos Alves	2017	64.3%	70.9%	57.7%
Brazil	Cocalinho	2000	45.5%	54.1%	37.3%
Brazil	Cocalinho	2017	66.6%	73.7%	58.8%
Brazil	Cocalzinho de Goiás	2000	48.0%	52.8%	43.3%
Brazil	Cocalzinho de Goiás	2017	69.1%	73.3%	64.6%
Brazil	Cocos	2000	52.2%	61.0%	43.6%
Brazil	Cocos	2017	74.0%	80.2%	67.3%
Brazil	Codajás	2000	53.0%	63.1%	43.7%
Brazil	Codajás	2017	72.9%	80.5%	65.2%
Brazil	Codó	2000	33.0%	39.7%	26.2%
Brazil	Codó	2017	54.3%	61.4%	46.2%
Brazil	Coelho Neto	2000	42.3%	49.3%	35.5%
Brazil	Coelho Neto	2017	63.8%	70.0%	57.4%
Brazil	Coimbra	2000	66.6%	71.6%	62.0%
Brazil	Coimbra	2017	82.8%	85.8%	80.0%
Brazil	Coité do Nória	2000	31.8%	36.0%	28.2%
Brazil	Coité do Nória	2017	53.0%	57.4%	49.1%
Brazil	Coivaras	2000	45.7%	53.2%	38.5%
Brazil	Coivaras	2017	67.0%	73.3%	60.4%
Brazil	Colares	2000	51.6%	57.0%	45.9%
Brazil	Colares	2017	72.0%	75.9%	67.0%
Brazil	Colatina	2000	57.5%	61.8%	52.7%
Brazil	Colatina	2017	76.6%	79.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Colíder	2000	52.4%	61.4%	44.5%
Brazil	Colíder	2017	72.6%	79.3%	65.9%
Brazil	Colina	2000	84.2%	87.8%	80.2%
Brazil	Colina	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.8%
Brazil	Colinas	2000	74.1%	77.4%	70.2%
Brazil	Colinas	2000	33.7%	42.1%	26.6%
Brazil	Colinas	2017	87.4%	89.2%	84.9%
Brazil	Colinas	2017	55.0%	63.3%	46.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Colinas do Sul	2000	41.4%	50.2%	32.7%
Brazil	Colinas do Sul	2017	62.9%	70.9%	53.8%
Brazil	Colinas do Tocantins	2000	20.6%	27.4%	16.1%
Brazil	Colinas do Tocantins	2017	38.6%	48.2%	31.9%
Brazil	Colméia	2000	20.7%	26.8%	15.2%
Brazil	Colméia	2017	38.5%	47.6%	30.3%
Brazil	Colômbia	2000	77.2%	82.1%	70.8%
Brazil	Colômbia	2017	89.0%	91.8%	85.2%
Brazil	Colombo	2000	63.8%	66.4%	61.0%
Brazil	Colombo	2017	81.0%	82.7%	79.0%
Brazil	Colônia do Gurguéia	2000	44.8%	56.3%	33.7%
Brazil	Colônia do Gurguéia	2017	66.1%	75.9%	54.7%
Brazil	Colônia do Piauí	2000	44.4%	53.1%	35.3%
Brazil	Colônia do Piauí	2017	65.7%	73.7%	57.1%
Brazil	Colônia Leopoldina	2000	36.0%	40.4%	31.6%
Brazil	Colônia Leopoldina	2017	57.6%	62.3%	52.7%
Brazil	Colorado	2000	73.8%	78.3%	68.8%
Brazil	Colorado	2000	72.6%	77.9%	67.3%
Brazil	Colorado	2017	87.2%	89.9%	84.2%
Brazil	Colorado	2017	86.5%	89.5%	83.1%
Brazil	Colorado do Oeste	2000	54.9%	63.7%	46.5%
Brazil	Colorado do Oeste	2017	74.5%	80.9%	67.3%
Brazil	Coluna	2000	66.3%	71.9%	60.6%
Brazil	Coluna	2017	82.6%	86.2%	78.7%
Brazil	Combinado	2000	31.5%	40.2%	24.8%
Brazil	Combinado	2017	52.5%	62.0%	44.0%
Brazil	Comendador Gomes	2000	69.7%	76.6%	61.9%
Brazil	Comendador Gomes	2017	84.7%	88.8%	79.7%
Brazil	Comendador Levy Gasparian	2000	72.7%	76.8%	67.9%
Brazil	Comendador Levy Gasparian	2017	86.6%	88.9%	83.7%
Brazil	Comercinho	2000	67.5%	74.3%	59.6%
Brazil	Comercinho	2017	83.4%	87.6%	77.9%
Brazil	Comodoro	2000	53.1%	63.0%	43.4%
Brazil	Comodoro	2017	73.1%	80.0%	65.3%
Brazil	Conceição	2000	46.0%	51.6%	41.3%
Brazil	Conceição	2017	67.3%	72.1%	62.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Conceição da Aparecida	2000	65.8%	70.7%	60.1%
Brazil	Conceição da Aparecida	2017	82.3%	85.5%	78.3%
Brazil	Conceição da Barra	2000	57.0%	62.9%	49.9%
Brazil	Conceição da Barra	2017	76.1%	80.5%	70.4%
Brazil	Conceição da Feira	2000	44.4%	48.6%	40.6%
Brazil	Conceição da Feira	2017	66.2%	69.7%	62.6%
Brazil	Conceição das Alagoas	2000	70.3%	75.7%	64.2%
Brazil	Conceição das Alagoas	2017	85.2%	88.5%	81.2%
Brazil	Conceição das Pedras	2000	69.5%	74.7%	63.3%
Brazil	Conceição das Pedras	2017	84.7%	87.9%	80.7%
Brazil	Conceição de Ipanema	2000	62.3%	67.7%	55.3%
Brazil	Conceição de Ipanema	2017	79.9%	83.7%	75.1%
Brazil	Conceicao do Almeida	2000	44.4%	48.9%	40.1%
Brazil	Conceicao do Almeida	2017	65.9%	69.8%	61.8%
Brazil	Conceição do Almeida	2000	44.6%	48.6%	40.4%
Brazil	Conceição do Almeida	2017	66.1%	69.9%	61.9%
Brazil	Conceição do Araguaia	2000	52.3%	58.0%	46.5%
Brazil	Conceição do Araguaia	2017	72.6%	77.3%	68.0%
Brazil	Conceição do Canindé	2000	43.6%	52.7%	34.8%
Brazil	Conceição do Canindé	2017	65.0%	73.2%	56.8%
Brazil	Conceição do Castelo	2000	58.5%	63.8%	53.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Castelo	2017	77.2%	81.1%	73.1%
Brazil	Conceição do Coité	2000	45.6%	50.1%	40.4%
Brazil	Conceição do Coité	2017	68.6%	72.4%	63.9%
Brazil	Conceição do Jacuípe	2000	46.4%	50.4%	42.1%
Brazil	Conceição do Jacuípe	2017	67.7%	71.0%	63.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Conceição do Lago-Açu	2000	34.6%	40.8%	28.1%
Brazil	Conceição do Lago-Açu	2017	56.1%	62.9%	49.1%
Brazil	Conceição do Mato Dentro	2000	65.9%	72.7%	58.6%
Brazil	Conceição do Mato Dentro	2017	82.4%	86.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Para	2000	63.8%	68.7%	58.9%
Brazil	Conceição do Para	2017	81.0%	84.1%	77.7%
Brazil	Conceição do Rio Verde	2000	64.6%	70.1%	58.5%
Brazil	Conceição do Rio Verde	2017	82.8%	86.0%	78.5%
Brazil	Conceição do Tocantins	2000	20.6%	28.2%	13.9%
Brazil	Conceição do Tocantins	2017	38.4%	48.4%	28.7%
Brazil	Conceição dos Ouros	2000	67.9%	73.0%	62.0%
Brazil	Conceição dos Ouros	2017	83.6%	86.7%	80.0%
Brazil	Conceicao Macabu	2000	84.5%	87.3%	80.9%
Brazil	Conceicao Macabu	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.1%
Brazil	Conchal	2000	86.0%	88.4%	83.0%
Brazil	Conchal	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.2%
Brazil	Conchas	2000	86.1%	89.1%	82.2%
Brazil	Conchas	2017	93.8%	95.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Concórdia	2000	79.6%	82.8%	75.5%
Brazil	Concórdia	2017	90.5%	92.2%	88.3%
Brazil	Concórdia do Pará	2000	39.8%	47.5%	32.8%
Brazil	Concórdia do Pará	2017	61.3%	68.8%	54.2%
Brazil	Condado	2000	46.9%	53.4%	41.1%
Brazil	Condado	2000	42.0%	45.7%	38.0%
Brazil	Condado	2017	68.1%	73.4%	62.5%
Brazil	Condado	2017	63.7%	67.3%	59.4%
Brazil	Conde	2000	49.0%	56.2%	41.8%
Brazil	Conde	2000	51.1%	54.7%	47.5%
Brazil	Conde	2017	71.6%	74.5%	68.5%
Brazil	Conde	2017	69.6%	75.3%	62.8%
Brazil	Condeúba	2000	49.2%	55.8%	41.5%
Brazil	Condeúba	2017	70.1%	75.6%	63.2%
Brazil	Condor	2000	74.4%	79.3%	69.3%
Brazil	Condor	2017	87.6%	90.4%	84.6%
Brazil	Cônego Marinho	2000	65.6%	72.8%	57.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cônego Marinho	2017	82.1%	86.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Confins	2000	66.1%	69.3%	62.6%
Brazil	Confins	2017	82.4%	84.5%	80.2%
Brazil	Confresa	2000	50.8%	59.8%	41.6%
Brazil	Confresa	2017	71.2%	78.4%	62.6%
Brazil	Congo	2000	42.1%	48.1%	35.4%
Brazil	Congo	2017	63.7%	69.1%	57.2%
Brazil	Congonhal	2000	62.6%	67.4%	57.5%
Brazil	Congonhal	2017	80.2%	83.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Congonhas	2000	68.3%	72.7%	64.3%
Brazil	Congonhas	2017	83.9%	86.7%	81.0%
Brazil	Congonhas do Norte	2000	71.7%	78.5%	64.9%
Brazil	Congonhas do Norte	2017	85.9%	89.8%	81.6%
Brazil	Congonhinhas	2000	64.7%	70.1%	58.6%
Brazil	Congonhinhas	2017	81.6%	85.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Conquista	2000	69.3%	75.6%	62.4%
Brazil	Conquista	2017	85.2%	88.7%	81.0%
Brazil	Conselheiro Lafaiete	2000	67.9%	71.9%	63.6%
Brazil	Conselheiro Lafaiete	2017	83.6%	86.1%	81.0%
Brazil	Conselheiro Mayrinck	2000	64.8%	71.0%	59.1%
Brazil	Conselheiro Mayrinck	2017	81.6%	85.6%	77.8%
Brazil	Conselheiro Pena	2000	65.7%	71.6%	59.9%
Brazil	Conselheiro Pena	2017	82.2%	86.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Consolação	2000	74.9%	79.5%	69.3%
Brazil	Consolação	2017	87.5%	90.2%	84.4%
Brazil	Constantina	2000	74.9%	79.5%	70.7%
Brazil	Constantina	2017	87.9%	90.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Contagem	2000	66.1%	67.7%	64.6%
Brazil	Contagem	2017	82.6%	83.6%	81.5%
Brazil	Contenda	2000	68.1%	72.9%	63.3%
Brazil	Contenda	2017	83.8%	86.8%	80.5%
Brazil	Contendas do Sincorá	2000	42.9%	50.3%	36.2%
Brazil	Contendas do Sincorá	2017	64.4%	70.7%	57.7%
Brazil	Coqueiral	2000	65.3%	70.5%	59.4%
Brazil	Coqueiral	2017	81.9%	85.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Coqueiro Seco	2000	29.3%	31.9%	26.7%
Brazil	Coqueiro Seco	2017	50.0%	53.0%	46.5%
Brazil	Coqueiros do Sul	2000	73.9%	78.7%	69.4%
Brazil	Coqueiros do Sul	2017	87.3%	90.0%	84.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Coração de Jesus	2000	66.4%	71.1%	61.3%
Brazil	Coração de Jesus	2017	82.6%	85.6%	79.3%
Brazil	Coração de Maria	2000	43.8%	47.4%	40.4%
Brazil	Coração de Maria	2017	65.4%	68.7%	61.7%
Brazil	Corbélia	2000	62.9%	68.1%	57.5%
Brazil	Corbélia	2017	80.4%	83.6%	76.6%
Brazil	Cordeiro	2000	81.1%	85.0%	76.7%
Brazil	Cordeiro	2017	91.2%	93.3%	88.9%
Brazil	Cordeirópolis	2000	87.6%	89.7%	85.2%
Brazil	Cordeirópolis	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Cordeiros	2000	53.6%	60.8%	44.6%
Brazil	Cordeiros	2017	73.5%	78.7%	66.3%
Brazil	Cordilheira Alta	2000	77.5%	81.2%	72.9%
Brazil	Cordilheira Alta	2017	89.3%	91.3%	86.8%
Brazil	Cordisburgo	2000	67.6%	74.3%	59.9%
Brazil	Cordisburgo	2017	83.4%	87.5%	78.4%
Brazil	Cordislândia	2000	67.0%	73.3%	60.1%
Brazil	Cordislândia	2017	83.1%	87.0%	78.5%
Brazil	Coreaú	2000	41.5%	45.8%	37.1%
Brazil	Coreaú	2017	63.2%	67.3%	58.6%
Brazil	Coremas	2000	46.0%	52.6%	40.2%
Brazil	Coremas	2017	67.3%	73.0%	61.9%
Brazil	Corguinho	2000	26.9%	34.1%	20.0%
Brazil	Corguinho	2017	47.1%	55.9%	38.0%
Brazil	Coribe	2000	48.8%	57.9%	40.1%
Brazil	Coribe	2017	69.7%	76.7%	61.8%
Brazil	Corinto	2000	67.1%	73.7%	59.4%
Brazil	Corinto	2017	83.1%	87.2%	78.2%
Brazil	Cornélio Procópio	2000	70.8%	75.2%	66.3%
Brazil	Cornélio Procópio	2017	85.4%	88.1%	82.7%
Brazil	Coroaci	2000	65.7%	71.8%	58.2%
Brazil	Coroaci	2017	82.2%	86.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Coroados	2000	88.7%	91.6%	85.7%
Brazil	Coroados	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Coroatá	2000	32.8%	39.4%	25.6%
Brazil	Coroatá	2017	53.9%	61.0%	45.0%
Brazil	Coromandel	2000	62.1%	69.7%	54.0%
Brazil	Coromandel	2017	79.8%	84.8%	74.0%
Brazil	Coronel Barros	2000	73.6%	78.6%	69.0%
Brazil	Coronel Barros	2017	87.1%	90.0%	84.4%
Brazil	Coronel Bicaco	2000	75.4%	80.2%	70.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Coronel Bicaco	2017	88.1%	90.8%	85.3%
Brazil	Coronel domingos Soares	2000	68.8%	74.6%	62.7%
Brazil	Coronel domingos Soares	2017	84.1%	87.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Coronel Ezequiel	2000	56.2%	61.5%	50.4%
Brazil	Coronel Ezequiel	2017	75.6%	79.6%	71.1%
Brazil	Coronel Fabriciano	2000	65.2%	68.6%	61.6%
Brazil	Coronel Fabriciano	2017	81.9%	84.3%	79.4%
Brazil	Coronel Freitas	2000	77.8%	82.1%	73.1%
Brazil	Coronel Freitas	2017	88.6%	91.0%	85.6%
Brazil	Coronel João Pessoa	2000	51.4%	56.8%	45.4%
Brazil	Coronel João Pessoa	2017	71.9%	76.3%	67.6%
Brazil	Coronel João Sá	2000	53.0%	59.6%	46.3%
Brazil	Coronel João Sá	2017	73.2%	78.2%	67.9%
Brazil	Coronel José Dias	2000	43.8%	52.8%	35.1%
Brazil	Coronel José Dias	2017	65.1%	73.2%	56.4%
Brazil	Coronel Macedo	2000	81.1%	85.8%	75.4%
Brazil	Coronel Macedo	2017	91.2%	93.6%	88.3%
Brazil	Coronel Martins	2000	72.2%	77.7%	65.7%
Brazil	Coronel Martins	2017	86.2%	89.3%	82.3%
Brazil	Coronel Murta	2000	63.4%	71.4%	55.7%
Brazil	Coronel Murta	2017	80.7%	85.5%	75.0%
Brazil	Coronel Pacheco	2000	63.3%	68.0%	59.2%
Brazil	Coronel Pacheco	2017	80.7%	83.7%	78.0%
Brazil	Coronel Sapucaia	2000	28.7%	36.6%	21.1%
Brazil	Coronel Sapucaia	2017	49.2%	58.3%	39.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Coronel Vivida	2000	65.0%	70.5%	59.0%
Brazil	Coronel Vivida	2017	81.7%	85.4%	77.3%
Brazil	Coronel Xavier Chaves	2000	63.9%	69.4%	58.1%
Brazil	Coronel Xavier Chaves	2017	81.0%	84.5%	77.1%
Brazil	Córrego Danta	2000	66.4%	72.4%	60.3%
Brazil	Córrego Danta	2017	82.7%	86.2%	78.2%
Brazil	Córrego do Bom Jesus	2000	76.3%	80.2%	71.0%
Brazil	Córrego do Bom Jesus	2017	88.6%	90.8%	85.6%
Brazil	Córrego do Ouro	2000	43.9%	50.6%	36.4%
Brazil	Córrego do Ouro	2017	65.4%	70.8%	58.3%
Brazil	Córrego Fundo	2000	65.5%	71.1%	59.4%
Brazil	Córrego Fundo	2017	82.1%	85.6%	78.0%
Brazil	Córrego Novo	2000	64.3%	70.5%	58.4%
Brazil	Córrego Novo	2017	81.3%	85.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Correia Pinto	2000	80.2%	84.6%	74.7%
Brazil	Correia Pinto	2017	90.7%	93.0%	87.7%
Brazil	Corrente	2000	44.9%	55.9%	33.7%
Brazil	Corrente	2017	66.1%	75.5%	55.0%
Brazil	Correntes	2000	34.1%	38.4%	29.6%
Brazil	Correntes	2017	55.5%	60.4%	50.5%
Brazil	Correntina	2000	45.9%	54.9%	36.5%
Brazil	Correntina	2017	67.1%	74.2%	58.1%
Brazil	Cortes	2000	41.2%	45.4%	36.8%
Brazil	Cortes	2017	62.8%	67.0%	58.8%
Brazil	Corumbá	2000	28.2%	33.6%	23.8%
Brazil	Corumbá	2017	48.2%	54.8%	42.2%
Brazil	Corumbá de Goiás	2000	45.4%	51.4%	39.8%
Brazil	Corumbá de Goiás	2017	66.7%	71.6%	61.3%
Brazil	Corumbaíba	2000	48.9%	56.3%	41.3%
Brazil	Corumbaíba	2017	69.7%	75.6%	63.0%
Brazil	Corumbataí	2000	87.6%	90.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Corumbataí	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Corumbataí do Sul	2000	63.8%	69.5%	57.2%
Brazil	Corumbataí do Sul	2017	81.0%	84.9%	76.5%
Brazil	Corumbiara	2000	56.2%	65.0%	47.5%
Brazil	Corumbiara	2017	75.5%	81.7%	67.9%
Brazil	Corupá	2000	75.2%	79.3%	71.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Corupá	2017	88.0%	90.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Coruripe	2000	32.8%	39.1%	26.9%
Brazil	Coruripe	2017	54.0%	60.5%	47.0%
Brazil	Cosmópolis	2000	88.2%	90.0%	86.2%
Brazil	Cosmópolis	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Cosmorama	2000	85.9%	89.3%	82.1%
Brazil	Cosmorama	2017	93.7%	95.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Costa Marques	2000	56.1%	65.8%	45.0%
Brazil	Costa Marques	2017	75.4%	82.2%	66.2%
Brazil	Costa Rica	2000	31.7%	41.2%	24.7%
Brazil	Costa Rica	2017	52.6%	62.6%	43.9%
Brazil	Cotegipe	2000	45.5%	52.2%	38.9%
Brazil	Cotegipe	2017	66.6%	72.3%	60.8%
Brazil	Cotia	2000	87.7%	89.0%	86.5%
Brazil	Cotia	2017	94.6%	95.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Cotiporã	2000	74.7%	78.8%	70.4%
Brazil	Cotiporã	2017	87.7%	90.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Cotriguaçu	2000	50.3%	59.7%	39.0%
Brazil	Cotriguaçu	2017	70.8%	78.2%	60.7%
Brazil	Couto de Magalhães	2000	65.3%	74.0%	55.8%
Brazil	Couto de Magalhães	2017	81.9%	87.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Couto Magalhães	2000	34.3%	42.3%	27.5%
Brazil	Couto Magalhães	2017	55.3%	63.5%	47.8%
Brazil	Coxilha	2000	74.2%	78.5%	69.6%
Brazil	Coxilha	2017	87.4%	89.8%	84.8%
Brazil	Coxim	2000	29.0%	36.9%	22.4%
Brazil	Coxim	2017	49.6%	58.3%	41.1%
Brazil	Coxixola	2000	43.1%	49.7%	37.6%
Brazil	Coxixola	2017	64.6%	70.6%	59.0%
Brazil	Craíbas	2000	33.5%	37.5%	29.4%
Brazil	Craíbas	2017	54.9%	59.4%	50.1%
Brazil	Crateús	2000	43.2%	48.4%	37.6%
Brazil	Crateús	2017	64.7%	69.3%	59.3%
Brazil	Crato	2000	45.0%	48.2%	41.5%
Brazil	Crato	2017	66.4%	69.4%	63.2%
Brazil	Cravinhos	2000	86.6%	89.8%	83.0%
Brazil	Cravinhos	2017	94.0%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Cravolândia	2000	48.9%	55.2%	42.6%
Brazil	Cravolândia	2017	69.8%	74.8%	64.1%
Brazil	Criciúma	2000	79.6%	83.0%	75.8%
Brazil	Criciúma	2017	90.4%	92.3%	88.4%
Brazil	Crisólita	2000	62.4%	69.2%	54.1%
Brazil	Crisólita	2017	80.0%	84.6%	74.0%
Brazil	Crisópolis	2000	49.6%	55.0%	43.4%
Brazil	Crisópolis	2017	69.0%	73.5%	63.3%
Brazil	Crissiumal	2000	75.9%	80.5%	70.8%
Brazil	Crissiumal	2017	88.3%	90.9%	85.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cristais	2000	64.6%	70.6%	57.9%
Brazil	Cristais	2017	82.6%	86.4%	78.1%
Brazil	Cristais	2000	80.4%	84.1%	75.8%
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Cristais	2017	90.8%	92.8%	88.4%
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Cristal	2000	74.1%	79.6%	67.6%
Brazil	Cristal	2017	87.3%	90.4%	83.3%
Brazil	Cristal do Sul	2000	75.2%	79.2%	70.7%
Brazil	Cristal do Sul	2017	88.0%	90.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Cristalândia	2000	20.8%	28.1%	14.8%
Brazil	Cristalândia	2017	38.8%	48.1%	29.4%
Brazil	Cristalândia	2000	44.8%	55.1%	34.6%
Brazil	do Piauí				
Brazil	Cristalândia	2017	66.0%	75.0%	56.0%
Brazil	do Piauí				
Brazil	Cristália	2000	67.6%	74.9%	58.5%
Brazil	Cristália	2017	83.4%	87.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Cristalina	2000	56.6%	62.1%	51.0%
Brazil	Cristalina	2017	76.0%	80.2%	71.4%
Brazil	Cristiano	2000	65.0%	69.3%	59.8%
Brazil	Otoni				
Brazil	Cristiano	2017	81.8%	84.7%	78.2%
Brazil	Otoni				
Brazil	Cristianópolis	2000	46.0%	54.6%	39.2%
Brazil	Cristianópolis	2017	67.2%	74.9%	60.8%
Brazil	Cristina	2000	72.4%	76.9%	67.6%
Brazil	Cristina	2017	86.4%	89.2%	83.7%
Brazil	Cristinápolis	2000	57.1%	62.3%	51.8%
Brazil	Cristinápolis	2017	76.3%	80.1%	72.5%
Brazil	Cristino Cas- tro	2000	45.4%	56.4%	34.0%
Brazil	Cristino Cas- tro	2017	66.6%	75.5%	55.7%
Brazil	Cristópolis	2000	47.0%	54.9%	39.3%
Brazil	Cristópolis	2017	68.1%	74.6%	61.1%
Brazil	Crixás	2000	47.6%	55.4%	38.5%
Brazil	Crixás	2017	68.7%	75.3%	59.8%
Brazil	Crixás do To- cantins	2000	17.7%	23.5%	12.2%
Brazil	Crixás do To- cantins	2017	34.1%	42.9%	25.3%
Brazil	Croatá	2000	42.6%	48.3%	37.3%
Brazil	Croatá	2017	64.2%	69.4%	59.1%
Brazil	Cromínia	2000	42.2%	47.7%	36.6%
Brazil	Cromínia	2017	63.8%	69.2%	58.6%
Brazil	Crucilândia	2000	65.8%	71.3%	60.0%
Brazil	Crucilândia	2017	82.3%	85.9%	78.5%
Brazil	Cruz	2000	44.3%	51.5%	38.4%
Brazil	Cruz	2017	65.6%	71.7%	59.8%
Brazil	Cruz Alta	2000	76.0%	81.1%	71.4%
Brazil	Cruz Alta	2017	88.4%	91.2%	85.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cruz das Almas	2000	46.6%	50.3%	42.9%
Brazil	Cruz das Almas	2017	68.2%	71.4%	64.8%
Brazil	Cruz do Espírito Santo	2000	50.0%	54.1%	46.3%
Brazil	Cruz do Espírito Santo	2017	70.7%	74.0%	67.4%
Brazil	Cruz Machado	2000	65.6%	71.2%	58.9%
Brazil	Cruz Machado	2017	82.1%	85.6%	77.6%
Brazil	Cruzália	2000	77.9%	82.7%	72.5%
Brazil	Cruzália	2017	89.5%	92.0%	86.6%
Brazil	Cruzeiro	2000	77.8%	81.9%	73.5%
Brazil	Cruzeiro	2017	89.7%	92.0%	87.5%
Brazil	Cruzeiro da Fortaleza	2000	64.6%	71.3%	58.0%
Brazil	Cruzeiro da Fortaleza	2017	81.5%	85.6%	77.0%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Iguaçu	2000	63.7%	70.1%	57.9%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Iguaçu	2017	80.9%	84.9%	76.8%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Oeste	2000	66.9%	71.9%	60.9%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Oeste	2017	83.0%	86.1%	79.0%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	65.7%	70.9%	59.2%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	49.4%	55.2%	44.1%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	76.1%	79.2%	73.0%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	88.8%	90.5%	86.8%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	82.3%	85.7%	78.1%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	69.8%	74.3%	65.2%
Brazil	Cruzeta	2000	60.9%	66.9%	54.3%
Brazil	Cruzeta	2017	79.0%	83.0%	74.3%
Brazil	Cruzília	2000	72.4%	78.3%	66.2%
Brazil	Cruzília	2017	86.3%	89.8%	82.5%
Brazil	Cruzmalina	2000	64.2%	69.7%	58.8%
Brazil	Cruzmalina	2017	81.2%	84.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Cubatão	2000	88.8%	90.3%	87.1%
Brazil	Cubatão	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Cubati	2000	47.7%	54.8%	41.7%
Brazil	Cubati	2017	68.5%	74.2%	62.4%
Brazil	Cuiaba	2000	48.7%	51.4%	46.1%
Brazil	Cuiaba	2017	69.7%	71.9%	67.4%
Brazil	Cuité	2000	53.8%	60.1%	47.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cuité	2017	73.6%	78.2%	69.5%
Brazil	Cuité de Manguape	2000	48.8%	54.1%	44.0%
Brazil	Cuité de Manguape	2017	69.7%	74.3%	65.3%
Brazil	Cuitegi	2000	49.1%	53.5%	43.6%
Brazil	Cuitegi	2017	70.0%	73.8%	65.4%
Brazil	Cujubim	2000	55.2%	63.0%	46.7%
Brazil	Cujubim	2017	74.7%	80.6%	67.6%
Brazil	Cumari	2000	46.2%	52.5%	40.4%
Brazil	Cumari	2017	67.5%	72.9%	62.5%
Brazil	Cumarú	2000	35.6%	39.8%	31.8%
Brazil	Cumarú	2017	57.2%	61.7%	53.1%
Brazil	Cumarú do Norte	2000	54.3%	62.4%	45.4%
Brazil	Cumarú do Norte	2017	74.0%	80.4%	66.3%
Brazil	Cumbe	2000	63.0%	68.4%	57.6%
Brazil	Cumbe	2017	80.5%	84.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Cunha	2000	91.1%	93.2%	88.2%
Brazil	Cunha	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Cunha Porã	2000	79.8%	83.5%	75.5%
Brazil	Cunha Porã	2017	90.6%	92.5%	88.3%
Brazil	Cunhataí	2000	77.9%	82.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Cunhataí	2017	89.5%	91.7%	86.9%
Brazil	Cuparaque	2000	63.1%	68.6%	56.7%
Brazil	Cuparaque	2017	80.5%	84.1%	76.0%
Brazil	Cupira	2000	33.2%	37.9%	29.5%
Brazil	Cupira	2017	54.6%	59.4%	50.4%
Brazil	Curaçá	2000	41.9%	47.3%	35.9%
Brazil	Curaçá	2017	63.4%	68.6%	57.5%
Brazil	Curimatá	2000	45.4%	55.4%	34.3%
Brazil	Curimatá	2017	66.5%	74.9%	55.9%
Brazil	Curionópolis	2000	56.3%	61.9%	50.6%
Brazil	Curionópolis	2017	75.6%	79.4%	71.0%
Brazil	Curitiba	2000	65.6%	67.2%	63.9%
Brazil	Curitiba	2017	82.2%	83.4%	81.1%
Brazil	Curitibanos	2000	82.1%	86.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Curitibanos	2017	91.7%	94.1%	88.9%
Brazil	Curiúva	2000	65.0%	70.5%	58.1%
Brazil	Curiúva	2017	81.8%	85.3%	77.1%
Brazil	Currais	2000	44.6%	55.8%	34.5%
Brazil	Currais	2017	65.7%	75.6%	55.8%
Brazil	Currais Novos	2000	64.4%	69.7%	58.2%
Brazil	Currais Novos	2017	81.4%	84.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Curral de Cima	2000	53.1%	58.1%	48.0%
Brazil	Curral de Cima	2017	73.2%	77.1%	69.0%
Brazil	Curral de dentro	2000	70.7%	76.8%	64.7%
Brazil	Curral de dentro	2017	85.3%	89.1%	81.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Curral Novo do Piauí	2000	41.6%	48.6%	34.1%
Brazil	Curral Novo do Piauí	2017	63.3%	69.7%	55.6%
Brazil	Curral Velho	2000	45.1%	51.4%	40.0%
Brazil	Curral Velho	2017	66.5%	71.9%	62.0%
Brazil	Curralinho	2000	52.2%	58.2%	45.1%
Brazil	Curralinho	2017	72.5%	77.0%	66.8%
Brazil	Curralinhos	2000	42.4%	49.5%	34.7%
Brazil	Curralinhos	2017	63.9%	70.2%	56.4%
Brazil	Curuá	2000	51.4%	58.7%	44.1%
Brazil	Curuá	2017	71.8%	77.3%	65.6%
Brazil	Curuçá	2000	54.0%	59.8%	48.4%
Brazil	Curuçá	2017	73.9%	78.4%	69.3%
Brazil	Cururupu	2000	33.7%	42.7%	25.6%
Brazil	Cururupu	2017	55.0%	64.2%	44.9%
Brazil	Curvelo	2000	67.8%	73.2%	61.1%
Brazil	Curvelo	2017	83.5%	87.1%	79.2%
Brazil	Custódia	2000	42.3%	48.9%	36.5%
Brazil	Custódia	2017	63.8%	70.3%	58.0%
Brazil	Cutias	2000	49.4%	57.1%	41.9%
Brazil	Cutias	2017	70.1%	76.3%	63.1%
Brazil	Damianópolis	2000	47.6%	57.8%	38.2%
Brazil	Damianópolis	2017	68.6%	77.0%	59.9%
Brazil	Damião	2000	53.4%	59.4%	46.9%
Brazil	Damião	2017	73.4%	77.8%	68.0%
Brazil	Darcinópolis	2000	21.3%	26.5%	16.4%
Brazil	Darcinópolis	2017	39.4%	47.0%	32.3%
Brazil	Dário Meira	2000	47.5%	53.4%	41.6%
Brazil	Dário Meira	2017	68.6%	73.6%	63.1%
Brazil	Datas	2000	71.4%	78.0%	65.1%
Brazil	Datas	2017	85.8%	89.6%	81.7%
Brazil	David Canabarro	2000	76.3%	80.8%	71.5%
Brazil	David Canabarro	2017	88.6%	91.0%	86.0%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2000	27.5%	32.0%	22.8%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2000	47.7%	54.7%	40.2%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2017	47.8%	53.3%	41.4%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2017	68.7%	74.5%	61.7%
Brazil	Delfim Moreira	2000	82.4%	85.5%	79.0%
Brazil	Delfim Moreira	2017	91.8%	93.5%	90.1%
Brazil	Delfinópolis	2000	67.0%	73.8%	60.5%
Brazil	Delfinópolis	2017	83.1%	87.3%	78.4%
Brazil	Delmiro Gouveia	2000	39.0%	43.5%	34.1%
Brazil	Delmiro Gouveia	2017	60.7%	65.1%	55.8%
Brazil	Delta	2000	70.6%	75.8%	65.1%
Brazil	Delta	2017	87.2%	89.9%	84.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Demerval Lobão	2000	42.7%	49.4%	36.7%
Brazil	Demerval Lobão	2017	64.3%	70.3%	58.5%
Brazil	Denise	2000	49.3%	57.3%	42.5%
Brazil	Denise	2017	70.1%	76.2%	63.7%
Brazil	Deodápolis	2000	28.4%	34.1%	23.3%
Brazil	Deodápolis	2017	48.9%	55.8%	42.2%
Brazil	Deputado Irapuan Pinheiro	2000	42.1%	49.2%	36.1%
Brazil	Deputado Irapuan Pinheiro	2017	63.7%	70.0%	57.5%
Brazil	Derrubadas	2000	76.1%	81.1%	69.4%
Brazil	Derrubadas	2017	88.4%	91.2%	84.5%
Brazil	Descalvado	2000	86.7%	89.3%	83.4%
Brazil	Descalvado	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Descanso	2000	76.8%	81.4%	71.0%
Brazil	Descanso	2017	88.9%	91.5%	85.5%
Brazil	Descoberto	2000	64.9%	70.5%	59.7%
Brazil	Descoberto	2017	81.7%	85.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Desterro	2000	48.5%	55.0%	41.7%
Brazil	Desterro	2017	69.5%	74.6%	63.6%
Brazil	Desterro de Entre Rios	2000	67.7%	73.4%	61.9%
Brazil	Desterro de Entre Rios	2017	83.4%	87.0%	79.9%
Brazil	Desterro de Malta	2000	52.8%	59.4%	46.3%
Brazil	Desterro de Malta	2017	73.1%	78.0%	67.7%
Brazil	Desterro do Melo	2000	64.8%	69.6%	59.1%
Brazil	Desterro do Melo	2017	81.6%	84.7%	77.7%
Brazil	Dezesseis de Novembro	2000	75.1%	79.9%	69.1%
Brazil	Dezesseis de Novembro	2017	87.9%	90.5%	84.5%
Brazil	Diadema	2000	87.9%	88.8%	86.9%
Brazil	Diadema	2017	94.6%	95.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Diamante	2000	45.1%	51.3%	39.8%
Brazil	Diamante	2017	66.5%	71.7%	61.7%
Brazil	Diamante d'Oeste	2000	63.5%	69.6%	57.7%
Brazil	Diamante d'Oeste	2017	80.8%	84.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Diamante do Norte	2000	59.3%	66.9%	51.9%
Brazil	Diamante do Norte	2017	77.9%	83.1%	72.3%
Brazil	Diamante do Sul	2000	63.7%	70.3%	55.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Diamante do Sul	2017	80.9%	85.3%	75.4%
Brazil	Diamantina	2000	69.9%	76.2%	62.3%
Brazil	Diamantina	2017	84.8%	88.6%	80.0%
Brazil	Diamantino	2000	50.9%	58.7%	43.1%
Brazil	Diamantino	2017	71.4%	77.4%	65.0%
Brazil	Dianopolis	2000	23.5%	30.5%	17.3%
Brazil	Dianopolis	2017	42.5%	51.3%	33.3%
Brazil	Dias d'vila	2000	47.1%	50.6%	43.7%
Brazil	Dias d'vila	2017	68.3%	71.8%	65.1%
Brazil	Dilermano de Aguiar	2000	74.0%	79.1%	68.9%
Brazil	Dilermano de Aguiar	2017	87.3%	90.2%	84.2%
Brazil	Diogo de Vasconcelos	2000	63.4%	69.1%	57.8%
Brazil	Diogo de Vasconcelos	2017	80.7%	84.5%	76.8%
Brazil	Dionísio	2000	65.0%	70.7%	59.7%
Brazil	Dionísio	2017	80.5%	84.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Dionísio Cerqueira	2000	73.5%	79.2%	67.0%
Brazil	Dionísio Cerqueira	2017	87.0%	90.1%	82.9%
Brazil	Diorama	2000	45.4%	52.6%	38.7%
Brazil	Diorama	2017	66.7%	72.9%	60.1%
Brazil	Dirce Reis	2000	83.5%	87.5%	78.7%
Brazil	Dirce Reis	2017	92.4%	94.4%	89.9%
Brazil	Dirceu Arcoverde	2000	44.0%	52.2%	35.8%
Brazil	Dirceu Arcoverde	2017	65.4%	72.7%	57.1%
Brazil	Divina Pastora	2000	62.5%	66.6%	58.4%
Brazil	Divina Pastora	2017	80.1%	82.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Divinésia	2000	64.1%	68.8%	59.4%
Brazil	Divinésia	2017	81.2%	84.2%	77.9%
Brazil	Divino	2000	68.6%	72.9%	64.4%
Brazil	Divino	2017	84.1%	86.7%	81.3%
Brazil	Divino das Laranjeiras	2000	64.9%	71.7%	58.5%
Brazil	Divino das Laranjeiras	2017	81.7%	85.7%	77.3%
Brazil	Divino de São Lourenço	2000	64.1%	69.7%	58.9%
Brazil	Divino de São Lourenço	2017	81.2%	85.0%	77.8%
Brazil	Divinolândia	2000	76.6%	80.5%	72.3%
Brazil	Divinolândia	2017	88.7%	90.9%	86.3%
Brazil	Divinolandia de Minas	2000	72.4%	77.8%	66.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Divinolândia de Minas	2017	86.4%	89.5%	83.1%
Brazil	Divinópolis	2000	67.2%	70.8%	62.4%
Brazil	Divinópolis	2017	83.2%	85.6%	80.1%
Brazil	Divinópolis de Goiás	2000	41.2%	50.7%	32.8%
Brazil	Divinópolis de Goiás	2017	62.7%	71.3%	54.0%
Brazil	Divinópolis do Tocantins	2000	20.5%	26.4%	15.2%
Brazil	Divinópolis do Tocantins	2017	38.1%	46.4%	30.1%
Brazil	Divisa Alegre	2000	58.7%	65.3%	52.2%
Brazil	Divisa Alegre	2017	77.4%	82.6%	72.4%
Brazil	Divisa Nova	2000	64.6%	71.7%	57.9%
Brazil	Divisa Nova	2017	81.5%	85.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Divisópolis	2000	63.6%	69.7%	58.4%
Brazil	Divisópolis	2017	80.8%	84.9%	77.0%
Brazil	Dobrada	2000	86.5%	89.3%	83.1%
Brazil	Dobrada	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Dois Córregos	2000	88.7%	91.0%	85.7%
Brazil	Dois Córregos	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos	2000	75.2%	77.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos	2017	88.1%	89.5%	86.7%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos das Missões	2000	76.0%	80.3%	71.4%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos das Missões	2017	88.4%	90.8%	85.9%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos do Buriti	2000	28.0%	34.7%	21.8%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos do Buriti	2017	48.3%	56.0%	40.2%
Brazil	Dois Lajeados	2000	74.6%	78.8%	69.7%
Brazil	Dois Lajeados	2017	87.7%	90.0%	84.6%
Brazil	Dois Riachos	2000	34.5%	40.1%	29.2%
Brazil	Dois Riachos	2017	56.0%	61.7%	49.6%
Brazil	Dois Vizinhos	2000	66.6%	72.1%	61.4%
Brazil	Dois Vizinhos	2017	82.8%	86.2%	79.2%
Brazil	Dom Aquino	2000	47.4%	55.8%	39.8%
Brazil	Dom Aquino	2017	68.5%	75.4%	61.4%
Brazil	Dom Basílio	2000	42.8%	48.9%	36.2%
Brazil	Dom Basílio	2017	64.3%	70.0%	57.8%
Brazil	Dom Bosco	2000	67.4%	75.1%	58.5%
Brazil	Dom Bosco	2017	83.3%	88.2%	77.6%
Brazil	Dom Cavati	2000	66.9%	71.7%	61.5%
Brazil	Dom Cavati	2017	83.0%	85.9%	79.3%
Brazil	Dom Eliseu	2000	48.2%	56.2%	40.2%
Brazil	Dom Eliseu	2017	69.1%	75.4%	62.2%
Brazil	Dom Expedito Lopes	2000	48.1%	54.2%	40.8%
Brazil	Dom Expedito Lopes	2017	69.2%	74.5%	62.5%
Brazil	Dom Feliciano	2000	75.5%	80.5%	69.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Dom Feliciano	2017	88.1%	90.9%	84.6%
Brazil	Dom Inocência	2000	43.0%	52.9%	34.3%
Brazil	Dom Inocência	2017	64.4%	72.9%	55.9%
Brazil	Dom Joaquim	2000	64.1%	71.4%	57.0%
Brazil	Dom Joaquim	2017	81.1%	85.7%	76.3%
Brazil	Dom Macedo Costa	2000	44.8%	49.3%	40.7%
Brazil	Dom Macedo Costa	2017	66.3%	70.5%	62.0%
Brazil	Dom Pedrito	2000	77.7%	83.5%	72.2%
Brazil	Dom Pedrito	2017	90.4%	93.2%	87.6%
Brazil	Dom Pedro	2000	35.1%	42.3%	27.5%
Brazil	Dom Pedro	2017	56.5%	64.1%	47.6%
Brazil	Dom Pedro de Alcântara	2000	76.0%	81.1%	70.1%
Brazil	Dom Pedro de Alcântara	2017	88.4%	91.2%	85.1%
Brazil	Dom Silvério	2000	65.1%	70.3%	59.6%
Brazil	Dom Silvério	2017	81.8%	85.0%	78.1%
Brazil	Dom Viçoso	2000	69.4%	74.2%	64.0%
Brazil	Dom Viçoso	2017	84.6%	87.4%	81.2%
Brazil	Domingos Martins	2000	60.1%	65.2%	54.9%
Brazil	Domingos Martins	2017	78.4%	82.3%	74.6%
Brazil	Domingos Mourão	2000	38.6%	46.7%	31.9%
Brazil	Domingos Mourão	2017	60.3%	67.5%	53.0%
Brazil	Dona Emma	2000	79.3%	83.9%	74.0%
Brazil	Dona Emma	2017	90.3%	92.6%	87.4%
Brazil	Dona Eusébia	2000	64.4%	69.4%	59.5%
Brazil	Dona Eusébia	2017	81.4%	84.6%	78.1%
Brazil	Dona Francisca	2000	74.8%	79.3%	69.8%
Brazil	Dona Francisca	2017	87.8%	90.3%	84.8%
Brazil	Dona Inês	2000	53.4%	57.7%	48.2%
Brazil	Dona Inês	2017	73.5%	76.9%	69.2%
Brazil	Dores de Campos	2000	63.6%	68.6%	57.7%
Brazil	Dores de Campos	2017	80.9%	84.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Dores de Guanhães	2000	65.3%	71.1%	58.3%
Brazil	Dores de Guanhães	2017	82.0%	85.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Dores do Indaiá	2000	68.9%	75.5%	60.9%
Brazil	Dores do Indaiá	2017	84.3%	88.4%	79.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Dores do Rio Preto	2000	68.5%	73.6%	63.6%
Brazil	Dores do Rio Preto	2017	84.0%	87.2%	80.5%
Brazil	Dores do Turvo	2000	64.9%	69.9%	59.8%
Brazil	Dores do Turvo	2017	81.7%	84.8%	78.2%
Brazil	Doresópolis	2000	64.8%	72.1%	57.6%
Brazil	Doresópolis	2017	81.6%	86.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Dormentes	2000	39.9%	47.1%	33.5%
Brazil	Dormentes	2017	61.5%	68.6%	54.6%
Brazil	Douradina	2000	27.0%	33.3%	22.5%
Brazil	Douradina	2000	62.4%	68.4%	56.3%
Brazil	Douradina	2017	47.2%	54.4%	41.2%
Brazil	Douradina	2017	80.0%	84.0%	75.6%
Brazil	Dourado	2000	87.1%	89.6%	83.4%
Brazil	Dourado	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Douradoquara	2000	52.4%	60.2%	44.8%
Brazil	Douradoquara	2017	72.5%	78.5%	66.1%
Brazil	Dourados	2000	27.8%	31.7%	24.3%
Brazil	Dourados	2017	48.8%	53.4%	44.3%
Brazil	Doutor Carmargo	2000	62.3%	68.1%	58.0%
Brazil	Doutor Carmargo	2017	82.0%	85.4%	79.0%
Brazil	Doutor Maurício Cardoso	2000	74.2%	79.3%	68.5%
Brazil	Doutor Maurício Cardoso	2017	87.4%	90.3%	84.0%
Brazil	Doutor Pedrinho	2000	80.0%	84.1%	74.9%
Brazil	Doutor Pedrinho	2017	90.6%	92.6%	87.7%
Brazil	Doutor Ricardo	2000	75.0%	79.5%	70.1%
Brazil	Doutor Ricardo	2017	87.9%	90.3%	85.2%
Brazil	Doutor Severiano	2000	49.7%	55.5%	43.9%
Brazil	Doutor Severiano	2017	70.4%	75.3%	65.3%
Brazil	Doutor Ulysses	2000	67.4%	74.5%	59.1%
Brazil	Doutor Ulysses	2017	83.3%	87.6%	78.0%
Brazil	Doverlândia	2000	47.7%	57.4%	39.5%
Brazil	Doverlândia	2017	66.1%	74.4%	58.2%
Brazil	Dracena	2000	81.7%	85.6%	77.2%
Brazil	Dracena	2017	91.5%	93.5%	89.1%
Brazil	Duartina	2000	86.9%	90.2%	82.2%
Brazil	Duartina	2017	94.1%	95.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Duas Barras	2000	81.4%	84.8%	77.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Duas Barras	2017	91.3%	93.0%	89.4%
Brazil	Duas Estradas	2000	52.1%	56.9%	47.6%
Brazil	Duas Estradas	2017	72.4%	76.2%	68.2%
Brazil	Dueré	2000	17.8%	22.9%	12.8%
Brazil	Dueré	2017	34.4%	42.0%	26.7%
Brazil	Dulcinópolis	2000	79.7%	84.5%	75.1%
Brazil	Dulcinópolis	2017	90.4%	93.0%	87.8%
Brazil	Dumont	2000	85.5%	88.1%	82.8%
Brazil	Dumont	2017	93.4%	94.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Duque Baccelar	2000	37.7%	44.7%	30.5%
Brazil	Duque Baccelar	2017	59.4%	66.4%	52.0%
Brazil	Duque de Caxias	2000	83.2%	84.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Duque de Caxias	2017	92.3%	92.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Durandé	2000	62.1%	67.7%	56.7%
Brazil	Durandé	2017	79.9%	83.5%	76.2%
Brazil	Echaporã	2000	86.4%	89.7%	82.8%
Brazil	Echaporã	2017	93.9%	95.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Ecoporanga	2000	57.4%	64.4%	51.3%
Brazil	Ecoporanga	2017	76.4%	81.3%	71.3%
Brazil	Edealina	2000	41.1%	47.9%	34.9%
Brazil	Edealina	2017	62.9%	69.0%	56.8%
Brazil	Edéia	2000	45.5%	52.0%	38.6%
Brazil	Edéia	2017	66.8%	72.2%	60.3%
Brazil	Eirunepé	2000	52.1%	62.0%	41.4%
Brazil	Eirunepé	2017	72.2%	79.7%	62.8%
Brazil	Eldorado	2000	38.8%	45.0%	32.3%
Brazil	Eldorado	2000	82.4%	86.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Eldorado	2017	60.5%	66.5%	53.6%
Brazil	Eldorado	2017	91.9%	94.0%	89.2%
Brazil	Eldorado do Sul	2000	74.4%	77.1%	72.2%
Brazil	Eldorado do Sul	2017	87.6%	88.9%	86.2%
Brazil	Eldorado dos Carajás	2000	50.9%	57.7%	44.4%
Brazil	Eldorado dos Carajás	2017	71.4%	76.9%	65.8%
Brazil	Elesbão Veloso	2000	45.3%	54.1%	37.0%
Brazil	Elesbão Veloso	2017	67.8%	75.0%	59.9%
Brazil	Elias Fausto	2000	82.9%	85.7%	80.2%
Brazil	Elias Fausto	2017	92.2%	93.5%	90.7%
Brazil	Eliseu Martins	2000	45.5%	56.3%	33.3%
Brazil	Eliseu Martins	2017	66.7%	75.4%	54.5%
Brazil	Elisiário	2000	85.9%	89.2%	81.9%
Brazil	Elisiário	2017	93.6%	95.2%	91.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Elísio Medrado	2000	46.2%	52.4%	40.9%
Brazil	Elísio Medrado	2017	67.5%	72.6%	62.4%
Brazil	Elói Mendes	2000	63.9%	68.3%	59.1%
Brazil	Elói Mendes	2017	81.0%	83.8%	78.0%
Brazil	Emas	2000	43.4%	50.1%	36.9%
Brazil	Emas	2017	64.9%	71.0%	58.6%
Brazil	Embaúba	2000	87.0%	89.9%	83.9%
Brazil	Embaúba	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Embu	2000	88.4%	89.5%	87.3%
Brazil	Embu	2017	94.8%	95.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Embu-Guaçu	2000	87.9%	89.5%	85.9%
Brazil	Embu-Guaçu	2017	94.8%	95.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Emilianópolis	2000	85.3%	88.9%	81.3%
Brazil	Emilianópolis	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Encantado	2000	75.6%	79.1%	71.2%
Brazil	Encantado	2017	88.2%	90.3%	85.7%
Brazil	Encanto	2000	51.0%	57.2%	44.9%
Brazil	Encanto	2017	71.5%	76.3%	66.4%
Brazil	Encruzilhada	2000	53.2%	59.0%	47.7%
Brazil	Encruzilhada	2017	73.2%	77.5%	69.0%
Brazil	Encruzilhada do Sul	2000	77.0%	81.9%	70.7%
Brazil	Encruzilhada do Sul	2017	89.0%	91.6%	85.5%
Brazil	Enéas Marques	2000	65.4%	70.5%	60.2%
Brazil	Enéas Marques	2017	82.1%	85.5%	78.4%
Brazil	Engenheiro Beltrão	2000	62.0%	68.2%	56.4%
Brazil	Engenheiro Beltrão	2017	79.7%	83.7%	75.8%
Brazil	Engenheiro Caldas	2000	64.4%	68.9%	59.3%
Brazil	Engenheiro Caldas	2017	81.4%	84.5%	77.8%
Brazil	Engenheiro Coelho	2000	86.8%	89.0%	84.2%
Brazil	Engenheiro Coelho	2017	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Engenheiro Navarro	2000	66.0%	72.5%	58.4%
Brazil	Engenheiro Navarro	2017	82.4%	86.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Engenheiro Paulo de Front	2000	85.4%	87.3%	83.4%
Brazil	Engenheiro Paulo de Front	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Engenho Velho	2000	75.0%	79.4%	70.5%
Brazil	Engenho Velho	2017	87.9%	90.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Entre Folhas	2000	65.5%	70.7%	59.8%
Brazil	Entre Folhas	2017	82.1%	85.4%	78.4%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2000	45.1%	51.3%	39.5%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2000	74.8%	79.7%	69.2%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2017	66.4%	71.7%	61.1%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2017	87.8%	90.6%	84.3%
Brazil	Entre Rios de Minas	2000	67.1%	71.9%	62.2%
Brazil	Entre Rios de Minas	2017	83.2%	86.2%	80.0%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Oeste	2000	59.9%	67.3%	53.1%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Oeste	2017	78.2%	83.2%	73.1%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Sul	2000	76.0%	80.6%	70.9%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Sul	2017	88.5%	91.2%	85.7%
Brazil	Entre-Ijuís	2000	75.0%	78.9%	70.2%
Brazil	Entre-Ijuís	2017	87.8%	90.0%	85.2%
Brazil	Envira	2000	50.1%	60.4%	40.8%
Brazil	Envira	2017	70.5%	78.1%	62.4%
Brazil	Epitaciolândia	2000	47.4%	55.7%	38.8%
Brazil	Epitaciolândia	2017	68.5%	75.4%	60.8%
Brazil	Equador	2000	51.2%	58.2%	45.8%
Brazil	Equador	2017	71.6%	77.2%	66.7%
Brazil	Erebango	2000	75.1%	79.0%	70.9%
Brazil	Erebango	2017	88.0%	90.3%	85.5%
Brazil	Erechim	2000	78.1%	81.7%	74.4%
Brazil	Erechim	2017	89.6%	91.6%	87.5%
Brazil	Ererê	2000	48.3%	54.5%	41.8%
Brazil	Ererê	2017	69.2%	74.1%	63.6%
Brazil	Érico Cardoso	2000	48.5%	55.2%	42.5%
Brazil	Érico Cardoso	2017	69.2%	74.6%	63.4%
Brazil	Ermo	2000	78.6%	82.8%	74.4%
Brazil	Ermo	2017	89.9%	92.1%	87.4%
Brazil	Ernestina	2000	73.7%	77.7%	68.5%
Brazil	Ernestina	2017	87.1%	89.5%	84.1%
Brazil	Ervál	2000	73.2%	80.0%	65.2%
Brazil	Ervál	2017	86.8%	90.6%	82.1%
Brazil	Ervál Grande	2000	77.2%	81.3%	73.4%
Brazil	Ervál Grande	2017	89.1%	91.4%	86.8%
Brazil	Ervál Seco	2000	75.7%	79.6%	71.2%
Brazil	Ervál Seco	2017	88.2%	90.4%	85.5%
Brazil	Ervál Velho	2000	78.3%	82.3%	73.4%
Brazil	Ervál Velho	2017	89.7%	91.9%	86.8%
Brazil	Ervália	2000	72.0%	76.2%	67.1%
Brazil	Ervália	2017	86.2%	88.7%	83.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Escada	2000	39.4%	42.7%	35.9%
Brazil	Escada	2017	61.1%	64.3%	57.5%
Brazil	Esmeralda	2000	78.2%	84.2%	72.0%
Brazil	Esmeralda	2017	89.6%	92.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Esmeraldas	2000	66.6%	69.9%	63.4%
Brazil	Esmeraldas	2017	83.0%	84.9%	81.1%
Brazil	Espera Feliz	2000	67.9%	73.0%	63.0%
Brazil	Espera Feliz	2017	83.7%	86.8%	80.4%
Brazil	Esperança	2000	52.0%	57.2%	47.6%
Brazil	Esperança	2017	72.4%	76.3%	68.4%
Brazil	Esperança do Sul	2000	75.2%	80.7%	69.5%
Brazil	Esperança do Sul	2017	88.0%	91.1%	84.6%
Brazil	Esperança Nova	2000	54.4%	61.7%	46.7%
Brazil	Esperança Nova	2017	74.3%	79.5%	67.8%
Brazil	Esperantina	2000	44.9%	51.8%	38.5%
Brazil	Esperantina	2000	36.2%	42.6%	29.9%
Brazil	Esperantina	2017	57.7%	64.5%	50.3%
Brazil	Esperantina	2017	66.2%	72.2%	60.0%
Brazil	Esperantinópolis	2000	32.8%	40.3%	26.4%
Brazil	Esperantinópolis	2017	54.1%	61.9%	46.1%
Brazil	Espigão Alto do Iguaçu	2000	64.5%	70.6%	57.5%
Brazil	Espigão Alto do Iguaçu	2017	81.4%	85.3%	76.6%
Brazil	Espigão d'Oeste	2000	55.3%	61.8%	48.8%
Brazil	Espigão d'Oeste	2017	74.9%	79.7%	69.6%
Brazil	Espinosa	2000	57.4%	64.4%	51.3%
Brazil	Espinosa	2017	76.4%	81.1%	71.6%
Brazil	Espírito Santo	2000	60.5%	64.7%	55.3%
Brazil	Espírito Santo	2017	78.7%	81.7%	75.1%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Dourado	2000	63.4%	68.4%	58.9%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Dourado	2017	80.8%	84.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Pinhal	2000	81.2%	84.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Pinhal	2017	91.3%	92.9%	89.3%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Turvo	2000	87.1%	90.4%	82.4%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Turvo	2017	94.2%	95.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Esplanada	2000	47.7%	53.9%	41.8%
Brazil	Esplanada	2017	68.8%	73.8%	63.4%
Brazil	Espumoso	2000	74.6%	78.9%	70.0%
Brazil	Espumoso	2017	87.6%	90.3%	84.7%
Brazil	Estação	2000	74.1%	76.5%	71.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Estação	2017	88.2%	89.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Estância	2000	65.5%	70.1%	61.2%
Brazil	Estância	2017	82.1%	85.2%	79.3%
Brazil	Estância Velha	2000	76.6%	80.6%	72.8%
Brazil	Estância Velha	2017	88.8%	91.2%	86.6%
Brazil	Esteio	2000	75.7%	77.4%	74.0%
Brazil	Esteio	2017	88.3%	89.3%	87.0%
Brazil	Estiva	2000	69.0%	74.2%	62.7%
Brazil	Estiva	2017	84.3%	87.5%	80.3%
Brazil	Estiva Gerbi	2000	84.0%	87.0%	80.9%
Brazil	Estiva Gerbi	2017	92.7%	94.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Estreito	2000	23.9%	29.8%	18.8%
Brazil	Estreito	2017	43.0%	51.0%	36.0%
Brazil	Estrela	2000	75.3%	78.3%	72.2%
Brazil	Estrela	2017	88.2%	89.8%	86.1%
Brazil	Estrela dalva	2000	74.5%	79.7%	68.6%
Brazil	Estrela dalva	2017	87.6%	90.5%	83.9%
Brazil	Estrela de Alagoas	2000	33.3%	37.8%	28.9%
Brazil	Estrela de Alagoas	2017	54.7%	59.7%	49.9%
Brazil	Estrela do Indaiá	2000	66.2%	73.1%	60.2%
Brazil	Estrela do Indaiá	2017	82.6%	86.8%	78.5%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2000	77.9%	82.4%	72.3%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2000	45.6%	53.4%	37.5%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2017	89.5%	92.0%	86.2%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2017	66.9%	73.6%	59.1%
Brazil	Estrela do Oeste	2000	83.6%	87.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Estrela do Oeste	2017	92.5%	94.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Estrela do Sul	2000	61.7%	68.0%	54.0%
Brazil	Estrela do Sul	2017	79.5%	83.7%	74.3%
Brazil	Estrela Velha	2000	74.6%	79.9%	68.9%
Brazil	Estrela Velha	2017	87.6%	90.5%	84.1%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha	2000	46.6%	52.0%	41.3%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha	2017	67.8%	72.7%	62.9%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha	2000	61.8%	70.0%	53.8%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha Paulista	2017	79.6%	85.0%	73.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Eugênio de Castro	2000	74.3%	79.5%	68.1%
Brazil	Eugênio de Castro	2017	87.5%	90.3%	84.0%
Brazil	Eugenópolis	2000	71.9%	76.8%	66.8%
Brazil	Eugenópolis	2017	86.1%	88.9%	82.8%
Brazil	Eunápolis	2000	47.2%	51.8%	42.4%
Brazil	Eunápolis	2017	68.4%	72.3%	64.0%
Brazil	Eusébio	2000	42.4%	45.5%	39.5%
Brazil	Eusébio	2017	65.2%	68.3%	62.4%
Brazil	Ewbank da Câmara	2000	63.1%	68.2%	58.0%
Brazil	Ewbank da Câmara	2017	80.5%	84.1%	77.0%
Brazil	Extrema	2000	85.4%	88.1%	82.2%
Brazil	Extrema	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Extremoz	2000	57.8%	61.9%	53.7%
Brazil	Extremoz	2017	76.8%	79.4%	73.7%
Brazil	Exu	2000	43.3%	49.0%	37.4%
Brazil	Exu	2017	64.9%	70.2%	59.4%
Brazil	Fagundes	2000	39.8%	44.1%	35.3%
Brazil	Fagundes	2017	61.5%	65.6%	56.9%
Brazil	Fagundes Varela	2000	75.0%	79.1%	70.3%
Brazil	Fagundes Varela	2017	87.9%	90.3%	85.1%
Brazil	Faina	2000	44.5%	51.8%	38.1%
Brazil	Faina	2017	65.9%	72.3%	59.3%
Brazil	Fama	2000	62.4%	67.8%	56.5%
Brazil	Fama	2017	80.0%	83.8%	75.7%
Brazil	Faria Lemos	2000	66.0%	71.3%	61.1%
Brazil	Faria Lemos	2017	82.4%	85.5%	79.2%
Brazil	Farias Brito	2000	43.2%	48.4%	37.5%
Brazil	Farias Brito	2017	64.8%	69.7%	59.4%
Brazil	Faro	2000	47.5%	55.2%	39.7%
Brazil	Faro	2017	68.4%	74.8%	62.0%
Brazil	Farol	2000	64.9%	70.1%	57.6%
Brazil	Farol	2017	81.7%	85.0%	76.7%
Brazil	Farroupilha	2000	77.2%	79.8%	74.9%
Brazil	Farroupilha	2017	89.2%	90.6%	87.9%
Brazil	Fartura	2000	81.1%	85.2%	75.9%
Brazil	Fartura	2017	91.2%	93.3%	88.4%
Brazil	Fartura do Piauí	2000	45.1%	52.9%	36.8%
Brazil	Fartura do Piauí	2017	66.4%	72.9%	58.1%
Brazil	Fátima	2000	47.8%	53.0%	41.2%
Brazil	Fátima	2000	19.9%	26.7%	14.6%
Brazil	Fátima	2017	68.8%	73.2%	63.0%
Brazil	Fátima	2017	37.4%	46.8%	29.2%
Brazil	Fatima do Sul	2000	29.2%	34.5%	24.3%
Brazil	Fatima do Sul	2017	49.8%	56.3%	43.5%
Brazil	Faxinal	2000	67.8%	73.2%	62.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Faxinal	2017	83.6%	86.8%	80.0%
Brazil	Faxinal do Soturno	2000	75.0%	79.5%	70.1%
Brazil	Faxinal do Soturno	2017	87.9%	90.4%	85.0%
Brazil	Faxinal dos Guedes	2000	78.6%	82.9%	72.8%
Brazil	Faxinal dos Guedes	2017	89.8%	92.0%	86.4%
Brazil	Faxinalzinho	2000	76.7%	80.8%	72.4%
Brazil	Faxinalzinho	2017	88.8%	91.2%	86.4%
Brazil	Fazenda Nova	2000	43.2%	50.2%	36.5%
Brazil	Fazenda Nova	2017	64.7%	71.0%	58.1%
Brazil	Fazenda Rio Grande	2000	67.7%	70.7%	64.7%
Brazil	Fazenda Rio Grande	2017	83.6%	85.4%	81.6%
Brazil	Fazenda Vilanova	2000	75.4%	78.4%	71.4%
Brazil	Fazenda Vilanova	2017	88.1%	89.8%	85.8%
Brazil	Feijó	2000	51.4%	58.9%	43.6%
Brazil	Feijó	2017	71.5%	77.3%	65.0%
Brazil	Feira da Mata	2000	49.7%	57.1%	42.4%
Brazil	Feira da Mata	2017	70.4%	76.3%	63.9%
Brazil	Feira de Santana	2000	47.0%	49.8%	44.1%
Brazil	Feira de Santana	2017	68.2%	70.9%	65.6%
Brazil	Feira Grande	2000	35.1%	39.6%	31.0%
Brazil	Feira Grande	2017	56.6%	61.6%	52.2%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2000	62.8%	67.7%	57.6%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2000	39.8%	43.7%	36.2%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2017	80.4%	83.8%	76.6%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2017	61.5%	65.4%	57.9%
Brazil	Feira Nova do Maranhão	2000	30.8%	41.9%	22.7%
Brazil	Feira Nova do Maranhão	2017	51.7%	63.5%	41.6%
Brazil	Felício dos Santos	2000	65.8%	72.4%	57.9%
Brazil	Felício dos Santos	2017	82.2%	86.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Felipe Guerra	2000	58.1%	64.1%	50.8%
Brazil	Felipe Guerra	2017	77.0%	81.1%	71.8%
Brazil	Felisberto Caldeira	2000	65.3%	73.7%	56.4%
Brazil	Felisberto Caldeira	2017	81.9%	87.3%	75.8%
Brazil	Felisburgo	2000	65.3%	72.5%	56.2%
Brazil	Felisburgo	2017	81.9%	86.5%	75.3%
Brazil	Felixlândia	2000	68.9%	76.2%	60.5%
Brazil	Felixlândia	2017	84.2%	88.5%	78.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Feliz	2000	73.8%	76.8%	70.7%
Brazil	Feliz	2017	87.2%	89.1%	85.3%
Brazil	Feliz Deserto	2000	34.0%	41.2%	27.8%
Brazil	Feliz Deserto	2017	55.3%	62.8%	48.2%
Brazil	Feliz Natal	2000	49.9%	60.9%	40.8%
Brazil	Feliz Natal	2017	72.6%	80.7%	65.1%
Brazil	Fênix	2000	61.7%	67.4%	56.0%
Brazil	Fênix	2017	79.6%	83.6%	75.7%
Brazil	Fernandes Pinheiro	2000	64.5%	69.8%	58.9%
Brazil	Fernandes Pinheiro	2017	81.5%	84.9%	77.6%
Brazil	Fernandes Tourinho	2000	64.2%	68.8%	58.4%
Brazil	Fernandes Tourinho	2017	81.2%	84.2%	77.4%
Brazil	Fernando de Noronha	2000	41.0%	66.5%	16.0%
Brazil	Fernando de Noronha	2017	61.2%	82.7%	31.6%
Brazil	Fernando Fal- cão	2000	32.8%	43.7%	23.9%
Brazil	Fernando Fal- cão	2017	53.8%	65.1%	43.2%
Brazil	Fernando Pe- droza	2000	62.7%	69.2%	55.0%
Brazil	Fernando Pe- droza	2017	80.2%	84.4%	75.1%
Brazil	Fernando Prestes	2000	86.4%	89.6%	82.7%
Brazil	Fernando Prestes	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Fernandópolis	2000	84.9%	89.0%	80.7%
Brazil	Fernandópolis	2017	93.2%	95.2%	91.0%
Brazil	Ferno	2000	86.5%	90.0%	82.0%
Brazil	Ferno	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Ferraz de Vas- con	2000	88.5%	89.6%	87.5%
Brazil	Ferraz de Vas- con	2017	94.9%	95.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Ferreira Gomes	2000	49.6%	57.2%	40.8%
Brazil	Ferreira Gomes	2017	70.3%	76.4%	62.5%
Brazil	Ferreiros	2000	44.0%	47.5%	40.2%
Brazil	Ferreiros	2017	65.5%	68.8%	61.7%
Brazil	Ferros	2000	65.7%	70.9%	59.7%
Brazil	Ferros	2017	82.2%	85.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Fervedouro	2000	70.0%	74.7%	65.0%
Brazil	Fervedouro	2017	84.9%	87.7%	81.8%
Brazil	Figueira	2000	62.5%	69.1%	56.2%
Brazil	Figueira	2017	80.1%	85.0%	75.6%
Brazil	Figueirópolis	2000	20.7%	26.2%	15.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Figueirópolis	2017	38.6%	45.8%	30.9%
Brazil	Figueirópolis d'Oeste	2000	48.7%	57.2%	39.1%
Brazil	Figueirópolis d'Oeste	2017	69.5%	76.6%	60.8%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2000	42.4%	47.5%	37.7%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2000	22.9%	29.5%	16.7%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2017	64.0%	68.7%	59.4%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2017	41.4%	49.5%	31.9%
Brazil	Firmino Alves	2000	47.7%	53.6%	41.0%
Brazil	Firmino Alves	2017	68.8%	73.5%	63.1%
Brazil	Firminópolis	2000	44.0%	50.3%	38.1%
Brazil	Firminópolis	2017	65.5%	71.1%	59.7%
Brazil	Flexeiras	2000	34.9%	39.5%	30.4%
Brazil	Flexeiras	2017	56.4%	61.5%	51.3%
Brazil	Flor da Serra do Sul	2000	71.4%	77.2%	65.4%
Brazil	Flor da Serra do Sul	2017	85.8%	89.1%	82.1%
Brazil	Flor do Sertão	2000	75.8%	80.0%	70.8%
Brazil	Flor do Sertão	2017	88.3%	90.6%	85.4%
Brazil	Flora Rica	2000	85.5%	88.9%	81.8%
Brazil	Flora Rica	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Floraí	2000	64.0%	68.4%	58.8%
Brazil	Floraí	2017	81.1%	84.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Florânia	2000	63.7%	70.0%	57.3%
Brazil	Florânia	2017	81.0%	84.9%	76.5%
Brazil	Floreal	2000	87.7%	91.4%	83.7%
Brazil	Floreal	2017	94.5%	96.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Flores	2000	41.0%	45.8%	35.8%
Brazil	Flores	2017	62.7%	67.0%	57.4%
Brazil	Flores da Cunha	2000	74.3%	77.1%	71.3%
Brazil	Flores da Cunha	2017	87.5%	89.2%	85.6%
Brazil	Flores de Goiás	2000	41.4%	48.9%	34.4%
Brazil	Flores de Goiás	2017	62.9%	69.6%	55.6%
Brazil	Flores do Piauí	2000	46.4%	56.5%	34.8%
Brazil	Flores do Piauí	2017	67.5%	75.6%	56.5%
Brazil	Floresta	2000	60.9%	66.4%	56.1%
Brazil	Floresta	2000	37.6%	44.8%	31.5%
Brazil	Floresta	2017	79.0%	82.8%	75.7%
Brazil	Floresta	2017	59.2%	66.4%	52.3%
Brazil	Floresta Azul	2000	46.3%	52.2%	40.5%
Brazil	Floresta Azul	2017	67.6%	72.7%	62.3%
Brazil	Floresta do Araguaia	2000	45.8%	53.2%	39.3%
Brazil	Floresta do Araguaia	2017	66.9%	73.6%	61.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Floresta do Piauí	2000	44.9%	53.8%	37.2%
Brazil	Floresta do Piauí	2017	66.2%	74.2%	58.7%
Brazil	Florestal	2000	66.1%	70.0%	62.0%
Brazil	Florestal	2017	84.1%	86.4%	81.6%
Brazil	Florestópolis	2000	70.3%	75.5%	64.4%
Brazil	Florestópolis	2017	85.1%	88.2%	81.3%
Brazil	Floriano	2000	44.8%	52.7%	36.9%
Brazil	Floriano	2017	66.2%	73.0%	58.7%
Brazil	Floriano	2000	74.2%	78.6%	69.7%
Brazil	Floriano Peixoto	2017	87.5%	89.9%	84.5%
Brazil	Florianópolis	2000	77.8%	81.1%	73.4%
Brazil	Florianópolis	2017	89.5%	91.1%	87.4%
Brazil	Flórida	2000	62.6%	68.4%	56.8%
Brazil	Flórida	2017	80.1%	84.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Flórida Paulista	2000	86.1%	89.0%	82.7%
Brazil	Flórida Paulista	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Florínia	2000	73.0%	78.2%	67.6%
Brazil	Florínia	2017	86.8%	89.7%	83.5%
Brazil	Floriniópolis	2000	79.0%	81.8%	75.5%
Brazil	Floriniópolis	2017	90.1%	91.6%	88.1%
Brazil	Fonte Boa	2000	49.4%	60.1%	37.9%
Brazil	Fonte Boa	2017	69.9%	78.4%	59.3%
Brazil	Fontoura Xavier	2000	76.4%	80.4%	71.4%
Brazil	Fontoura Xavier	2017	88.6%	91.1%	85.9%
Brazil	Formiga	2000	68.1%	73.8%	62.2%
Brazil	Formiga	2017	83.7%	87.2%	79.6%
Brazil	Formigueiro	2000	75.2%	79.7%	69.8%
Brazil	Formigueiro	2017	88.0%	90.5%	84.8%
Brazil	Formosa	2000	54.7%	59.6%	49.5%
Brazil	Formosa	2017	74.3%	77.7%	70.1%
Brazil	Formosa da Serra Negra	2000	33.7%	44.5%	24.8%
Brazil	Formosa da Serra Negra	2017	54.9%	65.4%	44.8%
Brazil	Formosa do Oeste	2000	68.2%	74.1%	61.9%
Brazil	Formosa do Oeste	2017	82.1%	86.2%	77.7%
Brazil	Formosa do Rio Preto	2000	45.6%	57.0%	36.1%
Brazil	Formosa do Rio Preto	2017	66.6%	76.1%	57.7%
Brazil	Formosa do Sul	2000	74.5%	79.6%	68.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Formosa do Sul	2017	87.6%	90.5%	83.9%
Brazil	Formoso	2000	46.5%	54.3%	38.6%
Brazil	Formoso	2000	52.5%	62.6%	43.0%
Brazil	Formoso	2017	67.7%	74.4%	60.4%
Brazil	Formoso	2017	72.6%	80.2%	64.3%
Brazil	Formoso do Araguaia	2000	18.7%	24.8%	14.0%
Brazil	Formoso do Araguaia	2017	35.6%	44.7%	28.1%
Brazil	Forquilha	2000	45.6%	49.9%	40.7%
Brazil	Forquilha	2017	66.9%	71.1%	61.9%
Brazil	Forquilha	2000	80.4%	84.0%	76.1%
Brazil	Forquilha	2017	90.8%	92.8%	88.5%
Brazil	Fortaleza	2000	42.9%	44.5%	41.5%
Brazil	Fortaleza	2017	64.5%	66.2%	62.9%
Brazil	Fortaleza de Minas	2000	69.9%	75.6%	64.5%
Brazil	Fortaleza de Minas	2017	84.9%	88.3%	81.8%
Brazil	Fortaleza do Tabocão	2000	18.1%	24.6%	13.4%
Brazil	Fortaleza do Tabocão	2017	34.8%	43.9%	27.5%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Nogueiras	2000	36.6%	48.2%	27.4%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Nogueiras	2017	58.0%	69.2%	48.0%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Valos	2000	74.2%	79.9%	68.4%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Valos	2017	87.4%	90.6%	83.8%
Brazil	Fortim	2000	43.0%	48.6%	37.4%
Brazil	Fortim	2017	64.6%	69.8%	59.2%
Brazil	Fortuna	2000	32.5%	40.1%	25.6%
Brazil	Fortuna	2017	53.7%	61.7%	46.2%
Brazil	Fortuna de Minas	2000	65.3%	70.6%	59.7%
Brazil	Fortuna de Minas	2017	82.0%	85.3%	78.2%
Brazil	Foz do Iguaçu	2000	65.8%	70.3%	60.9%
Brazil	Foz do Iguaçu	2017	82.2%	85.2%	79.0%
Brazil	Foz do Jordão	2000	63.6%	70.3%	57.0%
Brazil	Foz do Jordão	2017	80.8%	85.2%	76.1%
Brazil	Fraiburgo	2000	80.9%	84.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Fraiburgo	2017	91.1%	93.2%	88.5%
Brazil	Franca	2000	83.0%	86.0%	79.8%
Brazil	Franca	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.5%
Brazil	Francinópolis	2000	45.0%	53.8%	36.7%
Brazil	Francinópolis	2017	66.3%	74.1%	58.8%
Brazil	Francisco Alves	2000	60.2%	65.9%	53.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Francisco Alves	2017	78.5%	82.5%	73.2%
Brazil	Francisco Ayres	2000	43.3%	51.6%	35.3%
Brazil	Francisco Ayres	2017	64.7%	71.7%	56.8%
Brazil	Francisco Badaró	2000	65.1%	71.2%	58.4%
Brazil	Francisco Badaró	2017	81.9%	85.7%	77.0%
Brazil	Francisco Beltrão	2000	68.3%	73.5%	63.5%
Brazil	Francisco Beltrão	2017	83.9%	87.0%	80.6%
Brazil	Francisco Dantas	2000	56.1%	61.8%	50.2%
Brazil	Francisco Dantas	2017	75.4%	79.6%	70.7%
Brazil	Francisco Dumon	2000	63.7%	70.9%	56.8%
Brazil	Francisco Dumon	2017	80.9%	85.5%	76.2%
Brazil	Francisco Macêdo	2000	42.1%	48.8%	34.1%
Brazil	Francisco Macêdo	2017	63.7%	69.6%	56.2%
Brazil	Francisco Morato	2000	89.2%	90.6%	87.7%
Brazil	Francisco Morato	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Francisco Sá	2000	64.7%	69.7%	60.0%
Brazil	Francisco Sá	2017	81.5%	84.5%	77.9%
Brazil	Francisco Santos	2000	43.6%	50.8%	36.4%
Brazil	Francisco Santos	2017	65.1%	71.5%	57.9%
Brazil	Franciscópolis	2000	68.5%	74.2%	61.9%
Brazil	Franciscópolis	2017	83.9%	87.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Franco da Rocha	2000	88.5%	90.0%	87.0%
Brazil	Franco da Rocha	2017	95.0%	95.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Frecheirinha	2000	43.3%	47.9%	38.2%
Brazil	Frecheirinha	2017	64.9%	69.2%	60.0%
Brazil	Frederico Westphalen	2000	76.5%	80.5%	72.2%
Brazil	Frederico Westphalen	2017	88.8%	91.0%	86.0%
Brazil	Frei Gaspar	2000	65.8%	72.0%	58.5%
Brazil	Frei Gaspar	2017	82.3%	86.4%	77.3%
Brazil	Frei Inocêncio	2000	63.5%	69.0%	56.5%
Brazil	Frei Inocêncio	2017	80.8%	84.6%	76.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Frei Lagonegro	2000	66.9%	72.9%	60.0%
Brazil	Frei Lagonegro	2017	83.1%	86.8%	78.4%
Brazil	Frei Martinho	2000	57.6%	63.2%	50.8%
Brazil	Frei Martinho	2017	76.6%	80.8%	71.5%
Brazil	Frei Miguelinho	2000	38.2%	42.6%	34.6%
Brazil	Frei Miguelinho	2017	60.0%	64.3%	56.0%
Brazil	Frei Paulo	2000	63.2%	68.4%	58.5%
Brazil	Frei Paulo	2017	80.6%	83.8%	77.2%
Brazil	Frei Rogério	2000	78.5%	83.0%	72.9%
Brazil	Frei Rogério	2017	89.8%	92.3%	86.5%
Brazil	Fronteira	2000	80.9%	85.2%	75.7%
Brazil	Fronteira	2017	91.1%	93.2%	88.2%
Brazil	Fronteira dos Vales	2000	63.0%	71.2%	54.9%
Brazil	Fronteira dos Vales	2017	80.3%	85.6%	74.6%
Brazil	Fronteiras	2000	43.8%	51.1%	36.2%
Brazil	Fronteiras	2017	65.2%	71.3%	57.9%
Brazil	Fruta de Leite	2000	67.4%	73.8%	59.5%
Brazil	Fruta de Leite	2017	83.3%	87.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Frutal	2000	74.3%	79.1%	68.0%
Brazil	Frutal	2017	87.5%	90.2%	83.8%
Brazil	Frutuoso Gomes	2000	58.0%	63.6%	51.8%
Brazil	Frutuoso Gomes	2017	76.9%	80.7%	71.8%
Brazil	Fundão	2000	54.6%	58.5%	50.3%
Brazil	Fundão	2017	74.4%	77.6%	70.9%
Brazil	Funilândia	2000	64.0%	69.6%	58.2%
Brazil	Funilândia	2017	81.1%	84.7%	77.1%
Brazil	Gabriel Monteiro	2000	87.4%	90.7%	83.7%
Brazil	Gabriel Monteiro	2017	94.4%	96.0%	92.5%
Brazil	Gado Bravo	2000	39.3%	44.0%	34.8%
Brazil	Gado Bravo	2017	61.0%	65.5%	56.3%
Brazil	Gália	2000	86.8%	90.3%	82.6%
Brazil	Gália	2017	94.1%	95.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Galiléia	2000	63.9%	69.9%	58.6%
Brazil	Galiléia	2017	81.0%	85.0%	77.1%
Brazil	Galinhas	2000	56.5%	66.8%	47.1%
Brazil	Galinhas	2017	75.7%	83.0%	68.4%
Brazil	Galvão	2000	71.3%	76.8%	65.2%
Brazil	Galvão	2017	85.7%	88.9%	81.9%
Brazil	Gameleira	2000	38.4%	42.7%	34.5%
Brazil	Gameleira	2017	60.1%	64.3%	56.0%
Brazil	Gameleiras	2000	59.7%	67.4%	51.2%
Brazil	Gameleiras	2017	78.1%	83.4%	72.0%
Brazil	Gandu	2000	46.5%	52.1%	40.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Gandu	2017	67.7%	72.5%	62.2%
Brazil	Garanhuns	2000	41.2%	44.6%	37.4%
Brazil	Garanhuns	2017	62.9%	66.6%	59.6%
Brazil	Gararu	2000	49.9%	54.8%	45.1%
Brazil	Gararu	2017	70.4%	74.6%	66.4%
Brazil	Garça	2000	87.7%	90.9%	84.3%
Brazil	Garça	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Garibaldi	2000	76.3%	79.2%	72.9%
Brazil	Garibaldi	2017	88.7%	90.4%	86.8%
Brazil	Garopaba	2000	76.8%	81.3%	71.5%
Brazil	Garopaba	2017	89.2%	91.6%	86.3%
Brazil	Garrafão do Norte	2000	52.8%	59.1%	46.4%
Brazil	Garrafão do Norte	2017	73.0%	78.0%	67.8%
Brazil	Garruchos	2000	74.3%	81.3%	66.9%
Brazil	Garruchos	2017	87.4%	91.4%	82.9%
Brazil	Garuva	2000	69.1%	75.1%	63.2%
Brazil	Garuva	2017	84.4%	87.9%	80.8%
Brazil	Gaspar	2000	78.2%	81.5%	75.1%
Brazil	Gaspar	2017	90.1%	91.8%	88.2%
Brazil	Gastão Vidigal	2000	87.3%	90.9%	83.4%
Brazil	Gastão Vidigal	2017	94.3%	95.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Gaúcha do Norte	2000	48.5%	60.0%	37.0%
Brazil	Gaúcha do Norte	2017	69.1%	78.2%	58.7%
Brazil	Gaurama	2000	76.0%	79.7%	71.5%
Brazil	Gaurama	2017	88.4%	90.6%	85.8%
Brazil	Gavião	2000	40.6%	46.5%	35.4%
Brazil	Gavião	2017	62.2%	68.1%	57.0%
Brazil	Gavião	2000	87.5%	90.7%	84.3%
Brazil	Gavião Peixoto	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Geminiano	2000	44.7%	51.3%	37.8%
Brazil	Geminiano	2017	66.0%	71.7%	59.4%
Brazil	General Câmara	2000	75.6%	79.6%	71.9%
Brazil	General Câmara	2017	88.2%	90.5%	86.0%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2000	74.2%	79.4%	68.6%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2000	49.1%	57.4%	41.2%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2017	87.4%	90.4%	84.2%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2017	69.8%	76.6%	62.6%
Brazil	General Maynard	2000	60.0%	64.3%	55.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	General Maynard	2017	78.4%	81.4%	74.7%
Brazil	General Salgado	2000	87.2%	90.8%	82.3%
Brazil	General Salgado	2017	94.3%	96.0%	91.8%
Brazil	General Sampaio	2000	43.7%	49.8%	37.3%
Brazil	General Sampaio	2017	65.2%	70.8%	59.0%
Brazil	Gentil	2000	76.2%	80.3%	71.7%
Brazil	Gentil	2017	88.5%	90.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Gentio do Ouro	2000	50.1%	56.5%	43.4%
Brazil	Gentio do Ouro	2017	70.6%	76.2%	64.5%
Brazil	Getulina	2000	87.7%	91.1%	83.4%
Brazil	Getulina	2017	94.5%	96.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Getúlio Vargas	2000	76.4%	80.3%	72.2%
Brazil	Getúlio Vargas	2017	88.7%	91.0%	86.3%
Brazil	Gilbués	2000	41.9%	53.6%	31.0%
Brazil	Gilbués	2017	63.3%	73.5%	51.8%
Brazil	Girau do Ponciano	2000	38.4%	42.2%	34.0%
Brazil	Girau do Ponciano	2017	60.2%	64.0%	55.7%
Brazil	Giruá	2000	74.2%	78.6%	69.9%
Brazil	Giruá	2017	87.4%	89.9%	84.9%
Brazil	Glaucilândia	2000	65.0%	70.2%	59.8%
Brazil	Glaucilândia	2017	81.9%	85.2%	78.5%
Brazil	Glicério	2000	87.4%	90.8%	83.6%
Brazil	Glicério	2017	94.4%	96.0%	92.5%
Brazil	Glória	2000	39.2%	44.4%	33.3%
Brazil	Glória	2017	60.8%	65.8%	54.6%
Brazil	Glória d'Oeste	2000	48.4%	55.7%	40.3%
Brazil	Glória d'Oeste	2017	69.3%	75.5%	61.8%
Brazil	Glória de Dourados	2000	30.4%	37.8%	25.0%
Brazil	Glória de Dourados	2017	51.3%	59.7%	44.6%
Brazil	Glória do Goitá	2000	40.4%	44.1%	36.9%
Brazil	Glória do Goitá	2017	62.1%	65.5%	58.5%
Brazil	Glorinha	2000	74.9%	78.5%	71.4%
Brazil	Glorinha	2017	87.8%	89.8%	85.8%
Brazil	Godofredo Viana	2000	38.6%	47.5%	30.8%
Brazil	Godofredo Viana	2017	61.5%	70.0%	53.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Godoy Moreira	2000	63.4%	69.4%	57.1%
Brazil	Godoy Moreira	2017	80.7%	84.6%	76.2%
Brazil	Goiabeira	2000	60.6%	66.4%	53.8%
Brazil	Goiabeira	2017	78.7%	82.6%	73.3%
Brazil	Goianá	2000	62.0%	67.7%	56.8%
Brazil	Goianá	2000	41.1%	45.4%	36.7%
Brazil	Goianá	2017	79.8%	83.3%	76.2%
Brazil	Goianá	2017	62.8%	67.2%	58.9%
Brazil	Goianópolis	2000	47.1%	51.0%	43.8%
Brazil	Goianópolis	2017	68.2%	71.5%	65.1%
Brazil	Goiandira	2000	44.1%	50.1%	38.4%
Brazil	Goiandira	2017	65.5%	70.9%	59.7%
Brazil	Goianésia	2000	47.0%	53.3%	40.6%
Brazil	Goianésia	2017	68.1%	73.5%	62.0%
Brazil	Goianésia do Pará	2000	55.8%	61.8%	49.6%
Brazil	Goianésia do Pará	2017	75.4%	79.7%	70.7%
Brazil	Goiania	2000	45.7%	47.3%	44.2%
Brazil	Goiania	2017	67.2%	68.8%	65.6%
Brazil	Goianinha	2000	64.0%	68.7%	59.2%
Brazil	Goianinha	2017	81.1%	84.1%	78.0%
Brazil	Goianira	2000	44.0%	47.4%	40.7%
Brazil	Goianira	2017	65.6%	68.8%	62.3%
Brazil	Goianorte	2000	22.6%	29.2%	16.6%
Brazil	Goianorte	2017	41.3%	50.1%	32.1%
Brazil	Goiás	2000	45.1%	51.4%	38.4%
Brazil	Goiás	2017	66.4%	72.1%	60.4%
Brazil	Goiatins	2000	19.9%	25.6%	14.7%
Brazil	Goiatins	2017	37.3%	45.4%	29.4%
Brazil	Goiatuba	2000	47.9%	53.9%	42.7%
Brazil	Goiatuba	2017	68.9%	73.9%	64.4%
Brazil	Goioerê	2000	68.3%	73.3%	62.4%
Brazil	Goioerê	2017	83.9%	87.1%	80.1%
Brazil	Goioxim	2000	66.4%	73.3%	59.8%
Brazil	Goioxim	2017	82.6%	86.9%	78.3%
Brazil	Gonçalves	2000	79.0%	82.8%	74.5%
Brazil	Gonçalves	2017	90.0%	92.1%	87.3%
Brazil	Gonçalves Dias	2000	32.6%	39.6%	26.0%
Brazil	Gonçalves Dias	2017	53.8%	61.5%	45.7%
Brazil	Gongogi	2000	47.6%	53.0%	42.5%
Brazil	Gongogi	2017	67.3%	72.0%	62.5%
Brazil	Gonzaga	2000	70.5%	75.9%	64.9%
Brazil	Gonzaga	2017	85.1%	88.3%	81.6%
Brazil	Gouvea	2000	71.7%	78.0%	65.4%
Brazil	Gouvea	2017	86.0%	89.6%	81.9%
Brazil	Gouvelândia	2000	47.2%	54.5%	40.5%
Brazil	Gouvelândia	2017	68.3%	74.4%	62.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Governador Archer	2000	32.6%	39.6%	25.6%
Brazil	Governador Archer	2017	53.9%	61.3%	45.5%
Brazil	Governador Celso Ramos	2000	76.3%	80.1%	71.9%
Brazil	Governador Celso Ramos	2017	88.6%	90.7%	86.1%
Brazil	Governador Dix-Sept Rosad	2000	61.7%	66.6%	55.6%
Brazil	Governador Dix-Sept Rosad	2017	79.6%	83.1%	75.1%
Brazil	Governador Edison Lobão	2000	26.1%	31.2%	20.5%
Brazil	Governador Edison Lobão	2017	46.2%	52.5%	38.6%
Brazil	Governador Eugênio Barros	2000	32.0%	38.8%	26.1%
Brazil	Governador Eugênio Barros	2017	53.2%	60.3%	45.9%
Brazil	Governador Jorge Teixeira	2000	54.4%	61.3%	46.3%
Brazil	Governador Jorge Teixeira	2017	74.2%	79.3%	67.7%
Brazil	Governador Luiz Rocha	2000	31.6%	39.4%	25.3%
Brazil	Governador Luiz Rocha	2017	55.6%	63.9%	48.5%
Brazil	Governador Mangabeira	2000	45.7%	49.8%	42.2%
Brazil	Governador Mangabeira	2017	66.9%	70.5%	63.6%
Brazil	Governador Newton Bello	2000	35.4%	41.7%	28.7%
Brazil	Governador Newton Bello	2017	56.8%	63.5%	49.2%
Brazil	Governador Nunes Freire	2000	38.6%	46.0%	31.1%
Brazil	Governador Nunes Freire	2017	60.1%	67.2%	51.6%
Brazil	Governador Valadares	2000	66.5%	70.1%	62.5%
Brazil	Governador Valadares	2017	82.7%	85.0%	80.0%
Brazil	Graça	2000	43.0%	47.6%	39.3%
Brazil	Graça	2017	64.6%	68.8%	60.6%
Brazil	Graça Aranha	2000	32.5%	39.7%	26.5%
Brazil	Graça Aranha	2017	53.8%	61.5%	46.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Gracho Cardoso	2000	60.3%	65.6%	54.7%
Brazil	Gracho Cardoso	2017	78.6%	82.4%	74.4%
Brazil	Grajaú	2000	31.7%	40.8%	23.7%
Brazil	Grajaú	2017	54.6%	64.3%	44.6%
Brazil	Gramado	2000	75.7%	78.9%	71.6%
Brazil	Gramado	2017	88.3%	90.0%	86.2%
Brazil	Gramado dos Loureiros	2000	76.5%	81.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Gramado dos Loureiros	2017	88.7%	91.3%	86.1%
Brazil	Gramado Xavier	2000	76.4%	80.8%	72.3%
Brazil	Gramado Xavier	2017	88.6%	91.1%	86.2%
Brazil	Grandes Rios	2000	64.4%	70.0%	58.9%
Brazil	Grandes Rios	2017	81.4%	85.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Granito	2000	41.1%	46.8%	36.3%
Brazil	Granito	2017	62.7%	68.1%	58.0%
Brazil	Granja	2000	42.3%	47.0%	37.9%
Brazil	Granja	2017	64.0%	68.4%	59.5%
Brazil	Granjeiro	2000	43.3%	49.2%	38.6%
Brazil	Granjeiro	2017	64.9%	70.1%	60.2%
Brazil	Grão Mogol	2000	68.7%	75.3%	61.2%
Brazil	Grão Mogol	2017	84.1%	87.9%	79.2%
Brazil	Grão Pará	2000	77.6%	82.3%	71.1%
Brazil	Grão Pará	2017	89.3%	91.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Gravatá	2000	43.0%	47.1%	39.6%
Brazil	Gravatá	2017	64.6%	68.7%	61.3%
Brazil	Gravataí	2000	75.0%	76.8%	73.1%
Brazil	Gravataí	2000	77.6%	81.8%	72.8%
Brazil	Gravataí	2017	87.8%	88.9%	86.5%
Brazil	Gravataí	2017	89.4%	91.7%	86.6%
Brazil	Groaíras	2000	42.9%	47.9%	37.9%
Brazil	Groaíras	2000	55.8%	62.4%	47.9%
Brazil	Groaíras	2017	64.5%	69.0%	59.5%
Brazil	Groaíras	2017	75.2%	80.2%	68.9%
Brazil	Grupiara	2000	51.6%	58.9%	44.7%
Brazil	Grupiara	2017	72.0%	77.7%	66.0%
Brazil	Guabiju	2000	76.3%	80.9%	71.3%
Brazil	Guabiju	2017	88.6%	91.1%	85.8%
Brazil	Guabiruba	2000	77.0%	80.5%	72.8%
Brazil	Guabiruba	2017	89.0%	90.8%	86.7%
Brazil	Guaçuí	2000	61.6%	66.9%	55.5%
Brazil	Guaçuí	2017	79.5%	82.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Guadalupe	2000	40.9%	49.9%	32.8%
Brazil	Guadalupe	2017	62.3%	70.6%	52.8%
Brazil	Guaíba	2000	74.7%	77.2%	71.8%
Brazil	Guaíba	2017	87.8%	89.3%	86.0%
Brazil	Guaíçara	2000	86.7%	89.7%	82.2%
Brazil	Guaíçara	2017	93.8%	95.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Guaimbê	2000	86.5%	90.0%	82.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guaimbé	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Guaira	2000	51.3%	57.7%	45.1%
Brazil	Guaira	2000	82.1%	86.1%	77.4%
Brazil	Guaira	2017	71.7%	76.8%	66.6%
Brazil	Guaira	2017	91.7%	93.7%	89.1%
Brazil	Guairaça	2000	65.6%	72.0%	59.2%
Brazil	Guairaça	2017	82.2%	86.1%	78.0%
Brazil	Guaiúba	2000	44.0%	48.6%	40.2%
Brazil	Guaiúba	2017	65.5%	69.7%	61.4%
Brazil	Guajará	2000	49.0%	54.5%	42.9%
Brazil	Guajará	2017	68.6%	73.0%	62.7%
Brazil	Guajará-Mirim	2000	56.8%	65.6%	48.3%
Brazil	Guajará-Mirim	2017	75.9%	82.3%	69.1%
Brazil	Guajeru	2000	44.7%	50.7%	39.0%
Brazil	Guajeru	2017	66.1%	71.5%	60.6%
Brazil	Guamaré	2000	56.8%	65.8%	47.7%
Brazil	Guamaré	2017	76.2%	82.6%	69.4%
Brazil	Guamiranga	2000	63.6%	69.1%	57.9%
Brazil	Guamiranga	2017	80.8%	84.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Guanambi	2000	45.3%	51.3%	39.4%
Brazil	Guanambi	2017	66.7%	71.5%	61.6%
Brazil	Guanhães	2000	70.0%	75.1%	64.3%
Brazil	Guanhães	2017	83.6%	87.0%	79.7%
Brazil	Guapé	2000	64.5%	70.8%	58.4%
Brazil	Guapé	2017	81.4%	85.5%	77.3%
Brazil	Guapiaçu	2000	85.5%	89.5%	80.6%
Brazil	Guapiaçu	2017	93.4%	95.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Guapiara	2000	87.2%	90.0%	84.4%
Brazil	Guapiara	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Guapimirim	2000	79.4%	81.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Guapimirim	2017	90.2%	91.4%	88.9%
Brazil	Guapirama	2000	65.9%	72.7%	61.0%
Brazil	Guapirama	2017	82.4%	86.5%	79.1%
Brazil	Guapó	2000	41.5%	47.6%	37.5%
Brazil	Guapó	2017	63.2%	68.7%	59.3%
Brazil	Guaporé	2000	77.7%	81.6%	72.6%
Brazil	Guaporé	2017	89.4%	91.6%	86.4%
Brazil	Guaporema	2000	66.3%	72.6%	59.7%
Brazil	Guaporema	2017	82.6%	86.6%	78.1%
Brazil	Guará	2000	84.2%	87.9%	80.5%
Brazil	Guará	2017	92.8%	94.7%	90.9%
Brazil	Guarabira	2000	49.1%	53.6%	44.6%
Brazil	Guarabira	2017	70.0%	74.0%	66.0%
Brazil	Guaraçai	2000	79.7%	84.3%	74.9%
Brazil	Guaraçai	2017	90.4%	92.9%	87.6%
Brazil	Guaraci	2000	67.0%	72.3%	61.5%
Brazil	Guaraci	2000	81.5%	85.8%	76.0%
Brazil	Guaraci	2017	91.4%	93.6%	88.8%
Brazil	Guaraci	2017	83.1%	86.4%	79.3%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2000	76.8%	82.1%	71.3%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2000	62.9%	68.8%	57.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2017	88.0%	91.0%	84.5%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2017	80.3%	84.2%	76.8%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2000	47.6%	52.9%	43.7%
Brazil	Guaraciaba do Norte	2017	68.7%	72.9%	65.1%
Brazil	Guaraciama	2000	66.2%	72.2%	59.7%
Brazil	Guaraciama	2017	82.6%	86.4%	78.2%
Brazil	Guaraíta	2000	42.5%	50.2%	35.3%
Brazil	Guaraíta	2017	64.1%	70.9%	56.6%
Brazil	Guaramiranga	2000	53.6%	57.7%	48.9%
Brazil	Guaramiranga	2017	73.6%	77.1%	69.5%
Brazil	Guaramirim	2000	79.9%	83.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Guaramirim	2017	90.8%	92.4%	88.9%
Brazil	Guaranesia	2000	71.9%	76.6%	67.0%
Brazil	Guaranesia	2017	86.1%	88.9%	83.1%
Brazil	Guarani	2000	62.5%	67.6%	56.6%
Brazil	Guarani	2017	80.1%	83.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Guarani das Missões	2000	74.3%	78.2%	69.6%
Brazil	Guarani das Missões	2017	87.5%	89.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Guarani de Goiás	2000	46.0%	52.7%	39.6%
Brazil	Guarani de Goiás	2017	67.3%	73.4%	61.0%
Brazil	Guarani do Oeste	2000	79.9%	85.6%	74.9%
Brazil	Guarani do Oeste	2017	90.5%	93.4%	87.8%
Brazil	Guaraniçu	2000	65.7%	71.3%	58.3%
Brazil	Guaraniçu	2017	82.2%	85.9%	77.3%
Brazil	Guarantã	2000	86.0%	89.3%	82.1%
Brazil	Guarantã	2017	93.7%	95.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Guarantã do Norte	2000	51.1%	59.7%	42.6%
Brazil	Guarantã do Norte	2017	71.6%	78.1%	63.9%
Brazil	Guarapari	2000	55.3%	60.0%	50.3%
Brazil	Guarapari	2017	74.9%	78.6%	70.5%
Brazil	Guarapuava	2000	68.0%	72.6%	63.4%
Brazil	Guarapuava	2017	83.7%	86.4%	80.7%
Brazil	Guaraqueçaba	2000	68.6%	75.6%	61.5%
Brazil	Guaraqueçaba	2017	84.0%	88.2%	79.2%
Brazil	Guarará	2000	69.4%	74.3%	63.9%
Brazil	Guarará	2017	84.6%	87.5%	81.4%
Brazil	Guararapes	2000	84.6%	87.1%	82.1%
Brazil	Guararapes	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Guararema	2000	87.0%	90.2%	82.6%
Brazil	Guararema	2017	94.2%	95.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Guaratinga	2000	51.3%	57.7%	45.5%
Brazil	Guaratinga	2017	71.7%	76.9%	66.7%
Brazil	Guaratinguetá	2000	86.2%	88.4%	84.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guaratinguetá	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Guaratuba	2000	67.5%	74.1%	61.1%
Brazil	Guaratuba	2017	83.4%	87.5%	79.1%
Brazil	Guarda-Mor	2000	57.8%	65.8%	49.8%
Brazil	Guarda-Mor	2017	76.8%	82.2%	70.4%
Brazil	Guareí	2000	85.7%	89.2%	81.6%
Brazil	Guareí	2017	93.5%	95.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Guariba	2000	85.2%	88.5%	81.7%
Brazil	Guariba	2017	93.3%	94.9%	91.7%
Brazil	Guaribas	2000	49.3%	58.6%	38.5%
Brazil	Guaribas	2017	70.0%	77.7%	60.1%
Brazil	Guarinos	2000	44.8%	51.1%	37.4%
Brazil	Guarinos	2017	66.2%	71.9%	59.5%
Brazil	Guarujá	2000	89.0%	90.7%	87.1%
Brazil	Guarujá	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Guarujá do Sul	2000	73.9%	79.5%	66.7%
Brazil	Guarujá do Sul	2017	87.2%	90.4%	82.8%
Brazil	Guarulhos	2000	88.1%	89.1%	87.0%
Brazil	Guarulhos	2017	94.7%	95.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Guatambú	2000	77.5%	81.3%	73.6%
Brazil	Guatambú	2017	89.3%	91.4%	87.2%
Brazil	Guataparά	2000	85.4%	88.4%	81.3%
Brazil	Guataparά	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.4%
Brazil	Guaxupé	2000	73.2%	77.9%	68.8%
Brazil	Guaxupé	2017	86.8%	89.4%	84.1%
Brazil	Guia Branca	2000	58.5%	64.4%	52.6%
Brazil	Guia Branca	2017	77.3%	81.4%	73.2%
Brazil	Guia Lopes da Laguna	2000	28.3%	34.8%	22.3%
Brazil	Guia Lopes da Laguna	2017	49.2%	56.7%	41.7%
Brazil	Guidoval	2000	65.0%	69.0%	60.4%
Brazil	Guidoval	2017	81.8%	84.4%	78.3%
Brazil	Guimarāes	2000	31.7%	40.1%	24.1%
Brazil	Guimarāes	2017	52.6%	61.4%	42.8%
Brazil	Guimarania	2000	64.9%	70.9%	59.5%
Brazil	Guimarania	2017	81.7%	85.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Guiratinga	2000	54.2%	64.1%	45.4%
Brazil	Guiratinga	2017	73.9%	81.2%	66.3%
Brazil	Guiricema	2000	65.9%	70.1%	61.0%
Brazil	Guiricema	2017	82.4%	85.1%	79.1%
Brazil	Gurinhata	2000	66.4%	73.9%	59.8%
Brazil	Gurinhata	2017	82.6%	87.2%	78.3%
Brazil	Gurinhém	2000	45.7%	51.1%	41.2%
Brazil	Gurinhém	2017	67.1%	71.7%	62.7%
Brazil	Gurjāo	2000	43.4%	50.8%	36.7%
Brazil	Gurjāo	2017	64.9%	71.5%	58.4%
Brazil	Gurupá	2000	50.6%	57.7%	42.8%
Brazil	Gurupá	2017	71.1%	76.5%	64.2%
Brazil	Gurupi	2000	19.4%	23.4%	15.7%
Brazil	Gurupi	2017	37.0%	42.5%	31.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guzolandia	2000	84.6%	88.8%	79.4%
Brazil	Guzolandia	2017	93.0%	95.0%	90.4%
Brazil	Harmonia	2000	73.6%	77.0%	70.0%
Brazil	Harmonia	2017	87.1%	89.1%	84.8%
Brazil	Heitoraí	2000	43.6%	49.6%	38.5%
Brazil	Heitoraí	2017	65.1%	70.8%	60.5%
Brazil	Heliadora	2000	64.3%	69.8%	58.7%
Brazil	Heliadora	2017	81.3%	85.1%	77.4%
Brazil	Heliópolis	2000	46.5%	51.8%	40.7%
Brazil	Heliópolis	2017	67.8%	72.5%	62.2%
Brazil	Herculândia	2000	86.4%	89.6%	82.0%
Brazil	Herculândia	2017	93.9%	95.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Herval	2000	79.7%	83.2%	75.0%
Brazil	Herval d'Oeste	2017	89.4%	91.5%	86.5%
Brazil	Herveiras	2000	76.7%	81.0%	71.9%
Brazil	Herveiras	2017	88.8%	91.3%	86.1%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2000	41.3%	47.3%	35.6%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2000	45.2%	49.0%	41.6%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2017	67.4%	71.2%	64.1%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2017	62.9%	68.5%	57.5%
Brazil	Hidrolina	2000	44.6%	51.4%	37.6%
Brazil	Hidrolina	2017	66.0%	71.9%	59.1%
Brazil	Holambra	2000	85.7%	88.0%	83.3%
Brazil	Holambra	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Honório Serpa	2000	66.7%	73.2%	60.2%
Brazil	Honório Serpa	2017	82.9%	86.8%	78.4%
Brazil	Horizonte	2000	43.2%	47.1%	39.7%
Brazil	Horizonte	2017	67.8%	71.4%	64.2%
Brazil	Horizontina	2000	76.6%	80.4%	71.6%
Brazil	Horizontina	2017	88.8%	90.9%	85.9%
Brazil	Hortolândia	2000	87.8%	89.3%	86.0%
Brazil	Hortolândia	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Hugo	2000	45.5%	53.8%	37.2%
Brazil	Hugo Napoleão	2017	66.8%	74.1%	58.4%
Brazil	Hulha Negra	2000	73.8%	79.0%	67.4%
Brazil	Hulha Negra	2017	87.2%	90.1%	83.0%
Brazil	Humaitá	2000	75.5%	79.6%	71.1%
Brazil	Humaitá	2000	52.3%	61.9%	44.1%
Brazil	Humaitá	2017	88.1%	90.6%	85.6%
Brazil	Humaitá	2017	72.4%	79.4%	65.8%
Brazil	Humberto Campos	2000	31.3%	40.1%	23.3%
Brazil	Humberto Campos	2017	52.2%	61.9%	42.4%
Brazil	Iacanga	2000	87.1%	90.5%	82.7%
Brazil	Iacanga	2017	94.2%	95.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Iaciara	2000	41.1%	48.1%	33.2%
Brazil	Iaciara	2017	62.7%	69.1%	54.8%
Brazil	Iacri	2000	87.6%	90.6%	83.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Iacri	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Iaçu	2000	41.3%	47.8%	35.1%
Brazil	Iaçu	2000	65.9%	70.3%	61.3%
Brazil	Iaçu	2017	62.8%	68.4%	56.3%
Brazil	Iaçu	2017	82.4%	85.0%	79.3%
Brazil	Iaras	2000	87.5%	90.6%	83.2%
Brazil	Iaras	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Iati	2000	36.2%	40.8%	31.8%
Brazil	Iati	2017	57.7%	62.8%	52.7%
Brazil	Ibaiti	2000	67.7%	73.3%	62.1%
Brazil	Ibaiti	2017	83.5%	87.1%	79.9%
Brazil	Ibarama	2000	75.5%	80.5%	70.4%
Brazil	Ibarama	2017	88.2%	91.0%	84.9%
Brazil	Ibaretama	2000	41.2%	46.4%	35.8%
Brazil	Ibaretama	2017	62.8%	67.9%	57.1%
Brazil	Ibaté	2000	88.1%	90.7%	85.6%
Brazil	Ibaté	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Ibateguara	2000	35.5%	40.2%	31.0%
Brazil	Ibateguara	2017	57.1%	62.1%	52.2%
Brazil	Ibatiba	2000	63.1%	68.2%	57.0%
Brazil	Ibatiba	2017	80.5%	83.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Ibema	2000	66.6%	72.9%	59.6%
Brazil	Ibema	2017	82.8%	86.8%	78.1%
Brazil	Ibertioga	2000	67.3%	74.2%	60.5%
Brazil	Ibertioga	2017	83.3%	87.6%	79.0%
Brazil	Ibiá	2000	65.4%	72.1%	55.7%
Brazil	Ibiá	2017	82.0%	86.3%	75.3%
Brazil	Ibiaçá	2000	75.0%	80.2%	70.1%
Brazil	Ibiaçá	2017	87.9%	90.6%	84.9%
Brazil	Ibiaí	2000	64.9%	72.5%	56.0%
Brazil	Ibiaí	2017	81.6%	86.5%	75.4%
Brazil	Ibiam	2000	78.9%	83.5%	74.2%
Brazil	Ibiam	2017	90.1%	92.5%	87.1%
Brazil	Ibiapina	2000	48.1%	53.1%	43.8%
Brazil	Ibiapina	2017	69.1%	73.4%	65.1%
Brazil	Ibiara	2000	45.7%	51.6%	40.7%
Brazil	Ibiara	2017	67.0%	72.0%	62.1%
Brazil	Ibiassucê	2000	44.7%	50.2%	40.0%
Brazil	Ibiassucê	2017	64.4%	69.6%	59.6%
Brazil	Ibicaraí	2000	45.7%	51.4%	40.4%
Brazil	Ibicaraí	2017	67.0%	72.2%	61.7%
Brazil	Ibicaré	2000	77.5%	81.7%	72.2%
Brazil	Ibicaré	2017	89.3%	91.7%	86.3%
Brazil	Ibicoara	2000	53.8%	61.0%	46.4%
Brazil	Ibicoara	2017	73.9%	79.4%	67.5%
Brazil	Ibicuí	2000	50.1%	55.3%	44.5%
Brazil	Ibicuí	2017	71.0%	75.3%	66.2%
Brazil	Ibicuitinga	2000	41.1%	46.9%	35.2%
Brazil	Ibicuitinga	2017	62.7%	68.1%	56.9%
Brazil	Ibimirim	2000	37.4%	43.8%	31.7%
Brazil	Ibimirim	2017	59.0%	65.2%	52.7%
Brazil	Ibipeba	2000	42.6%	48.9%	37.2%
Brazil	Ibipeba	2017	64.2%	69.6%	59.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ibipitanga	2000	43.6%	49.4%	37.4%
Brazil	Ibipitanga	2017	65.1%	70.0%	59.0%
Brazil	Ibiporã	2000	67.3%	70.8%	64.0%
Brazil	Ibiporã	2017	83.2%	85.5%	81.1%
Brazil	Ibiquera	2000	46.8%	54.7%	38.6%
Brazil	Ibiquera	2017	67.9%	74.1%	60.1%
Brazil	Ibirá	2000	86.9%	89.7%	83.3%
Brazil	Ibirá	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Ibiracatu	2000	68.3%	73.8%	61.6%
Brazil	Ibiracatu	2017	83.9%	87.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Ibiraci	2000	76.5%	81.3%	71.0%
Brazil	Ibiraci	2017	88.7%	91.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Ibiraçu	2000	54.9%	59.5%	49.8%
Brazil	Ibiraçu	2017	74.6%	78.4%	70.8%
Brazil	Ibiraiaras	2000	76.1%	80.7%	70.5%
Brazil	Ibiraiaras	2017	88.5%	91.0%	85.3%
Brazil	Ibrajuba	2000	33.9%	37.6%	29.6%
Brazil	Ibrajuba	2017	55.4%	59.3%	50.1%
Brazil	Ibirama	2000	78.2%	82.5%	74.1%
Brazil	Ibirama	2017	89.7%	92.0%	87.2%
Brazil	Ibirapitanga	2000	47.9%	52.8%	43.2%
Brazil	Ibirapitanga	2017	69.0%	73.0%	65.0%
Brazil	Ibirapuã	2000	52.2%	58.4%	46.2%
Brazil	Ibirapuã	2017	72.5%	77.3%	67.2%
Brazil	Ibirapuitã	2000	74.9%	79.3%	70.4%
Brazil	Ibirapuitã	2017	87.8%	90.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Ibirarema	2000	80.4%	84.6%	75.7%
Brazil	Ibirarema	2017	90.9%	93.1%	88.2%
Brazil	Ibirataia	2000	48.0%	53.0%	42.6%
Brazil	Ibirataia	2017	69.1%	73.4%	64.0%
Brazil	Ibirité	2000	67.0%	69.3%	65.0%
Brazil	Ibirité	2017	83.1%	84.6%	81.6%
Brazil	Ibirubá	2000	76.3%	80.8%	71.6%
Brazil	Ibirubá	2017	88.6%	91.1%	85.6%
Brazil	Ibitiara	2000	47.8%	54.1%	41.0%
Brazil	Ibitiara	2017	68.8%	74.1%	62.7%
Brazil	Ibitinga	2000	87.6%	90.8%	84.2%
Brazil	Ibitinga	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Ibitirama	2000	62.8%	68.5%	57.8%
Brazil	Ibitirama	2017	80.2%	83.8%	76.7%
Brazil	Ibititá	2000	44.6%	50.3%	38.9%
Brazil	Ibititá	2017	65.9%	71.1%	60.8%
Brazil	Ibitiúra de Minas	2000	72.1%	76.1%	67.1%
Brazil	Ibitiúra de Minas	2017	86.2%	88.7%	83.1%
Brazil	Ibituruna	2000	62.8%	69.0%	57.6%
Brazil	Ibituruna	2017	80.3%	84.2%	76.7%
Brazil	Ibiúna	2000	87.4%	89.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Ibiúna	2017	94.4%	95.5%	93.1%
Brazil	Ibotirama	2000	46.8%	53.5%	40.3%
Brazil	Ibotirama	2017	67.9%	73.5%	61.7%
Brazil	Icapuí	2000	48.5%	56.9%	40.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Icapuí	2017	70.1%	76.9%	63.9%
Brazil	Içara	2000	79.1%	83.1%	75.0%
Brazil	Içara	2017	90.2%	92.2%	87.8%
Brazil	Icaraí de Minas	2000	66.8%	73.8%	59.5%
Brazil	Icaraí de Minas	2017	82.9%	87.2%	77.5%
Brazil	Icaraíma	2000	53.5%	61.8%	45.4%
Brazil	Icaraíma	2017	73.4%	79.2%	67.1%
Brazil	Icatu	2000	30.1%	36.3%	24.3%
Brazil	Icatu	2017	50.9%	57.8%	43.7%
Brazil	Icém	2000	81.0%	84.9%	75.6%
Brazil	Icém	2017	91.2%	93.3%	88.2%
Brazil	Ichu	2000	45.2%	50.5%	39.2%
Brazil	Ichu	2017	66.6%	71.3%	60.9%
Brazil	Icó	2000	44.2%	48.9%	39.6%
Brazil	Icó	2017	65.6%	70.2%	60.8%
Brazil	Iconha	2000	57.8%	62.1%	52.6%
Brazil	Iconha	2017	76.7%	80.2%	72.5%
Brazil	Ielmo Marinho	2000	62.0%	67.2%	57.4%
Brazil	Ielmo Marinho	2017	79.8%	83.3%	76.3%
Brazil	Iepê	2000	78.2%	83.2%	71.8%
Brazil	Iepê	2017	89.7%	92.3%	86.1%
Brazil	Igaci	2000	31.8%	35.9%	28.1%
Brazil	Igaci	2017	53.1%	57.7%	48.8%
Brazil	Igaporã	2000	46.6%	52.6%	40.4%
Brazil	Igaporã	2017	67.8%	72.8%	62.0%
Brazil	Igaracu	2000	38.7%	41.3%	36.0%
Brazil	Igaracu	2017	61.4%	63.9%	58.7%
Brazil	Igarapu do Tietê	2000	88.9%	91.5%	85.4%
Brazil	Igarapu do Tietê	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Igarapava	2000	73.6%	79.3%	68.4%
Brazil	Igarapava	2017	87.2%	90.4%	83.9%
Brazil	Igarapé	2000	68.5%	71.9%	64.7%
Brazil	Igarapé	2017	84.0%	86.1%	81.5%
Brazil	Igarapé do Meio	2000	33.1%	39.4%	26.5%
Brazil	Igarapé do Meio	2017	54.4%	61.1%	46.8%
Brazil	Igarapé Grande	2000	33.0%	38.6%	27.2%
Brazil	Igarapé Grande	2017	54.3%	60.4%	47.6%
Brazil	Igarapé-Açu	2000	52.9%	57.6%	47.4%
Brazil	Igarapé-Açu	2017	73.0%	76.8%	68.4%
Brazil	Igarapé-Miri	2000	53.6%	58.4%	49.3%
Brazil	Igarapé-Miri	2017	73.6%	77.2%	70.1%
Brazil	Igaratá	2000	85.6%	88.1%	82.9%
Brazil	Igaratá	2017	93.9%	95.1%	92.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Igaratinga	2000	66.4%	70.8%	62.0%
Brazil	Igaratinga	2017	82.7%	85.5%	79.7%
Brazil	Igrapiúna	2000	45.3%	51.2%	40.0%
Brazil	Igrapiúna	2017	66.6%	71.7%	61.6%
Brazil	Igreja Nova	2000	42.5%	46.4%	38.3%
Brazil	Igreja Nova	2017	64.1%	67.7%	59.9%
Brazil	Igrejinha	2000	75.7%	78.8%	72.4%
Brazil	Igrejinha	2017	88.3%	89.9%	86.5%
Brazil	Iguaba Grande	2000	83.9%	86.8%	80.9%
Brazil	Iguaba Grande	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Iguaí	2000	46.4%	51.7%	40.4%
Brazil	Iguaí	2017	67.6%	72.2%	62.2%
Brazil	Iguape	2000	84.5%	89.0%	79.5%
Brazil	Iguape	2017	93.0%	95.2%	90.4%
Brazil	Iguaraci	2000	39.2%	44.4%	34.6%
Brazil	Iguaraci	2017	61.0%	65.9%	56.1%
Brazil	Iguaraçu	2000	61.8%	66.2%	57.4%
Brazil	Iguaraçu	2017	79.7%	82.7%	76.3%
Brazil	Iguatama	2000	64.1%	70.7%	57.5%
Brazil	Iguatama	2017	81.2%	85.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Iguatemi	2000	33.7%	40.2%	27.8%
Brazil	Iguatemi	2017	55.1%	61.8%	48.4%
Brazil	Iguatu	2000	42.6%	46.9%	38.1%
Brazil	Iguatu	2000	63.7%	69.5%	57.3%
Brazil	Iguatu	2017	64.1%	68.1%	60.0%
Brazil	Iguatu	2017	80.9%	84.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Ijaci	2000	63.8%	68.7%	57.7%
Brazil	Ijaci	2017	81.0%	84.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Ijuí	2000	75.6%	80.5%	71.2%
Brazil	Ijuí	2017	88.2%	90.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Ilha das Flores	2000	47.5%	54.6%	40.8%
Brazil	Ilha das Flores	2017	68.5%	74.3%	62.9%
Brazil	Ilha Grande	2000	39.7%	46.7%	33.2%
Brazil	Ilha Grande	2017	61.4%	68.1%	54.3%
Brazil	Ilha Solteira	2000	56.4%	64.0%	46.7%
Brazil	Ilha Solteira	2017	77.0%	82.3%	69.5%
Brazil	Ilhabela	2000	87.1%	91.2%	83.1%
Brazil	Ilhabela	2017	94.2%	96.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Ilhéus	2000	47.6%	52.0%	43.0%
Brazil	Ilhéus	2017	68.7%	72.5%	64.5%
Brazil	Ilhota	2000	77.4%	80.8%	74.0%
Brazil	Ilhota	2017	89.2%	91.1%	87.1%
Brazil	Ilicínea	2000	66.8%	72.9%	60.3%
Brazil	Ilicínea	2017	82.9%	86.8%	78.4%
Brazil	Ilópolis	2000	77.4%	82.1%	72.3%
Brazil	Ilópolis	2017	89.2%	91.6%	86.4%
Brazil	Imaculada	2000	46.2%	51.2%	40.9%
Brazil	Imaculada	2017	67.4%	71.9%	62.3%
Brazil	Imaruí	2000	76.1%	80.6%	71.3%
Brazil	Imaruí	2017	88.5%	90.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Imbaú	2000	65.2%	71.2%	59.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Imbaú	2017	81.9%	85.6%	77.8%
Brazil	Imbé	2000	75.3%	79.7%	70.3%
Brazil	Imbé	2017	88.1%	90.5%	85.3%
Brazil	Imbé de Minas	2000	66.8%	71.7%	60.2%
Brazil	Imbé de Minas	2017	83.0%	86.3%	78.9%
Brazil	Imbituba	2000	77.3%	82.4%	72.3%
Brazil	Imbituba	2017	89.2%	91.9%	86.3%
Brazil	Imbituva	2000	64.4%	70.0%	59.1%
Brazil	Imbituva	2017	81.4%	84.9%	77.7%
Brazil	Imbuia	2000	80.9%	85.8%	75.4%
Brazil	Imbuia	2017	91.1%	93.7%	88.2%
Brazil	Imigrante	2000	74.7%	78.2%	70.8%
Brazil	Imigrante	2017	87.7%	89.8%	85.2%
Brazil	Imperatriz	2000	28.8%	33.2%	24.3%
Brazil	Imperatriz	2017	49.4%	54.8%	43.6%
Brazil	Inácio Martins	2000	67.7%	72.9%	61.7%
Brazil	Inácio Martins	2017	83.5%	86.7%	79.6%
Brazil	Inaciolândia	2000	50.8%	59.1%	42.8%
Brazil	Inaciolândia	2017	71.3%	77.7%	64.1%
Brazil	Inajá	2000	35.2%	41.4%	30.1%
Brazil	Inajá	2000	67.1%	73.1%	60.5%
Brazil	Inajá	2017	83.1%	86.7%	78.8%
Brazil	Inajá	2017	56.6%	63.0%	50.7%
Brazil	Inconfidentes	2000	69.6%	74.0%	64.1%
Brazil	Inconfidentes	2017	84.8%	87.6%	81.3%
Brazil	Indaiabira	2000	64.7%	70.7%	57.6%
Brazil	Indaiabira	2017	81.6%	85.7%	76.6%
Brazil	Indaial	2000	79.0%	81.7%	75.5%
Brazil	Indaial	2017	90.1%	91.5%	88.3%
Brazil	Indaíatuba	2000	85.6%	87.8%	83.2%
Brazil	Indaíatuba	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Independência	2000	45.3%	51.0%	39.1%
Brazil	Independência	2000	74.5%	78.9%	69.8%
Brazil	Independência	2017	65.0%	69.9%	59.1%
Brazil	Independência	2017	87.6%	90.1%	84.9%
Brazil	Indiana	2000	85.8%	89.3%	81.5%
Brazil	Indiana	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.5%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2000	64.8%	70.3%	59.3%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2000	64.9%	70.2%	57.9%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2017	81.6%	85.1%	77.9%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2017	81.7%	85.3%	76.5%
Brazil	Indiaporã	2000	78.0%	83.9%	71.8%
Brazil	Indiaporã	2017	89.6%	92.6%	86.0%
Brazil	Indiara	2000	43.5%	50.9%	35.8%
Brazil	Indiara	2017	64.9%	71.5%	57.4%
Brazil	Indiaroba	2000	57.4%	63.5%	51.4%
Brazil	Indiaroba	2017	76.5%	80.8%	71.8%
Brazil	Indiavaí	2000	48.5%	56.7%	39.9%
Brazil	Indiavaí	2017	69.4%	76.2%	61.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ingá	2000	45.0%	49.3%	40.5%
Brazil	Ingá	2017	66.4%	70.3%	61.8%
Brazil	Ingaí	2000	64.0%	70.2%	58.0%
Brazil	Ingaí	2017	81.1%	85.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Ingazeira	2000	37.3%	42.2%	32.6%
Brazil	Ingazeira	2017	59.0%	64.1%	53.8%
Brazil	Inhacor	2000	74.6%	79.1%	69.5%
Brazil	Inhacor	2017	87.7%	90.1%	84.7%
Brazil	Inhambupe	2000	45.4%	51.2%	40.0%
Brazil	Inhambupe	2017	66.8%	72.3%	61.4%
Brazil	Inhangapi	2000	51.7%	55.7%	47.8%
Brazil	Inhangapi	2017	72.1%	75.4%	68.7%
Brazil	Inhapi	2000	36.1%	41.1%	30.8%
Brazil	Inhapi	2017	57.7%	63.0%	51.4%
Brazil	Inhapim	2000	66.6%	71.2%	61.7%
Brazil	Inhapim	2017	83.8%	86.4%	80.6%
Brazil	Inhaúma	2000	65.9%	71.0%	60.3%
Brazil	Inhaúma	2017	82.4%	85.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Inhuma	2000	45.5%	52.2%	37.9%
Brazil	Inhuma	2017	66.8%	72.5%	59.7%
Brazil	Inhumas	2000	45.9%	50.4%	41.6%
Brazil	Inhumas	2017	67.2%	71.1%	63.2%
Brazil	Inimutaba	2000	65.4%	71.3%	58.2%
Brazil	Inimutaba	2017	82.0%	86.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Inocência	2000	27.9%	36.5%	21.9%
Brazil	Inocência	2017	48.1%	58.3%	40.2%
Brazil	Inúbia	2000	88.1%	91.1%	84.2%
Brazil	Inúbia	2017	94.7%	96.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Inimutaba Paulista	2000	78.0%	82.0%	73.4%
Brazil	Iomerê	2017	89.6%	91.8%	86.9%
Brazil	Ipaba	2000	63.4%	67.6%	58.8%
Brazil	Ipaba	2017	80.8%	83.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Ipameri	2000	46.8%	52.7%	40.2%
Brazil	Ipameri	2017	68.0%	73.0%	61.8%
Brazil	Ipanema	2000	62.1%	68.2%	55.8%
Brazil	Ipanema	2017	79.8%	83.9%	74.9%
Brazil	Ipanguaçu	2000	65.6%	72.0%	59.6%
Brazil	Ipanguaçu	2017	82.1%	86.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Ipaporanga	2000	42.7%	48.9%	35.6%
Brazil	Ipaporanga	2017	64.2%	69.9%	57.7%
Brazil	Ipatinga	2000	64.4%	67.8%	60.8%
Brazil	Ipatinga	2017	82.2%	84.5%	80.0%
Brazil	Ipauçu	2000	82.3%	85.9%	77.4%
Brazil	Ipauçu	2017	91.8%	93.6%	89.3%
Brazil	Ipaumirim	2000	43.7%	49.8%	38.6%
Brazil	Ipaumirim	2017	65.2%	70.8%	59.9%
Brazil	Ipê	2000	75.9%	80.2%	71.5%
Brazil	Ipê	2017	88.4%	90.9%	85.9%
Brazil	Ipecaetá	2000	44.3%	49.9%	39.6%
Brazil	Ipecaetá	2017	65.7%	70.7%	61.2%
Brazil	Iperó	2000	86.3%	88.7%	83.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Iperó	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Ipeúna	2000	87.8%	90.2%	84.5%
Brazil	Ipeúna	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Ipiaçu	2000	56.2%	64.3%	48.2%
Brazil	Ipiaçu	2017	75.5%	81.5%	69.0%
Brazil	Ipiaú	2000	49.4%	54.1%	44.1%
Brazil	Ipiaú	2017	70.2%	74.3%	65.3%
Brazil	Ipiguá	2000	84.5%	87.5%	81.1%
Brazil	Ipiguá	2017	93.0%	94.5%	91.2%
Brazil	Ipirá	2000	77.1%	81.3%	72.6%
Brazil	Ipirá	2000	45.9%	51.2%	40.2%
Brazil	Ipirá	2017	89.0%	91.3%	86.3%
Brazil	Ipirá	2017	67.3%	72.1%	62.1%
Brazil	Ipiranga	2000	64.6%	69.3%	59.6%
Brazil	Ipiranga	2017	81.5%	84.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Piauí	2000	46.1%	52.7%	38.1%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Piauí	2017	67.3%	73.0%	59.9%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Sul	2000	74.1%	78.3%	70.0%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Sul	2017	87.4%	89.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Ipixuna	2000	50.5%	59.8%	40.2%
Brazil	Ipixuna	2017	70.8%	78.4%	61.5%
Brazil	Ipixuna do Pará	2000	53.8%	59.4%	48.8%
Brazil	Ipixuna do Pará	2017	73.7%	77.8%	69.5%
Brazil	Ipojuca	2000	38.2%	42.1%	34.4%
Brazil	Ipojuca	2017	59.9%	63.7%	56.0%
Brazil	Iporá	2000	62.6%	68.6%	55.4%
Brazil	Iporá	2000	44.5%	51.2%	38.5%
Brazil	Iporá	2017	80.1%	84.0%	75.1%
Brazil	Iporá	2017	66.0%	72.4%	60.0%
Brazil	Iporã	2000	60.9%	66.0%	54.8%
Brazil	Iporã	2017	78.9%	82.7%	74.3%
Brazil	Iporã do Oeste	2000	77.1%	81.6%	71.7%
Brazil	Iporã do Oeste	2017	89.0%	91.5%	85.8%
Brazil	Iporanga	2000	77.9%	83.8%	70.1%
Brazil	Iporanga	2017	89.4%	92.6%	85.2%
Brazil	Ipú	2000	40.8%	45.5%	36.4%
Brazil	Ipú	2017	62.4%	67.1%	58.0%
Brazil	Ipuã	2000	82.5%	86.4%	77.6%
Brazil	Ipuã	2017	91.9%	94.0%	89.2%
Brazil	Ipuaçu	2000	74.2%	79.2%	68.2%
Brazil	Ipuaçu	2017	87.5%	90.3%	84.2%
Brazil	Ipubi	2000	39.9%	45.4%	35.4%
Brazil	Ipubi	2017	61.5%	66.7%	56.5%
Brazil	Ipueira	2000	51.7%	58.6%	45.3%
Brazil	Ipueira	2017	72.1%	77.3%	66.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ipueiras	2000	41.4%	47.2%	35.5%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2000	17.5%	23.6%	12.5%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2017	33.9%	43.0%	25.6%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2017	63.0%	68.2%	57.2%
Brazil	Ipuiúna	2000	68.1%	73.0%	62.1%
Brazil	Ipuiúna	2017	85.6%	88.3%	82.1%
Brazil	Ipumirim	2000	77.4%	81.6%	72.5%
Brazil	Ipumirim	2017	89.3%	91.5%	86.4%
Brazil	Ipupiara	2000	46.3%	54.8%	38.3%
Brazil	Ipupiara	2017	67.5%	74.6%	60.2%
Brazil	Iracema	2000	73.4%	80.3%	66.2%
Brazil	Iracema	2000	48.7%	55.9%	42.9%
Brazil	Iracema	2017	87.9%	91.4%	83.7%
Brazil	Iracema	2017	69.6%	75.4%	64.1%
Brazil	Iracema do Oeste	2000	66.2%	71.1%	60.1%
Brazil	Iracema do Oeste	2017	82.6%	85.8%	78.5%
Brazil	Iracemópolis	2000	88.6%	90.5%	86.5%
Brazil	Iracemópolis	2017	95.0%	95.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Iraceminha	2000	76.5%	80.4%	71.9%
Brazil	Iraceminha	2017	88.7%	91.1%	86.0%
Brazil	Iraí	2000	75.7%	80.0%	70.3%
Brazil	Iraí	2017	88.3%	90.7%	84.9%
Brazil	Iraí de Minas	2000	64.3%	72.0%	56.9%
Brazil	Iraí de Minas	2017	81.3%	86.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Irajuba	2000	47.7%	55.4%	40.9%
Brazil	Irajuba	2017	68.8%	74.8%	62.5%
Brazil	Iramaia	2000	41.7%	48.0%	34.8%
Brazil	Iramaia	2017	63.3%	69.6%	56.2%
Brazil	Iranduba	2000	48.1%	52.1%	43.9%
Brazil	Iranduba	2017	69.1%	72.7%	65.6%
Brazil	Irani	2000	80.4%	84.3%	75.7%
Brazil	Irani	2017	90.8%	92.9%	88.2%
Brazil	Irapuã	2000	86.3%	89.3%	82.8%
Brazil	Irapuã	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Irapuru	2000	85.0%	88.7%	80.8%
Brazil	Irapuru	2017	93.2%	95.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Iraquara	2000	46.2%	52.4%	40.6%
Brazil	Iraquara	2017	67.4%	72.5%	62.2%
Brazil	Irará	2000	43.9%	48.7%	39.1%
Brazil	Irará	2017	65.4%	69.7%	61.1%
Brazil	Irati	2000	67.0%	72.2%	61.8%
Brazil	Irati	2000	75.0%	79.9%	69.2%
Brazil	Irati	2017	83.1%	86.2%	79.7%
Brazil	Irati	2017	87.9%	90.5%	84.4%
Brazil	Irauçuba	2000	43.0%	48.4%	37.5%
Brazil	Irauçuba	2017	65.6%	70.8%	60.3%
Brazil	Irecê	2000	47.3%	52.3%	42.4%
Brazil	Irecê	2017	68.5%	72.8%	63.9%
Brazil	Iretama	2000	67.0%	72.6%	59.8%
Brazil	Iretama	2017	83.0%	86.4%	78.2%
Brazil	Irineópolis	2000	73.5%	78.8%	66.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Irineópolis	2017	87.0%	90.1%	83.0%
Brazil	Irituia	2000	52.8%	57.3%	47.8%
Brazil	Irituia	2017	73.3%	76.8%	69.2%
Brazil	Irupi	2000	63.3%	67.8%	58.2%
Brazil	Irupi	2017	81.9%	84.8%	78.4%
Brazil	Isaiás Coelho	2000	44.1%	54.1%	35.5%
Brazil	Isaiás Coelho	2017	65.5%	74.3%	57.5%
Brazil	Israelândia	2000	41.9%	49.0%	34.9%
Brazil	Israelândia	2017	63.5%	70.2%	56.7%
Brazil	Itá	2000	76.5%	80.8%	71.5%
Brazil	Itá	2017	88.7%	91.1%	85.8%
Brazil	Itaara	2000	74.0%	77.3%	70.4%
Brazil	Itaara	2017	87.3%	89.3%	85.3%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2000	42.3%	47.2%	38.3%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2000	65.6%	69.1%	61.6%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2017	64.7%	69.2%	60.5%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2017	82.2%	84.5%	79.5%
Brazil	Itabaianinha	2000	62.2%	66.1%	57.7%
Brazil	Itabaianinha	2017	79.9%	82.4%	76.7%
Brazil	Itabela	2000	45.2%	51.0%	39.7%
Brazil	Itabela	2017	66.6%	71.9%	61.3%
Brazil	Itaberá	2000	80.4%	84.7%	75.0%
Brazil	Itaberá	2017	90.9%	93.1%	87.9%
Brazil	Itaberaba	2000	43.4%	48.8%	37.5%
Brazil	Itaberaba	2017	64.9%	69.7%	59.5%
Brazil	Itaberaí	2000	43.9%	50.0%	38.7%
Brazil	Itaberaí	2017	65.4%	70.7%	60.3%
Brazil	Itabi	2000	55.3%	60.2%	49.6%
Brazil	Itabi	2017	74.9%	78.9%	70.5%
Brazil	Itabira	2000	69.9%	73.3%	65.7%
Brazil	Itabira	2017	84.9%	87.0%	82.3%
Brazil	Itabirinha de Mantena	2000	64.9%	69.4%	59.9%
Brazil	Itabirinha de Mantena	2017	81.7%	84.7%	78.0%
Brazil	Itabirito	2000	67.0%	70.6%	63.2%
Brazil	Itabirito	2017	83.1%	85.4%	80.4%
Brazil	Itaboraí	2000	82.8%	84.9%	81.0%
Brazil	Itaboraí	2017	92.2%	93.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Itabuna	2000	47.2%	50.9%	43.3%
Brazil	Itabuna	2017	68.4%	71.6%	64.6%
Brazil	Itacajá	2000	19.1%	26.7%	13.5%
Brazil	Itacajá	2017	36.3%	46.8%	27.5%
Brazil	Itacarambi	2000	65.4%	69.8%	60.8%
Brazil	Itacarambi	2017	82.0%	84.8%	79.0%
Brazil	Itacarambira	2000	66.9%	73.1%	59.5%
Brazil	Itacarambira	2017	82.9%	86.9%	78.0%
Brazil	Itacaré	2000	45.8%	51.2%	39.9%
Brazil	Itacaré	2017	67.1%	71.8%	61.6%
Brazil	Itacoatiara	2000	50.9%	57.4%	44.6%
Brazil	Itacoatiara	2017	71.4%	76.2%	66.2%
Brazil	Itacuruba	2000	37.5%	44.5%	30.3%
Brazil	Itacuruba	2017	59.1%	65.8%	51.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itacurubi	2000	74.8%	81.9%	68.5%
Brazil	Itacurubi	2017	87.7%	91.6%	84.1%
Brazil	Itaeté	2000	40.4%	48.2%	33.8%
Brazil	Itaeté	2017	62.0%	68.9%	55.0%
Brazil	Itagi	2000	48.0%	53.5%	42.1%
Brazil	Itagi	2017	69.0%	73.4%	63.8%
Brazil	Itagibá	2000	49.5%	54.1%	43.9%
Brazil	Itagibá	2017	70.3%	74.2%	65.1%
Brazil	Itagimirim	2000	49.7%	56.8%	42.7%
Brazil	Itagimirim	2017	70.5%	76.1%	64.5%
Brazil	Itaguaçu	2000	59.1%	65.2%	53.1%
Brazil	Itaguaçu	2017	77.7%	81.9%	72.9%
Brazil	Itaguaçu da Bahia	2000	43.3%	50.7%	35.8%
Brazil	Itaguaçu da Bahia	2017	64.8%	71.7%	57.3%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2000	81.6%	83.9%	78.9%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2017	91.7%	92.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2000	70.2%	76.6%	63.9%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2017	85.1%	88.8%	81.0%
Brazil	Itaguara	2000	70.0%	77.0%	62.7%
Brazil	Itaguara	2017	84.8%	88.9%	80.2%
Brazil	Itaguari	2000	43.4%	48.9%	37.8%
Brazil	Itaguari	2017	64.9%	70.0%	59.6%
Brazil	Itaguaru	2000	44.9%	50.5%	39.2%
Brazil	Itaguaru	2017	66.3%	71.5%	61.3%
Brazil	Itaguatins	2000	23.4%	28.1%	18.2%
Brazil	Itaguatins	2017	42.4%	48.6%	35.0%
Brazil	Itaí	2000	84.6%	88.1%	80.0%
Brazil	Itaí	2017	93.0%	94.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Itaíba	2000	34.2%	39.8%	28.9%
Brazil	Itaíba	2017	55.7%	61.8%	50.2%
Brazil	Itaíçaba	2000	43.1%	47.7%	37.8%
Brazil	Itaíçaba	2017	64.6%	69.2%	59.8%
Brazil	Itainópolis	2000	43.4%	52.5%	35.5%
Brazil	Itainópolis	2017	64.9%	72.6%	57.4%
Brazil	Itaiópolis	2000	79.2%	83.9%	74.4%
Brazil	Itaiópolis	2017	90.2%	92.7%	87.8%
Brazil	Itaipava do Grajaú	2000	31.4%	39.6%	24.0%
Brazil	Itaipava do Grajaú	2017	52.5%	61.5%	44.1%
Brazil	Itaipé	2000	69.2%	74.3%	63.3%
Brazil	Itaipé	2017	84.4%	87.6%	81.0%
Brazil	Itaipulândia	2000	62.5%	69.4%	56.4%
Brazil	Itaipulândia	2017	80.1%	84.1%	75.8%
Brazil	Itaitinga	2000	43.1%	46.4%	40.1%
Brazil	Itaitinga	2017	64.8%	68.0%	61.6%
Brazil	Itaituba	2000	54.8%	62.9%	47.4%
Brazil	Itaituba	2017	74.4%	80.1%	67.8%
Brazil	Itajá	2000	37.5%	44.6%	30.5%
Brazil	Itajá	2000	64.6%	71.1%	58.3%
Brazil	Itajá	2017	81.5%	86.0%	77.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itajá	2017	59.1%	66.1%	51.5%
Brazil	Itajaí	2000	78.9%	81.8%	76.0%
Brazil	Itajaí	2017	90.0%	91.6%	88.3%
Brazil	Itajobi	2000	85.7%	89.1%	82.0%
Brazil	Itajobi	2017	93.5%	95.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Itaju	2000	86.2%	89.7%	81.5%
Brazil	Itaju	2017	93.8%	95.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Itaju do Colônia	2000	48.3%	54.5%	43.0%
Brazil	Itaju do Colônia	2017	69.4%	74.6%	64.1%
Brazil	Itajubá	2000	71.7%	75.9%	67.4%
Brazil	Itajubá	2017	86.0%	88.4%	83.5%
Brazil	Itajuípe	2000	45.1%	50.2%	41.0%
Brazil	Itajuípe	2017	66.4%	71.0%	62.3%
Brazil	Italva	2000	82.1%	85.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Italva	2017	91.8%	93.4%	89.5%
Brazil	Itamaraju	2000	44.8%	50.9%	38.6%
Brazil	Itamaraju	2017	67.4%	72.6%	61.4%
Brazil	Itamarandiba	2000	70.4%	74.6%	65.5%
Brazil	Itamarandiba	2017	85.1%	87.8%	81.7%
Brazil	Itamarati	2000	48.6%	64.1%	34.6%
Brazil	Itamarati	2017	69.1%	81.0%	55.2%
Brazil	Itamarati de Minas	2000	69.0%	75.3%	61.7%
Brazil	Itamarati de Minas	2017	84.3%	88.2%	79.7%
Brazil	Itamari	2000	46.6%	51.8%	41.0%
Brazil	Itamari	2017	67.8%	72.2%	62.8%
Brazil	Itambacuri	2000	64.8%	68.5%	60.5%
Brazil	Itambacuri	2017	81.6%	84.2%	78.8%
Brazil	Itambaraca	2000	71.1%	75.4%	65.2%
Brazil	Itambaraca	2017	85.6%	88.1%	82.0%
Brazil	Itambaracá	2000	36.6%	40.4%	32.6%
Brazil	Itambaracá	2017	58.2%	62.0%	53.9%
Brazil	Itambé	2000	61.7%	66.7%	56.4%
Brazil	Itambé	2000	38.9%	44.6%	33.6%
Brazil	Itambé	2017	60.7%	66.3%	55.5%
Brazil	Itambé	2017	79.5%	82.9%	76.1%
Brazil	Itambé do Mato Dentro	2000	66.0%	72.3%	59.5%
Brazil	Itambé do Mato Dentro	2017	82.4%	86.4%	78.0%
Brazil	Itamogi	2000	64.3%	70.3%	56.8%
Brazil	Itamogi	2017	81.3%	85.1%	76.4%
Brazil	Itamonte	2000	77.9%	81.8%	73.7%
Brazil	Itamonte	2017	89.1%	91.3%	86.6%
Brazil	Itanagra	2000	44.0%	50.7%	38.1%
Brazil	Itanagra	2017	65.5%	71.1%	60.5%
Brazil	Itanhaém	2000	86.8%	90.0%	83.4%
Brazil	Itanhaém	2017	94.1%	95.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Itanhandu	2000	78.0%	82.0%	73.1%
Brazil	Itanhandu	2017	89.5%	91.8%	86.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itanhém	2000	54.7%	61.1%	47.9%
Brazil	Itanhém	2017	74.4%	79.4%	68.9%
Brazil	Itanhomi	2000	71.2%	74.5%	68.2%
Brazil	Itanhomi	2017	85.7%	87.5%	83.9%
Brazil	Itaobim	2000	64.5%	70.6%	58.1%
Brazil	Itaobim	2017	81.4%	85.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Itaóca	2000	74.0%	80.7%	65.9%
Brazil	Itaóca	2017	87.3%	91.1%	82.5%
Brazil	Itaocara	2000	84.5%	88.2%	80.0%
Brazil	Itaocara	2017	92.9%	94.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Itapaci	2000	43.9%	49.4%	37.7%
Brazil	Itapaci	2017	65.4%	70.6%	59.3%
Brazil	Itapagipe	2000	65.0%	71.7%	58.2%
Brazil	Itapagipe	2017	81.7%	86.1%	77.1%
Brazil	Itapajé	2000	47.2%	51.7%	42.9%
Brazil	Itapajé	2017	68.3%	72.3%	64.6%
Brazil	Itaparica	2000	42.3%	46.3%	37.8%
Brazil	Itaparica	2017	63.9%	67.5%	59.3%
Brazil	Itapé	2000	45.3%	50.1%	40.6%
Brazil	Itapé	2017	66.6%	71.1%	62.6%
Brazil	Itapebi	2000	50.9%	58.5%	43.7%
Brazil	Itapebi	2017	71.4%	77.5%	65.2%
Brazil	Itapecerica	2000	68.9%	72.9%	64.6%
Brazil	Itapecerica	2017	84.4%	87.0%	81.6%
Brazil	Itapecerica da Serra	2000	88.4%	89.6%	87.2%
Brazil	Itapecerica da Serra	2017	95.0%	95.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Itapecuru Mirim	2000	35.2%	42.1%	29.2%
Brazil	Itapecuru Mirim	2017	56.7%	63.2%	49.9%
Brazil	Itapejara d'Oeste	2000	66.1%	71.5%	60.2%
Brazil	Itapejara d'Oeste	2017	82.6%	86.0%	78.3%
Brazil	Itapema	2000	77.1%	80.9%	73.3%
Brazil	Itapema	2017	90.1%	91.9%	88.1%
Brazil	Itapemirim	2000	58.6%	63.5%	53.2%
Brazil	Itapemirim	2017	77.4%	81.0%	73.3%
Brazil	Itaperuçu	2000	64.4%	69.1%	59.1%
Brazil	Itaperuçu	2017	81.4%	84.3%	77.7%
Brazil	Itaperuna	2000	77.0%	80.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Itaperuna	2017	89.0%	91.0%	86.8%
Brazil	Itapetim	2000	49.9%	55.8%	44.1%
Brazil	Itapetim	2017	70.6%	75.7%	65.3%
Brazil	Itapetinga	2000	48.8%	55.0%	42.4%
Brazil	Itapetinga	2017	69.7%	74.7%	64.2%
Brazil	Itapetininga	2000	87.2%	89.7%	83.8%
Brazil	Itapetininga	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Itapeva	2000	67.2%	71.8%	60.9%
Brazil	Itapeva	2000	85.5%	89.2%	81.6%
Brazil	Itapeva	2017	83.2%	86.1%	79.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itapeva	2017	93.5%	95.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Itapevi	2000	87.7%	89.2%	86.4%
Brazil	Itapevi	2017	94.5%	95.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Itapicuru	2000	53.5%	58.2%	48.7%
Brazil	Itapicuru	2017	73.4%	77.1%	69.6%
Brazil	Itapipoca	2000	43.7%	47.7%	40.1%
Brazil	Itapipoca	2017	65.2%	69.1%	61.7%
Brazil	Itapira	2000	88.6%	90.7%	86.4%
Brazil	Itapira	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Itapiranga	2000	64.9%	70.2%	59.5%
Brazil	Itapiranga	2017	80.6%	84.3%	76.3%
Brazil	Itapirapuã	2000	43.3%	51.6%	36.3%
Brazil	Itapirapuã	2017	64.8%	71.9%	58.0%
Brazil	Itapirapuã	2000	71.5%	78.6%	63.9%
Brazil	Itapirapuã Paulista	2017	85.8%	90.1%	80.5%
Brazil	Itapiratins	2000	17.0%	22.8%	11.7%
Brazil	Itapiratins	2017	33.0%	42.3%	24.6%
Brazil	Itapissuma	2000	37.6%	41.0%	34.3%
Brazil	Itapissuma	2017	59.3%	62.8%	55.8%
Brazil	Itapitanga	2000	50.5%	56.2%	44.1%
Brazil	Itapitanga	2017	71.1%	75.8%	65.9%
Brazil	Itapiúna	2000	43.0%	48.9%	37.7%
Brazil	Itapiúna	2017	64.6%	70.1%	59.3%
Brazil	Itapoá	2000	70.0%	76.2%	63.5%
Brazil	Itapoá	2017	85.4%	88.8%	81.4%
Brazil	Itápolis	2000	87.5%	90.7%	83.8%
Brazil	Itápolis	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Itaporã	2000	26.8%	31.7%	23.3%
Brazil	Itaporã	2017	47.0%	52.5%	42.1%
Brazil	Itaporã do Tocantins	2000	20.6%	26.9%	15.7%
Brazil	Itaporã do Tocantins	2017	38.5%	48.0%	31.0%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2000	48.8%	55.0%	43.0%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2000	77.9%	82.7%	72.0%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2017	69.7%	74.7%	64.4%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2017	89.5%	92.1%	86.2%
Brazil	Itaporanga da-juda	2000	66.5%	70.6%	62.2%
Brazil	Itaporanga da-juda	2017	82.7%	85.5%	80.0%
Brazil	Itapororoca	2000	52.2%	56.7%	46.9%
Brazil	Itapororoca	2017	72.5%	76.3%	68.4%
Brazil	Itapuã do Oeste	2000	55.8%	64.1%	47.0%
Brazil	Itapuã do Oeste	2017	75.3%	81.1%	68.1%
Brazil	Itapuca	2000	76.4%	80.5%	70.6%
Brazil	Itapuca	2017	88.7%	91.0%	85.3%
Brazil	Itapuí	2000	86.3%	89.0%	82.8%
Brazil	Itapuí	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itapura	2000	52.6%	59.5%	45.0%
Brazil	Itapura	2017	72.6%	78.0%	66.3%
Brazil	Itapuranga	2000	44.2%	51.0%	38.0%
Brazil	Itapuranga	2017	65.7%	71.3%	59.5%
Brazil	Itaquaquecetuba	2000	87.6%	88.8%	86.3%
Brazil	Itaquaquecetuba	2017	94.5%	95.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Itaquara	2000	48.0%	53.7%	42.1%
Brazil	Itaquara	2017	68.8%	73.5%	63.7%
Brazil	Itaqui	2000	78.7%	83.8%	73.0%
Brazil	Itaqui	2017	89.9%	92.7%	86.8%
Brazil	Itaquiraí	2000	37.1%	44.4%	30.2%
Brazil	Itaquiraí	2017	58.7%	65.8%	51.7%
Brazil	Itaquitinga	2000	39.7%	43.6%	35.9%
Brazil	Itaquitinga	2017	61.5%	65.1%	57.1%
Brazil	Itarana	2000	58.8%	65.0%	52.3%
Brazil	Itarana	2017	77.6%	82.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Itarantim	2000	53.2%	60.9%	47.0%
Brazil	Itarantim	2017	73.3%	79.1%	68.3%
Brazil	Itararé	2000	77.0%	82.0%	70.7%
Brazil	Itararé	2017	89.0%	91.8%	85.3%
Brazil	Itariri	2000	86.2%	90.1%	81.7%
Brazil	Itariri	2017	93.9%	95.7%	91.7%
Brazil	Itaruma	2000	41.1%	48.0%	34.1%
Brazil	Itaruma	2017	62.6%	68.9%	56.1%
Brazil	Itarumã	2000	43.3%	49.3%	37.5%
Brazil	Itarumã	2017	64.8%	70.2%	58.9%
Brazil	Itatiaia	2000	78.5%	82.9%	74.1%
Brazil	Itatiaia	2017	89.8%	92.1%	87.3%
Brazil	Itatiaiuçu	2000	75.0%	77.9%	71.8%
Brazil	Itatiaiuçu	2017	87.7%	89.4%	85.8%
Brazil	Itatiba	2000	85.9%	87.7%	83.9%
Brazil	Itatiba	2017	93.7%	94.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Itatiba do Sul	2000	76.7%	80.5%	72.6%
Brazil	Itatiba do Sul	2017	88.8%	90.9%	86.4%
Brazil	Itatim	2000	46.6%	52.4%	41.0%
Brazil	Itatim	2017	67.8%	72.9%	62.8%
Brazil	Itatinga	2000	88.6%	91.4%	84.8%
Brazil	Itatinga	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Itatira	2000	47.6%	53.1%	41.5%
Brazil	Itatira	2017	68.7%	73.6%	63.1%
Brazil	Itatuba	2000	40.9%	45.3%	36.2%
Brazil	Itatuba	2017	62.6%	66.8%	58.0%
Brazil	Itaú	2000	54.3%	60.9%	48.1%
Brazil	Itaú	2017	74.0%	79.0%	69.1%
Brazil	Itaú de Minas	2000	67.2%	71.7%	61.5%
Brazil	Itaú de Minas	2017	83.2%	86.1%	79.5%
Brazil	Itaúba	2000	49.2%	58.4%	40.2%
Brazil	Itaúba	2017	70.1%	77.3%	62.8%
Brazil	Itaubal	2000	48.8%	56.4%	40.9%
Brazil	Itaubal	2017	69.6%	76.0%	62.7%
Brazil	Itauçu	2000	44.9%	50.1%	39.5%
Brazil	Itauçu	2017	66.3%	70.9%	61.2%
Brazil	Itaueira	2000	45.1%	55.2%	33.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itaueira	2017	66.3%	75.0%	54.9%
Brazil	Itaúna	2000	68.2%	72.0%	64.1%
Brazil	Itaúna	2017	83.8%	86.2%	80.9%
Brazil	Itaúna do Sul	2000	61.3%	68.1%	53.8%
Brazil	Itaúna do Sul	2017	79.2%	83.8%	73.9%
Brazil	Itaverava	2000	66.5%	71.1%	61.1%
Brazil	Itaverava	2017	82.7%	85.6%	79.3%
Brazil	Itinga	2000	64.6%	71.8%	56.4%
Brazil	Itinga	2017	81.5%	86.1%	76.1%
Brazil	Itinga do Maranhão	2000	44.4%	51.4%	37.1%
Brazil	Itinga do Maranhão	2017	65.7%	71.7%	58.3%
Brazil	Itiquira	2000	43.5%	52.4%	35.1%
Brazil	Itiquira	2017	64.4%	72.2%	56.1%
Brazil	Itirapina	2000	90.9%	93.0%	88.3%
Brazil	Itirapina	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Itirapuã	2000	78.0%	82.0%	73.3%
Brazil	Itirapuã	2017	89.5%	91.7%	87.0%
Brazil	Itiruçu	2000	48.8%	54.8%	43.0%
Brazil	Itiruçu	2017	69.7%	74.7%	64.3%
Brazil	Itiúba	2000	44.2%	50.5%	39.0%
Brazil	Itiúba	2017	65.6%	71.2%	60.5%
Brazil	Itobi	2000	82.9%	85.9%	78.6%
Brazil	Itobi	2017	92.2%	93.6%	89.9%
Brazil	Itororó	2000	48.6%	53.8%	42.1%
Brazil	Itororó	2017	69.5%	73.9%	64.0%
Brazil	Itu	2000	85.5%	87.5%	83.3%
Brazil	Itu	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Ituaçu	2000	46.0%	52.0%	39.5%
Brazil	Ituaçu	2017	67.4%	72.6%	61.8%
Brazil	Ituberá	2000	45.1%	50.4%	39.9%
Brazil	Ituberá	2017	66.5%	71.4%	61.4%
Brazil	Itueta	2000	61.2%	67.8%	54.7%
Brazil	Itueta	2017	79.2%	83.6%	74.4%
Brazil	Ituiutaba	2000	66.4%	72.7%	60.1%
Brazil	Ituiutaba	2017	82.6%	86.3%	78.6%
Brazil	Itumbiara	2000	52.7%	57.5%	47.8%
Brazil	Itumbiara	2017	72.8%	76.8%	68.7%
Brazil	Itumirim	2000	63.5%	69.1%	57.6%
Brazil	Itumirim	2017	80.8%	84.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Itupeva	2000	85.5%	87.6%	83.5%
Brazil	Itupeva	2017	93.5%	94.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Itupiranga	2000	53.2%	58.2%	47.7%
Brazil	Itupiranga	2017	73.3%	77.1%	68.6%
Brazil	Ituporanga	2000	80.0%	84.6%	74.9%
Brazil	Ituporanga	2017	90.6%	93.1%	87.7%
Brazil	Iturama	2000	74.8%	81.5%	68.0%
Brazil	Iturama	2017	87.7%	91.4%	83.7%
Brazil	Itutinga	2000	63.7%	70.4%	57.8%
Brazil	Itutinga	2017	80.9%	85.1%	76.5%
Brazil	Ituverava	2000	81.5%	85.6%	77.3%
Brazil	Ituverava	2017	91.4%	93.6%	89.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Iuiú	2000	51.7%	60.2%	42.5%
Brazil	Iuiú	2017	72.0%	78.6%	64.0%
Brazil	Iúna	2000	60.3%	65.1%	54.9%
Brazil	Iúna	2017	78.6%	81.7%	74.6%
Brazil	Ivaí	2000	64.7%	71.2%	58.0%
Brazil	Ivaí	2017	81.5%	85.7%	76.8%
Brazil	Ivaiporã	2000	65.3%	71.0%	59.4%
Brazil	Ivaiporã	2017	82.0%	85.5%	78.0%
Brazil	Ivaté	2000	60.4%	64.3%	56.4%
Brazil	Ivaté	2017	78.7%	81.4%	75.9%
Brazil	Ivatuva	2000	61.0%	67.3%	54.6%
Brazil	Ivatuva	2017	79.1%	83.3%	74.4%
Brazil	Ivinhema	2000	32.5%	39.2%	26.9%
Brazil	Ivinhema	2017	53.6%	61.2%	47.1%
Brazil	Ivolândia	2000	44.2%	51.5%	37.5%
Brazil	Ivolândia	2017	65.6%	71.8%	59.4%
Brazil	Ivorá	2000	74.0%	78.5%	69.9%
Brazil	Ivorá	2017	87.4%	89.8%	84.9%
Brazil	Ivoti	2000	74.2%	76.9%	71.6%
Brazil	Ivoti	2017	87.6%	89.2%	86.1%
Brazil	Jaboatão dos Guararapes	2000	38.9%	40.9%	37.0%
Brazil	Jaboatão dos Guararapes	2017	60.7%	62.5%	58.6%
Brazil	Jaborá	2000	78.0%	82.1%	73.1%
Brazil	Jaborá	2017	89.6%	91.8%	86.8%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2000	84.0%	87.6%	79.8%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2000	47.2%	55.1%	39.3%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2017	68.2%	74.6%	61.0%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Jaboticaba	2000	75.5%	80.2%	71.3%
Brazil	Jaboticaba	2017	88.2%	90.7%	85.8%
Brazil	Jaboticatubas	2000	66.0%	71.0%	60.7%
Brazil	Jaboticatubas	2017	82.4%	85.7%	78.7%
Brazil	Jabuti	2000	64.6%	70.2%	58.8%
Brazil	Jabuti	2017	81.5%	85.2%	77.1%
Brazil	Jabuticabal	2000	87.1%	89.5%	84.2%
Brazil	Jabuticabal	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Jaçanã	2000	55.6%	61.4%	49.6%
Brazil	Jaçanã	2017	75.1%	79.3%	70.5%
Brazil	Jacaraci	2000	50.0%	56.4%	43.7%
Brazil	Jacaraci	2017	70.5%	75.7%	65.2%
Brazil	Jacaraú	2000	55.3%	60.7%	49.7%
Brazil	Jacaraú	2017	74.9%	78.8%	70.1%
Brazil	Jacaré dos Homens	2000	37.6%	43.7%	32.1%
Brazil	Jacaré dos Homens	2017	59.3%	65.8%	53.2%
Brazil	Jacareacanga	2000	53.1%	64.8%	40.4%
Brazil	Jacareacanga	2017	72.7%	81.3%	61.7%
Brazil	Jacareí	2000	87.8%	89.6%	85.8%
Brazil	Jacareí	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Jacarezinho	2000	73.5%	78.9%	69.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jacarezinho	2017	87.1%	90.0%	84.7%
Brazil	Jaci	2000	86.2%	89.1%	83.0%
Brazil	Jaci	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Jaciara	2000	47.8%	55.2%	40.3%
Brazil	Jaciara	2017	68.8%	75.0%	62.3%
Brazil	Jacinto	2000	56.3%	63.2%	48.7%
Brazil	Jacinto	2017	75.6%	80.6%	69.3%
Brazil	Jacinto	2000	79.0%	83.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Machado				
Brazil	Jacinto	2017	90.1%	92.3%	87.6%
Brazil	Machado				
Brazil	Jacobina	2000	46.2%	51.3%	41.2%
Brazil	Jacobina	2017	67.5%	72.1%	62.8%
Brazil	Jacobina do Piauí	2000	42.2%	51.3%	33.4%
Brazil	Jacobina do Piauí	2017	63.8%	71.9%	54.6%
Brazil	Jacuí	2000	70.0%	75.4%	64.5%
Brazil	Jacuí	2017	85.0%	88.2%	81.9%
Brazil	Jacuípe	2000	37.2%	42.0%	32.5%
Brazil	Jacuípe	2017	58.8%	63.9%	53.5%
Brazil	Jacundá	2000	53.2%	60.0%	45.4%
Brazil	Jacundá	2017	73.3%	78.5%	67.0%
Brazil	Jacupiranga	2000	82.1%	86.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Jacupiranga	2017	91.7%	93.8%	89.1%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2000	76.9%	80.9%	72.6%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2000	74.3%	78.5%	69.9%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2017	88.9%	91.1%	86.4%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2017	87.5%	89.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Jaguapitã	2000	64.7%	69.3%	59.7%
Brazil	Jaguapitã	2017	81.6%	84.7%	78.2%
Brazil	Jaguaquara	2000	50.2%	55.4%	45.3%
Brazil	Jaguaquara	2017	70.8%	75.2%	66.7%
Brazil	Jaguaraçu	2000	66.7%	70.9%	62.4%
Brazil	Jaguaraçu	2017	81.3%	84.0%	78.3%
Brazil	Jaguarão	2000	75.1%	81.9%	68.0%
Brazil	Jaguarão	2017	86.2%	90.4%	81.3%
Brazil	Jaguarari	2000	43.9%	48.7%	37.9%
Brazil	Jaguarari	2017	65.2%	69.9%	59.7%
Brazil	Jaguaré	2000	57.3%	63.3%	51.7%
Brazil	Jaguaré	2017	76.4%	81.0%	72.1%
Brazil	Jaguaretama	2000	40.7%	46.7%	35.3%
Brazil	Jaguaretama	2017	62.3%	67.8%	56.9%
Brazil	Jaguari	2000	75.3%	80.7%	69.1%
Brazil	Jaguari	2017	88.0%	91.0%	84.4%
Brazil	Jaguariaíva	2000	68.2%	74.3%	62.2%
Brazil	Jaguariaíva	2017	83.8%	87.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Jaguaribara	2000	41.4%	48.3%	35.4%
Brazil	Jaguaribara	2017	63.0%	69.4%	57.1%
Brazil	Jaguaribe	2000	42.0%	47.4%	36.3%
Brazil	Jaguaribe	2017	63.6%	68.7%	58.2%
Brazil	Jaguaripe	2000	43.8%	48.2%	40.1%
Brazil	Jaguaripe	2017	65.3%	69.3%	61.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jaguariúna	2000	86.4%	88.6%	83.9%
Brazil	Jaguariúna	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Jaguaruana	2000	45.0%	49.8%	40.2%
Brazil	Jaguaruana	2017	66.4%	71.1%	61.7%
Brazil	Jaguaruna	2000	78.4%	82.7%	73.6%
Brazil	Jaguaruna	2017	89.8%	92.1%	87.1%
Brazil	Jaíba	2000	64.9%	70.9%	57.9%
Brazil	Jaíba	2017	81.7%	85.7%	76.9%
Brazil	Jaicós	2000	43.1%	50.5%	35.5%
Brazil	Jaicós	2017	64.6%	71.2%	57.0%
Brazil	Jales	2000	83.5%	87.5%	79.4%
Brazil	Jales	2017	92.5%	94.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Jambeiro	2000	88.5%	90.3%	86.0%
Brazil	Jambeiro	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Jampruca	2000	64.3%	70.2%	57.4%
Brazil	Jampruca	2017	81.3%	85.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Janaúba	2000	70.4%	76.7%	64.0%
Brazil	Janaúba	2017	85.1%	88.9%	81.4%
Brazil	Jandaia	2000	45.6%	53.5%	38.4%
Brazil	Jandaia	2017	66.9%	73.2%	60.1%
Brazil	Jandaia do Sul	2000	62.1%	66.9%	57.2%
Brazil	Jandaia do Sul	2017	79.9%	83.3%	76.5%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2000	54.8%	60.3%	49.0%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2000	61.0%	69.1%	51.9%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2017	79.0%	84.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2017	74.6%	78.5%	69.9%
Brazil	Jandira	2000	87.1%	88.3%	85.9%
Brazil	Jandira	2017	94.3%	94.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Janduís	2000	61.0%	67.6%	54.4%
Brazil	Janduís	2017	79.0%	83.4%	74.4%
Brazil	Jangada	2000	48.1%	55.9%	39.9%
Brazil	Jangada	2017	69.0%	75.6%	61.7%
Brazil	Janiópolis	2000	66.1%	71.0%	58.8%
Brazil	Janiópolis	2017	82.5%	85.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Januária	2000	64.6%	70.5%	58.3%
Brazil	Januária	2017	81.5%	85.2%	77.2%
Brazil	Januário Cicco	2000	61.2%	65.9%	55.9%
Brazil	Januário Cicco	2017	79.2%	82.5%	75.6%
Brazil	Japaraíba	2000	66.4%	71.9%	60.2%
Brazil	Japaraíba	2017	82.7%	86.2%	78.4%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2000	36.0%	41.5%	30.3%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2017	57.6%	63.6%	51.1%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2000	62.3%	67.0%	58.0%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2017	79.9%	83.1%	76.8%
Brazil	Japeri	2000	82.3%	84.3%	80.4%
Brazil	Japeri	2017	91.9%	92.8%	90.8%
Brazil	Japi	2000	54.1%	60.0%	48.1%
Brazil	Japi	2017	74.0%	78.1%	69.3%
Brazil	Japira	2000	64.3%	70.1%	58.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Japira	2017	81.3%	85.4%	77.2%
Brazil	Japoatã	2000	58.0%	62.5%	54.1%
Brazil	Japoatã	2017	76.9%	80.2%	73.9%
Brazil	Japonvar	2000	69.0%	75.9%	61.9%
Brazil	Japonvar	2017	84.3%	88.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Japorã	2000	33.9%	41.5%	27.5%
Brazil	Japorã	2017	55.2%	62.4%	47.9%
Brazil	Japurá	2000	68.1%	73.2%	63.3%
Brazil	Japurá	2000	46.7%	58.3%	35.1%
Brazil	Japurá	2017	67.4%	76.8%	56.4%
Brazil	Japurá	2017	83.7%	87.0%	80.6%
Brazil	Jaqueira	2000	37.2%	41.3%	33.2%
Brazil	Jaqueira	2017	58.8%	63.0%	54.5%
Brazil	Jaquirana	2000	76.7%	82.4%	71.0%
Brazil	Jaquirana	2017	88.8%	91.8%	85.4%
Brazil	Jaraguá	2000	43.9%	49.6%	38.5%
Brazil	Jaraguá	2017	65.4%	70.4%	60.1%
Brazil	Jaraguá do Sul	2000	78.0%	81.6%	74.7%
Brazil	Jaraguá do Sul	2017	89.6%	91.6%	87.7%
Brazil	Jaraguari	2000	27.6%	34.7%	22.1%
Brazil	Jaraguari	2017	47.8%	56.1%	40.7%
Brazil	Jaramataia	2000	36.0%	41.3%	30.4%
Brazil	Jaramataia	2017	57.6%	63.2%	51.5%
Brazil	Jardim	2000	44.8%	49.8%	39.2%
Brazil	Jardim	2000	28.6%	35.3%	22.4%
Brazil	Jardim	2017	52.6%	60.2%	44.7%
Brazil	Jardim	2017	66.1%	70.7%	60.7%
Brazil	Jardim Alegre	2000	66.5%	71.7%	61.1%
Brazil	Jardim Alegre	2017	81.4%	84.9%	77.8%
Brazil	Jardim de Angicos	2000	62.4%	68.9%	55.5%
Brazil	Jardim de Angicos	2017	80.1%	84.3%	75.5%
Brazil	Jardim do Mulato	2000	44.7%	52.7%	36.9%
Brazil	Jardim do Mulato	2017	66.1%	72.7%	58.7%
Brazil	Jardim do Seridó	2000	61.0%	66.5%	54.9%
Brazil	Jardim do Seridó	2017	79.0%	82.8%	74.4%
Brazil	Jardim Olinda	2000	70.2%	76.6%	63.9%
Brazil	Jardim Olinda	2017	85.0%	88.8%	81.0%
Brazil	Jardim-Piranhas	2000	61.1%	67.2%	54.8%
Brazil	Jardim-Piranhas	2017	79.1%	83.3%	74.6%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2000	84.1%	86.9%	80.9%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2000	75.9%	80.2%	70.3%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2017	88.4%	90.8%	85.0%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2017	92.7%	94.2%	91.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jari	2000	75.0%	80.0%	68.1%
Brazil	Jari	2017	87.9%	90.7%	83.9%
Brazil	Jarinu	2000	85.8%	87.7%	83.8%
Brazil	Jarinu	2017	93.6%	94.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Jaru	2000	56.0%	61.2%	50.1%
Brazil	Jaru	2017	75.4%	79.5%	70.8%
Brazil	Jataí	2000	43.9%	50.2%	37.4%
Brazil	Jataí	2017	65.4%	70.5%	59.2%
Brazil	Jataizinho	2000	67.6%	71.6%	63.9%
Brazil	Jataizinho	2017	83.3%	86.0%	81.0%
Brazil	Jataúba	2000	42.6%	47.6%	37.3%
Brazil	Jataúba	2017	64.2%	68.5%	59.2%
Brazil	Jateí	2000	29.4%	35.6%	24.4%
Brazil	Jateí	2017	50.0%	57.0%	43.8%
Brazil	Jati	2000	41.0%	46.7%	35.7%
Brazil	Jati	2017	62.7%	68.1%	57.3%
Brazil	Jatobá	2000	33.3%	42.2%	25.8%
Brazil	Jatobá	2000	37.6%	43.5%	31.7%
Brazil	Jatobá	2017	59.3%	64.8%	53.0%
Brazil	Jatobá	2017	54.6%	63.7%	46.0%
Brazil	Jatobá do Piauí	2000	44.5%	51.4%	38.3%
Brazil	Jatobá do Piauí	2017	65.9%	72.0%	59.8%
Brazil	Jaú	2000	87.2%	89.5%	84.0%
Brazil	Jaú	2017	94.3%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Jaú do Tocantins	2000	27.3%	35.4%	19.8%
Brazil	Jaú do Tocantins	2017	47.3%	57.0%	37.2%
Brazil	Jaupaci	2000	42.5%	49.7%	36.0%
Brazil	Jaupaci	2017	64.0%	70.7%	57.5%
Brazil	Jauru	2000	49.7%	58.8%	39.9%
Brazil	Jauru	2017	70.4%	77.5%	61.9%
Brazil	Jeceaba	2000	65.7%	70.5%	60.8%
Brazil	Jeceaba	2017	82.3%	85.3%	79.0%
Brazil	Jenipapo de Minas	2000	64.1%	71.0%	57.0%
Brazil	Jenipapo de Minas	2017	81.2%	85.6%	76.3%
Brazil	Jenipapo dos Vieiras	2000	32.2%	41.0%	24.3%
Brazil	Jenipapo dos Vieiras	2017	53.3%	62.9%	44.0%
Brazil	Jequeri	2000	64.1%	69.9%	58.3%
Brazil	Jequeri	2017	81.2%	85.0%	77.2%
Brazil	Jequié	2000	45.9%	51.4%	40.8%
Brazil	Jequié	2017	67.3%	72.0%	62.6%
Brazil	Jequitáí	2000	62.5%	70.7%	54.7%
Brazil	Jequitáí	2017	80.1%	85.4%	74.5%
Brazil	Jequitibá	2000	64.2%	70.6%	57.5%
Brazil	Jequitibá	2017	81.2%	85.3%	76.5%
Brazil	Jequitinhonha	2000	62.1%	69.5%	54.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jequitinhonha	2017	79.8%	84.7%	73.9%
Brazil	Jeremoabo	2000	48.4%	53.6%	43.7%
Brazil	Jeremoabo	2017	69.2%	73.6%	65.3%
Brazil	Jericó	2000	52.3%	58.6%	46.1%
Brazil	Jericó	2017	72.6%	77.6%	67.1%
Brazil	Jeriquara	2000	81.7%	85.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Jeriquara	2017	91.5%	93.5%	89.0%
Brazil	Jerônimo Monteiro	2000	56.5%	61.3%	51.3%
Brazil	Jerônimo Monteiro	2017	75.9%	79.5%	71.7%
Brazil	Jerumenha	2000	41.1%	51.6%	30.5%
Brazil	Jerumenha	2017	62.6%	72.4%	50.5%
Brazil	Jesuânia	2000	66.6%	71.3%	61.4%
Brazil	Jesuânia	2017	82.8%	85.8%	79.4%
Brazil	Jesuítas	2000	68.0%	72.6%	62.0%
Brazil	Jesuítas	2017	83.7%	86.6%	79.9%
Brazil	Jesúpolis	2000	42.8%	48.4%	36.8%
Brazil	Jesúpolis	2017	64.4%	69.6%	58.3%
Brazil	Ji-Paraná	2000	54.8%	59.4%	49.9%
Brazil	Ji-Paraná	2017	74.5%	78.2%	70.9%
Brazil	Jijoca de Jericoacoara	2000	43.1%	51.5%	36.2%
Brazil	Jijoca de Jericoacoara	2017	64.6%	72.0%	57.6%
Brazil	Jiquiriçá	2000	45.1%	51.8%	38.7%
Brazil	Jiquiriçá	2017	66.4%	71.8%	60.5%
Brazil	Jitaúna	2000	46.8%	52.0%	41.7%
Brazil	Jitaúna	2017	68.0%	72.5%	63.5%
Brazil	Joaçaba	2000	79.5%	82.9%	74.8%
Brazil	Joaçaba	2017	90.3%	92.2%	87.8%
Brazil	Joáima	2000	63.8%	71.0%	54.9%
Brazil	Joáima	2017	80.9%	85.3%	74.7%
Brazil	Joanésia	2000	65.1%	70.0%	59.7%
Brazil	Joanésia	2017	81.9%	85.3%	78.1%
Brazil	Joanópolis	2000	85.6%	88.8%	82.6%
Brazil	Joanópolis	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.9%
Brazil	João Alfredo	2000	39.1%	42.8%	35.5%
Brazil	João Alfredo	2017	60.9%	64.6%	57.3%
Brazil	João Câmara	2000	64.5%	70.2%	58.4%
Brazil	João Câmara	2017	81.4%	85.0%	77.1%
Brazil	João Costa	2000	44.1%	53.6%	33.9%
Brazil	João Costa	2017	65.5%	73.7%	55.3%
Brazil	João Dias	2000	56.8%	63.0%	50.5%
Brazil	João Dias	2017	76.0%	80.6%	71.2%
Brazil	João Dourado	2000	46.6%	52.5%	41.1%
Brazil	João Dourado	2017	65.8%	70.9%	60.4%
Brazil	João Lisboa	2000	29.0%	34.1%	24.4%
Brazil	João Lisboa	2017	49.9%	55.9%	44.1%
Brazil	João Monlevade	2000	70.6%	74.2%	66.8%
Brazil	João Monlevade	2017	85.3%	87.4%	82.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	João Neiva	2000	55.3%	60.4%	50.3%
Brazil	João Neiva	2017	75.0%	78.8%	71.1%
Brazil	João Pessoa	2000	52.4%	55.4%	49.2%
Brazil	João Pessoa	2017	72.7%	75.0%	70.1%
Brazil	João Pinheiro	2000	68.5%	76.7%	60.6%
Brazil	João Pinheiro	2017	83.9%	88.9%	78.9%
Brazil	João Ramalho	2000	86.0%	89.6%	81.7%
Brazil	João Ramalho	2017	93.7%	95.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Joaquim Felício	2000	64.5%	73.0%	54.6%
Brazil	Joaquim Felício	2017	81.4%	86.7%	74.1%
Brazil	Joaquim Gomes	2000	34.3%	38.9%	29.9%
Brazil	Joaquim Gomes	2017	55.9%	60.8%	51.2%
Brazil	Joaquim Nabuco	2000	39.6%	43.5%	36.2%
Brazil	Joaquim Nabuco	2017	61.3%	65.3%	57.8%
Brazil	Joaquim Pires	2000	44.1%	50.6%	37.5%
Brazil	Joaquim Pires	2017	65.5%	71.1%	59.7%
Brazil	Joaquim Távora	2000	68.8%	75.3%	63.5%
Brazil	Joaquim Távora	2017	84.2%	88.0%	80.8%
Brazil	Joca Marques	2000	40.7%	47.5%	34.0%
Brazil	Joca Marques	2017	62.3%	68.4%	55.3%
Brazil	Jóia	2000	74.5%	79.6%	68.1%
Brazil	Jóia	2017	87.6%	90.5%	83.7%
Brazil	Joinvile	2000	77.6%	80.9%	74.4%
Brazil	Joinvile	2017	89.3%	91.1%	87.6%
Brazil	Jordânia	2000	56.5%	64.1%	50.1%
Brazil	Jordânia	2017	75.7%	81.2%	70.4%
Brazil	Jordão	2000	42.4%	53.8%	32.0%
Brazil	Jordão	2017	63.7%	73.8%	52.8%
Brazil	José Boiteux	2000	79.1%	83.6%	74.2%
Brazil	José Boiteux	2017	90.2%	92.5%	87.5%
Brazil	José Bonifácio	2000	88.2%	90.8%	84.9%
Brazil	José Bonifácio	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	José da Penha	2000	55.0%	60.4%	49.8%
Brazil	José da Penha	2017	74.7%	78.7%	70.8%
Brazil	José de Freitas	2000	46.5%	53.0%	40.7%
Brazil	José de Freitas	2017	67.6%	73.0%	62.1%
Brazil	José Gonçalves de Minas	2000	66.2%	73.4%	57.5%
Brazil	José Gonçalves de Minas	2017	82.5%	86.8%	76.5%
Brazil	José Raydan	2000	66.5%	72.7%	58.6%
Brazil	José Raydan	2017	82.7%	86.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Joselândia	2000	32.3%	39.0%	25.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Joselândia	2017	53.4%	61.1%	45.0%
Brazil	Josenópolis	2000	63.9%	70.2%	55.9%
Brazil	Josenópolis	2017	81.0%	84.9%	75.3%
Brazil	Joviânia	2000	44.6%	52.0%	38.6%
Brazil	Joviânia	2017	66.0%	72.5%	60.4%
Brazil	Juara	2000	51.1%	61.0%	40.1%
Brazil	Juara	2017	71.7%	79.3%	62.5%
Brazil	Juarez Távora	2000	45.1%	49.7%	41.0%
Brazil	Juarez Távora	2017	66.6%	70.9%	62.5%
Brazil	Juarina	2000	30.9%	38.8%	24.4%
Brazil	Juarina	2017	52.0%	60.7%	44.2%
Brazil	Juatuba	2000	65.5%	69.0%	61.7%
Brazil	Juatuba	2017	82.1%	84.5%	79.6%
Brazil	Juazeirinho	2000	47.6%	55.0%	41.6%
Brazil	Juazeirinho	2017	68.7%	74.9%	63.2%
Brazil	Juazeiro	2000	47.9%	51.3%	44.8%
Brazil	Juazeiro	2017	68.7%	71.7%	65.6%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Norte	2000	47.8%	50.5%	44.5%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Norte	2017	68.9%	71.6%	65.6%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Piauí	2000	43.9%	52.3%	35.4%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Piauí	2017	65.3%	73.0%	56.4%
Brazil	Jucás	2000	41.1%	45.8%	36.0%
Brazil	Jucás	2017	62.8%	66.9%	57.6%
Brazil	Jucati	2000	38.2%	42.0%	33.8%
Brazil	Jucati	2017	60.0%	63.9%	55.4%
Brazil	Jucuruçu	2000	52.7%	59.1%	45.8%
Brazil	Jucuruçu	2017	72.8%	77.9%	67.2%
Brazil	Jucurutu	2000	63.2%	70.6%	56.1%
Brazil	Jucurutu	2017	81.3%	86.0%	76.8%
Brazil	Juína	2000	53.4%	63.4%	43.7%
Brazil	Juína	2017	73.3%	81.0%	65.1%
Brazil	Juiz de Fora	2000	68.1%	71.6%	64.9%
Brazil	Juiz de Fora	2017	83.8%	85.8%	81.5%
Brazil	Júlio Borges	2000	46.0%	56.2%	35.7%
Brazil	Júlio Borges	2017	67.1%	75.3%	57.0%
Brazil	Júlio de Castilhos	2000	75.0%	80.1%	69.6%
Brazil	Júlio de Castilhos	2017	89.1%	91.8%	86.2%
Brazil	Júlio Mesquita	2000	86.0%	89.0%	82.5%
Brazil	Júlio Mesquita	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Jumirim	2000	85.8%	88.7%	82.6%
Brazil	Jumirim	2017	93.6%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Junco	2000	61.1%	67.6%	54.9%
Brazil	Junco	2017	79.1%	83.6%	74.6%
Brazil	Junco do Maranhão	2000	41.4%	49.2%	33.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Junco do Maranhão	2017	63.2%	70.5%	55.3%
Brazil	Junco do Seridó	2000	50.5%	57.3%	44.7%
Brazil	Junco do Seridó	2017	71.1%	76.9%	66.3%
Brazil	Jundiá	2000	35.6%	40.8%	31.0%
Brazil	Jundiá	2017	57.2%	62.8%	52.3%
Brazil	Jundiá	2000	88.1%	89.8%	86.2%
Brazil	Jundiá	2017	94.7%	95.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Jundiá do Sul	2000	65.3%	71.1%	59.7%
Brazil	Jundiá do Sul	2017	82.0%	85.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Junqueiro	2000	32.1%	36.1%	27.8%
Brazil	Junqueiro	2017	53.2%	57.7%	48.3%
Brazil	Junqueirópolis	2000	84.8%	88.3%	80.7%
Brazil	Junqueirópolis	2017	93.1%	94.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Jupiá	2000	38.0%	41.9%	34.2%
Brazil	Jupiá	2000	71.6%	77.0%	65.4%
Brazil	Jupiá	2017	85.9%	89.0%	82.1%
Brazil	Jupiá	2017	59.7%	63.7%	55.4%
Brazil	Juquiá	2000	84.6%	88.4%	79.5%
Brazil	Juquiá	2017	93.0%	94.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Juquitiba	2000	88.1%	90.6%	85.0%
Brazil	Juquitiba	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Juramento	2000	67.2%	73.0%	61.2%
Brazil	Juramento	2017	83.1%	86.8%	78.9%
Brazil	Juranda	2000	64.6%	70.6%	57.8%
Brazil	Juranda	2017	81.5%	85.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Jurema	2000	38.4%	42.0%	34.3%
Brazil	Jurema	2000	46.9%	56.3%	39.1%
Brazil	Jurema	2017	60.1%	63.7%	55.8%
Brazil	Jurema	2017	67.9%	75.9%	61.0%
Brazil	Juripiranga	2000	45.9%	50.1%	41.7%
Brazil	Juripiranga	2017	67.2%	71.0%	63.5%
Brazil	Juru	2000	42.8%	48.8%	37.0%
Brazil	Juru	2017	64.4%	69.9%	58.6%
Brazil	Juruá	2000	48.5%	60.2%	38.6%
Brazil	Juruá	2017	71.0%	80.0%	61.6%
Brazil	Juruá	2000	68.1%	72.9%	63.4%
Brazil	Juruá	2017	85.1%	87.7%	82.2%
Brazil	Juruena	2000	49.5%	61.6%	38.3%
Brazil	Juruena	2017	70.1%	79.5%	59.8%
Brazil	Juruti	2000	51.5%	58.5%	44.6%
Brazil	Juruti	2017	72.4%	77.6%	66.4%
Brazil	Juscimeira	2000	50.4%	57.7%	43.2%
Brazil	Juscimeira	2017	71.0%	76.6%	64.6%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	65.2%	70.3%	59.8%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	47.0%	54.4%	39.9%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	45.6%	53.2%	38.0%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	68.1%	74.4%	61.7%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	81.9%	85.4%	78.3%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	65.6%	72.3%	58.3%
Brazil	Jussari	2000	47.4%	54.5%	42.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jussari	2017	68.5%	74.5%	63.9%
Brazil	Jussiape	2000	44.1%	51.7%	37.2%
Brazil	Jussiape	2017	65.4%	72.0%	58.8%
Brazil	Jutaí	2000	50.2%	60.8%	37.6%
Brazil	Jutaí	2017	70.5%	79.1%	58.9%
Brazil	Juti	2000	26.6%	33.1%	20.8%
Brazil	Juti	2017	46.6%	54.6%	39.0%
Brazil	Juvenília	2000	53.7%	61.3%	46.7%
Brazil	Juvenília	2017	73.8%	79.3%	68.3%
Brazil	Kaloré	2000	64.2%	69.3%	58.6%
Brazil	Kaloré	2017	81.2%	84.6%	77.2%
Brazil	Lábrea	2000	50.9%	61.7%	39.1%
Brazil	Lábrea	2017	71.2%	79.3%	60.8%
Brazil	Lacerdópolis	2000	77.3%	81.4%	72.9%
Brazil	Lacerdópolis	2017	89.2%	91.4%	86.5%
Brazil	Ladainha	2000	66.9%	73.2%	60.3%
Brazil	Ladainha	2017	82.9%	86.7%	78.5%
Brazil	Lafaiete Coutinho	2000	44.6%	49.8%	38.8%
Brazil	Lafaiete Coutinho	2017	66.1%	70.9%	60.8%
Brazil	Lagamar	2000	61.9%	69.4%	54.1%
Brazil	Lagamar	2017	79.7%	84.6%	74.0%
Brazil	Lagarto	2000	64.1%	68.7%	59.6%
Brazil	Lagarto	2017	81.5%	84.6%	78.3%
Brazil	Lages	2000	81.1%	85.3%	76.4%
Brazil	Lages	2017	90.9%	93.0%	88.4%
Brazil	Lago da Pedra	2000	33.5%	40.9%	27.1%
Brazil	Lago da Pedra	2017	54.9%	62.6%	47.3%
Brazil	Lago do Junco	2000	33.2%	39.7%	26.6%
Brazil	Lago do Junco	2017	54.5%	61.5%	46.7%
Brazil	Lago dos Rodrigues	2000	33.1%	39.4%	25.9%
Brazil	Lago dos Rodrigues	2017	54.4%	61.0%	46.1%
Brazil	Lago Verde	2000	32.9%	39.3%	26.0%
Brazil	Lago Verde	2017	56.0%	63.1%	48.1%
Brazil	Lagoa	2000	53.6%	60.0%	47.2%
Brazil	Lagoa	2017	73.6%	78.1%	68.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Alegre	2000	44.0%	51.2%	36.8%
Brazil	Lagoa Alegre	2017	65.4%	71.8%	58.6%
Brazil	Lagoa da Canoa	2000	35.4%	39.7%	31.0%
Brazil	Lagoa da Canoa	2017	56.8%	61.4%	52.0%
Brazil	Lagoa da Confusão	2000	24.1%	33.2%	16.9%
Brazil	Lagoa da Confusão	2017	41.5%	52.7%	31.0%
Brazil	Lagoa da Prata	2000	67.3%	73.0%	61.6%
Brazil	Lagoa da Prata	2017	83.2%	86.7%	79.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lagoa de Anta	2000	58.3%	62.9%	52.3%
Brazil	Lagoa de Anta	2017	77.1%	80.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Lagoa de dentro	2000	52.5%	57.4%	47.8%
Brazil	Lagoa de dentro	2017	72.8%	76.7%	68.4%
Brazil	Lagoa de Pedras	2000	60.8%	65.2%	55.9%
Brazil	Lagoa de Pedras	2017	79.0%	82.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Lagoa de São Francisco	2000	43.5%	51.5%	36.4%
Brazil	Lagoa de São Francisco	2017	65.0%	72.0%	57.8%
Brazil	Lagoa do Barro do Piauí	2000	44.4%	53.9%	35.6%
Brazil	Lagoa do Barro do Piauí	2017	65.7%	74.4%	57.4%
Brazil	Lagoa do Carro	2000	45.9%	49.2%	42.5%
Brazil	Lagoa do Carro	2017	67.3%	70.5%	64.1%
Brazil	Lagoa do Itaenga	2000	42.2%	46.1%	38.7%
Brazil	Lagoa do Itaenga	2017	63.9%	67.6%	60.5%
Brazil	Lagoa do Mato	2000	35.4%	44.6%	27.7%
Brazil	Lagoa do Mato	2017	58.9%	68.0%	50.2%
Brazil	Lagoa do Ouro	2000	34.4%	39.2%	29.4%
Brazil	Lagoa do Ouro	2017	55.9%	61.1%	51.1%
Brazil	Lagoa do Piauí	2000	43.6%	50.3%	36.9%
Brazil	Lagoa do Piauí	2017	65.1%	71.2%	58.0%
Brazil	Lagoa do Sítio	2000	44.6%	52.8%	37.2%
Brazil	Lagoa do Sítio	2017	66.0%	73.4%	58.8%
Brazil	Lagoa do Tocantins	2000	20.6%	28.8%	13.7%
Brazil	Lagoa do Tocantins	2017	38.4%	49.4%	27.9%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Gatos	2000	35.1%	39.8%	31.3%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Gatos	2017	56.7%	61.2%	52.7%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Patos	2000	63.4%	71.4%	55.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lagoa dos Patos	2017	80.7%	85.9%	75.2%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Três Cantos	2000	73.6%	78.1%	68.9%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Três Cantos	2017	87.1%	89.7%	84.1%
Brazil	Lagoa dourada	2000	66.3%	70.9%	61.0%
Brazil	Lagoa dourada	2017	82.6%	85.7%	79.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Formosa	2000	65.1%	71.3%	58.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Formosa	2017	81.9%	85.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2000	62.3%	70.5%	54.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2000	37.7%	43.1%	31.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2017	59.3%	64.9%	52.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2017	80.0%	85.4%	74.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande do Maranhão	2000	33.1%	40.9%	26.1%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande do Maranhão	2017	54.3%	62.6%	45.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Mirim	2000	71.9%	77.6%	65.7%
Brazil	Lagoa Mirim	2017	86.2%	89.7%	82.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Nova	2000	66.3%	71.7%	60.0%
Brazil	Lagoa Nova	2017	82.6%	86.1%	78.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Real	2000	42.0%	48.2%	36.7%
Brazil	Lagoa Real	2017	63.7%	69.6%	58.3%
Brazil	Lagoa Salgada	2000	61.2%	65.6%	56.5%
Brazil	Lagoa Salgada	2017	79.2%	82.3%	75.8%
Brazil	Lagoa Santa	2000	66.4%	69.7%	62.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Santa	2017	83.7%	85.7%	81.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Seca	2000	47.1%	51.0%	43.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Seca	2017	68.3%	71.4%	65.3%
Brazil	Lagoa Vermelha	2000	78.4%	83.2%	72.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Vermelha	2017	89.8%	92.2%	86.8%
Brazil	Lagoão	2000	76.3%	80.4%	72.0%
Brazil	Lagoão	2017	88.6%	91.0%	86.0%
Brazil	Lagoas de Velhos	2000	61.3%	67.3%	55.6%
Brazil	Lagoas de Velhos	2017	79.3%	83.4%	75.3%
Brazil	Lagoinha	2000	90.4%	92.5%	87.7%
Brazil	Lagoinha	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Lagoinha do Piauí	2000	45.2%	53.7%	37.8%
Brazil	Lagoinha do Piauí	2017	66.6%	73.9%	59.4%
Brazil	Laguna	2000	76.5%	81.8%	71.8%
Brazil	Laguna	2017	88.8%	91.6%	86.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Laguna Carapã	2000	26.1%	32.3%	20.1%
Brazil	Laguna Carapã	2017	45.9%	53.7%	37.7%
Brazil	Laje	2000	45.5%	50.3%	41.0%
Brazil	Laje	2017	66.9%	70.8%	62.7%
Brazil	Laje do Muriaé	2000	78.0%	81.5%	73.3%
Brazil	Laje do Muriaé	2017	89.5%	91.3%	86.7%
Brazil	Lajeado do Bugre	2000	76.4%	80.4%	71.9%
Brazil	Lajeado do Bugre	2017	88.7%	90.9%	85.9%
Brazil	Lajeado Grande	2000	75.8%	80.7%	70.4%
Brazil	Lajeado Grande	2017	88.4%	91.1%	85.2%
Brazil	Lajeado Novo	2000	28.7%	35.6%	20.7%
Brazil	Lajeado Novo	2017	49.1%	57.1%	39.1%
Brazil	Lajedao	2000	57.3%	64.4%	49.6%
Brazil	Lajedao	2017	76.4%	81.5%	70.6%
Brazil	Lajedão	2000	75.4%	78.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Lajedão	2000	18.1%	22.7%	13.5%
Brazil	Lajedão	2017	34.7%	42.0%	27.4%
Brazil	Lajedão	2017	88.2%	89.8%	86.2%
Brazil	Lajedinho	2000	46.3%	52.9%	39.0%
Brazil	Lajedinho	2017	67.4%	73.1%	60.6%
Brazil	Lajedo	2000	37.6%	41.3%	33.5%
Brazil	Lajedo	2017	59.3%	63.4%	54.9%
Brazil	Lajedo do Tabocal	2000	49.0%	55.0%	42.3%
Brazil	Lajedo do Tabocal	2017	69.9%	75.1%	64.1%
Brazil	Lajes	2000	61.4%	68.8%	53.6%
Brazil	Lajes	2017	79.3%	84.3%	73.7%
Brazil	Lajes Pin-tadas	2000	58.5%	65.3%	51.7%
Brazil	Lajes Pin-tadas	2017	77.3%	81.9%	72.2%
Brazil	Lajinha	2000	59.6%	65.1%	53.7%
Brazil	Lajinha	2017	78.1%	82.0%	73.6%
Brazil	Lamarão	2000	45.9%	51.1%	40.7%
Brazil	Lamarão	2017	67.2%	72.0%	62.4%
Brazil	Lambari	2000	67.0%	72.1%	61.7%
Brazil	Lambari	2017	83.1%	86.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Lambari d'Oeste	2000	50.3%	58.2%	41.7%
Brazil	Lambari d'Oeste	2017	70.9%	77.2%	63.5%
Brazil	Lamim	2000	65.0%	70.4%	59.6%
Brazil	Lamim	2017	81.8%	85.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Landri Sales	2000	42.3%	52.7%	32.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Landri Sales	2017	63.8%	73.5%	54.7%
Brazil	Lapão	2000	43.9%	49.4%	39.3%
Brazil	Lapão	2017	65.4%	70.4%	60.7%
Brazil	Laranja da Terra	2000	57.7%	63.4%	51.2%
Brazil	Laranja da Terra	2017	76.7%	81.1%	71.8%
Brazil	Laranjal	2000	63.1%	69.4%	55.6%
Brazil	Laranjal	2000	70.5%	75.3%	66.1%
Brazil	Laranjal	2017	80.5%	84.8%	75.1%
Brazil	Laranjal	2017	85.2%	88.1%	82.5%
Brazil	Laranjal do Jari	2000	55.9%	65.9%	46.2%
Brazil	Laranjal do Jari	2017	75.2%	82.6%	67.4%
Brazil	Laranjal Paulista	2000	86.1%	88.9%	83.0%
Brazil	Laranjal Paulista	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Laranjeiras	2000	65.3%	68.6%	62.0%
Brazil	Laranjeiras	2017	82.0%	84.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Laranjeiras do Sul	2000	67.4%	73.7%	61.5%
Brazil	Laranjeiras do Sul	2017	83.5%	87.4%	80.0%
Brazil	Lassance	2000	64.5%	72.9%	55.5%
Brazil	Lassance	2017	81.4%	86.6%	75.0%
Brazil	Lastro	2000	53.2%	59.7%	46.1%
Brazil	Lastro	2017	73.4%	78.3%	67.7%
Brazil	Laurentino	2000	78.4%	83.2%	73.7%
Brazil	Laurentino	2017	89.8%	92.4%	87.1%
Brazil	Lauro de Freitas	2000	48.0%	50.5%	45.0%
Brazil	Lauro de Freitas	2017	69.0%	71.5%	66.5%
Brazil	Lauro Muller	2000	77.5%	82.6%	72.7%
Brazil	Lauro Muller	2017	89.3%	92.0%	86.6%
Brazil	Lavandeira	2000	32.6%	40.5%	25.7%
Brazil	Lavandeira	2017	53.5%	61.9%	45.1%
Brazil	Lavínia	2000	84.9%	88.6%	80.3%
Brazil	Lavínia	2017	93.0%	94.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Lavras	2000	66.7%	71.4%	61.4%
Brazil	Lavras	2017	82.9%	85.9%	79.4%
Brazil	Lavras da Mangabeira	2000	41.2%	46.2%	37.0%
Brazil	Lavras da Mangabeira	2017	62.9%	67.6%	58.5%
Brazil	Lavras do Sul	2000	77.2%	82.4%	70.8%
Brazil	Lavras do Sul	2017	89.1%	91.9%	85.4%
Brazil	Lavrinhas	2000	77.4%	81.8%	72.3%
Brazil	Lavrinhas	2017	89.2%	91.6%	86.5%
Brazil	Leandro Ferreira	2000	64.5%	70.0%	59.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Leandro Ferreira	2017	81.5%	85.0%	77.8%
Brazil	Lebon Régis	2000	81.9%	86.0%	76.1%
Brazil	Lebon Régis	2017	91.6%	93.8%	88.5%
Brazil	Leme	2000	87.8%	90.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Leme	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Leme do Prado	2000	65.6%	72.4%	57.1%
Brazil	Leme do Prado	2017	82.1%	86.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Lençóis	2000	43.6%	50.6%	38.0%
Brazil	Lençóis	2017	65.0%	71.2%	59.9%
Brazil	Lençóis Paulista	2000	88.4%	91.0%	85.6%
Brazil	Lençóis Paulista	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Leoberto Leal	2000	80.4%	85.4%	74.8%
Brazil	Leoberto Leal	2017	90.9%	93.3%	87.9%
Brazil	Leopoldina	2000	67.8%	72.8%	62.7%
Brazil	Leopoldina	2017	83.6%	86.7%	80.2%
Brazil	Leopoldo de Bulhões	2000	44.4%	48.0%	41.1%
Brazil	Leopoldo de Bulhões	2017	65.8%	69.4%	62.7%
Brazil	Leópolis	2000	69.4%	74.2%	64.6%
Brazil	Leópolis	2017	84.6%	87.4%	81.5%
Brazil	Liberato Salzano	2000	74.9%	79.1%	70.4%
Brazil	Liberato Salzano	2017	87.8%	90.1%	85.2%
Brazil	Liberdade	2000	76.2%	81.9%	70.2%
Brazil	Liberdade	2017	88.5%	91.7%	85.2%
Brazil	Licínio de Almeida	2000	48.0%	54.5%	41.4%
Brazil	Licínio de Almeida	2017	68.9%	74.1%	62.9%
Brazil	Lidianópolis	2000	64.6%	70.3%	59.2%
Brazil	Lidianópolis	2017	81.5%	84.9%	77.8%
Brazil	Lima Campos	2000	32.2%	38.2%	26.3%
Brazil	Lima Campos	2017	53.4%	59.9%	46.3%
Brazil	Lima Duarte	2000	71.3%	77.5%	65.4%
Brazil	Lima Duarte	2017	85.7%	89.4%	81.9%
Brazil	Limeira	2000	88.8%	90.7%	86.6%
Brazil	Limeira	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Limeira do Oeste	2000	59.1%	67.3%	51.5%
Brazil	Limeira do Oeste	2017	77.6%	83.2%	71.7%
Brazil	Limoeiro	2000	40.1%	43.6%	36.8%
Brazil	Limoeiro	2017	61.8%	65.2%	58.5%
Brazil	Limoeiro de Anadia	2000	32.0%	36.1%	28.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Limoeiro de Anadia	2017	53.2%	57.1%	49.2%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Ajuru	2000	52.9%	59.0%	47.0%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Ajuru	2017	73.0%	77.6%	68.6%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Norte	2000	48.7%	54.2%	43.7%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Norte	2017	69.6%	74.2%	65.2%
Brazil	Lindoeste	2000	64.5%	69.9%	59.0%
Brazil	Lindoeste	2017	81.4%	84.9%	77.3%
Brazil	Lindóia	2000	80.5%	83.9%	76.3%
Brazil	Lindóia	2017	90.9%	92.7%	88.7%
Brazil	Lindóia do Sul	2000	78.1%	82.3%	73.1%
Brazil	Lindóia do Sul	2017	89.6%	91.8%	86.9%
Brazil	Lindolfo Color	2000	73.8%	76.7%	71.2%
Brazil	Lindolfo Color	2017	87.2%	88.9%	85.6%
Brazil	Linha Nova	2000	74.6%	77.5%	71.6%
Brazil	Linha Nova	2017	87.7%	89.4%	86.0%
Brazil	Linhares	2000	59.0%	64.0%	54.5%
Brazil	Linhares	2017	77.6%	81.2%	74.3%
Brazil	Lins	2000	87.6%	90.2%	83.8%
Brazil	Lins	2017	94.4%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Livramento	2000	46.7%	53.4%	40.5%
Brazil	Livramento	2017	67.9%	73.5%	62.4%
Brazil	Livramento do Brumado	2000	44.0%	49.7%	37.8%
Brazil	Livramento do Brumado	2017	66.6%	71.4%	61.2%
Brazil	Lizarda	2000	26.5%	37.8%	19.0%
Brazil	Lizarda	2017	46.2%	59.2%	36.2%
Brazil	Loanda	2000	63.6%	69.6%	57.8%
Brazil	Loanda	2017	80.8%	85.0%	76.8%
Brazil	Lobato	2000	64.5%	69.9%	58.8%
Brazil	Lobato	2017	81.5%	84.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Logradouro	2000	55.4%	60.2%	50.5%
Brazil	Logradouro	2017	74.9%	78.5%	71.2%
Brazil	Londrina	2000	67.6%	70.1%	65.2%
Brazil	Londrina	2017	83.5%	85.1%	81.9%
Brazil	Lontra	2000	68.9%	75.6%	61.7%
Brazil	Lontra	2017	84.2%	88.3%	79.3%
Brazil	Lontras	2000	78.4%	82.7%	74.3%
Brazil	Lontras	2017	89.8%	92.1%	87.3%
Brazil	Lorena	2000	81.3%	84.4%	77.6%
Brazil	Lorena	2017	91.3%	92.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Loreto	2000	37.8%	48.2%	27.8%
Brazil	Loreto	2017	59.3%	69.1%	47.9%
Brazil	Lourdes	2000	87.5%	90.9%	83.4%
Brazil	Lourdes	2017	94.4%	96.0%	92.4%
Brazil	Louveira	2000	86.7%	88.6%	84.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Louveira	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Lucas do Rio Verde	2000	50.4%	57.8%	43.6%
Brazil	Lucas do Rio Verde	2017	71.0%	77.2%	65.1%
Brazil	Lucélia	2000	89.1%	91.8%	85.5%
Brazil	Lucélia	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Lucena	2000	51.6%	57.3%	45.4%
Brazil	Lucena	2017	72.0%	76.4%	66.8%
Brazil	Lucianópolis	2000	87.0%	90.4%	82.1%
Brazil	Lucianópolis	2017	94.2%	95.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Luciára	2000	33.2%	43.8%	23.4%
Brazil	Luciára	2017	54.4%	65.9%	42.5%
Brazil	Lucrécia	2000	57.4%	63.1%	51.3%
Brazil	Lucrécia	2017	76.5%	80.4%	71.4%
Brazil	Luís Antônio	2000	88.7%	91.4%	85.8%
Brazil	Luís Antônio	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Luís Correia	2000	42.7%	48.0%	36.0%
Brazil	Luís Correia	2017	64.2%	69.2%	57.7%
Brazil	Luís Domingues	2000	40.9%	49.7%	33.4%
Brazil	Luís Domingues	2017	64.3%	72.2%	56.8%
Brazil	Luís Gomes	2000	54.5%	59.5%	49.2%
Brazil	Luís Gomes	2017	74.3%	78.4%	70.1%
Brazil	Luisburgo	2000	67.3%	72.0%	62.7%
Brazil	Luisburgo	2017	83.3%	86.2%	80.2%
Brazil	Luisiania	2000	86.9%	91.0%	81.9%
Brazil	Luisiania	2017	94.1%	96.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Luislândia	2000	66.9%	73.9%	59.1%
Brazil	Luislândia	2017	82.9%	87.3%	77.8%
Brazil	Luiz Alves	2000	78.3%	82.0%	74.9%
Brazil	Luiz Alves	2017	89.7%	91.7%	87.8%
Brazil	Luiziânia	2000	62.9%	68.6%	56.9%
Brazil	Luiziânia	2017	80.4%	84.4%	76.3%
Brazil	Luminárias	2000	64.6%	71.1%	58.1%
Brazil	Luminárias	2017	81.5%	85.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Lunardelli	2000	64.5%	69.7%	59.5%
Brazil	Lunardelli	2017	81.5%	84.7%	78.0%
Brazil	Lupercio	2000	86.1%	89.5%	81.6%
Brazil	Lupercio	2017	93.7%	95.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Lupionópolis	2000	70.1%	75.8%	64.1%
Brazil	Lupionópolis	2017	85.0%	88.3%	81.2%
Brazil	Lutécia	2000	85.4%	89.1%	81.7%
Brazil	Lutécia	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Luz	2000	67.6%	73.2%	61.0%
Brazil	Luz	2017	83.4%	87.0%	79.0%
Brazil	Luzerna	2000	78.4%	82.2%	73.5%
Brazil	Luzerna	2017	89.8%	91.8%	87.1%
Brazil	Luziânia	2000	49.0%	52.3%	45.6%
Brazil	Luziânia	2017	70.2%	73.0%	67.2%
Brazil	Luzilândia	2000	44.3%	50.8%	38.1%
Brazil	Luzilândia	2017	65.6%	71.3%	59.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Luzinópolis	2000	22.5%	27.7%	17.6%
Brazil	Luzinópolis	2017	41.2%	48.2%	34.3%
Brazil	Macaé	2000	84.3%	86.9%	81.4%
Brazil	Macaé	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Macaíba	2000	61.0%	64.2%	57.9%
Brazil	Macaíba	2017	79.3%	81.5%	76.8%
Brazil	Macaíjuba	2000	47.7%	53.7%	40.9%
Brazil	Macaíjuba	2017	68.7%	73.8%	62.9%
Brazil	Maçambara	2000	74.5%	80.2%	68.6%
Brazil	Maçambara	2000	62.9%	67.5%	58.6%
Brazil	Maçambara	2017	87.6%	90.7%	83.8%
Brazil	Maçambara	2017	80.4%	83.3%	77.3%
Brazil	Macapa	2000	48.1%	51.0%	45.4%
Brazil	Macapa	2017	69.3%	71.8%	67.0%
Brazil	Macaparana	2000	41.0%	45.5%	36.9%
Brazil	Macaparana	2017	62.7%	66.7%	58.7%
Brazil	Macarani	2000	49.5%	56.0%	43.3%
Brazil	Macarani	2017	70.2%	75.5%	64.5%
Brazil	Macatuba	2000	84.6%	88.5%	79.8%
Brazil	Macatuba	2017	93.0%	94.8%	90.7%
Brazil	Macau	2000	58.1%	66.6%	49.8%
Brazil	Macau	2017	77.0%	82.8%	70.5%
Brazil	Macaubal	2000	87.4%	90.8%	83.2%
Brazil	Macaubal	2017	94.4%	96.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Macaúbas	2000	46.3%	51.4%	41.2%
Brazil	Macaúbas	2017	67.6%	71.9%	62.8%
Brazil	Macedonia	2000	82.3%	87.4%	76.9%
Brazil	Macedonia	2017	91.8%	94.4%	88.9%
Brazil	Maceió (capital)	2000	33.0%	35.6%	30.1%
Brazil	Maceió (capital)	2017	54.3%	57.5%	51.1%
Brazil	Machacalis	2000	58.8%	66.4%	49.6%
Brazil	Machacalis	2017	77.5%	82.9%	70.5%
Brazil	Machadinho	2000	55.0%	63.5%	46.0%
Brazil	Machadinho	2000	77.4%	81.4%	72.9%
Brazil	Machadinho	2017	89.2%	91.4%	86.6%
Brazil	Machadinho	2017	74.6%	80.8%	67.2%
Brazil	Machado	2000	62.8%	68.2%	57.2%
Brazil	Machado	2017	80.3%	83.8%	76.4%
Brazil	Machados	2000	41.8%	46.2%	37.7%
Brazil	Machados	2017	63.5%	67.8%	59.4%
Brazil	Macieira	2000	78.0%	82.6%	72.3%
Brazil	Macieira	2017	89.5%	92.0%	86.2%
Brazil	Macuco	2000	82.0%	86.0%	77.7%
Brazil	Macuco	2017	91.7%	93.7%	89.4%
Brazil	Macururé	2000	43.0%	51.3%	34.8%
Brazil	Macururé	2017	64.5%	71.8%	56.7%
Brazil	Madalena	2000	44.0%	49.8%	37.3%
Brazil	Madalena	2017	65.5%	70.5%	59.1%
Brazil	Madeiro	2000	40.0%	46.9%	32.6%
Brazil	Madeiro	2017	61.5%	68.1%	54.2%
Brazil	Madre de deus	2000	47.1%	51.6%	42.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Madre de deus	2017	68.3%	72.4%	64.5%
Brazil	Madre de deus de Minas	2000	64.4%	72.8%	57.2%
Brazil	Madre de deus de Minas	2017	81.3%	86.5%	76.4%
Brazil	Mãe d'Água	2000	47.6%	53.8%	41.3%
Brazil	Mãe d'Água	2017	68.6%	73.7%	63.0%
Brazil	Maetinga	2000	47.0%	53.7%	41.2%
Brazil	Maetinga	2017	67.8%	73.2%	62.1%
Brazil	Mafra	2000	75.9%	80.3%	71.1%
Brazil	Mafra	2017	88.3%	90.8%	85.6%
Brazil	Magalhães Barata	2000	54.3%	59.4%	48.8%
Brazil	Magalhães Barata	2017	74.1%	78.0%	69.6%
Brazil	Magalhães de Almeida	2000	42.9%	49.6%	35.8%
Brazil	Magalhães de Almeida	2017	64.4%	70.6%	57.3%
Brazil	Magda	2000	88.4%	91.5%	83.6%
Brazil	Magda	2017	94.8%	96.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Magé	2000	81.0%	82.6%	79.4%
Brazil	Magé	2017	91.2%	92.0%	90.2%
Brazil	Maiquinique	2000	52.3%	59.3%	45.9%
Brazil	Maiquinique	2017	72.5%	77.9%	67.2%
Brazil	Mairi	2000	49.9%	55.9%	43.7%
Brazil	Mairi	2017	70.5%	75.7%	65.6%
Brazil	Mairinque	2000	88.7%	90.6%	86.7%
Brazil	Mairinque	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Mairiporã	2000	88.2%	89.7%	86.6%
Brazil	Mairiporã	2017	94.8%	95.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Mairipotaba	2000	41.6%	47.1%	35.8%
Brazil	Mairipotaba	2017	63.2%	68.4%	57.4%
Brazil	Major Gercino	2000	79.3%	83.4%	74.4%
Brazil	Major Gercino	2017	90.3%	92.3%	87.3%
Brazil	Major Isidoro	2000	34.8%	39.2%	30.3%
Brazil	Major Isidoro	2017	56.3%	61.1%	51.0%
Brazil	Major Sales	2000	55.3%	61.1%	49.6%
Brazil	Major Sales	2017	75.0%	79.3%	70.8%
Brazil	Major Vieira	2000	77.3%	82.5%	71.1%
Brazil	Major Vieira	2017	89.2%	92.0%	85.8%
Brazil	Malacacheta	2000	67.4%	73.7%	60.1%
Brazil	Malacacheta	2017	83.3%	87.2%	78.6%
Brazil	Malhada	2000	49.5%	56.6%	41.6%
Brazil	Malhada	2017	70.2%	75.9%	63.3%
Brazil	Malhada de Pedras	2000	42.7%	49.0%	36.7%
Brazil	Malhada de Pedras	2017	64.3%	70.0%	58.1%
Brazil	Malhada dos Bois	2000	59.9%	64.7%	55.0%
Brazil	Malhada dos Bois	2017	78.2%	81.6%	74.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Malhador	2000	64.0%	68.2%	59.8%
Brazil	Malhador	2017	81.1%	84.0%	78.2%
Brazil	Mallet	2000	66.9%	72.9%	61.3%
Brazil	Mallet	2017	83.0%	86.7%	79.0%
Brazil	Malta	2000	48.2%	54.6%	42.0%
Brazil	Malta	2017	69.2%	74.7%	63.6%
Brazil	Mamanguape	2000	55.4%	59.9%	50.6%
Brazil	Mamanguape	2017	73.7%	77.0%	69.7%
Brazil	Mambaí	2000	47.7%	57.9%	38.9%
Brazil	Mambaí	2017	68.7%	77.0%	60.5%
Brazil	Mamborê	2000	64.1%	69.8%	57.6%
Brazil	Mamborê	2017	81.1%	84.8%	76.6%
Brazil	Mamonas	2000	60.1%	67.5%	52.5%
Brazil	Mamonas	2017	78.4%	83.2%	73.0%
Brazil	Mampituba	2000	76.7%	81.5%	71.8%
Brazil	Mampituba	2017	88.8%	91.3%	86.0%
Brazil	Manacapuru	2000	49.9%	55.3%	44.0%
Brazil	Manacapuru	2017	70.6%	74.6%	65.3%
Brazil	Manaíra	2000	46.8%	53.3%	41.6%
Brazil	Manaíra	2017	68.0%	73.5%	63.1%
Brazil	Manaquiri	2000	46.9%	53.1%	40.6%
Brazil	Manaquiri	2017	68.1%	73.1%	62.3%
Brazil	Manari	2000	37.2%	43.7%	31.4%
Brazil	Manari	2017	58.9%	65.1%	52.3%
Brazil	Mâncio Lima	2000	47.5%	54.7%	40.8%
Brazil	Mâncio Lima	2017	68.6%	74.7%	62.2%
Brazil	Mandaguaçu	2000	65.1%	69.2%	60.9%
Brazil	Mandaguaçu	2017	81.8%	84.5%	78.9%
Brazil	Mandaguari	2000	65.8%	69.9%	61.7%
Brazil	Mandaguari	2017	82.3%	85.1%	79.7%
Brazil	Mandirituba	2000	68.0%	71.9%	63.6%
Brazil	Mandirituba	2017	83.7%	86.2%	80.9%
Brazil	Manduri	2000	88.5%	91.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Manduri	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Manfrinópolis	2000	68.0%	74.1%	62.1%
Brazil	Manfrinópolis	2017	83.7%	87.4%	79.8%
Brazil	Manga	2000	60.4%	68.3%	52.5%
Brazil	Manga	2017	78.6%	84.0%	73.1%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2000	84.9%	88.0%	81.3%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2000	81.2%	85.1%	77.4%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2017	91.3%	93.4%	89.3%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.4%
Brazil	Mangueirinha	2000	65.6%	72.0%	58.5%
Brazil	Mangueirinha	2017	82.1%	86.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Manhuaçu	2000	66.8%	71.1%	61.4%
Brazil	Manhuaçu	2017	84.1%	86.6%	80.9%
Brazil	Manhumirim	2000	62.2%	67.5%	56.9%
Brazil	Manhumirim	2017	79.9%	83.4%	76.0%
Brazil	Manicore	2000	50.3%	59.1%	41.2%
Brazil	Manicore	2017	70.7%	77.5%	62.6%
Brazil	Manoel Emídio	2000	44.7%	55.5%	33.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Manoel Emídio	2017	65.9%	75.3%	55.0%
Brazil	Manoel Ribas	2000	67.4%	73.0%	60.2%
Brazil	Manoel Ribas	2017	83.4%	86.7%	78.6%
Brazil	Manoel Urbano	2000	47.1%	56.4%	35.7%
Brazil	Manoel Urbano	2017	70.4%	77.3%	60.6%
Brazil	Manoel Viana	2000	76.9%	82.3%	70.0%
Brazil	Manoel Viana	2017	88.9%	91.7%	84.8%
Brazil	Manoel Victorino	2000	42.3%	48.3%	37.0%
Brazil	Manoel Victorino	2017	64.0%	69.1%	58.7%
Brazil	Mansidão	2000	46.1%	55.9%	36.8%
Brazil	Mansidão	2017	67.2%	75.8%	58.4%
Brazil	Mantena	2000	60.7%	65.8%	55.1%
Brazil	Mantena	2017	78.9%	82.5%	74.9%
Brazil	Mantenópolis	2000	64.9%	69.9%	58.3%
Brazil	Mantenópolis	2017	81.7%	85.1%	77.2%
Brazil	Maquiné	2000	75.0%	79.2%	70.3%
Brazil	Maquiné	2017	87.8%	90.3%	85.3%
Brazil	Mar de Espanha	2000	70.6%	75.6%	65.2%
Brazil	Mar de Espanha	2017	85.3%	88.2%	81.9%
Brazil	Mar Vermelho	2000	31.5%	36.1%	27.1%
Brazil	Mar Vermelho	2017	52.7%	57.9%	47.7%
Brazil	Mara Rosa	2000	46.2%	54.0%	37.8%
Brazil	Mara Rosa	2017	67.4%	73.9%	59.8%
Brazil	Maraã	2000	49.1%	58.6%	38.4%
Brazil	Maraã	2017	69.6%	77.8%	59.9%
Brazil	Marabá	2000	50.7%	54.4%	46.8%
Brazil	Marabá	2017	71.4%	74.8%	67.8%
Brazil	Marabá Paulista	2000	76.0%	81.4%	70.2%
Brazil	Marabá Paulista	2017	88.4%	91.4%	85.0%
Brazil	Maracaçumé	2000	39.1%	46.7%	31.4%
Brazil	Maracaçumé	2017	60.6%	67.6%	52.4%
Brazil	Maracaí	2000	81.7%	85.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Maracaí	2017	91.5%	93.6%	88.8%
Brazil	Maracajá	2000	79.6%	83.4%	75.3%
Brazil	Maracajá	2017	90.4%	92.5%	87.9%
Brazil	Maracaju	2000	28.0%	34.4%	22.4%
Brazil	Maracaju	2017	48.4%	56.0%	41.1%
Brazil	Maracanã	2000	53.3%	58.7%	47.8%
Brazil	Maracanã	2017	73.4%	77.6%	68.6%
Brazil	Maracanaú	2000	44.0%	46.7%	41.8%
Brazil	Maracanaú	2017	65.6%	68.0%	63.2%
Brazil	Maracás	2000	48.7%	54.8%	41.4%
Brazil	Maracás	2017	69.4%	74.7%	62.4%
Brazil	Maragogi	2000	37.7%	43.2%	32.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Maragogi	2017	59.0%	64.7%	52.9%
Brazil	Maragogipe	2000	44.4%	48.4%	40.4%
Brazil	Maragogipe	2017	66.3%	69.7%	62.5%
Brazil	Maraial	2000	38.0%	41.9%	34.0%
Brazil	Maraial	2017	59.5%	63.6%	54.9%
Brazil	Marajá do Sena	2000	32.7%	41.2%	26.3%
Brazil	Marajá do Sena	2017	53.9%	62.9%	46.7%
Brazil	Maranguape	2000	43.3%	46.7%	40.5%
Brazil	Maranguape	2017	65.3%	68.4%	62.3%
Brazil	Maranhãozinho	2000	37.5%	45.3%	29.3%
Brazil	Maranhãozinho	2017	59.5%	67.2%	50.5%
Brazil	Marapanim	2000	53.9%	59.2%	48.6%
Brazil	Marapanim	2017	73.8%	77.5%	69.8%
Brazil	Marapoama	2000	85.6%	89.0%	81.8%
Brazil	Marapoama	2017	93.5%	95.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Maratá	2000	74.9%	78.0%	70.7%
Brazil	Maratá	2017	87.8%	89.6%	85.4%
Brazil	Marataízes	2000	60.9%	68.0%	54.0%
Brazil	Marataízes	2017	79.0%	83.7%	73.8%
Brazil	Maraú	2000	45.6%	50.4%	40.5%
Brazil	Maraú	2000	75.5%	79.3%	70.9%
Brazil	Maraú	2017	88.2%	90.4%	85.7%
Brazil	Maraú	2017	66.9%	71.3%	62.1%
Brazil	Maravilha	2000	77.3%	81.7%	72.7%
Brazil	Maravilha	2000	33.9%	39.3%	28.6%
Brazil	Maravilha	2017	90.5%	92.7%	88.1%
Brazil	Maravilha	2017	55.3%	61.0%	49.4%
Brazil	Maravilhas	2000	67.4%	73.7%	60.5%
Brazil	Maravilhas	2017	83.3%	87.2%	78.6%
Brazil	Marcação	2000	51.2%	56.6%	45.7%
Brazil	Marcação	2017	71.6%	75.9%	67.1%
Brazil	Marcelândia	2000	50.7%	62.5%	40.7%
Brazil	Marcelândia	2017	71.0%	80.0%	62.3%
Brazil	Marcelino Vieira	2000	55.2%	61.2%	49.4%
Brazil	Marcelino Vieira	2017	74.8%	79.1%	70.2%
Brazil	Marcionilio Dias	2000	75.5%	79.7%	70.6%
Brazil	Marcionilio Dias	2017	88.2%	90.5%	85.5%
Brazil	Marcionílio Souza	2000	39.0%	46.3%	31.8%
Brazil	Marcionílio Souza	2017	60.6%	67.2%	52.5%
Brazil	Marco	2000	43.8%	48.7%	39.2%
Brazil	Marco	2017	65.3%	69.6%	60.7%
Brazil	Marcolândia	2000	42.9%	49.1%	35.7%
Brazil	Marcolândia	2017	64.5%	70.1%	57.2%
Brazil	Marcos Parente	2000	41.2%	51.7%	31.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Marcos Parente	2017	62.7%	72.4%	53.7%
Brazil	Marechal Cândido Rondon	2000	63.2%	68.7%	58.0%
Brazil	Marechal Cândido Rondon	2017	80.5%	84.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Marechal deodoro	2000	32.7%	36.6%	28.7%
Brazil	Marechal deodoro	2017	54.0%	58.3%	49.1%
Brazil	Marechal Florianópolis	2000	59.8%	65.4%	54.4%
Brazil	Marechal Florianópolis	2017	78.2%	82.3%	74.0%
Brazil	Marechal Thaumaturgo	2000	50.8%	61.2%	41.1%
Brazil	Marechal Thaumaturgo	2017	70.8%	78.8%	62.2%
Brazil	Marema	2000	75.3%	80.2%	70.2%
Brazil	Marema	2017	88.1%	90.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Mari	2000	48.0%	52.3%	42.7%
Brazil	Mari	2017	69.0%	72.9%	64.4%
Brazil	Maria da Fé	2000	74.2%	78.3%	69.8%
Brazil	Maria da Fé	2017	87.4%	90.0%	84.9%
Brazil	Maria Helena	2000	64.4%	69.6%	57.8%
Brazil	Maria Helena	2017	81.4%	84.7%	76.9%
Brazil	Marialva	2000	64.2%	67.7%	60.4%
Brazil	Marialva	2017	81.2%	83.5%	78.9%
Brazil	Mariana	2000	66.5%	71.6%	61.9%
Brazil	Mariana	2017	82.7%	85.8%	79.3%
Brazil	Mariana	2000	74.3%	79.0%	69.5%
Brazil	Pimentel				
Brazil	Mariana Pimentel	2017	87.5%	90.0%	84.6%
Brazil	Mariano Moro	2000	76.2%	80.3%	71.4%
Brazil	Mariano Moro	2017	88.5%	90.8%	85.8%
Brazil	Marianópolis do Tocantins	2000	25.8%	33.7%	18.4%
Brazil	Marianópolis do Tocantins	2017	45.5%	55.2%	35.2%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2000	87.1%	90.2%	83.6%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Maribondo	2000	29.0%	33.3%	24.8%
Brazil	Maribondo	2017	49.7%	55.0%	44.4%
Brazil	Maricá	2000	83.2%	85.4%	80.7%
Brazil	Maricá	2017	92.5%	93.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Marilac	2000	63.9%	69.6%	56.4%
Brazil	Marilac	2017	81.1%	84.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Marilândia	2000	59.7%	65.5%	54.2%
Brazil	Marilândia	2017	78.2%	82.1%	74.2%
Brazil	Marilândia do Sul	2000	63.3%	68.5%	58.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Marilândia do Sul	2017	80.6%	84.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Marilena	2000	57.7%	65.1%	49.8%
Brazil	Marilena	2017	76.7%	82.0%	70.7%
Brazil	Marília	2000	86.4%	89.2%	82.6%
Brazil	Marília	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Mariluz	2000	69.1%	74.4%	63.5%
Brazil	Mariluz	2017	84.3%	87.6%	81.0%
Brazil	Maringá	2000	62.8%	66.1%	59.4%
Brazil	Maringá	2017	80.5%	82.7%	78.1%
Brazil	Marinópolis	2000	79.4%	84.5%	73.5%
Brazil	Marinópolis	2017	90.3%	92.9%	87.0%
Brazil	Mário Campos	2000	66.8%	70.2%	63.9%
Brazil	Mário Campos	2017	82.9%	85.1%	81.2%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2000	71.0%	76.4%	65.6%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2017	85.5%	88.6%	82.0%
Brazil	Maripá	2000	63.6%	68.8%	57.8%
Brazil	Maripá	2017	80.9%	84.3%	77.1%
Brazil	Maripá de Minas	2000	68.7%	73.7%	63.4%
Brazil	Maripá de Minas	2017	84.1%	87.2%	80.7%
Brazil	Marituba	2000	52.7%	55.2%	50.4%
Brazil	Marituba	2017	72.8%	74.7%	70.8%
Brazil	Marizópolis	2000	48.0%	54.5%	42.4%
Brazil	Marizópolis	2017	69.0%	74.5%	64.1%
Brazil	Marliéria	2000	64.5%	68.5%	60.2%
Brazil	Marliéria	2017	80.4%	83.3%	77.4%
Brazil	Marmeleiro	2000	68.5%	73.6%	63.6%
Brazil	Marmeleiro	2017	83.9%	87.1%	80.8%
Brazil	Marmelópolis	2000	81.8%	85.1%	78.3%
Brazil	Marmelópolis	2017	91.6%	93.4%	89.7%
Brazil	Marques de Souza	2000	75.5%	79.1%	71.6%
Brazil	Marques de Souza	2017	88.1%	90.2%	85.6%
Brazil	Marquinho	2000	64.4%	71.5%	57.8%
Brazil	Marquinho	2017	81.4%	85.9%	76.3%
Brazil	Martinho Campos	2000	64.4%	70.9%	57.8%
Brazil	Martinho Campos	2017	81.4%	85.5%	76.9%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2000	47.2%	53.1%	41.3%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2017	68.3%	73.2%	63.0%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2000	86.0%	89.3%	81.7%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2017	93.7%	95.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Martins	2000	61.7%	67.0%	55.8%
Brazil	Martins	2017	79.6%	83.0%	75.1%
Brazil	Martins Soares	2000	64.5%	69.4%	59.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Martins Soares	2017	81.5%	84.6%	78.0%
Brazil	Maruim	2000	62.0%	65.4%	58.1%
Brazil	Maruim	2017	79.8%	82.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Marumbi	2000	63.4%	68.5%	58.0%
Brazil	Marumbi	2017	80.7%	84.1%	76.9%
Brazil	Marzagão	2000	43.4%	50.7%	36.9%
Brazil	Marzagão	2017	65.0%	71.4%	58.5%
Brazil	Mascote	2000	47.5%	54.5%	41.9%
Brazil	Mascote	2017	68.5%	74.5%	63.2%
Brazil	Massapê	2000	44.1%	48.4%	39.7%
Brazil	Massapê	2017	65.6%	69.5%	61.4%
Brazil	Massapê do Piauí	2000	41.8%	50.3%	33.9%
Brazil	Massapê do Piauí	2017	63.3%	71.3%	55.3%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2000	45.5%	49.2%	42.4%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2000	79.3%	83.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2017	66.8%	70.3%	63.8%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2017	90.3%	92.3%	88.5%
Brazil	Mata	2000	75.0%	80.5%	69.8%
Brazil	Mata	2017	87.9%	91.0%	84.7%
Brazil	Mata de São João	2000	47.3%	51.6%	43.6%
Brazil	Mata de São João	2017	68.4%	72.2%	65.2%
Brazil	Mata Grande	2000	37.6%	42.8%	32.5%
Brazil	Mata Grande	2017	58.8%	64.3%	53.4%
Brazil	Mata Roma	2000	34.7%	41.8%	28.0%
Brazil	Mata Roma	2017	56.1%	63.4%	48.5%
Brazil	Mata Verde	2000	59.1%	65.7%	51.2%
Brazil	Mata Verde	2017	77.8%	82.3%	71.9%
Brazil	Matão	2000	88.1%	90.8%	85.2%
Brazil	Matão	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Mataraca	2000	55.9%	61.5%	49.8%
Brazil	Mataraca	2017	77.9%	81.6%	73.5%
Brazil	Mateira	2000	48.7%	56.1%	40.6%
Brazil	Mateira	2017	69.6%	75.5%	62.2%
Brazil	Mateiros	2000	27.0%	39.0%	17.4%
Brazil	Mateiros	2017	47.0%	60.7%	33.9%
Brazil	Matelândia	2000	64.7%	70.7%	58.9%
Brazil	Matelândia	2017	81.6%	85.4%	77.9%
Brazil	Materlândia	2000	67.2%	72.9%	59.0%
Brazil	Materlândia	2017	83.2%	86.8%	78.1%
Brazil	Mates do Norte	2000	33.3%	39.5%	26.8%
Brazil	Mates do Norte	2017	54.6%	61.3%	46.7%
Brazil	Mateus Leme	2000	66.6%	70.2%	62.5%
Brazil	Mateus Leme	2017	82.8%	85.3%	80.2%
Brazil	Mathias Lobato	2000	63.6%	69.1%	56.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mathias Lobato	2017	80.8%	84.5%	76.0%
Brazil	Matias Barbosa	2000	68.7%	72.2%	65.0%
Brazil	Matias Barbosa	2017	84.2%	86.3%	81.9%
Brazil	Matias Cardoso	2000	60.7%	68.9%	52.5%
Brazil	Matias Cardoso	2017	78.6%	84.3%	72.6%
Brazil	Matias Olímpio	2000	42.4%	49.3%	35.7%
Brazil	Matias Olímpio	2017	64.0%	70.1%	57.2%
Brazil	Matina	2000	44.4%	51.2%	38.1%
Brazil	Matina	2017	65.8%	71.7%	59.5%
Brazil	Matinha	2000	32.6%	38.9%	26.6%
Brazil	Matinha	2017	53.8%	60.7%	46.6%
Brazil	Matinhas	2000	46.5%	50.5%	42.9%
Brazil	Matinhas	2017	67.8%	71.3%	64.4%
Brazil	Matinhos	2000	66.4%	73.3%	59.8%
Brazil	Matinhos	2017	82.7%	86.8%	78.5%
Brazil	Matipó	2000	65.0%	70.3%	60.2%
Brazil	Matipó	2017	81.8%	85.2%	78.4%
Brazil	Mato Castelhano	2000	74.8%	78.8%	70.5%
Brazil	Mato Castelhano	2017	87.8%	90.0%	85.2%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2000	52.7%	59.0%	46.6%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2000	48.3%	59.2%	36.9%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2017	72.9%	77.6%	67.5%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2017	69.8%	78.7%	59.6%
Brazil	Mato Leitão	2000	74.8%	78.0%	71.2%
Brazil	Mato Leitão	2017	87.7%	89.8%	85.5%
Brazil	Mato Rico	2000	64.8%	70.8%	57.6%
Brazil	Mato Rico	2017	81.6%	85.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Mato Verde	2000	63.4%	71.1%	55.5%
Brazil	Mato Verde	2017	80.8%	85.6%	75.2%
Brazil	Matões	2000	35.5%	42.6%	28.5%
Brazil	Matões	2017	56.9%	64.2%	49.0%
Brazil	Matos Costa	2000	75.6%	80.6%	69.1%
Brazil	Matos Costa	2017	88.1%	91.0%	84.3%
Brazil	Matozinhos	2000	66.4%	70.0%	62.1%
Brazil	Matozinhos	2017	82.7%	84.9%	79.9%
Brazil	Matrinchã	2000	44.0%	52.9%	37.0%
Brazil	Matrinchã	2017	65.4%	73.2%	58.8%
Brazil	Matriz de Camaragibe	2000	34.4%	38.7%	30.1%
Brazil	Matriz de Camaragibe	2017	55.9%	60.5%	51.0%
Brazil	Matupá	2000	52.6%	60.0%	45.3%
Brazil	Matupá	2017	72.8%	78.4%	66.7%
Brazil	Maturéia	2000	54.6%	60.1%	48.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Maturéia	2017	74.4%	78.7%	69.6%
Brazil	Matutina	2000	67.1%	73.5%	59.7%
Brazil	Matutina	2017	83.1%	87.2%	78.2%
Brazil	Mauá	2000	88.7%	89.7%	87.6%
Brazil	Mauá	2017	95.0%	95.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Mauá da Serra	2000	67.8%	73.5%	62.3%
Brazil	Mauá da Serra	2017	83.6%	87.0%	80.2%
Brazil	Maués	2000	49.7%	51.0%	48.3%
Brazil	Maués	2017	70.5%	71.9%	69.1%
Brazil	Maurilândia	2000	45.6%	51.8%	38.3%
Brazil	Maurilândia	2017	66.9%	72.1%	59.9%
Brazil	Maurilândia	2000	23.3%	29.5%	17.5%
Brazil	Maurilândia do Tocantins	2017	42.3%	50.4%	34.0%
Brazil	Maxaranguape	2000	58.9%	65.5%	52.1%
Brazil	Maxaranguape	2017	77.5%	82.1%	72.5%
Brazil	Maximiliano de Almeida	2000	76.4%	81.0%	71.4%
Brazil	Maximiliano de Almeida	2017	88.7%	91.1%	85.9%
Brazil	Mazagão	2000	49.0%	55.4%	43.6%
Brazil	Mazagão	2017	69.8%	75.3%	64.8%
Brazil	Me do Rio	2000	55.2%	61.1%	50.3%
Brazil	Me do Rio	2017	74.9%	79.1%	71.0%
Brazil	Medeiros	2000	66.5%	73.3%	57.6%
Brazil	Medeiros	2017	82.7%	87.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Medeiros Neto	2000	53.4%	59.9%	46.9%
Brazil	Medeiros Neto	2017	73.4%	78.5%	67.9%
Brazil	Medianeira	2000	66.7%	72.5%	61.3%
Brazil	Medianeira	2017	82.9%	86.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Medicilândia	2000	54.2%	63.7%	44.1%
Brazil	Medicilândia	2017	73.9%	81.0%	65.5%
Brazil	Medina	2000	70.2%	76.5%	62.5%
Brazil	Medina	2017	85.0%	88.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Meleiro	2000	81.1%	84.9%	77.3%
Brazil	Meleiro	2017	91.2%	93.2%	89.0%
Brazil	Melgaco	2000	49.2%	58.5%	40.2%
Brazil	Melgaco	2017	69.9%	77.5%	61.6%
Brazil	Melgaço	2000	51.4%	58.2%	44.6%
Brazil	Melgaço	2017	71.8%	77.0%	65.9%
Brazil	Mendes	2000	85.3%	87.3%	83.1%
Brazil	Mendes	2017	93.4%	94.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Mendes	2000	64.4%	71.4%	56.9%
Brazil	Pimentel	2017	81.4%	85.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Mendonça	2000	86.7%	89.7%	82.9%
Brazil	Mendonça	2017	94.0%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Mercedes	2000	57.6%	64.9%	50.2%
Brazil	Mercedes	2017	76.6%	81.4%	71.2%
Brazil	Mercês	2000	63.8%	69.3%	58.4%
Brazil	Mercês	2017	81.0%	84.6%	77.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Meridiano	2000	85.8%	89.7%	81.9%
Brazil	Meridiano	2017	93.6%	95.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Meruoca	2000	51.9%	55.8%	48.1%
Brazil	Meruoca	2017	72.2%	75.3%	68.7%
Brazil	Mesópolis	2000	72.7%	78.4%	66.1%
Brazil	Mesópolis	2017	86.5%	90.0%	82.5%
Brazil	Mesquita	2000	64.2%	69.1%	59.1%
Brazil	Mesquita	2017	81.2%	84.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Messias	2000	35.0%	39.5%	31.1%
Brazil	Messias	2017	56.6%	61.4%	52.1%
Brazil	Miguel Alves	2000	41.4%	48.1%	35.0%
Brazil	Miguel Alves	2017	63.0%	69.0%	56.9%
Brazil	Miguel Calmon	2000	48.8%	54.4%	42.1%
Brazil	Miguel Calmon	2017	69.6%	74.2%	64.0%
Brazil	Miguel Leão	2000	44.2%	51.5%	36.6%
Brazil	Miguel Leão	2017	65.6%	71.8%	58.1%
Brazil	Miguel Pereira	2000	85.4%	87.5%	83.2%
Brazil	Miguel Pereira	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Miguelópolis	2000	78.7%	83.2%	73.8%
Brazil	Miguelópolis	2017	89.9%	92.3%	87.2%
Brazil	Milagres	2000	42.2%	46.6%	38.3%
Brazil	Milagres	2000	49.3%	56.1%	42.8%
Brazil	Milagres	2017	63.9%	67.9%	59.8%
Brazil	Milagres	2017	70.1%	75.5%	64.2%
Brazil	Milagres do Maranhão	2000	36.9%	43.6%	29.9%
Brazil	Milagres do Maranhão	2017	58.3%	64.9%	50.9%
Brazil	Milhã	2000	42.6%	49.2%	35.9%
Brazil	Milhã	2017	64.2%	70.1%	57.4%
Brazil	Milton Brandão	2000	43.4%	50.5%	35.8%
Brazil	Milton Brandão	2017	64.9%	71.3%	57.2%
Brazil	Mimoso de Goiás	2000	50.6%	57.9%	43.3%
Brazil	Mimoso de Goiás	2017	71.1%	77.0%	65.1%
Brazil	Mimoso do Sul	2000	64.3%	69.7%	57.4%
Brazil	Mimoso do Sul	2017	81.3%	84.8%	76.4%
Brazil	Minaçu	2000	38.3%	45.4%	31.6%
Brazil	Minaçu	2017	59.9%	66.9%	52.7%
Brazil	Minador do Negrão	2000	33.7%	38.7%	29.0%
Brazil	Minador do Negrão	2017	55.1%	60.6%	49.6%
Brazil	Minas do Leão	2000	74.9%	79.4%	69.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Minas do Leão	2017	87.8%	90.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Minas Novas	2000	67.5%	74.2%	60.5%
Brazil	Minas Novas	2017	83.4%	87.5%	78.9%
Brazil	Minduri	2000	69.0%	75.6%	62.6%
Brazil	Minduri	2017	84.3%	88.2%	80.1%
Brazil	Mineiros	2000	45.9%	52.7%	38.8%
Brazil	Mineiros	2017	67.2%	73.4%	60.5%
Brazil	Mineiros do Tietê	2000	88.6%	91.0%	85.5%
Brazil	Mineiros do Tietê	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Ministro Andreazza	2000	54.4%	60.8%	47.2%
Brazil	Ministro Andreazza	2017	74.2%	78.9%	68.4%
Brazil	Mira Estrela	2000	78.7%	85.1%	71.9%
Brazil	Mira Estrela	2017	89.9%	93.2%	86.1%
Brazil	Mirabela	2000	67.4%	74.2%	60.1%
Brazil	Mirabela	2017	83.3%	87.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Miracatu	2000	85.1%	88.7%	80.3%
Brazil	Miracatu	2017	93.2%	95.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Miracema	2000	78.8%	82.3%	74.1%
Brazil	Miracema	2017	90.0%	91.9%	87.3%
Brazil	Miracema do Tocantins	2000	18.2%	23.1%	14.2%
Brazil	Miracema do Tocantins	2017	34.9%	41.7%	27.9%
Brazil	Mirador	2000	66.2%	72.1%	59.5%
Brazil	Mirador	2000	33.9%	42.5%	26.7%
Brazil	Mirador	2017	55.2%	63.9%	47.0%
Brazil	Mirador	2017	82.6%	86.2%	78.3%
Brazil	Miradouro	2000	67.5%	72.4%	61.7%
Brazil	Miradouro	2017	83.4%	86.6%	79.8%
Brazil	Miraguai	2000	76.1%	80.1%	71.5%
Brazil	Miraguai	2017	88.5%	90.8%	85.9%
Brazil	Miraí	2000	67.8%	73.0%	62.6%
Brazil	Miraí	2017	83.6%	86.8%	80.2%
Brazil	Miraíma	2000	42.5%	48.1%	36.8%
Brazil	Miraíma	2017	64.0%	69.4%	58.4%
Brazil	Miranda	2000	30.3%	38.8%	23.5%
Brazil	Miranda	2017	50.5%	60.2%	42.4%
Brazil	Miranda do Norte	2000	33.3%	40.6%	26.0%
Brazil	Miranda do Norte	2017	54.6%	62.6%	45.7%
Brazil	Mirandiba	2000	39.7%	46.0%	34.2%
Brazil	Mirandiba	2017	61.3%	67.5%	55.7%
Brazil	Mirandópolis	2000	84.9%	88.6%	80.5%
Brazil	Mirandópolis	2017	93.1%	94.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Mirangaba	2000	47.8%	53.1%	42.3%
Brazil	Mirangaba	2017	68.8%	73.1%	63.7%
Brazil	Miranorte	2000	19.0%	25.1%	13.9%
Brazil	Miranorte	2017	36.0%	44.9%	27.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mirante	2000	41.4%	47.8%	36.1%
Brazil	Mirante	2017	63.0%	68.5%	57.6%
Brazil	Mirante da Serra	2000	54.5%	62.7%	46.3%
Brazil	Mirante da Serra	2017	74.3%	80.3%	68.1%
Brazil	Mirante do Parapanema	2000	76.8%	81.6%	71.2%
Brazil	Mirante do Parapanema	2017	88.8%	91.6%	85.6%
Brazil	Miraselva	2000	68.3%	73.1%	63.1%
Brazil	Miraselva	2017	83.9%	86.8%	80.5%
Brazil	Mirassol	2000	86.1%	89.1%	83.4%
Brazil	Mirassol	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Mirassol d'Oeste	2000	52.0%	59.6%	44.2%
Brazil	Mirassol d'Oeste	2017	72.3%	77.9%	65.8%
Brazil	Mirassolândia	2000	84.7%	87.8%	80.9%
Brazil	Mirassolândia	2017	93.1%	94.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Miravânia	2000	61.2%	69.9%	52.3%
Brazil	Miravânia	2017	79.1%	85.1%	72.8%
Brazil	Mirim doce	2000	78.2%	83.6%	72.8%
Brazil	Mirim doce	2017	89.7%	92.5%	86.4%
Brazil	Mirinzal	2000	33.0%	41.2%	25.7%
Brazil	Mirinzal	2017	54.2%	62.8%	45.3%
Brazil	Missal	2000	62.8%	68.9%	57.0%
Brazil	Missal	2017	80.3%	84.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Misso Velha	2000	44.1%	48.1%	40.4%
Brazil	Misso Velha	2017	65.5%	69.1%	62.1%
Brazil	Mocajuba	2000	53.1%	59.2%	47.3%
Brazil	Mocajuba	2017	73.2%	77.8%	68.5%
Brazil	Mococa	2000	78.7%	82.9%	74.7%
Brazil	Mococa	2017	89.9%	92.1%	87.7%
Brazil	Modelo	2000	77.3%	81.6%	72.7%
Brazil	Modelo	2017	89.2%	91.4%	86.5%
Brazil	Moeda	2000	65.6%	70.5%	60.6%
Brazil	Moeda	2017	82.2%	85.2%	78.8%
Brazil	Moema	2000	66.7%	72.4%	60.9%
Brazil	Moema	2017	82.9%	86.6%	79.1%
Brazil	Mogi das Cruzes	2000	88.2%	89.7%	86.6%
Brazil	Mogi das Cruzes	2017	94.8%	95.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Mogi Guaçu	2000	86.0%	88.5%	83.2%
Brazil	Mogi Guaçu	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Mogi Mirim	2000	87.4%	89.5%	84.5%
Brazil	Mogi Mirim	2017	94.4%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Moiporá	2000	44.1%	51.8%	36.7%
Brazil	Moiporá	2017	65.5%	72.2%	58.2%
Brazil	Moita Bonita	2000	63.8%	68.1%	59.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Moita Bonita	2017	81.0%	83.7%	78.2%
Brazil	Moju	2000	54.4%	58.0%	50.7%
Brazil	Moju	2017	74.2%	76.9%	71.3%
Brazil	Mombaça	2000	42.1%	47.6%	35.7%
Brazil	Mombaça	2000	86.0%	88.4%	83.6%
Brazil	Mombaça	2017	63.7%	68.4%	57.4%
Brazil	Mombaça	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Monção	2000	32.9%	38.3%	27.2%
Brazil	Monção	2017	54.2%	60.0%	47.5%
Brazil	Monções	2000	87.5%	90.8%	83.6%
Brazil	Monções	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Mondaí	2000	75.9%	80.6%	70.2%
Brazil	Mondaí	2017	88.4%	91.0%	85.0%
Brazil	Mongaguá	2000	87.9%	90.8%	85.1%
Brazil	Mongaguá	2017	94.6%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Mongeiro	2000	44.4%	48.8%	39.7%
Brazil	Mongeiro	2017	63.7%	68.1%	58.8%
Brazil	Monjolos	2000	65.1%	73.1%	57.0%
Brazil	Monjolos	2017	81.8%	86.8%	76.2%
Brazil	Monsenhor Gil	2000	44.4%	51.9%	37.0%
Brazil	Monsenhor Gil	2017	65.9%	72.0%	58.4%
Brazil	Monsenhor Hipólito	2000	43.6%	51.1%	36.5%
Brazil	Monsenhor Hipólito	2017	65.1%	72.0%	58.3%
Brazil	Monsenhor Paulo	2000	64.4%	69.6%	59.2%
Brazil	Monsenhor Paulo	2017	81.4%	84.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Monsenhor Tabosa	2000	50.2%	55.7%	44.9%
Brazil	Monsenhor Tabosa	2017	70.8%	75.3%	66.3%
Brazil	Montadas	2000	49.4%	54.9%	45.0%
Brazil	Montadas	2017	70.3%	74.6%	66.7%
Brazil	Montalvânia	2000	55.4%	63.5%	47.9%
Brazil	Montalvânia	2017	75.0%	80.8%	69.0%
Brazil	Montanha	2000	60.6%	66.9%	53.9%
Brazil	Montanha	2017	78.7%	82.8%	73.5%
Brazil	Montanhas	2000	55.7%	61.0%	50.7%
Brazil	Montanhas	2017	75.2%	79.2%	71.0%
Brazil	Montauri	2000	75.3%	79.5%	70.2%
Brazil	Montauri	2017	88.1%	90.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2000	53.1%	60.9%	46.2%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2000	61.6%	65.4%	57.5%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2017	73.1%	78.8%	67.1%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2017	80.1%	82.4%	77.1%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Goiás	2000	36.9%	44.2%	29.9%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Goiás	2017	58.4%	65.9%	50.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Minas	2000	63.8%	70.2%	56.7%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Minas	2017	80.9%	84.9%	76.2%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Sergipe	2000	57.3%	63.1%	51.5%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Sergipe	2017	76.4%	80.4%	72.2%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Piauí	2000	43.1%	53.7%	32.6%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Piauí	2017	64.5%	73.8%	54.1%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Sul	2000	83.4%	86.7%	80.2%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Sul	2017	92.4%	94.0%	90.7%
Brazil	Monte Alegre dos Campos	2000	75.8%	80.5%	69.4%
Brazil	Monte Alegre dos Campos	2017	88.2%	90.9%	84.7%
Brazil	Monte Alto	2000	87.7%	90.2%	84.8%
Brazil	Monte Alto	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2000	86.4%	89.2%	82.3%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2017	93.9%	95.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Monte Azul	2000	61.4%	68.2%	53.4%
Brazil	Monte Azul	2017	79.3%	84.1%	73.6%
Brazil	Monte Azul Paulista	2000	87.0%	89.8%	83.4%
Brazil	Monte Azul Paulista	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Monte Belo	2000	65.7%	70.5%	60.1%
Brazil	Monte Belo	2017	82.2%	85.3%	78.5%
Brazil	Monte Belo do Sul	2000	74.1%	78.3%	70.0%
Brazil	Monte Belo do Sul	2017	87.4%	89.7%	85.2%
Brazil	Monte Carlo	2000	82.7%	86.5%	77.9%
Brazil	Monte Carlo	2017	92.1%	93.9%	89.5%
Brazil	Monte Carmelo	2000	65.1%	71.6%	58.5%
Brazil	Monte Carmelo	2017	81.8%	86.1%	77.3%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2000	74.0%	80.0%	67.5%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2000	78.8%	83.5%	72.1%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2017	87.3%	90.7%	83.4%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2017	90.0%	92.4%	86.4%
Brazil	Monte das Gameleiras	2000	59.9%	65.1%	53.9%
Brazil	Monte das Gameleiras	2017	78.3%	81.9%	73.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Monte do Carmo	2000	18.5%	23.8%	14.0%
Brazil	Monte do Carmo	2017	35.4%	42.8%	28.1%
Brazil	Monte Formoso	2000	68.9%	75.4%	61.9%
Brazil	Monte Formoso	2017	84.2%	88.1%	79.7%
Brazil	Monte Horebe	2000	49.7%	55.7%	43.8%
Brazil	Monte Horebe	2017	70.5%	75.1%	65.1%
Brazil	Monte Mor	2000	86.2%	88.0%	84.0%
Brazil	Monte Mor	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Monte Negro	2000	57.2%	64.5%	50.1%
Brazil	Monte Negro	2017	76.3%	81.3%	71.0%
Brazil	Monte Santo	2000	44.9%	51.0%	38.4%
Brazil	Monte Santo	2017	66.3%	71.7%	60.2%
Brazil	Monte Santo de Minas	2000	78.0%	82.2%	73.8%
Brazil	Monte Santo de Minas	2017	89.5%	91.8%	87.1%
Brazil	Monte Santo do Tocantins	2000	18.1%	24.5%	13.5%
Brazil	Monte Santo do Tocantins	2017	34.8%	43.1%	27.6%
Brazil	Monte São	2000	77.2%	81.0%	72.5%
Brazil	Monte São	2017	89.1%	91.2%	86.5%
Brazil	Monteiro	2000	40.8%	46.8%	36.0%
Brazil	Monteiro	2017	62.5%	68.1%	57.5%
Brazil	Monteiro Lobato	2000	83.0%	86.3%	79.7%
Brazil	Monteiro Lobato	2017	92.2%	93.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Monteirópolis	2000	37.5%	42.8%	32.1%
Brazil	Monteirópolis	2017	59.2%	64.8%	53.0%
Brazil	Montenegro	2000	75.7%	78.7%	71.8%
Brazil	Montenegro	2017	88.3%	89.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Montes Altos	2000	29.9%	37.8%	21.0%
Brazil	Montes Altos	2017	50.6%	59.4%	39.1%
Brazil	Montes Claros	2000	67.8%	71.9%	64.1%
Brazil	Montes Claros	2017	83.6%	86.0%	81.1%
Brazil	Montes Claros de Goiás	2000	44.8%	51.8%	37.3%
Brazil	Montes Claros de Goiás	2017	66.1%	72.7%	58.9%
Brazil	Montezuma	2000	64.2%	71.7%	56.8%
Brazil	Montezuma	2017	81.2%	86.1%	76.2%
Brazil	Montividiu	2000	45.0%	51.9%	38.0%
Brazil	Montividiu	2017	66.3%	72.4%	60.2%
Brazil	Montividiu do Norte	2000	37.8%	45.3%	29.1%
Brazil	Montividiu do Norte	2017	59.1%	66.4%	50.0%
Brazil	Morada Nova	2000	42.3%	47.3%	37.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Morada Nova	2017	63.9%	68.8%	59.2%
Brazil	Morada Nova de Minas	2000	65.5%	74.2%	56.9%
Brazil	Morada Nova de Minas	2017	82.0%	87.4%	75.8%
Brazil	Moraújo	2000	41.9%	46.3%	37.0%
Brazil	Moraújo	2017	63.5%	67.8%	58.6%
Brazil	Moreira Sales	2000	66.0%	70.8%	59.8%
Brazil	Moreira Sales	2017	82.4%	85.6%	78.3%
Brazil	Moreno	2000	37.8%	40.6%	35.1%
Brazil	Moreno	2017	59.6%	62.3%	56.6%
Brazil	Mormaço	2000	74.4%	79.3%	69.6%
Brazil	Mormaço	2017	87.5%	90.3%	84.5%
Brazil	Morpará	2000	44.8%	52.2%	38.1%
Brazil	Morpará	2017	66.2%	72.9%	59.6%
Brazil	Morretes	2000	55.5%	61.2%	49.9%
Brazil	Morretes	2017	75.1%	79.0%	70.6%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2000	43.3%	48.8%	36.8%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2000	43.5%	49.2%	37.4%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2017	64.8%	69.8%	58.5%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2017	65.0%	70.3%	59.2%
Brazil	Morrinhos do Sul	2000	76.4%	81.0%	71.3%
Brazil	Morrinhos do Sul	2017	88.7%	91.3%	85.7%
Brazil	Morro Agudo	2000	84.5%	88.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Morro Agudo	2017	93.0%	94.9%	91.1%
Brazil	Morro Agudo de Goiás	2000	47.4%	55.3%	40.0%
Brazil	Morro Agudo de Goiás	2017	68.5%	75.2%	61.4%
Brazil	Morro Cabeça No Tempo	2000	47.7%	60.1%	37.2%
Brazil	Morro Cabeça No Tempo	2017	68.5%	78.3%	58.8%
Brazil	Morro da Fumaça	2000	77.3%	81.2%	73.1%
Brazil	Morro da Fumaça	2017	89.2%	91.4%	86.8%
Brazil	Morro da Garça	2000	66.4%	74.4%	58.6%
Brazil	Morro da Garça	2017	82.7%	87.7%	77.3%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu	2000	48.6%	54.2%	43.3%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu	2017	69.4%	74.3%	64.8%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu do Piauí	2000	44.7%	52.3%	37.6%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu do Piauí	2017	66.1%	72.6%	59.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Morro do Pilar	2000	64.6%	71.7%	57.1%
Brazil	Morro do Pilar	2017	81.5%	86.3%	76.1%
Brazil	Morro Grande	2000	78.9%	83.3%	74.2%
Brazil	Morro Grande	2017	90.1%	92.4%	87.5%
Brazil	Morro Redondo	2000	74.3%	78.8%	69.5%
Brazil	Morro Redondo	2017	87.5%	90.1%	84.7%
Brazil	Morro Reuter	2000	75.2%	77.8%	72.6%
Brazil	Morro Reuter	2017	88.0%	89.5%	86.5%
Brazil	Morros	2000	31.6%	37.6%	25.3%
Brazil	Morros	2017	52.6%	59.4%	45.0%
Brazil	Mortugaba	2000	55.5%	62.7%	48.3%
Brazil	Mortugaba	2017	75.1%	80.5%	69.0%
Brazil	Morungaba	2000	84.9%	87.3%	82.2%
Brazil	Morungaba	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Mosquito	2000	21.8%	27.6%	17.4%
Brazil	Mosquito	2017	40.2%	48.3%	33.5%
Brazil	Mossâmedes	2000	43.4%	49.4%	36.3%
Brazil	Mossâmedes	2017	64.9%	70.6%	58.2%
Brazil	Mossoró	2000	61.5%	65.7%	57.4%
Brazil	Mossoró	2017	79.8%	82.5%	76.8%
Brazil	Mostardas	2000	75.0%	82.1%	67.0%
Brazil	Mostardas	2017	87.9%	91.7%	83.0%
Brazil	Motuca	2000	86.9%	89.9%	83.4%
Brazil	Motuca	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Mozarlândia	2000	47.8%	56.7%	38.5%
Brazil	Mozarlândia	2017	68.8%	76.0%	60.3%
Brazil	Muaná	2000	52.2%	58.4%	46.4%
Brazil	Muaná	2017	72.5%	77.3%	67.7%
Brazil	Mucajaí	2000	73.6%	79.5%	67.8%
Brazil	Mucajaí	2017	87.1%	90.5%	83.5%
Brazil	Mucambo	2000	39.4%	43.8%	34.6%
Brazil	Mucambo	2017	61.1%	65.7%	56.5%
Brazil	Mucugê	2000	52.3%	58.7%	45.3%
Brazil	Mucugê	2017	72.5%	77.5%	66.7%
Brazil	Muçum	2000	72.9%	77.2%	68.2%
Brazil	Muçum	2017	86.7%	89.1%	84.0%
Brazil	Mucuri	2000	52.1%	57.3%	45.3%
Brazil	Mucuri	2017	72.3%	76.6%	66.7%
Brazil	Mucurici	2000	56.9%	64.0%	49.7%
Brazil	Mucurici	2017	76.1%	81.1%	70.2%
Brazil	Muitos Capões	2000	76.6%	82.0%	71.2%
Brazil	Muitos Capões	2017	88.8%	91.7%	85.9%
Brazil	Muliterno	2000	77.0%	81.6%	71.9%
Brazil	Muliterno	2017	89.0%	91.4%	86.0%
Brazil	Mulungu	2000	52.0%	56.6%	46.8%
Brazil	Mulungu	2000	47.5%	53.1%	42.2%
Brazil	Mulungu	2017	68.6%	73.4%	64.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mulungu	2017	74.2%	78.0%	69.8%
Brazil	Mulungu do Morro	2000	46.7%	51.8%	39.9%
Brazil	Mulungu do Morro	2017	67.8%	72.7%	61.9%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	45.0%	53.3%	36.7%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	42.0%	48.7%	36.5%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	47.9%	53.5%	42.1%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	66.2%	73.4%	58.6%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	63.6%	69.4%	57.9%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	69.0%	73.4%	63.7%
Brazil	Munhoz	2000	83.7%	86.6%	79.7%
Brazil	Munhoz	2017	92.6%	94.0%	90.4%
Brazil	Munhoz de Melo	2000	62.5%	67.5%	58.0%
Brazil	Munhoz de Melo	2017	80.1%	83.3%	77.0%
Brazil	Muniz Ferreira	2000	44.8%	49.8%	40.2%
Brazil	Muniz Ferreira	2017	66.2%	70.8%	62.0%
Brazil	Muniz Freire	2000	57.8%	62.9%	52.3%
Brazil	Muniz Freire	2017	76.7%	80.5%	72.6%
Brazil	Muquém de São Francisco	2000	47.1%	54.1%	40.2%
Brazil	Muquém de São Francisco	2017	68.1%	73.8%	61.9%
Brazil	Muqui	2000	59.7%	64.7%	54.7%
Brazil	Muqui	2017	78.2%	81.6%	74.7%
Brazil	Muriaé	2000	72.5%	76.9%	67.7%
Brazil	Muriaé	2017	86.5%	88.9%	83.5%
Brazil	Muribeca	2000	61.9%	66.0%	57.3%
Brazil	Muribeca	2017	79.7%	82.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Murici	2000	35.4%	40.0%	31.3%
Brazil	Murici	2017	56.9%	61.7%	52.3%
Brazil	Murici dos Portelas	2000	43.0%	49.0%	36.0%
Brazil	Murici dos Portelas	2017	64.6%	70.1%	57.7%
Brazil	Muricilândia	2000	23.5%	29.8%	18.8%
Brazil	Muricilândia	2017	42.1%	50.3%	35.3%
Brazil	Muritiba	2000	45.3%	49.1%	41.6%
Brazil	Muritiba	2017	66.7%	70.1%	63.4%
Brazil	Murutinga do Sul	2000	76.6%	81.6%	70.9%
Brazil	Murutinga do Sul	2017	88.7%	91.4%	85.5%
Brazil	Mutuípe	2000	46.6%	51.8%	41.6%
Brazil	Mutuípe	2017	67.8%	72.3%	63.3%
Brazil	Mutum	2000	59.5%	65.6%	53.9%
Brazil	Mutum	2017	78.0%	82.4%	73.6%
Brazil	Mutunópolis	2000	45.5%	53.0%	37.1%
Brazil	Mutunópolis	2017	66.8%	73.4%	59.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Muzambinho	2000	69.1%	74.0%	64.1%
Brazil	Muzambinho	2017	84.4%	87.4%	81.0%
Brazil	Nacip Raydan	2000	65.6%	72.7%	58.0%
Brazil	Nacip Raydan	2017	82.2%	86.5%	76.9%
Brazil	Nantes	2000	79.2%	83.7%	73.6%
Brazil	Nantes	2017	90.2%	92.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Nanuque	2000	59.8%	66.0%	52.9%
Brazil	Nanuque	2017	78.1%	82.4%	73.0%
Brazil	Naque	2000	62.9%	67.9%	57.4%
Brazil	Naque	2017	80.3%	83.7%	76.4%
Brazil	Narandiba	2000	79.9%	83.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Narandiba	2017	90.7%	92.7%	88.0%
Brazil	Natal	2000	60.0%	63.0%	57.3%
Brazil	Natal	2017	78.4%	80.2%	76.6%
Brazil	Natalândia	2000	65.7%	73.8%	57.2%
Brazil	Natalândia	2017	82.1%	87.0%	76.5%
Brazil	Natércia	2000	67.6%	72.8%	62.4%
Brazil	Natércia	2017	83.4%	86.9%	80.0%
Brazil	Natividade	2000	19.3%	27.6%	13.9%
Brazil	Natividade	2000	72.3%	77.0%	66.9%
Brazil	Natividade	2017	36.5%	48.4%	28.0%
Brazil	Natividade	2017	86.2%	89.0%	82.9%
Brazil	Natividade da Serra	2000	89.8%	92.2%	86.9%
Brazil	Natividade da Serra	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Natuba	2000	41.4%	46.8%	37.1%
Brazil	Natuba	2017	63.2%	67.7%	59.0%
Brazil	Navegantes	2000	77.9%	81.0%	74.7%
Brazil	Navegantes	2017	89.5%	91.2%	87.5%
Brazil	Naviraí	2000	33.2%	38.9%	26.8%
Brazil	Naviraí	2017	54.4%	60.5%	47.3%
Brazil	Nazaré	2000	44.3%	49.4%	39.9%
Brazil	Nazaré	2000	22.4%	27.6%	17.3%
Brazil	Nazaré	2017	65.8%	70.3%	61.8%
Brazil	Nazaré	2017	41.0%	48.4%	33.4%
Brazil	Nazaré da Mata	2000	38.7%	41.7%	35.3%
Brazil	Nazaré da Mata	2017	60.5%	63.6%	56.5%
Brazil	Nazaré do Piauí	2000	43.9%	52.6%	35.2%
Brazil	Nazaré do Piauí	2017	65.3%	72.8%	57.1%
Brazil	Nazaré Paulista	2000	87.3%	89.3%	85.1%
Brazil	Nazaré Paulista	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Nazareno	2000	63.2%	69.9%	56.4%
Brazil	Nazareno	2017	80.6%	84.7%	76.0%
Brazil	Nazarezinho	2000	48.4%	54.7%	41.8%
Brazil	Nazarezinho	2017	69.4%	74.7%	63.6%
Brazil	Nazário	2000	44.5%	50.7%	38.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nazário	2017	65.9%	71.2%	61.0%
Brazil	Neópolis	2000	51.4%	56.6%	47.0%
Brazil	Neópolis	2017	71.8%	75.6%	68.2%
Brazil	Nepomuceno	2000	64.8%	70.5%	58.6%
Brazil	Nepomuceno	2017	81.7%	85.3%	77.5%
Brazil	Nerópolis	2000	44.0%	47.9%	40.3%
Brazil	Nerópolis	2017	65.5%	69.1%	61.8%
Brazil	Neves	2000	86.4%	89.5%	83.0%
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Neves	2017	93.9%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Nhamundá	2000	47.7%	55.1%	40.8%
Brazil	Nhamundá	2017	68.7%	74.7%	62.7%
Brazil	Nhandeara	2000	87.4%	91.1%	83.6%
Brazil	Nhandeara	2017	94.4%	96.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Nicolau Vergueiro	2000	74.2%	78.5%	69.7%
Brazil	Nicolau Vergueiro	2017	87.4%	90.0%	84.7%
Brazil	Nilo Peçanha	2000	45.3%	50.2%	40.9%
Brazil	Nilo Peçanha	2017	66.6%	70.7%	62.2%
Brazil	Nilópolis	2000	83.8%	84.8%	82.8%
Brazil	Nilópolis	2017	92.6%	93.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Nina Rodrigues	2000	32.7%	40.9%	26.7%
Brazil	Nina Rodrigues	2017	54.0%	62.7%	46.9%
Brazil	Ninheira	2000	56.8%	63.6%	50.3%
Brazil	Ninheira	2017	76.1%	80.8%	71.1%
Brazil	Nioaque	2000	28.9%	35.6%	21.7%
Brazil	Nioaque	2017	49.5%	56.7%	40.0%
Brazil	Nipoã	2000	86.9%	89.7%	82.8%
Brazil	Nipoã	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Niquelândia	2000	45.0%	52.5%	37.5%
Brazil	Niquelândia	2017	67.8%	74.2%	60.6%
Brazil	Nísia Floresta	2000	59.4%	63.9%	55.5%
Brazil	Nísia Floresta	2017	77.8%	81.0%	74.9%
Brazil	Niterói	2000	83.4%	84.7%	81.8%
Brazil	Niterói	2017	92.4%	93.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Nobres	2000	47.6%	55.6%	39.4%
Brazil	Nobres	2017	68.6%	75.6%	61.5%
Brazil	Nonoai	2000	76.8%	81.1%	72.0%
Brazil	Nonoai	2017	88.9%	91.2%	86.2%
Brazil	Nordestina	2000	44.5%	51.3%	38.2%
Brazil	Nordestina	2017	65.9%	71.8%	59.9%
Brazil	Normandia	2000	72.9%	81.4%	62.2%
Brazil	Normandia	2017	86.5%	91.4%	79.8%
Brazil	Nortelândia	2000	49.3%	56.7%	41.3%
Brazil	Nortelândia	2017	70.0%	76.1%	63.0%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora Aparecido	2000	62.0%	66.9%	56.9%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora Aparecido	2017	79.8%	83.1%	76.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nossa Senhora da Glória	2000	63.0%	68.2%	57.4%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora da Glória	2017	80.5%	83.8%	76.6%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Dores	2000	64.2%	69.1%	59.6%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Dores	2017	81.2%	84.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Graças	2000	66.6%	72.4%	61.1%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Graças	2017	82.8%	86.3%	79.2%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Lourdes	2000	51.7%	57.0%	47.1%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Lourdes	2017	72.2%	76.2%	68.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Nazaré	2000	44.4%	51.3%	37.5%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Nazaré	2017	65.9%	72.0%	59.4%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Livramento	2000	48.5%	53.7%	42.4%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Livramento	2017	69.4%	73.7%	63.9%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Socorro	2000	64.8%	67.6%	62.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Socorro	2017	81.7%	83.5%	80.0%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora dos Remédios	2000	42.7%	49.6%	35.8%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora dos Remédios	2017	64.2%	70.1%	57.3%
Brazil	Nova Aliança	2000	86.1%	88.8%	82.8%
Brazil	Nova Aliança	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Nova Aliança do Ivaí	2000	65.3%	70.3%	59.1%
Brazil	Nova Aliança do Ivaí	2017	82.0%	85.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Nova Alvorada	2000	75.2%	79.4%	69.8%
Brazil	Nova Alvorada	2017	87.9%	90.3%	84.8%
Brazil	Nova Alvorada do Sul	2000	28.9%	35.1%	22.6%
Brazil	Nova Alvorada do Sul	2017	49.4%	56.8%	41.3%
Brazil	Nova América	2000	46.2%	53.9%	38.7%
Brazil	Nova América	2017	67.4%	74.0%	60.5%
Brazil	Nova América da Colina	2000	67.0%	71.9%	62.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova América da Colina	2017	83.1%	86.1%	79.8%
Brazil	Nova Andradina	2000	35.9%	42.4%	30.4%
Brazil	Nova Andradina	2017	57.4%	64.1%	51.5%
Brazil	Nova Araçá	2000	75.9%	80.1%	71.1%
Brazil	Nova Araçá	2017	88.4%	90.7%	85.6%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2000	42.0%	50.0%	34.8%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2000	64.7%	69.9%	58.3%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2017	63.6%	70.6%	56.4%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2017	81.5%	84.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Nova Bandeirantes	2000	50.8%	61.2%	40.2%
Brazil	Nova Bandeirantes	2017	72.7%	80.3%	63.9%
Brazil	Nova Bassano	2000	75.1%	79.5%	70.4%
Brazil	Nova Bassano	2017	87.9%	90.4%	85.3%
Brazil	Nova Belém	2000	64.8%	71.3%	57.2%
Brazil	Nova Belém	2017	81.6%	85.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Nova Boa Vista	2000	74.1%	78.9%	69.1%
Brazil	Nova Boa Vista	2017	87.3%	90.2%	84.6%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia	2000	48.8%	59.2%	37.5%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia	2017	69.5%	78.0%	59.2%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia d'Oeste	2000	55.4%	61.3%	47.9%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia d'Oeste	2017	75.0%	79.4%	68.5%
Brazil	Nova Bréscia	2000	76.1%	80.1%	71.2%
Brazil	Nova Bréscia	2017	88.5%	90.7%	85.8%
Brazil	Nova Campina	2000	83.9%	88.0%	79.5%
Brazil	Nova Campina	2017	92.6%	94.7%	90.5%
Brazil	Nova Canaã	2000	47.2%	52.6%	41.1%
Brazil	Nova Canaã	2017	68.4%	73.0%	63.0%
Brazil	Nova Canaã do Norte	2000	49.6%	57.8%	41.5%
Brazil	Nova Canaã do Norte	2017	70.3%	76.9%	63.2%
Brazil	Nova Canaã Paulista	2000	76.0%	81.4%	70.3%
Brazil	Nova Canaã Paulista	2017	88.5%	91.4%	85.2%
Brazil	Nova Candelária	2000	74.6%	78.6%	70.2%
Brazil	Nova Candelária	2017	87.7%	89.9%	85.2%
Brazil	Nova Cantu	2000	63.3%	69.5%	55.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Cantu	2017	80.6%	84.7%	75.5%
Brazil	Nova Castilho	2000	87.1%	90.9%	82.3%
Brazil	Nova Castilho	2017	94.2%	96.0%	91.8%
Brazil	Nova Colinas	2000	33.6%	44.0%	25.1%
Brazil	Nova Colinas	2017	54.7%	65.6%	45.0%
Brazil	Nova Crixás	2000	46.0%	54.5%	37.9%
Brazil	Nova Crixás	2017	67.2%	74.3%	59.8%
Brazil	Nova Cruz	2000	56.3%	60.7%	51.3%
Brazil	Nova Cruz	2017	75.7%	79.1%	71.9%
Brazil	Nova Era	2000	67.2%	72.2%	62.5%
Brazil	Nova Era	2017	83.2%	86.2%	80.1%
Brazil	Nova Erechim	2000	77.0%	81.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Nova Erechim	2017	89.0%	91.4%	86.1%
Brazil	Nova Esperança	2000	62.8%	67.9%	57.4%
Brazil	Nova Esperança	2017	80.3%	83.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Piriá	2000	52.2%	60.7%	45.5%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Piriá	2017	72.3%	78.8%	66.5%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sudoeste	2000	65.4%	71.0%	60.0%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sudoeste	2017	82.0%	85.6%	78.5%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sul	2000	75.0%	81.0%	68.7%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sul	2017	87.9%	91.1%	84.1%
Brazil	Nova Europa	2000	86.7%	90.0%	83.4%
Brazil	Nova Europa	2017	94.0%	95.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2000	69.0%	73.3%	64.0%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2000	43.1%	49.3%	37.8%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2017	84.4%	86.9%	81.1%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2017	64.6%	70.3%	59.4%
Brazil	Nova Floresta	2000	55.1%	61.3%	49.3%
Brazil	Nova Floresta	2017	74.8%	79.3%	70.2%
Brazil	Nova Friburgo	2000	86.9%	89.3%	84.4%
Brazil	Nova Friburgo	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Nova Glória	2000	44.5%	50.2%	38.8%
Brazil	Nova Glória	2017	65.9%	71.2%	60.6%
Brazil	Nova Granada	2000	83.6%	87.3%	79.4%
Brazil	Nova Granada	2017	92.5%	94.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Nova Guarita	2000	49.5%	59.0%	40.5%
Brazil	Nova Guarita	2017	70.1%	77.7%	62.6%
Brazil	Nova Guataporanga	2000	71.8%	78.6%	65.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Guataporanga	2017	86.0%	89.9%	82.1%
Brazil	Nova Hartz	2000	75.5%	78.2%	72.5%
Brazil	Nova Hartz	2017	88.2%	89.7%	86.4%
Brazil	Nova Ibiá	2000	46.2%	51.6%	40.0%
Brazil	Nova Ibiá	2017	67.5%	72.1%	61.8%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu	2000	84.2%	85.3%	83.2%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu	2017	92.8%	93.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu de Goiás	2000	45.2%	53.2%	37.5%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu de Goiás	2017	66.5%	73.4%	59.4%
Brazil	Nova Independência	2000	71.1%	77.2%	63.9%
Brazil	Nova Independência	2017	85.6%	88.9%	81.1%
Brazil	Nova Iorque	2000	36.4%	45.9%	28.7%
Brazil	Nova Iorque	2017	57.9%	66.9%	49.4%
Brazil	Nova Ipixuna	2000	53.7%	59.4%	47.4%
Brazil	Nova Ipixuna	2017	73.5%	77.8%	68.6%
Brazil	Nova Itaberaba	2000	76.7%	81.0%	72.1%
Brazil	Nova Itaberaba	2017	88.9%	91.2%	86.2%
Brazil	Nova Itarana	2000	49.7%	57.0%	43.4%
Brazil	Nova Itarana	2017	70.4%	76.3%	64.8%
Brazil	Nova Lacerda	2000	47.7%	59.0%	37.2%
Brazil	Nova Lacerda	2017	68.5%	77.7%	58.5%
Brazil	Nova Laranjeiras	2000	64.5%	70.7%	57.9%
Brazil	Nova Laranjeiras	2017	81.5%	85.5%	76.8%
Brazil	Nova Lima	2000	67.5%	69.9%	65.0%
Brazil	Nova Lima	2017	83.3%	85.0%	81.3%
Brazil	Nova Londrina	2000	63.5%	70.4%	56.4%
Brazil	Nova Londrina	2017	80.7%	85.3%	75.6%
Brazil	Nova Luzitânia	2000	87.2%	90.9%	83.1%
Brazil	Nova Luzitânia	2017	94.3%	96.0%	92.1%
Brazil	Nova Mamoré	2000	56.7%	65.6%	49.2%
Brazil	Nova Mamoré	2017	75.9%	82.3%	70.0%
Brazil	Nova Marilândia	2000	50.0%	58.1%	42.8%
Brazil	Nova Marilândia	2017	70.6%	77.2%	64.0%
Brazil	Nova Maringá	2000	48.4%	60.1%	37.9%
Brazil	Nova Maringá	2017	69.1%	78.2%	59.3%
Brazil	Nova Módica	2000	66.8%	73.2%	58.8%
Brazil	Nova Módica	2017	82.9%	86.8%	77.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Monte Verde	2000	51.0%	61.1%	40.1%
Brazil	Nova Monte Verde	2017	71.3%	79.1%	61.4%
Brazil	Nova Mutum	2000	49.1%	57.9%	41.6%
Brazil	Nova Mutum	2017	71.5%	78.4%	64.9%
Brazil	Nova Odessa	2000	88.2%	89.8%	86.3%
Brazil	Nova Odessa	2017	94.8%	95.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2000	49.8%	57.0%	43.1%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2000	65.5%	71.0%	58.7%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2017	70.5%	76.7%	64.4%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2017	82.1%	85.5%	77.4%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	45.8%	52.3%	40.6%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	44.3%	49.6%	38.7%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	18.1%	23.0%	13.9%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	65.8%	70.5%	60.5%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	67.1%	72.8%	62.4%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	34.8%	41.7%	28.1%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Maranhão	2000	35.7%	44.2%	28.7%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Maranhão	2017	57.2%	65.8%	49.0%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Norte	2000	48.8%	56.3%	41.6%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Norte	2017	69.6%	76.1%	63.1%
Brazil	Nova Pádua	2000	74.4%	79.2%	69.9%
Brazil	Nova Pádua	2017	87.5%	90.3%	85.2%
Brazil	Nova Palma	2000	74.0%	76.7%	71.6%
Brazil	Nova Palma	2017	87.3%	88.8%	85.9%
Brazil	Nova Palmeira	2000	51.5%	58.2%	44.7%
Brazil	Nova Palmeira	2017	72.0%	77.5%	65.9%
Brazil	Nova Petrópolis	2000	76.2%	79.2%	72.6%
Brazil	Nova Petrópolis	2017	88.6%	90.5%	86.5%
Brazil	Nova Ponte	2000	64.9%	71.4%	57.3%
Brazil	Nova Ponte	2017	81.7%	85.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Nova Porteira	2000	70.2%	77.0%	63.6%
Brazil	Nova Porteira	2017	85.1%	89.1%	80.9%
Brazil	Nova Prata	2000	73.7%	76.8%	70.3%
Brazil	Nova Prata	2017	87.2%	89.0%	85.2%
Brazil	Nova Prata do Iguaçu	2000	66.5%	72.2%	60.7%
Brazil	Nova Prata do Iguaçu	2017	82.7%	86.2%	78.7%
Brazil	Nova Ramada	2000	74.8%	79.5%	69.7%
Brazil	Nova Ramada	2017	87.8%	90.3%	84.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Redenção	2000	41.7%	49.0%	34.9%
Brazil	Nova Redenção	2017	63.2%	69.8%	56.5%
Brazil	Nova Resende	2000	68.0%	72.6%	62.5%
Brazil	Nova Resende	2017	83.7%	86.5%	79.9%
Brazil	Nova Roma	2000	40.0%	47.9%	33.1%
Brazil	Nova Roma	2017	61.6%	68.8%	54.4%
Brazil	Nova Roma do Sul	2000	73.7%	77.4%	70.4%
Brazil	Nova Roma do Sul	2017	87.2%	89.3%	85.2%
Brazil	Nova Rosalândia	2000	17.9%	23.4%	13.4%
Brazil	Nova Rosalândia	2017	34.5%	42.4%	26.8%
Brazil	Nova Russas	2000	43.4%	49.0%	37.6%
Brazil	Nova Russas	2017	65.0%	70.4%	58.9%
Brazil	Nova Santa Bárbara	2000	64.4%	69.9%	58.3%
Brazil	Nova Santa Bárbara	2017	81.4%	85.2%	77.2%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2000	75.2%	77.1%	73.1%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2000	44.4%	53.9%	34.8%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2017	88.5%	89.5%	87.3%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2017	65.7%	74.2%	56.1%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rosa	2000	62.1%	67.8%	56.7%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rosa	2017	79.8%	83.6%	76.0%
Brazil	Nova Serrana	2000	68.8%	73.2%	63.9%
Brazil	Nova Serrana	2017	84.2%	86.9%	81.3%
Brazil	Nova Soure	2000	45.6%	51.4%	40.4%
Brazil	Nova Soure	2017	66.9%	72.0%	61.7%
Brazil	Nova Tebas	2000	64.8%	71.0%	57.8%
Brazil	Nova Tebas	2017	81.6%	85.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Nova Timboteua	2000	53.3%	58.0%	48.8%
Brazil	Nova Timboteua	2017	73.4%	76.9%	69.7%
Brazil	Nova Trento	2000	77.8%	81.8%	73.2%
Brazil	Nova Trento	2017	89.7%	91.7%	87.2%
Brazil	Nova Uiratã	2000	49.3%	58.0%	40.8%
Brazil	Nova Uiratã	2017	69.9%	77.0%	62.2%
Brazil	Nova União	2000	67.1%	71.8%	62.1%
Brazil	Nova União	2000	54.0%	60.4%	47.3%
Brazil	Nova União	2017	73.9%	78.6%	68.4%
Brazil	Nova União	2017	83.1%	86.2%	79.8%
Brazil	Nova Venécia	2000	55.8%	60.4%	50.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Venécia	2017	75.3%	78.6%	71.0%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2000	79.3%	83.1%	75.0%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2000	46.5%	50.7%	42.8%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2017	90.3%	92.2%	88.0%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2017	67.7%	71.5%	63.8%
Brazil	Nova Viçosa	2000	50.8%	56.7%	44.7%
Brazil	Nova Viçosa	2017	71.3%	76.0%	65.8%
Brazil	Nova Xavantina	2000	51.9%	60.6%	42.0%
Brazil	Nova Xavantina	2017	72.1%	78.9%	63.5%
Brazil	Novais	2000	86.7%	89.5%	83.8%
Brazil	Novais	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Novo Acordo	2000	19.0%	27.3%	12.7%
Brazil	Novo Acordo	2017	36.0%	47.0%	26.3%
Brazil	Novo Airão	2000	52.1%	61.4%	43.3%
Brazil	Novo Airão	2017	74.2%	80.7%	66.5%
Brazil	Novo Alegre	2000	33.9%	42.5%	27.2%
Brazil	Novo Alegre	2017	55.2%	64.3%	47.1%
Brazil	Novo Aripuanã	2000	50.5%	61.0%	39.8%
Brazil	Novo Aripuanã	2017	70.8%	79.3%	61.5%
Brazil	Novo Barreiro	2000	74.4%	79.3%	69.4%
Brazil	Novo Barreiro	2017	87.5%	90.4%	84.5%
Brazil	Novo Brazil	2000	43.3%	51.4%	35.9%
Brazil	Novo Brazil	2017	64.8%	71.8%	57.7%
Brazil	Novo Cabrais	2000	74.2%	78.3%	69.4%
Brazil	Novo Cabrais	2017	87.5%	89.7%	84.8%
Brazil	Novo Cruzeiro	2000	70.1%	75.1%	63.5%
Brazil	Novo Cruzeiro	2017	85.0%	87.9%	80.8%
Brazil	Novo Gama	2000	60.7%	63.2%	58.1%
Brazil	Novo Gama	2017	78.9%	80.6%	77.1%
Brazil	Novo Hamburgo	2000	75.8%	77.7%	73.9%
Brazil	Novo Hamburgo	2017	88.4%	89.5%	87.1%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	87.3%	90.8%	83.2%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	49.0%	55.1%	42.4%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	73.2%	78.6%	67.1%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	94.3%	96.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	69.7%	74.7%	63.8%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	86.9%	89.7%	83.3%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte do Norte	2000	49.9%	60.6%	36.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	70.5%	79.1%	58.9%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Oeste	2000	54.7%	60.4%	48.2%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Oeste	2017	74.5%	78.8%	69.3%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Sul	2000	33.5%	41.4%	25.9%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte Sul	2017	54.8%	63.4%	46.1%
Brazil	Novo Itacolomi	2000	63.6%	68.3%	58.6%
Brazil	Novo Itacolomi	2017	80.8%	84.0%	77.3%
Brazil	Novo Jardim	2000	23.4%	31.1%	17.6%
Brazil	Novo Jardim	2017	42.3%	51.9%	34.3%
Brazil	Novo Lino	2000	37.1%	41.6%	32.9%
Brazil	Novo Lino	2017	58.8%	63.3%	54.1%
Brazil	Novo Machado	2000	73.9%	79.6%	68.0%
Brazil	Novo Machado	2017	87.2%	90.4%	83.6%
Brazil	Novo Mundo	2000	49.8%	58.1%	41.5%
Brazil	Novo Mundo	2017	70.5%	76.7%	63.4%
Brazil	Novo Oriente	2000	44.1%	51.5%	36.5%
Brazil	Novo Oriente	2017	65.6%	71.8%	58.1%
Brazil	Novo Oriente de Minas	2000	66.2%	71.7%	59.6%
Brazil	Novo Oriente de Minas	2017	82.6%	85.9%	78.2%
Brazil	Novo Oriente do Piauí	2000	44.8%	53.3%	37.2%
Brazil	Novo Oriente do Piauí	2017	66.2%	73.2%	58.8%
Brazil	Novo Planalto	2000	38.9%	48.0%	30.3%
Brazil	Novo Planalto	2017	60.4%	69.1%	51.6%
Brazil	Novo Progresso	2000	55.2%	65.8%	42.9%
Brazil	Novo Progresso	2017	74.6%	82.4%	64.5%
Brazil	Novo Repartimento	2000	54.7%	61.1%	48.5%
Brazil	Novo Repartimento	2017	74.4%	79.2%	69.4%
Brazil	Novo Santo Antônio	2000	44.6%	53.2%	36.5%
Brazil	Novo Santo Antônio	2017	66.0%	73.3%	57.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Novo São Joaquim	2000	49.2%	59.8%	39.4%
Brazil	Novo São Joaquim	2017	69.9%	78.3%	61.5%
Brazil	Novo Tiradentes	2000	75.0%	79.5%	70.1%
Brazil	Novo Tiradentes	2017	87.9%	90.4%	85.3%
Brazil	Novo Triunfo	2000	46.4%	52.1%	39.9%
Brazil	Novo Triunfo	2017	67.7%	72.6%	61.5%
Brazil	Novorizonte	2000	67.2%	73.1%	59.3%
Brazil	Novorizonte	2017	83.1%	86.8%	78.0%
Brazil	Nuporanga	2000	85.2%	88.4%	81.8%
Brazil	Nuporanga	2017	93.3%	94.9%	91.7%
Brazil	Óbidos	2000	52.3%	60.9%	45.3%
Brazil	Óbidos	2017	72.5%	78.9%	66.2%
Brazil	Ocara	2000	43.4%	48.7%	38.9%
Brazil	Ocara	2017	64.9%	69.7%	60.7%
Brazil	Ocaçu	2000	85.2%	88.7%	80.9%
Brazil	Ocaçu	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Oeiras	2000	44.6%	52.9%	36.6%
Brazil	Oeiras	2017	66.4%	73.5%	59.1%
Brazil	Oeiras do Pará	2000	54.8%	60.7%	49.1%
Brazil	Oeiras do Pará	2017	74.4%	78.9%	70.2%
Brazil	Oiapoque	2000	53.9%	63.8%	42.5%
Brazil	Oiapoque	2017	73.5%	80.9%	63.5%
Brazil	Olaria	2000	72.5%	78.6%	65.9%
Brazil	Olaria	2017	86.4%	90.0%	82.1%
Brazil	Óleo	2000	86.6%	89.6%	82.5%
Brazil	Óleo	2017	94.0%	95.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Olho d'Água	2000	42.5%	49.5%	36.1%
Brazil	Olho d'Água	2017	64.1%	70.4%	57.7%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Cunhãs	2000	33.5%	39.4%	26.8%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Cunhãs	2017	54.8%	61.1%	47.1%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Flores	2000	36.4%	42.5%	31.5%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Flores	2017	58.1%	64.0%	52.6%
Brazil	Olho d'Água do Casado	2000	43.3%	48.3%	36.9%
Brazil	Olho d'Água do Casado	2017	64.8%	69.2%	58.9%
Brazil	Olho d'água do Piauí	2000	45.5%	53.9%	38.3%
Brazil	Olho d'água do Piauí	2017	66.8%	74.0%	59.6%
Brazil	Olho d'Água Grande	2000	42.8%	47.8%	37.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Olho d'Água Grande	2017	64.5%	69.4%	59.3%
Brazil	Olho-d'Água do Borges	2000	59.3%	65.6%	52.3%
Brazil	Olho-d'Água do Borges	2017	77.8%	82.1%	72.7%
Brazil	Olhos-d'Água	2000	63.7%	70.9%	53.8%
Brazil	Olhos-d'Água	2017	80.8%	85.5%	74.0%
Brazil	Olímpia	2000	84.7%	88.2%	80.7%
Brazil	Olímpia	2017	93.1%	94.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Olímpio	2000	68.2%	73.0%	63.0%
Brazil	Noronha				
Brazil	Olímpio Noronha	2017	83.8%	87.0%	80.5%
Brazil	Olinda	2000	39.9%	42.1%	37.8%
Brazil	Olinda	2017	61.7%	63.9%	59.3%
Brazil	Olinda Nova do Maranhão	2000	32.5%	39.4%	26.4%
Brazil	Olinda Nova do Maranhão	2017	53.7%	61.2%	46.1%
Brazil	Olindina	2000	46.9%	52.5%	41.0%
Brazil	Olindina	2017	68.1%	73.0%	62.5%
Brazil	Olivedos	2000	45.4%	51.8%	39.9%
Brazil	Olivedos	2017	66.8%	72.4%	61.4%
Brazil	Oliveira	2000	66.3%	71.8%	60.2%
Brazil	Oliveira	2017	82.6%	86.0%	78.4%
Brazil	Oliveira de Fátima	2000	18.2%	24.4%	13.3%
Brazil	Oliveira de Fátima	2017	34.9%	43.7%	27.1%
Brazil	Oliveira Fortes	2000	65.7%	70.1%	61.4%
Brazil	Oliveira Fortes	2017	82.4%	85.2%	79.3%
Brazil	Olivença	2000	35.5%	41.6%	30.3%
Brazil	Olivença	2017	57.0%	62.9%	51.3%
Brazil	Oliveria dos Brejinhos	2000	45.3%	51.7%	39.7%
Brazil	Oliveria dos Brejinhos	2017	66.7%	72.2%	61.5%
Brazil	Onça de Pitangui	2000	66.3%	71.0%	61.5%
Brazil	Onça de Pitangui	2017	82.6%	85.7%	79.4%
Brazil	Onda Verde	2000	84.1%	87.5%	80.8%
Brazil	Onda Verde	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.9%
Brazil	Oratórios	2000	63.7%	69.6%	57.6%
Brazil	Oratórios	2017	80.9%	84.5%	76.6%
Brazil	Oriente	2000	86.2%	89.0%	82.2%
Brazil	Oriente	2017	93.8%	95.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Orindiúva	2000	78.8%	83.7%	73.1%
Brazil	Orindiúva	2017	90.0%	92.7%	86.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Oriximiná	2000	54.1%	62.2%	46.2%
Brazil	Oriximiná	2017	73.9%	79.8%	66.8%
Brazil	Orizânia	2000	68.4%	72.7%	63.5%
Brazil	Orizânia	2017	83.9%	86.6%	81.0%
Brazil	Orizona	2000	43.5%	50.9%	36.8%
Brazil	Orizona	2017	65.0%	71.5%	58.5%
Brazil	Orlandia	2000	86.5%	89.9%	83.3%
Brazil	Orlandia	2017	93.9%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Orleaes	2000	77.1%	81.9%	72.3%
Brazil	Orleaes	2017	89.1%	91.6%	86.4%
Brazil	Orobó	2000	41.0%	44.9%	37.7%
Brazil	Orobó	2017	62.9%	66.2%	59.2%
Brazil	Orós	2000	40.3%	45.7%	35.9%
Brazil	Orós	2017	62.0%	67.2%	57.4%
Brazil	Ortigueira	2000	66.3%	71.6%	60.4%
Brazil	Ortigueira	2017	82.6%	85.9%	78.6%
Brazil	Osasco	2000	86.5%	87.5%	85.3%
Brazil	Osasco	2017	94.0%	94.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Oscar Bressane	2000	85.4%	89.1%	81.4%
Brazil	Oscar Bressane	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Osório	2000	74.6%	78.2%	70.6%
Brazil	Osório	2017	87.6%	89.8%	85.4%
Brazil	Oswaldo Cruz	2000	88.4%	91.1%	84.8%
Brazil	Oswaldo Cruz	2017	94.8%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Otacílio Costa	2000	81.5%	85.9%	76.6%
Brazil	Otacílio Costa	2017	91.4%	93.7%	88.8%
Brazil	Ourém	2000	54.6%	59.7%	49.6%
Brazil	Ourém	2017	74.4%	78.1%	70.4%
Brazil	Ouriçangas	2000	44.0%	49.1%	38.7%
Brazil	Ouriçangas	2017	65.5%	70.1%	60.6%
Brazil	Ouricuri	2000	40.4%	45.9%	35.7%
Brazil	Ouricuri	2017	62.0%	67.1%	56.9%
Brazil	Ourilândia do Norte	2000	55.3%	62.8%	46.9%
Brazil	Ourilândia do Norte	2017	74.8%	80.3%	68.0%
Brazil	Ourinhos	2000	81.1%	84.6%	77.6%
Brazil	Ourinhos	2017	91.2%	93.0%	89.4%
Brazil	Ourizona	2000	62.9%	67.3%	58.6%
Brazil	Ourizona	2017	80.4%	83.4%	77.2%
Brazil	Ouro	2000	78.7%	82.6%	74.5%
Brazil	Ouro	2017	90.0%	92.1%	87.7%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	57.0%	62.9%	50.8%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	68.8%	72.7%	64.2%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	33.7%	39.8%	27.9%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	76.1%	80.6%	71.4%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	84.2%	86.7%	81.2%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	55.1%	61.2%	48.5%
Brazil	Ouro Fino	2000	70.0%	74.7%	64.9%
Brazil	Ouro Fino	2017	85.0%	87.9%	81.7%
Brazil	Ouro Preto	2000	70.4%	74.1%	66.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ouro Preto	2017	85.2%	87.4%	82.8%
Brazil	Ouro Preto do Oeste	2000	55.9%	61.8%	49.9%
Brazil	Ouro Preto do Oeste	2017	75.4%	79.8%	70.6%
Brazil	Ouro Velho	2000	41.6%	47.8%	35.7%
Brazil	Ouro Velho	2017	63.3%	68.8%	57.4%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2000	75.5%	80.6%	69.5%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2000	75.5%	80.8%	69.8%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2017	88.2%	91.1%	85.0%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2017	88.1%	90.7%	84.5%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Goiás	2000	43.6%	48.2%	38.7%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Goiás	2017	65.2%	69.2%	60.5%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Minas	2000	65.3%	72.2%	58.2%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Minas	2017	82.0%	86.1%	77.2%
Brazil	Ouro Verde do Oeste	2000	64.1%	69.8%	58.7%
Brazil	Ouro Verde do Oeste	2017	81.2%	84.9%	77.4%
Brazil	Ouroeste	2000	78.1%	83.4%	72.4%
Brazil	Ouroeste	2017	89.6%	92.3%	86.3%
Brazil	Ourolândia	2000	43.8%	50.5%	37.3%
Brazil	Ourolândia	2017	65.3%	71.1%	58.8%
Brazil	Ouvidor	2000	45.3%	51.9%	39.8%
Brazil	Ouvidor	2017	66.7%	72.0%	61.2%
Brazil	Pacaembu	2000	85.7%	88.6%	81.8%
Brazil	Pacaembu	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Pacajá	2000	53.3%	60.5%	46.3%
Brazil	Pacajá	2017	73.2%	78.4%	67.4%
Brazil	Pacajús	2000	44.8%	49.1%	40.8%
Brazil	Pacajús	2017	66.3%	70.2%	62.3%
Brazil	Pacaraima	2000	79.1%	86.5%	70.0%
Brazil	Pacaraima	2017	90.0%	93.9%	84.7%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2000	52.8%	59.1%	46.5%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2000	45.2%	48.5%	42.2%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2017	66.5%	69.5%	63.6%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2017	73.0%	77.6%	67.6%
Brazil	Paço do Lumiar	2000	31.4%	35.4%	27.7%
Brazil	Paço do Lumiar	2017	52.7%	57.6%	48.1%
Brazil	Pacoti	2000	50.2%	54.3%	46.0%
Brazil	Pacoti	2017	70.7%	74.0%	67.0%
Brazil	Pacujá	2000	39.1%	44.1%	34.4%
Brazil	Pacujá	2017	60.8%	65.7%	55.7%
Brazil	Padre Bernardo	2000	55.2%	61.4%	49.7%
Brazil	Padre Bernardo	2017	74.8%	79.1%	70.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Padre Carvalho	2000	65.7%	72.7%	57.2%
Brazil	Padre Carvalho	2017	82.2%	86.7%	76.8%
Brazil	Padre Marcos	2000	41.9%	48.8%	34.6%
Brazil	Padre Marcos	2017	63.4%	69.8%	55.6%
Brazil	Padre Paraíso	2000	68.8%	76.2%	62.2%
Brazil	Padre Paraíso	2017	84.2%	88.5%	79.9%
Brazil	Paes Landim	2000	44.2%	54.3%	34.9%
Brazil	Paes Landim	2017	65.5%	74.2%	57.0%
Brazil	Pai Pedro	2000	64.0%	70.8%	57.1%
Brazil	Pai Pedro	2017	81.1%	85.4%	76.3%
Brazil	Paial	2000	76.2%	80.4%	71.4%
Brazil	Paial	2017	88.5%	90.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Paçandu	2000	63.5%	67.5%	59.6%
Brazil	Paçandu	2017	80.8%	83.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Paim Filho	2000	76.6%	81.7%	71.1%
Brazil	Paim Filho	2017	88.8%	91.4%	85.6%
Brazil	Paineiras	2000	64.2%	72.3%	55.4%
Brazil	Paineiras	2017	81.2%	86.4%	75.3%
Brazil	Painel	2000	82.7%	86.9%	76.8%
Brazil	Painel	2017	92.0%	94.2%	89.1%
Brazil	Pains	2000	65.3%	71.1%	59.3%
Brazil	Pains	2017	82.0%	85.8%	77.8%
Brazil	Paiva	2000	61.9%	67.2%	56.3%
Brazil	Paiva	2017	79.6%	83.2%	75.7%
Brazil	Pajeú do Piauí	2000	45.8%	56.7%	35.1%
Brazil	Pajeú do Piauí	2017	66.9%	75.8%	56.6%
Brazil	Palestina	2000	82.6%	86.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Palestina	2000	39.1%	44.8%	33.5%
Brazil	Palestina	2017	60.8%	66.7%	55.3%
Brazil	Palestina	2017	92.0%	94.1%	89.5%
Brazil	Palestina de Goiás	2000	44.1%	53.4%	35.1%
Brazil	Palestina de Goiás	2017	65.4%	73.7%	56.5%
Brazil	Palestina do Pará	2000	30.5%	36.7%	24.2%
Brazil	Palestina do Pará	2017	51.3%	58.3%	43.8%
Brazil	Palhano	2000	43.1%	48.2%	38.0%
Brazil	Palhano	2017	64.6%	69.4%	59.7%
Brazil	Palhoça	2000	79.5%	82.6%	76.2%
Brazil	Palhoça	2017	90.4%	92.0%	88.5%
Brazil	Palma	2000	61.8%	68.2%	55.4%
Brazil	Palma	2017	79.9%	83.9%	75.4%
Brazil	Palma Sola	2000	74.0%	79.5%	67.9%
Brazil	Palma Sola	2017	87.3%	90.3%	83.7%
Brazil	Palmácia	2000	46.0%	49.7%	41.8%
Brazil	Palmácia	2017	67.3%	70.6%	63.6%
Brazil	Palmares	2000	37.8%	41.8%	34.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Palmares	2017	59.4%	63.8%	55.5%
Brazil	Palmares do Sul	2000	74.1%	79.0%	67.7%
Brazil	Palmares do Sul	2017	87.4%	90.0%	83.9%
Brazil	Palmares Paulista	2000	89.3%	91.6%	86.6%
Brazil	Palmares Paulista	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Palmas	2000	19.1%	21.7%	16.7%
Brazil	Palmas	2000	74.8%	80.0%	69.3%
Brazil	Palmas	2017	36.5%	40.1%	32.8%
Brazil	Palmas	2017	87.7%	90.7%	84.5%
Brazil	Palmas de Monte Alto	2000	45.3%	52.0%	38.3%
Brazil	Palmas de Monte Alto	2017	66.6%	72.3%	60.4%
Brazil	Palmeira	2000	64.8%	69.6%	59.2%
Brazil	Palmeira	2000	80.8%	85.5%	75.1%
Brazil	Palmeira	2017	81.6%	84.6%	77.8%
Brazil	Palmeira	2017	91.0%	93.6%	88.1%
Brazil	Palmeira das Missões	2000	75.6%	80.4%	70.8%
Brazil	Palmeira das Missões	2017	88.2%	90.9%	85.5%
Brazil	Palmeira do Oeste	2000	81.9%	86.2%	76.6%
Brazil	Palmeira do Oeste	2017	91.6%	93.8%	88.9%
Brazil	Palmeira do Piauí	2000	44.6%	55.3%	33.0%
Brazil	Palmeira do Piauí	2017	65.8%	74.9%	54.4%
Brazil	Palmeira dos índios	2000	33.5%	37.7%	29.3%
Brazil	Palmeira dos índios	2017	54.8%	59.3%	49.9%
Brazil	Palmeirais	2000	39.0%	46.3%	31.1%
Brazil	Palmeirais	2017	61.7%	68.8%	53.4%
Brazil	Palmeirândia	2000	32.4%	39.1%	26.0%
Brazil	Palmeirândia	2017	53.7%	60.5%	45.7%
Brazil	Palmeirante	2000	33.6%	42.4%	25.6%
Brazil	Palmeirante	2017	54.9%	64.1%	45.2%
Brazil	Palmeiras	2000	47.8%	54.9%	42.1%
Brazil	Palmeiras	2017	68.8%	74.4%	63.6%
Brazil	Palmeiras de Goiás	2000	43.0%	49.9%	37.0%
Brazil	Palmeiras de Goiás	2017	64.5%	70.5%	58.9%
Brazil	Palmeirina	2000	35.0%	39.4%	30.8%
Brazil	Palmeirina	2017	56.6%	61.4%	52.3%
Brazil	Palmeirópolis	2000	17.1%	21.9%	12.6%
Brazil	Palmeirópolis	2017	33.2%	40.3%	25.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Palmelo	2000	46.1%	53.9%	39.3%
Brazil	Palmelo	2017	67.3%	73.9%	61.0%
Brazil	Palminópolis	2000	45.3%	52.3%	38.7%
Brazil	Palminópolis	2017	66.7%	72.5%	60.3%
Brazil	Palmital	2000	66.0%	71.8%	59.6%
Brazil	Palmital	2000	80.0%	83.8%	75.0%
Brazil	Palmital	2017	82.4%	86.2%	77.8%
Brazil	Palmital	2017	90.6%	92.6%	88.1%
Brazil	Palmitinhos	2000	76.2%	80.5%	71.3%
Brazil	Palmitinhos	2017	88.5%	90.8%	85.6%
Brazil	Palmitos	2000	76.8%	80.6%	70.9%
Brazil	Palmitos	2017	89.5%	91.6%	86.1%
Brazil	Palmópolis	2000	74.6%	78.8%	69.6%
Brazil	Palmópolis	2017	87.6%	90.0%	84.5%
Brazil	Palotina	2000	62.8%	68.5%	56.1%
Brazil	Palotina	2017	80.3%	83.9%	76.1%
Brazil	Panamá	2000	44.9%	51.2%	39.0%
Brazil	Panamá	2017	66.3%	71.8%	61.1%
Brazil	Panambi	2000	75.6%	80.3%	70.9%
Brazil	Panambi	2017	88.2%	90.8%	85.4%
Brazil	Pancas	2000	59.4%	65.5%	52.6%
Brazil	Pancas	2017	77.9%	82.4%	72.7%
Brazil	Panelas	2000	39.0%	43.1%	35.3%
Brazil	Panelas	2017	60.7%	64.4%	56.7%
Brazil	Panorama	2000	62.0%	69.7%	54.9%
Brazil	Panorama	2017	79.7%	84.8%	74.7%
Brazil	Pantano Grande	2000	74.8%	79.3%	69.8%
Brazil	Pantano Grande	2017	87.8%	90.4%	84.5%
Brazil	Pão de Açúcar	2000	41.6%	46.5%	36.8%
Brazil	Pão de Açúcar	2017	63.3%	68.1%	58.5%
Brazil	Papagaios	2000	68.2%	74.7%	61.0%
Brazil	Papagaios	2017	83.8%	87.8%	79.1%
Brazil	Papanduva	2000	78.2%	83.5%	72.5%
Brazil	Papanduva	2017	89.6%	92.4%	86.3%
Brazil	Paquetá	2000	46.1%	52.8%	38.5%
Brazil	Paquetá	2017	67.3%	73.5%	60.3%
Brazil	Pará de Minas	2000	68.9%	73.3%	64.7%
Brazil	Pará de Minas	2017	84.3%	87.1%	81.5%
Brazil	Paracambi	2000	83.2%	85.3%	81.0%
Brazil	Paracambi	2017	92.3%	93.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Paracatu	2000	66.5%	72.7%	60.0%
Brazil	Paracatu	2017	82.7%	86.6%	78.3%
Brazil	Paracuru	2000	44.5%	50.0%	38.9%
Brazil	Paracuru	2017	65.9%	71.2%	60.7%
Brazil	Paragominas	2000	55.1%	61.7%	48.6%
Brazil	Paragominas	2017	75.8%	80.6%	70.5%
Brazil	Paraguaçu	2000	66.9%	72.0%	61.4%
Brazil	Paraguaçu	2017	83.0%	86.3%	79.3%
Brazil	Paraguaçu Paulista	2000	85.7%	89.3%	81.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paraguaçu Paulista	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.5%
Brazil	Paraí	2000	76.0%	80.3%	71.2%
Brazil	Paraí	2017	88.5%	90.8%	85.6%
Brazil	Paraíba do Sul	2000	77.1%	80.6%	73.1%
Brazil	Paraíba do Sul	2017	89.0%	90.9%	86.9%
Brazil	Paraibano	2000	39.6%	48.9%	32.4%
Brazil	Paraibano	2017	61.2%	69.6%	53.7%
Brazil	Paraibuna	2000	88.7%	91.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Paraibuna	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Paraipaba	2000	47.5%	53.3%	41.8%
Brazil	Paraipaba	2017	68.5%	73.4%	63.3%
Brazil	Paraíso	2000	75.0%	80.7%	68.2%
Brazil	Paraíso	2000	87.5%	90.3%	84.4%
Brazil	Paraíso	2017	87.9%	90.9%	83.9%
Brazil	Paraíso	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Paraíso do Norte	2000	65.7%	70.6%	59.7%
Brazil	Paraíso do Norte	2017	82.2%	85.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Paraíso do Sul	2000	75.0%	79.5%	69.8%
Brazil	Paraíso do Sul	2017	87.8%	90.2%	84.7%
Brazil	Paraíso do Tocantins	2000	19.6%	24.3%	15.5%
Brazil	Paraíso do Tocantins	2017	37.0%	43.8%	30.9%
Brazil	Paraisópolis	2000	75.8%	80.1%	70.9%
Brazil	Paraisópolis	2017	88.3%	90.7%	85.6%
Brazil	Parambu	2000	47.4%	54.3%	39.7%
Brazil	Parambu	2017	68.4%	74.2%	62.0%
Brazil	Paramirim	2000	43.3%	49.5%	37.3%
Brazil	Paramirim	2017	64.8%	70.5%	59.1%
Brazil	Paramoti	2000	43.5%	49.6%	38.3%
Brazil	Paramoti	2017	65.0%	70.8%	60.1%
Brazil	Paraná	2000	23.0%	30.8%	16.9%
Brazil	Paraná	2000	55.8%	61.9%	49.5%
Brazil	Paraná	2017	41.7%	51.5%	33.0%
Brazil	Paraná	2017	75.3%	79.6%	70.4%
Brazil	Paranacity	2000	68.9%	73.8%	62.8%
Brazil	Paranacity	2017	84.2%	87.3%	80.3%
Brazil	Paranaguá	2000	65.1%	69.6%	60.6%
Brazil	Paranaguá	2017	81.8%	84.7%	78.7%
Brazil	Paranaíba	2000	41.4%	49.2%	33.9%
Brazil	Paranaíba	2017	63.0%	70.1%	55.2%
Brazil	Paranaíta	2000	52.0%	61.2%	41.8%
Brazil	Paranaíta	2017	72.2%	79.2%	63.4%
Brazil	Paranaparema	2000	87.7%	90.8%	83.3%
Brazil	Paranaparema	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Paranapoema	2000	68.6%	75.5%	62.3%
Brazil	Paranapoema	2017	84.0%	88.1%	79.8%
Brazil	Paranapuã	2000	78.1%	83.6%	73.1%
Brazil	Paranapuã	2017	89.6%	92.5%	86.7%
Brazil	Paranatama	2000	40.4%	45.0%	36.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paranatama	2017	62.1%	66.4%	57.8%
Brazil	Paranatinga	2000	50.3%	59.1%	39.9%
Brazil	Paranatinga	2017	70.9%	78.0%	61.2%
Brazil	Paranavaí	2000	66.8%	71.5%	62.1%
Brazil	Paranavaí	2017	82.9%	85.9%	79.7%
Brazil	Paranhos	2000	31.8%	42.1%	22.2%
Brazil	Paranhos	2017	52.7%	63.8%	40.9%
Brazil	Paraopeba	2000	68.3%	74.1%	61.6%
Brazil	Paraopeba	2017	83.9%	87.5%	79.7%
Brazil	Parapuã	2000	87.5%	90.6%	84.0%
Brazil	Parapuã	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Parari	2000	43.1%	50.0%	36.6%
Brazil	Parari	2017	64.7%	71.0%	58.2%
Brazil	Parati	2000	86.2%	89.8%	81.7%
Brazil	Parati	2017	93.7%	95.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Paratinga	2000	45.0%	50.9%	39.5%
Brazil	Paratinga	2017	66.3%	71.5%	60.9%
Brazil	Paraú	2000	63.9%	70.1%	57.4%
Brazil	Paraú	2017	81.0%	85.1%	76.3%
Brazil	Parauapebas	2000	54.4%	59.0%	49.8%
Brazil	Parauapebas	2017	74.3%	77.6%	70.7%
Brazil	Paraúna	2000	46.0%	52.8%	38.6%
Brazil	Paraúna	2017	67.2%	72.7%	60.4%
Brazil	Parazinho	2000	61.5%	69.0%	53.8%
Brazil	Parazinho	2017	79.4%	84.2%	73.7%
Brazil	Pardinho	2000	88.8%	91.5%	85.9%
Brazil	Pardinho	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Parei Novo	2000	73.8%	77.1%	70.3%
Brazil	Parei Novo	2017	87.2%	89.2%	85.1%
Brazil	Parecis	2000	56.1%	65.4%	48.3%
Brazil	Parecis	2017	75.5%	82.2%	69.2%
Brazil	Parelhas	2000	53.9%	60.6%	47.0%
Brazil	Parelhas	2017	73.9%	79.0%	68.1%
Brazil	Pariconha	2000	39.7%	45.1%	34.4%
Brazil	Pariconha	2017	61.4%	66.8%	56.0%
Brazil	Parintins	2000	52.3%	57.7%	46.2%
Brazil	Parintins	2017	72.6%	76.6%	67.5%
Brazil	Paripiranga	2000	59.3%	64.2%	54.5%
Brazil	Paripiranga	2017	77.9%	81.2%	74.1%
Brazil	Paripueira	2000	33.7%	38.6%	28.8%
Brazil	Paripueira	2017	55.1%	60.6%	49.4%
Brazil	Pariquera-Açu	2000	82.8%	87.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Pariquera-Açu	2017	92.1%	94.4%	89.2%
Brazil	Parisi	2000	85.2%	89.1%	81.0%
Brazil	Parisi	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Parnaguá	2000	46.4%	56.6%	36.0%
Brazil	Parnaguá	2017	69.4%	77.8%	59.8%
Brazil	Parnaíba	2000	44.6%	50.5%	38.7%
Brazil	Parnaíba	2017	66.0%	71.3%	60.3%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2000	61.6%	64.8%	59.2%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2000	39.0%	45.9%	33.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Parnamirim	2017	79.5%	81.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2017	60.6%	66.8%	55.0%
Brazil	Parnarama	2000	35.1%	41.9%	28.3%
Brazil	Parnarama	2017	56.5%	63.3%	49.0%
Brazil	Parobé	2000	75.9%	78.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Parobé	2017	88.4%	90.0%	86.6%
Brazil	Passa e Fica	2000	57.2%	62.0%	51.2%
Brazil	Passa e Fica	2017	76.4%	79.8%	72.2%
Brazil	Passa Quatro	2000	79.3%	82.8%	75.2%
Brazil	Passa Quatro	2017	90.1%	92.0%	87.9%
Brazil	Passa Sete	2000	76.2%	80.3%	71.7%
Brazil	Passa Sete	2017	88.6%	90.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Passa Tempo	2000	66.0%	72.1%	59.9%
Brazil	Passa Tempo	2017	82.4%	86.2%	78.4%
Brazil	Passa Vinte	2000	78.4%	82.6%	73.0%
Brazil	Passa Vinte	2017	89.8%	92.1%	87.0%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	66.5%	72.4%	59.5%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	46.8%	53.2%	40.4%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	60.1%	64.7%	54.9%
Brazil	Passabém	2017	82.7%	86.2%	78.2%
Brazil	Passabém	2017	78.4%	81.6%	74.7%
Brazil	Passabém	2017	68.0%	73.3%	62.1%
Brazil	Passagem Franca	2000	35.6%	43.4%	27.9%
Brazil	Passagem Franca	2017	57.1%	64.7%	49.0%
Brazil	Passagem Franca do Piauí	2000	44.9%	52.7%	37.5%
Brazil	Passagem Franca do Piauí	2017	66.3%	73.5%	58.8%
Brazil	Passira	2000	37.1%	40.9%	33.3%
Brazil	Passira	2017	58.9%	62.8%	54.9%
Brazil	Passo de Camaragibe	2000	34.3%	38.8%	29.2%
Brazil	Passo de Camaragibe	2017	55.7%	60.3%	50.1%
Brazil	Passo de Torres	2000	75.0%	80.8%	68.5%
Brazil	Passo de Torres	2017	88.0%	91.1%	84.4%
Brazil	Passo do Sobrado	2000	74.9%	78.6%	70.7%
Brazil	Passo do Sobrado	2017	87.9%	90.0%	85.4%
Brazil	Passo Fundo	2000	76.1%	79.2%	72.0%
Brazil	Passo Fundo	2017	88.6%	90.4%	86.3%
Brazil	Passos	2000	68.3%	74.3%	62.2%
Brazil	Passos	2017	83.9%	87.4%	80.1%
Brazil	Passos Maia	2000	77.3%	82.2%	71.8%
Brazil	Passos Maia	2017	89.2%	91.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Pastos Bons	2000	36.8%	46.3%	29.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pastos Bons	2017	58.3%	67.2%	50.7%
Brazil	Patis	2000	66.6%	73.4%	58.8%
Brazil	Patis	2017	82.8%	87.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Pato Bragado	2000	59.4%	66.8%	52.8%
Brazil	Pato Bragado	2017	77.9%	82.8%	72.9%
Brazil	Pato Branco	2000	70.2%	75.3%	64.6%
Brazil	Pato Branco	2017	85.1%	88.2%	81.9%
Brazil	Patos	2000	46.6%	51.4%	41.0%
Brazil	Patos	2017	67.8%	72.3%	62.4%
Brazil	Patos de Minas	2000	66.2%	71.8%	60.9%
Brazil	Patos de Minas	2017	82.6%	86.1%	79.1%
Brazil	Patos do Piauí	2000	42.7%	51.7%	33.9%
Brazil	Patos do Piauí	2017	64.2%	72.0%	55.2%
Brazil	Patrocínio	2000	66.8%	71.8%	61.2%
Brazil	Patrocínio	2017	82.9%	86.3%	78.8%
Brazil	Patrocínio do Muriaé	2000	72.2%	76.7%	66.9%
Brazil	Patrocínio do Muriaé	2017	86.3%	88.9%	82.9%
Brazil	Patrocínio Paulista	2000	79.3%	82.7%	75.3%
Brazil	Patrocínio Paulista	2017	90.2%	92.0%	88.1%
Brazil	Patu	2000	63.2%	69.3%	56.8%
Brazil	Patu	2017	80.5%	84.5%	76.0%
Brazil	Paty do Alferes	2000	84.0%	86.4%	81.1%
Brazil	Paty do Alferes	2017	92.5%	93.7%	90.9%
Brazil	Pau Brazil	2000	50.8%	56.8%	44.5%
Brazil	Pau Brazil	2017	71.4%	76.1%	66.1%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2000	35.5%	43.2%	28.4%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2000	52.7%	60.5%	44.0%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2017	72.9%	78.5%	65.8%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2017	56.6%	63.9%	48.7%
Brazil	Pau dos Ferros	2000	53.4%	59.7%	47.7%
Brazil	Pau dos Ferros	2017	73.4%	78.2%	68.5%
Brazil	Paudalho	2000	38.6%	41.5%	35.7%
Brazil	Paudalho	2017	60.4%	63.7%	57.2%
Brazil	Pauini	2000	48.0%	60.3%	35.5%
Brazil	Pauini	2017	68.6%	78.3%	57.1%
Brazil	Paula Cândido	2000	66.6%	71.4%	62.0%
Brazil	Paula Cândido	2017	82.8%	85.8%	79.8%
Brazil	Paula Freitas	2000	70.1%	75.7%	63.3%
Brazil	Paula Freitas	2017	85.0%	88.4%	80.6%
Brazil	Paulicéia	2000	60.4%	68.0%	52.9%
Brazil	Paulicéia	2017	78.6%	83.8%	73.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paulínia	2000	86.9%	88.7%	85.0%
Brazil	Paulínia	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Paulino Neves	2000	34.0%	43.2%	25.1%
Brazil	Paulino Neves	2017	55.3%	64.5%	44.4%
Brazil	Paulista	2000	51.3%	57.6%	45.0%
Brazil	Paulista	2000	39.3%	41.7%	36.9%
Brazil	Paulista	2017	71.8%	76.9%	66.4%
Brazil	Paulista	2017	61.0%	63.6%	58.3%
Brazil	Paulistana	2000	44.4%	53.1%	36.0%
Brazil	Paulistana	2017	65.8%	73.6%	57.9%
Brazil	Paulistânia	2000	86.8%	89.8%	81.8%
Brazil	Paulistânia	2017	94.1%	95.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Paulistas	2000	67.0%	71.8%	60.4%
Brazil	Paulistas	2017	83.1%	86.3%	78.7%
Brazil	Paulo Afonso	2000	42.6%	47.1%	37.2%
Brazil	Paulo Afonso	2017	64.2%	68.2%	59.3%
Brazil	Paulo de Faria	2000	75.7%	82.2%	68.1%
Brazil	Paulo de Faria	2017	88.2%	91.9%	83.9%
Brazil	Paulo Frontin	2000	69.0%	75.1%	62.9%
Brazil	Paulo Frontin	2017	84.3%	87.9%	80.1%
Brazil	Paulo Jacinto	2000	31.0%	36.0%	26.8%
Brazil	Paulo Jacinto	2017	52.0%	57.6%	47.0%
Brazil	Paulo Lopez	2000	77.0%	81.4%	72.2%
Brazil	Paulo Lopez	2017	89.0%	91.2%	86.5%
Brazil	Paulo Ramos	2000	33.1%	41.0%	26.4%
Brazil	Paulo Ramos	2017	54.4%	62.7%	46.5%
Brazil	Pavão	2000	62.0%	68.5%	54.4%
Brazil	Pavão	2017	79.8%	84.0%	73.8%
Brazil	Paverama	2000	75.5%	78.6%	71.7%
Brazil	Paverama	2017	88.2%	89.9%	85.9%
Brazil	Pavussu	2000	46.5%	57.4%	35.3%
Brazil	Pavussu	2017	67.6%	76.6%	57.1%
Brazil	Pé de Serra	2000	46.4%	51.9%	40.8%
Brazil	Pé de Serra	2017	67.7%	72.5%	62.6%
Brazil	Peabiru	2000	64.0%	69.4%	58.0%
Brazil	Peabiru	2017	81.1%	84.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Peçanha	2000	70.1%	76.4%	63.8%
Brazil	Peçanha	2017	84.9%	88.5%	81.0%
Brazil	Pederneiras	2000	87.5%	90.1%	84.6%
Brazil	Pederneiras	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Pedra	2000	38.0%	41.6%	34.2%
Brazil	Pedra	2017	59.6%	63.2%	55.4%
Brazil	Pedra Azul	2000	65.1%	71.8%	58.0%
Brazil	Pedra Azul	2017	81.8%	86.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Pedra Bela	2000	83.7%	87.1%	81.1%
Brazil	Pedra Bela	2017	92.6%	94.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Pedra Bonita	2000	70.0%	74.6%	65.5%
Brazil	Pedra Bonita	2017	84.9%	87.8%	82.1%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2000	45.2%	52.0%	40.2%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2000	46.5%	51.8%	40.7%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2017	66.6%	72.4%	61.9%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2017	67.7%	72.4%	62.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pedra Branca do Amapari	2000	51.9%	63.2%	42.4%
Brazil	Pedra Branca do Amapari	2017	72.2%	80.5%	64.2%
Brazil	Pedra do Anta	2000	64.1%	69.8%	58.4%
Brazil	Pedra do Anta	2017	81.2%	84.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Pedra do Indaiá	2000	67.6%	72.1%	61.7%
Brazil	Pedra do Indaiá	2017	83.4%	86.3%	79.5%
Brazil	Pedra dourada	2000	71.7%	76.5%	67.4%
Brazil	Pedra dourada	2017	85.9%	88.7%	83.0%
Brazil	Pedra Grande	2000	59.9%	68.7%	50.4%
Brazil	Pedra Grande	2017	78.3%	84.1%	71.2%
Brazil	Pedra Lavadra	2000	49.3%	55.8%	43.1%
Brazil	Pedra Lavadra	2017	70.1%	75.6%	64.8%
Brazil	Pedra Mole	2000	60.8%	66.5%	55.6%
Brazil	Pedra Mole	2017	78.9%	82.9%	75.2%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2000	62.0%	69.5%	54.1%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2000	47.3%	53.1%	40.8%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2017	79.7%	84.7%	74.4%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2017	68.4%	73.6%	62.5%
Brazil	Pedralva	2000	67.5%	72.4%	62.7%
Brazil	Pedralva	2017	83.4%	86.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Pedranópolis	2000	84.1%	88.7%	79.4%
Brazil	Pedranópolis	2017	92.7%	95.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Pedrão	2000	44.1%	48.5%	39.1%
Brazil	Pedrão	2017	65.6%	69.7%	60.7%
Brazil	Pedras de Fogo	2000	46.2%	50.3%	41.5%
Brazil	Pedras de Fogo	2017	67.5%	71.1%	63.4%
Brazil	Pedras de Maria da Cruz	2000	65.1%	71.0%	58.3%
Brazil	Pedras de Maria da Cruz	2017	81.8%	85.5%	77.3%
Brazil	Pedras Grandes	2000	77.4%	81.3%	73.4%
Brazil	Pedras Grandes	2017	89.2%	91.3%	87.1%
Brazil	Pedregulho	2000	80.8%	85.4%	75.0%
Brazil	Pedregulho	2017	91.0%	93.3%	88.0%
Brazil	Pedreira	2000	86.6%	89.0%	84.2%
Brazil	Pedreira	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Pedreiras	2000	32.1%	38.4%	26.8%
Brazil	Pedreiras	2017	53.3%	60.1%	46.8%
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2000	65.0%	69.0%	60.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2017	81.8%	84.5%	78.9%
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2000	75.3%	80.1%	69.5%
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2017	88.0%	90.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Pedrinópolis	2000	64.3%	71.4%	56.7%
Brazil	Pedrinópolis	2017	81.2%	85.6%	75.8%
Brazil	Pedro Afonso	2000	17.7%	23.2%	12.7%
Brazil	Pedro Afonso	2017	34.1%	42.4%	26.0%
Brazil	Pedro Alexandre	2000	52.3%	58.1%	46.0%
Brazil	Pedro Alexandre	2017	72.5%	76.9%	67.1%
Brazil	Pedro Avelino	2000	60.7%	68.6%	53.8%
Brazil	Pedro Avelino	2017	79.8%	85.0%	75.3%
Brazil	Pedro Canário	2000	55.3%	61.4%	48.7%
Brazil	Pedro Canário	2017	74.9%	79.2%	69.4%
Brazil	Pedro de Toledo	2000	85.4%	89.0%	80.4%
Brazil	Pedro de Toledo	2017	93.4%	95.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Pedro do Rosário	2000	33.6%	40.4%	27.8%
Brazil	Pedro do Rosário	2017	54.9%	62.1%	48.2%
Brazil	Pedro Gomes	2000	32.2%	40.1%	24.5%
Brazil	Pedro Gomes	2017	53.4%	62.0%	43.7%
Brazil	Pedro Laurentino	2000	44.7%	53.8%	34.5%
Brazil	Pedro Laurentino	2017	66.0%	73.9%	56.6%
Brazil	Pedro Leopoldo	2000	66.1%	68.8%	62.9%
Brazil	Pedro Leopoldo	2017	82.5%	84.3%	80.6%
Brazil	Pedro Li	2000	45.2%	52.6%	37.9%
Brazil	Pedro Li	2017	66.5%	72.8%	59.0%
Brazil	Pedro Osório	2000	74.2%	79.1%	67.5%
Brazil	Pedro Osório	2017	87.4%	90.3%	83.5%
Brazil	Pedro Régis	2000	53.7%	59.1%	48.3%
Brazil	Pedro Régis	2017	73.7%	78.1%	69.0%
Brazil	Pedro Teixeira	2000	65.8%	72.4%	60.1%
Brazil	Pedro Teixeira	2017	82.3%	86.2%	78.4%
Brazil	Pedro Velho	2000	56.5%	61.1%	51.5%
Brazil	Pedro Velho	2017	75.9%	79.4%	71.7%
Brazil	Peixe	2000	18.5%	24.2%	13.5%
Brazil	Peixe	2017	35.3%	44.0%	27.3%
Brazil	Peixe Boi	2000	52.6%	57.4%	48.0%
Brazil	Peixe Boi	2017	72.8%	76.5%	69.1%
Brazil	Peixoto de Azevedo	2000	49.5%	56.2%	42.9%
Brazil	Peixoto de Azevedo	2017	70.2%	75.5%	64.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pejuçara	2000	73.3%	78.0%	68.5%
Brazil	Pejuçara	2017	86.9%	89.7%	84.0%
Brazil	Pelotas	2000	76.0%	78.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Pelotas	2017	88.5%	90.0%	86.6%
Brazil	Penaforte	2000	40.1%	45.5%	34.5%
Brazil	Penaforte	2017	61.8%	67.1%	56.0%
Brazil	Penalva	2000	32.8%	37.9%	26.5%
Brazil	Penalva	2017	54.0%	60.0%	46.3%
Brazil	Penápolis	2000	88.1%	91.3%	83.9%
Brazil	Penápolis	2017	94.7%	96.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Pendências	2000	64.3%	71.3%	58.2%
Brazil	Pendências	2017	81.2%	85.7%	76.9%
Brazil	Penedo	2000	48.1%	52.3%	43.2%
Brazil	Penedo	2017	68.8%	72.5%	64.3%
Brazil	Penha	2000	75.4%	79.4%	71.4%
Brazil	Penha	2017	88.1%	90.3%	85.7%
Brazil	Pentecoste	2000	45.2%	50.0%	39.4%
Brazil	Pentecoste	2017	66.5%	71.0%	61.0%
Brazil	Pequeri	2000	68.9%	73.6%	63.3%
Brazil	Pequeri	2017	84.3%	87.1%	80.8%
Brazil	Pequi	2000	67.1%	72.8%	61.0%
Brazil	Pequi	2017	83.1%	86.7%	78.9%
Brazil	Pequizeiro	2000	24.5%	30.9%	19.6%
Brazil	Pequizeiro	2017	43.9%	51.9%	37.1%
Brazil	Perdigão	2000	67.0%	71.7%	61.8%
Brazil	Perdigão	2017	83.2%	86.0%	79.8%
Brazil	Perdizes	2000	64.6%	72.0%	57.6%
Brazil	Perdizes	2017	81.5%	86.2%	76.6%
Brazil	Perdões	2000	64.8%	69.9%	59.1%
Brazil	Perdões	2017	81.6%	84.9%	77.6%
Brazil	Pereira Barreto	2000	78.8%	83.8%	72.9%
Brazil	Pereira Barreto	2017	90.0%	92.6%	86.8%
Brazil	Pereiras	2000	85.9%	88.7%	82.2%
Brazil	Pereiras	2017	93.7%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Pereiro	2000	48.6%	54.3%	43.1%
Brazil	Pereiro	2017	69.5%	74.3%	64.8%
Brazil	Peri-Mirim	2000	32.3%	38.8%	27.0%
Brazil	Peri-Mirim	2017	53.5%	60.3%	46.2%
Brazil	Periquito	2000	63.2%	68.3%	56.9%
Brazil	Periquito	2017	80.6%	83.8%	76.4%
Brazil	Peritiba	2000	77.3%	81.3%	72.5%
Brazil	Peritiba	2017	89.1%	91.4%	86.4%
Brazil	Peritoró	2000	31.9%	38.8%	25.6%
Brazil	Peritoró	2017	53.1%	60.7%	45.5%
Brazil	Perobal	2000	64.0%	69.8%	57.6%
Brazil	Perobal	2017	81.1%	84.7%	76.7%
Brazil	Pérola	2000	59.6%	65.8%	51.7%
Brazil	Pérola	2017	78.1%	82.5%	72.6%
Brazil	Pérola d'Oeste	2000	66.2%	71.4%	60.4%
Brazil	Pérola d'Oeste	2017	82.5%	85.8%	78.4%
Brazil	Perolândia	2000	45.5%	53.7%	37.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Perolândia	2017	66.7%	73.7%	58.6%
Brazil	Peruíbe	2000	87.2%	90.8%	83.0%
Brazil	Peruíbe	2017	94.2%	95.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Pescador	2000	66.0%	72.1%	57.8%
Brazil	Pescador	2017	82.4%	86.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Pesqueira	2000	39.0%	43.3%	35.0%
Brazil	Pesqueira	2017	60.7%	64.8%	56.6%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2000	37.3%	43.8%	32.6%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2000	78.5%	83.5%	73.1%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2017	62.1%	67.8%	57.0%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2017	89.8%	92.5%	86.8%
Brazil	Petrolina	2000	40.4%	43.8%	37.1%
Brazil	Petrolina	2017	62.8%	66.4%	59.5%
Brazil	Petrolina de Goiás	2000	43.6%	49.2%	38.6%
Brazil	Petrolina de Goiás	2017	65.2%	70.1%	60.2%
Brazil	Petrópolis	2000	84.9%	86.5%	83.1%
Brazil	Petrópolis	2017	93.1%	94.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Piaçabuçu	2000	40.0%	47.7%	33.4%
Brazil	Piaçabuçu	2017	61.6%	68.9%	54.5%
Brazil	Piacatu	2000	87.2%	90.8%	83.2%
Brazil	Piacatu	2017	94.3%	95.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Piancó	2000	43.3%	50.6%	36.9%
Brazil	Piancó	2017	64.8%	71.2%	58.7%
Brazil	Piatã	2000	52.4%	58.9%	45.4%
Brazil	Piatã	2017	72.6%	77.7%	66.6%
Brazil	Piau	2000	61.0%	66.4%	56.3%
Brazil	Piau	2017	79.1%	82.5%	75.6%
Brazil	Picada Café	2000	73.4%	76.4%	70.4%
Brazil	Picada Café	2017	87.0%	88.8%	85.1%
Brazil	Piçarra	2000	41.6%	49.0%	35.4%
Brazil	Piçarra	2017	62.8%	69.3%	56.3%
Brazil	Piçarras	2000	77.7%	81.2%	73.9%
Brazil	Piçarras	2017	89.9%	91.7%	87.7%
Brazil	Picos	2000	46.2%	52.5%	39.8%
Brazil	Picos	2017	68.0%	73.4%	62.3%
Brazil	Picuí	2000	53.2%	59.2%	47.1%
Brazil	Picuí	2017	73.3%	77.9%	67.9%
Brazil	Piedade	2000	88.2%	91.2%	85.3%
Brazil	Piedade	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Piedade de Caratinga	2000	69.3%	74.3%	63.7%
Brazil	Piedade de Caratinga	2017	86.0%	88.6%	82.8%
Brazil	Piedade do Ponte Nova	2000	62.8%	69.1%	56.4%
Brazil	Piedade do Ponte Nova	2017	80.3%	84.4%	75.9%
Brazil	Piedade do Rio Grande	2000	65.7%	72.7%	59.1%
Brazil	Piedade do Rio Grande	2017	82.2%	86.7%	77.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Piedade dos Gerais	2000	65.5%	71.3%	59.8%
Brazil	Piedade dos Gerais	2017	82.1%	85.8%	78.2%
Brazil	Piên	2000	73.8%	78.0%	69.2%
Brazil	Piên	2017	87.2%	89.6%	84.4%
Brazil	Pilão Arcado	2000	47.1%	54.5%	40.1%
Brazil	Pilão Arcado	2017	68.1%	74.4%	61.7%
Brazil	Pilar	2000	29.9%	33.4%	26.3%
Brazil	Pilar	2000	43.0%	47.3%	38.8%
Brazil	Pilar	2017	50.8%	54.5%	46.4%
Brazil	Pilar	2017	64.6%	68.6%	60.4%
Brazil	Pilar de Goiás	2000	46.5%	52.9%	39.8%
Brazil	Pilar de Goiás	2017	67.7%	73.2%	61.5%
Brazil	Pilar do Sul	2000	88.8%	91.7%	85.5%
Brazil	Pilar do Sul	2017	95.0%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Pilões	2000	49.2%	54.5%	44.2%
Brazil	Pilões	2000	55.2%	61.2%	48.2%
Brazil	Pilões	2017	70.1%	74.3%	65.9%
Brazil	Pilões	2017	74.9%	79.3%	69.2%
Brazil	Pilõezinhos	2000	49.4%	53.8%	45.1%
Brazil	Pilõezinhos	2017	70.3%	74.3%	66.6%
Brazil	Pimenta	2000	64.4%	70.6%	58.2%
Brazil	Pimenta	2017	83.2%	87.0%	79.1%
Brazil	Pimenta Bueno	2000	56.8%	62.7%	50.6%
Brazil	Pimenta Bueno	2017	76.0%	80.5%	71.2%
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2000	44.4%	52.2%	37.0%
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2017	65.7%	72.4%	58.5%
Brazil	Pimenteiras do Oeste	2000	54.7%	63.4%	45.3%
Brazil	Pimenteiras do Oeste	2017	74.3%	80.8%	66.6%
Brazil	Pindaí	2000	46.8%	52.9%	40.0%
Brazil	Pindaí	2017	68.0%	73.1%	61.8%
Brazil	Pindamonhangaba	2000	84.6%	87.2%	81.6%
Brazil	Pindamonhangaba	2017	93.0%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Pindaré-Mirim	2000	32.7%	38.2%	27.0%
Brazil	Pindaré-Mirim	2017	54.0%	60.3%	47.1%
Brazil	Pindoba	2000	29.4%	34.4%	25.7%
Brazil	Pindoba	2017	50.2%	56.1%	45.6%
Brazil	Pindobaçu	2000	46.1%	51.6%	41.0%
Brazil	Pindobaçu	2017	67.4%	71.9%	62.7%
Brazil	Pindorama	2000	88.1%	90.7%	84.8%
Brazil	Pindorama	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Pindorama do Tocantins	2000	19.2%	28.1%	12.2%
Brazil	Pindorama do Tocantins	2017	36.3%	48.9%	25.3%
Brazil	Pindoretama	2000	43.2%	47.8%	38.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pindoretama	2017	64.7%	69.0%	60.6%
Brazil	Pingo d'Água	2000	62.4%	68.8%	56.2%
Brazil	Pingo d'Água	2017	80.0%	84.6%	75.8%
Brazil	Pinhais	2000	65.0%	67.0%	62.9%
Brazil	Pinhais	2017	81.8%	83.2%	80.2%
Brazil	Pinhal	2000	77.6%	81.0%	73.8%
Brazil	Pinhal	2000	75.0%	79.4%	70.3%
Brazil	Pinhal	2017	87.9%	90.3%	85.1%
Brazil	Pinhal	2017	89.3%	91.1%	87.0%
Brazil	Pinhal de São Bento	2000	66.9%	73.1%	60.4%
Brazil	Pinhal de São Bento	2017	83.0%	86.8%	78.3%
Brazil	Pinhal Grande	2000	74.8%	79.6%	69.8%
Brazil	Pinhal Grande	2017	87.8%	90.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Pinhalão	2000	64.4%	70.4%	58.5%
Brazil	Pinhalão	2017	81.4%	85.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2000	80.2%	84.4%	76.0%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2000	82.5%	85.9%	79.3%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2017	90.8%	93.0%	88.3%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2017	91.9%	93.6%	90.0%
Brazil	Pinhão	2000	64.9%	71.0%	58.1%
Brazil	Pinhão	2000	60.3%	66.4%	55.2%
Brazil	Pinhão	2017	78.6%	82.9%	74.5%
Brazil	Pinhão	2017	81.7%	85.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Pinheiral	2000	83.8%	86.1%	80.9%
Brazil	Pinheiral	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Pinheirinho do Vale	2000	75.6%	80.1%	70.2%
Brazil	Pinheirinho do Vale	2017	88.2%	90.9%	85.1%
Brazil	Pinheiro	2000	32.9%	38.7%	27.2%
Brazil	Pinheiro	2017	54.5%	60.7%	47.6%
Brazil	Pinheiro Machado	2000	74.5%	80.0%	68.0%
Brazil	Pinheiro Machado	2017	87.6%	90.8%	83.5%
Brazil	Pinheiro Preto	2000	77.3%	81.7%	72.6%
Brazil	Pinheiro Preto	2017	89.2%	91.6%	86.3%
Brazil	Pinheiros	2000	58.3%	63.6%	53.0%
Brazil	Pinheiros	2017	77.9%	81.4%	74.1%
Brazil	Pintadas	2000	45.2%	51.2%	39.0%
Brazil	Pintadas	2017	66.6%	71.9%	60.4%
Brazil	Pintópolis	2000	67.0%	75.1%	59.1%
Brazil	Pintópolis	2017	83.0%	87.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Pio IX	2000	44.9%	52.1%	38.8%
Brazil	Pio IX	2017	66.3%	72.5%	60.3%
Brazil	Pio XII	2000	33.2%	40.0%	27.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pio XII	2017	54.5%	61.7%	47.7%
Brazil	Piquerobi	2000	82.0%	85.8%	78.2%
Brazil	Piquerobi	2017	91.7%	93.7%	89.8%
Brazil	Piquet	2000	42.4%	48.2%	35.6%
Brazil	Carneiro				
Brazil	Piquet	2017	64.0%	69.2%	57.2%
Brazil	Carneiro				
Brazil	Piquete	2000	77.1%	81.2%	72.6%
Brazil	Piquete	2017	89.1%	91.2%	86.4%
Brazil	Piracaia	2000	86.5%	89.0%	84.0%
Brazil	Piracaia	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Piracanjuba	2000	46.4%	53.0%	40.0%
Brazil	Piracanjuba	2017	67.5%	73.0%	61.6%
Brazil	Piracema	2000	65.3%	71.1%	59.7%
Brazil	Piracema	2017	82.0%	85.6%	78.1%
Brazil	Piracicaba	2000	87.8%	89.9%	85.3%
Brazil	Piracicaba	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Piracununga	2000	84.9%	87.9%	81.5%
Brazil	Piracununga	2017	93.1%	94.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Piracuruca	2000	42.6%	50.8%	36.1%
Brazil	Piracuruca	2017	64.1%	71.0%	57.6%
Brazil	Piraí	2000	84.3%	86.5%	81.6%
Brazil	Piraí	2017	92.9%	94.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Piraí do Norte	2000	45.5%	51.0%	40.2%
Brazil	Piraí do Norte	2017	66.9%	71.7%	61.8%
Brazil	Piraí do Sul	2000	69.8%	75.5%	63.7%
Brazil	Piraí do Sul	2017	84.7%	88.2%	80.5%
Brazil	Pirajuba	2000	70.2%	76.0%	63.2%
Brazil	Pirajuba	2017	85.0%	88.6%	80.5%
Brazil	Pirajui	2000	85.0%	87.5%	82.2%
Brazil	Pirajui	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Pirajuí	2000	86.0%	89.5%	81.6%
Brazil	Pirajuí	2017	93.7%	95.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Pirambu	2000	56.7%	62.3%	51.1%
Brazil	Pirambu	2017	76.0%	80.3%	71.3%
Brazil	Piranga	2000	64.5%	69.6%	59.6%
Brazil	Piranga	2017	82.2%	85.2%	79.0%
Brazil	Pirangi	2000	86.7%	89.4%	83.2%
Brazil	Pirangi	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Piranguçu	2000	75.3%	78.9%	70.8%
Brazil	Piranguçu	2017	87.8%	89.9%	85.1%
Brazil	Piranguinho	2000	66.1%	70.7%	60.8%
Brazil	Piranguinho	2017	82.5%	85.4%	79.2%
Brazil	Piranhas	2000	43.9%	53.4%	34.6%
Brazil	Piranhas	2000	44.5%	49.8%	38.8%
Brazil	Piranhas	2017	65.3%	73.7%	56.2%
Brazil	Piranhas	2017	65.9%	70.5%	60.5%
Brazil	Pirapemas	2000	35.0%	43.9%	28.1%
Brazil	Pirapemas	2017	56.4%	65.1%	48.3%
Brazil	Pirapetinga	2000	76.4%	81.6%	70.4%
Brazil	Pirapetinga	2017	88.6%	91.5%	85.2%
Brazil	Pirapó	2000	74.4%	80.6%	67.4%
Brazil	Pirapó	2017	87.5%	90.9%	83.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pirapora	2000	67.7%	73.8%	61.0%
Brazil	Pirapora	2017	83.5%	87.3%	79.1%
Brazil	Pirapora do Bom Jesus	2000	85.9%	87.9%	83.7%
Brazil	Pirapora do Bom Jesus	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Pirapozinho	2000	82.8%	86.0%	78.6%
Brazil	Pirapozinho	2017	92.1%	93.7%	89.9%
Brazil	Piraquara	2000	62.9%	65.8%	59.5%
Brazil	Piraquara	2017	80.3%	82.2%	78.1%
Brazil	Piraquê	2000	21.3%	26.1%	17.1%
Brazil	Piraquê	2017	39.5%	45.8%	33.2%
Brazil	Piratini	2000	74.6%	80.5%	68.4%
Brazil	Piratini	2017	87.6%	90.8%	83.8%
Brazil	Piratininga	2000	86.2%	89.2%	82.4%
Brazil	Piratininga	2017	93.8%	95.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Piratuba	2000	78.5%	82.4%	74.1%
Brazil	Piratuba	2017	89.8%	91.9%	87.3%
Brazil	Pirauba	2000	66.3%	71.0%	61.4%
Brazil	Pirauba	2017	82.6%	85.4%	79.3%
Brazil	Pirenópolis	2000	40.8%	46.6%	36.0%
Brazil	Pirenópolis	2017	62.4%	68.1%	57.5%
Brazil	Pires do Rio	2000	46.2%	52.6%	39.3%
Brazil	Pires do Rio	2017	67.5%	73.1%	61.3%
Brazil	Pires Ferreira	2000	39.2%	44.2%	34.4%
Brazil	Pires Ferreira	2017	60.9%	65.6%	56.0%
Brazil	Piripá	2000	49.8%	56.7%	43.0%
Brazil	Piripá	2017	70.6%	76.0%	64.7%
Brazil	Piripiri	2000	47.4%	54.1%	40.7%
Brazil	Piripiri	2017	68.4%	74.0%	62.3%
Brazil	Piritiba	2000	47.7%	53.6%	41.0%
Brazil	Piritiba	2017	68.8%	73.8%	62.7%
Brazil	Pirpirituba	2000	50.3%	54.8%	46.2%
Brazil	Pirpirituba	2017	71.0%	75.0%	67.3%
Brazil	Pitanga	2000	68.8%	74.1%	63.0%
Brazil	Pitanga	2017	84.2%	87.6%	80.5%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2000	62.0%	67.4%	56.9%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2000	87.2%	89.8%	84.6%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2017	79.8%	83.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Pitanguí	2000	69.1%	74.2%	63.6%
Brazil	Pitanguí	2017	84.4%	87.5%	80.9%
Brazil	Pitimbu	2000	42.3%	48.2%	37.0%
Brazil	Pitimbu	2017	63.9%	69.4%	58.8%
Brazil	Piui	2000	67.3%	73.2%	59.8%
Brazil	Piui	2017	83.2%	86.8%	78.2%
Brazil	Pium	2000	25.2%	30.9%	20.5%
Brazil	Pium	2017	45.4%	52.3%	38.8%
Brazil	Piúma	2000	59.8%	65.2%	54.3%
Brazil	Piúma	2017	78.2%	82.2%	74.0%
Brazil	Placas	2000	53.9%	62.1%	46.5%
Brazil	Placas	2017	73.7%	79.8%	67.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Plácido de Castro	2000	46.5%	53.2%	38.5%
Brazil	Plácido de Castro	2017	67.7%	73.6%	60.3%
Brazil	Planaltina	2000	67.2%	71.1%	63.0%
Brazil	Planaltina	2017	83.2%	85.8%	80.5%
Brazil	Planaltina do Paraná	2000	64.8%	70.6%	58.3%
Brazil	Planaltina do Paraná	2017	81.7%	85.4%	77.0%
Brazil	Planaltino	2000	45.4%	51.9%	38.5%
Brazil	Planaltino	2017	66.6%	72.4%	59.7%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	67.2%	71.7%	61.5%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	77.6%	82.3%	73.2%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	87.7%	90.7%	84.0%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	49.5%	54.2%	44.0%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	83.2%	86.2%	79.0%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	89.3%	91.8%	87.0%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	70.3%	74.3%	65.5%
Brazil	Planalto Alegre	2000	77.8%	81.8%	73.9%
Brazil	Planalto Alegre	2017	89.4%	91.6%	87.0%
Brazil	Planalto da Serra	2000	48.4%	61.9%	38.8%
Brazil	Planalto da Serra	2017	69.2%	79.6%	60.7%
Brazil	Planura	2000	75.1%	80.8%	68.2%
Brazil	Planura	2017	87.9%	91.0%	83.7%
Brazil	Platina	2000	82.6%	86.3%	78.5%
Brazil	Platina	2017	92.0%	93.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Poá	2000	88.0%	89.1%	86.8%
Brazil	Poá	2017	94.7%	95.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Poção	2000	44.3%	49.4%	39.0%
Brazil	Poção	2017	65.7%	70.4%	60.3%
Brazil	Poção de Pedras	2000	35.1%	41.4%	28.1%
Brazil	Poção de Pedras	2017	56.5%	63.1%	48.4%
Brazil	Pocinhos	2000	48.9%	54.7%	43.7%
Brazil	Pocinhos	2017	69.8%	74.9%	65.4%
Brazil	Poço Branco	2000	62.7%	67.9%	56.9%
Brazil	Poço Branco	2017	80.2%	83.8%	75.8%
Brazil	Poço Dantas	2000	52.1%	57.6%	46.9%
Brazil	Poço Dantas	2017	72.5%	76.9%	68.2%
Brazil	Poço das Antas	2000	74.7%	78.1%	70.5%
Brazil	Poço das Antas	2017	87.7%	89.5%	85.3%
Brazil	Poço das Trincheiras	2000	34.4%	39.0%	29.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Poço das Trincheiras	2017	55.9%	60.9%	50.7%
Brazil	Poço de José de Moura	2000	47.1%	53.4%	40.1%
Brazil	Poço de José de Moura	2017	68.2%	74.0%	61.8%
Brazil	Poço Fundo	2000	63.3%	69.1%	58.1%
Brazil	Poço Fundo	2017	80.6%	84.5%	76.8%
Brazil	Poço Redondo	2000	51.6%	56.4%	46.9%
Brazil	Poço Redondo	2017	71.8%	75.4%	67.7%
Brazil	Poço Verde	2000	54.3%	59.4%	48.6%
Brazil	Poço Verde	2017	74.1%	78.0%	69.2%
Brazil	Poções	2000	50.6%	56.5%	45.5%
Brazil	Poções	2017	71.2%	75.5%	67.1%
Brazil	Poconé	2000	48.4%	56.9%	39.7%
Brazil	Poconé	2017	69.2%	76.3%	61.5%
Brazil	Poços de Caldas	2000	76.2%	80.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Poços de Caldas	2017	88.5%	90.6%	86.3%
Brazil	Pocrane	2000	62.3%	68.8%	55.3%
Brazil	Pocrane	2017	79.9%	84.2%	74.9%
Brazil	Pojuca	2000	46.2%	50.7%	41.9%
Brazil	Pojuca	2017	67.5%	71.5%	63.9%
Brazil	Poloni	2000	86.8%	90.0%	82.2%
Brazil	Poloni	2017	94.1%	95.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Pombal	2000	47.1%	51.9%	42.7%
Brazil	Pombal	2017	68.2%	72.4%	64.3%
Brazil	Pombos	2000	40.4%	44.3%	36.9%
Brazil	Pombos	2017	62.2%	65.8%	58.7%
Brazil	Pomerode	2000	78.0%	81.4%	74.5%
Brazil	Pomerode	2017	89.5%	91.3%	87.5%
Brazil	Pompéia	2000	87.9%	90.7%	83.8%
Brazil	Pompéia	2017	94.6%	95.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Pompéu	2000	69.0%	75.1%	62.7%
Brazil	Pompéu	2017	84.3%	88.0%	80.3%
Brazil	Pongaí	2000	86.1%	90.1%	81.0%
Brazil	Pongaí	2017	93.7%	95.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Ponta Alta	2000	79.4%	84.5%	73.8%
Brazil	Ponta Alta	2017	90.3%	93.0%	87.1%
Brazil	Ponta de Pedras	2000	51.2%	56.6%	45.0%
Brazil	Ponta de Pedras	2017	71.7%	76.0%	66.7%
Brazil	Ponta Grossa	2000	67.1%	70.8%	63.7%
Brazil	Ponta Grossa	2017	83.4%	85.6%	81.1%
Brazil	Ponta Porã	2000	28.3%	33.7%	23.5%
Brazil	Ponta Porã	2017	48.7%	55.4%	42.2%
Brazil	Pontal	2000	88.2%	90.4%	85.7%
Brazil	Pontal	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Pontal do Araguaia	2000	49.4%	57.2%	42.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pontal do Araguaia	2017	70.0%	76.0%	64.1%
Brazil	Pontal do Paraná	2000	64.7%	70.2%	58.4%
Brazil	Pontal do Paraná	2017	81.6%	85.2%	77.2%
Brazil	Pontalina	2000	44.6%	50.3%	37.9%
Brazil	Pontalina	2017	65.9%	71.0%	59.4%
Brazil	Pontalinda	2000	84.3%	87.9%	80.3%
Brazil	Pontalinda	2017	92.9%	94.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Pontão	2000	74.1%	79.0%	69.7%
Brazil	Pontão	2017	87.4%	90.0%	84.6%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Bom Jesus	2000	24.9%	32.0%	19.2%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Bom Jesus	2017	44.2%	52.8%	36.4%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2000	81.1%	85.6%	75.6%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2000	18.0%	26.0%	12.4%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2017	91.2%	93.5%	88.1%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2017	34.5%	45.7%	26.1%
Brazil	Ponte Branca	2000	46.0%	55.7%	35.9%
Brazil	Ponte Branca	2017	67.1%	75.4%	57.8%
Brazil	Ponte Nova	2000	64.5%	70.6%	59.0%
Brazil	Ponte Nova	2017	81.5%	85.3%	77.7%
Brazil	Ponte Preta	2000	75.3%	79.6%	70.7%
Brazil	Ponte Preta	2017	88.0%	90.4%	85.3%
Brazil	Ponte Serrada	2000	80.8%	85.0%	75.9%
Brazil	Ponte Serrada	2017	91.0%	93.4%	88.4%
Brazil	Pontes e Lacerda	2000	48.5%	57.2%	40.5%
Brazil	Pontes e Lacerda	2017	69.4%	76.5%	62.1%
Brazil	Pontes Gestral	2000	81.8%	86.3%	75.6%
Brazil	Pontes Gestral	2017	91.6%	93.8%	88.4%
Brazil	Ponto Belo	2000	55.8%	63.1%	49.0%
Brazil	Ponto Belo	2017	75.3%	80.5%	69.8%
Brazil	Ponto Chique	2000	65.9%	73.8%	57.0%
Brazil	Ponto Chique	2017	82.3%	87.3%	76.1%
Brazil	Ponto dos Volantes	2000	68.7%	74.3%	62.3%
Brazil	Ponto dos Volantes	2017	84.1%	87.5%	80.0%
Brazil	Ponto Novo	2000	42.1%	47.6%	37.0%
Brazil	Ponto Novo	2017	63.7%	69.0%	58.7%
Brazil	Populina	2000	73.1%	79.4%	66.0%
Brazil	Populina	2017	86.8%	90.2%	82.5%
Brazil	Poranga	2000	46.7%	52.6%	40.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Poranga	2017	67.8%	73.2%	61.3%
Brazil	Porangaba	2000	86.1%	89.6%	82.1%
Brazil	Porangaba	2017	93.7%	95.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Porangatu	2000	45.5%	52.4%	39.0%
Brazil	Porangatu	2017	67.0%	73.0%	60.9%
Brazil	Porciúncula	2000	68.3%	73.7%	63.1%
Brazil	Porciúncula	2017	83.9%	87.0%	80.6%
Brazil	Porecatu	2000	71.7%	76.7%	66.0%
Brazil	Porecatu	2017	85.9%	88.9%	82.3%
Brazil	Portalegre	2000	59.0%	64.6%	52.8%
Brazil	Portalegre	2017	77.6%	81.6%	72.5%
Brazil	Portao	2000	74.3%	77.0%	71.9%
Brazil	Portao	2017	87.6%	89.1%	86.2%
Brazil	Porteiras	2000	44.2%	49.4%	39.8%
Brazil	Porteiras	2017	65.7%	69.9%	61.6%
Brazil	Porteirinha	2000	65.4%	71.9%	58.6%
Brazil	Porteirinha	2017	82.1%	86.1%	77.7%
Brazil	Porteiro	2000	41.5%	48.5%	35.0%
Brazil	Porteiro	2017	63.1%	69.9%	56.3%
Brazil	Portel	2000	51.6%	58.2%	44.1%
Brazil	Portel	2017	71.9%	77.5%	65.4%
Brazil	Portelândia	2000	48.3%	56.1%	41.2%
Brazil	Portelândia	2017	69.2%	75.7%	62.8%
Brazil	Porto	2000	40.3%	47.3%	33.4%
Brazil	Porto	2017	61.9%	68.3%	54.7%
Brazil	Porto Acre	2000	45.8%	52.1%	39.3%
Brazil	Porto Acre	2017	67.1%	72.3%	60.8%
Brazil	Porto Alegre	2000	75.0%	76.5%	73.6%
Brazil	Porto Alegre	2017	87.9%	88.7%	87.0%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Norte	2000	52.0%	63.8%	39.3%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Norte	2017	72.1%	81.2%	61.5%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Piauí	2000	37.7%	46.2%	27.9%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Piauí	2017	59.2%	67.9%	48.7%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Tocantins	2000	20.0%	26.4%	14.3%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Tocantins	2017	37.3%	46.7%	28.7%
Brazil	Porto Amazonas	2000	64.7%	70.3%	59.1%
Brazil	Porto Amazonas	2017	81.6%	85.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Porto Barreiro	2000	64.1%	70.2%	57.8%
Brazil	Porto Barreiro	2017	81.1%	85.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Porto Belo	2000	77.4%	81.3%	73.0%
Brazil	Porto Belo	2017	89.5%	91.4%	87.1%
Brazil	Porto Calvo	2000	39.1%	44.1%	34.5%
Brazil	Porto Calvo	2017	60.8%	65.8%	55.6%
Brazil	Porto da Folha	2000	51.9%	57.5%	46.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Porto da Folha	2017	72.3%	76.9%	67.7%
Brazil	Porto de Moz	2000	51.3%	59.6%	41.6%
Brazil	Porto de Moz	2017	72.6%	79.0%	64.3%
Brazil	Porto de Pedras	2000	37.5%	41.9%	32.9%
Brazil	Porto de Pedras	2017	59.4%	64.0%	54.1%
Brazil	Porto do Mangue	2000	58.9%	66.2%	51.1%
Brazil	Porto do Mangue	2017	77.5%	83.0%	71.9%
Brazil	Porto dos Gaúchos	2000	48.8%	59.5%	37.6%
Brazil	Porto dos Gaúchos	2017	69.4%	77.9%	59.7%
Brazil	Porto Esperidião	2000	48.5%	56.6%	38.6%
Brazil	Porto Esperidião	2017	69.3%	75.8%	60.6%
Brazil	Porto Estrela	2000	49.1%	56.9%	41.9%
Brazil	Porto Estrela	2017	69.9%	76.5%	63.3%
Brazil	Porto Feliz	2000	86.5%	88.7%	83.9%
Brazil	Porto Feliz	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Porto Ferreira	2000	88.0%	90.3%	85.0%
Brazil	Porto Ferreira	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Porto Firme	2000	63.6%	68.5%	58.6%
Brazil	Porto Firme	2017	80.8%	84.1%	77.3%
Brazil	Porto Franco	2000	26.4%	33.1%	21.1%
Brazil	Porto Franco	2017	46.3%	54.1%	38.2%
Brazil	Porto Grande	2000	51.8%	60.3%	43.4%
Brazil	Porto Grande	2017	72.1%	78.4%	65.0%
Brazil	Porto Lucena	2000	73.9%	79.1%	67.1%
Brazil	Porto Lucena	2017	87.2%	90.2%	83.1%
Brazil	Porto Mauá	2000	73.6%	79.6%	67.0%
Brazil	Porto Mauá	2017	87.0%	90.2%	83.1%
Brazil	Porto Murtinho	2000	30.1%	43.5%	19.4%
Brazil	Porto Murtinho	2017	50.5%	64.7%	36.7%
Brazil	Porto Nacional	2000	17.8%	22.4%	13.8%
Brazil	Porto Nacional	2017	34.3%	40.9%	28.1%
Brazil	Porto Real	2000	79.6%	82.8%	75.9%
Brazil	Porto Real	2017	90.4%	92.1%	88.4%
Brazil	Porto Real do Colégio	2000	48.1%	52.9%	43.6%
Brazil	Porto Real do Colégio	2017	68.9%	73.1%	64.8%
Brazil	Porto Rico	2000	52.0%	59.7%	44.8%
Brazil	Porto Rico	2017	72.3%	78.1%	66.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Porto Rico do Maranhão	2000	32.6%	42.0%	23.5%
Brazil	Porto Rico do Maranhão	2017	53.7%	64.0%	42.4%
Brazil	Porto Seguro	2000	42.6%	48.1%	37.2%
Brazil	Porto Seguro	2017	64.1%	69.0%	59.1%
Brazil	Porto União	2000	71.2%	76.3%	65.2%
Brazil	Porto União	2017	85.7%	88.7%	81.7%
Brazil	Porto Velho	2000	57.1%	60.1%	53.7%
Brazil	Porto Velho	2017	76.3%	78.3%	73.5%
Brazil	Porto Vera Cruz	2000	73.2%	79.0%	66.5%
Brazil	Porto Vera Cruz	2017	86.8%	90.0%	82.5%
Brazil	Porto Vitória	2000	68.3%	73.7%	61.9%
Brazil	Porto Vitória	2017	83.9%	87.0%	79.6%
Brazil	Porto Walter	2000	48.1%	56.2%	40.3%
Brazil	Porto Walter	2017	69.0%	75.4%	62.0%
Brazil	Porto Xavier	2000	75.3%	81.7%	68.3%
Brazil	Porto Xavier	2017	88.0%	91.5%	83.7%
Brazil	Posse	2000	46.8%	55.1%	38.4%
Brazil	Posse	2017	67.9%	74.8%	60.2%
Brazil	Poté	2000	68.0%	74.0%	62.2%
Brazil	Poté	2017	83.7%	87.3%	79.8%
Brazil	Potengi	2000	43.4%	49.1%	38.4%
Brazil	Potengi	2017	67.5%	72.4%	62.6%
Brazil	Potim	2000	82.7%	86.2%	79.0%
Brazil	Potim	2017	91.9%	93.7%	89.9%
Brazil	Potiraguá	2000	49.4%	56.1%	42.5%
Brazil	Potiraguá	2017	70.2%	75.6%	64.3%
Brazil	Potirendaba	2000	85.8%	88.9%	82.5%
Brazil	Potirendaba	2017	93.6%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Potiretama	2000	49.2%	56.3%	42.9%
Brazil	Potiretama	2017	70.0%	75.3%	64.7%
Brazil	Pouso Alegre	2000	64.7%	69.0%	59.8%
Brazil	Pouso Alegre	2017	81.6%	84.4%	78.6%
Brazil	Pouso Alto	2000	70.7%	74.9%	64.9%
Brazil	Pouso Alto	2017	85.3%	87.9%	81.7%
Brazil	Pouso Novo	2000	75.6%	80.3%	70.4%
Brazil	Pouso Novo	2017	88.2%	91.0%	84.9%
Brazil	Pouso Redondo	2000	79.5%	84.7%	74.9%
Brazil	Pouso Redondo	2017	90.4%	93.1%	87.8%
Brazil	Poxoréo	2000	48.7%	55.9%	42.4%
Brazil	Poxoréo	2017	70.4%	76.0%	64.8%
Brazil	Pracinha	2000	87.4%	90.4%	83.7%
Brazil	Pracinha	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Pracuúba	2000	51.4%	61.2%	40.0%
Brazil	Pracuúba	2017	71.6%	79.4%	61.4%
Brazil	Prado	2000	44.0%	51.7%	36.9%
Brazil	Prado	2017	65.4%	72.0%	58.5%
Brazil	Prado Ferreira	2000	66.5%	71.3%	61.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Prado Ferreira	2017	82.8%	85.7%	79.2%
Brazil	Pradópolis	2000	84.7%	87.8%	81.2%
Brazil	Pradópolis	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Prados	2000	63.4%	68.4%	58.0%
Brazil	Prados	2017	80.7%	84.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2000	76.1%	81.2%	70.8%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2000	88.1%	90.3%	85.6%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2017	88.5%	91.2%	85.1%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Praia Norte	2000	23.9%	28.5%	20.0%
Brazil	Praia Norte	2017	43.1%	49.1%	37.6%
Brazil	Prainha	2000	52.2%	60.1%	42.4%
Brazil	Prainha	2017	72.3%	78.4%	63.5%
Brazil	Pranchita	2000	67.6%	73.5%	60.9%
Brazil	Pranchita	2017	83.4%	87.1%	79.1%
Brazil	Prata	2000	41.9%	47.8%	35.7%
Brazil	Prata	2000	66.8%	74.1%	58.9%
Brazil	Prata	2017	63.5%	68.9%	57.8%
Brazil	Prata	2017	82.9%	87.3%	77.5%
Brazil	Prata do Piauí	2000	44.4%	54.3%	33.8%
Brazil	Prata do Piauí	2017	65.8%	74.5%	55.4%
Brazil	Pratânia	2000	89.9%	92.4%	86.6%
Brazil	Pratânia	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Pratópolis	2000	70.6%	76.0%	65.1%
Brazil	Pratópolis	2017	85.3%	88.6%	81.9%
Brazil	Pratinha	2000	69.5%	75.8%	61.2%
Brazil	Pratinha	2017	84.6%	88.5%	79.2%
Brazil	Presidente Alves	2000	86.5%	90.3%	82.7%
Brazil	Presidente Alves	2017	93.9%	95.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2000	84.5%	87.6%	80.8%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2000	63.2%	68.6%	58.3%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2017	80.6%	83.9%	77.0%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2000	77.2%	81.2%	72.2%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2000	63.0%	68.0%	57.9%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2017	89.1%	91.3%	86.4%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2017	80.4%	83.7%	76.7%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2000	32.3%	39.2%	25.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2000	45.3%	50.6%	40.7%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2017	55.7%	63.3%	48.2%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2017	66.7%	71.8%	61.9%
Brazil	Presidente Epitácio	2000	67.9%	74.5%	62.0%
Brazil	Presidente Epitácio	2017	83.6%	87.5%	79.6%
Brazil	Presidente Figueiredo	2000	54.3%	62.2%	45.4%
Brazil	Presidente Figueiredo	2017	73.9%	80.0%	66.6%
Brazil	Presidente Getúlio	2000	78.7%	83.0%	74.0%
Brazil	Presidente Getúlio	2017	89.9%	92.4%	87.3%
Brazil	Presidente Jânio Quadros	2000	47.7%	54.6%	40.7%
Brazil	Presidente Jânio Quadros	2017	68.8%	74.4%	62.4%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	61.1%	66.2%	55.5%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	64.3%	71.3%	57.2%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	32.8%	39.0%	26.6%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	81.3%	85.7%	76.4%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	54.0%	60.9%	46.5%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	79.1%	82.5%	75.1%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2000	19.2%	25.2%	14.4%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2000	63.0%	69.6%	57.0%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2017	36.5%	44.9%	28.6%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2017	80.4%	84.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Presidente Kubitschek	2000	71.3%	77.5%	64.5%
Brazil	Presidente Kubitschek	2017	85.7%	89.4%	81.2%
Brazil	Presidente Lucena	2000	73.8%	76.8%	70.9%
Brazil	Presidente Lucena	2017	87.2%	89.0%	85.6%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2000	36.2%	43.8%	28.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2000	52.9%	57.9%	47.0%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2017	73.0%	77.0%	68.6%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2017	57.9%	65.3%	49.2%
Brazil	Presidente Nereu	2000	79.8%	84.4%	75.2%
Brazil	Presidente Nereu	2017	90.5%	92.9%	87.9%
Brazil	Presidente Olegário	2000	66.2%	71.8%	58.6%
Brazil	Presidente Olegário	2017	82.5%	86.2%	77.6%
Brazil	Presidente Prudente	2000	86.4%	89.8%	82.7%
Brazil	Presidente Prudente	2017	93.9%	95.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Presidente Sarney	2000	32.8%	40.3%	27.0%
Brazil	Presidente Sarney	2017	54.0%	61.9%	46.7%
Brazil	Presidente Tancredo Neves	2000	46.0%	50.6%	40.7%
Brazil	Presidente Tancredo Neves	2017	67.3%	71.3%	62.5%
Brazil	Presidente Vargas	2000	32.8%	41.1%	26.9%
Brazil	Presidente Vargas	2017	54.0%	62.8%	47.2%
Brazil	Presidente Venceslau	2000	81.2%	85.3%	76.9%
Brazil	Presidente Venceslau	2017	91.2%	93.3%	88.9%
Brazil	Primavera	2000	51.8%	57.3%	46.1%
Brazil	Primavera	2000	43.2%	47.2%	38.9%
Brazil	Primavera	2017	72.2%	76.4%	67.2%
Brazil	Primavera	2017	64.8%	68.4%	60.6%
Brazil	Primavera de Rondônia	2000	54.3%	61.4%	47.2%
Brazil	Primavera de Rondônia	2017	74.1%	79.1%	68.2%
Brazil	Primavera do Leste	2000	52.6%	59.9%	45.5%
Brazil	Primavera do Leste	2017	72.8%	78.6%	66.9%
Brazil	Primeira Cruz	2000	31.9%	41.4%	22.5%
Brazil	Primeira Cruz	2017	52.9%	63.4%	41.6%
Brazil	Primeiro de Maio	2000	71.6%	77.2%	65.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Primeiro de Maio	2017	85.9%	89.1%	82.2%
Brazil	Princesa	2000	73.5%	79.1%	66.2%
Brazil	Princesa	2017	87.1%	90.3%	82.5%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2000	44.4%	49.8%	39.2%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2017	65.8%	70.6%	60.8%
Brazil	Professor Jamil	2000	40.3%	46.6%	34.1%
Brazil	Professor Jamil	2017	62.0%	68.3%	55.5%
Brazil	Progresso	2000	76.1%	80.4%	71.6%
Brazil	Progresso	2017	88.5%	91.0%	85.7%
Brazil	Promissão	2000	87.5%	90.5%	83.1%
Brazil	Promissão	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.2%
Brazil	Propriá	2000	54.0%	59.4%	49.2%
Brazil	Propriá	2017	74.0%	78.2%	70.0%
Brazil	Protásio Alves	2000	74.9%	78.7%	69.9%
Brazil	Protásio Alves	2017	87.8%	90.1%	85.0%
Brazil	Prudente de Moraes	2000	66.0%	70.2%	61.5%
Brazil	Prudente de Moraes	2017	82.4%	85.2%	79.5%
Brazil	Prudentópolis	2000	64.2%	70.8%	58.4%
Brazil	Prudentópolis	2017	81.2%	85.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Pugmil	2000	17.6%	22.6%	13.2%
Brazil	Pugmil	2017	34.0%	41.2%	26.6%
Brazil	Pureza	2000	61.8%	68.0%	55.5%
Brazil	Pureza	2017	79.6%	83.7%	75.0%
Brazil	Putinga	2000	75.7%	80.3%	70.1%
Brazil	Putinga	2017	88.2%	90.8%	84.9%
Brazil	Puxinanã	2000	48.7%	52.7%	44.9%
Brazil	Puxinanã	2017	69.7%	73.4%	66.3%
Brazil	Quadra	2000	85.1%	88.6%	81.3%
Brazil	Quadra	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Quaraí	2000	74.1%	81.1%	66.7%
Brazil	Quaraí	2017	87.3%	91.3%	82.7%
Brazil	Quartel Geral	2000	65.7%	72.9%	58.0%
Brazil	Quartel Geral	2017	82.2%	86.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Quarto Centenário	2000	65.5%	71.5%	59.1%
Brazil	Quarto Centenário	2017	82.1%	86.1%	77.7%
Brazil	Quatá	2000	86.5%	90.0%	82.3%
Brazil	Quatá	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Quatiguá	2000	69.1%	76.0%	63.8%
Brazil	Quatiguá	2017	84.4%	88.5%	81.1%
Brazil	Quatipuru	2000	50.4%	56.7%	44.8%
Brazil	Quatipuru	2017	71.0%	76.0%	65.9%
Brazil	Quatis	2000	79.6%	82.9%	76.1%
Brazil	Quatis	2017	90.4%	92.1%	88.3%
Brazil	Quatro Barras	2000	63.2%	66.7%	59.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Quatro Barras	2017	80.6%	83.0%	77.9%
Brazil	Quatro Pontes	2000	62.6%	68.1%	57.7%
Brazil	Quatro Pontes	2017	80.2%	83.7%	76.8%
Brazil	Quebrangulo	2000	32.5%	37.4%	28.1%
Brazil	Quebrangulo	2017	53.8%	59.3%	48.7%
Brazil	Quedas do Iguaçu	2000	64.4%	70.7%	58.1%
Brazil	Quedas do Iguaçu	2017	81.4%	85.4%	76.9%
Brazil	Queimada Nova	2000	43.3%	52.3%	34.7%
Brazil	Queimada Nova	2017	64.7%	72.7%	56.1%
Brazil	Queimadas	2000	45.5%	49.7%	41.1%
Brazil	Queimadas	2000	42.9%	48.4%	37.6%
Brazil	Queimadas	2017	67.1%	70.7%	62.4%
Brazil	Queimadas	2017	64.5%	69.2%	59.5%
Brazil	Queimados	2000	82.6%	84.2%	81.2%
Brazil	Queimados	2017	92.0%	92.8%	91.1%
Brazil	Queiroz	2000	86.9%	90.3%	82.2%
Brazil	Queiroz	2017	94.2%	95.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Queluz	2000	78.7%	83.1%	73.7%
Brazil	Queluz	2017	89.9%	92.3%	87.2%
Brazil	Queluzita	2000	64.6%	68.6%	59.7%
Brazil	Queluzita	2017	81.6%	84.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Querência	2000	49.3%	60.8%	38.1%
Brazil	Querência	2017	72.2%	80.4%	62.3%
Brazil	Querência do Norte	2000	55.1%	61.9%	48.2%
Brazil	Querência do Norte	2017	74.6%	79.7%	69.3%
Brazil	Quevedos	2000	74.6%	79.5%	69.0%
Brazil	Quevedos	2017	87.7%	90.4%	84.2%
Brazil	Quijingue	2000	43.1%	49.3%	37.9%
Brazil	Quijingue	2017	64.6%	70.3%	59.2%
Brazil	Quilombo	2000	75.3%	79.9%	69.8%
Brazil	Quilombo	2017	88.0%	90.6%	84.8%
Brazil	Quinta do Sol	2000	62.5%	68.7%	56.3%
Brazil	Quinta do Sol	2017	80.1%	84.2%	76.0%
Brazil	Quinze de Novembro	2000	73.9%	78.9%	68.6%
Brazil	Quinze de Novembro	2017	87.3%	90.1%	83.8%
Brazil	Quipapá	2000	36.1%	40.2%	32.1%
Brazil	Quipapá	2017	57.7%	61.7%	53.3%
Brazil	Quirinópolis	2000	46.2%	52.9%	39.7%
Brazil	Quirinópolis	2017	68.8%	74.3%	63.2%
Brazil	Quissamã	2000	83.2%	86.9%	78.2%
Brazil	Quissamã	2017	92.3%	94.2%	89.8%
Brazil	Quitana	2000	87.0%	90.2%	82.8%
Brazil	Quitana	2017	94.2%	95.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Quitandinha	2000	69.8%	74.7%	65.4%
Brazil	Quitandinha	2017	84.8%	87.9%	82.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Quiterianópolis	2000	45.3%	52.3%	38.8%
Brazil	Quiterianópolis	2017	66.6%	72.3%	60.1%
Brazil	Quixabá	2000	42.3%	47.3%	37.1%
Brazil	Quixabá	2000	46.4%	52.4%	40.5%
Brazil	Quixabá	2017	63.9%	68.6%	59.0%
Brazil	Quixabá	2017	67.6%	72.7%	62.3%
Brazil	Quixabeira	2000	44.8%	49.9%	40.0%
Brazil	Quixabeira	2017	66.2%	70.9%	61.6%
Brazil	Quixada	2000	46.0%	50.6%	41.4%
Brazil	Quixada	2017	67.2%	71.1%	62.8%
Brazil	Quixelô	2000	41.0%	46.0%	36.8%
Brazil	Quixelô	2017	62.6%	67.5%	58.3%
Brazil	Quixeramobim	2000	43.0%	48.3%	37.8%
Brazil	Quixeramobim	2017	64.5%	69.3%	59.4%
Brazil	Quixeré	2000	48.2%	53.4%	43.6%
Brazil	Quixeré	2017	69.2%	73.4%	65.1%
Brazil	Rafael Fernandes	2000	53.8%	59.2%	48.1%
Brazil	Rafael Fernandes	2017	73.8%	78.0%	69.4%
Brazil	Rafael Godeiro	2000	59.2%	64.9%	52.7%
Brazil	Rafael Godeiro	2017	77.8%	81.8%	73.1%
Brazil	Rafael Jambeiro	2000	44.3%	49.4%	38.6%
Brazil	Rafael Jambeiro	2017	65.7%	70.4%	60.3%
Brazil	Rafard	2000	87.9%	90.0%	85.7%
Brazil	Rafard	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Ramilândia	2000	63.9%	69.6%	58.7%
Brazil	Ramilândia	2017	81.0%	84.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Rancharia	2000	86.2%	89.5%	81.5%
Brazil	Rancharia	2017	93.8%	95.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre	2000	68.0%	73.8%	62.2%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre	2017	83.7%	87.2%	80.0%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre d'Oeste	2000	65.0%	70.5%	58.6%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre d'Oeste	2017	81.7%	85.4%	77.4%
Brazil	Rancho Queimado	2000	82.4%	86.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Rancho Queimado	2017	91.9%	94.0%	89.2%
Brazil	Raposa	2000	31.4%	37.3%	25.8%
Brazil	Raposa	2017	52.5%	59.1%	45.6%
Brazil	Raposos	2000	66.7%	70.1%	63.1%
Brazil	Raposos	2017	82.9%	85.1%	80.3%
Brazil	Raul Soares	2000	62.8%	69.4%	57.2%
Brazil	Raul Soares	2017	80.2%	84.6%	76.4%
Brazil	Realeza	2000	64.6%	69.7%	58.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Realeza	2017	81.5%	85.0%	77.3%
Brazil	Rebouças	2000	66.4%	72.1%	60.9%
Brazil	Rebouças	2017	82.7%	86.3%	78.8%
Brazil	Recife	2000	38.6%	39.8%	37.2%
Brazil	Recife	2017	60.4%	62.0%	59.0%
Brazil	Recreio	2000	72.1%	76.9%	66.9%
Brazil	Recreio	2017	86.2%	89.0%	83.1%
Brazil	Recursolândia	2000	22.2%	31.4%	15.1%
Brazil	Recursolândia	2017	40.5%	52.4%	30.2%
Brazil	Redenção	2000	44.4%	49.2%	40.5%
Brazil	Redenção	2000	55.2%	61.9%	48.2%
Brazil	Redenção	2017	74.8%	79.9%	69.6%
Brazil	Redenção	2017	67.0%	71.2%	63.1%
Brazil	Redenção da Serra	2000	89.6%	91.6%	86.6%
Brazil	Redenção da Serra	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Redenção do Gurguéia	2000	44.3%	54.6%	32.4%
Brazil	Redenção do Gurguéia	2017	65.6%	74.6%	54.2%
Brazil	Redentora	2000	76.0%	80.2%	71.3%
Brazil	Redentora	2017	88.4%	90.7%	85.8%
Brazil	Reduto	2000	63.0%	67.5%	58.1%
Brazil	Reduto	2017	81.2%	84.1%	77.7%
Brazil	Regeneração	2000	44.5%	53.7%	35.6%
Brazil	Regeneração	2017	65.8%	73.4%	57.5%
Brazil	Regente Feijó	2000	86.7%	89.8%	82.8%
Brazil	Regente Feijó	2017	94.0%	95.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Reginópolis	2000	86.4%	90.2%	81.6%
Brazil	Reginópolis	2017	94.5%	96.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Registro	2000	84.9%	88.7%	80.3%
Brazil	Registro	2017	93.1%	95.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Relvado	2000	75.6%	79.9%	70.5%
Brazil	Relvado	2017	88.2%	90.6%	85.2%
Brazil	Remanso	2000	44.8%	51.1%	38.5%
Brazil	Remanso	2017	66.1%	72.2%	60.1%
Brazil	Remígio	2000	48.9%	54.3%	44.1%
Brazil	Remígio	2017	69.9%	74.3%	65.6%
Brazil	Renascença	2000	67.6%	72.8%	62.1%
Brazil	Renascença	2017	83.5%	86.9%	79.9%
Brazil	Reriutaba	2000	40.9%	45.2%	36.6%
Brazil	Reriutaba	2017	62.7%	67.0%	58.4%
Brazil	Resende	2000	80.7%	84.9%	76.7%
Brazil	Resende	2017	91.0%	93.1%	88.9%
Brazil	Resende Costa	2000	69.3%	74.5%	63.4%
Brazil	Resende Costa	2017	84.5%	87.7%	80.7%
Brazil	Reserva	2000	66.3%	72.6%	59.4%
Brazil	Reserva	2017	82.6%	86.5%	78.3%
Brazil	Reserva do Cabaçal	2000	50.3%	58.5%	40.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Reserva do Cabaçal	2017	70.7%	77.4%	62.2%
Brazil	Reserva do Iguaçu	2000	64.8%	71.1%	57.9%
Brazil	Reserva do Iguaçu	2017	81.6%	85.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Resplendor	2000	61.2%	67.3%	55.3%
Brazil	Resplendor	2017	79.2%	83.5%	74.9%
Brazil	Ressaquinha	2000	66.8%	71.8%	61.2%
Brazil	Ressaquinha	2017	82.9%	86.0%	79.4%
Brazil	Restinga	2000	83.0%	85.9%	79.5%
Brazil	Restinga	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.5%
Brazil	Restinga Seca	2000	74.5%	79.0%	69.5%
Brazil	Restinga Seca	2017	87.6%	90.1%	84.5%
Brazil	Retirolândia	2000	45.0%	50.3%	40.0%
Brazil	Retirolândia	2017	66.4%	70.7%	61.4%
Brazil	Riachão	2000	29.5%	39.5%	21.5%
Brazil	Riachão	2017	50.1%	61.1%	39.9%
Brazil	Riachão das Neves	2000	43.4%	50.0%	35.4%
Brazil	Riachão das Neves	2017	64.8%	70.7%	57.0%
Brazil	Riachão do Bacamarte	2000	55.5%	59.8%	49.9%
Brazil	Riachão do Bacamarte	2017	75.1%	78.6%	71.0%
Brazil	Riachão do dantas	2000	63.4%	67.6%	59.8%
Brazil	Riachão do dantas	2017	80.7%	83.5%	78.0%
Brazil	Riachão do Jacuipe	2000	44.1%	49.9%	38.7%
Brazil	Riachão do Jacuipe	2017	65.6%	70.8%	60.3%
Brazil	Riachão do Poço	2000	44.1%	49.7%	38.9%
Brazil	Riachão do Poço	2017	65.6%	70.7%	60.4%
Brazil	Riachinho	2000	22.6%	28.1%	17.8%
Brazil	Riachinho	2000	67.2%	75.6%	59.2%
Brazil	Riachinho	2017	41.3%	49.1%	34.4%
Brazil	Riachinho	2017	84.0%	88.7%	78.7%
Brazil	Riacho	2000	44.1%	48.7%	39.7%
Brazil	Riacho	2017	65.6%	69.9%	61.3%
Brazil	Riacho da Cruz	2000	55.2%	62.0%	48.9%
Brazil	Riacho da Cruz	2017	74.8%	79.8%	69.7%
Brazil	Riacho das Almas	2000	36.6%	39.8%	32.9%
Brazil	Riacho das Almas	2017	58.2%	61.7%	54.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2000	53.9%	59.1%	48.7%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2000	45.3%	51.5%	39.4%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2017	66.6%	72.4%	60.8%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2017	73.8%	77.7%	69.7%
Brazil	Riacho de Santo Antônio	2000	46.4%	50.9%	41.8%
Brazil	Riacho de Santo Antônio	2017	67.7%	71.6%	63.3%
Brazil	Riacho dos Machados	2000	68.8%	75.8%	61.4%
Brazil	Riacho dos Machados	2017	84.1%	88.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Riacho Frio	2000	44.9%	55.9%	34.8%
Brazil	Riacho Frio	2017	66.1%	75.4%	56.1%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2000	61.4%	67.6%	55.7%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2000	63.1%	67.0%	59.0%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2017	79.3%	83.4%	75.2%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2017	80.5%	83.2%	77.5%
Brazil	Rialma	2000	43.0%	48.2%	37.6%
Brazil	Rialma	2017	64.6%	69.3%	59.3%
Brazil	Rianópolis	2000	43.1%	48.2%	37.7%
Brazil	Rianópolis	2017	64.7%	69.3%	59.5%
Brazil	Ribamar Fiquene	2000	25.1%	31.3%	18.9%
Brazil	Ribamar Fiquene	2017	44.5%	52.2%	36.1%
Brazil	Ribas do Rio Pardo	2000	26.4%	34.4%	19.5%
Brazil	Ribas do Rio Pardo	2017	46.1%	55.5%	37.0%
Brazil	Ribeira	2000	71.2%	77.8%	62.6%
Brazil	Ribeira	2017	85.6%	89.5%	80.3%
Brazil	Ribeira do Amparo	2000	47.8%	52.7%	41.5%
Brazil	Ribeira do Amparo	2017	68.8%	73.0%	63.4%
Brazil	Ribeira do Piauí	2000	44.2%	54.2%	34.0%
Brazil	Ribeira do Piauí	2017	65.5%	74.1%	55.6%
Brazil	Ribeira do Pombal	2000	46.1%	50.7%	41.3%
Brazil	Ribeira do Pombal	2017	67.4%	71.5%	62.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão	2000	38.4%	42.4%	34.5%
Brazil	Ribeirão	2017	60.1%	64.0%	56.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2000	86.5%	89.2%	83.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão Branco	2000	85.3%	89.2%	80.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão Branco	2017	93.3%	95.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão Cascalheira	2000	49.1%	61.2%	37.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão Cascalheira	2017	69.4%	78.7%	58.9%
Brazil	Ribeirão Claro	2000	76.9%	81.5%	72.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão Claro	2017	88.9%	91.3%	86.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão Corrente	2000	82.7%	86.3%	79.0%
Brazil	Ribeirão Corrente	2017	92.1%	93.8%	90.0%
Brazil	Ribeirão das Neves	2000	66.7%	68.8%	64.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão das Neves	2017	83.1%	84.4%	81.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Largo	2000	45.3%	50.9%	39.4%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Largo	2017	65.7%	70.6%	59.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Pinhal	2000	66.1%	70.9%	60.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Pinhal	2017	82.5%	85.8%	78.9%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Sul	2000	82.2%	86.2%	78.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Sul	2017	91.8%	93.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Ribeirão dos índios	2000	83.9%	87.7%	79.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão dos índios	2017	92.6%	94.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Ribeirão Grande	2000	87.8%	91.0%	83.9%
Brazil	Ribeirão Grande	2017	94.5%	96.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão Pires	2000	88.5%	89.7%	87.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão Pires	2017	95.1%	95.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Ribeirão Preto	2000	91.1%	92.6%	89.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão Preto	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Ribeirão Vermelho	2000	62.7%	68.2%	56.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão Vermelho	2017	80.2%	83.8%	75.9%
Brazil	Ribeirãozinho	2000	46.8%	56.7%	37.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ribeirãozinho	2017	67.8%	76.1%	58.4%
Brazil	Ribeiro Gonçalves	2000	38.1%	47.9%	28.3%
Brazil	Ribeiro Gonçalves	2017	59.5%	69.0%	48.0%
Brazil	Ribeirópolis	2000	63.5%	68.4%	58.8%
Brazil	Ribeirópolis	2017	80.8%	83.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Ricaho dos Cavalos	2000	52.9%	58.5%	47.5%
Brazil	Ricaho dos Cavalos	2017	73.0%	77.3%	68.3%
Brazil	Rifaina	2000	72.0%	78.6%	63.8%
Brazil	Rifaina	2017	86.1%	89.9%	81.2%
Brazil	Rincão	2000	86.1%	89.1%	82.5%
Brazil	Rincão	2017	93.7%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Rinópolis	2000	89.0%	91.9%	85.8%
Brazil	Rinópolis	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Rio Acima	2000	64.1%	68.1%	59.3%
Brazil	Rio Acima	2017	81.2%	83.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Rio Azul	2000	65.0%	71.1%	59.4%
Brazil	Rio Azul	2017	81.8%	85.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Rio Bananal	2000	57.9%	63.9%	51.9%
Brazil	Rio Bananal	2017	76.9%	81.1%	72.2%
Brazil	Rio Bom	2000	63.8%	68.7%	58.3%
Brazil	Rio Bom	2017	81.0%	84.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Rio Bonito	2000	83.3%	86.3%	80.3%
Brazil	Rio Bonito	2017	92.3%	93.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Rio Bonito do Iguaçu	2000	64.0%	69.5%	57.8%
Brazil	Rio Bonito do Iguaçu	2017	81.1%	84.7%	76.8%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2000	48.1%	56.0%	39.6%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2000	46.2%	48.7%	43.0%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2017	67.5%	70.0%	64.7%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2017	69.0%	75.5%	61.3%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Ivaí	2000	65.0%	72.4%	57.8%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Ivaí	2017	81.7%	86.6%	77.0%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Sul	2000	63.9%	69.4%	58.4%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Sul	2017	81.0%	84.3%	77.4%
Brazil	Rio Brilhante	2000	28.4%	34.7%	23.2%
Brazil	Rio Brilhante	2017	48.9%	57.1%	41.9%
Brazil	Rio Casca	2000	61.4%	67.9%	54.3%
Brazil	Rio Casca	2017	79.3%	83.5%	74.7%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2000	86.8%	89.1%	83.9%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2000	88.9%	91.1%	86.8%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2017	94.1%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2017	95.1%	96.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Rio Crespo	2000	55.4%	61.5%	48.8%
Brazil	Rio Crespo	2017	75.0%	79.7%	69.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rio da Conceição	2000	21.2%	28.2%	14.8%
Brazil	Rio da Conceição	2017	39.2%	49.0%	29.4%
Brazil	Rio das Antas	2000	76.9%	81.5%	72.2%
Brazil	Rio das Antas	2017	89.0%	91.4%	86.4%
Brazil	Rio das Flores	2000	77.8%	82.0%	73.2%
Brazil	Rio das Flores	2017	89.4%	91.7%	86.9%
Brazil	Rio das Ostras	2000	85.0%	87.5%	82.1%
Brazil	Rio das Ostras	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Rio das Pedras	2000	87.9%	90.1%	85.2%
Brazil	Rio das Pedras	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Rio de Contas	2000	44.9%	51.2%	38.9%
Brazil	Rio de Contas	2017	66.2%	71.6%	60.5%
Brazil	Rio de Janeiro	2000	83.9%	84.6%	83.1%
Brazil	Rio de Janeiro	2017	92.7%	93.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Rio do Antônio	2000	43.1%	48.4%	38.0%
Brazil	Rio do Antônio	2017	64.6%	69.9%	59.5%
Brazil	Rio do Campo	2000	79.2%	84.3%	73.1%
Brazil	Rio do Campo	2017	90.2%	92.8%	86.6%
Brazil	Rio do Fogo	2000	59.3%	66.9%	51.2%
Brazil	Rio do Fogo	2017	77.8%	83.0%	71.8%
Brazil	Rio do Oeste	2000	78.5%	83.2%	73.4%
Brazil	Rio do Oeste	2017	89.8%	92.4%	86.8%
Brazil	Rio do Pires	2000	44.9%	51.8%	39.0%
Brazil	Rio do Pires	2017	66.1%	72.6%	60.3%
Brazil	Rio do Prado	2000	61.5%	68.8%	53.8%
Brazil	Rio do Prado	2017	79.4%	84.0%	74.0%
Brazil	Rio do Sul	2000	80.8%	84.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Rio do Sul	2017	91.1%	93.2%	88.7%
Brazil	Rio doce	2000	61.0%	66.6%	54.8%
Brazil	Rio doce	2017	79.1%	82.6%	74.9%
Brazil	Rio dos Bois	2000	18.4%	23.4%	13.7%
Brazil	Rio dos Bois	2017	35.2%	43.2%	27.4%
Brazil	Rio dos Cedros	2000	78.6%	82.1%	75.1%
Brazil	Rio dos Cedros	2017	89.9%	91.7%	87.8%
Brazil	Rio dos índios	2000	77.0%	81.4%	72.9%
Brazil	Rio dos índios	2017	89.0%	91.5%	86.5%
Brazil	Rio Espera	2000	64.4%	69.9%	59.3%
Brazil	Rio Espera	2017	81.4%	84.9%	77.8%
Brazil	Rio Formoso	2000	37.6%	42.3%	34.0%
Brazil	Rio Formoso	2017	59.3%	63.6%	55.2%
Brazil	Rio Fortuna	2000	77.5%	82.1%	71.1%
Brazil	Rio Fortuna	2017	89.3%	91.8%	85.5%
Brazil	Rio Grande	2000	74.9%	78.5%	70.3%
Brazil	Rio Grande	2017	87.8%	90.0%	84.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rio Grande da Serra	2000	90.1%	91.3%	88.9%
Brazil	Rio Grande da Serra	2017	95.8%	96.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Rio Grande do Piauí	2000	46.2%	57.6%	34.9%
Brazil	Rio Grande do Piauí	2017	67.3%	76.5%	56.5%
Brazil	Rio Largo	2000	36.6%	40.2%	33.3%
Brazil	Rio Largo	2017	58.2%	61.9%	54.5%
Brazil	Rio Manso	2000	66.1%	70.9%	60.8%
Brazil	Rio Manso	2017	82.5%	85.5%	79.0%
Brazil	Rio Maria	2000	51.4%	59.4%	43.9%
Brazil	Rio Maria	2017	71.7%	77.8%	65.8%
Brazil	Rio Negrinho	2000	79.1%	82.8%	75.0%
Brazil	Rio Negrinho	2017	90.1%	92.1%	87.6%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2000	75.0%	79.0%	70.6%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2000	27.5%	36.0%	19.1%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2017	87.9%	90.1%	85.1%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2017	47.7%	57.7%	36.2%
Brazil	Rio Novo	2000	62.0%	67.7%	56.6%
Brazil	Rio Novo	2017	79.8%	83.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Rio Novo do Sul	2000	57.2%	61.5%	52.6%
Brazil	Rio Novo do Sul	2017	76.4%	79.7%	72.6%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2000	67.2%	73.5%	59.2%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2017	83.2%	87.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2000	67.1%	73.3%	60.5%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2017	83.2%	87.1%	78.9%
Brazil	Rio Pardo	2000	75.8%	79.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Rio Pardo	2017	88.4%	90.7%	85.8%
Brazil	Rio Pardo de Minas	2000	65.0%	69.4%	59.6%
Brazil	Rio Pardo de Minas	2017	81.8%	84.7%	78.2%
Brazil	Rio Pomba	2000	61.8%	66.5%	56.8%
Brazil	Rio Pomba	2017	79.6%	82.9%	76.1%
Brazil	Rio Preto	2000	75.1%	79.8%	68.9%
Brazil	Rio Preto	2017	88.0%	90.7%	84.2%
Brazil	Rio Preto da Eva	2000	47.6%	55.6%	40.4%
Brazil	Rio Preto da Eva	2017	68.6%	75.4%	62.4%
Brazil	Rio Quente	2000	47.2%	53.6%	41.0%
Brazil	Rio Quente	2017	68.5%	73.8%	62.7%
Brazil	Rio Real	2000	53.0%	58.2%	48.5%
Brazil	Rio Real	2017	74.9%	78.6%	71.1%
Brazil	Rio Rufino	2000	82.0%	87.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Rio Rufino	2017	91.6%	94.3%	88.2%
Brazil	Rio Sono	2000	19.6%	26.6%	14.5%
Brazil	Rio Sono	2017	36.9%	46.7%	29.0%
Brazil	Rio Tinto	2000	54.7%	59.0%	49.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rio Tinto	2017	74.6%	78.0%	70.9%
Brazil	Rio Verde	2000	44.9%	49.4%	40.3%
Brazil	Rio Verde	2017	66.3%	70.5%	61.8%
Brazil	Rio Verde de Mato Grosso	2000	30.1%	38.4%	23.2%
Brazil	Rio Verde de Mato Grosso	2017	50.8%	59.6%	42.3%
Brazil	Rio Vermelho	2000	66.2%	72.4%	59.2%
Brazil	Rio Vermelho	2017	82.6%	86.4%	78.1%
Brazil	Riolândia	2000	77.6%	83.8%	70.2%
Brazil	Riolândia	2017	89.3%	92.7%	85.3%
Brazil	Riozinho	2000	75.9%	80.1%	71.1%
Brazil	Riozinho	2017	88.4%	90.7%	85.6%
Brazil	Riqueza	2000	76.3%	80.5%	70.7%
Brazil	Riqueza	2017	88.6%	91.0%	85.4%
Brazil	Ritópolis	2000	65.2%	70.9%	58.8%
Brazil	Ritópolis	2017	81.9%	85.4%	77.3%
Brazil	Riversul	2000	76.3%	81.5%	69.7%
Brazil	Riversul	2017	87.9%	90.8%	83.9%
Brazil	Roca Sales	2000	73.3%	76.9%	69.1%
Brazil	Roca Sales	2017	87.1%	89.3%	84.5%
Brazil	Rochedo	2000	26.5%	33.3%	20.3%
Brazil	Rochedo	2017	46.4%	54.7%	37.7%
Brazil	Rochedo de Minas	2000	65.7%	71.2%	60.2%
Brazil	Rochedo de Minas	2017	82.2%	85.7%	78.9%
Brazil	Rodeio	2000	78.8%	81.7%	74.9%
Brazil	Rodeio	2017	90.0%	91.7%	87.9%
Brazil	Rodeio Bonito	2000	74.8%	79.2%	70.3%
Brazil	Rodeio Bonito	2017	87.8%	90.1%	85.1%
Brazil	Rodeiro	2000	64.0%	68.1%	59.1%
Brazil	Rodeiro	2017	81.1%	83.9%	77.9%
Brazil	Rodelas	2000	42.4%	49.2%	35.0%
Brazil	Rodelas	2017	63.9%	70.2%	56.1%
Brazil	Rodolfo	2000	52.4%	59.9%	46.3%
Brazil	Rodolfo Fernandes	2017	72.7%	78.2%	67.9%
Brazil	Rodolfo Fernandes	2000	47.4%	53.3%	41.8%
Brazil	Rodrigues Alves	2017	68.5%	73.5%	63.3%
Brazil	Rolândia	2000	66.6%	69.8%	63.1%
Brazil	Rolândia	2017	83.1%	85.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Rolante	2000	74.0%	78.2%	70.0%
Brazil	Rolante	2017	87.3%	89.7%	84.8%
Brazil	Rolim de Moura	2000	56.5%	61.7%	50.9%
Brazil	Rolim de Moura	2017	75.8%	79.7%	71.5%
Brazil	Romaria	2000	66.1%	72.7%	59.4%
Brazil	Romaria	2017	82.5%	86.2%	77.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Romelândia	2000	75.7%	79.9%	70.6%
Brazil	Romelândia	2017	88.3%	90.7%	85.3%
Brazil	Roncador	2000	65.6%	71.1%	59.2%
Brazil	Roncador	2017	82.2%	85.8%	77.7%
Brazil	Ronda Alta	2000	75.5%	80.3%	70.9%
Brazil	Ronda Alta	2017	88.2%	90.8%	85.3%
Brazil	Rondinha	2000	74.6%	78.8%	69.9%
Brazil	Rondinha	2017	87.6%	90.0%	84.9%
Brazil	Rondon	2000	65.6%	71.1%	59.0%
Brazil	Rondon	2017	82.2%	85.5%	77.7%
Brazil	Rondon do Pará	2000	45.4%	52.7%	38.2%
Brazil	Rondon do Pará	2017	66.6%	72.7%	60.0%
Brazil	Rondonópolis	2000	48.1%	52.3%	43.5%
Brazil	Rondonópolis	2017	69.1%	72.7%	65.3%
Brazil	Roque Gonzales	2000	74.5%	79.2%	68.6%
Brazil	Roque Gonzales	2017	87.6%	90.2%	84.0%
Brazil	Rorainópolis	2000	73.8%	80.0%	65.9%
Brazil	Rorainópolis	2017	87.8%	91.0%	83.3%
Brazil	Rosana	2000	53.7%	61.1%	46.6%
Brazil	Rosana	2017	73.6%	79.5%	67.8%
Brazil	Rosário	2000	31.5%	37.2%	26.0%
Brazil	Rosário	2017	52.6%	58.9%	45.9%
Brazil	Rosário da Limeira	2000	69.7%	74.0%	64.4%
Brazil	Rosário da Limeira	2017	84.7%	87.3%	81.1%
Brazil	Rosário do Catete	2000	61.0%	65.0%	56.5%
Brazil	Rosário do Catete	2017	79.1%	81.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Rosário do Ivaí	2000	64.1%	71.5%	57.2%
Brazil	Rosário do Ivaí	2017	81.2%	85.9%	76.1%
Brazil	Rosário do Sul	2000	78.6%	83.3%	73.0%
Brazil	Rosário do Sul	2017	89.9%	92.4%	86.8%
Brazil	Rosário Oeste	2000	49.6%	56.5%	41.8%
Brazil	Rosário Oeste	2017	70.3%	76.2%	63.5%
Brazil	Roseira	2000	82.9%	86.3%	79.1%
Brazil	Roseira	2017	92.1%	93.8%	90.2%
Brazil	Roteiro	2000	31.2%	36.2%	26.3%
Brazil	Roteiro	2017	50.4%	56.3%	43.8%
Brazil	Rubelita	2000	64.4%	71.5%	57.5%
Brazil	Rubelita	2017	81.3%	85.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Rubiácea	2000	87.2%	90.6%	83.6%
Brazil	Rubiácea	2017	94.3%	95.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Rubiataba	2000	48.6%	54.8%	42.5%
Brazil	Rubiataba	2017	69.5%	74.5%	64.0%
Brazil	Rubim	2000	58.1%	66.2%	49.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rubim	2017	76.9%	82.5%	69.9%
Brazil	Rubinéia	2000	65.0%	72.1%	57.9%
Brazil	Rubinéia	2017	81.9%	86.4%	77.4%
Brazil	Rurópolis	2000	55.0%	62.1%	47.4%
Brazil	Rurópolis	2017	74.6%	79.8%	68.4%
Brazil	Russas	2000	47.9%	52.8%	43.5%
Brazil	Russas	2017	68.9%	73.2%	64.9%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2000	63.2%	69.0%	57.0%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2000	46.7%	52.6%	40.2%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2017	80.6%	84.3%	76.4%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2017	67.9%	72.8%	61.8%
Brazil	Sabará	2000	65.7%	67.7%	63.7%
Brazil	Sabará	2017	82.3%	83.8%	80.6%
Brazil	Sabáudia	2000	62.6%	67.1%	58.0%
Brazil	Sabáudia	2017	80.2%	83.1%	76.9%
Brazil	Sabino	2000	86.4%	89.7%	82.3%
Brazil	Sabino	2017	93.9%	95.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Sabinópolis	2000	68.2%	73.8%	62.4%
Brazil	Sabinópolis	2017	83.8%	87.3%	80.0%
Brazil	Saboeiro	2000	42.2%	47.9%	36.6%
Brazil	Saboeiro	2017	63.8%	69.1%	58.1%
Brazil	Sacramento	2000	72.5%	78.5%	65.8%
Brazil	Sacramento	2017	86.4%	89.8%	82.4%
Brazil	Sagrada Família	2000	75.0%	79.4%	70.9%
Brazil	Sagrada Família	2017	87.9%	90.3%	85.5%
Brazil	Sagres	2000	87.6%	90.6%	83.8%
Brazil	Sagres	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Sairé	2000	39.2%	42.8%	35.6%
Brazil	Sairé	2017	60.7%	64.2%	57.1%
Brazil	Saldanha Maranhão	2000	73.9%	78.6%	68.5%
Brazil	Saldanha Maranhão	2017	87.3%	90.0%	84.0%
Brazil	Sales	2000	86.4%	90.1%	82.5%
Brazil	Sales	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Sales Oliveira	2000	84.5%	88.0%	81.2%
Brazil	Sales Oliveira	2017	92.9%	94.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Salesópolis	2000	89.4%	91.6%	87.1%
Brazil	Salesópolis	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Saete	2000	79.2%	84.5%	73.6%
Brazil	Saete	2017	90.2%	93.0%	87.0%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2000	49.6%	56.2%	43.7%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2000	36.3%	40.0%	32.7%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2017	70.4%	76.0%	65.2%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2017	57.9%	61.9%	53.8%
Brazil	Salgado	2000	66.8%	71.3%	62.1%
Brazil	Salgado	2017	82.9%	85.9%	79.8%
Brazil	Salgado de São Félix	2000	40.2%	44.7%	36.0%
Brazil	Salgado de São Félix	2017	61.9%	66.4%	57.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Salgado Filho	2000	68.5%	74.9%	62.4%
Brazil	Salgado Filho	2017	84.0%	87.7%	79.9%
Brazil	Salgueiro	2000	39.3%	44.9%	34.6%
Brazil	Salgueiro	2017	61.0%	66.3%	56.1%
Brazil	Salidao	2000	40.6%	46.2%	35.2%
Brazil	Salidao	2017	63.3%	68.8%	58.4%
Brazil	Salinas	2000	64.4%	71.6%	56.7%
Brazil	Salinas	2017	81.7%	86.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Salinas da Margarida	2000	43.3%	48.2%	38.4%
Brazil	Salinas da Margarida	2017	64.8%	69.3%	60.4%
Brazil	Salinópolis	2000	51.5%	58.7%	44.2%
Brazil	Salinópolis	2017	71.9%	77.5%	65.6%
Brazil	Salitre	2000	43.1%	48.2%	37.6%
Brazil	Salitre	2017	64.6%	69.4%	59.0%
Brazil	Salmourão	2000	87.3%	91.0%	83.7%
Brazil	Salmourão	2017	94.3%	96.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Saloá	2000	39.2%	44.3%	34.6%
Brazil	Saloá	2017	60.9%	65.4%	56.3%
Brazil	Saltinho	2000	86.0%	88.5%	82.9%
Brazil	Saltinho	2000	76.1%	80.8%	70.7%
Brazil	Saltinho	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Saltinho	2017	88.5%	91.0%	85.3%
Brazil	Salto	2000	86.9%	88.8%	84.6%
Brazil	Salto	2017	94.1%	95.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Salto da Divisa	2000	54.8%	62.8%	46.3%
Brazil	Salto da Divisa	2017	74.5%	80.6%	67.4%
Brazil	Salto do Céu	2000	49.8%	57.6%	41.1%
Brazil	Salto do Céu	2017	70.4%	76.8%	63.0%
Brazil	Salto do Itararé	2000	73.2%	78.6%	67.7%
Brazil	Salto do Itararé	2017	86.8%	89.8%	83.4%
Brazil	Salto do Jacuí	2000	76.0%	80.8%	70.7%
Brazil	Salto do Jacuí	2017	88.4%	91.2%	85.3%
Brazil	Salto do Londera	2000	64.8%	69.9%	59.9%
Brazil	Salto do Londera	2017	81.6%	85.1%	78.0%
Brazil	Salto do Pirapora	2000	86.0%	89.3%	82.9%
Brazil	Salto do Pirapora	2017	93.7%	95.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Salto Grande	2000	82.2%	85.9%	78.3%
Brazil	Salto Grande	2017	91.8%	93.7%	89.6%
Brazil	Salto Veloso	2000	78.2%	82.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Salto Veloso	2017	89.6%	92.1%	86.7%
Brazil	Salvador	2000	46.7%	48.6%	45.1%
Brazil	Salvador	2017	68.0%	69.6%	66.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Salvador das Missões	2000	74.5%	79.2%	69.8%
Brazil	Salvador das Missões	2017	87.6%	90.0%	84.7%
Brazil	Salvador do Sul	2000	77.1%	80.1%	73.4%
Brazil	Salvador do Sul	2017	89.1%	90.6%	87.1%
Brazil	Salvaterra	2000	55.0%	61.6%	48.3%
Brazil	Salvaterra	2017	74.4%	79.2%	69.0%
Brazil	Sambaíba	2000	36.2%	47.7%	25.8%
Brazil	Sambaíba	2017	57.6%	68.6%	45.4%
Brazil	Sampaio	2000	25.1%	30.3%	20.6%
Brazil	Sampaio	2017	44.9%	51.7%	38.6%
Brazil	San Antonio do Itambe	2000	66.0%	73.1%	58.3%
Brazil	San Antonio do Itambe	2017	82.4%	86.9%	76.6%
Brazil	San Antonio do Rio Abai	2000	63.6%	70.8%	55.4%
Brazil	San Antonio do Rio Abai	2017	80.8%	85.4%	75.3%
Brazil	Sananduva	2000	75.3%	80.2%	70.3%
Brazil	Sananduva	2017	88.0%	90.7%	85.3%
Brazil	Sanclerlândia	2000	42.5%	48.8%	36.0%
Brazil	Sanclerlândia	2017	64.1%	69.5%	57.3%
Brazil	Sandolândia	2000	26.7%	35.1%	19.3%
Brazil	Sandolândia	2017	46.6%	57.1%	36.8%
Brazil	Sandovalina	2000	76.5%	81.4%	70.7%
Brazil	Sandovalina	2017	88.7%	91.5%	85.4%
Brazil	Sangão	2000	77.3%	81.4%	72.7%
Brazil	Sangão	2017	89.2%	91.4%	86.5%
Brazil	Sanharó	2000	38.8%	42.8%	34.8%
Brazil	Sanharó	2017	60.5%	64.4%	56.2%
Brazil	Santa Adélia	2000	86.3%	89.8%	82.7%
Brazil	Santa Adélia	2017	93.8%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Santa Albertina	2000	69.8%	76.7%	63.1%
Brazil	Santa Albertina	2017	84.8%	88.9%	80.3%
Brazil	Santa Amélia	2000	66.0%	70.9%	61.6%
Brazil	Santa Amélia	2017	82.4%	85.5%	79.4%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	68.3%	72.4%	62.9%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	45.2%	50.0%	39.7%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2017	83.9%	86.6%	80.3%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2017	66.6%	71.3%	61.4%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	87.8%	89.6%	85.6%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara d'Oeste	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara de Goiás	2000	41.2%	47.1%	36.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Bárbara de Goiás	2017	62.9%	68.5%	57.5%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Leste	2000	63.9%	68.6%	58.7%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Leste	2017	81.1%	84.2%	77.5%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Monte Verde	2000	67.2%	70.7%	63.2%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Monte Verde	2017	83.3%	85.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Pará	2000	50.2%	53.9%	46.8%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Pará	2017	70.9%	74.1%	67.6%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Sul	2000	74.6%	79.9%	69.2%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Sul	2017	87.7%	90.6%	84.5%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Tugúrio	2000	73.4%	78.4%	67.9%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Tugúrio	2017	87.0%	90.0%	83.8%
Brazil	Santa Branca	2000	87.1%	89.6%	85.0%
Brazil	Santa Branca	2017	94.2%	95.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Santa Brígida	2000	45.1%	51.7%	38.9%
Brazil	Santa Brígida	2017	66.5%	71.9%	60.4%
Brazil	Santa Carmem	2000	48.9%	57.3%	40.8%
Brazil	Santa Carmem	2017	69.7%	76.4%	62.8%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2000	40.0%	44.8%	35.3%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2000	82.6%	87.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2017	61.8%	66.4%	57.0%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2017	92.0%	94.2%	88.5%
Brazil	Santa Cecília do Pavão	2000	64.8%	70.1%	59.5%
Brazil	Santa Cecília do Pavão	2017	81.6%	85.1%	78.1%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Oeste	2000	63.5%	70.7%	56.6%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Oeste	2017	80.8%	85.7%	76.1%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Sul	2000	76.1%	79.2%	72.6%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Sul	2017	88.5%	90.3%	86.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2000	39.9%	45.9%	33.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2000	60.5%	65.4%	55.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2017	61.5%	67.3%	55.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2017	76.7%	80.5%	72.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Cruz Cabralia	2000	43.4%	49.3%	36.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz Cabralia	2017	64.9%	70.2%	58.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Baixa Verde	2000	46.4%	52.6%	41.1%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Baixa Verde	2017	67.6%	72.5%	62.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Conceição	2000	87.1%	89.7%	84.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Conceição	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Esperança	2000	82.1%	86.2%	77.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Esperança	2017	91.7%	93.8%	89.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Vitória	2000	50.4%	57.3%	43.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Vitória	2017	71.0%	76.3%	65.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz das Palmeiras	2000	84.7%	87.8%	81.1%
Brazil	Santa Cruz das Palmeiras	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Goiás	2000	45.4%	52.5%	38.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Goiás	2017	66.7%	72.9%	60.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Minas	2000	68.0%	73.2%	62.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Minas	2017	83.7%	86.8%	80.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Monte Castelo	2000	57.0%	64.4%	50.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Monte Castelo	2017	76.2%	81.2%	71.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Salinas	2000	69.3%	75.7%	62.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Salinas	2017	84.5%	88.4%	80.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Arari	2000	52.2%	60.4%	43.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Arari	2017	72.4%	78.6%	64.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Capibaribe	2000	38.8%	42.9%	34.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Capibaribe	2017	60.5%	64.7%	56.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Escalvado	2000	60.9%	66.3%	54.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Escalvado	2017	79.0%	82.6%	74.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Piauí	2000	44.2%	52.0%	37.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Piauí	2017	65.6%	72.5%	58.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Rio Pardo	2000	84.6%	88.2%	80.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Rio Pardo	2017	93.0%	94.9%	90.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Sul	2000	76.2%	79.8%	72.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Sul	2017	88.6%	90.5%	86.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz dos Milagres	2000	44.3%	53.3%	34.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz dos Milagres	2017	65.6%	73.5%	55.7%
Brazil	Santa Efigênia de Minas	2000	70.3%	75.9%	64.3%
Brazil	Santa Efigênia de Minas	2017	85.2%	88.4%	81.1%
Brazil	Santa Ernestina	2000	87.5%	90.1%	84.5%
Brazil	Santa Ernestina	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Fé	2000	63.6%	68.7%	58.4%
Brazil	Santa Fé	2017	80.9%	84.2%	77.4%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Goiás	2000	32.7%	41.1%	25.1%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Goiás	2017	54.0%	62.7%	44.8%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Minas	2000	67.6%	76.4%	58.4%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Minas	2017	83.4%	88.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Araguaia	2000	25.2%	32.6%	20.2%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Araguaia	2017	44.6%	53.3%	37.6%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Sul	2000	70.0%	76.0%	63.6%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Sul	2017	84.9%	88.4%	81.1%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2000	41.4%	48.4%	35.5%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2000	36.0%	47.0%	25.9%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2017	57.3%	68.4%	46.0%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2017	63.0%	69.5%	57.0%
Brazil	Santa Filomena do Maranhão	2000	45.4%	54.5%	37.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Filomena do Maranhão	2017	66.7%	74.2%	59.2%
Brazil	Santa Gertrudes	2000	88.0%	90.2%	85.6%
Brazil	Santa Gertrudes	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	44.7%	51.2%	38.6%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	76.6%	81.4%	70.4%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	60.5%	67.5%	53.9%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	33.3%	40.2%	27.7%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	78.7%	83.4%	73.7%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	54.6%	61.8%	48.2%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	88.8%	91.4%	85.2%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	66.2%	71.8%	60.6%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Goiás	2000	45.4%	52.0%	39.4%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Goiás	2017	66.8%	72.4%	60.8%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Minas	2000	59.5%	66.9%	50.8%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Minas	2017	78.0%	83.2%	71.5%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	34.0%	39.7%	28.1%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	45.0%	51.2%	39.7%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	70.1%	75.8%	63.4%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	49.7%	56.2%	42.9%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	55.4%	61.7%	48.5%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	66.4%	71.8%	61.6%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	70.4%	75.7%	64.4%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	85.0%	88.5%	80.8%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2000	86.5%	88.7%	84.1%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2000	42.1%	47.2%	36.3%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2017	63.7%	68.5%	58.2%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Ivaí	2000	64.5%	70.1%	58.7%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Ivaí	2017	81.4%	85.1%	77.3%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Oeste	2000	64.9%	70.0%	58.9%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Oeste	2017	81.7%	85.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Pará	2000	52.7%	56.8%	49.2%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Pará	2017	72.9%	76.0%	70.1%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Rio Negro	2000	51.2%	64.5%	36.5%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Rio Negro	2017	71.9%	82.0%	58.7%
Brazil	Santa Juliana	2000	65.5%	72.7%	57.7%
Brazil	Santa Juliana	2017	82.1%	86.6%	76.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Leopoldina	2000	56.5%	60.7%	51.4%
Brazil	Santa Leopoldina	2017	75.8%	78.9%	71.9%
Brazil	Santa Lucia	2000	86.1%	89.1%	82.6%
Brazil	Santa Lucia	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Santa Lúcia	2000	64.6%	70.3%	58.9%
Brazil	Santa Lúcia	2017	81.5%	85.3%	77.8%
Brazil	Santa Luz	2000	45.7%	56.5%	35.2%
Brazil	Santa Luz	2017	66.8%	76.1%	57.3%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	66.1%	68.4%	63.5%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	33.1%	39.9%	27.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	54.5%	61.1%	48.6%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	47.8%	54.4%	42.3%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	82.8%	84.3%	81.1%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	54.3%	61.5%	47.8%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	74.3%	79.5%	69.6%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	68.8%	74.4%	63.5%
Brazil	Santa Luzia d'Oeste	2000	55.5%	61.6%	48.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia d'Oeste	2017	75.1%	79.6%	70.0%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Itanhy	2000	61.4%	66.6%	56.5%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Itanhy	2017	79.4%	83.0%	75.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Norte	2000	29.0%	31.3%	26.6%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Norte	2017	49.8%	52.8%	46.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Pará	2000	51.7%	56.9%	45.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Pará	2017	72.1%	76.1%	67.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Paruá	2000	36.5%	44.3%	29.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Paruá	2017	58.1%	65.9%	50.5%
Brazil	Santa Margarida	2000	68.2%	72.6%	63.6%
Brazil	Santa Margarida	2017	83.8%	86.5%	80.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2000	73.8%	76.7%	70.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2000	61.3%	66.5%	55.8%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2017	87.2%	88.9%	85.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2017	79.3%	82.7%	75.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Boa Vista	2000	40.6%	46.6%	34.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Boa Vista	2017	62.2%	67.8%	55.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Serra	2000	87.7%	90.5%	84.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Maria da Serra	2017	94.5%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Vitória	2000	47.5%	54.4%	40.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Vitória	2017	68.6%	74.4%	62.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria das Barreiras	2000	50.0%	58.0%	42.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria das Barreiras	2017	70.7%	76.7%	64.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Itabira	2000	66.2%	71.4%	60.3%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Itabira	2017	82.5%	85.7%	78.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Jetibá	2000	62.7%	67.6%	57.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Jetibá	2017	80.2%	83.7%	76.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Cambucá	2000	40.4%	45.0%	35.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Cambucá	2017	62.1%	66.4%	57.3%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Herval	2000	75.5%	78.5%	72.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Herval	2017	88.2%	89.8%	86.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Oeste	2000	66.5%	71.5%	61.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Oeste	2017	82.7%	85.6%	79.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Pará	2000	54.9%	60.0%	49.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Pará	2017	74.6%	78.5%	70.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Salto	2000	59.5%	66.8%	51.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Salto	2017	78.1%	83.0%	71.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Suaçuí	2000	65.1%	71.1%	58.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Suaçuí	2017	81.8%	85.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Tocantins	2000	19.4%	25.7%	13.8%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Tocantins	2017	36.6%	46.0%	28.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria Madalena	2000	86.1%	88.9%	82.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria Madalena	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Santa Mariana	2000	67.3%	74.5%	60.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Mariana	2017	83.2%	87.7%	78.6%
Brazil	Santa Mercedes	2000	66.9%	74.6%	59.8%
Brazil	Santa Mercedes	2017	82.8%	87.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Santa Mônica	2000	69.0%	73.0%	64.8%
Brazil	Santa Mônica	2017	84.3%	86.7%	81.6%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria	2000	44.8%	49.9%	39.5%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria	2017	66.2%	70.8%	61.1%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria do Maranhão	2000	38.2%	44.5%	31.9%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria do Maranhão	2017	59.6%	65.4%	52.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2000	53.9%	57.0%	51.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2000	32.0%	37.8%	26.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2017	53.1%	59.4%	46.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2017	73.7%	76.2%	71.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Araguaia	2000	48.2%	57.9%	37.2%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Araguaia	2017	69.1%	76.7%	59.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Caldas	2000	69.4%	73.8%	63.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Caldas	2017	84.6%	87.3%	80.9%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Cássia	2000	48.2%	56.9%	38.8%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Cássia	2017	69.1%	76.4%	60.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Jacutinga	2000	74.7%	79.5%	68.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Jacutinga	2017	87.7%	90.5%	84.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Minas	2000	68.2%	73.3%	63.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Minas	2017	83.8%	86.9%	80.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Ibitipoca	2000	64.8%	69.5%	60.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Ibitipoca	2017	81.6%	84.4%	78.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Novo destino	2000	42.9%	49.1%	36.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Novo destino	2017	64.4%	70.1%	58.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Oeste	2000	71.3%	77.7%	64.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Rita do Oeste	2017	85.7%	89.4%	81.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Pardo	2000	36.3%	43.6%	30.2%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Pardo	2017	56.3%	64.2%	48.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Passa Quatro	2000	86.6%	89.5%	83.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Passa Quatro	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Sapucaí	2000	68.3%	75.5%	62.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Sapucaí	2017	83.9%	88.1%	79.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Tocantins	2000	18.4%	24.5%	13.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Tocantins	2017	35.2%	44.4%	27.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita Itueto	2000	66.2%	72.6%	59.9%
Brazil	Santa Rita Itueto	2017	82.5%	86.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Santa Rosa	2000	74.2%	77.7%	70.1%
Brazil	Santa Rosa	2017	88.1%	90.1%	85.9%
Brazil	Santa Rosa da Serra	2000	66.8%	73.3%	59.9%
Brazil	Santa Rosa da Serra	2017	82.9%	86.8%	78.1%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Goiás	2000	44.8%	50.2%	39.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Goiás	2017	66.3%	71.0%	61.2%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	63.2%	67.4%	59.0%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	78.7%	83.6%	72.2%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	90.1%	92.6%	86.4%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	80.6%	83.6%	77.5%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2000	85.7%	89.0%	82.0%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Piauí	2000	44.4%	54.0%	36.3%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Piauí	2017	65.8%	74.1%	58.4%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Purus	2000	47.5%	60.0%	36.6%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Purus	2017	68.4%	77.9%	58.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Sul	2000	76.7%	81.4%	71.3%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Sul	2017	88.8%	91.5%	86.0%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Tocantins	2000	18.7%	25.8%	12.9%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Tocantins	2017	35.6%	45.7%	26.4%
Brazil	Santa Salete	2000	78.7%	83.3%	73.1%
Brazil	Santa Salete	2017	89.9%	92.4%	86.9%
Brazil	Santa Teresa	2000	59.8%	64.9%	54.6%
Brazil	Santa Teresa	2017	78.3%	81.8%	74.5%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2000	46.0%	52.3%	40.2%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2000	45.9%	52.2%	38.9%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2017	67.2%	72.8%	60.9%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2017	67.3%	72.6%	62.2%
Brazil	Santa Tereza	2000	73.0%	77.4%	69.0%
Brazil	Santa Tereza	2017	86.7%	89.3%	84.2%
Brazil	Santa Tereza de Goiás	2000	45.1%	52.6%	36.7%
Brazil	Santa Tereza de Goiás	2017	66.4%	72.6%	58.1%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Oeste	2000	67.8%	73.2%	62.9%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Oeste	2017	83.5%	86.8%	80.2%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Tocantins	2000	17.8%	23.8%	12.3%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Tocantins	2017	34.3%	43.7%	25.5%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	49.8%	55.1%	44.1%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	79.1%	84.3%	73.6%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	37.4%	48.3%	27.0%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	90.2%	92.9%	87.0%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	70.5%	75.0%	65.3%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	58.8%	69.3%	46.7%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Goiás	2000	44.5%	52.0%	35.9%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Goiás	2017	65.9%	72.3%	57.6%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Itaipu	2000	64.2%	68.9%	59.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Itaipu	2017	81.3%	84.4%	77.5%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Progresso	2000	75.5%	79.9%	69.9%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Progresso	2017	88.2%	90.7%	84.8%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Tocantins	2000	22.4%	28.3%	17.3%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Tocantins	2017	41.1%	49.1%	33.5%
Brazil	Santa Vitória	2000	57.0%	66.2%	49.3%
Brazil	Santa Vitória	2017	76.1%	82.5%	70.1%
Brazil	Santa Vitória do Palmar	2000	74.6%	81.0%	65.7%
Brazil	Santa Vitória do Palmar	2017	87.6%	91.3%	82.2%
Brazil	Santaluz	2000	42.9%	48.3%	38.2%
Brazil	Santaluz	2017	64.5%	69.4%	59.8%
Brazil	Santana	2000	54.6%	61.2%	48.5%
Brazil	Santana	2000	50.1%	54.5%	46.4%
Brazil	Santana	2000	46.7%	53.7%	40.2%
Brazil	Santana	2017	70.9%	74.6%	68.0%
Brazil	Santana	2017	67.9%	73.7%	61.9%
Brazil	Santana	2017	74.3%	79.4%	69.4%
Brazil	Santana da Boa Vista	2000	74.3%	79.9%	68.5%
Brazil	Santana da Boa Vista	2017	87.4%	90.7%	83.9%
Brazil	Santana da Ponte Pensa	2000	76.3%	81.3%	70.2%
Brazil	Santana da Ponte Pensa	2017	88.6%	91.3%	85.3%
Brazil	Santana da Vargem	2000	65.4%	71.3%	59.4%
Brazil	Santana da Vargem	2017	82.1%	85.7%	77.8%
Brazil	Santana de Cataguases	2000	68.3%	73.1%	63.9%
Brazil	Santana de Cataguases	2017	83.9%	86.9%	80.7%
Brazil	Santana de Mangueira	2000	45.2%	51.3%	40.0%
Brazil	Santana de Mangueira	2017	66.6%	71.8%	61.5%
Brazil	Santana de Parnaíba	2000	86.2%	87.4%	84.8%
Brazil	Santana de Parnaíba	2017	93.9%	94.5%	93.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santana de Pirapama	2000	64.8%	71.9%	57.7%
Brazil	Santana de Pirapama	2017	81.7%	85.9%	76.6%
Brazil	Santana do Acaraú	2000	43.9%	49.7%	38.0%
Brazil	Santana do Acaraú	2017	65.4%	70.5%	59.6%
Brazil	Santana do Araguaia	2000	49.4%	58.1%	41.7%
Brazil	Santana do Araguaia	2017	70.0%	76.5%	63.0%
Brazil	Santana do Cariri	2000	46.1%	51.7%	40.2%
Brazil	Santana do Cariri	2017	67.4%	72.2%	62.1%
Brazil	Santana do deserto	2000	71.4%	75.8%	66.6%
Brazil	Santana do deserto	2017	85.8%	88.2%	82.9%
Brazil	Santana do Garambéu	2000	66.4%	73.9%	58.9%
Brazil	Santana do Garambéu	2017	82.7%	87.3%	77.5%
Brazil	Santana do Ipanema	2000	35.6%	40.6%	30.9%
Brazil	Santana do Ipanema	2017	57.1%	62.2%	51.4%
Brazil	Santana do Itararé	2000	71.4%	77.0%	65.6%
Brazil	Santana do Itararé	2017	85.8%	89.1%	82.0%
Brazil	Santana do Jacaré	2000	65.4%	70.5%	59.8%
Brazil	Santana do Jacaré	2017	82.0%	85.4%	78.1%
Brazil	Santana do Livramento	2000	76.5%	81.5%	69.9%
Brazil	Santana do Livramento	2017	88.7%	91.4%	85.1%
Brazil	Santana do Manhuaçu	2000	62.8%	68.4%	56.9%
Brazil	Santana do Manhuaçu	2017	80.4%	84.0%	76.2%
Brazil	Santana do Maranhão	2000	36.4%	45.0%	29.4%
Brazil	Santana do Maranhão	2017	57.8%	66.5%	49.9%
Brazil	Santana do Matos	2000	62.9%	69.3%	56.4%
Brazil	Santana do Matos	2017	80.4%	84.8%	75.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santana do Mundaú	2000	34.9%	39.7%	30.4%
Brazil	Santana do Mundaú	2017	56.4%	61.1%	51.5%
Brazil	Santana do Paraíso	2000	62.9%	66.7%	59.3%
Brazil	Santana do Paraíso	2017	80.6%	83.2%	78.2%
Brazil	Santana do Piauí	2000	45.1%	51.0%	38.5%
Brazil	Santana do Piauí	2017	66.5%	72.1%	60.1%
Brazil	Santana do Riacho	2000	69.5%	75.3%	62.6%
Brazil	Santana do Riacho	2017	84.7%	88.1%	80.2%
Brazil	Santana do São Francisco	2000	49.3%	54.0%	44.8%
Brazil	Santana do São Francisco	2017	70.2%	73.7%	66.1%
Brazil	Santana dos Garrotes	2000	45.3%	52.1%	39.8%
Brazil	Santana dos Garrotes	2017	66.7%	72.5%	61.8%
Brazil	Santana dos Montes	2000	64.5%	69.4%	60.0%
Brazil	Santana dos Montes	2017	81.5%	84.6%	78.4%
Brazil	Santanópolis	2000	44.1%	48.9%	38.7%
Brazil	Santanópolis	2017	65.6%	70.1%	60.4%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	50.6%	56.1%	44.8%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	52.6%	56.5%	48.1%
Brazil	Santarém	2017	71.2%	75.6%	66.2%
Brazil	Santarém	2017	72.8%	76.0%	69.1%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	52.0%	57.4%	46.5%
Brazil	Novo Santarém	2017	72.3%	76.6%	67.2%
Brazil	Santiago	2000	75.7%	80.4%	69.5%
Brazil	Santiago	2017	88.3%	91.0%	84.6%
Brazil	Santiago do Sul	2000	73.8%	78.6%	67.9%
Brazil	Santiago do Sul	2017	87.2%	89.9%	83.4%
Brazil	Santo Afonso	2000	50.7%	58.5%	43.5%
Brazil	Santo Afonso	2017	71.2%	77.2%	65.3%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2000	44.9%	49.2%	41.0%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2017	66.2%	70.0%	62.4%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2000	78.7%	82.2%	74.6%
Brazil	da Imperatriz	2017	89.9%	91.8%	87.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Amaro das Brotas	2000	59.6%	63.3%	56.0%
Brazil	Santo Amaro das Brotas	2017	78.1%	80.7%	75.4%
Brazil	Santo Amaro do Maranhão	2000	32.9%	41.7%	24.1%
Brazil	Santo Amaro do Maranhão	2017	54.0%	63.1%	43.7%
Brazil	Santo Anastácio	2000	85.7%	88.7%	82.5%
Brazil	Santo Anastácio	2017	93.5%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Santo André	2000	44.3%	51.3%	37.8%
Brazil	Santo André	2000	88.4%	89.3%	87.3%
Brazil	Santo André	2017	65.8%	72.2%	59.2%
Brazil	Santo André	2017	94.9%	95.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Santo Ângelo	2000	75.5%	79.3%	71.1%
Brazil	Santo Ângelo	2017	88.1%	90.4%	85.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2000	59.6%	64.1%	54.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2017	78.1%	81.2%	74.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Alegria	2000	86.9%	90.4%	82.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Alegria	2017	94.1%	95.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Barra	2000	42.9%	50.8%	36.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Barra	2017	64.4%	71.4%	58.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Patrulha	2000	76.4%	79.9%	72.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Patrulha	2017	88.6%	90.7%	86.2%
Brazil	Santo Antonio da Platina	2000	70.1%	75.0%	65.8%
Brazil	Santo Antonio da Platina	2017	85.0%	87.8%	82.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio das Missões	2000	77.2%	83.1%	71.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio das Missões	2017	89.1%	92.2%	85.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Goiás	2000	45.0%	48.5%	41.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Goiás	2017	66.3%	69.6%	63.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Jesus	2000	46.5%	51.2%	42.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Jesus	2017	67.8%	72.0%	63.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Lisboa	2000	44.5%	52.7%	36.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Lisboa	2017	65.8%	73.0%	58.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Pádua	2000	79.7%	83.6%	74.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Pádua	2017	90.5%	92.5%	87.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Posse	2000	78.9%	83.1%	74.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Posse	2017	90.0%	92.3%	87.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Amparo	2000	65.5%	70.3%	61.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Amparo	2017	82.1%	85.3%	79.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aracanguá	2000	85.9%	88.3%	83.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aracanguá	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aventureiro	2000	68.6%	71.8%	64.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aventureiro	2017	83.9%	85.9%	81.1%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Caiuá	2000	65.5%	72.9%	58.8%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Caiuá	2017	82.1%	86.7%	77.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Descoberto	2000	55.7%	60.0%	51.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Descoberto	2017	75.7%	78.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Grama	2000	70.3%	75.8%	65.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Grama	2017	85.1%	88.4%	81.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Içá	2000	49.5%	59.0%	41.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Içá	2017	70.1%	77.6%	63.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Jacinto	2000	62.6%	67.3%	57.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Jacinto	2017	80.1%	83.3%	77.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Leverger	2000	47.3%	53.0%	41.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Leverger	2017	69.3%	74.0%	63.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Monte	2000	58.7%	65.9%	50.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Monte	2017	77.3%	82.5%	71.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Palma	2000	76.4%	80.6%	72.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Palma	2017	88.7%	91.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Paraíso	2000	65.1%	70.4%	59.1%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Paraíso	2017	81.9%	85.3%	77.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Pinhal	2000	83.6%	86.8%	80.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Pinhal	2017	92.4%	94.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Planalto	2000	73.4%	77.7%	68.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Planalto	2017	87.0%	89.5%	84.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Retiro	2000	68.2%	73.1%	62.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Retiro	2017	83.9%	86.9%	80.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Tauá	2000	51.3%	55.6%	46.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Tauá	2017	71.8%	75.2%	68.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Lopes	2000	31.7%	37.9%	25.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Lopes	2017	52.8%	60.1%	45.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Milagres	2000	43.7%	51.0%	37.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Milagres	2017	65.1%	71.8%	58.7%
Brazil	Santo Augusto	2000	78.2%	82.6%	73.6%
Brazil	Santo Augusto	2017	89.6%	92.1%	87.0%
Brazil	Santo Cristo	2000	74.1%	78.8%	68.4%
Brazil	Santo Cristo	2017	87.3%	90.2%	84.2%
Brazil	Santo Estêvão	2000	44.3%	49.2%	39.6%
Brazil	Santo Estêvão	2017	66.0%	70.3%	61.5%
Brazil	Santo Expedito	2000	85.8%	89.5%	81.5%
Brazil	Santo Expedito	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Santo Expedito do Sul	2000	75.5%	80.5%	70.9%
Brazil	Santo Expedito do Sul	2017	88.2%	91.0%	85.3%
Brazil	Santo Hipólito	2000	64.7%	71.7%	56.7%
Brazil	Santo Hipólito	2017	81.5%	86.0%	75.9%
Brazil	Santo Inácio	2000	70.6%	76.5%	64.2%
Brazil	Santo Inácio	2017	85.3%	88.8%	81.2%
Brazil	Santo Inácio do Piauí	2000	44.6%	53.0%	35.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Inácio do Piauí	2017	65.9%	73.5%	57.8%
Brazil	Santópolis do Aguapeí	2000	87.4%	90.9%	83.2%
Brazil	Santópolis do Aguapeí	2017	94.4%	96.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Santos	2000	89.3%	90.7%	87.4%
Brazil	Santos	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Santos Dumont	2000	65.5%	70.1%	60.6%
Brazil	Santos Dumont	2017	82.1%	84.9%	78.8%
Brazil	São Antonio de Sudoeste	2000	68.1%	73.7%	61.5%
Brazil	São Antonio de Sudoeste	2017	83.7%	87.2%	79.6%
Brazil	São Benedito	2000	46.7%	51.2%	43.0%
Brazil	São Benedito	2017	67.9%	71.7%	64.5%
Brazil	São Benedito do Rio Preto	2000	34.2%	42.2%	27.1%
Brazil	São Benedito do Rio Preto	2017	55.6%	63.6%	47.4%
Brazil	São Benedito do Sul	2000	36.3%	41.3%	32.2%
Brazil	São Benedito do Sul	2017	57.9%	62.5%	53.2%
Brazil	São Bentinho	2000	45.9%	52.0%	39.3%
Brazil	São Bentinho	2017	67.2%	72.5%	61.2%
Brazil	São Bento	2000	55.0%	61.1%	48.2%
Brazil	São Bento	2000	32.2%	38.5%	26.3%
Brazil	São Bento	2017	53.4%	60.3%	46.3%
Brazil	São Bento	2017	74.7%	79.5%	69.4%
Brazil	São Bento Abade	2000	64.0%	70.1%	57.9%
Brazil	São Bento Abade	2017	83.2%	86.9%	79.5%
Brazil	São Bento do Norte	2000	58.6%	68.8%	48.9%
Brazil	São Bento do Norte	2017	77.2%	84.4%	70.0%
Brazil	São Bento do Sapucaí	2000	78.9%	82.8%	74.9%
Brazil	São Bento do Sapucaí	2017	90.0%	92.1%	87.8%
Brazil	São Bento do Sul	2000	78.6%	82.1%	74.2%
Brazil	São Bento do Sul	2017	89.9%	91.7%	87.4%
Brazil	São Bento do Tocantins	2000	23.6%	29.5%	18.8%
Brazil	São Bento do Tocantins	2017	42.8%	50.5%	36.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Bento do Trairí	2000	55.3%	60.6%	49.2%
Brazil	São Bento do Trairí	2017	75.0%	78.9%	70.2%
Brazil	São Bento do Una	2000	39.2%	43.4%	34.7%
Brazil	São Bento do Una	2017	60.9%	65.3%	56.2%
Brazil	São Bernardino	2000	74.4%	79.4%	68.9%
Brazil	São Bernardino	2017	87.6%	90.4%	84.3%
Brazil	São Bernardo	2000	39.4%	46.3%	32.7%
Brazil	São Bernardo	2017	61.1%	67.5%	54.1%
Brazil	São Bernardo do Campo	2000	88.1%	89.0%	87.1%
Brazil	São Bernardo do Campo	2017	94.7%	95.2%	94.2%
Brazil	São Bonifácio	2000	79.6%	84.4%	73.9%
Brazil	São Bonifácio	2017	90.5%	92.7%	87.5%
Brazil	São Borja	2000	75.8%	80.6%	70.1%
Brazil	São Borja	2017	88.3%	91.0%	84.9%
Brazil	São Brás	2000	48.5%	53.2%	43.9%
Brazil	São Brás	2017	69.4%	73.6%	65.4%
Brazil	São Brás do Suaçuí	2000	65.3%	70.2%	60.6%
Brazil	São Brás do Suaçuí	2017	82.0%	85.0%	78.6%
Brazil	São Braz do Piauí	2000	47.0%	55.9%	38.4%
Brazil	São Braz do Piauí	2017	68.1%	75.3%	60.1%
Brazil	São Caetano de Odivelas	2000	52.4%	58.1%	46.0%
Brazil	São Caetano de Odivelas	2017	72.7%	77.0%	67.7%
Brazil	São Caetano do Sul	2000	87.9%	88.8%	87.0%
Brazil	São Caetano do Sul	2017	94.6%	95.1%	94.1%
Brazil	São Caitano	2000	36.7%	40.8%	32.9%
Brazil	São Caitano	2017	58.3%	62.4%	54.0%
Brazil	São Carlos	2000	89.9%	92.1%	87.0%
Brazil	São Carlos	2000	76.9%	80.8%	72.4%
Brazil	São Carlos	2017	88.9%	91.2%	86.2%
Brazil	São Carlos	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	São Carlos do Ivaí	2000	64.5%	69.9%	58.8%
Brazil	São Carlos do Ivaí	2017	81.4%	85.0%	77.8%
Brazil	São Cristóvão	2000	63.8%	66.8%	60.6%
Brazil	São Cristóvão	2017	80.9%	82.9%	78.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Cristóvão do Sul	2000	81.5%	86.3%	76.4%
Brazil	São Cristóvão do Sul	2017	91.4%	93.8%	88.6%
Brazil	São Desidério	2000	42.4%	50.2%	35.0%
Brazil	São Desidério	2017	63.8%	70.7%	56.5%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	42.6%	51.0%	34.3%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	43.5%	49.5%	38.5%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	72.2%	77.2%	66.7%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	65.2%	69.6%	61.0%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	64.1%	71.7%	55.6%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	86.2%	89.1%	82.7%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	65.1%	70.4%	60.1%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	81.9%	85.0%	78.9%
Brazil	São Domingos das Dores	2000	68.6%	73.4%	62.3%
Brazil	São Domingos das Dores	2017	84.3%	87.3%	80.4%
Brazil	São Domingos de Pombal	2000	47.0%	53.3%	40.6%
Brazil	São Domingos de Pombal	2017	68.1%	73.6%	62.1%
Brazil	São Domingos do Araguaia	2000	45.6%	51.5%	39.5%
Brazil	São Domingos do Araguaia	2017	66.9%	72.2%	61.2%
Brazil	São Domingos do Azeitão	2000	36.3%	47.8%	26.0%
Brazil	São Domingos do Azeitão	2017	60.9%	72.2%	49.4%
Brazil	São Domingos do Capim	2000	53.5%	59.3%	48.4%
Brazil	São Domingos do Capim	2017	73.5%	77.9%	69.1%
Brazil	São Domingos do Cariri	2000	40.6%	47.1%	33.5%
Brazil	São Domingos do Cariri	2017	62.2%	68.4%	55.1%
Brazil	São Domingos do Maranhão	2000	33.6%	41.1%	26.3%
Brazil	São Domingos do Maranhão	2017	55.0%	62.9%	46.5%
Brazil	São Domingos do Norte	2000	58.4%	64.3%	52.9%
Brazil	São Domingos do Norte	2017	77.2%	81.3%	73.0%
Brazil	São Domingos do Prata	2000	68.5%	73.3%	63.8%
Brazil	São Domingos do Prata	2017	84.0%	86.9%	81.1%
Brazil	São Domingos do Sul	2000	75.4%	79.6%	70.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Domingos do Sul	2017	88.1%	90.4%	85.2%
Brazil	São Felipe	2000	47.6%	52.0%	43.4%
Brazil	São Felipe	2017	68.6%	72.4%	64.7%
Brazil	São Felipe d'Oeste	2000	55.6%	62.1%	47.6%
Brazil	São Felipe d'Oeste	2017	75.0%	79.8%	68.7%
Brazil	São Félix	2000	46.0%	50.1%	42.2%
Brazil	São Félix	2017	67.3%	71.0%	64.0%
Brazil	São Félix de Balsas	2000	35.9%	46.6%	25.6%
Brazil	São Félix de Balsas	2017	57.2%	67.8%	45.2%
Brazil	São Félix de Minas	2000	67.6%	74.4%	60.5%
Brazil	São Félix de Minas	2017	83.4%	87.2%	78.3%
Brazil	São Félix do Coribe	2000	48.2%	54.8%	41.5%
Brazil	São Félix do Coribe	2017	69.2%	74.5%	62.8%
Brazil	São Félix do Piauí	2000	44.8%	54.6%	35.1%
Brazil	São Félix do Piauí	2017	66.1%	74.6%	56.8%
Brazil	São Félix do Tocantins	2000	25.2%	36.8%	16.3%
Brazil	São Félix do Tocantins	2017	44.4%	58.4%	31.8%
Brazil	São Félix do Xingu	2000	54.5%	62.1%	47.5%
Brazil	São Félix do Xingu	2017	74.2%	79.7%	68.1%
Brazil	São Félix Xingu	2000	40.6%	49.6%	32.7%
Brazil	São Félix Xingu	2017	61.5%	70.1%	53.5%
Brazil	São Fernando	2000	59.4%	65.2%	53.4%
Brazil	São Fernando	2017	77.9%	82.3%	73.4%
Brazil	São Fidélis	2000	83.7%	86.9%	79.5%
Brazil	São Fidélis	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.3%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	81.0%	85.4%	75.3%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	65.9%	72.0%	59.4%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	58.7%	63.6%	54.4%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	52.7%	58.8%	45.7%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	82.3%	86.2%	77.8%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	72.8%	77.5%	66.7%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	77.5%	81.1%	74.1%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	91.1%	93.4%	88.4%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis	2000	74.1%	79.5%	67.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis	2017	87.4%	90.4%	83.3%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis do Piauí	2000	44.4%	55.2%	35.3%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis do Piauí	2017	65.7%	75.1%	57.0%
Brazil	São Francisco de Goiás	2000	42.3%	48.0%	36.5%
Brazil	São Francisco de Goiás	2017	63.9%	69.2%	58.2%
Brazil	São Francisco de Itabapoana	2000	71.9%	77.7%	66.2%
Brazil	São Francisco de Itabapoana	2017	86.0%	89.5%	82.5%
Brazil	São Francisco de Oliveira	2000	66.2%	71.0%	60.3%
Brazil	São Francisco de Oliveira	2017	82.6%	85.7%	78.6%
Brazil	São Francisco de Paula	2000	77.9%	81.5%	73.0%
Brazil	São Francisco de Paula	2017	89.5%	91.5%	86.7%
Brazil	São Francisco de Sales	2000	75.3%	82.7%	67.7%
Brazil	São Francisco de Sales	2017	88.0%	92.0%	83.6%
Brazil	São Francisco do Brejão	2000	32.6%	38.9%	27.3%
Brazil	São Francisco do Brejão	2017	53.8%	61.0%	47.1%
Brazil	São Francisco do Conde	2000	45.3%	49.8%	41.6%
Brazil	São Francisco do Conde	2017	66.9%	70.7%	63.1%
Brazil	São Francisco do Glória	2000	69.3%	74.2%	63.8%
Brazil	São Francisco do Glória	2017	84.5%	87.6%	81.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Guaporé	2000	55.2%	63.1%	47.3%
Brazil	São Francisco do Guaporé	2017	74.8%	80.5%	68.4%
Brazil	São Francisco do Maranhão	2000	40.1%	47.5%	32.7%
Brazil	São Francisco do Maranhão	2017	62.2%	69.2%	54.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Oeste	2000	51.8%	58.0%	45.7%
Brazil	São Francisco do Oeste	2017	72.2%	77.0%	66.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Francisco do Pará	2000	51.7%	56.2%	47.1%
Brazil	São Francisco do Pará	2017	72.6%	76.1%	68.4%
Brazil	São Francisco do Piauí	2000	44.3%	53.5%	35.0%
Brazil	São Francisco do Piauí	2017	65.6%	73.4%	56.8%
Brazil	São Francisco do Sul	2000	73.4%	78.5%	67.6%
Brazil	São Francisco do Sul	2017	87.0%	90.0%	83.5%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2000	44.2%	50.1%	38.9%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2000	77.5%	82.1%	72.0%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2017	89.3%	91.8%	86.2%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2017	65.7%	70.9%	60.4%
Brazil	São Gabriel da Palha	2000	57.3%	63.6%	51.6%
Brazil	São Gabriel da Palha	2017	76.4%	81.0%	71.8%
Brazil	São Gabriel de Cahoeira	2000	50.9%	61.6%	39.5%
Brazil	São Gabriel de Cahoeira	2017	70.9%	79.0%	59.8%
Brazil	São Gabriel do Oeste	2000	30.4%	38.5%	23.1%
Brazil	São Gabriel do Oeste	2017	51.2%	60.6%	42.4%
Brazil	São Geraldo	2000	64.5%	68.9%	60.0%
Brazil	São Geraldo	2017	81.4%	84.3%	78.5%
Brazil	São Geraldo da Piedade	2000	64.3%	70.6%	57.9%
Brazil	São Geraldo da Piedade	2017	81.3%	85.1%	76.7%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Araguaia	2000	40.1%	46.1%	34.0%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Araguaia	2017	61.6%	67.8%	55.2%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Baixo	2000	63.6%	70.1%	57.3%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Baixo	2017	80.9%	85.2%	76.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo	2000	83.5%	85.0%	82.0%
Brazil	São Gonçalo	2017	92.5%	93.2%	91.6%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Abaeté	2000	66.8%	74.4%	58.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Abaeté	2017	82.9%	87.6%	77.5%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2000	61.6%	64.2%	58.6%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2000	42.7%	46.9%	38.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2017	64.5%	68.4%	60.5%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2017	79.8%	81.6%	77.6%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Gurguéia	2000	44.3%	55.9%	32.6%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Gurguéia	2017	65.5%	75.6%	53.3%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Pará	2000	63.7%	68.5%	58.6%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Pará	2017	81.0%	83.9%	77.3%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Piauí	2000	44.9%	52.9%	37.0%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Piauí	2017	66.2%	73.1%	59.0%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Rio Abaixo	2000	65.8%	69.5%	61.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Rio Abaixo	2017	82.1%	84.6%	79.0%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Sapucaí	2000	63.0%	68.9%	56.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Sapucaí	2017	80.5%	84.2%	75.6%
Brazil	São Gonçalo dos Campos	2000	46.5%	50.1%	43.4%
Brazil	São Gonçalo dos Campos	2017	67.8%	71.0%	64.6%
Brazil	São Gotardo	2000	67.1%	73.5%	59.9%
Brazil	São Gotardo	2017	83.1%	87.2%	78.3%
Brazil	São Jerônimo	2000	77.7%	81.6%	74.3%
Brazil	São Jerônimo	2017	89.4%	91.4%	87.5%
Brazil	São Jerônimo da Serra	2000	66.4%	71.9%	60.8%
Brazil	São Jerônimo da Serra	2017	82.6%	86.2%	79.2%
Brazil	São João	2000	42.2%	45.9%	39.0%
Brazil	São João	2000	65.5%	70.6%	59.8%
Brazil	São João	2017	63.6%	66.7%	60.4%
Brazil	São João	2017	82.1%	85.2%	77.8%
Brazil	São João Batista	2000	32.2%	39.0%	26.8%
Brazil	São João Batista	2000	76.8%	80.4%	72.8%
Brazil	São João Batista	2017	88.9%	90.8%	86.5%
Brazil	São João Batista	2017	53.4%	60.7%	46.5%
Brazil	São João Batista do Glória	2000	64.9%	71.3%	58.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João Batista do Glória	2017	81.6%	85.7%	77.2%
Brazil	São João d'Aliança	2000	45.9%	54.0%	38.2%
Brazil	São João d'Aliança	2017	68.6%	75.2%	61.3%
Brazil	São João da Baliza	2000	73.3%	81.8%	62.8%
Brazil	São João da Baliza	2017	86.8%	91.6%	80.3%
Brazil	São João da Barra	2000	76.6%	81.7%	71.4%
Brazil	São João da Barra	2017	89.4%	92.0%	86.5%
Brazil	São João da Boa Vista	2000	80.6%	83.7%	77.1%
Brazil	São João da Boa Vista	2017	90.9%	92.7%	89.0%
Brazil	São João da Canabrava	2000	43.5%	50.9%	36.2%
Brazil	São João da Canabrava	2017	65.0%	71.7%	57.9%
Brazil	São João da Fronteira	2000	39.7%	47.0%	33.2%
Brazil	São João da Fronteira	2017	61.4%	68.6%	54.3%
Brazil	São João da Lagoa	2000	66.0%	73.3%	59.0%
Brazil	São João da Lagoa	2017	82.4%	86.9%	77.5%
Brazil	São João da Mata	2000	66.0%	71.8%	61.0%
Brazil	São João da Mata	2017	82.5%	85.9%	79.1%
Brazil	São João da Paraúna	2000	45.9%	52.2%	38.1%
Brazil	São João da Paraúna	2017	67.1%	72.3%	59.9%
Brazil	São João da Ponta	2000	52.6%	57.5%	47.2%
Brazil	São João da Ponta	2017	72.8%	76.6%	68.2%
Brazil	São João da Ponte	2000	67.3%	73.9%	60.9%
Brazil	São João da Ponte	2017	83.2%	87.2%	78.5%
Brazil	São João da Serra	2000	44.1%	54.6%	34.5%
Brazil	São João da Serra	2017	65.4%	74.3%	55.8%
Brazil	São João da Urtiga	2000	75.7%	80.8%	70.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João da Urtiga	2017	88.3%	91.0%	85.1%
Brazil	São João da Varjota	2000	46.1%	53.5%	38.3%
Brazil	São João da Varjota	2017	67.3%	74.0%	60.5%
Brazil	São João das Duas Ponte	2000	85.3%	89.3%	81.1%
Brazil	São João das Duas Ponte	2017	93.3%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	São João das Missões	2000	62.7%	70.2%	55.8%
Brazil	São João das Missões	2017	80.2%	85.1%	74.9%
Brazil	São João de Iracema	2000	86.6%	90.2%	82.5%
Brazil	São João de Iracema	2017	94.0%	95.7%	91.6%
Brazil	São João de Meriti	2000	83.6%	84.6%	82.5%
Brazil	São João de Meriti	2017	92.5%	93.0%	91.8%
Brazil	São João de Pirabas	2000	51.5%	57.5%	45.4%
Brazil	São João de Pirabas	2017	71.8%	76.1%	66.6%
Brazil	São João del Rei	2000	67.6%	72.8%	61.8%
Brazil	São João del Rei	2017	83.4%	86.6%	79.7%
Brazil	São João do Araguaia	2000	43.3%	48.1%	38.0%
Brazil	São João do Araguaia	2017	64.6%	69.2%	59.4%
Brazil	São João do Arraial	2000	43.5%	50.8%	36.5%
Brazil	São João do Arraial	2017	65.0%	71.0%	58.0%
Brazil	São João do Belm	2000	47.3%	51.9%	42.7%
Brazil	São João do Belm	2017	68.4%	72.0%	64.5%
Brazil	São João do Belmonte	2000	40.5%	46.3%	35.7%
Brazil	São João do Belmonte	2017	62.2%	67.7%	57.3%
Brazil	São João do Caiuá	2000	65.0%	71.1%	58.4%
Brazil	São João do Caiuá	2017	81.8%	85.7%	77.2%
Brazil	São João do Cariri	2000	42.3%	49.0%	35.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João do Cariri	2017	63.9%	70.4%	56.6%
Brazil	São João do Carú	2000	36.1%	45.3%	28.3%
Brazil	São João do Carú	2017	57.7%	66.5%	48.7%
Brazil	São João do Itaperiú	2000	77.4%	81.8%	73.6%
Brazil	São João do Itaperiú	2017	89.2%	91.4%	87.0%
Brazil	São João do Ivaí	2000	62.8%	67.4%	57.3%
Brazil	São João do Ivaí	2017	80.3%	83.4%	76.4%
Brazil	São João do Jaguaribe	2000	43.1%	48.5%	37.4%
Brazil	São João do Jaguaribe	2017	64.7%	69.7%	58.8%
Brazil	São João do Manhuaçu	2000	67.5%	72.3%	62.7%
Brazil	São João do Manhuaçu	2017	83.4%	86.4%	80.0%
Brazil	São João do Mantelinha	2000	62.0%	68.3%	55.8%
Brazil	São João do Mantelinha	2017	79.8%	83.9%	75.5%
Brazil	São João do Oeste	2000	76.5%	81.2%	71.2%
Brazil	São João do Oeste	2017	88.7%	91.3%	85.6%
Brazil	São João do Oriente	2000	64.8%	69.7%	59.5%
Brazil	São João do Oriente	2017	81.7%	84.8%	77.9%
Brazil	São João do Pacuí	2000	66.2%	73.5%	57.2%
Brazil	São João do Pacuí	2017	82.5%	87.0%	76.5%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2000	58.9%	65.5%	50.6%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2000	30.5%	38.1%	22.7%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2017	51.3%	60.0%	42.0%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2017	77.5%	82.1%	71.0%
Brazil	São João do Pau d'Alho	2000	67.8%	75.1%	61.4%
Brazil	São João do Pau d'Alho	2017	83.6%	88.0%	79.3%
Brazil	São João do Polésine	2000	76.2%	80.6%	71.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João do Polésine	2017	88.5%	90.9%	85.7%
Brazil	São João do Rio do Peixe	2000	46.6%	52.2%	41.0%
Brazil	São João do Rio do Peixe	2017	67.8%	72.7%	62.7%
Brazil	São João do Sabugi	2000	52.3%	58.4%	46.2%
Brazil	São João do Sabugi	2017	72.5%	77.3%	67.4%
Brazil	São João do Soter	2000	30.6%	38.0%	23.8%
Brazil	São João do Soter	2017	51.5%	59.6%	43.0%
Brazil	São João do Sul	2000	76.7%	81.7%	70.9%
Brazil	São João do Sul	2017	88.8%	91.5%	85.7%
Brazil	São João do Tigre	2000	42.1%	47.3%	36.0%
Brazil	São João do Tigre	2017	63.5%	68.5%	57.8%
Brazil	São João do Triunfo	2000	65.4%	71.0%	60.1%
Brazil	São João do Triunfo	2017	82.0%	85.5%	78.3%
Brazil	São João dos Patos	2000	37.8%	46.4%	31.0%
Brazil	São João dos Patos	2017	59.4%	67.6%	51.9%
Brazil	São João Evangelista	2000	68.6%	74.0%	62.7%
Brazil	São João Evangelista	2017	84.1%	87.3%	80.0%
Brazil	São João Nepomuceno	2000	63.9%	69.2%	58.4%
Brazil	São João Nepomuceno	2017	81.1%	84.7%	77.4%
Brazil	São João Piatui	2000	43.4%	52.9%	34.3%
Brazil	São João Piatui	2017	64.8%	73.2%	55.7%
Brazil	São Joaquim	2000	83.8%	88.2%	78.7%
Brazil	São Joaquim	2017	92.6%	94.8%	90.0%
Brazil	São Joaquim da Barra	2000	85.3%	89.1%	81.2%
Brazil	São Joaquim da Barra	2017	93.3%	95.2%	91.4%
Brazil	São Joaquim de Bicas	2000	67.2%	70.9%	63.5%
Brazil	São Joaquim de Bicas	2017	83.2%	85.7%	80.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Joaquin do Monte	2000	38.4%	42.6%	34.8%
Brazil	São Joaquin do Monte	2017	60.1%	64.3%	56.1%
Brazil	São Jorge	2000	76.0%	80.8%	70.9%
Brazil	São Jorge	2017	88.5%	90.9%	85.5%
Brazil	São Jorge d'Oeste	2000	63.6%	69.0%	57.9%
Brazil	São Jorge d'Oeste	2017	80.9%	84.5%	76.7%
Brazil	São Jorge do Ivaí	2000	63.3%	68.7%	57.6%
Brazil	São Jorge do Ivaí	2017	80.7%	84.2%	76.9%
Brazil	São Jorge do Patrocínio	2000	52.4%	59.3%	44.4%
Brazil	São Jorge do Patrocínio	2017	72.6%	77.6%	66.1%
Brazil	São José	2000	78.6%	81.4%	75.2%
Brazil	São José	2017	89.9%	91.4%	87.9%
Brazil	São José da Barra	2000	63.0%	69.7%	56.3%
Brazil	São José da Barra	2017	80.5%	84.7%	75.8%
Brazil	São José da Bela Vista	2000	85.2%	88.4%	81.7%
Brazil	São José da Bela Vista	2017	93.3%	94.9%	91.5%
Brazil	São José da Boa Vista	2000	69.1%	75.1%	63.3%
Brazil	São José da Boa Vista	2017	84.4%	88.0%	80.7%
Brazil	São José da Coroa Grande	2000	40.0%	45.6%	35.0%
Brazil	São José da Coroa Grande	2017	58.7%	64.1%	53.5%
Brazil	São José da Lagoa Tapada	2000	47.8%	53.9%	40.9%
Brazil	São José da Lagoa Tapada	2017	68.8%	74.3%	62.9%
Brazil	São José da Laje	2000	38.9%	43.3%	33.8%
Brazil	São José da Laje	2017	57.5%	62.2%	52.3%
Brazil	São José da Lapa	2000	66.9%	69.6%	64.2%
Brazil	São José da Lapa	2017	83.0%	84.8%	81.2%
Brazil	São José da Safira	2000	64.1%	70.0%	56.7%
Brazil	São José da Safira	2017	81.2%	85.0%	76.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José da Tapera	2000	38.2%	43.0%	33.3%
Brazil	São José da Tapera	2017	59.8%	64.6%	55.0%
Brazil	São José da Varginha	2000	65.6%	70.6%	60.8%
Brazil	São José da Varginha	2017	82.2%	85.3%	79.0%
Brazil	São José da Vitória	2000	47.6%	52.6%	41.9%
Brazil	São José da Vitória	2017	68.7%	73.1%	64.1%
Brazil	São José das Missões	2000	74.7%	79.1%	70.4%
Brazil	São José das Missões	2017	87.7%	90.4%	85.2%
Brazil	São José das Palmeiras	2000	62.4%	68.8%	56.7%
Brazil	São José das Palmeiras	2017	80.0%	84.4%	76.0%
Brazil	São José de Caiana	2000	47.8%	55.0%	41.4%
Brazil	São José de Caiana	2017	68.9%	74.3%	63.1%
Brazil	São José de Espinharas	2000	50.6%	56.4%	44.4%
Brazil	São José de Espinharas	2017	71.2%	76.1%	66.0%
Brazil	São José de Mipibu	2000	61.0%	64.9%	57.5%
Brazil	São José de Mipibu	2017	79.1%	81.6%	76.8%
Brazil	São José de Piranhas	2000	47.6%	53.3%	41.2%
Brazil	São José de Piranhas	2017	68.7%	73.5%	63.1%
Brazil	São José de Princesa	2000	48.2%	54.0%	43.3%
Brazil	São José de Princesa	2017	69.1%	74.1%	64.9%
Brazil	São José de Ribamar	2000	32.4%	35.8%	29.0%
Brazil	São José de Ribamar	2017	53.7%	57.6%	49.6%
Brazil	São José de Ubá	2000	81.3%	83.6%	78.5%
Brazil	São José de Ubá	2017	91.3%	92.6%	89.8%
Brazil	São José do Alegre	2000	66.2%	71.4%	60.9%
Brazil	São José do Alegre	2017	82.6%	85.6%	79.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José do Barreiro	2000	85.2%	88.6%	81.5%
Brazil	São José do Barreiro	2017	93.3%	94.9%	91.3%
Brazil	São José do Belmonte	2000	59.5%	66.4%	51.8%
Brazil	São José do Belmonte	2017	78.0%	82.6%	72.6%
Brazil	São José do Bonfim	2000	46.6%	52.2%	41.1%
Brazil	São José do Bonfim	2017	67.8%	72.1%	62.4%
Brazil	São José do Calçado	2000	67.1%	72.2%	61.8%
Brazil	São José do Calçado	2017	83.1%	86.2%	79.5%
Brazil	São José do Campestre	2000	58.5%	63.7%	52.0%
Brazil	São José do Campestre	2017	77.3%	80.7%	73.0%
Brazil	São José do Cedro	2000	74.4%	79.6%	67.4%
Brazil	São José do Cedro	2017	87.5%	90.3%	83.1%
Brazil	São José do Cerrito	2000	78.8%	83.8%	73.0%
Brazil	São José do Cerrito	2017	89.9%	92.7%	86.8%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2000	43.5%	51.7%	36.4%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2000	66.5%	73.3%	58.6%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2017	65.0%	71.9%	58.1%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2017	82.7%	86.7%	77.6%
Brazil	São José do Goiabal	2000	63.3%	70.0%	56.8%
Brazil	São José do Goiabal	2017	80.3%	84.5%	75.5%
Brazil	São José do Herval	2000	75.6%	80.2%	69.9%
Brazil	São José do Herval	2017	88.2%	91.0%	84.8%
Brazil	São José do Hortêncio	2000	73.6%	76.6%	71.0%
Brazil	São José do Hortêncio	2017	87.1%	88.9%	85.3%
Brazil	São José do Inhacorá	2000	74.3%	78.2%	70.0%
Brazil	São José do Inhacorá	2017	87.5%	89.6%	84.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José do Jacuípe	2000	42.0%	47.0%	37.0%
Brazil	São José do Jacuípe	2017	63.7%	68.6%	58.3%
Brazil	São José do Jacuri	2000	64.8%	70.5%	57.2%
Brazil	São José do Jacuri	2017	81.6%	85.2%	76.4%
Brazil	São José do Mantimento	2000	61.0%	66.8%	54.0%
Brazil	São José do Mantimento	2017	79.1%	83.1%	74.1%
Brazil	São José do Ouro	2000	77.7%	82.4%	72.6%
Brazil	São José do Ouro	2017	89.4%	91.9%	86.3%
Brazil	São José do Peixe	2000	44.0%	54.0%	33.9%
Brazil	São José do Peixe	2017	65.3%	74.3%	55.4%
Brazil	São José do Piauí	2000	44.9%	52.0%	37.5%
Brazil	São José do Piauí	2017	66.3%	72.0%	59.3%
Brazil	São José do Povo	2000	47.2%	54.5%	40.0%
Brazil	São José do Povo	2017	68.3%	74.5%	62.3%
Brazil	São José do Rio Claro	2000	50.1%	59.7%	41.7%
Brazil	São José do Rio Claro	2017	70.6%	78.1%	63.2%
Brazil	São José do Rio Pardo	2000	80.5%	84.0%	76.3%
Brazil	São José do Rio Pardo	2017	90.9%	92.7%	88.9%
Brazil	São José do Rio Preto	2000	86.9%	89.4%	84.7%
Brazil	São José do Rio Preto	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.9%
Brazil	São José do Sabugi	2000	56.2%	62.9%	50.1%
Brazil	São José do Sabugi	2017	75.5%	80.4%	70.6%
Brazil	São José do Seridó	2000	60.9%	66.2%	54.8%
Brazil	São José do Seridó	2017	79.0%	82.7%	74.8%
Brazil	São José do Vale do Rio Preto	2000	78.3%	82.0%	73.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José do Vale do Rio Preto	2017	90.1%	92.0%	87.8%
Brazil	São José do Xingu	2000	52.2%	63.0%	40.8%
Brazil	São José do Xingu	2017	72.2%	80.9%	62.6%
Brazil	São José dos Ausentes	2000	82.3%	86.7%	77.5%
Brazil	São José dos Ausentes	2017	91.8%	94.0%	89.4%
Brazil	São José dos Basílios	2000	33.0%	40.4%	26.2%
Brazil	São José dos Basílios	2017	54.3%	62.4%	45.9%
Brazil	São José dos Campos	2000	87.0%	88.8%	85.0%
Brazil	São José dos Campos	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.1%
Brazil	São José dos Cordeiros	2000	45.1%	51.9%	38.3%
Brazil	São José dos Cordeiros	2017	66.5%	72.8%	60.1%
Brazil	São José dos Pinhais	2000	64.8%	67.2%	62.2%
Brazil	São José dos Pinhais	2017	81.8%	83.6%	80.2%
Brazil	São José dos Quatro Marcos	2000	51.4%	59.4%	44.2%
Brazil	São José dos Quatro Marcos	2017	71.8%	77.9%	65.6%
Brazil	São José dos Ramos	2000	44.1%	48.5%	39.8%
Brazil	São José dos Ramos	2017	65.6%	69.8%	61.7%
Brazil	São Juliao	2000	42.7%	50.1%	34.8%
Brazil	São Juliao	2017	64.3%	71.2%	56.5%
Brazil	São Leopoldo	2000	76.1%	77.9%	74.3%
Brazil	São Leopoldo	2017	88.6%	89.6%	87.3%
Brazil	São Lourenço	2000	67.9%	72.3%	62.1%
Brazil	São Lourenço	2017	83.7%	86.4%	79.8%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Mata	2000	37.5%	40.3%	34.9%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Mata	2017	60.3%	63.4%	57.4%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Serra	2000	88.1%	90.2%	85.8%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Serra	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Oeste	2000	73.2%	78.7%	67.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Lourenço do Oeste	2017	86.9%	89.7%	83.4%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Piauí	2000	44.2%	53.3%	36.1%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Piauí	2017	65.6%	73.3%	57.6%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Sul	2000	74.4%	79.1%	69.1%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Sul	2017	87.5%	90.1%	84.4%
Brazil	São Ludgero	2000	77.7%	81.8%	72.8%
Brazil	São Ludgero	2017	89.4%	91.5%	86.6%
Brazil	São Luis	2000	31.8%	34.7%	29.0%
Brazil	São Luis	2017	53.0%	56.5%	49.7%
Brazil	São Luís de Montes Belos	2000	45.8%	51.7%	39.3%
Brazil	São Luís de Montes Belos	2017	67.1%	72.2%	61.0%
Brazil	São Luis do Piauí	2000	44.0%	51.7%	36.4%
Brazil	São Luis do Piauí	2017	65.4%	72.8%	58.4%
Brazil	São Luís do Quitunde	2000	35.7%	40.2%	30.7%
Brazil	São Luís do Quitunde	2017	57.3%	62.1%	51.9%
Brazil	São Luis Gonzaga do Maranhao	2000	32.1%	37.1%	26.1%
Brazil	São Luis Gonzaga do Maranhao	2017	53.3%	58.9%	46.2%
Brazil	São Luiz	2000	74.6%	82.3%	65.6%
Brazil	São Luiz	2017	87.6%	91.8%	82.0%
Brazil	São Luiz do Curu	2000	47.1%	52.0%	41.6%
Brazil	São Luiz do Curu	2017	68.2%	72.5%	63.0%
Brazil	São Luiz do Norte	2000	44.6%	51.2%	36.3%
Brazil	São Luiz do Norte	2017	66.0%	71.8%	58.5%
Brazil	São Luiz do Paraitinga	2000	90.6%	92.7%	87.9%
Brazil	São Luiz do Paraitinga	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	São Luiz Gonzaga	2000	75.5%	80.2%	71.0%
Brazil	São Luiz Gonzaga	2017	88.0%	90.5%	85.2%
Brazil	São Mamede	2000	54.1%	60.6%	48.0%
Brazil	São Mamede	2017	73.9%	78.6%	69.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Manoel do Paraná	2000	65.3%	71.2%	59.7%
Brazil	São Manoel do Paraná	2017	81.9%	85.8%	78.2%
Brazil	São Manuel	2000	88.2%	91.2%	84.9%
Brazil	São Manuel	2017	94.7%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	São Marcos	2000	78.0%	81.6%	73.5%
Brazil	São Marcos	2017	89.6%	91.7%	87.1%
Brazil	São Martinho	2000	77.3%	82.1%	71.5%
Brazil	São Martinho	2000	75.0%	78.7%	70.1%
Brazil	São Martinho	2017	89.2%	91.7%	85.9%
Brazil	São Martinho	2017	87.9%	90.0%	85.1%
Brazil	São Martinho da Serra	2000	73.9%	78.1%	69.4%
Brazil	São Martinho da Serra	2017	87.3%	89.8%	84.6%
Brazil	São Mateus	2000	58.3%	63.2%	52.9%
Brazil	São Mateus	2017	77.1%	80.7%	72.7%
Brazil	São Mateus do Maranhão	2000	32.8%	39.7%	26.7%
Brazil	São Mateus do Maranhão	2017	54.1%	61.5%	46.6%
Brazil	São Mateus do Sul	2000	69.5%	74.4%	64.2%
Brazil	São Mateus do Sul	2017	84.4%	87.5%	81.3%
Brazil	São Miguel	2000	50.4%	55.5%	45.0%
Brazil	São Miguel	2017	71.1%	75.6%	66.7%
Brazil	São Miguel Arcanjo	2000	88.0%	90.9%	84.7%
Brazil	São Miguel Arcanjo	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	São Miguel da Baixa Grande	2000	45.3%	54.8%	36.7%
Brazil	São Miguel da Baixa Grande	2017	66.6%	74.6%	58.2%
Brazil	São Miguel da Boa Vista	2000	75.7%	80.3%	70.9%
Brazil	São Miguel da Boa Vista	2017	88.3%	90.9%	85.5%
Brazil	São Miguel das Matas	2000	45.8%	51.2%	41.9%
Brazil	São Miguel das Matas	2017	67.2%	71.6%	63.4%
Brazil	São Miguel das Misses	2000	74.6%	80.1%	68.9%
Brazil	São Miguel das Misses	2017	87.7%	90.8%	84.1%
Brazil	São Miguel de Touros	2000	60.7%	68.6%	52.2%
Brazil	São Miguel de Touros	2017	78.7%	84.0%	72.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Miguel do Aleixo	2000	62.4%	67.7%	56.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Aleixo	2017	80.1%	83.6%	76.1%
Brazil	São Miguel do Anta	2000	68.1%	72.7%	63.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Anta	2017	83.7%	86.4%	80.8%
Brazil	São Miguel do Araguaia	2000	41.6%	51.6%	32.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Araguaia	2017	63.1%	72.5%	53.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Fidalgo	2000	44.0%	55.2%	34.8%
Brazil	São Miguel do Fidalgo	2017	65.3%	74.7%	56.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guamá	2000	52.3%	57.6%	47.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guamá	2017	72.6%	76.7%	68.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guaporé	2000	55.7%	62.1%	49.3%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guaporé	2017	75.2%	79.7%	69.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Iguaçu	2000	64.4%	70.7%	58.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Iguaçu	2017	81.4%	85.1%	77.5%
Brazil	São Miguel do Oeste	2000	79.4%	83.1%	74.5%
Brazil	São Miguel do Oeste	2017	90.3%	92.4%	87.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Passa Quatro	2000	45.6%	53.7%	38.9%
Brazil	São Miguel do Passa Quatro	2017	66.9%	73.7%	60.8%
Brazil	São Miguel do Tocantins	2000	27.7%	31.8%	23.3%
Brazil	São Miguel do Tocantins	2017	47.8%	52.9%	42.1%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Campos	2000	34.0%	38.8%	29.8%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Campos	2017	55.3%	60.4%	50.2%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Milagres	2000	34.4%	39.4%	29.1%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Milagres	2017	55.9%	61.3%	49.7%
Brazil	São Miguel Taipu	2000	44.2%	48.6%	39.8%
Brazil	São Miguel Taipu	2017	65.7%	69.6%	61.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Miguel Tapuio	2000	45.7%	54.8%	37.9%
Brazil	São Miguel Tapuio	2017	66.9%	74.7%	59.1%
Brazil	São Nicolau	2000	74.7%	81.0%	67.8%
Brazil	São Nicolau	2017	87.7%	91.2%	83.6%
Brazil	São Patrício	2000	44.9%	51.3%	38.2%
Brazil	São Patrício	2017	66.3%	72.0%	59.8%
Brazil	São Paulo	2000	87.5%	88.1%	86.9%
Brazil	São Paulo	2017	94.5%	94.8%	94.1%
Brazil	São Paulo das Missões	2000	74.8%	79.3%	69.3%
Brazil	São Paulo das Missões	2017	87.8%	90.2%	84.5%
Brazil	São Paulo de Olivença	2000	49.6%	59.6%	40.2%
Brazil	São Paulo de Olivença	2017	70.2%	77.8%	62.2%
Brazil	São Paulo do Potengi	2000	63.8%	68.8%	58.3%
Brazil	São Paulo do Potengi	2017	81.0%	84.3%	77.1%
Brazil	São Pedro	2000	88.5%	91.0%	85.5%
Brazil	São Pedro	2000	61.6%	66.1%	56.2%
Brazil	São Pedro	2017	79.5%	82.6%	75.7%
Brazil	São Pedro	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	São Pedro da Água Branca	2000	38.3%	44.1%	31.8%
Brazil	São Pedro da Água Branca	2017	60.0%	66.1%	52.8%
Brazil	São Pedro da Aldeia	2000	84.0%	86.7%	81.0%
Brazil	São Pedro da Aldeia	2017	92.8%	94.2%	91.3%
Brazil	São Pedro da Cipa	2000	48.1%	56.4%	40.8%
Brazil	São Pedro da Cipa	2017	69.0%	75.6%	62.6%
Brazil	São Pedro da Serra	2000	77.3%	80.4%	73.6%
Brazil	São Pedro da Serra	2017	89.2%	90.9%	87.2%
Brazil	São Pedro da União	2000	69.0%	74.0%	63.8%
Brazil	São Pedro da União	2017	84.3%	87.4%	81.0%
Brazil	São Pedro de Alcântara	2000	78.5%	82.1%	74.3%
Brazil	São Pedro de Alcântara	2017	89.8%	91.7%	87.6%
Brazil	São Pedro do Butiá	2000	74.7%	79.3%	69.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Pedro do Butiá	2017	87.7%	90.1%	84.9%
Brazil	São Pedro do Iguaçu	2000	64.1%	69.3%	58.2%
Brazil	São Pedro do Iguaçu	2017	81.2%	84.5%	77.2%
Brazil	São Pedro do Ivaí	2000	63.4%	68.8%	57.9%
Brazil	São Pedro do Ivaí	2017	80.7%	84.4%	76.9%
Brazil	São Pedro do Paraná	2000	54.3%	61.9%	47.1%
Brazil	São Pedro do Paraná	2017	74.1%	79.8%	68.6%
Brazil	São Pedro do Piauí	2000	47.2%	54.9%	39.4%
Brazil	São Pedro do Piauí	2017	68.3%	74.8%	61.2%
Brazil	São Pedro do Suaçuí	2000	64.4%	70.7%	56.8%
Brazil	São Pedro do Suaçuí	2017	81.3%	85.3%	76.0%
Brazil	São Pedro do Sul	2000	74.9%	79.6%	70.2%
Brazil	São Pedro do Sul	2017	87.8%	90.5%	85.2%
Brazil	São Pedro do Turvo	2000	84.9%	88.3%	81.1%
Brazil	São Pedro do Turvo	2017	93.1%	94.8%	91.0%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Crentes	2000	31.6%	42.6%	22.6%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Crentes	2017	52.4%	64.0%	41.2%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Ferros	2000	64.7%	71.0%	59.0%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Ferros	2017	81.6%	85.6%	77.7%
Brazil	São Rafael	2000	63.7%	71.1%	57.3%
Brazil	São Rafael	2017	80.9%	85.6%	76.5%
Brazil	São Raimundo das Mangabeiras	2000	36.4%	46.5%	26.1%
Brazil	São Raimundo das Mangabeiras	2017	57.9%	68.0%	46.1%
Brazil	São Raimundo do Doca Bezerra	2000	33.3%	41.8%	26.2%
Brazil	São Raimundo do Doca Bezerra	2017	54.6%	63.3%	45.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Raimundo Nonato	2000	47.4%	55.4%	38.8%
Brazil	São Raimundo Nonato	2017	68.5%	75.1%	60.7%
Brazil	São Roberto	2000	33.2%	41.8%	26.2%
Brazil	São Roberto	2017	54.5%	63.8%	46.3%
Brazil	São Romão	2000	66.4%	73.2%	58.6%
Brazil	São Romão	2017	82.6%	86.7%	77.4%
Brazil	São Roque	2000	88.4%	90.3%	86.6%
Brazil	São Roque	2017	94.9%	95.7%	93.9%
Brazil	São Roque de Minas	2000	66.6%	73.6%	58.5%
Brazil	São Roque de Minas	2017	82.8%	87.2%	77.4%
Brazil	São Roque do Canaã	2000	58.0%	63.4%	52.0%
Brazil	São Roque do Canaã	2017	76.9%	80.9%	72.4%
Brazil	São Salvador do Tocantins	2000	23.1%	30.9%	15.8%
Brazil	São Salvador do Tocantins	2017	41.9%	52.0%	31.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2000	87.4%	90.9%	84.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2000	33.9%	38.2%	29.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2017	94.4%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2017	55.3%	60.0%	50.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Amoreira	2000	67.4%	72.1%	62.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Amoreira	2017	83.4%	86.5%	80.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Bela Vista	2000	63.1%	68.0%	58.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Bela Vista	2017	80.5%	83.6%	77.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Boa Vista	2000	54.3%	59.8%	48.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Boa Vista	2017	74.1%	78.2%	69.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Grama	2000	80.1%	83.2%	76.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Grama	2017	90.6%	92.4%	88.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião de Lagoa de Roça	2000	47.6%	52.0%	43.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião de Lagoa de Roça	2017	68.7%	72.4%	65.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Alto	2000	83.1%	87.0%	78.9%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Alto	2017	92.3%	94.3%	90.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Sebastião do Anta	2000	67.8%	73.1%	61.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Anta	2017	85.0%	88.0%	81.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Caí	2000	73.6%	76.7%	70.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Caí	2017	87.8%	89.7%	86.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Maranhão	2000	64.2%	69.6%	57.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Maranhão	2017	81.3%	84.8%	76.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Oeste	2000	66.3%	70.5%	60.9%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Oeste	2017	82.6%	85.3%	79.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Paraíso	2000	75.5%	79.9%	70.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Paraíso	2017	88.1%	90.7%	85.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Passé	2000	46.1%	49.8%	42.9%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Passé	2017	70.0%	73.0%	66.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Preto	2000	64.1%	70.9%	56.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Preto	2017	81.2%	85.3%	76.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Verde	2000	70.5%	74.5%	65.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Verde	2017	85.3%	87.8%	81.9%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Tocantins	2000	30.8%	36.7%	25.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Tocantins	2017	51.8%	58.4%	45.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Uatumã	2000	53.0%	60.2%	45.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Uatumã	2017	73.1%	78.4%	67.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Umbuzeiro	2000	37.2%	42.9%	32.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Umbuzeiro	2017	58.8%	64.3%	53.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Vargem Alegre	2000	71.3%	75.4%	66.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Vargem Alegre	2017	85.7%	88.1%	82.6%
Brazil	São Sepé	2000	75.4%	80.4%	69.9%
Brazil	São Sepé	2017	88.1%	90.7%	84.8%
Brazil	São Simão	2000	88.2%	91.3%	85.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Simão	2000	51.1%	58.6%	43.4%
Brazil	São Simão	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	São Simão	2017	71.6%	77.9%	65.2%
Brazil	São Thomé	2000	67.9%	74.0%	61.9%
Brazil	São Thomé das Letras	2017	83.6%	87.4%	79.8%
Brazil	São Tiago	2000	65.9%	71.9%	59.7%
Brazil	São Tiago	2017	82.4%	86.4%	78.2%
Brazil	São Tomás de Aquino	2000	76.4%	80.9%	71.4%
Brazil	São Tomás de Aquino	2017	88.7%	91.1%	85.8%
Brazil	São Tomé	2000	64.2%	69.1%	59.5%
Brazil	São Tomé	2000	59.3%	65.6%	53.0%
Brazil	São Tomé	2017	77.9%	82.0%	73.2%
Brazil	São Tomé	2017	81.2%	84.6%	77.8%
Brazil	São Valentim	2000	77.2%	81.3%	73.1%
Brazil	São Valentim	2017	89.1%	91.4%	86.8%
Brazil	São Valentim do Sul	2000	75.6%	80.7%	70.7%
Brazil	São Valentim do Sul	2017	88.2%	91.0%	85.3%
Brazil	São Valério da Natividade	2000	18.4%	25.6%	12.5%
Brazil	São Valério da Natividade	2017	35.1%	45.4%	25.9%
Brazil	São Valério do Sul	2000	74.9%	79.0%	70.1%
Brazil	São Valério do Sul	2017	87.8%	90.3%	84.7%
Brazil	São Vendelino	2000	72.6%	76.3%	69.0%
Brazil	São Vendelino	2017	86.6%	88.6%	84.4%
Brazil	São Vicente	2000	89.4%	90.8%	87.4%
Brazil	São Vicente	2000	65.2%	71.3%	58.5%
Brazil	São Vicente	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	São Vicente	2017	81.9%	85.6%	77.0%
Brazil	São Vicente de Minas	2000	67.5%	74.2%	60.9%
Brazil	São Vicente de Minas	2017	83.4%	87.7%	79.1%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2000	32.1%	39.0%	26.2%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2000	44.2%	49.1%	39.8%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2017	65.7%	69.5%	61.7%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2017	53.2%	60.5%	46.4%
Brazil	Sapé	2000	52.8%	56.8%	47.8%
Brazil	Sapé	2017	72.9%	76.3%	68.7%
Brazil	Sapeaçu	2000	44.5%	49.0%	40.3%
Brazil	Sapeaçu	2017	66.0%	70.1%	61.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sapezal	2000	28.7%	36.4%	22.5%
Brazil	Sapezal	2000	52.7%	63.9%	43.0%
Brazil	Sapezal	2017	72.7%	81.1%	64.4%
Brazil	Sapezal	2017	49.2%	57.4%	41.3%
Brazil	Sapiranga	2000	76.1%	78.3%	73.6%
Brazil	Sapiranga	2017	88.5%	89.9%	86.9%
Brazil	Sapopema	2000	63.0%	69.2%	56.9%
Brazil	Sapopema	2017	80.4%	84.6%	76.2%
Brazil	Sapucaí-Mirim	2000	80.7%	84.3%	77.0%
Brazil	Sapucaí-Mirim	2017	90.9%	92.9%	88.6%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2000	74.2%	78.2%	69.8%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2000	49.3%	56.8%	41.5%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2017	87.4%	89.7%	84.8%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2017	70.1%	76.2%	63.4%
Brazil	Sapucaia do Sul	2000	75.9%	77.9%	74.1%
Brazil	Sapucaia do Sul	2017	88.4%	89.5%	87.2%
Brazil	Squarema	2000	83.9%	87.1%	80.3%
Brazil	Squarema	2017	92.8%	94.5%	91.1%
Brazil	Sarandi	2000	63.7%	66.9%	60.2%
Brazil	Sarandi	2000	74.2%	78.9%	69.2%
Brazil	Sarandi	2017	80.9%	83.2%	78.4%
Brazil	Sarandi	2017	87.4%	90.0%	84.3%
Brazil	Sarapuí	2000	87.3%	90.1%	84.1%
Brazil	Sarapuí	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Sardoá	2000	70.3%	76.0%	63.9%
Brazil	Sardoá	2017	85.1%	88.4%	81.1%
Brazil	Sarutaiá	2000	83.1%	86.4%	78.1%
Brazil	Sarutaiá	2017	92.2%	94.0%	89.6%
Brazil	Sarzedo	2000	65.3%	68.3%	62.9%
Brazil	Sarzedo	2017	81.9%	83.8%	80.2%
Brazil	Sátiro Dias	2000	45.4%	51.7%	39.3%
Brazil	Sátiro Dias	2017	66.7%	72.5%	61.0%
Brazil	Satuba	2000	32.1%	34.6%	29.3%
Brazil	Satuba	2017	53.2%	56.3%	50.0%
Brazil	Satubinha	2000	33.0%	39.5%	27.5%
Brazil	Satubinha	2017	54.3%	61.3%	47.7%
Brazil	Saubara	2000	43.4%	48.2%	39.1%
Brazil	Saubara	2017	65.0%	69.3%	60.5%
Brazil	Saudade do Iguaçu	2000	63.9%	69.2%	57.9%
Brazil	Saudade do Iguaçu	2017	81.0%	84.6%	76.6%
Brazil	Saudades	2000	77.0%	81.4%	72.1%
Brazil	Saudades	2017	89.0%	91.4%	86.4%
Brazil	Saúde	2000	45.0%	50.8%	39.3%
Brazil	Saúde	2017	66.4%	71.5%	60.9%
Brazil	Schroeder	2000	75.7%	79.4%	71.9%
Brazil	Schroeder	2017	88.2%	90.3%	86.0%
Brazil	Seabra	2000	49.1%	55.0%	44.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Seabra	2017	69.9%	74.7%	65.3%
Brazil	Seara	2000	77.4%	81.7%	72.6%
Brazil	Seara	2017	89.2%	91.5%	86.4%
Brazil	Sebastianópolis do Sul	2000	87.3%	90.8%	83.3%
Brazil	Sebastianópolis do Sul	2017	94.3%	95.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Sebastião Barros	2000	46.3%	56.7%	36.0%
Brazil	Sebastião Barros	2017	67.4%	76.3%	57.5%
Brazil	Sebastião Laranjeiras	2000	49.6%	57.0%	43.2%
Brazil	Sebastião Laranjeiras	2017	70.2%	76.3%	64.6%
Brazil	Sebastião Leal	2000	44.4%	55.0%	34.8%
Brazil	Sebastião Leal	2017	65.6%	74.6%	56.7%
Brazil	Seberi	2000	76.0%	79.7%	71.1%
Brazil	Seberi	2017	88.4%	90.4%	85.6%
Brazil	Sede Nova	2000	75.5%	79.6%	71.2%
Brazil	Sede Nova	2017	88.2%	90.4%	85.5%
Brazil	Segredo	2000	75.5%	79.7%	70.1%
Brazil	Segredo	2017	88.1%	90.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Selbach	2000	73.9%	78.6%	69.2%
Brazil	Selbach	2017	87.2%	90.1%	84.4%
Brazil	Selvíria	2000	45.0%	53.9%	36.3%
Brazil	Selvíria	2017	65.9%	73.3%	57.6%
Brazil	Sem-Peixe	2000	62.8%	68.7%	56.4%
Brazil	Sem-Peixe	2017	80.3%	84.2%	76.0%
Brazil	Sena	2000	48.2%	56.0%	40.7%
Brazil	Madureira Sena	2017	69.1%	75.3%	62.7%
Brazil	Senador Alexandre Costa	2000	34.2%	41.4%	26.9%
Brazil	Senador Alexandre Costa	2017	55.6%	63.0%	47.6%
Brazil	Senador Amaral	2000	82.3%	85.3%	77.4%
Brazil	Senador Amaral	2017	91.8%	93.4%	89.2%
Brazil	Senador Canedo	2000	42.3%	45.0%	39.4%
Brazil	Senador Canedo	2017	64.4%	66.8%	61.5%
Brazil	Senador Cortes	2000	73.0%	77.6%	68.1%
Brazil	Senador Cortes	2017	86.8%	89.3%	83.6%
Brazil	Senador Elói de Souza	2000	61.3%	66.0%	56.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Senador Elói de Souza	2017	79.3%	82.6%	75.6%
Brazil	Senador Firmino	2000	63.1%	68.0%	58.4%
Brazil	Senador Firmino	2017	81.7%	84.7%	78.6%
Brazil	Senador Georgino Avelino	2000	60.8%	66.5%	54.9%
Brazil	Senador Georgino Avelino	2017	78.9%	82.7%	74.6%
Brazil	Senador Guiomard	2000	45.0%	48.9%	40.4%
Brazil	Senador Guiomard	2017	66.5%	70.2%	62.4%
Brazil	Senador José Bento	2000	65.3%	70.6%	59.9%
Brazil	Senador José Bento	2017	82.2%	85.4%	78.7%
Brazil	Senador José Porfírio	2000	53.0%	61.5%	44.4%
Brazil	Senador José Porfírio	2017	72.9%	79.5%	65.2%
Brazil	Senador La Rocque	2000	29.2%	35.3%	24.2%
Brazil	Senador La Rocque	2017	49.9%	56.2%	43.8%
Brazil	Senador Modestino Gonçalves	2000	65.6%	73.4%	57.9%
Brazil	Senador Modestino Gonçalves	2017	82.1%	87.1%	76.9%
Brazil	Senador Pompeu	2000	42.7%	49.1%	37.5%
Brazil	Senador Pompeu	2017	64.3%	70.0%	59.2%
Brazil	Senador Rui Palmeira	2000	36.5%	41.6%	31.4%
Brazil	Senador Rui Palmeira	2017	58.1%	62.9%	52.5%
Brazil	Senador Sá	2000	44.7%	50.1%	38.9%
Brazil	Senador Sá	2017	66.2%	70.8%	60.5%
Brazil	Senador Salgado Filho	2000	74.3%	78.3%	70.1%
Brazil	Senador Salgado Filho	2017	87.5%	89.7%	84.8%
Brazil	Sengés	2000	71.9%	77.1%	65.6%
Brazil	Sengés	2017	86.1%	89.2%	82.1%
Brazil	Senhor do Bonfim	2000	45.5%	50.7%	40.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Senhor do Bonfim	2017	66.8%	71.8%	62.6%
Brazil	Senhora de Oliveira	2000	65.6%	70.8%	60.4%
Brazil	Senhora de Oliveira	2017	82.1%	85.8%	78.6%
Brazil	Senhora do Porto	2000	66.8%	73.2%	59.8%
Brazil	Senhora do Porto	2017	82.9%	86.9%	78.3%
Brazil	Senhora dos Remédios	2000	64.6%	69.8%	58.9%
Brazil	Senhora dos Remédios	2017	81.5%	85.2%	77.8%
Brazil	Sentinela do Sul	2000	75.0%	79.2%	70.0%
Brazil	Sentinela do Sul	2017	87.9%	90.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Sento Sé	2000	43.8%	50.1%	37.2%
Brazil	Sento Sé	2017	65.2%	71.0%	58.2%
Brazil	Serafina Cor-rêa	2000	77.7%	81.7%	73.3%
Brazil	Serafina Cor-rêa	2017	89.4%	91.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Sericita	2000	68.8%	73.9%	63.4%
Brazil	Sericita	2017	84.1%	87.4%	80.8%
Brazil	Seridó	2000	47.1%	53.7%	40.8%
Brazil	Seridó	2017	68.2%	73.8%	62.5%
Brazil	Seringueiras	2000	56.9%	66.2%	46.0%
Brazil	Seringueiras	2017	76.0%	82.7%	67.9%
Brazil	Sério	2000	77.5%	81.1%	73.5%
Brazil	Sério	2017	89.3%	91.2%	86.8%
Brazil	Seritinga	2000	71.8%	77.8%	66.2%
Brazil	Seritinga	2017	86.0%	89.6%	82.4%
Brazil	Seropédica	2000	81.7%	83.5%	79.8%
Brazil	Seropédica	2017	91.6%	92.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Serra	2000	56.1%	58.9%	53.1%
Brazil	Serra	2017	75.5%	77.7%	73.1%
Brazil	Serra Alta	2000	76.7%	81.2%	71.7%
Brazil	Serra Alta	2017	88.8%	91.3%	85.8%
Brazil	Serra Azul	2000	82.3%	86.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Serra Azul	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.9%
Brazil	Serra Azul de Minas	2000	66.7%	73.2%	58.2%
Brazil	Serra Azul de Minas	2017	82.8%	86.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Serra Branca	2000	43.5%	50.2%	37.2%
Brazil	Serra Branca	2017	65.0%	70.7%	59.0%
Brazil	Serra da Raiz	2000	51.8%	56.9%	47.2%
Brazil	Serra da Raiz	2017	72.2%	76.3%	68.2%
Brazil	Serra da Saudad	2000	66.1%	73.1%	59.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Serra da Saudad	2017	82.5%	86.8%	78.0%
Brazil	Serra de São Bento	2000	59.2%	64.1%	52.8%
Brazil	Serra de São Bento	2017	77.8%	81.2%	73.0%
Brazil	Serra do Mel	2000	63.2%	69.3%	57.1%
Brazil	Serra do Mel	2017	80.6%	84.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Serra do Navio	2000	52.0%	63.9%	41.3%
Brazil	Serra do Navio	2017	72.2%	81.2%	63.2%
Brazil	Serra do Ramalho	2000	46.1%	52.6%	40.0%
Brazil	Serra do Ramalho	2017	67.4%	72.7%	61.4%
Brazil	Serra do Salitre	2000	66.7%	72.9%	60.2%
Brazil	Serra do Salitre	2017	82.9%	86.7%	78.7%
Brazil	Serra dos Aimorés	2000	56.0%	62.0%	49.2%
Brazil	Serra dos Aimorés	2017	75.5%	79.7%	70.1%
Brazil	Serra dourada	2000	45.6%	52.9%	38.0%
Brazil	Serra dourada	2017	66.9%	73.2%	60.1%
Brazil	Serra Grande	2000	47.5%	54.0%	41.0%
Brazil	Serra Grande	2017	68.6%	74.1%	62.9%
Brazil	Serra Negra	2000	83.7%	86.7%	80.5%
Brazil	Serra Negra	2017	92.6%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Serra Negra do Norte	2000	54.7%	61.0%	48.3%
Brazil	Serra Negra do Norte	2017	74.5%	78.9%	69.3%
Brazil	Serra Preta	2000	45.2%	51.6%	39.3%
Brazil	Serra Preta	2017	66.6%	71.7%	61.0%
Brazil	Serra Redonda	2000	45.3%	49.6%	41.1%
Brazil	Serra Redonda	2017	66.7%	70.5%	62.6%
Brazil	Serra Talhada	2000	40.6%	45.4%	35.1%
Brazil	Serra Talhada	2017	62.2%	67.1%	56.9%
Brazil	Serrana	2000	84.6%	87.8%	81.0%
Brazil	Serrana	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Serrania	2000	67.4%	74.7%	60.0%
Brazil	Serrania	2017	83.3%	87.9%	78.5%
Brazil	Serrano do Maranhão	2000	34.0%	42.4%	27.0%
Brazil	Serrano do Maranhão	2017	55.3%	64.2%	46.8%
Brazil	Serranópolis	2000	40.0%	48.0%	32.4%
Brazil	Serranópolis	2017	61.6%	69.2%	53.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Serranópolis de Minas	2000	63.6%	70.3%	58.3%
Brazil	Serranópolis de Minas	2017	81.7%	86.0%	78.2%
Brazil	Serranópolis do Iguaçu	2000	64.6%	71.4%	58.3%
Brazil	Serranópolis do Iguaçu	2017	81.5%	85.8%	77.1%
Brazil	Serranos	2000	71.4%	77.3%	65.8%
Brazil	Serranos	2017	85.7%	89.4%	82.0%
Brazil	Serraria	2000	50.0%	54.9%	45.4%
Brazil	Serraria	2017	70.8%	74.7%	66.8%
Brazil	Serrinha	2000	60.4%	65.2%	54.6%
Brazil	Serrinha	2000	46.4%	51.1%	41.0%
Brazil	Serrinha	2017	78.7%	81.9%	74.5%
Brazil	Serrinha	2017	67.7%	71.9%	63.4%
Brazil	Serrinha dos Pintos	2000	61.0%	66.3%	54.8%
Brazil	Serrinha dos Pintos	2017	79.2%	82.5%	74.7%
Brazil	Serrita	2000	40.3%	45.6%	34.9%
Brazil	Serrita	2017	62.0%	67.1%	56.3%
Brazil	Serro	2000	68.0%	74.7%	60.8%
Brazil	Serro	2017	83.6%	87.7%	78.7%
Brazil	Serrolândia	2000	46.5%	51.7%	41.4%
Brazil	Serrolândia	2017	67.7%	72.2%	63.0%
Brazil	Sertaneja	2000	70.3%	75.8%	65.0%
Brazil	Sertaneja	2017	85.1%	88.3%	81.9%
Brazil	Sertânia	2000	37.0%	42.7%	31.8%
Brazil	Sertânia	2017	58.7%	64.4%	53.1%
Brazil	Sertanópolis	2000	66.7%	71.5%	61.9%
Brazil	Sertanópolis	2017	82.9%	85.9%	79.5%
Brazil	Sertão	2000	74.5%	78.6%	70.7%
Brazil	Sertão	2017	87.6%	90.1%	85.4%
Brazil	Sertão Santana	2000	75.1%	79.4%	70.4%
Brazil	Sertão Santana	2017	87.9%	90.4%	85.2%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2000	87.2%	89.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2000	51.0%	56.0%	46.3%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2017	71.6%	75.9%	67.5%
Brazil	Sete Barras	2000	83.4%	87.7%	78.9%
Brazil	Sete Barras	2017	92.4%	94.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Sete de Setembro	2000	74.4%	78.5%	70.1%
Brazil	Sete de Setembro	2017	87.6%	89.9%	85.0%
Brazil	Sete Lagoas	2000	70.0%	74.0%	65.3%
Brazil	Sete Lagoas	2017	85.0%	87.4%	82.1%
Brazil	Sete Quedas	2000	33.4%	42.7%	24.8%
Brazil	Sete Quedas	2017	54.5%	64.4%	44.6%
Brazil	Setubinha	2000	69.0%	74.7%	62.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Setubinha	2017	84.3%	87.9%	80.1%
Brazil	Severiano de Almeida	2000	75.9%	79.7%	71.2%
Brazil	Severiano de Almeida	2017	88.4%	90.6%	85.6%
Brazil	Severiano Melo	2000	54.0%	61.0%	47.6%
Brazil	Severiano Melo	2017	73.9%	78.9%	68.7%
Brazil	Severínia	2000	86.3%	89.3%	82.5%
Brazil	Severínia	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Siderópolis	2000	78.8%	82.5%	74.8%
Brazil	Siderópolis	2017	90.0%	91.9%	87.8%
Brazil	Sidrolândia	2000	28.6%	34.9%	23.0%
Brazil	Sidrolândia	2017	49.2%	56.6%	41.8%
Brazil	Sigefredo Pacheco	2000	44.9%	52.8%	37.5%
Brazil	Sigefredo Pacheco	2017	66.2%	73.1%	59.0%
Brazil	Silva Jardim	2000	82.2%	85.7%	79.0%
Brazil	Silva Jardim	2017	91.8%	93.5%	90.1%
Brazil	Silvânia	2000	44.2%	49.8%	39.1%
Brazil	Silvânia	2017	65.6%	70.3%	60.7%
Brazil	Silvanópolis	2000	18.2%	24.1%	12.5%
Brazil	Silvanópolis	2017	34.8%	43.8%	26.3%
Brazil	Silveira Martins	2000	73.7%	77.8%	69.5%
Brazil	Silveira Martins	2017	87.1%	89.4%	84.7%
Brazil	Silveirânia	2000	64.0%	69.1%	58.8%
Brazil	Silveirânia	2017	81.1%	84.6%	77.1%
Brazil	Silveiras	2000	84.6%	87.9%	80.7%
Brazil	Silveiras	2017	92.9%	94.6%	90.9%
Brazil	Silves	2000	48.6%	56.7%	40.1%
Brazil	Silves	2017	69.4%	76.2%	61.8%
Brazil	Silvianópolis	2000	62.8%	67.9%	57.2%
Brazil	Silvianópolis	2017	80.3%	83.8%	76.5%
Brazil	Simão Dias	2000	59.9%	64.7%	55.3%
Brazil	Simão Dias	2017	78.3%	81.8%	74.4%
Brazil	Simão Pereira	2000	70.6%	74.5%	66.5%
Brazil	Simão Pereira	2017	85.3%	87.4%	82.8%
Brazil	Simões	2000	44.3%	51.3%	36.7%
Brazil	Simões	2017	65.7%	72.1%	58.0%
Brazil	Simões Filho	2000	48.1%	50.5%	45.5%
Brazil	Simões Filho	2017	68.4%	70.6%	66.2%
Brazil	Simolândia	2000	45.8%	54.0%	37.9%
Brazil	Simolândia	2017	67.1%	74.0%	59.7%
Brazil	Simonésia	2000	66.8%	71.8%	61.2%
Brazil	Simonésia	2017	82.9%	86.0%	79.2%
Brazil	Simplício Mendes	2000	45.1%	55.0%	35.8%
Brazil	Simplício Mendes	2017	66.3%	74.8%	57.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sinimbu	2000	76.0%	80.2%	71.3%
Brazil	Sinimbu	2017	88.5%	90.7%	85.8%
Brazil	Sinop	2000	51.2%	57.9%	45.5%
Brazil	Sinop	2017	72.0%	77.1%	67.1%
Brazil	Siqueira Campos	2000	69.9%	76.0%	64.3%
Brazil	Siqueira Campos	2017	84.8%	88.5%	81.4%
Brazil	Sirinhaém	2000	36.9%	41.4%	32.6%
Brazil	Sirinhaém	2017	58.5%	63.4%	54.1%
Brazil	Siriri	2000	64.4%	68.5%	59.8%
Brazil	Siriri	2017	81.4%	84.1%	78.2%
Brazil	Sítio d'Abadia	2000	50.4%	60.2%	40.7%
Brazil	Sítio d'Abadia	2017	71.0%	79.0%	62.7%
Brazil	Sítio do Mato	2000	45.2%	52.1%	38.6%
Brazil	Sítio do Mato	2017	66.5%	72.6%	60.1%
Brazil	Sítio do Quinto	2000	47.5%	53.9%	41.0%
Brazil	Sítio do Quinto	2017	68.5%	74.0%	63.2%
Brazil	Sítio dos Mor-eiras	2000	42.0%	47.4%	36.2%
Brazil	Sítio dos Mor-eiras	2017	63.6%	68.5%	57.8%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2000	62.4%	68.6%	56.0%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2000	31.5%	40.0%	23.4%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2017	80.0%	84.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2017	52.4%	61.9%	42.8%
Brazil	Sítio Novo do Tocantins	2000	23.8%	27.8%	19.4%
Brazil	Sítio Novo do Tocantins	2017	43.0%	48.4%	37.1%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2000	37.5%	43.0%	31.4%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2000	75.7%	80.4%	71.0%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2017	59.1%	64.9%	52.5%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2017	88.3%	90.9%	85.4%
Brazil	Sobrado	2000	47.1%	51.5%	42.8%
Brazil	Sobrado	2017	68.2%	71.9%	64.2%
Brazil	Sobral	2000	45.8%	49.5%	42.3%
Brazil	Sobral	2017	67.2%	70.4%	63.9%
Brazil	Sobralia	2000	64.3%	68.9%	58.8%
Brazil	Sobralia	2017	81.3%	84.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Socorro	2000	80.7%	84.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Socorro	2017	91.0%	92.8%	89.0%
Brazil	Socorro do Piauí	2000	44.6%	54.5%	33.5%
Brazil	Socorro do Piauí	2017	65.9%	74.3%	55.6%
Brazil	Solânea	2000	53.4%	58.1%	48.7%
Brazil	Solânea	2017	73.3%	77.0%	69.7%
Brazil	Soledade	2000	44.5%	51.0%	38.7%
Brazil	Soledade	2000	76.5%	80.4%	71.5%
Brazil	Soledade	2017	88.7%	90.8%	85.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Soledade	2017	66.0%	71.8%	60.4%
Brazil	Soledade de Minas	2000	66.6%	71.2%	61.0%
Brazil	Soledade de Minas	2017	82.9%	85.7%	79.2%
Brazil	Solonópole	2000	41.5%	48.0%	36.1%
Brazil	Solonópole	2017	63.2%	69.0%	57.6%
Brazil	Sombrio	2000	76.9%	81.5%	71.9%
Brazil	Sombrio	2017	88.9%	91.4%	85.9%
Brazil	Sonora	2000	39.0%	48.1%	29.7%
Brazil	Sonora	2017	60.5%	69.2%	50.2%
Brazil	Sooretama	2000	57.7%	63.9%	52.6%
Brazil	Sooretama	2017	76.7%	81.1%	73.0%
Brazil	Sorocaba	2000	87.3%	89.1%	85.3%
Brazil	Sorocaba	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Sossêgo	2000	48.1%	54.5%	42.5%
Brazil	Sossêgo	2017	69.2%	74.2%	63.6%
Brazil	Soure	2000	56.2%	63.4%	48.6%
Brazil	Soure	2017	75.5%	80.8%	69.5%
Brazil	Sousa	2000	51.5%	56.6%	45.5%
Brazil	Sousa	2017	71.9%	76.0%	66.8%
Brazil	Souto Soares	2000	46.0%	51.1%	39.4%
Brazil	Souto Soares	2017	67.2%	72.1%	61.7%
Brazil	Sucupira	2000	19.0%	24.1%	14.1%
Brazil	Sucupira	2017	36.1%	43.4%	28.4%
Brazil	Sucupira do Norte	2000	36.0%	46.4%	28.2%
Brazil	Sucupira do Norte	2017	57.4%	68.0%	48.6%
Brazil	Sucupira do Riachão	2000	38.0%	46.9%	30.3%
Brazil	Sucupira do Riachão	2017	59.6%	68.2%	50.9%
Brazil	Sud Mennucci	2000	81.2%	86.4%	75.8%
Brazil	Sud Mennucci	2017	91.3%	93.9%	88.3%
Brazil	Sul Brazil	2000	75.9%	80.4%	70.7%
Brazil	Sul Brazil	2017	88.4%	91.0%	85.2%
Brazil	Sulina	2000	63.8%	69.6%	57.4%
Brazil	Sulina	2017	81.0%	84.6%	76.6%
Brazil	Sumaré	2000	87.8%	89.5%	86.0%
Brazil	Sumaré	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Sumé	2000	42.8%	48.3%	35.9%
Brazil	Sumé	2017	64.3%	69.4%	57.7%
Brazil	Sumidouro	2000	80.8%	83.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Sumidouro	2017	91.1%	92.6%	89.3%
Brazil	Surubim	2000	39.8%	43.8%	35.6%
Brazil	Surubim	2017	61.5%	65.3%	57.4%
Brazil	Sussuapara	2000	44.2%	50.6%	37.9%
Brazil	Sussuapara	2017	65.6%	71.4%	59.4%
Brazil	Suzanópolis	2000	87.2%	88.6%	85.7%
Brazil	Suzanópolis	2017	94.3%	94.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Suzano	2000	88.1%	89.3%	86.9%
Brazil	Suzano	2017	94.7%	95.3%	94.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Tabaí	2000	74.2%	77.5%	69.2%
Brazil	Tabaí	2017	87.4%	89.3%	84.5%
Brazil	Tabaporã	2000	48.8%	60.2%	38.4%
Brazil	Tabaporã	2017	69.5%	78.3%	59.9%
Brazil	Tabapuã	2000	86.2%	89.3%	82.8%
Brazil	Tabapuã	2017	93.8%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2000	49.3%	57.7%	40.4%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2000	86.2%	89.3%	82.5%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2017	69.9%	76.7%	61.9%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2017	93.8%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Tabira	2000	38.9%	43.8%	33.7%
Brazil	Tabira	2017	60.6%	65.5%	55.1%
Brazil	Taboão da Serra	2000	87.5%	88.5%	86.5%
Brazil	Taboão da Serra	2017	94.4%	95.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Tabocas do Brejo Velho	2000	46.4%	53.1%	39.3%
Brazil	Tabocas do Brejo Velho	2017	67.5%	73.6%	61.1%
Brazil	Taboleiro Grande	2000	53.7%	60.8%	47.6%
Brazil	Taboleiro Grande	2017	73.7%	78.8%	68.9%
Brazil	Tabuleiro	2000	61.3%	66.4%	56.3%
Brazil	Tabuleiro	2017	79.3%	82.6%	75.7%
Brazil	Tabuleiro do Norte	2000	45.9%	51.4%	40.0%
Brazil	Tabuleiro do Norte	2017	67.2%	71.9%	61.9%
Brazil	Tacaimbó	2000	36.2%	40.7%	31.9%
Brazil	Tacaimbó	2017	57.9%	62.4%	52.9%
Brazil	Tacaratu	2000	38.0%	43.8%	32.6%
Brazil	Tacaratu	2017	59.9%	65.4%	54.3%
Brazil	Taciba	2000	82.5%	86.3%	77.4%
Brazil	Taciba	2017	91.9%	93.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Tacima	2000	54.8%	59.1%	50.2%
Brazil	Tacima	2017	74.6%	77.7%	70.7%
Brazil	Tacuru	2000	28.3%	36.1%	21.7%
Brazil	Tacuru	2017	48.8%	57.5%	40.2%
Brazil	Taguaí	2000	81.2%	85.4%	75.2%
Brazil	Taguaí	2017	91.2%	93.4%	88.1%
Brazil	Taguatinga	2000	28.2%	35.3%	21.9%
Brazil	Taguatinga	2017	48.6%	56.6%	40.1%
Brazil	Taiacu	2000	86.6%	89.5%	83.4%
Brazil	Taiacu	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Tailândia	2000	56.1%	61.4%	49.3%
Brazil	Tailândia	2017	75.5%	79.6%	70.3%
Brazil	Taió	2000	78.0%	83.4%	72.7%
Brazil	Taió	2017	89.5%	92.5%	86.6%
Brazil	Taiobeiras	2000	66.2%	73.0%	59.1%
Brazil	Taiobeiras	2017	82.5%	86.8%	77.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Taipas do Tocantins	2000	20.1%	27.5%	14.4%
Brazil	Taipas do Tocantins	2017	37.6%	47.6%	28.9%
Brazil	Taipu	2000	62.2%	67.7%	56.8%
Brazil	Taipu	2017	79.9%	83.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Taiúva	2000	86.3%	89.0%	83.1%
Brazil	Taiúva	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Talismã	2000	27.4%	34.5%	20.9%
Brazil	Talismã	2017	47.6%	55.8%	38.7%
Brazil	Tamandaré	2000	40.6%	45.1%	36.2%
Brazil	Tamandaré	2017	62.2%	66.5%	58.0%
Brazil	Tamarana	2000	64.4%	69.6%	58.7%
Brazil	Tamarana	2017	81.3%	84.8%	77.3%
Brazil	Tambaú	2000	86.0%	89.0%	82.2%
Brazil	Tambaú	2017	93.7%	95.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Tambe	2000	46.8%	50.6%	43.0%
Brazil	Tambe	2017	68.0%	71.4%	64.6%
Brazil	Tamboara	2000	64.4%	68.8%	59.5%
Brazil	Tamboara	2017	81.4%	84.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Tamboril	2000	44.1%	49.6%	38.0%
Brazil	Tamboril	2017	65.5%	70.7%	59.6%
Brazil	Tamboril do Piauí	2000	46.1%	56.5%	35.9%
Brazil	Tamboril do Piauí	2017	67.3%	76.0%	57.6%
Brazil	Tanabi	2000	86.8%	89.6%	83.1%
Brazil	Tanabi	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Tangará	2000	60.6%	66.5%	54.4%
Brazil	Tangará	2000	77.4%	81.6%	72.6%
Brazil	Tangará	2017	78.8%	82.8%	74.3%
Brazil	Tangará	2017	89.2%	91.7%	86.4%
Brazil	Tangará da Serra	2000	53.0%	60.2%	46.3%
Brazil	Tangará da Serra	2017	73.1%	78.5%	67.8%
Brazil	Tanguá	2000	82.0%	85.2%	79.2%
Brazil	Tanguá	2017	91.7%	93.2%	90.1%
Brazil	Tanhaçu	2000	42.9%	48.5%	37.1%
Brazil	Tanhaçu	2017	64.4%	69.6%	58.8%
Brazil	Tanque d'Arca	2000	31.0%	35.3%	26.9%
Brazil	Tanque d'Arca	2017	52.0%	56.9%	47.3%
Brazil	Tanque do Piauí	2000	47.0%	55.8%	38.6%
Brazil	Tanque do Piauí	2017	68.1%	75.5%	60.5%
Brazil	Tanque Novo	2000	48.8%	55.0%	42.9%
Brazil	Tanque Novo	2017	69.7%	74.9%	64.6%
Brazil	Tanquinho	2000	45.1%	51.1%	40.1%
Brazil	Tanquinho	2017	66.5%	71.8%	61.7%
Brazil	Taparuba	2000	62.6%	68.7%	55.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Taparuba	2017	80.3%	84.5%	75.6%
Brazil	Tapauá	2000	50.3%	63.3%	37.5%
Brazil	Tapauá	2017	70.5%	80.1%	58.8%
Brazil	Tapejara	2000	65.4%	70.9%	59.2%
Brazil	Tapejara	2000	74.7%	79.0%	70.0%
Brazil	Tapejara	2017	82.1%	85.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Tapejara	2017	87.7%	90.1%	85.0%
Brazil	Tapera	2000	75.7%	79.8%	71.2%
Brazil	Tapera	2017	88.2%	90.6%	85.5%
Brazil	Taperoá	2000	45.2%	49.9%	40.1%
Brazil	Taperoá	2000	47.2%	54.0%	41.3%
Brazil	Taperoá	2017	67.6%	71.5%	63.1%
Brazil	Taperoá	2017	68.3%	74.1%	62.6%
Brazil	Tapes	2000	75.8%	80.7%	70.0%
Brazil	Tapes	2017	88.3%	91.1%	85.0%
Brazil	Tapira	2000	65.3%	70.8%	59.3%
Brazil	Tapira	2000	71.2%	78.1%	64.7%
Brazil	Tapira	2017	81.9%	85.5%	77.7%
Brazil	Tapira	2017	85.6%	89.5%	81.4%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2000	66.2%	72.8%	58.7%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2000	87.8%	90.9%	83.8%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2017	82.5%	86.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Tapiramutá	2000	47.7%	55.6%	40.8%
Brazil	Tapiramutá	2017	68.8%	74.9%	62.6%
Brazil	Tapiratiba	2000	73.4%	78.5%	68.3%
Brazil	Tapiratiba	2017	87.0%	89.7%	83.9%
Brazil	Tapurah	2000	50.2%	56.5%	44.4%
Brazil	Tapurah	2017	70.8%	75.8%	66.4%
Brazil	Taquara	2000	73.9%	77.1%	70.5%
Brazil	Taquara	2017	87.3%	89.0%	85.2%
Brazil	Taquaraçu de Minas	2000	65.4%	69.8%	60.5%
Brazil	Taquaraçu de Minas	2017	82.1%	85.1%	78.8%
Brazil	Taquaral	2000	86.1%	88.8%	82.6%
Brazil	Taquaral	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Taquaral de Goiás	2000	45.4%	51.3%	40.6%
Brazil	Taquaral de Goiás	2017	66.8%	71.7%	62.1%
Brazil	Taquarana	2000	30.9%	34.9%	27.2%
Brazil	Taquarana	2017	51.9%	56.3%	47.8%
Brazil	Taquari	2000	75.9%	79.7%	71.9%
Brazil	Taquari	2017	88.4%	90.4%	86.1%
Brazil	Taquaritinga	2000	86.3%	89.1%	83.4%
Brazil	Taquaritinga	2017	93.9%	95.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Taquaritinga do Norte	2000	42.3%	46.5%	38.5%
Brazil	Taquaritinga do Norte	2017	63.4%	67.3%	59.4%
Brazil	Taquarituba	2000	83.7%	87.6%	78.8%
Brazil	Taquarituba	2017	92.5%	94.5%	90.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Taquarivaí	2000	83.8%	88.1%	78.5%
Brazil	Taquarivaí	2017	92.6%	94.7%	90.0%
Brazil	Taquaruçu do Sul	2000	76.3%	80.0%	71.4%
Brazil	Taquaruçu do Sul	2017	88.6%	90.7%	85.8%
Brazil	Taquarussu	2000	40.5%	47.4%	34.7%
Brazil	Taquarussu	2017	62.1%	68.5%	56.4%
Brazil	Tarabai	2000	84.3%	87.4%	80.3%
Brazil	Tarabai	2017	92.9%	94.5%	90.8%
Brazil	Tarauacá	2000	49.1%	57.2%	41.2%
Brazil	Tarauacá	2017	69.8%	76.2%	63.1%
Brazil	Tarrafas	2000	43.0%	48.3%	37.1%
Brazil	Tarrafas	2017	64.5%	69.7%	58.7%
Brazil	Tartarugalzinho	2000	50.2%	60.3%	40.9%
Brazil	Tartarugalzinho	2017	70.8%	78.5%	62.4%
Brazil	Tarumã	2000	80.1%	84.0%	75.4%
Brazil	Tarumã	2017	90.7%	92.7%	88.2%
Brazil	Tarumirim	2000	65.4%	70.2%	60.2%
Brazil	Tarumirim	2017	82.0%	85.2%	78.4%
Brazil	Tasso Fragoso	2000	36.5%	49.2%	26.2%
Brazil	Tasso Fragoso	2017	57.7%	69.9%	46.6%
Brazil	Tatuí	2000	81.7%	86.2%	76.9%
Brazil	Tatuí	2017	91.5%	93.7%	89.1%
Brazil	Tauá	2000	43.7%	49.0%	38.0%
Brazil	Tauá	2017	65.2%	70.4%	59.8%
Brazil	Taubaté	2000	85.8%	87.9%	83.0%
Brazil	Taubaté	2017	93.6%	94.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Tavares	2000	43.7%	49.1%	38.0%
Brazil	Tavares	2000	74.2%	81.6%	64.6%
Brazil	Tavares	2017	65.2%	70.3%	59.7%
Brazil	Tavares	2017	87.4%	91.5%	81.4%
Brazil	Tefé	2000	50.3%	58.6%	41.4%
Brazil	Tefé	2017	70.9%	77.7%	62.9%
Brazil	Teixeira	2000	47.6%	53.4%	41.2%
Brazil	Teixeira	2017	68.6%	73.2%	63.0%
Brazil	Teixeira de Freitas	2000	47.3%	52.4%	41.8%
Brazil	Teixeira de Freitas	2017	68.4%	73.1%	63.7%
Brazil	Teixeiras	2000	65.1%	70.0%	59.7%
Brazil	Teixeiras	2017	81.9%	85.1%	78.1%
Brazil	Teixeirópolis	2000	52.1%	57.4%	46.4%
Brazil	Teixeirópolis	2017	72.5%	76.6%	67.9%
Brazil	Tejuçuoca	2000	43.2%	49.3%	36.9%
Brazil	Tejuçuoca	2017	64.8%	70.5%	58.4%
Brazil	Tejupa	2000	85.0%	88.0%	81.3%
Brazil	Tejupa	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Telêmaco Borba	2000	65.0%	69.9%	59.4%
Brazil	Telêmaco Borba	2017	81.8%	85.1%	77.9%
Brazil	Telha	2000	52.6%	57.8%	48.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Telha	2017	72.9%	76.9%	69.1%
Brazil	Tenente Ananias	2000	56.8%	63.4%	49.9%
Brazil	Tenente Ananias	2017	76.0%	80.7%	70.6%
Brazil	Tenente Laurentino Cruz	2000	65.6%	71.9%	59.2%
Brazil	Tenente Laurentino Cruz	2017	82.2%	86.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Tenente Portela	2000	76.1%	80.2%	71.0%
Brazil	Tenente Portela	2017	88.5%	90.8%	85.8%
Brazil	Tenório	2000	49.9%	56.9%	43.8%
Brazil	Tenório	2017	70.6%	76.3%	65.0%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2000	69.4%	76.5%	63.1%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2000	44.1%	48.8%	39.4%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2017	84.5%	88.5%	80.5%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2017	65.6%	70.0%	60.9%
Brazil	Teofilândia	2000	45.7%	50.6%	40.2%
Brazil	Teofilândia	2017	67.1%	71.5%	62.4%
Brazil	Teófilo Otoni	2000	66.1%	71.7%	59.4%
Brazil	Teófilo Otoni	2017	82.5%	86.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Teolândia	2000	46.2%	51.3%	41.4%
Brazil	Teolândia	2017	68.6%	72.7%	64.5%
Brazil	Teotônio Vilela	2000	30.6%	34.4%	26.5%
Brazil	Teotônio Vilela	2017	51.5%	56.3%	46.3%
Brazil	Terenos	2000	26.4%	30.4%	22.1%
Brazil	Terenos	2017	46.4%	51.3%	40.8%
Brazil	Teresina	2000	44.6%	47.3%	41.6%
Brazil	Teresina	2017	66.1%	68.7%	63.3%
Brazil	Teresina de Goiás	2000	43.1%	51.0%	35.2%
Brazil	Teresina de Goiás	2017	64.3%	71.1%	56.5%
Brazil	Teresópolis	2000	84.1%	86.8%	81.5%
Brazil	Teresópolis	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Terezinha	2000	37.7%	41.8%	33.5%
Brazil	Terezinha	2017	59.3%	63.6%	55.1%
Brazil	Terezópolis de Goiás	2000	43.5%	47.0%	40.0%
Brazil	Terezópolis de Goiás	2017	65.0%	68.1%	61.6%
Brazil	Terra Alta	2000	52.0%	56.8%	47.1%
Brazil	Terra Alta	2017	72.4%	76.0%	68.0%
Brazil	Terra Boa	2000	62.6%	68.2%	57.6%
Brazil	Terra Boa	2017	80.2%	84.1%	76.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Terra de Areia	2000	75.8%	79.9%	71.0%
Brazil	Terra de Areia	2017	88.3%	90.7%	85.7%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2000	38.5%	44.3%	33.0%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2000	45.0%	49.3%	40.6%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2017	60.2%	65.8%	54.4%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2017	66.5%	70.3%	62.2%
Brazil	Terra Nova do Norte	2000	50.2%	59.5%	41.4%
Brazil	Terra Nova do Norte	2017	70.8%	77.5%	63.3%
Brazil	Terra Rica	2000	64.7%	73.1%	57.3%
Brazil	Terra Rica	2017	81.6%	86.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2000	58.6%	64.5%	52.4%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2000	84.7%	88.2%	81.0%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2017	77.3%	81.5%	72.9%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2017	93.1%	94.8%	91.1%
Brazil	Terra Santa	2000	50.2%	57.2%	42.4%
Brazil	Terra Santa	2017	70.9%	76.9%	64.4%
Brazil	Tesouro	2000	49.6%	60.2%	40.1%
Brazil	Tesouro	2017	70.2%	78.9%	61.4%
Brazil	Teutônia	2000	75.7%	78.5%	72.0%
Brazil	Teutônia	2017	88.3%	90.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Texeira Soares	2000	64.2%	69.5%	59.1%
Brazil	Texeira Soares	2017	81.7%	84.9%	78.2%
Brazil	Theobroma	2000	54.3%	60.8%	47.8%
Brazil	Theobroma	2017	74.2%	79.2%	68.7%
Brazil	Tianguá	2000	50.1%	54.5%	45.4%
Brazil	Tianguá	2017	70.7%	74.4%	66.7%
Brazil	Tibaji	2000	64.0%	69.1%	57.7%
Brazil	Tibaji	2017	81.1%	84.8%	76.7%
Brazil	Tibau	2000	53.1%	60.9%	45.2%
Brazil	Tibau	2017	73.2%	79.0%	66.5%
Brazil	Tibau do Sul	2000	60.0%	66.2%	54.0%
Brazil	Tibau do Sul	2017	78.3%	82.5%	73.7%
Brazil	Tietê	2000	85.8%	88.7%	82.8%
Brazil	Tietê	2017	93.6%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Tigrinhos	2000	76.9%	81.3%	71.7%
Brazil	Tigrinhos	2017	89.0%	91.4%	86.1%
Brazil	Tijucas	2000	76.2%	80.0%	72.0%
Brazil	Tijucas	2017	89.3%	91.2%	86.9%
Brazil	Tijucas do Sul	2000	70.4%	74.9%	65.0%
Brazil	Tijucas do Sul	2017	85.2%	88.0%	81.9%
Brazil	Timbaúba	2000	44.3%	47.8%	40.4%
Brazil	Timbaúba	2017	65.7%	69.0%	61.8%
Brazil	Timbaúba dos Batistas	2000	56.8%	63.2%	50.8%
Brazil	Timbaúba dos Batistas	2017	76.1%	80.6%	71.6%
Brazil	Timbé do Sul	2000	77.1%	82.2%	71.8%
Brazil	Timbé do Sul	2017	89.0%	91.9%	85.9%
Brazil	Timbiras	2000	34.5%	41.8%	27.3%
Brazil	Timbiras	2017	55.9%	63.3%	47.3%
Brazil	Timbó	2000	78.2%	81.1%	74.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Timbó	2017	89.7%	91.2%	87.5%
Brazil	Timbó Grande	2000	79.3%	83.9%	73.3%
Brazil	Timbó Grande	2017	90.2%	92.6%	86.8%
Brazil	Timburi	2000	81.1%	85.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Timburi	2017	91.2%	93.3%	88.8%
Brazil	Timon	2000	42.8%	46.2%	39.4%
Brazil	Timon	2017	64.4%	67.5%	61.1%
Brazil	Timóteo	2000	65.5%	69.1%	61.4%
Brazil	Timóteo	2017	82.1%	84.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Tiradentes	2000	64.1%	69.0%	58.6%
Brazil	Tiradentes	2017	81.1%	84.4%	77.2%
Brazil	Tiradentes do Sul	2000	74.2%	80.2%	67.9%
Brazil	Tiradentes do Sul	2017	87.4%	90.8%	83.4%
Brazil	Tiros	2000	67.5%	74.0%	59.3%
Brazil	Tiros	2017	82.6%	86.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Tobias Barreto	2000	58.5%	63.2%	53.5%
Brazil	Tobias Barreto	2017	77.3%	80.6%	73.4%
Brazil	Tocantínia	2000	18.3%	23.0%	14.0%
Brazil	Tocantínia	2017	35.1%	42.2%	27.8%
Brazil	Tocantinópolis	2000	23.3%	29.3%	18.4%
Brazil	Tocantinópolis	2017	42.4%	49.8%	35.1%
Brazil	Tocantins	2000	66.3%	70.6%	61.4%
Brazil	Tocantins	2017	82.6%	85.4%	79.2%
Brazil	Tocos do Moji	2000	70.6%	75.3%	65.3%
Brazil	Tocos do Moji	2017	85.4%	88.1%	81.8%
Brazil	Toledo	2000	83.3%	86.5%	79.5%
Brazil	Toledo	2000	67.2%	71.5%	62.6%
Brazil	Toledo	2017	92.3%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Toledo	2017	83.2%	85.9%	80.1%
Brazil	Tomar do Geru	2000	58.4%	63.1%	54.1%
Brazil	Tomar do Geru	2017	77.2%	80.6%	73.9%
Brazil	Tomazina	2000	66.4%	72.0%	60.8%
Brazil	Tomazina	2017	82.7%	86.2%	79.1%
Brazil	Tombos	2000	67.0%	72.5%	62.2%
Brazil	Tombos	2017	83.2%	86.5%	80.1%
Brazil	Tomé-Açu	2000	53.0%	59.2%	47.1%
Brazil	Tomé-Açu	2017	73.1%	77.7%	68.2%
Brazil	Tonantins	2000	48.6%	58.6%	36.7%
Brazil	Tonantins	2017	69.3%	77.4%	58.0%
Brazil	Toritama	2000	40.8%	45.0%	37.4%
Brazil	Toritama	2017	62.5%	66.7%	59.1%
Brazil	Torixoréu	2000	46.7%	56.3%	37.6%
Brazil	Torixoréu	2017	67.8%	75.9%	59.1%
Brazil	Toropi	2000	74.2%	79.2%	69.3%
Brazil	Toropi	2017	87.4%	90.3%	84.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Torre de Pedra	2000	86.5%	89.9%	82.2%
Brazil	Torre de Pedra	2017	93.9%	95.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Torres	2000	77.7%	83.2%	71.7%
Brazil	Torres	2017	89.6%	92.4%	86.3%
Brazil	Torrinha	2000	88.5%	91.0%	85.0%
Brazil	Torrinha	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Touros	2000	60.0%	67.4%	52.0%
Brazil	Touros	2017	78.3%	83.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Trabiju	2000	87.6%	90.2%	84.3%
Brazil	Trabiju	2017	94.5%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Tracuateua	2000	50.9%	55.5%	46.7%
Brazil	Tracuateua	2017	71.5%	75.1%	68.2%
Brazil	Tracunhaém	2000	39.2%	41.8%	35.9%
Brazil	Tracunhaém	2017	62.9%	65.8%	59.4%
Brazil	Traipu	2000	42.8%	47.4%	38.3%
Brazil	Traipu	2017	64.3%	68.4%	60.1%
Brazil	Trairão	2000	52.6%	62.7%	42.6%
Brazil	Trairão	2017	72.6%	79.7%	64.1%
Brazil	Trairi	2000	43.3%	48.6%	38.2%
Brazil	Trairi	2017	64.8%	69.8%	59.9%
Brazil	Trajano de Morais	2000	86.7%	89.2%	83.3%
Brazil	Trajano de Morais	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Tramandaí	2000	76.4%	80.6%	71.6%
Brazil	Tramandaí	2017	88.6%	90.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Travesseiro	2000	75.2%	79.0%	71.0%
Brazil	Travesseiro	2017	88.0%	90.2%	85.5%
Brazil	Tremedal	2000	47.0%	53.0%	41.6%
Brazil	Tremedal	2017	68.2%	73.2%	63.4%
Brazil	Tremembé	2000	83.5%	85.7%	80.5%
Brazil	Tremembé	2017	92.4%	93.6%	90.9%
Brazil	Três Arroios	2000	75.8%	79.9%	71.7%
Brazil	Três Arroios	2017	88.4%	90.5%	85.9%
Brazil	Três Barras do Paraná	2000	66.5%	72.0%	60.4%
Brazil	Três Barras do Paraná	2017	82.7%	86.1%	78.4%
Brazil	Três Cachoeiras	2000	75.1%	80.1%	69.4%
Brazil	Três Cachoeiras	2017	88.2%	91.0%	85.0%
Brazil	Três Corações	2000	65.4%	70.1%	60.1%
Brazil	Três Corações	2017	82.0%	85.2%	78.4%
Brazil	Três Coroas	2000	75.5%	78.8%	71.6%
Brazil	Três Coroas	2017	88.2%	90.1%	86.1%
Brazil	Três de Maio	2000	74.4%	78.0%	70.2%
Brazil	Três de Maio	2017	87.5%	89.6%	84.8%
Brazil	Três Forquilhas	2000	75.5%	80.2%	71.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Três Forquilhas	2017	88.1%	90.7%	85.5%
Brazil	Três Fronteiras	2000	71.6%	77.6%	65.3%
Brazil	Três Fronteiras	2017	85.8%	89.3%	82.2%
Brazil	Três Lagoas	2000	43.7%	49.4%	37.6%
Brazil	Três Lagoas	2017	65.1%	69.9%	59.2%
Brazil	Três Marias	2000	68.3%	76.7%	58.9%
Brazil	Três Marias	2017	83.8%	88.8%	77.9%
Brazil	Três Palmeiras	2000	76.0%	80.5%	71.6%
Brazil	Três Palmeiras	2017	88.4%	90.8%	85.9%
Brazil	Três Passos	2000	76.9%	81.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Três Passos	2017	89.0%	91.3%	86.1%
Brazil	Três Pontas	2000	66.5%	71.6%	61.2%
Brazil	Três Pontas	2017	82.7%	85.8%	79.1%
Brazil	Três Ranchos	2000	47.2%	54.1%	41.1%
Brazil	Três Ranchos	2017	68.4%	74.4%	62.9%
Brazil	Três Rios	2000	74.3%	78.1%	70.1%
Brazil	Três Rios	2017	87.5%	89.7%	85.0%
Brazil	Treviso	2000	78.3%	82.4%	73.6%
Brazil	Treviso	2017	89.7%	91.9%	87.1%
Brazil	Treze de Maio	2000	77.7%	81.4%	73.3%
Brazil	Treze de Maio	2017	89.4%	91.4%	87.0%
Brazil	Treze Tílias	2000	77.6%	81.7%	72.3%
Brazil	Treze Tílias	2017	89.3%	91.6%	86.4%
Brazil	Trindade	2000	42.1%	47.3%	37.3%
Brazil	Trindade	2000	46.5%	49.9%	43.6%
Brazil	Trindade	2017	68.0%	70.8%	65.2%
Brazil	Trindade	2017	63.8%	68.8%	58.9%
Brazil	Trindade do Sul	2000	76.3%	80.7%	71.8%
Brazil	Trindade do Sul	2017	88.6%	91.1%	85.9%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	74.7%	78.4%	71.4%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	49.3%	54.9%	43.8%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	46.4%	52.7%	40.7%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	70.0%	74.5%	65.3%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	87.7%	89.7%	85.6%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	67.7%	73.0%	62.5%
Brazil	Triunfo Potiguar	2000	64.3%	71.4%	57.4%
Brazil	Triunfo Potiguar	2017	81.3%	85.9%	76.6%
Brazil	Trizidela do Vale	2000	32.1%	38.2%	26.8%
Brazil	Trizidela do Vale	2017	53.3%	60.0%	46.6%
Brazil	Trombas	2000	44.0%	51.2%	35.3%
Brazil	Trombas	2017	65.3%	71.8%	56.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Trombudo Central	2000	77.8%	83.2%	73.1%
Brazil	Trombudo Central	2017	89.4%	92.1%	86.7%
Brazil	Tubarão	2000	78.7%	82.5%	74.3%
Brazil	Tubarão	2017	90.3%	92.3%	87.9%
Brazil	Tucano	2000	45.6%	51.0%	40.5%
Brazil	Tucano	2017	66.8%	71.4%	62.2%
Brazil	Tucumã	2000	55.5%	63.4%	46.6%
Brazil	Tucumã	2017	75.0%	80.8%	67.9%
Brazil	Tucunduva	2000	73.7%	78.1%	68.5%
Brazil	Tucunduva	2017	87.1%	89.7%	84.1%
Brazil	Tucuruí	2000	53.5%	58.9%	47.9%
Brazil	Tucuruí	2017	73.5%	77.4%	69.2%
Brazil	Tufilândia	2000	33.5%	40.3%	27.4%
Brazil	Tufilândia	2017	54.8%	62.1%	47.7%
Brazil	Tuiuti	2000	83.7%	86.4%	80.3%
Brazil	Tuiuti	2017	92.6%	93.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Tumiritinga	2000	63.5%	68.6%	57.9%
Brazil	Tumiritinga	2017	80.8%	84.4%	76.9%
Brazil	Tunápolis	2000	76.4%	81.5%	70.1%
Brazil	Tunápolis	2017	88.7%	91.4%	84.9%
Brazil	Tunas	2000	75.1%	79.9%	69.6%
Brazil	Tunas	2017	88.0%	90.7%	84.7%
Brazil	Tunas do Paraná	2000	67.6%	74.4%	60.1%
Brazil	Tunas do Paraná	2017	83.3%	87.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Tuneiras do Oeste	2000	65.8%	70.9%	59.7%
Brazil	Tuneiras do Oeste	2017	82.3%	85.5%	78.3%
Brazil	Tuntum	2000	34.3%	41.2%	27.4%
Brazil	Tuntum	2017	55.6%	62.8%	48.2%
Brazil	Tupã	2000	87.9%	91.0%	83.7%
Brazil	Tupã	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Tupaciguara	2000	62.9%	69.3%	56.7%
Brazil	Tupaciguara	2017	80.4%	84.2%	76.1%
Brazil	Tupanatinga	2000	37.0%	41.6%	32.1%
Brazil	Tupanatinga	2017	58.6%	63.2%	53.2%
Brazil	Tupanci do Sul	2000	77.4%	82.6%	71.9%
Brazil	Tupanci do Sul	2017	89.2%	91.9%	86.2%
Brazil	Tupanciretã	2000	75.7%	80.4%	69.6%
Brazil	Tupanciretã	2017	88.3%	90.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Tupandi	2000	74.8%	78.0%	71.6%
Brazil	Tupandi	2017	87.8%	89.6%	85.9%
Brazil	Tuparendi	2000	75.7%	79.7%	71.3%
Brazil	Tuparendi	2017	88.3%	90.5%	85.6%
Brazil	Tuparetama	2000	39.2%	45.2%	33.6%
Brazil	Tuparetama	2017	60.9%	66.4%	55.1%
Brazil	Tupãssi	2000	64.5%	68.9%	59.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Tupãssi	2017	81.4%	84.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Tupi Paulista	2000	78.8%	83.7%	73.6%
Brazil	Tupi Paulista	2017	90.0%	92.4%	87.4%
Brazil	Tupirama	2000	18.9%	24.1%	14.2%
Brazil	Tupirama	2017	35.8%	43.3%	28.3%
Brazil	Tupiratins	2000	17.0%	22.9%	11.7%
Brazil	Tupiratins	2017	33.0%	41.8%	24.3%
Brazil	Turiaçu	2000	34.4%	42.4%	26.5%
Brazil	Turiaçu	2017	55.9%	64.1%	47.0%
Brazil	Turilândia	2000	34.7%	42.0%	28.2%
Brazil	Turilândia	2017	56.0%	63.9%	49.1%
Brazil	Turiúba	2000	87.5%	90.8%	83.2%
Brazil	Turiúba	2017	94.4%	96.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Turmalina	2000	65.5%	73.5%	57.6%
Brazil	Turmalina	2000	79.0%	84.1%	73.9%
Brazil	Turmalina	2017	82.1%	87.0%	76.7%
Brazil	Turmalina	2017	90.1%	92.8%	87.1%
Brazil	Turuçu	2000	73.5%	78.8%	68.3%
Brazil	Turuçu	2017	87.0%	90.0%	83.7%
Brazil	Tururu	2000	43.2%	47.5%	39.1%
Brazil	Tururu	2017	66.5%	70.5%	62.5%
Brazil	Turvânia	2000	43.8%	49.1%	38.4%
Brazil	Turvânia	2017	65.3%	70.1%	60.3%
Brazil	Turvelândia	2000	44.3%	50.3%	36.9%
Brazil	Turvelândia	2017	65.8%	71.2%	58.5%
Brazil	Turvo	2000	80.3%	84.1%	76.3%
Brazil	Turvo	2000	67.3%	73.2%	61.6%
Brazil	Turvo	2017	90.8%	92.7%	88.6%
Brazil	Turvo	2017	83.2%	86.9%	79.4%
Brazil	Turvolandia	2000	62.6%	68.6%	56.5%
Brazil	Turvolandia	2017	80.2%	84.2%	75.8%
Brazil	Tutoia	2000	36.3%	44.3%	28.0%
Brazil	Tutoia	2017	57.8%	65.9%	48.8%
Brazil	Tutóia	2000	35.3%	43.8%	27.2%
Brazil	Tutóia	2017	56.8%	65.4%	47.3%
Brazil	Uarini	2000	50.2%	60.8%	41.1%
Brazil	Uarini	2017	70.6%	79.1%	62.7%
Brazil	Uauá	2000	46.7%	54.6%	39.0%
Brazil	Uauá	2017	67.8%	74.3%	60.6%
Brazil	Ubá	2000	64.3%	68.2%	59.6%
Brazil	Ubá	2017	81.4%	83.8%	78.1%
Brazil	Ubaí	2000	66.5%	73.6%	59.1%
Brazil	Ubaí	2017	82.7%	87.0%	77.7%
Brazil	Ubaíra	2000	46.1%	51.2%	41.0%
Brazil	Ubaíra	2017	67.4%	71.8%	62.9%
Brazil	Ubaitaba	2000	47.1%	52.3%	42.5%
Brazil	Ubaitaba	2017	68.6%	73.1%	64.3%
Brazil	Ubajara	2000	45.5%	50.7%	41.2%
Brazil	Ubajara	2017	66.9%	71.2%	62.7%
Brazil	Ubaporanga	2000	70.0%	74.6%	64.9%
Brazil	Ubaporanga	2017	85.0%	87.8%	81.6%
Brazil	Ubarana	2000	87.4%	90.6%	83.8%
Brazil	Ubarana	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ubatã	2000	47.8%	52.7%	42.8%
Brazil	Ubatã	2017	68.9%	72.9%	64.2%
Brazil	Ubatuba	2000	87.8%	91.1%	83.8%
Brazil	Ubatuba	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Uberaba	2000	69.7%	73.5%	65.7%
Brazil	Uberaba	2017	84.8%	87.1%	82.3%
Brazil	Uberlândia	2000	65.4%	68.7%	62.4%
Brazil	Uberlândia	2017	82.1%	84.5%	80.0%
Brazil	Ubirajara	2000	86.7%	90.1%	82.5%
Brazil	Ubirajara	2017	94.0%	95.7%	91.9%
Brazil	Ubiratã	2000	63.6%	70.1%	56.8%
Brazil	Ubiratã	2017	80.8%	85.0%	76.1%
Brazil	Ubiretama	2000	74.1%	78.2%	70.1%
Brazil	Ubiretama	2017	87.4%	89.8%	84.8%
Brazil	Uchoa	2000	85.6%	88.7%	82.2%
Brazil	Uchoa	2017	93.5%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Uibaí	2000	42.9%	49.0%	36.8%
Brazil	Uibaí	2017	64.5%	69.3%	58.7%
Brazil	Uiramutã	2000	74.4%	84.3%	62.2%
Brazil	Uiramutã	2017	87.4%	92.7%	80.1%
Brazil	Uirapuru	2000	45.1%	53.8%	37.4%
Brazil	Uirapuru	2017	66.4%	74.0%	58.8%
Brazil	Uiraúna	2000	52.7%	58.0%	46.9%
Brazil	Uiraúna	2017	72.8%	76.9%	67.8%
Brazil	Ulianópolis	2000	52.4%	58.9%	44.9%
Brazil	Ulianópolis	2017	72.7%	77.9%	66.0%
Brazil	Umari	2000	44.1%	49.8%	39.2%
Brazil	Umari	2017	65.7%	70.7%	61.0%
Brazil	Umarizal	2000	57.7%	63.5%	51.1%
Brazil	Umarizal	2017	76.7%	80.7%	71.4%
Brazil	Umbauba	2000	64.5%	69.4%	60.0%
Brazil	Umbauba	2017	81.5%	84.6%	78.3%
Brazil	Umburanas	2000	44.8%	51.8%	37.5%
Brazil	Umburanas	2017	66.2%	72.6%	59.3%
Brazil	Umburatiba	2000	56.1%	63.8%	47.1%
Brazil	Umburatiba	2017	75.4%	81.1%	68.3%
Brazil	Umbuzeiro	2000	38.8%	43.4%	34.6%
Brazil	Umbuzeiro	2017	60.5%	64.8%	56.2%
Brazil	Umirim	2000	46.3%	51.2%	41.4%
Brazil	Umirim	2017	67.5%	71.7%	63.0%
Brazil	Umarama	2000	66.6%	70.8%	61.8%
Brazil	Umarama	2017	82.8%	85.5%	79.7%
Brazil	Una	2000	47.5%	53.7%	40.9%
Brazil	Una	2017	68.6%	73.8%	62.7%
Brazil	Unai	2000	62.6%	69.6%	54.4%
Brazil	Unai	2017	80.1%	84.6%	74.3%
Brazil	União	2000	42.2%	48.4%	36.0%
Brazil	União	2017	63.7%	69.5%	57.5%
Brazil	União da Serra	2000	74.9%	79.2%	68.9%
Brazil	União da Serra	2017	87.8%	90.4%	84.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	União da Vitória	2000	70.6%	75.5%	64.2%
Brazil	União da Vitória	2017	85.3%	88.3%	81.2%
Brazil	União de Minas	2000	65.3%	73.6%	56.7%
Brazil	União de Minas	2017	81.9%	86.9%	76.4%
Brazil	União do Oeste	2000	76.5%	81.0%	70.9%
Brazil	União do Oeste	2017	88.7%	91.2%	85.5%
Brazil	União do Sul	2000	49.1%	63.7%	36.0%
Brazil	União do Sul	2017	69.7%	80.7%	58.2%
Brazil	União dos Palmares	2000	35.8%	40.3%	31.6%
Brazil	União dos Palmares	2017	57.4%	62.0%	52.6%
Brazil	União Paulista	2000	87.4%	90.5%	83.3%
Brazil	União Paulista	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Uniflor	2000	62.9%	68.4%	57.1%
Brazil	Uniflor	2017	80.3%	83.9%	76.3%
Brazil	Unistalda	2000	75.3%	81.5%	67.9%
Brazil	Unistalda	2017	88.1%	91.5%	84.0%
Brazil	Upanema	2000	63.4%	69.6%	57.1%
Brazil	Upanema	2017	80.7%	84.7%	76.4%
Brazil	Uraí	2000	66.6%	71.3%	61.0%
Brazil	Uraí	2017	82.8%	85.7%	79.0%
Brazil	Urandi	2000	51.6%	57.9%	45.5%
Brazil	Urandi	2017	72.0%	76.9%	66.8%
Brazil	Urânia	2000	81.4%	85.8%	76.7%
Brazil	Urânia	2017	91.4%	93.5%	88.8%
Brazil	Urbano Santos	2000	35.2%	43.7%	28.1%
Brazil	Urbano Santos	2017	56.6%	65.3%	48.0%
Brazil	urea	2000	74.4%	78.9%	69.1%
Brazil	urea	2017	87.6%	90.2%	84.4%
Brazil	Uru	2000	85.8%	89.6%	80.8%
Brazil	Uru	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.2%
Brazil	Uruaçu	2000	48.1%	54.7%	41.0%
Brazil	Uruaçu	2017	69.1%	74.7%	62.3%
Brazil	Uruana	2000	46.6%	51.8%	41.4%
Brazil	Uruana	2017	67.8%	72.2%	63.1%
Brazil	Uruana de Minas	2000	65.0%	73.4%	55.4%
Brazil	Uruana de Minas	2017	81.8%	86.8%	75.0%
Brazil	Uruará	2000	57.2%	65.0%	48.4%
Brazil	Uruará	2017	76.1%	81.5%	69.5%
Brazil	Urubici	2000	82.4%	87.6%	76.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Urubici	2017	91.9%	94.5%	88.7%
Brazil	Uruburetama	2000	45.6%	49.4%	41.4%
Brazil	Uruburetama	2017	67.1%	70.6%	63.2%
Brazil	Urucânia	2000	64.3%	70.4%	58.3%
Brazil	Urucânia	2017	81.3%	85.2%	77.1%
Brazil	Urucará	2000	49.7%	59.0%	40.2%
Brazil	Urucará	2017	70.3%	77.5%	62.0%
Brazil	Uruçuca	2000	45.6%	49.6%	41.3%
Brazil	Uruçuca	2017	66.9%	70.7%	62.9%
Brazil	Uruçuí	2000	42.0%	52.2%	32.9%
Brazil	Uruçuí	2017	63.3%	72.5%	54.0%
Brazil	Urucuaia	2000	66.8%	75.4%	58.4%
Brazil	Urucuaia	2017	83.4%	88.4%	77.9%
Brazil	Urucurituba	2000	50.7%	58.1%	43.9%
Brazil	Urucurituba	2017	71.2%	76.8%	64.8%
Brazil	Uruguaiana	2000	75.2%	80.3%	69.2%
Brazil	Uruguaiana	2017	88.0%	90.8%	84.4%
Brazil	Uruoca	2000	43.5%	48.7%	38.4%
Brazil	Uruoca	2017	65.1%	69.7%	60.0%
Brazil	Urupá	2000	53.4%	59.1%	47.5%
Brazil	Urupá	2017	73.5%	78.1%	68.4%
Brazil	Urupema	2000	84.4%	88.6%	78.5%
Brazil	Urupema	2017	92.9%	94.9%	89.7%
Brazil	Urupês	2000	85.7%	89.0%	82.0%
Brazil	Urupês	2017	93.6%	95.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Urussanga	2000	77.1%	81.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Urussanga	2017	89.0%	91.2%	86.6%
Brazil	Urutaí	2000	44.5%	51.3%	36.7%
Brazil	Urutaí	2017	65.8%	72.0%	58.2%
Brazil	Utinga	2000	45.1%	52.1%	38.6%
Brazil	Utinga	2017	66.5%	72.6%	60.1%
Brazil	Vacaria	2000	79.0%	83.7%	73.6%
Brazil	Vacaria	2017	90.1%	92.5%	87.2%
Brazil	Vale do Anari	2000	55.4%	64.5%	47.7%
Brazil	Vale do Anari	2017	75.0%	81.3%	68.8%
Brazil	Vale do Paraíso	2000	53.6%	60.0%	47.2%
Brazil	Vale do Paraíso	2017	73.5%	78.6%	68.3%
Brazil	Vale do Sol	2000	74.6%	78.4%	69.8%
Brazil	Vale do Sol	2017	87.6%	89.9%	85.0%
Brazil	Vale Real	2000	73.5%	76.6%	70.8%
Brazil	Vale Real	2017	87.1%	88.9%	85.4%
Brazil	Vale Verde	2000	75.6%	79.6%	71.4%
Brazil	Vale Verde	2017	88.2%	90.5%	85.8%
Brazil	Valença	2000	46.1%	51.1%	40.6%
Brazil	Valença	2017	67.3%	72.0%	62.3%
Brazil	Valença do Piauí	2000	44.7%	52.3%	37.4%
Brazil	Valença do Piauí	2017	66.0%	72.5%	58.9%
Brazil	Valencia	2000	80.4%	83.9%	76.6%
Brazil	Valencia	2017	90.8%	92.6%	88.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Valente	2000	45.1%	50.9%	40.0%
Brazil	Valente	2017	66.5%	71.2%	61.6%
Brazil	Valentim Gentil	2000	86.4%	90.3%	82.8%
Brazil	Valentim Gentil	2017	93.9%	95.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Valinhos	2000	85.7%	87.6%	83.8%
Brazil	Valinhos	2017	93.6%	94.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Valparaíso	2000	86.6%	89.9%	82.7%
Brazil	Valparaíso	2017	94.0%	95.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Valparaíso de Goiás	2000	62.5%	64.7%	60.1%
Brazil	Valparaíso de Goiás	2017	80.0%	81.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Vanini	2000	75.6%	80.1%	70.9%
Brazil	Vanini	2017	88.2%	90.7%	85.4%
Brazil	Vargeão	2000	76.6%	81.2%	70.8%
Brazil	Vargeão	2017	88.8%	91.3%	85.2%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	78.4%	83.2%	71.4%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	82.4%	85.5%	79.0%
Brazil	Vargem	2017	89.7%	92.3%	85.8%
Brazil	Vargem	2017	91.9%	93.5%	90.2%
Brazil	Vargem Alegre	2000	64.4%	70.1%	58.6%
Brazil	Vargem Alegre	2017	81.4%	84.9%	77.4%
Brazil	Vargem Alta	2000	58.3%	63.2%	53.2%
Brazil	Vargem Alta	2017	77.1%	80.4%	73.3%
Brazil	Vargem Bonita	2000	79.4%	83.9%	73.9%
Brazil	Vargem Bonita	2000	66.3%	73.7%	59.0%
Brazil	Vargem Bonita	2017	82.6%	87.1%	77.8%
Brazil	Vargem Bonita	2017	90.3%	92.7%	87.4%
Brazil	Vargem Grande	2000	32.7%	39.0%	26.8%
Brazil	Vargem Grande	2017	54.0%	60.8%	46.9%
Brazil	Vargem Grande do Rio Pardo	2000	64.4%	71.7%	56.1%
Brazil	Vargem Grande do Rio Pardo	2017	81.3%	85.9%	75.6%
Brazil	Vargem Grande do Sul	2000	83.5%	86.3%	80.2%
Brazil	Vargem Grande do Sul	2017	92.4%	93.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Vargem Grande Paulista	2000	87.5%	89.3%	85.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Vargem Grande Paulista	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Varginha	2000	67.3%	71.3%	62.5%
Brazil	Varginha	2017	83.2%	85.7%	80.2%
Brazil	Varjao	2000	40.8%	46.6%	33.9%
Brazil	Varjao	2017	62.4%	67.6%	55.9%
Brazil	Varjão de Minas	2000	67.6%	74.9%	59.4%
Brazil	Varjão de Minas	2017	83.4%	88.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Varjota	2000	42.2%	47.3%	36.8%
Brazil	Varjota	2017	63.9%	68.8%	58.3%
Brazil	Varre-Sai	2000	69.2%	74.5%	64.5%
Brazil	Varre-Sai	2017	84.5%	87.5%	81.5%
Brazil	Várzea	2000	59.9%	63.6%	56.1%
Brazil	Várzea	2017	78.2%	80.8%	75.3%
Brazil	Várzea Alegre	2000	42.7%	48.0%	38.0%
Brazil	Várzea Alegre	2017	65.3%	70.2%	60.7%
Brazil	Várzea Branca	2000	45.9%	53.9%	37.6%
Brazil	Várzea Branca	2017	67.0%	74.0%	59.5%
Brazil	Várzea da Palma	2000	64.7%	72.5%	56.8%
Brazil	Várzea da Palma	2017	83.3%	87.6%	78.1%
Brazil	Várzea da Roça	2000	46.6%	52.7%	40.8%
Brazil	Várzea da Roça	2017	67.8%	72.9%	62.5%
Brazil	Várzea do Poço	2000	46.8%	52.6%	41.0%
Brazil	Várzea do Poço	2017	68.1%	73.1%	62.7%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2000	45.8%	54.4%	37.4%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2000	49.1%	52.2%	46.4%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2017	67.1%	74.5%	59.4%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2017	70.0%	72.6%	67.6%
Brazil	Várzea Nova	2000	48.9%	55.5%	42.0%
Brazil	Várzea Nova	2017	69.7%	75.1%	63.4%
Brazil	Várzea Paulista	2000	88.6%	90.2%	86.9%
Brazil	Várzea Paulista	2017	95.0%	95.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Varzedo	2000	45.6%	50.4%	41.1%
Brazil	Varzedo	2017	67.1%	71.1%	62.6%
Brazil	Varzelândia	2000	68.1%	74.1%	61.5%
Brazil	Varzelândia	2017	83.2%	87.3%	78.6%
Brazil	Vassouras	2000	82.8%	85.2%	80.2%
Brazil	Vassouras	2017	92.1%	93.3%	90.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Venâncio Aires	2000	75.3%	78.7%	71.4%
Brazil	Venâncio Aires	2017	88.8%	90.5%	86.7%
Brazil	Venceslau Bras	2000	69.7%	75.8%	63.8%
Brazil	Venceslau Bras	2017	84.7%	88.3%	81.0%
Brazil	Venda Nova do Imigrante	2000	60.5%	65.3%	55.1%
Brazil	Venda Nova do Imigrante	2017	78.7%	82.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Venha-Ver	2000	53.7%	59.3%	48.4%
Brazil	Venha-Ver	2017	73.5%	78.1%	69.1%
Brazil	Ventania	2000	66.4%	72.1%	60.2%
Brazil	Ventania	2017	82.7%	86.5%	78.4%
Brazil	Venturosa	2000	35.6%	39.6%	31.5%
Brazil	Venturosa	2017	57.1%	61.1%	52.9%
Brazil	Vera	2000	48.4%	57.8%	39.8%
Brazil	Vera	2017	69.3%	76.8%	61.4%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	88.0%	90.6%	84.5%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	43.8%	47.7%	40.0%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	76.0%	79.4%	71.3%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	62.0%	66.1%	57.8%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	94.7%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	65.4%	69.2%	61.7%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	88.5%	90.4%	86.0%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	80.4%	83.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Vera Cruz do Oeste	2000	64.9%	70.1%	59.0%
Brazil	Vera Cruz do Oeste	2017	81.7%	85.1%	77.8%
Brazil	Vera Mendes	2000	43.7%	52.7%	35.2%
Brazil	Vera Mendes	2017	65.1%	72.9%	56.9%
Brazil	Veranópolis	2000	74.3%	78.3%	69.9%
Brazil	Veranópolis	2017	87.5%	89.7%	85.0%
Brazil	Verdejante	2000	40.6%	46.3%	35.9%
Brazil	Verdejante	2017	62.2%	67.7%	57.1%
Brazil	Verdelândia	2000	66.2%	73.2%	59.1%
Brazil	Verdelândia	2017	82.5%	86.9%	77.8%
Brazil	Verê	2000	63.7%	69.2%	57.7%
Brazil	Verê	2017	80.9%	84.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Vereda	2000	50.2%	56.7%	44.2%
Brazil	Vereda	2017	70.7%	76.4%	65.6%
Brazil	Veredinha	2000	65.6%	73.5%	58.6%
Brazil	Veredinha	2017	82.2%	87.1%	77.4%
Brazil	Veríssimo	2000	66.0%	72.9%	58.9%
Brazil	Veríssimo	2017	82.4%	86.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Vermelho Novo	2000	66.8%	72.6%	61.0%
Brazil	Vermelho Novo	2017	83.0%	86.6%	79.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Vertente do Lério	2000	38.6%	43.5%	34.4%
Brazil	Vertente do Lério	2017	60.2%	65.0%	55.9%
Brazil	Vertentes	2000	45.5%	49.8%	41.2%
Brazil	Vertentes	2017	66.8%	70.6%	62.6%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2000	66.7%	68.9%	64.3%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2017	83.7%	85.2%	82.0%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2000	75.1%	79.3%	70.4%
Brazil	Correa Vespasiano	2017	88.0%	90.3%	85.3%
Brazil	Viadutos	2000	75.5%	79.5%	70.1%
Brazil	Viadutos	2017	88.2%	90.4%	85.0%
Brazil	Viamão	2000	76.0%	78.0%	74.0%
Brazil	Viamão	2017	88.5%	89.6%	87.2%
Brazil	Viana	2000	52.5%	55.3%	49.3%
Brazil	Viana	2000	32.4%	38.1%	26.6%
Brazil	Viana	2017	72.7%	74.9%	69.8%
Brazil	Viana	2017	53.6%	59.8%	46.1%
Brazil	Vianópolis	2000	45.5%	52.2%	39.5%
Brazil	Vianópolis	2017	66.8%	72.3%	61.4%
Brazil	Vicência	2000	39.7%	42.9%	36.3%
Brazil	Vicência	2017	61.4%	64.8%	58.0%
Brazil	Vicente Dutra	2000	75.8%	80.4%	70.1%
Brazil	Vicente Dutra	2017	88.3%	90.8%	84.8%
Brazil	Vicentina	2000	27.3%	32.7%	22.7%
Brazil	Vicentina	2017	47.5%	54.0%	41.1%
Brazil	Vicentinópolis	2000	44.7%	51.4%	38.0%
Brazil	Vicentinópolis	2017	66.1%	72.4%	59.9%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	32.0%	36.6%	27.9%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	58.3%	64.3%	51.9%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	66.2%	70.8%	61.5%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	53.2%	58.5%	48.3%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	77.1%	81.4%	72.1%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	82.5%	85.3%	79.4%
Brazil	Viçosa do Ceará	2000	44.9%	49.9%	39.7%
Brazil	Viçosa do Ceará	2017	66.2%	70.6%	61.5%
Brazil	Victor Graeff	2000	73.7%	78.1%	68.4%
Brazil	Victor Graeff	2017	87.1%	89.9%	83.9%
Brazil	Victorino Freire	2000	33.3%	40.0%	26.9%
Brazil	Victorino Freire	2017	54.6%	61.7%	47.2%
Brazil	Vidal Ramos	2000	79.6%	84.3%	74.5%
Brazil	Vidal Ramos	2017	90.5%	93.0%	87.8%
Brazil	Videira	2000	79.2%	83.4%	75.0%
Brazil	Videira	2017	90.2%	92.5%	87.8%
Brazil	Vieiras	2000	71.2%	76.1%	66.3%
Brazil	Vieiras	2017	85.5%	88.3%	82.6%
Brazil	Vieirópolis	2000	52.4%	58.6%	46.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Vieirópolis	2017	72.6%	77.2%	67.2%
Brazil	Vigia	2000	51.9%	57.1%	46.2%
Brazil	Vigia	2017	72.3%	76.4%	67.2%
Brazil	Vila Alta	2000	51.1%	58.8%	43.5%
Brazil	Vila Alta	2017	71.4%	77.6%	65.4%
Brazil	Vila Boa	2000	40.4%	48.5%	31.6%
Brazil	Vila Boa	2017	62.0%	69.3%	53.2%
Brazil	Vila Flor	2000	56.8%	63.4%	50.4%
Brazil	Vila Flor	2017	76.0%	80.4%	71.2%
Brazil	Vila Flores	2000	74.8%	78.7%	70.4%
Brazil	Vila Flores	2017	87.8%	90.0%	85.3%
Brazil	Vila Lângaro	2000	74.1%	78.3%	69.9%
Brazil	Vila Lângaro	2017	87.4%	89.8%	84.9%
Brazil	Vila Maria	2000	74.5%	78.5%	70.0%
Brazil	Vila Maria	2017	87.6%	89.9%	85.0%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Piauí	2000	43.0%	49.9%	35.4%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Piauí	2017	64.5%	70.9%	56.9%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Sul	2000	75.1%	81.7%	67.8%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Sul	2017	87.9%	91.5%	83.5%
Brazil	Vila Nova dos Martírios	2000	35.4%	41.5%	30.1%
Brazil	Vila Nova dos Martírios	2017	55.7%	62.2%	49.3%
Brazil	Vila Pavão	2000	55.0%	60.3%	49.5%
Brazil	Vila Pavão	2017	74.7%	78.8%	70.5%
Brazil	Vila Propício	2000	44.2%	50.4%	38.2%
Brazil	Vila Propício	2017	65.7%	71.1%	59.5%
Brazil	Vila Rica	2000	49.4%	58.8%	40.8%
Brazil	Vila Rica	2017	70.1%	77.6%	62.9%
Brazil	Vila Valério	2000	57.4%	62.7%	52.3%
Brazil	Vila Valério	2017	76.5%	80.2%	72.6%
Brazil	Vila Velha	2000	52.9%	55.7%	49.9%
Brazil	Vila Velha	2017	73.1%	75.4%	70.7%
Brazil	Vilhena	2000	56.3%	62.6%	49.9%
Brazil	Vilhena	2017	75.6%	80.5%	70.8%
Brazil	Vinhedo	2000	86.9%	88.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Vinhedo	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Viradouro	2000	84.9%	88.0%	81.4%
Brazil	Viradouro	2017	93.1%	94.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Virgem da Lapa	2000	64.1%	71.3%	56.8%
Brazil	Virgem da Lapa	2017	81.2%	85.7%	76.4%
Brazil	Virgínia	2000	76.7%	80.5%	72.4%
Brazil	Virgínia	2017	88.7%	90.8%	86.3%
Brazil	Virginópolis	2000	67.9%	73.6%	61.2%
Brazil	Virginópolis	2017	83.7%	87.2%	79.5%
Brazil	Virgolândia	2000	66.0%	73.0%	58.3%
Brazil	Virgolândia	2017	82.4%	86.5%	77.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Virmond	2000	63.9%	70.8%	57.7%
Brazil	Virmond	2017	81.0%	85.6%	76.6%
Brazil	Visconde do Rio Branco	2000	65.4%	70.0%	60.9%
Brazil	Visconde do Rio Branco	2017	82.0%	84.9%	79.0%
Brazil	Viseu	2000	48.4%	54.1%	43.1%
Brazil	Viseu	2017	69.3%	74.0%	64.6%
Brazil	Vista Alegre	2000	76.1%	80.4%	71.4%
Brazil	Vista Alegre	2017	88.5%	90.8%	85.7%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Alto	2000	86.4%	89.4%	83.1%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Alto	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Prata	2000	75.0%	79.4%	70.5%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Prata	2017	87.9%	90.4%	85.2%
Brazil	Vista Gaúcha	2000	75.4%	80.0%	70.2%
Brazil	Vista Gaúcha	2017	88.1%	90.7%	85.1%
Brazil	Vitor Meireles	2000	79.5%	84.3%	73.8%
Brazil	Vitor Meireles	2017	90.3%	92.8%	87.4%
Brazil	Vitoria	2000	54.2%	56.7%	51.8%
Brazil	Vitoria	2017	74.1%	76.2%	72.0%
Brazil	Vitória Brasil	2000	81.8%	86.0%	77.2%
Brazil	Vitória Brasil	2017	91.6%	93.7%	89.1%
Brazil	Vitória da Conquista	2000	45.9%	50.2%	42.2%
Brazil	Vitória da Conquista	2017	67.2%	70.9%	64.1%
Brazil	Vitória das Misses	2000	74.3%	79.1%	69.0%
Brazil	Vitória das Misses	2017	87.5%	90.1%	84.4%
Brazil	Vitória de Santo Antão	2000	39.5%	43.1%	36.6%
Brazil	Vitória de Santo Antão	2017	61.6%	65.1%	58.4%
Brazil	Vitória do Jari	2000	55.5%	64.5%	46.7%
Brazil	Vitória do Jari	2017	75.0%	81.2%	68.1%
Brazil	Vitória do Mearim	2000	32.8%	40.1%	26.7%
Brazil	Vitória do Mearim	2017	54.1%	61.5%	46.8%
Brazil	Vitória do Xingu	2000	53.0%	62.3%	43.7%
Brazil	Vitória do Xingu	2017	74.4%	81.2%	66.4%
Brazil	Vitorino	2000	71.5%	76.5%	66.2%
Brazil	Vitorino	2017	85.9%	88.7%	82.8%
Brazil	Volta Grande	2000	72.8%	78.4%	67.6%
Brazil	Volta Grande	2017	86.6%	89.8%	83.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Volta Redonda	2000	85.0%	87.1%	82.4%
Brazil	Volta Redonda	2017	93.2%	94.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Votorantim	2000	87.9%	89.9%	85.9%
Brazil	Votorantim	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Votuporanga	2000	87.1%	90.4%	83.2%
Brazil	Votuporanga	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Wagner	2000	44.9%	52.3%	38.8%
Brazil	Wagner	2017	66.3%	72.0%	60.4%
Brazil	Wall Ferraz	2000	44.2%	52.4%	35.9%
Brazil	Wall Ferraz	2017	65.6%	72.9%	57.4%
Brazil	Wanderlândia	2000	19.4%	23.7%	15.6%
Brazil	Wanderlândia	2017	36.6%	42.6%	30.9%
Brazil	Wenceslau Braz	2000	78.2%	81.6%	74.2%
Brazil	Wenceslau Braz	2017	89.6%	91.7%	87.2%
Brazil	Wenceslau Guimarães	2000	46.5%	51.4%	41.3%
Brazil	Wenceslau Guimarães	2017	68.3%	72.5%	63.3%
Brazil	Witmarsum	2000	79.2%	84.0%	73.9%
Brazil	Witmarsum	2017	90.2%	92.6%	87.0%
Brazil	Xambioá	2000	32.6%	38.3%	26.4%
Brazil	Xambioá	2017	53.4%	59.9%	46.5%
Brazil	Xambrê	2000	60.4%	66.7%	53.7%
Brazil	Xambrê	2017	78.6%	82.9%	73.7%
Brazil	Xangri-lá	2000	76.6%	81.1%	71.6%
Brazil	Xangri-lá	2017	88.7%	91.2%	85.7%
Brazil	Xanxerê	2000	79.6%	83.5%	74.8%
Brazil	Xanxerê	2017	90.4%	92.5%	87.8%
Brazil	Xapuri	2000	45.9%	52.7%	38.4%
Brazil	Xapuri	2017	67.2%	73.0%	60.1%
Brazil	Xavantina	2000	78.7%	82.5%	74.4%
Brazil	Xavantina	2017	89.8%	91.9%	87.2%
Brazil	Xaxim	2000	79.8%	83.3%	75.5%
Brazil	Xaxim	2017	90.1%	92.1%	87.8%
Brazil	Xexéu	2000	37.1%	41.3%	32.9%
Brazil	Xexéu	2017	58.8%	63.1%	54.3%
Brazil	Xinguara	2000	55.1%	61.2%	47.7%
Brazil	Xinguara	2017	74.6%	79.0%	68.7%
Brazil	Xique-Xique	2000	46.6%	53.5%	39.6%
Brazil	Xique-Xique	2017	67.7%	73.6%	61.6%
Brazil	Zabelê	2000	38.1%	43.8%	33.1%
Brazil	Zabelê	2017	59.8%	65.6%	54.5%
Brazil	Zacarias	2000	87.9%	90.9%	84.0%
Brazil	Zacarias	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Zé Doca	2000	37.3%	44.4%	30.6%
Brazil	Zé Doca	2017	58.8%	66.1%	51.8%
Brazil	Zortéa	2000	78.2%	82.2%	73.3%
Brazil	Zortéa	2017	89.6%	91.8%	86.8%
Colombia	Abejorral	2000	61.2%	73.1%	47.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Abejorral	2017	74.1%	83.2%	63.3%
Colombia	Abrego	2000	57.7%	74.9%	36.2%
Colombia	Abrego	2017	72.0%	84.2%	53.9%
Colombia	Abriaquí	2000	62.1%	80.8%	37.3%
Colombia	Abriaquí	2017	74.2%	87.7%	52.2%
Colombia	Acacías	2000	86.3%	89.9%	81.4%
Colombia	Acacías	2017	92.1%	94.2%	89.1%
Colombia	Acandí	2000	24.6%	36.2%	15.2%
Colombia	Acandí	2017	36.8%	50.5%	24.3%
Colombia	Acevedo	2000	54.0%	72.2%	34.7%
Colombia	Acevedo	2017	67.1%	80.9%	49.4%
Colombia	Achí	2000	52.6%	68.6%	37.9%
Colombia	Achí	2017	65.6%	78.1%	52.7%
Colombia	Agrado	2000	46.4%	65.0%	29.8%
Colombia	Agrado	2017	60.7%	77.4%	43.8%
Colombia	Agua de Dios	2000	58.4%	72.1%	42.9%
Colombia	Agua de Dios	2017	71.6%	82.2%	58.3%
Colombia	Aguachica	2000	48.2%	53.4%	42.8%
Colombia	Aguachica	2017	62.3%	66.4%	57.0%
Colombia	Aguada	2000	65.3%	78.8%	48.3%
Colombia	Aguada	2017	77.1%	87.1%	63.3%
Colombia	Aguadas	2000	65.8%	82.6%	46.9%
Colombia	Aguadas	2017	78.1%	89.2%	63.9%
Colombia	Aguazul	2000	96.3%	98.3%	93.3%
Colombia	Aguazul	2017	98.0%	99.1%	96.3%
Colombia	Agustín Codazzi	2000	49.4%	55.4%	43.2%
Colombia	Agustín Codazzi	2017	63.4%	69.3%	57.3%
Colombia	Aipe	2000	68.1%	78.2%	54.5%
Colombia	Aipe	2017	78.8%	87.3%	66.0%
Colombia	Albán	2000	55.6%	60.8%	49.9%
Colombia	Albán	2000	69.9%	80.9%	54.4%
Colombia	Albán	2017	70.4%	74.7%	65.5%
Colombia	Albán	2017	81.0%	88.8%	69.0%
Colombia	Albania	2000	55.4%	71.1%	40.9%
Colombia	Albania	2000	61.2%	77.5%	42.1%
Colombia	Albania	2017	68.9%	81.9%	53.8%
Colombia	Albania	2017	73.6%	86.3%	55.4%
Colombia	Alcalá	2000	83.3%	93.0%	66.7%
Colombia	Alcalá	2017	89.8%	96.0%	78.9%
Colombia	Aldana	2000	36.5%	47.9%	26.7%
Colombia	Aldana	2017	50.3%	61.1%	39.3%
Colombia	Alejandría	2000	61.4%	76.6%	44.3%
Colombia	Alejandría	2017	73.7%	86.4%	58.2%
Colombia	Algeciras	2000	53.1%	66.4%	36.4%
Colombia	Algeciras	2017	66.7%	78.3%	50.7%
Colombia	Almaguer	2000	37.2%	48.0%	27.2%
Colombia	Almaguer	2017	50.9%	61.7%	40.0%
Colombia	Almeida	2000	52.4%	68.7%	35.1%
Colombia	Almeida	2017	66.3%	79.7%	48.9%
Colombia	Alpujarra	2000	62.1%	79.0%	44.4%
Colombia	Alpujarra	2017	74.1%	86.8%	58.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Altamira	2000	59.1%	69.8%	47.2%
Colombia	Altamira	2017	70.6%	80.7%	58.7%
Colombia	Alto Baudó	2000	18.1%	22.9%	14.1%
Colombia	Alto Baudó	2017	28.4%	34.5%	23.0%
Colombia	Altos del Rosario	2000	49.6%	61.5%	36.2%
Colombia	Altos del Rosario	2017	64.2%	76.3%	51.3%
Colombia	Alvarado	2000	72.6%	87.9%	54.9%
Colombia	Alvarado	2017	82.4%	92.8%	69.5%
Colombia	Amagá	2000	65.5%	75.3%	53.6%
Colombia	Amagá	2017	78.2%	84.9%	69.7%
Colombia	Amalfi	2000	60.5%	76.7%	44.1%
Colombia	Amalfi	2017	72.9%	85.8%	57.9%
Colombia	Ambalema	2000	61.5%	76.6%	43.8%
Colombia	Ambalema	2017	73.9%	85.2%	59.3%
Colombia	Anapoima	2000	70.5%	83.2%	54.5%
Colombia	Anapoima	2017	81.3%	90.0%	69.3%
Colombia	Ancuyá	2000	40.5%	47.7%	33.9%
Colombia	Ancuyá	2017	55.9%	63.1%	49.5%
Colombia	Andalucía	2000	84.8%	88.8%	80.1%
Colombia	Andalucía	2017	91.2%	93.7%	88.1%
Colombia	Andes	2000	61.9%	71.2%	52.8%
Colombia	Andes	2017	74.0%	81.9%	66.3%
Colombia	Angelópolis	2000	59.1%	73.5%	43.3%
Colombia	Angelópolis	2017	72.3%	84.7%	58.7%
Colombia	Angostura	2000	61.5%	75.2%	46.0%
Colombia	Angostura	2017	73.4%	84.4%	59.7%
Colombia	Anolaima	2000	69.0%	82.1%	51.9%
Colombia	Anolaima	2017	79.9%	89.3%	66.3%
Colombia	Anorí	2000	61.9%	77.6%	42.3%
Colombia	Anorí	2017	74.0%	85.6%	57.1%
Colombia	Anserma	2000	74.7%	86.2%	59.0%
Colombia	Anserma	2017	84.2%	92.0%	72.1%
Colombia	Ansermanuevo	2000	75.5%	88.6%	56.5%
Colombia	Ansermanuevo	2017	83.9%	93.5%	68.2%
Colombia	Anzá	2000	63.9%	81.1%	41.5%
Colombia	Anzá	2017	75.7%	88.9%	56.6%
Colombia	Anzoátegui	2000	68.6%	80.4%	56.6%
Colombia	Anzoátegui	2017	79.2%	88.1%	69.8%
Colombia	Apartadó	2000	61.0%	67.8%	54.3%
Colombia	Apartadó	2017	73.7%	79.5%	67.4%
Colombia	Apía	2000	78.6%	91.4%	60.0%
Colombia	Apía	2017	86.8%	95.2%	73.6%
Colombia	Apulo	2000	65.8%	82.9%	46.8%
Colombia	Apulo	2017	77.4%	90.1%	60.6%
Colombia	Aquitania	2000	63.1%	76.4%	46.6%
Colombia	Aquitania	2017	75.3%	85.3%	60.5%
Colombia	Aracataca	2000	51.5%	55.9%	47.1%
Colombia	Aracataca	2017	65.7%	69.1%	61.0%
Colombia	Aranzazú	2000	70.8%	88.6%	49.1%
Colombia	Aranzazú	2017	80.9%	93.2%	63.7%
Colombia	Aratoca	2000	66.0%	82.7%	40.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Aratoca	2017	78.7%	90.7%	58.1%
Colombia	Arauca	2000	93.7%	95.9%	90.2%
Colombia	Arauca	2017	96.5%	97.8%	94.9%
Colombia	Arauquita	2000	93.5%	96.6%	87.3%
Colombia	Arauquita	2017	96.3%	98.1%	92.5%
Colombia	Arbeláez	2000	64.2%	77.5%	47.7%
Colombia	Arbeláez	2017	76.2%	85.7%	61.2%
Colombia	Arboleda	2000	56.3%	70.5%	38.2%
Colombia	Arboleda	2017	69.9%	82.0%	53.2%
Colombia	Arboledas	2000	58.5%	76.8%	34.9%
Colombia	Arboledas	2017	71.0%	85.1%	48.0%
Colombia	Arboletes	2000	59.2%	72.6%	42.5%
Colombia	Arboletes	2017	71.7%	82.9%	54.9%
Colombia	Arcabuco	2000	56.1%	76.5%	35.8%
Colombia	Arcabuco	2017	69.2%	85.7%	49.4%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	35.0%	44.4%	26.1%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	60.1%	75.2%	42.3%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	67.6%	88.1%	39.4%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	72.9%	84.2%	55.8%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	49.8%	59.3%	39.9%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	77.9%	92.9%	53.5%
Colombia	Ariguaní	2000	50.4%	58.2%	41.5%
Colombia	Ariguaní	2017	64.7%	71.6%	55.9%
Colombia	Arjona	2000	55.4%	67.4%	42.8%
Colombia	Arjona	2017	69.7%	79.4%	58.0%
Colombia	Armenia	2000	63.4%	84.2%	39.5%
Colombia	Armenia	2000	85.7%	88.8%	81.9%
Colombia	Armenia	2017	92.8%	94.3%	91.3%
Colombia	Armenia	2017	76.5%	91.2%	56.0%
Colombia	Armero	2000	60.2%	76.5%	39.0%
Colombia	Armero	2017	72.7%	85.9%	53.2%
Colombia	Astrea	2000	52.6%	70.0%	33.8%
Colombia	Astrea	2017	65.9%	80.6%	48.4%
Colombia	Ataco	2000	61.0%	73.6%	46.5%
Colombia	Ataco	2017	73.6%	83.1%	60.4%
Colombia	Ayapel	2000	54.4%	62.5%	45.8%
Colombia	Ayapel	2017	67.7%	74.6%	60.1%
Colombia	Bagadó	2000	34.3%	45.3%	23.1%
Colombia	Bagadó	2017	47.8%	59.6%	35.1%
Colombia	Bahía Solano	2000	24.5%	35.5%	14.9%
Colombia	Bahía Solano	2017	35.8%	49.5%	23.0%
Colombia	Bajo Baudó	2000	20.4%	29.2%	13.1%
Colombia	Bajo Baudó	2017	31.0%	41.7%	21.1%
Colombia	Balboa	2000	77.6%	93.1%	55.8%
Colombia	Balboa	2000	40.0%	52.3%	25.9%
Colombia	Balboa	2017	86.1%	96.1%	68.3%
Colombia	Balboa	2017	53.1%	65.8%	37.2%
Colombia	Baranoa	2000	73.2%	83.3%	59.4%
Colombia	Baranoa	2017	83.0%	90.2%	72.7%
Colombia	Baraya	2000	67.7%	86.0%	45.0%
Colombia	Baraya	2017	78.1%	91.1%	59.3%
Colombia	Barbacoas	2000	52.2%	62.4%	42.9%
Colombia	Barbacoas	2017	65.4%	74.3%	54.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Barbosa	2000	67.1%	75.9%	57.2%
Colombia	Barbosa	2000	60.9%	70.5%	51.5%
Colombia	Barbosa	2017	78.5%	85.0%	71.1%
Colombia	Barbosa	2017	73.8%	81.0%	65.1%
Colombia	Barichara	2000	67.8%	83.9%	47.4%
Colombia	Barichara	2017	78.9%	90.3%	62.8%
Colombia	Barranca de Upía	2000	89.2%	95.8%	80.2%
Colombia	Barranca de Upía	2017	94.0%	97.5%	88.8%
Colombia	Barrancabermeja	2000	72.6%	78.9%	63.9%
Colombia	Barrancabermeja	2017	82.6%	87.1%	75.5%
Colombia	Barrancas	2000	44.9%	51.8%	38.1%
Colombia	Barrancas	2017	57.9%	64.2%	51.3%
Colombia	Barranco de Loba	2000	49.9%	57.7%	40.2%
Colombia	Barranco de Loba	2017	63.5%	70.4%	55.8%
Colombia	Barranco Minas	2000	65.1%	69.7%	60.5%
Colombia	Barranco Minas	2017	76.4%	80.0%	72.3%
Colombia	Barranquilla	2000	75.7%	78.6%	72.6%
Colombia	Barranquilla	2017	85.2%	87.1%	83.0%
Colombia	Becerril	2000	48.5%	58.8%	37.3%
Colombia	Becerril	2017	59.8%	68.7%	50.5%
Colombia	Belalcázar	2000	78.4%	91.5%	60.7%
Colombia	Belalcázar	2017	86.6%	95.2%	73.9%
Colombia	Belén	2000	64.7%	73.0%	55.0%
Colombia	Belén	2000	60.8%	84.2%	32.3%
Colombia	Belén	2017	76.6%	82.8%	69.8%
Colombia	Belén	2017	72.7%	90.6%	47.3%
Colombia	Belén de los Andaquies	2000	56.1%	66.0%	44.9%
Colombia	Belén de los Andaquies	2017	69.4%	77.5%	59.5%
Colombia	Belén de Umbria	2000	81.6%	87.0%	74.4%
Colombia	Belén de Umbria	2017	88.5%	92.6%	83.6%
Colombia	Bello	2000	57.8%	65.0%	50.2%
Colombia	Bello	2017	71.9%	77.2%	65.5%
Colombia	Belmira	2000	59.8%	76.1%	42.5%
Colombia	Belmira	2017	72.4%	84.7%	56.8%
Colombia	Beltrán	2000	62.6%	82.4%	38.5%
Colombia	Beltrán	2017	74.6%	89.6%	53.1%
Colombia	Berbeo	2000	55.3%	76.0%	34.0%
Colombia	Berbeo	2017	75.9%	89.0%	57.2%
Colombia	Betania	2000	57.6%	74.9%	38.6%
Colombia	Betania	2017	70.1%	84.1%	51.9%
Colombia	Beteitiva	2000	57.3%	76.6%	37.9%
Colombia	Beteitiva	2017	70.2%	85.9%	52.4%
Colombia	Betulia	2000	63.0%	78.8%	45.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Betulia	2000	67.3%	84.0%	47.3%
Colombia	Betulia	2017	75.3%	87.4%	59.9%
Colombia	Betulia	2017	78.3%	90.4%	61.9%
Colombia	Bituima	2000	67.8%	85.2%	44.7%
Colombia	Bituima	2017	78.8%	91.3%	59.6%
Colombia	Boavita	2000	61.0%	77.6%	42.1%
Colombia	Boavita	2017	72.8%	85.7%	55.5%
Colombia	Bochalema	2000	60.1%	66.5%	52.8%
Colombia	Bochalema	2017	72.9%	77.4%	67.5%
Colombia	Bojacá	2000	64.7%	72.8%	54.8%
Colombia	Bojacá	2017	77.9%	83.6%	70.2%
Colombia	Bojayá	2000	23.3%	32.3%	15.6%
Colombia	Bojayá	2017	34.9%	45.3%	25.1%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	71.3%	88.9%	48.1%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	67.1%	76.5%	56.4%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	58.5%	77.2%	40.4%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	41.6%	49.1%	34.5%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	80.4%	93.4%	59.7%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	78.1%	85.2%	69.1%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	56.3%	63.6%	48.8%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	71.1%	85.3%	53.9%
Colombia	Bosconia	2000	47.5%	64.3%	32.3%
Colombia	Bosconia	2017	61.6%	75.9%	46.5%
Colombia	Boyacá	2000	59.8%	71.3%	45.3%
Colombia	Boyacá	2017	72.9%	81.6%	60.5%
Colombia	Briceño	2000	50.8%	61.7%	36.9%
Colombia	Briceño	2000	62.5%	81.1%	41.9%
Colombia	Briceño	2017	74.7%	89.0%	57.3%
Colombia	Briceño	2017	65.4%	75.8%	51.5%
Colombia	Bucaramanga	2000	68.9%	72.1%	66.1%
Colombia	Bucaramanga	2017	82.2%	84.1%	80.3%
Colombia	Bucarasica	2000	66.9%	80.5%	50.2%
Colombia	Bucarasica	2017	77.9%	88.3%	64.5%
Colombia	Buenaventura	2000	80.8%	83.9%	76.8%
Colombia	Buenaventura	2017	87.8%	90.0%	85.1%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	57.3%	75.4%	38.6%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	80.7%	93.8%	60.1%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	55.4%	69.7%	40.6%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	53.8%	72.2%	29.8%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	70.2%	84.7%	53.3%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	69.0%	80.9%	55.5%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	67.1%	82.8%	43.4%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	88.1%	96.7%	73.2%
Colombia	Buenos Aires	2000	40.7%	57.3%	26.5%
Colombia	Buenos Aires	2017	52.7%	68.3%	36.8%
Colombia	Buesaco	2000	66.2%	80.1%	50.2%
Colombia	Buesaco	2017	78.0%	88.2%	65.9%
Colombia	Bugalagrande	2000	82.5%	88.2%	74.6%
Colombia	Bugalagrande	2017	89.5%	93.1%	84.5%
Colombia	Buriticá	2000	63.3%	78.2%	44.6%
Colombia	Buriticá	2017	75.5%	86.4%	61.0%
Colombia	Busbanza	2000	52.9%	63.3%	40.9%
Colombia	Busbanza	2017	66.7%	74.6%	55.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Cabrera	2000	76.2%	91.4%	53.4%
Colombia	Cabrera	2000	68.1%	83.6%	51.2%
Colombia	Cabrera	2017	84.8%	95.2%	66.9%
Colombia	Cabrera	2017	79.2%	89.8%	65.3%
Colombia	Cabuyaro	2000	86.4%	93.3%	74.5%
Colombia	Cabuyaro	2017	92.0%	96.2%	84.0%
Colombia	Cacahual	2000	63.7%	72.1%	53.3%
Colombia	Cacahual	2017	75.7%	82.4%	67.7%
Colombia	Cáceres	2000	60.2%	72.3%	45.8%
Colombia	Cáceres	2017	72.6%	83.0%	59.9%
Colombia	Cachipay	2000	69.2%	82.9%	53.2%
Colombia	Cachipay	2017	80.0%	89.5%	67.2%
Colombia	Cáchira	2000	64.4%	77.1%	51.4%
Colombia	Cáchira	2017	76.2%	86.1%	64.0%
Colombia	Cácota	2000	62.3%	73.7%	48.1%
Colombia	Cácota	2017	74.9%	83.6%	64.2%
Colombia	Caicedo	2000	62.5%	81.1%	39.8%
Colombia	Caicedo	2017	74.8%	88.8%	54.7%
Colombia	Caicedonia	2000	82.5%	94.5%	64.0%
Colombia	Caicedonia	2017	89.3%	96.9%	76.2%
Colombia	Caimito	2000	60.9%	76.5%	42.1%
Colombia	Caimito	2017	73.1%	84.7%	57.6%
Colombia	Cajamarca	2000	65.2%	76.2%	51.4%
Colombia	Cajamarca	2017	77.3%	85.5%	64.4%
Colombia	Cajibío	2000	24.0%	33.9%	16.5%
Colombia	Cajibío	2017	35.0%	46.0%	25.0%
Colombia	Cajicá	2000	68.6%	74.5%	62.9%
Colombia	Cajicá	2017	80.0%	83.8%	75.7%
Colombia	Calamar	2000	51.5%	72.5%	34.4%
Colombia	Calamar	2000	73.5%	80.4%	66.3%
Colombia	Calamar	2017	66.2%	83.0%	50.0%
Colombia	Calamar	2017	83.0%	87.4%	78.1%
Colombia	Calarcá	2000	81.8%	88.1%	73.6%
Colombia	Calarcá	2017	91.2%	94.2%	86.5%
Colombia	Caldas	2000	58.7%	65.5%	52.4%
Colombia	Caldas	2000	52.5%	68.2%	35.8%
Colombia	Caldas	2017	72.5%	77.6%	66.9%
Colombia	Caldas	2017	66.5%	79.7%	50.5%
Colombia	Caldonó	2000	37.3%	48.4%	27.1%
Colombia	Caldonó	2017	51.6%	62.5%	41.1%
Colombia	California	2000	69.5%	87.9%	41.0%
Colombia	California	2017	77.9%	91.9%	52.0%
Colombia	Calima	2000	77.5%	90.7%	59.0%
Colombia	Calima	2017	85.6%	94.1%	72.7%
Colombia	Caloto	2000	42.8%	49.0%	38.3%
Colombia	Caloto	2017	57.8%	63.5%	52.2%
Colombia	Campamento	2000	62.9%	70.4%	54.1%
Colombia	Campamento	2017	75.4%	81.2%	67.8%
Colombia	Campo de la Cruz	2000	57.6%	80.3%	33.3%
Colombia	Campo de la Cruz	2017	70.3%	87.7%	47.0%
Colombia	Campoalegre	2000	59.2%	71.2%	43.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Campoalegre	2017	72.3%	81.4%	58.5%
Colombia	Campohermoso	2000	55.1%	67.6%	40.4%
Colombia	Campohermoso	2017	68.2%	78.5%	54.8%
Colombia	Canalete	2000	55.1%	68.9%	41.5%
Colombia	Canalete	2017	68.6%	79.4%	56.6%
Colombia	Cañasgordas	2000	64.6%	78.2%	49.5%
Colombia	Cañasgordas	2017	76.4%	86.1%	64.2%
Colombia	Candelaria	2000	80.7%	87.2%	71.0%
Colombia	Candelaria	2000	64.9%	81.5%	43.0%
Colombia	Candelaria	2017	88.9%	93.1%	82.0%
Colombia	Candelaria	2017	76.8%	88.9%	57.7%
Colombia	Cantagallo	2000	61.1%	75.8%	42.6%
Colombia	Cantagallo	2017	73.5%	85.5%	56.5%
Colombia	Caparrapí	2000	75.1%	82.8%	65.7%
Colombia	Caparrapí	2017	84.2%	89.6%	77.6%
Colombia	Capitanejo	2000	65.1%	76.6%	52.7%
Colombia	Capitanejo	2017	77.1%	86.1%	66.2%
Colombia	Cáqueza	2000	67.9%	79.2%	52.3%
Colombia	Cáqueza	2017	82.7%	89.5%	71.1%
Colombia	Caracolí	2000	62.3%	76.2%	44.1%
Colombia	Caracolí	2017	74.6%	85.5%	58.3%
Colombia	Caramanta	2000	66.9%	82.4%	48.3%
Colombia	Caramanta	2017	77.9%	89.3%	63.0%
Colombia	Carcasí	2000	67.4%	81.8%	49.8%
Colombia	Carcasí	2017	78.5%	89.1%	64.6%
Colombia	Carepa	2000	58.9%	71.2%	45.5%
Colombia	Carepa	2017	71.8%	81.1%	60.9%
Colombia	Carmen de Apicalá	2000	65.7%	76.0%	51.8%
Colombia	Carmen de Apicalá	2017	77.4%	84.5%	65.7%
Colombia	Carmen de Carupa	2000	64.4%	80.4%	50.5%
Colombia	Carmen de Carupa	2017	76.3%	88.1%	64.6%
Colombia	Carolina del Príncipe	2000	58.6%	83.7%	32.5%
Colombia	Carolina del Príncipe	2017	71.2%	90.0%	47.4%
Colombia	Cartagena de Indias	2000	49.2%	52.8%	45.6%
Colombia	Cartagena de Indias	2017	63.9%	67.1%	60.8%
Colombia	Cartagena del Chairá	2000	57.9%	62.7%	52.4%
Colombia	Cartagena del Chairá	2017	71.1%	74.8%	67.1%
Colombia	Cartago	2000	84.5%	89.1%	79.8%
Colombia	Cartago	2017	90.8%	93.6%	87.4%
Colombia	Carurú	2000	69.5%	76.9%	60.4%
Colombia	Carurú	2017	79.7%	85.1%	72.9%
Colombia	Casabianca	2000	59.8%	79.7%	38.7%
Colombia	Casabianca	2017	72.0%	85.6%	54.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Castilla Nueva	2000	86.9%	92.8%	78.7%
Colombia	Castilla Nueva	2017	93.0%	96.3%	88.1%
Colombia	Caucasia	2000	60.5%	70.7%	49.7%
Colombia	Caucasia	2017	73.5%	80.5%	65.2%
Colombia	Cepitá	2000	68.0%	83.5%	48.0%
Colombia	Cepitá	2017	80.0%	90.6%	64.4%
Colombia	Cereté	2000	48.1%	53.1%	43.3%
Colombia	Cereté	2017	62.7%	67.6%	57.4%
Colombia	Cerinza	2000	60.8%	80.6%	39.8%
Colombia	Cerinza	2017	73.0%	88.1%	54.2%
Colombia	Cerrito	2000	72.3%	87.8%	51.0%
Colombia	Cerrito	2017	82.0%	93.0%	65.1%
Colombia	Cerro de San Antonio	2000	49.8%	69.0%	29.1%
Colombia	Cerro de San Antonio	2017	63.7%	80.5%	42.0%
Colombia	Chachagüí	2000	70.6%	83.6%	51.3%
Colombia	Chachagüí	2017	80.9%	90.2%	65.5%
Colombia	Chaguaní	2000	64.6%	82.5%	41.0%
Colombia	Chaguaní	2017	75.9%	90.1%	54.7%
Colombia	Chalán	2000	56.9%	78.4%	36.2%
Colombia	Chalán	2017	69.9%	86.0%	50.9%
Colombia	Chámeza	2000	77.5%	90.9%	62.7%
Colombia	Chámeza	2017	87.5%	95.5%	75.3%
Colombia	Chaparral	2000	60.8%	69.9%	51.7%
Colombia	Chaparral	2017	73.3%	80.4%	66.0%
Colombia	Charalá	2000	68.1%	78.4%	56.5%
Colombia	Charalá	2017	79.8%	86.9%	71.2%
Colombia	Charta	2000	72.2%	84.8%	55.6%
Colombia	Charta	2017	82.2%	91.4%	68.8%
Colombia	Chía	2000	66.3%	73.0%	59.8%
Colombia	Chía	2017	81.8%	85.9%	77.8%
Colombia	Chigorodó	2000	56.2%	62.9%	47.9%
Colombia	Chigorodó	2017	69.6%	75.4%	61.9%
Colombia	Chimá	2000	68.4%	86.4%	46.8%
Colombia	Chimá	2000	48.0%	67.6%	30.8%
Colombia	Chimá	2017	62.1%	78.0%	45.8%
Colombia	Chimá	2017	79.2%	92.3%	62.2%
Colombia	Chimichagua	2000	58.0%	69.1%	46.4%
Colombia	Chimichagua	2017	70.4%	78.5%	61.0%
Colombia	Chinácota	2000	68.0%	75.5%	59.8%
Colombia	Chinácota	2017	79.5%	84.9%	73.4%
Colombia	Chinavita	2000	54.4%	68.1%	39.3%
Colombia	Chinavita	2017	68.0%	79.7%	53.5%
Colombia	Chinchiná	2000	72.6%	86.5%	50.5%
Colombia	Chinchiná	2017	82.7%	92.2%	65.5%
Colombia	Chinú	2000	47.9%	57.1%	39.5%
Colombia	Chinú	2017	63.3%	71.1%	54.9%
Colombia	Chipaque	2000	67.4%	85.5%	48.1%
Colombia	Chipaque	2017	79.0%	91.7%	63.6%
Colombia	Chipatá	2000	65.1%	78.3%	52.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Chipatá	2017	77.0%	86.7%	66.2%
Colombia	Chiquinquirá	2000	62.4%	67.7%	56.8%
Colombia	Chiquinquirá	2017	77.3%	80.9%	73.1%
Colombia	Chíquiza	2000	54.3%	72.9%	35.0%
Colombia	Chíquiza	2017	67.5%	82.7%	48.6%
Colombia	Chiriguaná	2000	53.3%	66.4%	40.4%
Colombia	Chiriguaná	2017	66.5%	77.6%	55.0%
Colombia	Chiscas	2000	67.5%	79.6%	52.3%
Colombia	Chiscas	2017	78.9%	87.3%	66.6%
Colombia	Chita	2000	74.6%	89.3%	52.9%
Colombia	Chita	2017	83.2%	93.4%	67.5%
Colombia	Chitagá	2000	71.7%	84.7%	56.3%
Colombia	Chitagá	2017	81.7%	91.0%	69.4%
Colombia	Chitaraque	2000	54.8%	72.5%	36.8%
Colombia	Chitaraque	2017	68.4%	82.3%	52.2%
Colombia	Chivatá	2000	52.0%	62.5%	40.4%
Colombia	Chivatá	2017	66.3%	75.3%	55.3%
Colombia	Chivolo	2000	50.0%	60.8%	40.2%
Colombia	Chivolo	2017	63.9%	74.8%	52.7%
Colombia	Chivor	2000	56.5%	81.3%	24.7%
Colombia	Chivor	2017	69.3%	88.4%	38.4%
Colombia	Choachí	2000	84.8%	93.0%	74.0%
Colombia	Choachí	2017	90.1%	95.8%	82.8%
Colombia	Chocontá	2000	62.6%	73.9%	47.8%
Colombia	Chocontá	2017	75.4%	82.9%	64.9%
Colombia	Cicuco	2000	52.2%	58.0%	46.1%
Colombia	Cicuco	2017	66.2%	72.0%	60.1%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2000	42.6%	48.2%	36.7%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2000	56.6%	72.8%	38.1%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2017	56.8%	62.4%	50.9%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2017	69.8%	82.9%	52.2%
Colombia	Ciénaga de Oro	2000	49.1%	59.4%	37.9%
Colombia	Ciénaga de Oro	2017	64.9%	73.5%	55.0%
Colombia	Cimitarra	2000	68.0%	76.4%	58.3%
Colombia	Cimitarra	2017	79.2%	85.1%	72.2%
Colombia	Circasia	2000	82.4%	90.2%	71.3%
Colombia	Circasia	2017	89.6%	94.6%	81.7%
Colombia	Cisneros	2000	60.0%	77.2%	39.1%
Colombia	Cisneros	2017	72.9%	86.4%	54.5%
Colombia	Cocorná	2000	66.6%	80.6%	50.8%
Colombia	Cocorná	2017	78.4%	88.7%	66.0%
Colombia	Coello	2000	69.9%	84.6%	53.7%
Colombia	Coello	2017	80.0%	90.8%	66.4%
Colombia	Cogua	2000	71.4%	81.4%	58.0%
Colombia	Cogua	2017	82.2%	88.9%	73.4%
Colombia	Colombia	2000	71.1%	81.6%	56.8%
Colombia	Colombia	2017	81.1%	88.6%	69.2%
Colombia	Colón	2000	61.7%	73.6%	49.9%
Colombia	Colón	2000	71.6%	78.2%	64.6%
Colombia	Colón	2017	74.5%	83.5%	65.6%
Colombia	Colón	2017	81.6%	86.8%	75.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Colosó	2000	62.8%	78.4%	44.3%
Colombia	Colosó	2017	71.0%	84.0%	54.7%
Colombia	Cómbita	2000	49.3%	57.1%	41.3%
Colombia	Cómbita	2017	71.9%	76.9%	65.6%
Colombia	Concepción	2000	70.3%	80.9%	56.7%
Colombia	Concepción	2000	59.9%	78.1%	37.4%
Colombia	Concepción	2017	80.9%	88.7%	70.4%
Colombia	Concepción	2017	72.4%	86.6%	52.2%
Colombia	Concordia	2000	61.9%	73.9%	51.0%
Colombia	Concordia	2017	74.5%	83.8%	65.0%
Colombia	Condoto	2000	23.5%	33.0%	14.5%
Colombia	Condoto	2017	35.7%	47.3%	23.7%
Colombia	Confines	2000	65.7%	81.7%	43.8%
Colombia	Confines	2017	77.2%	89.2%	58.4%
Colombia	Consacá	2000	50.3%	69.3%	32.1%
Colombia	Consacá	2017	65.8%	81.5%	47.8%
Colombia	Contadero	2000	39.4%	54.5%	27.2%
Colombia	Contadero	2017	54.3%	67.8%	40.8%
Colombia	Contratación	2000	67.4%	84.2%	44.9%
Colombia	Contratación	2017	78.5%	90.6%	59.2%
Colombia	Convención	2000	58.0%	68.3%	45.9%
Colombia	Convención	2017	72.0%	78.9%	63.0%
Colombia	Copacabana	2000	59.4%	73.0%	43.4%
Colombia	Copacabana	2017	72.4%	83.4%	57.5%
Colombia	Coper	2000	62.0%	80.0%	36.7%
Colombia	Coper	2017	74.4%	88.2%	52.2%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	43.8%	56.8%	32.1%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	77.4%	92.6%	56.4%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	52.9%	70.5%	34.8%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	58.7%	70.9%	47.6%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	85.6%	95.7%	69.8%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	66.5%	80.6%	48.3%
Colombia	Corinto	2000	57.7%	68.9%	46.3%
Colombia	Corinto	2017	72.7%	80.5%	63.0%
Colombia	Coromoro	2000	66.6%	80.7%	45.3%
Colombia	Coromoro	2017	77.7%	87.9%	60.3%
Colombia	Corozal	2000	53.6%	62.0%	45.1%
Colombia	Corozal	2017	67.7%	75.2%	60.0%
Colombia	Corrales	2000	52.5%	56.2%	48.1%
Colombia	Corrales	2017	65.7%	69.3%	61.5%
Colombia	Cota	2000	83.3%	88.0%	76.3%
Colombia	Cota	2017	91.6%	94.2%	87.9%
Colombia	Covarachía	2000	66.5%	78.0%	54.1%
Colombia	Covarachía	2017	78.1%	86.4%	67.7%
Colombia	Coyaima	2000	58.0%	72.7%	42.1%
Colombia	Coyaima	2017	70.8%	83.4%	54.8%
Colombia	Cravo Norte	2000	84.6%	91.8%	75.4%
Colombia	Cravo Norte	2017	90.4%	95.3%	84.1%
Colombia	Cuaspud	2000	41.8%	48.1%	35.4%
Colombia	Cuaspud	2017	56.0%	61.9%	49.0%
Colombia	Cubará	2000	80.4%	92.1%	62.8%
Colombia	Cubará	2017	87.5%	95.3%	73.8%
Colombia	Cucaita	2000	64.8%	77.0%	51.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Cucaita	2017	79.5%	87.3%	69.7%
Colombia	Cucunubá	2000	69.2%	78.8%	57.2%
Colombia	Cucunubá	2017	80.2%	86.9%	71.0%
Colombia	Cucutilla	2000	61.8%	78.9%	41.8%
Colombia	Cucutilla	2017	74.4%	87.5%	58.1%
Colombia	Cúitiva	2000	57.5%	75.3%	37.3%
Colombia	Cúitiva	2017	70.6%	84.7%	52.6%
Colombia	Cumaral	2000	85.5%	92.2%	74.3%
Colombia	Cumaral	2017	91.3%	95.7%	83.7%
Colombia	Cumaribo	2000	77.3%	81.7%	73.6%
Colombia	Cumaribo	2017	85.8%	88.4%	82.9%
Colombia	Cumbal	2000	55.6%	64.9%	44.7%
Colombia	Cumbal	2017	69.9%	77.4%	59.7%
Colombia	Cumbitara	2000	54.1%	72.5%	34.3%
Colombia	Cumbitara	2017	67.5%	82.6%	48.4%
Colombia	Cunday	2000	69.0%	83.5%	54.6%
Colombia	Cunday	2017	79.7%	89.9%	66.6%
Colombia	Curillo	2000	59.8%	73.3%	45.4%
Colombia	Curillo	2017	72.7%	83.4%	60.0%
Colombia	Curití	2000	67.2%	81.4%	50.9%
Colombia	Curití	2017	78.5%	89.6%	64.7%
Colombia	Curumaní	2000	46.4%	55.7%	37.5%
Colombia	Curumaní	2017	61.2%	69.8%	52.2%
Colombia	Dabeiba	2000	60.7%	71.4%	48.2%
Colombia	Dabeiba	2017	73.0%	82.0%	61.1%
Colombia	Dagua	2000	82.8%	92.2%	70.4%
Colombia	Dagua	2017	89.6%	95.5%	80.5%
Colombia	Dolores	2000	69.7%	87.1%	46.8%
Colombia	Dolores	2017	79.0%	91.8%	60.5%
Colombia	Don Matías	2000	57.7%	65.7%	49.8%
Colombia	Don Matías	2017	68.6%	75.4%	61.7%
Colombia	Dosquebradas	2000	78.3%	86.2%	67.5%
Colombia	Dosquebradas	2017	87.7%	92.6%	80.1%
Colombia	Duitama	2000	56.1%	61.2%	50.8%
Colombia	Duitama	2017	72.3%	75.7%	68.2%
Colombia	Durania	2000	56.1%	67.6%	42.8%
Colombia	Durania	2017	69.5%	78.7%	57.8%
Colombia	Ebéjico	2000	64.7%	81.5%	46.4%
Colombia	Ebéjico	2017	76.7%	89.3%	60.6%
Colombia	El Águila	2000	70.9%	90.1%	41.7%
Colombia	El Águila	2017	80.7%	94.2%	55.9%
Colombia	El Bagre	2000	60.2%	70.0%	48.9%
Colombia	El Bagre	2017	72.9%	80.7%	63.7%
Colombia	El Banco	2000	57.7%	72.6%	43.3%
Colombia	El Banco	2017	70.3%	81.5%	58.2%
Colombia	El Cairo	2000	57.3%	82.6%	28.9%
Colombia	El Cairo	2017	69.8%	89.4%	43.4%
Colombia	El Calvario	2000	79.0%	90.7%	63.7%
Colombia	El Calvario	2017	87.0%	94.5%	75.7%
Colombia	El Cantón del San Pablo	2000	18.3%	23.9%	13.3%
Colombia	El Cantón del San Pablo	2017	28.9%	36.1%	21.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	El Carmen	2000	59.0%	73.9%	41.1%
Colombia	El Carmen	2017	71.2%	82.9%	56.0%
Colombia	El Carmen de Atrato	2000	33.1%	41.4%	24.7%
Colombia	El Carmen de Atrato	2017	45.5%	54.1%	36.6%
Colombia	El Carmen de Bolívar	2000	51.4%	58.6%	44.2%
Colombia	El Carmen de Bolívar	2017	65.4%	71.6%	58.6%
Colombia	El Carmen de Chucurí	2000	68.6%	80.0%	55.9%
Colombia	El Carmen de Chucurí	2017	80.1%	88.3%	69.4%
Colombia	El Carmen de Viboral	2000	64.3%	74.8%	52.7%
Colombia	El Carmen de Viboral	2017	78.5%	85.9%	68.9%
Colombia	El Castillo	2000	85.4%	91.4%	76.7%
Colombia	El Castillo	2017	91.6%	95.1%	86.5%
Colombia	El Cerrito	2000	83.8%	89.1%	77.1%
Colombia	El Cerrito	2017	90.4%	94.0%	85.7%
Colombia	El Charco	2000	50.6%	60.1%	39.6%
Colombia	El Charco	2017	64.3%	73.4%	53.1%
Colombia	El Cocuy	2000	63.1%	79.4%	44.4%
Colombia	El Cocuy	2017	75.2%	87.3%	60.0%
Colombia	El Colegio	2000	72.8%	86.0%	57.7%
Colombia	El Colegio	2017	82.8%	91.8%	71.5%
Colombia	El Copey	2000	50.9%	69.3%	34.8%
Colombia	El Copey	2017	65.5%	80.1%	50.9%
Colombia	El Doncello	2000	58.5%	63.8%	53.3%
Colombia	El Doncello	2017	72.1%	76.2%	67.5%
Colombia	El Dorado	2000	86.3%	93.5%	74.4%
Colombia	El Dorado	2017	91.9%	96.4%	84.0%
Colombia	El Dovio	2000	71.7%	88.9%	46.8%
Colombia	El Dovio	2017	80.0%	93.0%	58.2%
Colombia	El Encanto	2000	58.5%	65.3%	51.4%
Colombia	El Encanto	2017	71.3%	76.7%	65.3%
Colombia	El Espino	2000	56.7%	62.9%	49.5%
Colombia	El Espino	2017	70.7%	75.8%	64.9%
Colombia	El Guacamayo	2000	66.6%	80.8%	45.6%
Colombia	El Guacamayo	2017	78.1%	88.7%	61.0%
Colombia	El Guamo	2000	48.0%	63.1%	31.4%
Colombia	El Guamo	2017	61.5%	75.2%	44.7%
Colombia	El Litoral del San Juan	2000	23.7%	29.9%	18.9%
Colombia	El Litoral del San Juan	2017	35.4%	41.9%	29.5%
Colombia	El Molino	2000	59.6%	83.3%	35.6%
Colombia	El Molino	2017	71.8%	89.9%	50.6%
Colombia	El Paso	2000	49.0%	61.8%	34.3%
Colombia	El Paso	2017	64.9%	75.9%	50.4%
Colombia	El Paujíl	2000	56.5%	64.6%	47.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	El Paujíl	2017	69.8%	76.8%	60.9%
Colombia	El Peñon	2000	69.8%	84.9%	50.6%
Colombia	El Peñon	2000	73.1%	83.5%	60.1%
Colombia	El Peñon	2017	80.2%	91.0%	65.1%
Colombia	El Peñon	2017	83.1%	89.7%	72.8%
Colombia	El Piñón	2000	51.6%	60.8%	41.7%
Colombia	El Piñón	2017	65.7%	73.6%	55.2%
Colombia	El Playón	2000	66.4%	82.8%	49.8%
Colombia	El Playón	2017	77.8%	90.1%	64.2%
Colombia	El Retorno	2000	73.5%	79.2%	67.1%
Colombia	El Retorno	2017	83.1%	87.0%	78.3%
Colombia	El Rosario	2000	51.7%	67.5%	37.4%
Colombia	El Rosario	2017	65.2%	77.8%	50.9%
Colombia	El Santuario	2000	70.4%	80.7%	57.4%
Colombia	El Santuario	2017	82.2%	89.1%	72.6%
Colombia	El Tablón de Gomez	2000	57.0%	66.9%	45.4%
Colombia	El Tablón de Gomez	2017	72.1%	79.4%	62.1%
Colombia	El Tambo	2000	61.6%	76.4%	45.3%
Colombia	El Tambo	2000	41.3%	50.0%	32.0%
Colombia	El Tambo	2017	73.5%	84.1%	60.7%
Colombia	El Tambo	2017	54.6%	63.6%	45.1%
Colombia	El Tarra	2000	58.3%	76.1%	38.8%
Colombia	El Tarra	2017	71.5%	85.2%	54.9%
Colombia	El Zulia	2000	60.3%	73.4%	46.9%
Colombia	El Zulia	2017	73.1%	83.2%	60.2%
Colombia	Elías	2000	55.4%	71.5%	40.0%
Colombia	Elías	2017	69.0%	81.0%	54.8%
Colombia	Encino	2000	62.7%	81.3%	43.7%
Colombia	Encino	2017	74.6%	88.6%	57.8%
Colombia	Enciso	2000	73.8%	80.9%	65.5%
Colombia	Enciso	2017	83.3%	88.2%	77.6%
Colombia	Entrerríos	2000	58.6%	77.9%	37.9%
Colombia	Entrerríos	2017	71.4%	86.6%	51.4%
Colombia	Envigado	2000	62.6%	66.9%	58.4%
Colombia	Envigado	2017	75.4%	78.8%	72.0%
Colombia	Espinal	2000	62.8%	68.3%	58.0%
Colombia	Espinal	2017	75.5%	79.5%	71.7%
Colombia	Facatativá	2000	74.2%	79.1%	68.7%
Colombia	Facatativá	2017	84.3%	87.6%	80.3%
Colombia	Falán	2000	63.5%	76.8%	46.1%
Colombia	Falán	2017	76.3%	85.8%	61.8%
Colombia	Filadelfia	2000	71.1%	85.7%	52.9%
Colombia	Filadelfia	2017	81.5%	91.5%	67.4%
Colombia	Filandia	2000	83.1%	92.5%	68.7%
Colombia	Filandia	2017	89.9%	95.8%	79.9%
Colombia	Firavitoba	2000	59.8%	76.9%	43.4%
Colombia	Firavitoba	2017	72.6%	86.4%	57.2%
Colombia	Flandes	2000	73.6%	83.5%	61.2%
Colombia	Flandes	2017	83.6%	89.8%	74.6%
Colombia	Florencia	2000	55.2%	58.8%	51.8%
Colombia	Florencia	2000	51.9%	63.4%	40.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Florencia	2017	65.3%	74.7%	55.2%
Colombia	Florencia	2017	69.6%	72.0%	67.1%
Colombia	Floresta	2000	61.1%	69.8%	52.9%
Colombia	Floresta	2017	73.9%	79.6%	67.1%
Colombia	Florián	2000	65.7%	81.1%	47.5%
Colombia	Florián	2017	77.0%	88.6%	61.9%
Colombia	Florida	2000	71.5%	79.3%	61.3%
Colombia	Florida	2017	81.7%	87.3%	74.1%
Colombia	Floridablanca	2000	64.3%	67.9%	60.9%
Colombia	Floridablanca	2017	77.9%	80.2%	75.6%
Colombia	Fómeque	2000	71.6%	84.0%	55.3%
Colombia	Fómeque	2017	81.8%	90.3%	69.1%
Colombia	Fonseca	2000	52.1%	65.9%	39.1%
Colombia	Fonseca	2017	65.8%	76.9%	53.7%
Colombia	Fortul	2000	93.4%	96.2%	88.7%
Colombia	Fortul	2017	96.4%	98.0%	93.6%
Colombia	Fosca	2000	69.5%	84.9%	47.4%
Colombia	Fosca	2017	80.4%	91.3%	63.3%
Colombia	Francisco Pizarro	2000	51.3%	67.5%	31.5%
Colombia	Francisco Pizarro	2017	65.0%	79.1%	45.3%
Colombia	Fredonia	2000	63.5%	77.2%	47.9%
Colombia	Fredonia	2017	75.6%	85.5%	62.4%
Colombia	Fresno	2000	64.3%	81.0%	45.5%
Colombia	Fresno	2017	76.3%	88.5%	61.4%
Colombia	Frontino	2000	62.4%	76.6%	46.9%
Colombia	Frontino	2017	74.6%	85.8%	60.9%
Colombia	Fuente de Oro	2000	86.4%	92.3%	77.1%
Colombia	Fuente de Oro	2017	92.0%	95.7%	85.8%
Colombia	Fundación	2000	50.5%	57.6%	44.0%
Colombia	Fundación	2017	64.7%	71.1%	57.8%
Colombia	Funes	2000	47.9%	64.5%	32.4%
Colombia	Funes	2017	61.0%	76.7%	44.4%
Colombia	Funza	2000	79.8%	86.9%	70.7%
Colombia	Funza	2017	88.9%	93.1%	83.0%
Colombia	Fúquene	2000	64.4%	77.3%	50.5%
Colombia	Fúquene	2017	77.5%	87.0%	65.8%
Colombia	Fusagasugá	2000	66.0%	71.8%	58.5%
Colombia	Fusagasugá	2017	79.1%	82.9%	74.6%
Colombia	Gachalá	2000	68.0%	85.4%	48.2%
Colombia	Gachalá	2017	79.0%	91.1%	62.6%
Colombia	Gachancipá	2000	62.9%	74.2%	51.4%
Colombia	Gachancipá	2017	74.3%	84.1%	63.5%
Colombia	Gachantivá	2000	56.4%	76.0%	34.4%
Colombia	Gachantivá	2017	69.4%	85.5%	48.6%
Colombia	Gachetá	2000	57.8%	81.0%	27.9%
Colombia	Gachetá	2017	70.2%	88.6%	41.8%
Colombia	Galán	2000	66.7%	86.1%	42.9%
Colombia	Galán	2017	77.9%	91.3%	58.5%
Colombia	Galapa	2000	70.0%	81.1%	56.6%
Colombia	Galapa	2017	84.2%	90.5%	74.0%
Colombia	Galeras	2000	49.4%	58.6%	39.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Galeras	2017	63.7%	72.0%	53.4%
Colombia	Gama	2000	62.7%	84.7%	37.2%
Colombia	Gama	2017	75.1%	91.2%	51.5%
Colombia	Gamarra	2000	57.7%	76.7%	40.1%
Colombia	Gamarra	2017	71.1%	86.1%	56.0%
Colombia	Gámbita	2000	55.8%	76.4%	35.9%
Colombia	Gámbita	2017	68.8%	85.7%	49.5%
Colombia	Gámeza	2000	53.8%	60.7%	46.4%
Colombia	Gámeza	2017	67.3%	72.7%	61.0%
Colombia	Garagoa	2000	54.8%	58.6%	50.7%
Colombia	Garagoa	2017	69.1%	72.1%	65.1%
Colombia	Garzón	2000	51.6%	56.5%	45.8%
Colombia	Garzón	2017	65.3%	69.4%	60.7%
Colombia	Génova	2000	79.3%	93.8%	59.5%
Colombia	Génova	2017	87.0%	96.5%	72.0%
Colombia	Gigante	2000	57.5%	77.2%	34.2%
Colombia	Gigante	2017	69.8%	85.8%	48.8%
Colombia	Ginebra	2000	84.8%	92.3%	74.9%
Colombia	Ginebra	2017	91.0%	95.5%	84.3%
Colombia	Giraldo	2000	63.3%	75.2%	50.5%
Colombia	Giraldo	2017	75.7%	84.8%	64.4%
Colombia	Girardot	2000	73.4%	83.0%	61.7%
Colombia	Girardot	2017	83.4%	89.7%	74.4%
Colombia	Girardota	2000	59.5%	73.2%	43.5%
Colombia	Girardota	2017	72.6%	83.8%	59.1%
Colombia	Girón	2000	69.2%	75.9%	62.7%
Colombia	Girón	2017	81.0%	85.8%	75.8%
Colombia	Gómez Plata	2000	59.7%	78.4%	40.3%
Colombia	Gómez Plata	2017	72.2%	86.9%	53.5%
Colombia	González	2000	60.8%	78.5%	38.1%
Colombia	González	2017	73.2%	87.3%	52.9%
Colombia	Gramalote	2000	55.4%	74.3%	34.7%
Colombia	Gramalote	2017	68.2%	83.3%	48.0%
Colombia	Granada	2000	86.8%	90.0%	82.2%
Colombia	Granada	2000	64.2%	76.2%	49.6%
Colombia	Granada	2017	92.3%	94.5%	89.5%
Colombia	Granada	2017	75.9%	84.8%	63.9%
Colombia	Guaca	2000	67.6%	82.2%	49.2%
Colombia	Guaca	2017	78.6%	89.2%	64.3%
Colombia	Guacamayas	2000	56.1%	65.4%	45.5%
Colombia	Guacamayas	2017	67.0%	75.0%	57.7%
Colombia	Guacarí	2000	85.8%	90.5%	79.9%
Colombia	Guacarí	2017	91.6%	94.7%	87.5%
Colombia	Guachetá	2000	61.4%	76.1%	44.4%
Colombia	Guachetá	2017	73.3%	85.3%	58.1%
Colombia	Guachucal	2000	47.4%	65.2%	30.7%
Colombia	Guachucal	2017	61.7%	77.1%	44.8%
Colombia	Guadalajara de Buga	2000	82.8%	87.0%	78.5%
Colombia	Guadalajara de Buga	2017	90.0%	92.6%	87.2%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	58.0%	74.6%	41.3%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	62.1%	79.6%	39.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	66.7%	76.2%	55.6%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	71.6%	84.3%	57.1%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	74.3%	87.5%	53.9%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	78.1%	84.9%	69.5%
Colombia	Guaduas	2000	66.5%	80.2%	50.7%
Colombia	Guaduas	2017	79.1%	89.1%	66.3%
Colombia	Guaitarilla	2000	54.9%	60.7%	49.0%
Colombia	Guaitarilla	2017	69.8%	73.6%	66.0%
Colombia	Gualmatán	2000	42.7%	59.2%	29.7%
Colombia	Gualmatán	2017	57.1%	72.0%	42.4%
Colombia	Guamal	2000	48.9%	61.3%	36.4%
Colombia	Guamal	2000	84.7%	90.4%	76.8%
Colombia	Guamal	2017	91.1%	94.6%	86.1%
Colombia	Guamal	2017	63.3%	74.3%	50.4%
Colombia	Guamo	2000	61.4%	75.5%	45.3%
Colombia	Guamo	2017	74.4%	84.7%	61.0%
Colombia	Guapí	2000	39.0%	53.5%	27.4%
Colombia	Guapí	2017	52.4%	66.1%	40.1%
Colombia	Guapotá	2000	68.4%	82.4%	50.0%
Colombia	Guapotá	2017	79.4%	89.3%	65.1%
Colombia	Guarandá	2000	50.6%	70.2%	30.9%
Colombia	Guarandá	2017	64.1%	80.9%	45.2%
Colombia	Guarne	2000	54.9%	65.4%	43.8%
Colombia	Guarne	2017	70.3%	78.7%	59.9%
Colombia	Guasca	2000	51.8%	73.0%	33.0%
Colombia	Guasca	2017	65.7%	83.5%	47.4%
Colombia	Guatapé	2000	59.0%	70.1%	49.5%
Colombia	Guatapé	2017	72.1%	80.2%	64.1%
Colombia	Guataquí	2000	66.6%	85.1%	41.0%
Colombia	Guataquí	2017	77.7%	91.6%	54.5%
Colombia	Guatavita	2000	55.5%	77.1%	35.0%
Colombia	Guatavita	2017	69.2%	85.9%	51.4%
Colombia	Guateque	2000	46.7%	62.2%	31.2%
Colombia	Guateque	2017	60.8%	75.0%	44.8%
Colombia	Guática	2000	74.7%	87.2%	58.5%
Colombia	Guática	2017	83.2%	92.2%	69.4%
Colombia	Guavatá	2000	68.4%	75.4%	60.4%
Colombia	Guavatá	2017	79.8%	84.6%	73.4%
Colombia	Guayabal de Síquima	2000	69.1%	82.9%	48.7%
Colombia	Guayabal de Síquima	2017	80.1%	90.1%	62.9%
Colombia	Guayabetal	2000	78.4%	90.9%	53.2%
Colombia	Guayabetal	2017	86.6%	94.6%	67.6%
Colombia	Guayatá	2000	51.0%	73.6%	27.3%
Colombia	Guayatá	2017	65.3%	84.8%	39.4%
Colombia	Güepsa	2000	60.3%	75.6%	44.3%
Colombia	Güepsa	2017	73.1%	84.8%	58.4%
Colombia	Guicán	2000	72.7%	84.5%	57.0%
Colombia	Guicán	2017	82.0%	90.9%	69.2%
Colombia	Gutiérrez	2000	74.6%	90.3%	50.8%
Colombia	Gutiérrez	2017	83.4%	94.3%	64.8%
Colombia	Hacarí	2000	58.3%	75.2%	39.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Hacarí	2017	71.2%	84.6%	53.2%
Colombia	Hatillo de Loba	2000	53.8%	70.3%	36.3%
Colombia	Hatillo de Loba	2017	66.8%	80.9%	50.6%
Colombia	Hato	2000	67.0%	86.6%	46.0%
Colombia	Hato	2017	78.3%	92.0%	60.6%
Colombia	Hato Corozal	2000	92.9%	96.2%	88.5%
Colombia	Hato Corozal	2017	95.7%	97.8%	92.8%
Colombia	Heliconia	2000	62.0%	80.2%	43.3%
Colombia	Heliconia	2017	74.4%	88.0%	58.5%
Colombia	Herrán	2000	74.8%	90.3%	52.6%
Colombia	Herrán	2017	84.5%	94.7%	67.4%
Colombia	Herveo	2000	63.2%	85.3%	39.9%
Colombia	Herveo	2017	75.4%	91.3%	53.8%
Colombia	Hispania	2000	61.2%	80.1%	39.3%
Colombia	Hispania	2017	73.7%	88.0%	54.1%
Colombia	Hobo	2000	44.6%	64.2%	24.8%
Colombia	Hobo	2017	59.1%	77.4%	37.7%
Colombia	Honda	2000	60.1%	80.5%	38.5%
Colombia	Honda	2017	72.4%	87.6%	53.6%
Colombia	Ibagué	2000	63.4%	67.2%	58.7%
Colombia	Ibagué	2017	75.7%	78.3%	72.3%
Colombia	Icononzo	2000	67.6%	81.6%	52.3%
Colombia	Icononzo	2017	77.5%	88.4%	65.1%
Colombia	Iles	2000	39.5%	56.4%	25.3%
Colombia	Iles	2017	53.8%	70.3%	37.7%
Colombia	Imués	2000	53.0%	62.7%	44.0%
Colombia	Imués	2017	64.6%	73.0%	56.5%
Colombia	Inzá	2000	44.7%	57.2%	31.9%
Colombia	Inzá	2017	58.9%	70.4%	45.8%
Colombia	Ipiales	2000	54.5%	62.9%	45.4%
Colombia	Ipiales	2017	68.4%	76.1%	58.5%
Colombia	Iquira	2000	53.5%	65.6%	41.9%
Colombia	Iquira	2017	67.7%	79.3%	55.5%
Colombia	Isnos	2000	58.6%	68.0%	48.1%
Colombia	Isnos	2017	71.8%	78.9%	63.0%
Colombia	Istmina	2000	19.3%	22.5%	16.2%
Colombia	Istmina	2017	29.8%	33.8%	25.7%
Colombia	Itagüí	2000	57.7%	62.4%	52.7%
Colombia	Itagüí	2017	71.6%	75.2%	67.6%
Colombia	Ituango	2000	60.0%	71.7%	43.7%
Colombia	Ituango	2017	72.7%	82.4%	58.8%
Colombia	Izá	2000	57.9%	77.1%	35.1%
Colombia	Izá	2017	70.7%	86.7%	49.6%
Colombia	Jambaló	2000	40.2%	54.1%	28.1%
Colombia	Jambaló	2017	54.4%	68.3%	41.8%
Colombia	Jamundí	2000	69.5%	77.5%	61.3%
Colombia	Jamundí	2017	80.5%	86.9%	73.5%
Colombia	Jardín	2000	62.5%	78.1%	45.0%
Colombia	Jardín	2017	74.7%	86.3%	59.8%
Colombia	Jenesano	2000	52.7%	61.2%	43.2%
Colombia	Jenesano	2017	66.6%	73.4%	58.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Jericó	2000	62.3%	78.8%	45.1%
Colombia	Jericó	2000	67.9%	81.0%	53.7%
Colombia	Jericó	2017	74.7%	86.7%	61.0%
Colombia	Jericó	2017	79.2%	88.3%	67.4%
Colombia	Jerusalén	2000	65.0%	84.1%	41.9%
Colombia	Jerusalén	2017	76.5%	90.4%	56.7%
Colombia	Jesús María	2000	66.6%	78.8%	53.3%
Colombia	Jesús María	2017	77.9%	87.7%	66.5%
Colombia	Jordán	2000	66.9%	81.9%	49.2%
Colombia	Jordán	2017	78.1%	89.6%	62.5%
Colombia	Juan de Acosta	2000	66.5%	87.3%	41.8%
Colombia	Juan de Acosta	2017	77.5%	92.4%	57.0%
Colombia	Junín	2000	62.0%	81.5%	36.7%
Colombia	Junín	2017	74.3%	88.7%	52.3%
Colombia	Juradó	2000	35.8%	55.6%	18.4%
Colombia	Juradó	2017	48.4%	69.4%	29.4%
Colombia	La Argentina	2000	55.0%	69.3%	39.8%
Colombia	La Argentina	2017	68.3%	78.5%	55.0%
Colombia	La Belleza	2000	69.6%	82.0%	56.2%
Colombia	La Belleza	2017	80.2%	88.8%	69.3%
Colombia	La Calera	2000	69.9%	75.3%	64.3%
Colombia	La Calera	2017	80.3%	84.4%	75.5%
Colombia	La Capilla	2000	45.8%	61.0%	31.3%
Colombia	La Capilla	2017	60.3%	73.6%	45.4%
Colombia	La Ceja	2000	62.9%	76.8%	46.9%
Colombia	La Ceja	2017	78.0%	87.8%	65.2%
Colombia	La Celia	2000	72.1%	92.0%	41.1%
Colombia	La Celia	2017	81.5%	95.2%	57.0%
Colombia	La Chorrera	2000	59.4%	64.7%	53.0%
Colombia	La Chorrera	2017	72.0%	76.4%	66.8%
Colombia	La Cruz	2000	70.1%	82.2%	56.0%
Colombia	La Cruz	2017	80.7%	89.2%	69.1%
Colombia	La Cumbre	2000	84.9%	91.2%	75.6%
Colombia	La Cumbre	2017	91.1%	95.0%	85.2%
Colombia	La Dorada	2000	64.6%	75.8%	49.3%
Colombia	La Dorada	2017	76.4%	85.0%	63.6%
Colombia	La Esperanza	2000	57.8%	74.5%	42.8%
Colombia	La Esperanza	2017	70.8%	84.5%	57.3%
Colombia	La Estrella	2000	60.5%	70.0%	50.4%
Colombia	La Estrella	2017	73.8%	81.1%	66.2%
Colombia	La Florida	2000	62.3%	76.7%	45.0%
Colombia	La Florida	2017	73.8%	85.4%	57.6%
Colombia	La Gloria	2000	56.1%	73.8%	37.3%
Colombia	La Gloria	2017	69.9%	84.1%	51.9%
Colombia	La Guadalupe	2000	65.6%	81.8%	47.8%
Colombia	La Guadalupe	2017	76.6%	88.7%	60.3%
Colombia	La Jagua de Ibirico	2000	47.6%	61.1%	34.5%
Colombia	La Jagua de Ibirico	2017	61.6%	73.9%	48.0%
Colombia	La Llanada	2000	56.5%	68.8%	42.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	La Llanada	2017	70.6%	81.1%	57.0%
Colombia	La Macarena	2000	80.4%	85.8%	73.9%
Colombia	La Macarena	2017	88.2%	92.0%	84.2%
Colombia	La Merced	2000	75.0%	85.0%	64.4%
Colombia	La Merced	2017	84.4%	90.7%	77.0%
Colombia	La Mesa	2000	72.3%	82.1%	61.7%
Colombia	La Mesa	2017	82.5%	89.3%	74.2%
Colombia	La Montañita	2000	50.2%	57.7%	43.4%
Colombia	La Montañita	2017	61.9%	69.2%	55.0%
Colombia	La Palma	2000	75.1%	89.6%	54.2%
Colombia	La Palma	2017	84.3%	93.9%	69.3%
Colombia	La Paz	2000	42.9%	50.4%	35.2%
Colombia	La Paz	2000	65.9%	80.8%	46.8%
Colombia	La Paz	2017	57.2%	64.9%	49.1%
Colombia	La Paz	2017	77.3%	88.6%	60.8%
Colombia	La Pedrera	2000	60.1%	67.6%	51.1%
Colombia	La Pedrera	2017	72.4%	78.0%	65.4%
Colombia	La Peña	2000	75.0%	86.9%	61.6%
Colombia	La Peña	2017	84.1%	92.4%	74.0%
Colombia	La Plata	2000	56.4%	66.4%	46.4%
Colombia	La Plata	2017	70.7%	78.9%	62.1%
Colombia	La Playa de Belén	2000	62.0%	75.4%	46.6%
Colombia	La Playa de Belén	2017	74.8%	84.2%	61.4%
Colombia	La Primavera	2000	77.2%	81.3%	72.8%
Colombia	La Primavera	2017	85.8%	88.6%	82.7%
Colombia	La Salina	2000	82.4%	94.5%	64.4%
Colombia	La Salina	2017	89.9%	96.9%	77.8%
Colombia	La Sierra	2000	38.5%	44.3%	32.1%
Colombia	La Sierra	2017	53.9%	59.8%	47.4%
Colombia	La Tebaida	2000	82.7%	94.0%	64.4%
Colombia	La Tebaida	2017	89.5%	96.5%	76.3%
Colombia	La Tola	2000	56.5%	63.9%	47.2%
Colombia	La Tola	2017	69.7%	75.7%	61.5%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	60.6%	76.7%	41.1%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	59.7%	74.9%	44.6%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	57.6%	77.1%	34.4%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	84.9%	92.1%	76.6%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	74.9%	86.8%	57.7%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	69.7%	85.4%	48.9%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	72.5%	84.5%	58.8%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	92.2%	96.0%	87.9%
Colombia	La Uribe	2000	80.1%	87.3%	70.6%
Colombia	La Uribe	2017	87.5%	92.1%	81.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	La Uvita	2000	58.9%	74.5%	42.6%
Colombia	La Uvita	2017	72.2%	83.9%	57.8%
Colombia	La Vega	2000	43.7%	51.1%	37.0%
Colombia	La Vega	2000	72.5%	84.3%	54.6%
Colombia	La Vega	2017	59.0%	65.8%	52.0%
Colombia	La Vega	2017	82.5%	91.2%	68.4%
Colombia	La Victoria	2000	83.5%	92.1%	72.1%
Colombia	La Victoria	2000	65.9%	85.1%	43.8%
Colombia	La Victoria	2017	77.4%	90.8%	59.0%
Colombia	La Victoria	2017	90.3%	95.4%	82.8%
Colombia	La Virginia	2000	83.5%	94.3%	64.1%
Colombia	La Virginia	2017	90.4%	97.1%	77.5%
Colombia	Labateca	2000	63.6%	71.5%	52.4%
Colombia	Labateca	2017	75.6%	81.4%	66.7%
Colombia	Labranzagrande	2000	82.8%	91.1%	70.6%
Colombia	Labranzagrande	2017	86.6%	92.8%	77.8%
Colombia	Landázuri	2000	69.8%	79.2%	58.3%
Colombia	Landázuri	2017	80.4%	87.1%	71.8%
Colombia	Lebrija	2000	66.8%	79.8%	52.1%
Colombia	Lebrija	2017	79.2%	88.7%	67.8%
Colombia	Leiva	2000	47.5%	62.5%	31.3%
Colombia	Leiva	2017	61.3%	75.5%	43.9%
Colombia	Lejanías	2000	86.1%	91.7%	78.3%
Colombia	Lejanías	2017	91.9%	95.5%	86.5%
Colombia	Lenguazaque	2000	66.4%	76.5%	55.1%
Colombia	Lenguazaque	2017	77.9%	85.9%	68.3%
Colombia	Lérida	2000	61.3%	74.3%	44.3%
Colombia	Lérida	2017	73.9%	83.8%	58.5%
Colombia	Leticia	2000	60.8%	66.3%	55.2%
Colombia	Leticia	2017	72.0%	76.8%	66.4%
Colombia	Líbano	2000	61.9%	70.4%	53.8%
Colombia	Líbano	2017	74.8%	81.4%	67.5%
Colombia	Liborina	2000	64.2%	79.7%	47.0%
Colombia	Liborina	2017	76.0%	87.8%	61.9%
Colombia	Linares	2000	44.7%	57.2%	32.8%
Colombia	Linares	2017	61.2%	72.2%	48.6%
Colombia	Lloró	2000	22.1%	31.6%	13.4%
Colombia	Lloró	2017	33.4%	45.1%	22.5%
Colombia	López de Micay	2000	45.0%	57.0%	31.1%
Colombia	López de Micay	2017	56.2%	67.9%	42.3%
Colombia	Los Andes	2000	55.6%	66.1%	45.7%
Colombia	Los Andes	2017	69.4%	78.0%	60.2%
Colombia	Los Córdoba	2000	53.6%	72.4%	34.7%
Colombia	Los Córdoba	2017	66.9%	82.1%	48.7%
Colombia	Los Palmitos	2000	54.0%	61.9%	45.8%
Colombia	Los Palmitos	2017	67.9%	74.7%	60.8%
Colombia	Los Patios	2000	59.7%	70.5%	47.1%
Colombia	Los Patios	2017	78.8%	87.0%	68.8%
Colombia	Los Santos	2000	64.6%	80.5%	44.1%
Colombia	Los Santos	2017	76.4%	88.7%	58.6%
Colombia	Lourdes	2000	53.3%	69.6%	37.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Lourdes	2017	67.1%	80.6%	52.6%
Colombia	Luruaco	2000	67.2%	85.5%	41.8%
Colombia	Luruaco	2017	76.9%	90.8%	54.8%
Colombia	Macanal	2000	50.6%	63.9%	36.2%
Colombia	Macanal	2017	63.8%	75.8%	49.5%
Colombia	Macaravita	2000	60.8%	79.1%	41.7%
Colombia	Macaravita	2017	73.4%	87.6%	57.1%
Colombia	Maceo	2000	62.1%	82.1%	38.8%
Colombia	Maceo	2017	74.2%	89.0%	54.1%
Colombia	Machetá	2000	55.3%	71.8%	34.9%
Colombia	Machetá	2017	69.4%	82.3%	51.4%
Colombia	Madrid	2000	66.8%	72.3%	59.5%
Colombia	Madrid	2017	79.7%	83.5%	75.0%
Colombia	Magüí	2000	56.2%	66.3%	43.6%
Colombia	Magüí	2017	69.3%	78.2%	56.6%
Colombia	Mahates	2000	54.9%	66.2%	42.5%
Colombia	Mahates	2017	68.6%	78.3%	57.4%
Colombia	Maicao	2000	44.4%	49.6%	38.9%
Colombia	Maicao	2017	59.0%	63.6%	53.7%
Colombia	Majagual	2000	53.8%	65.4%	41.5%
Colombia	Majagual	2017	67.3%	76.4%	57.2%
Colombia	Málaga	2000	73.7%	81.9%	64.8%
Colombia	Málaga	2017	84.8%	89.7%	78.5%
Colombia	Malambo	2000	78.0%	83.6%	71.4%
Colombia	Malambo	2017	86.5%	89.8%	82.1%
Colombia	Mallama	2000	56.6%	71.3%	36.2%
Colombia	Mallama	2017	70.8%	83.1%	53.4%
Colombia	Manatí	2000	67.8%	78.1%	55.5%
Colombia	Manatí	2017	80.9%	87.6%	72.1%
Colombia	Manaure	2000	48.3%	69.5%	28.1%
Colombia	Manaure	2000	50.7%	57.7%	43.0%
Colombia	Manaure	2017	62.2%	80.5%	41.1%
Colombia	Manaure	2017	61.6%	68.4%	53.9%
Colombia	Maní	2000	92.5%	96.1%	87.3%
Colombia	Maní	2017	95.8%	97.8%	92.0%
Colombia	Manizales	2000	71.8%	76.7%	66.7%
Colombia	Manizales	2017	83.0%	85.6%	80.3%
Colombia	Manta	2000	52.1%	73.1%	31.1%
Colombia	Manta	2017	66.7%	83.8%	45.3%
Colombia	Manzanares	2000	64.9%	82.6%	41.3%
Colombia	Manzanares	2017	76.5%	90.1%	55.3%
Colombia	Mapiripán	2000	80.0%	86.2%	72.8%
Colombia	Mapiripán	2017	87.5%	91.7%	82.4%
Colombia	Margarita	2000	47.9%	64.2%	28.8%
Colombia	Margarita	2017	61.7%	76.2%	42.6%
Colombia	María la Baja	2000	46.3%	55.4%	35.5%
Colombia	María la Baja	2017	60.8%	68.9%	49.8%
Colombia	Marinilla	2000	65.1%	72.3%	57.2%
Colombia	Marinilla	2017	77.5%	82.7%	71.4%
Colombia	Maripí	2000	61.7%	72.9%	50.3%
Colombia	Maripí	2017	74.3%	83.2%	63.9%
Colombia	Marmato	2000	76.0%	84.6%	65.3%
Colombia	Marmato	2017	85.4%	91.3%	77.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Marquetalia	2000	68.3%	83.6%	46.3%
Colombia	Marquetalia	2017	79.3%	90.1%	61.1%
Colombia	Marsella	2000	77.3%	88.3%	63.5%
Colombia	Marsella	2017	86.0%	93.6%	75.5%
Colombia	Marulanda	2000	68.6%	85.3%	48.3%
Colombia	Marulanda	2017	79.3%	91.1%	61.6%
Colombia	Matanza	2000	69.8%	81.1%	55.0%
Colombia	Matanza	2017	80.0%	88.2%	68.0%
Colombia	Medellín	2000	61.6%	64.5%	58.9%
Colombia	Medellín	2017	74.8%	76.6%	72.7%
Colombia	Medina	2000	75.5%	85.4%	63.3%
Colombia	Medina	2017	85.7%	91.7%	76.3%
Colombia	Melgar	2000	69.3%	80.6%	57.8%
Colombia	Melgar	2017	79.8%	87.9%	69.9%
Colombia	Mercaderes	2000	49.0%	58.6%	39.6%
Colombia	Mercaderes	2017	63.2%	71.4%	54.3%
Colombia	Mesetas	2000	84.4%	90.9%	76.4%
Colombia	Mesetas	2017	90.6%	94.7%	85.1%
Colombia	Milán	2000	57.9%	67.1%	49.0%
Colombia	Milán	2017	71.3%	78.6%	63.4%
Colombia	Miraflores	2000	54.2%	69.7%	37.3%
Colombia	Miraflores	2000	73.3%	79.1%	66.7%
Colombia	Miraflores	2017	82.7%	86.9%	78.1%
Colombia	Miraflores	2017	68.7%	80.5%	54.4%
Colombia	Miranda	2000	57.9%	68.7%	45.5%
Colombia	Miranda	2017	72.4%	81.5%	61.2%
Colombia	Mirití-Paraná	2000	62.0%	71.4%	53.6%
Colombia	Mirití-Paraná	2017	73.9%	81.4%	66.8%
Colombia	Mistrato	2000	69.6%	84.7%	49.1%
Colombia	Mistrato	2017	79.5%	90.9%	62.7%
Colombia	Mitú	2000	70.0%	73.8%	66.4%
Colombia	Mitú	2017	80.1%	82.7%	77.1%
Colombia	Mogotes	2000	67.2%	82.3%	49.4%
Colombia	Mogotes	2017	78.5%	90.0%	63.0%
Colombia	Molagavita	2000	67.3%	82.8%	51.2%
Colombia	Molagavita	2017	78.6%	90.0%	64.9%
Colombia	Momil	2000	53.9%	72.6%	32.8%
Colombia	Momil	2017	67.1%	82.9%	47.4%
Colombia	Mompós	2000	53.3%	68.1%	38.4%
Colombia	Mompós	2017	66.1%	78.8%	51.1%
Colombia	Mongua	2000	61.7%	71.0%	50.6%
Colombia	Mongua	2017	74.0%	81.3%	65.3%
Colombia	Monguí	2000	58.8%	70.8%	46.4%
Colombia	Monguí	2017	72.3%	81.3%	62.2%
Colombia	Moniquirá	2000	63.0%	71.5%	53.4%
Colombia	Moniquirá	2017	75.8%	81.6%	68.3%
Colombia	Moñitos	2000	56.3%	74.0%	38.0%
Colombia	Moñitos	2017	69.5%	83.1%	52.4%
Colombia	Montebello	2000	61.4%	71.7%	51.7%
Colombia	Montebello	2017	74.0%	81.8%	66.0%
Colombia	Montecristo	2000	49.8%	63.0%	35.7%
Colombia	Montecristo	2017	63.3%	74.8%	48.7%
Colombia	Montelíbano	2000	52.6%	58.7%	46.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Montelíbano	2017	66.3%	71.9%	60.8%
Colombia	Montenegro	2000	83.8%	92.7%	73.3%
Colombia	Montenegro	2017	90.3%	95.7%	83.0%
Colombia	Montería	2000	49.0%	52.4%	45.4%
Colombia	Montería	2017	67.2%	69.9%	64.4%
Colombia	Monterrey	2000	92.3%	96.8%	84.3%
Colombia	Monterrey	2017	95.8%	98.4%	91.1%
Colombia	Morales	2000	26.5%	35.1%	20.3%
Colombia	Morales	2000	53.9%	63.5%	44.8%
Colombia	Morales	2017	38.9%	48.3%	30.8%
Colombia	Morales	2017	67.3%	75.4%	58.7%
Colombia	Morelia	2000	57.8%	71.7%	38.4%
Colombia	Morelia	2017	70.9%	81.8%	54.1%
Colombia	Morroa	2000	54.9%	61.2%	48.1%
Colombia	Morroa	2017	69.0%	74.3%	63.3%
Colombia	Mosquera	2000	52.3%	72.8%	27.8%
Colombia	Mosquera	2000	72.3%	77.4%	65.7%
Colombia	Mosquera	2017	65.7%	83.0%	40.6%
Colombia	Mosquera	2017	84.5%	88.0%	79.2%
Colombia	Motavita	2000	55.4%	70.4%	37.8%
Colombia	Motavita	2017	68.8%	80.7%	52.4%
Colombia	Murillo	2000	58.4%	80.2%	35.5%
Colombia	Murillo	2017	70.0%	88.1%	47.1%
Colombia	Murindó	2000	45.6%	67.2%	25.7%
Colombia	Murindó	2017	58.6%	78.4%	38.1%
Colombia	Mutató	2000	51.0%	70.2%	30.0%
Colombia	Mutató	2017	63.3%	80.6%	43.7%
Colombia	Mutiscua	2000	64.3%	77.1%	48.9%
Colombia	Mutiscua	2017	75.9%	86.1%	61.9%
Colombia	Muzo	2000	68.8%	83.6%	52.7%
Colombia	Muzo	2017	78.3%	89.6%	65.1%
Colombia	Nariño	2000	62.6%	79.2%	40.8%
Colombia	Nariño	2000	72.3%	85.6%	55.8%
Colombia	Nariño	2017	82.2%	91.7%	69.3%
Colombia	Nariño	2017	74.3%	87.1%	54.7%
Colombia	Nátaga	2000	46.1%	61.2%	31.4%
Colombia	Nátaga	2017	60.0%	73.9%	45.3%
Colombia	Natagaima	2000	62.6%	70.2%	54.8%
Colombia	Natagaima	2017	75.0%	81.2%	68.4%
Colombia	Nechí	2000	59.0%	75.1%	42.9%
Colombia	Nechí	2017	72.0%	83.8%	58.1%
Colombia	Necoclí	2000	60.1%	71.2%	50.5%
Colombia	Necoclí	2017	72.5%	81.3%	62.9%
Colombia	Neira	2000	69.7%	82.1%	56.2%
Colombia	Neira	2017	80.8%	89.7%	69.2%
Colombia	Neiva	2000	59.8%	63.6%	55.2%
Colombia	Neiva	2017	73.1%	76.0%	70.0%
Colombia	Nemocón	2000	70.9%	80.4%	59.5%
Colombia	Nemocón	2017	81.0%	88.1%	70.9%
Colombia	Nilo	2000	61.3%	75.3%	45.3%
Colombia	Nilo	2017	73.3%	84.2%	60.0%
Colombia	Nimaima	2000	70.1%	80.1%	58.5%
Colombia	Nimaima	2017	80.6%	87.4%	72.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Nobsa	2000	69.3%	75.1%	62.4%
Colombia	Nobsa	2017	80.2%	83.9%	74.8%
Colombia	Nocaima	2000	68.3%	79.2%	55.3%
Colombia	Nocaima	2017	79.4%	87.2%	69.4%
Colombia	Novita	2000	18.1%	27.0%	10.5%
Colombia	Novita	2017	27.5%	38.9%	16.6%
Colombia	Nuevo Colón	2000	51.0%	61.4%	39.9%
Colombia	Nuevo Colón	2017	65.5%	74.6%	56.2%
Colombia	Nunchía	2000	95.3%	98.4%	89.0%
Colombia	Nunchía	2017	97.3%	99.0%	93.9%
Colombia	Nuquí	2000	27.6%	42.0%	16.1%
Colombia	Nuquí	2017	39.8%	55.7%	26.1%
Colombia	Obando	2000	82.9%	91.3%	72.1%
Colombia	Obando	2017	91.0%	95.6%	84.8%
Colombia	Ocamonte	2000	66.7%	81.4%	48.4%
Colombia	Ocamonte	2017	77.9%	89.2%	64.0%
Colombia	Ocaña	2000	58.6%	68.2%	47.5%
Colombia	Ocaña	2017	72.7%	80.3%	64.0%
Colombia	Oiba	2000	68.1%	76.7%	58.4%
Colombia	Oiba	2017	79.3%	85.9%	71.7%
Colombia	Oicatá	2000	49.6%	55.5%	43.6%
Colombia	Oicatá	2017	70.2%	74.3%	65.2%
Colombia	Olaya	2000	62.4%	70.3%	54.1%
Colombia	Olaya	2017	74.9%	80.3%	68.6%
Colombia	Olaya Herrera	2000	55.8%	63.4%	48.4%
Colombia	Olaya Herrera	2017	69.3%	75.1%	62.2%
Colombia	Onzaga	2000	68.4%	81.3%	52.4%
Colombia	Onzaga	2017	79.4%	88.7%	65.9%
Colombia	Oporapa	2000	53.1%	69.1%	35.2%
Colombia	Oporapa	2017	66.8%	80.4%	50.2%
Colombia	Orito	2000	73.3%	79.3%	66.4%
Colombia	Orito	2017	83.2%	87.4%	78.1%
Colombia	Orocué	2000	89.7%	94.7%	82.8%
Colombia	Orocué	2017	93.5%	96.9%	87.9%
Colombia	Ortega	2000	60.2%	71.1%	49.0%
Colombia	Ortega	2017	72.7%	81.1%	63.1%
Colombia	Ospina	2000	52.2%	62.9%	42.2%
Colombia	Ospina	2017	64.8%	73.7%	54.8%
Colombia	Otanche	2000	62.9%	79.9%	43.0%
Colombia	Otanche	2017	74.9%	87.7%	56.6%
Colombia	Ovejas	2000	58.9%	72.7%	44.1%
Colombia	Ovejas	2017	71.1%	82.2%	58.2%
Colombia	Pachavita	2000	51.3%	63.1%	40.9%
Colombia	Pachavita	2017	65.3%	75.1%	55.1%
Colombia	Pacho	2000	69.6%	82.4%	51.5%
Colombia	Pacho	2017	80.8%	90.0%	65.9%
Colombia	Pacoa	2000	67.5%	74.0%	61.4%
Colombia	Pacoa	2017	79.0%	83.5%	73.7%
Colombia	Pácora	2000	71.9%	84.3%	54.2%
Colombia	Pácora	2017	82.4%	90.4%	70.4%
Colombia	Padilla	2000	41.9%	45.6%	38.6%
Colombia	Padilla	2017	56.9%	60.0%	53.5%
Colombia	Páez	2000	49.3%	62.6%	37.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Páez	2000	64.8%	79.9%	46.8%
Colombia	Páez	2017	76.2%	87.8%	61.2%
Colombia	Páez	2017	62.9%	74.9%	51.4%
Colombia	Paicol	2000	60.0%	75.0%	42.7%
Colombia	Paicol	2017	73.1%	83.7%	59.3%
Colombia	Pailitas	2000	54.3%	72.9%	35.4%
Colombia	Pailitas	2017	67.6%	81.7%	50.3%
Colombia	Paime	2000	67.6%	85.8%	42.9%
Colombia	Paime	2017	78.4%	91.6%	56.5%
Colombia	Paipa	2000	58.2%	75.2%	38.1%
Colombia	Paipa	2017	71.6%	84.9%	53.4%
Colombia	Pajarito	2000	86.0%	95.0%	68.3%
Colombia	Pajarito	2017	91.5%	97.7%	79.3%
Colombia	Palermo	2000	45.9%	62.6%	31.9%
Colombia	Palermo	2017	59.6%	76.1%	43.5%
Colombia	Palestina	2000	72.4%	86.2%	54.4%
Colombia	Palestina	2000	40.4%	56.9%	24.9%
Colombia	Palestina	2017	54.3%	70.0%	36.9%
Colombia	Palestina	2017	82.4%	91.8%	68.4%
Colombia	Palmar	2000	68.3%	87.0%	48.3%
Colombia	Palmar	2017	79.2%	92.2%	63.0%
Colombia	Palmar de Varela	2000	68.1%	82.4%	50.8%
Colombia	Palmar de Varela	2017	79.4%	89.7%	65.9%
Colombia	Palmas del Socorro	2000	67.1%	85.3%	46.3%
Colombia	Palmas del Socorro	2017	78.4%	90.9%	62.1%
Colombia	Palmira	2000	82.5%	85.9%	78.0%
Colombia	Palmira	2017	89.8%	91.9%	87.2%
Colombia	Pamplona	2000	63.2%	68.4%	57.3%
Colombia	Pamplona	2017	77.1%	80.9%	72.5%
Colombia	Pamplonita	2000	64.3%	71.7%	56.5%
Colombia	Pamplonita	2017	77.0%	82.3%	71.1%
Colombia	Pana Pana	2000	64.4%	71.8%	56.7%
Colombia	Pana Pana	2017	75.7%	81.4%	68.9%
Colombia	Pandi	2000	67.3%	82.9%	47.7%
Colombia	Pandi	2017	78.2%	89.9%	62.4%
Colombia	Panqueba	2000	55.9%	64.7%	46.8%
Colombia	Panqueba	2017	70.3%	77.2%	62.1%
Colombia	Papunahua	2000	69.9%	77.8%	60.3%
Colombia	Papunahua	2017	80.5%	86.2%	72.7%
Colombia	Páramo	2000	65.2%	76.9%	53.4%
Colombia	Páramo	2017	77.1%	86.1%	66.9%
Colombia	Paratebuena	2000	80.9%	92.4%	61.1%
Colombia	Paratebuena	2017	88.1%	95.7%	72.3%
Colombia	Pasca	2000	61.1%	77.7%	43.8%
Colombia	Pasca	2017	73.6%	86.2%	58.7%
Colombia	Patía	2000	40.8%	47.5%	34.3%
Colombia	Patía	2017	55.7%	61.8%	50.0%
Colombia	Pauna	2000	56.8%	67.8%	45.0%
Colombia	Pauna	2017	70.1%	79.4%	58.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Paya	2000	89.4%	95.9%	75.5%
Colombia	Paya	2017	94.1%	97.9%	85.7%
Colombia	Paz de Ariporo	2000	90.7%	93.9%	87.2%
Colombia	Paz de Ariporo	2017	94.8%	96.6%	92.6%
Colombia	Paz de Río	2000	58.5%	79.1%	36.0%
Colombia	Paz de Río	2017	71.0%	87.0%	50.1%
Colombia	Pedraza	2000	50.1%	63.5%	37.1%
Colombia	Pedraza	2017	63.7%	76.0%	50.0%
Colombia	Pelaya	2000	45.9%	57.5%	34.8%
Colombia	Pelaya	2017	60.6%	71.6%	49.2%
Colombia	Peñol	2000	64.9%	80.0%	47.2%
Colombia	Peñol	2017	76.6%	88.0%	62.6%
Colombia	Pensilvania	2000	68.2%	81.2%	50.3%
Colombia	Pensilvania	2017	79.4%	88.6%	64.4%
Colombia	Pequé	2000	60.7%	77.3%	44.5%
Colombia	Pequé	2017	73.6%	85.9%	59.9%
Colombia	Pereira	2000	80.3%	85.7%	73.3%
Colombia	Pereira	2017	88.3%	91.5%	83.8%
Colombia	Pesca	2000	57.1%	69.2%	44.6%
Colombia	Pesca	2017	70.4%	80.1%	59.6%
Colombia	Piedecuesta	2000	60.8%	66.7%	54.2%
Colombia	Piedecuesta	2017	74.7%	79.0%	69.5%
Colombia	Piedras	2000	73.2%	86.9%	57.3%
Colombia	Piedras	2017	83.2%	92.8%	70.5%
Colombia	Piendamó	2000	31.0%	37.7%	25.7%
Colombia	Piendamó	2017	45.0%	52.2%	38.8%
Colombia	Pijao	2000	78.5%	93.6%	56.0%
Colombia	Pijao	2017	86.4%	96.2%	69.8%
Colombia	Pinchote	2000	67.1%	72.4%	61.4%
Colombia	Pinchote	2017	79.0%	83.2%	74.4%
Colombia	Pinillos	2000	47.8%	59.5%	37.0%
Colombia	Pinillos	2017	61.7%	72.8%	51.2%
Colombia	Piojó	2000	63.6%	85.4%	37.6%
Colombia	Piojó	2017	74.4%	90.8%	52.1%
Colombia	Pisba	2000	74.8%	89.0%	53.7%
Colombia	Pisba	2017	84.2%	93.3%	67.5%
Colombia	Pital	2000	45.9%	63.2%	30.0%
Colombia	Pital	2017	60.1%	75.8%	43.5%
Colombia	Pitalito	2000	63.0%	67.3%	58.7%
Colombia	Pitalito	2017	75.6%	78.6%	72.7%
Colombia	Pivijay	2000	51.4%	65.5%	38.5%
Colombia	Pivijay	2017	64.6%	78.0%	50.1%
Colombia	Planadas	2000	57.8%	70.8%	44.4%
Colombia	Planadas	2017	70.3%	81.9%	58.0%
Colombia	Planeta Rica	2000	51.8%	62.5%	41.1%
Colombia	Planeta Rica	2017	65.7%	76.3%	54.6%
Colombia	Plato	2000	49.4%	59.9%	38.0%
Colombia	Plato	2017	63.1%	71.1%	52.1%
Colombia	Policarpa	2000	53.7%	66.1%	42.0%
Colombia	Policarpa	2017	67.5%	78.7%	56.9%
Colombia	Polonuevo	2000	77.5%	83.6%	71.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Polonuevo	2017	86.2%	90.3%	81.9%
Colombia	Ponedera	2000	65.0%	79.4%	47.3%
Colombia	Ponedera	2017	77.0%	87.8%	61.5%
Colombia	Popayán	2000	34.5%	37.8%	31.4%
Colombia	Popayán	2017	49.7%	52.7%	46.3%
Colombia	Pore	2000	95.4%	98.4%	89.2%
Colombia	Pore	2017	97.4%	99.1%	93.9%
Colombia	Potosí	2000	53.9%	66.5%	40.9%
Colombia	Potosí	2017	69.3%	79.6%	57.7%
Colombia	Pradera	2000	81.7%	86.4%	75.4%
Colombia	Pradera	2017	88.9%	92.2%	84.5%
Colombia	Prado	2000	68.5%	83.1%	54.9%
Colombia	Prado	2017	79.0%	89.9%	67.9%
Colombia	Providencia	2000	50.6%	57.0%	44.4%
Colombia	Providencia	2017	63.3%	70.7%	54.9%
Colombia	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	50.2%	60.7%	39.0%
Colombia	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	64.4%	74.3%	50.7%
Colombia	Pueblo Rico	2000	61.0%	79.5%	37.9%
Colombia	Pueblo Rico	2017	70.5%	86.2%	48.2%
Colombia	Pueblo Viejo	2000	43.3%	57.9%	29.6%
Colombia	Pueblo Viejo	2017	55.1%	70.1%	39.3%
Colombia	Pueblorrico	2000	62.2%	79.7%	44.3%
Colombia	Pueblorrico	2017	74.6%	87.9%	58.4%
Colombia	Puente Nacional	2000	66.5%	79.0%	48.5%
Colombia	Puente Nacional	2017	79.2%	88.3%	64.4%
Colombia	Puerres	2000	44.2%	58.1%	32.5%
Colombia	Puerres	2017	59.4%	71.4%	47.1%
Colombia	Puerto Asís	2000	74.2%	80.4%	67.4%
Colombia	Puerto Asís	2017	83.6%	88.0%	77.9%
Colombia	Puerto Berrío	2000	63.8%	73.4%	54.4%
Colombia	Puerto Berrío	2017	75.7%	83.3%	68.4%
Colombia	Puerto Boyacá	2000	58.4%	69.2%	48.1%
Colombia	Puerto Boyacá	2017	72.2%	80.6%	64.0%
Colombia	Puerto Caicedo	2000	75.0%	82.9%	66.8%
Colombia	Puerto Caicedo	2017	84.4%	90.0%	78.5%
Colombia	Puerto Carreño	2000	76.6%	81.0%	72.4%
Colombia	Puerto Carreño	2017	85.3%	88.6%	82.2%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2000	65.4%	79.6%	48.8%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2000	62.5%	70.4%	54.2%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2017	76.7%	87.2%	62.4%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2017	74.5%	80.8%	68.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Puerto Concordia	2000	80.8%	86.8%	73.2%
Colombia	Puerto Concordia	2017	89.2%	93.0%	84.2%
Colombia	Puerto Escondido	2000	53.6%	69.1%	37.2%
Colombia	Puerto Escondido	2017	67.2%	80.4%	51.9%
Colombia	Puerto Gaitán	2000	80.7%	86.4%	71.8%
Colombia	Puerto Gaitán	2017	88.2%	92.2%	81.0%
Colombia	Puerto Guzmán	2000	69.6%	77.2%	60.2%
Colombia	Puerto Guzmán	2017	80.2%	86.2%	71.8%
Colombia	Puerto Inírida	2000	62.3%	65.5%	58.9%
Colombia	Puerto Inírida	2017	74.6%	76.8%	72.2%
Colombia	Puerto Leguízamo	2000	68.8%	78.6%	59.1%
Colombia	Puerto Leguízamo	2017	79.1%	86.4%	70.4%
Colombia	Puerto Libertador	2000	52.7%	61.7%	41.9%
Colombia	Puerto Libertador	2017	66.3%	74.1%	55.7%
Colombia	Puerto Lleras	2000	83.9%	91.3%	74.4%
Colombia	Puerto Lleras	2017	90.3%	94.9%	83.4%
Colombia	Puerto López	2000	85.5%	90.3%	79.8%
Colombia	Puerto López	2017	91.4%	94.3%	88.1%
Colombia	Puerto Nare	2000	61.0%	76.5%	44.9%
Colombia	Puerto Nare	2017	73.5%	85.5%	59.2%
Colombia	Puerto Nariño	2000	56.7%	65.9%	47.3%
Colombia	Puerto Nariño	2017	70.1%	77.5%	61.9%
Colombia	Puerto Parra	2000	69.1%	81.6%	52.3%
Colombia	Puerto Parra	2017	79.8%	88.6%	66.3%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2000	59.0%	64.5%	53.8%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2000	84.7%	91.2%	76.7%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2017	72.4%	76.3%	68.1%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2017	90.7%	94.4%	86.1%
Colombia	Puerto Rondón	2000	93.2%	95.8%	89.2%
Colombia	Puerto Rondón	2017	96.5%	97.8%	94.3%
Colombia	Puerto Salgar	2000	65.9%	76.7%	50.3%
Colombia	Puerto Salgar	2017	77.6%	85.5%	65.4%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2000	62.4%	71.8%	52.9%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2000	63.3%	76.7%	45.3%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2017	74.3%	82.7%	64.3%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2017	75.5%	84.9%	61.2%
Colombia	Puerto Tejada	2000	63.6%	71.5%	54.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Puerto Tejada	2017	75.7%	81.3%	68.7%
Colombia	Puerto Triunfo	2000	59.3%	73.5%	46.2%
Colombia	Puerto Triunfo	2017	72.7%	82.9%	62.9%
Colombia	Puerto Wilches	2000	64.6%	78.7%	47.6%
Colombia	Puerto Wilches	2017	75.9%	87.5%	61.5%
Colombia	Pulí	2000	66.1%	89.0%	40.3%
Colombia	Pulí	2017	77.3%	93.7%	55.8%
Colombia	Pupiales	2000	42.0%	53.5%	33.1%
Colombia	Pupiales	2017	56.7%	67.7%	47.4%
Colombia	Puracé	2000	33.9%	49.5%	20.3%
Colombia	Puracé	2017	49.9%	66.9%	32.0%
Colombia	Purificación	2000	65.9%	73.1%	57.9%
Colombia	Purificación	2017	76.9%	81.2%	71.8%
Colombia	Purísima	2000	54.7%	72.6%	36.2%
Colombia	Purísima	2017	67.9%	82.3%	49.8%
Colombia	Quebradanegra	2000	69.2%	80.4%	56.3%
Colombia	Quebradanegra	2017	79.7%	87.4%	70.6%
Colombia	Quetame	2000	74.7%	88.7%	51.7%
Colombia	Quetame	2017	84.0%	93.5%	66.6%
Colombia	Quibdó	2000	20.2%	22.4%	18.2%
Colombia	Quibdó	2017	31.1%	33.9%	28.7%
Colombia	Quimbaya	2000	85.5%	93.8%	71.4%
Colombia	Quimbaya	2017	91.4%	96.6%	81.5%
Colombia	Quinchía	2000	70.0%	81.1%	58.9%
Colombia	Quinchía	2017	80.7%	88.4%	72.4%
Colombia	Quípama	2000	65.6%	84.7%	44.6%
Colombia	Quípama	2017	76.7%	90.4%	58.9%
Colombia	Quipile	2000	69.1%	85.8%	51.7%
Colombia	Quipile	2017	79.9%	91.7%	66.0%
Colombia	Ragonvalia	2000	69.8%	86.3%	47.4%
Colombia	Ragonvalia	2017	80.7%	91.8%	64.1%
Colombia	Ramiriquí	2000	56.4%	70.0%	39.3%
Colombia	Ramiriquí	2017	69.7%	81.0%	53.9%
Colombia	Ráquira	2000	51.8%	65.4%	40.3%
Colombia	Ráquira	2017	65.9%	77.4%	54.9%
Colombia	Recetor	2000	87.5%	95.9%	72.7%
Colombia	Recetor	2017	92.0%	97.6%	81.5%
Colombia	Remedios	2000	62.1%	72.0%	50.6%
Colombia	Remedios	2017	74.5%	82.5%	64.5%
Colombia	Remolino	2000	59.2%	74.2%	42.6%
Colombia	Remolino	2017	72.2%	83.9%	57.9%
Colombia	Repelón	2000	64.6%	79.9%	47.3%
Colombia	Repelón	2017	76.8%	87.7%	62.6%
Colombia	Restrepo	2000	82.0%	93.2%	63.9%
Colombia	Restrepo	2000	85.3%	88.4%	81.5%
Colombia	Restrepo	2017	89.0%	96.3%	76.2%
Colombia	Restrepo	2017	91.5%	93.3%	89.0%
Colombia	Retiro	2000	58.8%	66.8%	51.1%
Colombia	Retiro	2017	74.6%	80.8%	68.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Ricaurte	2000	57.7%	68.4%	46.3%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2000	74.1%	79.8%	67.6%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2017	84.0%	87.7%	79.1%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2017	70.7%	78.7%	61.6%
Colombia	Río de Oro	2000	51.1%	63.2%	38.7%
Colombia	Río de Oro	2017	59.9%	68.2%	51.2%
Colombia	Río Viejo	2000	49.5%	68.4%	32.3%
Colombia	Río Viejo	2017	63.1%	79.5%	45.8%
Colombia	Rioblanco	2000	66.9%	80.2%	51.9%
Colombia	Rioblanco	2017	78.3%	87.4%	66.5%
Colombia	Riofrío	2000	73.7%	89.5%	51.3%
Colombia	Riofrío	2017	83.0%	94.1%	65.2%
Colombia	Riohacha	2000	40.3%	44.3%	35.8%
Colombia	Riohacha	2017	51.1%	55.3%	45.8%
Colombia	Rionegro	2000	57.7%	63.7%	51.4%
Colombia	Rionegro	2000	66.6%	74.8%	57.9%
Colombia	Rionegro	2017	73.1%	77.7%	68.2%
Colombia	Rionegro	2017	78.0%	83.9%	71.7%
Colombia	Riosucio	2000	27.7%	34.0%	21.0%
Colombia	Riosucio	2000	67.6%	81.5%	54.5%
Colombia	Riosucio	2017	78.6%	88.6%	67.9%
Colombia	Riosucio	2017	38.9%	46.1%	31.1%
Colombia	Risaralda	2000	78.8%	85.4%	71.5%
Colombia	Risaralda	2017	87.3%	91.5%	82.2%
Colombia	Rivera	2000	61.8%	79.1%	41.5%
Colombia	Rivera	2017	74.5%	87.3%	56.6%
Colombia	Roberto Payán	2000	51.0%	60.7%	41.9%
Colombia	Roberto Payán	2017	65.0%	73.9%	56.4%
Colombia	Roldanillo	2000	80.7%	90.9%	68.4%
Colombia	Roldanillo	2017	87.7%	94.7%	78.0%
Colombia	Roncesvalles	2000	66.5%	85.4%	44.8%
Colombia	Roncesvalles	2017	77.0%	90.8%	59.1%
Colombia	Rondón	2000	50.3%	66.5%	34.2%
Colombia	Rondón	2017	64.6%	78.1%	49.0%
Colombia	Rosas	2000	37.0%	45.0%	28.3%
Colombia	Rosas	2017	51.1%	59.8%	42.1%
Colombia	Rovira	2000	55.3%	72.0%	31.9%
Colombia	Rovira	2017	68.5%	82.4%	46.7%
Colombia	Sabana de Torres	2000	67.5%	83.4%	47.0%
Colombia	Sabana de Torres	2017	78.8%	89.2%	62.0%
Colombia	Sabanagrande	2000	74.2%	87.0%	57.4%
Colombia	Sabanagrande	2017	83.6%	92.5%	70.5%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	89.4%	96.0%	78.5%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	64.3%	76.6%	52.3%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	73.7%	82.7%	63.5%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	95.1%	98.1%	89.8%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	76.2%	84.4%	67.3%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	84.4%	89.9%	77.3%
Colombia	Sabaneta	2000	62.8%	68.3%	56.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Sabaneta	2017	75.7%	79.7%	70.9%
Colombia	Saboyá	2000	59.0%	72.1%	45.6%
Colombia	Saboyá	2017	72.3%	82.6%	60.1%
Colombia	Sácama	2000	91.2%	96.9%	78.6%
Colombia	Sácama	2017	94.8%	98.2%	86.7%
Colombia	Sáchica	2000	54.3%	65.8%	42.0%
Colombia	Sáchica	2017	68.1%	77.6%	56.5%
Colombia	Saladoblanco	2000	56.7%	72.6%	40.0%
Colombia	Saladoblanco	2017	69.9%	82.8%	55.5%
Colombia	Salamina	2000	75.0%	88.8%	58.1%
Colombia	Salamina	2000	58.1%	71.9%	45.0%
Colombia	Salamina	2017	71.3%	81.9%	60.1%
Colombia	Salamina	2017	84.5%	93.5%	71.3%
Colombia	Salazar de las Palmas	2000	61.6%	77.4%	41.9%
Colombia	Salazar de las Palmas	2017	73.3%	85.9%	55.0%
Colombia	Saldaña	2000	53.2%	66.6%	39.6%
Colombia	Saldaña	2017	67.1%	78.3%	53.9%
Colombia	Salento	2000	78.0%	90.0%	61.7%
Colombia	Salento	2017	86.8%	94.7%	74.3%
Colombia	Salgar	2000	62.2%	69.5%	53.6%
Colombia	Salgar	2017	74.8%	80.5%	67.8%
Colombia	Samacá	2000	56.0%	62.5%	48.1%
Colombia	Samacá	2017	71.1%	76.5%	64.9%
Colombia	Samaná	2000	69.0%	78.9%	58.6%
Colombia	Samaná	2017	79.8%	86.8%	71.4%
Colombia	Samaniego	2000	50.4%	57.4%	43.6%
Colombia	Samaniego	2017	67.0%	72.2%	61.3%
Colombia	Sampués	2000	38.7%	45.4%	32.9%
Colombia	Sampués	2017	55.0%	61.1%	48.3%
Colombia	San Agustín	2000	62.1%	74.2%	51.8%
Colombia	San Agustín	2017	73.7%	83.1%	63.5%
Colombia	San Alberto	2000	52.8%	66.6%	40.8%
Colombia	San Alberto	2017	66.3%	78.1%	54.7%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2000	62.6%	80.6%	42.1%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2000	65.7%	75.1%	56.1%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2017	77.3%	84.2%	69.8%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2017	74.7%	87.8%	56.6%
Colombia	San Andrés de Sotavento	2000	50.3%	59.3%	40.6%
Colombia	San Andrés de Sotavento	2017	64.7%	72.7%	55.4%
Colombia	San Antero	2000	62.9%	76.2%	46.7%
Colombia	San Antero	2017	70.7%	81.2%	57.0%
Colombia	San Antonio	2000	57.3%	68.1%	46.3%
Colombia	San Antonio	2017	70.9%	79.9%	60.7%
Colombia	San Antonio de Palmito	2000	56.7%	72.5%	40.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Antonio de Palmito	2017	69.7%	82.4%	55.3%
Colombia	San Antonio del Tequendama	2000	70.3%	82.5%	56.6%
Colombia	San Antonio del Tequendama	2017	80.9%	89.5%	70.1%
Colombia	San Benito	2000	62.7%	77.7%	42.4%
Colombia	San Benito	2017	75.0%	86.2%	57.0%
Colombia	San Benito Abad	2000	53.9%	65.8%	42.2%
Colombia	San Benito Abad	2017	67.4%	76.6%	57.2%
Colombia	San Bernardino de Sahagún	2000	51.4%	61.3%	41.2%
Colombia	San Bernardino de Sahagún	2017	65.9%	74.6%	54.4%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2000	71.0%	86.2%	51.9%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2000	60.7%	69.5%	50.5%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2017	81.5%	91.8%	66.7%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2017	73.9%	81.0%	64.8%
Colombia	San Bernardo del Viento	2000	53.2%	73.4%	30.6%
Colombia	San Bernardo del Viento	2017	66.7%	83.5%	44.3%
Colombia	San Calixto	2000	60.9%	74.7%	46.2%
Colombia	San Calixto	2017	73.8%	83.6%	62.4%
Colombia	San Carlos	2000	48.4%	63.3%	34.3%
Colombia	San Carlos	2000	60.8%	76.3%	43.8%
Colombia	San Carlos	2017	73.1%	85.5%	56.6%
Colombia	San Carlos	2017	62.5%	75.1%	48.9%
Colombia	San Carlos de Guaroa	2000	85.0%	90.9%	76.6%
Colombia	San Carlos de Guaroa	2017	91.1%	94.9%	85.3%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2000	66.5%	84.2%	44.1%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2000	50.9%	66.4%	32.9%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2017	77.6%	90.7%	57.6%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2017	62.1%	78.1%	42.7%
Colombia	San Diego	2000	52.3%	64.1%	40.0%
Colombia	San Diego	2017	66.0%	76.0%	55.1%
Colombia	San Eduardo	2000	57.3%	73.5%	41.1%
Colombia	San Eduardo	2017	70.8%	83.4%	56.0%
Colombia	San Estanislao de Kostka	2000	53.3%	65.8%	40.8%
Colombia	San Estanislao de Kostka	2017	67.1%	76.0%	55.9%
Colombia	San Felipe	2000	64.3%	79.9%	46.1%
Colombia	San Felipe	2017	75.2%	86.4%	60.5%
Colombia	San Fernando	2000	54.9%	70.2%	39.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Fernando	2017	64.3%	74.9%	54.2%
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	76.0%	86.7%	60.3%
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	62.8%	79.9%	44.1%
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	73.4%	81.3%	64.5%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	84.8%	92.3%	73.5%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	75.4%	87.0%	60.6%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	83.4%	88.8%	76.9%
Colombia	San Gil	2000	67.4%	74.2%	58.5%
Colombia	San Gil	2017	79.0%	84.1%	71.6%
Colombia	San Jacinto	2000	54.1%	67.2%	41.9%
Colombia	San Jacinto	2017	67.9%	77.6%	57.8%
Colombia	San Jerónimo	2000	63.2%	73.1%	53.1%
Colombia	San Jerónimo	2017	79.2%	85.5%	71.1%
Colombia	San Joaquín	2000	69.8%	82.0%	55.5%
Colombia	San Joaquín	2017	80.6%	89.1%	70.3%
Colombia	San José de Cúcuta	2000	60.4%	63.0%	56.9%
Colombia	San José de Cúcuta	2017	73.6%	75.8%	70.8%
Colombia	San José de la Montaña	2000	61.2%	78.0%	41.6%
Colombia	San José de la Montaña	2017	73.5%	86.3%	56.8%
Colombia	San José de Miranda	2000	67.9%	78.4%	54.8%
Colombia	San José de Miranda	2017	79.1%	86.6%	68.5%
Colombia	San Jose de Ocune	2000	77.2%	80.8%	73.6%
Colombia	San Jose de Ocune	2017	85.2%	87.7%	82.6%
Colombia	San José de Pare	2000	59.8%	68.7%	51.8%
Colombia	San José de Pare	2017	73.6%	80.0%	67.4%
Colombia	San José del Fragua	2000	55.7%	66.1%	44.5%
Colombia	San José del Fragua	2017	69.1%	77.2%	58.6%
Colombia	San José del Guaviare	2000	74.0%	76.9%	71.2%
Colombia	San José del Guaviare	2017	83.5%	85.2%	81.6%
Colombia	San José del Palmar	2000	48.3%	69.4%	27.1%
Colombia	San José del Palmar	2017	61.4%	79.5%	38.3%
Colombia	San Juan de Arama	2000	85.2%	91.5%	74.4%
Colombia	San Juan de Arama	2017	91.2%	94.9%	83.8%
Colombia	San Juan de Betulia	2000	56.3%	70.3%	42.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Juan de Betulia	2017	69.6%	81.1%	56.4%
Colombia	San Juan de Pasto	2000	59.8%	64.1%	55.6%
Colombia	San Juan de Pasto	2017	72.7%	75.8%	69.6%
Colombia	San Juan de Río Seco	2000	64.8%	85.7%	42.3%
Colombia	San Juan de Río Seco	2017	76.1%	91.1%	57.3%
Colombia	San Juan de Urabá	2000	61.2%	74.0%	47.1%
Colombia	San Juan de Urabá	2017	73.8%	83.5%	61.0%
Colombia	San Juan del Cesar	2000	47.5%	57.0%	38.6%
Colombia	San Juan del Cesar	2017	60.5%	68.7%	52.7%
Colombia	San Juan Nepomuceno	2000	50.2%	56.2%	44.5%
Colombia	San Juan Nepomuceno	2017	64.6%	70.4%	58.9%
Colombia	San Juanito	2000	78.1%	88.6%	64.4%
Colombia	San Juanito	2017	86.4%	93.4%	76.3%
Colombia	San Lorenzo	2000	61.3%	77.4%	35.9%
Colombia	San Lorenzo	2017	73.7%	86.1%	50.7%
Colombia	San Luis	2000	61.0%	79.5%	43.2%
Colombia	San Luis	2017	74.1%	87.3%	58.8%
Colombia	San Luis	2000	63.3%	77.4%	44.2%
Colombia	San Luis	2017	75.7%	86.7%	57.4%
Colombia	San Luis de Cubarral	2000	83.5%	90.7%	74.7%
Colombia	San Luis de Cubarral	2017	90.2%	94.5%	84.4%
Colombia	San Luis de Gaceno	2000	66.8%	86.9%	37.1%
Colombia	San Luis de Gaceno	2017	77.2%	91.9%	52.2%
Colombia	San Luis de Palenque	2000	93.0%	96.9%	87.2%
Colombia	San Luis de Palenque	2017	95.4%	97.9%	90.8%
Colombia	San Marcos	2000	57.2%	64.8%	49.8%
Colombia	San Marcos	2017	68.6%	76.9%	59.2%
Colombia	San Martín	2000	45.9%	60.0%	32.3%
Colombia	San Martín	2000	85.7%	90.4%	80.2%
Colombia	San Martín	2017	61.0%	73.4%	47.2%
Colombia	San Martín	2017	91.5%	94.3%	88.0%
Colombia	San Martín de Loba	2000	57.9%	73.6%	36.5%
Colombia	San Martín de Loba	2017	69.5%	81.7%	50.4%
Colombia	San Mateo	2000	56.8%	69.1%	43.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Mateo	2017	68.3%	77.9%	55.4%
Colombia	San Miguel	2000	63.2%	77.9%	45.8%
Colombia	San Miguel	2017	75.7%	86.6%	61.2%
Colombia	San Miguel de Mocoa	2000	73.8%	79.6%	67.9%
Colombia	San Miguel de Mocoa	2017	83.8%	87.3%	79.8%
Colombia	San Miguel de Sema	2000	60.2%	71.5%	49.8%
Colombia	San Miguel de Sema	2017	74.7%	82.3%	66.6%
Colombia	San Onofre	2000	54.6%	63.3%	44.7%
Colombia	San Onofre	2017	68.2%	75.4%	59.1%
Colombia	San Pablo	2000	58.7%	71.8%	44.3%
Colombia	San Pablo	2000	62.5%	75.0%	49.2%
Colombia	San Pablo	2017	71.5%	81.8%	57.9%
Colombia	San Pablo	2017	75.8%	84.8%	65.0%
Colombia	San Pablo de Borbur	2000	60.9%	72.9%	48.0%
Colombia	San Pablo de Borbur	2017	73.5%	83.0%	60.8%
Colombia	San Pedro	2000	81.0%	86.2%	74.0%
Colombia	San Pedro	2000	55.6%	69.4%	42.3%
Colombia	San Pedro	2017	88.8%	92.3%	84.0%
Colombia	San Pedro	2017	69.2%	79.9%	57.6%
Colombia	San Pedro de Cartago	2000	56.2%	66.4%	45.5%
Colombia	San Pedro de Cartago	2017	69.8%	77.3%	61.2%
Colombia	San Pedro de los Milagros	2000	59.2%	73.2%	44.7%
Colombia	San Pedro de los Milagros	2017	73.1%	83.9%	60.2%
Colombia	San Pedro de Urabá	2000	58.8%	72.3%	45.5%
Colombia	San Pedro de Urabá	2017	71.6%	82.2%	59.3%
Colombia	San Pelayo	2000	45.0%	58.3%	33.2%
Colombia	San Pelayo	2017	60.3%	72.2%	47.4%
Colombia	San Rafael	2000	62.1%	72.4%	51.1%
Colombia	San Rafael	2017	74.6%	82.1%	65.4%
Colombia	San Roque	2000	62.0%	74.2%	49.3%
Colombia	San Roque	2017	74.6%	84.3%	64.0%
Colombia	San Sebastián	2000	56.9%	68.1%	45.3%
Colombia	San Sebastián	2017	69.9%	79.6%	58.3%
Colombia	San Sebastián de Buenavista	2000	52.5%	63.6%	41.0%
Colombia	San Sebastián de Buenavista	2017	66.8%	75.7%	56.3%
Colombia	San Sebastian de Mariquita	2000	63.7%	74.8%	52.6%
Colombia	San Sebastian de Mariquita	2017	76.4%	84.7%	67.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Vicente	2000	64.9%	78.9%	49.9%
Colombia	San Vicente	2017	76.9%	87.3%	64.0%
Colombia	San Vicente de Chucurí	2000	68.6%	80.2%	52.6%
Colombia	San Vicente de Chucurí	2017	79.5%	87.5%	66.6%
Colombia	San Vicente del Caguán	2000	60.4%	63.9%	57.0%
Colombia	San Vicente del Caguán	2017	73.6%	76.5%	71.0%
Colombia	San Zenón	2000	55.6%	70.6%	42.0%
Colombia	San Zenón	2017	69.2%	80.9%	57.7%
Colombia	Sandoná	2000	50.7%	67.8%	34.5%
Colombia	Sandoná	2017	66.5%	81.0%	51.3%
Colombia	Santa Ana	2000	53.7%	64.0%	43.3%
Colombia	Santa Ana	2017	67.0%	75.6%	57.7%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	63.3%	79.1%	44.2%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	67.1%	77.4%	56.7%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	48.2%	67.8%	29.6%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	75.7%	87.6%	58.1%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	76.7%	84.1%	68.0%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	61.6%	77.6%	42.4%
Colombia	Santa Catalina	2000	54.3%	67.6%	40.4%
Colombia	Santa Catalina	2017	67.9%	79.3%	54.5%
Colombia	Santa Cruz	2000	57.6%	71.6%	43.5%
Colombia	Santa Cruz	2017	71.3%	83.2%	57.3%
Colombia	Santa Cruz de Lórica	2000	53.1%	60.1%	45.0%
Colombia	Santa Cruz de Lórica	2017	67.3%	73.6%	59.9%
Colombia	Santa Fe de Antioquia	2000	62.6%	70.3%	54.4%
Colombia	Santa Fe de Antioquia	2017	75.1%	81.0%	68.2%
Colombia	Santa Helena del Opón	2000	69.1%	86.9%	45.5%
Colombia	Santa Helena del Opón	2017	79.6%	92.6%	60.2%
Colombia	Santa Isabel	2000	61.6%	77.5%	45.1%
Colombia	Santa Isabel	2017	73.8%	85.7%	60.7%
Colombia	Santa Lucía	2000	57.4%	78.4%	34.9%
Colombia	Santa Lucía	2017	70.1%	86.9%	48.6%
Colombia	Santa María	2000	47.7%	72.6%	26.4%
Colombia	Santa María	2000	60.3%	82.9%	32.9%
Colombia	Santa María	2017	60.8%	81.7%	39.8%
Colombia	Santa María	2017	72.2%	89.7%	46.9%
Colombia	Santa Marta (Dist. Esp.)	2000	47.0%	54.2%	40.3%
Colombia	Santa Marta (Dist. Esp.)	2017	64.6%	70.4%	58.7%
Colombia	Santa Rita	2000	74.7%	81.1%	66.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Santa Rita	2017	83.6%	87.5%	78.4%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2000	63.3%	73.2%	52.7%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2000	54.4%	64.1%	45.4%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2017	75.4%	82.6%	67.2%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2017	68.3%	76.3%	60.6%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Cabal	2000	71.1%	84.6%	46.5%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Cabal	2017	81.3%	91.0%	60.9%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Osos	2000	57.7%	73.8%	41.0%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Osos	2017	70.7%	83.6%	55.7%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2000	58.6%	66.9%	49.4%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2017	72.7%	77.9%	65.7%
Colombia	Santa Rosa del Sur	2000	52.0%	60.2%	44.1%
Colombia	Santa Rosa del Sur	2017	65.9%	73.3%	58.2%
Colombia	Santa Rosalía	2000	80.5%	86.1%	73.9%
Colombia	Santa Rosalía	2017	88.0%	91.6%	84.0%
Colombia	Santa Sofía	2000	58.2%	77.3%	37.4%
Colombia	Santa Sofía	2017	71.3%	86.2%	52.5%
Colombia	Santafé de Bogotá	2000	85.8%	89.2%	82.3%
Colombia	Santafé de Bogotá	2017	92.2%	94.0%	89.8%
Colombia	Santana	2000	58.2%	74.3%	39.3%
Colombia	Santana	2017	71.5%	83.9%	54.2%
Colombia	Santander de Quilichao	2000	40.5%	45.4%	35.8%
Colombia	Santander de Quilichao	2017	55.9%	60.7%	50.8%
Colombia	Santiago	2000	69.8%	80.5%	57.5%
Colombia	Santiago	2000	52.4%	73.5%	29.0%
Colombia	Santiago	2017	80.5%	88.3%	71.7%
Colombia	Santiago	2017	66.1%	83.8%	41.5%
Colombia	Santiago de Cali	2000	84.3%	86.6%	81.2%
Colombia	Santiago de Cali	2017	91.3%	92.6%	89.9%
Colombia	Santo Domingo	2000	58.6%	79.1%	37.7%
Colombia	Santo Domingo	2017	71.3%	86.9%	52.4%
Colombia	Santo Domingo de Silos	2000	75.8%	85.4%	62.6%
Colombia	Santo Domingo de Silos	2017	84.8%	91.7%	73.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Santo Tomás	2000	70.1%	84.0%	53.6%
Colombia	Santo Tomás	2017	80.7%	90.3%	67.3%
Colombia	Santuario	2000	76.6%	92.6%	56.0%
Colombia	Santuario	2017	85.1%	95.7%	69.2%
Colombia	Sapuyes	2000	46.2%	63.6%	29.4%
Colombia	Sapuyes	2017	61.1%	76.4%	43.2%
Colombia	Saravena	2000	94.3%	96.3%	91.9%
Colombia	Saravena	2017	96.8%	98.0%	95.4%
Colombia	Sardinata	2000	66.1%	77.1%	52.0%
Colombia	Sardinata	2017	77.6%	85.7%	66.4%
Colombia	Sasaima	2000	70.2%	81.5%	58.3%
Colombia	Sasaima	2017	81.1%	88.8%	72.2%
Colombia	Sativanorte	2000	64.7%	79.5%	48.3%
Colombia	Sativanorte	2017	76.3%	87.1%	62.0%
Colombia	Sativasur	2000	61.0%	78.4%	41.4%
Colombia	Sativasur	2017	73.4%	86.8%	56.3%
Colombia	Segovia	2000	61.0%	71.7%	50.9%
Colombia	Segovia	2017	73.3%	82.1%	63.4%
Colombia	Sesquilé	2000	62.4%	77.7%	44.4%
Colombia	Sesquilé	2017	75.7%	86.5%	61.2%
Colombia	Sevilla	2000	84.8%	91.5%	76.0%
Colombia	Sevilla	2017	91.0%	95.1%	85.3%
Colombia	Siachoque	2000	53.1%	65.4%	39.6%
Colombia	Siachoque	2017	67.1%	76.8%	52.4%
Colombia	Sibaté	2000	61.0%	66.2%	55.6%
Colombia	Sibaté	2017	77.6%	81.2%	73.4%
Colombia	Sibundoy	2000	72.8%	80.1%	64.3%
Colombia	Sibundoy	2017	83.0%	87.6%	76.2%
Colombia	Silvania	2000	68.8%	78.7%	55.0%
Colombia	Silvania	2017	80.2%	87.0%	69.6%
Colombia	Silvia	2000	39.3%	45.5%	32.8%
Colombia	Silvia	2017	51.9%	58.2%	45.7%
Colombia	Simacota	2000	68.7%	81.9%	54.5%
Colombia	Simacota	2017	79.4%	88.8%	67.3%
Colombia	Simijaca	2000	55.1%	71.4%	38.8%
Colombia	Simijaca	2017	68.6%	82.4%	53.4%
Colombia	Simití	2000	52.4%	66.0%	39.8%
Colombia	Simití	2017	66.3%	76.9%	55.5%
Colombia	Sincé	2000	59.4%	66.0%	50.7%
Colombia	Sincé	2017	71.5%	76.5%	65.6%
Colombia	Sincelejo	2000	52.7%	55.5%	50.0%
Colombia	Sincelejo	2017	68.1%	69.7%	66.4%
Colombia	Sipí	2000	32.9%	50.9%	18.0%
Colombia	Sipí	2017	43.9%	62.1%	27.0%
Colombia	Soacha	2000	58.7%	66.6%	49.7%
Colombia	Soacha	2017	73.8%	79.8%	67.1%
Colombia	Soatá	2000	69.4%	86.0%	49.9%
Colombia	Soatá	2017	76.6%	90.5%	60.2%
Colombia	Socha	2000	62.0%	79.0%	40.0%
Colombia	Socha	2017	75.1%	88.0%	55.3%
Colombia	Socorro	2000	67.6%	83.5%	50.3%
Colombia	Socorro	2017	78.9%	90.1%	65.0%
Colombia	Socotá	2000	66.8%	81.2%	50.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Socotá	2017	77.8%	88.1%	64.7%
Colombia	Sogamoso	2000	66.0%	77.4%	52.0%
Colombia	Sogamoso	2017	78.3%	86.2%	67.0%
Colombia	Solano	2000	61.1%	65.8%	56.0%
Colombia	Solano	2017	74.1%	78.5%	69.3%
Colombia	Soledad	2000	78.0%	80.4%	75.7%
Colombia	Soledad	2017	86.7%	88.1%	85.2%
Colombia	Somondoco	2000	49.8%	68.7%	29.4%
Colombia	Somondoco	2017	63.8%	78.8%	43.7%
Colombia	Sonsón	2000	62.6%	69.9%	54.9%
Colombia	Sonsón	2017	75.6%	81.8%	68.8%
Colombia	Sopetrán	2000	62.6%	74.3%	49.7%
Colombia	Sopetrán	2017	75.1%	83.8%	64.7%
Colombia	Soplaviento	2000	57.1%	69.7%	44.6%
Colombia	Soplaviento	2017	70.4%	79.6%	59.4%
Colombia	Sopó	2000	62.0%	70.9%	53.6%
Colombia	Sopó	2017	75.8%	82.4%	69.2%
Colombia	Sora	2000	56.2%	71.6%	38.3%
Colombia	Sora	2017	69.6%	81.5%	52.8%
Colombia	Soracá	2000	59.2%	72.2%	46.1%
Colombia	Soracá	2017	72.6%	81.9%	61.6%
Colombia	Sotaquirá	2000	57.8%	80.5%	33.8%
Colombia	Sotaquirá	2017	70.6%	88.2%	46.8%
Colombia	Sotará	2000	23.9%	30.4%	18.3%
Colombia	Sotará	2017	37.7%	45.9%	30.8%
Colombia	Suaita	2000	60.3%	72.6%	46.9%
Colombia	Suaita	2017	73.0%	83.2%	60.5%
Colombia	Suan	2000	53.8%	76.7%	29.1%
Colombia	Suan	2017	67.2%	85.8%	43.2%
Colombia	Suárez	2000	51.9%	67.4%	35.4%
Colombia	Suárez	2000	65.7%	74.1%	56.3%
Colombia	Suárez	2017	77.3%	83.3%	70.2%
Colombia	Suárez	2017	65.7%	78.6%	49.0%
Colombia	Suaza	2000	63.5%	74.8%	50.9%
Colombia	Suaza	2017	75.8%	84.2%	62.8%
Colombia	Subachoque	2000	76.1%	85.6%	62.5%
Colombia	Subachoque	2017	85.4%	92.2%	75.4%
Colombia	Sucre	2000	69.1%	79.1%	55.2%
Colombia	Sucre	2000	56.7%	76.0%	30.6%
Colombia	Sucre	2017	80.2%	88.3%	67.8%
Colombia	Sucre	2017	68.6%	83.3%	44.2%
Colombia	Suesca	2000	66.5%	79.9%	52.4%
Colombia	Suesca	2017	80.2%	89.5%	68.8%
Colombia	Supatá	2000	70.3%	84.9%	49.5%
Colombia	Supatá	2017	80.8%	91.2%	64.6%
Colombia	Supía	2000	73.7%	84.2%	60.5%
Colombia	Supía	2017	83.4%	90.7%	72.8%
Colombia	Suratá	2000	64.2%	87.6%	37.1%
Colombia	Suratá	2017	75.8%	92.7%	53.1%
Colombia	Susa	2000	62.4%	79.1%	45.7%
Colombia	Susa	2017	76.0%	88.5%	59.8%
Colombia	Susacón	2000	67.6%	83.7%	48.3%
Colombia	Susacón	2017	78.5%	90.3%	62.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Sutamarchán	2000	54.2%	63.4%	45.0%
Colombia	Sutamarchán	2017	67.3%	73.6%	60.6%
Colombia	Sutatausa	2000	69.8%	84.8%	52.4%
Colombia	Sutatausa	2017	80.5%	91.1%	66.7%
Colombia	Sutatenza	2000	46.0%	59.7%	32.4%
Colombia	Sutatenza	2017	60.4%	73.7%	46.7%
Colombia	Tabio	2000	72.8%	83.9%	59.2%
Colombia	Tabio	2017	83.0%	90.4%	73.3%
Colombia	Tadó	2000	23.1%	27.3%	19.0%
Colombia	Tadó	2017	34.9%	39.8%	30.3%
Colombia	Talaigua	2000	54.2%	71.2%	35.7%
Colombia	Nuevo Talaigua	2017	67.7%	81.9%	49.4%
Colombia	Tamalameque	2000	53.5%	68.2%	36.3%
Colombia	Tamalameque	2017	66.3%	78.6%	50.4%
Colombia	Támara	2000	91.7%	97.0%	82.4%
Colombia	Támara	2017	95.7%	98.4%	90.5%
Colombia	Tame	2000	93.5%	95.9%	90.3%
Colombia	Tame	2017	96.5%	97.8%	94.5%
Colombia	Támesis	2000	64.3%	76.5%	51.0%
Colombia	Támesis	2017	76.2%	84.6%	65.8%
Colombia	Taminango	2000	63.7%	77.3%	44.5%
Colombia	Taminango	2017	75.9%	86.4%	59.1%
Colombia	Tangua	2000	54.8%	71.8%	35.3%
Colombia	Tangua	2017	65.4%	80.9%	44.8%
Colombia	Taraira	2000	64.2%	74.5%	51.4%
Colombia	Taraira	2017	75.7%	83.9%	65.1%
Colombia	Tarapacá	2000	59.3%	66.0%	52.7%
Colombia	Tarapacá	2017	71.8%	77.0%	66.4%
Colombia	Tarazá	2000	60.8%	70.3%	51.1%
Colombia	Tarazá	2017	73.5%	79.9%	65.9%
Colombia	Tarquí	2000	44.5%	58.5%	30.1%
Colombia	Tarquí	2017	57.8%	70.7%	42.6%
Colombia	Tarso	2000	63.2%	80.0%	44.1%
Colombia	Tarso	2017	75.5%	88.0%	58.2%
Colombia	Tasco	2000	56.3%	72.3%	38.6%
Colombia	Tasco	2017	69.4%	82.4%	53.8%
Colombia	Tauramena	2000	95.4%	97.7%	91.8%
Colombia	Tauramena	2017	97.1%	98.6%	94.9%
Colombia	Tausa	2000	70.3%	86.9%	49.2%
Colombia	Tausa	2017	80.8%	91.9%	65.1%
Colombia	Tello	2000	83.5%	92.5%	69.4%
Colombia	Tello	2017	90.1%	95.8%	81.6%
Colombia	Tena	2000	67.9%	79.6%	51.0%
Colombia	Tena	2017	79.1%	88.0%	65.5%
Colombia	Tenerife	2000	48.5%	64.6%	31.7%
Colombia	Tenerife	2017	62.4%	76.2%	46.2%
Colombia	Tenjo	2000	77.2%	85.5%	65.7%
Colombia	Tenjo	2017	86.2%	91.8%	77.7%
Colombia	Tenza	2000	45.2%	58.3%	33.2%
Colombia	Tenza	2017	59.7%	71.6%	47.7%
Colombia	Teorama	2000	61.2%	68.9%	53.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Teorama	2017	74.8%	80.7%	69.3%
Colombia	Teruel	2000	60.5%	74.6%	44.3%
Colombia	Teruel	2017	72.7%	83.7%	57.0%
Colombia	Tesalia	2000	54.3%	74.4%	36.2%
Colombia	Tesalia	2017	67.4%	83.4%	50.8%
Colombia	Tibacuy	2000	63.2%	78.8%	40.9%
Colombia	Tibacuy	2017	74.7%	87.1%	54.0%
Colombia	Tibaná	2000	51.6%	65.8%	38.9%
Colombia	Tibaná	2017	65.7%	77.6%	53.2%
Colombia	Tibasosa	2000	56.7%	66.1%	47.7%
Colombia	Tibasosa	2017	72.1%	79.9%	63.3%
Colombia	Tibirita	2000	47.7%	67.0%	28.3%
Colombia	Tibirita	2017	62.0%	78.4%	42.1%
Colombia	Tibú	2000	58.4%	67.6%	49.3%
Colombia	Tibú	2017	71.5%	78.6%	64.3%
Colombia	Tierralta	2000	54.3%	65.9%	44.7%
Colombia	Tierralta	2017	67.9%	77.2%	59.3%
Colombia	Timaná	2000	56.3%	62.3%	49.5%
Colombia	Timaná	2017	70.7%	75.6%	65.8%
Colombia	Timbío	2000	25.9%	35.5%	18.4%
Colombia	Timbío	2017	38.2%	48.8%	29.3%
Colombia	Timbiquí	2000	45.3%	54.8%	37.3%
Colombia	Timbiquí	2017	59.3%	67.3%	51.3%
Colombia	Tinjacá	2000	56.6%	68.0%	44.6%
Colombia	Tinjacá	2017	70.2%	79.1%	59.3%
Colombia	Tipacoque	2000	64.5%	80.1%	43.6%
Colombia	Tipacoque	2017	76.2%	88.1%	58.5%
Colombia	Titiribí	2000	61.7%	79.2%	42.4%
Colombia	Titiribí	2017	74.2%	87.0%	58.0%
Colombia	Toca	2000	56.7%	74.4%	37.7%
Colombia	Toca	2017	69.8%	84.3%	51.5%
Colombia	Tocaima	2000	63.8%	78.9%	45.4%
Colombia	Tocaima	2017	76.6%	87.7%	60.1%
Colombia	Tocancipá	2000	63.2%	73.1%	53.9%
Colombia	Tocancipá	2017	77.6%	85.6%	69.4%
Colombia	Toguí	2000	55.1%	63.1%	46.0%
Colombia	Toguí	2017	69.0%	76.0%	60.5%
Colombia	Toledo	2000	69.4%	79.2%	57.5%
Colombia	Toledo	2000	62.6%	78.1%	44.4%
Colombia	Toledo	2017	80.0%	87.0%	69.8%
Colombia	Toledo	2017	75.0%	86.7%	60.0%
Colombia	Tolú	2000	56.5%	71.6%	42.6%
Colombia	Tolú	2017	69.8%	82.0%	57.6%
Colombia	Toluviejo	2000	62.7%	74.9%	48.8%
Colombia	Toluviejo	2017	72.4%	82.8%	59.3%
Colombia	Tona	2000	70.2%	80.3%	58.6%
Colombia	Tona	2017	81.5%	88.5%	73.0%
Colombia	Topagá	2000	57.6%	62.4%	51.9%
Colombia	Topagá	2017	70.6%	74.3%	65.9%
Colombia	Topaipí	2000	72.2%	86.7%	50.8%
Colombia	Topaipí	2017	82.2%	92.1%	64.3%
Colombia	Toribío	2000	41.1%	48.6%	34.4%
Colombia	Toribío	2017	55.0%	62.2%	49.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Toro	2000	78.9%	90.8%	65.2%
Colombia	Toro	2017	87.0%	94.8%	76.6%
Colombia	Tota	2000	53.8%	61.6%	46.0%
Colombia	Tota	2017	67.8%	74.4%	59.7%
Colombia	Totoró	2000	33.5%	43.8%	23.8%
Colombia	Totoró	2017	47.3%	57.9%	36.8%
Colombia	Trinidad	2000	92.2%	95.7%	86.9%
Colombia	Trinidad	2017	95.8%	98.0%	92.2%
Colombia	Trujillo	2000	73.5%	89.3%	48.0%
Colombia	Trujillo	2017	82.8%	93.8%	62.1%
Colombia	Tubará	2000	66.4%	85.2%	42.5%
Colombia	Tubará	2017	77.7%	90.9%	58.1%
Colombia	Tuluá	2000	85.7%	88.9%	81.7%
Colombia	Tuluá	2017	92.0%	94.0%	89.3%
Colombia	Tumaco	2000	58.0%	68.6%	46.5%
Colombia	Tumaco	2017	70.1%	78.0%	59.7%
Colombia	Tunja	2000	62.2%	66.5%	58.2%
Colombia	Tunja	2017	76.1%	79.2%	73.1%
Colombia	Tunungua	2000	56.3%	73.1%	35.8%
Colombia	Tunungua	2017	69.7%	83.5%	49.2%
Colombia	Túquerres	2000	52.4%	65.3%	38.9%
Colombia	Túquerres	2017	66.6%	77.6%	52.2%
Colombia	Turbaco	2000	52.3%	58.6%	46.2%
Colombia	Turbaco	2017	66.6%	71.6%	60.8%
Colombia	Turbaná	2000	52.2%	60.1%	44.4%
Colombia	Turbaná	2017	66.5%	73.3%	59.5%
Colombia	Turbo	2000	55.5%	64.2%	45.4%
Colombia	Turbo	2017	67.1%	74.8%	58.0%
Colombia	Turmequé	2000	53.2%	71.4%	34.5%
Colombia	Turmequé	2017	67.6%	83.1%	50.4%
Colombia	Tuta	2000	57.3%	76.8%	36.1%
Colombia	Tuta	2017	70.1%	85.7%	48.9%
Colombia	Tutazá	2000	63.5%	85.4%	36.1%
Colombia	Tutazá	2017	74.8%	91.2%	50.5%
Colombia	Ubalá	2000	62.2%	85.5%	37.9%
Colombia	Ubalá	2017	73.6%	90.9%	51.4%
Colombia	Ubaque	2000	76.2%	89.4%	61.2%
Colombia	Ubaque	2017	85.2%	93.9%	73.7%
Colombia	Ulloa	2000	82.6%	91.6%	69.6%
Colombia	Ulloa	2017	89.4%	95.4%	80.1%
Colombia	Umbita	2000	51.8%	71.1%	32.9%
Colombia	Umbita	2017	65.6%	82.0%	48.6%
Colombia	Une	2000	66.7%	84.7%	43.6%
Colombia	Une	2017	78.1%	90.8%	58.2%
Colombia	Unguía	2000	21.0%	27.7%	15.7%
Colombia	Unguía	2017	33.0%	42.4%	25.0%
Colombia	Uramita	2000	62.1%	75.4%	49.9%
Colombia	Uramita	2017	74.6%	84.8%	64.9%
Colombia	Uribia	2000	35.9%	40.7%	30.9%
Colombia	Uribia	2017	50.0%	54.7%	44.8%
Colombia	Urrao	2000	62.4%	72.4%	52.3%
Colombia	Urrao	2017	73.5%	81.2%	64.5%
Colombia	Urumita	2000	36.1%	48.2%	25.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Urumita	2017	50.6%	62.6%	38.4%
Colombia	Usiacurí	2000	76.9%	88.0%	60.9%
Colombia	Usiacurí	2017	85.9%	93.4%	74.2%
Colombia	Utica	2000	73.9%	86.5%	59.0%
Colombia	Utica	2017	83.7%	92.7%	73.3%
Colombia	Valdivia	2000	62.9%	77.1%	43.5%
Colombia	Valdivia	2017	74.9%	85.8%	58.5%
Colombia	Valencia	2000	49.6%	58.3%	38.0%
Colombia	Valencia	2017	63.8%	72.4%	51.5%
Colombia	Valle de San José	2000	65.4%	78.6%	52.5%
Colombia	Valle de San José	2017	77.3%	86.7%	66.4%
Colombia	Valle de San Juan	2000	60.4%	80.3%	33.6%
Colombia	Valle de San Juan	2017	72.7%	88.0%	48.0%
Colombia	Valle del Guamuez	2000	73.0%	78.8%	65.8%
Colombia	Valle del Guamuez	2017	82.8%	86.8%	77.4%
Colombia	Valledupar	2000	44.6%	48.6%	40.8%
Colombia	Valledupar	2017	59.4%	62.7%	56.3%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2000	67.3%	81.1%	50.5%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2000	58.3%	64.7%	51.8%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2017	78.6%	88.8%	65.0%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2017	71.6%	77.3%	66.5%
Colombia	Vegachí	2000	61.5%	79.3%	42.7%
Colombia	Vegachí	2017	73.7%	87.5%	56.6%
Colombia	Vélez	2000	67.9%	76.0%	58.7%
Colombia	Vélez	2017	79.1%	85.1%	71.9%
Colombia	Venadillo	2000	62.3%	73.1%	50.6%
Colombia	Venadillo	2017	74.9%	83.0%	65.3%
Colombia	Venecia	2000	70.7%	88.6%	46.5%
Colombia	Venecia	2000	63.3%	80.3%	44.4%
Colombia	Venecia	2017	75.3%	87.9%	59.8%
Colombia	Venecia	2017	80.9%	93.4%	61.4%
Colombia	Ventaquemada	2000	57.4%	69.5%	41.1%
Colombia	Ventaquemada	2017	71.3%	80.8%	56.5%
Colombia	Vergara	2000	71.7%	83.6%	57.0%
Colombia	Vergara	2017	80.0%	89.0%	67.8%
Colombia	Versalles	2000	70.2%	87.7%	43.4%
Colombia	Versalles	2017	78.7%	92.8%	54.3%
Colombia	Vetas	2000	68.9%	86.6%	42.7%
Colombia	Vetas	2017	79.1%	92.3%	57.3%
Colombia	Vianí	2000	66.5%	84.3%	44.3%
Colombia	Vianí	2017	77.9%	90.5%	58.3%
Colombia	Victoria	2000	68.1%	78.0%	57.4%
Colombia	Victoria	2017	78.9%	86.6%	69.8%
Colombia	Vigía del Fuerte	2000	39.1%	55.9%	23.1%
Colombia	Vigía del Fuerte	2017	51.1%	68.1%	33.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Vijes	2000	84.3%	91.1%	74.2%
Colombia	Vijes	2017	90.6%	94.8%	83.5%
Colombia	Villa Caro	2000	62.2%	77.2%	45.3%
Colombia	Villa Caro	2017	74.5%	85.7%	60.1%
Colombia	Villa de Leyva	2000	53.3%	72.0%	34.7%
Colombia	Villa de Leyva	2017	66.7%	82.3%	48.0%
Colombia	Villa de San Diego de Ubaté	2000	67.8%	73.6%	59.6%
Colombia	Villa de San Diego de Ubaté	2017	79.2%	83.5%	73.5%
Colombia	Villa del Rosario	2000	65.0%	68.3%	61.6%
Colombia	Villa del Rosario	2017	77.9%	80.5%	75.5%
Colombia	Villagarzón	2000	76.0%	85.4%	66.1%
Colombia	Villagarzón	2017	85.6%	90.4%	79.4%
Colombia	Villagómez	2000	68.7%	82.4%	48.5%
Colombia	Villagómez	2017	79.6%	89.5%	62.9%
Colombia	Villahermosa	2000	59.0%	68.6%	49.1%
Colombia	Villahermosa	2017	71.5%	80.4%	60.4%
Colombia	Villamaría	2000	74.3%	83.6%	62.1%
Colombia	Villamaría	2017	84.3%	90.8%	76.7%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	67.8%	81.0%	50.5%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	95.6%	98.0%	92.2%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	42.9%	54.1%	30.3%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	59.6%	75.7%	41.3%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	97.4%	98.8%	95.4%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	72.0%	84.4%	55.7%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	57.0%	67.4%	43.5%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	79.0%	88.6%	64.7%
Colombia	Villapinzón	2000	58.2%	78.2%	35.7%
Colombia	Villapinzón	2017	70.7%	86.9%	49.9%
Colombia	Villarrica	2000	71.8%	89.8%	43.1%
Colombia	Villarrica	2017	81.5%	93.3%	58.5%
Colombia	Villavicencio	2000	86.4%	88.5%	84.1%
Colombia	Villavicencio	2017	92.4%	93.6%	90.8%
Colombia	Villavieja	2000	74.5%	87.8%	52.7%
Colombia	Villavieja	2017	85.8%	93.7%	70.0%
Colombia	Villeta	2000	66.7%	74.0%	59.3%
Colombia	Villeta	2017	78.4%	83.9%	72.3%
Colombia	Viotá	2000	67.8%	83.8%	46.0%
Colombia	Viotá	2017	78.6%	90.4%	59.1%
Colombia	Viracachá	2000	56.6%	72.3%	38.9%
Colombia	Viracachá	2017	70.0%	81.9%	53.2%
Colombia	Vista Hermosa	2000	84.8%	89.6%	78.3%
Colombia	Vista Hermosa	2017	90.9%	94.0%	86.7%
Colombia	Viterbo	2000	80.1%	92.6%	62.9%
Colombia	Viterbo	2017	87.9%	95.8%	74.3%
Colombia	Yacopí	2000	67.4%	80.9%	52.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Yacopí	2017	78.5%	88.5%	66.0%
Colombia	Yacuanquer	2000	52.0%	72.1%	30.5%
Colombia	Yacuanquer	2017	65.7%	82.6%	44.2%
Colombia	Yaguará	2000	52.8%	78.9%	25.8%
Colombia	Yaguará	2017	65.7%	87.0%	38.5%
Colombia	Yalí	2000	62.2%	79.4%	40.4%
Colombia	Yalí	2017	74.3%	87.4%	55.6%
Colombia	Yarumal	2000	62.0%	68.5%	54.2%
Colombia	Yarumal	2017	74.3%	79.7%	67.2%
Colombia	Yavaraté	2000	69.2%	79.5%	57.4%
Colombia	Yavaraté	2017	79.8%	87.7%	71.5%
Colombia	Yolombó	2000	61.8%	69.4%	52.5%
Colombia	Yolombó	2017	74.4%	80.3%	66.7%
Colombia	Yondó	2000	66.4%	78.9%	50.8%
Colombia	Yondó	2017	77.3%	86.6%	64.7%
Colombia	Yopal	2000	96.4%	97.9%	93.5%
Colombia	Yopal	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.4%
Colombia	Yotoco	2000	78.8%	90.6%	60.6%
Colombia	Yotoco	2017	86.9%	94.7%	72.1%
Colombia	Yumbo	2000	84.5%	87.7%	80.6%
Colombia	Yumbo	2017	90.9%	92.7%	88.7%
Colombia	Zambrano	2000	51.5%	72.4%	29.9%
Colombia	Zambrano	2017	65.3%	82.1%	44.0%
Colombia	Zapatoca	2000	67.0%	86.8%	41.8%
Colombia	Zapatoca	2017	78.1%	92.3%	57.1%
Colombia	Zaragoza	2000	62.4%	71.3%	51.9%
Colombia	Zaragoza	2017	74.8%	81.9%	65.9%
Colombia	Zarzal	2000	82.3%	87.9%	75.2%
Colombia	Zarzal	2017	89.4%	93.0%	85.2%
Colombia	Zetaquirá	2000	53.1%	69.5%	34.0%
Colombia	Zetaquirá	2017	66.7%	80.7%	48.9%
Colombia	Zipacón	2000	66.8%	78.1%	53.2%
Colombia	Zipacón	2017	78.3%	86.1%	67.2%
Colombia	Zipaquirá	2000	69.9%	77.8%	61.4%
Colombia	Zipaquirá	2017	81.0%	86.2%	74.7%
Costa Rica	Abangares	2000	80.5%	91.8%	67.3%
Costa Rica	Abangares	2017	97.6%	99.6%	93.3%
Costa Rica	Acosta	2000	93.5%	97.0%	88.2%
Costa Rica	Acosta	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Costa Rica	Aguirre	2000	82.0%	92.4%	67.2%
Costa Rica	Aguirre	2017	97.9%	99.6%	92.2%
Costa Rica	Alajuela	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Costa Rica	Alajuela	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Costa Rica	Alajuelita	2000	95.2%	95.6%	94.8%
Costa Rica	Alajuelita	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Costa Rica	Alfaro Ruiz	2000	91.1%	98.9%	74.1%
Costa Rica	Alfaro Ruiz	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.2%
Costa Rica	Alvarado	2000	92.9%	98.8%	73.1%
Costa Rica	Alvarado	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Costa Rica	Aserrí	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.0%
Costa Rica	Aserrí	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.6%
Costa Rica	Atenas	2000	90.1%	92.4%	87.5%
Costa Rica	Atenas	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Costa Rica	Bagaces	2000	75.9%	88.6%	60.9%
Costa Rica	Bagaces	2017	96.7%	99.1%	91.8%
Costa Rica	Barva	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Costa Rica	Barva	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Belén	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.2%
Costa Rica	Belén	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Buenos Aires	2000	76.2%	85.6%	63.2%
Costa Rica	Buenos Aires	2017	96.8%	98.5%	93.7%
Costa Rica	Cañas	2000	81.3%	89.7%	72.0%
Costa Rica	Cañas	2017	98.3%	99.5%	94.9%
Costa Rica	Carrillo	2000	75.9%	87.9%	54.1%
Costa Rica	Carrillo	2017	96.0%	99.3%	89.3%
Costa Rica	Cartago	2000	97.2%	98.4%	95.1%
Costa Rica	Cartago	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Costa Rica	Corredores	2000	80.0%	88.4%	70.9%
Costa Rica	Corredores	2017	97.5%	99.0%	92.8%
Costa Rica	Coto Brus	2000	81.0%	88.3%	72.0%
Costa Rica	Coto Brus	2017	98.3%	99.3%	96.6%
Costa Rica	Curridabat	2000	98.4%	98.6%	98.2%
Costa Rica	Curridabat	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Desamparados	2000	98.0%	98.3%	97.5%
Costa Rica	Desamparados	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Dota	2000	85.6%	92.2%	74.1%
Costa Rica	Dota	2017	98.4%	99.6%	95.2%
Costa Rica	El Guarco	2000	98.4%	99.1%	97.0%
Costa Rica	El Guarco	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Costa Rica	Escazú	2000	96.3%	96.7%	95.7%
Costa Rica	Escazú	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Costa Rica	Esparza	2000	86.0%	90.5%	81.2%
Costa Rica	Esparza	2017	98.2%	99.2%	95.6%
Costa Rica	Flores	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Costa Rica	Flores	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Garabito	2000	73.8%	93.7%	42.3%
Costa Rica	Garabito	2017	96.4%	99.7%	86.3%
Costa Rica	Goicoechea	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Costa Rica	Goicoechea	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Costa Rica	Golfito	2000	69.9%	81.2%	57.9%
Costa Rica	Golfito	2017	95.2%	97.8%	89.3%
Costa Rica	Grecia	2000	93.4%	95.2%	91.1%
Costa Rica	Grecia	2017	99.6%	99.8%	98.9%
Costa Rica	Guácimo	2000	78.8%	89.1%	63.1%
Costa Rica	Guácimo	2017	97.9%	99.4%	93.4%
Costa Rica	Guatuso	2000	72.1%	85.5%	52.0%
Costa Rica	Guatuso	2017	96.4%	99.1%	89.3%
Costa Rica	Heredia	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Costa Rica	Heredia	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Costa Rica	Hojancha	2000	72.5%	87.7%	51.5%
Costa Rica	Hojancha	2017	97.1%	99.4%	91.0%
Costa Rica	Jiménez	2000	90.2%	94.1%	85.2%
Costa Rica	Jiménez	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.3%
Costa Rica	La Cruz	2000	68.5%	83.9%	48.9%
Costa Rica	La Cruz	2017	95.6%	98.7%	88.2%
Costa Rica	La Unión	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Costa Rica	La Unión	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Costa Rica	León Cortés	2000	90.2%	99.0%	62.7%
Costa Rica	León Cortés	2017	99.0%	100.0%	91.5%
Costa Rica	Liberia	2000	44.6%	56.3%	34.7%
Costa Rica	Liberia	2017	82.2%	95.3%	68.1%
Costa Rica	Limón	2000	72.0%	82.7%	60.9%
Costa Rica	Limón	2017	95.9%	98.1%	92.1%
Costa Rica	Los Chiles	2000	61.6%	78.2%	45.0%
Costa Rica	Los Chiles	2017	92.7%	97.6%	84.3%
Costa Rica	Matina	2000	80.6%	88.2%	71.7%
Costa Rica	Matina	2017	98.0%	99.2%	96.0%
Costa Rica	Montes de Oca	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.3%
Costa Rica	Montes de Oca	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Montes de Oro	2000	91.1%	94.9%	84.1%
Costa Rica	Montes de Oro	2017	99.4%	99.8%	97.7%
Costa Rica	Mora	2000	94.5%	97.1%	88.6%
Costa Rica	Mora	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Costa Rica	Moravia	2000	98.0%	98.3%	97.6%
Costa Rica	Moravia	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Nandayure	2000	74.3%	88.1%	55.6%
Costa Rica	Nandayure	2017	96.8%	99.3%	90.3%
Costa Rica	Naranjo	2000	90.9%	92.4%	89.1%
Costa Rica	Naranjo	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Costa Rica	Nicoya	2000	71.6%	81.5%	59.4%
Costa Rica	Nicoya	2017	96.8%	98.4%	94.1%
Costa Rica	Oreamuno	2000	91.7%	96.6%	84.0%
Costa Rica	Oreamuno	2017	99.4%	99.8%	97.6%
Costa Rica	Orotina	2000	87.3%	93.3%	75.9%
Costa Rica	Orotina	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.3%
Costa Rica	Osa	2000	71.8%	84.3%	55.8%
Costa Rica	Osa	2017	95.9%	98.6%	89.0%
Costa Rica	Palmares	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.3%
Costa Rica	Palmares	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Costa Rica	Paraíso	2000	94.9%	96.7%	92.2%
Costa Rica	Paraíso	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Costa Rica	Parrita	2000	78.4%	93.0%	56.3%
Costa Rica	Parrita	2017	96.9%	99.6%	89.3%
Costa Rica	Pérez Zeledón	2000	75.2%	83.3%	65.3%
Costa Rica	Pérez Zeledón	2017	95.4%	97.6%	92.1%
Costa Rica	Poás	2000	92.7%	93.9%	90.6%
Costa Rica	Poás	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.5%
Costa Rica	Pococí	2000	81.9%	87.1%	76.1%
Costa Rica	Pococí	2017	97.7%	98.9%	94.7%
Costa Rica	Puntarenas	2000	78.6%	84.3%	73.5%
Costa Rica	Puntarenas	2017	96.9%	98.7%	93.9%
Costa Rica	Puriscal	2000	92.5%	96.6%	85.6%
Costa Rica	Puriscal	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.7%
Costa Rica	San Carlos	2000	82.7%	88.2%	76.0%
Costa Rica	San Carlos	2017	97.4%	98.7%	95.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Costa Rica	San Isidro	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Costa Rica	San Isidro	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Costa Rica	San José	2000	90.6%	91.3%	89.8%
Costa Rica	San José	2017	99.6%	99.6%	99.5%
Costa Rica	San Mateo	2000	88.8%	91.5%	85.2%
Costa Rica	San Mateo	2017	97.4%	99.3%	95.3%
Costa Rica	San Pablo	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Costa Rica	San Pablo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costa Rica	San Rafael	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Costa Rica	San Rafael	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costa Rica	San Ramón	2000	81.2%	84.9%	76.9%
Costa Rica	San Ramón	2017	97.7%	99.0%	95.3%
Costa Rica	Santa Ana	2000	98.5%	99.0%	96.5%
Costa Rica	Santa Ana	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Costa Rica	Santa Bárbara	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.0%
Costa Rica	Santa Bárbara	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Costa Rica	Santa Cruz	2000	77.2%	85.9%	66.4%
Costa Rica	Santa Cruz	2017	97.3%	98.9%	94.0%
Costa Rica	Santo Domingo	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Costa Rica	Santo Domingo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Sarapiquí	2000	75.0%	86.0%	60.9%
Costa Rica	Sarapiquí	2017	96.9%	98.8%	93.6%
Costa Rica	Siquirres	2000	82.4%	90.6%	70.0%
Costa Rica	Siquirres	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.1%
Costa Rica	Talamanca	2000	70.0%	80.4%	54.8%
Costa Rica	Talamanca	2017	90.2%	93.7%	84.3%
Costa Rica	Tarrazú	2000	89.4%	97.9%	66.7%
Costa Rica	Tarrazú	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.2%
Costa Rica	Tibás	2000	98.9%	99.0%	98.7%
Costa Rica	Tibás	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costa Rica	Tilarán	2000	88.8%	94.1%	81.0%
Costa Rica	Tilarán	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.3%
Costa Rica	Turrialba	2000	85.0%	89.8%	78.6%
Costa Rica	Turrialba	2017	98.4%	99.1%	97.1%
Costa Rica	Turrubares	2000	74.3%	90.5%	54.2%
Costa Rica	Turrubares	2017	96.7%	99.4%	90.9%
Costa Rica	Upala	2000	68.1%	78.7%	55.2%
Costa Rica	Upala	2017	95.6%	98.2%	91.3%
Costa Rica	Valverde Vega	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.8%
Costa Rica	Valverde Vega	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Costa Rica	Vásquez de Coronado	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.2%
Costa Rica	Vásquez de Coronado	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Dominican Republic	Altamira	2000	34.0%	83.3%	2.9%
Dominican Republic	Altamira	2017	76.7%	98.9%	29.4%
Dominican Republic	Arenoso	2000	27.4%	73.9%	0.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Arenoso	2017	67.4%	98.0%	12.5%
Dominican Republic	Azua de Compostela	2000	37.3%	73.8%	7.4%
Dominican Republic	Azua de Compostela	2017	85.7%	96.9%	51.7%
Dominican Republic	Bajos de Haina	2000	10.7%	49.3%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Bajos de Haina	2017	46.9%	85.0%	3.8%
Dominican Republic	Baní	2000	35.9%	61.2%	19.0%
Dominican Republic	Baní	2017	80.3%	93.6%	55.6%
Dominican Republic	Banica	2000	23.3%	65.0%	1.6%
Dominican Republic	Banica	2017	64.5%	94.4%	20.7%
Dominican Republic	Bayaguana	2000	23.7%	58.4%	3.7%
Dominican Republic	Bayaguana	2017	63.8%	90.9%	25.9%
Dominican Republic	Boca Chica	2000	29.2%	86.4%	0.7%
Dominican Republic	Boca Chica	2017	69.9%	98.9%	10.4%
Dominican Republic	Bohechio	2000	25.3%	74.4%	1.9%
Dominican Republic	Bohechio	2017	64.6%	96.9%	14.3%
Dominican Republic	Bonao	2000	30.5%	53.8%	12.3%
Dominican Republic	Bonao	2017	85.1%	95.2%	68.6%
Dominican Republic	Cabral	2000	40.3%	91.6%	3.8%
Dominican Republic	Cabral	2017	84.6%	99.4%	35.0%
Dominican Republic	Cabrera	2000	31.0%	68.0%	3.6%
Dominican Republic	Cabrera	2017	71.0%	96.4%	26.7%
Dominican Republic	Cambita	2000	23.0%	52.0%	7.3%
Dominican Republic	Cambita	2017	76.5%	94.2%	53.8%
Dominican Republic	Castañuela	2000	14.0%	30.2%	4.7%
Dominican Republic	Castañuela	2017	59.8%	82.4%	38.4%
Dominican Republic	Castillo	2000	23.2%	74.4%	0.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Castillo	2017	66.0%	98.3%	15.1%
Dominican Republic	Cayetano Germosén	2000	16.3%	80.3%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Cayetano Germosén	2017	54.3%	99.1%	3.7%
Dominican Republic	Cevicos	2000	24.3%	61.0%	1.8%
Dominican Republic	Cevicos	2017	64.0%	93.8%	18.0%
Dominican Republic	Comendador	2000	8.7%	27.4%	1.6%
Dominican Republic	Comendador	2017	47.4%	77.1%	20.8%
Dominican Republic	Concepción de la Vega	2000	14.1%	28.5%	4.2%
Dominican Republic	Concepción de la Vega	2017	58.6%	76.3%	37.1%
Dominican Republic	Constanza	2000	31.6%	55.4%	11.1%
Dominican Republic	Constanza	2017	83.1%	94.9%	62.7%
Dominican Republic	Consuelo	2000	19.6%	62.5%	2.4%
Dominican Republic	Consuelo	2017	67.5%	96.1%	20.7%
Dominican Republic	Cotuí	2000	19.9%	43.5%	4.1%
Dominican Republic	Cotuí	2017	68.0%	90.1%	33.8%
Dominican Republic	Cristobal	2000	33.7%	80.1%	2.6%
Dominican Republic	Cristobal	2017	75.7%	99.1%	30.9%
Dominican Republic	Dajabón	2000	30.5%	78.8%	4.7%
Dominican Republic	Dajabón	2017	73.8%	98.2%	19.3%
Dominican Republic	Distrito Nacional	2000	27.8%	60.8%	5.6%
Dominican Republic	Distrito Nacional	2017	73.2%	85.6%	48.7%
Dominican Republic	Duvergé	2000	24.1%	62.6%	1.6%
Dominican Republic	Duvergé	2017	63.7%	93.7%	20.2%
Dominican Republic	El Cercado	2000	19.9%	60.0%	0.9%
Dominican Republic	El Cercado	2017	62.1%	94.9%	14.9%
Dominican Republic	El Factor	2000	27.6%	77.3%	0.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	El Factor	2017	71.1%	97.7%	14.1%
Dominican Republic	El Llano	2000	15.3%	56.9%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	El Llano	2017	59.8%	96.8%	5.3%
Dominican Republic	El Peñón	2000	56.3%	94.7%	15.6%
Dominican Republic	El Peñón	2017	93.0%	99.9%	63.0%
Dominican Republic	El Pino	2000	17.1%	46.2%	2.3%
Dominican Republic	El Pino	2017	69.7%	94.5%	35.0%
Dominican Republic	El Valle	2000	23.7%	73.9%	0.5%
Dominican Republic	El Valle	2017	63.9%	97.2%	10.1%
Dominican Republic	Enriquillo	2000	22.7%	77.7%	1.1%
Dominican Republic	Enriquillo	2017	62.6%	97.3%	13.3%
Dominican Republic	Esperalvilla	2000	18.7%	61.6%	0.7%
Dominican Republic	Esperalvilla	2017	58.9%	96.5%	14.5%
Dominican Republic	Esperanza	2000	24.1%	62.0%	3.4%
Dominican Republic	Esperanza	2017	72.4%	95.8%	35.3%
Dominican Republic	Estebania	2000	38.4%	84.3%	4.3%
Dominican Republic	Estebania	2017	75.0%	98.7%	31.3%
Dominican Republic	Fantino	2000	29.4%	87.4%	0.5%
Dominican Republic	Fantino	2017	72.1%	99.2%	12.7%
Dominican Republic	Fundación	2000	32.7%	89.3%	4.3%
Dominican Republic	Fundación	2017	80.7%	99.7%	28.1%
Dominican Republic	Galvan	2000	14.0%	30.0%	3.9%
Dominican Republic	Galvan	2017	67.4%	85.0%	40.7%
Dominican Republic	Gaspar Hernández	2000	26.4%	72.6%	1.6%
Dominican Republic	Gaspar Hernández	2017	66.6%	95.7%	20.5%
Dominican Republic	Guananico	2000	25.8%	56.3%	6.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Guananico	2017	82.8%	97.3%	57.8%
Dominican Republic	Guayabal	2000	20.1%	58.9%	0.9%
Dominican Republic	Guayabal	2017	60.8%	95.0%	12.9%
Dominican Republic	Guayacanes	2000	28.4%	83.9%	0.8%
Dominican Republic	Guayacanes	2017	69.8%	99.2%	17.0%
Dominican Republic	Guaymate	2000	27.0%	76.1%	1.5%
Dominican Republic	Guaymate	2017	69.9%	97.3%	25.9%
Dominican Republic	Guayubín	2000	20.2%	58.4%	3.0%
Dominican Republic	Guayubín	2017	46.0%	71.5%	16.7%
Dominican Republic	Guerra	2000	27.3%	69.4%	1.4%
Dominican Republic	Guerra	2017	67.8%	97.1%	20.2%
Dominican Republic	Hato Mayor del Rey	2000	21.6%	55.1%	2.4%
Dominican Republic	Hato Mayor del Rey	2017	65.0%	93.5%	23.4%
Dominican Republic	Hondo Valle	2000	24.7%	82.3%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Hondo Valle	2017	63.6%	98.9%	8.1%
Dominican Republic	Hostos	2000	24.5%	81.5%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Hostos	2017	64.8%	98.7%	8.1%
Dominican Republic	Imbert	2000	36.7%	80.3%	3.3%
Dominican Republic	Imbert	2017	83.1%	98.7%	38.3%
Dominican Republic	Jamao al Norte	2000	27.8%	77.7%	0.5%
Dominican Republic	Jamao al Norte	2017	67.3%	98.1%	11.9%
Dominican Republic	Janico	2000	27.1%	65.0%	3.5%
Dominican Republic	Janico	2017	67.6%	96.4%	27.2%
Dominican Republic	Jaquimeyes	2000	34.5%	83.5%	1.6%
Dominican Republic	Jaquimeyes	2017	76.9%	98.7%	22.5%
Dominican Republic	Jarabacoa	2000	32.5%	79.1%	5.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Jarabacoa	2017	73.5%	97.5%	25.7%
Dominican Republic	Jima Abajo	2000	20.8%	73.2%	0.8%
Dominican Republic	Jima Abajo	2017	61.3%	97.7%	11.3%
Dominican Republic	Jimaní	2000	27.7%	64.4%	3.0%
Dominican Republic	Jimaní	2017	68.5%	95.6%	27.2%
Dominican Republic	Juan de Herrera	2000	12.8%	32.5%	3.5%
Dominican Republic	Juan de Herrera	2017	69.9%	87.2%	42.1%
Dominican Republic	Juan Santiago	2000	19.9%	65.0%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Juan Santiago	2017	60.6%	97.4%	9.8%
Dominican Republic	La Cienaga	2000	27.1%	80.2%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	La Cienaga	2017	66.7%	98.2%	11.6%
Dominican Republic	La Descubierta	2000	26.7%	70.0%	2.8%
Dominican Republic	La Descubierta	2017	79.9%	98.0%	39.6%
Dominican Republic	La Isabela	2000	27.1%	73.3%	2.1%
Dominican Republic	La Isabela	2017	69.3%	97.7%	21.3%
Dominican Republic	La Laguna de Nisibón	2000	29.9%	79.8%	2.4%
Dominican Republic	La Laguna de Nisibón	2017	69.9%	98.5%	22.4%
Dominican Republic	La Mata	2000	11.6%	36.3%	1.7%
Dominican Republic	La Mata	2017	49.3%	84.6%	13.3%
Dominican Republic	La Romana	2000	27.4%	61.4%	5.1%
Dominican Republic	La Romana	2017	82.0%	97.3%	48.6%
Dominican Republic	Laguna Salada	2000	30.1%	79.1%	2.9%
Dominican Republic	Laguna Salada	2017	76.7%	98.0%	29.5%
Dominican Republic	Las Charcas	2000	23.3%	61.3%	1.6%
Dominican Republic	Las Charcas	2017	64.2%	96.1%	19.8%
Dominican Republic	Las Guaranas	2000	18.6%	69.5%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Las Guaranas	2017	58.5%	97.7%	5.9%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Farfan	2000	16.3%	47.8%	2.4%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Farfan	2017	63.2%	91.1%	26.6%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Santa Cruz	2000	7.7%	54.4%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Santa Cruz	2017	20.4%	72.1%	1.7%
Dominican Republic	Las Salinas	2000	32.3%	92.0%	0.9%
Dominican Republic	Las Salinas	2017	74.2%	99.6%	15.3%
Dominican Republic	Las Terrenas	2000	16.4%	58.6%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Las Terrenas	2017	51.3%	94.9%	7.4%
Dominican Republic	Las Yayas de Viajama	2000	20.8%	60.2%	2.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Yayas de Viajama	2017	65.3%	94.3%	22.7%
Dominican Republic	Licey al Medio	2000	14.0%	73.9%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Licey al Medio	2017	54.8%	97.1%	10.3%
Dominican Republic	Loma de Cabrera	2000	18.9%	52.5%	2.5%
Dominican Republic	Loma de Cabrera	2017	65.6%	94.5%	23.9%
Dominican Republic	Los Alcarrizos	2000	0.3%	2.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Alcarrizos	2017	3.5%	11.2%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Los Almácigos	2000	26.4%	75.5%	0.9%
Dominican Republic	Los Almácigos	2017	68.8%	98.6%	12.3%
Dominican Republic	Los Cacaos	2000	23.3%	71.7%	0.8%
Dominican Republic	Los Cacaos	2017	60.9%	95.3%	16.1%
Dominican Republic	Los Hidalgos	2000	29.5%	83.1%	0.7%
Dominican Republic	Los Hidalgos	2017	70.4%	99.1%	10.4%
Dominican Republic	Los Llanos	2000	26.8%	68.2%	4.8%
Dominican Republic	Los Llanos	2017	67.2%	95.1%	32.5%
Dominican Republic	Los Rios	2000	24.0%	79.9%	0.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Los Rios	2017	63.9%	98.6%	7.6%
Dominican Republic	Luperon	2000	27.5%	68.2%	1.9%
Dominican Republic	Luperon	2017	68.0%	95.9%	21.3%
Dominican Republic	Maimón	2000	25.6%	76.6%	1.0%
Dominican Republic	Maimón	2017	69.4%	98.5%	15.7%
Dominican Republic	Mao	2000	22.0%	56.1%	3.4%
Dominican Republic	Mao	2017	68.6%	95.4%	26.6%
Dominican Republic	Mella	2000	25.2%	65.8%	2.3%
Dominican Republic	Mella	2017	65.9%	95.6%	23.7%
Dominican Republic	Miches	2000	21.6%	63.6%	2.1%
Dominican Republic	Miches	2017	61.5%	95.2%	18.7%
Dominican Republic	Moca	2000	12.2%	32.4%	3.2%
Dominican Republic	Moca	2017	51.0%	85.5%	23.9%
Dominican Republic	Monción	2000	19.5%	74.7%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Monción	2017	58.0%	97.6%	4.9%
Dominican Republic	Monte Plata	2000	16.9%	43.4%	4.3%
Dominican Republic	Monte Plata	2017	63.4%	89.2%	29.2%
Dominican Republic	Montellano	2000	31.8%	78.0%	3.6%
Dominican Republic	Montellano	2017	83.9%	98.7%	36.9%
Dominican Republic	Nagua	2000	28.6%	62.7%	9.8%
Dominican Republic	Nagua	2017	72.6%	94.8%	31.7%
Dominican Republic	Neyba	2000	15.4%	43.5%	1.3%
Dominican Republic	Neyba	2017	66.8%	91.8%	25.7%
Dominican Republic	Nigua	2000	26.4%	82.5%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Nigua	2017	66.5%	98.9%	8.0%
Dominican Republic	Nizao	2000	28.7%	89.0%	0.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Nizao	2017	71.0%	99.5%	9.3%
Dominican Republic	Padre Las Casas	2000	22.7%	57.1%	4.8%
Dominican Republic	Padre Las Casas	2017	66.3%	93.5%	28.0%
Dominican Republic	Paraiso	2000	25.1%	79.1%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Paraiso	2017	63.5%	98.3%	10.0%
Dominican Republic	Partido	2000	19.5%	60.4%	1.1%
Dominican Republic	Partido	2017	65.5%	96.4%	14.1%
Dominican Republic	Pedernales	2000	22.3%	46.9%	6.5%
Dominican Republic	Pedernales	2017	63.6%	85.6%	36.0%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Brand	2000	9.3%	35.2%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Brand	2017	34.2%	79.9%	5.7%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Santana	2000	25.3%	61.2%	2.8%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Santana	2017	66.6%	95.6%	25.2%
Dominican Republic	Pepillo Salcedo	2000	25.5%	78.0%	1.1%
Dominican Republic	Pepillo Salcedo	2017	65.6%	98.8%	19.7%
Dominican Republic	Peralta	2000	24.2%	66.1%	2.2%
Dominican Republic	Peralta	2017	71.2%	97.8%	22.8%
Dominican Republic	Piedra Blanca	2000	24.0%	57.6%	3.3%
Dominican Republic	Piedra Blanca	2017	72.5%	96.4%	32.2%
Dominican Republic	Pimentel	2000	10.4%	46.7%	0.7%
Dominican Republic	Pimentel	2017	49.5%	94.0%	9.5%
Dominican Republic	Polo	2000	28.8%	82.2%	1.6%
Dominican Republic	Polo	2017	70.7%	98.5%	21.9%
Dominican Republic	Postrer Rio	2000	24.5%	73.4%	1.0%
Dominican Republic	Postrer Rio	2017	65.6%	98.6%	15.7%
Dominican Republic	Pueblo Viejo	2000	29.7%	68.2%	3.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Pueblo Viejo	2017	76.0%	97.2%	37.9%
Dominican Republic	Puñal	2000	17.4%	68.7%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Puñal	2017	59.2%	98.5%	8.6%
Dominican Republic	Quisquella	2000	23.4%	70.5%	1.3%
Dominican Republic	Quisquella	2017	71.0%	97.8%	21.8%
Dominican Republic	Ramón Santana	2000	28.7%	73.8%	1.9%
Dominican Republic	Ramón Santana	2017	68.4%	98.2%	24.0%
Dominican Republic	Rancho Arriba	2000	26.5%	82.6%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Rancho Arriba	2017	65.4%	98.5%	8.2%
Dominican Republic	Restauración	2000	28.0%	75.0%	2.2%
Dominican Republic	Restauración	2017	66.7%	97.7%	19.9%
Dominican Republic	Rio San Juan	2000	27.8%	76.4%	2.9%
Dominican Republic	Rio San Juan	2017	68.4%	98.1%	25.5%
Dominican Republic	Sabana de la Mar	2000	27.5%	69.5%	4.1%
Dominican Republic	Sabana de la Mar	2017	69.7%	96.8%	16.4%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Boyá	2000	27.6%	76.8%	2.6%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Boyá	2017	69.5%	96.9%	24.3%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Palenque	2000	27.2%	93.3%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Palenque	2017	68.1%	99.8%	7.5%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Iglesia	2000	28.2%	85.3%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Iglesia	2017	70.2%	99.0%	14.3%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Larga	2000	33.5%	65.4%	9.9%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Larga	2017	82.8%	96.5%	59.6%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Yegua	2000	34.6%	87.6%	1.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Sabana Yegua	2017	79.3%	99.1%	22.5%
Dominican Republic	Salcedo	2000	28.6%	64.3%	4.8%
Dominican Republic	Salcedo	2017	79.3%	96.8%	44.3%
Dominican Republic	Salvaleón de Higüey	2000	18.5%	31.2%	8.8%
Dominican Republic	Salvaleón de Higüey	2017	66.0%	82.0%	50.5%
Dominican Republic	San Cristóbal	2000	19.4%	48.6%	1.3%
Dominican Republic	San Cristóbal	2017	60.7%	82.2%	22.0%
Dominican Republic	San Felipe de Puerto Plata	2000	47.4%	74.9%	20.2%
Dominican Republic	San Felipe de Puerto Plata	2017	90.8%	98.3%	74.6%
Dominican Republic	San Fernando de Monte Cristi	2000	26.8%	62.5%	4.7%
Dominican Republic	San Fernando de Monte Cristi	2017	67.9%	93.4%	32.3%
Dominican Republic	San Francisco de Macorís	2000	20.8%	55.5%	4.4%
Dominican Republic	San Francisco de Macorís	2017	74.2%	94.7%	41.4%
Dominican Republic	San Gregorio de Yaguata	2000	30.2%	84.9%	0.8%
Dominican Republic	San Gregorio de Yaguata	2017	70.3%	99.1%	14.5%
Dominican Republic	San Ignacio de Sabaneta	2000	19.0%	52.0%	3.0%
Dominican Republic	San Ignacio de Sabaneta	2017	59.7%	89.4%	21.8%
Dominican Republic	San José de Las Matas	2000	24.4%	54.9%	6.8%
Dominican Republic	San José de Las Matas	2017	66.8%	91.4%	31.9%
Dominican Republic	San José de Ocoa	2000	28.7%	64.3%	5.9%
Dominican Republic	San José de Ocoa	2017	73.8%	94.6%	35.7%
Dominican Republic	San Juan de la Maguana	2000	20.8%	47.9%	5.8%
Dominican Republic	San Juan de la Maguana	2017	69.9%	90.3%	38.8%
Dominican Republic	San Pedro de Macorís	2000	23.9%	46.7%	6.6%
Dominican Republic	San Pedro de Macorís	2017	82.1%	94.4%	57.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	San Rafael del Yuma	2000	26.0%	58.4%	5.5%
Dominican Republic	San Rafael del Yuma	2017	67.4%	92.3%	35.9%
Dominican Republic	Sánchez	2000	35.0%	77.4%	6.5%
Dominican Republic	Sánchez	2017	72.3%	96.6%	28.9%
Dominican Republic	Santa Bárbara de Samaná	2000	33.8%	59.4%	11.3%
Dominican Republic	Santa Bárbara de Samaná	2017	67.9%	87.2%	38.1%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz de Barahona	2000	23.7%	71.4%	2.7%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz de Barahona	2017	77.6%	98.0%	19.4%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz del Seybo	2000	26.6%	55.6%	7.5%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz del Seybo	2017	70.3%	90.4%	39.3%
Dominican Republic	Santiago de los Caballeros	2000	37.8%	46.3%	30.0%
Dominican Republic	Santiago de los Caballeros	2017	89.8%	94.5%	82.7%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Este	2000	28.8%	86.0%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Este	2017	71.6%	99.0%	12.5%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Norte	2000	10.2%	24.1%	4.2%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Norte	2017	39.7%	51.5%	27.9%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Oeste	2000	6.3%	26.2%	0.7%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Oeste	2017	41.7%	65.5%	14.5%
Dominican Republic	Sosua	2000	27.3%	69.5%	3.1%
Dominican Republic	Sosua	2017	71.9%	97.1%	27.3%
Dominican Republic	Tamayo	2000	31.4%	79.9%	2.1%
Dominican Republic	Tamayo	2017	70.9%	96.6%	22.7%
Dominican Republic	Tamboril	2000	20.7%	69.8%	0.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Tamboril	2017	71.4%	96.3%	18.8%
Dominican Republic	Tenares	2000	22.2%	59.6%	2.4%
Dominican Republic	Tenares	2017	73.5%	96.8%	34.3%
Dominican Republic	Vallejuelo	2000	24.3%	76.9%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	Vallejuelo	2017	63.4%	98.1%	11.0%
Dominican Republic	Vicente Noble	2000	32.1%	82.3%	1.5%
Dominican Republic	Vicente Noble	2017	70.3%	97.8%	20.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Altagracia	2000	21.8%	63.9%	1.4%
Dominican Republic	Villa Altagracia	2017	61.2%	92.3%	15.5%
Dominican Republic	Villa Bisonó	2000	61.2%	97.1%	13.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Bisonó	2017	89.8%	99.9%	46.3%
Dominican Republic	Villa Gonzalez	2000	87.8%	97.0%	70.8%
Dominican Republic	Villa Gonzalez	2017	98.4%	99.9%	92.6%
Dominican Republic	Villa Hermosa	2000	16.9%	33.9%	6.5%
Dominican Republic	Villa Hermosa	2017	84.6%	94.4%	67.2%
Dominican Republic	Villa Jaragua	2000	24.1%	73.0%	0.5%
Dominican Republic	Villa Jaragua	2017	68.5%	98.0%	7.7%
Dominican Republic	Villa Rivas	2000	27.9%	71.0%	2.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Rivas	2017	66.4%	97.8%	16.8%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tabara Arriba	2000	25.0%	61.8%	2.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tabara Arriba	2017	70.0%	96.6%	20.7%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tapia	2000	18.0%	64.1%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tapia	2017	59.1%	96.1%	13.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Vázquez	2000	35.1%	65.1%	10.7%
Dominican Republic	Villa Vázquez	2017	67.4%	90.0%	41.8%
Dominican Republic	Yamasá	2000	10.0%	26.1%	1.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Yamasá	2017	45.2%	75.8%	20.2%
Ecuador	24 De Mayo	2000	57.7%	64.6%	57.2%
Ecuador	24 De Mayo	2017	58.7%	58.9%	58.4%
Ecuador	Aguarico	2000	99.1%	99.2%	98.9%
Ecuador	Aguarico	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Ecuador	Alausí	2000	38.7%	39.1%	38.3%
Ecuador	Alausí	2017	39.2%	39.5%	38.9%
Ecuador	Alfredo Baquerizo Moreno	2000	27.6%	27.6%	27.6%
Ecuador	Alfredo Baquerizo Moreno	2017	28.8%	28.8%	28.8%
Ecuador	Ambato	2000	84.5%	84.7%	84.2%
Ecuador	Ambato	2017	78.6%	81.4%	75.0%
Ecuador	Antonio Ante	2000	64.4%	67.5%	56.4%
Ecuador	Antonio Ante	2017	55.1%	60.1%	53.8%
Ecuador	Arajuno	2000	54.3%	56.4%	52.1%
Ecuador	Arajuno	2017	51.6%	55.7%	46.2%
Ecuador	Archidona	2000	64.8%	68.8%	57.8%
Ecuador	Archidona	2017	71.1%	77.6%	62.4%
Ecuador	Arenillas	2000	0.9%	1.0%	0.8%
Ecuador	Arenillas	2017	0.7%	0.8%	0.6%
Ecuador	Atacames	2000	87.7%	87.8%	87.6%
Ecuador	Atacames	2017	89.0%	89.0%	89.0%
Ecuador	Atahualpa	2000	76.7%	89.4%	74.5%
Ecuador	Atahualpa	2017	77.9%	84.1%	77.5%
Ecuador	Azogues	2000	52.7%	59.9%	49.4%
Ecuador	Azogues	2017	50.0%	50.1%	49.9%
Ecuador	Baba	2000	81.0%	81.6%	80.9%
Ecuador	Baba	2017	80.2%	80.2%	80.2%
Ecuador	Babahoyo	2000	48.3%	48.6%	48.2%
Ecuador	Babahoyo	2017	54.0%	54.1%	54.0%
Ecuador	Balao	2000	98.6%	98.6%	98.6%
Ecuador	Balao	2017	98.3%	98.3%	98.3%
Ecuador	Balsas	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Balsas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Balzar	2000	15.5%	17.9%	14.2%
Ecuador	Balzar	2017	18.3%	19.8%	17.5%
Ecuador	Baños de Agua Santa	2000	92.8%	92.9%	92.5%
Ecuador	Baños de Agua Santa	2017	93.3%	93.4%	93.1%
Ecuador	Biblián	2000	53.1%	53.1%	53.1%
Ecuador	Biblián	2017	52.3%	52.3%	52.2%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2000	75.1%	75.3%	74.7%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2000	81.6%	84.4%	80.4%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2017	74.4%	75.4%	70.6%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2017	82.8%	84.6%	82.4%
Ecuador	Buena Fé	2000	67.3%	70.2%	66.7%
Ecuador	Buena Fé	2017	68.5%	69.5%	68.2%
Ecuador	Caluma	2000	74.9%	76.1%	74.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Caluma	2017	77.4%	77.8%	77.3%
Ecuador	Calvas	2000	73.6%	76.3%	69.9%
Ecuador	Calvas	2017	71.2%	73.8%	68.2%
Ecuador	Camilo Ponce Enriquez	2000	99.6%	99.6%	99.6%
Ecuador	Camilo Ponce Enriquez	2017	99.6%	99.6%	99.6%
Ecuador	Cañar	2000	74.9%	75.0%	74.7%
Ecuador	Cañar	2017	77.4%	77.9%	75.4%
Ecuador	Carlos Julio Arosemena Tola	2000	33.6%	40.2%	28.8%
Ecuador	Carlos Julio Arosemena Tola	2017	23.6%	35.1%	17.9%
Ecuador	Cascales	2000	63.4%	64.6%	56.2%
Ecuador	Cascales	2017	55.8%	57.1%	49.9%
Ecuador	Catamayo	2000	66.8%	67.4%	66.2%
Ecuador	Catamayo	2017	70.3%	71.1%	69.9%
Ecuador	Cayambe	2000	68.0%	69.0%	67.4%
Ecuador	Cayambe	2017	68.5%	69.5%	68.3%
Ecuador	Celica	2000	40.6%	48.8%	24.8%
Ecuador	Celica	2017	35.4%	44.0%	20.4%
Ecuador	Centinela del Cóndor	2000	51.3%	52.2%	50.4%
Ecuador	Centinela del Cóndor	2017	52.4%	53.2%	51.1%
Ecuador	Cevallos	2000	15.8%	16.0%	14.6%
Ecuador	Cevallos	2017	7.4%	14.4%	0.9%
Ecuador	Chaguarpamba	2000	73.2%	75.3%	70.3%
Ecuador	Chaguarpamba	2017	68.8%	71.5%	67.7%
Ecuador	Chambo	2000	8.5%	8.5%	8.4%
Ecuador	Chambo	2017	9.0%	9.0%	9.0%
Ecuador	Chilla	2000	67.2%	67.2%	66.7%
Ecuador	Chilla	2017	64.2%	64.6%	60.9%
Ecuador	Chillanes	2000	86.9%	87.0%	86.9%
Ecuador	Chillanes	2017	87.1%	87.1%	87.1%
Ecuador	Chimbo	2000	77.5%	80.6%	70.9%
Ecuador	Chimbo	2017	65.7%	67.8%	65.0%
Ecuador	Chinchi	2000	29.6%	44.5%	25.7%
Ecuador	Chinchi	2017	24.3%	49.0%	20.9%
Ecuador	Chone	2000	30.6%	32.4%	29.1%
Ecuador	Chone	2017	27.9%	30.1%	26.5%
Ecuador	Chordeleg	2000	76.6%	76.7%	76.5%
Ecuador	Chordeleg	2017	76.8%	76.9%	76.6%
Ecuador	Chunchi	2000	80.1%	80.8%	80.1%
Ecuador	Chunchi	2017	80.3%	80.3%	80.3%
Ecuador	Colimes	2000	43.5%	52.6%	34.4%
Ecuador	Colimes	2017	43.8%	49.7%	36.8%
Ecuador	Colta	2000	43.3%	45.6%	39.9%
Ecuador	Colta	2017	41.0%	44.8%	38.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Coronel Marcelino Maridueña	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Coronel Marcelino Maridueña	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Cotacachi	2000	43.7%	44.8%	42.6%
Ecuador	Cotacachi	2017	43.8%	44.8%	42.3%
Ecuador	Cuenca	2000	82.9%	83.0%	82.6%
Ecuador	Cuenca	2017	80.4%	80.5%	80.3%
Ecuador	Cumanda	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Cumanda	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Cuyabeno	2000	64.2%	66.2%	58.3%
Ecuador	Cuyabeno	2017	68.1%	70.5%	63.2%
Ecuador	Daule	2000	82.0%	82.0%	82.0%
Ecuador	Daule	2017	68.9%	68.9%	68.4%
Ecuador	Déleg	2000	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%
Ecuador	Déleg	2017	5.6%	5.6%	5.6%
Ecuador	Durán	2000	33.9%	33.9%	33.9%
Ecuador	Durán	2017	33.7%	33.7%	33.7%
Ecuador	Echeandía	2000	85.8%	85.8%	85.8%
Ecuador	Echeandía	2017	83.7%	83.7%	83.7%
Ecuador	El Carmen	2000	28.8%	30.2%	28.3%
Ecuador	El Carmen	2017	33.7%	34.9%	31.7%
Ecuador	El Chaco	2000	80.9%	81.7%	80.6%
Ecuador	El Chaco	2017	84.9%	85.3%	84.8%
Ecuador	El Empalme	2000	32.2%	33.3%	30.1%
Ecuador	El Empalme	2017	38.2%	41.0%	35.5%
Ecuador	El Guabo	2000	83.3%	88.0%	80.2%
Ecuador	El Guabo	2017	80.6%	82.6%	79.9%
Ecuador	El Pan	2000	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%
Ecuador	El Pan	2017	24.5%	24.5%	24.5%
Ecuador	El Panguí	2000	34.2%	42.2%	29.8%
Ecuador	El Panguí	2017	28.3%	34.8%	25.5%
Ecuador	El Tambo	2000	95.3%	95.3%	95.3%
Ecuador	El Tambo	2017	95.8%	95.8%	95.8%
Ecuador	El Triunfo	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	El Triunfo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Eloy Alfaro	2000	58.5%	63.0%	55.3%
Ecuador	Eloy Alfaro	2017	58.7%	64.2%	55.5%
Ecuador	Esmeraldas	2000	97.1%	97.1%	97.1%
Ecuador	Esmeraldas	2017	97.4%	97.5%	97.3%
Ecuador	Espejo	2000	67.0%	68.1%	65.3%
Ecuador	Espejo	2017	68.3%	71.4%	60.8%
Ecuador	Espíndola	2000	63.5%	70.4%	54.6%
Ecuador	Espíndola	2017	63.6%	68.7%	57.5%
Ecuador	Flavio Alfaro	2000	1.1%	1.2%	1.1%
Ecuador	Flavio Alfaro	2017	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%
Ecuador	General Antonio Elizalde	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	General Antonio Elizalde	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Girón	2000	72.8%	77.7%	72.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Girón	2017	70.3%	70.5%	69.8%
Ecuador	Gonzalo Pizarro	2000	30.7%	33.0%	27.8%
Ecuador	Gonzalo Pizarro	2017	27.6%	29.0%	26.1%
Ecuador	Gonzanamá	2000	60.5%	64.3%	57.4%
Ecuador	Gonzanamá	2017	59.7%	61.9%	56.8%
Ecuador	Guachapala	2000	28.1%	29.2%	27.8%
Ecuador	Guachapala	2017	36.8%	37.0%	36.8%
Ecuador	Gualaceo	2000	10.7%	10.8%	10.2%
Ecuador	Gualaceo	2017	18.7%	19.4%	18.4%
Ecuador	Gualaquiza	2000	70.5%	72.0%	69.4%
Ecuador	Gualaquiza	2017	71.8%	72.6%	70.8%
Ecuador	Guamote	2000	25.3%	26.7%	23.4%
Ecuador	Guamote	2017	23.5%	25.7%	22.1%
Ecuador	Guano	2000	40.8%	42.8%	38.9%
Ecuador	Guano	2017	39.4%	40.8%	37.0%
Ecuador	Guaranda	2000	77.0%	77.2%	76.1%
Ecuador	Guaranda	2017	77.2%	77.5%	76.0%
Ecuador	Guayaquil	2000	73.7%	74.1%	73.1%
Ecuador	Guayaquil	2017	77.8%	78.0%	77.6%
Ecuador	Huamboya	2000	21.1%	21.8%	21.0%
Ecuador	Huamboya	2017	20.7%	21.0%	17.8%
Ecuador	Huaquillas	2000	73.0%	73.0%	73.0%
Ecuador	Huaquillas	2017	77.1%	77.1%	77.1%
Ecuador	Ibarra	2000	65.2%	66.3%	64.0%
Ecuador	Ibarra	2017	67.3%	68.5%	66.6%
Ecuador	Isabela	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Isabela	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Isidro Ayora	2000	67.4%	71.1%	65.0%
Ecuador	Isidro Ayora	2017	61.3%	67.0%	59.8%
Ecuador	Jama	2000	99.1%	99.9%	95.4%
Ecuador	Jama	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.6%
Ecuador	Jaramijó	2000	23.1%	23.3%	22.7%
Ecuador	Jaramijó	2017	41.3%	49.4%	32.7%
Ecuador	Jipijapa	2000	77.7%	79.4%	74.9%
Ecuador	Jipijapa	2017	77.8%	79.3%	76.8%
Ecuador	Junín	2000	76.6%	76.8%	75.8%
Ecuador	Junín	2017	79.1%	81.4%	65.1%
Ecuador	La Concordia	2000	23.0%	37.5%	19.3%
Ecuador	La Concordia	2017	16.5%	38.8%	14.6%
Ecuador	La Joya de los Sachas	2000	6.3%	23.8%	3.9%
Ecuador	La Joya de los Sachas	2017	3.9%	10.3%	3.5%
Ecuador	La Libertad	2000	99.7%	99.7%	99.7%
Ecuador	La Libertad	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Ecuador	La Maná	2000	86.7%	87.2%	86.3%
Ecuador	La Maná	2017	87.6%	88.1%	87.1%
Ecuador	La Troncal	2000	83.3%	83.3%	83.3%
Ecuador	La Troncal	2017	87.3%	87.3%	87.3%
Ecuador	Lago Agrio	2000	71.9%	72.7%	71.0%
Ecuador	Lago Agrio	2017	71.0%	72.0%	69.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Las Lajas	2000	7.8%	10.0%	4.9%
Ecuador	Las Lajas	2017	6.9%	10.7%	5.8%
Ecuador	Las Navas	2000	83.8%	83.8%	83.8%
Ecuador	Las Navas	2017	86.5%	86.5%	86.5%
Ecuador	Latacunga	2000	52.1%	55.2%	50.4%
Ecuador	Latacunga	2017	50.5%	50.7%	50.3%
Ecuador	Limón In-	2000	55.9%	56.9%	54.4%
	danza				
Ecuador	Limón In-	2017	57.7%	58.8%	56.3%
	danza				
Ecuador	Logroño	2000	83.8%	84.6%	78.4%
Ecuador	Logroño	2017	86.6%	88.1%	82.2%
Ecuador	Loja	2000	70.4%	70.8%	70.1%
Ecuador	Loja	2017	52.7%	52.9%	52.2%
Ecuador	Lomas de Sar-	2000	23.8%	25.2%	23.6%
	gentillo				
Ecuador	Lomas de Sar-	2017	24.7%	24.7%	24.7%
	gentillo				
Ecuador	Loreto	2000	38.7%	49.1%	32.2%
Ecuador	Loreto	2017	45.3%	57.7%	39.4%
Ecuador	Macará	2000	4.1%	4.1%	4.1%
Ecuador	Macará	2017	4.3%	4.3%	4.3%
Ecuador	Machala	2000	52.4%	62.8%	45.6%
Ecuador	Machala	2017	43.0%	46.2%	40.0%
Ecuador	Manta	2000	46.0%	46.1%	45.7%
Ecuador	Manta	2017	38.6%	43.9%	33.1%
Ecuador	Marcabelí	2000	67.3%	67.9%	66.6%
Ecuador	Marcabelí	2017	70.4%	71.4%	70.1%
Ecuador	Mejía	2000	55.5%	56.0%	55.4%
Ecuador	Mejía	2000	9.8%	11.2%	9.7%
Ecuador	Mejía	2017	8.8%	10.1%	8.8%
Ecuador	Mejía	2017	65.7%	65.8%	65.5%
Ecuador	Mera	2000	30.5%	31.6%	30.2%
Ecuador	Mera	2017	27.0%	28.3%	24.9%
Ecuador	Milagro	2000	87.3%	87.3%	87.3%
Ecuador	Milagro	2017	89.3%	89.3%	89.3%
Ecuador	Mira	2000	42.7%	44.9%	38.7%
Ecuador	Mira	2017	39.7%	47.8%	38.5%
Ecuador	Mocache	2000	91.9%	92.0%	91.2%
Ecuador	Mocache	2017	93.7%	94.1%	93.2%
Ecuador	Mocha	2000	76.9%	77.2%	75.6%
Ecuador	Mocha	2017	69.6%	77.2%	62.7%
Ecuador	Montalvo	2000	53.8%	53.8%	53.8%
Ecuador	Montalvo	2017	55.8%	55.8%	55.8%
Ecuador	Montecristi	2000	26.6%	26.7%	26.1%
Ecuador	Montecristi	2017	17.4%	25.4%	8.9%
Ecuador	Montúfar	2000	85.6%	85.6%	85.6%
Ecuador	Montúfar	2017	86.7%	86.7%	86.6%
Ecuador	Morona	2000	63.9%	64.2%	63.6%
Ecuador	Morona	2017	66.7%	68.7%	55.6%
Ecuador	Muisne	2000	73.3%	75.0%	71.7%
Ecuador	Muisne	2017	72.4%	73.4%	71.5%
Ecuador	Nabón	2000	31.7%	34.8%	26.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Nabón	2017	26.8%	33.8%	24.2%
Ecuador	Nangaritza	2000	29.7%	32.1%	27.8%
Ecuador	Nangaritza	2017	26.5%	29.0%	24.8%
Ecuador	Naranjal	2000	72.3%	72.8%	72.3%
Ecuador	Naranjal	2017	64.1%	64.6%	63.7%
Ecuador	Naranjito	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Naranjito	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Nobol	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Nobol	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2000	88.8%	91.0%	78.6%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2000	68.3%	68.7%	61.5%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2017	70.0%	71.0%	55.4%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2017	83.1%	91.0%	73.1%
Ecuador	Oña	2000	59.1%	59.1%	59.0%
Ecuador	Oña	2017	52.1%	52.2%	52.1%
Ecuador	Orellana	2000	27.1%	27.7%	26.6%
Ecuador	Orellana	2017	23.5%	24.1%	23.1%
Ecuador	Otavalo	2000	53.2%	53.3%	52.9%
Ecuador	Otavalo	2017	60.5%	61.0%	59.9%
Ecuador	Pablo Sexto	2000	59.7%	61.7%	55.9%
Ecuador	Pablo Sexto	2017	51.4%	53.5%	48.2%
Ecuador	Paján	2000	45.2%	52.0%	40.9%
Ecuador	Paján	2017	42.3%	47.3%	38.8%
Ecuador	Palanda	2000	58.5%	65.6%	55.6%
Ecuador	Palanda	2017	49.1%	54.0%	45.9%
Ecuador	Palenque	2000	75.5%	80.1%	73.0%
Ecuador	Palenque	2017	78.0%	80.9%	76.8%
Ecuador	Palestina	2000	62.5%	69.7%	60.0%
Ecuador	Palestina	2017	63.7%	69.6%	59.6%
Ecuador	Pallatanga	2000	38.4%	38.4%	38.4%
Ecuador	Pallatanga	2017	37.0%	37.0%	37.0%
Ecuador	Palora	2000	49.6%	54.5%	46.3%
Ecuador	Palora	2017	48.1%	51.5%	33.8%
Ecuador	Paltas	2000	54.9%	57.3%	52.9%
Ecuador	Paltas	2017	52.2%	54.5%	50.1%
Ecuador	Pangua	2000	83.5%	84.1%	82.3%
Ecuador	Pangua	2017	84.8%	86.1%	83.9%
Ecuador	Paquisha	2000	16.7%	22.8%	16.3%
Ecuador	Paquisha	2017	14.4%	17.5%	14.2%
Ecuador	Pasaje	2000	81.0%	84.0%	79.4%
Ecuador	Pasaje	2017	80.9%	82.1%	80.4%
Ecuador	Pastaza	2000	18.1%	18.4%	17.9%
Ecuador	Pastaza	2017	17.8%	18.0%	17.6%
Ecuador	Patate	2000	95.0%	95.0%	95.0%
Ecuador	Patate	2017	95.0%	95.0%	94.9%
Ecuador	Paute	2000	14.8%	17.4%	12.4%
Ecuador	Paute	2017	23.9%	26.9%	22.2%
Ecuador	Pedernales	2000	53.1%	55.9%	50.7%
Ecuador	Pedernales	2017	52.1%	54.8%	49.8%
Ecuador	Pedro Carbo	2000	45.7%	57.8%	16.2%
Ecuador	Pedro Carbo	2017	38.4%	56.2%	10.3%
Ecuador	Pedro Moncayo	2000	29.3%	31.6%	28.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Pedro Moncayo	2017	29.3%	31.8%	29.0%
Ecuador	Pedro Vicente Maldonado	2000	73.4%	77.5%	67.2%
Ecuador	Pedro Vicente Maldonado	2017	74.7%	78.2%	69.4%
Ecuador	Penipe	2000	35.2%	35.3%	35.2%
Ecuador	Penipe	2017	30.9%	31.8%	27.2%
Ecuador	Pichincha	2000	13.6%	26.5%	8.8%
Ecuador	Pichincha	2017	12.4%	22.8%	8.3%
Ecuador	Pimampiro	2000	76.0%	77.4%	75.6%
Ecuador	Pimampiro	2017	79.6%	80.6%	79.3%
Ecuador	Piñas	2000	67.1%	68.1%	66.7%
Ecuador	Piñas	2017	70.1%	70.5%	69.3%
Ecuador	Pindal	2000	12.9%	21.7%	12.1%
Ecuador	Pindal	2017	13.0%	18.4%	12.8%
Ecuador	Playas	2000	70.0%	75.2%	66.1%
Ecuador	Playas	2017	75.8%	79.5%	73.6%
Ecuador	Portovelo	2000	74.7%	77.0%	74.1%
Ecuador	Portovelo	2017	76.7%	78.1%	64.9%
Ecuador	Portoviejo	2000	43.5%	44.5%	42.7%
Ecuador	Portoviejo	2017	50.4%	51.0%	49.8%
Ecuador	Pucará	2000	73.1%	76.1%	68.2%
Ecuador	Pucará	2017	74.7%	77.4%	72.2%
Ecuador	Pueblo Viejo	2000	83.9%	84.4%	82.8%
Ecuador	Pueblo Viejo	2017	81.8%	82.6%	81.5%
Ecuador	Puerto López	2000	73.1%	76.5%	70.5%
Ecuador	Puerto López	2017	78.7%	84.9%	72.2%
Ecuador	Puerto Quito	2000	29.6%	30.6%	24.7%
Ecuador	Puerto Quito	2017	31.5%	35.2%	24.3%
Ecuador	Pujilí	2000	75.8%	76.7%	75.3%
Ecuador	Pujilí	2017	78.3%	79.6%	78.1%
Ecuador	Putumayo	2000	39.3%	41.6%	36.0%
Ecuador	Putumayo	2017	40.7%	42.9%	36.2%
Ecuador	Puyango	2000	53.0%	54.2%	52.1%
Ecuador	Puyango	2017	52.8%	54.7%	50.5%
Ecuador	Quero	2000	40.9%	41.9%	39.2%
Ecuador	Quero	2017	39.3%	40.6%	38.9%
Ecuador	Quevedo	2000	84.6%	84.8%	84.1%
Ecuador	Quevedo	2017	86.4%	86.8%	86.2%
Ecuador	Quijos	2000	73.0%	74.6%	69.5%
Ecuador	Quijos	2017	72.9%	74.4%	70.7%
Ecuador	Quilanga	2000	79.6%	81.8%	78.6%
Ecuador	Quilanga	2017	81.5%	81.7%	80.9%
Ecuador	Quinindé	2000	43.3%	47.4%	41.2%
Ecuador	Quinindé	2017	44.7%	49.8%	42.9%
Ecuador	Quinsaloma	2000	85.4%	87.6%	81.3%
Ecuador	Quinsaloma	2017	82.5%	86.7%	75.7%
Ecuador	Quito	2000	72.3%	74.1%	70.8%
Ecuador	Quito	2017	72.2%	74.4%	71.0%
Ecuador	Río Verde	2000	61.1%	73.7%	57.4%
Ecuador	Río Verde	2017	62.4%	73.9%	59.8%
Ecuador	Riobamba	2000	54.3%	55.1%	53.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Riobamba	2017	61.7%	62.2%	60.5%
Ecuador	Rocafuerte	2000	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Ecuador	Rocafuerte	2017	25.9%	25.9%	25.9%
Ecuador	Rumiñahui	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Rumiñahui	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Salcedo	2000	62.1%	62.6%	61.0%
Ecuador	Salcedo	2017	59.3%	61.3%	58.5%
Ecuador	Salinas	2000	62.2%	71.4%	61.7%
Ecuador	Salinas	2017	64.3%	64.6%	64.2%
Ecuador	Samborondón	2000	57.1%	58.3%	55.6%
Ecuador	Samborondón	2017	45.6%	46.6%	45.0%
Ecuador	San Cristóbal	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	San Cristóbal	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	San Fernando	2000	59.6%	72.4%	50.5%
Ecuador	San Fernando	2017	54.1%	57.0%	23.1%
Ecuador	San Jacinto de Yaguachi	2000	68.3%	68.4%	68.2%
Ecuador	San Jacinto de Yaguachi	2017	69.0%	69.1%	69.0%
Ecuador	San Juan Bosco	2000	72.1%	72.6%	70.1%
Ecuador	San Juan Bosco	2017	73.0%	73.6%	71.4%
Ecuador	San Lorenzo	2000	46.3%	47.4%	44.2%
Ecuador	San Lorenzo	2017	51.0%	52.0%	49.2%
Ecuador	San Miguel	2000	88.1%	89.5%	86.2%
Ecuador	San Miguel	2017	83.7%	84.3%	83.5%
Ecuador	San Miguel de los Bancos	2000	46.8%	51.7%	43.4%
Ecuador	San Miguel de los Bancos	2017	51.1%	53.9%	47.8%
Ecuador	San Miguel de Urcuquí	2000	5.9%	14.8%	4.0%
Ecuador	San Miguel de Urcuquí	2017	5.0%	6.4%	4.4%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Huaca	2000	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Huaca	2017	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Pelileo	2000	85.0%	89.5%	76.9%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Pelileo	2017	79.2%	85.3%	78.0%
Ecuador	San Vicente	2000	41.6%	45.1%	32.7%
Ecuador	San Vicente	2017	34.7%	40.3%	24.2%
Ecuador	Santa Ana	2000	44.8%	53.3%	38.9%
Ecuador	Santa Ana	2017	42.0%	46.8%	36.4%
Ecuador	Santa Clara	2000	28.5%	30.6%	24.6%
Ecuador	Santa Clara	2017	18.8%	21.1%	16.9%
Ecuador	Santa Cruz	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Santa Cruz	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Santa Elena	2000	31.6%	32.1%	29.5%
Ecuador	Santa Elena	2017	35.7%	37.2%	33.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Santa Isabel	2000	90.5%	90.5%	90.5%
Ecuador	Santa Isabel	2017	89.8%	89.8%	89.8%
Ecuador	Santa Lucia	2000	77.3%	77.4%	77.3%
Ecuador	Santa Lucia	2017	81.6%	81.8%	80.1%
Ecuador	Santa Rosa	2000	11.0%	12.4%	7.2%
Ecuador	Santa Rosa	2017	8.3%	10.6%	5.4%
Ecuador	Santiago	2000	55.9%	58.8%	54.4%
Ecuador	Santiago	2017	64.5%	67.2%	63.4%
Ecuador	Santiago de Pillaro	2000	8.2%	8.2%	8.2%
Ecuador	Santiago de Pillaro	2017	8.2%	8.3%	8.1%
Ecuador	Santo Domingo	2000	58.6%	60.5%	54.8%
Ecuador	Santo Domingo	2017	54.6%	58.4%	48.6%
Ecuador	Saquisili	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Saquisili	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2000	25.6%	25.6%	25.6%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2017	24.6%	24.6%	24.6%
Ecuador	Saraguro	2000	57.6%	58.0%	54.9%
Ecuador	Saraguro	2017	58.8%	60.0%	53.8%
Ecuador	Sevilla de Oro	2000	43.4%	45.0%	43.3%
Ecuador	Sevilla de Oro	2017	46.6%	47.9%	46.5%
Ecuador	Shushufindi	2000	56.0%	58.4%	50.6%
Ecuador	Shushufindi	2017	56.4%	60.8%	52.3%
Ecuador	Sigchos	2000	49.9%	53.7%	47.6%
Ecuador	Sigchos	2017	51.5%	55.8%	50.4%
Ecuador	Sigsig	2000	47.8%	49.0%	46.4%
Ecuador	Sigsig	2017	48.3%	50.0%	46.6%
Ecuador	Simon Bolivar	2000	88.1%	88.1%	88.1%
Ecuador	Simon Bolivar	2017	87.5%	87.5%	87.5%
Ecuador	Sozoranga	2000	27.8%	30.2%	20.9%
Ecuador	Sozoranga	2017	24.7%	29.0%	20.0%
Ecuador	Sucre	2000	18.9%	20.2%	15.8%
Ecuador	Sucre	2017	17.8%	20.5%	14.5%
Ecuador	Sucúa	2000	83.3%	84.2%	83.2%
Ecuador	Sucúa	2017	89.5%	90.0%	89.3%
Ecuador	Sucumbíos	2000	46.7%	48.1%	46.1%
Ecuador	Sucumbíos	2017	44.1%	45.5%	43.7%
Ecuador	Suscal	2000	36.1%	42.8%	26.0%
Ecuador	Suscal	2017	25.3%	31.7%	24.2%
Ecuador	Taisha	2000	94.9%	95.2%	94.6%
Ecuador	Taisha	2017	93.8%	93.9%	93.5%
Ecuador	Tena	2000	67.2%	73.6%	43.3%
Ecuador	Tena	2017	61.9%	72.7%	33.6%
Ecuador	Tisaleo	2000	55.0%	55.6%	52.1%
Ecuador	Tisaleo	2017	36.2%	53.5%	20.3%
Ecuador	Tiwintza	2000	50.0%	50.9%	49.5%
Ecuador	Tiwintza	2017	43.5%	44.5%	43.0%
Ecuador	Tosagua	2000	1.3%	5.7%	0.8%
Ecuador	Tosagua	2017	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%
Ecuador	Tulcán	2000	74.0%	74.2%	73.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Tulcán	2017	75.0%	75.3%	74.9%
Ecuador	Urbina Jado	2000	75.0%	77.4%	73.0%
Ecuador	Urbina Jado	2017	72.7%	75.1%	72.2%
Ecuador	Urdaneta	2000	27.9%	32.5%	24.0%
Ecuador	Urdaneta	2017	31.3%	32.6%	31.1%
Ecuador	Valencia	2000	44.5%	45.7%	42.7%
Ecuador	Valencia	2017	51.5%	53.3%	48.9%
Ecuador	Ventanas	2000	81.9%	82.8%	81.0%
Ecuador	Ventanas	2017	81.8%	83.1%	81.1%
Ecuador	Vinces	2000	92.3%	92.3%	92.2%
Ecuador	Vinces	2017	91.0%	91.0%	90.4%
Ecuador	Yacuambi	2000	67.1%	69.7%	65.6%
Ecuador	Yacuambi	2017	59.1%	62.2%	56.7%
Ecuador	Yantzaza	2000	63.7%	66.6%	60.3%
Ecuador	Yantzaza	2017	65.9%	68.8%	63.3%
Ecuador	Zamora	2000	60.2%	62.9%	58.6%
Ecuador	Zamora	2017	65.0%	65.9%	64.5%
Ecuador	Zapotillo	2000	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%
Ecuador	Zapotillo	2017	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%
Ecuador	Zaruma	2000	87.7%	87.8%	87.6%
Ecuador	Zaruma	2017	88.8%	88.9%	88.6%
El Salvador	Acajutla	2000	47.1%	76.9%	31.7%
El Salvador	Acajutla	2017	47.3%	78.3%	30.6%
El Salvador	Agua Caliente	2000	29.1%	78.9%	10.5%
El Salvador	Agua Caliente	2017	28.0%	80.3%	9.7%
El Salvador	Aguilares	2000	68.7%	96.4%	41.2%
El Salvador	Aguilares	2017	67.3%	95.2%	39.7%
El Salvador	Ahuachapán	2000	38.3%	78.4%	1.3%
El Salvador	Ahuachapán	2017	36.4%	76.0%	1.0%
El Salvador	Alegría	2000	57.5%	90.3%	1.9%
El Salvador	Alegría	2017	55.9%	89.8%	1.6%
El Salvador	Anamorós	2000	26.2%	85.3%	0.2%
El Salvador	Anamorós	2017	24.4%	85.6%	0.1%
El Salvador	Antiguo Cuscatlán	2000	50.4%	51.9%	48.8%
El Salvador	Antiguo Cuscatlán	2017	46.0%	47.3%	44.7%
El Salvador	Apaneca	2000	21.2%	54.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	Apaneca	2017	21.8%	50.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Apastepeque	2000	32.0%	84.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Apastepeque	2017	32.2%	83.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Apopa	2000	61.0%	63.8%	49.2%
El Salvador	Apopa	2017	60.0%	64.4%	37.7%
El Salvador	Arambala	2000	78.8%	100.0%	18.0%
El Salvador	Arambala	2017	76.8%	100.0%	17.8%
El Salvador	Arcatao	2000	45.4%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Arcatao	2017	45.3%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Armenia	2000	34.6%	72.5%	0.4%
El Salvador	Armenia	2017	33.7%	69.2%	0.4%
El Salvador	Atiquizaya	2000	16.3%	37.9%	5.8%
El Salvador	Atiquizaya	2017	14.3%	35.4%	5.1%
El Salvador	Ayutuxtepeque	2000	71.7%	72.1%	71.4%
El Salvador	Ayutuxtepeque	2017	71.9%	72.3%	71.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Azacualpa	2000	54.8%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Azacualpa	2017	58.6%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Berlín	2000	32.4%	62.3%	5.2%
El Salvador	Berlín	2017	33.6%	64.4%	6.1%
El Salvador	Bolívar	2000	13.8%	79.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Bolívar	2017	13.7%	78.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Cacaopera	2000	48.0%	94.7%	7.2%
El Salvador	Cacaopera	2017	46.7%	94.6%	6.2%
El Salvador	California	2000	42.8%	100.0%	0.6%
El Salvador	California	2017	40.7%	100.0%	0.5%
El Salvador	Caluco	2000	26.2%	96.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Caluco	2017	26.7%	97.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Candelaria	2000	11.0%	58.9%	0.6%
El Salvador	Candelaria	2017	10.4%	59.9%	0.1%
El Salvador	Candelaria de la Frontera	2000	59.0%	93.7%	17.4%
El Salvador	Candelaria de la Frontera	2017	57.8%	94.2%	15.6%
El Salvador	Carolina	2000	72.5%	100.0%	17.8%
El Salvador	Carolina	2017	72.9%	100.0%	18.8%
El Salvador	Chalatenango	2000	66.0%	91.9%	37.2%
El Salvador	Chalatenango	2017	63.8%	89.1%	35.9%
El Salvador	Chalchuapa	2000	76.1%	94.7%	37.9%
El Salvador	Chalchuapa	2017	75.4%	95.0%	42.0%
El Salvador	Chapeltique	2000	23.7%	83.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Chapeltique	2017	23.4%	84.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Chilanga	2000	46.9%	99.7%	4.9%
El Salvador	Chilanga	2017	46.4%	99.6%	4.2%
El Salvador	Chiltiupán	2000	34.1%	70.6%	5.8%
El Salvador	Chiltiupán	2017	36.6%	71.6%	5.0%
El Salvador	Chinameca	2000	61.3%	87.9%	32.4%
El Salvador	Chinameca	2017	53.2%	87.7%	29.8%
El Salvador	Chirilagua	2000	30.0%	63.5%	4.2%
El Salvador	Chirilagua	2017	29.6%	65.3%	4.6%
El Salvador	Cinquera	2000	19.2%	82.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Cinquera	2017	18.3%	81.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Citalá	2000	44.4%	100.0%	33.7%
El Salvador	Citalá	2017	44.9%	100.0%	30.8%
El Salvador	Ciudad Arce	2000	51.3%	89.3%	20.6%
El Salvador	Ciudad Arce	2017	55.6%	90.2%	28.1%
El Salvador	Ciudad Barrios	2000	65.6%	96.5%	13.4%
El Salvador	Ciudad Barrios	2017	67.4%	96.5%	12.9%
El Salvador	Coatepeque	2000	61.1%	92.7%	21.3%
El Salvador	Coatepeque	2017	62.6%	93.8%	20.9%
El Salvador	Cojutepeque	2000	1.6%	5.1%	0.3%
El Salvador	Cojutepeque	2017	1.5%	5.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Colón	2000	60.8%	95.7%	3.8%
El Salvador	Colón	2017	60.3%	96.0%	2.7%
El Salvador	Comacarán	2000	16.7%	99.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Comacarán	2017	16.7%	98.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Comalapa	2000	13.1%	53.0%	4.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Comalapa	2017	14.0%	58.6%	5.3%
El Salvador	Comasagua	2000	41.9%	86.5%	0.4%
El Salvador	Comasagua	2017	42.2%	83.1%	0.4%
El Salvador	Concepción	2000	27.5%	91.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Batres				
El Salvador	Concepción	2017	27.5%	88.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	Batres				
El Salvador	Concepción de Ataco	2000	22.7%	74.8%	0.1%
El Salvador	Concepción de Ataco	2017	22.1%	73.3%	0.1%
El Salvador	Concepción de Oriente	2000	19.4%	53.5%	0.0%
El Salvador	Concepción de Oriente	2017	19.7%	54.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	Concepción	2000	74.0%	98.7%	63.0%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque				
El Salvador	Concepción	2017	67.6%	98.7%	50.6%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque				
El Salvador	Conchagua	2000	30.5%	63.8%	8.2%
El Salvador	Conchagua	2017	30.8%	62.6%	8.5%
El Salvador	Corinto	2000	76.6%	99.8%	16.9%
El Salvador	Corinto	2017	75.7%	99.8%	13.4%
El Salvador	Cuisnahuat	2000	17.9%	61.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	Cuisnahuat	2017	17.7%	62.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Cuscatancingo	2000	69.2%	70.4%	68.1%
El Salvador	Cuscatancingo	2017	65.8%	66.9%	64.7%
El Salvador	Cuyultitán	2000	57.1%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Cuyultitán	2017	56.6%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Delgado	2000	97.5%	97.7%	96.9%
El Salvador	Delgado	2017	97.6%	97.9%	96.4%
El Salvador	Delicias de Concepción	2000	81.6%	99.3%	40.3%
El Salvador	Delicias de Concepción	2017	79.9%	99.2%	38.8%
El Salvador	Dolores	2000	55.4%	94.2%	15.8%
El Salvador	Dolores	2017	53.6%	95.5%	14.1%
El Salvador	Dulce Nombre de María	2000	31.2%	64.6%	12.8%
El Salvador	Dulce Nombre de María	2017	36.6%	68.1%	17.4%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2000	34.4%	80.3%	1.2%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2000	0.9%	4.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2017	0.8%	4.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2017	35.3%	81.6%	1.2%
El Salvador	El Carrizal	2000	24.6%	85.3%	8.4%
El Salvador	El Carrizal	2017	26.4%	83.9%	10.8%
El Salvador	El Congo	2000	41.0%	69.7%	8.1%
El Salvador	El Congo	2017	38.4%	64.9%	6.7%
El Salvador	El Divisadero	2000	38.0%	97.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Divisadero	2017	37.8%	96.7%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	El Paisnal	2000	35.0%	73.1%	1.8%
El Salvador	El Paisnal	2017	30.6%	76.7%	0.8%
El Salvador	El Paraíso	2000	22.0%	98.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Paraíso	2017	22.0%	98.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Porvenir	2000	74.5%	100.0%	6.4%
El Salvador	El Porvenir	2017	74.8%	100.0%	6.2%
El Salvador	El Refugio	2000	89.7%	96.0%	5.7%
El Salvador	El Refugio	2017	88.2%	94.8%	5.7%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	34.3%	93.1%	11.1%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	35.0%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	7.2%	90.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	36.8%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	33.5%	94.0%	10.5%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	7.2%	90.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Sauce	2000	1.4%	19.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Sauce	2017	1.4%	19.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Tránsito	2000	35.7%	88.3%	0.3%
El Salvador	El Tránsito	2017	35.2%	85.2%	0.3%
El Salvador	El Triunfo	2000	26.6%	91.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Triunfo	2017	25.8%	92.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Embalse Cer- ron Grande	2000	44.3%	76.7%	17.9%
El Salvador	Embalse Cer- ron Grande	2017	40.9%	72.2%	14.4%
El Salvador	Ereguayquín	2000	44.4%	99.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Ereguayquín	2017	44.7%	99.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Estanzuelas	2000	10.7%	62.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Estanzuelas	2017	10.9%	57.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Guacotecti	2000	28.1%	93.5%	0.0%
El Salvador	Guacotecti	2017	27.8%	94.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Guadalupe	2000	32.0%	99.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Guadalupe	2017	32.1%	99.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Gualococti	2000	28.8%	96.5%	12.1%
El Salvador	Gualococti	2017	28.5%	94.7%	11.8%
El Salvador	Guatajiagua	2000	25.1%	76.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Guatajiagua	2017	24.5%	75.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	Guaymango	2000	13.0%	54.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Guaymango	2017	12.8%	53.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Guazapa	2000	69.2%	96.0%	29.5%
El Salvador	Guazapa	2017	69.0%	94.8%	29.2%
El Salvador	Huizúcar	2000	6.3%	17.3%	2.6%
El Salvador	Huizúcar	2017	5.6%	12.5%	3.3%
El Salvador	Ilobasco	2000	45.4%	85.1%	1.8%
El Salvador	Ilobasco	2017	46.4%	85.3%	1.8%
El Salvador	Ilopango	2000	97.2%	97.6%	97.1%
El Salvador	Ilopango	2017	97.2%	97.7%	97.0%
El Salvador	Intipucá	2000	17.2%	73.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Intipucá	2017	17.2%	71.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	Izalco	2000	58.8%	83.8%	39.6%
El Salvador	Izalco	2017	58.0%	82.4%	41.1%
El Salvador	Jayaque	2000	53.3%	97.9%	22.6%
El Salvador	Jayaque	2017	51.0%	98.0%	16.3%
El Salvador	Jerusalén	2000	27.5%	74.3%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Jerusalén	2017	26.9%	73.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Jicalapa	2000	42.2%	99.7%	0.9%
El Salvador	Jicalapa	2017	42.1%	99.6%	0.8%
El Salvador	Jiquilisco	2000	34.6%	64.2%	11.9%
El Salvador	Jiquilisco	2017	33.9%	66.7%	11.5%
El Salvador	Joateca	2000	54.1%	100.0%	7.8%
El Salvador	Joateca	2017	53.4%	100.0%	7.4%
El Salvador	Jocoaitique	2000	60.3%	100.0%	2.4%
El Salvador	Jocoaitique	2017	60.4%	100.0%	1.7%
El Salvador	Jocoro	2000	20.4%	86.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Jocoro	2017	19.5%	88.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Juayúa	2000	58.9%	89.0%	15.4%
El Salvador	Juayúa	2017	58.4%	88.2%	14.1%
El Salvador	Jucuapa	2000	26.1%	91.9%	0.1%
El Salvador	Jucuapa	2017	23.5%	91.7%	0.1%
El Salvador	Jucuarán	2000	51.7%	89.0%	14.8%
El Salvador	Jucuarán	2017	51.8%	88.7%	15.0%
El Salvador	Jujutla	2000	26.7%	70.3%	0.8%
El Salvador	Jujutla	2017	27.0%	68.2%	0.7%
El Salvador	Jutiapa	2000	21.2%	84.8%	0.1%
El Salvador	Jutiapa	2017	20.4%	80.5%	0.2%
El Salvador	La Laguna	2000	12.2%	97.7%	1.1%
El Salvador	La Laguna	2017	12.3%	97.8%	1.4%
El Salvador	La Libertad	2000	48.5%	80.4%	26.2%
El Salvador	La Libertad	2017	52.1%	80.4%	26.3%
El Salvador	La Palma	2000	54.0%	92.4%	30.9%
El Salvador	La Palma	2017	55.8%	92.9%	31.8%
El Salvador	La Reina	2000	35.5%	81.0%	10.2%
El Salvador	La Reina	2017	36.3%	79.6%	11.3%
El Salvador	La Unión	2000	28.1%	63.8%	7.9%
El Salvador	La Unión	2017	28.2%	65.3%	7.4%
El Salvador	Lago de Coatepeque	2000	49.7%	100.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	Lago de Coatepeque	2017	48.1%	100.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	Lago de Guija	2000	51.4%	99.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Lago de Guija	2017	45.5%	99.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Lago de Llopango	2000	40.5%	99.6%	19.6%
El Salvador	Lago de Llopango	2017	39.3%	99.6%	18.7%
El Salvador	Las Vueltas	2000	38.2%	83.6%	21.5%
El Salvador	Las Vueltas	2017	40.0%	83.3%	21.9%
El Salvador	Lislique	2000	61.1%	99.9%	6.1%
El Salvador	Lislique	2017	60.5%	99.9%	6.3%
El Salvador	Lolotique	2000	37.6%	92.7%	2.1%
El Salvador	Lolotique	2017	33.8%	93.8%	2.9%
El Salvador	Lolotiquillo	2000	42.0%	99.7%	1.1%
El Salvador	Lolotiquillo	2017	41.4%	99.7%	1.0%
El Salvador	Masahuat	2000	55.5%	99.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Masahuat	2017	54.0%	99.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	Meanguera	2000	60.6%	100.0%	7.3%
El Salvador	Meanguera	2017	59.9%	100.0%	8.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Meanguera del Golfo	2000	45.5%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Meanguera del Golfo	2017	45.9%	99.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Mejicanos	2000	38.0%	38.1%	38.0%
El Salvador	Mejicanos	2017	41.1%	41.2%	41.1%
El Salvador	Mercedes La Ceiba	2000	35.6%	94.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Mercedes La Ceiba	2017	35.2%	94.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Mercedes Umaña	2000	16.3%	78.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	Mercedes Umaña	2017	16.2%	77.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Metapán	2000	41.0%	78.3%	14.9%
El Salvador	Metapán	2017	41.5%	75.7%	16.8%
El Salvador	Moncagua	2000	61.2%	98.2%	7.3%
El Salvador	Moncagua	2017	63.3%	98.4%	7.5%
El Salvador	Monte San Juan	2000	7.6%	29.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Monte San Juan	2017	8.9%	35.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nahuizalco	2000	26.4%	37.7%	22.2%
El Salvador	Nahuizalco	2017	24.3%	32.7%	21.3%
El Salvador	Nahulingo	2000	91.8%	100.0%	79.5%
El Salvador	Nahulingo	2017	92.2%	100.0%	80.5%
El Salvador	Nejapa	2000	63.0%	70.2%	56.4%
El Salvador	Nejapa	2017	60.7%	68.0%	54.0%
El Salvador	Nombre de Jesús	2000	39.7%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nombre de Jesús	2017	40.7%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nueva Concepción	2000	34.4%	66.4%	5.4%
El Salvador	Nueva Concepción	2017	33.2%	67.2%	5.1%
El Salvador	Nueva Esparta	2000	59.2%	96.5%	18.9%
El Salvador	Nueva Esparta	2017	56.2%	95.6%	16.6%
El Salvador	Nueva Granada	2000	24.5%	74.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nueva Granada	2017	24.3%	73.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nueva Guadalupe	2000	24.1%	98.7%	0.7%
El Salvador	Nueva Guadalupe	2017	21.8%	98.4%	0.4%
El Salvador	Nueva San Salvador	2000	64.2%	87.4%	46.0%
El Salvador	Nueva San Salvador	2017	60.1%	85.8%	41.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Nueva Trinidad	2000	44.6%	97.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nueva Trinidad	2017	48.3%	98.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nuevo Cuscatlán	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nuevo Cuscatlán	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nuevo Edén de San Juan	2000	54.3%	94.0%	16.6%
El Salvador	Nuevo Edén de San Juan	2017	53.7%	93.1%	16.6%
El Salvador	Ojos de Agua	2000	32.2%	64.2%	17.0%
El Salvador	Ojos de Agua	2017	32.7%	68.0%	16.7%
El Salvador	Olocuilta	2000	50.3%	94.9%	0.1%
El Salvador	Olocuilta	2017	50.0%	95.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Opico	2000	45.3%	79.2%	10.5%
El Salvador	Opico	2017	50.8%	83.4%	9.8%
El Salvador	Oratorio de Concepción	2000	49.8%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Oratorio de Concepción	2017	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Osicala	2000	37.7%	100.0%	9.8%
El Salvador	Osicala	2017	37.1%	100.0%	8.7%
El Salvador	Ozatlán	2000	38.9%	95.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Ozatlán	2017	40.3%	95.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Panchimalco	2000	10.2%	31.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Panchimalco	2017	10.3%	32.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	Paraíso de Osorio	2000	29.4%	91.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Paraíso de Osorio	2017	30.9%	90.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Pasaquina	2000	2.0%	16.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Pasaquina	2017	1.8%	19.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Perquín	2000	73.7%	100.0%	30.7%
El Salvador	Perquín	2017	68.3%	100.0%	23.4%
El Salvador	Polorós	2000	45.2%	89.1%	7.3%
El Salvador	Polorós	2017	43.3%	88.8%	5.0%
El Salvador	Potonico	2000	49.7%	100.0%	0.1%
El Salvador	Potonico	2017	50.0%	100.0%	0.1%
El Salvador	Puerto El Triunfo	2000	19.7%	98.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Puerto El Triunfo	2017	20.1%	97.5%	0.0%
El Salvador	Quelepa	2000	60.8%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Quelepa	2017	61.0%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque	2000	56.1%	90.3%	9.1%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque	2017	55.4%	89.6%	8.8%
El Salvador	Rosario de Mora	2000	12.7%	55.5%	0.2%
El Salvador	Rosario de Mora	2017	10.2%	45.2%	0.2%
El Salvador	Sacacoyo	2000	60.7%	100.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Sacacoyo	2017	58.6%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Salcoatitán	2000	41.2%	100.0%	0.4%
El Salvador	Salcoatitán	2017	45.5%	100.0%	0.3%
El Salvador	San Agustín	2000	38.9%	89.0%	2.8%
El Salvador	San Agustín	2017	40.2%	88.9%	2.2%
El Salvador	San Alejo	2000	14.1%	53.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Alejo	2017	13.7%	50.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio	2000	65.9%	100.0%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Antonio	2017	65.7%	100.0%	0.9%
El Salvador	San Antonio de la Cruz	2000	44.9%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio de la Cruz	2017	49.6%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio del Monte	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.7%
El Salvador	San Antonio del Monte	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.7%
El Salvador	San Antonio Los Ranchos	2000	27.5%	99.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio Los Ranchos	2017	26.8%	99.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio Masahuat	2000	19.9%	82.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio Masahuat	2017	20.0%	81.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio Pajonal	2000	36.9%	99.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio Pajonal	2017	37.1%	99.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Bartolomé Perulapía	2000	48.2%	90.1%	3.9%
El Salvador	San Bartolomé Perulapía	2017	45.9%	88.1%	3.6%
El Salvador	San Buenaventura	2000	26.4%	89.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Buenaventura	2017	24.5%	88.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Carlos	2000	51.8%	97.9%	1.0%
El Salvador	San Carlos	2017	51.3%	97.7%	0.9%
El Salvador	San Cayetano Istepeque	2000	36.7%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Cayetano Istepeque	2017	33.9%	99.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Cristóbal	2000	16.4%	99.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Cristóbal	2017	15.0%	99.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Dionisio	2000	39.7%	99.8%	0.8%
El Salvador	San Dionisio	2017	42.2%	99.7%	0.6%
El Salvador	San Emigdio	2000	16.5%	99.5%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Emigdio	2017	16.1%	99.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Esteban Catarina	2000	37.8%	82.5%	0.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Esteban Catarina	2017	38.3%	83.8%	0.3%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2000	55.6%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2000	89.9%	100.0%	77.2%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2017	55.4%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2017	90.2%	100.0%	76.3%
El Salvador	San Francisco Chinameca	2000	45.1%	96.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Francisco Chinameca	2017	45.8%	97.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Francisco Gotera	2000	61.2%	99.4%	12.7%
El Salvador	San Francisco Gotera	2017	60.6%	99.3%	11.3%
El Salvador	San Francisco Javier	2000	28.0%	87.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Francisco Javier	2017	29.4%	86.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Francisco Lempa	2000	47.7%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Francisco Lempa	2017	50.7%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Francisco Menéndez	2000	29.8%	64.3%	4.3%
El Salvador	San Francisco Menéndez	2017	29.5%	64.3%	4.6%
El Salvador	San Francisco Morazán	2000	46.6%	73.5%	27.7%
El Salvador	San Francisco Morazán	2017	45.7%	72.4%	26.9%
El Salvador	San Gerardo	2000	57.0%	100.0%	2.8%
El Salvador	San Gerardo	2017	56.7%	100.0%	2.3%
El Salvador	San Ignacio	2000	30.2%	86.7%	3.9%
El Salvador	San Ignacio	2017	31.5%	89.2%	4.1%
El Salvador	San Ildefonso	2000	18.0%	67.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Ildefonso	2017	17.8%	68.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2000	32.3%	99.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2000	41.0%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2017	32.2%	98.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2017	38.7%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Isidro Labrador	2000	48.2%	83.1%	7.1%
El Salvador	San Isidro Labrador	2017	59.3%	95.9%	7.5%
El Salvador	San Jorge	2000	44.4%	100.0%	4.2%
El Salvador	San Jorge	2017	43.8%	100.0%	4.5%
El Salvador	San José	2000	3.5%	19.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	San José	2017	3.5%	19.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	San José Canasque	2000	41.8%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San José Canasque	2017	42.2%	100.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San José Guayabal	2000	42.3%	99.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	San José Guayabal	2017	42.4%	99.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	San José Las Flores	2000	44.0%	99.7%	4.3%
El Salvador	San José Las Flores	2017	63.2%	99.5%	7.5%
El Salvador	San José Villanueva	2000	45.1%	58.6%	34.0%
El Salvador	San José Villanueva	2017	43.9%	50.9%	38.6%
El Salvador	San Juan Nonualco	2000	68.2%	89.8%	33.8%
El Salvador	San Juan Nonualco	2017	65.1%	88.1%	33.7%
El Salvador	San Juan Talpa	2000	46.5%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Juan Talpa	2017	47.9%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Juan Tepezontes	2000	26.5%	99.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Juan Tepezontes	2017	26.6%	99.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Julián	2000	22.1%	91.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Julián	2017	21.6%	90.5%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2000	19.2%	93.2%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2000	18.9%	56.7%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2017	17.7%	53.1%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2017	18.4%	93.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Luis de la Reina	2000	55.1%	100.0%	10.0%
El Salvador	San Luis de la Reina	2017	56.1%	100.0%	10.2%
El Salvador	San Luis del Carmen	2000	36.7%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Luis del Carmen	2017	37.8%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Luis La Herradura	2000	26.0%	81.2%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Luis La Herradura	2017	26.0%	80.5%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Luis Talpa	2000	31.0%	64.2%	2.2%
El Salvador	San Luis Talpa	2017	28.6%	59.3%	3.1%
El Salvador	San Marcos	2000	4.1%	4.1%	4.1%
El Salvador	San Marcos	2017	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%
El Salvador	San Martín	2000	72.5%	97.4%	21.9%
El Salvador	San Martín	2017	71.1%	97.1%	22.6%
El Salvador	San Matías	2000	27.2%	86.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Matías	2017	25.4%	85.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Miguel	2000	58.0%	83.9%	15.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Miguel	2017	57.4%	84.8%	13.3%
El Salvador	San Miguel de Mercedes	2000	59.6%	99.8%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Miguel de Mercedes	2017	59.7%	99.8%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Miguel Tepezontes	2000	26.9%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Miguel Tepezontes	2017	26.9%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Pablo Tacachico	2000	44.9%	93.8%	4.6%
El Salvador	San Pablo Tacachico	2017	43.8%	95.0%	4.7%
El Salvador	San Pedro Masahuat	2000	27.2%	76.2%	0.9%
El Salvador	San Pedro Masahuat	2017	24.2%	72.4%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Pedro Nonualco	2000	43.6%	95.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Pedro Nonualco	2017	45.0%	96.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Pedro Perulapán	2000	28.4%	66.4%	0.8%
El Salvador	San Pedro Perulapán	2017	26.7%	65.1%	0.6%
El Salvador	San Pedro Puxtla	2000	11.5%	57.2%	2.3%
El Salvador	San Pedro Puxtla	2017	11.2%	55.1%	2.5%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2000	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2000	16.1%	82.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2017	16.0%	80.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2017	49.7%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Rafael Cedros	2000	7.8%	28.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Rafael Cedros	2017	7.0%	25.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Rafael Obrajuelo	2000	80.9%	99.1%	34.7%
El Salvador	San Rafael Obrajuelo	2017	80.1%	98.9%	34.9%
El Salvador	San Ramón	2000	3.6%	14.3%	1.3%
El Salvador	San Ramón	2017	2.3%	13.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Salvador	2000	67.4%	68.2%	66.6%
El Salvador	San Salvador	2017	64.5%	65.3%	63.8%
El Salvador	San Sebastián	2000	39.5%	96.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Sebastián	2017	39.1%	96.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Sebastián Salitrillo	2000	74.4%	100.0%	1.8%
El Salvador	San Sebastián Salitrillo	2017	75.1%	100.0%	1.6%
El Salvador	San Simón	2000	53.0%	100.0%	22.5%
El Salvador	San Simón	2017	48.6%	100.0%	20.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Vicente	2000	35.6%	74.9%	6.1%
El Salvador	San Vicente	2017	35.0%	74.7%	6.0%
El Salvador	Santa Ana	2000	58.2%	92.7%	25.5%
El Salvador	Santa Ana	2017	58.3%	92.5%	24.0%
El Salvador	Santa Catarina Masahuat	2000	46.3%	58.7%	11.2%
El Salvador	Santa Catarina Masahuat	2017	43.4%	54.4%	10.2%
El Salvador	Santa Clara	2000	33.6%	74.9%	0.1%
El Salvador	Santa Clara	2017	32.6%	75.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Analquito	2000	10.2%	85.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Analquito	2017	9.9%	83.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Michapa	2000	29.6%	50.5%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Michapa	2017	33.3%	56.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa Elena	2000	40.4%	96.2%	0.4%
El Salvador	Santa Elena	2017	40.9%	96.4%	0.3%
El Salvador	Santa Isabel Ishuatán	2000	31.0%	74.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa Isabel Ishuatán	2017	28.7%	74.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa María	2000	28.5%	83.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa María	2017	30.0%	86.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa María Ostuma	2000	47.0%	82.8%	0.1%
El Salvador	Santa María Ostuma	2017	48.4%	83.4%	0.1%
El Salvador	Santa Rita	2000	35.2%	75.7%	19.7%
El Salvador	Santa Rita	2017	35.0%	80.9%	18.0%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	13.5%	47.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	11.9%	43.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa Guachipilín	2000	41.3%	99.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa Guachipilín	2017	40.8%	99.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santiago de la Frontera	2000	45.6%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santiago de la Frontera	2017	45.1%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santiago de María	2000	77.9%	99.0%	15.0%
El Salvador	Santiago de María	2017	76.7%	98.7%	12.7%
El Salvador	Santiago Nonualco	2000	44.2%	83.3%	11.6%
El Salvador	Santiago Nonualco	2017	44.3%	82.1%	11.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Santiago Texacuangos	2000	52.8%	100.0%	17.6%
El Salvador	Santiago Texacuangos	2017	52.5%	100.0%	16.7%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2000	36.1%	95.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2000	35.7%	74.7%	27.6%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2017	32.4%	95.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2017	36.2%	69.4%	29.0%
El Salvador	Santo Tomás	2000	12.3%	23.6%	4.3%
El Salvador	Santo Tomás	2017	12.9%	25.1%	4.3%
El Salvador	Sensembra	2000	38.4%	99.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Sensembra	2017	39.7%	99.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Sensuntepeque	2000	44.9%	86.0%	15.3%
El Salvador	Sensuntepeque	2017	44.3%	82.6%	14.2%
El Salvador	Sesori	2000	36.9%	76.6%	2.0%
El Salvador	Sesori	2017	36.5%	75.7%	1.6%
El Salvador	Sociedad	2000	45.8%	92.3%	18.3%
El Salvador	Sociedad	2017	43.2%	93.2%	15.5%
El Salvador	Sonsonate	2000	58.9%	76.5%	40.1%
El Salvador	Sonsonate	2017	60.3%	77.8%	42.3%
El Salvador	Sonzacate	2000	87.3%	87.5%	87.1%
El Salvador	Sonzacate	2017	82.0%	82.3%	81.7%
El Salvador	Soyapango	2000	98.2%	98.2%	98.2%
El Salvador	Soyapango	2017	98.1%	98.1%	98.1%
El Salvador	Suchitoto	2000	32.9%	70.5%	5.1%
El Salvador	Suchitoto	2017	31.9%	70.5%	3.7%
El Salvador	Tacuba	2000	36.5%	64.3%	10.5%
El Salvador	Tacuba	2017	31.3%	57.8%	9.1%
El Salvador	Talnique	2000	16.4%	58.6%	0.8%
El Salvador	Talnique	2017	14.9%	51.7%	0.5%
El Salvador	Tamanique	2000	37.7%	83.1%	6.7%
El Salvador	Tamanique	2017	43.2%	81.3%	5.0%
El Salvador	Tapalhuaca	2000	29.4%	98.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Tapalhuaca	2017	28.7%	98.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Tecapán	2000	42.4%	99.7%	18.3%
El Salvador	Tecapán	2017	39.8%	99.6%	16.0%
El Salvador	Tecoluca	2000	23.9%	58.8%	4.5%
El Salvador	Tecoluca	2017	23.7%	57.5%	4.4%
El Salvador	Tejutepeque	2000	17.4%	90.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Tejutepeque	2017	15.5%	90.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Tejutla	2000	22.3%	66.5%	0.0%
El Salvador	Tejutla	2017	25.6%	71.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Tenancingo	2000	21.2%	87.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Tenancingo	2017	21.2%	86.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	Teotepeque	2000	23.8%	70.0%	5.8%
El Salvador	Teotepeque	2017	23.3%	68.5%	5.3%
El Salvador	Tepecoyo	2000	68.3%	94.4%	45.6%
El Salvador	Tepecoyo	2017	68.3%	94.3%	45.1%
El Salvador	Tepetitán	2000	35.6%	97.2%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Tepetitán	2017	34.2%	96.5%	0.0%
El Salvador	Texistepeque	2000	38.1%	85.3%	2.1%
El Salvador	Texistepeque	2017	37.9%	84.4%	2.2%
El Salvador	Tonacatepeque	2000	96.0%	100.0%	85.0%
El Salvador	Tonacatepeque	2017	95.0%	100.0%	78.3%
El Salvador	Torola	2000	64.7%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Torola	2017	68.5%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Turín	2000	3.7%	17.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Turín	2017	3.6%	17.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Uluazapa	2000	18.6%	82.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Uluazapa	2017	18.7%	80.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Usulután	2000	27.6%	73.9%	4.8%
El Salvador	Usulután	2017	28.6%	72.7%	6.2%
El Salvador	Verapaz	2000	34.5%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Verapaz	2017	34.7%	100.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Victoria	2000	54.9%	97.8%	6.7%
El Salvador	Victoria	2017	53.3%	96.9%	6.2%
El Salvador	Yamabal	2000	42.8%	88.9%	14.2%
El Salvador	Yamabal	2017	41.5%	85.9%	12.5%
El Salvador	Yayantique	2000	22.5%	85.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Yayantique	2017	21.6%	85.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Yoloaiquín	2000	60.8%	98.4%	16.0%
El Salvador	Yoloaiquín	2017	60.0%	98.1%	14.1%
El Salvador	Yucuaiquín	2000	17.8%	83.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Yucuaiquín	2017	17.4%	82.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Zacatecoluca	2000	64.3%	90.2%	33.1%
El Salvador	Zacatecoluca	2017	62.1%	88.5%	31.9%
El Salvador	Zaragoza	2000	58.9%	67.5%	50.6%
El Salvador	Zaragoza	2017	47.8%	54.9%	42.3%
Guatemala	Acatenango	2000	10.7%	37.7%	0.8%
Guatemala	Acatenango	2017	62.3%	86.9%	33.3%
Guatemala	Agua Blanca	2000	11.6%	41.1%	1.4%
Guatemala	Agua Blanca	2017	73.6%	94.6%	39.8%
Guatemala	Aguacatán	2000	3.1%	16.8%	0.1%
Guatemala	Aguacatán	2017	41.8%	72.4%	12.6%
Guatemala	Almolonga	2000	14.5%	36.7%	2.4%
Guatemala	Almolonga	2017	96.1%	97.7%	91.6%
Guatemala	Alotenango	2000	4.4%	11.5%	2.1%
Guatemala	Alotenango	2017	74.8%	88.5%	58.4%
Guatemala	Amatitlán	2000	4.2%	6.0%	2.7%
Guatemala	Amatitlán	2017	86.4%	90.9%	81.7%
Guatemala	Antigua	2000	9.2%	11.3%	7.3%
Guatemala	Guatemala	2017	91.9%	92.9%	91.0%
Guatemala	Antigua	2017	91.9%	92.9%	91.0%
Guatemala	Asunción Mita	2000	9.5%	28.5%	0.8%
Guatemala	Asunción Mita	2017	66.2%	84.6%	40.4%
Guatemala	Atescatempa	2000	1.8%	15.4%	0.1%
Guatemala	Atescatempa	2017	37.9%	58.4%	20.0%
Guatemala	Ayutla	2000	4.7%	27.6%	0.1%
Guatemala	Ayutla	2017	58.3%	90.3%	18.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Barberena	2000	21.9%	35.6%	8.8%
Guatemala	Barberena	2017	84.1%	91.2%	74.3%
Guatemala	Cabañas	2000	18.4%	24.5%	7.8%
Guatemala	Cabañas	2017	60.6%	74.8%	45.7%
Guatemala	Cabricán	2000	2.5%	24.3%	0.0%
Guatemala	Cabricán	2017	30.2%	60.4%	10.9%
Guatemala	Cajolá	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Guatemala	Cajolá	2017	65.6%	69.1%	61.8%
Guatemala	Camotán	2000	5.7%	20.6%	1.1%
Guatemala	Camotán	2017	42.3%	68.4%	20.5%
Guatemala	Canillá	2000	5.6%	27.7%	0.0%
Guatemala	Canillá	2017	50.3%	92.9%	6.0%
Guatemala	Cantel	2000	6.4%	25.8%	1.0%
Guatemala	Cantel	2017	70.3%	82.9%	37.1%
Guatemala	Casillas	2000	9.3%	19.6%	2.0%
Guatemala	Casillas	2017	53.3%	74.8%	30.9%
Guatemala	Catarina	2000	17.3%	40.8%	3.9%
Guatemala	Catarina	2017	85.3%	96.3%	70.7%
Guatemala	Chahal	2000	1.7%	8.9%	0.0%
Guatemala	Chahal	2017	32.2%	65.8%	7.8%
Guatemala	Chajul	2000	3.3%	10.7%	0.4%
Guatemala	Chajul	2017	43.0%	60.2%	24.8%
Guatemala	Champerico	2000	2.1%	9.6%	0.1%
Guatemala	Champerico	2017	32.5%	54.2%	13.5%
Guatemala	Chiantla	2000	6.0%	13.1%	2.5%
Guatemala	Chiantla	2017	49.6%	70.3%	30.7%
Guatemala	Chicacao	2000	9.6%	20.6%	2.4%
Guatemala	Chicacao	2017	61.0%	73.1%	47.4%
Guatemala	Chicaman	2000	2.7%	7.6%	0.3%
Guatemala	Chicaman	2017	33.3%	52.4%	15.3%
Guatemala	Chiché	2000	0.8%	6.8%	0.1%
Guatemala	Chiché	2017	30.2%	47.8%	17.5%
Guatemala	Chichicastenango	2000	0.8%	3.0%	0.2%
Guatemala	Chichicastenango	2017	29.4%	46.5%	21.7%
Guatemala	Chimaltenango	2000	1.3%	1.6%	1.1%
Guatemala	Chimaltenango	2017	70.1%	72.7%	67.5%
Guatemala	Chinautla	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Guatemala	Chinautla	2017	54.8%	56.5%	53.0%
Guatemala	Chinique	2000	1.3%	9.5%	0.0%
Guatemala	Chinique	2017	26.9%	76.4%	1.1%
Guatemala	Chiquimula	2000	3.3%	5.6%	1.2%
Guatemala	Chiquimula	2017	60.1%	65.5%	56.1%
Guatemala	Chiquimulilla	2000	5.8%	16.4%	1.1%
Guatemala	Chiquimulilla	2017	59.2%	77.5%	42.3%
Guatemala	Chisec	2000	2.1%	6.5%	0.4%
Guatemala	Chisec	2017	28.4%	40.9%	16.3%
Guatemala	Chuarrancho	2000	5.0%	26.2%	0.0%
Guatemala	Chuarrancho	2017	43.9%	87.2%	4.4%
Guatemala	Ciudad Vieja	2000	3.4%	4.3%	2.7%
Guatemala	Ciudad Vieja	2017	91.0%	93.0%	89.0%
Guatemala	Coatepeque	2000	1.5%	5.7%	0.3%
Guatemala	Coatepeque	2017	47.3%	58.4%	37.1%
Guatemala	Cobán	2000	1.9%	4.9%	0.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Cobán	2017	44.5%	56.0%	35.0%
Guatemala	Colomba	2000	1.1%	4.4%	0.3%
Guatemala	Colomba	2017	51.7%	64.4%	39.6%
Guatemala	Colotenango	2000	0.7%	3.8%	0.1%
Guatemala	Colotenango	2017	36.5%	67.8%	14.6%
Guatemala	Comalapa	2000	0.9%	1.7%	0.6%
Guatemala	Comalapa	2017	64.9%	73.6%	58.1%
Guatemala	Comapa	2000	1.6%	11.4%	0.0%
Guatemala	Comapa	2017	28.9%	56.7%	6.4%
Guatemala	Comitancillo	2000	3.4%	11.4%	1.3%
Guatemala	Comitancillo	2017	48.2%	74.3%	29.8%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Guatemala	Concepción	2017	2.4%	22.2%	0.0%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	5.1%	12.2%	1.3%
Guatemala	Chiquirichapa	2017	82.8%	91.7%	69.3%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	13.9%	37.6%	2.2%
Guatemala	Huista	2017	58.5%	88.3%	24.6%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	26.0%	40.7%	9.6%
Guatemala	Las Minas	2017	77.8%	94.6%	60.8%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	1.5%	8.6%	0.0%
Guatemala	Tutuapa	2017	29.1%	62.0%	5.6%
Guatemala	Conguaco	2000	1.7%	12.0%	0.1%
Guatemala	Conguaco	2017	38.3%	65.8%	15.9%
Guatemala	Cubulco	2000	2.7%	10.1%	0.2%
Guatemala	Cubulco	2017	32.8%	47.5%	19.7%
Guatemala	Cuilapa	2000	0.8%	3.5%	0.3%
Guatemala	Cuilapa	2017	52.2%	61.6%	39.9%
Guatemala	Cuilco	2000	2.9%	11.3%	0.1%
Guatemala	Cuilco	2017	38.7%	62.7%	15.6%
Guatemala	Cunén	2000	2.1%	10.1%	0.1%
Guatemala	Cunén	2017	30.9%	59.1%	8.7%
Guatemala	Cuyotenango	2000	2.4%	8.2%	0.9%
Guatemala	Cuyotenango	2017	40.5%	51.6%	29.7%
Guatemala	Dolores	2000	3.6%	9.6%	1.0%
Guatemala	Dolores	2017	41.3%	56.1%	27.9%
Guatemala	El Adelanto	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Guatemala	El Adelanto	2017	16.8%	42.8%	4.1%
Guatemala	El Asintal	2000	0.8%	2.8%	0.3%
Guatemala	El Asintal	2017	55.5%	61.4%	49.4%
Guatemala	El Estor	2000	2.9%	6.5%	0.8%
Guatemala	El Estor	2017	39.3%	53.4%	27.1%
Guatemala	El Jícaro	2000	0.8%	2.3%	0.3%
Guatemala	El Jícaro	2017	45.4%	70.7%	26.8%
Guatemala	El Palmar	2000	30.1%	57.5%	17.4%
Guatemala	El Palmar	2017	70.9%	95.0%	38.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	El Progreso	2000	4.4%	17.3%	0.4%
Guatemala	El Progreso	2017	64.4%	87.4%	33.9%
Guatemala	El Quetzal	2000	5.3%	14.5%	1.5%
Guatemala	El Quetzal	2017	58.4%	84.7%	35.4%
Guatemala	El Rodeo	2000	10.8%	53.4%	0.2%
Guatemala	El Rodeo	2017	66.3%	95.9%	26.7%
Guatemala	El Tejar	2000	3.0%	3.7%	2.3%
Guatemala	El Tejar	2017	75.3%	77.0%	73.2%
Guatemala	El Tumbador	2000	1.9%	8.5%	0.1%
Guatemala	El Tumbador	2017	42.2%	62.8%	20.7%
Guatemala	Escuintla	2000	4.7%	10.9%	1.7%
Guatemala	Escuintla	2017	74.6%	84.6%	63.6%
Guatemala	Esquipulas	2000	3.7%	11.8%	1.0%
Guatemala	Esquipulas	2017	57.2%	79.5%	39.5%
Guatemala	Esquipulas Palo Gordo	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.2%
Guatemala	Esquipulas Palo Gordo	2017	44.7%	57.8%	33.7%
Guatemala	Estanzuela	2000	1.3%	4.1%	0.8%
Guatemala	Estanzuela	2017	67.6%	73.7%	60.6%
Guatemala	Flores	2000	7.8%	12.0%	4.1%
Guatemala	Flores	2017	39.2%	49.8%	30.3%
Guatemala	Flores Costa Cuca	2000	1.9%	14.3%	0.1%
Guatemala	Flores Costa Cuca	2017	51.5%	80.9%	24.0%
Guatemala	Fraijanes	2000	18.4%	44.5%	2.0%
Guatemala	Fraijanes	2017	72.7%	88.3%	44.7%
Guatemala	Fray Bartolomé de las Casas	2000	2.9%	10.6%	0.3%
Guatemala	Fray Bartolomé de las Casas	2017	33.1%	53.7%	14.1%
Guatemala	Génova	2000	4.0%	23.7%	0.0%
Guatemala	Génova	2017	46.1%	86.9%	10.1%
Guatemala	Granados	2000	2.6%	17.6%	0.2%
Guatemala	Granados	2017	44.3%	65.6%	23.7%
Guatemala	Gualán	2000	4.3%	10.2%	1.3%
Guatemala	Gualán	2017	56.3%	69.2%	42.0%
Guatemala	Guanagazapa	2000	5.7%	19.6%	0.3%
Guatemala	Guanagazapa	2017	54.3%	79.8%	26.3%
Guatemala	Guastatoya	2000	2.5%	3.5%	1.4%
Guatemala	Guastatoya	2017	68.0%	76.6%	60.1%
Guatemala	Guazacapán	2000	3.6%	14.6%	0.9%
Guatemala	Guazacapán	2017	74.5%	89.8%	56.5%
Guatemala	Huehuetenango	2000	9.1%	30.5%	3.7%
Guatemala	Huehuetenango	2017	62.8%	88.4%	34.5%
Guatemala	Huitán	2000	0.5%	5.3%	0.0%
Guatemala	Huitán	2017	22.2%	56.0%	8.1%
Guatemala	Huité	2000	30.1%	34.4%	15.5%
Guatemala	Huité	2017	56.0%	64.2%	48.4%
Guatemala	Ipala	2000	7.5%	33.0%	0.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Ipala	2017	63.5%	93.3%	28.1%
Guatemala	Ixcán	2000	3.2%	9.9%	0.3%
Guatemala	Ixcán	2017	36.8%	57.4%	18.8%
Guatemala	Ixchiguan	2000	0.5%	3.2%	0.0%
Guatemala	Ixchiguan	2017	28.0%	48.7%	12.7%
Guatemala	Iztapa	2000	6.4%	33.3%	0.2%
Guatemala	Iztapa	2017	61.6%	93.5%	21.3%
Guatemala	Jacaltenango	2000	11.2%	37.2%	1.4%
Guatemala	Jacaltenango	2017	55.9%	87.3%	24.3%
Guatemala	Jalapa	2000	2.6%	5.9%	0.8%
Guatemala	Jalapa	2017	44.2%	51.4%	38.3%
Guatemala	Jalpatagua	2000	1.7%	7.7%	0.3%
Guatemala	Jalpatagua	2017	57.0%	75.2%	37.8%
Guatemala	Jerez	2000	4.7%	21.8%	0.1%
Guatemala	Jerez	2017	66.1%	93.7%	31.6%
Guatemala	Jocotán	2000	3.9%	10.3%	0.7%
Guatemala	Jocotán	2017	48.0%	62.3%	32.5%
Guatemala	Jocotenango	2000	6.7%	8.5%	5.0%
Guatemala	Jocotenango	2017	47.4%	49.8%	45.7%
Guatemala	Joyabaj	2000	3.1%	13.6%	0.1%
Guatemala	Joyabaj	2017	42.5%	67.6%	19.9%
Guatemala	Jutiapa	2000	2.3%	7.0%	0.7%
Guatemala	Jutiapa	2017	55.8%	66.9%	45.5%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2000	5.0%	18.8%	0.3%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2000	2.8%	11.8%	0.1%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2017	61.1%	85.8%	31.5%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2017	48.8%	76.3%	22.5%
Guatemala	La Esperanza	2000	5.1%	8.8%	2.5%
Guatemala	La Esperanza	2017	93.3%	95.4%	89.4%
Guatemala	La Gomera	2000	6.9%	19.4%	0.5%
Guatemala	La Gomera	2017	52.7%	79.4%	26.8%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2000	2.3%	14.9%	0.1%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2000	2.6%	4.8%	1.1%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2017	36.0%	45.3%	28.0%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2017	44.4%	77.0%	14.9%
Guatemala	La Reforma	2000	20.9%	40.9%	6.2%
Guatemala	La Reforma	2017	61.5%	94.0%	31.1%
Guatemala	La Unión	2000	4.4%	13.5%	0.7%
Guatemala	La Unión	2017	49.4%	66.4%	31.3%
Guatemala	Lanquín	2000	2.1%	12.2%	0.0%
Guatemala	Lanquín	2017	26.9%	62.6%	3.1%
Guatemala	Livingston	2000	3.3%	7.9%	0.7%
Guatemala	Livingston	2017	44.0%	60.3%	28.4%
Guatemala	Los Amates	2000	3.3%	10.0%	0.5%
Guatemala	Los Amates	2017	44.7%	63.0%	28.7%
Guatemala	Magdalena	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.4%
Guatemala	Milpas Altas	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Malacatán	2000	7.7%	18.2%	2.5%
Guatemala	Malacatán	2017	67.5%	86.2%	46.9%
Guatemala	Malacatancito	2000	58.0%	63.8%	54.8%
Guatemala	Malacatancito	2017	80.3%	87.9%	71.5%
Guatemala	Masagua	2000	5.8%	17.5%	0.4%
Guatemala	Masagua	2017	56.3%	80.2%	26.4%
Guatemala	Mataquesuintla	2000	1.2%	4.1%	0.3%
Guatemala	Mataquesuintla	2017	41.9%	55.4%	30.3%
Guatemala	Mazatenango	2000	1.5%	3.0%	1.0%
Guatemala	Mazatenango	2017	52.8%	63.0%	44.8%
Guatemala	Melchor de Mencos	2000	3.3%	10.3%	0.5%
Guatemala	Melchor de Mencos	2017	41.7%	62.0%	24.8%
Guatemala	Mixco	2000	19.1%	25.3%	14.1%
Guatemala	Mixco	2017	93.8%	95.3%	91.7%
Guatemala	Momostenango	2000	4.8%	10.7%	0.5%
Guatemala	Momostenango	2017	28.3%	41.5%	16.6%
Guatemala	Monjas	2000	1.8%	7.3%	0.3%
Guatemala	Monjas	2017	59.6%	78.1%	42.5%
Guatemala	Morales	2000	4.1%	8.9%	1.4%
Guatemala	Morales	2017	57.6%	69.1%	45.8%
Guatemala	Morazán	2000	10.4%	16.9%	4.9%
Guatemala	Morazán	2017	55.6%	72.3%	40.4%
Guatemala	Moyuta	2000	4.2%	20.9%	0.1%
Guatemala	Moyuta	2017	46.4%	72.4%	18.9%
Guatemala	NA	2000	2.9%	9.4%	0.3%
Guatemala	NA	2000	7.8%	14.2%	3.5%
Guatemala	NA	2017	36.8%	64.4%	18.0%
Guatemala	NA	2017	81.5%	88.1%	67.4%
Guatemala	Nahualá	2000	0.7%	3.1%	0.2%
Guatemala	Nahualá	2017	41.5%	51.1%	33.0%
Guatemala	Nebaj	2000	2.5%	10.9%	0.2%
Guatemala	Nebaj	2017	32.4%	55.9%	15.7%
Guatemala	Nentón	2000	4.2%	12.1%	0.4%
Guatemala	Nentón	2017	40.3%	61.1%	17.4%
Guatemala	Nueva Concepción	2000	4.6%	15.0%	0.6%
Guatemala	Nueva Concepción	2017	61.3%	81.9%	39.1%
Guatemala	Nueva Santa Rosa	2000	15.5%	21.9%	7.8%
Guatemala	Nueva Santa Rosa	2017	65.6%	67.5%	63.4%
Guatemala	Nuevo Progreso	2000	6.1%	29.0%	0.5%
Guatemala	Nuevo Progreso	2017	53.2%	88.6%	15.8%
Guatemala	Nuevo San Carlos	2000	0.8%	4.2%	0.5%
Guatemala	Nuevo San Carlos	2017	54.4%	59.8%	49.0%
Guatemala	Ocosingo	2000	3.9%	27.3%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Ocos	2017	44.3%	82.1%	9.4%
Guatemala	Olintepeque	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.6%
Guatemala	Olintepeque	2017	78.0%	81.8%	74.6%
Guatemala	Olopa	2000	0.9%	7.9%	0.2%
Guatemala	Olopa	2017	43.6%	58.0%	32.4%
Guatemala	Oratorio	2000	4.3%	18.9%	0.4%
Guatemala	Oratorio	2017	57.5%	77.2%	33.8%
Guatemala	Ostuncalco	2000	1.1%	5.3%	0.3%
Guatemala	Ostuncalco	2017	47.4%	68.2%	28.4%
Guatemala	Pachalúm	2000	3.4%	23.6%	0.0%
Guatemala	Pachalúm	2017	44.9%	86.9%	7.7%
Guatemala	Pajapita	2000	5.4%	24.3%	0.1%
Guatemala	Pajapita	2017	48.3%	84.5%	12.7%
Guatemala	Palencia	2000	1.9%	8.5%	0.5%
Guatemala	Palencia	2017	51.5%	68.3%	36.6%
Guatemala	Palestina de Los Altos	2000	1.3%	5.9%	0.3%
Guatemala	Palestina de Los Altos	2017	46.8%	64.2%	33.7%
Guatemala	Palín	2000	4.2%	29.9%	0.4%
Guatemala	Palín	2017	57.5%	89.2%	21.2%
Guatemala	Panajachel	2000	8.5%	18.3%	2.8%
Guatemala	Panajachel	2017	78.3%	79.8%	75.2%
Guatemala	Panzós	2000	1.9%	6.7%	0.1%
Guatemala	Panzós	2017	32.0%	52.1%	14.6%
Guatemala	Parramos	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Guatemala	Parramos	2017	37.7%	74.0%	11.9%
Guatemala	Pasaco	2000	5.0%	20.7%	0.6%
Guatemala	Pasaco	2017	50.3%	81.7%	19.1%
Guatemala	Pastores	2000	2.7%	3.2%	2.2%
Guatemala	Pastores	2017	66.4%	71.4%	62.2%
Guatemala	Patulul	2000	11.8%	27.8%	4.0%
Guatemala	Patulul	2017	76.5%	91.7%	51.9%
Guatemala	Patzicía	2000	2.0%	11.9%	0.1%
Guatemala	Patzicía	2017	46.3%	83.7%	13.0%
Guatemala	Patzité	2000	0.5%	5.6%	0.0%
Guatemala	Patzité	2017	13.4%	27.6%	3.2%
Guatemala	Patzún	2000	22.6%	31.3%	12.5%
Guatemala	Patzún	2017	59.5%	70.3%	47.0%
Guatemala	Petapa	2000	7.4%	10.5%	4.5%
Guatemala	Petapa	2017	94.0%	95.9%	90.3%
Guatemala	Pochuta	2000	2.0%	12.7%	0.0%
Guatemala	Pochuta	2017	39.7%	71.4%	9.2%
Guatemala	Poptún	2000	6.7%	15.2%	2.1%
Guatemala	Poptún	2017	60.3%	72.7%	46.7%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2000	4.1%	17.7%	1.4%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2017	77.0%	96.6%	49.1%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2000	6.1%	19.5%	0.6%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2017	46.5%	75.2%	19.2%
Guatemala	Puerto Barrios	2000	2.9%	7.3%	1.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Puerto Barrios	2017	64.8%	75.6%	55.0%
Guatemala	Purulhá	2000	1.6%	6.8%	0.1%
Guatemala	Purulhá	2017	36.2%	58.1%	18.0%
Guatemala	Quetzaltenango	2000	7.5%	13.6%	3.0%
Guatemala	Quetzaltenango	2017	84.1%	90.2%	78.5%
Guatemala	Quezada	2000	5.4%	29.3%	0.1%
Guatemala	Quezada	2017	55.1%	86.4%	20.1%
Guatemala	Quezaltepeque	2000	17.1%	23.8%	10.5%
Guatemala	Quezaltepeque	2017	88.5%	91.1%	83.3%
Guatemala	Rabinal	2000	4.5%	11.0%	1.3%
Guatemala	Rabinal	2017	48.5%	57.2%	39.7%
Guatemala	Retalhuleu	2000	2.6%	7.4%	0.6%
Guatemala	Retalhuleu	2017	56.5%	67.2%	45.9%
Guatemala	Río Blanco	2000	16.1%	34.7%	4.4%
Guatemala	Río Blanco	2017	65.0%	72.8%	59.2%
Guatemala	Río Bravo	2000	5.4%	14.6%	1.3%
Guatemala	Río Bravo	2017	69.4%	84.0%	48.0%
Guatemala	Río Hondo	2000	3.7%	10.6%	0.9%
Guatemala	Río Hondo	2017	46.3%	62.4%	27.8%
Guatemala	Sacapulas	2000	3.4%	13.1%	0.1%
Guatemala	Sacapulas	2017	41.0%	69.3%	13.5%
Guatemala	Salamá	2000	3.5%	8.4%	0.8%
Guatemala	Salamá	2017	52.6%	63.8%	40.1%
Guatemala	Salcajá	2000	1.5%	1.8%	1.2%
Guatemala	Salcajá	2017	73.8%	76.3%	71.2%
Guatemala	Samayac	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%
Guatemala	Samayac	2017	77.8%	80.7%	75.2%
Guatemala	San Agustín Acasaguastlán	2000	1.4%	3.6%	0.6%
Guatemala	San Agustín Acasaguastlán	2017	64.9%	71.7%	58.6%
Guatemala	San Andrés	2000	3.5%	7.3%	1.6%
Guatemala	San Andrés	2017	42.0%	53.9%	30.9%
Guatemala	San Andrés Itzapa	2000	1.1%	6.5%	0.4%
Guatemala	San Andrés Itzapa	2017	53.6%	72.5%	40.4%
Guatemala	San Andrés Sajcabajá	2000	4.5%	18.5%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Andrés Sajcabajá	2017	47.2%	79.1%	17.5%
Guatemala	San Andrés Semetabaj	2000	2.1%	4.4%	0.6%
Guatemala	San Andrés Semetabaj	2017	30.5%	38.8%	25.3%
Guatemala	San Andrés Villa Seca	2000	2.4%	11.9%	0.5%
Guatemala	San Andrés Villa Seca	2017	47.9%	66.0%	30.4%
Guatemala	San Andrés Xecul	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Andrés Xecul	2017	74.2%	76.8%	71.8%
Guatemala	San Antonio Aguas Calientes	2000	5.9%	7.3%	4.6%
Guatemala	San Antonio Aguas Calientes	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.4%
Guatemala	San Antonio Huista	2000	5.1%	15.3%	0.7%
Guatemala	San Antonio Huista	2017	38.1%	54.7%	17.9%
Guatemala	San Antonio Ilotenango	2000	15.2%	27.6%	4.4%
Guatemala	San Antonio Ilotenango	2017	75.6%	78.9%	72.3%
Guatemala	San Antonio La Paz	2000	3.3%	9.7%	1.2%
Guatemala	San Antonio La Paz	2017	64.1%	79.7%	48.6%
Guatemala	San Antonio Palopó	2000	2.4%	5.4%	0.9%
Guatemala	San Antonio Palopó	2017	78.9%	89.2%	65.1%
Guatemala	San Antonio Sacatepéquez	2000	22.9%	32.2%	8.8%
Guatemala	San Antonio Sacatepéquez	2017	53.4%	74.5%	42.3%
Guatemala	San Antonio Suchitepéquez	2000	1.0%	4.4%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Antonio Suchitepéquez	2017	56.7%	67.6%	39.5%
Guatemala	San Bartolo	2000	7.5%	15.9%	1.3%
Guatemala	San Bartolo	2017	56.1%	78.4%	32.6%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Jocotenango	2000	4.2%	23.9%	0.0%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Jocotenango	2017	44.8%	91.9%	6.2%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Milpas Altas	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Milpas Altas	2017	69.2%	77.6%	59.5%
Guatemala	San Benito	2000	0.5%	3.0%	0.0%
Guatemala	San Benito	2017	18.1%	30.8%	6.4%
Guatemala	San Bernardino	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Bernardino	2017	59.9%	62.4%	57.4%
Guatemala	San Carlos Alzatate	2000	0.3%	3.4%	0.0%
Guatemala	San Carlos Alzatate	2017	15.2%	42.2%	4.3%
Guatemala	San Carlos Sija	2000	2.2%	9.7%	0.6%
Guatemala	San Carlos Sija	2017	42.2%	60.7%	25.1%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Acasaguastlán	2000	4.5%	12.8%	1.1%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Acasaguastlán	2017	71.5%	81.6%	60.1%
Guatemala	San Cristobal Cucho	2000	0.8%	2.5%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Cristobal Cucho	2017	64.5%	84.5%	41.1%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Totonicapán	2000	0.7%	0.8%	0.5%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Totonicapán	2017	58.0%	61.7%	53.8%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Verapaz	2000	7.5%	32.2%	0.5%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Verapaz	2017	54.0%	79.8%	26.6%
Guatemala	San Diego	2000	1.9%	9.3%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Diego	2017	52.3%	80.3%	23.9%
Guatemala	San Felipe	2000	16.7%	24.9%	14.7%
Guatemala	San Felipe	2017	75.6%	89.8%	51.7%
Guatemala	San Francisco	2000	1.9%	7.2%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Francisco	2017	27.3%	42.1%	10.9%
Guatemala	San Francisco El Alto	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Francisco El Alto	2017	37.9%	40.7%	35.3%
Guatemala	San Francisco La Unión	2000	3.1%	5.1%	1.5%
Guatemala	San Francisco La Unión	2017	66.3%	70.1%	61.4%
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2000	1.9%	3.1%	1.2%
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2017	84.6%	91.8%	75.0%
Guatemala	San Gabriel	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Guatemala	San Gabriel	2017	46.6%	57.9%	37.4%
Guatemala	San Gaspar Ixchil	2000	0.5%	3.5%	0.0%
Guatemala	San Gaspar Ixchil	2017	20.9%	44.6%	6.5%
Guatemala	San Idefonso Ixtahuacán	2000	2.8%	14.6%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Ildefonso Ixtahuacán	2017	35.5%	59.3%	10.5%
Guatemala	San Jacinto	2000	11.8%	22.9%	3.9%
Guatemala	San Jacinto	2017	83.9%	87.8%	79.7%
Guatemala	San Jerónimo	2000	3.6%	14.9%	0.5%
Guatemala	San Jerónimo	2017	48.8%	64.2%	29.3%
Guatemala	San José	2000	14.6%	28.1%	3.8%
Guatemala	San José	2000	5.6%	16.9%	0.7%
Guatemala	San José	2017	55.9%	72.7%	38.8%
Guatemala	San José	2017	68.8%	88.6%	45.5%
Guatemala	San José Acatempa	2000	3.9%	18.7%	0.3%
Guatemala	San José Acatempa	2017	62.4%	89.3%	33.7%
Guatemala	San José Chacayá	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Guatemala	San José Chacayá	2017	63.9%	71.3%	54.3%
Guatemala	San José del Golfo	2000	3.8%	18.9%	0.5%
Guatemala	San José del Golfo	2017	62.8%	87.3%	37.4%
Guatemala	San José El Idolo	2000	13.3%	54.0%	0.2%
Guatemala	San José El Idolo	2017	62.7%	91.4%	23.2%
Guatemala	San José La Arada	2000	2.0%	13.2%	0.2%
Guatemala	San José La Arada	2017	53.6%	85.8%	24.0%
Guatemala	San José Ojetenam	2000	2.1%	17.0%	0.0%
Guatemala	San José Ojetenam	2017	32.8%	77.5%	2.6%
Guatemala	San José Pinula	2000	8.1%	24.4%	1.4%
Guatemala	San José Pinula	2017	57.8%	82.2%	35.0%
Guatemala	San José Poaquil	2000	2.9%	21.1%	0.0%
Guatemala	San José Poaquil	2017	34.3%	81.3%	1.7%
Guatemala	San Juan Atitán	2000	0.4%	3.5%	0.0%
Guatemala	San Juan Atitán	2017	12.9%	56.6%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Juan Bautista	2000	22.0%	35.8%	9.0%
Guatemala	San Juan Bautista	2017	95.1%	96.8%	92.9%
Guatemala	San Juan Chamelco	2000	0.7%	3.5%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Juan Chamelco	2017	41.4%	58.9%	27.2%
Guatemala	San Juan Cotzal	2000	1.2%	7.2%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Juan Cotzal	2017	40.0%	64.7%	21.6%
Guatemala	San Juan Ermita	2000	2.3%	4.6%	0.8%
Guatemala	San Juan Ermita	2017	48.4%	53.0%	44.3%
Guatemala	San Juan Ixcoy	2000	2.7%	16.3%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Juan Ixcoy	2017	41.3%	78.6%	11.1%
Guatemala	San Juan La Laguna	2000	1.8%	14.6%	0.4%
Guatemala	San Juan La Laguna	2017	28.5%	47.6%	18.3%
Guatemala	San Juan Sacatepéquez	2000	8.2%	15.6%	3.4%
Guatemala	San Juan Sacatepéquez	2017	52.7%	62.0%	41.9%
Guatemala	San Juan Tecuaco	2000	11.2%	32.5%	1.4%
Guatemala	San Juan Tecuaco	2017	76.9%	94.8%	60.0%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2000	57.7%	81.8%	39.7%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2000	18.2%	29.4%	12.8%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2017	92.1%	98.7%	66.4%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2017	53.8%	74.9%	37.0%
Guatemala	San Lucas Sacatepéquez	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.7%
Guatemala	San Lucas Sacatepéquez	2017	75.2%	84.2%	63.4%
Guatemala	San Lucas Tolimán	2000	3.8%	15.2%	0.9%
Guatemala	San Lucas Tolimán	2017	58.9%	94.0%	17.8%
Guatemala	San Luis	2000	3.9%	12.9%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Luis	2000	3.7%	8.5%	0.8%
Guatemala	San Luis	2017	41.8%	68.6%	19.0%
Guatemala	San Luis	2017	39.8%	54.2%	26.9%
Guatemala	San Luis Jilotepeque	2000	1.5%	7.2%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Luis Jilotepeque	2017	44.0%	64.0%	26.7%
Guatemala	San Manuel Chaparrón	2000	9.1%	29.2%	1.2%
Guatemala	San Manuel Chaparrón	2017	70.6%	90.7%	44.6%
Guatemala	San Marcos	2000	0.6%	2.4%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Marcos	2017	40.6%	58.3%	27.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Marcos La Laguna	2000	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%
Guatemala	San Marcos La Laguna	2017	57.9%	62.9%	52.8%
Guatemala	San Martín Jilotepeque	2000	1.6%	7.1%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Martín Jilotepeque	2017	27.1%	45.1%	13.5%
Guatemala	San Martín Sacatepéquez	2000	7.1%	20.3%	0.5%
Guatemala	San Martín Sacatepéquez	2017	62.4%	86.3%	30.5%
Guatemala	San Martín Zapotitlán	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.4%
Guatemala	San Martín Zapotitlán	2017	87.2%	90.1%	84.3%
Guatemala	San Mateo	2000	11.6%	23.6%	2.9%
Guatemala	San Mateo	2017	93.0%	95.1%	89.4%
Guatemala	San Mateo Ixtatán	2000	4.3%	17.0%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Mateo Ixtatán	2017	40.5%	72.9%	15.0%
Guatemala	San Miguel Acatán	2000	2.1%	12.8%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Miguel Acatán	2017	45.7%	74.4%	19.1%
Guatemala	San Miguel Chicaj	2000	3.1%	9.6%	0.7%
Guatemala	San Miguel Chicaj	2017	41.1%	55.6%	27.5%
Guatemala	San Miguel Dueñas	2000	3.3%	12.9%	1.1%
Guatemala	San Miguel Dueñas	2017	72.7%	88.7%	49.5%
Guatemala	San Miguel Ixtahuacán	2000	2.9%	15.4%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Miguel Ixtahuacán	2017	39.8%	75.4%	10.4%
Guatemala	San Miguel Panán	2000	1.0%	2.0%	0.6%
Guatemala	San Miguel Panán	2017	69.8%	83.4%	55.0%
Guatemala	San Miguel Sigüilá	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.7%
Guatemala	San Miguel Sigüilá	2017	73.4%	77.3%	69.4%
Guatemala	San Pablo	2000	2.1%	11.9%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Pablo	2017	44.2%	84.0%	12.2%
Guatemala	San Pablo Jocopilas	2000	1.4%	3.6%	0.5%
Guatemala	San Pablo Jocopilas	2017	66.7%	70.7%	62.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Pablo La Laguna	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Guatemala	San Pablo La Laguna	2017	59.4%	63.5%	56.1%
Guatemala	San Pedro Ayampuc	2000	2.4%	7.2%	0.9%
Guatemala	San Pedro Ayampuc	2017	63.9%	72.0%	57.0%
Guatemala	San Pedro Carchá	2000	1.3%	4.5%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Pedro Carchá	2017	29.6%	42.4%	17.8%
Guatemala	San Pedro Jocopilas	2000	2.7%	12.3%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Pedro Jocopilas	2017	37.8%	62.5%	18.6%
Guatemala	San Pedro La Laguna	2000	1.1%	3.3%	0.6%
Guatemala	San Pedro La Laguna	2017	41.5%	46.1%	38.5%
Guatemala	San Pedro Necta	2000	2.1%	15.5%	0.0%
Guatemala	San Pedro Necta	2017	29.7%	73.1%	4.2%
Guatemala	San Pedro Pinula	2000	4.0%	16.6%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Pedro Pinula	2017	34.3%	57.4%	13.2%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2000	10.5%	17.5%	4.2%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2000	12.0%	20.8%	4.8%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2017	67.3%	81.5%	52.4%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2017	75.9%	78.1%	73.4%
Guatemala	San Rafael La Independencia	2000	2.0%	16.1%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Rafael La Independencia	2017	39.1%	82.7%	10.4%
Guatemala	San Rafael Las Flores	2000	2.1%	16.3%	0.0%
Guatemala	San Rafael Las Flores	2017	43.4%	80.7%	6.0%
Guatemala	San Rafael Petzal	2000	0.3%	2.2%	0.0%
Guatemala	San Rafael Petzal	2017	28.9%	61.0%	5.9%
Guatemala	San Rafael Pie de la Cuesta	2000	0.4%	1.5%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Rafaél Pie de la Cuesta	2017	42.7%	63.4%	25.7%
Guatemala	San Raymundo	2000	7.7%	29.8%	0.5%
Guatemala	San Raymundo	2017	55.0%	87.5%	24.2%
Guatemala	San Sebastián	2000	2.5%	3.5%	1.7%
Guatemala	San Sebastián	2017	88.2%	90.5%	85.1%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Coatán	2000	7.0%	23.7%	0.5%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Coatán	2017	62.5%	92.9%	34.2%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Huehuetenango	2000	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Huehuetenango	2017	9.1%	12.3%	6.9%
Guatemala	San Sibinal	2000	3.2%	24.9%	0.0%
Guatemala	San Sibinal	2017	33.2%	80.5%	2.4%
Guatemala	San Vicente Pacaya	2000	4.1%	21.8%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Vicente Pacaya	2017	47.3%	86.2%	9.7%
Guatemala	Sanarate	2000	3.1%	8.7%	1.3%
Guatemala	Sanarate	2017	69.4%	78.0%	58.3%
Guatemala	Sansare	2000	0.3%	1.4%	0.1%
Guatemala	Sansare	2017	28.7%	36.8%	22.3%
Guatemala	Santa Ana	2000	1.8%	6.9%	0.2%
Guatemala	Santa Ana	2017	31.8%	48.1%	17.5%
Guatemala	Santa Ana Huista	2000	4.4%	17.1%	0.2%
Guatemala	Santa Ana Huista	2017	58.1%	84.1%	27.0%
Guatemala	Santa Apolonia	2000	1.3%	11.0%	0.1%
Guatemala	Santa Apolonia	2017	40.4%	71.8%	17.5%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2000	1.6%	11.4%	0.0%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2000	4.3%	10.8%	1.9%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2017	57.9%	72.7%	44.8%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2017	20.4%	49.1%	1.8%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Barahona	2000	1.4%	2.1%	1.1%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Barahona	2017	40.5%	87.1%	16.3%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Ixtahuacan	2000	0.9%	2.9%	0.2%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Ixtahuacan	2017	35.0%	55.2%	25.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Mita	2000	15.7%	37.0%	3.2%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Mita	2017	80.5%	95.2%	64.6%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Palopó	2000	8.7%	18.5%	3.0%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Palopó	2017	89.3%	92.1%	84.6%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Pinula	2000	2.2%	4.6%	0.9%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Pinula	2017	26.0%	44.4%	19.9%
Guatemala	Santa Clara La Laguna	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%
Guatemala	Santa Clara La Laguna	2017	28.7%	49.5%	15.6%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Balanyá	2000	2.3%	23.8%	0.2%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Balanyá	2017	51.8%	92.2%	16.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Barillas	2000	6.5%	14.9%	2.2%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Barillas	2017	43.2%	62.3%	26.5%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz del Quiché	2000	5.9%	12.5%	1.9%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz del Quiché	2017	60.0%	66.4%	54.7%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz El Chol	2000	3.7%	24.2%	0.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz El Chol	2017	44.9%	78.1%	11.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz La Laguna	2000	1.4%	3.5%	0.6%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz La Laguna	2017	66.4%	82.3%	45.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Muluá	2000	1.5%	2.5%	1.1%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Muluá	2017	74.0%	78.1%	70.1%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Naranjo	2000	5.4%	13.2%	1.9%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Naranjo	2017	66.7%	71.6%	61.9%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Verapaz	2000	5.2%	23.6%	0.5%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Verapaz	2017	67.4%	89.5%	47.3%
Guatemala	Santa Eulalia	2000	5.4%	18.5%	0.4%
Guatemala	Santa Eulalia	2017	43.6%	72.1%	19.0%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Cotzumalguapa	2000	4.0%	12.6%	0.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Cotzumalguapa	2017	54.1%	77.2%	33.2%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía La Reforma	2000	3.6%	24.9%	0.0%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía La Reforma	2017	29.8%	53.5%	8.2%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Milpas Altas	2000	4.2%	5.2%	3.4%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Milpas Altas	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.3%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Utatlán	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Utatlán	2017	49.8%	54.6%	45.0%
Guatemala	Santa María Cahabón	2000	3.3%	11.4%	0.2%
Guatemala	Santa María Cahabón	2017	37.4%	63.2%	12.9%
Guatemala	Santa María Chiquimula	2000	2.4%	11.9%	0.4%
Guatemala	Santa María Chiquimula	2017	49.7%	65.4%	33.7%
Guatemala	Santa María de Jesús	2000	7.0%	27.5%	1.4%
Guatemala	Santa María de Jesús	2017	74.0%	82.7%	54.2%
Guatemala	Santa María Ixhuitán	2000	2.7%	17.5%	0.2%
Guatemala	Santa María Ixhuitán	2017	47.6%	68.3%	28.3%
Guatemala	Santa María Visitación	2000	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Guatemala	Santa María Visitación	2017	12.5%	30.9%	2.2%
Guatemala	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	40.2%	61.3%	23.7%
Guatemala	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	84.7%	96.4%	63.2%
Guatemala	Santiago Atitlán	2000	1.4%	5.1%	0.4%
Guatemala	Santiago Atitlán	2017	52.7%	67.3%	37.6%
Guatemala	Santiago Chimaltenango	2000	3.1%	17.6%	0.0%
Guatemala	Santiago Chimaltenango	2017	38.2%	85.0%	5.1%
Guatemala	Santiago Sacatepéquez	2000	24.1%	34.5%	15.7%
Guatemala	Santiago Sacatepéquez	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Suchitepequez	2000	16.6%	24.9%	11.7%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Suchitepequez	2017	63.2%	73.4%	52.1%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Xenacoj	2000	44.3%	59.0%	24.9%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Xenacoj	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.6%
Guatemala	Santo Tomás La Unión	2000	6.2%	17.4%	2.2%
Guatemala	Santo Tomás La Unión	2017	85.3%	88.5%	78.4%
Guatemala	Sayaxché	2000	3.5%	6.5%	1.2%
Guatemala	Sayaxché	2017	36.5%	46.3%	25.8%
Guatemala	Senahú	2000	2.9%	9.2%	0.3%
Guatemala	Senahú	2017	36.9%	53.5%	21.5%
Guatemala	Sibilia	2000	4.7%	8.3%	1.3%
Guatemala	Sibilia	2017	39.8%	70.8%	20.3%
Guatemala	Sipacapa	2000	2.8%	21.6%	0.0%
Guatemala	Sipacapa	2017	38.6%	83.1%	3.9%
Guatemala	Siquinalá	2000	8.7%	22.7%	2.8%
Guatemala	Siquinalá	2017	77.5%	92.0%	54.5%
Guatemala	Sololá	2000	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Guatemala	Sololá	2017	38.9%	51.7%	31.0%
Guatemala	Soloma	2000	1.4%	12.0%	0.0%
Guatemala	Soloma	2017	37.2%	64.6%	15.5%
Guatemala	Sumpango	2000	11.4%	18.1%	6.0%
Guatemala	Sumpango	2017	74.8%	75.8%	73.6%
Guatemala	Tacaná	2000	1.5%	8.6%	0.1%
Guatemala	Tacaná	2017	37.7%	67.4%	13.7%
Guatemala	Tactic	2000	1.3%	9.9%	0.1%
Guatemala	Tactic	2017	42.8%	71.2%	19.0%
Guatemala	Tajumulco	2000	1.9%	9.5%	0.1%
Guatemala	Tajumulco	2017	36.3%	60.5%	15.5%
Guatemala	Tamahú	2000	2.6%	9.0%	0.3%
Guatemala	Tamahú	2017	61.8%	82.3%	41.2%
Guatemala	Taxisco	2000	4.4%	13.7%	0.5%
Guatemala	Taxisco	2017	55.5%	76.5%	34.1%
Guatemala	Tecpán	2000	0.9%	4.8%	0.2%
Guatemala	Guatemala Tecpán	2017	39.6%	51.5%	28.4%
Guatemala	Tectitán	2000	2.4%	14.7%	0.0%
Guatemala	Tectitán	2017	34.5%	64.2%	8.1%
Guatemala	Teculután	2000	11.2%	20.3%	5.6%
Guatemala	Teculután	2017	78.9%	87.9%	69.7%
Guatemala	Tejutla	2000	1.3%	4.6%	0.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Tejutla	2017	52.4%	68.0%	37.3%
Guatemala	Tiquisate	2000	8.2%	19.9%	1.6%
Guatemala	Tiquisate	2017	67.1%	85.0%	48.3%
Guatemala	Todos Santos	2000	2.5%	11.2%	0.0%
Guatemala	Cuchumatán				
Guatemala	Todos Santos	2017	28.0%	57.5%	4.4%
Guatemala	Cuchumatán				
Guatemala	Totonicapán	2000	1.4%	4.9%	0.6%
Guatemala	Totonicapán	2017	44.9%	52.8%	37.7%
Guatemala	Tucurú	2000	1.0%	7.8%	0.0%
Guatemala	Tucurú	2017	25.3%	61.9%	5.9%
Guatemala	Uspantán	2000	2.6%	11.7%	0.2%
Guatemala	Uspantán	2017	34.2%	55.8%	14.3%
Guatemala	Usumatlán	2000	21.9%	30.5%	9.3%
Guatemala	Usumatlán	2017	87.1%	93.3%	76.3%
Guatemala	Villa Canales	2000	10.7%	23.2%	4.9%
Guatemala	Villa Canales	2017	74.2%	86.8%	59.0%
Guatemala	Villa Nueva	2000	12.8%	18.8%	7.4%
Guatemala	Villa Nueva	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
Guatemala	Yepocapa	2000	19.2%	37.8%	4.0%
Guatemala	Yepocapa	2017	76.9%	93.6%	54.3%
Guatemala	Yupiltepeque	2000	3.0%	30.0%	0.2%
Guatemala	Yupiltepeque	2017	53.5%	75.7%	27.9%
Guatemala	Zacapa	2000	6.8%	10.2%	3.0%
Guatemala	Zacapa	2017	62.6%	69.7%	55.6%
Guatemala	Zacualpa	2000	2.5%	14.7%	0.1%
Guatemala	Zacualpa	2017	38.2%	63.3%	14.1%
Guatemala	Zapotitlán	2000	3.1%	23.8%	0.0%
Guatemala	Zapotitlán	2017	38.5%	82.6%	4.2%
Guatemala	Zaragoza	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Guatemala	Zaragoza	2017	69.5%	73.0%	65.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 1	2000	11.6%	15.0%	8.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 1	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 10	2000	17.7%	28.1%	9.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 10	2017	94.7%	96.3%	93.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 11	2000	23.8%	39.1%	12.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 11	2017	99.0%	99.5%	98.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 12	2000	19.6%	30.6%	11.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 12	2017	98.8%	99.3%	97.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 13	2000	15.2%	22.7%	9.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 13	2017	97.7%	98.9%	94.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 14	2000	12.8%	21.8%	7.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 14	2017	94.6%	96.5%	89.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 15	2000	10.4%	15.0%	6.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 15	2017	74.4%	82.6%	71.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 16	2000	8.8%	13.3%	5.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 16	2017	97.0%	98.2%	95.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 17	2000	9.0%	11.7%	6.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 17	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 18	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 18	2017	90.1%	92.5%	86.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 19	2000	21.8%	33.3%	12.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 19	2017	99.0%	99.5%	98.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	ZONA 2	2000	8.3%	10.7%	6.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 2	2017	90.5%	91.8%	89.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 22	2000	14.0%	20.2%	9.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 22	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 24	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 24	2017	64.8%	75.3%	52.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 25	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 25	2017	65.5%	72.4%	57.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 3	2000	12.8%	16.6%	9.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 3	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 4	2000	18.0%	28.2%	10.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 4	2017	98.7%	99.3%	97.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 5	2000	13.3%	17.0%	9.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 5	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 6	2000	8.8%	11.4%	6.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 6	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 7	2000	17.8%	25.8%	11.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 7	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 8	2000	18.1%	28.4%	10.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 8	2017	98.7%	99.3%	97.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 9	2000	18.5%	29.6%	10.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 9	2017	98.7%	99.3%	97.7%
Guatemala	Zunil	2000	10.4%	47.5%	0.2%
Guatemala	Zunil	2017	68.6%	91.7%	33.6%
Guatemala	Zunilito	2000	3.8%	32.2%	0.2%
Guatemala	Zunilito	2017	59.1%	91.5%	29.4%
Guyana	Abary / Mahaicony	2000	19.9%	30.3%	10.5%
Guyana	Abary / Mahaicony	2017	69.0%	76.6%	57.7%
Guyana	Agatash	2000	21.9%	25.7%	18.5%
Guyana	Agatash	2017	75.1%	80.2%	70.2%
Guyana	Aishalton - Karaudanawa, Achiwib	2000	3.3%	7.1%	0.9%
Guyana	Aishalton - Karaudanawa, Achiwib	2017	15.7%	26.0%	6.9%
Guyana	Amsterdam (Demerara River) / Vriesland	2000	16.6%	22.1%	13.0%
Guyana	Amsterdam (Demerara River) / Vriesland	2017	62.4%	68.0%	55.9%
Guyana	Anna Regina	2000	19.7%	23.4%	16.6%
Guyana	Anna Regina	2017	66.5%	72.2%	59.6%
Guyana	Arau	2000	7.3%	28.9%	0.7%
Guyana	Arau	2017	28.5%	64.9%	6.1%
Guyana	Barima / Amakura	2000	12.5%	19.3%	6.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Barima / Amakura	2017	36.0%	45.2%	27.8%
Guyana	Bartica	2000	18.1%	22.6%	14.3%
Guyana	Bartica	2017	73.0%	78.9%	67.1%
Guyana	Bel Air / Woodlands	2000	8.1%	12.2%	5.6%
Guyana	Bel Air / Woodlands	2017	32.1%	40.5%	27.3%
Guyana	Berbice River Settlements	2000	14.4%	30.2%	6.6%
Guyana	Berbice River Settlements	2017	42.4%	61.8%	28.5%
Guyana	Black Bush Polder land Development Scheme	2000	13.2%	21.8%	8.2%
Guyana	Black Bush Polder land Development Scheme	2017	58.8%	69.4%	47.7%
Guyana	Blankenburg / Hague	2000	28.2%	32.1%	25.1%
Guyana	Blankenburg / Hague	2017	82.3%	84.6%	80.1%
Guyana	Bonasika / Boerasirie	2000	15.5%	21.1%	12.1%
Guyana	Bonasika / Boerasirie	2017	65.6%	71.8%	59.2%
Guyana	Borlam (No.37) / Kintyre	2000	18.3%	27.2%	12.8%
Guyana	Borlam (No.37) / Kintyre	2017	70.5%	79.6%	61.4%
Guyana	Bush Lot / Adventure	2000	21.6%	27.1%	16.5%
Guyana	Bush Lot / Adventure	2017	74.3%	80.4%	67.4%
Guyana	Canal No. 2 (part) + The Belle + Little Alliance	2000	29.8%	35.0%	25.6%
Guyana	Canal No. 2 (part) + The Belle + Little Alliance	2017	81.7%	84.1%	79.3%
Guyana	Canals Polder	2000	21.5%	26.2%	17.8%
Guyana	Canals Polder	2017	74.7%	78.4%	70.3%
Guyana	Cane Field / Enterprise	2000	8.8%	12.2%	6.5%
Guyana	Cane Field / Enterprise	2017	54.9%	63.4%	44.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Cane Grove Land Development Scheme	2000	18.1%	24.2%	12.7%
Guyana	Cane Grove Land Development Scheme	2017	58.7%	65.8%	49.9%
Guyana	Chance / Hamlet	2000	12.9%	15.9%	10.2%
Guyana	Chance / Hamlet	2017	62.2%	67.3%	57.1%
Guyana	Charity / Urasara	2000	11.1%	24.2%	3.4%
Guyana	Charity / Urasara	2017	37.3%	51.6%	23.3%
Guyana	Chenapau River	2000	1.3%	8.2%	0.1%
Guyana	Chenapau River	2017	8.6%	34.8%	0.7%
Guyana	City of Georgetown	2000	59.9%	62.7%	56.5%
Guyana	City of Georgetown	2017	94.1%	94.5%	93.6%
Guyana	Coomaka Lands	2000	29.0%	35.8%	22.4%
Guyana	Coomaka Lands	2017	56.5%	63.7%	52.0%
Guyana	Corentyne River	2000	22.6%	28.3%	16.1%
Guyana	Corentyne River	2017	68.1%	72.2%	63.4%
Guyana	Cornelia Ida / Stewartville	2000	22.7%	26.0%	20.1%
Guyana	Cornelia Ida / Stewartville	2017	78.0%	80.5%	75.7%
Guyana	Corriverton	2000	23.4%	80.2%	0.7%
Guyana	Corriverton	2017	53.8%	96.7%	4.7%
Guyana	Demerara Conservancy	2000	15.7%	25.9%	8.1%
Guyana	Demerara Conservancy	2017	60.0%	72.2%	46.9%
Guyana	Diamond / Golden Grove	2000	29.4%	35.1%	25.0%
Guyana	Diamond / Golden Grove	2017	81.5%	86.1%	75.3%
Guyana	East Bank Berbice	2000	3.0%	5.0%	1.6%
Guyana	East Bank Berbice	2017	14.1%	19.9%	9.4%
Guyana	Eccles / Ramsburg	2000	44.4%	47.3%	41.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Eccles / Ramsburg	2017	90.8%	91.7%	89.9%
Guyana	Enfield / New Doe Park	2000	9.0%	10.9%	7.2%
Guyana	Enfield / New Doe Park	2017	49.2%	54.8%	44.0%
Guyana	Enmore / Hope	2000	14.3%	18.9%	10.9%
Guyana	Enmore / Hope	2017	59.6%	69.2%	50.4%
Guyana	Farm / Woodlands	2000	50.0%	64.4%	31.1%
Guyana	Farm / Woodlands	2017	84.3%	90.8%	76.3%
Guyana	Foulis / Buxton	2000	14.2%	16.5%	11.8%
Guyana	Foulis / Buxton	2017	62.9%	67.1%	58.9%
Guyana	Fyrish / Gibraltar	2000	33.0%	44.9%	23.4%
Guyana	Fyrish / Gibraltar	2017	83.1%	91.0%	74.9%
Guyana	Gelderland / No. 3	2000	15.9%	20.5%	13.3%
Guyana	Gelderland / No. 3	2017	67.3%	71.9%	62.1%
Guyana	Good Hope / Hydronie	2000	19.0%	22.3%	16.1%
Guyana	Good Hope / Hydronie	2017	74.5%	78.2%	70.5%
Guyana	Good Hope / Pomona	2000	23.8%	33.3%	15.6%
Guyana	Good Hope / Pomona	2017	71.7%	82.4%	60.2%
Guyana	Good Success / Caledonia	2000	20.0%	26.8%	13.7%
Guyana	Good Success / Caledonia	2017	74.0%	80.5%	64.8%
Guyana	Grove / Haslington	2000	18.6%	23.8%	14.4%
Guyana	Grove / Haslington	2017	66.8%	75.6%	57.5%
Guyana	Hampshire / Kilcoy	2000	23.3%	34.5%	12.3%
Guyana	Hampshire / Kilcoy	2017	68.3%	76.2%	60.0%
Guyana	Herstelling / Little Diamond	2000	36.0%	40.3%	32.3%
Guyana	Herstelling / Little Diamond	2017	87.4%	89.4%	85.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Hogstye / Lancaster	2000	10.7%	13.6%	8.9%
Guyana	Hogstye / Lancaster	2017	56.8%	63.7%	50.5%
Guyana	Ireng / Sawariwau (Including St. Ignatius)	2000	8.5%	13.9%	5.2%
Guyana	Ireng / Sawariwau (Including St. Ignatius)	2017	37.1%	46.1%	29.6%
Guyana	Ituni	2000	17.5%	40.5%	4.6%
Guyana	Ituni	2017	46.3%	74.5%	20.5%
Guyana	Jackson Creek / Crabwood Creek	2000	18.2%	56.0%	2.8%
Guyana	Jackson Creek / Crabwood Creek	2017	55.7%	92.4%	15.5%
Guyana	Jawalla, Kubenang River	2000	2.5%	9.5%	0.3%
Guyana	Jawalla, Kubenang River	2017	13.5%	31.5%	3.4%
Guyana	John / Port Mourant	2000	17.0%	22.9%	10.2%
Guyana	John / Port Mourant	2017	59.1%	66.5%	50.8%
Guyana	Joppa / Macedonia	2000	8.5%	10.0%	7.2%
Guyana	Joppa / Macedonia	2017	51.6%	56.5%	47.2%
Guyana	Kaibarupai	2000	1.1%	6.9%	0.1%
Guyana	Kaibarupai	2017	7.4%	24.2%	1.2%
Guyana	Kamarang	2000	3.7%	9.5%	1.1%
Guyana	Kamarang	2017	16.3%	30.3%	8.1%
Guyana	Karambaru to Kukui River + Phillipi	2000	2.5%	7.7%	0.5%
Guyana	Karambaru to Kukui River + Phillipi	2017	13.3%	25.7%	4.9%
Guyana	Klein Pouderoyen / Best	2000	59.8%	65.6%	53.2%
Guyana	Klein Pouderoyen / Best	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.4%
Guyana	Kopanang, Waipa, Kenepai	2000	1.7%	8.4%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Kopanang, Waipa, Kene-pai	2017	8.7%	25.5%	1.6%
Guyana	Kwakwani	2000	25.2%	55.7%	11.7%
Guyana	Kwakwani	2017	67.8%	86.9%	44.2%
Guyana	La Bonne Intention / Better Hope	2000	30.1%	32.3%	27.9%
Guyana	La Bonne Intention / Better Hope	2017	83.7%	85.4%	82.2%
Guyana	La Reconnaissance / Mon Repos	2000	16.7%	19.3%	14.7%
Guyana	La Reconnaissance / Mon Repos	2017	62.8%	67.1%	59.2%
Guyana	Leguan (Essequibo Islands)	2000	2.2%	7.4%	0.1%
Guyana	Leguan (Essequibo Islands)	2017	11.0%	28.0%	1.4%
Guyana	Linden	2000	26.4%	29.7%	23.4%
Guyana	Linden	2017	73.7%	77.1%	70.5%
Guyana	Lower West Demerara	2000	11.9%	36.0%	1.6%
Guyana	Lower West Demerara	2017	38.6%	70.2%	10.3%
Guyana	Mabaruma / Kumaka / Hosororo	2000	5.5%	9.0%	3.0%
Guyana	Mabaruma / Kumaka / Hosororo	2017	20.3%	26.8%	15.0%
Guyana	Mabura Hills	2000	13.5%	25.2%	5.4%
Guyana	Mabura Hills	2017	39.8%	58.7%	24.4%
Guyana	Madhia + Kurubrong River + Mona Falls	2000	12.4%	17.0%	7.9%
Guyana	Madhia + Kurubrong River + Mona Falls	2017	33.8%	42.6%	24.6%
Guyana	Makouria River	2000	17.5%	22.1%	13.7%
Guyana	Makouria River	2017	72.2%	78.3%	66.4%
Guyana	Maripari River + Kurukabaru	2000	2.9%	9.5%	0.4%
Guyana	Maripari River + Kurukabaru	2017	13.0%	28.7%	3.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Marudi	2000	4.2%	12.5%	0.6%
Guyana	Marudi	2017	17.6%	34.0%	5.6%
Guyana	Matthews Ridge / Arakaka (Matakai) / Port Kaituma	2000	6.4%	19.1%	1.1%
Guyana	Matthews Ridge / Arakaka (Matakai) / Port Kaituma	2017	24.0%	42.6%	8.4%
Guyana	Meer Zorgen / Malgre Tout	2000	44.3%	47.3%	40.7%
Guyana	Meer Zorgen / Malgre Tout	2017	87.9%	89.1%	86.8%
Guyana	Mocha / Arcadia	2000	28.0%	45.4%	14.9%
Guyana	Mocha / Arcadia	2017	81.9%	91.2%	68.5%
Guyana	Monkey Mountain	2000	2.9%	15.9%	0.1%
Guyana	Monkey Mountain	2017	12.7%	39.5%	1.5%
Guyana	Naarstigheid / Union	2000	13.6%	22.4%	8.6%
Guyana	Naarstigheid / Union	2017	59.4%	68.5%	49.5%
Guyana	New Amsterdam	2000	18.1%	20.9%	15.5%
Guyana	New Amsterdam	2017	72.4%	75.5%	68.7%
Guyana	Nismes / La Grange	2000	35.9%	38.6%	33.0%
Guyana	Nismes / La Grange	2017	85.0%	86.5%	83.7%
Guyana	No. 38 / Ordnance Fortlands	2000	18.1%	20.7%	15.7%
Guyana	No. 38 / Ordnance Fortlands	2017	72.6%	76.3%	68.1%
Guyana	No.51 Village / Good Hope	2000	8.5%	11.8%	5.8%
Guyana	No.51 Village / Good Hope	2017	47.4%	56.5%	40.3%
Guyana	No.74 Village / No.52 Village	2000	19.2%	22.7%	15.8%
Guyana	No.74 Village / No.52 Village	2017	66.7%	70.8%	62.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Nouvelle Flanders / La Jalousie	2000	41.3%	46.2%	36.5%
Guyana	Nouvelle Flanders / La Jalousie	2017	89.6%	91.4%	87.9%
Guyana	Paradise / Evergreen (including Somerset and Berks)	2000	6.4%	12.9%	3.8%
Guyana	Paradise / Evergreen (including Somerset and Berks)	2017	41.6%	55.6%	27.5%
Guyana	Paramakatoi	2000	0.4%	1.7%	0.0%
Guyana	Paramakatoi	2017	2.9%	9.8%	0.5%
Guyana	Parika / Mora	2000	10.7%	19.1%	5.1%
Guyana	Parika / Mora	2017	57.6%	74.7%	37.5%
Guyana	Paruima	2000	5.3%	13.1%	1.6%
Guyana	Paruima	2017	22.1%	38.6%	9.7%
Guyana	Patentia / Toevlugt	2000	32.7%	36.2%	29.8%
Guyana	Patentia / Toevlugt	2017	84.8%	86.4%	83.2%
Guyana	Plaisance / Industry	2000	34.9%	37.3%	32.6%
Guyana	Plaisance / Industry	2017	87.0%	88.2%	85.7%
Guyana	Rest of Region 1	2000	9.4%	17.2%	3.8%
Guyana	Rest of Region 1	2017	30.4%	41.7%	19.1%
Guyana	Rest of Region 10	2000	14.6%	22.8%	8.5%
Guyana	Rest of Region 10	2017	39.9%	51.2%	29.4%
Guyana	Rest of Region 7	2000	9.8%	17.9%	6.1%
Guyana	Rest of Region 7	2017	27.8%	33.8%	22.4%
Guyana	Rest of Region 8	2000	4.5%	7.5%	2.5%
Guyana	Rest of Region 8	2017	19.2%	25.6%	13.6%
Guyana	Rest of Region 9	2000	5.1%	9.3%	3.0%
Guyana	Rest of Region 9	2017	19.5%	26.6%	14.1%
Guyana	Rising Sun / Profit	2000	18.7%	25.6%	11.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Rising Sun / Profit	2017	58.5%	64.7%	51.4%
Guyana	Riverstown / Annandale	2000	15.8%	21.2%	12.1%
Guyana	Riverstown / Annandale	2017	56.9%	64.8%	49.4%
Guyana	Rose Hall	2000	20.5%	29.9%	11.3%
Guyana	Rose Hall	2017	65.0%	72.7%	57.3%
Guyana	Rosignol / Zeelust	2000	15.7%	17.5%	13.9%
Guyana	Rosignol / Zeelust	2017	69.3%	71.7%	66.4%
Guyana	Sand Creek - Dadanawa, Catunarib, Sawariwau	2000	6.1%	11.8%	2.3%
Guyana	Sand Creek - Dadanawa, Catunarib, Sawariwau	2017	22.7%	33.7%	12.9%
Guyana	Soesdyke-Linden highway (including Timehri)	2000	22.0%	35.3%	12.8%
Guyana	Soesdyke-Linden highway (including Timehri)	2017	63.4%	77.7%	48.6%
Guyana	Sparta / Bonasika and Rest of Essequibo Islands	2000	11.3%	31.9%	1.9%
Guyana	Sparta / Bonasika and Rest of Essequibo Islands	2017	39.8%	67.9%	16.0%
Guyana	St. Cuthberts / Orange Nassau (Mahaica River)	2000	25.6%	38.4%	15.9%
Guyana	St. Cuthberts / Orange Nassau (Mahaica River)	2017	66.7%	79.0%	51.7%
Guyana	St. Francis Mission	2000	9.4%	21.9%	1.7%
Guyana	St. Francis Mission	2017	32.1%	52.7%	13.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Supernaam River, Bethany and Mashabo Villages	2000	12.6%	35.8%	3.5%
Guyana	Supernaam River, Bethany and Mashabo Villages	2017	41.6%	71.0%	15.5%
Guyana	Tarlogie / Maida	2000	16.5%	20.1%	13.1%
Guyana	Tarlogie / Maida	2017	68.4%	72.0%	64.6%
Guyana	Te Huist Coverden / Soesdyke	2000	27.9%	36.2%	21.1%
Guyana	Te Huist Coverden / Soesdyke	2017	80.8%	86.2%	73.5%
Guyana	Tempe / Seafield	2000	16.6%	32.8%	8.6%
Guyana	Tempe / Seafield	2017	59.9%	73.9%	43.7%
Guyana	Toka - Jakaretinga	2000	6.5%	15.3%	1.5%
Guyana	Toka - Jakaretinga	2017	24.8%	42.0%	10.2%
Guyana	Triumph / Beterverwagting	2000	23.1%	26.4%	19.8%
Guyana	Triumph / Beterverwagting	2017	77.7%	80.8%	73.9%
Guyana	Uitvlugt / Tuschen	2000	14.3%	16.8%	12.2%
Guyana	Uitvlugt / Tuschen	2017	66.7%	71.0%	62.7%
Guyana	Vereeniging / Unity	2000	15.6%	18.7%	12.7%
Guyana	Vereeniging / Unity	2017	67.8%	73.0%	62.0%
Guyana	Vergenoegen / Greenwich Park	2000	13.3%	17.1%	10.8%
Guyana	Vergenoegen / Greenwich Park	2017	64.4%	70.1%	58.1%
Guyana	Waini	2000	8.4%	15.7%	3.5%
Guyana	Waini	2017	28.3%	39.2%	17.4%
Guyana	Wakenaam (Essequibo Islands)	2000	17.0%	41.2%	2.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Wakenaam (Essequibo Islands)	2017	50.7%	76.4%	24.0%
Guyana	Waramadan	2000	3.3%	14.0%	0.6%
Guyana	Waramadan	2017	16.6%	36.5%	5.6%
Guyana	West bank Berbice	2000	13.8%	16.0%	11.4%
Guyana	West bank Berbice	2017	60.9%	64.6%	56.6%
Guyana	Whim / Bloomfield	2000	9.6%	12.1%	7.8%
Guyana	Whim / Bloomfield	2017	52.8%	57.4%	47.5%
Guyana	Woodley Park / Bath	2000	6.3%	10.7%	3.5%
Guyana	Woodley Park / Bath	2017	26.7%	35.8%	21.4%
Guyana	Yakarinta - Wowetta, Surama	2000	4.2%	8.9%	1.4%
Guyana	Yakarinta - Wowetta, Surama	2017	18.3%	27.8%	9.4%
Guyana	Yarong Paru - Good Hope	2000	4.0%	9.9%	1.0%
Guyana	Yarong Paru - Good Hope	2017	18.4%	32.3%	8.6%
Guyana	Zorg-en-Vlygt / Aberdeen	2000	20.2%	25.4%	15.7%
Guyana	Zorg-en-Vlygt / Aberdeen	2017	70.9%	77.0%	64.3%
Haiti	Anse d'Hainault	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Haiti	Anse d'Hainault	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Haiti	Aquin	2000	0.5%	1.2%	0.2%
Haiti	Aquin	2017	1.5%	3.0%	0.8%
Haiti	Bainet	2000	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%
Haiti	Bainet	2017	0.9%	2.6%	0.3%
Haiti	Belle-Anse	2000	0.3%	1.3%	0.1%
Haiti	Belle-Anse	2017	1.0%	3.1%	0.3%
Haiti	Borgne	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.1%
Haiti	Borgne	2017	0.9%	3.3%	0.2%
Haiti	Cerca La Source	2000	0.5%	1.7%	0.1%
Haiti	Cerca La Source	2017	1.8%	4.4%	0.6%
Haiti	Corail	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Haiti	Corail	2017	0.6%	1.8%	0.2%
Haiti	Croix-des-Bouquets	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.5%
Haiti	Croix-des-Bouquets	2017	7.5%	8.7%	6.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Haiti	Dessalines	2000	0.4%	1.2%	0.2%
Haiti	Dessalines	2017	1.4%	2.8%	0.8%
Haiti	Fort-Liberté	2000	0.5%	1.5%	0.2%
Haiti	Fort-Liberté	2017	1.5%	3.3%	0.7%
Haiti	Grande-Rivière du Nord	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Haiti	Grande-Rivière du Nord	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Haiti	Gros-Morne	2000	4.6%	6.2%	3.2%
Haiti	Gros-Morne	2017	4.8%	7.5%	3.4%
Haiti	Hinche	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Haiti	Hinche	2017	1.3%	2.9%	0.6%
Haiti	Jacmel	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.6%
Haiti	Jacmel	2017	6.6%	7.8%	5.6%
Haiti	Jérémie	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.2%
Haiti	Jérémie	2017	0.7%	1.4%	0.5%
Haiti	l'Acul-du-Nord	2000	3.1%	4.0%	2.6%
Haiti	l'Acul-du-Nord	2017	12.5%	14.8%	10.9%
Haiti	l'Anse-à-Veau	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Haiti	l'Anse-à-Veau	2017	0.9%	1.5%	0.6%
Haiti	l'Arcahaie	2000	1.3%	3.7%	0.5%
Haiti	l'Arcahaie	2017	10.0%	19.8%	4.6%
Haiti	La Gonâve	2000	0.4%	1.8%	0.1%
Haiti	La Gonâve	2017	1.4%	8.1%	0.2%
Haiti	Lascahobas	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Haiti	Lascahobas	2017	1.0%	1.7%	0.6%
Haiti	le Cap-Haïtien	2000	7.7%	9.2%	6.4%
Haiti	le Cap-Haïtien	2017	26.6%	29.0%	24.3%
Haiti	le Limbé	2000	2.5%	4.5%	1.4%
Haiti	le Limbé	2017	10.1%	15.9%	5.9%
Haiti	le Trou-du-Nord	2000	0.9%	1.8%	0.3%
Haiti	le Trou-du-Nord	2017	2.4%	3.8%	1.2%
Haiti	Léogâne	2000	0.8%	1.4%	0.5%
Haiti	Léogâne	2017	4.4%	6.2%	2.9%
Haiti	les Cayes	2000	1.8%	2.2%	1.4%
Haiti	les Cayes	2017	6.1%	7.4%	5.2%
Haiti	les Chardonnières	2000	1.7%	4.9%	0.2%
Haiti	les Chardonnières	2017	4.1%	8.6%	0.8%
Haiti	les Côteaux	2000	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Haiti	les Côteaux	2017	1.4%	3.6%	0.6%
Haiti	les Gonaïves	2000	3.9%	5.2%	2.8%
Haiti	les Gonaïves	2017	11.3%	13.7%	9.1%
Haiti	Marmelade	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.1%
Haiti	Marmelade	2017	0.8%	2.4%	0.2%
Haiti	Miragoâne	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Haiti	Miragoâne	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.7%
Haiti	Mirebalais	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Haiti	Mirebalais	2017	2.3%	3.8%	1.6%
Haiti	Môle Saint-Nicolas	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Haiti	Môle Saint-Nicolas	2017	0.7%	1.4%	0.4%
Haiti	Ouanaminthe	2000	0.5%	1.2%	0.3%
Haiti	Ouanaminthe	2017	2.0%	3.4%	1.5%
Haiti	Plaisance	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Haiti	Plaisance	2017	0.3%	1.3%	0.1%
Haiti	Port-au-Prince	2000	6.8%	7.2%	6.4%
Haiti	Port-au-Prince	2017	20.6%	21.3%	19.8%
Haiti	Port-de-Paix	2000	2.4%	3.1%	1.8%
Haiti	Port-de-Paix	2017	5.4%	6.4%	4.5%
Haiti	Port-Salut	2000	0.5%	1.4%	0.2%
Haiti	Port-Salut	2017	1.9%	4.9%	0.8%
Haiti	Saint-Louis du Nord	2000	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Haiti	Saint-Louis du Nord	2017	2.6%	3.7%	2.0%
Haiti	Saint-Marc	2000	1.5%	2.4%	1.1%
Haiti	Saint-Marc	2017	5.2%	7.3%	4.1%
Haiti	Saint-Raphaël	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Haiti	Saint-Raphaël	2017	0.4%	1.4%	0.2%
Haiti	Vallières	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.1%
Haiti	Vallières	2017	1.1%	1.9%	0.5%
Honduras	Aguaqueterique	2000	8.1%	35.4%	0.5%
Honduras	Aguaqueterique	2017	33.9%	70.4%	9.1%
Honduras	Ahuas	2000	5.0%	20.3%	0.4%
Honduras	Ahuas	2017	20.3%	48.4%	4.5%
Honduras	Ajuterique	2000	9.9%	23.7%	4.8%
Honduras	Ajuterique	2017	61.6%	74.1%	46.6%
Honduras	Alauca	2000	2.2%	10.1%	0.2%
Honduras	Alauca	2017	13.4%	28.9%	1.9%
Honduras	Alianza	2000	7.0%	23.4%	1.0%
Honduras	Alianza	2017	40.2%	64.2%	16.7%
Honduras	Alubarén	2000	0.8%	1.7%	0.5%
Honduras	Alubarén	2017	15.0%	24.5%	9.4%
Honduras	Amapala	2000	3.9%	11.4%	1.4%
Honduras	Amapala	2017	36.5%	54.5%	23.0%
Honduras	Apacilagua	2000	8.8%	25.3%	0.7%
Honduras	Apacilagua	2017	31.3%	53.3%	11.4%
Honduras	Arada	2000	4.3%	23.9%	0.1%
Honduras	Arada	2017	22.1%	55.3%	2.6%
Honduras	Aramecina	2000	13.5%	40.1%	2.7%
Honduras	Aramecina	2017	50.5%	72.2%	28.4%
Honduras	Arenal	2000	13.5%	46.7%	0.5%
Honduras	Arenal	2017	39.4%	81.6%	4.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Arizona	2000	11.6%	30.0%	2.0%
Honduras	Arizona	2017	41.7%	63.5%	22.3%
Honduras	Atima	2000	1.7%	5.3%	0.4%
Honduras	Atima	2017	15.6%	26.4%	6.9%
Honduras	Azacualpa	2000	6.4%	14.1%	2.4%
Honduras	Azacualpa	2017	44.2%	59.7%	31.0%
Honduras	Balfate	2000	9.6%	26.4%	1.8%
Honduras	Balfate	2017	41.8%	63.1%	22.1%
Honduras	Belen	2000	11.0%	27.3%	0.8%
Honduras	Belen	2017	31.8%	51.7%	12.8%
Honduras	Belén Gualcho	2000	1.8%	3.2%	1.2%
Honduras	Belén Gualcho	2017	24.8%	30.6%	19.8%
Honduras	Bonito Oriental	2000	9.8%	24.3%	3.2%
Honduras	Bonito Oriental	2017	51.2%	70.2%	32.3%
Honduras	Brus Laguna	2000	8.2%	18.9%	2.4%
Honduras	Brus Laguna	2017	27.5%	45.1%	13.9%
Honduras	Cabañas	2000	3.6%	19.6%	0.1%
Honduras	Cabañas	2000	3.4%	19.9%	0.4%
Honduras	Cabañas	2017	18.0%	51.7%	1.6%
Honduras	Cabañas	2017	20.7%	53.0%	6.7%
Honduras	Camasca	2000	1.2%	3.4%	0.6%
Honduras	Camasca	2017	17.6%	29.8%	10.8%
Honduras	Campamento	2000	7.2%	24.1%	0.9%
Honduras	Campamento	2017	28.4%	59.9%	8.8%
Honduras	Candelaria	2000	8.7%	45.2%	0.3%
Honduras	Candelaria	2017	29.4%	73.0%	3.8%
Honduras	Cane	2000	11.2%	13.5%	9.5%
Honduras	Cane	2017	57.8%	66.0%	52.0%
Honduras	Caridad	2000	1.3%	6.5%	0.1%
Honduras	Caridad	2017	13.4%	42.0%	3.0%
Honduras	Catacamas	2000	16.9%	26.9%	11.5%
Honduras	Catacamas	2017	48.7%	60.2%	36.0%
Honduras	Cedros	2000	13.5%	29.6%	3.0%
Honduras	Cedros	2017	42.9%	66.5%	21.3%
Honduras	Ceguaca	2000	9.1%	20.2%	1.4%
Honduras	Ceguaca	2017	41.8%	67.5%	15.9%
Honduras	Chinacla	2000	4.1%	21.3%	0.3%
Honduras	Chinacla	2017	24.9%	52.3%	6.9%
Honduras	Chinda	2000	8.6%	43.3%	1.4%
Honduras	Chinda	2017	36.6%	73.8%	9.9%
Honduras	Choloma	2000	35.5%	40.5%	30.4%
Honduras	Choloma	2017	78.6%	81.4%	76.0%
Honduras	Choluteca	2000	12.1%	20.6%	7.3%
Honduras	Choluteca	2017	40.7%	49.3%	34.5%
Honduras	Cololaca	2000	17.3%	30.9%	8.2%
Honduras	Cololaca	2017	63.1%	79.2%	45.8%
Honduras	Colomoncagua	2000	0.8%	3.6%	0.2%
Honduras	Colomoncagua	2017	9.5%	20.6%	4.4%
Honduras	Comayagua	2000	12.7%	15.9%	10.0%
Honduras	Comayagua	2017	67.1%	71.1%	62.4%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	14.4%	30.5%	4.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Concepción	2000	1.6%	1.9%	1.2%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	9.5%	22.2%	5.5%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	42.6%	66.5%	27.2%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	46.0%	57.4%	29.3%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	20.4%	23.9%	17.3%
Honduras	Concepción de Maria	2000	23.7%	34.7%	8.9%
Honduras	Concepción de Maria	2017	49.4%	64.0%	33.8%
Honduras	Concepción del Norte	2000	12.0%	49.6%	0.2%
Honduras	Concepción del Norte	2017	37.8%	79.9%	4.3%
Honduras	Concepción del Sur	2000	52.9%	87.7%	23.2%
Honduras	Concepción del Sur	2017	81.4%	98.5%	48.0%
Honduras	Concordia	2000	10.3%	40.2%	0.5%
Honduras	Concordia	2017	34.7%	71.5%	7.7%
Honduras	Copán Ruinas	2000	15.4%	30.0%	4.9%
Honduras	Copán Ruinas	2017	34.3%	49.4%	19.0%
Honduras	Corquín	2000	13.3%	17.9%	9.4%
Honduras	Corquín	2017	50.8%	64.6%	42.1%
Honduras	Cucuyagua	2000	12.7%	22.8%	5.6%
Honduras	Cucuyagua	2017	55.2%	70.4%	41.4%
Honduras	Curarén	2000	6.6%	23.9%	0.6%
Honduras	Curarén	2017	33.2%	63.3%	10.9%
Honduras	Danlí	2000	9.6%	16.9%	4.3%
Honduras	Danlí	2017	42.7%	51.9%	32.8%
Honduras	Distrito Central	2000	33.8%	39.1%	28.2%
Honduras	Distrito Central	2017	60.9%	64.8%	56.4%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	4.4%	16.5%	0.8%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Honduras	Dolores	2017	3.7%	10.5%	0.9%
Honduras	Dolores	2017	24.4%	35.9%	13.8%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	3.7%	17.2%	0.9%
Honduras	Merendon	2017	35.4%	61.5%	18.2%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre	2000	17.5%	35.1%	4.6%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre	2017	52.9%	60.8%	42.5%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre de Culmí	2000	8.7%	23.2%	2.0%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre de Culmí	2017	30.4%	50.2%	12.9%
Honduras	Duyure	2000	4.6%	33.2%	0.0%
Honduras	Duyure	2017	20.1%	71.5%	0.6%
Honduras	El Corpus	2000	7.1%	16.3%	0.8%
Honduras	El Corpus	2017	19.8%	35.7%	9.0%
Honduras	El Negrito	2000	27.1%	41.3%	15.1%
Honduras	El Negrito	2017	68.9%	80.4%	53.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	El Nispero	2000	2.8%	17.7%	0.1%
Honduras	El Nispero	2017	13.6%	39.1%	1.8%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2000	9.0%	18.1%	4.3%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2000	24.2%	31.1%	17.1%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2017	55.5%	63.6%	49.2%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2017	53.4%	67.7%	40.8%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2000	42.1%	59.9%	22.8%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2000	14.3%	35.3%	3.5%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2017	84.5%	93.6%	67.6%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2017	48.6%	74.9%	27.3%
Honduras	El Progreso	2000	49.9%	58.9%	41.7%
Honduras	El Progreso	2017	85.4%	90.8%	78.3%
Honduras	El Rosario	2000	4.6%	25.1%	0.1%
Honduras	El Rosario	2000	45.6%	71.6%	27.4%
Honduras	El Rosario	2017	19.5%	54.1%	1.0%
Honduras	El Rosario	2017	77.7%	93.8%	57.6%
Honduras	El Triunfo	2000	6.1%	13.1%	1.3%
Honduras	El Triunfo	2017	20.9%	39.6%	10.3%
Honduras	Erandique	2000	4.8%	16.5%	1.6%
Honduras	Erandique	2017	27.5%	46.2%	14.2%
Honduras	Esparta	2000	13.2%	29.2%	2.8%
Honduras	Esparta	2017	49.2%	69.6%	28.4%
Honduras	Esquías	2000	18.8%	44.2%	2.4%
Honduras	Esquías	2017	50.7%	79.3%	19.1%
Honduras	Esquipulas del Norte	2000	9.5%	30.8%	1.2%
Honduras	Esquipulas del Norte	2017	31.3%	61.4%	10.8%
Honduras	Florida	2000	9.5%	28.4%	3.5%
Honduras	Florida	2017	34.8%	61.1%	17.6%
Honduras	Fraternidad	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Honduras	Fraternidad	2017	20.6%	26.5%	15.6%
Honduras	Goascorán	2000	6.1%	16.7%	1.3%
Honduras	Goascorán	2017	29.4%	42.2%	19.1%
Honduras	Gracias	2000	21.5%	34.2%	9.1%
Honduras	Gracias	2017	54.1%	63.5%	43.6%
Honduras	Guaimaca	2000	21.3%	34.4%	12.3%
Honduras	Guaimaca	2017	55.4%	71.7%	40.6%
Honduras	Guajiquiro	2000	3.1%	13.7%	0.3%
Honduras	Guajiquiro	2017	18.8%	39.7%	6.0%
Honduras	Gualaco	2000	13.3%	37.3%	3.0%
Honduras	Gualaco	2017	39.1%	61.4%	17.2%
Honduras	Gualala	2000	20.6%	59.8%	2.2%
Honduras	Gualala	2017	56.0%	82.3%	20.2%
Honduras	Gualcince	2000	38.6%	51.1%	25.4%
Honduras	Gualcince	2017	62.7%	75.0%	45.7%
Honduras	Guanaja	2000	19.9%	38.8%	6.6%
Honduras	Guanaja	2017	74.7%	89.3%	57.4%
Honduras	Guarita	2000	7.5%	33.2%	0.7%
Honduras	Guarita	2017	34.4%	71.1%	10.5%
Honduras	Guarizama	2000	14.6%	57.6%	0.3%
Honduras	Guarizama	2017	40.1%	85.1%	4.2%
Honduras	Guata	2000	7.3%	17.8%	1.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Guata	2017	30.2%	48.2%	15.9%
Honduras	Guayape	2000	10.2%	35.3%	0.7%
Honduras	Guayape	2017	30.3%	71.5%	6.7%
Honduras	Guinope	2000	10.1%	42.0%	0.3%
Honduras	Guinope	2017	34.2%	74.8%	4.1%
Honduras	Humuya	2000	3.4%	19.3%	0.6%
Honduras	Humuya	2017	28.3%	72.6%	10.8%
Honduras	Ilama	2000	14.4%	46.6%	0.5%
Honduras	Ilama	2017	42.3%	74.2%	10.0%
Honduras	Intibucá	2000	33.0%	39.6%	23.8%
Honduras	Intibucá	2017	49.5%	55.6%	44.7%
Honduras	Iriona	2000	11.1%	23.4%	3.7%
Honduras	Iriona	2017	34.5%	51.1%	17.5%
Honduras	Jacaleapa	2000	9.4%	19.4%	3.6%
Honduras	Jacaleapa	2017	45.8%	65.0%	29.4%
Honduras	Jano	2000	10.7%	35.9%	0.6%
Honduras	Jano	2017	33.0%	68.9%	6.0%
Honduras	Jesús de Otoro	2000	4.4%	10.6%	1.9%
Honduras	Jesús de Otoro	2017	37.0%	49.4%	25.1%
Honduras	Jocón	2000	9.4%	33.7%	0.7%
Honduras	Jocón	2017	33.0%	64.3%	10.4%
Honduras	José Santos Guardiola	2000	7.2%	11.9%	3.7%
Honduras	José Santos Guardiola	2017	49.9%	65.6%	35.6%
Honduras	Juan Francisco Bulnes	2000	10.1%	26.1%	3.1%
Honduras	Juan Francisco Bulnes	2017	40.3%	65.1%	22.6%
Honduras	Jutiapa	2000	15.6%	43.0%	1.9%
Honduras	Jutiapa	2017	46.8%	74.6%	18.0%
Honduras	Juticalpa	2000	16.1%	32.5%	7.2%
Honduras	Juticalpa	2017	57.2%	67.8%	38.2%
Honduras	La Campa	2000	2.8%	15.2%	0.3%
Honduras	La Campa	2017	19.7%	43.3%	6.5%
Honduras	La Ceiba	2000	43.8%	50.5%	36.6%
Honduras	La Ceiba	2017	86.5%	90.9%	81.8%
Honduras	La Encarnación	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.2%
Honduras	La Encarnación	2017	43.7%	49.0%	38.5%
Honduras	La Esperanza	2000	55.2%	80.6%	35.5%
Honduras	La Esperanza	2017	80.9%	94.4%	56.5%
Honduras	La Iguala	2000	4.1%	14.4%	0.3%
Honduras	La Iguala	2017	19.0%	40.2%	6.2%
Honduras	La Jigua	2000	28.5%	36.5%	16.7%
Honduras	La Jigua	2017	70.4%	75.9%	62.6%
Honduras	La Labor	2000	1.9%	2.4%	1.7%
Honduras	La Labor	2017	29.0%	31.2%	27.0%
Honduras	La Libertad	2000	5.5%	33.5%	0.0%
Honduras	La Libertad	2000	15.1%	24.4%	8.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	La Libertad	2017	22.8%	53.2%	1.0%
Honduras	La Libertad	2017	62.9%	76.1%	50.7%
Honduras	La Lima	2000	32.2%	38.1%	25.6%
Honduras	La Lima	2017	83.1%	88.9%	76.6%
Honduras	La Masica	2000	19.5%	28.6%	11.4%
Honduras	La Masica	2017	69.6%	77.8%	59.4%
Honduras	La Paz	2000	11.5%	14.5%	9.5%
Honduras	La Paz	2017	49.7%	54.2%	46.8%
Honduras	La Trinidad	2000	18.1%	48.0%	4.1%
Honduras	La Trinidad	2017	61.9%	87.7%	27.8%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	24.5%	44.3%	10.4%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	0.8%	2.4%	0.3%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	9.1%	27.0%	1.1%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	12.7%	21.2%	7.4%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	55.4%	73.6%	38.8%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	37.8%	64.4%	13.0%
Honduras	La Venta	2000	4.1%	26.8%	0.1%
Honduras	La Venta	2017	22.6%	64.9%	3.2%
Honduras	La Virtud	2000	13.8%	21.8%	8.8%
Honduras	La Virtud	2017	58.5%	71.1%	49.2%
Honduras	Lamaní	2000	10.1%	39.3%	0.8%
Honduras	Lamaní	2017	41.4%	77.5%	10.8%
Honduras	Langue	2000	5.5%	12.5%	1.8%
Honduras	Langue	2017	35.3%	46.6%	23.7%
Honduras	Las Flores	2000	6.8%	18.8%	1.5%
Honduras	Las Flores	2017	31.9%	45.5%	18.2%
Honduras	Las Lajas	2000	19.1%	52.4%	2.3%
Honduras	Las Lajas	2017	59.0%	88.8%	20.7%
Honduras	Las Vegas	2000	56.6%	86.6%	26.1%
Honduras	Las Vegas	2017	88.9%	96.4%	67.4%
Honduras	Lauterique	2000	0.9%	3.8%	0.2%
Honduras	Lauterique	2017	11.8%	29.0%	4.2%
Honduras	Lejamaní	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Honduras	Lejamaní	2017	25.1%	32.1%	19.6%
Honduras	Lepaera	2000	4.3%	9.3%	1.4%
Honduras	Lepaera	2017	18.0%	26.9%	9.4%
Honduras	Lepaterique	2000	6.1%	20.6%	0.5%
Honduras	Lepaterique	2017	24.7%	53.0%	6.6%
Honduras	Limón	2000	29.2%	50.2%	11.3%
Honduras	Limón	2017	64.2%	81.4%	46.1%
Honduras	Liure	2000	1.4%	12.4%	0.0%
Honduras	Liure	2017	9.7%	38.4%	0.7%
Honduras	Lucerna	2000	5.8%	12.7%	2.3%
Honduras	Lucerna	2017	39.5%	44.7%	33.3%
Honduras	Macuelizo	2000	10.3%	24.8%	3.5%
Honduras	Macuelizo	2017	52.6%	75.0%	32.0%
Honduras	Magdalena	2000	1.2%	3.0%	0.7%
Honduras	Magdalena	2017	19.9%	28.9%	14.2%
Honduras	Mangulile	2000	13.4%	35.2%	2.7%
Honduras	Mangulile	2017	41.5%	71.1%	16.9%
Honduras	Manto	2000	11.9%	31.8%	1.9%
Honduras	Manto	2017	40.6%	68.5%	14.9%
Honduras	Mapulaca	2000	9.3%	25.5%	2.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Mapulaca	2017	48.7%	61.9%	29.9%
Honduras	Maraita	2000	11.2%	33.2%	1.8%
Honduras	Maraita	2017	37.7%	74.6%	11.4%
Honduras	Marale	2000	11.6%	35.6%	1.1%
Honduras	Marale	2017	37.6%	69.5%	10.5%
Honduras	Marcala	2000	1.5%	5.8%	0.5%
Honduras	Marcala	2017	27.2%	37.0%	20.8%
Honduras	Marcovia	2000	4.8%	13.9%	0.7%
Honduras	Marcovia	2017	23.2%	40.8%	10.7%
Honduras	Masaguara	2000	3.5%	8.3%	1.1%
Honduras	Masaguara	2017	25.6%	33.1%	17.5%
Honduras	Meámbar	2000	10.6%	33.4%	1.3%
Honduras	Meámbar	2017	35.8%	71.1%	11.8%
Honduras	Mercedes	2000	5.6%	13.6%	2.9%
Honduras	Mercedes	2017	50.9%	69.1%	35.0%
Honduras	Mercedes de Oriente	2000	11.9%	75.5%	0.1%
Honduras	Mercedes de Oriente	2017	37.2%	87.2%	1.9%
Honduras	Minas de Oro	2000	10.6%	29.1%	1.1%
Honduras	Minas de Oro	2017	40.6%	67.7%	15.4%
Honduras	Morazán	2000	11.8%	24.7%	3.7%
Honduras	Morazán	2017	55.9%	74.0%	37.9%
Honduras	Morocelí	2000	20.0%	35.4%	9.9%
Honduras	Morocelí	2017	47.0%	62.5%	33.5%
Honduras	Morolica	2000	6.3%	24.4%	0.3%
Honduras	Morolica	2017	25.9%	55.2%	4.9%
Honduras	Nacaome	2000	6.8%	10.4%	3.7%
Honduras	Nacaome	2017	42.6%	48.6%	37.4%
Honduras	Namasigue	2000	1.2%	4.6%	0.1%
Honduras	Namasigue	2017	6.9%	15.3%	2.0%
Honduras	Naranjito	2000	4.6%	17.9%	0.5%
Honduras	Naranjito	2017	26.8%	48.8%	10.5%
Honduras	Nueva Arcadia	2000	15.2%	21.8%	8.8%
Honduras	Nueva Arcadia	2017	58.8%	69.4%	47.1%
Honduras	Nueva Armenia	2000	13.6%	42.0%	1.0%
Honduras	Nueva Armenia	2017	44.1%	79.5%	14.0%
Honduras	Nueva Frontera	2000	5.3%	23.3%	0.4%
Honduras	Nueva Frontera	2017	28.0%	55.3%	7.8%
Honduras	Nuevo Celilac	2000	12.3%	37.7%	1.2%
Honduras	Nuevo Celilac	2017	42.8%	70.9%	16.1%
Honduras	Ocotepeque	2000	17.1%	27.6%	10.9%
Honduras	Ocotepeque	2017	66.9%	75.2%	54.7%
Honduras	Ojo de Agua	2000	30.2%	46.5%	17.8%
Honduras	Ojo de Agua	2017	72.1%	85.4%	53.4%
Honduras	Ojojona	2000	5.1%	17.8%	0.4%
Honduras	Ojojona	2017	26.0%	54.1%	7.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Olanchito	2000	35.2%	44.0%	28.2%
Honduras	Olanchito	2017	65.5%	74.9%	57.0%
Honduras	Omoa	2000	14.0%	29.3%	3.9%
Honduras	Omoa	2017	46.3%	63.9%	25.6%
Honduras	Opatoro	2000	6.0%	19.2%	1.1%
Honduras	Opatoro	2017	34.1%	62.2%	12.9%
Honduras	Orica	2000	4.8%	17.0%	0.7%
Honduras	Orica	2017	29.5%	50.0%	12.5%
Honduras	Orocuina	2000	3.9%	11.9%	0.7%
Honduras	Orocuina	2017	25.3%	43.1%	14.8%
Honduras	Oropolí	2000	9.5%	46.9%	0.1%
Honduras	Oropolí	2017	31.0%	80.6%	1.3%
Honduras	Patuca	2000	10.2%	29.9%	1.2%
Honduras	Patuca	2017	31.6%	59.3%	11.0%
Honduras	Pespire	2000	7.5%	18.0%	1.9%
Honduras	Pespire	2017	33.7%	45.6%	21.4%
Honduras	Pettoa	2000	9.4%	28.3%	2.7%
Honduras	Pettoa	2017	45.2%	70.9%	26.7%
Honduras	Pimienta	2000	6.2%	39.8%	0.8%
Honduras	Pimienta	2017	48.3%	83.8%	18.8%
Honduras	Piraera	2000	6.9%	31.1%	0.4%
Honduras	Piraera	2017	30.0%	61.8%	7.2%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2000	5.3%	25.3%	0.3%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2000	7.3%	19.9%	2.0%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2017	27.3%	62.0%	6.0%
Honduras	Potrerillos	2017	62.0%	85.3%	30.9%
Honduras	Protección	2000	5.9%	19.2%	0.7%
Honduras	Protección	2017	27.3%	49.8%	11.2%
Honduras	Puerto Cortés	2000	48.0%	59.9%	34.6%
Honduras	Puerto Cortés	2017	87.6%	92.5%	81.5%
Honduras	Puerto Lem-pira	2000	6.1%	10.6%	2.8%
Honduras	Puerto Lem-pira	2017	23.1%	32.6%	14.7%
Honduras	Quimistán	2000	16.4%	32.0%	8.6%
Honduras	Quimistán	2017	51.9%	73.8%	32.8%
Honduras	Ramón Villeda Morales	2000	3.1%	12.4%	0.1%
Honduras	Ramón Villeda Morales	2017	14.2%	33.2%	2.1%
Honduras	Reitoca	2000	7.7%	29.3%	0.7%
Honduras	Reitoca	2017	34.4%	65.8%	10.1%
Honduras	Roatán	2000	31.8%	38.6%	16.8%
Honduras	Roatán	2017	74.5%	83.1%	66.1%
Honduras	Sabá	2000	13.5%	25.9%	5.5%
Honduras	Sabá	2017	57.3%	75.6%	39.5%
Honduras	Sabanagrande	2000	8.4%	39.6%	0.5%
Honduras	Sabanagrande	2017	36.2%	74.8%	7.9%
Honduras	Salamá	2000	11.9%	39.3%	1.1%
Honduras	Salamá	2017	40.0%	67.6%	13.0%
Honduras	San Agustín	2000	7.4%	39.6%	0.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Agustín	2017	35.2%	68.4%	7.7%
Honduras	San Andrés	2000	13.3%	22.6%	7.5%
Honduras	San Andrés	2017	37.2%	53.6%	25.6%
Honduras	San Antonio	2000	4.2%	12.4%	1.6%
Honduras	San Antonio	2000	11.7%	63.0%	0.1%
Honduras	San Antonio	2017	35.3%	90.7%	1.6%
Honduras	San Antonio	2017	33.6%	49.4%	23.0%
Honduras	San Antonio de Cortés	2000	15.4%	46.6%	4.3%
Honduras	San Antonio de Cortés	2017	47.5%	76.0%	23.0%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2000	5.9%	30.5%	0.1%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2000	13.6%	57.0%	0.8%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2017	53.8%	92.5%	12.2%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2017	23.2%	60.5%	2.3%
Honduras	San Antonio de Oriente	2000	43.9%	57.6%	31.6%
Honduras	San Antonio de Oriente	2017	70.2%	77.1%	56.2%
Honduras	San Antonio del Norte	2000	6.1%	28.9%	0.3%
Honduras	San Antonio del Norte	2017	30.0%	65.3%	5.9%
Honduras	San Buenaventura	2000	8.5%	43.1%	0.1%
Honduras	San Buenaventura	2017	30.7%	74.4%	3.3%
Honduras	San Esteban	2000	11.7%	32.9%	3.0%
Honduras	San Esteban	2017	37.1%	62.6%	17.4%
Honduras	San Fernando	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Honduras	San Fernando	2017	20.7%	27.4%	16.0%
Honduras	San Francisco	2000	29.4%	43.2%	18.7%
Honduras	San Francisco	2000	5.0%	16.6%	1.1%
Honduras	San Francisco	2017	28.0%	41.2%	17.2%
Honduras	San Francisco	2017	75.2%	87.6%	62.6%
Honduras	San Francisco de Becerra	2000	7.0%	21.8%	0.7%
Honduras	San Francisco de Becerra	2017	25.5%	49.4%	8.3%
Honduras	San Francisco de Coray	2000	2.0%	9.2%	0.2%
Honduras	San Francisco de Coray	2017	14.1%	29.4%	4.2%
Honduras	San Francisco de la Paz	2000	14.9%	36.7%	4.4%
Honduras	San Francisco de la Paz	2017	46.6%	72.8%	23.2%
Honduras	San Francisco de Ojuera	2000	9.6%	34.2%	0.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Francisco de Ojuera	2017	34.3%	68.2%	9.4%
Honduras	San Francisco de Opalaca	2000	4.6%	18.3%	0.5%
Honduras	San Francisco de Opalaca	2017	17.4%	37.2%	4.7%
Honduras	San Francisco de Yojoa	2000	39.0%	82.1%	11.1%
Honduras	San Francisco de Yojoa	2017	78.0%	98.7%	34.9%
Honduras	San Francisco del Valle	2000	12.1%	16.2%	8.8%
Honduras	San Francisco del Valle	2017	44.4%	49.8%	41.2%
Honduras	San Ignacio	2000	9.8%	34.4%	1.3%
Honduras	San Ignacio	2017	43.1%	76.8%	17.2%
Honduras	San Isidro	2000	1.5%	7.4%	0.1%
Honduras	San Isidro	2000	6.8%	27.0%	0.3%
Honduras	San Isidro	2017	12.7%	33.4%	2.1%
Honduras	San Isidro	2017	25.8%	59.8%	4.8%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2000	16.0%	37.8%	4.4%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2000	9.0%	29.8%	3.2%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2017	50.6%	79.9%	24.0%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2017	30.3%	48.8%	13.5%
Honduras	San Jorge	2000	3.4%	15.7%	0.8%
Honduras	San Jorge	2017	32.5%	59.9%	12.9%
Honduras	San José	2000	4.4%	17.3%	1.2%
Honduras	San José	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Honduras	San José	2000	4.4%	21.1%	0.2%
Honduras	San José	2017	33.2%	48.3%	21.3%
Honduras	San José	2017	23.9%	57.3%	5.4%
Honduras	San José	2017	0.5%	1.4%	0.1%
Honduras	San José de Colinas	2000	8.2%	34.3%	0.9%
Honduras	San José de Colinas	2017	33.8%	67.9%	10.7%
Honduras	San José de Comayagua	2000	17.7%	54.9%	0.9%
Honduras	San José de Comayagua	2017	50.6%	82.8%	14.3%
Honduras	San José del Potrero	2000	12.7%	43.1%	0.6%
Honduras	San José del Potrero	2017	39.8%	79.4%	7.1%
Honduras	San Juan	2000	10.7%	61.8%	0.1%
Honduras	San Juan	2000	9.7%	24.2%	1.5%
Honduras	San Juan	2017	34.9%	89.4%	1.1%
Honduras	San Juan	2017	32.0%	45.2%	16.5%
Honduras	San Juan de Flores	2000	22.8%	55.0%	4.4%
Honduras	San Juan de Flores	2017	63.0%	88.6%	33.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Juan de Opoa	2000	2.8%	6.9%	1.3%
Honduras	San Juan de Opoa	2017	35.0%	46.4%	28.7%
Honduras	San Juan Guarita	2000	9.5%	55.4%	0.0%
Honduras	San Juan Guarita	2017	30.9%	92.3%	0.5%
Honduras	San Lorenzo	2000	1.8%	3.4%	1.4%
Honduras	San Lorenzo	2017	24.5%	31.4%	21.9%
Honduras	San Lucas	2000	2.7%	12.2%	0.4%
Honduras	San Lucas	2017	14.2%	33.5%	6.1%
Honduras	San Luis	2000	13.2%	29.8%	4.3%
Honduras	San Luis	2000	15.4%	46.7%	1.4%
Honduras	San Luis	2017	51.4%	75.2%	29.7%
Honduras	San Luis	2017	48.6%	74.5%	20.2%
Honduras	San Manuel	2000	21.1%	33.2%	13.9%
Honduras	San Manuel	2017	61.6%	70.6%	49.6%
Honduras	San Manuel Colohete	2000	1.9%	10.4%	0.1%
Honduras	San Manuel Colohete	2017	12.5%	34.4%	2.0%
Honduras	San Marcos	2000	22.0%	40.0%	10.0%
Honduras	San Marcos	2000	46.5%	59.2%	31.9%
Honduras	San Marcos	2017	71.7%	81.8%	56.9%
Honduras	San Marcos	2017	76.3%	90.0%	59.4%
Honduras	San Marcos de Caiquín	2000	3.7%	25.7%	0.1%
Honduras	San Marcos de Caiquín	2017	17.5%	56.9%	1.4%
Honduras	San Marcos de Colón	2000	14.3%	34.1%	3.7%
Honduras	San Marcos de Colón	2017	53.0%	72.5%	32.9%
Honduras	San Marcos de la Sierra	2000	1.3%	8.9%	0.0%
Honduras	San Marcos de la Sierra	2017	6.2%	23.5%	0.4%
Honduras	San Matías	2000	11.0%	33.8%	2.1%
Honduras	San Matías	2017	50.9%	80.8%	25.5%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2000	3.4%	17.1%	0.5%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2000	6.3%	27.1%	0.3%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2017	23.7%	47.5%	6.4%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2017	24.4%	38.6%	11.8%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2000	5.5%	22.9%	1.5%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2000	13.1%	20.8%	6.2%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2017	36.0%	62.7%	17.2%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2017	43.7%	49.4%	37.8%
Honduras	San Pedro	2000	14.2%	40.7%	7.4%
Honduras	San Pedro	2017	54.8%	81.1%	37.7%
Honduras	San Pedro de Tutule	2000	1.2%	4.0%	0.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Pedro de Tutule	2017	18.5%	25.5%	13.4%
Honduras	San Pedro Sula	2000	54.1%	58.2%	49.3%
Honduras	San Pedro Sula	2017	90.0%	92.6%	87.2%
Honduras	San Pedro Zapaca	2000	13.1%	42.9%	0.9%
Honduras	San Pedro Zapaca	2017	43.0%	79.8%	10.8%
Honduras	San Rafael	2000	9.0%	43.7%	0.1%
Honduras	San Rafael	2017	31.9%	84.8%	1.6%
Honduras	San Sebastian	2000	6.1%	23.5%	0.7%
Honduras	San Sebastian	2017	30.1%	49.9%	12.0%
Honduras	San Sebastián	2000	4.8%	12.9%	1.7%
Honduras	San Sebastián	2017	36.7%	53.5%	23.7%
Honduras	San Vicente Centenario	2000	13.6%	31.4%	6.6%
Honduras	San Vicente Centenario	2017	39.9%	65.6%	21.1%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2000	3.5%	11.8%	1.3%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2000	4.0%	11.7%	1.0%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2017	31.4%	53.9%	17.3%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2017	29.5%	46.4%	15.9%
Honduras	Santa Ana de Yusguare	2000	4.0%	6.4%	2.6%
Honduras	Santa Ana de Yusguare	2017	36.2%	40.1%	32.2%
Honduras	Santa Bárbara	2000	40.9%	53.5%	25.5%
Honduras	Santa Bárbara	2017	80.3%	87.2%	68.1%
Honduras	Santa Cruz	2000	5.0%	23.0%	0.1%
Honduras	Santa Cruz	2017	21.6%	58.4%	1.3%
Honduras	Santa Cruz de Yojoa	2000	26.3%	39.0%	16.5%
Honduras	Santa Cruz de Yojoa	2017	72.0%	84.7%	59.3%
Honduras	Santa Elena	2000	0.8%	6.4%	0.1%
Honduras	Santa Elena	2017	4.9%	8.7%	2.0%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2000	15.5%	51.5%	0.5%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2000	11.4%	46.4%	3.7%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2017	52.1%	73.9%	30.9%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2017	45.5%	84.6%	9.0%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2000	5.3%	33.6%	0.2%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2000	73.9%	87.7%	55.1%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2017	28.0%	75.0%	4.3%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2017	97.8%	99.3%	92.1%
Honduras	Santa María	2000	6.1%	10.0%	3.5%
Honduras	Santa María	2017	49.7%	56.2%	42.2%
Honduras	Santa Maria del Real	2000	8.0%	15.2%	3.3%
Honduras	Santa Maria del Real	2017	44.1%	56.6%	33.0%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	9.8%	28.8%	0.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	9.1%	26.1%	3.3%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	3.5%	11.0%	0.7%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	34.5%	73.9%	11.0%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	22.5%	40.1%	10.4%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	58.0%	75.4%	41.2%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Aguán	2000	14.5%	47.7%	1.5%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Aguán	2017	50.5%	84.3%	18.3%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Copán	2000	12.4%	20.2%	7.2%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Copán	2017	59.6%	67.8%	51.3%
Honduras	Santiago de Puringla	2000	2.7%	5.8%	1.4%
Honduras	Santiago de Puringla	2017	25.9%	32.5%	19.9%
Honduras	Sensenti	2000	5.9%	14.4%	2.3%
Honduras	Sensenti	2017	44.4%	53.9%	32.3%
Honduras	Siguatopeque	2000	29.9%	36.0%	24.7%
Honduras	Siguatopeque	2017	75.2%	79.3%	70.7%
Honduras	Silca	2000	12.2%	35.8%	1.3%
Honduras	Silca	2017	47.2%	74.8%	23.7%
Honduras	Sinuapa	2000	28.2%	35.1%	20.9%
Honduras	Sinuapa	2017	72.7%	78.4%	68.0%
Honduras	Soledad	2000	5.3%	27.4%	0.4%
Honduras	Soledad	2017	22.3%	49.3%	6.6%
Honduras	Sonaguera	2000	14.2%	21.6%	9.5%
Honduras	Sonaguera	2017	68.7%	75.8%	60.8%
Honduras	Sulaco	2000	10.8%	34.1%	1.6%
Honduras	Sulaco	2017	39.3%	67.8%	14.9%
Honduras	Talanga	2000	15.2%	31.0%	6.2%
Honduras	Talanga	2017	47.6%	69.5%	28.0%
Honduras	Talgua	2000	1.3%	4.8%	0.5%
Honduras	Talgua	2017	16.0%	24.5%	9.3%
Honduras	Tambla	2000	5.6%	36.2%	0.1%
Honduras	Tambla	2017	30.7%	83.8%	2.7%
Honduras	Tatumbula	2000	6.4%	12.2%	2.6%
Honduras	Tatumbula	2017	16.2%	24.1%	8.3%
Honduras	Taulabe	2000	18.9%	55.1%	3.5%
Honduras	Taulabe	2017	56.6%	86.0%	22.3%
Honduras	Tela	2000	24.6%	31.9%	18.6%
Honduras	Tela	2017	65.3%	74.5%	56.3%
Honduras	Teupasenti	2000	22.1%	33.6%	11.9%
Honduras	Teupasenti	2017	54.4%	68.8%	38.8%
Honduras	Texiguat	2000	5.8%	23.5%	0.5%
Honduras	Texiguat	2017	23.9%	55.5%	6.5%
Honduras	Tocoa	2000	22.9%	29.0%	17.2%
Honduras	Tocoa	2017	70.1%	75.2%	64.0%
Honduras	Tomalá	2000	5.8%	33.4%	0.2%
Honduras	Tomalá	2017	31.2%	79.9%	4.0%
Honduras	Trinidad	2000	10.0%	13.7%	6.3%
Honduras	Trinidad	2017	36.7%	45.0%	29.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Trinidad de Copán	2000	15.0%	30.6%	9.0%
Honduras	Trinidad de Copán	2017	53.5%	68.9%	38.1%
Honduras	Trojes	2000	10.0%	18.7%	3.8%
Honduras	Trojes	2017	31.5%	45.5%	18.3%
Honduras	Trujillo	2000	16.1%	23.4%	9.7%
Honduras	Trujillo	2017	58.9%	67.3%	49.9%
Honduras	Utila	2000	24.4%	49.4%	9.7%
Honduras	Utila	2017	76.2%	93.8%	60.3%
Honduras	Vado Ancho	2000	6.1%	34.5%	0.0%
Honduras	Vado Ancho	2017	19.4%	59.9%	0.4%
Honduras	Valladolid	2000	4.3%	19.3%	0.2%
Honduras	Valladolid	2017	25.0%	59.2%	3.4%
Honduras	Valle de Ángeles	2000	73.9%	86.5%	58.5%
Honduras	Valle de Ángeles	2017	95.1%	98.8%	89.1%
Honduras	Vallecillo	2000	11.1%	45.5%	0.2%
Honduras	Vallecillo	2017	36.4%	80.8%	3.7%
Honduras	Veracruz	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Honduras	Veracruz	2017	18.4%	24.7%	14.2%
Honduras	Victoria	2000	9.7%	23.6%	1.4%
Honduras	Victoria	2017	32.3%	58.4%	13.9%
Honduras	Villa de San Antonio	2000	5.1%	20.0%	1.0%
Honduras	Villa de San Antonio	2017	33.5%	55.3%	16.8%
Honduras	Villa de San Francisco	2000	29.3%	56.1%	16.6%
Honduras	Villa de San Francisco	2017	75.1%	95.1%	46.4%
Honduras	Villanueva	2000	33.3%	35.4%	29.9%
Honduras	Villanueva	2017	68.5%	71.1%	65.7%
Honduras	Virginia	2000	16.4%	74.7%	0.8%
Honduras	Virginia	2017	51.7%	96.6%	13.9%
Honduras	Wampusirpi	2000	5.1%	19.5%	0.5%
Honduras	Wampusirpi	2017	19.8%	45.9%	4.8%
Honduras	Yamaranguila	2000	12.5%	27.1%	4.3%
Honduras	Yamaranguila	2017	34.2%	51.5%	16.7%
Honduras	Yarula	2000	0.5%	1.3%	0.2%
Honduras	Yarula	2017	7.4%	13.0%	4.1%
Honduras	Yauyupe	2000	21.2%	69.4%	1.1%
Honduras	Yauyupe	2017	61.2%	95.7%	18.5%
Honduras	Yocón	2000	30.0%	49.8%	12.2%
Honduras	Yocón	2017	54.0%	70.7%	35.8%
Honduras	Yorito	2000	10.4%	35.9%	0.6%
Honduras	Yorito	2017	40.1%	80.8%	9.8%
Honduras	Yoro	2000	9.3%	16.8%	4.1%
Honduras	Yoro	2017	39.2%	53.4%	28.8%
Honduras	Yuscarán	2000	13.6%	41.1%	2.2%
Honduras	Yuscarán	2017	41.3%	76.3%	10.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Abala	2000	8.3%	20.6%	2.2%
Mexico	Abala	2017	8.6%	22.2%	2.4%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	55.5%	83.0%	22.9%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	78.6%	80.5%	76.3%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	58.0%	94.3%	12.9%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	52.9%	69.4%	32.4%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	59.6%	94.0%	17.8%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	54.5%	70.9%	34.0%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	75.7%	78.4%	72.3%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	57.0%	84.4%	25.9%
Mexico	Abejones	2000	22.7%	28.2%	17.6%
Mexico	Abejones	2017	27.7%	34.2%	21.7%
Mexico	Acacoyagua	2000	58.9%	71.8%	43.0%
Mexico	Acacoyagua	2017	60.9%	72.2%	47.1%
Mexico	Acajete	2000	44.4%	50.3%	37.9%
Mexico	Acajete	2000	30.7%	33.7%	28.1%
Mexico	Acajete	2017	34.0%	38.0%	30.3%
Mexico	Acajete	2017	48.0%	53.3%	41.9%
Mexico	Acala	2000	70.8%	84.7%	50.6%
Mexico	Acala	2017	72.5%	85.7%	54.7%
Mexico	Acambaro	2000	70.6%	88.6%	49.5%
Mexico	Acambaro	2017	71.5%	88.8%	51.9%
Mexico	Acambay	2000	26.3%	48.4%	10.0%
Mexico	Acambay	2017	28.2%	50.7%	11.4%
Mexico	Acanceh	2000	23.7%	33.9%	16.4%
Mexico	Acanceh	2017	24.9%	34.4%	17.8%
Mexico	Acapetahua	2000	62.2%	85.7%	37.6%
Mexico	Acapetahua	2017	63.2%	86.3%	38.8%
Mexico	Acaponeta	2000	63.1%	81.0%	41.9%
Mexico	Acaponeta	2017	62.7%	82.7%	39.8%
Mexico	Acapulco De Juarez	2000	62.5%	90.2%	24.4%
Mexico	Acapulco De Juarez	2017	63.0%	90.2%	27.2%
Mexico	Acateno	2000	35.2%	51.4%	20.7%
Mexico	Acateno	2017	36.7%	63.4%	16.4%
Mexico	Acatepec	2000	4.2%	18.6%	0.2%
Mexico	Acatepec	2017	4.5%	19.2%	0.2%
Mexico	Acatic	2000	70.8%	87.7%	45.9%
Mexico	Acatic	2017	71.8%	88.3%	47.3%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	36.7%	49.6%	26.8%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	56.9%	67.6%	46.0%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	91.3%	91.9%	90.8%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	36.8%	49.8%	26.5%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	58.2%	71.2%	45.2%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	90.2%	90.8%	89.7%
Mexico	Acatlan De Juarez	2000	88.7%	93.8%	80.0%
Mexico	Acatlan De Juarez	2017	89.3%	94.0%	83.5%
Mexico	Acatlan De Perez Figuroa	2000	43.7%	68.4%	18.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Acatlan De Perez Figueroa	2017	45.3%	69.7%	19.4%
Mexico	Acatzingo	2000	56.7%	64.0%	51.4%
Mexico	Acatzingo	2017	56.4%	63.5%	51.1%
Mexico	Acaxochitlan	2000	30.2%	39.1%	21.1%
Mexico	Acaxochitlan	2017	32.4%	41.5%	22.8%
Mexico	Acayucan	2000	79.3%	92.6%	66.9%
Mexico	Acayucan	2017	80.0%	92.2%	68.3%
Mexico	Acolman	2000	83.0%	83.8%	82.4%
Mexico	Acolman	2017	83.0%	83.9%	82.1%
Mexico	Aconchi	2000	89.7%	92.1%	86.7%
Mexico	Aconchi	2017	90.6%	92.7%	88.3%
Mexico	Acteopan	2000	13.9%	14.4%	13.4%
Mexico	Acteopan	2017	17.9%	18.5%	17.3%
Mexico	Actopan	2000	65.5%	88.0%	33.4%
Mexico	Actopan	2000	70.9%	81.6%	59.6%
Mexico	Actopan	2017	66.8%	89.2%	36.4%
Mexico	Actopan	2017	72.5%	82.7%	61.6%
Mexico	Acuamanala De Miguel Hidalgo	2000	70.7%	71.5%	69.9%
Mexico	Acuamanala De Miguel Hidalgo	2017	72.9%	73.6%	72.1%
Mexico	Acuitzio	2000	60.8%	74.4%	45.2%
Mexico	Acuitzio	2017	61.7%	74.7%	47.5%
Mexico	Acula	2000	49.3%	71.2%	28.9%
Mexico	Acula	2017	51.0%	68.7%	34.2%
Mexico	Aculco	2000	30.3%	55.0%	12.2%
Mexico	Aculco	2017	31.1%	56.0%	12.9%
Mexico	Acultzingo	2000	12.8%	13.8%	11.9%
Mexico	Acultzingo	2017	15.5%	16.4%	14.5%
Mexico	Acuna	2000	72.8%	87.1%	53.1%
Mexico	Acuna	2017	73.6%	88.4%	51.0%
Mexico	Agua Blanca De Iturbide	2000	26.4%	38.9%	16.3%
Mexico	Agua Blanca De Iturbide	2017	27.8%	39.9%	17.9%
Mexico	Agua Dulce	2000	77.8%	91.2%	54.8%
Mexico	Agua Dulce	2017	79.3%	93.1%	52.6%
Mexico	Agua Prieta	2000	92.3%	97.1%	78.8%
Mexico	Agua Prieta	2017	93.0%	97.5%	80.1%
Mexico	Agualeguas	2000	64.4%	94.3%	22.2%
Mexico	Agualeguas	2017	65.5%	94.4%	23.4%
Mexico	Aguascalientes	2000	93.7%	98.1%	83.8%
Mexico	Aguascalientes	2017	94.1%	98.2%	84.6%
Mexico	Aguililla	2000	50.6%	90.3%	11.3%
Mexico	Aguililla	2017	51.6%	90.7%	12.2%
Mexico	Ahome	2000	80.3%	93.5%	62.8%
Mexico	Ahome	2017	81.3%	93.8%	64.4%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2000	6.2%	6.7%	5.7%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2000	66.1%	89.0%	40.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2017	6.7%	7.3%	6.3%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2017	67.7%	89.1%	43.0%
Mexico	Ahuacuotzingo	2000	25.3%	53.8%	5.1%
Mexico	Ahuacuotzingo	2017	25.2%	52.9%	6.5%
Mexico	Ahualulco	2000	35.8%	66.3%	12.2%
Mexico	Ahualulco	2017	36.9%	67.1%	12.4%
Mexico	Ahualulco De Mercado	2000	92.0%	96.6%	83.3%
Mexico	Ahualulco De Mercado	2017	92.3%	96.5%	84.9%
Mexico	Ahuatlan	2000	21.2%	35.4%	13.4%
Mexico	Ahuatlan	2017	22.8%	36.6%	14.2%
Mexico	Ahuazotepic	2000	31.2%	38.8%	25.1%
Mexico	Ahuazotepic	2017	31.6%	36.1%	27.8%
Mexico	Ahuehuetitla	2000	50.9%	61.4%	39.9%
Mexico	Ahuehuetitla	2017	50.3%	56.4%	43.6%
Mexico	Ahumada	2000	70.6%	78.1%	62.3%
Mexico	Ahumada	2017	71.7%	79.3%	62.5%
Mexico	Ajacuba	2000	60.5%	71.3%	50.1%
Mexico	Ajacuba	2017	62.9%	71.8%	54.3%
Mexico	Ajalpan	2000	15.2%	25.6%	9.5%
Mexico	Ajalpan	2017	18.9%	33.3%	9.8%
Mexico	Ajuchitlan	2000	37.4%	78.7%	7.4%
Mexico	Ajuchitlan Del Progreso	2017	37.9%	77.2%	7.9%
Mexico	Akil	2000	39.3%	41.2%	37.5%
Mexico	Akil	2017	40.6%	42.8%	38.8%
Mexico	Alamos	2000	44.6%	69.2%	23.2%
Mexico	Alamos	2017	47.3%	70.1%	25.5%
Mexico	Alaquines	2000	26.3%	51.8%	8.8%
Mexico	Alaquines	2017	29.0%	58.8%	9.2%
Mexico	Albino Zertuche	2000	45.6%	64.2%	27.5%
Mexico	Albino Zertuche	2017	47.6%	60.7%	34.9%
Mexico	Alcozauca De Guerrero	2000	4.1%	14.4%	1.2%
Mexico	Alcozauca De Guerrero	2017	4.5%	17.4%	1.2%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	4.4%	5.5%	3.7%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	83.1%	93.5%	65.5%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	46.1%	75.1%	18.7%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	4.3%	5.6%	3.5%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	82.8%	94.6%	60.7%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	47.3%	77.9%	16.6%
Mexico	Alfajayucan	2000	41.6%	68.8%	18.3%
Mexico	Alfajayucan	2017	44.0%	70.5%	20.7%
Mexico	Aljojuca	2000	31.9%	38.5%	25.7%
Mexico	Aljojuca	2017	33.6%	39.5%	28.0%
Mexico	Allende	2000	64.1%	75.9%	49.8%
Mexico	Allende	2000	74.5%	97.0%	33.3%
Mexico	Allende	2000	74.4%	88.8%	56.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Allende	2017	76.2%	87.9%	61.5%
Mexico	Allende	2017	75.3%	97.0%	34.3%
Mexico	Allende	2017	65.9%	76.5%	54.3%
Mexico	Almoleya	2000	67.5%	81.3%	54.8%
Mexico	Almoleya	2017	68.3%	83.1%	50.2%
Mexico	Almoleya De Alquisiras	2000	40.6%	53.5%	28.3%
Mexico	Almoleya De Alquisiras	2017	42.8%	57.7%	29.0%
Mexico	Almoleya De Juarez	2000	26.2%	46.7%	12.4%
Mexico	Almoleya De Juarez	2017	27.8%	47.7%	14.3%
Mexico	Almoleya Del Rio	2000	90.9%	91.1%	90.6%
Mexico	Almoleya Del Rio	2017	92.0%	92.2%	91.8%
Mexico	Alpatlahuac	2000	19.8%	21.2%	18.2%
Mexico	Alpatlahuac	2017	22.4%	25.7%	19.6%
Mexico	Alpoyeca	2000	32.4%	46.8%	19.6%
Mexico	Alpoyeca	2017	32.2%	46.9%	17.8%
Mexico	Altamira	2000	78.3%	90.7%	57.2%
Mexico	Altamira	2017	76.6%	90.0%	55.4%
Mexico	Altamirano	2000	35.4%	68.0%	7.9%
Mexico	Altamirano	2017	36.1%	68.5%	8.1%
Mexico	Altar	2000	67.5%	79.1%	50.7%
Mexico	Altar	2017	70.8%	75.8%	65.0%
Mexico	Altepexi	2000	43.7%	50.1%	37.0%
Mexico	Altepexi	2017	44.6%	54.5%	35.9%
Mexico	Alto Lucero De Gutierrez Barrios	2000	60.8%	88.6%	31.2%
Mexico	Alto Lucero De Gutierrez Barrios	2017	63.0%	89.2%	33.8%
Mexico	Altotonga	2000	26.9%	35.8%	22.1%
Mexico	Altotonga	2017	29.3%	37.8%	24.6%
Mexico	Altzayanca	2000	59.0%	71.3%	45.5%
Mexico	Altzayanca	2017	60.7%	71.9%	48.3%
Mexico	Alvarado	2000	68.0%	93.3%	29.0%
Mexico	Alvarado	2017	67.7%	93.9%	26.7%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2000	94.2%	94.3%	94.1%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2000	54.7%	72.9%	34.6%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2017	94.7%	94.8%	94.6%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2017	56.1%	75.3%	34.9%
Mexico	Amacueca	2000	90.1%	91.3%	88.5%
Mexico	Amacueca	2017	90.5%	91.7%	88.8%
Mexico	Amacuzac	2000	71.8%	76.7%	65.5%
Mexico	Amacuzac	2017	72.8%	77.2%	67.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Amanalco	2000	23.6%	43.4%	9.0%
Mexico	Amanalco	2017	24.0%	42.8%	9.9%
Mexico	Amatan	2000	13.0%	24.1%	4.8%
Mexico	Amatan	2017	14.1%	26.8%	5.8%
Mexico	Amatenango De La Frontera	2000	34.1%	59.5%	13.8%
Mexico	Amatenango De La Frontera	2017	35.7%	62.7%	14.4%
Mexico	Amatenango Del Valle	2000	20.7%	33.2%	14.4%
Mexico	Amatenango Del Valle	2017	21.8%	35.8%	15.1%
Mexico	Amatepec	2000	29.0%	58.7%	7.4%
Mexico	Amatepec	2017	30.2%	59.4%	8.2%
Mexico	Amatitan	2000	87.3%	92.0%	81.2%
Mexico	Amatitan	2017	83.8%	91.9%	72.5%
Mexico	Amatitlan	2000	48.9%	70.0%	27.9%
Mexico	Amatitlan	2017	52.7%	70.3%	34.3%
Mexico	Amatlan De Canas	2000	67.7%	88.9%	41.1%
Mexico	Amatlan De Canas	2017	68.8%	89.8%	40.4%
Mexico	Amatlan De Los Reyes	2000	51.9%	54.9%	49.0%
Mexico	Amatlan De Los Reyes	2017	52.9%	58.4%	47.9%
Mexico	Amaxac De Guerrero	2000	73.3%	73.9%	72.8%
Mexico	Amaxac De Guerrero	2017	75.5%	76.1%	75.1%
Mexico	Amealco De Bonfil	2000	38.7%	69.1%	13.8%
Mexico	Amealco De Bonfil	2017	40.1%	70.5%	15.0%
Mexico	Ameca	2000	80.0%	93.2%	59.1%
Mexico	Ameca	2017	81.4%	93.5%	63.3%
Mexico	Amecameca	2000	69.8%	70.7%	69.1%
Mexico	Amecameca	2017	71.1%	72.3%	70.0%
Mexico	Amixtlan	2000	10.6%	11.0%	10.2%
Mexico	Amixtlan	2017	11.0%	11.5%	10.6%
Mexico	Amozoc	2000	72.6%	75.0%	70.1%
Mexico	Amozoc	2017	69.7%	73.3%	65.3%
Mexico	Anahuac	2000	66.5%	90.9%	28.0%
Mexico	Anahuac	2017	66.6%	92.4%	24.4%
Mexico	Angamacutiro	2000	52.5%	72.9%	34.6%
Mexico	Angamacutiro	2017	54.5%	73.0%	37.9%
Mexico	Angangueo	2000	19.9%	24.5%	17.8%
Mexico	Angangueo	2017	21.3%	26.2%	19.2%
Mexico	Angel Albino Corzo	2000	45.9%	72.2%	15.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Angel Albino Corzo	2017	47.1%	72.8%	16.9%
Mexico	Angel R. Cabada	2000	68.8%	84.4%	48.5%
Mexico	Angel R. Cabada	2017	70.4%	85.1%	49.7%
Mexico	Angostura	2000	64.8%	95.6%	24.0%
Mexico	Angostura	2017	64.8%	96.3%	24.7%
Mexico	Animas Trujano	2000	30.8%	31.6%	30.0%
Mexico	Animas Trujano	2017	32.5%	33.3%	31.7%
Mexico	Antiguo Morelos	2000	40.7%	65.9%	18.4%
Mexico	Antiguo Morelos	2017	41.6%	65.5%	20.3%
Mexico	Apan	2000	84.8%	89.5%	78.8%
Mexico	Apan	2017	82.4%	90.8%	69.7%
Mexico	Apaseo El Alto	2000	61.2%	82.4%	37.4%
Mexico	Apaseo El Alto	2017	62.3%	82.2%	40.8%
Mexico	Apaseo El Grande	2000	74.1%	92.1%	42.1%
Mexico	Apaseo El Grande	2017	76.2%	93.1%	48.0%
Mexico	Apatzingan	2000	63.0%	79.3%	42.8%
Mexico	Apatzingan	2017	63.6%	81.8%	42.1%
Mexico	Apaxco	2000	80.7%	82.1%	79.1%
Mexico	Apaxco	2017	81.0%	82.8%	78.6%
Mexico	Apaxtla	2000	39.1%	72.2%	11.4%
Mexico	Apaxtla	2017	39.1%	70.6%	10.9%
Mexico	Apazapan	2000	57.8%	75.3%	33.5%
Mexico	Apazapan	2017	60.5%	76.4%	36.6%
Mexico	Apetatitlan De Antonio Carvajal	2000	81.1%	81.5%	80.7%
Mexico	Apetatitlan De Antonio Carvajal	2017	80.2%	80.7%	79.8%
Mexico	Apizaco	2000	80.8%	81.3%	80.4%
Mexico	Apizaco	2017	83.3%	83.7%	82.8%
Mexico	Apodaca	2000	93.7%	97.0%	86.4%
Mexico	Apodaca	2017	92.8%	96.5%	85.4%
Mexico	Aporo	2000	42.8%	49.2%	36.6%
Mexico	Aporo	2017	41.7%	44.1%	39.1%
Mexico	Apozol	2000	81.4%	92.1%	65.4%
Mexico	Apozol	2017	82.6%	92.5%	66.8%
Mexico	Apulco	2000	63.1%	87.3%	32.1%
Mexico	Apulco	2017	64.4%	90.4%	30.1%
Mexico	Aquila	2000	27.0%	59.0%	4.6%
Mexico	Aquila	2000	3.3%	4.0%	2.8%
Mexico	Aquila	2017	5.5%	6.4%	4.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Aquila	2017	28.3%	60.3%	4.9%
Mexico	Aquiles Serdan	2000	82.3%	90.1%	69.6%
Mexico	Aquiles Serdan	2017	80.2%	90.0%	64.5%
Mexico	Aquismon	2000	33.0%	58.6%	11.5%
Mexico	Aquismon	2017	32.7%	57.2%	11.7%
Mexico	Aquixtla	2000	33.8%	50.5%	18.6%
Mexico	Aquixtla	2017	35.0%	49.1%	20.9%
Mexico	Aramberri	2000	42.3%	71.7%	14.9%
Mexico	Aramberri	2017	43.3%	72.6%	15.8%
Mexico	Arandas	2000	76.8%	95.5%	50.5%
Mexico	Arandas	2017	78.6%	95.1%	55.0%
Mexico	Arcelia	2000	37.1%	73.4%	7.7%
Mexico	Arcelia	2017	38.3%	74.0%	9.1%
Mexico	Ario	2000	50.4%	73.3%	27.2%
Mexico	Ario	2017	50.8%	78.6%	23.0%
Mexico	Arivechi	2000	73.8%	96.4%	35.5%
Mexico	Arivechi	2017	74.1%	95.6%	34.9%
Mexico	Arizpe	2000	77.8%	95.3%	38.9%
Mexico	Arizpe	2017	81.5%	95.2%	52.6%
Mexico	Armadillo De Los Infante	2000	38.2%	75.6%	12.8%
Mexico	Armadillo De Los Infante	2017	39.1%	73.4%	13.9%
Mexico	Armeria	2000	85.7%	96.9%	62.4%
Mexico	Armeria	2017	86.6%	97.0%	66.9%
Mexico	Arriaga	2000	76.5%	94.1%	47.6%
Mexico	Arriaga	2017	77.7%	94.5%	49.4%
Mexico	Arroyo Seco	2000	38.1%	67.0%	12.0%
Mexico	Arroyo Seco	2017	39.8%	66.4%	15.2%
Mexico	Arteaga	2000	35.4%	73.2%	6.6%
Mexico	Arteaga	2000	69.6%	87.3%	47.0%
Mexico	Arteaga	2017	71.2%	88.3%	49.2%
Mexico	Arteaga	2017	36.1%	72.7%	7.0%
Mexico	Ascension	2000	68.7%	80.4%	55.2%
Mexico	Ascension	2017	70.8%	79.9%	58.2%
Mexico	Asientos	2000	71.2%	91.5%	42.2%
Mexico	Asientos	2017	72.7%	92.0%	44.7%
Mexico	Astacinga	2000	3.1%	3.4%	2.8%
Mexico	Astacinga	2017	3.2%	3.8%	2.8%
Mexico	Asuncion Cacalotepec	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.5%
Mexico	Asuncion Cacalotepec	2017	2.0%	2.5%	1.6%
Mexico	Asuncion Cuyotepeji	2000	8.3%	10.8%	6.4%
Mexico	Asuncion Cuyotepeji	2017	9.0%	11.5%	7.0%
Mexico	Asuncion Ixtaltepec	2000	78.2%	92.5%	56.2%
Mexico	Asuncion Ixtaltepec	2017	78.8%	92.7%	56.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Asuncion Nochixtlan	2000	42.0%	43.6%	40.8%
Mexico	Asuncion Nochixtlan	2017	36.3%	38.2%	34.9%
Mexico	Asuncion Ocotlan	2000	16.9%	17.8%	16.3%
Mexico	Asuncion Ocotlan	2017	18.1%	19.0%	17.4%
Mexico	Asuncion Tlacolulita	2000	42.9%	71.1%	21.2%
Mexico	Asuncion Tlacolulita	2017	44.5%	78.7%	17.2%
Mexico	Atarjea	2000	14.5%	31.1%	2.5%
Mexico	Atarjea	2017	16.4%	37.2%	2.7%
Mexico	Atemajac De Brizuela	2000	78.8%	87.0%	69.3%
Mexico	Atemajac De Brizuela	2017	80.1%	87.7%	71.6%
Mexico	Atempan	2000	30.0%	31.4%	28.6%
Mexico	Atempan	2017	33.9%	35.3%	32.5%
Mexico	Atenango Del Rio	2000	33.8%	78.3%	5.8%
Mexico	Atenango Del Rio	2017	34.7%	79.3%	5.8%
Mexico	Atenco	2000	90.5%	91.0%	90.0%
Mexico	Atenco	2017	91.3%	91.7%	91.0%
Mexico	Atengo	2000	52.2%	76.8%	30.0%
Mexico	Atengo	2017	52.9%	77.5%	31.5%
Mexico	Atenguillo	2000	63.2%	91.0%	30.3%
Mexico	Atenguillo	2017	64.4%	90.9%	31.8%
Mexico	Atexcal	2000	21.4%	42.0%	6.9%
Mexico	Atexcal	2017	22.1%	42.1%	7.5%
Mexico	Atil	2000	61.8%	75.7%	45.3%
Mexico	Atil	2017	63.8%	78.1%	46.2%
Mexico	Atitalaquia	2000	81.8%	82.6%	80.8%
Mexico	Atitalaquia	2017	84.3%	85.3%	82.9%
Mexico	Atizapan	2000	80.4%	81.1%	79.8%
Mexico	Atizapan	2017	84.8%	85.4%	84.2%
Mexico	Atizapan De Zaragoza	2000	92.8%	93.1%	92.6%
Mexico	Atizapan De Zaragoza	2017	93.1%	93.3%	92.9%
Mexico	Atlacomulco	2000	48.6%	70.8%	27.6%
Mexico	Atlacomulco	2017	50.5%	72.7%	28.2%
Mexico	Atlahuilco	2000	4.0%	4.4%	3.7%
Mexico	Atlahuilco	2017	4.6%	4.9%	4.3%
Mexico	Atlamajalcingo Del Monte	2000	0.6%	1.9%	0.1%
Mexico	Atlamajalcingo Del Monte	2017	0.7%	2.3%	0.1%
Mexico	Atlangatepec	2000	52.3%	66.0%	38.1%
Mexico	Atlangatepec	2017	53.6%	66.7%	38.9%
Mexico	Atlapexco	2000	10.6%	17.1%	6.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Atlapexco	2017	11.3%	18.7%	7.0%
Mexico	Atlatlahucan	2000	50.1%	50.9%	49.4%
Mexico	Atlatlahucan	2017	53.6%	54.4%	52.9%
Mexico	Atlautla	2000	43.3%	43.9%	42.6%
Mexico	Atlautla	2017	42.5%	43.2%	41.8%
Mexico	Atlequizayan	2000	16.0%	16.6%	15.4%
Mexico	Atlequizayan	2017	17.1%	17.7%	16.4%
Mexico	Atlixco	2000	67.0%	76.1%	57.1%
Mexico	Atlixco	2017	67.8%	75.2%	59.4%
Mexico	Atlixnac	2000	18.3%	40.6%	2.8%
Mexico	Atlixnac	2017	18.3%	40.1%	3.0%
Mexico	Atolinga	2000	55.2%	75.8%	33.4%
Mexico	Atolinga	2017	58.2%	78.4%	35.3%
Mexico	Atotonilco De Tula	2000	81.2%	83.4%	78.2%
Mexico	Atotonilco De Tula	2017	81.9%	84.1%	79.2%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Alto	2000	76.4%	91.3%	56.6%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Alto	2017	77.2%	91.4%	57.6%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Grande	2000	38.1%	63.0%	16.5%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Grande	2017	39.1%	61.8%	19.0%
Mexico	Atoyac	2000	50.8%	58.4%	43.2%
Mexico	Atoyac	2000	75.5%	83.5%	65.6%
Mexico	Atoyac	2017	53.0%	60.6%	45.4%
Mexico	Atoyac	2017	77.5%	84.0%	68.7%
Mexico	Atoyac De Alvarez	2000	45.3%	74.4%	17.7%
Mexico	Atoyac De Alvarez	2017	45.5%	76.3%	17.1%
Mexico	Atoyatempan	2000	46.2%	47.8%	44.4%
Mexico	Atoyatempan	2017	48.3%	49.9%	46.6%
Mexico	Atzacan	2000	78.0%	78.5%	77.3%
Mexico	Atzacan	2017	81.8%	82.4%	81.2%
Mexico	Atzala	2000	51.1%	54.8%	47.3%
Mexico	Atzala	2017	53.3%	58.0%	48.4%
Mexico	Atzalan	2000	43.7%	60.3%	26.8%
Mexico	Atzalan	2017	44.7%	60.4%	28.3%
Mexico	Atzitzihuacan	2000	46.0%	47.0%	43.3%
Mexico	Atzitzihuacan	2017	45.3%	46.5%	42.3%
Mexico	Atzitzintla	2000	25.4%	33.3%	19.6%
Mexico	Atzitzintla	2017	27.6%	36.6%	20.8%
Mexico	Autlan De Navarro	2000	87.3%	95.0%	75.0%
Mexico	Autlan De Navarro	2017	88.3%	95.3%	77.7%
Mexico	Axapusco	2000	73.2%	80.2%	62.4%
Mexico	Axapusco	2017	73.8%	80.4%	63.5%
Mexico	Axochiapan	2000	45.7%	63.4%	29.2%
Mexico	Axochiapan	2017	48.4%	62.0%	35.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Axtla De Terrazas	2000	21.4%	32.2%	11.0%
Mexico	Axtla De Terrazas	2017	22.0%	31.7%	12.2%
Mexico	Axutla	2000	48.7%	60.5%	36.1%
Mexico	Axutla	2017	50.6%	62.3%	38.7%
Mexico	Ayahualulco	2000	23.4%	40.4%	14.3%
Mexico	Ayahualulco	2017	23.8%	41.9%	13.5%
Mexico	Ayala	2000	69.6%	82.4%	55.6%
Mexico	Ayala	2017	70.4%	83.0%	56.3%
Mexico	Ayapango	2000	75.7%	76.2%	75.2%
Mexico	Ayapango	2017	81.6%	82.2%	81.1%
Mexico	Ayoquezco De Aldama	2000	13.4%	14.6%	12.4%
Mexico	Ayoquezco De Aldama	2017	14.2%	15.3%	13.0%
Mexico	Ayotlan	2000	64.6%	93.7%	28.8%
Mexico	Ayotlan	2017	68.3%	93.4%	36.6%
Mexico	Ayotoxco De Guerrero	2000	18.8%	29.1%	11.5%
Mexico	Ayotoxco De Guerrero	2017	20.1%	32.2%	11.9%
Mexico	Ayotzintepic	2000	24.7%	47.5%	6.5%
Mexico	Ayotzintepic	2017	22.4%	45.5%	6.7%
Mexico	Ayutla	2000	58.5%	80.0%	32.6%
Mexico	Ayutla	2017	59.1%	84.6%	28.3%
Mexico	Ayutla De Los Libres	2000	17.1%	39.7%	3.9%
Mexico	Ayutla De Los Libres	2017	16.7%	37.2%	4.1%
Mexico	Azcapotzalco	2000	97.6%	97.7%	97.5%
Mexico	Azcapotzalco	2017	97.8%	97.8%	97.7%
Mexico	Azoyu	2000	20.0%	62.7%	1.3%
Mexico	Azoyu	2017	20.9%	64.8%	1.5%
Mexico	Baca	2000	26.3%	28.1%	24.7%
Mexico	Baca	2017	28.5%	30.4%	26.6%
Mexico	Bacadehuachi	2000	76.6%	95.1%	41.0%
Mexico	Bacadehuachi	2017	77.5%	95.4%	42.9%
Mexico	Bacanora	2000	77.8%	95.8%	38.4%
Mexico	Bacanora	2017	78.3%	95.4%	39.0%
Mexico	Bacerac	2000	57.4%	86.4%	11.3%
Mexico	Bacerac	2017	60.1%	89.0%	12.1%
Mexico	Bachiniva	2000	54.8%	85.6%	19.7%
Mexico	Bachiniva	2017	56.4%	85.8%	22.5%
Mexico	Bacoachi	2000	80.0%	94.5%	47.3%
Mexico	Bacoachi	2017	85.4%	91.8%	77.4%
Mexico	Bacum	2000	52.1%	76.1%	26.4%
Mexico	Bacum	2017	52.5%	75.3%	27.0%
Mexico	Badiraguato	2000	32.5%	54.8%	13.2%
Mexico	Badiraguato	2017	34.1%	55.9%	14.5%
Mexico	Bahia De Banderas	2000	73.5%	94.1%	45.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Bahia De Banderas	2017	74.0%	94.7%	44.0%
Mexico	Balancan	2000	65.8%	86.7%	44.0%
Mexico	Balancan	2017	66.3%	86.7%	44.1%
Mexico	Balleza	2000	23.0%	46.5%	7.2%
Mexico	Balleza	2017	24.6%	49.6%	8.3%
Mexico	Banamichi	2000	88.7%	90.4%	86.5%
Mexico	Banamichi	2017	89.1%	92.0%	85.4%
Mexico	Banderilla	2000	89.7%	89.9%	89.4%
Mexico	Banderilla	2017	90.7%	91.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Batopilas	2000	19.5%	42.2%	3.9%
Mexico	Batopilas	2017	21.0%	42.0%	5.0%
Mexico	Baviacora	2000	81.2%	93.9%	62.8%
Mexico	Baviacora	2017	81.1%	95.1%	59.0%
Mexico	Bavispe	2000	76.5%	99.0%	20.7%
Mexico	Bavispe	2017	77.2%	98.7%	29.5%
Mexico	Bejucal De Ocampo	2000	26.9%	40.7%	20.3%
Mexico	Bejucal De Ocampo	2017	26.9%	40.7%	19.3%
Mexico	Bella Vista	2000	44.3%	68.1%	23.5%
Mexico	Bella Vista	2017	46.7%	69.7%	26.3%
Mexico	Benemerito De Las Americas	2000	50.8%	89.6%	2.1%
Mexico	Benemerito De Las Americas	2017	49.1%	89.0%	2.4%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	98.6%	98.6%	98.6%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	92.6%	97.7%	82.3%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	51.6%	73.2%	26.3%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	6.1%	15.7%	1.8%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	52.2%	83.6%	20.2%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	86.6%	90.7%	79.7%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	52.0%	78.0%	24.7%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	52.6%	70.1%	32.2%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	93.4%	98.0%	83.4%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	55.3%	79.9%	32.1%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	6.6%	16.8%	1.9%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	98.8%	98.8%	98.7%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	90.4%	91.7%	88.9%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	51.7%	78.7%	25.8%
Mexico	Benjamin Hill	2000	83.6%	95.0%	62.2%
Mexico	Benjamin Hill	2017	88.5%	95.1%	77.7%
Mexico	Berriozabal	2000	67.7%	81.7%	51.5%
Mexico	Berriozabal	2017	67.9%	78.5%	54.8%
Mexico	Boca Del Rio	2000	87.2%	90.3%	82.5%
Mexico	Boca Del Rio	2017	87.0%	90.1%	82.5%
Mexico	Bochil	2000	47.3%	58.2%	36.3%
Mexico	Bochil	2017	49.4%	58.9%	39.5%
Mexico	Bocoyna	2000	34.0%	53.8%	17.1%
Mexico	Bocoyna	2017	34.8%	52.9%	19.9%
Mexico	Bokoba	2000	11.3%	22.7%	6.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Bokoba	2017	9.6%	17.1%	6.5%
Mexico	Bolanos	2000	51.6%	89.6%	13.5%
Mexico	Bolanos	2017	52.2%	89.4%	13.7%
Mexico	Brisenas	2000	84.5%	85.8%	83.0%
Mexico	Brisenas	2017	85.5%	86.5%	84.3%
Mexico	Buctzotz	2000	25.3%	49.4%	8.9%
Mexico	Buctzotz	2017	29.6%	57.8%	10.7%
Mexico	Buenaventura	2000	60.7%	79.2%	36.2%
Mexico	Buenaventura	2017	61.8%	80.1%	38.8%
Mexico	Buenavista	2000	57.9%	85.6%	26.7%
Mexico	Buenavista	2017	57.5%	88.6%	23.5%
Mexico	Buenavista De Cuellar	2000	73.1%	87.1%	45.8%
Mexico	Buenavista De Cuellar	2017	75.3%	86.6%	61.3%
Mexico	Burgos	2000	28.5%	55.0%	9.2%
Mexico	Burgos	2017	29.1%	56.3%	10.1%
Mexico	Bustamante	2000	68.2%	85.8%	44.8%
Mexico	Bustamante	2000	18.7%	50.1%	2.4%
Mexico	Bustamante	2017	69.6%	85.0%	49.8%
Mexico	Bustamante	2017	19.9%	52.0%	2.4%
Mexico	Cabo Corrientes	2000	53.2%	87.9%	13.1%
Mexico	Cabo Corrientes	2017	54.2%	88.7%	13.8%
Mexico	Caborca	2000	70.6%	81.6%	57.2%
Mexico	Caborca	2017	72.5%	82.4%	60.8%
Mexico	Cacahoatan	2000	61.2%	70.1%	49.2%
Mexico	Cacahoatan	2017	62.8%	71.1%	51.7%
Mexico	Cacalchen	2000	17.8%	22.3%	14.0%
Mexico	Cacalchen	2017	18.9%	23.4%	15.0%
Mexico	Cadereyta De Montes	2000	42.5%	71.9%	15.5%
Mexico	Cadereyta De Montes	2017	43.6%	72.2%	17.1%
Mexico	Cadereyta Jimenez	2000	74.9%	87.6%	59.1%
Mexico	Cadereyta Jimenez	2017	72.4%	87.4%	54.5%
Mexico	Cajeme	2000	86.2%	92.2%	76.9%
Mexico	Cajeme	2017	86.4%	92.7%	76.8%
Mexico	Calakmul	2000	28.9%	48.8%	11.2%
Mexico	Calakmul	2017	28.7%	46.1%	11.4%
Mexico	Calchualco	2000	8.5%	13.9%	5.2%
Mexico	Calchualco	2017	11.6%	19.6%	6.2%
Mexico	Calera	2000	86.9%	92.1%	78.7%
Mexico	Calera	2017	87.0%	92.4%	77.5%
Mexico	Calihuala	2000	6.3%	7.2%	5.5%
Mexico	Calihuala	2017	5.8%	6.9%	4.9%
Mexico	Calimaya	2000	77.5%	89.2%	59.9%
Mexico	Calimaya	2017	79.5%	89.2%	66.7%
Mexico	Calkini	2000	41.5%	70.9%	17.4%
Mexico	Calkini	2017	42.5%	71.0%	19.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Calnali	2000	27.8%	43.5%	12.9%
Mexico	Calnali	2017	29.5%	46.0%	13.6%
Mexico	Calotmul	2000	13.6%	29.7%	4.1%
Mexico	Calotmul	2017	14.2%	31.8%	4.2%
Mexico	Calpan	2000	45.8%	46.9%	44.8%
Mexico	Calpan	2017	49.0%	50.1%	48.1%
Mexico	Calpulalpan	2000	92.5%	95.6%	87.9%
Mexico	Calpulalpan	2017	92.3%	95.9%	87.1%
Mexico	Caltepec	2000	34.9%	64.2%	13.2%
Mexico	Caltepec	2017	36.2%	65.7%	12.6%
Mexico	Calvillo	2000	81.2%	92.6%	65.0%
Mexico	Calvillo	2017	81.4%	92.9%	65.7%
Mexico	Camargo	2000	87.4%	94.3%	76.8%
Mexico	Camargo	2000	70.5%	94.0%	32.3%
Mexico	Camargo	2017	88.1%	93.5%	79.2%
Mexico	Camargo	2017	69.5%	95.5%	25.2%
Mexico	Camaron De Tejada	2000	24.3%	50.2%	9.2%
Mexico	Camaron De Tejada	2017	24.9%	50.4%	10.1%
Mexico	Camerino Z. Mendoza	2000	71.7%	72.3%	71.1%
Mexico	Camerino Z. Mendoza	2017	73.7%	74.2%	73.2%
Mexico	Camocuaatla	2000	21.3%	22.3%	20.2%
Mexico	Camocuaatla	2017	22.8%	23.9%	21.6%
Mexico	Campeche	2000	81.5%	94.0%	60.3%
Mexico	Campeche	2017	82.0%	94.8%	61.6%
Mexico	Canada Morelos	2000	17.7%	31.2%	7.9%
Mexico	Canada Morelos	2017	17.8%	30.8%	8.1%
Mexico	Canadas De Obregon	2000	62.3%	84.4%	34.5%
Mexico	Canadas De Obregon	2017	63.9%	86.1%	35.3%
Mexico	Cananea	2000	95.9%	97.8%	92.1%
Mexico	Cananea	2017	95.8%	98.0%	89.4%
Mexico	Canatlan	2000	48.4%	73.2%	24.5%
Mexico	Canatlan	2017	50.0%	74.1%	28.2%
Mexico	Candela	2000	47.2%	82.5%	17.0%
Mexico	Candela	2017	48.4%	75.7%	24.0%
Mexico	Candelaria	2000	39.3%	61.9%	19.0%
Mexico	Candelaria	2017	39.2%	62.4%	19.4%
Mexico	Candelaria	2000	7.1%	15.2%	4.2%
Mexico	Loxicha Candelaria	2017	7.4%	16.4%	4.1%
Mexico	Loxicha				
Mexico	Canelas	2000	32.9%	69.2%	5.5%
Mexico	Canelas	2017	34.2%	71.2%	6.0%
Mexico	Canitas De Felipe Pescador	2000	57.1%	79.5%	35.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Canitas De Felipe Pescador	2017	58.5%	81.6%	36.3%
Mexico	Cansahcab	2000	31.4%	33.8%	29.3%
Mexico	Cansahcab	2017	32.8%	35.5%	30.2%
Mexico	Cantamayec	2000	4.6%	15.2%	0.6%
Mexico	Cantamayec	2017	5.5%	17.0%	0.8%
Mexico	Capulalpam De Mendez	2000	76.5%	77.8%	75.2%
Mexico	Capulalpam De Mendez	2017	77.8%	78.8%	76.7%
Mexico	Capulhuac	2000	62.9%	63.8%	62.1%
Mexico	Capulhuac	2017	66.9%	67.7%	66.0%
Mexico	Caracuaro	2000	33.9%	71.8%	7.0%
Mexico	Caracuaro	2017	35.6%	74.2%	7.8%
Mexico	Carbo	2000	78.1%	90.2%	61.1%
Mexico	Carbo	2017	79.4%	90.4%	63.4%
Mexico	Cardenas	2000	51.2%	69.5%	30.1%
Mexico	Cardenas	2000	67.4%	94.3%	28.2%
Mexico	Cardenas	2017	46.8%	57.3%	34.7%
Mexico	Cardenas	2017	68.0%	94.5%	29.2%
Mexico	Cardonal	2000	30.3%	55.1%	9.1%
Mexico	Cardonal	2017	31.1%	54.9%	9.8%
Mexico	Carichi	2000	28.1%	54.9%	8.9%
Mexico	Carichi	2017	28.9%	52.0%	8.8%
Mexico	Carlos A. Carrillo	2000	78.6%	86.8%	66.6%
Mexico	Carlos A. Carrillo	2017	76.2%	81.7%	69.7%
Mexico	Carmen	2000	74.3%	78.8%	70.1%
Mexico	Carmen	2000	69.0%	81.7%	54.4%
Mexico	Carmen	2017	70.0%	82.5%	55.3%
Mexico	Carmen	2017	63.1%	72.6%	55.6%
Mexico	Carrillo Puerto	2000	34.2%	52.6%	20.7%
Mexico	Carrillo Puerto	2017	35.9%	52.7%	22.5%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2000	20.6%	49.6%	2.4%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2017	21.5%	53.1%	2.3%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2000	58.5%	72.6%	45.8%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2017	59.3%	73.3%	46.1%
Mexico	Casimiro Castillo	2000	74.3%	91.3%	50.3%
Mexico	Casimiro Castillo	2017	75.9%	91.7%	54.0%
Mexico	Castanos	2000	63.8%	76.7%	49.3%
Mexico	Castanos	2017	64.7%	76.5%	52.4%
Mexico	Castillo De Teayo	2000	34.6%	60.3%	15.4%
Mexico	Castillo De Teayo	2017	36.6%	67.4%	15.4%
Mexico	Catazaja	2000	51.9%	82.9%	21.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Catazaja	2017	53.3%	83.4%	23.8%
Mexico	Catemaco	2000	58.7%	78.9%	38.9%
Mexico	Catemaco	2017	61.1%	80.7%	40.5%
Mexico	Catorce	2000	40.7%	73.3%	11.5%
Mexico	Catorce	2017	41.0%	72.4%	12.0%
Mexico	Caxhuacan	2000	9.7%	10.0%	9.4%
Mexico	Caxhuacan	2017	10.6%	10.9%	10.3%
Mexico	Cazones	2000	41.1%	68.9%	16.8%
Mexico	Cazones	2017	42.4%	69.4%	17.7%
Mexico	Cedral	2000	53.4%	78.1%	30.3%
Mexico	Cedral	2017	54.7%	79.1%	31.6%
Mexico	Celaya	2000	85.6%	95.1%	71.5%
Mexico	Celaya	2017	86.4%	95.1%	74.3%
Mexico	Celestun	2000	56.0%	99.5%	1.3%
Mexico	Celestun	2017	57.0%	99.5%	1.6%
Mexico	Cenotillo	2000	23.4%	49.9%	8.1%
Mexico	Cenotillo	2017	23.9%	43.3%	11.2%
Mexico	Centla	2000	69.1%	94.7%	35.7%
Mexico	Centla	2017	70.1%	95.0%	35.8%
Mexico	Centro	2000	85.2%	96.2%	66.3%
Mexico	Centro	2017	86.0%	96.1%	68.2%
Mexico	Cerralvo	2000	82.6%	90.2%	71.9%
Mexico	Cerralvo	2017	83.4%	94.2%	60.2%
Mexico	Cerritos	2000	49.3%	77.0%	20.9%
Mexico	Cerritos	2017	51.2%	79.2%	21.4%
Mexico	Cerro Azul	2000	47.9%	66.3%	30.0%
Mexico	Cerro Azul	2017	49.5%	67.7%	31.6%
Mexico	Cerro De San Pedro	2000	89.0%	96.0%	74.5%
Mexico	Cerro De San Pedro	2017	88.9%	95.7%	75.0%
Mexico	Chacaltianguis	2000	77.7%	91.9%	60.6%
Mexico	Chacaltianguis	2017	75.5%	91.8%	55.7%
Mexico	Chacsinkin	2000	20.4%	38.2%	10.9%
Mexico	Chacsinkin	2017	20.1%	25.4%	15.6%
Mexico	Chahuities	2000	75.3%	86.8%	59.1%
Mexico	Chahuities	2017	75.5%	82.9%	64.6%
Mexico	Chalcatongo	2000	8.2%	9.7%	7.0%
Mexico	Chalcatongo De Hidalgo	2017	10.8%	13.0%	9.5%
Mexico	Chalchicomula De Sesma	2000	36.8%	51.2%	25.1%
Mexico	Chalchicomula De Sesma	2017	38.6%	55.2%	25.1%
Mexico	Chalchihuitan	2000	4.4%	14.9%	0.5%
Mexico	Chalchihuitan	2017	4.0%	13.5%	0.5%
Mexico	Chalchihuites	2000	54.6%	79.7%	27.7%
Mexico	Chalchihuites	2017	55.8%	79.5%	30.1%
Mexico	Chalco	2000	86.5%	87.6%	85.2%
Mexico	Chalco	2017	86.4%	87.4%	85.0%
Mexico	Chalma	2000	33.4%	46.5%	21.9%
Mexico	Chalma	2017	33.8%	47.6%	20.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Champoton	2000	44.9%	80.9%	15.0%
Mexico	Champoton	2017	45.3%	81.0%	16.6%
Mexico	Chamula	2000	19.1%	31.4%	9.6%
Mexico	Chamula	2017	20.7%	31.9%	11.9%
Mexico	Chanal	2000	12.2%	35.2%	1.8%
Mexico	Chanal	2017	14.4%	42.0%	1.9%
Mexico	Chankom	2000	6.2%	21.4%	0.8%
Mexico	Chankom	2017	7.0%	24.1%	0.8%
Mexico	Chapa De Mota	2000	30.1%	52.7%	16.2%
Mexico	Chapa De Mota	2017	33.6%	56.5%	18.9%
Mexico	Chapab	2000	16.7%	22.3%	14.5%
Mexico	Chapab	2017	10.9%	16.4%	8.8%
Mexico	Chapala	2000	87.5%	97.2%	71.9%
Mexico	Chapala	2017	90.0%	97.8%	76.0%
Mexico	Chapantongo	2000	36.2%	63.6%	14.6%
Mexico	Chapantongo	2017	36.6%	64.3%	14.9%
Mexico	Chapulco	2000	26.3%	32.2%	21.3%
Mexico	Chapulco	2017	28.5%	34.8%	23.3%
Mexico	Chapulhuacan	2000	36.7%	59.9%	15.5%
Mexico	Chapulhuacan	2017	37.8%	59.2%	17.1%
Mexico	Chapultenango	2000	48.8%	65.3%	33.7%
Mexico	Chapultenango	2017	53.7%	73.5%	34.7%
Mexico	Chapultepec	2000	80.1%	81.0%	79.3%
Mexico	Chapultepec	2017	92.2%	92.4%	91.9%
Mexico	Charapan	2000	29.1%	53.4%	10.8%
Mexico	Charapan	2017	30.3%	55.6%	12.0%
Mexico	Charcas	2000	59.4%	78.3%	38.0%
Mexico	Charcas	2017	63.0%	79.1%	42.8%
Mexico	Charo	2000	52.8%	76.8%	31.6%
Mexico	Charo	2017	54.4%	77.3%	32.3%
Mexico	Chavinda	2000	66.0%	84.4%	47.5%
Mexico	Chavinda	2017	66.8%	86.2%	45.2%
Mexico	Chemax	2000	24.3%	59.9%	1.6%
Mexico	Chemax	2017	25.3%	60.8%	1.4%
Mexico	Chenalho	2000	7.2%	18.8%	3.0%
Mexico	Chenalho	2017	7.7%	19.9%	3.4%
Mexico	Cheran	2000	14.0%	26.3%	7.4%
Mexico	Cheran	2017	14.7%	27.2%	7.8%
Mexico	Chiapa De Corzo	2000	73.2%	88.7%	52.8%
Mexico	Chiapa De Corzo	2017	75.0%	90.9%	52.8%
Mexico	Chiapilla	2000	68.2%	73.3%	63.4%
Mexico	Chiapilla	2017	68.9%	75.0%	62.5%
Mexico	Chiautempan	2000	80.6%	81.1%	80.2%
Mexico	Chiautempan	2017	82.5%	82.9%	82.1%
Mexico	Chiautla	2000	88.9%	89.5%	88.3%
Mexico	Chiautla	2000	54.8%	74.1%	33.8%
Mexico	Chiautla	2017	88.2%	89.2%	87.2%
Mexico	Chiautla	2017	58.0%	73.8%	39.9%
Mexico	Chiautzingo	2000	36.3%	37.0%	35.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chiautzingo	2017	38.6%	39.4%	37.9%
Mexico	Chichimila	2000	27.5%	55.2%	6.2%
Mexico	Chichimila	2017	31.5%	61.8%	6.6%
Mexico	Chichiquila	2000	11.8%	13.9%	10.2%
Mexico	Chichiquila	2017	10.4%	12.2%	9.0%
Mexico	Chicoasen	2000	32.8%	41.5%	24.3%
Mexico	Chicoasen	2017	34.8%	42.2%	27.3%
Mexico	Chicoloapan	2000	78.3%	81.6%	74.9%
Mexico	Chicoloapan	2017	81.0%	83.1%	78.6%
Mexico	Chicomuselo	2000	53.4%	86.5%	19.3%
Mexico	Chicomuselo	2017	54.6%	86.8%	19.3%
Mexico	Chiconamel	2000	12.9%	22.7%	6.8%
Mexico	Chiconamel	2017	14.4%	26.7%	7.4%
Mexico	Chiconcuac	2000	92.0%	92.3%	91.7%
Mexico	Chiconcuac	2017	93.8%	94.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Chiconcuautla	2000	6.2%	10.6%	3.3%
Mexico	Chiconcuautla	2017	5.8%	9.9%	3.1%
Mexico	Chiconquiaco	2000	52.2%	62.0%	42.8%
Mexico	Chiconquiaco	2017	57.0%	67.1%	47.0%
Mexico	Chicontepec	2000	22.6%	49.9%	4.2%
Mexico	Chicontepec	2017	23.7%	51.2%	4.6%
Mexico	Chicxulub	2000	27.2%	28.2%	26.1%
Mexico	Pueblo Chicxulub	2017	28.7%	29.9%	27.6%
Mexico	Chietla	2000	54.5%	66.7%	40.7%
Mexico	Chietla	2017	55.9%	67.0%	42.6%
Mexico	Chigmecatitlan	2000	18.9%	23.6%	14.1%
Mexico	Chigmecatitlan	2017	15.9%	18.0%	13.5%
Mexico	Chignahuapan	2000	44.7%	74.4%	14.5%
Mexico	Chignahuapan	2017	45.6%	73.4%	17.2%
Mexico	Chignautla	2000	43.1%	44.7%	41.7%
Mexico	Chignautla	2017	51.2%	52.9%	49.8%
Mexico	Chihuahua	2000	95.6%	97.3%	92.8%
Mexico	Chihuahua	2017	95.5%	97.4%	92.8%
Mexico	Chikindzonot	2000	8.1%	24.5%	0.4%
Mexico	Chikindzonot	2017	9.0%	36.0%	0.3%
Mexico	Chila	2000	24.0%	31.9%	18.3%
Mexico	Chila	2017	20.4%	28.7%	14.7%
Mexico	Chila De La Sal	2000	36.9%	45.1%	29.5%
Mexico	Chila De La Sal	2017	42.0%	48.4%	35.7%
Mexico	Chilapa De Alvarez	2000	29.6%	67.2%	7.7%
Mexico	Chilapa De Alvarez	2017	31.0%	69.1%	8.4%
Mexico	Chilchota	2000	49.1%	58.1%	39.5%
Mexico	Chilchota	2017	48.9%	57.2%	39.5%
Mexico	Chilchotla	2000	12.4%	18.7%	10.0%
Mexico	Chilchotla	2017	13.4%	18.8%	11.2%
Mexico	Chilcuautla	2000	58.8%	71.5%	47.8%
Mexico	Chilcuautla	2017	63.0%	74.7%	52.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chilon	2000	33.7%	62.2%	11.4%
Mexico	Chilon	2017	34.2%	63.4%	11.1%
Mexico	Chilpancingo	2000	73.0%	86.4%	56.9%
Mexico	De Los Bravo				
Mexico	Chilpancingo	2017	73.6%	87.6%	56.9%
Mexico	De Los Bravo				
Mexico	Chimalhuacan	2000	89.3%	89.5%	89.1%
Mexico	Chimalhuacan	2017	89.6%	89.8%	89.4%
Mexico	Chimaltitan	2000	47.2%	82.3%	16.3%
Mexico	Chimaltitan	2017	48.2%	82.4%	16.4%
Mexico	China	2000	65.5%	79.6%	48.1%
Mexico	China	2017	66.5%	80.2%	48.2%
Mexico	Chinameca	2000	35.2%	48.8%	27.2%
Mexico	Chinameca	2017	42.6%	51.9%	36.6%
Mexico	Chinampa De	2000	8.3%	10.8%	7.3%
Mexico	Gorostiza				
Mexico	Chinampa De	2017	9.5%	11.9%	8.4%
Mexico	Gorostiza				
Mexico	Chinantla	2000	34.7%	42.2%	27.8%
Mexico	Chinantla	2017	36.5%	42.5%	30.9%
Mexico	Chinicuila	2000	43.4%	74.5%	14.4%
Mexico	Chinicuila	2017	43.8%	71.5%	16.4%
Mexico	Chinipas	2000	25.0%	51.9%	3.6%
Mexico	Chinipas	2017	27.0%	53.5%	4.9%
Mexico	Chiquihuitlan	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.5%
Mexico	De Benito				
Mexico	Juarez				
Mexico	Chiquihuitlan	2017	2.1%	2.7%	1.6%
Mexico	De Benito				
Mexico	Juarez				
Mexico	Chiquilistlan	2000	65.3%	84.2%	44.7%
Mexico	Chiquilistlan	2017	68.1%	86.5%	41.8%
Mexico	Chocaman	2000	54.6%	55.2%	54.0%
Mexico	Chocaman	2017	56.4%	57.0%	55.7%
Mexico	Chochola	2000	13.7%	23.5%	7.7%
Mexico	Chochola	2017	15.7%	28.2%	6.5%
Mexico	Choix	2000	41.7%	69.4%	15.8%
Mexico	Choix	2017	42.6%	72.1%	14.1%
Mexico	Chontla	2000	24.9%	38.5%	13.9%
Mexico	Chontla	2017	26.2%	37.9%	15.6%
Mexico	Chucandiro	2000	32.2%	42.9%	23.6%
Mexico	Chucandiro	2017	33.6%	47.0%	23.3%
Mexico	Chumatlan	2000	4.6%	5.2%	4.2%
Mexico	Chumatlan	2017	5.1%	5.8%	4.5%
Mexico	Chumayel	2000	18.0%	18.8%	17.4%
Mexico	Chumayel	2017	18.5%	19.3%	17.9%
Mexico	Churintzio	2000	64.8%	80.3%	48.0%
Mexico	Churintzio	2017	66.9%	81.0%	50.8%
Mexico	Churumuco	2000	35.4%	68.4%	9.5%
Mexico	Churumuco	2017	35.4%	65.2%	12.2%
Mexico	Cienega De	2000	93.5%	94.6%	91.0%
Mexico	Flores				

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cienega De Flores	2017	87.8%	91.1%	83.0%
Mexico	Cienega De Zimatlan	2000	44.8%	46.3%	43.2%
Mexico	Cienega De Zimatlan	2017	46.9%	48.4%	45.3%
Mexico	Cihuatlan	2000	75.5%	98.0%	32.9%
Mexico	Cihuatlan	2017	76.5%	98.3%	35.2%
Mexico	Cintalapa	2000	58.8%	89.2%	21.5%
Mexico	Cintalapa	2017	60.0%	89.6%	22.9%
Mexico	Citlaltepetl	2000	41.7%	48.3%	36.7%
Mexico	Citlaltepetl	2017	51.4%	53.0%	49.4%
Mexico	Ciudad Del Maiz	2000	36.5%	66.4%	15.6%
Mexico	Ciudad Del Maiz	2017	38.1%	67.6%	15.7%
Mexico	Ciudad Fernandez	2000	69.2%	80.0%	58.0%
Mexico	Ciudad Fernandez	2017	71.0%	81.1%	60.6%
Mexico	Ciudad Ixteppec	2000	86.5%	88.4%	84.4%
Mexico	Ciudad Ixteppec	2017	87.1%	88.8%	85.2%
Mexico	Ciudad Madero	2000	83.3%	88.2%	76.9%
Mexico	Ciudad Madero	2017	85.4%	90.0%	79.2%
Mexico	Ciudad Valles	2000	56.9%	75.4%	37.3%
Mexico	Ciudad Valles	2017	58.5%	77.4%	37.2%
Mexico	Coacalco De Berriozabal	2000	94.3%	94.5%	94.2%
Mexico	Coacalco De Berriozabal	2017	94.9%	95.1%	94.8%
Mexico	Coacoatzintla	2000	67.8%	68.7%	66.9%
Mexico	Coacoatzintla	2017	71.1%	71.8%	70.3%
Mexico	Coahuayana	2000	55.7%	80.7%	25.8%
Mexico	Coahuayana	2017	57.1%	81.8%	26.9%
Mexico	Coahuayutla	2000	12.6%	35.1%	1.5%
Mexico	De Jose Maria Izazaga	2017	13.1%	33.7%	1.9%
Mexico	Coahuayutla De Jose Maria Izazaga	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Mexico	Coahuayutla De Jose Maria Izazaga	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Mexico	Coalcoman De Vazquez Palares	2000	53.3%	84.1%	17.9%
Mexico	Coalcoman De Vazquez Palares	2017	54.2%	84.0%	18.5%
Mexico	Coapilla	2000	48.7%	69.1%	30.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Coapilla	2017	52.0%	68.1%	38.6%
Mexico	Coatecas Altas	2000	50.2%	54.2%	46.0%
Mexico	Coatecas Altas	2017	49.0%	52.1%	46.4%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	12.3%	13.0%	11.5%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	66.0%	66.8%	65.1%
Mexico	Coatepec	2017	16.1%	16.9%	15.2%
Mexico	Coatepec	2017	66.5%	67.4%	65.6%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	54.3%	69.4%	39.5%
Mexico	Coatepec Harinas	2017	54.7%	71.0%	39.5%
Mexico	Coatlan Del Rio	2000	59.1%	66.6%	51.0%
Mexico	Coatlan Del Rio	2017	60.7%	69.2%	52.6%
Mexico	Coatzacoalcos	2000	82.0%	96.9%	57.7%
Mexico	Coatzacoalcos	2017	84.3%	97.6%	59.9%
Mexico	Coatzingo	2000	51.2%	57.3%	44.9%
Mexico	Coatzingo	2017	55.5%	61.2%	48.0%
Mexico	Coatzintla	2000	69.3%	81.5%	53.6%
Mexico	Coatzintla	2017	71.6%	83.7%	56.3%
Mexico	Cochoapa El Grande	2000	6.1%	26.8%	0.1%
Mexico	Cochoapa El Grande	2017	5.2%	21.9%	0.2%
Mexico	Cocotitlan	2000	69.1%	70.0%	68.3%
Mexico	Cocotitlan	2017	71.0%	71.8%	70.2%
Mexico	Cocula	2000	78.4%	94.1%	52.9%
Mexico	Cocula	2000	52.6%	79.9%	24.0%
Mexico	Cocula	2017	80.3%	93.0%	60.3%
Mexico	Cocula	2017	53.4%	80.4%	24.5%
Mexico	Coeneo	2000	46.8%	73.1%	23.7%
Mexico	Coeneo	2017	48.2%	74.6%	24.5%
Mexico	Coetzala	2000	29.8%	31.3%	28.4%
Mexico	Coetzala	2017	31.0%	32.4%	29.5%
Mexico	Cohetzala	2000	16.1%	31.1%	5.8%
Mexico	Cohetzala	2017	18.1%	38.0%	5.4%
Mexico	Cohuecan	2000	23.7%	24.4%	22.8%
Mexico	Cohuecan	2017	25.1%	25.9%	24.2%
Mexico	Coicoyan De Las Flores	2000	5.7%	26.6%	0.5%
Mexico	Coicoyan De Las Flores	2017	6.7%	30.5%	0.6%
Mexico	Cojumatlan De Regules	2000	65.3%	78.6%	52.1%
Mexico	Cojumatlan De Regules	2017	67.4%	79.9%	54.3%
Mexico	Colima	2000	96.0%	97.4%	93.9%
Mexico	Colima	2017	96.2%	97.7%	94.0%
Mexico	Colipa	2000	44.7%	59.3%	32.2%
Mexico	Colipa	2017	46.3%	64.9%	29.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Colon	2000	41.1%	70.5%	14.7%
Mexico	Colon	2017	42.6%	73.0%	15.6%
Mexico	Colotlan	2000	78.6%	83.7%	72.3%
Mexico	Colotlan	2017	79.6%	84.4%	72.6%
Mexico	Comala	2000	89.2%	94.8%	80.3%
Mexico	Comala	2017	89.6%	95.1%	80.2%
Mexico	Comalcalco	2000	63.0%	91.3%	30.5%
Mexico	Comalcalco	2017	64.6%	91.4%	32.5%
Mexico	Comapa	2000	31.6%	54.0%	16.2%
Mexico	Comapa	2017	32.9%	54.4%	17.4%
Mexico	Comitan De Dominguez	2000	64.7%	78.7%	49.9%
Mexico	Comitan De Dominguez	2017	64.7%	80.6%	49.8%
Mexico	Comondu	2000	59.8%	76.0%	43.4%
Mexico	Comondu	2017	60.4%	77.0%	44.3%
Mexico	Comonfort	2000	63.6%	82.4%	40.7%
Mexico	Comonfort	2017	64.9%	83.3%	43.9%
Mexico	Compostela	2000	67.0%	97.2%	30.3%
Mexico	Compostela	2017	67.8%	97.1%	30.8%
Mexico	Concepcion Buenavista	2000	9.8%	16.8%	5.6%
Mexico	Concepcion Buenavista	2017	11.4%	18.0%	6.6%
Mexico	Concepcion De Buenos Aires	2000	83.7%	91.9%	74.2%
Mexico	Concepcion De Buenos Aires	2017	85.3%	93.2%	76.1%
Mexico	Concepcion Del Oro	2000	55.2%	69.9%	41.2%
Mexico	Concepcion Del Oro	2017	56.3%	71.8%	41.2%
Mexico	Concepcion Papalo	2000	3.0%	4.1%	2.3%
Mexico	Concepcion Papalo	2017	3.5%	4.8%	2.7%
Mexico	Concordia	2000	49.5%	78.1%	19.3%
Mexico	Concordia	2017	49.7%	75.7%	21.5%
Mexico	Coneto De Comonfort	2000	33.1%	68.3%	8.2%
Mexico	Coneto De Comonfort	2017	34.2%	69.3%	8.9%
Mexico	Conkal	2000	44.3%	50.4%	38.5%
Mexico	Conkal	2017	46.5%	54.7%	37.9%
Mexico	Constancia Del Rosario	2000	46.5%	54.3%	40.3%
Mexico	Constancia Del Rosario	2017	49.5%	58.7%	41.8%
Mexico	Contepec	2000	52.1%	72.8%	29.9%
Mexico	Contepec	2017	53.9%	74.9%	30.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Contla De Juan Cua-matzi	2000	73.1%	73.8%	72.5%
Mexico	Contla De Juan Cua-matzi	2017	75.5%	76.1%	74.9%
Mexico	Copainala	2000	57.7%	74.6%	35.5%
Mexico	Copainala	2017	58.7%	76.1%	39.5%
Mexico	Copala	2000	43.2%	81.5%	10.5%
Mexico	Copala	2017	44.3%	82.0%	11.9%
Mexico	Copalillo	2000	23.3%	47.1%	7.5%
Mexico	Copalillo	2017	24.7%	49.5%	7.8%
Mexico	Copanatoyac	2000	6.4%	18.7%	1.3%
Mexico	Copanatoyac	2017	7.2%	21.2%	1.3%
Mexico	Copandaro	2000	49.4%	56.5%	42.7%
Mexico	Copandaro	2017	47.6%	56.8%	38.5%
Mexico	Coquimatlan	2000	88.4%	98.2%	68.0%
Mexico	Coquimatlan	2017	88.1%	98.0%	69.4%
Mexico	Cordoba	2000	65.3%	66.2%	64.5%
Mexico	Cordoba	2017	67.8%	69.1%	66.5%
Mexico	Coronado	2000	67.5%	95.6%	24.6%
Mexico	Coronado	2017	68.7%	95.3%	27.2%
Mexico	Coronango	2000	59.5%	60.1%	59.1%
Mexico	Coronango	2017	64.7%	65.3%	64.2%
Mexico	Coroneo	2000	39.1%	53.0%	25.1%
Mexico	Coroneo	2017	40.7%	57.1%	25.6%
Mexico	Corregidora	2000	82.1%	90.3%	71.8%
Mexico	Corregidora	2017	82.7%	90.2%	73.9%
Mexico	Cortazar	2000	73.8%	78.3%	67.3%
Mexico	Cortazar	2017	75.0%	79.2%	67.8%
Mexico	Cosala	2000	49.1%	66.6%	32.5%
Mexico	Cosala	2017	50.5%	69.5%	30.0%
Mexico	Cosamaloapan De Carpio	2000	85.4%	92.6%	75.9%
Mexico	Cosamaloapan De Carpio	2017	85.2%	92.3%	75.3%
Mexico	Cosautlan De Carvajal	2000	43.5%	45.6%	41.9%
Mexico	Cosautlan De Carvajal	2017	43.4%	44.9%	42.0%
Mexico	Coscomatepec	2000	46.0%	47.2%	44.8%
Mexico	Coscomatepec	2017	48.2%	49.4%	46.8%
Mexico	Cosio	2000	74.4%	86.2%	58.8%
Mexico	Cosio	2017	75.8%	87.2%	60.4%
Mexico	Cosolapa	2000	31.9%	43.2%	22.8%
Mexico	Cosolapa	2017	33.4%	44.0%	24.5%
Mexico	Cosoleacaque	2000	71.2%	81.7%	57.7%
Mexico	Cosoleacaque	2017	71.5%	78.7%	61.7%
Mexico	Cosoltepec	2000	7.4%	12.0%	4.5%
Mexico	Cosoltepec	2017	9.5%	17.4%	5.1%
Mexico	Cotaxtla	2000	50.4%	74.7%	23.8%
Mexico	Cotaxtla	2017	51.8%	73.8%	26.9%
Mexico	Cotija	2000	55.0%	67.4%	41.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cotija	2017	57.1%	69.1%	44.0%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2000	51.8%	59.5%	46.6%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2000	13.2%	20.9%	8.7%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2017	52.4%	62.2%	45.0%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2017	15.2%	23.0%	10.5%
Mexico	Coxquihui	2000	6.4%	7.4%	5.5%
Mexico	Coxquihui	2017	7.3%	8.4%	6.2%
Mexico	Coyame Del Sotol	2000	71.9%	88.7%	46.3%
Mexico	Coyame Del Sotol	2017	74.0%	86.9%	59.8%
Mexico	Coyoacan	2000	96.8%	96.8%	96.7%
Mexico	Coyoacan	2017	97.0%	97.1%	97.0%
Mexico	Coyomeapan	2000	2.6%	3.4%	2.2%
Mexico	Coyomeapan	2017	3.2%	3.9%	2.7%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2000	26.2%	39.1%	14.9%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2000	83.3%	84.8%	81.6%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2017	27.2%	41.9%	12.8%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2017	82.6%	85.7%	79.1%
Mexico	Coyuca De Benitez	2000	48.8%	87.4%	11.5%
Mexico	Coyuca De Benitez	2017	50.0%	88.4%	12.0%
Mexico	Coyuca De Catalan	2000	44.4%	68.3%	25.2%
Mexico	Coyuca De Catalan	2017	45.8%	69.4%	26.6%
Mexico	Coyutla	2000	25.8%	37.3%	12.8%
Mexico	Coyutla	2017	19.0%	28.2%	10.0%
Mexico	Cozumel	2000	96.8%	98.7%	93.2%
Mexico	Cozumel	2017	96.0%	98.7%	87.2%
Mexico	Cruillas	2000	26.2%	66.3%	1.1%
Mexico	Cruillas	2017	27.5%	65.9%	1.4%
Mexico	Cuajimalpa De Morelos	2000	93.6%	93.7%	93.5%
Mexico	Cuajimalpa De Morelos	2017	93.9%	94.0%	93.7%
Mexico	Cuajinicuilapa	2000	25.8%	52.2%	9.4%
Mexico	Cuajinicuilapa	2017	26.4%	52.1%	10.3%
Mexico	Cualac	2000	17.5%	30.9%	8.4%
Mexico	Cualac	2017	18.4%	34.3%	7.9%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla	2000	58.8%	63.9%	51.9%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla	2017	59.9%	63.5%	54.9%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla De Madero	2000	22.8%	23.4%	22.2%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla De Madero	2017	23.8%	24.4%	23.2%
Mexico	Cuatrocienegas	2000	69.0%	81.0%	56.3%
Mexico	Cuatrocienegas	2017	70.5%	81.9%	58.2%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	87.8%	96.7%	68.9%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	71.1%	94.6%	38.1%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	99.6%	99.6%	99.6%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	76.6%	90.7%	57.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	77.5%	90.5%	58.0%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	88.9%	97.1%	70.8%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	71.7%	94.9%	38.2%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	99.6%	99.6%	99.6%
Mexico	Cuautempan	2000	27.0%	33.3%	21.7%
Mexico	Cuautempan	2017	28.1%	33.9%	23.2%
Mexico	Cuatepec	2000	25.0%	46.8%	7.8%
Mexico	Cuatepec	2017	25.5%	47.3%	9.4%
Mexico	Cuatepec De Hinojosa	2000	58.9%	75.7%	44.3%
Mexico	Cuatepec De Hinojosa	2017	58.9%	75.4%	42.2%
Mexico	Cuautinchan	2000	47.2%	55.3%	41.6%
Mexico	Cuautinchan	2017	45.4%	52.5%	40.4%
Mexico	Cuautitlan	2000	94.1%	94.4%	93.8%
Mexico	Cuautitlan	2017	94.8%	95.1%	94.6%
Mexico	Cuautitlan De Garcia Barragan	2000	61.3%	88.1%	27.9%
Mexico	Cuautitlan De Garcia Barragan	2017	62.2%	89.0%	29.0%
Mexico	Cuautitlan Izcalli	2000	92.8%	92.9%	92.6%
Mexico	Cuautitlan Izcalli	2017	93.4%	93.5%	93.2%
Mexico	Cuautla	2000	84.7%	86.6%	82.3%
Mexico	Cuautla	2000	51.1%	87.3%	17.4%
Mexico	Cuautla	2017	85.3%	87.3%	82.7%
Mexico	Cuautla	2017	52.0%	87.3%	18.4%
Mexico	Cuautlancingo	2000	68.6%	69.8%	67.3%
Mexico	Cuautlancingo	2017	70.0%	71.2%	68.9%
Mexico	Cuaxomulco	2000	53.4%	54.3%	52.6%
Mexico	Cuaxomulco	2017	58.4%	59.2%	57.5%
Mexico	Cuayuca De Andrade	2000	14.4%	28.7%	4.7%
Mexico	Cuayuca De Andrade	2017	15.8%	31.4%	5.2%
Mexico	Cucurpe	2000	63.1%	97.9%	9.6%
Mexico	Cucurpe	2017	64.5%	97.8%	10.4%
Mexico	Cuencame	2000	58.9%	75.0%	43.3%
Mexico	Cuencame	2017	60.0%	75.7%	43.7%
Mexico	Cueramaro	2000	65.2%	85.2%	42.2%
Mexico	Cueramaro	2017	68.2%	85.0%	46.6%
Mexico	Cuernavaca	2000	82.7%	87.5%	77.6%
Mexico	Cuernavaca	2017	83.2%	87.6%	78.7%
Mexico	Cuetzala Del Progreso	2000	33.6%	60.1%	10.9%
Mexico	Cuetzala Del Progreso	2017	34.5%	60.5%	11.4%
Mexico	Cuetzalan Del Progreso	2000	17.1%	23.6%	12.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cuetzalan Del Progreso	2017	18.4%	25.2%	13.6%
Mexico	Cuichapa	2000	59.6%	62.1%	57.1%
Mexico	Cuichapa	2017	66.6%	68.8%	64.0%
Mexico	Cuilapam De Guerrero	2000	31.7%	32.4%	31.0%
Mexico	Cuilapam De Guerrero	2017	37.1%	37.8%	36.3%
Mexico	Cuitlahuac	2000	70.1%	77.5%	60.3%
Mexico	Cuitlahuac	2017	72.6%	79.5%	63.3%
Mexico	Cuitzeo	2000	64.0%	77.0%	46.0%
Mexico	Cuitzeo	2017	64.3%	75.6%	48.9%
Mexico	Culiacan	2000	78.1%	86.8%	65.1%
Mexico	Culiacan	2017	79.7%	86.6%	70.6%
Mexico	Cumpas	2000	83.4%	96.8%	56.0%
Mexico	Cumpas	2017	84.0%	96.7%	60.7%
Mexico	Cuncunul	2000	11.7%	25.1%	5.3%
Mexico	Cuncunul	2017	12.3%	25.8%	5.9%
Mexico	Cunduacan	2000	68.2%	91.6%	43.2%
Mexico	Cunduacan	2017	69.4%	92.3%	44.1%
Mexico	Cuquio	2000	53.5%	75.3%	29.0%
Mexico	Cuquio	2017	55.0%	76.0%	30.2%
Mexico	Cusihuiachi	2000	47.9%	84.0%	15.3%
Mexico	Cusihuiachi	2017	45.2%	83.5%	12.3%
Mexico	Cutzamala De Pinzon	2000	35.9%	65.8%	10.6%
Mexico	Cutzamala De Pinzon	2017	36.9%	69.0%	10.8%
Mexico	Cuyamecalco Villa De Zaragoza	2000	1.0%	1.7%	0.6%
Mexico	Cuyamecalco Villa De Zaragoza	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Mexico	Cuyoaco	2000	36.6%	51.9%	23.5%
Mexico	Cuyoaco	2017	38.9%	53.7%	25.1%
Mexico	Cuzama	2000	6.8%	9.6%	5.5%
Mexico	Cuzama	2017	7.5%	10.4%	6.1%
Mexico	Degollado	2000	70.3%	78.6%	57.9%
Mexico	Degollado	2017	73.1%	82.6%	58.8%
Mexico	Del Nayar	2000	22.7%	53.1%	6.2%
Mexico	Del Nayar	2017	23.3%	48.8%	6.7%
Mexico	Delicias	2000	91.9%	96.9%	81.3%
Mexico	Delicias	2017	92.4%	96.8%	83.6%
Mexico	Divisaderos	2000	85.1%	97.1%	57.6%
Mexico	Divisaderos	2017	86.0%	97.3%	59.6%
Mexico	Doctor Mora	2000	42.9%	63.7%	21.5%
Mexico	Doctor Mora	2017	44.5%	65.8%	21.3%
Mexico	Dolores Hidalgo Cuna De La Independenc	2000	53.0%	75.9%	31.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Dolores Hidalgo Cuna De La Independenc	2017	53.9%	75.6%	32.6%
Mexico	Domingo Arenas	2000	31.6%	32.4%	30.7%
Mexico	Domingo Arenas	2017	33.9%	34.7%	32.9%
Mexico	Donato Guerra	2000	21.1%	37.9%	8.7%
Mexico	Donato Guerra	2017	22.0%	39.6%	9.2%
Mexico	Dr. Arroyo	2000	32.3%	53.0%	13.7%
Mexico	Dr. Arroyo	2017	34.7%	59.2%	13.5%
Mexico	Dr. Belisario Dominguez	2000	68.6%	96.0%	31.4%
Mexico	Dr. Belisario Dominguez	2017	70.1%	95.9%	34.5%
Mexico	Dr. Coss	2000	56.0%	83.9%	24.0%
Mexico	Dr. Coss	2017	57.7%	83.8%	27.2%
Mexico	Dr. Gonzalez	2000	69.3%	94.9%	24.9%
Mexico	Dr. Gonzalez	2017	70.6%	95.9%	28.0%
Mexico	Durango	2000	82.0%	94.8%	59.7%
Mexico	Durango	2017	82.5%	94.8%	62.3%
Mexico	Dzan	2000	33.2%	37.1%	29.1%
Mexico	Dzan	2017	35.3%	39.3%	31.1%
Mexico	Dzemul	2000	36.3%	39.9%	32.4%
Mexico	Dzemul	2017	39.1%	42.7%	35.1%
Mexico	Dzidzantun	2000	46.8%	57.2%	36.3%
Mexico	Dzidzantun	2017	51.2%	61.3%	41.2%
Mexico	Dzilam De Bravo	2000	57.6%	99.3%	2.0%
Mexico	Dzilam De Bravo	2017	58.8%	99.1%	2.6%
Mexico	Dzilam Gonzalez	2000	42.2%	86.8%	6.3%
Mexico	Dzilam Gonzalez	2017	43.7%	87.8%	7.1%
Mexico	Dzitas	2000	16.2%	33.3%	7.1%
Mexico	Dzitas	2017	16.6%	37.7%	4.9%
Mexico	Dzoncauich	2000	9.5%	20.7%	3.4%
Mexico	Dzoncauich	2017	13.2%	17.0%	10.0%
Mexico	Ebano	2000	40.2%	64.3%	15.6%
Mexico	Ebano	2017	41.7%	61.6%	23.4%
Mexico	Ecatepec De Morelos	2000	93.8%	94.0%	93.6%
Mexico	Ecatepec De Morelos	2017	94.2%	94.4%	94.1%
Mexico	Ecatzingo	2000	21.7%	22.7%	20.7%
Mexico	Ecatzingo	2017	23.8%	26.3%	21.5%
Mexico	Ecuandureo	2000	77.2%	92.7%	46.8%
Mexico	Ecuandureo	2017	78.7%	92.8%	51.1%
Mexico	Eduardo Neri	2000	48.4%	85.9%	10.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Eduardo Neri	2017	49.8%	87.9%	10.6%
Mexico	Ejutla	2000	74.2%	91.1%	53.9%
Mexico	Ejutla	2017	75.8%	91.7%	56.6%
Mexico	El Arenal	2000	93.1%	96.7%	87.0%
Mexico	El Arenal	2000	50.2%	62.2%	38.6%
Mexico	El Arenal	2017	52.8%	65.2%	40.8%
Mexico	El Arenal	2017	94.1%	96.7%	89.9%
Mexico	El Barrio De La Soledad	2000	73.0%	85.9%	50.8%
Mexico	El Barrio De La Soledad	2017	74.3%	83.8%	57.4%
Mexico	El Bosque	2000	25.6%	34.8%	19.2%
Mexico	El Bosque	2017	26.1%	34.6%	19.9%
Mexico	El Carmen Tequexquitla	2000	43.8%	52.2%	37.0%
Mexico	El Carmen Tequexquitla	2017	45.6%	54.6%	38.1%
Mexico	El Espinal	2000	90.5%	91.7%	88.8%
Mexico	El Espinal	2017	91.1%	92.8%	88.6%
Mexico	El Fuerte	2000	54.4%	74.5%	33.1%
Mexico	El Fuerte	2017	55.3%	76.5%	34.5%
Mexico	El Grullo	2000	90.5%	91.9%	88.5%
Mexico	El Grullo	2017	91.1%	92.6%	89.1%
Mexico	El Higo	2000	41.4%	60.2%	23.5%
Mexico	El Higo	2017	42.3%	62.9%	21.9%
Mexico	El Limon	2000	82.4%	91.9%	69.1%
Mexico	El Limon	2017	84.2%	91.5%	74.4%
Mexico	El Llano	2000	63.1%	91.8%	27.8%
Mexico	El Llano	2017	63.9%	92.4%	27.7%
Mexico	El Mante	2000	65.5%	87.5%	35.6%
Mexico	El Mante	2017	66.1%	88.6%	33.3%
Mexico	El Marques	2000	54.4%	81.0%	28.1%
Mexico	El Marques	2017	54.9%	81.6%	27.3%
Mexico	El Naranjo	2000	46.3%	66.5%	29.7%
Mexico	El Naranjo	2017	47.8%	67.5%	30.8%
Mexico	El Oro	2000	30.9%	41.7%	24.2%
Mexico	El Oro	2000	55.4%	77.9%	31.4%
Mexico	El Oro	2017	32.3%	41.8%	26.0%
Mexico	El Oro	2017	56.2%	80.1%	31.3%
Mexico	El Plateado De Joaquin Amaro	2000	50.1%	78.6%	19.7%
Mexico	El Plateado De Joaquin Amaro	2017	51.3%	79.1%	21.1%
Mexico	El Porvenir	2000	7.7%	9.7%	6.4%
Mexico	El Porvenir	2017	11.2%	16.0%	8.4%
Mexico	El Salto	2000	90.9%	93.5%	86.6%
Mexico	El Salto	2017	91.4%	94.1%	86.8%
Mexico	El Salvador	2000	40.5%	80.3%	6.3%
Mexico	El Salvador	2017	43.5%	83.8%	7.9%
Mexico	El Tule	2000	50.2%	74.9%	28.3%
Mexico	El Tule	2017	52.3%	73.7%	32.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Elota	2000	39.8%	76.6%	4.4%
Mexico	Elota	2017	38.9%	72.6%	5.7%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2000	0.8%	2.8%	0.1%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2000	47.3%	71.0%	29.5%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2017	1.0%	3.6%	0.1%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2017	48.8%	73.3%	28.5%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2000	9.4%	10.3%	8.7%
Mexico	De Flores Magon	2017	10.0%	10.9%	9.2%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	89.4%	93.4%	83.8%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	77.1%	78.6%	75.5%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	82.5%	95.9%	60.4%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	51.0%	53.5%	48.4%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	65.8%	74.2%	54.9%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	90.0%	93.7%	84.0%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	82.7%	96.5%	60.2%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	80.1%	80.9%	79.4%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	66.4%	76.6%	52.9%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	56.9%	59.2%	54.1%
Mexico	Empalme	2000	70.9%	87.4%	45.8%
Mexico	Empalme	2017	71.7%	85.1%	52.5%
Mexico	Encarnacion De Diaz	2000	70.9%	92.3%	34.6%
Mexico	Encarnacion De Diaz	2017	72.0%	92.7%	35.7%
Mexico	Ensenada	2000	71.6%	85.7%	51.0%
Mexico	Ensenada	2017	72.7%	86.3%	53.9%
Mexico	Epatlan	2000	47.0%	49.9%	43.7%
Mexico	Epatlan	2017	48.1%	51.6%	44.4%
Mexico	Epazoyucan	2000	58.9%	64.7%	53.4%
Mexico	Epazoyucan	2017	65.6%	71.7%	58.8%
Mexico	Epitacio Huerta	2000	41.2%	63.7%	21.6%
Mexico	Epitacio Huerta	2017	42.9%	65.8%	22.8%
Mexico	Erongaricuario	2000	34.8%	53.5%	18.4%
Mexico	Erongaricuario	2017	35.8%	53.8%	20.9%
Mexico	Escarcega	2000	48.6%	65.7%	31.2%
Mexico	Escarcega	2017	49.6%	66.6%	33.6%
Mexico	Escobedo	2000	36.4%	75.0%	7.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Escobedo	2017	38.2%	77.0%	7.3%
Mexico	Escuinapa	2000	61.8%	95.9%	15.1%
Mexico	Escuinapa	2017	62.0%	96.0%	13.6%
Mexico	Escuintla	2000	51.7%	72.9%	28.3%
Mexico	Escuintla	2017	52.7%	74.2%	28.7%
Mexico	Espanita	2000	72.5%	77.6%	66.8%
Mexico	Espanita	2017	78.4%	81.9%	74.3%
Mexico	Esperanza	2000	37.2%	43.3%	31.6%
Mexico	Esperanza	2017	37.6%	43.5%	32.4%
Mexico	Espinal	2000	14.6%	28.7%	6.5%
Mexico	Espinal	2017	16.1%	31.4%	7.3%
Mexico	Espita	2000	15.9%	37.3%	6.3%
Mexico	Espita	2017	16.6%	30.6%	7.7%
Mexico	Etchojoa	2000	41.2%	72.7%	14.3%
Mexico	Etchojoa	2017	42.8%	74.7%	14.7%
Mexico	Etzatlán	2000	88.8%	93.0%	81.6%
Mexico	Etzatlán	2017	89.7%	93.3%	83.1%
Mexico	Ezequiel Montes	2000	62.3%	80.4%	44.0%
Mexico	Ezequiel Montes	2017	61.7%	82.2%	39.1%
Mexico	Felipe Carrillo Puerto	2000	49.2%	74.2%	24.5%
Mexico	Felipe Carrillo Puerto	2017	50.3%	73.1%	27.6%
Mexico	Filomeno Mata	2000	4.2%	4.5%	3.9%
Mexico	Filomeno Mata	2017	4.1%	4.4%	3.9%
Mexico	Florencio Villarreal	2000	42.8%	65.9%	18.4%
Mexico	Florencio Villarreal	2017	43.0%	62.8%	21.9%
Mexico	Fortín	2000	66.6%	67.2%	65.9%
Mexico	Fortín	2017	69.2%	69.8%	68.6%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2000	62.4%	86.1%	31.9%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2000	67.2%	76.8%	54.5%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2017	63.6%	86.4%	34.3%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2017	69.3%	76.7%	58.0%
Mexico	Francisco Leon	2000	25.1%	45.4%	12.6%
Mexico	Francisco Leon	2017	26.3%	47.5%	12.7%
Mexico	Francisco Z. Mena	2000	27.1%	55.2%	7.4%
Mexico	Francisco Z. Mena	2017	28.2%	55.3%	8.7%
Mexico	Fresnillo	2000	77.3%	91.0%	58.9%
Mexico	Fresnillo	2017	79.3%	92.0%	62.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Fresnillo De Trujano	2000	4.8%	9.0%	3.1%
Mexico	Fresnillo De Trujano	2017	5.1%	10.0%	3.1%
Mexico	Frontera	2000	77.7%	88.6%	63.1%
Mexico	Frontera	2017	79.9%	89.8%	64.2%
Mexico	Frontera Co-malapa	2000	51.5%	82.9%	18.4%
Mexico	Frontera Co-malapa	2017	52.6%	84.1%	19.4%
Mexico	Frontera Hidalgo	2000	68.3%	76.5%	57.4%
Mexico	Frontera Hidalgo	2017	70.6%	78.5%	59.3%
Mexico	Fronteras	2000	84.2%	91.1%	72.3%
Mexico	Fronteras	2017	85.2%	91.8%	73.8%
Mexico	Gabriel Zamora	2000	42.8%	69.9%	18.0%
Mexico	Gabriel Zamora	2017	44.1%	70.6%	20.3%
Mexico	Galeana	2000	72.8%	94.8%	39.3%
Mexico	Galeana	2000	48.8%	70.5%	26.4%
Mexico	Galeana	2017	67.0%	96.6%	21.4%
Mexico	Galeana	2017	50.0%	71.9%	27.3%
Mexico	Garcia	2000	78.2%	97.1%	57.2%
Mexico	Garcia	2017	69.4%	96.5%	36.4%
Mexico	Genaro Codina	2000	31.1%	56.9%	10.7%
Mexico	Genaro Codina	2017	34.2%	59.7%	11.8%
Mexico	General Bravo	2000	64.3%	95.8%	9.8%
Mexico	General Bravo	2017	64.5%	95.9%	11.6%
Mexico	General Canuto A. Neri	2000	28.7%	57.1%	6.3%
Mexico	General Canuto A. Neri	2017	28.1%	53.7%	7.4%
Mexico	General Cepeda	2000	52.2%	82.0%	20.8%
Mexico	General Cepeda	2017	51.5%	73.8%	29.2%
Mexico	General Enrique Estrada	2000	82.7%	90.2%	72.9%
Mexico	General Enrique Estrada	2017	82.9%	91.4%	67.4%
Mexico	General Felipe Angeles	2000	46.9%	55.2%	40.4%
Mexico	General Felipe Angeles	2017	47.2%	54.2%	41.7%
Mexico	General Francisco R. Murguia	2000	50.0%	68.4%	28.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	General Francisco R. Murguia	2017	50.6%	69.9%	29.6%
Mexico	General Heliodoro Castillo	2000	36.6%	71.2%	7.3%
Mexico	General Heliodoro Castillo	2017	37.9%	73.6%	7.4%
Mexico	General Panfilo Natera	2000	58.1%	82.6%	30.0%
Mexico	General Panfilo Natera	2017	57.9%	81.5%	31.7%
Mexico	General Plutarco Elias Calles	2000	73.9%	89.1%	53.2%
Mexico	General Plutarco Elias Calles	2017	74.8%	89.7%	54.1%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	58.5%	74.7%	39.8%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	34.6%	66.1%	7.8%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	76.7%	87.5%	62.9%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	60.3%	76.3%	42.1%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	78.9%	89.3%	66.4%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	37.0%	68.7%	8.4%
Mexico	Gomez Palacio	2000	82.2%	90.1%	71.9%
Mexico	Gomez Palacio	2017	83.1%	90.8%	73.2%
Mexico	Gonzalez	2000	43.5%	72.6%	13.9%
Mexico	Gonzalez	2017	44.5%	73.7%	14.4%
Mexico	Gral. Escobedo	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.5%
Mexico	Gral. Escobedo	2017	92.1%	94.0%	89.3%
Mexico	Gral. Simon Bolivar	2000	36.2%	62.1%	13.4%
Mexico	Gral. Simon Bolivar	2017	36.7%	62.5%	13.1%
Mexico	Gral. Teran	2000	59.4%	81.9%	33.5%
Mexico	Gral. Teran	2017	60.9%	81.7%	35.3%
Mexico	Gral. Trevino	2000	72.7%	81.6%	64.0%
Mexico	Gral. Trevino	2017	74.1%	83.0%	65.8%
Mexico	Gral. Zaragoza	2000	46.4%	80.4%	13.8%
Mexico	Gral. Zaragoza	2017	48.2%	81.1%	14.4%
Mexico	Gral. Zuazua	2000	75.7%	87.7%	59.8%
Mexico	Gral. Zuazua	2017	74.4%	82.8%	62.9%
Mexico	Gran Morelos	2000	67.1%	91.3%	33.8%
Mexico	Gran Morelos	2017	68.2%	90.2%	40.3%
Mexico	Granados	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Mexico	Granados	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Guachinango	2000	57.9%	86.2%	20.4%
Mexico	Guachinango	2017	58.0%	87.4%	20.5%
Mexico	Guachochi	2000	39.5%	58.1%	23.0%
Mexico	Guachochi	2017	40.5%	59.9%	23.4%
Mexico	Guadalajara	2000	96.7%	98.8%	91.4%
Mexico	Guadalajara	2017	96.5%	98.9%	90.1%
Mexico	Guadalcazar	2000	23.2%	52.5%	4.0%
Mexico	Guadalcazar	2017	23.4%	52.3%	4.3%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	39.8%	45.8%	36.2%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	79.5%	90.6%	62.9%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	54.0%	84.8%	24.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	96.8%	98.2%	93.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	42.6%	47.9%	38.6%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	95.8%	97.7%	91.4%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	81.1%	89.6%	70.6%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	54.2%	90.7%	17.0%
Mexico	Guadalupe De Ramirez	2000	20.8%	22.4%	19.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe De Ramirez	2017	33.1%	34.3%	31.9%
Mexico	Guadalupe Etna	2000	62.1%	63.1%	60.8%
Mexico	Guadalupe Etna	2017	64.8%	65.8%	63.6%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2000	29.1%	43.6%	19.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2000	67.7%	91.0%	39.4%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2017	32.2%	42.3%	24.8%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2017	68.7%	91.9%	40.0%
Mexico	Guadalupe Y Calvo	2000	32.6%	50.6%	15.9%
Mexico	Guadalupe Y Calvo	2017	32.9%	49.5%	16.7%
Mexico	Guanacevi	2000	32.4%	63.8%	9.4%
Mexico	Guanacevi	2017	31.9%	62.8%	10.2%
Mexico	Guanajuato	2000	72.4%	89.9%	49.3%
Mexico	Guanajuato	2017	73.7%	90.9%	50.0%
Mexico	Guasave	2000	56.5%	81.4%	30.5%
Mexico	Guasave	2017	57.1%	81.9%	31.4%
Mexico	Guaymas	2000	76.1%	88.2%	53.5%
Mexico	Guaymas	2017	77.3%	87.1%	61.9%
Mexico	Guazapares	2000	17.4%	46.7%	1.4%
Mexico	Guazapares	2017	17.1%	46.3%	1.6%
Mexico	Guelatao De Juarez	2000	71.9%	74.2%	69.7%
Mexico	Guelatao De Juarez	2017	73.4%	75.6%	71.3%
Mexico	Guemez	2000	26.6%	54.1%	8.1%
Mexico	Guemez	2017	27.5%	55.9%	8.2%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	63.2%	95.6%	24.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	46.4%	66.8%	27.2%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	51.1%	88.5%	13.4%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	47.5%	65.7%	29.9%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	52.2%	89.2%	12.7%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	65.4%	95.6%	28.6%
Mexico	Guevea De Humboldt	2000	9.6%	18.1%	5.8%
Mexico	Guevea De Humboldt	2017	10.2%	16.0%	6.6%
Mexico	Gustavo A. Madero	2000	97.3%	97.4%	97.2%
Mexico	Gustavo A. Madero	2017	97.5%	97.6%	97.4%
Mexico	Gustavo Diaz Ordaz	2000	62.3%	91.1%	23.6%
Mexico	Gustavo Diaz Ordaz	2017	63.4%	93.3%	19.3%
Mexico	Gutierrez Zamora	2000	62.7%	74.1%	51.5%
Mexico	Gutierrez Zamora	2017	65.5%	78.5%	52.4%
Mexico	Halacho	2000	22.1%	46.2%	6.3%
Mexico	Halacho	2017	22.8%	45.7%	7.1%
Mexico	Hecelchakan	2000	34.8%	61.0%	17.0%
Mexico	Hecelchakan	2017	36.1%	62.7%	17.2%
Mexico	Hermenegildo Galeana	2000	5.4%	5.8%	5.0%
Mexico	Hermenegildo Galeana	2017	6.3%	6.8%	5.8%
Mexico	Hermosillo	2000	84.0%	89.1%	77.3%
Mexico	Hermosillo	2017	85.0%	90.0%	78.5%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Ejutla De Crespo	2000	57.1%	58.7%	55.6%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Ejutla De Crespo	2017	60.6%	61.6%	59.7%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Huajuapán De León	2000	81.4%	83.2%	78.9%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Huajuapán De León	2017	80.8%	82.9%	77.9%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Tlaxiaco	2000	22.4%	24.7%	21.0%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Tlaxiaco	2017	22.9%	25.8%	21.1%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	46.3%	93.9%	4.3%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	88.3%	91.9%	83.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	25.8%	47.7%	9.6%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	63.1%	86.4%	27.8%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	49.4%	69.0%	29.3%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	27.5%	50.6%	10.2%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	51.5%	72.3%	29.3%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	88.7%	92.2%	84.0%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	64.6%	87.4%	28.9%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	46.9%	92.9%	4.4%
Mexico	Hidalgo Del Parral	2000	91.7%	96.8%	81.5%
Mexico	Hidalgo Del Parral	2017	93.6%	97.0%	87.6%
Mexico	Hidalgotitlan	2000	56.3%	86.3%	37.6%
Mexico	Hidalgotitlan	2017	56.4%	85.9%	38.0%
Mexico	Higuera	2000	77.7%	83.6%	71.1%
Mexico	Higuera	2017	72.3%	80.6%	63.4%
Mexico	Hocaba	2000	6.6%	7.2%	6.0%
Mexico	Hocaba	2017	6.9%	7.6%	6.3%
Mexico	Hoctun	2000	11.1%	13.4%	9.6%
Mexico	Hoctun	2017	12.0%	13.9%	10.5%
Mexico	Homun	2000	5.0%	6.2%	4.2%
Mexico	Homun	2017	5.4%	6.6%	4.6%
Mexico	Honey	2000	20.3%	27.0%	15.1%
Mexico	Honey	2017	21.7%	28.9%	16.1%
Mexico	Hopelchen	2000	39.6%	60.1%	21.7%
Mexico	Hopelchen	2017	40.7%	61.3%	21.5%
Mexico	Hostotipaquillo	2000	58.8%	86.5%	22.7%
Mexico	Hostotipaquillo	2017	60.3%	87.5%	25.3%
Mexico	Huachinera	2000	49.8%	84.5%	16.0%
Mexico	Huachinera	2017	50.8%	92.1%	9.6%
Mexico	Huajicori	2000	39.7%	77.2%	9.9%
Mexico	Huajicori	2017	42.2%	73.2%	15.1%
Mexico	Hualahuises	2000	64.7%	73.9%	55.0%
Mexico	Hualahuises	2017	65.4%	76.4%	53.8%
Mexico	Huamantla	2000	55.9%	59.2%	51.2%
Mexico	Huamantla	2017	59.4%	62.9%	55.0%
Mexico	Huamuxtitlan	2000	45.9%	60.5%	33.6%
Mexico	Huamuxtitlan	2017	46.1%	60.2%	33.9%
Mexico	Huandacareo	2000	77.5%	81.5%	72.3%
Mexico	Huandacareo	2017	78.9%	81.8%	74.7%
Mexico	Huanimaro	2000	40.6%	58.7%	23.1%
Mexico	Huanimaro	2017	42.2%	59.5%	25.1%
Mexico	Huaniqueo	2000	45.8%	70.0%	20.5%
Mexico	Huaniqueo	2017	47.4%	69.9%	23.3%
Mexico	Huanusco	2000	64.0%	89.0%	32.6%
Mexico	Huanusco	2017	65.1%	89.7%	34.4%
Mexico	Huaquechula	2000	45.6%	61.0%	29.0%
Mexico	Huaquechula	2017	48.5%	63.1%	31.8%
Mexico	Huasabas	2000	93.0%	95.3%	90.2%
Mexico	Huasabas	2017	93.6%	95.6%	91.0%
Mexico	Huasca De Ocampo	2000	27.2%	42.3%	14.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huasca De Ocampo	2017	26.7%	40.6%	14.8%
Mexico	Huatabampo	2000	46.3%	90.5%	11.1%
Mexico	Huatabampo	2017	47.0%	89.8%	11.6%
Mexico	Huatlatlauca	2000	27.4%	32.8%	19.6%
Mexico	Huatlatlauca	2017	30.9%	36.1%	22.0%
Mexico	Huatusco	2000	46.0%	47.2%	44.9%
Mexico	Huatusco	2017	48.2%	49.4%	47.1%
Mexico	Huauchinango	2000	41.3%	44.9%	39.3%
Mexico	Huauchinango	2017	44.8%	51.4%	38.7%
Mexico	Huauteppec	2000	9.0%	10.9%	7.6%
Mexico	Huauteppec	2017	9.5%	10.9%	8.5%
Mexico	Huautla	2000	23.8%	43.4%	8.8%
Mexico	Huautla	2017	24.9%	44.8%	9.1%
Mexico	Huautla De Jimenez	2000	11.4%	18.1%	7.8%
Mexico	Huautla De Jimenez	2017	13.4%	21.0%	8.8%
Mexico	Huayacocotla	2000	27.5%	50.4%	10.7%
Mexico	Huayacocotla	2017	27.0%	49.2%	10.9%
Mexico	Huazalingo	2000	13.6%	24.5%	6.9%
Mexico	Huazalingo	2017	14.0%	25.0%	7.3%
Mexico	Huehuetan	2000	53.5%	68.5%	37.3%
Mexico	Huehuetan	2017	55.1%	70.4%	38.3%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2000	13.4%	26.6%	7.0%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2000	6.5%	6.8%	6.3%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2017	6.6%	6.9%	6.4%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2017	17.1%	30.4%	10.2%
Mexico	Huehuetlan	2000	44.7%	64.3%	26.0%
Mexico	Huehuetlan	2017	45.4%	62.5%	28.4%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Chico	2000	34.2%	45.8%	22.4%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Chico	2017	36.7%	47.9%	24.2%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Grande	2000	56.9%	64.5%	49.4%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Grande	2017	58.8%	69.3%	48.6%
Mexico	Huehuetoca	2000	81.5%	85.5%	76.8%
Mexico	Huehuetoca	2017	81.1%	85.1%	76.2%
Mexico	Huejotitan	2000	43.5%	81.2%	13.0%
Mexico	Huejotitan	2017	43.6%	78.6%	16.5%
Mexico	Huejotzingo	2000	47.6%	48.2%	47.0%
Mexico	Huejotzingo	2017	49.7%	50.3%	49.1%
Mexico	Huejucar	2000	61.6%	77.8%	44.9%
Mexico	Huejucar	2017	63.4%	78.6%	46.7%
Mexico	Huejuquilla El Alto	2000	50.2%	73.9%	28.8%
Mexico	Huejuquilla El Alto	2017	52.2%	78.3%	25.7%
Mexico	Huejutla De Reyes	2000	40.0%	54.8%	25.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huejutla De Reyes	2017	41.7%	55.5%	28.9%
Mexico	Huepac	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.0%
Mexico	Huepac	2017	92.8%	94.3%	90.5%
Mexico	Huetamo	2000	47.7%	75.0%	23.9%
Mexico	Huetamo	2017	48.1%	73.4%	24.9%
Mexico	Hueyapan	2000	22.0%	27.7%	18.6%
Mexico	Hueyapan	2017	24.0%	27.8%	21.2%
Mexico	Hueyapan De Ocampo	2000	47.3%	75.2%	16.3%
Mexico	Hueyapan De Ocampo	2017	48.3%	75.7%	17.3%
Mexico	Hueyotlipan	2000	65.3%	72.5%	55.3%
Mexico	Hueyotlipan	2017	67.2%	74.0%	57.4%
Mexico	Hueypoxtla	2000	56.5%	71.9%	39.3%
Mexico	Hueypoxtla	2017	62.1%	71.4%	50.8%
Mexico	Hueytamalco	2000	27.2%	45.6%	14.4%
Mexico	Hueytamalco	2017	30.1%	49.2%	16.7%
Mexico	Hueytlalpan	2000	11.6%	12.1%	11.2%
Mexico	Hueytlalpan	2017	15.1%	15.6%	14.6%
Mexico	Huhi	2000	19.2%	23.2%	15.1%
Mexico	Huhi	2017	20.6%	24.9%	16.1%
Mexico	Huichapan	2000	42.5%	74.6%	19.9%
Mexico	Huichapan	2017	44.1%	76.4%	22.0%
Mexico	Huiloapan	2000	65.0%	66.2%	63.8%
Mexico	Huiloapan	2017	68.2%	69.5%	67.0%
Mexico	Huimanguillo	2000	64.8%	89.1%	36.8%
Mexico	Huimanguillo	2017	65.5%	88.1%	37.6%
Mexico	Huimilpan	2000	42.6%	72.4%	19.4%
Mexico	Huimilpan	2017	44.0%	73.2%	19.9%
Mexico	Huiramba	2000	52.2%	58.9%	45.7%
Mexico	Huiramba	2017	49.6%	59.2%	40.8%
Mexico	Huitiupan	2000	10.0%	21.5%	4.7%
Mexico	Huitiupan	2017	10.6%	22.8%	5.3%
Mexico	Huitzilac	2000	60.2%	71.7%	48.4%
Mexico	Huitzilac	2017	62.7%	73.9%	51.2%
Mexico	Huitzilan De Serdan	2000	12.3%	12.8%	11.7%
Mexico	Huitzilan De Serdan	2017	13.8%	14.5%	13.2%
Mexico	Huitziltepec	2000	43.1%	49.6%	37.2%
Mexico	Huitziltepec	2017	44.9%	50.0%	40.3%
Mexico	Huitzuco De Los Figueroa	2000	54.7%	86.1%	17.4%
Mexico	Huitzuco De Los Figueroa	2017	56.0%	86.9%	17.9%
Mexico	Huixquilucan	2000	87.3%	89.2%	84.1%
Mexico	Huixquilucan	2017	88.5%	90.5%	85.0%
Mexico	Huixtan	2000	17.5%	38.6%	5.4%
Mexico	Huixtan	2017	18.4%	40.7%	5.7%
Mexico	Huixtla	2000	69.6%	78.9%	59.5%
Mexico	Huixtla	2017	70.8%	80.2%	59.8%
Mexico	Hunucma	2000	20.6%	32.1%	11.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Hunucma	2017	21.8%	33.0%	12.8%
Mexico	Ignacio De La Llave	2000	56.4%	81.7%	28.5%
Mexico	Ignacio De La Llave	2017	58.2%	82.3%	31.2%
Mexico	Ignacio Zaragoza	2000	51.5%	88.6%	13.4%
Mexico	Ignacio Zaragoza	2017	52.5%	85.4%	18.3%
Mexico	Iguala De La Independencia	2000	80.4%	90.9%	66.0%
Mexico	Iguala De La Independencia	2017	82.6%	92.5%	67.2%
Mexico	Igualapa	2000	7.9%	20.0%	2.5%
Mexico	Igualapa	2017	8.2%	20.2%	2.8%
Mexico	Ilamatlan	2000	3.1%	10.6%	0.9%
Mexico	Ilamatlan	2017	4.4%	11.4%	1.1%
Mexico	Iliatenco	2000	16.6%	72.3%	0.2%
Mexico	Iliatenco	2017	15.1%	63.4%	0.3%
Mexico	Imuris	2000	86.1%	94.7%	71.0%
Mexico	Imuris	2017	85.6%	94.9%	71.2%
Mexico	Indaparapeo	2000	65.2%	72.8%	57.1%
Mexico	Indaparapeo	2017	65.8%	75.7%	53.5%
Mexico	Inde	2000	51.8%	76.7%	23.5%
Mexico	Inde	2017	53.0%	78.6%	25.3%
Mexico	Irapuato	2000	79.4%	92.0%	62.5%
Mexico	Irapuato	2017	80.5%	92.4%	65.6%
Mexico	Irimbo	2000	57.1%	74.4%	37.8%
Mexico	Irimbo	2017	60.5%	76.8%	41.9%
Mexico	Isidro Fabela	2000	43.7%	49.1%	39.8%
Mexico	Isidro Fabela	2017	44.1%	48.2%	41.3%
Mexico	Isla	2000	68.1%	86.2%	43.0%
Mexico	Isla	2017	70.0%	87.4%	44.9%
Mexico	Isla Mujeres	2000	77.8%	99.7%	15.8%
Mexico	Isla Mujeres	2017	82.4%	99.7%	32.4%
Mexico	Iturbide	2000	33.0%	71.8%	5.8%
Mexico	Iturbide	2017	34.0%	71.3%	6.6%
Mexico	Ixcamilpa De Guerrero	2000	13.5%	27.8%	4.0%
Mexico	Ixcamilpa De Guerrero	2017	14.8%	27.1%	4.7%
Mexico	Ixcaquixtla	2000	50.3%	63.3%	38.8%
Mexico	Ixcaquixtla	2017	63.1%	76.5%	50.1%
Mexico	Ixcateopan De Cuauhtemoc	2000	26.2%	44.9%	11.4%
Mexico	Ixcateopan De Cuauhtemoc	2017	27.1%	47.4%	9.8%
Mexico	Ixcatepec	2000	21.8%	36.9%	12.4%
Mexico	Ixcatepec	2017	23.1%	38.5%	13.4%
Mexico	Ixhuacan De Los Reyes	2000	31.9%	37.3%	26.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Ixhuacan De Los Reyes	2017	32.5%	39.7%	26.0%
Mexico	Ixhuatan	2000	54.1%	62.8%	47.9%
Mexico	Ixhuatan	2017	62.9%	71.2%	53.0%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan De Madero	2000	9.0%	26.4%	1.2%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan De Madero	2017	9.0%	26.3%	1.3%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Cafe	2000	45.2%	45.8%	44.6%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Cafe	2017	48.1%	48.7%	47.6%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Sureste	2000	57.2%	59.8%	54.6%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Sureste	2017	58.4%	61.2%	55.7%
Mexico	Ixhuatlancillo	2000	75.5%	76.9%	74.4%
Mexico	Ixhuatlancillo	2017	75.1%	76.3%	74.1%
Mexico	Ixil	2000	29.8%	34.7%	25.0%
Mexico	Ixil	2017	30.8%	34.2%	27.2%
Mexico	Ixmatlahuacan	2000	65.7%	81.5%	48.3%
Mexico	Ixmatlahuacan	2017	70.5%	83.3%	54.1%
Mexico	Ixmiquilpan	2000	56.6%	74.7%	39.9%
Mexico	Ixmiquilpan	2017	60.6%	77.6%	44.5%
Mexico	Ixpantepec Nieves	2000	10.0%	14.4%	7.3%
Mexico	Ixpantepec Nieves	2017	11.0%	15.8%	8.1%
Mexico	Ixtacamaxtitlan	2000	29.0%	55.4%	11.0%
Mexico	Ixtacamaxtitlan	2017	29.8%	57.7%	11.6%
Mexico	Ixtacomitan	2000	58.9%	68.9%	48.2%
Mexico	Ixtacomitan	2017	60.1%	69.1%	49.1%
Mexico	Ixtacuixtla De Mariano Matamoros	2000	67.1%	70.3%	63.0%
Mexico	Ixtacuixtla De Mariano Matamoros	2017	68.8%	71.5%	65.2%
Mexico	Ixtaczoquitlan	2000	63.2%	63.7%	62.7%
Mexico	Ixtaczoquitlan	2017	65.1%	65.6%	64.7%
Mexico	Ixtapa	2000	53.1%	70.0%	34.6%
Mexico	Ixtapa	2017	54.4%	69.6%	37.2%
Mexico	Ixtapaluca	2000	86.5%	88.4%	83.8%
Mexico	Ixtapaluca	2017	87.1%	89.0%	84.2%
Mexico	Ixtapan De La Sal	2000	70.7%	76.9%	63.9%
Mexico	Ixtapan De La Sal	2017	71.9%	76.6%	66.7%
Mexico	Ixtapan Del Oro	2000	29.7%	41.0%	19.0%
Mexico	Ixtapan Del Oro	2017	32.0%	45.3%	19.7%
Mexico	Ixtapangajoya	2000	26.8%	40.5%	20.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Ixtapangajoya	2017	28.2%	39.0%	20.6%
Mexico	Ixtenco	2000	44.4%	45.0%	43.8%
Mexico	Ixtenco	2017	72.5%	73.6%	71.5%
Mexico	Ixtepec	2000	12.4%	12.9%	11.9%
Mexico	Ixtepec	2017	14.1%	14.6%	13.5%
Mexico	Ixtlahuaca	2000	20.2%	38.3%	7.5%
Mexico	Ixtlahuaca	2017	22.1%	40.2%	8.8%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2000	72.4%	88.3%	52.1%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2017	71.0%	90.0%	47.9%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2000	90.6%	97.3%	75.2%
Mexico	De Los Membrillos Ixtlahuacan	2017	91.8%	97.5%	79.7%
Mexico	De Los Membrillos Ixtlahuacan	2000	71.3%	91.8%	45.0%
Mexico	Del Rio Ixtlahuacan	2017	73.1%	93.0%	44.0%
Mexico	Ixtlan	2000	68.7%	79.7%	51.8%
Mexico	Ixtlan	2017	71.5%	76.1%	63.0%
Mexico	Ixtlan De Juarez	2000	56.6%	68.5%	46.5%
Mexico	Ixtlan De Juarez	2017	64.5%	70.9%	56.7%
Mexico	Ixtlan Del Rio	2000	80.1%	89.9%	67.7%
Mexico	Ixtlan Del Rio	2017	78.9%	89.4%	65.3%
Mexico	Izamal	2000	24.4%	36.6%	13.3%
Mexico	Izamal	2017	25.7%	38.7%	14.2%
Mexico	Iztacalco	2000	99.0%	99.0%	98.9%
Mexico	Iztacalco	2017	99.1%	99.1%	99.0%
Mexico	Iztapalapa	2000	97.1%	97.2%	97.1%
Mexico	Iztapalapa	2017	97.4%	97.4%	97.3%
Mexico	Izucar De Matamoros	2000	66.4%	71.4%	59.3%
Mexico	Izucar De Matamoros	2017	68.0%	73.5%	60.2%
Mexico	Jacala De Ledezma	2000	31.6%	51.3%	15.9%
Mexico	Jacala De Ledezma	2017	33.0%	53.7%	16.1%
Mexico	Jacona	2000	85.8%	89.8%	80.0%
Mexico	Jacona	2017	86.8%	90.6%	81.6%
Mexico	Jala	2000	62.3%	79.7%	44.0%
Mexico	Jala	2017	65.9%	81.8%	48.5%
Mexico	Jalacingo	2000	33.6%	44.2%	27.1%
Mexico	Jalacingo	2017	36.4%	44.5%	30.9%
Mexico	Jalapa	2000	80.4%	95.9%	52.7%
Mexico	Jalapa	2017	81.1%	96.0%	54.1%
Mexico	Jalcomulco	2000	23.7%	37.4%	14.1%
Mexico	Jalcomulco	2017	23.4%	30.8%	19.0%
Mexico	Jalostotitlan	2000	86.4%	94.5%	75.0%
Mexico	Jalostotitlan	2017	86.4%	93.9%	74.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jalpa	2000	81.1%	91.1%	65.6%
Mexico	Jalpa	2017	83.3%	90.6%	73.3%
Mexico	Jalpa De Mendez	2000	73.6%	90.1%	51.3%
Mexico	Jalpa De Mendez	2017	75.3%	91.0%	53.5%
Mexico	Jalpan	2000	28.6%	44.9%	12.8%
Mexico	Jalpan	2017	30.0%	47.1%	13.7%
Mexico	Jalpan De Serra	2000	36.7%	76.8%	8.0%
Mexico	Jalpan De Serra	2017	40.2%	81.3%	7.7%
Mexico	Jaltenco	2000	88.8%	89.1%	88.5%
Mexico	Jaltenco	2017	88.7%	89.0%	88.3%
Mexico	Jaltipan	2000	61.6%	62.7%	60.6%
Mexico	Jaltipan	2017	43.9%	45.5%	42.2%
Mexico	Jaltocan	2000	49.0%	64.7%	33.0%
Mexico	Jaltocan	2017	52.1%	68.7%	33.7%
Mexico	Jamapa	2000	59.2%	73.1%	43.8%
Mexico	Jamapa	2017	59.7%	75.5%	42.6%
Mexico	Jamay	2000	92.7%	96.1%	86.4%
Mexico	Jamay	2017	93.6%	96.1%	89.7%
Mexico	Janos	2000	62.6%	82.5%	38.7%
Mexico	Janos	2017	63.7%	84.1%	37.7%
Mexico	Jantetelco	2000	53.4%	58.4%	49.3%
Mexico	Jantetelco	2017	54.7%	59.7%	50.4%
Mexico	Jaral Del Progreso	2000	74.8%	87.7%	57.3%
Mexico	Jaral Del Progreso	2017	75.6%	87.5%	60.3%
Mexico	Jaumave	2000	42.2%	81.4%	11.3%
Mexico	Jaumave	2017	42.8%	83.5%	9.4%
Mexico	Jerecuaro	2000	42.1%	68.1%	17.0%
Mexico	Jerecuaro	2017	43.1%	68.0%	18.2%
Mexico	Jerez	2000	78.5%	91.0%	62.2%
Mexico	Jerez	2017	79.7%	91.5%	64.4%
Mexico	Jesus Caranza	2000	41.8%	73.3%	11.6%
Mexico	Jesus Caranza	2017	41.8%	71.0%	12.5%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2000	86.2%	94.3%	74.4%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2000	65.2%	94.7%	34.3%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2017	86.5%	94.7%	75.7%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2017	66.1%	95.1%	35.2%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2000	85.0%	85.4%	84.6%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2000	41.5%	66.1%	17.9%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2017	43.5%	66.0%	20.9%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2017	86.7%	87.1%	86.4%
Mexico	Jilotlan De Los Dolores	2000	40.7%	80.3%	9.9%
Mexico	Jilotlan De Los Dolores	2017	41.2%	79.8%	10.3%
Mexico	Jilotzingo	2000	61.3%	74.8%	42.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jilotzingo	2017	63.8%	74.7%	48.7%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	53.6%	76.6%	30.1%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	34.4%	61.2%	10.9%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	82.2%	94.5%	56.6%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	49.8%	68.3%	31.4%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	50.0%	69.1%	30.9%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	55.1%	78.7%	31.8%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	83.7%	93.6%	65.7%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	36.3%	63.7%	11.9%
Mexico	Jimenez Del Teul	2000	36.6%	85.4%	1.2%
Mexico	Jimenez Del Teul	2017	37.8%	85.5%	2.0%
Mexico	Jiquilpan	2000	86.7%	90.3%	79.5%
Mexico	Jiquilpan	2017	87.3%	90.8%	80.2%
Mexico	Jiquipilas	2000	59.3%	95.2%	16.2%
Mexico	Jiquipilas	2017	59.4%	95.1%	16.7%
Mexico	Jiquipilco	2000	15.2%	28.5%	6.7%
Mexico	Jiquipilco	2017	16.8%	31.6%	7.6%
Mexico	Jitotol	2000	35.5%	45.1%	26.4%
Mexico	Jitotol	2017	35.4%	44.2%	27.0%
Mexico	Jiutepec	2000	89.3%	92.2%	85.1%
Mexico	Jiutepec	2017	90.5%	92.7%	87.0%
Mexico	Jocotepec	2000	79.5%	95.4%	51.4%
Mexico	Jocotepec	2017	81.5%	94.2%	58.7%
Mexico	Jocotitlan	2000	39.3%	63.4%	15.1%
Mexico	Jocotitlan	2017	41.2%	66.1%	15.3%
Mexico	Jojutla	2000	86.3%	88.1%	82.8%
Mexico	Jojutla	2017	87.5%	89.4%	83.5%
Mexico	Jolalpan	2000	14.6%	34.8%	3.3%
Mexico	Jolalpan	2017	15.1%	34.6%	3.7%
Mexico	Jonacatepec	2000	68.6%	75.3%	61.2%
Mexico	Jonacatepec	2017	70.1%	76.8%	63.1%
Mexico	Jonotla	2000	18.3%	18.8%	17.7%
Mexico	Jonotla	2017	20.5%	21.1%	19.8%
Mexico	Jonuta	2000	56.0%	85.6%	26.8%
Mexico	Jonuta	2017	56.8%	86.5%	26.1%
Mexico	Jopala	2000	18.7%	26.3%	12.5%
Mexico	Jopala	2017	19.0%	25.2%	14.0%
Mexico	Joquicingo	2000	76.0%	77.5%	74.7%
Mexico	Joquicingo	2017	74.9%	76.8%	73.2%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2000	57.2%	83.0%	28.1%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2000	70.6%	95.3%	14.7%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2017	58.1%	82.9%	30.1%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2017	71.2%	95.3%	16.6%
Mexico	Jose Joaquin De Herrera	2000	28.1%	92.6%	0.2%
Mexico	Jose Joaquin De Herrera	2017	29.2%	91.5%	0.3%
Mexico	Jose Maria Morelos	2000	50.1%	84.3%	16.0%
Mexico	Jose Maria Morelos	2017	50.6%	84.1%	16.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jose Sixto Verduzco	2000	47.5%	74.3%	22.0%
Mexico	Jose Sixto Verduzco	2017	49.0%	74.4%	24.3%
Mexico	Juan Aldama	2000	70.3%	83.4%	56.8%
Mexico	Juan Aldama	2017	70.9%	87.1%	49.0%
Mexico	Juan C. Bonilla	2000	37.1%	38.2%	36.1%
Mexico	Juan C. Bonilla	2017	40.4%	41.5%	39.3%
Mexico	Juan Galindo	2000	51.4%	57.4%	45.6%
Mexico	Juan Galindo	2017	52.1%	56.0%	48.0%
Mexico	Juan N. Mendez	2000	35.5%	56.3%	21.7%
Mexico	Juan N. Mendez	2017	35.8%	51.0%	22.2%
Mexico	Juan R. Escudero	2000	33.4%	63.4%	9.9%
Mexico	Juan R. Escudero	2017	37.0%	65.6%	10.5%
Mexico	Juan Rodriguez Clara	2000	64.7%	85.6%	38.2%
Mexico	Juan Rodriguez Clara	2017	65.3%	86.6%	37.5%
Mexico	Juanacatlan	2000	87.5%	94.7%	72.6%
Mexico	Juanacatlan	2017	88.4%	94.2%	77.9%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	37.7%	74.7%	11.3%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	88.5%	97.2%	73.4%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	75.8%	84.6%	63.2%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	45.6%	62.4%	29.4%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	52.1%	81.0%	24.9%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	89.1%	97.5%	70.5%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	47.3%	62.1%	32.0%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	39.3%	76.1%	12.3%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	69.0%	83.5%	52.3%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	53.1%	82.4%	25.4%
Mexico	Juarez Hidalgo	2000	34.8%	43.4%	30.1%
Mexico	Juarez Hidalgo	2017	34.7%	44.7%	29.1%
Mexico	Juchipila	2000	91.6%	94.0%	85.9%
Mexico	Juchipila	2017	91.9%	94.1%	86.8%
Mexico	Juchique De Ferrer	2000	47.6%	59.3%	35.7%
Mexico	Juchique De Ferrer	2017	49.4%	62.1%	36.1%
Mexico	Juchitan	2000	26.0%	74.7%	2.4%
Mexico	Juchitan	2017	23.8%	50.6%	5.6%
Mexico	Juchitan De Zaragoza	2000	84.6%	87.6%	80.3%
Mexico	Juchitan De Zaragoza	2017	86.1%	88.6%	82.1%
Mexico	Juchitepec	2000	64.2%	67.7%	59.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Juchitepec	2017	67.9%	70.9%	64.1%
Mexico	Juchitlan	2000	72.5%	91.1%	39.4%
Mexico	Juchitlan	2017	71.8%	90.7%	47.0%
Mexico	Julimes	2000	75.5%	88.4%	57.3%
Mexico	Julimes	2017	76.8%	88.4%	57.7%
Mexico	Jungapeo	2000	39.8%	61.9%	21.4%
Mexico	Jungapeo	2017	42.0%	67.5%	19.6%
Mexico	Kanasin	2000	45.7%	50.2%	41.4%
Mexico	Kanasin	2017	46.3%	49.5%	42.9%
Mexico	Kantunil	2000	23.4%	40.0%	13.7%
Mexico	Kantunil	2017	22.5%	42.3%	9.6%
Mexico	Kaua	2000	7.6%	12.1%	5.4%
Mexico	Kaua	2017	8.7%	14.7%	5.4%
Mexico	Kinchil	2000	8.1%	9.7%	6.9%
Mexico	Kinchil	2017	8.9%	11.1%	7.2%
Mexico	Kopoma	2000	9.5%	16.4%	6.5%
Mexico	Kopoma	2017	11.6%	24.6%	6.5%
Mexico	La Antigua	2000	78.6%	96.1%	36.3%
Mexico	La Antigua	2017	80.4%	95.7%	46.7%
Mexico	La Barca	2000	84.2%	91.7%	69.9%
Mexico	La Barca	2017	86.3%	92.7%	73.9%
Mexico	La Colorada	2000	55.2%	77.2%	31.2%
Mexico	La Colorada	2017	56.5%	76.2%	33.1%
Mexico	La Compania	2000	3.7%	4.2%	3.4%
Mexico	La Compania	2017	4.2%	4.7%	3.8%
Mexico	La Concordia	2000	60.8%	84.4%	26.4%
Mexico	La Concordia	2017	62.0%	84.8%	28.5%
Mexico	La Cruz	2000	73.2%	88.9%	47.4%
Mexico	La Cruz	2017	73.8%	89.0%	51.1%
Mexico	La Grandeza	2000	20.5%	36.3%	11.0%
Mexico	La Grandeza	2017	19.9%	31.4%	13.0%
Mexico	La Huacana	2000	40.9%	78.6%	7.5%
Mexico	La Huacana	2017	41.5%	78.0%	8.5%
Mexico	La Huerta	2000	63.7%	97.1%	19.5%
Mexico	La Huerta	2017	64.4%	97.3%	20.1%
Mexico	La Independencia	2000	46.1%	81.2%	14.6%
Mexico	La Independencia	2017	47.0%	80.7%	15.8%
Mexico	La Libertad	2000	69.6%	88.5%	51.3%
Mexico	La Libertad	2017	71.7%	90.5%	52.8%
Mexico	La Magdalena Contreras	2000	96.2%	96.3%	96.1%
Mexico	La Magdalena Contreras	2017	96.3%	96.4%	96.2%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlaltelulco	2000	82.7%	83.0%	82.3%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlaltelulco	2017	83.6%	83.9%	83.3%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlatlauquitepec	2000	59.8%	73.9%	44.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlatlauquitepec	2017	60.1%	75.5%	43.7%
Mexico	La Manzanilla De La Paz	2000	53.7%	77.8%	28.7%
Mexico	La Manzanilla De La Paz	2017	51.2%	71.6%	32.1%
Mexico	La Mision	2000	37.4%	63.1%	18.3%
Mexico	La Mision	2017	38.7%	60.7%	20.4%
Mexico	La Paz	2000	88.0%	88.2%	87.7%
Mexico	La Paz	2000	87.1%	91.6%	81.1%
Mexico	La Paz	2017	88.2%	88.4%	88.0%
Mexico	La Paz	2017	87.2%	92.0%	80.6%
Mexico	La Pe	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Mexico	La Pe	2017	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%
Mexico	La Perla	2000	33.8%	46.2%	25.7%
Mexico	La Perla	2017	34.8%	41.3%	29.7%
Mexico	La Piedad	2000	85.1%	91.3%	75.4%
Mexico	La Piedad	2017	85.6%	93.3%	71.7%
Mexico	La Reforma	2000	10.1%	22.8%	3.9%
Mexico	La Reforma	2017	11.8%	21.6%	6.9%
Mexico	La Trinidad Vista Hermosa	2000	45.2%	47.5%	42.8%
Mexico	La Trinidad Vista Hermosa	2017	46.7%	48.9%	44.3%
Mexico	La Trinitaria	2000	40.9%	71.3%	11.5%
Mexico	La Trinitaria	2017	42.1%	74.1%	11.5%
Mexico	La Union De Isidoro Montes De Oca	2000	44.0%	79.5%	8.8%
Mexico	La Union De Isidoro Montes De Oca	2017	46.1%	81.0%	10.5%
Mexico	La Yesca	2000	50.1%	74.9%	20.9%
Mexico	La Yesca	2017	50.2%	73.4%	22.2%
Mexico	Lafragua	2000	23.2%	42.5%	7.7%
Mexico	Lafragua	2017	24.0%	44.0%	9.0%
Mexico	Lagos De Moreno	2000	76.6%	91.4%	53.1%
Mexico	Lagos De Moreno	2017	77.0%	91.7%	54.7%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2000	32.3%	64.0%	8.7%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2000	28.0%	35.9%	22.0%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2017	30.4%	40.2%	23.0%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2017	32.6%	61.1%	8.8%
Mexico	Lamadrid	2000	41.9%	49.3%	36.0%
Mexico	Lamadrid	2017	43.2%	54.0%	34.2%
Mexico	Lampazos De Naranjo	2000	52.3%	62.6%	42.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Lampazos De Naranjo	2017	54.1%	64.4%	43.5%
Mexico	Landa De Matamoros	2000	36.4%	66.4%	10.0%
Mexico	Landa De Matamoros	2017	37.7%	67.2%	10.9%
Mexico	Landero Y Coss	2000	83.2%	84.0%	82.2%
Mexico	Landero Y Coss	2017	84.7%	85.5%	83.9%
Mexico	Larrainzar	2000	13.3%	25.2%	7.0%
Mexico	Larrainzar	2017	13.9%	25.6%	7.6%
Mexico	Las Choapas	2000	47.3%	66.7%	27.4%
Mexico	Las Choapas	2017	48.7%	67.4%	28.3%
Mexico	Las Margaritas	2000	35.9%	61.7%	12.7%
Mexico	Las Margaritas	2017	36.7%	61.8%	13.5%
Mexico	Las Minas	2000	20.3%	22.8%	18.8%
Mexico	Las Minas	2017	21.1%	23.5%	19.6%
Mexico	Las Rosas	2000	54.4%	73.5%	36.2%
Mexico	Las Rosas	2017	54.9%	75.2%	36.3%
Mexico	Las Vigas De Ramirez	2000	37.7%	39.4%	36.1%
Mexico	Las Vigas De Ramirez	2017	38.6%	40.2%	37.0%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	72.6%	91.5%	42.4%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	66.3%	83.7%	47.7%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	32.6%	67.6%	9.0%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	73.4%	91.0%	46.3%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	73.6%	83.5%	63.1%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	33.7%	67.9%	9.5%
Mexico	Leon	2000	85.6%	97.2%	66.7%
Mexico	Leon	2017	85.9%	97.3%	67.3%
Mexico	Leonardo Bravo	2000	44.6%	79.3%	14.3%
Mexico	Leonardo Bravo	2017	45.8%	80.6%	15.0%
Mexico	Lerdo	2000	80.0%	88.3%	69.5%
Mexico	Lerdo	2017	80.0%	89.2%	68.1%
Mexico	Lerdo De Tejada	2000	72.9%	79.2%	65.2%
Mexico	Lerdo De Tejada	2017	76.9%	82.3%	70.1%
Mexico	Lerma	2000	69.8%	71.2%	68.0%
Mexico	Lerma	2017	71.7%	73.1%	70.0%
Mexico	Libres	2000	49.8%	56.3%	43.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Libres	2017	50.0%	55.4%	44.4%
Mexico	Linares	2000	68.4%	82.4%	53.7%
Mexico	Linares	2017	69.8%	82.8%	55.2%
Mexico	Llera	2000	30.4%	56.9%	11.1%
Mexico	Llera	2017	31.0%	57.4%	11.9%
Mexico	Lolotla	2000	41.2%	66.0%	13.5%
Mexico	Lolotla	2017	40.6%	63.4%	15.1%
Mexico	Loma Bonita	2000	61.7%	86.7%	30.3%
Mexico	Loma Bonita	2017	62.9%	87.3%	32.1%
Mexico	Lopez	2000	76.0%	94.8%	45.1%
Mexico	Lopez	2017	78.2%	94.5%	54.9%
Mexico	Loreto	2000	79.9%	92.9%	59.1%
Mexico	Loreto	2000	65.4%	80.3%	46.2%
Mexico	Loreto	2017	80.9%	92.3%	61.6%
Mexico	Loreto	2017	66.7%	81.4%	47.5%
Mexico	Los Aldamas	2000	56.8%	89.4%	19.4%
Mexico	Los Aldamas	2017	58.2%	92.1%	20.9%
Mexico	Los Cabos	2000	78.8%	94.2%	55.1%
Mexico	Los Cabos	2017	80.2%	94.1%	57.7%
Mexico	Los Herreras	2000	72.2%	88.1%	45.2%
Mexico	Los Herreras	2017	73.6%	88.8%	48.1%
Mexico	Los Ramones	2000	62.3%	89.7%	29.9%
Mexico	Los Ramones	2017	63.2%	90.5%	30.6%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2000	52.0%	80.5%	21.4%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2000	5.7%	6.1%	5.4%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2017	55.2%	82.1%	24.7%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2017	7.1%	7.5%	6.7%
Mexico	Los Reyes De Juarez	2000	26.7%	27.9%	25.7%
Mexico	Los Reyes De Juarez	2017	30.0%	31.4%	28.8%
Mexico	Luis Moya	2000	88.6%	93.4%	82.1%
Mexico	Luis Moya	2017	88.7%	93.9%	81.6%
Mexico	Luvianos	2000	42.7%	92.6%	4.4%
Mexico	Luvianos	2017	44.0%	92.8%	4.6%
Mexico	Macuspana	2000	68.2%	88.8%	43.6%
Mexico	Macuspana	2017	69.5%	89.5%	45.4%
Mexico	Madera	2000	41.2%	51.7%	28.7%
Mexico	Madera	2017	42.1%	53.0%	29.5%
Mexico	Madero	2000	47.1%	77.6%	17.2%
Mexico	Madero	2017	48.6%	78.7%	17.8%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	85.6%	96.1%	65.9%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	21.7%	22.5%	21.0%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	90.4%	95.5%	83.2%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	87.8%	95.2%	74.8%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	23.6%	24.4%	23.0%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	90.2%	95.7%	82.3%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	28.6%	29.8%	27.5%
Mexico	Apasco				
Mexico	Magdalena Apasco	2017	31.3%	32.7%	30.1%
Mexico	Magdalena Jaltepec	2000	10.1%	19.0%	6.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Magdalena Jaltepec	2017	11.5%	22.4%	6.4%
Mexico	Magdalena Mixtepec	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Mexico	Magdalena Mixtepec	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Mexico	Magdalena Ocotlan	2000	8.4%	9.1%	7.7%
Mexico	Magdalena Ocotlan	2017	9.4%	10.1%	8.6%
Mexico	Magdalena Penasco	2000	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%
Mexico	Magdalena Penasco	2017	1.8%	2.2%	1.5%
Mexico	Magdalena Teitipac	2000	31.8%	32.9%	30.8%
Mexico	Magdalena Teitipac	2017	34.8%	36.0%	33.8%
Mexico	Magdalena Tequisistlan	2000	51.8%	81.5%	18.6%
Mexico	Magdalena Tequisistlan	2017	53.3%	83.7%	19.4%
Mexico	Magdalena Tlacotepec	2000	69.6%	74.8%	60.8%
Mexico	Magdalena Tlacotepec	2017	70.4%	89.1%	45.4%
Mexico	Magdalena Yodocono De Porfirio Diaz	2000	11.9%	12.6%	11.1%
Mexico	Magdalena Yodocono De Porfirio Diaz	2017	12.8%	13.6%	12.0%
Mexico	Magdalena Zahuatlán	2000	35.1%	37.4%	33.1%
Mexico	Magdalena Zahuatlán	2017	32.2%	34.7%	29.7%
Mexico	Maguarichi	2000	7.0%	26.8%	0.7%
Mexico	Maguarichi	2017	10.1%	34.9%	0.7%
Mexico	Mainero	2000	22.7%	41.7%	9.3%
Mexico	Mainero	2017	24.7%	44.4%	8.9%
Mexico	Malinalco	2000	57.2%	74.5%	40.6%
Mexico	Malinalco	2017	57.8%	77.0%	39.1%
Mexico	Malinaltepec	2000	8.0%	29.6%	0.6%
Mexico	Malinaltepec	2017	8.0%	28.7%	0.5%
Mexico	Maltrata	2000	28.9%	34.9%	25.5%
Mexico	Maltrata	2017	30.1%	35.2%	27.0%
Mexico	Mama	2000	12.9%	14.2%	12.0%
Mexico	Mama	2017	14.0%	15.3%	13.0%
Mexico	Mani	2000	18.9%	21.5%	16.8%
Mexico	Mani	2017	20.2%	23.4%	17.5%
Mexico	Manlio Fabio Altamirano	2000	49.0%	66.1%	30.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Manlio Fabio Altamirano	2017	50.7%	66.7%	33.0%
Mexico	Manuel Benavides	2000	68.2%	90.3%	34.6%
Mexico	Manuel Benavides	2017	70.0%	91.2%	36.5%
Mexico	Manuel Doblado	2000	58.3%	81.4%	34.1%
Mexico	Manuel Doblado	2017	59.7%	81.9%	36.7%
Mexico	Manzanillo	2000	87.1%	97.9%	61.6%
Mexico	Manzanillo	2017	87.0%	98.0%	63.2%
Mexico	Mapastepec	2000	68.4%	87.4%	47.7%
Mexico	Mapastepec	2017	68.9%	88.4%	48.1%
Mexico	Mapimi	2000	58.5%	76.7%	38.8%
Mexico	Mapimi	2017	58.8%	73.5%	42.6%
Mexico	Maravatio	2000	56.0%	76.6%	32.9%
Mexico	Maravatio	2017	57.7%	77.6%	35.3%
Mexico	Maravilla Tenejapa	2000	8.4%	30.5%	0.4%
Mexico	Maravilla Tenejapa	2017	10.2%	31.6%	0.4%
Mexico	Marcos Castellanos	2000	79.8%	89.1%	69.4%
Mexico	Marcos Castellanos	2017	80.8%	89.5%	69.7%
Mexico	Mariano Escobedo	2000	52.3%	61.7%	47.6%
Mexico	Mariano Escobedo	2017	58.5%	67.6%	53.9%
Mexico	Marin	2000	89.3%	91.6%	86.2%
Mexico	Marin	2017	86.0%	90.8%	78.1%
Mexico	Mariscala De Juarez	2000	33.4%	42.6%	24.9%
Mexico	Mariscala De Juarez	2017	34.3%	44.7%	24.6%
Mexico	Marquelia	2000	38.3%	91.7%	1.1%
Mexico	Marquelia	2017	36.8%	90.3%	1.6%
Mexico	Marques De Comillas	2000	22.6%	52.3%	5.2%
Mexico	Marques De Comillas	2017	24.1%	53.3%	4.7%
Mexico	Martinez De La Torre	2000	77.2%	93.5%	44.7%
Mexico	Martinez De La Torre	2017	78.2%	93.7%	46.3%
Mexico	Martir De Cuilapan	2000	36.2%	75.8%	4.4%
Mexico	Martir De Cuilapan	2017	36.3%	77.4%	5.3%
Mexico	Martires De Tacubaya	2000	21.7%	26.9%	17.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Martires De Tacubaya	2017	21.9%	26.5%	17.7%
Mexico	Mascota	2000	67.4%	96.4%	17.5%
Mexico	Mascota	2017	68.1%	96.7%	17.8%
Mexico	Matachi	2000	50.9%	76.2%	24.2%
Mexico	Matachi	2017	51.9%	76.4%	25.5%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	66.5%	85.8%	36.8%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	72.3%	83.0%	56.9%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	63.9%	87.1%	34.1%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	62.6%	83.8%	35.8%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	64.6%	87.5%	35.0%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	73.5%	84.9%	53.8%
Mexico	Matehuala	2000	70.2%	77.9%	63.2%
Mexico	Matehuala	2017	70.2%	78.2%	61.0%
Mexico	Matias Romero Avendano	2000	63.0%	90.3%	36.3%
Mexico	Matias Romero Avendano	2017	63.1%	90.9%	37.1%
Mexico	Matlapa	2000	18.6%	30.4%	12.2%
Mexico	Matlapa	2017	19.9%	30.1%	13.8%
Mexico	Maxcanu	2000	25.0%	48.9%	6.0%
Mexico	Maxcanu	2017	24.0%	43.7%	8.0%
Mexico	Mayapan	2000	2.2%	3.3%	1.6%
Mexico	Mayapan	2017	2.2%	3.1%	1.5%
Mexico	Mazamitla	2000	74.3%	85.8%	57.7%
Mexico	Mazamitla	2017	77.3%	84.6%	67.2%
Mexico	Mazapa De Madero	2000	41.1%	54.6%	24.6%
Mexico	Mazapa De Madero	2017	40.4%	53.2%	24.9%
Mexico	Mazapil	2000	26.7%	45.7%	13.7%
Mexico	Mazapil	2017	27.1%	46.2%	14.3%
Mexico	Mazapiltepec De Juarez	2000	22.6%	37.7%	14.2%
Mexico	Mazapiltepec De Juarez	2017	19.0%	24.3%	16.2%
Mexico	Mazatan	2000	71.8%	90.8%	47.3%
Mexico	Mazatan	2000	84.4%	94.4%	64.5%
Mexico	Mazatan	2017	73.0%	91.5%	48.4%
Mexico	Mazatan	2017	87.0%	93.3%	76.9%
Mexico	Mazatecochco De Jose Maria Morelos	2000	72.0%	72.6%	71.3%
Mexico	Mazatecochco De Jose Maria Morelos	2017	73.5%	74.2%	72.9%
Mexico	Mazatepec	2000	71.8%	78.4%	63.7%
Mexico	Mazatepec	2017	73.1%	79.6%	65.0%
Mexico	Mazatlan	2000	82.2%	96.2%	53.8%
Mexico	Mazatlan	2017	82.8%	95.7%	58.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Mazatlan De Villa Flores	2000	2.9%	4.7%	2.2%
Mexico	Mazatlan De Villa Flores	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.6%
Mexico	Mecatlan	2000	3.8%	4.0%	3.5%
Mexico	Mecatlan	2017	3.6%	3.9%	3.4%
Mexico	Mecayapan	2000	17.6%	29.9%	8.8%
Mexico	Mecayapan	2017	18.5%	31.9%	8.6%
Mexico	Medellin	2000	71.2%	78.3%	61.3%
Mexico	Medellin	2017	67.9%	77.0%	56.4%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	30.3%	70.5%	3.3%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	89.0%	89.2%	88.7%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	85.8%	89.3%	80.9%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	90.7%	90.9%	90.5%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	86.4%	90.5%	79.6%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	32.5%	75.0%	3.9%
Mexico	Mendez	2000	23.8%	46.3%	7.4%
Mexico	Mendez	2017	25.3%	48.4%	8.5%
Mexico	Meoqui	2000	85.7%	92.0%	75.4%
Mexico	Meoqui	2017	86.6%	92.4%	76.6%
Mexico	Merida	2000	61.2%	73.4%	47.6%
Mexico	Merida	2017	62.4%	74.2%	50.8%
Mexico	Mesones Hidalgo	2000	23.6%	44.0%	8.3%
Mexico	Mesones Hidalgo	2017	24.4%	41.7%	12.8%
Mexico	Metapa	2000	50.0%	57.5%	43.4%
Mexico	Metapa	2017	49.9%	59.5%	42.4%
Mexico	Metepec	2000	30.8%	40.8%	21.1%
Mexico	Metepec	2000	89.4%	91.2%	85.7%
Mexico	Metepec	2017	90.1%	91.8%	86.7%
Mexico	Metepec	2017	32.9%	43.2%	22.0%
Mexico	Metlatonoc	2000	5.3%	15.6%	0.4%
Mexico	Metlatonoc	2017	4.8%	12.9%	0.4%
Mexico	Metztitlan	2000	41.7%	68.3%	19.2%
Mexico	Metztitlan	2017	43.9%	69.8%	20.2%
Mexico	Mexicali	2000	78.3%	89.3%	63.1%
Mexico	Mexicali	2017	79.5%	90.1%	63.2%
Mexico	Mexicaltzingo	2000	92.4%	93.1%	91.5%
Mexico	Mexicaltzingo	2017	92.3%	92.9%	91.5%
Mexico	Mexquitic De Carmona	2000	47.6%	71.8%	21.6%
Mexico	Mexquitic De Carmona	2017	48.8%	70.8%	22.8%
Mexico	Mexxicacan	2000	76.3%	83.6%	65.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Mexicacan	2017	77.7%	84.6%	67.2%
Mexico	Mezquital	2000	57.8%	75.5%	39.8%
Mexico	Mezquital	2017	61.5%	79.1%	42.1%
Mexico	Mezquital Del Oro	2000	50.8%	70.3%	31.3%
Mexico	Mezquital Del Oro	2017	54.2%	79.0%	29.9%
Mexico	Mezquitic	2000	32.8%	60.0%	9.1%
Mexico	Mezquitic	2017	33.9%	62.0%	8.9%
Mexico	Miacatlan	2000	64.7%	73.2%	55.1%
Mexico	Miacatlan	2017	66.2%	75.2%	55.7%
Mexico	Miahuatlan	2000	79.5%	80.2%	78.9%
Mexico	Miahuatlan	2017	78.8%	79.5%	78.2%
Mexico	Miahuatlan De Porfirio Diaz	2000	23.1%	25.4%	21.5%
Mexico	Miahuatlan De Porfirio Diaz	2017	27.3%	29.5%	25.8%
Mexico	Mier	2000	80.8%	93.9%	58.6%
Mexico	Mier	2017	80.6%	93.9%	63.0%
Mexico	Mier Y Noriega	2000	16.8%	48.6%	1.7%
Mexico	Mier Y Noriega	2017	17.3%	48.4%	1.9%
Mexico	Miguel Aleman	2000	84.7%	93.9%	66.2%
Mexico	Miguel Aleman	2017	86.1%	94.0%	70.7%
Mexico	Miguel Auza	2000	69.1%	87.2%	50.5%
Mexico	Miguel Auza	2017	66.6%	90.8%	41.2%
Mexico	Miguel Hidalgo	2000	96.6%	96.7%	96.6%
Mexico	Miguel Hidalgo	2017	96.6%	96.7%	96.6%
Mexico	Milpa Alta	2000	83.8%	87.1%	78.3%
Mexico	Milpa Alta	2017	86.4%	89.9%	80.3%
Mexico	Mina	2000	78.6%	87.7%	66.6%
Mexico	Mina	2017	66.0%	80.6%	48.4%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2000	80.1%	85.2%	74.0%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2000	79.3%	92.9%	59.5%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2017	79.9%	85.0%	73.6%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2017	79.3%	93.3%	59.1%
Mexico	Mineral De La Reforma	2000	90.5%	92.8%	87.1%
Mexico	Mineral De La Reforma	2017	89.4%	92.9%	83.6%
Mexico	Mineral Del Chico	2000	45.2%	53.8%	39.6%
Mexico	Mineral Del Chico	2017	50.1%	54.9%	46.5%
Mexico	Mineral Del Monte	2000	63.6%	65.5%	61.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Mineral Del Monte	2017	66.5%	68.7%	64.1%
Mexico	Miquihuana	2000	17.8%	46.6%	1.8%
Mexico	Miquihuana	2017	18.5%	46.6%	2.0%
Mexico	Misantla	2000	55.4%	78.6%	34.8%
Mexico	Misantla	2017	56.8%	78.4%	37.3%
Mexico	Mitontic	2000	11.5%	16.8%	8.4%
Mexico	Mitontic	2017	13.0%	18.0%	10.1%
Mexico	Mixistlan De La Reforma	2000	18.0%	19.2%	16.5%
Mexico	Mixistlan De La Reforma	2017	14.9%	16.5%	13.0%
Mexico	Mixquiahuala De Juarez	2000	75.2%	76.5%	74.0%
Mexico	Mixquiahuala De Juarez	2017	75.8%	77.1%	74.4%
Mexico	Mixtla	2000	52.1%	53.6%	50.5%
Mexico	Mixtla	2017	56.3%	57.8%	54.6%
Mexico	Mixtla De Altamirano	2000	2.2%	2.5%	1.9%
Mexico	Mixtla De Altamirano	2017	2.2%	2.5%	1.9%
Mexico	Mixtlan	2000	52.1%	88.1%	18.6%
Mexico	Mixtlan	2017	53.7%	89.3%	20.3%
Mexico	Mochitlan	2000	48.7%	87.5%	10.2%
Mexico	Mochitlan	2017	48.9%	85.4%	12.1%
Mexico	Mococho	2000	27.4%	28.2%	26.5%
Mexico	Mococho	2017	28.9%	29.8%	28.0%
Mexico	Mocorito	2000	53.1%	77.5%	28.9%
Mexico	Mocorito	2017	54.1%	78.7%	29.1%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2000	91.1%	95.9%	84.4%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2000	37.7%	64.3%	12.2%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2017	40.0%	66.9%	12.8%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2017	91.8%	96.2%	85.5%
Mexico	Molango De Escamilla	2000	39.1%	58.0%	19.9%
Mexico	Molango De Escamilla	2017	43.0%	59.0%	25.9%
Mexico	Molcaxac	2000	42.5%	52.5%	32.1%
Mexico	Molcaxac	2017	44.6%	55.3%	32.9%
Mexico	Moloacan	2000	62.9%	86.1%	37.3%
Mexico	Moloacan	2017	64.0%	86.3%	38.8%
Mexico	Momax	2000	68.6%	84.9%	50.7%
Mexico	Momax	2017	69.6%	85.4%	48.9%
Mexico	Monclova	2000	82.0%	89.7%	71.2%
Mexico	Monclova	2017	83.2%	91.1%	70.3%
Mexico	Monjas	2000	39.0%	40.5%	37.4%
Mexico	Monjas	2017	42.4%	43.7%	41.1%
Mexico	Monte Escobedo	2000	49.0%	84.3%	11.9%
Mexico	Monte Escobedo	2017	50.1%	84.9%	12.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Montecristo De Guerrero	2000	44.8%	78.4%	15.1%
Mexico	Montecristo De Guerrero	2017	47.3%	79.0%	17.8%
Mexico	Montemorelos	2000	66.4%	82.6%	47.8%
Mexico	Montemorelos	2017	68.2%	82.5%	48.2%
Mexico	Monterrey	2000	98.7%	99.5%	95.9%
Mexico	Monterrey	2017	98.7%	99.5%	96.0%
Mexico	Morelia	2000	81.3%	91.6%	68.8%
Mexico	Morelia	2017	82.0%	91.5%	71.6%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	69.2%	74.2%	62.8%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	17.5%	38.6%	4.1%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	46.8%	72.6%	21.8%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	73.7%	82.4%	62.7%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	26.5%	51.0%	10.5%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	19.6%	40.4%	4.4%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	49.5%	73.8%	23.3%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	74.9%	83.2%	64.5%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	73.2%	78.5%	65.9%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	27.9%	52.2%	10.9%
Mexico	Moris	2000	32.3%	70.1%	5.5%
Mexico	Moris	2017	33.4%	70.6%	5.9%
Mexico	Moroleon	2000	87.9%	91.6%	82.9%
Mexico	Moroleon	2017	88.6%	91.4%	85.2%
Mexico	Motozintla	2000	44.0%	65.6%	21.2%
Mexico	Motozintla	2017	44.0%	65.4%	21.8%
Mexico	Motul	2000	23.7%	24.7%	22.7%
Mexico	Motul	2017	27.3%	28.3%	26.3%
Mexico	Moyahua De Estrada	2000	67.0%	91.4%	34.4%
Mexico	Moyahua De Estrada	2017	67.2%	91.8%	33.8%
Mexico	Mugica	2000	45.0%	62.1%	26.6%
Mexico	Mugica	2017	49.8%	66.8%	31.8%
Mexico	Mulege	2000	66.3%	79.6%	52.4%
Mexico	Mulege	2017	68.5%	79.3%	56.8%
Mexico	Muna	2000	35.7%	44.3%	27.8%
Mexico	Muna	2017	37.4%	45.7%	29.6%
Mexico	Munoz Domingo Arenas	2000	66.2%	75.2%	56.4%
Mexico	Munoz Domingo Arenas	2017	68.0%	76.5%	58.2%
Mexico	Muxupip	2000	18.2%	19.5%	17.1%
Mexico	Muxupip	2017	21.3%	22.6%	20.3%
Mexico	Muzquiz	2000	71.5%	82.6%	55.3%
Mexico	Muzquiz	2017	73.6%	79.6%	66.1%
Mexico	Nacajuca	2000	76.4%	93.5%	51.7%
Mexico	Nacajuca	2017	77.6%	95.0%	50.3%
Mexico	Naco	2000	85.2%	96.3%	66.5%
Mexico	Naco	2017	85.9%	96.5%	67.9%
Mexico	Nacori Chico	2000	64.3%	92.1%	22.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Nacori Chico	2017	63.7%	91.9%	22.7%
Mexico	Nacozari De Garcia	2000	75.6%	99.5%	13.0%
Mexico	Nacozari De Garcia	2017	77.0%	98.5%	23.2%
Mexico	Nadadores	2000	49.0%	77.9%	18.7%
Mexico	Nadadores	2017	48.4%	77.3%	22.4%
Mexico	Nahuatzen	2000	15.2%	27.9%	7.1%
Mexico	Nahuatzen	2017	15.7%	28.4%	7.3%
Mexico	Namiquipa	2000	63.5%	90.2%	32.3%
Mexico	Namiquipa	2017	64.0%	90.7%	32.1%
Mexico	Nanacamilpa De Mariano Arista	2000	92.2%	94.0%	88.1%
Mexico	Nanacamilpa De Mariano Arista	2017	92.7%	94.3%	89.1%
Mexico	Nanchital De Lazaro Cardenas Del Rio	2000	73.1%	73.9%	72.3%
Mexico	Nanchital De Lazaro Cardenas Del Rio	2017	74.7%	75.3%	74.0%
Mexico	Naolinco	2000	79.6%	82.2%	76.9%
Mexico	Naolinco	2017	81.0%	83.4%	78.6%
Mexico	Naranjal	2000	26.1%	27.4%	25.0%
Mexico	Naranjal	2017	27.1%	28.2%	26.0%
Mexico	Naranjos Amatlan	2000	30.3%	35.2%	24.0%
Mexico	Naranjos Amatlan	2017	18.2%	21.9%	14.8%
Mexico	Natividad	2000	93.2%	94.4%	91.9%
Mexico	Natividad	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.5%
Mexico	Nativitas	2000	71.8%	72.3%	71.2%
Mexico	Nativitas	2017	72.9%	73.4%	72.4%
Mexico	Naucalpan De Juarez	2000	88.7%	90.2%	86.4%
Mexico	Naucalpan De Juarez	2017	89.3%	91.0%	86.7%
Mexico	Naupan	2000	22.2%	30.1%	15.1%
Mexico	Naupan	2017	23.7%	31.8%	16.3%
Mexico	Nautla	2000	53.2%	80.5%	19.6%
Mexico	Nautla	2017	54.3%	80.8%	19.5%
Mexico	Nauzontla	2000	31.3%	33.1%	29.5%
Mexico	Nauzontla	2017	32.7%	34.5%	30.8%
Mexico	Nava	2000	67.1%	95.3%	29.9%
Mexico	Nava	2017	67.5%	94.6%	31.4%
Mexico	Navojoa	2000	62.1%	78.6%	45.7%
Mexico	Navojoa	2017	63.1%	80.5%	44.9%
Mexico	Navolato	2000	62.8%	89.2%	36.6%
Mexico	Navolato	2017	64.3%	89.1%	38.3%
Mexico	Nazareno Etna	2000	60.1%	61.3%	58.8%
Mexico	Nazareno Etna	2017	61.3%	62.4%	60.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Nazas	2000	64.7%	91.4%	28.5%
Mexico	Nazas	2017	66.3%	91.2%	31.8%
Mexico	Nealtican	2000	75.1%	76.5%	73.9%
Mexico	Nealtican	2017	75.7%	77.0%	74.5%
Mexico	Nejapa De Madero	2000	43.2%	74.1%	14.3%
Mexico	Nejapa De Madero	2017	44.1%	74.7%	14.1%
Mexico	Nextlalpan	2000	76.4%	83.3%	69.4%
Mexico	Nextlalpan	2017	77.0%	85.0%	68.1%
Mexico	Nezahualcoyotl	2000	96.9%	97.0%	96.8%
Mexico	Nezahualcoyotl	2017	97.1%	97.2%	97.0%
Mexico	Nicolas Bravo	2000	16.0%	19.9%	13.2%
Mexico	Nicolas Bravo	2017	19.6%	23.1%	16.4%
Mexico	Nicolas Flores	2000	24.3%	45.4%	8.7%
Mexico	Nicolas Flores	2017	25.2%	47.5%	8.8%
Mexico	Nicolas Romero	2000	70.8%	72.3%	69.3%
Mexico	Nicolas Romero	2017	68.3%	70.1%	66.5%
Mexico	Nicolas Ruiz	2000	38.3%	91.0%	1.5%
Mexico	Nicolas Ruiz	2017	38.9%	91.3%	1.5%
Mexico	Nochistlan De Mejia	2000	75.4%	88.2%	58.4%
Mexico	Nochistlan De Mejia	2017	76.2%	88.6%	59.4%
Mexico	Nocupetaro	2000	28.1%	58.6%	4.0%
Mexico	Nocupetaro	2017	27.3%	59.2%	4.3%
Mexico	Nogales	2000	91.2%	97.6%	79.6%
Mexico	Nogales	2000	64.8%	65.7%	64.0%
Mexico	Nogales	2017	91.4%	97.8%	76.4%
Mexico	Nogales	2017	75.6%	76.8%	74.5%
Mexico	Nombre De Dios	2000	63.2%	91.3%	30.3%
Mexico	Nombre De Dios	2017	63.4%	92.1%	30.2%
Mexico	Nonoava	2000	33.1%	53.2%	14.4%
Mexico	Nonoava	2017	34.3%	54.7%	15.5%
Mexico	Nopala De Villagran	2000	36.3%	68.2%	14.2%
Mexico	Nopala De Villagran	2017	37.6%	67.3%	15.9%
Mexico	Nopaltepec	2000	70.8%	74.8%	63.8%
Mexico	Nopaltepec	2017	73.3%	78.2%	65.2%
Mexico	Nopalucan	2000	56.6%	59.2%	53.8%
Mexico	Nopalucan	2017	56.3%	59.1%	53.1%
Mexico	Noria De Angeles	2000	54.7%	75.7%	28.8%
Mexico	Noria De Angeles	2017	57.6%	79.3%	31.4%
Mexico	Nuevo Casas Grandes	2000	82.7%	87.7%	76.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Nuevo Casas Grandes	2017	83.4%	87.7%	78.1%
Mexico	Nuevo Ideal	2000	48.1%	73.1%	22.5%
Mexico	Nuevo Ideal	2017	49.4%	73.9%	24.5%
Mexico	Nuevo Laredo	2000	80.6%	96.6%	54.0%
Mexico	Nuevo Laredo	2017	81.1%	96.9%	54.7%
Mexico	Nuevo Morelos	2000	37.3%	49.7%	24.8%
Mexico	Nuevo Morelos	2017	38.8%	50.8%	26.6%
Mexico	Nuevo Parangaricutiro	2000	60.5%	80.3%	38.3%
Mexico	Nuevo Parangaricutiro	2017	60.1%	79.8%	38.7%
Mexico	Nuevo Urecho	2000	41.8%	67.9%	20.8%
Mexico	Nuevo Urecho	2017	42.3%	67.3%	21.3%
Mexico	Nuevo Zoquiapam	2000	67.0%	68.8%	65.3%
Mexico	Nuevo Zoquiapam	2017	66.0%	67.9%	64.3%
Mexico	Numaran	2000	59.2%	78.8%	35.6%
Mexico	Numaran	2017	61.5%	76.9%	41.9%
Mexico	Oaxaca De Juarez	2000	74.4%	74.8%	73.9%
Mexico	Oaxaca De Juarez	2017	74.5%	75.0%	74.0%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	71.0%	81.1%	60.0%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	15.6%	41.8%	0.8%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	27.5%	46.7%	14.8%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	34.2%	65.2%	8.9%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	42.8%	67.1%	17.5%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	56.6%	83.9%	28.5%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	15.9%	43.8%	1.0%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	28.7%	46.3%	17.6%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	44.1%	68.9%	19.1%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	35.4%	66.9%	9.3%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	71.7%	81.0%	60.7%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	57.9%	85.0%	28.4%
Mexico	Ocosingo	2000	33.7%	52.7%	17.3%
Mexico	Ocosingo	2017	34.7%	53.9%	17.1%
Mexico	Ocoteppec	2000	32.1%	43.1%	24.1%
Mexico	Ocoteppec	2000	45.6%	53.0%	38.8%
Mexico	Ocoteppec	2017	33.1%	44.2%	24.7%
Mexico	Ocoteppec	2017	48.2%	54.0%	42.9%
Mexico	Ocotlan	2000	91.5%	96.1%	83.5%
Mexico	Ocotlan	2017	92.1%	95.6%	87.2%
Mexico	Ocotlan De Morelos	2000	31.4%	32.1%	30.9%
Mexico	Ocotlan De Morelos	2017	33.4%	34.0%	32.8%
Mexico	Ocoyoacac	2000	70.3%	71.3%	69.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Ocoyoacac	2017	72.0%	73.2%	71.1%
Mexico	Ocoyucan	2000	53.1%	59.7%	46.0%
Mexico	Ocoyucan	2017	55.8%	59.1%	52.4%
Mexico	Ocozocoautla	2000	63.6%	81.5%	39.6%
Mexico	Ocozocoautla De Espinosa	2017	64.4%	82.6%	39.4%
Mexico	Ocuilan	2000	37.8%	60.1%	19.4%
Mexico	Ocuilan	2017	38.2%	59.6%	19.9%
Mexico	Ocuituco	2000	24.9%	26.0%	24.0%
Mexico	Ocuituco	2017	25.6%	26.7%	24.5%
Mexico	Ojinaga	2000	86.0%	96.1%	63.6%
Mexico	Ojinaga	2017	87.3%	95.4%	69.7%
Mexico	Ojocaliente	2000	84.2%	94.6%	66.8%
Mexico	Ojocaliente	2017	84.3%	94.9%	65.0%
Mexico	Ojuelos De Jalisco	2000	46.4%	78.0%	17.8%
Mexico	Ojuelos De Jalisco	2017	47.5%	78.8%	18.8%
Mexico	Olinala	2000	25.7%	59.1%	4.6%
Mexico	Olinala	2017	26.6%	61.0%	4.9%
Mexico	Olintla	2000	3.3%	3.6%	3.1%
Mexico	Olintla	2017	3.6%	3.9%	3.3%
Mexico	Oluta	2000	92.4%	93.4%	91.0%
Mexico	Oluta	2017	93.2%	94.1%	91.8%
Mexico	Omealca	2000	42.6%	56.7%	31.4%
Mexico	Omealca	2017	41.4%	59.0%	29.3%
Mexico	Ometepec	2000	26.3%	45.8%	11.0%
Mexico	Ometepec	2017	27.9%	47.5%	12.4%
Mexico	Omitlan De Juarez	2000	34.4%	44.4%	26.8%
Mexico	Omitlan De Juarez	2017	31.2%	40.4%	23.8%
Mexico	Onavas	2000	59.9%	90.0%	25.3%
Mexico	Onavas	2017	67.7%	89.3%	35.7%
Mexico	Opichen	2000	12.4%	19.9%	7.5%
Mexico	Opichen	2017	12.0%	18.3%	8.2%
Mexico	Opodepe	2000	53.3%	81.6%	21.6%
Mexico	Opodepe	2017	55.5%	85.9%	21.7%
Mexico	Oquitoa	2000	85.6%	88.1%	82.9%
Mexico	Oquitoa	2017	86.4%	88.8%	83.8%
Mexico	Oriental	2000	52.8%	66.0%	44.5%
Mexico	Oriental	2017	52.5%	69.6%	37.7%
Mexico	Orizaba	2000	91.8%	92.1%	91.6%
Mexico	Orizaba	2017	92.6%	92.9%	92.4%
Mexico	Ostuacan	2000	40.6%	68.0%	14.0%
Mexico	Ostuacan	2017	42.2%	67.1%	17.4%
Mexico	Osumacinta	2000	48.5%	56.2%	38.1%
Mexico	Osumacinta	2017	50.1%	58.1%	39.1%
Mexico	Otaez	2000	30.6%	57.5%	6.6%
Mexico	Otaez	2017	30.1%	58.2%	6.6%
Mexico	Otatitlan	2000	69.7%	78.1%	58.7%
Mexico	Otatitlan	2017	71.0%	79.8%	59.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Oteapan	2000	41.5%	42.5%	40.7%
Mexico	Oteapan	2017	44.2%	45.2%	43.4%
Mexico	Othon P. Blanco	2000	75.3%	86.5%	60.9%
Mexico	Othon P. Blanco	2017	77.0%	87.2%	63.3%
Mexico	Otumba	2000	61.8%	65.8%	57.7%
Mexico	Otumba	2017	63.9%	68.1%	59.5%
Mexico	Otzoloapan	2000	42.6%	59.6%	27.5%
Mexico	Otzoloapan	2017	40.9%	61.6%	26.2%
Mexico	Otzolotepec	2000	42.0%	52.4%	32.1%
Mexico	Otzolotepec	2017	44.5%	54.4%	34.2%
Mexico	Oxchuc	2000	11.8%	25.8%	3.5%
Mexico	Oxchuc	2017	12.6%	28.1%	3.7%
Mexico	Oxkutzcab	2000	39.7%	48.9%	32.0%
Mexico	Oxkutzcab	2017	39.8%	49.1%	32.2%
Mexico	Ozuluama De Mascarenas	2000	44.1%	68.5%	20.1%
Mexico	Ozuluama De Mascarenas	2017	45.1%	70.3%	21.4%
Mexico	Ozumba	2000	50.3%	51.0%	49.6%
Mexico	Ozumba	2017	52.4%	53.1%	51.9%
Mexico	Pabellon De Arteaga	2000	90.7%	95.6%	83.5%
Mexico	Pabellon De Arteaga	2017	92.3%	96.4%	86.1%
Mexico	Pachuca De Soto	2000	90.7%	93.5%	85.9%
Mexico	Pachuca De Soto	2017	89.6%	92.0%	85.6%
Mexico	Pacula	2000	22.5%	57.1%	3.0%
Mexico	Pacula	2017	22.7%	57.6%	2.9%
Mexico	Padilla	2000	36.6%	64.3%	10.2%
Mexico	Padilla	2017	37.4%	71.4%	11.7%
Mexico	Pahuatlan	2000	18.4%	22.0%	16.1%
Mexico	Pahuatlan	2017	20.9%	25.0%	18.6%
Mexico	Pajacuaran	2000	77.7%	85.1%	65.5%
Mexico	Pajacuaran	2017	78.9%	85.5%	67.7%
Mexico	Pajapan	2000	21.2%	63.3%	3.1%
Mexico	Pajapan	2017	23.5%	65.3%	4.1%
Mexico	Palenque	2000	45.2%	77.4%	19.6%
Mexico	Palenque	2017	47.1%	75.0%	23.9%
Mexico	Palizada	2000	42.9%	76.4%	14.4%
Mexico	Palizada	2017	44.9%	74.9%	15.6%
Mexico	Palmar De Bravo	2000	13.0%	23.1%	6.3%
Mexico	Palmar De Bravo	2017	13.3%	22.1%	6.8%
Mexico	Pamillas	2000	22.8%	45.1%	5.0%
Mexico	Pamillas	2017	23.1%	44.8%	5.7%
Mexico	Panaba	2000	46.4%	76.4%	20.2%
Mexico	Panaba	2017	51.6%	73.3%	30.6%
Mexico	Panindicuario	2000	40.8%	60.9%	26.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Panindicuario	2017	42.6%	62.0%	28.0%
Mexico	Panotla	2000	63.7%	64.5%	62.8%
Mexico	Panotla	2017	66.3%	67.1%	65.4%
Mexico	Pantelho	2000	18.4%	36.6%	6.1%
Mexico	Pantelho	2017	19.6%	37.7%	7.0%
Mexico	Pantepec	2000	55.1%	66.1%	47.2%
Mexico	Pantepec	2000	25.6%	39.1%	13.7%
Mexico	Pantepec	2017	26.7%	40.4%	14.5%
Mexico	Pantepec	2017	55.7%	66.7%	47.5%
Mexico	Panuco	2000	55.4%	78.0%	31.1%
Mexico	Panuco	2000	47.6%	83.1%	18.7%
Mexico	Panuco	2017	48.5%	83.9%	18.5%
Mexico	Panuco	2017	56.5%	77.7%	34.0%
Mexico	Panuco De Coronado	2000	49.9%	86.3%	16.2%
Mexico	Panuco De Coronado	2017	50.3%	86.7%	18.4%
Mexico	Papalotla	2000	89.8%	90.3%	89.2%
Mexico	Papalotla	2017	90.5%	91.0%	90.0%
Mexico	Papalotla De Xicohtencatl	2000	78.2%	78.7%	77.7%
Mexico	Papalotla De Xicohtencatl	2017	79.4%	79.9%	78.9%
Mexico	Papantla	2000	51.7%	74.0%	33.8%
Mexico	Papantla	2017	53.1%	75.6%	34.7%
Mexico	Paracho	2000	23.6%	31.7%	17.9%
Mexico	Paracho	2017	24.7%	32.7%	19.2%
Mexico	Paracuaro	2000	49.0%	81.4%	19.1%
Mexico	Paracuaro	2017	50.2%	80.6%	20.5%
Mexico	Paraiso	2000	85.7%	96.7%	68.9%
Mexico	Paraiso	2017	86.2%	97.1%	70.0%
Mexico	Paras	2000	60.2%	92.9%	21.4%
Mexico	Paras	2017	61.5%	85.9%	33.3%
Mexico	Parras	2000	70.8%	84.7%	56.2%
Mexico	Parras	2017	73.9%	87.2%	59.4%
Mexico	Paso De Ovejas	2000	72.8%	88.1%	54.3%
Mexico	Paso De Ovejas	2017	73.8%	87.7%	57.8%
Mexico	Paso Del Macho	2000	45.6%	63.9%	27.7%
Mexico	Paso Del Macho	2017	46.8%	66.6%	27.5%
Mexico	Patzcuaro	2000	58.6%	65.5%	50.0%
Mexico	Patzcuaro	2017	62.3%	69.0%	55.3%
Mexico	Pedro Ascencio Alquisiras	2000	16.6%	35.0%	4.7%
Mexico	Pedro Ascencio Alquisiras	2017	17.7%	34.8%	5.7%
Mexico	Pedro Escobedo	2000	60.4%	82.0%	36.7%
Mexico	Pedro Escobedo	2017	62.0%	83.5%	36.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Penamiller	2000	32.4%	63.5%	8.3%
Mexico	Penamiller	2017	33.2%	64.3%	9.1%
Mexico	Penjamillo	2000	57.9%	79.6%	36.1%
Mexico	Penjamillo	2017	59.1%	81.2%	37.2%
Mexico	Penjamo	2000	57.6%	82.9%	27.1%
Mexico	Penjamo	2017	60.2%	84.1%	30.8%
Mexico	Penon Blanco	2000	52.7%	80.4%	22.6%
Mexico	Penon Blanco	2017	53.1%	84.0%	18.0%
Mexico	Periban	2000	51.5%	74.6%	31.0%
Mexico	Periban	2017	54.3%	75.4%	33.5%
Mexico	Perote	2000	50.3%	69.3%	32.7%
Mexico	Perote	2017	52.9%	70.8%	35.7%
Mexico	Pesqueria	2000	71.0%	82.1%	55.3%
Mexico	Pesqueria	2017	74.5%	85.5%	59.4%
Mexico	Petatlan	2000	52.7%	91.3%	8.8%
Mexico	Petatlan	2017	53.1%	90.7%	9.6%
Mexico	Petlalcingo	2000	39.1%	48.8%	32.9%
Mexico	Petlalcingo	2017	34.9%	45.7%	26.9%
Mexico	Peto	2000	34.0%	68.0%	12.2%
Mexico	Peto	2017	33.2%	70.9%	11.2%
Mexico	Piaxtla	2000	37.0%	50.5%	27.0%
Mexico	Piaxtla	2017	37.2%	51.5%	26.0%
Mexico	Pichucalco	2000	50.4%	84.0%	19.1%
Mexico	Pichucalco	2017	51.8%	84.9%	19.2%
Mexico	Piedras Negras	2000	85.4%	94.0%	69.0%
Mexico	Piedras Negras	2017	85.4%	94.7%	65.5%
Mexico	Pihuamo	2000	67.4%	90.1%	38.6%
Mexico	Pihuamo	2017	68.3%	94.7%	31.5%
Mexico	Pijijiapan	2000	58.0%	83.1%	27.7%
Mexico	Pijijiapan	2017	58.8%	84.2%	29.1%
Mexico	Pilcaya	2000	45.5%	62.9%	28.3%
Mexico	Pilcaya	2017	49.1%	66.1%	30.3%
Mexico	Pinal De Amoles	2000	23.0%	51.2%	5.1%
Mexico	Pinal De Amoles	2017	24.6%	55.4%	5.2%
Mexico	Pinos	2000	45.8%	73.5%	18.8%
Mexico	Pinos	2017	47.0%	72.1%	22.0%
Mexico	Pinotepa De Don Luis	2000	11.5%	12.6%	10.6%
Mexico	Pinotepa De Don Luis	2017	13.5%	14.8%	12.4%
Mexico	Pisaflores	2000	24.3%	48.7%	9.5%
Mexico	Pisaflores	2017	25.8%	51.1%	10.1%
Mexico	Pitiquito	2000	57.3%	74.9%	39.8%
Mexico	Pitiquito	2017	59.3%	74.7%	44.4%
Mexico	Platon Sanchez	2000	25.2%	37.3%	14.2%
Mexico	Platon Sanchez	2017	25.4%	34.8%	16.3%
Mexico	Playa Vicente	2000	43.8%	83.9%	7.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Playa Vicente	2017	44.6%	84.0%	7.7%
Mexico	Playas De Rosarito	2000	77.9%	93.2%	53.8%
Mexico	Playas De Rosarito	2017	79.0%	94.6%	54.6%
Mexico	Pluma Hidalgo	2000	6.7%	9.3%	5.5%
Mexico	Pluma Hidalgo	2017	6.6%	9.9%	4.8%
Mexico	Poanas	2000	60.6%	82.5%	37.6%
Mexico	Poanas	2017	61.9%	83.7%	37.7%
Mexico	Polotitlan	2000	46.4%	65.7%	27.9%
Mexico	Polotitlan	2017	47.7%	66.8%	30.0%
Mexico	Poncitlan	2000	77.0%	93.3%	55.4%
Mexico	Poncitlan	2017	75.7%	93.2%	49.9%
Mexico	Poza Rica De Hidalgo	2000	85.6%	89.4%	77.4%
Mexico	Poza Rica De Hidalgo	2017	85.1%	90.5%	75.0%
Mexico	Praxedis G. Guerrero	2000	50.3%	85.5%	16.0%
Mexico	Praxedis G. Guerrero	2017	51.1%	86.5%	16.1%
Mexico	Progreso	2000	45.2%	71.3%	16.9%
Mexico	Progreso	2000	82.9%	94.1%	63.1%
Mexico	Progreso	2017	86.5%	95.4%	66.0%
Mexico	Progreso	2017	47.1%	73.2%	19.3%
Mexico	Progreso De Obregon	2000	77.1%	80.4%	73.1%
Mexico	Progreso De Obregon	2017	79.0%	82.2%	75.1%
Mexico	Puebla	2000	86.5%	87.6%	84.5%
Mexico	Puebla	2017	86.5%	87.4%	85.1%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	51.4%	73.1%	28.0%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	69.2%	85.8%	44.3%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	51.9%	72.5%	30.0%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	72.4%	87.4%	48.7%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	32.1%	46.8%	21.1%
Mexico	Solistahuacan	2017	34.0%	48.6%	24.0%
Mexico	Pueblo Viejo	2000	42.7%	55.2%	32.3%
Mexico	Pueblo Viejo	2017	44.8%	56.9%	34.9%
Mexico	Puente De Ixtla	2000	80.3%	85.2%	69.3%
Mexico	Puente De Ixtla	2017	81.0%	84.7%	72.1%
Mexico	Puente Nacional	2000	61.3%	86.4%	32.4%
Mexico	Puente Nacional	2017	63.7%	86.9%	37.4%
Mexico	Puerto Peñasco	2000	79.7%	92.0%	51.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Puerto Pe- nasco	2017	81.6%	90.2%	60.0%
Mexico	Puerto Val- larta	2000	85.1%	96.4%	60.3%
Mexico	Puerto Val- larta	2017	86.5%	96.3%	68.3%
Mexico	Pungarabato	2000	62.8%	76.9%	48.0%
Mexico	Pungarabato	2017	64.5%	78.3%	49.7%
Mexico	Purepero	2000	84.0%	88.9%	76.3%
Mexico	Purepero	2017	83.6%	89.5%	75.0%
Mexico	Purissima Del Rincon	2000	83.2%	91.9%	69.7%
Mexico	Purissima Del Rincon	2017	82.7%	93.1%	67.0%
Mexico	Puruandiro	2000	53.2%	82.9%	23.8%
Mexico	Puruandiro	2017	56.2%	79.0%	32.1%
Mexico	Putla Villa De Guerrero	2000	32.9%	43.6%	25.7%
Mexico	Putla Villa De Guerrero	2017	32.2%	41.3%	26.4%
Mexico	Quecholac	2000	25.7%	26.3%	25.3%
Mexico	Quecholac	2017	26.4%	27.1%	25.9%
Mexico	Quechultenango	2000	28.4%	51.9%	8.2%
Mexico	Quechultenango	2017	31.4%	58.1%	8.9%
Mexico	Querendaro	2000	61.4%	88.7%	25.1%
Mexico	Querendaro	2017	62.9%	88.8%	26.2%
Mexico	Queretaro	2000	82.2%	93.0%	67.0%
Mexico	Queretaro	2017	82.8%	93.3%	67.8%
Mexico	Quimixtlan	2000	22.2%	26.4%	20.3%
Mexico	Quimixtlan	2017	25.2%	32.5%	20.8%
Mexico	Quintana Roo	2000	10.5%	14.8%	7.4%
Mexico	Quintana Roo	2017	11.9%	17.0%	8.3%
Mexico	Quiriego	2000	34.2%	76.6%	6.0%
Mexico	Quiriego	2017	35.5%	78.4%	6.1%
Mexico	Quiroga	2000	37.8%	54.7%	22.5%
Mexico	Quiroga	2017	39.7%	56.8%	23.2%
Mexico	Quitupan	2000	60.4%	83.1%	38.1%
Mexico	Quitupan	2017	62.3%	83.9%	40.6%
Mexico	Rafael Del- gado	2000	52.3%	52.8%	51.7%
Mexico	Rafael Del- gado	2017	47.9%	48.4%	47.3%
Mexico	Rafael Lara Grajales	2000	70.1%	71.4%	68.7%
Mexico	Rafael Lara Grajales	2017	71.0%	72.3%	69.7%
Mexico	Rafael Lucio	2000	62.2%	63.0%	61.5%
Mexico	Rafael Lucio	2017	64.0%	64.7%	63.3%
Mexico	Ramos Arizpe	2000	83.3%	91.3%	73.1%
Mexico	Ramos Arizpe	2017	83.8%	92.1%	72.1%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	44.5%	71.0%	21.1%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	53.0%	62.8%	45.2%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	55.1%	88.4%	16.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Rayon	2000	84.9%	85.6%	84.2%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	45.6%	72.9%	22.2%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	57.5%	67.3%	47.3%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	88.4%	88.9%	87.9%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	48.9%	60.7%	39.3%
Mexico	Rayones	2000	46.2%	75.1%	15.8%
Mexico	Rayones	2017	48.3%	77.5%	15.0%
Mexico	Reforma	2000	73.0%	89.0%	48.2%
Mexico	Reforma	2017	74.3%	90.0%	49.3%
Mexico	Reforma De Pineda	2000	55.3%	59.9%	50.3%
Mexico	Reforma De Pineda	2017	57.2%	61.7%	52.2%
Mexico	Reyes Etla	2000	53.4%	54.4%	52.2%
Mexico	Reyes Etla	2017	52.2%	53.2%	51.1%
Mexico	Reynosa	2000	75.0%	86.7%	60.8%
Mexico	Reynosa	2017	76.3%	88.3%	57.9%
Mexico	Rincon De Romos	2000	83.4%	89.1%	73.9%
Mexico	Rincon De Romos	2017	82.2%	88.4%	72.2%
Mexico	Rio Blanco	2000	87.1%	87.4%	86.8%
Mexico	Rio Blanco	2017	90.8%	91.0%	90.4%
Mexico	Rio Bravo	2000	71.4%	88.9%	45.3%
Mexico	Rio Bravo	2017	71.2%	90.8%	41.1%
Mexico	Rio Grande	2000	67.2%	85.8%	45.2%
Mexico	Rio Grande	2017	67.8%	85.7%	46.8%
Mexico	Rio Lagartos	2000	81.6%	93.8%	52.2%
Mexico	Rio Lagartos	2017	77.8%	97.7%	33.5%
Mexico	Rioverde	2000	59.3%	76.8%	40.7%
Mexico	Rioverde	2017	60.1%	78.3%	41.6%
Mexico	Riva Palacio	2000	59.6%	82.4%	34.3%
Mexico	Riva Palacio	2017	60.8%	83.6%	34.2%
Mexico	Rodeo	2000	49.4%	74.5%	23.3%
Mexico	Rodeo	2017	51.3%	74.0%	27.2%
Mexico	Rojas De Cuauhtemoc	2000	56.0%	56.8%	55.3%
Mexico	Rojas De Cuauhtemoc	2017	29.3%	30.3%	28.4%
Mexico	Romita	2000	62.9%	85.0%	39.8%
Mexico	Romita	2017	63.7%	85.9%	39.2%
Mexico	Rosales	2000	90.5%	93.9%	85.8%
Mexico	Rosales	2017	90.7%	94.4%	85.0%
Mexico	Rosamorada	2000	43.3%	70.9%	16.9%
Mexico	Rosamorada	2017	44.9%	72.2%	18.0%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	45.3%	77.0%	11.7%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	66.1%	90.9%	31.3%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	38.3%	55.7%	19.8%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	40.3%	57.8%	21.8%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	46.4%	80.1%	12.3%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	67.2%	91.6%	34.0%
Mexico	Ruiz	2000	52.3%	84.8%	15.2%
Mexico	Ruiz	2017	52.3%	85.3%	16.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Sabanilla	2000	17.6%	38.7%	4.4%
Mexico	Sabanilla	2017	18.1%	39.6%	4.7%
Mexico	Sabinas	2000	77.9%	92.0%	52.8%
Mexico	Sabinas	2017	79.0%	92.8%	53.3%
Mexico	Sabinas Hidalgo	2000	85.4%	94.2%	68.0%
Mexico	Sabinas Hidalgo	2017	85.7%	94.0%	71.8%
Mexico	Sacalum	2000	32.9%	48.4%	17.2%
Mexico	Sacalum	2017	32.0%	44.8%	19.4%
Mexico	Sacramento	2000	32.5%	53.9%	15.6%
Mexico	Sacramento	2017	34.4%	63.9%	13.8%
Mexico	Sahuaripa	2000	86.2%	95.4%	60.5%
Mexico	Sahuaripa	2017	86.7%	95.6%	60.6%
Mexico	Sahuayo	2000	91.1%	92.6%	89.3%
Mexico	Sahuayo	2017	91.8%	93.1%	90.2%
Mexico	Sain Alto	2000	47.2%	81.6%	14.4%
Mexico	Sain Alto	2017	49.3%	83.5%	16.7%
Mexico	Salamanca	2000	69.7%	84.3%	49.9%
Mexico	Salamanca	2017	71.4%	85.1%	51.6%
Mexico	Salina Cruz	2000	83.5%	93.0%	68.0%
Mexico	Salina Cruz	2017	85.0%	92.2%	73.5%
Mexico	Salinas	2000	56.7%	85.5%	23.8%
Mexico	Salinas	2017	59.8%	80.3%	36.4%
Mexico	Salinas Victoria	2000	54.7%	75.8%	32.4%
Mexico	Salinas Victoria	2017	58.7%	77.6%	37.4%
Mexico	Saltabarranca	2000	65.3%	75.3%	54.0%
Mexico	Saltabarranca	2017	67.4%	75.6%	57.1%
Mexico	Saltillo	2000	91.8%	94.8%	85.8%
Mexico	Saltillo	2017	92.4%	95.4%	86.2%
Mexico	Salto De Agua	2000	29.8%	66.7%	3.0%
Mexico	Salto De Agua	2017	30.7%	67.1%	3.2%
Mexico	Salvador Alvarado	2000	72.2%	88.0%	52.2%
Mexico	Salvador Alvarado	2017	73.2%	88.3%	51.7%
Mexico	Salvador Escalante	2000	42.7%	67.3%	16.2%
Mexico	Salvador Escalante	2017	44.2%	68.4%	18.6%
Mexico	Salvatierra	2000	70.1%	88.1%	44.9%
Mexico	Salvatierra	2017	71.7%	87.2%	48.9%
Mexico	Samahil	2000	9.6%	16.4%	4.9%
Mexico	Samahil	2017	10.6%	19.3%	4.9%
Mexico	San Agustin Amatengo	2000	9.2%	10.6%	8.0%
Mexico	San Agustin Amatengo	2017	11.1%	13.2%	9.2%
Mexico	San Agustin Atenango	2000	20.6%	30.8%	13.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Agustin Atenango	2017	21.3%	32.3%	13.3%
Mexico	San Agustin Chayuco	2000	7.6%	13.8%	4.3%
Mexico	San Agustin Chayuco	2017	8.3%	15.3%	4.9%
Mexico	San Agustin De Las Juntas	2000	70.6%	71.2%	70.1%
Mexico	San Agustin De Las Juntas	2017	67.6%	68.1%	67.2%
Mexico	San Agustin Etna	2000	61.9%	62.9%	60.8%
Mexico	San Agustin Etna	2017	67.3%	68.3%	66.4%
Mexico	San Agustin Loxicha	2000	2.5%	8.7%	0.9%
Mexico	San Agustin Loxicha	2017	3.1%	11.9%	1.0%
Mexico	San Agustin Metzquititlan	2000	39.9%	63.7%	19.1%
Mexico	San Agustin Metzquititlan	2017	40.3%	63.0%	19.3%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlacotepec	2000	1.7%	2.1%	1.3%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlacotepec	2017	1.8%	2.2%	1.4%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlaxiaca	2000	46.7%	58.1%	35.7%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlaxiaca	2017	48.3%	58.9%	38.1%
Mexico	San Agustin Yatareni	2000	81.2%	81.6%	80.8%
Mexico	San Agustin Yatareni	2017	82.2%	82.6%	81.8%
Mexico	San Andres Cabecera Nueva	2000	4.5%	15.5%	0.7%
Mexico	San Andres Cabecera Nueva	2017	5.1%	15.4%	0.7%
Mexico	San Andres Cholula	2000	73.8%	75.1%	72.2%
Mexico	San Andres Cholula	2017	76.1%	77.3%	74.7%
Mexico	San Andres Dinicuiti	2000	86.9%	88.0%	85.9%
Mexico	San Andres Dinicuiti	2017	82.2%	83.5%	81.2%
Mexico	San Andres Duraznal	2000	1.8%	2.1%	1.5%
Mexico	San Andres Duraznal	2017	2.0%	2.4%	1.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Andres Huaxpaltepec	2000	13.4%	19.1%	9.5%
Mexico	San Andres Huaxpaltepec	2017	14.0%	17.8%	11.2%
Mexico	San Andres Huayapam	2000	79.1%	79.8%	78.4%
Mexico	San Andres Huayapam	2017	78.7%	79.4%	78.1%
Mexico	San Andres Ixtlahuaca	2000	7.6%	8.1%	7.1%
Mexico	San Andres Ixtlahuaca	2017	7.9%	8.7%	7.2%
Mexico	San Andres Lagunas	2000	20.0%	25.5%	13.8%
Mexico	San Andres Lagunas	2017	23.5%	30.0%	16.3%
Mexico	San Andres Nuxino	2000	1.0%	2.5%	0.4%
Mexico	San Andres Nuxino	2017	1.0%	2.1%	0.5%
Mexico	San Andres Paxtlan	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Mexico	San Andres Paxtlan	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Mexico	San Andres Sinaxtla	2000	29.6%	30.8%	28.3%
Mexico	San Andres Sinaxtla	2017	31.3%	32.6%	30.1%
Mexico	San Andres Solaga	2000	15.8%	16.8%	15.0%
Mexico	San Andres Solaga	2017	16.9%	17.8%	16.0%
Mexico	San Andres Tenejapan	2000	34.7%	35.3%	34.0%
Mexico	San Andres Tenejapan	2017	31.8%	32.4%	31.1%
Mexico	San Andres Teotilalpam	2000	39.0%	56.6%	25.6%
Mexico	San Andres Teotilalpam	2017	39.6%	55.6%	26.4%
Mexico	San Andres Tepetlapa	2000	12.1%	13.5%	10.9%
Mexico	San Andres Tepetlapa	2017	12.2%	13.3%	11.0%
Mexico	San Andres Tuxtla	2000	51.3%	65.2%	35.1%
Mexico	San Andres Tuxtla	2017	53.0%	67.7%	36.7%
Mexico	San Andres Yaa	2000	33.6%	36.2%	31.2%
Mexico	San Andres Yaa	2017	24.4%	26.2%	22.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Andres Zabache	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%
Mexico	San Andres Zabache	2017	1.6%	2.0%	1.3%
Mexico	San Andres Zautla	2000	40.7%	41.7%	39.6%
Mexico	San Andres Zautla	2017	42.0%	43.1%	40.9%
Mexico	San Antonino Castillo Velasco	2000	44.2%	45.3%	43.0%
Mexico	San Antonino Castillo Velasco	2017	46.7%	47.7%	45.4%
Mexico	San Antonino El Alto	2000	3.9%	16.2%	1.0%
Mexico	San Antonino El Alto	2017	3.7%	15.2%	1.0%
Mexico	San Antonino Monte Verde	2000	11.8%	15.7%	9.8%
Mexico	San Antonino Monte Verde	2017	12.7%	17.9%	10.2%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2000	10.6%	19.0%	6.9%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2017	10.8%	17.5%	7.8%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2000	37.9%	40.3%	35.5%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2017	39.4%	41.9%	37.0%
Mexico	San Antonio Canada	2000	9.0%	13.6%	5.8%
Mexico	San Antonio Canada	2017	9.1%	13.6%	5.7%
Mexico	San Antonio De La Cal	2000	72.1%	72.7%	71.5%
Mexico	San Antonio De La Cal	2017	73.5%	74.1%	73.0%
Mexico	San Antonio Huitepec	2000	7.6%	16.5%	3.6%
Mexico	San Antonio Huitepec	2017	8.6%	18.2%	4.2%
Mexico	San Antonio La Isla	2000	90.4%	90.7%	90.1%
Mexico	San Antonio La Isla	2017	93.2%	93.4%	93.0%
Mexico	San Antonio Nanahuatipam	2000	38.1%	42.0%	34.1%
Mexico	San Antonio Nanahuatipam	2017	45.8%	50.0%	41.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Sinicahua	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Antonio Sinicahua	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Mexico	San Antonio Tepetlapa	2000	4.0%	4.6%	3.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Tepetlapa	2017	4.5%	6.6%	3.1%
Mexico	San Baltazar Chichicapam	2000	14.3%	17.3%	11.4%
Mexico	San Baltazar Chichicapam	2017	13.3%	15.9%	10.7%
Mexico	San Baltazar Loxicha	2000	1.7%	2.1%	1.4%
Mexico	San Baltazar Loxicha	2017	1.8%	2.1%	1.5%
Mexico	San Baltazar Yatzachi El Bajo	2000	24.4%	25.4%	23.2%
Mexico	San Baltazar Yatzachi El Bajo	2017	27.7%	28.9%	26.6%
Mexico	San Bartolo Coyotepec	2000	21.0%	22.0%	20.2%
Mexico	San Bartolo Coyotepec	2017	22.2%	23.3%	21.3%
Mexico	San Bartolo Soyaltepec	2000	14.1%	22.4%	9.6%
Mexico	San Bartolo Soyaltepec	2017	15.8%	23.3%	11.4%
Mexico	San Bartolo Tutotepec	2000	14.2%	23.5%	7.8%
Mexico	San Bartolo Tutotepec	2017	14.5%	23.3%	8.4%
Mexico	San Bartolo Yautepec	2000	51.4%	86.5%	12.5%
Mexico	San Bartolo Yautepec	2017	52.7%	87.3%	13.5%
Mexico	San Bartolome Ayautla	2000	1.3%	1.5%	1.0%
Mexico	San Bartolome Ayautla	2017	1.3%	1.6%	1.0%
Mexico	San Bartolome Loxicha	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Mexico	San Bartolome Loxicha	2017	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Mexico	San Bartolome Quialana	2000	23.9%	24.9%	22.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Bartolome Quialana	2017	23.8%	24.8%	22.8%
Mexico	San Bartolome Yucuaene	2000	4.1%	4.9%	3.3%
Mexico	San Bartolome Yucuaene	2017	4.1%	4.9%	3.3%
Mexico	San Bartolome Zoogocho	2000	22.2%	23.3%	21.1%
Mexico	San Bartolome Zoogocho	2017	24.6%	25.7%	23.4%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2000	43.1%	75.7%	11.5%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2017	43.9%	74.0%	12.5%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2000	14.5%	15.2%	13.8%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2017	17.7%	18.6%	16.8%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2000	58.4%	89.2%	20.9%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2017	60.2%	89.4%	24.3%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2000	72.3%	88.5%	46.5%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2017	71.7%	87.5%	48.7%
Mexico	San Buenaventura	2000	68.9%	76.8%	55.7%
Mexico	San Buenaventura	2017	70.4%	77.7%	58.4%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2000	21.8%	55.5%	3.0%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2017	21.8%	54.1%	3.2%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2000	43.9%	62.4%	24.5%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2017	46.9%	65.8%	28.3%
Mexico	San Cirilo De Acosta	2000	53.2%	83.1%	15.5%
Mexico	San Cirilo De Acosta	2017	54.5%	83.0%	18.5%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amatlan	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amatlan	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amoltepec	2000	5.0%	5.6%	4.5%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amoltepec	2017	5.9%	6.7%	5.1%
Mexico	San Cristobal De La Barranca	2000	47.0%	85.0%	12.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Cristobal De La Barranca	2017	49.0%	85.2%	15.0%
Mexico	San Cristobal De Las Casas	2000	58.3%	65.9%	51.8%
Mexico	San Cristobal De Las Casas	2017	57.8%	65.4%	51.3%
Mexico	San Cristobal Lachirioag	2000	70.1%	71.7%	68.2%
Mexico	San Cristobal Lachirioag	2017	72.6%	74.0%	70.7%
Mexico	San Cristobal Suchixtlahuaca	2000	42.5%	47.0%	38.1%
Mexico	San Cristobal Suchixtlahuaca	2017	45.6%	49.7%	41.5%
Mexico	San Damian Texoloc	2000	59.5%	60.2%	58.7%
Mexico	San Damian Texoloc	2017	59.7%	60.5%	58.9%
Mexico	San Diego De Alejandria	2000	82.7%	93.7%	55.7%
Mexico	San Diego De Alejandria	2017	83.7%	92.4%	62.3%
Mexico	San Diego De La Union	2000	44.2%	79.0%	12.6%
Mexico	San Diego De La Union	2017	45.4%	80.8%	12.5%
Mexico	San Diego La Mesa Tochimiltzingo	2000	29.1%	39.4%	21.5%
Mexico	San Diego La Mesa Tochimiltzingo	2017	40.9%	50.1%	32.4%
Mexico	San Dimas	2000	42.2%	67.0%	15.0%
Mexico	San Dimas	2017	43.7%	68.0%	16.0%
Mexico	San Dionisio Del Mar	2000	21.3%	42.9%	7.2%
Mexico	San Dionisio Del Mar	2017	22.9%	44.7%	7.8%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotepc	2000	7.8%	13.0%	5.0%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotepc	2017	14.5%	20.3%	9.7%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotlan	2000	26.1%	26.9%	25.4%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotlan	2017	24.3%	25.1%	23.7%
Mexico	San Esteban Atatlahuca	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Mexico	San Esteban Atatlahuca	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Felipe	2000	75.2%	99.3%	15.3%
Mexico	San Felipe	2000	48.2%	74.4%	22.8%
Mexico	San Felipe	2017	49.4%	75.5%	23.7%
Mexico	San Felipe	2017	75.9%	99.2%	16.6%
Mexico	San Felipe De Jesus	2000	93.4%	95.0%	91.2%
Mexico	San Felipe De Jesus	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.2%
Mexico	San Felipe Del Progreso	2000	21.8%	55.0%	3.7%
Mexico	San Felipe Del Progreso	2017	22.6%	55.9%	4.1%
Mexico	San Felipe Jalapa De Diaz	2000	8.5%	12.3%	5.8%
Mexico	San Felipe Jalapa De Diaz	2017	8.8%	11.7%	6.2%
Mexico	San Felipe Orizatlan	2000	41.4%	62.5%	18.4%
Mexico	San Felipe Orizatlan	2017	40.7%	59.1%	21.9%
Mexico	San Felipe Tejalapam	2000	13.6%	14.2%	13.0%
Mexico	San Felipe Tejalapam	2017	13.3%	14.0%	12.7%
Mexico	San Felipe Teotlalcingo	2000	40.4%	42.2%	38.7%
Mexico	San Felipe Teotlalcingo	2017	41.0%	42.8%	39.3%
Mexico	San Felipe Tepatlan	2000	7.7%	8.4%	7.1%
Mexico	San Felipe Tepatlan	2017	8.8%	9.5%	8.0%
Mexico	San Felipe Usila	2000	10.1%	24.4%	2.3%
Mexico	San Felipe Usila	2017	12.7%	30.6%	2.7%
Mexico	San Fernando	2000	52.5%	68.8%	34.1%
Mexico	San Fernando	2000	32.6%	50.3%	15.7%
Mexico	San Fernando	2017	53.2%	74.5%	29.1%
Mexico	San Fernando	2017	33.6%	52.8%	17.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Cahuacua	2000	4.1%	15.1%	1.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Cahuacua	2017	4.6%	15.4%	1.5%
Mexico	San Francisco Cajonos	2000	44.9%	46.1%	43.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Cajonos	2017	64.4%	66.1%	62.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Chapulapa	2000	15.7%	19.9%	11.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Francisco Chapulapa	2017	16.4%	20.7%	12.5%
Mexico	San Francisco Chindua	2000	18.1%	19.5%	16.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Chindua	2017	19.9%	21.3%	18.4%
Mexico	San Francisco De Borja	2000	60.8%	86.3%	24.4%
Mexico	San Francisco De Borja	2017	61.9%	87.1%	25.6%
Mexico	San Francisco De Conchos	2000	72.4%	95.5%	32.9%
Mexico	San Francisco De Conchos	2017	74.5%	94.6%	40.7%
Mexico	San Francisco De Los Romo	2000	90.2%	97.2%	67.7%
Mexico	San Francisco De Los Romo	2017	90.9%	97.5%	69.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Mar	2000	49.2%	80.9%	22.5%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Mar	2017	55.3%	79.5%	33.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Oro	2000	80.3%	82.5%	77.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Oro	2017	81.0%	83.4%	78.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Rincon	2000	85.1%	93.6%	69.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Rincon	2017	85.6%	94.0%	70.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Huehuetlan	2000	4.7%	5.1%	4.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Huehuetlan	2017	5.2%	5.7%	4.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Ixhuatan	2000	57.9%	64.8%	51.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Ixhuatan	2017	61.6%	66.2%	55.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Jaltepetongo	2000	6.1%	7.0%	5.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Jaltepetongo	2017	5.8%	6.6%	5.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Lachigolo	2000	25.1%	26.3%	24.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Lachigolo	2017	27.2%	28.4%	26.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Logueche	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Logueche	2017	1.8%	2.7%	1.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Nuxano	2000	7.9%	8.7%	7.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Francisco Nuxano	2017	8.5%	9.3%	8.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Ozolotepec	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Ozolotepec	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Sola	2000	13.4%	38.8%	2.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Sola	2017	14.3%	41.0%	2.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Telixtlahuaca	2000	27.5%	30.2%	25.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Telixtlahuaca	2017	31.1%	32.9%	29.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Teopan	2000	14.1%	16.2%	12.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Teopan	2017	10.2%	12.1%	8.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Tetlanohcan	2000	72.5%	73.0%	72.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Tetlanohcan	2017	76.0%	76.5%	75.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Tlapancingo	2000	2.7%	4.8%	1.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Tlapancingo	2017	3.0%	6.2%	1.4%
Mexico	San Gabriel	2000	60.9%	84.6%	36.9%
Mexico	San Gabriel	2017	63.0%	86.8%	38.1%
Mexico	San Gabriel Chilac	2000	64.7%	72.1%	56.2%
Mexico	San Gabriel Chilac	2017	66.0%	73.3%	58.9%
Mexico	San Gabriel Mixtepec	2000	9.0%	23.4%	2.2%
Mexico	San Gabriel Mixtepec	2017	10.5%	28.7%	2.1%
Mexico	San Gregorio Atzompa	2000	48.1%	48.9%	47.4%
Mexico	San Gregorio Atzompa	2017	54.1%	54.9%	53.4%
Mexico	San Ignacio	2000	50.6%	76.7%	22.5%
Mexico	San Ignacio	2017	52.1%	77.6%	23.9%
Mexico	San Ignacio Rio Muerto	2000	35.6%	69.8%	9.0%
Mexico	San Ignacio Rio Muerto	2017	37.8%	73.3%	9.4%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Amatlan	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Amatlan	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Sola	2000	9.8%	29.7%	1.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Ildefonso Sola	2017	13.4%	39.8%	1.6%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Villa Alta	2000	59.7%	64.4%	55.8%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Villa Alta	2017	63.5%	66.8%	60.4%
Mexico	San Jacinto Amilpas	2000	63.8%	64.4%	63.1%
Mexico	San Jacinto Amilpas	2017	65.8%	66.4%	65.1%
Mexico	San Jacinto Tlacotepec	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Mexico	San Jacinto Tlacotepec	2017	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Mexico	San Javier	2000	65.2%	80.4%	48.6%
Mexico	San Javier	2017	67.2%	81.2%	51.6%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Coatlan	2000	16.7%	34.2%	4.3%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Coatlan	2017	17.7%	35.4%	5.4%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Silacayoapilla	2000	42.0%	45.3%	38.8%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Silacayoapilla	2017	54.3%	57.6%	50.8%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Sosola	2000	21.7%	25.5%	17.7%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Sosola	2017	19.0%	22.8%	15.5%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Taviche	2000	1.3%	1.5%	1.2%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Taviche	2017	2.0%	2.3%	1.7%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecoatl	2000	8.2%	8.9%	7.4%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecoatl	2017	8.6%	9.2%	7.8%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecuanipan	2000	34.3%	35.1%	33.4%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecuanipan	2017	39.0%	39.9%	38.1%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tlachahuaya	2000	14.9%	15.5%	14.3%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tlachahuaya	2017	15.9%	16.6%	15.3%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Xayacatlan	2000	18.4%	23.3%	15.7%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Xayacatlan	2017	22.8%	27.3%	20.0%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Zacualpan	2000	75.6%	76.0%	75.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Jeronimo Zacualpan	2017	77.1%	77.5%	76.6%
Mexico	San Joaquin	2000	30.9%	71.4%	5.4%
Mexico	San Joaquin	2017	33.9%	68.4%	9.9%
Mexico	San Jorge Nuchita	2000	30.4%	33.2%	28.4%
Mexico	San Jorge Nuchita	2017	28.6%	32.5%	25.7%
Mexico	San Jose Ayuquila	2000	26.4%	28.6%	24.2%
Mexico	San Jose Ayuquila	2017	21.8%	23.4%	20.0%
Mexico	San Jose Chiapa	2000	35.7%	40.0%	32.3%
Mexico	San Jose Chiapa	2017	38.6%	44.1%	34.1%
Mexico	San Jose Chiltepec	2000	26.4%	44.5%	12.7%
Mexico	San Jose Chiltepec	2017	27.4%	45.1%	13.3%
Mexico	San Jose De Gracia	2000	73.6%	91.9%	39.9%
Mexico	San Jose De Gracia	2017	74.9%	91.6%	42.1%
Mexico	San Jose Del Penasco	2000	3.0%	3.9%	2.2%
Mexico	San Jose Del Penasco	2017	4.3%	5.6%	3.1%
Mexico	San Jose Del Progreso	2000	4.1%	4.4%	3.9%
Mexico	San Jose Del Progreso	2017	3.9%	4.1%	3.6%
Mexico	San Jose Del Rincon	2000	22.3%	59.9%	2.3%
Mexico	San Jose Del Rincon	2017	23.2%	61.2%	2.4%
Mexico	San Jose Estancia Grande	2000	3.9%	8.0%	1.8%
Mexico	San Jose Estancia Grande	2017	4.2%	8.1%	2.0%
Mexico	San Jose Independencia	2000	18.2%	25.7%	12.7%
Mexico	San Jose Independencia	2017	19.0%	26.7%	13.2%
Mexico	San Jose Iturbide	2000	58.7%	82.0%	32.6%
Mexico	San Jose Iturbide	2017	60.2%	81.9%	37.8%
Mexico	San Jose Lachiguiri	2000	3.8%	5.6%	2.9%
Mexico	San Jose Lachiguiri	2017	4.7%	7.5%	3.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Jose Mi- ahuatlan	2000	73.8%	80.0%	65.2%
Mexico	San Jose Mi- ahuatlan	2017	73.2%	76.5%	67.2%
Mexico	San Jose Tea- calco	2000	48.6%	49.8%	47.2%
Mexico	San Jose Tea- calco	2017	51.5%	52.8%	50.3%
Mexico	San Jose Ten- ango	2000	8.4%	20.6%	3.3%
Mexico	San Jose Ten- ango	2017	7.8%	18.0%	3.3%
Mexico	San Juan Achiutla	2000	9.4%	10.2%	8.8%
Mexico	San Juan Achiutla	2017	9.8%	10.6%	9.1%
Mexico	San Juan Atenco	2000	31.4%	45.9%	21.5%
Mexico	San Juan Atenco	2017	33.7%	46.3%	26.0%
Mexico	San Juan Ate- pec	2000	27.6%	30.2%	24.2%
Mexico	San Juan Ate- pec	2017	29.6%	32.5%	26.0%
Mexico	San Juan At- zompa	2000	13.1%	18.7%	8.7%
Mexico	San Juan At- zompa	2017	14.2%	20.2%	9.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista	2000	24.3%	34.2%	14.4%
Mexico	San Juan Atatlahuca	2017	20.6%	30.3%	13.3%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista	2000	16.4%	19.0%	14.5%
Mexico	San Juan Coixtlahuaca	2017	17.7%	19.6%	15.9%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista	2000	41.4%	54.0%	34.5%
Mexico	San Juan Cuicatlan	2017	39.4%	54.3%	30.7%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista	2000	36.6%	37.5%	35.4%
Mexico	San Juan Guelache	2017	36.2%	37.3%	34.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Jayacatlan	2000	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Jayacatlan	2017	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Lo De Soto	2000	13.8%	26.4%	6.8%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Lo De Soto	2017	15.2%	29.1%	7.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Suchitepec	2000	6.6%	8.2%	5.4%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Suchitepec	2017	8.0%	9.4%	6.9%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlachichilco	2000	11.7%	13.9%	10.3%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlachichilco	2017	10.1%	13.2%	8.4%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlacoatzintepec	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlacoatzintepec	2017	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tuxtepec	2000	56.7%	77.1%	35.4%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tuxtepec	2017	60.2%	82.1%	35.3%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Valle Nacional	2000	26.2%	47.3%	10.2%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Valle Nacional	2017	27.4%	48.5%	11.3%
Mexico	San Juan Cacahuatepec	2000	23.9%	31.6%	18.0%
Mexico	San Juan Cacahuatepec	2017	24.7%	31.2%	19.7%
Mexico	San Juan Cancuc	2000	4.2%	10.3%	1.3%
Mexico	San Juan Cancuc	2017	4.7%	12.1%	1.4%
Mexico	San Juan Chicomezuchil	2000	52.8%	54.4%	51.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Chicomezuchil	2017	51.4%	53.0%	49.8%
Mexico	San Juan Chilalteca	2000	41.3%	42.3%	40.2%
Mexico	San Juan Chilalteca	2017	44.0%	45.0%	42.8%
Mexico	San Juan Cieneguilla	2000	51.5%	64.1%	43.1%
Mexico	San Juan Cieneguilla	2017	51.9%	63.9%	43.1%
Mexico	San Juan Coatzacoapam	2000	5.2%	5.8%	4.6%
Mexico	San Juan Coatzacoapam	2017	6.7%	7.5%	5.9%
Mexico	San Juan Colorado	2000	11.9%	13.2%	10.9%
Mexico	San Juan Colorado	2017	15.7%	17.1%	14.6%
Mexico	San Juan Comaltepec	2000	8.6%	14.4%	5.5%
Mexico	San Juan Comaltepec	2017	7.8%	11.5%	5.5%
Mexico	San Juan Cotzocon	2000	30.1%	67.8%	2.4%
Mexico	San Juan Cotzocon	2017	30.2%	68.0%	2.3%
Mexico	San Juan De Guadalupe	2000	34.5%	62.7%	11.8%
Mexico	San Juan De Guadalupe	2017	36.0%	66.9%	11.6%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Cues	2000	11.8%	22.2%	7.4%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Cues	2017	9.7%	12.0%	8.1%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Lagos	2000	71.8%	90.6%	45.4%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Lagos	2017	73.5%	89.1%	51.1%
Mexico	San Juan De Sabinas	2000	88.3%	90.9%	84.8%
Mexico	San Juan De Sabinas	2017	89.0%	91.2%	86.6%
Mexico	San Juan Del Estado	2000	12.1%	13.1%	11.1%
Mexico	San Juan Del Estado	2017	15.5%	16.8%	14.4%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	37.0%	69.5%	8.6%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	68.1%	87.0%	45.8%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	6.1%	8.6%	4.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	38.2%	69.6%	8.9%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	71.3%	87.7%	51.5%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	6.3%	9.3%	4.7%
Mexico	San Juan Dixi	2000	3.0%	3.7%	2.4%
Mexico	San Juan Dixi	2017	2.8%	3.4%	2.3%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2000	58.1%	84.9%	26.5%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2017	58.9%	85.5%	26.8%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2000	30.9%	33.3%	28.9%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista Analco	2017	32.5%	34.9%	30.5%
Mexico	San Juan Guelavia	2000	4.6%	4.9%	4.3%
Mexico	San Juan Guelavia	2017	5.8%	6.1%	5.4%
Mexico	San Juan Guichicovi	2000	39.2%	56.5%	22.4%
Mexico	San Juan Guichicovi	2017	39.0%	55.6%	23.6%
Mexico	San Juan Huactzinco	2000	77.1%	77.5%	76.7%
Mexico	San Juan Huactzinco	2017	78.8%	79.2%	78.3%
Mexico	San Juan Ihualtepec	2000	17.1%	20.2%	14.9%
Mexico	San Juan Ihualtepec	2017	26.8%	30.7%	24.0%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Mixes	2000	3.4%	5.4%	2.3%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Mixes	2017	4.0%	8.2%	2.4%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Vijanos	2000	9.3%	10.3%	8.4%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Vijanos	2017	9.8%	10.9%	8.9%
Mexico	San Juan Lachao	2000	9.6%	22.0%	2.9%
Mexico	San Juan Lachao	2017	12.4%	29.0%	3.4%
Mexico	San Juan Lachigalla	2000	3.4%	5.1%	2.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Lachigalla	2017	5.2%	6.8%	4.1%
Mexico	San Juan Lajarcia	2000	24.9%	38.8%	17.2%
Mexico	San Juan Lajarcia	2017	26.3%	42.1%	16.0%
Mexico	San Juan Lalana	2000	4.2%	15.6%	0.6%
Mexico	San Juan Lalana	2017	5.3%	19.3%	0.6%
Mexico	San Juan Mazatlan	2000	30.5%	66.1%	3.2%
Mexico	San Juan Mazatlan	2017	31.0%	65.7%	3.3%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 08 -	2000	7.2%	13.4%	2.9%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 08 -	2017	7.4%	13.7%	2.9%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2000	6.2%	8.8%	4.2%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2017	5.6%	7.9%	3.9%
Mexico	San Juan Numi	2000	2.9%	11.2%	0.4%
Mexico	San Juan Numi	2017	2.9%	10.4%	0.4%
Mexico	San Juan Ozolotepec	2000	1.6%	2.8%	0.8%
Mexico	San Juan Ozolotepec	2017	1.7%	3.2%	0.8%
Mexico	San Juan Petlapa	2000	33.3%	47.7%	21.5%
Mexico	San Juan Petlapa	2017	32.6%	48.6%	20.0%
Mexico	San Juan Quiahije	2000	1.4%	3.6%	0.4%
Mexico	San Juan Quiahije	2017	1.5%	3.7%	0.5%
Mexico	San Juan Quiotepec	2000	10.6%	16.8%	7.6%
Mexico	San Juan Quiotepec	2017	11.6%	19.2%	7.5%
Mexico	San Juan Sayultepec	2000	22.6%	23.9%	21.3%
Mexico	San Juan Sayultepec	2017	24.6%	26.0%	23.2%
Mexico	San Juan Tabaa	2000	25.8%	27.1%	24.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Tabaa	2017	23.7%	24.8%	22.4%
Mexico	San Juan Tamazola	2000	0.5%	1.7%	0.1%
Mexico	San Juan Tamazola	2017	0.6%	2.0%	0.1%
Mexico	San Juan Teita	2000	1.3%	1.7%	1.1%
Mexico	San Juan Teita	2017	1.6%	2.0%	1.3%
Mexico	San Juan Teitipac	2000	7.6%	8.0%	7.3%
Mexico	San Juan Teitipac	2017	8.2%	8.6%	7.8%
Mexico	San Juan Tepeuxila	2000	36.4%	41.3%	32.9%
Mexico	San Juan Tepeuxila	2017	36.2%	38.6%	34.2%
Mexico	San Juan Teposcolula	2000	14.3%	25.3%	9.1%
Mexico	San Juan Teposcolula	2017	15.6%	22.4%	11.9%
Mexico	San Juan Yaee	2000	28.0%	30.1%	26.0%
Mexico	San Juan Yaee	2017	29.5%	31.5%	27.5%
Mexico	San Juan Yat-zona	2000	70.7%	73.3%	68.2%
Mexico	San Juan Yat-zona	2017	80.9%	82.9%	79.0%
Mexico	San Juan Yucuita	2000	26.4%	27.8%	24.9%
Mexico	San Juan Yucuita	2017	28.5%	29.8%	27.0%
Mexico	San Juanito De Escobedo	2000	78.8%	87.0%	64.8%
Mexico	San Juanito De Escobedo	2017	79.7%	89.4%	61.7%
Mexico	San Julian	2000	87.5%	93.1%	78.3%
Mexico	San Julian	2017	89.1%	93.1%	84.7%
Mexico	San Lorenzo	2000	5.8%	6.8%	5.0%
Mexico	San Lorenzo	2017	6.0%	7.0%	5.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Albarradas	2000	8.6%	14.0%	4.1%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Albarradas	2017	7.9%	12.5%	4.1%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Axocomanitla	2000	76.3%	76.6%	75.8%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Axocomanitla	2017	77.9%	78.3%	77.5%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cacaotepec	2000	50.1%	50.7%	49.4%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cacaotepec	2017	57.7%	58.4%	57.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cuaunecuiltla	2000	3.6%	4.1%	3.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cuaunecuiltla	2017	3.6%	4.0%	3.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Texmelucan	2000	17.6%	24.5%	12.8%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Texmelucan	2017	17.8%	26.5%	12.1%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Victoria	2000	40.9%	43.1%	38.8%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Victoria	2017	42.1%	44.2%	40.0%
Mexico	San Lucas	2000	51.2%	71.5%	31.6%
Mexico	San Lucas	2000	51.7%	62.4%	42.0%
Mexico	San Lucas	2017	52.1%	72.6%	31.6%
Mexico	San Lucas	2017	52.9%	61.9%	44.0%
Mexico	San Lucas Camotlan	2000	1.4%	2.8%	0.7%
Mexico	San Lucas Camotlan	2017	1.2%	2.5%	0.5%
Mexico	San Lucas Ojitlan	2000	19.7%	32.7%	7.8%
Mexico	San Lucas Ojitlan	2017	20.6%	34.5%	8.6%
Mexico	San Lucas Quiavini	2000	37.3%	38.8%	36.2%
Mexico	San Lucas Quiavini	2017	32.5%	34.2%	31.2%
Mexico	San Lucas Tecopilco	2000	62.2%	65.2%	58.8%
Mexico	San Lucas Tecopilco	2017	64.1%	67.1%	60.6%
Mexico	San Lucas Zoquiapam	2000	6.1%	6.5%	5.7%
Mexico	San Lucas Zoquiapam	2017	6.5%	7.0%	6.1%
Mexico	San Luis Acatlan	2000	19.8%	53.0%	2.2%
Mexico	San Luis Acatlan	2017	20.1%	53.4%	2.6%
Mexico	San Luis Amatlan	2000	6.3%	26.9%	2.1%
Mexico	San Luis Amatlan	2017	5.3%	17.8%	2.3%
Mexico	San Luis De La Paz	2000	54.5%	80.0%	30.0%
Mexico	San Luis De La Paz	2017	54.6%	85.7%	24.9%
Mexico	San Luis Del Cordero	2000	68.6%	87.0%	46.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Luis Del Cordero	2017	69.4%	91.9%	35.7%
Mexico	San Luis Potosi	2000	90.7%	95.0%	82.0%
Mexico	San Luis Potosi	2017	90.5%	95.3%	80.3%
Mexico	San Luis Rio Colorado	2000	78.2%	86.1%	68.0%
Mexico	San Luis Rio Colorado	2017	79.4%	87.9%	66.8%
Mexico	San Marcial Ozolotepec	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Mexico	San Marcial Ozolotepec	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Mexico	San Marcos	2000	88.5%	96.6%	71.0%
Mexico	San Marcos	2000	39.5%	68.4%	13.9%
Mexico	San Marcos	2017	90.2%	95.3%	83.0%
Mexico	San Marcos	2017	39.1%	64.3%	17.6%
Mexico	San Marcos Arteaga	2000	73.6%	74.9%	70.1%
Mexico	San Marcos Arteaga	2017	62.8%	64.0%	59.9%
Mexico	San Martin Chalchicautla	2000	28.0%	50.1%	13.1%
Mexico	San Martin Chalchicautla	2017	27.3%	48.3%	13.4%
Mexico	San Martin De Bolanos	2000	45.5%	83.5%	10.0%
Mexico	San Martin De Bolanos	2017	46.7%	83.3%	10.4%
Mexico	San Martin De Las Piramides	2000	75.9%	76.4%	75.4%
Mexico	San Martin De Las Piramides	2017	79.5%	80.0%	79.1%
Mexico	San Martin De Los Cansecos	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Mexico	San Martin De Los Cansecos	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Mexico	San Martin Hidalgo	2000	80.1%	94.7%	52.6%
Mexico	San Martin Hidalgo	2017	81.3%	95.1%	54.8%
Mexico	San Martin Huamelulpam	2000	2.2%	2.7%	1.8%
Mexico	San Martin Huamelulpam	2017	2.9%	3.4%	2.4%
Mexico	San Martin Itunyoso	2000	1.5%	4.0%	0.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Martin Itunyoso	2017	1.9%	5.0%	0.5%
Mexico	San Martin Lachila	2000	1.7%	2.1%	1.4%
Mexico	San Martin Lachila	2017	1.8%	2.2%	1.5%
Mexico	San Martin Peras	2000	0.8%	1.4%	0.4%
Mexico	San Martin Peras	2017	1.0%	2.0%	0.5%
Mexico	San Martin Texmelucan	2000	82.1%	82.7%	81.5%
Mexico	San Martin Texmelucan	2017	81.5%	82.0%	81.0%
Mexico	San Martin Tilcajete	2000	26.9%	28.3%	25.7%
Mexico	San Martin Tilcajete	2017	29.3%	30.8%	28.0%
Mexico	San Martin Totoltepec	2000	52.6%	53.9%	51.3%
Mexico	San Martin Totoltepec	2017	54.7%	56.1%	53.5%
Mexico	San Martin Toxpalan	2000	20.2%	22.6%	17.9%
Mexico	San Martin Toxpalan	2017	21.1%	23.6%	18.9%
Mexico	San Martin Zacatepec	2000	13.6%	14.7%	12.6%
Mexico	San Martin Zacatepec	2017	13.3%	14.4%	12.4%
Mexico	San Mateo Atenco	2000	68.5%	70.5%	66.1%
Mexico	San Mateo Atenco	2017	68.8%	71.0%	65.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Cajonos	2000	41.6%	43.1%	40.2%
Mexico	San Mateo Cajonos	2017	43.4%	44.9%	41.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Del Mar	2000	21.6%	66.8%	6.4%
Mexico	San Mateo Del Mar	2017	23.3%	62.5%	8.6%
Mexico	San Mateo Etlatongo	2000	39.4%	41.0%	37.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Etlatongo	2017	31.5%	33.0%	30.2%
Mexico	San Mateo Nejapam	2000	11.7%	12.9%	10.6%
Mexico	San Mateo Nejapam	2017	12.8%	14.1%	11.6%
Mexico	San Mateo Peñasco	2000	2.2%	2.8%	1.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Mateo Peñasco	2017	2.5%	3.1%	1.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Pinas	2000	2.9%	7.9%	0.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Pinas	2017	4.8%	15.3%	0.7%
Mexico	San Mateo Rio Hondo	2000	1.2%	3.4%	0.5%
Mexico	San Mateo Rio Hondo	2017	1.3%	3.6%	0.6%
Mexico	San Mateo Sindihui	2000	4.8%	9.7%	2.3%
Mexico	San Mateo Sindihui	2017	5.7%	6.7%	4.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Tlapiltepec	2000	27.1%	29.8%	24.7%
Mexico	San Mateo Tlapiltepec	2017	32.2%	34.6%	29.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Yoloxochitlan	2000	11.9%	12.5%	11.3%
Mexico	San Mateo Yoloxochitlan	2017	10.7%	11.3%	10.2%
Mexico	San Matias Tlalancaleca	2000	74.6%	78.8%	70.3%
Mexico	San Matias Tlalancaleca	2017	71.5%	76.7%	66.1%
Mexico	San Melchor Betaza	2000	7.8%	8.7%	6.9%
Mexico	San Melchor Betaza	2017	5.3%	6.2%	4.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Achiutla	2000	8.4%	9.5%	7.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Achiutla	2017	8.2%	9.3%	7.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Ahuehuetitlan	2000	21.0%	24.6%	18.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Ahuehuetitlan	2017	18.8%	20.7%	16.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Aloapam	2000	12.1%	14.0%	10.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Aloapam	2017	15.7%	17.6%	14.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatitlan	2000	4.1%	5.0%	3.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatitlan	2017	3.9%	4.8%	3.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatlan	2000	21.4%	29.2%	19.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatlan	2017	22.2%	29.7%	19.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Miguel Chicahua	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Chicahua	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Chimalapa	2000	62.8%	95.3%	19.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Chimalapa	2017	63.8%	94.4%	22.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Coatlan	2000	6.2%	7.9%	5.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Coatlan	2017	6.8%	8.9%	5.4%
Mexico	San Miguel De Allende	2000	60.5%	82.8%	34.6%
Mexico	San Miguel De Allende	2017	61.4%	85.4%	37.2%
Mexico	San Miguel De Horcasitas	2000	53.9%	92.3%	13.8%
Mexico	San Miguel De Horcasitas	2017	50.2%	76.0%	22.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Puerto	2000	20.4%	53.2%	2.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Puerto	2017	19.9%	52.2%	2.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Rio	2000	75.5%	77.0%	74.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Rio	2017	77.0%	79.1%	75.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Ejutla	2000	93.9%	94.5%	93.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Ejutla	2017	81.4%	82.0%	80.8%
Mexico	San Miguel El Alto	2000	84.2%	93.0%	70.6%
Mexico	San Miguel El Alto	2017	84.2%	93.4%	69.8%
Mexico	San Miguel El Grande	2000	4.2%	5.1%	3.4%
Mexico	San Miguel El Grande	2017	6.4%	7.3%	5.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Huautla	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Huautla	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Ixitlan	2000	30.0%	45.0%	20.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Ixitlan	2017	54.5%	60.6%	50.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Mixtepec	2000	8.4%	9.3%	7.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Mixtepec	2017	5.0%	5.8%	4.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Miguel Panixt-lahuaca	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Panixt-lahuaca	2017	2.6%	4.4%	1.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Peras	2000	2.9%	5.0%	1.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Peras	2017	3.7%	6.5%	1.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Piedras	2000	0.9%	1.9%	0.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Piedras	2017	1.1%	2.2%	0.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Quetzaltepec	2000	25.1%	29.3%	20.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Quetzaltepec	2017	29.8%	34.6%	24.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Santa Flor	2000	2.1%	2.6%	1.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Santa Flor	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Soyaltepec	2000	24.4%	43.4%	8.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Soyaltepec	2017	25.0%	44.3%	8.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Suchixtepec	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Suchixtepec	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Tecomatlan	2000	7.3%	8.5%	6.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Tecomatlan	2017	7.6%	8.8%	6.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Tenango	2000	44.0%	82.4%	8.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Tenango	2017	45.1%	84.6%	8.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Tequixtepec	2000	18.7%	26.3%	14.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Tequixtepec	2017	19.1%	26.3%	14.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Tilquiapam	2000	11.0%	12.8%	9.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Tilquiapam	2017	10.5%	12.2%	8.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacamama	2000	8.3%	10.3%	6.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacamama	2017	8.2%	11.2%	6.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacotepec	2000	7.2%	8.7%	5.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacotepec	2017	8.5%	10.0%	7.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Totolapan	2000	19.9%	48.5%	3.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Totolapan	2017	20.4%	44.8%	4.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Tulancingo	2000	45.2%	48.0%	42.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Tulancingo	2017	48.0%	50.3%	45.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Xoxtla	2000	63.5%	64.1%	62.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Xoxtla	2017	67.3%	67.8%	66.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Yotao	2000	10.1%	11.1%	8.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Yotao	2017	11.0%	12.1%	9.7%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2000	8.0%	9.3%	6.8%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2000	6.7%	26.4%	0.5%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2017	9.0%	10.6%	7.6%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2017	9.3%	32.5%	0.5%
Mexico	San Nicolas Buenos Aires	2000	32.7%	54.8%	13.0%
Mexico	San Nicolas Buenos Aires	2017	33.9%	57.0%	13.2%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Garza	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Garza	2017	98.2%	98.4%	97.8%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Ranchos	2000	67.0%	67.8%	66.3%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Ranchos	2017	67.1%	67.8%	66.4%
Mexico	San Nicolas Hidalgo	2000	17.0%	18.3%	16.0%
Mexico	San Nicolas Hidalgo	2017	17.0%	18.4%	16.0%
Mexico	San Nicolas Tolentino	2000	43.4%	73.9%	12.4%
Mexico	San Nicolas Tolentino	2017	45.0%	77.7%	12.0%
Mexico	San Pablo Anicano	2000	52.3%	58.3%	46.0%
Mexico	San Pablo Anicano	2017	54.8%	59.4%	50.0%
Mexico	San Pablo Coatlan	2000	3.6%	6.2%	2.1%
Mexico	San Pablo Coatlan	2017	3.5%	5.6%	2.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pablo Cuatro Venados	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Cuatro Venados	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Del Monte	2000	82.9%	85.3%	79.1%
Mexico	San Pablo Del Monte	2017	83.7%	85.3%	81.1%
Mexico	San Pablo Etna	2000	63.2%	64.1%	62.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Etna	2017	65.2%	66.0%	64.3%
Mexico	San Pablo Huitzo	2000	29.4%	30.3%	28.5%
Mexico	San Pablo Huitzo	2017	31.0%	31.9%	30.2%
Mexico	San Pablo Huixtepec	2000	13.4%	14.1%	12.8%
Mexico	San Pablo Huixtepec	2017	14.5%	15.2%	13.9%
Mexico	San Pablo Macuiltian-guis	2000	7.9%	10.2%	5.9%
Mexico	San Pablo Macuiltian-guis	2017	5.7%	7.0%	4.6%
Mexico	San Pablo Tijaltepec	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.3%
Mexico	San Pablo Tijaltepec	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.3%
Mexico	San Pablo Villa De Mitla	2000	75.2%	81.1%	66.3%
Mexico	San Pablo Villa De Mitla	2017	75.6%	78.8%	71.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Yaganiza	2000	56.9%	58.3%	55.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Yaganiza	2017	58.5%	60.0%	57.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Amuzgos	2000	62.2%	90.9%	23.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Amuzgos	2017	63.4%	90.7%	26.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Apostol	2000	5.8%	9.2%	3.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Apostol	2017	7.4%	10.8%	5.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2000	13.6%	15.0%	12.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2017	14.2%	15.7%	12.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2000	9.6%	10.7%	8.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2017	10.7%	11.7%	9.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Cajonos	2000	59.5%	62.2%	57.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Cajonos	2017	64.3%	66.5%	62.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Cholula	2000	54.8%	55.6%	54.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Cholula	2017	56.0%	56.7%	55.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Comitancillo	2000	81.2%	83.0%	79.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Comitancillo	2017	83.7%	85.1%	82.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Coxcaltepec	2000	17.4%	20.5%	14.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Coxcaltepec	2017	12.1%	14.5%	9.9%
Mexico	San Pedro De La Cueva	2000	54.4%	92.4%	9.1%
Mexico	San Pedro De La Cueva	2017	56.2%	89.0%	16.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Del Gallo	2000	60.4%	94.8%	29.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Del Gallo	2017	63.9%	91.3%	32.0%
Mexico	San Pedro El Alto	2000	2.5%	3.2%	2.0%
Mexico	San Pedro El Alto	2017	3.1%	4.5%	2.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Garza Garcia	2000	99.1%	99.2%	99.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Garza Garcia	2017	99.1%	99.1%	99.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Huamelula	2000	48.2%	75.0%	22.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Huamelula	2017	48.6%	74.3%	23.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Huilotepec	2000	49.6%	53.3%	45.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Huilotepec	2017	52.2%	54.6%	49.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixcatlan	2000	18.4%	28.1%	11.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixcatlan	2017	19.5%	28.8%	13.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixtlahuaca	2000	26.0%	26.6%	25.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixtlahuaca	2017	20.7%	21.6%	19.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Jaltepetongo	2000	37.9%	41.9%	33.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Jaltepetongo	2017	65.3%	78.2%	49.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Jicayan	2000	8.9%	9.6%	8.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Jicayan	2017	9.8%	10.6%	9.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Jicotipac	2000	31.4%	38.2%	24.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Jicotipac	2017	21.4%	25.9%	17.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Juchatengo	2000	35.8%	48.0%	25.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Juchatengo	2017	37.2%	49.5%	26.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Lagunillas	2000	68.0%	93.1%	34.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Lagunillas	2017	68.0%	93.9%	33.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir	2000	25.6%	26.4%	25.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir	2017	24.6%	25.5%	23.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Quiechapa	2000	59.9%	68.7%	49.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Quiechapa	2017	47.4%	61.0%	33.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Yucuxaco	2000	7.1%	8.7%	5.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Yucuxaco	2017	7.4%	9.1%	5.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 22 -	2000	43.9%	69.9%	15.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 22 -	2017	42.8%	64.5%	19.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2000	8.5%	13.4%	5.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2017	8.9%	14.1%	5.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Molinos	2000	5.0%	5.8%	4.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Molinos	2017	5.3%	6.1%	4.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Nopala	2000	41.4%	43.9%	38.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Nopala	2017	40.7%	43.4%	38.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocopetatillo	2000	4.7%	5.3%	4.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocopetatillo	2017	5.2%	5.7%	4.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocoteppec	2000	2.3%	3.6%	1.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocoteppec	2017	2.4%	3.7%	1.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Pochutla	2000	54.6%	78.9%	18.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Pochutla	2017	51.7%	74.7%	22.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Quiatoni	2000	15.6%	27.9%	3.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Quiatoni	2017	16.1%	29.2%	3.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Sochiapam	2000	8.5%	11.4%	6.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Sochiapam	2017	8.7%	10.9%	6.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Tapanatepec	2000	67.6%	84.7%	43.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Tapanatepec	2017	69.7%	84.1%	47.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Taviche	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Taviche	2017	2.0%	2.7%	1.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Teozacoalco	2000	3.1%	5.4%	2.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Teozacoalco	2017	3.0%	5.5%	1.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Teutila	2000	20.6%	24.1%	16.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Teutila	2017	19.1%	22.6%	15.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Tidaa	2000	7.1%	7.7%	6.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Tidaa	2017	8.6%	9.3%	7.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Topiltepec	2000	20.0%	21.0%	18.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Topiltepec	2017	21.2%	22.3%	19.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Totolapa	2000	15.4%	36.8%	3.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Totolapa	2017	12.7%	25.9%	2.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Ayutla	2000	21.2%	26.9%	13.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Ayutla	2017	20.5%	26.1%	12.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Teposcolula	2000	32.1%	34.4%	29.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Teposcolula	2017	33.3%	35.7%	30.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Tequixtepec	2000	29.4%	45.4%	15.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Tequixtepec	2017	29.4%	44.2%	16.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Yaneri	2000	23.3%	25.2%	21.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Yaneri	2017	29.3%	31.9%	26.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Yeloixt-lahuaca	2000	53.2%	64.5%	41.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Yeloixt-lahuaca	2017	48.8%	57.4%	39.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Yolox	2000	12.8%	19.7%	8.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Yolox	2017	11.0%	17.8%	7.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Yucunama	2000	16.0%	18.4%	13.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Yucunama	2017	17.5%	20.1%	15.2%
Mexico	San Rafael	2000	55.5%	94.9%	6.3%
Mexico	San Rafael	2017	56.5%	95.1%	6.7%
Mexico	San Raymundo Jalpan	2000	25.9%	26.6%	25.1%
Mexico	San Raymundo Jalpan	2017	27.7%	28.4%	26.8%
Mexico	San Salvador	2000	58.2%	67.7%	46.4%
Mexico	San Salvador	2017	60.7%	68.0%	50.9%
Mexico	San Salvador El Seco	2000	26.0%	32.2%	21.4%
Mexico	San Salvador El Seco	2017	24.1%	28.7%	20.2%
Mexico	San Salvador El Verde	2000	51.6%	60.5%	44.6%
Mexico	San Salvador El Verde	2017	54.8%	61.1%	49.3%
Mexico	San Salvador Huixcolotla	2000	46.8%	47.5%	46.1%
Mexico	San Salvador Huixcolotla	2017	57.9%	58.7%	57.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Sebastian Abasolo	2000	14.1%	14.7%	13.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Abasolo	2017	17.2%	17.8%	16.4%
Mexico	San Sebastian Coatlan	2000	3.7%	11.2%	0.7%
Mexico	San Sebastian Coatlan	2017	3.9%	12.1%	0.9%
Mexico	San Sebastian Del Oeste	2000	56.0%	90.6%	22.6%
Mexico	San Sebastian Del Oeste	2017	56.6%	90.9%	21.1%
Mexico	San Sebastian Ixcapa	2000	23.2%	31.4%	15.7%
Mexico	San Sebastian Ixcapa	2017	25.2%	30.8%	20.6%
Mexico	San Sebastian Nicananduta	2000	77.8%	80.4%	75.3%
Mexico	San Sebastian Nicananduta	2017	76.6%	79.0%	74.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Rio Hondo	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mexico	San Sebastian Rio Hondo	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tecomaxt-lahuaca	2000	15.3%	31.3%	6.3%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tecomaxt-lahuaca	2017	16.5%	32.7%	7.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Teitipac	2000	7.4%	7.9%	6.9%
Mexico	San Sebastian Teitipac	2017	8.8%	9.2%	8.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tlacotepec	2000	11.7%	33.9%	1.8%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tlacotepec	2017	11.7%	32.4%	2.0%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tutla	2000	79.2%	79.6%	78.8%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tutla	2017	79.9%	80.2%	79.5%
Mexico	San Simon Almolongas	2000	8.2%	10.4%	7.1%
Mexico	San Simon Almolongas	2017	8.2%	11.0%	6.9%
Mexico	San Simon De Guerrero	2000	23.2%	34.0%	14.1%
Mexico	San Simon De Guerrero	2017	24.1%	35.7%	15.0%
Mexico	San Simon Zahuatlan	2000	3.2%	3.8%	2.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Simon Zahuatlan	2017	3.5%	4.1%	3.0%
Mexico	San Vicente Coatlan	2000	1.8%	4.0%	0.9%
Mexico	San Vicente Coatlan	2017	2.1%	4.3%	1.2%
Mexico	San Vicente Lachixio	2000	2.5%	4.2%	1.6%
Mexico	San Vicente Lachixio	2017	2.0%	2.8%	1.5%
Mexico	San Vicente Nunu	2000	22.4%	24.6%	20.1%
Mexico	San Vicente Nunu	2017	24.6%	26.9%	22.6%
Mexico	San Vicente Tancuayalab	2000	30.7%	57.7%	7.9%
Mexico	San Vicente Tancuayalab	2017	30.3%	56.7%	8.5%
Mexico	Sanahcat	2000	4.3%	5.0%	3.7%
Mexico	Sanahcat	2017	4.5%	5.2%	3.8%
Mexico	Sanctorum De Lazaro Cardenas	2000	88.4%	90.0%	86.1%
Mexico	Sanctorum De Lazaro Cardenas	2017	89.4%	91.2%	86.6%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2000	85.7%	93.5%	74.4%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2000	18.3%	20.6%	16.2%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2017	18.6%	20.6%	16.7%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2017	85.1%	95.2%	68.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana Ateixtlahuaca	2000	7.3%	9.3%	5.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Ateixtlahuaca	2017	5.3%	6.8%	4.2%
Mexico	Santa Ana Cuauhtemoc	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Mexico	Santa Ana Cuauhtemoc	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Mexico	Santa Ana Del Valle	2000	35.1%	36.1%	34.1%
Mexico	Santa Ana Del Valle	2017	33.7%	34.8%	32.7%
Mexico	Santa Ana Maya	2000	66.8%	87.3%	40.1%
Mexico	Santa Ana Maya	2017	69.4%	87.4%	45.6%
Mexico	Santa Ana Nopalucan	2000	58.0%	58.8%	57.2%
Mexico	Santa Ana Nopalucan	2017	59.9%	60.6%	59.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tavela	2000	4.5%	7.0%	2.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Ana Tavela	2017	4.9%	7.6%	3.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tlapacoyan	2000	38.9%	40.9%	36.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tlapacoyan	2017	44.2%	46.3%	41.7%
Mexico	Santa Ana Yareni	2000	8.5%	9.9%	7.3%
Mexico	Santa Ana Yareni	2017	9.6%	11.1%	8.3%
Mexico	Santa Ana Zegache	2000	22.6%	23.4%	21.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Zegache	2017	26.7%	27.5%	26.0%
Mexico	Santa Apolonia Teacalco	2000	72.6%	73.2%	72.0%
Mexico	Santa Apolonia Teacalco	2017	74.3%	74.8%	73.7%
Mexico	Santa Barbara	2000	82.0%	89.6%	71.4%
Mexico	Santa Barbara	2017	83.9%	89.5%	76.8%
Mexico	Santa Catalina Quieri	2000	33.4%	40.7%	25.9%
Mexico	Santa Catalina Quieri	2017	38.0%	44.2%	31.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	96.2%	98.5%	91.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	34.8%	75.1%	4.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	26.9%	49.9%	10.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	96.4%	98.5%	92.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	35.0%	73.7%	5.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	27.6%	49.3%	11.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ayometla	2000	79.9%	80.3%	79.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ayometla	2017	81.0%	81.4%	80.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Cuixtla	2000	26.2%	27.8%	24.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Cuixtla	2017	27.2%	28.8%	25.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ixtepeji	2000	43.2%	44.3%	42.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ixtepeji	2017	44.3%	45.3%	43.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Juquila	2000	10.5%	22.9%	2.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Catarina Juquila	2017	11.3%	24.4%	3.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Lachatao	2000	16.6%	19.2%	15.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Lachatao	2017	16.3%	19.4%	15.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Loxicha	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Loxicha	2017	2.0%	4.2%	1.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Mechoacacan	2000	3.3%	3.9%	2.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Mechoacacan	2017	2.6%	3.1%	2.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Minas	2000	8.7%	9.2%	8.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Minas	2017	8.3%	8.8%	7.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiane	2000	35.9%	36.8%	34.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiane	2017	41.1%	42.1%	40.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quioquitani	2000	2.2%	3.1%	1.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quioquitani	2017	2.4%	3.5%	1.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tayata	2000	9.8%	10.8%	8.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tayata	2017	10.5%	11.5%	9.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ticua	2000	3.1%	3.5%	2.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ticua	2017	3.9%	4.4%	3.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tlaltempan	2000	16.4%	18.8%	13.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tlaltempan	2017	15.0%	16.8%	13.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Yosonotu	2000	0.6%	1.3%	0.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Yosonotu	2017	0.6%	1.5%	0.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Zapotilla	2000	30.4%	65.3%	9.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Catarina Zapotilla	2017	30.9%	64.5%	10.2%
Mexico	Santa Clara	2000	54.4%	81.9%	27.6%
Mexico	Santa Clara	2017	56.7%	84.0%	30.4%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	67.5%	97.1%	21.4%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	71.8%	97.4%	31.8%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Acatepec	2000	10.3%	10.8%	9.7%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Acatepec	2017	10.0%	10.6%	9.5%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Amilpas	2000	80.0%	80.4%	79.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Amilpas	2017	80.8%	81.2%	80.4%
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Bravo	2000	11.2%	11.9%	10.4%
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Bravo	2017	16.0%	16.9%	15.1%
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Juventino Rosas	2000	77.9%	89.0%	59.5%
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Juventino Rosas	2017	80.1%	90.7%	62.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Itundujia	2000	1.8%	9.3%	0.2%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Itundujia	2017	2.0%	9.6%	0.2%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Mixtepec	2000	40.7%	42.3%	39.0%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Mixtepec	2017	36.5%	37.8%	35.2%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Nundaco	2000	2.9%	6.2%	1.2%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Nundaco	2017	2.6%	5.4%	1.2%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Papalutla	2000	5.3%	5.7%	4.9%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Papalutla	2017	6.1%	6.5%	5.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Quilehltla	2000	75.6%	76.2%	75.1%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Quilehltla	2017	77.6%	78.1%	77.2%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tacache De Mina	2000	55.7%	58.8%	52.7%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tacache De Mina	2017	56.4%	60.4%	52.3%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tacahua	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tacahua	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tayata	2000	6.3%	7.1%	5.5%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tayata	2017	7.8%	8.8%	6.9%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tlaxcala	2000	73.6%	74.1%	73.0%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tlaxcala	2017	73.7%	74.2%	73.1%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xitla	2000	24.2%	25.6%	23.0%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xitla	2017	27.3%	28.5%	26.2%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xoxocotlan	2000	68.5%	69.0%	68.1%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xoxocotlan	2017	68.0%	68.5%	67.5%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Zenzontepec	2000	0.4%	1.6%	0.1%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Zenzontepec	2017	0.4%	1.5%	0.1%
Mexico	Santa Elena	2000	33.9%	57.3%	17.7%
Mexico	Santa Elena	2017	36.5%	60.9%	17.1%
Mexico	Santa Gertrudis	2000	42.9%	45.0%	40.9%
Mexico	Santa Gertrudis	2017	42.7%	44.6%	40.8%
Mexico	Santa Ines Ahuatempan	2000	31.1%	43.0%	22.1%
Mexico	Santa Ines Ahuatempan	2017	32.1%	45.1%	23.0%
Mexico	Santa Ines De Zaragoza	2000	1.1%	2.7%	0.3%
Mexico	Santa Ines De Zaragoza	2017	1.6%	5.4%	0.4%
Mexico	Santa Ines Del Monte	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Mexico	Santa Ines Del Monte	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Mexico	Santa Ines Yatzeche	2000	10.7%	11.4%	10.1%
Mexico	Santa Ines Yatzeche	2017	11.5%	12.2%	10.9%
Mexico	Santa Isabel	2000	77.9%	92.5%	56.8%
Mexico	Santa Isabel	2017	78.4%	93.3%	58.5%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Cholula	2000	38.4%	40.6%	36.4%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Cholula	2017	41.8%	44.2%	39.7%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Xiloxotla	2000	79.5%	79.9%	79.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Isabel Xiloxotla	2017	81.2%	81.6%	80.9%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Del Camino	2000	81.2%	81.6%	80.8%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Del Camino	2017	82.4%	82.7%	82.0%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Miahuatlan	2000	1.7%	2.1%	1.3%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Miahuatlan	2017	2.1%	2.7%	1.6%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Monteverde	2000	1.7%	3.9%	0.5%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Monteverde	2017	2.7%	7.6%	0.6%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Ocotlan	2000	12.6%	13.5%	11.8%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Ocotlan	2017	12.9%	13.7%	12.1%
Mexico	Santa Magdalena Jicotlan	2000	39.9%	43.3%	36.3%
Mexico	Santa Magdalena Jicotlan	2017	41.8%	44.7%	38.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Alotepec	2000	3.4%	4.1%	2.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Alotepec	2017	3.9%	4.7%	3.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Apazco	2000	0.7%	2.4%	0.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Apazco	2017	0.7%	2.4%	0.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Atzompa	2000	53.4%	54.1%	52.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Atzompa	2017	57.3%	58.0%	56.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Camotlan	2000	12.4%	16.1%	9.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Camotlan	2017	12.5%	16.6%	9.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chachoapam	2000	19.8%	22.0%	18.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chachoapam	2017	20.2%	22.8%	18.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chilchotla	2000	22.6%	33.5%	15.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chilchotla	2017	20.5%	30.9%	13.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chimalapa	2000	52.3%	79.1%	23.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chimalapa	2017	55.3%	80.6%	23.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Colotepec	2000	40.3%	68.6%	7.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Colotepec	2017	40.4%	67.2%	9.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Cortijo	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Cortijo	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Coyotepec	2000	31.9%	32.7%	31.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Coyotepec	2017	34.8%	35.7%	34.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria De La Paz	2000	56.5%	98.1%	3.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria De La Paz	2017	57.3%	97.9%	4.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria De Los Angeles	2000	46.3%	67.8%	27.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria De Los Angeles	2017	48.7%	69.8%	28.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2000	65.2%	90.2%	33.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2000	29.9%	59.0%	6.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2017	67.1%	90.0%	38.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2017	35.2%	75.1%	5.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rio	2000	50.1%	84.7%	19.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rio	2017	50.3%	86.0%	19.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rosario	2000	4.9%	5.5%	4.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rosario	2017	6.3%	7.2%	5.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Tule	2000	73.0%	73.5%	72.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Tule	2017	58.3%	58.9%	57.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ecatepec	2000	42.8%	67.9%	21.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ecatepec	2017	44.3%	70.6%	22.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guelace	2000	24.4%	25.5%	23.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guelace	2017	27.1%	28.2%	25.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guienagati	2000	17.2%	29.8%	9.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Guienagati	2017	19.1%	34.9%	9.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huatulco	2000	61.9%	92.2%	18.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huatulco	2017	61.4%	90.6%	19.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huazolotitlan	2000	25.0%	49.9%	7.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huazolotitlan	2017	27.2%	53.7%	7.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ipalapa	2000	16.2%	27.1%	8.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ipalapa	2017	14.7%	24.2%	8.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ixcatlan	2000	13.2%	23.5%	6.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ixcatlan	2017	15.6%	26.0%	7.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jacatepec	2000	21.7%	46.9%	6.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jacatepec	2017	22.8%	47.3%	6.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jalapa Del Marques	2000	61.7%	91.9%	13.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jalapa Del Marques	2017	62.8%	92.1%	14.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jaltianguis	2000	47.9%	50.1%	46.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jaltianguis	2017	51.6%	53.8%	49.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria La Asuncion	2000	8.6%	9.3%	8.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria La Asuncion	2017	9.4%	10.1%	8.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Lachixio	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Lachixio	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Mixtequilla	2000	73.3%	77.8%	66.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Mixtequilla	2017	72.5%	79.6%	63.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nativitas	2000	6.5%	10.3%	3.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nativitas	2017	6.7%	9.8%	4.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nduayaco	2000	15.6%	17.0%	14.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nduayaco	2017	18.7%	20.1%	17.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Ozolotepec	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ozolotepec	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Papalo	2000	3.0%	3.9%	2.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Papalo	2017	4.0%	5.2%	3.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Penoles	2000	9.2%	14.9%	5.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Penoles	2017	6.3%	10.8%	4.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Petapa	2000	69.5%	78.2%	57.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Petapa	2017	69.7%	80.0%	54.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Quiegolani	2000	10.4%	16.6%	6.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Quiegolani	2017	11.3%	18.0%	7.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Sola	2000	15.0%	20.7%	11.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Sola	2017	15.6%	21.5%	11.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tataltepec	2000	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tataltepec	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tecomavaca	2000	17.9%	31.6%	9.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tecomavaca	2017	21.9%	37.9%	9.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcalapa	2000	68.3%	70.7%	65.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcalapa	2017	74.7%	75.9%	73.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcaltepec	2000	5.5%	7.0%	4.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcaltepec	2017	4.8%	5.9%	3.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Teopoxco	2000	9.7%	10.4%	9.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Teopoxco	2017	9.8%	10.6%	9.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tepantlali	2000	2.8%	3.5%	2.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tepantlali	2017	3.2%	3.9%	2.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Texcatitlan	2000	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Texcatitlan	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlahuitoltepec	2000	9.3%	9.9%	8.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlahuitoltepec	2017	6.4%	6.7%	6.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlalixtac	2000	12.9%	15.7%	10.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlalixtac	2017	13.4%	16.2%	11.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tonameca	2000	24.3%	51.2%	2.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tonameca	2017	22.8%	46.6%	1.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Totolapilla	2000	10.4%	24.1%	3.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Totolapilla	2017	11.2%	26.7%	3.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Xadani	2000	70.1%	79.9%	59.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Xadani	2017	61.0%	79.0%	42.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yalina	2000	23.0%	24.6%	21.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yalina	2017	28.4%	30.5%	26.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yavesia	2000	12.1%	12.9%	11.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yavesia	2017	16.5%	18.3%	15.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yolotepec	2000	2.1%	2.6%	1.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yolotepec	2017	3.3%	4.8%	2.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yosoyua	2000	3.2%	3.6%	2.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yosoyua	2017	3.2%	3.6%	2.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yucuhiti	2000	4.8%	6.8%	3.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yucuhiti	2017	5.7%	7.6%	4.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zacatepec	2000	15.1%	32.2%	6.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zacatepec	2017	15.4%	32.8%	6.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zaniza	2000	3.0%	4.4%	2.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zaniza	2017	3.2%	4.4%	2.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Zoquitlan	2000	21.1%	37.9%	8.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zoquitlan	2017	21.6%	37.9%	9.4%
Mexico	Santiago	2000	85.5%	96.9%	65.8%
Mexico	Santiago	2017	86.8%	96.9%	69.9%
Mexico	Santiago Amoltepec	2000	0.3%	1.3%	0.1%
Mexico	Santiago Amoltepec	2017	0.4%	1.5%	0.1%
Mexico	Santiago Apoala	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Mexico	Santiago Apoala	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Mexico	Santiago Apostol	2000	25.4%	26.0%	24.9%
Mexico	Santiago Apostol	2017	30.1%	30.7%	29.5%
Mexico	Santiago Astata	2000	48.9%	58.6%	39.4%
Mexico	Santiago Astata	2017	51.3%	62.5%	38.6%
Mexico	Santiago Atitlan	2000	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Mexico	Santiago Atitlan	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Mexico	Santiago Ayuquillilla	2000	13.3%	15.4%	11.5%
Mexico	Santiago Ayuquillilla	2017	15.5%	18.5%	12.4%
Mexico	Santiago Cacaloxtepec	2000	37.3%	39.5%	34.9%
Mexico	Santiago Cacaloxtepec	2017	34.6%	36.8%	32.0%
Mexico	Santiago Camotlan	2000	66.0%	87.0%	49.8%
Mexico	Santiago Camotlan	2017	77.4%	88.3%	65.3%
Mexico	Santiago Chazumba	2000	27.7%	39.8%	16.5%
Mexico	Santiago Chazumba	2017	29.8%	44.1%	17.2%
Mexico	Santiago Choapam	2000	6.8%	12.1%	4.5%
Mexico	Santiago Choapam	2017	7.0%	16.9%	4.2%
Mexico	Santiago Comaltepec	2000	23.0%	33.2%	11.9%
Mexico	Santiago Comaltepec	2017	21.6%	33.2%	10.2%
Mexico	Santiago De Anaya	2000	39.6%	57.7%	24.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago De Anaya	2017	40.6%	59.4%	23.5%
Mexico	Santiago Del Rio	2000	7.2%	13.5%	3.2%
Mexico	Santiago Del Rio	2017	8.2%	13.8%	4.5%
Mexico	Santiago El Pinar	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Mexico	Santiago El Pinar	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Mexico	Santiago Huajolotitlan	2000	44.8%	49.6%	41.2%
Mexico	Santiago Huajolotitlan	2017	43.6%	47.9%	40.5%
Mexico	Santiago Huaucilla	2000	18.2%	26.5%	11.1%
Mexico	Santiago Huaucilla	2017	19.8%	34.2%	10.4%
Mexico	Santiago Ihuitlan Plumas	2000	10.5%	13.5%	7.9%
Mexico	Santiago Ihuitlan Plumas	2017	5.8%	6.7%	5.0%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintepec	2000	14.7%	35.4%	5.8%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintepec	2017	15.4%	33.6%	6.7%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintla	2000	62.7%	85.2%	35.4%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintla	2017	64.1%	86.5%	37.6%
Mexico	Santiago Ixtayutla	2000	2.7%	8.8%	0.6%
Mexico	Santiago Ixtayutla	2017	2.2%	6.7%	0.5%
Mexico	Santiago Jamiltepec	2000	16.7%	29.3%	5.5%
Mexico	Santiago Jamiltepec	2017	17.1%	29.9%	5.8%
Mexico	Santiago Jocotepec	2000	11.8%	37.0%	1.6%
Mexico	Santiago Jocotepec	2017	12.3%	38.6%	1.7%
Mexico	Santiago Juxtlahuaca	2000	22.6%	44.9%	8.0%
Mexico	Santiago Juxtlahuaca	2017	19.3%	36.0%	8.2%
Mexico	Santiago Lachiguiri	2000	11.6%	35.3%	1.5%
Mexico	Santiago Lachiguiri	2017	12.6%	37.6%	1.6%
Mexico	Santiago Lalopa	2000	39.6%	42.3%	37.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Lalopa	2017	51.1%	54.0%	48.3%
Mexico	Santiago Laolalaga	2000	58.5%	67.7%	49.5%
Mexico	Santiago Laolalaga	2017	60.9%	69.1%	53.8%
Mexico	Santiago Laxopa	2000	28.7%	30.4%	27.4%
Mexico	Santiago Laxopa	2017	38.9%	40.7%	37.7%
Mexico	Santiago Llano Grande	2000	2.3%	2.8%	1.9%
Mexico	Santiago Llano Grande	2017	3.4%	4.2%	2.8%
Mexico	Santiago Maravatio	2000	72.6%	75.2%	69.5%
Mexico	Santiago Maravatio	2017	74.1%	77.1%	69.2%
Mexico	Santiago Matatlan	2000	54.8%	58.9%	49.0%
Mexico	Santiago Matatlan	2017	47.5%	51.0%	43.1%
Mexico	Santiago Miahuatlan	2000	44.7%	50.0%	40.6%
Mexico	Santiago Miahuatlan	2017	45.4%	51.2%	40.6%
Mexico	Santiago Miltepec	2000	16.6%	21.8%	12.4%
Mexico	Santiago Miltepec	2017	12.8%	14.3%	11.4%
Mexico	Santiago Minas	2000	8.9%	22.6%	3.2%
Mexico	Santiago Minas	2017	8.2%	19.9%	2.8%
Mexico	Santiago Nacaltepec	2000	16.7%	23.9%	8.5%
Mexico	Santiago Nacaltepec	2017	23.3%	32.1%	12.7%
Mexico	Santiago Nejapilla	2000	15.4%	16.3%	14.6%
Mexico	Santiago Nejapilla	2017	19.1%	20.2%	17.9%
Mexico	Santiago Niltepec	2000	73.0%	89.5%	50.2%
Mexico	Santiago Niltepec	2017	74.6%	90.4%	52.3%
Mexico	Santiago Nundiche	2000	1.7%	2.5%	1.1%
Mexico	Santiago Nundiche	2017	2.3%	3.8%	1.5%
Mexico	Santiago Nuyoo	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Nuyoo	2017	1.3%	2.4%	0.8%
Mexico	Santiago Papasquiario	2000	61.0%	81.2%	35.7%
Mexico	Santiago Papasquiario	2017	61.6%	77.0%	43.9%
Mexico	Santiago Pinotepa Nacional	2000	32.4%	50.9%	17.1%
Mexico	Santiago Pinotepa Nacional	2017	30.8%	42.7%	20.7%
Mexico	Santiago Sochiapa	2000	19.8%	62.9%	1.0%
Mexico	Santiago Sochiapa	2017	19.8%	66.9%	0.9%
Mexico	Santiago Suchilquitongo	2000	27.2%	28.2%	26.2%
Mexico	Santiago Suchilquitongo	2017	28.6%	29.6%	27.6%
Mexico	Santiago Tamazola	2000	42.3%	43.9%	40.9%
Mexico	Santiago Tamazola	2017	38.0%	39.2%	37.0%
Mexico	Santiago Tapextla	2000	10.1%	23.5%	3.1%
Mexico	Santiago Tapextla	2017	10.8%	22.3%	4.3%
Mexico	Santiago Tenango	2000	6.4%	7.7%	5.4%
Mexico	Santiago Tenango	2017	6.8%	8.0%	5.8%
Mexico	Santiago Tepetlapa	2000	28.9%	31.9%	26.4%
Mexico	Santiago Tepetlapa	2017	31.2%	34.3%	28.7%
Mexico	Santiago Tetepec	2000	2.6%	8.7%	0.6%
Mexico	Santiago Tetepec	2017	3.0%	9.8%	0.7%
Mexico	Santiago Texcalcingo	2000	6.8%	7.7%	6.0%
Mexico	Santiago Texcalcingo	2017	6.9%	7.9%	6.1%
Mexico	Santiago Textitlan	2000	0.6%	2.0%	0.1%
Mexico	Santiago Textitlan	2017	0.8%	2.5%	0.2%
Mexico	Santiago Tilantongo	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.3%
Mexico	Santiago Tilantongo	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Mexico	Santiago Tillo	2000	21.4%	22.6%	20.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Tillo	2017	23.6%	24.8%	22.3%
Mexico	Santiago Tlazoyaltepec	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Mexico	Santiago Tlazoyaltepec	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%
Mexico	Santiago Tulantepec De Lugo Guerrero	2000	71.3%	78.3%	62.9%
Mexico	Santiago Tulantepec De Lugo Guerrero	2017	71.6%	79.3%	62.6%
Mexico	Santiago Tuxtla	2000	44.7%	70.8%	19.7%
Mexico	Santiago Tuxtla	2017	46.7%	73.4%	19.1%
Mexico	Santiago Xanica	2000	0.6%	1.8%	0.3%
Mexico	Santiago Xanica	2017	0.8%	2.5%	0.3%
Mexico	Santiago Xiacui	2000	24.1%	24.9%	23.5%
Mexico	Santiago Xiacui	2017	26.5%	27.2%	25.9%
Mexico	Santiago Yaitepec	2000	2.3%	3.3%	1.6%
Mexico	Santiago Yaitepec	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.8%
Mexico	Santiago Yaveo	2000	24.0%	52.7%	3.2%
Mexico	Santiago Yaveo	2017	23.3%	51.8%	3.5%
Mexico	Santiago Yolomecatl	2000	58.5%	60.8%	55.7%
Mexico	Santiago Yolomecatl	2017	60.3%	62.5%	57.5%
Mexico	Santiago Yosondua	2000	5.2%	11.8%	2.5%
Mexico	Santiago Yosondua	2017	6.3%	12.5%	2.7%
Mexico	Santiago Yucuyachi	2000	42.1%	45.0%	39.5%
Mexico	Santiago Yucuyachi	2017	35.7%	38.0%	33.5%
Mexico	Santiago Zacatepec	2000	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Mexico	Santiago Zacatepec	2017	0.9%	2.1%	0.4%
Mexico	Santiago Zochila	2000	31.8%	33.0%	30.5%
Mexico	Santiago Zochila	2017	41.2%	42.6%	39.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santo Domingo	2000	35.7%	55.3%	18.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo	2017	37.3%	57.1%	18.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Albarradas	2000	54.4%	66.8%	35.1%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Albarradas	2017	56.8%	69.5%	35.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Armenta	2000	5.5%	19.6%	0.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Armenta	2017	8.8%	16.7%	4.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Chihuitan	2000	74.4%	84.0%	63.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Chihuitan	2017	74.2%	80.8%	66.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo De Morelos	2000	1.3%	7.2%	0.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo De Morelos	2017	1.2%	5.1%	0.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ingenio	2000	63.2%	84.6%	39.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ingenio	2017	62.6%	88.7%	31.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ixcatlan	2000	2.2%	3.1%	1.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ixcatlan	2017	2.6%	4.4%	1.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Nuxaa	2000	3.2%	5.6%	1.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Nuxaa	2017	3.3%	5.0%	2.1%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ozolotepec	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ozolotepec	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santo Domingo Petapa	2000	45.0%	67.2%	28.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Petapa	2017	45.3%	68.0%	28.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Roayaga	2000	6.4%	7.1%	5.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Roayaga	2017	12.3%	14.9%	10.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tehuantepec	2000	75.5%	91.7%	52.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tehuantepec	2017	76.2%	91.7%	54.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Teojomulco	2000	2.9%	6.6%	1.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Teojomulco	2017	4.3%	10.8%	2.1%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tepuxtepec	2000	2.2%	3.5%	1.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tepuxtepec	2017	2.1%	4.1%	1.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tlatayapam	2000	12.1%	12.9%	11.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tlatayapam	2017	13.1%	13.9%	12.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tomaltepec	2000	46.8%	47.8%	45.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tomaltepec	2017	45.2%	46.3%	44.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonala	2000	22.3%	23.8%	20.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonala	2017	23.5%	25.2%	21.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonaltepec	2000	6.0%	8.5%	4.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonaltepec	2017	6.4%	8.6%	5.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Xagacia	2000	59.1%	61.6%	57.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Xagacia	2017	60.7%	63.2%	58.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yanhuitlan	2000	20.1%	22.8%	17.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yanhuitlan	2017	21.8%	24.8%	18.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yodohino	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yodohino	2017	99.6%	99.6%	99.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Zanatepec	2000	61.0%	83.6%	27.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Zanatepec	2017	62.3%	85.4%	28.2%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2000	45.2%	65.0%	23.7%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2017	46.9%	67.4%	23.9%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2000	30.9%	31.7%	30.1%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2017	32.1%	33.0%	31.3%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Jalieza	2000	16.0%	16.9%	15.1%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Jalieza	2017	16.3%	17.2%	15.4%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Mazaltepec	2000	23.9%	24.9%	22.9%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Mazaltepec	2017	35.6%	36.8%	34.4%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Ocotepec	2000	1.4%	3.1%	0.6%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Ocotepec	2017	1.8%	3.6%	0.6%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Tamazulapan	2000	6.0%	7.0%	5.0%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Tamazulapan	2017	6.7%	7.8%	5.6%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Nopala	2000	7.8%	16.1%	3.7%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Nopala	2017	8.6%	18.0%	3.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santos Reyes Papalo	2000	5.9%	6.4%	5.4%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Papalo	2017	5.1%	5.8%	4.6%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Tepejillo	2000	62.1%	73.7%	48.2%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Tepejillo	2017	64.3%	75.9%	50.3%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Yucuna	2000	4.5%	5.3%	3.9%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Yucuna	2017	4.9%	5.6%	4.3%
Mexico	Saric	2000	65.2%	98.9%	7.6%
Mexico	Saric	2017	65.8%	98.9%	7.5%
Mexico	Satevo	2000	63.6%	84.7%	31.7%
Mexico	Satevo	2017	64.6%	86.7%	32.6%
Mexico	Saucillo	2000	68.3%	91.8%	36.6%
Mexico	Saucillo	2017	69.5%	91.5%	39.5%
Mexico	Sayula	2000	90.5%	96.3%	76.4%
Mexico	Sayula	2017	92.6%	95.7%	87.1%
Mexico	Sayula De Aleman	2000	64.9%	81.5%	49.2%
Mexico	Sayula De Aleman	2017	67.0%	84.2%	52.3%
Mexico	Senguio	2000	27.8%	45.9%	15.2%
Mexico	Senguio	2017	30.5%	49.6%	16.6%
Mexico	Seye	2000	20.9%	36.2%	13.8%
Mexico	Seye	2017	22.5%	26.6%	19.9%
Mexico	Sierra Mojada	2000	61.8%	87.5%	31.8%
Mexico	Sierra Mojada	2017	64.3%	83.6%	40.3%
Mexico	Silacayoapam	2000	11.7%	19.9%	8.0%
Mexico	Silacayoapam	2017	13.7%	19.6%	10.0%
Mexico	Silao	2000	70.8%	88.1%	47.2%
Mexico	Silao	2017	71.4%	88.7%	49.2%
Mexico	Siltepec	2000	30.1%	53.9%	11.1%
Mexico	Siltepec	2017	30.8%	55.0%	11.3%
Mexico	Simojovel	2000	13.1%	31.9%	4.4%
Mexico	Simojovel	2017	13.7%	33.9%	4.0%
Mexico	Sinaloa	2000	49.7%	73.6%	22.7%
Mexico	Sinaloa	2017	50.3%	73.6%	23.1%
Mexico	Sinanche	2000	37.4%	42.2%	32.4%
Mexico	Sinanche	2017	39.5%	44.9%	33.9%
Mexico	Singuilucan	2000	57.1%	76.2%	34.7%
Mexico	Singuilucan	2017	58.6%	78.0%	35.6%
Mexico	Sitala	2000	15.3%	30.7%	6.1%
Mexico	Sitala	2017	14.7%	31.0%	6.1%
Mexico	Sitio De Xitlapehua	2000	14.1%	15.9%	12.4%
Mexico	Sitio De Xitlapehua	2017	16.4%	18.4%	14.7%
Mexico	Sochiapa	2000	42.9%	44.1%	41.9%
Mexico	Sochiapa	2017	46.2%	47.3%	45.2%
Mexico	Socoltenango	2000	55.3%	79.2%	29.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Socoltenango	2017	56.6%	81.4%	31.5%
Mexico	Soconusco	2000	69.3%	83.1%	60.8%
Mexico	Soconusco	2017	77.6%	84.3%	73.6%
Mexico	Soledad	2000	6.0%	6.5%	5.5%
Mexico	Atzompa				
Mexico	Soledad	2017	4.7%	5.0%	4.4%
Mexico	Atzompa				
Mexico	Soledad De Doblado	2000	43.7%	61.2%	27.5%
Mexico	Soledad De Doblado	2017	47.3%	64.5%	30.5%
Mexico	Soledad De Graciano Sanchez	2000	93.9%	96.4%	89.7%
Mexico	Soledad De Graciano Sanchez	2017	94.3%	96.7%	90.5%
Mexico	Soledad Etla	2000	53.6%	54.5%	52.7%
Mexico	Soledad Etla	2017	56.0%	56.8%	55.0%
Mexico	Solidaridad	2000	65.0%	80.3%	46.4%
Mexico	Solidaridad	2017	65.8%	80.8%	48.6%
Mexico	Solosuchiapa	2000	40.4%	52.4%	30.8%
Mexico	Solosuchiapa	2017	45.0%	54.3%	35.4%
Mexico	Soltepec	2000	22.2%	37.5%	12.0%
Mexico	Soltepec	2017	22.8%	37.8%	13.3%
Mexico	Sombrerete	2000	48.5%	77.2%	19.9%
Mexico	Sombrerete	2017	49.6%	80.3%	19.4%
Mexico	Soteapan	2000	16.3%	31.7%	6.6%
Mexico	Soteapan	2017	17.1%	32.6%	6.8%
Mexico	Soto La Marina	2000	41.2%	66.3%	18.9%
Mexico	Soto La Marina	2017	42.8%	67.8%	19.9%
Mexico	Sotuta	2000	17.0%	33.6%	6.1%
Mexico	Sotuta	2017	17.9%	35.3%	6.9%
Mexico	Soyalo	2000	46.1%	64.7%	26.0%
Mexico	Soyalo	2017	48.2%	65.6%	28.9%
Mexico	Soyaniquilpan	2000	53.0%	69.2%	37.4%
Mexico	Soyaniquilpan De Juarez	2017	54.0%	69.0%	39.5%
Mexico	Soyopa	2000	58.4%	94.3%	15.3%
Mexico	Soyopa	2017	58.9%	93.7%	18.4%
Mexico	Suaqui Grande	2000	61.8%	69.6%	54.8%
Mexico	Suaqui Grande	2017	63.6%	71.1%	56.6%
Mexico	Suchiapa	2000	74.6%	84.8%	62.4%
Mexico	Suchiapa	2017	73.6%	85.7%	57.3%
Mexico	Suchiate	2000	64.6%	96.6%	15.2%
Mexico	Suchiate	2017	65.7%	96.6%	17.5%
Mexico	Suchil	2000	59.2%	92.2%	15.8%
Mexico	Suchil	2017	59.7%	92.7%	16.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Sucila	2000	21.3%	48.5%	5.9%
Mexico	Sucila	2017	22.7%	50.5%	6.5%
Mexico	Sudzal	2000	29.3%	71.4%	5.2%
Mexico	Sudzal	2017	29.1%	65.0%	5.1%
Mexico	Sultepec	2000	23.8%	53.6%	6.5%
Mexico	Sultepec	2017	24.9%	55.7%	7.0%
Mexico	Suma	2000	6.3%	6.9%	5.8%
Mexico	Suma	2017	7.6%	8.3%	7.0%
Mexico	Sunuapa	2000	34.3%	48.6%	25.5%
Mexico	Sunuapa	2017	36.0%	53.1%	24.2%
Mexico	Susticacan	2000	44.2%	70.1%	23.9%
Mexico	Susticacan	2017	45.7%	72.4%	24.6%
Mexico	Susupuato	2000	25.8%	42.9%	13.5%
Mexico	Susupuato	2017	28.0%	47.0%	15.1%
Mexico	Tabasco	2000	66.2%	84.0%	41.8%
Mexico	Tabasco	2017	67.3%	84.8%	44.2%
Mexico	Tacambaro	2000	48.2%	72.6%	24.3%
Mexico	Tacambaro	2017	50.0%	73.9%	26.8%
Mexico	Tacotalpa	2000	39.6%	75.3%	9.8%
Mexico	Tacotalpa	2017	40.9%	75.7%	11.3%
Mexico	Tahdziu	2000	16.8%	45.0%	4.0%
Mexico	Tahdziu	2017	17.7%	43.4%	5.1%
Mexico	Tahmek	2000	9.8%	10.7%	9.0%
Mexico	Tahmek	2017	12.7%	14.0%	11.6%
Mexico	Tala	2000	92.7%	97.4%	83.6%
Mexico	Tala	2017	93.0%	97.7%	84.0%
Mexico	Talpa De Al- lende	2000	67.8%	94.8%	20.7%
Mexico	Talpa De Al- lende	2017	67.2%	93.9%	22.3%
Mexico	Tamalin	2000	20.6%	49.2%	7.6%
Mexico	Tamalin	2017	20.8%	48.1%	8.0%
Mexico	Tamasopo	2000	41.3%	70.9%	13.1%
Mexico	Tamasopo	2017	43.7%	76.0%	12.6%
Mexico	Tamazula	2000	29.8%	53.2%	10.5%
Mexico	Tamazula	2017	30.2%	52.5%	11.0%
Mexico	Tamazula De Gordiano	2000	73.1%	90.8%	50.8%
Mexico	Tamazula De Gordiano	2017	74.7%	91.0%	54.8%
Mexico	Tamazulapam Del Espiritu Santo	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.8%
Mexico	Tamazulapam Del Espiritu Santo	2017	1.7%	2.0%	1.4%
Mexico	Tamazunchale	2000	37.3%	58.3%	20.0%
Mexico	Tamazunchale	2017	37.2%	58.4%	20.2%
Mexico	Tamiahua	2000	23.3%	58.7%	4.2%
Mexico	Tamiahua	2017	24.1%	56.6%	4.5%
Mexico	Tampacan	2000	14.1%	25.0%	7.9%
Mexico	Tampacan	2017	15.3%	27.4%	8.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tampamolon Corona	2000	15.9%	29.4%	8.9%
Mexico	Tampamolon Corona	2017	15.6%	24.9%	10.0%
Mexico	Tampico	2000	84.9%	87.9%	75.7%
Mexico	Tampico	2017	86.6%	89.6%	76.6%
Mexico	Tampico Alto	2000	26.4%	54.4%	4.4%
Mexico	Tampico Alto	2017	28.1%	55.8%	5.7%
Mexico	Tamuin	2000	44.3%	81.0%	11.5%
Mexico	Tamuin	2017	46.5%	80.3%	15.2%
Mexico	Tancanhuitz	2000	33.5%	48.4%	27.1%
Mexico	Tancanhuitz	2017	30.6%	43.7%	24.6%
Mexico	Tancitaro	2000	37.3%	64.6%	14.5%
Mexico	Tancitaro	2017	39.4%	66.7%	13.7%
Mexico	Tancoco	2000	34.0%	44.3%	23.8%
Mexico	Tancoco	2017	35.8%	50.9%	23.3%
Mexico	Tanetze De Zaragoza	2000	12.1%	12.9%	11.2%
Mexico	Tanetze De Zaragoza	2017	15.3%	16.3%	14.3%
Mexico	Tangamandapio	2000	55.4%	68.2%	42.5%
Mexico	Tangamandapio	2017	57.2%	70.4%	44.2%
Mexico	Tangancicuaro	2000	61.0%	76.9%	45.3%
Mexico	Tangancicuaro	2017	62.5%	78.1%	46.1%
Mexico	Tanhuato	2000	81.8%	87.2%	73.6%
Mexico	Tanhuato	2017	82.5%	88.6%	72.2%
Mexico	Taniche	2000	50.1%	51.1%	49.3%
Mexico	Taniche	2017	54.1%	54.9%	53.4%
Mexico	Tanlajas	2000	13.4%	27.8%	5.6%
Mexico	Tanlajas	2017	14.2%	29.6%	5.7%
Mexico	Tanquian De Escobedo	2000	34.2%	50.2%	21.9%
Mexico	Tanquian De Escobedo	2017	35.9%	52.7%	22.8%
Mexico	Tantima	2000	26.1%	37.6%	15.8%
Mexico	Tantima	2017	28.9%	40.7%	17.8%
Mexico	Tantoyuca	2000	32.6%	49.0%	17.5%
Mexico	Tantoyuca	2017	34.4%	52.3%	18.1%
Mexico	Tapachula	2000	76.3%	88.1%	59.3%
Mexico	Tapachula	2017	77.9%	88.4%	63.2%
Mexico	Tapalapa	2000	47.2%	48.0%	46.5%
Mexico	Tapalapa	2017	49.5%	50.2%	48.7%
Mexico	Tapalpa	2000	72.6%	90.6%	50.1%
Mexico	Tapalpa	2017	74.5%	90.8%	54.3%
Mexico	Tapilula	2000	82.2%	82.7%	81.5%
Mexico	Tapilula	2017	80.1%	80.6%	79.5%
Mexico	Tarandacuaao	2000	69.0%	83.3%	49.4%
Mexico	Tarandacuaao	2017	69.6%	83.0%	50.8%
Mexico	Taretan	2000	44.3%	55.3%	35.0%
Mexico	Taretan	2017	46.1%	58.7%	34.0%
Mexico	Tarimbaro	2000	57.5%	75.8%	38.2%
Mexico	Tarimbaro	2017	59.4%	73.0%	45.0%
Mexico	Tarimoro	2000	63.1%	85.9%	37.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tarimoro	2017	64.4%	86.4%	41.3%
Mexico	Tasquillo	2000	44.8%	66.0%	27.5%
Mexico	Tasquillo	2017	46.7%	68.3%	28.5%
Mexico	Tatahuicapan De Juarez	2000	26.3%	56.2%	7.7%
Mexico	Tatahuicapan De Juarez	2017	25.4%	51.6%	7.6%
Mexico	Tataltepec De Valdes	2000	1.8%	6.8%	0.3%
Mexico	Tataltepec De Valdes	2017	1.1%	4.0%	0.2%
Mexico	Tatatila	2000	21.0%	26.1%	18.0%
Mexico	Tatatila	2017	23.9%	28.0%	21.3%
Mexico	Taxco De Alarcon	2000	48.5%	61.7%	36.1%
Mexico	Taxco De Alarcon	2017	53.2%	65.0%	41.8%
Mexico	Teabo	2000	14.6%	17.8%	11.4%
Mexico	Teabo	2017	16.4%	21.8%	12.3%
Mexico	Teapa	2000	54.0%	67.1%	39.2%
Mexico	Teapa	2017	57.9%	70.1%	43.3%
Mexico	Tecali De Herrera	2000	47.0%	49.3%	45.2%
Mexico	Tecali De Herrera	2017	49.0%	51.6%	46.9%
Mexico	Tecalitlan	2000	68.9%	96.5%	14.0%
Mexico	Tecalitlan	2017	69.7%	96.6%	16.0%
Mexico	Tecamac	2000	81.6%	84.8%	80.1%
Mexico	Tecamac	2017	79.1%	83.4%	77.1%
Mexico	Tecamachalco	2000	42.1%	49.4%	35.9%
Mexico	Tecamachalco	2017	48.1%	53.4%	43.3%
Mexico	Tecate	2000	81.0%	93.0%	66.3%
Mexico	Tecate	2017	82.7%	94.4%	68.0%
Mexico	Techaluta De Montenegro	2000	66.3%	71.0%	59.8%
Mexico	Techaluta De Montenegro	2017	68.1%	72.7%	61.5%
Mexico	Tecoanapa	2000	27.4%	50.1%	9.2%
Mexico	Tecoanapa	2017	28.4%	51.8%	9.8%
Mexico	Tecoh	2000	12.1%	21.8%	8.8%
Mexico	Tecoh	2017	12.9%	22.1%	9.5%
Mexico	Tecolotlan	2000	67.9%	84.4%	46.7%
Mexico	Tecolotlan	2017	69.7%	84.7%	48.9%
Mexico	Tecolutla	2000	57.8%	88.5%	23.3%
Mexico	Tecolutla	2017	58.9%	89.7%	23.3%
Mexico	Tecoman	2000	86.4%	95.8%	74.2%
Mexico	Tecoman	2017	86.7%	95.4%	76.0%
Mexico	Tecomatlan	2000	35.4%	52.4%	21.6%
Mexico	Tecomatlan	2017	37.1%	53.6%	23.4%
Mexico	Tecoautla	2000	45.0%	70.8%	22.1%
Mexico	Tecoautla	2017	46.0%	70.7%	24.7%
Mexico	Tecpan De Galeana	2000	48.2%	83.0%	12.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tecpan De Galeana	2017	48.9%	81.4%	15.2%
Mexico	Tecpatan	2000	37.8%	67.3%	10.0%
Mexico	Tecpatan	2017	39.0%	69.3%	10.5%
Mexico	Tecuala	2000	71.5%	91.4%	40.8%
Mexico	Tecuala	2017	73.4%	92.3%	44.2%
Mexico	Tehuacan	2000	69.5%	80.1%	57.6%
Mexico	Tehuacan	2017	69.8%	79.0%	59.5%
Mexico	Tehuipango	2000	6.2%	8.6%	4.8%
Mexico	Tehuipango	2017	6.9%	9.1%	5.5%
Mexico	Tehuitzingo	2000	39.6%	59.4%	21.5%
Mexico	Tehuitzingo	2017	41.5%	60.8%	22.6%
Mexico	Tejupilco	2000	37.3%	84.2%	7.6%
Mexico	Tejupilco	2017	38.2%	86.1%	6.1%
Mexico	Tekal De Venegas	2000	10.1%	18.7%	4.6%
Mexico	Tekal De Venegas	2017	10.8%	19.9%	5.0%
Mexico	Tekanto	2000	24.9%	26.9%	23.0%
Mexico	Tekanto	2017	29.4%	31.4%	27.6%
Mexico	Tekax	2000	32.4%	54.5%	17.0%
Mexico	Tekax	2017	33.3%	48.4%	20.7%
Mexico	Tekit	2000	10.5%	11.5%	9.6%
Mexico	Tekit	2017	10.8%	13.2%	9.1%
Mexico	Tekom	2000	18.7%	57.5%	2.9%
Mexico	Tekom	2017	18.7%	54.4%	3.3%
Mexico	Telchac Pueblo	2000	29.1%	30.5%	27.8%
Mexico	Telchac Pueblo	2017	31.8%	33.1%	30.6%
Mexico	Telchac Puerto	2000	64.9%	93.6%	13.6%
Mexico	Telchac Puerto	2017	67.3%	98.1%	8.6%
Mexico	Teloloapan	2000	32.6%	53.4%	15.0%
Mexico	Teloloapan	2017	33.9%	54.5%	15.6%
Mexico	Temamatla	2000	78.9%	79.9%	77.9%
Mexico	Temamatla	2017	80.4%	81.1%	79.8%
Mexico	Temapache	2000	34.4%	69.7%	8.3%
Mexico	Temapache	2017	36.2%	69.9%	9.6%
Mexico	Temascalapa	2000	50.4%	51.9%	48.1%
Mexico	Temascalapa	2017	45.6%	48.0%	42.4%
Mexico	Temascalcingo	2000	30.9%	50.9%	15.5%
Mexico	Temascalcingo	2017	32.4%	53.8%	15.9%
Mexico	Temascaltepec	2000	31.7%	61.5%	10.9%
Mexico	Temascaltepec	2017	32.0%	59.0%	11.8%
Mexico	Temax	2000	18.4%	32.9%	7.4%
Mexico	Temax	2017	19.5%	33.0%	8.8%
Mexico	Temixco	2000	82.7%	83.9%	81.5%
Mexico	Temixco	2017	83.3%	84.6%	82.0%
Mexico	Temoac	2000	45.5%	46.5%	44.6%
Mexico	Temoac	2017	46.6%	47.6%	45.7%
Mexico	Temoaya	2000	22.0%	35.5%	12.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Temoaya	2017	22.8%	36.4%	13.3%
Mexico	Temosachi	2000	38.9%	62.9%	17.2%
Mexico	Temosachi	2017	39.7%	63.5%	16.6%
Mexico	Temozon	2000	17.2%	49.6%	2.4%
Mexico	Temozon	2017	18.0%	50.5%	2.5%
Mexico	Tempoal	2000	41.0%	64.5%	22.2%
Mexico	Tempoal	2017	42.5%	65.5%	23.3%
Mexico	Tenabo	2000	27.5%	42.2%	14.6%
Mexico	Tenabo	2017	31.8%	59.6%	11.3%
Mexico	Tenamaxtlan	2000	74.1%	89.9%	52.1%
Mexico	Tenamaxtlan	2017	73.2%	91.9%	47.8%
Mexico	Tenampa	2000	21.2%	25.3%	18.1%
Mexico	Tenampa	2017	22.6%	26.5%	19.3%
Mexico	Tenampulco	2000	14.8%	28.3%	6.9%
Mexico	Tenampulco	2017	15.4%	24.8%	9.6%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2000	77.9%	78.7%	77.0%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2000	67.1%	77.1%	54.0%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2017	79.1%	79.9%	78.3%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2017	69.2%	75.6%	61.8%
Mexico	Tenango De Doria	2000	9.2%	13.4%	6.3%
Mexico	Tenango De Doria	2017	10.4%	15.7%	6.9%
Mexico	Tenango Del Aire	2000	86.3%	87.1%	85.7%
Mexico	Tenango Del Aire	2017	86.8%	87.5%	86.2%
Mexico	Tenango Del Valle	2000	69.8%	78.0%	60.6%
Mexico	Tenango Del Valle	2017	71.2%	78.6%	62.2%
Mexico	Tenejapa	2000	6.3%	11.6%	3.0%
Mexico	Tenejapa	2017	6.8%	11.9%	3.2%
Mexico	Tenochtitlan	2000	42.4%	48.9%	35.1%
Mexico	Tenochtitlan	2017	45.5%	53.3%	36.7%
Mexico	Tenosique	2000	62.6%	92.1%	25.3%
Mexico	Tenosique	2017	63.5%	93.4%	24.9%
Mexico	Teocaltiche	2000	74.7%	92.0%	45.6%
Mexico	Teocaltiche	2017	76.2%	92.3%	48.7%
Mexico	Teocelo	2000	46.8%	47.7%	45.9%
Mexico	Teocelo	2017	46.1%	47.2%	45.0%
Mexico	Teococuilco De Marcos Perez	2000	5.4%	6.5%	4.3%
Mexico	Teococuilco De Marcos Perez	2017	14.8%	16.3%	13.5%
Mexico	Teocuitatlan De Corona	2000	68.9%	84.5%	47.3%
Mexico	Teocuitatlan De Corona	2017	70.6%	85.8%	48.0%
Mexico	Teolocholco	2000	72.0%	72.6%	71.4%
Mexico	Teolocholco	2017	75.1%	75.7%	74.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Teoloyucan	2000	82.5%	83.2%	81.9%
Mexico	Teoloyucan	2017	81.9%	82.6%	81.0%
Mexico	Teopantlan	2000	77.1%	83.3%	65.6%
Mexico	Teopantlan	2017	70.0%	79.4%	55.4%
Mexico	Teopisca	2000	41.7%	55.3%	28.0%
Mexico	Teopisca	2017	44.9%	59.3%	32.3%
Mexico	Teotihuacan	2000	65.2%	68.4%	62.8%
Mexico	Teotihuacan	2017	62.0%	66.1%	59.1%
Mexico	Teotitlan De Flores Magon	2000	46.2%	47.6%	45.0%
Mexico	Teotitlan De Flores Magon	2017	52.9%	54.5%	51.6%
Mexico	Teotitlan Del Valle	2000	16.7%	17.6%	16.0%
Mexico	Teotitlan Del Valle	2017	18.2%	19.2%	17.4%
Mexico	Teotlalco	2000	40.3%	55.0%	25.8%
Mexico	Teotlalco	2017	41.7%	57.2%	26.9%
Mexico	Teotongo	2000	36.7%	39.3%	34.3%
Mexico	Teotongo	2017	38.6%	41.2%	36.1%
Mexico	Tepache	2000	84.1%	88.5%	77.7%
Mexico	Tepache	2017	84.3%	89.1%	78.1%
Mexico	Tepakan	2000	33.8%	39.7%	29.8%
Mexico	Tepakan	2017	36.6%	38.4%	34.9%
Mexico	Tepalcatepec	2000	47.2%	85.6%	12.1%
Mexico	Tepalcatepec	2017	46.0%	85.4%	12.3%
Mexico	Tepalcingo	2000	58.6%	74.1%	42.1%
Mexico	Tepalcingo	2017	60.4%	75.1%	44.4%
Mexico	Tepanco De Lopez	2000	26.5%	42.8%	10.8%
Mexico	Tepanco De Lopez	2017	29.0%	45.6%	12.6%
Mexico	Tepango De Rodriguez	2000	18.2%	18.8%	17.5%
Mexico	Tepango De Rodriguez	2017	19.6%	20.3%	18.8%
Mexico	Tepatitlan De Morelos	2000	85.2%	95.9%	66.3%
Mexico	Tepatitlan De Morelos	2017	85.8%	96.0%	67.0%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco	2000	14.4%	17.9%	12.4%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco	2017	15.2%	18.4%	13.3%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco De Hidalgo	2000	36.5%	42.4%	31.9%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco De Hidalgo	2017	41.9%	46.6%	37.5%
Mexico	Tepeaca	2000	42.0%	48.6%	36.0%
Mexico	Tepeaca	2017	43.9%	50.8%	37.4%
Mexico	Tepeapulco	2000	88.6%	91.5%	84.8%
Mexico	Tepeapulco	2017	88.5%	92.0%	83.4%
Mexico	Tepechitlan	2000	63.1%	82.0%	38.8%
Mexico	Tepechitlan	2017	62.8%	85.2%	36.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tepecoacuilco De Trujano	2000	50.8%	82.6%	19.3%
Mexico	Tepecoacuilco De Trujano	2017	51.5%	84.2%	20.1%
Mexico	Tepehuacan De Guerrero	2000	39.6%	68.4%	16.2%
Mexico	Tepehuacan De Guerrero	2017	39.6%	68.0%	16.5%
Mexico	Tepehuanes	2000	56.0%	75.9%	36.3%
Mexico	Tepehuanes	2017	55.9%	71.8%	39.7%
Mexico	Tepeji Del Rio De Ocampo	2000	67.6%	80.0%	54.3%
Mexico	Tepeji Del Rio De Ocampo	2017	70.3%	81.8%	57.7%
Mexico	Tepelmeme Villa De Morelos	2000	26.3%	49.1%	10.3%
Mexico	Tepelmeme Villa De Morelos	2017	24.3%	48.4%	8.7%
Mexico	Tepemaxalco	2000	12.8%	14.1%	11.6%
Mexico	Tepemaxalco	2017	16.5%	18.1%	15.1%
Mexico	Tepeojuma	2000	63.9%	66.8%	60.8%
Mexico	Tepeojuma	2017	68.0%	71.6%	64.2%
Mexico	Tepetitla De Lardizabal	2000	79.7%	80.2%	79.3%
Mexico	Tepetitla De Lardizabal	2017	80.0%	80.5%	79.5%
Mexico	Tepetitlan	2000	56.0%	66.9%	43.7%
Mexico	Tepetitlan	2017	62.4%	71.5%	51.4%
Mexico	Tepetlan	2000	62.1%	71.2%	52.9%
Mexico	Tepetlan	2017	68.0%	78.4%	56.5%
Mexico	Tepetlaoxtoc	2000	84.1%	89.2%	71.4%
Mexico	Tepetlaoxtoc	2017	84.0%	88.5%	74.0%
Mexico	Tepetlixpa	2000	51.6%	52.4%	50.9%
Mexico	Tepetlixpa	2017	52.8%	53.6%	52.1%
Mexico	Tepetongo	2000	47.2%	74.8%	20.9%
Mexico	Tepetongo	2017	48.2%	77.4%	22.5%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2000	11.9%	12.7%	11.3%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2000	20.6%	36.7%	10.2%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2017	11.8%	12.7%	11.2%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2017	21.3%	36.1%	10.1%
Mexico	Tepexco	2000	16.4%	23.7%	11.2%
Mexico	Tepexco	2017	17.6%	25.1%	12.2%
Mexico	Tepexi De Rodriguez	2000	35.5%	51.6%	23.8%
Mexico	Tepexi De Rodriguez	2017	36.6%	51.4%	24.7%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco	2000	32.8%	62.6%	9.9%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco	2017	36.7%	63.3%	14.3%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco De Cuauhtemoc	2000	43.1%	44.4%	41.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tepeyahualco De Cuauhtemoc	2017	44.2%	45.3%	43.0%
Mexico	Tepeyanco	2000	78.0%	78.4%	77.5%
Mexico	Tepeyanco	2017	79.5%	79.9%	79.1%
Mexico	Tepezala	2000	75.4%	86.9%	57.1%
Mexico	Tepezala	2017	77.1%	87.7%	60.1%
Mexico	Tepic	2000	88.1%	95.3%	75.0%
Mexico	Tepic	2017	88.7%	95.8%	76.0%
Mexico	Tepotzotlan	2000	81.0%	82.5%	79.1%
Mexico	Tepotzotlan	2017	80.2%	81.8%	78.1%
Mexico	Tepoztlan	2000	79.6%	86.1%	72.8%
Mexico	Tepoztlan	2017	79.5%	85.6%	73.0%
Mexico	Tequila	2000	14.4%	14.9%	14.0%
Mexico	Tequila	2000	73.2%	94.4%	39.1%
Mexico	Tequila	2017	14.7%	15.1%	14.3%
Mexico	Tequila	2017	75.9%	94.2%	46.3%
Mexico	Tequisquiapan	2000	70.9%	92.1%	39.3%
Mexico	Tequisquiapan	2017	72.4%	92.7%	41.7%
Mexico	Tequixquiac	2000	72.9%	77.8%	66.9%
Mexico	Tequixquiac	2017	72.5%	78.7%	65.0%
Mexico	Terrenate	2000	53.3%	62.7%	43.3%
Mexico	Terrenate	2017	54.4%	62.5%	45.7%
Mexico	Tetecala	2000	67.2%	70.5%	63.5%
Mexico	Tetecala	2017	64.7%	68.0%	61.4%
Mexico	Tetela De Ocampo	2000	20.2%	27.9%	14.2%
Mexico	Tetela De Ocampo	2017	21.9%	28.5%	16.1%
Mexico	Tetela Del Volcan	2000	20.9%	21.5%	20.4%
Mexico	Tetela Del Volcan	2017	22.6%	23.3%	21.9%
Mexico	Teteles De Avila Castillo	2000	30.9%	31.9%	29.9%
Mexico	Teteles De Avila Castillo	2017	34.4%	35.5%	33.5%
Mexico	Tetepango	2000	68.7%	73.7%	62.8%
Mexico	Tetepango	2017	70.1%	75.2%	64.4%
Mexico	Tetipac	2000	19.8%	33.3%	11.4%
Mexico	Tetipac	2017	20.3%	34.7%	11.4%
Mexico	Tetiz	2000	12.2%	21.1%	6.7%
Mexico	Tetiz	2017	13.3%	18.9%	8.7%
Mexico	Tetla De La Solidaridad	2000	79.5%	83.2%	76.2%
Mexico	Tetla De La Solidaridad	2017	79.5%	82.5%	76.8%
Mexico	Tetlatlahuca	2000	74.5%	74.9%	74.0%
Mexico	Tetlatlahuca	2017	75.4%	75.9%	75.0%
Mexico	Teuchitlan	2000	90.1%	97.9%	73.3%
Mexico	Teuchitlan	2017	90.9%	97.8%	74.4%
Mexico	Teul De Gonzalez Ortega	2000	59.2%	79.2%	32.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Teul De Gonzalez Ortega	2017	60.3%	81.2%	29.6%
Mexico	Texcaltitlan	2000	29.4%	38.1%	20.8%
Mexico	Texcaltitlan	2017	30.7%	40.2%	22.0%
Mexico	Texcalyacac	2000	93.3%	93.6%	93.0%
Mexico	Texcalyacac	2017	93.7%	93.9%	93.4%
Mexico	Texcatepec	2000	2.5%	9.0%	0.5%
Mexico	Texcatepec	2017	1.8%	6.2%	0.4%
Mexico	Texcoco	2000	92.6%	94.0%	89.3%
Mexico	Texcoco	2017	93.1%	94.4%	90.3%
Mexico	Texhuacan	2000	4.1%	4.5%	3.8%
Mexico	Texhuacan	2017	4.0%	4.4%	3.7%
Mexico	Texistepec	2000	73.8%	90.7%	58.6%
Mexico	Texistepec	2017	77.3%	92.2%	63.8%
Mexico	Teya	2000	52.1%	54.9%	49.1%
Mexico	Teya	2017	63.5%	65.8%	60.9%
Mexico	Teziutlan	2000	63.5%	67.6%	59.4%
Mexico	Teziutlan	2017	64.3%	69.6%	59.2%
Mexico	Tezoatlan	2000	57.9%	77.3%	46.9%
Mexico	De Segura Y Luna				
Mexico	Tezoatlan	2017	59.0%	75.4%	48.5%
Mexico	De Segura Y Luna				
Mexico	Tezonapa	2000	27.4%	48.3%	15.4%
Mexico	Tezonapa	2017	28.5%	49.4%	16.0%
Mexico	Tezontepec	2000	64.6%	67.2%	61.8%
Mexico	De Aldama				
Mexico	Tezontepec	2017	66.6%	69.5%	63.8%
Mexico	De Aldama				
Mexico	Tezoyuca	2000	88.0%	88.6%	87.4%
Mexico	Tezoyuca	2017	87.8%	88.4%	87.1%
Mexico	Tianguismanalco	2000	45.9%	47.4%	44.2%
Mexico	Tianguismanalco	2017	55.2%	56.6%	53.6%
Mexico	Tianguistenco	2000	78.6%	79.3%	77.9%
Mexico	Tianguistenco	2017	80.5%	81.2%	79.8%
Mexico	Tianguistengo	2000	22.9%	35.5%	14.7%
Mexico	Tianguistengo	2017	26.0%	39.6%	18.0%
Mexico	Ticul	2000	47.6%	55.4%	39.2%
Mexico	Ticul	2017	49.2%	57.0%	40.7%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2000	60.5%	88.8%	17.4%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2000	12.9%	27.1%	4.9%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2017	61.6%	88.9%	21.3%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2017	14.0%	28.3%	5.4%
Mexico	Tierra Nueva	2000	60.4%	84.6%	34.0%
Mexico	Tierra Nueva	2017	61.7%	82.0%	37.9%
Mexico	Tihuatlan	2000	59.7%	82.6%	41.2%
Mexico	Tihuatlan	2017	61.4%	83.5%	40.6%
Mexico	Tijuana	2000	69.3%	93.8%	40.2%
Mexico	Tijuana	2017	70.2%	94.2%	41.3%
Mexico	Tila	2000	32.7%	62.3%	7.3%
Mexico	Tila	2017	32.6%	60.1%	8.4%
Mexico	Tilapa	2000	42.3%	49.3%	34.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tilapa	2017	44.9%	51.7%	36.8%
Mexico	Timilpan	2000	26.5%	45.8%	10.3%
Mexico	Timilpan	2017	27.5%	47.1%	11.1%
Mexico	Timucuy	2000	10.8%	13.6%	8.8%
Mexico	Timucuy	2017	11.7%	14.7%	9.6%
Mexico	Tingambato	2000	35.7%	59.5%	14.7%
Mexico	Tingambato	2017	37.4%	56.9%	19.9%
Mexico	Tinguindin	2000	66.5%	83.8%	43.2%
Mexico	Tinguindin	2017	67.3%	84.4%	44.6%
Mexico	Tinum	2000	16.3%	49.3%	2.6%
Mexico	Tinum	2017	17.1%	50.0%	2.9%
Mexico	Tiquicheo	2000	35.3%	72.9%	6.5%
Mexico	De Nicolas Romero Tiquicheo	2017	36.2%	72.5%	7.2%
Mexico	Tixcacalcupul	2000	14.4%	36.9%	2.7%
Mexico	Tixcacalcupul	2017	15.3%	37.6%	3.2%
Mexico	Tixkokob	2000	42.9%	49.9%	36.1%
Mexico	Tixkokob	2017	46.1%	49.5%	42.7%
Mexico	Tixmehuac	2000	19.0%	33.1%	10.1%
Mexico	Tixmehuac	2017	19.9%	39.4%	9.4%
Mexico	Tixpehual	2000	28.3%	31.4%	25.9%
Mexico	Tixpehual	2017	30.0%	33.5%	27.0%
Mexico	Tixtla De Guerrero	2000	38.5%	62.3%	17.5%
Mexico	Tixtla De Guerrero	2017	40.1%	64.1%	18.6%
Mexico	Tizapan El Alto	2000	80.6%	91.8%	63.1%
Mexico	Tizapan El Alto	2017	82.4%	91.5%	69.6%
Mexico	Tizayuca	2000	72.5%	78.6%	67.4%
Mexico	Tizayuca	2017	76.5%	80.8%	72.7%
Mexico	Tizimin	2000	38.7%	65.3%	18.7%
Mexico	Tizimin	2017	40.7%	66.5%	20.8%
Mexico	Tlachichilco	2000	7.5%	18.2%	1.9%
Mexico	Tlachichilco	2017	6.9%	17.1%	2.2%
Mexico	Tlachichuca	2000	29.8%	48.5%	13.7%
Mexico	Tlachichuca	2017	31.1%	50.0%	14.5%
Mexico	Tlacoachistlahuaca	2000	16.7%	43.7%	2.4%
Mexico	Tlacoachistlahuaca	2017	17.7%	45.9%	2.6%
Mexico	Tlacoapa	2000	3.7%	14.8%	0.6%
Mexico	Tlacoapa	2017	3.8%	15.7%	0.7%
Mexico	Tlacojalpan	2000	78.0%	82.6%	71.3%
Mexico	Tlacojalpan	2017	79.0%	83.2%	73.2%
Mexico	Tlacolula De Matamoros	2000	41.3%	43.1%	40.3%
Mexico	Tlacolula De Matamoros	2017	43.6%	45.2%	42.7%
Mexico	Tlacolulan	2000	37.2%	44.3%	33.0%
Mexico	Tlacolulan	2017	39.6%	46.4%	35.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tlacotalpan	2000	65.6%	85.6%	43.9%
Mexico	Tlacotalpan	2017	66.8%	86.4%	45.5%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Benito Juarez	2000	23.4%	39.2%	11.8%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Benito Juarez	2017	24.1%	42.0%	12.1%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Mejia	2000	34.8%	40.8%	30.4%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Mejia	2017	36.1%	42.3%	31.8%
Mexico	Tlacotepec Plumas	2000	6.1%	7.5%	5.1%
Mexico	Tlacotepec Plumas	2017	5.8%	7.3%	4.7%
Mexico	Tlacuilotepec	2000	20.4%	38.1%	8.8%
Mexico	Tlacuilotepec	2017	21.1%	40.5%	8.9%
Mexico	Tlahuac	2000	95.2%	95.3%	95.0%
Mexico	Tlahuac	2017	95.8%	95.9%	95.6%
Mexico	Tlahualilo	2000	53.4%	90.2%	10.5%
Mexico	Tlahualilo	2017	54.0%	92.1%	11.1%
Mexico	Tlahuapan	2000	57.3%	76.2%	42.5%
Mexico	Tlahuapan	2017	63.6%	76.8%	51.6%
Mexico	Tlahuelilpan	2000	86.4%	87.0%	85.9%
Mexico	Tlahuelilpan	2017	87.5%	88.1%	86.9%
Mexico	Tlahuiltepa	2000	19.6%	48.0%	4.0%
Mexico	Tlahuiltepa	2017	18.8%	45.1%	4.3%
Mexico	Tlajomulco De Zuniga	2000	85.0%	93.9%	68.6%
Mexico	Tlajomulco De Zuniga	2017	84.5%	94.0%	67.7%
Mexico	Tlalchapa	2000	43.3%	81.9%	8.2%
Mexico	Tlalchapa	2017	44.0%	81.9%	9.5%
Mexico	Tlalixcoyan	2000	58.8%	85.5%	30.0%
Mexico	Tlalixcoyan	2017	59.8%	85.7%	31.0%
Mexico	Tlalixtac De Cabrera	2000	81.4%	81.9%	81.0%
Mexico	Tlalixtac De Cabrera	2017	78.0%	78.6%	77.5%
Mexico	Tlalixtaquilla De Maldonado	2000	8.3%	13.6%	5.8%
Mexico	Tlalixtaquilla De Maldonado	2017	8.8%	13.6%	5.8%
Mexico	Tlalmanalco	2000	74.9%	76.0%	73.8%
Mexico	Tlalmanalco	2017	68.4%	70.3%	66.7%
Mexico	Tlalnelhuayocan	2000	72.3%	73.3%	71.3%
Mexico	Tlalnelhuayocan	2017	72.4%	73.4%	71.4%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla	2000	19.1%	26.0%	14.0%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla	2017	22.6%	30.0%	16.7%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla De Baz	2000	95.3%	95.4%	95.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tlalnepantla De Baz	2017	95.7%	95.8%	95.6%
Mexico	Tlalpan	2000	94.6%	96.4%	90.7%
Mexico	Tlalpan	2017	95.0%	96.6%	91.5%
Mexico	Tlalpujahua	2000	24.8%	39.4%	13.7%
Mexico	Tlalpujahua	2017	26.5%	43.0%	14.9%
Mexico	Tlaltenango	2000	56.1%	56.9%	55.2%
Mexico	Tlaltenango	2017	56.8%	57.6%	55.8%
Mexico	Tlaltenango	2000	67.7%	83.8%	47.0%
Mexico	De Sanchez Roman Tlaltenango	2017	68.8%	84.9%	48.7%
Mexico	Tlaltetela	2000	36.1%	42.6%	30.6%
Mexico	Tlaltetela	2017	43.1%	48.7%	37.8%
Mexico	Tlaltizapan	2000	70.4%	83.1%	53.1%
Mexico	Tlaltizapan	2017	72.2%	83.6%	56.4%
Mexico	Tlanalapa	2000	81.6%	90.3%	69.2%
Mexico	Tlanalapa	2017	81.2%	91.5%	66.2%
Mexico	Tlanchinol	2000	42.2%	65.0%	16.7%
Mexico	Tlanchinol	2017	42.7%	65.4%	18.2%
Mexico	Tlanepantla	2000	48.9%	49.9%	48.0%
Mexico	Tlanepantla	2017	51.3%	52.3%	50.4%
Mexico	Tlaola	2000	26.3%	32.9%	20.7%
Mexico	Tlaola	2017	26.7%	32.9%	21.8%
Mexico	Tlapa De Comonfort	2000	30.6%	49.5%	16.5%
Mexico	Tlapa De Comonfort	2017	37.3%	56.5%	21.3%
Mexico	Tlapacoya	2000	3.5%	3.9%	3.1%
Mexico	Tlapacoya	2017	4.0%	4.5%	3.5%
Mexico	Tlapacoyan	2000	50.8%	73.8%	31.7%
Mexico	Tlapacoyan	2017	55.4%	73.4%	38.0%
Mexico	Tlapanala	2000	49.7%	58.7%	40.3%
Mexico	Tlapanala	2017	52.5%	60.7%	43.7%
Mexico	Tlapehuala	2000	41.0%	77.2%	9.0%
Mexico	Tlapehuala	2017	42.3%	78.3%	10.1%
Mexico	Tlaquepaque	2000	93.8%	97.4%	82.5%
Mexico	Tlaquepaque	2017	94.0%	97.2%	84.6%
Mexico	Tlaquilpa	2000	4.7%	5.2%	4.2%
Mexico	Tlaquilpa	2017	4.9%	5.5%	4.4%
Mexico	Tlaquiltenango	2000	70.8%	84.9%	51.6%
Mexico	Tlaquiltenango	2017	71.6%	87.3%	49.9%
Mexico	Tlatlauquitepec	2000	36.3%	42.2%	30.9%
Mexico	Tlatlauquitepec	2017	38.3%	43.5%	33.4%
Mexico	Tlatlaya	2000	33.3%	59.3%	10.6%
Mexico	Tlatlaya	2017	34.8%	61.8%	10.9%
Mexico	Tlaxcala	2000	85.2%	85.6%	84.8%
Mexico	Tlaxcala	2017	85.9%	86.3%	85.6%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2000	5.7%	9.0%	3.9%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2000	58.4%	84.0%	28.4%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2017	5.8%	9.2%	4.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tlaxco	2017	59.9%	85.1%	30.0%
Mexico	Tlaxcoapan	2000	86.9%	87.5%	86.2%
Mexico	Tlaxcoapan	2017	88.6%	89.2%	88.0%
Mexico	Tlayacapan	2000	51.3%	52.2%	50.5%
Mexico	Tlayacapan	2017	52.1%	53.0%	51.3%
Mexico	Tlazazalca	2000	67.3%	79.7%	53.1%
Mexico	Tlazazalca	2017	68.7%	80.8%	55.8%
Mexico	Tlilapan	2000	52.5%	53.1%	51.8%
Mexico	Tlilapan	2017	48.3%	48.8%	47.7%
Mexico	Tocatlan	2000	56.8%	58.1%	55.4%
Mexico	Tocatlan	2017	58.7%	60.0%	57.3%
Mexico	Tochimilco	2000	44.1%	50.5%	35.8%
Mexico	Tochimilco	2017	42.5%	47.9%	35.3%
Mexico	Tochtepec	2000	31.0%	44.2%	21.4%
Mexico	Tochtepec	2017	32.0%	41.0%	25.2%
Mexico	Tocumbo	2000	60.2%	69.8%	47.9%
Mexico	Tocumbo	2017	61.0%	72.9%	46.2%
Mexico	Tolcayuca	2000	80.9%	84.7%	76.0%
Mexico	Tolcayuca	2017	82.5%	86.2%	76.7%
Mexico	Tolimán	2000	26.5%	52.9%	9.2%
Mexico	Tolimán	2000	55.0%	81.4%	28.2%
Mexico	Tolimán	2017	56.4%	82.2%	29.4%
Mexico	Tolimán	2017	28.5%	57.9%	9.2%
Mexico	Toluca	2000	66.7%	78.9%	53.7%
Mexico	Toluca	2017	66.6%	79.7%	52.9%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2000	55.2%	84.1%	22.9%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2000	57.0%	57.7%	56.2%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2017	56.2%	85.3%	24.4%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2017	58.5%	59.2%	57.7%
Mexico	Tonala	2000	93.6%	96.4%	86.2%
Mexico	Tonala	2000	67.7%	94.7%	33.7%
Mexico	Tonala	2017	93.6%	96.4%	85.4%
Mexico	Tonala	2017	67.5%	96.1%	27.7%
Mexico	Tonanitla	2000	90.2%	90.6%	89.9%
Mexico	Tonanitla	2017	90.1%	90.5%	89.7%
Mexico	Tonatico	2000	76.7%	84.8%	62.9%
Mexico	Tonatico	2017	78.5%	86.1%	64.7%
Mexico	Tonaya	2000	62.1%	72.6%	50.8%
Mexico	Tonaya	2017	64.5%	74.6%	52.1%
Mexico	Tonayan	2000	42.7%	44.1%	41.5%
Mexico	Tonayan	2017	46.1%	47.4%	44.9%
Mexico	Tonila	2000	75.9%	88.3%	53.6%
Mexico	Tonila	2017	76.8%	89.0%	53.3%
Mexico	Topia	2000	24.6%	76.6%	2.0%
Mexico	Topia	2017	24.6%	74.6%	2.3%
Mexico	Torreón	2000	87.8%	93.8%	76.2%
Mexico	Torreón	2017	88.9%	94.3%	79.8%
Mexico	Totatiche	2000	50.9%	72.0%	29.7%
Mexico	Totatiche	2017	52.6%	74.2%	29.3%
Mexico	Totolac	2000	82.0%	82.4%	81.5%
Mexico	Totolac	2017	82.4%	82.8%	82.0%
Mexico	Totolapa	2000	37.9%	65.5%	16.5%
Mexico	Totolapa	2017	47.8%	65.2%	31.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Totolapan	2000	22.6%	23.5%	21.7%
Mexico	Totolapan	2017	24.9%	25.7%	24.0%
Mexico	Totoltepec De Guerrero	2000	15.3%	23.7%	9.7%
Mexico	Totoltepec De Guerrero	2017	16.2%	25.1%	10.4%
Mexico	Totontepec Villa De Morelos	2000	7.9%	12.5%	4.7%
Mexico	Totontepec Villa De Morelos	2017	6.9%	12.0%	3.2%
Mexico	Tototlan	2000	80.9%	95.3%	59.3%
Mexico	Tototlan	2017	81.8%	95.3%	60.8%
Mexico	Totutla	2000	34.2%	35.1%	33.3%
Mexico	Totutla	2017	36.2%	37.3%	35.1%
Mexico	Trancoso	2000	71.4%	86.9%	52.3%
Mexico	Trancoso	2017	72.6%	87.7%	53.4%
Mexico	Tres Valles	2000	60.4%	89.4%	25.5%
Mexico	Tres Valles	2017	61.9%	89.9%	27.8%
Mexico	Trincheras	2000	59.3%	88.6%	24.5%
Mexico	Trincheras	2017	60.6%	89.4%	25.9%
Mexico	Trinidad Garcia De La Cadena	2000	68.2%	85.3%	46.0%
Mexico	Trinidad Garcia De La Cadena	2017	75.0%	86.0%	63.2%
Mexico	Trinidad Zachila	2000	37.9%	38.9%	36.8%
Mexico	Trinidad Zachila	2017	39.8%	40.9%	38.7%
Mexico	Tubutama	2000	61.8%	92.8%	24.8%
Mexico	Tubutama	2017	62.6%	94.1%	25.2%
Mexico	Tula	2000	38.9%	59.8%	16.8%
Mexico	Tula	2017	38.7%	59.4%	16.8%
Mexico	Tula De Alende	2000	69.3%	82.1%	55.1%
Mexico	Tula De Alende	2017	71.6%	83.4%	57.6%
Mexico	Tulancingo De Bravo	2000	74.1%	77.3%	70.8%
Mexico	Tulancingo De Bravo	2017	77.1%	79.4%	74.6%
Mexico	Tulcingo	2000	41.5%	59.0%	25.1%
Mexico	Tulcingo	2017	41.1%	56.2%	29.5%
Mexico	Tultepec	2000	94.7%	94.8%	94.5%
Mexico	Tultepec	2017	95.1%	95.2%	94.9%
Mexico	Tultitlan	2000	94.9%	95.0%	94.8%
Mexico	Tultitlan	2017	95.3%	95.4%	95.2%
Mexico	Tumbala	2000	26.9%	49.9%	10.8%
Mexico	Tumbala	2017	28.3%	49.8%	12.7%
Mexico	Tumbiscatio	2000	31.3%	65.8%	7.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tumbiscatio	2017	32.2%	67.4%	8.4%
Mexico	Tunkas	2000	23.8%	37.6%	13.0%
Mexico	Tunkas	2017	25.3%	39.4%	14.0%
Mexico	Turicato	2000	41.7%	77.8%	8.4%
Mexico	Turicato	2017	42.7%	79.1%	8.8%
Mexico	Tuxcacuesco	2000	56.2%	78.4%	32.1%
Mexico	Tuxcacuesco	2017	57.5%	80.4%	32.7%
Mexico	Tuxcueca	2000	67.5%	92.0%	32.7%
Mexico	Tuxcueca	2017	69.0%	92.1%	34.6%
Mexico	Tuxpam	2000	42.9%	62.1%	25.1%
Mexico	Tuxpam	2017	43.5%	67.0%	22.0%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	50.6%	63.8%	38.0%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	80.7%	92.3%	61.6%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	64.7%	76.9%	49.1%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	81.1%	93.1%	58.8%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	52.7%	66.5%	39.4%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	66.5%	77.3%	53.4%
Mexico	Tuxtilla	2000	92.5%	95.2%	89.0%
Mexico	Tuxtilla	2017	93.3%	95.8%	90.0%
Mexico	Tuxtla Chico	2000	63.8%	73.3%	51.3%
Mexico	Tuxtla Chico	2017	64.9%	73.0%	54.4%
Mexico	Tuxtla Gutierrez	2000	84.0%	93.9%	69.4%
Mexico	Tuxtla Gutierrez	2017	84.4%	94.0%	70.1%
Mexico	Tuzamapan De Galeana	2000	15.0%	15.5%	14.5%
Mexico	Tuzamapan De Galeana	2017	16.0%	16.5%	15.4%
Mexico	Tuzantan	2000	53.9%	72.5%	36.6%
Mexico	Tuzantan	2017	55.0%	72.2%	38.6%
Mexico	Tuzantla	2000	29.5%	63.7%	9.2%
Mexico	Tuzantla	2017	30.7%	62.6%	10.9%
Mexico	Tzicatlacoyan	2000	28.3%	36.3%	19.1%
Mexico	Tzicatlacoyan	2017	29.3%	37.0%	20.5%
Mexico	Tzimol	2000	44.9%	60.5%	29.5%
Mexico	Tzimol	2017	46.2%	60.7%	32.1%
Mexico	Tzintzuntzan	2000	37.2%	50.3%	27.1%
Mexico	Tzintzuntzan	2017	39.7%	52.4%	29.2%
Mexico	Tzitzio	2000	36.2%	70.7%	6.3%
Mexico	Tzitzio	2017	37.7%	73.0%	7.5%
Mexico	Tzompantepec	2000	59.3%	60.2%	58.4%
Mexico	Tzompantepec	2017	63.1%	63.8%	62.2%
Mexico	Tzucacab	2000	28.2%	61.1%	7.1%
Mexico	Tzucacab	2017	29.2%	64.0%	7.3%
Mexico	Uayma	2000	9.1%	19.3%	3.3%
Mexico	Uayma	2017	9.0%	20.5%	3.1%
Mexico	Ucu	2000	8.0%	13.0%	4.6%
Mexico	Ucu	2017	6.1%	8.6%	4.2%
Mexico	Uman	2000	40.5%	59.1%	25.4%
Mexico	Uman	2017	41.9%	56.2%	28.0%
Mexico	Union De San Antonio	2000	70.0%	91.1%	42.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Union De San Antonio	2017	70.9%	90.8%	42.1%
Mexico	Union De Tula	2000	84.5%	95.5%	70.2%
Mexico	Union De Tula	2017	85.8%	94.6%	74.8%
Mexico	Union Hidalgo	2000	86.4%	94.9%	68.1%
Mexico	Union Hidalgo	2017	87.0%	95.3%	68.4%
Mexico	Union Juarez	2000	52.5%	69.2%	37.6%
Mexico	Union Juarez	2017	54.5%	70.5%	39.5%
Mexico	Ures	2000	80.8%	91.3%	62.8%
Mexico	Ures	2017	81.6%	91.7%	64.5%
Mexico	Uriangato	2000	86.1%	88.7%	82.4%
Mexico	Uriangato	2017	87.5%	89.1%	85.0%
Mexico	Urique	2000	22.9%	45.8%	4.6%
Mexico	Urique	2017	23.6%	45.7%	5.3%
Mexico	Ursulo Galvan	2000	85.8%	94.1%	66.6%
Mexico	Ursulo Galvan	2017	86.6%	94.7%	66.8%
Mexico	Uruachi	2000	16.3%	35.1%	2.8%
Mexico	Uruachi	2017	18.0%	36.7%	3.0%
Mexico	Uruapan	2000	62.6%	78.6%	43.0%
Mexico	Uruapan	2017	64.0%	77.1%	48.1%
Mexico	Uxpanapa	2000	32.4%	70.2%	5.5%
Mexico	Uxpanapa	2017	33.3%	72.4%	5.7%
Mexico	Valerio Trujano	2000	27.9%	40.1%	20.5%
Mexico	Valerio Trujano	2017	28.1%	42.7%	19.8%
Mexico	Valladolid	2000	32.4%	47.3%	21.0%
Mexico	Valladolid	2017	33.6%	48.8%	22.1%
Mexico	Valle De Bravo	2000	55.8%	72.2%	41.0%
Mexico	Valle De Bravo	2017	58.0%	74.8%	42.4%
Mexico	Valle De Chalco Solidaridad	2000	92.5%	92.6%	92.3%
Mexico	Valle De Chalco Solidaridad	2017	92.6%	92.8%	92.4%
Mexico	Valle De Guadalupe	2000	85.2%	93.0%	71.9%
Mexico	Valle De Guadalupe	2017	85.8%	93.6%	73.3%
Mexico	Valle De Juarez	2000	84.9%	89.6%	78.9%
Mexico	Valle De Juarez	2017	85.6%	89.4%	80.0%
Mexico	Valle De Santiago	2000	55.4%	82.3%	27.1%
Mexico	Valle De Santiago	2017	56.8%	83.4%	28.0%
Mexico	Valle De Zaragoza	2000	59.2%	87.7%	25.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Valle De Zaragoza	2017	60.7%	87.9%	28.7%
Mexico	Valle Hermoso	2000	60.2%	90.7%	22.1%
Mexico	Valle Hermoso	2017	62.6%	87.0%	32.3%
Mexico	Vallecillo	2000	52.5%	90.7%	9.3%
Mexico	Vallecillo	2017	53.8%	91.1%	9.4%
Mexico	Valparaiso	2000	48.4%	70.3%	27.3%
Mexico	Valparaiso	2017	49.7%	71.7%	28.3%
Mexico	Vanegas	2000	31.1%	51.6%	12.2%
Mexico	Vanegas	2017	31.7%	54.2%	14.0%
Mexico	Vega De Alatorre	2000	58.3%	82.8%	28.5%
Mexico	Vega De Alatorre	2017	60.0%	84.4%	28.8%
Mexico	Venado	2000	44.4%	62.3%	25.3%
Mexico	Venado	2017	46.0%	64.8%	26.3%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	88.3%	92.9%	78.4%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	98.9%	98.9%	98.9%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	62.8%	80.8%	41.4%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	57.0%	82.0%	29.2%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	98.9%	99.0%	98.9%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	56.8%	83.4%	28.4%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	88.8%	93.4%	79.5%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	66.2%	80.0%	49.9%
Mexico	Veracruz	2000	85.5%	87.7%	81.9%
Mexico	Veracruz	2017	86.4%	90.0%	77.9%
Mexico	Vetagrande	2000	71.7%	79.1%	64.6%
Mexico	Vetagrande	2017	76.8%	83.0%	69.7%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2000	3.8%	8.7%	1.5%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2000	80.9%	87.5%	74.0%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2017	82.1%	88.2%	75.0%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2017	3.6%	7.6%	1.4%
Mexico	Victoria	2000	26.8%	56.0%	6.0%
Mexico	Victoria	2000	76.1%	90.5%	54.7%
Mexico	Victoria	2017	27.9%	52.2%	6.9%
Mexico	Victoria	2017	77.5%	89.5%	57.8%
Mexico	Viesca	2000	53.1%	80.2%	27.2%
Mexico	Viesca	2017	53.3%	80.7%	27.9%
Mexico	Villa Aldama	2000	30.8%	33.3%	28.4%
Mexico	Villa Aldama	2017	32.4%	35.4%	29.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Villa Comaltitlan	2000	60.5%	80.6%	37.1%
Mexico	Villa Comaltitlan	2017	60.6%	82.6%	37.1%
Mexico	Villa Corona	2000	86.2%	95.0%	69.5%
Mexico	Villa Corona	2017	86.4%	95.1%	72.0%
Mexico	Villa Corzo	2000	60.6%	85.7%	29.2%
Mexico	Villa Corzo	2017	61.8%	86.5%	31.8%
Mexico	Villa De Al-lende	2000	19.4%	31.1%	10.9%
Mexico	Villa De Al-lende	2017	20.9%	33.9%	12.2%
Mexico	Villa De Al-varez	2000	97.6%	98.7%	95.7%
Mexico	Villa De Al-varez	2017	97.8%	98.8%	96.1%
Mexico	Villa De Arista	2000	33.4%	60.3%	11.3%
Mexico	Villa De Arista	2017	34.8%	61.5%	11.8%
Mexico	Villa De Arriaga	2000	47.4%	86.4%	11.5%
Mexico	Villa De Arriaga	2017	48.3%	86.4%	12.5%
Mexico	Villa De Chilapa De Diaz	2000	71.0%	72.8%	69.3%
Mexico	Villa De Chilapa De Diaz	2017	49.4%	52.2%	46.7%
Mexico	Villa De Cos	2000	44.8%	66.1%	22.3%
Mexico	Villa De Cos	2017	45.6%	67.8%	20.8%
Mexico	Villa De Etlá	2000	61.1%	62.1%	59.9%
Mexico	Villa De Etlá	2017	62.9%	63.9%	61.7%
Mexico	Villa De Guadalupe	2000	28.6%	61.7%	3.0%
Mexico	Villa De Guadalupe	2017	30.3%	64.0%	3.4%
Mexico	Villa De La Paz	2000	50.8%	60.2%	39.8%
Mexico	Villa De La Paz	2017	53.5%	63.1%	39.6%
Mexico	Villa De Ramos	2000	41.5%	70.4%	16.2%
Mexico	Villa De Ramos	2017	42.5%	71.0%	18.5%
Mexico	Villa De Reyes	2000	44.1%	78.6%	16.0%
Mexico	Villa De Reyes	2017	45.5%	79.0%	17.9%
Mexico	Villa De Tamazulapam Del Progreso	2000	64.4%	70.1%	56.5%
Mexico	Villa De Tamazulapam Del Progreso	2017	57.3%	61.4%	53.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Villa De Tezontepec	2000	85.5%	88.4%	81.0%
Mexico	Villa De Tezontepec	2017	86.4%	88.9%	82.4%
Mexico	Villa De Tututepec De Melchor Ocampo	2000	49.2%	85.2%	8.5%
Mexico	Villa De Tututepec De Melchor Ocampo	2017	50.2%	87.4%	9.2%
Mexico	Villa De Zaachila	2000	25.3%	25.9%	24.6%
Mexico	Villa De Zaachila	2017	26.7%	27.4%	26.0%
Mexico	Villa Del Carbon	2000	47.8%	60.3%	37.2%
Mexico	Villa Del Carbon	2017	50.5%	61.7%	40.4%
Mexico	Villa Diaz Ordaz	2000	50.0%	54.2%	45.9%
Mexico	Villa Diaz Ordaz	2017	46.4%	50.5%	42.4%
Mexico	Villa Garcia	2000	70.8%	88.7%	50.3%
Mexico	Villa Garcia	2017	71.7%	89.7%	51.0%
Mexico	Villa Gonzalez Ortega	2000	63.1%	86.4%	36.1%
Mexico	Villa Gonzalez Ortega	2017	64.3%	87.2%	36.7%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2000	62.9%	83.6%	38.3%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2000	51.5%	64.6%	38.4%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2017	64.3%	84.3%	40.5%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2017	52.4%	63.0%	41.6%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	33.1%	70.3%	4.8%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	42.4%	67.1%	19.3%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	24.8%	27.0%	22.9%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	82.4%	91.2%	70.4%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	71.0%	98.8%	18.4%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	29.5%	32.8%	26.2%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	44.8%	71.6%	19.8%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	34.3%	71.5%	6.0%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	71.3%	99.1%	15.2%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	83.5%	92.0%	73.2%
Mexico	Villa Juarez	2000	39.5%	75.6%	9.2%
Mexico	Villa Juarez	2017	40.2%	76.9%	9.0%
Mexico	Villa Pesqueira	2000	75.3%	89.5%	51.7%
Mexico	Villa Pesqueira	2017	75.7%	90.0%	52.3%
Mexico	Villa Purificacion	2000	56.5%	91.3%	15.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Villa Purificacion	2017	58.0%	91.6%	16.3%
Mexico	Villa Sola De Vega	2000	14.1%	31.3%	5.7%
Mexico	Villa Sola De Vega	2017	15.6%	34.7%	6.7%
Mexico	Villa Talea De Castro	2000	25.8%	27.6%	24.3%
Mexico	Villa Talea De Castro	2017	25.8%	27.6%	24.3%
Mexico	Villa Tejupam De La Union	2000	26.1%	32.3%	23.0%
Mexico	Villa Tejupam De La Union	2017	29.2%	34.0%	26.3%
Mexico	Villa Union	2000	53.8%	74.9%	29.4%
Mexico	Villa Union	2017	52.6%	78.4%	23.6%
Mexico	Villa Victoria	2000	17.8%	38.4%	4.8%
Mexico	Villa Victoria	2017	18.6%	40.7%	5.0%
Mexico	Villaflores	2000	67.1%	94.8%	32.8%
Mexico	Villaflores	2017	68.1%	94.9%	34.9%
Mexico	Villagran	2000	72.6%	85.9%	54.6%
Mexico	Villagran	2000	20.7%	49.8%	3.6%
Mexico	Villagran	2017	74.8%	87.0%	57.8%
Mexico	Villagran	2017	22.0%	54.0%	4.3%
Mexico	Villaldama	2000	62.5%	94.2%	21.0%
Mexico	Villaldama	2017	64.7%	92.5%	25.3%
Mexico	Villamar	2000	63.5%	79.5%	44.9%
Mexico	Villamar	2017	65.1%	80.8%	46.7%
Mexico	Villanueva	2000	59.0%	84.1%	21.3%
Mexico	Villanueva	2017	60.5%	84.5%	24.8%
Mexico	Vista Hermosa	2000	83.3%	85.2%	80.6%
Mexico	Vista Hermosa	2017	84.7%	86.2%	82.0%
Mexico	Xalapa	2000	88.0%	88.4%	87.5%
Mexico	Xalapa	2017	89.0%	89.6%	88.4%
Mexico	Xalatlaco	2000	73.8%	74.7%	73.0%
Mexico	Xalatlaco	2017	74.3%	75.5%	72.6%
Mexico	Xalisco	2000	87.3%	93.2%	79.3%
Mexico	Xalisco	2017	88.0%	93.6%	81.0%
Mexico	Xaloztoc	2000	54.6%	57.2%	51.7%
Mexico	Xaloztoc	2017	56.0%	59.4%	52.3%
Mexico	Xalpatlahuac	2000	7.9%	23.3%	1.8%
Mexico	Xalpatlahuac	2017	7.5%	22.0%	1.7%
Mexico	Xaltocan	2000	72.4%	73.4%	71.3%
Mexico	Xaltocan	2017	74.9%	75.8%	74.0%
Mexico	Xayacatlan De Bravo	2000	51.0%	56.9%	44.9%
Mexico	Xayacatlan De Bravo	2017	53.6%	60.3%	47.5%
Mexico	Xichu	2000	21.6%	50.9%	4.2%
Mexico	Xichu	2017	22.2%	50.9%	4.9%
Mexico	Xico	2000	61.4%	70.0%	53.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Xico	2017	62.8%	73.7%	51.2%
Mexico	Xicohtzinco	2000	80.8%	81.2%	80.4%
Mexico	Xicohtzinco	2017	81.8%	82.1%	81.4%
Mexico	Xicotencatl	2000	51.0%	71.5%	32.1%
Mexico	Xicotencatl	2017	49.0%	70.1%	30.1%
Mexico	Xicoteppec	2000	46.4%	57.2%	35.5%
Mexico	Xicoteppec	2017	48.8%	58.7%	38.7%
Mexico	Xicotlan	2000	27.1%	49.4%	9.0%
Mexico	Xicotlan	2017	28.9%	52.6%	9.3%
Mexico	Xilitla	2000	33.7%	54.3%	14.8%
Mexico	Xilitla	2017	35.2%	55.7%	15.9%
Mexico	Xiutetelco	2000	41.1%	43.4%	39.1%
Mexico	Xiutetelco	2017	45.2%	48.2%	42.7%
Mexico	Xocchel	2000	10.3%	12.3%	9.0%
Mexico	Xocchel	2017	10.7%	12.3%	9.7%
Mexico	Xochiapulco	2000	40.8%	41.7%	38.5%
Mexico	Xochiapulco	2017	43.7%	44.6%	41.6%
Mexico	Xochiatipan	2000	5.2%	14.6%	1.9%
Mexico	Xochiatipan	2017	6.0%	17.4%	2.1%
Mexico	Xochicoatlan	2000	36.8%	52.5%	22.4%
Mexico	Xochicoatlan	2017	38.9%	54.8%	24.0%
Mexico	Xochihuehuetlan	2000	23.6%	39.1%	11.9%
Mexico	Xochihuehuetlan	2017	24.3%	39.6%	13.7%
Mexico	Xochiltepec	2000	52.6%	53.9%	51.3%
Mexico	Xochiltepec	2017	56.5%	58.0%	54.9%
Mexico	Xochimilco	2000	90.4%	92.6%	85.6%
Mexico	Xochimilco	2017	91.5%	93.8%	86.8%
Mexico	Xochistlahuaca	2000	9.9%	27.2%	2.1%
Mexico	Xochistlahuaca	2017	9.4%	22.9%	2.5%
Mexico	Xochitepec	2000	72.5%	74.0%	70.8%
Mexico	Xochitepec	2017	73.8%	75.2%	72.1%
Mexico	Xochitlan	2000	16.8%	17.7%	16.0%
Mexico	De Vicente Suarez				
Mexico	Xochitlan	2017	17.8%	18.7%	16.8%
Mexico	De Vicente Suarez				
Mexico	Xochitlan Todos Santos	2000	25.6%	38.4%	15.5%
Mexico	Xochitlan Todos Santos	2017	28.6%	40.7%	18.4%
Mexico	Xonacatlan	2000	69.9%	72.7%	67.1%
Mexico	Xonacatlan	2017	75.4%	77.7%	72.8%
Mexico	Xoxocotla	2000	8.1%	8.9%	7.3%
Mexico	Xoxocotla	2017	7.7%	8.5%	6.9%
Mexico	Yahualica	2000	6.1%	9.1%	4.2%
Mexico	Yahualica	2017	6.5%	10.0%	4.5%
Mexico	Yahualica	2000	69.7%	87.8%	44.0%
Mexico	De Gonzalez Gallo				
Mexico	Yahualica	2017	70.9%	88.7%	43.0%
Mexico	De Gonzalez Gallo				

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Yajalon	2000	55.8%	78.9%	34.5%
Mexico	Yajalon	2017	61.0%	80.1%	41.4%
Mexico	Yanga	2000	69.6%	74.5%	63.5%
Mexico	Yanga	2017	70.6%	74.9%	65.3%
Mexico	Yaonahuac	2000	22.1%	23.5%	21.0%
Mexico	Yaonahuac	2017	25.6%	27.1%	24.3%
Mexico	Yauhquemecan	2000	81.4%	82.0%	81.0%
Mexico	Yauhquemecan	2017	83.1%	83.6%	82.7%
Mexico	Yautepec	2000	74.6%	86.3%	57.9%
Mexico	Yautepec	2017	77.3%	87.2%	62.5%
Mexico	Yaxcaba	2000	11.7%	33.1%	0.9%
Mexico	Yaxcaba	2017	12.7%	36.1%	1.0%
Mexico	Yaxe	2000	5.6%	7.3%	4.2%
Mexico	Yaxe	2017	5.0%	6.6%	3.7%
Mexico	Yaxkukul	2000	32.1%	33.7%	30.5%
Mexico	Yaxkukul	2017	35.7%	37.2%	34.1%
Mexico	Yecapixtla	2000	69.8%	70.3%	69.3%
Mexico	Yecapixtla	2017	66.1%	66.7%	65.5%
Mexico	Yecora	2000	39.3%	78.6%	8.9%
Mexico	Yecora	2017	41.0%	76.4%	12.3%
Mexico	Yecuatla	2000	36.3%	40.8%	32.4%
Mexico	Yecuatla	2017	37.4%	41.4%	33.7%
Mexico	Yehualtepec	2000	21.3%	31.6%	14.0%
Mexico	Yehualtepec	2017	22.2%	34.5%	14.4%
Mexico	Yobain	2000	36.9%	59.7%	19.5%
Mexico	Yobain	2017	39.7%	57.4%	26.2%
Mexico	Yogana	2000	9.9%	12.7%	7.6%
Mexico	Yogana	2017	14.5%	19.4%	10.6%
Mexico	Yurecuaro	2000	85.2%	90.5%	75.9%
Mexico	Yurecuaro	2017	84.1%	91.8%	70.5%
Mexico	Yuriria	2000	64.2%	85.7%	38.1%
Mexico	Yuriria	2017	64.4%	87.7%	37.2%
Mexico	Yutanduchi	2000	3.5%	4.3%	2.8%
Mexico	Yutanduchi De Guerrero	2017	2.6%	3.3%	2.0%
Mexico	Zacapala	2000	21.4%	32.4%	12.9%
Mexico	Zacapala	2017	25.8%	38.5%	16.4%
Mexico	Zacapoaxtla	2000	40.1%	41.5%	38.6%
Mexico	Zacapoaxtla	2017	43.2%	44.6%	41.7%
Mexico	Zacapu	2000	70.1%	85.3%	51.8%
Mexico	Zacapu	2017	71.8%	85.1%	56.2%
Mexico	Zacatecas	2000	71.8%	80.0%	60.6%
Mexico	Zacatecas	2017	77.8%	83.5%	67.2%
Mexico	Zacatelco	2000	78.0%	78.3%	77.6%
Mexico	Zacatelco	2017	79.2%	79.6%	78.8%
Mexico	Zacatepec De Hidalgo	2000	79.9%	80.7%	79.1%
Mexico	Zacatepec De Hidalgo	2017	81.0%	81.7%	80.3%
Mexico	Zacatlan	2000	27.0%	37.4%	17.3%
Mexico	Zacatlan	2017	27.8%	37.8%	18.0%
Mexico	Zacazonapan	2000	56.7%	62.6%	50.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zacazonapan	2017	58.6%	64.2%	52.3%
Mexico	Zacoalco De Torres	2000	79.3%	89.9%	65.4%
Mexico	Zacoalco De Torres	2017	80.3%	90.6%	66.2%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2000	12.9%	24.5%	4.8%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2000	34.9%	53.1%	20.6%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2017	13.8%	25.7%	5.6%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2017	36.0%	54.0%	22.7%
Mexico	Zacualpan De Amilpas	2000	31.4%	32.0%	30.8%
Mexico	Zacualpan De Amilpas	2017	35.7%	36.4%	35.1%
Mexico	Zacualtipan De Angeles	2000	52.2%	66.7%	38.4%
Mexico	Zacualtipan De Angeles	2017	54.3%	68.1%	41.0%
Mexico	Zamora	2000	84.6%	92.7%	75.3%
Mexico	Zamora	2017	85.7%	93.0%	75.8%
Mexico	Zapopan	2000	89.6%	98.1%	76.8%
Mexico	Zapopan	2017	88.9%	98.2%	73.8%
Mexico	Zapotiltic	2000	79.5%	88.0%	70.2%
Mexico	Zapotiltic	2017	80.1%	89.1%	68.8%
Mexico	Zapotitlan	2000	39.1%	58.0%	23.4%
Mexico	Zapotitlan	2017	40.8%	60.6%	23.7%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Mendez	2000	15.9%	16.3%	15.5%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Mendez	2017	17.3%	17.8%	16.8%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Vadillo	2000	57.2%	80.1%	32.5%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Vadillo	2017	57.4%	81.4%	34.8%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Del Rio	2000	4.6%	16.5%	1.0%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Del Rio	2017	4.7%	15.4%	1.1%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Lagunas	2000	8.2%	11.3%	6.6%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Lagunas	2017	9.1%	13.1%	6.7%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Palmas	2000	5.0%	9.3%	2.4%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Palmas	2017	6.1%	10.3%	3.6%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Tablas	2000	2.8%	7.8%	0.7%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Tablas	2017	2.9%	8.4%	0.7%
Mexico	Zapotlan De Juarez	2000	79.2%	81.2%	76.9%
Mexico	Zapotlan De Juarez	2017	81.7%	83.6%	79.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zapotlan Del Rey	2000	68.7%	89.2%	46.9%
Mexico	Zapotlan Del Rey	2017	68.5%	88.5%	43.6%
Mexico	Zapotlan El Grande	2000	91.8%	94.5%	88.1%
Mexico	Zapotlan El Grande	2017	90.9%	93.4%	87.4%
Mexico	Zapotlanejo	2000	79.0%	89.6%	64.4%
Mexico	Zapotlanejo	2017	78.3%	89.4%	62.6%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	64.9%	72.2%	55.3%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	40.2%	61.6%	22.3%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	67.0%	70.9%	63.4%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	57.0%	58.2%	56.0%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	65.5%	70.4%	59.1%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	41.8%	65.1%	21.4%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	65.0%	68.0%	62.1%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	58.6%	59.7%	57.6%
Mexico	Zautla	2000	32.1%	50.8%	19.1%
Mexico	Zautla	2017	29.2%	42.8%	18.2%
Mexico	Zempoala	2000	72.0%	85.0%	57.2%
Mexico	Zempoala	2017	70.4%	84.9%	54.0%
Mexico	Zentla	2000	25.2%	35.7%	17.2%
Mexico	Zentla	2017	26.6%	37.2%	18.0%
Mexico	Zihuateutla	2000	20.7%	30.1%	13.1%
Mexico	Zihuateutla	2017	21.3%	29.2%	14.1%
Mexico	Zimapan	2000	48.2%	74.3%	23.4%
Mexico	Zimapan	2017	50.0%	75.3%	25.9%
Mexico	Zimatlan De Alvarez	2000	32.1%	34.7%	31.1%
Mexico	Zimatlan De Alvarez	2017	34.1%	36.5%	33.1%
Mexico	Zinacantan	2000	37.1%	50.6%	23.7%
Mexico	Zinacantan	2017	39.6%	53.4%	25.9%
Mexico	Zinacantepec	2000	44.9%	65.2%	28.6%
Mexico	Zinacantepec	2017	46.2%	64.8%	31.4%
Mexico	Zinacatepec	2000	68.6%	69.6%	67.2%
Mexico	Zinacatepec	2017	63.4%	65.5%	59.8%
Mexico	Zinaparo	2000	61.5%	74.0%	47.9%
Mexico	Zinaparo	2017	63.1%	72.0%	55.2%
Mexico	Zinapécuaro	2000	56.9%	85.2%	26.5%
Mexico	Zinapécuaro	2017	57.8%	85.6%	28.5%
Mexico	Ziracuaretiro	2000	35.0%	48.0%	23.7%
Mexico	Ziracuaretiro	2017	35.8%	49.1%	25.0%
Mexico	Zirandaro	2000	38.1%	65.0%	8.3%
Mexico	Zirandaro	2017	39.3%	64.8%	9.3%
Mexico	Zitacuaro	2000	52.9%	65.4%	41.2%
Mexico	Zitacuaro	2017	55.6%	67.7%	44.3%
Mexico	Zitlala	2000	17.9%	45.2%	4.1%
Mexico	Zitlala	2017	18.8%	46.1%	4.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zitlaltepec De Trinidad Sanchez Santos	2000	83.6%	84.6%	82.7%
Mexico	Zitlaltepec De Trinidad Sanchez Santos	2017	80.2%	81.2%	79.4%
Mexico	Zongolica	2000	15.6%	25.7%	12.0%
Mexico	Zongolica	2017	17.8%	27.1%	14.0%
Mexico	Zongozotla	2000	21.9%	22.6%	21.2%
Mexico	Zongozotla	2017	23.9%	24.6%	23.2%
Mexico	Zontecomatlan De Lopez Y Fuentes	2000	3.0%	11.0%	0.9%
Mexico	Zontecomatlan De Lopez Y Fuentes	2017	3.3%	12.2%	1.0%
Mexico	Zoquiapan	2000	21.3%	21.8%	20.7%
Mexico	Zoquiapan	2017	23.6%	24.2%	23.0%
Mexico	Zoquitlan	2000	5.9%	13.9%	1.6%
Mexico	Zoquitlan	2017	5.8%	13.5%	1.8%
Mexico	Zozocolco De Hidalgo	2000	7.8%	8.2%	7.4%
Mexico	Zozocolco De Hidalgo	2017	8.1%	8.5%	7.7%
Mexico	Zumpahuacan	2000	49.5%	69.9%	28.4%
Mexico	Zumpahuacan	2017	50.7%	71.1%	30.9%
Mexico	Zumpango	2000	62.2%	72.8%	49.8%
Mexico	Zumpango	2017	61.8%	73.4%	48.4%
Nicaragua	Achuapa	2000	10.1%	28.1%	0.3%
Nicaragua	Achuapa	2017	31.4%	60.7%	7.8%
Nicaragua	Acoyapa	2000	7.6%	30.2%	0.7%
Nicaragua	Acoyapa	2017	29.8%	57.6%	9.7%
Nicaragua	Altagracia	2000	11.1%	55.9%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Altagracia	2017	44.2%	85.7%	3.4%
Nicaragua	Belén	2000	21.6%	35.4%	6.2%
Nicaragua	Belén	2017	38.7%	54.2%	20.5%
Nicaragua	Bluefields	2000	26.3%	38.4%	11.3%
Nicaragua	Bluefields	2017	44.7%	53.7%	35.0%
Nicaragua	Boaco	2000	9.3%	34.5%	0.7%
Nicaragua	Boaco	2017	30.1%	60.3%	7.8%
Nicaragua	Bocana de Paiwas	2000	8.4%	21.1%	0.9%
Nicaragua	Bocana de Paiwas	2017	29.0%	49.5%	13.0%
Nicaragua	Bonanza	2000	8.8%	21.9%	0.9%
Nicaragua	Bonanza	2017	30.3%	48.0%	12.6%
Nicaragua	Buenos Aires	2000	27.1%	82.4%	15.4%
Nicaragua	Buenos Aires	2017	76.7%	91.7%	25.7%
Nicaragua	Camoapa	2000	15.4%	37.5%	3.5%
Nicaragua	Camoapa	2017	40.3%	65.8%	18.1%
Nicaragua	Catarina	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Catarina	2017	9.4%	40.8%	1.5%
Nicaragua	Chichigalpa	2000	6.3%	30.5%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Chichigalpa	2017	31.9%	70.3%	3.2%
Nicaragua	Chinandega	2000	10.4%	38.8%	0.9%
Nicaragua	Chinandega	2017	40.0%	80.3%	9.1%
Nicaragua	Cinco Pinos	2000	4.0%	25.8%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Cinco Pinos	2017	20.5%	50.6%	0.5%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Antigua	2000	8.7%	40.5%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Antigua	2017	30.2%	68.2%	1.9%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Darío	2000	10.0%	25.3%	1.2%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Darío	2017	32.6%	59.2%	14.2%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Sandino	2000	10.6%	37.6%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Sandino	2017	36.1%	74.2%	7.2%
Nicaragua	Comalapa	2000	9.8%	26.8%	0.2%
Nicaragua	Comalapa	2017	33.4%	63.7%	10.3%
Nicaragua	Condega	2000	6.7%	23.1%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Condega	2017	32.3%	70.7%	6.3%
Nicaragua	Corinto	2000	6.7%	53.6%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Corinto	2017	40.8%	88.2%	14.9%
Nicaragua	Dipilto	2000	9.2%	34.6%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Dipilto	2017	26.6%	54.3%	1.3%
Nicaragua	Diriá	2000	7.5%	18.9%	6.4%
Nicaragua	Diriá	2017	30.7%	48.3%	23.2%
Nicaragua	Diriamba	2000	5.0%	14.7%	0.2%
Nicaragua	Diriamba	2017	31.6%	48.2%	14.1%
Nicaragua	Diriomo	2000	8.6%	17.5%	7.7%
Nicaragua	Diriomo	2017	27.3%	33.9%	23.5%
Nicaragua	Dolores	2000	0.8%	5.4%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Dolores	2017	28.3%	72.5%	3.1%
Nicaragua	El Almendro	2000	9.5%	22.3%	0.3%
Nicaragua	El Almendro	2017	34.1%	58.7%	9.8%
Nicaragua	El Cuá	2000	9.0%	18.3%	2.9%
Nicaragua	El Cuá	2017	31.7%	47.0%	18.7%
Nicaragua	El Jicaral	2000	10.3%	34.7%	0.2%
Nicaragua	El Jicaral	2017	34.9%	70.9%	8.1%
Nicaragua	El Rama	2000	8.1%	14.8%	3.6%
Nicaragua	El Rama	2017	29.8%	42.4%	17.2%
Nicaragua	El Realejo	2000	7.4%	34.5%	0.0%
Nicaragua	El Realejo	2017	28.5%	70.3%	1.1%
Nicaragua	El Rosario	2000	1.0%	10.8%	0.0%
Nicaragua	El Rosario	2017	18.6%	58.3%	0.9%
Nicaragua	El Sauce	2000	9.3%	26.3%	0.4%
Nicaragua	El Sauce	2017	32.8%	57.9%	10.4%
Nicaragua	El Viejo	2000	8.6%	24.3%	1.4%
Nicaragua	El Viejo	2017	29.3%	48.6%	13.4%
Nicaragua	Esquipulas	2000	5.7%	35.7%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Esquipulas	2017	28.4%	75.2%	0.4%
Nicaragua	Estelí	2000	7.3%	24.5%	0.5%
Nicaragua	Estelí	2017	38.7%	69.3%	10.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Granada	2000	25.3%	42.7%	17.2%
Nicaragua	Granada	2017	55.8%	74.5%	31.8%
Nicaragua	Jalapa	2000	16.7%	39.2%	1.4%
Nicaragua	Jalapa	2017	46.4%	74.7%	16.2%
Nicaragua	Jinotega	2000	12.8%	38.1%	3.7%
Nicaragua	Jinotega	2017	37.7%	68.9%	16.1%
Nicaragua	Jinotepe	2000	2.0%	13.5%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Jinotepe	2017	27.5%	77.0%	2.9%
Nicaragua	Juigalpa	2000	5.9%	18.0%	0.7%
Nicaragua	Juigalpa	2017	28.0%	57.5%	9.3%
Nicaragua	Kukra Hill	2000	8.7%	31.4%	0.6%
Nicaragua	Kukra Hill	2017	30.1%	55.4%	11.2%
Nicaragua	La Concepción	2000	5.1%	24.0%	0.0%
Nicaragua	La Concepción	2017	35.4%	76.8%	1.1%
Nicaragua	La Concordia	2000	6.0%	23.7%	0.0%
Nicaragua	La Concordia	2017	29.0%	67.7%	1.1%
Nicaragua	La Conquista	2000	1.2%	8.5%	0.0%
Nicaragua	La Conquista	2017	11.9%	26.8%	4.0%
Nicaragua	La Cruz de Río Grande	2000	8.4%	14.3%	4.2%
Nicaragua	La Cruz de Río Grande	2017	29.6%	39.9%	19.5%
Nicaragua	La Libertad	2000	5.7%	19.0%	0.2%
Nicaragua	La Libertad	2017	24.8%	67.3%	5.1%
Nicaragua	La Paz Centro	2000	20.0%	42.1%	3.0%
Nicaragua	La Paz Centro	2017	53.9%	75.0%	31.7%
Nicaragua	La Paz de Carazo	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Nicaragua	La Paz de Carazo	2017	10.0%	14.0%	6.8%
Nicaragua	La Trinidad	2000	12.4%	29.4%	0.1%
Nicaragua	La Trinidad	2017	43.4%	76.8%	14.2%
Nicaragua	Lago de Managua	2000	13.9%	63.7%	0.1%
Nicaragua	Lago de Managua	2017	40.2%	85.6%	3.8%
Nicaragua	Lago de Nicaragua	2000	9.2%	31.8%	2.2%
Nicaragua	Lago de Nicaragua	2017	36.0%	63.0%	15.3%
Nicaragua	Laguna de Perlas	2000	7.8%	15.9%	2.7%
Nicaragua	Laguna de Perlas	2017	28.6%	44.8%	17.3%
Nicaragua	Larreynaga-Malpaisillo	2000	10.2%	28.6%	1.1%
Nicaragua	Larreynaga-Malpaisillo	2017	34.2%	62.0%	12.7%
Nicaragua	Las Sabanas	2000	2.2%	23.1%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Las Sabanas	2017	17.4%	47.4%	0.1%
Nicaragua	León	2000	7.7%	20.5%	0.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	León	2017	39.8%	71.6%	13.4%
Nicaragua	Macuelizo	2000	7.6%	36.7%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Macuelizo	2017	28.2%	64.9%	1.4%
Nicaragua	Managua	2000	11.2%	37.3%	0.7%
Nicaragua	Managua	2017	50.6%	85.0%	17.7%
Nicaragua	Masatepe	2000	2.8%	26.5%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Masatepe	2017	24.1%	47.6%	2.5%
Nicaragua	Masaya	2000	8.3%	28.1%	0.1%
Nicaragua	Masaya	2017	38.2%	62.3%	11.2%
Nicaragua	Matagalpa	2000	26.3%	45.5%	16.7%
Nicaragua	Matagalpa	2017	55.9%	87.6%	28.7%
Nicaragua	Mateare	2000	8.6%	44.4%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Mateare	2017	34.4%	76.0%	4.8%
Nicaragua	Matiguás	2000	11.0%	25.0%	2.5%
Nicaragua	Matiguás	2017	36.3%	56.9%	16.8%
Nicaragua	Morrito	2000	7.2%	23.3%	0.1%
Nicaragua	Morrito	2017	28.4%	55.1%	6.3%
Nicaragua	Moyogalpa	2000	5.6%	33.3%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Moyogalpa	2017	46.5%	93.5%	4.6%
Nicaragua	Mozonte	2000	6.2%	23.3%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Mozonte	2017	27.9%	60.9%	4.2%
Nicaragua	Muelle de los Bueyes	2000	8.8%	20.2%	0.8%
Nicaragua	Muelle de los Bueyes	2017	30.2%	51.5%	11.9%
Nicaragua	Murra	2000	9.3%	30.0%	0.1%
Nicaragua	Murra	2017	30.8%	62.2%	6.2%
Nicaragua	Muy Muy	2000	24.2%	56.9%	2.3%
Nicaragua	Muy Muy	2017	48.8%	83.7%	19.2%
Nicaragua	Nagarote	2000	13.1%	44.2%	0.4%
Nicaragua	Nagarote	2017	41.7%	80.4%	8.5%
Nicaragua	Nandaime	2000	6.2%	22.5%	0.1%
Nicaragua	Nandaime	2017	31.5%	56.0%	13.3%
Nicaragua	Nandasmo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Nandasmo	2017	9.9%	23.1%	3.9%
Nicaragua	Nindirí	2000	9.2%	32.0%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Nindirí	2017	47.3%	77.7%	10.4%
Nicaragua	Niquinohomo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Niquinohomo	2017	10.5%	14.1%	8.2%
Nicaragua	Nueva Guinea	2000	8.4%	20.9%	2.0%
Nicaragua	Nueva Guinea	2017	30.1%	48.2%	13.0%
Nicaragua	Ocotal	2000	1.5%	6.3%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Ocotal	2017	26.5%	70.5%	6.5%
Nicaragua	Palacagüina	2000	3.3%	10.9%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Palacagüina	2017	21.7%	52.7%	1.5%
Nicaragua	Posoltega	2000	5.3%	21.3%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Posoltega	2017	21.6%	76.5%	0.7%
Nicaragua	Potosí	2000	28.1%	41.2%	25.7%
Nicaragua	Potosí	2017	42.7%	60.9%	32.8%
Nicaragua	Prinzapolka	2000	8.1%	14.8%	3.5%
Nicaragua	Prinzapolka	2017	27.4%	37.9%	18.1%
Nicaragua	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	5.5%	23.4%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	28.0%	72.7%	1.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Puerto Cabezas	2000	9.2%	20.2%	3.0%
Nicaragua	Puerto Cabezas	2017	29.6%	43.3%	18.6%
Nicaragua	Puerto Morazán	2000	8.7%	39.1%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Puerto Morazán	2017	29.5%	61.6%	3.1%
Nicaragua	Quezalguaque	2000	7.2%	28.3%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Quezalguaque	2017	24.1%	54.0%	0.7%
Nicaragua	Quilalí	2000	8.0%	28.5%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Quilalí	2017	29.3%	67.2%	4.9%
Nicaragua	Rancho Grande	2000	8.0%	27.6%	0.2%
Nicaragua	Rancho Grande	2017	31.8%	66.3%	7.1%
Nicaragua	Río Blanco	2000	8.6%	17.8%	2.4%
Nicaragua	Río Blanco	2017	33.3%	50.9%	19.4%
Nicaragua	Rivas	2000	52.9%	73.0%	43.7%
Nicaragua	Rivas	2017	79.9%	96.7%	60.7%
Nicaragua	Rosita	2000	7.2%	19.0%	2.1%
Nicaragua	Rosita	2017	26.5%	40.9%	14.2%
Nicaragua	San Carlos	2000	9.6%	20.1%	3.0%
Nicaragua	San Carlos	2017	32.6%	47.2%	20.0%
Nicaragua	San Dionisio	2000	6.0%	27.2%	0.0%
Nicaragua	San Dionisio	2017	23.3%	62.0%	0.7%
Nicaragua	San Fernando	2000	11.2%	34.1%	0.0%
Nicaragua	San Fernando	2017	34.5%	68.2%	3.3%
Nicaragua	San Francisco del Norte	2000	5.2%	27.8%	0.0%
Nicaragua	San Francisco del Norte	2017	28.7%	80.1%	1.2%
Nicaragua	San Francisco Libre	2000	10.5%	33.0%	0.6%
Nicaragua	San Francisco Libre	2017	36.6%	72.2%	10.9%
Nicaragua	San Isidro	2000	7.2%	35.9%	0.0%
Nicaragua	San Isidro	2017	40.9%	80.7%	5.5%
Nicaragua	San Jorge	2000	18.7%	78.6%	0.0%
Nicaragua	San Jorge	2017	81.2%	97.4%	23.3%
Nicaragua	San José de Cusmapa	2000	1.8%	14.9%	0.0%
Nicaragua	San José de Cusmapa	2017	18.8%	56.5%	0.1%
Nicaragua	San José de los Remates	2000	6.0%	26.6%	0.0%
Nicaragua	San José de los Remates	2017	26.4%	59.1%	1.9%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Limay	2000	9.2%	27.7%	0.1%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Limay	2017	28.0%	50.8%	8.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	San Juan de Oriente	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Oriente	2017	9.1%	48.0%	0.5%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Norte	2000	9.8%	21.6%	1.7%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Norte	2017	32.4%	48.9%	16.9%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Río Coco	2000	6.6%	30.8%	0.0%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Río Coco	2017	33.1%	70.0%	2.6%
Nicaragua	San Lorenzo	2000	10.8%	30.9%	0.2%
Nicaragua	San Lorenzo	2017	35.0%	63.5%	12.3%
Nicaragua	San Lucas	2000	5.6%	26.5%	0.0%
Nicaragua	San Lucas	2017	25.3%	60.5%	0.2%
Nicaragua	San Marcos	2000	4.3%	16.4%	0.0%
Nicaragua	San Marcos	2017	31.0%	73.0%	3.3%
Nicaragua	San Miguelito	2000	7.1%	19.0%	0.5%
Nicaragua	San Miguelito	2017	28.1%	49.6%	9.9%
Nicaragua	San Nicolás	2000	11.6%	35.4%	0.0%
Nicaragua	San Nicolás	2017	34.0%	69.3%	8.6%
Nicaragua	San Pedro de Lóvago	2000	9.8%	28.5%	0.3%
Nicaragua	San Pedro de Lóvago	2017	34.8%	60.3%	11.7%
Nicaragua	San Pedro del Norte	2000	0.8%	4.1%	0.0%
Nicaragua	San Pedro del Norte	2017	15.5%	47.8%	0.8%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Norte	2000	9.3%	32.1%	0.8%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Norte	2017	33.6%	67.7%	9.9%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Sur	2000	8.9%	34.5%	0.1%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Sur	2017	31.3%	66.6%	5.3%
Nicaragua	San Ramón	2000	15.5%	41.4%	2.0%
Nicaragua	San Ramón	2017	44.9%	73.0%	18.6%
Nicaragua	San Sebastián de Yalí	2000	14.7%	38.4%	0.6%
Nicaragua	San Sebastián de Yalí	2017	44.3%	84.9%	11.7%
Nicaragua	Santa Lucía	2000	3.2%	24.5%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Santa Lucía	2017	25.1%	71.7%	0.5%
Nicaragua	Santa María	2000	5.5%	24.7%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Santa María	2017	25.7%	65.3%	0.3%
Nicaragua	Santa María de Pantasma	2000	10.3%	26.1%	0.5%
Nicaragua	Santa María de Pantasma	2017	33.2%	66.5%	11.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Santa Rosa del Peñón	2000	6.7%	27.3%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Santa Rosa del Peñón	2017	26.3%	56.7%	3.5%
Nicaragua	Santo Domingo	2000	8.1%	25.2%	0.2%
Nicaragua	Santo Domingo	2017	29.8%	61.2%	7.3%
Nicaragua	Santo Tomás	2000	3.0%	9.6%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Santo Tomás	2017	17.4%	50.5%	2.7%
Nicaragua	Sébaco	2000	12.8%	45.2%	0.8%
Nicaragua	Sébaco	2017	45.7%	82.9%	13.9%
Nicaragua	Siuna	2000	9.8%	20.4%	4.1%
Nicaragua	Siuna	2017	32.1%	45.9%	19.7%
Nicaragua	Somotillo	2000	10.8%	28.3%	1.0%
Nicaragua	Somotillo	2017	37.5%	65.9%	15.6%
Nicaragua	Somoto	2000	6.5%	23.0%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Somoto	2017	24.4%	52.4%	3.8%
Nicaragua	Telica	2000	6.7%	24.0%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Telica	2017	25.2%	66.5%	3.8%
Nicaragua	Telpaneca	2000	7.0%	24.9%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Telpaneca	2017	28.6%	68.4%	4.5%
Nicaragua	Terrabona	2000	9.7%	35.1%	0.1%
Nicaragua	Terrabona	2017	31.6%	71.4%	4.3%
Nicaragua	Teustepe	2000	9.3%	26.7%	0.4%
Nicaragua	Teustepe	2017	33.1%	63.5%	9.3%
Nicaragua	Ticuanetepe	2000	5.0%	25.9%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Ticuanetepe	2017	59.3%	88.3%	36.5%
Nicaragua	Tipitapa	2000	11.2%	36.5%	1.3%
Nicaragua	Tipitapa	2017	34.7%	66.5%	10.0%
Nicaragua	Tisma	2000	7.2%	34.1%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Tisma	2017	29.0%	65.5%	0.6%
Nicaragua	Tola	2000	12.9%	29.1%	3.1%
Nicaragua	Tola	2017	34.9%	60.6%	15.0%
Nicaragua	Totogalpa	2000	2.3%	16.4%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Totogalpa	2017	19.7%	45.9%	5.7%
Nicaragua	Tuma-La Dalia	2000	4.9%	20.3%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Tuma-La Dalia	2017	21.2%	59.8%	3.3%
Nicaragua	Villa Carlos Fonseca	2000	11.7%	36.0%	0.5%
Nicaragua	Villa Carlos Fonseca	2017	39.8%	71.4%	11.1%
Nicaragua	Villa Sandino	2000	8.3%	21.7%	0.5%
Nicaragua	Villa Sandino	2017	29.1%	53.9%	9.4%
Nicaragua	Villanueva	2000	12.3%	28.4%	1.3%
Nicaragua	Villanueva	2017	36.8%	59.7%	13.0%
Nicaragua	Waslala	2000	10.5%	25.7%	2.0%
Nicaragua	Waslala	2017	34.6%	54.8%	15.9%
Nicaragua	Waspán	2000	6.7%	12.9%	2.5%
Nicaragua	Waspán	2017	25.0%	34.3%	16.3%
Nicaragua	Wiwilí	2000	7.1%	16.0%	1.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Wiwilí	2017	27.6%	43.5%	14.0%
Nicaragua	Yalagüina	2000	0.7%	6.9%	0.0%
Nicaragua	Yalagüina	2017	4.6%	16.3%	0.0%
Panama	Aguadulce	2000	30.6%	62.3%	10.1%
Panama	Aguadulce	2017	30.2%	63.0%	9.4%
Panama	Alanje	2000	51.6%	85.2%	15.2%
Panama	Alanje	2017	51.4%	84.9%	15.3%
Panama	Antón	2000	30.8%	54.1%	13.4%
Panama	Antón	2017	30.5%	53.7%	13.0%
Panama	Arraiján	2000	62.6%	88.2%	30.7%
Panama	Arraiján	2017	62.4%	88.1%	30.5%
Panama	Atalaya	2000	26.7%	62.1%	6.1%
Panama	Atalaya	2017	26.0%	59.8%	6.3%
Panama	Balboa	2000	41.2%	95.6%	1.8%
Panama	Balboa	2017	41.0%	95.4%	1.7%
Panama	Barú	2000	43.6%	84.5%	11.0%
Panama	Barú	2017	43.0%	83.2%	10.9%
Panama	Besiko	2000	2.1%	9.7%	0.1%
Panama	Besiko	2017	2.0%	9.5%	0.1%
Panama	Bocas del Toro	2000	22.0%	65.2%	1.3%
Panama	Bocas del Toro	2017	21.1%	64.3%	1.2%
Panama	Boquerón	2000	60.1%	90.2%	19.1%
Panama	Boquerón	2017	59.9%	90.0%	19.3%
Panama	Boquete	2000	26.9%	69.3%	3.0%
Panama	Boquete	2017	26.7%	68.8%	3.0%
Panama	Bugaba	2000	58.6%	78.0%	30.3%
Panama	Bugaba	2017	58.2%	77.5%	30.4%
Panama	Calobre	2000	24.6%	54.3%	7.0%
Panama	Calobre	2017	24.3%	53.9%	7.0%
Panama	Cañazas	2000	7.7%	25.2%	1.0%
Panama	Cañazas	2017	7.4%	24.4%	0.9%
Panama	Capira	2000	51.6%	80.5%	22.4%
Panama	Capira	2017	51.4%	80.9%	22.1%
Panama	Cémaco	2000	2.1%	8.2%	0.2%
Panama	Cémaco	2017	1.9%	7.3%	0.2%
Panama	Chagres	2000	54.2%	97.9%	10.1%
Panama	Chagres	2017	54.2%	97.8%	10.4%
Panama	Chame	2000	45.4%	83.7%	13.5%
Panama	Chame	2017	44.6%	83.1%	13.2%
Panama	Changuinola	2000	29.8%	49.7%	14.0%
Panama	Changuinola	2017	29.3%	49.4%	13.3%
Panama	Chepigana	2000	19.4%	36.3%	7.8%
Panama	Chepigana	2017	19.4%	36.0%	7.7%
Panama	Chepo	2000	46.7%	72.7%	17.0%
Panama	Chepo	2017	46.6%	72.0%	17.3%
Panama	Chimán	2000	55.9%	92.8%	11.0%
Panama	Chimán	2017	55.4%	92.2%	10.5%
Panama	Chiriquí Grande	2000	3.5%	13.4%	0.5%
Panama	Chiriquí Grande	2017	3.5%	13.3%	0.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Chitré	2000	39.0%	61.6%	16.5%
Panama	Chitré	2017	38.5%	61.2%	16.5%
Panama	Colón	2000	74.8%	87.4%	53.2%
Panama	Colón	2017	74.8%	87.6%	53.4%
Panama	David	2000	46.3%	69.6%	24.8%
Panama	David	2017	46.0%	69.1%	24.9%
Panama	Dolega	2000	50.6%	80.4%	18.1%
Panama	Dolega	2017	49.2%	79.0%	17.9%
Panama	Donoso	2000	31.7%	66.8%	6.9%
Panama	Donoso	2017	31.8%	65.8%	7.0%
Panama	Gualaca	2000	14.8%	46.6%	1.2%
Panama	Gualaca	2017	14.2%	43.7%	1.2%
Panama	Guararé	2000	37.7%	68.6%	13.2%
Panama	Guararé	2017	37.4%	68.4%	12.8%
Panama	Kankintú	2000	1.5%	5.4%	0.3%
Panama	Kankintú	2017	1.5%	5.2%	0.3%
Panama	Kuna Yala	2000	14.3%	36.0%	1.3%
Panama	Kuna Yala	2017	13.3%	34.9%	1.2%
Panama	Kusapín	2000	4.3%	25.7%	0.1%
Panama	Kusapín	2017	4.2%	25.3%	0.1%
Panama	La Chorrera	2000	59.3%	82.1%	32.0%
Panama	La Chorrera	2017	58.9%	81.9%	31.7%
Panama	La Mesa	2000	16.5%	44.5%	2.9%
Panama	La Mesa	2017	16.3%	44.4%	2.9%
Panama	La Pintada	2000	30.3%	58.0%	10.0%
Panama	La Pintada	2017	30.1%	57.2%	10.2%
Panama	Lago Alajuela	2000	53.6%	84.8%	15.8%
Panama	Lago Alajuela	2017	55.2%	85.1%	16.3%
Panama	Lago Bayano	2000	39.6%	85.4%	2.0%
Panama	Lago Bayano	2017	39.3%	86.1%	1.8%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2000	78.2%	91.8%	58.3%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2000	68.5%	95.6%	18.7%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2017	77.9%	91.7%	57.5%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2017	67.9%	95.5%	18.1%
Panama	Las Minas	2000	29.8%	63.4%	8.3%
Panama	Las Minas	2017	29.5%	63.1%	8.2%
Panama	Las Palmas	2000	15.5%	54.5%	1.2%
Panama	Las Palmas	2017	15.2%	53.4%	1.2%
Panama	Las Tablas	2000	39.2%	79.9%	9.1%
Panama	Las Tablas	2017	39.2%	79.8%	9.0%
Panama	Los Pozos	2000	35.1%	78.9%	4.6%
Panama	Los Pozos	2017	35.0%	79.0%	4.4%
Panama	Los Santos	2000	39.0%	64.1%	14.0%
Panama	Los Santos	2017	38.6%	63.9%	13.7%
Panama	Macaracas	2000	39.5%	84.7%	4.3%
Panama	Macaracas	2017	39.1%	84.6%	4.2%
Panama	Mironó	2000	2.0%	9.1%	0.1%
Panama	Mironó	2017	2.0%	8.8%	0.1%
Panama	Montijo	2000	25.8%	49.6%	9.1%
Panama	Montijo	2017	25.5%	48.6%	9.0%
Panama	Müna	2000	1.4%	4.5%	0.2%
Panama	Müna	2017	1.3%	4.2%	0.2%
Panama	Natá	2000	27.3%	49.6%	11.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Natá	2017	26.8%	49.3%	11.0%
Panama	Nole Duima	2000	1.7%	8.4%	0.2%
Panama	Nole Duima	2017	1.7%	8.0%	0.1%
Panama	Ñürüm	2000	3.9%	14.4%	0.3%
Panama	Ñürüm	2017	3.5%	12.8%	0.2%
Panama	Ocú	2000	32.6%	63.2%	10.0%
Panama	Ocú	2017	32.2%	62.5%	9.8%
Panama	Olá	2000	27.0%	73.4%	2.2%
Panama	Olá	2017	26.8%	72.8%	2.1%
Panama	Panamá	2000	57.8%	73.4%	41.5%
Panama	Panamá	2017	57.8%	73.6%	41.2%
Panama	Parita	2000	37.8%	66.1%	14.2%
Panama	Parita	2017	36.8%	64.4%	14.1%
Panama	Pedasí	2000	38.0%	95.2%	1.2%
Panama	Pedasí	2017	37.7%	95.2%	1.1%
Panama	Penonomé	2000	31.1%	54.8%	13.1%
Panama	Penonomé	2017	31.2%	55.3%	12.7%
Panama	Pesé	2000	39.1%	80.1%	10.2%
Panama	Pesé	2017	38.1%	80.1%	9.5%
Panama	Pinogana	2000	6.7%	15.5%	2.2%
Panama	Pinogana	2017	6.4%	14.0%	2.1%
Panama	Pocrí	2000	38.5%	95.1%	1.4%
Panama	Pocrí	2017	38.2%	95.0%	1.4%
Panama	Portobelo	2000	52.3%	94.8%	10.6%
Panama	Portobelo	2017	52.1%	95.0%	9.6%
Panama	Remedios	2000	7.9%	33.4%	0.3%
Panama	Remedios	2017	7.4%	31.0%	0.3%
Panama	Renacimiento	2000	57.2%	95.8%	11.7%
Panama	Renacimiento	2017	57.1%	95.8%	11.5%
Panama	Río de Jesús	2000	23.0%	67.5%	2.2%
Panama	Río de Jesús	2017	22.7%	67.0%	2.1%
Panama	Sambú	2000	19.7%	55.4%	1.5%
Panama	Sambú	2017	19.2%	52.9%	1.5%
Panama	San Carlos	2000	40.4%	78.0%	10.1%
Panama	San Carlos	2017	40.0%	78.1%	10.1%
Panama	San Félix	2000	7.2%	36.6%	0.2%
Panama	San Félix	2017	7.2%	36.5%	0.2%
Panama	San Francisco	2000	20.0%	61.0%	3.1%
Panama	San Francisco	2017	19.7%	61.0%	2.9%
Panama	San Lorenzo	2000	15.1%	55.9%	0.5%
Panama	San Lorenzo	2017	14.3%	54.5%	0.5%
Panama	San Miguelito	2000	58.3%	72.8%	42.6%
Panama	San Miguelito	2017	57.8%	72.3%	42.2%
Panama	Santa Fe	2000	12.2%	33.5%	2.3%
Panama	Santa Fe	2017	11.9%	32.9%	2.3%
Panama	Santa Isabel	2000	36.9%	91.6%	1.7%
Panama	Santa Isabel	2017	36.5%	91.8%	1.7%
Panama	Santa María	2000	33.6%	76.8%	4.2%
Panama	Santa María	2017	33.3%	77.1%	4.0%
Panama	Santiago	2000	22.5%	35.1%	13.0%
Panama	Santiago	2017	22.3%	35.0%	12.7%
Panama	Soná	2000	18.8%	45.1%	3.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Soná	2017	18.5%	45.1%	3.7%
Panama	Taboga	2000	51.6%	96.3%	4.2%
Panama	Taboga	2017	51.6%	96.3%	4.0%
Panama	Tolé	2000	8.6%	34.5%	0.4%
Panama	Tolé	2017	8.0%	32.2%	0.4%
Panama	Tonosí	2000	35.8%	71.7%	6.5%
Panama	Tonosí	2017	35.4%	71.4%	6.2%
Paraguay	25 de Diciembre	2000	1.4%	4.3%	0.3%
Paraguay	25 de Diciembre	2017	37.6%	63.8%	15.5%
Paraguay	3 de Febrero	2000	0.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Paraguay	3 de Febrero	2017	12.9%	35.7%	2.0%
Paraguay	Abaí	2000	0.8%	2.0%	0.2%
Paraguay	Abaí	2017	24.9%	35.4%	15.4%
Paraguay	Acahay	2000	0.7%	2.9%	0.1%
Paraguay	Acahay	2017	25.9%	61.6%	5.1%
Paraguay	Alberdi	2000	1.2%	2.8%	0.5%
Paraguay	Alberdi	2017	53.8%	73.7%	33.6%
Paraguay	Alto Verá	2000	1.4%	4.0%	0.4%
Paraguay	Alto Verá	2017	41.4%	58.3%	24.6%
Paraguay	Altos	2000	1.4%	3.5%	0.7%
Paraguay	Altos	2017	46.1%	54.7%	38.1%
Paraguay	Antequera	2000	2.1%	9.4%	0.2%
Paraguay	Antequera	2017	41.1%	75.5%	9.5%
Paraguay	Areguá	2000	0.9%	4.8%	0.2%
Paraguay	Areguá	2017	28.8%	63.8%	13.2%
Paraguay	Arroyos y Esteros	2000	3.4%	19.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	Arroyos y Esteros	2017	51.5%	85.3%	17.7%
Paraguay	Asunción	2000	16.7%	18.0%	15.5%
Paraguay	Asunción	2017	81.0%	81.6%	80.3%
Paraguay	Atyrá	2000	0.4%	1.5%	0.1%
Paraguay	Atyrá	2017	19.2%	37.6%	7.9%
Paraguay	Ayolas	2000	2.0%	6.2%	0.6%
Paraguay	Ayolas	2017	46.2%	69.4%	24.1%
Paraguay	Belén	2000	0.8%	3.0%	0.1%
Paraguay	Belén	2017	24.3%	49.3%	6.1%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2000	1.7%	4.5%	0.6%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2000	1.3%	4.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2017	39.7%	59.7%	25.7%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2017	42.0%	67.7%	20.4%
Paraguay	Benjamín Aceval	2000	1.1%	2.3%	0.5%
Paraguay	Benjamín Aceval	2017	39.8%	50.9%	28.0%
Paraguay	Borja	2000	3.1%	12.8%	0.4%
Paraguay	Borja	2017	46.8%	79.3%	19.0%
Paraguay	Caacupé	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Paraguay	Caacupé	2017	20.2%	41.2%	10.4%
Paraguay	Caaguazú	2000	6.0%	9.6%	3.4%
Paraguay	Caaguazú	2017	39.2%	46.5%	31.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Caapucú	2000	1.8%	5.9%	0.3%
Paraguay	Caapucú	2017	39.6%	63.2%	17.3%
Paraguay	Caazapá	2000	0.6%	1.7%	0.2%
Paraguay	Caazapá	2017	25.4%	36.6%	16.5%
Paraguay	Cambyreta	2000	5.0%	7.5%	3.5%
Paraguay	Cambyreta	2017	60.1%	67.3%	53.4%
Paraguay	Capiatá	2000	2.0%	5.1%	1.3%
Paraguay	Capiatá	2017	58.7%	76.0%	43.5%
Paraguay	Capitán Bado	2000	1.4%	2.8%	0.5%
Paraguay	Capitán Bado	2017	38.4%	51.6%	25.9%
Paraguay	Capitán Mauricio José Troche	2000	1.5%	7.5%	0.1%
Paraguay	Capitán Mauricio José Troche	2017	36.1%	79.0%	5.5%
Paraguay	Capitán Meza	2000	1.6%	4.2%	0.4%
Paraguay	Capitán Meza	2017	36.3%	50.0%	21.1%
Paraguay	Capitán Miranda	2000	2.0%	7.2%	0.5%
Paraguay	Capitán Miranda	2017	60.0%	83.0%	36.0%
Paraguay	Caraguatay	2000	1.0%	2.8%	0.3%
Paraguay	Caraguatay	2017	34.3%	47.2%	22.6%
Paraguay	Carapeguá	2000	1.2%	4.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	Carapeguá	2017	30.9%	51.3%	15.9%
Paraguay	Carayaó	2000	2.0%	5.7%	0.7%
Paraguay	Carayaó	2017	41.4%	67.2%	19.9%
Paraguay	Carlos Antonio López	2000	2.0%	8.1%	0.3%
Paraguay	Carlos Antonio López	2017	41.4%	69.3%	17.1%
Paraguay	Carmen del Paraná	2000	1.2%	7.3%	0.1%
Paraguay	Carmen del Paraná	2017	29.5%	75.5%	3.8%
Paraguay	Cerrito	2000	2.2%	6.2%	0.4%
Paraguay	Cerrito	2017	45.4%	74.3%	20.4%
Paraguay	Choré	2000	1.1%	2.5%	0.4%
Paraguay	Choré	2017	22.9%	33.1%	15.6%
Paraguay	Ciudad del Este	2000	1.2%	2.4%	0.7%
Paraguay	Ciudad del Este	2017	56.7%	60.9%	50.8%
Paraguay	Concepción	2000	0.8%	1.5%	0.4%
Paraguay	Concepción	2017	31.9%	41.5%	22.7%
Paraguay	Coronel Bogado	2000	1.3%	2.5%	0.7%
Paraguay	Coronel Bogado	2017	41.9%	56.4%	27.6%
Paraguay	Coronel Martínez	2000	12.1%	37.3%	1.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Coronel Martínez	2017	75.6%	95.7%	42.6%
Paraguay	Coronel Oviedo	2000	5.9%	12.4%	2.3%
Paraguay	Coronel Oviedo	2017	52.3%	59.2%	45.9%
Paraguay	Corpus Christi	2000	1.7%	6.6%	0.3%
Paraguay	Corpus Christi	2017	35.6%	56.4%	18.4%
Paraguay	Desmochados	2000	2.0%	9.4%	0.2%
Paraguay	Desmochados	2017	45.5%	79.4%	17.0%
Paraguay	Doctor Botrell	2000	1.4%	5.4%	0.1%
Paraguay	Doctor Botrell	2017	39.4%	75.6%	7.5%
Paraguay	Doctor Cecilio Báez	2000	1.3%	2.5%	0.7%
Paraguay	Doctor Cecilio Báez	2017	45.4%	52.5%	38.4%
Paraguay	Doctor J. Eulogio Estigarribia	2000	1.7%	3.3%	0.7%
Paraguay	Doctor J. Eulogio Estigarribia	2017	55.3%	67.3%	41.2%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan León Mallorquín	2000	21.3%	34.2%	7.1%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan León Mallorquín	2017	74.7%	85.6%	61.2%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan Manuel Frutos	2000	6.2%	14.2%	1.0%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan Manuel Frutos	2017	47.9%	61.4%	30.2%
Paraguay	Doctor Moisés S. Bertoni	2000	1.3%	4.6%	0.2%
Paraguay	Doctor Moisés S. Bertoni	2017	39.3%	67.2%	15.6%
Paraguay	Doctor Pedro P. Peña	2000	5.0%	6.9%	3.6%
Paraguay	Doctor Pedro P. Peña	2017	56.6%	64.1%	48.8%
Paraguay	Domingo Martínez de Irala	2000	0.9%	2.5%	0.1%
Paraguay	Domingo Martínez de Irala	2017	33.2%	62.4%	13.1%
Paraguay	Edelira	2000	4.8%	9.7%	2.0%
Paraguay	Edelira	2017	51.8%	73.5%	34.3%
Paraguay	Emboscada	2000	2.4%	11.7%	0.1%
Paraguay	Emboscada	2017	42.9%	83.8%	7.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Emboscada (Caazapa)	2000	0.8%	4.2%	0.0%
Paraguay	Emboscada (Caazapa)	2017	26.9%	68.8%	2.7%
Paraguay	Encarnación	2000	3.4%	9.2%	1.9%
Paraguay	Encarnación	2017	52.7%	66.1%	38.6%
Paraguay	Escobar	2000	0.8%	3.1%	0.1%
Paraguay	Escobar	2017	23.3%	49.6%	6.7%
Paraguay	Eusebio Ayala	2000	0.3%	1.3%	0.1%
Paraguay	Eusebio Ayala	2017	19.7%	47.8%	6.7%
Paraguay	Félix Perez Cardozo	2000	2.7%	12.9%	0.1%
Paraguay	Félix Perez Cardozo	2017	52.1%	87.7%	12.2%
Paraguay	Fernando de la Mora	2000	2.4%	2.6%	2.1%
Paraguay	Fernando de la Mora	2017	53.4%	55.4%	51.7%
Paraguay	Fram	2000	4.6%	10.9%	1.8%
Paraguay	Fram	2017	72.8%	82.0%	62.6%
Paraguay	Fuerte Olimpo	2000	3.4%	4.9%	1.9%
Paraguay	Fuerte Olimpo	2017	50.0%	56.7%	42.4%
Paraguay	Fulgencio Yegros	2000	1.0%	4.0%	0.2%
Paraguay	Fulgencio Yegros	2017	28.5%	53.5%	11.9%
Paraguay	General Artigas	2000	2.1%	5.8%	0.5%
Paraguay	General Artigas	2017	46.0%	69.9%	22.6%
Paraguay	General Bernardino Caballero	2000	0.9%	3.8%	0.2%
Paraguay	General Bernardino Caballero	2017	30.3%	55.2%	12.1%
Paraguay	General Delgado	2000	1.3%	4.4%	0.3%
Paraguay	General Delgado	2017	40.5%	58.1%	22.9%
Paraguay	General Elizardo Aquino	2000	0.8%	2.1%	0.2%
Paraguay	General Elizardo Aquino	2017	26.8%	43.4%	13.9%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2000	1.5%	7.4%	0.1%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2000	16.5%	20.6%	12.9%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2017	31.0%	73.0%	6.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2017	80.2%	85.0%	75.2%
Paraguay	General Francisco C. Alvarez	2000	2.8%	6.0%	0.9%
Paraguay	General Francisco C. Alvarez	2017	46.0%	57.4%	32.8%
Paraguay	General Higinio Morínigo	2000	0.5%	2.5%	0.0%
Paraguay	General Higinio Morínigo	2017	20.0%	53.4%	3.1%
Paraguay	General Isidoro Resquín	2000	4.9%	12.7%	0.7%
Paraguay	General Isidoro Resquín	2017	47.3%	65.0%	33.0%
Paraguay	General José Eduvigis Díaz	2000	2.2%	5.9%	0.6%
Paraguay	General José Eduvigis Díaz	2017	51.3%	69.1%	34.5%
Paraguay	Guarambaré	2000	49.4%	58.5%	38.1%
Paraguay	Guarambaré	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.1%
Paraguay	Guazú Cuá	2000	2.2%	6.3%	0.5%
Paraguay	Guazú Cuá	2017	44.1%	65.6%	21.0%
Paraguay	Hernandarias	2000	2.5%	3.8%	1.6%
Paraguay	Hernandarias	2017	52.9%	58.2%	49.2%
Paraguay	Hohenau	2000	1.5%	4.6%	0.4%
Paraguay	Hohenau	2017	44.8%	70.8%	23.4%
Paraguay	Horqueta	2000	1.2%	3.1%	0.4%
Paraguay	Horqueta	2017	36.1%	48.4%	24.7%
Paraguay	Humaitá	2000	2.5%	8.9%	0.3%
Paraguay	Humaitá	2017	49.3%	78.4%	19.6%
Paraguay	Independencia	2000	1.7%	4.5%	0.5%
Paraguay	Independencia	2017	32.7%	50.1%	18.9%
Paraguay	Isla Pucú	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.2%
Paraguay	Isla Pucú	2017	19.9%	29.8%	12.2%
Paraguay	Isla Umbú	2000	2.3%	7.5%	0.3%
Paraguay	Isla Umbú	2017	51.1%	78.3%	20.1%
Paraguay	Itá	2000	29.6%	41.4%	15.8%
Paraguay	Itá	2017	85.1%	93.7%	72.6%
Paraguay	Itacurubí de la Cordillera	2000	0.7%	3.8%	0.0%
Paraguay	Itacurubí de la Cordillera	2017	26.0%	67.8%	2.6%
Paraguay	Itacurubí del Rosario	2000	1.3%	3.9%	0.3%
Paraguay	Itacurubí del Rosario	2017	38.7%	59.9%	20.1%
Paraguay	Itakyry	2000	1.6%	3.1%	0.8%
Paraguay	Itakyry	2017	44.2%	55.3%	32.4%
Paraguay	Itanara	2000	1.5%	5.4%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Itanara	2017	35.8%	58.0%	15.2%
Paraguay	Itapé	2000	12.9%	36.0%	1.4%
Paraguay	Itapé	2017	75.4%	89.3%	49.4%
Paraguay	Itauguá	2000	2.5%	11.2%	0.2%
Paraguay	Itauguá	2017	48.5%	80.1%	17.3%
Paraguay	Iturbe	2000	1.9%	9.4%	0.1%
Paraguay	Iturbe	2017	39.8%	75.8%	9.1%
Paraguay	Jesús	2000	1.9%	8.6%	0.2%
Paraguay	Jesús	2017	50.3%	84.2%	15.1%
Paraguay	Jose A. Fassardi	2000	2.9%	9.5%	0.5%
Paraguay	Jose A. Fassardi	2017	37.5%	60.8%	18.9%
Paraguay	José Domingo Ocampos	2000	0.7%	4.2%	0.0%
Paraguay	José Domingo Ocampos	2017	23.8%	66.7%	2.7%
Paraguay	José Leandro Oviedo	2000	10.6%	34.2%	1.2%
Paraguay	José Leandro Oviedo	2017	82.6%	95.2%	55.5%
Paraguay	Juan Augusto Saldívar	2000	2.2%	3.2%	1.6%
Paraguay	Juan Augusto Saldívar	2017	66.9%	75.7%	58.6%
Paraguay	Juan de Mena	2000	2.3%	6.2%	0.5%
Paraguay	Juan de Mena	2017	42.7%	65.9%	23.7%
Paraguay	Juan Emilio O'Leary	2000	0.5%	2.1%	0.0%
Paraguay	Juan Emilio O'Leary	2017	16.8%	45.4%	3.0%
Paraguay	La Colmena	2000	0.9%	2.5%	0.3%
Paraguay	La Colmena	2017	25.6%	30.7%	20.2%
Paraguay	La Pastora	2000	6.7%	19.3%	1.2%
Paraguay	La Pastora	2017	63.0%	92.7%	29.1%
Paraguay	La Paz	2000	1.3%	5.1%	0.2%
Paraguay	La Paz	2017	40.8%	72.9%	14.4%
Paraguay	La Victoria	2000	3.0%	4.5%	1.9%
Paraguay	La Victoria	2017	46.1%	53.1%	39.6%
Paraguay	Lambaré	2000	10.0%	11.1%	9.0%
Paraguay	Lambaré	2017	47.4%	57.5%	40.2%
Paraguay	Laureles	2000	2.4%	7.1%	0.5%
Paraguay	Laureles	2017	46.7%	69.4%	25.9%
Paraguay	Lima	2000	2.2%	5.5%	0.5%
Paraguay	Lima	2017	31.9%	50.2%	18.6%
Paraguay	Limpio	2000	1.6%	4.5%	0.5%
Paraguay	Limpio	2017	54.8%	78.5%	32.7%
Paraguay	Loma Grande	2000	0.5%	1.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	Loma Grande	2017	30.1%	38.2%	22.3%
Paraguay	Loreto	2000	1.2%	3.7%	0.3%
Paraguay	Loreto	2017	33.2%	47.1%	21.3%
Paraguay	Los Cedrales	2000	0.7%	3.1%	0.1%
Paraguay	Los Cedrales	2017	20.6%	44.8%	5.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Luque	2000	1.1%	1.5%	1.0%
Paraguay	Luque	2017	53.5%	58.1%	49.5%
Paraguay	Maciel	2000	1.5%	7.1%	0.2%
Paraguay	Maciel	2017	36.9%	69.2%	12.5%
Paraguay	Mariano	2000	0.8%	0.9%	0.7%
Paraguay	Roque Alonso	2017	42.0%	46.8%	37.5%
Paraguay	Mariscal Francisco Solano López	2000	0.9%	2.8%	0.2%
Paraguay	Mariscal Francisco Solano López	2017	28.4%	49.4%	11.9%
Paraguay	Mariscal José Félix Estigarribia	2000	6.5%	15.9%	2.7%
Paraguay	Mariscal José Félix Estigarribia	2017	62.7%	80.3%	40.2%
Paraguay	Mayor José J. Martínez	2000	3.0%	12.9%	0.2%
Paraguay	Mayor José J. Martínez	2017	49.3%	84.6%	13.3%
Paraguay	Mayor Julio D. Otaño	2000	1.2%	5.8%	0.1%
Paraguay	Mayor Julio D. Otaño	2017	31.3%	70.1%	8.3%
Paraguay	Mayor Pablo Lagerenza	2000	15.7%	22.0%	10.6%
Paraguay	Mayor Pablo Lagerenza	2017	73.7%	79.0%	67.6%
Paraguay	Mbaracayú	2000	1.8%	4.8%	0.7%
Paraguay	Mbaracayú	2017	51.3%	67.0%	34.5%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Guairá	2000	18.6%	44.9%	2.7%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Guairá	2017	79.5%	94.8%	57.5%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Yhaguy	2000	1.9%	8.4%	0.2%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Yhaguy	2017	41.5%	79.1%	10.7%
Paraguay	Mbuyapey	2000	1.7%	5.9%	0.3%
Paraguay	Mbuyapey	2017	37.1%	66.1%	16.9%
Paraguay	Minga Guazú	2000	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Paraguay	Minga Guazú	2017	54.7%	59.8%	49.1%
Paraguay	Minga Porá	2000	2.5%	6.9%	1.2%
Paraguay	Minga Porá	2017	58.3%	75.4%	41.6%
Paraguay	Ñacunday	2000	1.6%	4.5%	0.5%
Paraguay	Ñacunday	2017	39.7%	55.8%	22.0%
Paraguay	Naranjal	2000	7.3%	11.1%	4.2%
Paraguay	Naranjal	2017	52.1%	75.5%	33.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Natalicio Talavera	2000	2.6%	15.4%	0.1%
Paraguay	Natalicio Talavera	2017	47.0%	91.8%	8.3%
Paraguay	Natalio	2000	3.2%	15.4%	0.3%
Paraguay	Natalio	2017	51.3%	75.2%	24.2%
Paraguay	Ñemby	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Paraguay	Ñemby	2017	6.9%	11.6%	3.9%
Paraguay	Nueva Alborada	2000	3.5%	7.8%	1.1%
Paraguay	Nueva Alborada	2017	54.9%	76.6%	36.5%
Paraguay	Nueva Colombia	2000	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Paraguay	Nueva Colombia	2017	14.4%	29.7%	4.8%
Paraguay	Nueva Germania	2000	0.9%	3.2%	0.2%
Paraguay	Nueva Germania	2017	29.9%	54.5%	13.7%
Paraguay	Nueva Italia	2000	6.3%	20.3%	1.1%
Paraguay	Nueva Italia	2017	59.0%	91.3%	18.1%
Paraguay	Nueva Londres	2000	1.5%	3.2%	0.5%
Paraguay	Nueva Londres	2017	42.0%	58.5%	26.3%
Paraguay	Ñumí	2000	1.8%	11.0%	0.0%
Paraguay	Ñumí	2017	37.7%	88.6%	3.8%
Paraguay	Obligado	2000	1.2%	2.8%	0.5%
Paraguay	Obligado	2017	45.1%	61.2%	26.8%
Paraguay	Paraguarí	2000	2.2%	5.2%	0.6%
Paraguay	Paraguarí	2017	54.1%	67.8%	35.3%
Paraguay	Paso de Patria	2000	4.0%	19.4%	0.2%
Paraguay	Paso de Patria	2017	52.3%	90.1%	9.5%
Paraguay	Pedro Juan Caballero	2000	1.8%	3.6%	0.9%
Paraguay	Pedro Juan Caballero	2017	50.3%	58.5%	41.1%
Paraguay	Pilar	2000	1.2%	4.1%	0.3%
Paraguay	Pilar	2017	42.5%	66.6%	20.5%
Paraguay	Pirapó	2000	1.0%	3.5%	0.1%
Paraguay	Pirapó	2017	32.7%	62.5%	9.6%
Paraguay	Pirayú	2000	0.7%	2.4%	0.2%
Paraguay	Pirayú	2017	31.5%	49.1%	17.4%
Paraguay	Piribebuy	2000	0.6%	2.2%	0.1%
Paraguay	Piribebuy	2017	32.8%	60.7%	13.2%
Paraguay	Pozo Colorado	2000	1.5%	2.8%	1.0%
Paraguay	Pozo Colorado	2017	37.2%	43.9%	31.9%
Paraguay	Presidente Franco	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.4%
Paraguay	Presidente Franco	2017	44.1%	47.2%	41.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Primero de Marzo	2000	1.4%	7.5%	0.1%
Paraguay	Primero de Marzo	2017	39.4%	81.3%	7.2%
Paraguay	Puerto Pinasco	2000	1.2%	2.2%	0.7%
Paraguay	Puerto Pinasco	2017	36.2%	43.6%	29.2%
Paraguay	Quiindy	2000	1.0%	3.8%	0.3%
Paraguay	Quiindy	2017	40.3%	62.7%	21.2%
Paraguay	Quyquyhó	2000	0.4%	1.7%	0.1%
Paraguay	Quyquyhó	2017	18.8%	30.1%	10.3%
Paraguay	R. I. 3 Corrales	2000	4.9%	15.8%	1.4%
Paraguay	R. I. 3 Corrales	2017	40.6%	66.4%	20.3%
Paraguay	Raúl Arsenio Oviedo	2000	1.6%	4.3%	0.5%
Paraguay	Raúl Arsenio Oviedo	2017	37.3%	54.0%	24.7%
Paraguay	Repatriación	2000	1.1%	4.5%	0.2%
Paraguay	Repatriación	2017	30.3%	50.3%	13.3%
Paraguay	Salto del Guairá	2000	2.4%	7.3%	0.5%
Paraguay	Salto del Guairá	2017	58.6%	79.9%	26.4%
Paraguay	San Alberto	2000	3.9%	7.3%	1.7%
Paraguay	San Alberto	2017	66.7%	75.1%	56.1%
Paraguay	San Antonio	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Paraguay	San Antonio	2017	1.5%	2.9%	0.7%
Paraguay	San Bernardino	2000	3.2%	12.4%	0.4%
Paraguay	San Bernardino	2017	51.6%	81.1%	20.6%
Paraguay	San Carlos	2000	1.0%	3.1%	0.2%
Paraguay	San Carlos	2017	29.7%	49.7%	14.1%
Paraguay	San Cosme y Damián	2000	2.2%	6.2%	0.5%
Paraguay	San Cosme y Damián	2017	46.2%	72.9%	20.7%
Paraguay	San Cristóbal	2000	1.6%	4.9%	0.4%
Paraguay	San Cristóbal	2017	34.8%	59.8%	16.6%
Paraguay	San Estanislao	2000	1.0%	2.0%	0.4%
Paraguay	San Estanislao	2017	28.2%	35.6%	22.5%
Paraguay	San Ignacio	2000	1.7%	3.3%	0.9%
Paraguay	San Ignacio	2017	49.1%	55.8%	43.3%
Paraguay	San Joaquín	2000	2.0%	5.2%	0.7%
Paraguay	San Joaquín	2017	35.8%	56.2%	21.4%
Paraguay	San José de los Arroyos	2000	0.8%	2.5%	0.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	San José de los Arroyos	2017	32.8%	54.6%	19.0%
Paraguay	San José Obrero	2000	1.4%	6.2%	0.2%
Paraguay	San José Obrero	2017	40.5%	72.1%	15.1%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista de las Misiones	2000	1.5%	3.6%	0.7%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista de las Misiones	2017	37.6%	60.6%	24.8%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista del Ñeembucu	2000	2.7%	7.4%	0.5%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista del Ñeembucu	2017	45.8%	67.6%	24.0%
Paraguay	San Juan del Paraná	2000	1.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Paraguay	San Juan del Paraná	2017	31.6%	67.5%	4.2%
Paraguay	San Juan Nepomuceno	2000	0.6%	1.9%	0.2%
Paraguay	San Juan Nepomuceno	2017	24.6%	37.2%	14.5%
Paraguay	San Lázaro	2000	1.2%	3.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	San Lázaro	2017	41.9%	58.5%	23.3%
Paraguay	San Lorenzo	2000	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%
Paraguay	San Lorenzo	2017	33.6%	36.9%	31.3%
Paraguay	San Miguel	2000	1.5%	4.3%	0.5%
Paraguay	San Miguel	2017	45.8%	65.3%	30.3%
Paraguay	San Pablo	2000	1.0%	3.4%	0.2%
Paraguay	San Pablo	2017	31.7%	55.2%	12.1%
Paraguay	San Patricio	2000	1.3%	2.7%	0.8%
Paraguay	San Patricio	2017	49.3%	54.8%	44.9%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Paraná	2000	1.1%	2.4%	0.6%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Paraná	2017	41.5%	51.3%	33.0%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Ycuamandyú	2000	1.0%	2.6%	0.3%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Ycuamandyú	2017	28.1%	39.8%	18.3%
Paraguay	San Rafael del Paraná	2000	1.6%	4.2%	0.4%
Paraguay	San Rafael del Paraná	2017	38.4%	56.1%	20.1%
Paraguay	San Roque González de Santa Cruz	2000	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	San Roque González de Santa Cruz	2017	15.6%	32.9%	8.0%
Paraguay	San Salvador	2000	2.7%	14.4%	0.1%
Paraguay	San Salvador	2017	46.2%	87.6%	10.8%
Paraguay	Santa Elena	2000	1.3%	5.8%	0.2%
Paraguay	Santa Elena	2017	43.1%	78.3%	13.6%
Paraguay	Santa María	2000	2.3%	5.7%	0.9%
Paraguay	Santa María	2017	55.6%	71.6%	37.7%
Paraguay	Santa Rita	2000	9.5%	19.2%	3.3%
Paraguay	Santa Rita	2017	60.8%	77.8%	47.3%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa	2000	3.1%	4.8%	2.3%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa	2017	61.3%	67.5%	55.6%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Mbutuy	2000	2.2%	6.7%	0.4%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Mbutuy	2017	48.4%	71.8%	23.2%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Monday	2000	2.2%	7.6%	0.4%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Monday	2017	40.7%	67.1%	18.2%
Paraguay	Santiago	2000	1.8%	5.8%	0.4%
Paraguay	Santiago	2017	41.5%	63.8%	19.4%
Paraguay	Sapucaí	2000	0.6%	2.4%	0.2%
Paraguay	Sapucaí	2017	29.8%	49.4%	14.9%
Paraguay	Simón Bolívar	2000	1.4%	4.5%	0.3%
Paraguay	Simón Bolívar	2017	40.5%	55.1%	25.7%
Paraguay	Tabaí	2000	1.0%	3.3%	0.2%
Paraguay	Tabaí	2017	27.4%	47.6%	12.7%
Paraguay	Tacuaras	2000	2.6%	6.6%	0.6%
Paraguay	Tacuaras	2017	48.1%	66.3%	28.6%
Paraguay	Tacuatí	2000	1.3%	3.1%	0.4%
Paraguay	Tacuatí	2017	33.3%	46.2%	21.4%
Paraguay	Tebicuarymí	2000	4.9%	19.4%	0.5%
Paraguay	Tebicuarymí	2017	61.5%	91.1%	26.6%
Paraguay	Tobatí	2000	0.7%	2.3%	0.2%
Paraguay	Tobatí	2017	26.8%	35.9%	19.2%
Paraguay	Tomás Romero Pereira	2000	1.3%	4.9%	0.3%
Paraguay	Tomás Romero Pereira	2017	41.4%	70.8%	15.7%
Paraguay	Trinidad	2000	3.3%	16.7%	0.5%
Paraguay	Trinidad	2017	55.9%	89.5%	24.8%
Paraguay	Unión	2000	1.2%	4.3%	0.2%
Paraguay	Unión	2017	33.4%	53.9%	16.6%
Paraguay	Valenzuela	2000	0.8%	4.5%	0.0%
Paraguay	Valenzuela	2017	27.2%	70.9%	2.9%
Paraguay	Villa del Rosario	2000	2.8%	11.8%	0.7%
Paraguay	Villa del Rosario	2017	48.2%	71.0%	24.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Villa Elisa	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Paraguay	Villa Elisa	2017	8.3%	15.2%	3.6%
Paraguay	Villa Florida	2000	2.3%	14.4%	0.1%
Paraguay	Villa Florida	2017	41.7%	86.6%	4.3%
Paraguay	Villa Franca	2000	2.3%	7.3%	0.5%
Paraguay	Villa Franca	2017	54.4%	77.9%	25.4%
Paraguay	Villa Hayes	2000	2.0%	3.4%	1.5%
Paraguay	Villa Hayes	2017	47.6%	56.2%	39.9%
Paraguay	Villa Oliva	2000	2.7%	7.6%	0.8%
Paraguay	Villa Oliva	2017	52.4%	74.8%	28.6%
Paraguay	Villa San Isidro Curuguaty	2000	1.2%	2.4%	0.5%
Paraguay	Villa San Isidro Curuguaty	2017	32.5%	39.7%	25.6%
Paraguay	Villa Ygatimí	2000	1.2%	2.8%	0.4%
Paraguay	Villa Ygatimí	2017	32.4%	43.8%	20.9%
Paraguay	Villalbín	2000	2.3%	8.6%	0.2%
Paraguay	Villalbín	2017	44.2%	74.5%	15.1%
Paraguay	Villarrica	2000	7.8%	18.0%	2.0%
Paraguay	Villarrica	2017	75.7%	89.5%	55.5%
Paraguay	Villeta	2000	26.4%	45.8%	15.6%
Paraguay	Villeta	2017	74.4%	90.9%	49.8%
Paraguay	Water body	2000	3.2%	16.1%	0.2%
Paraguay	Water body	2017	47.6%	91.3%	10.4%
Paraguay	Yabebyry	2000	2.0%	7.4%	0.3%
Paraguay	Yabebyry	2017	42.6%	64.6%	21.9%
Paraguay	Yaguarón	2000	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Paraguay	Yaguarón	2017	33.3%	41.7%	25.5%
Paraguay	Yataity del Guairá	2000	3.0%	14.5%	0.2%
Paraguay	Yataity del Guairá	2017	49.4%	89.0%	10.2%
Paraguay	Yataity del Norte	2000	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Paraguay	Yataity del Norte	2017	34.3%	42.2%	25.4%
Paraguay	Yatytay	2000	2.6%	12.7%	0.3%
Paraguay	Yatytay	2017	47.7%	70.1%	25.4%
Paraguay	Yby Yaù	2000	1.2%	3.6%	0.4%
Paraguay	Yby Yaù	2017	39.5%	54.3%	25.1%
Paraguay	Ybycui	2000	0.7%	2.3%	0.2%
Paraguay	Ybycui	2017	18.9%	38.3%	8.1%
Paraguay	Ybytimí	2000	1.3%	5.7%	0.2%
Paraguay	Ybytimí	2017	30.8%	55.9%	10.9%
Paraguay	Yguazú	2000	7.4%	12.5%	2.1%
Paraguay	Yguazú	2017	35.4%	58.0%	22.2%
Paraguay	Yhú	2000	6.0%	12.6%	1.9%
Paraguay	Yhú	2017	47.3%	59.7%	34.5%
Paraguay	Ypacaraí	2000	2.5%	12.8%	0.1%
Paraguay	Ypacaraí	2017	42.9%	80.0%	5.0%
Paraguay	Ypané	2000	2.2%	3.9%	1.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Ypané	2017	30.7%	36.3%	24.9%
Paraguay	Ypejhú	2000	1.2%	3.3%	0.3%
Paraguay	Ypejhú	2017	35.7%	54.4%	18.5%
Paraguay	Yuty	2000	1.5%	3.9%	0.4%
Paraguay	Yuty	2017	35.1%	50.7%	19.4%
Peru	Abancay	2000	41.8%	49.0%	35.6%
Peru	Abancay	2017	67.5%	72.7%	62.9%
Peru	Acobamba	2000	10.5%	13.6%	8.9%
Peru	Acobamba	2017	21.8%	23.5%	20.3%
Peru	Acomayo	2000	27.1%	40.5%	15.4%
Peru	Acomayo	2017	41.7%	57.2%	29.0%
Peru	Aija	2000	20.9%	37.5%	8.1%
Peru	Aija	2017	31.1%	50.7%	14.1%
Peru	Alto Amazonas	2000	28.0%	31.8%	24.1%
Peru	Alto Amazonas	2017	39.6%	42.8%	36.1%
Peru	Ambo	2000	15.2%	22.7%	7.6%
Peru	Ambo	2017	30.4%	38.1%	20.4%
Peru	Andahuaylas	2000	21.7%	24.0%	19.5%
Peru	Andahuaylas	2017	38.4%	40.7%	36.4%
Peru	Angaraes	2000	20.3%	25.8%	15.8%
Peru	Angaraes	2017	29.6%	39.4%	22.3%
Peru	Anta	2000	26.2%	33.0%	20.6%
Peru	Anta	2017	42.5%	52.9%	34.1%
Peru	Antabamba	2000	21.3%	32.0%	12.3%
Peru	Antabamba	2017	31.1%	42.3%	19.5%
Peru	Antonio Raymondi	2000	36.8%	53.7%	21.6%
Peru	Antonio Raymondi	2017	46.8%	63.2%	30.8%
Peru	Arequipa	2000	70.5%	71.6%	69.2%
Peru	Arequipa	2017	82.2%	83.7%	80.7%
Peru	Ascope	2000	35.1%	47.3%	25.2%
Peru	Ascope	2017	48.1%	59.7%	37.1%
Peru	Asunción	2000	22.2%	41.9%	9.4%
Peru	Asunción	2017	35.5%	56.1%	18.8%
Peru	Atalaya	2000	22.6%	27.0%	18.3%
Peru	Atalaya	2017	32.7%	37.9%	27.8%
Peru	Ayabaca	2000	27.5%	36.5%	19.7%
Peru	Ayabaca	2017	37.2%	47.5%	27.4%
Peru	Aymaraes	2000	25.5%	35.2%	16.5%
Peru	Aymaraes	2017	37.6%	47.4%	27.7%
Peru	Azángaro	2000	20.1%	28.3%	14.4%
Peru	Azángaro	2017	32.0%	41.9%	24.7%
Peru	Bagua	2000	25.2%	28.6%	21.7%
Peru	Bagua	2017	44.1%	48.1%	40.6%
Peru	Barranca	2000	64.4%	71.5%	55.7%
Peru	Barranca	2017	77.9%	82.8%	71.5%
Peru	Bellavista	2000	21.4%	33.3%	12.8%
Peru	Bellavista	2017	35.7%	48.8%	23.7%
Peru	Bolívar	2000	25.9%	40.2%	12.3%
Peru	Bolívar	2017	37.1%	54.6%	20.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Bolognesi	2000	25.5%	35.8%	16.3%
Peru	Bolognesi	2017	34.8%	46.9%	23.0%
Peru	Bongará	2000	26.5%	34.7%	19.4%
Peru	Bongará	2017	42.4%	50.2%	34.1%
Peru	Cajabamba	2000	23.8%	35.6%	13.9%
Peru	Cajabamba	2017	33.6%	47.4%	20.0%
Peru	Cajamarca	2000	30.8%	37.4%	26.1%
Peru	Cajamarca	2017	41.6%	50.4%	34.5%
Peru	Cajatambo	2000	26.5%	44.1%	12.1%
Peru	Cajatambo	2017	36.1%	55.6%	20.2%
Peru	Calca	2000	20.8%	35.6%	11.3%
Peru	Calca	2017	33.6%	51.2%	20.5%
Peru	Callao	2000	78.7%	89.5%	65.6%
Peru	Callao	2017	83.4%	88.2%	74.8%
Peru	Camaná	2000	33.6%	40.9%	27.4%
Peru	Camaná	2017	48.5%	55.8%	40.6%
Peru	Canas	2000	17.6%	28.9%	7.9%
Peru	Canas	2017	27.8%	41.8%	16.2%
Peru	Canchis	2000	29.1%	34.1%	24.0%
Peru	Canchis	2017	48.7%	53.5%	43.8%
Peru	Candarave	2000	45.6%	59.3%	30.3%
Peru	Candarave	2017	59.8%	71.9%	45.0%
Peru	Cañete	2000	35.5%	41.5%	28.9%
Peru	Cañete	2017	52.6%	60.0%	44.9%
Peru	Cangallo	2000	27.6%	38.5%	18.7%
Peru	Cangallo	2017	40.6%	49.0%	32.4%
Peru	Canta	2000	19.7%	30.2%	11.1%
Peru	Canta	2017	35.9%	46.1%	25.7%
Peru	Carabaya	2000	24.7%	30.3%	18.9%
Peru	Carabaya	2017	34.6%	43.3%	26.8%
Peru	Caravelí	2000	29.9%	38.2%	21.7%
Peru	Caravelí	2017	43.1%	53.1%	34.1%
Peru	Carhuaz	2000	23.6%	32.0%	17.0%
Peru	Carhuaz	2017	40.1%	48.5%	32.6%
Peru	Carlos Fermin Fitzcarrald	2000	15.5%	30.5%	5.0%
Peru	Carlos Fermin Fitzcarrald	2017	25.2%	43.4%	11.6%
Peru	Casma	2000	42.9%	51.1%	34.5%
Peru	Casma	2017	64.1%	72.3%	55.7%
Peru	Castilla	2000	28.5%	40.8%	18.3%
Peru	Castilla	2017	45.5%	59.3%	31.0%
Peru	Castrovirreyna	2000	21.6%	29.1%	15.4%
Peru	Castrovirreyna	2017	32.2%	39.9%	24.9%
Peru	Caylloma	2000	30.4%	37.1%	24.2%
Peru	Caylloma	2017	47.1%	54.0%	40.4%
Peru	Celendín	2000	25.7%	33.9%	18.2%
Peru	Celendín	2017	38.2%	48.2%	28.1%
Peru	Chachapoyas	2000	32.0%	41.1%	25.5%
Peru	Chachapoyas	2017	51.8%	62.2%	41.2%
Peru	Chanchamayo	2000	35.1%	40.2%	30.2%
Peru	Chanchamayo	2017	52.8%	58.4%	47.6%
Peru	Chepén	2000	38.1%	51.9%	29.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Chepén	2017	60.4%	73.5%	49.8%
Peru	Chiclayo	2000	47.1%	48.8%	45.4%
Peru	Chiclayo	2017	73.3%	74.6%	71.8%
Peru	Chincha	2000	42.8%	45.6%	40.6%
Peru	Chincha	2017	67.6%	70.8%	65.3%
Peru	Chincheros	2000	25.9%	30.6%	20.7%
Peru	Chincheros	2017	37.1%	42.1%	32.3%
Peru	Chota	2000	23.2%	29.9%	17.7%
Peru	Chota	2017	37.1%	44.0%	30.7%
Peru	Chucuító	2000	25.4%	31.5%	19.5%
Peru	Chucuító	2017	31.2%	39.8%	23.7%
Peru	Chumbivilcas	2000	21.7%	28.5%	15.7%
Peru	Chumbivilcas	2017	33.4%	40.1%	27.1%
Peru	Chupaca	2000	25.4%	28.7%	22.7%
Peru	Chupaca	2017	50.9%	53.8%	48.4%
Peru	Churcampa	2000	8.3%	11.1%	6.1%
Peru	Churcampa	2017	20.4%	23.8%	17.3%
Peru	Concepción	2000	37.7%	47.8%	26.3%
Peru	Concepción	2017	54.1%	63.6%	42.8%
Peru	Condesuyos	2000	33.0%	44.4%	21.9%
Peru	Condesuyos	2017	42.2%	56.6%	28.1%
Peru	Condorcanqui	2000	21.7%	26.3%	17.2%
Peru	Condorcanqui	2017	30.6%	35.7%	25.7%
Peru	Contralmirante Villar	2000	36.9%	49.9%	23.9%
Peru	Contralmirante Villar	2017	54.9%	68.0%	40.6%
Peru	Contumazá	2000	37.0%	47.7%	25.2%
Peru	Contumazá	2017	46.7%	60.4%	34.4%
Peru	Coronel Portillo	2000	15.2%	18.2%	12.6%
Peru	Coronel Portillo	2017	27.2%	30.8%	24.2%
Peru	Corongo	2000	40.4%	57.7%	23.0%
Peru	Corongo	2017	53.8%	70.5%	34.6%
Peru	Cotabambas	2000	13.9%	20.4%	7.9%
Peru	Cotabambas	2017	23.0%	33.9%	14.2%
Peru	Cusco	2000	56.8%	58.3%	55.2%
Peru	Cusco	2017	83.0%	83.8%	82.1%
Peru	Cutervo	2000	26.5%	34.0%	19.4%
Peru	Cutervo	2017	34.6%	42.0%	26.7%
Peru	Daniel Alcides Carrión	2000	13.5%	18.9%	8.9%
Peru	Daniel Alcides Carrión	2017	23.0%	29.4%	18.3%
Peru	Dos de Mayo	2000	11.3%	16.6%	7.0%
Peru	Dos de Mayo	2017	23.5%	32.3%	16.5%
Peru	El Collao	2000	28.5%	33.1%	23.7%
Peru	El Collao	2017	49.1%	53.3%	45.4%
Peru	El Dorado	2000	17.9%	26.0%	11.5%
Peru	El Dorado	2017	25.2%	35.1%	16.9%
Peru	Espinar	2000	33.5%	42.4%	19.5%
Peru	Espinar	2017	39.9%	49.6%	29.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Ferreñafe	2000	37.8%	47.3%	29.6%
Peru	Ferreñafe	2017	45.8%	54.6%	38.5%
Peru	General	2000	37.4%	42.4%	32.5%
Peru	Sánchez Cerro	2017	50.4%	55.5%	45.4%
Peru	General	2017	50.4%	55.5%	45.4%
Peru	Gran Chimú	2000	34.3%	49.9%	19.8%
Peru	Gran Chimú	2017	46.4%	63.6%	30.8%
Peru	Grau	2000	25.2%	33.9%	17.0%
Peru	Grau	2017	36.7%	46.3%	28.2%
Peru	Huacaybamba	2000	20.0%	33.5%	8.4%
Peru	Huacaybamba	2017	29.9%	45.7%	16.0%
Peru	Hualgayoc	2000	15.9%	23.5%	10.5%
Peru	Hualgayoc	2017	36.9%	47.7%	28.3%
Peru	Huallaga	2000	46.2%	57.0%	35.7%
Peru	Huallaga	2017	56.1%	67.6%	45.5%
Peru	Huamalíes	2000	16.6%	21.7%	11.9%
Peru	Huamalíes	2017	27.3%	35.5%	20.4%
Peru	Huamanga	2000	47.7%	49.6%	46.3%
Peru	Huamanga	2017	69.5%	71.5%	67.7%
Peru	Huanca Sancos	2000	23.3%	33.4%	15.2%
Peru	Huanca Sancos	2017	35.4%	46.3%	26.1%
Peru	Huancabamba	2000	24.1%	34.3%	15.9%
Peru	Huancabamba	2017	34.6%	46.5%	24.6%
Peru	Huancane	2000	26.6%	36.5%	18.7%
Peru	Huancane	2017	37.2%	47.1%	29.4%
Peru	Huancavelica	2000	16.1%	20.2%	12.5%
Peru	Huancavelica	2017	30.3%	34.9%	26.2%
Peru	Huancayo	2000	43.2%	45.4%	41.1%
Peru	Huancayo	2017	65.4%	67.0%	63.6%
Peru	Huanta	2000	21.6%	27.2%	16.9%
Peru	Huanta	2017	35.5%	41.2%	31.3%
Peru	Huaral	2000	51.8%	59.7%	43.7%
Peru	Huaral	2017	70.3%	77.9%	60.7%
Peru	Huaraz	2000	41.4%	47.4%	34.1%
Peru	Huaraz	2017	67.2%	71.2%	62.6%
Peru	Huari	2000	23.8%	33.9%	15.8%
Peru	Huari	2017	34.1%	50.9%	23.8%
Peru	Huarmey	2000	35.8%	50.4%	18.6%
Peru	Huarmey	2017	51.7%	66.3%	33.7%
Peru	Huarochari	2000	27.2%	36.1%	18.5%
Peru	Huarochari	2017	33.8%	42.6%	24.5%
Peru	Huaura	2000	29.7%	34.0%	25.7%
Peru	Huaura	2017	49.8%	54.1%	45.3%
Peru	Huaylas	2000	28.5%	39.2%	21.2%
Peru	Huaylas	2017	42.1%	54.8%	30.1%
Peru	Huaytara	2000	20.5%	28.5%	13.8%
Peru	Huaytara	2017	29.2%	38.5%	21.3%
Peru	Huenuco	2000	29.8%	32.8%	27.8%
Peru	Huenuco	2017	49.1%	52.2%	46.3%
Peru	Ica	2000	51.1%	53.7%	48.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Ica	2017	69.8%	71.8%	67.7%
Peru	Ilo	2000	70.1%	75.4%	63.9%
Peru	Ilo	2017	85.8%	89.6%	82.1%
Peru	Islay	2000	37.4%	50.5%	25.1%
Peru	Islay	2017	48.7%	64.4%	34.4%
Peru	Jaén	2000	37.8%	43.3%	31.3%
Peru	Jaén	2017	52.6%	58.0%	46.7%
Peru	Jauja	2000	25.5%	29.4%	21.3%
Peru	Jauja	2017	37.8%	42.2%	33.6%
Peru	Jorge Basadre	2000	33.6%	53.4%	18.5%
Peru	Jorge Basadre	2017	42.6%	61.2%	26.6%
Peru	Julcan	2000	15.1%	24.3%	6.1%
Peru	Julcan	2017	21.1%	30.4%	11.5%
Peru	Junín	2000	31.0%	40.5%	23.3%
Peru	Junín	2017	44.2%	54.6%	35.4%
Peru	La Convención	2000	34.3%	39.3%	29.3%
Peru	La Convención	2017	50.5%	56.4%	44.8%
Peru	La Mar	2000	36.8%	43.5%	30.9%
Peru	La Mar	2017	48.3%	54.5%	42.9%
Peru	La Unión	2000	28.5%	43.9%	16.7%
Peru	La Unión	2017	41.3%	57.7%	25.7%
Peru	Lago Titicaca	2000	39.1%	46.7%	32.9%
Peru	Lago Titicaca	2017	52.0%	59.1%	45.8%
Peru	Lamas	2000	16.8%	21.1%	12.7%
Peru	Lamas	2017	27.8%	33.8%	22.8%
Peru	Lambayeque	2000	29.9%	34.6%	25.5%
Peru	Lambayeque	2017	45.8%	50.5%	41.1%
Peru	Lampa	2000	24.9%	33.5%	17.6%
Peru	Lampa	2017	32.8%	41.1%	24.3%
Peru	Lauricocha	2000	21.7%	29.3%	14.5%
Peru	Lauricocha	2017	34.0%	43.8%	23.1%
Peru	Leoncio Prado	2000	23.8%	30.7%	17.7%
Peru	Leoncio Prado	2017	40.9%	48.4%	33.2%
Peru	Lima	2000	81.4%	82.3%	80.6%
Peru	Lima	2017	90.4%	90.9%	89.9%
Peru	Loreto	2000	21.4%	27.6%	16.4%
Peru	Loreto	2017	30.3%	35.3%	25.6%
Peru	Lucanas	2000	30.2%	36.4%	23.6%
Peru	Lucanas	2017	40.7%	47.8%	34.3%
Peru	Luya	2000	22.2%	28.0%	16.9%
Peru	Luya	2017	36.4%	43.3%	30.6%
Peru	Manu	2000	14.2%	20.0%	9.9%
Peru	Manu	2017	21.1%	27.5%	16.7%
Peru	Marañón	2000	16.5%	24.5%	11.2%
Peru	Marañón	2017	28.0%	36.0%	21.4%
Peru	Mariscal Cáceres	2000	41.3%	51.6%	31.8%
Peru	Mariscal Cáceres	2017	56.0%	63.8%	44.6%
Peru	Mariscal Luzuriaga	2000	20.3%	32.2%	10.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Mariscal Luzuriaga	2017	32.9%	46.2%	20.8%
Peru	Mariscal Nieto	2000	43.4%	46.2%	40.6%
Peru	Mariscal Nieto	2017	62.2%	64.4%	59.7%
Peru	Mariscal Ramón Castilla	2000	15.3%	19.9%	11.6%
Peru	Mariscal Ramón Castilla	2017	23.2%	28.8%	18.9%
Peru	Maynas	2000	29.0%	41.2%	19.0%
Peru	Maynas	2017	39.7%	51.7%	29.7%
Peru	Melgar	2000	25.9%	33.3%	20.1%
Peru	Melgar	2017	37.7%	46.6%	29.8%
Peru	Moho	2000	29.1%	48.0%	11.3%
Peru	Moho	2017	43.1%	61.6%	22.3%
Peru	Morropón	2000	30.2%	36.3%	25.0%
Peru	Morropón	2017	42.7%	49.7%	36.6%
Peru	Moyobamba	2000	30.3%	36.3%	26.0%
Peru	Moyobamba	2017	50.3%	56.4%	44.7%
Peru	Nazca	2000	59.8%	70.7%	49.4%
Peru	Nazca	2017	73.4%	80.6%	64.0%
Peru	Ocros	2000	25.0%	39.7%	12.7%
Peru	Ocros	2017	36.8%	55.1%	19.4%
Peru	Otuzco	2000	28.6%	39.3%	18.0%
Peru	Otuzco	2017	40.7%	51.7%	29.7%
Peru	Oxapampa	2000	25.4%	31.1%	20.1%
Peru	Oxapampa	2017	40.1%	44.9%	35.2%
Peru	Oyon	2000	37.5%	54.3%	22.1%
Peru	Oyon	2017	48.7%	66.4%	30.0%
Peru	Pacasmayo	2000	36.3%	43.7%	29.7%
Peru	Pacasmayo	2017	54.5%	61.9%	46.7%
Peru	Pachitea	2000	17.1%	23.6%	10.3%
Peru	Pachitea	2017	25.5%	31.5%	18.6%
Peru	Padre Abad	2000	25.3%	31.7%	20.4%
Peru	Padre Abad	2017	30.4%	38.6%	23.9%
Peru	Paita	2000	37.0%	56.0%	23.5%
Peru	Paita	2017	53.8%	72.2%	33.1%
Peru	Pallasca	2000	31.3%	43.3%	20.4%
Peru	Pallasca	2017	44.9%	58.3%	31.2%
Peru	Palpa	2000	42.7%	51.3%	34.3%
Peru	Palpa	2017	64.9%	72.1%	57.5%
Peru	Parinacochas	2000	30.1%	39.7%	21.8%
Peru	Parinacochas	2017	44.6%	55.4%	33.7%
Peru	Paruro	2000	35.3%	44.5%	26.9%
Peru	Paruro	2017	44.2%	54.7%	34.2%
Peru	Pasco	2000	26.5%	29.5%	23.8%
Peru	Pasco	2017	45.5%	49.0%	42.0%
Peru	Pataz	2000	23.4%	34.1%	13.8%
Peru	Pataz	2017	33.1%	44.4%	22.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Paucar del Sara Sara	2000	32.5%	42.2%	23.6%
Peru	Paucar del Sara Sara	2017	43.6%	54.3%	33.6%
Peru	Paucartambo	2000	21.9%	32.4%	13.9%
Peru	Paucartambo	2017	31.2%	44.6%	20.7%
Peru	Picota	2000	26.0%	34.1%	18.0%
Peru	Picota	2017	40.9%	51.3%	30.8%
Peru	Pisco	2000	35.4%	39.3%	31.0%
Peru	Pisco	2017	48.6%	54.8%	43.3%
Peru	Piura	2000	43.2%	45.5%	41.0%
Peru	Piura	2017	62.4%	65.1%	60.2%
Peru	Pomabamba	2000	24.8%	39.2%	12.1%
Peru	Pomabamba	2017	35.4%	49.7%	21.4%
Peru	Puerto Inca	2000	24.3%	31.4%	18.6%
Peru	Puerto Inca	2017	33.9%	41.6%	26.3%
Peru	Puno	2000	44.0%	49.4%	37.8%
Peru	Puno	2017	57.8%	62.8%	50.2%
Peru	Purús	2000	24.9%	38.0%	13.8%
Peru	Purús	2017	33.2%	45.0%	22.9%
Peru	Quispicanchi	2000	24.1%	32.1%	17.1%
Peru	Quispicanchi	2017	37.0%	48.5%	26.5%
Peru	Recuay	2000	28.2%	49.2%	14.3%
Peru	Recuay	2017	40.6%	60.0%	23.5%
Peru	Requena	2000	20.1%	25.3%	15.0%
Peru	Requena	2017	29.7%	35.0%	24.6%
Peru	Rioja	2000	20.3%	24.3%	16.8%
Peru	Rioja	2017	34.4%	38.5%	30.6%
Peru	Rodríguez de Mendoza	2000	31.1%	37.4%	25.8%
Peru	Rodríguez de Mendoza	2017	44.1%	51.7%	37.4%
Peru	San Antonio de Putina	2000	23.5%	35.5%	14.4%
Peru	San Antonio de Putina	2017	32.0%	42.0%	22.1%
Peru	San Ignacio	2000	31.0%	40.6%	23.3%
Peru	San Ignacio	2017	41.8%	50.2%	34.4%
Peru	San Marcos	2000	27.0%	39.4%	17.5%
Peru	San Marcos	2017	37.7%	49.6%	28.7%
Peru	San Martín	2000	51.7%	53.9%	49.4%
Peru	San Martín	2017	70.2%	72.5%	67.7%
Peru	San Miguel	2000	24.1%	34.9%	14.7%
Peru	San Miguel	2017	35.0%	46.3%	26.3%
Peru	San Pablo	2000	14.3%	23.3%	7.8%
Peru	San Pablo	2017	28.3%	38.6%	20.2%
Peru	San Román	2000	48.4%	53.7%	40.5%
Peru	San Román	2017	73.5%	76.8%	69.0%
Peru	Sánchez Carrión	2000	36.4%	44.7%	27.4%
Peru	Sánchez Carrión	2017	50.0%	59.4%	39.7%
Peru	Sandia	2000	19.9%	26.2%	14.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Sandia	2017	28.3%	35.9%	21.8%
Peru	Santa	2000	63.2%	69.4%	54.3%
Peru	Santa	2017	79.9%	83.7%	73.7%
Peru	Santa Cruz	2000	22.2%	34.5%	11.0%
Peru	Santa Cruz	2017	34.7%	49.8%	18.9%
Peru	Santiago de Chuco	2000	28.1%	38.4%	17.5%
Peru	Santiago de Chuco	2017	41.0%	54.5%	27.7%
Peru	Satipo	2000	35.3%	39.9%	30.4%
Peru	Satipo	2017	45.1%	49.3%	40.1%
Peru	Sechura	2000	28.2%	41.9%	19.5%
Peru	Sechura	2017	44.9%	56.7%	34.9%
Peru	Sihuas	2000	27.3%	48.3%	12.5%
Peru	Sihuas	2017	38.5%	62.1%	20.4%
Peru	Sucre	2000	31.9%	44.0%	21.7%
Peru	Sucre	2017	42.0%	56.8%	29.9%
Peru	Sullana	2000	42.0%	48.8%	36.3%
Peru	Sullana	2017	64.1%	70.1%	56.7%
Peru	Tacna	2000	60.8%	64.4%	56.4%
Peru	Tacna	2017	77.9%	80.2%	75.4%
Peru	Tahuamanu	2000	21.6%	29.8%	14.9%
Peru	Tahuamanu	2017	32.3%	41.7%	23.8%
Peru	Talara	2000	60.8%	68.1%	52.6%
Peru	Talara	2017	75.3%	81.8%	67.1%
Peru	Tambopata	2000	20.9%	23.2%	18.9%
Peru	Tambopata	2017	42.7%	44.8%	40.8%
Peru	Tarata	2000	22.7%	35.8%	13.7%
Peru	Tarata	2017	37.2%	49.9%	26.3%
Peru	Tarma	2000	36.3%	47.9%	26.4%
Peru	Tarma	2017	50.4%	63.8%	36.2%
Peru	Tayacaja	2000	21.6%	26.6%	16.4%
Peru	Tayacaja	2017	35.6%	40.9%	29.4%
Peru	Tocache	2000	24.5%	31.2%	18.5%
Peru	Tocache	2017	38.4%	45.9%	31.4%
Peru	Trujillo	2000	68.4%	69.5%	67.2%
Peru	Trujillo	2017	84.8%	85.6%	83.7%
Peru	Tumbes	2000	35.5%	37.7%	33.8%
Peru	Tumbes	2017	64.6%	66.4%	63.0%
Peru	Ucayali	2000	21.6%	25.8%	17.8%
Peru	Ucayali	2017	31.3%	35.9%	27.1%
Peru	Urubamba	2000	22.7%	27.9%	18.2%
Peru	Urubamba	2017	44.2%	50.8%	38.8%
Peru	Utcubamba	2000	22.6%	28.3%	17.2%
Peru	Utcubamba	2017	40.3%	45.9%	34.8%
Peru	Victor Fajardo	2000	32.9%	44.8%	23.0%
Peru	Victor Fajardo	2017	48.0%	59.5%	38.6%
Peru	Vilcas Huamán	2000	29.2%	38.0%	20.5%
Peru	Vilcas Huamán	2017	45.4%	56.2%	34.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Viru	2000	35.4%	49.9%	22.6%
Peru	Viru	2017	50.2%	63.8%	30.6%
Peru	Yarowilca	2000	9.4%	15.4%	4.9%
Peru	Yarowilca	2017	22.8%	29.5%	17.1%
Peru	Yauli	2000	34.6%	48.0%	23.3%
Peru	Yauli	2017	49.8%	61.1%	38.2%
Peru	Yauyos	2000	25.7%	35.5%	18.5%
Peru	Yauyos	2017	36.2%	48.2%	27.0%
Peru	Yungay	2000	23.1%	30.1%	17.7%
Peru	Yungay	2017	35.2%	42.1%	29.3%
Peru	Yunguyo	2000	10.9%	16.9%	6.5%
Peru	Yunguyo	2017	26.0%	36.0%	17.8%
Peru	Zarumilla	2000	31.6%	36.7%	26.9%
Peru	Zarumilla	2017	57.5%	60.9%	54.4%
North Africa and Middle East					
Afghanistan	Ab Band	2000	6.1%	18.6%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Ab Band	2017	7.4%	20.5%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Ab Kamari	2000	10.4%	23.8%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Ab Kamari	2017	12.0%	26.0%	3.9%
Afghanistan	Achin	2000	3.6%	22.0%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Achin	2017	4.4%	23.0%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Adraskan	2000	5.3%	10.2%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Adraskan	2017	6.5%	12.3%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Ajristan	2000	7.2%	20.1%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Ajristan	2017	8.5%	22.2%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Alasay	2000	5.6%	32.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Alasay	2017	6.8%	35.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Ali abad	2000	3.5%	12.6%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Ali abad	2017	4.3%	13.5%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Alingar	2000	4.7%	21.4%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Alingar	2017	5.4%	22.4%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Alishing	2000	4.3%	23.9%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Alishing	2017	5.0%	26.1%	0.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Almar	2000	5.0%	23.4%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Almar	2017	5.9%	25.3%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Anar Dara	2000	8.7%	14.7%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Anar Dara	2017	10.3%	17.5%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Andar	2000	4.0%	15.6%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Andar	2017	5.0%	17.4%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Andarab	2000	3.6%	11.1%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Andarab	2017	4.4%	12.8%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Andkhoy	2000	2.2%	9.5%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Andkhoy	2017	2.6%	10.2%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Aqcha	2000	13.0%	25.8%	6.0%
Afghanistan	Aqcha	2017	16.1%	30.2%	7.6%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2000	6.9%	17.4%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2000	2.7%	12.8%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2017	7.7%	17.5%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2017	3.6%	14.8%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Arghistan	2000	5.2%	12.7%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Arghistan	2017	6.3%	15.1%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Asad abad	2000	3.1%	7.0%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Asad abad	2017	3.7%	8.3%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Atghar	2000	4.0%	13.8%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Atghar	2017	4.9%	16.8%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Aybak	2000	4.6%	16.3%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Aybak	2017	5.3%	19.1%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Azro	2000	4.8%	20.5%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Azro	2017	5.9%	24.1%	0.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Baghlan City	2000	8.5%	23.6%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Baghlan City	2017	9.6%	31.8%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Baghlani Ja-did	2000	10.7%	35.4%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Baghlani Ja-did	2017	12.3%	37.4%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Bagram	2000	20.0%	31.3%	12.2%
Afghanistan	Bagram	2017	18.7%	29.6%	11.5%
Afghanistan	Bagrami	2000	10.0%	26.0%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Bagrami	2017	11.9%	28.2%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Baharak	2000	7.7%	18.6%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Baharak	2017	9.4%	20.5%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Bak	2000	4.5%	33.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Bak	2017	5.5%	37.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Bakwa	2000	3.6%	11.7%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Bakwa	2017	4.3%	12.6%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Bala Buluk	2000	6.6%	19.5%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Bala Buluk	2017	7.8%	20.7%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Balkh	2000	18.7%	41.2%	9.1%
Afghanistan	Balkh	2017	22.8%	49.4%	12.5%
Afghanistan	Balkhab	2000	4.5%	10.9%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Balkhab	2017	5.4%	12.7%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Bamyan City	2000	5.8%	17.4%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Bamyan City	2017	7.2%	19.7%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Bangi	2000	12.7%	33.6%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Bangi	2017	15.1%	36.0%	3.9%
Afghanistan	Bar Kunar	2000	4.5%	14.0%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Bar Kunar	2017	5.7%	17.0%	1.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Baraki Barak	2000	5.7%	12.7%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Baraki Barak	2017	7.5%	16.5%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Bargi Matal	2000	5.0%	13.8%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Bargi Matal	2017	6.0%	15.8%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Bati Kot	2000	3.4%	25.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bati Kot	2017	4.1%	27.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bilchiragh	2000	4.3%	12.1%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Bilchiragh	2017	5.1%	14.0%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Bughran	2000	6.0%	14.5%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Bughran	2017	7.0%	16.5%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Burka	2000	5.5%	22.7%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Burka	2017	6.6%	25.9%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Burmul	2000	7.9%	16.5%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Burmul	2017	9.0%	18.8%	3.8%
Afghanistan	Chaghcharan	2000	6.5%	11.8%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Chaghcharan	2017	7.7%	13.5%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Chah Ab	2000	6.4%	21.3%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Chah Ab	2017	8.0%	25.8%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Chahar Asyab	2000	6.2%	34.5%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Chahar Asyab	2017	7.5%	45.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Chaharikar	2000	5.8%	9.9%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Chaharikar	2017	6.4%	10.6%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Chak	2000	4.4%	13.3%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Chak	2017	5.5%	16.7%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Chakhansur	2000	9.0%	17.9%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Chakhansur	2017	10.6%	19.3%	5.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Chal	2000	6.3%	27.8%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Chal	2017	7.2%	29.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Chamkani	2000	2.4%	5.7%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Chamkani	2017	3.1%	7.0%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Chapa Dara	2000	7.0%	31.5%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Chapa Dara	2017	8.5%	35.6%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Chaparhar	2000	21.8%	46.2%	8.3%
Afghanistan	Chaparhar	2017	24.7%	54.8%	9.5%
Afghanistan	Char Bolak	2000	3.8%	12.4%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Char Bolak	2017	4.6%	14.4%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Char Burjak	2000	8.8%	13.1%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Char Burjak	2017	10.5%	15.6%	6.4%
Afghanistan	Char Dara	2000	7.8%	28.5%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Char Dara	2017	9.2%	33.4%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Charkh	2000	4.7%	12.9%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Charkh	2017	6.1%	16.2%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Charkint	2000	3.2%	11.6%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Charkint	2017	4.0%	14.0%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Chawkay	2000	4.0%	16.9%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Chawkay	2017	5.2%	21.7%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Chimtal	2000	7.0%	22.6%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Chimtal	2017	8.5%	26.4%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Chishti Sharif	2000	5.3%	17.6%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Chishti Sharif	2017	6.3%	20.7%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Chora	2000	6.9%	15.5%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Chora	2017	8.1%	17.0%	2.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Dahana-I-Ghori	2000	4.8%	15.7%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Dahana-I-Ghori	2017	5.7%	18.3%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Daman	2000	2.1%	8.1%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Daman	2017	2.2%	8.0%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Dand Patan Wa	2000	2.1%	12.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Dand Patan Wa	2017	2.7%	15.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Dangam	2000	4.3%	16.8%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Dangam	2017	5.2%	19.1%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Dara-I-Nur	2000	2.5%	11.7%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Dara-I-Nur	2017	3.2%	14.1%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Pech	2000	4.6%	18.2%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Pech	2017	5.6%	21.3%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Suf	2000	4.6%	12.5%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Suf	2017	5.4%	13.4%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Darqad	2000	2.9%	14.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Darqad	2017	3.5%	16.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Darwaz	2000	7.6%	17.2%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Darwaz	2017	9.0%	18.8%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Darzab	2000	4.9%	16.6%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Darzab	2017	5.8%	19.3%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Dashti Archi	2000	6.3%	25.6%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Dashti Archi	2017	7.8%	29.6%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2000	4.1%	13.5%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2000	8.6%	24.4%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2017	9.3%	24.7%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2017	5.3%	18.1%	0.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Dawlat Shah	2000	3.7%	13.5%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Dawlat Shah	2017	4.5%	16.8%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Day Kundi	2000	5.2%	11.0%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Day Kundi	2017	6.3%	12.8%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Daychopan	2000	6.1%	13.5%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Daychopan	2017	7.7%	17.0%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Daymirdad	2000	4.9%	16.3%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Daymirdad	2017	5.8%	18.7%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Dih Bala	2000	4.0%	19.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Dih Bala	2017	5.1%	23.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Dih Sabz	2000	6.9%	36.9%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Dih Sabz	2017	8.3%	40.3%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Dihdadi	2000	47.1%	65.0%	36.9%
Afghanistan	Dihdadi	2017	50.9%	67.7%	41.0%
Afghanistan	Dihrawud	2000	3.4%	9.4%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Dihrawud	2017	4.4%	11.2%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Dihyak	2000	6.3%	26.2%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Dihyak	2017	7.4%	28.5%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Dila	2000	16.4%	26.2%	8.5%
Afghanistan	Dila	2017	16.0%	27.4%	8.1%
Afghanistan	Disho	2000	7.4%	13.5%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Disho	2017	8.7%	15.1%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Doshi	2000	4.8%	12.5%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Doshi	2017	5.6%	14.7%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Dur Baba	2000	2.4%	17.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dur Baba	2017	2.9%	18.7%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Farah City	2000	4.0%	11.5%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Farah City	2017	5.1%	14.0%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Farkhar	2000	7.6%	20.6%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Farkhar	2017	9.0%	23.3%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Farsi	2000	4.9%	12.9%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Farsi	2017	5.8%	15.1%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Fayz abad	2000	7.6%	20.3%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Fayz abad	2017	9.2%	20.9%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Fayzabad	2000	12.6%	24.8%	4.6%
Afghanistan	Fayzabad	2017	14.5%	26.6%	5.8%
Afghanistan	Gardez	2000	6.8%	20.3%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Gardez	2017	8.4%	24.6%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Garmser	2000	7.4%	16.7%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Garmser	2017	8.9%	17.0%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Gayan	2000	2.3%	7.5%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Gayan	2017	3.2%	10.0%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Gelan	2000	5.5%	17.7%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Gelan	2017	6.8%	20.0%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Ghazni	2000	5.1%	10.5%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Ghazni	2017	6.6%	13.0%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Ghorak	2000	5.2%	16.5%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Ghorak	2017	6.3%	19.4%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Ghorband	2000	4.8%	20.3%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Ghorband	2017	5.6%	21.9%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Ghormach	2000	4.1%	15.1%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Ghormach	2017	4.9%	15.7%	0.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Ghoryan	2000	3.8%	8.4%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Ghoryan	2017	4.3%	9.9%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Giro	2000	3.7%	13.3%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Giro	2017	4.6%	15.3%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Gizab	2000	3.9%	9.3%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Gizab	2017	4.6%	10.3%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Gomal	2000	3.6%	8.8%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Gomal	2017	4.3%	10.0%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Goshta	2000	3.3%	19.9%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Goshta	2017	4.0%	22.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Gul dara	2000	16.0%	62.2%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Gul dara	2017	18.6%	66.8%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Gulistan	2000	5.4%	10.8%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Gulistan	2017	6.5%	12.5%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Gulran	2000	4.1%	10.3%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Gulran	2017	4.6%	10.2%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Gurbuz	2000	4.7%	21.7%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Gurbuz	2017	6.0%	26.4%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Guzara	2000	2.5%	9.8%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Guzara	2017	3.0%	9.8%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Imam	2000	5.7%	17.2%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Imam	2017	6.6%	22.1%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Sultan	2000	3.5%	14.1%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Sultan	2017	4.0%	15.9%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Hirat City	2000	13.1%	17.5%	10.1%
Afghanistan	Hirat City	2017	18.6%	25.3%	14.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Hisa-i-Awali Bihsud	2000	6.3%	20.2%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Hisa-i-Awali Bihsud	2017	7.6%	21.4%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Awali Panjsher	2000	4.5%	13.4%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Awali Panjsher	2017	5.5%	15.0%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Duwum Panjsher	2000	5.4%	19.7%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Duwum Panjsher	2017	6.7%	21.8%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Hisarak	2000	6.0%	30.3%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Hisarak	2017	7.0%	32.0%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Injil	2000	7.9%	11.9%	5.4%
Afghanistan	Injil	2017	12.0%	16.6%	8.6%
Afghanistan	Ishkamish	2000	11.6%	32.4%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Ishkamish	2017	13.6%	40.0%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Ishkashim	2000	10.1%	27.6%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Ishkashim	2017	11.8%	30.1%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Istalif	2000	12.1%	52.4%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Istalif	2017	14.2%	58.1%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Jabalussaraj	2000	2.5%	12.2%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Jabalussaraj	2017	2.8%	10.1%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Jadran	2000	2.6%	10.9%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Jadran	2017	3.2%	12.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Jaghatu	2000	4.8%	13.6%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Jaghatu	2017	6.4%	15.3%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Jaghuri	2000	5.2%	13.9%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Jaghuri	2017	6.3%	15.4%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Jaji	2000	3.3%	12.1%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Jaji	2017	4.1%	15.3%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Jaji Maydan	2000	1.7%	9.2%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Jaji Maydan	2017	1.9%	9.0%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Jalal abad	2000	33.6%	37.0%	30.7%
Afghanistan	Jalal abad	2017	38.7%	42.4%	35.6%
Afghanistan	Jalrez	2000	3.8%	16.2%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Jalrez	2017	4.6%	17.6%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Jani Khel	2000	1.0%	4.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Jani Khel	2017	1.3%	6.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Jawand	2000	5.9%	10.3%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Jawand	2017	6.7%	11.1%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Jurm	2000	7.3%	16.9%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Jurm	2017	9.5%	19.6%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Kabul City	2000	9.7%	26.0%	4.2%
Afghanistan	Kabul City	2017	11.7%	32.3%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Kahmard	2000	5.6%	13.9%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Kahmard	2017	6.7%	16.2%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Kajaki	2000	4.0%	15.2%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Kajaki	2017	5.3%	17.5%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Kalafgan	2000	5.8%	21.7%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Kalafgan	2017	6.7%	21.5%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Kalakan	2000	4.9%	23.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Kalakan	2017	5.7%	27.7%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Kaldar	2000	2.1%	8.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Kaldar	2017	2.5%	11.0%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Kama	2000	5.3%	14.9%	2.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Kama	2017	6.9%	16.9%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Kamdes	2000	3.9%	14.4%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Kamdes	2017	4.9%	17.0%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Kandahar City	2000	2.5%	7.3%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Kandahar City	2017	3.8%	10.7%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Kang	2000	6.7%	23.0%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Kang	2017	7.8%	28.1%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Karukh	2000	3.0%	11.9%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Karukh	2017	3.8%	13.2%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Khaki Jabar	2000	6.1%	25.9%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Khaki Jabar	2017	7.3%	28.5%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Khaki Safed	2000	4.4%	13.9%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Khaki Safed	2017	4.8%	14.3%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Khakrez	2000	5.7%	16.3%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Khakrez	2017	6.9%	18.5%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Khamyab	2000	6.2%	21.5%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Khamyab	2017	7.2%	25.8%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Khan Abad	2000	16.0%	39.5%	4.5%
Afghanistan	Khan Abad	2017	18.1%	42.6%	5.7%
Afghanistan	Khan Char Bagh	2000	4.1%	17.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Khan Char Bagh	2017	5.2%	23.0%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Khas Kunar	2000	3.7%	13.2%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Khas Kunar	2017	4.9%	15.4%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Khas Uruzgan	2000	6.6%	16.2%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Khas Uruzgan	2017	8.3%	19.5%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Khash Rod	2000	7.1%	16.8%	2.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Khash Rod	2017	8.3%	16.8%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Khinjan	2000	9.4%	28.8%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Khinjan	2017	11.1%	32.3%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Khogyani	2000	5.3%	24.5%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Khogyani	2017	6.1%	26.1%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Khost (Matun)	2000	7.4%	21.0%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Khost (Matun)	2017	9.4%	25.3%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Khost Wa Firing	2000	3.0%	10.3%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Khost Wa Firing	2017	3.8%	12.4%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Khulm	2000	5.1%	13.4%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Khulm	2017	5.9%	16.0%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Khuram Wa Sarbagh	2000	4.0%	12.7%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Khuram Wa Sarbagh	2017	4.9%	14.5%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Khushi	2000	2.5%	12.7%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Khushi	2017	3.0%	14.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Khwahan	2000	6.3%	17.4%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Khwahan	2017	7.6%	19.6%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Du Koh	2000	6.0%	14.9%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Du Koh	2017	7.0%	15.3%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Ghar	2000	6.1%	20.6%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Ghar	2017	7.3%	22.7%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Sabz Posh	2000	8.1%	16.7%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Sabz Posh	2017	10.2%	18.9%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Kijran	2000	5.1%	14.5%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Kijran	2017	6.0%	16.8%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Kishim	2000	9.3%	19.9%	3.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Kishim	2017	10.9%	21.4%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Kishindih	2000	3.8%	11.8%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Kishindih	2017	4.5%	13.5%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Kohband	2000	0.7%	3.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Kohband	2017	1.0%	4.4%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Kohi Safi	2000	4.3%	15.4%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Kohi Safi	2017	5.9%	19.3%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2000	1.5%	3.1%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2000	5.4%	15.3%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2017	2.0%	3.9%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2017	6.4%	17.6%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Kohistanat	2000	7.2%	13.5%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Kohistanat	2017	8.6%	15.3%	3.8%
Afghanistan	Kuhsan	2000	3.8%	12.4%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Kuhsan	2017	4.5%	14.2%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Kunduz	2000	16.6%	37.7%	6.7%
Afghanistan	Kunduz	2017	19.0%	41.4%	8.1%
Afghanistan	Kuran Wa Munjan	2000	5.9%	15.4%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Kuran Wa Munjan	2017	7.2%	18.0%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Kushk	2000	4.4%	14.4%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Kushk	2017	5.5%	14.8%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Kushki Kuhna	2000	3.4%	10.3%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Kushki Kuhna	2017	4.1%	11.3%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Kuz Kunar	2000	4.5%	17.6%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Kuz Kunar	2017	5.6%	20.1%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Lal Pur	2000	2.1%	12.4%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Lal Pur	2017	2.7%	15.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Lal Wa Sarjangan-gal	2000	5.6%	13.5%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Lal Wa Sarjangan-gal	2017	6.8%	16.5%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Lash Wa Juwayn	2000	5.9%	12.2%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Lash Wa Juwayn	2017	7.0%	13.9%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Lashkargah	2000	3.8%	9.5%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Lashkargah	2017	4.9%	11.6%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Lija Mangal	2000	3.3%	15.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Lija Mangal	2017	4.0%	17.2%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Mahmud Raqi	2000	6.8%	16.4%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Mahmud Raqi	2017	8.6%	20.5%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Malistan	2000	5.9%	18.7%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Malistan	2017	7.1%	21.1%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Mando Zayi	2000	6.7%	22.8%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Mando Zayi	2017	8.2%	27.3%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Mandol	2000	4.0%	11.2%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Mandol	2017	4.9%	13.2%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Mardyan	2000	14.9%	32.4%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Mardyan	2017	18.2%	36.7%	5.7%
Afghanistan	Markazi Bih-sud	2000	6.7%	17.4%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Markazi Bih-sud	2017	8.1%	19.8%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Marmul	2000	3.4%	17.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Marmul	2017	4.2%	21.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Maruf	2000	5.3%	13.3%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Maruf	2017	6.4%	15.5%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Marwara	2000	0.9%	3.9%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Marwara	2017	1.1%	4.8%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Mata Khan	2000	5.5%	23.0%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Mata Khan	2017	6.5%	25.0%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Maydan Shahr	2000	2.5%	12.8%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Maydan Shahr	2017	3.2%	15.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Maymana	2000	4.1%	20.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Maymana	2017	4.9%	23.4%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Maywand	2000	3.7%	11.6%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Maywand	2017	4.4%	13.0%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Mazar-i-Sharif	2000	37.7%	38.5%	36.5%
Afghanistan	Mazar-i-Sharif	2017	37.0%	37.7%	36.4%
Afghanistan	Mihtarlam	2000	5.3%	19.2%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Mihtarlam	2017	6.8%	21.9%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Mingajik	2000	7.9%	24.3%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Mingajik	2017	9.1%	26.0%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Mirbacha Kot	2000	14.9%	22.4%	9.6%
Afghanistan	Mirbacha Kot	2017	18.3%	26.8%	12.2%
Afghanistan	Mizan	2000	5.3%	21.8%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Mizan	2017	6.4%	24.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Muhammad Agha	2000	4.3%	16.9%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Muhammad Agha	2017	5.4%	18.5%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Muhmand Dara	2000	1.4%	6.5%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Muhmand Dara	2017	1.8%	8.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2000	3.6%	15.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2000	5.6%	20.2%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2017	4.3%	17.3%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Muqur	2017	7.0%	24.8%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Murghab	2000	30.4%	39.1%	23.6%
Afghanistan	Murghab	2017	30.4%	40.0%	23.3%
Afghanistan	Musa Khel	2000	5.3%	26.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Musa Khel	2017	6.6%	30.8%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Musa Qala	2000	4.2%	13.7%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Musa Qala	2017	4.9%	15.7%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Musayi	2000	3.2%	14.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Musayi	2017	4.3%	19.4%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Nad Ali	2000	5.0%	12.7%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Nad Ali	2017	5.8%	14.3%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Nadir Shah Kot	2000	6.7%	19.9%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Nadir Shah Kot	2017	8.2%	22.9%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Nahri Sarraj	2000	3.0%	10.5%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Nahri Sarraj	2017	3.5%	12.0%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Nahri Shahi	2000	12.9%	16.6%	11.0%
Afghanistan	Nahri Shahi	2017	13.5%	18.4%	11.2%
Afghanistan	Nahrin	2000	3.0%	12.4%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Nahrin	2017	3.6%	14.8%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Nali	2000	4.7%	16.7%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Nali	2017	5.9%	19.2%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Narang Wa Badil	2000	3.9%	7.4%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Narang Wa Badil	2017	4.6%	8.9%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Naw Zad	2000	4.3%	10.9%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Naw Zad	2017	5.1%	12.6%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Nawa	2000	7.3%	17.9%	1.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Nawa	2017	8.3%	18.0%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Nawa-i-Barak Zayi	2000	5.2%	18.5%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Nawa-i-Barak Zayi	2017	6.3%	21.2%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Nawur	2000	6.9%	12.5%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Nawur	2017	8.5%	15.3%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Nazyan	2000	3.0%	25.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nazyan	2017	3.6%	29.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nesh	2000	4.5%	16.6%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Nesh	2017	5.6%	18.1%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Nijrab	2000	4.2%	16.5%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Nijrab	2017	5.0%	16.8%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Nika	2000	10.0%	38.9%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Nika	2017	11.8%	42.1%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Nirkh	2000	4.0%	16.1%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Nirkh	2017	4.9%	17.6%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Nurgal	2000	2.0%	13.8%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Nurgal	2017	2.5%	16.5%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Nuristan	2000	9.8%	20.2%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Nuristan	2017	10.9%	22.2%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Obe	2000	3.4%	12.9%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Obe	2017	4.2%	13.4%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Omna	2000	12.2%	23.7%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Omna	2017	16.5%	29.7%	5.7%
Afghanistan	Pachir Wa	2000	4.7%	25.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Pachir Wa	2017	5.8%	29.0%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Agam Paghman	2000	6.3%	35.0%	0.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Paghman	2017	7.5%	40.7%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Panjab	2000	5.7%	13.4%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Panjab	2017	7.1%	16.6%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Panjsher	2000	2.6%	6.7%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Panjsher	2017	3.3%	7.9%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Panjwayi	2000	4.1%	14.6%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Panjwayi	2017	4.9%	17.8%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Pasaband	2000	7.1%	14.3%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Pasaband	2017	8.4%	15.9%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Kot	2000	4.9%	18.3%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Kot	2017	5.8%	21.6%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Zarghun	2000	3.4%	12.0%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Zarghun	2017	4.1%	13.5%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Puli Alam	2000	6.9%	18.9%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Puli Alam	2017	7.9%	19.8%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Puli Khumri	2000	4.4%	10.4%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Puli Khumri	2017	5.2%	11.3%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Purchaman	2000	5.9%	11.1%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Purchaman	2017	7.0%	12.6%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Pusht Rod	2000	2.4%	7.4%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Pusht Rod	2017	3.1%	8.5%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Qadis	2000	6.5%	14.5%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Qadis	2017	7.9%	16.1%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Kah	2000	5.9%	14.0%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Kah	2017	7.1%	16.3%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Naw	2000	5.0%	15.3%	0.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Naw	2017	6.1%	16.8%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Zal	2000	6.8%	20.4%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Zal	2017	8.0%	21.9%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Qalandar	2000	6.5%	34.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Qalandar	2017	7.6%	37.8%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Qalat	2000	5.4%	14.1%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Qalat	2017	6.5%	17.9%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2000	4.4%	15.6%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2000	4.7%	14.9%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2017	4.6%	16.2%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2017	5.9%	17.6%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Qaram Qol	2000	2.3%	6.3%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Qaram Qol	2017	2.6%	6.8%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Qarghayi	2000	11.8%	22.7%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Qarghayi	2017	14.6%	27.1%	7.0%
Afghanistan	Qarqin	2000	6.0%	18.1%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Qarqin	2017	7.1%	21.1%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Qaysar	2000	4.3%	15.3%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Qaysar	2017	5.3%	17.7%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Ragh	2000	7.9%	21.5%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Ragh	2017	9.3%	23.9%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Reg	2000	6.9%	11.4%	3.8%
Afghanistan	Reg	2000	8.0%	17.3%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Reg	2017	8.1%	13.0%	4.6%
Afghanistan	Reg	2017	9.7%	19.3%	3.8%
Afghanistan	Rodat	2000	5.9%	18.0%	1.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Rodat	2017	7.5%	22.3%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Royi Du Ab	2000	4.8%	12.6%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Royi Du Ab	2017	5.9%	15.2%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Rustaq	2000	6.3%	18.7%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Rustaq	2017	7.6%	21.0%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Sabari	2000	6.8%	26.6%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Sabari	2017	8.4%	29.2%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Saghar	2000	4.4%	11.7%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Saghar	2017	5.2%	12.9%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Salang	2000	3.9%	16.7%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Salang	2017	4.8%	19.1%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Sangcharak	2000	2.4%	10.9%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Sangcharak	2017	3.3%	13.1%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Sangin	2000	3.4%	14.3%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Sangin	2017	4.4%	17.7%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Sar Hawza	2000	7.6%	18.5%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Sar Hawza	2017	9.1%	20.3%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Sar-i-Pul City	2000	3.5%	10.2%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Sar-i-Pul City	2017	3.9%	10.8%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Sarobi	2000	1.9%	8.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Sarobi	2017	2.5%	8.9%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Sayid Abad	2000	7.0%	18.6%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Sayid Abad	2017	8.4%	22.4%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Sayid Karam	2000	2.3%	8.3%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Sayid Karam	2017	3.1%	10.8%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Sayyad	2000	3.2%	11.8%	0.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Sayyad	2017	3.7%	13.1%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Shah Wali Kot	2000	5.2%	15.3%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Shah Wali Kot	2017	6.4%	16.2%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Shahidi Hasas	2000	5.8%	14.7%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Shahidi Hasas	2017	6.7%	15.5%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Shahjoy	2000	4.6%	16.2%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Shahjoy	2017	5.5%	18.8%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Shahrak	2000	6.1%	12.7%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Shahrak	2017	7.2%	13.9%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Shahri Buzurg	2000	5.4%	15.2%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Shahri Buzurg	2017	6.5%	18.0%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Shahristan	2000	4.4%	10.4%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Shahristan	2017	5.2%	10.9%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Shakar Dara	2000	5.9%	16.9%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Shakar Dara	2017	7.6%	19.8%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Shamul	2000	3.1%	19.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shamul	2017	3.9%	24.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shamul zayi	2000	5.4%	14.3%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Shamul zayi	2017	6.4%	16.3%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Sharan	2000	17.4%	32.4%	9.1%
Afghanistan	Sharan	2017	18.8%	34.2%	9.4%
Afghanistan	Shekh Ali	2000	3.9%	16.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Shekh Ali	2017	4.6%	16.8%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Sherzad	2000	3.4%	16.7%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Sherzad	2017	3.9%	17.7%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Shib Koh	2000	5.2%	14.7%	0.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Shib Koh	2017	6.3%	16.0%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Shibar	2000	5.8%	20.5%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Shibar	2017	6.7%	21.9%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Shibirghan	2000	4.6%	12.3%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Shibirghan	2017	6.0%	15.0%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Shighnan	2000	7.3%	17.1%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Shighnan	2017	8.7%	18.2%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Shindand	2000	3.4%	11.9%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Shindand	2017	4.3%	13.4%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Shinkay	2000	6.7%	19.9%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Shinkay	2017	7.9%	23.1%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Shinwar	2000	1.7%	11.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shinwar	2017	2.2%	13.7%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Shinwari	2000	8.3%	34.1%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Shinwari	2017	10.0%	39.3%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Shirin Tagab	2000	3.7%	9.2%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Shirin Tagab	2017	4.4%	10.5%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Sholgara	2000	8.7%	29.0%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Sholgara	2017	10.2%	30.3%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Shorabak	2000	5.5%	12.9%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Shorabak	2017	6.4%	14.7%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Shortepa	2000	3.9%	15.0%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Shortepa	2017	4.7%	17.2%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Shwak	2000	2.7%	7.9%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Shwak	2017	3.4%	10.4%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Sirkanay	2000	6.7%	15.8%	3.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Sirkanay	2017	8.2%	19.0%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Sozma Qala	2000	3.2%	14.3%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Sozma Qala	2017	4.1%	16.0%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Spera	2000	2.2%	9.2%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Spera	2017	2.8%	12.3%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Spin Boldak	2000	4.4%	11.4%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Spin Boldak	2017	5.2%	14.1%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Surkh Rod	2000	17.8%	27.0%	13.2%
Afghanistan	Surkh Rod	2017	22.9%	30.1%	18.1%
Afghanistan	Surkhi Parsa	2000	4.3%	14.0%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Surkhi Parsa	2017	5.1%	15.6%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Surobi	2000	3.1%	9.5%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Surobi	2017	3.9%	11.4%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Tagab	2000	3.9%	24.5%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Tagab	2017	4.6%	28.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Tala Wa Bar-fak	2000	4.6%	11.7%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Tala Wa Bar-fak	2017	5.5%	13.9%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Taluqan	2000	16.6%	27.0%	9.9%
Afghanistan	Taluqan	2017	21.0%	33.4%	13.1%
Afghanistan	Tani	2000	3.3%	18.7%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Tani	2017	3.9%	19.7%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Tarnak Wa Jaldak	2000	6.9%	23.0%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Tarnak Wa Jaldak	2017	8.0%	23.5%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Taywara	2000	6.3%	16.1%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Taywara	2017	7.7%	18.0%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Tere Zayi	2000	4.3%	14.6%	0.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Tere Zayi	2017	5.3%	18.4%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Tirin Kot	2000	6.6%	18.3%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Tirin Kot	2017	8.6%	20.5%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Tulak	2000	4.9%	11.2%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Tulak	2017	5.9%	12.7%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Urgun	2000	12.2%	20.7%	6.8%
Afghanistan	Urgun	2017	14.5%	24.8%	8.5%
Afghanistan	Wakhan	2000	6.6%	12.9%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Wakhan	2017	7.8%	14.8%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Wama	2000	6.5%	17.0%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Wama	2017	7.9%	19.1%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Waras	2000	8.2%	17.1%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Waras	2017	9.8%	19.9%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Warsaj	2000	5.6%	17.7%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Warsaj	2017	6.6%	20.3%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Washer	2000	5.3%	11.4%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Washer	2017	6.2%	12.6%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Waygal	2000	3.3%	14.5%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Waygal	2017	4.1%	16.0%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Wazakhwa	2000	5.7%	17.0%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Wazakhwa	2017	6.9%	19.3%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Wolmamay	2000	5.1%	12.2%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Wolmamay	2017	6.0%	13.8%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Yakawlang	2000	8.2%	25.6%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Yakawlang	2017	9.8%	24.6%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Yangi Qala	2000	6.0%	12.2%	2.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Yangi Qala	2017	7.4%	14.0%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Zana Khan	2000	7.8%	36.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Zana Khan	2017	9.1%	38.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Zaranj	2000	5.6%	15.6%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Zaranj	2017	6.8%	20.4%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Zarghun Shahr	2000	13.6%	20.6%	8.4%
Afghanistan	Zarghun Shahr	2017	16.4%	24.7%	10.1%
Afghanistan	Zebak	2000	5.6%	26.5%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Zebak	2017	6.5%	24.4%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Ziluk	2000	1.5%	7.9%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Ziluk	2017	1.9%	9.6%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Zinda Jan	2000	4.8%	16.4%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Zinda Jan	2017	5.8%	19.1%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Zurmat	2000	5.5%	13.8%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Zurmat	2017	6.7%	16.5%	1.8%
Algeria	Abadla	2000	79.9%	84.1%	75.8%
Algeria	Abadla	2017	80.5%	84.6%	76.4%
Algeria	Abalissa	2000	79.9%	83.9%	75.4%
Algeria	Abalissa	2017	80.9%	84.1%	76.8%
Algeria	Abi Youcef	2000	91.8%	93.3%	89.9%
Algeria	Abi Youcef	2017	92.1%	93.6%	90.3%
Algeria	Abou El Has-sen	2000	92.3%	94.1%	90.0%
Algeria	Abou El Has-sen	2017	92.6%	94.3%	90.3%
Algeria	Achaacha	2000	93.5%	95.3%	91.6%
Algeria	Achaacha	2017	93.7%	95.4%	91.8%
Algeria	Adekar	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.0%
Algeria	Adekar	2017	92.0%	93.6%	90.2%
Algeria	Adrar	2000	79.5%	82.5%	75.7%
Algeria	Adrar	2017	80.1%	83.0%	76.5%
Algeria	Afir	2000	93.7%	94.9%	92.0%
Algeria	Afir	2017	93.9%	95.1%	92.3%
Algeria	Aflou	2000	78.0%	80.7%	74.5%
Algeria	Aflou	2017	78.4%	81.2%	75.0%
Algeria	Aghbal	2000	92.4%	94.3%	90.2%
Algeria	Aghbal	2017	92.8%	94.7%	90.7%
Algeria	Aghbalou	2000	90.5%	92.4%	88.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Aghbalou	2017	90.8%	92.6%	88.7%
Algeria	Aghlal	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.1%
Algeria	Aghlal	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.3%
Algeria	Aghni-Goughrane	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.2%
Algeria	Aghni-Goughrane	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.6%
Algeria	Aghrib	2000	93.7%	94.9%	91.9%
Algeria	Aghrib	2017	93.9%	95.1%	92.2%
Algeria	Ahl El Ksar	2000	88.7%	91.3%	86.2%
Algeria	Ahl El Ksar	2017	89.2%	91.7%	86.7%
Algeria	Ahmed Rachedi	2000	95.9%	96.5%	95.1%
Algeria	Ahmed Rachedi	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.3%
Algeria	Ahmer El Ain	2000	92.5%	94.0%	91.0%
Algeria	Ahmer El Ain	2017	92.7%	94.1%	91.2%
Algeria	Ahnif	2000	88.9%	91.4%	86.0%
Algeria	Ahnif	2017	89.4%	91.8%	86.6%
Algeria	Ain Abessa	2000	84.3%	86.5%	81.6%
Algeria	Ain Abessa	2017	84.7%	86.9%	82.0%
Algeria	Ain Abid	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.0%
Algeria	Ain Abid	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.3%
Algeria	Ain Adden	2000	92.8%	94.4%	91.2%
Algeria	Ain Adden	2017	93.1%	94.7%	91.6%
Algeria	Ain Arnat	2000	83.1%	84.9%	81.0%
Algeria	Ain Arnat	2017	83.6%	85.4%	81.5%
Algeria	Ain Azel	2000	82.6%	85.2%	79.8%
Algeria	Ain Azel	2017	83.1%	85.7%	80.4%
Algeria	Ain Bebouche	2000	87.1%	89.1%	85.0%
Algeria	Ain Bebouche	2017	87.4%	89.4%	85.5%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	79.4%	81.5%	77.1%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	85.9%	88.3%	83.1%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2017	86.3%	88.7%	83.6%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2017	80.0%	82.1%	77.9%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	93.5%	94.7%	92.1%
Algeria	Ain Beida Harriche	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.5%
Algeria	Ain Ben Beida	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Algeria	Ain Ben Beida	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Algeria	Ain Ben Khelil	2000	86.6%	89.9%	82.4%
Algeria	Ain Ben Khelil	2017	86.9%	90.2%	83.0%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.9%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2000	91.2%	92.5%	89.8%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2017	92.7%	94.2%	91.2%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2017	91.5%	92.8%	90.1%
Algeria	Ain Biya	2000	93.7%	94.6%	92.4%
Algeria	Ain Biya	2017	93.9%	94.8%	92.7%
Algeria	Ain Bouchekif	2000	87.1%	88.3%	85.6%
Algeria	Ain Bouchekif	2017	87.5%	88.7%	86.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Boucif	2000	86.5%	89.4%	83.2%
Algeria	Ain Boucif	2017	87.2%	90.0%	84.0%
Algeria	Ain Boudinar	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.5%
Algeria	Ain Boudinar	2017	94.1%	95.1%	92.8%
Algeria	Ain Bouihi	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.9%
Algeria	Ain Bouihi	2017	92.6%	94.1%	91.1%
Algeria	Ain Bouziane	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Algeria	Ain Bouziane	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	Ain Charchar	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Algeria	Ain Charchar	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.3%
Algeria	Ain Chouhada	2000	77.5%	81.5%	73.3%
Algeria	Ain Chouhada	2017	78.1%	82.0%	74.1%
Algeria	Ain Defla	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.7%
Algeria	Ain Defla	2017	91.7%	93.1%	90.0%
Algeria	Ain Deheb	2000	85.7%	88.1%	83.3%
Algeria	Ain Deheb	2017	86.1%	88.5%	83.8%
Algeria	Ain Djasser	2000	85.0%	87.9%	82.0%
Algeria	Ain Djasser	2017	85.3%	88.2%	82.4%
Algeria	Ain El Arbaa	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Algeria	Ain El Arbaa	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Algeria	Ain El Assel	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Algeria	Ain El Assel	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Algeria	Ain El Berd	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.3%
Algeria	Ain El Berd	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.6%
Algeria	Ain El Berda	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Algeria	Ain El Berda	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Algeria	Ain El Diss	2000	87.8%	89.8%	85.4%
Algeria	Ain El Diss	2017	88.2%	90.1%	85.8%
Algeria	Ain El Fakroun	2000	86.0%	88.2%	83.8%
Algeria	Ain El Fakroun	2017	86.4%	88.6%	84.3%
Algeria	Ain El Hadid	2000	87.2%	89.1%	85.3%
Algeria	Ain El Hadid	2017	87.6%	89.5%	85.8%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2000	91.2%	92.8%	89.6%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2000	87.3%	88.7%	85.6%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2017	91.5%	93.1%	89.9%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2017	87.7%	89.1%	86.1%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjel	2000	75.6%	79.8%	70.7%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjel	2017	76.2%	80.4%	71.4%
Algeria	Ain El Ibel	2000	76.5%	79.4%	73.5%
Algeria	Ain El Ibel	2017	77.0%	79.9%	74.0%
Algeria	Ain El Kebira	2000	86.6%	89.2%	84.2%
Algeria	Ain El Kebira	2017	87.0%	89.5%	84.6%
Algeria	Ain El Kercha	2000	84.3%	86.7%	81.5%
Algeria	Ain El Kercha	2017	84.8%	87.2%	82.1%
Algeria	Ain El Melh	2000	77.6%	81.6%	72.9%
Algeria	Ain El Melh	2017	78.2%	82.1%	73.7%
Algeria	Ain El Orak	2000	87.0%	89.8%	83.9%
Algeria	Ain El Orak	2017	87.5%	90.1%	84.6%
Algeria	Ain Errich	2000	77.7%	82.1%	72.4%
Algeria	Ain Errich	2017	78.3%	82.7%	73.2%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Fares	2000	77.6%	82.2%	73.0%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2017	78.4%	82.7%	74.2%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.7%
Algeria	Ain Fekan	2000	91.7%	93.4%	90.2%
Algeria	Ain Fekan	2017	92.0%	93.6%	90.6%
Algeria	Ain Fekka	2000	76.8%	81.2%	71.7%
Algeria	Ain Fekka	2017	77.4%	81.9%	72.5%
Algeria	Ain Ferah	2000	89.4%	91.7%	86.9%
Algeria	Ain Ferah	2017	89.9%	92.2%	87.5%
Algeria	Ain Fettah	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.3%
Algeria	Ain Fettah	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.4%
Algeria	Ain Fezza	2000	93.5%	94.7%	92.2%
Algeria	Ain Fezza	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.4%
Algeria	Ain Frass	2000	92.2%	94.0%	90.7%
Algeria	Ain Frass	2017	92.5%	94.2%	91.0%
Algeria	Ain Ghoraba	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.9%
Algeria	Ain Ghoraba	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.3%
Algeria	Ain Kada	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.3%
Algeria	Ain Kada	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.7%
Algeria	Ain Kebira	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.8%
Algeria	Ain Kebira	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.2%
Algeria	Ain Kechra	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Ain Kechra	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2000	93.0%	94.5%	90.9%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.4%
Algeria	Ain Kermes	2000	86.6%	88.8%	83.8%
Algeria	Ain Kermes	2017	87.0%	89.1%	84.3%
Algeria	Ain Khadra	2000	78.5%	81.2%	75.0%
Algeria	Ain Khadra	2017	79.2%	81.8%	75.7%
Algeria	Ain Kihel	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.3%
Algeria	Ain Kihel	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.5%
Algeria	Ain Lahdjar	2000	82.7%	85.2%	79.6%
Algeria	Ain Lahdjar	2017	83.2%	85.6%	80.2%
Algeria	Ain Laloui	2000	91.2%	92.8%	89.6%
Algeria	Ain Laloui	2017	91.5%	93.1%	89.9%
Algeria	Ain Larbi	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.0%
Algeria	Ain Larbi	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Algeria	Ain Lechiakh	2000	91.7%	93.3%	89.8%
Algeria	Ain Lechiakh	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.0%
Algeria	Ain M'Lila	2000	86.5%	88.2%	84.6%
Algeria	Ain M'Lila	2017	86.8%	88.4%	84.9%
Algeria	Ain Maabed	2000	76.1%	78.7%	73.3%
Algeria	Ain Maabed	2017	76.8%	79.4%	74.0%
Algeria	Ain Madhi	2000	77.3%	81.4%	72.8%
Algeria	Ain Madhi	2017	78.2%	82.0%	73.6%
Algeria	Ain Makhlouf	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.8%
Algeria	Ain Makhlouf	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.0%
Algeria	Ain Mellouk	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.8%
Algeria	Ain Mellouk	2017	94.9%	95.8%	94.0%
Algeria	Ain Merrane	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.4%
Algeria	Ain Merrane	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Naga	2000	81.6%	85.0%	77.1%
Algeria	Ain Naga	2017	82.0%	85.2%	77.6%
Algeria	Ain Nehala	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.3%
Algeria	Ain Nehala	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.5%
Algeria	Ain Nouissy	2000	94.1%	95.2%	93.0%
Algeria	Ain Nouissy	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.2%
Algeria	Ain Ouksir	2000	81.9%	85.7%	78.1%
Algeria	Ain Ouksir	2017	82.1%	85.7%	78.5%
Algeria	Ain Oulmane	2000	83.2%	85.5%	80.7%
Algeria	Ain Oulmane	2017	83.7%	85.9%	81.3%
Algeria	Ain Oussera	2000	76.0%	78.5%	73.8%
Algeria	Ain Oussera	2017	76.5%	79.0%	74.3%
Algeria	Ain Rahma	2000	93.5%	94.7%	91.7%
Algeria	Ain Rahma	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.1%
Algeria	Ain Rekada	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.9%
Algeria	Ain Rekada	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Algeria	Ain Romana	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.0%
Algeria	Ain Romana	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.4%
Algeria	Ain Roua	2000	83.9%	86.1%	81.5%
Algeria	Ain Roua	2017	84.4%	86.6%	82.1%
Algeria	Ain Safra	2000	86.2%	88.5%	83.7%
Algeria	Ain Safra	2017	86.7%	89.0%	84.2%
Algeria	Ain Sandel	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Algeria	Ain Sandel	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Algeria	Ain Sekhouna	2000	86.9%	89.7%	83.4%
Algeria	Ain Sekhouna	2017	87.2%	90.1%	83.4%
Algeria	Ain Semara	2000	94.5%	95.3%	93.4%
Algeria	Ain Semara	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.2%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Ali	2000	83.0%	87.1%	77.4%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Ali	2017	83.5%	87.5%	78.1%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Cherif	2000	94.0%	95.0%	92.9%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Cherif	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.1%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.3%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.2%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	87.2%	89.2%	84.7%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.5%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.5%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	87.6%	89.5%	85.2%
Algeria	Ain Taghrout	2000	83.2%	85.2%	80.8%
Algeria	Ain Taghrout	2017	83.7%	85.6%	81.3%
Algeria	Ain Tagourait	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.3%
Algeria	Ain Tagourait	2017	92.4%	94.0%	90.7%
Algeria	Ain Tallout	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.4%
Algeria	Ain Tallout	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.7%
Algeria	Ain Tarek	2000	90.0%	92.1%	87.7%
Algeria	Ain Tarek	2017	90.3%	92.4%	88.2%
Algeria	Ain Tedles	2000	94.0%	95.1%	92.8%
Algeria	Ain Tedles	2017	94.2%	95.2%	93.0%
Algeria	Ain Temouchent	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Temouchent	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.8%
Algeria	Ain Tesra	2000	82.8%	84.7%	80.6%
Algeria	Ain Tesra	2017	83.3%	85.2%	81.2%
Algeria	Ain Thrid	2000	93.5%	94.7%	92.1%
Algeria	Ain Thrid	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.4%
Algeria	Ain Tindamine	2000	91.8%	93.7%	89.6%
Algeria	Ain Tindamine	2017	92.2%	94.0%	90.0%
Algeria	Ain Tine	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Algeria	Ain Tine	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Algeria	Ain Tolba	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.3%
Algeria	Ain Tolba	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.5%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.3%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	92.3%	93.8%	90.6%
Algeria	Ain Touila	2000	83.8%	86.3%	80.8%
Algeria	Ain Touila	2017	84.3%	86.8%	81.4%
Algeria	Ain Touta	2000	80.0%	82.8%	76.6%
Algeria	Ain Touta	2017	80.6%	83.3%	77.3%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.4%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	91.7%	93.3%	90.1%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.4%
Algeria	Ain Yagout	2000	83.6%	85.7%	81.1%
Algeria	Ain Yagout	2017	84.0%	86.1%	81.6%
Algeria	Ain Youcef	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.8%
Algeria	Ain Youcef	2017	95.0%	96.0%	94.0%
Algeria	Ain Zaatout	2000	81.0%	83.8%	78.0%
Algeria	Ain Zaatout	2017	81.8%	84.5%	78.8%
Algeria	Ain Zana	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Algeria	Ain Zana	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Algeria	Ain Zarit	2000	86.4%	88.5%	84.4%
Algeria	Ain Zarit	2017	86.8%	88.7%	84.9%
Algeria	Ain Zerga	2000	87.5%	90.1%	84.3%
Algeria	Ain Zerga	2017	87.8%	90.4%	84.8%
Algeria	Ain Zitoun	2000	82.5%	84.8%	79.6%
Algeria	Ain Zitoun	2017	83.1%	85.3%	80.3%
Algeria	Ain Zouit	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Algeria	Ain Zouit	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Algeria	Ain-Bessem	2000	91.1%	92.7%	89.4%
Algeria	Ain-Bessem	2017	91.4%	92.9%	89.8%
Algeria	Ain-El-Hammam	2000	91.7%	93.5%	89.8%
Algeria	Ain-El-Hammam	2017	92.0%	93.7%	90.1%
Algeria	Ain-Legradj	2000	85.6%	87.8%	83.0%
Algeria	Ain-Legradj	2017	85.9%	88.1%	83.4%
Algeria	Ain-Sebt	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Algeria	Ain-Sebt	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.1%
Algeria	Ain-Zaouia	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.1%
Algeria	Ain-Zaouia	2017	92.8%	94.0%	91.4%
Algeria	Aissaouia	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Aissaouia	2017	91.9%	93.5%	90.1%
Algeria	Ait Ag-gouacha	2000	92.1%	93.7%	90.5%
Algeria	Ait Ag-gouacha	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.8%
Algeria	Ait Aissa Mimoun	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.0%
Algeria	Ait Aissa Mimoun	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.3%
Algeria	Ait Bouadou	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.5%
Algeria	Ait Bouadou	2017	92.4%	93.7%	90.9%
Algeria	Ait Boumehdi	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.8%
Algeria	Ait Boumehdi	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.2%
Algeria	Ait Khelili	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.1%
Algeria	Ait Khelili	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.5%
Algeria	Ait Laaziz	2000	91.6%	93.2%	90.1%
Algeria	Ait Laaziz	2017	91.9%	93.4%	90.4%
Algeria	Ait Naoual Mezada	2000	86.9%	89.2%	84.3%
Algeria	Ait Naoual Mezada	2017	87.4%	89.6%	84.8%
Algeria	Ait Oumalou	2000	92.5%	93.9%	91.0%
Algeria	Ait Oumalou	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%
Algeria	Ait R'Zine	2000	90.3%	92.2%	88.0%
Algeria	Ait R'Zine	2017	90.7%	92.5%	88.4%
Algeria	Ait Toudert	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.1%
Algeria	Ait Toudert	2017	92.0%	93.6%	90.3%
Algeria	Ait Yahia Moussa	2000	92.7%	94.2%	91.1%
Algeria	Ait Yahia Moussa	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.5%
Algeria	Ait-Chaffaa	2000	92.9%	94.5%	90.9%
Algeria	Ait-Chaffaa	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.2%
Algeria	Ait-Mahmoud	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.9%
Algeria	Ait-Mahmoud	2017	92.7%	94.0%	91.2%
Algeria	Ait-Smail	2000	88.9%	91.2%	86.2%
Algeria	Ait-Smail	2017	89.3%	91.5%	86.6%
Algeria	Ait-Tizi	2000	87.5%	90.0%	84.7%
Algeria	Ait-Tizi	2017	87.8%	90.2%	85.0%
Algeria	Ait-Yahia	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.7%
Algeria	Ait-Yahia	2017	92.6%	94.1%	91.0%
Algeria	Akabli	2000	80.3%	86.1%	74.2%
Algeria	Akabli	2017	81.0%	86.5%	75.0%
Algeria	Akbil	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.4%
Algeria	Akbil	2017	91.7%	93.4%	89.8%
Algeria	Akbou	2000	90.6%	92.3%	88.6%
Algeria	Akbou	2017	91.0%	92.6%	89.0%
Algeria	Akerrou	2000	93.0%	94.5%	90.9%
Algeria	Akerrou	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.3%
Algeria	Akfadou	2000	91.0%	92.7%	89.2%
Algeria	Akfadou	2017	91.3%	92.9%	89.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Alaimia	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Algeria	Alaimia	2017	94.1%	95.1%	93.0%
Algeria	Amalou	2000	90.3%	91.8%	88.3%
Algeria	Amalou	2017	90.8%	92.3%	88.8%
Algeria	Amernas	2000	93.3%	94.5%	92.0%
Algeria	Amernas	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.2%
Algeria	Amieur	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Algeria	Amieur	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Algeria	Amirat Arres	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Algeria	Amirat Arres	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Algeria	Amizour	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.3%
Algeria	Amizour	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.7%
Algeria	Ammal	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.3%
Algeria	Ammal	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.6%
Algeria	Ammari	2000	86.8%	88.7%	85.0%
Algeria	Ammari	2017	87.3%	89.1%	85.5%
Algeria	Ammi Moussa	2000	90.5%	92.4%	88.0%
Algeria	Ammi Moussa	2017	90.8%	92.6%	88.3%
Algeria	Amoucha	2000	86.0%	88.4%	83.3%
Algeria	Amoucha	2017	86.5%	88.9%	83.9%
Algeria	Amourah	2000	77.5%	81.1%	72.3%
Algeria	Amourah	2017	78.2%	81.8%	73.4%
Algeria	Annaba	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Algeria	Annaba	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Algeria	Aokas	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.1%
Algeria	Aokas	2017	92.7%	94.2%	90.7%
Algeria	Aomar	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.6%
Algeria	Aomar	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.9%
Algeria	Aoubellil	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.7%
Algeria	Aoubellil	2017	93.5%	94.7%	91.8%
Algeria	Aouf	2000	88.1%	90.3%	85.3%
Algeria	Aouf	2017	88.6%	90.7%	85.8%
Algeria	Aougrouit	2000	80.0%	84.4%	74.3%
Algeria	Aougrouit	2017	80.6%	84.8%	75.3%
Algeria	Aoulef	2000	79.8%	83.8%	75.5%
Algeria	Aoulef	2017	80.5%	84.5%	76.4%
Algeria	Arbaouat	2000	86.8%	89.5%	83.9%
Algeria	Arbaouat	2017	87.3%	89.8%	84.5%
Algeria	Arib	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.4%
Algeria	Arib	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.7%
Algeria	Arris	2000	85.1%	87.8%	81.8%
Algeria	Arris	2017	85.4%	88.1%	82.2%
Algeria	Arzew	2000	93.3%	94.5%	92.0%
Algeria	Arzew	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.3%
Algeria	Asfour	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Algeria	Asfour	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Algeria	Assela	2000	86.6%	89.7%	83.6%
Algeria	Assela	2017	87.1%	90.1%	84.1%
Algeria	Assi Youcef	2000	92.4%	93.7%	91.0%
Algeria	Assi Youcef	2017	92.7%	94.0%	91.3%
Algeria	Ath Mansour Taourirt	2000	89.1%	91.4%	86.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ath Mansour Taourirt	2017	89.6%	91.7%	86.9%
Algeria	Azails	2000	93.2%	94.9%	91.5%
Algeria	Azails	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.8%
Algeria	Azazga	2000	92.7%	94.0%	91.1%
Algeria	Azazga	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%
Algeria	Azil Abdelkader (Metkouak)	2000	79.4%	82.3%	76.2%
Algeria	Azil Abdelkader (Metkouak)	2017	80.0%	82.8%	76.9%
Algeria	Azzaba	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Algeria	Azzaba	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Algeria	Azzefoun	2000	93.4%	94.7%	91.5%
Algeria	Azzefoun	2017	93.6%	95.0%	91.7%
Algeria	Azziz	2000	87.7%	90.3%	85.0%
Algeria	Azziz	2017	88.1%	90.5%	85.5%
Algeria	Azzizia	2000	91.7%	93.2%	89.9%
Algeria	Azzizia	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.2%
Algeria	Baata	2000	91.0%	92.8%	88.8%
Algeria	Baata	2017	91.2%	93.0%	89.1%
Algeria	Bab El Assa	2000	92.1%	94.3%	90.1%
Algeria	Bab El Assa	2017	92.4%	94.5%	90.4%
Algeria	Babar	2000	82.9%	85.9%	79.8%
Algeria	Babar	2017	83.2%	86.2%	80.1%
Algeria	Babor	2000	88.7%	90.8%	86.3%
Algeria	Babor	2017	89.1%	91.1%	86.8%
Algeria	Badredine El Mokrani	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.8%
Algeria	Badredine El Mokrani	2017	93.6%	94.9%	92.1%
Algeria	Baghai	2000	83.3%	85.4%	80.7%
Algeria	Baghai	2017	83.8%	85.8%	81.2%
Algeria	Baghlia	2000	93.5%	95.0%	92.1%
Algeria	Baghlia	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.3%
Algeria	Baladiet Amor	2000	79.5%	83.1%	74.9%
Algeria	Baladiet Amor	2017	80.2%	83.6%	75.7%
Algeria	Baraki	2000	91.8%	92.7%	90.8%
Algeria	Baraki	2017	92.1%	93.0%	91.1%
Algeria	Barbouche	2000	91.2%	93.0%	89.1%
Algeria	Barbouche	2017	91.6%	93.3%	89.5%
Algeria	Barika	2000	82.0%	84.6%	78.9%
Algeria	Barika	2017	82.5%	85.1%	79.5%
Algeria	Bathia	2000	87.7%	90.1%	85.2%
Algeria	Bathia	2017	88.2%	90.4%	85.7%
Algeria	Batna	2000	81.9%	84.1%	79.7%
Algeria	Batna	2017	82.5%	84.6%	80.3%
Algeria	Bayadha	2000	79.5%	81.8%	77.3%
Algeria	Bayadha	2017	80.1%	82.3%	77.9%
Algeria	Bazer-Sakra	2000	84.3%	86.4%	82.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bazer-Sakra	2017	84.7%	86.8%	83.1%
Algeria	Bechar	2000	79.1%	82.4%	75.9%
Algeria	Bechar	2017	79.7%	83.0%	76.5%
Algeria	Bechloul	2000	90.4%	92.7%	87.4%
Algeria	Bechloul	2017	90.6%	93.0%	87.6%
Algeria	Bedjene	2000	84.2%	86.8%	81.6%
Algeria	Bedjene	2017	84.8%	87.3%	82.1%
Algeria	Beidha Bordj	2000	83.0%	85.8%	80.0%
Algeria	Beidha Bordj	2017	83.6%	86.2%	80.6%
Algeria	Bejaia	2000	93.3%	94.9%	91.6%
Algeria	Bejaia	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.8%
Algeria	Bekkaria	2000	85.7%	88.3%	83.2%
Algeria	Bekkaria	2017	86.4%	88.9%	83.9%
Algeria	Bekkouche	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.0%
Algeria	Lakhdar				
Algeria	Bekkouche	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.2%
Algeria	Lakhdar				
Algeria	Belaas	2000	88.6%	90.8%	86.1%
Algeria	Belaas	2017	89.0%	91.1%	86.6%
Algeria	Belaassel	2000	93.8%	94.9%	92.6%
Algeria	Bouzagza				
Algeria	Belaassel	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.9%
Algeria	Bouzagza				
Algeria	Belaiba	2000	79.9%	82.6%	77.2%
Algeria	Belaiba	2017	80.5%	83.1%	77.9%
Algeria	Belala	2000	86.0%	88.9%	83.1%
Algeria	Belala	2017	86.5%	89.3%	83.6%
Algeria	Belarbi	2000	92.8%	94.4%	91.3%
Algeria	Belarbi	2017	93.1%	94.6%	91.6%
Algeria	Belimour	2000	82.2%	84.2%	79.7%
Algeria	Belimour	2017	82.7%	84.7%	80.2%
Algeria	Belkheir	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Algeria	Belkheir	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Algeria	Bellaa	2000	89.7%	91.3%	87.9%
Algeria	Bellaa	2017	89.8%	91.4%	88.1%
Algeria	Ben Allal	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.4%
Algeria	Ben Allal	2017	92.3%	93.8%	90.8%
Algeria	Ben Azzouz	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Algeria	Ben Azzouz	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.4%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.7%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.7%
Algeria	Ben Chicao	2000	91.2%	92.9%	89.3%
Algeria	Ben Chicao	2017	91.5%	93.2%	89.6%
Algeria	Ben Choud	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.8%
Algeria	Ben Choud	2017	93.6%	95.1%	92.1%
Algeria	Ben Daoud	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.0%
Algeria	Ben Daoud	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.3%
Algeria	Ben Djerrah	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.4%
Algeria	Ben Djerrah	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.6%
Algeria	Ben Freha	2000	94.1%	94.9%	93.1%
Algeria	Ben Freha	2017	94.3%	95.2%	93.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ben Guecha	2000	81.2%	85.6%	76.2%
Algeria	Ben Guecha	2017	81.8%	85.9%	76.9%
Algeria	Ben M'Hidi	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Algeria	Ben M'Hidi	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Ben Srou	2000	76.9%	80.4%	73.1%
Algeria	Ben Srou	2017	77.4%	80.9%	73.7%
Algeria	Benabdelmalek Ramdane	2000	93.8%	95.1%	91.9%
Algeria	Benabdelmalek Ramdane	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.2%
Algeria	Benaceur	2000	80.1%	84.1%	75.9%
Algeria	Benaceur	2017	81.0%	84.5%	76.3%
Algeria	Benaicha Chelia	2000	91.9%	93.6%	89.9%
Algeria	Benaicha Chelia	2017	92.2%	93.8%	90.2%
Algeria	Benairia	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.0%
Algeria	Benairia	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.3%
Algeria	Bendaoud	2000	83.9%	87.0%	81.0%
Algeria	Bendaoud	2017	84.4%	87.4%	81.5%
Algeria	Benhar	2000	77.4%	79.8%	75.0%
Algeria	Benhar	2017	77.9%	80.2%	75.3%
Algeria	Beni Abbes	2000	79.9%	85.1%	73.8%
Algeria	Beni Abbes	2017	80.6%	85.4%	74.9%
Algeria	Beni Aissi	2000	92.8%	94.1%	91.2%
Algeria	Beni Aissi	2017	93.0%	94.3%	91.5%
Algeria	Beni Amrane	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.3%
Algeria	Beni Amrane	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.7%
Algeria	Beni Bahdel	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.5%
Algeria	Beni Bahdel	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.7%
Algeria	Beni Bechir	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.5%
Algeria	Beni Bechir	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.6%
Algeria	Beni Bouat-tab	2000	89.3%	91.3%	86.8%
Algeria	Beni Bouat-tab	2017	89.7%	91.7%	87.3%
Algeria	Beni Boussaid	2000	92.1%	94.0%	90.2%
Algeria	Beni Boussaid	2017	92.4%	94.3%	90.6%
Algeria	Beni Chaib	2000	86.8%	89.2%	84.2%
Algeria	Beni Chaib	2017	87.2%	89.5%	84.6%
Algeria	Beni Chebana	2000	88.1%	90.1%	85.9%
Algeria	Beni Chebana	2017	88.5%	90.4%	86.4%
Algeria	Beni Dejlil	2000	89.4%	91.0%	87.5%
Algeria	Beni Dejlil	2017	89.8%	91.3%	88.0%
Algeria	Beni Dergoun	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.7%
Algeria	Beni Dergoun	2017	92.8%	94.2%	91.0%
Algeria	Beni Fouda	2000	85.6%	87.3%	83.6%
Algeria	Beni Fouda	2017	86.2%	87.9%	84.3%
Algeria	Beni Foudala	2000	81.9%	84.2%	79.2%
Algeria	El Hakania	2017	81.5%	84.1%	78.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Beni Hamidane	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.1%
Algeria	Beni Hamidane	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Algeria	Beni Haoua	2000	92.4%	94.3%	90.2%
Algeria	Beni Haoua	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.5%
Algeria	Beni Ikhlef	2000	79.9%	84.6%	74.4%
Algeria	Beni Ikhlef	2017	80.7%	85.2%	75.4%
Algeria	Beni Ilmane	2000	80.1%	83.6%	76.6%
Algeria	Beni Ilmane	2017	80.4%	83.9%	76.9%
Algeria	Beni K'Sila	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.2%
Algeria	Beni K'Sila	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.4%
Algeria	Beni Khellad	2000	93.4%	94.8%	91.6%
Algeria	Beni Khellad	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.0%
Algeria	Beni Lahcene	2000	86.8%	88.9%	84.1%
Algeria	Beni Lahcene	2017	87.3%	89.4%	84.6%
Algeria	Beni Merad	2000	92.0%	93.1%	90.8%
Algeria	Beni Merad	2017	92.3%	93.4%	91.1%
Algeria	Beni Mester	2000	93.8%	94.9%	92.7%
Algeria	Beni Mester	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.9%
Algeria	Beni Mezline	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Algeria	Beni Mezline	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Algeria	Beni Mileuk	2000	92.6%	94.4%	90.7%
Algeria	Beni Mileuk	2017	92.8%	94.6%	91.0%
Algeria	Beni Ouarsous	2000	93.9%	95.1%	92.4%
Algeria	Beni Ouarsous	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Algeria	Beni Oulbane	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Algeria	Beni Oulbane	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Algeria	Beni Ounif	2000	81.0%	84.8%	76.6%
Algeria	Beni Ounif	2017	81.8%	85.4%	77.9%
Algeria	Beni Oussine	2000	84.1%	86.3%	81.5%
Algeria	Beni Oussine	2017	84.6%	86.7%	82.1%
Algeria	Beni Rached	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.3%
Algeria	Beni Rached	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.7%
Algeria	Beni Saf	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.5%
Algeria	Beni Saf	2017	93.6%	94.9%	91.8%
Algeria	Beni Slimane	2000	90.6%	92.3%	88.6%
Algeria	Beni Slimane	2017	90.9%	92.5%	89.0%
Algeria	Beni Smiel	2000	92.8%	94.5%	91.0%
Algeria	Beni Smiel	2017	93.0%	94.7%	91.3%
Algeria	Beni Snous	2000	92.8%	94.6%	91.1%
Algeria	Beni Snous	2017	93.0%	94.7%	91.4%
Algeria	Beni Yenni	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.4%
Algeria	Beni Yenni	2017	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Algeria	Beni Zentis	2000	93.6%	95.1%	92.1%
Algeria	Beni Zentis	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.4%
Algeria	Beni Zid	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Algeria	Beni Zid	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Algeria	Beni Zmenzer	2000	92.7%	94.0%	91.3%
Algeria	Beni Zmenzer	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.5%
Algeria	Beni-Aziz	2000	91.0%	92.8%	89.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Beni-Aziz	2017	91.3%	93.0%	89.6%
Algeria	Beni-Douala	2000	92.8%	94.1%	91.3%
Algeria	Beni-Douala	2017	93.0%	94.3%	91.6%
Algeria	Beni-Mellikeche	2000	90.1%	91.7%	87.9%
Algeria	Beni-Mellikeche	2017	90.4%	92.0%	88.3%
Algeria	Beni-Mouhli	2000	88.1%	90.1%	86.1%
Algeria	Beni-Mouhli	2017	88.5%	90.4%	86.6%
Algeria	Beni-Quartilane	2000	86.5%	88.5%	84.1%
Algeria	Beni-Quartilane	2017	86.8%	88.8%	84.5%
Algeria	Beni-Tamou	2000	92.2%	93.4%	90.8%
Algeria	Beni-Tamou	2017	92.4%	93.6%	91.1%
Algeria	Beni-Zikki	2000	91.4%	92.8%	89.8%
Algeria	Beni-Zikki	2017	91.7%	93.1%	90.1%
Algeria	Benian	2000	88.8%	90.7%	86.7%
Algeria	Benian	2017	89.1%	90.9%	87.1%
Algeria	Benimaouche	2000	88.2%	89.9%	86.3%
Algeria	Benimaouche	2017	88.6%	90.2%	86.7%
Algeria	Benkhelil	2000	91.9%	93.0%	90.6%
Algeria	Benkhelil	2017	92.1%	93.1%	90.7%
Algeria	Bensekrane	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Algeria	Bensekrane	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%
Algeria	Benyacoub	2000	77.5%	81.1%	73.5%
Algeria	Benyacoub	2017	78.3%	81.7%	74.3%
Algeria	Benyahia Abderrahmane	2000	92.7%	94.0%	91.3%
Algeria	Benyahia Abderrahmane	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.6%
Algeria	Benzouh	2000	75.4%	78.8%	71.0%
Algeria	Benzouh	2017	76.1%	79.4%	71.8%
Algeria	Berbacha	2000	89.6%	91.4%	87.8%
Algeria	Berbacha	2017	89.9%	91.6%	88.0%
Algeria	Berhoum	2000	78.9%	81.8%	75.8%
Algeria	Berhoum	2017	79.5%	82.4%	76.5%
Algeria	Berrahal	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Algeria	Berrahal	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Algeria	Berriane	2000	78.1%	81.0%	75.3%
Algeria	Berriane	2017	78.8%	81.8%	76.0%
Algeria	Berriche	2000	86.6%	88.9%	84.1%
Algeria	Berriche	2017	86.9%	89.2%	84.5%
Algeria	Berrihane	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Algeria	Berrihane	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Algeria	Berrouaghia	2000	91.0%	92.8%	89.0%
Algeria	Berrouaghia	2017	91.3%	93.1%	89.4%
Algeria	Besbes	2000	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Algeria	Besbes	2000	79.3%	82.1%	76.3%
Algeria	Besbes	2017	80.0%	82.6%	77.1%
Algeria	Besbes	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.1%
Algeria	Bethioua	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.5%
Algeria	Bethioua	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bhir El Cher-gui	2000	88.2%	90.9%	85.6%
Algeria	Bhir El Cher-gui	2017	88.5%	91.1%	86.0%
Algeria	Bin El Ouiden	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Bin El Ouiden	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Algeria	Bir Ben Laabed	2000	90.2%	91.9%	88.1%
Algeria	Bir Ben Laabed	2017	90.5%	92.2%	88.5%
Algeria	Bir Bouhouche	2000	90.8%	92.8%	88.6%
Algeria	Bir Bouhouche	2017	91.2%	93.1%	88.9%
Algeria	Bir Chouhada	2000	86.1%	88.3%	83.6%
Algeria	Bir Chouhada	2017	86.6%	88.7%	84.1%
Algeria	Bir Dheb	2000	84.9%	87.2%	82.4%
Algeria	Bir Dheb	2017	85.4%	87.6%	82.9%
Algeria	Bir El Ater	2000	83.6%	86.6%	79.9%
Algeria	Bir El Ater	2017	84.0%	87.0%	80.6%
Algeria	Bir El Djir	2000	93.8%	94.7%	92.8%
Algeria	Bir El Djir	2017	94.0%	94.9%	93.1%
Algeria	Bir El Hammam	2000	89.7%	92.0%	86.6%
Algeria	Bir El Hammam	2017	90.0%	92.1%	86.9%
Algeria	Bir Foda	2000	77.0%	80.8%	72.6%
Algeria	Bir Foda	2017	77.7%	81.4%	73.4%
Algeria	Bir Ghbalou	2000	91.0%	92.5%	89.3%
Algeria	Bir Ghbalou	2017	91.3%	92.8%	89.7%
Algeria	Bir Haddada	2000	82.6%	85.2%	79.8%
Algeria	Bir Haddada	2017	83.1%	85.6%	80.3%
Algeria	Bir Kasdali	2000	82.9%	84.8%	80.7%
Algeria	Bir Kasdali	2017	83.5%	85.4%	81.3%
Algeria	Bir Mokkadem	2000	85.2%	87.4%	82.8%
Algeria	Bir Mokkadem	2017	85.5%	87.6%	83.1%
Algeria	Bir Ould Khe-lifa	2000	91.4%	93.0%	89.7%
Algeria	Bir Ould Khe-lifa	2017	91.7%	93.2%	90.0%
Algeria	Bir-El-Arch	2000	87.3%	89.1%	85.6%
Algeria	Bir-El-Arch	2017	87.7%	89.4%	86.0%
Algeria	Birine	2000	78.7%	82.4%	74.7%
Algeria	Birine	2017	79.1%	82.7%	75.2%
Algeria	Birtouta	2000	92.1%	93.3%	91.1%
Algeria	Birtouta	2017	92.4%	93.5%	91.4%
Algeria	Biskra	2000	79.4%	81.3%	77.5%
Algeria	Biskra	2017	79.8%	81.7%	77.9%
Algeria	Bitam	2000	81.8%	84.4%	79.0%
Algeria	Bitam	2017	82.3%	84.9%	79.6%
Algeria	Blida	2000	92.4%	93.6%	91.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Blida	2017	92.6%	93.8%	91.3%
Algeria	Boghni	2000	92.4%	93.7%	91.0%
Algeria	Boghni	2017	92.7%	94.0%	91.4%
Algeria	Bordj Badji Mokhtar	2000	84.4%	87.2%	82.1%
Algeria	Bordj Badji Mokhtar	2017	85.3%	87.8%	82.9%
Algeria	Bordj Ben Az-zouz	2000	80.2%	82.6%	77.6%
Algeria	Bordj Ben Az-zouz	2017	80.8%	83.1%	78.3%
Algeria	Bordj Bou Ar-reridj	2000	81.5%	83.5%	79.5%
Algeria	Bordj Bou Ar-reridj	2017	82.0%	83.9%	80.0%
Algeria	Bordj Bounaama	2000	87.5%	89.4%	85.0%
Algeria	Bordj Bounaama	2017	87.8%	89.8%	85.5%
Algeria	Bordj El Emir Abdelkader	2000	87.6%	89.8%	85.2%
Algeria	Bordj El Emir Abdelkader	2017	88.2%	90.3%	85.8%
Algeria	Bordj El Haouasse	2000	77.9%	83.0%	71.2%
Algeria	Bordj El Haouasse	2017	78.6%	83.7%	72.1%
Algeria	Bordj El Kif-fan	2000	91.5%	92.5%	90.4%
Algeria	Bordj El Kif-fan	2017	91.8%	92.8%	90.7%
Algeria	Bordj Emir Khaled	2000	90.9%	92.5%	89.0%
Algeria	Bordj Emir Khaled	2017	91.3%	92.8%	89.4%
Algeria	Bordj Ghdir	2000	82.7%	85.2%	79.6%
Algeria	Bordj Ghdir	2017	83.0%	85.6%	79.8%
Algeria	Bordj Menaiel	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.5%
Algeria	Bordj Menaiel	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.8%
Algeria	Bordj Okhriss	2000	84.8%	87.8%	81.8%
Algeria	Bordj Okhriss	2017	85.1%	88.0%	82.0%
Algeria	Bordj Omar Driss	2000	81.0%	85.8%	75.2%
Algeria	Bordj Omar Driss	2017	81.8%	86.3%	76.8%
Algeria	Bordj Sebbat	2000	95.1%	96.3%	94.0%
Algeria	Bordj Sebbat	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Algeria	Bordj Tahar	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.1%
Algeria	Bordj Tahar	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Bordj Zemmoura	2000	83.6%	85.8%	81.0%
Algeria	Bordj Zemmoura	2017	84.1%	86.2%	81.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bou Caid	2000	88.0%	90.1%	85.6%
Algeria	Bou Caid	2017	88.3%	90.4%	86.1%
Algeria	Bou Hachana	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Algeria	Bou Hachana	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Algeria	Bou Hamdane	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.2%
Algeria	Bou Hamdane	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Algeria	Bou Henni	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Algeria	Bou Henni	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.0%
Algeria	Bou Ismail	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.6%
Algeria	Bou Ismail	2017	91.8%	93.3%	90.0%
Algeria	Bou Saada	2000	75.9%	78.2%	74.0%
Algeria	Bou Saada	2017	76.7%	78.9%	74.7%
Algeria	Bou Zedjar	2000	92.8%	94.7%	90.7%
Algeria	Bou Zedjar	2017	93.1%	95.0%	91.0%
Algeria	Bouaarfa	2000	92.4%	93.7%	91.0%
Algeria	Bouaarfa	2017	92.7%	94.0%	91.4%
Algeria	Bouaiche	2000	84.7%	87.7%	82.3%
Algeria	Bouaiche	2017	85.2%	88.1%	82.8%
Algeria	Bouaichoune	2000	91.8%	93.6%	90.1%
Algeria	Bouaichoune	2017	92.0%	93.8%	90.3%
Algeria	Boualem	2000	84.0%	87.6%	79.2%
Algeria	Boualem	2017	84.4%	87.9%	79.6%
Algeria	Bouandas	2000	86.7%	89.1%	84.0%
Algeria	Bouandas	2017	87.2%	89.5%	84.6%
Algeria	Bouati Mahmoud	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Algeria	Bouati Mahmoud	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Algeria	Bouchakroune	2000	79.4%	82.1%	76.4%
Algeria	Bouchakroune	2017	80.0%	82.7%	77.1%
Algeria	Bouchekouf	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Algeria	Bouchekouf	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Algeria	Boucherahil	2000	91.0%	92.8%	89.1%
Algeria	Boucherahil	2017	91.3%	93.0%	89.4%
Algeria	Bouchetata	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Algeria	Bouchetata	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Bouda	2000	79.9%	83.6%	75.4%
Algeria	Bouda	2017	80.7%	84.5%	76.3%
Algeria	Bouderbala	2000	92.4%	93.9%	91.0%
Algeria	Bouderbala	2017	92.6%	94.1%	91.3%
Algeria	Boudjebaa El Bordj	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.4%
Algeria	Boudjebaa El Bordj	2017	93.4%	94.7%	91.8%
Algeria	Boudjellil	2000	89.8%	92.0%	87.4%
Algeria	Boudjellil	2017	90.2%	92.3%	87.8%
Algeria	Boudjeriou Messaoud	2000	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Algeria	Boudjeriou Messaoud	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.1%
Algeria	Boudjima	2000	93.5%	94.7%	91.8%
Algeria	Boudjima	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.1%
Algeria	Boudouaou	2000	92.1%	93.2%	90.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Boudouaou	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.0%
Algeria	Boudouaou El Bahri	2000	91.9%	93.2%	90.5%
Algeria	Boudouaou El Bahri	2017	92.2%	93.4%	90.9%
Algeria	Boudria Beniyadjis	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Algeria	Boudria Beniyadjis	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Algeria	Boufarik	2000	92.0%	93.2%	90.7%
Algeria	Boufarik	2017	92.3%	93.4%	91.0%
Algeria	Boufatis	2000	94.1%	95.1%	93.2%
Algeria	Boufatis	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.4%
Algeria	Bougaa	2000	84.6%	86.8%	82.3%
Algeria	Bougaa	2017	85.1%	87.2%	83.0%
Algeria	Bougara	2000	85.7%	87.7%	83.3%
Algeria	Bougara	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.8%
Algeria	Bougara	2017	86.1%	88.1%	83.9%
Algeria	Bougara	2017	92.5%	93.7%	91.1%
Algeria	Bouhar	2000	89.0%	91.6%	86.3%
Algeria	Bouhar	2017	89.3%	91.9%	86.7%
Algeria	Boughezoul	2000	84.3%	86.7%	81.2%
Algeria	Boughezoul	2017	84.8%	87.3%	81.7%
Algeria	Bougous	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.2%
Algeria	Bougous	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.3%
Algeria	Bougtoub	2000	86.8%	89.3%	84.2%
Algeria	Bougtoub	2017	87.3%	89.7%	84.9%
Algeria	Bouguirat	2000	93.7%	94.9%	92.3%
Algeria	Bouguirat	2017	93.9%	95.1%	92.6%
Algeria	Bouhadjar	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Algeria	Bouhadjar	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Algeria	Bouhamza	2000	89.3%	91.0%	86.9%
Algeria	Bouhamza	2017	89.6%	91.3%	87.2%
Algeria	Bouhanifia	2000	92.6%	94.1%	91.1%
Algeria	Bouhanifia	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.5%
Algeria	Bouhatem	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.7%
Algeria	Bouhatem	2017	94.8%	95.6%	93.9%
Algeria	Bouhlou	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.4%
Algeria	Bouhlou	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.6%
Algeria	Bouhmama	2000	84.2%	87.5%	80.7%
Algeria	Bouhmama	2017	84.8%	88.1%	81.5%
Algeria	Bouihi	2000	91.3%	93.8%	88.5%
Algeria	Bouihi	2017	91.6%	94.0%	88.8%
Algeria	Bouinan	2000	92.5%	93.8%	91.0%
Algeria	Bouinan	2017	92.7%	94.0%	91.3%
Algeria	Bouira	2000	91.2%	93.0%	89.5%
Algeria	Bouira	2017	91.6%	93.3%	89.9%
Algeria	Bouira	2000	76.5%	79.4%	73.2%
Algeria	Lahdab Bouira	2017	77.2%	80.0%	73.8%
Algeria	Lahdab Boukadir	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.3%
Algeria	Lahdab Boukadir	2017	92.4%	93.7%	90.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Boukais	2000	80.3%	85.2%	74.5%
Algeria	Boukais	2017	80.9%	85.7%	75.2%
Algeria	Boukhadra	2000	88.0%	90.2%	85.7%
Algeria	Boukhadra	2017	88.2%	90.3%	86.0%
Algeria	Boukhenifis	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.8%
Algeria	Boukhenifis	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.1%
Algeria	Boukhelifa	2000	91.8%	93.5%	90.1%
Algeria	Boukhelifa	2017	92.4%	93.9%	90.8%
Algeria	Boukram	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.7%
Algeria	Boukram	2017	92.6%	94.0%	91.0%
Algeria	Boulhaf Dyr	2000	84.7%	86.5%	82.2%
Algeria	Boulhaf Dyr	2017	85.3%	87.1%	82.9%
Algeria	Boulhilat	2000	82.4%	85.6%	79.5%
Algeria	Boulhilat	2017	83.0%	86.0%	80.1%
Algeria	Boumahra	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Algeria	Ahmed Boumahra	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Algeria	Boumedfaa	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.6%
Algeria	Boumedfaa	2017	92.5%	93.9%	90.9%
Algeria	Boumegueur	2000	81.2%	84.1%	78.5%
Algeria	Boumegueur	2017	81.8%	84.6%	79.1%
Algeria	Boumerdes	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.6%
Algeria	Boumerdes	2017	92.5%	93.9%	91.0%
Algeria	Boumia	2000	83.1%	85.3%	80.6%
Algeria	Boumia	2017	83.6%	85.8%	81.2%
Algeria	Bounouh	2000	92.3%	93.6%	90.9%
Algeria	Bounouh	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.2%
Algeria	Bounoura	2000	78.9%	81.1%	76.2%
Algeria	Bounoura	2017	79.6%	81.7%	77.0%
Algeria	Bourached	2000	91.2%	92.6%	89.5%
Algeria	Bourached	2017	91.6%	93.0%	89.9%
Algeria	Bouraoui Bel- hadeh	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Bouraoui Bel- hadeh	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	Bourkika	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.8%
Algeria	Bourkika	2017	92.7%	94.2%	91.1%
Algeria	Bousfer	2000	93.7%	94.9%	92.3%
Algeria	Bousfer	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.6%
Algeria	Bouskene	2000	90.2%	92.2%	87.9%
Algeria	Bouskene	2017	90.6%	92.5%	88.3%
Algeria	Bousselam	2000	87.2%	89.4%	85.1%
Algeria	Bousselam	2017	87.6%	89.8%	85.5%
Algeria	Bousseghoun	2000	86.4%	89.6%	83.2%
Algeria	Bousseghoun	2017	86.7%	89.8%	83.6%
Algeria	Boussif Ouled Askeur	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.0%
Algeria	Boussif Ouled Askeur	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Boutaleb	2000	81.3%	83.9%	78.7%
Algeria	Boutaleb	2017	81.9%	84.4%	79.3%
Algeria	Bouteldja	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bouteldja	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Algeria	Bouti Sayeh	2000	77.2%	81.6%	72.9%
Algeria	Bouti Sayeh	2017	77.9%	82.2%	73.7%
Algeria	Boutlelis	2000	93.5%	94.7%	92.1%
Algeria	Boutlelis	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.4%
Algeria	Bouzareah	2000	91.3%	92.5%	90.2%
Algeria	Bouzareah	2017	91.7%	92.8%	90.6%
Algeria	Bouzeghaia	2000	92.2%	94.0%	90.2%
Algeria	Bouzeghaia	2017	92.4%	94.2%	90.6%
Algeria	Bouzeguene	2000	91.6%	93.1%	90.0%
Algeria	Bouzeguene	2017	91.8%	93.3%	90.3%
Algeria	Bouzegza Ked-dara	2000	92.6%	93.9%	91.4%
Algeria	Bouzegza Ked-dara	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.7%
Algeria	Bouzina	2000	83.6%	86.5%	79.9%
Algeria	Bouzina	2017	84.6%	87.2%	81.1%
Algeria	Branis	2000	80.4%	82.6%	78.2%
Algeria	Branis	2017	81.1%	83.2%	78.9%
Algeria	Breira	2000	92.0%	93.8%	89.9%
Algeria	Breira	2017	92.2%	94.1%	90.2%
Algeria	Brezina	2000	84.2%	87.7%	80.6%
Algeria	Brezina	2017	84.3%	87.7%	80.7%
Algeria	Brida	2000	80.6%	84.8%	76.1%
Algeria	Brida	2017	81.2%	85.4%	77.1%
Algeria	Chaabet El Ham	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.3%
Algeria	Chaabet El Ham	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.6%
Algeria	Chabet Ameer	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.0%
Algeria	Chabet Ameer	2017	93.0%	94.3%	91.3%
Algeria	Chahbounia	2000	82.6%	85.7%	79.5%
Algeria	Chahbounia	2017	83.0%	86.0%	79.9%
Algeria	Chahna	2000	96.6%	97.2%	95.8%
Algeria	Chahna	2017	96.7%	97.3%	96.0%
Algeria	Chaiba	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.0%
Algeria	Chaiba	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.4%
Algeria	Charef	2000	76.9%	80.1%	73.3%
Algeria	Charef	2017	77.5%	80.8%	73.7%
Algeria	Charouine	2000	80.0%	84.6%	74.4%
Algeria	Charouine	2017	80.6%	85.0%	75.3%
Algeria	Chebaita Mokhtar	2000	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Algeria	Chebaita Mokhtar	2017	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Algeria	Chebli	2000	92.5%	93.7%	91.2%
Algeria	Chebli	2017	92.8%	93.9%	91.5%
Algeria	Chechar	2000	83.7%	87.8%	79.8%
Algeria	Chechar	2017	84.3%	88.2%	80.4%
Algeria	Chefia	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Algeria	Chefia	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Cheguig	2000	86.1%	89.3%	82.4%
Algeria	Cheguig	2017	86.8%	89.8%	83.5%
Algeria	Cehaima	2000	86.1%	88.3%	83.8%
Algeria	Cehaima	2017	86.5%	88.6%	84.2%
Algeria	Chekfa	2000	96.5%	97.1%	95.8%
Algeria	Chekfa	2017	96.7%	97.3%	96.0%
Algeria	Chelghoum Laid	2000	92.4%	93.7%	90.6%
Algeria	Chelghoum Laid	2017	92.5%	93.9%	90.8%
Algeria	Chelia	2000	83.4%	86.0%	80.7%
Algeria	Chelia	2017	83.7%	86.3%	81.0%
Algeria	Chellal	2000	75.1%	78.0%	71.9%
Algeria	Chellal	2017	75.9%	78.7%	72.7%
Algeria	Chellala	2000	86.9%	89.9%	83.4%
Algeria	Chellala	2017	87.3%	90.3%	84.0%
Algeria	Chellalet Ladhaura	2000	85.4%	88.6%	81.9%
Algeria	Chellalet Ladhaura	2017	85.8%	89.0%	82.4%
Algeria	Chellata	2000	90.5%	91.9%	88.7%
Algeria	Chellata	2017	90.8%	92.2%	89.2%
Algeria	Chemini	2000	91.1%	92.7%	89.4%
Algeria	Chemini	2017	91.4%	92.9%	89.7%
Algeria	Chemora	2000	82.3%	85.6%	79.5%
Algeria	Chemora	2017	82.8%	86.0%	80.0%
Algeria	Cheniguel	2000	84.8%	87.9%	81.1%
Algeria	Cheniguel	2017	85.0%	88.1%	81.3%
Algeria	Chentouf	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.5%
Algeria	Chentouf	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.7%
Algeria	Cheraga	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.1%
Algeria	Cheraga	2000	91.5%	92.6%	90.4%
Algeria	Cheraga	2017	91.8%	92.8%	90.7%
Algeria	Cheraga	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Algeria	Cheraia	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Algeria	Cheraia	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Algeria	Cherchel	2000	92.5%	94.4%	90.6%
Algeria	Cherchel	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.9%
Algeria	Chetaibi	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.4%
Algeria	Chetaibi	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Algeria	Chetma	2000	80.0%	81.9%	78.0%
Algeria	Chetma	2017	80.6%	82.5%	78.6%
Algeria	Chetouane	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.2%
Algeria	Chetouane	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.4%
Algeria	Chetouane Belaila	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.2%
Algeria	Chetouane Belaila	2017	93.0%	94.5%	91.4%
Algeria	Chettia	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.5%
Algeria	Chettia	2017	92.3%	93.6%	90.8%
Algeria	Chiffa	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.2%
Algeria	Chiffa	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Algeria	Chihani	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Chihani	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Algeria	Chir	2000	83.7%	86.6%	79.8%
Algeria	Chir	2017	85.0%	87.7%	81.5%
Algeria	Chlef	2000	91.3%	92.9%	89.6%
Algeria	Chlef	2017	91.6%	93.2%	89.9%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	90.0%	92.1%	87.6%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	93.7%	94.8%	92.5%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	90.2%	92.3%	88.0%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.7%
Algeria	Chouaiba Ouled Rahma	2000	78.9%	81.7%	75.8%
Algeria	Chouaiba Ouled Rahma	2017	79.6%	82.3%	76.5%
Algeria	Chrea	2000	84.5%	87.0%	81.6%
Algeria	Chrea	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.9%
Algeria	Chrea	2017	92.4%	93.6%	91.2%
Algeria	Chrea	2017	85.0%	87.4%	82.1%
Algeria	Colla	2000	85.6%	87.8%	82.5%
Algeria	Colla	2017	86.2%	88.4%	83.2%
Algeria	Collo	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.5%
Algeria	Collo	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.6%
Algeria	Constantine	2000	95.6%	96.2%	94.9%
Algeria	Constantine	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Algeria	Corso	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.6%
Algeria	Corso	2017	92.3%	93.5%	90.8%
Algeria	Dahmouni	2000	87.1%	88.5%	85.8%
Algeria	Dahmouni	2017	87.6%	88.8%	86.2%
Algeria	Dahouara	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Algeria	Dahouara	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Algeria	Dahra	2000	93.2%	94.9%	91.2%
Algeria	Dahra	2017	93.4%	95.0%	91.5%
Algeria	Damous	2000	92.5%	94.4%	90.4%
Algeria	Damous	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.7%
Algeria	Daoussen	2000	79.7%	82.5%	76.8%
Algeria	Daoussen	2017	80.3%	83.0%	77.5%
Algeria	Dar Ben Abdelah	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.6%
Algeria	Dar Ben Abdelah	2017	92.6%	94.1%	91.0%
Algeria	Dar Chioukh	2000	76.4%	79.0%	73.7%
Algeria	Dar Chioukh	2017	77.0%	79.6%	74.4%
Algeria	Dar El Beida	2000	91.6%	92.4%	90.6%
Algeria	Dar El Beida	2017	91.9%	92.7%	91.0%
Algeria	Dar Yaghmouracene	2000	93.7%	95.1%	92.1%
Algeria	Dar Yaghmouracene	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.4%
Algeria	Darguina	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.4%
Algeria	Darguina	2017	92.0%	93.6%	90.0%
Algeria	Debdeb	2000	80.2%	85.0%	74.9%
Algeria	Debdeb	2017	80.9%	85.3%	75.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Debila	2000	79.8%	82.7%	76.3%
Algeria	Debila	2017	80.4%	83.3%	77.0%
Algeria	Dechmia	2000	89.5%	91.2%	87.4%
Algeria	Dechmia	2017	89.9%	91.6%	87.8%
Algeria	Dehahna	2000	79.2%	82.2%	76.2%
Algeria	Dehahna	2017	79.8%	82.7%	76.9%
Algeria	Dehamcha	2000	89.0%	90.9%	86.9%
Algeria	Dehamcha	2017	89.3%	91.1%	87.2%
Algeria	Deldoul	2000	79.9%	83.9%	75.2%
Algeria	Deldoul	2000	76.6%	79.2%	73.9%
Algeria	Deldoul	2017	77.3%	79.6%	74.8%
Algeria	Deldoul	2017	80.6%	84.5%	76.0%
Algeria	Dellys	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.8%
Algeria	Dellys	2017	93.6%	95.1%	92.1%
Algeria	Derradji Bous-selah	2000	93.5%	94.6%	92.3%
Algeria	Derradji Bous-selah	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.6%
Algeria	Derrag	2000	88.5%	90.8%	85.8%
Algeria	Derrag	2017	88.8%	91.1%	86.2%
Algeria	Deux Bassins	2000	91.3%	93.0%	89.4%
Algeria	Deux Bassins	2017	91.6%	93.3%	89.8%
Algeria	Dhaya	2000	91.6%	93.5%	89.1%
Algeria	Dhaya	2017	92.0%	93.7%	89.6%
Algeria	Dhayet Bend-hahoua	2000	78.6%	82.0%	74.8%
Algeria	Dhayet Bend-hahoua	2017	79.3%	82.3%	75.7%
Algeria	Didouche Mourad	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Algeria	Didouche Mourad	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Algeria	Dirrah	2000	82.1%	84.8%	79.0%
Algeria	Dirrah	2017	82.2%	84.7%	79.1%
Algeria	Djaafra	2000	86.0%	88.3%	83.0%
Algeria	Djaafra	2017	86.5%	88.7%	83.6%
Algeria	Djamaa	2000	79.7%	82.1%	77.4%
Algeria	Djamaa	2017	80.2%	82.6%	77.9%
Algeria	Djamora	2000	80.6%	83.2%	77.8%
Algeria	Djamora	2017	81.3%	83.8%	78.5%
Algeria	Djanet	2000	78.9%	84.1%	72.3%
Algeria	Djanet	2017	79.7%	84.0%	74.0%
Algeria	Djasr Kasentina	2000	91.5%	92.4%	90.5%
Algeria	Djasr Kasentina	2017	91.8%	92.7%	90.8%
Algeria	Djebabra	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.2%
Algeria	Djebabra	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Algeria	Djebahia	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.6%
Algeria	Djebahia	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.9%
Algeria	Djebala	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.3%
Algeria	Djebala	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Djebala El Khemissi	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.7%
Algeria	Djebala El Khemissi	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Algeria	Djebel Messaad	2000	77.3%	80.7%	73.6%
Algeria	Djebel Messaad	2017	77.9%	81.3%	74.2%
Algeria	Djebilet Rosfa	2000	86.7%	89.1%	84.0%
Algeria	Djebilet Rosfa	2017	87.2%	89.5%	84.6%
Algeria	Djelfa	2000	75.4%	77.1%	73.5%
Algeria	Djelfa	2017	76.1%	77.7%	74.3%
Algeria	Djelida	2000	91.1%	92.7%	89.3%
Algeria	Djelida	2017	91.4%	93.0%	89.7%
Algeria	Djellal	2000	83.7%	87.0%	79.5%
Algeria	Djellal	2017	84.4%	87.5%	80.3%
Algeria	Djemaa Beni Habibi	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Djemaa Beni Habibi	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Djemaa Ouled Cheikh	2000	89.9%	91.8%	87.8%
Algeria	Djemaa Ouled Cheikh	2017	90.3%	92.1%	88.2%
Algeria	Djemila	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.6%
Algeria	Djemila	2000	90.2%	91.9%	88.3%
Algeria	Djemila	2017	90.3%	91.9%	88.4%
Algeria	Djemila	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Algeria	Djendel	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
Algeria	Djendel	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.8%
Algeria	Djendel Saadi Mohamed	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Algeria	Djendel Saadi Mohamed	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Algeria	Djeniane Bourzeg	2000	84.7%	88.0%	80.1%
Algeria	Djeniane Bourzeg	2017	85.1%	88.3%	81.1%
Algeria	Djerma	2000	82.4%	84.5%	80.2%
Algeria	Djerma	2017	82.9%	85.0%	80.8%
Algeria	Djezzar	2000	80.9%	83.8%	77.9%
Algeria	Djezzar	2017	81.4%	84.3%	78.5%
Algeria	Djidiouia	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.3%
Algeria	Djidiouia	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.6%
Algeria	Djillali Ben Ammar	2000	88.6%	90.8%	86.4%
Algeria	Djillali Ben Ammar	2017	89.0%	91.1%	86.8%
Algeria	Djinet	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.3%
Algeria	Djinet	2017	93.3%	94.9%	91.5%
Algeria	Djouab	2000	89.4%	91.3%	87.1%
Algeria	Djouab	2017	89.7%	91.6%	87.5%
Algeria	Douaouda	2000	91.4%	92.6%	89.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Douaouda	2017	91.7%	92.8%	90.0%
Algeria	Douar El Ma	2000	81.1%	85.5%	76.7%
Algeria	Douar El Ma	2017	82.0%	85.9%	78.1%
Algeria	Douera	2000	91.7%	92.7%	90.7%
Algeria	Douera	2017	92.0%	92.9%	91.0%
Algeria	Doui Thabet	2000	87.8%	89.4%	86.1%
Algeria	Doui Thabet	2017	88.2%	89.9%	86.4%
Algeria	Douis	2000	76.9%	80.6%	73.3%
Algeria	Douis	2017	77.6%	81.2%	74.0%
Algeria	Draa El Caid	2000	87.0%	89.4%	84.4%
Algeria	Draa El Caid	2017	87.5%	89.8%	85.0%
Algeria	Draa El Mizan	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.5%
Algeria	Draa El Mizan	2017	92.6%	94.0%	90.8%
Algeria	Draa Smar	2000	91.8%	93.2%	89.9%
Algeria	Draa Smar	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.2%
Algeria	Draa-Ben-Khedda	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.5%
Algeria	Draa-Ben-Khedda	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.8%
Algeria	Draa-Kebila	2000	86.3%	88.8%	84.0%
Algeria	Draa-Kebila	2017	86.8%	89.2%	84.6%
Algeria	Draria	2000	91.6%	92.5%	90.7%
Algeria	Draria	2017	91.9%	92.8%	91.0%
Algeria	Drea	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.4%
Algeria	Drea	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.9%
Algeria	Drean	2000	96.5%	97.1%	95.8%
Algeria	Drean	2017	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Algeria	Echatt	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	Echatt	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.1%
Algeria	El Abadia	2000	92.1%	93.8%	90.7%
Algeria	El Abadia	2017	92.4%	94.0%	91.0%
Algeria	El Ach	2000	78.5%	81.2%	75.5%
Algeria	El Ach	2017	79.3%	82.0%	76.3%
Algeria	El Achir	2000	82.3%	84.3%	80.2%
Algeria	El Achir	2017	82.5%	84.6%	80.5%
Algeria	El Achour	2000	91.6%	92.6%	90.6%
Algeria	El Achour	2017	91.8%	92.8%	90.9%
Algeria	El Adjiba	2000	90.0%	92.3%	87.1%
Algeria	El Adjiba	2017	90.2%	92.6%	87.4%
Algeria	El Aioun	2000	96.4%	97.6%	95.1%
Algeria	El Aioun	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.1%
Algeria	El Allia	2000	79.8%	83.0%	76.1%
Algeria	El Allia	2017	80.5%	83.7%	76.6%
Algeria	El Amiria	2000	89.8%	91.6%	87.9%
Algeria	El Amiria	2017	90.1%	91.8%	88.3%
Algeria	El Amra	2000	92.3%	93.6%	90.9%
Algeria	El Amra	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.3%
Algeria	El Amria	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.5%
Algeria	El Amria	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.7%
Algeria	El Ançar	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.7%
Algeria	El Ançar	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.0%
Algeria	El Ancer	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	El Ancer	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Anseur	2000	81.6%	83.4%	79.7%
Algeria	El Anseur	2017	82.1%	83.9%	80.3%
Algeria	El Aouana	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Algeria	El Aouana	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Algeria	El Aricha	2000	91.6%	93.9%	89.1%
Algeria	El Aricha	2017	92.0%	94.1%	89.4%
Algeria	El Asnam	2000	90.7%	92.6%	88.5%
Algeria	El Asnam	2017	90.8%	92.7%	88.4%
Algeria	El Assafia	2000	76.2%	79.3%	73.1%
Algeria	El Assafia	2017	76.9%	79.9%	73.9%
Algeria	El Attaf	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.3%
Algeria	El Attaf	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.7%
Algeria	El Atteuf	2000	79.5%	81.9%	76.5%
Algeria	El Atteuf	2017	80.1%	82.5%	77.1%
Algeria	El Bayadh	2000	87.5%	89.5%	85.3%
Algeria	El Bayadh	2017	87.9%	89.8%	85.7%
Algeria	El Beidha	2000	79.0%	82.5%	75.0%
Algeria	El Beidha	2017	79.7%	83.0%	75.9%
Algeria	El Biod	2000	87.3%	89.2%	85.1%
Algeria	El Biod	2017	87.8%	89.7%	85.6%
Algeria	El Biodh Sidi Cheikh	2000	86.5%	89.1%	84.0%
Algeria	El Biodh Sidi Cheikh	2017	86.8%	89.2%	84.4%
Algeria	El Bnoud	2000	84.3%	88.3%	80.4%
Algeria	El Bnoud	2017	84.3%	88.0%	80.8%
Algeria	El Bordj	2000	92.7%	94.2%	90.8%
Algeria	El Bordj	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.1%
Algeria	El Borma	2000	80.6%	84.4%	75.6%
Algeria	El Borma	2017	81.3%	84.8%	77.0%
Algeria	El Bouni	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Algeria	El Bouni	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Algeria	El Braya	2000	94.0%	94.9%	93.1%
Algeria	El Braya	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Algeria	El Dhaala	2000	84.0%	86.7%	80.3%
Algeria	El Dhaala	2017	84.5%	87.1%	81.0%
Algeria	El Djazia	2000	85.5%	88.5%	82.4%
Algeria	El Djazia	2017	85.9%	88.7%	82.8%
Algeria	El Eulma	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Algeria	El Eulma	2000	84.3%	86.4%	82.6%
Algeria	El Eulma	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.1%
Algeria	El Eulma	2017	84.8%	86.8%	83.1%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj Boughrara Saoudi	2000	82.3%	85.3%	79.3%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj Boughrara Saoudi	2017	82.9%	85.7%	79.9%
Algeria	El Fehoul	2000	94.2%	95.4%	93.0%
Algeria	El Fehoul	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.3%
Algeria	El Feidh	2000	81.1%	85.6%	76.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Feidh	2017	81.7%	86.0%	77.1%
Algeria	El Gaada	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.0%
Algeria	El Gaada	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.3%
Algeria	El Ghedir	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.6%
Algeria	El Ghedir	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.7%
Algeria	El Ghicha	2000	78.2%	81.6%	74.7%
Algeria	El Ghicha	2017	78.6%	81.6%	75.4%
Algeria	El Ghomri	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.6%
Algeria	El Ghomri	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.8%
Algeria	El Ghrous	2000	79.9%	82.3%	77.3%
Algeria	El Ghrous	2017	80.5%	82.8%	77.9%
Algeria	El Gor	2000	92.5%	94.5%	90.5%
Algeria	El Gor	2017	92.8%	94.7%	90.8%
Algeria	El Guedid	2000	77.4%	80.6%	73.8%
Algeria	El Guedid	2017	78.0%	81.1%	74.3%
Algeria	El Guelb El Kebir	2000	91.6%	93.1%	89.5%
Algeria	El Guelb El Kebir	2017	91.9%	93.4%	89.8%
Algeria	El Guerrarra	2000	79.5%	83.4%	75.3%
Algeria	El Guerrarra	2017	80.0%	83.8%	75.9%
Algeria	El Guettana	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.8%
Algeria	El Guettana	2017	93.4%	94.6%	92.1%
Algeria	El Guettar	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.2%
Algeria	El Guettar	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.4%
Algeria	El H'Madna	2000	93.5%	94.6%	92.0%
Algeria	El H'Madna	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.2%
Algeria	El Hacaiba	2000	92.0%	94.0%	89.7%
Algeria	El Hacaiba	2017	92.3%	94.2%	89.9%
Algeria	El Hachem	2000	91.1%	93.0%	89.3%
Algeria	El Hachem	2017	91.4%	93.2%	89.7%
Algeria	El Hachimia	2000	89.4%	91.1%	87.4%
Algeria	El Hachimia	2017	89.8%	91.5%	87.8%
Algeria	El Hadaiek	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Algeria	El Hadaiek	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.4%
Algeria	El Hadjab	2000	79.2%	81.5%	76.8%
Algeria	El Hadjab	2017	79.7%	81.9%	77.3%
Algeria	El Hadjadj	2000	90.0%	92.0%	88.1%
Algeria	El Hadjadj	2017	90.4%	92.3%	88.5%
Algeria	El Hadjar	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Algeria	El Hadjar	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.7%
Algeria	El Hadjira	2000	79.9%	83.6%	75.8%
Algeria	El Hadjira	2017	80.5%	84.2%	76.3%
Algeria	El Hakimia	2000	87.5%	89.9%	85.0%
Algeria	El Hakimia	2017	88.0%	90.3%	85.5%
Algeria	El Hamadia	2000	80.9%	83.0%	78.4%
Algeria	El Hamadia	2017	81.4%	83.5%	78.9%
Algeria	El Hamdania	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.4%
Algeria	El Hamdania	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.6%
Algeria	El Hamma	2000	84.0%	86.1%	81.5%
Algeria	El Hamma	2017	84.5%	86.5%	82.0%
Algeria	El Haouaita	2000	77.2%	81.8%	71.6%
Algeria	El Haouaita	2017	77.9%	82.5%	72.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Haouch	2000	80.1%	83.4%	76.0%
Algeria	El Haouch	2017	80.7%	83.9%	76.7%
Algeria	El Harmilia	2000	84.1%	86.5%	81.4%
Algeria	El Harmilia	2017	84.7%	87.0%	82.1%
Algeria	El Harrouch	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%
Algeria	El Harrouch	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Algeria	El Hassania	2000	87.9%	90.2%	85.4%
Algeria	El Hassania	2017	88.4%	90.6%	85.9%
Algeria	El Hassasna	2000	87.0%	88.7%	85.2%
Algeria	El Hassasna	2017	87.4%	89.0%	85.6%
Algeria	El Hassi	2000	83.6%	86.6%	80.4%
Algeria	El Hassi	2000	90.7%	92.7%	88.6%
Algeria	El Hassi	2017	91.0%	92.9%	89.0%
Algeria	El Hassi	2017	84.1%	87.1%	81.0%
Algeria	El Houamed	2000	77.0%	79.9%	73.8%
Algeria	El Houamed	2017	77.7%	80.4%	74.6%
Algeria	El Houdjbet	2000	86.0%	88.7%	82.6%
Algeria	El Houdjbet	2017	86.4%	89.1%	83.2%
Algeria	El Idrissia	2000	76.9%	79.7%	74.0%
Algeria	El Idrissia	2017	77.6%	80.3%	74.7%
Algeria	El Kaf Lakhdar	2000	87.0%	89.9%	83.8%
Algeria	El Kaf Lakhdar	2017	87.4%	90.2%	84.3%
Algeria	El Kala	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Algeria	El Kala	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Algeria	El Karimia	2000	90.6%	92.4%	88.5%
Algeria	El Karimia	2017	91.0%	92.7%	88.9%
Algeria	El Kennar Nouchfi	2000	96.7%	97.3%	95.8%
Algeria	El Kennar Nouchfi	2017	96.8%	97.4%	96.0%
Algeria	El Kentara	2000	80.9%	83.7%	78.3%
Algeria	El Kentara	2017	81.4%	84.1%	78.8%
Algeria	El Kerma	2000	93.9%	94.7%	92.9%
Algeria	El Kerma	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.2%
Algeria	El Keurt	2000	93.2%	94.3%	91.9%
Algeria	El Keurt	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.2%
Algeria	El Khabouzia	2000	91.1%	92.9%	89.3%
Algeria	El Khabouzia	2017	91.4%	93.1%	89.6%
Algeria	El Kharrouba	2000	92.3%	93.6%	91.0%
Algeria	El Kharrouba	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.3%
Algeria	El Kheither	2000	86.9%	88.9%	84.3%
Algeria	El Kheither	2017	87.4%	89.4%	84.9%
Algeria	El Khemis	2000	79.5%	82.3%	76.2%
Algeria	El Khemis	2017	79.9%	82.6%	76.7%
Algeria	El Khroub	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.9%
Algeria	El Khroub	2017	93.9%	94.8%	92.9%
Algeria	El Kouif	2000	86.4%	88.9%	83.6%
Algeria	El Kouif	2017	86.9%	89.3%	84.2%
Algeria	El Kseur	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.7%
Algeria	El Kseur	2017	92.6%	94.0%	91.0%
Algeria	El M'Ghair	2000	79.7%	83.0%	77.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El M'Ghair	2017	80.3%	83.4%	77.7%
Algeria	El M'Hir	2000	83.1%	86.0%	80.4%
Algeria	El M'Hir	2017	84.3%	87.0%	81.6%
Algeria	El Madher	2000	83.1%	85.4%	80.7%
Algeria	El Madher	2017	83.7%	85.9%	81.3%
Algeria	El Mahmal	2000	84.1%	86.3%	81.4%
Algeria	El Mahmal	2017	84.5%	86.7%	81.9%
Algeria	El Main	2000	87.3%	89.3%	84.5%
Algeria	El Main	2017	87.7%	89.7%	85.0%
Algeria	El Maine	2000	89.7%	91.6%	87.8%
Algeria	El Maine	2017	90.1%	91.9%	88.3%
Algeria	El Malabiodh	2000	85.5%	88.1%	82.2%
Algeria	El Malabiodh	2017	86.0%	88.6%	82.7%
Algeria	El Malah	2000	93.6%	95.0%	91.8%
Algeria	El Malah	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.1%
Algeria	El Mamounia	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.8%
Algeria	El Mamounia	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.1%
Algeria	El Marsa	2000	92.7%	94.6%	90.6%
Algeria	El Marsa	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Algeria	El Marsa	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.9%
Algeria	El Marsa	2017	93.0%	94.8%	90.9%
Algeria	El Matmar	2000	93.6%	94.8%	92.4%
Algeria	El Matmar	2017	93.8%	95.0%	92.7%
Algeria	El Matmor	2000	92.8%	94.1%	91.2%
Algeria	El Matmor	2017	93.1%	94.3%	91.5%
Algeria	El Mechira	2000	88.9%	91.2%	86.7%
Algeria	El Mechira	2017	89.2%	91.4%	87.0%
Algeria	El Mehara	2000	87.3%	89.5%	84.7%
Algeria	El Mehara	2017	87.7%	89.8%	85.2%
Algeria	El Menaouer	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.4%
Algeria	El Menaouer	2017	92.7%	94.4%	90.7%
Algeria	El Meniaa	2000	79.5%	82.7%	75.9%
Algeria	El Meniaa	2017	80.3%	83.3%	76.9%
Algeria	El Meridj	2000	89.5%	92.1%	86.6%
Algeria	El Meridj	2017	89.8%	92.3%	86.9%
Algeria	El Messaid	2000	93.0%	94.7%	91.0%
Algeria	El Messaid	2017	93.2%	94.9%	91.3%
Algeria	El Mezeraa	2000	84.3%	87.0%	81.5%
Algeria	El Mezeraa	2017	84.6%	87.3%	81.9%
Algeria	El Milia	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	El Milia	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	El Mokrani El Madjen	2000	91.6%	93.3%	89.6%
Algeria	El Mokrani El Madjen	2017	91.9%	93.6%	90.1%
Algeria	El Ogla	2000	83.8%	87.0%	80.7%
Algeria	El Ogla	2000	79.9%	83.3%	76.1%
Algeria	El Ogla	2017	84.1%	87.2%	81.0%
Algeria	El Ogla	2017	80.5%	83.8%	76.9%
Algeria	El Ouata	2000	79.9%	85.1%	74.6%
Algeria	El Ouata	2017	80.9%	85.4%	75.7%
Algeria	El Oued	2000	79.4%	81.5%	77.2%
Algeria	El Oued	2017	80.0%	82.0%	77.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	91.0%	92.6%	88.7%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	88.5%	90.4%	86.1%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	82.0%	85.6%	77.9%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	82.5%	86.0%	78.7%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	89.0%	90.8%	86.7%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	91.4%	92.9%	89.2%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2000	86.9%	89.9%	83.3%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2000	89.5%	91.4%	87.5%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2017	87.4%	90.3%	83.9%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2017	89.8%	91.7%	87.9%
Algeria	El Ouitaya	2000	80.3%	82.7%	78.0%
Algeria	El Ouitaya	2017	81.0%	83.2%	78.7%
Algeria	El Oumaria	2000	91.1%	93.1%	89.3%
Algeria	El Oumaria	2017	91.4%	93.3%	89.6%
Algeria	El Ouricia	2000	83.2%	84.9%	81.3%
Algeria	El Ouricia	2017	83.7%	85.3%	81.8%
Algeria	El Rahia	2000	87.1%	90.0%	84.0%
Algeria	El Rahia	2017	87.5%	90.3%	84.4%
Algeria	El Tarf	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Algeria	El Tarf	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Algeria	El Youssoufia	2000	88.3%	90.2%	86.3%
Algeria	El Youssoufia	2017	88.8%	90.6%	86.9%
Algeria	El-Affroun	2000	92.6%	93.9%	91.2%
Algeria	El-Affroun	2017	92.8%	94.0%	91.3%
Algeria	Elayadi	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.2%
Algeria	Barbes				
Algeria	Elayadi	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.4%
Algeria	Barbes				
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2000	93.4%	94.8%	91.5%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2000	96.1%	96.8%	95.5%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.6%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2017	93.7%	95.0%	91.8%
Algeria	Emjez Edchich	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Algeria	Emjez Edchich	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Algeria	Ensigha	2000	84.1%	86.2%	81.7%
Algeria	Ensigha	2017	84.5%	86.6%	82.1%
Algeria	Erg Ferradj	2000	79.6%	83.7%	75.0%
Algeria	Erg Ferradj	2017	80.1%	84.0%	75.3%
Algeria	Erraguene	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.6%
Algeria	Erraguene	2017	91.8%	93.5%	89.9%
Algeria	Es Sebt	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Algeria	Es Sebt	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Algeria	Es Senia	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.7%
Algeria	Es Senia	2017	93.9%	94.7%	92.9%
Algeria	Faidh El Botma	2000	77.6%	81.5%	72.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Faidh El Botma	2017	78.0%	81.9%	72.4%
Algeria	Faidja	2000	83.7%	86.2%	80.4%
Algeria	Faidja	2017	84.5%	87.0%	81.5%
Algeria	Fellaoucene	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Algeria	Fellaoucene	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Algeria	Fenoughil	2000	79.8%	83.3%	75.9%
Algeria	Fenoughil	2017	80.8%	84.0%	77.6%
Algeria	Feraoun	2000	90.4%	91.9%	88.5%
Algeria	Feraoun	2017	90.7%	92.1%	88.9%
Algeria	Ferdjioua	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.1%
Algeria	Ferdjioua	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.2%
Algeria	Ferkane	2000	81.6%	86.6%	76.2%
Algeria	Ferkane	2017	82.2%	87.1%	76.8%
Algeria	Ferraguig	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.1%
Algeria	Ferraguig	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.4%
Algeria	Fesdis	2000	82.9%	85.1%	80.5%
Algeria	Fesdis	2017	83.2%	85.4%	80.9%
Algeria	Filfila	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Algeria	Filfila	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.1%
Algeria	Fkirina	2000	84.8%	87.3%	81.8%
Algeria	Fkirina	2017	85.3%	87.8%	82.4%
Algeria	Foggaret Az-zouia	2000	81.8%	85.7%	78.0%
Algeria	Foggaret Az-zouia	2017	82.6%	86.2%	79.1%
Algeria	Fornaka	2000	94.1%	95.0%	93.0%
Algeria	Fornaka	2017	94.3%	95.2%	93.3%
Algeria	Foughala	2000	80.0%	82.5%	77.0%
Algeria	Foughala	2017	80.6%	83.1%	77.7%
Algeria	Fouka	2000	91.6%	92.9%	89.7%
Algeria	Fouka	2017	91.9%	93.1%	90.1%
Algeria	Foum Toub	2000	85.9%	88.6%	82.9%
Algeria	Foum Toub	2017	86.3%	88.9%	83.3%
Algeria	Freha	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.3%
Algeria	Freha	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.6%
Algeria	Frenda	2000	87.1%	88.9%	85.0%
Algeria	Frenda	2017	87.5%	89.2%	85.4%
Algeria	Frikat	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.6%
Algeria	Frikat	2017	92.4%	93.8%	91.0%
Algeria	Froha	2000	92.8%	93.9%	91.2%
Algeria	Froha	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.5%
Algeria	Gdyel	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.8%
Algeria	Gdyel	2017	94.1%	95.1%	93.0%
Algeria	Ghardaia	2000	79.0%	81.3%	76.2%
Algeria	Ghardaia	2017	79.6%	81.8%	76.9%
Algeria	Gharrous	2000	87.8%	90.1%	85.2%
Algeria	Gharrous	2017	88.2%	90.4%	85.8%
Algeria	Ghassoul	2000	86.4%	89.4%	83.0%
Algeria	Ghassoul	2017	86.9%	89.8%	83.4%
Algeria	Ghazaouet	2000	93.6%	95.2%	91.9%
Algeria	Ghazaouet	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.2%
Algeria	Ghebala	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ghebala	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	Gherouaou	2000	92.1%	93.3%	90.8%
Algeria	Gherouaou	2017	92.3%	93.5%	91.1%
Algeria	Ghessira	2000	82.1%	85.3%	78.6%
Algeria	Ghessira	2017	82.6%	85.7%	79.1%
Algeria	Ghilassa	2000	83.5%	85.9%	80.2%
Algeria	Ghilassa	2017	83.8%	86.2%	80.5%
Algeria	Ghriss	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.1%
Algeria	Ghriss	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.3%
Algeria	Gosbat	2000	82.1%	84.9%	79.4%
Algeria	Gosbat	2017	82.6%	85.4%	80.1%
Algeria	Gouraya	2000	92.6%	94.5%	90.3%
Algeria	Gouraya	2017	92.8%	94.7%	90.6%
Algeria	Grarem	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Algeria	Gouga				
Algeria	Grarem	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Guellal	2000	83.2%	85.5%	81.1%
Algeria	Guellal	2017	83.8%	86.0%	81.7%
Algeria	Guelma	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.3%
Algeria	Guelma	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.4%
Algeria	Guelta Zerka	2000	85.7%	87.6%	83.6%
Algeria	Guelta Zerka	2017	86.2%	88.0%	84.1%
Algeria	Gueltat Sidi Saad	2000	80.7%	83.9%	77.2%
Algeria	Gueltat Sidi Saad	2017	81.3%	84.5%	78.1%
Algeria	Guemar	2000	79.9%	82.5%	77.1%
Algeria	Guemar	2017	80.5%	83.0%	77.8%
Algeria	Guenzet Tassameurt	2000	85.5%	87.6%	82.8%
Algeria	Guenzet Tassameurt	2017	86.1%	88.1%	83.5%
Algeria	Guerdjoum	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.8%
Algeria	Guerdjoum	2017	91.8%	93.2%	90.2%
Algeria	Guernini	2000	78.8%	82.0%	75.5%
Algeria	Guernini	2017	79.4%	82.5%	76.1%
Algeria	Guerrouma	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.5%
Algeria	Guerrouma	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.8%
Algeria	Guertoufa	2000	87.4%	88.8%	86.0%
Algeria	Guertoufa	2017	87.9%	89.2%	86.5%
Algeria	Guettara	2000	79.2%	83.6%	74.2%
Algeria	Guettara	2017	79.7%	83.5%	75.3%
Algeria	Guidjel	2000	82.3%	84.3%	80.2%
Algeria	Guidjel	2017	82.8%	84.8%	80.8%
Algeria	Guiga	2000	82.7%	85.4%	79.8%
Algeria	Guiga	2017	83.2%	85.8%	80.3%
Algeria	Guorriguer	2000	85.0%	87.5%	82.0%
Algeria	Guorriguer	2017	85.4%	87.9%	82.5%
Algeria	Hacine	2000	93.4%	94.5%	91.9%
Algeria	Hacine	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.1%
Algeria	Had Echkalla	2000	89.2%	91.5%	87.2%
Algeria	Had Echkalla	2017	89.5%	91.8%	87.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Had Sahary	2000	76.2%	80.0%	71.6%
Algeria	Had Sahary	2017	76.9%	80.6%	72.5%
Algeria	Haddada	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.7%
Algeria	Haddada	2017	94.9%	96.4%	93.1%
Algeria	Hadj Mechri	2000	82.7%	86.7%	77.7%
Algeria	Hadj Mechri	2017	83.1%	87.2%	78.1%
Algeria	Hadjadj	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.0%
Algeria	Hadjadj	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.3%
Algeria	Hadjera Zerga	2000	79.5%	82.4%	76.2%
Algeria	Hadjera Zerga	2017	79.9%	82.8%	76.8%
Algeria	Hadjout	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.8%
Algeria	Hadjout	2017	92.6%	94.2%	91.1%
Algeria	Hadjret En-nous	2000	92.5%	94.5%	90.5%
Algeria	Hadjret En-nous	2017	92.9%	94.7%	90.8%
Algeria	Haizer	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.4%
Algeria	Haizer	2017	91.8%	93.5%	89.7%
Algeria	Hamadi Krouma	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.1%
Algeria	Hamadi Krouma	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.3%
Algeria	Hamadia	2000	86.4%	88.2%	84.7%
Algeria	Hamadia	2017	86.9%	88.6%	85.2%
Algeria	Hamala	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Algeria	Hamala	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	Hamma	2000	81.9%	84.6%	79.0%
Algeria	Hamma	2017	82.4%	85.1%	79.5%
Algeria	Hamma Bouziane	2000	96.3%	96.9%	95.6%
Algeria	Hamma Bouziane	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Algeria	Hammadi	2000	91.9%	92.9%	90.8%
Algeria	Hammadi	2017	92.2%	93.2%	91.1%
Algeria	Hammam Ben Salah	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Algeria	Hammam Ben Salah	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Algeria	Hammam Boughrara	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.3%
Algeria	Hammam Boughrara	2017	93.9%	95.1%	92.5%
Algeria	Hammam Bouhadjar	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.3%
Algeria	Hammam Bouhadjar	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Algeria	Hammam Dalaa	2000	78.3%	81.2%	75.6%
Algeria	Hammam Dalaa	2017	78.8%	81.6%	76.1%
Algeria	Hammam Debagh	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Hammam De-bagh	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Algeria	Hammam Guergour	2000	84.9%	87.1%	82.8%
Algeria	Hammam Guergour	2017	85.3%	87.5%	83.3%
Algeria	Hammam Melouane	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.2%
Algeria	Hammam Melouane	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.6%
Algeria	Hammam N'Bail	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Algeria	Hammam N'Bail	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Algeria	Hammam Righa	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.9%
Algeria	Hammam Righa	2017	92.7%	94.1%	91.2%
Algeria	Hammam Soukhna	2000	84.7%	87.3%	82.0%
Algeria	Hammam Soukhna	2017	85.2%	87.8%	82.5%
Algeria	Hammamet	2000	84.4%	86.9%	81.7%
Algeria	Hammamet	2017	85.0%	87.5%	82.3%
Algeria	Hamraia	2000	80.0%	83.2%	76.6%
Algeria	Hamraia	2017	80.6%	83.8%	77.3%
Algeria	Hamri	2000	76.4%	78.8%	73.6%
Algeria	Hamri	2000	93.7%	94.9%	92.2%
Algeria	Hamri	2017	77.2%	79.5%	74.4%
Algeria	Hamri	2017	93.9%	95.1%	92.5%
Algeria	Hanchir Toumghani	2000	84.7%	86.9%	81.8%
Algeria	Hanchir Toumghani	2017	85.2%	87.4%	82.4%
Algeria	Hanench	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.7%
Algeria	Hanench	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Algeria	Hannacha	2000	91.9%	93.7%	90.0%
Algeria	Hannacha	2017	92.2%	93.9%	90.3%
Algeria	Haraoua	2000	91.6%	93.0%	90.2%
Algeria	Haraoua	2017	91.9%	93.3%	90.6%
Algeria	Haraza	2000	85.1%	87.9%	82.0%
Algeria	Haraza	2017	85.6%	88.3%	82.6%
Algeria	Harbil	2000	84.9%	87.1%	82.3%
Algeria	Harbil	2017	85.4%	87.6%	82.9%
Algeria	Harchoune	2000	91.1%	92.6%	89.2%
Algeria	Harchoune	2017	91.4%	92.9%	89.6%
Algeria	Hasnaoua	2000	83.0%	85.1%	80.6%
Algeria	Hasnaoua	2017	83.5%	85.5%	81.2%
Algeria	Hassaine Beni Yahi	2000	94.0%	95.0%	93.0%
Algeria	Hassaine Beni Yahi	2017	94.2%	95.2%	93.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Hassani Abdelkrim	2000	79.8%	82.4%	77.4%
Algeria	Hassani Abdelkrim	2017	80.4%	83.0%	78.1%
Algeria	Hassasna	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.4%
Algeria	Hassasna	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.7%
Algeria	Hassi Bahbah	2000	76.7%	79.2%	74.3%
Algeria	Hassi Bahbah	2017	77.3%	79.8%	74.9%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Abdellah	2000	79.5%	82.7%	76.4%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Abdellah	2017	80.2%	83.0%	77.3%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Okba	2000	93.9%	94.9%	93.0%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Okba	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.2%
Algeria	Hassi Bounif	2000	93.9%	94.8%	93.0%
Algeria	Hassi Bounif	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.3%
Algeria	Hassi Dahou	2000	92.7%	94.2%	91.0%
Algeria	Hassi Dahou	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.4%
Algeria	Hassi Delaa	2000	77.0%	80.9%	72.7%
Algeria	Hassi Delaa	2017	77.9%	81.6%	73.9%
Algeria	Hassi El Euch	2000	76.0%	79.1%	71.9%
Algeria	Hassi El Euch	2017	76.8%	79.9%	72.8%
Algeria	Hassi El Ghella	2000	93.4%	94.8%	91.7%
Algeria	Hassi El Ghella	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.0%
Algeria	Hassi Fedoul	2000	83.9%	86.6%	81.2%
Algeria	Hassi Fedoul	2017	84.3%	86.9%	81.7%
Algeria	Hassi Fehal	2000	79.4%	83.7%	74.4%
Algeria	Hassi Fehal	2017	80.2%	84.1%	75.5%
Algeria	Hassi Gara	2000	79.5%	82.8%	76.0%
Algeria	Hassi Gara	2017	80.3%	83.3%	76.6%
Algeria	Hassi Khalifa	2000	79.8%	83.5%	75.3%
Algeria	Hassi Khalifa	2017	80.5%	84.1%	76.1%
Algeria	Hassi Mameche	2000	93.7%	94.6%	92.5%
Algeria	Hassi Mameche	2017	93.9%	94.8%	92.8%
Algeria	Hassi Mef-soukh	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Algeria	Hassi Mef-soukh	2017	94.1%	95.1%	93.0%
Algeria	Hassi MESSAoud	2000	80.0%	82.3%	77.3%
Algeria	Hassi MESSAoud	2017	80.6%	82.7%	78.3%
Algeria	Hassi R'Mel	2000	77.3%	80.4%	73.9%
Algeria	Hassi R'Mel	2017	78.0%	81.1%	74.7%
Algeria	Hassi Zehana	2000	93.3%	94.8%	91.7%
Algeria	Hassi Zehana	2017	93.6%	95.0%	92.0%
Algeria	Hattatba	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Hattatba	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%
Algeria	Helliopolis	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Algeria	Helliopolis	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.8%
Algeria	Hennaya	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.7%
Algeria	Hennaya	2017	94.9%	95.7%	93.9%
Algeria	Herenfa	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.5%
Algeria	Herenfa	2017	92.5%	93.9%	90.7%
Algeria	Hidoussa	2000	83.0%	84.9%	80.9%
Algeria	Hidoussa	2017	83.6%	85.5%	81.6%
Algeria	Hoceinia	2000	92.3%	93.6%	90.6%
Algeria	Hoceinia	2017	92.5%	93.9%	90.9%
Algeria	Honaine	2000	93.3%	94.8%	91.4%
Algeria	Honaine	2017	93.6%	95.0%	91.7%
Algeria	Houari	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.0%
Algeria	Boumedi- ene				
Algeria	Houari	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.2%
Algeria	Boumedi- ene				
Algeria	Hounet	2000	91.1%	93.2%	89.2%
Algeria	Hounet	2017	91.4%	93.5%	89.6%
Algeria	Ibn Ziad	2000	96.2%	96.8%	95.4%
Algeria	Ibn Ziad	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.6%
Algeria	Iboudraren	2000	91.8%	93.5%	90.0%
Algeria	Iboudraren	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.4%
Algeria	Ichmoul	2000	85.8%	89.0%	82.5%
Algeria	Ichmoul	2017	86.2%	89.3%	82.9%
Algeria	Idjeur	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Algeria	Idjeur	2017	92.1%	93.6%	90.4%
Algeria	Idles	2000	78.8%	82.4%	74.4%
Algeria	Idles	2017	79.6%	82.9%	75.5%
Algeria	Ifelain Ilma- then	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.2%
Algeria	Ifelain Ilma- then	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.6%
Algeria	Iferhounene	2000	91.7%	93.2%	89.8%
Algeria	Iferhounene	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.2%
Algeria	Ifigha	2000	92.4%	93.7%	90.8%
Algeria	Ifigha	2017	92.7%	93.9%	91.1%
Algeria	Iflissen	2000	93.5%	94.8%	91.7%
Algeria	Iflissen	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.0%
Algeria	Ighil-Ali	2000	88.3%	90.5%	85.6%
Algeria	Ighil-Ali	2017	89.0%	91.1%	86.4%
Algeria	Ighrem	2000	90.1%	91.6%	88.2%
Algeria	Ighrem	2017	90.5%	91.9%	88.6%
Algeria	Igli	2000	79.9%	85.1%	72.8%
Algeria	Igli	2017	80.5%	85.3%	74.0%
Algeria	Illilten	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.5%
Algeria	Illilten	2017	91.7%	93.1%	89.9%
Algeria	Illizi	2000	80.9%	85.2%	75.9%
Algeria	Illizi	2017	81.5%	85.3%	76.8%
Algeria	Illoula	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.6%
Algeria	Oumalou				

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Illoula Oumalou	2017	91.7%	93.1%	90.0%
Algeria	Imsouhal	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.3%
Algeria	Imsouhal	2017	92.2%	93.5%	90.6%
Algeria	In Amenas	2000	80.3%	84.7%	75.3%
Algeria	In Amenas	2017	80.9%	85.2%	75.8%
Algeria	In Ghar	2000	80.7%	84.1%	76.4%
Algeria	In Ghar	2017	81.6%	84.7%	77.6%
Algeria	In Guezzam	2000	81.5%	86.1%	77.1%
Algeria	In Guezzam	2017	82.2%	86.7%	77.7%
Algeria	In M'Guel	2000	80.3%	83.9%	75.8%
Algeria	In M'Guel	2017	81.4%	84.5%	77.4%
Algeria	In Salah	2000	80.2%	83.5%	76.0%
Algeria	In Salah	2017	80.9%	84.2%	77.2%
Algeria	In Zghmir	2000	80.0%	84.0%	74.7%
Algeria	In Zghmir	2017	81.0%	84.3%	76.4%
Algeria	Inoughissen	2000	86.4%	89.4%	83.0%
Algeria	Inoughissen	2017	86.7%	89.7%	83.4%
Algeria	Irdjen	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.1%
Algeria	Irdjen	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.3%
Algeria	Isser	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.3%
Algeria	Isser	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.6%
Algeria	Jijel	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Algeria	Jijel	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Algeria	Kadiria	2000	92.5%	94.1%	90.6%
Algeria	Kadiria	2017	92.7%	94.3%	90.9%
Algeria	Kais	2000	82.0%	84.7%	79.2%
Algeria	Kais	2017	82.6%	85.2%	79.8%
Algeria	Kalaa	2000	93.5%	94.7%	91.6%
Algeria	Kalaa	2017	93.8%	94.9%	91.9%
Algeria	Kalaat Bous-baa	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Algeria	Kalaat Bous-baa	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Algeria	Kanoua	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.3%
Algeria	Kanoua	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.4%
Algeria	Kasdir	2000	89.0%	91.4%	86.3%
Algeria	Kasdir	2017	88.5%	91.0%	85.0%
Algeria	Kef El Ahmar	2000	87.6%	90.1%	84.4%
Algeria	Kef El Ahmar	2017	88.0%	90.5%	84.8%
Algeria	Kenadsa	2000	79.5%	83.2%	75.7%
Algeria	Kenadsa	2017	80.1%	83.9%	76.6%
Algeria	Kendira	2000	88.1%	90.2%	85.9%
Algeria	Kendira	2017	88.3%	90.4%	86.1%
Algeria	Kerkera	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.5%
Algeria	Kerkera	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.7%
Algeria	Kerzaz	2000	79.9%	85.0%	74.5%
Algeria	Kerzaz	2017	80.9%	85.5%	76.6%
Algeria	Khadra	2000	93.6%	95.4%	91.7%
Algeria	Khadra	2017	93.9%	95.5%	92.0%
Algeria	Khalouia	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.5%
Algeria	Khalouia	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Khams Djouamaa	2000	90.4%	92.5%	88.1%
Algeria	Khams Djouamaa	2017	90.6%	92.7%	88.3%
Algeria	Khatouti Sed Eldjir	2000	75.2%	78.7%	71.4%
Algeria	Khatouti Sed Eldjir	2017	75.9%	79.2%	72.4%
Algeria	Khedara	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.6%
Algeria	Khedara	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.7%
Algeria	Kheir Oued Adjoul	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Kheir Oued Adjoul	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Kheiredine	2000	93.9%	94.8%	92.8%
Algeria	Kheiredine	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.0%
Algeria	Khelil	2000	83.4%	85.2%	81.0%
Algeria	Khelil	2017	83.9%	85.7%	81.4%
Algeria	Khemis El Khechna	2000	91.9%	93.2%	90.5%
Algeria	Khemis El Khechna	2017	92.1%	93.4%	90.7%
Algeria	Khemis Miliana	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.3%
Algeria	Khemis Miliana	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.7%
Algeria	Khemissa	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.4%
Algeria	Khemissa	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.6%
Algeria	Khemisti	2000	86.6%	88.4%	84.9%
Algeria	Khemisti	2017	87.0%	88.7%	85.4%
Algeria	Khenchela	2000	83.6%	85.7%	81.2%
Algeria	Khenchela	2017	84.2%	86.2%	81.8%
Algeria	Kheneg	2000	76.1%	79.1%	72.8%
Algeria	Kheneg	2017	76.9%	79.9%	73.6%
Algeria	Kheng Maoun	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Algeria	Kheng Maoun	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Algeria	Khenguet Sidi Nadji	2000	81.4%	85.1%	76.1%
Algeria	Khenguet Sidi Nadji	2017	81.9%	85.6%	76.8%
Algeria	Kherrata	2000	88.7%	91.1%	86.3%
Algeria	Kherrata	2017	89.1%	91.4%	86.8%
Algeria	Khezzara	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Algeria	Khezzara	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Algeria	Khirane	2000	83.8%	87.1%	80.3%
Algeria	Khirane	2017	84.5%	87.7%	81.1%
Algeria	Khoubana	2000	76.5%	80.2%	72.4%
Algeria	Khoubana	2017	77.3%	80.8%	73.2%
Algeria	Khraicia	2000	91.7%	92.7%	90.7%
Algeria	Khraicia	2017	92.0%	92.9%	91.0%
Algeria	Kimmel	2000	83.6%	86.5%	79.8%
Algeria	Kimmel	2017	84.1%	86.9%	80.3%
Algeria	Kolea	2000	91.8%	93.0%	90.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Kolea	2017	92.1%	93.3%	90.5%
Algeria	Kouas	2000	95.8%	96.5%	94.9%
Algeria	Kouas	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Algeria	Kouba	2000	91.4%	92.5%	90.4%
Algeria	Kouba	2017	91.7%	92.8%	90.7%
Algeria	Kouinine	2000	79.7%	81.7%	77.6%
Algeria	Kouinine	2017	80.3%	82.2%	78.2%
Algeria	Krakda	2000	87.0%	89.8%	83.8%
Algeria	Krakda	2017	87.4%	89.9%	84.4%
Algeria	Ksabi	2000	80.1%	86.3%	73.2%
Algeria	Ksabi	2017	80.9%	86.8%	74.7%
Algeria	Ksar Bellezma	2000	82.1%	84.8%	78.8%
Algeria	Ksar Bellezma	2017	82.5%	85.2%	79.3%
Algeria	Ksar Chellala	2000	84.1%	86.4%	81.6%
Algeria	Ksar Chellala	2017	84.6%	86.9%	82.2%
Algeria	Ksar El Abtal	2000	83.5%	85.6%	81.0%
Algeria	Ksar El Abtal	2017	84.0%	86.1%	81.6%
Algeria	Ksar El Boukhari	2000	88.5%	91.3%	85.7%
Algeria	Ksar El Boukhari	2017	88.9%	91.6%	86.2%
Algeria	Ksar El Sbihi	2000	90.3%	92.3%	88.1%
Algeria	Ksar El Sbihi	2017	90.5%	92.5%	88.3%
Algeria	Ksar Hirane	2000	76.4%	80.7%	72.1%
Algeria	Ksar Hirane	2017	77.0%	81.3%	72.8%
Algeria	Ksar Kaddour	2000	79.8%	83.7%	75.4%
Algeria	Ksar Kaddour	2017	80.6%	84.1%	76.6%
Algeria	Ksour	2000	81.5%	83.8%	79.1%
Algeria	Ksour	2017	82.0%	84.3%	79.6%
Algeria	Labioud Med-jadja	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.4%
Algeria	Labioud Med-jadja	2017	92.4%	93.7%	90.7%
Algeria	Lac Des Oiseaux	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Algeria	Lac Des Oiseaux	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Algeria	Laghouat	2000	75.8%	77.8%	73.6%
Algeria	Laghouat	2017	76.5%	78.5%	74.3%
Algeria	Lahlef	2000	92.3%	93.7%	90.7%
Algeria	Lahlef	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.1%
Algeria	Lahmar	2000	79.7%	84.3%	74.8%
Algeria	Lahmar	2017	80.4%	84.7%	75.7%
Algeria	Lakhdaria	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.6%
Algeria	Lakhdaria	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.8%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	84.6%	87.4%	81.2%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	92.2%	93.4%	90.8%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	88.0%	90.3%	85.5%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	88.8%	90.8%	86.7%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.2%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	85.3%	87.9%	81.9%
Algeria	Larbaa-Nath-Irathen	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Larbaa-Nath-Irathen	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Algeria	Larbatache	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.6%
Algeria	Larbatache	2017	92.2%	93.5%	90.7%
Algeria	Lardjem	2000	87.4%	89.2%	85.4%
Algeria	Lardjem	2017	87.7%	89.5%	85.7%
Algeria	Larhat	2000	92.6%	94.5%	90.3%
Algeria	Larhat	2017	92.8%	94.7%	90.6%
Algeria	Layoune	2000	86.0%	88.0%	84.1%
Algeria	Layoune	2017	86.7%	88.4%	84.9%
Algeria	Lazharia	2000	88.3%	90.4%	85.9%
Algeria	Lazharia	2017	88.6%	90.8%	86.3%
Algeria	Lazrou	2000	83.7%	85.7%	81.7%
Algeria	Lazrou	2017	84.3%	86.3%	82.3%
Algeria	Leghata	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.6%
Algeria	Leghata	2017	93.4%	94.7%	91.9%
Algeria	Lemsane	2000	81.4%	84.2%	78.6%
Algeria	Lemsane	2017	82.1%	84.7%	79.4%
Algeria	Lemtar	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.0%
Algeria	Lemtar	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.3%
Algeria	Les Eucalyptus	2000	91.7%	92.6%	90.7%
Algeria	Les Eucalyptus	2017	92.0%	92.8%	91.1%
Algeria	Lichana	2000	79.5%	82.0%	76.6%
Algeria	Lichana	2017	80.1%	82.6%	77.4%
Algeria	Lioua	2000	80.1%	82.6%	77.5%
Algeria	Lioua	2017	80.7%	83.3%	78.2%
Algeria	M_Ziraa	2000	82.4%	85.8%	78.7%
Algeria	M_Ziraa	2017	83.0%	86.2%	79.3%
Algeria	M'Chedallah	2000	89.8%	92.1%	87.3%
Algeria	M'Chedallah	2017	90.2%	92.4%	87.7%
Algeria	M'Cid	2000	92.4%	94.2%	90.8%
Algeria	M'Cid	2017	92.7%	94.4%	91.1%
Algeria	M'Cif	2000	77.9%	81.9%	73.4%
Algeria	M'Cif	2017	78.6%	82.6%	74.3%
Algeria	M'Daourouche	2000	93.9%	95.1%	92.6%
Algeria	M'Daourouche	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.8%
Algeria	M'Doukal	2000	79.9%	83.5%	75.7%
Algeria	M'Doukal	2017	80.7%	84.2%	76.6%
Algeria	M'Kira	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.1%
Algeria	M'Kira	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.4%
Algeria	M'Lili	2000	79.4%	82.5%	76.1%
Algeria	M'Lili	2017	80.0%	83.0%	76.6%
Algeria	M'Liliha	2000	76.4%	80.0%	72.8%
Algeria	M'Liliha	2017	77.0%	80.5%	73.7%
Algeria	M'Naguer	2000	79.9%	82.5%	77.2%
Algeria	M'Naguer	2017	80.5%	83.0%	77.9%
Algeria	M'Rara	2000	79.5%	83.3%	75.0%
Algeria	M'Rara	2017	80.2%	84.1%	76.0%
Algeria	M'Sara	2000	85.1%	88.5%	81.8%
Algeria	M'Sara	2017	85.6%	89.0%	82.3%
Algeria	M'Sila	2000	75.5%	77.5%	73.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	M'Sila	2017	76.2%	78.2%	74.1%
Algeria	M'Tarfa	2000	76.0%	78.1%	73.8%
Algeria	M'Tarfa	2017	76.7%	78.7%	74.5%
Algeria	M'Toussa	2000	82.8%	85.6%	79.5%
Algeria	M'Toussa	2017	83.3%	86.0%	80.1%
Algeria	Maacem	2000	86.7%	88.8%	84.5%
Algeria	Maacem	2017	87.0%	89.0%	84.8%
Algeria	Maadid	2000	78.5%	81.2%	75.8%
Algeria	Maadid	2017	78.5%	81.2%	75.9%
Algeria	Maafa	2000	80.8%	83.7%	77.4%
Algeria	Maafa	2017	81.5%	84.5%	78.0%
Algeria	Maala	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.7%
Algeria	Maala	2017	92.5%	94.0%	91.0%
Algeria	Maamora	2000	87.0%	89.4%	84.3%
Algeria	Maamora	2000	85.8%	88.2%	82.3%
Algeria	Maamora	2017	86.1%	88.5%	82.6%
Algeria	Maamora	2017	87.5%	89.8%	84.9%
Algeria	Maaouia	2000	90.3%	91.9%	88.4%
Algeria	Maaouia	2017	90.6%	92.1%	88.7%
Algeria	Maarif	2000	76.4%	79.6%	73.1%
Algeria	Maarif	2017	77.2%	80.2%	73.9%
Algeria	Maatkas	2000	92.6%	93.9%	91.1%
Algeria	Maatkas	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Algeria	Machroha	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Algeria	Machroha	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Algeria	Madna	2000	86.9%	89.5%	84.2%
Algeria	Madna	2017	87.4%	89.8%	84.7%
Algeria	Maghnia	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.4%
Algeria	Maghnia	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.7%
Algeria	Magra	2000	79.3%	81.7%	76.4%
Algeria	Magra	2017	79.8%	82.3%	77.0%
Algeria	Magrane	2000	80.0%	83.3%	76.1%
Algeria	Magrane	2017	80.7%	83.9%	76.7%
Algeria	Magtaa Douz	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.1%
Algeria	Magtaa Douz	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.4%
Algeria	Mahdia	2000	86.5%	88.3%	84.5%
Algeria	Mahdia	2017	87.0%	88.7%	85.0%
Algeria	Mahelma	2000	91.6%	92.7%	90.2%
Algeria	Mahelma	2017	91.9%	92.9%	90.5%
Algeria	Makhda	2000	89.7%	91.6%	87.4%
Algeria	Makhda	2017	89.9%	91.8%	87.7%
Algeria	Makman Ben Amer	2000	87.9%	90.6%	84.9%
Algeria	Makman Ben Amer	2017	88.3%	90.8%	85.4%
Algeria	Makouda	2000	93.4%	94.7%	91.9%
Algeria	Makouda	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.0%
Algeria	Mansoura	2000	83.5%	85.9%	80.9%
Algeria	Mansoura	2000	79.6%	83.2%	75.4%
Algeria	Mansoura	2017	84.3%	86.7%	81.9%
Algeria	Mansoura	2017	80.4%	83.7%	76.4%
Algeria	Mansourah	2000	93.6%	94.6%	92.4%
Algeria	Mansourah	2000	94.1%	95.0%	93.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Mansourah	2017	93.8%	94.8%	92.7%
Algeria	Mansourah	2017	94.2%	95.2%	93.2%
Algeria	Maouaklane	2000	85.1%	87.6%	83.0%
Algeria	Maouaklane	2017	85.6%	88.0%	83.6%
Algeria	Maoussa	2000	93.1%	94.3%	91.8%
Algeria	Maoussa	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.1%
Algeria	Marsa Ben M'Hidi	2000	92.4%	94.7%	89.9%
Algeria	Marsa Ben M'Hidi	2017	92.6%	94.9%	90.2%
Algeria	Marsat El Hadjadj	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.3%
Algeria	Marsat El Hadjadj	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.6%
Algeria	Mascara	2000	93.0%	94.2%	91.9%
Algeria	Mascara	2017	93.3%	94.4%	92.2%
Algeria	Mazouna	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.7%
Algeria	Mazouna	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Algeria	Mecheria	2000	86.9%	89.3%	84.3%
Algeria	Mecheria	2017	87.3%	89.5%	84.7%
Algeria	Mechouneche	2000	80.5%	83.5%	77.4%
Algeria	Mechouneche	2017	81.1%	84.0%	78.1%
Algeria	Mechraa Houari Boumediene	2000	79.1%	84.0%	74.1%
Algeria	Mechraa Houari Boumediene	2017	79.9%	84.5%	75.2%
Algeria	Mechraa Safa	2000	88.1%	90.1%	85.9%
Algeria	Mechraa Safa	2017	88.5%	90.4%	86.4%
Algeria	Mechtrass	2000	92.7%	94.0%	91.1%
Algeria	Mechtrass	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%
Algeria	Medea	2000	91.5%	93.0%	89.9%
Algeria	Medea	2017	91.8%	93.2%	90.1%
Algeria	Mediouna	2000	93.4%	94.8%	91.9%
Algeria	Mediouna	2017	93.6%	95.0%	92.2%
Algeria	Medjana	2000	83.0%	85.0%	80.9%
Algeria	Medjana	2017	83.6%	85.6%	81.5%
Algeria	Medjaz Ammar	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Algeria	Medjaz Ammar	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Algeria	Medjaz Sfa	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Algeria	Medjaz Sfa	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Algeria	Medjebar	2000	90.1%	92.4%	87.5%
Algeria	Medjebar	2017	90.3%	92.6%	87.8%
Algeria	Medjedel	2000	76.6%	79.8%	73.4%
Algeria	Medjedel	2017	77.4%	80.4%	74.2%
Algeria	Medrissa	2000	86.8%	89.1%	84.4%
Algeria	Medrissa	2017	87.3%	89.5%	84.9%
Algeria	Medroussa	2000	87.3%	89.1%	85.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Medroussa	2017	87.8%	89.5%	85.9%
Algeria	Meftah	2000	92.3%	93.3%	90.9%
Algeria	Meftah	2017	92.5%	93.5%	91.2%
Algeria	Meftaha	2000	88.3%	90.8%	85.1%
Algeria	Meftaha	2017	88.7%	91.1%	85.6%
Algeria	Megarine	2000	79.2%	82.0%	75.6%
Algeria	Megarine	2017	79.8%	82.6%	76.3%
Algeria	Megheraoua	2000	91.4%	93.2%	89.7%
Algeria	Megheraoua	2017	91.8%	93.5%	90.1%
Algeria	Meghila	2000	87.1%	89.0%	85.3%
Algeria	Meghila	2017	87.5%	89.3%	85.8%
Algeria	Mekhadma	2000	79.7%	82.6%	76.6%
Algeria	Mekhadma	2017	80.3%	83.2%	77.2%
Algeria	Mekhareg	2000	76.4%	79.4%	73.2%
Algeria	Mekhareg	2017	77.1%	80.1%	74.0%
Algeria	Mekhatria	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.4%
Algeria	Mekhatria	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.6%
Algeria	Mekkedra	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.6%
Algeria	Mekkedra	2017	93.6%	94.9%	92.0%
Algeria	Mekla	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.4%
Algeria	Mekla	2017	93.0%	94.3%	91.7%
Algeria	Melaab	2000	88.5%	90.7%	86.1%
Algeria	Melaab	2017	89.0%	91.1%	86.8%
Algeria	Melbou	2000	93.3%	94.8%	91.6%
Algeria	Melbou	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.0%
Algeria	Mellakou	2000	87.4%	88.9%	85.8%
Algeria	Mellakou	2017	87.8%	89.3%	86.3%
Algeria	Menaa	2000	81.8%	85.2%	77.9%
Algeria	Menaa	2000	76.7%	79.8%	73.7%
Algeria	Menaa	2017	77.3%	80.5%	74.3%
Algeria	Menaa	2017	82.6%	85.9%	78.8%
Algeria	Menaceur	2000	92.7%	94.2%	91.2%
Algeria	Menaceur	2017	93.0%	94.5%	91.5%
Algeria	Mendes	2000	90.5%	92.4%	88.4%
Algeria	Mendes	2017	91.0%	92.9%	89.0%
Algeria	Merad	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.9%
Algeria	Merad	2017	92.7%	94.1%	91.2%
Algeria	Merahna	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.8%
Algeria	Merahna	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Algeria	Merdja Sidi Abed	2000	92.6%	93.9%	90.8%
Algeria	Merdja Sidi Abed	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.2%
Algeria	Merhoum	2000	88.2%	90.8%	84.8%
Algeria	Merhoum	2017	88.6%	91.1%	85.3%
Algeria	Meridja	2000	79.3%	85.0%	72.6%
Algeria	Meridja	2017	79.9%	85.6%	73.6%
Algeria	Merine	2000	89.6%	91.8%	86.8%
Algeria	Merine	2017	89.9%	92.1%	87.2%
Algeria	Merouana	2000	81.5%	84.1%	78.6%
Algeria	Merouana	2017	82.1%	84.6%	79.3%
Algeria	Mers El Kebir	2000	93.7%	94.6%	92.3%
Algeria	Mers El Kebir	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Meskiana	2000	85.6%	88.7%	82.2%
Algeria	Meskiana	2017	86.1%	89.1%	82.9%
Algeria	Mesra	2000	94.0%	95.0%	92.9%
Algeria	Mesra	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.1%
Algeria	Messaad	2000	76.2%	78.5%	73.8%
Algeria	Messaad	2017	76.9%	79.1%	74.6%
Algeria	Messelmoun	2000	92.3%	94.1%	90.3%
Algeria	Messelmoun	2017	92.6%	94.4%	90.6%
Algeria	Metarfa	2000	79.9%	83.9%	74.9%
Algeria	Metarfa	2017	80.5%	84.4%	75.7%
Algeria	Metlili	2000	79.3%	82.1%	76.4%
Algeria	Metlili	2017	80.0%	82.7%	77.1%
Algeria	Mezaourou	2000	91.9%	93.7%	90.1%
Algeria	Mezaourou	2017	92.2%	94.0%	90.4%
Algeria	Mezdour	2000	84.6%	87.7%	81.5%
Algeria	Mezdour	2017	85.0%	88.1%	82.0%
Algeria	Mezghrane	2000	93.4%	94.5%	92.1%
Algeria	Mezghrane	2017	93.6%	94.7%	92.4%
Algeria	Mezloug	2000	82.7%	84.4%	80.8%
Algeria	Mezloug	2017	83.2%	84.8%	81.3%
Algeria	Mezrenna	2000	91.9%	93.6%	90.0%
Algeria	Mezrenna	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.4%
Algeria	Mih Ouansa	2000	79.8%	83.5%	75.7%
Algeria	Mih Ouansa	2017	80.5%	84.0%	76.6%
Algeria	Mihoub	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.8%
Algeria	Mihoub	2017	92.0%	93.6%	90.1%
Algeria	Mila	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Algeria	Mila	2017	96.7%	97.3%	96.0%
Algeria	Miliana	2000	91.7%	93.1%	89.8%
Algeria	Miliana	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.2%
Algeria	Minar Zarza	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Algeria	Minar Zarza	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Algeria	Misserghin	2000	93.8%	94.7%	92.8%
Algeria	Misserghin	2017	94.0%	94.9%	93.0%
Algeria	Mizrana	2000	93.9%	95.1%	92.3%
Algeria	Mizrana	2017	94.1%	95.2%	92.5%
Algeria	Mogheul	2000	80.0%	85.0%	74.9%
Algeria	Mogheul	2017	80.7%	85.7%	75.8%
Algeria	Moghrar	2000	85.6%	88.4%	82.3%
Algeria	Moghrar	2017	86.1%	88.9%	83.1%
Algeria	Mohamed Boudiaf	2000	77.2%	81.3%	73.1%
Algeria	Mohamed Boudiaf	2017	78.0%	81.9%	73.9%
Algeria	Mohammadia	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.0%
Algeria	Mohammadia	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.2%
Algeria	Morsot	2000	86.5%	88.8%	84.0%
Algeria	Morsot	2017	87.0%	89.3%	84.6%
Algeria	Mostaganem	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.1%
Algeria	Mostaganem	2017	93.7%	94.7%	92.4%
Algeria	Moudjebara	2000	76.2%	78.6%	73.5%
Algeria	Moudjebara	2017	76.8%	79.2%	74.1%
Algeria	Moulay Larbi	2000	87.6%	90.1%	85.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Moulay Larbi	2017	88.0%	90.4%	85.5%
Algeria	Moulay Slissen	2000	92.4%	94.1%	90.3%
Algeria	Moulay Slissen	2017	92.5%	94.2%	90.5%
Algeria	Moussadek	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.5%
Algeria	Moussadek	2017	92.8%	94.5%	90.8%
Algeria	Mouzaia	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.4%
Algeria	Mouzaia	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.7%
Algeria	Msirda	2000	92.8%	94.9%	90.5%
Algeria	Fouaga				
Algeria	Msirda	2017	93.0%	95.0%	90.7%
Algeria	Fouaga				
Algeria	Mustafa Ben Brahim	2000	92.1%	94.0%	90.3%
Algeria	Mustafa Ben Brahim	2017	92.4%	94.2%	90.7%
Algeria	N'Gaous	2000	80.9%	83.9%	78.4%
Algeria	N'Gaous	2017	81.5%	84.4%	79.0%
Algeria	N'Goussa	2000	79.6%	83.2%	75.9%
Algeria	N'Goussa	2017	80.3%	83.8%	76.6%
Algeria	Naama	2000	86.9%	89.6%	84.0%
Algeria	Naama	2017	87.2%	89.8%	84.2%
Algeria	Naciria	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.6%
Algeria	Naciria	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.9%
Algeria	Nador	2000	92.4%	94.1%	90.6%
Algeria	Nador	2017	92.6%	94.2%	90.8%
Algeria	Nadorah	2000	86.4%	88.5%	84.2%
Algeria	Nadorah	2017	86.9%	88.9%	84.8%
Algeria	Naima	2000	85.6%	87.2%	83.7%
Algeria	Naima	2017	86.3%	87.7%	84.7%
Algeria	Nakhla	2000	79.8%	82.8%	76.3%
Algeria	Nakhla	2017	80.4%	83.4%	76.9%
Algeria	Nechemaya	2000	95.8%	96.5%	94.8%
Algeria	Nechemaya	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Algeria	Nedroma	2000	93.6%	95.0%	92.2%
Algeria	Nedroma	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.5%
Algeria	Negrine	2000	81.7%	86.7%	75.9%
Algeria	Negrine	2017	82.2%	87.0%	76.8%
Algeria	Nekmaria	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.6%
Algeria	Nekmaria	2017	93.7%	95.3%	91.9%
Algeria	Nesmoth	2000	89.9%	91.7%	87.9%
Algeria	Nesmoth	2017	90.2%	92.0%	88.2%
Algeria	Nezla	2000	78.9%	81.4%	75.5%
Algeria	Nezla	2017	79.5%	81.9%	76.1%
Algeria	Oggaz	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.1%
Algeria	Oggaz	2017	94.3%	95.2%	93.3%
Algeria	Ogla Melha	2000	85.5%	87.8%	83.0%
Algeria	Ogla Melha	2017	85.9%	88.3%	83.4%
Algeria	Oran	2000	93.7%	94.6%	92.5%
Algeria	Oran	2017	93.9%	94.8%	92.7%
Algeria	Ouacif	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.2%
Algeria	Ouacif	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouadhia	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.9%
Algeria	Ouadhia	2017	92.6%	94.0%	91.2%
Algeria	Ouaguenoun	2000	93.2%	94.4%	91.7%
Algeria	Ouaguenoun	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.8%
Algeria	Ouamri	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.1%
Algeria	Ouamri	2017	92.0%	93.6%	90.2%
Algeria	Ouanougha	2000	80.3%	83.6%	77.2%
Algeria	Ouanougha	2017	80.6%	83.8%	77.5%
Algeria	Ouargla	2000	79.2%	81.2%	76.8%
Algeria	Ouargla	2017	79.8%	81.8%	77.5%
Algeria	Ouarizane	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.0%
Algeria	Ouarizane	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.3%
Algeria	Oudjana	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.6%
Algeria	Oudjana	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Algeria	Oued Athmenia	2000	94.4%	95.4%	93.4%
Algeria	Oued Athmenia	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.6%
Algeria	Oued Berkeche	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.3%
Algeria	Oued Berkeche	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.6%
Algeria	Oued Chaaba	2000	83.0%	85.2%	80.9%
Algeria	Oued Chaaba	2017	83.4%	85.6%	81.3%
Algeria	Oued Cheham	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Algeria	Oued Cheham	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Algeria	Oued Chorfa	2000	91.9%	93.6%	90.0%
Algeria	Oued Chorfa	2017	92.1%	93.8%	90.3%
Algeria	Oued Chouly	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.7%
Algeria	Oued Chouly	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Algeria	Oued Djemaa	2000	90.8%	92.6%	88.8%
Algeria	Oued Djemaa	2017	91.4%	93.1%	89.4%
Algeria	Oued Djer	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.8%
Algeria	Oued Djer	2017	92.7%	94.1%	91.1%
Algeria	Oued El Abtal	2000	90.8%	92.9%	88.7%
Algeria	Oued El Abtal	2017	91.1%	93.1%	89.1%
Algeria	Oued El Alenda	2000	79.8%	81.9%	77.2%
Algeria	Oued El Alenda	2017	80.4%	82.6%	78.0%
Algeria	Oued El Alleug	2000	92.4%	93.4%	91.1%
Algeria	Oued El Alleug	2017	92.6%	93.6%	91.4%
Algeria	Oued El Aneb	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Algeria	Oued El Aneb	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Algeria	Oued El Barad	2000	88.0%	90.3%	85.2%
Algeria	Oued El Barad	2017	88.5%	90.8%	85.8%
Algeria	Oued El Berdi	2000	89.9%	91.8%	87.8%
Algeria	Oued El Berdi	2017	90.3%	92.1%	88.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Oued El Djemmaa	2000	93.5%	94.5%	92.1%
Algeria	Oued El Djemmaa	2017	93.7%	94.7%	92.3%
Algeria	Oued El Kheir	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.5%
Algeria	Oued El Kheir	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.7%
Algeria	Oued El Ma	2000	82.6%	85.3%	79.9%
Algeria	Oued El Ma	2017	82.9%	85.6%	80.3%
Algeria	Oued Endja	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Algeria	Oued Endja	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Algeria	Oued Essalem	2000	90.2%	92.1%	88.3%
Algeria	Oued Essalem	2017	90.5%	92.4%	88.7%
Algeria	Oued Fodda	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.3%
Algeria	Oued Fodda	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.6%
Algeria	Oued Fragha	2000	95.9%	96.7%	94.9%
Algeria	Oued Fragha	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Algeria	Oued Ghir	2000	92.9%	94.5%	91.2%
Algeria	Oued Ghir	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.5%
Algeria	Oued Gous-sine	2000	92.5%	94.5%	90.1%
Algeria	Oued Gous-sine	2017	92.8%	94.7%	90.5%
Algeria	Oued Harbil	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.4%
Algeria	Oued Harbil	2017	92.5%	93.8%	90.7%
Algeria	Oued Kebrit	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.7%
Algeria	Oued Kebrit	2017	92.0%	93.6%	90.4%
Algeria	Oued Lilli	2000	87.4%	88.7%	86.2%
Algeria	Oued Lilli	2017	87.7%	89.0%	86.6%
Algeria	Oued M'Zi	2000	77.3%	80.3%	73.9%
Algeria	Oued M'Zi	2017	78.0%	81.1%	74.6%
Algeria	Oued Mora	2000	77.4%	81.2%	73.2%
Algeria	Oued Mora	2017	78.0%	81.7%	73.9%
Algeria	Oued Nini	2000	83.7%	86.5%	80.5%
Algeria	Oued Nini	2017	84.2%	87.0%	81.1%
Algeria	Oued Rhiou	2000	93.1%	94.2%	91.7%
Algeria	Oued Rhiou	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.1%
Algeria	Oued Sebaa	2000	91.3%	93.4%	88.5%
Algeria	Oued Sebaa	2017	91.7%	93.7%	89.0%
Algeria	Oued Sebbah	2000	94.0%	95.1%	92.6%
Algeria	Oued Sebbah	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.8%
Algeria	Oued Sefioune	2000	91.4%	93.4%	89.3%
Algeria	Oued Sefioune	2017	91.9%	93.8%	89.9%
Algeria	Oued Seguen	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.6%
Algeria	Oued Seguen	2017	93.1%	94.3%	91.9%
Algeria	Oued Sly	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.4%
Algeria	Oued Sly	2017	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Algeria	Oued Taga	2000	85.2%	88.0%	82.4%
Algeria	Oued Taga	2017	85.7%	88.5%	83.0%
Algeria	Oued Taourira	2000	89.6%	91.5%	87.1%
Algeria	Oued Taourira	2017	90.0%	92.0%	87.6%
Algeria	Oued Taria	2000	90.3%	91.9%	88.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Oued Taria	2017	90.6%	92.1%	88.5%
Algeria	Oued Tlelat	2000	94.1%	95.1%	92.9%
Algeria	Oued Tlelat	2017	94.3%	95.2%	93.1%
Algeria	Oued Zenati	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Algeria	Oued Zenati	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Algeria	Oued Zhou	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Oued Zhou	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Algeria	Oued Zitoun	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Algeria	Oued Zitoun	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Algeria	Ouenza	2000	91.1%	93.4%	88.7%
Algeria	Ouenza	2017	91.4%	93.6%	89.0%
Algeria	Ouezra	2000	91.4%	93.0%	89.5%
Algeria	Ouezra	2017	91.6%	93.3%	89.9%
Algeria	Ouillen	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Algeria	Ouillen	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Algeria	Ouldja Boul-balout	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Ouldja Boul-balout	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Ouled Abbes	2000	91.8%	93.1%	90.4%
Algeria	Ouled Abbes	2017	92.1%	93.3%	90.6%
Algeria	Ouled Addi Guebala	2000	77.9%	80.5%	75.0%
Algeria	Ouled Addi Guebala	2017	78.5%	81.1%	75.7%
Algeria	Ouled Ad-douane	2000	85.8%	88.3%	83.5%
Algeria	Ouled Ad-douane	2017	86.3%	88.7%	84.0%
Algeria	Ouled Ahmed Temmi	2000	79.5%	82.6%	76.3%
Algeria	Ouled Ahmed Temmi	2017	80.1%	83.1%	76.9%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2000	93.5%	95.1%	92.0%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2000	79.7%	83.7%	74.8%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2017	80.4%	84.4%	75.5%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2017	93.8%	95.3%	92.3%
Algeria	Ouled Ammar	2000	80.3%	83.3%	77.3%
Algeria	Ouled Ammar	2017	80.9%	83.8%	77.9%
Algeria	Ouled Antar	2000	90.0%	92.2%	87.7%
Algeria	Ouled Antar	2017	90.3%	92.4%	88.0%
Algeria	Ouled Aouf	2000	82.3%	84.4%	80.2%
Algeria	Ouled Aouf	2017	83.1%	85.1%	81.1%
Algeria	Ouled Attia	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.5%
Algeria	Ouled Attia	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.6%
Algeria	Ouled Ben Abdelkader	2000	90.3%	92.3%	88.1%
Algeria	Ouled Ben Abdelkader	2017	90.6%	92.5%	88.4%
Algeria	Ouled Bessem	2000	86.9%	88.9%	84.8%
Algeria	Ouled Bessem	2017	87.4%	89.3%	85.4%
Algeria	Ouled Bouachra	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Bouachra	2017	91.7%	93.5%	89.9%
Algeria	Ouled Boudjemaa	2000	93.1%	94.8%	91.2%
Algeria	Ouled Boudjemaa	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.4%
Algeria	Ouled Boughalem	2000	93.4%	95.2%	91.4%
Algeria	Ouled Boughalem	2017	93.6%	95.4%	91.7%
Algeria	Ouled Brahem	2000	83.5%	85.9%	80.8%
Algeria	Ouled Brahem	2017	84.1%	86.3%	81.4%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2000	91.0%	93.0%	89.1%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2000	87.2%	89.1%	84.9%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2017	91.3%	93.2%	89.4%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2017	87.6%	89.4%	85.3%
Algeria	Ouled Chebel	2000	92.5%	93.7%	91.4%
Algeria	Ouled Chebel	2017	92.6%	93.8%	91.5%
Algeria	Ouled Dahmane	2000	84.1%	86.4%	81.3%
Algeria	Ouled Dahmane	2017	84.4%	86.6%	81.7%
Algeria	Ouled Daid	2000	90.7%	92.9%	88.6%
Algeria	Ouled Daid	2017	91.0%	93.1%	88.9%
Algeria	Ouled Derradj	2000	77.3%	79.7%	75.0%
Algeria	Ouled Derradj	2017	77.9%	80.3%	75.7%
Algeria	Ouled Djellal	2000	79.6%	81.7%	77.3%
Algeria	Ouled Djellal	2017	80.1%	82.3%	77.9%
Algeria	Ouled Driss	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Algeria	Ouled Driss	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Algeria	Ouled Fadhel	2000	82.0%	84.9%	78.6%
Algeria	Ouled Fadhel	2017	82.6%	85.5%	79.5%
Algeria	Ouled Fares	2000	92.4%	93.7%	91.0%
Algeria	Ouled Fares	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.3%
Algeria	Ouled Fayet	2000	91.5%	92.4%	90.5%
Algeria	Ouled Fayet	2017	91.8%	92.7%	90.8%
Algeria	Ouled Gacem	2000	87.4%	89.1%	85.4%
Algeria	Ouled Gacem	2017	87.8%	89.5%	85.8%
Algeria	Ouled Hamla	2000	89.5%	91.0%	87.8%
Algeria	Ouled Hamla	2017	89.8%	91.3%	88.1%
Algeria	Ouled Hebbaba	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Algeria	Ouled Hebbaba	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Algeria	Ouled Hedadj	2000	91.8%	93.0%	90.6%
Algeria	Ouled Hedadj	2017	92.1%	93.2%	90.9%
Algeria	Ouled Hellal	2000	90.1%	92.2%	87.7%
Algeria	Ouled Hellal	2017	90.4%	92.4%	88.1%
Algeria	Ouled Khaled	2000	87.3%	88.8%	85.9%
Algeria	Ouled Khaled	2017	87.8%	89.2%	86.3%
Algeria	Ouled Khelouf	2000	88.7%	91.1%	86.3%
Algeria	Ouled Khelouf	2017	89.3%	91.5%	86.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Khoudir	2000	80.0%	86.3%	73.0%
Algeria	Ouled Khoudir	2017	80.8%	87.0%	74.2%
Algeria	Ouled Kihel	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.8%
Algeria	Ouled Kihel	2017	93.7%	95.3%	92.0%
Algeria	Ouled Maalah	2000	93.7%	95.2%	91.8%
Algeria	Ouled Maalah	2017	93.9%	95.4%	92.1%
Algeria	Ouled Maaraf	2000	84.4%	87.6%	80.8%
Algeria	Ouled Maaraf	2017	84.9%	87.9%	81.4%
Algeria	Ouled Madhi	2000	75.3%	78.1%	72.7%
Algeria	Ouled Madhi	2017	76.1%	78.8%	73.6%
Algeria	Ouled Mansour	2000	75.0%	78.0%	72.5%
Algeria	Ouled Mansour	2017	75.6%	78.6%	73.2%
Algeria	Ouled Mimoun	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.7%
Algeria	Ouled Mimoun	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.9%
Algeria	Ouled Moumen	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Algeria	Ouled Moumen	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.2%
Algeria	Ouled Moussa	2000	91.8%	93.0%	90.5%
Algeria	Ouled Moussa	2017	92.1%	93.2%	90.8%
Algeria	Ouled Rabah	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Ouled Rabah	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.5%
Algeria	Ouled Rached	2000	87.0%	89.8%	84.2%
Algeria	Ouled Rached	2017	87.4%	90.1%	84.6%
Algeria	Ouled Rahmoune	2000	91.9%	93.0%	90.4%
Algeria	Ouled Rahmoune	2017	92.3%	93.4%	90.9%
Algeria	Ouled Rechache	2000	84.6%	87.3%	81.7%
Algeria	Ouled Rechache	2017	85.1%	87.7%	82.2%
Algeria	Ouled Riyah	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Algeria	Ouled Riyah	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.9%
Algeria	Ouled Saber	2000	82.9%	84.9%	81.0%
Algeria	Ouled Saber	2017	83.4%	85.3%	81.5%
Algeria	Ouled Said	2000	79.3%	83.8%	74.5%
Algeria	Ouled Said	2017	79.9%	84.4%	75.1%
Algeria	Ouled Sellem	2000	83.6%	86.3%	80.7%
Algeria	Ouled Sellem	2017	84.1%	86.8%	81.3%
Algeria	Ouled Si Ahmed	2000	83.8%	86.2%	81.3%
Algeria	Ouled Si Ahmed	2017	84.2%	86.6%	81.8%
Algeria	Ouled Si Slimane	2000	80.9%	83.9%	78.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Si Slimane	2017	81.4%	84.3%	79.0%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2000	87.0%	89.6%	84.4%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2000	76.0%	79.0%	72.9%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2017	76.7%	79.6%	73.7%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2017	87.3%	89.8%	84.7%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Mihoub	2000	93.9%	95.1%	92.5%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Mihoub	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.7%
Algeria	Ouled Slama	2000	92.2%	93.5%	90.6%
Algeria	Ouled Slama	2017	92.4%	93.7%	90.9%
Algeria	Ouled Slimane	2000	77.7%	81.7%	73.5%
Algeria	Ouled Slimane	2017	78.4%	82.3%	74.4%
Algeria	Ouled Tebben	2000	82.6%	85.2%	80.0%
Algeria	Ouled Tebben	2017	83.3%	85.8%	80.7%
Algeria	Ouled Yahia Khadrouche	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Ouled Yahia Khadrouche	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.6%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2000	92.2%	93.5%	91.0%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2017	91.7%	93.5%	89.8%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2017	92.5%	93.6%	91.3%
Algeria	Ouled Zaoui	2000	84.0%	86.5%	81.2%
Algeria	Ouled Zaoui	2017	84.4%	86.8%	81.7%
Algeria	Oulhaca El Gheraba	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.3%
Algeria	Oulhaca El Gheraba	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.7%
Algeria	Oultene	2000	76.9%	80.3%	73.4%
Algeria	Oultene	2017	77.6%	80.9%	74.2%
Algeria	Oum Ali	2000	85.4%	89.0%	81.2%
Algeria	Oum Ali	2017	85.9%	89.3%	81.8%
Algeria	Oum Drou	2000	91.7%	93.0%	90.1%
Algeria	Oum Drou	2017	92.0%	93.3%	90.5%
Algeria	Oum El Adhaim	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.6%
Algeria	Oum El Adhaim	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.8%
Algeria	Oum El Assel	2000	80.8%	84.2%	76.6%
Algeria	Oum El Assel	2017	81.4%	84.7%	77.1%
Algeria	Oum El Bouaghi	2000	84.5%	86.7%	82.2%
Algeria	Oum El Bouaghi	2017	84.8%	87.0%	82.6%
Algeria	Oum El Djellil	2000	88.6%	91.1%	86.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Oum El Djellil	2017	89.0%	91.4%	86.6%
Algeria	Oum Laadham	2000	79.0%	83.7%	73.9%
Algeria	Oum Laadham	2017	79.6%	84.1%	74.8%
Algeria	Oum Toub	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.1%
Algeria	Oum Toub	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Algeria	Oum Touyour	2000	79.4%	82.8%	76.5%
Algeria	Oum Touyour	2017	80.1%	83.1%	77.2%
Algeria	Oumache	2000	79.4%	82.0%	76.5%
Algeria	Oumache	2017	80.0%	82.5%	77.3%
Algeria	Ourlal	2000	79.4%	82.4%	76.0%
Algeria	Ourlal	2017	80.0%	83.0%	76.6%
Algeria	Ourmes	2000	79.9%	82.2%	77.6%
Algeria	Ourmes	2017	80.4%	82.6%	78.0%
Algeria	Ouyoun El As-safir	2000	84.0%	86.3%	81.5%
Algeria	Ouyoun El As-safir	2017	84.5%	86.8%	82.0%
Algeria	Ouzzelaguen	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.2%
Algeria	Ouzzelaguen	2017	91.4%	92.8%	89.6%
Algeria	Rabta	2000	82.2%	84.8%	79.4%
Algeria	Rabta	2017	82.3%	84.9%	79.5%
Algeria	Ragouba	2000	94.4%	95.5%	92.9%
Algeria	Ragouba	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Algeria	Rahouia	2000	88.6%	90.7%	86.6%
Algeria	Rahouia	2017	88.9%	91.0%	86.8%
Algeria	Ramdane Djamel	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Algeria	Ramdane Djamel	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.8%
Algeria	Ramka	2000	89.6%	91.7%	86.8%
Algeria	Ramka	2017	89.8%	91.8%	87.2%
Algeria	Raml Souk	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.5%
Algeria	Raml Souk	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.8%
Algeria	Raouraoua	2000	90.6%	92.1%	88.8%
Algeria	Raouraoua	2017	90.9%	92.4%	89.1%
Algeria	Ras Ain Amirouche	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.1%
Algeria	Ras Ain Amirouche	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.3%
Algeria	Ras El Agba	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Algeria	Ras El Agba	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Algeria	Ras El Aioun	2000	81.1%	84.0%	77.9%
Algeria	Ras El Aioun	2017	81.5%	84.2%	78.1%
Algeria	Ras El Ma	2000	91.1%	93.5%	88.0%
Algeria	Ras El Ma	2017	91.4%	93.7%	88.3%
Algeria	Ras El Oued	2000	83.1%	85.4%	81.0%
Algeria	Ras El Oued	2017	83.4%	85.6%	81.2%
Algeria	Ras Mi-aad Ouled Sassi	2000	78.3%	81.9%	73.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ras Mi- aad Ouled Sassi	2017	79.2%	82.5%	74.8%
Algeria	Rechaiga	2000	85.7%	87.5%	83.5%
Algeria	Rechaiga	2017	86.1%	88.0%	84.0%
Algeria	Redjem De- mouche	2000	91.0%	93.3%	87.9%
Algeria	Redjem De- mouche	2017	91.3%	93.5%	88.3%
Algeria	Reggane	2000	81.6%	84.0%	78.8%
Algeria	Reggane	2017	82.7%	84.9%	80.1%
Algeria	Reghaia	2000	91.8%	93.0%	90.6%
Algeria	Reghaia	2017	92.1%	93.2%	90.9%
Algeria	Reguiba	2000	80.0%	83.1%	76.3%
Algeria	Reguiba	2017	80.4%	83.4%	76.9%
Algeria	Rehbat	2000	82.0%	84.8%	78.7%
Algeria	Rehbat	2017	82.1%	84.9%	78.8%
Algeria	Relizane	2000	93.3%	94.5%	92.2%
Algeria	Relizane	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.4%
Algeria	Remchi	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.5%
Algeria	Remchi	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Algeria	Remila	2000	81.7%	84.3%	78.1%
Algeria	Remila	2017	82.2%	84.7%	78.6%
Algeria	Ridane	2000	88.4%	90.7%	85.6%
Algeria	Ridane	2017	88.7%	91.0%	86.0%
Algeria	Robbah	2000	79.5%	82.1%	76.6%
Algeria	Robbah	2017	80.1%	82.7%	77.3%
Algeria	Rogassa	2000	87.4%	89.8%	84.7%
Algeria	Rogassa	2017	87.8%	90.1%	85.1%
Algeria	Roknia	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Algeria	Roknia	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Algeria	Rosfa	2000	83.1%	85.7%	80.4%
Algeria	Rosfa	2017	83.5%	86.1%	80.8%
Algeria	Rouached	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.7%
Algeria	Rouached	2017	96.0%	96.7%	94.9%
Algeria	Roubia	2000	88.7%	91.4%	85.9%
Algeria	Roubia	2017	89.0%	91.7%	86.4%
Algeria	Rouiba	2000	91.8%	93.0%	90.6%
Algeria	Rouiba	2017	92.1%	93.3%	90.9%
Algeria	Rouina	2000	91.7%	93.1%	90.1%
Algeria	Rouina	2017	92.0%	93.3%	90.4%
Algeria	Rouissat	2000	79.2%	81.8%	76.5%
Algeria	Rouissat	2017	79.9%	82.5%	77.3%
Algeria	Sabra	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.3%
Algeria	Sabra	2017	93.9%	95.1%	92.5%
Algeria	Safel El Ouiden	2000	89.8%	92.0%	87.5%
Algeria	Safel El Ouiden	2017	90.1%	92.2%	87.9%
Algeria	Safsaf	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.7%
Algeria	Safsaf	2017	94.2%	95.4%	93.0%
Algeria	Safsaf El Ouesra	2000	84.2%	87.2%	79.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Safsaf El Ouesra	2017	84.7%	87.7%	80.6%
Algeria	Saharidj	2000	90.7%	92.5%	88.5%
Algeria	Saharidj	2017	91.0%	92.8%	88.8%
Algeria	Saida	2000	87.1%	88.5%	85.6%
Algeria	Saida	2017	87.5%	88.9%	86.0%
Algeria	Salah Bey	2000	83.2%	85.6%	80.7%
Algeria	Salah Bey	2017	83.7%	86.1%	81.2%
Algeria	Salah Bouchaour	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.6%
Algeria	Salah Bouchaour	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.7%
Algeria	Sali	2000	80.5%	84.3%	76.0%
Algeria	Sali	2017	81.8%	85.3%	77.8%
Algeria	Saneg	2000	87.7%	90.3%	84.8%
Algeria	Saneg	2017	88.2%	90.6%	85.3%
Algeria	Saoula	2000	91.6%	92.6%	90.6%
Algeria	Saoula	2017	91.9%	92.9%	90.9%
Algeria	Sayada	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.3%
Algeria	Sayada	2017	93.8%	94.7%	92.6%
Algeria	Sebaa	2000	80.0%	83.8%	75.6%
Algeria	Sebaa	2017	80.8%	84.0%	77.0%
Algeria	Sebaine	2000	86.7%	88.2%	85.1%
Algeria	Sebaine	2017	87.0%	88.6%	85.3%
Algeria	Sebbaa	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.7%
Algeria	Chioukh Sebbaa	2017	94.2%	95.5%	93.0%
Algeria	Sebdou	2000	93.1%	94.9%	91.2%
Algeria	Sebdou	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.5%
Algeria	Sebgag	2000	79.4%	82.7%	76.2%
Algeria	Sebgag	2017	79.9%	83.1%	76.6%
Algeria	Sebseb	2000	79.6%	83.1%	76.4%
Algeria	Sebseb	2017	80.3%	83.5%	77.3%
Algeria	Sebt	2000	87.5%	89.5%	85.7%
Algeria	Sebt	2017	87.9%	89.9%	86.1%
Algeria	Sed Rahal	2000	76.2%	79.7%	72.8%
Algeria	Sed Rahal	2017	77.1%	80.4%	73.7%
Algeria	Seddouk	2000	90.8%	92.2%	88.9%
Algeria	Seddouk	2017	91.1%	92.5%	89.3%
Algeria	Sedjerara	2000	93.5%	94.7%	91.8%
Algeria	Sedjerara	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.1%
Algeria	Sedrata	2000	93.4%	95.0%	92.1%
Algeria	Sedrata	2017	93.6%	95.1%	92.3%
Algeria	Sedraya	2000	91.0%	92.4%	89.3%
Algeria	Sedraya	2017	91.2%	92.6%	89.5%
Algeria	Sefiane	2000	81.1%	83.8%	78.0%
Algeria	Sefiane	2017	81.5%	84.2%	78.4%
Algeria	Seggana	2000	81.7%	84.1%	79.1%
Algeria	Seggana	2017	82.2%	84.5%	79.7%
Algeria	Seghouane	2000	89.6%	92.0%	87.0%
Algeria	Seghouane	2017	90.0%	92.3%	87.3%
Algeria	Sehailia	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sehailia	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.8%
Algeria	Sehala	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.3%
Algeria	Thaoura	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.6%
Algeria	Selaoua	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Algeria	Announa	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Algeria	Selma Benzi- ada	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.6%
Algeria	Selma Benzi- ada	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Algeria	Selmana	2000	77.1%	79.8%	73.8%
Algeria	Selmana	2017	77.8%	80.5%	74.5%
Algeria	Sendjas	2000	90.6%	92.3%	88.8%
Algeria	Sendjas	2017	91.0%	92.7%	89.2%
Algeria	Seraidi	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Algeria	Seraidi	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Algeria	Serdj-El- Ghoul	2000	89.8%	91.6%	87.6%
Algeria	Serdj-El- Ghoul	2017	90.0%	91.9%	87.9%
Algeria	Serghine	2000	81.8%	84.5%	78.7%
Algeria	Serghine	2017	82.4%	85.0%	79.5%
Algeria	Seriana	2000	82.5%	85.0%	80.0%
Algeria	Seriana	2017	82.9%	85.4%	80.4%
Algeria	Setif	2000	81.9%	83.6%	80.1%
Algeria	Setif	2017	82.5%	84.1%	80.7%
Algeria	Settara	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Settara	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Sfisef	2000	92.6%	94.5%	90.9%
Algeria	Sfisef	2017	92.9%	94.7%	91.3%
Algeria	Sfissifa	2000	85.6%	88.8%	81.6%
Algeria	Sfissifa	2017	86.0%	89.1%	82.3%
Algeria	Si Abdelghani	2000	86.7%	88.6%	84.9%
Algeria	Si Abdelghani	2017	87.2%	89.0%	85.4%
Algeria	Si El Mahd- joub	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.6%
Algeria	Si El Mahd- joub	2017	91.7%	93.3%	89.9%
Algeria	Si Mustapha	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Algeria	Si Mustapha	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.6%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelaziz	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelaziz	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abdeldje- bar	2000	91.9%	93.7%	89.8%
Algeria	Sidi Abdeldje- bar	2017	92.2%	94.0%	90.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelli	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelli	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Algeria	Sidi Abdel- moumene	2000	94.7%	95.4%	93.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Abdelmoumene	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.0%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2000	86.6%	88.8%	84.1%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2000	92.7%	94.6%	90.3%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2017	87.1%	89.2%	84.5%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2017	93.0%	94.8%	90.6%
Algeria	Sidi Abed	2000	86.6%	88.5%	84.6%
Algeria	Sidi Abed	2017	87.1%	88.8%	85.1%
Algeria	Sidi Ahmed	2000	87.0%	89.3%	84.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ahmed	2017	87.5%	89.7%	85.2%
Algeria	Sidi Aissa	2000	78.5%	81.4%	75.2%
Algeria	Sidi Aissa	2017	78.9%	81.9%	75.6%
Algeria	Sidi Akkacha	2000	92.5%	94.4%	89.9%
Algeria	Sidi Akkacha	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ali	2000	93.8%	95.5%	92.0%
Algeria	Sidi Ali	2017	94.1%	95.7%	92.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Benyoub	2000	92.3%	94.2%	90.4%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Benyoub	2017	92.6%	94.4%	90.7%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Bous-sidi	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Bous-sidi	2017	93.5%	94.7%	91.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Mellal	2000	88.1%	90.1%	86.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Mellal	2017	88.5%	90.3%	86.8%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	88.5%	90.0%	86.6%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	92.4%	94.1%	90.7%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	96.2%	96.8%	95.4%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	89.0%	90.5%	87.3%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	92.6%	94.3%	91.0%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.6%
Algeria	Sidi Ameer	2000	75.9%	79.6%	71.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ameer	2000	85.0%	87.9%	81.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ameer	2017	76.6%	80.2%	72.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ameer	2017	85.4%	88.3%	81.8%
Algeria	Sidi Amrane	2000	79.6%	82.0%	77.0%
Algeria	Sidi Amrane	2017	80.2%	82.6%	77.7%
Algeria	Sidi Aoun	2000	79.9%	82.8%	76.6%
Algeria	Sidi Aoun	2017	80.5%	83.4%	77.3%
Algeria	Sidi Baizid	2000	76.4%	79.1%	73.3%
Algeria	Sidi Baizid	2017	77.2%	79.8%	74.2%
Algeria	Sidi Bakhti	2000	87.3%	89.4%	85.0%
Algeria	Sidi Bakhti	2017	87.7%	89.8%	85.5%
Algeria	Sidi Bel Abbes	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.1%
Algeria	Sidi Bel Abbes	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.4%
Algeria	Sidi Belattar	2000	93.6%	94.8%	91.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Belattar	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Adda	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Adda	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.7%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Yebka	2000	93.7%	94.9%	92.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Yebka	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.9%
Algeria	Sidi Boubekeur	2000	89.5%	91.2%	87.4%
Algeria	Sidi Boubekeur	2017	89.7%	91.3%	87.6%
Algeria	Sidi Boumediene	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.5%
Algeria	Sidi Boumediene	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.7%
Algeria	Sidi Boussaid	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.0%
Algeria	Sidi Boussaid	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Algeria	Sidi Boutouchent	2000	87.6%	89.6%	85.3%
Algeria	Sidi Boutouchent	2017	88.0%	89.9%	85.8%
Algeria	Sidi Bouzid	2000	78.2%	81.2%	74.6%
Algeria	Sidi Bouzid	2017	79.0%	81.8%	75.4%
Algeria	Sidi Brahim	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.2%
Algeria	Sidi Brahim	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.4%
Algeria	Sidi Chahmi	2000	93.8%	94.7%	92.9%
Algeria	Sidi Chahmi	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.2%
Algeria	Sidi Chouab	2000	90.6%	92.8%	87.5%
Algeria	Sidi Chouab	2017	90.9%	93.1%	87.9%
Algeria	Sidi Dahou Zair	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.0%
Algeria	Sidi Dahou Zair	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.3%
Algeria	Sidi Damed	2000	84.3%	87.9%	81.0%
Algeria	Sidi Damed	2017	84.6%	88.1%	81.3%
Algeria	Sidi Daoud	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.6%
Algeria	Sidi Daoud	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.9%
Algeria	Sidi Djilali	2000	92.1%	94.2%	89.6%
Algeria	Sidi Djilali	2017	92.3%	94.4%	89.8%
Algeria	Sidi Embarek	2000	82.6%	84.7%	80.5%
Algeria	Sidi Embarek	2017	83.2%	85.2%	81.1%
Algeria	Sidi Errabia	2000	90.8%	92.6%	88.5%
Algeria	Sidi Errabia	2017	91.1%	92.8%	88.8%
Algeria	Sidi Fredj	2000	93.2%	95.1%	91.0%
Algeria	Sidi Fredj	2017	93.5%	95.3%	91.4%
Algeria	Sidi Ghiles	2000	92.7%	94.6%	90.7%
Algeria	Sidi Ghiles	2017	92.9%	94.8%	91.0%
Algeria	Sidi Hadjeres	2000	75.6%	79.3%	71.0%
Algeria	Sidi Hadjeres	2017	76.4%	80.0%	72.0%
Algeria	Sidi Hamadouche	2000	93.6%	94.8%	91.9%
Algeria	Sidi Hamadouche	2017	93.8%	95.0%	92.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Hosni	2000	86.9%	88.7%	85.2%
Algeria	Sidi Hosni	2017	87.4%	89.0%	85.7%
Algeria	Sidi Kada	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.2%
Algeria	Sidi Kada	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.5%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.3%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2000	79.7%	82.1%	77.1%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.6%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2017	80.3%	82.6%	77.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khelifa	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Algeria	Sidi Khelifa	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.4%
Algeria	Sidi Khelil	2000	79.5%	82.3%	76.5%
Algeria	Sidi Khelil	2017	80.2%	82.9%	77.2%
Algeria	Sidi Khettab	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.3%
Algeria	Sidi Khettab	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.6%
Algeria	Sidi Khouiled	2000	79.5%	81.9%	76.9%
Algeria	Sidi Khouiled	2017	80.1%	82.4%	77.6%
Algeria	Sidi Ladjel	2000	81.5%	85.0%	78.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ladjel	2017	82.0%	85.4%	78.9%
Algeria	Sidi Lahcene	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.3%
Algeria	Sidi Lahcene	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2000	93.8%	95.4%	91.8%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2017	94.0%	95.6%	92.1%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2017	92.3%	93.8%	90.6%
Algeria	Sidi Lantri	2000	87.4%	89.4%	85.4%
Algeria	Sidi Lantri	2017	87.8%	89.8%	85.7%
Algeria	Sidi Lazreg	2000	91.2%	93.0%	89.2%
Algeria	Sidi Lazreg	2017	91.6%	93.4%	89.7%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	77.9%	81.8%	73.6%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	78.6%	82.3%	74.3%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.7%
Algeria	Benali				
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.0%
Algeria	Benali				
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	91.9%	93.5%	90.3%
Algeria	Benaouda				
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	92.2%	93.8%	90.6%
Algeria	Benaouda				
Algeria	Sidi Makhoulouf	2000	76.5%	79.6%	72.4%
Algeria	Sidi Makhoulouf	2017	77.2%	80.4%	72.9%
Algeria	Sidi Marouf	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Algeria	Sidi Marouf	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Sidi Medjahed	2000	93.6%	95.1%	92.0%
Algeria	Sidi Medjahed	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.3%
Algeria	Sidi Merouane	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Algeria	Sidi Merouane	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Algeria	Sidi	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Algeria	Mezghiche				
Algeria	Sidi	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	Mezghiche				
Algeria	Sidi Moussa	2000	92.4%	93.4%	91.3%
Algeria	Sidi Moussa	2017	92.6%	93.6%	91.5%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2000	91.0%	93.0%	88.8%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.9%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2017	91.3%	93.2%	89.2%
Algeria	Sidi Okba	2000	79.8%	82.4%	77.0%
Algeria	Sidi Okba	2017	80.4%	83.0%	77.6%
Algeria	Sidi Ouri-ache Tadmaya	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.1%
Algeria	Sidi Ouri-ache Tadmaya	2017	93.9%	95.1%	92.2%
Algeria	Sidi Rached	2000	92.6%	94.2%	91.0%
Algeria	Sidi Rached	2017	92.9%	94.4%	91.3%
Algeria	Sidi Saada	2000	93.6%	94.8%	92.1%
Algeria	Sidi Saada	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.6%
Algeria	Sidi Safi	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.7%
Algeria	Sidi Safi	2017	93.6%	95.1%	92.0%
Algeria	Sidi Said	2000	90.8%	92.1%	88.9%
Algeria	Sidi Said	2017	91.1%	92.5%	89.4%
Algeria	Sidi Semiane	2000	92.6%	94.3%	90.8%
Algeria	Sidi Semiane	2017	92.9%	94.6%	91.1%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	79.4%	82.1%	76.1%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	86.9%	89.3%	84.1%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	81.6%	86.0%	76.2%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	87.4%	89.7%	84.7%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	82.2%	86.3%	77.0%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	80.0%	82.7%	76.9%
Algeria	Sidi Tifour	2000	82.7%	86.4%	78.2%
Algeria	Sidi Tifour	2017	83.3%	86.9%	78.8%
Algeria	Sidi Yacoub	2000	93.7%	94.8%	92.2%
Algeria	Sidi Yacoub	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.5%
Algeria	Sidi Zahar	2000	88.9%	91.2%	86.3%
Algeria	Sidi Zahar	2017	89.2%	91.4%	86.7%
Algeria	Sidi Ziane	2000	88.4%	90.8%	85.4%
Algeria	Sidi Ziane	2017	88.7%	91.1%	85.7%
Algeria	Sig	2000	94.2%	95.3%	93.1%
Algeria	Sig	2017	94.4%	95.5%	93.3%
Algeria	Sigous	2000	89.6%	91.2%	87.5%
Algeria	Sigous	2017	89.8%	91.4%	87.7%
Algeria	Sirat	2000	93.7%	94.8%	92.5%
Algeria	Sirat	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.8%
Algeria	Skikda	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Algeria	Skikda	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.2%
Algeria	Slim	2000	76.8%	80.5%	72.8%
Algeria	Slim	2017	77.4%	81.1%	73.6%
Algeria	Smaoun	2000	91.6%	92.9%	90.0%
Algeria	Smaoun	2017	91.9%	93.2%	90.3%
Algeria	Sobha	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.1%
Algeria	Sobha	2017	92.9%	94.0%	91.5%
Algeria	Souafli	2000	93.9%	95.0%	92.7%
Algeria	Souafli	2017	94.1%	95.2%	92.9%
Algeria	Souagui	2000	89.3%	91.5%	86.7%
Algeria	Souagui	2017	89.7%	91.8%	87.2%
Algeria	Souahlia	2000	93.1%	94.8%	91.3%
Algeria	Souahlia	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Souamaa	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.9%
Algeria	Souamaa	2017	92.8%	94.3%	91.3%
Algeria	Souani	2000	92.0%	94.1%	89.7%
Algeria	Souani	2017	92.3%	94.3%	90.1%
Algeria	Souarekh	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.4%
Algeria	Souarekh	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Algeria	Sougueur	2000	86.7%	88.6%	84.8%
Algeria	Sougueur	2017	86.9%	88.8%	85.0%
Algeria	Souhan	2000	92.4%	93.7%	90.9%
Algeria	Souhan	2017	92.8%	94.0%	91.3%
Algeria	Souidania	2000	91.5%	92.6%	90.2%
Algeria	Souidania	2017	91.8%	92.8%	90.6%
Algeria	Souk Ahras	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Algeria	Souk Ahras	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.3%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2000	90.5%	92.4%	88.0%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.6%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2017	90.8%	92.7%	88.4%
Algeria	Souk El Khemis	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.9%
Algeria	Souk El Khemis	2017	91.8%	93.4%	90.3%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.5%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2000	92.5%	94.0%	91.0%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2017	92.8%	94.2%	91.4%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2017	92.0%	93.6%	89.7%
Algeria	Souk Naamane	2000	85.8%	87.8%	83.2%
Algeria	Souk Naamane	2017	86.2%	88.2%	83.6%
Algeria	Souk Oufella	2000	91.2%	92.5%	89.5%
Algeria	Souk Oufella	2017	91.6%	92.8%	89.9%
Algeria	Souk Tleta	2000	92.7%	94.7%	90.7%
Algeria	Souk Tleta	2017	92.9%	94.9%	91.1%
Algeria	Soumaa	2000	76.8%	79.7%	73.4%
Algeria	Soumaa	2000	92.2%	93.4%	90.9%
Algeria	Soumaa	2017	77.5%	80.4%	74.4%
Algeria	Soumaa	2017	92.4%	93.5%	91.1%
Algeria	Sour	2000	93.9%	95.1%	92.5%
Algeria	Sour	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.9%
Algeria	Sour El Ghoulane	2000	88.9%	90.6%	86.6%
Algeria	Sour El Ghoulane	2017	89.2%	90.9%	86.9%
Algeria	Stah Guentis	2000	83.3%	86.7%	79.8%
Algeria	Stah Guentis	2017	84.0%	87.2%	80.6%
Algeria	Staoueli	2000	91.3%	92.5%	89.8%
Algeria	Staoueli	2017	91.6%	92.7%	90.1%
Algeria	Stidia	2000	93.8%	94.9%	92.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Stidia	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.9%
Algeria	Still	2000	79.5%	83.0%	76.2%
Algeria	Still	2017	80.1%	83.6%	76.9%
Algeria	Stitten	2000	86.0%	89.0%	82.5%
Algeria	Stitten	2017	86.6%	89.6%	83.1%
Algeria	T Kout	2000	83.4%	86.2%	79.9%
Algeria	T Kout	2017	84.0%	86.8%	80.8%
Algeria	Tabelbala	2000	80.3%	84.9%	75.3%
Algeria	Tabelbala	2017	81.1%	85.2%	76.1%
Algeria	Tabia	2000	93.0%	94.6%	91.3%
Algeria	Tabia	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.6%
Algeria	Tablat	2000	91.8%	93.4%	89.9%
Algeria	Tablat	2017	92.2%	93.8%	90.4%
Algeria	Tacheta	2000	92.0%	93.8%	90.1%
Algeria	Zegagha				
Algeria	Tacheta	2017	92.1%	93.9%	90.3%
Algeria	Zegagha				
Algeria	Tachouda	2000	88.8%	90.5%	86.7%
Algeria	Tachouda	2017	89.1%	90.8%	87.0%
Algeria	Tadjemout	2000	76.5%	79.4%	73.2%
Algeria	Tadjemout	2017	77.1%	80.0%	73.9%
Algeria	Tadjena	2000	92.4%	94.1%	90.6%
Algeria	Tadjena	2017	92.6%	94.2%	90.9%
Algeria	Tadjenanet	2000	89.7%	91.6%	87.7%
Algeria	Tadjenanet	2017	90.0%	91.9%	87.9%
Algeria	Tadjrouna	2000	79.8%	84.4%	74.4%
Algeria	Tadjrouna	2017	80.5%	85.0%	75.4%
Algeria	Tadmait	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.6%
Algeria	Tadmait	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Algeria	Tadmit	2000	76.8%	80.2%	73.2%
Algeria	Tadmit	2017	77.6%	81.2%	73.9%
Algeria	Tafissour	2000	88.7%	91.1%	85.9%
Algeria	Tafissour	2017	89.2%	91.4%	86.4%
Algeria	Tafraoui	2000	94.0%	95.0%	92.6%
Algeria	Tafraoui	2017	94.3%	95.2%	92.9%
Algeria	Tafraout	2000	87.4%	90.3%	84.6%
Algeria	Tafraout	2017	87.9%	90.6%	85.1%
Algeria	Tafreg	2000	85.8%	88.1%	82.7%
Algeria	Tafreg	2017	86.1%	88.3%	83.0%
Algeria	Tagdemt	2000	87.4%	88.8%	85.8%
Algeria	Tagdemt	2017	87.9%	89.3%	86.2%
Algeria	Taghit	2000	79.5%	84.5%	74.2%
Algeria	Taghit	2017	80.3%	85.0%	75.3%
Algeria	Taghlimet	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.3%
Algeria	Taghlimet	2017	91.7%	93.4%	89.6%
Algeria	Taghzout	2000	91.6%	93.1%	89.9%
Algeria	Taghzout	2000	79.7%	82.0%	77.2%
Algeria	Taghzout	2017	80.3%	82.6%	77.8%
Algeria	Taghzout	2017	91.9%	93.5%	90.2%
Algeria	Taglait	2000	81.2%	83.9%	77.9%
Algeria	Taglait	2017	81.6%	84.3%	78.3%
Algeria	Taguedit	2000	82.2%	85.3%	79.0%
Algeria	Taguedit	2017	82.8%	85.8%	79.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Taher	2000	96.3%	96.9%	95.6%
Algeria	Taher	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Algeria	Taibet	2000	80.1%	83.4%	76.4%
Algeria	Taibet	2017	80.8%	83.9%	77.3%
Algeria	Takhemaret	2000	88.0%	89.8%	86.0%
Algeria	Takhemaret	2017	88.2%	90.0%	86.3%
Algeria	Tala Hamza	2000	92.9%	94.4%	91.3%
Algeria	Tala Hamza	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.6%
Algeria	Tala-Ifacene	2000	86.3%	88.8%	83.9%
Algeria	Tala-Ifacene	2017	86.7%	89.2%	84.3%
Algeria	Taleb Larbi	2000	80.6%	84.7%	75.9%
Algeria	Taleb Larbi	2017	81.1%	85.1%	76.5%
Algeria	Talkhamt	2000	82.5%	85.4%	79.5%
Algeria	Talkhamt	2017	82.8%	85.6%	79.8%
Algeria	Talmine	2000	80.0%	86.1%	74.0%
Algeria	Talmine	2017	80.6%	86.4%	74.9%
Algeria	Tamalous	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Algeria	Tamalous	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Algeria	Tamantit	2000	79.8%	82.8%	76.5%
Algeria	Tamantit	2017	80.6%	83.9%	77.0%
Algeria	Tamekten	2000	79.9%	83.3%	76.3%
Algeria	Tamekten	2017	80.5%	84.0%	76.8%
Algeria	Tamelaht	2000	86.9%	88.8%	84.7%
Algeria	Tamelaht	2017	87.2%	88.9%	85.0%
Algeria	Tamenghasset	2000	78.2%	82.1%	73.6%
Algeria	Tamenghasset	2017	78.9%	82.6%	74.4%
Algeria	Tamest	2000	79.7%	84.2%	75.1%
Algeria	Tamest	2017	81.0%	84.6%	77.0%
Algeria	Tamezguida	2000	92.0%	93.4%	90.5%
Algeria	Tamezguida	2017	92.4%	93.7%	91.0%
Algeria	Tamlouka	2000	91.2%	92.8%	89.2%
Algeria	Tamlouka	2017	91.5%	93.1%	89.6%
Algeria	Tamokra	2000	88.9%	90.9%	86.2%
Algeria	Tamokra	2017	89.3%	91.2%	86.8%
Algeria	Tamridjet	2000	90.5%	92.3%	88.0%
Algeria	Tamridjet	2017	90.9%	92.6%	88.5%
Algeria	Tamsa	2000	76.3%	79.3%	73.5%
Algeria	Tamsa	2017	77.1%	79.9%	74.4%
Algeria	Tamtert	2000	79.8%	84.3%	73.9%
Algeria	Tamtert	2017	80.6%	84.8%	75.3%
Algeria	Tamza	2000	83.7%	86.3%	81.2%
Algeria	Tamza	2017	84.1%	86.6%	81.7%
Algeria	Tamzoura	2000	94.1%	95.2%	92.5%
Algeria	Tamzoura	2017	94.3%	95.4%	92.7%
Algeria	Taouala	2000	80.5%	85.0%	75.7%
Algeria	Taouala	2017	80.9%	85.4%	76.1%
Algeria	Taoudmout	2000	88.3%	90.8%	85.5%
Algeria	Taoudmout	2017	88.7%	91.1%	85.9%
Algeria	Taougrit	2000	92.8%	94.4%	90.6%
Algeria	Taougrit	2017	93.1%	94.6%	90.9%
Algeria	Taoura	2000	94.3%	95.7%	93.0%
Algeria	Taoura	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.6%
Algeria	Taourga	2000	93.5%	94.9%	92.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Taourga	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.2%
Algeria	Taourit Ighil	2000	91.8%	93.3%	90.0%
Algeria	Taourit Ighil	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Algeria	Taouzianat	2000	82.8%	85.5%	79.8%
Algeria	Taouzianat	2017	83.3%	86.1%	80.3%
Algeria	Tarik Ibn-Ziad	2000	89.1%	90.9%	87.0%
Algeria	Tarik Ibn-Ziad	2017	89.3%	91.1%	87.2%
Algeria	Tarmount	2000	75.8%	79.0%	71.9%
Algeria	Tarmount	2017	76.4%	79.6%	72.7%
Algeria	Taskriout	2000	90.8%	92.6%	88.5%
Algeria	Taskriout	2017	91.1%	93.0%	88.9%
Algeria	Tassadane Haddada	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.3%
Algeria	Tassadane Haddada	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.6%
Algeria	Tassala	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.4%
Algeria	Tassala	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Algeria	Taxlent	2000	83.0%	85.2%	80.8%
Algeria	Taxlent	2017	83.1%	85.2%	80.9%
Algeria	Taya	2000	85.8%	88.4%	83.2%
Algeria	Taya	2017	86.3%	88.9%	83.8%
Algeria	Tazgait	2000	93.7%	95.4%	91.9%
Algeria	Tazgait	2017	93.9%	95.6%	92.2%
Algeria	Tazmalt	2000	90.3%	92.2%	88.0%
Algeria	Tazmalt	2017	90.6%	92.5%	88.4%
Algeria	Tazoult	2000	83.6%	85.9%	81.1%
Algeria	Tazoult	2017	83.5%	85.7%	81.2%
Algeria	Tazrouk	2000	79.6%	83.5%	74.6%
Algeria	Tazrouk	2017	81.5%	84.5%	77.8%
Algeria	Tebesbest	2000	79.1%	81.7%	75.9%
Algeria	Tebesbest	2017	79.6%	82.1%	76.4%
Algeria	Tebessa	2000	84.8%	86.5%	82.9%
Algeria	Tebessa	2017	85.3%	86.9%	83.4%
Algeria	Telaa	2000	83.5%	85.9%	80.6%
Algeria	Telaa	2017	84.0%	86.3%	81.2%
Algeria	Telagh	2000	91.1%	93.1%	88.9%
Algeria	Telagh	2017	91.4%	93.3%	89.1%
Algeria	Telassa	2000	92.6%	94.4%	90.2%
Algeria	Telassa	2017	92.8%	94.5%	90.5%
Algeria	Teleghma	2000	90.7%	92.3%	88.9%
Algeria	Teleghma	2017	90.9%	92.4%	89.1%
Algeria	Temacine	2000	79.3%	82.2%	75.6%
Algeria	Temacine	2017	79.9%	82.8%	76.3%
Algeria	Tenedla	2000	80.3%	82.7%	77.7%
Algeria	Tenedla	2017	80.9%	83.2%	78.4%
Algeria	Tenes	2000	92.5%	94.5%	89.8%
Algeria	Tenes	2017	92.7%	94.7%	90.1%
Algeria	Teniet El Abed	2000	85.8%	88.2%	82.9%
Algeria	Teniet El Abed	2017	86.3%	88.6%	83.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Teniet En Nasr	2000	85.5%	87.8%	82.8%
Algeria	Teniet En Nasr	2017	85.9%	88.3%	83.4%
Algeria	Tenira	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.8%
Algeria	Tenira	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.2%
Algeria	Terga	2000	93.3%	94.8%	91.5%
Algeria	Terga	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.6%
Algeria	Terny Beni Hediél	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.4%
Algeria	Terny Beni Hediél	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.7%
Algeria	Terraguelt	2000	89.6%	91.7%	86.9%
Algeria	Terraguelt	2017	90.0%	92.0%	87.4%
Algeria	Terrai Bainnane	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Algeria	Terrai Bainnane	2017	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Algeria	Tesmart	2000	84.6%	86.8%	82.0%
Algeria	Tesmart	2017	84.9%	87.1%	82.5%
Algeria	Tessala Lamtai	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Algeria	Tessala Lamtai	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Algeria	Tessala-El-Merdja	2000	92.0%	93.1%	90.6%
Algeria	Tessala-El-Merdja	2017	92.2%	93.3%	90.9%
Algeria	Texenna	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Algeria	Texenna	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Algeria	Thelidjene	2000	83.4%	86.5%	80.2%
Algeria	Thelidjene	2017	83.9%	87.0%	80.6%
Algeria	Thenia	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.5%
Algeria	Thenia	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.8%
Algeria	Theniet El Had	2000	87.7%	89.5%	85.8%
Algeria	Theniet El Had	2017	88.1%	89.9%	86.2%
Algeria	Thleth Douair	2000	89.0%	91.7%	86.3%
Algeria	Thleth Douair	2017	89.3%	91.9%	86.6%
Algeria	Tianet	2000	93.7%	95.1%	92.0%
Algeria	Tianet	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Algeria	Tiaret	2000	87.3%	88.4%	86.2%
Algeria	Tiaret	2017	87.7%	88.8%	86.6%
Algeria	Tiberguent	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Algeria	Tiberguent	2017	95.9%	96.6%	94.9%
Algeria	Tiberkanine	2000	91.4%	92.8%	89.9%
Algeria	Tiberkanine	2017	91.7%	93.1%	90.1%
Algeria	Tichy	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.7%
Algeria	Tichy	2017	92.3%	94.0%	90.4%
Algeria	Tidda	2000	87.6%	89.5%	85.9%
Algeria	Tidda	2017	88.0%	89.8%	86.4%
Algeria	Tidjelabine	2000	92.3%	93.7%	91.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Tidjelabine	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.3%
Algeria	Tiffech	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Algeria	Tiffech	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Algeria	Tifra	2000	91.4%	92.9%	89.6%
Algeria	Tifra	2017	91.8%	93.2%	90.0%
Algeria	Tighanimine	2000	84.0%	86.8%	80.9%
Algeria	Tighanimine	2017	84.2%	87.0%	81.1%
Algeria	Tigharghar	2000	81.2%	84.4%	78.2%
Algeria	Tigharghar	2017	81.7%	84.8%	78.7%
Algeria	Tighenif	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.0%
Algeria	Tighenif	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Algeria	Tigzirt	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.4%
Algeria	Tigzirt	2017	93.4%	94.7%	91.6%
Algeria	Tilatou	2000	80.7%	83.4%	78.3%
Algeria	Tilatou	2017	81.3%	84.0%	78.9%
Algeria	Tilmouni	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Algeria	Tilmouni	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.2%
Algeria	Timezrit	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.4%
Algeria	Timezrit	2000	90.9%	92.3%	89.1%
Algeria	Timezrit	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.7%
Algeria	Timezrit	2017	91.1%	92.5%	89.3%
Algeria	Timgad	2000	83.2%	86.1%	80.4%
Algeria	Timgad	2017	83.8%	86.6%	81.1%
Algeria	Timiaouine	2000	80.7%	86.4%	73.3%
Algeria	Timiaouine	2017	81.6%	86.8%	75.3%
Algeria	Timizart	2000	93.4%	94.6%	91.8%
Algeria	Timizart	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.1%
Algeria	Timmimoun	2000	79.5%	82.9%	75.6%
Algeria	Timmimoun	2017	80.2%	83.2%	76.6%
Algeria	Timoudi	2000	80.1%	85.9%	73.4%
Algeria	Timoudi	2017	81.1%	85.9%	76.3%
Algeria	Tin Zaouatine	2000	82.8%	86.9%	78.3%
Algeria	Tin Zaouatine	2017	83.5%	87.3%	79.2%
Algeria	Tindouf	2000	79.6%	83.3%	75.4%
Algeria	Tindouf	2017	80.3%	83.8%	76.4%
Algeria	Tinedbar	2000	91.9%	93.2%	90.2%
Algeria	Tinedbar	2017	92.2%	93.4%	90.5%
Algeria	Tinerkoug	2000	80.5%	84.1%	76.4%
Algeria	Tinerkoug	2017	81.3%	84.9%	77.1%
Algeria	Tiout	2000	86.5%	89.0%	83.8%
Algeria	Tiout	2017	87.0%	89.5%	84.3%
Algeria	Tipaza	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.5%
Algeria	Tipaza	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.8%
Algeria	Tircine	2000	86.7%	88.7%	84.5%
Algeria	Tircine	2017	87.1%	89.1%	85.0%
Algeria	Tirmitine	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.6%
Algeria	Tirmitine	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.8%
Algeria	Tissemsilt	2000	86.7%	88.2%	85.0%
Algeria	Tissemsilt	2017	87.1%	88.7%	85.5%
Algeria	Tit	2000	79.5%	83.9%	73.1%
Algeria	Tit	2017	80.2%	84.5%	73.9%
Algeria	Tixter	2000	83.0%	84.9%	80.7%
Algeria	Tixter	2017	83.5%	85.4%	81.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Tizi	2000	93.0%	94.1%	91.6%
Algeria	Tizi	2017	93.3%	94.3%	91.9%
Algeria	Tizi Mahdi	2000	91.7%	93.2%	90.0%
Algeria	Tizi Mahdi	2017	91.9%	93.4%	90.3%
Algeria	Tizi N'Bechar	2000	86.7%	89.3%	83.8%
Algeria	Tizi N'Bechar	2017	87.1%	89.8%	84.3%
Algeria	Tizi N'Tleta	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.6%
Algeria	Tizi N'Tleta	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.9%
Algeria	Tizi Ouzou	2000	92.7%	93.9%	91.1%
Algeria	Tizi Ouzou	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.4%
Algeria	Tizi-Ghenif	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.0%
Algeria	Tizi-Ghenif	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Algeria	Tizi-N'Berber	2000	91.6%	93.3%	89.4%
Algeria	Tizi-N'Berber	2017	91.9%	93.6%	89.8%
Algeria	Tizi-Rached	2000	92.5%	93.9%	90.9%
Algeria	Tizi-Rached	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.2%
Algeria	Tlemcen	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.6%
Algeria	Tlemcen	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.9%
Algeria	Tolga	2000	79.8%	82.1%	77.3%
Algeria	Tolga	2017	80.4%	82.6%	78.0%
Algeria	Touahria	2000	93.9%	94.8%	92.7%
Algeria	Touahria	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.0%
Algeria	Toudja	2000	92.9%	94.5%	90.9%
Algeria	Toudja	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.3%
Algeria	Tougourt	2000	78.8%	81.2%	75.5%
Algeria	Tougourt	2017	79.5%	81.9%	76.2%
Algeria	Tousmouline	2000	87.0%	89.9%	84.0%
Algeria	Tousmouline	2017	87.5%	90.2%	84.6%
Algeria	Tousnina	2000	86.8%	88.7%	84.9%
Algeria	Tousnina	2017	87.3%	89.1%	85.3%
Algeria	Treat	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Algeria	Treat	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Algeria	Trifaoui	2000	79.8%	82.3%	77.2%
Algeria	Trifaoui	2017	80.4%	82.9%	77.8%
Algeria	Tsabit	2000	80.3%	84.2%	75.6%
Algeria	Tsabit	2017	81.1%	84.6%	76.4%
Algeria	Yabous	2000	84.6%	87.5%	81.6%
Algeria	Yabous	2017	84.9%	87.8%	82.0%
Algeria	Yahia Be-niguecha	2000	95.0%	95.9%	93.8%
Algeria	Yahia Be-niguecha	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.9%
Algeria	Yakourene	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.8%
Algeria	Yakourene	2017	92.9%	94.4%	91.2%
Algeria	Yatafene	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.6%
Algeria	Yatafene	2017	91.8%	93.6%	90.0%
Algeria	Yellel	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.3%
Algeria	Yellel	2017	93.9%	95.1%	92.6%
Algeria	Youb	2000	89.7%	91.7%	87.4%
Algeria	Youb	2017	90.1%	92.0%	87.9%
Algeria	Zaafrane	2000	77.5%	80.7%	74.1%
Algeria	Zaafrane	2017	77.9%	81.1%	74.8%
Algeria	Zaarouria	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Zaarouria	2017	95.9%	96.8%	95.0%
Algeria	Zaccar	2000	76.2%	79.6%	73.0%
Algeria	Zaccar	2017	76.9%	80.1%	73.8%
Algeria	Zahana	2000	93.9%	94.8%	92.5%
Algeria	Zahana	2017	94.1%	95.0%	92.7%
Algeria	Zanet El Beida	2000	84.2%	87.0%	81.3%
Algeria	Zanet El Beida	2017	84.8%	87.4%	81.9%
Algeria	Zaouia El Abidia	2000	79.2%	81.9%	75.9%
Algeria	Zaouia El Abidia	2017	79.8%	82.5%	76.7%
Algeria	Zaouiet Kounta	2000	79.8%	84.5%	74.9%
Algeria	Zaouiet Kounta	2017	80.8%	85.1%	76.2%
Algeria	Zarzour	2000	78.3%	82.0%	74.2%
Algeria	Zarzour	2017	78.9%	82.6%	75.0%
Algeria	Zbarbar El Is- seri	2000	91.7%	93.3%	90.1%
Algeria	Zbarbar El Is- seri	2017	92.0%	93.5%	90.4%
Algeria	Zeboudja	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.0%
Algeria	Zeboudja	2017	92.6%	94.0%	90.4%
Algeria	Zeddine	2000	90.7%	92.4%	89.0%
Algeria	Zeddine	2017	91.0%	92.6%	89.3%
Algeria	Zeghaia	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.0%
Algeria	Zeghaia	2017	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Algeria	Zekri	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.4%
Algeria	Zekri	2017	92.7%	94.3%	90.8%
Algeria	Zelfana	2000	79.8%	82.9%	75.5%
Algeria	Zelfana	2017	80.4%	83.5%	76.4%
Algeria	Zelmata	2000	89.6%	91.5%	87.6%
Algeria	Zelmata	2017	90.0%	92.0%	88.1%
Algeria	Zemmoura	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Algeria	Zemmoura	2017	92.6%	94.0%	91.0%
Algeria	Zemmouri	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.4%
Algeria	Zemmouri	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.6%
Algeria	Zenata	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.8%
Algeria	Zenata	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.0%
Algeria	Zeralda	2000	91.3%	92.4%	89.8%
Algeria	Zeralda	2017	91.6%	92.7%	90.1%
Algeria	Zerdeza	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Algeria	Zerdeza	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Algeria	Zeribet El Oued	2000	81.8%	86.2%	77.1%
Algeria	Zeribet El Oued	2017	82.4%	86.8%	77.9%
Algeria	Zerizer	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Algeria	Zerizer	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	Zerouala	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.8%
Algeria	Zerouala	2017	93.6%	95.0%	92.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ziama Mansouria	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.6%
Algeria	Ziama Mansouria	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.8%
Algeria	Zighoud Youcef	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Algeria	Zighoud Youcef	2017	96.7%	97.3%	95.9%
Algeria	Zitouna	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.2%
Algeria	Zitouna	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Algeria	Zitouna	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Algeria	Zitouna	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Algeria	Zmalet El Emir Abdelkader	2000	82.9%	86.2%	79.6%
Algeria	Zmalet El Emir Abdelkader	2017	83.3%	86.6%	80.0%
Algeria	Zorg	2000	87.4%	89.9%	84.6%
Algeria	Zorg	2017	87.8%	90.3%	85.1%
Algeria	Zouabi	2000	92.6%	94.3%	91.0%
Algeria	Zouabi	2017	92.8%	94.4%	91.3%
Algeria	Zoubiria	2000	90.7%	92.8%	88.5%
Algeria	Zoubiria	2017	91.0%	93.0%	88.9%
Egypt	'Abdin	2000	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Egypt	'Abdin	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.6%
Egypt	'Ain Schams	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Egypt	'Ain Schams	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Egypt	'Ataqah	2000	94.7%	99.0%	87.6%
Egypt	'Ataqah	2017	93.5%	98.9%	85.4%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 1	2000	97.5%	100.0%	80.7%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 1	2017	97.0%	100.0%	72.7%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 2	2000	97.9%	100.0%	71.6%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 2	2017	97.3%	100.0%	62.7%
Egypt	15 Mayu	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Egypt	15 Mayu	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Egypt	Abnub	2000	10.0%	12.2%	8.3%
Egypt	Abnub	2017	6.4%	7.9%	5.3%
Egypt	Abu al-Matamir	2000	36.1%	49.9%	27.4%
Egypt	Abu al-Matamir	2017	35.4%	47.4%	25.8%
Egypt	Abu Hammad	2000	70.8%	72.5%	68.9%
Egypt	Abu Hammad	2017	65.5%	67.3%	63.5%
Egypt	Abu Hummus	2000	61.1%	63.5%	59.0%
Egypt	Abu Hummus	2017	52.5%	55.2%	50.4%
Egypt	Abu Kabir	2000	65.5%	67.7%	63.2%
Egypt	Abu Kabir	2017	59.2%	61.1%	57.3%
Egypt	Abu Qurqas	2000	61.6%	63.2%	60.1%
Egypt	Abu Qurqas	2017	51.3%	52.9%	49.6%
Egypt	Abu Radis	2000	55.6%	99.9%	0.4%
Egypt	Abu Radis	2017	55.0%	99.8%	0.3%
Egypt	Abu Tij	2000	31.2%	32.9%	29.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Abu Tij	2017	21.8%	23.3%	20.2%
Egypt	Abu Tisht	2000	40.2%	42.0%	38.1%
Egypt	Abu Tisht	2017	29.8%	31.4%	28.0%
Egypt	Abu Zenima	2000	63.9%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Abu Zenima	2017	63.7%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dab'ah	2000	42.4%	66.8%	15.7%
Egypt	Ad-Dab'ah	2017	37.9%	61.8%	14.1%
Egypt	Ad-Darb al-Ahmar	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ad-Darb al-Ahmar	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Ad-Dawahy	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Ad-Dawahy	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dilinat	2000	54.3%	58.4%	50.8%
Egypt	Ad-Dilinat	2017	48.5%	51.7%	45.4%
Egypt	Ad- Dukhaylah	2000	73.8%	76.3%	71.3%
Egypt	Ad- Dukhaylah	2017	65.9%	68.5%	63.2%
Egypt	Ad-Duqi	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Egypt	Ad-Duqi	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Egypt	Aja	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.6%
Egypt	Aja	2017	89.6%	91.4%	87.7%
Egypt	Akhmim	2000	59.6%	61.6%	57.7%
Egypt	Akhmim	2017	48.0%	50.2%	45.9%
Egypt	Al-'Ajuzah	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-'Ajuzah	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Egypt	Al-'Amriyah	2000	78.2%	81.5%	75.6%
Egypt	Al-'Amriyah	2017	74.0%	77.4%	71.4%
Egypt	Al-'Arab	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arab	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 1	2000	80.6%	85.4%	74.8%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 1	2017	75.2%	81.4%	68.8%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 2	2000	48.6%	71.4%	30.9%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 2	2017	37.0%	60.2%	22.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 3	2000	59.0%	86.9%	26.1%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 3	2017	56.1%	84.3%	26.2%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 4	2000	34.6%	88.5%	1.1%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 4	2017	31.7%	84.2%	0.9%
Egypt	Al-'Atarin	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Egypt	Al-'Atarin	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Egypt	Al-'Ayyat	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Egypt	Al-'Ayyat	2017	94.4%	95.5%	92.9%
Egypt	Al-'Idwah	2000	29.0%	31.0%	27.0%
Egypt	Al-'Idwah	2017	21.7%	23.4%	19.9%
Egypt	Al-'Ubur	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Egypt	Al-'Ubur	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.2%
Egypt	Al- 'Umranayah	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Egypt	Al- 'Umranayah	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Egypt	Al-'Usayrat	2000	17.9%	20.9%	15.0%
Egypt	Al-'Usayrat	2017	11.9%	14.1%	9.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Ahram	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Egypt	Al-Ahram	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Egypt	Al-Arb'in	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Egypt	Al-Arb'in	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Egypt	Al-Azbakiyah	2000	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Azbakiyah	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Badari	2000	7.0%	8.6%	5.6%
Egypt	Al-Badari	2017	4.5%	5.6%	3.6%
Egypt	Al-Badrashayn	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.2%
Egypt	Al-Badrashayn	2017	97.9%	98.6%	96.9%
Egypt	Al-Bajur	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Egypt	Al-Bajur	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.8%
Egypt	Al-Baliyana	2000	18.3%	19.8%	16.7%
Egypt	Al-Baliyana	2017	14.6%	15.8%	13.4%
Egypt	Al-Basatin	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Basatin	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Egypt	Al-Burulus	2000	98.5%	99.9%	91.5%
Egypt	Al-Burulus	2017	98.1%	99.9%	90.1%
Egypt	Al-Fashn	2000	89.8%	90.8%	88.6%
Egypt	Al-Fashn	2017	85.5%	86.8%	83.8%
Egypt	Al-Fath	2000	41.6%	43.7%	39.9%
Egypt	Al-Fath	2017	34.0%	35.7%	32.5%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum	2000	94.3%	94.8%	93.7%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum	2017	91.1%	91.8%	90.1%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum City	2000	91.7%	93.4%	90.0%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum City	2017	87.4%	89.7%	84.9%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub	2000	88.9%	90.2%	86.1%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub	2017	90.1%	91.7%	87.0%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub 2	2000	55.0%	62.7%	49.5%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub 2	2017	39.3%	46.8%	34.5%
Egypt	Al-Ghanayim	2000	25.9%	30.4%	21.4%
Egypt	Al-Ghanayim	2017	17.9%	21.5%	14.5%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah	2000	66.1%	77.4%	52.6%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah	2017	59.0%	72.6%	44.4%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah 2	2000	45.6%	94.8%	0.2%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah 2	2017	44.0%	94.5%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-Hammam	2000	37.2%	66.5%	12.9%
Egypt	Al-Hammam	2017	37.1%	76.6%	6.5%
Egypt	Al-Hamul	2000	83.7%	93.1%	75.8%
Egypt	Al-Hamul	2017	81.0%	88.6%	74.8%
Egypt	Al-Hasanah	2000	44.6%	96.5%	0.2%
Egypt	Al-Hasanah	2017	43.6%	96.3%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Hawamidiyah	2000	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Hawamidiyah	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.6%
Egypt	Al-Husayniyah	2000	84.7%	88.6%	81.3%
Egypt	Al-Husayniyah	2017	82.1%	86.4%	78.3%
Egypt	Al-Ibrahimiya	2000	85.0%	86.6%	83.6%
Egypt	Al-Ibrahimiya	2017	81.0%	82.9%	79.3%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2000	96.2%	98.5%	90.1%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2017	95.4%	98.3%	87.2%
Egypt	Al-Janayin	2000	84.1%	91.1%	75.7%
Egypt	Al-Janayin	2017	82.7%	90.0%	69.7%
Egypt	Al-Jumruk	2000	86.7%	89.3%	84.6%
Egypt	Al-Jumruk	2017	85.2%	87.3%	83.7%
Egypt	Al-Kawtar	2000	76.0%	78.3%	74.3%
Egypt	Al-Kawtar	2017	66.0%	68.8%	63.9%
Egypt	Al-Khalifa	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Khalifa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Khankah	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Egypt	Al-Khankah	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Egypt	Al-Khusus	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Egypt	Al-Khusus	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Laban	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Egypt	Al-Laban	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Egypt	Al-Ma'adi	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Egypt	Al-Ma'adi	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 1	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 1	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 2	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 2	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
Egypt	Al-Mahmudiyah	2000	58.9%	61.5%	56.3%
Egypt	Al-Mahmudiyah	2017	50.8%	53.3%	48.1%
Egypt	Al-Manakh	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Manakh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Manasrah	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Manasrah	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.8%
Egypt	Al-Manshah	2000	23.0%	25.2%	21.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Manshah	2017	14.7%	16.3%	13.5%
Egypt	Al-Manshiyah	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Egypt	Al-Manshiyah	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.9%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.8%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 1	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 2	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 2	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Egypt	Al-Manzilah	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.3%
Egypt	Al-Manzilah	2017	98.7%	99.6%	96.3%
Egypt	Al-Maraghah	2000	12.0%	14.4%	9.7%
Egypt	Al-Maraghah	2017	8.4%	10.3%	6.8%
Egypt	Al-Marj	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Egypt	Al-Marj	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Egypt	Al-Minya	2000	60.0%	61.3%	58.6%
Egypt	Al-Minya	2017	52.3%	53.7%	51.0%
Egypt	Al-Minya City	2000	86.5%	87.7%	85.0%
Egypt	Al-Minya City	2017	79.8%	81.6%	77.8%
Egypt	Al-Muntazah	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Egypt	Al-Muntazah	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Egypt	Al-Muski	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Muski	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Qanatir al-Khayriyah	2000	97.1%	97.5%	96.7%
Egypt	Al-Qanatir al-Khayriyah	2017	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Egypt	Al-Qanayat	2000	87.4%	89.8%	84.1%
Egypt	Al-Qanayat	2017	82.0%	85.2%	77.8%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah	2000	95.5%	97.6%	93.3%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah	2017	94.5%	96.6%	92.3%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah ash-Sharqiyah	2000	97.4%	99.5%	93.5%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah ash-Sharqiyah	2017	96.7%	99.3%	91.9%
Egypt	Al-Qurayn	2000	93.5%	95.3%	91.0%
Egypt	Al-Qurayn	2017	89.6%	92.5%	86.0%
Egypt	Al-Qusayr	2000	30.3%	43.8%	19.0%
Egypt	Al-Qusayr	2017	27.3%	39.7%	15.1%
Egypt	Al-Qusiyah	2000	23.7%	25.4%	22.1%
Egypt	Al-Qusiyah	2017	20.2%	21.6%	18.8%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Bahariyah	2000	83.2%	100.0%	23.4%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Bahariyah	2017	83.5%	100.0%	12.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Kharjah	2000	69.8%	77.0%	62.8%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Kharjah	2017	62.8%	70.3%	55.2%
Egypt	Al-Waili	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Waili	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Waqf	2000	31.8%	35.3%	28.3%
Egypt	Al-Waqf	2017	27.1%	29.9%	23.6%
Egypt	Al-Warraaq	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Egypt	Al-Warraaq	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Egypt	Al-Wasta	2000	94.7%	95.4%	94.0%
Egypt	Al-Wasta	2017	91.2%	92.3%	90.0%
Egypt	An-Nuzhah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	An-Nuzhah	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Egypt	Ancient Cairo	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Ancient Cairo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Egypt	Ar-Rahmaniyah	2000	61.1%	63.8%	58.7%
Egypt	Ar-Rahmaniyah	2017	53.4%	56.0%	51.2%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 1	2000	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 1	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 2	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 2	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Egypt	Ar-Riyad	2000	97.6%	98.5%	96.1%
Egypt	Ar-Riyad	2017	96.9%	98.1%	94.6%
Egypt	Armant	2000	30.3%	33.2%	27.5%
Egypt	Armant	2017	18.2%	19.7%	16.5%
Egypt	As-Saff	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Egypt	As-Saff	2017	90.6%	92.3%	88.6%
Egypt	As-Sajil	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Egypt	As-Sajil	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Egypt	As-Salam	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Egypt	As-Salam	2017	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Egypt	As-Salum	2000	22.3%	46.5%	1.4%
Egypt	As-Salum	2017	23.0%	48.7%	0.8%
Egypt	As-Santah	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.1%
Egypt	As-Santah	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.3%
Egypt	As-Sayidah Zaynab	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Egypt	As-Sayidah Zaynab	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	As-Sinbillawayn	2000	87.0%	88.4%	85.5%
Egypt	As-Sinbillawayn	2017	80.9%	82.9%	78.9%
Egypt	Ash-Shalatin	2000	45.9%	75.2%	17.5%
Egypt	Ash-Shalatin	2017	46.1%	77.2%	13.5%
Egypt	Ash-Sharabiyah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Ash-Sharabiyah	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Egypt	Ash-Sharq	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Ash-Sharq	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Ash-Shruq	2000	99.6%	100.0%	95.4%
Egypt	Ash-Shruq	2017	99.4%	100.0%	93.7%
Egypt	Ash-Shuhada	2000	93.6%	94.5%	92.4%
Egypt	Ash-Shuhada	2017	90.3%	91.6%	88.7%
Egypt	Ashmun	2000	98.1%	98.4%	97.6%
Egypt	Ashmun	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Egypt	Aswan	2000	80.3%	81.0%	79.6%
Egypt	Aswan	2017	72.7%	73.7%	71.8%
Egypt	Aswan City	2000	11.3%	29.7%	3.2%
Egypt	Aswan City	2017	7.6%	20.9%	2.0%
Egypt	Asyut	2000	20.3%	21.9%	19.0%
Egypt	Asyut	2017	16.1%	17.3%	15.1%
Egypt	Asyut 1	2000	56.3%	60.8%	51.7%
Egypt	Asyut 1	2017	49.8%	53.4%	46.3%
Egypt	Asyut 2	2000	75.1%	76.7%	73.4%
Egypt	Asyut 2	2017	68.9%	70.8%	66.9%
Egypt	At-Tall al-Kabir	2000	92.7%	98.2%	81.9%
Egypt	At-Tall al-Kabir	2017	89.9%	97.3%	77.1%
Egypt	At-Tebin	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.0%
Egypt	At-Tebin	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.3%
Egypt	At-Tur	2000	74.3%	90.0%	58.7%
Egypt	At-Tur	2017	76.1%	89.6%	61.5%
Egypt	Atfih	2000	94.0%	95.5%	91.9%
Egypt	Atfih	2017	91.3%	93.3%	88.6%
Egypt	Awlad Saqr	2000	89.5%	92.7%	83.5%
Egypt	Awlad Saqr	2017	85.7%	89.8%	78.9%
Egypt	Az-Zahir	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Egypt	Az-Zahir	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Az-Zaytun	2000	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Egypt	Az-Zaytun	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Egypt	Az-Zohur	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Az-Zohur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Bab ash-Sha'riyah	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Egypt	Bab ash-Sha'riyah	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Bab Sharqi	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Egypt	Bab Sharqi	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Egypt	Badr	2000	71.2%	73.8%	68.5%
Egypt	Badr	2000	90.3%	100.0%	22.2%
Egypt	Badr	2017	65.9%	68.7%	62.2%
Egypt	Badr	2017	89.7%	100.0%	22.1%
Egypt	Banha	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.5%
Egypt	Banha	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Egypt	Bani Mazar	2000	54.7%	56.7%	52.7%
Egypt	Bani Mazar	2017	44.2%	46.3%	42.6%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf	2000	98.3%	98.5%	98.1%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf	2017	97.4%	97.8%	97.1%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf City	2000	88.9%	94.0%	81.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Bani Suwayf City	2017	90.7%	94.5%	85.1%
Egypt	Bani Ubayd	2000	98.1%	99.1%	96.2%
Egypt	Bani Ubayd	2017	97.0%	98.5%	94.2%
Egypt	Baris Shurtah	2000	46.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Baris Shurtah	2017	45.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Basyun	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.3%
Egypt	Basyun	2017	93.6%	94.5%	92.6%
Egypt	Biba	2000	88.2%	88.9%	87.3%
Egypt	Biba	2017	84.0%	85.0%	82.8%
Egypt	Bilbays	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.8%
Egypt	Bilbays	2017	92.4%	93.2%	91.5%
Egypt	Bilqas	2000	84.8%	92.1%	73.6%
Egypt	Bilqas	2017	79.4%	87.8%	68.3%
Egypt	Bir al-'Abd	2000	64.2%	84.0%	46.2%
Egypt	Bir al-'Abd	2017	55.4%	80.2%	33.2%
Egypt	Birkat as-Sab'	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Egypt	Birkat as-Sab'	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Egypt	Biyala	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.8%
Egypt	Biyala	2017	85.4%	87.7%	82.9%
Egypt	Bulaq	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Egypt	Bulaq	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Egypt	Bulaq al-Dakrur	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Egypt	Bulaq al-Dakrur	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Egypt	Burj al-'Arab	2000	43.5%	60.4%	30.0%
Egypt	Burj al-'Arab	2017	34.9%	51.6%	22.4%
Egypt	Dahab	2000	49.6%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Dahab	2017	47.9%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Damanhur	2000	78.3%	79.6%	77.1%
Egypt	Damanhur	2017	70.5%	72.1%	68.9%
Egypt	Damietta	2000	84.2%	84.7%	83.4%
Egypt	Damietta	2017	81.5%	82.4%	80.2%
Egypt	Damietta 1	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.5%
Egypt	Damietta 1	2017	88.6%	90.3%	87.0%
Egypt	Damietta 2	2000	92.9%	93.8%	91.9%
Egypt	Damietta 2	2017	89.0%	90.3%	87.3%
Egypt	Dar as-Salam	2000	16.9%	18.2%	15.5%
Egypt	Dar as-Salam	2017	12.8%	13.9%	11.8%
Egypt	Daraw	2000	45.9%	47.7%	44.2%
Egypt	Daraw	2017	34.6%	36.2%	32.7%
Egypt	Dayr Mawas	2000	33.4%	35.2%	31.6%
Egypt	Dayr Mawas	2017	26.9%	28.5%	25.2%
Egypt	Dayrut	2000	12.1%	13.5%	11.0%
Egypt	Dayrut	2017	9.5%	10.7%	8.5%
Egypt	Dikirnis	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.6%
Egypt	Dikirnis	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Egypt	Dishna	2000	35.0%	38.0%	31.9%
Egypt	Dishna	2017	26.1%	29.0%	23.1%
Egypt	Disuq	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Egypt	Disuq	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.3%
Egypt	Diyarb Najm	2000	89.3%	90.6%	87.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Diyarb Najm	2017	84.7%	86.5%	82.7%
Egypt	Fa'id	2000	98.3%	99.3%	94.9%
Egypt	Fa'id	2017	98.1%	99.2%	93.8%
Egypt	Faisal	2000	88.7%	99.0%	77.4%
Egypt	Faisal	2017	88.6%	99.0%	77.8%
Egypt	Faqus	2000	97.1%	98.1%	94.8%
Egypt	Faqus	2017	95.9%	97.2%	92.9%
Egypt	Faraskur	2000	74.4%	76.1%	72.7%
Egypt	Faraskur	2017	67.7%	69.4%	65.7%
Egypt	Farshut	2000	58.6%	60.3%	56.5%
Egypt	Farshut	2017	47.1%	48.9%	45.1%
Egypt	Fuwah	2000	93.2%	94.2%	92.4%
Egypt	Fuwah	2017	89.3%	90.7%	88.1%
Egypt	Gamsa	2000	72.2%	78.8%	63.1%
Egypt	Gamsa	2017	62.2%	70.2%	51.8%
Egypt	Giza	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Egypt	Giza	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Egypt	Hada'iq	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Egypt	Hada'iq al-Qubbah	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Egypt	Hawsh 'Isa	2000	81.2%	86.4%	78.4%
Egypt	Hawsh 'Isa	2017	76.1%	81.9%	73.6%
Egypt	Heliopolis	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Heliopolis	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Egypt	Helwan	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Helwan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Hihya	2000	77.6%	79.5%	75.5%
Egypt	Hihya	2017	71.2%	73.6%	68.4%
Egypt	Ibshaway	2000	94.0%	94.7%	93.1%
Egypt	Ibshaway	2017	90.7%	91.7%	89.4%
Egypt	Idfu	2000	39.8%	41.2%	38.3%
Egypt	Idfu	2017	29.4%	30.7%	28.1%
Egypt	Idku	2000	89.8%	91.6%	87.9%
Egypt	Idku	2017	86.9%	89.0%	84.3%
Egypt	Ihnasiya	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.8%
Egypt	Ihnasiya	2017	92.3%	93.3%	91.3%
Egypt	Imbahah	2000	93.2%	93.9%	92.1%
Egypt	Imbahah	2017	90.5%	91.4%	89.2%
Egypt	Ismailia	2000	96.5%	97.8%	93.9%
Egypt	Ismailia	2017	95.5%	97.1%	92.2%
Egypt	Ismailia 1	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Egypt	Ismailia 1	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Egypt	Ismailia 2	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Egypt	Ismailia 2	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Egypt	Ismailia 3	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Egypt	Ismailia 3	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Egypt	Isna	2000	16.7%	19.5%	14.2%
Egypt	Isna	2017	11.2%	13.0%	9.7%
Egypt	Itsa	2000	83.8%	85.1%	82.4%
Egypt	Itsa	2017	76.8%	78.6%	75.1%
Egypt	Ityay al-Barud	2000	82.8%	83.8%	81.6%
Egypt	Ityay al-Barud	2017	77.2%	78.6%	75.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Jirja	2000	40.3%	42.2%	38.7%
Egypt	Jirja	2017	29.9%	31.4%	28.4%
Egypt	Juhaynah al-Gharbiyah	2000	21.4%	24.6%	18.9%
Egypt	Juhaynah al-Gharbiyah	2017	14.3%	16.4%	12.8%
Egypt	Kafr ad-Dawwar	2000	77.6%	79.0%	76.0%
Egypt	Kafr ad-Dawwar	2017	70.6%	72.1%	68.8%
Egypt	Kafr ash-Shaykh	2000	98.7%	99.3%	97.1%
Egypt	Kafr ash-Shaykh	2017	98.0%	98.9%	96.0%
Egypt	Kafr az-Zayyat	2000	94.6%	95.2%	93.9%
Egypt	Kafr az-Zayyat	2017	92.0%	92.8%	91.0%
Egypt	Kafr Sa'd	2000	85.6%	86.6%	84.5%
Egypt	Kafr Sa'd	2017	79.9%	81.2%	78.4%
Egypt	Kafr Saqr	2000	87.1%	90.9%	77.2%
Egypt	Kafr Saqr	2017	82.0%	87.7%	68.6%
Egypt	Kafr Shukr	2000	80.5%	82.3%	78.3%
Egypt	Kafr Shukr	2017	72.0%	74.3%	69.5%
Egypt	Karmuz	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Egypt	Karmuz	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Egypt	Kawm Hamadah	2000	82.6%	84.2%	81.4%
Egypt	Kawm Hamadah	2017	77.2%	78.7%	75.8%
Egypt	Kawm Umbu	2000	45.6%	46.9%	44.1%
Egypt	Kawm Umbu	2017	34.5%	35.8%	33.3%
Egypt	Kirdasah	2000	99.1%	99.2%	98.9%
Egypt	Kirdasah	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.3%
Egypt	Luxor	2000	41.2%	42.4%	40.2%
Egypt	Luxor	2017	38.8%	40.1%	37.8%
Egypt	Maghaghah	2000	57.9%	60.3%	55.0%
Egypt	Maghaghah	2017	48.3%	51.0%	45.2%
Egypt	Mahalat Dimnah	2000	98.7%	99.3%	97.8%
Egypt	Mahalat Dimnah	2017	98.1%	98.9%	96.8%
Egypt	Mallawi	2000	60.5%	62.0%	58.8%
Egypt	Mallawi	2017	49.6%	51.2%	47.8%
Egypt	Mallawi City	2000	65.2%	67.9%	62.1%
Egypt	Mallawi City	2017	54.2%	57.2%	50.7%
Egypt	Manfalut	2000	24.9%	28.8%	21.6%
Egypt	Manfalut	2017	17.7%	20.7%	15.1%
Egypt	Marina al-'Alamayn as-Siyahiyah	2000	47.3%	95.8%	2.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Marina al-'Alamayn as-Siyahiyah	2017	40.0%	93.9%	1.4%
Egypt	Marsa 'Alam	2000	46.8%	86.0%	8.6%
Egypt	Marsa 'Alam	2017	46.1%	86.6%	7.6%
Egypt	Marsa Matruh	2000	79.5%	83.8%	74.3%
Egypt	Marsa Matruh	2017	74.7%	80.6%	68.1%
Egypt	Mashtul as-Suq	2000	83.0%	85.0%	80.8%
Egypt	Mashtul as-Suq	2017	76.0%	78.5%	73.2%
Egypt	Matay	2000	38.9%	42.8%	36.6%
Egypt	Matay	2017	31.5%	34.6%	29.5%
Egypt	Minuf	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Egypt	Minuf	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Egypt	Minuf City	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.6%
Egypt	Minuf City	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Egypt	Minya al-Qamh	2000	86.3%	87.6%	84.9%
Egypt	Minya al-Qamh	2017	79.9%	81.7%	78.1%
Egypt	Minyat an-Nasr	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.6%
Egypt	Minyat an-Nasr	2017	93.9%	95.4%	92.3%
Egypt	Mit Ghamr	2000	94.3%	94.9%	93.7%
Egypt	Mit Ghamr	2017	91.8%	92.6%	91.0%
Egypt	Mit Salsil	2000	71.3%	74.9%	63.8%
Egypt	Mit Salsil	2017	69.3%	74.3%	58.4%
Egypt	Monshat Nasr	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Monshat Nasr	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Mubarak - Sharq at-Tafri'tah	2000	70.5%	71.7%	68.8%
Egypt	Mubarak - Sharq at-Tafri'tah	2017	76.5%	77.8%	74.6%
Egypt	Muharam Bik	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Egypt	Muharam Bik	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Egypt	Mutubis	2000	99.5%	99.8%	97.8%
Egypt	Mutubis	2017	99.3%	99.8%	96.7%
Egypt	Nabaruh	2000	97.7%	98.2%	96.9%
Egypt	Nabaruh	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Egypt	Naj' Ham-madi	2000	46.8%	48.5%	45.2%
Egypt	Naj' Ham-madi	2017	35.3%	37.0%	33.9%
Egypt	Nakhl	2000	44.3%	89.6%	5.8%
Egypt	Nakhl	2017	42.6%	86.9%	6.2%
Egypt	Naqadah	2000	28.3%	31.1%	26.3%
Egypt	Naqadah	2017	18.9%	21.5%	17.1%
Egypt	Nasir Bush	2000	96.5%	97.1%	95.9%
Egypt	Nasir Bush	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Nasr	2000	25.9%	27.8%	24.0%
Egypt	Nasr	2017	18.5%	19.9%	17.0%
Egypt	Nasr City 1	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Nasr City 1	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Nasr City 2	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Nasr City 2	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	New Akhmim City	2000	0.8%	5.4%	0.0%
Egypt	New Akhmim City	2017	0.5%	3.4%	0.0%
Egypt	New Asyut City	2000	1.6%	4.7%	0.7%
Egypt	New Asyut City	2017	1.1%	3.0%	0.5%
Egypt	New Burj al-'Arab City	2000	17.9%	41.3%	9.6%
Egypt	New Burj al-'Arab City	2017	13.2%	37.2%	6.6%
Egypt	New Cairo 1	2000	97.8%	99.8%	90.3%
Egypt	New Cairo 1	2017	96.3%	99.8%	84.3%
Egypt	New Cairo 2	2000	97.5%	100.0%	83.5%
Egypt	New Cairo 2	2017	95.9%	100.0%	74.4%
Egypt	New Cairo 3	2000	97.3%	100.0%	84.0%
Egypt	New Cairo 3	2017	95.0%	100.0%	75.8%
Egypt	New Damietta City	2000	91.4%	92.4%	90.4%
Egypt	New Damietta City	2017	88.5%	89.8%	87.2%
Egypt	New Minya City	2000	80.8%	83.0%	78.2%
Egypt	New Minya City	2017	72.2%	75.0%	69.3%
Egypt	New Salhiyah	2000	96.3%	97.9%	93.1%
Egypt	New Salhiyah	2017	95.1%	96.8%	92.3%
Egypt	New Sawhaj City	2000	11.2%	16.8%	6.8%
Egypt	New Sawhaj City	2017	6.3%	9.7%	3.8%
Egypt	New Tushka City	2000	43.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	New Tushka City	2017	42.2%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Nuweiba'	2000	46.9%	99.6%	0.7%
Egypt	Nuweiba'	2017	46.1%	99.5%	0.6%
Egypt	Port al-Basal	2000	96.7%	97.2%	96.1%
Egypt	Port al-Basal	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Egypt	Port Alexandria Police Department	2000	82.4%	85.9%	79.8%
Egypt	Port Alexandria Police Department	2017	80.7%	83.4%	78.6%
Egypt	Port Fuad	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Port Fuad	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.8%
Egypt	Port Fuad 2	2000	88.7%	88.9%	88.3%
Egypt	Port Fuad 2	2017	92.5%	92.8%	91.9%
Egypt	Port of Damietta Police Department	2000	90.1%	92.0%	86.8%
Egypt	Port of Damietta Police Department	2017	88.7%	91.2%	83.7%
Egypt	Port Sa'id Police Department	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Port Sa'id Police Department	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Port Suez Police Department	2000	99.5%	100.0%	97.2%
Egypt	Port Suez Police Department	2017	99.1%	100.0%	93.9%
Egypt	Qaha	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.8%
Egypt	Qaha	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.0%
Egypt	Qallin	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.1%
Egypt	Qallin	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.8%
Egypt	Qalyub	2000	97.9%	98.2%	97.6%
Egypt	Qalyub	2017	96.6%	97.1%	96.1%
Egypt	Qasr an-Nil	2000	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Egypt	Qasr an-Nil	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Egypt	Qift	2000	23.2%	26.3%	20.5%
Egypt	Qift	2017	15.9%	18.3%	13.8%
Egypt	Qina	2000	72.5%	73.9%	70.8%
Egypt	Qina	2017	63.4%	65.2%	61.5%
Egypt	Qina City	2000	96.1%	96.9%	94.9%
Egypt	Qina City	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.2%
Egypt	Qus	2000	29.8%	31.8%	27.9%
Egypt	Qus	2017	21.9%	23.6%	20.4%
Egypt	Qutur	2000	98.0%	98.9%	96.4%
Egypt	Qutur	2017	97.1%	98.3%	94.9%
Egypt	Quwaysina	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Egypt	Quwaysina	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.9%
Egypt	Rafah	2000	58.6%	72.8%	38.0%
Egypt	Rafah	2017	55.7%	70.0%	32.1%
Egypt	Ras Gharib	2000	71.2%	87.0%	53.0%
Egypt	Ras Gharib	2017	71.4%	85.4%	52.4%
Egypt	Ras Sidr	2000	77.6%	97.2%	47.6%
Egypt	Ras Sidr	2017	78.5%	97.1%	50.2%
Egypt	Rosetta	2000	81.7%	88.3%	73.2%
Egypt	Rosetta	2017	79.3%	86.5%	70.1%
Egypt	Rud al-Faraj	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Egypt	Rud al-Faraj	2017	99.6%	99.6%	99.4%
Egypt	Sadat City	2000	93.1%	98.8%	81.4%
Egypt	Sadat City	2017	91.7%	98.3%	78.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Safaja	2000	81.5%	91.5%	71.0%
Egypt	Safaja	2017	80.2%	87.7%	70.5%
Egypt	Sahil Salim	2000	16.2%	18.5%	14.1%
Egypt	Sahil Salim	2017	11.9%	13.5%	10.4%
Egypt	Samalut	2000	9.3%	11.1%	8.1%
Egypt	Samalut	2017	5.7%	7.0%	4.9%
Egypt	Samannud	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Egypt	Samannud	2017	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%
Egypt	Sant Katrin	2000	48.4%	95.9%	9.0%
Egypt	Sant Katrin	2017	44.9%	95.9%	4.9%
Egypt	Saqultah	2000	6.2%	8.0%	4.8%
Egypt	Saqultah	2017	4.1%	5.5%	3.2%
Egypt	Sawhaj	2000	46.4%	47.9%	45.0%
Egypt	Sawhaj	2017	39.1%	40.4%	37.8%
Egypt	Sawhaj 2	2000	73.4%	75.4%	71.4%
Egypt	Sawhaj 2	2017	64.2%	66.4%	62.0%
Egypt	Sharm el-Sheikh	2000	25.7%	68.8%	11.5%
Egypt	Sharm el-Sheikh	2017	34.0%	66.0%	15.5%
Egypt	Sheikh Zawid	2000	39.3%	78.2%	7.4%
Egypt	Sheikh Zawid	2017	36.0%	75.2%	5.4%
Egypt	Sheikh Zayed	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.3%
Egypt	Sheikh Zayed	2017	98.9%	99.8%	94.9%
Egypt	Shibin al-Kawm	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Egypt	Shibin al-Kawm	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Egypt	Shibin al-Qanatir	2000	95.6%	96.1%	95.1%
Egypt	Shibin al-Qanatir	2017	93.2%	93.9%	92.4%
Egypt	Shirbin	2000	86.3%	87.8%	84.5%
Egypt	Shirbin	2017	81.1%	82.9%	78.9%
Egypt	Shubra	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Shubra	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 1	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.2%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 1	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 2	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 2	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Egypt	Shubra Khit	2000	83.3%	85.1%	81.3%
Egypt	Shubra Khit	2017	77.5%	79.8%	75.1%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Dakhlah	2000	83.9%	90.7%	75.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Shurtah al-Dakhlah	2017	82.3%	89.4%	74.2%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Farafirah	2000	60.2%	83.0%	38.6%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Farafirah	2017	51.2%	77.4%	29.8%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Qasimah	2000	28.1%	80.1%	0.1%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Qasimah	2017	27.8%	83.5%	0.0%
Egypt	Shurtah Rumanah	2000	89.9%	100.0%	40.1%
Egypt	Shurtah Rumanah	2017	88.2%	100.0%	26.8%
Egypt	Sidfa	2000	16.2%	19.4%	13.3%
Egypt	Sidfa	2017	11.2%	13.5%	9.0%
Egypt	Sidi Barrani	2000	18.0%	28.6%	10.8%
Egypt	Sidi Barrani	2017	14.4%	28.6%	6.1%
Egypt	Sidi Jabir	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.6%
Egypt	Sidi Jabir	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Egypt	Sidi Salim	2000	90.6%	92.5%	87.9%
Egypt	Sidi Salim	2017	87.3%	89.8%	84.0%
Egypt	Sinnuris	2000	88.7%	89.9%	87.7%
Egypt	Sinnuris	2017	84.3%	85.8%	82.9%
Egypt	Sirs al-Layyanah	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Egypt	Sirs al-Layyanah	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.7%
Egypt	Siwa	2000	59.3%	69.6%	43.9%
Egypt	Siwa	2017	58.6%	68.7%	42.2%
Egypt	Sixth of October 1 City	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Sixth of October 1 City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Sixth of October 2 City	2000	99.2%	100.0%	95.6%
Egypt	Sixth of October 2 City	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.1%
Egypt	Suez	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Egypt	Suez	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.6%
Egypt	Sumusta al-Waqf	2000	82.7%	84.2%	81.2%
Egypt	Sumusta al-Waqf	2017	75.4%	77.3%	73.5%
Egypt	Taba	2000	49.9%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Taba	2017	47.8%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Tahta	2000	23.0%	25.1%	20.7%
Egypt	Tahta	2017	16.0%	17.7%	14.2%
Egypt	Tahta City	2000	28.4%	31.3%	24.9%
Egypt	Tahta City	2017	19.7%	21.9%	16.9%
Egypt	Tala	2000	93.2%	94.1%	92.3%
Egypt	Tala	2017	89.9%	91.2%	88.6%
Egypt	Talkha	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Talkha	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.2%
Egypt	Tamiyah	2000	87.0%	87.9%	86.0%
Egypt	Tamiyah	2017	80.8%	82.0%	79.4%
Egypt	Tanta	2000	96.7%	97.1%	96.2%
Egypt	Tanta	2017	94.7%	95.4%	94.0%
Egypt	Tanta 1	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Egypt	Tanta 1	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Egypt	Tanta 2	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Egypt	Tanta 2	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Egypt	Tibah Police Dept.	2000	29.5%	31.5%	27.2%
Egypt	Tibah Police Dept.	2017	25.2%	27.1%	23.2%
Egypt	Tima	2000	18.2%	20.4%	16.2%
Egypt	Tima	2017	12.4%	14.0%	10.9%
Egypt	Timay al-Imdid	2000	96.8%	99.4%	82.7%
Egypt	Timay al-Imdid	2017	95.4%	99.1%	76.8%
Egypt	Tukh	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Egypt	Tukh	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Egypt	Turah	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.3%
Egypt	Turah	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.3%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Buhayrah	2000	82.5%	85.5%	80.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Buhayrah	2017	83.6%	86.5%	81.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Fayoum	2000	81.5%	84.1%	78.4%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Fayoum	2017	75.0%	78.1%	71.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Iskandariyah	2000	15.9%	81.4%	0.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Iskandariyah	2017	14.6%	78.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Jizah	2000	95.2%	97.2%	91.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Jizah	2017	94.4%	96.2%	90.6%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Minya	2000	38.7%	41.4%	36.4%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Minya	2017	31.2%	33.6%	29.3%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qahirah	2000	97.7%	99.9%	89.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qahirah	2017	97.0%	99.8%	86.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qalyubiyah	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.3%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qalyubiyah	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.1%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Uqsur	2000	18.4%	20.4%	16.8%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Uqsur	2017	11.5%	12.9%	10.4%
Egypt	Unorganized in Ash Sharqiyah	2000	95.1%	96.7%	83.6%
Egypt	Unorganized in Ash Sharqiyah	2017	95.0%	97.0%	79.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Aswan	2000	33.8%	36.6%	31.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Aswan	2017	27.5%	30.0%	25.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Asyut	2000	18.7%	20.5%	16.4%
Egypt	Unorganized in Asyut	2017	15.7%	17.8%	13.4%
Egypt	Unorganized in Bani Suwayf	2000	87.1%	88.5%	85.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Bani Suwayf	2017	82.5%	84.4%	80.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Qina	2000	48.8%	50.0%	47.8%
Egypt	Unorganized in Qina	2017	32.0%	32.9%	31.1%
Egypt	Unorganized in Suhaj	2000	24.3%	26.5%	22.6%
Egypt	Unorganized in Suhaj	2017	19.4%	21.0%	18.0%
Egypt	Wadi Al-Natron	2000	93.3%	95.0%	93.1%
Egypt	Wadi Al-Natron	2017	95.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Egypt	West Nubariyah	2000	7.1%	55.5%	0.0%
Egypt	West Nubariyah	2017	6.1%	52.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Yusuf as-Sidiq	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.1%
Egypt	Yusuf as-Sidiq	2017	89.9%	92.2%	86.6%
Egypt	Zamalik	2000	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Egypt	Zamalik	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Egypt	Zaqaziq	2000	90.3%	91.4%	89.2%
Egypt	Zaqaziq	2017	85.8%	87.2%	84.5%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 1	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Zaqaziq 1	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 2	2000	97.7%	98.5%	97.0%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 2	2017	97.0%	98.0%	96.0%
Egypt	Zarqa	2000	85.5%	86.7%	84.2%
Egypt	Zarqa	2017	82.5%	83.9%	81.0%
Egypt	Zawiyya	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Hamra				
Egypt	Zawiyya	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Egypt	Al-Hamra				
Egypt	Zifta	2000	96.2%	96.8%	95.7%
Egypt	Zifta	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Iraq	Abu al Khasib	2000	54.6%	70.4%	36.1%
Iraq	Abu al Khasib	2017	60.6%	75.8%	42.1%
Iraq	Abu Ghraib	2000	65.1%	79.9%	47.6%
Iraq	Abu Ghraib	2017	71.0%	83.9%	54.6%
Iraq	Ad Diwaniyah	2000	62.8%	75.0%	48.8%
Iraq	Ad Diwaniyah	2017	67.1%	78.4%	55.0%
Iraq	Adhamiya	2000	65.3%	80.0%	50.3%
Iraq	Adhamiya	2017	71.0%	85.0%	56.5%
Iraq	Afak	2000	60.6%	72.5%	48.4%
Iraq	Afak	2017	66.1%	75.9%	55.5%
Iraq	Ain Al Tamur	2000	55.7%	71.0%	38.4%
Iraq	Ain Al Tamur	2017	61.9%	76.1%	47.2%
Iraq	Akre	2000	56.2%	73.5%	39.1%
Iraq	Akre	2017	61.7%	76.9%	45.7%
Iraq	Al Amarah	2000	54.6%	62.4%	46.9%
Iraq	Al Amarah	2017	60.8%	68.0%	53.1%
Iraq	Al Ba'aj	2000	57.3%	64.3%	49.2%
Iraq	Al Ba'aj	2017	63.1%	69.7%	55.6%
Iraq	Al Door	2000	57.5%	70.6%	41.8%
Iraq	Al Door	2017	63.2%	73.9%	50.5%
Iraq	Al Fallujah	2000	54.6%	62.9%	45.9%
Iraq	Al Fallujah	2017	61.9%	69.4%	54.2%
Iraq	Al Faw	2000	58.0%	77.5%	38.8%
Iraq	Al Faw	2017	63.6%	80.6%	43.5%
Iraq	Al Haditha	2000	63.7%	73.1%	53.0%
Iraq	Al Haditha	2017	69.1%	76.7%	60.4%
Iraq	Al Ham-daniyah	2000	55.8%	69.3%	42.6%
Iraq	Al Ham-daniyah	2017	62.2%	73.0%	50.6%
Iraq	Al Hamza	2000	56.2%	68.1%	43.9%
Iraq	Al Hamza	2017	62.2%	72.4%	51.8%
Iraq	Al Hashimiyah	2000	58.1%	70.7%	43.8%
Iraq	Al Hashimiyah	2017	63.7%	75.2%	50.7%
Iraq	Al Hayy	2000	55.0%	68.5%	40.4%
Iraq	Al Hayy	2017	61.0%	72.5%	47.7%
Iraq	Al Hillah	2000	58.9%	75.0%	41.1%
Iraq	Al Hillah	2017	64.7%	80.4%	48.2%
Iraq	Al Jadwal al Gharbi	2000	58.2%	75.6%	39.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Al Jadwal al Gharbi	2017	64.1%	79.9%	45.6%
Iraq	Al Kahla	2000	53.7%	72.2%	33.9%
Iraq	Al Kahla	2017	60.4%	77.3%	42.9%
Iraq	Al Khalis	2000	56.2%	68.8%	43.0%
Iraq	Al Khalis	2017	62.7%	73.5%	50.7%
Iraq	Al Khithir	2000	56.1%	63.4%	46.3%
Iraq	Al Khithir	2017	61.3%	68.9%	52.1%
Iraq	Al Kufa	2000	59.0%	79.2%	37.0%
Iraq	Al Kufa	2017	64.7%	82.4%	43.5%
Iraq	Al Kut	2000	55.5%	64.6%	46.1%
Iraq	Al Kut	2017	61.5%	69.7%	52.0%
Iraq	Al Madiana	2000	61.7%	76.8%	48.2%
Iraq	Al Madiana	2017	67.1%	81.2%	54.1%
Iraq	Al Mahawil	2000	53.2%	67.6%	38.6%
Iraq	Al Mahawil	2017	59.2%	72.8%	44.8%
Iraq	Al Manathera	2000	59.7%	74.9%	43.4%
Iraq	Al Manathera	2017	65.9%	80.2%	50.3%
Iraq	Al Miamona	2000	55.4%	70.7%	40.0%
Iraq	Al Miamona	2017	61.6%	74.5%	48.7%
Iraq	Al Mijar al Kabir	2000	52.3%	70.4%	33.7%
Iraq	Al Mijar al Kabir	2017	58.9%	75.0%	41.6%
Iraq	Al Miqdadiyah	2000	54.4%	68.4%	39.9%
Iraq	Al Miqdadiyah	2017	60.2%	73.0%	46.6%
Iraq	Al Misiab	2000	55.3%	77.2%	34.7%
Iraq	Al Misiab	2017	60.9%	80.9%	39.9%
Iraq	Al Noamania	2000	56.2%	69.8%	40.7%
Iraq	Al Noamania	2017	61.9%	74.7%	47.7%
Iraq	Al Qa'im	2000	65.5%	71.7%	58.1%
Iraq	Al Qa'im	2017	69.7%	75.7%	62.3%
Iraq	Al Qurnah	2000	61.7%	73.2%	50.2%
Iraq	Al Qurnah	2017	67.1%	77.9%	56.5%
Iraq	Al Shikhan	2000	67.1%	80.1%	50.3%
Iraq	Al Shikhan	2017	72.0%	84.3%	56.0%
Iraq	Al Shirkat	2000	54.5%	70.2%	37.3%
Iraq	Al Shirkat	2017	61.1%	74.3%	45.0%
Iraq	Al Zubair	2000	57.5%	65.8%	48.7%
Iraq	Al Zubair	2017	63.2%	71.7%	54.3%
Iraq	Al-Faris	2000	54.4%	73.3%	35.8%
Iraq	Al-Faris	2017	60.9%	77.2%	42.5%
Iraq	Al-Mada'in	2000	53.6%	75.0%	33.6%
Iraq	Al-Mada'in	2017	59.7%	79.5%	41.0%
Iraq	Ali al Gharbi	2000	57.6%	67.9%	46.8%
Iraq	Ali al Gharbi	2017	63.3%	73.5%	52.1%
Iraq	Amedi	2000	60.7%	71.1%	50.2%
Iraq	Amedi	2017	67.3%	76.0%	57.3%
Iraq	An Nasiriyah	2000	49.5%	61.5%	37.2%
Iraq	An Nasiriyah	2017	57.5%	66.1%	47.0%
Iraq	Anah	2000	57.4%	65.6%	49.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Anah	2017	63.2%	71.2%	54.8%
Iraq	Ar Ramadi	2000	68.6%	76.4%	60.2%
Iraq	Ar Ramadi	2017	72.7%	79.3%	65.4%
Iraq	Ar Rutbah	2000	52.7%	57.9%	47.5%
Iraq	Ar Rutbah	2017	59.4%	63.8%	55.2%
Iraq	Arbil	2000	48.5%	59.2%	38.8%
Iraq	Arbil	2017	55.1%	65.2%	46.0%
Iraq	As Salman	2000	53.1%	62.3%	44.9%
Iraq	As Salman	2017	58.4%	68.0%	50.3%
Iraq	As Samawah	2000	36.4%	46.0%	26.2%
Iraq	As Samawah	2017	44.7%	54.7%	32.7%
Iraq	As Suwayrah	2000	53.8%	62.6%	45.2%
Iraq	As Suwayrah	2017	59.9%	68.3%	51.9%
Iraq	Ba'qubah	2000	59.8%	73.5%	45.2%
Iraq	Ba'qubah	2017	65.6%	78.0%	52.1%
Iraq	Badrah	2000	58.2%	66.9%	47.2%
Iraq	Badrah	2017	63.9%	72.2%	54.4%
Iraq	Balad	2000	56.6%	74.6%	37.4%
Iraq	Balad	2017	63.4%	77.9%	45.0%
Iraq	Balad Ruz	2000	56.7%	72.3%	39.7%
Iraq	Balad Ruz	2017	62.7%	75.2%	48.1%
Iraq	Basrah	2000	70.5%	81.5%	57.0%
Iraq	Basrah	2017	74.5%	85.1%	61.5%
Iraq	Bayji	2000	54.5%	66.1%	44.2%
Iraq	Bayji	2017	60.1%	70.8%	51.0%
Iraq	Chamchamal	2000	55.0%	64.8%	45.0%
Iraq	Chamchamal	2017	59.6%	69.0%	50.5%
Iraq	Chibayish	2000	57.1%	69.9%	42.8%
Iraq	Chibayish	2017	62.4%	75.0%	49.9%
Iraq	Choman	2000	58.2%	71.4%	45.9%
Iraq	Choman	2017	64.1%	76.6%	51.6%
Iraq	Dahuk	2000	65.6%	76.9%	51.5%
Iraq	Dahuk	2017	68.2%	80.2%	54.7%
Iraq	Daquq	2000	54.6%	67.4%	42.3%
Iraq	Daquq	2017	60.2%	71.3%	47.7%
Iraq	Darbandokeh	2000	57.6%	73.2%	41.8%
Iraq	Darbandokeh	2017	62.1%	76.5%	45.6%
Iraq	Dibis	2000	58.7%	74.6%	38.5%
Iraq	Dibis	2017	64.5%	79.5%	44.2%
Iraq	Dukan	2000	49.2%	64.4%	32.1%
Iraq	Dukan	2017	55.1%	69.5%	38.7%
Iraq	Halabja	2000	55.9%	68.6%	42.3%
Iraq	Halabja	2017	61.7%	74.1%	48.2%
Iraq	Hatra	2000	55.9%	65.0%	45.8%
Iraq	Hatra	2017	61.7%	70.0%	52.5%
Iraq	Haweeja	2000	53.7%	65.3%	40.5%
Iraq	Haweeja	2017	58.9%	69.1%	47.2%
Iraq	Hit	2000	61.3%	68.9%	54.5%
Iraq	Hit	2017	65.8%	72.2%	59.1%
Iraq	Kadhimiya	2000	67.0%	84.7%	47.2%
Iraq	Kadhimiya	2017	71.8%	87.9%	53.3%
Iraq	Kalar	2000	55.0%	70.6%	40.0%
Iraq	Kalar	2017	61.4%	74.1%	48.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Karbala	2000	59.8%	78.4%	40.2%
Iraq	Karbala	2017	64.9%	80.7%	46.4%
Iraq	Khanaqin	2000	54.3%	65.1%	43.8%
Iraq	Khanaqin	2017	60.3%	69.6%	50.8%
Iraq	Kifri	2000	56.3%	67.9%	44.1%
Iraq	Kifri	2017	62.2%	72.4%	51.3%
Iraq	Kirkuk	2000	52.1%	63.0%	42.5%
Iraq	Kirkuk	2017	58.1%	68.3%	48.9%
Iraq	Koisanjaq	2000	53.3%	67.1%	35.8%
Iraq	Koisanjaq	2017	59.0%	72.1%	43.4%
Iraq	Mahmudiya	2000	55.8%	69.6%	41.3%
Iraq	Mahmudiya	2017	61.7%	74.0%	48.1%
Iraq	Makhmur	2000	48.4%	59.7%	37.4%
Iraq	Makhmur	2017	54.6%	66.2%	45.4%
Iraq	Mergasur	2000	52.1%	66.6%	38.3%
Iraq	Mergasur	2017	57.7%	70.9%	44.6%
Iraq	Mosul	2000	58.1%	68.2%	47.7%
Iraq	Mosul	2017	65.2%	72.9%	56.7%
Iraq	Najaf	2000	52.4%	63.3%	40.5%
Iraq	Najaf	2017	59.3%	68.2%	48.8%
Iraq	Penjwin	2000	58.7%	71.7%	43.5%
Iraq	Penjwin	2017	65.8%	78.6%	49.2%
Iraq	Pshdar	2000	53.2%	70.1%	37.2%
Iraq	Pshdar	2017	58.8%	74.8%	41.7%
Iraq	Qal'at Salih	2000	57.7%	74.5%	38.8%
Iraq	Qal'at Salih	2017	63.0%	79.2%	44.7%
Iraq	Rania	2000	54.3%	70.2%	37.8%
Iraq	Rania	2017	60.5%	75.4%	43.3%
Iraq	Refai	2000	57.6%	71.4%	45.4%
Iraq	Refai	2017	63.0%	74.0%	52.6%
Iraq	Rumaitha	2000	37.1%	45.2%	28.5%
Iraq	Rumaitha	2017	44.6%	52.5%	35.7%
Iraq	Samarra	2000	56.1%	69.1%	43.6%
Iraq	Samarra	2017	62.7%	74.4%	52.1%
Iraq	Shamiya	2000	47.7%	62.1%	34.0%
Iraq	Shamiya	2017	53.4%	67.0%	40.7%
Iraq	Shaqlawā	2000	56.6%	68.4%	45.0%
Iraq	Shaqlawā	2017	62.6%	73.4%	51.1%
Iraq	Sharbazher	2000	52.3%	69.9%	37.3%
Iraq	Sharbazher	2017	58.2%	73.5%	42.9%
Iraq	Shatrah	2000	48.3%	60.3%	35.6%
Iraq	Shatrah	2017	56.6%	67.6%	43.9%
Iraq	Shatt Al Arab	2000	54.3%	67.2%	38.1%
Iraq	Shatt Al Arab	2017	60.0%	72.5%	44.7%
Iraq	Shekhan	2000	58.1%	75.0%	39.7%
Iraq	Shekhan	2017	64.1%	81.0%	44.8%
Iraq	Simele	2000	66.4%	78.9%	51.7%
Iraq	Simele	2017	71.5%	83.3%	57.0%
Iraq	Sinjar	2000	57.7%	66.7%	47.6%
Iraq	Sinjar	2017	63.2%	71.3%	53.8%
Iraq	Soran	2000	48.2%	57.8%	38.7%
Iraq	Soran	2017	54.4%	64.1%	44.1%
Iraq	Sulaymaniya	2000	55.4%	67.0%	46.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Sulaymaniya	2017	60.3%	70.8%	50.3%
Iraq	Suq ash	2000	58.1%	72.3%	40.8%
Iraq	Shuyukh				
Iraq	Suq ash	2017	63.0%	75.4%	48.0%
Iraq	Shuyukh				
Iraq	Talafar	2000	61.8%	70.7%	53.5%
Iraq	Talafar	2017	67.1%	75.3%	59.1%
Iraq	Tikrit	2000	56.7%	69.3%	44.5%
Iraq	Tikrit	2017	62.4%	73.3%	50.6%
Iraq	Tilkef	2000	56.2%	71.8%	39.0%
Iraq	Tilkef	2017	62.2%	76.0%	46.0%
Iraq	Touz Hour-mato	2000	57.4%	68.2%	45.4%
Iraq	Touz Hour-mato	2017	63.4%	73.2%	52.3%
Iraq	Zakho	2000	71.3%	81.4%	57.4%
Iraq	Zakho	2017	74.5%	84.0%	62.9%
Jordan	Aghwar	2000	3.3%	3.7%	3.1%
Jordan	Shamaliyyeh				
Jordan	Aghwar	2017	13.8%	17.1%	11.4%
Jordan	Shamaliyyeh				
Jordan	Ajloun	2000	6.9%	7.2%	6.7%
Jordan	Ajloun	2017	36.9%	37.5%	36.5%
Jordan	Al-Balqa	2000	4.1%	4.4%	3.7%
Jordan	Al-Balqa	2017	37.1%	39.4%	35.0%
Jordan	Amman	2000	44.1%	45.4%	42.7%
Jordan	Amman	2017	78.9%	79.6%	78.1%
Jordan	Aqaba	2000	20.0%	28.5%	15.6%
Jordan	Aqaba	2017	56.5%	67.5%	47.4%
Jordan	Ar-Ruwayshid	2000	4.7%	8.0%	2.0%
Jordan	Ar-Ruwayshid	2017	12.2%	17.7%	7.5%
Jordan	Ardhah	2000	30.9%	33.1%	28.7%
Jordan	Ardhah	2017	69.7%	71.5%	68.0%
Jordan	Ayy	2000	1.3%	3.3%	0.5%
Jordan	Ayy	2017	11.7%	18.5%	8.8%
Jordan	Azraq	2000	5.7%	18.9%	1.0%
Jordan	Azraq	2017	17.4%	30.9%	7.2%
Jordan	Bal'ama	2000	2.2%	3.1%	1.6%
Jordan	Bal'ama	2017	17.0%	18.2%	15.6%
Jordan	Bani Kenanah	2000	34.4%	36.8%	31.2%
Jordan	Bani Kenanah	2017	67.4%	69.1%	65.7%
Jordan	Bierain	2000	20.9%	22.0%	19.8%
Jordan	Bierain	2017	73.2%	74.6%	71.9%
Jordan	Bsaira	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.7%
Jordan	Bsaira	2017	21.4%	22.8%	20.0%
Jordan	Dair Alla	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.7%
Jordan	Dair Alla	2017	15.2%	17.8%	12.8%
Jordan	Dhiban	2000	0.9%	2.6%	0.5%
Jordan	Dhiban	2017	10.5%	14.1%	8.4%
Jordan	Faqoo'	2000	4.7%	5.5%	3.9%
Jordan	Faqoo'	2017	38.7%	41.3%	36.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Jordan	Ghour El-Mazra'ah	2000	2.2%	3.0%	1.8%
Jordan	Ghour El-Mazra'ah	2017	18.5%	21.0%	16.7%
Jordan	Ghour Essafi	2000	0.5%	1.5%	0.2%
Jordan	Ghour Essafi	2017	6.3%	18.3%	3.2%
Jordan	Hariema	2000	14.6%	16.1%	13.0%
Jordan	Hariema	2017	72.8%	74.6%	70.4%
Jordan	Hesa	2000	15.7%	23.5%	9.7%
Jordan	Hesa	2017	40.8%	52.5%	31.2%
Jordan	Husseiniyyeh	2000	3.4%	6.6%	1.2%
Jordan	Husseiniyyeh	2017	16.9%	25.9%	10.6%
Jordan	Iel	2000	1.9%	4.2%	0.8%
Jordan	Iel	2017	13.1%	17.1%	9.9%
Jordan	Irbid	2000	9.4%	10.1%	8.7%
Jordan	Irbid	2017	43.0%	44.5%	41.7%
Jordan	Jarash	2000	5.8%	6.1%	5.5%
Jordan	Jarash	2017	30.2%	31.0%	29.5%
Jordan	Jizeh	2000	11.4%	16.1%	8.7%
Jordan	Jizeh	2017	34.1%	40.2%	28.4%
Jordan	Karak	2000	2.8%	3.1%	2.6%
Jordan	Karak	2017	36.9%	38.6%	34.9%
Jordan	Kofranjah	2000	1.1%	1.2%	1.0%
Jordan	Kofranjah	2017	15.0%	16.6%	13.4%
Jordan	Kora	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%
Jordan	Kora	2017	9.1%	10.3%	7.8%
Jordan	Ma'an	2000	8.2%	12.2%	5.8%
Jordan	Ma'an	2017	37.5%	41.4%	33.8%
Jordan	Madaba	2000	11.6%	12.8%	10.9%
Jordan	Madaba	2017	50.4%	52.5%	49.3%
Jordan	Mafraq	2000	2.6%	2.9%	2.3%
Jordan	Mafraq	2017	23.0%	24.0%	22.2%
Jordan	Mazar Janoobi	2000	1.7%	2.8%	1.3%
Jordan	Mazar Janoobi	2017	19.3%	21.8%	17.5%
Jordan	Mazar Shamali	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Jordan	Mazar Shamali	2017	16.8%	19.4%	14.3%
Jordan	Mowaqqar	2000	2.3%	6.6%	0.7%
Jordan	Mowaqqar	2017	14.7%	22.2%	9.9%
Jordan	Na'oor	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.9%
Jordan	Na'oor	2017	26.5%	30.0%	24.4%
Jordan	Qasr	2000	7.1%	8.3%	6.1%
Jordan	Qasr	2017	43.1%	45.4%	40.6%
Jordan	Quaira	2000	4.3%	7.9%	1.8%
Jordan	Quaira	2017	17.6%	24.8%	11.6%
Jordan	Ramtha	2000	4.3%	7.5%	3.5%
Jordan	Ramtha	2017	25.9%	32.8%	21.6%
Jordan	Sabha	2000	2.1%	5.2%	0.4%
Jordan	Sabha	2017	7.0%	13.2%	2.7%
Jordan	Sahab	2000	27.7%	31.2%	25.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Jordan	Sahab	2017	68.3%	77.5%	63.6%
Jordan	Salt	2000	56.3%	57.9%	54.7%
Jordan	Salt	2017	84.4%	84.9%	83.9%
Jordan	Sama Serhan	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Jordan	Sama Serhan	2017	4.5%	7.0%	3.6%
Jordan	Shoabak	2000	2.5%	4.6%	1.3%
Jordan	Shoabak	2017	20.9%	25.9%	16.5%
Jordan	Shooneh	2000	10.6%	13.6%	8.6%
Jordan	Janoobiyyeh				
Jordan	Shooneh	2017	24.5%	29.7%	19.8%
Jordan	Janoobiyyeh				
Jordan	Tafileh	2000	7.8%	9.1%	6.9%
Jordan	Tafileh	2017	39.1%	42.5%	36.5%
Jordan	Tayybeh	2000	2.6%	3.8%	1.8%
Jordan	Tayybeh	2017	11.3%	13.2%	10.2%
Jordan	Um El-Basatien	2000	3.2%	6.3%	1.3%
Jordan	Um El-Basatien	2017	22.8%	25.6%	19.4%
Jordan	Wadi Arabah	2000	6.5%	17.4%	1.6%
Jordan	Wadi Arabah	2017	19.1%	35.0%	7.7%
Jordan	Wadi Essier	2000	54.1%	56.1%	52.0%
Jordan	Wadi Essier	2017	92.6%	93.2%	92.0%
Jordan	Wadi Musa	2000	6.4%	7.5%	4.9%
Jordan	Wadi Musa	2017	44.5%	45.6%	43.2%
Jordan	Wastiyyeh	2000	17.7%	18.4%	16.9%
Jordan	Wastiyyeh	2017	65.2%	66.2%	64.1%
Jordan	Zarqa	2000	40.5%	42.3%	38.4%
Jordan	Zarqa	2017	81.1%	83.0%	79.3%
Libya	Al Butnan	2000	3.2%	4.0%	2.5%
Libya	Al Butnan	2017	3.2%	4.1%	2.5%
Libya	Al Jabal al Akhdar	2000	3.5%	4.0%	2.9%
Libya	Al Jabal al Akhdar	2017	3.5%	4.0%	2.9%
Libya	Al Jabal al Gharbi	2000	3.6%	4.2%	3.0%
Libya	Al Jabal al Gharbi	2017	3.7%	4.4%	3.0%
Libya	Al Jifarah	2000	2.4%	3.3%	1.8%
Libya	Al Jifarah	2017	2.4%	3.2%	1.8%
Libya	Al Jufrah	2000	4.8%	5.8%	4.0%
Libya	Al Jufrah	2017	4.8%	5.8%	4.0%
Libya	Al Kufrah	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.5%
Libya	Al Kufrah	2017	3.0%	3.5%	2.5%
Libya	Al Marj	2000	2.4%	2.8%	1.9%
Libya	Al Marj	2017	2.4%	2.8%	1.9%
Libya	Al Marqab	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Libya	Al Marqab	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Libya	Al Wahat	2000	3.5%	4.2%	2.9%
Libya	Al Wahat	2017	3.5%	4.1%	3.0%
Libya	An Nuqat al Khams	2000	4.4%	5.2%	3.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Libya	An Nuqat al Khams	2017	4.5%	5.3%	3.7%
Libya	Az Zawiyah	2000	2.0%	2.3%	1.6%
Libya	Az Zawiyah	2017	1.9%	2.3%	1.6%
Libya	Benghazi	2000	3.6%	4.0%	3.1%
Libya	Benghazi	2017	3.6%	4.0%	3.1%
Libya	Darnah	2000	4.8%	5.8%	4.0%
Libya	Darnah	2017	4.7%	5.8%	3.9%
Libya	Ghat	2000	3.7%	4.4%	3.2%
Libya	Ghat	2017	3.7%	4.4%	3.2%
Libya	Misratah	2000	3.3%	3.8%	2.9%
Libya	Misratah	2017	3.3%	3.8%	2.9%
Libya	Murzuq	2000	3.0%	3.6%	2.6%
Libya	Murzuq	2017	3.1%	3.7%	2.6%
Libya	Nalut	2000	2.2%	2.7%	1.7%
Libya	Nalut	2017	2.2%	2.7%	1.7%
Libya	Sabha	2000	3.9%	4.4%	3.3%
Libya	Sabha	2017	3.9%	4.4%	3.3%
Libya	Surt	2000	3.0%	3.6%	2.5%
Libya	Surt	2017	3.0%	3.7%	2.5%
Libya	Tripoli	2000	4.0%	5.0%	3.1%
Libya	Tripoli	2017	3.9%	4.9%	3.0%
Libya	Wadi al Hayat	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Libya	Wadi al Hayat	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Libya	Wadi ash Shati'	2000	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Libya	Wadi ash Shati'	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Morocco	Agadir-Ida ou Tanane	2000	49.5%	53.9%	44.6%
Morocco	Agadir-Ida ou Tanane	2017	48.6%	52.8%	44.0%
Morocco	Al Haouz	2000	27.2%	42.7%	11.4%
Morocco	Al Haouz	2017	27.7%	43.8%	11.7%
Morocco	Al Hoceïma	2000	30.4%	52.6%	14.8%
Morocco	Al Hoceïma	2017	30.0%	51.9%	14.4%
Morocco	Assa-Zag	2000	48.3%	99.1%	0.8%
Morocco	Assa-Zag	2017	48.3%	99.1%	0.8%
Morocco	Azilal	2000	22.3%	41.8%	8.5%
Morocco	Azilal	2017	22.0%	41.5%	8.3%
Morocco	Ben Slimane	2000	54.0%	71.5%	34.5%
Morocco	Ben Slimane	2017	54.6%	71.0%	35.2%
Morocco	Béni Mellal	2000	19.4%	37.8%	6.1%
Morocco	Béni Mellal	2017	19.5%	37.6%	6.4%
Morocco	Berkane	2000	35.7%	81.1%	5.4%
Morocco	Berkane Taourirt	2017	35.6%	80.3%	5.4%
Morocco	Boulemane	2000	36.2%	74.7%	4.8%
Morocco	Boulemane	2017	35.9%	73.6%	4.7%
Morocco	Casablanca	2000	67.4%	71.8%	62.5%
Morocco	Casablanca	2017	65.1%	70.3%	59.5%
Morocco	Chefchaouen	2000	30.9%	56.2%	12.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Morocco	Chefchaouen	2017	30.7%	56.0%	12.1%
Morocco	Chichaoua	2000	23.3%	42.7%	7.8%
Morocco	Chichaoua	2017	23.0%	42.2%	7.5%
Morocco	Chtouka-Aït Baha	2000	15.9%	39.2%	3.9%
Morocco	Chtouka-Aït Baha	2017	15.5%	38.1%	3.7%
Morocco	El Hajeb	2000	18.8%	45.2%	5.7%
Morocco	El Hajeb	2017	18.7%	45.3%	6.4%
Morocco	El Jadida	2000	35.8%	49.4%	23.2%
Morocco	El Jadida	2017	35.5%	48.5%	22.4%
Morocco	El Kelaâ des Sraghna	2000	27.4%	50.3%	9.3%
Morocco	El Kelaâ des Sraghna	2017	27.0%	50.0%	9.4%
Morocco	Errachidia	2000	43.9%	68.3%	25.8%
Morocco	Errachidia	2017	43.4%	67.7%	26.1%
Morocco	Essaouira	2000	34.3%	60.4%	6.9%
Morocco	Essaouira	2017	34.1%	59.7%	6.7%
Morocco	Fahs Anjra	2000	71.3%	79.7%	58.5%
Morocco	Fahs Anjra	2017	72.8%	81.5%	59.1%
Morocco	Fès	2000	67.9%	76.3%	62.2%
Morocco	Fès	2017	68.5%	75.7%	62.2%
Morocco	Figuig	2000	41.2%	77.5%	8.7%
Morocco	Figuig	2017	41.3%	77.6%	7.9%
Morocco	Guelmim	2000	64.2%	85.9%	36.7%
Morocco	Guelmim	2017	65.8%	87.0%	35.1%
Morocco	Ifrane	2000	49.9%	75.4%	24.6%
Morocco	Ifrane	2017	48.8%	75.1%	23.8%
Morocco	Inezgane-Aït Melloul	2000	36.9%	43.6%	32.1%
Morocco	Inezgane-Aït Melloul	2017	35.6%	42.0%	30.9%
Morocco	Jerada	2000	43.5%	76.8%	8.7%
Morocco	Jerada	2017	42.9%	74.6%	8.4%
Morocco	Kénitra	2000	45.2%	59.6%	32.4%
Morocco	Kénitra	2017	44.4%	58.9%	31.3%
Morocco	Khémisset	2000	34.5%	78.3%	6.2%
Morocco	Khémisset	2017	34.1%	78.2%	6.1%
Morocco	Khénifra	2000	51.2%	71.0%	32.2%
Morocco	Khénifra	2017	50.1%	70.9%	30.4%
Morocco	Khouribga	2000	39.0%	55.4%	28.0%
Morocco	Khouribga	2017	39.7%	55.4%	29.7%
Morocco	Laâyoune	2000	38.1%	98.0%	0.1%
Morocco	Laâyoune	2017	37.8%	98.3%	0.0%
Morocco	Larache	2000	54.5%	72.8%	32.2%
Morocco	Larache	2017	53.6%	71.0%	31.9%
Morocco	Marrakech	2000	45.3%	52.5%	40.4%
Morocco	Marrakech	2017	42.1%	49.4%	36.6%
Morocco	Meknès	2000	65.0%	79.4%	45.3%
Morocco	Meknès	2017	64.4%	78.9%	45.7%
Morocco	Mohammedia	2000	57.8%	62.0%	52.3%
Morocco	Mohammedia	2017	58.1%	62.5%	52.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Morocco	Nador	2000	36.9%	57.1%	16.5%
Morocco	Nador	2017	36.7%	57.8%	15.5%
Morocco	Ouarzazate	2000	29.0%	47.7%	11.3%
Morocco	Ouarzazate	2017	28.7%	46.7%	11.6%
Morocco	Oujda Angad	2000	68.6%	73.9%	63.3%
Morocco	Oujda Angad	2017	71.6%	76.7%	66.1%
Morocco	Rabat	2000	86.7%	91.0%	81.7%
Morocco	Rabat	2017	86.3%	90.5%	81.1%
Morocco	Safi	2000	39.8%	53.1%	28.4%
Morocco	Safi	2017	40.1%	53.0%	28.6%
Morocco	Salé	2000	84.8%	92.7%	74.9%
Morocco	Salé	2017	85.1%	92.5%	74.0%
Morocco	Sefrou	2000	32.3%	50.9%	14.5%
Morocco	Sefrou	2017	31.6%	50.0%	14.0%
Morocco	Settat	2000	27.5%	47.8%	10.8%
Morocco	Settat	2017	27.2%	47.3%	11.2%
Morocco	Sidi Kacem	2000	30.2%	44.4%	17.5%
Morocco	Sidi Kacem	2017	30.1%	43.7%	17.3%
Morocco	Skhirate-Témara	2000	49.2%	62.8%	37.6%
Morocco	Skhirate-Témara	2017	47.7%	64.2%	33.2%
Morocco	Tan-Tan	2000	4.0%	18.4%	0.5%
Morocco	Tan-Tan	2017	6.0%	29.1%	0.6%
Morocco	Tanger-Assilah	2000	79.1%	89.6%	64.2%
Morocco	Tanger-Assilah	2017	79.0%	89.5%	61.7%
Morocco	Taounate	2000	16.2%	29.3%	5.3%
Morocco	Taounate	2017	15.9%	28.3%	5.2%
Morocco	Taroudannt	2000	37.2%	54.2%	21.0%
Morocco	Taroudannt	2017	36.6%	54.0%	20.4%
Morocco	Tata	2000	38.8%	77.8%	8.5%
Morocco	Tata	2017	38.5%	77.2%	7.8%
Morocco	Taza	2000	43.4%	58.7%	28.6%
Morocco	Taza	2017	43.9%	59.4%	29.7%
Morocco	Tétouan	2000	18.3%	26.5%	12.0%
Morocco	Tétouan	2017	21.2%	30.0%	12.6%
Morocco	Tiznit	2000	47.0%	75.8%	15.9%
Morocco	Tiznit	2017	47.4%	76.2%	16.2%
Morocco	Zagora	2000	21.7%	51.5%	1.0%
Morocco	Zagora	2017	22.0%	52.2%	0.9%
Morocco	Zouagha-Moulay Yacoub	2000	56.3%	63.7%	50.6%
Morocco	Zouagha-Moulay Yacoub	2017	55.5%	62.4%	50.2%
Sudan	Abu Hamad	2000	5.9%	6.7%	5.3%
Sudan	Abu Hamad	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Abu Jubaiyah	2000	1.9%	2.4%	1.6%
Sudan	Abu Jubaiyah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Abyei	2000	1.8%	2.2%	1.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Abyei	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Ad Damazin	2000	2.3%	3.0%	1.8%
Sudan	Ad Damazin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Ad Damer	2000	7.5%	9.6%	5.8%
Sudan	Ad Damer	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Ad Dinder	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.4%
Sudan	Ad Dinder	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Ad Douiem	2000	5.7%	7.4%	4.3%
Sudan	Ad Douiem	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Addabah	2000	5.9%	6.9%	5.2%
Sudan	Addabah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Deain	2000	2.1%	2.4%	1.8%
Sudan	Al Deain	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Fasher	2000	5.5%	6.6%	4.5%
Sudan	Al Fasher	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Faw	2000	3.2%	3.8%	2.6%
Sudan	Al Faw	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Fushqa	2000	2.7%	3.3%	2.2%
Sudan	Al Fushqa	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Gadaref	2000	2.6%	3.6%	2.0%
Sudan	Al Gadaref	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Galabat	2000	2.1%	2.6%	1.7%
Sudan	Al Galabat	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Gash	2000	6.1%	7.9%	4.7%
Sudan	Al Gash	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Geneina	2000	2.6%	3.0%	2.2%
Sudan	Al Geneina	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Gutaina	2000	6.0%	7.7%	4.6%
Sudan	Al Gutaina	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Jabalian	2000	3.6%	5.5%	2.5%
Sudan	Al Jabalian	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Kamlin	2000	4.3%	6.6%	2.8%
Sudan	Al Kamlin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Kurumik	2000	2.6%	3.4%	2.0%
Sudan	Al Kurumik	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Mahagil	2000	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Sudan	Al Mahagil	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Matammah	2000	5.9%	7.2%	4.8%
Sudan	Al Matammah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Rahd	2000	2.4%	3.1%	2.0%
Sudan	Al Rahd	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Al Roseires	2000	2.1%	2.6%	1.6%
Sudan	Al Roseires	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	As Salam	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.7%
Sudan	As Salam	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Atbara	2000	5.9%	7.4%	4.7%
Sudan	Atbara	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Bara	2000	4.1%	5.6%	3.0%
Sudan	Bara	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Baw	2000	2.8%	3.7%	2.2%
Sudan	Baw	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Berber	2000	6.2%	8.2%	4.6%
Sudan	Berber	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Buram	2000	2.2%	2.4%	1.9%
Sudan	Buram	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Dilling	2000	2.2%	2.6%	1.7%
Sudan	Dilling	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Dongola	2000	6.3%	7.5%	5.3%
Sudan	Dongola	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	East al Gazera	2000	5.7%	8.5%	4.1%
Sudan	East al Gazera	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	En Nuhud	2000	2.2%	2.6%	1.8%
Sudan	En Nuhud	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Geissan	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.9%
Sudan	Geissan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Ghebeish	2000	2.0%	2.5%	1.7%
Sudan	Ghebeish	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Halayeb	2000	5.7%	6.6%	4.9%
Sudan	Halayeb	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Hamashkorieb	2000	6.3%	8.7%	4.7%
Sudan	Hamashkorieb	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Id El Ghanem	2000	2.1%	2.5%	1.7%
Sudan	Id El Ghanem	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Jebrat al Sheikh	2000	4.1%	4.9%	3.5%
Sudan	Jebrat al Sheikh	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Kabkabiya	2000	2.5%	3.2%	2.0%
Sudan	Kabkabiya	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Kadugli	2000	2.3%	3.0%	1.9%
Sudan	Kadugli	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Karary	2000	10.2%	12.9%	8.4%
Sudan	Karary	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Kas	2000	2.5%	3.6%	1.6%
Sudan	Kas	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Kassala	2000	13.1%	17.2%	10.1%
Sudan	Kassala	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Sudan	Khartoum Bahri	2000	37.9%	42.8%	31.8%
Sudan	Khartoum Bahri	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Sudan	Khartoum Bahri	2000	14.8%	17.5%	12.5%
Sudan	Khartoum Bahri	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Sudan	Kosti	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.6%
Sudan	Kosti	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Kutum	2000	2.4%	2.9%	2.0%
Sudan	Kutum	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Lagawa	2000	1.7%	2.1%	1.4%
Sudan	Lagawa	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Mellit	2000	3.0%	3.5%	2.7%
Sudan	Mellit	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Merawi	2000	6.2%	7.3%	5.1%
Sudan	Merawi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Mukjar	2000	1.9%	2.3%	1.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Mukjar	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Nahr Atbara	2000	5.0%	6.5%	4.0%
Sudan	Nahr Atbara	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	North al Gazera	2000	4.6%	6.9%	3.2%
Sudan	North al Gazera	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Nyala	2000	5.0%	7.2%	3.2%
Sudan	Nyala	2000	2.6%	3.2%	2.1%
Sudan	Nyala	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Sudan	Nyala	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Omdurman	2000	8.7%	11.9%	6.7%
Sudan	Omdurman	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Port Sudan	2000	6.3%	9.6%	4.4%
Sudan	Port Sudan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Rashad	2000	2.0%	2.5%	1.5%
Sudan	Rashad	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Sennar	2000	2.6%	3.3%	2.0%
Sudan	Sennar	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Seteet	2000	5.3%	7.0%	4.1%
Sudan	Seteet	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Sharq En Nile	2000	14.3%	18.6%	10.5%
Sudan	Sharq En Nile	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Sudan	Sharq al Gazera	2000	6.1%	8.7%	4.3%
Sudan	Sharq al Gazera	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Sheikan	2000	3.4%	4.0%	2.9%
Sudan	Sheikan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Shendi	2000	8.4%	9.8%	7.1%
Sudan	Shendi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Singa	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.6%
Sudan	Singa	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Sinkat	2000	6.8%	7.6%	6.0%
Sudan	Sinkat	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	South al Gazera	2000	3.0%	4.6%	2.0%
Sudan	South al Gazera	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	South Khartoum	2000	11.0%	14.8%	7.7%
Sudan	South Khartoum	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Sowdari	2000	2.9%	3.3%	2.6%
Sudan	Sowdari	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Talodi	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.5%
Sudan	Talodi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Tokar	2000	6.1%	7.0%	5.4%
Sudan	Tokar	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Tulus	2000	2.3%	3.4%	1.5%
Sudan	Tulus	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Um Al Gura	2000	2.7%	4.6%	1.7%
Sudan	Um Al Gura	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Um Badda	2000	12.1%	16.3%	9.1%
Sudan	Um Badda	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Sudan	Um Kadada	2000	2.8%	3.3%	2.4%
Sudan	Um Kadada	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Um Rawaba	2000	2.8%	3.2%	2.3%
Sudan	Um Rawaba	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Wadi Halfa	2000	5.9%	6.8%	5.1%
Sudan	Wadi Halfa	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Sudan	Zallingi	2000	2.5%	3.0%	1.9%
Sudan	Zallingi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Syria	'Ayn al-'Arab	2000	59.1%	74.0%	42.5%
Syria	'Ayn al-'Arab	2017	58.9%	73.1%	42.8%
Syria	A'zaz	2000	81.1%	90.7%	70.2%
Syria	A'zaz	2017	81.4%	91.0%	70.4%
Syria	Abu Kamal	2000	60.1%	68.7%	50.0%
Syria	Abu Kamal	2017	60.1%	68.2%	49.7%
Syria	Afrin	2000	66.9%	80.1%	53.9%
Syria	Afrin	2017	67.8%	80.9%	54.1%
Syria	Al Bab	2000	59.7%	69.2%	49.9%
Syria	Al Bab	2017	60.2%	69.6%	50.3%
Syria	Al Qamishli	2000	67.0%	95.7%	21.2%
Syria	Al Qamishli	2017	66.5%	95.3%	21.7%
Syria	Al-Haffah	2000	86.9%	92.3%	79.3%
Syria	Al-Haffah	2017	86.7%	92.2%	79.2%
Syria	Al-Hasakah	2000	61.4%	91.1%	24.6%
Syria	Al-Hasakah	2017	61.7%	90.7%	26.3%
Syria	Al-Malikiyah	2000	66.5%	96.6%	19.8%
Syria	Al-Malikiyah	2017	66.4%	96.4%	22.7%
Syria	Al-Mukharram	2000	63.6%	75.5%	50.5%
Syria	Al-Mukharram	2017	64.5%	76.4%	51.2%
Syria	Al-Qusayr	2000	85.7%	91.5%	78.4%
Syria	Al-Qusayr	2017	86.0%	91.7%	79.0%
Syria	Al-Qutayfah	2000	90.9%	98.0%	76.7%
Syria	Al-Qutayfah	2017	90.9%	97.8%	76.7%
Syria	An-Nabk	2000	93.0%	99.6%	67.3%
Syria	An-Nabk	2017	93.0%	99.6%	67.6%
Syria	Ar-Raqqah	2000	44.0%	52.1%	36.6%
Syria	Ar-Raqqah	2017	44.9%	53.0%	37.0%
Syria	Ar-Rastan	2000	87.8%	92.8%	80.3%
Syria	Ar-Rastan	2017	88.1%	92.9%	80.8%
Syria	Arihah	2000	78.9%	87.9%	68.4%
Syria	Arihah	2017	79.5%	88.2%	69.2%
Syria	As-Safirah	2000	46.0%	56.6%	35.7%
Syria	As-Safirah	2017	49.8%	60.3%	39.8%
Syria	As-Sanamayn	2000	72.2%	83.0%	61.6%
Syria	As-Sanamayn	2017	73.1%	84.0%	63.0%
Syria	As-Suqaylabiyah	2000	62.3%	75.6%	48.7%
Syria	As-Suqaylabiyah	2017	62.4%	75.4%	49.1%
Syria	As-Suwayda	2000	77.1%	85.3%	65.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Syria	As-Suwayda	2017	77.3%	85.4%	65.4%
Syria	Ash-Shaykh Badr	2000	54.3%	65.5%	43.5%
Syria	Ash-Shaykh Badr	2017	55.0%	66.2%	44.3%
Syria	At-Tall	2000	98.0%	99.6%	94.3%
Syria	At-Tall	2017	98.0%	99.6%	94.3%
Syria	Ath-Thawrah	2000	37.3%	50.6%	24.2%
Syria	Ath-Thawrah	2017	38.0%	51.5%	25.2%
Syria	Baniyas	2000	77.0%	84.7%	67.5%
Syria	Baniyas	2017	77.1%	84.8%	67.1%
Syria	Damascus	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Syria	Damascus	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Syria	Daraa	2000	65.6%	81.9%	45.3%
Syria	Daraa	2017	66.0%	82.3%	46.4%
Syria	Darayya	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Syria	Darayya	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Syria	Deir ez-Zor	2000	67.6%	74.1%	60.5%
Syria	Deir ez-Zor	2017	67.8%	73.9%	60.7%
Syria	Duma	2000	91.3%	94.0%	87.7%
Syria	Duma	2017	91.0%	93.7%	86.9%
Syria	Duraykish	2000	72.5%	81.4%	61.7%
Syria	Duraykish	2017	72.5%	81.2%	61.8%
Syria	Hama	2000	82.2%	88.3%	73.7%
Syria	Hama	2017	82.0%	87.9%	73.6%
Syria	Harem	2000	86.9%	98.5%	62.2%
Syria	Harem	2017	86.7%	98.4%	61.9%
Syria	Hims	2000	91.9%	94.4%	88.3%
Syria	Hims	2017	91.9%	94.5%	88.0%
Syria	Idlib	2000	81.9%	95.1%	58.9%
Syria	Idlib	2017	82.0%	95.0%	58.9%
Syria	Izra'	2000	62.9%	85.5%	33.9%
Syria	Izra'	2017	63.1%	85.7%	34.8%
Syria	Jabal Sam'an	2000	85.9%	90.5%	80.3%
Syria	Jabal Sam'an	2017	85.6%	90.4%	80.0%
Syria	Jableh	2000	89.3%	93.5%	82.8%
Syria	Jableh	2017	89.6%	93.7%	83.4%
Syria	Jarabulus	2000	45.8%	66.8%	26.3%
Syria	Jarabulus	2017	45.7%	65.6%	27.3%
Syria	Jisr ash-Shugur	2000	96.6%	98.7%	93.3%
Syria	Jisr ash-Shugur	2017	96.5%	98.6%	93.1%
Syria	Latakia	2000	92.6%	96.3%	87.9%
Syria	Latakia	2017	92.7%	96.4%	88.1%
Syria	Ma'arrat al-Numan	2000	74.1%	82.7%	63.6%
Syria	Ma'arrat al-Numan	2017	74.1%	82.7%	63.8%
Syria	Manbij	2000	40.7%	49.6%	31.5%
Syria	Manbij	2017	42.1%	50.8%	32.4%
Syria	Markaz Rif Dimashq	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Syria	Markaz Rif Dimashq	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Syria	Masyaf	2000	64.4%	79.3%	51.3%
Syria	Masyaf	2017	64.2%	79.5%	50.8%
Syria	Mayadin	2000	74.9%	81.6%	66.4%
Syria	Mayadin	2017	73.8%	80.9%	65.0%
Syria	Muhardeh	2000	75.5%	83.5%	65.0%
Syria	Muhardeh	2017	75.8%	83.9%	65.3%
Syria	Palmyra	2000	97.5%	98.7%	95.5%
Syria	Palmyra	2017	97.1%	98.7%	95.0%
Syria	Qardaha	2000	90.0%	94.3%	83.6%
Syria	Qardaha	2017	89.8%	94.2%	83.4%
Syria	Qatana	2000	94.0%	97.5%	87.8%
Syria	Qatana	2017	93.7%	97.4%	87.4%
Syria	Quneitra	2000	54.1%	69.5%	36.9%
Syria	Quneitra	2017	53.5%	69.3%	34.7%
Syria	Ra's al-'Ayn	2000	48.0%	63.0%	35.5%
Syria	Ra's al-'Ayn	2017	48.2%	61.7%	35.8%
Syria	Safita	2000	85.9%	90.1%	80.8%
Syria	Safita	2017	86.5%	90.6%	81.4%
Syria	Salamiyah	2000	73.1%	81.0%	64.0%
Syria	Salamiyah	2017	74.3%	81.7%	66.2%
Syria	Salkhad	2000	74.7%	88.2%	59.8%
Syria	Salkhad	2017	74.8%	88.3%	60.1%
Syria	Shahba	2000	69.8%	82.0%	55.4%
Syria	Shahba	2017	69.9%	82.0%	56.2%
Syria	Tal Abyad	2000	33.2%	47.8%	20.9%
Syria	Tal Abyad	2017	33.7%	47.5%	21.9%
Syria	Talkalakh	2000	96.8%	98.4%	94.7%
Syria	Talkalakh	2017	97.0%	98.5%	94.9%
Syria	Tartus	2000	89.2%	93.8%	81.6%
Syria	Tartus	2017	89.4%	94.0%	81.6%
Syria	Yabrud	2000	97.2%	99.6%	90.2%
Syria	Yabrud	2017	97.2%	99.6%	90.5%
Syria	Zabadani	2000	97.5%	99.8%	90.0%
Syria	Zabadani	2017	97.4%	99.8%	89.4%
Tunisia	Agareb	2000	51.0%	74.6%	27.3%
Tunisia	Agareb	2017	49.8%	73.5%	26.3%
Tunisia	Aïn Draham	2000	45.8%	60.9%	31.7%
Tunisia	Aïn Draham	2017	44.6%	60.1%	30.6%
Tunisia	Akouda	2000	58.6%	80.9%	28.1%
Tunisia	Akouda	2017	57.1%	79.8%	26.8%
Tunisia	Alaa	2000	49.1%	57.3%	40.6%
Tunisia	Alaa	2017	47.6%	55.5%	39.4%
Tunisia	Amdoun	2000	27.5%	37.2%	20.3%
Tunisia	Amdoun	2017	26.0%	35.3%	19.2%
Tunisia	Ariana Médina	2000	91.1%	94.3%	87.4%
Tunisia	Ariana Médina	2017	90.8%	94.0%	86.9%
Tunisia	Ayoun	2000	31.1%	42.6%	21.7%
Tunisia	Ayoun	2017	29.6%	41.0%	20.5%
Tunisia	Bab Bhar	2000	94.6%	96.3%	92.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Bab Bhar	2017	94.3%	96.1%	91.8%
Tunisia	Bab Souika	2000	94.2%	96.2%	91.6%
Tunisia	Bab Souika	2017	93.9%	96.0%	91.1%
Tunisia	Balta Bou Aouane	2000	32.9%	46.7%	21.5%
Tunisia	Balta Bou Aouane	2017	31.5%	44.9%	20.3%
Tunisia	Bardo	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.5%
Tunisia	Bardo	2017	93.3%	95.1%	90.9%
Tunisia	Bargou	2000	45.6%	60.1%	31.4%
Tunisia	Bargou	2017	44.1%	58.6%	30.2%
Tunisia	Béja Nord	2000	22.5%	29.0%	17.3%
Tunisia	Béja Nord	2017	21.5%	27.9%	16.4%
Tunisia	Béja Sud	2000	20.5%	25.8%	15.4%
Tunisia	Béja Sud	2017	19.6%	24.8%	14.7%
Tunisia	Bekalta	2000	58.1%	82.4%	31.4%
Tunisia	Bekalta	2017	58.5%	82.7%	31.6%
Tunisia	Belkhir	2000	68.1%	77.4%	58.2%
Tunisia	Belkhir	2017	66.6%	75.9%	56.8%
Tunisia	Bembla	2000	61.2%	85.2%	35.0%
Tunisia	Bembla	2017	60.2%	84.5%	33.8%
Tunisia	Ben Arous	2000	93.7%	95.6%	91.5%
Tunisia	Ben Arous	2017	93.0%	95.3%	90.7%
Tunisia	Ben Guerdane	2000	68.0%	74.6%	60.6%
Tunisia	Ben Guerdane	2017	66.7%	73.4%	59.2%
Tunisia	Beni Hassen	2000	58.6%	82.7%	32.8%
Tunisia	Beni Hassen	2017	57.6%	81.8%	31.7%
Tunisia	Beni Khalled	2000	59.4%	66.6%	49.8%
Tunisia	Beni Khalled	2017	56.7%	65.3%	46.8%
Tunisia	Beni Khedache	2000	69.5%	76.7%	61.7%
Tunisia	Beni Khedache	2017	68.2%	75.5%	60.3%
Tunisia	Beni Khiar	2000	54.3%	72.0%	35.0%
Tunisia	Beni Khiar	2017	52.7%	70.6%	33.3%
Tunisia	Bir Ali Ben Khélifa	2000	39.3%	58.8%	23.3%
Tunisia	Bir Ali Ben Khélifa	2017	37.9%	57.2%	21.9%
Tunisia	Bir El Hfay	2000	37.2%	46.0%	29.4%
Tunisia	Bir El Hfay	2017	35.6%	44.3%	27.8%
Tunisia	Bir Lahmar	2000	69.5%	78.8%	57.6%
Tunisia	Bir Lahmar	2017	68.1%	77.5%	56.7%
Tunisia	Bir Mchergua	2000	62.9%	74.6%	52.7%
Tunisia	Bir Mchergua	2017	62.3%	74.1%	51.8%
Tunisia	Bizerte Nord	2000	55.1%	68.7%	42.3%
Tunisia	Bizerte Nord	2017	53.5%	67.3%	40.7%
Tunisia	Bizerte Sud	2000	55.5%	66.9%	42.5%
Tunisia	Bizerte Sud	2017	53.6%	65.2%	40.4%
Tunisia	Borj El Amri	2000	70.8%	84.2%	54.2%
Tunisia	Borj El Amri	2017	69.2%	82.6%	52.6%
Tunisia	Bou Argoub	2000	55.2%	64.7%	45.1%
Tunisia	Bou Argoub	2017	54.6%	64.7%	44.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Bouarada	2000	46.3%	60.1%	31.5%
Tunisia	Bouarada	2017	44.8%	58.5%	30.4%
Tunisia	Bouficha	2000	55.8%	72.7%	37.1%
Tunisia	Bouficha	2017	54.3%	71.7%	35.7%
Tunisia	Bouhaira	2000	92.8%	96.0%	87.8%
Tunisia	Bouhaira	2017	92.4%	95.8%	87.1%
Tunisia	Bouhajla	2000	47.9%	58.0%	38.6%
Tunisia	Bouhajla	2017	47.3%	57.0%	38.3%
Tunisia	Boumerdès	2000	57.0%	80.7%	29.7%
Tunisia	Boumerdès	2017	55.5%	79.5%	28.3%
Tunisia	Boumhel	2000	89.3%	93.1%	83.8%
Tunisia	Boumhel	2017	88.7%	92.6%	83.1%
Tunisia	Bourouis	2000	50.4%	64.4%	36.8%
Tunisia	Bourouis	2017	48.7%	62.8%	35.2%
Tunisia	Bousalem	2000	33.2%	45.3%	23.5%
Tunisia	Bousalem	2017	33.3%	45.6%	23.3%
Tunisia	Carthage	2000	89.4%	93.8%	82.6%
Tunisia	Carthage	2017	89.0%	93.6%	82.0%
Tunisia	Chebba	2000	61.2%	85.5%	32.7%
Tunisia	Chebba	2017	59.6%	84.4%	31.4%
Tunisia	Chebika	2000	53.2%	64.7%	42.0%
Tunisia	Chebika	2017	51.4%	62.9%	40.6%
Tunisia	Chorbane	2000	53.5%	76.5%	29.5%
Tunisia	Chorbane	2017	51.9%	74.9%	28.3%
Tunisia	Chrarda	2000	46.0%	60.9%	30.7%
Tunisia	Chrarda	2017	44.4%	59.1%	28.9%
Tunisia	Cité El Khadra	2000	93.1%	95.6%	90.2%
Tunisia	Cité El Khadra	2017	92.7%	95.3%	89.4%
Tunisia	Dahmani	2000	45.9%	58.5%	34.2%
Tunisia	Dahmani	2017	45.5%	58.7%	33.9%
Tunisia	Dar Chaabane El Fehri	2000	54.5%	71.5%	35.1%
Tunisia	Dar Chaabane El Fehri	2017	53.0%	70.7%	33.4%
Tunisia	Degueche	2000	71.9%	78.6%	63.0%
Tunisia	Degueche	2017	70.5%	77.7%	61.1%
Tunisia	Dhiba	2000	66.8%	80.0%	49.5%
Tunisia	Dhiba	2017	65.4%	78.8%	48.2%
Tunisia	Djerba Ajim	2000	71.3%	79.5%	61.3%
Tunisia	Djerba Ajim	2017	69.9%	78.3%	59.6%
Tunisia	Djerba Midoun	2000	65.4%	74.0%	54.7%
Tunisia	Djerba Midoun	2017	64.0%	72.6%	53.0%
Tunisia	Douar Hicher	2000	85.8%	89.7%	81.2%
Tunisia	Douar Hicher	2017	85.0%	89.0%	80.2%
Tunisia	Douz	2000	72.3%	77.4%	67.2%
Tunisia	Douz	2017	70.8%	76.1%	65.7%
Tunisia	El Alia	2000	55.6%	66.6%	42.7%
Tunisia	El Alia	2017	54.2%	65.2%	41.3%
Tunisia	El Amra	2000	58.4%	81.8%	30.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	El Amra	2017	57.0%	80.7%	29.5%
Tunisia	El Battan	2000	66.3%	81.3%	50.0%
Tunisia	El Battan	2017	64.4%	79.9%	48.1%
Tunisia	El Ghraiba	2000	49.5%	73.2%	26.7%
Tunisia	El Ghraiba	2017	48.0%	72.1%	25.5%
Tunisia	El Jem	2000	55.9%	79.8%	28.0%
Tunisia	El Jem	2017	55.1%	79.6%	26.9%
Tunisia	El Krib	2000	44.7%	51.3%	38.2%
Tunisia	El Krib	2017	43.3%	50.1%	36.8%
Tunisia	El Menzah	2000	91.8%	94.6%	88.5%
Tunisia	El Menzah	2017	91.2%	94.2%	87.7%
Tunisia	El Mida	2000	51.5%	64.5%	39.3%
Tunisia	El Mida	2017	50.3%	63.4%	37.9%
Tunisia	El Mourouj	2000	91.8%	94.8%	88.6%
Tunisia	El Mourouj	2017	91.3%	94.4%	87.9%
Tunisia	El Ouardia	2000	94.0%	95.7%	92.2%
Tunisia	El Ouardia	2017	93.5%	95.4%	91.6%
Tunisia	El Tahrir	2000	91.4%	93.2%	89.4%
Tunisia	El Tahrir	2017	90.7%	92.7%	88.4%
Tunisia	Enfidha	2000	53.0%	73.5%	30.8%
Tunisia	Enfidha	2017	51.8%	72.6%	29.7%
Tunisia	Es Sers	2000	50.3%	64.8%	36.6%
Tunisia	Es Sers	2017	49.1%	63.4%	35.5%
Tunisia	Ettadhamen	2000	89.5%	91.9%	86.3%
Tunisia	Ettadhamen	2017	89.1%	91.6%	85.9%
Tunisia	Ezzahra	2000	90.0%	93.3%	85.8%
Tunisia	Ezzahra	2017	89.7%	93.2%	85.5%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2000	94.1%	96.0%	91.8%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2000	32.0%	42.4%	22.7%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2017	93.7%	95.7%	91.3%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2017	30.5%	40.7%	21.5%
Tunisia	Fahs	2000	52.2%	61.4%	41.7%
Tunisia	Fahs	2017	50.4%	59.4%	39.9%
Tunisia	Faouar	2000	71.5%	79.7%	61.9%
Tunisia	Faouar	2017	70.4%	79.3%	60.1%
Tunisia	Feriana	2000	33.4%	41.8%	25.3%
Tunisia	Feriana	2017	31.9%	41.0%	23.6%
Tunisia	Fernana	2000	42.2%	56.9%	28.9%
Tunisia	Fernana	2017	41.0%	55.5%	27.6%
Tunisia	Fouchana	2000	90.6%	94.1%	86.3%
Tunisia	Fouchana	2017	89.8%	93.6%	85.2%
Tunisia	Foussana	2000	29.9%	37.3%	24.1%
Tunisia	Foussana	2017	28.7%	35.8%	22.9%
Tunisia	Gaafour	2000	48.0%	59.6%	36.2%
Tunisia	Gaafour	2017	46.3%	58.0%	34.3%
Tunisia	Gabès Médina	2000	41.6%	51.3%	33.6%
Tunisia	Gabès Médina	2017	40.3%	49.7%	32.4%
Tunisia	Gabès Ouest	2000	43.7%	53.3%	35.7%
Tunisia	Gabès Ouest	2017	42.2%	51.3%	34.3%
Tunisia	Gabès Sud	2000	45.8%	55.6%	36.8%
Tunisia	Gabès Sud	2017	44.1%	53.9%	35.2%
Tunisia	Gafsa Nord	2000	69.4%	76.2%	61.7%
Tunisia	Gafsa Nord	2017	67.8%	74.9%	59.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Gafsa Sud	2000	74.9%	78.1%	71.5%
Tunisia	Gafsa Sud	2017	73.3%	76.7%	69.4%
Tunisia	Ghannouch	2000	42.3%	54.8%	30.8%
Tunisia	Ghannouch	2017	40.8%	53.3%	29.5%
Tunisia	Ghar El Melh	2000	59.8%	74.5%	41.6%
Tunisia	Ghar El Melh	2017	58.9%	73.9%	40.7%
Tunisia	Ghardimaou	2000	43.8%	54.0%	34.7%
Tunisia	Ghardimaou	2017	43.4%	54.4%	34.1%
Tunisia	Ghazala	2000	53.8%	62.5%	44.9%
Tunisia	Ghazala	2017	52.3%	61.3%	42.9%
Tunisia	Ghomrassen	2000	69.8%	78.3%	61.7%
Tunisia	Ghomrassen	2017	68.4%	77.2%	60.3%
Tunisia	Goubellat	2000	50.9%	60.6%	40.8%
Tunisia	Goubellat	2017	49.7%	59.5%	39.6%
Tunisia	Grombalia	2000	69.3%	77.0%	59.4%
Tunisia	Grombalia	2017	69.1%	76.7%	58.7%
Tunisia	Guetar	2000	71.7%	81.0%	62.7%
Tunisia	Guetar	2017	70.5%	80.3%	61.1%
Tunisia	Haffouz	2000	49.0%	61.9%	37.6%
Tunisia	Haffouz	2017	47.3%	59.7%	36.3%
Tunisia	Hajeb El Ayoun	2000	44.7%	59.5%	31.0%
Tunisia	Hajeb El Ayoun	2017	43.1%	57.7%	29.6%
Tunisia	Hamma	2000	69.5%	74.6%	63.0%
Tunisia	Hamma	2017	67.7%	72.9%	61.2%
Tunisia	Hammam Chott	2000	84.1%	90.4%	75.3%
Tunisia	Hammam Chott	2017	83.9%	90.2%	74.8%
Tunisia	Hammam Ghezaz	2000	56.5%	73.9%	39.2%
Tunisia	Hammam Ghezaz	2017	54.8%	72.2%	37.3%
Tunisia	Hammam Lif	2000	88.7%	93.4%	81.6%
Tunisia	Hammam Lif	2017	88.0%	93.0%	80.6%
Tunisia	Hammam Sousse	2000	62.9%	85.7%	37.1%
Tunisia	Hammam Sousse	2017	61.7%	85.0%	36.0%
Tunisia	Hammamet	2000	56.4%	72.4%	37.9%
Tunisia	Hammamet	2017	55.5%	71.7%	36.8%
Tunisia	Haouaria	2000	54.6%	71.2%	36.6%
Tunisia	Haouaria	2017	53.1%	69.6%	35.5%
Tunisia	Hassi El Ferid	2000	37.5%	51.6%	26.7%
Tunisia	Hassi El Ferid	2017	36.0%	49.5%	25.8%
Tunisia	Hazoua	2000	67.7%	79.5%	51.5%
Tunisia	Hazoua	2017	66.3%	78.5%	49.9%
Tunisia	Hbira	2000	51.7%	71.9%	32.3%
Tunisia	Hbira	2017	50.0%	70.8%	30.7%
Tunisia	Hencha	2000	55.2%	77.4%	31.3%
Tunisia	Hencha	2017	53.4%	76.0%	29.5%
Tunisia	Hergla	2000	57.8%	80.1%	31.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Hergla	2017	57.0%	79.5%	30.8%
Tunisia	Hidra	2000	36.9%	52.3%	24.5%
Tunisia	Hidra	2017	35.1%	50.9%	22.4%
Tunisia	Houmt Souk	2000	67.2%	75.8%	57.2%
Tunisia	Houmt Souk	2017	66.0%	75.0%	55.9%
Tunisia	Hrairia	2000	92.7%	94.4%	90.5%
Tunisia	Hrairia	2017	92.2%	94.1%	89.9%
Tunisia	Jammel	2000	59.7%	82.7%	31.9%
Tunisia	Jammel	2017	58.4%	81.9%	30.6%
Tunisia	Jebel Jelloud	2000	94.0%	95.8%	92.2%
Tunisia	Jebel Jelloud	2017	93.6%	95.5%	91.7%
Tunisia	Jebeniana	2000	57.2%	80.7%	29.9%
Tunisia	Jebeniana	2017	56.0%	79.9%	28.7%
Tunisia	Jedaida	2000	75.6%	88.3%	60.2%
Tunisia	Jedaida	2017	74.6%	87.6%	59.1%
Tunisia	Jedeliane	2000	35.6%	47.9%	25.9%
Tunisia	Jedeliane	2017	34.2%	46.1%	24.8%
Tunisia	Jelma	2000	41.7%	57.7%	27.5%
Tunisia	Jelma	2017	40.1%	56.2%	26.6%
Tunisia	Jendouba Nord	2000	42.1%	49.5%	33.9%
Tunisia	Jendouba Nord	2017	40.7%	47.8%	32.7%
Tunisia	Jendouba Sud	2000	43.4%	51.3%	34.7%
Tunisia	Jendouba Sud	2017	41.9%	49.6%	33.4%
Tunisia	Jerissa	2000	41.1%	54.0%	28.8%
Tunisia	Jerissa	2017	39.5%	52.4%	27.3%
Tunisia	Joumine	2000	39.4%	51.2%	29.0%
Tunisia	Joumine	2017	38.0%	49.9%	27.9%
Tunisia	Kabaria	2000	94.0%	95.8%	92.2%
Tunisia	Kabaria	2017	93.6%	95.5%	91.7%
Tunisia	Kairouan Nord	2000	56.6%	66.1%	46.2%
Tunisia	Kairouan Nord	2017	55.3%	64.9%	44.5%
Tunisia	Kairouan Sud	2000	57.9%	63.6%	50.9%
Tunisia	Kairouan Sud	2017	56.4%	62.0%	49.1%
Tunisia	Kalaa Kebira	2000	56.5%	77.5%	27.3%
Tunisia	Kalaa Kebira	2017	55.2%	76.4%	26.4%
Tunisia	Kalaa Khesba	2000	39.0%	51.4%	26.6%
Tunisia	Kalaa Khesba	2017	37.5%	50.2%	24.9%
Tunisia	Kalaa Sghira	2000	59.4%	82.0%	29.5%
Tunisia	Kalaa Sghira	2017	57.9%	80.9%	28.1%
Tunisia	Kalaat El Andalous	2000	79.0%	87.5%	66.3%
Tunisia	Kalaat El Andalous	2017	80.1%	88.2%	68.7%
Tunisia	Kalaat Senan	2000	43.7%	59.0%	28.3%
Tunisia	Kalaat Senan	2017	42.0%	57.0%	27.0%
Tunisia	Kasserine Nord	2000	29.0%	38.4%	20.0%
Tunisia	Kasserine Nord	2017	27.7%	36.8%	18.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Kasserine Sud	2000	31.1%	39.7%	23.7%
Tunisia	Kasserine Sud	2017	29.6%	38.0%	22.6%
Tunisia	Kebili Nord	2000	67.6%	74.4%	60.1%
Tunisia	Kebili Nord	2017	66.2%	73.1%	58.5%
Tunisia	Kebili Sud	2000	70.4%	77.0%	61.4%
Tunisia	Kebili Sud	2017	69.3%	76.2%	60.0%
Tunisia	Kef Est	2000	48.2%	60.8%	36.0%
Tunisia	Kef Est	2017	46.8%	59.4%	34.7%
Tunisia	Kef Ouest	2000	48.8%	66.0%	34.7%
Tunisia	Kef Ouest	2017	47.5%	65.0%	33.5%
Tunisia	Kelibia	2000	56.6%	72.0%	40.6%
Tunisia	Kelibia	2017	55.0%	70.6%	39.1%
Tunisia	Kerkennah	2000	61.6%	84.4%	34.3%
Tunisia	Kerkennah	2017	60.2%	83.6%	33.0%
Tunisia	Kesra	2000	43.7%	57.8%	30.8%
Tunisia	Kesra	2017	41.9%	55.7%	28.9%
Tunisia	Kondar	2000	54.9%	71.7%	34.1%
Tunisia	Kondar	2017	53.4%	70.2%	32.7%
Tunisia	Korba	2000	54.3%	71.1%	35.5%
Tunisia	Korba	2017	52.6%	69.5%	33.7%
Tunisia	Ksar	2000	77.5%	82.1%	72.1%
Tunisia	Ksar	2017	74.9%	79.9%	69.6%
Tunisia	Ksar Hellal	2000	62.4%	84.7%	36.3%
Tunisia	Ksar Hellal	2017	60.8%	83.8%	34.6%
Tunisia	Ksibet El Mediouni	2000	59.0%	84.1%	33.7%
Tunisia	Ksibet El Mediouni	2017	59.2%	84.4%	33.5%
Tunisia	Ksour	2000	43.4%	58.9%	30.7%
Tunisia	Ksour	2017	41.8%	57.3%	29.2%
Tunisia	Ksour Essef	2000	60.4%	83.1%	32.3%
Tunisia	Ksour Essef	2017	59.1%	82.3%	31.1%
Tunisia	La Goulette	2000	90.2%	93.7%	85.7%
Tunisia	La Goulette	2017	89.6%	93.2%	84.8%
Tunisia	La Marsa	2000	88.0%	93.4%	79.4%
Tunisia	La Marsa	2017	87.7%	93.1%	79.1%
Tunisia	Lake Ichkeul	2000	55.7%	71.1%	37.8%
Tunisia	Lake Ichkeul	2017	54.4%	70.2%	36.4%
Tunisia	Laroussa	2000	43.0%	57.7%	28.9%
Tunisia	Laroussa	2017	41.4%	55.9%	27.5%
Tunisia	M'Hamdia	2000	84.3%	90.5%	76.0%
Tunisia	M'Hamdia	2017	83.3%	89.9%	74.6%
Tunisia	M'Saken	2000	59.5%	82.3%	36.3%
Tunisia	M'Saken	2017	58.4%	81.6%	34.6%
Tunisia	Mahdia	2000	61.1%	84.5%	35.2%
Tunisia	Mahdia	2017	59.4%	83.2%	33.0%
Tunisia	Mahres	2000	56.3%	78.6%	30.8%
Tunisia	Mahres	2017	54.7%	77.2%	29.7%
Tunisia	Majel Be-labbes	2000	46.3%	58.5%	34.0%
Tunisia	Majel Be-labbes	2017	45.0%	57.9%	32.6%
Tunisia	Makthar	2000	42.7%	52.1%	32.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Makthar	2017	41.1%	50.9%	31.0%
Tunisia	Manouba	2000	91.0%	93.1%	88.8%
Tunisia	Manouba	2017	91.0%	93.3%	88.4%
Tunisia	Mareth	2000	68.0%	74.4%	60.9%
Tunisia	Mareth	2017	66.5%	72.9%	59.1%
Tunisia	Mateur	2000	58.5%	73.9%	40.9%
Tunisia	Mateur	2017	56.9%	72.5%	39.5%
Tunisia	Matmata	2000	66.4%	75.7%	55.1%
Tunisia	Matmata	2017	64.9%	74.6%	53.3%
Tunisia	Matmata Nouvelle	2000	63.2%	71.2%	54.6%
Tunisia	Matmata Nouvelle	2017	61.0%	69.0%	52.5%
Tunisia	Mazzouna	2000	36.7%	46.6%	28.2%
Tunisia	Mazzouna	2017	34.9%	44.9%	26.6%
Tunisia	Mdhilla	2000	73.4%	82.4%	61.0%
Tunisia	Mdhilla	2017	72.4%	82.0%	59.8%
Tunisia	Médenine Nord	2000	70.8%	76.6%	65.4%
Tunisia	Médenine Nord	2017	69.4%	75.4%	63.8%
Tunisia	Médenine Sud	2000	72.1%	77.9%	64.5%
Tunisia	Médenine Sud	2017	71.2%	77.2%	63.3%
Tunisia	Médina	2000	94.3%	96.2%	91.7%
Tunisia	Médina	2017	93.9%	96.0%	91.1%
Tunisia	Mégrine	2000	94.8%	96.4%	92.9%
Tunisia	Mégrine	2017	94.4%	96.2%	92.4%
Tunisia	Mejez El Bab	2000	50.0%	63.1%	37.6%
Tunisia	Mejez El Bab	2017	49.0%	62.1%	36.6%
Tunisia	Meknassi	2000	32.9%	42.0%	23.6%
Tunisia	Meknassi	2017	31.8%	40.7%	22.6%
Tunisia	Melloulech	2000	58.6%	82.4%	30.6%
Tunisia	Melloulech	2017	57.2%	81.7%	29.3%
Tunisia	Menzel Bourguiba	2000	64.5%	78.4%	49.8%
Tunisia	Menzel Bourguiba	2017	63.0%	77.3%	48.1%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzaiene	2000	45.9%	55.1%	37.6%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzaiene	2017	45.3%	54.9%	36.4%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzelfa	2000	60.2%	72.2%	48.9%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzelfa	2017	58.7%	70.8%	47.2%
Tunisia	Menzel Chaker	2000	49.5%	70.7%	28.7%
Tunisia	Menzel Chaker	2017	47.7%	68.8%	27.3%
Tunisia	Menzel Habib	2000	64.0%	73.1%	54.2%
Tunisia	Menzel Habib	2017	61.8%	70.9%	51.8%
Tunisia	Menzel Jemil	2000	51.7%	58.8%	44.2%
Tunisia	Menzel Jemil	2017	50.6%	57.8%	43.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Menzel Temime	2000	52.4%	61.3%	41.7%
Tunisia	Menzel Temime	2017	50.9%	60.2%	40.4%
Tunisia	Metlaoui	2000	72.0%	79.5%	63.6%
Tunisia	Metlaoui	2017	70.7%	78.3%	62.2%
Tunisia	Metouia	2000	46.5%	61.7%	33.8%
Tunisia	Metouia	2017	44.7%	59.7%	32.0%
Tunisia	Mnihla	2000	88.9%	91.9%	85.0%
Tunisia	Mnihla	2017	88.7%	91.7%	84.7%
Tunisia	Moknine	2000	62.8%	85.0%	37.8%
Tunisia	Moknine	2017	61.7%	84.3%	36.8%
Tunisia	Monastir	2000	63.1%	86.8%	35.3%
Tunisia	Monastir	2017	62.0%	86.3%	34.0%
Tunisia	Mornag	2000	81.4%	86.7%	73.9%
Tunisia	Mornag	2017	80.9%	86.4%	73.2%
Tunisia	Mornaguia	2000	79.6%	87.6%	68.2%
Tunisia	Mornaguia	2017	78.7%	87.0%	66.9%
Tunisia	Nabeul	2000	55.2%	73.9%	35.5%
Tunisia	Nabeul	2017	53.8%	72.5%	34.4%
Tunisia	Nadhour	2000	48.1%	61.2%	33.5%
Tunisia	Nadhour	2017	46.7%	59.4%	32.5%
Tunisia	Nasrallah	2000	44.2%	56.6%	32.0%
Tunisia	Nasrallah	2017	42.6%	55.4%	30.5%
Tunisia	Nebeur	2000	45.5%	59.8%	32.2%
Tunisia	Nebeur	2017	43.8%	58.0%	30.6%
Tunisia	Nefta	2000	70.5%	77.1%	62.4%
Tunisia	Nefta	2017	69.0%	75.8%	60.9%
Tunisia	Nefza	2000	47.4%	60.8%	28.9%
Tunisia	Nefza	2017	46.0%	59.5%	27.7%
Tunisia	Nouvelle Médina	2000	92.3%	95.0%	89.2%
Tunisia	Nouvelle Médina	2017	91.8%	94.7%	88.3%
Tunisia	Omrane	2000	93.9%	95.7%	91.4%
Tunisia	Omrane	2017	93.4%	95.3%	90.8%
Tunisia	Omrane Supérieur	2000	90.5%	93.1%	87.5%
Tunisia	Omrane Supérieur	2017	89.9%	92.6%	86.7%
Tunisia	Oued Ellil	2000	85.1%	90.8%	76.7%
Tunisia	Oued Ellil	2017	84.2%	90.2%	75.4%
Tunisia	Oued Mliz	2000	41.7%	50.5%	32.5%
Tunisia	Oued Mliz	2017	40.1%	49.1%	31.0%
Tunisia	Ouerdanine	2000	57.7%	82.2%	31.3%
Tunisia	Ouerdanine	2017	56.5%	81.3%	30.3%
Tunisia	Oueslatia	2000	48.1%	63.3%	34.7%
Tunisia	Oueslatia	2017	46.3%	61.5%	33.0%
Tunisia	Ouled Chamekh	2000	58.0%	75.9%	35.5%
Tunisia	Ouled Chamekh	2017	56.3%	74.5%	34.0%
Tunisia	Ouled Haffouz	2000	39.1%	52.9%	24.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Ouled Haffouz	2017	37.8%	51.3%	23.8%
Tunisia	Oum Larais	2000	67.2%	77.1%	55.6%
Tunisia	Oum Larais	2017	64.8%	74.8%	53.4%
Tunisia	Radès	2000	93.0%	94.9%	90.8%
Tunisia	Radès	2017	92.6%	94.6%	90.2%
Tunisia	Raoued	2000	89.6%	94.4%	82.2%
Tunisia	Raoued	2017	89.4%	94.5%	81.5%
Tunisia	Ras Jebel	2000	59.0%	77.1%	43.1%
Tunisia	Ras Jebel	2017	57.2%	75.6%	41.6%
Tunisia	Redeyef	2000	72.6%	77.3%	66.2%
Tunisia	Redeyef	2017	71.5%	76.3%	65.0%
Tunisia	Regueb	2000	34.3%	44.1%	25.4%
Tunisia	Regueb	2017	32.7%	42.3%	23.6%
Tunisia	Remada	2000	67.1%	76.2%	57.4%
Tunisia	Remada	2017	66.4%	74.4%	57.1%
Tunisia	Rouhia	2000	39.7%	51.6%	30.0%
Tunisia	Rouhia	2017	38.2%	50.1%	28.7%
Tunisia	Sabalat Ouled Asker	2000	37.5%	51.6%	25.9%
Tunisia	Sabalat Ouled Asker	2017	35.9%	50.2%	24.5%
Tunisia	Sabkhet Sijoumi	2000	93.4%	95.4%	90.9%
Tunisia	Sabkhet Sijoumi	2017	92.9%	95.0%	90.4%
Tunisia	Sahline	2000	63.5%	86.5%	35.5%
Tunisia	Sahline	2017	62.3%	85.8%	34.3%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ed-daier	2000	61.7%	84.1%	34.0%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ed-daier	2017	60.0%	82.8%	31.9%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ezzit	2000	57.1%	81.2%	29.4%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ezzit	2017	55.8%	80.2%	28.1%
Tunisia	Sakiet Sidi Youssef	2000	43.5%	62.1%	27.0%
Tunisia	Sakiet Sidi Youssef	2017	41.9%	60.4%	25.9%
Tunisia	Samar	2000	69.9%	79.5%	59.7%
Tunisia	Samar	2017	68.9%	78.6%	59.2%
Tunisia	Saouaf	2000	48.1%	63.7%	31.1%
Tunisia	Saouaf	2017	46.5%	62.2%	29.7%
Tunisia	Sayada-Lamta-Bou Hjar	2000	64.4%	87.6%	37.9%
Tunisia	Sayada-Lamta-Bou Hjar	2017	63.1%	87.0%	36.5%
Tunisia	Sbeitla	2000	34.1%	44.9%	25.0%
Tunisia	Sbeitla	2017	32.7%	43.4%	23.8%
Tunisia	Sbiba	2000	34.1%	44.0%	25.2%
Tunisia	Sbiba	2017	32.1%	41.3%	24.2%
Tunisia	Sbikha	2000	49.9%	58.8%	40.2%
Tunisia	Sbikha	2017	48.5%	57.6%	38.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Sebkhat Sidi El Hani	2000	58.0%	76.1%	34.8%
Tunisia	Sebkhat Sidi El Hani	2017	56.4%	74.8%	32.7%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Ari-ana	2000	88.9%	94.5%	79.4%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Ari-ana	2017	88.8%	94.3%	79.6%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Moknine El	2000	62.9%	84.5%	36.6%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Moknine El	2017	62.6%	84.5%	36.0%
Tunisia	Sebkhit El Kabla	2000	55.6%	74.6%	33.6%
Tunisia	Sebkhit El Kabla	2017	54.0%	73.3%	32.1%
Tunisia	Sejnane	2000	51.7%	66.2%	33.4%
Tunisia	Sejnane	2017	50.2%	65.0%	32.2%
Tunisia	Sened	2000	66.7%	75.4%	57.3%
Tunisia	Sened	2017	64.9%	73.9%	55.3%
Tunisia	Sfax Médina	2000	63.7%	84.5%	36.0%
Tunisia	Sfax Médina	2017	62.2%	83.6%	34.4%
Tunisia	Sfax Ouest	2000	64.6%	86.0%	34.4%
Tunisia	Sfax Ouest	2017	63.2%	85.2%	32.8%
Tunisia	Sfax Sud	2000	59.6%	81.4%	30.6%
Tunisia	Sfax Sud	2017	57.5%	79.8%	28.8%
Tunisia	Sidi Aich	2000	58.0%	72.9%	40.6%
Tunisia	Sidi Aich	2017	56.6%	71.8%	39.4%
Tunisia	Sidi Ali Ben Aoun	2000	45.1%	53.6%	38.2%
Tunisia	Sidi Ali Ben Aoun	2017	43.7%	52.4%	36.5%
Tunisia	Sidi Alouane	2000	57.7%	80.9%	29.0%
Tunisia	Sidi Alouane	2017	56.2%	79.9%	27.6%
Tunisia	Sidi Bou Ali	2000	57.8%	78.0%	31.6%
Tunisia	Sidi Bou Ali	2017	56.7%	77.1%	30.6%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Est	2000	37.1%	47.4%	26.8%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Est	2017	35.6%	46.0%	24.9%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Ouest	2000	35.1%	45.7%	25.9%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Ouest	2017	33.5%	43.9%	24.8%
Tunisia	Sidi El Béchir	2000	94.4%	96.1%	92.2%
Tunisia	Sidi El Béchir	2017	94.0%	95.8%	91.7%
Tunisia	Sidi El Heni	2000	57.2%	73.6%	37.4%
Tunisia	Sidi El Heni	2017	55.8%	72.3%	35.9%
Tunisia	Sidi Hassine	2000	91.3%	93.8%	88.0%
Tunisia	Sidi Hassine	2017	90.6%	93.3%	87.1%
Tunisia	Sidi Makhoulf	2000	72.2%	78.6%	65.3%
Tunisia	Sidi Makhoulf	2017	71.0%	77.6%	63.6%
Tunisia	Sidi Thabet	2000	83.7%	89.7%	73.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Sidi Thabet	2017	83.5%	89.5%	73.7%
Tunisia	Sijoumi	2000	94.2%	96.1%	91.6%
Tunisia	Sijoumi	2017	93.8%	95.8%	91.0%
Tunisia	Siliana Nord	2000	48.0%	58.5%	37.8%
Tunisia	Siliana Nord	2017	46.4%	57.1%	36.1%
Tunisia	Siliana Sud	2000	46.8%	57.5%	37.0%
Tunisia	Siliana Sud	2017	45.4%	55.8%	36.0%
Tunisia	Skhira	2000	49.1%	68.6%	29.6%
Tunisia	Skhira	2017	47.5%	66.9%	28.6%
Tunisia	Soliman	2000	72.0%	81.1%	62.0%
Tunisia	Soliman	2017	71.8%	81.0%	62.4%
Tunisia	Souassi	2000	57.2%	79.9%	30.4%
Tunisia	Souassi	2017	56.1%	79.2%	28.9%
Tunisia	Souk El Ahed	2000	69.3%	77.9%	58.5%
Tunisia	Souk El Ahed	2017	67.8%	76.3%	57.5%
Tunisia	Souk Jedid	2000	33.3%	47.4%	22.1%
Tunisia	Souk Jedid	2017	31.9%	45.8%	20.8%
Tunisia	Soukra	2000	92.7%	96.0%	87.7%
Tunisia	Soukra	2017	92.2%	95.8%	86.6%
Tunisia	Sousse	2000	67.7%	88.8%	41.0%
Tunisia	Jaouhara				
Tunisia	Sousse	2017	66.0%	87.9%	39.3%
Tunisia	Jaouhara				
Tunisia	Sousse Méd-ina	2000	68.3%	89.4%	41.7%
Tunisia	Sousse Méd-ina	2017	67.0%	88.9%	40.1%
Tunisia	Sousse Riadh	2000	64.9%	87.3%	38.4%
Tunisia	Sousse Riadh	2017	63.4%	86.4%	36.8%
Tunisia	Sousse Sidi Abdelhamid	2000	68.4%	89.9%	43.5%
Tunisia	Sousse Sidi Abdelhamid	2017	66.9%	89.2%	41.6%
Tunisia	Tabarka	2000	57.0%	72.1%	42.7%
Tunisia	Tabarka	2017	55.5%	70.9%	41.1%
Tunisia	Tajerouine	2000	42.8%	50.3%	35.4%
Tunisia	Tajerouine	2017	41.2%	48.9%	33.9%
Tunisia	Takelsa	2000	61.6%	75.1%	46.6%
Tunisia	Takelsa	2017	60.1%	73.8%	44.9%
Tunisia	Tamaghza	2000	72.2%	79.6%	63.0%
Tunisia	Tamaghza	2017	70.5%	78.3%	60.7%
Tunisia	Tataouine	2000	71.4%	77.8%	64.6%
Tunisia	Nord				
Tunisia	Tataouine Nord	2017	70.2%	76.6%	63.1%
Tunisia	Tataouine Sud	2000	71.5%	77.8%	64.1%
Tunisia	Tataouine Sud	2017	70.3%	76.8%	62.9%
Tunisia	Teboulba	2000	59.8%	84.0%	31.8%
Tunisia	Teboulba	2017	58.2%	83.0%	30.3%
Tunisia	Tebourba	2000	63.8%	79.6%	45.3%
Tunisia	Tebourba	2017	61.9%	78.2%	43.1%
Tunisia	Téboursouk	2000	35.9%	48.2%	26.2%
Tunisia	Téboursouk	2017	34.7%	47.2%	25.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Testour	2000	36.7%	46.1%	27.4%
Tunisia	Testour	2017	35.4%	43.9%	26.2%
Tunisia	Thala	2000	34.4%	41.1%	27.6%
Tunisia	Thala	2017	32.7%	39.6%	25.6%
Tunisia	Thibar	2000	32.7%	46.4%	21.3%
Tunisia	Thibar	2017	30.9%	44.7%	19.9%
Tunisia	Tinja	2000	62.7%	77.0%	47.5%
Tunisia	Tinja	2017	61.2%	76.2%	45.6%
Tunisia	Tozeur	2000	70.8%	76.3%	65.6%
Tunisia	Tozeur	2017	69.2%	74.8%	63.8%
Tunisia	Unknown	2000	93.6%	95.3%	91.2%
Tunisia	Unknown	2017	93.1%	94.9%	90.6%
Tunisia	Unknown1	2000	61.4%	83.6%	34.8%
Tunisia	Unknown1	2017	59.9%	82.6%	33.2%
Tunisia	Utique	2000	66.5%	76.4%	52.6%
Tunisia	Utique	2017	65.3%	75.6%	51.4%
Tunisia	Zaghouan	2000	55.0%	62.7%	47.8%
Tunisia	Zaghouan	2017	53.1%	61.2%	45.8%
Tunisia	Zarzis	2000	79.8%	84.9%	73.9%
Tunisia	Zarzis	2017	78.7%	84.2%	72.4%
Tunisia	Zeramdine	2000	57.7%	80.7%	29.4%
Tunisia	Zeramdine	2017	56.4%	79.8%	28.0%
Tunisia	Zriba	2000	51.6%	62.0%	39.3%
Tunisia	Zriba	2017	50.2%	60.9%	37.7%
Yemen	Abs	2000	30.7%	65.6%	3.0%
Yemen	Abs	2017	11.6%	21.8%	1.4%
Yemen	Ad Dahi	2000	25.6%	59.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Ad Dahi	2017	9.8%	24.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Ad Dhale'e	2000	13.1%	36.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Ad Dhale'e	2017	5.0%	14.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Ad Dis	2000	31.5%	68.4%	2.9%
Yemen	Ad Dis	2017	12.0%	30.5%	0.9%
Yemen	Ad Durayhimi	2000	21.4%	50.3%	0.1%
Yemen	Ad Durayhimi	2017	9.2%	22.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Adh Dhli'a'ah	2000	39.7%	67.0%	12.2%
Yemen	Adh Dhli'a'ah	2017	13.8%	22.4%	5.3%
Yemen	Aflah Al Ya-man	2000	6.6%	29.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Aflah Al Ya-man	2017	4.1%	25.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Aflah Ash Shawm	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Aflah Ash Shawm	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Ahwar	2000	32.2%	60.8%	8.6%
Yemen	Ahwar	2017	11.8%	24.2%	3.2%
Yemen	Ain	2000	23.9%	51.5%	0.6%
Yemen	Ain	2017	9.3%	22.4%	0.2%
Yemen	Al Hawtah	2000	1.4%	9.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Hawtah	2017	0.7%	7.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mukha	2000	36.2%	70.6%	8.4%
Yemen	Al Mukha	2017	13.0%	23.7%	3.8%
Yemen	Al A'rsh	2000	27.9%	72.6%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al A'rsh	2017	12.0%	44.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Abdiyah	2000	33.9%	71.1%	1.5%
Yemen	Al Abdiyah	2017	12.3%	25.8%	0.4%
Yemen	Al Abr	2000	37.7%	69.0%	11.9%
Yemen	Al Abr	2017	12.9%	22.2%	4.8%
Yemen	Al Ashah	2000	39.9%	76.0%	6.0%
Yemen	Al Ashah	2017	13.8%	25.1%	2.4%
Yemen	Al Azariq	2000	21.2%	56.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Azariq	2017	8.4%	21.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Bayda	2000	21.8%	58.0%	0.1%
Yemen	Al Bayda	2017	9.7%	33.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Bayda City	2000	9.2%	96.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Bayda City	2017	6.9%	94.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Buraiqeh	2000	21.3%	51.9%	0.8%
Yemen	Al Buraiqeh	2017	9.2%	21.5%	0.1%
Yemen	Al Dhaher	2000	34.9%	82.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Dhaher	2017	12.3%	32.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Dhihar	2000	0.3%	3.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Dhihar	2017	0.1%	1.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Garrahi	2000	23.9%	52.1%	0.7%
Yemen	Al Garrahi	2017	9.1%	21.4%	0.2%
Yemen	Al Ghaydah	2000	30.9%	51.9%	13.5%
Yemen	Al Ghaydah	2017	12.6%	24.3%	4.5%
Yemen	Al Ghayl	2000	43.1%	88.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Ghayl	2017	21.9%	55.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Had	2000	23.2%	55.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Had	2017	10.4%	28.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Hada	2000	27.8%	56.9%	5.1%
Yemen	Al Hada	2017	10.3%	21.6%	2.0%
Yemen	Al Hajjaylah	2000	8.2%	47.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Hajjaylah	2017	3.5%	23.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Hali	2000	5.0%	21.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Hali	2017	2.4%	14.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Hashwah	2000	42.7%	84.5%	0.9%
Yemen	Al Hashwah	2017	14.4%	26.3%	0.3%
Yemen	Al Hawak	2000	7.2%	51.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Hawak	2017	3.9%	39.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Haymah	2000	30.3%	74.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Ad Dakhiliyah				
Yemen	Al Haymah	2017	12.2%	29.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Ad Dakhiliyah				
Yemen	Al Haymah Al Kharijyah	2000	14.1%	32.4%	0.2%
Yemen	Al Haymah Al Kharijyah	2017	6.3%	15.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Hazm	2000	35.3%	73.2%	5.2%
Yemen	Al Hazm	2017	13.6%	31.0%	1.5%
Yemen	Al Humaydat	2000	28.3%	64.4%	1.1%
Yemen	Al Humaydat	2017	11.0%	26.3%	0.3%
Yemen	Al Husha	2000	16.3%	41.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Husha	2017	6.9%	19.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Husn	2000	37.1%	84.9%	0.2%
Yemen	Al Husn	2017	12.6%	28.5%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Hussein	2000	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Hussein	2017	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Jabin	2000	7.8%	21.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Jabin	2017	3.8%	12.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Jafariyah	2000	14.1%	42.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Jafariyah	2017	5.8%	20.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Jamimah	2000	17.1%	47.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Jamimah	2017	8.2%	28.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Jubah	2000	32.3%	56.9%	8.4%
Yemen	Al Jubah	2017	11.9%	23.3%	3.6%
Yemen	Al Khabt	2000	11.4%	31.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Khabt	2017	6.2%	18.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Khalq	2000	11.1%	29.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Khalq	2017	5.1%	17.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Khawkhah	2000	43.2%	82.1%	3.6%
Yemen	Al Khawkhah	2017	14.4%	31.9%	1.5%
Yemen	Al Ma'afer	2000	22.6%	70.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Ma'afer	2017	9.2%	27.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Madan	2000	21.4%	59.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Madan	2017	9.3%	34.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Madaribah	2000	42.5%	67.4%	21.8%
Yemen	Wa Al Arah Al Madaribah	2017	14.5%	22.2%	8.0%
Yemen	Al Maflahy	2000	13.6%	47.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Maflahy	2017	6.5%	24.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Maghrabah	2000	25.6%	77.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Maghrabah	2017	9.8%	29.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Ma- habishah	2000	19.3%	65.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Ma- habishah	2017	8.1%	45.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mahfad	2000	36.5%	65.8%	12.6%
Yemen	Al Mahfad	2017	12.9%	22.6%	4.6%
Yemen	Al Mahwait	2000	4.4%	9.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mahwait	2017	1.9%	5.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mahwait City	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mahwait City	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Makhadir	2000	7.3%	31.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Makhadir	2017	4.1%	26.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Malagim	2000	9.0%	25.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Malagim	2017	3.5%	14.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Manar	2000	26.7%	79.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Manar	2017	10.3%	27.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mansura	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mansura	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mansuriyah	2000	29.2%	73.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mansuriyah	2017	11.1%	29.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Maqatirah	2000	32.6%	75.3%	0.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Maqatirah	2017	12.4%	29.6%	0.1%
Yemen	Al Marawi'ah	2000	25.5%	52.6%	1.1%
Yemen	Al Marawi'ah	2017	10.1%	21.4%	0.7%
Yemen	Al Mashannah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mashannah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Masilah	2000	35.2%	54.2%	14.6%
Yemen	Al Masilah	2017	12.8%	21.9%	4.9%
Yemen	Al Maslub	2000	39.7%	85.6%	0.1%
Yemen	Al Maslub	2017	13.9%	31.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Matammah	2000	29.3%	62.4%	2.9%
Yemen	Al Matammah	2017	10.9%	23.2%	1.0%
Yemen	Al Maton	2000	24.2%	62.1%	0.4%
Yemen	Al Maton	2017	9.8%	33.4%	0.1%
Yemen	Al Mawasit	2000	21.1%	48.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mawasit	2017	9.1%	27.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Miftah	2000	12.4%	45.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Miftah	2017	3.9%	16.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mighlaf	2000	7.4%	32.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mighlaf	2017	3.8%	17.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Milah	2000	32.1%	61.4%	5.3%
Yemen	Al Milah	2017	11.7%	24.7%	1.8%
Yemen	Al Mina	2000	4.1%	20.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mina	2017	2.3%	12.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Misrakh	2000	12.7%	25.4%	1.7%
Yemen	Al Misrakh	2017	7.1%	21.3%	0.5%
Yemen	Al Mualla	2000	1.8%	8.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mualla	2017	1.5%	7.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mudhaffar	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mudhaffar	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mukalla	2000	39.1%	73.0%	10.3%
Yemen	Al Mukalla	2017	13.4%	25.2%	3.1%
Yemen	Al Mukalla City	2000	34.8%	74.0%	4.4%
Yemen	Al Mukalla City	2017	12.8%	27.8%	1.5%
Yemen	Al Munirah	2000	36.7%	72.7%	4.0%
Yemen	Al Munirah	2017	13.1%	24.6%	1.5%
Yemen	Al Musaymir	2000	33.6%	65.4%	1.2%
Yemen	Al Musaymir	2017	12.5%	24.8%	0.5%
Yemen	Al Qabbaytah	2000	17.2%	38.7%	1.2%
Yemen	Al Qabbaytah	2017	7.3%	15.4%	0.6%
Yemen	Al Qaf	2000	39.2%	52.3%	24.7%
Yemen	Al Qaf	2017	13.4%	17.7%	8.4%
Yemen	Al Qaflah	2000	39.1%	70.9%	1.6%
Yemen	Al Qaflah	2017	14.0%	25.2%	0.8%
Yemen	Al Qafr	2000	31.9%	60.0%	5.8%
Yemen	Al Qafr	2017	12.4%	23.9%	1.8%
Yemen	Al Qahirah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Qahirah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Qanawis	2000	25.5%	54.9%	0.1%
Yemen	Al Qanawis	2017	9.6%	23.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Qatn	2000	34.6%	73.3%	4.6%
Yemen	Al Qatn	2017	13.4%	30.6%	1.7%
Yemen	Al Quraishyah	2000	10.7%	28.1%	0.8%
Yemen	Al Quraishyah	2017	4.4%	13.2%	0.3%
Yemen	Al Talh	2000	39.6%	67.2%	15.5%
Yemen	Al Talh	2017	13.6%	22.2%	6.0%
Yemen	Al Udayn	2000	8.8%	14.4%	0.8%
Yemen	Al Udayn	2017	4.8%	9.8%	0.2%
Yemen	Al Wade'a	2000	30.0%	67.9%	1.0%
Yemen	Al Wade'a	2017	11.2%	26.8%	0.2%
Yemen	Al Wahdah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Wahdah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Wazi'iyah	2000	49.6%	86.7%	1.1%
Yemen	Al Wazi'iyah	2017	16.3%	28.8%	0.4%
Yemen	Alluheyah	2000	36.6%	69.3%	6.1%
Yemen	Alluheyah	2017	13.2%	24.4%	2.3%
Yemen	Amd	2000	37.2%	75.0%	3.8%
Yemen	Amd	2017	13.7%	31.1%	1.3%
Yemen	Amran	2000	12.5%	46.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Amran	2017	6.6%	29.3%	0.0%
Yemen	An Nadirah	2000	33.3%	78.9%	0.0%
Yemen	An Nadirah	2017	11.8%	36.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Anss	2000	22.7%	49.0%	5.0%
Yemen	Anss	2017	9.3%	25.8%	1.5%
Yemen	Ar Radmah	2000	20.2%	55.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Ar Radmah	2017	7.3%	21.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Ar Rawdah	2000	36.9%	65.2%	8.1%
Yemen	Ar Rawdah	2017	12.8%	25.0%	2.6%
Yemen	Ar Raydah	2000	39.4%	68.7%	11.6%
Yemen	Ar Raydah	2017	13.5%	24.3%	3.5%
Yemen	Ar Rujum	2000	6.4%	18.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Ar Rujum	2017	2.6%	8.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Ar Ryashyyah	2000	28.4%	78.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Ar Ryashyyah	2017	11.4%	32.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Arhab	2000	29.8%	60.1%	6.0%
Yemen	Arhab	2017	10.1%	22.7%	2.0%
Yemen	Arma	2000	37.7%	65.6%	12.9%
Yemen	Arma	2017	13.5%	23.5%	4.6%
Yemen	As Sabain	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	As Sabain	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	As Sabrah	2000	34.6%	84.9%	0.0%
Yemen	As Sabrah	2017	12.5%	30.8%	0.0%
Yemen	As Saddah	2000	26.2%	62.2%	0.0%
Yemen	As Saddah	2017	11.3%	31.4%	0.0%
Yemen	As Safra	2000	32.3%	69.0%	6.8%
Yemen	As Safra	2017	12.9%	31.9%	2.9%
Yemen	As Said	2000	34.8%	76.0%	1.6%
Yemen	As Said	2017	12.9%	27.0%	0.7%
Yemen	As Salafiyah	2000	20.2%	43.3%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	As Salafiyah	2017	8.2%	20.0%	0.0%
Yemen	As Salif	2000	39.4%	87.3%	0.1%
Yemen	As Salif	2017	13.0%	31.9%	0.0%
Yemen	As Sawadiyah	2000	15.2%	34.9%	0.2%
Yemen	As Sawadiyah	2017	6.2%	15.9%	0.1%
Yemen	As Sawd	2000	31.6%	86.3%	0.0%
Yemen	As Sawd	2017	11.7%	38.1%	0.0%
Yemen	As Sawm	2000	39.0%	64.3%	15.7%
Yemen	As Sawm	2017	13.4%	21.7%	6.2%
Yemen	As Sawma'ah	2000	24.4%	52.3%	4.0%
Yemen	As Sawma'ah	2017	10.0%	21.5%	1.6%
Yemen	As Sayyani	2000	30.4%	66.3%	0.9%
Yemen	As Sayyani	2017	14.4%	36.6%	0.3%
Yemen	As Silw	2000	12.6%	51.2%	0.0%
Yemen	As Silw	2017	5.5%	29.8%	0.0%
Yemen	As Sudah	2000	9.8%	27.1%	0.0%
Yemen	As Sudah	2017	4.0%	13.7%	0.0%
Yemen	As Sukhnah	2000	30.0%	65.0%	1.1%
Yemen	As Sukhnah	2017	12.6%	30.3%	0.4%
Yemen	Ash Sha'ir	2000	27.9%	61.8%	0.1%
Yemen	Ash Sha'ir	2017	11.2%	31.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Ash Shaghadi- rah	2000	7.2%	23.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Ash Shaghadi- rah	2017	3.0%	14.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Ash Shahil	2000	29.1%	86.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Ash Shahil	2017	11.6%	45.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Ash Shaikh Outhman	2000	31.1%	31.1%	31.1%
Yemen	Ash Shaikh Outhman	2017	7.8%	7.8%	7.8%
Yemen	Ash Shamay- atayn	2000	15.8%	37.3%	2.9%
Yemen	Ash Shamay- atayn	2017	6.6%	20.0%	1.1%
Yemen	Ash Sharyah	2000	24.9%	52.2%	2.8%
Yemen	Ash Sharyah	2017	9.6%	21.5%	1.4%
Yemen	Ash Shihr	2000	26.3%	48.7%	6.8%
Yemen	Ash Shihr	2017	10.3%	21.1%	2.4%
Yemen	Ash Shu'ayb	2000	12.9%	30.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Ash Shu'ayb	2017	5.0%	12.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Aslem	2000	1.4%	9.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Aslem	2017	0.7%	5.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Assafi'yah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Assafi'yah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	At Ta'iziyah	2000	21.4%	41.5%	7.2%
Yemen	At Ta'iziyah	2017	7.4%	17.8%	1.8%
Yemen	At Taffah	2000	28.2%	57.2%	4.5%
Yemen	At Taffah	2017	10.5%	21.0%	1.8%
Yemen	At Tahrir	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	At Tahrir	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	At Tawilah	2000	8.6%	20.5%	0.0%
Yemen	At Tawilah	2017	3.7%	12.1%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	At Tuhayat	2000	36.7%	71.3%	3.3%
Yemen	At Tuhayat	2017	13.5%	26.1%	1.7%
Yemen	Ataq	2000	37.3%	78.5%	3.5%
Yemen	Ataq	2017	13.3%	29.2%	1.2%
Yemen	Ath'thaorah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Ath'thaorah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Attawahi	2000	1.9%	25.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Attawahi	2017	1.4%	7.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Attyal	2000	31.9%	72.7%	2.3%
Yemen	Attyal	2017	11.8%	28.9%	0.8%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2000	30.1%	77.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2000	18.4%	59.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2017	11.8%	35.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2017	9.4%	40.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Az Zaydiyah	2000	21.5%	46.6%	0.1%
Yemen	Az Zaydiyah	2017	9.1%	19.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Az Zuhrah	2000	30.5%	63.6%	3.3%
Yemen	Az Zuhrah	2017	12.6%	23.2%	1.9%
Yemen	Az'zal	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Az'zal	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Ba'dan	2000	22.1%	57.2%	0.5%
Yemen	Ba'dan	2017	9.2%	28.6%	0.1%
Yemen	Bajil	2000	35.3%	67.2%	6.7%
Yemen	Bajil	2017	12.5%	22.5%	3.4%
Yemen	Bakil Al Mir	2000	39.8%	76.2%	6.4%
Yemen	Bakil Al Mir	2017	13.7%	25.9%	1.9%
Yemen	Bani Al Awam	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Bani Al Awam	2017	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Bani Al Harith	2000	3.4%	11.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Bani Al Harith	2017	1.8%	7.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Bani Dhabyan	2000	43.4%	70.5%	14.2%
Yemen	Bani Dhabyan	2017	14.6%	22.7%	5.4%
Yemen	Bani Hushaysh	2000	9.5%	23.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Bani Hushaysh	2017	3.8%	10.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Bani Matar	2000	15.9%	31.2%	1.5%
Yemen	Bani Matar	2017	6.7%	13.5%	0.7%
Yemen	Bani Qa'is	2000	28.1%	66.4%	0.1%
Yemen	Bani Qa'is	2017	10.9%	25.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Bani Sa'd	2000	25.4%	59.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Bani Sa'd	2017	10.9%	24.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Bani Suraim	2000	37.8%	86.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Bani Suraim	2017	12.6%	28.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Baqim	2000	63.5%	86.2%	27.9%
Yemen	Baqim	2017	19.3%	26.7%	7.9%
Yemen	Bart Al Anan	2000	34.3%	63.5%	6.6%
Yemen	Bart Al Anan	2017	12.0%	21.9%	2.1%
Yemen	Bayhan	2000	27.1%	61.8%	0.9%
Yemen	Bayhan	2017	11.2%	36.0%	0.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Bayt Al Faqiah	2000	37.9%	64.7%	14.2%
Yemen	Bayt Al Faqiah	2017	13.6%	22.3%	5.8%
Yemen	Bidbadah	2000	20.2%	46.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Bidbadah	2017	9.0%	27.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Bilad Ar Rus	2000	32.2%	79.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Bilad Ar Rus	2017	11.9%	32.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Bilad At Ta'am	2000	22.2%	51.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Bilad At Ta'am	2017	9.4%	22.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Brom Mayfa	2000	35.1%	69.1%	11.5%
Yemen	Brom Mayfa	2017	11.9%	24.2%	2.9%
Yemen	Bura	2000	25.8%	62.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Bura	2017	9.7%	29.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Craiter	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Craiter	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Damt	2000	9.9%	26.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Damt	2017	4.5%	13.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Dar Sad	2000	23.0%	23.1%	23.0%
Yemen	Dar Sad	2017	6.3%	6.4%	6.3%
Yemen	Daw'an	2000	39.0%	70.8%	9.4%
Yemen	Daw'an	2017	13.6%	25.4%	3.6%
Yemen	Dawran Aness	2000	34.6%	69.2%	5.9%
Yemen	Dawran Aness	2017	12.8%	25.5%	2.2%
Yemen	Dhamar City	2000	23.7%	47.1%	11.4%
Yemen	Dhamar City	2017	14.2%	37.2%	3.9%
Yemen	Dhar	2000	39.5%	75.4%	7.2%
Yemen	Dhar	2017	13.3%	23.8%	2.6%
Yemen	Dhi As Sufal	2000	40.8%	67.5%	13.9%
Yemen	Dhi As Sufal	2017	17.6%	51.3%	3.0%
Yemen	Dhi Bin	2000	19.5%	47.0%	0.5%
Yemen	Dhi Bin	2017	8.7%	22.8%	0.2%
Yemen	Dhi Na'im	2000	17.9%	52.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Dhi Na'im	2017	8.3%	31.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Dhubab	2000	40.5%	73.6%	9.2%
Yemen	Dhubab	2017	13.8%	25.4%	4.6%
Yemen	Dimnat Khadir	2000	23.7%	64.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Dimnat Khadir	2017	10.0%	31.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Far Al Udayn	2000	22.7%	52.0%	0.9%
Yemen	Far Al Udayn	2017	9.8%	24.2%	0.2%
Yemen	Ghamr	2000	41.7%	87.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Ghamr	2017	13.9%	31.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Ghayl Ba Wazir	2000	38.3%	79.5%	5.1%
Yemen	Ghayl Ba Wazir	2017	13.6%	31.4%	1.5%
Yemen	Ghayl Bin Yamin	2000	41.4%	67.0%	15.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Ghayl Bin Yamin	2017	14.0%	24.4%	4.6%
Yemen	Habban	2000	38.0%	79.8%	2.9%
Yemen	Habban	2017	13.3%	28.8%	1.1%
Yemen	Habil Jabr	2000	36.9%	72.1%	8.0%
Yemen	Habil Jabr	2017	14.3%	28.8%	2.9%
Yemen	Habur Zulaymah	2000	21.2%	77.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Habur Zulaymah	2017	9.1%	34.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Hagr As Sai'ar	2000	39.6%	65.5%	15.7%
Yemen	Hagr As Sai'ar	2017	13.5%	21.4%	5.6%
Yemen	Hajjah	2000	14.8%	50.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Hajjah	2017	7.3%	30.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Hajjah City	2000	30.5%	90.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Hajjah City	2017	13.3%	73.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Hajr	2000	38.2%	68.6%	10.2%
Yemen	Hajr	2017	13.4%	24.7%	4.0%
Yemen	Halimayn	2000	25.2%	55.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Halimayn	2017	10.6%	30.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Hamdan	2000	9.7%	21.1%	0.3%
Yemen	Hamdan	2017	4.2%	12.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Harad	2000	32.0%	68.1%	7.8%
Yemen	Harad	2017	12.8%	26.5%	2.5%
Yemen	Harf Sufyan	2000	37.4%	64.2%	12.6%
Yemen	Harf Sufyan	2017	12.9%	20.4%	4.6%
Yemen	Harib	2000	21.4%	42.7%	2.1%
Yemen	Harib	2017	9.5%	20.6%	1.0%
Yemen	Harib Al Qaramish	2000	24.5%	67.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Harib Al Qaramish	2017	9.0%	29.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Hat	2000	39.2%	53.3%	26.9%
Yemen	Hat	2017	13.5%	18.3%	8.9%
Yemen	Hatib	2000	37.0%	85.5%	1.4%
Yemen	Hatib	2017	12.6%	26.4%	0.5%
Yemen	Hawf	2000	38.2%	74.9%	6.1%
Yemen	Hawf	2017	13.2%	26.2%	2.5%
Yemen	Haydan	2000	36.2%	85.1%	0.7%
Yemen	Haydan	2017	13.1%	31.2%	0.2%
Yemen	Hayfan	2000	12.4%	41.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Hayfan	2017	5.8%	21.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Hayran	2000	35.4%	85.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Hayran	2017	13.6%	37.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Hays	2000	38.1%	81.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Hays	2017	14.5%	34.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Hazm Al Udayn	2000	32.4%	68.2%	0.4%
Yemen	Hazm Al Udayn	2017	11.4%	22.7%	0.1%
Yemen	Hidaybu	2000	34.9%	68.0%	10.4%
Yemen	Hidaybu	2017	12.2%	21.7%	3.8%
Yemen	Hubaysh	2000	31.4%	78.6%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Hubaysh	2017	11.6%	32.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Hufash	2000	4.0%	11.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Hufash	2017	1.7%	6.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Huraidhah	2000	40.2%	80.0%	3.8%
Yemen	Huraidhah	2017	14.1%	29.0%	1.3%
Yemen	Huswain	2000	30.1%	54.5%	9.6%
Yemen	Huswain	2017	11.2%	25.6%	2.6%
Yemen	Huth	2000	38.0%	87.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Huth	2017	13.2%	31.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Ibb	2000	10.0%	36.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Ibb	2017	5.3%	19.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Iyal Surayh	2000	28.6%	66.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Iyal Surayh	2017	12.1%	38.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Jabal Ash sharq	2000	34.3%	76.8%	1.0%
Yemen	Jabal Ash sharq	2017	13.1%	29.0%	0.3%
Yemen	Jabal Habashy	2000	12.6%	42.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Jabal Habashy	2017	5.8%	20.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Jabal Iyal Yazid	2000	18.9%	44.2%	0.4%
Yemen	Jabal Iyal Yazid	2017	8.6%	19.6%	0.1%
Yemen	Jabal Murad	2000	20.2%	64.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Jabal Murad	2017	9.8%	38.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Jabal Ra's	2000	33.9%	76.4%	0.5%
Yemen	Jabal Ra's	2017	12.2%	26.3%	0.2%
Yemen	Jahaf	2000	2.4%	9.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Jahaf	2017	0.7%	3.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Jahran	2000	22.3%	47.9%	5.4%
Yemen	Jahran	2017	9.9%	23.6%	1.7%
Yemen	Jardan	2000	34.2%	56.4%	15.9%
Yemen	Jardan	2017	12.4%	21.2%	5.3%
Yemen	Jayshan	2000	38.5%	77.8%	2.7%
Yemen	Jayshan	2017	13.0%	25.9%	0.8%
Yemen	Jiblah	2000	2.0%	12.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Jiblah	2017	1.0%	5.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Jihanah	2000	35.3%	79.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Jihanah	2017	12.9%	29.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Juban	2000	31.0%	61.5%	6.4%
Yemen	Juban	2017	11.0%	21.0%	2.1%
Yemen	Kamaran	2000	39.4%	87.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Kamaran	2017	13.8%	43.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	2000	36.5%	51.9%	19.9%
Yemen	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	2017	12.9%	18.4%	7.0%
Yemen	Khamir	2000	23.0%	54.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Khamir	2017	9.8%	23.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Khanfir	2000	46.7%	60.3%	31.5%
Yemen	Khanfir	2017	15.5%	21.4%	10.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Kharab Al Marashi	2000	25.4%	58.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Kharab Al Marashi	2017	10.2%	28.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Kharif	2000	32.9%	73.0%	0.4%
Yemen	Kharif	2017	12.9%	34.8%	0.1%
Yemen	Khayran Al Muharraq	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Khayran Al Muharraq	2017	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Khur Maksar	2000	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%
Yemen	Khur Maksar	2017	3.4%	3.4%	3.4%
Yemen	Khwlan	2000	34.4%	53.2%	13.2%
Yemen	Khwlan	2017	14.1%	24.0%	4.2%
Yemen	Kitaf wa Al Boqe'e	2000	39.6%	65.0%	15.3%
Yemen	Kitaf wa Al Boqe'e	2017	13.5%	20.2%	6.9%
Yemen	Ku'aydinah	2000	19.9%	41.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Ku'aydinah	2017	8.5%	18.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Kuhlan Affar	2000	11.7%	33.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Kuhlan Affar	2017	5.3%	18.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Kuhlan Ash Sharaf	2000	4.1%	12.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Kuhlan Ash Sharaf	2017	2.0%	8.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Kushar	2000	14.4%	43.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Kushar	2017	6.2%	19.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Kusmah	2000	2.1%	6.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Kusmah	2017	0.6%	2.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Lawdar	2000	28.7%	48.1%	8.4%
Yemen	Lawdar	2017	9.6%	17.2%	2.8%
Yemen	Ma'ain	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Ma'ain	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Mabyan	2000	11.7%	49.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Mabyan	2017	5.1%	27.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Maghirib Ans	2000	14.6%	66.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Maghirib Ans	2017	6.7%	30.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Mahliyah	2000	35.9%	74.0%	2.4%
Yemen	Mahliyah	2017	12.9%	26.4%	0.9%
Yemen	Majz	2000	45.4%	81.1%	12.7%
Yemen	Majz	2017	15.2%	25.2%	3.9%
Yemen	Majzar	2000	29.7%	62.3%	4.0%
Yemen	Majzar	2017	11.0%	23.2%	1.6%
Yemen	Man'ar	2000	36.8%	57.4%	19.5%
Yemen	Man'ar	2017	12.7%	17.8%	8.3%
Yemen	Manakhah	2000	6.8%	14.6%	0.4%
Yemen	Manakhah	2017	3.0%	7.0%	0.2%
Yemen	Maqbanah	2000	30.2%	53.8%	5.8%
Yemen	Maqbanah	2017	10.7%	19.2%	2.0%
Yemen	Marib	2000	18.1%	31.3%	7.3%
Yemen	Marib	2017	5.4%	10.5%	2.0%
Yemen	Marib City	2000	0.5%	2.5%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Marib City	2017	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Mashra'a Wa Hadnan	2000	11.3%	20.6%	0.5%
Yemen	Mashra'a Wa Hadnan	2017	6.6%	21.4%	0.1%
Yemen	Maswar	2000	7.5%	25.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Maswar	2017	3.1%	13.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Maswarah	2000	39.7%	76.4%	5.8%
Yemen	Maswarah	2017	13.7%	24.3%	1.7%
Yemen	Mawiyah	2000	32.9%	71.6%	3.6%
Yemen	Mawiyah	2017	11.9%	25.6%	1.0%
Yemen	Mawza	2000	27.9%	65.8%	1.7%
Yemen	Mawza	2017	9.9%	22.2%	0.7%
Yemen	Mayfa'a	2000	32.1%	67.7%	4.7%
Yemen	Mayfa'a	2017	11.5%	26.0%	1.5%
Yemen	Mayfa'at Anss	2000	34.2%	62.3%	11.3%
Yemen	Mayfa'at Anss	2017	13.4%	25.0%	4.5%
Yemen	Mazhar	2000	7.1%	24.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Mazhar	2017	2.6%	12.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Medghal	2000	44.0%	84.9%	2.2%
Yemen	Medghal	2017	14.7%	28.6%	0.7%
Yemen	Merkhah Al Ulya	2000	31.4%	63.9%	4.4%
Yemen	Merkhah Al Ulya	2017	11.7%	25.4%	1.2%
Yemen	Merkhah As Sufla	2000	35.5%	57.5%	17.7%
Yemen	Merkhah As Sufla	2017	12.4%	19.2%	6.2%
Yemen	Midi	2000	39.3%	78.0%	2.4%
Yemen	Midi	2017	13.6%	26.2%	1.7%
Yemen	Milhan	2000	1.1%	4.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Milhan	2017	0.7%	3.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Monabbih	2000	44.5%	84.8%	6.4%
Yemen	Monabbih	2017	16.6%	30.7%	2.0%
Yemen	Mudhaykhirah	2000	28.7%	31.4%	27.7%
Yemen	Mudhaykhirah	2017	8.9%	19.5%	7.5%
Yemen	Mudiyah	2000	33.5%	70.3%	5.0%
Yemen	Mudiyah	2017	12.0%	24.6%	1.3%
Yemen	Mukayras	2000	33.8%	76.4%	1.6%
Yemen	Mukayras	2017	12.7%	30.3%	0.5%
Yemen	Mustaba	2000	17.7%	44.6%	0.1%
Yemen	Mustaba	2017	10.6%	27.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Na'man	2000	38.7%	86.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Na'man	2017	13.4%	32.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Najrah	2000	1.5%	5.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Najrah	2017	0.9%	7.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Nati'	2000	37.7%	76.8%	0.7%
Yemen	Nati'	2017	13.8%	30.5%	0.2%
Yemen	Nihm	2000	36.0%	72.1%	6.0%
Yemen	Nihm	2017	13.1%	24.3%	2.9%
Yemen	Nisab	2000	36.0%	61.1%	12.6%
Yemen	Nisab	2017	13.1%	23.9%	4.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Old City	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Old City	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Qa'atabah	2000	21.0%	50.2%	0.2%
Yemen	Qa'atabah	2017	8.5%	19.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Qafil Shamer	2000	10.3%	43.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Qafil Shamer	2017	6.4%	35.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Qarah	2000	28.6%	66.3%	0.8%
Yemen	Qarah	2017	11.1%	27.1%	0.2%
Yemen	Qatabir	2000	58.0%	87.5%	6.4%
Yemen	Qatabir	2017	18.9%	35.9%	2.4%
Yemen	Qishn	2000	29.9%	60.8%	6.3%
Yemen	Qishn	2017	12.2%	33.0%	1.7%
Yemen	Qulensya Wa Abd Al Kuri	2000	37.7%	74.4%	6.0%
Yemen	Qulensya Wa Abd Al Kuri	2017	13.1%	25.5%	2.2%
Yemen	Rada'	2000	13.8%	34.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Rada'	2017	4.8%	14.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Radfan	2000	32.3%	67.3%	3.6%
Yemen	Radfan	2017	12.6%	30.8%	1.3%
Yemen	Radman Al Awad	2000	21.0%	55.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Radman Al Awad	2017	8.9%	25.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Raghwan	2000	40.9%	86.0%	1.8%
Yemen	Raghwan	2017	13.5%	31.6%	0.5%
Yemen	Rahabah	2000	37.4%	76.8%	3.1%
Yemen	Rahabah	2017	13.2%	27.3%	1.2%
Yemen	Rajuzah	2000	26.6%	62.9%	1.5%
Yemen	Rajuzah	2017	9.7%	20.9%	0.7%
Yemen	Rakhyah	2000	39.2%	79.0%	3.2%
Yemen	Rakhyah	2017	13.2%	28.0%	1.2%
Yemen	Rasad	2000	23.6%	56.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Rasad	2017	11.2%	26.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Raydah	2000	37.9%	73.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Raydah	2017	14.9%	34.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Razih	2000	40.7%	87.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Razih	2017	14.1%	32.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Rudum	2000	34.7%	58.3%	14.9%
Yemen	Rudum	2017	12.2%	20.1%	4.6%
Yemen	Rumah	2000	39.2%	53.8%	26.9%
Yemen	Rumah	2017	13.4%	17.6%	9.2%
Yemen	Sa'adah	2000	16.3%	94.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Sa'adah	2017	9.6%	75.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Sa'fan	2000	1.0%	4.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Sa'fan	2017	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Sabah	2000	19.6%	51.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Sabah	2017	7.9%	26.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Sabir Al Mawadim	2000	9.1%	21.2%	2.8%
Yemen	Sabir Al Mawadim	2017	4.8%	12.8%	0.6%
Yemen	Sah	2000	38.3%	68.4%	10.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Sah	2017	13.9%	26.9%	4.0%
Yemen	Sahar	2000	39.1%	82.9%	5.0%
Yemen	Sahar	2017	14.2%	28.7%	1.6%
Yemen	Salh	2000	0.3%	5.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Salh	2017	0.1%	1.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Sama	2000	27.2%	87.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Sama	2017	12.5%	45.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Sanhan	2000	8.2%	19.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Sanhan	2017	4.0%	10.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Saqayn	2000	39.1%	85.6%	1.0%
Yemen	Saqayn	2017	14.0%	28.9%	0.3%
Yemen	Sarar	2000	36.5%	62.8%	9.6%
Yemen	Sarar	2017	14.2%	27.7%	4.2%
Yemen	Sayhut	2000	33.2%	61.8%	8.3%
Yemen	Sayhut	2017	12.4%	27.8%	2.9%
Yemen	Sayun	2000	8.9%	30.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Sayun	2017	3.9%	16.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Shada'a	2000	43.8%	88.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Shada'a	2017	14.1%	35.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Shahan	2000	39.1%	57.3%	20.4%
Yemen	Shahan	2017	13.4%	19.0%	7.1%
Yemen	Shaharah	2000	24.7%	54.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Shaharah	2017	11.1%	25.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Shara'b Ar	2000	26.9%	57.8%	1.7%
Yemen	Rawnah				
Yemen	Shara'b Ar	2017	10.2%	23.3%	0.7%
Yemen	Rawnah				
Yemen	Shara'b As	2000	22.9%	61.8%	4.3%
Yemen	Salam				
Yemen	Shara'b As	2017	10.6%	30.2%	1.2%
Yemen	Salam				
Yemen	Sharas	2000	25.7%	86.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Sharas	2017	10.6%	40.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Shibam	2000	13.2%	30.2%	1.4%
Yemen	Shibam	2017	6.2%	15.9%	0.9%
Yemen	Shibam Kawkaban	2000	21.5%	74.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Shibam Kawkaban	2017	8.9%	34.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Shu'aub	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Shu'aub	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Sibah	2000	28.6%	67.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Sibah	2017	11.9%	29.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Sirwah	2000	31.6%	58.6%	7.4%
Yemen	Sirwah	2017	11.8%	22.0%	2.9%
Yemen	Suwayr	2000	28.4%	72.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Suwayr	2017	10.9%	26.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Tarim	2000	18.2%	34.1%	5.1%
Yemen	Tarim	2017	6.2%	14.0%	1.5%
Yemen	Thamud	2000	40.0%	53.3%	29.4%
Yemen	Thamud	2017	13.5%	17.9%	9.5%
Yemen	Thula	2000	27.7%	55.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Thula	2017	15.2%	32.2%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Tuban	2000	28.0%	54.5%	14.7%
Yemen	Tuban	2017	11.1%	21.9%	6.1%
Yemen	Tur Al Bahah	2000	35.3%	60.3%	7.2%
Yemen	Tur Al Bahah	2017	13.5%	24.5%	2.9%
Yemen	Usaylan	2000	39.5%	81.0%	6.7%
Yemen	Usaylan	2017	13.5%	27.6%	2.5%
Yemen	Utmah	2000	27.3%	59.9%	0.5%
Yemen	Utmah	2017	11.6%	25.3%	0.2%
Yemen	Wadhrah	2000	3.8%	19.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Wadhrah	2017	2.7%	21.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Wadi Al Ayn	2000	39.5%	74.3%	8.0%
Yemen	Wadi Al Ayn	2017	13.5%	26.1%	2.6%
Yemen	Wald Rabi'	2000	21.6%	45.5%	2.5%
Yemen	Wald Rabi'	2017	7.9%	17.2%	1.2%
Yemen	Washhah	2000	12.9%	35.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Washhah	2017	6.0%	24.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Wusab Al Ali	2000	33.9%	73.9%	1.9%
Yemen	Wusab Al Ali	2017	13.4%	29.6%	0.5%
Yemen	Wusab As Safil	2000	27.8%	58.3%	3.2%
Yemen	Wusab As Safil	2017	10.2%	21.2%	0.9%
Yemen	Yabuth	2000	41.1%	73.8%	8.4%
Yemen	Yabuth	2017	14.0%	25.3%	3.0%
Yemen	Yafa'a	2000	15.7%	37.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Yafa'a	2017	8.1%	19.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Yahr	2000	16.7%	32.5%	0.1%
Yemen	Yahr	2017	7.0%	15.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Yarim	2000	24.4%	52.0%	0.1%
Yemen	Yarim	2017	11.3%	30.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Zabid	2000	25.1%	51.4%	3.2%
Yemen	Zabid	2017	10.7%	23.3%	1.2%
Yemen	Zamakh wa Manwakh	2000	38.4%	51.2%	25.7%
Yemen	Zamakh wa Manwakh	2017	13.1%	17.8%	8.9%
Yemen	Zingibar	2000	19.8%	87.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Zingibar	2017	6.8%	40.7%	0.0%
South Asia					
Bangladesh	Bagerhat	2000	3.4%	8.3%	0.8%
Bangladesh	Bagerhat	2017	6.0%	12.8%	2.0%
Bangladesh	Bandarban	2000	4.2%	11.1%	0.8%
Bangladesh	Bandarban	2017	5.9%	13.6%	1.4%
Bangladesh	Barguna	2000	7.7%	15.5%	3.3%
Bangladesh	Barguna	2017	11.6%	20.3%	5.6%
Bangladesh	Barisal	2000	5.8%	9.3%	3.2%
Bangladesh	Barisal	2017	10.2%	14.4%	6.7%
Bangladesh	Bhola	2000	2.1%	4.2%	1.1%
Bangladesh	Bhola	2017	4.5%	7.8%	2.8%
Bangladesh	Bogra	2000	9.5%	14.2%	6.9%
Bangladesh	Bogra	2017	14.7%	19.7%	11.3%
Bangladesh	Brahamanbaria	2000	7.4%	12.8%	3.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bangladesh	Brahamanbaria	2017	12.6%	18.7%	7.6%
Bangladesh	Chandpur	2000	4.8%	11.1%	1.7%
Bangladesh	Chandpur	2017	8.2%	15.5%	3.5%
Bangladesh	Chittagong	2000	11.2%	14.5%	9.1%
Bangladesh	Chittagong	2017	18.5%	22.5%	15.7%
Bangladesh	Chuadanga	2000	10.7%	18.2%	4.9%
Bangladesh	Chuadanga	2017	15.8%	24.2%	9.1%
Bangladesh	Comilla	2000	6.2%	10.1%	3.5%
Bangladesh	Comilla	2017	10.4%	15.5%	6.7%
Bangladesh	Cox'S Bazar	2000	6.3%	13.6%	1.6%
Bangladesh	Cox'S Bazar	2017	8.9%	17.0%	3.0%
Bangladesh	Dhaka	2000	23.2%	24.4%	22.2%
Bangladesh	Dhaka	2017	38.7%	40.1%	37.5%
Bangladesh	Dinajpur	2000	6.6%	9.3%	4.6%
Bangladesh	Dinajpur	2017	9.2%	12.9%	6.4%
Bangladesh	Faridpur	2000	6.6%	15.9%	2.0%
Bangladesh	Faridpur	2017	10.6%	21.4%	4.2%
Bangladesh	Feni	2000	7.7%	17.2%	3.2%
Bangladesh	Feni	2017	12.3%	21.6%	6.5%
Bangladesh	Gaibandha	2000	2.9%	5.6%	1.5%
Bangladesh	Gaibandha	2017	5.3%	8.4%	3.2%
Bangladesh	Gazipur	2000	19.3%	26.1%	14.1%
Bangladesh	Gazipur	2017	30.4%	39.4%	23.1%
Bangladesh	Gopalganj	2000	7.9%	18.8%	1.6%
Bangladesh	Gopalganj	2017	12.6%	25.2%	3.6%
Bangladesh	Habiganj	2000	4.6%	8.2%	2.1%
Bangladesh	Habiganj	2017	7.4%	12.9%	4.0%
Bangladesh	Jamalpur	2000	3.5%	8.3%	0.9%
Bangladesh	Jamalpur	2017	5.7%	11.8%	1.7%
Bangladesh	Jessore	2000	16.7%	20.6%	13.2%
Bangladesh	Jessore	2017	22.8%	27.3%	18.7%
Bangladesh	Jhalokati	2000	2.3%	4.2%	1.2%
Bangladesh	Jhalokati	2017	4.7%	7.4%	2.7%
Bangladesh	Jhenaidah	2000	6.3%	11.1%	3.1%
Bangladesh	Jhenaidah	2017	10.7%	17.3%	5.7%
Bangladesh	Joypurhat	2000	6.7%	15.8%	2.1%
Bangladesh	Joypurhat	2017	11.1%	21.9%	4.3%
Bangladesh	Khagrachhari	2000	6.5%	15.6%	1.3%
Bangladesh	Khagrachhari	2017	9.6%	20.3%	2.5%
Bangladesh	Khulna	2000	7.0%	9.5%	5.6%
Bangladesh	Khulna	2017	12.2%	15.6%	10.3%
Bangladesh	Kishoreganj	2000	4.1%	8.6%	1.4%
Bangladesh	Kishoreganj	2017	7.0%	12.9%	2.9%
Bangladesh	Kurigram	2000	1.9%	3.5%	1.0%
Bangladesh	Kurigram	2017	3.6%	6.0%	2.1%
Bangladesh	Kushtia	2000	7.2%	12.1%	3.7%
Bangladesh	Kushtia	2017	11.0%	16.4%	7.0%
Bangladesh	Lakshmipur	2000	2.6%	7.0%	0.5%
Bangladesh	Lakshmipur	2017	4.4%	10.1%	1.3%
Bangladesh	Lalmonirhat	2000	2.7%	7.1%	0.7%
Bangladesh	Lalmonirhat	2017	4.7%	10.8%	1.5%
Bangladesh	Madaripur	2000	4.3%	10.5%	0.9%
Bangladesh	Madaripur	2017	8.1%	15.7%	2.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bangladesh	Magura	2000	6.4%	13.4%	1.8%
Bangladesh	Magura	2017	10.2%	18.7%	3.9%
Bangladesh	Manikganj	2000	10.8%	18.8%	5.9%
Bangladesh	Manikganj	2017	15.4%	25.6%	8.9%
Bangladesh	Maulvibazar	2000	17.5%	23.6%	12.3%
Bangladesh	Maulvibazar	2017	24.2%	31.1%	17.8%
Bangladesh	Meherpur	2000	6.3%	12.7%	2.5%
Bangladesh	Meherpur	2017	11.6%	20.7%	5.5%
Bangladesh	Munshiganj	2000	6.0%	13.1%	2.4%
Bangladesh	Munshiganj	2017	10.5%	20.9%	5.2%
Bangladesh	Mymensingh	2000	11.5%	15.5%	8.3%
Bangladesh	Mymensingh	2017	14.8%	19.5%	10.7%
Bangladesh	Naogaon	2000	6.9%	12.1%	3.3%
Bangladesh	Naogaon	2017	10.6%	16.4%	6.2%
Bangladesh	Narail	2000	6.3%	15.4%	2.2%
Bangladesh	Narail	2017	10.4%	21.3%	4.6%
Bangladesh	Narayanganj	2000	12.8%	15.0%	10.7%
Bangladesh	Narayanganj	2017	23.4%	27.3%	21.1%
Bangladesh	Narsingdi	2000	9.5%	15.1%	5.5%
Bangladesh	Narsingdi	2017	16.6%	23.1%	10.4%
Bangladesh	Natore	2000	5.4%	11.1%	2.0%
Bangladesh	Natore	2017	8.7%	15.9%	3.8%
Bangladesh	Nawabganj	2000	4.7%	11.4%	1.4%
Bangladesh	Nawabganj	2017	8.7%	18.1%	3.3%
Bangladesh	Netrakona	2000	3.3%	8.3%	0.7%
Bangladesh	Netrakona	2017	5.2%	11.6%	1.6%
Bangladesh	Nilphamari	2000	8.3%	10.4%	6.2%
Bangladesh	Nilphamari	2017	11.0%	13.7%	8.9%
Bangladesh	Noakhali	2000	5.9%	9.3%	3.4%
Bangladesh	Noakhali	2017	10.2%	14.9%	6.7%
Bangladesh	Pabna	2000	8.3%	15.5%	4.0%
Bangladesh	Pabna	2017	11.7%	20.0%	6.3%
Bangladesh	Panchagarh	2000	1.2%	3.8%	0.1%
Bangladesh	Panchagarh	2017	2.2%	5.8%	0.3%
Bangladesh	Patuakhali	2000	6.3%	9.9%	3.6%
Bangladesh	Patuakhali	2017	9.3%	14.0%	5.8%
Bangladesh	Pirojpur	2000	1.2%	3.3%	0.3%
Bangladesh	Pirojpur	2017	2.5%	5.3%	0.8%
Bangladesh	Rajbari	2000	5.1%	12.8%	0.7%
Bangladesh	Rajbari	2017	8.1%	17.7%	1.6%
Bangladesh	Rajshahi	2000	12.7%	17.6%	9.1%
Bangladesh	Rajshahi	2017	19.7%	26.0%	15.1%
Bangladesh	Rangamati	2000	6.0%	13.4%	1.5%
Bangladesh	Rangamati	2017	8.7%	17.7%	2.6%
Bangladesh	Rangpur	2000	11.7%	18.2%	7.1%
Bangladesh	Rangpur	2017	15.7%	23.4%	10.4%
Bangladesh	Satkhira	2000	8.7%	14.4%	4.2%
Bangladesh	Satkhira	2017	12.5%	18.9%	6.4%
Bangladesh	Shariatpur	2000	3.0%	11.6%	0.3%
Bangladesh	Shariatpur	2017	4.9%	15.7%	0.8%
Bangladesh	Sherpur	2000	3.1%	7.6%	1.0%
Bangladesh	Sherpur	2017	5.2%	10.8%	1.8%
Bangladesh	Sirajganj	2000	9.2%	13.3%	5.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bangladesh	Sirajganj	2017	11.6%	15.8%	7.5%
Bangladesh	Sunamganj	2000	5.7%	10.8%	2.8%
Bangladesh	Sunamganj	2017	9.7%	15.6%	5.9%
Bangladesh	Sylhet	2000	11.7%	15.7%	8.9%
Bangladesh	Sylhet	2017	19.2%	23.9%	15.4%
Bangladesh	Tangail	2000	4.0%	9.1%	0.9%
Bangladesh	Tangail	2017	6.7%	13.6%	2.1%
Bangladesh	Thakurgaon	2000	4.3%	8.5%	1.5%
Bangladesh	Thakurgaon	2017	7.6%	13.0%	3.1%
Bhutan	Athang	2000	8.3%	23.4%	0.5%
Bhutan	Athang	2017	68.3%	92.6%	36.4%
Bhutan	Balam	2000	8.9%	46.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Balam	2017	63.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bapisa	2000	9.1%	54.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bapisa	2017	64.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bara	2000	8.3%	35.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bara	2017	62.0%	100.0%	8.6%
Bhutan	Bardo	2000	9.7%	32.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bardo	2017	66.0%	99.8%	12.8%
Bhutan	Bartsham	2000	8.8%	46.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bartsham	2017	63.6%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Barzhong	2000	12.2%	62.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Barzhong	2017	77.2%	100.0%	5.3%
Bhutan	Beteni	2000	10.4%	37.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Beteni	2017	70.7%	100.0%	7.7%
Bhutan	Bhur	2000	6.6%	12.9%	5.3%
Bhutan	Bhur	2017	95.4%	100.0%	79.9%
Bhutan	Bidung	2000	8.6%	51.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bidung	2017	63.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Biru	2000	8.9%	56.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Biru	2017	63.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bjachho	2000	9.0%	42.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bjachho	2017	67.2%	100.0%	8.8%
Bhutan	Bjena	2000	9.3%	37.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bjena	2017	67.4%	100.0%	7.2%
Bhutan	Bji	2000	7.9%	19.4%	0.4%
Bhutan	Bji	2017	59.7%	91.0%	28.8%
Bhutan	Bjoka	2000	8.8%	30.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bjoka	2017	62.9%	99.9%	10.6%
Bhutan	Bongo	2000	8.1%	27.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bongo	2017	68.7%	98.6%	26.4%
Bhutan	Bumdeling	2000	9.4%	24.3%	0.9%
Bhutan	Bumdeling	2017	64.6%	94.6%	24.9%
Bhutan	Chang	2000	2.1%	16.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chang	2017	56.4%	100.0%	8.3%
Bhutan	Chapchha	2000	9.3%	39.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chapchha	2017	63.7%	100.0%	6.4%
Bhutan	Chargharay	2000	10.6%	52.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chargharay	2017	62.8%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chaskhar	2000	10.1%	55.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chaskhar	2017	65.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chengmari	2000	6.9%	33.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chengmari	2017	68.7%	100.0%	12.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Chhali	2000	11.1%	71.5%	5.2%
Bhutan	Chhali	2017	92.6%	100.0%	79.1%
Bhutan	Chhimung	2000	8.0%	43.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhimung	2017	61.5%	100.0%	0.2%
Bhutan	Chhoekhor	2000	8.4%	21.2%	1.5%
Bhutan	Chhoekhor	2017	71.8%	94.2%	35.8%
Bhutan	Chhubu	2000	4.1%	28.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhubu	2017	72.3%	99.8%	32.7%
Bhutan	Chhume	2000	9.4%	28.7%	0.3%
Bhutan	Chhume	2017	67.9%	98.1%	22.1%
Bhutan	Chhuzagang	2000	13.4%	50.6%	3.8%
Bhutan	Chhuzagang	2017	99.1%	100.0%	98.2%
Bhutan	Chokhorling	2000	9.4%	35.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chokhorling	2017	64.7%	100.0%	4.7%
Bhutan	Chongshing	2000	3.3%	38.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chongshing	2017	79.5%	100.0%	20.1%
Bhutan	Daga	2000	9.3%	25.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Daga	2017	68.6%	99.3%	17.7%
Bhutan	Dagala	2000	4.3%	33.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dagala	2017	43.5%	99.1%	5.3%
Bhutan	Dala	2000	8.1%	33.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dala	2017	64.4%	100.0%	10.8%
Bhutan	Dangchhu	2000	5.4%	22.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dangchhu	2017	77.1%	100.0%	47.5%
Bhutan	Dechhenling	2000	8.7%	34.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dechhenling	2017	62.0%	100.0%	2.3%
Bhutan	Dekiling	2000	10.3%	46.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dekiling	2017	69.5%	100.0%	1.6%
Bhutan	Denchhukha	2000	9.1%	49.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Denchhukha	2017	59.7%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Deorali	2000	8.0%	38.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Deorali	2017	64.3%	100.0%	6.4%
Bhutan	Dewathang	2000	8.1%	36.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dewathang	2017	65.3%	100.0%	9.5%
Bhutan	Doban	2000	8.7%	33.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Doban	2017	62.5%	98.8%	18.2%
Bhutan	Doga	2000	9.9%	33.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Doga	2017	64.1%	100.0%	0.2%
Bhutan	Dopshari	2000	14.6%	70.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dopshari	2017	73.6%	78.3%	7.7%
Bhutan	Dorokha	2000	7.8%	35.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dorokha	2017	65.8%	100.0%	5.5%
Bhutan	Dorona	2000	14.1%	44.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dorona	2017	73.3%	100.0%	20.0%
Bhutan	Doteng	2000	21.8%	53.4%	1.4%
Bhutan	Doteng	2017	89.2%	100.0%	60.8%
Bhutan	Dragteng	2000	7.3%	27.1%	1.3%
Bhutan	Dragteng	2017	89.9%	100.0%	54.9%
Bhutan	Drametse	2000	9.9%	65.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Drametse	2017	62.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Drepung	2000	2.9%	27.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Drepung	2017	30.0%	88.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Drugyelgang	2000	16.4%	62.8%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Drugyelgang	2017	90.1%	100.0%	35.6%
Bhutan	Dunglegang	2000	3.9%	31.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dunglegang	2017	72.5%	100.0%	23.5%
Bhutan	Dungmin	2000	7.3%	34.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dungmin	2017	73.9%	100.0%	24.7%
Bhutan	Dungna	2000	8.6%	31.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dungna	2017	61.9%	98.2%	18.1%
Bhutan	Dungtoe	2000	7.1%	52.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dungtoe	2017	62.1%	100.0%	0.1%
Bhutan	Dzoma	2000	5.6%	52.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dzoma	2017	73.0%	100.0%	24.3%
Bhutan	Gakiling	2000	6.2%	21.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gakiling	2017	62.3%	98.7%	17.8%
Bhutan	Gangte	2000	9.7%	40.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gangte	2017	64.8%	100.0%	5.1%
Bhutan	Gangzur	2000	8.2%	24.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gangzur	2017	64.2%	96.3%	19.4%
Bhutan	Gasetsho Gom	2000	4.0%	16.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gasetsho Gom	2017	96.0%	100.0%	86.8%
Bhutan	Gasetsho Om	2000	13.1%	47.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gasetsho Om	2017	73.9%	100.0%	39.9%
Bhutan	Gelephu	2000	6.1%	11.1%	4.7%
Bhutan	Gelephu	2017	97.8%	100.0%	86.5%
Bhutan	Geling	2000	6.6%	24.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Geling	2017	74.9%	99.9%	43.7%
Bhutan	Genye	2000	8.6%	49.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Genye	2017	62.8%	100.0%	0.3%
Bhutan	Gesarling	2000	9.4%	38.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gesarling	2017	82.0%	100.0%	36.8%
Bhutan	Getana	2000	9.6%	30.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Getana	2017	64.9%	100.0%	17.0%
Bhutan	Goenkhamé	2000	8.9%	45.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Goenkhamé	2017	62.1%	100.0%	3.2%
Bhutan	Goenkhatoe	2000	8.6%	25.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Goenkhatoe	2017	64.6%	95.9%	31.5%
Bhutan	Goenshari	2000	6.9%	35.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Goenshari	2017	57.5%	100.0%	0.7%
Bhutan	Gomdar	2000	8.2%	42.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gomdar	2017	59.0%	100.0%	0.7%
Bhutan	Gongdue	2000	9.1%	31.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gongdue	2017	60.9%	99.9%	7.1%
Bhutan	Gosarling	2000	14.8%	54.0%	0.1%
Bhutan	Gosarling	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Bhutan	Gozhi	2000	9.3%	90.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gozhi	2017	71.7%	100.0%	4.6%
Bhutan	Gozhing	2000	4.4%	31.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gozhing	2017	74.3%	99.0%	20.8%
Bhutan	Guma	2000	4.5%	50.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Guma	2017	65.8%	99.8%	15.3%
Bhutan	Hiley	2000	8.3%	33.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Hiley	2017	63.3%	100.0%	0.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Hungrel	2000	12.6%	57.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Hungrel	2017	86.1%	100.0%	13.3%
Bhutan	Jamkhar	2000	9.2%	54.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Jamkhar	2017	61.4%	100.0%	0.2%
Bhutan	Jangchhubling	2000	9.6%	31.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Jangchhubling	2017	65.8%	100.0%	8.5%
Bhutan	Jaray	2000	9.5%	35.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Jaray	2017	63.1%	97.5%	13.6%
Bhutan	Jigmichhoeling	2000	8.3%	23.8%	0.3%
Bhutan	Jigmichhoeling	2017	64.3%	93.8%	27.6%
Bhutan	Jurmey	2000	10.5%	53.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Jurmey	2017	59.2%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kabjisa	2000	4.6%	20.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kabjisa	2017	48.4%	81.4%	11.9%
Bhutan	Kalidzingkha	2000	9.1%	26.4%	0.1%
Bhutan	Kalidzingkha	2017	85.9%	100.0%	53.7%
Bhutan	Kanglung	2000	5.5%	53.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kanglung	2017	75.5%	100.0%	18.8%
Bhutan	Kangpara	2000	8.8%	26.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kangpara	2017	62.0%	98.5%	15.4%
Bhutan	Katsho	2000	2.8%	35.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Katsho	2017	30.2%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kawang	2000	5.5%	21.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kawang	2017	76.1%	99.7%	36.4%
Bhutan	Kazhi	2000	7.6%	24.7%	0.4%
Bhutan	Kazhi	2017	65.4%	95.9%	22.4%
Bhutan	Kengkhar	2000	8.7%	34.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kengkhar	2017	58.8%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khaling	2000	5.1%	20.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khaling	2017	72.3%	99.9%	30.0%
Bhutan	Khamdang	2000	5.9%	43.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khamdang	2017	67.4%	100.0%	12.1%
Bhutan	Khar	2000	11.2%	37.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khar	2017	76.1%	100.0%	22.5%
Bhutan	Khipisa	2000	9.6%	44.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khipisa	2017	77.2%	100.0%	20.2%
Bhutan	Khoma	2000	9.9%	30.6%	0.6%
Bhutan	Khoma	2017	66.2%	97.3%	24.5%
Bhutan	Kikorthang	2000	6.1%	23.0%	0.1%
Bhutan	Kikorthang	2017	93.0%	100.0%	72.7%
Bhutan	Korphu	2000	8.8%	28.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Korphu	2017	64.5%	99.8%	12.1%
Bhutan	Kurtoe	2000	8.4%	16.9%	0.7%
Bhutan	Kurtoe	2017	70.4%	94.1%	42.3%
Bhutan	Lajab	2000	13.4%	36.7%	1.4%
Bhutan	Lajab	2017	84.6%	100.0%	30.6%
Bhutan	Lamgong	2000	2.2%	7.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lamgong	2017	7.5%	13.3%	3.3%
Bhutan	Langchhenphu	2000	8.8%	50.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Langchhenphu	2017	62.8%	100.0%	2.2%
Bhutan	Langthil	2000	10.2%	23.5%	1.9%
Bhutan	Langthil	2017	74.0%	96.5%	44.0%
Bhutan	Lauri	2000	8.9%	28.3%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Lauri	2017	62.4%	99.9%	13.1%
Bhutan	Laya	2000	10.2%	23.0%	2.0%
Bhutan	Laya	2017	68.1%	95.1%	34.7%
Bhutan	Lhamoizingkha	2000	8.5%	47.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lhamoizingkha	2017	61.0%	100.0%	0.4%
Bhutan	Lingmukha	2000	8.6%	62.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lingmukha	2017	64.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lingzhi	2000	11.1%	28.0%	0.2%
Bhutan	Lingzhi	2017	69.1%	99.8%	28.9%
Bhutan	Logchina	2000	8.6%	45.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Logchina	2017	63.6%	100.0%	2.8%
Bhutan	Lumang	2000	8.3%	35.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lumang	2017	59.7%	100.0%	2.8%
Bhutan	Lunana	2000	8.1%	17.2%	2.0%
Bhutan	Lunana	2017	62.8%	82.1%	38.0%
Bhutan	Lungnyi	2000	1.5%	10.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lungnyi	2017	30.7%	42.7%	0.3%
Bhutan	Martshala	2000	7.0%	28.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Martshala	2017	62.1%	96.6%	15.3%
Bhutan	Menbi	2000	5.7%	31.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Menbi	2017	74.3%	100.0%	23.5%
Bhutan	Mendrelgang	2000	12.1%	55.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Mendrelgang	2017	76.6%	100.0%	6.8%
Bhutan	Merak	2000	7.1%	21.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Merak	2017	71.1%	96.2%	40.3%
Bhutan	Metap	2000	8.2%	36.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Metap	2017	61.2%	100.0%	8.6%
Bhutan	Metsho	2000	5.6%	22.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Metsho	2017	55.6%	96.5%	7.3%
Bhutan	Mewang	2000	5.2%	28.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Mewang	2017	71.8%	99.8%	19.6%
Bhutan	Minjay	2000	9.1%	37.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Minjay	2017	71.5%	100.0%	17.1%
Bhutan	Mongar	2000	6.9%	39.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Mongar	2017	76.3%	87.3%	40.4%
Bhutan	Nahi	2000	8.9%	53.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Nahi	2017	63.0%	100.0%	3.6%
Bhutan	Naja	2000	8.0%	33.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Naja	2017	57.9%	100.0%	6.3%
Bhutan	Namgyel Chhoeling	2000	9.6%	39.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Namgyel Chhoeling	2017	63.4%	100.0%	1.4%
Bhutan	Nangkor	2000	9.9%	21.8%	2.4%
Bhutan	Nangkor	2017	75.4%	97.9%	47.8%
Bhutan	Nanong	2000	9.5%	37.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Nanong	2017	62.8%	100.0%	1.5%
Bhutan	Narang	2000	8.4%	50.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Narang	2017	63.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Naro	2000	10.4%	29.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Naro	2017	68.2%	100.0%	14.5%
Bhutan	Ngangla	2000	5.8%	23.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ngangla	2017	65.9%	99.7%	13.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Ngatshang	2000	10.1%	41.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ngatshang	2017	71.1%	100.0%	3.5%
Bhutan	Nichula	2000	8.9%	40.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Nichula	2017	68.8%	100.0%	26.3%
Bhutan	Norbugang	2000	9.8%	41.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Norbugang	2017	62.2%	100.0%	7.3%
Bhutan	Nubi	2000	10.4%	28.1%	0.6%
Bhutan	Nubi	2017	69.4%	98.3%	22.9%
Bhutan	Nyisho	2000	5.5%	26.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Nyisho	2017	69.3%	100.0%	15.3%
Bhutan	Pagli	2000	9.4%	47.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Pagli	2017	64.2%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Pangkhar	2000	4.9%	18.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Pangkhar	2017	44.1%	72.2%	16.6%
Bhutan	Patakla	2000	14.8%	42.3%	0.3%
Bhutan	Patakla	2017	86.9%	100.0%	44.6%
Bhutan	Pemathang	2000	5.3%	33.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Pemathang	2017	72.3%	100.0%	3.8%
Bhutan	Phangyuel	2000	8.1%	47.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phangyuel	2017	67.4%	100.0%	1.7%
Bhutan	Phobji	2000	8.2%	34.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phobji	2017	61.7%	100.0%	1.4%
Bhutan	Phongme	2000	7.4%	43.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phongme	2017	64.0%	100.0%	1.5%
Bhutan	Phuentenchhu	2000	14.4%	53.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phuentenchhu	2017	83.0%	100.0%	46.8%
Bhutan	Phuentsholing	2000	8.6%	46.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phuentsholing	2017	71.1%	100.0%	11.1%
Bhutan	Phuntsthothang	2000	7.5%	43.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phuntsthothang	2017	65.5%	99.9%	7.7%
Bhutan	Radi	2000	7.3%	56.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Radi	2017	66.5%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ramjar	2000	8.4%	59.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ramjar	2017	63.1%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Rangthangling	2000	11.3%	70.9%	0.3%
Bhutan	Rangthangling	2017	82.7%	100.0%	18.0%
Bhutan	Ruepisa	2000	7.9%	37.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ruepisa	2017	76.7%	100.0%	42.5%
Bhutan	Sakteng	2000	9.3%	25.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sakteng	2017	67.8%	99.3%	21.7%
Bhutan	Saleng	2000	5.0%	20.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Saleng	2017	37.3%	74.1%	7.7%
Bhutan	Sama	2000	7.0%	36.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sama	2017	60.1%	99.9%	7.6%
Bhutan	Samkhar	2000	6.4%	31.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Samkhar	2017	69.2%	100.0%	10.3%
Bhutan	Samphelling	2000	5.4%	27.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Samphelling	2017	78.9%	100.0%	40.9%
Bhutan	Samrang	2000	8.0%	41.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Samrang	2017	61.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Samtse	2000	8.9%	44.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Samtse	2017	68.8%	100.0%	10.0%
Bhutan	Senge	2000	10.1%	48.2%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Senge	2017	65.2%	100.0%	8.6%
Bhutan	Sephu	2000	9.8%	27.9%	1.4%
Bhutan	Sephu	2017	66.8%	94.8%	25.5%
Bhutan	Serthig	2000	8.5%	26.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Serthig	2017	62.5%	98.8%	11.0%
Bhutan	Shapa	2000	7.1%	42.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shapa	2017	71.7%	100.0%	0.8%
Bhutan	Shemjong	2000	4.7%	20.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shemjong	2017	89.3%	100.0%	70.6%
Bhutan	Shengabjimi	2000	9.3%	57.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shengabjimi	2017	70.9%	100.0%	16.5%
Bhutan	Shermung	2000	9.4%	29.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shermung	2017	67.5%	99.6%	17.1%
Bhutan	Sherzhong	2000	11.4%	50.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sherzhong	2017	84.1%	100.0%	35.0%
Bhutan	Shingkhari	2000	7.9%	23.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shingkhari	2017	59.9%	96.8%	17.9%
Bhutan	Shompangkha	2000	8.8%	68.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shompangkha	2017	65.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shongphu	2000	8.8%	41.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shongphu	2017	65.2%	100.0%	1.3%
Bhutan	Shumer	2000	8.2%	33.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shumer	2017	65.4%	100.0%	1.9%
Bhutan	Silambi	2000	7.4%	35.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Silambi	2017	58.9%	99.9%	2.5%
Bhutan	Sipsu	2000	9.3%	45.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sipsu	2017	63.6%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Soe	2000	10.1%	29.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Soe	2017	69.3%	100.0%	15.6%
Bhutan	Sombey	2000	7.5%	23.2%	0.2%
Bhutan	Sombey	2017	64.4%	95.1%	29.2%
Bhutan	Tading	2000	11.1%	76.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tading	2017	65.4%	100.0%	2.2%
Bhutan	Taklai	2000	12.6%	84.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Taklai	2017	70.0%	100.0%	3.7%
Bhutan	Talo	2000	5.9%	71.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Talo	2017	57.0%	100.0%	0.4%
Bhutan	Tang	2000	9.0%	23.6%	0.1%
Bhutan	Tang	2017	74.5%	97.0%	38.0%
Bhutan	Tangsibji	2000	8.0%	26.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tangsibji	2017	67.1%	98.6%	22.0%
Bhutan	Tendu	2000	8.7%	43.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tendu	2017	63.9%	100.0%	1.5%
Bhutan	Thangrong	2000	8.2%	50.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Thangrong	2017	59.5%	100.0%	0.1%
Bhutan	Thedtsho	2000	7.7%	78.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Thedtsho	2017	68.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Thrimshing	2000	7.7%	39.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Thrimshing	2017	60.4%	100.0%	1.8%
Bhutan	Toepisa	2000	7.1%	30.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Toepisa	2017	54.1%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Toetsho	2000	8.2%	43.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Toetsho	2017	63.2%	100.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Toewang	2000	8.6%	28.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Toewang	2017	67.9%	99.3%	20.9%
Bhutan	Tomzhangtshen	2000	8.8%	43.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tomzhangtshen	2017	64.2%	100.0%	1.8%
Bhutan	Trashiding	2000	9.8%	53.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Trashiding	2017	73.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Trashiyangtse	2000	7.2%	32.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Trashiyangtse	2017	66.3%	99.4%	21.1%
Bhutan	Trong	2000	11.6%	29.1%	1.2%
Bhutan	Trong	2017	76.8%	99.6%	37.3%
Bhutan	Tsakaling	2000	13.4%	42.5%	1.2%
Bhutan	Tsakaling	2017	86.2%	100.0%	33.0%
Bhutan	Tsamang	2000	12.6%	34.7%	1.1%
Bhutan	Tsamang	2017	78.0%	100.0%	34.5%
Bhutan	Tsangkha	2000	13.4%	42.5%	4.8%
Bhutan	Tsangkha	2017	99.0%	100.0%	92.8%
Bhutan	Tsendagang	2000	9.2%	37.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsendagang	2017	71.7%	100.0%	2.2%
Bhutan	Tsenkhar	2000	10.3%	37.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsenkhar	2017	78.6%	100.0%	23.4%
Bhutan	Tsento	2000	9.8%	37.5%	0.3%
Bhutan	Tsento	2017	61.1%	95.3%	17.1%
Bhutan	Tseza	2000	8.9%	21.6%	0.3%
Bhutan	Tseza	2017	63.2%	93.0%	25.5%
Bhutan	Tsholingkhor	2000	24.1%	83.5%	1.4%
Bhutan	Tsholingkhor	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.7%
Bhutan	Tsirangtoe	2000	13.2%	56.5%	6.3%
Bhutan	Tsirangtoe	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.9%
Bhutan	Udzorong	2000	8.5%	45.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Udzorong	2017	64.2%	100.0%	0.7%
Bhutan	Uesu	2000	4.1%	26.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Uesu	2017	44.5%	99.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ugentse	2000	8.6%	38.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ugentse	2017	63.9%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Umling	2000	10.8%	35.9%	2.2%
Bhutan	Umling	2017	84.2%	100.0%	40.5%
Bhutan	Ura	2000	8.0%	29.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ura	2017	64.7%	99.1%	18.7%
Bhutan	Wangchang	2000	1.0%	4.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Wangchang	2017	63.4%	71.1%	3.5%
Bhutan	Wangphu	2000	8.2%	49.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Wangphu	2017	59.6%	100.0%	0.4%
Bhutan	Yalang	2000	9.2%	42.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yalang	2017	61.8%	100.0%	0.5%
Bhutan	Yangnyer	2000	9.2%	43.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yangnyer	2017	63.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yoeseltse	2000	9.9%	64.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yoeseltse	2017	63.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yurung	2000	6.4%	45.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yurung	2017	68.4%	100.0%	0.3%
Bhutan	Zobel	2000	9.5%	42.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Zobel	2017	64.7%	100.0%	3.3%
India	Adilabad	2000	15.8%	27.3%	7.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Adilabad	2017	51.3%	63.1%	40.6%
India	Agar Malwa	2000	6.7%	9.2%	4.9%
India	Agar Malwa	2017	41.4%	47.1%	36.1%
India	Agra	2000	11.0%	12.6%	8.9%
India	Agra	2017	56.5%	57.7%	55.2%
India	Ahmadnagar	2000	12.8%	16.0%	9.9%
India	Ahmadnagar	2017	64.8%	69.1%	60.2%
India	Ahmedabad	2000	26.7%	28.2%	25.1%
India	Ahmedabad	2017	85.2%	86.7%	83.5%
India	Aizawl	2000	17.7%	21.1%	14.5%
India	Aizawl	2017	64.9%	70.9%	59.4%
India	Ajmer	2000	11.7%	13.9%	10.3%
India	Ajmer	2017	66.9%	69.2%	64.3%
India	Akola	2000	16.6%	20.5%	12.5%
India	Akola	2017	64.4%	68.6%	60.3%
India	Alappuzha	2000	25.1%	30.7%	20.3%
India	Alappuzha	2017	83.7%	86.9%	80.0%
India	Aligarh	2000	9.7%	11.4%	8.5%
India	Aligarh	2017	60.0%	61.8%	58.1%
India	Alipurduar	2000	6.8%	11.5%	3.8%
India	Alipurduar	2017	42.0%	51.3%	32.8%
India	Alirajpur	2000	2.5%	3.1%	2.0%
India	Alirajpur	2017	24.4%	27.0%	21.9%
India	Allahabad	2000	7.3%	10.7%	4.9%
India	Allahabad	2017	48.8%	53.4%	43.6%
India	Almora	2000	6.6%	8.0%	5.6%
India	Almora	2017	48.9%	51.7%	46.4%
India	Alwar	2000	6.8%	8.2%	5.8%
India	Alwar	2017	54.2%	56.9%	51.5%
India	Ambala	2000	13.7%	14.8%	12.4%
India	Ambala	2017	72.2%	74.0%	70.4%
India	Ambedkar Na- gar	2000	2.4%	3.5%	1.9%
India	Ambedkar Na- gar	2017	34.9%	37.5%	32.3%
India	Amethi	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.2%
India	Amethi	2017	36.8%	38.7%	34.8%
India	Amravati	2000	25.0%	29.0%	21.5%
India	Amravati	2017	75.2%	78.9%	71.2%
India	Amreli	2000	11.5%	15.3%	8.2%
India	Amreli	2017	54.5%	59.8%	48.3%
India	Amritsar	2000	11.3%	12.4%	10.4%
India	Amritsar	2017	72.8%	75.0%	70.3%
India	Amroha	2000	8.1%	8.8%	7.6%
India	Amroha	2017	64.9%	66.5%	63.6%
India	Anand	2000	14.7%	16.9%	12.4%
India	Anand	2017	51.8%	54.0%	49.8%
India	Anantapur	2000	16.3%	20.9%	12.3%
India	Anantapur	2017	64.9%	70.5%	59.6%
India	Anantnag	2000	7.0%	10.4%	5.5%
India	Anantnag	2017	60.7%	65.5%	55.4%
India	Angul	2000	7.0%	11.0%	4.0%
India	Angul	2017	45.8%	53.1%	38.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Anjaw	2000	7.5%	13.0%	3.8%
India	Anjaw	2017	44.5%	53.1%	36.5%
India	Anuppur	2000	4.7%	5.6%	4.0%
India	Anuppur	2017	33.0%	35.5%	30.9%
India	Araria	2000	2.6%	3.4%	1.9%
India	Araria	2017	26.4%	29.7%	24.2%
India	Ariyalur	2000	4.2%	6.4%	2.5%
India	Ariyalur	2017	43.9%	47.4%	40.8%
India	Arvalli	2000	4.3%	8.4%	2.2%
India	Arvalli	2017	22.3%	28.2%	18.8%
India	Arwal	2000	1.8%	1.9%	1.7%
India	Arwal	2017	40.0%	41.2%	38.7%
India	Ashoknagar	2000	5.7%	8.4%	3.7%
India	Ashoknagar	2017	44.3%	49.3%	39.5%
India	Auraiya	2000	2.8%	3.8%	2.3%
India	Auraiya	2017	42.3%	46.3%	39.1%
India	Aurangabad	2000	3.5%	4.8%	2.8%
India	Aurangabad	2000	12.7%	15.4%	10.4%
India	Aurangabad	2017	43.7%	47.5%	39.8%
India	Aurangabad	2017	68.8%	72.7%	64.6%
India	Azamgarh	2000	4.3%	6.7%	2.8%
India	Azamgarh	2017	40.1%	43.6%	36.5%
India	Badgam	2000	6.3%	8.4%	5.3%
India	Badgam	2017	55.8%	58.5%	53.1%
India	Bagalkot	2000	7.4%	11.0%	5.4%
India	Bagalkot	2017	42.7%	49.7%	37.1%
India	Bageshwar	2000	2.3%	3.2%	1.8%
India	Bageshwar	2017	28.7%	31.6%	26.3%
India	Baghpat	2000	22.8%	27.5%	16.6%
India	Baghpat	2017	81.2%	82.4%	79.3%
India	Bahraich	2000	2.6%	4.3%	1.6%
India	Bahraich	2017	32.4%	35.8%	29.4%
India	Baksa	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.2%
India	Baksa	2017	29.5%	31.6%	27.6%
India	Balaghat	2000	6.4%	9.4%	4.3%
India	Balaghat	2017	41.9%	47.7%	36.5%
India	Balangir	2000	3.9%	5.3%	2.4%
India	Balangir	2017	31.3%	35.7%	27.8%
India	Baleshwar	2000	4.7%	7.2%	2.8%
India	Baleshwar	2017	41.2%	44.5%	37.3%
India	Ballary	2000	6.9%	9.9%	4.3%
India	Ballary	2017	46.6%	51.6%	40.7%
India	Ballia	2000	1.8%	2.9%	1.3%
India	Ballia	2017	30.0%	33.3%	26.7%
India	Balod	2000	11.5%	15.6%	8.5%
India	Balod	2017	57.5%	65.0%	50.8%
India	Baloda Bazar	2000	20.0%	25.2%	14.5%
India	Baloda Bazar	2017	63.0%	67.3%	57.7%
India	Balrampur	2000	2.3%	3.4%	1.8%
India	Balrampur	2000	10.7%	17.0%	6.3%
India	Balrampur	2017	29.4%	32.8%	26.5%
India	Balrampur	2017	39.8%	50.5%	30.7%
India	Banaskantha	2000	9.4%	11.8%	7.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Banaskantha	2017	44.0%	48.6%	38.9%
India	Banda	2000	4.0%	5.1%	3.1%
India	Banda	2017	37.2%	40.9%	33.3%
India	Bandipore	2000	5.5%	6.5%	4.9%
India	Bandipore	2017	60.7%	62.5%	58.6%
India	Bangalore	2000	12.4%	14.0%	10.6%
India	Bangalore	2017	74.7%	77.7%	71.7%
India	Bangalore Rural	2000	6.3%	8.2%	5.2%
India	Bangalore Rural	2017	56.6%	60.2%	52.5%
India	Banka	2000	2.7%	3.6%	2.0%
India	Banka	2017	34.9%	37.5%	32.9%
India	Bankura	2000	5.4%	8.6%	2.9%
India	Bankura	2017	38.7%	43.4%	32.9%
India	Banswara	2000	4.8%	5.9%	4.2%
India	Banswara	2017	37.0%	40.2%	34.4%
India	Barabanki	2000	5.3%	8.4%	3.7%
India	Barabanki	2017	45.2%	50.7%	40.1%
India	Baramulla	2000	14.0%	15.9%	12.4%
India	Baramulla	2017	71.4%	73.2%	69.1%
India	Baran	2000	7.3%	10.9%	4.6%
India	Baran	2017	49.6%	55.6%	43.9%
India	Bareilly	2000	17.0%	18.2%	15.5%
India	Bareilly	2017	77.1%	78.0%	76.1%
India	Bargarh	2000	5.2%	8.7%	2.9%
India	Bargarh	2017	39.1%	44.7%	33.3%
India	Barmer	2000	7.2%	10.9%	4.6%
India	Barmer	2017	37.1%	42.1%	31.9%
India	Barnala	2000	11.1%	13.4%	9.5%
India	Barnala	2017	77.1%	79.1%	74.9%
India	Barpeta	2000	1.9%	3.9%	1.4%
India	Barpeta	2017	33.5%	37.7%	29.7%
India	Barwani	2000	5.2%	6.4%	4.4%
India	Barwani	2017	34.4%	38.0%	31.0%
India	Bastar	2000	2.1%	4.7%	1.0%
India	Bastar	2017	22.4%	29.0%	17.8%
India	Basti	2000	2.0%	3.8%	1.5%
India	Basti	2017	30.9%	34.5%	27.5%
India	Bathinda	2000	16.2%	19.5%	13.6%
India	Bathinda	2017	65.8%	70.0%	61.4%
India	Begusarai	2000	5.0%	5.9%	4.1%
India	Begusarai	2017	49.6%	52.1%	47.3%
India	Belagavi	2000	13.1%	16.2%	10.1%
India	Belagavi	2017	54.9%	60.0%	49.9%
India	Bemetara	2000	6.8%	10.5%	4.3%
India	Bemetara	2017	50.0%	56.5%	42.4%
India	Betul	2000	11.3%	14.2%	8.9%
India	Betul	2017	46.7%	51.8%	42.4%
India	Bhadradri	2000	23.3%	33.5%	14.6%
India	Bhadradri Kothagudem	2017	63.4%	73.8%	52.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Bhadrak	2000	2.3%	3.2%	1.6%
India	Bhadrak	2017	31.3%	33.7%	29.2%
India	Bhagalpur	2000	3.2%	3.6%	3.0%
India	Bhagalpur	2017	42.8%	45.6%	40.6%
India	Bhandara	2000	16.9%	19.6%	14.4%
India	Bhandara	2017	72.9%	75.8%	69.5%
India	Bharatpur	2000	6.6%	8.5%	4.9%
India	Bharatpur	2017	47.9%	50.5%	45.5%
India	Bharuch	2000	9.6%	13.5%	6.9%
India	Bharuch	2017	60.2%	67.5%	53.1%
India	Bhavnagar	2000	22.8%	27.7%	17.7%
India	Bhavnagar	2017	66.9%	70.5%	62.9%
India	Bhilwara	2000	7.5%	10.6%	4.8%
India	Bhilwara	2017	45.5%	49.1%	41.0%
India	Bhind	2000	7.8%	10.2%	5.5%
India	Bhind	2017	47.4%	50.5%	43.8%
India	Bhiwani	2000	13.2%	17.2%	10.0%
India	Bhiwani	2017	63.9%	68.4%	58.6%
India	Bhojpur	2000	3.0%	3.6%	2.7%
India	Bhojpur	2017	47.8%	50.2%	45.7%
India	Bhopal	2000	19.0%	21.3%	17.3%
India	Bhopal	2017	76.9%	79.4%	74.5%
India	Bid	2000	10.9%	13.1%	8.7%
India	Bid	2017	53.6%	58.6%	48.8%
India	Bidar	2000	7.4%	11.8%	4.1%
India	Bidar	2017	43.4%	49.3%	36.5%
India	Bijapur	2000	3.1%	6.1%	1.2%
India	Bijapur	2017	23.6%	29.5%	17.6%
India	Bijnor	2000	16.5%	20.2%	12.7%
India	Bijnor	2017	72.3%	74.9%	69.4%
India	Bikaner	2000	11.1%	13.3%	9.2%
India	Bikaner	2017	56.2%	59.8%	52.0%
India	Bilaspur	2000	13.8%	17.9%	9.8%
India	Bilaspur	2000	19.8%	21.0%	18.6%
India	Bilaspur	2017	80.5%	81.4%	79.6%
India	Bilaspur	2017	59.2%	63.9%	54.0%
India	Birbhum	2000	6.1%	7.9%	4.2%
India	Birbhum	2017	40.5%	44.2%	36.9%
India	Bishnupur	2000	2.7%	2.8%	2.6%
India	Bishnupur	2017	50.2%	51.2%	49.2%
India	Biswanath	2000	3.5%	7.2%	1.5%
India	Biswanath	2017	32.3%	41.5%	24.2%
India	Bokaro	2000	4.2%	4.5%	4.0%
India	Bokaro	2017	45.7%	46.8%	44.9%
India	Bongaigaon	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.2%
India	Bongaigaon	2017	27.3%	29.5%	25.4%
India	Botad	2000	11.9%	20.1%	5.9%
India	Botad	2017	53.9%	66.8%	40.3%
India	Boudh	2000	1.2%	2.9%	0.6%
India	Boudh	2017	19.2%	26.0%	15.2%
India	Budaun	2000	6.9%	8.6%	5.7%
India	Budaun	2017	48.5%	51.2%	45.2%
India	Bulandshahr	2000	18.5%	22.9%	14.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Bulandshahr	2017	74.1%	77.2%	70.5%
India	Buldana	2000	10.7%	14.0%	7.9%
India	Buldana	2017	57.5%	62.3%	52.8%
India	Bundi	2000	6.0%	8.6%	4.1%
India	Bundi	2017	48.1%	52.9%	43.8%
India	Burhanpur	2000	6.3%	7.2%	5.6%
India	Burhanpur	2017	54.9%	57.6%	52.5%
India	Buxar	2000	2.4%	2.6%	2.2%
India	Buxar	2017	42.3%	44.9%	40.2%
India	Cachar	2000	4.2%	5.9%	3.4%
India	Cachar	2017	37.9%	41.8%	34.1%
India	Central	2000	62.6%	63.3%	61.8%
India	Central	2017	98.4%	98.4%	98.3%
India	Chamba	2000	12.8%	15.6%	10.6%
India	Chamba	2017	67.1%	71.1%	63.4%
India	Chamoli	2000	9.6%	12.9%	6.5%
India	Chamoli	2017	38.5%	43.9%	33.1%
India	Champawat	2000	1.8%	3.2%	1.3%
India	Champawat	2017	28.8%	34.1%	25.5%
India	Champhai	2000	12.9%	14.2%	11.9%
India	Champhai	2017	69.0%	71.1%	66.6%
India	Chamrajnagar	2000	12.1%	15.7%	9.2%
India	Chamrajnagar	2017	44.5%	49.0%	39.6%
India	Chandauli	2000	5.2%	6.3%	4.3%
India	Chandauli	2017	52.8%	54.8%	50.9%
India	Chandel	2000	4.2%	10.8%	1.4%
India	Chandel	2017	31.2%	39.3%	24.2%
India	Chandigarh	2000	49.6%	50.9%	48.4%
India	Chandigarh	2017	95.6%	95.9%	95.2%
India	Chandrapur	2000	24.6%	29.7%	19.9%
India	Chandrapur	2017	73.8%	78.9%	69.1%
India	Changlang	2000	3.6%	6.2%	2.2%
India	Changlang	2017	36.6%	40.3%	33.0%
India	Charaideo	2000	3.5%	7.2%	2.0%
India	Charaideo	2017	40.7%	47.7%	33.8%
India	Charkhi Dadri	2000	6.3%	8.1%	4.8%
India	Charkhi Dadri	2017	51.5%	55.6%	47.6%
India	Chatra	2000	1.6%	3.1%	0.9%
India	Chatra	2017	23.2%	26.7%	20.6%
India	Chennai	2000	51.6%	52.4%	50.7%
India	Chennai	2017	93.9%	94.2%	93.5%
India	Chhatarpur	2000	6.4%	8.4%	4.8%
India	Chhatarpur	2017	35.4%	39.8%	31.2%
India	Chhindwara	2000	9.5%	13.1%	6.3%
India	Chhindwara	2017	42.8%	48.5%	36.9%
India	Chhotaudepur	2000	5.4%	8.4%	3.6%
India	Chhotaudepur	2017	32.3%	39.6%	25.6%
India	Chikballapura	2000	4.1%	6.5%	2.8%
India	Chikballapura	2017	45.4%	50.8%	40.8%
India	Chikmagalur	2000	6.3%	11.5%	2.9%
India	Chikmagalur	2017	43.4%	51.4%	35.2%
India	Chirang	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.1%
India	Chirang	2017	25.4%	28.0%	23.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Chitradurga	2000	8.4%	11.8%	5.3%
India	Chitradurga	2017	45.5%	50.9%	40.2%
India	Chittrakoot	2000	1.4%	2.3%	1.0%
India	Chittrakoot	2017	25.0%	29.3%	21.9%
India	Chittaurgarh	2000	8.8%	10.7%	6.7%
India	Chittaurgarh	2017	49.6%	54.6%	45.6%
India	Chittoor	2000	15.1%	19.8%	11.0%
India	Chittoor	2017	60.8%	66.6%	55.1%
India	Churachandpur	2000	7.4%	10.4%	4.9%
India	Churachandpur	2017	46.5%	51.8%	41.8%
India	Churu	2000	8.5%	12.4%	5.7%
India	Churu	2017	53.9%	59.4%	48.8%
India	Coimbatore	2000	20.1%	24.1%	17.5%
India	Coimbatore	2017	69.4%	75.3%	65.8%
India	Cuddalore	2000	6.6%	7.7%	5.7%
India	Cuddalore	2017	55.0%	57.9%	52.2%
India	Cuttack	2000	5.4%	6.8%	3.8%
India	Cuttack	2017	47.4%	49.5%	45.2%
India	Dadra and Nagar Haveli	2000	5.1%	6.2%	4.4%
India	Dadra and Nagar Haveli	2017	51.0%	52.7%	49.6%
India	Dakshin Dinajpur	2000	2.4%	5.0%	1.4%
India	Dakshin Dinajpur	2017	31.1%	36.0%	26.9%
India	Dakshina Kannada	2000	10.7%	14.8%	7.9%
India	Dakshina Kannada	2017	57.5%	61.9%	52.7%
India	Daman	2000	10.7%	11.1%	10.0%
India	Daman	2017	73.6%	74.5%	72.7%
India	Damoh	2000	3.1%	4.4%	2.1%
India	Damoh	2017	32.3%	36.5%	28.2%
India	Dang	2000	0.8%	0.9%	0.7%
India	Dang	2017	19.0%	21.3%	17.3%
India	Dantewada	2000	7.0%	13.4%	4.5%
India	Dantewada	2017	38.6%	50.3%	30.5%
India	Darbhangha	2000	1.5%	1.8%	1.3%
India	Darbhangha	2017	31.5%	33.1%	29.8%
India	Darjiling	2000	13.3%	15.2%	11.8%
India	Darjiling	2017	69.2%	72.8%	65.3%
India	Darrang	2000	2.2%	2.4%	2.0%
India	Darrang	2017	27.0%	29.6%	25.4%
India	Datia	2000	5.7%	6.5%	5.2%
India	Datia	2017	60.5%	62.5%	58.7%
India	Dausa	2000	3.9%	4.4%	3.3%
India	Dausa	2017	40.3%	42.1%	38.2%
India	Davanagere	2000	11.0%	14.4%	8.0%
India	Davanagere	2017	63.1%	67.2%	58.8%
India	Dehradun	2000	10.9%	12.1%	9.7%
India	Dehradun	2017	69.7%	70.8%	68.4%
India	Deogarh	2000	2.4%	3.7%	1.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Deogarh	2017	29.4%	33.2%	26.5%
India	Deoghar	2000	2.7%	3.5%	2.1%
India	Deoghar	2017	28.1%	30.4%	26.2%
India	Deoria	2000	2.3%	3.6%	1.6%
India	Deoria	2017	34.3%	37.8%	30.4%
India	Devbhumi	2000	7.6%	15.3%	2.5%
India	Dwarka				
India	Devbhumi	2017	38.8%	50.6%	28.6%
India	Dewas	2000	11.2%	14.6%	8.2%
India	Dewas	2017	60.9%	65.7%	55.1%
India	Dhalai	2000	2.4%	4.5%	1.2%
India	Dhalai	2017	27.4%	30.8%	24.2%
India	Dhamtari	2000	11.3%	13.9%	8.8%
India	Dhamtari	2017	61.9%	65.2%	59.0%
India	Dhanbad	2000	4.7%	5.3%	4.4%
India	Dhanbad	2017	50.3%	52.3%	48.6%
India	Dhar	2000	8.1%	10.3%	6.0%
India	Dhar	2017	44.8%	49.3%	41.4%
India	Dharmapuri	2000	5.7%	8.1%	4.0%
India	Dharmapuri	2017	48.0%	53.2%	43.1%
India	Dharwad	2000	4.7%	8.8%	3.0%
India	Dharwad	2017	38.7%	47.6%	31.2%
India	Dhaulpur	2000	6.4%	8.2%	5.1%
India	Dhaulpur	2017	50.3%	52.6%	48.3%
India	Dhemaji	2000	5.5%	6.5%	4.8%
India	Dhemaji	2017	28.5%	31.8%	25.7%
India	Dhenkanal	2000	4.3%	7.1%	2.4%
India	Dhenkanal	2017	37.3%	42.3%	32.3%
India	Dhubri	2000	7.6%	8.7%	6.3%
India	Dhubri	2017	39.1%	40.9%	37.4%
India	Dhule	2000	8.7%	13.2%	6.1%
India	Dhule	2017	51.4%	57.7%	45.7%
India	Dibang Valley	2000	10.0%	16.8%	5.8%
India	Dibang Valley	2017	51.2%	61.4%	40.9%
India	Dibrugarh	2000	2.8%	3.5%	2.3%
India	Dibrugarh	2017	32.1%	34.6%	29.8%
India	Dima Hasao	2000	5.2%	8.4%	3.4%
India	Dima Hasao	2017	31.2%	36.3%	27.4%
India	Dimapur	2000	13.2%	13.8%	12.6%
India	Dimapur	2017	79.8%	80.5%	79.0%
India	Dindigul	2000	15.2%	18.6%	11.3%
India	Dindigul	2017	63.8%	68.2%	59.0%
India	Dindori	2000	2.9%	4.2%	2.0%
India	Dindori	2017	22.0%	24.6%	19.8%
India	Diu	2000	2.3%	2.5%	2.1%
India	Diu	2017	37.6%	40.3%	35.3%
India	Doda	2000	5.3%	7.2%	3.7%
India	Doda	2017	52.8%	55.9%	49.0%
India	Dohad	2000	2.6%	3.3%	2.1%
India	Dohad	2017	24.8%	27.7%	22.3%
India	Dumka	2000	6.2%	7.6%	4.2%
India	Dumka	2017	28.1%	31.8%	25.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Dungarpur	2000	4.1%	5.6%	3.2%
India	Dungarpur	2017	43.7%	47.3%	40.3%
India	Durg	2000	13.6%	16.1%	12.0%
India	Durg	2017	74.3%	76.7%	72.1%
India	East	2000	47.2%	48.0%	46.5%
India	East	2017	96.9%	97.0%	96.8%
India	East Garo Hills	2000	1.6%	3.9%	0.9%
India	East Garo Hills	2017	23.6%	27.3%	19.6%
India	East Godavari	2000	17.6%	23.0%	12.7%
India	East Godavari	2017	71.1%	76.2%	65.8%
India	East Jaintia Hills	2000	4.7%	5.9%	4.0%
India	East Jaintia Hills	2017	39.0%	43.7%	35.5%
India	East Kameng	2000	4.8%	7.0%	3.3%
India	East Kameng	2017	37.5%	43.4%	32.1%
India	East Khasi Hills	2000	11.9%	13.7%	10.3%
India	East Khasi Hills	2017	73.0%	75.2%	70.9%
India	East Nimar	2000	12.6%	16.3%	9.2%
India	East Nimar	2017	53.9%	58.8%	49.4%
India	East Siang	2000	10.6%	13.9%	7.6%
India	East Siang	2017	69.1%	71.0%	67.2%
India	East Sikkim	2000	42.9%	45.1%	40.8%
India	East Sikkim	2017	95.2%	95.6%	94.7%
India	Ernakulam	2000	42.2%	43.6%	40.7%
India	Ernakulam	2017	82.0%	83.9%	79.4%
India	Erode	2000	17.0%	22.5%	12.7%
India	Erode	2017	70.9%	76.1%	65.0%
India	Etah	2000	8.1%	9.4%	6.9%
India	Etah	2017	50.9%	53.0%	49.0%
India	Etawah	2000	5.5%	6.8%	4.4%
India	Etawah	2017	47.5%	49.7%	45.5%
India	Faizabad	2000	4.0%	5.5%	3.0%
India	Faizabad	2017	44.0%	46.7%	41.0%
India	Faridabad	2000	20.2%	22.0%	18.2%
India	Faridabad	2017	84.0%	84.8%	83.2%
India	Faridkot	2000	9.8%	10.5%	9.2%
India	Faridkot	2017	69.3%	71.6%	67.1%
India	Farrukhabad	2000	5.8%	6.5%	5.0%
India	Farrukhabad	2017	47.3%	48.6%	46.0%
India	Fatehabad	2000	15.3%	18.9%	11.2%
India	Fatehabad	2017	65.9%	68.7%	62.1%
India	Fatehgarh Sahib	2000	27.5%	30.0%	24.6%
India	Fatehgarh Sahib	2017	89.1%	90.6%	87.6%
India	Fatehpur	2000	5.8%	8.2%	4.0%
India	Fatehpur	2017	47.1%	51.5%	43.7%
India	Fazilka	2000	14.9%	27.2%	6.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Fazilka	2017	49.5%	58.9%	37.4%
India	Firozabad	2000	11.2%	12.3%	10.2%
India	Firozabad	2017	56.4%	57.7%	54.9%
India	Firozpur	2000	17.6%	21.5%	13.7%
India	Firozpur	2017	69.1%	73.8%	64.3%
India	Gadag	2000	2.2%	3.5%	1.5%
India	Gadag	2017	32.3%	37.1%	28.7%
India	Gadchiroli	2000	10.9%	15.5%	7.8%
India	Gadchiroli	2017	48.7%	55.5%	42.8%
India	Gajapati	2000	11.3%	15.8%	6.5%
India	Gajapati	2017	48.6%	53.3%	43.5%
India	Ganderbal	2000	10.7%	11.5%	9.9%
India	Ganderbal	2017	71.3%	72.8%	69.6%
India	Gandhinagar	2000	10.3%	11.8%	8.6%
India	Gandhinagar	2017	46.6%	48.1%	45.0%
India	Ganganagar	2000	16.0%	20.3%	12.3%
India	Ganganagar	2017	56.9%	62.7%	50.9%
India	Ganjam	2000	5.5%	8.0%	3.8%
India	Ganjam	2017	42.8%	46.8%	38.7%
India	Garhwa	2000	1.8%	3.0%	1.3%
India	Garhwa	2017	25.8%	29.3%	22.9%
India	Gariaband	2000	5.4%	9.4%	3.1%
India	Gariaband	2017	40.7%	49.0%	32.7%
India	Gautam Buddha Nagar	2000	27.5%	28.3%	26.6%
India	Gautam Buddha Nagar	2017	88.9%	89.4%	88.5%
India	Gaya	2000	5.5%	8.0%	3.9%
India	Gaya	2017	41.4%	45.8%	37.0%
India	Ghaziabad	2000	25.1%	26.1%	24.1%
India	Ghaziabad	2017	87.9%	88.5%	87.4%
India	Ghazipur	2000	5.5%	8.4%	2.9%
India	Ghazipur	2017	42.2%	45.3%	38.0%
India	Gir Somnath	2000	20.2%	26.1%	15.2%
India	Gir Somnath	2017	69.0%	75.2%	63.3%
India	Giridih	2000	3.4%	4.8%	2.3%
India	Giridih	2017	33.2%	36.6%	30.1%
India	Goalpara	2000	2.3%	3.1%	1.9%
India	Goalpara	2017	33.1%	36.2%	30.5%
India	Godda	2000	2.0%	2.5%	1.7%
India	Godda	2017	34.0%	37.9%	30.8%
India	Golaghat	2000	3.7%	5.2%	2.7%
India	Golaghat	2017	42.0%	45.5%	38.9%
India	Gomati	2000	2.9%	4.6%	1.6%
India	Gomati	2017	33.0%	38.8%	28.8%
India	Gonda	2000	3.9%	5.0%	3.0%
India	Gonda	2017	30.4%	33.3%	28.1%
India	Gondiya	2000	11.1%	15.4%	8.3%
India	Gondiya	2017	67.0%	72.5%	60.1%
India	Gopalganj	2000	1.6%	1.8%	1.5%
India	Gopalganj	2017	35.5%	37.3%	33.9%
India	Gorakhpur	2000	4.8%	5.6%	4.1%
India	Gorakhpur	2017	46.1%	48.4%	44.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Gumla	2000	2.4%	4.3%	1.5%
India	Gumla	2017	25.1%	29.2%	21.6%
India	Guna	2000	8.3%	11.7%	5.7%
India	Guna	2017	44.8%	50.9%	39.9%
India	Guntur	2000	20.0%	24.8%	16.2%
India	Guntur	2017	74.5%	79.4%	70.1%
India	Gurdaspur	2000	21.1%	23.9%	18.1%
India	Gurdaspur	2017	80.4%	83.2%	77.4%
India	Gurugram	2000	12.1%	12.7%	11.5%
India	Gurugram	2017	69.3%	71.1%	67.7%
India	Gwalior	2000	12.6%	14.1%	11.2%
India	Gwalior	2017	70.9%	72.9%	68.8%
India	Hailakandi	2000	1.3%	1.5%	1.2%
India	Hailakandi	2017	27.4%	29.7%	25.6%
India	Hamirpur	2000	8.7%	10.8%	6.8%
India	Hamirpur	2000	34.6%	36.6%	32.6%
India	Hamirpur	2017	52.9%	55.4%	50.4%
India	Hamirpur	2017	84.4%	85.0%	83.8%
India	Hanumangarh	2000	10.7%	14.0%	7.8%
India	Hanumangarh	2017	53.2%	57.7%	48.9%
India	Haora	2000	7.9%	9.0%	7.3%
India	Haora	2017	64.0%	66.3%	61.8%
India	Hapur	2000	15.2%	17.4%	13.5%
India	Hapur	2017	81.4%	82.7%	79.7%
India	Harda	2000	9.4%	11.3%	8.1%
India	Harda	2017	62.1%	67.2%	58.1%
India	Hardoi	2000	5.3%	7.0%	4.1%
India	Hardoi	2017	39.8%	43.9%	36.0%
India	Hardwar	2000	4.3%	5.4%	3.8%
India	Hardwar	2017	42.8%	44.8%	41.0%
India	Hassan	2000	8.4%	11.2%	6.0%
India	Hassan	2017	48.0%	52.4%	44.1%
India	Hathras	2000	5.9%	6.7%	5.2%
India	Hathras	2017	54.9%	56.4%	52.9%
India	Haveri	2000	4.3%	6.2%	2.9%
India	Haveri	2017	36.5%	41.0%	32.5%
India	Hazaribagh	2000	4.8%	6.3%	4.0%
India	Hazaribagh	2017	39.6%	43.5%	36.6%
India	Hingoli	2000	7.1%	8.9%	5.8%
India	Hingoli	2017	51.2%	55.1%	47.1%
India	Hisar	2000	17.2%	20.9%	13.9%
India	Hisar	2017	68.7%	72.4%	64.9%
India	Hojai	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.5%
India	Hojai	2017	41.5%	44.4%	39.3%
India	Hoshangabad	2000	11.0%	13.1%	9.6%
India	Hoshangabad	2017	59.9%	63.3%	56.8%
India	Hoshiarpur	2000	15.0%	17.4%	11.9%
India	Hoshiarpur	2017	75.3%	77.4%	72.6%
India	Hugli	2000	5.8%	7.8%	4.7%
India	Hugli	2017	55.5%	59.0%	51.5%
India	Hyderabad	2000	18.5%	19.0%	17.9%
India	Hyderabad	2017	80.6%	81.4%	79.7%
India	Idukki	2000	14.3%	17.1%	11.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Idukki	2017	65.7%	69.7%	61.6%
India	Imphal East	2000	3.4%	3.4%	3.3%
India	Imphal East	2017	55.1%	55.8%	54.5%
India	Imphal West	2000	3.3%	3.4%	3.2%
India	Imphal West	2017	55.5%	56.1%	54.9%
India	Indore	2000	16.6%	18.3%	14.8%
India	Indore	2017	82.0%	83.7%	79.8%
India	Jabalpur	2000	6.4%	7.6%	5.7%
India	Jabalpur	2017	57.7%	60.0%	55.3%
India	Jagatsinghapur	2000	2.5%	5.0%	1.6%
India	Jagatsinghapur	2017	41.2%	45.6%	36.0%
India	Jagitial	2000	13.8%	22.8%	6.5%
India	Jagitial	2017	68.4%	78.8%	56.7%
India	Jaipur	2000	15.3%	16.5%	14.3%
India	Jaipur	2017	67.5%	69.3%	65.9%
India	Jaisalmer	2000	10.5%	14.3%	6.3%
India	Jaisalmer	2017	39.1%	45.8%	32.3%
India	Jajapur	2000	2.4%	3.2%	2.0%
India	Jajapur	2017	41.9%	44.6%	39.2%
India	Jalandhar	2000	22.0%	23.4%	20.4%
India	Jalandhar	2017	87.1%	88.3%	85.9%
India	Jalaun	2000	10.3%	13.3%	7.7%
India	Jalaun	2017	60.2%	64.3%	56.0%
India	Jalgaon	2000	17.3%	23.4%	12.2%
India	Jalgaon	2017	63.8%	68.6%	58.5%
India	Jalna	2000	15.6%	18.6%	12.7%
India	Jalna	2017	59.1%	63.2%	54.8%
India	Jalor	2000	9.1%	13.0%	6.4%
India	Jalor	2017	49.8%	55.8%	43.8%
India	Jalpaiguri	2000	15.5%	20.5%	11.6%
India	Jalpaiguri	2017	63.2%	69.0%	57.3%
India	Jammu	2000	11.1%	13.8%	9.3%
India	Jammu	2017	76.2%	79.0%	72.7%
India	Jamnagar	2000	24.0%	34.7%	17.1%
India	Jamnagar	2017	72.1%	79.2%	62.7%
India	Jamtara	2000	2.8%	5.8%	1.2%
India	Jamtara	2017	28.0%	31.6%	23.3%
India	Jamui	2000	1.9%	3.3%	1.2%
India	Jamui	2017	27.9%	30.9%	24.9%
India	Jangoan	2000	21.2%	42.4%	6.3%
India	Jangoan	2017	59.9%	78.6%	38.9%
India	Janjgir-Champa	2000	4.5%	6.8%	3.3%
India	Janjgir-Champa	2017	47.2%	51.6%	43.0%
India	Jashpur	2000	6.4%	8.5%	4.9%
India	Jashpur	2017	29.5%	33.6%	25.5%
India	Jaunpur	2000	4.7%	6.3%	3.5%
India	Jaunpur	2017	41.7%	45.1%	38.4%
India	Jayashankar Bhupalpal	2000	12.8%	23.8%	5.0%
India	Jayashankar Bhupalpal	2017	51.7%	66.3%	38.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Jehanabad	2000	3.3%	3.5%	3.1%
India	Jehanabad	2017	47.4%	48.9%	46.0%
India	Jhabua	2000	6.3%	7.9%	4.9%
India	Jhabua	2017	31.7%	34.5%	29.4%
India	Jhajjar	2000	7.8%	8.6%	7.0%
India	Jhajjar	2017	62.7%	64.1%	61.3%
India	Jhalawar	2000	9.6%	13.3%	6.7%
India	Jhalawar	2017	48.6%	53.6%	43.6%
India	Jhansi	2000	12.5%	14.7%	10.6%
India	Jhansi	2017	64.1%	66.3%	61.6%
India	Jhargram	2000	7.1%	14.1%	3.3%
India	Jhargram	2017	40.3%	52.2%	30.0%
India	Jharsuguda	2000	4.0%	4.4%	3.6%
India	Jharsuguda	2017	53.0%	55.6%	50.4%
India	Jhunjhunun	2000	10.8%	13.9%	8.7%
India	Jhunjhunun	2017	65.3%	69.4%	61.1%
India	Jind	2000	15.7%	20.3%	11.5%
India	Jind	2017	65.1%	69.2%	60.3%
India	Jiribam	2000	11.5%	14.2%	8.5%
India	Jiribam	2017	51.3%	55.5%	46.0%
India	Jodhpur	2000	16.5%	19.5%	13.9%
India	Jodhpur	2017	61.3%	65.4%	57.1%
India	Jogulamba Gadwa	2000	18.9%	32.1%	9.9%
India	Jogulamba Gadwa	2017	55.1%	69.4%	41.1%
India	Jorhat	2000	2.8%	3.1%	2.5%
India	Jorhat	2017	40.0%	42.3%	38.1%
India	Junagadh	2000	25.5%	31.7%	19.5%
India	Junagadh	2017	76.5%	81.6%	71.1%
India	Kabeerddham	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.4%
India	Kabeerddham	2017	30.8%	33.5%	28.5%
India	Kachchh	2000	20.4%	26.5%	15.6%
India	Kachchh	2017	61.1%	67.8%	54.7%
India	Kaimur	2000	2.9%	4.9%	2.1%
India	Kaimur	2017	41.8%	46.8%	38.0%
India	Kaithal	2000	6.8%	8.2%	5.7%
India	Kaithal	2017	59.8%	62.4%	56.9%
India	Kakching	2000	2.2%	2.4%	2.1%
India	Kakching	2017	43.9%	45.9%	42.0%
India	Kalaburgi	2000	4.5%	7.3%	2.9%
India	Kalaburgi	2017	32.5%	38.4%	27.9%
India	Kalahandi	2000	2.0%	4.4%	1.0%
India	Kalahandi	2017	23.6%	29.6%	19.0%
India	Kalimpong	2000	51.4%	69.7%	23.5%
India	Kalimpong	2017	87.4%	95.4%	73.4%
India	Kamareddy	2000	11.5%	17.7%	7.2%
India	Kamareddy	2017	56.3%	64.4%	47.3%
India	Kamjong	2000	3.2%	7.6%	1.0%
India	Kamjong	2017	20.4%	28.4%	14.0%
India	Kamle	2000	34.0%	46.5%	20.6%
India	Kamle	2017	77.1%	82.9%	63.9%
India	Kamrup	2000	4.6%	6.5%	3.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Kamrup	2017	47.2%	49.7%	44.4%
India	Kamrup Metropolitan	2000	12.4%	13.9%	11.0%
India	Kamrup Metropolitan	2017	74.3%	75.8%	72.6%
India	Kancheepuram	2000	25.7%	29.2%	21.7%
India	Kancheepuram	2017	77.6%	81.9%	73.2%
India	Kandhamal	2000	5.6%	8.5%	3.2%
India	Kandhamal	2017	28.7%	34.1%	23.1%
India	Kangpokpi	2000	2.6%	2.8%	2.5%
India	Kangpokpi	2017	43.8%	45.2%	42.5%
India	Kangra	2000	17.0%	21.3%	12.5%
India	Kangra	2017	65.6%	70.6%	60.9%
India	Kannauj	2000	3.5%	4.0%	3.0%
India	Kannauj	2017	41.6%	43.2%	40.1%
India	Kanniyakumari	2000	31.1%	34.4%	27.6%
India	Kanniyakumari	2017	83.0%	86.5%	79.6%
India	Kannur	2000	41.7%	44.3%	39.2%
India	Kannur	2017	75.6%	77.3%	73.3%
India	Kanpur Dehat	2000	4.0%	5.7%	2.9%
India	Kanpur Dehat	2017	48.5%	53.8%	42.4%
India	Kanpur Nagar	2000	11.6%	12.4%	10.9%
India	Kanpur Nagar	2017	67.1%	68.0%	66.2%
India	Kapurthala	2000	19.2%	21.1%	17.4%
India	Kapurthala	2017	86.5%	88.6%	83.6%
India	Karaikal	2000	7.6%	9.4%	6.7%
India	Karaikal	2017	73.9%	76.7%	70.0%
India	Karauli	2000	2.8%	4.2%	2.1%
India	Karauli	2017	36.8%	39.5%	34.2%
India	Karbi Ang-long	2000	7.9%	12.8%	4.3%
India	Karbi Ang-long	2017	40.6%	45.9%	34.8%
India	Kargil	2000	8.9%	12.1%	5.7%
India	Kargil	2017	30.4%	36.4%	24.9%
India	Karimganj	2000	1.2%	1.6%	1.0%
India	Karimganj	2017	27.4%	29.4%	25.7%
India	Karimnagar	2000	16.7%	25.0%	10.5%
India	Karimnagar	2017	74.3%	83.0%	64.6%
India	Karnal	2000	16.7%	19.9%	14.1%
India	Karnal	2017	76.8%	78.7%	74.4%
India	Karur	2000	10.5%	13.5%	8.1%
India	Karur	2017	60.2%	62.7%	57.5%
India	Kasaragod	2000	14.6%	16.3%	12.9%
India	Kasaragod	2017	65.9%	69.3%	63.0%
India	Kasganj	2000	6.5%	7.7%	5.5%
India	Kasganj	2017	48.5%	49.9%	47.1%
India	Kathua	2000	7.2%	8.9%	5.9%
India	Kathua	2017	56.1%	59.6%	53.0%
India	Katihar	2000	2.7%	3.4%	2.2%
India	Katihar	2017	32.2%	34.4%	30.2%
India	Katni	2000	4.2%	5.6%	3.1%
India	Katni	2017	36.9%	40.4%	32.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Kaushambi	2000	9.4%	11.8%	7.7%
India	Kaushambi	2017	49.7%	52.0%	47.4%
India	Kendrapara	2000	2.4%	4.6%	1.4%
India	Kendrapara	2017	33.0%	37.8%	28.8%
India	Kendujhar	2000	4.6%	6.2%	3.4%
India	Kendujhar	2017	31.2%	35.9%	26.5%
India	Khagaria	2000	2.2%	2.8%	1.9%
India	Khagaria	2017	40.3%	43.3%	38.2%
India	Khammam	2000	25.5%	34.3%	19.0%
India	Khammam	2017	66.7%	74.9%	57.6%
India	Khargone	2000	6.7%	8.7%	5.1%
India	Khargone	2017	40.9%	46.1%	36.7%
India	Kheda	2000	8.4%	10.5%	7.1%
India	Kheda	2017	47.6%	51.5%	43.5%
India	Khordha	2000	6.7%	7.5%	6.2%
India	Khordha	2017	56.9%	58.9%	55.3%
India	Khowai	2000	4.1%	6.1%	2.5%
India	Khowai	2017	34.4%	42.5%	29.2%
India	Khunti	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
India	Khunti	2017	24.9%	27.3%	22.7%
India	Kinnaur	2000	18.4%	23.4%	14.3%
India	Kinnaur	2017	73.4%	77.0%	69.3%
India	Kiphire	2000	3.7%	6.2%	2.3%
India	Kiphire	2017	41.3%	44.2%	39.0%
India	Kishanganj	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.4%
India	Kishanganj	2017	30.5%	34.1%	27.6%
India	Kishtwar	2000	6.2%	12.5%	2.3%
India	Kishtwar	2017	36.9%	45.1%	28.0%
India	Koch Bihar	2000	5.8%	8.2%	4.3%
India	Koch Bihar	2017	49.2%	55.5%	43.8%
India	Kodagu	2000	6.9%	8.6%	5.5%
India	Kodagu	2017	51.6%	55.8%	47.0%
India	Koderma	2000	3.4%	5.5%	2.2%
India	Koderma	2017	48.0%	51.6%	43.7%
India	Kohima	2000	14.1%	15.7%	12.8%
India	Kohima	2017	80.5%	81.6%	79.5%
India	Kokrajhar	2000	3.2%	4.8%	1.9%
India	Kokrajhar	2017	26.4%	29.3%	23.7%
India	Kolar	2000	11.1%	16.3%	7.7%
India	Kolar	2017	56.4%	62.3%	50.3%
India	Kolasib	2000	35.9%	38.3%	33.6%
India	Kolasib	2017	86.4%	87.6%	85.1%
India	Kolhapur	2000	14.2%	17.1%	12.0%
India	Kolhapur	2017	67.6%	71.7%	63.3%
India	Kolkata	2000	9.4%	9.8%	8.9%
India	Kolkata	2017	63.0%	64.9%	61.1%
India	Kollam	2000	34.5%	39.2%	28.9%
India	Kollam	2017	86.5%	88.6%	81.7%
India	Kondagaon	2000	11.6%	16.9%	7.2%
India	Kondagaon	2017	46.8%	55.0%	39.3%
India	Koppal	2000	3.3%	5.4%	1.8%
India	Koppal	2017	29.3%	33.7%	25.3%
India	Koraput	2000	4.8%	7.3%	2.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Koraput	2017	30.3%	33.9%	26.9%
India	Korba	2000	5.6%	6.8%	4.9%
India	Korba	2017	49.6%	52.6%	46.8%
India	Koriya	2000	4.8%	7.0%	3.4%
India	Koriya	2017	38.5%	41.0%	35.9%
India	Kota	2000	18.4%	20.5%	16.4%
India	Kota	2017	73.3%	75.2%	71.6%
India	Kottayam	2000	21.1%	22.4%	19.8%
India	Kottayam	2017	83.2%	84.2%	82.1%
India	Kozhikode	2000	25.1%	28.5%	22.3%
India	Kozhikode	2017	73.5%	76.9%	70.0%
India	Kra Daddi	2000	6.9%	14.2%	2.6%
India	Kra Daddi	2017	44.6%	60.7%	32.0%
India	Krishna	2000	22.0%	26.1%	18.5%
India	Krishna	2017	76.8%	80.1%	73.2%
India	Krishnagiri	2000	11.5%	13.6%	9.6%
India	Krishnagiri	2017	52.5%	56.4%	48.9%
India	Kulgam	2000	5.6%	7.1%	4.5%
India	Kulgam	2017	63.2%	65.5%	61.0%
India	Kullu	2000	13.5%	15.8%	11.7%
India	Kullu	2017	67.2%	70.5%	64.2%
India	Kumuram	2000	16.0%	29.0%	4.9%
India	Bheem Asifabad				
India	Kumuram	2017	53.1%	68.5%	36.2%
India	Bheem Asifabad				
India	Kupwara	2000	5.7%	7.1%	4.2%
India	Kupwara	2017	54.4%	56.9%	51.9%
India	Kurnool	2000	20.1%	25.0%	16.0%
India	Kurnool	2017	66.2%	71.3%	61.3%
India	Kurukshetra	2000	11.3%	13.0%	9.8%
India	Kurukshetra	2017	73.5%	75.6%	71.1%
India	Kurung	2000	8.3%	13.6%	3.3%
India	Kumey				
India	Kurung	2017	47.1%	52.8%	39.2%
India	Kumey				
India	Kushinagar	2000	5.1%	5.9%	4.3%
India	Kushinagar	2017	47.5%	49.1%	45.7%
India	Lahul & Spiti	2000	10.9%	15.4%	7.4%
India	Lahul & Spiti	2017	50.4%	56.3%	44.3%
India	Lakhimpur	2000	3.2%	4.6%	2.5%
India	Lakhimpur	2017	43.1%	45.6%	40.3%
India	Lakhimpur	2000	6.9%	10.3%	3.7%
India	Kheri				
India	Lakhimpur	2017	39.5%	45.5%	33.9%
India	Kheri				
India	Lakhisarai	2000	6.4%	8.1%	5.3%
India	Lakhisarai	2017	52.2%	53.9%	50.1%
India	Lakshadweep	2000	20.7%	26.5%	13.6%
India	Lakshadweep	2017	68.7%	74.4%	60.9%
India	Lalitpur	2000	7.1%	9.6%	4.7%
India	Lalitpur	2017	39.3%	43.8%	35.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Latehar	2000	1.3%	2.8%	0.7%
India	Latehar	2017	20.3%	25.1%	16.8%
India	Latur	2000	13.5%	16.8%	11.2%
India	Latur	2017	59.5%	63.9%	54.9%
India	Lawangtlai	2000	6.2%	8.3%	4.9%
India	Lawangtlai	2017	45.5%	50.2%	42.2%
India	Leh (Ladakh)	2000	4.2%	7.0%	2.4%
India	Leh (Ladakh)	2017	23.5%	29.6%	19.0%
India	Lohardaga	2000	1.1%	1.3%	1.0%
India	Lohardaga	2017	21.3%	23.6%	19.4%
India	Lohit	2000	6.6%	10.9%	3.7%
India	Lohit	2017	50.8%	55.9%	45.6%
India	Longding	2000	3.1%	4.6%	2.1%
India	Longding	2017	29.1%	34.0%	26.0%
India	Longleng	2000	1.3%	1.5%	1.2%
India	Longleng	2017	32.3%	34.2%	30.5%
India	Lower Dibang Valley	2000	7.9%	11.1%	5.7%
India	Lower Dibang Valley	2017	55.6%	59.7%	51.7%
India	Lower Siang	2000	20.0%	29.7%	10.2%
India	Lower Siang	2017	59.3%	66.4%	51.1%
India	Lower Subansiri	2000	23.5%	27.6%	19.1%
India	Lower Subansiri	2017	84.0%	87.0%	81.4%
India	Lucknow	2000	9.6%	10.5%	8.9%
India	Lucknow	2017	69.0%	70.5%	67.5%
India	Ludhiana	2000	24.1%	27.9%	20.7%
India	Ludhiana	2017	85.0%	87.1%	82.1%
India	Lunglei	2000	10.4%	12.2%	9.1%
India	Lunglei	2017	55.5%	58.5%	52.7%
India	Madhepura	2000	1.2%	1.4%	1.1%
India	Madhepura	2017	27.5%	29.2%	25.9%
India	Madhubani	2000	4.7%	7.0%	3.3%
India	Madhubani	2017	39.0%	42.7%	36.0%
India	Madurai	2000	10.9%	13.4%	9.2%
India	Madurai	2017	70.1%	73.6%	66.9%
India	Maharajganj	2000	5.4%	7.3%	3.1%
India	Maharajganj	2017	38.4%	41.4%	35.3%
India	Mahasamund	2000	3.5%	4.8%	2.6%
India	Mahasamund	2017	32.7%	37.4%	28.7%
India	Mahbubnagar	2000	21.3%	33.7%	9.7%
India	Mahbubnagar	2017	57.1%	67.9%	43.7%
India	Mahe	2000	80.6%	86.8%	73.2%
India	Mahe	2017	94.1%	95.9%	91.1%
India	Mahendragarh	2000	12.7%	15.3%	10.8%
India	Mahendragarh	2017	72.9%	75.0%	69.3%
India	Mahesana	2000	10.7%	12.5%	9.3%
India	Mahesana	2017	56.9%	59.6%	54.1%
India	Mahisagar	2000	13.3%	18.0%	9.4%
India	Mahisagar	2017	42.8%	46.8%	38.2%
India	Mahoba	2000	6.1%	7.1%	5.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Mahoba	2017	51.7%	53.5%	49.8%
India	Mahuababad	2000	9.1%	16.9%	4.1%
India	Mahuababad	2017	49.9%	61.0%	38.1%
India	Mainpuri	2000	2.2%	2.8%	1.8%
India	Mainpuri	2017	35.2%	37.4%	32.6%
India	Majuli	2000	5.1%	8.5%	2.9%
India	Majuli	2017	34.8%	42.9%	28.0%
India	Malappuram	2000	21.8%	23.9%	20.0%
India	Malappuram	2017	71.3%	73.1%	69.3%
India	Maldah	2000	8.7%	11.0%	6.6%
India	Maldah	2017	40.7%	44.8%	36.9%
India	Malkangiri	2000	2.1%	4.8%	0.9%
India	Malkangiri	2017	21.7%	26.3%	17.3%
India	Mamit	2000	11.7%	15.8%	9.1%
India	Mamit	2017	59.2%	64.0%	54.7%
India	Mancherial	2000	18.4%	29.6%	10.6%
India	Mancherial	2017	63.1%	75.1%	50.0%
India	Mandi	2000	31.3%	33.7%	29.1%
India	Mandi	2017	78.6%	80.3%	76.7%
India	Mandla	2000	4.1%	6.4%	2.5%
India	Mandla	2017	34.5%	38.6%	30.4%
India	Mandsaur	2000	13.4%	16.1%	11.2%
India	Mandsaur	2017	49.2%	52.5%	45.2%
India	Mandya	2000	4.8%	7.2%	3.0%
India	Mandya	2017	46.1%	51.1%	41.3%
India	Mansa	2000	8.2%	9.9%	6.9%
India	Mansa	2017	62.2%	64.9%	59.8%
India	Mathura	2000	9.2%	11.0%	7.8%
India	Mathura	2017	54.2%	56.9%	51.7%
India	Mau	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.3%
India	Mau	2017	30.6%	32.7%	28.6%
India	Mayurbhanj	2000	2.7%	4.1%	1.8%
India	Mayurbhanj	2017	28.4%	32.5%	24.7%
India	Medak	2000	9.0%	15.4%	4.0%
India	Medak	2017	55.9%	66.4%	45.4%
India	Medchal	2000	19.2%	21.5%	16.9%
India	Malkajgiri	2017	69.4%	73.8%	66.5%
India	Meerut	2000	20.6%	21.5%	19.6%
India	Meerut	2017	86.4%	86.9%	86.0%
India	Mewat	2000	2.7%	3.2%	2.4%
India	Mewat	2017	46.5%	47.9%	44.9%
India	Mirzapur	2000	6.0%	7.9%	4.7%
India	Mirzapur	2017	43.6%	47.1%	40.5%
India	Moga	2000	14.8%	18.7%	11.8%
India	Moga	2017	65.2%	69.8%	60.3%
India	Mokokchung	2000	10.2%	11.2%	9.3%
India	Mokokchung	2017	70.2%	71.7%	68.5%
India	Mon	2000	5.0%	6.3%	3.6%
India	Mon	2017	43.8%	46.5%	41.1%
India	Moradabad	2000	18.2%	19.6%	16.9%
India	Moradabad	2017	77.3%	79.0%	75.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Morbi	2000	15.2%	20.9%	11.7%
India	Morbi	2017	56.4%	63.6%	49.9%
India	Morena	2000	7.6%	9.7%	5.8%
India	Morena	2017	52.3%	55.1%	49.2%
India	Morigaon	2000	3.0%	3.5%	2.7%
India	Morigaon	2017	34.5%	36.7%	32.5%
India	Muktsar	2000	16.2%	17.8%	14.3%
India	Muktsar	2017	67.4%	70.6%	64.1%
India	Mumbai City	2000	32.8%	37.2%	29.7%
India	Mumbai City	2017	77.8%	81.4%	73.7%
India	Mumbai Sub-urban	2000	29.1%	29.8%	28.4%
India	Mumbai Sub-urban	2017	78.1%	78.7%	77.4%
India	Mungeli	2000	6.3%	9.4%	3.9%
India	Mungeli	2017	43.3%	48.1%	38.9%
India	Munger	2000	5.0%	5.7%	4.5%
India	Munger	2017	56.1%	57.4%	54.7%
India	Murshidabad	2000	8.2%	11.1%	5.4%
India	Murshidabad	2017	57.6%	60.8%	53.7%
India	Muzaffarnagar	2000	11.0%	12.4%	9.5%
India	Muzaffarnagar	2017	67.5%	69.7%	65.9%
India	Muzaffarpur	2000	3.9%	6.1%	2.6%
India	Muzaffarpur	2017	49.0%	52.7%	44.8%
India	Mysuru	2000	5.8%	9.9%	4.1%
India	Mysuru	2017	46.9%	52.6%	42.1%
India	Nabarangapur	2000	1.9%	4.7%	1.1%
India	Nabarangapur	2017	22.9%	27.9%	19.2%
India	Nadia	2000	6.1%	8.6%	4.5%
India	Nadia	2017	56.0%	60.4%	51.6%
India	Nagaon	2000	4.9%	6.7%	3.6%
India	Nagaon	2017	41.8%	44.9%	38.7%
India	Nagappattinam	2000	8.4%	16.4%	5.0%
India	Nagappattinam	2017	56.7%	64.7%	48.4%
India	Nagarkurnool	2000	13.4%	24.5%	5.2%
India	Nagarkurnool	2017	54.1%	68.8%	38.0%
India	Nagaur	2000	13.0%	16.0%	10.3%
India	Nagaur	2017	62.0%	65.6%	58.2%
India	Nagpur	2000	21.4%	24.2%	18.9%
India	Nagpur	2017	81.5%	84.2%	78.8%
India	Nainital	2000	12.0%	14.0%	10.3%
India	Nainital	2017	70.3%	72.3%	67.7%
India	Nalanda	2000	3.2%	4.0%	2.8%
India	Nalanda	2017	49.1%	51.0%	46.5%
India	Nalbari	2000	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%
India	Nalbari	2017	28.0%	29.3%	26.8%
India	Nalgonda	2000	21.7%	28.6%	14.9%
India	Nalgonda	2017	64.3%	70.6%	56.1%
India	Namakkal	2000	13.0%	17.6%	10.3%
India	Namakkal	2017	71.4%	76.6%	65.3%
India	Namsai	2000	3.2%	5.9%	1.5%
India	Namsai	2017	35.7%	38.3%	32.6%
India	Nanded	2000	13.8%	18.6%	9.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Nanded	2017	60.8%	66.0%	55.5%
India	Nandurbar	2000	6.7%	9.5%	4.5%
India	Nandurbar	2017	45.6%	48.9%	41.6%
India	Narayanpur	2000	2.1%	5.0%	0.8%
India	Narayanpur	2017	19.7%	25.2%	15.5%
India	Narmada	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.7%
India	Narmada	2017	25.1%	28.4%	22.8%
India	Narsimhapur	2000	8.1%	10.6%	5.5%
India	Narsimhapur	2017	48.7%	53.0%	44.4%
India	Nashik	2000	20.8%	24.6%	16.6%
India	Nashik	2017	66.3%	70.4%	61.7%
India	Navsari	2000	10.3%	13.6%	7.7%
India	Navsari	2017	47.8%	51.1%	43.7%
India	Nawada	2000	4.4%	7.0%	2.8%
India	Nawada	2017	46.7%	50.1%	42.7%
India	Nayagarh	2000	3.9%	5.1%	3.0%
India	Nayagarh	2017	42.6%	45.7%	39.3%
India	Neemuch	2000	12.9%	16.0%	10.2%
India	Neemuch	2017	52.9%	56.0%	49.0%
India	Nellore	2000	19.5%	23.7%	15.6%
India	Nellore	2017	67.6%	72.8%	62.8%
India	New Delhi	2000	55.1%	55.7%	54.5%
India	New Delhi	2017	97.0%	97.1%	96.9%
India	Nicobars	2000	64.6%	77.2%	53.3%
India	Nicobars	2017	88.5%	96.4%	78.5%
India	Nirmal	2000	7.3%	13.4%	2.8%
India	Nirmal	2017	45.7%	57.2%	34.2%
India	Niwari	2000	12.8%	16.9%	9.2%
India	Niwari	2017	52.6%	57.4%	47.0%
India	Nizamabad	2000	14.9%	21.1%	9.5%
India	Nizamabad	2017	58.3%	68.0%	50.9%
India	Noney	2000	10.0%	16.1%	6.2%
India	Noney	2017	42.0%	50.9%	33.7%
India	North	2000	61.1%	62.2%	59.9%
India	North	2017	98.3%	98.4%	98.2%
India	North & Middle Andaman	2000	15.0%	27.1%	6.5%
India	North & Middle Andaman	2017	63.4%	73.0%	53.6%
India	North 24 Parganas	2000	8.6%	10.3%	6.9%
India	North 24 Parganas	2017	58.8%	61.2%	56.2%
India	North East	2000	55.6%	56.8%	54.4%
India	North East	2017	97.9%	98.0%	97.8%
India	North Garo Hills	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
India	North Garo Hills	2017	11.6%	14.1%	9.3%
India	North Goa	2000	29.9%	32.9%	26.4%
India	North Goa	2017	85.8%	87.6%	83.6%
India	North Sikkim	2000	53.5%	60.6%	47.2%
India	North Sikkim	2017	94.9%	97.3%	91.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	North Tripura	2000	3.2%	4.9%	2.3%
India	North Tripura	2017	38.4%	44.8%	33.3%
India	North West	2000	45.3%	46.5%	44.0%
India	North West	2017	94.8%	95.1%	94.5%
India	Nuapada	2000	2.7%	5.2%	1.3%
India	Nuapada	2017	27.2%	31.4%	22.5%
India	Osmanabad	2000	9.2%	12.2%	6.7%
India	Osmanabad	2017	48.7%	54.6%	43.2%
India	Pakke Kessang	2000	3.8%	10.5%	1.8%
India	Pakke Kessang	2017	33.3%	42.6%	26.6%
India	Pakur	2000	2.3%	2.8%	1.9%
India	Pakur	2017	28.4%	30.4%	26.8%
India	Palakkad	2000	17.8%	21.7%	15.2%
India	Palakkad	2017	60.9%	64.8%	56.7%
India	Palamu	2000	4.0%	4.9%	3.1%
India	Palamu	2017	34.3%	37.4%	31.9%
India	Palghar	2000	14.6%	18.4%	11.3%
India	Palghar	2017	71.5%	77.1%	65.1%
India	Pali	2000	9.5%	13.4%	6.9%
India	Pali	2017	54.6%	59.9%	49.2%
India	Palwal	2000	3.9%	4.3%	3.7%
India	Palwal	2017	56.7%	59.0%	54.9%
India	Panch Mahals	2000	4.8%	6.2%	3.7%
India	Panch Mahals	2017	42.2%	46.5%	37.9%
India	Panchkula	2000	21.9%	23.2%	20.7%
India	Panchkula	2017	79.9%	81.2%	78.6%
India	Panipat	2000	33.7%	35.8%	30.8%
India	Panipat	2017	90.4%	91.1%	89.7%
India	Panna	2000	6.7%	11.7%	4.0%
India	Panna	2017	37.1%	43.6%	32.1%
India	Papum Pare	2000	15.0%	17.5%	13.0%
India	Papum Pare	2017	74.7%	77.2%	72.0%
India	Parbhani	2000	10.1%	13.6%	7.5%
India	Parbhani	2017	54.0%	58.4%	48.9%
India	Paschimi Barddhama	2000	10.5%	12.6%	9.0%
India	Paschimi Barddhama	2017	68.1%	71.6%	64.6%
India	Pashchim Champaran	2000	3.5%	4.8%	2.6%
India	Pashchim Champaran	2017	28.2%	31.6%	25.5%
India	Pashchim Medinipur	2000	4.3%	6.6%	2.7%
India	Pashchim Medinipur	2017	39.0%	42.1%	35.7%
India	Pashchimi Singhbhum	2000	2.6%	5.3%	1.7%
India	Pashchimi Singhbhum	2017	27.5%	34.5%	23.7%
India	Patan	2000	12.2%	15.3%	9.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Patan	2017	55.3%	60.1%	50.7%
India	Pathanamthitta	2000	23.6%	25.4%	21.9%
India	Pathanamthitta	2017	86.9%	87.9%	85.8%
India	Pathankot	2000	34.9%	42.5%	22.0%
India	Pathankot	2017	84.3%	87.3%	80.5%
India	Patiala	2000	24.4%	28.3%	20.7%
India	Patiala	2017	80.3%	83.1%	76.7%
India	Patna	2000	11.2%	11.9%	10.5%
India	Patna	2017	62.1%	63.0%	61.1%
India	Pauri	2000	8.4%	11.3%	6.2%
India	Garhwal Pauri Garhwal	2017	42.7%	45.8%	39.7%
India	Peddapalli	2000	15.7%	28.7%	7.9%
India	Peddapalli	2017	72.8%	84.8%	57.3%
India	Perambalur	2000	5.0%	5.8%	4.4%
India	Perambalur	2017	54.5%	56.9%	52.4%
India	Peren	2000	4.6%	5.5%	4.0%
India	Peren	2017	54.0%	56.4%	51.5%
India	Phek	2000	9.6%	11.9%	7.8%
India	Phek	2017	68.4%	70.4%	66.2%
India	Pherzawl	2000	7.6%	15.2%	4.3%
India	Pherzawl	2017	28.8%	46.7%	18.0%
India	Pilibhit	2000	11.2%	14.0%	9.2%
India	Pilibhit	2017	59.6%	62.9%	55.8%
India	Pithoragarh	2000	5.2%	8.1%	3.6%
India	Pithoragarh	2017	37.1%	41.0%	34.1%
India	Poonch	2000	11.1%	16.0%	7.2%
India	Poonch	2017	66.3%	71.2%	60.0%
India	Porbandar	2000	10.9%	15.4%	7.8%
India	Porbandar	2017	54.3%	59.0%	48.8%
India	Prakasam	2000	18.3%	22.8%	15.0%
India	Prakasam	2017	67.2%	71.9%	61.7%
India	Pratapgarh	2000	7.8%	10.5%	5.4%
India	Pratapgarh	2000	9.5%	12.1%	8.1%
India	Pratapgarh	2017	42.1%	46.0%	38.0%
India	Pratapgarh	2017	39.0%	44.6%	34.9%
India	Puducherry	2000	10.2%	10.7%	9.7%
India	Puducherry	2017	75.4%	76.3%	74.6%
India	Pudukkottai	2000	8.6%	11.5%	6.1%
India	Pudukkottai	2017	51.7%	55.5%	47.4%
India	Pulwama	2000	12.5%	14.0%	11.4%
India	Pulwama	2017	76.7%	78.4%	75.4%
India	Pune	2000	33.0%	35.8%	30.2%
India	Pune	2017	86.8%	88.7%	84.5%
India	Purba Bardhaman	2000	9.1%	13.5%	4.8%
India	Purba Bardhaman	2017	53.1%	59.8%	45.1%
India	Purba Champaran	2000	2.3%	3.3%	1.8%
India	Purba Champaran	2017	37.2%	40.3%	34.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Purba Medinipur	2000	6.3%	8.6%	4.6%
India	Purba Medinipur	2017	47.7%	52.5%	43.5%
India	Purbi Singhbhum	2000	12.3%	13.5%	11.2%
India	Purbi Singhbhum	2017	58.4%	60.5%	56.8%
India	Puri	2000	16.9%	20.7%	11.2%
India	Puri	2017	56.0%	58.6%	52.3%
India	Purnia	2000	2.0%	3.7%	1.4%
India	Purnia	2017	30.4%	33.7%	27.2%
India	Puruliya	2000	6.3%	9.4%	4.4%
India	Puruliya	2017	33.6%	39.3%	28.0%
India	Rae Bareli	2000	3.2%	4.9%	2.2%
India	Rae Bareli	2017	36.4%	41.3%	31.8%
India	Raichur	2000	7.4%	10.0%	4.8%
India	Raichur	2017	39.1%	43.5%	34.7%
India	Raigad	2000	26.4%	31.0%	22.0%
India	Raigad	2017	78.1%	82.1%	73.4%
India	Raigarh	2000	7.0%	9.1%	5.2%
India	Raigarh	2017	42.8%	46.9%	39.0%
India	Raipur	2000	12.4%	13.7%	11.2%
India	Raipur	2017	69.9%	71.4%	68.2%
India	Raisen	2000	9.3%	12.2%	7.2%
India	Raisen	2017	53.5%	58.0%	49.5%
India	Rajanna Sircilla	2000	27.0%	41.3%	13.8%
India	Rajanna Sircilla	2017	79.0%	86.8%	64.7%
India	Rajgarh	2000	7.0%	10.2%	5.0%
India	Rajgarh	2017	40.0%	44.0%	36.4%
India	Rajkot	2000	19.4%	23.3%	16.1%
India	Rajkot	2017	70.5%	74.5%	66.4%
India	Rajnandgaon	2000	9.0%	12.5%	5.9%
India	Rajnandgaon	2017	50.9%	56.1%	45.1%
India	Rajouri	2000	9.4%	11.7%	6.8%
India	Rajouri	2017	51.2%	53.2%	49.1%
India	Rajsamand	2000	10.4%	12.2%	8.7%
India	Rajsamand	2017	51.9%	54.8%	48.8%
India	Ramanagara	2000	8.2%	11.1%	5.6%
India	Ramanagara	2017	47.0%	50.6%	42.2%
India	Ramanathapuram	2000	14.2%	20.5%	9.2%
India	Ramanathapuram	2017	53.4%	60.8%	46.7%
India	Ramban	2000	1.7%	2.0%	1.6%
India	Ramban	2017	36.6%	38.9%	34.7%
India	Ramgarh	2000	6.8%	7.7%	5.9%
India	Ramgarh	2017	58.5%	59.5%	57.5%
India	Rampur	2000	16.2%	18.0%	14.5%
India	Rampur	2017	75.1%	77.1%	73.6%
India	Ranchi	2000	6.6%	7.7%	5.7%
India	Ranchi	2017	49.3%	51.8%	46.7%
India	Ranga Reddy	2000	15.6%	18.9%	12.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Ranga Reddy	2017	61.0%	66.3%	56.9%
India	Ratlam	2000	11.5%	13.3%	9.8%
India	Ratlam	2017	46.6%	49.6%	43.7%
India	Ratnagiri	2000	34.6%	40.8%	28.3%
India	Ratnagiri	2017	81.1%	85.6%	75.3%
India	Rayagada	2000	7.8%	11.3%	5.2%
India	Rayagada	2017	38.1%	44.9%	32.3%
India	Reasi	2000	4.7%	6.5%	3.6%
India	Reasi	2017	51.0%	53.0%	48.6%
India	Rewa	2000	3.9%	5.6%	2.8%
India	Rewa	2017	35.2%	39.6%	31.6%
India	Rewari	2000	6.6%	7.8%	5.8%
India	Rewari	2017	65.1%	66.8%	63.5%
India	Ri Bhoi	2000	10.1%	12.1%	8.6%
India	Ri Bhoi	2017	51.2%	53.7%	49.1%
India	Rohtak	2000	10.8%	11.8%	9.8%
India	Rohtak	2017	59.5%	61.0%	58.0%
India	Rohtas	2000	3.1%	4.1%	2.6%
India	Rohtas	2017	45.3%	48.8%	42.7%
India	Rudraprayag	2000	2.1%	3.2%	1.3%
India	Rudraprayag	2017	26.9%	30.0%	24.2%
India	Rupnagar	2000	14.3%	15.4%	13.6%
India	Rupnagar	2017	79.7%	81.1%	78.2%
India	Sabar Kantha	2000	9.2%	16.0%	4.8%
India	Sabar Kantha	2017	47.7%	54.4%	40.1%
India	Sagar	2000	7.3%	9.7%	5.5%
India	Sagar	2017	48.1%	52.0%	43.6%
India	Saharanpur	2000	15.1%	16.2%	13.9%
India	Saharanpur	2017	74.9%	75.8%	74.0%
India	Saharsa	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.8%
India	Saharsa	2017	24.5%	26.6%	22.8%
India	Sahibganj	2000	1.5%	1.7%	1.4%
India	Sahibganj	2017	34.8%	36.9%	33.1%
India	Sahibzada	2000	29.2%	30.6%	27.5%
India	Ajit Singh Nagar	2017	84.5%	85.3%	83.6%
India	Saiha	2000	5.8%	8.3%	4.5%
India	Saiha	2017	59.3%	61.8%	56.6%
India	Salem	2000	9.2%	10.9%	7.4%
India	Salem	2017	60.3%	63.1%	57.3%
India	Samastipur	2000	2.9%	4.3%	1.7%
India	Samastipur	2017	34.9%	37.3%	32.2%
India	Samba	2000	5.1%	5.6%	4.8%
India	Samba	2017	67.0%	68.4%	65.8%
India	Sambalpur	2000	6.1%	8.6%	4.1%
India	Sambalpur	2017	44.9%	49.7%	40.0%
India	Sambhal	2000	6.6%	9.5%	5.3%
India	Sambhal	2017	57.1%	61.6%	53.2%
India	Sangareddy	2000	22.8%	30.0%	16.9%
India	Sangareddy	2017	67.9%	75.5%	60.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Sangli	2000	19.5%	23.1%	16.6%
India	Sangli	2017	75.8%	79.3%	71.5%
India	Sangrur	2000	28.1%	32.9%	23.1%
India	Sangrur	2017	78.2%	81.9%	73.6%
India	Sant Kabir Nagar	2000	2.6%	3.7%	1.9%
India	Sant Kabir Nagar	2017	28.5%	31.1%	26.0%
India	Sant Ravi Das Nagar	2000	1.8%	2.2%	1.6%
India	Sant Ravi Das Nagar	2017	36.2%	37.7%	34.8%
India	Saraikelela Kharsawan	2000	7.5%	9.1%	6.1%
India	Saraikelela Kharsawan	2017	36.7%	39.8%	33.8%
India	Saran	2000	2.7%	3.2%	2.4%
India	Saran	2017	41.2%	43.1%	39.6%
India	Satara	2000	22.8%	29.5%	17.6%
India	Satara	2017	75.8%	80.3%	70.5%
India	Satna	2000	5.1%	7.6%	3.5%
India	Satna	2017	43.6%	48.0%	39.3%
India	Sawai Madhopur	2000	6.7%	8.3%	5.4%
India	Sawai Madhopur	2017	49.8%	52.2%	47.7%
India	Sehore	2000	11.5%	15.6%	8.2%
India	Sehore	2017	58.8%	63.0%	54.3%
India	Senapati	2000	5.8%	11.1%	3.1%
India	Senapati	2017	50.9%	63.6%	40.3%
India	Seoni	2000	13.6%	16.8%	10.7%
India	Seoni	2017	46.1%	50.3%	41.5%
India	Serchhip	2000	6.1%	7.1%	5.1%
India	Serchhip	2017	48.0%	49.7%	46.6%
India	Shahdara	2000	43.4%	44.0%	42.8%
India	Shahdara	2017	96.3%	96.4%	96.1%
India	Shahdol	2000	5.8%	8.6%	4.2%
India	Shahdol	2017	29.8%	34.1%	25.7%
India	Shahid Bhagat Singh Nagar	2000	10.8%	11.6%	10.2%
India	Shahid Bhagat Singh Nagar	2017	79.6%	80.8%	78.4%
India	Shahjahanpur	2000	14.2%	16.4%	12.3%
India	Shahjahanpur	2017	54.5%	57.9%	51.2%
India	Shajapur	2000	15.3%	20.1%	11.9%
India	Shajapur	2017	63.2%	67.8%	58.6%
India	Shamli	2000	25.2%	28.5%	22.0%
India	Shamli	2017	73.5%	78.8%	69.0%
India	Sheikhpura	2000	2.2%	2.5%	2.1%
India	Sheikhpura	2017	44.9%	47.0%	42.8%
India	Sheohar	2000	2.1%	2.4%	2.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Sheohar	2017	38.7%	41.1%	36.5%
India	Sheopur	2000	5.3%	8.0%	3.2%
India	Sheopur	2017	33.6%	37.7%	29.7%
India	Shi Yomi	2000	12.0%	17.3%	8.2%
India	Shi Yomi	2017	68.9%	74.4%	62.5%
India	Shimla	2000	16.0%	18.1%	14.2%
India	Shimla	2017	71.1%	74.2%	68.2%
India	Shivamogga	2000	7.8%	11.4%	4.9%
India	Shivamogga	2017	55.3%	60.2%	49.6%
India	Shivpuri	2000	9.9%	12.2%	8.2%
India	Shivpuri	2017	45.9%	51.2%	42.0%
India	Shravasti	2000	2.9%	4.2%	2.0%
India	Shravasti	2017	27.7%	30.2%	24.7%
India	Shupiyan	2000	10.6%	11.3%	10.0%
India	Shupiyan	2017	78.2%	80.6%	76.1%
India	Siang	2000	16.6%	24.5%	9.6%
India	Siang	2017	60.8%	67.0%	54.4%
India	Siddharth Nagar	2000	2.0%	3.0%	1.5%
India	Siddharth Nagar	2017	30.5%	32.8%	27.8%
India	Siddipet	2000	26.1%	39.4%	14.9%
India	Siddipet	2017	74.4%	85.4%	62.0%
India	Sidhi	2000	2.2%	3.8%	1.2%
India	Sidhi	2017	22.4%	25.6%	19.0%
India	Sikar	2000	12.5%	14.9%	10.5%
India	Sikar	2017	57.8%	61.6%	53.5%
India	Simdega	2000	1.3%	2.8%	0.7%
India	Simdega	2017	18.4%	24.7%	13.6%
India	Sindhudurg	2000	30.9%	37.5%	24.7%
India	Sindhudurg	2017	81.0%	85.2%	75.8%
India	Singrauli	2000	6.1%	7.2%	5.0%
India	Singrauli	2017	33.5%	36.7%	30.5%
India	Sipahijala	2000	10.8%	14.0%	8.4%
India	Sipahijala	2017	43.4%	46.5%	39.2%
India	Sirmaur	2000	23.1%	26.0%	20.2%
India	Sirmaur	2017	74.6%	77.1%	71.5%
India	Sirohi	2000	4.3%	6.7%	3.1%
India	Sirohi	2017	42.9%	47.3%	38.7%
India	Sirsa	2000	15.9%	18.2%	13.8%
India	Sirsa	2017	61.6%	64.9%	58.4%
India	Sitamarhi	2000	2.4%	4.4%	1.6%
India	Sitamarhi	2017	38.8%	43.2%	33.6%
India	Sitapur	2000	6.3%	7.7%	5.2%
India	Sitapur	2017	37.2%	41.1%	33.9%
India	Sivaganga	2000	25.8%	30.9%	20.7%
India	Sivaganga	2017	69.9%	73.4%	66.0%
India	Sivasagar	2000	6.2%	8.7%	4.5%
India	Sivasagar	2017	44.7%	48.1%	41.1%
India	Siwan	2000	2.7%	3.2%	2.4%
India	Siwan	2017	46.0%	48.7%	43.9%
India	Solan	2000	14.1%	15.4%	13.0%
India	Solan	2017	77.9%	79.6%	76.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Solapur	2000	10.1%	13.7%	7.3%
India	Solapur	2017	57.6%	63.9%	51.5%
India	Sonbhadra	2000	13.3%	16.1%	10.3%
India	Sonbhadra	2017	51.0%	54.3%	47.5%
India	Sonepur	2000	2.2%	4.1%	1.2%
India	Sonepur	2017	32.4%	36.2%	28.5%
India	Sonipat	2000	21.0%	24.2%	17.8%
India	Sonipat	2017	74.5%	77.8%	70.5%
India	Sonitpur	2000	11.2%	14.0%	8.0%
India	Sonitpur	2017	43.0%	46.0%	40.0%
India	South	2000	36.7%	39.6%	33.4%
India	South	2017	93.6%	94.1%	93.1%
India	South 24 Parganas	2000	11.7%	15.3%	8.4%
India	South 24 Parganas	2017	53.6%	58.2%	48.8%
India	South Andaman	2000	40.1%	47.0%	33.1%
India	South Andaman	2017	91.7%	93.5%	89.7%
India	South East	2000	46.6%	48.9%	43.8%
India	South East	2017	96.8%	97.0%	96.4%
India	South Garo Hills	2000	1.6%	1.9%	1.4%
India	South Garo Hills	2017	34.0%	37.1%	31.5%
India	South Goa	2000	36.9%	40.7%	32.8%
India	South Goa	2017	91.2%	93.2%	88.4%
India	South Salmara Mancachar	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
India	South Salmara Mancachar	2017	17.8%	20.7%	15.1%
India	South Sikkim	2000	48.7%	51.5%	45.8%
India	South Sikkim	2017	94.3%	94.8%	93.8%
India	South Tripura	2000	5.7%	11.0%	2.5%
India	South Tripura	2017	39.1%	43.6%	33.7%
India	South West	2000	60.3%	61.5%	58.8%
India	South West	2017	94.2%	94.6%	93.8%
India	South West Garo Hills	2000	1.2%	1.7%	1.0%
India	South West Garo Hills	2017	24.2%	32.3%	20.6%
India	South West Khasi Hills	2000	15.6%	26.9%	9.7%
India	South West Khasi Hills	2017	64.0%	74.1%	51.2%
India	Srikakulam	2000	12.8%	16.3%	9.8%
India	Srikakulam	2017	61.5%	65.5%	57.8%
India	Srinagar	2000	19.5%	21.3%	17.8%
India	Srinagar	2017	87.3%	88.0%	86.5%
India	Sukma	2000	4.1%	9.3%	1.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Sukma	2017	24.6%	33.6%	17.4%
India	Sultanpur	2000	5.0%	7.8%	3.4%
India	Sultanpur	2017	41.4%	46.2%	36.5%
India	Sundargarh	2000	4.8%	6.8%	3.3%
India	Sundargarh	2017	42.9%	47.0%	38.7%
India	Supaul	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.1%
India	Supaul	2017	29.2%	31.2%	27.1%
India	Surajpur	2000	4.6%	11.2%	1.5%
India	Surajpur	2017	32.7%	44.4%	22.7%
India	Surat	2000	18.1%	35.8%	8.2%
India	Surat	2017	63.8%	84.8%	45.4%
India	Surendranagar	2000	15.2%	19.7%	11.4%
India	Surendranagar	2017	56.7%	62.4%	50.9%
India	Surguja	2000	8.4%	11.6%	5.9%
India	Surguja	2017	47.1%	52.4%	41.5%
India	Suryapet	2000	13.4%	24.1%	6.2%
India	Suryapet	2017	57.1%	68.7%	46.1%
India	Tamenglong	2000	2.8%	4.9%	2.0%
India	Tamenglong	2017	33.3%	37.3%	29.3%
India	Tapi	2000	14.3%	17.0%	11.3%
India	Tapi	2017	51.4%	53.2%	49.0%
India	Tarn Taran	2000	20.4%	24.8%	16.3%
India	Tarn Taran	2017	75.0%	78.5%	70.6%
India	Tawang	2000	7.8%	12.7%	5.5%
India	Tawang	2017	55.8%	63.8%	48.7%
India	Tehri Garhwal	2000	9.0%	10.5%	7.9%
India	Tehri Garhwal	2017	38.1%	40.5%	36.1%
India	Tengnoupal	2000	12.9%	18.8%	3.4%
India	Tengnoupal	2017	40.3%	45.9%	32.7%
India	Thane	2000	21.2%	23.7%	18.8%
India	Thane	2017	83.1%	84.9%	80.9%
India	Thanjavur	2000	11.0%	14.0%	7.9%
India	Thanjavur	2017	61.9%	65.0%	58.5%
India	The Nilgiris	2000	23.0%	25.7%	20.1%
India	The Nilgiris	2017	74.5%	77.1%	71.8%
India	Theni	2000	11.2%	13.5%	9.2%
India	Theni	2017	66.0%	69.4%	62.6%
India	Thiruvallur	2000	19.9%	22.6%	17.7%
India	Thiruvallur	2017	77.9%	81.5%	74.9%
India	Thiruvananthapuram	2000	13.4%	16.4%	10.9%
India	Thiruvananthapuram	2017	64.0%	68.6%	59.2%
India	Thiruvarur	2000	9.6%	10.6%	8.6%
India	Thiruvarur	2017	65.9%	68.1%	63.7%
India	Thoubal	2000	3.0%	3.1%	2.8%
India	Thoubal	2017	53.4%	54.6%	52.4%
India	Thrissur	2000	19.3%	22.4%	16.1%
India	Thrissur	2017	71.8%	74.6%	68.0%
India	Tikamgarh	2000	4.2%	6.0%	2.8%
India	Tikamgarh	2017	35.1%	39.4%	31.8%
India	Tinsukia	2000	3.0%	3.8%	2.5%
India	Tinsukia	2017	34.9%	37.1%	33.0%
India	Tirap	2000	1.3%	1.7%	1.1%
India	Tirap	2017	28.0%	32.3%	25.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Tiruchirappalli	2000	9.0%	11.9%	6.7%
India	Tiruchirappalli	2017	60.3%	65.5%	55.4%
India	Tirunelveli	2000	14.9%	18.7%	11.7%
India	Tirunelveli	2017	67.7%	71.6%	62.9%
India	Tiruppur	2000	13.1%	16.5%	10.2%
India	Tiruppur	2017	71.8%	76.4%	66.8%
India	Tiruvannamalai	2000	14.9%	20.4%	10.6%
India	Tiruvannamalai	2017	59.7%	65.9%	54.2%
India	Tonk	2000	8.2%	12.1%	4.6%
India	Tonk	2017	47.1%	52.7%	40.9%
India	Tuensang	2000	4.4%	5.3%	3.6%
India	Tuensang	2017	42.4%	44.4%	40.5%
India	Tumakuru	2000	11.2%	14.9%	8.3%
India	Tumakuru	2017	51.7%	56.0%	47.2%
India	Tuticorin	2000	22.9%	26.8%	19.5%
India	Tuticorin	2017	68.5%	72.7%	64.4%
India	Udaipur	2000	14.5%	17.4%	12.4%
India	Udaipur	2017	56.7%	60.4%	52.6%
India	Udalguri	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.4%
India	Udalguri	2017	21.9%	24.3%	19.9%
India	Udham Singh Nagar	2000	6.0%	6.6%	5.4%
India	Udham Singh Nagar	2017	53.7%	54.7%	52.5%
India	Udhampur	2000	5.1%	5.7%	4.7%
India	Udhampur	2017	52.6%	55.0%	50.1%
India	Udupi	2000	14.9%	18.5%	11.8%
India	Udupi	2017	66.4%	70.4%	62.6%
India	Ujjain	2000	9.0%	10.4%	7.6%
India	Ujjain	2017	58.7%	62.0%	56.0%
India	Ukhrul	2000	4.2%	4.9%	3.4%
India	Ukhrul	2017	33.5%	37.1%	30.5%
India	Umaria	2000	7.1%	10.0%	4.6%
India	Umaria	2017	39.1%	43.2%	35.5%
India	Una	2000	10.6%	15.2%	8.5%
India	Una	2017	74.9%	78.9%	70.5%
India	Unnao	2000	5.9%	8.3%	4.5%
India	Unnao	2017	48.4%	52.2%	44.4%
India	Unokoti	2000	4.3%	5.9%	3.0%
India	Unokoti	2017	43.4%	47.3%	39.3%
India	Upper Siang	2000	9.5%	14.9%	5.7%
India	Upper Siang	2017	44.2%	50.0%	38.2%
India	Upper Subansiri	2000	12.8%	20.4%	7.4%
India	Upper Subansiri	2017	51.2%	62.1%	39.9%
India	Uttar Bastar Kanker	2000	9.1%	13.9%	5.1%
India	Uttar Bastar Kanker	2017	43.9%	50.0%	37.3%
India	Uttar Dinajpur	2000	2.1%	3.0%	1.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Uttar Dina- jpur	2017	31.8%	36.6%	28.1%
India	Uttara Kan- nada	2000	14.9%	20.0%	10.7%
India	Uttara Kan- nada	2017	63.7%	69.5%	57.9%
India	Uttarkashi	2000	3.1%	6.1%	1.4%
India	Uttarkashi	2017	24.6%	28.6%	20.7%
India	Vadodara	2000	19.9%	22.4%	17.5%
India	Vadodara	2017	69.4%	71.3%	67.6%
India	Vaishali	2000	3.1%	3.4%	2.9%
India	Vaishali	2017	49.7%	51.3%	48.0%
India	Valsad	2000	8.1%	11.5%	5.9%
India	Valsad	2017	53.6%	57.0%	49.8%
India	Varanasi	2000	9.1%	10.1%	8.5%
India	Varanasi	2017	66.3%	67.2%	65.3%
India	Vellore	2000	19.7%	23.9%	15.8%
India	Vellore	2017	73.3%	77.7%	68.9%
India	Vidisha	2000	4.3%	6.2%	3.0%
India	Vidisha	2017	39.4%	44.0%	35.5%
India	Vijayapura	2000	9.8%	14.7%	5.1%
India	Vijayapura	2017	40.2%	45.8%	33.5%
India	Vikarabad	2000	13.8%	27.7%	5.4%
India	Vikarabad	2017	54.4%	69.8%	38.1%
India	Viluppuram	2000	14.9%	19.5%	10.1%
India	Viluppuram	2017	54.7%	58.1%	50.1%
India	Virudunagar	2000	9.5%	12.4%	7.4%
India	Virudunagar	2017	56.2%	59.8%	51.9%
India	Visakhapatnam	2000	21.0%	28.3%	15.4%
India	Visakhapatnam	2017	67.9%	73.5%	59.8%
India	Vizianagaram	2000	7.6%	11.6%	5.3%
India	Vizianagaram	2017	49.6%	55.2%	44.2%
India	Wanaparthy	2000	19.8%	35.5%	8.1%
India	Wanaparthy	2017	63.5%	77.3%	45.4%
India	Warangal Ru- ral	2000	17.4%	27.4%	11.4%
India	Warangal Ru- ral	2017	62.5%	75.0%	52.0%
India	Warangal Ur- ban	2000	29.0%	34.5%	22.2%
India	Warangal Ur- ban	2017	78.4%	81.6%	74.3%
India	Wardha	2000	23.6%	30.9%	17.5%
India	Wardha	2017	74.9%	81.6%	68.5%
India	Washim	2000	13.6%	16.8%	10.8%
India	Washim	2017	62.5%	67.0%	57.8%
India	Wayanad	2000	13.5%	14.8%	12.5%
India	Wayanad	2017	70.6%	72.7%	68.5%
India	West	2000	56.6%	57.1%	56.1%
India	West	2017	95.8%	95.9%	95.6%
India	West Garo Hills	2000	1.3%	1.9%	1.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	West Garo Hills	2017	24.1%	26.5%	21.9%
India	West Gopdavari	2000	19.8%	23.6%	15.4%
India	West Gopdavari	2017	74.2%	77.6%	70.5%
India	West Jaintia Hills	2000	16.6%	18.4%	14.9%
India	West Jaintia Hills	2017	62.1%	64.6%	60.0%
India	West Kameng	2000	18.2%	23.1%	14.2%
India	West Kameng	2017	64.2%	70.9%	58.1%
India	West Karbi Anglong	2000	2.0%	4.5%	0.9%
India	West Karbi Anglong	2017	20.1%	26.0%	15.5%
India	West Khasi Hills	2000	8.7%	11.2%	7.0%
India	West Khasi Hills	2017	58.4%	62.5%	54.5%
India	West Siang	2000	18.3%	31.1%	9.7%
India	West Siang	2017	50.1%	65.6%	34.4%
India	West Sikkim	2000	61.4%	65.3%	57.4%
India	West Sikkim	2017	95.7%	97.3%	93.4%
India	West Tripura	2000	11.3%	15.8%	8.2%
India	West Tripura	2017	62.9%	65.9%	58.6%
India	Wokha	2000	10.8%	12.8%	8.9%
India	Wokha	2017	73.7%	75.9%	71.0%
India	Y.S.R.	2000	25.7%	31.3%	20.8%
India	Y.S.R.	2017	74.0%	78.9%	68.1%
India	Yadadri Bhuvanagiri	2000	16.4%	27.6%	8.2%
India	Yadadri Bhuvanagiri	2017	62.3%	74.6%	48.1%
India	Yadgir	2000	6.5%	9.7%	4.1%
India	Yadgir	2017	29.9%	35.6%	25.0%
India	Yamunanagar	2000	14.9%	15.9%	13.7%
India	Yamunanagar	2017	68.1%	69.2%	67.0%
India	Yanam	2000	14.4%	17.0%	13.1%
India	Yanam	2017	76.6%	84.9%	71.3%
India	Yavatmal	2000	16.3%	20.5%	12.0%
India	Yavatmal	2017	60.3%	65.7%	55.2%
India	Zunheboto	2000	4.0%	4.6%	3.6%
India	Zunheboto	2017	55.4%	58.0%	52.8%
Nepal	Bagmati	2000	7.3%	10.4%	5.3%
Nepal	Bagmati	2017	91.3%	92.6%	89.8%
Nepal	Bheri	2000	4.5%	7.2%	2.4%
Nepal	Bheri	2017	48.0%	52.6%	42.5%
Nepal	Dhaulagiri	2000	6.0%	12.7%	1.8%
Nepal	Dhaulagiri	2017	58.8%	67.2%	49.2%
Nepal	Gandaki	2000	8.7%	12.8%	5.0%
Nepal	Gandaki	2017	74.6%	79.1%	69.6%
Nepal	Janakpur	2000	4.0%	6.5%	2.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nepal	Janakpur	2017	39.9%	45.3%	34.8%
Nepal	Karnali	2000	4.8%	9.9%	2.0%
Nepal	Karnali	2017	30.6%	39.2%	22.0%
Nepal	Koshi	2000	2.5%	5.3%	0.8%
Nepal	Koshi	2017	55.7%	61.9%	50.3%
Nepal	Lumbini	2000	6.2%	8.9%	3.8%
Nepal	Lumbini	2017	48.6%	53.4%	43.5%
Nepal	Mahakali	2000	11.7%	16.6%	6.3%
Nepal	Mahakali	2017	56.7%	66.5%	46.8%
Nepal	Mechi	2000	4.4%	8.2%	1.8%
Nepal	Mechi	2017	63.2%	70.2%	56.2%
Nepal	Narayani	2000	6.3%	10.2%	3.2%
Nepal	Narayani	2017	59.5%	64.5%	52.9%
Nepal	Rapti	2000	14.3%	19.4%	9.9%
Nepal	Rapti	2017	63.6%	69.7%	57.0%
Nepal	Sagarmatha	2000	3.3%	5.9%	1.3%
Nepal	Sagarmatha	2017	30.9%	37.2%	25.4%
Nepal	Seti	2000	7.9%	10.9%	5.2%
Nepal	Seti	2017	46.2%	50.0%	41.5%
Pakistan	Azad Kashmir	2000	49.3%	72.9%	26.7%
Pakistan	Azad Kashmir	2017	49.2%	72.8%	27.0%
Pakistan	Bahawalpur	2000	33.2%	36.8%	29.9%
Pakistan	Bahawalpur	2017	32.9%	36.6%	29.4%
Pakistan	Bannu	2000	11.8%	18.2%	7.6%
Pakistan	Bannu	2017	11.2%	17.2%	7.2%
Pakistan	Dera Ghazi Khan	2000	29.2%	33.8%	25.0%
Pakistan	Dera Ghazi Khan	2017	29.3%	33.7%	25.1%
Pakistan	Dera Ismail Khan	2000	8.8%	12.8%	5.9%
Pakistan	Dera Ismail Khan	2017	8.7%	12.1%	6.2%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 1	2000	20.2%	33.0%	10.2%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 1	2017	20.5%	33.2%	10.5%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 2	2000	18.3%	37.5%	6.5%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 2	2017	17.7%	36.2%	6.5%
Pakistan	Faisalabad	2000	53.8%	59.1%	48.2%
Pakistan	Faisalabad	2017	53.4%	58.9%	47.8%
Pakistan	Gujranwala	2000	66.7%	71.1%	61.7%
Pakistan	Gujranwala	2017	66.6%	70.8%	61.6%
Pakistan	Hazara	2000	43.6%	49.5%	37.5%
Pakistan	Hazara	2017	43.6%	49.4%	37.3%
Pakistan	Hyderabad	2000	30.4%	33.8%	27.0%
Pakistan	Hyderabad	2017	29.4%	32.7%	26.0%
Pakistan	Islamabad	2000	77.1%	82.8%	69.2%
Pakistan	Islamabad	2017	75.9%	82.2%	67.4%
Pakistan	Kalat	2000	4.6%	6.3%	3.1%
Pakistan	Kalat	2017	4.4%	6.3%	3.0%
Pakistan	Karachi	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Pakistan	Karachi	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Pakistan	Kohat	2000	29.1%	37.5%	22.2%
Pakistan	Kohat	2017	28.6%	36.8%	21.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Pakistan	Lahore	2000	60.6%	66.5%	54.3%
Pakistan	Lahore	2017	61.3%	67.0%	54.5%
Pakistan	Larkana	2000	23.0%	27.4%	18.3%
Pakistan	Larkana	2017	23.0%	27.4%	18.3%
Pakistan	Makran	2000	10.5%	17.9%	6.3%
Pakistan	Makran	2017	10.3%	18.1%	6.2%
Pakistan	Malakand	2000	10.3%	12.4%	8.3%
Pakistan	Malakand	2017	10.5%	12.6%	8.4%
Pakistan	Mardan	2000	31.5%	39.6%	24.6%
Pakistan	Mardan	2017	31.2%	39.0%	24.2%
Pakistan	Mirpur Khas	2000	20.2%	24.3%	16.9%
Pakistan	Mirpur Khas	2017	19.8%	23.6%	16.4%
Pakistan	Multan	2000	38.5%	43.0%	34.3%
Pakistan	Multan	2017	38.2%	42.7%	33.9%
Pakistan	Nasirabad	2000	8.4%	12.4%	5.4%
Pakistan	Nasirabad	2017	8.2%	12.0%	5.4%
Pakistan	Northern Areas	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%
Pakistan	Northern Areas	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.6%
Pakistan	Peshawar	2000	39.2%	47.3%	31.7%
Pakistan	Peshawar	2017	38.6%	47.0%	31.0%
Pakistan	Quetta	2000	29.9%	36.3%	24.6%
Pakistan	Quetta	2017	29.6%	35.7%	24.5%
Pakistan	Rann of Kutch	2000	10.9%	21.7%	4.5%
Pakistan	Rann of Kutch	2017	10.2%	20.9%	4.2%
Pakistan	Rawalpindi	2000	67.0%	71.9%	61.5%
Pakistan	Rawalpindi	2017	66.3%	71.3%	60.6%
Pakistan	Sargodha	2000	47.4%	52.7%	42.1%
Pakistan	Sargodha	2017	47.7%	53.0%	42.3%
Pakistan	Sibi	2000	31.6%	40.4%	25.6%
Pakistan	Sibi	2017	30.7%	39.6%	25.0%
Pakistan	Sukkur	2000	35.3%	39.0%	31.7%
Pakistan	Sukkur	2017	34.9%	38.7%	31.4%
Pakistan	Zhob	2000	15.2%	18.6%	12.6%
Pakistan	Zhob	2017	14.7%	17.8%	12.2%
Southeast Asia, East Asia, and Oceania					
Cambodia	Aek Phnum	2000	11.3%	14.2%	9.6%
Cambodia	Aek Phnum	2017	84.6%	89.2%	79.4%
Cambodia	Andoung Meas	2000	1.1%	3.4%	0.2%
Cambodia	Andoung Meas	2017	40.0%	54.1%	27.2%
Cambodia	Angk Snuol	2000	8.0%	8.7%	7.4%
Cambodia	Angk Snuol	2017	90.9%	91.7%	89.9%
Cambodia	Angkor Borei	2000	7.4%	12.2%	4.3%
Cambodia	Angkor Borei	2017	76.1%	81.0%	69.4%
Cambodia	Angkor Chey	2000	6.2%	8.1%	4.6%
Cambodia	Angkor Chey	2017	87.2%	88.5%	85.8%
Cambodia	Angkor Chum	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Angkor Chum	2017	58.8%	66.2%	49.8%
Cambodia	Angkor Thum	2000	1.0%	2.9%	0.4%
Cambodia	Angkor Thum	2017	55.0%	67.4%	42.1%
Cambodia	Anlong	2000	7.5%	10.3%	5.5%
Cambodia	Veang				
Cambodia	Anlong	2017	74.0%	80.1%	66.6%
Cambodia	Veang				
Cambodia	Aoral	2000	1.4%	3.8%	0.4%
Cambodia	Aoral	2017	39.1%	53.2%	25.8%
Cambodia	Ba Phnum	2000	2.6%	3.0%	2.2%
Cambodia	Ba Phnum	2017	74.2%	76.2%	72.2%
Cambodia	Bakan	2000	2.7%	3.7%	2.1%
Cambodia	Bakan	2017	63.4%	67.9%	58.9%
Cambodia	Ban Lung	2000	6.7%	7.3%	6.1%
Cambodia	Ban Lung	2017	79.0%	82.5%	76.6%
Cambodia	Banan	2000	8.1%	11.2%	6.6%
Cambodia	Banan	2017	84.8%	90.1%	78.5%
Cambodia	Banteay Ampil	2000	1.9%	3.9%	1.1%
Cambodia	Banteay Ampil	2017	63.4%	70.8%	55.7%
Cambodia	Banteay Meas	2000	1.8%	2.0%	1.6%
Cambodia	Banteay Meas	2017	68.7%	71.3%	66.1%
Cambodia	Banteay Srei	2000	1.2%	2.1%	0.8%
Cambodia	Banteay Srei	2017	47.7%	62.2%	35.6%
Cambodia	Bar Kaev	2000	3.4%	8.1%	1.2%
Cambodia	Bar Kaev	2017	51.9%	62.5%	41.6%
Cambodia	Baray	2000	2.5%	3.5%	2.0%
Cambodia	Baray	2017	62.7%	67.9%	57.9%
Cambodia	Baribour	2000	1.4%	2.7%	1.0%
Cambodia	Baribour	2017	65.6%	71.8%	60.1%
Cambodia	Basedth	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.6%
Cambodia	Basedth	2017	54.1%	57.3%	51.0%
Cambodia	Bat Dambang	2000	15.9%	18.3%	13.8%
Cambodia	Bat Dambang	2017	92.8%	94.9%	90.7%
Cambodia	Batheay	2000	2.3%	3.5%	1.5%
Cambodia	Batheay	2017	62.7%	68.6%	57.1%
Cambodia	Bati	2000	4.4%	4.8%	4.0%
Cambodia	Bati	2017	87.5%	88.5%	86.2%
Cambodia	Bavel	2000	12.2%	16.1%	9.0%
Cambodia	Bavel	2017	83.1%	90.7%	73.7%
Cambodia	Botum Sakor	2000	3.1%	7.6%	1.0%
Cambodia	Botum Sakor	2017	53.1%	66.3%	38.9%
Cambodia	Bourei Cholsar	2000	3.2%	7.5%	1.1%
Cambodia	Bourei Cholsar	2017	68.9%	76.4%	59.5%
Cambodia	Chamkar Leu	2000	4.2%	5.1%	3.5%
Cambodia	Chamkar Leu	2017	79.3%	81.5%	77.1%
Cambodia	Chantrea	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.4%
Cambodia	Chantrea	2017	68.3%	74.5%	62.0%
Cambodia	Chbar Mon	2000	10.3%	11.0%	9.6%
Cambodia	Chbar Mon	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Cheung Prey	2000	2.6%	3.1%	2.2%
Cambodia	Cheung Prey	2017	70.7%	75.6%	66.4%
Cambodia	Chey Saen	2000	0.4%	1.7%	0.0%
Cambodia	Chey Saen	2017	15.9%	34.5%	4.6%
Cambodia	Chhaeb	2000	1.0%	3.4%	0.2%
Cambodia	Chhaeb	2017	27.8%	43.0%	16.5%
Cambodia	Chhloung	2000	6.3%	7.8%	4.9%
Cambodia	Chhloung	2017	57.8%	65.5%	51.6%
Cambodia	Chhuk	2000	1.3%	2.0%	1.0%
Cambodia	Chhuk	2017	51.7%	60.9%	42.6%
Cambodia	Chi Kraeng	2000	7.2%	8.2%	6.0%
Cambodia	Chi Kraeng	2017	58.5%	65.9%	51.1%
Cambodia	Choam	2000	1.8%	3.8%	0.6%
Cambodia	Khsant Choam	2017	50.0%	64.4%	35.6%
Cambodia	Chol Kiri	2000	0.5%	1.3%	0.3%
Cambodia	Chol Kiri	2017	34.3%	42.2%	28.2%
Cambodia	Chong Kal	2000	1.5%	4.1%	0.7%
Cambodia	Chong Kal	2017	54.3%	67.3%	42.4%
Cambodia	Chum Kiri	2000	1.4%	3.5%	0.5%
Cambodia	Chum Kiri	2017	40.9%	53.0%	29.9%
Cambodia	Dambae	2000	4.4%	5.1%	3.9%
Cambodia	Dambae	2017	40.0%	53.8%	29.2%
Cambodia	Dang Tong	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.7%
Cambodia	Dang Tong	2017	51.1%	58.8%	45.2%
Cambodia	Dangkao	2000	39.0%	40.4%	37.6%
Cambodia	Dangkao	2017	99.4%	99.4%	99.3%
Cambodia	Doun Kaev	2000	17.6%	19.7%	15.6%
Cambodia	Doun Kaev	2017	94.2%	94.9%	93.5%
Cambodia	Kaeb	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.6%
Cambodia	Kaeb	2017	77.1%	80.8%	72.7%
Cambodia	Kaev Seima	2000	1.8%	4.5%	0.7%
Cambodia	Kaev Seima	2017	31.5%	38.1%	25.0%
Cambodia	Kamchay Mear	2000	1.8%	3.0%	1.1%
Cambodia	Kamchay Mear	2017	49.4%	59.5%	42.2%
Cambodia	Kampong Bay	2000	10.9%	14.0%	8.9%
Cambodia	Kampong Bay	2017	86.5%	88.9%	84.2%
Cambodia	Kampong Cham	2000	24.4%	28.1%	21.3%
Cambodia	Kampong Cham	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.5%
Cambodia	Kampong Chhnang	2000	7.8%	8.7%	7.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Chhnang	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Leaeng	2000	3.0%	4.1%	2.3%
Cambodia	Kampong Leaeng	2017	66.0%	73.9%	59.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Kampong Leav	2000	5.9%	6.8%	5.2%
Cambodia	Kampong Leav	2017	84.4%	86.3%	82.6%
Cambodia	Kampong Rou	2000	2.5%	5.2%	1.7%
Cambodia	Kampong Rou	2017	65.6%	72.3%	57.8%
Cambodia	Kampong Seila	2000	3.3%	8.8%	0.8%
Cambodia	Kampong Seila	2017	59.6%	75.8%	40.3%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2000	8.1%	10.7%	5.6%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2017	76.4%	83.4%	68.3%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2017	74.4%	78.3%	70.2%
Cambodia	Kampong Svay	2000	6.9%	9.8%	5.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Svay	2017	67.0%	73.2%	61.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Tra-baek	2000	1.7%	2.1%	1.4%
Cambodia	Kampong Tra-baek	2017	68.0%	71.3%	64.9%
Cambodia	Kampong Trach	2000	2.2%	3.4%	1.7%
Cambodia	Kampong Trach	2017	64.5%	69.5%	60.6%
Cambodia	Kampong Tralach	2000	2.8%	3.7%	1.7%
Cambodia	Kampong Tralach	2017	63.6%	66.4%	61.3%
Cambodia	Kampot	2000	5.6%	8.9%	3.5%
Cambodia	Kampot	2017	70.1%	77.6%	61.8%
Cambodia	Kandal Stuong	2000	12.1%	14.0%	10.4%
Cambodia	Kandal Stuong	2017	91.6%	92.6%	90.9%
Cambodia	Kandieng	2000	7.6%	10.1%	6.0%
Cambodia	Kandieng	2017	75.5%	82.4%	68.1%
Cambodia	Kang Meas	2000	3.1%	3.5%	2.8%
Cambodia	Kang Meas	2017	70.5%	76.2%	66.0%
Cambodia	Kanhchriech	2000	1.3%	2.9%	0.7%
Cambodia	Kanhchriech	2017	63.8%	68.6%	58.6%
Cambodia	Kaoh Andaet	2000	3.1%	3.8%	2.5%
Cambodia	Kaoh Andaet	2017	75.0%	79.3%	70.9%
Cambodia	Kaoh Kong	2000	4.5%	12.3%	1.0%
Cambodia	Kaoh Kong	2017	64.4%	77.8%	46.9%
Cambodia	Kaoh Nheak	2000	1.3%	3.0%	0.3%
Cambodia	Kaoh Nheak	2017	27.0%	35.4%	19.3%
Cambodia	Kaoh Soutin	2000	1.8%	2.1%	1.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Kaoh Soutin	2017	75.7%	78.3%	73.1%
Cambodia	Kaoh Thum	2000	5.8%	7.4%	4.3%
Cambodia	Kaoh Thum	2017	82.9%	85.1%	79.5%
Cambodia	Khsach Kandal	2000	15.4%	16.9%	14.2%
Cambodia	Khsach Kandal	2017	93.9%	94.6%	92.8%
Cambodia	Kien Svay	2000	21.9%	23.4%	20.4%
Cambodia	Kien Svay	2017	92.5%	93.4%	91.4%
Cambodia	Kiri Sakor	2000	5.5%	16.9%	1.2%
Cambodia	Kiri Sakor	2017	68.8%	85.7%	50.5%
Cambodia	Kiri Vong	2000	10.5%	12.2%	8.5%
Cambodia	Kiri Vong	2017	76.8%	79.3%	74.5%
Cambodia	Kong Pisei	2000	3.1%	3.5%	2.7%
Cambodia	Kong Pisei	2017	79.7%	82.5%	76.2%
Cambodia	Koun Mom	2000	0.8%	2.9%	0.2%
Cambodia	Koun Mom	2017	32.4%	48.2%	20.2%
Cambodia	Kracheh	2000	4.0%	5.7%	3.2%
Cambodia	Kracheh	2017	68.7%	73.6%	63.7%
Cambodia	Krakor	2000	2.9%	4.6%	1.8%
Cambodia	Krakor	2017	56.9%	63.7%	50.9%
Cambodia	Kralanh	2000	5.0%	9.8%	1.6%
Cambodia	Kralanh	2017	55.0%	61.5%	49.5%
Cambodia	Krouch Chhmar	2000	6.5%	11.4%	3.7%
Cambodia	Krouch Chhmar	2017	75.7%	81.6%	69.4%
Cambodia	Kuleaen	2000	1.2%	4.4%	0.3%
Cambodia	Kuleaen	2017	36.5%	54.9%	22.5%
Cambodia	Leuk Daek	2000	3.3%	4.2%	2.7%
Cambodia	Leuk Daek	2017	67.0%	71.8%	61.4%
Cambodia	Lumphat	2000	0.4%	1.5%	0.1%
Cambodia	Lumphat	2017	20.6%	33.1%	11.8%
Cambodia	Lvea Aem	2000	25.2%	26.3%	24.3%
Cambodia	Lvea Aem	2017	86.8%	87.9%	85.7%
Cambodia	Malai	2000	4.6%	10.3%	1.7%
Cambodia	Malai	2017	67.2%	81.6%	50.6%
Cambodia	Me Sang	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%
Cambodia	Me Sang	2017	54.1%	59.3%	50.0%
Cambodia	Mean Chey	2000	69.0%	70.2%	67.8%
Cambodia	Mean Chey	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Cambodia	Memot	2000	2.8%	4.5%	1.5%
Cambodia	Memot	2017	47.6%	59.6%	38.0%
Cambodia	Mittakpheap	2000	24.9%	33.3%	18.3%
Cambodia	Mittakpheap	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.4%
Cambodia	Mondol Seima	2000	18.1%	22.9%	14.2%
Cambodia	Mondol Seima	2017	80.2%	86.6%	73.1%
Cambodia	Mongkol Borei	2000	11.5%	14.0%	9.5%
Cambodia	Mongkol Borei	2017	92.2%	93.6%	90.0%
Cambodia	Moung Ruessei	2000	10.8%	15.0%	7.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Moung Ruessei	2017	72.9%	78.9%	65.7%
Cambodia	Mukh Kampul	2000	5.0%	5.7%	4.4%
Cambodia	Mukh Kampul	2017	83.9%	85.9%	81.9%
Cambodia	Odongk	2000	2.6%	4.6%	2.1%
Cambodia	Odongk	2017	80.9%	84.6%	75.6%
Cambodia	Ou Chrov	2000	7.6%	12.6%	4.6%
Cambodia	Ou Chrov	2017	84.1%	89.2%	78.3%
Cambodia	Ou Chum	2000	1.7%	3.9%	1.1%
Cambodia	Ou Chum	2017	48.5%	59.2%	38.4%
Cambodia	Ou Reang	2000	9.6%	19.1%	3.8%
Cambodia	Ou Reang	2017	65.2%	77.5%	48.2%
Cambodia	Ou Reang Ov	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.1%
Cambodia	Ou Reang Ov	2017	68.1%	73.0%	62.7%
Cambodia	Ou Ya Dav	2000	1.3%	3.5%	0.3%
Cambodia	Ou Ya Dav	2017	41.0%	53.8%	29.0%
Cambodia	Pailin	2000	6.4%	8.8%	5.0%
Cambodia	Pailin	2017	73.0%	86.5%	60.4%
Cambodia	Pea Reang	2000	2.2%	3.5%	1.6%
Cambodia	Pea Reang	2017	71.9%	75.7%	67.1%
Cambodia	Peam Chor	2000	1.0%	1.7%	0.7%
Cambodia	Peam Chor	2017	35.2%	45.4%	26.2%
Cambodia	Peam Ro	2000	2.9%	3.2%	2.6%
Cambodia	Peam Ro	2017	77.5%	79.8%	75.1%
Cambodia	Pechr Chenda	2000	3.0%	8.0%	0.9%
Cambodia	Pechr Chenda	2017	39.1%	48.5%	29.1%
Cambodia	Phnom Penh	2000	69.6%	71.0%	68.2%
Cambodia	Phnom Penh	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Cambodia	Phnum Kravanh	2000	1.6%	3.0%	0.9%
Cambodia	Phnum Kravanh	2017	59.4%	66.8%	51.2%
Cambodia	Phnum Proek	2000	4.9%	9.1%	2.3%
Cambodia	Phnum Proek	2017	71.1%	82.6%	58.6%
Cambodia	Phnum Srok	2000	10.9%	15.8%	6.7%
Cambodia	Phnum Srok	2017	67.4%	76.8%	58.3%
Cambodia	Phnum Sruoch	2000	2.7%	5.4%	1.2%
Cambodia	Phnum Sruoch	2017	54.9%	62.7%	46.6%
Cambodia	Ponhea Kraek	2000	5.4%	7.8%	4.1%
Cambodia	Ponhea Kraek	2017	70.7%	75.6%	66.0%
Cambodia	Ponhea Lueu	2000	12.5%	15.6%	9.1%
Cambodia	Ponhea Lueu	2017	90.4%	91.3%	89.5%
Cambodia	Prasat Bakong	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.4%
Cambodia	Prasat Bakong	2017	70.5%	76.5%	65.6%
Cambodia	Prasat Balangk	2000	4.6%	9.9%	2.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Prasat Balangk	2017	59.0%	68.9%	48.9%
Cambodia	Prasat Sambour	2000	1.1%	3.2%	0.2%
Cambodia	Prasat Sambour	2017	44.7%	59.0%	31.4%
Cambodia	Preaek Prasab	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.4%
Cambodia	Preaek Prasab	2017	59.0%	64.9%	53.1%
Cambodia	Preah Netr Preah	2000	2.3%	4.6%	1.3%
Cambodia	Preah Netr Preah	2017	63.0%	70.7%	55.6%
Cambodia	Preah Sdach	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
Cambodia	Preah Sdach	2017	48.6%	56.3%	41.6%
Cambodia	Prey Chhor	2000	3.1%	4.8%	2.2%
Cambodia	Prey Chhor	2017	78.5%	84.0%	73.0%
Cambodia	Prey Kabbas	2000	3.6%	4.2%	3.2%
Cambodia	Prey Kabbas	2017	86.8%	87.9%	85.5%
Cambodia	Prey Nob	2000	6.2%	8.0%	5.2%
Cambodia	Prey Nob	2017	74.8%	78.8%	70.2%
Cambodia	Prey Veang	2000	3.0%	3.9%	2.3%
Cambodia	Prey Veang	2017	56.9%	61.4%	52.8%
Cambodia	Puok	2000	3.3%	5.3%	2.4%
Cambodia	Puok	2017	75.1%	77.9%	71.0%
Cambodia	Rolea B'ier	2000	4.7%	6.0%	4.0%
Cambodia	Rolea B'ier	2017	78.8%	82.0%	75.1%
Cambodia	Romeas Haek	2000	7.0%	8.7%	5.8%
Cambodia	Romeas Haek	2017	66.8%	71.7%	62.1%
Cambodia	Rotanak Mondol	2000	2.3%	5.6%	1.1%
Cambodia	Rotanak Mondol	2017	59.2%	74.5%	45.9%
Cambodia	Rovieng	2000	1.9%	4.8%	0.6%
Cambodia	Rovieng	2017	42.2%	56.7%	28.3%
Cambodia	Ruessei Kaev	2000	55.7%	56.9%	54.5%
Cambodia	Ruessei Kaev	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.4%
Cambodia	Rumduol	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.9%
Cambodia	Rumduol	2017	65.6%	70.8%	60.3%
Cambodia	S'ang	2000	4.7%	5.2%	4.3%
Cambodia	S'ang	2017	87.6%	89.1%	86.2%
Cambodia	Saen Monourom	2000	3.7%	7.8%	1.8%
Cambodia	Saen Monourom	2017	65.0%	73.4%	56.8%
Cambodia	Sala Krau	2000	2.5%	9.0%	0.5%
Cambodia	Sala Krau	2017	55.9%	75.6%	36.3%
Cambodia	Sambour	2000	2.6%	4.7%	1.3%
Cambodia	Sambour	2017	52.7%	61.3%	43.5%
Cambodia	Sameakki Mean Chey	2000	3.0%	4.8%	1.7%
Cambodia	Sameakki Mean Chey	2017	64.8%	70.1%	59.6%
Cambodia	Samlout	2000	2.6%	6.7%	0.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Samlout	2017	56.3%	73.9%	36.5%
Cambodia	Sampov Meas	2000	4.3%	4.9%	3.8%
Cambodia	Sampov Meas	2017	76.3%	78.4%	74.7%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	3.5%	5.2%	2.5%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	3.4%	3.7%	3.2%
Cambodia	Samraong	2017	71.3%	76.5%	65.9%
Cambodia	Samraong	2017	86.7%	87.8%	85.6%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	3.1%	3.4%	2.9%
Cambodia	Tong Samraong	2017	76.2%	78.6%	74.4%
Cambodia	Sandan	2000	1.5%	4.1%	0.4%
Cambodia	Sandan	2017	44.7%	56.9%	30.5%
Cambodia	Sangkae	2000	11.2%	13.1%	10.0%
Cambodia	Sangkae	2017	91.8%	94.3%	88.2%
Cambodia	Sangkum	2000	2.0%	5.0%	0.6%
Cambodia	Thmei Sangkum	2017	43.8%	55.4%	33.9%
Cambodia	Santuk	2000	1.8%	3.3%	1.1%
Cambodia	Santuk	2017	58.6%	66.8%	50.6%
Cambodia	Serei	2000	14.2%	17.4%	12.2%
Cambodia	Saophoan Serei	2017	86.7%	88.8%	84.4%
Cambodia	Sesan	2000	1.9%	4.2%	0.8%
Cambodia	Sesan	2017	41.2%	52.4%	29.5%
Cambodia	Siem Bouk	2000	19.1%	31.8%	10.0%
Cambodia	Siem Bouk	2017	67.3%	79.6%	51.5%
Cambodia	Siem Pang	2000	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
Cambodia	Siem Pang	2017	19.5%	28.1%	11.5%
Cambodia	Siem Reab	2000	19.5%	20.7%	17.9%
Cambodia	Siem Reab	2017	91.1%	92.4%	89.8%
Cambodia	Sithor Kandal	2000	1.5%	3.5%	0.8%
Cambodia	Sithor Kandal	2017	65.5%	76.8%	54.6%
Cambodia	Smach Mean Chey	2000	10.6%	12.7%	9.0%
Cambodia	Smach Mean Chey	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.4%
Cambodia	Snuol	2000	2.0%	3.7%	1.0%
Cambodia	Snuol	2017	46.2%	54.4%	36.4%
Cambodia	Soutr Nikom	2000	11.3%	13.6%	8.7%
Cambodia	Soutr Nikom	2017	59.9%	65.9%	53.1%
Cambodia	Srae Ambel	2000	5.9%	7.3%	4.8%
Cambodia	Srae Ambel	2017	49.9%	59.3%	41.2%
Cambodia	Srei Santhor	2000	7.2%	10.2%	5.3%
Cambodia	Srei Santhor	2017	80.5%	85.7%	76.4%
Cambodia	Srei Snam	2000	3.8%	6.2%	1.9%
Cambodia	Srei Snam	2017	49.0%	59.6%	37.2%
Cambodia	Stoung	2000	2.8%	3.9%	2.0%
Cambodia	Stoung	2017	60.5%	67.0%	53.9%
Cambodia	Stueng hav	2000	5.4%	10.0%	3.2%
Cambodia	Stueng hav	2017	78.2%	89.5%	67.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Stueng Saen	2000	5.9%	7.0%	5.3%
Cambodia	Stueng Saen	2017	81.5%	85.6%	77.8%
Cambodia	Stueng Traeng	2000	17.0%	22.0%	12.1%
Cambodia	Stueng Traeng	2017	83.9%	91.2%	75.3%
Cambodia	Stueng Trang	2000	3.0%	5.1%	1.8%
Cambodia	Stueng Trang	2017	44.6%	54.4%	34.3%
Cambodia	Svay Chek	2000	2.4%	6.7%	0.9%
Cambodia	Svay Chek	2017	56.3%	65.2%	47.8%
Cambodia	Svay Chrum	2000	2.7%	3.2%	2.4%
Cambodia	Svay Chrum	2017	77.0%	80.1%	74.0%
Cambodia	Svay Leu	2000	1.3%	4.0%	0.3%
Cambodia	Svay Leu	2017	45.6%	64.7%	27.0%
Cambodia	Svay Pao	2000	30.8%	32.4%	29.3%
Cambodia	Svay Pao	2017	99.1%	99.2%	99.0%
Cambodia	Svay Rieng	2000	14.6%	16.1%	13.2%
Cambodia	Svay Rieng	2017	98.0%	98.2%	97.8%
Cambodia	Svay Teab	2000	3.1%	6.2%	2.1%
Cambodia	Svay Teab	2017	64.9%	72.0%	56.9%
Cambodia	Ta Khmau	2000	24.4%	26.0%	22.5%
Cambodia	Ta Khmau	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.6%
Cambodia	Ta Veaeng	2000	1.2%	3.3%	0.2%
Cambodia	Ta Veaeng	2017	21.4%	34.3%	12.5%
Cambodia	Tbaeng Mean chey	2000	2.4%	5.1%	1.4%
Cambodia	Tbaeng Mean chey	2017	63.8%	73.1%	53.2%
Cambodia	Tboung Khmum	2000	3.7%	4.0%	3.4%
Cambodia	Tboung Khmum	2017	71.8%	74.9%	69.1%
Cambodia	Thala Barivat	2000	1.9%	3.8%	1.0%
Cambodia	Thala Barivat	2017	43.3%	52.2%	35.0%
Cambodia	Thma Bang	2000	2.5%	6.1%	0.8%
Cambodia	Thma Bang	2017	40.7%	53.4%	24.8%
Cambodia	Thma Puok	2000	5.1%	7.5%	3.4%
Cambodia	Thma Puok	2017	63.3%	72.9%	54.2%
Cambodia	Thpong	2000	1.0%	3.0%	0.4%
Cambodia	Thpong	2017	45.7%	59.4%	33.1%
Cambodia	Tram Kak	2000	2.4%	2.9%	1.8%
Cambodia	Tram Kak	2017	72.9%	75.8%	70.1%
Cambodia	Treang	2000	5.8%	7.5%	4.2%
Cambodia	Treang	2017	84.5%	87.4%	80.7%
Cambodia	Tuek Phos	2000	0.7%	1.4%	0.4%
Cambodia	Tuek Phos	2017	41.5%	52.9%	33.5%
Cambodia	Varin	2000	1.5%	6.1%	0.3%
Cambodia	Varin	2017	42.6%	55.6%	27.2%
Cambodia	Veal Veang	2000	3.7%	8.5%	0.9%
Cambodia	Veal Veang	2017	49.9%	65.1%	33.7%
Cambodia	Veun Sai	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Cambodia	Veun Sai	2017	19.9%	29.9%	11.6%
China	Aksu	2000	38.2%	69.7%	13.7%
China	Aksu	2017	53.4%	82.4%	23.9%
China	Altay	2000	36.6%	67.3%	9.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Altay	2017	51.7%	80.3%	17.7%
China	Alxa	2000	13.5%	25.3%	6.2%
China	Alxa	2017	23.4%	39.3%	12.1%
China	Ankang	2000	29.0%	34.9%	24.3%
China	Ankang	2017	45.3%	52.2%	39.4%
China	Anqing	2000	48.1%	56.3%	41.0%
China	Anqing	2017	64.1%	70.9%	57.3%
China	Anshan	2000	40.8%	45.7%	35.6%
China	Anshan	2017	58.4%	63.3%	53.0%
China	Anshun	2000	25.4%	29.2%	21.8%
China	Anshun	2017	41.0%	45.6%	36.5%
China	Anyang	2000	45.8%	51.0%	40.4%
China	Anyang	2017	62.8%	67.4%	57.8%
China	Baicheng	2000	46.9%	53.3%	39.3%
China	Baicheng	2017	64.2%	70.0%	56.5%
China	Baise	2000	13.8%	17.1%	11.2%
China	Baise	2017	24.5%	29.3%	20.6%
China	Baishan	2000	43.9%	52.1%	35.6%
China	Baishan	2017	61.3%	68.8%	52.7%
China	Baiyin	2000	9.0%	10.9%	7.3%
China	Baiyin	2017	16.8%	20.1%	13.9%
China	Baoding	2000	73.6%	77.3%	69.6%
China	Baoding	2017	84.9%	87.4%	82.4%
China	Baoji	2000	27.9%	32.4%	23.6%
China	Baoji	2017	43.8%	48.9%	38.2%
China	Baoshan	2000	11.3%	14.4%	8.7%
China	Baoshan	2017	20.8%	25.5%	16.6%
China	Baotou	2000	38.4%	63.4%	14.7%
China	Baotou	2017	54.6%	78.5%	26.2%
China	Bayin'gholin Mongol	2000	34.4%	69.0%	10.6%
China	Bayin'gholin Mongol	2017	49.1%	81.5%	18.6%
China	Baynnur	2000	30.1%	60.1%	10.5%
China	Baynnur	2017	44.9%	75.0%	19.2%
China	Bazhong	2000	22.7%	26.0%	19.1%
China	Bazhong	2017	37.4%	42.1%	32.5%
China	Beihai	2000	15.4%	20.3%	11.7%
China	Beihai	2017	27.1%	34.1%	21.7%
China	Beijing	2000	59.9%	63.3%	55.5%
China	Beijing	2017	75.1%	78.0%	72.1%
China	Bengbu	2000	54.6%	61.6%	47.1%
China	Bengbu	2017	70.4%	76.3%	64.5%
China	Benxi	2000	40.2%	48.0%	33.0%
China	Benxi	2017	57.8%	65.4%	50.0%
China	Bijie	2000	23.0%	25.6%	20.9%
China	Bijie	2017	37.7%	40.6%	34.8%
China	Binzhou	2000	38.5%	43.7%	32.9%
China	Binzhou	2017	56.0%	61.3%	50.2%
China	Börtala Mon-gol	2000	40.8%	78.1%	11.2%
China	Börtala Mon-gol	2017	56.3%	87.8%	20.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Bozhou	2000	56.7%	63.1%	48.5%
China	Bozhou	2017	72.3%	77.6%	65.3%
China	Cangzhou	2000	61.0%	64.8%	56.8%
China	Cangzhou	2017	75.4%	78.3%	72.3%
China	Central and Western	2000	21.8%	29.4%	15.6%
China	Central and Western	2017	36.2%	45.6%	27.4%
China	Chamdo	2000	21.1%	42.5%	8.5%
China	Chamdo	2017	33.9%	59.4%	15.5%
China	Changchun	2000	44.4%	48.2%	40.2%
China	Changchun	2017	62.0%	65.1%	58.4%
China	Changde	2000	50.8%	57.4%	43.8%
China	Changde	2017	67.4%	73.1%	60.8%
China	Changji Hui	2000	36.7%	70.7%	9.8%
China	Changji Hui	2017	51.4%	83.1%	17.8%
China	Changsha	2000	52.0%	58.3%	45.8%
China	Changsha	2017	68.1%	73.2%	62.7%
China	Changzhi	2000	63.7%	67.7%	60.1%
China	Changzhi	2017	78.1%	80.6%	75.6%
China	Changzhou	2000	12.2%	14.2%	10.5%
China	Changzhou	2017	22.1%	25.2%	19.3%
China	Chaohu	2000	59.6%	66.5%	51.1%
China	Chaohu	2017	74.4%	79.7%	67.4%
China	Chaoyang	2000	45.2%	52.1%	38.7%
China	Chaoyang	2017	62.4%	68.9%	55.7%
China	Chaozhou	2000	23.1%	26.1%	20.1%
China	Chaozhou	2017	37.7%	42.1%	34.0%
China	Chengde	2000	67.4%	73.7%	59.6%
China	Chengde	2017	80.7%	85.2%	74.9%
China	Chengdu	2000	21.9%	24.0%	19.6%
China	Chengdu	2017	36.4%	39.1%	33.5%
China	Chenzhou	2000	44.7%	51.8%	39.2%
China	Chenzhou	2017	61.7%	68.3%	56.3%
China	Chifeng	2000	51.2%	66.6%	35.2%
China	Chifeng	2017	67.3%	80.2%	51.5%
China	Chizhou	2000	47.8%	56.6%	39.6%
China	Chizhou	2017	64.1%	71.3%	56.2%
China	Chongqing	2000	13.1%	13.8%	12.5%
China	Chongqing	2017	23.6%	24.6%	22.7%
China	Chongzuo	2000	13.0%	16.8%	9.6%
China	Chongzuo	2017	23.4%	29.2%	17.9%
China	Chuxiong Yi	2000	12.5%	15.8%	10.0%
China	Chuxiong Yi	2017	22.5%	27.5%	18.5%
China	Chuzhou	2000	37.3%	43.5%	31.2%
China	Chuzhou	2017	52.8%	58.7%	46.9%
China	Dali Bai	2000	11.5%	14.2%	9.1%
China	Dali Bai	2017	21.1%	25.3%	17.1%
China	Dalian	2000	42.1%	47.9%	36.2%
China	Dalian	2017	59.6%	65.0%	53.1%
China	Dandong	2000	39.9%	48.6%	31.9%
China	Dandong	2017	57.3%	65.8%	49.0%
China	Daqing	2000	58.7%	65.0%	51.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Daqing	2017	74.2%	79.1%	68.7%
China	Datong	2000	64.6%	68.9%	60.0%
China	Datong	2017	78.9%	82.0%	75.2%
China	Daxing'anling	2000	58.1%	75.2%	37.4%
China	Daxing'anling	2017	73.0%	86.1%	54.7%
China	Dazhou	2000	19.7%	22.2%	17.1%
China	Dazhou	2017	33.3%	36.5%	29.9%
China	Dehong Dai and Jingpo	2000	12.7%	17.4%	9.0%
China	Dehong Dai and Jingpo	2017	22.9%	30.2%	17.2%
China	Dêqên Tibetan	2000	13.1%	20.0%	8.6%
China	Dêqên Tibetan	2017	23.3%	33.1%	15.8%
China	Deyang	2000	22.2%	25.8%	19.1%
China	Deyang	2017	36.8%	41.1%	33.0%
China	Dezhou	2000	46.9%	51.6%	42.8%
China	Dezhou	2017	64.0%	68.1%	60.2%
China	Dingxi	2000	8.7%	10.2%	7.3%
China	Dingxi	2017	16.3%	18.9%	13.8%
China	Dongguan	2000	27.8%	30.5%	25.5%
China	Dongguan	2017	44.0%	47.1%	41.4%
China	Dongying	2000	36.4%	42.8%	29.8%
China	Dongying	2017	53.7%	61.1%	46.7%
China	Eastern	2000	23.1%	32.2%	16.3%
China	Eastern	2017	37.9%	48.6%	28.5%
China	Enshi Tujia and Miao	2000	18.7%	22.3%	15.3%
China	Enshi Tujia and Miao	2017	31.7%	36.2%	26.9%
China	Ezhou	2000	20.9%	25.0%	17.2%
China	Ezhou	2017	35.0%	40.6%	29.9%
China	Fangchenggang	2000	11.8%	17.2%	7.7%
China	Fangchenggang	2017	21.3%	29.5%	14.6%
China	Foshan	2000	28.1%	30.8%	25.6%
China	Foshan	2017	44.3%	47.4%	41.3%
China	Fushun	2000	41.5%	48.2%	35.4%
China	Fushun	2017	59.1%	65.5%	53.0%
China	Fuxin	2000	41.5%	49.7%	31.6%
China	Fuxin	2017	59.0%	67.0%	48.5%
China	Fuyang	2000	55.0%	61.2%	48.1%
China	Fuyang	2017	71.0%	76.2%	65.0%
China	Fuzhou	2000	13.8%	15.3%	12.4%
China	Fuzhou	2000	7.2%	8.1%	6.3%
China	Fuzhou	2017	13.7%	15.4%	12.1%
China	Fuzhou	2017	24.7%	27.1%	22.8%
China	Gannan Tibetan	2000	9.7%	12.6%	7.3%
China	Gannan Tibetan	2017	17.9%	22.8%	13.8%
China	Ganzhou	2000	14.2%	15.3%	13.3%
China	Ganzhou	2017	25.3%	26.6%	23.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Garzê Tibetan	2000	21.6%	27.3%	15.4%
China	Garzê Tibetan	2017	35.6%	43.2%	27.1%
China	Golog Tibetan	2000	15.6%	27.2%	6.5%
China	Golog Tibetan	2017	26.7%	42.3%	12.1%
China	Guang'an	2000	18.2%	20.8%	15.5%
China	Guang'an	2017	31.1%	35.0%	27.7%
China	Guangyuan	2000	21.0%	25.6%	16.9%
China	Guangyuan	2017	35.2%	40.9%	29.3%
China	Guangzhou	2000	28.0%	30.2%	26.0%
China	Guangzhou	2017	44.3%	46.8%	41.9%
China	Guigang	2000	12.1%	14.6%	9.7%
China	Guigang	2017	21.9%	26.0%	18.2%
China	Guilin	2000	15.7%	19.0%	12.6%
China	Guilin	2017	27.1%	32.0%	22.5%
China	Guiyang	2000	24.6%	27.4%	21.5%
China	Guiyang	2017	40.0%	43.6%	36.3%
China	Guyuan	2000	7.8%	10.8%	5.3%
China	Guyuan	2017	14.8%	20.0%	10.2%
China	Gyêgu Tibetan	2000	21.0%	44.1%	6.7%
China	Gyêgu Tibetan	2017	33.6%	60.6%	12.7%
China	Haibei Tibetan	2000	8.1%	14.5%	3.8%
China	Haibei Tibetan	2017	15.1%	24.3%	7.5%
China	Haidong	2000	9.2%	14.3%	5.3%
China	Haidong	2017	17.1%	25.6%	10.5%
China	Haikou	2000	26.7%	40.6%	14.8%
China	Haikou	2017	42.2%	58.2%	26.7%
China	Hainan	2000	27.2%	50.5%	14.0%
China	Hainan	2017	42.1%	67.5%	25.1%
China	Hainan Tibetan	2000	12.0%	24.9%	4.4%
China	Hainan Tibetan	2017	21.3%	40.1%	8.7%
China	Haixi Mongol and Tibetan	2000	15.9%	35.7%	6.0%
China	Haixi Mongol and Tibetan	2017	26.4%	51.8%	11.5%
China	Hami	2000	24.3%	54.8%	5.7%
China	Hami	2017	37.7%	71.1%	11.1%
China	Handan	2000	65.0%	69.4%	60.7%
China	Handan	2017	78.9%	82.0%	75.7%
China	Hangzhou	2000	16.2%	18.5%	14.0%
China	Hangzhou	2017	28.3%	31.5%	24.9%
China	Hanzhong	2000	28.4%	33.0%	24.0%
China	Hanzhong	2017	44.4%	49.7%	39.3%
China	Harbin	2000	59.7%	63.3%	55.9%
China	Harbin	2017	75.1%	78.0%	72.3%
China	Hebi	2000	39.8%	46.6%	33.6%
China	Hebi	2017	57.2%	63.4%	50.7%
China	Hechi	2000	13.8%	16.6%	11.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Hechi	2017	24.5%	28.9%	20.2%
China	Hefei	2000	67.0%	74.7%	58.6%
China	Hefei	2017	80.4%	85.9%	73.9%
China	Hegang	2000	62.3%	69.6%	53.4%
China	Hegang	2017	77.0%	82.4%	70.1%
China	Heihe	2000	60.6%	69.5%	51.8%
China	Heihe	2017	75.6%	82.0%	68.2%
China	Hengshui	2000	69.9%	74.5%	65.1%
China	Hengshui	2017	82.4%	85.2%	79.1%
China	Hengyang	2000	56.9%	63.3%	50.4%
China	Hengyang	2017	72.7%	77.7%	67.4%
China	Heyuan	2000	26.2%	30.0%	22.6%
China	Heyuan	2017	42.0%	46.5%	37.3%
China	Heze	2000	34.0%	38.7%	29.6%
China	Heze	2017	51.2%	55.6%	46.3%
China	Hezhou	2000	16.7%	20.9%	13.1%
China	Hezhou	2017	29.0%	34.6%	23.4%
China	Hohhot	2000	55.0%	77.5%	31.4%
China	Hohhot	2017	70.6%	87.4%	48.5%
China	Honghe Hani and Yi	2000	11.5%	14.2%	9.2%
China	Honghe Hani and Yi	2017	21.1%	24.7%	17.4%
China	Huai'an	2000	12.8%	14.5%	10.9%
China	Huai'an	2017	23.0%	26.1%	20.0%
China	Huaibei	2000	42.6%	50.0%	35.3%
China	Huaibei	2017	59.7%	66.6%	52.1%
China	Huaihua	2000	47.3%	53.6%	41.2%
China	Huaihua	2017	63.8%	69.0%	57.7%
China	Huainan	2000	66.9%	73.8%	57.2%
China	Huainan	2017	80.4%	85.2%	74.0%
China	Huanggang	2000	22.6%	26.3%	19.5%
China	Huanggang	2017	37.1%	41.7%	32.9%
China	Huangnan Tibetan	2000	10.4%	16.5%	5.2%
China	Huangnan Tibetan	2017	18.9%	28.5%	10.3%
China	Huangshan	2000	31.8%	41.3%	23.4%
China	Huangshan	2017	48.3%	58.3%	38.3%
China	Huangshi	2000	18.9%	22.8%	15.6%
China	Huangshi	2017	32.2%	37.5%	27.3%
China	Huizhou	2000	28.2%	31.7%	25.0%
China	Huizhou	2017	44.4%	48.3%	40.8%
China	Huludao	2000	45.7%	52.5%	38.9%
China	Huludao	2017	62.9%	68.7%	56.1%
China	Hulunbuir	2000	53.6%	71.0%	37.1%
China	Hulunbuir	2017	68.9%	83.2%	53.2%
China	Huzhou	2000	15.3%	18.4%	12.5%
China	Huzhou	2017	26.7%	31.0%	22.5%
China	Ilhas	2000	25.7%	33.2%	19.9%
China	Ilhas	2017	41.3%	49.9%	33.3%
China	Ili Kazakh	2000	39.4%	75.2%	12.7%
China	Ili Kazakh	2017	54.7%	85.8%	23.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Islands	2000	24.3%	31.6%	18.4%
China	Islands	2017	39.5%	48.6%	31.7%
China	Ji'an	2000	14.4%	15.6%	13.1%
China	Ji'an	2017	25.5%	27.2%	23.6%
China	Jiamusi	2000	61.4%	67.8%	55.2%
China	Jiamusi	2017	76.3%	80.9%	71.5%
China	Jiangmen	2000	27.5%	30.4%	24.3%
China	Jiangmen	2017	43.6%	46.9%	39.7%
China	Jiaozuo	2000	43.2%	48.7%	38.3%
China	Jiaozuo	2017	60.6%	65.5%	55.2%
China	Jiaxing	2000	17.2%	20.4%	14.6%
China	Jiaxing	2017	29.6%	34.0%	25.4%
China	Jiayuguan	2000	8.2%	11.5%	5.9%
China	Jiayuguan	2017	15.4%	20.8%	11.4%
China	Jieyang	2000	28.3%	30.7%	25.6%
China	Jieyang	2017	44.6%	47.7%	41.6%
China	Jilin	2000	44.9%	49.5%	39.8%
China	Jilin	2017	62.5%	66.5%	57.6%
China	Jinan	2000	35.8%	40.6%	31.4%
China	Jinan	2017	53.2%	58.0%	48.6%
China	Jinchang	2000	8.4%	11.3%	6.2%
China	Jinchang	2017	15.8%	20.4%	11.8%
China	Jincheng	2000	59.7%	63.7%	55.9%
China	Jincheng	2017	75.1%	78.0%	72.2%
China	Jingdezhen	2000	15.1%	17.1%	13.1%
China	Jingdezhen	2017	26.5%	29.5%	23.7%
China	Jingmen	2000	20.1%	24.3%	15.9%
China	Jingmen	2017	33.8%	39.5%	27.9%
China	Jingzhou	2000	25.9%	29.5%	22.4%
China	Jingzhou	2017	41.5%	46.0%	36.8%
China	Jinhua	2000	15.6%	18.2%	12.8%
China	Jinhua	2017	27.3%	31.4%	23.3%
China	Jining	2000	33.7%	37.5%	30.2%
China	Jining	2017	50.7%	55.2%	46.9%
China	Jinzhong	2000	65.2%	68.0%	61.7%
China	Jinzhong	2017	79.3%	81.2%	76.8%
China	Jinzhou	2000	40.2%	46.0%	34.0%
China	Jinzhou	2017	57.7%	63.5%	51.3%
China	Jiujiang	2000	15.1%	16.6%	13.9%
China	Jiujiang	2017	26.6%	28.5%	24.8%
China	Jiuquan	2000	9.3%	11.9%	7.1%
China	Jiuquan	2017	17.2%	21.6%	13.5%
China	Jixi	2000	60.2%	68.5%	52.1%
China	Jixi	2017	75.4%	81.3%	68.9%
China	Jiyuan shi	2000	49.0%	57.1%	42.1%
China	Jiyuan shi	2017	66.1%	72.5%	59.8%
China	Kaifeng	2000	33.5%	38.4%	28.3%
China	Kaifeng	2017	50.7%	56.0%	45.0%
China	Karamay	2000	39.0%	77.1%	10.3%
China	Karamay	2017	53.8%	87.3%	18.2%
China	Kashgar	2000	40.7%	75.0%	13.6%
China	Kashgar	2017	55.8%	85.7%	24.4%
China	Khotan	2000	37.1%	75.5%	9.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Khotan	2017	51.7%	86.1%	18.1%
China	Kizilsu Kirghiz	2000	35.9%	69.4%	10.6%
China	Kizilsu Kirghiz	2017	50.9%	81.9%	19.3%
China	Kowloon City	2000	23.7%	31.9%	17.4%
China	Kowloon City	2017	38.7%	48.9%	30.5%
China	Kunming	2000	11.8%	14.1%	10.0%
China	Kunming	2017	21.5%	24.9%	18.3%
China	Kwai Tsing	2000	24.1%	31.4%	18.7%
China	Kwai Tsing	2017	39.3%	47.4%	31.9%
China	Kwun Tong	2000	24.1%	32.8%	17.5%
China	Kwun Tong	2017	39.2%	49.7%	30.4%
China	Laibin	2000	12.1%	15.5%	9.3%
China	Laibin	2017	22.0%	27.3%	17.1%
China	Laiwu	2000	36.1%	42.9%	29.7%
China	Laiwu	2017	53.4%	60.4%	45.9%
China	Langfang	2000	47.1%	50.6%	43.5%
China	Langfang	2017	63.2%	66.2%	59.7%
China	Lanzhou	2000	8.8%	10.3%	7.6%
China	Lanzhou	2017	16.5%	19.2%	14.5%
China	Leshan	2000	21.2%	24.7%	17.5%
China	Leshan	2017	35.4%	40.4%	30.6%
China	Lhasa	2000	25.1%	56.1%	5.7%
China	Lhasa	2017	38.6%	72.2%	11.0%
China	Liangshan Yi	2000	20.1%	23.6%	17.0%
China	Liangshan Yi	2017	33.8%	38.7%	29.3%
China	Lianyun gang	2000	14.7%	17.1%	12.4%
China	Lianyun gang	2017	25.8%	29.5%	22.4%
China	Liaocheng	2000	43.3%	48.0%	38.8%
China	Liaocheng	2017	60.5%	64.7%	55.9%
China	Liaoyang	2000	40.3%	46.1%	34.5%
China	Liaoyang	2017	57.9%	63.7%	52.1%
China	Liaoyuan	2000	43.6%	51.1%	37.9%
China	Liaoyuan	2017	61.2%	68.2%	55.3%
China	Lijiang	2000	13.7%	18.1%	10.4%
China	Lijiang	2017	24.4%	31.1%	18.9%
China	Lincang	2000	11.8%	15.6%	9.3%
China	Lincang	2017	21.6%	27.6%	17.6%
China	Linfen	2000	63.6%	66.9%	60.1%
China	Linfen	2017	78.1%	80.2%	75.5%
China	Linxia Hui	2000	9.0%	10.9%	7.2%
China	Linxia Hui	2017	16.8%	19.9%	13.6%
China	Linyi	2000	32.0%	35.9%	28.2%
China	Linyi	2017	48.6%	53.2%	44.5%
China	Lishui	2000	14.2%	17.5%	11.2%
China	Lishui	2017	25.2%	30.3%	20.6%
China	Liupanshui	2000	23.6%	26.5%	20.9%
China	Liupanshui	2017	38.6%	42.2%	34.6%
China	Liuzhou	2000	13.2%	16.3%	10.4%
China	Liuzhou	2017	23.6%	28.5%	18.9%
China	Longnan	2000	9.9%	11.9%	8.6%
China	Longnan	2017	18.4%	21.7%	16.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Longyan	2000	8.6%	10.2%	7.2%
China	Longyan	2017	16.2%	18.7%	13.8%
China	Loudi	2000	61.1%	68.8%	52.0%
China	Loudi	2017	76.1%	81.8%	68.9%
China	Lu'an	2000	61.6%	68.8%	53.7%
China	Lu'an	2017	76.2%	81.4%	70.0%
China	Luliang	2000	63.4%	67.3%	59.8%
China	Luliang	2017	77.9%	80.4%	75.3%
China	Luohe	2000	35.3%	41.8%	29.2%
China	Luohe	2017	52.6%	59.2%	46.0%
China	Luoyang	2000	38.1%	43.9%	32.7%
China	Luoyang	2017	55.5%	61.3%	49.9%
China	Luzhou	2000	20.3%	23.2%	17.7%
China	Luzhou	2017	34.2%	37.9%	30.5%
China	Ma'anshan	2000	31.9%	38.9%	25.2%
China	Ma'anshan	2017	48.6%	56.3%	40.9%
China	Macau	2000	25.9%	32.7%	20.4%
China	Macau	2017	41.6%	49.5%	34.4%
China	Maoming	2000	27.0%	30.4%	24.4%
China	Maoming	2017	43.0%	46.6%	39.6%
China	Meishan	2000	21.9%	24.8%	19.0%
China	Meishan	2017	36.4%	40.4%	32.4%
China	Meizhou	2000	24.9%	28.2%	21.7%
China	Meizhou	2017	40.2%	43.9%	36.2%
China	Mianyang	2000	21.6%	24.8%	18.6%
China	Mianyang	2017	36.0%	40.1%	32.2%
China	Mudanjiang	2000	59.5%	65.9%	52.2%
China	Mudanjiang	2017	74.9%	79.7%	68.9%
China	Nagchu	2000	24.2%	51.3%	6.5%
China	Nagchu	2017	37.2%	67.4%	12.7%
China	Nanchang	2000	14.4%	15.9%	13.1%
China	Nanchang	2017	25.6%	27.9%	23.8%
China	Nanchong	2000	21.8%	25.0%	18.6%
China	Nanchong	2017	36.2%	40.1%	32.1%
China	Nanjing	2000	15.3%	17.1%	13.4%
China	Nanjing	2017	26.7%	29.6%	23.8%
China	Nanning	2000	12.3%	14.8%	9.9%
China	Nanning	2017	22.2%	26.1%	18.3%
China	Nanping	2000	8.2%	9.8%	6.8%
China	Nanping	2017	15.5%	18.0%	13.0%
China	Nantong	2000	13.0%	15.0%	11.2%
China	Nantong	2017	23.2%	26.5%	20.5%
China	Nanyang	2000	32.1%	36.6%	27.6%
China	Nanyang	2017	48.9%	54.1%	43.8%
China	Neijiang	2000	20.2%	23.4%	17.1%
China	Neijiang	2017	34.1%	38.5%	29.8%
China	Neijiang]]	2000	20.5%	23.7%	17.5%
China	Neijiang]]	2017	34.4%	38.5%	30.3%
China	Ngari	2000	25.0%	50.5%	7.4%
China	Ngari	2017	38.2%	65.3%	14.0%
China	Ngawa Tibetan and Qiang	2000	19.7%	24.4%	16.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Ngawa Tibetan and Qiang	2017	33.4%	39.6%	28.6%
China	Ningbo	2000	15.6%	18.6%	12.8%
China	Ningbo	2017	27.3%	31.2%	23.1%
China	Ningde	2000	7.6%	9.2%	6.5%
China	Ningde	2017	14.5%	17.2%	12.4%
China	North	2000	26.6%	31.5%	22.6%
China	North	2017	42.6%	48.0%	37.6%
China	Nujiang Lisu	2000	11.2%	16.6%	7.3%
China	Nujiang Lisu	2017	20.5%	28.3%	14.3%
China	Nyingtri	2000	21.2%	51.5%	5.2%
China	Nyingtri	2017	33.5%	67.5%	10.2%
China	Ordos	2000	35.0%	51.0%	21.3%
China	Ordos	2017	50.8%	67.0%	33.1%
China	Panjin	2000	42.6%	49.1%	35.6%
China	Panjin	2017	60.1%	66.1%	53.0%
China	Panzhuhua	2000	20.4%	25.7%	15.9%
China	Panzhuhua	2017	34.4%	41.7%	28.2%
China	Pingdingshan	2000	34.6%	40.3%	29.4%
China	Pingdingshan	2017	51.8%	57.3%	46.0%
China	Pingliang	2000	9.5%	11.0%	8.1%
China	Pingliang	2017	17.6%	20.3%	15.1%
China	Pingxiang	2000	17.4%	19.6%	15.3%
China	Pingxiang	2017	30.0%	33.3%	26.9%
China	Pu'er	2000	11.6%	14.4%	9.3%
China	Pu'er	2017	21.1%	25.6%	17.5%
China	Putian	2000	7.2%	8.4%	6.0%
China	Putian	2017	13.7%	15.7%	11.6%
China	Puyang	2000	38.9%	44.1%	34.5%
China	Puyang	2017	56.2%	61.5%	51.9%
China	Qiandongnan Miao and Dong	2000	25.7%	29.1%	22.8%
China	Qiandongnan Miao and Dong	2017	41.2%	45.3%	37.5%
China	Qianjiang	2000	20.6%	25.6%	15.8%
China	Qianjiang	2017	34.5%	40.9%	27.8%
China	Qiannan Buyei and Miao	2000	24.2%	27.2%	21.2%
China	Qiannan Buyei and Miao	2017	39.4%	43.3%	35.7%
China	Qianxinan Buyei and Miao	2000	22.9%	26.5%	19.4%
China	Qianxinan Buyei and Miao	2017	37.6%	42.5%	33.0%
China	Qingdao	2000	35.8%	41.0%	31.1%
China	Qingdao	2017	53.1%	57.8%	48.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Qingyang	2000	10.1%	12.1%	8.3%
China	Qingyang	2017	18.7%	22.0%	15.8%
China	Qingyuan	2000	29.0%	32.4%	25.8%
China	Qingyuan	2017	45.4%	49.2%	41.7%
China	Qinhuangdao	2000	68.8%	74.5%	62.6%
China	Qinhuangdao	2017	81.7%	85.5%	77.1%
China	Qinzhou	2000	11.8%	14.4%	9.3%
China	Qinzhou	2017	21.5%	25.6%	17.5%
China	Qiqihar	2000	60.9%	66.8%	55.1%
China	Qiqihar	2017	76.0%	80.4%	71.4%
China	Qitaihe	2000	60.6%	70.7%	51.3%
China	Qitaihe	2017	75.7%	83.0%	68.2%
China	Quanzhou	2000	7.2%	8.0%	6.4%
China	Quanzhou	2017	13.6%	15.1%	12.3%
China	Qujing	2000	14.3%	16.6%	12.1%
China	Qujing	2017	25.3%	28.8%	22.2%
China	Quzhou	2000	15.6%	19.2%	12.2%
China	Quzhou	2017	27.3%	32.6%	22.0%
China	Rizhao	2000	31.8%	37.6%	26.4%
China	Rizhao	2017	48.5%	55.3%	42.0%
China	Sai Kung	2000	24.1%	32.9%	17.3%
China	Sai Kung	2017	39.3%	49.8%	30.3%
China	Sanmenxia	2000	49.8%	56.6%	44.3%
China	Sanmenxia	2017	66.6%	72.4%	62.1%
China	Sanming	2000	7.8%	9.3%	6.3%
China	Sanming	2017	14.7%	17.2%	12.0%
China	Sanya	2000	26.7%	61.3%	8.0%
China	Sanya	2017	40.8%	76.1%	15.0%
China	Sha Tin	2000	23.5%	31.4%	17.9%
China	Sha Tin	2017	38.5%	48.2%	30.9%
China	Sham Shui Po	2000	23.5%	31.5%	17.8%
China	Sham Shui Po	2017	38.5%	47.4%	30.6%
China	Shanghai	2000	31.4%	33.8%	28.8%
China	Shanghai	2017	48.2%	51.0%	45.3%
China	Shangluo	2000	31.3%	36.1%	26.1%
China	Shangluo	2017	48.1%	53.9%	42.0%
China	Shangqiu	2000	36.7%	41.3%	31.5%
China	Shangqiu	2017	54.0%	58.7%	48.7%
China	Shangrao	2000	14.1%	15.3%	12.9%
China	Shangrao	2017	25.1%	26.8%	23.4%
China	Shannan	2000	25.1%	54.5%	6.1%
China	Shannan	2017	38.4%	70.6%	11.7%
China	Shantou	2000	26.3%	29.0%	23.6%
China	Shantou	2017	42.0%	45.4%	38.5%
China	Shanwei	2000	27.8%	31.9%	23.7%
China	Shanwei	2017	43.9%	48.9%	38.7%
China	Shaoguan	2000	28.0%	32.8%	24.5%
China	Shaoguan	2017	44.0%	49.4%	39.4%
China	Shaoxing	2000	15.5%	18.1%	12.8%
China	Shaoxing	2017	27.3%	31.1%	23.3%
China	Shaoyang	2000	54.1%	60.7%	47.3%
China	Shaoyang	2017	70.3%	75.7%	64.5%
China	Shennongjia	2000	16.1%	21.5%	11.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Shennongjia	2017	28.0%	36.1%	20.3%
China	Shenyang	2000	41.1%	45.5%	36.1%
China	Shenyang	2017	58.7%	62.7%	53.8%
China	Shenzhen	2000	27.5%	29.8%	25.3%
China	Shenzhen	2017	43.6%	46.4%	41.0%
China	Shigatse	2000	24.9%	52.6%	7.8%
China	Shigatse	2017	38.3%	68.9%	14.7%
China	Shihezi	2000	40.4%	77.7%	10.3%
China	Shihezi	2017	55.5%	87.5%	19.1%
China	Shijiazhuang	2000	73.0%	76.6%	68.8%
China	Shijiazhuang	2017	84.6%	87.0%	81.9%
China	Shiyan	2000	23.3%	28.4%	18.9%
China	Shiyan	2017	38.1%	44.3%	32.2%
China	Shizuishan	2000	16.3%	33.3%	5.1%
China	Shizuishan	2017	27.6%	49.9%	10.0%
China	Shuangyashan	2000	60.6%	69.0%	52.2%
China	Shuangyashan	2017	75.7%	81.6%	68.9%
China	Shuozhou	2000	64.5%	69.2%	60.2%
China	Shuozhou	2017	78.8%	82.2%	75.4%
China	Siping	2000	43.9%	48.7%	39.3%
China	Siping	2017	61.4%	65.8%	57.2%
China	Songyuan	2000	46.4%	52.0%	41.5%
China	Songyuan	2017	63.8%	68.6%	59.5%
China	Southern	2000	22.8%	30.8%	16.2%
China	Southern	2017	37.6%	47.3%	28.2%
China	Suihua	2000	61.5%	66.7%	55.8%
China	Suihua	2017	76.5%	80.3%	72.3%
China	Suining	2000	20.8%	24.5%	17.5%
China	Suining	2017	34.9%	39.7%	30.5%
China	Suizhou Shi	2000	21.9%	26.6%	17.4%
China	Suizhou Shi	2017	36.3%	42.6%	30.1%
China	Suqian	2000	13.4%	15.3%	11.6%
China	Suqian	2017	23.8%	27.1%	21.1%
China	Suzhou	2000	31.4%	35.6%	26.8%
China	Suzhou	2000	14.2%	15.6%	12.6%
China	Suzhou	2017	25.2%	27.6%	22.6%
China	Suzhou	2017	47.3%	51.7%	42.4%
China	Tacheng	2000	39.3%	69.9%	13.9%
China	Tacheng	2017	54.3%	82.3%	24.2%
China	Tai Po	2000	25.0%	31.4%	20.0%
China	Tai Po	2017	40.5%	48.0%	34.3%
China	Tai'an	2000	35.9%	40.5%	31.6%
China	Tai'an	2017	53.2%	58.2%	48.3%
China	Taiyuan	2000	64.7%	67.8%	61.8%
China	Taiyuan	2017	79.0%	81.1%	76.7%
China	Taizhou	2000	15.1%	18.2%	12.6%
China	Taizhou	2000	12.1%	13.9%	10.3%
China	Taizhou	2017	26.6%	31.2%	23.0%
China	Taizhou	2017	21.9%	24.9%	19.2%
China	Tangshan	2000	59.7%	63.9%	54.4%
China	Tangshan	2017	74.2%	77.4%	69.9%
China	Tianjin	2000	16.2%	17.3%	15.1%
China	Tianjin	2017	27.7%	29.2%	26.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Tianmen	2000	20.0%	23.8%	15.8%
China	Tianmen	2017	33.7%	39.0%	27.8%
China	Tianshui	2000	9.3%	10.7%	7.9%
China	Tianshui	2017	17.3%	19.9%	15.0%
China	Tieling	2000	41.9%	46.7%	37.2%
China	Tieling	2017	59.4%	64.1%	54.9%
China	Tongchuan	2000	26.3%	32.6%	20.4%
China	Tongchuan	2017	42.0%	49.4%	34.6%
China	Tonghua	2000	43.9%	49.1%	38.7%
China	Tonghua	2017	61.4%	66.2%	56.1%
China	Tongliao	2000	45.6%	59.0%	32.7%
China	Tongliao	2017	62.6%	74.7%	49.6%
China	Tongling	2000	62.0%	72.7%	49.7%
China	Tongling	2017	76.7%	83.9%	66.8%
China	Tongren	2000	24.3%	27.9%	21.5%
China	Tongren	2017	39.4%	43.4%	36.0%
China	Tsuen Wan	2000	24.3%	31.2%	19.0%
China	Tsuen Wan	2017	39.5%	47.3%	32.6%
China	Tuen Mun	2000	25.4%	30.7%	20.6%
China	Tuen Mun	2017	41.0%	47.8%	34.7%
China	Turfan	2000	35.0%	68.1%	6.2%
China	Turfan	2017	49.8%	81.4%	11.7%
China	Ulaan Chab	2000	58.2%	72.9%	44.4%
China	Ulaan Chab	2017	73.4%	84.6%	60.6%
China	Ürümqi	2000	33.2%	74.7%	6.1%
China	Ürümqi	2017	47.6%	85.7%	11.6%
China	Wan Chai	2000	23.3%	31.9%	16.7%
China	Wan Chai	2017	38.2%	48.0%	29.1%
China	Weifang	2000	37.4%	42.9%	33.6%
China	Weifang	2017	54.8%	59.9%	50.6%
China	Weihai	2000	35.1%	42.9%	27.1%
China	Weihai	2017	52.3%	60.2%	43.7%
China	Weinan	2000	38.5%	42.8%	34.2%
China	Weinan	2017	55.7%	60.0%	51.0%
China	Wenshan	2000	11.9%	14.8%	9.6%
China	Zhuang and Miao				
China	Wenshan	2017	21.6%	25.9%	18.0%
China	Zhuang and Miao				
China	Wenzhou	2000	14.5%	17.4%	12.0%
China	Wenzhou	2017	25.7%	29.7%	22.1%
China	Wong Tai Sin	2000	22.5%	30.5%	16.8%
China	Wong Tai Sin	2017	37.1%	47.3%	29.0%
China	Wuhai	2000	18.5%	42.0%	5.3%
China	Wuhai	2017	30.6%	59.3%	10.1%
China	Wuhan	2000	20.4%	23.1%	17.9%
China	Wuhan	2017	34.4%	38.0%	31.1%
China	Wuhu	2000	49.4%	59.3%	40.1%
China	Wuhu	2017	66.4%	74.6%	57.8%
China	Wuwei	2000	9.0%	10.9%	7.3%
China	Wuwei	2017	16.8%	20.0%	13.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Wuxi	2000	12.0%	13.6%	10.7%
China	Wuxi	2017	21.8%	24.1%	19.6%
China	Wuzhong	2000	12.2%	20.8%	6.1%
China	Wuzhong	2017	21.7%	34.5%	11.8%
China	Wuzhou	2000	15.8%	19.3%	12.8%
China	Wuzhou	2017	27.5%	32.3%	23.0%
China	Xi'an	2000	32.3%	35.7%	28.6%
China	Xi'an	2017	49.3%	53.5%	45.0%
China	Xiamen	2000	7.1%	8.4%	5.9%
China	Xiamen	2017	13.6%	15.9%	11.3%
China	Xiangfan	2000	22.3%	26.3%	18.7%
China	Xiangfan	2017	36.9%	42.0%	32.1%
China	Xiangtan	2000	57.0%	64.1%	50.2%
China	Xiangtan	2017	72.9%	78.3%	66.9%
China	Xiangxi Tujia and Miao	2000	35.6%	42.9%	28.7%
China	Xiangxi Tujia and Miao	2017	52.3%	59.5%	45.0%
China	Xianning	2000	21.7%	26.2%	17.9%
China	Xianning	2017	35.9%	41.7%	30.8%
China	Xiantao	2000	21.1%	25.3%	16.3%
China	Xiantao	2017	35.1%	40.7%	28.5%
China	Xianyang	2000	29.8%	33.4%	26.6%
China	Xianyang	2017	46.1%	50.1%	42.2%
China	Xiaogan	2000	21.0%	24.3%	17.5%
China	Xiaogan	2017	35.1%	39.1%	30.2%
China	Xilin Gol	2000	55.5%	73.9%	36.5%
China	Xilin Gol	2017	70.3%	85.4%	52.0%
China	Xing'an	2000	49.6%	65.6%	35.8%
China	Xing'an	2017	65.9%	78.9%	52.0%
China	Xingtai	2000	71.4%	75.2%	66.5%
China	Xingtai	2017	83.5%	85.8%	80.2%
China	Xining	2000	10.1%	20.4%	4.5%
China	Xining	2017	18.4%	33.8%	8.8%
China	Xinxiang	2000	37.0%	42.0%	31.8%
China	Xinxiang	2017	54.3%	59.3%	48.7%
China	Xinyang	2000	37.9%	44.4%	32.6%
China	Xinyang	2017	54.8%	61.0%	48.6%
China	Xinyu	2000	13.7%	16.0%	11.8%
China	Xinyu	2017	24.5%	28.2%	21.8%
China	Xinzhou	2000	63.7%	67.0%	59.9%
China	Xinzhou	2017	78.2%	80.7%	75.4%
China	Xishuangbanna Dai	2000	12.4%	18.6%	8.3%
China	Xishuangbanna Dai	2017	22.4%	31.3%	15.2%
China	Xuancheng	2000	34.2%	41.9%	26.4%
China	Xuancheng	2017	50.6%	58.9%	41.8%
China	Xuchang	2000	34.1%	39.8%	29.0%
China	Xuchang	2017	51.2%	57.6%	45.6%
China	Xuzhou	2000	17.9%	20.2%	16.0%
China	Xuzhou	2017	30.7%	34.3%	28.1%
China	Ya'an	2000	21.8%	27.3%	16.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Ya'an	2017	36.2%	43.1%	29.3%
China	Yan'an	2000	31.4%	37.5%	25.8%
China	Yan'an	2017	47.6%	54.4%	40.9%
China	Yanbian Korean	2000	45.2%	52.1%	37.7%
China	Yanbian Korean	2017	62.5%	69.1%	55.6%
China	Yancheng	2000	12.1%	14.1%	10.2%
China	Yancheng	2017	21.9%	24.8%	19.1%
China	Yangjiang	2000	27.9%	32.4%	23.7%
China	Yangjiang	2017	44.1%	49.3%	38.8%
China	Yangquan	2000	64.6%	69.3%	59.3%
China	Yangquan	2017	78.9%	82.3%	75.0%
China	Yangzhou	2000	12.0%	13.8%	10.1%
China	Yangzhou	2017	21.7%	24.6%	18.7%
China	Yantai	2000	36.6%	41.2%	31.6%
China	Yantai	2017	53.9%	58.7%	48.7%
China	Yau Tsim Mong	2000	23.9%	32.1%	17.6%
China	Yau Tsim Mong	2017	39.0%	48.7%	30.7%
China	Yibin	2000	20.4%	23.5%	17.3%
China	Yibin	2017	34.3%	38.3%	30.1%
China	Yichang	2000	21.2%	25.9%	17.1%
China	Yichang	2017	35.3%	41.6%	29.7%
China	Yichun	2000	60.8%	69.2%	51.1%
China	Yichun	2000	14.4%	15.7%	13.2%
China	Yichun	2017	75.8%	82.3%	68.2%
China	Yichun	2017	25.6%	27.5%	23.9%
China	Yinchuan	2000	14.5%	29.6%	5.2%
China	Yinchuan	2017	25.1%	45.1%	9.7%
China	Yingtian	2000	14.1%	16.3%	12.2%
China	Yingtian	2017	25.2%	28.0%	22.4%
China	Yiyang	2000	58.5%	64.8%	52.3%
China	Yiyang	2017	74.0%	79.0%	68.9%
China	Yongzhou	2000	43.9%	50.2%	37.4%
China	Yongzhou	2017	60.5%	66.5%	54.1%
China	Yuen Long	2000	26.7%	31.1%	22.9%
China	Yuen Long	2017	42.6%	47.9%	37.5%
China	Yueyang	2000	41.6%	48.2%	35.3%
China	Yueyang	2017	58.7%	65.0%	52.7%
China	Yulin	2000	38.4%	43.6%	33.3%
China	Yulin	2000	14.7%	17.2%	12.5%
China	Yulin	2017	55.0%	60.7%	49.4%
China	Yulin	2017	25.9%	29.3%	22.6%
China	Yuncheng	2000	62.0%	65.3%	58.7%
China	Yuncheng	2017	76.9%	79.1%	74.4%
China	Yunfu	2000	26.8%	31.0%	22.9%
China	Yunfu	2017	42.7%	47.7%	37.9%
China	Yuxi	2000	11.5%	14.0%	9.2%
China	Yuxi	2017	21.0%	24.9%	17.2%
China	Zaozhuang	2000	29.2%	33.0%	24.9%
China	Zaozhuang	2017	45.5%	50.3%	40.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Zhangjiajie	2000	46.4%	55.6%	38.0%
China	Zhangjiajie	2017	63.5%	71.9%	55.7%
China	Zhangjiakou	2000	70.9%	76.4%	64.5%
China	Zhangjiakou	2017	83.2%	86.7%	78.7%
China	Zhangye	2000	9.3%	11.7%	6.8%
China	Zhangye	2017	17.2%	21.0%	13.1%
China	Zhangzhou	2000	8.4%	9.7%	7.2%
China	Zhangzhou	2017	15.7%	17.7%	13.7%
China	Zhanjiang	2000	27.0%	30.1%	23.9%
China	Zhanjiang	2017	42.9%	46.4%	38.8%
China	Zhaoqing	2000	26.7%	30.4%	23.4%
China	Zhaoqing	2017	42.6%	47.0%	38.5%
China	Zhaotong	2000	16.8%	19.3%	14.3%
China	Zhaotong	2017	29.1%	32.2%	25.6%
China	Zhengzhou	2000	33.4%	38.4%	28.9%
China	Zhengzhou	2017	50.5%	55.7%	45.3%
China	Zhenjiang	2000	11.5%	13.3%	9.8%
China	Zhenjiang	2017	21.0%	24.0%	18.4%
China	Zhongshan	2000	27.6%	30.7%	24.7%
China	Zhongshan	2017	43.7%	47.4%	40.0%
China	Zhongwei	2000	9.9%	15.3%	5.5%
China	Zhongwei	2017	18.0%	26.8%	10.4%
China	Zhoukou	2000	38.2%	42.6%	32.9%
China	Zhoukou	2017	55.6%	60.1%	49.9%
China	Zhoushan	2000	16.2%	22.0%	11.7%
China	Zhoushan	2017	28.2%	36.9%	21.6%
China	Zhuhai	2000	26.4%	31.0%	21.9%
China	Zhuhai	2017	42.2%	48.0%	36.2%
China	Zhumadian	2000	35.1%	39.8%	30.5%
China	Zhumadian	2017	52.3%	57.1%	47.0%
China	Zhuzhou	2000	38.0%	42.7%	32.9%
China	Zhuzhou	2017	54.9%	59.8%	49.7%
China	Zibo	2000	36.4%	41.0%	31.2%
China	Zibo	2017	53.9%	58.3%	48.0%
China	Zigong	2000	21.8%	25.0%	18.5%
China	Zigong	2017	36.2%	40.1%	31.8%
China	Ziyang	2000	20.5%	23.6%	17.9%
China	Ziyang	2017	34.4%	38.5%	31.0%
China	Zunyi	2000	23.8%	26.4%	21.5%
China	Zunyi	2017	38.8%	42.1%	35.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat	2000	49.4%	63.0%	39.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat	2017	61.0%	71.8%	52.2%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat Daya	2000	19.0%	26.6%	12.6%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat Daya	2017	25.6%	33.6%	18.8%
Indonesia	Aceh Besar	2000	79.9%	87.8%	68.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Besar	2017	83.2%	90.3%	70.5%
Indonesia	Aceh Jaya	2000	57.6%	73.8%	40.6%
Indonesia	Aceh Jaya	2017	64.0%	77.0%	49.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Selatan	2000	54.2%	77.3%	34.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Selatan	2017	57.5%	81.6%	35.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Singkil	2000	27.8%	38.7%	17.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Aceh Singkil	2017	33.7%	43.3%	25.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Tamiang	2000	39.9%	44.8%	35.9%
Indonesia	Aceh Tamiang	2017	45.7%	51.7%	41.2%
Indonesia	Aceh Tengah	2000	31.3%	45.6%	19.6%
Indonesia	Aceh Tengah	2017	39.1%	54.2%	25.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Tenggara	2000	32.8%	47.4%	21.4%
Indonesia	Aceh Tenggara	2017	38.9%	56.7%	25.2%
Indonesia	Aceh Timur	2000	31.4%	37.9%	24.5%
Indonesia	Aceh Timur	2017	38.6%	46.2%	31.5%
Indonesia	Aceh Utara	2000	50.0%	56.9%	42.8%
Indonesia	Aceh Utara	2017	60.2%	67.0%	53.2%
Indonesia	Agam	2000	52.0%	64.5%	40.7%
Indonesia	Agam	2017	61.2%	74.5%	47.8%
Indonesia	Alor	2000	55.5%	86.4%	23.6%
Indonesia	Alor	2017	59.2%	88.6%	26.4%
Indonesia	Ambon	2000	75.4%	84.6%	65.5%
Indonesia	Ambon	2017	84.5%	92.0%	75.4%
Indonesia	Asahan	2000	42.2%	45.6%	39.2%
Indonesia	Asahan	2017	54.2%	58.1%	50.3%
Indonesia	Asmat	2000	52.5%	74.5%	31.8%
Indonesia	Asmat	2017	58.1%	74.8%	43.8%
Indonesia	Badung	2000	87.4%	88.5%	86.3%
Indonesia	Badung	2017	93.4%	94.0%	92.6%
Indonesia	Balangan	2000	38.2%	52.4%	26.3%
Indonesia	Balangan	2017	50.6%	63.0%	38.0%
Indonesia	Balikpapan	2000	72.4%	77.2%	60.3%
Indonesia	Balikpapan	2017	72.5%	78.3%	64.1%
Indonesia	Banda Aceh	2000	95.5%	96.1%	94.9%
Indonesia	Banda Aceh	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.2%
Indonesia	Bandar Lampung	2000	77.6%	78.7%	76.5%
Indonesia	Bandar Lampung	2017	88.2%	89.0%	87.4%
Indonesia	Bandung	2000	51.8%	53.3%	50.4%
Indonesia	Bandung	2017	64.2%	65.8%	62.9%
Indonesia	Bandung Barat	2000	54.3%	55.8%	52.8%
Indonesia	Bandung Barat	2017	66.7%	68.1%	65.2%
Indonesia	Banggai	2000	55.7%	69.3%	39.8%
Indonesia	Banggai	2017	57.8%	70.9%	43.6%
Indonesia	Banggai Kepulauan	2000	48.4%	69.2%	28.8%
Indonesia	Banggai Kepulauan	2017	54.1%	76.1%	31.1%
Indonesia	Bangka	2000	78.1%	86.4%	68.6%
Indonesia	Bangka	2017	84.8%	91.8%	76.6%
Indonesia	Bangka Barat	2000	68.9%	88.3%	44.7%
Indonesia	Bangka Barat	2017	74.3%	92.2%	50.4%
Indonesia	Bangka Selatan	2000	68.7%	90.9%	37.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Bangka Selatan	2017	72.9%	93.0%	42.6%
Indonesia	Bangka Tengah	2000	76.0%	85.9%	61.5%
Indonesia	Bangka Tengah	2017	82.0%	90.6%	68.7%
Indonesia	Bangkalan	2000	21.4%	24.2%	20.0%
Indonesia	Bangkalan	2017	29.0%	33.2%	26.8%
Indonesia	Bangli	2000	79.4%	82.8%	74.1%
Indonesia	Bangli	2017	85.9%	88.3%	81.8%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	31.8%	35.7%	28.6%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	62.3%	68.4%	56.4%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	40.4%	46.4%	35.7%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	74.1%	79.4%	68.9%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara Baru	2000	46.1%	55.4%	35.1%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara Baru	2017	54.9%	65.6%	44.1%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	62.6%	63.9%	61.3%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	75.3%	76.4%	74.3%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	36.7%	42.1%	32.2%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	49.6%	55.2%	45.3%
Indonesia	Bantaeng	2000	83.4%	88.3%	77.0%
Indonesia	Bantaeng	2017	84.7%	89.5%	79.7%
Indonesia	Bantul	2000	80.1%	80.9%	79.2%
Indonesia	Bantul	2017	88.0%	88.6%	87.3%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2000	45.0%	53.9%	37.9%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2017	54.1%	61.4%	47.2%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2000	68.0%	72.0%	63.6%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2017	78.6%	81.7%	75.6%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2000	63.2%	71.3%	54.9%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2017	72.7%	79.7%	65.2%
Indonesia	Barito Kuala	2000	31.9%	34.7%	29.4%
Indonesia	Barito Kuala	2017	43.4%	46.9%	40.0%
Indonesia	Barito Selatan	2000	41.4%	51.3%	32.2%
Indonesia	Barito Selatan	2017	46.4%	57.2%	36.3%
Indonesia	Barito Timur	2000	43.8%	56.7%	33.9%
Indonesia	Barito Timur	2017	48.0%	61.5%	37.5%
Indonesia	Barito Utara	2000	26.8%	38.8%	14.0%
Indonesia	Barito Utara	2017	35.8%	49.4%	21.8%
Indonesia	Barru	2000	76.9%	82.9%	71.6%
Indonesia	Barru	2017	83.7%	90.0%	77.0%
Indonesia	Batam	2000	82.4%	87.3%	78.0%
Indonesia	Batam	2017	86.3%	91.7%	81.5%
Indonesia	Batang	2000	48.0%	53.3%	44.1%
Indonesia	Batang	2017	58.2%	63.1%	54.1%
Indonesia	Batang Hari	2000	39.8%	47.5%	34.2%
Indonesia	Batang Hari	2017	49.3%	57.4%	43.0%
Indonesia	Batu	2000	72.0%	73.2%	70.8%
Indonesia	Batu	2017	83.5%	84.4%	82.7%
Indonesia	Batu Bara	2000	32.9%	35.9%	29.8%
Indonesia	Batu Bara	2017	46.1%	49.3%	43.0%
Indonesia	Bau-Bau	2000	61.9%	66.3%	56.9%
Indonesia	Bau-Bau	2017	71.0%	75.6%	64.8%
Indonesia	Bekasi	2000	70.4%	73.0%	68.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Bekasi	2017	79.0%	81.0%	77.1%
Indonesia	Belitung	2000	67.0%	83.9%	47.3%
Indonesia	Belitung	2017	71.8%	88.9%	53.1%
Indonesia	Belitung	2000	66.1%	91.5%	29.9%
Indonesia	Timur Belitung	2017	70.4%	95.0%	33.5%
Indonesia	Belu	2000	50.7%	99.5%	1.1%
Indonesia	Belu	2017	53.2%	99.7%	1.6%
Indonesia	Bener Meriah	2000	31.7%	46.9%	20.5%
Indonesia	Bener Meriah	2017	42.4%	57.8%	29.3%
Indonesia	Bengkalis	2000	48.5%	56.5%	40.5%
Indonesia	Bengkalis	2017	53.0%	60.3%	45.4%
Indonesia	Bengkayang	2000	44.1%	92.8%	4.8%
Indonesia	Bengkayang	2017	46.0%	93.6%	5.3%
Indonesia	Bengkulu	2000	73.7%	75.3%	72.0%
Indonesia	Bengkulu	2017	84.6%	85.7%	83.4%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Se- latan	2000	39.6%	58.0%	23.6%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Se- latan	2017	49.2%	68.0%	30.4%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Ten- gah	2000	23.8%	29.7%	19.2%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Ten- gah	2017	31.8%	39.5%	25.9%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Utara	2000	35.0%	47.2%	25.0%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Utara	2017	39.9%	50.9%	29.2%
Indonesia	Berau	2000	49.9%	62.4%	38.4%
Indonesia	Berau	2017	57.5%	69.1%	46.6%
Indonesia	Biak Numfor	2000	58.6%	89.1%	19.4%
Indonesia	Biak Numfor	2017	62.4%	90.8%	24.5%
Indonesia	Bima	2000	52.0%	62.6%	40.6%
Indonesia	Bima	2017	57.2%	69.2%	43.6%
Indonesia	Bintan	2000	59.2%	82.1%	31.2%
Indonesia	Bintan	2017	68.2%	87.9%	42.3%
Indonesia	Bireuen	2000	51.8%	57.1%	47.2%
Indonesia	Bireuen	2017	57.6%	63.4%	52.8%
Indonesia	Bitung	2000	75.1%	79.1%	70.5%
Indonesia	Bitung	2017	84.1%	86.6%	81.1%
Indonesia	Blitar	2000	44.6%	46.7%	41.9%
Indonesia	Blitar	2017	60.4%	62.5%	57.9%
Indonesia	Blora	2000	30.6%	34.7%	27.0%
Indonesia	Blora	2017	43.8%	48.1%	39.9%
Indonesia	Boalemo	2000	69.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Boalemo	2017	69.6%	100.0%	0.1%
Indonesia	Bogor	2000	43.0%	45.7%	40.5%
Indonesia	Bogor	2017	54.8%	57.8%	51.9%
Indonesia	Bojonegoro	2000	44.0%	52.2%	36.0%
Indonesia	Bojonegoro	2017	58.9%	67.5%	48.4%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mon- gondow	2000	69.2%	82.0%	54.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow	2017	74.8%	86.6%	57.0%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Selatan	2000	37.1%	74.7%	4.3%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Selatan	2017	42.0%	79.5%	6.3%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Timur	2000	38.1%	59.1%	16.7%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Timur	2017	44.6%	66.3%	22.4%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Utara	2000	55.7%	82.1%	28.8%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Utara	2017	65.1%	86.3%	40.4%
Indonesia	Bombana	2000	58.7%	80.0%	31.8%
Indonesia	Bombana	2017	65.0%	86.2%	35.9%
Indonesia	Bondowoso	2000	48.0%	61.3%	34.5%
Indonesia	Bondowoso	2017	57.0%	68.8%	44.4%
Indonesia	Bone	2000	66.7%	74.4%	58.1%
Indonesia	Bone	2017	71.8%	79.2%	63.6%
Indonesia	Bone Bolango	2000	27.2%	54.4%	8.6%
Indonesia	Bone Bolango	2017	28.2%	55.9%	9.3%
Indonesia	Bontang	2000	59.3%	79.4%	38.8%
Indonesia	Bontang	2017	73.1%	88.1%	52.1%
Indonesia	Boven Digoel	2000	57.3%	71.4%	39.3%
Indonesia	Boven Digoel	2017	61.7%	73.5%	46.6%
Indonesia	Boyolali	2000	54.9%	57.7%	52.0%
Indonesia	Boyolali	2017	65.6%	67.6%	63.3%
Indonesia	Brebes	2000	65.4%	71.2%	59.0%
Indonesia	Brebes	2017	76.8%	81.0%	72.0%
Indonesia	Bukittinggi	2000	65.7%	69.6%	61.3%
Indonesia	Bukittinggi	2017	78.1%	81.0%	74.4%
Indonesia	Buleleng	2000	83.6%	86.4%	80.6%
Indonesia	Buleleng	2017	90.5%	92.4%	88.4%
Indonesia	Bulukumba	2000	61.5%	66.5%	57.1%
Indonesia	Bulukumba	2017	67.9%	73.3%	63.0%
Indonesia	Bulungan	2000	49.3%	61.6%	36.9%
Indonesia	Bulungan	2017	56.3%	68.4%	44.1%
Indonesia	Bungo	2000	59.5%	73.5%	42.1%
Indonesia	Bungo	2017	65.8%	78.8%	48.7%
Indonesia	Buol	2000	50.2%	64.9%	32.8%
Indonesia	Buol	2017	55.4%	69.7%	38.8%
Indonesia	Buru	2000	41.0%	71.9%	10.0%
Indonesia	Buru	2017	47.1%	77.8%	16.3%
Indonesia	Buru Selatan	2000	53.2%	92.1%	18.6%
Indonesia	Buru Selatan	2017	55.4%	94.2%	18.5%
Indonesia	Buton	2000	64.1%	77.9%	49.2%
Indonesia	Buton	2017	67.0%	80.7%	52.6%
Indonesia	Buton Utara	2000	31.0%	50.3%	17.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Buton Utara	2017	36.4%	60.2%	19.7%
Indonesia	Ciamis	2000	51.6%	54.5%	48.5%
Indonesia	Ciamis	2017	61.8%	64.0%	59.3%
Indonesia	Cianjur	2000	26.3%	28.9%	24.0%
Indonesia	Cianjur	2017	36.5%	39.4%	33.9%
Indonesia	Cilacap	2000	49.7%	52.7%	47.1%
Indonesia	Cilacap	2017	63.0%	65.6%	60.4%
Indonesia	Cilegon	2000	60.7%	62.5%	59.3%
Indonesia	Cilegon	2017	69.4%	71.2%	67.7%
Indonesia	Cimahi	2000	45.2%	46.4%	44.1%
Indonesia	Cimahi	2017	60.6%	61.8%	59.5%
Indonesia	Cirebon	2000	72.9%	74.4%	71.4%
Indonesia	Cirebon	2017	82.0%	83.3%	80.8%
Indonesia	Dairi	2000	36.3%	42.3%	31.0%
Indonesia	Dairi	2017	42.7%	49.1%	37.0%
Indonesia	Danau	2000	63.9%	89.1%	29.0%
Indonesia	Danau	2017	72.1%	93.7%	35.4%
Indonesia	Danau Limboto	2000	95.6%	100.0%	56.6%
Indonesia	Danau Limboto	2017	96.5%	100.0%	62.8%
Indonesia	Deiyai	2000	48.5%	71.8%	27.8%
Indonesia	Deiyai	2017	56.2%	78.4%	34.5%
Indonesia	Deli Serdang	2000	75.0%	76.9%	72.6%
Indonesia	Deli Serdang	2017	82.8%	84.8%	80.5%
Indonesia	Demak	2000	63.2%	66.9%	57.8%
Indonesia	Demak	2017	73.0%	75.5%	68.9%
Indonesia	Denpasar	2000	87.9%	88.7%	87.0%
Indonesia	Denpasar	2017	93.2%	93.8%	92.7%
Indonesia	Depok	2000	79.8%	80.5%	79.0%
Indonesia	Depok	2017	87.1%	87.7%	86.6%
Indonesia	Dharmasraya	2000	63.1%	77.6%	42.5%
Indonesia	Dharmasraya	2017	69.0%	82.4%	48.0%
Indonesia	Dogiyai	2000	57.9%	72.6%	38.7%
Indonesia	Dogiyai	2017	63.0%	76.6%	45.4%
Indonesia	Dompu	2000	57.5%	77.2%	37.3%
Indonesia	Dompu	2017	61.5%	82.9%	39.7%
Indonesia	Donggala	2000	63.7%	81.7%	43.1%
Indonesia	Donggala	2017	68.9%	85.8%	48.6%
Indonesia	Dumai	2000	63.3%	87.2%	36.8%
Indonesia	Dumai	2017	72.3%	91.0%	47.1%
Indonesia	Empat Lawang	2000	24.5%	42.2%	8.2%
Indonesia	Empat Lawang	2017	30.3%	48.2%	12.3%
Indonesia	Ende	2000	51.7%	77.1%	21.7%
Indonesia	Ende	2017	55.7%	79.7%	25.8%
Indonesia	Enrekang	2000	66.9%	71.8%	62.5%
Indonesia	Enrekang	2017	71.0%	76.9%	65.3%
Indonesia	Fakfak	2000	55.9%	69.3%	41.8%
Indonesia	Fakfak	2017	61.5%	74.2%	47.5%
Indonesia	Flores Timur	2000	57.2%	100.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Flores Timur	2017	58.9%	100.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Garut	2000	34.0%	36.8%	31.9%
Indonesia	Garut	2017	45.1%	47.7%	42.9%
Indonesia	Gayo Lues	2000	22.4%	34.7%	12.9%
Indonesia	Gayo Lues	2017	27.9%	41.3%	17.7%
Indonesia	Gianyar	2000	92.0%	92.8%	91.2%
Indonesia	Gianyar	2017	96.0%	96.4%	95.5%
Indonesia	Gorontalo	2000	82.5%	99.8%	29.2%
Indonesia	Gorontalo	2017	83.5%	99.9%	29.8%
Indonesia	Gorontalo Utara	2000	62.1%	97.9%	4.5%
Indonesia	Gorontalo Utara	2017	64.3%	98.1%	6.9%
Indonesia	Gowa	2000	69.9%	73.5%	66.5%
Indonesia	Gowa	2017	74.0%	78.0%	69.7%
Indonesia	Gresik	2000	66.1%	68.6%	63.9%
Indonesia	Gresik	2017	77.1%	79.3%	75.1%
Indonesia	Grobogan	2000	46.3%	48.7%	43.8%
Indonesia	Grobogan	2017	58.2%	60.4%	56.3%
Indonesia	Gunung Kidul	2000	33.7%	34.7%	32.8%
Indonesia	Gunung Kidul	2017	49.0%	50.1%	47.8%
Indonesia	Gunung Mas	2000	34.6%	51.8%	16.1%
Indonesia	Gunung Mas	2017	42.9%	61.2%	21.0%
Indonesia	Gunungsitoli	2000	32.9%	64.8%	10.8%
Indonesia	Gunungsitoli	2017	42.6%	75.1%	15.6%
Indonesia	Halmahera Barat	2000	59.6%	78.5%	37.6%
Indonesia	Halmahera Barat	2017	66.1%	82.2%	45.9%
Indonesia	Halmahera Selatan	2000	52.5%	75.0%	29.3%
Indonesia	Halmahera Selatan	2017	55.3%	77.9%	32.3%
Indonesia	Halmahera Tengah	2000	66.2%	85.8%	42.3%
Indonesia	Halmahera Tengah	2017	71.0%	89.9%	47.9%
Indonesia	Halmahera Timur	2000	61.7%	83.7%	34.1%
Indonesia	Halmahera Timur	2017	65.8%	88.2%	35.9%
Indonesia	Halmahera Utara	2000	72.4%	89.1%	49.7%
Indonesia	Halmahera Utara	2017	76.4%	91.9%	53.9%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Selatan	2000	39.0%	48.3%	33.1%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Selatan	2017	48.5%	59.7%	40.2%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Tengah	2000	31.5%	35.5%	29.0%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Tengah	2017	40.2%	46.1%	37.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Utara	2000	53.9%	56.8%	50.6%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Utara	2017	62.1%	65.6%	58.4%
Indonesia	Humbang Hasingdutan	2000	58.8%	80.2%	38.1%
Indonesia	Humbang Hasingdutan	2017	64.2%	83.9%	44.0%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hilir	2000	23.6%	30.6%	17.4%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hilir	2017	29.8%	37.8%	22.6%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hulu	2000	49.1%	54.7%	42.4%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hulu	2017	52.0%	58.9%	43.9%
Indonesia	Indramayu	2000	72.9%	78.1%	67.5%
Indonesia	Indramayu	2017	82.0%	85.7%	77.3%
Indonesia	Intan Jaya	2000	36.0%	56.3%	18.4%
Indonesia	Intan Jaya	2017	38.6%	58.2%	20.6%
Indonesia	Jakarta Barat	2000	88.1%	88.6%	87.7%
Indonesia	Jakarta Barat	2017	93.2%	93.5%	92.9%
Indonesia	Jakarta Pusat	2000	82.5%	83.0%	82.0%
Indonesia	Jakarta Pusat	2017	89.5%	89.9%	89.2%
Indonesia	Jakarta Selatan	2000	86.8%	87.2%	86.4%
Indonesia	Jakarta Selatan	2017	92.5%	92.7%	92.2%
Indonesia	Jakarta Timur	2000	84.0%	84.5%	83.5%
Indonesia	Jakarta Timur	2017	90.6%	90.9%	90.3%
Indonesia	Jakarta Utara	2000	87.2%	88.0%	86.0%
Indonesia	Jakarta Utara	2017	92.5%	93.0%	91.8%
Indonesia	Jambi	2000	83.6%	84.6%	82.5%
Indonesia	Jambi	2017	90.3%	91.0%	89.5%
Indonesia	Jayapura	2000	57.9%	72.8%	39.1%
Indonesia	Jayapura	2017	64.9%	79.4%	46.8%
Indonesia	Jayawijaya	2000	62.6%	82.1%	37.4%
Indonesia	Jayawijaya	2017	68.8%	85.7%	46.4%
Indonesia	Jember	2000	56.6%	63.1%	50.0%
Indonesia	Jember	2017	65.3%	70.7%	59.1%
Indonesia	Jembrana	2000	90.9%	94.4%	81.8%
Indonesia	Jembrana	2017	94.6%	97.1%	86.9%
Indonesia	Jeneponto	2000	59.8%	85.4%	38.5%
Indonesia	Jeneponto	2017	66.8%	88.4%	46.1%
Indonesia	Jepara	2000	40.4%	41.8%	38.9%
Indonesia	Jepara	2017	52.8%	54.5%	51.0%
Indonesia	Jombang	2000	56.6%	60.2%	54.0%
Indonesia	Jombang	2017	68.8%	72.3%	65.9%
Indonesia	Kaimana	2000	46.9%	63.0%	30.7%
Indonesia	Kaimana	2017	52.5%	65.8%	39.0%
Indonesia	Kampar	2000	54.9%	61.2%	48.6%
Indonesia	Kampar	2017	62.2%	68.6%	55.9%
Indonesia	Kapuas	2000	30.6%	42.8%	19.7%
Indonesia	Kapuas	2017	37.9%	50.9%	25.6%
Indonesia	Kapuas Hulu	2000	49.1%	91.8%	5.6%
Indonesia	Kapuas Hulu	2017	50.5%	92.3%	5.5%
Indonesia	Karanganyar	2000	79.1%	80.2%	77.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Karanganyar	2017	87.3%	88.1%	86.5%
Indonesia	Karangasem	2000	61.1%	84.3%	39.6%
Indonesia	Karangasem	2017	69.9%	89.5%	49.9%
Indonesia	Karawang	2000	61.4%	65.8%	57.3%
Indonesia	Karawang	2017	72.0%	75.6%	68.2%
Indonesia	Karimun	2000	54.4%	63.5%	44.2%
Indonesia	Karimun	2017	60.8%	71.3%	48.3%
Indonesia	Karo	2000	55.1%	60.3%	51.2%
Indonesia	Karo	2017	63.3%	69.2%	58.5%
Indonesia	Katingan	2000	33.4%	45.9%	22.2%
Indonesia	Katingan	2017	37.2%	49.5%	26.7%
Indonesia	Kaur	2000	40.9%	82.7%	8.8%
Indonesia	Kaur	2017	48.4%	84.4%	15.3%
Indonesia	Kayong Utara	2000	48.2%	96.6%	1.1%
Indonesia	Kayong Utara	2017	50.0%	97.2%	1.5%
Indonesia	Kebumen	2000	51.4%	54.5%	48.7%
Indonesia	Kebumen	2017	65.2%	68.0%	62.6%
Indonesia	Kediri	2000	45.3%	46.8%	43.9%
Indonesia	Kediri	2017	59.2%	60.6%	57.8%
Indonesia	Keerom	2000	47.7%	65.3%	26.9%
Indonesia	Keerom	2017	54.6%	71.3%	34.6%
Indonesia	Kendal	2000	64.1%	68.9%	58.8%
Indonesia	Kendal	2017	76.3%	80.1%	71.5%
Indonesia	Kendari	2000	73.0%	75.4%	70.5%
Indonesia	Kendari	2017	82.1%	84.3%	79.3%
Indonesia	Kepahiang	2000	42.7%	49.8%	34.0%
Indonesia	Kepahiang	2017	50.2%	57.3%	40.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Anambas	2000	12.6%	22.2%	5.4%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Anambas	2017	16.9%	28.4%	8.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Aru	2000	47.0%	81.7%	14.1%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Aru	2017	49.6%	84.6%	15.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Mentawai	2000	44.6%	86.7%	6.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Mentawai	2017	47.0%	87.1%	8.5%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Meranti	2000	19.2%	35.9%	6.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Meranti	2017	24.3%	42.1%	9.2%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sangihe	2000	43.1%	51.8%	32.8%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sangihe	2017	54.6%	63.6%	40.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Selayar	2000	64.9%	95.1%	32.6%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Selayar	2017	67.1%	96.0%	33.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Seribu	2000	49.0%	99.0%	2.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kepulauan Seribu	2017	57.4%	99.4%	3.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sula	2000	59.3%	88.3%	28.4%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sula	2017	62.9%	90.4%	32.4%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Talaud	2000	20.7%	26.3%	14.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Talaud	2017	28.4%	34.6%	21.1%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Yapen	2000	53.5%	84.3%	20.4%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Yapen	2017	57.2%	86.1%	25.1%
Indonesia	Kerinci	2000	49.1%	60.3%	40.0%
Indonesia	Kerinci	2017	56.6%	67.7%	46.7%
Indonesia	Ketapang	2000	46.9%	87.2%	7.0%
Indonesia	Ketapang	2017	48.3%	86.5%	9.6%
Indonesia	Klaten	2000	88.1%	89.2%	87.0%
Indonesia	Klaten	2017	92.3%	93.1%	91.5%
Indonesia	Klungkung	2000	83.3%	89.2%	72.0%
Indonesia	Klungkung	2017	89.4%	93.9%	79.0%
Indonesia	Kolaka	2000	53.3%	60.7%	45.7%
Indonesia	Kolaka	2017	56.7%	66.2%	45.9%
Indonesia	Kolaka Utara	2000	61.4%	97.5%	11.0%
Indonesia	Kolaka Utara	2017	64.2%	98.0%	13.3%
Indonesia	Konawe	2000	36.0%	46.3%	28.1%
Indonesia	Konawe	2017	42.8%	51.7%	33.9%
Indonesia	Konawe Selatan	2000	29.8%	43.2%	20.4%
Indonesia	Konawe Selatan	2017	38.4%	51.3%	27.0%
Indonesia	Konawe Utara	2000	40.8%	61.2%	20.0%
Indonesia	Konawe Utara	2017	45.1%	64.8%	26.0%
Indonesia	Kota Bandung	2000	43.9%	44.7%	42.8%
Indonesia	Kota Bandung	2017	56.0%	56.9%	54.9%
Indonesia	Kota Baru	2000	30.9%	41.1%	21.2%
Indonesia	Kota Baru	2017	38.1%	49.4%	26.9%
Indonesia	Kota Bekasi	2000	85.3%	85.9%	84.6%
Indonesia	Kota Bekasi	2017	90.7%	91.2%	90.2%
Indonesia	Kota Bima	2000	97.6%	100.0%	81.8%
Indonesia	Kota Bima	2017	98.2%	100.0%	86.1%
Indonesia	Kota Binjai	2000	65.1%	66.7%	63.6%
Indonesia	Kota Binjai	2017	77.6%	78.9%	76.4%
Indonesia	Kota Blitar	2000	82.5%	83.5%	81.3%
Indonesia	Kota Blitar	2017	90.3%	91.0%	89.5%
Indonesia	Kota Bogor	2000	76.3%	77.2%	75.3%
Indonesia	Kota Bogor	2017	85.0%	85.6%	84.2%
Indonesia	Kota Cirebon	2000	83.4%	84.2%	82.5%
Indonesia	Kota Cirebon	2017	90.1%	90.7%	89.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kota Gorontalo	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.5%
Indonesia	Kota Gorontalo	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.3%
Indonesia	Kota Jayapura	2000	69.3%	88.7%	44.4%
Indonesia	Kota Jayapura	2017	80.3%	93.4%	62.7%
Indonesia	Kota Kediri	2000	73.4%	74.6%	72.4%
Indonesia	Kota Kediri	2017	82.4%	83.2%	81.5%
Indonesia	Kota Kupang	2000	53.6%	65.5%	44.9%
Indonesia	Kota Kupang	2017	64.3%	75.9%	54.8%
Indonesia	Kota Madiun	2000	89.1%	89.8%	88.3%
Indonesia	Kota Madiun	2017	93.8%	94.2%	93.3%
Indonesia	Kota Magelang	2000	62.7%	64.1%	61.3%
Indonesia	Kota Magelang	2017	75.7%	76.8%	74.4%
Indonesia	Kota Malang	2000	66.9%	68.0%	65.7%
Indonesia	Kota Malang	2017	78.8%	79.6%	77.8%
Indonesia	Kota Medan	2000	91.1%	91.5%	90.6%
Indonesia	Kota Medan	2017	95.1%	95.4%	94.9%
Indonesia	Kota Mojokerto	2000	90.0%	90.9%	89.0%
Indonesia	Kota Mojokerto	2017	94.4%	94.9%	93.8%
Indonesia	Kota Pasuruan	2000	88.6%	89.8%	87.4%
Indonesia	Kota Pasuruan	2017	93.5%	94.2%	92.8%
Indonesia	Kota Pekalongan	2000	87.5%	88.3%	86.7%
Indonesia	Kota Pekalongan	2017	92.8%	93.3%	92.2%
Indonesia	Kota Pontianak	2000	76.5%	90.7%	53.4%
Indonesia	Kota Pontianak	2017	83.8%	94.4%	63.2%
Indonesia	Kota Probolinggo	2000	80.8%	82.8%	79.2%
Indonesia	Kota Probolinggo	2017	88.5%	89.6%	87.5%
Indonesia	Kota Semarang	2000	86.7%	87.4%	85.9%
Indonesia	Kota Semarang	2017	92.3%	92.8%	91.7%
Indonesia	Kota Serang	2000	85.8%	87.1%	83.5%
Indonesia	Kota Serang	2017	90.5%	91.3%	89.1%
Indonesia	Kota Solok	2000	76.1%	81.2%	70.1%
Indonesia	Kota Solok	2017	85.1%	88.4%	81.0%
Indonesia	Kota Sorong	2000	50.2%	75.8%	28.7%
Indonesia	Kota Sorong	2017	61.7%	83.3%	40.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kota Sukabumi	2000	37.1%	38.5%	35.7%
Indonesia	Kota Sukabumi	2017	51.5%	53.0%	50.0%
Indonesia	Kota Tangerang	2000	85.1%	85.8%	84.4%
Indonesia	Kota Tangerang	2017	91.0%	91.6%	90.5%
Indonesia	Kota Tanjungbalai	2000	60.2%	62.9%	57.1%
Indonesia	Kota Tanjungbalai	2017	78.2%	79.8%	76.4%
Indonesia	Kota Tasikmalaya	2000	21.4%	23.5%	19.5%
Indonesia	Kota Tasikmalaya	2017	33.0%	35.6%	30.4%
Indonesia	Kota Tegal	2000	89.2%	90.0%	88.2%
Indonesia	Kota Tegal	2017	93.9%	94.4%	93.3%
Indonesia	Kota Yogyakarta	2000	83.8%	84.6%	83.0%
Indonesia	Kota Yogyakarta	2017	90.4%	91.0%	89.9%
Indonesia	Kotamobagu	2000	87.5%	91.7%	82.4%
Indonesia	Kotamobagu	2017	92.5%	95.4%	88.5%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Barat	2000	46.8%	53.8%	40.5%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Barat	2017	50.8%	58.1%	43.9%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Timur	2000	27.7%	40.8%	16.6%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Timur	2017	34.6%	48.5%	22.7%
Indonesia	Kuantan Singingi	2000	42.6%	52.7%	31.7%
Indonesia	Kuantan Singingi	2017	50.4%	58.5%	41.7%
Indonesia	Kubu Raya	2000	54.4%	74.9%	34.0%
Indonesia	Kubu Raya	2017	60.5%	78.0%	41.5%
Indonesia	Kudus	2000	85.1%	86.3%	84.0%
Indonesia	Kudus	2017	90.7%	91.5%	89.9%
Indonesia	Kulon Progo	2000	63.8%	65.2%	62.2%
Indonesia	Kulon Progo	2017	79.1%	80.2%	77.7%
Indonesia	Kuningan	2000	64.3%	67.0%	61.8%
Indonesia	Kuningan	2017	74.9%	77.1%	73.0%
Indonesia	Kupang	2000	37.7%	54.7%	20.7%
Indonesia	Kupang	2017	42.2%	60.0%	26.1%
Indonesia	Kutai Barat	2000	44.4%	54.2%	34.8%
Indonesia	Kutai Barat	2017	51.7%	60.4%	42.2%
Indonesia	Kutai Kartanegara	2000	50.7%	60.4%	40.8%
Indonesia	Kutai Kartanegara	2017	59.0%	69.1%	49.7%
Indonesia	Kutai Timur	2000	49.9%	61.0%	40.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kutai Timur	2017	56.3%	67.1%	46.2%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu	2000	52.0%	58.4%	47.2%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu	2017	56.8%	63.4%	51.4%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Selatan	2000	43.7%	55.6%	33.4%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Selatan	2017	53.7%	64.1%	44.4%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Utara	2000	46.7%	55.9%	37.7%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Utara	2017	52.5%	62.9%	42.0%
Indonesia	Lahat	2000	42.0%	50.2%	33.2%
Indonesia	Lahat	2017	49.4%	56.9%	40.0%
Indonesia	Lake Toba	2000	68.6%	79.9%	56.9%
Indonesia	Lake Toba	2017	70.8%	82.2%	59.5%
Indonesia	Lamandau	2000	29.4%	46.2%	16.4%
Indonesia	Lamandau	2017	35.1%	49.3%	22.9%
Indonesia	Lamongan	2000	52.2%	55.5%	48.9%
Indonesia	Lamongan	2017	66.8%	70.1%	63.7%
Indonesia	Lampung Barat	2000	28.3%	41.5%	16.9%
Indonesia	Lampung Barat	2017	33.9%	48.0%	20.2%
Indonesia	Lampung Selatan	2000	52.8%	58.4%	47.8%
Indonesia	Lampung Selatan	2017	66.7%	72.6%	61.6%
Indonesia	Lampung Tengah	2000	25.2%	29.7%	20.6%
Indonesia	Lampung Tengah	2017	35.9%	41.1%	30.8%
Indonesia	Lampung Timur	2000	26.6%	30.7%	23.3%
Indonesia	Lampung Timur	2017	35.4%	40.0%	31.8%
Indonesia	Lampung Utara	2000	30.9%	34.6%	28.3%
Indonesia	Lampung Utara	2017	41.3%	45.3%	38.0%
Indonesia	Landak	2000	48.7%	98.4%	1.9%
Indonesia	Landak	2017	50.5%	98.4%	2.6%
Indonesia	Langkat	2000	38.8%	43.1%	35.3%
Indonesia	Langkat	2017	52.7%	56.9%	49.0%
Indonesia	Langsa	2000	69.1%	71.0%	67.4%
Indonesia	Langsa	2017	81.5%	82.7%	80.0%
Indonesia	Lanny Jaya	2000	56.3%	80.2%	32.6%
Indonesia	Lanny Jaya	2017	61.9%	83.9%	40.0%
Indonesia	Lebak	2000	48.7%	60.0%	38.9%
Indonesia	Lebak	2017	59.1%	68.9%	49.5%
Indonesia	Lebong	2000	22.1%	41.4%	9.3%
Indonesia	Lebong	2017	26.9%	49.0%	12.0%
Indonesia	Lembata	2000	34.6%	65.5%	0.8%
Indonesia	Lembata	2017	38.1%	68.5%	1.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Lhokseumawe	2000	74.2%	89.3%	56.4%
Indonesia	Lhokseumawe	2017	75.9%	90.2%	55.1%
Indonesia	Lima Puluh Kota	2000	27.8%	38.5%	19.4%
Indonesia	Lima Puluh Kota	2017	36.1%	50.7%	24.5%
Indonesia	Lingga	2000	42.2%	65.0%	21.0%
Indonesia	Lingga	2017	45.8%	68.5%	25.0%
Indonesia	Lombok Barat	2000	53.9%	59.0%	45.8%
Indonesia	Lombok Barat	2017	63.7%	68.5%	56.9%
Indonesia	Lombok Tengah	2000	44.4%	69.5%	24.9%
Indonesia	Lombok Tengah	2017	52.4%	72.2%	34.3%
Indonesia	Lombok Timur	2000	48.1%	57.0%	40.4%
Indonesia	Lombok Timur	2017	57.2%	66.5%	48.3%
Indonesia	Lombok Utara	2000	55.0%	74.2%	34.4%
Indonesia	Lombok Utara	2017	64.4%	81.7%	44.0%
Indonesia	Lubuklinggau	2000	61.2%	72.2%	47.6%
Indonesia	Lubuklinggau	2017	69.5%	79.2%	57.6%
Indonesia	Lumajang	2000	47.1%	51.7%	41.7%
Indonesia	Lumajang	2017	56.6%	60.5%	51.9%
Indonesia	Luwu	2000	60.1%	72.1%	49.3%
Indonesia	Luwu	2017	67.9%	78.4%	58.0%
Indonesia	Luwu Timur	2000	74.6%	85.6%	51.1%
Indonesia	Luwu Timur	2017	74.8%	84.8%	58.5%
Indonesia	Luwu Utara	2000	44.3%	63.1%	30.8%
Indonesia	Luwu Utara	2017	51.3%	71.0%	35.2%
Indonesia	Madiun	2000	54.3%	57.2%	51.3%
Indonesia	Madiun	2017	68.1%	70.4%	65.5%
Indonesia	Magelang	2000	52.7%	55.3%	50.2%
Indonesia	Magelang	2017	66.5%	68.6%	63.9%
Indonesia	Magetan	2000	52.2%	53.7%	50.8%
Indonesia	Magetan	2017	64.7%	66.2%	63.4%
Indonesia	Majalengka	2000	66.3%	69.2%	63.4%
Indonesia	Majalengka	2017	78.3%	80.2%	76.2%
Indonesia	Majene	2000	66.2%	95.4%	40.0%
Indonesia	Majene	2017	64.2%	98.0%	31.4%
Indonesia	Makassar	2000	93.3%	94.0%	92.6%
Indonesia	Makassar	2017	96.4%	96.8%	96.0%
Indonesia	Malang	2000	45.8%	47.1%	44.4%
Indonesia	Malang	2017	55.5%	56.7%	54.0%
Indonesia	Malinau	2000	47.8%	61.7%	32.4%
Indonesia	Malinau	2017	53.5%	64.9%	40.3%
Indonesia	Maluku Barat Daya	2000	57.6%	83.4%	29.1%
Indonesia	Maluku Barat Daya	2017	59.9%	84.5%	35.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Maluku Tengah	2000	57.5%	76.8%	35.4%
Indonesia	Maluku Tengah	2017	61.5%	80.5%	39.2%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara	2000	41.3%	57.2%	26.4%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara	2017	49.7%	65.9%	34.4%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara Barat	2000	54.0%	77.6%	34.5%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara Barat	2017	57.7%	82.3%	37.9%
Indonesia	Mamasa	2000	43.3%	65.1%	22.6%
Indonesia	Mamasa	2017	50.8%	71.8%	29.7%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Raya	2000	54.5%	76.0%	29.9%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Raya	2017	58.8%	78.9%	36.5%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Tengah	2000	58.0%	84.8%	29.4%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Tengah	2017	64.0%	87.2%	37.1%
Indonesia	Mamuju	2000	57.1%	74.9%	35.4%
Indonesia	Mamuju	2017	58.7%	77.6%	37.6%
Indonesia	Mamuju Utara	2000	65.1%	89.2%	37.7%
Indonesia	Mamuju Utara	2017	70.5%	92.3%	45.2%
Indonesia	Manado	2000	70.8%	73.8%	68.5%
Indonesia	Manado	2017	81.2%	83.9%	79.0%
Indonesia	Mandailing Natal	2000	27.5%	39.4%	16.8%
Indonesia	Mandailing Natal	2017	34.7%	49.2%	22.2%
Indonesia	Manggarai	2000	30.9%	63.0%	4.1%
Indonesia	Manggarai	2017	35.9%	67.5%	6.2%
Indonesia	Manggarai Barat	2000	41.6%	97.6%	0.0%
Indonesia	Manggarai Barat	2017	43.6%	98.0%	0.1%
Indonesia	Manggarai Timur	2000	32.2%	59.7%	8.2%
Indonesia	Manggarai Timur	2017	36.3%	61.9%	11.1%
Indonesia	Manokwari	2000	54.6%	73.1%	37.9%
Indonesia	Manokwari	2017	60.9%	77.8%	43.4%
Indonesia	Mappi	2000	54.3%	71.2%	37.7%
Indonesia	Mappi	2017	58.8%	74.2%	43.6%
Indonesia	Maros	2000	86.4%	91.4%	78.6%
Indonesia	Maros	2017	90.1%	94.0%	83.8%
Indonesia	Mataram	2000	73.1%	74.4%	71.8%
Indonesia	Mataram	2017	82.9%	83.9%	81.9%
Indonesia	Maybrat	2000	52.3%	92.1%	20.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Maybrat	2017	54.9%	94.5%	20.1%
Indonesia	Melawi	2000	42.5%	95.6%	0.2%
Indonesia	Melawi	2017	43.4%	94.5%	0.5%
Indonesia	Merangin	2000	41.1%	51.5%	31.2%
Indonesia	Merangin	2017	48.9%	59.6%	37.4%
Indonesia	Merauke	2000	47.2%	57.5%	36.4%
Indonesia	Merauke	2017	53.7%	61.8%	44.8%
Indonesia	Mesuji	2000	18.7%	28.3%	10.8%
Indonesia	Mesuji	2017	24.3%	34.8%	15.1%
Indonesia	Metro	2000	52.8%	54.5%	50.9%
Indonesia	Metro	2017	65.9%	67.6%	64.0%
Indonesia	Mimika	2000	62.4%	75.5%	47.5%
Indonesia	Mimika	2017	68.5%	80.5%	53.4%
Indonesia	Minahasa	2000	64.8%	67.6%	62.0%
Indonesia	Minahasa	2017	74.8%	77.3%	72.4%
Indonesia	Minahasa Selatan	2000	60.4%	68.1%	52.7%
Indonesia	Minahasa Selatan	2017	69.5%	76.6%	61.6%
Indonesia	Minahasa Tenggara	2000	72.8%	79.6%	65.2%
Indonesia	Minahasa Tenggara	2017	81.6%	87.4%	74.4%
Indonesia	Minahasa Utara	2000	67.0%	73.6%	59.7%
Indonesia	Minahasa Utara	2017	77.1%	82.9%	70.3%
Indonesia	Mojokerto	2000	67.6%	71.0%	63.9%
Indonesia	Mojokerto	2017	77.2%	80.2%	73.9%
Indonesia	Morowali	2000	40.5%	56.2%	27.6%
Indonesia	Morowali	2017	41.9%	57.6%	28.7%
Indonesia	Muara Enim	2000	40.7%	50.2%	31.1%
Indonesia	Muara Enim	2017	51.4%	60.0%	41.9%
Indonesia	Muaro Jambi	2000	38.3%	43.2%	35.1%
Indonesia	Muaro Jambi	2017	47.3%	53.0%	42.8%
Indonesia	Mukomuko	2000	26.2%	42.3%	13.2%
Indonesia	Mukomuko	2017	33.2%	49.4%	19.8%
Indonesia	Muna	2000	41.2%	51.2%	32.7%
Indonesia	Muna	2017	45.5%	55.5%	35.0%
Indonesia	Murung Raya	2000	34.9%	55.7%	16.2%
Indonesia	Murung Raya	2017	40.4%	61.5%	20.8%
Indonesia	Musi	2000	27.3%	36.6%	20.2%
Indonesia	Banyuasin	2017	37.4%	47.5%	28.1%
Indonesia	Musi Banyuasin	2000	32.1%	45.5%	20.4%
Indonesia	Musi Rawas	2017	39.1%	52.1%	26.1%
Indonesia	Nabire	2000	58.0%	70.8%	42.5%
Indonesia	Nabire	2017	64.1%	76.6%	49.9%
Indonesia	Nagan Raya	2000	49.2%	62.4%	32.9%
Indonesia	Nagan Raya	2017	59.2%	70.0%	44.3%
Indonesia	Nagekeo	2000	46.9%	70.8%	30.0%
Indonesia	Nagekeo	2017	47.6%	73.9%	31.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Natuna	2000	27.4%	46.8%	11.3%
Indonesia	Natuna	2017	35.6%	54.8%	19.9%
Indonesia	Nduga	2000	54.1%	77.2%	31.0%
Indonesia	Nduga	2017	59.6%	81.0%	38.0%
Indonesia	Ngada	2000	40.5%	77.5%	10.9%
Indonesia	Ngada	2017	44.0%	82.7%	13.7%
Indonesia	Nganjuk	2000	35.9%	39.0%	33.2%
Indonesia	Nganjuk	2017	51.7%	54.0%	49.3%
Indonesia	Ngawi	2000	37.2%	41.6%	32.6%
Indonesia	Ngawi	2017	52.0%	55.8%	47.2%
Indonesia	Nias	2000	11.5%	18.7%	5.4%
Indonesia	Nias	2017	19.1%	29.0%	9.9%
Indonesia	Nias Barat	2000	8.2%	18.0%	3.6%
Indonesia	Nias Barat	2017	13.1%	24.8%	6.5%
Indonesia	Nias Selatan	2000	25.1%	44.9%	8.4%
Indonesia	Nias Selatan	2017	32.7%	52.6%	13.5%
Indonesia	Nias Utara	2000	23.9%	44.8%	6.1%
Indonesia	Nias Utara	2017	29.9%	51.7%	9.4%
Indonesia	Nunukan	2000	48.8%	68.7%	30.8%
Indonesia	Nunukan	2017	55.1%	74.0%	36.7%
Indonesia	Ogan Ilir	2000	43.4%	51.4%	36.9%
Indonesia	Ogan Ilir	2017	51.1%	59.7%	43.3%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ilir	2000	39.7%	51.3%	27.8%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ilir	2017	42.9%	52.7%	33.1%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu	2000	62.9%	78.2%	45.5%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu	2017	69.8%	83.2%	54.5%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Sela- tan	2000	45.1%	73.4%	18.8%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Sela- tan	2017	50.7%	76.4%	25.7%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Timur	2000	42.1%	57.5%	26.4%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Timur	2017	52.0%	66.4%	36.5%
Indonesia	Pacitan	2000	27.0%	28.7%	25.5%
Indonesia	Pacitan	2017	40.7%	42.8%	38.9%
Indonesia	Padang	2000	73.3%	76.9%	68.9%
Indonesia	Padang	2017	81.3%	84.1%	78.0%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas	2000	26.6%	36.6%	17.4%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas	2017	33.6%	44.3%	23.7%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas Utara	2000	43.5%	59.1%	28.3%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas Utara	2017	49.9%	64.3%	34.2%
Indonesia	Padang Pan- jang	2000	55.2%	64.9%	44.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Padang Panjang	2017	67.9%	76.1%	58.9%
Indonesia	Padang Pariaman	2000	48.0%	63.6%	27.1%
Indonesia	Padang Pariaman	2017	59.5%	74.1%	38.2%
Indonesia	Padangsidempuan	2000	36.5%	56.5%	19.7%
Indonesia	Padangsidempuan	2017	48.1%	68.7%	29.5%
Indonesia	Pagar Alam	2000	37.2%	50.4%	25.6%
Indonesia	Pagar Alam	2017	45.4%	57.0%	34.1%
Indonesia	Pakpak Barat	2000	43.8%	59.0%	31.5%
Indonesia	Pakpak Barat	2017	51.1%	65.2%	38.0%
Indonesia	Palangka Raya	2000	61.8%	76.4%	47.0%
Indonesia	Palangka Raya	2017	68.6%	84.6%	48.3%
Indonesia	Palembang	2000	73.9%	76.0%	71.6%
Indonesia	Palembang	2017	82.8%	84.9%	80.4%
Indonesia	Palopo	2000	71.4%	78.2%	65.5%
Indonesia	Palopo	2017	80.7%	86.2%	75.8%
Indonesia	Palu	2000	84.6%	87.0%	81.6%
Indonesia	Palu	2017	90.5%	92.5%	88.3%
Indonesia	Pamekasan	2000	21.6%	23.0%	20.1%
Indonesia	Pamekasan	2017	29.5%	31.1%	27.9%
Indonesia	Pandeglang	2000	55.7%	69.6%	39.7%
Indonesia	Pandeglang	2017	58.8%	71.9%	44.8%
Indonesia	Pangkajene Dan Kepulauan	2000	86.6%	90.9%	80.3%
Indonesia	Pangkajene Dan Kepulauan	2017	90.1%	94.1%	84.6%
Indonesia	Pangkalpinang	2000	83.3%	84.6%	81.8%
Indonesia	Pangkalpinang	2017	92.9%	93.7%	91.9%
Indonesia	Paniai	2000	48.0%	68.2%	26.1%
Indonesia	Paniai	2017	54.2%	74.2%	32.5%
Indonesia	Parepare	2000	89.2%	91.5%	86.6%
Indonesia	Parepare	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Indonesia	Pariaman	2000	72.7%	80.6%	62.4%
Indonesia	Pariaman	2017	83.1%	88.9%	73.6%
Indonesia	Parigi Moutong	2000	58.1%	79.5%	32.4%
Indonesia	Parigi Moutong	2017	63.0%	83.2%	37.1%
Indonesia	Pasaman	2000	50.0%	86.4%	16.3%
Indonesia	Pasaman	2017	53.6%	88.0%	17.6%
Indonesia	Pasaman Barat	2000	35.9%	66.3%	12.2%
Indonesia	Pasaman Barat	2017	40.4%	69.3%	14.7%
Indonesia	Paser	2000	43.0%	53.6%	32.8%
Indonesia	Paser	2017	50.8%	60.7%	41.4%
Indonesia	Pasuruan	2000	54.7%	57.1%	52.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Pasuruan	2017	65.1%	67.9%	62.7%
Indonesia	Pati	2000	51.3%	53.1%	49.8%
Indonesia	Pati	2017	64.2%	66.2%	62.5%
Indonesia	Payakumbuh	2000	64.3%	69.7%	60.5%
Indonesia	Payakumbuh	2017	74.8%	80.5%	71.2%
Indonesia	Pegunungan Bintang	2000	41.3%	58.7%	22.9%
Indonesia	Pegunungan Bintang	2017	46.8%	63.0%	28.6%
Indonesia	Pekalongan	2000	62.8%	73.7%	50.9%
Indonesia	Pekalongan	2017	71.4%	81.9%	59.1%
Indonesia	Pekanbaru	2000	75.6%	92.3%	53.4%
Indonesia	Pekanbaru	2017	82.9%	95.3%	63.8%
Indonesia	Pelalawan	2000	43.6%	53.5%	34.6%
Indonesia	Pelalawan	2017	50.5%	59.3%	42.0%
Indonesia	Pemalang	2000	65.7%	69.2%	62.2%
Indonesia	Pemalang	2017	71.6%	75.5%	68.0%
Indonesia	Pematangsiantar	2000	88.8%	90.1%	87.5%
Indonesia	Pematangsiantar	2017	93.1%	93.8%	92.4%
Indonesia	Penajam Paser Utara	2000	63.4%	80.3%	46.2%
Indonesia	Penajam Paser Utara	2017	68.9%	84.7%	53.3%
Indonesia	Pesawaran	2000	54.8%	62.8%	43.6%
Indonesia	Pesawaran	2017	67.4%	75.1%	55.6%
Indonesia	Pesisir Selatan	2000	52.7%	77.0%	29.3%
Indonesia	Pesisir Selatan	2017	57.3%	79.9%	33.2%
Indonesia	Pidie	2000	79.6%	92.1%	62.2%
Indonesia	Pidie	2017	84.4%	94.5%	69.4%
Indonesia	Pidie Jaya	2000	57.5%	80.5%	36.3%
Indonesia	Pidie Jaya	2017	69.8%	87.4%	51.5%
Indonesia	Pinrang	2000	82.5%	86.8%	78.4%
Indonesia	Pinrang	2017	84.4%	90.0%	78.5%
Indonesia	Pohuwato	2000	54.0%	97.7%	3.9%
Indonesia	Pohuwato	2017	55.5%	98.7%	4.0%
Indonesia	Polewali Mandar	2000	69.9%	82.6%	56.2%
Indonesia	Polewali Mandar	2017	74.6%	88.2%	58.9%
Indonesia	Ponorogo	2000	49.0%	51.3%	46.6%
Indonesia	Ponorogo	2017	64.5%	67.0%	62.3%
Indonesia	Pontianak	2000	54.8%	83.1%	21.2%
Indonesia	Pontianak	2017	58.9%	86.0%	24.7%
Indonesia	Poso	2000	48.6%	58.8%	38.6%
Indonesia	Poso	2017	55.5%	65.3%	45.2%
Indonesia	Prabumulih	2000	65.6%	68.0%	63.2%
Indonesia	Prabumulih	2017	77.3%	79.1%	75.5%
Indonesia	Pringsewu	2000	50.3%	53.7%	47.2%
Indonesia	Pringsewu	2017	64.4%	67.6%	61.2%
Indonesia	Probolinggo	2000	45.1%	56.1%	36.4%
Indonesia	Probolinggo	2017	56.8%	67.0%	47.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Pulang Pisau	2000	28.6%	38.6%	18.3%
Indonesia	Pulang Pisau	2017	34.2%	45.5%	22.5%
Indonesia	Pulau Morotai	2000	44.5%	75.1%	16.7%
Indonesia	Pulau Morotai	2017	48.3%	81.1%	19.5%
Indonesia	Puncak	2000	53.8%	80.5%	26.0%
Indonesia	Puncak	2017	58.6%	82.7%	29.4%
Indonesia	Puncak Jaya	2000	58.3%	85.1%	26.9%
Indonesia	Puncak Jaya	2017	62.8%	88.4%	32.8%
Indonesia	Purbalingga	2000	53.6%	57.1%	50.4%
Indonesia	Purbalingga	2017	67.3%	70.6%	64.0%
Indonesia	Purwakarta	2000	61.1%	63.5%	58.9%
Indonesia	Purwakarta	2017	74.0%	75.8%	72.3%
Indonesia	Purworejo	2000	58.6%	61.2%	56.0%
Indonesia	Purworejo	2017	72.8%	75.1%	70.6%
Indonesia	Raja Ampat	2000	55.4%	73.0%	35.6%
Indonesia	Raja Ampat	2017	58.5%	74.8%	38.5%
Indonesia	Rejang	2000	53.4%	64.1%	46.1%
Indonesia	Lebong				
Indonesia	Rejang	2017	64.3%	75.5%	56.0%
Indonesia	Rejang				
Indonesia	Rembang	2000	65.9%	74.0%	55.8%
Indonesia	Rembang	2017	74.5%	81.0%	66.1%
Indonesia	Rokan Hilir	2000	31.8%	39.2%	25.3%
Indonesia	Rokan Hilir	2017	38.9%	47.2%	31.5%
Indonesia	Rokan Hulu	2000	41.0%	51.2%	31.8%
Indonesia	Rokan Hulu	2017	49.4%	58.6%	40.0%
Indonesia	Rote Ndao	2000	36.9%	100.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Rote Ndao	2017	37.8%	100.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Sabang	2000	80.5%	87.7%	70.5%
Indonesia	Sabang	2017	85.7%	91.2%	76.3%
Indonesia	Sabu Raijua	2000	33.9%	73.2%	2.4%
Indonesia	Sabu Raijua	2017	38.1%	75.2%	3.9%
Indonesia	Salatiga	2000	67.4%	69.0%	65.4%
Indonesia	Salatiga	2017	78.6%	79.9%	77.0%
Indonesia	Samarinda	2000	49.1%	57.8%	42.9%
Indonesia	Samarinda	2017	59.6%	70.2%	52.1%
Indonesia	Sambas	2000	63.9%	99.7%	11.6%
Indonesia	Sambas	2017	63.6%	99.8%	10.9%
Indonesia	Samosir	2000	63.4%	82.7%	42.3%
Indonesia	Samosir	2017	68.5%	86.4%	47.8%
Indonesia	Sampang	2000	27.2%	34.9%	23.2%
Indonesia	Sampang	2017	37.1%	45.2%	32.1%
Indonesia	Sanggau	2000	50.6%	97.4%	3.2%
Indonesia	Sanggau	2017	52.2%	97.5%	4.1%
Indonesia	Sarmi	2000	53.2%	80.8%	25.7%
Indonesia	Sarmi	2017	56.6%	83.4%	28.5%
Indonesia	Sarolangun	2000	35.7%	50.2%	22.2%
Indonesia	Sarolangun	2017	43.1%	57.1%	29.4%
Indonesia	Sawahlunto	2000	64.9%	69.9%	58.3%
Indonesia	Sawahlunto	2017	78.8%	83.0%	73.0%
Indonesia	Sekadau	2000	51.8%	100.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Sekadau	2017	53.1%	100.0%	0.1%
Indonesia	Seluma	2000	37.0%	52.6%	24.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Seluma	2017	44.7%	62.0%	30.8%
Indonesia	Semarang	2000	61.4%	63.1%	60.0%
Indonesia	Semarang	2017	73.2%	74.4%	71.9%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Barat	2000	61.7%	84.0%	38.3%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Barat	2017	64.1%	86.4%	41.8%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Timur	2000	53.7%	90.3%	16.6%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Timur	2017	56.2%	90.9%	19.5%
Indonesia	Serang	2000	73.9%	78.5%	68.2%
Indonesia	Serang	2017	80.4%	83.8%	76.1%
Indonesia	Serdang Bedagai	2000	56.0%	61.1%	51.2%
Indonesia	Serdang Bedagai	2017	66.3%	70.6%	61.9%
Indonesia	Seruyan	2000	37.8%	50.5%	25.4%
Indonesia	Seruyan	2017	40.8%	52.3%	28.3%
Indonesia	Siak	2000	49.0%	61.0%	37.1%
Indonesia	Siak	2017	57.8%	66.5%	47.0%
Indonesia	Siau Tagulandang Biaro	2000	83.4%	90.4%	75.5%
Indonesia	Siau Tagulandang Biaro	2017	84.7%	94.5%	74.8%
Indonesia	Sibolga	2000	46.5%	53.2%	40.3%
Indonesia	Sibolga	2017	60.4%	66.7%	54.0%
Indonesia	Sidenreng Rappang	2000	86.3%	88.0%	83.6%
Indonesia	Sidenreng Rappang	2017	89.6%	92.0%	85.4%
Indonesia	Sidoarjo	2000	88.2%	89.4%	86.5%
Indonesia	Sidoarjo	2017	93.2%	94.1%	91.8%
Indonesia	Sigi	2000	35.3%	52.5%	22.7%
Indonesia	Sigi	2017	44.6%	61.9%	29.2%
Indonesia	Sijunjung	2000	55.1%	77.7%	31.2%
Indonesia	Sijunjung	2017	62.7%	86.0%	37.0%
Indonesia	Sikka	2000	51.4%	91.5%	8.9%
Indonesia	Sikka	2017	55.6%	93.1%	12.7%
Indonesia	Simalungun	2000	52.4%	56.9%	47.2%
Indonesia	Simalungun	2017	61.6%	66.2%	56.8%
Indonesia	Simeulue	2000	48.3%	68.9%	26.1%
Indonesia	Simeulue	2017	54.6%	73.8%	31.8%
Indonesia	Singkawang	2000	72.0%	94.2%	52.9%
Indonesia	Singkawang	2017	78.6%	97.5%	58.1%
Indonesia	Sinjai	2000	44.1%	51.2%	36.5%
Indonesia	Sinjai	2017	55.3%	61.7%	48.0%
Indonesia	Sintang	2000	48.6%	92.8%	4.9%
Indonesia	Sintang	2017	49.9%	92.7%	6.7%
Indonesia	Situbondo	2000	50.1%	61.3%	36.8%
Indonesia	Situbondo	2017	59.4%	70.5%	44.6%
Indonesia	Sleman	2000	85.1%	86.2%	83.8%
Indonesia	Sleman	2017	91.2%	91.9%	90.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Solok	2000	62.0%	78.6%	43.9%
Indonesia	Solok	2017	66.8%	83.2%	46.7%
Indonesia	Solok Selatan	2000	58.0%	78.2%	27.0%
Indonesia	Solok Selatan	2017	64.0%	83.9%	31.3%
Indonesia	Soppeng	2000	64.4%	66.9%	61.5%
Indonesia	Soppeng	2017	73.3%	75.6%	70.5%
Indonesia	Sorong	2000	51.8%	66.6%	38.4%
Indonesia	Sorong	2017	58.3%	74.1%	42.1%
Indonesia	Sorong Selatan	2000	55.0%	91.8%	11.9%
Indonesia	Sorong Selatan	2017	57.6%	93.2%	13.9%
Indonesia	Sragen	2000	51.1%	52.7%	49.7%
Indonesia	Sragen	2017	63.9%	65.5%	62.5%
Indonesia	Subang	2000	50.5%	53.2%	47.6%
Indonesia	Subang	2017	61.8%	64.3%	59.1%
Indonesia	Subulussalam	2000	22.3%	52.8%	2.9%
Indonesia	Subulussalam	2017	22.9%	55.6%	3.4%
Indonesia	Sukabumi	2000	32.1%	35.6%	29.1%
Indonesia	Sukabumi	2017	42.4%	46.1%	39.3%
Indonesia	Sukamara	2000	32.2%	51.0%	16.9%
Indonesia	Sukamara	2017	36.0%	48.8%	23.7%
Indonesia	Sukoharjo	2000	80.2%	81.2%	79.3%
Indonesia	Sukoharjo	2017	86.4%	87.1%	85.7%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat	2000	49.2%	100.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat	2017	50.5%	100.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat Daya	2000	48.6%	100.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat Daya	2017	50.1%	100.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Sumba Tengah	2000	45.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Sumba Tengah	2017	46.9%	100.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Sumba Timur	2000	50.3%	99.5%	0.7%
Indonesia	Sumba Timur	2017	51.8%	99.6%	1.3%
Indonesia	Sumbawa	2000	69.0%	81.9%	56.9%
Indonesia	Sumbawa	2017	73.4%	86.0%	60.5%
Indonesia	Sumbawa Barat	2000	61.3%	80.8%	41.3%
Indonesia	Sumbawa Barat	2017	69.0%	85.4%	50.7%
Indonesia	Sumedang	2000	69.4%	72.2%	66.7%
Indonesia	Sumedang	2017	81.1%	83.0%	79.2%
Indonesia	Sumenep	2000	28.8%	34.1%	23.9%
Indonesia	Sumenep	2017	37.2%	43.8%	31.5%
Indonesia	Sungai Penuh	2000	41.5%	48.5%	36.3%
Indonesia	Sungai Penuh	2017	55.6%	62.2%	50.2%
Indonesia	Supiori	2000	47.1%	75.4%	14.8%
Indonesia	Supiori	2017	52.8%	82.8%	19.2%
Indonesia	Surabaya	2000	86.6%	87.3%	85.9%
Indonesia	Surabaya	2017	92.0%	92.5%	91.6%
Indonesia	Surakarta	2000	84.3%	85.1%	83.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Surakarta	2017	90.9%	91.4%	90.3%
Indonesia	Tabalong	2000	61.6%	67.4%	56.6%
Indonesia	Tabalong	2017	69.6%	76.4%	63.7%
Indonesia	Tabanan	2000	82.5%	85.4%	77.7%
Indonesia	Tabanan	2017	88.2%	90.2%	85.2%
Indonesia	Takalar	2000	64.8%	73.7%	55.7%
Indonesia	Takalar	2017	78.8%	86.1%	69.5%
Indonesia	Tambrau	2000	53.7%	81.6%	26.2%
Indonesia	Tambrau	2017	58.3%	82.3%	34.6%
Indonesia	Tana Tidung	2000	49.8%	67.5%	29.1%
Indonesia	Tana Tidung	2017	56.7%	73.8%	35.2%
Indonesia	Tana Toraja	2000	29.6%	37.4%	24.0%
Indonesia	Tana Toraja	2017	38.0%	45.2%	32.4%
Indonesia	Tanah Bumbu	2000	27.8%	41.7%	16.6%
Indonesia	Tanah Bumbu	2017	34.5%	48.0%	22.0%
Indonesia	Tanah Datar	2000	43.3%	54.8%	31.1%
Indonesia	Tanah Datar	2017	53.7%	64.2%	41.6%
Indonesia	Tanah Laut	2000	14.2%	20.8%	9.5%
Indonesia	Tanah Laut	2017	21.0%	28.1%	15.1%
Indonesia	Tangerang	2000	70.6%	73.8%	67.2%
Indonesia	Tangerang	2017	79.8%	82.5%	76.5%
Indonesia	Tangerang Selatan	2000	87.7%	88.5%	87.0%
Indonesia	Tangerang Selatan	2017	92.4%	92.9%	91.9%
Indonesia	Tanggamus	2000	40.7%	49.7%	31.8%
Indonesia	Tanggamus	2017	47.4%	57.6%	37.5%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung B	2000	25.3%	33.2%	18.1%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung B	2017	29.9%	38.9%	21.2%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung T	2000	12.3%	20.2%	6.9%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung T	2017	19.7%	29.1%	12.4%
Indonesia	Tanjungpinang	2000	64.2%	92.8%	25.1%
Indonesia	Tanjungpinang	2017	73.0%	95.3%	38.1%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Selatan	2000	29.7%	40.1%	21.6%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Selatan	2017	39.0%	49.2%	30.8%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Tengah	2000	45.0%	54.1%	37.3%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Tengah	2017	50.5%	60.9%	41.9%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Utara	2000	44.4%	57.8%	35.1%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Utara	2017	52.0%	65.6%	41.1%
Indonesia	Tapin	2000	30.2%	35.2%	26.7%
Indonesia	Tapin	2017	36.8%	42.5%	32.1%
Indonesia	Tarakan	2000	98.2%	99.1%	96.4%
Indonesia	Tarakan	2017	98.9%	99.5%	97.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Tasikmalaya	2000	21.7%	24.8%	19.1%
Indonesia	Tasikmalaya	2017	31.7%	34.6%	29.2%
Indonesia	Tebingtinggi	2000	77.8%	79.7%	75.6%
Indonesia	Tebingtinggi	2017	86.7%	88.0%	85.4%
Indonesia	Tebo	2000	35.1%	41.7%	28.3%
Indonesia	Tebo	2017	43.6%	51.0%	36.2%
Indonesia	Tegal	2000	61.7%	67.7%	56.6%
Indonesia	Tegal	2017	70.6%	76.7%	65.5%
Indonesia	Teluk Bintuni	2000	45.2%	62.7%	28.6%
Indonesia	Teluk Bintuni	2017	50.4%	69.8%	33.0%
Indonesia	Teluk Wondama	2000	51.6%	83.2%	18.9%
Indonesia	Teluk Wondama	2017	55.8%	83.8%	26.9%
Indonesia	Temanggung	2000	42.8%	45.4%	40.7%
Indonesia	Temanggung	2017	56.7%	59.1%	54.6%
Indonesia	Ternate	2000	69.2%	85.1%	40.3%
Indonesia	Ternate	2017	77.0%	90.5%	45.3%
Indonesia	Tidore Kepulauan	2000	67.6%	96.0%	32.6%
Indonesia	Tidore Kepulauan	2017	72.6%	97.4%	40.4%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Selatan	2000	39.5%	64.0%	11.3%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Selatan	2017	43.3%	67.4%	14.4%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Utara	2000	40.1%	65.1%	17.5%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Utara	2017	45.3%	71.3%	22.1%
Indonesia	Toba Samosir	2000	54.9%	65.2%	43.7%
Indonesia	Toba Samosir	2017	61.3%	72.2%	50.2%
Indonesia	Tojo Una-Una	2000	71.2%	92.3%	40.7%
Indonesia	Tojo Una-Una	2017	73.1%	91.9%	51.9%
Indonesia	Toli-Toli	2000	53.9%	63.6%	42.9%
Indonesia	Toli-Toli	2017	57.7%	69.1%	46.6%
Indonesia	Tolikara	2000	60.2%	80.8%	32.7%
Indonesia	Tolikara	2017	65.9%	84.8%	42.4%
Indonesia	Tomohon	2000	76.8%	79.7%	73.6%
Indonesia	Tomohon	2017	85.2%	87.0%	83.1%
Indonesia	Toraja Utara	2000	33.0%	37.6%	29.9%
Indonesia	Toraja Utara	2017	42.4%	47.9%	38.1%
Indonesia	Trenggalek	2000	39.8%	44.6%	35.2%
Indonesia	Trenggalek	2017	54.1%	59.1%	48.7%
Indonesia	Tual	2000	59.9%	85.6%	28.7%
Indonesia	Tual	2017	69.7%	90.7%	38.3%
Indonesia	Tuban	2000	45.5%	50.4%	40.2%
Indonesia	Tuban	2017	56.7%	61.0%	50.4%
Indonesia	Tulang Bawang Barat	2000	19.0%	24.1%	15.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Tulang Bawang Barat	2017	28.4%	33.3%	24.7%
Indonesia	Tulangbawang	2000	29.9%	37.8%	21.4%
Indonesia	Tulangbawang	2017	33.2%	40.8%	24.8%
Indonesia	Tulungagung	2000	54.3%	56.0%	52.6%
Indonesia	Tulungagung	2017	68.0%	69.6%	66.3%
Indonesia	Waduk Cirata	2000	75.1%	78.2%	72.0%
Indonesia	Waduk Cirata	2017	84.3%	86.9%	81.5%
Indonesia	Waduk Kedungombo	2000	29.0%	42.6%	17.0%
Indonesia	Waduk Kedungombo	2017	37.7%	51.7%	24.9%
Indonesia	Wajo	2000	50.1%	56.7%	42.9%
Indonesia	Wajo	2017	60.2%	67.1%	52.4%
Indonesia	Wakatobi	2000	68.7%	85.2%	54.3%
Indonesia	Wakatobi	2017	72.9%	88.7%	57.7%
Indonesia	Waropen	2000	50.8%	75.3%	26.0%
Indonesia	Waropen	2017	55.5%	76.8%	33.6%
Indonesia	Way Kanan	2000	27.5%	32.2%	23.0%
Indonesia	Way Kanan	2017	36.0%	41.7%	31.2%
Indonesia	Wonogiri	2000	39.7%	41.0%	38.0%
Indonesia	Wonogiri	2017	53.9%	55.2%	52.2%
Indonesia	Wonosobo	2000	21.4%	22.9%	20.0%
Indonesia	Wonosobo	2017	30.7%	32.4%	29.1%
Indonesia	Yahukimo	2000	55.1%	72.1%	38.3%
Indonesia	Yahukimo	2017	60.5%	76.8%	45.5%
Indonesia	Yalimo	2000	58.5%	84.6%	32.5%
Indonesia	Yalimo	2017	65.3%	87.5%	40.9%
Laos	Atsaphangthong	2000	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
Laos	Atsaphangthong	2017	5.8%	12.6%	2.1%
Laos	Atsaphone	2000	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Laos	Atsaphone	2017	4.0%	11.4%	0.9%
Laos	Bachiangchaleunsak	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Laos	Bachiangchaleunsak	2017	4.1%	9.3%	1.8%
Laos	Beng	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Laos	Beng	2017	1.7%	5.9%	0.3%
Laos	Bolikhanh	2000	0.3%	1.2%	0.1%
Laos	Bolikhanh	2017	3.6%	13.5%	1.0%
Laos	Boon Neua	2000	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Laos	Boon Neua	2017	2.3%	12.4%	0.3%
Laos	Boontai	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Laos	Boontai	2017	2.3%	7.4%	0.4%
Laos	Botene	2000	0.4%	2.0%	0.1%
Laos	Botene	2017	5.3%	15.0%	1.2%
Laos	Bualapha	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Laos	Bualapha	2017	2.1%	5.5%	0.6%
Laos	Champassack	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Laos	Champassack	2017	4.0%	8.7%	2.4%
Laos	Champhone	2000	1.6%	3.8%	0.3%
Laos	Champhone	2017	10.8%	18.3%	4.8%
Laos	Chanthabuly	2000	8.7%	9.9%	7.3%
Laos	Chanthabuly	2017	65.5%	71.5%	59.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Chomphet	2000	1.3%	3.2%	0.6%
Laos	Chomphet	2017	16.9%	25.5%	10.3%
Laos	Dakcheung	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Laos	Dakcheung	2017	2.7%	6.5%	0.8%
Laos	Feuang	2000	0.6%	2.7%	0.1%
Laos	Feuang	2017	6.5%	18.8%	1.1%
Laos	Hadxaifong	2000	2.3%	6.5%	1.1%
Laos	Hadxaifong	2017	25.7%	39.3%	16.3%
Laos	Hinboon	2000	0.4%	1.2%	0.1%
Laos	Hinboon	2017	5.0%	10.0%	1.8%
Laos	Hinhurp	2000	0.4%	1.7%	0.1%
Laos	Hinhurp	2017	5.8%	14.8%	1.3%
Laos	Hom	2000	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Laos	Hom	2017	4.2%	15.3%	0.8%
Laos	Hongsa	2000	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%
Laos	Hongsa	2017	4.1%	10.7%	1.2%
Laos	Hoon	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Laos	Hoon	2017	2.4%	7.2%	0.6%
Laos	Houixai	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Laos	Houixai	2017	2.3%	7.3%	0.7%
Laos	Huameuang	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Laos	Huameuang	2017	2.8%	6.5%	1.0%
Laos	Kaleum	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Laos	Kaleum	2017	2.2%	6.0%	0.7%
Laos	Kasy	2000	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Laos	Kasy	2017	3.6%	13.4%	0.9%
Laos	Kenethao	2000	0.6%	1.3%	0.2%
Laos	Kenethao	2017	8.7%	15.1%	4.5%
Laos	Keo Oudom	2000	1.3%	4.6%	0.4%
Laos	Keo Oudom	2017	17.6%	44.5%	7.0%
Laos	Kham	2000	0.4%	2.0%	0.1%
Laos	Kham	2017	4.9%	14.3%	1.3%
Laos	Khamkheuth	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Laos	Khamkheuth	2017	3.0%	8.6%	0.8%
Laos	Khanthabouly	2000	1.2%	2.7%	0.5%
Laos	Khanthabouly	2017	17.6%	31.5%	9.2%
Laos	Khong	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Laos	Khong	2017	2.4%	5.7%	0.8%
Laos	Khongxedone	2000	0.4%	1.1%	0.2%
Laos	Khongxedone	2017	7.7%	15.2%	3.7%
Laos	Khop	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Laos	Khop	2017	3.9%	12.5%	0.7%
Laos	Khoune	2000	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Laos	Khoune	2017	3.7%	10.5%	0.9%
Laos	Khua	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Laos	Khua	2017	3.2%	8.1%	0.7%
Laos	La	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Laos	La	2017	1.8%	5.2%	0.4%
Laos	Lakhonepheng	2000	0.5%	1.9%	0.1%
Laos	Lakhonepheng	2017	5.3%	11.1%	1.9%
Laos	Lamarm	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Laos	Lamarm	2017	2.9%	6.2%	1.7%
Laos	Lao Ngarm	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Lao Ngarm	2017	2.4%	5.9%	0.8%
Laos	Long	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Laos	Long	2017	1.8%	5.1%	0.4%
Laos	Longsane	2000	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Laos	Longsane	2017	4.3%	14.2%	0.6%
Laos	Louangphrabang	2000	0.7%	1.4%	0.4%
Laos	Louangphrabang	2017	11.6%	17.8%	7.2%
Laos	Mad	2000	0.4%	1.7%	0.1%
Laos	Mad	2017	4.7%	13.4%	1.0%
Laos	Mahaxay	2000	0.4%	1.6%	0.1%
Laos	Mahaxay	2017	5.4%	13.2%	1.7%
Laos	May	2000	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Laos	May	2017	3.6%	9.6%	0.9%
Laos	Mayparkngum	2000	1.6%	4.9%	0.4%
Laos	Mayparkngum	2017	12.8%	24.2%	5.2%
Laos	Meung	2000	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Laos	Meung	2017	4.0%	12.0%	0.7%
Laos	Moonlapamok	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Laos	Moonlapamok	2017	4.2%	8.6%	1.4%
Laos	Morkmay	2000	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Laos	Morkmay	2017	3.3%	10.3%	0.8%
Laos	Muang Et	2000	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Laos	Muang Et	2017	3.2%	13.5%	0.3%
Laos	Nakai	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Laos	Nakai	2017	4.0%	9.1%	1.4%
Laos	Nalae	2000	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Laos	Nalae	2017	2.8%	8.1%	0.6%
Laos	Nam You	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Laos	Nam You	2017	1.7%	6.6%	0.3%
Laos	Nambak	2000	0.4%	1.4%	0.1%
Laos	Nambak	2017	5.0%	11.2%	1.9%
Laos	Namor	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Laos	Namor	2017	2.1%	5.2%	0.6%
Laos	Namtha	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Laos	Namtha	2017	3.5%	7.3%	1.5%
Laos	Nan	2000	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%
Laos	Nan	2017	5.0%	11.3%	1.5%
Laos	Naxaithong	2000	4.5%	8.0%	2.5%
Laos	Naxaithong	2017	33.2%	46.6%	22.8%
Laos	Nga	2000	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Laos	Nga	2017	3.7%	10.4%	0.9%
Laos	Ngeun	2000	0.5%	3.4%	0.0%
Laos	Ngeun	2017	5.3%	16.7%	0.8%
Laos	Ngoi	2000	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%
Laos	Ngoi	2017	3.6%	9.6%	1.2%
Laos	Nhommalath	2000	0.3%	1.2%	0.1%
Laos	Nhommalath	2017	4.3%	10.5%	1.0%
Laos	Nhot Ou	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Laos	Nhot Ou	2017	2.0%	4.6%	0.6%
Laos	Nong	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Laos	Nong	2017	2.2%	6.4%	0.4%
Laos	Nongbok	2000	0.7%	2.4%	0.1%
Laos	Nongbok	2017	8.7%	19.9%	3.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Nonghed	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Laos	Nonghed	2017	3.5%	7.5%	0.9%
Laos	Outhoomphone	2000	1.3%	2.5%	0.6%
Laos	Outhoomphone	2017	15.2%	21.9%	10.1%
Laos	Pak Xeng	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Laos	Pak Xeng	2017	4.3%	9.6%	1.5%
Laos	Pakbeng	2000	0.5%	2.1%	0.1%
Laos	Pakbeng	2017	5.8%	14.4%	1.3%
Laos	Pakkading	2000	0.8%	2.0%	0.2%
Laos	Pakkading	2017	9.0%	17.3%	4.0%
Laos	Paksane	2000	19.9%	24.4%	13.5%
Laos	Paksane	2017	34.5%	45.1%	27.6%
Laos	Paksong	2000	3.6%	5.5%	1.9%
Laos	Paksong	2017	10.6%	15.6%	7.1%
Laos	Paktha	2000	0.4%	1.6%	0.0%
Laos	Paktha	2017	4.8%	14.2%	1.0%
Laos	Pakxe	2000	2.5%	4.2%	1.9%
Laos	Pakxe	2017	18.7%	24.7%	13.9%
Laos	Park Ou	2000	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Laos	Park Ou	2017	2.6%	8.8%	0.5%
Laos	Parklai	2000	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%
Laos	Parklai	2017	5.2%	12.3%	1.5%
Laos	Pathoomphone	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Laos	Pathoomphone	2017	3.6%	8.1%	1.3%
Laos	Pek	2000	5.4%	10.5%	2.3%
Laos	Pek	2017	32.6%	41.0%	25.6%
Laos	Pha Oudom	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Laos	Pha Oudom	2017	2.2%	5.4%	0.6%
Laos	Phaxay	2000	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Laos	Phaxay	2017	4.3%	14.1%	0.7%
Laos	Phiang	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.1%
Laos	Phiang	2017	3.6%	8.6%	1.3%
Laos	Phine	2000	0.5%	2.8%	0.1%
Laos	Phine	2017	4.8%	11.6%	1.5%
Laos	Phongsaly	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Laos	Phongsaly	2017	1.9%	5.8%	0.6%
Laos	Phonhong	2000	2.9%	11.7%	0.7%
Laos	Phonhong	2017	23.3%	39.0%	11.5%
Laos	Phonthong	2000	1.0%	3.0%	0.5%
Laos	Phonthong	2017	6.9%	12.7%	3.0%
Laos	Phonxay	2000	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
Laos	Phonxay	2017	4.7%	11.5%	1.3%
Laos	Phookood	2000	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
Laos	Phookood	2017	4.9%	10.0%	1.6%
Laos	Phoukhoun	2000	0.6%	3.3%	0.1%
Laos	Phoukhoun	2017	6.7%	17.9%	1.4%
Laos	Phouvong	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Laos	Phouvong	2017	2.3%	8.2%	0.5%
Laos	Phun	2000	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Laos	Phun	2017	4.2%	11.3%	0.9%
Laos	Samakkhixay	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Laos	Samakkhixay	2017	0.8%	3.5%	0.1%
Laos	Samphanh	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Samphanh	2017	2.6%	7.3%	0.5%
Laos	Samuoi	2000	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Laos	Samuoi	2017	3.8%	12.2%	0.6%
Laos	Sanamxay	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Laos	Sanamxay	2017	3.0%	7.4%	0.8%
Laos	Sanasomboon	2000	0.5%	3.2%	0.1%
Laos	Sanasomboon	2017	6.2%	13.8%	2.1%
Laos	Sangthong	2000	0.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Laos	Sangthong	2017	5.0%	15.0%	0.7%
Laos	Sanxay	2000	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Laos	Sanxay	2017	3.1%	7.1%	0.9%
Laos	Saravane	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Laos	Saravane	2017	1.9%	5.0%	0.5%
Laos	Sepone	2000	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Laos	Sepone	2017	2.5%	7.4%	0.5%
Laos	Sikhottabong	2000	6.7%	7.9%	5.7%
Laos	Sikhottabong	2017	53.8%	59.8%	47.8%
Laos	Sing	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Laos	Sing	2017	1.2%	5.0%	0.2%
Laos	Sisattanak	2000	2.8%	3.4%	2.3%
Laos	Sisattanak	2017	36.3%	41.9%	30.2%
Laos	Songkhone	2000	0.5%	1.7%	0.1%
Laos	Songkhone	2017	6.2%	13.1%	2.4%
Laos	Sopbao	2000	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Laos	Sopbao	2017	3.4%	11.1%	0.4%
Laos	Sukhuma	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Laos	Sukhuma	2017	2.5%	6.5%	0.6%
Laos	Ta Oi	2000	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Laos	Ta Oi	2017	3.0%	8.4%	0.8%
Laos	Thakhek	2000	1.6%	3.5%	0.6%
Laos	Thakhek	2017	18.9%	28.4%	10.5%
Laos	Thapangthong	2000	0.3%	1.2%	0.1%
Laos	Thapangthong	2017	3.5%	8.6%	1.1%
Laos	Thaphabath	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Laos	Thaphabath	2017	3.7%	9.5%	0.8%
Laos	Thaphalanxay	2000	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Laos	Thaphalanxay	2017	4.4%	13.1%	0.6%
Laos	Thateng	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Laos	Thateng	2017	4.0%	8.4%	2.0%
Laos	Thathom	2000	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Laos	Thathom	2017	4.4%	15.0%	0.6%
Laos	Thongmyxay	2000	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Laos	Thongmyxay	2017	3.6%	14.7%	0.7%
Laos	Thoulakhom	2000	0.5%	1.4%	0.1%
Laos	Thoulakhom	2017	7.3%	15.2%	3.1%
Laos	Tonpheung	2000	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Laos	Tonpheung	2017	3.8%	13.2%	0.5%
Laos	Toomlarn	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Laos	Toomlarn	2017	1.7%	7.1%	0.2%
Laos	Vangvieng	2000	0.4%	1.7%	0.1%
Laos	Vangvieng	2017	5.0%	11.8%	1.3%
Laos	Vapy	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Laos	Vapy	2017	3.1%	10.7%	0.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Viengkham	2000	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
Laos	Viengkham	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Laos	Viengkham	2017	2.9%	6.9%	0.9%
Laos	Viengkham	2017	6.1%	22.4%	2.2%
Laos	Viengphoukha	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Laos	Viengphoukha	2017	2.2%	7.7%	0.4%
Laos	Viengthong	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Laos	Viengthong	2000	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Laos	Viengthong	2017	2.8%	7.7%	0.8%
Laos	Viengthong	2017	3.4%	10.3%	0.8%
Laos	Viengxay	2000	0.3%	1.1%	0.1%
Laos	Viengxay	2017	4.6%	10.3%	1.1%
Laos	Vilabuly	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Laos	Vilabuly	2017	2.3%	6.1%	0.5%
Laos	Xamneua	2000	0.8%	2.6%	0.2%
Laos	Xamneua	2017	9.1%	16.5%	3.5%
Laos	Xamtay	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Laos	Xamtay	2017	2.8%	5.3%	1.3%
Laos	Xanakharm	2000	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
Laos	Xanakharm	2017	6.2%	13.4%	2.2%
Laos	Xay	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Laos	Xay	2017	6.1%	11.5%	3.0%
Laos	Xayabury	2000	0.5%	1.5%	0.1%
Laos	Xayabury	2017	6.1%	12.7%	2.5%
Laos	Xaybuathong	2000	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Laos	Xaybuathong	2017	3.7%	10.5%	0.6%
Laos	Xaybuly	2000	1.2%	6.7%	0.2%
Laos	Xaybuly	2017	12.4%	28.2%	3.4%
Laos	Xayphoothong	2000	0.9%	3.4%	0.1%
Laos	Xayphoothong	2017	9.7%	25.5%	2.5%
Laos	Xaysetha	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Laos	Xaysetha	2000	4.0%	8.9%	1.9%
Laos	Xaysetha	2017	3.0%	7.0%	1.2%
Laos	Xaysetha	2017	43.9%	55.2%	32.0%
Laos	Xaysomboun	2000	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
Laos	Xaysomboun	2017	5.5%	12.9%	2.0%
Laos	Xaythany	2000	9.3%	12.4%	7.6%
Laos	Xaythany	2017	35.9%	45.2%	29.6%
Laos	Xebangfay	2000	0.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Laos	Xebangfay	2017	4.6%	12.5%	1.1%
Laos	Xieng Ngeun	2000	0.3%	1.3%	0.1%
Laos	Xieng Ngeun	2017	4.1%	10.7%	1.0%
Laos	Xienghone	2000	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Laos	Xienghone	2017	3.7%	10.1%	0.8%
Laos	Xiengkhor	2000	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Laos	Xiengkhor	2017	3.0%	11.3%	0.5%
Laos	Xonbuly	2000	0.7%	2.9%	0.1%
Laos	Xonbuly	2017	6.7%	15.8%	1.5%
Myanmar	Bassein	2000	3.7%	5.2%	2.5%
Myanmar	Bassein	2017	4.3%	5.9%	2.9%
Myanmar	Bawlake	2000	2.2%	4.2%	1.1%
Myanmar	Bawlake	2017	2.2%	4.2%	1.2%
Myanmar	Bhamo	2000	6.3%	9.0%	4.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Myanmar	Bhamo	2017	6.6%	9.2%	4.9%
Myanmar	Buthidaung	2000	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
Myanmar	Buthidaung	2017	2.0%	3.5%	1.2%
Myanmar	Dawei	2000	3.2%	4.2%	2.4%
Myanmar	Dawei	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.6%
Myanmar	Hinthada	2000	2.9%	4.7%	1.5%
Myanmar	Hinthada	2017	3.2%	5.4%	1.8%
Myanmar	Hkamti	2000	3.8%	4.8%	2.9%
Myanmar	Hkamti	2017	4.0%	5.1%	3.1%
Myanmar	Hpa-an	2000	2.4%	3.5%	1.5%
Myanmar	Hpa-an	2017	2.6%	4.0%	1.6%
Myanmar	Kalemyo	2000	4.2%	5.7%	3.0%
Myanmar	Kalemyo	2017	4.6%	6.1%	3.3%
Myanmar	Katha	2000	4.7%	6.2%	3.5%
Myanmar	Katha	2017	5.0%	6.4%	3.7%
Myanmar	Kawkareik	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.7%
Myanmar	Kawkareik	2017	2.6%	3.7%	1.8%
Myanmar	Kawthoung	2000	6.2%	9.0%	4.1%
Myanmar	Kawthoung	2017	6.4%	9.0%	4.2%
Myanmar	Kengtung	2000	8.1%	11.4%	5.6%
Myanmar	Kengtung	2017	7.7%	10.5%	5.6%
Myanmar	Kunlong	2000	8.6%	17.4%	3.7%
Myanmar	Kunlong	2017	9.1%	18.2%	4.2%
Myanmar	Kyaukme	2000	4.9%	6.4%	3.6%
Myanmar	Kyaukme	2017	5.0%	6.6%	3.8%
Myanmar	Kyaukse	2000	4.3%	8.3%	1.9%
Myanmar	Kyaukse	2017	4.4%	8.4%	2.2%
Myanmar	Kyaunkpyu	2000	2.0%	3.1%	1.3%
Myanmar	Kyaunkpyu	2017	2.1%	3.2%	1.4%
Myanmar	Lasho	2000	6.5%	8.2%	5.1%
Myanmar	Lasho	2017	6.9%	8.5%	5.5%
Myanmar	Lauking	2000	4.5%	9.4%	1.7%
Myanmar	Lauking	2017	5.0%	10.4%	1.8%
Myanmar	Loikaw	2000	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Myanmar	Loikaw	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.1%
Myanmar	Loilen	2000	5.2%	6.6%	4.0%
Myanmar	Loilen	2017	5.3%	6.6%	4.2%
Myanmar	Magwe Minbu	2000	2.8%	4.0%	1.9%
Myanmar	Magwe Minbu	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.1%
Myanmar	Mandalay	2000	14.1%	17.1%	11.2%
Myanmar	Mandalay	2017	15.3%	18.1%	12.4%
Myanmar	Maubin	2000	3.1%	4.9%	1.8%
Myanmar	Maubin	2017	3.6%	5.4%	2.2%
Myanmar	Maungtaw	2000	1.9%	4.1%	0.7%
Myanmar	Maungtaw	2017	2.0%	5.0%	0.7%
Myanmar	Mawlamyine	2000	3.1%	4.2%	2.3%
Myanmar	Mawlamyine	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.6%
Myanmar	Mawleik	2000	3.1%	4.9%	1.8%
Myanmar	Mawleik	2017	3.1%	4.7%	1.9%
Myanmar	Meiktila	2000	3.6%	5.4%	2.3%
Myanmar	Meiktila	2017	3.5%	5.3%	2.3%
Myanmar	Mergui	2000	2.9%	4.1%	2.1%
Myanmar	Mergui	2017	3.3%	4.2%	2.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Myanmar	Minbu	2000	3.2%	4.9%	2.0%
Myanmar	Minbu	2017	3.4%	5.4%	2.2%
Myanmar	Mindat	2000	2.2%	2.8%	1.6%
Myanmar	Mindat	2017	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Myanmar	Mongphat	2000	6.0%	8.2%	3.9%
Myanmar	Mongphat	2017	6.5%	9.4%	4.3%
Myanmar	Mongsat	2000	9.2%	11.3%	7.1%
Myanmar	Mongsat	2017	8.7%	10.6%	6.6%
Myanmar	Monywa	2000	2.6%	3.9%	1.7%
Myanmar	Monywa	2017	2.9%	4.3%	1.9%
Myanmar	Muse	2000	7.3%	10.0%	5.0%
Myanmar	Muse	2017	7.7%	10.5%	5.6%
Myanmar	Myawady	2000	2.0%	3.4%	1.2%
Myanmar	Myawady	2017	2.8%	4.7%	1.7%
Myanmar	Myingyan	2000	4.4%	6.2%	3.1%
Myanmar	Myingyan	2017	5.0%	7.0%	3.5%
Myanmar	Myitkyina	2000	5.7%	6.7%	4.9%
Myanmar	Myitkyina	2017	6.3%	7.4%	5.4%
Myanmar	Myoungmya	2000	2.7%	3.9%	1.8%
Myanmar	Myoungmya	2017	3.2%	4.8%	2.1%
Myanmar	Naypyitaw	2000	23.3%	27.0%	19.7%
Myanmar	Naypyitaw	2017	30.1%	33.5%	26.2%
Myanmar	Pakokku	2000	5.5%	6.9%	4.4%
Myanmar	Pakokku	2017	6.7%	8.3%	5.3%
Myanmar	Palam	2000	2.9%	4.3%	2.0%
Myanmar	Palam	2017	3.3%	4.8%	2.2%
Myanmar	Pegu	2000	4.3%	6.4%	3.0%
Myanmar	Pegu	2017	5.1%	7.5%	3.6%
Myanmar	Pharpon	2000	2.5%	4.0%	1.3%
Myanmar	Pharpon	2017	3.1%	5.0%	1.7%
Myanmar	Putao	2000	5.5%	8.6%	3.4%
Myanmar	Putao	2017	5.6%	8.8%	3.6%
Myanmar	Pyay	2000	3.4%	5.3%	2.2%
Myanmar	Pyay	2017	4.2%	6.5%	2.6%
Myanmar	Pyin-Oo-Lwin	2000	4.6%	6.7%	3.2%
Myanmar	Pyin-Oo-Lwin	2017	4.9%	7.1%	3.4%
Myanmar	Sagaing	2000	6.0%	8.4%	4.4%
Myanmar	Sagaing	2017	6.3%	9.5%	4.4%
Myanmar	Shwebo	2000	3.1%	4.3%	2.2%
Myanmar	Shwebo	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Myanmar	Sittwe	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.1%
Myanmar	Sittwe	2017	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Myanmar	Tamu	2000	4.3%	11.6%	1.3%
Myanmar	Tamu	2017	4.6%	12.1%	1.4%
Myanmar	Tarchilaik	2000	6.8%	13.3%	3.4%
Myanmar	Tarchilaik	2017	8.2%	14.0%	4.5%
Myanmar	Taunggye	2000	4.2%	5.6%	3.1%
Myanmar	Taunggye	2017	4.6%	6.0%	3.5%
Myanmar	Taungoo	2000	3.7%	5.9%	2.3%
Myanmar	Taungoo	2017	4.1%	6.3%	2.8%
Myanmar	Thandwe	2000	2.3%	3.8%	1.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Myanmar	Thandwe	2017	2.4%	3.8%	1.5%
Myanmar	Thaton	2000	2.6%	4.6%	1.5%
Myanmar	Thaton	2017	2.6%	4.2%	1.5%
Myanmar	Thayarwady	2000	4.8%	6.8%	3.4%
Myanmar	Thayarwady	2017	5.5%	7.7%	3.7%
Myanmar	Thayetmyo	2000	3.1%	4.6%	2.0%
Myanmar	Thayetmyo	2017	3.3%	4.7%	2.2%
Myanmar	Yamethin	2000	8.7%	10.6%	7.2%
Myanmar	Yamethin	2017	7.4%	9.3%	6.0%
Myanmar	Yangon-E	2000	11.6%	12.9%	10.4%
Myanmar	Yangon-E	2017	12.4%	13.8%	11.2%
Myanmar	Yangon-N	2000	7.9%	11.2%	5.6%
Myanmar	Yangon-N	2017	9.1%	13.1%	6.4%
Myanmar	Yangon-S	2000	7.0%	9.2%	5.5%
Myanmar	Yangon-S	2017	7.9%	10.3%	6.2%
Myanmar	Yangon-W	2000	21.0%	22.8%	19.3%
Myanmar	Yangon-W	2017	22.1%	24.0%	20.3%
Papua New Guinea	Abau	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Abau	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Aitape-Lumi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Aitape-Lumi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Alotau	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Alotau	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Ambunti-Dreikikir	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Ambunti-Dreikikir	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Anglimp-South Waghi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Anglimp-South Waghi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Angoram	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Angoram	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Bogia	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Bogia	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Bulolo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Bulolo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Central Bougainville	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Central Bougainville	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Chuave	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Chuave	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Daulo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Daulo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Dei	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Dei	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Esa'ala	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Esa'ala	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Finschhafen	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Finschhafen	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Gazelle	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Gazelle	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Goilala	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Goilala	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Goroka	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Goroka	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Gumine	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Gumine	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Henganofi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Henganofi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Huon	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Huon	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Ialibu-Pangia	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Ialibu-Pangia	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Ijivitari	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Ijivitari	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Imbonggu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Imbonggu	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Jimi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Jimi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kabwum	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kabwum	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kagua-Erave	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kagua-Erave	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kainantu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kainantu	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kairuku-Hiri	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kairuku-Hiri	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kandep	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kandep	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kandrian-Gloucester	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kandrian-Gloucester	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Karimui-Nomane	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Karimui-Nomane	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kavieng	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kavieng	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kerema	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kerema	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kerowagi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kerowagi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kikori	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kikori	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Kiriwina-Goodenough	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kiriwina-Goodenough	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kokopo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kokopo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Komo-Magarima	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Komo-Magarima	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kompiani-Ambum	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kompiani-Ambum	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Koroba-Kopiago	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Koroba-Kopiago	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kundiawa-Gembogl	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kundiawa-Gembogl	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Lae	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Lae	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Lagaip-Porgera	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Lagaip-Porgera	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Lufa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Lufa	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Madang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Madang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Manus	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Manus	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Maprik	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Maprik	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Markham	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Markham	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Mendi-Munihu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Mendi-Munihu	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Menyamya	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Menyamya	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Fly	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Fly	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Ramu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Ramu	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Mount Hagen	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Mount Hagen	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Mul-Baiyer	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Mul-Baiyer	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Namatanai	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Namatanai	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	National Capital District	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	National Capital District	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Nawae	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Nawae	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Nipa-Kutubu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Nipa-Kutubu	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	North Bougainville	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	North Bougainville	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	North Fly	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	North Fly	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	North Waghi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	North Waghi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Nuku	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Nuku	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Obura-Wonenara	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Obura-Wonenara	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Okapa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Okapa	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Pomio	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Pomio	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Rabaul	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Rabaul	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Rai Coast	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Rai Coast	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Rigo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Rigo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Samarai-Murua	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Samarai-Murua	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Sina Sina-Yonggomugl	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Sina Sina-Yonggomugl	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Sohe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Sohe	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	South Bougainville	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	South Bougainville	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	South Fly	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	South Fly	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Sumkar	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Sumkar	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Talasea	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Talasea	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Tambul-Nebilyer	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Tambul-Nebilyer	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Tari-Pori	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Tari-Pori	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Telefomin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Telefomin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Tewae-Siassi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Tewae-Siassi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Unggai-Bena	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Unggai-Bena	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Usino-Bundi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Usino-Bundi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Vanimo-Green River	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Vanimo-Green River	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wabag	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wabag	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wapenamanda	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wapenamanda	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wewak	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wewak	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wosera-Gawi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wosera-Gawi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Yangoro-Saussia	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Yangoro-Saussia	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Aborlan	2000	41.6%	82.4%	9.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Aborlan	2017	65.8%	95.7%	23.1%
Philippines	Abra de Ilog	2000	39.6%	84.4%	5.6%
Philippines	Abra de Ilog	2017	63.1%	95.7%	19.9%
Philippines	Abucay	2000	67.7%	85.4%	48.1%
Philippines	Abucay	2017	93.1%	97.7%	84.4%
Philippines	Abulug	2000	12.2%	36.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Abulug	2017	24.8%	51.6%	1.9%
Philippines	Abuyog	2000	38.9%	82.1%	6.7%
Philippines	Abuyog	2017	68.4%	95.8%	25.8%
Philippines	Adams	2000	38.1%	92.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Adams	2017	61.5%	98.8%	4.4%
Philippines	Agdangan	2000	63.5%	89.8%	27.2%
Philippines	Agdangan	2017	91.9%	98.8%	70.4%
Philippines	Aglipay	2000	18.6%	32.4%	9.4%
Philippines	Aglipay	2017	58.8%	72.5%	43.1%
Philippines	Agno	2000	44.7%	94.8%	4.4%
Philippines	Agno	2017	66.7%	99.2%	17.8%
Philippines	Agoncillo	2000	74.2%	86.4%	52.0%
Philippines	Agoncillo	2017	95.2%	97.8%	89.8%
Philippines	Agoo	2000	52.0%	99.6%	2.2%
Philippines	Agoo	2017	73.9%	100.0%	9.7%
Philippines	Aguilar	2000	29.2%	74.3%	2.8%
Philippines	Aguilar	2017	60.7%	93.7%	13.8%
Philippines	Aguinaldo	2000	43.8%	83.4%	9.1%
Philippines	Aguinaldo	2017	66.8%	95.7%	26.7%
Philippines	Agutaya	2000	31.5%	67.9%	4.7%
Philippines	Agutaya	2017	52.8%	88.5%	17.8%
Philippines	Ajuy	2000	36.1%	64.2%	10.9%
Philippines	Ajuy	2017	71.1%	89.8%	39.5%
Philippines	Akbar	2000	22.8%	33.9%	12.7%
Philippines	Akbar	2017	57.5%	69.0%	44.1%
Philippines	Al-Barka	2000	22.1%	47.0%	5.6%
Philippines	Al-Barka	2017	46.8%	70.7%	21.0%
Philippines	Alabat	2000	39.2%	95.3%	1.0%
Philippines	Alabat	2017	61.6%	99.3%	6.8%
Philippines	Alabel	2000	62.9%	77.6%	44.4%
Philippines	Alabel	2017	88.0%	95.1%	73.2%
Philippines	Alamada	2000	36.3%	72.6%	6.4%
Philippines	Alamada	2017	60.7%	89.6%	22.9%
Philippines	Alaminos	2000	98.3%	99.9%	89.9%
Philippines	Alaminos	2017	99.6%	100.0%	95.9%
Philippines	Alaminos City	2000	79.4%	94.2%	59.2%
Philippines	Alaminos City	2017	95.0%	99.2%	84.2%
Philippines	Alangalang	2000	33.3%	63.2%	15.0%
Philippines	Alangalang	2017	61.2%	90.1%	36.5%
Philippines	Albuera	2000	41.1%	94.3%	1.3%
Philippines	Albuera	2017	64.0%	99.2%	5.9%
Philippines	Albuquerque	2000	69.7%	91.0%	42.2%
Philippines	Albuquerque	2017	92.5%	98.9%	73.2%
Philippines	Alcala	2000	30.9%	58.5%	13.3%
Philippines	Alcala	2000	20.8%	26.8%	15.7%
Philippines	Alcala	2017	75.2%	91.4%	50.9%
Philippines	Alcala	2017	62.2%	67.1%	56.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Alcantara	2000	28.6%	86.8%	1.0%
Philippines	Alcantara	2000	35.2%	60.0%	15.6%
Philippines	Alcantara	2017	54.9%	97.5%	7.1%
Philippines	Alcantara	2017	73.4%	88.8%	49.5%
Philippines	Alcoy	2000	41.4%	97.4%	1.3%
Philippines	Alcoy	2017	64.8%	99.7%	9.8%
Philippines	Alegria	2000	58.2%	96.3%	18.4%
Philippines	Alegria	2000	41.7%	95.4%	0.7%
Philippines	Alegria	2017	85.3%	99.6%	50.2%
Philippines	Alegria	2017	64.9%	99.4%	4.5%
Philippines	Aleosan	2000	23.7%	53.2%	8.0%
Philippines	Aleosan	2017	50.8%	77.5%	24.7%
Philippines	Alfonso	2000	68.1%	99.1%	8.0%
Philippines	Alfonso	2017	86.3%	99.9%	29.1%
Philippines	Alfonso Cas- taneda	2000	39.6%	82.5%	6.2%
Philippines	Alfonso Cas- taneda	2017	64.0%	95.0%	20.1%
Philippines	Alfonso Lista	2000	19.8%	37.6%	8.3%
Philippines	Alfonso Lista	2017	56.5%	71.1%	38.2%
Philippines	Aliaga	2000	76.3%	98.9%	25.3%
Philippines	Aliaga	2017	90.7%	99.9%	50.6%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	12.0%	42.7%	0.6%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	42.0%	94.3%	1.5%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	23.8%	64.0%	4.3%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	29.5%	62.7%	3.9%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	68.1%	99.2%	10.7%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	64.1%	89.2%	24.6%
Philippines	Alilem	2000	68.1%	97.3%	19.2%
Philippines	Alilem	2017	87.2%	99.7%	51.0%
Philippines	Alimodian	2000	54.1%	90.6%	15.1%
Philippines	Alimodian	2017	82.1%	99.1%	42.9%
Philippines	Alitagtag	2000	86.1%	99.9%	34.8%
Philippines	Alitagtag	2017	95.7%	100.0%	68.1%
Philippines	Allacapan	2000	36.7%	68.9%	11.5%
Philippines	Allacapan	2017	72.6%	93.8%	43.9%
Philippines	Allen	2000	34.8%	68.1%	11.6%
Philippines	Allen	2017	70.6%	92.5%	32.9%
Philippines	Almagro	2000	36.5%	96.0%	0.5%
Philippines	Almagro	2017	64.5%	99.6%	5.1%
Philippines	Almeria	2000	60.1%	80.9%	42.4%
Philippines	Almeria	2017	87.9%	95.8%	75.4%
Philippines	Aloguinsan	2000	8.3%	39.2%	0.4%
Philippines	Aloguinsan	2017	27.0%	74.3%	3.2%
Philippines	Aloran	2000	22.5%	34.4%	14.6%
Philippines	Aloran	2017	69.7%	77.6%	59.7%
Philippines	Altavas	2000	68.8%	93.2%	32.2%
Philippines	Altavas	2017	87.9%	99.1%	63.4%
Philippines	Alubijid	2000	77.4%	96.9%	37.2%
Philippines	Alubijid	2017	91.5%	99.6%	74.7%
Philippines	Amadeo	2000	66.4%	88.0%	42.2%
Philippines	Amadeo	2017	92.8%	98.7%	75.0%
Philippines	Ambaguio	2000	53.0%	90.8%	4.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Ambaguio	2017	76.2%	98.7%	22.6%
Philippines	Amlan	2000	44.6%	81.1%	9.6%
Philippines	Amlan	2017	77.8%	96.7%	39.7%
Philippines	Ampatuan	2000	31.0%	54.8%	16.8%
Philippines	Ampatuan	2017	68.8%	82.1%	51.1%
Philippines	Amulung	2000	4.8%	12.8%	1.6%
Philippines	Amulung	2017	20.5%	33.4%	9.8%
Philippines	Anahawan	2000	52.1%	90.8%	14.8%
Philippines	Anahawan	2017	82.2%	98.8%	41.9%
Philippines	Anao	2000	43.7%	51.9%	35.6%
Philippines	Anao	2017	87.3%	90.7%	83.0%
Philippines	Anda	2000	48.2%	97.7%	1.5%
Philippines	Anda	2000	42.0%	94.9%	1.3%
Philippines	Anda	2017	71.6%	99.7%	9.0%
Philippines	Anda	2017	66.7%	99.3%	8.1%
Philippines	Angadanan	2000	17.9%	46.1%	5.2%
Philippines	Angadanan	2017	56.4%	79.9%	30.1%
Philippines	Angat	2000	54.6%	94.9%	11.4%
Philippines	Angat	2017	79.3%	99.4%	39.3%
Philippines	Angeles City	2000	97.4%	99.3%	91.6%
Philippines	Angeles City	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Philippines	Angono	2000	95.4%	99.4%	84.3%
Philippines	Angono	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.0%
Philippines	Anilao	2000	86.0%	96.7%	63.7%
Philippines	Anilao	2017	97.5%	99.7%	89.3%
Philippines	Anini-Y	2000	41.5%	81.0%	10.1%
Philippines	Anini-Y	2017	76.3%	96.1%	36.1%
Philippines	Antequera	2000	46.6%	97.4%	0.9%
Philippines	Antequera	2017	71.4%	99.8%	7.1%
Philippines	Antipas	2000	41.7%	88.8%	6.7%
Philippines	Antipas	2017	66.1%	97.6%	21.8%
Philippines	Antipolo City	2000	76.3%	81.4%	70.6%
Philippines	Antipolo City	2017	93.4%	95.7%	91.1%
Philippines	Apalit	2000	84.7%	87.3%	81.7%
Philippines	Apalit	2017	94.4%	97.3%	91.4%
Philippines	Aparri	2000	38.2%	89.6%	2.4%
Philippines	Aparri	2017	62.4%	96.7%	10.5%
Philippines	Araceli	2000	37.4%	87.7%	2.3%
Philippines	Araceli	2017	61.0%	97.6%	13.3%
Philippines	Arakan	2000	32.3%	75.2%	4.5%
Philippines	Arakan	2017	56.1%	90.5%	17.8%
Philippines	Arayat	2000	54.4%	94.9%	15.5%
Philippines	Arayat	2017	78.2%	99.3%	32.2%
Philippines	Argao	2000	48.6%	83.3%	16.7%
Philippines	Argao	2017	79.5%	97.8%	47.8%
Philippines	Aringay	2000	69.9%	92.4%	28.3%
Philippines	Aringay	2017	87.3%	98.2%	55.8%
Philippines	Aritao	2000	44.5%	52.4%	35.2%
Philippines	Aritao	2017	74.4%	80.3%	66.9%
Philippines	Aroroy	2000	42.1%	69.0%	18.5%
Philippines	Aroroy	2017	72.7%	92.2%	45.0%
Philippines	Arteche	2000	22.3%	36.1%	11.2%
Philippines	Arteche	2017	58.4%	71.3%	45.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Asingan	2000	52.3%	68.8%	38.4%
Philippines	Asingan	2017	87.5%	94.7%	71.9%
Philippines	Asipulo	2000	22.6%	57.0%	1.5%
Philippines	Asipulo	2017	47.2%	82.5%	10.5%
Philippines	Asturias	2000	26.9%	52.7%	8.8%
Philippines	Asturias	2017	61.5%	85.8%	34.8%
Philippines	Asuncion	2000	38.6%	50.2%	25.9%
Philippines	Asuncion	2017	76.6%	83.4%	67.3%
Philippines	Atimonan	2000	37.4%	70.4%	12.2%
Philippines	Atimonan	2017	77.8%	95.4%	48.7%
Philippines	Atok	2000	55.0%	65.5%	39.4%
Philippines	Atok	2017	84.9%	89.6%	78.5%
Philippines	Aurora	2000	17.2%	25.3%	9.8%
Philippines	Aurora	2000	30.3%	67.8%	6.2%
Philippines	Aurora	2017	46.4%	59.0%	35.7%
Philippines	Aurora	2017	70.1%	90.8%	30.9%
Philippines	Ayungon	2000	37.0%	85.0%	1.0%
Philippines	Ayungon	2017	64.3%	96.7%	6.2%
Philippines	Baao	2000	23.3%	66.6%	1.0%
Philippines	Baao	2017	52.0%	85.2%	10.2%
Philippines	Babatngon	2000	59.4%	95.9%	12.2%
Philippines	Babatngon	2017	79.0%	99.5%	30.9%
Philippines	Bacacay	2000	32.6%	61.5%	11.0%
Philippines	Bacacay	2017	68.0%	88.6%	39.3%
Philippines	Bacarra	2000	78.9%	87.4%	56.7%
Philippines	Bacarra	2017	91.2%	94.8%	85.6%
Philippines	Baclayon	2000	58.6%	98.6%	7.0%
Philippines	Baclayon	2017	82.3%	99.9%	28.5%
Philippines	Bacnotan	2000	47.0%	66.4%	28.5%
Philippines	Bacnotan	2017	88.4%	95.3%	75.3%
Philippines	Baco	2000	46.4%	85.0%	8.9%
Philippines	Baco	2017	79.2%	97.5%	39.0%
Philippines	Bacolod	2000	39.5%	48.7%	30.4%
Philippines	Bacolod	2017	76.0%	84.0%	66.9%
Philippines	Bacolod City	2000	54.2%	59.9%	48.0%
Philippines	Bacolod City	2017	91.0%	92.6%	89.0%
Philippines	Bacolod	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Kalawi				
Philippines	Bacolod	2017	0.8%	2.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Kalawi				
Philippines	Bacolor	2000	91.6%	98.4%	71.6%
Philippines	Bacolor	2017	98.6%	99.8%	92.4%
Philippines	Bacong	2000	66.5%	80.8%	46.3%
Philippines	Bacong	2017	90.9%	96.6%	81.2%
Philippines	Bacoor	2000	84.8%	89.0%	79.3%
Philippines	Bacoor	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Philippines	Bacuag	2000	72.3%	99.0%	15.2%
Philippines	Bacuag	2017	89.6%	99.9%	38.0%
Philippines	Bacungan	2000	28.2%	64.7%	3.1%
Philippines	Bacungan	2017	55.9%	86.2%	14.1%
Philippines	Badian	2000	37.6%	93.3%	1.1%
Philippines	Badian	2017	62.8%	98.3%	7.0%
Philippines	Badiangan	2000	40.9%	86.2%	4.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Badiangan	2017	75.1%	97.9%	18.9%
Philippines	Badoc	2000	45.0%	89.3%	7.8%
Philippines	Badoc	2017	75.3%	98.3%	28.9%
Philippines	Bagabag	2000	53.2%	65.5%	40.3%
Philippines	Bagabag	2017	88.9%	93.2%	83.5%
Philippines	Bagac	2000	79.9%	92.7%	52.8%
Philippines	Bagac	2017	94.5%	98.9%	86.3%
Philippines	Bagamanoc	2000	52.4%	93.5%	5.0%
Philippines	Bagamanoc	2017	76.6%	97.6%	20.8%
Philippines	Baganga	2000	52.6%	78.1%	21.4%
Philippines	Baganga	2017	73.9%	91.8%	46.4%
Philippines	Baggao	2000	26.6%	59.9%	4.9%
Philippines	Baggao	2017	53.7%	79.9%	24.3%
Philippines	Bago City	2000	57.1%	66.9%	41.0%
Philippines	Bago City	2017	85.7%	91.0%	77.1%
Philippines	Baguio City	2000	75.5%	80.5%	69.4%
Philippines	Baguio City	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Philippines	Bagulin	2000	18.7%	48.3%	3.7%
Philippines	Bagulin	2017	51.3%	82.2%	20.9%
Philippines	Bagumbayan	2000	27.1%	55.0%	8.2%
Philippines	Bagumbayan	2017	59.1%	84.1%	27.8%
Philippines	Bais City	2000	59.4%	77.1%	42.4%
Philippines	Bais City	2017	83.8%	95.1%	66.5%
Philippines	Bakun	2000	16.3%	33.9%	5.3%
Philippines	Bakun	2017	44.7%	64.8%	22.7%
Philippines	Balabac	2000	39.2%	67.0%	15.6%
Philippines	Balabac	2017	61.9%	86.3%	36.0%
Philippines	Balabagan	2000	4.9%	12.7%	1.5%
Philippines	Balabagan	2017	18.0%	32.5%	8.0%
Philippines	Balagtas	2000	97.3%	99.5%	90.6%
Philippines	Balagtas	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.8%
Philippines	Balamban	2000	28.7%	70.7%	4.0%
Philippines	Balamban	2017	62.0%	92.2%	18.5%
Philippines	Balanga City	2000	91.2%	97.3%	75.8%
Philippines	Balanga City	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.0%
Philippines	Balangiga	2000	81.1%	97.5%	40.3%
Philippines	Balangiga	2017	94.1%	99.6%	70.3%
Philippines	Balangkayan	2000	55.7%	85.4%	21.6%
Philippines	Balangkayan	2017	85.6%	97.5%	59.4%
Philippines	Balaoan	2000	56.2%	72.1%	38.8%
Philippines	Balaoan	2017	88.3%	95.0%	78.5%
Philippines	Balasan	2000	29.4%	61.4%	8.6%
Philippines	Balasan	2017	74.0%	91.3%	46.2%
Philippines	Balatan	2000	44.7%	98.9%	0.9%
Philippines	Balatan	2017	68.1%	99.9%	7.1%
Philippines	Balayan	2000	45.6%	98.0%	1.4%
Philippines	Balayan	2017	69.2%	99.6%	7.1%
Philippines	Balbalan	2000	68.8%	84.1%	53.1%
Philippines	Balbalan	2017	83.6%	95.0%	67.0%
Philippines	Baleno	2000	36.5%	79.7%	6.6%
Philippines	Baleno	2017	68.1%	96.1%	23.9%
Philippines	Baler	2000	76.5%	89.6%	49.8%
Philippines	Baler	2017	94.5%	97.9%	84.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Balete	2000	77.8%	97.1%	33.0%
Philippines	Balete	2000	54.3%	79.5%	24.3%
Philippines	Balete	2017	94.4%	99.6%	71.8%
Philippines	Balete	2017	88.2%	97.8%	70.1%
Philippines	Baliangao	2000	33.8%	77.6%	4.3%
Philippines	Baliangao	2017	66.9%	94.4%	23.0%
Philippines	Baliguian	2000	33.8%	71.7%	4.8%
Philippines	Baliguian	2017	58.4%	89.2%	21.7%
Philippines	Balilihan	2000	50.6%	92.2%	8.4%
Philippines	Balilihan	2017	77.2%	98.9%	27.9%
Philippines	Balindong	2000	3.4%	10.2%	1.2%
Philippines	Balindong	2017	18.2%	31.4%	9.8%
Philippines	Balingasag	2000	39.4%	69.5%	18.0%
Philippines	Balingasag	2017	77.9%	95.2%	50.7%
Philippines	Balingoan	2000	44.2%	91.7%	2.9%
Philippines	Balingoan	2017	74.4%	99.1%	14.0%
Philippines	Baliuag	2000	84.8%	93.4%	75.7%
Philippines	Baliuag	2017	96.5%	99.0%	91.2%
Philippines	Ballesteros	2000	11.2%	28.2%	3.4%
Philippines	Ballesteros	2017	39.5%	59.8%	21.1%
Philippines	Baloi	2000	16.2%	20.5%	12.4%
Philippines	Baloi	2017	60.6%	65.3%	55.3%
Philippines	Balud	2000	40.2%	78.5%	4.5%
Philippines	Balud	2017	63.7%	94.8%	21.5%
Philippines	Balungao	2000	24.2%	47.6%	12.8%
Philippines	Balungao	2017	57.2%	84.9%	33.6%
Philippines	Bamban	2000	86.9%	98.8%	34.0%
Philippines	Bamban	2017	96.7%	99.8%	77.3%
Philippines	Bambang	2000	69.4%	74.6%	63.4%
Philippines	Bambang	2017	83.3%	88.1%	78.1%
Philippines	Banate	2000	81.4%	94.7%	61.3%
Philippines	Banate	2017	95.2%	99.3%	84.0%
Philippines	Banaue	2000	17.1%	53.4%	4.1%
Philippines	Banaue	2017	43.5%	79.3%	16.7%
Philippines	Banaybanay	2000	51.7%	78.2%	17.0%
Philippines	Banaybanay	2017	76.8%	91.4%	42.8%
Philippines	Banayoyo	2000	93.8%	99.6%	75.8%
Philippines	Banayoyo	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.1%
Philippines	Banga	2000	36.2%	51.7%	23.2%
Philippines	Banga	2000	45.0%	73.3%	21.8%
Philippines	Banga	2017	73.6%	84.2%	60.6%
Philippines	Banga	2017	77.5%	96.2%	55.5%
Philippines	Bangar	2000	78.9%	87.5%	65.9%
Philippines	Bangar	2017	96.7%	98.2%	94.1%
Philippines	Bangued	2000	46.4%	56.8%	37.3%
Philippines	Bangued	2017	85.1%	87.8%	81.3%
Philippines	Bangui	2000	38.8%	95.2%	1.2%
Philippines	Bangui	2017	66.4%	99.2%	8.6%
Philippines	Bani	2000	51.6%	94.7%	11.0%
Philippines	Bani	2017	74.6%	99.1%	31.5%
Philippines	Banisilan	2000	12.3%	35.0%	1.6%
Philippines	Banisilan	2017	32.1%	58.2%	10.0%
Philippines	Banna	2000	56.2%	93.8%	10.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Banna	2017	82.5%	99.0%	36.4%
Philippines	Bansalan	2000	50.3%	54.5%	45.1%
Philippines	Bansalan	2017	81.0%	83.8%	77.5%
Philippines	Bansud	2000	72.6%	98.2%	28.8%
Philippines	Bansud	2017	89.0%	99.6%	59.1%
Philippines	Bantay	2000	89.5%	99.3%	62.5%
Philippines	Bantay	2017	97.6%	99.9%	83.4%
Philippines	Bantayan	2000	45.0%	90.6%	3.0%
Philippines	Bantayan	2017	69.6%	98.4%	18.4%
Philippines	Banton	2000	42.4%	98.1%	0.5%
Philippines	Banton	2017	65.3%	99.8%	3.5%
Philippines	Baras	2000	33.2%	89.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Baras	2000	81.4%	95.5%	55.8%
Philippines	Baras	2017	96.0%	99.4%	83.6%
Philippines	Baras	2017	59.4%	98.4%	4.1%
Philippines	Barbaza	2000	46.9%	91.3%	1.9%
Philippines	Barbaza	2017	68.7%	94.3%	11.3%
Philippines	Barcelona	2000	46.3%	63.2%	31.4%
Philippines	Barcelona	2017	83.1%	90.9%	70.5%
Philippines	Barili	2000	13.7%	43.6%	4.3%
Philippines	Barili	2017	43.9%	80.2%	16.6%
Philippines	Barira	2000	17.3%	52.0%	1.1%
Philippines	Barira	2017	37.3%	81.0%	5.0%
Philippines	Barlig	2000	53.4%	74.6%	27.2%
Philippines	Barlig	2017	83.4%	92.8%	67.6%
Philippines	Barobo	2000	77.9%	94.4%	44.6%
Philippines	Barobo	2017	94.2%	98.9%	79.1%
Philippines	Barotac Nuevo	2000	51.8%	64.1%	34.4%
Philippines	Barotac Nuevo	2017	87.5%	91.9%	73.6%
Philippines	Barotac Viejo	2000	44.6%	73.8%	12.0%
Philippines	Barotac Viejo	2017	75.5%	94.1%	32.7%
Philippines	Baroy	2000	13.2%	16.7%	10.2%
Philippines	Baroy	2017	47.3%	55.6%	40.7%
Philippines	Barugo	2000	49.0%	74.0%	26.6%
Philippines	Barugo	2017	82.6%	95.5%	61.5%
Philippines	Basay	2000	42.8%	94.1%	1.3%
Philippines	Basay	2017	67.6%	99.0%	8.1%
Philippines	Basco	2000	94.9%	99.3%	79.7%
Philippines	Basco	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.2%
Philippines	Basey	2000	38.9%	64.1%	19.4%
Philippines	Basey	2017	71.9%	90.1%	45.6%
Philippines	Basilisa	2000	57.2%	77.2%	38.1%
Philippines	Basilisa	2017	87.3%	96.0%	69.9%
Philippines	Basista	2000	30.8%	83.8%	1.1%
Philippines	Basista	2017	62.3%	97.6%	9.4%
Philippines	Basud	2000	43.4%	79.4%	19.3%
Philippines	Basud	2017	75.5%	96.1%	42.9%
Philippines	Batac City	2000	81.1%	94.4%	61.9%
Philippines	Batac City	2017	94.8%	99.4%	83.5%
Philippines	Batad	2000	39.0%	82.2%	5.6%
Philippines	Batad	2017	70.1%	96.6%	23.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Batan	2000	69.9%	77.3%	54.2%
Philippines	Batan	2017	92.7%	94.8%	88.2%
Philippines	Batangas City	2000	64.2%	95.8%	18.3%
Philippines	Batangas City	2017	84.3%	99.1%	46.1%
Philippines	Bataraza	2000	33.6%	54.0%	15.9%
Philippines	Bataraza	2017	58.2%	75.7%	35.6%
Philippines	Bato	2000	34.4%	87.7%	1.3%
Philippines	Bato	2000	31.6%	67.5%	4.1%
Philippines	Bato	2000	14.1%	59.1%	1.0%
Philippines	Bato	2017	66.6%	94.0%	28.9%
Philippines	Bato	2017	60.4%	97.6%	8.3%
Philippines	Bato	2017	40.4%	84.5%	6.7%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2000	25.8%	80.8%	1.0%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2000	45.0%	94.9%	0.5%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2017	67.4%	99.1%	3.9%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2017	60.6%	97.3%	8.6%
Philippines	Batuan	2000	45.5%	95.2%	3.6%
Philippines	Batuan	2000	38.6%	91.6%	1.4%
Philippines	Batuan	2017	70.8%	99.5%	15.6%
Philippines	Batuan	2017	64.8%	97.9%	8.8%
Philippines	Bauan	2000	86.7%	99.6%	58.1%
Philippines	Bauan	2017	95.7%	100.0%	83.3%
Philippines	Bauang	2000	51.2%	85.3%	18.9%
Philippines	Bauang	2017	81.2%	96.9%	44.7%
Philippines	Bauko	2000	33.1%	52.1%	20.7%
Philippines	Bauko	2017	71.3%	82.3%	51.7%
Philippines	Baungon	2000	82.0%	94.4%	60.6%
Philippines	Baungon	2017	91.4%	97.5%	79.1%
Philippines	Bautista	2000	50.0%	57.3%	42.4%
Philippines	Bautista	2017	89.0%	91.8%	86.0%
Philippines	Bay	2000	20.2%	23.6%	17.1%
Philippines	Bay	2017	56.0%	64.2%	47.7%
Philippines	Bayabas	2000	33.2%	49.6%	18.5%
Philippines	Bayabas	2017	74.4%	85.6%	61.3%
Philippines	Bayambang	2000	50.7%	64.5%	34.9%
Philippines	Bayambang	2017	86.8%	92.9%	76.4%
Philippines	Bayang	2000	36.0%	88.8%	5.0%
Philippines	Bayang	2017	57.5%	97.8%	8.9%
Philippines	Bayawan City	2000	44.5%	69.6%	18.9%
Philippines	Bayawan City	2017	72.3%	91.3%	46.1%
Philippines	Baybay City	2000	37.1%	77.0%	6.3%
Philippines	Baybay City	2017	67.1%	93.3%	28.3%
Philippines	Bayog	2000	12.9%	40.0%	2.2%
Philippines	Bayog	2017	36.8%	60.6%	13.5%
Philippines	Bayombong	2000	47.9%	74.7%	20.2%
Philippines	Bayombong	2017	85.0%	93.1%	66.1%
Philippines	Bayugan City	2000	27.8%	36.5%	20.8%
Philippines	Bayugan City	2017	71.9%	78.7%	64.5%
Philippines	Belison	2000	61.3%	95.1%	19.5%
Philippines	Belison	2017	86.6%	99.3%	52.9%
Philippines	Benito Soliven	2000	18.6%	35.7%	6.6%
Philippines	Benito Soliven	2017	48.6%	73.8%	29.1%
Philippines	Besao	2000	21.8%	37.7%	12.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Besao	2017	39.5%	62.2%	21.0%
Philippines	Bien Unido	2000	44.5%	94.3%	5.3%
Philippines	Bien Unido	2017	73.1%	99.4%	28.6%
Philippines	Bilar	2000	45.6%	94.6%	3.8%
Philippines	Bilar	2017	69.7%	99.1%	15.7%
Philippines	Biliran	2000	44.2%	66.5%	26.3%
Philippines	Biliran	2017	83.2%	92.4%	66.9%
Philippines	Binalbagan	2000	38.1%	87.0%	2.3%
Philippines	Binalbagan	2017	63.2%	97.0%	13.8%
Philippines	Binalonan	2000	42.9%	60.9%	25.6%
Philippines	Binalonan	2017	77.8%	90.4%	61.8%
Philippines	Biñan	2000	59.0%	65.4%	52.2%
Philippines	Biñan	2017	92.7%	94.2%	90.7%
Philippines	Binangonan	2000	96.6%	99.8%	86.8%
Philippines	Binangonan	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Philippines	Bindoy	2000	37.3%	81.2%	2.3%
Philippines	Bindoy	2017	59.8%	94.2%	10.2%
Philippines	Bingawan	2000	36.1%	96.0%	0.6%
Philippines	Bingawan	2017	62.1%	99.5%	4.3%
Philippines	Binidayan	2000	29.1%	68.2%	10.7%
Philippines	Binidayan	2017	50.7%	85.9%	20.2%
Philippines	Binmaley	2000	25.2%	30.6%	19.9%
Philippines	Binmaley	2017	62.8%	68.2%	57.4%
Philippines	Binuangan	2000	69.6%	94.2%	25.2%
Philippines	Binuangan	2017	91.5%	99.2%	59.8%
Philippines	Biri	2000	21.8%	69.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Biri	2017	43.9%	91.2%	1.7%
Philippines	Bislig City	2000	65.0%	77.4%	51.4%
Philippines	Bislig City	2017	89.5%	94.9%	80.7%
Philippines	Boac	2000	44.0%	60.1%	27.7%
Philippines	Boac	2017	80.0%	89.0%	65.8%
Philippines	Bobon	2000	45.9%	91.4%	2.2%
Philippines	Bobon	2017	70.5%	98.5%	10.9%
Philippines	Bocau	2000	97.4%	99.9%	86.0%
Philippines	Bocau	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.2%
Philippines	Bogo City	2000	35.8%	84.9%	2.5%
Philippines	Bogo City	2017	67.7%	96.5%	14.4%
Philippines	Bokod	2000	29.8%	67.0%	6.3%
Philippines	Bokod	2017	52.4%	82.5%	19.8%
Philippines	Bolinao	2000	45.2%	93.0%	5.0%
Philippines	Bolinao	2017	68.7%	98.8%	15.4%
Philippines	Boliney	2000	39.7%	80.7%	4.5%
Philippines	Boliney	2017	68.6%	96.9%	20.3%
Philippines	Boljoon	2000	42.0%	98.3%	1.0%
Philippines	Boljoon	2017	67.3%	99.7%	6.6%
Philippines	Bombon	2000	25.8%	42.0%	16.5%
Philippines	Bombon	2017	71.5%	84.6%	53.8%
Philippines	Bongabon	2000	72.3%	98.5%	18.1%
Philippines	Bongabon	2017	88.9%	99.6%	47.2%
Philippines	Bongabong	2000	71.5%	94.5%	41.4%
Philippines	Bongabong	2017	87.9%	98.9%	68.0%
Philippines	Bongao	2000	12.4%	18.2%	7.4%
Philippines	Bongao	2017	24.8%	30.6%	17.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Bonifacio	2000	15.1%	26.3%	6.1%
Philippines	Bonifacio	2017	32.5%	41.4%	20.7%
Philippines	Bontoc	2000	52.9%	90.4%	10.2%
Philippines	Bontoc	2000	30.3%	70.0%	4.1%
Philippines	Bontoc	2017	63.3%	91.9%	20.9%
Philippines	Bontoc	2017	78.9%	97.7%	31.1%
Philippines	Borbon	2000	24.8%	80.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Borbon	2017	45.2%	90.8%	2.0%
Philippines	Borongon	2000	62.6%	88.2%	26.1%
Philippines	City Borongon City	2017	82.8%	97.2%	56.2%
Philippines	Boston	2000	45.2%	85.4%	7.8%
Philippines	Boston	2017	69.8%	95.6%	24.2%
Philippines	Botolan	2000	29.2%	73.6%	3.8%
Philippines	Botolan	2017	53.1%	87.7%	12.9%
Philippines	Braulio E. Du- jali	2000	39.4%	75.8%	17.0%
Philippines	Braulio E. Du- jali	2017	71.5%	95.3%	39.0%
Philippines	Brooke's Point	2000	29.8%	59.9%	7.2%
Philippines	Brooke's Point	2017	57.7%	82.3%	24.6%
Philippines	Buadiposo- Buntong	2000	41.9%	98.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Buadiposo- Buntong	2017	61.8%	99.7%	2.3%
Philippines	Bubong	2000	42.0%	90.3%	1.6%
Philippines	Bubong	2017	63.6%	96.4%	9.8%
Philippines	Bucay	2000	74.7%	83.8%	59.3%
Philippines	Bucay	2017	94.1%	96.5%	90.0%
Philippines	Bucloc	2000	42.3%	86.6%	7.6%
Philippines	Bucloc	2017	74.1%	97.9%	29.5%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	40.7%	88.6%	1.7%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	53.4%	61.5%	44.8%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	75.6%	92.6%	48.9%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	38.0%	78.6%	6.7%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	29.6%	41.5%	21.5%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	67.5%	98.0%	11.3%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	90.4%	92.7%	87.4%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	73.9%	83.8%	62.1%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	69.3%	94.5%	27.6%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	88.6%	98.1%	71.6%
Philippines	Bugallon	2000	39.1%	78.6%	11.7%
Philippines	Bugallon	2017	73.2%	94.7%	40.1%
Philippines	Bugasong	2000	60.8%	79.4%	45.6%
Philippines	Bugasong	2017	85.6%	94.6%	69.2%
Philippines	Buguey	2000	41.7%	96.9%	1.2%
Philippines	Buguey	2017	67.4%	99.5%	8.6%
Philippines	Buguias	2000	18.5%	43.7%	7.8%
Philippines	Buguias	2017	50.9%	77.2%	27.2%
Philippines	Buhi	2000	22.5%	45.1%	8.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Buhi	2017	58.7%	76.5%	35.7%
Philippines	Buhi Lake	2000	13.4%	37.8%	2.1%
Philippines	Buhi Lake	2017	48.3%	81.2%	15.2%
Philippines	Bula	2000	32.5%	60.9%	10.2%
Philippines	Bula	2017	70.6%	89.1%	42.8%
Philippines	Bulacan	2000	91.6%	97.2%	81.7%
Philippines	Bulacan	2017	98.6%	99.7%	95.3%
Philippines	Bulalacao	2000	40.0%	80.8%	6.0%
Philippines	Bulalacao	2017	66.3%	94.8%	20.9%
Philippines	Bulan	2000	26.9%	64.9%	2.4%
Philippines	Bulan	2017	55.1%	87.9%	12.7%
Philippines	Buldon	2000	28.2%	70.9%	2.3%
Philippines	Buldon	2017	49.8%	85.3%	11.1%
Philippines	Buluan	2000	15.5%	44.7%	1.0%
Philippines	Buluan	2017	46.8%	72.9%	13.3%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2000	18.1%	56.9%	0.4%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2000	34.6%	96.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2017	59.7%	99.1%	3.7%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2017	39.5%	67.6%	9.4%
Philippines	Bulusan	2000	33.4%	69.3%	11.4%
Philippines	Bulusan	2017	72.7%	93.0%	41.9%
Philippines	Bumbaran	2000	29.2%	84.2%	0.6%
Philippines	Bumbaran	2017	52.8%	95.4%	4.4%
Philippines	Bunawan	2000	41.4%	79.2%	10.5%
Philippines	Bunawan	2017	67.8%	94.6%	28.6%
Philippines	Burauen	2000	32.5%	88.4%	1.4%
Philippines	Burauen	2017	60.0%	97.8%	10.2%
Philippines	Burdeos	2000	40.9%	85.8%	6.3%
Philippines	Burdeos	2017	65.2%	96.6%	22.8%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	52.3%	99.5%	1.8%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	45.8%	94.0%	4.3%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	38.2%	94.0%	0.9%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	49.8%	75.8%	19.8%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	45.5%	94.6%	5.6%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	30.4%	81.8%	2.1%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	70.9%	99.0%	22.1%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	84.2%	95.7%	54.5%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	61.8%	99.1%	5.8%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	73.7%	99.4%	25.5%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	69.7%	97.3%	22.6%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	76.2%	99.9%	11.9%
Philippines	Buruanga	2000	53.8%	88.6%	19.5%
Philippines	Buruanga	2017	82.4%	98.4%	55.0%
Philippines	Bustos	2000	71.7%	94.9%	41.0%
Philippines	Bustos	2017	92.2%	99.4%	75.3%
Philippines	Busuanga	2000	38.7%	73.1%	8.2%
Philippines	Busuanga	2017	62.4%	91.3%	25.3%
Philippines	Butig	2000	29.3%	78.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Butig	2017	51.3%	85.2%	1.3%
Philippines	Butuan City	2000	60.7%	67.3%	54.8%
Philippines	Butuan City	2017	90.1%	93.5%	86.1%
Philippines	Buug	2000	9.6%	31.8%	1.5%
Philippines	Buug	2017	32.5%	69.4%	10.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Caba	2000	74.9%	95.7%	35.5%
Philippines	Caba	2017	92.9%	99.3%	63.9%
Philippines	Cabadbaran	2000	51.2%	64.3%	40.2%
Philippines	Cabadbaran City	2017	83.3%	93.1%	70.2%
Philippines	Cabagan	2000	39.7%	82.1%	3.4%
Philippines	Cabagan	2017	71.4%	95.3%	25.1%
Philippines	Cabanatuan	2000	75.6%	99.2%	26.0%
Philippines	Cabanatuan City	2017	90.9%	99.9%	54.4%
Philippines	Cabangan	2000	46.2%	55.7%	37.0%
Philippines	Cabangan	2017	82.3%	91.1%	73.7%
Philippines	Cabanglasan	2000	47.5%	85.9%	17.3%
Philippines	Cabanglasan	2017	76.3%	97.3%	38.0%
Philippines	Cabarroguis	2000	27.4%	42.9%	16.8%
Philippines	Cabarroguis	2017	67.1%	83.0%	50.5%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2000	38.5%	91.8%	1.0%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2000	51.9%	92.9%	6.9%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2017	69.5%	99.2%	8.0%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2017	77.1%	98.9%	23.9%
Philippines	Cabiao	2000	83.0%	99.1%	40.5%
Philippines	Cabiao	2017	93.7%	99.9%	63.6%
Philippines	Cabucgayan	2000	74.5%	92.6%	47.5%
Philippines	Cabucgayan	2017	91.7%	98.9%	71.2%
Philippines	Cabugao	2000	50.8%	94.5%	16.2%
Philippines	Cabugao	2017	74.7%	99.4%	31.7%
Philippines	Cabusao	2000	12.0%	26.9%	4.0%
Philippines	Cabusao	2017	43.7%	68.3%	23.9%
Philippines	Cabuyao	2000	70.0%	78.4%	61.6%
Philippines	Cabuyao	2017	95.4%	97.0%	93.4%
Philippines	Cadiz City	2000	51.4%	80.1%	14.0%
Philippines	Cadiz City	2017	76.4%	92.4%	39.6%
Philippines	Cagayan de Oro City	2000	75.6%	80.9%	67.7%
Philippines	Cagayan de Oro City	2017	93.3%	94.9%	91.1%
Philippines	Cagayancillo	2000	35.7%	86.6%	2.8%
Philippines	Cagayancillo	2017	61.1%	97.8%	16.0%
Philippines	Cagdianao	2000	54.7%	73.6%	36.9%
Philippines	Cagdianao	2017	83.3%	94.9%	66.0%
Philippines	Cagwait	2000	62.1%	77.1%	45.2%
Philippines	Cagwait	2017	80.6%	90.7%	67.4%
Philippines	Caibiran	2000	29.7%	75.7%	2.3%
Philippines	Caibiran	2017	60.7%	92.7%	13.9%
Philippines	Cainta	2000	94.4%	96.6%	91.5%
Philippines	Cainta	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Philippines	Cajidiocan	2000	24.5%	50.0%	4.5%
Philippines	Cajidiocan	2017	55.9%	83.1%	24.1%
Philippines	Calabanga	2000	22.1%	48.0%	6.5%
Philippines	Calabanga	2017	57.2%	78.2%	30.3%
Philippines	Calaca	2000	33.8%	92.6%	2.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Calaca	2017	58.7%	98.3%	9.7%
Philippines	Calamba	2000	40.3%	64.7%	17.3%
Philippines	Calamba	2017	79.0%	89.1%	60.9%
Philippines	Calamba City	2000	78.2%	82.9%	72.6%
Philippines	Calamba City	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Philippines	Calanasan	2000	27.6%	60.9%	5.0%
Philippines	Calanasan	2017	51.5%	82.7%	18.8%
Philippines	Calanogas	2000	18.9%	39.7%	6.9%
Philippines	Calanogas	2017	41.2%	67.5%	19.2%
Philippines	Calapan City	2000	58.4%	81.3%	31.2%
Philippines	Calapan City	2017	86.3%	96.6%	62.0%
Philippines	Calape	2000	42.9%	90.4%	6.6%
Philippines	Calape	2017	71.4%	98.3%	18.0%
Philippines	Calasiao	2000	40.1%	45.8%	35.2%
Philippines	Calasiao	2017	83.4%	87.0%	79.7%
Philippines	Calatagan	2000	45.9%	95.9%	3.3%
Philippines	Calatagan	2017	70.0%	99.5%	15.3%
Philippines	Calatrava	2000	40.6%	80.9%	4.8%
Philippines	Calatrava	2000	45.0%	98.0%	0.9%
Philippines	Calatrava	2017	66.5%	95.7%	19.9%
Philippines	Calatrava	2017	69.0%	99.7%	6.9%
Philippines	Calauag	2000	47.9%	64.8%	30.4%
Philippines	Calauag	2017	73.5%	86.0%	61.8%
Philippines	Calauan	2000	31.8%	37.4%	27.5%
Philippines	Calauan	2017	61.2%	74.0%	48.5%
Philippines	Calayan	2000	39.0%	73.4%	10.6%
Philippines	Calayan	2017	63.8%	90.8%	30.3%
Philippines	Calbayog City	2000	27.8%	44.2%	15.3%
Philippines	Calbayog City	2017	64.2%	78.0%	47.1%
Philippines	Calbiga	2000	39.0%	65.0%	16.3%
Philippines	Calbiga	2017	77.9%	91.7%	49.8%
Philippines	Calinog	2000	40.3%	87.5%	2.0%
Philippines	Calinog	2017	67.5%	98.1%	13.6%
Philippines	Calintaan	2000	39.8%	84.7%	3.1%
Philippines	Calintaan	2017	64.1%	96.5%	12.9%
Philippines	Calubian	2000	29.7%	81.3%	2.3%
Philippines	Calubian	2017	54.8%	96.0%	12.8%
Philippines	Calumpit	2000	98.8%	99.8%	95.5%
Philippines	Calumpit	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Caluya	2000	38.4%	83.1%	3.6%
Philippines	Caluya	2017	62.0%	95.9%	11.2%
Philippines	Camalaniugan	2000	44.9%	98.0%	0.7%
Philippines	Camalaniugan	2017	71.0%	99.8%	5.8%
Philippines	Camalig	2000	20.8%	25.7%	17.0%
Philippines	Camalig	2017	68.6%	74.1%	63.5%
Philippines	Camaligan	2000	55.6%	61.1%	49.6%
Philippines	Camaligan	2017	91.5%	92.9%	89.4%
Philippines	Camiling	2000	76.8%	96.7%	34.5%
Philippines	Camiling	2017	92.9%	99.6%	62.7%
Philippines	Can-Avid	2000	30.1%	58.3%	9.7%
Philippines	Can-Avid	2017	66.0%	87.3%	36.5%
Philippines	Canaman	2000	36.6%	41.7%	31.4%
Philippines	Canaman	2017	86.1%	88.5%	83.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Candaba	2000	49.1%	87.3%	12.5%
Philippines	Candaba	2017	72.0%	96.7%	32.4%
Philippines	Candelaria	2000	85.5%	94.9%	58.3%
Philippines	Candelaria	2000	68.9%	94.9%	29.1%
Philippines	Candelaria	2017	94.7%	98.3%	84.0%
Philippines	Candelaria	2017	86.5%	98.2%	52.5%
Philippines	Candijay	2000	46.5%	96.8%	1.4%
Philippines	Candijay	2017	69.5%	99.6%	9.5%
Philippines	Candon City	2000	83.0%	91.8%	71.1%
Philippines	Candon City	2017	96.5%	98.6%	92.8%
Philippines	Candoni	2000	23.6%	63.6%	1.1%
Philippines	Candoni	2017	45.6%	82.1%	6.2%
Philippines	Canlaon City	2000	33.9%	92.5%	0.9%
Philippines	Canlaon City	2017	58.2%	99.0%	7.2%
Philippines	Cantilan	2000	28.8%	70.1%	3.7%
Philippines	Cantilan	2017	63.6%	92.9%	20.1%
Philippines	Caoayan	2000	77.0%	96.9%	47.7%
Philippines	Caoayan	2017	94.5%	99.6%	80.9%
Philippines	Capalonga	2000	38.3%	82.9%	5.7%
Philippines	Capalonga	2017	64.2%	96.6%	18.4%
Philippines	Capas	2000	79.0%	97.4%	47.4%
Philippines	Capas	2017	92.4%	99.6%	71.9%
Philippines	Capoocan	2000	33.0%	79.6%	3.3%
Philippines	Capoocan	2017	60.6%	95.7%	14.1%
Philippines	Capul	2000	37.8%	94.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Capul	2017	62.6%	99.4%	2.6%
Philippines	Caraga	2000	33.6%	68.8%	6.4%
Philippines	Caraga	2017	60.9%	90.5%	23.2%
Philippines	Caramoan	2000	37.0%	80.3%	3.9%
Philippines	Caramoan	2017	61.3%	94.5%	17.9%
Philippines	Caramoran	2000	21.6%	45.1%	6.5%
Philippines	Caramoran	2017	60.3%	83.8%	33.1%
Philippines	Carasi	2000	32.7%	81.0%	1.5%
Philippines	Carasi	2017	54.1%	95.2%	9.9%
Philippines	Carcar	2000	39.8%	54.5%	26.7%
Philippines	Carcar	2017	73.4%	81.6%	63.7%
Philippines	Cardona	2000	93.1%	99.7%	71.8%
Philippines	Cardona	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.2%
Philippines	Carigara	2000	40.5%	92.7%	2.7%
Philippines	Carigara	2017	67.3%	98.5%	16.4%
Philippines	Carles	2000	35.2%	72.4%	7.4%
Philippines	Carles	2017	65.2%	92.3%	28.6%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	28.6%	51.4%	10.5%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	35.8%	65.6%	11.0%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	44.9%	93.9%	3.1%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	40.5%	96.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	51.1%	75.3%	27.3%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	34.4%	47.5%	22.2%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	70.0%	88.1%	47.9%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	81.6%	95.1%	59.8%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	70.9%	98.7%	13.8%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	62.8%	88.6%	31.1%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	71.6%	85.0%	56.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Carmen	2017	66.2%	99.6%	4.4%
Philippines	Carmona	2000	56.4%	63.8%	49.1%
Philippines	Carmona	2017	92.1%	93.9%	89.9%
Philippines	Carranglan	2000	40.2%	63.4%	17.3%
Philippines	Carranglan	2017	71.5%	89.4%	47.8%
Philippines	Carrascal	2000	32.5%	55.8%	13.8%
Philippines	Carrascal	2017	76.1%	91.5%	55.1%
Philippines	Casiguran	2000	58.9%	96.3%	10.1%
Philippines	Casiguran	2000	55.3%	91.4%	19.9%
Philippines	Casiguran	2017	82.3%	99.6%	32.7%
Philippines	Casiguran	2017	77.6%	97.4%	38.2%
Philippines	Castilla	2000	38.3%	72.3%	9.5%
Philippines	Castilla	2017	69.7%	93.2%	39.1%
Philippines	Castillejos	2000	87.2%	96.8%	60.7%
Philippines	Castillejos	2017	97.3%	99.2%	91.9%
Philippines	Cataingan	2000	43.9%	92.3%	3.6%
Philippines	Cataingan	2017	67.3%	98.6%	16.4%
Philippines	Catanauan	2000	36.5%	81.2%	3.5%
Philippines	Catanauan	2017	65.5%	95.9%	17.9%
Philippines	Catarman	2000	83.1%	91.2%	69.7%
Philippines	Catarman	2000	59.2%	76.5%	41.6%
Philippines	Catarman	2017	85.7%	93.6%	74.0%
Philippines	Catarman	2017	97.5%	98.9%	94.6%
Philippines	Catbalogan City	2000	78.6%	95.0%	43.5%
Philippines	Catbalogan City	2017	90.9%	99.0%	69.6%
Philippines	Cateel	2000	40.5%	60.7%	22.7%
Philippines	Cateel	2017	75.2%	89.8%	54.7%
Philippines	Catigbian	2000	48.1%	77.1%	19.8%
Philippines	Catigbian	2017	82.2%	96.0%	56.7%
Philippines	Catmon	2000	39.1%	93.4%	1.0%
Philippines	Catmon	2017	63.8%	99.2%	8.1%
Philippines	Catubig	2000	44.5%	89.0%	2.6%
Philippines	Catubig	2017	69.1%	97.0%	12.7%
Philippines	Cauayan	2000	38.3%	79.2%	7.2%
Philippines	Cauayan	2017	63.9%	94.6%	24.2%
Philippines	Cauayan City	2000	17.3%	36.7%	5.9%
Philippines	Cauayan City	2017	56.8%	73.7%	33.8%
Philippines	Cavinti	2000	73.7%	96.8%	27.7%
Philippines	Cavinti	2017	91.3%	99.5%	62.9%
Philippines	Cavite City	2000	63.7%	77.2%	47.0%
Philippines	Cavite City	2017	93.7%	96.7%	89.1%
Philippines	Cawayan	2000	39.7%	82.1%	6.0%
Philippines	Cawayan	2017	63.2%	94.6%	21.3%
Philippines	Cebu City	2000	49.4%	55.3%	43.8%
Philippines	Cebu City	2017	87.2%	89.7%	84.5%
Philippines	Cervantes	2000	32.2%	69.9%	10.6%
Philippines	Cervantes	2017	55.0%	83.8%	20.7%
Philippines	Clarín	2000	41.3%	77.0%	12.4%
Philippines	Clarín	2000	10.4%	25.4%	3.7%
Philippines	Clarín	2017	77.3%	96.4%	44.4%
Philippines	Clarín	2017	35.3%	56.1%	17.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Claver	2000	49.9%	90.5%	7.7%
Philippines	Claver	2017	73.9%	97.9%	25.3%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	8.9%	32.8%	2.3%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	42.0%	78.3%	10.1%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	40.7%	79.0%	6.9%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	70.0%	91.8%	28.0%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	64.3%	95.2%	24.4%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	28.5%	61.3%	12.7%
Philippines	Cumbio	2000	29.4%	65.0%	4.1%
Philippines	Cumbio	2017	57.9%	89.6%	17.8%
Philippines	Compostela	2000	36.0%	72.1%	11.5%
Philippines	Compostela	2000	41.2%	89.9%	1.4%
Philippines	Compostela	2017	76.3%	94.1%	45.4%
Philippines	Compostela	2017	68.1%	97.8%	8.0%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	42.2%	99.1%	0.5%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	82.0%	92.2%	69.8%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	24.1%	42.2%	8.6%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	39.5%	95.3%	1.5%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	95.8%	99.0%	85.8%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	63.1%	99.1%	7.2%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	60.9%	77.2%	37.7%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	67.9%	99.9%	4.6%
Philippines	Conner	2000	27.2%	49.7%	10.5%
Philippines	Conner	2017	56.0%	74.0%	33.9%
Philippines	Consolacion	2000	41.9%	48.7%	35.6%
Philippines	Consolacion	2017	87.1%	89.3%	84.7%
Philippines	Corcuera	2000	76.4%	98.5%	27.0%
Philippines	Corcuera	2017	92.5%	99.8%	67.0%
Philippines	Cordoba	2000	45.0%	53.7%	38.1%
Philippines	Cordoba	2017	86.5%	91.2%	79.1%
Philippines	Cordon	2000	21.5%	30.6%	14.5%
Philippines	Cordon	2017	67.9%	76.6%	58.8%
Philippines	Corella	2000	65.3%	98.7%	10.1%
Philippines	Corella	2017	81.7%	99.8%	22.3%
Philippines	Coron	2000	32.8%	69.1%	10.6%
Philippines	Coron	2017	63.2%	89.0%	32.5%
Philippines	Cortes	2000	57.4%	97.2%	10.1%
Philippines	Cortes	2000	33.4%	88.5%	0.8%
Philippines	Cortes	2017	82.4%	99.7%	35.0%
Philippines	Cortes	2017	56.5%	96.9%	6.3%
Philippines	Cotabato City	2000	56.8%	71.2%	35.7%
Philippines	Cotabato City	2017	89.9%	93.7%	81.5%
Philippines	Cuartero	2000	24.2%	57.8%	9.0%
Philippines	Cuartero	2017	62.3%	87.3%	32.7%
Philippines	Cuenca	2000	94.0%	99.9%	66.5%
Philippines	Cuenca	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.0%
Philippines	Culaba	2000	30.4%	57.0%	7.5%
Philippines	Culaba	2017	68.0%	87.9%	38.1%
Philippines	Culasi	2000	26.0%	50.4%	8.1%
Philippines	Culasi	2017	70.4%	85.2%	45.3%
Philippines	Culion	2000	38.2%	71.3%	9.2%
Philippines	Culion	2017	62.4%	90.9%	27.7%
Philippines	Currimao	2000	33.2%	85.7%	3.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Currimao	2017	64.6%	97.9%	17.1%
Philippines	Cuyapo	2000	44.3%	59.5%	32.7%
Philippines	Cuyapo	2017	79.6%	90.2%	65.8%
Philippines	Cuyo	2000	17.8%	69.0%	0.7%
Philippines	Cuyo	2017	37.7%	87.1%	3.5%
Philippines	Daanbantayan	2000	39.2%	93.3%	3.1%
Philippines	Daanbantayan	2017	63.9%	98.3%	11.3%
Philippines	Daet	2000	52.9%	66.4%	38.8%
Philippines	Daet	2017	90.1%	94.7%	82.5%
Philippines	Dagami	2000	51.4%	70.8%	36.7%
Philippines	Dagami	2017	87.1%	95.9%	73.1%
Philippines	Dagohoy	2000	44.8%	96.3%	2.2%
Philippines	Dagohoy	2017	71.0%	99.5%	11.7%
Philippines	Daguoman	2000	43.2%	96.1%	0.6%
Philippines	Daguoman	2017	64.2%	99.0%	5.9%
Philippines	Dagupan City	2000	47.4%	57.8%	35.6%
Philippines	Dagupan City	2017	87.4%	92.5%	78.2%
Philippines	Dalaguete	2000	42.1%	93.7%	1.5%
Philippines	Dalaguete	2017	69.3%	99.1%	10.7%
Philippines	Damulog	2000	29.4%	82.0%	1.6%
Philippines	Damulog	2017	56.7%	96.1%	8.2%
Philippines	Danao	2000	43.5%	94.9%	2.5%
Philippines	Danao	2017	69.6%	99.3%	14.5%
Philippines	Danao City	2000	35.8%	86.1%	3.5%
Philippines	Danao City	2017	66.2%	97.4%	16.6%
Philippines	Danao Lake	2000	49.6%	98.8%	1.2%
Philippines	Danao Lake	2017	73.4%	99.9%	9.1%
Philippines	Dangcagan	2000	36.5%	67.6%	13.4%
Philippines	Dangcagan	2017	78.0%	92.2%	48.2%
Philippines	Danglas	2000	36.9%	89.7%	2.3%
Philippines	Danglas	2017	66.2%	96.8%	10.1%
Philippines	Dao	2000	40.6%	54.5%	28.0%
Philippines	Dao	2017	78.4%	88.3%	64.5%
Philippines	Dapa	2000	49.6%	92.5%	6.5%
Philippines	Dapa	2017	74.1%	98.7%	19.7%
Philippines	Dapao Lake	2000	2.0%	5.9%	0.5%
Philippines	Dapao Lake	2017	12.9%	30.1%	3.7%
Philippines	Dapitan City	2000	36.7%	80.0%	9.1%
Philippines	Dapitan City	2017	67.4%	95.8%	27.5%
Philippines	Daraga	2000	32.1%	36.5%	28.5%
Philippines	Daraga	2017	76.7%	80.1%	73.2%
Philippines	Daram	2000	23.6%	58.7%	4.5%
Philippines	Daram	2017	56.2%	84.6%	26.0%
Philippines	Dasmariñas	2000	81.2%	87.5%	72.1%
Philippines	Dasmariñas	2017	97.4%	98.5%	96.0%
Philippines	Dasol	2000	43.7%	90.5%	4.2%
Philippines	Dasol	2017	67.2%	96.6%	15.9%
Philippines	Datu Abdul-lah Sanki	2000	20.8%	34.5%	13.5%
Philippines	Datu Abdul-lah Sanki	2017	61.2%	73.0%	42.3%
Philippines	Datu Anggal Midtimbang	2000	4.5%	22.3%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Datu Anggal Midtimbang	2017	10.8%	33.2%	1.0%
Philippines	Datu Blah T. Sinsuat	2000	25.3%	57.8%	4.5%
Philippines	Datu Blah T. Sinsuat	2017	49.7%	79.6%	18.8%
Philippines	Datu Odin Sinsuat	2000	16.5%	31.6%	9.7%
Philippines	Datu Odin Sinsuat	2017	56.4%	69.5%	48.7%
Philippines	Datu Paglas	2000	13.4%	18.1%	9.1%
Philippines	Datu Paglas	2017	37.4%	53.4%	26.1%
Philippines	Datu Piang	2000	24.0%	83.7%	0.4%
Philippines	Datu Piang	2017	47.0%	94.6%	2.6%
Philippines	Datu Saudi- Ampatuan	2000	23.1%	72.6%	1.1%
Philippines	Datu Saudi- Ampatuan	2017	49.1%	88.0%	8.5%
Philippines	Datu Unsay	2000	24.3%	58.4%	3.3%
Philippines	Datu Unsay	2017	54.5%	85.0%	19.1%
Philippines	Dauin	2000	42.0%	72.9%	16.1%
Philippines	Dauin	2017	80.8%	95.6%	55.8%
Philippines	Dauis	2000	66.9%	93.0%	27.3%
Philippines	Dauis	2017	91.5%	99.0%	65.2%
Philippines	Davao City	2000	46.0%	51.4%	40.0%
Philippines	Davao City	2017	79.8%	82.9%	76.8%
Philippines	Del Carmen	2000	49.1%	95.9%	3.7%
Philippines	Del Carmen	2017	73.2%	99.4%	17.4%
Philippines	Del Gallego	2000	41.1%	89.6%	5.4%
Philippines	Del Gallego	2017	68.1%	97.1%	18.1%
Philippines	Delfin Albano	2000	38.8%	88.9%	3.5%
Philippines	Delfin Albano	2017	63.1%	95.1%	13.7%
Philippines	Diadi	2000	18.0%	39.5%	5.3%
Philippines	Diadi	2017	53.0%	75.9%	28.9%
Philippines	Diffun	2000	27.0%	35.7%	18.5%
Philippines	Diffun	2017	69.7%	74.9%	62.8%
Philippines	Digos City	2000	53.9%	63.2%	44.5%
Philippines	Digos City	2017	82.1%	87.1%	75.1%
Philippines	Dilasag	2000	56.8%	89.5%	15.2%
Philippines	Dilasag	2017	77.5%	97.7%	37.7%
Philippines	Dimasalang	2000	27.7%	68.8%	3.9%
Philippines	Dimasalang	2017	66.8%	93.5%	25.6%
Philippines	Dimataling	2000	31.0%	81.5%	1.6%
Philippines	Dimataling	2017	54.4%	96.8%	11.1%
Philippines	Dimiao	2000	52.9%	96.7%	2.5%
Philippines	Dimiao	2017	74.2%	99.6%	9.9%
Philippines	Dinagat	2000	90.3%	97.1%	75.6%
Philippines	Dinagat	2017	98.7%	99.6%	96.5%
Philippines	Dinalungan	2000	42.8%	91.8%	3.1%
Philippines	Dinalungan	2017	67.6%	98.4%	17.3%
Philippines	Dinalupihan	2000	63.8%	92.1%	25.4%
Philippines	Dinalupihan	2017	87.9%	98.8%	51.4%
Philippines	Dinapigue	2000	35.3%	81.3%	3.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Dinapigue	2017	57.2%	92.0%	11.0%
Philippines	Dinas	2000	28.4%	64.8%	2.6%
Philippines	Dinas	2017	55.3%	84.9%	14.0%
Philippines	Dingalan	2000	40.4%	80.4%	4.5%
Philippines	Dingalan	2017	62.7%	94.3%	16.5%
Philippines	Dingle	2000	59.1%	94.0%	12.7%
Philippines	Dingle	2017	86.5%	99.2%	50.6%
Philippines	Dingras	2000	75.8%	90.1%	51.9%
Philippines	Dingras	2017	92.3%	98.2%	80.4%
Philippines	Dipaculao	2000	81.3%	94.3%	63.8%
Philippines	Dipaculao	2017	93.5%	99.1%	83.1%
Philippines	Diplahan	2000	27.4%	62.4%	3.2%
Philippines	Diplahan	2017	59.8%	88.9%	18.8%
Philippines	Dipolog City	2000	54.4%	63.3%	46.1%
Philippines	Dipolog City	2017	89.2%	92.7%	84.8%
Philippines	Ditsaan-Ramain	2000	34.8%	93.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Ditsaan-Ramain	2017	50.9%	98.5%	1.0%
Philippines	Divilacan	2000	30.5%	78.1%	2.1%
Philippines	Divilacan	2017	54.4%	92.2%	8.2%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	27.9%	67.7%	3.7%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	9.6%	32.3%	0.9%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	10.1%	32.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	58.3%	89.9%	18.1%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	28.8%	73.0%	2.3%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	25.3%	75.1%	6.2%
Philippines	Don Carlos	2000	35.9%	67.5%	4.3%
Philippines	Don Carlos	2017	64.4%	85.3%	23.1%
Philippines	Don Marcelino	2000	16.2%	34.2%	4.1%
Philippines	Don Marcelino	2017	48.0%	70.5%	24.1%
Philippines	Don Victoriano Chiongbian	2000	32.5%	76.6%	3.5%
Philippines	Don Victoriano Chiongbian	2017	61.7%	95.3%	22.1%
Philippines	Doña Remedios Trinidad	2000	53.9%	86.3%	20.2%
Philippines	Doña Remedios Trinidad	2017	73.9%	93.8%	43.2%
Philippines	Donsol	2000	40.7%	91.8%	3.2%
Philippines	Donsol	2017	66.8%	99.0%	17.9%
Philippines	Duenas	2000	36.5%	52.3%	18.3%
Philippines	Duenas	2017	77.4%	89.5%	59.6%
Philippines	Duero	2000	65.3%	98.0%	24.8%
Philippines	Duero	2017	86.2%	99.8%	44.9%
Philippines	Dulag	2000	19.6%	51.0%	5.0%
Philippines	Dulag	2017	55.6%	79.3%	25.0%
Philippines	Dumaguete City	2000	88.3%	97.4%	67.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Dumaguete City	2017	98.3%	99.7%	94.9%
Philippines	Dumalag	2000	39.2%	79.1%	12.3%
Philippines	Dumalag	2017	75.1%	96.6%	36.0%
Philippines	Dumalinao	2000	51.5%	97.2%	9.2%
Philippines	Dumalinao	2017	73.8%	99.6%	27.0%
Philippines	Dumalneg	2000	37.8%	96.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Dumalneg	2017	62.5%	99.5%	2.2%
Philippines	Dumangas	2000	51.9%	86.2%	15.8%
Philippines	Dumangas	2017	81.8%	97.1%	45.1%
Philippines	Dumanjug	2000	17.9%	50.8%	0.9%
Philippines	Dumanjug	2017	46.4%	84.2%	7.3%
Philippines	Dumaran	2000	41.4%	74.6%	13.8%
Philippines	Dumaran	2017	67.1%	92.5%	35.3%
Philippines	Dumarao	2000	25.9%	50.8%	8.8%
Philippines	Dumarao	2017	61.4%	85.5%	35.3%
Philippines	Dumingag	2000	28.0%	46.1%	14.9%
Philippines	Dumingag	2017	65.7%	79.2%	48.7%
Philippines	Dupax Del Norte	2000	42.6%	73.4%	16.3%
Philippines	Dupax Del Norte	2017	65.8%	89.7%	29.6%
Philippines	Dupax Del Sur	2000	44.0%	64.1%	19.5%
Philippines	Dupax Del Sur	2017	62.5%	78.0%	40.7%
Philippines	Echague	2000	28.1%	61.8%	5.8%
Philippines	Echague	2017	64.0%	89.7%	29.7%
Philippines	El Nido	2000	43.7%	74.2%	17.2%
Philippines	El Nido	2017	71.5%	92.9%	43.5%
Philippines	El Salvador City	2000	79.6%	95.6%	47.3%
Philippines	El Salvador City	2017	94.2%	98.4%	78.9%
Philippines	Enrile	2000	67.7%	87.4%	48.8%
Philippines	Enrile	2017	84.2%	94.4%	66.7%
Philippines	Enrique B. Magalona	2000	45.9%	88.5%	8.0%
Philippines	Enrique B. Magalona	2017	72.6%	98.0%	24.2%
Philippines	Enrique Villanueva	2000	36.7%	49.5%	28.8%
Philippines	Enrique Villanueva	2017	61.9%	83.7%	39.1%
Philippines	Escalante City	2000	31.1%	69.0%	3.2%
Philippines	Escalante City	2017	61.5%	91.9%	17.2%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	37.1%	58.9%	16.4%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	29.6%	61.7%	5.7%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	30.3%	54.6%	11.7%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	64.0%	89.7%	27.0%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	71.7%	88.8%	45.1%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	68.4%	85.9%	42.7%
Philippines	Estancia	2000	37.2%	71.4%	14.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Estancia	2017	77.7%	94.2%	52.5%
Philippines	Famy	2000	27.1%	51.2%	9.6%
Philippines	Famy	2017	68.8%	88.4%	43.7%
Philippines	Ferrol	2000	80.3%	96.0%	50.3%
Philippines	Ferrol	2017	95.7%	99.4%	83.1%
Philippines	Flora	2000	19.3%	43.5%	4.6%
Philippines	Flora	2017	50.1%	74.1%	23.9%
Philippines	Floridablanca	2000	59.6%	91.0%	13.3%
Philippines	Floridablanca	2017	84.5%	98.5%	38.5%
Philippines	Gabaldon	2000	55.3%	94.3%	11.6%
Philippines	Gabaldon	2017	80.1%	99.2%	40.6%
Philippines	Gainza	2000	79.5%	87.5%	62.8%
Philippines	Gainza	2017	96.7%	98.2%	93.5%
Philippines	Galimuyod	2000	84.9%	95.7%	65.6%
Philippines	Galimuyod	2017	97.2%	99.5%	92.0%
Philippines	Gamay	2000	29.9%	79.1%	1.3%
Philippines	Gamay	2017	59.0%	95.2%	9.4%
Philippines	Gamu	2000	81.1%	95.4%	36.2%
Philippines	Gamu	2017	93.9%	99.1%	71.6%
Philippines	Ganassi	2000	0.7%	1.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Ganassi	2017	5.0%	11.7%	1.6%
Philippines	Gandara	2000	36.4%	78.8%	3.2%
Philippines	Gandara	2017	61.0%	93.4%	11.9%
Philippines	Gapan City	2000	93.9%	98.3%	82.8%
Philippines	Gapan City	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.5%
Philippines	Garchitorea	2000	25.4%	54.9%	4.5%
Philippines	Garchitorea	2017	53.4%	81.3%	21.6%
Philippines	Garcia Hernandez	2000	53.9%	95.6%	10.3%
Philippines	Garcia Hernandez	2017	79.2%	99.5%	33.5%
Philippines	Gasan	2000	43.9%	72.1%	21.7%
Philippines	Gasan	2017	82.1%	94.8%	59.2%
Philippines	Gattaran	2000	29.5%	65.5%	5.7%
Philippines	Gattaran	2017	59.4%	88.5%	21.6%
Philippines	Gen. S. K. Pendatun	2000	22.5%	51.6%	2.2%
Philippines	Gen. S. K. Pendatun	2017	48.4%	76.7%	14.1%
Philippines	General Emilio Aguinaldo	2000	77.2%	97.1%	43.1%
Philippines	General Emilio Aguinaldo	2017	92.6%	99.6%	72.9%
Philippines	General Luna	2000	50.6%	85.1%	15.2%
Philippines	General Luna	2000	42.0%	96.6%	1.1%
Philippines	General Luna	2017	87.4%	98.2%	66.8%
Philippines	General Luna	2017	66.7%	99.6%	6.7%
Philippines	General Macarthur	2000	44.7%	95.2%	3.7%
Philippines	General Macarthur	2017	68.8%	99.4%	11.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	General Mamerto Natividad	2000	83.3%	99.3%	43.3%
Philippines	General Mamerto Natividad	2017	94.9%	99.9%	73.2%
Philippines	General Mariano Alvarez	2000	59.6%	66.6%	51.4%
Philippines	General Mariano Alvarez	2017	92.8%	94.5%	90.2%
Philippines	General Nakar	2000	39.0%	71.4%	9.8%
Philippines	General Nakar	2017	64.3%	87.9%	24.5%
Philippines	General Santos City	2000	50.1%	63.3%	38.1%
Philippines	General Santos City	2017	85.0%	92.1%	74.3%
Philippines	General Tinio	2000	76.6%	94.7%	40.9%
Philippines	General Tinio	2017	90.4%	98.9%	75.7%
Philippines	General Trias	2000	87.8%	93.9%	77.7%
Philippines	General Trias	2017	98.3%	99.3%	96.4%
Philippines	Gerona	2000	68.4%	85.9%	44.6%
Philippines	Gerona	2017	91.5%	97.9%	78.6%
Philippines	Gigaquit	2000	49.9%	95.5%	2.2%
Philippines	Gigaquit	2017	73.8%	99.3%	12.0%
Philippines	Gigmoto	2000	17.7%	43.4%	4.1%
Philippines	Gigmoto	2017	49.4%	80.7%	18.9%
Philippines	Ginatilan	2000	40.0%	97.8%	0.5%
Philippines	Ginatilan	2017	66.9%	99.7%	3.8%
Philippines	Gingoog City	2000	62.6%	83.9%	29.0%
Philippines	Gingoog City	2017	82.6%	94.3%	62.2%
Philippines	Giporlos	2000	61.3%	99.3%	7.1%
Philippines	Giporlos	2017	83.1%	99.9%	33.8%
Philippines	Gitagum	2000	79.1%	99.2%	31.2%
Philippines	Gitagum	2017	94.1%	99.9%	67.2%
Philippines	Glan	2000	70.6%	82.7%	58.5%
Philippines	Glan	2017	86.2%	95.0%	76.2%
Philippines	Gloria	2000	63.0%	82.5%	41.0%
Philippines	Gloria	2017	88.6%	97.1%	71.1%
Philippines	Goa	2000	41.1%	93.9%	3.3%
Philippines	Goa	2017	66.3%	98.6%	15.2%
Philippines	Godod	2000	40.4%	87.0%	3.2%
Philippines	Godod	2017	64.8%	97.9%	14.4%
Philippines	Gonzaga	2000	37.3%	75.2%	5.0%
Philippines	Gonzaga	2017	62.1%	89.4%	20.0%
Philippines	Governor Generoso	2000	38.8%	80.0%	5.0%
Philippines	Governor Generoso	2017	65.3%	94.7%	22.7%
Philippines	Gregorio Del Pilar	2000	48.2%	98.9%	1.5%
Philippines	Gregorio Del Pilar	2017	70.6%	99.8%	11.5%
Philippines	Guagua	2000	90.8%	99.8%	66.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Guagua	2017	96.4%	100.0%	82.8%
Philippines	Gubat	2000	76.2%	91.9%	49.6%
Philippines	Gubat	2017	95.6%	99.0%	87.8%
Philippines	Guiguinto	2000	98.3%	99.9%	93.4%
Philippines	Guiguinto	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Philippines	Guihulngan City	2000	34.4%	76.5%	4.8%
Philippines	Guihulngan City	2017	60.9%	93.9%	17.8%
Philippines	Guimba	2000	48.9%	91.3%	5.4%
Philippines	Guimba	2017	75.1%	98.7%	20.9%
Philippines	Guimbal	2000	40.7%	67.3%	16.8%
Philippines	Guimbal	2017	77.6%	91.4%	44.8%
Philippines	Guinayangan	2000	60.7%	92.2%	20.6%
Philippines	Guinayangan	2017	78.7%	97.0%	31.9%
Philippines	Guindulman	2000	46.6%	96.8%	2.8%
Philippines	Guindulman	2017	69.6%	99.6%	11.6%
Philippines	Guindulungan	2000	9.1%	31.3%	0.7%
Philippines	Guindulungan	2017	21.3%	46.5%	3.5%
Philippines	Guinobatan	2000	39.2%	55.8%	25.5%
Philippines	Guinobatan	2017	72.6%	83.4%	57.0%
Philippines	Guinsiliban	2000	87.4%	97.6%	60.0%
Philippines	Guinsiliban	2017	97.6%	99.7%	90.6%
Philippines	Guipos	2000	45.6%	96.8%	2.0%
Philippines	Guipos	2017	66.3%	99.6%	11.0%
Philippines	Guiuan	2000	44.5%	63.4%	25.4%
Philippines	Guiuan	2017	79.6%	90.9%	63.9%
Philippines	Gumaca	2000	47.1%	94.3%	6.0%
Philippines	Gumaca	2017	72.8%	99.2%	23.6%
Philippines	Gutalac	2000	43.2%	84.7%	7.4%
Philippines	Gutalac	2017	66.7%	96.3%	23.8%
Philippines	Hadji Mohammad Ajul	2000	37.0%	81.8%	4.4%
Philippines	Hadji Mohammad Ajul	2017	65.3%	95.6%	19.2%
Philippines	Hadji Panglima Tahil	2000	13.4%	34.6%	1.0%
Philippines	Hadji Panglima Tahil	2017	28.3%	60.4%	4.9%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2000	60.8%	76.5%	41.2%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2000	74.8%	87.0%	54.2%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2017	89.6%	95.2%	75.0%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2017	93.0%	97.9%	84.5%
Philippines	Hamtic	2000	33.7%	85.4%	3.1%
Philippines	Hamtic	2017	65.0%	96.9%	14.7%
Philippines	Hermosa	2000	60.1%	76.8%	37.7%
Philippines	Hermosa	2017	91.5%	96.9%	74.4%
Philippines	Hernani	2000	38.3%	98.3%	0.5%
Philippines	Hernani	2017	61.8%	99.8%	3.9%
Philippines	Hilongos	2000	29.6%	76.5%	3.1%
Philippines	Hilongos	2017	57.1%	92.9%	15.6%
Philippines	Himamaylan City	2000	25.4%	62.4%	3.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Himamaylan City	2017	51.6%	85.5%	15.9%
Philippines	Hinabangan	2000	30.6%	58.6%	8.4%
Philippines	Hinabangan	2017	63.5%	88.1%	35.3%
Philippines	Hinatuan	2000	32.2%	68.7%	3.9%
Philippines	Hinatuan	2017	60.0%	89.6%	18.0%
Philippines	Hindang	2000	29.7%	89.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Hindang	2017	53.6%	95.1%	3.4%
Philippines	Hingyon	2000	22.3%	32.9%	15.8%
Philippines	Hingyon	2017	50.3%	69.9%	37.1%
Philippines	Hinigaran	2000	26.8%	53.8%	9.2%
Philippines	Hinigaran	2017	65.2%	86.7%	38.3%
Philippines	Hinoba-An	2000	43.0%	84.8%	4.5%
Philippines	Hinoba-An	2017	66.6%	96.1%	18.1%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2000	42.7%	99.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2000	53.4%	94.3%	8.9%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2017	78.9%	99.1%	27.9%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2017	65.6%	100.0%	0.6%
Philippines	Hinundayan	2000	42.5%	98.1%	1.1%
Philippines	Hinundayan	2017	66.8%	99.8%	7.5%
Philippines	Hungduan	2000	9.2%	32.1%	1.3%
Philippines	Hungduan	2017	24.8%	57.7%	7.4%
Philippines	Iba	2000	45.3%	96.5%	1.6%
Philippines	Iba	2017	68.9%	99.3%	10.1%
Philippines	Ibaan	2000	75.9%	99.4%	23.8%
Philippines	Ibaan	2017	92.0%	99.9%	55.6%
Philippines	Ibajay	2000	51.2%	94.2%	8.1%
Philippines	Ibajay	2017	76.2%	98.7%	28.2%
Philippines	Igbaras	2000	71.8%	93.9%	36.8%
Philippines	Igbaras	2017	94.4%	99.2%	79.2%
Philippines	Iguig	2000	45.0%	90.1%	7.8%
Philippines	Iguig	2017	69.6%	97.2%	21.6%
Philippines	Ilagan	2000	57.1%	81.2%	37.0%
Philippines	Ilagan	2017	80.0%	95.3%	56.5%
Philippines	Iligan City	2000	66.7%	73.8%	59.5%
Philippines	Iligan City	2017	89.3%	92.8%	85.4%
Philippines	Ilog	2000	37.3%	83.2%	4.3%
Philippines	Ilog	2017	61.3%	92.9%	17.0%
Philippines	Iloilo City	2000	48.9%	54.2%	43.9%
Philippines	Iloilo City	2017	88.5%	90.4%	86.3%
Philippines	Imelda	2000	13.2%	21.3%	6.7%
Philippines	Imelda	2017	50.3%	59.8%	37.6%
Philippines	Impasug-Ong	2000	38.2%	66.3%	14.1%
Philippines	Impasug-Ong	2017	69.2%	89.5%	40.7%
Philippines	Imus	2000	88.5%	92.2%	83.0%
Philippines	Imus	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.6%
Philippines	Inabanga	2000	43.7%	95.6%	1.1%
Philippines	Inabanga	2017	70.3%	99.4%	8.9%
Philippines	Indanan	2000	21.4%	28.5%	14.2%
Philippines	Indanan	2017	47.1%	59.6%	36.9%
Philippines	Indang	2000	86.4%	97.7%	62.8%
Philippines	Indang	2017	97.6%	99.8%	90.1%
Philippines	Infanta	2000	47.1%	92.8%	1.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Infanta	2000	50.9%	89.8%	6.9%
Philippines	Infanta	2017	75.8%	98.2%	29.6%
Philippines	Infanta	2017	71.1%	99.0%	6.2%
Philippines	Initao	2000	34.3%	75.3%	3.3%
Philippines	Initao	2017	68.9%	91.8%	21.0%
Philippines	Inopacan	2000	36.0%	84.4%	0.8%
Philippines	Inopacan	2017	58.9%	96.1%	5.6%
Philippines	Ipil	2000	33.2%	50.1%	19.5%
Philippines	Ipil	2017	75.9%	86.8%	62.2%
Philippines	Iriga City	2000	29.3%	48.4%	15.1%
Philippines	Iriga City	2017	69.1%	85.7%	51.8%
Philippines	Irosin	2000	29.8%	39.0%	22.3%
Philippines	Irosin	2017	77.2%	84.3%	68.0%
Philippines	Isabel	2000	13.3%	39.8%	2.8%
Philippines	Isabel	2017	43.2%	75.7%	15.1%
Philippines	Isabela	2000	33.5%	85.8%	1.3%
Philippines	Isabela	2017	57.4%	96.4%	6.1%
Philippines	Isabela City	2000	20.5%	22.5%	18.6%
Philippines	Isabela City	2017	52.4%	58.1%	47.7%
Philippines	Isulan	2000	29.1%	39.7%	21.4%
Philippines	Isulan	2017	66.7%	76.5%	55.6%
Philippines	Itbayat	2000	79.5%	98.6%	44.2%
Philippines	Itbayat	2017	90.9%	99.6%	69.3%
Philippines	Itogon	2000	56.4%	74.8%	36.9%
Philippines	Itogon	2017	81.5%	92.3%	62.8%
Philippines	Ivana	2000	90.8%	99.1%	67.9%
Philippines	Ivana	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.8%
Philippines	Ivisan	2000	30.1%	80.3%	2.9%
Philippines	Ivisan	2017	60.9%	94.0%	12.7%
Philippines	Jabonga	2000	52.7%	92.3%	9.3%
Philippines	Jabonga	2017	76.1%	98.0%	30.1%
Philippines	Jaen	2000	52.5%	77.1%	33.0%
Philippines	Jaen	2017	77.6%	96.0%	55.3%
Philippines	Jagna	2000	73.4%	96.8%	32.6%
Philippines	Jagna	2017	91.8%	99.6%	68.6%
Philippines	Jala-Jala	2000	81.9%	99.3%	36.7%
Philippines	Jala-Jala	2017	94.9%	99.9%	60.9%
Philippines	Jamindan	2000	40.4%	83.6%	8.8%
Philippines	Jamindan	2017	65.3%	95.1%	23.4%
Philippines	Janiuay	2000	38.0%	60.4%	16.4%
Philippines	Janiuay	2017	79.2%	92.9%	54.7%
Philippines	Jaro	2000	41.2%	67.9%	18.9%
Philippines	Jaro	2017	78.5%	94.5%	56.1%
Philippines	Jasaan	2000	43.2%	54.4%	29.3%
Philippines	Jasaan	2017	76.4%	86.4%	66.6%
Philippines	Javier	2000	29.7%	46.7%	17.5%
Philippines	Javier	2017	75.2%	88.3%	59.8%
Philippines	Jetafe	2000	33.9%	76.8%	4.0%
Philippines	Jetafe	2017	64.7%	95.1%	16.7%
Philippines	Jiabong	2000	64.5%	83.7%	28.4%
Philippines	Jiabong	2017	85.7%	96.5%	63.8%
Philippines	Jimalalud	2000	12.0%	39.7%	0.9%
Philippines	Jimalalud	2017	33.2%	68.3%	7.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Jimenez	2000	43.4%	54.4%	31.8%
Philippines	Jimenez	2017	83.7%	88.3%	77.8%
Philippines	Jipapad	2000	8.2%	27.1%	0.7%
Philippines	Jipapad	2017	22.1%	47.4%	4.6%
Philippines	Jolo	2000	5.5%	15.1%	1.9%
Philippines	Jolo	2017	22.5%	47.2%	10.3%
Philippines	Jomalig	2000	43.6%	97.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Jomalig	2017	67.2%	99.6%	7.7%
Philippines	Jones	2000	22.9%	41.6%	10.1%
Philippines	Jones	2017	58.1%	77.1%	41.8%
Philippines	Jordan	2000	30.1%	60.1%	18.7%
Philippines	Jordan	2017	67.2%	87.6%	43.2%
Philippines	Jose Abad Santos	2000	32.9%	62.4%	10.9%
Philippines	Jose Abad Santos	2017	55.8%	83.0%	29.6%
Philippines	Jose Dalman	2000	31.0%	79.8%	1.2%
Philippines	Jose Dalman	2017	54.0%	94.3%	7.9%
Philippines	Jose Pangani-ban	2000	75.7%	91.0%	51.0%
Philippines	Jose Pangani-ban	2017	93.4%	98.6%	81.9%
Philippines	Josefina	2000	9.9%	17.9%	5.0%
Philippines	Josefina	2017	48.1%	62.0%	34.3%
Philippines	Jovellar	2000	21.2%	62.3%	2.0%
Philippines	Jovellar	2017	55.6%	90.1%	14.0%
Philippines	Juban	2000	43.8%	77.1%	8.7%
Philippines	Juban	2017	76.1%	94.6%	31.1%
Philippines	Julita	2000	16.6%	45.0%	7.2%
Philippines	Julita	2017	53.7%	77.4%	29.0%
Philippines	Kabacan	2000	38.5%	49.8%	22.2%
Philippines	Kabacan	2017	77.1%	82.0%	67.6%
Philippines	Kabankalan City	2000	29.4%	62.5%	3.7%
Philippines	Kabankalan City	2017	51.0%	75.5%	14.0%
Philippines	Kabasalan	2000	37.0%	86.9%	3.0%
Philippines	Kabasalan	2017	62.7%	97.3%	11.6%
Philippines	Kabayan	2000	28.2%	59.3%	8.5%
Philippines	Kabayan	2017	62.8%	83.3%	33.9%
Philippines	Kabugao	2000	18.6%	45.0%	3.7%
Philippines	Kabugao	2017	44.0%	73.8%	17.0%
Philippines	Kabuntalan	2000	40.1%	69.5%	6.6%
Philippines	Kabuntalan	2017	60.0%	84.4%	22.5%
Philippines	Kadingilan	2000	9.7%	19.1%	4.8%
Philippines	Kadingilan	2017	38.5%	54.9%	23.5%
Philippines	Kalamansig	2000	30.7%	65.7%	6.9%
Philippines	Kalamansig	2017	59.9%	84.9%	25.0%
Philippines	Kalawit	2000	42.1%	82.6%	8.3%
Philippines	Kalawit	2017	65.8%	94.5%	27.5%
Philippines	Kalayaan	2000	48.3%	82.0%	21.7%
Philippines	Kalayaan	2017	80.5%	96.8%	48.8%
Philippines	Kalibato Lake	2000	23.3%	35.1%	13.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Kalibato Lake	2017	72.6%	82.6%	60.3%
Philippines	Kalibo	2000	58.4%	71.1%	45.5%
Philippines	Kalibo	2017	91.0%	94.8%	84.6%
Philippines	Kalilangan	2000	21.3%	60.6%	1.3%
Philippines	Kalilangan	2017	44.3%	79.9%	10.0%
Philippines	Kalingalan Caluang	2000	0.6%	5.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Kalingalan Caluang	2017	2.5%	16.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Kalookan City	2000	79.3%	82.2%	75.9%
Philippines	Kalookan City	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.5%
Philippines	Kananga	2000	34.2%	83.7%	1.1%
Philippines	Kananga	2017	60.2%	96.2%	7.8%
Philippines	Kapai	2000	33.2%	72.0%	3.6%
Philippines	Kapai	2017	54.0%	79.4%	22.4%
Philippines	Kapalong	2000	34.7%	49.4%	21.0%
Philippines	Kapalong	2017	71.4%	82.1%	57.9%
Philippines	Kapangan	2000	44.0%	84.2%	11.4%
Philippines	Kapangan	2017	74.9%	97.0%	34.1%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2000	76.1%	88.7%	33.3%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2000	25.7%	74.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2017	46.1%	89.6%	3.1%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2017	91.6%	96.1%	75.5%
Philippines	Kasibu	2000	42.5%	87.6%	5.4%
Philippines	Kasibu	2017	66.4%	97.2%	21.3%
Philippines	Katipunan	2000	25.1%	42.9%	11.5%
Philippines	Katipunan	2017	60.4%	75.7%	42.1%
Philippines	Kauswagan	2000	58.8%	70.1%	44.1%
Philippines	Kauswagan	2017	89.7%	93.2%	84.7%
Philippines	Kawayan	2000	38.9%	72.6%	14.5%
Philippines	Kawayan	2017	76.6%	93.5%	47.1%
Philippines	Kawit	2000	92.2%	96.1%	86.6%
Philippines	Kawit	2017	99.0%	99.5%	98.2%
Philippines	Kayapa	2000	40.1%	81.3%	7.3%
Philippines	Kayapa	2017	65.7%	93.0%	28.6%
Philippines	Kiamba	2000	36.5%	74.3%	6.2%
Philippines	Kiamba	2017	63.3%	89.2%	23.1%
Philippines	Kiangan	2000	39.6%	45.6%	31.8%
Philippines	Kiangan	2017	56.3%	60.3%	53.3%
Philippines	Kibawe	2000	27.0%	55.0%	8.1%
Philippines	Kibawe	2017	67.0%	88.9%	35.8%
Philippines	Kiblawan	2000	37.7%	47.3%	23.9%
Philippines	Kiblawan	2017	79.1%	85.2%	70.0%
Philippines	Kibungan	2000	23.4%	75.6%	0.8%
Philippines	Kibungan	2017	51.2%	92.3%	5.8%
Philippines	Kidapawan City	2000	40.0%	48.8%	30.9%
Philippines	Kidapawan City	2017	60.9%	74.0%	52.0%
Philippines	Kinoguitan	2000	51.5%	89.6%	9.6%
Philippines	Kinoguitan	2017	84.7%	98.6%	36.7%
Philippines	Kitaotao	2000	56.3%	86.0%	21.5%
Philippines	Kitaotao	2017	83.4%	97.5%	53.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Kitcharao	2000	39.9%	96.8%	1.1%
Philippines	Kitcharao	2017	62.5%	99.3%	7.0%
Philippines	Kolambugan	2000	36.7%	94.0%	2.6%
Philippines	Kolambugan	2017	62.4%	98.6%	7.6%
Philippines	Koronadal	2000	74.1%	84.3%	53.5%
Philippines	City				
Philippines	Koronadal	2017	91.9%	94.0%	86.8%
Philippines	Kumalarang	2000	14.9%	30.8%	4.8%
Philippines	Kumalarang	2017	51.0%	72.2%	31.1%
Philippines	La Carlota	2000	29.5%	72.4%	3.0%
Philippines	City				
Philippines	La Carlota	2017	60.6%	87.0%	22.3%
Philippines	City				
Philippines	La Castellana	2000	9.6%	29.6%	1.7%
Philippines	La Castellana	2017	28.9%	58.9%	10.9%
Philippines	La Libertad	2000	12.8%	38.4%	0.8%
Philippines	La Libertad	2000	41.1%	97.6%	0.9%
Philippines	La Libertad	2017	38.2%	70.8%	7.5%
Philippines	La Libertad	2017	64.5%	99.6%	5.1%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	81.7%	89.5%	72.0%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	50.2%	70.8%	30.0%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	29.7%	77.9%	3.4%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	21.2%	51.6%	4.6%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	96.2%	98.5%	89.7%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	78.4%	91.4%	58.7%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	60.2%	93.5%	16.6%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	50.1%	78.0%	19.9%
Philippines	La Trinidad	2000	76.8%	83.4%	67.8%
Philippines	La Trinidad	2017	96.5%	97.9%	94.3%
Philippines	Laak	2000	36.8%	58.9%	16.2%
Philippines	Laak	2017	68.6%	86.0%	43.0%
Philippines	Labangan	2000	57.2%	74.9%	32.0%
Philippines	Labangan	2017	77.2%	83.0%	64.0%
Philippines	Labason	2000	40.0%	72.0%	11.6%
Philippines	Labason	2017	73.8%	93.8%	41.3%
Philippines	Labo	2000	49.4%	76.4%	21.4%
Philippines	Labo	2017	77.1%	93.0%	47.3%
Philippines	Labrador	2000	36.3%	94.6%	1.1%
Philippines	Labrador	2017	61.3%	99.3%	7.7%
Philippines	Lacub	2000	30.6%	79.4%	0.9%
Philippines	Lacub	2017	55.6%	95.1%	5.6%
Philippines	Lagangilang	2000	30.7%	53.1%	9.9%
Philippines	Lagangilang	2017	64.2%	83.3%	33.1%
Philippines	Lagawe	2000	62.1%	77.2%	48.8%
Philippines	Lagawe	2017	77.1%	88.0%	63.9%
Philippines	Lagayan	2000	42.5%	94.2%	1.0%
Philippines	Lagayan	2017	64.4%	99.0%	5.1%
Philippines	Lagonglong	2000	21.6%	49.7%	6.2%
Philippines	Lagonglong	2017	53.3%	79.5%	24.3%
Philippines	Lagonoy	2000	32.8%	69.5%	4.6%
Philippines	Lagonoy	2017	62.0%	90.0%	24.6%
Philippines	Laguindingan	2000	78.1%	93.4%	55.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Laguindingan	2017	95.8%	99.2%	84.1%
Philippines	Laguna lake	2000	76.8%	81.0%	71.7%
Philippines	Laguna lake	2017	93.2%	94.8%	90.5%
Philippines	Lake Sebu	2000	26.0%	52.2%	6.7%
Philippines	Lake Sebu	2017	48.0%	75.8%	23.1%
Philippines	Lakewood	2000	28.9%	76.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Lakewood	2017	55.1%	91.6%	5.1%
Philippines	Lakewood Lake	2000	32.4%	91.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Lakewood Lake	2017	59.0%	98.9%	0.7%
Philippines	Lal-Lo	2000	42.3%	88.7%	7.5%
Philippines	Lal-Lo	2017	68.3%	96.5%	25.4%
Philippines	Lala	2000	71.1%	84.7%	36.0%
Philippines	Lala	2017	92.2%	95.3%	78.9%
Philippines	Lambayong	2000	11.5%	39.5%	1.2%
Philippines	Lambayong	2017	34.6%	75.8%	8.0%
Philippines	Lambunao	2000	38.7%	67.3%	15.2%
Philippines	Lambunao	2017	75.6%	93.0%	46.9%
Philippines	Lamitan City	2000	28.1%	38.1%	21.6%
Philippines	Lamitan City	2017	66.9%	76.2%	57.7%
Philippines	Lamut	2000	32.4%	56.4%	16.6%
Philippines	Lamut	2017	54.9%	77.0%	35.3%
Philippines	Lanao Lake	2000	21.4%	45.7%	4.9%
Philippines	Lanao Lake	2017	32.1%	69.1%	10.6%
Philippines	Langiden	2000	54.8%	67.0%	35.9%
Philippines	Langiden	2017	90.3%	94.5%	82.7%
Philippines	Languyan	2000	23.5%	59.2%	1.6%
Philippines	Languyan	2017	44.5%	79.5%	8.7%
Philippines	Lantapan	2000	36.9%	87.3%	4.5%
Philippines	Lantapan	2017	61.5%	97.6%	22.0%
Philippines	Lantawan	2000	27.2%	43.9%	13.2%
Philippines	Lantawan	2017	55.5%	71.2%	39.4%
Philippines	Lanuza	2000	34.2%	64.9%	11.8%
Philippines	Lanuza	2017	69.8%	91.2%	41.0%
Philippines	Laoac	2000	71.7%	84.0%	54.1%
Philippines	Laoac	2017	93.5%	97.4%	87.6%
Philippines	Laoag City	2000	91.1%	97.5%	58.5%
Philippines	Laoag City	2017	97.7%	99.4%	87.4%
Philippines	Laoang	2000	30.7%	55.0%	14.5%
Philippines	Laoang	2017	69.8%	85.8%	47.1%
Philippines	Lapinig	2000	9.4%	14.6%	6.3%
Philippines	Lapinig	2017	44.0%	51.5%	35.8%
Philippines	Lapu-Lapu City	2000	34.2%	37.4%	30.6%
Philippines	Lapu-Lapu City	2017	78.5%	80.6%	76.6%
Philippines	Lapuyan	2000	32.9%	87.1%	1.2%
Philippines	Lapuyan	2017	59.8%	97.0%	7.7%
Philippines	Larena	2000	78.9%	87.3%	68.4%
Philippines	Larena	2017	95.1%	96.9%	92.8%
Philippines	Las Navas	2000	32.8%	54.3%	13.5%
Philippines	Las Navas	2017	59.4%	78.2%	36.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Las Nieves	2000	48.7%	68.3%	28.4%
Philippines	Las Nieves	2017	78.9%	90.2%	62.9%
Philippines	Las Piñas	2000	86.0%	89.8%	81.5%
Philippines	Las Piñas	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Philippines	Lasam	2000	35.4%	76.2%	3.3%
Philippines	Lasam	2017	67.2%	94.7%	19.7%
Philippines	Laua-An	2000	88.8%	98.5%	63.1%
Philippines	Laua-An	2017	95.5%	99.7%	79.2%
Philippines	Laur	2000	72.0%	99.4%	15.2%
Philippines	Laur	2017	87.4%	99.9%	41.5%
Philippines	Laurel	2000	64.4%	82.5%	41.4%
Philippines	Laurel	2017	89.7%	96.9%	74.3%
Philippines	Lavezares	2000	32.7%	54.1%	21.7%
Philippines	Lavezares	2017	70.5%	87.6%	52.7%
Philippines	Lawaan	2000	55.1%	98.1%	5.5%
Philippines	Lawaan	2017	78.6%	99.8%	18.6%
Philippines	Lazi	2000	27.1%	39.2%	18.1%
Philippines	Lazi	2017	58.6%	68.2%	47.5%
Philippines	Lebak	2000	18.1%	40.6%	3.3%
Philippines	Lebak	2017	48.5%	73.2%	21.0%
Philippines	Leganes	2000	37.2%	53.8%	23.1%
Philippines	Leganes	2017	85.4%	92.0%	70.1%
Philippines	Legazpi City	2000	48.7%	57.0%	41.9%
Philippines	Legazpi City	2017	88.2%	91.0%	84.9%
Philippines	Lemery	2000	35.5%	80.5%	5.7%
Philippines	Lemery	2000	58.2%	81.2%	30.4%
Philippines	Lemery	2017	69.8%	94.8%	21.5%
Philippines	Lemery	2017	88.4%	96.7%	70.4%
Philippines	Leon	2000	51.9%	86.5%	14.4%
Philippines	Leon	2017	81.2%	97.9%	43.0%
Philippines	Leyte	2000	29.5%	74.2%	1.9%
Philippines	Leyte	2017	56.5%	93.3%	10.6%
Philippines	Lezo	2000	49.9%	76.5%	26.1%
Philippines	Lezo	2017	83.1%	96.8%	64.1%
Philippines	Lian	2000	40.1%	95.5%	0.6%
Philippines	Lian	2017	64.2%	99.4%	5.8%
Philippines	Lianga	2000	47.6%	93.1%	1.8%
Philippines	Lianga	2017	73.5%	98.9%	9.9%
Philippines	Libacao	2000	44.3%	91.4%	3.8%
Philippines	Libacao	2017	67.3%	98.0%	17.0%
Philippines	Libagon	2000	38.7%	85.6%	5.1%
Philippines	Libagon	2017	67.3%	97.6%	21.6%
Philippines	Libertad	2000	62.2%	97.7%	7.7%
Philippines	Libertad	2000	48.7%	97.7%	2.3%
Philippines	Libertad	2017	72.3%	99.7%	13.1%
Philippines	Libertad	2017	84.8%	99.8%	32.9%
Philippines	Libjo	2000	49.7%	68.1%	30.9%
Philippines	Libjo	2017	82.6%	92.2%	68.2%
Philippines	Libmanan	2000	33.7%	52.6%	17.5%
Philippines	Libmanan	2017	71.2%	85.2%	50.9%
Philippines	Libon	2000	27.2%	42.0%	14.6%
Philippines	Libon	2017	67.5%	81.6%	52.2%
Philippines	Libona	2000	55.8%	74.1%	38.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Libona	2017	84.4%	94.8%	70.1%
Philippines	Libungan	2000	33.5%	54.1%	15.3%
Philippines	Libungan	2017	67.7%	80.9%	48.5%
Philippines	Licab	2000	78.6%	99.8%	29.1%
Philippines	Licab	2017	93.2%	100.0%	50.0%
Philippines	Licuan-Baay	2000	40.1%	62.6%	18.8%
Philippines	Licuan-Baay	2017	68.7%	86.8%	45.7%
Philippines	Lidlidda	2000	77.6%	97.4%	34.9%
Philippines	Lidlidda	2017	95.1%	99.7%	74.9%
Philippines	Ligao City	2000	32.9%	69.8%	5.1%
Philippines	Ligao City	2017	63.4%	90.4%	20.0%
Philippines	Lila	2000	47.1%	96.1%	2.2%
Philippines	Lila	2017	74.2%	99.6%	11.9%
Philippines	Liliw	2000	75.5%	87.9%	47.8%
Philippines	Liliw	2017	91.6%	97.3%	82.1%
Philippines	Liloan	2000	49.8%	60.6%	39.0%
Philippines	Liloan	2000	34.7%	91.1%	2.5%
Philippines	Liloan	2017	62.1%	98.3%	14.3%
Philippines	Liloan	2017	88.7%	92.2%	84.4%
Philippines	Liloy	2000	30.5%	49.3%	14.2%
Philippines	Liloy	2017	70.0%	85.1%	48.6%
Philippines	Limasawa	2000	32.6%	95.7%	0.4%
Philippines	Limasawa	2017	59.0%	99.2%	3.5%
Philippines	Limay	2000	44.6%	71.3%	19.0%
Philippines	Limay	2017	79.3%	94.6%	50.2%
Philippines	Linamon	2000	64.5%	77.8%	42.2%
Philippines	Linamon	2017	89.4%	93.1%	82.9%
Philippines	Linapacan	2000	36.4%	77.2%	7.0%
Philippines	Linapacan	2017	59.9%	93.8%	24.1%
Philippines	Lingayen	2000	14.4%	55.8%	1.1%
Philippines	Lingayen	2017	39.6%	85.9%	6.8%
Philippines	Lingig	2000	45.5%	88.0%	10.5%
Philippines	Lingig	2017	69.8%	97.2%	27.8%
Philippines	Lipa City	2000	75.7%	97.9%	30.2%
Philippines	Lipa City	2017	92.2%	99.8%	59.3%
Philippines	Llanera	2000	70.9%	99.2%	13.2%
Philippines	Llanera	2017	87.3%	99.9%	41.2%
Philippines	Llorente	2000	47.6%	91.9%	8.2%
Philippines	Llorente	2017	76.6%	98.6%	30.5%
Philippines	Loay	2000	64.2%	88.7%	31.4%
Philippines	Loay	2017	90.9%	98.5%	70.7%
Philippines	Lobo	2000	51.2%	95.8%	7.1%
Philippines	Lobo	2017	75.5%	99.4%	28.0%
Philippines	Loboc	2000	69.6%	87.7%	50.7%
Philippines	Loboc	2017	93.3%	98.3%	85.2%
Philippines	Looc	2000	43.1%	57.6%	29.5%
Philippines	Looc	2000	39.4%	78.4%	5.8%
Philippines	Looc	2017	63.8%	95.8%	22.9%
Philippines	Looc	2017	81.7%	89.3%	72.7%
Philippines	Loon	2000	45.8%	93.5%	5.3%
Philippines	Loon	2017	71.5%	99.1%	21.6%
Philippines	Lope de Vega	2000	25.1%	66.0%	1.6%
Philippines	Lope de Vega	2017	52.4%	88.4%	9.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Lopez	2000	45.5%	83.7%	9.4%
Philippines	Lopez	2017	73.0%	97.0%	27.9%
Philippines	Lopez Jaena	2000	17.2%	39.3%	5.7%
Philippines	Lopez Jaena	2017	55.0%	76.8%	31.3%
Philippines	Loreto	2000	32.3%	60.2%	11.4%
Philippines	Loreto	2000	40.3%	83.8%	7.0%
Philippines	Loreto	2017	64.0%	86.1%	36.8%
Philippines	Loreto	2017	68.5%	96.0%	28.0%
Philippines	Los Baños	2000	22.2%	26.9%	17.1%
Philippines	Los Baños	2017	66.1%	70.5%	61.2%
Philippines	Luba	2000	33.4%	43.3%	24.0%
Philippines	Luba	2017	72.9%	79.4%	63.7%
Philippines	Lubang	2000	41.4%	90.3%	4.0%
Philippines	Lubang	2017	65.4%	98.2%	18.5%
Philippines	Lubao	2000	86.7%	97.6%	62.7%
Philippines	Lubao	2017	97.0%	99.7%	87.0%
Philippines	Lubuagan	2000	45.1%	79.6%	7.5%
Philippines	Lubuagan	2017	73.2%	92.4%	35.4%
Philippines	Lucban	2000	80.8%	99.6%	23.9%
Philippines	Lucban	2017	93.0%	99.9%	49.4%
Philippines	Lucena City	2000	40.7%	51.6%	28.6%
Philippines	Lucena City	2017	83.2%	89.0%	71.5%
Philippines	Lugait	2000	1.4%	2.3%	0.7%
Philippines	Lugait	2017	2.7%	5.5%	1.8%
Philippines	Lugus	2000	1.5%	6.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Lugus	2017	7.4%	26.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Luisiana	2000	86.8%	99.9%	30.4%
Philippines	Luisiana	2017	95.3%	100.0%	66.6%
Philippines	Lumba-Bayabao	2000	43.0%	86.8%	4.9%
Philippines	Lumba-Bayabao	2017	63.6%	92.7%	15.9%
Philippines	Lumbaca Un-ayan	2000	55.8%	99.9%	0.7%
Philippines	Lumbaca Un-ayan	2017	76.9%	100.0%	6.4%
Philippines	Lumban	2000	60.7%	81.5%	31.7%
Philippines	Lumban	2017	88.2%	97.2%	62.2%
Philippines	Lumbatan	2000	44.8%	96.5%	1.0%
Philippines	Lumbatan	2017	66.7%	99.5%	6.7%
Philippines	Lumbayanague	2000	48.6%	99.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Lumbayanague	2017	66.7%	99.9%	0.9%
Philippines	Luna	2000	13.8%	30.9%	6.2%
Philippines	Luna	2000	59.1%	77.9%	40.2%
Philippines	Luna	2000	45.4%	98.3%	1.2%
Philippines	Luna	2017	42.5%	60.0%	25.8%
Philippines	Luna	2017	89.0%	96.3%	70.5%
Philippines	Luna	2017	72.9%	99.9%	9.2%
Philippines	Lupao	2000	50.2%	97.2%	3.6%
Philippines	Lupao	2017	73.0%	99.7%	15.1%
Philippines	Lupi	2000	40.2%	86.6%	5.7%
Philippines	Lupi	2017	66.8%	97.7%	19.8%
Philippines	Lupon	2000	24.3%	36.6%	9.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Lupon	2017	46.2%	74.1%	32.5%
Philippines	Lutayan	2000	39.9%	83.8%	6.3%
Philippines	Lutayan	2017	71.8%	97.2%	24.6%
Philippines	Luuk	2000	18.5%	46.7%	1.2%
Philippines	Luuk	2017	25.3%	42.8%	6.6%
Philippines	M'Lang	2000	15.6%	37.1%	6.4%
Philippines	M'Lang	2017	39.0%	60.0%	21.6%
Philippines	Ma-Ayon	2000	26.3%	61.0%	5.9%
Philippines	Ma-Ayon	2017	54.2%	88.0%	23.0%
Philippines	Maasim	2000	40.1%	79.5%	7.2%
Philippines	Maasim	2017	61.7%	91.4%	17.9%
Philippines	Maasin	2000	39.7%	76.7%	10.9%
Philippines	Maasin	2017	75.0%	95.4%	32.1%
Philippines	Maasin City	2000	59.8%	89.8%	23.6%
Philippines	Maasin City	2017	85.5%	97.6%	52.9%
Philippines	Mabalacat	2000	96.5%	98.9%	88.7%
Philippines	Mabalacat	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.2%
Philippines	Mabinay	2000	21.7%	61.2%	2.5%
Philippines	Mabinay	2017	46.7%	83.8%	12.6%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	40.6%	75.1%	11.9%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	53.8%	98.3%	3.9%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	32.0%	69.7%	7.4%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	48.7%	81.0%	15.4%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	74.0%	99.8%	11.5%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	80.3%	95.8%	50.3%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	76.7%	94.6%	40.9%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	58.9%	89.2%	23.5%
Philippines	Mabitac	2000	43.5%	59.3%	27.5%
Philippines	Mabitac	2017	79.7%	90.4%	63.0%
Philippines	Mabuhay	2000	21.6%	71.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Mabuhay	2017	42.7%	85.8%	1.2%
Philippines	Macabebe	2000	97.4%	99.9%	82.3%
Philippines	Macabebe	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.7%
Philippines	Macalelon	2000	44.5%	97.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Macalelon	2017	67.2%	99.6%	3.5%
Philippines	Macarthur	2000	34.3%	61.6%	16.9%
Philippines	Macarthur	2017	75.0%	91.1%	49.7%
Philippines	Maco	2000	45.0%	75.5%	17.1%
Philippines	Maco	2017	73.7%	94.0%	47.6%
Philippines	Maconacon	2000	31.0%	91.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Maconacon	2017	54.2%	97.3%	2.8%
Philippines	Macrohon	2000	47.6%	85.5%	10.5%
Philippines	Macrohon	2017	80.0%	97.8%	40.1%
Philippines	Madalag	2000	36.0%	62.3%	10.4%
Philippines	Madalag	2017	69.2%	87.0%	40.4%
Philippines	Madalum	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Madalum	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Madamba	2000	0.4%	3.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Madamba	2017	1.9%	11.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Maddela	2000	47.2%	62.8%	30.7%
Philippines	Maddela	2017	80.5%	89.6%	68.3%
Philippines	Madrid	2000	20.9%	57.1%	3.0%
Philippines	Madrid	2017	54.4%	87.6%	14.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Madridejos	2000	45.7%	98.6%	0.6%
Philippines	Madridejos	2017	69.9%	99.8%	3.7%
Philippines	Magalang	2000	92.4%	99.7%	66.4%
Philippines	Magalang	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.6%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	40.8%	91.6%	1.4%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	73.9%	89.6%	56.2%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	55.6%	92.8%	17.0%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	64.1%	98.7%	8.7%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	82.9%	99.1%	42.4%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	93.7%	98.6%	78.5%
Philippines	Magarao	2000	35.9%	42.8%	30.6%
Philippines	Magarao	2017	84.2%	87.7%	78.8%
Philippines	Magdalena	2000	79.4%	99.8%	28.9%
Philippines	Magdalena	2017	91.2%	100.0%	51.1%
Philippines	Magdiwang	2000	44.7%	70.6%	25.7%
Philippines	Magdiwang	2017	80.0%	94.8%	53.6%
Philippines	Magpet	2000	48.6%	86.6%	15.2%
Philippines	Magpet	2017	72.9%	96.4%	32.7%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	71.9%	86.7%	51.8%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	15.9%	69.2%	1.0%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	9.6%	29.0%	1.0%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	40.1%	61.0%	23.6%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	26.9%	70.5%	3.5%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	57.3%	90.1%	16.6%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	29.2%	55.8%	7.5%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	89.5%	97.0%	76.3%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	35.0%	90.6%	4.6%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	80.5%	92.0%	58.1%
Philippines	Magsingal	2000	70.2%	94.0%	27.5%
Philippines	Magsingal	2017	89.7%	99.1%	61.3%
Philippines	Maguing	2000	44.8%	93.4%	3.1%
Philippines	Maguing	2017	64.8%	97.3%	12.9%
Philippines	Mahaplag	2000	36.0%	91.5%	1.3%
Philippines	Mahaplag	2017	62.3%	98.6%	9.0%
Philippines	Mahatao	2000	93.6%	98.7%	82.2%
Philippines	Mahatao	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.5%
Philippines	Mahayag	2000	17.5%	32.6%	8.4%
Philippines	Mahayag	2017	55.3%	78.7%	35.5%
Philippines	Mahinog	2000	92.1%	99.4%	53.8%
Philippines	Mahinog	2017	98.7%	99.9%	90.7%
Philippines	Maigo	2000	17.1%	59.3%	0.7%
Philippines	Maigo	2017	41.6%	84.1%	5.3%
Philippines	Maimbung	2000	85.7%	97.6%	60.7%
Philippines	Maimbung	2017	96.6%	99.7%	86.4%
Philippines	Mainit	2000	63.2%	94.1%	34.1%
Philippines	Mainit	2017	86.0%	99.2%	57.6%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2000	44.1%	98.5%	1.1%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2000	75.8%	93.5%	53.7%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2017	93.8%	99.2%	78.6%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2017	67.8%	99.8%	10.7%
Philippines	Maitum	2000	42.9%	68.6%	19.0%
Philippines	Maitum	2017	73.8%	90.6%	48.8%
Philippines	Majayjay	2000	94.5%	99.2%	78.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Majayjay	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.8%
Philippines	Makati City	2000	94.1%	95.7%	92.5%
Philippines	Makati City	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Philippines	Makato	2000	49.1%	82.0%	19.3%
Philippines	Makato	2017	82.9%	97.1%	56.4%
Philippines	Makilala	2000	42.5%	60.1%	20.5%
Philippines	Makilala	2017	70.2%	84.9%	49.6%
Philippines	Malabang	2000	1.0%	3.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Malabang	2017	5.3%	13.6%	2.2%
Philippines	Malabon	2000	45.6%	48.9%	42.8%
Philippines	Malabon	2017	84.9%	87.0%	82.7%
Philippines	Malabuyoc	2000	42.3%	95.5%	1.5%
Philippines	Malabuyoc	2017	69.0%	99.4%	10.6%
Philippines	Malalag	2000	46.0%	81.2%	13.4%
Philippines	Malalag	2017	74.7%	94.8%	39.8%
Philippines	Malangas	2000	15.1%	34.6%	4.1%
Philippines	Malangas	2017	50.3%	68.9%	27.4%
Philippines	Malapatan	2000	43.0%	74.7%	11.6%
Philippines	Malapatan	2017	67.1%	91.7%	29.2%
Philippines	Malasiqui	2000	37.5%	84.0%	3.5%
Philippines	Malasiqui	2017	67.7%	97.5%	23.4%
Philippines	Malay	2000	76.1%	96.2%	39.1%
Philippines	Malay	2017	92.7%	99.5%	74.0%
Philippines	Malaybalay City	2000	53.5%	81.4%	23.6%
Philippines	Malaybalay City	2017	77.0%	94.5%	44.2%
Philippines	Malibcong	2000	33.3%	86.7%	2.0%
Philippines	Malibcong	2017	57.8%	97.0%	6.9%
Philippines	Malilipot	2000	18.9%	28.7%	11.3%
Philippines	Malilipot	2017	58.1%	67.9%	47.4%
Philippines	Malimono	2000	50.7%	90.5%	18.1%
Philippines	Malimono	2017	74.7%	98.6%	36.7%
Philippines	Malinao	2000	19.2%	74.4%	1.4%
Philippines	Malinao	2000	85.7%	97.1%	66.9%
Philippines	Malinao	2017	46.1%	95.5%	6.6%
Philippines	Malinao	2017	96.6%	99.6%	87.2%
Philippines	Malita	2000	24.5%	34.7%	17.3%
Philippines	Malita	2017	61.8%	71.6%	52.6%
Philippines	Malitbog	2000	39.9%	83.8%	5.1%
Philippines	Malitbog	2000	42.6%	94.1%	2.5%
Philippines	Malitbog	2017	69.2%	99.1%	10.8%
Philippines	Malitbog	2017	65.1%	96.7%	22.7%
Philippines	Mallig	2000	29.6%	37.1%	22.5%
Philippines	Mallig	2017	65.6%	68.5%	62.4%
Philippines	Malolos City	2000	97.2%	99.9%	88.9%
Philippines	Malolos City	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.0%
Philippines	Malungon	2000	35.1%	68.7%	10.5%
Philippines	Malungon	2017	67.4%	90.9%	38.8%
Philippines	Maluso	2000	14.6%	38.7%	3.5%
Philippines	Maluso	2017	42.8%	67.9%	19.2%
Philippines	Malvar	2000	87.9%	94.9%	75.7%
Philippines	Malvar	2017	98.1%	99.4%	94.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Mamasapano	2000	11.9%	31.1%	3.6%
Philippines	Mamasapano	2017	40.4%	62.1%	18.9%
Philippines	Mambajao	2000	85.3%	93.8%	74.1%
Philippines	Mambajao	2017	97.4%	99.3%	93.4%
Philippines	Mamburao	2000	68.6%	86.3%	50.1%
Philippines	Mamburao	2017	86.9%	96.3%	74.7%
Philippines	Mambusao	2000	71.5%	82.2%	55.6%
Philippines	Mambusao	2017	89.5%	94.4%	80.4%
Philippines	Manabo	2000	22.1%	29.9%	16.4%
Philippines	Manabo	2017	66.4%	76.8%	55.2%
Philippines	Manaoag	2000	66.0%	79.3%	46.8%
Philippines	Manaoag	2017	91.9%	96.2%	86.1%
Philippines	Manapla	2000	33.7%	91.9%	1.5%
Philippines	Manapla	2017	59.3%	98.1%	9.3%
Philippines	Manay	2000	29.9%	58.7%	7.4%
Philippines	Manay	2017	61.4%	86.4%	30.7%
Philippines	Mandaluyong	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.6%
Philippines	Mandaluyong	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Philippines	Mandaon	2000	43.1%	90.4%	3.5%
Philippines	Mandaon	2017	67.4%	97.8%	16.0%
Philippines	Mandaue City	2000	29.5%	33.0%	26.0%
Philippines	Mandaue City	2017	78.9%	81.2%	76.7%
Philippines	Mangaldan	2000	38.1%	43.8%	32.6%
Philippines	Mangaldan	2017	85.3%	88.0%	82.4%
Philippines	Mangatarem	2000	43.6%	71.8%	16.3%
Philippines	Mangatarem	2017	75.0%	91.8%	43.6%
Philippines	Mangudadatu	2000	20.6%	52.0%	0.6%
Philippines	Mangudadatu	2017	49.4%	75.3%	7.9%
Philippines	Manila	2000	73.3%	76.7%	69.8%
Philippines	Manila	2017	96.1%	96.6%	95.4%
Philippines	Manito	2000	38.8%	95.3%	1.0%
Philippines	Manito	2017	65.8%	99.3%	7.2%
Philippines	Manjuyod	2000	42.3%	90.9%	3.5%
Philippines	Manjuyod	2017	71.3%	99.1%	21.3%
Philippines	Mankayan	2000	32.7%	38.1%	28.1%
Philippines	Mankayan	2017	77.1%	80.6%	73.6%
Philippines	Manolo Fortich	2000	39.8%	71.8%	16.6%
Philippines	Manolo Fortich	2017	72.4%	93.3%	47.0%
Philippines	Mansalay	2000	54.0%	89.2%	16.6%
Philippines	Mansalay	2017	76.3%	97.2%	37.8%
Philippines	Manticao	2000	8.1%	11.9%	5.0%
Philippines	Manticao	2017	22.9%	26.9%	18.2%
Philippines	Manukan	2000	18.5%	63.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Manukan	2017	38.4%	79.2%	2.1%
Philippines	Mapanas	2000	36.8%	91.7%	1.4%
Philippines	Mapanas	2017	63.6%	98.9%	9.3%
Philippines	Mapandan	2000	34.9%	46.9%	24.4%
Philippines	Mapandan	2017	81.7%	87.4%	73.6%
Philippines	Mapun	2000	35.9%	90.9%	1.4%
Philippines	Mapun	2017	58.7%	98.1%	6.2%
Philippines	Marabut	2000	39.5%	85.7%	2.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Marabut	2017	62.8%	96.9%	9.3%
Philippines	Maragondon	2000	68.8%	96.1%	26.2%
Philippines	Maragondon	2017	88.5%	99.3%	50.2%
Philippines	Maragusan	2000	27.3%	56.2%	5.6%
Philippines	Maragusan	2017	63.1%	86.6%	28.6%
Philippines	Maramag	2000	34.5%	53.2%	17.6%
Philippines	Maramag	2017	61.6%	80.5%	41.7%
Philippines	Marantao	2000	6.7%	18.5%	3.5%
Philippines	Marantao	2017	19.1%	39.1%	11.3%
Philippines	Marawi City	2000	4.7%	26.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Marawi City	2017	13.1%	38.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Marcos	2000	68.4%	76.4%	55.6%
Philippines	Marcos	2017	90.1%	93.5%	85.4%
Philippines	Margosatubig	2000	40.1%	92.8%	1.4%
Philippines	Margosatubig	2017	63.4%	98.8%	7.4%
Philippines	Maria	2000	28.3%	34.3%	22.0%
Philippines	Maria	2017	71.1%	75.6%	65.7%
Philippines	Maria Aurora	2000	85.4%	95.5%	67.8%
Philippines	Maria Aurora	2017	94.8%	99.4%	85.3%
Philippines	Maribojoc	2000	52.3%	97.5%	4.3%
Philippines	Maribojoc	2017	78.4%	99.7%	24.0%
Philippines	Marihatag	2000	51.2%	93.4%	6.5%
Philippines	Marihatag	2017	72.5%	98.3%	22.3%
Philippines	Marikina	2000	97.3%	98.9%	93.3%
Philippines	Marikina	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Philippines	Marilao	2000	93.0%	95.9%	86.9%
Philippines	Marilao	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.4%
Philippines	Maripipi	2000	49.6%	98.3%	1.8%
Philippines	Maripipi	2017	73.7%	99.8%	13.4%
Philippines	Mariveles	2000	67.3%	93.2%	24.1%
Philippines	Mariveles	2017	87.8%	99.0%	58.4%
Philippines	Marogong	2000	38.4%	56.3%	20.5%
Philippines	Marogong	2017	57.5%	72.6%	35.6%
Philippines	Masantol	2000	72.5%	96.7%	30.4%
Philippines	Masantol	2017	90.3%	99.5%	59.5%
Philippines	Masbate City	2000	39.8%	91.5%	3.2%
Philippines	Masbate City	2017	68.1%	98.3%	14.3%
Philippines	Masinloc	2000	69.2%	82.7%	57.1%
Philippines	Masinloc	2017	90.9%	96.7%	79.4%
Philippines	Masiu	2000	57.8%	99.5%	1.4%
Philippines	Masiu	2017	79.4%	99.9%	9.7%
Philippines	Maslog	2000	28.0%	76.6%	1.2%
Philippines	Maslog	2017	51.8%	92.1%	6.5%
Philippines	Mataas Na Kahoy	2000	66.7%	99.7%	3.1%
Philippines	Mataas Na Kahoy	2017	85.0%	100.0%	12.7%
Philippines	Matag-Ob	2000	18.9%	63.4%	2.0%
Philippines	Matag-Ob	2017	50.0%	82.8%	12.6%
Philippines	Matalam	2000	35.0%	74.7%	5.0%
Philippines	Matalam	2017	62.1%	89.8%	22.1%
Philippines	Matalom	2000	51.1%	95.4%	11.0%
Philippines	Matalom	2017	74.8%	99.4%	30.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Matanao	2000	30.8%	39.5%	23.8%
Philippines	Matanao	2017	72.2%	77.7%	63.7%
Philippines	Matanog	2000	23.0%	54.8%	5.3%
Philippines	Matanog	2017	48.1%	76.5%	19.5%
Philippines	Mati City	2000	43.5%	50.7%	36.3%
Philippines	Mati City	2017	73.3%	80.1%	65.7%
Philippines	Matnog	2000	31.3%	45.4%	18.7%
Philippines	Matnog	2017	78.3%	88.7%	64.2%
Philippines	Matuguinao	2000	33.7%	80.3%	1.7%
Philippines	Matuguinao	2017	55.1%	90.4%	10.9%
Philippines	Matungao	2000	28.9%	38.0%	21.0%
Philippines	Matungao	2017	77.3%	83.6%	69.8%
Philippines	Mauban	2000	53.0%	92.3%	11.1%
Philippines	Mauban	2017	75.6%	98.2%	21.5%
Philippines	Mawab	2000	43.7%	91.6%	4.5%
Philippines	Mawab	2017	68.6%	98.6%	13.1%
Philippines	Mayantoc	2000	53.4%	96.8%	3.6%
Philippines	Mayantoc	2017	74.5%	99.4%	13.9%
Philippines	Maydolong	2000	49.1%	92.0%	6.0%
Philippines	Maydolong	2017	74.3%	98.4%	24.7%
Philippines	Mayorga	2000	24.1%	46.3%	11.4%
Philippines	Mayorga	2017	67.3%	83.0%	45.3%
Philippines	Mayoyao	2000	38.3%	82.1%	5.6%
Philippines	Mayoyao	2017	68.1%	95.2%	23.5%
Philippines	Medellin	2000	32.8%	84.4%	1.2%
Philippines	Medellin	2017	59.9%	97.7%	6.3%
Philippines	Medina	2000	39.7%	98.1%	0.6%
Philippines	Medina	2017	67.3%	99.8%	7.0%
Philippines	Mendez	2000	86.3%	99.0%	53.6%
Philippines	Mendez	2017	97.6%	99.9%	84.9%
Philippines	Mercedes	2000	40.6%	61.2%	23.0%
Philippines	Mercedes	2000	50.0%	73.2%	34.3%
Philippines	Mercedes	2017	81.8%	90.7%	67.0%
Philippines	Mercedes	2017	78.8%	94.9%	59.6%
Philippines	Merida	2000	34.5%	87.8%	1.1%
Philippines	Merida	2017	59.0%	96.6%	7.7%
Philippines	Mexico	2000	72.6%	87.8%	57.3%
Philippines	Mexico	2017	91.6%	98.3%	77.1%
Philippines	Meycauayan City	2000	85.1%	88.6%	81.3%
Philippines	Meycauayan City	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Philippines	Miagao	2000	55.8%	76.3%	33.0%
Philippines	Miagao	2017	87.6%	96.6%	69.1%
Philippines	Midsalip	2000	15.7%	43.4%	1.8%
Philippines	Midsalip	2017	44.1%	72.0%	13.9%
Philippines	Midsayap	2000	16.5%	33.4%	9.2%
Philippines	Midsayap	2017	50.9%	67.1%	37.7%
Philippines	Milagros	2000	33.8%	63.6%	10.1%
Philippines	Milagros	2017	64.4%	88.9%	32.4%
Philippines	Milaor	2000	72.9%	82.2%	59.7%
Philippines	Milaor	2017	95.3%	97.2%	92.4%
Philippines	Mina	2000	40.0%	81.3%	5.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Mina	2017	72.9%	96.6%	25.8%
Philippines	Minalabac	2000	63.3%	89.2%	33.8%
Philippines	Minalabac	2017	84.6%	98.2%	60.2%
Philippines	Minalin	2000	94.7%	98.1%	88.4%
Philippines	Minalin	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.5%
Philippines	Minglanilla	2000	53.6%	64.6%	42.7%
Philippines	Minglanilla	2017	89.6%	93.8%	79.2%
Philippines	Moalboal	2000	29.5%	78.8%	1.5%
Philippines	Moalboal	2017	55.9%	92.5%	12.9%
Philippines	Mobo	2000	42.3%	80.9%	11.2%
Philippines	Mobo	2017	76.4%	97.5%	44.6%
Philippines	Mogpog	2000	31.1%	38.1%	25.7%
Philippines	Mogpog	2017	77.7%	84.0%	72.2%
Philippines	Moises Padilla	2000	8.3%	34.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Moises Padilla	2017	21.2%	67.7%	1.5%
Philippines	Molave	2000	16.3%	25.2%	10.2%
Philippines	Molave	2017	53.9%	69.9%	36.7%
Philippines	Moncada	2000	36.6%	43.3%	30.3%
Philippines	Moncada	2017	77.7%	82.7%	72.4%
Philippines	Mondragon	2000	43.7%	87.3%	5.4%
Philippines	Mondragon	2017	68.1%	97.6%	17.1%
Philippines	Monkayo	2000	10.7%	25.0%	3.7%
Philippines	Monkayo	2017	30.4%	46.3%	18.8%
Philippines	Monreal	2000	29.2%	78.5%	1.2%
Philippines	Monreal	2017	55.8%	94.5%	7.8%
Philippines	Montevista	2000	37.4%	92.9%	2.7%
Philippines	Montevista	2017	63.3%	98.7%	14.7%
Philippines	Morong	2000	90.3%	97.3%	75.8%
Philippines	Morong	2000	78.9%	85.7%	67.8%
Philippines	Morong	2017	94.9%	97.2%	91.7%
Philippines	Morong	2017	98.4%	99.7%	94.6%
Philippines	Motiong	2000	47.2%	67.9%	16.4%
Philippines	Motiong	2017	75.8%	91.8%	54.1%
Philippines	Mulanay	2000	37.2%	80.3%	2.7%
Philippines	Mulanay	2017	60.6%	94.0%	12.7%
Philippines	Mulondo	2000	44.7%	98.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Mulondo	2017	67.2%	99.8%	2.4%
Philippines	Munai	2000	0.8%	6.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Munai	2017	4.4%	19.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Muñoz City	2000	53.1%	71.1%	31.0%
Philippines	Muñoz City	2017	87.9%	95.6%	74.5%
Philippines	Muntinlupa	2000	77.1%	81.5%	73.0%
Philippines	Muntinlupa	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Philippines	Murcia	2000	31.9%	55.0%	17.0%
Philippines	Murcia	2017	70.6%	87.6%	47.6%
Philippines	Mutia	2000	41.3%	96.6%	1.2%
Philippines	Mutia	2017	65.5%	99.6%	7.1%
Philippines	Naawan	2000	9.4%	19.1%	4.0%
Philippines	Naawan	2017	41.1%	60.2%	28.2%
Philippines	Nabas	2000	61.2%	83.9%	35.3%
Philippines	Nabas	2017	89.6%	97.7%	72.7%
Philippines	Nabua	2000	42.1%	84.9%	4.1%
Philippines	Nabua	2017	73.3%	97.6%	21.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Nabunturan	2000	33.4%	74.6%	5.6%
Philippines	Nabunturan	2017	63.8%	93.4%	23.4%
Philippines	Naga	2000	26.4%	56.3%	4.5%
Philippines	Naga	2017	55.6%	81.6%	25.5%
Philippines	Naga City	2000	44.2%	62.1%	32.4%
Philippines	Naga City	2000	27.8%	41.3%	17.2%
Philippines	Naga City	2017	83.9%	93.4%	66.6%
Philippines	Naga City	2017	72.2%	82.9%	58.9%
Philippines	Nagbukel	2000	37.1%	70.0%	7.7%
Philippines	Nagbukel	2017	75.3%	92.8%	38.7%
Philippines	Nagcarlan	2000	31.0%	58.3%	11.9%
Philippines	Nagcarlan	2017	65.3%	86.9%	34.6%
Philippines	Nagtipunan	2000	31.4%	58.4%	12.4%
Philippines	Nagtipunan	2017	61.6%	85.8%	34.2%
Philippines	Naguilian	2000	48.0%	93.7%	1.6%
Philippines	Naguilian	2000	35.5%	50.2%	24.1%
Philippines	Naguilian	2017	82.8%	89.4%	71.3%
Philippines	Naguilian	2017	77.0%	98.5%	11.0%
Philippines	Naic	2000	73.3%	97.7%	29.4%
Philippines	Naic	2017	91.3%	99.8%	65.9%
Philippines	Nampicuan	2000	43.6%	60.2%	29.9%
Philippines	Nampicuan	2017	82.1%	90.8%	64.7%
Philippines	Narra	2000	49.3%	82.8%	14.1%
Philippines	Narra	2017	73.0%	95.0%	35.9%
Philippines	Narvacan	2000	57.8%	85.1%	22.3%
Philippines	Narvacan	2017	86.1%	96.7%	60.9%
Philippines	Nasipit	2000	86.7%	94.9%	71.3%
Philippines	Nasipit	2017	96.4%	98.9%	92.2%
Philippines	Nasugbu	2000	42.2%	89.2%	5.8%
Philippines	Nasugbu	2017	67.2%	98.3%	26.8%
Philippines	Natividad	2000	49.6%	88.7%	15.1%
Philippines	Natividad	2017	81.6%	98.6%	48.1%
Philippines	Natonin	2000	59.5%	86.2%	26.9%
Philippines	Natonin	2017	78.8%	96.7%	48.6%
Philippines	Naujan	2000	53.6%	76.4%	25.3%
Philippines	Naujan	2017	77.5%	90.6%	57.5%
Philippines	Naujan Lake	2000	58.7%	98.4%	8.8%
Philippines	Naujan Lake	2017	81.7%	99.8%	33.6%
Philippines	Naval	2000	64.8%	73.6%	53.9%
Philippines	Naval	2017	91.0%	94.9%	85.9%
Philippines	Navotas	2000	37.4%	41.4%	34.4%
Philippines	Navotas	2017	81.3%	84.1%	78.4%
Philippines	New Bataan	2000	25.9%	52.8%	8.9%
Philippines	New Bataan	2017	61.6%	85.1%	31.0%
Philippines	New Corella	2000	44.7%	70.5%	22.1%
Philippines	New Corella	2017	78.1%	93.1%	52.1%
Philippines	New Lucena	2000	39.7%	92.8%	2.6%
Philippines	New Lucena	2017	67.7%	99.1%	17.3%
Philippines	New Washington	2000	65.3%	70.9%	55.8%
Philippines	New Washington	2017	91.3%	93.6%	88.6%
Philippines	Norala	2000	14.1%	16.3%	9.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Norala	2017	40.5%	47.4%	34.1%
Philippines	Northern Kabuntalan	2000	37.8%	47.2%	21.8%
Philippines	Northern Kabuntalan	2017	45.2%	54.4%	37.0%
Philippines	Norzagaray	2000	88.5%	99.4%	54.1%
Philippines	Norzagaray	2017	97.4%	99.9%	88.8%
Philippines	Noveleta	2000	93.6%	98.0%	84.5%
Philippines	Noveleta	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Philippines	Nueva Era	2000	44.7%	72.6%	14.3%
Philippines	Nueva Era	2017	70.2%	90.2%	33.4%
Philippines	Nueva Valencia	2000	25.6%	74.2%	1.0%
Philippines	Nueva Valencia	2017	52.1%	93.8%	8.3%
Philippines	Numancia	2000	56.8%	83.7%	30.7%
Philippines	Numancia	2017	89.2%	97.6%	69.4%
Philippines	Nunungan	2000	13.4%	36.7%	1.9%
Philippines	Nunungan	2017	38.6%	71.7%	10.1%
Philippines	Oas	2000	29.1%	49.3%	14.1%
Philippines	Oas	2017	68.4%	82.9%	48.6%
Philippines	Obando	2000	59.0%	63.5%	54.3%
Philippines	Obando	2017	92.5%	93.9%	91.0%
Philippines	Ocampo	2000	16.2%	50.8%	0.5%
Philippines	Ocampo	2017	39.5%	81.8%	3.4%
Philippines	Odiongan	2000	79.9%	92.5%	57.0%
Philippines	Odiongan	2017	95.8%	99.0%	88.7%
Philippines	Old Panamao	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Old Panamao	2017	0.9%	3.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Olongapo City	2000	92.9%	98.3%	82.3%
Philippines	Olongapo City	2017	98.1%	99.8%	94.1%
Philippines	Olutanga	2000	8.0%	19.1%	1.5%
Philippines	Olutanga	2017	33.0%	58.1%	14.0%
Philippines	Opol	2000	59.6%	75.8%	38.5%
Philippines	Opol	2017	86.8%	94.2%	76.6%
Philippines	Orani	2000	37.9%	62.7%	19.8%
Philippines	Orani	2017	80.4%	93.2%	62.5%
Philippines	Oras	2000	31.4%	80.9%	2.3%
Philippines	Oras	2017	61.8%	94.9%	12.5%
Philippines	Orion	2000	79.9%	91.8%	60.7%
Philippines	Orion	2017	94.4%	98.6%	86.4%
Philippines	Ormoc City	2000	43.1%	68.5%	20.6%
Philippines	Ormoc City	2017	77.5%	91.7%	56.7%
Philippines	Oroquieta City	2000	26.3%	63.4%	3.6%
Philippines	Oroquieta City	2017	60.6%	87.7%	18.9%
Philippines	Oslob	2000	43.0%	91.6%	4.4%
Philippines	Oslob	2017	67.2%	98.7%	17.7%
Philippines	Oton	2000	63.2%	76.8%	41.2%
Philippines	Oton	2017	92.2%	96.0%	77.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Ozamis City	2000	40.6%	56.4%	30.8%
Philippines	Ozamis City	2017	75.9%	87.8%	61.0%
Philippines	Padada	2000	65.5%	89.6%	31.3%
Philippines	Padada	2017	86.8%	97.5%	59.3%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2000	34.9%	54.0%	20.9%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2000	48.4%	95.5%	2.6%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2017	81.5%	90.9%	67.2%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2017	71.9%	99.5%	15.3%
Philippines	Padre Garcia	2000	58.8%	97.0%	9.1%
Philippines	Padre Garcia	2017	82.6%	99.6%	35.0%
Philippines	Paete	2000	41.8%	90.2%	5.7%
Philippines	Paete	2017	73.5%	98.4%	29.5%
Philippines	Pagadian City	2000	59.0%	73.2%	37.5%
Philippines	Pagadian City	2017	79.2%	88.1%	70.3%
Philippines	Pagagawan	2000	7.1%	12.6%	4.4%
Philippines	Pagagawan	2017	38.8%	51.5%	28.6%
Philippines	Pagalungan	2000	10.7%	27.6%	1.4%
Philippines	Pagalungan	2017	28.2%	58.5%	4.6%
Philippines	Pagayawan	2000	32.0%	41.7%	21.3%
Philippines	Pagayawan	2017	47.1%	56.5%	38.7%
Philippines	Pagbilao	2000	41.8%	90.0%	6.5%
Philippines	Pagbilao	2017	70.3%	98.3%	19.9%
Philippines	Paglat	2000	43.7%	98.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Paglat	2017	64.7%	99.6%	2.0%
Philippines	Pagsanghan	2000	22.4%	56.4%	3.1%
Philippines	Pagsanghan	2017	55.6%	85.5%	19.0%
Philippines	Pagsanjan	2000	65.4%	99.2%	7.2%
Philippines	Pagsanjan	2017	85.2%	99.9%	18.6%
Philippines	Pagudpud	2000	33.7%	61.4%	11.6%
Philippines	Pagudpud	2017	64.8%	85.2%	39.9%
Philippines	Pakil	2000	56.8%	85.3%	33.7%
Philippines	Pakil	2017	83.7%	97.8%	51.8%
Philippines	Palakpakin Lake	2000	18.2%	22.3%	14.9%
Philippines	Palakpakin Lake	2017	66.8%	71.4%	61.5%
Philippines	Palanan	2000	34.0%	76.5%	4.8%
Philippines	Palanan	2017	57.1%	89.6%	16.4%
Philippines	Palanas	2000	41.7%	92.3%	1.7%
Philippines	Palanas	2017	67.7%	98.8%	11.3%
Philippines	Palapag	2000	23.9%	35.5%	14.0%
Philippines	Palapag	2017	70.4%	80.3%	59.3%
Philippines	Palauig	2000	48.7%	91.1%	6.8%
Philippines	Palauig	2017	72.4%	97.7%	22.2%
Philippines	Palayan City	2000	92.1%	99.5%	71.6%
Philippines	Palayan City	2017	98.8%	100.0%	94.2%
Philippines	Palimbang	2000	53.4%	77.5%	28.1%
Philippines	Palimbang	2017	72.8%	88.9%	48.5%
Philippines	Palo	2000	87.4%	93.5%	79.4%
Philippines	Palo	2017	98.0%	99.1%	96.2%
Philippines	Palompon	2000	17.8%	23.5%	12.9%
Philippines	Palompon	2017	62.5%	70.6%	53.7%
Philippines	Paluan	2000	34.9%	71.9%	10.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Paluan	2017	61.6%	89.6%	28.1%
Philippines	Pambujan	2000	29.1%	78.1%	0.7%
Philippines	Pambujan	2017	54.3%	90.3%	4.3%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	17.9%	21.9%	12.5%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	32.4%	78.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	39.8%	83.4%	9.5%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	71.5%	97.3%	28.3%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	45.9%	55.5%	39.6%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	52.4%	86.6%	4.2%
Philippines	Panabo City	2000	43.0%	69.1%	24.8%
Philippines	Panabo City	2017	75.7%	93.2%	51.4%
Philippines	Panaon	2000	20.5%	25.9%	15.5%
Philippines	Panaon	2017	67.2%	72.5%	60.7%
Philippines	Panay	2000	34.8%	74.4%	6.4%
Philippines	Panay	2017	69.5%	92.6%	30.1%
Philippines	Pandag	2000	21.8%	55.2%	1.6%
Philippines	Pandag	2017	50.7%	77.9%	15.2%
Philippines	Pandami	2000	10.5%	26.8%	1.5%
Philippines	Pandami	2017	29.7%	49.7%	10.4%
Philippines	Pandan	2000	59.9%	88.5%	31.1%
Philippines	Pandan	2000	38.3%	64.6%	16.3%
Philippines	Pandan	2017	86.9%	98.5%	65.8%
Philippines	Pandan	2017	75.3%	92.4%	48.2%
Philippines	Pandi	2000	83.6%	93.1%	71.4%
Philippines	Pandi	2017	96.7%	99.2%	90.9%
Philippines	Panganiban	2000	37.3%	58.7%	11.4%
Philippines	Panganiban	2017	68.8%	84.1%	39.5%
Philippines	Pangantucan	2000	23.3%	58.4%	1.7%
Philippines	Pangantucan	2017	45.0%	72.9%	10.8%
Philippines	Pangil	2000	45.7%	87.9%	7.8%
Philippines	Pangil	2017	78.0%	98.5%	30.1%
Philippines	Panglao	2000	61.7%	89.8%	30.3%
Philippines	Panglao	2017	89.7%	98.8%	66.8%
Philippines	Panglima Estino	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Panglima Estino	2017	1.2%	8.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Panglima Sugala	2000	17.7%	42.6%	2.0%
Philippines	Panglima Sugala	2017	34.7%	60.1%	11.3%
Philippines	Pangutaran	2000	40.4%	85.4%	4.5%
Philippines	Pangutaran	2017	63.8%	95.8%	14.2%
Philippines	Paniqui	2000	53.0%	66.2%	38.8%
Philippines	Paniqui	2017	87.9%	93.4%	77.8%
Philippines	Panitan	2000	47.7%	57.9%	37.0%
Philippines	Panitan	2017	84.9%	88.8%	79.4%
Philippines	Pantabangan	2000	45.9%	85.7%	9.9%
Philippines	Pantabangan	2017	70.7%	97.5%	30.6%
Philippines	Pantao Ragat	2000	18.1%	37.9%	9.6%
Philippines	Pantao Ragat	2017	54.2%	79.0%	39.8%
Philippines	Pantar	2000	7.2%	11.9%	3.8%
Philippines	Pantar	2017	30.8%	42.2%	20.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pantukan	2000	39.4%	56.4%	23.1%
Philippines	Pantukan	2017	71.2%	86.9%	53.2%
Philippines	Panukulan	2000	43.0%	85.9%	4.5%
Philippines	Panukulan	2017	66.6%	97.5%	18.8%
Philippines	Paoay	2000	83.2%	90.8%	71.6%
Philippines	Paoay	2017	96.0%	98.6%	91.3%
Philippines	Paoay Lake	2000	94.6%	99.9%	71.3%
Philippines	Paoay Lake	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.4%
Philippines	Paombong	2000	89.2%	99.7%	55.5%
Philippines	Paombong	2017	97.5%	100.0%	87.9%
Philippines	Paracale	2000	52.1%	77.1%	19.3%
Philippines	Paracale	2017	83.7%	95.6%	56.4%
Philippines	Paracelis	2000	28.8%	45.9%	11.4%
Philippines	Paracelis	2017	58.7%	79.0%	32.6%
Philippines	Parañaque	2000	90.5%	92.8%	87.9%
Philippines	Parañaque	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Philippines	Paranas	2000	41.7%	81.6%	6.2%
Philippines	Paranas	2017	69.9%	93.7%	23.8%
Philippines	Parang	2000	28.8%	47.2%	10.9%
Philippines	Parang	2000	39.0%	60.3%	18.0%
Philippines	Parang	2017	60.2%	76.9%	40.3%
Philippines	Parang	2017	57.1%	81.6%	26.3%
Philippines	Pasacao	2000	63.1%	76.0%	46.4%
Philippines	Pasacao	2017	84.3%	91.6%	66.1%
Philippines	Pasay City	2000	84.4%	88.6%	80.1%
Philippines	Pasay City	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Philippines	Pasig City	2000	94.2%	96.5%	91.2%
Philippines	Pasig City	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Philippines	Pasil	2000	58.3%	96.5%	5.5%
Philippines	Pasil	2017	78.7%	99.3%	19.8%
Philippines	Passi City	2000	40.1%	78.9%	8.6%
Philippines	Passi City	2017	70.6%	95.2%	29.8%
Philippines	Pastrana	2000	36.7%	63.9%	17.3%
Philippines	Pastrana	2017	64.4%	87.5%	35.8%
Philippines	Pasuquin	2000	35.6%	74.0%	11.5%
Philippines	Pasuquin	2017	67.6%	93.1%	29.6%
Philippines	Pata	2000	22.3%	70.9%	0.8%
Philippines	Pata	2017	38.0%	80.5%	2.2%
Philippines	Pateros	2000	94.2%	96.2%	91.9%
Philippines	Pateros	2017	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
Philippines	Patikul	2000	10.4%	31.5%	1.1%
Philippines	Patikul	2017	27.0%	58.6%	6.9%
Philippines	Patnanungan	2000	39.4%	89.7%	2.6%
Philippines	Patnanungan	2017	63.9%	98.2%	15.5%
Philippines	Patnongon	2000	51.2%	89.8%	13.1%
Philippines	Patnongon	2017	80.2%	98.6%	45.2%
Philippines	Pavia	2000	67.6%	74.2%	57.6%
Philippines	Pavia	2017	93.6%	95.4%	90.4%
Philippines	Payao	2000	18.3%	54.2%	2.4%
Philippines	Payao	2017	44.9%	76.1%	15.1%
Philippines	Peñablanca	2000	61.9%	77.8%	42.0%
Philippines	Peñablanca	2017	84.3%	93.7%	71.7%
Philippines	Peñaranda	2000	85.7%	98.3%	49.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Peñaranda	2017	97.4%	99.8%	84.3%
Philippines	Peñarrubia	2000	75.0%	84.4%	61.3%
Philippines	Peñarrubia	2017	92.9%	97.6%	86.1%
Philippines	Perez	2000	44.3%	96.9%	0.7%
Philippines	Perez	2017	69.4%	99.6%	5.3%
Philippines	Piagapo	2000	9.5%	15.3%	5.4%
Philippines	Piagapo	2017	37.0%	46.9%	26.5%
Philippines	Piat	2000	23.0%	68.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Piat	2017	42.4%	84.1%	3.3%
Philippines	Picong	2000	17.0%	53.7%	0.8%
Philippines	Picong	2017	40.6%	74.5%	8.9%
Philippines	Piddig	2000	48.0%	89.5%	5.1%
Philippines	Piddig	2017	74.9%	98.3%	22.1%
Philippines	Pidigan	2000	53.0%	67.0%	35.5%
Philippines	Pidigan	2017	83.8%	88.5%	78.2%
Philippines	Pigkawayan	2000	29.0%	35.0%	23.5%
Philippines	Pigkawayan	2017	43.1%	61.3%	29.7%
Philippines	Pikit	2000	19.2%	55.3%	0.8%
Philippines	Pikit	2017	41.7%	78.6%	7.1%
Philippines	Pila	2000	46.9%	98.4%	0.7%
Philippines	Pila	2017	71.7%	99.7%	5.3%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	79.3%	98.9%	42.8%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	89.3%	98.2%	71.0%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	30.1%	76.8%	3.3%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	37.6%	80.0%	4.4%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	23.8%	46.0%	12.3%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	34.9%	85.8%	1.3%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	47.9%	96.5%	2.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	71.0%	99.5%	12.2%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	97.6%	99.8%	91.5%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	92.5%	99.9%	67.7%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	59.8%	92.5%	17.5%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	66.8%	95.0%	18.4%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	62.9%	79.1%	43.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	61.0%	97.9%	8.8%
Philippines	Pili	2000	21.3%	42.5%	10.2%
Philippines	Pili	2017	62.2%	81.2%	42.2%
Philippines	Pililla	2000	83.5%	98.8%	44.2%
Philippines	Pililla	2017	95.5%	99.8%	74.0%
Philippines	Pinabacdao	2000	48.2%	77.8%	20.6%
Philippines	Pinabacdao	2017	80.6%	96.3%	55.0%
Philippines	Pinamalayan	2000	83.0%	94.6%	60.1%
Philippines	Pinamalayan	2017	95.6%	99.2%	87.9%
Philippines	Pinamungahan	2000	5.3%	13.6%	2.6%
Philippines	Pinamungahan	2017	22.3%	35.7%	13.4%
Philippines	Pinan	2000	43.2%	77.8%	12.3%
Philippines	Pinan	2017	77.0%	96.3%	41.7%
Philippines	Pinili	2000	46.3%	95.8%	0.9%
Philippines	Pinili	2017	71.6%	99.5%	7.0%
Philippines	Pintuyan	2000	18.6%	48.0%	5.9%
Philippines	Pintuyan	2017	53.9%	80.8%	28.6%
Philippines	Pinukpuk	2000	35.1%	59.2%	14.2%
Philippines	Pinukpuk	2017	67.2%	84.5%	43.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pio Duran	2000	31.9%	81.4%	1.4%
Philippines	Pio Duran	2017	56.7%	96.5%	9.7%
Philippines	Pio V. Corpuz	2000	34.2%	77.2%	3.2%
Philippines	Pio V. Corpuz	2017	63.0%	94.9%	14.8%
Philippines	Pitogo	2000	45.9%	96.5%	1.7%
Philippines	Pitogo	2000	16.8%	39.6%	4.3%
Philippines	Pitogo	2017	69.9%	99.5%	9.5%
Philippines	Pitogo	2017	50.4%	73.7%	25.1%
Philippines	Placer	2000	88.3%	94.2%	67.1%
Philippines	Placer	2000	33.8%	75.6%	4.4%
Philippines	Placer	2017	60.0%	90.7%	18.5%
Philippines	Placer	2017	96.7%	98.6%	91.5%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	58.4%	75.8%	37.1%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	50.0%	94.6%	2.4%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	98.3%	99.7%	95.0%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	87.2%	95.6%	61.2%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	77.7%	99.3%	13.7%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Pola	2000	63.5%	97.5%	18.9%
Philippines	Pola	2017	83.6%	99.7%	45.3%
Philippines	Polanco	2000	41.4%	52.1%	30.4%
Philippines	Polanco	2017	83.4%	88.7%	74.1%
Philippines	Polangui	2000	33.7%	64.5%	12.6%
Philippines	Polangui	2017	76.5%	93.3%	50.4%
Philippines	Polillo	2000	43.3%	90.0%	7.3%
Philippines	Polillo	2017	67.9%	96.6%	22.2%
Philippines	Polomolok	2000	36.3%	67.1%	11.6%
Philippines	Polomolok	2017	74.1%	91.7%	39.0%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2000	26.2%	45.8%	11.9%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2000	35.7%	80.4%	3.9%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2017	48.4%	71.4%	31.3%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2017	66.8%	95.9%	21.7%
Philippines	Poona	2000	51.7%	99.4%	1.6%
Philippines	Bayabao				
Philippines	Poona	2017	75.9%	99.9%	12.0%
Philippines	Bayabao				
Philippines	Poona Pia-gapo	2000	23.8%	44.8%	15.3%
Philippines	Poona Pia-gapo	2017	68.1%	88.2%	49.1%
Philippines	Porac	2000	83.4%	98.7%	53.3%
Philippines	Porac	2017	94.2%	99.8%	75.3%
Philippines	Poroc	2000	38.8%	94.0%	0.9%
Philippines	Poroc	2017	65.8%	99.4%	7.2%
Philippines	Pototan	2000	38.3%	59.2%	24.2%
Philippines	Pototan	2017	75.5%	86.5%	51.9%
Philippines	Pozzorubio	2000	87.5%	99.9%	54.2%
Philippines	Pozzorubio	2017	95.9%	100.0%	75.5%
Philippines	Pres. Carlos P. Garcia	2000	58.0%	98.1%	9.6%
Philippines	Pres. Carlos P. Garcia	2017	82.4%	99.8%	34.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pres. Manuel A. Roxas	2000	3.8%	13.0%	0.7%
Philippines	Pres. Manuel A. Roxas	2017	14.5%	33.9%	4.4%
Philippines	Presentacion	2000	36.2%	89.4%	0.7%
Philippines	Presentacion	2017	58.3%	98.1%	5.6%
Philippines	President Quirino	2000	35.0%	86.3%	0.6%
Philippines	President Quirino	2017	61.2%	96.3%	5.3%
Philippines	President Roxas	2000	35.7%	70.3%	9.3%
Philippines	President Roxas	2000	10.4%	50.2%	0.4%
Philippines	President Roxas	2017	65.0%	88.8%	33.6%
Philippines	President Roxas	2017	28.6%	81.9%	2.5%
Philippines	Prieto Diaz	2000	53.3%	88.3%	14.2%
Philippines	Prieto Diaz	2017	84.2%	98.4%	46.4%
Philippines	Prosperidad	2000	41.6%	80.9%	6.0%
Philippines	Prosperidad	2017	67.7%	95.0%	28.5%
Philippines	Pualas	2000	0.5%	1.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Pualas	2017	2.8%	5.7%	1.3%
Philippines	Pudtol	2000	17.1%	33.0%	6.9%
Philippines	Pudtol	2017	51.7%	61.8%	37.9%
Philippines	Puerto Galera	2000	41.5%	90.5%	2.0%
Philippines	Puerto Galera	2017	66.2%	97.7%	9.4%
Philippines	Puerto Princesa City	2000	43.7%	52.1%	36.3%
Philippines	Puerto Princesa City	2017	79.9%	85.3%	74.2%
Philippines	Pugo	2000	58.7%	85.5%	21.1%
Philippines	Pugo	2017	84.7%	96.0%	52.5%
Philippines	Pulilan	2000	98.3%	99.5%	95.6%
Philippines	Pulilan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Philippines	Pulupandan	2000	80.0%	99.9%	16.8%
Philippines	Pulupandan	2017	93.4%	100.0%	54.2%
Philippines	Pura	2000	37.8%	48.2%	28.8%
Philippines	Pura	2017	85.7%	90.9%	80.1%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	38.5%	94.3%	1.5%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	38.3%	57.3%	23.1%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	18.2%	27.8%	10.0%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	74.5%	86.0%	57.7%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	51.4%	71.6%	29.2%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	70.4%	99.3%	14.4%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	62.4%	99.2%	7.7%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	73.7%	89.0%	53.1%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	60.9%	73.5%	47.6%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	85.8%	92.5%	75.7%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	71.3%	83.2%	56.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Quezon	2017	87.5%	99.9%	36.3%
Philippines	Quezon City	2000	91.3%	93.0%	89.3%
Philippines	Quezon City	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Philippines	Quinapondan	2000	57.3%	96.4%	13.3%
Philippines	Quinapondan	2017	81.5%	99.6%	29.6%
Philippines	Quirino	2000	39.4%	54.0%	21.8%
Philippines	Quirino	2000	31.7%	81.1%	0.8%
Philippines	Quirino	2017	70.1%	78.4%	52.5%
Philippines	Quirino	2017	53.0%	91.3%	5.2%
Philippines	Ragay	2000	43.9%	90.4%	6.0%
Philippines	Ragay	2017	69.7%	98.5%	22.8%
Philippines	Rajah Buayan	2000	14.3%	40.6%	1.3%
Philippines	Rajah Buayan	2017	43.6%	84.2%	8.9%
Philippines	Ramon	2000	34.9%	88.0%	1.5%
Philippines	Ramon	2017	64.3%	97.8%	9.1%
Philippines	Ramon	2000	11.7%	28.0%	2.7%
Philippines	Magsaysay Ramon	2017	28.7%	55.3%	11.6%
Philippines	Magsaysay Ramos	2000	46.5%	55.2%	38.5%
Philippines	Ramos	2017	86.5%	90.5%	81.9%
Philippines	Rapu-Rapu	2000	31.6%	66.9%	5.3%
Philippines	Rapu-Rapu	2017	60.0%	89.9%	21.9%
Philippines	Real	2000	42.9%	85.6%	6.7%
Philippines	Real	2017	66.1%	96.4%	21.4%
Philippines	Reina Mercedes	2000	51.4%	95.3%	1.6%
Philippines	Reina Mercedes	2017	75.9%	99.5%	11.3%
Philippines	Remedios T. Romualdez	2000	54.2%	63.1%	46.6%
Philippines	Remedios T. Romualdez	2017	87.9%	93.3%	82.7%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	40.7%	72.9%	15.9%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	27.2%	62.9%	4.1%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	23.1%	39.0%	11.4%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	22.1%	32.6%	14.0%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	26.3%	41.6%	12.9%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	59.2%	97.6%	7.9%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	30.0%	79.2%	1.3%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	58.5%	73.5%	42.0%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	80.2%	99.7%	23.3%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	64.4%	89.3%	37.4%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	68.4%	79.3%	56.5%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	54.1%	94.2%	7.8%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	53.6%	69.1%	38.1%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	59.7%	85.0%	22.1%
Philippines	Rodriguez	2000	92.1%	97.6%	76.1%
Philippines	Rodriguez	2017	97.9%	99.6%	94.1%
Philippines	Romblon	2000	52.6%	92.9%	12.1%
Philippines	Romblon	2017	79.1%	99.0%	33.2%
Philippines	Ronda	2000	31.8%	93.6%	0.5%
Philippines	Ronda	2017	57.6%	99.1%	3.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Rosales	2000	28.6%	51.4%	12.3%
Philippines	Rosales	2017	73.1%	86.6%	46.4%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	26.3%	59.9%	8.1%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	52.3%	80.4%	21.7%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	96.5%	99.5%	87.5%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	46.7%	96.6%	1.5%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	55.9%	96.3%	9.3%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	64.2%	87.2%	31.3%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	79.3%	96.7%	46.3%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	70.5%	99.5%	9.2%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	79.3%	99.5%	29.4%
Philippines	Roseller Lim	2000	24.0%	40.2%	9.9%
Philippines	Roseller Lim	2017	56.4%	70.3%	38.6%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	18.4%	22.9%	14.6%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	38.8%	65.4%	15.9%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	83.2%	96.7%	58.0%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	68.6%	89.9%	45.0%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	63.4%	68.5%	57.7%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	94.0%	99.5%	79.1%
Philippines	Roxas City	2000	36.3%	60.8%	18.7%
Philippines	Roxas City	2017	77.2%	91.8%	60.6%
Philippines	Sabangan	2000	35.3%	68.1%	10.3%
Philippines	Sabangan	2017	70.9%	92.3%	39.5%
Philippines	Sablan	2000	45.1%	77.7%	21.8%
Philippines	Sablan	2017	78.6%	96.4%	51.6%
Philippines	Sablayan	2000	41.3%	65.8%	20.1%
Philippines	Sablayan	2017	67.0%	87.2%	44.0%
Philippines	Sabtang	2000	45.2%	97.1%	2.6%
Philippines	Sabtang	2017	70.2%	99.6%	15.5%
Philippines	Sadanga	2000	35.8%	90.5%	1.1%
Philippines	Sadanga	2017	59.9%	97.5%	7.3%
Philippines	Sagada	2000	81.3%	95.4%	55.5%
Philippines	Sagada	2017	96.1%	99.4%	88.3%
Philippines	Sagay	2000	63.7%	78.7%	45.1%
Philippines	Sagay	2017	93.0%	97.0%	85.4%
Philippines	Sagay City	2000	32.1%	54.0%	12.9%
Philippines	Sagay City	2017	64.9%	85.2%	40.0%
Philippines	Sagbayan	2000	44.8%	93.1%	5.6%
Philippines	Sagbayan	2017	72.9%	98.9%	18.0%
Philippines	Sagnay	2000	31.6%	87.5%	1.0%
Philippines	Sagnay	2017	60.2%	96.8%	6.9%
Philippines	Saguday	2000	36.6%	69.4%	16.4%
Philippines	Saguday	2017	78.2%	94.6%	45.9%
Philippines	Saguiaran	2000	0.4%	1.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Saguiaran	2017	3.8%	13.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Saint Bernard	2000	40.3%	69.3%	13.5%
Philippines	Saint Bernard	2017	77.6%	93.5%	45.5%
Philippines	Salay	2000	71.7%	94.2%	36.1%
Philippines	Salay	2017	93.4%	99.4%	74.7%
Philippines	Salcedo	2000	62.8%	84.6%	40.8%
Philippines	Salcedo	2000	45.8%	88.7%	12.3%
Philippines	Salcedo	2017	75.3%	98.5%	32.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Salcedo	2017	90.4%	97.9%	71.7%
Philippines	Sallapadan	2000	59.1%	71.4%	44.1%
Philippines	Sallapadan	2017	90.8%	94.8%	83.7%
Philippines	Salug	2000	28.0%	61.3%	4.2%
Philippines	Salug	2017	59.4%	90.5%	22.1%
Philippines	Salvador	2000	12.4%	22.5%	7.5%
Philippines	Salvador	2017	40.0%	66.1%	18.5%
Philippines	Salvador	2000	22.7%	60.6%	1.2%
Philippines	Benedicto	2017	45.5%	78.8%	8.2%
Philippines	Benedicto	2017	45.5%	78.8%	8.2%
Philippines	Samal	2000	35.7%	67.9%	14.8%
Philippines	Samal	2017	71.3%	92.1%	44.5%
Philippines	Samal City	2000	16.8%	41.1%	2.7%
Philippines	Samal City	2017	41.4%	71.0%	15.0%
Philippines	Samboan	2000	43.3%	96.9%	0.9%
Philippines	Samboan	2017	73.3%	99.7%	11.6%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2000	86.4%	98.6%	49.6%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2017	95.3%	99.8%	82.6%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2000	46.0%	54.1%	38.1%
Philippines	Sampaloc Lake	2017	82.1%	87.2%	78.4%
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	42.5%	86.1%	4.2%
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	36.5%	76.9%	4.6%
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	34.1%	74.6%	6.7%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	66.6%	95.0%	22.0%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	69.4%	95.1%	18.9%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	63.9%	92.5%	29.8%
Philippines	San Andres	2000	42.3%	84.7%	3.3%
Philippines	San Andres	2000	58.8%	90.5%	14.7%
Philippines	San Andres	2000	14.7%	31.3%	5.5%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	48.5%	65.7%	31.3%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	82.7%	98.3%	37.1%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	64.9%	97.2%	13.2%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	36.3%	97.7%	0.3%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	64.6%	88.1%	36.2%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	59.5%	98.8%	7.1%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	70.1%	96.9%	41.1%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	60.5%	99.8%	2.5%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	89.4%	98.5%	60.5%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	88.4%	99.7%	64.6%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	81.7%	99.8%	29.9%
Philippines	San Benito	2000	54.4%	98.2%	2.8%
Philippines	San Benito	2017	75.8%	99.7%	15.8%
Philippines	San Carlos	2000	29.2%	69.3%	5.5%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2000	35.9%	73.3%	3.8%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2017	57.1%	86.9%	12.0%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2017	62.9%	93.7%	26.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Clemente	2000	73.2%	89.3%	55.0%
Philippines	San Clemente	2017	92.0%	97.9%	79.7%
Philippines	San Dionisio	2000	38.7%	94.0%	1.5%
Philippines	San Dionisio	2017	65.6%	99.1%	8.2%
Philippines	San Emilio	2000	47.6%	73.6%	18.4%
Philippines	San Emilio	2017	78.2%	93.0%	50.9%
Philippines	San Enrique	2000	45.4%	95.0%	1.7%
Philippines	San Enrique	2000	55.1%	95.8%	6.7%
Philippines	San Enrique	2017	72.3%	99.6%	12.8%
Philippines	San Enrique	2017	78.8%	99.5%	29.3%
Philippines	San Esteban	2000	56.8%	99.5%	3.2%
Philippines	San Esteban	2017	80.1%	99.9%	18.3%
Philippines	San Fabian	2000	39.5%	64.9%	14.5%
Philippines	San Fabian	2017	79.1%	92.2%	47.6%
Philippines	San Felipe	2000	91.5%	98.2%	74.1%
Philippines	San Felipe	2017	98.4%	99.7%	94.4%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	82.6%	95.2%	59.6%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	38.9%	96.7%	0.8%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	12.0%	48.5%	1.9%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	68.1%	92.9%	37.6%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	38.0%	79.4%	5.2%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	61.6%	99.5%	5.8%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	94.8%	99.4%	81.0%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	86.8%	98.9%	64.6%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	38.3%	79.8%	9.5%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	61.7%	94.2%	23.1%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	88.0%	94.1%	77.4%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2000	79.3%	84.1%	72.4%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2017	98.3%	99.2%	96.4%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.1%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	41.8%	77.8%	13.3%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	67.0%	99.0%	15.1%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	39.3%	80.4%	3.9%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	31.1%	87.3%	0.8%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	46.7%	96.9%	2.0%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	74.0%	94.7%	36.3%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	56.5%	96.8%	4.8%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	70.4%	99.6%	12.8%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	85.7%	99.9%	41.2%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	63.4%	95.3%	18.7%
Philippines	San Gabriel	2000	33.0%	77.8%	4.1%
Philippines	San Gabriel	2017	64.3%	92.6%	22.0%
Philippines	San Guillermo	2000	33.1%	86.5%	3.5%
Philippines	San Guillermo	2017	56.9%	96.8%	13.8%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2000	88.5%	98.7%	64.1%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2000	58.9%	98.7%	6.4%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2017	79.0%	99.8%	20.1%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2017	97.9%	99.8%	89.6%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	36.0%	92.4%	1.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	40.9%	80.2%	11.2%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	29.3%	56.1%	10.2%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	3.8%	11.7%	0.8%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	96.2%	99.5%	87.5%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	39.0%	88.2%	3.3%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	32.6%	83.8%	1.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	34.5%	78.0%	9.3%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	66.7%	98.9%	14.4%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	68.3%	88.4%	44.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	85.3%	99.9%	42.7%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.3%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	59.6%	93.8%	23.4%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	68.9%	95.2%	32.3%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	18.8%	52.2%	4.7%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	60.3%	98.9%	7.9%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	65.0%	96.6%	9.6%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	66.9%	98.3%	18.4%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2000	32.6%	87.5%	0.6%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2000	45.8%	52.2%	37.6%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2017	56.8%	96.1%	4.8%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2017	84.7%	88.1%	80.7%
Philippines	San Joaquin	2000	56.9%	92.6%	16.2%
Philippines	San Joaquin	2017	81.8%	98.8%	42.1%
Philippines	San Jorge	2000	33.9%	80.7%	2.3%
Philippines	San Jorge	2017	58.9%	95.5%	9.5%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	36.5%	94.2%	1.0%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	38.8%	93.6%	1.2%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	52.2%	98.6%	0.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	91.6%	99.1%	65.4%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	47.9%	95.0%	4.6%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	46.7%	89.7%	9.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	48.0%	98.1%	0.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	76.4%	87.3%	58.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	54.4%	68.3%	38.0%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	70.6%	99.8%	5.7%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	98.5%	99.9%	92.2%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	64.4%	99.0%	7.2%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	66.0%	98.9%	10.1%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	72.1%	97.8%	27.3%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	75.2%	99.8%	7.1%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	74.1%	99.0%	17.4%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	96.3%	98.3%	91.0%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	78.2%	85.0%	67.0%
Philippines	San Jose City	2000	84.1%	96.8%	57.3%
Philippines	San Jose City	2017	96.0%	99.4%	84.6%
Philippines	San Jose de Buan	2000	35.2%	87.6%	1.9%
Philippines	San Jose de Buan	2017	59.3%	96.6%	9.5%
Philippines	San Jose del Monte City	2000	93.8%	97.8%	85.9%
Philippines	San Jose del Monte City	2017	99.2%	99.7%	97.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Juan	2000	52.3%	77.5%	26.2%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	65.7%	76.1%	52.2%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	94.1%	95.7%	92.2%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	54.5%	66.2%	43.1%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	51.6%	75.9%	26.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	35.7%	95.2%	0.3%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	72.6%	97.2%	25.9%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	88.9%	96.4%	74.5%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	91.6%	96.0%	82.8%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	93.3%	95.8%	89.1%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	57.9%	99.1%	1.7%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	90.1%	99.6%	53.5%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	85.1%	96.0%	60.8%
Philippines	San Julian	2000	41.4%	92.7%	2.1%
Philippines	San Julian	2017	64.1%	98.4%	11.0%
Philippines	San Leonardo	2000	89.2%	99.5%	58.4%
Philippines	San Leonardo	2017	97.4%	99.9%	86.4%
Philippines	San Lorenzo	2000	54.8%	70.6%	37.2%
Philippines	San Lorenzo	2017	88.2%	94.4%	72.3%
Philippines	San Lorenzo Ruiz	2000	43.2%	69.2%	20.3%
Philippines	San Lorenzo Ruiz	2017	78.6%	93.4%	55.4%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	62.5%	99.1%	12.9%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	24.3%	53.4%	10.2%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	30.0%	49.4%	15.7%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	46.8%	68.7%	26.9%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	56.8%	87.1%	28.8%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	63.7%	79.9%	45.6%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	84.6%	99.9%	36.7%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	80.1%	92.5%	62.0%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	30.4%	37.3%	23.8%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	28.5%	35.0%	23.2%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	23.4%	33.2%	14.7%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	68.4%	75.7%	58.3%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	66.1%	75.3%	59.3%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	76.9%	81.7%	70.9%
Philippines	San Marcelino	2000	52.4%	86.9%	12.4%
Philippines	San Marcelino	2017	77.4%	95.3%	34.3%
Philippines	San Mariano	2000	37.5%	82.3%	7.0%
Philippines	San Mariano	2017	61.1%	93.0%	18.8%
Philippines	San Mateo	2000	96.9%	98.5%	93.5%
Philippines	San Mateo	2000	27.3%	52.0%	11.3%
Philippines	San Mateo	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Philippines	San Mateo	2017	71.9%	85.7%	48.8%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	42.7%	94.6%	3.4%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	20.0%	53.6%	5.2%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	76.8%	97.4%	38.9%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	35.7%	75.2%	4.5%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	95.5%	99.4%	82.0%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	33.2%	85.4%	1.3%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	69.4%	99.0%	23.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	91.8%	99.6%	65.0%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	53.2%	76.5%	27.4%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	63.7%	94.0%	23.8%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	69.1%	99.3%	17.6%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	59.9%	96.8%	9.1%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	99.0%	99.9%	93.6%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	86.1%	99.9%	49.0%
Philippines	San Narciso	2000	64.4%	98.6%	9.9%
Philippines	San Narciso	2000	34.1%	77.4%	4.6%
Philippines	San Narciso	2017	85.7%	99.8%	34.7%
Philippines	San Narciso	2017	60.0%	91.3%	19.5%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	52.1%	80.5%	19.0%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	81.1%	99.9%	25.5%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	62.2%	91.3%	30.8%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	93.2%	100.0%	54.5%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	77.1%	93.2%	45.2%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	89.8%	98.6%	61.3%
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	50.2%	97.9%	3.7%
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	44.8%	86.1%	9.0%
Philippines	San Pablo	2017	72.3%	99.7%	16.6%
Philippines	San Pablo	2017	76.0%	96.2%	31.4%
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	40.5%	47.6%	34.5%
Philippines	San Pablo City	2017	71.7%	82.5%	66.8%
Philippines	San Pascual	2000	91.8%	99.5%	72.5%
Philippines	San Pascual	2000	36.5%	72.0%	4.6%
Philippines	San Pascual	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.8%
Philippines	San Pascual	2017	63.4%	91.9%	20.9%
Philippines	San Pedro	2000	58.9%	67.1%	51.1%
Philippines	San Pedro	2017	92.6%	94.6%	90.1%
Philippines	San Policarpo	2000	35.8%	90.9%	1.6%
Philippines	San Policarpo	2017	63.0%	98.4%	9.2%
Philippines	San Quintin	2000	81.9%	93.5%	52.9%
Philippines	San Quintin	2000	46.4%	91.7%	5.3%
Philippines	San Quintin	2017	94.2%	98.5%	85.8%
Philippines	San Quintin	2017	75.8%	98.6%	21.3%
Philippines	San Rafael	2000	27.1%	51.8%	8.0%
Philippines	San Rafael	2000	67.3%	93.6%	25.9%
Philippines	San Rafael	2017	69.1%	87.0%	41.0%
Philippines	San Rafael	2017	85.5%	99.0%	60.8%
Philippines	San Remigio	2000	36.5%	59.7%	18.4%
Philippines	San Remigio	2000	21.1%	34.7%	11.0%
Philippines	San Remigio	2017	72.9%	90.2%	50.9%
Philippines	San Remigio	2017	63.4%	77.1%	44.4%
Philippines	San Ricardo	2000	26.5%	54.9%	7.5%
Philippines	San Ricardo	2017	63.9%	89.0%	32.3%
Philippines	San Roque	2000	38.6%	92.3%	1.0%
Philippines	San Roque	2017	63.4%	97.6%	5.5%
Philippines	San Sebastian	2000	34.6%	74.1%	9.3%
Philippines	San Sebastian	2017	73.0%	96.1%	35.9%
Philippines	San Simon	2000	44.8%	57.3%	35.6%
Philippines	San Simon	2017	78.9%	90.4%	65.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Teodoro	2000	44.0%	69.9%	20.0%
Philippines	San Teodoro	2017	77.6%	91.8%	57.5%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	43.4%	66.1%	20.5%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	55.4%	93.2%	12.1%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	39.3%	74.6%	16.4%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	38.4%	90.4%	1.4%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	66.9%	85.3%	44.1%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	74.8%	96.3%	34.0%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	84.4%	99.1%	46.9%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	62.2%	98.6%	10.2%
Philippines	Sanchez-Mira	2000	56.7%	79.7%	34.4%
Philippines	Sanchez-Mira	2017	78.0%	95.5%	58.8%
Philippines	Santa	2000	84.7%	99.5%	50.1%
Philippines	Santa	2017	95.4%	99.9%	76.4%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2000	38.7%	89.9%	3.4%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2000	25.5%	72.9%	3.4%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2017	56.3%	94.8%	12.8%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2017	63.6%	98.3%	15.2%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2000	28.4%	51.5%	15.2%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2000	53.5%	93.5%	19.3%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2017	72.3%	88.7%	53.3%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2017	82.4%	99.4%	43.6%
Philippines	Santa	2000	42.6%	62.6%	22.8%
Philippines	Catalina				
Philippines	Santa	2000	42.8%	85.0%	9.6%
Philippines	Catalina				
Philippines	Santa	2017	66.0%	93.5%	25.2%
Philippines	Catalina				
Philippines	Santa	2017	81.0%	92.9%	64.5%
Philippines	Catalina				
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	85.1%	98.1%	55.2%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	56.5%	99.5%	3.1%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	65.7%	86.9%	37.7%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	35.0%	67.8%	8.9%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	39.7%	71.9%	11.8%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	52.2%	82.9%	21.7%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	85.3%	95.4%	67.0%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	79.8%	99.9%	20.8%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	69.6%	91.8%	34.4%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	95.0%	99.8%	76.7%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	81.0%	95.3%	53.3%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	67.0%	91.4%	31.6%
Philippines	Santa Elena	2000	8.7%	42.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Santa Elena	2017	20.9%	68.5%	1.6%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	39.4%	91.9%	1.3%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	31.7%	76.1%	4.3%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	39.6%	87.2%	1.8%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	34.9%	52.3%	24.7%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	63.8%	97.8%	11.5%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	66.9%	98.3%	8.3%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	62.6%	92.4%	17.0%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	65.9%	89.8%	50.3%
Philippines	Santa Ignacia	2000	58.1%	97.2%	7.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Santa Ignacia	2017	78.5%	99.6%	27.9%
Philippines	Santa Josefa	2000	42.4%	69.4%	18.7%
Philippines	Santa Josefa	2017	77.0%	91.4%	49.8%
Philippines	Santa Lucia	2000	84.8%	95.5%	51.1%
Philippines	Santa Lucia	2017	97.4%	99.5%	85.1%
Philippines	Santa Magdalena	2000	34.9%	44.0%	26.1%
Philippines	Santa Magdalena	2017	82.2%	86.9%	75.8%
Philippines	Santa Marcela	2000	13.7%	60.6%	0.5%
Philippines	Santa Marcela	2017	42.5%	87.7%	5.6%
Philippines	Santa Margarita	2000	27.1%	73.7%	1.3%
Philippines	Santa Margarita	2017	54.4%	93.4%	7.6%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	33.8%	58.5%	16.1%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	93.3%	97.2%	86.1%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	47.2%	98.6%	1.0%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	20.2%	43.4%	4.8%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	50.2%	69.8%	33.3%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	46.9%	89.2%	2.9%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	36.2%	68.4%	11.4%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	50.6%	73.9%	26.3%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	70.0%	99.8%	7.3%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	74.9%	98.0%	14.3%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.7%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	68.2%	82.0%	51.5%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	68.0%	91.4%	34.7%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	85.1%	95.0%	64.9%
Philippines	Santa Monica	2000	50.7%	98.9%	1.9%
Philippines	Santa Monica	2017	74.2%	99.9%	12.4%
Philippines	Santa Praxedes	2000	35.1%	92.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Santa Praxedes	2017	59.1%	98.0%	2.0%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2000	42.1%	85.4%	5.0%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2000	85.5%	99.9%	37.0%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2017	97.4%	100.0%	76.6%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2017	66.7%	96.5%	22.5%
Philippines	Santa Rosa	2000	60.1%	89.9%	22.2%
Philippines	Santa Rosa	2017	82.7%	98.3%	42.4%
Philippines	Santa Rosa City	2000	65.3%	75.0%	56.3%
Philippines	Santa Rosa City	2017	94.2%	96.3%	92.2%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2000	71.5%	99.7%	4.6%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2000	43.5%	96.9%	1.0%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2017	89.7%	100.0%	27.2%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2017	66.9%	99.6%	6.5%
Philippines	Santander	2000	61.4%	98.6%	3.2%
Philippines	Santander	2017	84.3%	99.9%	19.9%
Philippines	Santiago	2000	81.6%	98.8%	49.6%
Philippines	Santiago	2000	78.6%	94.5%	38.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Santiago	2017	95.3%	99.9%	78.0%
Philippines	Santiago	2017	92.6%	99.2%	73.5%
Philippines	Santiago City	2000	25.9%	57.5%	5.6%
Philippines	Santiago City	2017	63.4%	84.9%	28.8%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	60.2%	67.2%	52.8%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	81.9%	99.1%	40.9%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	80.4%	97.5%	39.8%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	88.7%	91.5%	85.4%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	95.9%	99.7%	83.0%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	95.2%	99.9%	79.4%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2000	25.8%	62.7%	4.4%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2000	41.7%	95.5%	0.7%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2017	58.9%	85.6%	22.4%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2017	64.7%	99.5%	5.5%
Philippines	Santo Niño	2000	20.2%	39.9%	5.5%
Philippines	Santo Niño	2017	47.2%	68.4%	24.6%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	40.0%	74.9%	11.5%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	87.9%	92.9%	80.6%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	41.2%	91.1%	0.8%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	33.8%	57.1%	16.6%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	38.7%	88.8%	1.9%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	92.9%	99.1%	69.0%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	98.3%	99.0%	97.2%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	98.7%	99.9%	93.1%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	67.2%	98.2%	13.1%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	74.9%	93.9%	37.1%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	67.1%	99.0%	5.6%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	78.1%	90.5%	57.5%
Philippines	Santol	2000	53.9%	92.8%	17.3%
Philippines	Santol	2017	80.9%	99.2%	37.6%
Philippines	Sapa-Sapa	2000	39.0%	82.3%	3.9%
Philippines	Sapa-Sapa	2017	59.7%	94.4%	15.7%
Philippines	Sapad	2000	38.9%	58.8%	22.3%
Philippines	Sapad	2017	72.3%	88.3%	53.5%
Philippines	Sapang Dalaga	2000	24.3%	57.4%	5.3%
Philippines	Sapang Dalaga	2017	63.1%	86.6%	28.7%
Philippines	Sapi-An	2000	25.6%	49.4%	6.5%
Philippines	Sapi-An	2017	70.2%	87.4%	42.7%
Philippines	Sara	2000	35.5%	85.0%	1.9%
Philippines	Sara	2017	62.4%	95.3%	10.4%
Philippines	Sarangani	2000	26.6%	57.2%	3.9%
Philippines	Sarangani	2017	54.1%	80.3%	21.6%
Philippines	Sariaya	2000	59.0%	73.5%	44.0%
Philippines	Sariaya	2017	88.6%	94.6%	80.7%
Philippines	Sarrat	2000	69.5%	87.6%	37.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Sarrat	2017	92.5%	97.9%	76.9%
Philippines	Sasmuan	2000	91.9%	99.5%	78.8%
Philippines	Sasmuan	2017	97.1%	99.9%	87.0%
Philippines	Sebaste	2000	35.7%	80.9%	2.0%
Philippines	Sebaste	2017	63.2%	94.9%	12.6%
Philippines	Sen. Ninoy Aquino	2000	21.3%	49.7%	2.1%
Philippines	Sen. Ninoy Aquino	2017	47.6%	79.1%	13.3%
Philippines	Sergio Osmena Sr.	2000	19.6%	46.1%	2.9%
Philippines	Sergio Osmena Sr.	2017	44.3%	73.4%	15.6%
Philippines	Sevilla	2000	57.1%	97.8%	11.6%
Philippines	Sevilla	2017	82.9%	99.8%	38.8%
Philippines	Shariff Aguak	2000	28.2%	78.1%	1.7%
Philippines	Shariff Aguak	2017	65.6%	96.2%	13.8%
Philippines	Siasi	2000	7.3%	30.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Siasi	2017	20.6%	50.4%	1.9%
Philippines	Siaton	2000	28.5%	41.0%	18.4%
Philippines	Siaton	2017	57.8%	69.8%	45.5%
Philippines	Siay	2000	36.8%	88.5%	2.6%
Philippines	Siay	2017	64.1%	98.0%	12.6%
Philippines	Siayan	2000	28.4%	65.9%	3.9%
Philippines	Siayan	2017	55.1%	87.1%	18.3%
Philippines	Sibagat	2000	34.2%	72.0%	7.7%
Philippines	Sibagat	2017	60.9%	90.3%	22.3%
Philippines	Sibalom	2000	48.4%	63.6%	34.3%
Philippines	Sibalom	2017	80.9%	92.4%	63.6%
Philippines	Sibonga	2000	44.4%	90.9%	4.8%
Philippines	Sibonga	2017	72.2%	98.3%	19.7%
Philippines	Sibuco	2000	33.3%	63.4%	10.0%
Philippines	Sibuco	2017	59.3%	85.6%	30.7%
Philippines	Sibulan	2000	64.4%	91.9%	32.0%
Philippines	Sibulan	2017	90.0%	98.5%	63.1%
Philippines	Sibunag	2000	20.2%	32.0%	13.1%
Philippines	Sibunag	2017	53.6%	68.3%	41.4%
Philippines	Sibutad	2000	20.8%	50.9%	3.2%
Philippines	Sibutad	2017	57.1%	85.6%	19.5%
Philippines	Sibutu	2000	11.6%	31.3%	1.5%
Philippines	Sibutu	2017	28.0%	52.0%	9.8%
Philippines	Sierra Bulones	2000	48.2%	97.3%	1.4%
Philippines	Sierra Bulones	2017	70.8%	99.5%	8.7%
Philippines	Sigay	2000	53.1%	98.2%	2.6%
Philippines	Sigay	2017	73.4%	99.7%	13.5%
Philippines	Sigma	2000	57.8%	65.6%	46.5%
Philippines	Sigma	2017	82.8%	86.5%	78.5%
Philippines	Sikatuna	2000	87.9%	99.3%	42.0%
Philippines	Sikatuna	2017	97.7%	99.9%	86.8%
Philippines	Silago	2000	42.7%	79.7%	7.8%
Philippines	Silago	2017	70.2%	94.3%	31.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Silang	2000	56.7%	69.7%	44.9%
Philippines	Silang	2017	85.7%	95.3%	70.5%
Philippines	Silay City	2000	71.7%	89.8%	45.7%
Philippines	Silay City	2017	91.1%	98.3%	78.4%
Philippines	Silvino Lobos	2000	27.2%	74.7%	0.9%
Philippines	Silvino Lobos	2017	47.3%	84.3%	3.8%
Philippines	Simunul	2000	7.8%	14.8%	3.0%
Philippines	Simunul	2017	23.7%	34.2%	15.0%
Philippines	Sinacaban	2000	41.9%	51.9%	30.5%
Philippines	Sinacaban	2017	70.2%	74.1%	65.6%
Philippines	Sinait	2000	53.0%	77.9%	25.2%
Philippines	Sinait	2017	84.9%	95.8%	63.1%
Philippines	Sindangan	2000	17.4%	37.5%	4.3%
Philippines	Sindangan	2017	46.1%	63.3%	24.5%
Philippines	Siniloan	2000	40.9%	74.5%	11.4%
Philippines	Siniloan	2017	75.0%	94.1%	38.6%
Philippines	Siocon	2000	34.3%	73.6%	5.4%
Philippines	Siocon	2017	65.4%	94.6%	22.8%
Philippines	Sipalay City	2000	54.2%	77.4%	28.0%
Philippines	Sipalay City	2017	76.7%	92.8%	48.6%
Philippines	Sipocot	2000	25.7%	59.2%	4.5%
Philippines	Sipocot	2017	53.2%	84.4%	21.2%
Philippines	Siquijor	2000	64.4%	72.6%	55.9%
Philippines	Siquijor	2017	92.7%	95.3%	89.5%
Philippines	Sirawai	2000	40.7%	92.4%	2.0%
Philippines	Sirawai	2017	67.0%	98.5%	12.2%
Philippines	Siruma	2000	40.8%	91.5%	4.0%
Philippines	Siruma	2017	64.2%	98.7%	18.4%
Philippines	Sison	2000	81.7%	94.7%	62.1%
Philippines	Sison	2000	61.4%	98.1%	8.1%
Philippines	Sison	2017	95.3%	99.3%	87.2%
Philippines	Sison	2017	79.4%	99.7%	27.4%
Philippines	Sitangkai	2000	19.3%	63.6%	0.8%
Philippines	Sitangkai	2017	37.5%	76.1%	4.6%
Philippines	Socorro	2000	70.3%	98.1%	19.9%
Philippines	Socorro	2000	44.2%	96.5%	1.0%
Philippines	Socorro	2017	65.3%	99.4%	5.6%
Philippines	Socorro	2017	88.0%	99.8%	53.8%
Philippines	Sofronio Espanola	2000	32.2%	70.1%	4.9%
Philippines	Sofronio Espanola	2017	58.3%	89.0%	20.8%
Philippines	Sogod	2000	34.3%	65.7%	8.9%
Philippines	Sogod	2000	36.3%	93.0%	0.9%
Philippines	Sogod	2017	62.2%	99.1%	6.6%
Philippines	Sogod	2017	73.0%	90.8%	42.0%
Philippines	Solana	2000	47.7%	86.2%	14.5%
Philippines	Solana	2017	72.9%	97.1%	37.6%
Philippines	Solano	2000	14.7%	20.4%	9.4%
Philippines	Solano	2017	48.4%	61.4%	37.3%
Philippines	Solsona	2000	55.5%	97.4%	6.2%
Philippines	Solsona	2017	80.3%	99.6%	29.5%
Philippines	Sominot	2000	14.8%	59.3%	1.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Sominot	2017	36.9%	78.2%	6.3%
Philippines	Sorsogon City	2000	41.3%	50.6%	33.5%
Philippines	Sorsogon City	2017	82.9%	88.4%	75.0%
Philippines	South Ubian	2000	41.9%	94.5%	1.9%
Philippines	South Ubian	2017	63.0%	98.8%	8.1%
Philippines	South Upi	2000	12.5%	38.5%	0.6%
Philippines	South Upi	2017	25.5%	51.9%	4.0%
Philippines	Sual	2000	58.8%	96.0%	11.4%
Philippines	Sual	2017	79.9%	99.5%	30.8%
Philippines	Subic	2000	91.1%	97.4%	78.3%
Philippines	Subic	2017	97.9%	99.7%	92.0%
Philippines	Sudipen	2000	84.3%	96.9%	56.5%
Philippines	Sudipen	2017	96.4%	99.6%	86.7%
Philippines	Sugbongcogon	2000	56.0%	90.9%	16.0%
Philippines	Sugbongcogon	2017	83.5%	98.4%	48.3%
Philippines	Sugpon	2000	56.7%	95.0%	12.9%
Philippines	Sugpon	2017	76.2%	99.0%	38.4%
Philippines	Sulat	2000	44.9%	89.6%	6.5%
Philippines	Sulat	2017	70.3%	96.9%	22.6%
Philippines	Sulop	2000	76.0%	98.8%	30.2%
Philippines	Sulop	2017	92.0%	99.9%	65.5%
Philippines	Sultan Dumalondong	2000	29.6%	67.2%	2.0%
Philippines	Sultan Dumalondong	2017	52.2%	85.7%	12.2%
Philippines	Sultan Kudarat	2000	58.2%	69.5%	47.1%
Philippines	Sultan Kudarat	2017	86.9%	90.4%	79.5%
Philippines	Sultan Mاستura	2000	27.0%	56.0%	4.8%
Philippines	Sultan Mاستura	2017	56.1%	75.9%	21.0%
Philippines	Sultan Naga Dimaporo	2000	29.3%	58.6%	7.9%
Philippines	Sultan Naga Dimaporo	2017	66.7%	86.6%	36.2%
Philippines	Sultan Sa Barongis	2000	24.9%	81.7%	0.4%
Philippines	Sultan Sa Barongis	2017	45.5%	96.4%	2.2%
Philippines	Sumilao	2000	32.8%	67.6%	5.8%
Philippines	Sumilao	2017	65.4%	91.7%	26.0%
Philippines	Sumisip	2000	15.6%	41.2%	1.5%
Philippines	Sumisip	2017	31.7%	60.7%	9.0%
Philippines	Surallah	2000	30.4%	64.6%	7.2%
Philippines	Surallah	2017	56.8%	76.8%	26.8%
Philippines	Surigao City	2000	84.5%	93.6%	70.7%
Philippines	Surigao City	2017	95.5%	99.1%	89.7%
Philippines	Suyo	2000	60.7%	97.3%	9.9%
Philippines	Suyo	2017	81.6%	99.4%	36.0%
Philippines	T'Boli	2000	16.9%	33.7%	3.9%
Philippines	T'Boli	2017	35.5%	56.2%	17.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Taal	2000	49.4%	88.6%	12.9%
Philippines	Taal	2017	83.8%	98.4%	47.7%
Philippines	Taal lake	2000	83.0%	94.5%	59.9%
Philippines	Taal lake	2017	95.8%	99.3%	82.6%
Philippines	Tabaco City	2000	39.6%	62.7%	18.7%
Philippines	Tabaco City	2017	77.5%	91.1%	57.0%
Philippines	Tabango	2000	36.8%	88.1%	2.0%
Philippines	Tabango	2017	63.6%	98.1%	12.0%
Philippines	Tabina	2000	33.3%	78.4%	2.5%
Philippines	Tabina	2017	61.7%	95.7%	16.4%
Philippines	Tabogon	2000	37.9%	83.8%	2.7%
Philippines	Tabogon	2017	64.0%	96.3%	14.8%
Philippines	Tabontabon	2000	54.5%	65.9%	41.1%
Philippines	Tabontabon	2017	88.1%	92.2%	80.7%
Philippines	Tabuelan	2000	15.7%	56.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Tabuelan	2017	33.1%	68.9%	2.3%
Philippines	Tabuk City	2000	32.0%	48.6%	18.8%
Philippines	Tabuk City	2017	71.5%	82.9%	56.3%
Philippines	Tacloban City	2000	56.0%	61.9%	49.3%
Philippines	Tacloban City	2017	90.7%	92.7%	88.2%
Philippines	Tacurong City	2000	36.7%	66.1%	9.8%
Philippines	Tacurong City	2017	67.4%	91.6%	29.2%
Philippines	Tadian	2000	17.2%	20.1%	14.6%
Philippines	Tadian	2017	26.8%	29.9%	24.2%
Philippines	Taft	2000	41.4%	89.5%	2.2%
Philippines	Taft	2017	67.0%	97.8%	12.3%
Philippines	Tagana-An	2000	62.4%	79.6%	47.7%
Philippines	Tagana-An	2017	84.0%	95.6%	66.8%
Philippines	Tagapul-An	2000	41.9%	98.0%	0.4%
Philippines	Tagapul-An	2017	64.2%	99.7%	3.6%
Philippines	Tagaytay City	2000	72.5%	92.1%	50.6%
Philippines	Tagaytay City	2017	90.8%	98.9%	75.2%
Philippines	Tagbilaran City	2000	67.6%	88.8%	38.2%
Philippines	Tagbilaran City	2017	91.7%	98.6%	74.0%
Philippines	Tagbina	2000	39.5%	79.9%	5.4%
Philippines	Tagbina	2017	68.5%	93.4%	19.4%
Philippines	Tagkawayan	2000	51.2%	80.3%	24.6%
Philippines	Tagkawayan	2017	79.1%	94.4%	48.4%
Philippines	Tago	2000	17.9%	32.8%	9.5%
Philippines	Tago	2017	45.8%	70.5%	28.2%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2000	6.8%	9.3%	4.8%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2000	90.2%	96.9%	78.9%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2017	98.3%	99.5%	94.6%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2017	52.5%	59.4%	45.3%
Philippines	Tagoloan II	2000	49.2%	85.6%	8.3%
Philippines	Tagoloan II	2017	74.3%	97.0%	31.0%
Philippines	Tagudin	2000	69.6%	97.8%	21.1%
Philippines	Tagudin	2017	91.5%	99.7%	61.4%
Philippines	Taguig	2000	94.8%	96.5%	92.5%
Philippines	Taguig	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Philippines	Tagum City	2000	59.7%	67.8%	50.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tagum City	2017	82.8%	90.8%	75.1%
Philippines	Talacogon	2000	18.3%	24.0%	13.5%
Philippines	Talacogon	2017	68.3%	74.8%	60.7%
Philippines	Talaingod	2000	36.3%	68.0%	10.7%
Philippines	Talaingod	2017	60.8%	89.5%	23.6%
Philippines	Talakag	2000	34.9%	64.1%	9.4%
Philippines	Talakag	2017	55.7%	82.5%	22.7%
Philippines	Talalora	2000	15.9%	35.6%	3.8%
Philippines	Talalora	2017	51.4%	77.8%	23.4%
Philippines	Talavera	2000	78.5%	96.8%	41.1%
Philippines	Talavera	2017	94.4%	99.6%	76.9%
Philippines	Talayan	2000	7.8%	31.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Talayan	2017	17.5%	43.9%	2.5%
Philippines	Talibon	2000	48.1%	92.3%	7.3%
Philippines	Talibon	2017	74.5%	98.8%	29.4%
Philippines	Talipao	2000	18.6%	40.0%	4.5%
Philippines	Talipao	2017	30.5%	50.6%	8.1%
Philippines	Talisay	2000	48.8%	62.1%	32.3%
Philippines	Talisay	2000	89.8%	98.3%	74.4%
Philippines	Talisay	2017	98.4%	99.8%	95.3%
Philippines	Talisay	2017	90.1%	94.6%	81.8%
Philippines	Talisay City	2000	65.8%	79.8%	46.4%
Philippines	Talisay City	2000	74.6%	80.5%	69.1%
Philippines	Talisay City	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Philippines	Talisay City	2017	92.4%	96.7%	86.2%
Philippines	Talisayan	2000	42.7%	96.5%	0.4%
Philippines	Talisayan	2017	66.5%	99.6%	2.5%
Philippines	Talitay	2000	2.5%	16.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Talitay	2017	8.4%	38.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Talugtug	2000	38.6%	97.3%	1.6%
Philippines	Talugtug	2017	65.3%	99.5%	9.7%
Philippines	Talusan	2000	7.8%	29.0%	0.3%
Philippines	Talusan	2017	26.1%	52.5%	4.1%
Philippines	Tambulig	2000	12.3%	24.8%	4.2%
Philippines	Tambulig	2017	32.6%	45.3%	19.2%
Philippines	Tampakan	2000	10.1%	19.2%	5.5%
Philippines	Tampakan	2017	34.1%	47.3%	24.2%
Philippines	Tamparan	2000	55.4%	99.3%	2.2%
Philippines	Tamparan	2017	77.4%	99.9%	16.3%
Philippines	Tampilisan	2000	44.3%	95.9%	2.2%
Philippines	Tampilisan	2017	68.6%	99.4%	13.1%
Philippines	Tanauan	2000	89.2%	98.7%	58.5%
Philippines	Tanauan	2017	97.5%	99.7%	86.3%
Philippines	Tanauan City	2000	96.4%	99.7%	84.7%
Philippines	Tanauan City	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.3%
Philippines	Tanay	2000	56.0%	88.3%	15.2%
Philippines	Tanay	2017	77.7%	96.0%	39.8%
Philippines	Tandag City	2000	27.6%	50.0%	9.4%
Philippines	Tandag City	2017	64.0%	79.7%	41.7%
Philippines	Tandubas	2000	23.7%	57.7%	3.7%
Philippines	Tandubas	2017	52.0%	79.4%	20.9%
Philippines	Tangalan	2000	45.5%	96.6%	2.0%
Philippines	Tangalan	2017	71.6%	99.6%	10.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tangcal	2000	7.1%	48.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Tangcal	2017	20.5%	81.3%	0.8%
Philippines	Tangub City	2000	61.2%	69.9%	50.6%
Philippines	Tangub City	2017	90.5%	94.1%	84.6%
Philippines	Tanjay City	2000	41.9%	65.3%	19.4%
Philippines	Tanjay City	2017	77.0%	90.4%	50.6%
Philippines	Tantangan	2000	57.5%	74.0%	31.1%
Philippines	Tantangan	2017	78.2%	89.2%	60.1%
Philippines	Tanudan	2000	35.4%	84.0%	2.7%
Philippines	Tanudan	2017	60.0%	94.0%	14.6%
Philippines	Tanza	2000	88.3%	98.8%	62.7%
Philippines	Tanza	2017	95.9%	99.9%	78.1%
Philippines	Tapaz	2000	20.2%	53.8%	1.7%
Philippines	Tapaz	2017	42.1%	78.1%	9.2%
Philippines	Tapul	2000	31.9%	85.6%	1.4%
Philippines	Tapul	2017	55.1%	96.9%	6.1%
Philippines	Taraka	2000	53.1%	99.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Taraka	2017	69.8%	100.0%	1.8%
Philippines	Tarangnan	2000	33.9%	85.1%	1.4%
Philippines	Tarangnan	2017	60.9%	97.6%	10.7%
Philippines	Tarlac City	2000	82.8%	93.7%	69.2%
Philippines	Tarlac City	2017	95.4%	99.1%	86.2%
Philippines	Tarragona	2000	14.0%	36.6%	2.7%
Philippines	Tarragona	2017	33.8%	60.9%	11.8%
Philippines	Tayabas City	2000	48.5%	89.9%	6.9%
Philippines	Tayabas City	2017	77.1%	98.6%	27.3%
Philippines	Tayasan	2000	31.8%	80.4%	0.9%
Philippines	Tayasan	2017	54.7%	95.0%	8.3%
Philippines	Taysan	2000	54.1%	98.5%	2.8%
Philippines	Taysan	2017	76.9%	99.8%	19.2%
Philippines	Taytay	2000	38.5%	54.9%	20.2%
Philippines	Taytay	2000	92.9%	96.3%	88.6%
Philippines	Taytay	2017	66.3%	80.8%	46.3%
Philippines	Taytay	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.6%
Philippines	Tayug	2000	65.6%	81.7%	46.7%
Philippines	Tayug	2017	92.8%	97.7%	83.0%
Philippines	Tayum	2000	33.8%	41.5%	25.2%
Philippines	Tayum	2017	63.4%	77.4%	53.1%
Philippines	Teresa	2000	90.1%	96.2%	78.0%
Philippines	Teresa	2017	98.4%	99.4%	96.1%
Philippines	Ternate	2000	46.1%	97.1%	2.3%
Philippines	Ternate	2017	70.5%	99.7%	13.7%
Philippines	Tiaong	2000	79.1%	90.8%	60.0%
Philippines	Tiaong	2017	95.0%	98.8%	86.1%
Philippines	Tibiao	2000	27.4%	57.8%	8.8%
Philippines	Tibiao	2017	65.8%	86.1%	41.3%
Philippines	Tigaon	2000	35.9%	96.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Tigaon	2017	61.8%	99.5%	3.0%
Philippines	Tigbao	2000	36.8%	91.1%	0.7%
Philippines	Tigbao	2017	61.2%	97.9%	5.9%
Philippines	Tigbauan	2000	44.3%	71.4%	17.6%
Philippines	Tigbauan	2017	84.7%	96.7%	52.9%
Philippines	Tinambac	2000	41.8%	84.7%	5.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tinambac	2017	64.8%	95.5%	16.7%
Philippines	Tineg	2000	29.6%	65.2%	4.2%
Philippines	Tineg	2017	54.8%	84.9%	15.6%
Philippines	Tinglayan	2000	17.8%	38.3%	7.8%
Philippines	Tinglayan	2017	53.6%	71.8%	36.1%
Philippines	Tingloy	2000	46.4%	98.8%	1.0%
Philippines	Tingloy	2017	69.5%	99.9%	6.5%
Philippines	Tinoc	2000	23.9%	66.6%	0.8%
Philippines	Tinoc	2017	45.7%	84.9%	5.7%
Philippines	Tipo-Tipo	2000	26.2%	55.1%	5.7%
Philippines	Tipo-Tipo	2017	50.2%	73.2%	19.9%
Philippines	Titay	2000	41.1%	91.9%	2.3%
Philippines	Titay	2017	63.7%	98.0%	8.7%
Philippines	Tiwi	2000	76.3%	87.8%	53.5%
Philippines	Tiwi	2017	92.0%	96.3%	79.8%
Philippines	Tobias Fornier	2000	39.5%	84.7%	6.6%
Philippines	Tobias Fornier	2017	70.8%	97.7%	27.8%
Philippines	Toboso	2000	21.2%	43.1%	8.3%
Philippines	Toboso	2017	61.1%	80.9%	37.4%
Philippines	Toledo City	2000	34.7%	52.4%	19.1%
Philippines	Toledo City	2017	75.6%	86.3%	57.0%
Philippines	Tolosa	2000	45.3%	87.9%	6.5%
Philippines	Tolosa	2017	71.3%	98.1%	32.9%
Philippines	Tomas Oppus	2000	37.0%	89.9%	2.2%
Philippines	Tomas Oppus	2017	61.7%	97.4%	10.0%
Philippines	Tongkil	2000	44.8%	83.3%	9.7%
Philippines	Tongkil	2017	68.0%	95.6%	24.1%
Philippines	Torrijos	2000	34.3%	62.2%	13.3%
Philippines	Torrijos	2017	70.8%	89.1%	43.0%
Philippines	Trece Martires City	2000	84.6%	94.6%	64.6%
Philippines	Trece Martires City	2017	98.1%	99.5%	94.6%
Philippines	Trento	2000	45.1%	83.8%	10.0%
Philippines	Trento	2017	71.3%	96.7%	25.5%
Philippines	Trinidad	2000	60.4%	86.6%	26.9%
Philippines	Trinidad	2017	86.9%	97.9%	65.3%
Philippines	Tuao	2000	30.4%	77.0%	0.9%
Philippines	Tuao	2017	56.2%	94.8%	6.8%
Philippines	Tuba	2000	77.8%	85.7%	69.1%
Philippines	Tuba	2017	94.1%	97.6%	89.0%
Philippines	Tubajon	2000	42.6%	70.0%	17.1%
Philippines	Tubajon	2017	79.2%	94.6%	57.1%
Philippines	Tubao	2000	38.0%	54.2%	16.1%
Philippines	Tubao	2017	79.5%	88.4%	62.7%
Philippines	Tubaran	2000	65.4%	75.2%	56.4%
Philippines	Tubaran	2017	79.8%	88.2%	70.2%
Philippines	Tubay	2000	75.7%	86.8%	62.0%
Philippines	Tubay	2017	93.5%	98.0%	85.5%
Philippines	Tubigon	2000	41.7%	97.3%	1.5%
Philippines	Tubigon	2017	64.5%	99.6%	5.4%
Philippines	Tublay	2000	76.8%	91.7%	42.7%
Philippines	Tublay	2017	91.3%	98.1%	65.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tubo	2000	54.6%	85.3%	23.5%
Philippines	Tubo	2017	73.4%	95.1%	41.8%
Philippines	Tubod	2000	91.7%	97.9%	76.5%
Philippines	Tubod	2000	33.1%	45.3%	17.9%
Philippines	Tubod	2017	57.7%	71.3%	43.2%
Philippines	Tubod	2017	98.7%	99.8%	96.1%
Philippines	Tubungan	2000	59.8%	97.9%	4.8%
Philippines	Tubungan	2017	82.7%	99.8%	27.1%
Philippines	Tuburan	2000	29.7%	67.8%	6.0%
Philippines	Tuburan	2000	32.8%	70.2%	6.7%
Philippines	Tuburan	2017	62.4%	88.0%	26.3%
Philippines	Tuburan	2017	61.6%	92.8%	22.7%
Philippines	Tudela	2000	35.2%	90.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Tudela	2000	2.8%	6.3%	0.6%
Philippines	Tudela	2017	61.4%	98.8%	2.1%
Philippines	Tudela	2017	9.3%	17.0%	4.1%
Philippines	Tugaya	2000	0.8%	1.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Tugaya	2017	5.9%	10.6%	2.6%
Philippines	Tuguegarao City	2000	86.9%	98.2%	63.9%
Philippines	Tuguegarao City	2017	96.5%	99.8%	87.0%
Philippines	Tukuran	2000	33.9%	60.0%	9.3%
Philippines	Tukuran	2017	58.9%	77.3%	25.6%
Philippines	Tulunan	2000	49.3%	70.3%	27.9%
Philippines	Tulunan	2017	68.6%	83.9%	47.3%
Philippines	Tumauini	2000	46.6%	90.7%	5.4%
Philippines	Tumauini	2017	72.1%	98.5%	20.5%
Philippines	Tunga	2000	56.6%	95.6%	11.1%
Philippines	Tunga	2017	82.3%	99.4%	33.4%
Philippines	Tungawan	2000	39.6%	76.1%	10.4%
Philippines	Tungawan	2017	66.4%	93.4%	28.5%
Philippines	Tupi	2000	18.5%	27.0%	11.9%
Philippines	Tupi	2017	51.9%	58.8%	42.5%
Philippines	Tuy	2000	44.0%	98.4%	1.0%
Philippines	Tuy	2017	68.4%	99.8%	6.5%
Philippines	Ubay	2000	63.5%	91.8%	29.8%
Philippines	Ubay	2017	84.6%	98.9%	57.3%
Philippines	Umingan	2000	35.4%	80.4%	2.4%
Philippines	Umingan	2017	60.7%	95.1%	10.4%
Philippines	Ungkaya Pukan	2000	17.7%	39.7%	5.3%
Philippines	Ungkaya Pukan	2017	41.6%	61.3%	20.5%
Philippines	Unisan	2000	52.9%	96.6%	6.5%
Philippines	Unisan	2017	81.2%	99.6%	33.5%
Philippines	Upi	2000	26.5%	66.3%	2.2%
Philippines	Upi	2017	52.5%	83.7%	12.9%
Philippines	Urbiztondo	2000	25.2%	54.7%	9.4%
Philippines	Urbiztondo	2017	66.5%	89.2%	38.2%
Philippines	Urdaneta City	2000	46.1%	90.4%	7.6%
Philippines	Urdaneta City	2017	82.0%	98.7%	35.7%
Philippines	Uson	2000	18.9%	47.6%	2.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Uson	2017	51.3%	80.2%	19.8%
Philippines	Uyugan	2000	92.3%	99.3%	72.5%
Philippines	Uyugan	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.1%
Philippines	Valderrama	2000	44.9%	74.1%	13.6%
Philippines	Valderrama	2017	72.3%	91.7%	40.1%
Philippines	Valencia	2000	64.8%	80.2%	49.9%
Philippines	Valencia	2000	67.9%	93.9%	36.5%
Philippines	Valencia	2017	90.8%	96.6%	80.1%
Philippines	Valencia	2017	87.9%	99.2%	63.5%
Philippines	Valencia City	2000	42.5%	73.0%	16.2%
Philippines	Valencia City	2017	72.8%	92.7%	46.1%
Philippines	Valenzuela	2000	78.2%	81.6%	74.8%
Philippines	Valenzuela	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Philippines	Valladolid	2000	50.3%	95.5%	3.7%
Philippines	Valladolid	2017	74.2%	99.6%	17.5%
Philippines	Vallehermoso	2000	32.0%	82.2%	2.7%
Philippines	Vallehermoso	2017	62.6%	95.5%	13.7%
Philippines	Veruela	2000	29.1%	49.2%	14.5%
Philippines	Veruela	2017	65.7%	80.4%	47.5%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	29.8%	70.5%	1.2%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	67.8%	82.8%	52.7%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	73.1%	85.6%	47.5%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	32.4%	64.5%	10.8%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	90.8%	96.0%	80.0%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	76.2%	92.1%	44.6%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	54.0%	82.0%	16.3%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	92.0%	97.6%	75.0%
Philippines	Victorias City	2000	40.8%	96.1%	1.5%
Philippines	Victorias City	2017	69.3%	99.1%	7.9%
Philippines	Viga	2000	42.3%	69.5%	21.6%
Philippines	Viga	2017	73.5%	91.8%	45.9%
Philippines	Vigan City	2000	84.9%	95.5%	67.1%
Philippines	Vigan City	2017	97.1%	99.5%	92.8%
Philippines	Villaba	2000	22.9%	53.3%	5.7%
Philippines	Villaba	2017	58.0%	81.0%	30.5%
Philippines	Villanueva	2000	87.2%	97.7%	64.0%
Philippines	Villanueva	2017	97.3%	99.7%	90.2%
Philippines	Villareal	2000	41.6%	88.2%	3.8%
Philippines	Villareal	2017	68.1%	97.9%	18.4%
Philippines	Villasis	2000	42.4%	84.6%	4.7%
Philippines	Villasis	2017	74.6%	97.6%	26.9%
Philippines	Villaverde	2000	40.4%	63.2%	23.1%
Philippines	Villaverde	2017	80.4%	92.6%	63.9%
Philippines	Villaviciosa	2000	11.3%	17.5%	7.0%
Philippines	Villaviciosa	2017	41.7%	57.9%	30.4%
Philippines	Vincenzo A. Sagun	2000	40.1%	91.9%	0.9%
Philippines	Vincenzo A. Sagun	2017	64.5%	98.7%	7.1%
Philippines	Vintar	2000	27.6%	57.0%	7.8%
Philippines	Vintar	2017	62.6%	86.1%	34.1%
Philippines	Vinzons	2000	51.1%	68.2%	33.0%
Philippines	Vinzons	2017	83.0%	94.0%	62.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Virac	2000	49.4%	60.6%	34.0%
Philippines	Virac	2017	79.2%	87.1%	71.0%
Philippines	Wao	2000	10.0%	37.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Wao	2017	28.2%	56.0%	1.2%
Philippines	Waterbody	2000	18.4%	22.5%	15.0%
Philippines	Waterbody	2017	67.0%	71.8%	61.6%
Philippines	Zamboanga City	2000	45.2%	49.7%	40.4%
Philippines	Zamboanga City	2017	73.8%	78.5%	68.6%
Philippines	Zamboanguita	2000	42.6%	94.8%	1.1%
Philippines	Zamboanguita	2017	64.9%	98.8%	4.6%
Philippines	Zaragoza	2000	76.2%	94.3%	41.9%
Philippines	Zaragoza	2017	92.4%	99.1%	67.1%
Philippines	Zarraga	2000	43.7%	79.1%	15.8%
Philippines	Zarraga	2017	81.3%	96.4%	50.2%
Philippines	Zumarraga	2000	18.6%	49.3%	5.0%
Philippines	Zumarraga	2017	52.5%	74.0%	27.3%
Sri Lanka	Addalachchenai	2000	7.4%	80.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Addalachchenai	2017	10.2%	86.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Agalawatta	2000	5.8%	52.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Agalawatta	2017	9.2%	64.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Akkaraipattu	2000	7.3%	83.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Akkaraipattu	2017	10.7%	84.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Akmeemana	2000	4.1%	49.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Akmeemana	2017	6.6%	61.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Akurana	2000	6.1%	61.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Akurana	2017	9.5%	73.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Akuressa	2000	5.9%	34.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Akuressa	2017	8.7%	47.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Alawwa	2000	5.3%	37.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Alawwa	2017	9.1%	51.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Alayadiwembu	2000	5.1%	51.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Alayadiwembu	2017	8.8%	84.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambagamuwa	2000	2.2%	11.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambagamuwa	2017	3.6%	16.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambalangoda	2000	5.3%	41.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambalangoda	2017	8.4%	47.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambalanthota	2000	5.5%	40.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambalanthota	2017	8.9%	48.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambanganga Korale	2000	1.8%	24.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambanganga Korale	2017	3.0%	27.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambanpola	2000	1.1%	8.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambanpola	2017	2.2%	12.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ampara	2000	6.8%	50.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ampara	2017	11.1%	61.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Anamaduwa	2000	6.2%	42.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Anamaduwa	2017	10.1%	54.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Angunakolapelessa	2000	2.0%	15.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Angunakolapelessa	2017	4.0%	27.7%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Arachchikattuwa PS	2000	4.7%	45.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Arachchikattuwa PS	2017	8.0%	55.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Aranayaka	2000	1.4%	17.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Aranayaka	2017	2.6%	24.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Athuraliya	2000	2.2%	27.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Athuraliya	2017	3.9%	31.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Attanagalla	2000	1.2%	13.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Attanagalla	2017	2.1%	19.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ayagama	2000	6.7%	48.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ayagama	2017	10.9%	58.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Badalkumbura	2000	6.5%	50.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Badalkumbura	2017	10.4%	60.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Baddegama	2000	2.7%	26.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Baddegama	2017	5.1%	38.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Badulla	2000	5.7%	37.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Badulla	2017	10.6%	77.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Balangoda	2000	5.0%	34.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Balangoda	2017	7.9%	46.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Balapitiya	2000	4.2%	48.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Balapitiya	2017	6.4%	53.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bamunakotuwa	2000	3.3%	37.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bamunakotuwa	2017	5.5%	48.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bandaragama	2000	3.1%	40.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bandaragama	2017	5.2%	57.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bandarawela	2000	5.3%	54.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bandarawela	2017	8.9%	65.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Beliatta	2000	5.4%	48.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Beliatta	2017	9.4%	65.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bentota	2000	4.4%	47.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bentota	2017	7.2%	62.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Beruwala	2000	4.6%	43.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Beruwala	2017	7.4%	52.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bibile	2000	7.7%	54.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bibile	2017	11.8%	63.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bingiriya	2000	4.1%	26.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bingiriya	2017	6.8%	40.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Biyagama	2000	1.8%	29.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Biyagama	2017	2.9%	28.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bope-Poddala	2000	3.4%	35.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bope-Poddala	2017	6.7%	65.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bulathkohupitiya	2000	2.8%	30.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bulathkohupitiya	2017	5.1%	36.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bulathsinhala	2000	5.6%	53.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bulathsinhala	2017	9.0%	61.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Buttala	2000	2.4%	20.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Buttala	2017	4.5%	27.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Chilaw	2000	3.6%	47.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Chilaw	2017	6.3%	60.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Colombo	2000	0.4%	8.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Colombo	2017	0.8%	9.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Damana	2000	9.8%	50.5%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Damana	2017	14.7%	57.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dambulla	2000	7.0%	35.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dambulla	2017	11.3%	46.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dankotuwa	2000	1.4%	15.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dankotuwa	2017	2.6%	34.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dehiattakandiya	2000	5.5%	31.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dehiattakandiya	2017	8.5%	43.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dehiovita	2000	5.0%	41.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dehiovita	2017	8.3%	53.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dehiwala-Mount Lavinia	2000	2.8%	37.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dehiwala-Mount Lavinia	2017	4.8%	51.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Delft	2000	3.1%	36.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Delft	2017	5.7%	59.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Delthota	2000	24.6%	92.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Delthota	2017	33.4%	97.1%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Deraniyagala	2000	6.4%	43.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Deraniyagala	2017	10.5%	49.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Devinuwara	2000	5.6%	63.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Devinuwara	2017	8.6%	70.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dickwella	2000	6.0%	70.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dickwella	2017	8.6%	74.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dimbulagala	2000	5.8%	28.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dimbulagala	2017	9.7%	41.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Divulapitiya	2000	1.5%	13.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Divulapitiya	2017	2.7%	21.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dodangoda	2000	3.3%	39.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dodangoda	2017	5.1%	52.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Doluwa	2000	9.2%	54.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Doluwa	2017	14.1%	66.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dompe	2000	3.4%	25.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dompe	2017	6.1%	37.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Eheliyagoda	2000	4.8%	29.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Eheliyagoda	2017	7.5%	38.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ehetuwewa	2000	7.1%	48.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ehetuwewa	2017	10.8%	60.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Elahera	2000	6.8%	40.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Elahera	2017	10.8%	55.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Elapatha	2000	5.5%	47.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Elapatha	2017	9.6%	52.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ella	2000	6.1%	46.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ella	2017	9.0%	54.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Elpitiya	2000	3.2%	25.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Elpitiya	2017	5.8%	41.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Embilipitiya	2000	6.2%	33.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Embilipitiya	2017	10.0%	46.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Eragama	2000	6.5%	54.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Eragama	2017	10.6%	77.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Pattu	2000	4.1%	39.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Pattu	2017	7.1%	51.3%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Eravur Town	2000	9.1%	88.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Town	2017	12.9%	89.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Galenbindunuweva	2000	7.0%	48.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Galenbindunuweva	2017	11.6%	56.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Galewela	2000	10.0%	68.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Galewela	2017	15.3%	78.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Galgamuwa	2000	7.1%	36.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Galgamuwa	2017	11.1%	49.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Galigamuwa	2000	0.7%	7.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Galigamuwa	2017	1.5%	11.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Galle Four Gravets	2000	3.9%	38.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Galle Four Gravets	2017	7.3%	68.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Galnewa	2000	5.5%	42.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Galnewa	2017	8.9%	63.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Gampaha	2000	2.4%	26.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Gampaha	2017	4.3%	40.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ganewatta	2000	7.8%	57.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ganewatta	2017	12.1%	71.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ganga Ihala Korale	2000	4.7%	42.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ganga Ihala Korale	2017	8.1%	58.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Giribawa	2000	7.7%	44.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Giribawa	2017	11.9%	57.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Godakawela	2000	2.5%	24.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Godakawela	2017	4.4%	37.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Gomarankadawala	2000	3.7%	28.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Gomarankadawala	2017	6.4%	37.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Habaraduwa	2000	4.9%	42.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Habaraduwa	2017	7.8%	50.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hakmana	2000	3.9%	46.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hakmana	2017	7.1%	71.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hali-Ela	2000	2.6%	18.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hali-Ela	2017	5.3%	30.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hambantota	2000	6.4%	35.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hambantota	2017	10.3%	52.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hanguranketha	2000	9.8%	49.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hanguranketha	2017	15.3%	65.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hanwella	2000	5.8%	39.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hanwella	2017	9.1%	54.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Haputale	2000	5.3%	37.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Haputale	2017	8.6%	57.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Harispattuwa	2000	2.8%	28.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Harispattuwa	2017	4.6%	40.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hatharaliyadda	2000	4.1%	40.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hatharaliyadda	2017	6.7%	59.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hikkaduwa	2000	5.5%	46.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hikkaduwa	2017	9.3%	59.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hildummulla	2000	6.2%	36.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hildummulla	2017	9.3%	44.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Hingurakgoda	2000	4.4%	25.6%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Hingurakgoda	2017	7.8%	37.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Homagama	2000	2.9%	31.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Homagama	2017	4.9%	44.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Horana	2000	6.2%	52.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Horana	2017	9.3%	63.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Horowpothana	2000	3.3%	20.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Horowpothana	2017	5.5%	28.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ibbagamuwa	2000	3.4%	27.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ibbagamuwa	2017	5.6%	37.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Imaduwa	2000	5.5%	53.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Imaduwa	2017	8.6%	66.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Imbulpe	2000	5.4%	31.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Imbulpe	2017	8.3%	44.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ingiriya	2000	6.8%	50.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ingiriya	2017	10.5%	67.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ipalogama	2000	2.9%	26.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ipalogama	2017	5.7%	38.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Island South (Velanai)	2000	5.5%	40.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Island South (Velanai)	2017	8.7%	48.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Islands North (Kayts)	2000	4.3%	48.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Islands North (Kayts)	2017	7.2%	65.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ja-Ela	2000	6.7%	58.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ja-Ela	2017	9.7%	69.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Jaffna	2000	6.2%	57.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Jaffna	2017	9.8%	90.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	K.F.G. & G. Korale	2000	6.0%	11.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	K.F.G. & G. Korale	2017	8.3%	13.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kaduwela	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kaduwela	2017	0.3%	4.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kahatagasdigiliya	2000	3.0%	18.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kahatagasdigiliya	2017	4.8%	26.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kahawatta	2000	6.3%	60.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kahawatta	2017	9.5%	70.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kalawana	2000	6.7%	38.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kalawana	2017	10.3%	54.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kalmunai	2000	6.9%	75.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kalmunai	2017	11.6%	93.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kalpitiya	2000	5.6%	31.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kalpitiya	2017	9.1%	38.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kalutara	2000	3.0%	31.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kalutara	2017	5.6%	49.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kamburupitiya	2000	2.8%	40.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kamburupitiya	2017	5.1%	53.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kandaketiya	2000	7.2%	46.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kandaketiya	2017	11.5%	57.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kandawali	2000	3.9%	28.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kandawali	2017	6.8%	43.6%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Kantalai	2000	6.5%	43.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kantalai	2017	10.7%	60.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Karachchi	2000	11.1%	58.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Karachchi	2017	16.4%	66.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Karandeniya	2000	4.4%	42.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Karandeniya	2017	7.1%	46.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Karativu	2000	6.4%	62.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Karativu	2017	10.4%	74.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Karuwalagaswewa	2000	5.4%	31.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Karuwalagaswewa	2017	9.0%	39.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Katana	2000	1.6%	12.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Katana	2017	3.1%	23.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Katharagama	2000	6.1%	53.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Katharagama	2017	9.9%	78.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kattankudy	2000	3.2%	64.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kattankudy	2017	6.3%	96.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Katupotha	2000	5.6%	42.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Katupotha	2017	10.4%	53.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Katuwana	2000	7.2%	38.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Katuwana	2017	12.0%	52.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kebithigollewa	2000	2.1%	19.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kebithigollewa	2017	3.8%	27.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kegalle	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kegalle	2017	0.3%	4.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kekirawa	2000	4.5%	25.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kekirawa	2017	7.7%	37.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kelaniya	2000	3.5%	55.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kelaniya	2017	5.2%	74.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kesbewa	2000	3.0%	35.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kesbewa	2017	4.6%	50.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kinniya	2000	3.7%	65.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kinniya	2017	6.5%	72.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kiriella	2000	5.2%	41.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kiriella	2017	8.2%	51.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kirinda-Puhulwella	2000	4.8%	48.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kirinda-Puhulwella	2017	8.8%	63.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kobeigane	2000	7.5%	57.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kobeigane	2017	10.9%	71.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kolonna	2000	6.4%	37.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kolonna	2017	10.4%	54.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kolonnawa	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kolonnawa	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu (Valachchenai)	2000	4.4%	33.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu (Valachchenai)	2017	8.1%	64.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu North	2000	5.8%	34.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu North	2017	8.8%	39.7%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu West (Odd-amavadi)	2000	5.2%	43.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu West (Odd-amavadi)	2017	8.7%	59.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kotapola	2000	5.3%	41.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kotapola	2017	8.8%	57.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kotavehera	2000	6.6%	40.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kotavehera	2017	11.3%	52.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kothmale	2000	3.2%	21.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kothmale	2017	5.0%	36.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuchchaveli	2000	3.4%	24.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuchchaveli	2017	5.6%	35.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya East	2000	8.8%	46.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya East	2017	13.7%	64.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya West	2000	0.7%	10.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya West	2017	1.4%	12.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kundasale	2000	17.3%	68.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kundasale	2017	24.9%	74.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kurunegala	2000	3.5%	51.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kurunegala	2017	5.4%	49.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuruvita	2000	3.7%	29.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuruvita	2017	6.1%	41.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Laggala-Pallegama	2000	5.7%	38.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Laggala-Pallegama	2017	9.1%	47.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Lahugala	2000	6.6%	34.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Lahugala	2017	10.0%	44.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Lankapura	2000	4.2%	49.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Lankapura	2017	7.1%	66.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Lunugala	2000	5.0%	41.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Lunugala	2017	8.6%	61.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Lunugamvehera	2000	3.5%	20.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Lunugamvehera	2017	6.2%	26.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Madampe	2000	2.7%	24.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Madampe	2017	4.3%	38.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Madhu	2000	3.0%	18.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Madhu	2017	5.2%	31.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Madulla	2000	8.2%	38.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Madulla	2017	12.8%	48.2%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Madurawala	2000	3.1%	32.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Madurawala	2017	5.7%	41.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Maha Viallachchiya	2000	4.6%	30.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Maha Viallachchiya	2017	7.2%	39.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahakumbukkad	2000	5.3%	31.6%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Mahakumbukkad	2017	8.4%	39.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahaoya	2000	4.7%	25.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahaoya	2017	7.6%	37.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahara	2000	5.6%	45.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahara	2017	8.5%	54.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Maharagama	2000	0.5%	3.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Maharagama	2017	1.3%	20.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahawa	2000	6.1%	38.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahawa	2017	10.4%	46.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahawewa	2000	0.7%	10.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahawewa	2017	1.3%	20.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahiyanganaya	2000	4.9%	23.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahiyanganaya	2017	7.6%	32.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Malimbada	2000	5.8%	61.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Malimbada	2017	8.9%	74.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mallawapitiya	2000	1.0%	13.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mallawapitiya	2017	1.8%	13.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai	2000	3.4%	53.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	North Manmunai	2017	6.4%	75.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai	2000	2.3%	30.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pattu (Araipattai)	2017	4.2%	34.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai	2000	7.5%	59.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	South and Eruvilpattu	2017	11.2%	72.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai	2000	4.3%	31.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	South-West	2017	7.0%	51.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai	2000	4.7%	30.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	West	2017	8.4%	47.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mannar Town	2000	5.3%	54.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mannar Town	2017	8.8%	55.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Manthai East	2000	4.1%	34.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Manthai East	2017	6.3%	41.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Manthai West	2000	4.6%	24.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Manthai West	2017	8.2%	35.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Maritimepattu	2000	4.0%	25.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Maritimepattu	2017	6.7%	39.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Maspotha	2000	4.2%	40.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Maspotha	2017	7.0%	54.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Matale	2000	4.1%	31.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Matale	2017	6.8%	41.4%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Matara Four Gravets	2000	5.2%	46.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Matara Four Gravets	2017	8.7%	69.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mathugama	2000	4.3%	42.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mathugama	2017	7.2%	52.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mawanella	2000	2.6%	26.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mawanella	2017	4.6%	34.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mawathagama	2000	1.4%	13.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mawathagama	2017	2.4%	16.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Medadumbara	2000	8.1%	54.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Medadumbara	2017	12.4%	63.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Medagama	2000	6.7%	44.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Medagama	2017	10.3%	56.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Medawachchiya	2000	4.2%	28.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Medawachchiya	2017	7.1%	37.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Medirigiriya	2000	6.0%	31.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Medirigiriya	2017	10.0%	46.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Meegahakivula	2000	8.6%	59.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Meegahakivula	2017	13.5%	76.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mihinhale	2000	3.7%	24.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mihinhale	2017	6.5%	40.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Millaniya	2000	2.2%	22.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Millaniya	2017	4.2%	32.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Minipe	2000	5.5%	33.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Minipe	2017	8.5%	41.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Minuwangoda	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Minuwangoda	2017	0.4%	2.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mirigama	2000	2.9%	22.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mirigama	2017	5.5%	32.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Moneragala	2000	4.0%	25.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Moneragala	2017	7.7%	43.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Moratuwa	2000	5.5%	51.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Moratuwa	2017	7.7%	60.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Morawewa	2000	3.5%	30.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Morawewa	2017	5.8%	37.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mulatiyana	2000	1.9%	14.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mulatiyana	2017	3.4%	23.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mundalama	2000	4.1%	31.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Mundalama	2017	7.6%	48.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Musali	2000	5.8%	41.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Musali	2017	9.2%	60.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Muttur	2000	2.4%	30.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Muttur	2017	4.7%	52.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha Central	2000	3.3%	18.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha Central	2017	5.2%	27.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha East	2000	6.1%	65.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha East	2017	9.4%	72.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nachchadoowa	2000	6.6%	59.8%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Nachchadoowa	2017	10.4%	66.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nagoda	2000	3.4%	33.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nagoda	2017	6.3%	43.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nallur	2000	5.4%	76.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nallur	2017	8.6%	83.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nanaddan	2000	7.3%	41.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nanaddan	2017	12.0%	57.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Narammala	2000	7.2%	53.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Narammala	2017	10.7%	68.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nattandiya	2000	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nattandiya	2017	0.4%	5.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Naula	2000	5.7%	51.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Naula	2017	9.0%	62.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Navithanveli	2000	5.4%	56.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Navithanveli	2017	10.0%	68.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nawagattegama	2000	6.5%	49.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nawagattegama	2017	11.3%	69.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Negombo	2000	2.1%	24.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Negombo	2017	4.2%	47.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Neluwa	2000	6.1%	47.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Neluwa	2017	10.1%	56.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nikaweratiya	2000	8.4%	48.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nikaweratiya	2017	13.0%	67.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ninthavur	2000	6.7%	69.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ninthavur	2017	10.1%	75.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nivithigala	2000	6.6%	41.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nivithigala	2017	11.3%	55.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Niyagama	2000	4.5%	36.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Niyagama	2017	6.8%	48.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nochchiyagama	2000	3.1%	16.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nochchiyagama	2017	5.4%	26.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nuwara Eliya	2000	1.8%	10.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Nuwara Eliya	2017	3.1%	20.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Oddusuddan	2000	4.1%	30.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Oddusuddan	2017	7.0%	32.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Okewela	2000	7.9%	66.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Okewela	2017	12.7%	79.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Opanayaka	2000	2.6%	36.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Opanayaka	2017	4.3%	45.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pachchilapalli	2000	3.3%	31.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pachchilapalli	2017	6.1%	48.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Padavi Sri Pura	2000	4.9%	36.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Padavi Sri Pura	2017	7.7%	44.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Padaviya	2000	1.6%	17.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Padaviya	2017	3.0%	22.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Padiyathalawa	2000	5.7%	37.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Padiyathalawa	2017	9.4%	48.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Padukka	2000	5.7%	52.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Padukka	2017	9.5%	72.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Palagala	2000	6.3%	37.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Palagala	2017	10.7%	51.4%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Palindanuwara	2000	6.9%	43.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Palindanuwara	2017	10.9%	57.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pallama	2000	5.6%	45.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pallama	2017	9.5%	60.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pallepola	2000	2.6%	23.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pallepola	2017	4.5%	45.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Palugaswewa	2000	7.6%	50.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Palugaswewa	2017	12.2%	62.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Panadura	2000	4.2%	37.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Panadura	2017	6.6%	54.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Panduwasnuwara	2000	5.0%	31.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Panduwasnuwara	2017	8.4%	41.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pannala	2000	1.9%	15.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pannala	2017	3.5%	24.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Panvila	2000	8.2%	62.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Panvila	2017	12.1%	75.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pasbage Korale	2000	3.6%	41.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pasbage Korale	2017	6.3%	52.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pasgoda	2000	6.4%	50.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pasgoda	2017	10.5%	59.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Passara	2000	6.0%	51.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Passara	2017	9.6%	62.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pathadumbara	2000	6.2%	50.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pathadumbara	2017	10.0%	74.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pathahewaheta	2000	35.5%	68.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pathahewaheta	2017	44.1%	76.9%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Pelmadulla	2000	7.0%	38.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pelmadulla	2017	11.5%	51.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pitabeddara	2000	6.3%	51.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pitabeddara	2017	9.2%	66.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Polgahawela	2000	4.5%	35.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Polgahawela	2017	7.7%	52.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Polpithigama	2000	8.2%	41.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Polpithigama	2017	12.5%	53.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Poojapitiya	2000	5.1%	44.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Poojapitiya	2017	9.1%	62.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Poonakary	2000	4.6%	28.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Poonakary	2017	7.4%	35.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Porativu Pattu	2000	8.0%	55.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Porativu Pattu	2017	12.3%	70.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pothuvil	2000	5.6%	51.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pothuvil	2017	9.6%	74.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Puthukudiyiruppu	2000	3.7%	30.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Puthukudiyiruppu	2017	6.5%	41.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Puttalam	2000	5.1%	41.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Puttalam	2017	9.1%	55.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Rajanganaya	2000	6.8%	51.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Rajanganaya	2017	10.8%	61.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Rambewa	2000	4.1%	26.3%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Rambewa	2017	6.6%	35.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Rambukkana	2000	2.2%	18.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Rambukkana	2017	3.9%	35.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Rasnayakapura	2000	5.8%	45.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Rasnayakapura	2017	10.1%	59.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ratnapura	2000	4.4%	21.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ratnapura	2017	7.9%	29.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Rattota	2000	4.4%	38.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Rattota	2017	7.3%	57.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Rideegama	2000	1.6%	16.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Rideegama	2017	3.1%	20.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Rideemaliyadda	2000	4.0%	21.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Rideemaliyadda	2017	6.6%	25.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ruwanwella	2000	5.8%	40.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ruwanwella	2017	9.3%	54.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Sainthamarathu	2000	6.9%	64.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Sainthamarathu	2017	11.5%	82.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Samanthurai	2000	3.1%	37.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Samanthurai	2017	5.7%	64.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Seruvila	2000	2.3%	18.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Seruvila	2017	3.9%	24.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Sevanagala	2000	6.2%	38.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Sevanagala	2017	10.2%	50.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Siyambalanduwa	2000	6.5%	31.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Siyambalanduwa	2017	10.8%	39.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Sooriyawewa	2000	4.0%	44.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Sooriyawewa	2017	7.2%	58.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Soranathota	2000	5.2%	56.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Soranathota	2017	9.7%	75.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Sri Jayawar- danapura Kotte	2000	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Sri Jayawar- danapura Kotte	2017	0.5%	4.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Tangalle	2000	5.2%	39.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Tangalle	2017	8.8%	47.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thalawa	2000	3.1%	19.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thalawa	2017	5.4%	25.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thamankaduwa	2000	2.7%	15.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thamankaduwa	2017	4.7%	24.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thambuttegama	2000	5.6%	46.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thambuttegama	2017	9.3%	61.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thampalakamam	2000	3.7%	37.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thampalakamam	2017	6.4%	54.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thanamalvila	2000	5.4%	25.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thanamalvila	2017	9.1%	34.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thawalama	2000	5.0%	32.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thawalama	2017	8.7%	44.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thenmaradchy (Chavakachcheri)	2000	5.9%	43.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thenmaradchy (Chavakachcheri)	2017	9.8%	56.6%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Thihagoda	2000	5.3%	53.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thihagoda	2017	8.5%	66.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thimbirigasyaya	2000	0.7%	9.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thimbirigasyaya	2017	1.2%	11.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thirappane	2000	8.9%	41.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thirappane	2017	13.2%	53.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thirukkovil	2000	5.6%	61.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thirukkovil	2017	9.3%	72.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thissamaharama	2000	5.3%	37.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thissamaharama	2017	9.0%	46.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thumpane	2000	5.3%	49.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thumpane	2017	7.9%	60.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thunukkai	2000	3.8%	22.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thunukkai	2017	6.9%	27.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Trincomalee	2000	4.5%	43.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Town and Gravets Trincomalee	2017	7.5%	53.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Udadumbara	2000	6.2%	48.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Udadumbara	2017	10.2%	61.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Udawalatha	2000	5.9%	42.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Udawalatha	2017	9.6%	61.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Udubaddawa	2000	0.9%	10.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Udubaddawa	2017	2.0%	21.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Udunuwara	2000	3.7%	46.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Udunuwara	2017	6.1%	57.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Uhana	2000	6.5%	41.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Uhana	2017	10.1%	54.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ukuwela	2000	6.7%	60.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ukuwela	2017	9.9%	74.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Uva	2000	5.4%	40.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Paranagama Uva	2017	8.9%	53.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Paranagama Vadamardchi	2000	5.8%	43.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	South-West Vadamardchi	2017	9.4%	71.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	South-West Vadamardchy	2000	3.4%	30.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	East Vadamardchy	2017	6.0%	37.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	East Vadamardchy	2000	4.7%	63.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	North Vadamardchy	2017	7.3%	72.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	North Vadamardchy	2000	4.7%	63.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam East	2000	1.9%	15.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam East	2017	4.9%	26.4%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Valikamam North	2000	4.5%	65.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam North	2017	7.5%	82.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South	2000	3.7%	59.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South	2017	6.5%	64.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South-West	2000	3.3%	44.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South-West	2017	5.5%	71.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam West	2000	3.9%	64.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam West	2017	5.7%	66.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Vanathavilluwa	2000	4.4%	28.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Vanathavilluwa	2017	7.7%	44.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya	2000	0.9%	6.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya	2017	1.6%	9.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya North	2000	4.2%	23.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya North	2017	6.6%	33.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya South	2000	1.8%	17.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya South	2017	3.2%	27.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Vengalcheddikulam	2000	2.7%	26.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Vengalcheddikulam	2017	5.1%	38.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Verugal	2000	4.3%	30.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Verugal	2017	7.4%	40.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Walallawita	2000	5.9%	44.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Walallawita	2017	9.8%	62.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Walapane	2000	9.1%	45.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Walapane	2017	13.9%	58.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Warakapola	2000	2.7%	20.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Warakapola	2017	5.2%	32.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Wariyapola	2000	7.9%	52.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Wariyapola	2017	12.4%	63.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Wattala	2000	5.1%	49.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Wattala	2017	8.0%	67.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Weeraketiya	2000	4.8%	29.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Weeraketiya	2017	8.1%	48.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Weerambagedara	2000	4.3%	32.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Weerambagedara	2017	7.6%	51.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Weligama	2000	3.5%	36.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Weligama	2017	6.0%	47.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Weligepola	2000	2.2%	14.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Weligepola	2017	3.7%	19.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Welikanda	2000	7.8%	34.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Welikanda	2017	11.9%	47.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Welimada	2000	6.0%	41.5%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Welimada	2017	9.1%	51.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Welipitiya	2000	5.0%	45.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Welipitiya	2017	7.7%	63.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Welivitiya-Divithura	2000	0.7%	3.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Welivitiya-Divithura	2017	1.6%	22.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Wellawaya	2000	6.4%	34.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Wellawaya	2017	10.3%	43.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Wennappuwa	2000	2.0%	26.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Wennappuwa	2017	3.1%	49.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Wilgamuwa	2000	7.5%	40.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Wilgamuwa	2017	12.5%	55.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Yakkalamulla	2000	5.5%	48.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Yakkalamulla	2017	8.6%	58.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Yatawatta	2000	2.7%	31.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Yatawatta	2017	5.0%	42.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Yatinuwara	2000	2.0%	30.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Yatinuwara	2017	3.6%	35.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Yatyanthota	2000	5.1%	36.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Yatyanthota	2017	8.5%	48.7%	0.0%
Thailand	Akat Amnuai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Akat Amnuai	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Thailand	Amphawa	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.2%
Thailand	Amphawa	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Thailand	Amphoe Muang Ya-sothon	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Amphoe Muang Ya-sothon	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Amphoe Sai Mun	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Amphoe Sai Mun	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Ao Luk	2000	94.9%	95.7%	94.0%
Thailand	Ao Luk	2017	85.0%	87.0%	82.7%
Thailand	Aranyaprathet	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Aranyaprathet	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Thailand	At Samat	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	At Samat	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Bacho	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.4%
Thailand	Bacho	2017	86.0%	87.7%	84.1%
Thailand	Bamnet Narong	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Bamnet Narong	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Thailand	Ban Bung	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Ban Bung	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Thailand	Ban Chang	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Ban Chang	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Thailand	Ban Dan Lan Hoi	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Ban Dan Lan Hoi	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.8%
Thailand	Ban Dung	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Ban Dung	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Thailand	Ban Fang	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Ban Fang	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Ban Hong	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Ban Hong	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Thailand	Ban Khai	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Ban Khai	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Thailand	Ban Khok	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Ban Khok	2017	96.9%	97.9%	95.9%
Thailand	Ban Khwao	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Ban Khwao	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Thailand	Ban Kruat	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Ban Kruat	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Thailand	Ban Laem	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Ban Laem	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Thailand	Ban Lat	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Ban Lat	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.6%
Thailand	Ban Luam	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Ban Luam	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Thailand	Ban Luang	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Ban Luang	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Thailand	Ban Mai Chai Pho	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Ban Mai Chai Pho	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Thailand	Ban Mi	2000	98.3%	98.7%	98.0%
Thailand	Ban Mi	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.8%
Thailand	Ban Mo	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Ban Mo	2017	95.8%	96.5%	94.9%
Thailand	Ban Muang	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Ban Muang	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Thailand	Ban Na	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Ban Na	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.8%
Thailand	Ban Na Doem	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Thailand	Ban Na Doem	2017	85.0%	86.9%	82.9%
Thailand	Ban Na San	2000	94.8%	95.7%	94.0%
Thailand	Ban Na San	2017	85.2%	87.1%	83.1%
Thailand	Ban Phaeng	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.7%
Thailand	Ban Phaeng	2017	97.2%	98.3%	95.9%
Thailand	Ban Phaeo	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Ban Phaeo	2017	96.0%	96.6%	95.1%
Thailand	Ban Phai	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Ban Phai	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Thailand	Ban Pho	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Ban Pho	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.1%
Thailand	Ban Phraek	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Thailand	Ban Phraek	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Thailand	Ban Phu	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Ban Phu	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Thailand	Ban Pong	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Ban Pong	2017	96.3%	96.9%	95.5%
Thailand	Ban Rai	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Ban Rai	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Thailand	Ban Sang	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Thailand	Ban Sang	2017	95.1%	96.1%	94.2%
Thailand	Ban Ta Khun	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.4%
Thailand	Ban Ta Khun	2017	86.6%	88.4%	84.3%
Thailand	Ban Tak	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Ban Tak	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.1%
Thailand	Ban Thaen	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Ban Thaen	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Ban Thi	2000	98.1%	98.4%	97.7%
Thailand	Ban Thi	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.9%
Thailand	Bang Ban	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Ban	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Thailand	Bang Bo	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Bang Bo	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Thailand	Bang Bon	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.7%
Thailand	Bang Bon	2017	96.3%	96.8%	95.7%
Thailand	Bang Bua Thong	2000	98.9%	99.0%	98.7%
Thailand	Bang Bua Thong	2017	96.4%	96.8%	95.8%
Thailand	Bang Kaeo	2000	95.2%	95.8%	94.4%
Thailand	Bang Kaeo	2017	85.8%	87.5%	84.0%
Thailand	Bang Kapi	2000	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Kapi	2017	96.1%	96.5%	95.5%
Thailand	Bang Khae	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Khae	2017	96.3%	96.8%	95.6%
Thailand	Bang Khan	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.3%
Thailand	Bang Khan	2017	85.6%	87.5%	83.7%
Thailand	Bang Khen	2000	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Khen	2017	96.1%	96.6%	95.5%
Thailand	Bang Khla	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Bang Khla	2017	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Thailand	Bang Kho Laem	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Kho Laem	2017	95.9%	96.5%	95.3%
Thailand	Bang Khon Ti	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.2%
Thailand	Bang Khon Ti	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Thailand	Bang Klam	2000	95.0%	95.7%	94.2%
Thailand	Bang Klam	2017	86.0%	87.5%	84.2%
Thailand	Bang Krathum	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Thailand	Bang Krathum	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.6%
Thailand	Bang Kruai	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Kruai	2017	96.3%	96.7%	95.6%
Thailand	Bang Lamung	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Lamung	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Thailand	Bang Len	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Thailand	Bang Len	2017	95.6%	96.2%	94.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Bang Mun Nak	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Bang Mun Nak	2017	94.7%	95.5%	93.4%
Thailand	Bang Na	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Na	2017	95.9%	96.4%	95.3%
Thailand	Bang Nam Prieo	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.3%
Thailand	Bang Nam Prieo	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.6%
Thailand	Bang Pa-In	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Pa-In	2017	96.0%	96.6%	95.4%
Thailand	Bang Pahan	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Pahan	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.2%
Thailand	Bang Pakong	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Pakong	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Thailand	Bang Phae	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Bang Phae	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.7%
Thailand	Bang Pla Ma	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Bang Pla Ma	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.7%
Thailand	Bang Plad	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.7%
Thailand	Bang Plad	2017	96.3%	96.8%	95.8%
Thailand	Bang Plee	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Plee	2017	96.1%	96.6%	95.4%
Thailand	Bang Rachan	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Thailand	Bang Rachan	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Thailand	Bang Rak	2000	98.7%	98.8%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Rak	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.1%
Thailand	Bang Rakam	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.5%
Thailand	Bang Rakam	2017	93.5%	94.9%	92.1%
Thailand	Bang Sai	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.4%
Thailand	Bang Sai	2017	95.7%	96.4%	95.0%
Thailand	Bang Saphan	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Thailand	Bang Saphan	2017	92.8%	94.7%	90.7%
Thailand	Bang Saphan Noi	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.0%
Thailand	Bang Saphan Noi	2017	90.7%	93.0%	88.2%
Thailand	Bang Su	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.7%
Thailand	Bang Su	2017	96.3%	96.7%	95.8%
Thailand	Bang Yai	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Yai	2017	96.2%	96.7%	95.6%
Thailand	Bangkhuntien	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.7%
Thailand	Bangkhuntien	2017	96.3%	96.8%	95.8%
Thailand	Bangkok Noi	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.7%
Thailand	Bangkok Noi	2017	96.3%	96.8%	95.8%
Thailand	Bangkok Yai	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Bangkok Yai	2017	96.3%	96.7%	95.7%
Thailand	Bannang Star	2000	94.6%	95.5%	93.7%
Thailand	Bannang Star	2017	84.4%	86.7%	81.8%
Thailand	Banphot Phisai	2000	98.0%	98.3%	97.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Banphot Phisai	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.4%
Thailand	Batong	2000	93.0%	95.0%	90.7%
Thailand	Batong	2017	80.6%	85.4%	75.5%
Thailand	Benchalak	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Benchalak	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.2%
Thailand	Bo Klue	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Bo Klue	2017	96.7%	97.8%	95.4%
Thailand	Bo Phloi	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Bo Phloi	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Thailand	Bo Rai	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Bo Rai	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Thailand	Bo Thong	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Bo Thong	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Thailand	Borabu	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Borabu	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Bua Chet	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Bua Chet	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Thailand	Bua Yai	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	Bua Yai	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Thailand	Buang Sam Phan	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Buang Sam Phan	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Thailand	Bung Bun	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Bung Bun	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Bung Kan	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Bung Kan	2017	97.3%	98.3%	96.1%
Thailand	Bung Khong Long	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Thailand	Bung Khong Long	2017	97.4%	98.3%	96.3%
Thailand	Bung Kum	2000	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Bung Kum	2017	96.2%	96.6%	95.6%
Thailand	Buntharik	2000	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
Thailand	Buntharik	2017	97.9%	98.7%	96.8%
Thailand	Cha-Am	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Cha-Am	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Thailand	Cha-uat	2000	94.6%	95.3%	93.9%
Thailand	Cha-uat	2017	84.5%	86.2%	82.9%
Thailand	Chae Hom	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Chae Hom	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.5%
Thailand	Chai Badan	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Chai Badan	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.7%
Thailand	Chai Prakarn	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Thailand	Chai Prakarn	2017	92.4%	94.4%	90.3%
Thailand	Chai Wan	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Chai Wan	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Chaiburi	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.2%
Thailand	Chaiburi	2017	85.7%	87.8%	83.5%
Thailand	Chaiya	2000	95.0%	96.0%	94.0%
Thailand	Chaiya	2017	85.4%	87.7%	83.0%
Thailand	Chaiyo	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Chaiyo	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Thailand	Chakkarat	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Chakkarat	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	94.0%	94.7%	93.0%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.6%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	96.4%	97.7%	95.1%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	83.0%	84.9%	80.8%
Thailand	Cham Ni	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Cham Ni	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Thailand	Chana	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.5%
Thailand	Chana	2017	85.9%	87.6%	83.7%
Thailand	Changhan	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Changhan	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Chanuman	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Chanuman	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	Chareon Silp	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Chareon Silp	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Thailand	Chat Trakan	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Chat Trakan	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Thailand	Chatturat	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Chatturat	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Thailand	Chatuchak	2000	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Chatuchak	2017	96.1%	96.5%	95.5%
Thailand	Chaturaphak Phim	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Chaturaphak Phim	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Chawang	2000	94.8%	95.5%	94.0%
Thailand	Chawang	2017	84.7%	86.5%	82.8%
Thailand	Chian Yai	2000	94.3%	95.0%	93.3%
Thailand	Chian Yai	2017	83.5%	85.5%	81.1%
Thailand	Chiang Dao	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Thailand	Chiang Dao	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.4%
Thailand	Chiang Kham	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Chiang Kham	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Thailand	Chiang Khan	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	Chiang Khan	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
Thailand	Chiang Khong	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Chiang Khong	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Thailand	Chiang Klang	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Thailand	Chiang Klang	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Thailand	Chiang Muan	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Chiang Muan	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.2%
Thailand	Chiang Saen	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	Chiang Saen	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Thailand	Chiang Yun	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Chiang Yun	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Cho-I-rong	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.3%
Thailand	Cho-I-rong	2017	85.7%	87.9%	83.7%
Thailand	Chok Chai	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Chok Chai	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.8%
Thailand	Chom Bung	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Chom Bung	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Thailand	Chom Phra	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Chom Phra	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2017	96.3%	96.7%	95.8%
Thailand	Chon Daen	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Chon Daen	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.3%
Thailand	Chonnabot	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Chonnabot	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Thailand	Chulaphon	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.6%
Thailand	Chulaphon	2017	84.2%	85.8%	82.1%
Thailand	Chum Phae	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Chum Phae	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Thailand	Chum Phuang	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Chum Phuang	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Chumphon	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Buri				
Thailand	Chumphon	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Chumsaeng	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Chumsaeng	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.7%
Thailand	Chun	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	Chun	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.1%
Thailand	Damnoen Sad-uak	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Thailand	Damnoen Sad-uak	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
Thailand	Dan Chang	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Dan Chang	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Thailand	Dan Khun Thot	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Dan Khun Thot	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Thailand	Dan Makham Tia	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Dan Makham Tia	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Thailand	Dan Sai	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Dan Sai	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Thailand	Den Chai	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Thailand	Den Chai	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.8%
Thailand	Det Udom	2000	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
Thailand	Det Udom	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Thailand	Din Dang	2000	98.7%	98.8%	98.5%
Thailand	Din Dang	2017	95.9%	96.4%	95.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Doembang Nangbua	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Thailand	Doembang Nangbua	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.5%
Thailand	Doi Saket	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Thailand	Doi Saket	2017	92.7%	94.1%	91.3%
Thailand	Doi Tao	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Doi Tao	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Thailand	Dok Kham Tai	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Dok Kham Tai	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.3%
Thailand	Don Chedi	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Don Chedi	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Thailand	Don Muang	2000	98.7%	98.8%	98.5%
Thailand	Don Muang	2017	95.8%	96.3%	95.2%
Thailand	Don Phut	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Don Phut	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.6%
Thailand	Don Sak	2000	95.0%	96.0%	94.0%
Thailand	Don Sak	2017	85.4%	87.7%	82.9%
Thailand	Don Tan	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Don Tan	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	Don Tum	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Don Tum	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.8%
Thailand	Dong Luang	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Dong Luang	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	Donmotdaeng	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Donmotdaeng	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Thailand	Dusit	2000	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Dusit	2017	96.1%	96.5%	95.5%
Thailand	Fak Tha	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Fak Tha	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Thailand	Fang	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Thailand	Fang	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.8%
Thailand	Han Kha	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Thailand	Han Kha	2017	95.1%	96.1%	94.1%
Thailand	Hang Chat	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.8%
Thailand	Hang Chat	2017	94.2%	95.3%	93.1%
Thailand	Hang Dong	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Hang Dong	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.3%
Thailand	Hat Yai	2000	95.3%	95.9%	94.7%
Thailand	Hat Yai	2017	86.4%	87.9%	84.6%
Thailand	Hot	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Hot	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.3%
Thailand	Hua Hin	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Hua Hin	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Thailand	Hua Sai	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.7%
Thailand	Hua Sai	2017	84.7%	86.8%	82.6%
Thailand	Hua Taphan	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Hua Taphan	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Huai Khot	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Huai Khot	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Huai Kra Chao	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Huai Kra Chao	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Thailand	Huai Kwang	2000	98.7%	98.8%	98.5%
Thailand	Huai Kwang	2017	95.8%	96.3%	95.2%
Thailand	Huai Mek	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Huai Mek	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Huai Phung	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Huai Phung	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Huai Rat	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Huai Rat	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Thailand	Huai Thalang	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Huai Thalang	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Huai Thap Than	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Huai Thap Than	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Huai Yot	2000	95.0%	95.6%	94.2%
Thailand	Huai Yot	2017	85.3%	87.0%	83.5%
Thailand	In Buri	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Thailand	In Buri	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.8%
Thailand	Ja-Nae	2000	94.6%	95.4%	93.6%
Thailand	Ja-Nae	2017	84.3%	86.5%	81.7%
Thailand	K. Ban Dan	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	K. Ban Dan	2017	97.7%	98.2%	96.9%
Thailand	K. Ban Haet	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	K. Ban Haet	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Thailand	K. Ban Kha	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	K. Ban Kha	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Thailand	K. Bang Sao Thon	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Bang Sao Thon	2017	96.0%	96.6%	95.3%
Thailand	K. Bua Lai	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Thailand	K. Bua Lai	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Thailand	K. Bung Khla	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Thailand	K. Bung Khla	2017	97.2%	98.3%	95.8%
Thailand	K. Bung Narang	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Thailand	K. Bung Narang	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.3%
Thailand	K. Bung Samakki	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	K. Bung Samakki	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.5%
Thailand	K. Chang Klang	2000	94.8%	95.5%	94.0%
Thailand	K. Chang Klang	2017	84.8%	86.7%	83.0%
Thailand	K. Chiang Kwan	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Chiang Kwan	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	K. Chum Ta Bong	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	K. Chum Ta Bong	2017	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Thailand	K. Chun Chom	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Chun Chom	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	K. Daen Kong	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Daen Kong	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	K. Doi Lo	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	K. Doi Lo	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.9%
Thailand	K. Doi Luang	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	K. Doi Luang	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Thailand	K. Don Chan	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	K. Don Chan	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	K. Dong Charoen	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	K. Dong Charoen	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Thailand	K. Erawan	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	K. Erawan	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Thailand	K. Fao Rai	2000	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	K. Fao Rai	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.6%
Thailand	K. Hat Samran	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	K. Hat Samran	2017	86.3%	88.6%	84.1%
Thailand	K. Kao Kichakut	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Kao Kichakut	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Thailand	K. Khao Chamao	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Khao Chamao	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Thailand	K. Khlong Khuan	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Thailand	K. Khlong Khuan	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.7%
Thailand	K. Khok Pho Cha	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Khok Pho Cha	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	K. Ko Chan	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Ko Chan	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Thailand	K. Ko Chang	2000	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Thailand	K. Ko Chang	2017	95.5%	97.0%	93.4%
Thailand	K. Ko Kut	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.0%
Thailand	K. Ko Kut	2017	94.2%	96.4%	90.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Ko Sam Pi Nakhon	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	K. Ko Sam Pi Nakhon	2017	94.2%	95.2%	92.6%
Thailand	K. Kok Sung	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	K. Kok Sung	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Thailand	K. Kong Chai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Kong Chai	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	K. Krong Pi Nung	2000	94.5%	95.3%	93.8%
Thailand	K. Krong Pi Nung	2017	84.2%	86.1%	82.2%
Thailand	K. Ku Kaeo	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	K. Ku Kaeo	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	K. Kut Rang	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	K. Kut Rang	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	K. Kwao Si Narin	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	K. Kwao Si Narin	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	K. Lam Tha Men Chai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Lam Tha Men Chai	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	K. Lao Sua Kok	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	K. Lao Sua Kok	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Thailand	K. Ma Nang	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.7%
Thailand	K. Ma Nang	2017	86.6%	88.7%	84.5%
Thailand	K. Mae On	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.8%
Thailand	K. Mae On	2017	94.1%	95.1%	93.0%
Thailand	K. Mae Poen	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	K. Mae Poen	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.8%
Thailand	K. Muang Yang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Muang Yang	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	K. Na Du	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Na Du	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	K. Na Tan	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Na Tan	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Thailand	K. Na Yai Am	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	K. Na Yai Am	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Thailand	K. Na Yia	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	K. Na Yia	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Thailand	K. Nam Khun	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	K. Nam Khun	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.1%
Thailand	K. Nikhom Pattan	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Nikhom Pattan	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Noen Kham	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	K. Noen Kham	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.6%
Thailand	K. Non Narai	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Non Narai	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	K. Non Sila	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	K. Non Sila	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Thailand	K. Nong Hi	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Nong Hi	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	K. Nong Hin	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	K. Nong Hin	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Thailand	K. Nong Ma Mong	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Thailand	K. Nong Ma Mong	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.8%
Thailand	K. Nong Na Kham	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	K. Nong Na Kham	2017	97.7%	98.2%	96.9%
Thailand	K. Nophi Tam	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.8%
Thailand	K. Nophi Tam	2017	84.8%	86.6%	82.7%
Thailand	K. Phanom Dong Rak	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Phanom Dong Rak	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	K. Pho Si Suwan	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Pho Si Suwan	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	K. Pho Tak	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	K. Pho Tak	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
Thailand	K. Phra Thong Kham	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	K. Phra Thong Kham	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Thailand	K. Phu Kam Yao	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	K. Phu Kam Yao	2017	94.2%	95.4%	93.0%
Thailand	K. Phu Pieng	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Thailand	K. Phu Pieng	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.6%
Thailand	K. Phu Sang	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	K. Phu Sang	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.2%
Thailand	K. Prachak Silapakhom	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	K. Prachak Silapakhom	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Thailand	K. Rattana Wapi	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	K. Rattana Wapi	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Thailand	K. Sa Khrai	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Sa Khrai	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Thailand	K. Sak Lek	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Thailand	K. Sak Lek	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.6%
Thailand	K. Sam Chai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Sam Chai	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	K. Sam Roi Yot	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Thailand	K. Sam Roi Yot	2017	95.5%	96.7%	93.9%
Thailand	K. Sam Sung	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	K. Sam Sung	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.5%
Thailand	K. Sap Yai	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	K. Sap Yai	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Thailand	K. Sawang Weeraw	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	K. Sawang Weeraw	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.2%
Thailand	K. Sida	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	K. Sida	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Thailand	K. Sila Lat	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Sila Lat	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	K. Sri Nakarin	2000	95.2%	95.8%	94.4%
Thailand	K. Sri Nakarin	2017	85.9%	87.5%	84.0%
Thailand	K. Sri Narong	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	K. Sri Narong	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.4%
Thailand	K. Suk Samran	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.6%
Thailand	K. Suk Samran	2017	83.6%	86.9%	79.3%
Thailand	K. The Pharak	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	K. The Pharak	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.6%
Thailand	K. Thung Kao Lua	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Thung Kao Lua	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	K. Wang Chao	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	K. Wang Chao	2017	94.4%	95.5%	92.9%
Thailand	K. Wang Sombun	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Wang Sombun	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Thailand	K. Wang Yang	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	K. Wang Yang	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Thailand	K. Wiang Nong Long	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	K. Wiang Nong Long	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.6%
Thailand	K. Wieng Chiang	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Wieng Chiang	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.7%
Thailand	K. Wipawadi	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.8%
Thailand	K. Wipawadi	2017	85.3%	87.7%	82.7%
Thailand	Ka Bang	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.2%
Thailand	Ka Bang	2017	85.6%	87.8%	83.0%
Thailand	Ka Pho	2000	95.1%	95.7%	94.4%
Thailand	Ka Pho	2017	85.5%	87.2%	83.8%
Thailand	Kabin Buri	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Kabin Buri	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%
Thailand	Kae Dam	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Kae Dam	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Kaeng Khlo	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Kaeng Khlo	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Thailand	Kaeng Khoi	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Kaeng Khoi	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Thailand	Kaeng Krachan	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Kaeng Krachan	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Thailand	Kaeng Sanam Nang	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Kaeng Sanam Nang	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Thailand	Kamalasai	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Kamalasai	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Thailand	Kamphaeng Saen	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Kamphaeng Saen	2017	96.3%	96.9%	95.4%
Thailand	Kanchanadit	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.2%
Thailand	Kanchanadit	2017	85.5%	87.4%	83.5%
Thailand	Kang Hang Maeo	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Kang Hang Maeo	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Thailand	Kantharalak	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Kantharalak	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Thailand	Kanthararom	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Kanthararom	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Kantharawichai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Kantharawichai	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Kantrang	2000	95.0%	95.8%	94.2%
Thailand	Kantrang	2017	85.5%	87.6%	83.6%
Thailand	Kao Cha Kan	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Kao Cha Kan	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Thailand	Kao Liew	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Kao Liew	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.5%
Thailand	Kap Choeng	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Kap Choeng	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Thailand	Kapoe	2000	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Thailand	Kapoe	2017	83.7%	86.8%	79.8%
Thailand	Kapong	2000	94.2%	95.3%	93.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Kapong	2017	83.5%	86.2%	81.0%
Thailand	Kaset Sombon	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Kaset Sombon	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.7%
Thailand	Kaset Wisai	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Kaset Wisai	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Kathu	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Thailand	Kathu	2017	86.8%	89.2%	83.8%
Thailand	Khai Bang Rachan	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Khai Bang Rachan	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Thailand	Kham Khuan Kaeo	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Kham Khuan Kaeo	2017	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Kham Muang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Kham Muang	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Kham Sakae Saeng	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Kham Sakae Saeng	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Kham Ta Kla	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Kham Ta Kla	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Thailand	Kham Thala So	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Kham Thala So	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Thailand	Khamcha-i	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Khamcha-i	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Khan Na Yao	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Khan Na Yao	2017	96.2%	96.7%	95.7%
Thailand	Khanom	2000	95.1%	96.1%	94.0%
Thailand	Khanom	2017	85.7%	88.1%	83.1%
Thailand	Khanu Woralakaburi	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	Khanu Woralakaburi	2017	94.3%	95.5%	93.1%
Thailand	Khao Chaison	2000	95.1%	95.7%	94.4%
Thailand	Khao Chaison	2017	85.6%	87.3%	83.5%
Thailand	Khao Kho	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Khao Kho	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Thailand	Khao Phanom	2000	95.4%	96.0%	94.5%
Thailand	Khao Phanom	2017	86.4%	88.3%	84.5%
Thailand	Khao Saming	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	Khao Saming	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Thailand	Khao Suan Kwang	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Khao Suan Kwang	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Thailand	Khao Wong	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Khao Wong	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Khao Yoi	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Khao Yoi	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Khemarat	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Khemarat	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Thailand	Khian Sa	2000	94.9%	95.6%	94.0%
Thailand	Khian Sa	2017	85.1%	86.9%	82.9%
Thailand	Khiri Mat	2000	98.1%	98.4%	97.6%
Thailand	Khiri Mat	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.6%
Thailand	Khiri	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.7%
Thailand	Ratthanikhom				
Thailand	Khiri	2017	84.6%	86.7%	82.3%
Thailand	Ratthanikhom				
Thailand	Khlong Hat	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Khlong Hat	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Thailand	Khlong Hoi Kong	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.5%
Thailand	Khlong Hoi Kong	2017	86.4%	88.3%	84.4%
Thailand	Khlong Luang	2000	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Khlong Luang	2017	96.3%	96.7%	95.7%
Thailand	Khlong Sam Wa	2000	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Khlong Sam Wa	2017	96.1%	96.6%	95.5%
Thailand	Khlong San	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Khlong San	2017	96.0%	96.5%	95.4%
Thailand	Khlong Thom	2000	95.6%	96.3%	94.8%
Thailand	Khlong Thom	2017	87.1%	88.9%	85.0%
Thailand	Khlong Toey	2000	98.7%	98.8%	98.5%
Thailand	Khlong Toey	2017	95.8%	96.3%	95.2%
Thailand	Khlong Yai	2000	98.3%	99.0%	97.2%
Thailand	Khlong Yai	2017	94.5%	96.6%	91.0%
Thailand	Khlung	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Khlung	2017	94.6%	96.1%	93.0%
Thailand	Kho Wang	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Kho Wang	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	Khok Charoen	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khok Charoen	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Thailand	Khok Pho	2000	95.2%	95.8%	94.6%
Thailand	Khok Pho	2017	86.1%	87.6%	84.4%
Thailand	Khok Sam-rong	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Khok Sam-rong	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Thailand	Khok Sri Supan	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Khok Sri Supan	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Khon Buri	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Khon Buri	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Khon San	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Khon San	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Thailand	Khon Sawan	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Khon Sawan	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Khong	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Khong	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Thailand	Khong Chiam	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Khong Chiam	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Thailand	Khu Muang	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Khu Muang	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	Khuan Don	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Thailand	Khuan Don	2017	85.9%	88.5%	83.1%
Thailand	Khuan Ka Long	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.4%
Thailand	Khuan Ka Long	2017	86.1%	88.4%	83.8%
Thailand	Khuan Khanun	2000	95.0%	95.7%	94.4%
Thailand	Khuan Khanun	2017	85.4%	87.2%	83.7%
Thailand	Khuan Niang	2000	95.1%	95.6%	94.3%
Thailand	Khuan Niang	2017	85.6%	87.1%	83.8%
Thailand	Khuang Nai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Khuang Nai	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Khukhan	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Khukhan	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Thailand	Khun Han	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Khun Han	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Thailand	Khun Tan	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Khun Tan	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.3%
Thailand	Khun Yuam	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.1%
Thailand	Khun Yuam	2017	96.1%	97.6%	94.0%
Thailand	Khura Buri	2000	94.6%	95.8%	92.9%
Thailand	Khura Buri	2017	84.3%	87.5%	80.2%
Thailand	Klaeng	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Klaeng	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Thailand	Klong Khlung	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	Klong Khlung	2017	94.4%	95.5%	93.0%
Thailand	Klong Lan	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Klong Lan	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Thailand	Ko Kha	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	Ko Kha	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.1%
Thailand	Ko Lanta	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.8%
Thailand	Ko Lanta	2017	87.3%	89.5%	85.0%
Thailand	Ko Phangan	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Thailand	Ko Phangan	2017	86.9%	90.1%	82.8%
Thailand	Ko Samui	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Thailand	Ko Samui	2017	86.9%	89.6%	83.2%
Thailand	Ko Sichang	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Ko Sichang	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Thailand	Ko Yao	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Ko Yao	2017	86.6%	88.7%	84.3%
Thailand	Kong Krailat	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.5%
Thailand	Kong Krailat	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.1%
Thailand	Kong Ra	2000	95.4%	96.0%	94.7%
Thailand	Kong Ra	2017	86.4%	88.0%	84.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Kosum Phisai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Kosum Phisai	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Kra Buri	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.0%
Thailand	Kra Buri	2017	84.4%	87.2%	80.2%
Thailand	Kranuan	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Kranuan	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Krasae Sinthu	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.2%
Thailand	Krasae Sinthu	2017	85.8%	87.9%	83.9%
Thailand	Krasang	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Krasang	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Krathum Baen	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Krathum Baen	2017	96.3%	96.9%	95.7%
Thailand	Krok Phra	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.9%
Thailand	Krok Phra	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.4%
Thailand	Kuchinarai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Kuchinarai	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Kui Buri	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Thailand	Kui Buri	2017	95.1%	96.6%	93.4%
Thailand	Kumphawapi	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Kumphawapi	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Kusuman	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Kusuman	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.4%
Thailand	Kut Bak	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Kut Bak	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	Kut Chap	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Kut Chap	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Thailand	Kut Chum	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Kut Chum	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Kut Khao Pun	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Kut Khao Pun	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	La-Un	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.5%
Thailand	La-Un	2017	82.7%	85.9%	79.2%
Thailand	Laem Ngop	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Thailand	Laem Ngop	2017	94.5%	96.3%	92.3%
Thailand	Laem Sing	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Thailand	Laem Sing	2017	94.0%	95.7%	92.2%
Thailand	Lahan Sai	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Lahan Sai	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Thailand	Lak Si	2000	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Lak Si	2017	96.1%	96.5%	95.6%
Thailand	Lam Luk Ka	2000	98.7%	98.8%	98.4%
Thailand	Lam Luk Ka	2017	95.8%	96.3%	95.1%
Thailand	Lam Plai Mat	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Lam Plai Mat	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Thailand	Lam Son Thi	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Lam Son Thi	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Thailand	Lam Thap	2000	95.4%	96.0%	94.5%
Thailand	Lam Thap	2017	86.3%	88.3%	84.1%
Thailand	Lamae	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Lamae	2017	85.0%	87.6%	82.0%
Thailand	Lamduan	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Lamduan	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Thailand	Lan Krabu	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Lan Krabu	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Thailand	Lan Sak	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Lan Sak	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.2%
Thailand	Lan Saka	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
Thailand	Lan Saka	2017	83.6%	85.6%	81.6%
Thailand	Lang Suan	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.7%
Thailand	Lang Suan	2017	84.8%	87.6%	82.1%
Thailand	Langu	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.3%
Thailand	Langu	2017	86.2%	88.8%	83.6%
Thailand	Lao Khwan	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Lao Khwan	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Thailand	Laplae	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Thailand	Laplae	2017	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Thailand	Lat Bua Luang	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.4%
Thailand	Lat Bua Luang	2017	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Thailand	Lat Krabang	2000	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Lat Krabang	2017	96.2%	96.8%	95.6%
Thailand	Lat Lum Kaeo	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Lat Lum Kaeo	2017	95.9%	96.4%	95.3%
Thailand	Lat Phrao	2000	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Lat Phrao	2017	96.2%	96.6%	95.6%
Thailand	Lat Yao	2000	98.3%	98.7%	98.0%
Thailand	Lat Yao	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.8%
Thailand	Li	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Li	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Thailand	Loeng Nok Tha	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Loeng Nok Tha	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Lom Kao	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Lom Kao	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Thailand	Lom Sak	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Lom Sak	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Thailand	Long	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	Long	2017	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Thailand	Lu Amnat	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Lu Amnat	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	Mae Ai	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Mae Ai	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.1%
Thailand	Mae Chaem	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Thailand	Mae Chaem	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Thailand	Mae Chai	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	Mae Chai	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.8%
Thailand	Mae Chan	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Mae Chan	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Thailand	Mae Charim	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Mae Charim	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Mae Fa Luang	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Mae Fa Luang	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Thailand	Mae La Noi	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
Thailand	Mae La Noi	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.0%
Thailand	Mae Lan	2000	95.2%	95.7%	94.6%
Thailand	Mae Lan	2017	86.2%	87.8%	84.5%
Thailand	Mae Lao	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Thailand	Mae Lao	2017	93.4%	94.8%	92.0%
Thailand	Mae Mo	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Thailand	Mae Mo	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.7%
Thailand	Mae Phrik	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	Mae Phrik	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.4%
Thailand	Mae Ramat	2000	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
Thailand	Mae Ramat	2017	93.0%	94.9%	90.5%
Thailand	Mae Rim	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Thailand	Mae Rim	2017	92.7%	94.1%	91.2%
Thailand	Mae Sai	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Mae Sai	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Thailand	Mae Sariang	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.6%
Thailand	Mae Sariang	2017	94.9%	96.9%	92.3%
Thailand	Mae Sot	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Thailand	Mae Sot	2017	91.5%	93.7%	88.4%
Thailand	Mae Suai	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Thailand	Mae Suai	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.1%
Thailand	Mae Taeng	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Thailand	Mae Taeng	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.2%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2017	94.9%	95.9%	94.0%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2017	94.2%	95.2%	92.9%
Thailand	Mae Wang	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Mae Wang	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Thailand	Mae Wong	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
Thailand	Mae Wong	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.9%
Thailand	Maha Chana Chai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Maha Chana Chai	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Maha Rat	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Maha Rat	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.7%
Thailand	Mai Kaen	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.6%
Thailand	Mai Kaen	2017	86.3%	88.2%	84.5%
Thailand	Makham	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	Makham	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Thailand	Mancha Khiri	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Mancha Khiri	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Thailand	Manorom	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Thailand	Manorom	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Thailand	Mayo	2000	95.4%	95.9%	94.8%
Thailand	Mayo	2017	86.3%	88.0%	84.9%
Thailand	Min Buri	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Min Buri	2017	96.3%	96.8%	95.6%
Thailand	Moei Wadi	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Moei Wadi	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	Muak Lek	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Muak Lek	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Thailand	Muang Amnat Charoen	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Amnat Charoen	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Muang Ang Thong	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Ang Thong	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.7%
Thailand	Muang Buri Ram	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Muang Buri Ram	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Muang Chachoengsao	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Chachoengsao	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Thailand	Muang Chai Nat	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Thailand	Muang Chai Nat	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.2%
Thailand	Muang Chaiyaphum	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Muang Chaiyaphum	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Thailand	Muang Chan	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Chan	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Muang Chanthaburi	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Muang Chanthaburi	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.2%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Mai	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Mai	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.8%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Rai	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Rai	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.2%
Thailand	Muang Chon Buri	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Chon Buri	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Thailand	Muang Chumphon	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.4%
Thailand	Muang Chumphon	2017	86.7%	88.6%	84.2%
Thailand	Muang Kalasin	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Muang Kalasin	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Kan- chanaburi	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Muang Kan- chanaburi	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.4%
Thailand	Muang Khon Kaen	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Khon Kaen	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Muang Krabi	2000	95.6%	96.3%	94.8%
Thailand	Muang Krabi	2017	87.1%	89.2%	85.2%
Thailand	Muang Lam- pang	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Muang Lam- pang	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.7%
Thailand	Muang Lam- phun	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Muang Lam- phun	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.4%
Thailand	Muang Loei	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Muang Loei	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Thailand	Muang Lop Buri	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Muang Lop Buri	2017	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Thailand	Muang Mae Hong Son	2000	98.5%	99.1%	97.6%
Thailand	Muang Mae Hong Son	2017	95.1%	97.0%	92.2%
Thailand	Muang Maha Sarakam	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Maha Sarakam	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Muang Muk- dahan	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Muk- dahan	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Nayok	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Nayok	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Pathom	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Pathom	2017	96.3%	96.8%	95.5%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Phanom	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Phanom	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.4%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Ratchasima	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Ratchasima	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Sawan	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Sawan	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.1%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Si Thammarat	2000	93.9%	94.6%	92.9%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Si Thammarat	2017	82.9%	84.7%	80.9%
Thailand	Muang Nan	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Muang Nan	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Thailand	Muang Narathiwat	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.5%
Thailand	Muang Narathiwat	2017	86.0%	88.3%	84.1%
Thailand	Muang Nong Bua Lam Phu	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Muang Nong Bua Lam Phu	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Thailand	Muang Nong Khai	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Muang Nong Khai	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Thailand	Muang Non-thaburi	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Non-thaburi	2017	96.3%	96.7%	95.8%
Thailand	Muang Pathum Thani	2000	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Pathum Thani	2017	96.1%	96.6%	95.6%
Thailand	Muang Pattani	2000	95.9%	96.5%	95.3%
Thailand	Muang Pattani	2017	88.0%	89.6%	86.2%
Thailand	Muang Phangnga	2000	94.5%	95.3%	93.4%
Thailand	Muang Phangnga	2017	84.1%	86.2%	81.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Phatthalung	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.5%
Thailand	Muang Phatthalung	2017	86.1%	87.8%	84.3%
Thailand	Muang Phayao	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Muang Phayao	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.7%
Thailand	Muang Phetchabun	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Muang Phetchabun	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Thailand	Muang Phetchaburi	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Muang Phetchaburi	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.5%
Thailand	Muang Phichit	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Thailand	Muang Phichit	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.0%
Thailand	Muang Phitsanulok	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Thailand	Muang Phitsanulok	2017	93.4%	94.8%	92.2%
Thailand	Muang Phrae	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Muang Phrae	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.8%
Thailand	Muang Phuket	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Thailand	Muang Phuket	2017	87.5%	89.8%	84.6%
Thailand	Muang Prachin	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Thailand	Muang Prachin	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.9%
Thailand	Muang Prachuap Buri	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	Muang Prachuap Khiri Khan	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.5%
Thailand	Muang Prachuap Khiri Khan	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.3%
Thailand	Muang Rangoon	2017	80.7%	84.5%	76.8%
Thailand	Muang Ratchaburi	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Muang Ratchaburi	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Thailand	Muang Rayong	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Rayong	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Thailand	Muang Roi Et	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Muang Roi Et	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Muang Sa Kaeo	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Sa Kaeo	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Thailand	Muang Sakon Nakhon	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Sakon Nakhon	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Muang Sam-sip	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Sam-sip	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Muang Samut Prakan	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Samut Prakan	2017	96.0%	96.5%	95.3%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sakhon	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sakhon	2017	96.2%	96.8%	95.5%
Thailand	Muang Samut Songkhram	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Samut Songkhram	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.7%
Thailand	Muang Saraburi	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Muang Saraburi	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.8%
Thailand	Muang Satun	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.9%
Thailand	Muang Satun	2017	86.3%	89.1%	82.7%
Thailand	Muang Si Sa Ket	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Si Sa Ket	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	Muang Sing Buri	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Thailand	Muang Sing Buri	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Thailand	Muang Songkhla	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.7%
Thailand	Muang Songkhla	2017	86.9%	88.5%	84.6%
Thailand	Muang Suang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Suang	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Muang Sukhothai	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Thailand	Muang Sukhothai	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Suphanburi	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Suphanburi	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.6%
Thailand	Muang Surat Thani	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Thailand	Muang Surat Thani	2017	86.6%	88.5%	84.6%
Thailand	Muang Surin	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Muang Surin	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Muang Tak	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	Muang Tak	2017	94.3%	95.4%	92.7%
Thailand	Muang Trang	2000	95.0%	95.7%	94.3%
Thailand	Muang Trang	2017	85.7%	87.6%	83.9%
Thailand	Muang Trat	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Thailand	Muang Trat	2017	94.8%	96.4%	92.6%
Thailand	Muang Ubon Ratchatani	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Muang Ubon Ratchatani	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Thailand	Muang Udon Thani	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Muang Udon Thani	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Thailand	Muang Uthai Thani	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Thailand	Muang Uthai Thani	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Thailand	Muang Ut-taradit	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Thailand	Muang Ut-taradit	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.4%
Thailand	Muang Yala	2000	94.9%	95.5%	94.2%
Thailand	Muang Yala	2017	85.2%	86.8%	83.3%
Thailand	Mueang Kamphaeng Phet	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Mueang Kamphaeng Phet	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.6%
Thailand	Mueang Pan	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Mueang Pan	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.4%
Thailand	Na Bon	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.7%
Thailand	Na Bon	2017	84.2%	86.2%	82.1%
Thailand	Na Chaluai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.0%
Thailand	Na Chaluai	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.0%
Thailand	Na Chuak	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Na Chuak	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Na Di	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Na Di	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Thailand	Na Duang	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Na Duang	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Thailand	Na Dun	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Na Dun	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Na Haeo	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Na Haeo	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Thailand	Na Kae	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Na Kae	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Thailand	Na Klang	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Na Klang	2017	97.7%	98.2%	96.9%
Thailand	Na Mom	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.3%
Thailand	Na Mom	2017	85.7%	87.5%	83.7%
Thailand	Na Mon	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Na Mon	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Na Mun	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Na Mun	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Thailand	Na Noi	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Na Noi	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Thailand	Na Pho	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Na Pho	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Na Thawi	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.8%
Thailand	Na Thawi	2017	86.8%	88.5%	84.6%
Thailand	Na Thom	2000	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	Na Thom	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
Thailand	Na Wa	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Na Wa	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Thailand	Na Wang	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Na Wang	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Thailand	Na Yong	2000	95.0%	95.7%	94.4%
Thailand	Na Yong	2017	85.6%	87.2%	83.9%
Thailand	Na Yung	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Na Yung	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Thailand	Nakhon Chaisi	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Nakhon Chaisi	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.4%
Thailand	Nakhon Luang	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Nakhon Luang	2017	95.8%	96.6%	95.2%
Thailand	Nakhon Thai	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Nakhon Thai	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Thailand	Nam Kliang	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Nam Kliang	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.5%
Thailand	Nam Nao	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Nam Nao	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Thailand	Nam Pat	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Nam Pat	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Thailand	Nam Phong	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Nam Phong	2017	97.7%	98.2%	96.9%
Thailand	Nam Som	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Nam Som	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.6%
Thailand	Nam Yun	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Nam Yun	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
Thailand	Nang Rong	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Nang Rong	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Ngao	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Ngao	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Nikhom Kham Soi	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Nikhom Kham Soi	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Nikhom Nam Un	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Nikhom Nam Un	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Noen Maprang	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Noen Maprang	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.5%
Thailand	Noen Sa-Nga	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Noen Sa-Nga	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Thailand	Non Daeng	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Non Daeng	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Thailand	Non Din Daeng	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Non Din Daeng	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Non Khun	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Non Khun	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.4%
Thailand	Non Sa-at	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Non Sa-at	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Non Sang	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Non Sang	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Thailand	Non Sung	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Non Sung	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Thailand	Non Suwan	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Non Suwan	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.4%
Thailand	Non Thai	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Non Thai	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Thailand	Nong Bua	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.2%
Thailand	Nong Bua	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Thailand	Nong Bua Daeng	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Nong Bua Daeng	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Thailand	Nong Bua Rawae	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Nong Bua Rawae	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Thailand	Nong Bunnak	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Nong Bunnak	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Nong Chang	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Nong Chang	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.6%
Thailand	Nong Chik	2000	95.5%	96.1%	94.9%
Thailand	Nong Chik	2017	86.6%	88.3%	84.7%
Thailand	Nong Chok	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Nong Chok	2017	96.0%	96.6%	95.3%
Thailand	Nong Don	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Thailand	Nong Don	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.7%
Thailand	Nong Han	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Nong Han	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Nong Hong	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Nong Hong	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Nong Khae	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Nong Khae	2017	95.8%	96.6%	95.1%
Thailand	Nong Khayang	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Nong Khayang	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Thailand	Nong Ki	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Nong Ki	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Thailand	Nong Kung Si	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Nong Kung Si	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Nong Muang	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Thailand	Nong Muang	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Nong Muang Kai	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Thailand	Nong Muang Kai	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.6%
Thailand	Nong Phai	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Nong Phai	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Thailand	Nong Phok	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Nong Phok	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Nong Prue	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Nong Prue	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
Thailand	Nong Rua	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Nong Rua	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.3%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%
Thailand	Nong Song Hong	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Nong Song Hong	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Nong Sua	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Nong Sua	2017	95.8%	96.5%	95.0%
Thailand	Nong Sung	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Nong Sung	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Nong Wua So	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Nong Wua So	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Thailand	Nong Ya Plong	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Nong Ya Plong	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Thailand	Nong Ya Sai	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Nong Ya Sai	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.6%
Thailand	Nong Yai	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Nong Yai	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Thailand	Nongkheam	2000	98.9%	99.0%	98.7%
Thailand	Nongkheam	2017	96.4%	96.8%	95.8%
Thailand	Nua Khlong	2000	95.7%	96.4%	94.9%
Thailand	Nua Khlong	2017	87.3%	89.3%	85.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Omkoi	2000	98.5%	99.0%	98.0%
Thailand	Omkoi	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.8%
Thailand	Ongkharak	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Ongkharak	2017	95.8%	96.5%	95.0%
Thailand	Pa Bon	2000	95.4%	96.0%	94.8%
Thailand	Pa Bon	2017	86.4%	87.9%	84.6%
Thailand	Pa Daet	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	Pa Daet	2017	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%
Thailand	Pa Kham	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Pa Kham	2017	97.7%	98.4%	97.1%
Thailand	Pa Mok	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Thailand	Pa Mok	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Pa Payom	2000	94.8%	95.6%	94.1%
Thailand	Pa Payom	2017	85.0%	86.7%	83.1%
Thailand	Pa Sang	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Pa Sang	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Thailand	Pa Tiu	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Pa Tiu	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Pai	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Thailand	Pai	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Thailand	Pak Chom	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Pak Chom	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Thailand	Pak Chong	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	Pak Chong	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Thailand	Pak Khat	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.7%
Thailand	Pak Khat	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.1%
Thailand	Pak Kret	2000	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Pak Kret	2017	96.2%	96.6%	95.6%
Thailand	Pak Phanang	2000	94.1%	94.9%	93.1%
Thailand	Pak Phanang	2017	83.2%	85.3%	80.7%
Thailand	Pak Phayun	2000	95.3%	95.9%	94.6%
Thailand	Pak Phayun	2017	86.2%	87.8%	84.5%
Thailand	Pak Phli	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Pak Phli	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Thailand	Pak Tho	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Pak Tho	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.8%
Thailand	Pak Thong Chai	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Pak Thong Chai	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Thailand	Palian	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.5%
Thailand	Palian	2017	86.2%	88.2%	84.3%
Thailand	Panare	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.4%
Thailand	Panare	2017	86.0%	88.0%	84.0%
Thailand	Pang Ma Pha	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.4%
Thailand	Pang Ma Pha	2017	94.6%	96.4%	91.9%
Thailand	Pang Sila Thong	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Pang Sila Thong	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.1%
Thailand	Panom Phrai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Panom Phrai	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Pathiu	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Pathiu	2017	89.1%	91.1%	86.5%
Thailand	Pathum Rat	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Pathum Rat	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Pathum Rat-wongsa	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Pathum Rat-wongsa	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Pathum Wan	2000	98.7%	98.8%	98.5%
Thailand	Pathum Wan	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.2%
Thailand	Pha Khao	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Pha Khao	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Thailand	Phachi	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Thailand	Phachi	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.7%
Thailand	Phaisali	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Phaisali	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.9%
Thailand	Phak Hai	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Thailand	Phak Hai	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.7%
Thailand	Phakdi	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Chumphol Phakdi	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.5%
Thailand	Phan	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Thailand	Phan	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Thailand	Phan Thong	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Phan Thong	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.5%
Thailand	Phana	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Phana	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Phanat	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Nikhom Phanat	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.1%
Thailand	Phang Khon	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Phang Khon	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Phanna	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Nikhom Phanna	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Phanom	2000	94.6%	95.5%	93.6%
Thailand	Phanom	2017	84.4%	86.7%	81.7%
Thailand	Phanom	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Sarakham Phanom	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.8%
Thailand	Phanom	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Thuan	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Thailand	Phasi	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Charoen Phasi	2017	96.2%	96.7%	95.7%
Thailand	Phato	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.3%
Thailand	Phato	2017	84.0%	86.8%	81.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Phatthana Nikhom	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Phatthana Nikhom	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Thailand	Phaya Mengrai	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	Phaya Mengrai	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Thailand	Phaya Thai	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Phaya Thai	2017	95.9%	96.4%	95.4%
Thailand	Phayakhaphum Phisai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Phayakhaphum Phisai	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	Phayu	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Phayu	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Thailand	Phayuha Khiri	2000	98.4%	98.6%	98.0%
Thailand	Phayuha Khiri	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Thailand	Phen	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Phen	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Thailand	Phi Pun	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.5%
Thailand	Phi Pun	2017	85.9%	87.7%	84.2%
Thailand	Phibun Mangsahan	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Phibun Mangsahan	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.1%
Thailand	Phibun Rak	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Phibun Rak	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Thailand	Phichai	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	Phichai	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.8%
Thailand	Phimai	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Phimai	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Thailand	Phlapplachai	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Phlapplachai	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Thailand	Pho Chai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Pho Chai	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Pho Prathap Chan	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Thailand	Pho Prathap Chan	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Thailand	Pho Si	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Pho Si	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Thailand	Pho Thale	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Pho Thale	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.3%
Thailand	Pho Thong	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Pho Thong	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.6%
Thailand	Phon	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Phon	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Thailand	Phon Charoen	2000	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	Phon Charoen	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.7%
Thailand	Phon Na Kaeo	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Phon Na Kaeo	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Thailand	Phon Phisai	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Phon Phisai	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Thailand	Phon Sai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Phon Sai	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Phon Sawan	2000	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
Thailand	Phon Sawan	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Thailand	Phon Thong	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Phon Thong	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	Phop Phra	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Thailand	Phop Phra	2017	93.4%	95.1%	91.0%
Thailand	Photharam	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Photharam	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2017	96.3%	96.7%	95.7%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Si Ayutthaya				
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.7%
Thailand	Si Ayutthaya				
Thailand	Phra Phrom	2000	94.0%	94.7%	93.0%
Thailand	Phra Phrom	2017	82.3%	84.3%	80.1%
Thailand	Phra Phuttha-	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	bat				
Thailand	Phra Phuttha-	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Thailand	bat				
Thailand	Phra Pra	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Daeng				
Thailand	Phra Pra	2017	95.9%	96.4%	95.3%
Thailand	Daeng				
Thailand	Phra Samut	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Jadee				
Thailand	Phra Samut	2017	96.0%	96.6%	95.4%
Thailand	Jadee				
Thailand	Phra Yun	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Phra Yun	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Thailand	Phrai Bung	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Phrai Bung	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Thailand	Phran Kratai	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Phran Kratai	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.3%
Thailand	Phrao	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Thailand	Phrao	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.6%
Thailand	Phrasat	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Phrasat	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Thailand	Phrom Buri	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Thailand	Phrom Buri	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.9%
Thailand	Phrom Phi-	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.7%
Thailand	ram				
Thailand	Phrom Phi-	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.7%
Thailand	ram				
Thailand	Phrommakhiri	2000	94.0%	94.8%	93.2%
Thailand	Phrommakhiri	2017	83.0%	84.9%	81.0%
Thailand	Phu Khieo	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Thailand	Phu Khieo	2017	97.6%	98.3%	97.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Phu Kradung	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Phu Kradung	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.4%
Thailand	Phu Luang	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Phu Luang	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Thailand	Phu Phan	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Phu Phan	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Phu Rua	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Phu Rua	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Thailand	Phu Sing	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Phu Sing	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Thailand	Phu Wiang	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Phu Wiang	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Thailand	Phumphin	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Thailand	Phumphin	2017	85.9%	87.7%	83.5%
Thailand	Phupa Man	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	Phupa Man	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.4%
Thailand	Phuttha Mon Thon	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Phuttha Mon Thon	2017	96.2%	96.7%	95.4%
Thailand	Phutthaisong	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Phutthaisong	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Pla Pak	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Pla Pak	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Thailand	Plaeng Yao	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Plaeng Yao	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Thailand	Plai Phraya	2000	94.6%	95.5%	93.6%
Thailand	Plai Phraya	2017	84.6%	86.6%	82.5%
Thailand	Pluak Daeng	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Pluak Daeng	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Thailand	Pom Pram Sattru	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Pom Pram Sattru	2017	95.9%	96.4%	95.3%
Thailand	Pong	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Pong	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
Thailand	Pong Nam Ron	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Pong Nam Ron	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Thailand	Pra Thai	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Pra Thai	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Prachantakham	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Prachantakham	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Thailand	Prakanong	2000	98.7%	98.8%	98.5%
Thailand	Prakanong	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.3%
Thailand	Prakhon Chai	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Prakhon Chai	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Pran Buri	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Pran Buri	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.2%
Thailand	Prang Ku	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Prang Ku	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Prasaeng	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Prasaeng	2017	85.6%	87.5%	83.5%
Thailand	Prawet	2000	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Prawet	2017	96.1%	96.6%	95.6%
Thailand	Pua	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Pua	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.8%
Thailand	Puai Noi	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Puai Noi	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Raman	2000	94.8%	95.4%	94.3%
Thailand	Raman	2017	84.9%	86.7%	83.2%
Thailand	Rangae	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.5%
Thailand	Rangae	2017	86.0%	88.0%	84.2%
Thailand	Ranot	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.2%
Thailand	Ranot	2017	85.4%	87.7%	83.4%
Thailand	Rasada	2000	94.8%	95.5%	93.9%
Thailand	Rasada	2017	84.8%	86.6%	82.9%
Thailand	Rasi Salai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Rasi Salai	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Thailand	Rat Burana	2000	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Rat Burana	2017	96.1%	96.6%	95.5%
Thailand	Ratchasan	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Ratchasan	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.6%
Thailand	Ratchathewi	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Ratchathewi	2017	96.0%	96.5%	95.4%
Thailand	Rattana Buri	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Rattana Buri	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Rattaphum	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.5%
Thailand	Rattaphum	2017	86.3%	87.7%	84.8%
Thailand	Renu Nakhon	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Renu Nakhon	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Thailand	Ron Phi Pun	2000	94.1%	94.9%	93.1%
Thailand	Ron Phi Pun	2017	83.5%	85.3%	81.2%
Thailand	Rong Kham	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Rong Kham	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Rong Kwang	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Rong Kwang	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%
Thailand	Ruso	2000	95.2%	95.8%	94.5%
Thailand	Ruso	2017	85.9%	87.5%	84.0%
Thailand	Saba Yoi	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.6%
Thailand	Saba Yoi	2017	86.2%	88.1%	84.1%
Thailand	Sadao	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Sadao	2017	86.5%	88.9%	84.4%
Thailand	Sahatsakhan	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Sahatsakhan	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Sai Buri	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.6%
Thailand	Sai Buri	2017	86.1%	88.0%	84.3%
Thailand	Sai Mai	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Sai Mai	2017	95.9%	96.5%	95.4%
Thailand	Sai Ngam	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Sai Ngam	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.2%
Thailand	Sai Noi	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Sai Noi	2017	96.2%	96.7%	95.5%
Thailand	Sai Thong Watthana	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Sai Thong Watthana	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Thailand	Sai Yok	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Thailand	Sai Yok	2017	93.7%	95.6%	91.6%
Thailand	Sam Chuk	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Thailand	Sam Chuk	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.5%
Thailand	Sam Khok	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Sam Khok	2017	96.1%	96.6%	95.4%
Thailand	Sam Ngam	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Thailand	Sam Ngam	2017	93.0%	94.5%	91.5%
Thailand	Sam Ngao	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Thailand	Sam Ngao	2017	94.5%	95.8%	92.8%
Thailand	Sam Phran	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Thailand	Sam Phran	2017	96.2%	96.7%	95.4%
Thailand	Samko	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Samko	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Thailand	Samoeng	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Samoeng	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Thailand	Samphantawong	2000	98.7%	98.8%	98.5%
Thailand	Samphantawong	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.1%
Thailand	Samrong	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Samrong	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Thailand	Samrong	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Thap Samrong	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	San Kam- phaeng	2000	98.0%	98.3%	97.6%
Thailand	San Kam- phaeng	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.6%
Thailand	San Pa Tong	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	San Pa Tong	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Thailand	San Sai	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Thailand	San Sai	2017	92.4%	93.9%	90.9%
Thailand	Sanam Chaikhet	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Sanam Chaikhet	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.7%
Thailand	Sangkha	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Sangkha	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Thailand	Sangkhla Buri	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.5%
Thailand	Sangkhla Buri	2017	93.0%	95.3%	89.9%
Thailand	Sankha Buri	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Thailand	Sankha Buri	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.9%
Thailand	Sanom	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Sanom	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Sanphaya	2000	98.3%	98.6%	98.0%
Thailand	Sanphaya	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.7%
Thailand	Santi Suk	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Santi Suk	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Thailand	Sao Hai	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Sao Hai	2017	95.8%	96.5%	94.8%
Thailand	Saphan Sung	2000	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Saphan Sung	2017	96.2%	96.7%	95.6%
Thailand	Saraphi	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.8%
Thailand	Saraphi	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.5%
Thailand	Sathing Phra	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.5%
Thailand	Sathing Phra	2017	86.6%	88.3%	84.4%
Thailand	Sathorn	2000	98.7%	98.8%	98.5%
Thailand	Sathorn	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.1%
Thailand	Sattahip	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Sattahip	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Thailand	Satuk	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Satuk	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Thailand	Sawaengha	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Sawaengha	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Thailand	Sawang Arom	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Thailand	Sawang Arom	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.7%
Thailand	Sawang Daen Din	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Sawang Daen Din	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Thailand	Sawankhalok	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Thailand	Sawankhalok	2017	93.6%	94.9%	92.0%
Thailand	Sawi	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.0%
Thailand	Sawi	2017	85.7%	87.7%	83.0%
Thailand	Seka	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Seka	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.8%
Thailand	Selaphum	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Selaphum	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Sena	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.4%
Thailand	Sena	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.8%
Thailand	Senangkhanikhon	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Senangkhanikhon	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Si Banphot	2000	95.0%	95.7%	94.4%
Thailand	Si Banphot	2017	85.6%	87.3%	83.9%
Thailand	Si Bun Ruang	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Si Bun Ruang	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Thailand	Si Chiang Mai	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Si Chiang Mai	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.0%
Thailand	Si Chomphu	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Si Chomphu	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Thailand	Si Mahosot	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Si Mahosot	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.8%
Thailand	Si Muang Mai	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Si Muang Mai	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.8%
Thailand	Si Nakhon	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Thailand	Si Nakhon	2017	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Thailand	Si Prachan	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Si Prachan	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Thailand	Si Racha	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Si Racha	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Si Rin Ton	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Si Rin Ton	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.1%
Thailand	Si Sakhon	2000	94.9%	95.7%	94.1%
Thailand	Si Sakhon	2017	85.2%	87.2%	82.8%
Thailand	Si Sam Rong	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Thailand	Si Sam Rong	2017	93.5%	94.7%	91.9%
Thailand	Si Satchanalai	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Thailand	Si Satchanalai	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.7%
Thailand	Si Sawat	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	Si Sawat	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.8%
Thailand	Si Somdet	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Si Somdet	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Si Songkhram	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Si Songkhram	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.8%
Thailand	Si That	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Si That	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	Si Thep	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Si Thep	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.4%
Thailand	Si Wilai	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	Si Wilai	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.5%
Thailand	Sichon	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.7%
Thailand	Sichon	2017	84.6%	86.7%	82.1%
Thailand	Sikao	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.4%
Thailand	Sikao	2017	85.9%	88.0%	83.8%
Thailand	Sikhiu	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Sikhiu	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Thailand	Sikhoraphum	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Sikhoraphum	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	Singha Nakhon	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.4%
Thailand	Singha Nakhon	2017	86.3%	87.9%	84.2%
Thailand	So Phisai	2000	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	So Phisai	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
Thailand	Soem Ngam	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Soem Ngam	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.5%
Thailand	Soeng Sang	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Soeng Sang	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.5%
Thailand	Somdet	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Somdet	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Song	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Thailand	Song	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.5%
Thailand	Song Dao	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Song Dao	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Song Kwae	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Song Kwae	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Thailand	Song Phi Nong	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Song Phi Nong	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.3%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2000	95.2%	95.8%	94.5%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2017	86.0%	87.5%	84.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2017	85.9%	87.8%	84.0%
Thailand	Sop Moei	2000	98.3%	99.0%	97.5%
Thailand	Sop Moei	2017	94.7%	96.7%	92.1%
Thailand	Sop Prap	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.5%
Thailand	Sop Prap	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.3%
Thailand	Soydow	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Soydow	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Thailand	Sra Both	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Sra Both	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.8%
Thailand	Sri Mahar Pho	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Thailand	Sri Mahar Pho	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Thailand	Sri Ratana	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Sri Ratana	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Thailand	Su-ngai Ko Lok	2000	94.2%	95.3%	93.0%
Thailand	Su-ngai Ko Lok	2017	83.2%	86.0%	80.0%
Thailand	Suan Luang	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.6%
Thailand	Suan Luang	2017	96.0%	96.5%	95.4%
Thailand	Suan Phung	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	Suan Phung	2017	95.5%	96.7%	93.9%
Thailand	Sukhirin	2000	92.8%	94.4%	91.2%
Thailand	Sukhirin	2017	79.9%	83.7%	75.9%
Thailand	Sung Men	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Sung Men	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.2%
Thailand	Sung Noen	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Sung Noen	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Thailand	Sungai Padi	2000	94.2%	95.2%	93.2%
Thailand	Sungai Padi	2017	83.3%	86.0%	80.7%
Thailand	Suwan Khuha	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Suwan Khuha	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Thailand	Suwannaphum	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Suwannaphum	2017	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Ta Phraya	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Ta Phraya	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Thailand	Tak Bai	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Thailand	Tak Bai	2017	86.5%	89.1%	83.9%
Thailand	Tak Fa	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Thailand	Tak Fa	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
Thailand	Takhli	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Thailand	Takhli	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.3%
Thailand	Takua Pa	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.7%
Thailand	Takua Pa	2017	83.4%	86.6%	80.5%
Thailand	Takua Thung	2000	94.6%	95.4%	93.5%
Thailand	Takua Thung	2017	84.5%	86.5%	82.0%
Thailand	Taling Chan	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Taling Chan	2017	96.2%	96.7%	95.6%
Thailand	Tamot	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.9%
Thailand	Tamot	2017	86.6%	88.2%	84.7%
Thailand	Tan Sum	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Tan Sum	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.6%
Thailand	Tao Ngoi	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Tao Ngoi	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Taphan Hin	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Thailand	Taphan Hin	2017	92.7%	94.1%	90.8%
Thailand	Tha Bo	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Tha Bo	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Thailand	Tha Chana	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.7%
Thailand	Tha Chana	2017	84.9%	87.6%	82.2%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.3%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.7%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2017	85.1%	87.3%	82.6%
Thailand	Tha Khantho	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Tha Khantho	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	Tha Li	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Tha Li	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Thailand	Tha Luang	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Tha Luang	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%
Thailand	Tha Mai	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Tha Mai	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.3%
Thailand	Tha Maka	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Thailand	Tha Maka	2017	96.6%	97.2%	95.8%
Thailand	Tha Muang	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Tha Muang	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Thailand	Tha Phae	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Thailand	Tha Phae	2017	86.4%	89.1%	83.5%
Thailand	Tha Pla	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Tha Pla	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Thailand	Tha Rua	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Tha Rua	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Thailand	Tha Sae	2000	95.7%	96.5%	94.6%
Thailand	Tha Sae	2017	87.3%	89.6%	84.0%
Thailand	Tha Sala	2000	94.1%	95.0%	93.0%
Thailand	Tha Sala	2017	83.2%	85.4%	80.8%
Thailand	Tha Song Yang	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.1%
Thailand	Tha Song Yang	2017	93.5%	95.4%	91.0%
Thailand	Tha Ta Kieb	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Tha Ta Kieb	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Thailand	Tha Tako	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.3%
Thailand	Tha Tako	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Tha Tum	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Tha Tum	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Tha Uthen	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Thailand	Tha Uthen	2017	97.5%	98.4%	96.4%
Thailand	Tha Wang Pha	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Tha Wang Pha	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
Thailand	Tha Wung	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.1%
Thailand	Tha Wung	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.2%
Thailand	Tha Yang	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Tha Yang	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.7%
Thailand	Thai Charoen	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Thai Charoen	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Thailand	Thai Muang	2000	94.4%	95.4%	93.1%
Thailand	Thai Muang	2017	83.9%	86.3%	80.9%
Thailand	Thalang	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.1%
Thailand	Thalang	2017	86.3%	88.4%	83.3%
Thailand	Tham Phan-nara	2000	94.8%	95.5%	94.0%
Thailand	Tham Phan-nara	2017	84.8%	86.7%	82.9%
Thailand	Than To	2000	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Thailand	Than To	2017	83.6%	86.3%	80.4%
Thailand	Thanyaburi	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Thanyaburi	2017	96.0%	96.5%	95.4%
Thailand	Thap Khlo	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Thap Khlo	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.3%
Thailand	Thap Put	2000	94.3%	95.2%	93.2%
Thailand	Thap Put	2017	83.6%	85.8%	81.2%
Thailand	Thap Sakae	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Thailand	Thap Sakae	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.6%
Thailand	Thap Than	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Thailand	Thap Than	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Thailand	That Phanom	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	That Phanom	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Thailand	Thawatchaburi	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Thawatchaburi	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Thailand	Thawi Wat-tana	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Thawi Wat-tana	2017	96.2%	96.7%	95.6%
Thailand	Thep Sathit	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Thep Sathit	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Thailand	Thepha	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.7%
Thailand	Thepha	2017	86.5%	88.2%	84.6%
Thailand	Thoen	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Thoen	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.4%
Thailand	Thoeng	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Thoeng	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Thailand	Thon Buri	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Thon Buri	2017	96.3%	96.7%	95.7%
Thailand	Thong Pha Phum	2000	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Thailand	Thong Pha Phum	2017	93.8%	95.8%	91.5%
Thailand	Thong Saen Khan	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Thong Saen Khan	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.4%
Thailand	Thung Chang	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Thung Chang	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Thailand	Thung Fon	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Thung Fon	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Thailand	Thung Hua Chang	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Thung Hua Chang	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Thailand	Thung Saliam	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.8%
Thailand	Thung Saliam	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.3%
Thailand	Thung Si Udom	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Thung Si Udom	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Thailand	Thung Song	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%
Thailand	Thung Song	2017	84.0%	85.8%	81.9%
Thailand	Thung Tako	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Thailand	Thung Tako	2017	85.3%	87.9%	82.6%
Thailand	Thung Wa	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Thailand	Thung Wa	2017	86.3%	88.4%	84.0%
Thailand	Thung Yai	2000	95.0%	95.7%	94.2%
Thailand	Thung Yai	2017	85.2%	87.1%	83.3%
Thailand	Thung Yang Daeng	2000	95.1%	95.7%	94.6%
Thailand	Thung Yang Daeng	2017	85.7%	87.4%	84.1%
Thailand	Thungkru	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Thailand	Thungkru	2017	96.1%	96.6%	95.6%
Thailand	Trakan Phutphon	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Trakan Phutphon	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	Tron	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Thailand	Tron	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.3%
Thailand	U Thong	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	U Thong	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%
Thailand	Ubol Ratana	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Ubol Ratana	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Thailand	Umphang	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Umphang	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Thailand	Uthai	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Thailand	Uthai	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Thailand	Uthumphon Phisai	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Uthumphon Phisai	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Wachira Barami	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Thailand	Wachira Barami	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.5%
Thailand	Waeng	2000	92.5%	94.1%	90.8%
Thailand	Waeng	2017	79.1%	83.2%	75.2%
Thailand	Waeng Noi	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Waeng Noi	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Thailand	Waeng Yai	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Waeng Yai	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Thailand	Wan Yai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Wan Yai	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Thailand	Wang Chan	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Wang Chan	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Thailand	Wang Chin	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%
Thailand	Wang Chin	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Thailand	Wang Hin	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Wang Hin	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Wang Muang	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Wang Muang	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%
Thailand	Wang Nam Yen	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Wang Nam Yen	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Thailand	Wang Noi	2000	98.7%	98.8%	98.4%
Thailand	Wang Noi	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.1%
Thailand	Wang Nua	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Wang Nua	2017	94.2%	95.3%	93.0%
Thailand	Wang Num Khiaw	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Wang Num Khiaw	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Thailand	Wang Pong	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Thailand	Wang Pong	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Thailand	Wang Sai Phun	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Thailand	Wang Sai Phun	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.0%
Thailand	Wang Sam Mo	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Wang Sam Mo	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Wang Saphung	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Wang Saphung	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Thailand	Wang Thong	2000	98.1%	98.4%	97.7%
Thailand	Wang Thong	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.9%
Thailand	Wang Thonglang	2000	98.7%	98.8%	98.5%
Thailand	Wang Thonglang	2017	95.8%	96.3%	95.2%
Thailand	Wang Wiset	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.4%
Thailand	Wang Wiset	2017	85.9%	87.8%	84.0%
Thailand	Wanon Niwat	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Wanon Niwat	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Thailand	Wapi Pathum	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Wapi Pathum	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Thailand	Warin Chamrap	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Warin Chamrap	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Thailand	Waritchaphum	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Waritchaphum	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Wat Bot	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Thailand	Wat Bot	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.9%
Thailand	Wat Phleng	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Wat Phleng	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Wat Sing	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Thailand	Wat Sing	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
Thailand	Wattana	2000	98.7%	98.8%	98.5%
Thailand	Wattana	2017	95.9%	96.4%	95.2%
Thailand	Watthana	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Nakhon Watthana	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Thailand	Wiang Chai	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Thailand	Wiang Chai	2017	93.5%	94.7%	91.9%
Thailand	Wiang Haeng	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Wiang Haeng	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.8%
Thailand	Wiang Kao	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Wiang Kao	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Thailand	Wiang Pa Pao	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Thailand	Wiang Pa Pao	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.9%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.9%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2017	84.7%	86.6%	82.6%
Thailand	Wichian Buri	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Wichian Buri	2017	95.9%	96.6%	94.9%
Thailand	Wieng Kaen	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Wieng Kaen	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.8%
Thailand	Wihan Daeng	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Wihan Daeng	2017	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Thailand	Wiset Chai Chan	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Thailand	Wiset Chai Chan	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Thailand	Yaha	2000	94.8%	95.5%	93.9%
Thailand	Yaha	2017	84.8%	86.8%	82.4%
Thailand	Yan Ta Khao	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.3%
Thailand	Yan Ta Khao	2017	85.7%	87.6%	83.9%
Thailand	Yang Chum Noi	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Yang Chum Noi	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Yang Si Surat	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Yang Si Surat	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Thailand	Yang Talat	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Yang Talat	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Thailand	Yannawa	2000	98.7%	98.8%	98.5%
Thailand	Yannawa	2017	95.8%	96.4%	95.2%
Thailand	Yarang	2000	95.3%	95.9%	94.8%
Thailand	Yarang	2017	86.2%	87.8%	84.6%
Thailand	Yaring	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.8%
Thailand	Yaring	2017	86.9%	88.7%	85.0%
Thailand	Yi-ngo	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.7%
Thailand	Yi-ngo	2017	86.0%	87.9%	84.3%
Timor- Leste	Aileu	2000	19.2%	20.9%	17.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Aileu	2017	17.8%	19.4%	16.4%
Timor-Leste	Ainaro	2000	19.7%	22.9%	17.3%
Timor-Leste	Ainaro	2017	17.8%	20.5%	15.5%
Timor-Leste	Alas	2000	22.3%	33.1%	13.6%
Timor-Leste	Alas	2017	18.9%	28.8%	10.9%
Timor-Leste	Atabai	2000	17.1%	30.4%	8.9%
Timor-Leste	Atabai	2017	15.5%	28.8%	7.9%
Timor-Leste	Atauro	2000	18.2%	30.1%	9.9%
Timor-Leste	Atauro	2017	15.1%	26.1%	8.2%
Timor-Leste	Atsabe	2000	16.3%	19.0%	13.8%
Timor-Leste	Atsabe	2017	13.4%	15.7%	11.3%
Timor-Leste	Baguia	2000	4.6%	7.3%	2.9%
Timor-Leste	Baguia	2017	4.1%	6.4%	2.5%
Timor-Leste	Balibó	2000	8.6%	14.3%	5.4%
Timor-Leste	Balibó	2017	7.4%	14.0%	4.4%
Timor-Leste	Barique	2000	30.5%	42.5%	20.4%
Timor-Leste	Barique	2017	26.2%	38.4%	16.5%
Timor-Leste	Baucau	2000	20.4%	22.1%	18.8%
Timor-Leste	Baucau	2017	18.2%	19.7%	16.7%
Timor-Leste	Bazar Tete	2000	35.5%	38.6%	32.3%
Timor-Leste	Bazar Tete	2017	33.3%	36.1%	30.3%
Timor-Leste	Bobonaro	2000	18.5%	20.5%	16.4%
Timor-Leste	Bobonaro	2017	15.4%	17.2%	13.5%
Timor-Leste	Cailaco	2000	19.9%	24.8%	15.1%
Timor-Leste	Cailaco	2017	17.0%	21.7%	12.9%
Timor-Leste	Dili Barat	2000	63.5%	65.4%	61.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Dili Barat	2017	58.4%	60.3%	56.6%
Timor-Leste	Dili Timur	2000	47.5%	49.7%	45.3%
Timor-Leste	Dili Timur	2017	42.4%	44.5%	40.2%
Timor-Leste	Ermera	2000	23.4%	25.5%	21.5%
Timor-Leste	Ermera	2017	17.8%	19.6%	16.4%
Timor-Leste	Fato Berliu	2000	21.8%	29.4%	14.6%
Timor-Leste	Fato Berliu	2017	20.8%	29.0%	13.0%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Fulic	2000	14.5%	18.7%	10.9%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Fulic	2017	11.7%	15.5%	8.8%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Mean	2000	18.3%	36.7%	8.5%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Mean	2017	14.1%	32.8%	5.8%
Timor-Leste	Fohorem	2000	22.3%	30.2%	16.5%
Timor-Leste	Fohorem	2017	18.4%	25.3%	13.4%
Timor-Leste	Hato Bulico	2000	20.2%	22.5%	18.0%
Timor-Leste	Hato Bulico	2017	17.8%	20.0%	15.7%
Timor-Leste	Hato Hudo	2000	13.1%	17.5%	9.5%
Timor-Leste	Hato Hudo	2017	11.1%	15.0%	7.8%
Timor-Leste	Hatólia	2000	10.2%	16.1%	7.1%
Timor-Leste	Hatólia	2017	8.2%	13.6%	5.7%
Timor-Leste	Iliomar	2000	11.4%	18.5%	6.8%
Timor-Leste	Iliomar	2017	8.8%	14.1%	5.2%
Timor-Leste	Laclo	2000	15.6%	22.5%	10.4%
Timor-Leste	Laclo	2017	13.0%	18.9%	8.4%
Timor-Leste	Lachubar	2000	16.8%	20.6%	13.4%
Timor-Leste	Lachubar	2017	14.6%	18.0%	11.6%
Timor-Leste	Lachuta	2000	19.6%	32.0%	11.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Lacluta	2017	16.7%	28.0%	9.1%
Timor-Leste	Laga	2000	4.6%	8.7%	2.4%
Timor-Leste	Laga	2017	3.6%	6.7%	1.9%
Timor-Leste	Laleia	2000	20.7%	38.6%	7.7%
Timor-Leste	Laleia	2017	17.2%	35.3%	6.9%
Timor-Leste	Lau Lara	2000	19.8%	22.5%	17.0%
Timor-Leste	Lau Lara	2017	16.0%	18.4%	13.8%
Timor-Leste	Lautém	2000	10.9%	14.5%	8.2%
Timor-Leste	Lautém	2017	8.8%	12.3%	6.4%
Timor-Leste	Lequidoe	2000	13.8%	19.8%	9.8%
Timor-Leste	Lequidoe	2017	11.0%	16.1%	7.7%
Timor-Leste	Letefoho	2000	14.8%	16.6%	13.5%
Timor-Leste	Letefoho	2017	13.4%	15.1%	12.2%
Timor-Leste	Liquiçá	2000	22.6%	25.0%	20.3%
Timor-Leste	Liquiçá	2017	18.7%	20.9%	16.6%
Timor-Leste	Lolotoi	2000	12.3%	16.5%	9.3%
Timor-Leste	Lolotoi	2017	10.2%	14.3%	7.5%
Timor-Leste	Los Palos	2000	13.7%	16.5%	11.4%
Timor-Leste	Los Palos	2017	12.2%	14.6%	10.1%
Timor-Leste	Luro	2000	2.9%	5.7%	1.3%
Timor-Leste	Luro	2017	2.3%	4.8%	1.0%
Timor-Leste	Maliana	2000	16.5%	19.7%	14.4%
Timor-Leste	Maliana	2017	14.4%	16.8%	12.7%
Timor-Leste	Manatuto	2000	24.5%	29.6%	20.2%
Timor-Leste	Manatuto	2017	23.4%	26.9%	20.0%
Timor-Leste	Mape	2000	8.0%	9.9%	6.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Mape	2017	6.3%	7.9%	5.1%
Timor-Leste	Maubara	2000	11.0%	15.5%	8.3%
Timor-Leste	Maubara	2017	9.0%	12.7%	6.8%
Timor-Leste	Maubisse	2000	6.7%	8.4%	5.5%
Timor-Leste	Maubisse	2017	5.5%	7.1%	4.4%
Timor-Leste	Metinaro	2000	17.3%	34.4%	5.8%
Timor-Leste	Metinaro	2017	14.0%	29.9%	4.5%
Timor-Leste	Nitibe	2000	7.7%	15.4%	3.0%
Timor-Leste	Nitibe	2017	6.3%	12.9%	2.4%
Timor-Leste	Oe Silo	2000	7.3%	9.8%	5.5%
Timor-Leste	Oe Silo	2017	7.4%	9.7%	5.7%
Timor-Leste	Ossu	2000	13.9%	20.1%	9.0%
Timor-Leste	Ossu	2017	11.0%	16.6%	6.8%
Timor-Leste	Pante Macassar	2000	12.0%	13.8%	10.3%
Timor-Leste	Pante Macassar	2017	10.3%	12.3%	8.5%
Timor-Leste	Passabe	2000	2.4%	6.3%	0.9%
Timor-Leste	Passabe	2017	1.9%	5.1%	0.7%
Timor-Leste	Quelicai	2000	2.9%	4.4%	1.8%
Timor-Leste	Quelicai	2017	2.3%	3.4%	1.4%
Timor-Leste	Railaco	2000	16.7%	19.4%	14.3%
Timor-Leste	Railaco	2017	13.4%	15.6%	11.3%
Timor-Leste	Remexio	2000	9.0%	12.7%	6.2%
Timor-Leste	Remexio	2017	7.9%	11.3%	5.5%
Timor-Leste	Same	2000	15.5%	20.9%	11.7%
Timor-Leste	Same	2017	13.3%	19.3%	9.6%
Timor-Leste	Soibada	2000	19.4%	34.9%	9.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Soibada	2017	16.8%	30.0%	8.2%
Timor-Leste	Suai Kota	2000	23.3%	25.9%	21.1%
Timor-Leste	Suai Kota	2017	20.0%	22.4%	18.1%
Timor-Leste	Tilomar	2000	30.6%	42.7%	22.0%
Timor-Leste	Tilomar	2017	26.4%	38.6%	18.4%
Timor-Leste	Turiscai	2000	7.1%	11.7%	4.0%
Timor-Leste	Turiscai	2017	6.0%	10.0%	3.4%
Timor-Leste	Tutuala	2000	10.8%	21.5%	5.0%
Timor-Leste	Tutuala	2017	8.5%	17.1%	3.9%
Timor-Leste	Uato Carbau	2000	13.0%	17.9%	8.9%
Timor-Leste	Uato Carbau	2017	13.9%	20.4%	9.0%
Timor-Leste	Uatolari	2000	15.3%	20.6%	10.6%
Timor-Leste	Uatolari	2017	12.7%	17.6%	8.5%
Timor-Leste	Vemasse	2000	23.5%	33.6%	15.9%
Timor-Leste	Vemasse	2017	20.3%	28.8%	13.8%
Timor-Leste	Venilale	2000	6.7%	8.8%	5.1%
Timor-Leste	Venilale	2017	5.1%	6.8%	3.9%
Timor-Leste	Viqueque	2000	23.6%	30.5%	18.0%
Timor-Leste	Viqueque	2017	19.3%	25.9%	14.8%
Vietnam	A Lưới	2000	5.8%	18.2%	1.0%
Vietnam	A Lưới	2017	58.5%	79.8%	32.7%
Vietnam	An Biên	2000	9.5%	25.0%	0.9%
Vietnam	An Biên	2017	68.5%	85.5%	42.9%
Vietnam	An Dương	2000	25.3%	45.8%	5.2%
Vietnam	An Dương	2017	92.8%	96.8%	86.3%
Vietnam	An Khê	2000	5.8%	29.5%	0.9%
Vietnam	An Khê	2017	73.3%	89.2%	49.1%
Vietnam	An Lão	2000	6.3%	23.2%	0.7%
Vietnam	An Lão	2000	7.2%	23.6%	1.0%
Vietnam	An Lão	2017	76.5%	94.2%	47.9%
Vietnam	An Lão	2017	62.3%	86.5%	27.0%
Vietnam	An Minh	2000	4.3%	13.8%	0.6%
Vietnam	An Minh	2017	59.1%	80.6%	36.2%
Vietnam	An Nhơn	2000	7.5%	25.8%	1.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	An Nhơn	2017	67.0%	88.7%	32.3%
Vietnam	An Phú	2000	7.0%	28.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	An Phú	2017	65.2%	90.7%	27.6%
Vietnam	Ấn Thi	2000	5.3%	16.7%	0.9%
Vietnam	Ấn Thi	2017	74.0%	92.1%	43.2%
Vietnam	Anh Sơn	2000	6.9%	20.9%	1.1%
Vietnam	Anh Sơn	2017	68.8%	87.9%	42.6%
Vietnam	Ayun Pa	2000	7.2%	19.5%	1.6%
Vietnam	Ayun Pa	2017	78.1%	94.3%	58.4%
Vietnam	Ba Bể	2000	2.4%	7.6%	0.4%
Vietnam	Ba Bể	2017	50.0%	69.8%	30.8%
Vietnam	Ba Chẽ	2000	5.2%	19.2%	0.7%
Vietnam	Ba Chẽ	2017	60.3%	83.7%	33.4%
Vietnam	Ba Đình	2000	67.1%	80.1%	48.3%
Vietnam	Ba Đình	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Vietnam	Ba Đồn	2000	7.2%	27.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Ba Đồn	2017	72.4%	91.6%	33.9%
Vietnam	Bà Rịa	2000	27.2%	45.8%	10.5%
Vietnam	Bà Rịa	2017	93.8%	99.2%	79.2%
Vietnam	Bá Thước	2000	6.8%	18.0%	1.4%
Vietnam	Bá Thước	2017	63.6%	83.6%	42.7%
Vietnam	Ba Tơ	2000	5.7%	15.3%	1.1%
Vietnam	Ba Tơ	2017	61.8%	79.9%	39.7%
Vietnam	Ba Tri	2000	8.4%	28.7%	0.9%
Vietnam	Ba Tri	2017	75.5%	93.0%	49.1%
Vietnam	Ba Vì	2000	6.6%	17.1%	1.3%
Vietnam	Ba Vì	2017	67.3%	86.1%	44.1%
Vietnam	Bác Ái	2000	7.4%	18.3%	1.1%
Vietnam	Bác Ái	2017	60.7%	79.3%	40.1%
Vietnam	Bắc Bình	2000	9.5%	22.0%	3.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Bình	2017	75.1%	88.0%	58.3%
Vietnam	Bắc Giang	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Vietnam	Bắc Giang	2017	76.0%	81.0%	70.4%
Vietnam	Bắc Hà	2000	3.3%	12.3%	0.3%
Vietnam	Bắc Hà	2017	48.0%	76.3%	22.3%
Vietnam	Bạc Liêu	2000	22.9%	69.1%	2.9%
Vietnam	Bạc Liêu	2017	86.3%	99.0%	51.8%
Vietnam	Bắc Mê	2000	4.2%	14.5%	0.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Mê	2017	54.5%	76.3%	32.1%
Vietnam	Bắc Ninh	2000	6.1%	27.8%	0.5%
Vietnam	Bắc Ninh	2017	74.4%	96.8%	30.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Quang	2000	5.8%	17.6%	0.9%
Vietnam	Bắc Quang	2017	58.8%	75.2%	36.9%
Vietnam	Bắc Sơn	2000	3.9%	10.2%	0.8%
Vietnam	Bắc Sơn	2017	65.8%	82.0%	48.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Tân Uyên	2000	22.8%	40.4%	8.7%
Vietnam	Bắc Tân Uyên	2017	80.6%	94.8%	58.3%
Vietnam	Bắc Trà My	2000	5.7%	18.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Trà My	2017	62.0%	83.5%	32.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Từ Liêm	2000	49.5%	87.1%	13.1%
Vietnam	Bắc Từ Liêm	2017	97.1%	99.9%	83.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Yên	2000	4.1%	9.7%	1.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Bắc Yên	2017	57.4%	73.5%	40.1%
Vietnam	Bạch Thông	2000	3.2%	9.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Bạch Thông	2017	58.2%	81.1%	37.7%
Vietnam	Bảo Lạc	2000	5.3%	17.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Bảo Lạc	2017	60.3%	78.8%	38.3%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2000	12.4%	19.2%	6.7%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2000	4.7%	12.3%	0.7%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2017	76.3%	85.5%	62.7%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2017	55.5%	75.2%	34.3%
Vietnam	Bảo Lộc	2000	25.4%	34.0%	14.3%
Vietnam	Bảo Lộc	2017	89.1%	92.4%	85.9%
Vietnam	Bảo Thắng	2000	3.7%	13.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Bảo Thắng	2017	54.2%	77.8%	30.5%
Vietnam	Bảo Yên	2000	2.9%	8.8%	0.6%
Vietnam	Bảo Yên	2017	54.7%	72.0%	36.6%
Vietnam	Bát Xát	2000	31.5%	40.7%	25.3%
Vietnam	Bát Xát	2017	72.2%	83.6%	57.2%
Vietnam	Bàu Bàng	2000	11.7%	29.4%	1.7%
Vietnam	Bàu Bàng	2017	79.7%	94.3%	50.9%
Vietnam	Bến Cát	2000	21.7%	50.1%	6.0%
Vietnam	Bến Cát	2017	92.0%	98.9%	78.6%
Vietnam	Bến Cầu	2000	4.8%	15.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Bến Cầu	2017	70.8%	86.0%	53.4%
Vietnam	Bến Lức	2000	9.5%	25.1%	2.3%
Vietnam	Bến Lức	2017	83.8%	94.3%	64.1%
Vietnam	Bến Tre	2000	6.1%	26.9%	0.9%
Vietnam	Bến Tre	2017	81.4%	96.1%	46.2%
Vietnam	Biên Hòa	2000	30.1%	38.1%	22.1%
Vietnam	Biên Hòa	2017	97.8%	99.1%	95.7%
Vietnam	Bỉm Sơn	2000	10.6%	49.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Bỉm Sơn	2017	75.1%	98.8%	18.9%
Vietnam	Bình Chánh	2000	59.9%	69.5%	49.1%
Vietnam	Bình Chánh	2017	98.0%	99.3%	95.2%
Vietnam	Bình Đại	2000	10.4%	32.1%	1.6%
Vietnam	Bình Đại	2017	76.6%	92.4%	48.1%
Vietnam	Bình Gia	2000	4.1%	10.5%	1.0%
Vietnam	Bình Gia	2017	58.7%	73.3%	42.3%
Vietnam	Bình Giang	2000	5.8%	26.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Bình Giang	2017	71.7%	96.3%	35.3%
Vietnam	Bình Liêu	2000	4.3%	18.6%	0.3%
Vietnam	Bình Liêu	2017	57.0%	84.7%	25.2%
Vietnam	Bình Long	2000	22.0%	58.3%	3.1%
Vietnam	Bình Long	2017	83.6%	95.3%	52.1%
Vietnam	Bình Lục	2000	6.5%	26.6%	0.4%
Vietnam	Bình Lục	2017	64.3%	91.6%	27.4%
Vietnam	Bình Minh	2000	41.6%	61.4%	21.5%
Vietnam	Bình Minh	2017	89.3%	97.4%	74.9%
Vietnam	Bình Sơn	2000	13.2%	33.6%	2.2%
Vietnam	Bình Sơn	2017	75.2%	93.2%	52.2%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2000	23.2%	39.3%	15.3%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2000	32.5%	43.3%	20.1%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2017	79.2%	92.8%	56.5%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Bình Thạnh	2000	25.8%	33.2%	19.8%
Vietnam	Bình Thạnh	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Vietnam	Bình Thuỷ	2000	54.1%	76.8%	30.4%
Vietnam	Bình Thuỷ	2017	98.4%	99.8%	94.5%
Vietnam	Bình Xuyên	2000	25.1%	42.9%	10.3%
Vietnam	Bình Xuyên	2017	91.0%	97.8%	77.9%
Vietnam	Bố Trạch	2000	8.6%	19.7%	1.9%
Vietnam	Bố Trạch	2017	67.4%	82.8%	47.0%
Vietnam	Bù Đăng	2000	8.5%	21.5%	2.8%
Vietnam	Bù Đăng	2017	71.5%	87.0%	50.2%
Vietnam	Bù Đốp	2000	5.5%	17.4%	0.7%
Vietnam	Bù Đốp	2017	65.5%	87.1%	36.5%
Vietnam	Bù Gia Mập	2000	8.1%	19.7%	2.4%
Vietnam	Bù Gia Mập	2017	71.4%	86.6%	53.5%
Vietnam	Buôn Đôn	2000	4.8%	10.4%	1.7%
Vietnam	Buôn Đôn	2017	62.1%	76.2%	46.6%
Vietnam	Buôn Ma Thuột	2000	13.9%	19.3%	9.9%
Vietnam	Buôn Ma Thuột	2017	81.7%	84.6%	79.1%
Vietnam	Cà Mau	2000	16.7%	34.2%	4.7%
Vietnam	Cà Mau	2017	89.0%	96.2%	75.8%
Vietnam	Cái Bè	2000	5.4%	14.3%	1.1%
Vietnam	Cái Bè	2017	70.8%	83.7%	55.0%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy	2000	5.4%	16.2%	0.6%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy	2017	63.0%	81.6%	42.4%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy (Thị xã)	2000	3.3%	9.8%	1.1%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy (Thị xã)	2017	76.6%	86.3%	61.4%
Vietnam	Cái Nước	2000	11.1%	29.2%	1.5%
Vietnam	Cái Nước	2017	74.3%	94.2%	48.0%
Vietnam	Cái Răng	2000	50.5%	59.9%	37.9%
Vietnam	Cái Răng	2017	95.2%	97.2%	92.8%
Vietnam	Cẩm Giàng	2000	8.4%	17.7%	4.3%
Vietnam	Cẩm Giàng	2017	82.6%	94.3%	67.3%
Vietnam	Cẩm Khê	2000	13.5%	31.5%	3.3%
Vietnam	Cẩm Khê	2017	67.7%	88.6%	46.8%
Vietnam	Cam Lâm	2000	10.1%	25.0%	2.1%
Vietnam	Cam Lâm	2017	76.6%	91.5%	55.5%
Vietnam	Cẩm Lệ	2000	35.6%	44.9%	20.4%
Vietnam	Cẩm Lệ	2017	82.5%	94.7%	66.3%
Vietnam	Cam Lộ	2000	9.2%	26.7%	1.5%
Vietnam	Cam Lộ	2017	69.6%	89.4%	46.3%
Vietnam	Cẩm Mỹ	2000	11.6%	30.7%	2.7%
Vietnam	Cẩm Mỹ	2017	80.6%	95.6%	60.7%
Vietnam	Cẩm Phả	2000	4.4%	12.7%	1.4%
Vietnam	Cẩm Phả	2017	70.1%	84.0%	53.3%
Vietnam	Cam Ranh	2000	17.5%	39.3%	4.2%
Vietnam	Cam Ranh	2017	83.9%	95.2%	64.1%
Vietnam	Cẩm Thủy	2000	10.9%	25.1%	2.4%
Vietnam	Cẩm Thủy	2017	75.3%	90.1%	55.0%
Vietnam	Cẩm Xuyên	2000	4.9%	17.8%	0.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Cẩm Xuyên	2017	62.9%	84.6%	37.5%
Vietnam	Cần Đước	2000	9.7%	33.7%	1.2%
Vietnam	Cần Đước	2017	76.3%	92.7%	49.6%
Vietnam	Cần Giờ	2000	12.8%	29.5%	3.2%
Vietnam	Cần Giờ	2017	75.4%	90.7%	57.1%
Vietnam	Cần Giuộc	2000	8.4%	29.8%	1.1%
Vietnam	Cần Giuộc	2017	79.5%	95.7%	51.1%
Vietnam	Can Lộc	2000	6.7%	24.9%	1.0%
Vietnam	Can Lộc	2017	66.3%	87.9%	37.3%
Vietnam	Càng Long	2000	2.7%	9.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Càng Long	2017	46.9%	64.2%	28.2%
Vietnam	Cao Bằng	2000	7.7%	24.6%	0.9%
Vietnam	Cao Bằng	2017	70.0%	90.3%	47.1%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2000	19.6%	40.3%	4.4%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2017	83.6%	94.3%	68.3%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2000	17.1%	43.2%	4.0%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh (Thành phố)	2017	91.4%	95.8%	79.1%
Vietnam	Cao Lộc	2000	15.6%	30.9%	5.2%
Vietnam	Cao Lộc	2017	76.2%	89.1%	59.3%
Vietnam	Cao Phong	2000	5.6%	19.5%	0.4%
Vietnam	Cao Phong	2017	61.7%	85.8%	33.3%
Vietnam	Cát Hải	2000	6.1%	19.4%	1.0%
Vietnam	Cát Hải	2017	66.6%	86.8%	38.9%
Vietnam	Cát Tiên	2000	10.1%	33.9%	0.8%
Vietnam	Cát Tiên	2017	72.1%	92.7%	39.5%
Vietnam	Cầu Giấy	2000	73.5%	88.8%	51.7%
Vietnam	Cầu Giấy	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.1%
Vietnam	Cầu Kè	2000	6.8%	30.6%	0.5%
Vietnam	Cầu Kè	2017	68.0%	92.9%	33.0%
Vietnam	Cầu Ngang	2000	4.8%	14.0%	1.2%
Vietnam	Cầu Ngang	2017	73.5%	87.5%	55.6%
Vietnam	Châu Đốc	2000	4.4%	15.7%	0.4%
Vietnam	Châu Đốc	2017	77.0%	94.8%	43.9%
Vietnam	Châu Đức	2000	9.1%	21.8%	1.6%
Vietnam	Châu Đức	2017	73.6%	93.5%	47.8%
Vietnam	Châu Phú	2000	8.4%	29.6%	1.2%
Vietnam	Châu Phú	2017	69.9%	88.9%	41.1%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	9.3%	25.7%	2.1%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	4.8%	17.4%	0.8%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	8.5%	31.9%	0.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	12.9%	36.2%	1.4%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	10.1%	30.9%	1.8%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	7.8%	28.6%	1.3%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	5.0%	18.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	7.3%	15.7%	3.4%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	6.9%	20.4%	1.1%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	15.1%	38.0%	3.3%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	79.1%	94.7%	51.8%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	74.3%	90.6%	53.3%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	58.6%	79.0%	41.9%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	68.6%	85.3%	44.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	65.2%	90.6%	32.9%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	64.4%	84.4%	36.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	84.9%	96.5%	62.2%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	79.6%	97.1%	45.9%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	73.5%	90.3%	52.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	67.8%	90.3%	35.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành A	2000	6.3%	18.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành A	2017	67.2%	88.6%	41.1%
Vietnam	Chi Lăng	2000	5.2%	19.0%	0.6%
Vietnam	Chi Lăng	2017	60.4%	86.5%	29.5%
Vietnam	Chí Linh	2000	13.3%	32.9%	3.2%
Vietnam	Chí Linh	2017	79.7%	95.7%	49.1%
Vietnam	Chiêm Hóa	2000	4.2%	14.2%	0.8%
Vietnam	Chiêm Hóa	2017	55.9%	76.2%	32.3%
Vietnam	Chợ Đồn	2000	3.0%	8.8%	0.5%
Vietnam	Chợ Đồn	2017	50.0%	72.0%	29.5%
Vietnam	Chợ Gạo	2000	10.0%	26.8%	2.5%
Vietnam	Chợ Gạo	2017	80.8%	91.5%	60.3%
Vietnam	Chợ Lách	2000	12.3%	39.3%	0.9%
Vietnam	Chợ Lách	2017	73.1%	94.7%	38.0%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2000	3.8%	12.1%	0.5%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2000	14.1%	28.4%	5.6%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2017	84.2%	95.0%	68.7%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2017	55.7%	79.2%	31.7%
Vietnam	Chơn Thành	2000	10.8%	33.1%	1.3%
Vietnam	Chơn Thành	2017	73.3%	93.3%	44.4%
Vietnam	Chư Păh	2000	5.6%	13.8%	1.5%
Vietnam	Chư Păh	2017	63.8%	78.4%	45.7%
Vietnam	Chư Prông	2000	6.6%	13.9%	2.0%
Vietnam	Chư Prông	2017	66.9%	81.0%	50.5%
Vietnam	Chư Pưh	2000	5.4%	15.9%	1.0%
Vietnam	Chư Pưh	2017	67.1%	84.1%	45.5%
Vietnam	Chư Sê	2000	4.7%	12.7%	0.9%
Vietnam	Chư Sê	2017	69.7%	84.8%	47.9%
Vietnam	Chương Mỹ	2000	11.4%	33.1%	2.6%
Vietnam	Chương Mỹ	2017	69.5%	89.7%	38.9%
Vietnam	Cờ Đỏ	2000	6.7%	22.1%	0.5%
Vietnam	Cờ Đỏ	2017	64.0%	88.4%	30.3%
Vietnam	Cô Tô	2000	5.0%	21.3%	0.2%
Vietnam	Cô Tô	2017	60.3%	91.8%	18.5%
Vietnam	Con Cuông	2000	3.8%	11.1%	0.8%
Vietnam	Con Cuông	2017	47.7%	64.3%	30.5%
Vietnam	Củ Chi	2000	21.2%	36.0%	11.5%
Vietnam	Củ Chi	2017	89.1%	97.1%	74.5%
Vietnam	Cư Jút	2000	4.2%	12.4%	1.0%
Vietnam	Cư Jút	2017	68.9%	87.4%	45.4%
Vietnam	Cư Kuin	2000	2.2%	8.9%	0.5%
Vietnam	Cư Kuin	2017	46.4%	62.5%	25.3%
Vietnam	Cù Lao Dung	2000	6.9%	27.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Cù Lao Dung	2017	66.8%	90.6%	37.2%
Vietnam	Cư M'gar	2000	3.4%	9.0%	1.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Cư M'gar	2017	63.2%	76.0%	49.5%
Vietnam	Cửa Lò	2000	11.2%	54.3%	0.3%
Vietnam	Cửa Lò	2017	74.7%	99.0%	23.6%
Vietnam	Đà Bắc	2000	4.6%	10.3%	1.3%
Vietnam	Đà Bắc	2017	63.2%	79.6%	46.4%
Vietnam	Đạ Huoai	2000	7.0%	23.4%	1.0%
Vietnam	Đạ Huoai	2017	71.9%	91.0%	40.3%
Vietnam	Đạ Krông	2000	5.9%	17.0%	1.1%
Vietnam	Đạ Krông	2017	61.1%	81.8%	41.1%
Vietnam	Đà Lạt	2000	27.3%	35.7%	20.4%
Vietnam	Đà Lạt	2017	89.0%	91.9%	84.0%
Vietnam	Đạ Tềh	2000	4.6%	15.3%	1.0%
Vietnam	Đạ Tềh	2017	69.1%	83.2%	49.3%
Vietnam	Đại Lộc	2000	9.9%	23.9%	3.2%
Vietnam	Đại Lộc	2017	78.6%	92.0%	61.2%
Vietnam	Đại Từ	2000	4.6%	15.0%	0.7%
Vietnam	Đại Từ	2017	57.7%	80.2%	34.8%
Vietnam	Đắk Đoa	2000	7.8%	15.8%	2.3%
Vietnam	Đắk Đoa	2017	68.2%	80.4%	53.8%
Vietnam	Đắk Glei	2000	4.6%	13.2%	1.0%
Vietnam	Đắk Glei	2017	52.5%	70.5%	35.3%
Vietnam	Đắk Glong	2000	5.6%	13.9%	1.8%
Vietnam	Đắk Glong	2017	60.1%	75.7%	43.6%
Vietnam	Đắk Hà	2000	2.9%	9.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Đắk Hà	2017	46.1%	66.6%	24.8%
Vietnam	Đắk Mil	2000	4.8%	12.4%	1.5%
Vietnam	Đắk Mil	2017	75.3%	87.1%	59.5%
Vietnam	Đắk Pơ	2000	5.9%	26.0%	1.0%
Vietnam	Đắk Pơ	2017	72.3%	86.9%	48.6%
Vietnam	Đắk R'Lấp	2000	7.8%	19.3%	2.4%
Vietnam	Đắk R'Lấp	2017	75.5%	90.7%	57.2%
Vietnam	Đắk Song	2000	6.1%	16.0%	0.9%
Vietnam	Đắk Song	2017	59.6%	75.5%	38.1%
Vietnam	Đắk Tô	2000	5.1%	15.2%	1.5%
Vietnam	Đắk Tô	2017	69.7%	86.6%	47.8%
Vietnam	Đầm Dơi	2000	8.2%	17.9%	1.8%
Vietnam	Đầm Dơi	2017	68.6%	85.4%	46.5%
Vietnam	Đầm Hà	2000	4.4%	18.7%	0.3%
Vietnam	Đầm Hà	2017	59.2%	90.0%	24.1%
Vietnam	Đam Rông	2000	4.5%	15.3%	1.0%
Vietnam	Đam Rông	2017	60.4%	81.2%	35.5%
Vietnam	Đan Phượng	2000	14.8%	40.1%	3.3%
Vietnam	Đan Phượng	2017	90.7%	98.7%	69.6%
Vietnam	Đất Đỏ	2000	10.8%	33.0%	1.0%
Vietnam	Đất Đỏ	2017	75.8%	96.3%	40.9%
Vietnam	Dầu Tiếng	2000	10.6%	23.6%	2.8%
Vietnam	Dầu Tiếng	2017	80.3%	93.0%	61.6%
Vietnam	Dĩ An	2000	63.0%	76.5%	46.9%
Vietnam	Dĩ An	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Vietnam	Di Linh	2000	11.4%	22.9%	4.8%
Vietnam	Di Linh	2017	76.3%	86.3%	64.8%
Vietnam	Điện Bàn	2000	23.3%	41.3%	10.3%
Vietnam	Điện Bàn	2017	93.0%	98.4%	81.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Điện Biên	2000	7.1%	17.8%	1.9%
Vietnam	Điện Biên	2017	63.4%	79.6%	42.9%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Đông	2000	4.4%	10.3%	1.0%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Đông	2017	53.4%	70.0%	36.7%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Phủ	2000	17.2%	49.9%	2.7%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Phủ	2017	84.7%	95.2%	74.4%
Vietnam	Diễn Châu	2000	6.6%	24.5%	0.7%
Vietnam	Diễn Châu	2017	67.5%	88.6%	34.3%
Vietnam	Diên Khánh	2000	19.7%	44.9%	3.4%
Vietnam	Diên Khánh	2017	83.1%	96.8%	56.2%
Vietnam	Định Hóa	2000	4.5%	15.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Định Hóa	2017	56.5%	70.7%	38.5%
Vietnam	Đình Lập	2000	4.8%	11.0%	1.1%
Vietnam	Đình Lập	2017	56.5%	77.0%	34.9%
Vietnam	Định Quán	2000	11.5%	26.1%	3.6%
Vietnam	Định Quán	2017	79.8%	92.3%	60.0%
Vietnam	Đô Lương	2000	6.3%	17.9%	1.1%
Vietnam	Đô Lương	2017	69.2%	84.4%	44.8%
Vietnam	Đồ Sơn	2000	9.1%	34.6%	1.6%
Vietnam	Đồ Sơn	2017	83.1%	97.3%	51.8%
Vietnam	Đoan Hùng	2000	6.6%	24.4%	0.8%
Vietnam	Đoan Hùng	2017	68.8%	92.1%	34.1%
Vietnam	Đơn Dương	2000	12.2%	26.0%	5.3%
Vietnam	Đơn Dương	2017	82.3%	91.7%	68.9%
Vietnam	Đông Anh	2000	18.4%	40.3%	5.1%
Vietnam	Đông Anh	2017	87.3%	97.4%	67.4%
Vietnam	Đống Đa	2000	67.0%	80.0%	48.6%
Vietnam	Đống Đa	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
Vietnam	Đông Giang	2000	6.0%	16.0%	1.1%
Vietnam	Đông Giang	2017	61.5%	81.4%	42.2%
Vietnam	Đông Hà	2000	49.5%	81.5%	15.2%
Vietnam	Đông Hà	2017	98.1%	99.8%	93.7%
Vietnam	Đông Hải	2000	9.5%	23.9%	1.9%
Vietnam	Đông Hải	2017	75.0%	92.0%	55.9%
Vietnam	Đông Hòa	2000	17.1%	52.9%	1.9%
Vietnam	Đông Hòa	2017	82.7%	96.7%	55.6%
Vietnam	Đông Hưng	2000	9.8%	23.4%	3.6%
Vietnam	Đông Hưng	2017	83.0%	95.1%	63.6%
Vietnam	Đồng Hỷ	2000	3.0%	9.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Đồng Hỷ	2017	53.2%	73.4%	26.9%
Vietnam	Đồng Phú	2000	9.3%	21.2%	3.1%
Vietnam	Đồng Phú	2017	75.0%	89.2%	55.8%
Vietnam	Đông Sơn	2000	14.9%	33.1%	2.5%
Vietnam	Đông Sơn	2017	69.2%	92.3%	40.5%
Vietnam	Đông Triều	2000	5.4%	18.9%	0.7%
Vietnam	Đông Triều	2017	67.4%	88.0%	42.9%
Vietnam	Đồng Văn	2000	7.1%	21.5%	1.4%
Vietnam	Đồng Văn	2017	62.2%	86.2%	32.4%
Vietnam	Đồng Xoài	2000	9.7%	36.5%	0.7%
Vietnam	Đồng Xoài	2017	78.0%	96.6%	35.2%
Vietnam	Đồng Xuân	2000	5.0%	12.8%	1.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Đồng Xuân	2017	65.9%	82.3%	47.9%
Vietnam	Đức Cơ	2000	3.9%	9.7%	0.9%
Vietnam	Đức Cơ	2017	62.2%	78.3%	44.5%
Vietnam	Đức Hòa	2000	12.0%	30.1%	3.2%
Vietnam	Đức Hòa	2017	78.9%	92.8%	58.8%
Vietnam	Đức Huệ	2000	7.4%	27.2%	1.0%
Vietnam	Đức Huệ	2017	71.6%	91.7%	38.7%
Vietnam	Đức Linh	2000	6.3%	14.9%	1.8%
Vietnam	Đức Linh	2017	79.1%	89.5%	63.6%
Vietnam	Đức Phổ	2000	9.2%	28.5%	2.0%
Vietnam	Đức Phổ	2017	72.5%	91.0%	47.3%
Vietnam	Đức Thọ	2000	6.8%	23.8%	0.5%
Vietnam	Đức Thọ	2017	70.7%	88.5%	38.0%
Vietnam	Đức Trọng	2000	6.9%	14.3%	2.5%
Vietnam	Đức Trọng	2017	65.7%	75.3%	54.7%
Vietnam	Dương Kinh	2000	50.8%	74.1%	26.2%
Vietnam	Dương Kinh	2017	95.3%	99.4%	81.0%
Vietnam	Dương Minh Châu	2000	9.8%	25.2%	1.8%
Vietnam	Dương Minh Châu	2017	76.8%	91.4%	56.3%
Vietnam	Duy Tiên	2000	2.8%	9.4%	0.8%
Vietnam	Duy Tiên	2017	73.7%	87.8%	56.9%
Vietnam	Duy Xuyên	2000	21.4%	43.1%	6.7%
Vietnam	Duy Xuyên	2017	88.0%	97.0%	74.2%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải	2000	5.7%	19.2%	0.4%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải	2017	60.8%	83.1%	26.4%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải (Thị xã)	2000	7.5%	29.3%	0.2%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải (Thị xã)	2017	66.0%	96.4%	21.7%
Vietnam	Ea H'leo	2000	3.5%	10.0%	0.7%
Vietnam	Ea H'leo	2017	60.4%	75.2%	42.5%
Vietnam	Ea Kar	2000	4.4%	11.3%	1.4%
Vietnam	Ea Kar	2017	64.9%	77.6%	49.0%
Vietnam	Ea Súp	2000	4.5%	12.7%	1.1%
Vietnam	Ea Súp	2017	58.8%	74.7%	38.0%
Vietnam	Gia Bình	2000	7.0%	21.6%	1.1%
Vietnam	Gia Bình	2017	81.5%	93.3%	58.7%
Vietnam	Gia Lâm	2000	39.7%	63.2%	23.7%
Vietnam	Gia Lâm	2017	95.1%	99.4%	83.5%
Vietnam	Gia Lộc	2000	2.0%	6.1%	0.6%
Vietnam	Gia Lộc	2017	60.3%	77.4%	37.3%
Vietnam	Gia Nghĩa	2000	9.0%	20.6%	4.2%
Vietnam	Gia Nghĩa	2017	72.2%	85.8%	48.8%
Vietnam	Giá Rai	2000	9.0%	23.1%	1.9%
Vietnam	Giá Rai	2017	76.2%	90.9%	55.8%
Vietnam	Gia Viễn	2000	5.0%	16.6%	0.7%
Vietnam	Gia Viễn	2017	72.6%	92.4%	41.4%
Vietnam	Giang Thành	2000	6.3%	21.9%	0.7%
Vietnam	Giang Thành	2017	59.5%	82.8%	32.1%
Vietnam	Giao Thủy	2000	7.2%	21.2%	1.4%
Vietnam	Giao Thủy	2017	77.7%	93.3%	56.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Gio Linh	2000	8.7%	28.0%	1.0%
Vietnam	Gio Linh	2017	73.0%	91.9%	36.9%
Vietnam	Giồng Riềng	2000	6.6%	19.2%	1.3%
Vietnam	Giồng Riềng	2017	65.6%	84.0%	42.7%
Vietnam	Giồng Trôm	2000	4.3%	14.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Giồng Trôm	2017	69.9%	85.0%	45.3%
Vietnam	Gò Công	2000	20.6%	37.0%	9.2%
Vietnam	Gò Công	2017	81.5%	92.7%	65.3%
Vietnam	Gò Công Đông	2000	12.9%	30.1%	2.9%
Vietnam	Gò Công Đông	2017	77.3%	93.4%	52.1%
Vietnam	Gò Công Tây	2000	25.2%	48.2%	9.5%
Vietnam	Gò Công Tây	2017	88.2%	97.9%	63.7%
Vietnam	Gò Dầu	2000	4.7%	15.3%	0.7%
Vietnam	Gò Dầu	2017	67.7%	86.6%	44.4%
Vietnam	Gò Quao	2000	4.7%	14.6%	0.6%
Vietnam	Gò Quao	2017	61.3%	80.5%	36.4%
Vietnam	Gò Vấp	2000	16.6%	19.9%	13.4%
Vietnam	Gò Vấp	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.3%
Vietnam	Hà Đông	2000	46.8%	62.7%	24.8%
Vietnam	Hà Đông	2017	90.9%	99.1%	81.1%
Vietnam	Hà Giang	2000	5.2%	29.0%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hà Giang	2017	63.8%	95.7%	11.3%
Vietnam	Hạ Hoà	2000	6.3%	19.8%	0.9%
Vietnam	Hạ Hoà	2017	66.3%	87.2%	41.9%
Vietnam	Hạ Lang	2000	4.4%	13.3%	0.3%
Vietnam	Hạ Lang	2017	56.5%	81.0%	26.9%
Vietnam	Hạ Long	2000	8.7%	29.0%	2.1%
Vietnam	Hạ Long	2017	78.3%	94.4%	52.7%
Vietnam	Hà Quảng	2000	3.7%	15.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Hà Quảng	2017	51.6%	80.4%	24.2%
Vietnam	Hà Tiên	2000	5.3%	20.4%	0.2%
Vietnam	Hà Tiên	2017	69.1%	94.6%	22.0%
Vietnam	Hà Tĩnh	2000	2.3%	4.8%	1.4%
Vietnam	Hà Tĩnh	2017	86.3%	90.6%	80.4%
Vietnam	Hà Trung	2000	7.3%	23.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Hà Trung	2017	69.5%	90.7%	42.1%
Vietnam	Hải An	2000	53.5%	80.7%	25.1%
Vietnam	Hải An	2017	95.6%	99.7%	84.7%
Vietnam	Hai Bà Trưng	2000	42.1%	54.3%	30.8%
Vietnam	Hai Bà Trưng	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Vietnam	Hải Châu	2000	63.6%	79.9%	39.2%
Vietnam	Hải Châu	2017	96.9%	98.8%	94.2%
Vietnam	Hải Dương	2000	11.7%	19.0%	6.8%
Vietnam	Hải Dương	2017	94.2%	97.3%	89.9%
Vietnam	Hải Hà	2000	4.8%	15.9%	0.5%
Vietnam	Hải Hà	2017	60.7%	87.4%	25.1%
Vietnam	Hải Hậu	2000	11.3%	28.8%	2.3%
Vietnam	Hải Hậu	2017	78.9%	94.5%	50.4%
Vietnam	Hải Lăng	2000	7.4%	23.8%	1.2%
Vietnam	Hải Lăng	2017	74.0%	91.8%	50.7%
Vietnam	Hàm Tân	2000	11.6%	26.6%	3.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Hàm Tân	2017	76.7%	91.8%	57.1%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Bắc	2000	11.7%	24.0%	4.8%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Bắc	2017	78.0%	89.4%	61.2%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Nam	2000	13.5%	27.5%	4.6%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Nam	2017	79.0%	91.6%	64.3%
Vietnam	Hàm Yên	2000	3.5%	9.5%	0.6%
Vietnam	Hàm Yên	2017	51.5%	69.4%	27.5%
Vietnam	Hậu Lộc	2000	3.2%	12.8%	0.4%
Vietnam	Hậu Lộc	2017	60.7%	83.9%	36.7%
Vietnam	Hiệp Đức	2000	6.8%	21.4%	0.7%
Vietnam	Hiệp Đức	2017	63.5%	87.8%	31.1%
Vietnam	Hiệp Hòa	2000	1.7%	7.4%	0.2%
Vietnam	Hiệp Hòa	2017	39.3%	57.3%	24.2%
Vietnam	Hoà An	2000	4.8%	13.2%	1.3%
Vietnam	Hoà An	2017	59.4%	76.7%	39.2%
Vietnam	Hoà Bình	2000	13.8%	37.1%	2.6%
Vietnam	Hoà Bình	2017	79.2%	94.7%	51.9%
Vietnam	Hòa Bình	2000	19.4%	31.0%	8.9%
Vietnam	Hòa Bình	2017	85.0%	95.1%	71.4%
Vietnam	Hoa Lư	2000	16.1%	37.6%	4.3%
Vietnam	Hoa Lư	2017	85.9%	97.1%	67.2%
Vietnam	Hòa Thành	2000	38.6%	55.1%	14.5%
Vietnam	Hòa Thành	2017	94.0%	96.8%	88.9%
Vietnam	Hòa Vang	2000	23.5%	42.4%	10.4%
Vietnam	Hòa Vang	2017	81.8%	92.4%	68.1%
Vietnam	Hoài Ân	2000	5.8%	16.7%	0.7%
Vietnam	Hoài Ân	2017	64.0%	83.8%	37.0%
Vietnam	Hoài Đức	2000	36.3%	65.4%	11.4%
Vietnam	Hoài Đức	2017	95.6%	99.4%	84.3%
Vietnam	Hoài Nhơn	2000	7.6%	24.1%	1.2%
Vietnam	Hoài Nhơn	2017	71.7%	89.1%	49.9%
Vietnam	Hoàn Kiếm	2000	35.7%	51.6%	24.9%
Vietnam	Hoàn Kiếm	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.8%
Vietnam	Hoàng Hóa	2000	6.6%	18.8%	1.4%
Vietnam	Hoàng Hóa	2017	68.3%	82.7%	52.4%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2000	53.6%	76.5%	28.6%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2000	3.7%	14.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2017	62.4%	83.7%	34.5%
Vietnam	Hoàng Su Phì	2000	3.1%	8.6%	0.5%
Vietnam	Hoàng Su Phì	2017	50.5%	76.6%	23.6%
Vietnam	Hoành Bồ	2000	7.3%	22.4%	1.1%
Vietnam	Hoành Bồ	2017	70.5%	88.1%	43.8%
Vietnam	Hóc Môn	2000	21.5%	28.0%	15.3%
Vietnam	Hóc Môn	2017	96.4%	97.8%	93.0%
Vietnam	Hội An	2000	14.2%	51.3%	0.8%
Vietnam	Hội An	2017	82.3%	98.5%	44.3%
Vietnam	Hòn Đất	2000	7.3%	17.9%	1.5%
Vietnam	Hòn Đất	2017	66.6%	83.5%	47.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Hớn Quản	2000	16.9%	33.2%	5.7%
Vietnam	Hớn Quản	2017	78.9%	92.9%	60.4%
Vietnam	Hồng Bàng	2000	60.2%	83.7%	38.7%
Vietnam	Hồng Bàng	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.0%
Vietnam	Hồng Dân	2000	6.5%	17.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Hồng Dân	2017	64.1%	81.5%	44.0%
Vietnam	Hồng Lĩnh	2000	6.9%	40.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hồng Lĩnh	2017	70.0%	98.4%	15.7%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự	2000	4.1%	12.8%	1.1%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự	2017	76.4%	87.7%	59.7%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự (Thị xã)	2000	5.9%	25.3%	0.3%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự (Thị xã)	2017	75.4%	96.3%	30.8%
Vietnam	Huế	2000	18.9%	25.2%	12.0%
Vietnam	Huế	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.8%
Vietnam	Hưng Hà	2000	3.7%	17.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Hưng Hà	2017	53.9%	76.1%	24.3%
Vietnam	Hưng Nguyên	2000	14.0%	32.7%	3.8%
Vietnam	Hưng Nguyên	2017	83.7%	94.0%	67.5%
Vietnam	Hưng Yên	2000	4.8%	11.5%	2.3%
Vietnam	Hưng Yên	2017	85.0%	93.9%	68.5%
Vietnam	Hướng Hóa	2000	5.3%	14.0%	1.0%
Vietnam	Hướng Hóa	2017	58.0%	75.4%	34.7%
Vietnam	Hương Khê	2000	7.6%	21.3%	1.5%
Vietnam	Hương Khê	2017	65.3%	81.9%	42.3%
Vietnam	Hương Sơn	2000	6.4%	16.8%	1.2%
Vietnam	Hương Sơn	2017	65.9%	81.8%	45.9%
Vietnam	Hương Thủy	2000	17.3%	31.5%	8.2%
Vietnam	Hương Thủy	2017	83.7%	94.7%	65.6%
Vietnam	Hương Trà	2000	6.2%	11.7%	2.9%
Vietnam	Hương Trà	2017	62.9%	70.5%	55.4%
Vietnam	Hữu Lũng	2000	4.1%	15.6%	0.4%
Vietnam	Hữu Lũng	2017	53.6%	74.2%	30.4%
Vietnam	Ia Grai	2000	7.6%	16.4%	3.0%
Vietnam	Ia Grai	2017	78.4%	89.0%	67.0%
Vietnam	Ia H' Drai	2000	5.8%	15.0%	1.4%
Vietnam	Ia H' Drai	2017	61.0%	82.1%	41.0%
Vietnam	Ia Pa	2000	3.5%	14.5%	0.7%
Vietnam	Ia Pa	2017	61.1%	80.9%	38.5%
Vietnam	KBang	2000	4.4%	13.8%	1.0%
Vietnam	KBang	2017	57.4%	74.6%	37.8%
Vietnam	Kế Sách	2000	8.0%	24.9%	1.5%
Vietnam	Kế Sách	2017	71.1%	91.2%	47.6%
Vietnam	Khánh Sơn	2000	3.5%	13.3%	0.3%
Vietnam	Khánh Sơn	2017	55.6%	80.6%	24.6%
Vietnam	Khánh Vĩnh	2000	7.3%	25.2%	1.0%
Vietnam	Khánh Vĩnh	2017	59.6%	77.2%	35.8%
Vietnam	Khoái Châu	2000	17.0%	45.3%	3.7%
Vietnam	Khoái Châu	2017	88.3%	98.1%	63.4%
Vietnam	Kiến An	2000	28.6%	40.7%	19.8%
Vietnam	Kiến An	2017	95.5%	98.1%	90.9%
Vietnam	Kiên Hải	2000	6.4%	38.7%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Kiên Hải	2017	63.1%	95.7%	15.4%
Vietnam	Kiên Lương	2000	10.7%	35.8%	1.7%
Vietnam	Kiên Lương	2017	72.7%	94.0%	45.3%
Vietnam	Kiến Thụy	2000	7.4%	28.4%	1.2%
Vietnam	Kiến Thụy	2017	78.9%	95.9%	52.6%
Vietnam	Kiến Tường	2000	6.4%	26.2%	0.3%
Vietnam	Kiến Tường	2017	67.5%	93.6%	24.0%
Vietnam	Kiến Xương	2000	6.1%	18.8%	1.2%
Vietnam	Kiến Xương	2017	76.0%	92.8%	47.9%
Vietnam	Kim Bảng	2000	4.8%	20.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Kim Bảng	2017	64.8%	91.1%	31.5%
Vietnam	Kim Bôi	2000	4.8%	13.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Kim Bôi	2017	60.8%	83.9%	34.7%
Vietnam	Kim Động	2000	7.1%	27.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Kim Động	2017	70.6%	94.1%	35.2%
Vietnam	Kim Sơn	2000	12.4%	32.3%	2.4%
Vietnam	Kim Sơn	2017	86.9%	96.7%	66.0%
Vietnam	Kim Thành	2000	3.9%	20.9%	0.6%
Vietnam	Kim Thành	2017	65.2%	83.3%	42.3%
Vietnam	Kinh Môn	2000	7.8%	26.3%	0.8%
Vietnam	Kinh Môn	2017	69.9%	93.0%	33.4%
Vietnam	Kon Plông	2000	5.2%	12.9%	1.3%
Vietnam	Kon Plông	2017	57.5%	75.5%	36.4%
Vietnam	Kon Rẫy	2000	4.0%	13.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Kon Rẫy	2017	53.6%	76.1%	29.0%
Vietnam	Kon Tum	2000	3.3%	10.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Kon Tum	2017	77.6%	85.3%	65.6%
Vietnam	Kông Chro	2000	4.6%	15.6%	0.9%
Vietnam	Kông Chro	2017	60.1%	79.4%	32.9%
Vietnam	Krông A Na	2000	4.8%	14.3%	1.2%
Vietnam	Krông A Na	2017	74.9%	89.8%	56.3%
Vietnam	Krông Bông	2000	4.9%	13.7%	0.7%
Vietnam	Krông Bông	2017	62.7%	79.5%	41.2%
Vietnam	Krông Búk	2000	8.4%	24.5%	1.3%
Vietnam	Krông Búk	2017	68.4%	90.0%	43.9%
Vietnam	Krông Năng	2000	2.0%	6.5%	0.4%
Vietnam	Krông Năng	2017	42.2%	63.7%	20.0%
Vietnam	Krông Nô	2000	4.4%	11.3%	1.2%
Vietnam	Krông Nô	2017	66.8%	82.7%	46.7%
Vietnam	Krông Pa	2000	4.4%	18.4%	0.8%
Vietnam	Krông Pa	2017	54.3%	72.3%	33.5%
Vietnam	Krông Pắc	2000	4.7%	10.9%	1.4%
Vietnam	Krông Pắc	2017	62.7%	77.5%	48.7%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh	2000	4.8%	15.2%	0.6%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh	2017	58.1%	77.6%	33.5%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh (Thị xã)	2000	7.0%	23.6%	0.5%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh (Thị xã)	2017	63.6%	90.7%	25.7%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2000	4.4%	25.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2000	4.8%	11.6%	1.0%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2017	57.4%	71.1%	42.7%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2017	61.3%	84.3%	25.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	La Gi	2000	13.1%	46.8%	1.4%
Vietnam	La Gi	2017	83.7%	98.6%	52.3%
Vietnam	Lạc Dương	2000	11.6%	23.4%	4.0%
Vietnam	Lạc Dương	2017	63.8%	77.8%	48.3%
Vietnam	Lạc Sơn	2000	5.7%	20.1%	0.8%
Vietnam	Lạc Sơn	2017	65.4%	86.5%	37.1%
Vietnam	Lạc Thủy	2000	4.9%	15.6%	0.9%
Vietnam	Lạc Thủy	2017	66.5%	86.0%	42.9%
Vietnam	Lai Châu	2000	3.8%	22.8%	0.1%
Vietnam	Lai Châu	2017	56.3%	93.5%	11.4%
Vietnam	Lai Vung	2000	9.8%	31.4%	2.1%
Vietnam	Lai Vung	2017	77.9%	89.9%	55.9%
Vietnam	Lắk	2000	7.5%	20.7%	2.7%
Vietnam	Lắk	2017	66.1%	81.8%	49.6%
Vietnam	Lâm Bình	2000	3.5%	10.1%	0.6%
Vietnam	Lâm Bình	2017	50.7%	70.2%	29.0%
Vietnam	Lâm Hà	2000	3.3%	8.2%	0.8%
Vietnam	Lâm Hà	2017	57.9%	71.8%	44.3%
Vietnam	Lâm Thao	2000	8.1%	22.5%	1.6%
Vietnam	Lâm Thao	2017	74.8%	92.8%	47.7%
Vietnam	Lang Chánh	2000	6.1%	18.4%	0.8%
Vietnam	Lang Chánh	2017	58.8%	83.3%	32.2%
Vietnam	Lạng Giang	2000	4.3%	18.3%	0.6%
Vietnam	Lạng Giang	2017	71.5%	89.1%	49.3%
Vietnam	Lạng Sơn	2000	35.6%	66.5%	10.0%
Vietnam	Lạng Sơn	2017	95.9%	98.6%	89.8%
Vietnam	Lào Cai	2000	13.1%	18.5%	10.7%
Vietnam	Lào Cai	2017	73.8%	83.4%	61.7%
Vietnam	Lập Thạch	2000	9.4%	28.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Lập Thạch	2017	67.0%	92.2%	31.6%
Vietnam	Lấp Vò	2000	9.8%	22.7%	3.5%
Vietnam	Lấp Vò	2017	79.5%	91.4%	63.1%
Vietnam	Lê Chân	2000	71.8%	91.4%	42.9%
Vietnam	Lê Chân	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.2%
Vietnam	Lệ Thủy	2000	9.6%	22.7%	2.3%
Vietnam	Lệ Thủy	2017	71.0%	86.6%	49.5%
Vietnam	Liên Chiểu	2000	32.3%	47.3%	19.2%
Vietnam	Liên Chiểu	2017	95.3%	98.5%	88.5%
Vietnam	Lộc Bình	2000	7.4%	22.0%	1.5%
Vietnam	Lộc Bình	2017	70.2%	83.8%	49.5%
Vietnam	Lộc Hà	2000	1.4%	7.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Lộc Hà	2017	46.9%	66.1%	28.4%
Vietnam	Lộc Ninh	2000	8.4%	23.6%	1.9%
Vietnam	Lộc Ninh	2017	70.6%	86.6%	47.2%
Vietnam	Long Biên	2000	53.0%	67.3%	35.9%
Vietnam	Long Biên	2017	98.9%	99.4%	97.4%
Vietnam	Long Điền	2000	11.9%	24.4%	6.1%
Vietnam	Long Điền	2017	90.8%	95.4%	76.9%
Vietnam	Long Hồ	2000	8.2%	25.9%	1.7%
Vietnam	Long Hồ	2017	76.0%	89.0%	54.5%
Vietnam	Long Khánh	2000	23.2%	58.7%	5.1%
Vietnam	Long Khánh	2017	90.1%	99.0%	69.6%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ	2000	11.0%	27.8%	1.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Long Mỹ	2017	63.4%	80.0%	41.2%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ (Thị xã)	2000	17.1%	38.6%	2.9%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ (Thị xã)	2017	85.8%	95.7%	66.8%
Vietnam	Long Phú	2000	12.3%	31.2%	5.2%
Vietnam	Long Phú	2017	73.5%	93.1%	43.5%
Vietnam	Long Thành	2000	32.0%	51.9%	14.4%
Vietnam	Long Thành	2017	89.4%	97.8%	69.9%
Vietnam	Long Xuyên	2000	42.1%	62.9%	18.9%
Vietnam	Long Xuyên	2017	96.6%	98.7%	91.8%
Vietnam	Lục Nam	2000	4.8%	15.8%	0.8%
Vietnam	Lục Nam	2017	68.6%	83.5%	46.5%
Vietnam	Lục Ngạn	2000	3.7%	10.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Lục Ngạn	2017	54.9%	71.7%	36.0%
Vietnam	Lục Yên	2000	8.9%	22.1%	2.3%
Vietnam	Lục Yên	2017	63.0%	82.0%	42.9%
Vietnam	Lương Sơn	2000	7.7%	23.4%	1.0%
Vietnam	Lương Sơn	2017	68.7%	90.7%	42.0%
Vietnam	Lương Tài	2000	5.8%	20.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Lương Tài	2017	77.8%	92.7%	57.5%
Vietnam	Lý Nhân	2000	5.5%	17.9%	0.6%
Vietnam	Lý Nhân	2017	68.2%	88.8%	39.9%
Vietnam	Lý Sơn	2000	6.4%	35.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Lý Sơn	2017	63.9%	97.7%	11.0%
Vietnam	M'Đrắk	2000	3.6%	9.6%	0.8%
Vietnam	M'Đrắk	2017	58.7%	77.8%	36.6%
Vietnam	Mai Châu	2000	7.7%	23.0%	1.1%
Vietnam	Mai Châu	2017	67.8%	86.9%	43.3%
Vietnam	Mai Sơn	2000	3.0%	7.4%	0.8%
Vietnam	Mai Sơn	2017	47.9%	64.0%	32.6%
Vietnam	Mang Thít	2000	6.3%	21.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Mang Thít	2017	64.4%	84.3%	33.5%
Vietnam	Mang Yang	2000	4.8%	12.3%	0.7%
Vietnam	Mang Yang	2017	50.0%	70.0%	30.2%
Vietnam	Mê Linh	2000	8.6%	21.9%	3.0%
Vietnam	Mê Linh	2017	72.7%	90.5%	52.0%
Vietnam	Mèo Vạc	2000	5.0%	14.5%	0.7%
Vietnam	Mèo Vạc	2017	59.8%	81.7%	35.9%
Vietnam	Minh Hóa	2000	4.7%	12.4%	1.1%
Vietnam	Minh Hóa	2017	57.8%	74.2%	42.0%
Vietnam	Minh Long	2000	7.8%	28.2%	0.8%
Vietnam	Minh Long	2017	67.4%	93.6%	32.5%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Bắc	2000	23.2%	43.5%	5.6%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Bắc	2017	83.5%	96.6%	59.5%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Nam	2000	28.8%	43.7%	16.6%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Nam	2017	87.0%	95.1%	75.8%
Vietnam	Mộ Đức	2000	10.6%	33.1%	1.5%
Vietnam	Mộ Đức	2017	80.8%	96.7%	50.9%
Vietnam	Mộc Châu	2000	4.1%	10.7%	1.0%
Vietnam	Mộc Châu	2017	56.3%	72.5%	37.7%
Vietnam	Mộc Hóa	2000	6.4%	21.3%	0.5%
Vietnam	Mộc Hóa	2017	64.4%	93.1%	30.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Móng Cái	2000	22.5%	44.3%	6.8%
Vietnam	Móng Cái	2017	83.3%	92.1%	73.4%
Vietnam	Mù Cang Chải	2000	2.6%	7.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Mù Cang Chải	2017	46.1%	65.1%	28.5%
Vietnam	Mường Ảng	2000	5.4%	19.5%	0.5%
Vietnam	Mường Ảng	2017	60.7%	86.4%	29.7%
Vietnam	Mường Chà	2000	3.8%	11.2%	0.8%
Vietnam	Mường Chà	2017	54.8%	74.8%	36.5%
Vietnam	Mường	2000	2.0%	6.5%	0.2%
Vietnam	Khương				
Vietnam	Mường	2017	46.8%	71.2%	24.3%
Vietnam	Khương				
Vietnam	Mường La	2000	2.9%	7.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Mường La	2017	48.8%	66.7%	30.4%
Vietnam	Mường Lát	2000	4.5%	13.0%	0.7%
Vietnam	Mường Lát	2017	57.0%	81.0%	34.7%
Vietnam	Mường Nhé	2000	2.1%	5.5%	0.3%
Vietnam	Mường Nhé	2017	33.4%	46.3%	21.0%
Vietnam	Mường Tè	2000	2.7%	6.6%	0.7%
Vietnam	Mường Tè	2017	38.9%	51.3%	23.9%
Vietnam	Mỹ Đức	2000	4.1%	15.3%	0.5%
Vietnam	Mỹ Đức	2017	60.0%	83.1%	26.9%
Vietnam	Mỹ Hòa	2000	7.2%	20.7%	1.9%
Vietnam	Mỹ Hòa	2017	82.5%	95.9%	56.9%
Vietnam	Mỹ Lộc	2000	18.4%	43.7%	4.8%
Vietnam	Mỹ Lộc	2017	79.1%	92.7%	49.6%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tho	2000	22.7%	41.2%	7.8%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tho	2017	94.7%	98.9%	85.3%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tú	2000	7.5%	22.9%	0.7%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tú	2017	68.6%	89.6%	36.3%
Vietnam	Mỹ Xuyên	2000	5.9%	21.0%	0.6%
Vietnam	Mỹ Xuyên	2017	65.2%	84.2%	37.7%
Vietnam	Nà Hang	2000	1.8%	6.1%	0.3%
Vietnam	Nà Hang	2017	32.4%	47.9%	17.5%
Vietnam	Na Rì	2000	5.5%	16.7%	1.2%
Vietnam	Na Rì	2017	59.0%	83.0%	36.8%
Vietnam	Năm Căn	2000	8.0%	22.5%	1.0%
Vietnam	Năm Căn	2017	67.9%	87.8%	40.5%
Vietnam	Nam Đàn	2000	7.4%	23.8%	0.5%
Vietnam	Nam Đàn	2017	65.8%	88.5%	38.3%
Vietnam	Nam Định	2000	31.6%	59.8%	11.5%
Vietnam	Nam Định	2017	95.8%	99.4%	79.4%
Vietnam	Nam Đông	2000	4.9%	17.8%	0.4%
Vietnam	Nam Đông	2017	57.4%	80.4%	28.4%
Vietnam	Nam Giang	2000	4.9%	14.3%	1.2%
Vietnam	Nam Giang	2017	55.1%	70.7%	38.6%
Vietnam	Nậm Nhùn	2000	3.8%	10.5%	0.7%
Vietnam	Nậm Nhùn	2017	48.2%	64.7%	30.4%
Vietnam	Nậm Pồ	2000	3.6%	9.1%	0.8%
Vietnam	Nậm Pồ	2017	49.5%	66.6%	33.4%
Vietnam	Nam Sách	2000	10.6%	24.4%	3.5%
Vietnam	Nam Sách	2017	85.9%	95.7%	70.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Nam Trà My	2000	4.9%	16.3%	0.5%
Vietnam	Nam Trà My	2017	54.3%	75.6%	28.4%
Vietnam	Nam Trực	2000	8.4%	22.0%	1.9%
Vietnam	Nam Trực	2017	77.9%	90.6%	57.9%
Vietnam	Nam Từ Liêm	2000	60.6%	81.6%	33.7%
Vietnam	Nam Từ Liêm	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.7%
Vietnam	Ngã Bảy	2000	5.4%	35.3%	0.2%
Vietnam	Ngã Bảy	2017	69.4%	94.8%	25.6%
Vietnam	Ngã Năm	2000	8.4%	34.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Ngã Năm	2017	71.5%	94.8%	30.4%
Vietnam	Nga Sơn	2000	8.1%	20.0%	2.1%
Vietnam	Nga Sơn	2017	78.9%	93.5%	60.1%
Vietnam	Ngân Sơn	2000	3.5%	11.0%	0.6%
Vietnam	Ngân Sơn	2017	55.3%	80.7%	30.6%
Vietnam	Nghi Lộc	2000	15.1%	35.3%	4.1%
Vietnam	Nghi Lộc	2017	71.7%	90.7%	44.4%
Vietnam	Nghi Xuân	2000	16.0%	34.6%	6.1%
Vietnam	Nghi Xuân	2017	83.8%	95.8%	64.5%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Đàn	2000	5.7%	14.5%	1.3%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Đàn	2017	66.5%	82.6%	45.6%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hành	2000	28.4%	49.6%	12.6%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hành	2017	86.6%	97.6%	68.2%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hưng	2000	8.5%	20.7%	2.6%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hưng	2017	80.6%	90.7%	65.6%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Lộ	2000	0.5%	2.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Lộ	2017	33.8%	53.0%	16.7%
Vietnam	Ngô Quyền	2000	73.1%	92.6%	42.5%
Vietnam	Ngô Quyền	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hiến	2000	5.8%	14.8%	1.3%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hiến	2017	59.2%	76.6%	38.8%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hồi	2000	4.4%	12.3%	0.7%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hồi	2017	61.3%	80.9%	38.3%
Vietnam	Ngọc Lặc	2000	6.7%	20.6%	0.9%
Vietnam	Ngọc Lặc	2017	62.0%	85.1%	36.8%
Vietnam	Ngũ Hành Sơn	2000	56.3%	80.7%	30.6%
Vietnam	Ngũ Hành Sơn	2017	97.6%	99.9%	88.5%
Vietnam	Nguyễn Bình	2000	3.7%	11.0%	0.6%
Vietnam	Nguyễn Bình	2017	54.3%	78.8%	31.5%
Vietnam	Nhà Bè	2000	66.5%	85.7%	49.2%
Vietnam	Nhà Bè	2017	96.7%	99.8%	85.4%
Vietnam	Nha Trang	2000	20.2%	37.3%	10.9%
Vietnam	Nha Trang	2017	88.9%	97.2%	68.4%
Vietnam	Nho Quan	2000	9.8%	25.2%	1.6%
Vietnam	Nho Quan	2017	75.7%	90.9%	50.4%
Vietnam	Nhơn Trạch	2000	17.8%	40.4%	3.6%
Vietnam	Nhơn Trạch	2017	86.0%	97.5%	64.4%
Vietnam	Như Thanh	2000	7.2%	17.6%	1.5%
Vietnam	Như Thanh	2017	66.9%	84.2%	43.3%
Vietnam	Như Xuân	2000	6.7%	19.4%	1.2%
Vietnam	Như Xuân	2017	62.6%	83.5%	39.9%
Vietnam	Ninh Bình	2000	22.3%	47.0%	7.4%
Vietnam	Ninh Bình	2017	96.1%	98.9%	87.9%
Vietnam	Ninh Giang	2000	6.6%	18.3%	0.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Ninh Giang	2017	78.2%	93.1%	52.7%
Vietnam	Ninh Hải	2000	11.8%	32.2%	1.9%
Vietnam	Ninh Hải	2017	76.6%	93.8%	53.9%
Vietnam	Ninh Hòa	2000	9.7%	27.3%	1.8%
Vietnam	Ninh Hòa	2017	73.8%	89.4%	50.2%
Vietnam	Ninh Kiều	2000	81.7%	92.1%	63.9%
Vietnam	Ninh Kiều	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Vietnam	Ninh Phước	2000	17.3%	31.2%	7.1%
Vietnam	Ninh Phước	2017	87.5%	96.5%	73.0%
Vietnam	Ninh Sơn	2000	8.2%	22.0%	1.8%
Vietnam	Ninh Sơn	2017	74.4%	89.5%	56.1%
Vietnam	Nông Cống	2000	6.9%	20.9%	1.5%
Vietnam	Nông Cống	2017	72.0%	88.7%	50.2%
Vietnam	Nông Sơn	2000	5.2%	19.5%	0.4%
Vietnam	Nông Sơn	2017	60.2%	87.8%	28.3%
Vietnam	Núi Thành	2000	14.6%	30.5%	4.2%
Vietnam	Núi Thành	2017	82.9%	94.8%	57.3%
Vietnam	Ô Môn	2000	6.4%	25.8%	0.4%
Vietnam	Ô Môn	2017	63.8%	83.7%	36.6%
Vietnam	Pác Nặm	2000	3.3%	11.2%	0.3%
Vietnam	Pác Nặm	2017	49.5%	73.5%	21.8%
Vietnam	Phan Rang- Tháp Chàm	2000	28.2%	55.7%	7.0%
Vietnam	Phan Rang- Tháp Chàm	2017	90.9%	99.4%	66.0%
Vietnam	Phan Thiết	2000	23.0%	40.9%	10.1%
Vietnam	Phan Thiết	2017	85.6%	96.9%	69.8%
Vietnam	Phổ Yên	2000	2.4%	8.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Phổ Yên	2017	60.2%	77.8%	38.3%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2000	10.3%	33.8%	0.8%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2000	7.4%	18.7%	1.2%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2017	65.2%	82.3%	42.4%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2017	69.5%	86.4%	38.4%
Vietnam	Phong Thổ	2000	3.4%	9.8%	0.5%
Vietnam	Phong Thổ	2017	53.3%	75.6%	30.8%
Vietnam	Phú Bình	2000	3.3%	9.2%	1.1%
Vietnam	Phú Bình	2017	63.1%	75.8%	49.8%
Vietnam	Phù Cát	2000	7.4%	21.5%	1.2%
Vietnam	Phù Cát	2017	68.7%	85.8%	44.8%
Vietnam	Phù Cừ	2000	3.0%	9.8%	0.6%
Vietnam	Phù Cừ	2017	63.3%	82.9%	42.0%
Vietnam	Phú Giáo	2000	11.1%	27.6%	2.2%
Vietnam	Phú Giáo	2017	79.9%	94.3%	56.1%
Vietnam	Phú Hoà	2000	8.7%	20.7%	2.4%
Vietnam	Phú Hoà	2017	83.1%	93.9%	63.9%
Vietnam	Phú Lộc	2000	7.8%	20.9%	1.4%
Vietnam	Phú Lộc	2017	71.0%	86.1%	48.7%
Vietnam	Phú Lương	2000	4.4%	17.9%	0.5%
Vietnam	Phú Lương	2017	63.2%	82.3%	39.3%
Vietnam	Phủ Lý	2000	5.7%	33.8%	0.1%
Vietnam	Phủ Lý	2017	57.2%	93.0%	12.8%
Vietnam	Phù Mỹ	2000	7.2%	19.8%	2.0%
Vietnam	Phù Mỹ	2017	71.1%	89.6%	49.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Phú Nhuận	2000	23.3%	29.1%	18.4%
Vietnam	Phú Nhuận	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Vietnam	Phú Ninh	2000	15.0%	42.7%	2.0%
Vietnam	Phú Ninh	2017	80.7%	97.4%	53.2%
Vietnam	Phù Ninh	2000	2.9%	8.7%	1.1%
Vietnam	Phù Ninh	2017	74.9%	84.2%	63.9%
Vietnam	Phú Quý	2000	8.4%	51.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Phú Quý	2017	70.6%	99.4%	8.9%
Vietnam	Phú Quốc	2000	4.2%	14.6%	0.5%
Vietnam	Phú Quốc	2017	55.4%	80.3%	28.6%
Vietnam	Phú Riềng	2000	8.0%	20.7%	1.7%
Vietnam	Phú Riềng	2017	71.2%	88.9%	48.5%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2000	9.0%	25.4%	1.3%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2000	6.7%	21.3%	0.8%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2017	70.9%	90.7%	42.7%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2017	64.0%	83.7%	40.2%
Vietnam	Phú Thiện	2000	3.4%	12.1%	0.5%
Vietnam	Phú Thiện	2017	59.8%	79.1%	36.7%
Vietnam	Phú Thọ	2000	17.6%	27.8%	7.8%
Vietnam	Phú Thọ	2017	86.1%	89.2%	81.5%
Vietnam	Phú Vang	2000	19.8%	36.7%	6.5%
Vietnam	Phú Vang	2017	81.6%	96.2%	61.6%
Vietnam	Phú Xuyên	2000	10.0%	30.7%	1.2%
Vietnam	Phú Xuyên	2017	70.5%	88.2%	42.6%
Vietnam	Phù Yên	2000	3.8%	11.2%	0.7%
Vietnam	Phù Yên	2017	57.2%	77.8%	32.2%
Vietnam	Phục Hoà	2000	5.6%	25.5%	0.2%
Vietnam	Phục Hoà	2017	61.2%	87.9%	24.4%
Vietnam	Phúc Thọ	2000	3.5%	8.7%	1.4%
Vietnam	Phúc Thọ	2017	54.4%	68.2%	40.2%
Vietnam	Phúc Yên	2000	11.9%	40.0%	1.2%
Vietnam	Phúc Yên	2017	79.4%	94.4%	39.9%
Vietnam	Phụng Hiệp	2000	4.0%	11.2%	0.6%
Vietnam	Phụng Hiệp	2017	60.8%	79.8%	36.9%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2000	8.8%	25.0%	1.2%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2000	8.7%	48.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2017	67.7%	97.0%	24.6%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2017	72.3%	90.8%	45.3%
Vietnam	Phước Sơn	2000	4.6%	14.5%	0.9%
Vietnam	Phước Sơn	2017	55.2%	73.7%	35.1%
Vietnam	Pleiku	2000	9.1%	20.0%	4.3%
Vietnam	Pleiku	2017	91.9%	96.1%	83.6%
Vietnam	Quận 1	2000	39.9%	54.9%	26.1%
Vietnam	Quận 1	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Vietnam	Quận 10	2000	27.4%	36.4%	19.1%
Vietnam	Quận 10	2017	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Vietnam	Quận 11	2000	11.5%	13.3%	9.9%
Vietnam	Quận 11	2017	97.0%	97.4%	96.6%
Vietnam	Quận 12	2000	13.1%	16.2%	10.2%
Vietnam	Quận 12	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Vietnam	Quận 2	2000	55.1%	70.8%	33.4%
Vietnam	Quận 2	2017	99.5%	99.7%	98.6%
Vietnam	Quận 3	2000	40.2%	56.0%	26.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Quận 3	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Vietnam	Quận 4	2000	43.5%	59.4%	28.9%
Vietnam	Quận 4	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Vietnam	Quận 5	2000	32.0%	41.5%	23.1%
Vietnam	Quận 5	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Vietnam	Quận 6	2000	52.1%	58.1%	41.0%
Vietnam	Quận 6	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Vietnam	Quận 7	2000	65.3%	83.1%	48.3%
Vietnam	Quận 7	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Vietnam	Quận 8	2000	76.6%	85.0%	63.1%
Vietnam	Quận 8	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Vietnam	Quận 9	2000	56.6%	76.6%	32.8%
Vietnam	Quận 9	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.9%
Vietnam	Quần Bạ	2000	4.6%	24.6%	0.5%
Vietnam	Quần Bạ	2017	57.2%	87.1%	25.9%
Vietnam	Quan Hóa	2000	7.4%	15.2%	2.4%
Vietnam	Quan Hóa	2017	62.0%	77.0%	42.4%
Vietnam	Quan Sơn	2000	3.5%	10.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Quan Sơn	2017	48.2%	68.5%	31.4%
Vietnam	Quang Bình	2000	3.4%	10.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Quang Bình	2017	56.2%	76.3%	30.5%
Vietnam	Quảng Điền	2000	5.5%	13.6%	2.2%
Vietnam	Quảng Điền	2017	78.7%	91.5%	58.1%
Vietnam	Quảng Ngãi	2000	18.6%	36.7%	7.7%
Vietnam	Quảng Ngãi	2017	90.6%	97.8%	78.4%
Vietnam	Quảng Ninh	2000	6.6%	16.7%	1.5%
Vietnam	Quảng Ninh	2017	71.6%	83.7%	56.6%
Vietnam	Quảng Trạch	2000	5.3%	18.4%	0.8%
Vietnam	Quảng Trạch	2017	67.4%	85.1%	43.6%
Vietnam	Quảng Trị	2000	9.5%	48.9%	0.3%
Vietnam	Quảng Trị	2017	78.8%	98.0%	29.0%
Vietnam	Quảng Uyên	2000	2.8%	10.1%	0.3%
Vietnam	Quảng Uyên	2017	57.9%	80.4%	28.7%
Vietnam	Quảng Xương	2000	4.9%	12.0%	2.0%
Vietnam	Quảng Xương	2017	62.2%	77.5%	45.4%
Vietnam	Quảng Yên	2000	6.0%	22.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Quảng Yên	2017	59.7%	82.9%	26.7%
Vietnam	Quế Phong	2000	4.4%	10.0%	1.3%
Vietnam	Quế Phong	2017	54.9%	71.4%	38.3%
Vietnam	Quế Sơn	2000	9.5%	24.6%	2.2%
Vietnam	Quế Sơn	2017	78.6%	93.0%	60.4%
Vietnam	Quế Võ	2000	5.1%	14.5%	1.6%
Vietnam	Quế Võ	2017	77.7%	91.4%	53.2%
Vietnam	Qui Nhơn	2000	10.9%	20.6%	5.1%
Vietnam	Qui Nhơn	2017	90.2%	96.2%	80.5%
Vietnam	Quốc Oai	2000	8.0%	23.1%	2.4%
Vietnam	Quốc Oai	2017	73.2%	92.8%	43.7%
Vietnam	Quỳ Châu	2000	4.8%	17.0%	0.8%
Vietnam	Quỳ Châu	2017	58.2%	76.8%	36.0%
Vietnam	Quỳ Hợp	2000	5.3%	15.0%	1.0%
Vietnam	Quỳ Hợp	2017	56.7%	76.0%	36.5%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Lưu	2000	6.3%	21.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Lưu	2017	67.6%	86.1%	39.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Quỳnh Nhai	2000	3.0%	9.0%	0.5%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Nhai	2017	51.0%	73.6%	30.0%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Phụ	2000	6.1%	24.0%	0.5%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Phụ	2017	72.8%	90.7%	47.2%
Vietnam	Rạch Giá	2000	8.6%	15.3%	3.7%
Vietnam	Rạch Giá	2017	81.4%	88.9%	73.9%
Vietnam	Sa Đéc	2000	24.4%	56.9%	4.1%
Vietnam	Sa Đéc	2017	91.8%	99.3%	72.0%
Vietnam	Sa Pa	2000	2.7%	10.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Sa Pa	2017	53.2%	77.1%	28.6%
Vietnam	Sa Thầy	2000	5.5%	18.4%	1.3%
Vietnam	Sa Thầy	2017	63.3%	80.0%	43.7%
Vietnam	Sầm Sơn	2000	12.4%	38.4%	2.1%
Vietnam	Sầm Sơn	2017	86.5%	97.8%	65.6%
Vietnam	Si Ma Cai	2000	2.1%	10.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Si Ma Cai	2017	41.3%	73.8%	12.2%
Vietnam	Sìn Hồ	2000	3.8%	10.3%	0.9%
Vietnam	Sìn Hồ	2017	55.5%	70.2%	38.3%
Vietnam	Sóc Sơn	2000	6.9%	20.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Sóc Sơn	2017	67.3%	85.2%	42.0%
Vietnam	Sóc Trăng	2000	12.5%	18.3%	8.5%
Vietnam	Sóc Trăng	2017	88.9%	92.3%	84.1%
Vietnam	Sơn Động	2000	4.8%	13.6%	0.9%
Vietnam	Sơn Động	2017	57.2%	77.1%	32.7%
Vietnam	Sơn Dương	2000	3.9%	11.3%	0.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Dương	2017	53.7%	72.2%	33.3%
Vietnam	Sơn Hà	2000	4.3%	14.1%	0.6%
Vietnam	Sơn Hà	2017	57.9%	80.4%	32.4%
Vietnam	Sơn Hòa	2000	6.4%	20.9%	1.4%
Vietnam	Sơn Hòa	2017	63.3%	80.9%	43.5%
Vietnam	Sơn La	2000	5.6%	19.4%	1.1%
Vietnam	Sơn La	2017	71.4%	89.3%	45.2%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2000	4.0%	20.0%	0.4%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2000	6.2%	27.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2017	70.1%	91.7%	29.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2017	59.8%	90.0%	26.2%
Vietnam	Sơn Tĩnh	2000	6.7%	19.9%	1.3%
Vietnam	Sơn Tĩnh	2017	74.2%	92.2%	51.3%
Vietnam	Sơn Trà	2000	63.0%	81.8%	39.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Trà	2017	98.4%	99.8%	95.2%
Vietnam	Sông Cầu	2000	9.9%	26.0%	1.3%
Vietnam	Sông Cầu	2017	72.3%	92.8%	47.0%
Vietnam	Sông Công	2000	3.5%	18.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Sông Công	2017	70.6%	87.3%	43.3%
Vietnam	Sông Hình	2000	5.0%	14.4%	0.8%
Vietnam	Sông Hình	2017	49.9%	69.0%	30.7%
Vietnam	Sông Lô	2000	5.0%	21.0%	0.6%
Vietnam	Sông Lô	2017	65.5%	91.2%	33.9%
Vietnam	Sông Mã	2000	5.3%	14.1%	1.2%
Vietnam	Sông Mã	2017	60.6%	76.0%	43.3%
Vietnam	Sốp Cộp	2000	4.3%	12.2%	0.9%
Vietnam	Sốp Cộp	2017	57.2%	77.5%	34.1%
Vietnam	Tam Bình	2000	10.5%	29.1%	2.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Tam Bình	2017	70.7%	88.9%	42.5%
Vietnam	Tam Đảo	2000	9.3%	32.6%	0.5%
Vietnam	Tam Đảo	2017	65.3%	94.1%	29.6%
Vietnam	Tam Điệp	2000	7.9%	37.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Tam Điệp	2017	70.2%	97.4%	24.5%
Vietnam	Tam Dương	2000	10.8%	34.2%	0.9%
Vietnam	Tam Dương	2017	76.9%	97.1%	41.8%
Vietnam	Tam Đường	2000	2.9%	9.2%	0.3%
Vietnam	Tam Đường	2017	51.7%	75.5%	22.7%
Vietnam	Tam Kỳ	2000	39.3%	50.5%	26.6%
Vietnam	Tam Kỳ	2017	97.6%	99.0%	94.4%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2000	15.9%	37.9%	2.2%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2000	7.6%	21.9%	1.1%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2017	79.2%	92.2%	52.3%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2017	66.7%	83.0%	44.1%
Vietnam	Tân An	2000	17.2%	43.2%	4.0%
Vietnam	Tân An	2017	91.1%	98.3%	77.5%
Vietnam	Tân Biên	2000	8.3%	19.5%	2.4%
Vietnam	Tân Biên	2017	74.0%	88.4%	55.5%
Vietnam	Tân Bình	2000	10.9%	12.9%	9.2%
Vietnam	Tân Bình	2017	96.5%	97.0%	95.9%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2000	5.0%	19.4%	1.0%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2000	7.0%	17.8%	1.4%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2017	70.4%	83.5%	45.8%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2017	67.5%	85.3%	44.9%
Vietnam	Tân Hiệp	2000	8.0%	25.7%	1.3%
Vietnam	Tân Hiệp	2017	72.5%	92.1%	44.7%
Vietnam	Tân Hồng	2000	9.7%	32.3%	0.7%
Vietnam	Tân Hồng	2017	70.1%	92.4%	38.2%
Vietnam	Tân Hưng	2000	5.9%	15.4%	1.0%
Vietnam	Tân Hưng	2017	65.6%	86.0%	41.2%
Vietnam	Tân Kỳ	2000	8.9%	22.1%	1.9%
Vietnam	Tân Kỳ	2017	69.6%	87.3%	48.3%
Vietnam	Tân Lạc	2000	5.9%	16.9%	0.8%
Vietnam	Tân Lạc	2017	64.4%	85.2%	42.2%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2000	14.1%	17.3%	11.0%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2000	18.8%	30.7%	10.8%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2017	97.2%	97.6%	96.8%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2017	82.4%	92.3%	68.8%
Vietnam	Tân Phú Đông	2000	11.6%	31.2%	2.4%
Vietnam	Tân Phú Đông	2017	81.3%	96.6%	55.6%
Vietnam	Tân Phước	2000	6.7%	20.7%	0.8%
Vietnam	Tân Phước	2017	72.4%	90.0%	44.1%
Vietnam	Tân Sơn	2000	8.0%	21.7%	1.3%
Vietnam	Tân Sơn	2017	65.3%	84.7%	42.6%
Vietnam	Tân Thành	2000	20.5%	46.5%	5.3%
Vietnam	Tân Thành	2017	88.0%	97.7%	68.1%
Vietnam	Tân Thạnh	2000	6.4%	19.4%	0.9%
Vietnam	Tân Thạnh	2017	62.7%	85.7%	37.2%
Vietnam	Tân Trụ	2000	5.1%	17.3%	1.1%
Vietnam	Tân Trụ	2017	79.1%	94.4%	51.6%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2000	2.1%	8.4%	0.3%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2000	29.6%	55.6%	12.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2017	42.6%	66.8%	18.0%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2017	89.6%	99.1%	67.4%
Vietnam	Tân Yên	2000	11.1%	30.1%	1.0%
Vietnam	Tân Yên	2017	74.8%	87.2%	55.5%
Vietnam	Tánh Linh	2000	8.8%	21.0%	2.1%
Vietnam	Tánh Linh	2017	70.1%	87.3%	47.2%
Vietnam	Tây Giang	2000	4.4%	12.1%	0.6%
Vietnam	Tây Giang	2017	51.1%	71.1%	28.6%
Vietnam	Tây Hồ	2000	73.5%	84.6%	53.4%
Vietnam	Tây Hồ	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.4%
Vietnam	Tây Hoà	2000	11.4%	31.1%	1.3%
Vietnam	Tây Hoà	2017	70.0%	89.0%	44.6%
Vietnam	Tây Ninh	2000	6.2%	21.4%	1.0%
Vietnam	Tây Ninh	2017	73.9%	88.0%	55.7%
Vietnam	Tây Sơn	2000	5.9%	17.2%	1.7%
Vietnam	Tây Sơn	2017	75.0%	89.4%	59.8%
Vietnam	Tây Trà	2000	4.9%	19.3%	0.3%
Vietnam	Tây Trà	2017	60.2%	88.0%	25.6%
Vietnam	Thạch An	2000	4.1%	14.9%	0.7%
Vietnam	Thạch An	2017	54.1%	79.2%	26.4%
Vietnam	Thạch Hà	2000	4.2%	10.5%	1.3%
Vietnam	Thạch Hà	2017	73.8%	84.8%	60.6%
Vietnam	Thạch Thành	2000	5.0%	19.8%	0.5%
Vietnam	Thạch Thành	2017	54.5%	75.8%	30.0%
Vietnam	Thạch Thất	2000	3.7%	14.1%	1.0%
Vietnam	Thạch Thất	2017	72.2%	87.8%	50.1%
Vietnam	Thái Bình	2000	18.0%	33.7%	5.7%
Vietnam	Thái Bình	2017	94.1%	97.8%	85.9%
Vietnam	Thái Hoà	2000	6.2%	21.2%	0.6%
Vietnam	Thái Hoà	2017	69.3%	88.2%	40.4%
Vietnam	Thái Nguyên	2000	2.0%	5.9%	0.9%
Vietnam	Thái Nguyên	2017	72.6%	81.2%	58.3%
Vietnam	Thái Thụy	2000	10.0%	30.8%	1.6%
Vietnam	Thái Thụy	2017	79.1%	93.9%	59.0%
Vietnam	Than Uyên	2000	1.8%	5.4%	0.3%
Vietnam	Than Uyên	2017	45.7%	66.8%	26.0%
Vietnam	Thăng Bình	2000	15.8%	43.2%	4.1%
Vietnam	Thăng Bình	2017	80.1%	96.1%	54.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Ba	2000	17.9%	33.7%	6.9%
Vietnam	Thanh Ba	2017	88.8%	94.0%	79.6%
Vietnam	Thanh Bình	2000	11.4%	30.7%	2.4%
Vietnam	Thanh Bình	2017	75.1%	91.8%	51.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Chương	2000	5.7%	14.4%	2.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Chương	2017	66.0%	82.6%	49.1%
Vietnam	Thanh Hà	2000	6.7%	22.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Thanh Hà	2017	71.2%	93.2%	40.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Hóa	2000	19.2%	31.5%	8.9%
Vietnam	Thanh Hóa	2017	80.2%	85.7%	72.1%
Vietnam	Thạnh Hóa	2000	7.5%	27.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Thạnh Hóa	2017	67.8%	89.2%	32.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Khê	2000	63.1%	82.4%	41.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Thanh Khê	2017	98.7%	99.4%	97.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Liêm	2000	4.4%	17.9%	0.4%
Vietnam	Thanh Liêm	2017	59.0%	85.9%	30.8%
Vietnam	Thanh Miện	2000	5.7%	17.0%	1.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Miện	2017	81.7%	94.0%	61.6%
Vietnam	Thanh Oai	2000	16.6%	34.1%	6.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Oai	2017	78.3%	94.7%	55.1%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Bắc Kạn	2000	3.2%	15.6%	0.5%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Bắc Kạn	2017	66.9%	90.9%	38.0%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Đồng Hới	2000	12.4%	53.6%	0.2%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Đồng Hới	2017	73.4%	98.0%	25.9%
Vietnam	Thạnh Phú	2000	8.2%	27.4%	1.0%
Vietnam	Thạnh Phú	2017	75.2%	93.2%	45.8%
Vietnam	Thanh Sơn	2000	4.9%	15.0%	1.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Sơn	2017	66.5%	81.4%	48.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Thủy	2000	2.8%	10.3%	0.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Thủy	2017	57.1%	78.4%	34.4%
Vietnam	Thanh Trì	2000	40.5%	55.5%	21.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Trì	2017	88.3%	98.5%	77.5%
Vietnam	Thạnh Trị	2000	5.2%	19.9%	0.5%
Vietnam	Thạnh Trị	2017	66.0%	87.7%	34.6%
Vietnam	Thanh Xuân	2000	53.7%	69.3%	37.8%
Vietnam	Thanh Xuân	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Vietnam	Tháp Mười	2000	7.1%	20.3%	1.3%
Vietnam	Tháp Mười	2017	68.7%	88.3%	43.8%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Buôn Hồ	2000	4.5%	10.4%	1.3%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Buôn Hồ	2017	64.3%	80.2%	49.4%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Mường Lay	2000	3.6%	12.4%	1.0%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Mường Lay	2017	74.4%	87.7%	54.8%
Vietnam	Thiệu Hóa	2000	1.0%	3.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Thiệu Hóa	2017	33.9%	53.8%	15.9%
Vietnam	Thọ Xuân	2000	2.1%	9.8%	0.2%
Vietnam	Thọ Xuân	2017	41.2%	65.4%	19.5%
Vietnam	Thoại Sơn	2000	6.4%	18.6%	1.3%
Vietnam	Thoại Sơn	2017	70.7%	89.8%	48.6%
Vietnam	Thới Bình	2000	9.7%	26.5%	1.8%
Vietnam	Thới Bình	2017	73.2%	91.3%	52.2%
Vietnam	Thới Lai	2000	4.2%	12.9%	0.4%
Vietnam	Thới Lai	2017	63.4%	80.7%	38.4%
Vietnam	Thống Nhất	2000	11.6%	38.5%	1.5%
Vietnam	Thống Nhất	2017	75.8%	96.0%	49.6%
Vietnam	Thông Nông	2000	4.6%	10.6%	1.3%
Vietnam	Thông Nông	2017	57.0%	77.6%	35.1%
Vietnam	Thốt Nốt	2000	7.3%	34.2%	0.9%
Vietnam	Thốt Nốt	2017	72.4%	91.3%	43.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Thủ Dầu Một	2000	22.4%	32.7%	14.4%
Vietnam	Thủ Dầu Một	2017	92.7%	98.4%	79.4%
Vietnam	Thủ Đức	2000	41.9%	51.9%	30.2%
Vietnam	Thủ Đức	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.5%
Vietnam	Thủ Thừa	2000	4.3%	13.4%	0.8%
Vietnam	Thủ Thừa	2017	71.0%	84.0%	52.0%
Vietnam	Thuận An	2000	39.5%	49.4%	26.2%
Vietnam	Thuận An	2017	97.6%	98.6%	96.3%
Vietnam	Thuận Bắc	2000	11.0%	33.8%	1.2%
Vietnam	Thuận Bắc	2017	71.4%	92.4%	44.4%
Vietnam	Thuận Châu	2000	4.7%	12.0%	1.3%
Vietnam	Thuận Châu	2017	55.8%	73.9%	37.5%
Vietnam	Thuận Nam	2000	7.4%	20.7%	0.8%
Vietnam	Thuận Nam	2017	65.5%	88.5%	34.1%
Vietnam	Thuận Thành	2000	11.3%	40.3%	1.9%
Vietnam	Thuận Thành	2017	83.7%	97.8%	49.3%
Vietnam	Thường Tín	2000	13.2%	26.2%	5.6%
Vietnam	Thường Tín	2017	87.7%	91.9%	81.8%
Vietnam	Thường Xuân	2000	4.4%	9.8%	1.5%
Vietnam	Thường Xuân	2017	50.9%	64.5%	37.1%
Vietnam	Thủy Nguyên	2000	19.5%	27.4%	13.4%
Vietnam	Thủy Nguyên	2017	74.5%	81.4%	66.1%
Vietnam	Tiên Du	2000	7.4%	24.3%	1.2%
Vietnam	Tiên Du	2017	78.2%	95.6%	44.8%
Vietnam	Tiền Hải	2000	5.3%	18.5%	0.8%
Vietnam	Tiền Hải	2017	75.8%	93.5%	45.6%
Vietnam	Tiền Lãng	2000	6.1%	22.7%	0.7%
Vietnam	Tiền Lãng	2017	74.0%	93.2%	43.1%
Vietnam	Tiền Lữ	2000	4.5%	22.1%	0.3%
Vietnam	Tiền Lữ	2017	59.0%	83.6%	26.1%
Vietnam	Tiền Phước	2000	7.6%	24.5%	0.6%
Vietnam	Tiền Phước	2017	65.5%	90.1%	31.2%
Vietnam	Tiền Yên	2000	8.5%	20.6%	2.3%
Vietnam	Tiền Yên	2017	75.0%	89.4%	58.2%
Vietnam	Tiểu Cần	2000	2.5%	8.2%	0.4%
Vietnam	Tiểu Cần	2017	64.7%	82.3%	39.3%
Vietnam	Tịnh Biên	2000	8.8%	22.8%	1.9%
Vietnam	Tịnh Biên	2017	77.1%	93.4%	54.1%
Vietnam	Tĩnh Gia	2000	5.7%	15.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Tĩnh Gia	2017	66.0%	85.7%	38.7%
Vietnam	Trà Bồng	2000	4.9%	19.0%	0.3%
Vietnam	Trà Bồng	2017	61.2%	86.6%	25.0%
Vietnam	Trà Cú	2000	3.5%	12.6%	0.3%
Vietnam	Trà Cú	2017	50.2%	77.1%	23.7%
Vietnam	Trà Lĩnh	2000	1.5%	5.8%	0.2%
Vietnam	Trà Lĩnh	2017	40.8%	61.5%	20.0%
Vietnam	Trà Ôn	2000	12.0%	27.2%	2.3%
Vietnam	Trà Ôn	2017	74.1%	89.8%	51.0%
Vietnam	Trà Vinh	2000	3.3%	13.5%	0.6%
Vietnam	Trà Vinh	2017	66.8%	86.2%	43.0%
Vietnam	Trạm Tấu	2000	3.3%	11.7%	0.4%
Vietnam	Trạm Tấu	2017	51.4%	75.9%	25.8%
Vietnam	Trần Đề	2000	9.9%	30.1%	1.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Trần Đề	2017	70.8%	92.9%	40.4%
Vietnam	Trần Văn Thời	2000	7.9%	19.0%	2.1%
Vietnam	Trần Văn Thời	2017	72.1%	86.9%	56.4%
Vietnam	Trần Yên	2000	5.4%	11.4%	2.2%
Vietnam	Trần Yên	2017	66.7%	79.0%	50.1%
Vietnam	Trảng Bàng	2000	6.0%	19.1%	1.0%
Vietnam	Trảng Bàng	2017	72.9%	88.4%	51.3%
Vietnam	Trảng Bom	2000	30.3%	44.1%	17.8%
Vietnam	Trảng Bom	2017	94.9%	98.6%	86.5%
Vietnam	Trảng Định	2000	4.2%	8.7%	1.1%
Vietnam	Trảng Định	2017	67.3%	79.8%	52.7%
Vietnam	Tri Tôn	2000	5.6%	17.0%	0.7%
Vietnam	Tri Tôn	2017	64.4%	85.7%	37.4%
Vietnam	Triệu Phong	2000	13.6%	36.6%	2.8%
Vietnam	Triệu Phong	2017	82.4%	96.0%	53.0%
Vietnam	Triệu Sơn	2000	10.1%	22.6%	2.2%
Vietnam	Triệu Sơn	2017	61.2%	79.3%	42.3%
Vietnam	Trực Ninh	2000	7.6%	28.7%	1.1%
Vietnam	Trực Ninh	2017	74.2%	92.3%	43.8%
Vietnam	Trùng Khánh	2000	3.5%	14.6%	0.3%
Vietnam	Trùng Khánh	2017	52.3%	85.1%	21.8%
Vietnam	Tứ Kỳ	2000	7.5%	24.4%	1.4%
Vietnam	Tứ Kỳ	2017	73.7%	91.1%	53.0%
Vietnam	Tu Mơ Rông	2000	4.5%	12.5%	0.7%
Vietnam	Tu Mơ Rông	2017	52.9%	73.5%	31.3%
Vietnam	Tư Nghĩa	2000	24.6%	45.6%	11.6%
Vietnam	Tư Nghĩa	2017	89.4%	97.7%	74.2%
Vietnam	Từ Sơn	2000	8.3%	28.8%	1.7%
Vietnam	Từ Sơn	2017	85.4%	95.3%	64.1%
Vietnam	Tủa Chùa	2000	3.0%	12.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Tủa Chùa	2017	50.2%	76.5%	23.2%
Vietnam	Tuần Giáo	2000	2.9%	7.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Tuần Giáo	2017	50.8%	68.9%	31.1%
Vietnam	Tương Dương	2000	4.2%	9.4%	1.3%
Vietnam	Tương Dương	2017	52.3%	65.7%	37.3%
Vietnam	Tuy An	2000	7.1%	20.4%	1.3%
Vietnam	Tuy An	2017	77.9%	91.0%	59.3%
Vietnam	Tuy Đức	2000	6.7%	16.5%	1.6%
Vietnam	Tuy Đức	2017	65.9%	82.1%	45.9%
Vietnam	Tuy Hoà	2000	20.8%	41.1%	7.1%
Vietnam	Tuy Hoà	2017	92.6%	96.1%	79.5%
Vietnam	Tuy Phong	2000	7.1%	16.9%	2.5%
Vietnam	Tuy Phong	2017	76.5%	90.9%	58.8%
Vietnam	Tuy Phước	2000	12.1%	35.6%	2.2%
Vietnam	Tuy Phước	2017	83.8%	97.2%	59.5%
Vietnam	Tuyên Hóa	2000	6.2%	15.9%	1.2%
Vietnam	Tuyên Hóa	2017	62.4%	82.2%	40.9%
Vietnam	Tuyên Quang	2000	1.0%	6.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Tuyên Quang	2017	26.9%	44.2%	9.7%
Vietnam	U Minh	2000	7.7%	19.9%	1.6%
Vietnam	U Minh	2017	67.8%	86.7%	46.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	U Minh Thượng	2000	5.9%	22.0%	0.5%
Vietnam	U Minh Thượng	2017	64.9%	85.5%	31.8%
Vietnam	Ứng Hòa	2000	3.4%	12.6%	0.3%
Vietnam	Ứng Hòa	2017	56.9%	76.0%	32.5%
Vietnam	Uông Bí	2000	6.4%	22.4%	0.7%
Vietnam	Uông Bí	2017	74.4%	90.3%	48.6%
Vietnam	Văn Bàn	2000	4.2%	10.7%	0.8%
Vietnam	Văn Bàn	2017	52.3%	66.9%	35.7%
Vietnam	Vân Canh	2000	6.3%	18.0%	0.7%
Vietnam	Vân Canh	2017	62.7%	81.7%	38.2%
Vietnam	Văn Chấn	2000	2.7%	8.7%	0.7%
Vietnam	Văn Chấn	2017	53.0%	68.6%	37.5%
Vietnam	Vân Đồn	2000	6.7%	19.0%	1.4%
Vietnam	Vân Đồn	2017	65.5%	83.0%	45.6%
Vietnam	Văn Giang	2000	28.4%	51.3%	11.3%
Vietnam	Văn Giang	2017	92.3%	98.5%	80.0%
Vietnam	Vân Hồ	2000	4.8%	12.0%	1.0%
Vietnam	Vân Hồ	2017	57.9%	75.4%	39.5%
Vietnam	Văn Lâm	2000	18.1%	50.7%	1.4%
Vietnam	Văn Lâm	2017	88.5%	95.5%	65.2%
Vietnam	Văn Lăng	2000	4.8%	16.1%	0.8%
Vietnam	Văn Lăng	2017	58.5%	85.8%	29.6%
Vietnam	Vạn Ninh	2000	11.0%	30.7%	1.5%
Vietnam	Vạn Ninh	2017	78.0%	92.7%	52.2%
Vietnam	Văn Quan	2000	4.9%	12.8%	1.1%
Vietnam	Văn Quan	2017	60.2%	82.3%	30.7%
Vietnam	Văn Yên	2000	4.1%	11.5%	0.7%
Vietnam	Văn Yên	2017	56.8%	77.0%	34.5%
Vietnam	Vị Thanh	2000	4.2%	21.1%	0.5%
Vietnam	Vị Thanh	2017	71.3%	90.9%	44.5%
Vietnam	Vị Thủy	2000	7.1%	23.3%	0.8%
Vietnam	Vị Thủy	2017	68.2%	90.2%	37.6%
Vietnam	Vị Xuyên	2000	3.8%	8.3%	1.0%
Vietnam	Vị Xuyên	2017	59.1%	73.1%	42.4%
Vietnam	Việt Trì	2000	6.1%	16.1%	2.4%
Vietnam	Việt Trì	2017	83.2%	92.0%	70.6%
Vietnam	Việt Yên	2000	2.4%	6.1%	1.1%
Vietnam	Việt Yên	2017	71.1%	80.9%	60.8%
Vietnam	Vinh	2000	39.3%	55.2%	20.7%
Vietnam	Vinh	2017	92.9%	94.5%	90.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Bảo	2000	8.2%	29.2%	0.9%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Bảo	2017	70.6%	93.8%	37.5%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Châu	2000	10.2%	23.3%	2.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Châu	2017	79.6%	91.6%	64.7%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Cửu	2000	27.0%	39.8%	16.7%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Cửu	2017	86.6%	95.7%	76.1%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Hưng	2000	5.3%	16.0%	0.9%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Hưng	2017	69.6%	87.1%	48.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Linh	2000	6.9%	19.9%	1.3%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Linh	2017	71.0%	87.6%	44.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lộc	2000	5.6%	20.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lộc	2017	68.3%	90.5%	35.0%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lợi	2000	17.2%	36.5%	3.9%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lợi	2017	81.7%	93.6%	61.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Long	2000	6.1%	14.8%	1.9%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Long	2017	75.8%	89.6%	58.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2000	5.0%	17.1%	0.5%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2000	8.6%	24.5%	1.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2017	55.6%	81.4%	28.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2017	75.5%	93.1%	49.7%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thuận	2000	8.5%	27.4%	0.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thuận	2017	67.7%	92.7%	31.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Tường	2000	16.2%	35.2%	5.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Tường	2017	87.3%	96.1%	65.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Yên	2000	32.0%	57.6%	10.1%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Yên	2017	96.6%	99.0%	91.0%
Vietnam	Võ Nhai	2000	4.8%	13.4%	1.0%
Vietnam	Võ Nhai	2017	59.6%	80.3%	38.9%
Vietnam	Vụ Bản	2000	8.5%	22.2%	1.9%
Vietnam	Vụ Bản	2017	81.9%	93.4%	64.3%
Vietnam	Vũ Quang	2000	7.4%	23.2%	0.9%
Vietnam	Vũ Quang	2017	64.2%	85.9%	38.5%
Vietnam	Vũ Thư	2000	10.7%	23.9%	3.0%
Vietnam	Vũ Thư	2017	75.9%	85.5%	65.3%
Vietnam	Vũng Liêm	2000	8.9%	26.7%	1.3%
Vietnam	Vũng Liêm	2017	71.6%	88.7%	45.8%
Vietnam	Vũng Tàu	2000	34.0%	54.6%	17.0%
Vietnam	Vũng Tàu	2017	97.1%	98.9%	93.0%
Vietnam	Xín Mần	2000	2.8%	9.0%	0.3%
Vietnam	Xín Mần	2017	47.2%	75.2%	21.2%
Vietnam	Xuân Lộc	2000	14.9%	30.0%	5.0%
Vietnam	Xuân Lộc	2017	85.1%	94.5%	71.9%
Vietnam	Xuân Trường	2000	11.4%	35.1%	1.8%
Vietnam	Xuân Trường	2017	78.7%	96.4%	49.2%
Vietnam	Xuyên Mộc	2000	10.8%	26.3%	2.7%
Vietnam	Xuyên Mộc	2017	78.7%	92.5%	57.4%
Vietnam	Ý Yên	2000	10.0%	31.1%	2.0%
Vietnam	Ý Yên	2017	84.1%	95.5%	61.6%
Vietnam	Yên Bái	2000	21.5%	39.6%	6.0%
Vietnam	Yên Bái	2017	85.6%	93.2%	71.5%
Vietnam	Yên Bình	2000	7.9%	23.8%	1.4%
Vietnam	Yên Bình	2017	68.1%	82.6%	46.6%
Vietnam	Yên Châu	2000	6.4%	20.9%	0.9%
Vietnam	Yên Châu	2017	62.1%	83.7%	34.5%
Vietnam	Yên Định	2000	6.2%	21.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Yên Định	2017	61.0%	88.0%	25.2%
Vietnam	Yên Dũng	2000	4.7%	16.8%	1.1%
Vietnam	Yên Dũng	2017	78.2%	91.4%	50.8%
Vietnam	Yên Khánh	2000	6.5%	25.5%	1.4%
Vietnam	Yên Khánh	2017	79.4%	95.3%	52.6%
Vietnam	Yên Lạc	2000	8.0%	22.7%	3.5%
Vietnam	Yên Lạc	2017	79.9%	87.4%	69.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Yên Lập	2000	5.0%	17.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Yên Lập	2017	59.1%	84.6%	32.8%
Vietnam	Yên Minh	2000	11.7%	27.9%	2.4%
Vietnam	Yên Minh	2017	68.9%	85.5%	43.7%
Vietnam	Yên Mô	2000	7.7%	26.8%	1.0%
Vietnam	Yên Mô	2017	77.2%	95.3%	45.2%
Vietnam	Yên Mỹ	2000	12.1%	32.3%	1.9%
Vietnam	Yên Mỹ	2017	86.9%	95.0%	65.6%
Vietnam	Yên Phong	2000	7.4%	18.5%	2.5%
Vietnam	Yên Phong	2017	85.5%	94.4%	69.6%
Vietnam	Yên Sơn	2000	2.5%	6.6%	0.7%
Vietnam	Yên Sơn	2017	46.2%	60.8%	34.3%
Vietnam	Yên Thành	2000	3.5%	12.3%	0.5%
Vietnam	Yên Thành	2017	56.7%	78.5%	32.6%
Vietnam	Yên Thế	2000	7.6%	17.8%	2.0%
Vietnam	Yên Thế	2017	76.4%	89.8%	59.9%
Vietnam	Yên Thủy	2000	3.9%	17.6%	0.6%
Vietnam	Yên Thủy	2017	51.7%	76.3%	26.0%
Sub-Saharan Africa					
Angola	Alto Cauale	2000	21.2%	26.6%	16.8%
Angola	Alto Cauale	2017	19.3%	24.2%	15.2%
Angola	Alto Zambeze	2000	10.7%	15.4%	7.4%
Angola	Alto Zambeze	2017	9.4%	13.5%	6.5%
Angola	Ambaca	2000	25.4%	30.7%	21.2%
Angola	Ambaca	2017	23.5%	28.1%	19.1%
Angola	Amboim	2000	16.8%	19.7%	14.4%
Angola	Amboim	2017	16.5%	19.1%	14.3%
Angola	Ambriz	2000	31.9%	41.4%	24.7%
Angola	Ambriz	2017	30.5%	40.7%	23.0%
Angola	Ambuila	2000	15.5%	21.3%	10.7%
Angola	Ambuila	2017	14.3%	19.9%	9.6%
Angola	Andulo	2000	14.8%	17.7%	11.7%
Angola	Andulo	2017	13.3%	15.9%	10.5%
Angola	Baía Farta	2000	25.7%	31.8%	20.2%
Angola	Baía Farta	2017	22.8%	28.2%	17.3%
Angola	Bailundo	2000	18.6%	23.7%	13.6%
Angola	Bailundo	2017	17.7%	22.8%	12.9%
Angola	Balombo	2000	23.7%	31.0%	17.5%
Angola	Balombo	2017	22.8%	30.0%	16.6%
Angola	Banga	2000	32.1%	40.3%	23.8%
Angola	Banga	2017	29.6%	37.6%	21.8%
Angola	Belize	2000	16.7%	26.1%	9.5%
Angola	Belize	2017	15.1%	23.9%	8.5%
Angola	Bembe	2000	18.2%	23.3%	13.9%
Angola	Bembe	2017	16.4%	21.3%	12.3%
Angola	Benguela	2000	20.5%	23.9%	18.0%
Angola	Benguela	2017	19.3%	21.9%	16.8%
Angola	Bibala	2000	25.4%	29.3%	21.9%
Angola	Bibala	2017	24.0%	27.9%	20.6%
Angola	Bocoio	2000	22.1%	27.6%	17.4%
Angola	Bocoio	2017	20.2%	25.7%	15.8%
Angola	Bolongongo	2000	29.4%	36.5%	23.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Bolongongo	2017	26.9%	33.5%	20.5%
Angola	Buco Zau	2000	20.1%	27.1%	14.1%
Angola	Buco Zau	2017	19.1%	25.2%	14.0%
Angola	Buengas	2000	17.9%	23.6%	13.4%
Angola	Buengas	2017	16.0%	21.5%	11.9%
Angola	Bula Atumba	2000	25.5%	31.7%	20.1%
Angola	Bula Atumba	2017	23.1%	28.8%	18.3%
Angola	Bungo	2000	13.5%	19.3%	9.2%
Angola	Bungo	2017	12.0%	17.3%	8.0%
Angola	Caála	2000	20.0%	23.3%	17.2%
Angola	Caála	2017	18.5%	21.2%	16.1%
Angola	Cabinda	2000	20.9%	25.4%	16.8%
Angola	Cabinda	2017	21.5%	27.6%	15.9%
Angola	Cacolo	2000	14.4%	17.6%	12.0%
Angola	Cacolo	2017	13.0%	16.1%	10.8%
Angola	Caconda	2000	33.4%	37.5%	29.2%
Angola	Caconda	2017	31.4%	35.7%	27.2%
Angola	Cacuaco	2000	49.3%	53.7%	44.6%
Angola	Cacuaco	2017	54.7%	58.8%	50.2%
Angola	Cacuzo	2000	20.9%	25.3%	17.1%
Angola	Cacuzo	2017	19.4%	24.3%	15.8%
Angola	Cahama	2000	16.9%	22.6%	12.9%
Angola	Cahama	2017	15.1%	19.9%	11.7%
Angola	Caiambambo	2000	27.0%	33.9%	21.0%
Angola	Caiambambo	2017	25.0%	31.3%	19.1%
Angola	Calai	2000	11.8%	15.0%	8.8%
Angola	Calai	2017	10.7%	14.1%	7.7%
Angola	Calandula	2000	23.2%	27.0%	19.3%
Angola	Calandula	2017	21.2%	24.7%	17.4%
Angola	Caluquembe	2000	32.5%	36.3%	28.6%
Angola	Caluquembe	2017	31.1%	34.9%	27.1%
Angola	Camacuio	2000	29.1%	32.8%	25.5%
Angola	Camacuio	2017	27.6%	31.3%	23.8%
Angola	Camacupa	2000	11.4%	14.3%	9.1%
Angola	Camacupa	2017	10.0%	12.6%	7.9%
Angola	Camanongue	2000	9.3%	12.6%	6.7%
Angola	Camanongue	2017	8.2%	11.4%	5.9%
Angola	Cambambe	2000	31.0%	36.9%	25.8%
Angola	Cambambe	2017	31.4%	38.6%	24.6%
Angola	Cambulo	2000	16.5%	20.2%	12.9%
Angola	Cambulo	2017	15.1%	18.6%	11.7%
Angola	Cambundi-Catembo	2000	17.6%	24.3%	12.4%
Angola	Cambundi-Catembo	2017	16.1%	22.1%	11.5%
Angola	Cameia	2000	7.8%	10.4%	5.9%
Angola	Cameia	2017	6.7%	8.9%	5.1%
Angola	Cangandala	2000	15.0%	20.4%	10.2%
Angola	Cangandala	2017	14.1%	19.3%	9.5%
Angola	Caombo	2000	21.9%	29.5%	16.0%
Angola	Caombo	2017	19.8%	26.6%	14.3%
Angola	Capenda	2000	16.0%	19.3%	13.4%
Angola	Capenda	2017	14.5%	17.5%	12.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Cassongue	2000	22.7%	27.0%	19.0%
Angola	Cassongue	2017	20.7%	24.7%	17.3%
Angola	Catabola	2000	10.6%	13.9%	7.9%
Angola	Catabola	2017	9.6%	12.5%	7.0%
Angola	Catchiungo	2000	20.7%	25.0%	16.8%
Angola	Catchiungo	2017	18.3%	22.8%	14.7%
Angola	Caungula	2000	15.7%	19.5%	12.2%
Angola	Caungula	2017	14.2%	17.6%	11.1%
Angola	Cazenga	2000	87.0%	88.1%	85.7%
Angola	Cazenga	2017	85.2%	86.3%	84.0%
Angola	Cazengo	2000	33.1%	38.2%	28.1%
Angola	Cazengo	2017	33.7%	38.5%	29.3%
Angola	Chibia	2000	32.2%	36.9%	27.5%
Angola	Chibia	2017	30.3%	35.6%	25.7%
Angola	Chicomba	2000	34.4%	39.2%	29.8%
Angola	Chicomba	2017	31.6%	36.3%	26.8%
Angola	Chinguar	2000	13.7%	17.5%	11.0%
Angola	Chinguar	2017	12.4%	16.1%	10.0%
Angola	Chipindo	2000	30.9%	38.2%	25.2%
Angola	Chipindo	2017	28.7%	35.2%	23.8%
Angola	Chitato	2000	14.5%	17.5%	12.1%
Angola	Chitato	2017	13.9%	17.0%	11.4%
Angola	Chitembo	2000	14.9%	19.3%	11.2%
Angola	Chitembo	2017	13.3%	17.2%	10.0%
Angola	Chongoroi	2000	26.9%	34.2%	21.3%
Angola	Chongoroi	2017	25.0%	32.7%	19.9%
Angola	Conda	2000	22.1%	26.7%	18.2%
Angola	Conda	2017	20.3%	25.0%	16.8%
Angola	Cuaba Nzogo	2000	19.6%	25.3%	14.6%
Angola	Cuaba Nzogo	2017	17.7%	23.1%	12.9%
Angola	Cuangar	2000	14.3%	21.6%	9.6%
Angola	Cuangar	2017	13.0%	20.1%	8.7%
Angola	Cuango	2000	15.0%	17.7%	12.5%
Angola	Cuango	2017	14.4%	17.1%	12.1%
Angola	Cuanhama	2000	16.0%	19.6%	12.9%
Angola	Cuanhama	2017	16.7%	21.1%	12.8%
Angola	Cubal	2000	26.2%	30.6%	22.3%
Angola	Cubal	2017	24.0%	28.5%	20.4%
Angola	Cuchi	2000	14.2%	19.0%	10.3%
Angola	Cuchi	2017	13.0%	17.4%	9.3%
Angola	Cuemba	2000	13.6%	18.0%	10.3%
Angola	Cuemba	2017	12.3%	16.2%	9.0%
Angola	Cuilo	2000	15.8%	21.1%	11.8%
Angola	Cuilo	2017	14.3%	19.4%	10.5%
Angola	Cuimba	2000	14.0%	18.5%	9.8%
Angola	Cuimba	2017	12.6%	16.9%	8.8%
Angola	Cuito Cuanavale	2000	12.8%	17.6%	9.0%
Angola	Cuito Cuanavale	2017	11.5%	16.2%	7.9%
Angola	Cunda-dia-Baza	2000	19.5%	24.8%	14.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Cunda-dia-Baza	2017	17.8%	22.9%	13.1%
Angola	Cunhinga	2000	11.3%	15.3%	7.7%
Angola	Cunhinga	2017	10.6%	14.4%	7.5%
Angola	Curoca	2000	15.5%	20.5%	10.9%
Angola	Curoca	2017	14.0%	18.1%	10.4%
Angola	Cuvelai	2000	18.1%	23.1%	14.0%
Angola	Cuvelai	2017	16.5%	21.2%	12.5%
Angola	Dala	2000	14.8%	17.8%	12.1%
Angola	Dala	2017	13.0%	15.7%	10.6%
Angola	Damba	2000	19.2%	24.6%	14.9%
Angola	Damba	2017	17.5%	22.7%	13.4%
Angola	Dande	2000	37.3%	42.1%	31.7%
Angola	Dande	2017	41.1%	47.3%	34.1%
Angola	Dembos	2000	27.0%	32.7%	21.9%
Angola	Dembos	2017	24.5%	30.1%	19.4%
Angola	Dirico	2000	14.2%	19.0%	9.4%
Angola	Dirico	2017	13.2%	17.8%	8.5%
Angola	Ebo	2000	20.5%	24.7%	17.0%
Angola	Ebo	2017	18.8%	22.7%	15.7%
Angola	Ekunha	2000	17.3%	22.1%	13.5%
Angola	Ekunha	2017	16.8%	21.1%	13.2%
Angola	Gambos	2000	31.3%	38.8%	24.1%
Angola	Gambos	2017	28.1%	34.3%	22.0%
Angola	Ganda	2000	25.0%	30.2%	20.7%
Angola	Ganda	2017	23.8%	28.2%	19.7%
Angola	Golungo Alto	2000	34.6%	42.4%	25.9%
Angola	Golungo Alto	2017	34.0%	41.8%	25.4%
Angola	Huambo	2000	22.8%	25.8%	20.0%
Angola	Huambo	2017	24.1%	26.8%	21.4%
Angola	Humpata	2000	16.8%	21.5%	12.7%
Angola	Humpata	2017	17.9%	22.2%	14.6%
Angola	Icolo e Bengo	2000	33.7%	38.7%	28.4%
Angola	Icolo e Bengo	2017	34.6%	40.7%	28.8%
Angola	Ingombota	2000	89.7%	91.6%	87.6%
Angola	Ingombota	2017	93.0%	94.3%	91.7%
Angola	Jamba	2000	31.8%	38.8%	24.2%
Angola	Jamba	2017	29.5%	36.4%	22.6%
Angola	Kilamba	2000	84.5%	86.0%	82.9%
Angola	Kiaxi				
Angola	Kilamba	2017	82.9%	84.3%	81.2%
Angola	Kiaxi				
Angola	Kuito	2000	9.9%	12.5%	7.7%
Angola	Kuito	2017	9.4%	11.6%	7.5%
Angola	Kuvango	2000	28.3%	33.9%	23.2%
Angola	Kuvango	2017	26.6%	32.4%	21.7%
Angola	Landana	2000	23.5%	30.0%	16.9%
Angola	Landana	2017	22.9%	29.6%	16.3%
Angola	Léua	2000	7.4%	10.0%	5.3%
Angola	Léua	2017	6.6%	9.2%	4.7%
Angola	Libolo	2000	24.7%	29.2%	19.7%
Angola	Libolo	2017	22.8%	27.6%	18.1%
Angola	Lobito	2000	19.0%	22.3%	16.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Lobito	2017	18.4%	21.0%	16.1%
Angola	Londuimbale	2000	21.1%	25.0%	17.0%
Angola	Londuimbale	2017	19.4%	22.9%	15.7%
Angola	Longonjo	2000	18.1%	22.5%	13.9%
Angola	Longonjo	2017	16.8%	21.0%	12.9%
Angola	Luau	2000	10.0%	14.0%	6.7%
Angola	Luau	2017	9.3%	13.2%	6.2%
Angola	Lubalo	2000	15.1%	19.2%	11.6%
Angola	Lubalo	2017	13.6%	17.6%	10.2%
Angola	Lubango	2000	25.1%	30.4%	21.1%
Angola	Lubango	2017	23.6%	26.4%	20.7%
Angola	Lucala	2000	29.5%	38.2%	22.5%
Angola	Lucala	2017	27.0%	35.5%	20.3%
Angola	Lucano	2000	8.5%	12.0%	6.1%
Angola	Lucano	2017	7.4%	10.4%	5.5%
Angola	Lucapa	2000	14.7%	18.2%	11.7%
Angola	Lucapa	2017	13.9%	16.9%	11.2%
Angola	Luchazes	2000	8.8%	11.4%	6.4%
Angola	Luchazes	2017	7.8%	10.1%	5.6%
Angola	Lumbala-Nguimbo	2000	12.3%	19.0%	7.3%
Angola	Lumbala-Nguimbo	2017	10.8%	16.4%	6.5%
Angola	Luquembo	2000	17.8%	23.1%	13.6%
Angola	Luquembo	2017	16.2%	20.4%	12.3%
Angola	M'Banza Congo	2000	13.4%	17.0%	10.5%
Angola	M'Banza Congo	2017	13.7%	17.5%	10.7%
Angola	Maianga	2000	87.6%	89.3%	85.6%
Angola	Maianga	2017	87.3%	89.0%	85.2%
Angola	Malanje	2000	13.7%	16.5%	11.3%
Angola	Malanje	2017	14.0%	16.3%	11.9%
Angola	Maquela do Zombo	2000	18.0%	22.3%	14.7%
Angola	Maquela do Zombo	2017	16.9%	21.2%	13.2%
Angola	Marimba	2000	19.1%	25.2%	14.0%
Angola	Marimba	2017	17.6%	23.3%	12.5%
Angola	Massango	2000	19.1%	24.1%	14.6%
Angola	Massango	2017	17.2%	22.3%	12.6%
Angola	Matala	2000	34.0%	38.9%	28.8%
Angola	Matala	2017	32.4%	36.9%	27.8%
Angola	Mavinga	2000	11.6%	15.7%	7.8%
Angola	Mavinga	2017	10.5%	14.8%	7.0%
Angola	Menongue	2000	11.2%	13.5%	9.1%
Angola	Menongue	2017	11.5%	14.4%	8.8%
Angola	Milunga	2000	17.9%	23.7%	12.6%
Angola	Milunga	2017	16.3%	22.4%	11.3%
Angola	Moxico	2000	7.9%	9.5%	6.4%
Angola	Moxico	2017	7.1%	8.7%	5.8%
Angola	Mucaba	2000	16.1%	20.2%	12.9%
Angola	Mucaba	2017	14.6%	18.3%	11.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Mucari	2000	17.2%	22.8%	12.8%
Angola	Mucari	2017	15.7%	20.9%	11.4%
Angola	Muconda	2000	14.7%	17.2%	12.5%
Angola	Muconda	2017	13.2%	15.3%	11.3%
Angola	Mungo	2000	16.6%	22.3%	11.9%
Angola	Mungo	2017	15.1%	20.3%	10.7%
Angola	Mussende	2000	20.9%	25.8%	16.0%
Angola	Mussende	2017	19.2%	24.1%	14.6%
Angola	Muxima	2000	30.5%	36.8%	24.3%
Angola	Muxima	2017	29.5%	36.7%	23.6%
Angola	N'Zeto	2000	16.3%	22.5%	11.9%
Angola	N'Zeto	2017	15.2%	21.4%	10.5%
Angola	Namakunde	2000	18.1%	21.7%	15.0%
Angola	Namakunde	2017	16.4%	20.1%	13.3%
Angola	Nambuanguongo	2000	23.0%	26.2%	19.8%
Angola	Nambuanguongo	2017	21.3%	24.1%	18.0%
Angola	Namibe	2000	30.5%	34.5%	26.9%
Angola	Namibe	2017	30.2%	34.4%	26.5%
Angola	Nancova	2000	12.1%	17.5%	7.5%
Angola	Nancova	2017	11.0%	16.2%	6.7%
Angola	Negage	2000	12.4%	15.1%	9.7%
Angola	Negage	2017	12.1%	14.7%	9.8%
Angola	Ngonguembo	2000	31.4%	38.1%	25.2%
Angola	Ngonguembo	2017	28.8%	35.1%	22.7%
Angola	Nharea	2000	12.3%	18.0%	8.2%
Angola	Nharea	2017	11.1%	16.1%	7.4%
Angola	Noqui	2000	14.8%	22.4%	9.1%
Angola	Noqui	2017	13.3%	20.5%	7.9%
Angola	Ombadja	2000	13.6%	17.4%	11.0%
Angola	Ombadja	2017	12.5%	16.1%	10.0%
Angola	Pango	2000	25.6%	30.9%	20.6%
Angola	Aluquém				
Angola	Pango	2017	23.2%	28.5%	18.5%
Angola	Aluquém				
Angola	Porto Am-boim	2000	27.9%	33.2%	22.3%
Angola	Porto Am-boim	2017	27.4%	32.1%	22.5%
Angola	Puri	2000	20.4%	23.4%	17.2%
Angola	Puri	2017	18.5%	21.4%	15.5%
Angola	Quela	2000	20.9%	26.8%	16.1%
Angola	Quela	2017	18.9%	24.1%	14.6%
Angola	Quibala	2000	21.8%	25.5%	18.2%
Angola	Quibala	2017	19.8%	23.7%	16.4%
Angola	Quiculungo	2000	32.4%	40.9%	24.5%
Angola	Quiculungo	2017	29.8%	38.0%	22.7%
Angola	Quilenda	2000	16.2%	20.6%	12.6%
Angola	Quilenda	2017	14.8%	18.5%	11.6%
Angola	Quilengues	2000	33.2%	38.3%	28.5%
Angola	Quilengues	2017	31.0%	35.9%	26.8%
Angola	Quimbele	2000	18.1%	22.9%	13.1%
Angola	Quimbele	2017	16.4%	20.9%	11.9%
Angola	Quirima	2000	18.9%	24.7%	13.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Quirima	2017	17.5%	23.3%	12.4%
Angola	Quitexe	2000	16.7%	22.5%	11.3%
Angola	Quitexe	2017	16.0%	21.8%	10.9%
Angola	Rangel	2000	91.1%	92.3%	89.9%
Angola	Rangel	2017	90.7%	91.9%	89.5%
Angola	Rivungo	2000	12.9%	17.3%	9.4%
Angola	Rivungo	2017	11.8%	15.7%	8.6%
Angola	Samba	2000	61.1%	68.4%	54.2%
Angola	Samba	2017	62.4%	69.7%	55.5%
Angola	Samba Cajú	2000	29.6%	35.6%	23.3%
Angola	Samba Cajú	2017	27.4%	33.2%	21.5%
Angola	Sambizanga	2000	88.2%	90.5%	85.7%
Angola	Sambizanga	2017	87.0%	89.3%	84.5%
Angola	Sanza Pombo	2000	21.3%	30.0%	14.0%
Angola	Sanza Pombo	2017	19.5%	28.5%	12.5%
Angola	Saurimo	2000	14.3%	16.8%	11.8%
Angola	Saurimo	2017	13.7%	15.9%	11.5%
Angola	Seles	2000	23.9%	27.5%	20.8%
Angola	Seles	2017	22.2%	25.2%	19.0%
Angola	Songo	2000	17.8%	20.7%	15.0%
Angola	Songo	2017	16.4%	19.1%	13.9%
Angola	Soyo	2000	12.8%	16.0%	9.4%
Angola	Soyo	2017	13.3%	17.2%	9.3%
Angola	Sumbe	2000	23.0%	28.7%	18.0%
Angola	Sumbe	2017	19.2%	24.2%	14.8%
Angola	Tchicala-Tcholoanga	2000	23.8%	28.9%	19.3%
Angola	Tchicala-Tcholoanga	2017	22.0%	26.6%	17.7%
Angola	Tchindjenje	2000	22.0%	29.8%	15.4%
Angola	Tchindjenje	2017	19.9%	27.4%	13.9%
Angola	Tchipungo	2000	31.7%	37.7%	26.4%
Angola	Tchipungo	2017	29.1%	35.3%	24.2%
Angola	Tomboco	2000	12.8%	17.0%	9.2%
Angola	Tomboco	2017	11.6%	15.7%	8.1%
Angola	Tombwa	2000	34.4%	40.3%	28.8%
Angola	Tombwa	2017	35.4%	41.7%	29.2%
Angola	Uíge	2000	10.9%	13.7%	8.7%
Angola	Uíge	2017	12.3%	14.8%	10.0%
Angola	Ukuma	2000	19.7%	24.8%	15.6%
Angola	Ukuma	2017	17.9%	22.3%	14.2%
Angola	Viana	2000	52.4%	59.2%	45.8%
Angola	Viana	2017	64.4%	69.4%	58.9%
Angola	Virei	2000	30.1%	37.5%	22.7%
Angola	Virei	2017	27.7%	34.7%	21.1%
Angola	Waku Kungo	2000	22.7%	27.8%	18.0%
Angola	Waku Kungo	2017	20.5%	25.2%	16.2%
Angola	Xá Muteba	2000	16.5%	19.1%	14.1%
Angola	Xá Muteba	2017	15.3%	17.6%	13.2%
Benin	Abomey	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Abomey	2017	2.1%	2.7%	1.5%
Benin	Abomey-Calavi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Benin	Abomey-Calavi	2017	13.2%	14.2%	12.3%
Benin	Adja-Ouèrè	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Adja-Ouèrè	2017	1.5%	2.0%	0.9%
Benin	Adjarra	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Adjarra	2017	8.9%	10.2%	7.7%
Benin	Adjohoun	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Adjohoun	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.3%
Benin	Agbangnizoun	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Agbangnizoun	2017	0.6%	1.4%	0.3%
Benin	Aguégués	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Aguégués	2017	9.3%	10.6%	8.0%
Benin	Akpro-Missérété	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Akpro-Missérété	2017	10.3%	11.2%	9.5%
Benin	Allada	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Allada	2017	1.8%	3.6%	1.0%
Benin	Aplahoué	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Aplahoué	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Benin	Athiémé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Athiémé	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.7%
Benin	Avrankou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Avrankou	2017	4.9%	5.6%	4.3%
Benin	Banikoara	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Banikoara	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Benin	Bantè	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Bantè	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Benin	Bassila	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Bassila	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Benin	Bembéréké	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Bembéréké	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Benin	Bohicon	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Bohicon	2017	1.7%	2.6%	1.2%
Benin	Bonou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Bonou	2017	2.1%	3.0%	1.2%
Benin	Bopa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Bopa	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Benin	Boukoubé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Boukoubé	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.1%
Benin	Cobly	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Cobly	2017	0.4%	1.2%	0.2%
Benin	Comè	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Comè	2017	2.0%	3.6%	1.0%
Benin	Copargo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Copargo	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Benin	Cotonou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Cotonou	2017	21.4%	22.8%	19.7%
Benin	Covè	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Covè	2017	0.8%	1.8%	0.4%
Benin	Dangbo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Dangbo	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Benin	Dassa-Zoumè	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Benin	Dassa-Zoumè	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Benin	Djakotomey	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Djakotomey	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Benin	Djidja	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Djidja	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Benin	Djougou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Djougou	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Benin	Dogbo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Dogbo	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Benin	Glazoué	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Glazoué	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.7%
Benin	Gogounou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Gogounou	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Benin	Grand-Popo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Grand-Popo	2017	2.4%	4.2%	1.3%
Benin	Houéyogbé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Houéyogbé	2017	3.3%	5.5%	2.1%
Benin	Ifangni	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Ifangni	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.0%
Benin	Kalalé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Kalalé	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Benin	Kandi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Kandi	2017	0.9%	1.8%	0.4%
Benin	Karimama	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Karimama	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Benin	Kérou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Kérou	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Benin	Kétou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Kétou	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Benin	Klouékanmè	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Klouékanmè	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Benin	Kouandé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Kouandé	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Benin	Kpomassè	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Kpomassè	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.9%
Benin	Lalo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Lalo	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%
Benin	Malanville	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Malanville	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Benin	Matéri	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Matéri	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Benin	N'Dali	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	N'Dali	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Benin	Natitingou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Natitingou	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Benin	Nikki	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Nikki	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Benin	Ouaké	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Ouaké	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%
Benin	Ouèssè	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Ouèssè	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Benin	Ouidah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Ouidah	2017	3.2%	6.2%	1.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Benin	Ouinhi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Ouinhi	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.3%
Benin	Parakou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Parakou	2017	9.8%	12.0%	7.1%
Benin	Péhunco	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Péhunco	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.2%
Benin	Pèrèrè	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Pèrèrè	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Benin	Pobè	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Pobè	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Benin	Porto-Novo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Porto-Novo	2017	14.3%	15.7%	12.9%
Benin	Sakété	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Sakété	2017	2.5%	3.2%	2.0%
Benin	Savalou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Savalou	2017	1.8%	3.0%	1.1%
Benin	Savè	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Savè	2017	2.6%	5.2%	1.2%
Benin	Segbana	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Segbana	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Benin	Sèmè-Kpodji	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Sèmè-Kpodji	2017	11.1%	13.1%	9.5%
Benin	Sinendé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Sinendé	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.2%
Benin	Sô-Ava	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Sô-Ava	2017	16.9%	18.3%	15.3%
Benin	Tanguiéta	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Tanguiéta	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Benin	Tchaourou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Tchaourou	2017	1.3%	2.3%	0.9%
Benin	Toffo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Toffo	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.2%
Benin	Tori-Bossito	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Tori-Bossito	2017	0.5%	1.2%	0.2%
Benin	Toucountouna	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Toucountouna	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Benin	Toviklin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Toviklin	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Benin	Za-Kpota	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Za-Kpota	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Benin	Zagnanado	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Zagnanado	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Benin	Zè	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Zè	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Benin	Zogbodomey	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Benin	Zogbodomey	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Botswana	Barolong	2000	3.8%	8.2%	1.6%
Botswana	Barolong	2017	16.7%	26.2%	9.8%
Botswana	Bobonong	2000	10.1%	14.7%	6.9%
Botswana	Bobonong	2017	29.5%	36.4%	22.8%
Botswana	Chobe	2000	10.5%	14.2%	7.4%
Botswana	Chobe	2017	30.3%	36.9%	23.9%
Botswana	Francistown	2000	15.9%	17.4%	14.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Botswana	Francistown	2017	52.4%	54.8%	50.2%
Botswana	Gaborone	2000	18.2%	21.1%	16.4%
Botswana	Gaborone	2017	55.8%	59.1%	52.9%
Botswana	Gemsbok	2000	5.8%	10.6%	2.8%
Botswana	Gemsbok	2017	18.0%	25.8%	10.9%
Botswana	Ghanzi	2000	6.5%	8.6%	4.7%
Botswana	Ghanzi	2017	20.3%	24.6%	16.5%
Botswana	Hukunsti	2000	6.8%	8.9%	4.9%
Botswana	Hukunsti	2017	21.0%	25.0%	17.0%
Botswana	Jwaneng	2000	32.3%	35.8%	28.8%
Botswana	Jwaneng	2017	77.1%	80.2%	73.7%
Botswana	Kgatleng	2000	7.9%	10.7%	5.7%
Botswana	Kgatleng	2017	27.8%	32.8%	23.3%
Botswana	Kweneng	2000	7.1%	10.8%	4.2%
Botswana	Kweneng North	2017	22.8%	29.4%	16.7%
Botswana	Kweneng South	2000	7.6%	9.8%	5.6%
Botswana	Kweneng South	2017	24.0%	27.9%	19.9%
Botswana	Lethlakane	2000	13.8%	18.4%	10.3%
Botswana	Lethlakane	2017	33.4%	39.8%	27.9%
Botswana	Lobatse	2000	12.9%	16.8%	10.2%
Botswana	Lobatse	2017	49.9%	55.1%	44.0%
Botswana	Machaneng	2000	8.4%	13.3%	4.4%
Botswana	Machaneng	2017	24.7%	34.2%	17.3%
Botswana	Mahalapye	2000	7.7%	11.4%	4.5%
Botswana	Mahalapye	2017	23.5%	31.0%	17.1%
Botswana	Masungu	2000	11.7%	15.5%	8.8%
Botswana	Masungu	2017	36.5%	42.8%	30.8%
Botswana	Ngamiland East	2000	8.5%	11.2%	6.5%
Botswana	Ngamiland East	2017	26.3%	31.5%	22.4%
Botswana	Ngamiland West	2000	7.0%	9.5%	4.9%
Botswana	Ngamiland West	2017	20.5%	25.4%	16.0%
Botswana	Ngwaketse Central	2000	8.7%	15.3%	4.8%
Botswana	Ngwaketse Central	2017	25.8%	35.9%	17.6%
Botswana	Ngwaketse North	2000	12.3%	19.4%	7.9%
Botswana	Ngwaketse North	2017	35.1%	45.1%	26.9%
Botswana	Ngwaketse South	2000	7.6%	12.4%	3.7%
Botswana	Ngwaketse South	2017	22.3%	31.2%	14.0%
Botswana	Palapye	2000	16.3%	20.7%	12.0%
Botswana	Palapye	2017	37.8%	44.4%	31.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Botswana	Selibe Phikwe	2000	17.9%	20.3%	15.7%
Botswana	Selibe Phikwe	2017	60.0%	63.8%	56.6%
Botswana	Serowe	2000	9.8%	12.4%	7.5%
Botswana	Serowe	2017	28.9%	33.3%	24.3%
Botswana	South East	2000	15.9%	21.0%	11.8%
Botswana	South East	2017	43.1%	50.9%	36.2%
Botswana	Sowa	2000	35.6%	41.9%	29.6%
Botswana	Sowa	2017	79.7%	83.9%	74.9%
Botswana	Tshabong	2000	6.8%	9.4%	4.8%
Botswana	Tshabong	2017	22.2%	27.5%	17.6%
Botswana	Tuli	2000	13.1%	21.2%	7.2%
Botswana	Tuli	2017	32.6%	45.2%	21.4%
Botswana	Tutume	2000	10.5%	13.1%	7.8%
Botswana	Tutume	2017	26.8%	32.0%	21.8%
Burkina Faso	Balé	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Balé	2017	1.3%	2.2%	0.8%
Burkina Faso	Bam	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Bam	2017	1.8%	2.7%	1.3%
Burkina Faso	Banwa	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Banwa	2017	1.5%	2.5%	0.9%
Burkina Faso	Bazèga	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Bazèga	2017	1.1%	2.0%	0.6%
Burkina Faso	Bougouriba	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Bougouriba	2017	1.2%	2.5%	0.6%
Burkina Faso	Boulgou	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Boulgou	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Burkina Faso	Boulkiemdé	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Boulkiemdé	2017	1.4%	2.1%	1.0%
Burkina Faso	Comoé	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Comoé	2017	2.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Burkina Faso	Ganzourgou	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Ganzourgou	2017	0.8%	1.5%	0.4%
Burkina Faso	Gnagna	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Gnagna	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Burkina Faso	Gourma	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Gourma	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Burkina Faso	Houet	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Burkina Faso	Houet	2017	7.9%	9.3%	6.9%
Burkina Faso	Ioba	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Ioba	2017	1.7%	2.8%	1.1%
Burkina Faso	Kadiogo	2000	1.6%	1.9%	1.4%
Burkina Faso	Kadiogo	2017	16.2%	17.9%	14.7%
Burkina Faso	KénéDougou	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	KénéDougou	2017	1.7%	2.5%	1.1%
Burkina Faso	Komandjoari	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Komandjoari	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.3%
Burkina Faso	Kompienga	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Kompienga	2017	0.8%	1.9%	0.4%
Burkina Faso	Kossi	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Kossi	2017	1.0%	1.7%	0.6%
Burkina Faso	Koupléogo	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Koupléogo	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Burkina Faso	Kouritenga	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Kouritenga	2017	1.8%	3.2%	0.9%
Burkina Faso	Kourwéogo	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Kourwéogo	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Burkina Faso	Léraba	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Léraba	2017	0.9%	1.8%	0.5%
Burkina Faso	Loroum	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Loroum	2017	0.7%	1.5%	0.3%
Burkina Faso	Mouhoun	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Mouhoun	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Burkina Faso	Nahouri	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Nahouri	2017	0.9%	1.6%	0.5%
Burkina Faso	Namentenga	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Namentenga	2017	1.0%	1.8%	0.5%
Burkina Faso	Nayala	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Nayala	2017	0.8%	1.4%	0.4%
Burkina Faso	Noumbiel	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Noumbiel	2017	0.8%	1.6%	0.4%
Burkina Faso	Oubritenga	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Oubritenga	2017	1.0%	1.8%	0.6%
Burkina Faso	Oudalan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Oudalan	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Passoré	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Passoré	2017	0.9%	1.6%	0.5%
Burkina Faso	Poni	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Poni	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Burkina Faso	Sanguié	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Sanguié	2017	2.4%	4.0%	1.5%
Burkina Faso	Sanmatenga	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Sanmatenga	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Burkina Faso	Séno	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Séno	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Burkina Faso	Sissili	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Sissili	2017	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
Burkina Faso	Soum	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Soum	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Burkina Faso	Sourou	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Sourou	2017	0.9%	1.5%	0.4%
Burkina Faso	Tapoa	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Tapoa	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Burkina Faso	Tuy	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Tuy	2017	1.6%	3.1%	0.9%
Burkina Faso	Yagha	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Yagha	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Burkina Faso	Yatenga	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Yatenga	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Burkina Faso	Ziro	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Ziro	2017	1.1%	2.0%	0.5%
Burkina Faso	Zondoma	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Burkina Faso	Zondoma	2017	2.8%	4.3%	1.8%
Burkina Faso	Zoundwéogo	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Burkina Faso	Zoundwéogo	2017	0.8%	1.6%	0.4%
Burundi	Bisoro	2000	1.5%	2.5%	1.0%
Burundi	Bisoro	2017	2.6%	4.1%	1.6%
Burundi	Bubanza	2000	1.2%	4.0%	0.6%
Burundi	Bubanza	2017	2.3%	5.7%	1.3%
Burundi	Bugabira	2000	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Burundi	Bugabira	2017	0.7%	3.4%	0.1%
Burundi	Buganda	2000	1.2%	6.0%	0.2%
Burundi	Buganda	2017	2.0%	9.4%	0.3%
Burundi	Bugarama	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Burundi	Bugarama	2017	0.4%	1.8%	0.0%
Burundi	Bugendana	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Burundi	Bugendana	2017	0.6%	3.2%	0.1%
Burundi	Bugenyuzi	2000	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%
Burundi	Bugenyuzi	2017	0.4%	1.6%	0.1%
Burundi	Buhiga	2000	1.7%	3.3%	1.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Buhiga	2017	2.6%	4.9%	1.6%
Burundi	Buhinyuza	2000	0.6%	3.8%	0.0%
Burundi	Buhinyuza	2017	1.0%	5.6%	0.1%
Burundi	Bukemba	2000	32.6%	35.8%	31.5%
Burundi	Bukemba	2017	39.6%	42.5%	38.3%
Burundi	Bukeye	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%
Burundi	Bukeye	2017	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Burundi	Bukinanyana	2000	2.1%	8.3%	0.2%
Burundi	Bukinanyana	2017	3.1%	11.4%	0.3%
Burundi	Bukirasazi	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Burundi	Bukirasazi	2017	0.5%	2.8%	0.0%
Burundi	Burambi	2000	0.3%	2.0%	0.0%
Burundi	Burambi	2017	0.5%	2.9%	0.0%
Burundi	Buraza	2000	0.5%	4.2%	0.0%
Burundi	Buraza	2017	0.7%	5.2%	0.0%
Burundi	Bururi	2000	8.2%	11.1%	5.6%
Burundi	Bururi	2017	11.6%	14.8%	8.8%
Burundi	Busiga	2000	1.5%	5.8%	0.5%
Burundi	Busiga	2017	2.6%	8.1%	0.9%
Burundi	Busoni	2000	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Burundi	Busoni	2017	0.5%	2.5%	0.1%
Burundi	Butaganzwa1	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Burundi	Butaganzwa1	2017	0.4%	1.4%	0.1%
Burundi	Butaganzwa2	2000	1.7%	4.2%	0.4%
Burundi	Butaganzwa2	2017	2.2%	5.0%	0.6%
Burundi	Buterere	2000	22.7%	24.2%	21.3%
Burundi	Buterere	2017	31.9%	33.7%	30.2%
Burundi	Butezi	2000	2.4%	9.3%	0.2%
Burundi	Butezi	2017	3.3%	11.8%	0.3%
Burundi	Butihinda	2000	0.6%	1.3%	0.3%
Burundi	Butihinda	2017	0.9%	2.2%	0.4%
Burundi	Buyengero	2000	4.1%	9.1%	0.8%
Burundi	Buyengero	2017	5.5%	10.4%	1.3%
Burundi	Buyenze	2000	32.3%	34.3%	30.3%
Burundi	Buyenze	2017	43.6%	45.5%	41.7%
Burundi	Bwambarangwe	2000	0.6%	2.8%	0.1%
Burundi	Bwambarangwe	2017	0.9%	2.8%	0.1%
Burundi	Bweru	2000	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Burundi	Bweru	2017	0.5%	1.9%	0.1%
Burundi	Bwiza	2000	34.0%	35.8%	32.0%
Burundi	Bwiza	2017	45.4%	47.2%	43.3%
Burundi	Cankuzo	2000	1.2%	2.3%	0.7%
Burundi	Cankuzo	2017	3.0%	4.6%	2.2%
Burundi	Cendajuru	2000	0.4%	1.6%	0.0%
Burundi	Cendajuru	2017	0.6%	2.4%	0.1%
Burundi	Cibitoke	2000	36.0%	37.7%	34.6%
Burundi	Cibitoke	2017	47.5%	49.0%	45.9%
Burundi	Gahombo	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Burundi	Gahombo	2017	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Burundi	Gashikanwa	2000	0.8%	5.1%	0.2%
Burundi	Gashikanwa	2017	1.3%	7.0%	0.4%
Burundi	Gashoho	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Burundi	Gashoho	2017	0.4%	2.1%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Gasorwe	2000	0.9%	2.2%	0.4%
Burundi	Gasorwe	2017	1.6%	3.6%	0.8%
Burundi	Gatara	2000	0.5%	0.9%	0.4%
Burundi	Gatara	2017	1.2%	2.0%	0.8%
Burundi	Gihanga	2000	0.7%	1.6%	0.3%
Burundi	Gihanga	2017	0.9%	2.2%	0.5%
Burundi	Giharo	2000	5.6%	8.4%	4.5%
Burundi	Giharo	2017	6.5%	9.6%	4.8%
Burundi	Giheta	2000	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%
Burundi	Giheta	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.3%
Burundi	Gihogazi	2000	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Burundi	Gihogazi	2017	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Burundi	Gihosha	2000	44.8%	47.1%	42.7%
Burundi	Gihosha	2017	56.8%	58.7%	54.9%
Burundi	Gisagara	2000	1.4%	3.8%	0.5%
Burundi	Gisagara	2017	2.1%	5.9%	0.8%
Burundi	Gishubi	2000	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Burundi	Gishubi	2017	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Burundi	Gisozi	2000	3.4%	4.2%	2.9%
Burundi	Gisozi	2017	5.6%	6.9%	4.8%
Burundi	Gisuru	2000	1.1%	3.3%	0.3%
Burundi	Gisuru	2017	1.6%	3.6%	0.6%
Burundi	Gitanga	2000	0.7%	2.5%	0.2%
Burundi	Gitanga	2017	1.5%	4.2%	0.5%
Burundi	Gitaramuka	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Burundi	Gitaramuka	2017	0.3%	1.1%	0.1%
Burundi	Gitega	2000	5.4%	8.0%	3.5%
Burundi	Gitega	2017	9.7%	13.1%	6.6%
Burundi	Giteranyi	2000	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Burundi	Giteranyi	2017	0.8%	3.3%	0.1%
Burundi	Gitobe	2000	0.4%	2.0%	0.0%
Burundi	Gitobe	2017	0.6%	3.0%	0.1%
Burundi	Isale	2000	14.2%	15.7%	13.2%
Burundi	Isale	2017	24.0%	25.9%	22.4%
Burundi	Itaba	2000	0.5%	3.0%	0.0%
Burundi	Itaba	2017	0.7%	4.1%	0.1%
Burundi	Kabarore	2000	0.5%	1.9%	0.1%
Burundi	Kabarore	2017	0.9%	2.5%	0.2%
Burundi	Kabezi	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Burundi	Kabezi	2017	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Burundi	Kamenge	2000	46.6%	49.2%	44.4%
Burundi	Kamenge	2017	58.7%	60.8%	56.7%
Burundi	Kanyosha1	2000	8.1%	9.8%	6.8%
Burundi	Kanyosha1	2017	16.2%	18.9%	13.9%
Burundi	Kanyosha2	2000	33.2%	38.9%	28.1%
Burundi	Kanyosha2	2017	39.3%	44.0%	34.5%
Burundi	Kayanza	2000	1.4%	2.3%	1.0%
Burundi	Kayanza	2017	3.0%	4.1%	2.2%
Burundi	Kayogoro	2000	2.2%	5.4%	0.8%
Burundi	Kayogoro	2017	3.3%	7.9%	1.2%
Burundi	Kayokwe	2000	3.1%	3.7%	2.5%
Burundi	Kayokwe	2017	5.1%	6.2%	4.2%
Burundi	Kibago	2000	0.7%	6.3%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Kibago	2017	1.1%	9.0%	0.1%
Burundi	Kigamba	2000	0.5%	2.2%	0.0%
Burundi	Kigamba	2017	0.8%	3.4%	0.1%
Burundi	Kiganda	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Burundi	Kiganda	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Burundi	Kinama	2000	30.3%	31.8%	29.1%
Burundi	Kinama	2017	40.3%	41.5%	39.1%
Burundi	Kinindo	2000	43.1%	45.7%	40.8%
Burundi	Kinindo	2017	55.3%	57.3%	52.9%
Burundi	Kinyinya	2000	0.4%	1.8%	0.0%
Burundi	Kinyinya	2017	0.6%	2.7%	0.1%
Burundi	Kiremba	2000	0.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Burundi	Kiremba	2017	0.8%	3.9%	0.1%
Burundi	Kirundo	2000	2.6%	6.1%	1.0%
Burundi	Kirundo	2017	4.9%	10.9%	1.9%
Burundi	Lake Tan-ganyika	2000	37.9%	40.2%	35.7%
Burundi	Lake Tan-ganyika	2000	1.2%	8.5%	0.0%
Burundi	Lake Tan-ganyika	2000	13.7%	16.0%	11.6%
Burundi	Lake Tan-ganyika	2000	0.9%	2.0%	0.4%
Burundi	Lake Tan-ganyika	2017	18.7%	21.0%	16.4%
Burundi	Lake Tan-ganyika	2017	49.4%	51.4%	47.3%
Burundi	Lake Tan-ganyika	2017	1.4%	3.0%	0.7%
Burundi	Lake Tan-ganyika	2017	1.8%	12.8%	0.1%
Burundi	Mabanda	2000	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Burundi	Mabanda	2017	0.4%	2.4%	0.1%
Burundi	Mabayi	2000	8.4%	16.4%	3.6%
Burundi	Mabayi	2017	8.4%	17.6%	3.3%
Burundi	Makamba	2000	6.0%	8.0%	4.4%
Burundi	Makamba	2017	10.8%	13.6%	8.2%
Burundi	Makebuko	2000	0.6%	2.0%	0.1%
Burundi	Makebuko	2017	1.1%	3.2%	0.2%
Burundi	Marangara	2000	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Burundi	Marangara	2017	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Burundi	Matana	2000	4.0%	8.0%	2.2%
Burundi	Matana	2017	6.8%	13.1%	3.9%
Burundi	Matongo	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Burundi	Matongo	2017	0.5%	1.6%	0.1%
Burundi	Mbuye	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Burundi	Mbuye	2017	0.5%	1.6%	0.2%
Burundi	Mishiha	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Burundi	Mishiha	2017	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Burundi	Mpanda	2000	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Burundi	Mpanda	2017	2.2%	3.4%	1.5%
Burundi	Mpinga-Kayove	2000	0.6%	1.4%	0.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Mpinga-Kayove	2017	0.9%	2.3%	0.4%
Burundi	Mubimbi	2000	1.4%	2.4%	0.8%
Burundi	Mubimbi	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Burundi	Mugamba	2000	1.0%	2.6%	0.4%
Burundi	Mugamba	2017	1.6%	3.7%	0.6%
Burundi	Mugina	2000	0.5%	2.4%	0.1%
Burundi	Mugina	2017	0.7%	3.5%	0.1%
Burundi	Mugongomanga	2000	7.0%	12.1%	3.8%
Burundi	Mugongomanga	2017	11.4%	18.3%	6.8%
Burundi	Muhanga	2000	0.4%	2.0%	0.0%
Burundi	Muhanga	2017	0.7%	3.8%	0.0%
Burundi	Muhuta	2000	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Burundi	Muhuta	2017	0.3%	2.0%	0.0%
Burundi	Mukike	2000	15.8%	21.6%	10.5%
Burundi	Mukike	2017	18.1%	24.6%	12.7%
Burundi	Muramvya	2000	3.3%	4.0%	2.7%
Burundi	Muramvya	2017	6.3%	7.4%	5.2%
Burundi	Muruta	2000	1.1%	2.6%	0.6%
Burundi	Muruta	2017	2.5%	5.0%	1.4%
Burundi	Murwi	2000	2.1%	6.3%	0.4%
Burundi	Murwi	2017	3.5%	9.0%	0.7%
Burundi	Musaga	2000	32.8%	35.2%	30.6%
Burundi	Musaga	2017	44.2%	46.1%	41.6%
Burundi	Musigati	2000	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Burundi	Musigati	2017	0.4%	1.4%	0.1%
Burundi	Musongati	2000	0.6%	2.7%	0.1%
Burundi	Musongati	2017	0.9%	4.4%	0.1%
Burundi	Mutaho	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Burundi	Mutaho	2017	0.4%	1.7%	0.1%
Burundi	Mutambu	2000	1.9%	5.7%	0.2%
Burundi	Mutambu	2017	2.9%	7.6%	0.4%
Burundi	Mutimbuzi	2000	1.2%	2.2%	0.8%
Burundi	Mutimbuzi	2017	2.1%	3.3%	1.4%
Burundi	Mutumba	2000	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Burundi	Mutumba	2017	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Burundi	Muyinga	2000	1.0%	2.2%	0.5%
Burundi	Muyinga	2017	1.8%	3.7%	1.0%
Burundi	Mwakiro	2000	0.4%	2.4%	0.0%
Burundi	Mwakiro	2017	0.6%	3.5%	0.0%
Burundi	Mwumba	2000	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Burundi	Mwumba	2017	4.9%	6.7%	3.5%
Burundi	Ndava	2000	0.3%	1.1%	0.1%
Burundi	Ndava	2017	0.6%	1.8%	0.2%
Burundi	Ngagara	2000	31.9%	33.6%	30.2%
Burundi	Ngagara	2017	43.2%	45.0%	41.3%
Burundi	Ngozi	2000	2.2%	3.2%	1.4%
Burundi	Ngozi	2017	6.9%	9.4%	5.2%
Burundi	Ntega	2000	0.3%	1.2%	0.1%
Burundi	Ntega	2017	0.6%	2.4%	0.1%
Burundi	Nyabihanga	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Burundi	Nyabihanga	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%
Burundi	Nyabikere	2000	0.6%	3.6%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Nyabikere	2017	0.9%	5.5%	0.0%
Burundi	Nyabiraba	2000	3.9%	10.2%	1.4%
Burundi	Nyabiraba	2017	6.3%	16.3%	2.4%
Burundi	Nyabitsinda	2000	1.1%	4.1%	0.3%
Burundi	Nyabitsinda	2017	1.6%	5.7%	0.5%
Burundi	Nyakabiga	2000	33.4%	35.5%	31.4%
Burundi	Nyakabiga	2017	44.6%	46.7%	42.6%
Burundi	Nyamurenza	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Burundi	Nyamurenza	2017	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
Burundi	Nyanrusange	2000	0.2%	0.9%	0.1%
Burundi	Nyanrusange	2017	0.4%	1.6%	0.1%
Burundi	Nyanza-Lac	2000	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Burundi	Nyanza-Lac	2017	0.7%	3.1%	0.1%
Burundi	Rango	2000	0.3%	1.1%	0.1%
Burundi	Rango	2017	0.6%	2.3%	0.1%
Burundi	Roherero	2000	34.8%	37.0%	32.7%
Burundi	Roherero	2017	46.2%	48.1%	44.2%
Burundi	Rugazi	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Burundi	Rugazi	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Burundi	Rugombo	2000	2.9%	8.5%	0.8%
Burundi	Rugombo	2017	3.9%	8.6%	1.4%
Burundi	Ruhororo	2000	0.3%	2.0%	0.0%
Burundi	Ruhororo	2017	0.5%	2.9%	0.0%
Burundi	Rumonge	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Burundi	Rumonge	2017	1.3%	2.2%	0.8%
Burundi	Rusaka	2000	8.2%	9.9%	6.8%
Burundi	Rusaka	2017	13.4%	15.4%	11.5%
Burundi	Rutana	2000	1.2%	3.1%	0.5%
Burundi	Rutana	2017	2.5%	4.6%	1.4%
Burundi	Rutegama	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Burundi	Rutegama	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%
Burundi	Rutovu	2000	1.5%	4.7%	0.2%
Burundi	Rutovu	2017	2.3%	6.2%	0.4%
Burundi	Ruyigi	2000	5.6%	9.1%	2.9%
Burundi	Ruyigi	2017	8.1%	12.2%	4.9%
Burundi	Ryansoro	2000	0.5%	3.4%	0.0%
Burundi	Ryansoro	2017	0.8%	4.9%	0.1%
Burundi	Shombo	2000	0.4%	2.7%	0.0%
Burundi	Shombo	2017	0.6%	4.2%	0.0%
Burundi	Songa	2000	0.5%	2.1%	0.1%
Burundi	Songa	2017	0.9%	3.7%	0.2%
Burundi	Tangara	2000	0.5%	4.1%	0.0%
Burundi	Tangara	2017	0.8%	5.0%	0.1%
Burundi	Vugizo	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Burundi	Vugizo	2017	0.4%	1.7%	0.0%
Burundi	Vumbi	2000	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Burundi	Vumbi	2017	0.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Burundi	Vyanda	2000	0.6%	3.5%	0.0%
Burundi	Vyanda	2017	0.9%	4.5%	0.1%
Cameroon	Bamboutos	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Bamboutos	2017	1.7%	6.0%	0.6%
Cameroon	Bénoué	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Bénoué	2017	5.4%	7.6%	3.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cameroon	Boumba et Ngoko	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Boumba et Ngoko	2017	4.0%	6.4%	2.3%
Cameroon	Boyo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Boyo	2017	2.1%	6.3%	0.4%
Cameroon	Bui	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Bui	2017	2.4%	7.3%	0.6%
Cameroon	Diamaré	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Diamaré	2017	1.9%	3.8%	0.7%
Cameroon	Dja et Lobo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Dja et Lobo	2017	5.0%	7.5%	3.1%
Cameroon	Djerem	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Djerem	2017	2.7%	4.9%	1.2%
Cameroon	Donga Mantung	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Donga Mantung	2017	3.8%	8.0%	1.3%
Cameroon	Fako	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Fako	2017	7.9%	10.7%	6.1%
Cameroon	Faro	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Faro	2017	2.2%	8.3%	0.5%
Cameroon	Faro et Déo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Faro et Déo	2017	1.7%	3.8%	0.6%
Cameroon	Haut Nkam	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Haut Nkam	2017	4.8%	15.0%	1.1%
Cameroon	Haut Nyong	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Haut Nyong	2017	4.2%	7.0%	2.5%
Cameroon	Haute Sanaga	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Haute Sanaga	2017	5.0%	8.8%	2.1%
Cameroon	Hauts Plateaux	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Hauts Plateaux	2017	2.5%	9.5%	0.9%
Cameroon	Kadey	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Kadey	2017	4.4%	8.0%	2.1%
Cameroon	Koung Khi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Koung Khi	2017	2.6%	7.2%	0.6%
Cameroon	Koupé Manengouba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Koupé Manengouba	2017	3.9%	8.5%	1.2%
Cameroon	Lebialem	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Lebialem	2017	4.9%	12.5%	1.6%
Cameroon	Lekié	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Lekié	2017	4.2%	8.9%	1.8%
Cameroon	Logone et Chari	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Logone et Chari	2017	1.4%	2.8%	0.5%
Cameroon	Lom Djerem	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cameroon	Lomet Djerem	2017	5.7%	8.5%	3.8%
Cameroon	Manyu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Manyu	2017	6.8%	11.1%	3.7%
Cameroon	Mayo Banyo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mayo Banyo	2017	3.0%	7.4%	1.2%
Cameroon	Mayo Danay	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mayo Danay	2017	1.2%	3.5%	0.3%
Cameroon	Mayo Kani	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mayo Kani	2017	1.9%	5.0%	0.5%
Cameroon	Mayo Louti	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mayo Louti	2017	1.4%	3.3%	0.5%
Cameroon	Mayo Rey	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mayo Rey	2017	1.7%	3.0%	0.9%
Cameroon	Mayo Sava	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mayo Sava	2017	1.2%	3.8%	0.2%
Cameroon	Mayo Tsanaga	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mayo Tsanaga	2017	1.6%	4.8%	0.3%
Cameroon	Mbam et In-oubou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mbam et In-oubou	2017	4.3%	8.4%	1.7%
Cameroon	Mbam et Kim	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mbam et Kim	2017	4.1%	7.9%	2.2%
Cameroon	Mbéré	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mbéré	2017	3.5%	6.8%	1.5%
Cameroon	Mefou et Afamba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mefou et Afamba	2017	8.4%	14.4%	5.6%
Cameroon	Mefou et Akono	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mefou et Akono	2017	5.5%	8.1%	3.6%
Cameroon	Meme	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Meme	2017	10.3%	15.5%	6.7%
Cameroon	Menchum	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Menchum	2017	3.2%	6.2%	1.2%
Cameroon	Menoua	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Menoua	2017	4.5%	8.2%	2.1%
Cameroon	Mezam	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mezam	2017	5.3%	9.9%	3.1%
Cameroon	Mfoundi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mfoundi	2017	11.5%	12.5%	10.7%
Cameroon	Mifi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mifi	2017	5.0%	9.9%	3.1%
Cameroon	Momo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Momo	2017	2.9%	9.2%	0.4%
Cameroon	Moungo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Moungo	2017	15.5%	20.9%	9.7%
Cameroon	Mvila	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mvila	2017	4.4%	8.0%	2.0%
Cameroon	Ndé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cameroon	Ndé	2017	4.6%	12.6%	0.9%
Cameroon	Ndian	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Ndian	2017	6.9%	13.1%	2.9%
Cameroon	Ngo Ketunjia	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Ngo Ketunjia	2017	3.5%	9.8%	1.1%
Cameroon	Nkam	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Nkam	2017	14.8%	32.7%	4.5%
Cameroon	Noun	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Noun	2017	6.4%	10.3%	3.5%
Cameroon	Nyong et Kélé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Nyong et Kélé	2017	6.7%	11.5%	3.5%
Cameroon	Nyong et Mfoumou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Nyong et Mfoumou	2017	4.7%	10.1%	1.7%
Cameroon	Nyong et So'o	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Nyong et So'o	2017	10.2%	18.4%	5.7%
Cameroon	Océan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Océan	2017	8.9%	13.6%	5.5%
Cameroon	Sanaga Maritime	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Sanaga Maritime	2017	8.1%	17.2%	4.2%
Cameroon	Vallée du Ntem	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Vallée du Ntem	2017	5.3%	9.6%	2.4%
Cameroon	Vina	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Vina	2017	14.7%	16.9%	13.0%
Cameroon	Wouri	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Wouri	2017	23.7%	26.9%	20.2%
Central African Republic	Alindao	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Alindao	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Baboua	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Baboua	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Bakala	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Bakala	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Bakouma	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Bakouma	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Central African Republic	Bambari	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Bambari	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Bambio	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Bambio	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Bamingui	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Bamingui	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Bangassou	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Central African Republic	Bangassou	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Bangui	2000	2.7%	3.9%	1.7%
Central African Republic	Bangui	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Central African Republic	Baoro	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Baoro	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Batangafu	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Batangafu	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Berbérati	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Berbérati	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Bimbo	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Bimbo	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Central African Republic	Birao	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Birao	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Boali	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Boali	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Bocaranga	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Bocaranga	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Boda	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Boda	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Bossangoa	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Bossangoa	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Bouar	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Bouar	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Bouca	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Bouca	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Bozoum	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Bozoum	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Bria	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Central African Republic	Bria	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Central African Republic	Carnot	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Carnot	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Central African Republic	Damara	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Damara	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Dékoa	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Dékoa	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Central African Republic	Djemah	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Djemah	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Gambo-Ouango	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Gambo-Ouango	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Gamboula	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Gamboula	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Grimari	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Grimari	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Ippy	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Ippy	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Kabo	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Central African Republic	Kabo	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Kaga-Bandoro	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Kaga-Bandoro	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Kembé	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Kembé	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Kouango	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Kouango	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	M'Baïki	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Central African Republic	M'Baïki	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Markounda	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Markounda	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Mbrès	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Mbrès	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Mingala	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Mingala	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Mobaye	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Mobaye	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Mongoumba	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Central African Republic	Mongoumba	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Ndélé	2000	0.7%	0.8%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Ndélé	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Nola	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Nola	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Obo	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Obo	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Ouadda	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Ouadda	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Ouanda Djallé	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Ouanda Djallé	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Paoua	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Paoua	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Rafaï	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Rafaï	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Sibut	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Central African Republic	Sibut	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Central African Republic	Yalinga	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Yalinga	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Yaloké	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Central African Republic	Yaloké	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Central African Republic	Zémio	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Central African Republic	Zémio	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Chad	Aboudeïa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Aboudeïa	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Chad	Assoungaha	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Assoungaha	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Chad	Baguirmi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Baguirmi	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Chad	Barh Azoum	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Barh Azoum	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Chad	Barh El Gazel	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Barh El Gazel	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Chad	Barh Köh	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Barh Köh	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Chad	Barh Sara	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Barh Sara	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Chad	Barh Signaka	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Barh Signaka	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Chad	Batha Est	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Batha Est	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Chad	Batha Oues	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Batha Oues	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Chad	Béré	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Béré	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Chad	Biltine	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Biltine	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Chad	Bitkine	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Bitkine	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Chad	Borkou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Borkou	2017	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%
Chad	Dababa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Dababa	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Chad	Dagana	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Dagana	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Chad	Dar Tama	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Dar Tama	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Chad	Dodjé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Dodjé	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Chad	Ennedi Est	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Ennedi Est	2017	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%
Chad	Ennedi Ouest	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Ennedi Ouest	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Chad	Fitri	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Fitri	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Chad	Grande Sido	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Grande Sido	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Chad	Guéra	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Guéra	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Chad	Haraze Al Biar	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Haraze Al Biar	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Chad	Haraze Mangueigne	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Haraze Mangueigne	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Chad	Kabbia	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Kabbia	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Chad	Kanem	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Kanem	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Chad	Kobé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Kobé	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Chad	Lac Iro	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Lac Iro	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Chad	Lac Léré	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Lac Léré	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Chad	Lac Wey	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Chad	Lac Wey	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Chad	Lanya	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Lanya	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Chad	Loug Chari	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Loug Chari	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Chad	Mamdi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Mamdi	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Chad	Mandoul Occidental	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Mandoul Occidental	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Chad	Mandoul Oriental	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Mandoul Oriental	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Chad	Mangalmé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Mangalmé	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Chad	Mayo-Boneye	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Mayo-Boneye	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Chad	Mayo-Dallah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Mayo-Dallah	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Chad	Mont Illi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Mont Illi	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Chad	Monts de Lam	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Monts de Lam	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Chad	N'Djamena	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	N'Djamena	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	N'Djamena	2017	5.0%	5.5%	4.5%
Chad	N'Djamena	2017	2.0%	2.3%	1.6%
Chad	Ngourkosso	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Ngourkosso	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Chad	Nokou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Nokou	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Chad	Nya Pendé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Nya Pendé	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Chad	Ouara	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Ouara	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Chad	Pendé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Pendé	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Chad	Sila	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Sila	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Chad	Tandjilé Est	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Tandjilé Est	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Chad	Tandjilé Ouest	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Tandjilé Ouest	2017	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Chad	Tibesti	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Tibesti	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Chad	Wayi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Chad	Wayi	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Comoros	Mwali	2000	6.8%	8.1%	5.9%
Comoros	Mwali	2017	6.9%	8.5%	6.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Comoros	Njazidja	2000	15.7%	21.8%	11.8%
Comoros	Njazidja	2017	15.7%	20.8%	12.3%
Comoros	Nzwani	2000	6.4%	8.5%	4.8%
Comoros	Nzwani	2017	6.6%	8.7%	5.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan	2000	23.1%	25.4%	20.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan	2017	59.9%	63.0%	56.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Agnéby-Tiassa	2000	2.9%	4.8%	1.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Agnéby-Tiassa	2017	11.9%	17.1%	8.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bafing	2000	1.0%	2.0%	0.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bafing	2017	5.3%	8.7%	3.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bagoué	2000	1.5%	2.5%	0.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bagoué	2017	6.9%	10.0%	4.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bélier	2000	1.9%	3.9%	0.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bélier	2017	8.4%	13.0%	4.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béré	2000	1.0%	2.0%	0.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béré	2017	5.2%	8.3%	3.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bounkani	2000	1.0%	1.8%	0.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bounkani	2017	4.8%	7.1%	3.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Cavally	2000	2.1%	3.8%	1.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Cavally	2017	9.3%	13.5%	5.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Folon	2000	0.6%	1.3%	0.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Folon	2017	3.4%	6.1%	1.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbeke	2000	4.2%	5.9%	3.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbeke	2017	17.0%	20.3%	14.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbôkle	2000	2.8%	5.2%	1.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbôkle	2017	12.0%	18.8%	7.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gôh	2000	2.1%	4.0%	1.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gôh	2017	9.6%	14.2%	6.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Côte d'Ivoire	Gontougo	2000	2.7%	3.9%	1.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gontougo	2017	10.9%	13.2%	8.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Grands Ponts	2000	3.9%	10.1%	1.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Grands Ponts	2017	14.4%	24.7%	7.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Guémon	2000	1.4%	2.7%	0.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Guémon	2017	6.8%	10.7%	4.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Hambol	2000	2.0%	3.2%	1.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Hambol	2017	8.2%	11.1%	6.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Haut-Sassandra	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Haut-Sassandra	2017	9.7%	12.7%	7.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Iffou	2000	1.1%	2.1%	0.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Iffou	2017	5.4%	8.5%	3.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Indénié-Djuablin	2000	2.3%	3.6%	1.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Indénié-Djuablin	2017	14.4%	17.9%	11.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Kabadougou	2000	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Kabadougou	2017	4.1%	6.4%	2.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	La Mé	2000	3.3%	5.3%	1.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	La Mé	2017	15.0%	20.4%	10.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Lôh-Djiboua	2000	2.0%	3.7%	0.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Lôh-Djiboua	2017	8.1%	12.6%	4.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Marahoué	2000	3.6%	5.1%	2.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Marahoué	2017	14.6%	18.9%	11.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Moronou	2000	2.3%	4.6%	0.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Moronou	2017	9.6%	16.1%	5.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	N'zi	2000	2.3%	4.0%	1.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	N'zi	2017	8.5%	13.1%	5.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Côte d'Ivoire	Nawa	2000	3.3%	5.2%	2.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Nawa	2017	12.0%	16.2%	8.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Poro	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Poro	2017	9.5%	12.3%	7.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	San-Pédro	2000	5.3%	7.5%	3.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	San-Pédro	2017	18.2%	22.9%	13.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Sud Comoé	2000	3.3%	5.7%	1.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Sud Comoé	2017	13.9%	20.2%	9.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tchologo	2000	1.3%	2.3%	0.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tchologo	2017	6.2%	9.5%	3.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tonkpi	2000	1.9%	3.2%	1.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tonkpi	2017	8.2%	11.8%	5.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Worodougou	2000	1.1%	2.0%	0.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Worodougou	2017	5.8%	8.6%	3.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Yamoussoukro	2000	6.7%	14.1%	2.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Yamoussoukro	2017	22.3%	32.1%	13.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aba	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aba	2017	2.1%	11.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi	2017	1.4%	3.9%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi (ville)	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi (ville)	2017	0.8%	2.4%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ango	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ango	2017	1.1%	3.1%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ariwara	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ariwara	2017	7.0%	32.5%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru	2017	4.0%	7.0%	1.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru (ville)	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru (ville)	2017	0.9%	3.5%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bafwasende	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bafwasende	2017	1.0%	2.8%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bagata	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bagata	2017	1.5%	3.3%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bambesa	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bambesa	2017	0.8%	2.1%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Banalia	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Banalia	2017	1.3%	3.1%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bandundu	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bandundu	2017	0.6%	1.5%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bangu	2000	0.4%	2.8%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bangu	2017	3.5%	23.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Baraka	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Baraka	2017	1.2%	4.2%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu	2017	1.3%	2.9%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu (ville)	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu (ville)	2017	1.6%	8.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko	2017	0.9%	2.2%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko (ville)	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko (ville)	2017	0.5%	1.9%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Befale	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Befale	2017	1.0%	2.5%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bena-Dibele	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bena-Dibele	2017	1.0%	6.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Beni	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Beni	2017	3.3%	5.1%	2.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bikoro	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bikoro	2017	1.1%	3.3%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende	2017	1.3%	3.4%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende (ville)	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende (ville)	2017	1.8%	4.0%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bokungu	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bokungu	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo	2017	1.1%	3.7%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo (ville)	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo (ville)	2017	1.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolomba	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolomba	2017	1.2%	2.8%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boma	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boma	2017	6.0%	9.4%	3.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bomongo	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bomongo	2017	1.4%	3.8%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo	2017	1.1%	2.2%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo (ville)	2000	0.8%	5.0%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo (ville)	2017	6.3%	34.4%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bongandanga	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bongandanga	2017	0.9%	1.9%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bosobolo	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bosobolo	2017	1.1%	3.1%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Budjala	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Budjala	2017	1.4%	3.5%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukama	2000	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukama	2017	3.8%	6.9%	2.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukavu	2000	4.0%	4.7%	3.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukavu	2017	27.3%	30.1%	24.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu	2017	1.2%	2.5%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu (ville)	2000	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu (ville)	2017	3.1%	16.2%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba	2017	1.1%	2.1%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba (ville)	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba (ville)	2017	1.4%	5.2%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bunia	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bunia	2017	2.0%	4.3%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Businga	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Businga	2017	1.0%	2.5%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta	2017	1.6%	4.4%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta (ville)	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta (ville)	2017	1.4%	4.9%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Butembo	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Butembo	2017	0.6%	1.4%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dekese	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dekese	2017	0.8%	2.0%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Demba	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Demba	2017	0.9%	2.2%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya	2017	0.9%	2.2%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya-Lubwe	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya-Lubwe	2017	1.5%	7.5%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dilolo	2000	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dilolo	2017	3.2%	6.5%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dimbelenge	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dimbelenge	2017	1.4%	4.0%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dingila	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dingila	2017	1.4%	8.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djolu	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djolu	2017	0.6%	2.0%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djugu	2000	0.8%	1.4%	0.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djugu	2017	6.8%	11.3%	3.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu	2017	1.7%	4.4%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu (ville)	2000	1.2%	8.6%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu (ville)	2017	8.2%	44.3%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Faradje	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Faradje	2017	2.7%	5.5%	1.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Feshi	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Feshi	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Fizi	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Fizi	2017	1.1%	2.8%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gbadolite	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gbadolite	2017	2.3%	7.0%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena	2017	1.7%	3.9%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena (ville)	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena (ville)	2017	1.6%	5.8%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Goma	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Goma	2017	1.0%	2.3%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu	2017	1.0%	2.4%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu (ville)	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu (ville)	2017	0.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa	2017	1.3%	2.7%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa (ville)	2000	0.3%	2.0%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa (ville)	2017	2.6%	15.2%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idjwi	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idjwi	2017	1.3%	5.7%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ikela	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ikela	2017	0.6%	1.7%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo	2017	1.0%	2.4%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo (ville)	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo (ville)	2017	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingbokolo	2000	0.4%	1.8%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingbokolo	2017	4.3%	18.0%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingende	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingende	2017	0.8%	2.3%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inkisi	2000	0.4%	2.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inkisi	2017	3.4%	19.3%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo	2017	0.9%	2.4%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo (ville)	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo (ville)	2017	1.0%	3.8%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Irumu	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Irumu	2017	3.9%	7.3%	2.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi	2017	2.3%	6.7%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi (ville)	2000	1.1%	6.6%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi (ville)	2017	9.0%	42.2%	0.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isiro	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isiro	2017	2.9%	5.1%	1.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabalo	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabalo	2017	2.5%	6.3%	0.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabambare	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabambare	2017	1.3%	3.0%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabare	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabare	2017	4.0%	5.3%	2.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabeya-Kamwanga	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabeya-Kamwanga	2017	1.2%	3.6%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda	2017	1.3%	3.0%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda (ville)	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda (ville)	2017	0.7%	1.8%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabongo	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabongo	2017	3.0%	6.2%	1.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba (ville)	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba (ville)	2017	0.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kailo	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kailo	2017	0.8%	1.9%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalehe	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalehe	2017	0.6%	1.9%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie	2017	3.2%	6.4%	1.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie (ville)	2000	0.5%	3.0%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie (ville)	2017	6.0%	26.5%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalima	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalima	2017	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kambove	2000	0.4%	1.1%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kambove	2017	3.9%	7.7%	1.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamiji	2000	0.3%	2.0%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamiji	2017	2.6%	12.3%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina	2000	0.9%	1.8%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina	2017	5.5%	9.0%	3.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina (ville)	2000	2.5%	4.0%	1.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina (ville)	2017	25.3%	33.8%	19.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamituga	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamituga	2017	2.3%	10.0%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamonia	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamonia	2017	1.2%	2.1%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kananga	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kananga	2017	0.8%	3.1%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaniama	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaniama	2017	2.2%	4.9%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaoze	2000	1.3%	8.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaoze	2017	8.9%	47.5%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kapanga	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kapanga	2017	1.9%	5.0%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasaji	2000	1.6%	10.8%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasaji	2017	10.5%	47.4%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu	2000	1.1%	3.7%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu	2017	8.4%	20.1%	2.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu (ville)	2000	6.4%	32.0%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu (ville)	2017	30.5%	76.0%	2.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasenga	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasenga	2017	2.4%	4.8%	1.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo	2017	1.4%	3.7%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo (ville)	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo (ville)	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda	2017	0.7%	1.5%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda (ville)	2000	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda (ville)	2017	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katako-Kombe	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katako-Kombe	2017	0.5%	1.2%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katanda	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katanda	2017	2.9%	5.7%	1.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kazumba	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kazumba	2017	1.0%	2.4%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge	2017	1.0%	2.2%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge (ville)	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge (ville)	2017	0.7%	2.5%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kibombo	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kibombo	2017	1.0%	2.5%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kikwit	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kikwit	2017	0.7%	2.5%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kimvula	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kimvula	2017	0.6%	2.0%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kindu	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kindu	2017	0.6%	1.4%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kinshasa	2000	5.9%	6.4%	5.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kinshasa	2017	39.6%	41.1%	38.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi	2000	2.8%	6.9%	0.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi	2017	14.4%	22.7%	8.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi (ville)	2000	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi (ville)	2017	5.7%	10.4%	2.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kiri	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kiri	2017	1.0%	2.6%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kisangani	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kisangani	2017	11.3%	14.3%	9.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kole	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kole	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kolwezi	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kolwezi	2017	5.5%	9.6%	3.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo	2000	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo	2017	4.2%	8.8%	1.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo (ville)	2000	0.7%	2.4%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo (ville)	2017	17.0%	38.7%	3.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kungu	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kungu	2017	1.5%	3.9%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kutu	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kutu	2017	1.1%	2.3%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kwamouth	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kwamouth	2017	1.3%	3.1%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Libenge	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Libenge	2017	1.6%	3.6%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Likasi	2000	0.8%	1.6%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Likasi	2017	8.0%	12.5%	5.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala	2017	1.3%	3.2%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala (ville)	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala (ville)	2017	0.7%	2.8%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja	2017	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja (ville)	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja (ville)	2017	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lomela	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lomela	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao	2017	1.7%	3.6%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao (ville)	2000	0.5%	3.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao (ville)	2017	5.0%	22.8%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubefu	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubefu	2017	0.7%	2.0%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubero	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubero	2017	0.5%	1.4%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubudi	2000	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubudi	2017	3.8%	8.8%	1.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubumbashi	2000	6.7%	8.5%	5.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubumbashi	2017	33.0%	39.2%	28.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu	2017	0.5%	1.4%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu (ville)	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu (ville)	2017	0.7%	2.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo	2017	1.4%	4.1%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo (ville)	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo (ville)	2017	0.7%	3.1%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luilu	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luilu	2017	1.8%	3.6%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luiza	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luiza	2017	0.9%	2.3%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukalaba	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukalaba	2017	1.1%	4.3%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukolela	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukolela	2017	1.6%	4.4%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula	2017	1.9%	4.4%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula (ville)	2000	0.5%	2.4%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula (ville)	2017	5.5%	22.1%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luozi	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luozi	2017	1.7%	4.0%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lupatapata	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lupatapata	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo	2017	1.0%	2.6%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo (ville)	2000	0.4%	0.8%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo (ville)	2017	4.0%	8.3%	1.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Madimba	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Madimba	2017	1.1%	3.6%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi	2017	3.3%	6.1%	1.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi (ville)	2000	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi (ville)	2017	4.1%	16.2%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Makanza	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Makanza	2017	0.7%	2.6%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Malemba-Nkulu	2000	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Malemba-Nkulu	2017	4.7%	9.5%	1.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mambasa	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mambasa	2017	1.4%	3.8%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mangai	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mangai	2017	1.1%	5.3%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono	2017	2.9%	5.2%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono (ville)	2000	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono (ville)	2017	4.1%	11.1%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba	2017	1.2%	2.9%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba (ville)	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba (ville)	2017	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masisi	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masisi	2017	0.5%	1.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Matadi	2000	2.4%	5.1%	1.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Matadi	2017	19.2%	30.7%	9.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbandaka	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbandaka	2017	1.3%	4.0%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu	2000	5.9%	7.1%	4.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu	2017	9.2%	12.0%	7.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu (ville)	2000	5.2%	9.2%	3.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu (ville)	2017	27.3%	34.1%	21.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbuji-Mayi	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbuji-Mayi	2017	5.9%	7.5%	4.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi	2017	0.9%	2.8%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi (ville)	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi (ville)	2017	0.6%	1.9%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mitwaba	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mitwaba	2017	2.1%	5.2%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda	2000	0.5%	1.4%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda	2017	4.6%	11.2%	1.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda (ville)	2000	1.0%	4.3%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda (ville)	2017	7.6%	28.6%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moba	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moba	2017	2.2%	5.5%	0.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo	2017	1.8%	5.0%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo (ville)	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo (ville)	2017	2.6%	17.6%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mongwalu	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mongwalu	2017	1.5%	5.3%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Monkoto	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Monkoto	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mushie	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mushie	2017	1.2%	3.1%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mutshatsha	2000	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mutshatsha	2017	3.8%	7.4%	1.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mweka	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mweka	2017	1.2%	3.2%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwene-Ditu	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwene-Ditu	2017	2.2%	5.0%	0.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwenga	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwenga	2017	0.9%	2.9%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Namoya	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Namoya	2017	0.5%	1.9%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika	2017	1.4%	3.2%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika (ville)	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika (ville)	2017	0.8%	2.1%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Niangara	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Niangara	2017	1.3%	3.4%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nioki	2000	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nioki	2017	3.0%	15.2%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyiragongo	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyiragongo	2017	0.5%	1.5%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyunzu	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyunzu	2017	2.2%	4.8%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oicha	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oicha	2017	2.0%	4.8%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oïcha (ville)	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oïcha (ville)	2017	0.8%	2.3%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Opala	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Opala	2017	1.3%	3.0%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oshwe	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oshwe	2017	0.8%	1.6%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pangi	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pangi	2017	0.6%	1.6%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Poko	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Poko	2017	1.1%	3.7%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Popokabaka	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Popokabaka	2017	0.8%	2.6%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia	2017	0.5%	1.5%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia (ville)	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia (ville)	2017	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pweto	2000	0.4%	0.9%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pweto	2017	3.3%	6.2%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rungu	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rungu	2017	1.1%	2.5%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru	2017	1.4%	5.7%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru (ville)	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru (ville)	2017	0.4%	1.6%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sakania	2000	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sakania	2017	3.9%	8.8%	1.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sandoa	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sandoa	2017	2.3%	5.6%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Seke-Banza	2000	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Seke-Banza	2017	3.3%	7.3%	1.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda	2017	0.7%	1.6%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda (ville)	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda (ville)	2017	0.5%	2.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Songololo	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Songololo	2017	3.1%	7.2%	1.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela	2000	0.7%	1.7%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela	2017	7.6%	11.7%	4.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela (ville)	2000	2.4%	4.1%	1.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela (ville)	2017	31.3%	38.3%	23.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshikapa	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshikapa	2017	1.4%	4.0%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge	2017	2.3%	7.2%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge (ville)	2000	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge (ville)	2017	3.4%	14.3%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshimbulu	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshimbulu	2017	0.7%	2.4%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ubundu	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ubundu	2017	1.5%	3.8%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira	2017	1.2%	3.0%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira (ville)	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira (ville)	2017	7.1%	9.9%	4.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walikale	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walikale	2017	0.8%	2.3%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walungu	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walungu	2017	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba	2017	1.7%	4.8%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba (ville)	2000	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba (ville)	2017	2.3%	13.2%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa	2000	0.7%	1.5%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa	2017	6.5%	10.2%	3.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa (ville)	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa (ville)	2017	2.9%	6.3%	1.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yahuma	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yahuma	2017	0.8%	1.8%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yakoma	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yakoma	2017	0.9%	2.1%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yangambi	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yangambi	2017	2.7%	17.5%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yumbi	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yumbi	2017	0.9%	3.4%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Zongo	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Zongo	2017	1.6%	5.7%	0.2%
Eritrea	Adi Keyih	2000	0.4%	0.9%	0.1%
Eritrea	Adi Keyih	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.1%
Eritrea	Adi Kwala	2000	0.4%	0.8%	0.1%
Eritrea	Adi Kwala	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.1%
Eritrea	Adi Teklezan	2000	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Eritrea	Adi Teklezan	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Eritrea	Afabet	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Eritrea	Afabet	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Eritrea	Akordat	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Eritrea	Akordat	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Eritrea	Areta'	2000	2.6%	4.5%	1.5%
Eritrea	Areta'	2017	2.7%	4.8%	1.5%
Eritrea	Areza	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Eritrea	Areza	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Eritrea	Asmara City	2000	1.8%	4.7%	0.7%
Eritrea	Asmara City	2017	1.8%	4.7%	0.7%
Eritrea	Asmat	2000	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Eritrea	Asmat	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Eritrea	Barentu	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Eritrea	Barentu	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Eritrea	Berikh	2000	1.8%	7.0%	0.4%
Eritrea	Berikh	2017	1.8%	6.4%	0.5%
Eritrea	Central So. Red-Sea	2000	2.4%	4.9%	1.2%
Eritrea	Central So. Red-Sea	2017	2.4%	4.9%	1.1%
Eritrea	Dahlak	2000	1.0%	3.3%	0.2%
Eritrea	Dahlak	2017	0.9%	3.5%	0.2%
Eritrea	Dekemehare	2000	0.7%	1.3%	0.3%
Eritrea	Dekemehare	2017	0.7%	1.4%	0.3%
Eritrea	Dghe	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Eritrea	Dghe	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Eritrea	Dibarwa	2000	0.7%	1.4%	0.3%
Eritrea	Dibarwa	2017	0.7%	1.6%	0.2%
Eritrea	Elabered	2000	0.6%	1.4%	0.2%
Eritrea	Elabered	2017	0.6%	1.4%	0.2%
Eritrea	Foro	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Eritrea	Foro	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Eritrea	Forto	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Eritrea	Forto	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Eritrea	Ghala Nefhi	2000	1.2%	2.2%	0.6%
Eritrea	Ghala Nefhi	2017	1.2%	2.2%	0.6%
Eritrea	Ghelaelo'	2000	0.5%	1.2%	0.2%
Eritrea	Ghelaelo'	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%
Eritrea	Gheleb	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.1%
Eritrea	Gheleb	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.1%
Eritrea	Ghida'e	2000	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Eritrea	Ghida'e	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Eritrea	Gogne	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Eritrea	Gogne	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Eritrea	Habero	2000	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Eritrea	Habero	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Eritrea	Hagaz	2000	0.6%	1.1%	0.2%
Eritrea	Hagaz	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%
Eritrea	Halhal	2000	0.8%	1.7%	0.3%
Eritrea	Halhal	2017	0.7%	1.6%	0.3%
Eritrea	Haykota	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Eritrea	Haykota	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Eritrea	Karora	2000	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Eritrea	Karora	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Eritrea	Keren	2000	1.0%	2.4%	0.4%
Eritrea	Keren	2017	1.1%	2.6%	0.3%
Eritrea	Kerke Bet	2000	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%
Eritrea	Kerke Bet	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%
Eritrea	Kudo Bu'er	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Eritrea	Kudo Bu'er	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Eritrea	La'Elay Gash	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Eritrea	La'Elay Gash	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Eritrea	Logo Anseba	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Eritrea	Logo Anseba	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Eritrea	Mansura	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Eritrea	Mansura	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Eritrea	May Mine	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Eritrea	May Mine	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Eritrea	Mendefera	2000	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%
Eritrea	Mendefera	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%
Eritrea	Mitswa'e City	2000	0.9%	2.2%	0.2%
Eritrea	Mitswa'e City	2017	0.8%	2.1%	0.2%
Eritrea	Mogolo	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Eritrea	Mogolo	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Eritrea	Nakfa	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Eritrea	Nakfa	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Eritrea	Omhajer	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Eritrea	Omhajer	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Eritrea	Segeneyiti	2000	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%
Eritrea	Segeneyiti	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%
Eritrea	Sel'a	2000	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Eritrea	Sel'a	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Eritrea	Senafe	2000	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Eritrea	Senafe	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Eritrea	Serejeka	2000	1.0%	1.8%	0.5%
Eritrea	Serejeka	2017	1.0%	1.8%	0.5%
Eritrea	Sheib	2000	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%
Eritrea	Sheib	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%
Eritrea	Shemboko	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Eritrea	Shemboko	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Eritrea	So. Southern Red-Sea	2000	2.5%	4.5%	1.4%
Eritrea	So. Southern Red-Sea	2017	2.5%	4.6%	1.4%
Eritrea	Teseneye	2000	0.8%	9.6%	0.0%
Eritrea	Teseneye	2017	0.8%	9.5%	0.0%
Eritrea	Tsorena	2000	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Eritrea	Tsorena	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.1%
Ethiopia	Addis Abeba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Addis Abeba	2017	24.2%	25.1%	23.3%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 1	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 1	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 2	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 2	2017	0.8%	1.6%	0.4%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 3	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 3	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 4	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 4	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 5	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 5	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.2%
Ethiopia	Afder	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Afder	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Ethiopia	Agew Awi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Agew Awi	2017	0.8%	1.4%	0.4%
Ethiopia	Agnuak	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Agnuak	2017	1.8%	2.3%	1.3%
Ethiopia	Alaba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Alaba	2017	0.6%	1.5%	0.2%
Ethiopia	Alle	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Alle	2017	0.9%	3.2%	0.2%
Ethiopia	Amaro	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Amaro	2017	0.7%	2.0%	0.2%
Ethiopia	Argoba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Argoba	2017	0.7%	2.4%	0.1%
Ethiopia	Arsi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Arsi	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Ethiopia	Asosa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Asosa	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Ethiopia	Bahir Dar Special Zone	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Bahir Dar Special Zone	2017	4.7%	8.4%	2.2%
Ethiopia	Bale	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Bale	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Ethiopia	Basketo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Basketo	2017	0.3%	1.2%	0.1%
Ethiopia	Bench Maji	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Bench Maji	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.3%
Ethiopia	Borena	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Borena	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Ethiopia	Burji	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Burji	2017	1.0%	3.0%	0.2%
Ethiopia	Dawro	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Dawro	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.3%
Ethiopia	Debub Gondar	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Debub Gondar	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Ethiopia	Debub Mirab Shewa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Debub Mirab Shewa	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.6%
Ethiopia	Debub Omo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Debub Omo	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Ethiopia	Debub Wollo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Debub Wollo	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Ethiopia	Debubawi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Debubawi	2017	8.8%	10.0%	7.7%
Ethiopia	Derashe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Derashe	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.1%
Ethiopia	Dire Dawa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Dire Dawa	2017	9.8%	10.8%	8.8%
Ethiopia	Doolo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Doolo	2017	1.1%	1.9%	0.7%
Ethiopia	Fafan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Fafan	2017	4.9%	5.8%	4.2%
Ethiopia	Gamo Gofa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Gamo Gofa	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.8%
Ethiopia	Gedeo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Gedeo	2017	0.7%	1.6%	0.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Guji	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Guji	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Ethiopia	Gurage	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Gurage	2017	3.2%	4.0%	2.4%
Ethiopia	Hadiya	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Hadiya	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Ethiopia	Hareri	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Hareri	2017	3.9%	4.4%	3.3%
Ethiopia	Horo Guduru	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Horo Guduru	2017	0.8%	1.7%	0.4%
Ethiopia	Ilubabor	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Ilubabor	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Ethiopia	Jarar	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Jarar	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Ethiopia	Jimma	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Jimma	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Ethiopia	Keffa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Keffa	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Ethiopia	Kelem	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Wellega	2017	0.8%	1.5%	0.3%
Ethiopia	Kemashi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Kemashi	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.2%
Ethiopia	Kembata	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Kembata	2017	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%
Ethiopia	Konso	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Konso	2017	1.9%	3.7%	0.8%
Ethiopia	Konta	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Konta	2017	0.5%	1.4%	0.1%
Ethiopia	Korahe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Korahe	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.8%
Ethiopia	Liben	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Liben	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Ethiopia	Majang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Majang	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Ethiopia	Mehakelegnaw	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Mehakelegnaw	2017	7.2%	8.1%	6.1%
Ethiopia	Metekel	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Metekel	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Ethiopia	Mi'irabawi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Mi'irabawi	2017	2.2%	3.2%	1.4%
Ethiopia	Mirab Arsi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Mirab Arsi	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Ethiopia	Mirab Gojjam	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Mirab Gojjam	2017	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Ethiopia	Mirab	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Hararghe	2017	0.9%	1.6%	0.6%
Ethiopia	Mirab	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Mirab Shewa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Mirab Shewa	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Ethiopia	Mirab Welega	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Mirab Welega	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Ethiopia	Misraq Goj-jam	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Misraq Goj-jam	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Ethiopia	Misraq Harerge	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Misraq Harerge	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Ethiopia	Misraq Shewa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Misraq Shewa	2017	5.0%	5.9%	4.2%
Ethiopia	Misraq Wellega	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Misraq Wellega	2017	0.9%	1.6%	0.5%
Ethiopia	Misraqawi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Misraqawi	2017	7.1%	8.6%	6.0%
Ethiopia	Nogob	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Nogob	2017	0.9%	1.6%	0.5%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Ethiopia	Nuer	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Nuer	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Ethiopia	Oromia	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Oromia	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.4%
Ethiopia	Semen Gondar	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Semen Gondar	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Ethiopia	Semen Wello	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Semen Wello	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Ethiopia	Semien Mi'irabaw	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Semien Mi'irabaw	2017	5.0%	6.0%	4.3%
Ethiopia	Shabelle	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Shabelle	2017	1.5%	2.5%	0.9%
Ethiopia	Sheka	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Sheka	2017	0.6%	1.4%	0.2%
Ethiopia	Sidama	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Sidama	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Ethiopia	Silti	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Silti	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Ethiopia	Siti	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Siti	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.9%
Ethiopia	Wag Himra	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Wag Himra	2017	0.8%	1.4%	0.4%
Ethiopia	Wolayita	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Wolayita	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Yem	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ethiopia	Yem	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.2%
Gabon	Abanga-Bigné	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Abanga-Bigné	2017	18.2%	29.5%	9.9%
Gabon	Basse Banio	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Basse Banio	2017	13.6%	21.1%	7.5%
Gabon	Bendjé	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Gabon	Bendjé	2017	21.3%	31.0%	13.6%
Gabon	Boumi-lowetsi	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Boumi-lowetsi	2017	12.1%	20.4%	5.9%
Gabon	Dola	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gabon	Dola	2017	13.9%	22.8%	6.8%
Gabon	Douigny	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Douigny	2017	19.0%	34.6%	7.6%
Gabon	Douya Onoye	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gabon	Douya Onoye	2017	19.3%	30.5%	10.5%
Gabon	Étimboué	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Étimboué	2017	16.4%	25.0%	10.1%
Gabon	Haut-Como	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Haut-Como	2017	16.5%	34.3%	5.3%
Gabon	Haut-Ntem	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Haut-Ntem	2017	15.2%	21.9%	9.5%
Gabon	Haute-Banio	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Haute-Banio	2017	13.1%	34.3%	2.0%
Gabon	Ivindo	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Ivindo	2017	14.2%	19.7%	9.5%
Gabon	Komo	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Gabon	Komo	2017	19.0%	31.3%	9.6%
Gabon	Komo-Mondah	2000	5.6%	10.1%	1.7%
Gabon	Komo-Mondah	2017	63.1%	67.9%	58.7%
Gabon	Léboumbi-Leyou	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Léboumbi-Leyou	2017	18.1%	29.4%	8.9%
Gabon	Léconi-Djoué	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Léconi-Djoué	2017	12.8%	23.0%	6.2%
Gabon	Lékoko	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Gabon	Lékoko	2017	18.3%	31.2%	8.5%
Gabon	Lolo Bouenguidi	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Lolo Bouenguidi	2017	15.8%	23.2%	9.8%
Gabon	Lombo-Bouenguidi	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Lombo-Bouenguidi	2017	17.0%	28.3%	9.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Gabon	Lopé	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Lopé	2017	15.3%	22.1%	9.0%
Gabon	Louetsi-Wano	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gabon	Louetsi-Wano	2017	13.4%	31.7%	3.1%
Gabon	Mougoutsi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gabon	Mougoutsi	2017	13.9%	20.5%	9.1%
Gabon	Mouloudnou	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Mouloudnou	2017	16.5%	22.8%	11.1%
Gabon	Mpassa	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Gabon	Mpassa	2017	23.2%	32.9%	16.4%
Gabon	Mvoug	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Gabon	Mvoug	2017	13.6%	24.4%	5.2%
Gabon	Ndolou	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Ndolou	2017	18.3%	31.7%	8.3%
Gabon	Ndougou	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Ndougou	2017	17.9%	27.2%	11.0%
Gabon	Noya	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Noya	2017	21.9%	34.3%	12.1%
Gabon	Ntem	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Ntem	2017	12.5%	22.1%	5.8%
Gabon	Ogooué et des Lacs	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Gabon	Ogooué et des Lacs	2017	19.9%	27.8%	13.6%
Gabon	Ogoulou	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Ogoulou	2017	14.9%	22.5%	8.0%
Gabon	Okano	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Gabon	Okano	2017	18.3%	26.1%	12.1%
Gabon	Plateaux	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Plateaux	2017	16.4%	27.5%	7.6%
Gabon	Sébé-Brikolo	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Sébé-Brikolo	2017	16.0%	24.8%	9.7%
Gabon	Tsamba Mangotsi	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Tsamba Mangotsi	2017	15.3%	24.5%	8.5%
Gabon	Woleu	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Woleu	2017	13.7%	20.9%	8.3%
Gabon	Zadié	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Zadié	2017	12.0%	18.8%	7.0%
Ghana	Abura-Asebu-Kwamankese	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Abura-Asebu-Kwamankese	2017	17.9%	25.2%	13.3%
Ghana	Accra	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Accra	2017	49.1%	50.8%	47.4%
Ghana	Adaklu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Anyigbe				
Ghana	Adaklu	2017	4.1%	10.1%	1.1%
Ghana	Adansi North	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Ghana	Adansi North	2017	25.4%	32.5%	20.2%
Ghana	Adansi South	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Adansi South	2017	8.3%	16.6%	3.8%
Ghana	Afigya Sekyere	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Afigya Sekyere	2017	4.6%	10.8%	1.8%
Ghana	Afram Plains	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Afram Plains	2017	3.1%	7.4%	1.0%
Ghana	Agona	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Agona	2017	12.1%	15.7%	9.3%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano North	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano North	2017	4.7%	13.6%	1.1%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano South	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano South	2017	3.8%	14.3%	0.6%
Ghana	Ahanta West	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Ahanta West	2017	42.3%	48.3%	36.9%
Ghana	Ajumako-Enyan-Esiam	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Ajumako-Enyan-Esiam	2017	8.4%	16.4%	4.8%
Ghana	Akatsi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Akatsi	2017	2.7%	7.8%	0.7%
Ghana	Akwapim North	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Akwapim North	2017	11.4%	20.1%	5.5%
Ghana	Akwapim South	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Akwapim South	2017	5.6%	13.5%	2.7%
Ghana	Amansie Central	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Ghana	Amansie Central	2017	13.6%	22.2%	8.4%
Ghana	Amansie East	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Amansie East	2017	6.5%	17.5%	1.4%
Ghana	Amansie West	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Amansie West	2017	3.8%	11.8%	0.6%
Ghana	Aowin-Suaman	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Aowin-Suaman	2017	8.5%	15.7%	4.3%
Ghana	Asante Akim North	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Asante Akim North	2017	6.5%	12.6%	2.5%
Ghana	Asante Akim South	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Asante Akim South	2017	4.5%	12.9%	1.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Asikuma Odoben Brakwa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Asikuma Odoben Brakwa	2017	9.1%	17.7%	2.9%
Ghana	Assin North	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Assin North	2017	5.2%	12.4%	1.4%
Ghana	Assin South	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Assin South	2017	7.2%	16.9%	2.0%
Ghana	Asunafo North	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Asunafo North	2017	2.7%	6.6%	0.9%
Ghana	Asunafo South	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Asunafo South	2017	2.9%	9.2%	0.5%
Ghana	Asuogyaman	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Asuogyaman	2017	24.6%	36.0%	17.6%
Ghana	Asutifi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Asutifi	2017	3.3%	9.1%	0.5%
Ghana	Atebubu-Amantin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Atebubu-Amantin	2017	9.1%	15.1%	4.4%
Ghana	Atiwa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Atiwa	2017	7.0%	19.1%	1.4%
Ghana	Atwima	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Atwima	2017	24.6%	31.7%	19.1%
Ghana	Atwima Mponua	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Atwima Mponua	2017	5.5%	15.5%	1.3%
Ghana	Awutu Efutu Senya	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Awutu Efutu Senya	2017	11.0%	14.5%	7.5%
Ghana	Bawku Municipal	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Bawku Municipal	2017	1.4%	3.7%	0.5%
Ghana	Bawku West	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Bawku West	2017	1.4%	3.7%	0.6%
Ghana	Berekum	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Berekum	2017	3.9%	9.8%	1.2%
Ghana	Bia	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Bia	2017	3.3%	10.3%	0.6%
Ghana	Bibiani Anhwiaso Bekwai	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Bibiani	2017	10.2%	18.0%	6.4%
	Anhwiaso				
	Bekwai				
Ghana	Birim North	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Birim North	2017	4.8%	12.5%	1.3%
Ghana	Birim South	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Birim South	2017	4.8%	11.0%	2.3%
Ghana	Bole	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Bole	2017	3.5%	7.8%	1.1%
Ghana	Bolgatanga	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Bolgatanga	2017	5.2%	8.1%	3.7%
Ghana	Bongo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Bongo	2017	2.9%	5.3%	1.4%
Ghana	Bosomtwe- Kwanwoma	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Bosomtwe- Kwanwoma	2017	13.8%	17.4%	11.4%
Ghana	Builsa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Builsa	2017	1.8%	4.4%	0.5%
Ghana	Bunkpurugu Yunyoo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Bunkpurugu Yunyoo	2017	1.4%	5.0%	0.2%
Ghana	Cape Coast	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Ghana	Cape Coast	2017	34.8%	42.2%	27.7%
Ghana	Central Gonja	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Central Gonja	2017	3.0%	5.7%	1.2%
Ghana	Dangbe East	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Dangbe East	2017	18.0%	29.4%	9.7%
Ghana	Dangbe West	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Dangbe West	2017	6.0%	13.6%	1.5%
Ghana	Dormaa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Dormaa	2017	7.9%	12.9%	4.5%
Ghana	East Akim	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	East Akim	2017	13.6%	24.8%	6.6%
Ghana	East Gonja	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	East Gonja	2017	3.2%	5.9%	1.4%
Ghana	East Mam- prusi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	East Mam- prusi	2017	2.4%	7.7%	0.3%
Ghana	Ejisu- Juabeng	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Ejisu- Juabeng	2017	8.2%	15.0%	4.9%
Ghana	Ejura Sekye- dumase	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Ejura Sekye- dumase	2017	2.8%	8.3%	0.4%
Ghana	Fanteakwa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Fanteakwa	2017	9.9%	16.3%	5.7%
Ghana	Ga East	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Ga East	2017	18.9%	22.0%	16.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Ga West	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Ga West	2017	23.2%	26.8%	20.7%
Ghana	Garu Tempane	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Garu Tempane	2017	1.7%	7.6%	0.2%
Ghana	Gomoa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Gomoa	2017	19.6%	31.6%	9.8%
Ghana	Gushiegu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Gushiegu	2017	3.3%	7.7%	0.9%
Ghana	Ho	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Ho	2017	14.0%	18.8%	10.2%
Ghana	Hohoe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Hohoe	2017	12.8%	18.9%	8.3%
Ghana	Jaman North	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Jaman North	2017	5.0%	18.2%	1.1%
Ghana	Jaman South	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Jaman South	2017	2.4%	9.2%	0.4%
Ghana	Jasikan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Jasikan	2017	5.6%	11.2%	1.8%
Ghana	Jirapa Lam-bussie	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Jirapa Lam-bussie	2017	6.8%	10.6%	4.2%
Ghana	Jomoro	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Ghana	Jomoro	2017	13.5%	18.9%	9.0%
Ghana	Juabeso	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Juabeso	2017	3.7%	8.8%	1.1%
Ghana	Kadjebi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Kadjebi	2017	4.0%	9.8%	0.9%
Ghana	Karaga	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Karaga	2017	2.5%	6.6%	0.5%
Ghana	Kassena Nankana	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Kassena Nankana	2017	3.3%	7.3%	1.1%
Ghana	Keta	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Keta	2017	9.5%	18.9%	4.6%
Ghana	Ketu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Ketu	2017	13.0%	19.3%	8.4%
Ghana	Kintampo North	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Kintampo North	2017	4.9%	9.2%	2.2%
Ghana	Kintampo South	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Kintampo South	2017	2.6%	7.2%	0.6%
Ghana	Komenda-Edina-Eguafo-Abirem	2000	0.3%	2.5%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Komenda-Edina-Eguafo-Abirem	2017	33.5%	42.8%	26.5%
Ghana	Kpandu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Kpandu	2017	2.6%	7.7%	0.5%
Ghana	Krachi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Krachi	2017	3.7%	10.7%	0.7%
Ghana	Krachi East	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Krachi East	2017	3.1%	8.2%	0.5%
Ghana	Kumasi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Kumasi	2017	34.7%	35.9%	33.4%
Ghana	Kwabibirem	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Kwabibirem	2017	8.8%	15.7%	4.8%
Ghana	Kwabre	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Kwabre	2017	17.4%	19.8%	15.1%
Ghana	Kwahu South	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Kwahu South	2017	5.1%	14.5%	1.5%
Ghana	Kwahu West	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Kwahu West	2017	9.1%	19.1%	3.9%
Ghana	Lawra	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Lawra	2017	2.3%	4.8%	1.1%
Ghana	Lower Denkyira	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Lower Denkyira	2017	5.3%	10.6%	1.8%
Ghana	Manya Krobo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Manya Krobo	2017	2.6%	6.3%	1.0%
Ghana	Mfantsiman	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Mfantsiman	2017	11.3%	16.9%	8.0%
Ghana	Mpohor	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Wassa East	2017	10.4%	15.7%	5.9%
Ghana	Nadowli	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Nadowli	2017	1.8%	4.4%	0.6%
Ghana	Nanumba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	North Nanumba	2017	2.4%	6.5%	0.5%
Ghana	South Nanumba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	South Nanumba	2017	1.8%	5.5%	0.3%
Ghana	New Juaben	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	New Juaben	2017	31.1%	45.5%	20.2%
Ghana	Nkoranza	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Nkoranza	2017	5.1%	10.3%	1.9%
Ghana	Nkwanta	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Nkwanta	2017	3.1%	6.8%	0.9%
Ghana	North Tongu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	North Tongu	2017	13.8%	25.4%	5.6%
Ghana	Nzema East	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Nzema East	2017	7.5%	13.1%	3.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Obuasi Municipal	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Obuasi Municipal	2017	16.8%	36.8%	4.2%
Ghana	Offinso	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Offinso	2017	3.9%	10.4%	1.1%
Ghana	Pru	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Pru	2017	5.4%	13.3%	1.8%
Ghana	Saboba Chereponi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Saboba Chereponi	2017	3.0%	7.0%	0.8%
Ghana	Savelugu Nanton	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Savelugu Nanton	2017	2.2%	5.9%	0.5%
Ghana	Sawa-Tuna-Kalba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Sawa-Tuna-Kalba	2017	2.5%	5.7%	0.6%
Ghana	Sefwi Wiawso	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Sefwi Wiawso	2017	4.2%	9.1%	1.5%
Ghana	Sekyere East	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Sekyere East	2017	5.1%	8.8%	2.7%
Ghana	Sekyere West	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Sekyere West	2017	7.4%	15.7%	2.4%
Ghana	Sene	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Sene	2017	3.9%	7.7%	1.6%
Ghana	Shama	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Ahanta East				
Ghana	Shama Ahanta East	2017	46.9%	51.3%	42.8%
Ghana	Sissala East	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Sissala East	2017	2.0%	4.7%	0.7%
Ghana	Sissala West	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Sissala West	2017	1.8%	4.7%	0.5%
Ghana	South Dayi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	South Dayi	2017	4.7%	16.9%	0.4%
Ghana	South Tongu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	South Tongu	2017	7.0%	20.6%	1.3%
Ghana	Suhum	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Kraboia Coaltar				
Ghana	Suhum Kraboia Coaltar	2017	9.6%	16.3%	5.3%
Ghana	Sunyani	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Sunyani	2017	13.2%	19.9%	9.6%
Ghana	Tain	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Tain	2017	3.1%	6.2%	1.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Talensi Nabdam	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Talensi Nabdam	2017	1.0%	4.4%	0.1%
Ghana	Tamale	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Tamale	2017	19.7%	22.7%	16.1%
Ghana	Tano North	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Tano North	2017	8.3%	27.0%	1.9%
Ghana	Tano South	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Tano South	2017	9.9%	26.8%	2.7%
Ghana	Techiman	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Techiman	2017	6.1%	12.2%	2.7%
Ghana	Tema	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Ghana	Tema	2017	54.6%	59.0%	50.4%
Ghana	Tolon-Kumbungu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Tolon-Kumbungu	2017	3.8%	8.8%	1.3%
Ghana	Upper Denkyira	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Upper Denkyira	2017	10.3%	20.7%	4.4%
Ghana	Wa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Wa	2017	5.7%	8.7%	4.1%
Ghana	Wa East	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Wa East	2017	3.5%	7.8%	1.1%
Ghana	Wa West	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Wa West	2017	3.7%	7.4%	1.8%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi East	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi East	2017	5.4%	12.9%	1.6%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi West	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi West	2017	4.6%	9.6%	1.7%
Ghana	Wassa West	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Wassa West	2017	17.2%	24.6%	11.9%
Ghana	West Akim	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	West Akim	2017	6.5%	11.8%	3.0%
Ghana	West Gonja	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	West Gonja	2017	2.2%	4.5%	0.8%
Ghana	West Mamprusi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	West Mamprusi	2017	3.9%	8.2%	1.5%
Ghana	Yendi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Yendi	2017	3.7%	7.6%	1.6%
Ghana	Yilo Krobo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ghana	Yilo Krobo	2017	6.1%	9.0%	3.9%
Ghana	Zabzugu Tatale	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Zabzugu	2017	2.4%	6.6%	0.5%
	Tatale				
Guinea	Beyla	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Beyla	2017	3.6%	6.0%	2.0%
Guinea	Boffa	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Guinea	Boffa	2017	11.7%	18.4%	7.0%
Guinea	Boké	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Guinea	Boké	2017	14.8%	19.6%	11.0%
Guinea	Conakry	2000	6.2%	7.8%	4.2%
Guinea	Conakry	2017	62.8%	65.7%	60.2%
Guinea	Coyah	2000	3.9%	4.2%	3.2%
Guinea	Coyah	2017	21.2%	27.7%	16.8%
Guinea	Dabola	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Dabola	2017	4.7%	8.6%	1.9%
Guinea	Dalaba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Dalaba	2017	4.6%	8.2%	2.2%
Guinea	Dinguiraye	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Dinguiraye	2017	2.8%	4.8%	1.4%
Guinea	Dubrêka	2000	0.3%	1.3%	0.1%
Guinea	Dubrêka	2017	18.2%	26.9%	12.1%
Guinea	Faranah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Faranah	2017	6.2%	8.8%	3.9%
Guinea	Forécariah	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Guinea	Forécariah	2017	14.5%	22.1%	9.3%
Guinea	Fria	2000	5.1%	8.9%	1.8%
Guinea	Fria	2017	36.5%	49.7%	26.5%
Guinea	Gaoual	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Gaoual	2017	5.6%	9.2%	3.5%
Guinea	Guéckédou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Guéckédou	2017	4.3%	7.4%	2.1%
Guinea	Kankan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Kankan	2017	7.0%	14.9%	3.4%
Guinea	Kérouané	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Kérouané	2017	3.7%	6.8%	1.9%
Guinea	Kindia	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Guinea	Kindia	2017	11.2%	14.7%	8.3%
Guinea	Kissidougou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Kissidougou	2017	4.8%	8.7%	2.6%
Guinea	Koubia	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Guinea	Koubia	2017	7.3%	12.6%	3.1%
Guinea	Koundara	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Koundara	2017	2.5%	5.2%	1.0%
Guinea	Kouroussa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Kouroussa	2017	4.7%	7.7%	2.6%
Guinea	Labé	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Guinea	Labé	2017	9.8%	13.1%	6.5%
Guinea	Lélouma	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Lélouma	2017	5.5%	10.8%	2.5%
Guinea	Lola	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Lola	2017	4.5%	8.1%	2.0%
Guinea	Macenta	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Macenta	2017	5.0%	9.1%	2.7%
Guinea	Mali	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea	Mali	2017	6.0%	9.4%	3.2%
Guinea	Mamou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Mamou	2017	5.0%	7.2%	3.1%
Guinea	Mandiana	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Mandiana	2017	3.8%	6.4%	2.1%
Guinea	Nzérékoré	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Nzérékoré	2017	7.4%	12.0%	4.8%
Guinea	Pita	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Pita	2017	7.8%	11.1%	5.0%
Guinea	Siguiri	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Siguiri	2017	4.0%	6.1%	2.5%
Guinea	Télimélé	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Guinea	Télimélé	2017	9.6%	13.8%	6.2%
Guinea	Tougué	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Guinea	Tougué	2017	6.2%	11.0%	3.1%
Guinea	Yamou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Guinea	Yamou	2017	3.0%	5.9%	1.0%
Guinea-	Bafata	2000	8.2%	23.0%	1.4%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bafata	2017	3.9%	12.6%	0.6%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bambadinca	2000	5.8%	16.9%	0.7%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bambadinca	2017	2.6%	8.7%	0.3%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bedanda	2000	2.5%	6.4%	0.5%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bedanda	2017	1.0%	2.9%	0.2%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bigene	2000	5.5%	12.3%	1.4%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bigene	2017	2.7%	7.2%	0.6%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bissau	2000	33.5%	56.3%	16.8%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bissau	2017	19.1%	36.2%	7.3%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bissora	2000	5.7%	16.1%	1.2%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bissora	2017	2.6%	9.0%	0.4%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Boe	2000	3.6%	8.0%	0.9%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Boe	2017	1.6%	4.2%	0.4%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bolama	2000	5.6%	22.6%	0.2%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bolama	2017	2.7%	13.7%	0.1%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Buba	2000	3.5%	11.6%	0.5%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Buba	2017	1.7%	6.9%	0.2%
Bissau					

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea-Bissau	Bubaque	2000	3.3%	9.4%	0.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Bubaque	2017	1.4%	4.4%	0.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Bula	2000	7.2%	23.9%	1.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Bula	2017	3.8%	13.9%	0.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacheu	2000	2.7%	7.5%	0.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacheu	2017	1.2%	3.5%	0.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacine	2000	2.0%	7.9%	0.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacine	2017	0.9%	4.0%	0.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Caio	2000	2.5%	9.2%	0.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Caio	2017	1.1%	3.9%	0.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Canghungo	2000	3.4%	12.3%	0.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Canghungo	2017	1.5%	6.4%	0.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Caravela	2000	3.3%	13.9%	0.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Caravela	2017	1.5%	7.6%	0.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Catio	2000	2.1%	6.7%	0.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Catio	2017	0.9%	3.6%	0.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Contuboel	2000	5.3%	13.5%	1.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Contuboel	2017	2.4%	6.8%	0.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Empada	2000	2.4%	8.2%	0.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Empada	2017	1.0%	3.6%	0.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Farim	2000	5.2%	12.9%	1.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Farim	2017	2.4%	7.3%	0.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Fulacunda	2000	4.2%	12.0%	0.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Fulacunda	2017	1.8%	6.3%	0.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Gabu	2000	7.3%	16.3%	2.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Gabu	2017	3.2%	8.1%	0.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea-Bissau	Galomaro	2000	6.2%	17.6%	1.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Galomaro	2017	2.8%	9.7%	0.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Gamamundo	2000	6.4%	15.6%	1.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Gamamundo	2017	3.0%	8.3%	0.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansaba	2000	5.9%	15.3%	1.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansaba	2017	2.9%	8.4%	0.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansoa	2000	6.4%	15.2%	1.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansoa	2017	3.0%	9.1%	0.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Nhacra	2000	9.1%	23.8%	1.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Nhacra	2017	5.1%	17.2%	0.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Piche	2000	7.0%	16.3%	2.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Piche	2017	3.3%	8.5%	0.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Pirada	2000	5.7%	15.3%	1.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Pirada	2017	2.7%	8.2%	0.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Prabis	2000	10.6%	39.0%	0.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Prabis	2017	5.2%	24.5%	0.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Quebo	2000	5.3%	14.8%	0.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Quebo	2017	2.7%	8.9%	0.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Quinhamel	2000	4.7%	14.1%	0.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Quinhamel	2017	2.3%	8.8%	0.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Safim	2000	13.9%	57.8%	1.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Safim	2017	10.0%	52.9%	0.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Sao Domingos	2000	5.6%	13.9%	1.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Sao Domingos	2017	2.2%	6.7%	0.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Sonaco	2000	7.2%	20.3%	1.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Sonaco	2017	3.5%	11.4%	0.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea-Bissau	Tite	2000	4.0%	12.3%	0.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Tite	2017	1.8%	6.4%	0.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Xitole	2000	4.3%	12.7%	0.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Xitole	2017	2.1%	6.9%	0.3%
Kenya	805	2000	10.1%	19.2%	5.2%
Kenya	805	2017	8.9%	16.6%	4.6%
Kenya	Ainabkoi	2000	4.5%	8.6%	2.8%
Kenya	Ainabkoi	2017	3.9%	7.3%	2.3%
Kenya	Ainamoi	2000	13.1%	15.4%	11.2%
Kenya	Ainamoi	2017	10.7%	12.7%	9.1%
Kenya	Aldai	2000	5.5%	8.0%	4.0%
Kenya	Aldai	2017	4.9%	7.2%	3.5%
Kenya	Alego Usonga	2000	6.8%	8.3%	5.5%
Kenya	Alego Usonga	2017	5.8%	7.2%	4.7%
Kenya	Awendo	2000	3.3%	5.5%	1.9%
Kenya	Awendo	2017	2.9%	5.1%	1.7%
Kenya	Bahati	2000	14.5%	18.8%	10.8%
Kenya	Bahati	2017	11.9%	15.3%	8.8%
Kenya	Balambala	2000	3.8%	12.2%	0.4%
Kenya	Balambala	2017	3.4%	11.9%	0.3%
Kenya	Banissa	2000	2.5%	12.0%	0.1%
Kenya	Banissa	2017	2.2%	11.1%	0.0%
Kenya	Baringo Central	2000	9.6%	24.0%	3.1%
Kenya	Baringo Central	2017	8.8%	22.5%	2.6%
Kenya	Baringo North	2000	3.5%	6.6%	1.7%
Kenya	Baringo North	2017	3.3%	5.9%	1.6%
Kenya	Baringo South	2000	2.8%	7.6%	0.7%
Kenya	Baringo South	2017	2.4%	6.7%	0.6%
Kenya	Belgut	2000	11.4%	13.2%	10.0%
Kenya	Belgut	2017	9.3%	10.8%	8.1%
Kenya	Bobasi	2000	1.2%	2.9%	0.5%
Kenya	Bobasi	2017	1.0%	2.4%	0.4%
Kenya	Bomachoge Borabu	2000	1.3%	3.4%	0.3%
Kenya	Bomachoge Borabu	2017	0.9%	2.4%	0.2%
Kenya	Bomachoge Chache	2000	1.2%	4.1%	0.3%
Kenya	Bomachoge Chache	2017	0.9%	3.3%	0.2%
Kenya	Bomet Central	2000	3.1%	7.1%	1.4%
Kenya	Bomet Central	2017	2.5%	5.6%	1.1%
Kenya	Bomet East	2000	1.6%	5.0%	0.6%
Kenya	Bomet East	2017	1.6%	4.9%	0.6%
Kenya	Bonchari	2000	6.9%	10.1%	4.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Bonchari	2017	5.5%	8.1%	3.4%
Kenya	Bondo	2000	2.5%	4.2%	1.5%
Kenya	Bondo	2017	2.1%	3.6%	1.3%
Kenya	Borabu	2000	2.8%	4.3%	1.6%
Kenya	Borabu	2017	2.3%	3.7%	1.3%
Kenya	Budalangi	2000	2.1%	3.7%	1.1%
Kenya	Budalangi	2017	2.1%	3.5%	1.1%
Kenya	Bumula	2000	3.1%	4.7%	2.3%
Kenya	Bumula	2017	2.4%	3.6%	1.8%
Kenya	Bura	2000	4.1%	9.2%	1.5%
Kenya	Bura	2017	3.9%	7.9%	1.8%
Kenya	Bureti	2000	8.6%	11.5%	6.5%
Kenya	Bureti	2017	6.9%	9.5%	5.1%
Kenya	Butere	2000	7.2%	8.7%	5.8%
Kenya	Butere	2017	6.0%	7.4%	4.8%
Kenya	Butula	2000	2.0%	4.1%	1.0%
Kenya	Butula	2017	1.5%	2.9%	0.7%
Kenya	Buuri	2000	6.5%	13.7%	2.6%
Kenya	Buuri	2017	6.0%	12.6%	2.6%
Kenya	Central	2000	4.5%	6.7%	3.0%
Kenya	Central	2017	3.7%	5.5%	2.5%
Kenya	Changamwe	2000	25.2%	30.2%	20.0%
Kenya	Changamwe	2017	21.4%	26.0%	16.7%
Kenya	Chepalungu	2000	15.4%	21.5%	8.8%
Kenya	Chepalungu	2017	16.0%	21.8%	9.4%
Kenya	Cherangany	2000	2.1%	4.1%	0.8%
Kenya	Cherangany	2017	2.0%	3.9%	0.7%
Kenya	Chesumei	2000	4.3%	11.2%	2.1%
Kenya	Chesumei	2017	3.6%	10.0%	1.7%
Kenya	Chuka/Igambang	2000	9.1%	17.0%	4.4%
Kenya	Chuka/Igambang	2017	8.1%	15.5%	3.9%
Kenya	Daadab	2000	6.2%	40.3%	0.2%
Kenya	Daadab	2017	5.9%	43.2%	0.2%
Kenya	Dagoretti	2000	44.4%	46.1%	42.7%
Kenya	Dagoretti	2017	39.5%	41.1%	37.9%
Kenya	Dagoretti	2000	19.8%	22.0%	17.8%
Kenya	Dagoretti	2017	17.0%	18.9%	15.4%
Kenya	Eldama	2000	4.7%	7.8%	2.4%
Kenya	Eldama	2017	3.9%	6.7%	2.0%
Kenya	Eldas	2000	3.9%	16.9%	0.2%
Kenya	Eldas	2017	3.4%	13.8%	0.1%
Kenya	Embakasi	2000	58.1%	60.4%	55.7%
Kenya	Embakasi	2017	52.7%	55.1%	50.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Embakasi East	2000	45.3%	48.2%	42.5%
Kenya	Embakasi East	2017	40.1%	42.8%	37.6%
Kenya	Embakasi North	2000	57.3%	59.1%	55.5%
Kenya	Embakasi North	2017	52.1%	54.0%	50.2%
Kenya	Embakasi South	2000	40.1%	43.2%	36.6%
Kenya	Embakasi South	2017	35.0%	38.0%	31.7%
Kenya	Embakasi West	2000	61.2%	63.2%	59.1%
Kenya	Embakasi West	2017	56.1%	58.2%	53.8%
Kenya	Emgwen	2000	10.8%	19.7%	5.4%
Kenya	Emgwen	2017	10.2%	18.9%	4.9%
Kenya	Emuhaya	2000	2.3%	4.5%	1.2%
Kenya	Emuhaya	2017	1.9%	3.7%	1.0%
Kenya	Emurua Dikirr	2000	5.6%	14.7%	1.0%
Kenya	Emurua Dikirr	2017	6.1%	15.4%	1.1%
Kenya	Endebess	2000	5.0%	7.1%	3.5%
Kenya	Endebess	2017	4.6%	6.3%	3.3%
Kenya	Fafi	2000	5.8%	37.5%	0.4%
Kenya	Fafi	2017	5.2%	36.6%	0.3%
Kenya	Funyula	2000	2.7%	4.5%	1.5%
Kenya	Funyula	2017	2.4%	3.8%	1.4%
Kenya	Galole	2000	6.4%	12.2%	2.4%
Kenya	Galole	2017	5.2%	10.1%	1.8%
Kenya	Ganze	2000	5.5%	11.2%	2.3%
Kenya	Ganze	2017	4.8%	10.3%	1.8%
Kenya	Garissa Township	2000	16.3%	21.2%	11.8%
Kenya	Garissa Township	2017	14.0%	18.9%	10.0%
Kenya	Garsen	2000	2.9%	7.5%	0.9%
Kenya	Garsen	2017	2.5%	6.8%	0.7%
Kenya	Gatanga	2000	7.7%	10.5%	6.0%
Kenya	Gatanga	2017	6.7%	9.1%	5.2%
Kenya	Gatundu North	2000	6.3%	8.6%	4.7%
Kenya	Gatundu North	2017	4.7%	6.4%	3.4%
Kenya	Gatundu South	2000	4.0%	5.6%	2.9%
Kenya	Gatundu South	2017	3.2%	4.5%	2.3%
Kenya	Gem	2000	3.3%	5.0%	2.1%
Kenya	Gem	2017	2.6%	4.2%	1.7%
Kenya	Gichugu	2000	3.1%	4.3%	2.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Gichugu	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.6%
Kenya	Gilgil	2000	30.6%	40.4%	21.4%
Kenya	Gilgil	2017	30.1%	39.5%	20.9%
Kenya	Githunguri	2000	11.0%	14.2%	8.6%
Kenya	Githunguri	2017	8.6%	11.4%	6.6%
Kenya	Hamisi	2000	3.0%	6.0%	1.4%
Kenya	Hamisi	2017	2.3%	4.6%	1.1%
Kenya	Homa Bay Town	2000	8.5%	15.7%	4.9%
Kenya	Homa Bay Town	2017	7.1%	14.1%	4.0%
Kenya	Igembe Central	2000	1.6%	3.6%	0.5%
Kenya	Igembe Central	2017	1.4%	3.4%	0.4%
Kenya	Igembe North	2000	1.0%	5.1%	0.1%
Kenya	Igembe North	2017	0.8%	4.0%	0.0%
Kenya	Igembe South	2000	3.5%	9.2%	1.4%
Kenya	Igembe South	2000	0.7%	3.1%	0.0%
Kenya	Igembe South	2017	2.2%	7.0%	0.9%
Kenya	Igembe South	2017	0.6%	2.7%	0.0%
Kenya	Ijara	2000	4.2%	14.2%	0.2%
Kenya	Ijara	2017	4.2%	14.6%	0.1%
Kenya	Ikolomani	2000	8.7%	11.9%	6.4%
Kenya	Ikolomani	2017	8.3%	11.1%	6.2%
Kenya	Isiolo North	2000	2.9%	6.8%	1.3%
Kenya	Isiolo North	2017	2.7%	6.9%	1.1%
Kenya	Isiolo South	2000	3.0%	9.0%	0.4%
Kenya	Isiolo South	2017	2.7%	8.1%	0.3%
Kenya	Jomvu	2000	23.7%	27.2%	20.1%
Kenya	Jomvu	2017	20.2%	23.4%	17.1%
Kenya	Juja	2000	14.4%	16.2%	12.9%
Kenya	Juja	2017	13.7%	15.6%	12.1%
Kenya	Kabete	2000	8.9%	11.9%	6.5%
Kenya	Kabete	2017	7.6%	10.1%	5.6%
Kenya	Kabondo	2000	2.3%	5.6%	0.9%
Kenya	Kasipul Kabondo	2017	2.3%	5.5%	0.9%
Kenya	Kabuchai	2000	2.9%	3.8%	2.2%
Kenya	Kabuchai	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.7%
Kenya	Kacheliba	2000	3.0%	9.1%	0.3%
Kenya	Kacheliba	2017	2.7%	8.0%	0.3%
Kenya	Kaiti	2000	4.4%	11.2%	1.8%
Kenya	Kaiti	2017	3.6%	10.0%	1.4%
Kenya	Kajiado Central	2000	3.3%	8.9%	0.8%
Kenya	Kajiado Central	2017	2.9%	7.9%	0.7%
Kenya	Kajiado East	2000	14.3%	19.2%	10.8%
Kenya	Kajiado East	2017	12.5%	17.2%	9.3%
Kenya	Kajiado North	2000	13.1%	17.6%	9.9%
Kenya	Kajiado North	2017	13.9%	18.8%	10.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kajiado South	2000	2.4%	8.7%	0.2%
Kenya	Kajiado South	2017	2.2%	8.1%	0.2%
Kenya	Kajiado West	2000	3.5%	7.3%	1.4%
Kenya	Kajiado West	2017	3.4%	6.9%	1.5%
Kenya	Kaloleni	2000	8.9%	11.3%	7.2%
Kenya	Kaloleni	2017	7.9%	10.0%	6.4%
Kenya	Kamukunji	2000	71.0%	72.1%	70.0%
Kenya	Kamukunji	2017	66.4%	67.6%	65.3%
Kenya	Kandara	2000	0.8%	1.5%	0.4%
Kenya	Kandara	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.3%
Kenya	Kanduyi	2000	14.7%	17.7%	12.3%
Kenya	Kanduyi	2017	12.9%	15.7%	10.6%
Kenya	Kangema	2000	1.5%	2.5%	0.8%
Kenya	Kangema	2017	1.2%	2.2%	0.7%
Kenya	Kangundo	2000	1.1%	3.1%	0.4%
Kenya	Kangundo	2017	1.0%	2.5%	0.3%
Kenya	Kapenguria	2000	2.1%	4.3%	1.0%
Kenya	Kapenguria	2017	1.8%	3.7%	0.8%
Kenya	Kapseret	2000	22.6%	26.1%	18.6%
Kenya	Kapseret	2017	19.5%	23.1%	15.7%
Kenya	Karachuonyo	2000	5.7%	11.2%	2.9%
Kenya	Karachuonyo	2017	4.8%	9.6%	2.5%
Kenya	Kasarani	2000	41.0%	43.6%	38.8%
Kenya	Kasarani	2017	37.8%	40.0%	35.7%
Kenya	Kasipul	2000	2.7%	4.5%	1.4%
Kenya	Kasipul	2017	2.3%	3.8%	1.2%
Kenya	Kathiani	2000	5.9%	10.3%	2.8%
Kenya	Kathiani	2017	4.8%	8.6%	2.3%
Kenya	Keiyo North	2000	16.4%	21.0%	12.3%
Kenya	Keiyo North	2017	13.6%	17.9%	10.0%
Kenya	Keiyo South	2000	3.9%	6.8%	1.8%
Kenya	Keiyo South	2017	3.5%	6.0%	1.6%
Kenya	Kesses	2000	5.4%	8.2%	3.9%
Kenya	Kesses	2017	4.2%	6.3%	3.1%
Kenya	Khwisero	2000	4.6%	8.0%	2.9%
Kenya	Khwisero	2017	3.4%	5.7%	2.2%
Kenya	Kiambaa	2000	20.2%	21.4%	19.1%
Kenya	Kiambaa	2017	17.0%	18.1%	16.1%
Kenya	Kiambu	2000	33.1%	36.4%	30.1%
Kenya	Kiambu	2017	27.8%	30.6%	25.4%
Kenya	Kibra	2000	32.5%	34.5%	30.5%
Kenya	Kibra	2017	28.3%	30.2%	26.5%
Kenya	Kibwezi East	2000	1.8%	7.1%	0.1%
Kenya	Kibwezi East	2017	1.7%	7.0%	0.1%
Kenya	Kibwezi West	2000	9.1%	16.0%	5.5%
Kenya	Kibwezi West	2017	8.0%	14.4%	4.8%
Kenya	Kieni	2000	2.9%	5.9%	1.6%
Kenya	Kieni	2017	2.6%	5.4%	1.5%
Kenya	Kigumo	2000	3.3%	5.0%	2.1%
Kenya	Kigumo	2017	2.5%	3.8%	1.7%
Kenya	Kiharu	2000	9.1%	10.5%	7.9%
Kenya	Kiharu	2017	8.0%	9.4%	6.8%
Kenya	Kikuyu	2000	8.8%	12.0%	6.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kikuyu	2017	7.3%	9.9%	5.4%
Kenya	Kilgoris	2000	2.4%	5.1%	1.0%
Kenya	Kilgoris	2017	2.0%	4.5%	0.8%
Kenya	Kilifi North	2000	9.9%	13.1%	8.0%
Kenya	Kilifi North	2017	8.4%	11.1%	6.8%
Kenya	Kilifi South	2000	15.2%	17.2%	13.4%
Kenya	Kilifi South	2017	12.9%	14.8%	11.3%
Kenya	Kilome	2000	1.8%	5.6%	0.4%
Kenya	Kilome	2017	1.5%	4.4%	0.4%
Kenya	Kimilili	2000	0.9%	2.0%	0.3%
Kenya	Kimilili	2017	0.6%	1.5%	0.2%
Kenya	Kiminini	2000	4.1%	5.2%	3.2%
Kenya	Kiminini	2017	4.0%	4.9%	3.2%
Kenya	Kinango	2000	2.9%	6.7%	1.2%
Kenya	Kinango	2017	2.6%	6.1%	1.0%
Kenya	Kinangop	2000	1.5%	4.1%	0.4%
Kenya	Kinangop	2017	1.4%	3.9%	0.4%
Kenya	Kipipiri	2000	1.2%	3.9%	0.3%
Kenya	Kipipiri	2017	1.3%	3.6%	0.3%
Kenya	Kipkelion East	2000	2.8%	6.1%	1.3%
Kenya	Kipkelion East	2017	2.4%	5.3%	1.1%
Kenya	Kipkelion West	2000	7.9%	18.6%	3.7%
Kenya	Kipkelion West	2017	7.6%	17.8%	3.7%
Kenya	Kirinyaga Central	2000	5.6%	7.2%	4.2%
Kenya	Kirinyaga Central	2017	4.4%	5.7%	3.4%
Kenya	Kisauni	2000	28.5%	30.4%	26.5%
Kenya	Kisauni	2017	24.5%	26.2%	22.7%
Kenya	Kisumu Central	2000	23.8%	26.8%	20.8%
Kenya	Kisumu Central	2017	21.5%	24.3%	18.7%
Kenya	Kisumu East	2000	16.0%	18.0%	14.1%
Kenya	Kisumu East	2017	14.3%	16.0%	12.6%
Kenya	Kisumu West	2000	8.7%	11.0%	6.7%
Kenya	Kisumu West	2017	7.2%	9.2%	5.5%
Kenya	Kitui Central	2000	3.5%	5.9%	2.4%
Kenya	Kitui Central	2017	3.1%	5.3%	2.2%
Kenya	Kitui East	2000	7.1%	16.1%	2.7%
Kenya	Kitui East	2017	6.1%	14.5%	2.2%
Kenya	Kitui Rural	2000	2.4%	6.0%	0.9%
Kenya	Kitui Rural	2017	2.0%	5.1%	0.7%
Kenya	Kitui South	2000	3.8%	9.2%	1.1%
Kenya	Kitui South	2017	3.3%	8.2%	1.0%
Kenya	Kitui West	2000	1.0%	4.6%	0.1%
Kenya	Kitui West	2017	0.9%	4.4%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kitutu Chache North	2000	2.0%	4.2%	1.0%
Kenya	Kitutu Chache North	2017	1.5%	3.2%	0.8%
Kenya	Kitutu Chache South	2000	4.2%	5.8%	3.1%
Kenya	Kitutu Chache South	2017	4.1%	5.5%	3.0%
Kenya	Kitutu Masaba	2000	4.2%	6.8%	2.8%
Kenya	Kitutu Masaba	2017	3.4%	5.5%	2.2%
Kenya	Konoin	2000	6.6%	8.7%	5.1%
Kenya	Konoin	2017	5.5%	7.4%	4.2%
Kenya	Kuresoi North	2000	1.9%	5.6%	0.6%
Kenya	Kuresoi North	2017	1.8%	5.1%	0.5%
Kenya	Kuresoi South	2000	2.3%	7.9%	0.7%
Kenya	Kuresoi South	2017	2.1%	7.0%	0.6%
Kenya	Kuria East	2000	0.8%	3.4%	0.1%
Kenya	Kuria East	2017	0.7%	2.8%	0.1%
Kenya	Kuria West	2000	6.0%	10.8%	3.1%
Kenya	Kuria West	2017	5.4%	9.4%	2.8%
Kenya	Kwanza	2000	12.2%	18.7%	9.2%
Kenya	Kwanza	2017	10.8%	16.3%	8.1%
Kenya	Lafey	2000	2.3%	9.1%	0.1%
Kenya	Lafey	2017	2.0%	8.0%	0.1%
Kenya	Lagdera	2000	3.0%	11.6%	0.2%
Kenya	Lagdera	2017	2.9%	11.1%	0.2%
Kenya	Laikipia East	2000	8.5%	13.5%	5.9%
Kenya	Laikipia East	2017	8.1%	13.0%	5.5%
Kenya	Laikipia North	2000	6.4%	14.2%	2.0%
Kenya	Laikipia North	2017	5.9%	12.7%	1.8%
Kenya	Laikipia West	2000	12.9%	16.5%	10.1%
Kenya	Laikipia West	2017	11.0%	14.4%	8.4%
Kenya	Laisamis	2000	2.2%	6.3%	0.4%
Kenya	Laisamis	2017	2.1%	6.0%	0.4%
Kenya	Lamu East	2000	9.9%	18.1%	5.1%
Kenya	Lamu East	2017	8.7%	17.2%	3.4%
Kenya	Lamu West	2000	10.8%	15.8%	6.3%
Kenya	Lamu West	2017	9.0%	13.7%	5.2%
Kenya	Langata	2000	31.2%	32.8%	29.5%
Kenya	Langata	2017	27.7%	29.1%	26.1%
Kenya	Lari	2000	1.9%	5.1%	0.8%
Kenya	Lari	2017	1.6%	4.2%	0.7%
Kenya	Likoni	2000	13.6%	16.2%	11.5%
Kenya	Likoni	2017	11.1%	13.2%	9.3%
Kenya	Likuyani	2000	2.8%	6.1%	1.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Likuyani	2017	2.1%	4.6%	0.9%
Kenya	Limuru	2000	17.9%	20.8%	15.4%
Kenya	Limuru	2017	14.9%	17.4%	12.7%
Kenya	Loima	2000	3.2%	9.6%	0.3%
Kenya	Loima	2017	2.9%	9.3%	0.3%
Kenya	Luanda	2000	2.3%	3.4%	1.5%
Kenya	Luanda	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%
Kenya	Lugari	2000	2.1%	4.1%	1.0%
Kenya	Lugari	2000	1.9%	3.7%	0.9%
Kenya	Lugari	2017	1.5%	2.9%	0.7%
Kenya	Lugari	2017	1.5%	3.0%	0.8%
Kenya	Lungalunga	2000	2.4%	6.4%	0.7%
Kenya	Lungalunga	2017	2.0%	5.5%	0.6%
Kenya	Lurambi	2000	25.5%	28.5%	22.5%
Kenya	Lurambi	2017	24.3%	27.2%	21.2%
Kenya	Maara	2000	8.0%	10.4%	5.7%
Kenya	Maara	2017	6.7%	8.8%	4.7%
Kenya	Machakos	2000	15.6%	19.8%	13.2%
Kenya	Machakos Town	2017	13.7%	17.3%	11.5%
Kenya	Magarini	2000	8.5%	17.8%	4.4%
Kenya	Magarini	2017	6.9%	15.5%	3.4%
Kenya	Makadara	2000	55.7%	57.2%	54.1%
Kenya	Makadara	2017	50.8%	52.3%	49.2%
Kenya	Makueni	2000	1.0%	3.3%	0.3%
Kenya	Makueni	2017	0.9%	2.8%	0.3%
Kenya	Malava	2000	2.3%	4.4%	1.2%
Kenya	Malava	2017	1.6%	3.3%	0.8%
Kenya	Malindi	2000	16.1%	19.6%	13.2%
Kenya	Malindi	2017	12.8%	15.8%	10.4%
Kenya	Mandera East	2000	8.2%	12.5%	6.1%
Kenya	Mandera East	2017	6.7%	10.2%	4.9%
Kenya	Mandera	2000	2.4%	6.9%	0.2%
Kenya	Mandera North	2017	2.3%	7.5%	0.1%
Kenya	Mandera North	2000	1.9%	8.2%	0.2%
Kenya	Mandera South	2017	1.7%	6.4%	0.1%
Kenya	Mandera West	2000	4.9%	22.3%	0.2%
Kenya	Mandera West	2017	4.4%	20.1%	0.2%
Kenya	Manyatta	2000	6.7%	8.3%	5.3%
Kenya	Manyatta	2017	5.4%	6.9%	4.3%
Kenya	Maragwa	2000	2.7%	5.1%	1.6%
Kenya	Maragwa	2017	2.3%	4.8%	1.4%
Kenya	Marakwet	2000	1.8%	3.7%	0.9%
Kenya	Marakwet East	2017	1.5%	3.2%	0.8%
Kenya	Marakwet West	2000	3.3%	5.3%	1.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Marakwet West	2017	2.7%	4.4%	1.5%
Kenya	Masinga	2000	5.2%	16.6%	0.6%
Kenya	Masinga	2017	4.7%	15.5%	0.5%
Kenya	Matayos	2000	3.0%	4.6%	2.0%
Kenya	Matayos	2017	3.4%	5.1%	2.3%
Kenya	Mathare	2000	69.9%	71.0%	68.8%
Kenya	Mathare	2017	65.2%	66.4%	64.0%
Kenya	Mathioya	2000	1.9%	3.4%	1.1%
Kenya	Mathioya	2017	1.7%	3.1%	1.0%
Kenya	Mathira	2000	11.3%	14.8%	8.8%
Kenya	Mathira	2017	9.4%	12.6%	7.1%
Kenya	Matuga	2000	12.3%	16.2%	9.0%
Kenya	Matuga	2017	10.9%	14.8%	7.8%
Kenya	Matungu	2000	4.6%	6.4%	3.2%
Kenya	Matungu	2017	3.5%	4.8%	2.5%
Kenya	Matungulu	2000	1.0%	3.0%	0.2%
Kenya	Matungulu	2017	0.8%	2.5%	0.1%
Kenya	Mavoko	2000	42.2%	47.2%	37.9%
Kenya	Mavoko	2017	37.5%	42.3%	33.5%
Kenya	Mbeere North	2000	15.0%	20.7%	11.4%
Kenya	Mbeere North	2017	14.7%	19.6%	11.2%
Kenya	Mbeere South	2000	5.9%	14.4%	2.3%
Kenya	Mbeere South	2017	5.1%	13.5%	1.9%
Kenya	Mbita	2000	1.6%	3.6%	0.6%
Kenya	Mbita	2017	1.4%	3.2%	0.6%
Kenya	Mbooni	2000	0.9%	3.2%	0.2%
Kenya	Mbooni	2017	0.8%	2.7%	0.1%
Kenya	Mogotio	2000	1.8%	5.5%	0.4%
Kenya	Mogotio	2017	1.5%	4.8%	0.4%
Kenya	Moiben	2000	4.5%	8.7%	2.7%
Kenya	Moiben	2017	3.9%	7.4%	2.4%
Kenya	Molo	2000	2.0%	5.0%	0.7%
Kenya	Molo	2017	1.6%	4.0%	0.6%
Kenya	Mosop	2000	1.8%	4.6%	0.6%
Kenya	Mosop	2017	1.6%	4.3%	0.6%
Kenya	Moyale	2000	1.3%	3.6%	0.3%
Kenya	Moyale	2017	1.1%	3.6%	0.2%
Kenya	Msambweni	2000	3.6%	6.2%	2.0%
Kenya	Msambweni	2017	3.0%	5.5%	1.7%
Kenya	Mt. Elgon	2000	1.4%	2.7%	0.6%
Kenya	Mt. Elgon	2017	0.9%	1.8%	0.4%
Kenya	Muhoroni	2000	2.6%	4.3%	1.7%
Kenya	Muhoroni	2017	2.3%	3.7%	1.5%
Kenya	Mukurweini	2000	2.3%	4.9%	1.0%
Kenya	Mukurweini	2017	1.8%	4.1%	0.8%
Kenya	Mumias East	2000	6.5%	8.2%	5.0%
Kenya	Mumias East	2017	5.2%	6.6%	4.0%
Kenya	Mumias West	2000	6.6%	8.3%	5.2%
Kenya	Mumias West	2017	5.3%	6.6%	4.1%
Kenya	Mvita	2000	24.8%	26.6%	23.2%
Kenya	Mvita	2017	21.1%	22.7%	19.7%
Kenya	Mwala	2000	1.5%	5.3%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Mwala	2017	1.3%	4.6%	0.1%
Kenya	Mwatate	2000	4.6%	9.0%	2.4%
Kenya	Mwatate	2017	3.5%	7.5%	1.7%
Kenya	Mwea	2000	6.8%	9.4%	4.9%
Kenya	Mwea	2017	5.9%	8.0%	4.1%
Kenya	Mwingi Central	2000	2.9%	7.5%	0.8%
Kenya	Mwingi Central	2017	2.8%	7.2%	0.8%
Kenya	Mwingi North	2000	5.8%	10.4%	2.5%
Kenya	Mwingi North	2017	5.3%	9.5%	2.4%
Kenya	Mwingi West	2000	1.9%	6.4%	0.6%
Kenya	Mwingi West	2017	1.6%	5.8%	0.5%
Kenya	Naivasha	2000	11.7%	17.9%	7.7%
Kenya	Naivasha	2017	10.9%	16.5%	7.3%
Kenya	Nakuru Town East	2000	26.5%	30.8%	22.8%
Kenya	Nakuru Town East	2017	24.0%	28.0%	20.5%
Kenya	Nakuru Town West	2000	14.0%	18.5%	10.4%
Kenya	Nakuru Town West	2017	11.8%	15.8%	8.8%
Kenya	Nambale	2000	7.6%	10.2%	5.5%
Kenya	Nambale	2017	6.6%	9.0%	4.7%
Kenya	Nandi Hills	2000	2.1%	3.1%	1.5%
Kenya	Nandi Hills	2017	2.1%	3.1%	1.4%
Kenya	Narok East	2000	5.1%	19.4%	0.8%
Kenya	Narok East	2017	4.4%	19.0%	0.6%
Kenya	Narok North	2000	3.5%	9.0%	1.2%
Kenya	Narok North	2017	2.9%	7.9%	1.0%
Kenya	Narok South	2000	1.8%	5.6%	0.3%
Kenya	Narok South	2017	1.7%	5.2%	0.2%
Kenya	Narok West	2000	2.3%	7.5%	0.2%
Kenya	Narok West	2017	2.0%	6.9%	0.2%
Kenya	Navakholo	2000	0.8%	1.4%	0.5%
Kenya	Navakholo	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Kenya	Ndaragwa	2000	16.1%	19.2%	13.9%
Kenya	Ndaragwa	2017	17.2%	20.4%	14.9%
Kenya	Ndhiwa	2000	1.7%	3.1%	0.9%
Kenya	Ndhiwa	2017	1.4%	2.7%	0.7%
Kenya	Ndia	2000	4.1%	5.9%	2.7%
Kenya	Ndia	2017	3.1%	4.5%	2.0%
Kenya	Njoro	2000	8.0%	16.0%	5.0%
Kenya	Njoro	2017	7.1%	14.8%	4.2%
Kenya	North Horr	2000	1.3%	3.5%	0.3%
Kenya	North Horr	2017	1.3%	3.4%	0.2%
Kenya	North Imenti	2000	4.9%	8.6%	2.4%
Kenya	North Imenti	2017	4.1%	7.5%	1.9%
Kenya	North Mugirango	2000	0.5%	1.7%	0.1%
Kenya	North Mugirango	2017	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Nyakach	2000	2.0%	4.8%	0.8%
Kenya	Nyakach	2017	1.6%	3.8%	0.7%
Kenya	Nyali	2000	35.0%	39.5%	30.8%
Kenya	Nyali	2017	30.4%	34.9%	26.5%
Kenya	Nyando	2000	1.1%	2.8%	0.3%
Kenya	Nyando	2017	0.9%	2.4%	0.3%
Kenya	Nyaribari	2000	11.2%	14.2%	8.3%
Kenya	Chache				
Kenya	Nyaribari	2017	9.3%	11.8%	6.8%
Kenya	Chache				
Kenya	Nyaribari	2000	1.5%	3.2%	0.6%
Kenya	Masaba				
Kenya	Nyaribari	2017	1.2%	2.7%	0.5%
Kenya	Masaba				
Kenya	Nyatike	2000	2.4%	7.0%	0.7%
Kenya	Nyatike	2017	2.0%	5.9%	0.6%
Kenya	Nyeri Town	2000	16.9%	19.6%	14.4%
Kenya	Nyeri Town	2017	16.1%	18.6%	13.9%
Kenya	Ol Jorok	2000	17.0%	22.1%	13.6%
Kenya	Ol Jorok	2017	17.3%	21.9%	14.0%
Kenya	Ol Kalou	2000	0.6%	1.9%	0.1%
Kenya	Ol Kalou	2017	0.5%	1.5%	0.1%
Kenya	Othaya	2000	2.1%	4.3%	1.0%
Kenya	Othaya	2017	1.8%	3.7%	0.8%
Kenya	Pokot South	2000	1.5%	5.2%	0.4%
Kenya	Pokot South	2017	1.3%	4.3%	0.3%
Kenya	Rabai	2000	13.4%	16.0%	11.5%
Kenya	Rabai	2017	12.2%	14.6%	10.3%
Kenya	Rangwe	2000	2.4%	8.4%	0.5%
Kenya	Rangwe	2017	2.0%	7.5%	0.4%
Kenya	Rarieda	2000	10.9%	14.6%	7.5%
Kenya	Rarieda	2017	10.0%	13.4%	6.7%
Kenya	Rongai	2000	24.2%	29.8%	19.7%
Kenya	Rongai	2017	21.6%	26.8%	17.1%
Kenya	Rongo	2000	1.3%	2.9%	0.5%
Kenya	Rongo	2017	1.0%	2.2%	0.4%
Kenya	Roysambu	2000	52.9%	55.2%	50.4%
Kenya	Roysambu	2017	48.3%	50.5%	45.9%
Kenya	Ruaraka	2000	62.8%	64.1%	61.3%
Kenya	Ruaraka	2017	57.7%	59.2%	56.2%
Kenya	Ruiru	2000	33.9%	36.8%	30.8%
Kenya	Ruiru	2017	29.6%	32.5%	26.6%
Kenya	Runyenjes	2000	4.5%	11.3%	1.2%
Kenya	Runyenjes	2017	3.8%	9.8%	1.0%
Kenya	Sabatia	2000	2.0%	3.1%	1.2%
Kenya	Sabatia	2017	1.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Kenya	Saboti	2000	7.9%	10.9%	5.6%
Kenya	Saboti	2017	8.5%	11.5%	5.9%
Kenya	Saku	2000	1.6%	6.5%	0.1%
Kenya	Saku	2017	1.6%	6.3%	0.1%
Kenya	Samburu East	2000	3.5%	10.9%	0.5%
Kenya	Samburu East	2017	3.1%	9.4%	0.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Samburu North	2000	2.1%	6.1%	0.3%
Kenya	Samburu North	2017	1.9%	5.5%	0.2%
Kenya	Samburu West	2000	1.8%	5.7%	0.3%
Kenya	Samburu West	2017	1.6%	5.1%	0.3%
Kenya	Seme	2000	2.7%	4.4%	1.4%
Kenya	Seme	2017	2.4%	3.9%	1.2%
Kenya	Shinyalu	2000	6.1%	8.0%	4.5%
Kenya	Shinyalu	2017	5.3%	7.1%	3.9%
Kenya	Sigor	2000	5.4%	12.4%	2.0%
Kenya	Sigor	2017	4.5%	10.9%	1.6%
Kenya	Sigowet/Soin	2000	1.6%	3.2%	0.7%
Kenya	Sigowet/Soin	2017	1.3%	2.6%	0.6%
Kenya	Sirisia	2000	2.6%	3.5%	1.8%
Kenya	Sirisia	2017	2.1%	2.9%	1.5%
Kenya	Sotik	2000	10.5%	14.4%	7.5%
Kenya	Sotik	2017	9.4%	12.9%	6.6%
Kenya	South Imenti	2000	6.3%	8.7%	4.6%
Kenya	South Imenti	2017	5.1%	7.1%	3.7%
Kenya	South Mugi-rango	2000	1.0%	2.3%	0.3%
Kenya	South Mugi-rango	2017	0.8%	2.0%	0.2%
Kenya	Soy	2000	3.0%	4.3%	2.1%
Kenya	Soy	2017	2.5%	3.7%	1.8%
Kenya	Starehe	2000	56.6%	57.9%	55.3%
Kenya	Starehe	2017	51.7%	53.0%	50.5%
Kenya	Suba	2000	3.3%	9.7%	1.1%
Kenya	Suba	2017	2.8%	8.7%	0.9%
Kenya	Subukia	2000	10.5%	16.7%	6.6%
Kenya	Subukia	2017	9.2%	15.2%	5.5%
Kenya	Suna East	2000	5.8%	8.2%	4.1%
Kenya	Suna East	2017	4.8%	6.8%	3.4%
Kenya	Suna West	2000	1.3%	2.6%	0.6%
Kenya	Suna West	2017	1.2%	2.3%	0.6%
Kenya	Tarbaj	2000	3.0%	10.3%	0.2%
Kenya	Tarbaj	2017	2.6%	9.4%	0.2%
Kenya	Taveta	2000	12.5%	20.5%	5.5%
Kenya	Taveta	2017	12.3%	21.9%	4.9%
Kenya	Teso North	2000	8.8%	12.3%	6.1%
Kenya	Teso North	2017	8.1%	11.3%	5.6%
Kenya	Teso South	2000	4.6%	7.2%	3.1%
Kenya	Teso South	2017	3.8%	6.0%	2.6%
Kenya	Tetu	2000	7.4%	10.2%	5.6%
Kenya	Tetu	2017	6.4%	9.0%	4.8%
Kenya	Tharaka	2000	1.4%	5.1%	0.3%
Kenya	Tharaka	2017	1.2%	4.3%	0.2%
Kenya	Thika Town	2000	32.5%	35.4%	30.0%
Kenya	Thika Town	2017	29.0%	31.9%	26.5%
Kenya	Tiaty	2000	8.0%	16.6%	2.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Tiaty	2017	7.0%	15.5%	1.7%
Kenya	Tigania East	2000	5.8%	9.1%	4.0%
Kenya	Tigania East	2017	5.4%	9.1%	3.6%
Kenya	Tigania West	2000	1.8%	3.7%	0.7%
Kenya	Tigania West	2017	1.5%	3.2%	0.6%
Kenya	Tinderet	2000	5.7%	11.1%	3.2%
Kenya	Tinderet	2017	5.1%	10.1%	2.9%
Kenya	Tongaren	2000	2.6%	4.0%	1.7%
Kenya	Tongaren	2017	1.9%	2.9%	1.2%
Kenya	Turbo	2000	8.5%	12.2%	5.9%
Kenya	Turbo	2017	7.6%	11.2%	5.1%
Kenya	Turkana Central	2000	1.8%	5.4%	0.2%
Kenya	Turkana Central	2017	1.6%	5.4%	0.2%
Kenya	Turkana East	2000	2.3%	8.3%	0.2%
Kenya	Turkana East	2017	2.1%	7.3%	0.1%
Kenya	Turkana North	2000	1.9%	7.8%	0.2%
Kenya	Turkana North	2017	1.8%	6.9%	0.2%
Kenya	Turkana South	2000	3.1%	12.5%	0.2%
Kenya	Turkana South	2017	2.8%	11.3%	0.2%
Kenya	Turkana West	2000	2.8%	10.4%	0.2%
Kenya	Turkana West	2017	2.7%	9.0%	0.2%
Kenya	Ugenya	2000	7.3%	11.5%	4.4%
Kenya	Ugenya	2017	6.2%	9.8%	3.7%
Kenya	Ugunja	2000	8.0%	11.1%	5.1%
Kenya	Ugunja	2017	6.8%	9.6%	4.1%
Kenya	unknown 1	2000	3.1%	21.1%	0.0%
Kenya	unknown 1	2017	2.8%	18.0%	0.0%
Kenya	unknown 2	2000	1.9%	7.2%	0.1%
Kenya	unknown 2	2017	1.7%	6.6%	0.1%
Kenya	unknown 4	2000	11.5%	17.5%	8.6%
Kenya	unknown 4	2017	8.4%	12.6%	6.1%
Kenya	unknown 5	2000	11.9%	20.2%	7.2%
Kenya	unknown 5	2017	9.9%	17.1%	6.0%
Kenya	unknown 6	2000	8.9%	30.1%	0.8%
Kenya	unknown 6	2017	7.7%	28.4%	0.6%
Kenya	unknown 7	2000	16.4%	39.7%	3.3%
Kenya	unknown 7	2017	12.7%	32.2%	2.8%
Kenya	Uriri	2000	5.8%	8.9%	3.5%
Kenya	Uriri	2017	5.1%	8.1%	3.2%
Kenya	Vihiga	2000	0.9%	1.7%	0.5%
Kenya	Vihiga	2017	0.8%	1.4%	0.4%
Kenya	Voi	2000	7.4%	10.5%	5.5%
Kenya	Voi	2017	8.3%	11.8%	6.1%
Kenya	Wajir East	2000	1.8%	5.0%	0.3%
Kenya	Wajir East	2017	1.5%	4.3%	0.2%
Kenya	Wajir North	2000	4.5%	25.6%	0.3%
Kenya	Wajir North	2017	4.0%	17.5%	0.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Wajir South	2000	3.2%	7.6%	0.7%
Kenya	Wajir South	2017	2.8%	6.5%	0.6%
Kenya	Wajir West	2000	3.3%	10.8%	0.3%
Kenya	Wajir West	2017	2.9%	9.3%	0.3%
Kenya	Webute West	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.3%
Kenya	Webute West	2017	1.6%	2.5%	1.1%
Kenya	Webuye East	2000	3.3%	5.8%	2.0%
Kenya	Webuye East	2017	2.7%	5.0%	1.6%
Kenya	West Mugi-rango	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Kenya	West Mugi-rango	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Kenya	Westlands	2000	42.8%	44.2%	41.5%
Kenya	Westlands	2017	39.0%	40.3%	37.8%
Kenya	Wundanyi	2000	2.9%	4.8%	1.6%
Kenya	Wundanyi	2017	2.5%	4.5%	1.3%
Kenya	Yatta	2000	2.0%	7.1%	0.4%
Kenya	Yatta	2017	1.7%	6.1%	0.3%
Lesotho	Berea	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Lesotho	Berea	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Lesotho	Butha-Buthe	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Lesotho	Butha-Buthe	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Lesotho	Leribe	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Lesotho	Leribe	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Lesotho	Mafeteng	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Lesotho	Mafeteng	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Lesotho	Maseru	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Lesotho	Maseru	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Lesotho	Mohale's Hoek	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Lesotho	Mohale's Hoek	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Lesotho	Mokhotlong	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Lesotho	Mokhotlong	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lesotho	Qacha's Nek	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Lesotho	Qacha's Nek	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Lesotho	Quthing	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Lesotho	Quthing	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Lesotho	Thaba-Tseka	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Lesotho	Thaba-Tseka	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Liberia	Barrobo	2000	1.0%	4.0%	0.1%
Liberia	Barrobo	2017	1.7%	5.5%	0.2%
Liberia	Belleh	2000	0.6%	2.3%	0.0%
Liberia	Belleh	2017	1.0%	3.7%	0.1%
Liberia	Bokomu	2000	0.6%	2.4%	0.1%
Liberia	Bokomu	2017	1.0%	3.5%	0.1%
Liberia	Bopolu	2000	1.8%	5.3%	0.4%
Liberia	Bopolu	2017	2.9%	6.5%	0.8%
Liberia	Buah	2000	1.2%	7.2%	0.0%
Liberia	Buah	2017	1.8%	9.3%	0.1%
Liberia	Butaw	2000	1.6%	4.8%	0.3%
Liberia	Butaw	2017	2.6%	7.1%	0.6%
Liberia	Careysburg	2000	1.1%	5.3%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Liberia	Careysburg	2017	2.1%	8.4%	0.3%
Liberia	Commnwealth	2000	4.0%	14.8%	0.3%
Liberia	Commnwealth	2017	6.4%	20.6%	0.6%
Liberia	District # 1	2000	1.7%	4.7%	0.3%
Liberia	District # 1	2017	2.8%	6.6%	0.6%
Liberia	District # 2	2000	1.3%	4.3%	0.2%
Liberia	District # 2	2017	2.1%	6.2%	0.3%
Liberia	District # 3	2000	8.5%	10.6%	6.4%
Liberia	District # 3	2017	14.1%	16.6%	11.9%
Liberia	District # 4	2000	1.5%	4.5%	0.2%
Liberia	District # 4	2017	2.4%	6.2%	0.4%
Liberia	Dugbe River	2000	1.0%	6.5%	0.0%
Liberia	Dugbe River	2017	1.7%	9.2%	0.1%
Liberia	Firestone	2000	10.9%	17.3%	6.8%
Liberia	Firestone	2017	13.0%	20.1%	8.9%
Liberia	Foya	2000	0.8%	3.7%	0.0%
Liberia	Foya	2017	1.2%	6.4%	0.1%
Liberia	Fuamah	2000	3.8%	10.4%	0.7%
Liberia	Fuamah	2017	5.5%	13.0%	1.3%
Liberia	Garwula	2000	1.6%	4.9%	0.3%
Liberia	Garwula	2017	2.7%	7.5%	0.5%
Liberia	Gbarma	2000	1.1%	4.6%	0.2%
Liberia	Gbarma	2017	1.7%	6.6%	0.4%
Liberia	Gbarzon	2000	1.9%	3.9%	0.5%
Liberia	Gbarzon	2017	2.6%	5.5%	0.9%
Liberia	Gbeapo	2000	0.9%	1.9%	0.3%
Liberia	Gbeapo	2017	1.6%	3.3%	0.6%
Liberia	Gbehlagheh	2000	0.5%	2.0%	0.0%
Liberia	Gbehlagheh	2017	0.8%	3.0%	0.1%
Liberia	Gibi	2000	1.1%	5.4%	0.0%
Liberia	Gibi	2017	1.7%	7.3%	0.1%
Liberia	Golakonneh	2000	1.8%	5.0%	0.4%
Liberia	Golakonneh	2017	3.0%	7.9%	0.7%
Liberia	Greater Monrovia	2000	20.1%	21.9%	18.3%
Liberia	Greater Monrovia	2017	34.0%	36.0%	32.1%
Liberia	Greenville	2000	1.4%	3.9%	0.4%
Liberia	Greenville	2017	2.5%	6.5%	0.7%
Liberia	Jaedae	2000	0.9%	3.7%	0.1%
Liberia	Jaedepo	2017	1.4%	5.3%	0.2%
Liberia	Jorquelleh	2000	3.7%	7.6%	1.4%
Liberia	Jorquelleh	2017	7.0%	12.6%	3.1%
Liberia	Juarzon	2000	1.3%	5.0%	0.1%
Liberia	Juarzon	2017	2.1%	6.7%	0.3%
Liberia	Kakata	2000	2.5%	5.2%	1.5%
Liberia	Kakata	2017	4.1%	7.7%	2.5%
Liberia	Klay	2000	3.3%	5.9%	1.7%
Liberia	Klay	2017	6.3%	9.4%	3.9%
Liberia	Kokoyah	2000	1.1%	6.4%	0.1%
Liberia	Kokoyah	2017	1.8%	8.4%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Liberia	Kolahun	2000	1.8%	6.0%	0.3%
Liberia	Kolahun	2017	2.9%	8.5%	0.7%
Liberia	Kongba	2000	1.2%	3.8%	0.1%
Liberia	Kongba	2017	1.7%	5.1%	0.3%
Liberia	Konobo	2000	0.6%	1.7%	0.1%
Liberia	Konobo	2017	1.1%	2.6%	0.3%
Liberia	Kpayan	2000	1.8%	8.2%	0.1%
Liberia	Kpayan	2017	2.9%	11.4%	0.3%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2000	1.7%	11.0%	0.0%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2000	1.2%	4.6%	0.1%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2017	2.7%	14.0%	0.0%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2017	1.9%	6.9%	0.2%
Liberia	Mambah-Kaba	2000	12.0%	16.9%	6.7%
Liberia	Mambah-Kaba	2017	20.2%	25.9%	13.2%
Liberia	Mecca	2000	1.1%	7.0%	0.0%
Liberia	Mecca	2017	1.8%	10.2%	0.0%
Liberia	Morweh	2000	1.2%	3.9%	0.1%
Liberia	Morweh	2017	1.9%	5.4%	0.3%
Liberia	Owensgrove	2000	1.4%	5.8%	0.2%
Liberia	Owensgrove	2017	2.4%	8.7%	0.4%
Liberia	Panta-Kpa	2000	0.8%	3.5%	0.1%
Liberia	Panta-Kpa	2017	1.4%	4.7%	0.1%
Liberia	Pleebo/Sodeken	2000	1.5%	2.7%	0.8%
Liberia	Pleebo/Sodeken	2017	2.6%	4.2%	1.5%
Liberia	Porkpa	2000	1.4%	4.5%	0.2%
Liberia	Porkpa	2017	2.1%	6.0%	0.4%
Liberia	Pyneston	2000	1.1%	4.0%	0.1%
Liberia	Pyneston	2017	1.9%	6.7%	0.2%
Liberia	Saclepea	2000	2.5%	6.2%	0.9%
Liberia	Saclepea	2017	4.0%	8.5%	1.5%
Liberia	Salala	2000	1.5%	6.0%	0.2%
Liberia	Salala	2017	2.5%	8.1%	0.4%
Liberia	Salayea	2000	1.2%	4.6%	0.1%
Liberia	Salayea	2017	2.0%	6.7%	0.3%
Liberia	Sanayea	2000	0.8%	3.6%	0.0%
Liberia	Sanayea	2017	1.3%	5.6%	0.1%
Liberia	Sanniqueleh-Mahn	2000	1.2%	2.6%	0.5%
Liberia	Sanniqueleh-Mahn	2017	2.2%	4.3%	1.1%
Liberia	Sasstown 180606	2000	0.9%	3.9%	0.1%
Liberia	Sasstown 180606	2017	1.5%	5.7%	0.1%
Liberia	St Paul River	2000	14.5%	18.7%	12.4%
Liberia	St Paul River	2017	24.9%	32.2%	21.0%
Liberia	Stjohnriver	2000	6.6%	10.1%	3.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Liberia	Stjohnriver	2017	9.8%	14.3%	6.2%
Liberia	Suakoko	2000	1.3%	3.9%	0.4%
Liberia	Suakoko	2017	2.6%	6.4%	1.0%
Liberia	Tappita	2000	1.8%	4.3%	0.5%
Liberia	Tappita	2017	2.8%	6.0%	1.0%
Liberia	Tchien	2000	0.9%	2.8%	0.4%
Liberia	Tchien	2017	2.0%	4.7%	1.0%
Liberia	Tewor	2000	0.6%	2.8%	0.0%
Liberia	Tewor	2017	1.0%	4.7%	0.1%
Liberia	Timbo	2000	1.3%	3.9%	0.3%
Liberia	Timbo	2017	2.1%	5.4%	0.6%
Liberia	Todee	2000	8.0%	11.7%	5.6%
Liberia	Todee	2017	8.3%	12.1%	5.5%
Liberia	Upperkrucoast	2000	1.0%	5.1%	0.1%
Liberia	Upperkrucoast	2017	1.6%	6.8%	0.2%
Liberia	Voinjama	2000	0.6%	1.9%	0.1%
Liberia	Voinjama	2017	1.1%	3.2%	0.3%
Liberia	Webbo	2000	1.7%	4.7%	0.4%
Liberia	Webbo	2017	2.6%	6.3%	0.7%
Liberia	Yarwein-Mehnsohnne	2000	1.0%	4.3%	0.1%
Liberia	Yarwein-Mehnsohnne	2017	1.7%	6.4%	0.1%
Liberia	Zoegeh	2000	2.1%	4.7%	0.4%
Liberia	Zoegeh	2017	3.1%	6.8%	0.8%
Liberia	Zorzor	2000	0.7%	2.2%	0.1%
Liberia	Zorzor	2017	1.4%	3.8%	0.3%
Liberia	Zota	2000	0.7%	4.0%	0.0%
Liberia	Zota	2017	1.1%	5.2%	0.1%
Madagascar	Alaotra-Mangoro	2000	2.8%	4.1%	1.9%
Madagascar	Alaotra-Mangoro	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.5%
Madagascar	Amoron'i mania	2000	2.2%	3.3%	1.4%
Madagascar	Amoron'i mania	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Madagascar	Analamanga	2000	24.8%	27.6%	22.0%
Madagascar	Analamanga	2017	9.8%	11.0%	9.0%
Madagascar	Analanjirofo	2000	3.5%	5.4%	2.5%
Madagascar	Analanjirofo	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Madagascar	Androy	2000	1.4%	2.3%	0.8%
Madagascar	Androy	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Madagascar	Anosy	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.3%
Madagascar	Anosy	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit *(continued)*

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Madagascar	Atsimo-Andrefana	2000	3.0%	5.2%	2.1%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Andrefana	2017	0.9%	2.0%	0.6%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Atsinana	2000	2.2%	3.2%	1.4%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Atsinana	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Madagascar	Atsinanana	2000	5.9%	8.5%	4.2%
Madagascar	Atsinanana	2017	2.1%	3.4%	1.4%
Madagascar	Betsiboka	2000	2.4%	3.2%	1.7%
Madagascar	Betsiboka	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Madagascar	Boeny	2000	9.0%	11.0%	6.4%
Madagascar	Boeny	2017	3.5%	5.3%	1.8%
Madagascar	Bongolava	2000	2.3%	4.5%	1.4%
Madagascar	Bongolava	2017	0.6%	1.5%	0.3%
Madagascar	Diana	2000	7.1%	8.9%	5.7%
Madagascar	Diana	2017	3.2%	4.0%	2.5%
Madagascar	Haute matsiatra	2000	2.9%	7.2%	1.6%
Madagascar	Haute matsiatra	2017	0.9%	3.2%	0.4%
Madagascar	Ihorombe	2000	3.4%	6.2%	1.7%
Madagascar	Ihorombe	2017	1.0%	2.4%	0.4%
Madagascar	Itasy	2000	2.7%	4.2%	1.7%
Madagascar	Itasy	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Madagascar	Melaky	2000	2.6%	3.3%	2.0%
Madagascar	Melaky	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Madagascar	Menabe	2000	4.8%	6.4%	3.6%
Madagascar	Menabe	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.9%
Madagascar	Sava	2000	3.8%	5.1%	2.8%
Madagascar	Sava	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Madagascar	Sofia	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Madagascar	Sofia	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Madagascar	Vakinankaratra	2000	3.5%	5.4%	2.1%
Madagascar	Vakinankaratra	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Madagascar	Vatovavy Fitovinany	2000	3.2%	4.5%	2.2%
Madagascar	Vatovavy Fitovinany	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Malawi	Balaka	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Malawi	Balaka	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Malawi	Blantyre	2000	4.8%	5.0%	4.6%
Malawi	Blantyre	2017	5.0%	5.2%	4.8%
Malawi	Chikwawa	2000	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Malawi	Chikwawa	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Malawi	Chiradzulu	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Malawi	Chiradzulu	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Malawi	Chitipa	2000	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Malawi	Chitipa	2017	0.5%	1.2%	0.1%
Malawi	Dedza	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%
Malawi	Dedza	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Malawi	Dowa	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Malawi	Dowa	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Malawi	Karonga	2000	1.3%	3.9%	0.5%
Malawi	Karonga	2017	1.2%	3.6%	0.6%
Malawi	Kasungu	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Malawi	Kasungu	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Malawi	Likoma	2000	2.2%	9.2%	0.3%
Malawi	Likoma	2017	2.3%	9.4%	0.3%
Malawi	Lilongwe	2000	1.5%	1.6%	1.4%
Malawi	Lilongwe	2017	2.0%	2.1%	1.9%
Malawi	Machinga	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Malawi	Machinga	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
Malawi	Mangochi	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Malawi	Mangochi	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Malawi	Mchinji	2000	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%
Malawi	Mchinji	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.3%
Malawi	Mulanje	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Malawi	Mulanje	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Malawi	Mwanza	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Malawi	Mwanza	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Malawi	Mzimba	2000	1.4%	1.7%	1.3%
Malawi	Mzimba	2017	1.6%	1.8%	1.4%
Malawi	Neno	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Malawi	Neno	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Malawi	Nkhata Bay	2000	2.8%	6.6%	0.8%
Malawi	Nkhata Bay	2017	2.5%	5.3%	0.9%
Malawi	Nkhotakota	2000	2.2%	3.6%	1.4%
Malawi	Nkhotakota	2017	2.1%	3.3%	1.3%
Malawi	Nsanje	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Malawi	Nsanje	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Malawi	Ntcheu	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.2%
Malawi	Ntcheu	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Malawi	Ntchisi	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Malawi	Ntchisi	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Malawi	Phalombe	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Malawi	Phalombe	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Malawi	Rumphi	2000	1.3%	3.1%	0.6%
Malawi	Rumphi	2017	1.2%	2.7%	0.6%
Malawi	Salima	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Malawi	Salima	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Malawi	Thyolo	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.6%
Malawi	Thyolo	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Malawi	Zomba	2000	1.3%	1.5%	1.2%
Malawi	Zomba	2017	1.3%	1.5%	1.1%
Mali	Abeïbara	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Abeïbara	2017	6.1%	8.2%	4.3%
Mali	Ansongo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Ansongo	2017	5.7%	7.6%	4.3%
Mali	Bafoulabé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Bafoulabé	2017	4.0%	5.5%	3.0%
Mali	Bamako	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Bamako	2017	24.0%	25.0%	23.1%
Mali	Banamba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Banamba	2017	2.3%	3.9%	1.3%
Mali	Bandiagara	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Bandiagara	2017	2.2%	3.1%	1.5%
Mali	Bankass	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Bankass	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.8%
Mali	Barouéli	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Barouéli	2017	2.7%	4.3%	1.7%
Mali	Bla	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Bla	2017	2.2%	3.3%	1.4%
Mali	Bougouni	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Bougouni	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Mali	Bourem	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Bourem	2017	6.5%	8.6%	5.1%
Mali	Diéma	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Diéma	2017	3.1%	4.5%	2.1%
Mali	Dioïla	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Dioïla	2017	3.0%	4.2%	2.3%
Mali	Diré	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Diré	2017	3.7%	5.8%	2.2%
Mali	Djenné	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Djenné	2017	2.0%	3.5%	1.2%
Mali	Douentza	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Douentza	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Mali	Gao	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Gao	2017	6.4%	8.8%	4.9%
Mali	Goundam	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Goundam	2017	10.9%	13.5%	8.1%
Mali	Gourma-Rharous	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mali	Gourma-Rharous	2017	5.3%	7.1%	3.8%
Mali	Kadiolo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Kadiolo	2017	1.5%	3.7%	0.7%
Mali	Kangaba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Kangaba	2017	1.5%	2.7%	0.8%
Mali	Kati	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Kati	2017	9.0%	9.9%	8.3%
Mali	Kayes	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Kayes	2017	4.0%	4.9%	3.4%
Mali	Kéniéba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Kéniéba	2017	3.5%	5.6%	2.3%
Mali	Kidal	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Kidal	2017	7.0%	10.4%	4.6%
Mali	Kita	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Kita	2017	4.2%	5.4%	3.3%
Mali	Kolokani	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Kolokani	2017	5.9%	8.1%	4.1%
Mali	Kolondiéba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Kolondiéba	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Mali	Koro	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Koro	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.3%
Mali	Koulikoro	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Koulikoro	2017	3.2%	4.6%	2.3%
Mali	Koutiala	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Koutiala	2017	3.0%	4.3%	2.0%
Mali	Macina	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Macina	2017	6.5%	7.9%	5.2%
Mali	Ménaka	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Ménaka	2017	5.0%	6.3%	3.9%
Mali	Mopti	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Mopti	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.6%
Mali	Nara	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Nara	2017	2.1%	2.9%	1.5%
Mali	Niafunké	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Niafunké	2017	2.5%	3.9%	1.5%
Mali	Niono	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Niono	2017	2.3%	3.4%	1.6%
Mali	Nioro	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Nioro	2017	3.8%	5.1%	2.7%
Mali	San	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	San	2017	3.1%	4.3%	2.2%
Mali	Ségou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Ségou	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.4%
Mali	Sikasso	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Sikasso	2017	4.7%	6.0%	3.4%
Mali	Ténenkou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Ténenkou	2017	2.1%	3.3%	1.3%
Mali	Tessalit	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Tessalit	2017	9.4%	12.1%	7.3%
Mali	Tin-Essako	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Tin-Essako	2017	6.9%	10.6%	4.5%
Mali	Tombouctou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mali	Tombouctou	2017	7.5%	10.0%	5.8%
Mali	Tominian	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Tominian	2017	3.6%	5.4%	2.4%
Mali	Yanfolila	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Yanfolila	2017	1.3%	2.4%	0.7%
Mali	Yélimané	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Yélimané	2017	2.8%	4.3%	1.8%
Mali	Yorosso	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Yorosso	2017	2.9%	5.2%	1.6%
Mali	Youwarou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Mali	Youwarou	2017	2.0%	3.2%	1.1%
Mauritania	Aïoun	2000	21.7%	31.2%	13.1%
Mauritania	Aïoun	2017	1.0%	2.1%	0.4%
Mauritania	Akjoujt	2000	35.5%	43.5%	27.7%
Mauritania	Akjoujt	2017	2.3%	4.1%	1.4%
Mauritania	Aleg	2000	22.5%	29.5%	16.3%
Mauritania	Aleg	2017	1.4%	2.6%	0.7%
Mauritania	Amourj	2000	18.5%	27.0%	11.4%
Mauritania	Amourj	2017	0.9%	2.0%	0.3%
Mauritania	Aoujeft	2000	33.0%	45.9%	23.5%
Mauritania	Aoujeft	2017	2.2%	5.1%	1.0%
Mauritania	Atar	2000	29.5%	42.6%	18.2%
Mauritania	Atar	2017	1.6%	3.7%	0.7%
Mauritania	Bababé	2000	15.5%	30.5%	6.8%
Mauritania	Bababé	2017	0.5%	1.5%	0.1%
Mauritania	Barkéol	2000	23.4%	34.5%	14.6%
Mauritania	Barkéol	2017	1.2%	2.7%	0.5%
Mauritania	Bassikounou	2000	17.2%	27.2%	9.6%
Mauritania	Bassikounou	2017	0.5%	1.5%	0.2%
Mauritania	Bir Moghreïn	2000	25.4%	32.5%	19.1%
Mauritania	Bir Moghreïn	2017	1.7%	3.1%	1.0%
Mauritania	Boghé	2000	29.6%	43.1%	19.1%
Mauritania	Boghé	2017	1.3%	3.5%	0.5%
Mauritania	Boumdeïd	2000	17.4%	32.2%	8.5%
Mauritania	Boumdeïd	2017	0.9%	2.9%	0.2%
Mauritania	Boutilimit	2000	38.2%	47.8%	30.1%
Mauritania	Boutilimit	2017	3.7%	6.7%	1.8%
Mauritania	Chinguetti	2000	22.8%	30.0%	16.7%
Mauritania	Chinguetti	2017	1.4%	2.7%	0.8%
Mauritania	Djiguenni	2000	17.2%	27.1%	10.9%
Mauritania	Djiguenni	2017	0.9%	2.2%	0.4%
Mauritania	F'Dérik	2000	66.2%	84.5%	40.1%
Mauritania	F'Dérik	2017	13.7%	43.4%	2.3%
Mauritania	Guérou	2000	33.1%	53.5%	17.1%
Mauritania	Guérou	2017	2.6%	12.1%	0.5%
Mauritania	Kaédi	2000	23.5%	34.5%	14.8%
Mauritania	Kaédi	2017	1.1%	2.8%	0.5%
Mauritania	Kankossa	2000	13.9%	20.9%	8.7%
Mauritania	Kankossa	2017	0.6%	1.4%	0.3%
Mauritania	Keur-Macène	2000	44.6%	56.1%	33.0%
Mauritania	Keur-Macène	2017	10.5%	19.2%	2.7%
Mauritania	Kiffa	2000	21.5%	29.8%	13.5%
Mauritania	Kiffa	2017	1.0%	2.2%	0.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mauritania	Kobenni	2000	17.5%	26.0%	10.8%
Mauritania	Kobenni	2017	0.9%	2.0%	0.3%
Mauritania	M'Bagne	2000	19.0%	27.4%	12.3%
Mauritania	M'Bagne	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.3%
Mauritania	M'Bout	2000	21.5%	32.8%	13.5%
Mauritania	M'Bout	2017	1.1%	2.6%	0.4%
Mauritania	Maghama	2000	23.6%	36.8%	12.9%
Mauritania	Maghama	2017	1.0%	2.5%	0.4%
Mauritania	Magta-Lahjar	2000	25.7%	37.4%	14.8%
Mauritania	Magta-Lahjar	2017	1.6%	3.3%	0.5%
Mauritania	Méderdra	2000	27.4%	38.6%	16.8%
Mauritania	Méderdra	2017	1.5%	3.3%	0.5%
Mauritania	Monguel	2000	17.3%	28.3%	10.5%
Mauritania	Monguel	2017	0.6%	1.8%	0.2%
Mauritania	Moudjéria	2000	33.4%	40.3%	27.3%
Mauritania	Moudjéria	2017	2.1%	3.5%	1.2%
Mauritania	Néma	2000	18.2%	23.7%	13.4%
Mauritania	Néma	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Mauritania	Nouadhibou	2000	55.4%	80.8%	36.9%
Mauritania	Nouadhibou	2017	4.5%	12.5%	1.9%
Mauritania	Nouakchott	2000	67.9%	69.0%	66.7%
Mauritania	Nouakchott	2017	10.8%	11.6%	10.1%
Mauritania	Ouad-Naga	2000	29.9%	45.8%	18.4%
Mauritania	Ouad-Naga	2017	4.1%	9.3%	1.8%
Mauritania	Ouadane	2000	28.5%	35.4%	21.4%
Mauritania	Ouadane	2017	2.0%	3.8%	1.1%
Mauritania	Ould Yengé	2000	9.3%	17.2%	3.8%
Mauritania	Ould Yengé	2017	0.3%	1.1%	0.1%
Mauritania	R'Kiz	2000	32.4%	46.7%	19.6%
Mauritania	R'Kiz	2017	2.2%	5.0%	0.7%
Mauritania	Rosso	2000	56.1%	71.5%	41.4%
Mauritania	Rosso	2017	6.9%	12.6%	3.3%
Mauritania	Sélibaby	2000	10.2%	16.1%	6.2%
Mauritania	Sélibaby	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%
Mauritania	Tamchakett	2000	21.0%	31.1%	12.4%
Mauritania	Tamchakett	2017	1.2%	2.8%	0.4%
Mauritania	Tichitt	2000	17.2%	23.2%	12.6%
Mauritania	Tichitt	2017	0.9%	1.7%	0.5%
Mauritania	Tidjikja	2000	26.5%	36.2%	18.7%
Mauritania	Tidjikja	2017	1.4%	2.6%	0.7%
Mauritania	Timbédra	2000	20.3%	28.6%	13.5%
Mauritania	Timbédra	2017	1.0%	2.1%	0.4%
Mauritania	Tintane	2000	20.6%	30.0%	14.1%
Mauritania	Tintane	2017	1.0%	2.4%	0.4%
Mauritania	Zouérate	2000	76.4%	80.9%	70.4%
Mauritania	Zouérate	2017	35.2%	53.4%	20.8%
Mozambique	Alto Molocue	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Alto Molocue	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Ancuabe	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Ancuabe	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Mozambique	Angoche	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Mozambique	Angoche	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.2%
Mozambique	Angónia	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Mozambique	Angónia	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%
Mozambique	Balama	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Mozambique	Balama	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Mozambique	Barue	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Barue	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Mozambique	Bilene	2000	1.3%	1.6%	1.0%
Mozambique	Bilene	2017	2.0%	2.5%	1.6%
Mozambique	Boane	2000	2.3%	2.9%	1.8%
Mozambique	Boane	2017	3.3%	4.2%	2.7%
Mozambique	Buzi	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Mozambique	Buzi	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Mozambique	Cahora Bassa	2000	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Mozambique	Cahora Bassa	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Mozambique	Caia	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Caia	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Mozambique	Changara	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Mozambique	Changara	2017	2.3%	3.0%	1.8%
Mozambique	Chemba	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Mozambique	Chemba	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Mozambique	Cheringoma	2000	0.8%	1.4%	0.4%
Mozambique	Cheringoma	2017	1.1%	2.0%	0.6%
Mozambique	Chibabava	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Chibabava	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Mozambique	Chibuto	2000	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%
Mozambique	Chibuto	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Mozambique	Chicualacuala	2000	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%
Mozambique	Chicualacuala	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Mozambique	Chifunde	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Chifunde	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Mozambique	Chigubo	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%
Mozambique	Chigubo	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Mozambique	Chinde	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Chinde	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Mozambique	Chiúre	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Mozambique	Chiúre	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Chiuta	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Mozambique	Chiuta	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Mozambique	Chókwè	2000	2.0%	2.3%	1.7%
Mozambique	Chókwè	2017	3.0%	3.5%	2.6%
Mozambique	Cidade de Matola	2000	4.2%	4.7%	3.8%
Mozambique	Cidade de Matola	2017	5.9%	6.5%	5.4%
Mozambique	Cuamba	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Mozambique	Cuamba	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.8%
Mozambique	Dondo	2000	5.6%	6.6%	4.7%
Mozambique	Dondo	2017	6.9%	8.0%	5.9%
Mozambique	Erati	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Erati	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Funhalouro	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Funhalouro	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Mozambique	Gile	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Gile	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Gondola	2000	1.0%	1.1%	0.9%
Mozambique	Gondola	2017	1.3%	1.5%	1.1%
Mozambique	Gorongosa	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Mozambique	Gorongosa	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Mozambique	Govuro	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Mozambique	Govuro	2017	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%
Mozambique	Guijá	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Mozambique	Guijá	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Mozambique	Guro	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Guro	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Mozambique	Gurue	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Gurue	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Homoine	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Mozambique	Homoine	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Mozambique	Ile	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Mozambique	Ile	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Inharrime	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Mozambique	Inharrime	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%
Mozambique	Inhassoro	2000	0.7%	2.5%	0.2%
Mozambique	Inhassoro	2017	0.9%	3.3%	0.2%
Mozambique	Inhassunge	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Mozambique	Inhassunge	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Mozambique	Jangamo	2000	3.6%	6.5%	2.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Jangamo	2017	4.9%	8.3%	2.8%
Mozambique	Lago	2000	0.5%	1.7%	0.2%
Mozambique	Lago	2017	0.6%	2.0%	0.2%
Mozambique	Lalaua	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Mozambique	Lalaua	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Mozambique	Lichinga	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Mozambique	Lichinga	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Mozambique	Lugela	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Lugela	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mabalane	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mabalane	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mabote	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mabote	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Mozambique	Macanga	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Mozambique	Macanga	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Mozambique	Machanga	2000	0.3%	1.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Machanga	2017	0.4%	1.5%	0.1%
Mozambique	Machaze	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Mozambique	Machaze	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Macomia	2000	0.4%	2.0%	0.1%
Mozambique	Macomia	2017	0.6%	2.9%	0.1%
Mozambique	Macossa	2000	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Mozambique	Macossa	2017	0.9%	1.8%	0.5%
Mozambique	Maganja da Costa	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Mozambique	Maganja da Costa	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Magoe	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Magoe	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Mozambique	Magude	2000	2.1%	3.7%	1.3%
Mozambique	Magude	2017	2.7%	4.6%	1.6%
Mozambique	Majune	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Mozambique	Majune	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Mozambique	Malema	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Malema	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mandimba	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mandimba	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mandlakazi	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mandlakazi	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Mozambique	Manhiça	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Mozambique	Manhiça	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Mozambique	Manica	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.3%
Mozambique	Manica	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.6%
Mozambique	Maputo	2000	8.2%	8.8%	7.7%
Mozambique	Maputo	2017	10.3%	10.9%	9.7%
Mozambique	Maravia	2000	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Mozambique	Maravia	2017	0.5%	1.2%	0.2%
Mozambique	Maringue	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Mozambique	Maringue	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Mozambique	Marracuene	2000	2.3%	2.7%	1.9%
Mozambique	Marracuene	2017	3.2%	3.7%	2.7%
Mozambique	Marromeu	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Mozambique	Marromeu	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Mozambique	Marrupa	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Marrupa	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Mozambique	Massangena	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Mozambique	Massangena	2017	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Mozambique	Massinga	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Mozambique	Massinga	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Mozambique	Massingir	2000	0.8%	1.7%	0.4%
Mozambique	Massingir	2017	1.2%	2.4%	0.6%
Mozambique	Matutuíne	2000	1.6%	4.8%	0.6%
Mozambique	Matutuíne	2017	2.3%	7.8%	0.8%
Mozambique	Maúa	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Mozambique	Maúa	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Mozambique	Mavago	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mavago	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mecanhelas	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mecanhelas	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Meconta	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Mozambique	Meconta	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mecuburi	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mecuburi	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mecufi	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mecufi	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mecula	2000	0.8%	3.9%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mecula	2017	0.9%	4.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Meluco	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Meluco	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Memba	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Memba	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Mozambique	Metarica	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Mozambique	Metarica	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Mozambique	Milange	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Milange	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Mozambique	Moamba	2000	3.0%	6.8%	1.4%
Mozambique	Moamba	2017	3.8%	7.6%	1.9%
Mozambique	Moatize	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Mozambique	Moatize	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Mozambique	Mocimboa da Praia	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.2%
Mozambique	Mocimboa da Praia	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.3%
Mozambique	Mocuba	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Mozambique	Mocuba	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Mozambique	Mogovolas	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mogovolas	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Moma	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Moma	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Mozambique	Monapo	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Mozambique	Monapo	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Mozambique	Mongincual	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mongincual	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Montepuez	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Montepuez	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Mozambique	Mopeia	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Mozambique	Mopeia	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Morrumbala	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Morrumbala	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Morrumbene	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Mozambique	Morrumbene	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Mozambique	Mossuril	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Mozambique	Mossuril	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Mozambique	Mossurize	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mossurize	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Mozambique	Muanza	2000	0.4%	0.9%	0.1%
Mozambique	Muanza	2017	0.6%	1.5%	0.2%
Mozambique	Muecate	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Mozambique	Muecate	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mueda	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Mozambique	Mueda	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Muembe	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Mozambique	Muembe	2017	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Mozambique	Muidumbe	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Muidumbe	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Murupula	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Murupula	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mutarara	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Mutarara	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	N'gauma	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Mozambique	N'gauma	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Mozambique	Nacala Velha	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.8%
Mozambique	Nacala Velha	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.1%
Mozambique	Nacaroa	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Nacaroa	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Namaacha	2000	1.7%	3.3%	0.8%
Mozambique	Namaacha	2017	2.3%	4.6%	1.2%
Mozambique	Namacurra	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Namacurra	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Namarroi	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Mozambique	Namarroi	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Nampula	2000	0.9%	1.0%	0.7%
Mozambique	Nampula	2017	1.1%	1.3%	0.9%
Mozambique	Namuno	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Namuno	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Nangade	2000	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Mozambique	Nangade	2017	0.3%	1.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Nhamatanda	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Mozambique	Nhamatanda	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.3%
Mozambique	Nicoadala	2000	1.2%	1.4%	1.0%
Mozambique	Nicoadala	2017	2.5%	2.8%	2.2%
Mozambique	Nipepe	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Mozambique	Nipepe	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Mozambique	Palma	2000	0.6%	1.5%	0.2%
Mozambique	Palma	2017	0.7%	2.1%	0.2%
Mozambique	Panda	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Mozambique	Panda	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Pebane	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Pebane	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Mozambique	Pemba	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Pemba	2017	1.3%	1.6%	1.1%
Mozambique	Quissanga	2000	0.8%	2.7%	0.2%
Mozambique	Quissanga	2017	1.1%	3.4%	0.4%
Mozambique	Ribaue	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Mozambique	Ribaue	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Mozambique	Sanga	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Sanga	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Mozambique	Sussundenga	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mozambique	Sussundenga	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Tambara	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mozambique	Tambara	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Mozambique	Tsangano	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.2%
Mozambique	Tsangano	2017	0.5%	1.2%	0.2%
Mozambique	Vilanculos	2000	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%
Mozambique	Vilanculos	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.2%
Mozambique	Xai-Xai	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Mozambique	Xai-Xai	2017	2.4%	3.7%	1.6%
Mozambique	Zavala	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Mozambique	Zavala	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%
Mozambique	Zumbu	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Mozambique	Zumbu	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%
Namibia	Aminius	2000	16.5%	22.2%	11.8%
Namibia	Aminius	2017	44.3%	53.5%	35.0%
Namibia	Anamulenge	2000	0.9%	3.7%	0.5%
Namibia	Anamulenge	2017	8.9%	16.7%	5.7%
Namibia	Arandis	2000	63.3%	80.2%	48.5%
Namibia	Arandis	2017	86.7%	91.7%	75.1%
Namibia	Berseba	2000	32.9%	39.3%	26.8%
Namibia	Berseba	2017	64.6%	70.2%	58.8%
Namibia	Daures	2000	21.6%	33.4%	12.3%
Namibia	Daures	2017	54.4%	67.3%	42.0%
Namibia	Eenhana	2000	14.3%	23.7%	7.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Eenhana	2017	34.9%	48.4%	24.8%
Namibia	Elim	2000	6.8%	14.4%	4.3%
Namibia	Elim	2017	17.5%	29.6%	8.1%
Namibia	Endola	2000	0.3%	2.2%	0.0%
Namibia	Endola	2017	2.2%	7.7%	0.4%
Namibia	Engela	2000	1.9%	5.3%	0.6%
Namibia	Engela	2017	14.5%	23.8%	8.5%
Namibia	Engodi	2000	9.3%	16.6%	4.4%
Namibia	Engodi	2017	27.1%	37.0%	17.4%
Namibia	Epembe	2000	8.2%	15.8%	1.9%
Namibia	Epembe	2017	24.7%	39.7%	12.1%
Namibia	Epukiro	2000	13.3%	20.4%	7.1%
Namibia	Epukiro	2017	38.4%	49.2%	29.0%
Namibia	Epupa	2000	11.2%	15.8%	7.4%
Namibia	Epupa	2017	32.1%	40.1%	25.7%
Namibia	Etayi	2000	4.0%	10.9%	0.7%
Namibia	Etayi	2017	16.7%	30.6%	6.9%
Namibia	Gibeon	2000	29.7%	39.7%	19.8%
Namibia	Gibeon	2017	67.1%	73.1%	58.2%
Namibia	Gobabis	2000	10.3%	11.5%	9.1%
Namibia	Gobabis	2017	45.8%	49.7%	42.0%
Namibia	Grootfontein	2000	24.4%	28.2%	20.8%
Namibia	Grootfontein	2017	69.8%	73.8%	66.3%
Namibia	Guinas	2000	19.8%	31.2%	13.1%
Namibia	Guinas	2017	43.7%	58.5%	31.4%
Namibia	Kabe	2000	6.3%	12.6%	2.5%
Namibia	Kabe	2017	19.5%	30.5%	10.2%
Namibia	Kahenge	2000	5.9%	11.5%	2.8%
Namibia	Kahenge	2017	21.4%	32.2%	14.0%
Namibia	Kalahari	2000	21.1%	28.2%	14.0%
Namibia	Kalahari	2017	49.8%	58.9%	41.1%
Namibia	Kamanjab	2000	15.9%	22.9%	10.5%
Namibia	Kamanjab	2017	43.7%	56.7%	32.4%
Namibia	Kapako	2000	2.5%	6.8%	0.7%
Namibia	Kapako	2017	10.0%	17.1%	4.6%
Namibia	Karas	2000	22.6%	28.5%	17.2%
Namibia	Karas	2017	63.2%	69.5%	56.8%
Namibia	Karibib	2000	20.4%	27.0%	15.9%
Namibia	Karibib	2017	60.7%	66.1%	54.4%
Namibia	Katima	2000	16.6%	19.2%	13.7%
Namibia	Muliro Rural				
Namibia	Katima	2017	27.7%	33.0%	23.8%
Namibia	Muliro Rural				
Namibia	Katima	2000	4.7%	5.2%	3.7%
Namibia	Muliro Urban				
Namibia	Katima	2017	16.6%	20.8%	13.5%
Namibia	Muliro Urban				
Namibia	Katutura Central	2000	35.5%	37.5%	33.2%
Namibia	Katutura Central	2017	92.0%	92.7%	91.2%
Namibia	Katutura East	2000	35.5%	37.5%	33.2%
Namibia	Katutura East	2017	92.0%	92.7%	91.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Rural	2000	20.1%	27.6%	15.8%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Rural	2017	59.6%	67.9%	49.4%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Urban	2000	20.5%	22.0%	19.2%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Urban	2017	81.3%	83.5%	79.3%
Namibia	Khomasdal North	2000	42.0%	44.5%	39.4%
Namibia	Khomasdal North	2017	92.0%	92.6%	91.2%
Namibia	Khorixas	2000	13.3%	17.5%	9.6%
Namibia	Khorixas	2017	35.3%	41.0%	30.0%
Namibia	Kongola	2000	3.4%	7.0%	1.2%
Namibia	Kongola	2017	16.0%	24.0%	10.1%
Namibia	Linyandi	2000	5.4%	13.4%	1.0%
Namibia	Linyandi	2017	19.5%	33.5%	8.6%
Namibia	Luderitz	2000	50.3%	54.7%	47.3%
Namibia	Luderitz	2017	85.4%	89.4%	81.1%
Namibia	Mariental Rural	2000	20.0%	23.9%	16.9%
Namibia	Mariental Rural	2017	68.1%	72.6%	63.6%
Namibia	Mariental Urban	2000	20.5%	25.9%	16.1%
Namibia	Mariental Urban	2017	65.1%	71.4%	57.4%
Namibia	Mashare	2000	6.7%	17.8%	2.3%
Namibia	Mashare	2017	22.5%	40.0%	10.9%
Namibia	Moses Garoeb	2000	24.9%	26.8%	22.9%
Namibia	Moses Garoeb	2017	84.7%	87.7%	81.8%
Namibia	Mpungu	2000	7.5%	14.5%	3.2%
Namibia	Mpungu	2017	25.6%	38.0%	15.9%
Namibia	Mukwe	2000	4.6%	11.6%	1.2%
Namibia	Mukwe	2017	19.0%	30.6%	9.3%
Namibia	Ndiyona	2000	3.2%	7.9%	0.9%
Namibia	Ndiyona	2017	16.6%	27.1%	9.2%
Namibia	Ogongo	2000	7.1%	14.8%	2.7%
Namibia	Ogongo	2017	19.8%	33.2%	9.6%
Namibia	Ohangwena	2000	1.6%	10.6%	0.1%
Namibia	Ohangwena	2017	9.9%	34.3%	1.5%
Namibia	Okahandja	2000	14.0%	16.0%	12.2%
Namibia	Okahandja	2017	69.2%	72.7%	65.5%
Namibia	Okahao	2000	4.4%	11.9%	1.4%
Namibia	Okahao	2017	19.5%	36.4%	9.9%
Namibia	Okakarara	2000	19.1%	25.6%	13.7%
Namibia	Okakarara	2017	48.7%	57.7%	41.4%
Namibia	Okaku	2000	0.9%	4.4%	0.1%
Namibia	Okaku	2017	6.1%	14.9%	1.8%
Namibia	Okalongo	2000	2.2%	9.7%	0.1%
Namibia	Okalongo	2017	11.0%	25.7%	2.4%
Namibia	Okankolo	2000	8.8%	16.7%	3.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Okankolo	2017	28.5%	40.5%	17.0%
Namibia	Okatana	2000	12.7%	20.3%	2.7%
Namibia	Okatana	2017	33.3%	40.6%	24.7%
Namibia	Okatyali	2000	15.1%	43.3%	2.4%
Namibia	Okatyali	2017	34.2%	67.6%	9.7%
Namibia	Okongo	2000	8.6%	15.3%	3.6%
Namibia	Okongo	2017	24.7%	36.0%	15.6%
Namibia	Olukonda	2000	20.2%	27.8%	8.0%
Namibia	Olukonda	2017	58.9%	68.4%	48.5%
Namibia	Omaruru	2000	20.0%	24.8%	15.1%
Namibia	Omaruru	2017	71.6%	76.1%	67.1%
Namibia	Omatako	2000	22.3%	38.0%	16.0%
Namibia	Omatako	2017	57.8%	69.3%	46.1%
Namibia	Ompundja	2000	6.1%	23.1%	0.3%
Namibia	Ompundja	2017	21.3%	47.0%	4.2%
Namibia	Omulonga	2000	1.7%	6.3%	0.1%
Namibia	Omulonga	2017	8.2%	18.4%	2.2%
Namibia	Omundaungilo	2000	8.5%	21.4%	1.1%
Namibia	Omundaungilo	2017	22.7%	42.2%	6.1%
Namibia	Omuntele	2000	4.0%	10.8%	0.8%
Namibia	Omuntele	2017	16.3%	29.6%	7.2%
Namibia	Omuthiyagwipuna	2000	4.3%	11.7%	0.9%
Namibia	Omuthiyagwipuna	2017	16.4%	31.5%	7.1%
Namibia	Onayena	2000	2.8%	12.3%	0.6%
Namibia	Onayena	2017	15.8%	29.5%	8.5%
Namibia	Ondangwa	2000	6.5%	13.5%	2.1%
Namibia	Ondangwa	2017	34.3%	38.5%	27.6%
Namibia	Ondobe	2000	2.5%	8.3%	0.6%
Namibia	Ondobe	2017	10.4%	21.6%	4.4%
Namibia	Onesi	2000	7.8%	22.2%	0.8%
Namibia	Onesi	2017	26.2%	42.6%	10.2%
Namibia	Ongenga	2000	3.9%	10.5%	1.3%
Namibia	Ongenga	2017	20.5%	32.3%	12.3%
Namibia	Ongwediva	2000	9.0%	10.3%	7.5%
Namibia	Ongwediva	2017	52.2%	54.6%	49.7%
Namibia	Oniipa	2000	3.0%	3.9%	2.2%
Namibia	Oniipa	2017	27.2%	32.2%	23.3%
Namibia	Onyaanya	2000	1.8%	5.4%	0.3%
Namibia	Onyaanya	2017	10.6%	17.5%	4.6%
Namibia	Opuwo	2000	10.5%	16.0%	6.2%
Namibia	Opuwo	2017	33.2%	40.5%	26.2%
Namibia	Oranjemund	2000	34.2%	47.0%	25.3%
Namibia	Oranjemund	2017	76.7%	87.7%	65.9%
Namibia	Oshakati East	2000	4.0%	5.8%	3.3%
Namibia	Oshakati East	2017	39.5%	44.6%	35.8%
Namibia	Oshakati West	2000	6.7%	9.8%	5.2%
Namibia	Oshakati West	2017	52.2%	57.8%	47.1%
Namibia	Oshikango	2000	1.4%	6.7%	0.4%
Namibia	Oshikango	2017	14.2%	33.0%	5.9%
Namibia	Oshikuku	2000	2.0%	9.8%	0.2%
Namibia	Oshikuku	2017	12.4%	29.3%	3.4%
Namibia	Otamanzi	2000	9.1%	18.8%	3.7%
Namibia	Otamanzi	2017	22.5%	35.9%	9.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Otavi	2000	27.0%	32.1%	22.8%
Namibia	Otavi	2017	66.0%	71.1%	61.3%
Namibia	Otjinene	2000	18.2%	23.9%	13.1%
Namibia	Otjinene	2017	44.8%	54.2%	36.9%
Namibia	Otjiwarongo	2000	51.5%	55.8%	45.0%
Namibia	Otjiwarongo	2017	80.0%	82.4%	77.7%
Namibia	Otjombinde	2000	14.1%	23.5%	8.5%
Namibia	Otjombinde	2017	38.8%	50.8%	27.7%
Namibia	Outapi	2000	7.2%	12.0%	5.2%
Namibia	Outapi	2017	22.3%	32.5%	15.4%
Namibia	Outjo	2000	12.9%	16.1%	9.7%
Namibia	Outjo	2017	47.4%	54.0%	41.7%
Namibia	Rehoboth	2000	12.2%	14.3%	10.9%
Namibia	Rehoboth East	2017	68.7%	74.2%	64.5%
Namibia	Rehoboth Rural	2000	31.6%	42.3%	21.6%
Namibia	Rehoboth Rural	2017	64.5%	74.5%	53.4%
Namibia	Rehoboth West	2000	38.6%	42.7%	34.4%
Namibia	Rehoboth West	2017	88.3%	90.8%	86.3%
Namibia	Ruacana	2000	6.3%	13.4%	1.9%
Namibia	Ruacana	2017	19.3%	30.9%	9.5%
Namibia	Rundu Rural East	2000	1.4%	4.5%	0.3%
Namibia	Rundu Rural East	2017	10.1%	16.6%	5.6%
Namibia	Rundu Rural West	2000	2.4%	5.0%	1.3%
Namibia	Rundu Rural West	2017	20.2%	24.0%	17.0%
Namibia	Rundu Urban	2000	1.4%	1.7%	1.2%
Namibia	Rundu Urban	2017	22.0%	24.3%	19.9%
Namibia	Sesfontein	2000	16.2%	23.6%	9.2%
Namibia	Sesfontein	2017	40.6%	50.5%	30.6%
Namibia	Sibinda	2000	3.5%	13.6%	0.5%
Namibia	Sibinda	2017	16.6%	30.8%	5.4%
Namibia	Soweto	2000	34.7%	36.6%	32.7%
Namibia	Soweto	2017	91.6%	92.1%	90.9%
Namibia	Steinhausen	2000	18.4%	24.8%	13.4%
Namibia	Steinhausen	2017	49.8%	58.8%	40.9%
Namibia	Swakopmund	2000	31.1%	38.1%	23.5%
Namibia	Swakopmund	2017	72.7%	76.4%	67.9%
Namibia	Tobias	2000	31.6%	33.4%	29.4%
Namibia	Tobias Hainyeko	2017	89.9%	90.7%	88.9%
Namibia	Tsandi	2000	4.0%	10.4%	0.6%
Namibia	Tsandi	2017	16.3%	28.1%	6.0%
Namibia	Tsumeb	2000	60.8%	70.0%	46.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Tsumeb	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.0%
Namibia	Tsumkwe	2000	11.7%	21.6%	5.5%
Namibia	Tsumkwe	2017	34.0%	47.2%	22.8%
Namibia	Uukwiyu	2000	13.7%	29.2%	2.9%
Namibia	Uukwiyu	2017	45.5%	58.1%	28.3%
Namibia	Uuvudhiya	2000	8.9%	20.5%	2.0%
Namibia	Uuvudhiya	2017	25.1%	43.9%	9.4%
Namibia	Walvisbay Rural	2000	59.6%	65.0%	52.0%
Namibia	Walvisbay Rural	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.2%
Namibia	Walvisbay Urban	2000	39.7%	42.2%	36.8%
Namibia	Walvisbay Urban	2017	93.1%	93.7%	92.5%
Namibia	Wanaheda	2000	25.8%	28.4%	23.0%
Namibia	Wanaheda	2017	87.8%	89.2%	86.2%
Namibia	Windhoek East	2000	44.2%	51.2%	39.4%
Namibia	Windhoek East	2017	86.0%	95.2%	81.9%
Namibia	Windhoek Rural	2000	32.9%	36.1%	29.9%
Namibia	Windhoek Rural	2017	74.8%	79.6%	71.0%
Namibia	Windhoek West	2000	54.2%	57.4%	50.9%
Namibia	Windhoek West	2017	95.9%	96.3%	95.3%
Niger	Aguié	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Niger	Aguié	2017	7.4%	10.5%	5.0%
Niger	Arlit	2000	2.3%	2.7%	1.9%
Niger	Arlit	2017	41.4%	44.8%	38.2%
Niger	Bilma	2000	3.7%	4.4%	3.3%
Niger	Bilma	2017	55.3%	58.3%	52.6%
Niger	Bkonni	2000	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Niger	Bkonni	2017	15.9%	19.8%	12.4%
Niger	Boboye	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Niger	Boboye	2017	6.0%	8.4%	4.1%
Niger	Bouza	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Niger	Bouza	2017	9.4%	12.6%	7.1%
Niger	Dakoro	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Niger	Dakoro	2017	9.2%	11.1%	7.4%
Niger	Diffa	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Niger	Diffa	2017	25.4%	29.4%	21.7%
Niger	Dogon-Doutchi	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Niger	Dogon-Doutchi	2017	7.2%	9.3%	5.6%
Niger	Dosso	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Niger	Dosso	2017	6.0%	7.7%	4.6%
Niger	Filingué	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Niger	Filingué	2017	11.0%	13.2%	9.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Niger	Gaya	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Niger	Gaya	2017	5.4%	7.4%	3.7%
Niger	Gouré	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Niger	Gouré	2017	13.1%	15.1%	11.1%
Niger	Groumdji	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Niger	Groumdji	2017	9.2%	12.1%	7.1%
Niger	Illéla	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Niger	Illéla	2017	9.7%	12.5%	7.4%
Niger	Keita	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Niger	Keita	2017	9.6%	12.3%	7.3%
Niger	Kollo	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Niger	Kollo	2017	5.8%	7.3%	4.4%
Niger	Loga	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Niger	Loga	2017	6.7%	9.3%	4.7%
Niger	Madaoua	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Niger	Madaoua	2017	7.4%	9.6%	5.4%
Niger	Madarounfa	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Niger	Madarounfa	2017	10.3%	13.2%	7.9%
Niger	Magaria	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Niger	Magaria	2017	7.1%	9.4%	5.4%
Niger	Mainé-Soroa	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%
Niger	Mainé-Soroa	2017	22.1%	25.1%	19.5%
Niger	Matameye	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Niger	Matameye	2017	7.2%	9.7%	4.9%
Niger	Mayahi	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Niger	Mayahi	2017	8.8%	11.4%	6.7%
Niger	Mirriah	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Niger	Mirriah	2017	7.6%	9.3%	6.1%
Niger	N'Guigmi	2000	1.4%	1.7%	1.1%
Niger	N'Guigmi	2017	33.8%	37.6%	30.2%
Niger	Niamey	2000	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%
Niger	Niamey	2017	12.2%	13.8%	10.8%
Niger	Ouallam	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Niger	Ouallam	2017	13.7%	16.0%	11.1%
Niger	Say	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Niger	Say	2017	6.4%	8.4%	5.0%
Niger	Tahoua	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Niger	Tahoua	2017	11.0%	14.4%	8.7%
Niger	Tanout	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Niger	Tanout	2017	12.9%	14.8%	11.2%
Niger	Tchighozerine	2000	1.8%	2.2%	1.5%
Niger	Tchighozerine	2017	38.5%	41.6%	35.7%
Niger	Tchin-Tabarade	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Niger	Tchin-Tabarade	2017	16.1%	18.6%	14.0%
Niger	Téra	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Niger	Téra	2017	9.2%	11.3%	7.6%
Niger	Tessaoua	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Niger	Tessaoua	2017	8.5%	11.1%	6.5%
Niger	Tillabéry	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Niger	Tillabéry	2017	13.7%	16.9%	11.1%
Nigeria	Aba North	2000	13.3%	14.4%	12.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Aba North	2017	40.4%	42.4%	38.3%
Nigeria	Aba South	2000	9.4%	10.2%	8.6%
Nigeria	Aba South	2017	28.6%	30.6%	26.8%
Nigeria	Abadam	2000	1.5%	5.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Abadam	2017	4.2%	12.2%	0.8%
Nigeria	Abaji	2000	7.2%	11.3%	4.6%
Nigeria	Abaji	2017	16.3%	24.3%	10.9%
Nigeria	Abak	2000	2.4%	5.2%	1.3%
Nigeria	Abak	2017	12.4%	19.6%	7.9%
Nigeria	Abakalik	2000	2.1%	3.7%	1.3%
Nigeria	Abakalik	2017	8.6%	11.5%	6.3%
Nigeria	Abeokuta South	2000	15.4%	20.0%	10.9%
Nigeria	Abeokuta South	2017	35.3%	39.4%	30.2%
Nigeria	AbeokutaNorth	2000	20.8%	29.5%	12.8%
Nigeria	AbeokutaNorth	2017	37.2%	48.5%	26.2%
Nigeria	Abi	2000	1.4%	6.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	Abi	2017	5.0%	16.4%	1.6%
Nigeria	Aboh-Mba	2000	4.4%	13.7%	2.0%
Nigeria	Aboh-Mba	2017	14.8%	30.2%	7.7%
Nigeria	Abua/Odu	2000	14.2%	22.8%	9.6%
Nigeria	Abua/Odu	2017	21.1%	34.1%	15.0%
Nigeria	AbujaMun	2000	21.3%	27.5%	15.5%
Nigeria	AbujaMun	2017	40.9%	44.9%	35.2%
Nigeria	Adavi	2000	3.1%	7.6%	1.5%
Nigeria	Adavi	2017	10.5%	18.2%	5.9%
Nigeria	Ado	2000	3.8%	16.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Ado	2017	7.7%	23.2%	0.9%
Nigeria	Ado-Ekiti	2000	12.2%	16.5%	8.9%
Nigeria	Ado-Ekiti	2017	36.7%	44.5%	29.4%
Nigeria	AdoOdo/Ota	2000	10.3%	14.8%	7.5%
Nigeria	AdoOdo/Ota	2017	28.3%	34.0%	23.5%
Nigeria	Afijio	2000	5.0%	10.9%	2.3%
Nigeria	Afijio	2017	13.1%	22.3%	6.3%
Nigeria	Afikpo	2000	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Nigeria	Afikpo	2017	1.4%	6.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	AfikpoSo	2000	1.3%	5.2%	0.4%
Nigeria	AfikpoSo	2017	4.1%	9.5%	1.4%
Nigeria	Agai	2000	4.6%	16.4%	1.2%
Nigeria	Agai	2017	10.9%	23.8%	4.4%
Nigeria	Agatu	2000	3.2%	11.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	Agatu	2017	8.7%	25.3%	1.2%
Nigeria	Agege	2000	30.2%	32.9%	27.4%
Nigeria	Agege	2017	64.6%	67.1%	62.2%
Nigeria	Aguata	2000	7.9%	19.2%	4.8%
Nigeria	Aguata	2017	27.3%	43.5%	19.7%
Nigeria	Agwara	2000	1.8%	6.8%	0.3%
Nigeria	Agwara	2017	5.0%	13.9%	1.1%
Nigeria	Ahizu-Mb	2000	3.9%	4.7%	3.3%
Nigeria	Ahizu-Mb	2017	13.7%	15.6%	12.0%
Nigeria	Ahoada East	2000	33.2%	41.6%	21.6%
Nigeria	Ahoada East	2017	44.2%	52.2%	35.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ahoada West	2000	2.1%	4.8%	0.9%
Nigeria	Ahoada West	2017	6.0%	10.3%	3.5%
Nigeria	Ajaokuta	2000	6.0%	13.8%	1.8%
Nigeria	Ajaokuta	2017	15.0%	26.1%	6.3%
Nigeria	Ajeromi/Ifelodun	2000	23.6%	25.6%	21.5%
Nigeria	Ajeromi/Ifelodun	2017	53.9%	56.0%	51.9%
Nigeria	Ajingi	2000	2.6%	6.6%	0.9%
Nigeria	Ajingi	2017	7.0%	11.3%	4.3%
Nigeria	Akamkpa	2000	2.9%	7.7%	0.8%
Nigeria	Akamkpa	2017	8.0%	20.0%	2.8%
Nigeria	Akinyele	2000	7.9%	8.9%	7.0%
Nigeria	Akinyele	2017	23.5%	25.5%	21.7%
Nigeria	Akko	2000	2.6%	4.3%	1.1%
Nigeria	Akko	2017	7.0%	10.7%	4.0%
Nigeria	Akoko North-East	2000	4.2%	12.1%	1.7%
Nigeria	Akoko North-East	2017	13.9%	28.1%	7.0%
Nigeria	Akoko South-East	2000	6.8%	11.4%	3.7%
Nigeria	Akoko South-East	2017	16.9%	26.7%	10.6%
Nigeria	Akoko South-West	2000	12.1%	21.2%	7.7%
Nigeria	Akoko South-West	2017	27.2%	39.4%	20.0%
Nigeria	Akoko-Ed	2000	3.9%	10.9%	0.9%
Nigeria	Akoko-Ed	2017	10.2%	20.3%	3.6%
Nigeria	AkokoNorthWest	2000	3.1%	9.2%	1.2%
Nigeria	AkokoNorthWest	2017	10.8%	18.7%	5.6%
Nigeria	Akpabuyo	2000	5.2%	8.0%	3.4%
Nigeria	Akpabuyo	2017	14.4%	19.6%	11.2%
Nigeria	Akukutor	2000	6.7%	16.7%	1.6%
Nigeria	Akukutor	2017	17.3%	35.9%	5.0%
Nigeria	Akure North	2000	9.7%	13.3%	7.7%
Nigeria	Akure North	2017	18.2%	24.8%	15.1%
Nigeria	Akure South	2000	9.3%	10.7%	8.3%
Nigeria	Akure South	2017	18.4%	21.6%	16.3%
Nigeria	Akwanga	2000	1.5%	5.6%	0.4%
Nigeria	Akwanga	2017	4.8%	13.5%	1.6%
Nigeria	Albasu	2000	0.8%	4.0%	0.2%
Nigeria	Albasu	2017	2.6%	12.8%	0.7%
Nigeria	Aleiro	2000	1.4%	4.7%	0.4%
Nigeria	Aleiro	2017	5.6%	15.2%	2.4%
Nigeria	Alimosho	2000	27.5%	30.2%	24.7%
Nigeria	Alimosho	2017	60.4%	62.8%	57.7%
Nigeria	Alkaleri	2000	1.2%	2.7%	0.4%
Nigeria	Alkaleri	2017	3.5%	6.5%	1.5%
Nigeria	Amuwo Odofin	2000	27.7%	31.3%	24.2%
Nigeria	Amuwo Odofin	2017	56.2%	60.0%	52.8%
Nigeria	Anambra East	2000	25.0%	29.1%	21.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Anambra East	2017	42.1%	46.6%	38.2%
Nigeria	Anambra West	2000	8.4%	27.4%	0.9%
Nigeria	Anambra West	2017	18.8%	42.7%	3.5%
Nigeria	Anaocha	2000	11.7%	14.2%	9.4%
Nigeria	Anaocha	2017	33.4%	37.2%	29.4%
Nigeria	Andoni/O	2000	10.8%	18.2%	5.9%
Nigeria	Andoni/O	2017	25.6%	37.2%	16.3%
Nigeria	Aninri	2000	5.1%	12.0%	2.1%
Nigeria	Aninri	2017	13.3%	20.6%	7.8%
Nigeria	AniochaN	2000	6.3%	12.1%	3.0%
Nigeria	AniochaN	2017	18.6%	30.6%	10.2%
Nigeria	AniochaS	2000	4.5%	10.4%	1.9%
Nigeria	AniochaS	2017	14.2%	28.1%	7.2%
Nigeria	Anka	2000	1.0%	2.9%	0.2%
Nigeria	Anka	2017	3.1%	7.7%	0.8%
Nigeria	Ankpa	2000	3.6%	6.0%	1.5%
Nigeria	Ankpa	2017	7.6%	12.1%	4.2%
Nigeria	Apa	2000	2.5%	10.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Apa	2017	6.5%	19.4%	0.6%
Nigeria	Apapa	2000	57.0%	62.0%	50.3%
Nigeria	Apapa	2017	79.0%	81.0%	76.2%
Nigeria	Ardo-Kola	2000	7.4%	11.5%	4.5%
Nigeria	Ardo-Kola	2017	12.3%	17.1%	8.8%
Nigeria	Arewa	2000	1.2%	3.2%	0.3%
Nigeria	Arewa	2017	3.4%	7.5%	1.3%
Nigeria	Argungu	2000	11.7%	18.1%	4.7%
Nigeria	Argungu	2017	19.4%	28.3%	11.8%
Nigeria	Arochukw	2000	15.0%	27.4%	4.4%
Nigeria	Arochukw	2017	30.4%	42.5%	16.1%
Nigeria	Asa	2000	6.4%	9.5%	4.9%
Nigeria	Asa	2017	17.2%	21.9%	14.5%
Nigeria	Asari-To	2000	4.3%	5.3%	3.4%
Nigeria	Asari-To	2017	13.9%	16.7%	11.3%
Nigeria	Askira/U	2000	1.5%	4.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	Askira/U	2017	4.2%	9.6%	1.0%
Nigeria	Atakumosa East	2000	7.0%	10.0%	4.5%
Nigeria	Atakumosa East	2017	18.3%	23.2%	13.8%
Nigeria	Atakumosa West	2000	3.5%	7.8%	1.5%
Nigeria	Atakumosa West	2017	10.1%	17.0%	5.5%
Nigeria	Atiba	2000	6.7%	14.0%	2.8%
Nigeria	Atiba	2017	15.3%	28.4%	8.1%
Nigeria	Atisbo	2000	2.7%	8.8%	0.6%
Nigeria	Atisbo	2017	7.1%	18.5%	2.5%
Nigeria	Augie	2000	3.0%	6.4%	0.9%
Nigeria	Augie	2017	5.6%	11.0%	2.9%
Nigeria	Auyo	2000	1.5%	10.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Auyo	2017	4.3%	21.8%	0.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Awe	2000	3.1%	7.0%	0.8%
Nigeria	Awe	2017	6.7%	12.6%	2.5%
Nigeria	Awgu	2000	6.8%	8.8%	5.3%
Nigeria	Awgu	2017	23.4%	26.3%	20.1%
Nigeria	AwkaNort	2000	13.9%	34.6%	5.5%
Nigeria	AwkaNort	2017	34.7%	62.2%	17.4%
Nigeria	AwkaSout	2000	16.5%	21.8%	11.8%
Nigeria	AwkaSout	2017	41.3%	48.5%	34.4%
Nigeria	Ayamelum	2000	1.9%	7.2%	0.4%
Nigeria	Ayamelum	2017	5.0%	15.3%	1.2%
Nigeria	Ayedaade	2000	3.7%	8.2%	1.9%
Nigeria	Ayedaade	2017	11.2%	18.0%	6.7%
Nigeria	Ayedire	2000	4.7%	15.5%	0.9%
Nigeria	Ayedire	2017	12.9%	27.2%	3.7%
Nigeria	Babura	2000	1.6%	7.1%	0.2%
Nigeria	Babura	2017	4.6%	13.2%	0.7%
Nigeria	Badagary	2000	11.0%	19.2%	5.6%
Nigeria	Badagary	2017	27.9%	42.2%	17.6%
Nigeria	Bade	2000	1.2%	5.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Bade	2017	3.8%	13.3%	0.6%
Nigeria	Bagudo	2000	2.0%	4.7%	0.6%
Nigeria	Bagudo	2017	5.4%	10.7%	2.2%
Nigeria	Bagwai	2000	3.3%	10.6%	1.2%
Nigeria	Bagwai	2017	10.7%	21.7%	5.0%
Nigeria	Bakassi	2000	2.1%	12.4%	0.0%
Nigeria	Bakassi	2017	4.9%	23.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	Bakori	2000	2.5%	10.7%	0.4%
Nigeria	Bakori	2017	7.7%	23.6%	2.2%
Nigeria	Bakura	2000	1.4%	3.4%	0.7%
Nigeria	Bakura	2017	4.7%	8.9%	2.8%
Nigeria	Balanga	2000	0.8%	3.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Balanga	2017	2.3%	8.0%	0.3%
Nigeria	Bali	2000	1.8%	4.3%	0.6%
Nigeria	Bali	2017	5.2%	10.9%	2.2%
Nigeria	Bama	2000	1.4%	3.9%	0.3%
Nigeria	Bama	2017	4.1%	9.6%	1.4%
Nigeria	Barkin Ladi	2000	5.5%	11.1%	3.0%
Nigeria	Barkin Ladi	2017	14.7%	25.1%	9.2%
Nigeria	Baruten	2000	2.4%	4.4%	0.9%
Nigeria	Baruten	2017	6.3%	10.7%	2.9%
Nigeria	Bassa	2000	2.9%	7.3%	0.7%
Nigeria	Bassa	2000	14.0%	22.2%	7.7%
Nigeria	Bassa	2017	23.5%	35.1%	15.5%
Nigeria	Bassa	2017	8.1%	16.6%	2.8%
Nigeria	Batagarawa	2000	3.4%	6.5%	1.9%
Nigeria	Batagarawa	2017	12.2%	20.1%	7.6%
Nigeria	Batsari	2000	10.2%	19.2%	1.9%
Nigeria	Batsari	2017	16.1%	27.8%	6.4%
Nigeria	Bauchi	2000	6.8%	10.8%	3.8%
Nigeria	Bauchi	2017	13.0%	20.0%	7.7%
Nigeria	Baure	2000	0.6%	2.1%	0.2%
Nigeria	Baure	2017	2.0%	6.3%	0.7%
Nigeria	Bayo	2000	1.9%	9.5%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Bayo	2017	5.1%	19.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	Bebeji	2000	2.9%	6.5%	1.4%
Nigeria	Bebeji	2017	9.7%	17.1%	5.4%
Nigeria	Bekwarra	2000	2.1%	6.1%	0.7%
Nigeria	Bekwarra	2017	5.7%	13.0%	2.1%
Nigeria	Bende	2000	9.6%	19.6%	5.1%
Nigeria	Bende	2017	25.9%	41.2%	17.0%
Nigeria	Biase	2000	4.1%	13.0%	0.6%
Nigeria	Biase	2017	9.4%	23.9%	2.4%
Nigeria	Bichi	2000	1.1%	2.3%	0.5%
Nigeria	Bichi	2017	3.6%	7.6%	1.9%
Nigeria	Bida	2000	6.3%	13.1%	2.4%
Nigeria	Bida	2017	19.1%	26.9%	11.9%
Nigeria	Billiri	2000	1.4%	2.9%	0.7%
Nigeria	Billiri	2017	5.3%	9.6%	2.8%
Nigeria	Bindawa	2000	0.8%	5.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	Bindawa	2017	3.1%	14.2%	0.4%
Nigeria	Binji	2000	5.4%	14.0%	0.6%
Nigeria	Binji	2017	11.5%	21.3%	2.7%
Nigeria	Biriniwa	2000	0.7%	2.9%	0.1%
Nigeria	Biriniwa	2017	2.1%	5.9%	0.4%
Nigeria	Birnin-G	2000	3.6%	7.5%	1.3%
Nigeria	Birnin-G	2017	9.8%	18.0%	4.3%
Nigeria	Birnin-Magaji/Kiyaw	2000	1.3%	5.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Birnin-Magaji/Kiyaw	2017	4.0%	13.3%	0.9%
Nigeria	BirninKe	2000	3.4%	5.4%	2.4%
Nigeria	BirninKe	2017	11.4%	15.9%	8.8%
Nigeria	BirninKu	2000	1.6%	5.3%	0.3%
Nigeria	BirninKu	2017	5.0%	12.7%	1.5%
Nigeria	Biu	2000	2.0%	4.8%	0.7%
Nigeria	Biu	2017	5.5%	12.1%	2.2%
Nigeria	Bodinga	2000	2.2%	12.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Bodinga	2017	6.0%	21.1%	1.1%
Nigeria	Bogoro	2000	1.7%	6.3%	0.2%
Nigeria	Bogoro	2017	5.5%	17.2%	0.9%
Nigeria	Boki	2000	4.6%	11.0%	1.3%
Nigeria	Boki	2017	11.3%	21.7%	4.3%
Nigeria	Bokkos	2000	2.5%	8.7%	0.4%
Nigeria	Bokkos	2017	6.6%	19.2%	1.5%
Nigeria	Boluwaduro	2000	3.8%	5.6%	2.6%
Nigeria	Boluwaduro	2017	12.5%	17.6%	9.2%
Nigeria	Bomadi	2000	7.4%	22.9%	1.6%
Nigeria	Bomadi	2017	19.5%	44.5%	5.7%
Nigeria	Bonny	2000	16.3%	24.9%	7.2%
Nigeria	Bonny	2017	27.3%	37.8%	16.6%
Nigeria	Borgu	2000	2.4%	6.0%	0.9%
Nigeria	Borgu	2017	6.5%	12.8%	3.0%
Nigeria	Boripe	2000	3.3%	5.7%	2.3%
Nigeria	Boripe	2017	13.8%	20.4%	10.1%
Nigeria	Borsari	2000	0.7%	2.4%	0.2%
Nigeria	Borsari	2017	2.4%	6.2%	0.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Bosso	2000	4.7%	10.1%	2.3%
Nigeria	Bosso	2017	12.7%	21.5%	6.7%
Nigeria	Brass	2000	2.7%	6.7%	0.6%
Nigeria	Brass	2017	7.0%	13.9%	2.2%
Nigeria	Buji	2000	0.9%	3.9%	0.2%
Nigeria	Buji	2017	3.3%	9.6%	0.9%
Nigeria	Bukkuyum	2000	1.1%	3.3%	0.2%
Nigeria	Bukkuyum	2017	3.5%	9.6%	1.0%
Nigeria	Bungudu	2000	1.3%	4.5%	0.5%
Nigeria	Bungudu	2017	3.9%	9.4%	1.7%
Nigeria	Bunkure	2000	3.7%	9.4%	1.2%
Nigeria	Bunkure	2017	12.6%	23.9%	6.0%
Nigeria	Bunza	2000	7.1%	20.1%	1.1%
Nigeria	Bunza	2017	14.5%	30.6%	3.9%
Nigeria	Buruku	2000	3.7%	9.8%	0.6%
Nigeria	Buruku	2017	10.0%	22.0%	2.2%
Nigeria	Burutu	2000	5.8%	12.8%	1.8%
Nigeria	Burutu	2017	13.9%	25.7%	6.2%
Nigeria	Bwari	2000	12.4%	14.9%	10.0%
Nigeria	Bwari	2017	29.4%	31.6%	26.9%
Nigeria	Calabar	2000	10.3%	16.5%	6.6%
Nigeria	Calabar	2017	35.6%	45.3%	26.3%
Nigeria	Calabar South	2000	0.9%	3.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Calabar South	2017	4.2%	15.2%	1.8%
Nigeria	Chanchaga	2000	7.7%	9.3%	6.4%
Nigeria	Chanchaga	2017	24.9%	28.2%	22.2%
Nigeria	Charanchi	2000	1.9%	8.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Charanchi	2017	5.1%	16.5%	0.5%
Nigeria	Chibok	2000	1.5%	7.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Chibok	2017	3.9%	15.9%	0.3%
Nigeria	Chikun	2000	9.0%	14.9%	6.2%
Nigeria	Chikun	2017	21.4%	30.2%	15.7%
Nigeria	Dala	2000	5.6%	6.5%	4.7%
Nigeria	Dala	2017	20.0%	22.5%	17.5%
Nigeria	Damaturu	2000	16.1%	19.9%	12.8%
Nigeria	Damaturu	2017	27.3%	31.9%	23.6%
Nigeria	Damban	2000	0.7%	3.8%	0.1%
Nigeria	Damban	2017	2.1%	7.4%	0.3%
Nigeria	Dambatta	2000	1.7%	4.1%	0.8%
Nigeria	Dambatta	2017	6.0%	11.3%	3.4%
Nigeria	Dambo	2000	1.8%	5.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	Dambo	2017	4.7%	10.3%	1.4%
Nigeria	Dandi	2000	2.5%	5.7%	1.0%
Nigeria	Dandi	2017	7.2%	11.9%	3.9%
Nigeria	Dandume	2000	1.4%	6.1%	0.3%
Nigeria	Dandume	2017	4.6%	15.0%	1.4%
Nigeria	Dange-Shuni	2000	1.4%	3.3%	0.8%
Nigeria	Dange-Shuni	2017	3.9%	8.0%	2.3%
Nigeria	Danja	2000	3.5%	14.5%	0.2%
Nigeria	Danja	2017	8.4%	25.9%	0.7%
Nigeria	Danko	2000	3.2%	6.0%	1.8%
	Wasagu				

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Danko	2017	6.9%	11.8%	4.1%
	Wasagu				
Nigeria	Danmusa	2000	3.2%	14.7%	0.4%
Nigeria	Danmusa	2017	8.4%	27.2%	1.6%
Nigeria	Darazo	2000	1.8%	8.1%	0.2%
Nigeria	Darazo	2017	4.8%	16.2%	0.8%
Nigeria	Dass	2000	3.2%	28.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Dass	2017	7.7%	43.5%	0.4%
Nigeria	Daura	2000	3.1%	9.0%	0.8%
Nigeria	Daura	2017	8.2%	15.8%	3.3%
Nigeria	DawakinK	2000	1.1%	2.2%	0.6%
Nigeria	DawakinK	2017	5.0%	8.5%	3.1%
Nigeria	DawakinT	2000	2.4%	3.3%	1.8%
Nigeria	DawakinT	2017	10.1%	12.0%	8.5%
Nigeria	Degema	2000	17.1%	25.8%	10.2%
Nigeria	Degema	2017	31.4%	42.5%	21.5%
Nigeria	Dekina	2000	6.2%	12.5%	2.2%
Nigeria	Dekina	2017	16.1%	24.1%	9.0%
Nigeria	Demsa	2000	2.0%	3.8%	0.9%
Nigeria	Demsa	2017	6.6%	11.4%	3.7%
Nigeria	Dikwa	2000	1.1%	3.7%	0.1%
Nigeria	Dikwa	2017	3.3%	9.0%	0.6%
Nigeria	Doguiwa	2000	4.0%	14.9%	0.6%
Nigeria	Doguiwa	2017	9.7%	24.3%	2.5%
Nigeria	Doma	2000	2.1%	5.2%	0.6%
Nigeria	Doma	2017	6.2%	12.7%	2.6%
Nigeria	Donga	2000	1.9%	5.8%	0.4%
Nigeria	Donga	2017	6.0%	14.4%	2.0%
Nigeria	Dukku	2000	0.9%	2.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	Dukku	2017	2.6%	5.3%	0.8%
Nigeria	Dunukofia	2000	6.4%	7.5%	5.4%
Nigeria	Dunukofia	2017	22.5%	25.7%	19.9%
Nigeria	Dutse	2000	0.6%	2.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Dutse	2017	2.1%	6.8%	0.4%
Nigeria	Dutsi	2000	10.4%	23.3%	2.5%
Nigeria	Dutsi	2017	23.2%	37.7%	10.1%
Nigeria	Dutsin-M	2000	4.3%	17.5%	0.4%
Nigeria	Dutsin-M	2017	9.9%	25.9%	1.7%
Nigeria	Eastern Obolo	2000	2.8%	13.6%	0.4%
Nigeria	Eastern Obolo	2017	8.9%	32.2%	1.6%
Nigeria	Ebonyi	2000	2.1%	4.3%	1.2%
Nigeria	Ebonyi	2017	7.4%	14.8%	4.4%
Nigeria	Edati	2000	5.0%	13.3%	0.9%
Nigeria	Edati	2017	13.1%	26.0%	4.2%
Nigeria	Ede North	2000	7.4%	9.0%	6.3%
Nigeria	Ede North	2017	25.0%	29.7%	21.9%
Nigeria	Ede South	2000	3.8%	6.4%	2.3%
Nigeria	Ede South	2017	14.8%	21.9%	10.7%
Nigeria	Edu	2000	8.5%	14.8%	3.3%
Nigeria	Edu	2017	13.2%	20.1%	7.2%
Nigeria	Efon	2000	1.5%	4.4%	0.7%
Nigeria	Efon	2017	8.0%	16.6%	5.0%
Nigeria	EgbadoNorth	2000	3.5%	10.4%	0.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	EgbadoNorth	2017	9.3%	19.2%	2.7%
Nigeria	EgbadoSouth	2000	3.7%	8.6%	1.3%
Nigeria	EgbadoSouth	2017	11.4%	20.8%	5.3%
Nigeria	Egbeda	2000	6.1%	9.3%	5.1%
Nigeria	Egbeda	2017	23.2%	32.5%	18.8%
Nigeria	Egbedore	2000	4.8%	6.1%	4.1%
Nigeria	Egbedore	2017	17.7%	21.0%	15.9%
Nigeria	Egor	2000	19.0%	22.0%	16.1%
Nigeria	Egor	2017	55.7%	60.0%	51.6%
Nigeria	Ehime-Mb	2000	4.2%	9.1%	2.3%
Nigeria	Ehime-Mb	2017	13.9%	25.3%	8.3%
Nigeria	Ejigbo	2000	3.1%	5.6%	1.9%
Nigeria	Ejigbo	2017	9.7%	14.4%	6.5%
Nigeria	Ekeremor	2000	4.7%	9.4%	1.9%
Nigeria	Ekeremor	2017	11.5%	19.3%	6.7%
Nigeria	Eket	2000	14.0%	18.6%	10.4%
Nigeria	Eket	2017	36.6%	43.5%	29.6%
Nigeria	Ekiti	2000	3.2%	8.7%	1.3%
Nigeria	Ekiti	2017	12.2%	23.5%	6.0%
Nigeria	EkitiEas	2000	2.8%	7.8%	1.2%
Nigeria	EkitiEas	2017	9.4%	20.3%	4.6%
Nigeria	EkitiSouth-West	2000	3.6%	7.9%	1.9%
Nigeria	EkitiSouth-West	2017	12.7%	22.1%	7.9%
Nigeria	EkitiWest	2000	2.0%	3.3%	1.2%
Nigeria	EkitiWest	2017	7.3%	11.9%	4.8%
Nigeria	Ekwusigo	2000	4.0%	6.2%	2.8%
Nigeria	Ekwusigo	2017	12.3%	15.5%	9.5%
Nigeria	Eleme	2000	9.3%	11.3%	7.6%
Nigeria	Eleme	2017	30.0%	33.8%	26.2%
Nigeria	Emuoha	2000	26.5%	32.7%	18.8%
Nigeria	Emuoha	2017	38.7%	46.6%	31.0%
Nigeria	Emure/Ise/Orun	2000	2.2%	8.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Emure/Ise/Orun	2017	6.8%	17.6%	2.2%
Nigeria	Enugu East	2000	7.0%	8.2%	6.0%
Nigeria	Enugu East	2017	22.9%	24.9%	21.0%
Nigeria	Enugu North	2000	13.8%	15.1%	12.4%
Nigeria	Enugu North	2017	40.3%	42.0%	38.5%
Nigeria	EnuguSou	2000	8.7%	10.3%	7.5%
Nigeria	EnuguSou	2017	27.5%	31.1%	24.6%
Nigeria	Epe	2000	7.0%	10.5%	4.3%
Nigeria	Epe	2017	19.2%	24.5%	13.9%
Nigeria	EsanCent	2000	1.2%	2.0%	0.9%
Nigeria	EsanCent	2017	6.1%	7.7%	4.9%
Nigeria	EsanNort	2000	6.6%	12.6%	3.9%
Nigeria	EsanNort	2017	15.5%	23.0%	11.7%
Nigeria	EsanSout	2000	8.1%	14.5%	4.1%
Nigeria	EsanSout	2017	17.7%	27.2%	10.6%
Nigeria	EsanWest	2000	6.4%	13.6%	3.0%
Nigeria	EsanWest	2017	18.8%	31.5%	11.9%
Nigeria	Ese-Odo	2000	2.7%	8.9%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ese-Odo	2017	9.0%	21.0%	2.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Esit Eket	2000	3.5%	19.3%	0.9%
Nigeria	Esit Eket	2017	11.9%	35.1%	5.6%
Nigeria	Essien-U	2000	4.4%	14.6%	1.3%
Nigeria	Essien-U	2017	13.3%	29.4%	6.0%
Nigeria	Etche	2000	17.1%	21.7%	12.5%
Nigeria	Etche	2017	33.7%	38.3%	28.8%
Nigeria	Ethiope West	2000	3.2%	7.3%	1.7%
Nigeria	Ethiope West	2017	10.6%	18.0%	6.3%
Nigeria	EthiopeE	2000	10.8%	16.5%	6.5%
Nigeria	EthiopeE	2017	24.2%	30.5%	18.2%
Nigeria	Eti-Osa	2000	26.6%	30.8%	23.2%
Nigeria	Eti-Osa	2017	50.0%	54.9%	44.9%
Nigeria	EtimEkpo	2000	1.0%	7.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	EtimEkpo	2017	3.5%	15.9%	0.1%
Nigeria	Etinan	2000	1.9%	4.3%	1.0%
Nigeria	Etinan	2017	8.5%	20.2%	4.0%
Nigeria	Etsako Central	2000	4.4%	13.4%	1.1%
Nigeria	Etsako Central	2017	13.4%	29.3%	5.1%
Nigeria	EtsakoEa	2000	7.7%	13.4%	4.3%
Nigeria	EtsakoEa	2017	17.1%	26.4%	9.8%
Nigeria	EtsakoWe	2000	9.9%	20.9%	4.0%
Nigeria	EtsakoWe	2017	24.5%	40.8%	12.4%
Nigeria	Etung	2000	3.5%	11.8%	0.3%
Nigeria	Etung	2017	9.7%	25.7%	1.4%
Nigeria	Ewekoro	2000	12.1%	26.7%	3.8%
Nigeria	Ewekoro	2017	26.0%	42.5%	14.0%
Nigeria	Ezeagu	2000	4.8%	12.1%	2.3%
Nigeria	Ezeagu	2017	14.2%	24.7%	9.1%
Nigeria	Ezinihit	2000	6.2%	8.4%	4.3%
Nigeria	Ezinihit	2017	23.2%	26.7%	19.7%
Nigeria	Ezza North	2000	0.8%	3.8%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ezza North	2017	2.5%	9.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ezza South	2000	2.2%	7.2%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ezza South	2017	7.6%	18.0%	2.9%
Nigeria	Fagge	2000	3.8%	4.2%	3.5%
Nigeria	Fagge	2017	14.2%	15.2%	13.4%
Nigeria	Fakai	2000	1.5%	5.4%	0.2%
Nigeria	Fakai	2017	4.3%	12.5%	0.7%
Nigeria	Faskari	2000	1.9%	7.5%	0.2%
Nigeria	Faskari	2017	5.1%	14.7%	1.0%
Nigeria	Fika	2000	1.2%	4.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	Fika	2017	3.5%	10.0%	0.5%
Nigeria	Fufore	2000	1.6%	4.5%	0.4%
Nigeria	Fufore	2017	4.4%	9.5%	1.6%
Nigeria	Funakaye	2000	0.5%	2.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Funakaye	2017	1.7%	5.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	Fune	2000	1.4%	4.9%	0.3%
Nigeria	Fune	2017	4.0%	9.4%	1.3%
Nigeria	Funtua	2000	1.9%	5.8%	0.6%
Nigeria	Funtua	2017	5.8%	12.9%	2.3%
Nigeria	Gabasawa	2000	1.5%	5.6%	0.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Gabasawa	2017	5.1%	15.7%	2.2%
Nigeria	Gada	2000	0.5%	1.8%	0.1%
Nigeria	Gada	2017	1.9%	5.2%	0.3%
Nigeria	Gagarawa	2000	1.3%	6.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Gagarawa	2017	3.4%	11.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Gamawa	2000	1.5%	4.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Gamawa	2017	4.3%	11.0%	1.2%
Nigeria	Gamjuwa	2000	3.3%	9.3%	0.7%
Nigeria	Gamjuwa	2017	7.4%	15.4%	2.4%
Nigeria	Ganye	2000	1.6%	4.8%	0.3%
Nigeria	Ganye	2017	4.4%	11.3%	1.3%
Nigeria	Garki	2000	1.1%	4.3%	0.2%
Nigeria	Garki	2017	3.0%	9.4%	0.6%
Nigeria	Garko	2000	2.3%	7.0%	0.9%
Nigeria	Garko	2017	8.1%	16.8%	3.8%
Nigeria	Garum Mal-lam	2000	1.4%	5.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Garum Mal-lam	2017	5.5%	14.5%	2.1%
Nigeria	Gashaka	2000	2.9%	6.7%	1.2%
Nigeria	Gashaka	2017	6.6%	12.5%	3.1%
Nigeria	Gassol	2000	1.8%	4.3%	0.6%
Nigeria	Gassol	2017	5.1%	9.6%	2.0%
Nigeria	Gaya	2000	1.9%	5.5%	0.6%
Nigeria	Gaya	2017	5.9%	14.5%	2.6%
Nigeria	Gbako	2000	7.5%	14.4%	2.1%
Nigeria	Gbako	2017	15.7%	26.0%	6.5%
Nigeria	Gboko	2000	3.8%	7.3%	2.0%
Nigeria	Gboko	2017	13.5%	19.1%	9.7%
Nigeria	Gboyin	2000	9.5%	21.9%	2.4%
Nigeria	Gboyin	2017	19.8%	33.8%	8.3%
Nigeria	Geidam	2000	1.2%	2.8%	0.5%
Nigeria	Geidam	2017	3.7%	7.7%	1.7%
Nigeria	Gezawa	2000	2.8%	3.3%	2.4%
Nigeria	Gezawa	2017	11.0%	12.7%	9.6%
Nigeria	Giade	2000	0.6%	3.3%	0.0%
Nigeria	Giade	2017	1.9%	8.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	Girie	2000	2.6%	9.9%	0.4%
Nigeria	Girie	2017	6.8%	19.5%	1.6%
Nigeria	Giwa	2000	2.3%	5.3%	0.5%
Nigeria	Giwa	2017	7.2%	14.2%	2.4%
Nigeria	Gokana	2000	19.2%	30.1%	8.9%
Nigeria	Gokana	2017	40.2%	48.0%	29.1%
Nigeria	Gombe	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Gombe	2017	3.2%	4.4%	2.2%
Nigeria	Gombi	2000	1.6%	7.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Gombi	2017	4.3%	14.1%	1.1%
Nigeria	Goronyo	2000	1.1%	5.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	Goronyo	2017	3.3%	11.5%	0.7%
Nigeria	Gubio	2000	1.6%	5.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	Gubio	2017	4.4%	11.8%	0.9%
Nigeria	Gudu	2000	1.0%	3.4%	0.2%
Nigeria	Gudu	2017	2.9%	7.1%	0.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Gujba	2000	2.7%	8.9%	0.5%
Nigeria	Gujba	2017	7.5%	18.4%	2.0%
Nigeria	Gulani	2000	1.1%	3.4%	0.2%
Nigeria	Gulani	2017	3.2%	8.1%	0.7%
Nigeria	Guma	2000	4.7%	12.1%	1.2%
Nigeria	Guma	2017	12.0%	24.1%	4.8%
Nigeria	Gumel	2000	4.7%	9.6%	1.9%
Nigeria	Gumel	2017	15.6%	24.5%	7.9%
Nigeria	Gummi	2000	0.9%	2.9%	0.1%
Nigeria	Gummi	2017	2.5%	6.4%	0.7%
Nigeria	Gurara	2000	19.0%	27.1%	12.3%
Nigeria	Gurara	2017	30.7%	39.4%	23.1%
Nigeria	Guri	2000	0.7%	3.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Guri	2017	2.4%	8.7%	0.4%
Nigeria	Gusau	2000	3.3%	5.5%	2.3%
Nigeria	Gusau	2017	9.5%	13.9%	7.1%
Nigeria	Guyuk	2000	1.2%	4.8%	0.1%
Nigeria	Guyuk	2017	3.6%	11.5%	0.6%
Nigeria	Guzamala	2000	1.4%	4.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Guzamala	2017	4.3%	10.8%	1.2%
Nigeria	Gwadabaw	2000	0.9%	2.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Gwadabaw	2017	3.2%	8.1%	1.3%
Nigeria	Gwagwala	2000	11.5%	14.9%	9.0%
Nigeria	Gwagwala	2017	26.2%	31.5%	23.1%
Nigeria	Gwale	2000	6.4%	7.4%	5.5%
Nigeria	Gwale	2017	21.5%	23.6%	19.5%
Nigeria	Gwandu	2000	1.5%	4.7%	0.4%
Nigeria	Gwandu	2017	4.4%	9.5%	1.4%
Nigeria	Gwaram	2000	1.0%	4.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Gwaram	2017	2.8%	9.9%	0.5%
Nigeria	Gwarzo	2000	2.5%	5.3%	1.1%
Nigeria	Gwarzo	2017	8.3%	14.1%	4.4%
Nigeria	Gwer East	2000	3.7%	8.7%	1.1%
Nigeria	Gwer East	2017	9.2%	16.5%	3.8%
Nigeria	GwerWest	2000	4.6%	16.2%	0.5%
Nigeria	GwerWest	2017	10.6%	28.5%	1.8%
Nigeria	Gwiwa	2000	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Nigeria	Gwiwa	2017	1.7%	6.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Gwoza	2000	2.3%	9.5%	0.2%
Nigeria	Gwoza	2017	6.0%	17.6%	0.9%
Nigeria	Hadejia	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Nigeria	Hadejia	2017	3.7%	5.3%	2.7%
Nigeria	Hawul	2000	0.9%	3.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Hawul	2017	2.8%	9.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Hong	2000	1.1%	3.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Hong	2017	3.7%	8.1%	1.3%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth	2000	8.9%	9.6%	8.2%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth	2017	28.9%	30.4%	27.4%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-East	2000	7.1%	7.8%	6.6%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-East	2017	24.5%	25.7%	23.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-West	2000	8.8%	9.8%	7.9%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-West	2017	32.8%	35.5%	30.3%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-East	2000	7.5%	8.1%	6.8%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-East	2017	25.6%	26.7%	24.0%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-West	2000	10.5%	11.8%	9.2%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-West	2017	33.3%	36.0%	30.7%
Nigeria	Ibaji	2000	3.9%	12.4%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ibaji	2017	10.4%	24.3%	2.3%
Nigeria	Ibarapa Central	2000	6.5%	18.2%	1.0%
Nigeria	Ibarapa Central	2017	16.0%	36.7%	4.3%
Nigeria	Ibarapa East	2000	4.8%	15.3%	0.9%
Nigeria	Ibarapa East	2017	14.2%	31.4%	4.4%
Nigeria	Ibarapa North	2000	2.3%	6.1%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ibarapa North	2017	7.2%	14.9%	2.9%
Nigeria	Ibeju/Lekki	2000	9.0%	19.9%	3.1%
Nigeria	Ibeju/Lekki	2017	20.7%	37.4%	8.9%
Nigeria	Ibena	2000	9.4%	17.2%	6.1%
Nigeria	Ibena	2017	22.7%	36.6%	15.6%
Nigeria	Ibesikpo Asutan	2000	0.8%	2.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	Ibesikpo Asutan	2017	2.7%	7.2%	1.3%
Nigeria	Ibi	2000	2.5%	5.7%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ibi	2017	6.4%	12.3%	2.2%
Nigeria	Ibiono Ibom	2000	1.8%	7.3%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ibiono Ibom	2017	6.2%	21.6%	2.1%
Nigeria	Idah	2000	5.0%	7.5%	3.4%
Nigeria	Idah	2017	14.0%	20.4%	10.3%
Nigeria	Idanre	2000	8.6%	18.1%	3.8%
Nigeria	Idanre	2017	19.0%	30.5%	11.2%
Nigeria	Ideato South	2000	6.2%	7.8%	4.8%
Nigeria	Ideato South	2017	24.6%	28.5%	20.9%
Nigeria	IdeatoNo	2000	6.3%	9.3%	4.4%
Nigeria	IdeatoNo	2017	22.5%	26.8%	18.7%
Nigeria	Idemili North	2000	11.8%	16.6%	8.5%
Nigeria	Idemili North	2017	34.0%	45.4%	24.7%
Nigeria	Idemili South	2000	19.2%	23.1%	15.4%
Nigeria	Idemili South	2017	43.8%	47.8%	39.0%
Nigeria	Ido	2000	9.9%	14.4%	6.8%
Nigeria	Ido	2017	30.8%	37.6%	25.0%
Nigeria	Ido/Osi	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.5%
Nigeria	Ido/Osi	2017	7.6%	9.2%	6.2%
Nigeria	Ifako/Ijaye	2000	25.7%	27.9%	23.4%
Nigeria	Ifako/Ijaye	2017	59.0%	61.2%	56.7%
Nigeria	Ife East	2000	3.3%	5.9%	2.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ife East	2017	12.4%	19.2%	8.0%
Nigeria	Ife North	2000	3.4%	6.0%	2.3%
Nigeria	Ife North	2017	11.8%	16.7%	9.3%
Nigeria	Ife South	2000	3.0%	8.6%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ife South	2017	8.4%	18.3%	2.8%
Nigeria	IfeCentral	2000	3.1%	3.5%	2.7%
Nigeria	IfeCentral	2017	11.3%	12.3%	10.1%
Nigeria	Ifedayo	2000	2.7%	7.5%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ifedayo	2017	5.8%	13.8%	1.0%
Nigeria	Ifedore	2000	1.5%	4.4%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ifedore	2017	5.7%	14.1%	2.3%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2000	4.6%	8.9%	3.0%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2000	3.0%	8.2%	1.1%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2017	16.0%	26.2%	10.9%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2017	8.3%	17.2%	3.8%
Nigeria	Ifo	2000	12.9%	19.9%	10.8%
Nigeria	Ifo	2017	34.0%	42.6%	30.1%
Nigeria	Igabi	2000	4.4%	10.2%	1.6%
Nigeria	Igabi	2017	11.0%	18.6%	5.7%
Nigeria	Igalamela-Odolu	2000	9.8%	14.5%	6.3%
Nigeria	Igalamela-Odolu	2017	20.4%	26.9%	14.8%
Nigeria	Igbo-Eti	2000	1.1%	2.3%	0.5%
Nigeria	Igbo-Eti	2017	4.6%	8.2%	2.4%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze North	2000	2.0%	5.6%	1.0%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze North	2017	6.7%	13.2%	4.1%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze South	2000	1.6%	2.5%	1.3%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze South	2017	6.0%	8.7%	4.9%
Nigeria	Igueben	2000	5.5%	20.1%	0.7%
Nigeria	Igueben	2017	11.7%	30.7%	2.8%
Nigeria	Ihiala	2000	1.1%	3.6%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ihiala	2017	4.1%	11.1%	2.3%
Nigeria	Ihitte/U	2000	2.5%	3.2%	2.1%
Nigeria	Ihitte/U	2017	11.2%	13.2%	9.7%
Nigeria	Ijebu North-East	2000	14.5%	23.4%	8.5%
Nigeria	Ijebu North-East	2017	40.9%	47.4%	33.4%
Nigeria	IjebuEast	2000	8.7%	13.4%	5.4%
Nigeria	IjebuEast	2017	21.0%	29.0%	14.4%
Nigeria	IjebuNorth	2000	11.0%	15.6%	6.8%
Nigeria	IjebuNorth	2017	26.4%	33.9%	20.7%
Nigeria	IjebuOde	2000	22.8%	31.0%	15.7%
Nigeria	IjebuOde	2017	47.2%	53.4%	39.8%
Nigeria	Ijero	2000	8.3%	19.3%	1.9%
Nigeria	Ijero	2017	18.2%	30.5%	7.5%
Nigeria	Ijumu	2000	2.9%	11.6%	0.5%
Nigeria	Ijumu	2017	8.5%	24.4%	2.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ika	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ika	2017	0.4%	1.7%	0.0%
Nigeria	IkaNorth	2000	12.2%	19.6%	7.4%
Nigeria	IkaNorth	2017	26.6%	42.7%	17.8%
Nigeria	Ikara	2000	3.4%	10.0%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ikara	2017	9.6%	20.3%	2.9%
Nigeria	IkaSouth	2000	7.0%	16.3%	3.2%
Nigeria	IkaSouth	2017	19.4%	38.8%	9.8%
Nigeria	Ikeduru	2000	4.4%	5.2%	3.8%
Nigeria	Ikeduru	2017	17.8%	19.7%	16.0%
Nigeria	Ikeja	2000	30.3%	32.7%	28.0%
Nigeria	Ikeja	2017	64.7%	67.2%	62.4%
Nigeria	Ikenne	2000	3.3%	4.0%	2.7%
Nigeria	Ikenne	2017	18.1%	20.7%	15.9%
Nigeria	Ikere	2000	6.1%	8.1%	4.5%
Nigeria	Ikere	2017	18.5%	22.2%	15.5%
Nigeria	Ikole	2000	4.8%	11.3%	1.8%
Nigeria	Ikole	2017	13.5%	26.4%	5.9%
Nigeria	Ikom	2000	5.8%	14.8%	1.5%
Nigeria	Ikom	2017	14.1%	29.4%	5.1%
Nigeria	Ikono	2000	2.3%	5.2%	1.3%
Nigeria	Ikono	2017	9.3%	17.2%	5.6%
Nigeria	Ikorodu	2000	23.3%	27.1%	19.8%
Nigeria	Ikorodu	2017	55.8%	60.0%	51.1%
Nigeria	Ikot-Aba	2000	2.5%	7.4%	1.0%
Nigeria	Ikot-Aba	2017	8.2%	14.9%	4.5%
Nigeria	Ikot-Ekp	2000	3.2%	4.4%	2.3%
Nigeria	Ikot-Ekp	2017	13.0%	16.0%	10.6%
Nigeria	Ikpoba-Okha	2000	19.9%	26.5%	14.5%
Nigeria	Ikpoba-Okha	2017	42.7%	51.5%	35.8%
Nigeria	Ikwerre	2000	22.7%	31.7%	15.1%
Nigeria	Ikwerre	2017	46.2%	56.6%	35.9%
Nigeria	Ikwo	2000	0.6%	1.5%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ikwo	2017	2.2%	4.1%	1.1%
Nigeria	Ikwuano	2000	3.6%	6.2%	2.3%
Nigeria	Ikwuano	2017	13.5%	20.8%	9.3%
Nigeria	Ila	2000	2.1%	3.6%	1.1%
Nigeria	Ila	2017	6.3%	9.1%	3.9%
Nigeria	IlajeEseodo	2000	1.7%	6.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	IlajeEseodo	2017	5.0%	13.8%	1.0%
Nigeria	Ilejemeje	2000	1.9%	2.7%	1.4%
Nigeria	Ilejemeje	2017	12.5%	15.6%	9.7%
Nigeria	IleOluji/Okeigbo	2000	2.2%	3.1%	1.4%
Nigeria	IleOluji/Okeigbo	2017	5.8%	8.1%	4.3%
Nigeria	Ilesha East	2000	3.2%	5.1%	2.4%
Nigeria	Ilesha East	2017	17.5%	24.1%	13.7%
Nigeria	Ilesha West	2000	6.0%	9.8%	3.5%
Nigeria	Ilesha West	2017	23.2%	33.0%	16.5%
Nigeria	Illela	2000	1.6%	5.9%	0.3%
Nigeria	Illela	2017	4.6%	13.0%	1.3%
Nigeria	Ilorin East	2000	15.8%	18.8%	13.4%
Nigeria	Ilorin East	2017	34.1%	38.5%	31.1%
Nigeria	Ilorin South	2000	4.0%	8.5%	2.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ilorin South	2017	12.5%	24.7%	9.4%
Nigeria	IlorinWe	2000	9.3%	10.4%	8.3%
Nigeria	IlorinWe	2017	29.0%	31.4%	26.9%
Nigeria	Imeko-Afon	2000	2.2%	7.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Imeko-Afon	2017	6.3%	17.5%	1.2%
Nigeria	Ingawa	2000	0.9%	3.9%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ingawa	2017	3.2%	9.9%	0.8%
Nigeria	Ini	2000	3.2%	14.8%	0.3%
Nigeria	Ini	2017	8.6%	27.8%	1.3%
Nigeria	Ipokia	2000	3.5%	15.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	Ipokia	2017	9.4%	26.5%	1.4%
Nigeria	Irele	2000	15.6%	28.4%	2.4%
Nigeria	Irele	2017	24.6%	40.9%	8.8%
Nigeria	Irepo	2000	3.3%	7.6%	1.4%
Nigeria	Irepo	2017	8.8%	16.9%	4.9%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2000	3.1%	5.3%	2.4%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2000	9.6%	16.5%	5.8%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2017	11.9%	16.9%	10.1%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2017	24.6%	36.0%	17.2%
Nigeria	Irepodun/Ifelodun	2000	6.1%	10.7%	4.1%
Nigeria	Irepodun/Ifelodun	2017	20.0%	28.1%	14.6%
Nigeria	Irewole	2000	2.5%	6.5%	1.4%
Nigeria	Irewole	2017	9.2%	16.7%	5.7%
Nigeria	Isa	2000	3.3%	9.0%	1.0%
Nigeria	Isa	2017	7.4%	15.1%	3.1%
Nigeria	Ise/Orun	2000	2.8%	7.7%	1.1%
Nigeria	Ise/Orun	2017	9.2%	20.5%	4.8%
Nigeria	Iseyin	2000	3.5%	9.9%	1.1%
Nigeria	Iseyin	2017	9.8%	20.3%	3.7%
Nigeria	Ishielu	2000	1.2%	4.1%	0.4%
Nigeria	Ishielu	2017	3.8%	9.1%	1.4%
Nigeria	Isi-Uzo	2000	1.6%	5.3%	0.5%
Nigeria	Isi-Uzo	2017	5.9%	15.0%	2.7%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa North	2000	2.2%	3.8%	1.3%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa North	2017	8.4%	12.9%	5.6%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa South	2000	1.0%	1.7%	0.6%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa South	2017	3.6%	5.5%	2.5%
Nigeria	IsialaMb	2000	8.1%	16.6%	4.9%
Nigeria	IsialaMb	2017	24.2%	38.4%	15.8%
Nigeria	Isin	2000	7.8%	14.3%	5.0%
Nigeria	Isin	2017	22.7%	33.6%	16.3%
Nigeria	Isokan	2000	1.4%	5.2%	0.3%
Nigeria	Isokan	2017	4.6%	14.6%	1.4%
Nigeria	IsokoNor	2000	13.6%	22.7%	9.2%
Nigeria	IsokoNor	2017	26.8%	38.4%	19.4%
Nigeria	IsokoSou	2000	21.5%	28.2%	16.0%
Nigeria	IsokoSou	2017	36.8%	44.2%	30.7%
Nigeria	Isu	2000	4.3%	5.2%	3.6%
Nigeria	Isu	2017	16.1%	18.8%	13.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Isuikwua	2000	7.6%	17.4%	3.1%
Nigeria	Isuikwua	2017	22.3%	37.8%	11.9%
Nigeria	Itas/Gad	2000	1.9%	6.9%	0.3%
Nigeria	Itas/Gad	2017	5.7%	15.8%	1.3%
Nigeria	Itesiwaju	2000	5.8%	13.9%	1.4%
Nigeria	Itesiwaju	2017	12.1%	24.4%	4.5%
Nigeria	Itu	2000	2.6%	3.6%	1.9%
Nigeria	Itu	2017	9.4%	11.9%	7.4%
Nigeria	Ivo	2000	3.9%	12.6%	1.0%
Nigeria	Ivo	2017	11.2%	24.3%	4.4%
Nigeria	Iwajowa	2000	2.4%	10.3%	0.3%
Nigeria	Iwajowa	2017	5.7%	17.1%	1.1%
Nigeria	Iwo	2000	7.5%	18.0%	3.1%
Nigeria	Iwo	2017	21.3%	37.5%	10.9%
Nigeria	Izzi	2000	2.6%	11.2%	0.4%
Nigeria	Izzi	2017	6.9%	19.3%	1.7%
Nigeria	Jaba	2000	6.9%	15.6%	2.9%
Nigeria	Jaba	2017	18.4%	27.8%	10.4%
Nigeria	Jada	2000	3.1%	10.1%	0.4%
Nigeria	Jada	2017	8.4%	23.4%	1.8%
Nigeria	Jahun	2000	0.8%	4.0%	0.1%
Nigeria	Jahun	2017	2.9%	11.9%	0.3%
Nigeria	Jakusko	2000	1.1%	3.3%	0.3%
Nigeria	Jakusko	2017	3.2%	7.0%	1.1%
Nigeria	Jalingo	2000	10.0%	12.0%	7.7%
Nigeria	Jalingo	2017	17.2%	19.9%	14.4%
Nigeria	Jama'are	2000	1.8%	8.1%	0.2%
Nigeria	Jama'are	2017	5.6%	20.8%	0.6%
Nigeria	Jega	2000	0.7%	2.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Jega	2017	3.6%	10.1%	1.9%
Nigeria	Jema'a	2000	3.6%	9.6%	0.9%
Nigeria	Jema'a	2017	9.4%	18.8%	3.1%
Nigeria	Jere	2000	2.5%	5.7%	1.2%
Nigeria	Jere	2017	8.6%	16.1%	5.2%
Nigeria	Jibia	2000	3.1%	6.2%	1.5%
Nigeria	Jibia	2017	7.5%	14.3%	3.9%
Nigeria	Jos East	2000	2.3%	7.7%	0.7%
Nigeria	Jos East	2017	6.6%	15.3%	2.6%
Nigeria	Jos North	2000	10.5%	14.1%	7.4%
Nigeria	Jos North	2017	28.0%	32.1%	23.4%
Nigeria	Jos South	2000	8.8%	16.3%	4.7%
Nigeria	Jos South	2017	25.6%	34.2%	18.3%
Nigeria	Kabba/Bu	2000	3.2%	8.6%	1.2%
Nigeria	Kabba/Bu	2017	8.9%	16.4%	4.4%
Nigeria	Kabo	2000	1.4%	2.5%	0.8%
Nigeria	Kabo	2017	4.5%	8.0%	2.5%
Nigeria	Kachia	2000	3.5%	6.8%	1.1%
Nigeria	Kachia	2017	9.2%	15.6%	4.1%
Nigeria	Kaduna North	2000	4.0%	8.2%	2.4%
Nigeria	Kaduna North	2017	13.0%	19.1%	9.3%
Nigeria	Kaduna South	2000	11.4%	14.8%	8.7%
Nigeria	Kaduna South	2017	25.4%	29.9%	21.4%
Nigeria	KafinHau	2000	1.8%	7.7%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	KafinHau	2017	4.7%	14.8%	0.7%
Nigeria	Kafur	2000	1.7%	4.7%	0.4%
Nigeria	Kafur	2017	5.5%	11.9%	1.8%
Nigeria	Kaga	2000	1.8%	6.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Kaga	2017	4.8%	13.9%	1.1%
Nigeria	Kagarko	2000	3.3%	7.7%	1.1%
Nigeria	Kagarko	2017	9.0%	15.6%	4.3%
Nigeria	Kaiama	2000	6.1%	12.0%	2.7%
Nigeria	Kaiama	2017	11.8%	19.3%	6.6%
Nigeria	Kaita	2000	7.9%	13.3%	5.2%
Nigeria	Kaita	2017	13.4%	23.2%	9.1%
Nigeria	Kajola	2000	2.1%	7.2%	0.3%
Nigeria	Kajola	2017	5.9%	16.9%	1.1%
Nigeria	Kajuru	2000	4.5%	11.0%	1.1%
Nigeria	Kajuru	2017	11.9%	23.4%	4.1%
Nigeria	Kala/Balge	2000	1.2%	5.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Kala/Balge	2017	3.5%	11.2%	0.3%
Nigeria	Kalgo	2000	3.0%	10.6%	0.6%
Nigeria	Kalgo	2017	9.1%	22.2%	2.6%
Nigeria	Kaltungo	2000	0.7%	2.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Kaltungo	2017	2.1%	6.3%	0.3%
Nigeria	Kanam	2000	2.0%	5.6%	0.5%
Nigeria	Kanam	2017	5.5%	12.7%	1.9%
Nigeria	Kankara	2000	3.9%	10.5%	0.6%
Nigeria	Kankara	2017	8.1%	17.5%	2.1%
Nigeria	Kanke	2000	1.7%	5.4%	0.5%
Nigeria	Kanke	2017	5.6%	14.9%	2.1%
Nigeria	Kankiya	2000	2.3%	8.8%	0.3%
Nigeria	Kankiya	2017	6.0%	16.7%	1.1%
Nigeria	Kano	2000	10.4%	12.4%	8.8%
Nigeria	Kano	2017	31.3%	34.1%	28.6%
Nigeria	Karasuwa	2000	0.9%	3.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Karasuwa	2017	2.9%	8.8%	0.7%
Nigeria	Karaye	2000	2.8%	7.4%	1.3%
Nigeria	Karaye	2017	9.1%	17.9%	4.6%
Nigeria	Karim-La	2000	1.2%	2.9%	0.3%
Nigeria	Karim-La	2017	3.7%	8.4%	1.2%
Nigeria	Karu	2000	13.8%	21.0%	9.0%
Nigeria	Karu	2017	30.0%	39.4%	22.2%
Nigeria	Katagum	2000	2.0%	5.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	Katagum	2017	5.1%	11.2%	1.6%
Nigeria	Katcha	2000	1.7%	5.6%	0.3%
Nigeria	Katcha	2017	4.7%	11.7%	1.3%
Nigeria	Katsina (Benue)	2000	2.3%	6.3%	0.6%
Nigeria	Katsina (Benue)	2017	7.7%	16.4%	3.2%
Nigeria	Katsina (K)	2000	12.4%	15.9%	8.7%
Nigeria	Katsina (K)	2017	23.3%	27.2%	21.2%
Nigeria	Kaugama	2000	1.1%	7.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Kaugama	2017	3.1%	14.4%	0.4%
Nigeria	Kaura	2000	1.3%	4.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Kaura	2017	4.6%	10.1%	2.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Kaura-Na	2000	1.4%	3.0%	0.5%
Nigeria	Kaura-Na	2017	4.1%	7.1%	2.0%
Nigeria	Kauru	2000	2.6%	7.7%	0.6%
Nigeria	Kauru	2017	7.4%	16.4%	2.6%
Nigeria	Kazaure	2000	1.5%	6.6%	0.5%
Nigeria	Kazaure	2017	4.8%	14.1%	2.1%
Nigeria	Keana	2000	4.2%	11.0%	0.9%
Nigeria	Keana	2017	10.1%	20.2%	3.3%
Nigeria	Kebbe	2000	1.1%	3.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Kebbe	2017	3.0%	7.5%	0.9%
Nigeria	Keffi	2000	3.6%	5.9%	2.2%
Nigeria	Keffi	2017	13.2%	18.3%	9.0%
Nigeria	Khana	2000	14.0%	21.7%	8.0%
Nigeria	Khana	2017	26.7%	33.4%	20.4%
Nigeria	Kibiya	2000	0.9%	3.1%	0.3%
Nigeria	Kibiya	2017	3.5%	8.3%	1.4%
Nigeria	Kirfi	2000	1.3%	5.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Kirfi	2017	3.6%	10.8%	0.6%
Nigeria	KiriKasa	2000	0.7%	3.8%	0.1%
Nigeria	KiriKasa	2017	2.4%	8.5%	0.6%
Nigeria	Kiru	2000	6.5%	23.4%	1.0%
Nigeria	Kiru	2017	15.4%	34.4%	5.0%
Nigeria	Kiyawa	2000	2.1%	7.0%	0.2%
Nigeria	Kiyawa	2017	6.0%	18.5%	1.1%
Nigeria	Koko/Bes	2000	1.1%	3.2%	0.4%
Nigeria	Koko/Bes	2017	3.7%	8.6%	1.4%
Nigeria	Kokona	2000	4.2%	9.0%	1.5%
Nigeria	Kokona	2017	12.7%	21.7%	5.9%
Nigeria	Kolokuma/Opoku	2000	10.5%	12.6%	8.2%
Nigeria	Kolokuma/Opoku	2017	25.1%	28.7%	22.0%
Nigeria	Konduga	2000	2.5%	3.8%	1.7%
Nigeria	Konduga	2017	8.0%	10.4%	6.2%
Nigeria	Konshish	2000	3.4%	9.0%	0.5%
Nigeria	Konshish	2017	9.4%	20.1%	2.2%
Nigeria	Kontogur	2000	1.4%	3.7%	0.6%
Nigeria	Kontogur	2017	5.0%	10.7%	2.3%
Nigeria	Kosofe	2000	30.0%	31.4%	28.1%
Nigeria	Kosofe	2017	64.2%	65.7%	62.8%
Nigeria	Kotonkar	2000	3.9%	12.0%	0.8%
Nigeria	Kotonkar	2017	10.2%	22.2%	3.5%
Nigeria	Kubau	2000	2.1%	4.9%	0.6%
Nigeria	Kubau	2017	6.0%	10.9%	2.4%
Nigeria	Kudan	2000	4.1%	14.9%	0.7%
Nigeria	Kudan	2017	10.3%	25.1%	3.0%
Nigeria	Kuje	2000	4.5%	8.1%	2.2%
Nigeria	Kuje	2017	9.7%	15.5%	5.3%
Nigeria	Kukawa	2000	1.9%	7.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	Kukawa	2017	5.6%	14.6%	1.4%
Nigeria	Kumbotso	2000	17.4%	20.8%	13.6%
Nigeria	Kumbotso	2017	35.2%	37.0%	33.2%
Nigeria	Kunchi	2000	1.9%	5.5%	0.4%
Nigeria	Kunchi	2017	4.8%	10.2%	1.6%
Nigeria	Kura	2000	2.1%	5.8%	0.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Kura	2017	7.8%	16.2%	3.0%
Nigeria	Kurfi	2000	2.7%	9.8%	0.6%
Nigeria	Kurfi	2017	7.2%	19.5%	2.1%
Nigeria	Kurmi	2000	2.8%	6.0%	0.8%
Nigeria	Kurmi	2017	6.8%	12.5%	2.4%
Nigeria	Kusada	2000	2.6%	10.6%	0.7%
Nigeria	Kusada	2017	7.2%	17.1%	2.8%
Nigeria	Kwali	2000	4.6%	7.6%	3.1%
Nigeria	Kwali	2017	11.6%	15.8%	9.3%
Nigeria	Kwami	2000	0.7%	1.9%	0.2%
Nigeria	Kwami	2017	2.2%	5.1%	1.0%
Nigeria	Kwande	2000	7.2%	10.8%	4.0%
Nigeria	Kwande	2017	13.8%	19.1%	9.9%
Nigeria	Kware	2000	1.1%	2.3%	0.6%
Nigeria	Kware	2017	4.2%	7.6%	2.5%
Nigeria	Kwaya Kusar	2000	1.3%	6.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Kwaya Kusar	2017	3.7%	13.7%	0.5%
Nigeria	Lafia	2000	1.4%	3.3%	0.6%
Nigeria	Lafia	2017	4.6%	8.3%	2.4%
Nigeria	Lagelu	2000	8.3%	10.3%	7.0%
Nigeria	Lagelu	2017	30.8%	35.2%	27.4%
Nigeria	LagosIsland	2000	32.9%	35.7%	30.3%
Nigeria	LagosIsland	2017	64.4%	66.2%	62.9%
Nigeria	Lake Chad	2000	1.3%	3.6%	0.3%
Nigeria	Lake Chad	2017	3.8%	7.6%	1.3%
Nigeria	Lamurde	2000	0.7%	2.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Lamurde	2017	2.4%	7.6%	0.6%
Nigeria	Langtang North	2000	0.9%	2.6%	0.4%
Nigeria	Langtang North	2017	3.0%	6.6%	1.5%
Nigeria	Langtang South	2000	2.1%	10.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Langtang South	2017	5.4%	19.6%	0.8%
Nigeria	Lapai	2000	3.8%	7.6%	1.4%
Nigeria	Lapai	2017	10.2%	17.5%	4.8%
Nigeria	Lau	2000	1.5%	5.0%	0.3%
Nigeria	Lau	2017	4.2%	10.7%	1.0%
Nigeria	Lavun	2000	2.0%	4.4%	0.9%
Nigeria	Lavun	2017	6.9%	12.4%	3.6%
Nigeria	Lere	2000	5.3%	11.8%	1.8%
Nigeria	Lere	2017	13.4%	22.9%	5.9%
Nigeria	Logo	2000	3.8%	11.8%	0.7%
Nigeria	Logo	2017	9.9%	23.6%	2.6%
Nigeria	Lokoja	2000	6.4%	12.2%	3.3%
Nigeria	Lokoja	2017	16.8%	26.2%	10.5%
Nigeria	Machina	2000	0.5%	3.5%	0.0%
Nigeria	Machina	2017	1.8%	7.9%	0.2%
Nigeria	Madagali	2000	1.2%	4.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Madagali	2017	4.0%	12.2%	0.7%
Nigeria	Madobi	2000	11.9%	15.4%	8.9%
Nigeria	Madobi	2017	24.1%	27.1%	21.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Mafa	2000	1.6%	6.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	Mafa	2017	4.3%	12.7%	0.8%
Nigeria	Magama	2000	2.2%	8.6%	0.5%
Nigeria	Magama	2017	5.5%	12.8%	2.0%
Nigeria	Magumeri	2000	1.7%	4.1%	0.4%
Nigeria	Magumeri	2017	4.6%	9.0%	1.5%
Nigeria	Mai'Adua	2000	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Mai'Adua	2017	1.4%	3.6%	0.6%
Nigeria	Maidugur	2000	3.4%	6.9%	1.2%
Nigeria	Maidugur	2017	8.0%	11.2%	4.5%
Nigeria	Maigatari	2000	0.4%	2.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Maigatari	2017	1.3%	4.9%	0.2%
Nigeria	Maiha	2000	3.5%	13.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	Maiha	2017	10.6%	29.2%	1.8%
Nigeria	Mainland	2000	32.5%	35.4%	30.5%
Nigeria	Mainland	2017	65.9%	67.6%	64.4%
Nigeria	Maiyama	2000	0.8%	2.3%	0.2%
Nigeria	Maiyama	2017	2.6%	6.1%	0.8%
Nigeria	Makarfi	2000	2.2%	6.6%	0.7%
Nigeria	Makarfi	2017	6.7%	13.3%	2.9%
Nigeria	Makoda	2000	3.1%	10.7%	0.4%
Nigeria	Makoda	2017	8.4%	21.2%	1.5%
Nigeria	Makurdi	2000	3.8%	8.6%	1.5%
Nigeria	Makurdi	2017	11.3%	20.1%	5.6%
Nigeria	MalamMad	2000	0.7%	1.9%	0.2%
Nigeria	MalamMad	2017	2.5%	6.0%	1.0%
Nigeria	Malumfashi	2000	2.2%	8.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Malumfashi	2017	7.1%	19.9%	1.9%
Nigeria	Mangu	2000	6.3%	11.8%	2.7%
Nigeria	Mangu	2017	14.1%	23.4%	8.7%
Nigeria	Mani	2000	4.1%	9.5%	1.0%
Nigeria	Mani	2017	8.7%	15.8%	3.6%
Nigeria	Maradun	2000	1.7%	5.6%	0.3%
Nigeria	Maradun	2017	4.4%	11.4%	1.1%
Nigeria	Mariga	2000	2.9%	6.3%	1.3%
Nigeria	Mariga	2017	7.7%	13.7%	3.9%
Nigeria	Marte	2000	1.5%	5.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Marte	2017	4.5%	12.2%	1.0%
Nigeria	Maru	2000	1.5%	3.6%	0.5%
Nigeria	Maru	2017	4.4%	8.6%	1.8%
Nigeria	Mashegu	2000	3.5%	9.1%	1.2%
Nigeria	Mashegu	2017	7.4%	12.6%	3.7%
Nigeria	Mashi	2000	3.5%	8.8%	0.7%
Nigeria	Mashi	2017	8.6%	17.9%	2.4%
Nigeria	Matazu	2000	1.2%	5.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	Matazu	2017	4.0%	15.5%	0.6%
Nigeria	Mayo-Bel	2000	1.5%	4.3%	0.2%
Nigeria	Mayo-Bel	2017	4.0%	10.7%	1.0%
Nigeria	Mbaitoli	2000	7.9%	9.2%	6.8%
Nigeria	Mbaitoli	2017	25.4%	28.8%	23.1%
Nigeria	Mbo	2000	1.1%	5.0%	0.2%
Nigeria	Mbo	2017	4.1%	14.5%	0.7%
Nigeria	Michika	2000	1.4%	5.1%	0.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Michika	2017	4.9%	12.5%	2.1%
Nigeria	Miga	2000	0.7%	3.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Miga	2017	2.8%	8.9%	0.5%
Nigeria	Mikang	2000	1.4%	6.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Mikang	2017	4.3%	13.6%	0.6%
Nigeria	Minjibir	2000	5.4%	11.7%	1.6%
Nigeria	Minjibir	2017	11.2%	20.1%	5.4%
Nigeria	Misau	2000	0.9%	3.1%	0.2%
Nigeria	Misau	2017	2.7%	7.0%	0.8%
Nigeria	Mkpat Enin	2000	1.7%	2.1%	1.4%
Nigeria	Mkpat Enin	2017	8.0%	10.0%	6.5%
Nigeria	Moba	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Nigeria	Moba	2017	6.3%	9.4%	4.5%
Nigeria	Mobbar	2000	2.0%	5.9%	0.3%
Nigeria	Mobbar	2017	5.6%	14.2%	1.4%
Nigeria	Mokwa	2000	2.7%	6.8%	0.9%
Nigeria	Mokwa	2017	7.2%	13.0%	3.2%
Nigeria	Monguno	2000	1.4%	5.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Monguno	2017	4.2%	11.5%	0.8%
Nigeria	Mopa-Muro	2000	3.3%	7.0%	1.6%
Nigeria	Mopa-Muro	2017	10.1%	16.0%	6.1%
Nigeria	Moro	2000	20.9%	25.7%	18.8%
Nigeria	Moro	2017	25.0%	31.5%	21.1%
Nigeria	Mubi North	2000	1.0%	3.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	Mubi North	2017	4.7%	9.9%	2.7%
Nigeria	Mubi South	2000	0.7%	4.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Mubi South	2017	2.2%	12.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Musawa	2000	4.7%	13.2%	0.5%
Nigeria	Musawa	2017	11.8%	22.9%	2.8%
Nigeria	Mushin	2000	30.1%	32.4%	28.1%
Nigeria	Mushin	2017	64.4%	66.5%	62.2%
Nigeria	Muya	2000	1.7%	5.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Muya	2017	5.2%	13.1%	1.2%
Nigeria	Nafada	2000	0.7%	2.9%	0.1%
Nigeria	Nafada	2017	2.0%	6.2%	0.3%
Nigeria	Nangere	2000	2.1%	5.8%	1.0%
Nigeria	Nangere	2017	6.8%	13.7%	3.6%
Nigeria	Nasarawa	2000	8.3%	11.8%	5.4%
Nigeria	Nasarawa	2017	12.0%	17.6%	8.6%
Nigeria	Nassaraw	2000	3.6%	4.0%	3.3%
Nigeria	Nassaraw	2017	13.5%	14.3%	12.7%
Nigeria	Nassarawa	2000	0.7%	3.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Egon				
Nigeria	Nassarawa	2017	2.2%	6.7%	0.4%
Nigeria	Egon				
Nigeria	Ndokwa East	2000	7.9%	18.4%	2.8%
Nigeria	Ndokwa East	2017	19.5%	32.9%	10.3%
Nigeria	Ndokwa West	2000	9.7%	16.7%	5.2%
Nigeria	Ndokwa West	2017	25.5%	37.1%	16.0%
Nigeria	Nembe	2000	7.1%	16.2%	3.0%
Nigeria	Nembe	2017	17.6%	32.8%	8.6%
Nigeria	Ngala	2000	1.5%	6.2%	0.3%
Nigeria	Ngala	2017	5.0%	11.3%	1.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Nganzai	2000	1.1%	4.0%	0.2%
Nigeria	Nganzai	2017	3.4%	9.5%	0.8%
Nigeria	Ngaski	2000	2.3%	8.5%	0.4%
Nigeria	Ngaski	2017	6.1%	15.4%	1.6%
Nigeria	Ngor-Okp	2000	8.5%	10.9%	6.6%
Nigeria	Ngor-Okp	2017	22.4%	27.6%	18.8%
Nigeria	Nguru	2000	0.5%	1.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Nguru	2017	1.6%	4.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Ningi	2000	1.4%	3.8%	0.4%
Nigeria	Ningi	2017	4.2%	8.5%	1.3%
Nigeria	Njaba	2000	3.8%	4.3%	3.4%
Nigeria	Njaba	2017	14.6%	16.2%	13.3%
Nigeria	Njikoka	2000	11.5%	14.0%	9.2%
Nigeria	Njikoka	2017	35.0%	40.1%	29.9%
Nigeria	Nkanu East	2000	2.9%	7.7%	1.0%
Nigeria	Nkanu East	2017	9.2%	18.8%	4.3%
Nigeria	Nkanu West	2000	2.5%	3.3%	1.7%
Nigeria	Nkanu West	2017	12.2%	15.1%	9.5%
Nigeria	Nkwerre	2000	5.9%	7.2%	4.9%
Nigeria	Nkwerre	2017	21.9%	25.2%	18.9%
Nigeria	NnewiNort	2000	10.6%	12.4%	9.0%
Nigeria	NnewiNort	2017	32.5%	35.8%	29.0%
Nigeria	NnewiSou	2000	7.6%	11.0%	6.1%
Nigeria	NnewiSou	2017	25.1%	30.8%	21.5%
Nigeria	Nsit Atai	2000	2.3%	16.5%	0.2%
Nigeria	Nsit Atai	2017	9.0%	44.4%	1.0%
Nigeria	Nsit Ibom	2000	1.8%	3.2%	1.2%
Nigeria	Nsit Ibom	2017	7.0%	11.2%	4.8%
Nigeria	Nsit Ubium	2000	4.7%	6.1%	3.6%
Nigeria	Nsit Ubium	2017	18.9%	23.4%	15.3%
Nigeria	Nsukka	2000	3.9%	5.2%	2.9%
Nigeria	Nsukka	2017	11.7%	14.0%	10.0%
Nigeria	Numan	2000	1.6%	4.4%	0.4%
Nigeria	Numan	2017	6.1%	12.8%	2.1%
Nigeria	Nwangele	2000	6.1%	7.6%	4.9%
Nigeria	Nwangele	2017	20.8%	23.3%	18.0%
Nigeria	Obafemi-Owode	2000	15.8%	22.7%	10.8%
Nigeria	Obafemi-Owode	2017	29.9%	38.5%	23.0%
Nigeria	Obanliku	2000	7.0%	13.8%	3.5%
Nigeria	Obanliku	2017	16.6%	23.4%	11.7%
Nigeria	Obi	2000	1.0%	5.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Obi	2000	1.3%	4.5%	0.4%
Nigeria	Obi	2017	3.9%	8.7%	1.7%
Nigeria	Obi	2017	2.3%	7.7%	0.5%
Nigeria	Obio/Akp	2000	15.8%	17.8%	14.2%
Nigeria	Obio/Akp	2017	42.6%	45.5%	40.1%
Nigeria	Obokun	2000	3.8%	8.5%	1.7%
Nigeria	Obokun	2017	13.3%	21.3%	8.2%
Nigeria	Oboma Ngwa	2000	7.8%	9.5%	6.6%
Nigeria	Oboma Ngwa	2017	24.8%	28.1%	21.8%
Nigeria	Obot Akara	2000	0.9%	7.6%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Obot Akara	2017	2.9%	19.0%	0.1%
Nigeria	Obowo	2000	4.6%	5.5%	3.9%
Nigeria	Obowo	2017	17.3%	19.5%	15.3%
Nigeria	Obubra	2000	1.7%	4.9%	0.6%
Nigeria	Obubra	2017	4.5%	8.9%	2.0%
Nigeria	Obudu	2000	2.3%	4.6%	1.4%
Nigeria	Obudu	2017	7.4%	13.6%	4.7%
Nigeria	Odeda	2000	13.9%	23.3%	6.8%
Nigeria	Odeda	2017	27.3%	38.3%	17.0%
Nigeria	Odigbo	2000	9.2%	24.5%	2.6%
Nigeria	Odigbo	2017	19.8%	39.9%	7.8%
Nigeria	Odo0tin	2000	11.4%	18.8%	3.6%
Nigeria	Odo0tin	2017	23.8%	34.1%	12.8%
Nigeria	Odogbolu	2000	22.3%	36.0%	12.9%
Nigeria	Odogbolu	2017	40.9%	55.6%	29.3%
Nigeria	Odukpani	2000	2.4%	8.4%	0.4%
Nigeria	Odukpani	2017	6.1%	17.5%	1.6%
Nigeria	Offa	2000	5.6%	7.9%	4.2%
Nigeria	Offa	2017	18.0%	21.0%	14.8%
Nigeria	Ofu	2000	4.4%	11.3%	1.5%
Nigeria	Ofu	2017	10.4%	23.3%	4.5%
Nigeria	Ogba/Egbe	2000	7.0%	10.5%	5.1%
Nigeria	Ogba/Egbe	2017	21.7%	28.1%	17.3%
Nigeria	Ogbadibo	2000	3.5%	15.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	Ogbadibo	2017	9.2%	29.1%	1.2%
Nigeria	Ogbaru	2000	9.3%	19.9%	3.7%
Nigeria	Ogbaru	2017	16.9%	33.7%	6.9%
Nigeria	Ogbia	2000	10.0%	14.7%	7.9%
Nigeria	Ogbia	2017	21.9%	29.3%	17.6%
Nigeria	Ogbomoshos North	2000	6.5%	8.1%	5.0%
Nigeria	Ogbomoshos North	2017	21.5%	25.7%	17.8%
Nigeria	Ogbomoshos South	2000	4.1%	6.1%	3.0%
Nigeria	Ogbomoshos South	2017	14.3%	20.8%	10.4%
Nigeria	Ogo-Oluw	2000	3.2%	12.0%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ogo-Oluw	2017	8.4%	20.3%	2.9%
Nigeria	Ogoja	2000	2.6%	7.0%	0.9%
Nigeria	Ogoja	2017	7.3%	13.5%	3.2%
Nigeria	Ogori/Magongo	2000	4.0%	7.8%	2.1%
Nigeria	Ogori/Magongo	2017	12.4%	17.5%	8.3%
Nigeria	Ogu/Bolo	2000	1.5%	2.9%	0.9%
Nigeria	Ogu/Bolo	2017	10.4%	17.7%	7.0%
Nigeria	OgunWaterside	2000	5.8%	17.6%	1.0%
Nigeria	OgunWaterside	2017	13.6%	31.4%	3.7%
Nigeria	Oguta	2000	3.4%	17.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	Oguta	2017	9.2%	30.7%	0.8%
Nigeria	Ohafia Abia	2000	6.4%	11.9%	3.2%
Nigeria	Ohafia Abia	2017	18.3%	28.3%	11.4%
Nigeria	Ohaji/Eg	2000	5.1%	14.3%	1.2%
Nigeria	Ohaji/Eg	2017	12.6%	27.3%	3.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ohaozara	2000	4.5%	19.8%	0.4%
Nigeria	Ohaozara	2017	9.6%	24.4%	1.9%
Nigeria	Ohaukwu	2000	1.9%	4.5%	0.5%
Nigeria	Ohaukwu	2017	6.5%	10.5%	2.5%
Nigeria	Ohimini	2000	13.4%	28.2%	5.0%
Nigeria	Ohimini	2017	27.5%	48.7%	13.8%
Nigeria	Oji-River	2000	6.8%	20.4%	2.2%
Nigeria	Oji-River	2017	16.8%	32.0%	7.5%
Nigeria	Ojo	2000	15.7%	21.4%	11.5%
Nigeria	Ojo	2017	40.5%	45.7%	34.4%
Nigeria	Oju	2000	2.5%	9.6%	0.4%
Nigeria	Oju	2017	5.9%	16.1%	1.6%
Nigeria	Oke-Ero	2000	8.7%	19.4%	2.5%
Nigeria	Oke-Ero	2017	21.8%	36.1%	10.5%
Nigeria	Okehi	2000	2.1%	4.4%	1.3%
Nigeria	Okehi	2017	9.3%	14.9%	6.3%
Nigeria	Okene	2000	3.9%	12.3%	1.7%
Nigeria	Okene	2017	12.7%	26.9%	7.1%
Nigeria	Okigwe	2000	13.9%	26.0%	7.5%
Nigeria	Okigwe	2017	33.1%	49.7%	21.2%
Nigeria	Okitipupa	2000	7.1%	14.8%	2.7%
Nigeria	Okitipupa	2017	18.6%	31.1%	9.9%
Nigeria	Okobo	2000	0.8%	2.5%	0.5%
Nigeria	Okobo	2017	2.9%	5.5%	1.8%
Nigeria	Okpe	2000	8.5%	23.3%	4.0%
Nigeria	Okpe	2017	23.2%	41.5%	14.2%
Nigeria	Okpokwu	2000	3.4%	11.6%	0.6%
Nigeria	Okpokwu	2017	8.8%	24.2%	2.1%
Nigeria	Okrika	2000	10.6%	23.6%	2.2%
Nigeria	Okrika	2017	22.0%	38.4%	8.8%
Nigeria	Ola-Oluwa	2000	1.8%	3.8%	0.9%
Nigeria	Ola-Oluwa	2017	7.3%	11.3%	4.7%
Nigeria	Olamabor	2000	4.7%	9.2%	2.1%
Nigeria	Olamabor	2017	11.7%	19.5%	7.1%
Nigeria	Olorunda	2000	10.2%	13.5%	8.4%
Nigeria	Olorunda	2017	33.1%	39.9%	29.1%
Nigeria	Olorunsogo	2000	1.2%	5.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Olorunsogo	2017	3.3%	13.0%	0.3%
Nigeria	Oluyole	2000	16.7%	25.4%	7.1%
Nigeria	Oluyole	2017	37.2%	46.0%	25.7%
Nigeria	Omala	2000	2.2%	7.1%	0.4%
Nigeria	Omala	2017	5.9%	14.1%	1.8%
Nigeria	Omumma	2000	4.0%	6.1%	2.6%
Nigeria	Omumma	2017	14.1%	18.6%	10.4%
Nigeria	Ona-Ara	2000	5.6%	7.3%	4.8%
Nigeria	Ona-Ara	2017	21.2%	26.0%	18.7%
Nigeria	Ondo East	2000	18.7%	26.0%	12.0%
Nigeria	Ondo East	2017	29.1%	40.5%	20.4%
Nigeria	Ondo West	2000	2.3%	7.6%	0.8%
Nigeria	Ondo West	2017	6.6%	16.1%	2.7%
Nigeria	Onicha	2000	1.5%	4.9%	0.3%
Nigeria	Onicha	2017	4.5%	9.9%	1.4%
Nigeria	Onitsha North	2000	62.4%	64.5%	60.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Onitsha North	2017	74.7%	78.0%	71.6%
Nigeria	Onitsha South	2000	86.4%	96.6%	75.8%
Nigeria	Onitsha South	2017	94.5%	99.2%	85.1%
Nigeria	Onna	2000	4.2%	6.2%	2.8%
Nigeria	Onna	2017	16.7%	21.6%	12.4%
Nigeria	Opobo/Nkoro	2000	24.7%	37.0%	11.4%
Nigeria	Opobo/Nkoro	2017	39.4%	48.4%	28.2%
Nigeria	Oredo Edo	2000	16.4%	21.5%	13.6%
Nigeria	Oredo Edo	2017	47.1%	55.3%	41.4%
Nigeria	Orelope	2000	2.4%	7.3%	1.0%
Nigeria	Orelope	2017	8.9%	17.9%	4.9%
Nigeria	Orhionmw	2000	6.9%	12.6%	2.3%
Nigeria	Orhionmw	2017	15.4%	26.5%	6.8%
Nigeria	Ori-Ire	2000	3.2%	9.5%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ori-Ire	2017	8.2%	19.4%	2.3%
Nigeria	Oriade	2000	3.5%	5.1%	2.5%
Nigeria	Oriade	2017	13.5%	17.9%	10.5%
Nigeria	Orlu	2000	3.4%	5.4%	2.8%
Nigeria	Orlu	2017	13.3%	18.0%	11.1%
Nigeria	Orolu	2000	2.0%	3.7%	1.4%
Nigeria	Orolu	2017	7.3%	10.4%	5.7%
Nigeria	Oron	2000	2.9%	6.3%	1.5%
Nigeria	Oron	2017	10.6%	18.8%	6.2%
Nigeria	Orsu	2000	5.4%	20.9%	2.2%
Nigeria	Orsu	2017	16.2%	33.9%	8.8%
Nigeria	Oru East	2000	2.3%	4.2%	1.2%
Nigeria	Oru East	2017	9.8%	15.9%	5.9%
Nigeria	Oru West	2000	1.9%	5.6%	0.7%
Nigeria	Oru West	2017	6.7%	14.4%	3.1%
Nigeria	Oruk-Ana	2000	1.1%	4.3%	0.2%
Nigeria	Oruk-Ana	2017	4.7%	14.0%	1.1%
Nigeria	OrumbaNo	2000	8.6%	12.4%	6.5%
Nigeria	OrumbaNo	2017	27.0%	33.6%	22.2%
Nigeria	OrumbaSo	2000	17.4%	27.5%	9.2%
Nigeria	OrumbaSo	2017	35.7%	44.5%	25.4%
Nigeria	Ose	2000	4.8%	9.5%	1.8%
Nigeria	Ose	2017	11.2%	18.8%	5.5%
Nigeria	Oshimili	2000	12.0%	23.7%	6.0%
Nigeria	Oshimili North	2017	26.3%	43.8%	15.2%
Nigeria	Oshimili South	2000	61.3%	70.5%	54.8%
Nigeria	Oshimili South	2017	68.8%	79.4%	60.2%
Nigeria	Oshodi/Isolo	2000	29.8%	32.2%	27.6%
Nigeria	Oshodi/Isolo	2017	64.0%	66.0%	62.1%
Nigeria	Osioma Ngwa	2000	12.0%	15.4%	8.9%
Nigeria	Osioma Ngwa	2017	33.5%	38.8%	28.1%
Nigeria	Osogbo	2000	8.8%	17.4%	6.1%
Nigeria	Osogbo	2017	28.3%	44.7%	19.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Oturkpo	2000	6.0%	18.6%	1.6%
Nigeria	Oturkpo	2017	14.3%	32.5%	5.1%
Nigeria	OviaNort	2000	13.9%	19.4%	10.0%
Nigeria	OviaNort	2017	29.0%	36.8%	22.9%
Nigeria	OviaSouth-West	2000	9.9%	15.4%	5.3%
Nigeria	OviaSouth-West	2017	17.6%	26.5%	9.8%
Nigeria	Owan East	2000	7.8%	19.5%	2.6%
Nigeria	Owan East	2017	16.7%	33.5%	6.2%
Nigeria	OwanWest	2000	4.7%	13.8%	1.3%
Nigeria	OwanWest	2017	14.8%	28.6%	6.8%
Nigeria	Owerri Municipal	2000	12.8%	14.5%	11.2%
Nigeria	Owerri Municipal	2017	38.2%	41.6%	35.3%
Nigeria	Owerri North	2000	13.4%	15.0%	11.8%
Nigeria	Owerri North	2017	39.5%	41.8%	36.9%
Nigeria	Owerri West	2000	7.9%	9.6%	6.9%
Nigeria	Owerri West	2017	26.7%	30.5%	23.9%
Nigeria	Owo	2000	3.7%	9.5%	1.5%
Nigeria	Owo	2017	10.9%	20.8%	5.3%
Nigeria	Oye	2000	2.7%	6.9%	1.3%
Nigeria	Oye	2017	9.7%	18.9%	5.2%
Nigeria	Oyi	2000	8.5%	9.7%	7.4%
Nigeria	Oyi	2017	27.5%	30.0%	25.3%
Nigeria	Oyigbo	2000	10.8%	14.4%	8.4%
Nigeria	Oyigbo	2017	28.7%	36.8%	23.3%
Nigeria	Oyo East	2000	10.1%	17.2%	6.2%
Nigeria	Oyo East	2017	24.9%	34.0%	17.8%
Nigeria	Oyo West	2000	5.1%	7.7%	3.7%
Nigeria	Oyo West	2017	16.7%	21.8%	13.5%
Nigeria	Oyun	2000	8.4%	15.8%	2.9%
Nigeria	Oyun	2017	17.9%	25.9%	9.8%
Nigeria	Paikoro	2000	1.7%	4.8%	0.3%
Nigeria	Paikoro	2017	5.1%	10.8%	1.5%
Nigeria	Pankshin	2000	1.5%	4.6%	0.4%
Nigeria	Pankshin	2017	4.6%	10.2%	1.5%
Nigeria	Patani	2000	11.2%	26.6%	4.7%
Nigeria	Patani	2017	29.7%	46.3%	18.1%
Nigeria	Pategi	2000	3.0%	7.2%	0.8%
Nigeria	Pategi	2017	7.6%	14.1%	3.1%
Nigeria	Port Harcourt	2000	17.4%	24.3%	10.8%
Nigeria	Port Harcourt	2017	42.0%	47.6%	33.6%
Nigeria	Potiskum	2000	1.4%	3.4%	0.6%
Nigeria	Potiskum	2017	4.8%	9.6%	2.4%
Nigeria	Qua'anpa	2000	2.0%	5.0%	0.7%
Nigeria	Qua'anpa	2017	5.7%	11.9%	2.5%
Nigeria	Rabah	2000	2.5%	5.6%	0.6%
Nigeria	Rabah	2017	6.8%	12.8%	2.4%
Nigeria	Rafi	2000	5.0%	12.4%	1.2%
Nigeria	Rafi	2017	11.1%	20.5%	4.4%
Nigeria	Rano	2000	2.7%	8.3%	0.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Rano	2017	10.6%	23.1%	4.2%
Nigeria	Remo-North	2000	2.4%	7.5%	1.1%
Nigeria	Remo-North	2017	8.2%	17.7%	4.4%
Nigeria	Rijau	2000	2.0%	5.7%	0.5%
Nigeria	Rijau	2017	6.3%	14.4%	2.0%
Nigeria	Rimi	2000	1.4%	4.5%	0.5%
Nigeria	Rimi	2017	5.1%	10.3%	2.2%
Nigeria	RiminGad	2000	2.3%	8.0%	0.7%
Nigeria	RiminGad	2017	6.6%	25.0%	1.4%
Nigeria	Ringim	2000	1.4%	4.8%	0.4%
Nigeria	Ringim	2017	3.9%	10.4%	1.4%
Nigeria	Riyom	2000	3.1%	10.8%	0.5%
Nigeria	Riyom	2017	7.3%	19.3%	1.9%
Nigeria	Rogo	2000	2.3%	8.6%	0.5%
Nigeria	Rogo	2017	6.2%	15.2%	2.2%
Nigeria	Roni	2000	1.4%	8.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Roni	2017	3.8%	15.0%	0.5%
Nigeria	Sabon Birni	2000	0.8%	2.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Sabon Birni	2017	2.8%	7.5%	0.8%
Nigeria	Sabon-Ga	2000	3.2%	4.2%	2.7%
Nigeria	Sabon-Ga	2017	13.0%	15.1%	11.4%
Nigeria	Sabuwa	2000	2.2%	11.3%	0.2%
Nigeria	Sabuwa	2017	6.4%	22.4%	1.0%
Nigeria	Safana	2000	1.5%	5.4%	0.3%
Nigeria	Safana	2017	4.5%	11.4%	1.2%
Nigeria	Sagbama	2000	7.9%	14.1%	4.6%
Nigeria	Sagbama	2017	22.5%	31.9%	16.1%
Nigeria	Sakaba	2000	1.7%	7.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Sakaba	2017	4.4%	15.3%	0.7%
Nigeria	Saki East	2000	2.7%	7.4%	0.8%
Nigeria	Saki East	2017	8.4%	17.9%	3.7%
Nigeria	Saki West	2000	3.7%	9.0%	1.5%
Nigeria	Saki West	2017	10.8%	21.6%	5.4%
Nigeria	Sandamu	2000	0.5%	2.0%	0.1%
Nigeria	Sandamu	2017	1.8%	6.3%	0.5%
Nigeria	Sanga	2000	7.3%	17.7%	1.4%
Nigeria	Sanga	2017	18.0%	33.4%	5.2%
Nigeria	Sapele	2000	9.6%	14.8%	7.1%
Nigeria	Sapele	2017	27.4%	36.0%	22.2%
Nigeria	Sardauna	2000	1.3%	3.4%	0.4%
Nigeria	Sardauna	2017	3.2%	7.0%	1.3%
Nigeria	Shagamu	2000	19.4%	30.3%	9.6%
Nigeria	Shagamu	2017	45.6%	55.9%	30.4%
Nigeria	Shagari	2000	0.7%	2.9%	0.1%
Nigeria	Shagari	2017	2.5%	7.4%	0.6%
Nigeria	Shanga	2000	1.3%	4.9%	0.2%
Nigeria	Shanga	2017	3.9%	12.0%	0.7%
Nigeria	Shani	2000	1.4%	7.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Shani	2017	4.0%	14.4%	0.3%
Nigeria	Shanono	2000	2.4%	7.9%	0.3%
Nigeria	Shanono	2017	6.8%	18.4%	1.2%
Nigeria	Shelleng	2000	1.4%	5.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	Shelleng	2017	3.9%	12.3%	0.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Shendam	2000	2.4%	7.3%	0.6%
Nigeria	Shendam	2017	6.5%	14.3%	2.3%
Nigeria	Shinkafi	2000	12.9%	18.0%	6.6%
Nigeria	Shinkafi	2017	17.6%	23.6%	11.8%
Nigeria	Shira	2000	0.4%	2.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Shira	2017	1.4%	5.1%	0.3%
Nigeria	Shiroro	2000	3.1%	6.8%	1.2%
Nigeria	Shiroro	2017	7.2%	13.4%	3.0%
Nigeria	Shomgom	2000	1.3%	5.0%	0.3%
Nigeria	Shomgom	2017	4.4%	11.1%	1.5%
Nigeria	Shomolu	2000	31.1%	33.1%	29.3%
Nigeria	Shomolu	2017	65.4%	67.1%	63.5%
Nigeria	Silame	2000	2.1%	9.2%	0.3%
Nigeria	Silame	2017	6.2%	19.0%	1.3%
Nigeria	Soba	2000	2.2%	7.5%	0.5%
Nigeria	Soba	2017	6.4%	14.0%	2.3%
Nigeria	Sokoto North	2000	1.5%	1.7%	1.3%
Nigeria	Sokoto North	2017	6.0%	6.9%	5.2%
Nigeria	Sokoto South	2000	2.7%	3.3%	2.3%
Nigeria	Sokoto South	2017	10.1%	11.7%	8.5%
Nigeria	Song	2000	1.7%	4.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	Song	2017	4.9%	10.8%	1.7%
Nigeria	Southern Ijaw	2000	5.7%	11.4%	2.7%
Nigeria	Southern Ijaw	2017	13.1%	21.5%	7.3%
Nigeria	Sule-Tan	2000	1.0%	3.1%	0.2%
Nigeria	Sule-Tan	2017	2.9%	7.4%	0.7%
Nigeria	Suleja	2000	7.7%	12.3%	5.9%
Nigeria	Suleja	2017	22.2%	28.0%	18.7%
Nigeria	Sumaila	2000	1.7%	6.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	Sumaila	2017	6.0%	14.0%	2.4%
Nigeria	Suru	2000	0.8%	3.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Suru	2017	2.5%	8.2%	0.3%
Nigeria	Surulere	2000	26.5%	28.4%	24.8%
Nigeria	Surulere	2000	5.4%	15.0%	1.4%
Nigeria	Surulere	2017	15.3%	29.0%	6.1%
Nigeria	Surulere	2017	59.5%	61.1%	58.2%
Nigeria	Tafa	2000	3.3%	4.0%	2.8%
Nigeria	Tafa	2017	12.0%	13.7%	10.5%
Nigeria	Tafawa-B	2000	2.3%	6.6%	0.3%
Nigeria	Tafawa-B	2017	6.2%	15.2%	1.3%
Nigeria	Tai	2000	3.4%	4.4%	2.5%
Nigeria	Tai	2017	12.4%	15.2%	9.8%
Nigeria	Takai	2000	2.2%	6.7%	0.6%
Nigeria	Takai	2017	6.4%	13.3%	2.4%
Nigeria	Takum	2000	1.8%	5.9%	0.2%
Nigeria	Takum	2017	6.2%	16.8%	1.0%
Nigeria	Talata-Mafara	2000	1.2%	4.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Talata-Mafara	2017	3.9%	13.3%	0.8%
Nigeria	Tambawal	2000	1.3%	4.1%	0.3%
Nigeria	Tambawal	2017	3.8%	9.0%	1.1%
Nigeria	Tangazar	2000	1.3%	5.0%	0.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Tangazar	2017	3.9%	11.4%	0.8%
Nigeria	Tarauni	2000	3.5%	3.9%	3.2%
Nigeria	Tarauni	2017	13.3%	14.2%	12.5%
Nigeria	Tarka	2000	2.4%	10.8%	0.4%
Nigeria	Tarka	2017	7.9%	22.8%	1.7%
Nigeria	Tarmuwa	2000	0.9%	3.3%	0.2%
Nigeria	Tarmuwa	2017	2.7%	7.0%	0.7%
Nigeria	Taura	2000	0.8%	3.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Taura	2017	2.9%	10.0%	0.6%
Nigeria	Teungo	2000	2.9%	7.1%	0.9%
Nigeria	Teungo	2017	7.6%	15.1%	3.1%
Nigeria	Tofa	2000	18.0%	23.0%	13.4%
Nigeria	Tofa	2017	32.7%	37.2%	28.1%
Nigeria	Toro	2000	2.6%	6.2%	0.8%
Nigeria	Toro	2017	6.9%	13.9%	2.9%
Nigeria	Toto	2000	2.4%	7.6%	0.4%
Nigeria	Toto	2017	6.0%	14.2%	1.4%
Nigeria	Tsafe	2000	1.2%	4.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	Tsafe	2017	3.2%	8.2%	0.9%
Nigeria	Tsanyawa	2000	1.5%	5.6%	0.3%
Nigeria	Tsanyawa	2017	5.6%	16.2%	1.4%
Nigeria	Tundun Wada	2000	1.3%	4.0%	0.4%
Nigeria	Tundun Wada	2017	4.6%	11.0%	1.6%
Nigeria	Tureta	2000	0.8%	3.3%	0.0%
Nigeria	Tureta	2017	2.0%	7.4%	0.2%
Nigeria	Udenu	2000	24.6%	31.8%	17.0%
Nigeria	Udenu	2017	39.2%	44.5%	33.8%
Nigeria	Udi	2000	4.3%	6.7%	2.4%
Nigeria	Udi	2017	10.3%	15.2%	7.3%
Nigeria	Udu	2000	12.9%	15.7%	10.7%
Nigeria	Udu	2017	35.2%	40.3%	31.1%
Nigeria	Udung Uko	2000	2.6%	4.5%	1.6%
Nigeria	Udung Uko	2017	9.8%	16.0%	6.3%
Nigeria	Ughelli North	2000	10.9%	17.3%	7.4%
Nigeria	Ughelli North	2017	26.6%	33.0%	21.9%
Nigeria	Ughelli South	2000	19.2%	29.4%	12.3%
Nigeria	Ughelli South	2017	37.2%	49.2%	28.1%
Nigeria	Ugwunagbo	2000	2.6%	3.4%	1.9%
Nigeria	Ugwunagbo	2017	13.9%	17.3%	10.7%
Nigeria	Uhunmwonde	2000	9.8%	14.6%	6.4%
Nigeria	Uhunmwonde	2017	23.5%	31.9%	17.3%
Nigeria	Ukanafun	2000	1.0%	4.1%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ukanafun	2017	3.9%	9.9%	1.2%
Nigeria	Ukum	2000	2.4%	6.8%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ukum	2017	7.0%	16.4%	2.5%
Nigeria	Ukwa East	2000	2.6%	16.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ukwa East	2017	7.3%	31.6%	0.8%
Nigeria	Ukwa West	2000	6.5%	8.6%	4.6%
Nigeria	Ukwa West	2017	15.0%	17.7%	12.6%
Nigeria	Ukwuani	2000	15.2%	26.1%	7.3%
Nigeria	Ukwuani	2017	33.3%	53.1%	21.2%
Nigeria	Umu-Nneochi	2000	13.8%	19.4%	8.1%
Nigeria	Umu-Nneochi	2017	26.1%	30.9%	20.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Umuahia North	2000	10.5%	11.9%	9.1%
Nigeria	Umuahia North	2017	31.7%	34.4%	29.0%
Nigeria	Umuahia South	2000	8.0%	9.3%	7.1%
Nigeria	Umuahia South	2017	27.5%	30.1%	24.8%
Nigeria	Ungogo	2000	3.4%	4.2%	2.8%
Nigeria	Ungogo	2017	13.6%	15.5%	12.0%
Nigeria	Unuimo	2000	11.6%	19.0%	7.9%
Nigeria	Unuimo	2017	37.5%	49.8%	28.3%
Nigeria	Uruan	2000	4.4%	12.0%	1.9%
Nigeria	Uruan	2017	10.5%	24.6%	5.6%
Nigeria	UrueOffo	2000	2.9%	13.4%	0.8%
Nigeria	UrueOffo	2017	9.3%	27.4%	3.5%
Nigeria	Ushongo	2000	3.0%	9.7%	0.5%
Nigeria	Ushongo	2017	8.1%	16.9%	2.8%
Nigeria	Ussa	2000	1.5%	4.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Ussa	2017	3.9%	9.1%	1.0%
Nigeria	Uvwie	2000	35.0%	42.6%	26.8%
Nigeria	Uvwie	2017	60.7%	64.3%	55.2%
Nigeria	Uyo	2000	5.6%	6.5%	4.8%
Nigeria	Uyo	2017	17.8%	20.0%	15.9%
Nigeria	Uzo-Uwani	2000	2.9%	9.4%	0.4%
Nigeria	Uzo-Uwani	2017	7.7%	19.7%	1.7%
Nigeria	Vandeiky	2000	6.6%	23.9%	1.7%
Nigeria	Vandeiky	2017	13.9%	36.1%	4.0%
Nigeria	Wamakko	2000	3.9%	10.3%	1.8%
Nigeria	Wamakko	2017	10.7%	21.6%	6.2%
Nigeria	Wamba	2000	2.5%	7.7%	0.6%
Nigeria	Wamba	2017	7.6%	15.6%	3.1%
Nigeria	Warawa	2000	3.3%	3.9%	2.8%
Nigeria	Warawa	2017	11.8%	13.3%	10.4%
Nigeria	Warji	2000	1.1%	3.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Warji	2017	3.8%	10.6%	1.0%
Nigeria	Warri North	2000	9.5%	19.9%	4.3%
Nigeria	Warri North	2017	18.5%	33.7%	8.0%
Nigeria	Warri South	2000	41.1%	50.5%	33.9%
Nigeria	Warri South	2017	62.6%	70.8%	56.0%
Nigeria	Warri South-West	2000	8.9%	18.2%	4.3%
Nigeria	Warri South-West	2017	20.2%	33.8%	11.7%
Nigeria	Wase	2000	2.1%	5.2%	0.6%
Nigeria	Wase	2017	5.2%	11.7%	2.2%
Nigeria	Wudil	2000	1.2%	1.9%	0.8%
Nigeria	Wudil	2017	6.2%	8.4%	4.2%
Nigeria	Wukari	2000	2.6%	5.8%	0.8%
Nigeria	Wukari	2017	6.8%	12.4%	3.1%
Nigeria	Wurno	2000	1.7%	6.3%	0.3%
Nigeria	Wurno	2017	5.2%	14.4%	1.2%
Nigeria	Wushishi	2000	6.8%	19.5%	2.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Wushishi	2017	13.1%	25.2%	5.4%
Nigeria	Yabo	2000	0.5%	1.8%	0.1%
Nigeria	Yabo	2017	1.7%	5.7%	0.5%
Nigeria	Yagba East	2000	4.0%	11.1%	1.4%
Nigeria	Yagba East	2017	10.9%	22.0%	5.2%
Nigeria	Yagba West	2000	2.5%	6.3%	0.9%
Nigeria	Yagba West	2017	7.7%	15.0%	3.5%
Nigeria	Yakurr	2000	10.7%	18.8%	5.9%
Nigeria	Yakurr	2017	27.0%	38.3%	19.0%
Nigeria	Yala Cross	2000	2.7%	7.7%	0.6%
Nigeria	Yala Cross	2017	6.8%	14.6%	2.3%
Nigeria	Yamaltu	2000	1.6%	5.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Yamaltu	2017	4.1%	11.0%	1.2%
Nigeria	Yankwashi	2000	1.2%	6.1%	0.2%
Nigeria	Yankwashi	2017	3.6%	15.3%	0.9%
Nigeria	Yauri	2000	0.8%	3.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Yauri	2017	2.8%	9.4%	0.6%
Nigeria	Yenegoa	2000	12.5%	15.0%	10.4%
Nigeria	Yenegoa	2017	32.6%	36.1%	29.3%
Nigeria	Yola North	2000	1.6%	2.9%	1.0%
Nigeria	Yola North	2017	5.6%	8.4%	3.9%
Nigeria	Yola South	2000	7.8%	19.2%	2.4%
Nigeria	Yola South	2017	21.4%	40.3%	9.3%
Nigeria	Yorro	2000	2.5%	5.8%	1.4%
Nigeria	Yorro	2017	5.1%	11.2%	2.5%
Nigeria	Yunusari	2000	1.1%	3.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Yunusari	2017	3.1%	8.0%	0.8%
Nigeria	Yusufari	2000	0.8%	2.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Yusufari	2017	2.3%	5.0%	0.7%
Nigeria	Zaki	2000	1.6%	6.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Zaki	2017	4.5%	15.6%	0.7%
Nigeria	Zango	2000	2.8%	7.2%	0.6%
Nigeria	Zango	2017	6.7%	13.9%	2.2%
Nigeria	ZangonKa	2000	4.9%	11.2%	1.2%
Nigeria	ZangonKa	2017	12.6%	23.2%	4.6%
Nigeria	Zaria	2000	2.6%	4.8%	1.9%
Nigeria	Zaria	2017	11.7%	17.4%	8.9%
Nigeria	Zing	2000	0.5%	1.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Zing	2017	1.7%	4.8%	0.5%
Nigeria	Zurmi	2000	0.8%	3.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	Zurmi	2017	2.3%	6.4%	0.6%
Nigeria	Zuru	2000	6.0%	14.8%	1.3%
Nigeria	Zuru	2017	13.7%	25.9%	4.7%
Republic of Congo	Abala	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Abala	2017	3.0%	4.8%	1.6%
Republic of Congo	Bambama	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Bambama	2017	1.8%	4.0%	0.5%
Republic of Congo	Boko	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Boko	2017	2.5%	7.4%	0.6%
Republic of Congo	Boko-Songho	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Boko-Songho	2017	3.8%	9.3%	0.6%
Republic of Congo	Boundji	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Boundji	2017	2.3%	5.3%	0.7%
Republic of Congo	Brazzaville	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Republic of Congo	Brazzaville	2017	22.6%	54.7%	4.3%
Republic of Congo	Divénié	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Divénié	2017	2.7%	5.6%	0.9%
Republic of Congo	Djambala	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Djambala	2017	2.7%	4.5%	1.5%
Republic of Congo	Dongou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Dongou	2017	2.8%	4.5%	1.7%
Republic of Congo	Epéna	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Epéna	2017	3.1%	4.4%	1.9%
Republic of Congo	Ewo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Ewo	2017	2.1%	4.2%	0.8%
Republic of Congo	Gamboma	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Gamboma	2017	2.9%	5.0%	1.5%
Republic of Congo	Impfondo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Impfondo	2017	3.0%	5.0%	1.5%
Republic of Congo	Kakamoeka	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Kakamoeka	2017	5.8%	11.7%	2.2%
Republic of Congo	Kéllé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Kéllé	2017	2.9%	5.2%	1.3%
Republic of Congo	Kibangou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Kibangou	2017	4.2%	8.1%	1.7%
Republic of Congo	Kimongo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Kimongo	2017	3.5%	9.3%	0.7%
Republic of Congo	Kindamba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Kindamba	2017	2.5%	4.4%	1.1%
Republic of Congo	Kinkala	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Kinkala	2017	2.5%	8.9%	0.3%
Republic of Congo	Komono	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Komono	2017	2.8%	5.6%	1.0%
Republic of Congo	Lékana	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Lékana	2017	2.1%	4.2%	0.7%
Republic of Congo	Loandjili	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Loandjili	2017	15.7%	21.6%	12.6%
Republic of Congo	Loudima	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Loudima	2017	3.9%	8.2%	1.1%
Republic of Congo	Loukoléla	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Loukoléla	2017	4.6%	7.7%	2.2%
Republic of Congo	Louvakou (Loubomo)	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Louvakou (Loubomo)	2017	4.1%	7.3%	1.7%
Republic of Congo	Madingo-Kayes	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Madingo-Kayes	2017	5.8%	10.2%	2.5%
Republic of Congo	Madingou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Madingou	2017	3.6%	10.2%	0.7%
Republic of Congo	Makoua	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Makoua	2017	2.9%	5.0%	1.4%
Republic of Congo	Mayama	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Mayama	2017	2.7%	4.5%	1.3%
Republic of Congo	Mayoko	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Mayoko	2017	4.0%	7.6%	1.8%
Republic of Congo	Mbomo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Mbomo	2017	2.2%	3.6%	1.0%
Republic of Congo	Mfouati	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Mfouati	2017	2.4%	10.7%	0.1%
Republic of Congo	Mindouli	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Mindouli	2017	3.3%	7.9%	1.1%
Republic of Congo	Mossaka	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Mossaka	2017	4.3%	6.8%	2.4%
Republic of Congo	Mossendjo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Mossendjo	2017	3.5%	6.1%	1.6%
Republic of Congo	Mouyondzi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Mouyondzi	2017	3.5%	7.3%	1.7%
Republic of Congo	Mvouti	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Mvouti	2017	5.9%	10.1%	2.3%
Republic of Congo	Ngabé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Ngabé	2017	2.8%	5.9%	1.2%
Republic of Congo	Ngamaba	2000	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%
Republic of Congo	Ngamaba	2017	21.3%	41.5%	3.1%
Republic of Congo	Nkayi District	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Nkayi District	2017	6.3%	15.8%	0.9%
Republic of Congo	Okoyo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Okoyo	2017	2.1%	3.8%	0.8%
Republic of Congo	Ouessou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Ouessou	2017	3.1%	4.8%	2.1%
Republic of Congo	Owando	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Owando	2017	3.2%	5.4%	1.6%
Republic of Congo	Pointe Noire	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Pointe Noire	2017	27.3%	48.6%	20.5%
Republic of Congo	Sembé	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Sembé	2017	2.1%	5.2%	0.8%
Republic of Congo	Sibiti	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Sibiti	2017	3.4%	5.8%	1.7%
Republic of Congo	Souanké	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Souanké	2017	2.2%	3.8%	1.1%
Republic of Congo	Zanaga	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Republic of Congo	Zanaga	2017	2.2%	4.5%	0.8%
Rwanda	Bugesera	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Bugesera	2017	1.7%	3.4%	0.8%
Rwanda	Burera	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Burera	2017	2.0%	4.3%	1.0%
Rwanda	Gakenke	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Gakenke	2017	1.1%	2.5%	0.5%
Rwanda	Gasabo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Gasabo	2017	1.9%	3.1%	1.3%
Rwanda	Gatsibo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Gatsibo	2017	3.4%	6.3%	1.6%
Rwanda	Gicumbi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Gicumbi	2017	2.3%	3.7%	1.3%
Rwanda	Gisagara	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Gisagara	2017	1.0%	1.8%	0.4%
Rwanda	Huye	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Huye	2017	1.4%	3.2%	0.5%
Rwanda	Kamonyi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Kamonyi	2017	3.0%	5.8%	1.3%
Rwanda	Karongi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Karongi	2017	1.2%	2.5%	0.5%
Rwanda	Kayonza	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Kayonza	2017	2.0%	3.6%	1.0%
Rwanda	Kicukiro	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Kicukiro	2017	5.7%	8.4%	3.7%
Rwanda	Kirehe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Kirehe	2017	0.9%	2.0%	0.4%
Rwanda	Muhanga	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Rwanda	Muhanga	2017	1.1%	2.5%	0.4%
Rwanda	Musanze	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Musanze	2017	3.4%	5.4%	2.0%
Rwanda	Ngoma	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Ngoma	2017	0.8%	1.7%	0.3%
Rwanda	Ngororero	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Ngororero	2017	2.2%	5.1%	0.9%
Rwanda	Nyabihu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Nyabihu	2017	3.9%	7.7%	2.1%
Rwanda	Nyagatare	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Nyagatare	2017	2.6%	4.9%	1.2%
Rwanda	Nyamagabe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Nyamagabe	2017	1.4%	2.5%	0.7%
Rwanda	Nyamasheke	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Nyamasheke	2017	1.3%	2.5%	0.6%
Rwanda	Nyanza	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Nyanza	2017	1.3%	3.0%	0.5%
Rwanda	Nyarugenge	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Nyarugenge	2017	3.2%	4.3%	2.2%
Rwanda	Nyaruguru	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Nyaruguru	2017	1.9%	3.4%	0.8%
Rwanda	Rubavu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Rubavu	2017	2.2%	5.4%	1.0%
Rwanda	Ruhango	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Ruhango	2017	2.3%	4.5%	1.1%
Rwanda	Rulindo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Rulindo	2017	1.5%	2.7%	0.8%
Rwanda	Rusizi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Rusizi	2017	1.4%	3.4%	0.5%
Rwanda	Rutsiro	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Rutsiro	2017	3.2%	5.9%	1.8%
Rwanda	Rwamagana	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Rwanda	Rwamagana	2017	2.5%	4.4%	1.2%
Senegal	Bakel	2000	1.5%	4.3%	0.2%
Senegal	Bakel	2017	7.3%	14.0%	2.6%
Senegal	Bambey	2000	7.1%	10.0%	4.3%
Senegal	Bambey	2017	18.6%	22.0%	16.4%
Senegal	Bignona	2000	3.0%	5.2%	1.6%
Senegal	Bignona	2017	7.7%	11.5%	4.4%
Senegal	Birkilane	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Senegal	Birkilane	2017	3.2%	4.9%	1.8%
Senegal	Boukiling	2000	1.8%	4.3%	0.7%
Senegal	Boukiling	2017	6.4%	11.4%	3.0%
Senegal	Dagana	2000	7.0%	11.6%	3.0%
Senegal	Dagana	2017	23.9%	32.6%	16.7%
Senegal	Dakar	2000	3.7%	5.3%	3.1%
Senegal	Dakar	2017	59.2%	61.3%	57.3%
Senegal	Diourbel	2000	4.8%	7.0%	3.1%
Senegal	Diourbel	2017	15.0%	18.2%	12.1%
Senegal	Fatick	2000	11.6%	14.0%	9.6%
Senegal	Fatick	2017	21.2%	25.4%	18.5%
Senegal	Foundiougne	2000	5.7%	8.2%	4.0%
Senegal	Foundiougne	2017	17.3%	23.9%	13.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Senegal	Gossas	2000	8.4%	16.7%	1.8%
Senegal	Gossas	2017	20.9%	29.8%	12.0%
Senegal	Goudiry	2000	3.0%	5.1%	1.3%
Senegal	Goudiry	2017	9.6%	14.2%	5.9%
Senegal	Goudomp	2000	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Senegal	Goudomp	2017	2.4%	6.3%	0.8%
Senegal	Guédiawaye	2000	1.1%	1.2%	1.0%
Senegal	Guédiawaye	2017	33.9%	35.0%	32.7%
Senegal	Guinguinéo	2000	0.4%	1.9%	0.1%
Senegal	Guinguinéo	2017	7.3%	12.6%	4.5%
Senegal	Kaffrine	2000	0.7%	2.7%	0.1%
Senegal	Kaffrine	2017	5.4%	10.2%	2.3%
Senegal	Kanel	2000	2.2%	4.7%	0.8%
Senegal	Kanel	2017	11.0%	15.8%	7.4%
Senegal	Kaolack	2000	4.3%	5.1%	3.5%
Senegal	Kaolack	2017	13.8%	15.0%	12.6%
Senegal	Kébémér	2000	4.9%	9.9%	1.7%
Senegal	Kébémér	2017	18.4%	26.5%	12.0%
Senegal	Kédougou	2000	1.1%	2.6%	0.2%
Senegal	Kédougou	2017	4.9%	8.5%	2.4%
Senegal	Kolda	2000	1.1%	2.8%	0.2%
Senegal	Kolda	2017	6.7%	11.2%	3.8%
Senegal	Koungheul	2000	1.0%	3.2%	0.1%
Senegal	Koungheul	2017	6.5%	11.2%	3.0%
Senegal	Koupentoum	2000	2.4%	5.8%	0.3%
Senegal	Koupentoum	2017	10.2%	17.7%	4.7%
Senegal	Linguère	2000	5.3%	7.6%	3.5%
Senegal	Linguère	2017	14.9%	20.7%	10.1%
Senegal	Louga	2000	5.1%	8.9%	1.6%
Senegal	Louga	2017	19.3%	24.9%	14.2%
Senegal	Malème	2000	3.3%	7.6%	0.4%
Senegal	Hodar				
Senegal	Malème	2017	12.6%	22.1%	6.0%
Senegal	Hodar				
Senegal	Matam	2000	1.7%	4.4%	0.5%
Senegal	Matam	2017	14.3%	19.9%	10.5%
Senegal	Mbacké	2000	5.8%	9.3%	4.1%
Senegal	Mbacké	2017	20.8%	28.9%	15.8%
Senegal	Mbour	2000	3.9%	11.3%	0.9%
Senegal	Mbour	2017	19.8%	28.5%	13.1%
Senegal	Médina Yoro Foula	2000	1.3%	4.2%	0.1%
Senegal	Médina Yoro Foula	2017	5.9%	13.0%	1.7%
Senegal	Nioro du Rip	2000	0.3%	1.7%	0.1%
Senegal	Nioro du Rip	2017	4.4%	8.1%	2.4%
Senegal	Oussouye	2000	2.8%	11.5%	0.0%
Senegal	Oussouye	2017	11.2%	20.7%	1.7%
Senegal	Pikine	2000	1.4%	1.5%	1.3%
Senegal	Pikine	2017	38.0%	39.1%	36.6%
Senegal	Podor	2000	2.8%	5.2%	1.1%
Senegal	Podor	2017	13.3%	18.2%	8.6%
Senegal	Ranéro Ferlo	2000	2.1%	4.6%	0.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Senegal	Ranérou Ferlo	2017	9.4%	15.0%	4.8%
Senegal	Rufisque	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.6%
Senegal	Rufisque	2017	30.8%	32.1%	29.5%
Senegal	Saint-Louis	2000	1.6%	2.9%	0.8%
Senegal	Saint-Louis	2017	22.6%	27.4%	18.8%
Senegal	Salémata	2000	0.6%	3.1%	0.0%
Senegal	Salémata	2017	3.4%	11.1%	0.2%
Senegal	Saraya	2000	1.1%	3.4%	0.1%
Senegal	Saraya	2017	5.4%	10.9%	1.7%
Senegal	Sédhiou	2000	0.6%	2.3%	0.0%
Senegal	Sédhiou	2017	4.1%	9.2%	1.3%
Senegal	Tambacounda	2000	3.4%	5.4%	1.9%
Senegal	Tambacounda	2017	9.9%	14.8%	5.9%
Senegal	Thiès	2000	1.9%	3.5%	1.2%
Senegal	Thiès	2017	16.0%	19.9%	13.5%
Senegal	Tivaouane	2000	6.4%	8.0%	4.8%
Senegal	Tivaouane	2017	18.8%	21.4%	16.4%
Senegal	Vélingara	2000	1.3%	3.4%	0.2%
Senegal	Vélingara	2017	5.7%	10.5%	2.4%
Senegal	Ziguinchor	2000	0.9%	1.7%	0.7%
Senegal	Ziguinchor	2017	2.8%	6.1%	1.5%
Sierra Leone	Bo	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Sierra Leone	Bo	2017	2.0%	2.4%	1.6%
Sierra Leone	Bombali	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Sierra Leone	Bombali	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Sierra Leone	Bonthe	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.7%
Sierra Leone	Bonthe	2017	1.7%	2.6%	0.9%
Sierra Leone	Kailahun	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Sierra Leone	Kailahun	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Sierra Leone	Kambia	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Sierra Leone	Kambia	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Sierra Leone	Kenema	2000	2.7%	3.4%	2.2%
Sierra Leone	Kenema	2017	3.3%	4.1%	2.8%
Sierra Leone	Koinadugu	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Sierra Leone	Koinadugu	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Sierra Leone	Kono	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Sierra Leone	Kono	2017	1.3%	1.6%	1.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sierra Leone	Moyamba	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.2%
Sierra Leone	Moyamba	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Sierra Leone	Port Loko	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Sierra Leone	Port Loko	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Sierra Leone	Pujehun	2000	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Sierra Leone	Pujehun	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Sierra Leone	Tonkolili	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Sierra Leone	Tonkolili	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Sierra Leone	Western Rural	2000	15.4%	16.5%	14.7%
Sierra Leone	Western Rural	2017	15.9%	17.2%	15.0%
Sierra Leone	Western Urban	2000	12.4%	13.0%	12.0%
Sierra Leone	Western Urban	2017	14.6%	15.2%	14.1%
Somalia	Aadan	2000	2.8%	9.5%	0.4%
Somalia	Aadan	2017	3.2%	15.2%	0.2%
Somalia	Afgooye	2000	7.4%	15.9%	2.4%
Somalia	Afgooye	2017	6.9%	21.9%	0.6%
Somalia	Afmadow	2000	2.4%	6.2%	0.5%
Somalia	Afmadow	2017	3.0%	13.0%	0.2%
Somalia	Baar-Dheere	2000	1.4%	5.1%	0.3%
Somalia	Baar-Dheere	2017	1.7%	8.7%	0.1%
Somalia	Badhaadhe	2000	5.6%	15.7%	1.1%
Somalia	Badhaadhe	2017	6.3%	28.9%	0.3%
Somalia	Badhan	2000	3.6%	9.9%	0.8%
Somalia	Badhan	2017	4.0%	16.3%	0.3%
Somalia	Baki	2000	3.2%	9.2%	0.6%
Somalia	Baki	2017	3.6%	16.8%	0.2%
Somalia	Balcad	2000	7.7%	17.8%	2.4%
Somalia	Balcad	2017	9.2%	36.1%	0.6%
Somalia	Bander-Beyla	2000	7.5%	23.0%	1.3%
Somalia	Bander-Beyla	2017	7.4%	23.8%	0.6%
Somalia	Baraawe	2000	3.7%	10.8%	0.7%
Somalia	Baraawe	2017	4.4%	17.0%	0.2%
Somalia	Baydhabo	2000	1.7%	6.6%	0.3%
Somalia	Baydhabo	2017	1.8%	6.7%	0.1%
Somalia	Beled Weyn	2000	1.1%	4.5%	0.2%
Somalia	Beled Weyn	2017	1.2%	6.1%	0.1%
Somalia	Beled Xaawo	2000	1.1%	3.5%	0.1%
Somalia	Beled Xaawo	2017	1.3%	6.0%	0.1%
Somalia	Berbera	2000	6.2%	16.3%	1.3%
Somalia	Berbera	2017	6.4%	22.4%	0.5%
Somalia	Boorama	2000	2.5%	7.1%	0.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Somalia	Boorama	2017	3.1%	12.9%	0.1%
Somalia	Bosaaso	2000	10.1%	28.6%	2.0%
Somalia	Bosaaso	2017	10.1%	37.0%	1.0%
Somalia	Bu'aale	2000	0.9%	3.3%	0.1%
Somalia	Bu'aale	2017	1.1%	6.6%	0.0%
Somalia	Burao	2000	0.9%	2.8%	0.2%
Somalia	Burao	2017	1.1%	4.9%	0.1%
Somalia	Burtinle	2000	5.3%	15.8%	0.9%
Somalia	Burtinle	2017	5.7%	21.8%	0.4%
Somalia	Buuhoodle	2000	2.0%	8.1%	0.3%
Somalia	Buuhoodle	2017	2.1%	8.8%	0.1%
Somalia	Buulo Burdo	2000	1.4%	4.5%	0.2%
Somalia	Buulo Burdo	2017	1.6%	8.1%	0.1%
Somalia	Buur Xakaba	2000	2.4%	6.8%	0.4%
Somalia	Buur Xakaba	2017	2.7%	11.4%	0.2%
Somalia	Caabudwaaq	2000	2.2%	6.7%	0.4%
Somalia	Caabudwaaq	2017	2.6%	13.0%	0.1%
Somalia	Cadaado	2000	2.2%	6.6%	0.3%
Somalia	Cadaado	2017	2.4%	12.7%	0.1%
Somalia	Cadale	2000	3.9%	13.2%	0.5%
Somalia	Cadale	2017	4.1%	17.7%	0.3%
Somalia	Calawla	2000	14.2%	35.3%	3.1%
Somalia	Calawla	2017	13.9%	43.8%	1.5%
Somalia	Caynabo	2000	1.6%	5.4%	0.3%
Somalia	Caynabo	2017	1.8%	8.7%	0.1%
Somalia	Ceel Barde	2000	1.1%	3.7%	0.1%
Somalia	Ceel Barde	2017	1.2%	7.1%	0.1%
Somalia	Ceel Buur	2000	1.9%	6.0%	0.4%
Somalia	Ceel Buur	2017	2.2%	9.6%	0.1%
Somalia	Ceel Dheer	2000	2.5%	7.7%	0.4%
Somalia	Ceel Dheer	2017	3.0%	12.9%	0.2%
Somalia	Ceel Waaq	2000	1.3%	4.7%	0.2%
Somalia	Ceel Waaq	2017	1.4%	6.3%	0.1%
Somalia	Ceel-Afwein	2000	2.8%	8.6%	0.5%
Somalia	Ceel-Afwein	2017	3.1%	13.7%	0.2%
Somalia	Ceerigaabo	2000	2.3%	6.4%	0.6%
Somalia	Ceerigaabo	2017	2.7%	13.1%	0.2%
Somalia	Dhuusamareeb	2000	2.1%	6.1%	0.4%
Somalia	Dhuusamareeb	2017	2.3%	11.1%	0.1%
Somalia	Diinsoor	2000	1.6%	5.4%	0.2%
Somalia	Diinsoor	2017	1.7%	9.0%	0.1%
Somalia	Dolow	2000	1.4%	5.7%	0.2%
Somalia	Dolow	2017	1.8%	9.3%	0.1%
Somalia	Eyl	2000	7.1%	19.9%	1.2%
Somalia	Eyl	2017	7.2%	25.7%	0.7%
Somalia	Gaalkacayo	2000	5.1%	14.6%	1.1%
Somalia	Gaalkacayo	2017	5.6%	23.5%	0.5%
Somalia	Gabiley	2000	5.7%	15.9%	1.1%
Somalia	Gabiley	2017	5.9%	19.9%	0.5%
Somalia	Garbahaaray	2000	1.1%	3.3%	0.1%
Somalia	Garbahaaray	2017	1.3%	6.4%	0.1%
Somalia	Garoowe	2000	5.1%	15.6%	0.9%
Somalia	Garoowe	2017	5.3%	22.6%	0.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Somalia	Goldogob	2000	5.9%	16.7%	1.1%
Somalia	Goldogob	2017	6.8%	31.3%	0.4%
Somalia	Hargeysa	2000	4.2%	11.0%	1.1%
Somalia	Hargeysa	2017	4.8%	22.2%	0.3%
Somalia	Hobyo	2000	7.0%	15.4%	1.7%
Somalia	Hobyo	2017	7.7%	31.3%	0.4%
Somalia	Iskushuban	2000	10.6%	27.2%	2.7%
Somalia	Iskushuban	2017	11.1%	40.7%	1.1%
Somalia	Jalalaqsi	2000	1.8%	6.7%	0.3%
Somalia	Jalalaqsi	2017	1.9%	8.9%	0.1%
Somalia	Jamaame	2000	2.3%	7.2%	0.5%
Somalia	Jamaame	2017	2.8%	14.5%	0.1%
Somalia	Jariiban	2000	6.4%	15.6%	1.6%
Somalia	Jariiban	2017	7.3%	28.1%	0.4%
Somalia	Jawhar	2000	3.8%	9.7%	0.9%
Somalia	Jawhar	2017	4.4%	22.3%	0.2%
Somalia	Jilib	2000	1.6%	4.5%	0.2%
Somalia	Jilib	2017	1.9%	7.8%	0.1%
Somalia	Kismaayo	2000	3.3%	8.9%	0.7%
Somalia	Kismaayo	2017	3.9%	15.8%	0.2%
Somalia	Kuntuwaaray	2000	3.1%	7.2%	0.7%
Somalia	Kuntuwaaray	2017	3.9%	21.7%	0.2%
Somalia	Lascaanod	2000	2.0%	6.2%	0.3%
Somalia	Lascaanod	2017	2.2%	9.7%	0.1%
Somalia	Lughaya	2000	2.8%	9.3%	0.5%
Somalia	Lughaya	2017	3.2%	16.8%	0.1%
Somalia	Luuk	2000	1.1%	3.5%	0.2%
Somalia	Luuk	2017	1.4%	6.6%	0.1%
Somalia	Marka	2000	4.7%	15.3%	0.8%
Somalia	Marka	2017	4.9%	20.1%	0.4%
Somalia	Mogadisho	2000	43.9%	60.2%	29.1%
Somalia	Mogadisho	2017	40.0%	79.1%	12.8%
Somalia	Oodweyne	2000	1.7%	5.2%	0.3%
Somalia	Oodweyne	2017	2.1%	11.6%	0.1%
Somalia	Qandala	2000	12.6%	31.0%	2.8%
Somalia	Qandala	2017	12.6%	42.8%	1.3%
Somalia	Qansax Dheere	2000	1.4%	4.4%	0.2%
Somalia	Qansax Dheere	2017	1.8%	9.7%	0.1%
Somalia	Qardho	2000	9.4%	21.8%	2.2%
Somalia	Qardho	2017	9.6%	33.7%	0.8%
Somalia	Qoryooley	2000	4.4%	14.1%	0.8%
Somalia	Qoryooley	2017	4.6%	19.9%	0.4%
Somalia	Rab Dhuure	2000	0.7%	2.8%	0.1%
Somalia	Rab Dhuure	2017	0.9%	4.9%	0.0%
Somalia	Saakow	2000	1.6%	5.1%	0.3%
Somalia	Saakow	2017	1.7%	7.0%	0.1%
Somalia	Sablale	2000	2.9%	7.9%	0.6%
Somalia	Sablale	2017	3.5%	17.2%	0.2%
Somalia	Sheekh	2000	2.2%	8.7%	0.2%
Somalia	Sheekh	2017	2.2%	9.5%	0.1%
Somalia	Taleex	2000	3.2%	10.2%	0.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Somalia	Taleex	2017	3.6%	15.9%	0.3%
Somalia	Tiyeeglow	2000	1.0%	3.6%	0.1%
Somalia	Tiyeeglow	2017	1.1%	7.1%	0.1%
Somalia	Wajid	2000	0.9%	3.9%	0.1%
Somalia	Wajid	2017	1.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Somalia	Wanla Weyn	2000	5.2%	14.4%	0.9%
Somalia	Wanla Weyn	2017	5.8%	25.7%	0.3%
Somalia	Xarardheere	2000	11.7%	28.1%	2.9%
Somalia	Xarardheere	2017	12.2%	41.3%	1.0%
Somalia	Xudun	2000	2.3%	6.7%	0.3%
Somalia	Xudun	2017	2.4%	10.9%	0.1%
Somalia	Xudur	2000	0.9%	3.7%	0.1%
Somalia	Xudur	2017	1.0%	4.3%	0.0%
Somalia	Zeylac	2000	2.7%	8.7%	0.5%
Somalia	Zeylac	2017	3.2%	15.9%	0.2%
South Africa	Alfred Nzo	2000	21.0%	23.2%	18.7%
South Africa	Alfred Nzo	2017	22.7%	25.0%	20.4%
South Africa	Amajuba	2000	31.6%	40.4%	26.0%
South Africa	Amajuba	2017	34.4%	43.2%	28.8%
South Africa	Amathole	2000	28.6%	32.3%	25.3%
South Africa	Amathole	2017	30.4%	34.0%	26.9%
South Africa	Bojanala	2000	34.8%	38.0%	31.8%
South Africa	Bojanala	2017	37.6%	40.6%	35.0%
South Africa	Buffalo City	2000	31.2%	34.0%	29.0%
South Africa	Buffalo City	2017	33.5%	36.3%	31.2%
South Africa	Cacadu	2000	51.0%	55.7%	45.5%
South Africa	Cacadu	2017	54.0%	58.9%	48.0%
South Africa	Cape Winelands	2000	89.2%	90.7%	87.7%
South Africa	Cape Winelands	2017	90.3%	91.6%	88.8%
South Africa	Capricorn	2000	26.6%	30.6%	23.3%
South Africa	Capricorn	2017	29.7%	33.7%	26.2%
South Africa	Central Karoo	2000	83.0%	87.7%	76.4%
South Africa	Central Karoo	2017	84.4%	88.6%	78.3%
South Africa	Chris Hani	2000	33.8%	36.0%	31.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Chris Hani	2017	37.4%	39.8%	35.0%
South Africa	City of Cape Town	2000	81.9%	85.1%	76.1%
South Africa	City of Cape Town	2017	83.5%	86.5%	77.7%
South Africa	City of Johannesburg	2000	79.6%	83.9%	73.7%
South Africa	City of Johannesburg	2017	81.6%	85.6%	75.8%
South Africa	City of Tshwane	2000	59.5%	69.5%	48.9%
South Africa	City of Tshwane	2017	61.2%	71.3%	50.8%
South Africa	Dr Kenneth Kaunda	2000	54.0%	56.8%	51.0%
South Africa	Dr Kenneth Kaunda	2017	55.9%	58.9%	52.7%
South Africa	Dr Ruth Segomotsi Mompati	2000	34.5%	37.7%	31.3%
South Africa	Dr Ruth Segomotsi Mompati	2017	37.8%	40.7%	34.6%
South Africa	Eden	2000	78.7%	84.2%	74.0%
South Africa	Eden	2017	80.7%	85.9%	76.0%
South Africa	Ehlanzeni	2000	28.8%	32.0%	26.0%
South Africa	Ehlanzeni	2017	31.7%	34.7%	29.1%
South Africa	Ekurhuleni	2000	75.1%	80.7%	67.0%
South Africa	Ekurhuleni	2017	77.3%	82.8%	69.4%
South Africa	eThekweni	2000	47.6%	48.8%	45.7%
South Africa	eThekweni	2017	50.2%	51.4%	48.3%
South Africa	Fezile Dabi	2000	65.4%	67.4%	63.4%
South Africa	Fezile Dabi	2017	67.7%	69.5%	65.7%
South Africa	Frances Baard	2000	69.8%	71.0%	68.6%
South Africa	Frances Baard	2017	73.3%	74.4%	72.2%
South Africa	Gert Sibande	2000	38.2%	42.2%	34.4%
South Africa	Gert Sibande	2017	39.9%	43.4%	36.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	iLembe	2000	29.5%	36.1%	24.0%
South Africa	iLembe	2017	30.9%	39.1%	24.4%
South Africa	Joe Gqabi	2000	34.2%	39.5%	29.3%
South Africa	Joe Gqabi	2017	37.4%	42.6%	32.5%
South Africa	John Taolo Gaetsewe	2000	38.7%	41.0%	37.0%
South Africa	John Taolo Gaetsewe	2017	46.8%	48.3%	45.2%
South Africa	Lejweleputswa	2000	60.0%	62.9%	56.0%
South Africa	Lejweleputswa	2017	63.1%	65.9%	59.0%
South Africa	Mangaung	2000	56.1%	57.0%	55.2%
South Africa	Mangaung	2017	58.7%	59.5%	57.8%
South Africa	Mopani	2000	16.6%	19.9%	14.1%
South Africa	Mopani	2017	18.4%	21.6%	15.9%
South Africa	Namakwa	2000	52.6%	58.3%	47.8%
South Africa	Namakwa	2017	55.1%	61.0%	50.1%
South Africa	Nelson Mandela Bay	2000	42.3%	43.9%	40.6%
South Africa	Nelson Mandela Bay	2017	43.8%	45.4%	42.1%
South Africa	Ngaka Modiri Molema	2000	35.4%	37.9%	33.1%
South Africa	Ngaka Modiri Molema	2017	38.0%	40.4%	35.6%
South Africa	Nkangala	2000	33.1%	36.1%	30.1%
South Africa	Nkangala	2017	36.1%	39.1%	33.2%
South Africa	O.R.Tambo	2000	22.5%	24.4%	20.6%
South Africa	O.R.Tambo	2017	24.8%	26.6%	22.8%
South Africa	Overberg	2000	76.4%	83.5%	66.7%
South Africa	Overberg	2017	77.2%	84.8%	66.3%
South Africa	Pixley ka Seme	2000	59.7%	63.3%	56.1%
South Africa	Pixley ka Seme	2017	62.2%	65.9%	58.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Sedibeng	2000	73.6%	79.1%	67.8%
South Africa	Sedibeng	2017	76.6%	82.0%	70.1%
South Africa	Sekhukhune	2000	22.1%	25.5%	19.0%
South Africa	Sekhukhune	2017	23.9%	27.7%	20.7%
South Africa	Sisonke	2000	25.5%	31.7%	20.0%
South Africa	Sisonke	2017	27.1%	33.4%	21.5%
South Africa	Siyanda	2000	68.5%	72.1%	64.8%
South Africa	Siyanda	2017	71.5%	75.0%	67.9%
South Africa	Thabo Mofutsanyane	2000	50.7%	53.2%	47.9%
South Africa	Thabo Mofutsanyane	2017	52.7%	55.1%	49.9%
South Africa	Ugu	2000	22.8%	29.9%	17.2%
South Africa	Ugu	2017	23.9%	31.1%	18.1%
South Africa	Umgungundlovu	2000	24.9%	31.4%	19.3%
South Africa	Umgungundlovu	2017	26.1%	32.8%	20.4%
South Africa	Umkhanyakude	2000	32.3%	38.8%	26.6%
South Africa	Umkhanyakude	2017	34.1%	40.5%	28.2%
South Africa	Umzinyathi	2000	30.2%	36.9%	24.6%
South Africa	Umzinyathi	2017	32.6%	39.2%	26.7%
South Africa	Uthukela	2000	30.6%	36.6%	24.5%
South Africa	Uthukela	2017	32.9%	39.1%	26.8%
South Africa	Uthungulu	2000	37.4%	43.3%	31.3%
South Africa	Uthungulu	2017	41.2%	47.4%	34.3%
South Africa	Vhembe	2000	20.5%	25.4%	16.3%
South Africa	Vhembe	2017	22.7%	27.5%	18.7%
South Africa	Waterberg	2000	31.2%	34.4%	27.7%
South Africa	Waterberg	2017	34.4%	37.6%	30.6%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	West Coast	2000	82.2%	86.8%	77.1%
South Africa	West Coast	2017	83.6%	87.9%	78.8%
South Africa	West Rand	2000	68.9%	76.2%	59.8%
South Africa	West Rand	2017	72.3%	78.9%	62.7%
South Africa	Xhariep	2000	65.7%	69.9%	60.7%
South Africa	Xhariep	2017	68.2%	72.3%	63.4%
South Africa	Zululand	2000	28.8%	34.6%	23.1%
South Africa	Zululand	2017	31.3%	36.9%	25.7%
South Sudan	Akobo	2000	9.7%	14.9%	5.6%
South Sudan	Akobo	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
South Sudan	Al Leiri	2000	13.5%	27.7%	5.9%
South Sudan	Al Leiri	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%
South Sudan	Al Mabien	2000	5.2%	12.7%	1.7%
South Sudan	Al Mabien	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
South Sudan	Al Mayom	2000	5.6%	13.2%	1.5%
South Sudan	Al Mayom	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
South Sudan	Al Renk	2000	0.6%	1.5%	0.2%
South Sudan	Al Renk	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
South Sudan	Aliab	2000	17.5%	26.2%	10.1%
South Sudan	Aliab	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
South Sudan	Amatonge	2000	4.2%	7.5%	2.2%
South Sudan	Amatonge	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
South Sudan	Aryat	2000	3.1%	6.2%	1.5%
South Sudan	Aryat	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
South Sudan	Aweil	2000	3.5%	6.4%	2.0%
South Sudan	Aweil	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Sudan	Ayod	2000	9.4%	15.0%	5.4%
South Sudan	Ayod	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
South Sudan	Bahr al Jabal	2000	12.1%	17.7%	7.9%
South Sudan	Bahr al Jabal	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
South Sudan	Baleit	2000	5.7%	10.2%	2.8%
South Sudan	Baleit	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
South Sudan	Bor	2000	11.3%	18.7%	6.2%
South Sudan	Bor	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
South Sudan	Fam al Zaraf	2000	9.2%	16.5%	4.5%
South Sudan	Fam al Zaraf	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
South Sudan	Faring	2000	4.0%	9.9%	1.0%
South Sudan	Faring	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
South Sudan	Fashooda	2000	2.4%	5.2%	0.9%
South Sudan	Fashooda	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
South Sudan	Gogrial	2000	5.8%	10.3%	3.4%
South Sudan	Gogrial	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
South Sudan	Kajo Kaii	2000	7.3%	13.4%	4.0%
South Sudan	Kajo Kaii	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
South Sudan	Kapoeta	2000	5.1%	8.3%	2.7%
South Sudan	Kapoeta	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
South Sudan	Magwi	2000	4.8%	8.5%	2.5%
South Sudan	Magwi	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
South Sudan	Malek	2000	3.9%	7.0%	1.9%
South Sudan	Malek	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
South Sudan	Malut	2000	2.4%	5.2%	1.1%
South Sudan	Malut	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Sudan	Mayot	2000	3.9%	7.1%	1.7%
South Sudan	Mayot	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
South Sudan	Meridi	2000	5.1%	8.6%	2.5%
South Sudan	Meridi	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
South Sudan	Mundri	2000	7.0%	11.7%	4.1%
South Sudan	Mundri	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
South Sudan	Nahr Atiem	2000	7.9%	12.6%	4.5%
South Sudan	Nahr Atiem	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
South Sudan	Nahr Lol	2000	4.3%	8.1%	2.1%
South Sudan	Nahr Lol	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
South Sudan	Nahr Yei	2000	7.7%	12.3%	4.6%
South Sudan	Nahr Yei	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
South Sudan	Pibor	2000	8.0%	14.0%	4.6%
South Sudan	Pibor	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
South Sudan	Rabkona	2000	7.0%	15.2%	2.1%
South Sudan	Rabkona	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
South Sudan	Raja	2000	4.4%	8.2%	2.1%
South Sudan	Raja	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
South Sudan	Rumbek	2000	26.9%	35.0%	18.8%
South Sudan	Rumbek	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
South Sudan	Shobet	2000	22.6%	30.4%	15.4%
South Sudan	Shobet	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
South Sudan	Shokodom	2000	4.4%	7.9%	2.4%
South Sudan	Shokodom	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
South Sudan	Sobat	2000	3.8%	6.4%	2.0%
South Sudan	Sobat	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Terkaka	2000	9.2%	16.0%	5.0%
Sudan	Terkaka	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Sudan	Tombura	2000	5.1%	9.7%	2.4%
Sudan	Tombura	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Sudan	Tonga	2000	6.4%	10.3%	3.7%
Sudan	Tonga	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Sudan	Tonj	2000	7.7%	12.7%	4.5%
Sudan	Tonj	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Sudan	Wanjuk	2000	3.6%	6.0%	1.7%
Sudan	Wanjuk	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Sudan	Warab	2000	10.3%	15.5%	6.2%
Sudan	Warab	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Sudan	Wat	2000	9.7%	15.9%	5.3%
Sudan	Wat	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Sudan	Wau	2000	11.0%	16.0%	7.0%
Sudan	Wau	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Sudan	Yambio	2000	5.0%	8.6%	2.3%
Sudan	Yambio	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Sudan	Yerol	2000	21.0%	30.5%	14.5%
Sudan	Yerol	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Swaziland	Dvokodvweni	2000	12.3%	21.5%	6.3%
Swaziland	Dvokodvweni	2017	15.9%	26.3%	8.3%
Swaziland	Ekukhanyeni	2000	9.2%	15.3%	4.5%
Swaziland	Ekukhanyeni	2017	12.1%	19.6%	6.2%
Swaziland	Gege	2000	17.6%	31.7%	7.9%
Swaziland	Gege	2017	22.2%	38.7%	10.6%
Swaziland	Hhukwini	2000	12.2%	25.8%	4.8%
Swaziland	Hhukwini	2017	15.6%	31.5%	6.3%
Swaziland	Hlane	2000	11.4%	19.6%	5.4%
Swaziland	Hlane	2017	15.0%	24.9%	7.0%
Swaziland	Hosea	2000	14.2%	26.7%	6.1%
Swaziland	Hosea	2017	18.1%	31.9%	8.4%
Swaziland	Kubuta	2000	13.1%	24.7%	4.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Swaziland	Kubuta	2017	16.8%	30.2%	6.2%
Swaziland	Kwaluseni	2000	10.2%	27.8%	2.2%
Swaziland	Kwaluseni	2017	13.3%	35.2%	3.0%
Swaziland	Lamgabhi	2000	13.8%	30.5%	4.1%
Swaziland	Lamgabhi	2017	17.6%	36.4%	5.1%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2000	12.1%	29.5%	3.2%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2017	15.0%	34.7%	4.2%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2000	9.2%	19.8%	3.1%
Swaziland	Lomdzala				
Swaziland	Lobamba	2017	12.0%	25.3%	4.1%
Swaziland	Lomdzala				
Swaziland	Lomahasha	2000	11.8%	22.2%	5.1%
Swaziland	Lomahasha	2017	15.3%	28.0%	6.8%
Swaziland	Lubuli	2000	13.0%	25.1%	5.7%
Swaziland	Lubuli	2017	16.6%	30.5%	7.6%
Swaziland	Ludzeludze	2000	10.3%	27.0%	2.9%
Swaziland	Ludzeludze	2017	13.4%	34.1%	3.8%
Swaziland	Lugongolweni	2000	12.9%	21.6%	6.0%
Swaziland	Lugongolweni	2017	16.6%	27.2%	8.2%
Swaziland	Madlangempisi	2000	11.1%	21.0%	4.6%
Swaziland	Madlangempisi	2017	14.3%	26.6%	6.2%
Swaziland	Mafutseni	2000	10.4%	21.7%	3.2%
Swaziland	Mafutseni	2017	13.4%	26.3%	4.2%
Swaziland	Mahlangatja	2000	14.1%	25.4%	6.7%
Swaziland	Mahlangatja	2017	18.1%	31.2%	8.9%
Swaziland	Mangcongco	2000	17.4%	32.5%	8.6%
Swaziland	Mangcongco	2017	21.9%	38.8%	11.3%
Swaziland	Manzini	2000	10.0%	21.0%	4.8%
Swaziland	North				
Swaziland	Manzini	2017	13.2%	26.3%	6.5%
Swaziland	North				
Swaziland	Manzini	2000	11.6%	40.9%	1.9%
Swaziland	South				
Swaziland	Manzini	2017	14.9%	49.2%	2.5%
Swaziland	South				
Swaziland	Maseyisini	2000	19.8%	36.9%	7.5%
Swaziland	Maseyisini	2017	24.7%	44.1%	9.9%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni	2000	15.0%	28.8%	6.5%
Swaziland	North				
Swaziland	Matsanjeni	2017	19.0%	35.3%	8.9%
Swaziland	North				
Swaziland	Matsanjeni	2000	16.8%	31.4%	7.4%
Swaziland	South				
Swaziland	Matsanjeni	2017	21.1%	37.6%	9.9%
Swaziland	South				
Swaziland	Mayiwane	2000	14.0%	32.8%	5.1%
Swaziland	Mayiwane	2017	17.8%	39.5%	6.8%
Swaziland	Mbabane East	2000	12.9%	19.6%	8.3%
Swaziland	Mbabane East	2017	17.5%	25.9%	11.3%
Swaziland	Mbabane	2000	14.0%	31.4%	4.6%
Swaziland	West				
Swaziland	Mbabane	2017	18.3%	37.6%	6.4%
Swaziland	West				

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Swaziland	Mbangweni	2000	21.7%	40.3%	10.2%
Swaziland	Mbangweni	2017	26.8%	47.3%	13.2%
Swaziland	Mhlambanyatsi	2000	14.3%	29.2%	6.3%
Swaziland	Mhlambanyatsi	2017	18.3%	37.1%	8.3%
Swaziland	Mhlangatane	2000	12.8%	23.8%	5.0%
Swaziland	Mhlangatane	2017	16.3%	29.6%	6.6%
Swaziland	Mhlume	2000	9.2%	16.2%	4.8%
Swaziland	Mhlume	2017	12.1%	20.4%	6.3%
Swaziland	Mkhiweni	2000	11.7%	22.2%	5.3%
Swaziland	Mkhiweni	2017	15.0%	28.0%	7.0%
Swaziland	Motjane	2000	13.8%	24.5%	5.7%
Swaziland	Motjane	2017	18.1%	32.0%	7.6%
Swaziland	Mphalaleni	2000	10.9%	19.8%	5.2%
Swaziland	Mphalaleni	2017	14.1%	24.9%	6.9%
Swaziland	Mpholonjeni	2000	11.7%	19.8%	5.9%
Swaziland	Mpholonjeni	2017	15.1%	25.3%	7.7%
Swaziland	Mthongwaneni	2000	11.8%	26.6%	4.7%
Swaziland	Mthongwaneni	2017	15.3%	32.1%	6.3%
Swaziland	Mtsambama	2000	20.1%	36.5%	7.6%
Swaziland	Mtsambama	2017	25.0%	43.9%	9.9%
Swaziland	Ndzingeni	2000	12.1%	20.9%	5.9%
Swaziland	Ndzingeni	2017	15.8%	26.0%	7.8%
Swaziland	Ngudzeni	2000	16.3%	32.7%	5.8%
Swaziland	Ngudzeni	2017	20.5%	39.7%	7.9%
Swaziland	Ngwenpisi	2000	15.6%	25.9%	7.5%
Swaziland	Ngwenpisi	2017	19.7%	31.6%	10.0%
Swaziland	Nhlambeni	2000	10.7%	24.1%	4.0%
Swaziland	Nhlambeni	2017	13.9%	29.8%	5.5%
Swaziland	Nkhaba	2000	14.2%	24.1%	5.8%
Swaziland	Nkhaba	2017	18.6%	31.2%	7.8%
Swaziland	Nkilongo	2000	11.2%	20.4%	5.7%
Swaziland	Nkilongo	2017	14.1%	26.4%	7.2%
Swaziland	Nkwene	2000	15.3%	28.5%	6.0%
Swaziland	Nkwene	2017	19.4%	34.4%	8.1%
Swaziland	Ntfonjeni	2000	13.1%	26.9%	5.3%
Swaziland	Ntfonjeni	2017	16.7%	32.7%	6.8%
Swaziland	Ntondozi	2000	10.0%	19.1%	4.8%
Swaziland	Ntondozi	2017	12.8%	23.5%	6.4%
Swaziland	Pigg's Peak	2000	11.8%	23.2%	5.9%
Swaziland	Pigg's Peak	2017	15.3%	30.0%	7.6%
Swaziland	Sandleni	2000	16.7%	32.4%	6.1%
Swaziland	Sandleni	2017	21.0%	39.2%	8.3%
Swaziland	Shiselweni	2000	16.4%	32.3%	6.4%
Swaziland	Shiselweni	2017	20.7%	38.3%	8.5%
Swaziland	Sigwe	2000	15.7%	31.9%	5.5%
Swaziland	Sigwe	2017	20.1%	38.5%	7.2%
Swaziland	Siphofaneni	2000	11.2%	22.2%	4.9%
Swaziland	Siphofaneni	2017	14.5%	28.1%	6.4%
Swaziland	Sithobela	2000	12.4%	21.8%	6.3%
Swaziland	Sithobela	2017	16.0%	28.6%	8.3%
Swaziland	Somntongo	2000	15.9%	32.1%	6.2%
Swaziland	Somntongo	2017	20.3%	38.3%	8.3%
Swaziland	Timpisini	2000	12.7%	30.0%	2.8%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Swaziland	Timpisini	2017	16.3%	36.4%	3.7%
Swaziland	Zombodze	2000	18.6%	40.4%	7.4%
Swaziland	Zombodze	2017	23.2%	47.9%	9.8%
Tanzania	Arusha	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Arusha	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Tanzania	Arusha Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Arusha Urban	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Tanzania	Babati	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Babati	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Babati Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Babati Urban	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Tanzania	Bagamoyo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Bagamoyo	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Tanzania	Bahi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Bahi	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Bariadi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Bariadi	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Biharamulo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Biharamulo	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Buhigwe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Buhigwe	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Bukoba Rural	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Bukoba Rural	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Tanzania	Bukoba Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Bukoba Urban	2017	2.1%	3.2%	1.4%
Tanzania	Bukombe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Bukombe	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Tanzania	Bunda	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Bunda	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Tanzania	Busega	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Busega	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Butiama	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Butiama	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Tanzania	Chake	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Chake	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%
Tanzania	Chamwino	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Chamwino	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Chato	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Chato	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Chemba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Chemba	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Chunya	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Chunya	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Dodoma	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Dodoma Urban	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Tanzania	Gairo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Gairo	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Tanzania	Geita	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Geita	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Hai	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Hai	2017	2.3%	4.0%	1.2%
Tanzania	Hanang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Hanang	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Tanzania	Handeni	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Handeni	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Handeni	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Handeni Township Authority	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Tanzania	Igunga	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Igunga	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ikungi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ikungi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ilala	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ilala	2017	1.1%	1.3%	0.9%
Tanzania	Ileje	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ileje	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ilemela	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ilemela	2017	3.5%	5.9%	2.2%
Tanzania	Iramba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Iramba	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Iringa Rural	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Iringa Rural	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Iringa Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Iringa Urban	2017	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Tanzania	Itilima	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Itilima	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kahama	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kahama	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kahama	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kahama Township Authority	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Tanzania	Kakonko	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kakonko	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kalambo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kalambo	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kaliua	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kaliua	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Karagwe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Karagwe	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Karatu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Karatu	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'A'	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'A'	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'B'	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'B'	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Tanzania	Kasulu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Kasulu	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kasulu Township Authority	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kasulu Township Authority	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Tanzania	Kati	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kati	2017	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Tanzania	Kibaha	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kibaha	2017	0.7%	2.0%	0.2%
Tanzania	Kibaha Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kibaha Urban	2017	1.3%	2.2%	0.8%
Tanzania	Kibondo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kibondo	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kigoma Rural	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kigoma Rural	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kigoma Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kigoma Urban	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Tanzania	Kilindi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kilindi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kilolo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kilolo	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kilombero	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kilombero	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Tanzania	Kilosa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kilosa	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kilwa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kilwa	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Tanzania	Kinondoni	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kinondoni	2017	1.2%	1.4%	1.1%
Tanzania	Kisarawe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kisarawe	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Tanzania	Kishapu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kishapu	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Tanzania	Kiteto	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kiteto	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kondoa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kondoa	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kongwa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kongwa	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Korogwe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Korogwe	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Korogwe Township Authority	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Korogwe Township Authority	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kusini	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kusini	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Kwimba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kwimba	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kyela	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kyela	2017	1.1%	2.4%	0.4%
Tanzania	Kyerwa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Kyerwa	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	0.3%	1.1%	0.1%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Tanzania	Lindi Rural	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lindi Rural	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lindi Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lindi Urban	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.2%
Tanzania	Liwale	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Liwale	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Tanzania	Longido	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Longido	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ludewa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ludewa	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lushoto	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Lushoto	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mafia	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Mafia	2017	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mafinga Township Authority	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mafinga Township Authority	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tanzania	Magharibi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Magharibi	2017	1.6%	1.8%	1.5%
Tanzania	Magu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Magu	2017	0.9%	1.8%	0.4%
Tanzania	Makambako Township Authority	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Makambako Township Authority	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Tanzania	Makete	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Makete	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Manyoni	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Manyoni	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Masasi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Masasi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Masasi Township Authority	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Masasi Township Authority	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Tanzania	Maswa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Maswa	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mbarali	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mbarali	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mbeya Rural	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mbeya Rural	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Tanzania	Mbeya Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mbeya Urban	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.3%
Tanzania	Mbinga	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mbinga	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Tanzania	Mbogwe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mbogwe	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mbozi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mbozi	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mbulu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mbulu	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Meatu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Meatu	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Meru	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Meru	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Tanzania	Micheweni	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Micheweni	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Tanzania	Missenyi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Missenyi	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Misungwi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Misungwi	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mjini	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mjini	2017	3.4%	4.0%	2.9%
Tanzania	Mkalama	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mkalama	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mkinga	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mkinga	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mkoani	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mkoani	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Tanzania	Mkuranga	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mkuranga	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Tanzania	Mlele	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mlele	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%
Tanzania	Momba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Momba	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Monduli	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Monduli	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Tanzania	Morogoro Rural	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Morogoro Rural	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%
Tanzania	Morogoro Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Morogoro Urban	2017	2.3%	3.5%	1.5%
Tanzania	Moshi Rural	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Moshi Rural	2017	1.6%	2.6%	1.0%
Tanzania	Moshi Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Moshi Urban	2017	9.5%	13.1%	6.1%
Tanzania	Mpanda	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mpanda	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mpanda Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mpanda Urban	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.4%
Tanzania	Mpwapwa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mpwapwa	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mtwara Rural	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mtwara Rural	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Tanzania	Mtwara Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mtwara Urban	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Tanzania	Mufindi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mufindi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Muheza	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Muheza	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Tanzania	Muleba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Muleba	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Musoma Rural	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Musoma Rural	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Musoma Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Musoma Urban	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Tanzania	Mvomero	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mvomero	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Tanzania	Mwanga	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Mwanga	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Tanzania	Nachingwea	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Nachingwea	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Namtumbo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Namtumbo	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Tanzania	Nanyumbu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Nanyumbu	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Newala	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Newala	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ngara	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ngara	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ngorongoro	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ngorongoro	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Njombe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Njombe	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Njombe Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Njombe Urban	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%
Tanzania	Nkasi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Nkasi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Nyamagana	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Nyamagana	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Tanzania	Nyang'wale	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Nyang'wale	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Nyasa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Nyasa	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Nzega	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Nzega	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Tanzania	Pangani	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Pangani	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Tanzania	Rombo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Rombo	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Tanzania	Rorya	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Rorya	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ruangwa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ruangwa	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Rufiji	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Rufiji	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Rungwe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Rungwe	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Same	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Same	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Sengerema	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Sengerema	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Tanzania	Serengeti	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Serengeti	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Rural	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Rural	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Urban	2017	2.2%	3.6%	1.2%
Tanzania	Siha	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Siha	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tanzania	Sikonge	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Sikonge	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Simanjiro	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Simanjiro	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Tanzania	Singida Rural	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Singida Rural	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Singida Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Singida Urban	2017	1.0%	1.8%	0.5%
Tanzania	Songea Rural	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Songea Rural	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Songea Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Songea Urban	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Rural	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Rural	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Urban	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Tanzania	Tabora Urban	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Tabora Urban	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Tanzania	Tandahimba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Tandahimba	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Tanga	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Tanga	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Tanzania	Tarime	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Tarime	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Temeke	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Temeke	2017	0.7%	0.8%	0.6%
Tanzania	Tunduma	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Tunduma	2017	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Tanzania	Tunduru	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Tunduru	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Tanzania	Ukerewe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ukerewe	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ulanga	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Ulanga	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Tanzania	Urambo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Urambo	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Uvinza	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Uvinza	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Uyui	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Uyui	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tanzania	Wanging'ombe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Wanging'ombe	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tanzania	Wete	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tanzania	Wete	2017	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%
Togo	Amou	2000	0.6%	2.0%	0.1%
Togo	Amou	2017	1.2%	4.0%	0.2%
Togo	Assoli	2000	0.4%	1.7%	0.0%
Togo	Assoli	2017	0.9%	4.2%	0.1%
Togo	Bassar	2000	1.3%	2.9%	0.4%
Togo	Bassar	2017	2.5%	5.1%	0.9%
Togo	Bimah	2000	0.5%	2.2%	0.0%
Togo	Bimah	2017	1.0%	3.5%	0.1%
Togo	Doufelgou	2000	1.1%	3.3%	0.3%
Togo	Doufelgou	2017	2.4%	5.9%	0.8%
Togo	Golfe (incl Lomé)	2000	18.2%	21.2%	15.4%
Togo	Golfe (incl Lomé)	2017	34.9%	37.6%	32.2%
Togo	Haho	2000	1.2%	3.8%	0.3%
Togo	Haho	2017	2.5%	6.5%	0.7%
Togo	Kéran	2000	0.9%	2.5%	0.1%
Togo	Kéran	2017	1.9%	4.8%	0.4%
Togo	Kloto	2000	2.4%	4.5%	1.3%
Togo	Kloto	2017	5.3%	9.6%	3.1%
Togo	Kozah	2000	1.5%	3.8%	0.7%
Togo	Kozah	2017	4.6%	8.9%	2.9%
Togo	Lacs	2000	1.5%	4.3%	0.5%
Togo	Lacs	2017	4.8%	9.1%	2.6%
Togo	Ogou	2000	1.1%	2.6%	0.4%
Togo	Ogou	2017	2.4%	4.7%	1.0%
Togo	Oti	2000	0.9%	2.3%	0.2%
Togo	Oti	2017	1.9%	4.2%	0.6%
Togo	Sotouboua	2000	1.9%	6.4%	0.7%
Togo	Sotouboua	2017	3.5%	11.8%	1.3%
Togo	Tchamba (Nyala)	2000	1.4%	3.6%	0.4%
Togo	Tchamba (Nyala)	2017	2.9%	7.5%	1.0%
Togo	Tchaudjo	2000	4.6%	7.8%	2.5%
Togo	Tchaudjo	2017	7.5%	11.4%	4.7%
Togo	Tône	2000	3.3%	5.8%	1.5%
Togo	Tône	2017	5.6%	8.9%	3.1%
Togo	Vo	2000	0.8%	2.9%	0.1%
Togo	Vo	2017	1.7%	5.3%	0.4%
Togo	Wawa	2000	0.6%	2.0%	0.1%
Togo	Wawa	2017	1.4%	4.1%	0.3%
Togo	Yoto	2000	3.4%	7.0%	0.7%
Togo	Yoto	2017	5.1%	10.3%	1.4%
Togo	Zio	2000	12.2%	17.1%	5.9%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Togo	Zio	2017	22.6%	29.3%	14.8%
Uganda	Agago	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Agago	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Agule	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Agule	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Amuria	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Amuria	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Apac Municipality	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Apac Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Aringa	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Aringa	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Arua Municipality	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Uganda	Arua Municipality	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Uganda	Aruu	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Aruu	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Aswa	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Aswa	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Ayivu	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Uganda	Ayivu	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Bamunanika	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bamunanika	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bbaale	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bbaale	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Bokora	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bokora	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Bubulo East	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uganda	Bubulo East	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bubulo West	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Bubulo West	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Budadiri	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Uganda	Budadiri	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Budaka	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Budaka	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Budiope	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Budiope	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bufumbira	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Bufumbira	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bugabula	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bugabula	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bugahya	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bugahya	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bugangaizi	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bugangaizi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Bughendera	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bughendera	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Bugiri Municipality	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uganda	Bugiri Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Bugweri	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Bugweri	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Buhaguzi	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Buhaguzi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Buhweju	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Buhweju	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Buikwe	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Buikwe	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bujenje	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Bujenje	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bujumba	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bujumba	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Bukanga	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bukanga	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bukedea	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bukedea	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bukomansimbi	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Bukomansimbi	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bukonzo	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Bukonzo	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bukooli	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bukooli	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bukooli North	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bukooli North	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bukoto	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bukoto	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Uganda	Bukoto	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bukoto	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Bulambuli	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Bulambuli	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Bulamogi	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bulamogi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Buliisa	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Buliisa	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bungokho	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Uganda	Bungokho	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Uganda	Bunya	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bunya	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bunyangabu	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bunyangabu	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bunyaruguru	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Bunyaruguru	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bunyole	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bunyole	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Burahya	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Uganda	Burahya	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Buruli	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Buruli	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Buruli	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Buruli	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Bushenyi-Ishaka Municipality	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Bushenyi-Ishaka Municipality	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Busia Municipality	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Busia Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uganda	Busiki	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Busiki	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Busiro	2000	0.8%	0.9%	0.6%
Uganda	Busiro	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Uganda	Busongora	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Busongora	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Busujju	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Busujju	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Butambala	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Butambala	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Butebo	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Butebo	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Butembe	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Uganda	Butembe	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Uganda	Buvuma Island	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Buvuma Island	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Buwekula	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Buwekula	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Buyaga	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Buyaga	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Buyanja	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Buyanja	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Buzaaya	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Buzaaya	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bwamba	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bwamba	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uganda	Chekwii	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Chekwii	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Chua	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Chua	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Dodoth	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Dodoth	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Dokolo	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Dokolo	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	East Moyo	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	East Moyo	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Entebbe Municipality	2000	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Uganda	Entebbe Municipality	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Uganda	Erute	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Erute	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Fort Portal Municipality	2000	1.3%	2.2%	0.7%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Fort Portal Municipality	2017	0.8%	1.4%	0.4%
Uganda	Gomba	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Gomba	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Gulu Municipality	2000	0.9%	1.5%	0.6%
Uganda	Gulu Municipality	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Uganda	Hoima Municipality	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Hoima Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uganda	Ibanda	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Ibanda	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Ibanda Municipality	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Ibanda Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Iganga Municipality	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Uganda	Iganga Municipality	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Uganda	Igara	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Igara	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Iki-Iki	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Iki-Iki	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Isingiro	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Isingiro	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Jie	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Jie	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Jinja Municipality	2000	3.3%	4.3%	2.5%
Uganda	Jinja Municipality	2017	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Uganda	Jonam	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Jonam	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kabale Municipality	2000	0.9%	1.6%	0.5%
Uganda	Kabale Municipality	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Uganda	Kaberamaido	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kaberamaido	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kabula	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kabula	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kagoma	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Kagoma	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Kajara	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Kajara	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kakuuto	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	North Kakuuto	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	North Kalaki	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Kalaki	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kalungu	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Kalungu	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kamuli Municipality	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Kamuli Municipality	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Kapchorwa Municipality	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kapchorwa Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kapelebyong	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Kapelebyong	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Kasambya	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Kasambya	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kasese Municipality	2000	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Uganda	Kasese Municipality	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Uganda	Kashari	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Kashari	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kasilo	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kasilo	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kassanda	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kassanda	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Katerera	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Katerera	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uganda	Katikamu	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Katikamu	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Katuuto East	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Katuuto East	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Katuuto West	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Katuuto West	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kazo	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kazo	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kcca	2000	2.0%	2.2%	1.7%
Uganda	Kcca	2017	1.3%	1.5%	1.1%
Uganda	Kibale	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kibale	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kibanda	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Kibanda	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Kiboga	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kiboga	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Kiboga	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kiboga	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kibuku	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Kibuku	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kigulu	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Uganda	Kigulu	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Uganda	Kilak	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Kilak	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kinkiizi	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kinkiizi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Kioga	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kioga	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kira Municipality	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
Uganda	Kira Municipality	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Uganda	Kisoro Municipality	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uganda	Kisoro Municipality	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Kitagwenda	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kitagwenda	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kitgum Municipality	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Uganda	Kitgum Municipality	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uganda	Koboko	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Koboko	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Koboko Municipality	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Koboko Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uganda	Kole	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Kole	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kongasis	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kongasis	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kooki	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kooki	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kotido Municipality	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kotido Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kumi	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Kumi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kumi Municipality	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Kumi Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uganda	Kwania	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kwania	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kween	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kween	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kyadondo	2000	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Uganda	Kyadondo	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Uganda	Kyaka	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kyaka	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kyamuswa	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Kyamuswa	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Kyotera	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Kyotera	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Labwor	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Labwor	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Lamwo	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Lamwo	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Lira Municipality	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
Uganda	Lira Municipality	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Uganda	Lugazi Municipality	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Lugazi Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Luuka	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Luuka	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Lwemiyaga	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Lwemiyaga	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Madi Okollo	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Madi Okollo	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Makindye Ssabagabo Municipality	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.4%
Uganda	Makindye Ssabagabo Municipality	2017	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Uganda	Manjiya	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Uganda	Manjiya	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Maracha	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Maracha	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Maruzi	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Maruzi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Masaka Municipality	2000	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Uganda	Masaka Municipality	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Uganda	Masindi Municipality	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Uganda	Masindi Municipality	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Uganda	Matheniko	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Matheniko	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Mawogola	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Mawogola	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Mawokota	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Mawokota	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Mbale Municipality	2000	2.3%	2.9%	1.7%
Uganda	Mbale Municipality	2017	1.6%	2.0%	1.1%
Uganda	Mbarara Municipality	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Uganda	Mbarara Municipality	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Uganda	Mityana	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Mityana	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Mityana Municipality	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Mityana Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uganda	Moroto	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Moroto	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Moroto Municipality	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uganda	Moroto Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Mubende Municipality	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uganda	Mubende Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Mukono	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Uganda	Mukono	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Uganda	Mukono Municipality	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.2%
Uganda	Mukono Municipality	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Uganda	Mwenge	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Mwenge	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Nakaseke	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Nakaseke	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Nakifuma	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Nakifuma	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Nansana Municipality	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Uganda	Nansana Municipality	2017	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Uganda	Ndorwa	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Uganda	Ndorwa	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Nebbi Municipality	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uganda	Nebbi Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Ngora	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Ngora	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Njeru Municipality	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Uganda	Njeru Municipality	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Uganda	Ntenjeru	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Ntenjeru	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Ntoroko	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Ntoroko	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Ntungamo Municipality	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uganda	Ntungamo Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uganda	Nwoya	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Nwoya	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Nyabushozi	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Nyabushozi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Obongi	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Obongi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Okoro	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Okoro	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Omoro	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Omoro	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Otuke	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Otuke	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Oyam	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Oyam	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Padyere	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Padyere	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Pallisa	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Pallisa	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Pian	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Pian	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Pokot	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Pokot	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Rubabo	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Rubabo	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Rubanda	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Rubanda	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Ruhaama	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Ruhaama	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Ruhinda	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Ruhinda	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Rujumbura	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Rujumbura	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Rukiga	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Rukiga	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Rukungiri Municipality	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Rukungiri Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Rushenyi	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Rushenyi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Rwampara	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Rwampara	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Samia-Bugwe	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Samia-Bugwe	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Serere	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Serere	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Sheema	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Sheema	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Sheema Municipality	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Sheema Municipality	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Soroti	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Soroti	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Soroti Municipality	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Uganda	Soroti Municipality	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Terego	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Terego	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Tingey	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Tingey	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Toroma	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Toroma	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Tororo	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	Tororo	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Tororo Municipality	2000	1.2%	2.2%	0.6%
Uganda	Tororo Municipality	2017	0.8%	1.6%	0.4%
Uganda	Usuk	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Usuk	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uganda	Vurra	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Vurra	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	West Budama	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uganda	West Budama	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uganda	West Moyo	2000	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Uganda	West Moyo	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Zambia	Chadiza	2000	1.8%	6.3%	0.2%
Zambia	Chadiza	2017	1.9%	6.3%	0.2%
Zambia	Chama	2000	0.7%	2.0%	0.2%
Zambia	Chama	2017	0.8%	2.1%	0.2%
Zambia	Chavuma	2000	0.5%	2.5%	0.0%
Zambia	Chavuma	2017	0.6%	2.9%	0.0%
Zambia	Chibombo	2000	2.2%	3.2%	1.3%
Zambia	Chibombo	2017	2.4%	3.5%	1.5%
Zambia	Chiengi	2000	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Zambia	Chiengi	2017	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Zambia	Chililabombwe	2000	24.6%	41.1%	11.2%
Zambia	Chililabombwe	2017	22.5%	37.2%	11.0%
Zambia	Chilubi	2000	0.9%	2.7%	0.0%
Zambia	Chilubi	2017	0.9%	2.8%	0.0%
Zambia	Chingola	2000	23.3%	34.5%	15.0%
Zambia	Chingola	2017	25.2%	35.7%	16.7%
Zambia	Chinsali	2000	1.8%	3.7%	0.5%
Zambia	Chinsali	2017	2.0%	4.2%	0.6%
Zambia	Chipata	2000	0.9%	2.9%	0.1%
Zambia	Chipata	2017	1.0%	3.0%	0.2%
Zambia	Choma	2000	2.1%	4.5%	0.6%
Zambia	Choma	2017	2.1%	4.4%	0.6%
Zambia	Chongwe	2000	0.8%	2.5%	0.1%
Zambia	Chongwe	2017	0.9%	2.7%	0.2%
Zambia	Gwembe	2000	1.3%	4.8%	0.1%
Zambia	Gwembe	2017	1.5%	6.0%	0.1%
Zambia	Isoka	2000	1.2%	2.8%	0.2%
Zambia	Isoka	2017	1.9%	4.1%	0.5%
Zambia	Itezhi-Tezhi	2000	1.1%	4.4%	0.1%
Zambia	Itezhi-Tezhi	2017	1.2%	4.5%	0.1%
Zambia	Kabompo	2000	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
Zambia	Kabompo	2017	0.5%	1.3%	0.1%
Zambia	Kabwe	2000	9.3%	12.8%	6.5%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Kabwe	2017	10.5%	14.0%	7.3%
Zambia	Kafue	2000	2.2%	3.6%	1.5%
Zambia	Kafue	2017	2.9%	4.7%	2.1%
Zambia	Kalabo	2000	0.8%	2.6%	0.1%
Zambia	Kalabo	2017	0.9%	2.5%	0.2%
Zambia	Kalomo	2000	1.5%	2.8%	0.4%
Zambia	Kalomo	2017	1.5%	3.0%	0.4%
Zambia	Kalulushi	2000	9.6%	17.1%	4.7%
Zambia	Kalulushi	2017	11.4%	19.3%	6.0%
Zambia	Kaoma	2000	0.8%	2.1%	0.2%
Zambia	Kaoma	2017	0.8%	2.1%	0.3%
Zambia	Kapiri Mposhi	2000	5.5%	7.3%	3.5%
Zambia	Kapiri Mposhi	2017	7.3%	9.4%	5.0%
Zambia	Kaputa	2000	0.6%	1.6%	0.1%
Zambia	Kaputa	2017	0.6%	1.7%	0.1%
Zambia	Kasama	2000	1.3%	3.0%	0.3%
Zambia	Kasama	2017	1.3%	3.5%	0.4%
Zambia	Kasempa	2000	0.8%	1.9%	0.2%
Zambia	Kasempa	2017	0.9%	2.2%	0.3%
Zambia	Katete	2000	1.6%	4.8%	0.2%
Zambia	Katete	2017	2.2%	7.9%	0.3%
Zambia	Kawambwa	2000	0.8%	2.2%	0.2%
Zambia	Kawambwa	2017	0.9%	2.4%	0.2%
Zambia	Kazungula	2000	1.7%	4.3%	0.5%
Zambia	Kazungula	2017	1.9%	4.5%	0.7%
Zambia	Kitwe	2000	8.4%	11.2%	4.8%
Zambia	Kitwe	2017	9.1%	11.9%	6.3%
Zambia	Livingstone	2000	17.6%	23.5%	12.0%
Zambia	Livingstone	2017	15.8%	20.6%	11.4%
Zambia	Luangwa	2000	1.5%	5.2%	0.1%
Zambia	Luangwa	2017	1.5%	5.5%	0.1%
Zambia	Luanshya	2000	4.3%	11.5%	0.9%
Zambia	Luanshya	2017	4.0%	11.2%	1.0%
Zambia	Lufwanyama	2000	2.2%	9.2%	0.3%
Zambia	Lufwanyama	2017	1.9%	6.2%	0.4%
Zambia	Lukulu	2000	1.5%	3.1%	0.4%
Zambia	Lukulu	2017	1.8%	3.7%	0.5%
Zambia	Lundazi	2000	0.9%	2.5%	0.1%
Zambia	Lundazi	2017	1.0%	2.8%	0.2%
Zambia	Lusaka	2000	1.3%	1.6%	1.1%
Zambia	Lusaka	2017	1.6%	2.0%	1.3%
Zambia	Luwingu	2000	1.5%	4.0%	0.3%
Zambia	Luwingu	2017	1.7%	4.3%	0.4%
Zambia	Mambwe	2000	0.7%	2.5%	0.0%
Zambia	Mambwe	2017	0.8%	3.0%	0.0%
Zambia	Mansa	2000	4.9%	7.0%	3.5%
Zambia	Mansa	2017	2.9%	5.1%	1.6%
Zambia	Masaiti	2000	3.7%	7.9%	1.0%
Zambia	Masaiti	2017	4.5%	10.0%	1.2%
Zambia	Mazabuka	2000	6.2%	11.1%	3.4%
Zambia	Mazabuka	2017	6.0%	10.2%	3.0%
Zambia	Mbala	2000	0.8%	2.6%	0.1%
Zambia	Mbala	2017	0.9%	2.7%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Milenge	2000	1.4%	3.8%	0.2%
Zambia	Milenge	2017	2.0%	6.1%	0.2%
Zambia	Mkushi	2000	0.8%	2.0%	0.1%
Zambia	Mkushi	2017	0.9%	2.6%	0.1%
Zambia	Mongu	2000	1.2%	3.4%	0.3%
Zambia	Mongu	2017	1.3%	3.3%	0.3%
Zambia	Monze	2000	1.6%	4.4%	0.3%
Zambia	Monze	2017	1.9%	4.8%	0.3%
Zambia	Mpika	2000	1.0%	1.9%	0.4%
Zambia	Mpika	2017	1.1%	2.2%	0.5%
Zambia	MPongwe	2000	3.0%	8.7%	0.6%
Zambia	MPongwe	2017	3.0%	7.7%	0.7%
Zambia	Mporokoso	2000	0.8%	1.9%	0.2%
Zambia	Mporokoso	2017	0.9%	2.0%	0.2%
Zambia	Mpulungu	2000	0.5%	1.3%	0.1%
Zambia	Mpulungu	2017	0.6%	1.5%	0.1%
Zambia	Mufulira	2000	8.9%	13.6%	5.1%
Zambia	Mufulira	2017	9.9%	14.5%	5.7%
Zambia	Mufumbwe	2000	0.6%	1.5%	0.2%
Zambia	Mufumbwe	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.2%
Zambia	Mumbwa	2000	1.3%	3.2%	0.4%
Zambia	Mumbwa	2017	1.4%	3.3%	0.4%
Zambia	Mungwi	2000	1.6%	4.2%	0.4%
Zambia	Mungwi	2017	1.8%	4.9%	0.4%
Zambia	Mwense	2000	1.0%	3.2%	0.1%
Zambia	Mwense	2017	1.0%	3.1%	0.2%
Zambia	Mwinilunga	2000	0.8%	1.9%	0.2%
Zambia	Mwinilunga	2017	0.9%	2.3%	0.2%
Zambia	Nakonde	2000	0.7%	3.0%	0.1%
Zambia	Nakonde	2017	0.8%	2.9%	0.1%
Zambia	Namwala	2000	0.7%	2.1%	0.1%
Zambia	Namwala	2017	0.7%	2.1%	0.1%
Zambia	Nchelenge	2000	0.5%	2.9%	0.0%
Zambia	Nchelenge	2017	0.5%	3.0%	0.0%
Zambia	Ndola	2000	22.4%	25.7%	18.4%
Zambia	Ndola	2017	22.3%	25.7%	18.5%
Zambia	Nyimba	2000	0.6%	2.2%	0.0%
Zambia	Nyimba	2017	0.6%	2.0%	0.0%
Zambia	Petauke	2000	0.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Zambia	Petauke	2017	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%
Zambia	Samfya	2000	2.9%	5.8%	1.0%
Zambia	Samfya	2017	3.1%	6.0%	1.0%
Zambia	Senanga	2000	2.0%	4.2%	0.6%
Zambia	Senanga	2017	2.1%	4.4%	0.8%
Zambia	Serenje	2000	0.6%	1.4%	0.2%
Zambia	Serenje	2017	0.7%	1.5%	0.2%
Zambia	Sesheke	2000	1.1%	2.5%	0.3%
Zambia	Sesheke	2017	1.1%	2.6%	0.4%
Zambia	Shangombo	2000	1.6%	4.2%	0.5%
Zambia	Shangombo	2017	1.7%	4.8%	0.6%
Zambia	Siavonga	2000	1.5%	4.0%	0.3%
Zambia	Siavonga	2017	1.7%	4.3%	0.4%
Zambia	Sinazongwe	2000	1.0%	3.9%	0.1%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Sinazongwe	2017	1.0%	3.9%	0.1%
Zambia	Solwezi	2000	0.9%	2.3%	0.3%
Zambia	Solwezi	2017	1.1%	2.7%	0.4%
Zambia	Zambezi	2000	0.8%	2.1%	0.1%
Zambia	Zambezi	2017	0.9%	2.2%	0.2%
Zimbabwe	Beitbridge	2000	11.7%	15.4%	7.8%
Zimbabwe	Beitbridge	2017	18.6%	21.9%	15.5%
Zimbabwe	Bikita	2000	1.1%	3.1%	0.1%
Zimbabwe	Bikita	2017	4.6%	9.3%	1.3%
Zimbabwe	Bindura	2000	22.3%	28.4%	18.5%
Zimbabwe	Bindura	2017	41.2%	49.1%	35.3%
Zimbabwe	Binga	2000	0.6%	1.8%	0.1%
Zimbabwe	Binga	2017	2.4%	5.6%	0.9%
Zimbabwe	Bubi	2000	2.8%	6.5%	0.7%
Zimbabwe	Bubi	2017	10.1%	17.7%	4.5%
Zimbabwe	Buhera	2000	1.4%	4.3%	0.2%
Zimbabwe	Buhera	2017	5.6%	10.8%	1.9%
Zimbabwe	Bulawayo	2000	82.8%	85.4%	80.5%
Zimbabwe	Bulawayo	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.8%
Zimbabwe	Bulilima	2000	2.4%	7.0%	0.4%
Zimbabwe	(North)				
Zimbabwe	Bulilima	2017	7.1%	14.0%	2.5%
Zimbabwe	(North)				
Zimbabwe	Centenary	2000	3.1%	8.8%	0.5%
Zimbabwe	Centenary	2017	9.3%	19.9%	3.1%
Zimbabwe	Chegutu	2000	28.3%	35.3%	22.9%
Zimbabwe	Chegutu	2017	46.8%	54.0%	39.9%
Zimbabwe	Chikomba	2000	6.1%	11.6%	2.0%
Zimbabwe	Chikomba	2017	14.3%	21.1%	8.7%
Zimbabwe	Chimanimani	2000	2.0%	6.5%	0.3%
Zimbabwe	Chimanimani	2017	7.9%	16.7%	2.5%
Zimbabwe	Chipinge	2000	8.3%	13.2%	5.0%
Zimbabwe	Chipinge	2017	20.8%	28.2%	14.9%
Zimbabwe	Chiredzi	2000	16.8%	20.0%	13.9%
Zimbabwe	Chiredzi	2017	24.3%	27.9%	20.7%
Zimbabwe	Chirumhanzu	2000	5.9%	15.0%	1.7%
Zimbabwe	Chirumhanzu	2017	15.5%	31.2%	6.4%
Zimbabwe	Chivi	2000	2.6%	6.3%	0.6%
Zimbabwe	Chivi	2017	8.4%	15.8%	3.6%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe North	2000	0.9%	2.6%	0.1%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe North	2017	3.6%	7.3%	1.1%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe South	2000	4.8%	8.1%	2.9%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe South	2017	8.7%	14.1%	5.2%
Zimbabwe	Goromonzi	2000	16.3%	21.9%	12.2%
Zimbabwe	Goromonzi	2017	40.7%	48.4%	34.1%
Zimbabwe	Guruve	2000	1.4%	4.1%	0.2%
Zimbabwe	Guruve	2017	5.5%	11.9%	1.7%
Zimbabwe	Gutu	2000	1.8%	4.7%	0.4%
Zimbabwe	Gutu	2017	6.3%	12.0%	2.3%
Zimbabwe	Gwanda	2000	16.5%	23.0%	9.8%
Zimbabwe	Gwanda	2017	26.9%	32.6%	21.4%
Zimbabwe	Gweru	2000	45.8%	51.1%	39.9%
Zimbabwe	Gweru	2017	59.9%	65.5%	54.2%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zimbabwe	Harare	2000	68.8%	71.1%	65.5%
Zimbabwe	Harare	2017	88.6%	89.7%	87.2%
Zimbabwe	Hurungwe	2000	7.2%	10.1%	4.5%
Zimbabwe	Hurungwe	2017	14.9%	18.6%	11.8%
Zimbabwe	Hwange	2000	35.1%	40.2%	29.1%
Zimbabwe	Hwange	2017	45.4%	49.4%	41.6%
Zimbabwe	Insiza	2000	2.7%	6.2%	0.7%
Zimbabwe	Insiza	2017	8.7%	15.2%	3.6%
Zimbabwe	Kadoma	2000	13.4%	20.5%	9.5%
Zimbabwe	Kadoma	2017	26.3%	35.9%	18.3%
Zimbabwe	Kariba	2000	12.2%	24.6%	5.1%
Zimbabwe	Kariba	2017	27.0%	34.4%	17.5%
Zimbabwe	Kwekwe	2000	29.1%	34.1%	24.4%
Zimbabwe	Kwekwe	2017	45.0%	50.2%	40.4%
Zimbabwe	Lupane	2000	1.3%	3.5%	0.3%
Zimbabwe	Lupane	2017	5.4%	10.1%	1.9%
Zimbabwe	Makonde	2000	19.2%	24.3%	13.6%
Zimbabwe	Makonde	2017	34.1%	40.0%	28.2%
Zimbabwe	Makoni	2000	5.8%	10.7%	2.2%
Zimbabwe	Makoni	2017	16.7%	23.4%	10.7%
Zimbabwe	Mangwe	2000	6.4%	10.6%	3.6%
Zimbabwe	Mangwe (South)	2017	15.8%	24.0%	10.1%
Zimbabwe	Marondera	2000	33.2%	38.8%	28.5%
Zimbabwe	Marondera	2017	51.3%	59.4%	43.2%
Zimbabwe	Masvingo	2000	30.8%	37.6%	23.5%
Zimbabwe	Masvingo	2017	42.0%	47.2%	36.5%
Zimbabwe	Matobo	2000	24.5%	32.6%	13.7%
Zimbabwe	Matobo	2017	33.1%	39.6%	27.2%
Zimbabwe	Mazowe	2000	20.5%	25.0%	17.6%
Zimbabwe	Mazowe	2017	36.0%	42.3%	31.1%
Zimbabwe	Mberengwa	2000	2.2%	6.3%	0.4%
Zimbabwe	Mberengwa	2017	8.2%	17.7%	3.1%
Zimbabwe	Mount Darwin	2000	1.0%	3.3%	0.1%
Zimbabwe	Mount Darwin	2017	4.2%	9.6%	1.0%
Zimbabwe	Mudzi	2000	0.8%	3.0%	0.1%
Zimbabwe	Mudzi	2017	3.4%	8.4%	0.7%
Zimbabwe	Murehwa	2000	2.8%	7.9%	0.5%
Zimbabwe	Murehwa	2017	10.0%	18.7%	3.8%
Zimbabwe	Mutare	2000	25.0%	27.6%	22.6%
Zimbabwe	Mutare	2017	40.8%	44.3%	37.8%
Zimbabwe	Mutasa	2000	12.0%	22.4%	4.6%
Zimbabwe	Mutasa	2017	21.5%	30.1%	13.0%
Zimbabwe	Mutoko	2000	12.6%	17.3%	8.4%
Zimbabwe	Mutoko	2017	18.9%	26.8%	13.1%
Zimbabwe	Mwenezi	2000	4.7%	8.2%	2.2%
Zimbabwe	Mwenezi	2017	10.9%	16.3%	6.2%
Zimbabwe	Nkayi	2000	1.6%	4.2%	0.2%
Zimbabwe	Nkayi	2017	5.9%	11.7%	1.5%
Zimbabwe	Nyanga	2000	2.2%	6.8%	0.4%

Sewer or Septic Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zimbabwe	Nyanga	2017	7.8%	15.1%	3.1%
Zimbabwe	Rushinga	2000	4.2%	10.8%	1.1%
Zimbabwe	Rushinga	2017	10.3%	21.8%	3.4%
Zimbabwe	Seke	2000	12.6%	16.2%	10.8%
Zimbabwe	Seke	2017	29.4%	35.1%	23.1%
Zimbabwe	Shamva	2000	4.7%	10.0%	1.3%
Zimbabwe	Shamva	2017	18.2%	28.3%	10.2%
Zimbabwe	Shurugwi	2000	23.0%	32.7%	13.1%
Zimbabwe	Shurugwi	2017	36.9%	48.0%	26.9%
Zimbabwe	Tsholotsho	2000	2.0%	5.8%	0.3%
Zimbabwe	Tsholotsho	2017	6.4%	13.5%	1.8%
Zimbabwe	Umguzha	2000	42.7%	49.8%	33.5%
Zimbabwe	Umguzha	2017	54.4%	61.5%	47.1%
Zimbabwe	UMP	2000	1.5%	5.5%	0.1%
Zimbabwe	UMP	2017	5.6%	12.7%	1.1%
Zimbabwe	Umzingwane	2000	6.0%	13.7%	2.4%
Zimbabwe	Umzingwane	2017	14.3%	27.7%	7.1%
Zimbabwe	Wedza	2000	2.6%	10.1%	0.3%
Zimbabwe	Wedza	2017	8.8%	21.2%	2.3%
Zimbabwe	Zaka	2000	1.8%	5.4%	0.5%
Zimbabwe	Zaka	2017	5.0%	12.8%	1.1%
Zimbabwe	Zvimba	2000	28.4%	32.3%	25.4%
Zimbabwe	Zvimba	2017	42.5%	50.0%	37.2%
Zimbabwe	Zvishavane	2000	25.8%	39.2%	16.9%
Zimbabwe	Zvishavane	2017	41.1%	51.5%	30.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Country

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central Europe, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia				
Kyrgyzstan	2000	94.0%	95.1%	92.4%
Kyrgyzstan	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Mongolia	2000	62.5%	63.8%	61.4%
Mongolia	2017	82.4%	83.2%	81.4%
Tajikistan	2000	86.3%	87.6%	84.9%
Tajikistan	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Turkmenistan	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Turkmenistan	2017	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Uzbekistan	2000	82.2%	83.8%	80.5%
Uzbekistan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Latin America and Caribbean				
Bolivia	2000	64.8%	65.2%	64.3%
Bolivia	2017	79.7%	80.1%	79.4%
Brazil	2000	87.3%	87.5%	87.1%
Brazil	2017	88.2%	88.6%	87.7%
Colombia	2000	86.5%	87.2%	85.9%
Colombia	2017	78.4%	79.1%	77.8%
Costa Rica	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Costa Rica	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Dominican Republic	2000	73.1%	80.1%	65.3%
Dominican Republic	2017	95.3%	97.2%	91.6%
Ecuador	2000	75.5%	76.4%	74.8%
Ecuador	2017	75.9%	76.9%	75.2%
El Salvador	2000	51.3%	55.4%	46.7%
El Salvador	2017	51.3%	55.7%	45.8%
Guatemala	2000	67.9%	69.5%	66.2%
Guatemala	2017	92.0%	92.8%	91.2%
Guyana	2000	85.3%	86.6%	83.8%
Guyana	2017	95.3%	95.9%	94.6%
Haiti	2000	22.7%	23.4%	22.0%
Haiti	2017	51.5%	52.4%	50.8%
Honduras	2000	62.7%	65.3%	60.6%
Honduras	2017	84.0%	84.8%	83.1%
Mexico	2000	72.5%	73.3%	71.6%
Mexico	2017	72.5%	73.4%	71.6%
Nicaragua	2000	66.8%	69.1%	64.1%
Nicaragua	2017	83.5%	85.7%	81.4%
Panama	2000	80.1%	82.8%	77.3%
Panama	2017	79.8%	82.4%	76.9%
Paraguay	2000	91.2%	91.9%	90.3%
Paraguay	2017	85.1%	86.4%	83.5%
Peru	2000	71.1%	71.7%	70.6%
Peru	2017	90.6%	90.9%	90.3%
North Africa and Middle East				
Afghanistan	2000	16.5%	19.2%	14.4%
Afghanistan	2017	83.3%	85.3%	81.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Country (*continued*)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	2000	96.3%	96.4%	96.1%
Algeria	2017	96.3%	96.4%	96.1%
Egypt	2000	97.8%	97.9%	97.6%
Egypt	2017	96.7%	96.9%	96.5%
Iraq	2000	76.8%	79.3%	74.3%
Iraq	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Jordan	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Jordan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Libya	2000	6.8%	7.4%	6.2%
Libya	2017	6.9%	7.5%	6.3%
Morocco	2000	47.0%	49.7%	44.7%
Morocco	2017	47.1%	49.7%	44.7%
Sudan	2000	23.1%	24.0%	22.2%
Sudan	2017	21.7%	22.7%	20.8%
Syria	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Syria	2017	97.7%	98.2%	96.9%
Tunisia	2000	92.0%	93.7%	89.5%
Tunisia	2017	92.0%	93.8%	89.1%
Yemen	2000	62.3%	64.8%	59.7%
Yemen	2017	44.8%	47.3%	42.3%
South Asia				
Bangladesh	2000	42.4%	43.6%	41.2%
Bangladesh	2017	76.9%	78.3%	75.6%
Bhutan	2000	45.2%	51.6%	38.1%
Bhutan	2017	90.1%	93.8%	85.7%
India	2000	21.1%	21.3%	20.9%
India	2017	80.3%	80.5%	80.2%
Nepal	2000	22.5%	24.8%	20.4%
Nepal	2017	84.1%	85.4%	83.0%
Pakistan	2000	72.8%	73.8%	71.6%
Pakistan	2017	72.9%	74.0%	71.7%
Southeast Asia, East Asia, and Oceania				
Cambodia	2000	14.4%	14.8%	14.1%
Cambodia	2017	73.8%	74.5%	73.0%
China	2000	86.0%	86.6%	85.5%
China	2017	87.1%	87.5%	86.8%
Indonesia	2000	76.8%	77.2%	76.4%
Indonesia	2017	81.7%	82.1%	81.3%
Laos	2000	27.0%	29.2%	25.0%
Laos	2017	72.3%	74.8%	69.6%
Myanmar	2000	70.6%	71.7%	69.3%
Myanmar	2017	58.1%	59.6%	56.4%
Papua New Guinea	2000	49.4%	52.5%	46.7%
Papua New Guinea	2017	49.4%	52.4%	46.5%
Philippines	2000	80.9%	82.1%	79.5%
Philippines	2017	91.1%	91.9%	90.3%
Sri Lanka	2000	87.7%	90.3%	84.5%
Sri Lanka	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Thailand	2000	99.1%	99.1%	99.0%
Thailand	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
Timor-Leste	2000	34.4%	35.4%	33.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Country (*continued*)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	2017	66.6%	67.6%	65.7%
Vietnam	2000	33.2%	35.1%	31.2%
Vietnam	2017	86.6%	87.7%	85.5%
Sub-Saharan Africa				
Angola	2000	28.1%	28.8%	27.5%
Angola	2017	47.8%	48.8%	46.8%
Benin	2000	12.8%	13.4%	12.2%
Benin	2017	30.8%	31.6%	30.1%
Botswana	2000	44.5%	46.8%	42.6%
Botswana	2017	53.2%	55.3%	51.2%
Burkina Faso	2000	26.5%	27.7%	25.3%
Burkina Faso	2017	38.9%	40.2%	37.6%
Burundi	2000	42.4%	43.6%	41.3%
Burundi	2017	55.5%	56.7%	54.3%
Cameroon	2000	37.5%	39.0%	36.1%
Cameroon	2017	52.9%	54.2%	51.8%
Central African Republic	2000	42.4%	44.9%	40.0%
Central African Republic	2017	29.5%	32.3%	26.8%
Chad	2000	23.8%	25.0%	22.6%
Chad	2017	11.5%	12.2%	10.8%
Comoros	2000	39.0%	42.3%	35.6%
Comoros	2017	38.9%	42.0%	35.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	2000	27.0%	29.0%	25.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	2017	52.2%	54.8%	49.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	2000	40.4%	41.9%	38.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	2017	40.0%	41.4%	38.5%
Eritrea	2000	6.7%	7.3%	6.2%
Eritrea	2017	6.7%	7.3%	6.2%
Ethiopia	2000	7.0%	7.4%	6.8%
Ethiopia	2017	15.5%	15.9%	15.1%
Gabon	2000	44.5%	48.6%	41.0%
Gabon	2017	44.6%	47.6%	42.1%
Ghana	2000	39.5%	41.3%	37.8%
Ghana	2017	56.6%	58.0%	55.4%
Guinea	2000	20.0%	21.6%	18.6%
Guinea	2017	51.3%	53.8%	48.8%
Guinea-Bissau	2000	49.0%	53.8%	44.9%
Guinea-Bissau	2017	55.6%	59.8%	51.5%
Kenya	2000	49.4%	50.1%	48.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Country (*continued*)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	2017	48.0%	48.9%	47.2%
Lesotho	2000	40.8%	41.9%	39.7%
Lesotho	2017	23.4%	24.3%	22.5%
Liberia	2000	17.2%	18.6%	16.0%
Liberia	2017	39.9%	42.1%	37.9%
Madagascar	2000	7.6%	8.7%	6.8%
Madagascar	2017	17.1%	18.2%	15.9%
Malawi	2000	37.4%	37.6%	37.1%
Malawi	2017	46.1%	46.9%	45.4%
Mali	2000	40.7%	41.8%	39.8%
Mali	2017	53.9%	55.1%	52.9%
Mauritania	2000	40.3%	42.2%	38.3%
Mauritania	2017	55.8%	57.5%	54.1%
Mozambique	2000	19.9%	20.5%	19.3%
Mozambique	2017	27.8%	28.7%	27.1%
Namibia	2000	25.7%	26.5%	24.9%
Namibia	2017	53.1%	54.3%	51.9%
Niger	2000	13.6%	14.5%	12.8%
Niger	2017	30.4%	31.5%	29.2%
Nigeria	2000	86.8%	87.4%	86.1%
Nigeria	2017	48.6%	49.3%	47.9%
Republic of Congo	2000	70.9%	75.9%	63.9%
Republic of Congo	2017	38.2%	44.6%	31.7%
Rwanda	2000	75.4%	75.8%	75.0%
Rwanda	2017	87.9%	88.5%	87.4%
Senegal	2000	61.7%	63.0%	60.5%
Senegal	2017	76.8%	77.9%	75.7%
Sierra Leone	2000	42.0%	43.3%	40.8%
Sierra Leone	2017	42.3%	43.6%	41.1%
Somalia	2000	36.1%	40.9%	31.7%
Somalia	2017	36.3%	47.9%	27.8%
South Africa	2000	62.1%	62.9%	61.2%
South Africa	2017	81.6%	82.3%	80.8%
South Africa	2000	30.0%	32.3%	28.0%
Sudan	2017	9.9%	10.3%	9.5%
Swaziland	2000	55.4%	62.3%	47.5%
Swaziland	2017	84.2%	87.8%	79.3%
Tanzania	2000	47.9%	48.9%	46.9%
Tanzania	2017	35.4%	36.3%	34.5%
Togo	2000	24.8%	27.6%	22.3%
Togo	2017	34.1%	36.9%	31.6%
Uganda	2000	63.7%	64.9%	62.4%
Uganda	2017	83.1%	84.0%	82.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Country (*continued*)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	2000	25.7%	26.7%	24.7%
Zambia	2017	39.3%	40.6%	38.2%
Zimbabwe	2000	54.6%	55.9%	53.4%
Zimbabwe	2017	64.9%	66.1%	63.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central Europe, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia					
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Suu	2000	95.4%	98.5%	89.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Suu	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Talaa	2000	91.3%	96.1%	83.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Talaa	2017	98.3%	99.6%	95.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Aksyi	2000	95.5%	98.8%	89.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Aksyi	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Ala-Buka	2000	98.3%	99.8%	94.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Ala-Buka	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Alai	2000	96.4%	98.9%	91.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Alai	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Alamüdüń	2000	97.0%	99.0%	93.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Alamüdüń	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Aravan	2000	96.4%	99.8%	87.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Aravan	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.1%
Kyrgyzstan	At-Bashi	2000	89.8%	93.4%	85.0%
Kyrgyzstan	At-Bashi	2017	97.3%	98.8%	95.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Bakai-Ata	2000	97.2%	99.4%	93.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Bakai-Ata	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Batken	2000	92.7%	96.3%	88.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Batken	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Bazar-Korgon	2000	96.3%	99.2%	89.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Bazar-Korgon	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Biškeek	2000	97.7%	98.7%	95.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Biškeek	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Chatkal	2000	97.7%	99.7%	93.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Chatkal	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Chong-Alay	2000	98.3%	99.8%	93.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Chong-Alay	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Chui	2000	96.2%	99.3%	90.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Chui	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Djety-Oguz	2000	94.8%	97.8%	90.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Djety-Oguz	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Jaiyl	2000	92.8%	99.0%	80.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Jaiyl	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Jungal	2000	93.6%	98.0%	86.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Jungal	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Kadamjai	2000	88.3%	93.2%	79.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Kadamjai	2017	97.6%	99.0%	95.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Buura	2000	96.2%	99.2%	89.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Buura	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Kuldja	2000	93.6%	98.1%	84.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Kuldja	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Suu	2000	92.0%	95.6%	86.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Suu	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Kemin	2000	92.6%	98.0%	83.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kemin	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Kochkor	2000	94.0%	97.3%	89.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Kochkor	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Lailak	2000	95.1%	97.9%	89.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Lailak	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Manas	2000	92.8%	98.5%	80.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kyrgyzstan	Manas	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Moskovsky	2000	94.6%	99.0%	86.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Moskovsky	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Naryn	2000	94.4%	97.7%	87.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Naryn	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Nookat	2000	96.5%	98.7%	92.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Nookat	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Nooken	2000	86.5%	91.2%	80.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Nooken	2017	91.1%	93.0%	89.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Osh	2000	95.0%	97.2%	92.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Osh	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Panfilov	2000	92.1%	98.8%	79.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Panfilov	2017	98.6%	99.9%	94.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Sokuluk	2000	92.0%	97.0%	83.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Sokuluk	2017	99.0%	99.9%	97.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Song-Kol	2000	95.0%	99.8%	79.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Song-Kol	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Suzak	2000	94.6%	98.1%	89.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Suzak	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Talas	2000	97.3%	99.3%	93.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Talas	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Togus-Toro	2000	93.3%	98.2%	84.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Togus-Toro	2017	98.9%	99.9%	96.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Toktogul	2000	92.6%	97.2%	86.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Toktogul	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Ton	2000	93.5%	97.5%	81.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Ton	2017	98.9%	99.8%	95.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Tüp	2000	91.6%	97.3%	75.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Tüp	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Uzgen	2000	85.2%	91.2%	77.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Uzgen	2017	95.9%	98.5%	92.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Ata	2000	95.5%	99.0%	89.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Ata	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl	2000	93.2%	97.3%	87.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl (lake)	2000	90.5%	97.1%	74.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl (lake)	2017	98.5%	99.8%	92.8%
Mongolia	Adaatsag	2000	40.8%	49.3%	31.7%
Mongolia	Adaatsag	2017	65.4%	73.7%	54.4%
Mongolia	Airag	2000	41.7%	48.0%	35.4%
Mongolia	Airag	2000	39.7%	46.7%	33.5%
Mongolia	Airag	2017	67.9%	74.6%	59.5%
Mongolia	Airag	2017	63.6%	69.7%	56.4%
Mongolia	Alag-Erdene	2000	51.6%	59.1%	44.5%
Mongolia	Alag-Erdene	2017	75.7%	81.4%	67.2%
Mongolia	Aldarkhaan	2000	72.5%	78.9%	66.7%
Mongolia	Aldarkhaan	2017	83.8%	86.9%	80.8%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	27.5%	32.2%	23.6%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	25.0%	32.7%	18.4%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	29.0%	32.8%	25.2%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	46.9%	57.1%	36.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Altai	2017	51.4%	60.5%	42.7%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	52.7%	57.1%	47.9%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2000	77.3%	83.8%	70.6%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2000	45.1%	57.4%	34.3%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2017	90.3%	93.2%	86.2%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2017	64.3%	79.8%	42.8%
Mongolia	Altanshiree	2000	63.0%	67.7%	58.0%
Mongolia	Altanshiree	2017	70.4%	77.5%	63.4%
Mongolia	Altantsögts	2000	32.8%	46.6%	21.4%
Mongolia	Altantsögts	2017	56.6%	68.9%	43.9%
Mongolia	Arbulag	2000	43.7%	51.3%	36.1%
Mongolia	Arbulag	2017	67.2%	75.6%	57.6%
Mongolia	Argalant	2000	57.7%	70.3%	44.7%
Mongolia	Argalant	2017	76.6%	88.0%	63.8%
Mongolia	Arkhus	2000	54.4%	71.6%	36.9%
Mongolia	Arkhus	2017	74.9%	87.8%	57.9%
Mongolia	Arvaikheer	2000	44.9%	51.0%	39.9%
Mongolia	Arvaikheer	2017	65.0%	73.0%	58.5%
Mongolia	Asgat	2000	35.4%	43.8%	27.9%
Mongolia	Asgat	2000	40.3%	55.5%	28.1%
Mongolia	Asgat	2017	59.3%	67.5%	49.8%
Mongolia	Asgat	2017	63.6%	76.6%	47.9%
Mongolia	Baatsagaan	2000	34.6%	41.4%	28.3%
Mongolia	Baatsagaan	2017	58.7%	66.4%	49.0%
Mongolia	Baruun	2000	30.9%	38.3%	24.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ulaan				
Mongolia	Baruun	2017	54.4%	65.6%	42.6%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ulaan				
Mongolia	Baruunbüren	2000	68.2%	77.7%	54.1%
Mongolia	Baruunbüren	2017	86.0%	91.3%	78.1%
Mongolia	Baruuntutuun	2000	45.3%	57.8%	32.7%
Mongolia	Baruuntutuun	2017	63.0%	75.9%	50.1%
Mongolia	Bat-Ölzii	2000	38.7%	47.3%	29.5%
Mongolia	Bat-Ölzii	2017	62.1%	71.5%	51.8%
Mongolia	Batnorov	2000	50.9%	57.2%	43.3%
Mongolia	Batnorov	2017	76.2%	81.3%	69.4%
Mongolia	Batshireet	2000	47.0%	54.7%	40.7%
Mongolia	Batshireet	2017	70.8%	77.3%	64.5%
Mongolia	Batsumber	2000	49.8%	62.3%	37.1%
Mongolia	Batsumber	2017	73.0%	80.7%	65.1%
Mongolia	Battsengel	2000	46.1%	62.4%	33.9%
Mongolia	Battsengel	2017	68.3%	81.1%	53.2%
Mongolia	Bayan	2000	57.6%	77.2%	32.9%
Mongolia	Bayan	2017	80.0%	92.9%	53.2%
Mongolia	Bayan-Adarga	2000	49.1%	57.7%	41.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Adarga	2017	73.3%	80.5%	63.5%
Mongolia	Bayan-Agt	2000	56.1%	65.1%	46.5%
Mongolia	Bayan-Agt	2017	77.8%	84.0%	70.3%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	21.8%	25.5%	18.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	31.3%	40.1%	24.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	71.3%	81.0%	64.7%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	53.4%	66.5%	41.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	81.0%	87.5%	75.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	44.3%	52.4%	36.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Önjüül	2000	44.4%	52.6%	36.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Önjüül	2017	68.9%	76.8%	59.5%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	30.7%	36.3%	25.2%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	35.8%	47.3%	26.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	46.7%	56.2%	37.9%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	61.6%	72.9%	47.9%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	54.6%	62.0%	48.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	69.5%	79.6%	59.3%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2000	35.4%	45.1%	28.7%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2000	49.8%	56.7%	42.8%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2017	59.4%	69.1%	49.8%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2017	71.8%	79.5%	61.7%
Mongolia	Bayanbulag	2000	27.9%	36.1%	20.6%
Mongolia	Bayanbulag	2017	52.2%	66.2%	37.9%
Mongolia	Bayanchandmani	2000	65.8%	79.0%	48.6%
Mongolia	Bayanchandmani	2017	84.4%	91.2%	75.5%
Mongolia	Bayandalai	2000	26.8%	31.8%	21.9%
Mongolia	Bayandalai	2017	49.7%	58.0%	40.6%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2000	53.9%	65.0%	39.5%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2000	34.4%	40.9%	29.2%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2017	78.1%	86.6%	65.4%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2017	58.1%	66.1%	50.1%
Mongolia	Bayandun	2000	43.6%	49.7%	37.9%
Mongolia	Bayandun	2017	66.4%	73.4%	58.9%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2000	36.5%	47.7%	27.9%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2000	74.7%	84.2%	60.0%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2017	59.7%	73.5%	46.9%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2017	88.4%	93.5%	80.2%
Mongolia	Bayangovi	2000	28.2%	36.9%	20.9%
Mongolia	Bayangovi	2017	54.1%	67.0%	39.9%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2000	43.6%	54.2%	34.9%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2000	39.7%	48.7%	31.9%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2017	67.6%	76.7%	57.0%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2017	63.7%	72.5%	53.1%
Mongolia	Bayankhairkhan	2000	42.6%	53.9%	33.4%
Mongolia	Bayankhairkhan	2017	64.1%	74.4%	54.3%
Mongolia	Bayankhangai	2000	58.6%	79.1%	37.0%
Mongolia	Bayankhangai	2017	79.5%	91.2%	63.3%
Mongolia	Bayankhongor	2000	51.3%	53.9%	49.1%
Mongolia	Bayankhongor	2017	69.8%	71.8%	67.5%
Mongolia	Bayankhutag	2000	71.4%	77.5%	64.6%
Mongolia	Bayankhutag	2017	85.4%	88.6%	81.4%
Mongolia	Bayanlig	2000	32.1%	38.7%	27.0%
Mongolia	Bayanlig	2017	57.5%	69.7%	44.2%
Mongolia	Bayanmönkh	2000	40.0%	50.3%	30.1%
Mongolia	Bayanmönkh	2017	62.5%	71.9%	52.5%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2000	32.6%	43.5%	22.6%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2000	42.7%	60.0%	26.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2017	67.5%	85.5%	41.4%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2017	56.8%	68.2%	42.2%
Mongolia	Bayantal	2000	54.3%	75.3%	30.8%
Mongolia	Bayantal	2017	76.9%	91.0%	52.7%
Mongolia	Bayantes	2000	45.3%	53.4%	37.9%
Mongolia	Bayantes	2017	69.7%	79.7%	57.9%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2000	29.6%	36.4%	23.8%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2000	46.2%	55.2%	36.1%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2017	53.9%	63.5%	45.1%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2017	69.0%	76.8%	58.0%
Mongolia	Bayantsogt	2000	58.9%	71.4%	44.5%
Mongolia	Bayantsogt	2017	79.1%	88.0%	65.0%
Mongolia	Bayantümen	2000	46.6%	57.7%	37.2%
Mongolia	Bayantümen	2017	69.6%	78.5%	59.9%
Mongolia	Bayanzürkh	2000	38.0%	45.6%	30.7%
Mongolia	Bayanzürkh	2017	61.5%	70.6%	52.0%
Mongolia	Biger	2000	33.2%	41.2%	24.7%
Mongolia	Biger	2017	58.6%	68.8%	47.6%
Mongolia	Binder	2000	39.2%	46.4%	33.2%
Mongolia	Binder	2017	59.1%	66.7%	52.1%
Mongolia	Bogd	2000	30.2%	35.7%	25.2%
Mongolia	Bogd	2000	32.6%	40.0%	25.5%
Mongolia	Bogd	2017	54.0%	62.1%	46.4%
Mongolia	Bogd	2017	57.4%	66.2%	48.1%
Mongolia	Bökhmörön	2000	32.1%	41.9%	23.9%
Mongolia	Bökhmörön	2017	55.6%	66.2%	45.5%
Mongolia	Bömbögör	2000	31.2%	39.0%	23.4%
Mongolia	Bömbögör	2017	55.8%	64.8%	44.7%
Mongolia	Bornuur	2000	44.1%	64.6%	28.5%
Mongolia	Bornuur	2017	72.2%	81.0%	59.3%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	31.5%	36.9%	26.4%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	73.2%	84.4%	59.2%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	51.1%	57.8%	44.3%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	54.4%	62.4%	47.6%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	67.2%	73.5%	61.1%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	89.5%	94.9%	82.2%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	30.9%	39.1%	23.9%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	42.0%	53.2%	30.7%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	33.9%	41.0%	27.2%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	38.8%	44.9%	33.0%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	26.7%	34.5%	19.8%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	59.4%	66.5%	51.6%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	54.6%	67.2%	40.5%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	64.3%	75.3%	50.3%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	62.7%	69.6%	54.0%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	49.2%	57.6%	40.6%
Mongolia	Büрд	2000	46.7%	58.6%	36.5%
Mongolia	Büрд	2017	71.3%	83.4%	56.6%
Mongolia	Büregkhangai	2000	54.8%	66.5%	43.7%
Mongolia	Büregkhangai	2017	76.1%	84.7%	66.6%
Mongolia	Büren	2000	39.6%	48.9%	31.2%
Mongolia	Büren	2017	63.9%	74.5%	50.4%
Mongolia	Bürentogtokh	2000	51.5%	61.4%	41.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Bürentogtokh	2017	73.8%	82.9%	64.0%
Mongolia	Buutsagaan	2000	34.8%	44.2%	26.6%
Mongolia	Buutsagaan	2017	61.8%	72.2%	50.6%
Mongolia	Buyant	2000	68.3%	75.0%	60.4%
Mongolia	Buyant	2000	24.7%	35.0%	16.6%
Mongolia	Buyant	2017	83.1%	86.5%	79.6%
Mongolia	Buyant	2017	48.8%	61.7%	34.7%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2000	33.6%	40.8%	26.9%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2000	33.4%	41.4%	25.9%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2017	61.0%	69.8%	52.6%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2017	56.2%	65.2%	47.3%
Mongolia	Chandmani-Öndör	2000	44.4%	52.5%	36.1%
Mongolia	Chandmani-Öndör	2017	66.4%	72.6%	59.7%
Mongolia	Choibalsan	2000	37.8%	47.3%	30.3%
Mongolia	Choibalsan	2017	61.2%	71.5%	50.5%
Mongolia	Chuluunkhoroot	2000	49.1%	58.2%	39.5%
Mongolia	Chuluunkhoroot	2017	70.7%	80.1%	58.9%
Mongolia	Chuluut	2000	31.8%	39.6%	24.5%
Mongolia	Chuluut	2017	56.2%	66.2%	45.4%
Mongolia	Dadal	2000	41.8%	48.9%	35.0%
Mongolia	Dadal	2017	64.6%	70.3%	58.0%
Mongolia	Dalanjargalan	2000	47.4%	56.2%	40.1%
Mongolia	Dalanjargalan	2017	70.8%	78.4%	61.6%
Mongolia	Dalanzadgad	2000	65.8%	70.3%	60.6%
Mongolia	Dalanzadgad	2017	80.6%	85.7%	75.7%
Mongolia	Dariganga	2000	31.7%	38.4%	25.5%
Mongolia	Dariganga	2017	55.7%	67.0%	44.8%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2000	46.6%	58.8%	35.2%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2000	91.8%	94.4%	88.6%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2017	97.2%	98.2%	95.9%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2017	70.7%	81.6%	57.4%
Mongolia	Darvi	2000	34.0%	42.5%	26.3%
Mongolia	Darvi	2000	31.9%	39.2%	25.1%
Mongolia	Darvi	2017	58.5%	73.0%	44.0%
Mongolia	Darvi	2017	56.1%	65.5%	44.4%
Mongolia	Dashbalbar	2000	45.3%	51.2%	39.9%
Mongolia	Dashbalbar	2017	69.7%	76.4%	61.9%
Mongolia	Dashinchilen	2000	54.1%	68.1%	38.8%
Mongolia	Dashinchilen	2017	77.5%	88.3%	59.8%
Mongolia	Davst	2000	42.1%	52.2%	32.9%
Mongolia	Davst	2017	64.2%	72.9%	56.0%
Mongolia	Delger	2000	40.6%	47.5%	31.4%
Mongolia	Delger	2017	60.9%	68.2%	51.9%
Mongolia	Delgerekh	2000	37.1%	44.7%	29.6%
Mongolia	Delgerekh	2017	61.9%	71.6%	50.4%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2000	37.8%	49.0%	27.6%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2000	39.4%	55.6%	27.0%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2017	61.9%	77.5%	46.5%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2017	61.5%	74.7%	47.5%
Mongolia	Delgerkhangai	2000	32.8%	40.0%	26.3%
Mongolia	Delgerkhangai	2017	57.2%	66.6%	47.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Delgertsogt	2000	47.1%	57.2%	35.7%
Mongolia	Delgertsogt	2017	71.8%	81.3%	60.1%
Mongolia	Delüün	2000	25.6%	31.5%	21.2%
Mongolia	Delüün	2017	44.5%	51.7%	37.9%
Mongolia	Deren	2000	42.9%	51.1%	34.0%
Mongolia	Deren	2017	68.6%	76.9%	58.8%
Mongolia	Dörgön	2000	33.4%	41.9%	26.2%
Mongolia	Dörgön	2017	58.4%	68.6%	47.3%
Mongolia	Dörvöljin	2000	32.8%	38.4%	27.1%
Mongolia	Dörvöljin	2017	59.5%	70.8%	46.4%
Mongolia	Duut	2000	25.5%	35.9%	17.8%
Mongolia	Duut	2017	48.9%	67.7%	31.0%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	44.9%	51.8%	37.9%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	48.8%	63.7%	34.6%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	21.4%	24.4%	18.0%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	67.3%	73.0%	60.3%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	71.4%	79.4%	61.7%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	36.8%	41.0%	32.8%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2000	59.5%	65.6%	52.8%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2000	49.5%	56.9%	42.2%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2017	72.2%	80.0%	63.4%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2017	75.5%	81.2%	69.3%
Mongolia	Erdenebüren	2000	30.4%	39.7%	21.4%
Mongolia	Erdenebüren	2017	54.6%	66.5%	41.7%
Mongolia	Erdenedalai	2000	42.1%	48.8%	36.0%
Mongolia	Erdenedalai	2017	66.9%	72.4%	60.9%
Mongolia	Erdenehairkhan	2000	32.3%	39.6%	25.5%
Mongolia	Erdenehairkhan	2017	56.0%	63.6%	48.4%
Mongolia	Erdenemandal	2000	40.4%	48.4%	32.0%
Mongolia	Erdenemandal	2017	64.3%	73.8%	52.3%
Mongolia	Erdenesant	2000	56.1%	65.2%	46.6%
Mongolia	Erdenesant	2017	81.4%	87.4%	72.0%
Mongolia	Erdenetsagaan	2000	35.8%	40.8%	31.3%
Mongolia	Erdenetsagaan	2017	59.4%	65.2%	52.8%
Mongolia	Erdenetsogt	2000	29.8%	36.8%	22.9%
Mongolia	Erdenetsogt	2017	53.0%	60.8%	45.8%
Mongolia	Galshar	2000	34.0%	40.0%	27.8%
Mongolia	Galshar	2017	56.6%	64.5%	48.4%
Mongolia	Galt	2000	42.0%	56.2%	29.4%
Mongolia	Galt	2017	64.7%	77.3%	50.3%
Mongolia	Galuu	2000	29.5%	36.7%	23.2%
Mongolia	Galuu	2017	51.3%	60.6%	41.2%
Mongolia	Govi-Ugtaal	2000	38.4%	48.0%	29.0%
Mongolia	Govi-Ugtaal	2017	61.6%	72.3%	49.7%
Mongolia	Guchin-Us	2000	32.5%	41.1%	24.1%
Mongolia	Guchin-Us	2017	56.6%	69.4%	43.6%
Mongolia	Gurvan tes	2000	28.4%	32.9%	23.8%
Mongolia	Gurvan tes	2017	51.4%	59.4%	43.4%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2000	49.4%	60.5%	37.0%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2000	26.2%	32.6%	19.5%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2017	68.4%	77.3%	58.3%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2017	50.5%	59.7%	39.3%
Mongolia	Gurvansaikhan	2000	40.9%	48.7%	34.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Gurvansaikhan	2017	64.6%	71.3%	58.1%
Mongolia	Gurvanzagal	2000	42.6%	50.9%	35.5%
Mongolia	Gurvanzagal	2017	67.5%	74.7%	60.9%
Mongolia	Ider	2000	30.7%	37.7%	23.8%
Mongolia	Ider	2017	53.9%	62.8%	44.3%
Mongolia	Ikh-Tamir	2000	46.5%	52.6%	40.5%
Mongolia	Ikh-Tamir	2017	68.9%	74.8%	61.2%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2000	35.1%	47.0%	25.2%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2000	52.0%	66.1%	36.3%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2017	51.8%	63.7%	38.7%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2017	76.1%	86.9%	59.2%
Mongolia	Ikhkheth	2000	35.4%	44.4%	27.6%
Mongolia	Ikhkheth	2017	58.6%	66.0%	48.9%
Mongolia	Jargalan	2000	33.1%	41.0%	25.1%
Mongolia	Jargalan	2017	57.4%	66.7%	47.7%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	51.4%	63.2%	36.8%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	49.7%	54.1%	44.6%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	38.6%	48.1%	28.6%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	40.6%	56.7%	26.7%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	77.9%	88.9%	63.2%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	32.1%	37.8%	25.7%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	63.0%	70.2%	55.3%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	74.8%	83.3%	62.4%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	62.1%	74.4%	48.0%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	63.8%	78.2%	47.2%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	88.4%	95.0%	78.5%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	70.9%	74.3%	67.3%
Mongolia	Jargaltkhaan	2000	52.2%	63.5%	40.9%
Mongolia	Jargaltkhaan	2017	75.4%	86.0%	62.3%
Mongolia	Javkhlant	2000	69.8%	81.6%	55.7%
Mongolia	Javkhlant	2017	86.5%	92.7%	76.3%
Mongolia	Jinst	2000	34.6%	42.5%	26.9%
Mongolia	Jinst	2017	58.9%	69.7%	46.9%
Mongolia	Khairkhan	2000	46.0%	59.7%	33.1%
Mongolia	Khairkhan	2017	69.0%	81.0%	53.4%
Mongolia	Khairkhandulaan	2000	41.0%	50.2%	31.9%
Mongolia	Khairkhandulaan	2017	67.1%	77.3%	53.8%
Mongolia	Khaliun	2000	33.5%	40.9%	26.8%
Mongolia	Khaliun	2017	57.5%	65.5%	49.4%
Mongolia	Khalkhgal	2000	52.2%	66.4%	33.4%
Mongolia	Khalkhgal	2017	75.2%	84.2%	56.4%
Mongolia	Khalzan	2000	35.4%	44.6%	28.0%
Mongolia	Khalzan	2017	58.7%	68.9%	48.7%
Mongolia	Khan khongor	2000	39.6%	45.7%	34.7%
Mongolia	Khan khongor	2017	59.1%	65.6%	52.0%
Mongolia	Khanbogd	2000	38.7%	45.7%	32.2%
Mongolia	Khanbogd	2017	63.2%	71.5%	53.1%
Mongolia	Khangai	2000	31.6%	41.6%	24.0%
Mongolia	Khangai	2017	55.8%	67.6%	42.9%
Mongolia	Khangal	2000	64.5%	81.1%	44.4%
Mongolia	Khangal	2017	83.6%	93.0%	70.8%
Mongolia	Khankh	2000	49.3%	59.8%	39.8%
Mongolia	Khankh	2017	70.2%	81.5%	57.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Kharkhorin	2000	56.5%	64.3%	47.4%
Mongolia	Kharkhorin	2017	77.5%	83.1%	71.3%
Mongolia	Khashaat	2000	46.2%	57.8%	35.1%
Mongolia	Khashaat	2017	69.0%	79.4%	57.2%
Mongolia	Khatanbulag	2000	33.1%	36.9%	28.7%
Mongolia	Khatanbulag	2017	58.0%	62.5%	52.6%
Mongolia	Khatgal	2000	57.1%	77.3%	35.3%
Mongolia	Khatgal	2017	82.2%	93.5%	64.6%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2000	51.1%	57.5%	44.6%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2000	73.7%	77.7%	69.2%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2017	86.4%	89.7%	82.7%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2017	74.5%	81.0%	66.8%
Mongolia	Khishig-Öndör	2000	55.8%	69.1%	40.9%
Mongolia	Khishig-Öndör	2017	77.6%	88.2%	61.2%
Mongolia	Khökh morit	2000	34.2%	42.1%	28.1%
Mongolia	Khökh morit	2017	60.4%	71.8%	48.2%
Mongolia	Khölönbuir	2000	40.1%	48.4%	33.3%
Mongolia	Khölönbuir	2017	70.0%	76.7%	61.8%
Mongolia	Khongor	2000	81.4%	88.2%	70.7%
Mongolia	Khongor	2017	93.0%	95.7%	88.6%
Mongolia	Khotont	2000	48.1%	59.8%	38.6%
Mongolia	Khotont	2017	71.8%	81.4%	60.1%
Mongolia	Khovd	2000	78.6%	84.3%	69.8%
Mongolia	Khovd	2000	32.7%	43.1%	22.6%
Mongolia	Khovd	2017	77.7%	83.8%	70.4%
Mongolia	Khovd	2017	57.3%	69.0%	44.6%
Mongolia	Khövsgöl	2000	33.4%	39.2%	28.1%
Mongolia	Khövsgöl	2017	55.6%	63.2%	48.0%
Mongolia	Khüder	2000	47.3%	57.2%	37.4%
Mongolia	Khüder	2017	71.3%	80.9%	61.0%
Mongolia	Khujirt	2000	47.7%	65.6%	27.4%
Mongolia	Khujirt	2017	68.8%	77.9%	54.5%
Mongolia	Khuld	2000	38.9%	46.4%	31.2%
Mongolia	Khuld	2017	64.3%	71.8%	55.5%
Mongolia	Khüreemaraal	2000	30.0%	37.7%	22.3%
Mongolia	Khüreemaraal	2017	54.0%	65.2%	42.1%
Mongolia	Khürmen	2000	27.6%	33.0%	23.0%
Mongolia	Khürmen	2017	50.9%	58.3%	44.5%
Mongolia	Khushaat	2000	52.9%	64.5%	41.2%
Mongolia	Khushaat	2017	75.4%	85.1%	63.8%
Mongolia	Khutag-Öndör	2000	61.3%	69.1%	51.5%
Mongolia	Khutag-Öndör	2017	81.8%	87.2%	74.0%
Mongolia	Khyargas	2000	38.7%	48.0%	30.4%
Mongolia	Khyargas	2017	62.3%	72.0%	53.3%
Mongolia	Lün	2000	57.4%	77.2%	37.2%
Mongolia	Lün	2017	79.3%	92.3%	59.6%
Mongolia	Luus	2000	43.5%	52.6%	35.2%
Mongolia	Luus	2017	68.3%	77.2%	59.2%
Mongolia	Malchin	2000	33.4%	41.2%	24.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Malchin	2017	54.3%	63.3%	42.4%
Mongolia	Mandakh	2000	28.4%	33.3%	24.2%
Mongolia	Mandakh	2017	53.0%	60.4%	45.0%
Mongolia	Mandal	2000	49.3%	62.4%	39.8%
Mongolia	Mandal	2017	68.4%	76.5%	60.1%
Mongolia	Mandal-Ovoo	2000	35.3%	44.0%	27.8%
Mongolia	Mandal-Ovoo	2017	59.8%	72.5%	46.1%
Mongolia	Mankhan	2000	31.2%	41.6%	24.5%
Mongolia	Mankhan	2017	57.2%	66.6%	48.7%
Mongolia	Manlai	2000	30.0%	35.1%	25.2%
Mongolia	Manlai	2017	52.6%	63.3%	42.4%
Mongolia	Matad	2000	34.2%	38.2%	30.1%
Mongolia	Matad	2017	57.3%	65.8%	47.4%
Mongolia	Mogod	2000	45.3%	59.4%	30.0%
Mongolia	Mogod	2017	69.0%	86.3%	44.6%
Mongolia	Möngönmorit	2000	44.4%	51.1%	38.4%
Mongolia	Möngönmorit	2017	69.0%	75.6%	61.1%
Mongolia	Mönkhkhairkhan	2000	26.3%	37.4%	18.1%
Mongolia	Mönkhkhairkhan	2017	51.2%	72.2%	32.0%
Mongolia	Mörön	2000	42.4%	53.9%	32.0%
Mongolia	Mörön	2000	61.5%	68.9%	54.3%
Mongolia	Mörön	2017	66.2%	79.0%	53.2%
Mongolia	Mörön	2017	83.8%	89.9%	73.3%
Mongolia	Möst	2000	27.1%	39.0%	18.5%
Mongolia	Möst	2017	50.8%	65.1%	35.2%
Mongolia	Myangad	2000	30.9%	42.3%	21.7%
Mongolia	Myangad	2017	56.4%	68.5%	44.2%
Mongolia	Naran	2000	32.8%	40.6%	24.4%
Mongolia	Naran	2017	56.9%	66.8%	46.2%
Mongolia	Naranbulag	2000	32.0%	39.4%	24.8%
Mongolia	Naranbulag	2017	55.0%	65.4%	43.3%
Mongolia	Nariinteel	2000	37.1%	47.9%	28.0%
Mongolia	Nariinteel	2017	61.2%	71.5%	49.4%
Mongolia	Nogoonnuur	2000	34.0%	44.2%	25.8%
Mongolia	Nogoonnuur	2017	57.9%	66.6%	46.9%
Mongolia	Nomgon	2000	28.6%	32.8%	24.7%
Mongolia	Nomgon	2017	51.9%	56.9%	46.5%
Mongolia	Nömrög	2000	32.5%	41.5%	24.3%
Mongolia	Nömrög	2017	54.4%	67.7%	40.3%
Mongolia	Norovlin	2000	47.9%	58.8%	37.4%
Mongolia	Norovlin	2017	72.5%	82.4%	62.0%
Mongolia	Noyon	2000	25.0%	30.4%	20.7%
Mongolia	Noyon	2017	47.3%	56.0%	39.2%
Mongolia	Ögii nuur	2000	45.9%	59.3%	32.6%
Mongolia	Ögii nuur	2017	69.3%	84.2%	51.0%
Mongolia	Ölgii	2000	32.9%	43.4%	24.3%
Mongolia	Ölgii	2017	58.1%	69.4%	46.1%
Mongolia	Ölgii (city)	2000	35.1%	41.5%	29.2%
Mongolia	Ölgii (city)	2017	56.2%	61.6%	50.4%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	65.5%	70.1%	59.3%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	37.2%	46.3%	27.6%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	46.5%	56.3%	37.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	35.5%	40.6%	31.0%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	59.7%	66.5%	54.2%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	77.1%	81.6%	71.1%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	59.2%	69.7%	48.7%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	68.8%	77.3%	59.6%
Mongolia	Ömnödelger	2000	43.0%	49.1%	36.8%
Mongolia	Ömnödelger	2017	64.8%	72.0%	55.4%
Mongolia	Ömnögovi	2000	28.4%	35.8%	22.3%
Mongolia	Ömnögovi	2017	48.7%	60.2%	37.5%
Mongolia	Öndör-Ulaan	2000	40.8%	47.9%	33.1%
Mongolia	Öndör-Ulaan	2017	63.9%	71.9%	54.7%
Mongolia	Öndörkhantai	2000	33.2%	46.3%	22.8%
Mongolia	Öndörkhantai	2017	54.3%	69.4%	39.6%
Mongolia	Öndörshil	2000	34.0%	40.5%	26.6%
Mongolia	Öndörshil	2017	57.8%	66.6%	47.2%
Mongolia	Öndörshireet	2000	49.5%	59.7%	39.5%
Mongolia	Öndörshireet	2017	72.7%	81.6%	60.9%
Mongolia	Ongon	2000	34.4%	41.1%	28.6%
Mongolia	Ongon	2017	57.6%	66.2%	49.3%
Mongolia	Örgön	2000	71.3%	78.4%	62.2%
Mongolia	Örgön	2017	82.6%	87.4%	76.0%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	76.2%	87.1%	62.8%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	58.3%	72.5%	43.8%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	55.7%	62.3%	49.0%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	75.7%	84.7%	65.9%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	87.8%	95.3%	76.1%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	80.7%	85.1%	75.8%
Mongolia	Orkhontuul	2000	56.2%	66.0%	44.8%
Mongolia	Orkhontuul	2017	78.2%	85.0%	69.5%
Mongolia	Otgon	2000	27.0%	34.7%	20.4%
Mongolia	Otgon	2017	50.6%	65.2%	34.9%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2000	42.2%	67.9%	19.7%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2000	49.4%	59.5%	39.3%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2017	73.0%	80.9%	62.6%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2017	64.8%	83.8%	40.9%
Mongolia	Renchinlkhümbe	2000	44.2%	51.8%	37.3%
Mongolia	Renchinlkhümbe	2017	67.5%	74.1%	58.8%
Mongolia	Sagil	2000	36.2%	42.7%	28.1%
Mongolia	Sagil	2017	58.7%	68.4%	48.7%
Mongolia	Sagsai	2000	30.1%	40.0%	22.9%
Mongolia	Sagsai	2017	48.9%	57.6%	40.0%
Mongolia	Saikhan	2000	57.1%	70.0%	45.5%
Mongolia	Saikhan	2017	78.4%	86.3%	68.1%
Mongolia	Saikhan-Ovoo	2000	33.4%	41.6%	25.3%
Mongolia	Saikhan-Ovoo	2017	56.4%	67.3%	45.2%
Mongolia	Saikhandulaan	2000	34.1%	39.7%	29.0%
Mongolia	Saikhandulaan	2017	57.6%	62.7%	51.9%
Mongolia	Sainshand	2000	57.9%	66.0%	50.2%
Mongolia	Sainshand	2017	69.7%	75.9%	63.0%
Mongolia	Saintsagaan	2000	48.7%	56.1%	42.1%
Mongolia	Saintsagaan	2017	71.5%	78.3%	62.9%
Mongolia	Sant	2000	64.3%	74.5%	51.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Sant	2000	33.7%	43.4%	25.7%
Mongolia	Sant	2017	74.8%	90.0%	57.0%
Mongolia	Sant	2017	57.3%	66.7%	45.4%
Mongolia	Santmargats	2000	36.3%	44.5%	27.1%
Mongolia	Santmargats	2017	62.0%	71.7%	49.5%
Mongolia	Saykhan	2000	71.3%	77.5%	64.1%
Mongolia	Saykhan	2017	86.7%	90.9%	81.2%
Mongolia	Selenge	2000	73.8%	80.2%	65.5%
Mongolia	Selenge	2017	87.4%	91.8%	80.8%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2000	71.6%	82.7%	51.4%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2000	44.1%	52.0%	35.6%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2017	78.5%	86.4%	66.8%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2017	65.0%	73.5%	55.4%
Mongolia	Sevrei	2000	28.5%	33.6%	23.7%
Mongolia	Sevrei	2017	52.7%	59.4%	46.4%
Mongolia	Shaamar	2000	71.0%	83.7%	54.9%
Mongolia	Shaamar	2017	87.3%	93.7%	78.7%
Mongolia	Sharga	2000	35.8%	46.0%	26.3%
Mongolia	Sharga	2017	60.6%	73.2%	45.3%
Mongolia	Sharyngol	2000	77.2%	85.0%	67.4%
Mongolia	Sharyngol	2017	91.9%	95.3%	86.9%
Mongolia	Shilüüstei	2000	30.6%	41.3%	21.1%
Mongolia	Shilüüstei	2017	54.4%	66.9%	43.1%
Mongolia	Shine-Ider	2000	45.4%	61.9%	28.2%
Mongolia	Shine-Ider	2017	68.2%	82.0%	48.9%
Mongolia	Shinejinst	2000	26.6%	31.3%	22.6%
Mongolia	Shinejinst	2017	50.8%	57.1%	45.3%
Mongolia	Shiveegovi	2000	53.9%	66.7%	40.5%
Mongolia	Shiveegovi	2017	76.3%	86.4%	62.5%
Mongolia	Songino	2000	33.4%	42.9%	24.8%
Mongolia	Songino	2017	57.4%	68.3%	45.3%
Mongolia	Sükhbaatar	2000	40.7%	62.5%	29.9%
Mongolia	Sükhbaatar	2017	52.5%	68.3%	41.4%
Mongolia	Sümer	2000	42.0%	59.3%	24.6%
Mongolia	Sümer	2000	51.7%	60.7%	42.8%
Mongolia	Sümer	2017	67.0%	80.2%	48.4%
Mongolia	Sümer	2017	70.3%	79.1%	61.8%
Mongolia	Taishir	2000	37.2%	49.4%	27.2%
Mongolia	Taishir	2017	59.8%	71.7%	48.6%
Mongolia	Taragt	2000	48.2%	55.2%	41.9%
Mongolia	Taragt	2017	68.4%	74.4%	62.7%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2000	41.8%	51.2%	33.1%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2000	50.7%	61.6%	40.8%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2017	70.7%	79.7%	61.2%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2017	70.6%	76.3%	63.7%
Mongolia	Tariat	2000	33.3%	42.1%	24.5%
Mongolia	Tariat	2017	57.9%	67.6%	48.2%
Mongolia	Telmen	2000	32.3%	40.6%	24.5%
Mongolia	Telmen	2017	55.8%	68.5%	42.4%
Mongolia	Tes	2000	40.5%	49.1%	32.0%
Mongolia	Tes	2000	42.6%	54.7%	30.7%
Mongolia	Tes	2017	69.4%	85.8%	46.2%
Mongolia	Tes	2017	61.2%	68.8%	52.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Teshig	2000	52.4%	58.7%	45.5%
Mongolia	Teshig	2017	75.0%	80.4%	68.0%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2000	35.6%	46.5%	26.6%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2000	34.1%	44.3%	26.1%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2017	58.8%	72.9%	44.0%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2017	59.9%	70.1%	49.7%
Mongolia	Tolbo	2000	27.6%	37.7%	19.9%
Mongolia	Tolbo	2017	50.7%	62.5%	39.4%
Mongolia	Tömörbulag	2000	40.2%	48.6%	32.2%
Mongolia	Tömörbulag	2017	62.3%	71.0%	54.4%
Mongolia	Tonkhil	2000	31.9%	38.5%	26.5%
Mongolia	Tonkhil	2017	56.1%	66.0%	46.3%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2000	45.0%	57.6%	30.2%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2000	53.6%	64.0%	43.4%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2017	72.4%	80.5%	59.6%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2017	75.2%	83.7%	65.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Ovoo	2000	45.0%	52.5%	37.8%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Ovoo	2017	66.6%	74.0%	58.4%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Uul	2000	40.8%	50.9%	32.3%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Uul	2017	63.6%	72.2%	54.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Üür	2000	47.6%	55.0%	41.6%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Üür	2017	69.5%	79.1%	56.5%
Mongolia	Tsagaanchuluut	2000	31.0%	41.0%	22.5%
Mongolia	Tsagaanchuluut	2017	52.9%	64.8%	40.5%
Mongolia	Tsagaandelger	2000	44.7%	53.0%	35.1%
Mongolia	Tsagaandelger	2017	68.4%	76.3%	58.4%
Mongolia	Tsagaanhairhan	2000	35.1%	44.7%	26.5%
Mongolia	Tsagaanhairhan	2017	57.4%	68.0%	43.8%
Mongolia	Tsagaankhairkhan	2000	31.3%	50.2%	16.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaankhairkhan	2017	53.4%	73.3%	33.3%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	37.1%	43.2%	30.7%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	68.6%	82.0%	49.8%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	27.1%	41.8%	15.8%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	85.2%	90.6%	75.8%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	60.3%	66.9%	53.5%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	47.5%	65.2%	30.3%
Mongolia	Tsakhir	2000	28.9%	36.3%	22.7%
Mongolia	Tsakhir	2017	53.8%	62.8%	45.8%
Mongolia	Tseel	2000	48.0%	60.2%	34.5%
Mongolia	Tseel	2000	29.1%	35.9%	23.6%
Mongolia	Tseel	2017	71.3%	81.1%	58.1%
Mongolia	Tseel	2017	54.2%	63.0%	45.7%
Mongolia	Tsengel	2000	28.5%	38.0%	19.6%
Mongolia	Tsengel	2017	50.7%	60.3%	39.1%
Mongolia	Tsenkher	2000	44.5%	55.6%	34.0%
Mongolia	Tsenkher	2017	66.7%	77.3%	54.4%
Mongolia	Tsenkhermandal	2000	53.8%	64.2%	43.3%
Mongolia	Tsenkhermandal	2017	75.4%	82.9%	67.1%
Mongolia	Tsetseg	2000	27.1%	34.7%	20.1%
Mongolia	Tsetseg	2017	48.8%	65.2%	33.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Tsetsen-Uul	2000	33.5%	43.2%	24.9%
Mongolia	Tsetsen-Uul	2017	58.6%	68.6%	47.8%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2000	36.0%	43.2%	30.0%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2000	46.2%	54.3%	37.4%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2017	56.2%	63.1%	50.0%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2017	68.6%	76.2%	58.6%
Mongolia	Tsogt	2000	28.6%	33.7%	23.7%
Mongolia	Tsogt	2017	57.1%	65.2%	49.4%
Mongolia	Tsogt-Ovoo	2000	33.3%	39.2%	27.7%
Mongolia	Tsogt-Ovoo	2017	59.7%	70.6%	48.4%
Mongolia	Tsogttsetsii	2000	34.1%	39.6%	28.7%
Mongolia	Tsogttsetsii	2017	62.1%	70.1%	53.5%
Mongolia	Tüdevtei	2000	37.7%	49.2%	26.9%
Mongolia	Tüdevtei	2017	59.6%	73.3%	47.4%
Mongolia	Tümentsogt	2000	44.7%	54.6%	35.6%
Mongolia	Tümentsogt	2017	66.8%	77.7%	55.1%
Mongolia	Tünel	2000	55.9%	64.3%	47.0%
Mongolia	Tünel	2017	75.5%	82.7%	67.4%
Mongolia	Türgen	2000	33.8%	48.6%	22.6%
Mongolia	Türgen	2017	57.6%	73.1%	43.0%
Mongolia	Tüshig	2000	61.6%	81.8%	33.4%
Mongolia	Tüshig	2017	81.7%	92.2%	61.2%
Mongolia	Tüvshinshiree	2000	34.5%	43.1%	27.7%
Mongolia	Tüvshinshiree	2017	57.5%	67.6%	48.6%
Mongolia	Tüvshrüülekh	2000	47.2%	60.7%	36.3%
Mongolia	Tüvshrüülekh	2017	71.7%	82.2%	59.7%
Mongolia	Ugtaal	2000	45.6%	57.2%	34.3%
Mongolia	Ugtaal	2017	64.1%	73.0%	53.5%
Mongolia	Ulaan-Uul	2000	39.4%	48.0%	31.9%
Mongolia	Ulaan-Uul	2017	61.9%	69.4%	52.4%
Mongolia	Ulaanbadrakh	2000	33.9%	53.5%	21.3%
Mongolia	Ulaanbadrakh	2017	55.1%	69.8%	39.1%
Mongolia	Ulaangom	2000	47.8%	53.5%	42.8%
Mongolia	Ulaangom	2017	70.8%	77.1%	63.7%
Mongolia	Ulaankhus	2000	31.4%	42.0%	22.6%
Mongolia	Ulaankhus	2017	54.2%	64.9%	43.8%
Mongolia	Ulan Bator	2000	87.2%	89.4%	84.2%
Mongolia	Ulan Bator	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.5%
Mongolia	Urgamal	2000	31.9%	40.6%	25.7%
Mongolia	Urgamal	2017	57.0%	67.9%	47.8%
Mongolia	Uulbayan	2000	35.8%	42.9%	30.0%
Mongolia	Uulbayan	2017	55.9%	62.9%	48.7%
Mongolia	Uyanga	2000	31.7%	40.9%	23.9%
Mongolia	Uyanga	2017	54.0%	64.2%	43.4%
Mongolia	Üyench	2000	26.1%	31.1%	20.7%
Mongolia	Üyench	2017	50.7%	58.5%	41.7%
Mongolia	Yaruu	2000	32.0%	40.0%	24.8%
Mongolia	Yaruu	2017	53.8%	66.6%	41.0%
Mongolia	Yeröö	2000	46.6%	53.4%	39.8%
Mongolia	Yeröö	2017	72.5%	80.8%	63.2%
Mongolia	Yesönbulag	2000	57.1%	64.4%	49.8%
Mongolia	Yesönbulag	2017	74.0%	78.2%	69.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Yesönzüil	2000	39.8%	52.0%	29.6%
Mongolia	Yesönzüil	2017	61.9%	73.2%	49.5%
Mongolia	Zaamar	2000	50.7%	62.9%	38.5%
Mongolia	Zaamar	2017	71.0%	80.5%	59.5%
Mongolia	Zag	2000	29.3%	37.8%	20.6%
Mongolia	Zag	2017	54.0%	66.5%	40.2%
Mongolia	Zamyn-Üüd	2000	61.4%	73.5%	49.0%
Mongolia	Zamyn-Üüd	2017	80.4%	88.9%	71.0%
Mongolia	Zavkhan	2000	34.1%	41.2%	27.5%
Mongolia	Zavkhan	2017	59.2%	66.6%	52.3%
Mongolia	Zavkhanmandal	2000	34.7%	45.1%	24.0%
Mongolia	Zavkhanmandal	2017	60.9%	74.8%	43.6%
Mongolia	Zereg	2000	26.8%	37.1%	16.9%
Mongolia	Zereg	2017	51.8%	63.0%	37.5%
Mongolia	Züünbayan-Ulaan	2000	39.8%	51.3%	29.4%
Mongolia	Züünbayan-Ulaan	2017	62.7%	72.9%	51.4%
Mongolia	Züünbüren	2000	64.7%	76.5%	50.9%
Mongolia	Züünbüren	2017	83.4%	90.0%	75.0%
Mongolia	Züüngovi	2000	36.3%	45.5%	27.3%
Mongolia	Züüngovi	2017	58.0%	70.8%	43.6%
Mongolia	Züünkhangai	2000	36.5%	45.5%	28.4%
Mongolia	Züünkhangai	2017	56.9%	70.3%	43.5%
Tajikistan	Asht	2000	85.8%	92.7%	76.4%
Tajikistan	Asht	2017	98.2%	99.6%	94.1%
Tajikistan	Ayni	2000	87.0%	94.3%	77.2%
Tajikistan	Ayni	2017	98.5%	99.6%	95.9%
Tajikistan	Baljuvon	2000	87.5%	97.5%	66.6%
Tajikistan	Baljuvon	2017	98.4%	99.9%	93.7%
Tajikistan	Bokhtar	2000	88.9%	91.5%	85.6%
Tajikistan	Bokhtar	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Tajikistan	Danghara	2000	90.9%	97.1%	80.4%
Tajikistan	Danghara	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.3%
Tajikistan	Darvoz	2000	80.9%	90.1%	66.4%
Tajikistan	Darvoz	2017	97.8%	99.4%	92.9%
Tajikistan	Farkhor	2000	80.1%	87.9%	67.3%
Tajikistan	Farkhor	2017	98.5%	99.3%	96.4%
Tajikistan	Fayzobod	2000	95.6%	99.0%	87.0%
Tajikistan	Fayzobod	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Tajikistan	Ghafurov	2000	89.2%	92.3%	84.8%
Tajikistan	Ghafurov	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Tajikistan	Ghonchi	2000	82.2%	89.8%	72.3%
Tajikistan	Ghonchi	2017	97.8%	99.3%	94.7%
Tajikistan	Hissor	2000	65.2%	67.9%	62.5%
Tajikistan	Hissor	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Tajikistan	Isfara	2000	86.7%	92.1%	79.8%
Tajikistan	Isfara	2017	98.0%	99.5%	95.0%
Tajikistan	Ishkoshim	2000	77.9%	87.3%	65.8%
Tajikistan	Ishkoshim	2017	96.9%	99.3%	91.1%
Tajikistan	Istaravshan	2000	89.9%	95.8%	79.0%
Tajikistan	Istaravshan	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tajikistan	Jabor Rasulov	2000	90.5%	95.6%	82.0%
Tajikistan	Jabor Rasulov	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
Tajikistan	Jilikul	2000	84.9%	92.0%	71.4%
Tajikistan	Jilikul	2017	97.9%	99.3%	94.6%
Tajikistan	Jirgatul	2000	78.3%	87.3%	67.3%
Tajikistan	Jirgatul	2017	95.8%	98.9%	90.3%
Tajikistan	Jomi	2000	90.3%	93.5%	85.0%
Tajikistan	Jomi	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.5%
Tajikistan	Khovaling	2000	90.4%	97.6%	73.3%
Tajikistan	Khovaling	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.5%
Tajikistan	Khuroson	2000	83.8%	90.6%	74.3%
Tajikistan	Khuroson	2017	97.5%	99.3%	94.3%
Tajikistan	Kolkhozobod	2000	87.7%	93.9%	77.0%
Tajikistan	Kolkhozobod	2017	99.0%	99.7%	96.8%
Tajikistan	Konibodom	2000	89.4%	93.0%	84.0%
Tajikistan	Konibodom	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.6%
Tajikistan	Kuhistoni Mastchoh	2000	84.3%	92.0%	73.3%
Tajikistan	Kuhistoni Mastchoh	2017	98.1%	99.5%	94.7%
Tajikistan	Kulob	2000	88.9%	91.8%	83.6%
Tajikistan	Kulob	2017	99.5%	99.7%	98.8%
Tajikistan	Matchin	2000	87.3%	92.7%	78.7%
Tajikistan	Matchin	2017	98.9%	99.6%	96.8%
Tajikistan	Moskva	2000	67.7%	73.4%	57.5%
Tajikistan	Moskva	2017	97.6%	98.4%	95.2%
Tajikistan	Muminobod	2000	93.2%	97.3%	86.5%
Tajikistan	Muminobod	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.4%
Tajikistan	Murghob	2000	66.2%	72.4%	60.0%
Tajikistan	Murghob	2017	92.5%	95.1%	89.5%
Tajikistan	Norak	2000	96.2%	99.7%	85.8%
Tajikistan	Norak	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.9%
Tajikistan	Nosir Khusrav	2000	84.5%	98.2%	57.1%
Tajikistan	Nosir Khusrav	2017	98.1%	99.9%	89.9%
Tajikistan	Nurobod	2000	92.9%	98.7%	77.7%
Tajikistan	Nurobod	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.8%
Tajikistan	Pandjakent	2000	88.3%	93.3%	80.5%
Tajikistan	Pandjakent	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.2%
Tajikistan	Panj	2000	85.3%	91.9%	73.6%
Tajikistan	Panj	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.2%
Tajikistan	Qabodiyon	2000	73.8%	82.0%	64.9%
Tajikistan	Qabodiyon	2017	94.9%	98.1%	91.1%
Tajikistan	Qumsangir	2000	91.0%	96.8%	80.6%
Tajikistan	Qumsangir	2017	98.9%	99.8%	95.6%
Tajikistan	Rasht	2000	90.8%	95.7%	84.0%
Tajikistan	Rasht	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.6%
Tajikistan	Roghun	2000	93.8%	98.0%	85.1%
Tajikistan	Roghun	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.9%
Tajikistan	Roshtqala	2000	80.9%	87.1%	73.4%
Tajikistan	Roshtqala	2017	98.0%	99.2%	95.6%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2000	89.8%	91.0%	88.6%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.8%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.2%
Tajikistan	Rushon	2000	80.2%	87.2%	71.4%
Tajikistan	Rushon	2017	97.6%	99.1%	94.5%
Tajikistan	Sarband	2000	81.8%	88.8%	69.7%
Tajikistan	Sarband	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.2%
Tajikistan	Shahrinav	2000	63.5%	67.9%	58.2%
Tajikistan	Shahrinav	2017	96.9%	97.5%	95.7%
Tajikistan	Shahriston	2000	73.8%	87.5%	50.7%
Tajikistan	Shahriston	2017	97.1%	99.3%	90.4%
Tajikistan	Shahrituz	2000	81.9%	87.2%	75.2%
Tajikistan	Shahrituz	2017	96.9%	98.8%	93.9%
Tajikistan	Shughnon	2000	88.2%	90.9%	85.0%
Tajikistan	Shughnon	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.0%
Tajikistan	Shurobod	2000	89.6%	95.9%	77.4%
Tajikistan	Shurobod	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.2%
Tajikistan	Sovet	2000	91.6%	97.0%	82.4%
Tajikistan	Sovet	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.4%
Tajikistan	Spitamen	2000	88.1%	94.0%	77.9%
Tajikistan	Spitamen	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.4%
Tajikistan	Tavildara	2000	85.1%	92.8%	76.5%
Tajikistan	Tavildara	2017	98.1%	99.5%	95.9%
Tajikistan	Tojikobod	2000	89.8%	97.0%	80.4%
Tajikistan	Tojikobod	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.6%
Tajikistan	Tursunzoda	2000	76.5%	79.4%	73.1%
Tajikistan	Tursunzoda	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.0%
Tajikistan	Vahdat	2000	92.1%	95.0%	88.6%
Tajikistan	Vahdat	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%
Tajikistan	Vakhsh	2000	82.2%	89.9%	73.3%
Tajikistan	Vakhsh	2017	98.7%	99.5%	97.0%
Tajikistan	Vanj	2000	76.6%	83.6%	69.2%
Tajikistan	Vanj	2017	97.5%	98.6%	95.5%
Tajikistan	Varzob	2000	88.8%	92.3%	81.9%
Tajikistan	Varzob	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.1%
Tajikistan	Vose	2000	90.1%	93.6%	85.1%
Tajikistan	Vose	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.6%
Tajikistan	Yovon	2000	85.8%	92.0%	75.0%
Tajikistan	Yovon	2017	99.0%	99.6%	96.5%
Tajikistan	Zafarobod	2000	84.4%	95.6%	65.4%
Tajikistan	Zafarobod	2017	98.3%	99.8%	89.3%
Turkmenistan	Ahal	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Turkmenistan	Ahal	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Turkmenistan	Ashgabat	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Turkmenistan	Ashgabat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Turkmenistan	Balkan	2000	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
Turkmenistan	Balkan	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Turkmenistan	Chardzhou	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Turkmenistan	Chardzhou	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Turkmenistan	Mary	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Turkmenistan	Mary	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Turkmenistan	Tashauz	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Turkmenistan	Tashauz	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Uzbekistan	Amudaryo	2000	93.8%	96.6%	89.9%
Uzbekistan	Amudaryo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Andijon	2000	67.3%	78.5%	56.0%
Uzbekistan	Andijon	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Uzbekistan	Angor	2000	91.2%	95.3%	86.0%
Uzbekistan	Angor	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Aral Sea	2000	92.3%	94.5%	89.7%
Uzbekistan	Aral Sea	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Arnasoy	2000	83.9%	93.2%	68.5%
Uzbekistan	Arnasoy	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Asaka	2000	81.0%	91.9%	62.4%
Uzbekistan	Asaka	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Baliqchi	2000	89.7%	95.5%	81.0%
Uzbekistan	Baliqchi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Bandixon	2000	88.5%	94.3%	77.0%
Uzbekistan	Bandixon	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Baxmal	2000	84.4%	90.9%	76.5%
Uzbekistan	Baxmal	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Bekobod	2000	80.7%	85.3%	72.9%
Uzbekistan	Bekobod	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Beruniy	2000	89.9%	93.6%	85.3%
Uzbekistan	Beruniy	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Beshariq	2000	79.9%	90.8%	66.9%
Uzbekistan	Beshariq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Bo'ka	2000	89.1%	94.9%	78.9%
Uzbekistan	Bo'ka	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Bo'stonliq	2000	65.7%	75.8%	54.6%
Uzbekistan	Bo'stonliq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Bo'zsuv	2000	92.1%	97.7%	80.6%
Uzbekistan	Bo'zsuv	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Bog'dod	2000	83.3%	92.5%	69.4%
Uzbekistan	Bog'dod	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Bog'ot	2000	90.1%	95.6%	80.2%
Uzbekistan	Bog'ot	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Boyovut	2000	82.2%	88.6%	73.2%
Uzbekistan	Boyovut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Uzbekistan	Boysun	2000	83.8%	90.6%	74.9%
Uzbekistan	Boysun	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Buloqboshi	2000	87.7%	95.6%	72.0%
Uzbekistan	Buloqboshi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Bulung'ur	2000	80.8%	87.8%	71.8%
Uzbekistan	Bulung'ur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Buvayda	2000	90.9%	95.7%	81.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Buvayda	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Buxoro	2000	73.3%	81.8%	62.4%
Uzbekistan	Buxoro	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Chimboy	2000	92.7%	97.2%	81.8%
Uzbekistan	Chimboy	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Chinoz	2000	84.3%	93.0%	68.8%
Uzbekistan	Chinoz	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Chiroqchi	2000	84.1%	89.6%	76.9%
Uzbekistan	Chiroqchi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Chortoq	2000	85.8%	94.0%	74.2%
Uzbekistan	Chortoq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Chust	2000	82.2%	90.4%	72.3%
Uzbekistan	Chust	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Dang'ara	2000	85.5%	89.4%	78.9%
Uzbekistan	Dang'ara	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Dehqonobod	2000	85.1%	91.2%	73.0%
Uzbekistan	Dehqonobod	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Denov	2000	83.1%	91.5%	68.8%
Uzbekistan	Denov	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Do'stlik	2000	83.1%	93.5%	65.9%
Uzbekistan	Do'stlik	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Ellikqala	2000	92.8%	95.7%	88.5%
Uzbekistan	Ellikqala	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Farg'ona	2000	81.4%	86.8%	73.7%
Uzbekistan	Farg'ona	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Forish	2000	81.3%	86.6%	75.3%
Uzbekistan	Forish	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Furqat	2000	88.3%	94.3%	81.0%
Uzbekistan	Furqat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	G'allaorol	2000	79.3%	86.3%	71.7%
Uzbekistan	G'allaorol	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	G'ijduvon	2000	87.1%	92.7%	77.9%
Uzbekistan	G'ijduvon	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	G'uzor	2000	88.1%	93.4%	81.0%
Uzbekistan	G'uzor	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Guliston	2000	66.1%	75.1%	55.5%
Uzbekistan	Guliston	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Gurlan	2000	92.4%	95.9%	88.2%
Uzbekistan	Gurlan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Hazorasp	2000	88.4%	94.4%	79.9%
Uzbekistan	Hazorasp	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Ishtixon	2000	91.4%	95.6%	85.6%
Uzbekistan	Ishtixon	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Izboskan	2000	85.7%	91.2%	78.0%
Uzbekistan	Izboskan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Jalolquduq	2000	83.2%	92.0%	70.3%
Uzbekistan	Jalolquduq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Jarqo'rg'on	2000	89.6%	96.2%	78.6%
Uzbekistan	Jarqo'rg'on	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Jizzax	2000	64.4%	72.9%	55.0%
Uzbekistan	Jizzax	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Jomboy	2000	92.0%	96.6%	85.5%
Uzbekistan	Jomboy	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Jondor	2000	90.9%	94.4%	84.8%
Uzbekistan	Jondor	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Karmana	2000	82.4%	89.5%	72.4%
Uzbekistan	Karmana	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Kasbi	2000	87.7%	92.9%	79.9%
Uzbekistan	Kasbi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Kattaqo'rg'on	2000	85.2%	91.8%	75.8%
Uzbekistan	Kattaqo'rg'on	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Kegeyli	2000	92.7%	95.6%	87.4%
Uzbekistan	Kegeyli	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Kitob	2000	91.6%	96.0%	84.0%
Uzbekistan	Kitob	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Kogon	2000	86.1%	95.0%	71.2%
Uzbekistan	Kogon	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Konimex	2000	87.5%	92.4%	79.7%
Uzbekistan	Konimex	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Koson	2000	85.4%	92.9%	70.2%
Uzbekistan	Koson	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Kosonsoy	2000	80.7%	89.6%	68.0%
Uzbekistan	Kosonsoy	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Marhamat	2000	85.3%	94.6%	69.6%
Uzbekistan	Marhamat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Mingbuloq	2000	94.1%	96.8%	89.0%
Uzbekistan	Mingbuloq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Mirzacho'l	2000	83.9%	94.8%	64.9%
Uzbekistan	Mirzacho'l	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Mirzaobod	2000	49.3%	61.3%	36.2%
Uzbekistan	Mirzaobod	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Mo'ynoq	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.6%
Uzbekistan	Mo'ynoq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Muborak	2000	88.4%	93.5%	82.6%
Uzbekistan	Muborak	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Muzrabot	2000	93.6%	97.0%	86.1%
Uzbekistan	Muzrabot	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Namangan	2000	88.0%	92.9%	79.7%
Uzbekistan	Namangan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Narpay	2000	85.8%	93.1%	74.7%
Uzbekistan	Narpay	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Navbahor	2000	69.3%	81.4%	52.2%
Uzbekistan	Navbahor	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Uzbekistan	Nishon	2000	90.0%	94.6%	82.8%
Uzbekistan	Nishon	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Norin	2000	95.0%	98.3%	89.2%
Uzbekistan	Norin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Nukus	2000	90.3%	93.6%	85.1%
Uzbekistan	Nukus	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Nurobod	2000	83.7%	90.1%	72.3%
Uzbekistan	Nurobod	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Nurota	2000	82.5%	88.1%	75.3%
Uzbekistan	Nurota	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	O'rtachirchiq	2000	85.8%	90.9%	78.6%
Uzbekistan	O'rtachirchiq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	O'zbekiston	2000	80.4%	89.3%	69.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	O'zbekiston	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Ohangaron	2000	76.5%	84.4%	66.7%
Uzbekistan	Ohangaron	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Olot	2000	93.0%	96.5%	87.3%
Uzbekistan	Olot	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Oltiariq	2000	91.5%	95.6%	85.8%
Uzbekistan	Oltiariq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Oltinko'l	2000	75.9%	89.1%	60.2%
Uzbekistan	Oltinko'l	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Oltinsoy	2000	89.0%	94.9%	80.5%
Uzbekistan	Oltinsoy	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Oqdaryo	2000	87.2%	90.9%	82.2%
Uzbekistan	Oqdaryo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Oqoltin	2000	80.8%	89.5%	68.0%
Uzbekistan	Oqoltin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Oqqo'rg'on	2000	87.0%	93.7%	76.7%
Uzbekistan	Oqqo'rg'on	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Oxunboboev	2000	86.0%	92.1%	77.8%
Uzbekistan	Oxunboboev	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Parkent	2000	72.2%	86.7%	54.5%
Uzbekistan	Parkent	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Pastdarg'om	2000	91.5%	94.7%	86.5%
Uzbekistan	Pastdarg'om	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Paxtachi	2000	86.9%	92.7%	76.9%
Uzbekistan	Paxtachi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Paxtakor	2000	78.6%	89.8%	60.8%
Uzbekistan	Paxtakor	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Paxtaobod	2000	81.1%	92.8%	67.8%
Uzbekistan	Paxtaobod	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Payariq	2000	84.2%	91.2%	74.0%
Uzbekistan	Payariq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Peshku	2000	93.3%	96.0%	88.0%
Uzbekistan	Peshku	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Piskent	2000	62.6%	70.7%	53.9%
Uzbekistan	Piskent	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Uzbekistan	Pop	2000	86.0%	91.7%	77.8%
Uzbekistan	Pop	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Qamashi	2000	85.9%	92.4%	75.6%
Uzbekistan	Qamashi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Qanliko'l	2000	92.0%	96.4%	83.4%
Uzbekistan	Qanliko'l	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Qarshi	2000	71.2%	79.4%	62.0%
Uzbekistan	Qarshi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Qibray	2000	50.4%	58.8%	40.5%
Uzbekistan	Qibray	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Uzbekistan	Qiziltepa	2000	85.7%	92.6%	75.9%
Uzbekistan	Qiziltepa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Qiziriq	2000	92.1%	97.0%	85.4%
Uzbekistan	Qiziriq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Qo'ng'iro't	2000	92.4%	94.3%	89.8%
Uzbekistan	Qo'ng'iro't	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Qo'rg'on'tepa	2000	77.6%	86.0%	68.9%
Uzbekistan	Qo'rg'on'tepa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Qo'shko'pir	2000	93.4%	96.9%	86.4%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shko'pir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shrabot	2000	85.3%	91.8%	77.2%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shrabot	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Qorako'l	2000	93.7%	96.8%	89.4%
Uzbekistan	Qorako'l	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Qorao'zak	2000	92.0%	94.7%	87.8%
Uzbekistan	Qorao'zak	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Qorovulbozor	2000	89.6%	93.6%	83.3%
Uzbekistan	Qorovulbozor	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Qumqo'rg'on	2000	87.0%	93.6%	78.1%
Uzbekistan	Qumqo'rg'on	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Quva	2000	87.4%	94.3%	78.4%
Uzbekistan	Quva	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Quyichirchiq	2000	86.7%	92.9%	77.2%
Uzbekistan	Quyichirchiq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Rishton	2000	81.7%	92.7%	66.9%
Uzbekistan	Rishton	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Romitan	2000	94.3%	97.2%	88.9%
Uzbekistan	Romitan	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Samarqand	2000	77.3%	85.0%	65.7%
Uzbekistan	Samarqand	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Sariosiyo	2000	77.6%	88.9%	63.5%
Uzbekistan	Sariosiyo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Sayxunobod	2000	78.9%	89.3%	64.1%
Uzbekistan	Sayxunobod	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Shahrisabz	2000	84.9%	92.5%	74.8%
Uzbekistan	Shahrisabz	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Shahrixon	2000	86.9%	95.7%	68.7%
Uzbekistan	Shahrixon	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Sharof Rashidov	2000	80.9%	91.7%	66.2%
Uzbekistan	Sharof Rashidov	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Sherobod	2000	92.5%	95.1%	89.0%
Uzbekistan	Sherobod	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Sho'rchi	2000	86.6%	95.8%	71.5%
Uzbekistan	Sho'rchi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Shofirkon	2000	92.4%	96.1%	85.9%
Uzbekistan	Shofirkon	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Shovot	2000	91.0%	95.5%	85.0%
Uzbekistan	Shovot	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Shumanay	2000	92.3%	98.1%	78.6%
Uzbekistan	Shumanay	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Sirdaryo	2000	76.2%	86.9%	63.6%
Uzbekistan	Sirdaryo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	So'x	2000	79.8%	92.1%	58.6%
Uzbekistan	So'x	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Tashkent City	2000	37.0%	41.7%	33.6%
Uzbekistan	Tashkent City	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Uzbekistan	Taxtako'pir	2000	92.9%	94.4%	90.9%
Uzbekistan	Taxtako'pir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Termiz	2000	87.6%	95.9%	73.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Termiz	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	To'raqo'rg'on	2000	88.7%	94.9%	79.6%
Uzbekistan	To'raqo'rg'on	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	To'rtko'l	2000	91.9%	95.2%	86.8%
Uzbekistan	To'rtko'l	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Tomdi	2000	89.9%	93.6%	84.7%
Uzbekistan	Tomdi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Toshkent	2000	58.1%	68.4%	46.4%
Uzbekistan	Toshkent	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Uzbekistan	Toshloq	2000	90.8%	95.1%	86.1%
Uzbekistan	Toshloq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Toyloq	2000	85.1%	94.4%	68.2%
Uzbekistan	Toyloq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Uchko'prik	2000	87.0%	92.1%	79.6%
Uzbekistan	Uchko'prik	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Uchqo'rg'on	2000	95.9%	98.9%	89.9%
Uzbekistan	Uchqo'rg'on	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Uchquduq	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.3%
Uzbekistan	Uchquduq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Ulug'nor	2000	91.0%	96.6%	82.6%
Uzbekistan	Ulug'nor	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Urganch	2000	81.8%	85.4%	77.0%
Uzbekistan	Urganch	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Uzbekistan	Urgut	2000	82.8%	91.6%	70.9%
Uzbekistan	Urgut	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Usmon	2000	89.1%	92.4%	84.2%
Uzbekistan	Yusupov				
Uzbekistan	Usmon	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Uychi	2000	97.0%	98.8%	93.5%
Uzbekistan	Uychi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Uzun	2000	71.3%	85.6%	51.0%
Uzbekistan	Uzun	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Vobkent	2000	83.5%	92.2%	72.0%
Uzbekistan	Vobkent	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Xatirchi	2000	85.4%	91.9%	77.9%
Uzbekistan	Xatirchi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Xiva	2000	91.1%	95.8%	84.3%
Uzbekistan	Xiva	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jaobod	2000	84.3%	92.3%	73.1%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jaobod	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jayli	2000	86.9%	90.4%	82.0%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jayli	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Xonqa	2000	93.7%	96.2%	89.7%
Uzbekistan	Xonqa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Xovos	2000	84.6%	91.5%	75.4%
Uzbekistan	Xovos	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Yagiqo'rg'on	2000	85.6%	91.8%	76.9%
Uzbekistan	Yagiqo'rg'on	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Yakkabog'	2000	83.1%	92.3%	69.8%
Uzbekistan	Yakkabog'	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Yangiariq	2000	93.2%	97.5%	85.7%
Uzbekistan	Yangiariq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Yangibozor	2000	94.8%	98.1%	87.3%
Uzbekistan	Yangibozor	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Yangiobod	2000	81.4%	89.2%	69.0%
Uzbekistan	Yangiobod	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Yangiyo'l	2000	76.3%	89.1%	59.6%
Uzbekistan	Yangiyo'l	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Yozyovon	2000	92.8%	97.4%	83.8%
Uzbekistan	Yozyovon	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Yuqorichirchiq	2000	64.1%	76.3%	50.0%
Uzbekistan	Yuqorichirchiq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Zafarobod	2000	81.9%	92.3%	64.8%
Uzbekistan	Zafarobod	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Zangiota	2000	65.2%	82.0%	47.4%
Uzbekistan	Zangiota	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Zarbdor	2000	81.0%	90.1%	68.7%
Uzbekistan	Zarbdor	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Uzbekistan	Zomin	2000	76.6%	86.4%	64.5%
Uzbekistan	Zomin	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Latin America and Caribbean					
Bolivia	Abel Iturralde	2000	67.6%	72.7%	61.6%
Bolivia	Abel Iturralde	2017	81.8%	85.2%	77.4%
Bolivia	Alonso de Ibañez	2000	36.0%	38.4%	33.7%
Bolivia	Alonso de Ibañez	2017	54.1%	56.7%	51.6%
Bolivia	Andrés Ibañez	2000	87.4%	88.1%	86.6%
Bolivia	Andrés Ibañez	2017	94.5%	94.8%	94.1%
Bolivia	Aniceto Arce	2000	70.6%	75.1%	67.0%
Bolivia	Aniceto Arce	2017	85.1%	87.8%	82.4%
Bolivia	Antonio Quijarro	2000	32.7%	35.1%	30.8%
Bolivia	Antonio Quijarro	2017	50.1%	52.7%	47.9%
Bolivia	Arani	2000	65.6%	67.9%	63.3%
Bolivia	Arani	2017	81.5%	83.1%	79.8%
Bolivia	Aroma	2000	59.1%	62.1%	56.4%
Bolivia	Aroma	2017	76.5%	79.0%	74.3%
Bolivia	Arque	2000	54.7%	57.5%	52.0%
Bolivia	Arque	2017	72.5%	74.7%	70.0%
Bolivia	Atahuallpa	2000	38.4%	41.6%	35.4%
Bolivia	Atahuallpa	2017	56.5%	59.6%	53.2%
Bolivia	Ayopaya	2000	62.6%	65.6%	59.1%
Bolivia	Ayopaya	2017	79.0%	81.4%	76.2%
Bolivia	Bautista Saavedra	2000	61.9%	66.3%	57.9%
Bolivia	Bautista Saavedra	2017	78.7%	82.2%	75.1%
Bolivia	Belisario Boeto	2000	50.0%	54.2%	45.8%
Bolivia	Belisario Boeto	2017	68.1%	71.7%	64.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Bernardino Bilbao	2000	40.8%	43.8%	37.9%
Bolivia	Bernardino Bilbao	2017	58.5%	61.8%	55.3%
Bolivia	Burnet O'Connor	2000	68.5%	71.6%	65.3%
Bolivia	Burnet O'Connor	2017	83.4%	85.4%	81.3%
Bolivia	Capinota	2000	61.8%	64.4%	59.3%
Bolivia	Capinota	2017	78.1%	79.9%	76.1%
Bolivia	Carangas	2000	39.6%	42.3%	37.2%
Bolivia	Carangas	2017	57.7%	60.2%	55.2%
Bolivia	Carrasco	2000	66.8%	69.1%	64.7%
Bolivia	Carrasco	2017	82.6%	84.0%	81.1%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	39.1%	40.4%	37.8%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	70.6%	71.8%	69.5%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	85.3%	87.4%	82.9%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	64.3%	65.3%	63.1%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	57.3%	58.9%	55.7%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	92.4%	93.5%	91.0%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	85.7%	86.5%	84.9%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	80.8%	81.6%	80.0%
Bolivia	Chapare	2000	64.4%	65.6%	63.2%
Bolivia	Chapare	2017	80.8%	81.8%	79.9%
Bolivia	Charcas	2000	34.6%	36.5%	32.5%
Bolivia	Charcas	2017	52.2%	54.4%	49.9%
Bolivia	Chayanta	2000	33.2%	34.8%	31.9%
Bolivia	Chayanta	2017	50.9%	52.8%	49.1%
Bolivia	Chiquitos	2000	86.9%	88.9%	84.9%
Bolivia	Chiquitos	2017	94.0%	94.9%	93.0%
Bolivia	Cordillera	2000	82.3%	84.6%	79.8%
Bolivia	Cordillera	2017	91.6%	92.8%	90.2%
Bolivia	Cornelio Saavedra	2000	34.2%	35.6%	32.6%
Bolivia	Cornelio Saavedra	2017	51.8%	53.5%	49.9%
Bolivia	Daniel Campos	2000	34.4%	38.1%	30.3%
Bolivia	Daniel Campos	2017	52.5%	57.2%	47.5%
Bolivia	Eduardo Avaroa	2000	36.1%	38.0%	34.2%
Bolivia	Eduardo Avaroa	2017	54.0%	56.2%	51.7%
Bolivia	Eliodoro Camacho	2000	62.2%	66.4%	58.2%
Bolivia	Eliodoro Camacho	2017	78.9%	82.0%	75.8%
Bolivia	Esteban Arce	2000	61.0%	63.2%	58.7%
Bolivia	Esteban Arce	2017	79.7%	81.3%	78.2%
Bolivia	Eustaquio Méndez	2000	66.3%	68.8%	63.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Eustaquio Méndez	2017	82.1%	83.8%	80.4%
Bolivia	Federico Román	2000	73.8%	76.5%	70.9%
Bolivia	Federico Román	2017	84.9%	86.6%	83.1%
Bolivia	Florida	2000	87.7%	90.3%	84.8%
Bolivia	Florida	2017	94.5%	95.8%	92.9%
Bolivia	Franz Tamayo	2000	61.3%	65.9%	56.4%
Bolivia	Franz Tamayo	2017	78.0%	81.6%	74.0%
Bolivia	Germán Jordán	2000	67.2%	69.2%	65.0%
Bolivia	Germán Jordán	2017	82.4%	83.8%	80.7%
Bolivia	Gran Chaco	2000	71.9%	74.1%	69.8%
Bolivia	Gran Chaco	2017	85.8%	86.9%	84.7%
Bolivia	Gualberto Villaruel	2000	49.4%	53.2%	46.2%
Bolivia	Gualberto Villaruel	2017	68.0%	71.3%	65.0%
Bolivia	Hernando Siles	2000	44.0%	46.7%	41.4%
Bolivia	Hernando Siles	2017	62.6%	65.6%	59.6%
Bolivia	Ichilo	2000	84.5%	86.6%	82.2%
Bolivia	Ichilo	2017	92.5%	93.7%	91.3%
Bolivia	Ignacio Warnes	2000	86.8%	88.5%	85.2%
Bolivia	Ignacio Warnes	2017	94.1%	95.0%	93.2%
Bolivia	Ingavi	2000	60.4%	62.5%	58.5%
Bolivia	Ingavi	2017	78.1%	79.6%	76.7%
Bolivia	Inquisivi	2000	55.8%	58.8%	53.1%
Bolivia	Inquisivi	2017	73.6%	76.2%	71.2%
Bolivia	Jaime Zudáñez	2000	41.1%	43.1%	39.2%
Bolivia	Jaime Zudáñez	2017	59.8%	62.1%	57.6%
Bolivia	José Ballivián	2000	83.1%	85.1%	80.8%
Bolivia	José Ballivián	2017	91.3%	92.4%	89.9%
Bolivia	José María Avilés	2000	66.5%	69.0%	64.4%
Bolivia	José María Avilés	2017	82.6%	84.3%	81.0%
Bolivia	José María Linares	2000	33.2%	35.0%	31.5%
Bolivia	José María Linares	2017	50.7%	52.9%	48.7%
Bolivia	José Miguel de Velasco	2000	86.5%	88.9%	84.1%
Bolivia	José Miguel de Velasco	2017	93.9%	95.1%	92.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Juana Azurduay de Padilla	2000	39.2%	41.4%	36.6%
Bolivia	Juana Azurduay de Padilla	2017	57.7%	60.1%	54.9%
Bolivia	Ladislao Cabrera	2000	37.0%	40.0%	33.9%
Bolivia	Ladislao Cabrera	2017	55.0%	58.5%	51.4%
Bolivia	Larecaja	2000	58.3%	61.2%	55.3%
Bolivia	Larecaja	2017	75.5%	77.8%	73.1%
Bolivia	Litoral	2000	38.8%	42.5%	35.3%
Bolivia	Litoral	2017	56.8%	60.3%	53.0%
Bolivia	Loayza	2000	58.6%	62.0%	55.4%
Bolivia	Loayza	2017	76.1%	78.7%	73.2%
Bolivia	Los Andes	2000	58.0%	60.4%	55.7%
Bolivia	Los Andes	2017	75.8%	77.6%	73.9%
Bolivia	Luis Calvo	2000	56.6%	59.2%	54.0%
Bolivia	Luis Calvo	2017	73.8%	76.1%	71.5%
Bolivia	Madre de Dios	2000	75.3%	77.7%	72.8%
Bolivia	Madre de Dios	2017	85.2%	86.9%	83.3%
Bolivia	Mamoré	2000	85.0%	88.8%	80.2%
Bolivia	Mamoré	2017	92.3%	94.5%	89.8%
Bolivia	Manco Kapac	2000	58.8%	63.4%	54.5%
Bolivia	Manco Kapac	2017	77.8%	81.8%	73.9%
Bolivia	Manuel María Caballero	2000	81.8%	85.4%	78.1%
Bolivia	Manuel María Caballero	2017	91.3%	93.2%	89.2%
Bolivia	Manuripi	2000	73.2%	75.5%	70.2%
Bolivia	Manuripi	2017	84.0%	85.5%	82.0%
Bolivia	Marbán	2000	85.3%	87.8%	82.6%
Bolivia	Marbán	2017	93.0%	94.3%	91.5%
Bolivia	Mizque	2000	60.2%	62.8%	57.1%
Bolivia	Mizque	2017	77.0%	79.1%	74.7%
Bolivia	Modesto Omiste	2000	36.3%	39.2%	33.7%
Bolivia	Modesto Omiste	2017	54.0%	57.2%	51.0%
Bolivia	Moxos	2000	83.8%	86.1%	81.4%
Bolivia	Moxos	2017	91.5%	92.7%	90.3%
Bolivia	Muñecas	2000	60.4%	64.0%	56.6%
Bolivia	Muñecas	2017	77.6%	80.6%	74.7%
Bolivia	Narciso Campero	2000	64.7%	67.7%	61.5%
Bolivia	Narciso Campero	2017	80.6%	82.7%	78.5%
Bolivia	Nor Chichas	2000	33.0%	35.1%	31.0%
Bolivia	Nor Chichas	2017	50.3%	52.9%	48.0%
Bolivia	Nor Cinti	2000	39.1%	40.9%	37.4%
Bolivia	Nor Cinti	2017	57.7%	60.0%	55.6%
Bolivia	Nor López	2000	33.0%	36.7%	29.1%
Bolivia	Nor López	2017	50.3%	54.7%	45.4%
Bolivia	Nor Yungas	2000	62.7%	65.6%	60.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Nor Yungas	2017	79.3%	81.4%	77.1%
Bolivia	Ñuflo de Chávez	2000	86.8%	88.6%	84.6%
Bolivia	Ñuflo de Chávez	2017	94.0%	94.9%	92.9%
Bolivia	Obispo Santistevan	2000	87.6%	89.1%	85.7%
Bolivia	Obispo Santistevan	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Bolivia	Omasuyos	2000	61.2%	63.9%	58.6%
Bolivia	Omasuyos	2017	78.0%	80.0%	75.7%
Bolivia	Oropeza	2000	40.9%	42.0%	39.7%
Bolivia	Oropeza	2017	60.1%	61.2%	58.8%
Bolivia	Pacajes	2000	58.2%	61.8%	54.5%
Bolivia	Pacajes	2017	75.5%	78.3%	72.4%
Bolivia	Pantaleón Dalance	2000	37.1%	38.9%	34.7%
Bolivia	Pantaleón Dalance	2017	55.2%	57.1%	52.8%
Bolivia	Pedro Domingo Murillo	2000	62.0%	63.4%	60.8%
Bolivia	Pedro Domingo Murillo	2017	79.2%	80.2%	78.3%
Bolivia	Poopó	2000	36.6%	38.7%	34.4%
Bolivia	Poopó	2017	54.9%	57.2%	52.4%
Bolivia	Punata	2000	68.1%	70.8%	65.9%
Bolivia	Punata	2017	82.7%	84.5%	80.9%
Bolivia	Quillacollo	2000	65.1%	66.3%	63.9%
Bolivia	Quillacollo	2017	80.9%	81.8%	79.9%
Bolivia	Rafael Bustillo	2000	33.2%	34.8%	31.8%
Bolivia	Rafael Bustillo	2017	51.0%	52.8%	48.9%
Bolivia	Sajama	2000	43.2%	46.9%	39.8%
Bolivia	Sajama	2017	61.1%	64.6%	57.8%
Bolivia	Sara	2000	86.9%	88.6%	85.0%
Bolivia	Sara	2017	94.0%	94.9%	93.0%
Bolivia	Saucarí	2000	38.2%	40.3%	36.1%
Bolivia	Saucarí	2017	56.3%	58.8%	53.9%
Bolivia	Sud Chichas	2000	32.9%	35.1%	30.9%
Bolivia	Sud Chichas	2017	50.3%	52.8%	47.8%
Bolivia	Sud Cinti	2000	46.7%	49.1%	44.4%
Bolivia	Sud Cinti	2017	65.1%	67.7%	62.8%
Bolivia	Sud Lípez	2000	32.1%	37.8%	27.2%
Bolivia	Sud Lípez	2017	49.0%	55.5%	42.9%
Bolivia	Sud Yungas	2000	62.3%	64.8%	59.9%
Bolivia	Sud Yungas	2017	78.2%	80.3%	76.0%
Bolivia	Tapacarí	2000	60.0%	63.0%	56.8%
Bolivia	Tapacarí	2017	77.5%	79.9%	75.0%
Bolivia	Tomás Frías	2000	31.9%	33.3%	30.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Tomás Frías	2017	50.3%	51.9%	48.6%
Bolivia	Tomina	2000	41.0%	43.6%	38.9%
Bolivia	Tomina	2017	59.3%	61.9%	57.1%
Bolivia	Vaca Díez	2000	83.9%	85.1%	82.4%
Bolivia	Vaca Díez	2017	91.6%	92.3%	90.7%
Bolivia	Vallegrande	2000	80.2%	83.6%	76.1%
Bolivia	Vallegrande	2017	90.3%	92.3%	88.0%
Bolivia	Yacuma	2000	84.1%	87.2%	80.9%
Bolivia	Yacuma	2017	91.7%	93.3%	90.1%
Bolivia	Yamparáez	2000	39.1%	41.2%	37.0%
Bolivia	Yamparáez	2017	57.6%	59.9%	55.3%
Brazil	Abadia de Goiás	2000	92.4%	93.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Abadia de Goiás	2017	90.6%	91.9%	89.2%
Brazil	Abadia dos Dourados	2000	85.1%	88.5%	81.7%
Brazil	Abadia dos Dourados	2017	86.0%	89.5%	81.9%
Brazil	Abadiânia	2000	92.1%	93.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Abadiânia	2017	89.0%	90.7%	86.9%
Brazil	Abaeté	2000	84.6%	88.6%	80.4%
Brazil	Abaeté	2017	87.7%	91.0%	83.8%
Brazil	Abaetetuba	2000	88.0%	89.8%	86.2%
Brazil	Abaetetuba	2017	88.0%	89.9%	85.9%
Brazil	Abaiara	2000	78.8%	81.3%	76.0%
Brazil	Abaiara	2017	78.2%	81.1%	75.4%
Brazil	Abaira	2000	74.5%	78.7%	70.0%
Brazil	Abaira	2017	76.8%	81.4%	71.8%
Brazil	Abaré	2000	78.7%	82.3%	74.9%
Brazil	Abaré	2017	77.1%	81.8%	72.8%
Brazil	Abatiá	2000	93.5%	94.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Abatiá	2017	93.5%	94.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Abdon Batista	2000	94.5%	96.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Abdon Batista	2017	95.1%	96.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Abel Figueiredo	2000	81.8%	85.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Abel Figueiredo	2017	79.8%	83.8%	75.2%
Brazil	Abelardo Luz	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Abelardo Luz	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Abre Campo	2000	87.2%	90.0%	84.7%
Brazil	Abre Campo	2017	89.6%	91.9%	87.4%
Brazil	Abreu e Lima	2000	77.7%	79.0%	76.4%
Brazil	Abreu e Lima	2017	77.2%	78.8%	75.5%
Brazil	Abreulândia	2000	76.9%	81.3%	72.2%
Brazil	Abreulândia	2017	68.1%	74.2%	61.8%
Brazil	Acaiaca	2000	82.9%	86.0%	79.6%
Brazil	Acaiaca	2017	86.2%	89.0%	83.4%
Brazil	Açailândia	2000	68.6%	73.3%	63.8%
Brazil	Açailândia	2017	68.8%	73.8%	63.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Acajutiba	2000	74.1%	77.9%	70.2%
Brazil	Acajutiba	2017	77.4%	81.4%	72.9%
Brazil	Acará	2000	87.9%	89.4%	86.2%
Brazil	Acará	2017	87.0%	89.0%	85.0%
Brazil	Acarapé	2000	78.7%	81.2%	76.1%
Brazil	Acarapé	2017	78.7%	81.6%	75.8%
Brazil	Acaraú	2000	78.9%	82.0%	75.9%
Brazil	Acaraú	2017	78.9%	82.2%	75.5%
Brazil	Acari	2000	85.3%	88.0%	82.1%
Brazil	Acari	2017	86.7%	89.3%	83.8%
Brazil	Acauã	2000	70.4%	75.7%	64.8%
Brazil	Acauã	2017	73.0%	79.3%	66.5%
Brazil	Acopiara	2000	78.7%	81.5%	75.2%
Brazil	Acopiara	2017	77.7%	80.5%	74.2%
Brazil	Acorizal	2000	90.4%	92.5%	88.2%
Brazil	Acorizal	2017	88.3%	90.9%	85.1%
Brazil	Acrelândia	2000	81.2%	85.3%	77.0%
Brazil	Acrelândia	2017	81.5%	85.8%	77.4%
Brazil	Acreúna	2000	92.1%	93.9%	90.0%
Brazil	Acreúna	2017	89.4%	91.9%	86.6%
Brazil	Açu	2000	87.2%	89.8%	84.4%
Brazil	Açu	2017	89.1%	91.5%	86.3%
Brazil	Açucena	2000	86.1%	88.6%	83.3%
Brazil	Açucena	2017	88.7%	91.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Adamantina	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Adamantina	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Brazil	Adelândia	2000	92.5%	94.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Adelândia	2017	89.8%	91.8%	87.0%
Brazil	Adolfo	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Adolfo	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Adrianópolis	2000	93.1%	95.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Adrianópolis	2017	93.4%	95.4%	91.0%
Brazil	Adustina	2000	77.7%	80.5%	74.5%
Brazil	Adustina	2017	80.9%	83.7%	77.6%
Brazil	Afogados da Ingazeira	2000	79.5%	82.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Afogados da Ingazeira	2017	78.0%	81.5%	74.8%
Brazil	Afonso Bezerra	2000	86.6%	89.3%	84.0%
Brazil	Afonso Bezerra	2017	88.3%	90.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Afonso Cláudio	2000	86.6%	88.7%	84.1%
Brazil	Afonso Cláudio	2017	86.9%	89.1%	84.0%
Brazil	Afonso Cunha	2000	52.6%	58.6%	46.8%
Brazil	Afonso Cunha	2017	61.2%	68.1%	54.7%
Brazil	Afrânio	2000	72.7%	78.4%	66.7%
Brazil	Afrânio	2017	74.5%	80.2%	67.7%
Brazil	Afuá	2000	90.8%	92.6%	88.9%
Brazil	Afuá	2017	89.1%	91.4%	86.2%
Brazil	Agrestina	2000	76.5%	78.5%	74.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Agrestina	2017	73.1%	75.7%	70.4%
Brazil	Agricolândia	2000	59.1%	65.3%	52.5%
Brazil	Agricolândia	2017	70.2%	76.2%	63.5%
Brazil	Agrolândia	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Agrolândia	2017	94.9%	96.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Agronômica	2000	94.7%	96.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Agronômica	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Água Azul do Norte	2000	87.4%	90.1%	84.0%
Brazil	Água Azul do Norte	2017	86.5%	89.4%	82.8%
Brazil	Água Boa	2000	91.5%	94.3%	87.7%
Brazil	Água Boa	2000	85.2%	88.5%	81.7%
Brazil	Água Boa	2017	89.8%	93.3%	85.8%
Brazil	Água Boa	2017	87.9%	90.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	79.8%	83.0%	77.1%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	79.4%	82.4%	76.6%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	58.9%	65.5%	53.0%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	80.2%	83.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	70.2%	77.0%	63.8%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	78.7%	82.1%	75.1%
Brazil	Água Clara	2000	92.8%	94.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Água Clara	2017	87.8%	90.8%	84.0%
Brazil	Água Comprida	2000	88.4%	90.7%	85.5%
Brazil	Água Comprida	2017	91.2%	93.1%	89.1%
Brazil	Água Doce	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Água Doce	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Água Doce do Maranhão	2000	58.3%	63.7%	52.5%
Brazil	Água Doce do Maranhão	2017	65.8%	71.5%	58.9%
Brazil	Água Doce do Norte	2000	85.8%	88.7%	82.9%
Brazil	Água Doce do Norte	2017	87.3%	90.1%	84.0%
Brazil	Água Fria	2000	74.3%	77.3%	71.2%
Brazil	Água Fria	2017	76.5%	80.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Água Fria de Goiás	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Água Fria de Goiás	2017	91.8%	93.7%	89.7%
Brazil	Água Limpa	2000	88.8%	91.2%	86.6%
Brazil	Água Limpa	2017	86.4%	89.5%	83.3%
Brazil	Água Nova	2000	83.5%	86.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Água Nova	2017	84.4%	86.8%	81.4%
Brazil	Água Preta	2000	80.2%	82.3%	78.1%
Brazil	Água Preta	2017	77.8%	80.4%	75.4%
Brazil	Água Santa	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Água Santa	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aguai	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Aguai	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.7%
Brazil	Aguanil	2000	85.0%	87.7%	81.9%
Brazil	Aguanil	2017	88.1%	90.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Águas Belas	2000	77.7%	80.4%	74.5%
Brazil	Águas Belas	2017	74.5%	77.8%	70.4%
Brazil	Águas da Prata	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Águas da Prata	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Águas de Chapecó	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Águas de Chapecó	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Águas de Lindóia	2000	92.8%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Águas de Lindóia	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Águas de Santa Bárbara	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Águas de Santa Bárbara	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.5%
Brazil	Águas de São Pedro	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Águas de São Pedro	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Águas Formosas	2000	84.1%	87.7%	79.7%
Brazil	Águas Formosas	2017	87.3%	90.7%	83.1%
Brazil	Águas Frias	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Águas Frias	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Águas Lindas de Goiás	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Águas Lindas de Goiás	2017	94.8%	95.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Águas Mornas	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Águas Mornas	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Águas Vermelhas	2000	82.6%	86.0%	78.9%
Brazil	Águas Vermelhas	2017	85.9%	88.9%	82.7%
Brazil	Agudo	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Agudo	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Agudos	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Agudos	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Agudos do Sul	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Agudos do Sul	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Aguiar	2000	81.2%	84.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Aguiar	2017	81.2%	84.7%	77.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aguiarnópolis	2000	65.4%	69.8%	60.9%
Brazil	Aguiarnópolis	2017	59.3%	65.4%	53.7%
Brazil	Aimorés	2000	84.5%	87.5%	81.7%
Brazil	Aimorés	2017	86.3%	89.3%	83.3%
Brazil	Aiquara	2000	74.3%	77.5%	70.8%
Brazil	Aiquara	2017	76.9%	80.4%	73.1%
Brazil	Aiuaba	2000	75.0%	78.6%	71.2%
Brazil	Aiuaba	2017	76.4%	80.3%	72.3%
Brazil	Aiuruoca	2000	90.5%	92.7%	88.1%
Brazil	Aiuruoca	2017	92.2%	94.2%	90.0%
Brazil	Ajuricaba	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Ajuricaba	2017	94.4%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Alagoa	2000	93.5%	95.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Alagoa	2017	94.7%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Alagoa Grande	2000	79.8%	82.4%	77.3%
Brazil	Alagoa Grande	2017	80.1%	83.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Alagoa Nova	2000	82.8%	84.9%	80.7%
Brazil	Alagoa Nova	2017	82.4%	84.8%	80.0%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2000	80.5%	82.8%	78.0%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2000	80.4%	83.2%	77.7%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2017	79.0%	81.7%	76.4%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2017	80.9%	84.1%	78.1%
Brazil	Alagoinha do Piauí	2000	66.7%	71.9%	61.6%
Brazil	Alagoinha do Piauí	2017	71.8%	77.0%	66.6%
Brazil	Alagoinhas	2000	75.3%	77.9%	72.4%
Brazil	Alagoinhas	2017	77.6%	80.4%	74.2%
Brazil	Alambari	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Alambari	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Albertina	2000	91.0%	92.6%	89.0%
Brazil	Albertina	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Alcântara	2000	53.9%	58.2%	49.8%
Brazil	Alcântara	2017	58.0%	63.7%	52.7%
Brazil	Alcântaras	2000	85.9%	87.6%	83.8%
Brazil	Alcântaras	2017	85.3%	87.5%	83.4%
Brazil	Alcantil	2000	78.7%	80.9%	76.0%
Brazil	Alcantil	2017	78.6%	81.6%	75.3%
Brazil	Alcinópolis	2000	92.7%	94.7%	90.3%
Brazil	Alcinópolis	2017	88.6%	92.2%	85.0%
Brazil	Alcobaca	2000	74.2%	78.7%	69.4%
Brazil	Alcobaca	2017	76.2%	81.1%	70.5%
Brazil	Aldeias Altas	2000	51.4%	57.7%	46.3%
Brazil	Aldeias Altas	2017	59.8%	66.4%	54.0%
Brazil	Alecrim	2000	94.1%	95.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Alecrim	2017	94.4%	96.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Alegre	2000	84.5%	86.9%	82.1%
Brazil	Alegre	2017	85.3%	87.6%	82.5%
Brazil	Alegrete	2000	94.8%	96.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Alegrete	2017	95.2%	96.6%	93.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alegrete do Piauí	2000	68.2%	73.4%	63.7%
Brazil	Alegrete do Piauí	2017	72.4%	77.6%	66.9%
Brazil	Alegria	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Alegria	2017	94.5%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Além Paraíba	2000	88.8%	91.1%	86.4%
Brazil	Além Paraíba	2017	91.0%	92.9%	88.9%
Brazil	Alenquer	2000	86.3%	89.6%	82.9%
Brazil	Alenquer	2017	85.7%	89.2%	82.1%
Brazil	Alexandria	2000	84.7%	87.6%	81.6%
Brazil	Alexandria	2017	85.6%	88.9%	82.2%
Brazil	Alexania	2000	92.5%	93.7%	91.1%
Brazil	Alexania	2017	90.0%	91.8%	88.1%
Brazil	Alexânia	2000	92.4%	93.7%	91.1%
Brazil	Alexânia	2017	89.7%	91.5%	87.7%
Brazil	Alfenas	2000	85.6%	88.2%	83.0%
Brazil	Alfenas	2017	88.4%	90.7%	86.0%
Brazil	Alfredo Chaves	2000	88.4%	90.0%	86.5%
Brazil	Alfredo Chaves	2017	88.4%	90.2%	86.3%
Brazil	Alfredo Marcondes	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Alfredo Marcondes	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Alfredo Vasconcelos	2000	87.3%	89.7%	84.9%
Brazil	Alfredo Vasconcelos	2017	89.3%	91.4%	87.1%
Brazil	Alfredo Wagner	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Alfredo Wagner	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Algodão de Jandaíra	2000	80.0%	83.0%	77.2%
Brazil	Algodão de Jandaíra	2017	80.8%	84.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Alhandra	2000	80.4%	82.6%	78.3%
Brazil	Alhandra	2017	80.1%	82.7%	77.3%
Brazil	Aliança	2000	78.3%	80.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Aliança	2017	76.9%	79.0%	73.9%
Brazil	Aliança do Tocantins	2000	73.8%	78.2%	69.2%
Brazil	Aliança do Tocantins	2017	63.5%	69.1%	57.2%
Brazil	Almadina	2000	75.1%	78.3%	71.5%
Brazil	Almadina	2017	77.6%	81.0%	73.8%
Brazil	Almas	2000	72.8%	78.3%	67.2%
Brazil	Almas	2017	63.8%	71.1%	57.2%
Brazil	Almenara	2000	80.7%	84.9%	76.8%
Brazil	Almenara	2017	84.5%	88.6%	80.9%
Brazil	Almerim	2000	89.4%	92.4%	86.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Almerim	2017	88.7%	92.2%	84.6%
Brazil	Almino Afonso	2000	86.1%	88.7%	83.3%
Brazil	Almino Afonso	2017	87.0%	89.5%	84.1%
Brazil	Almirante Tamandaré	2000	94.0%	94.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Almirante Tamandaré	2017	93.4%	94.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Aloândia	2000	91.0%	92.8%	89.1%
Brazil	Aloândia	2017	88.0%	90.4%	85.0%
Brazil	Alpercata	2000	84.8%	87.1%	82.1%
Brazil	Alpercata	2017	87.6%	89.4%	85.2%
Brazil	Alpestre	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Alpestre	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Alpinópolis	2000	85.7%	88.6%	82.5%
Brazil	Alpinópolis	2017	88.5%	91.1%	85.6%
Brazil	Alta Floresta	2000	90.7%	93.9%	87.1%
Brazil	Alta Floresta	2017	89.0%	92.7%	84.4%
Brazil	Alta Floresta d'Oeste	2000	91.5%	93.5%	88.8%
Brazil	Alta Floresta d'Oeste	2017	90.6%	92.7%	87.6%
Brazil	Altair	2000	93.2%	95.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Altair	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Altamira	2000	88.3%	90.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Altamira	2017	87.6%	90.5%	83.2%
Brazil	Altamira do Maranhão	2000	56.5%	61.5%	51.5%
Brazil	Altamira do Maranhão	2017	62.0%	68.7%	55.8%
Brazil	Altamira do Paran	2000	93.4%	95.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Altamira do Paran	2017	92.8%	94.8%	90.8%
Brazil	Altaneira	2000	79.3%	82.4%	76.4%
Brazil	Altaneira	2017	79.3%	82.6%	75.5%
Brazil	Alterosa	2000	86.1%	88.5%	82.9%
Brazil	Alterosa	2017	88.9%	91.0%	86.4%
Brazil	Altinho	2000	76.1%	78.4%	73.9%
Brazil	Altinho	2017	73.0%	75.8%	70.2%
Brazil	Altinópolis	2000	93.3%	94.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Altinópolis	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	91.6%	94.1%	88.1%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	92.4%	94.6%	89.4%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	96.5%	97.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Maranhão	2000	55.2%	60.8%	49.1%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Maranhão	2017	62.2%	67.9%	55.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Pindaré	2000	59.8%	65.7%	54.5%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Pindaré	2017	63.9%	69.8%	57.4%
Brazil	Alto Alegre dos Parecis	2000	91.3%	93.7%	88.3%
Brazil	Alto Alegre dos Parecis	2017	91.0%	93.6%	88.0%
Brazil	Alto Araguaia	2000	93.2%	95.3%	90.8%
Brazil	Alto Araguaia	2017	91.1%	93.9%	87.6%
Brazil	Alto Bela Vista	2000	93.8%	95.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Alto Bela Vista	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Alto Boa Vista	2000	88.4%	92.2%	84.2%
Brazil	Alto Boa Vista	2017	86.3%	90.9%	80.7%
Brazil	Alto Caparaó	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.7%
Brazil	Alto Caparaó	2017	92.6%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Alto do Rodrigues	2000	88.0%	90.7%	85.1%
Brazil	Alto do Rodrigues	2017	89.7%	92.3%	86.9%
Brazil	Alto Feliz	2000	94.4%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Alto Feliz	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Alto Garças	2000	93.5%	95.5%	90.9%
Brazil	Alto Garças	2017	91.9%	94.6%	88.3%
Brazil	Alto Horizonte	2000	91.8%	93.6%	89.6%
Brazil	Alto Horizonte	2017	89.3%	92.0%	86.4%
Brazil	Alto Jequitibá	2000	86.8%	89.1%	84.4%
Brazil	Alto Jequitibá	2017	88.5%	90.5%	86.1%
Brazil	Alto Longá	2000	59.5%	65.6%	52.6%
Brazil	Alto Longá	2017	70.5%	76.6%	63.2%
Brazil	Alto Paraguai	2000	90.9%	93.1%	89.0%
Brazil	Alto Paraguai	2017	88.9%	91.7%	86.2%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso	2000	91.2%	93.4%	88.8%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso	2017	90.3%	92.8%	87.7%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso de Goiás	2000	92.7%	94.7%	90.4%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso de Goiás	2017	90.6%	93.2%	87.4%
Brazil	Alto Paraná	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Alto Paraná	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Alto Parnaíba	2000	62.5%	71.3%	54.2%
Brazil	Alto Parnaíba	2017	64.7%	74.6%	54.3%
Brazil	Alto Piquiri	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Alto Piquiri	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Alto Rio doce	2000	84.8%	87.2%	81.8%
Brazil	Alto Rio doce	2017	87.6%	89.8%	84.9%
Brazil	Alto Rio Novo	2000	88.0%	90.3%	84.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alto Rio Novo	2017	89.2%	91.5%	85.9%
Brazil	Alto Santo	2000	78.9%	82.4%	75.8%
Brazil	Alto Santo	2017	78.8%	82.2%	75.4%
Brazil	Alto Taquari	2000	93.9%	95.8%	91.6%
Brazil	Alto Taquari	2017	91.4%	94.1%	88.2%
Brazil	Altônia	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Altônia	2017	92.9%	94.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Altos	2000	60.4%	65.1%	55.4%
Brazil	Altos	2017	71.6%	76.2%	66.6%
Brazil	Alumínio	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Alumínio	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.1%
Brazil	Alvarães	2000	82.4%	86.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Alvarães	2017	83.4%	87.8%	77.8%
Brazil	Alvarenga	2000	87.7%	90.5%	84.9%
Brazil	Alvarenga	2017	89.8%	92.2%	86.9%
Brazil	Álvares Florence	2000	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Álvares Florence	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Álvares Machado	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Álvares Machado	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Álvaro de Carvalho	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Álvaro de Carvalho	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Alvinlândia	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Alvinlândia	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Alvinópolis	2000	85.0%	87.4%	82.1%
Brazil	Alvinópolis	2017	88.1%	90.3%	85.7%
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	79.4%	83.6%	74.6%
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	94.2%	94.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Alvorada	2017	71.5%	77.9%	65.2%
Brazil	Alvorada	2017	94.6%	95.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	90.6%	92.4%	88.4%
Brazil	Alvorada d'Oeste	2017	89.5%	91.4%	87.0%
Brazil	Alvorada de Minas	2000	85.0%	88.5%	81.3%
Brazil	Alvorada de Minas	2017	87.8%	90.9%	84.5%
Brazil	Alvorada do Gurguéia	2000	59.2%	67.4%	50.2%
Brazil	Alvorada do Gurguéia	2017	70.4%	78.9%	61.5%
Brazil	Alvorada do Norte	2000	88.7%	91.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Alvorada do Norte	2017	86.5%	89.9%	82.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alvorada do Sul	2000	93.8%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Alvorada do Sul	2017	94.0%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Amajari	2000	90.8%	94.4%	85.9%
Brazil	Amajari	2017	91.9%	95.0%	87.5%
Brazil	Amambai	2000	94.0%	95.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Amambai	2017	90.0%	92.8%	87.1%
Brazil	Amapá	2000	92.1%	94.9%	88.3%
Brazil	Amapá	2017	90.5%	94.3%	85.8%
Brazil	Amapá do Maranhão	2000	74.1%	78.6%	68.8%
Brazil	Amapá do Maranhão	2017	74.8%	79.9%	69.2%
Brazil	Amapora	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Amapora	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Amaraji	2000	82.9%	85.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Amaraji	2017	80.7%	83.4%	78.3%
Brazil	Amaral Ferrador	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Amaral Ferrador	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Amaralina	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.3%
Brazil	Amaralina	2017	89.1%	91.6%	86.0%
Brazil	Amarante	2000	55.7%	62.9%	48.2%
Brazil	Amarante	2017	67.6%	74.5%	59.4%
Brazil	Amarante do Maranhão	2000	59.7%	65.8%	54.0%
Brazil	Amarante do Maranhão	2017	63.0%	69.8%	56.0%
Brazil	Amargosa	2000	75.1%	78.4%	71.8%
Brazil	Amargosa	2017	77.3%	80.8%	73.8%
Brazil	Amaturá	2000	80.2%	85.9%	72.7%
Brazil	Amaturá	2017	80.9%	87.1%	73.8%
Brazil	Amélia Rodrigues	2000	73.9%	76.5%	71.2%
Brazil	Amélia Rodrigues	2017	76.5%	79.2%	73.1%
Brazil	América dourada	2000	70.5%	73.9%	66.5%
Brazil	América dourada	2017	73.3%	77.3%	69.2%
Brazil	Americana	2000	95.3%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Americana	2017	96.6%	97.1%	96.0%
Brazil	Americano do Brazil	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Americano do Brazil	2017	92.1%	93.8%	90.0%
Brazil	Américo Brasiliense	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Américo Brasiliense	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Américo de Campos	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Américo de Campos	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Ametista do Sul	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Ametista do Sul	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Amontada	2000	77.9%	80.9%	74.8%
Brazil	Amontada	2017	77.2%	80.2%	73.8%
Brazil	Amorinópolis	2000	92.5%	94.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Amorinópolis	2017	90.0%	92.8%	87.0%
Brazil	Amparo	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Amparo	2000	79.8%	82.9%	76.8%
Brazil	Amparo	2017	79.0%	82.7%	75.4%
Brazil	Amparo	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Amparo de São Francisco	2000	78.6%	81.7%	75.4%
Brazil	Amparo de São Francisco	2017	80.7%	83.7%	77.9%
Brazil	Amparo do Serra	2000	84.2%	87.1%	81.3%
Brazil	Amparo do Serra	2017	87.2%	89.7%	84.7%
Brazil	Ampére	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Ampére	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Anadia	2000	79.6%	81.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Anadia	2017	74.9%	77.6%	71.6%
Brazil	Anagé	2000	69.3%	72.9%	65.3%
Brazil	Anagé	2017	72.3%	76.4%	68.1%
Brazil	Anahy	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Anahy	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Anajas	2000	89.2%	91.6%	86.5%
Brazil	Anajas	2017	88.2%	90.8%	85.0%
Brazil	Anajatuba	2000	54.5%	59.9%	48.7%
Brazil	Anajatuba	2017	62.0%	68.0%	55.8%
Brazil	Analandia	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Analandia	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Anamã	2000	80.7%	84.8%	75.5%
Brazil	Anamã	2017	81.8%	86.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Ananás	2000	73.7%	77.5%	70.2%
Brazil	Ananás	2017	66.8%	71.6%	62.0%
Brazil	Ananindeua	2000	87.8%	88.8%	86.8%
Brazil	Ananindeua	2017	87.1%	88.2%	86.2%
Brazil	Anápolis	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Anápolis	2017	90.1%	91.7%	88.7%
Brazil	Anapu	2000	88.3%	91.1%	83.9%
Brazil	Anapu	2017	87.5%	90.7%	82.6%
Brazil	Anapuros	2000	55.7%	61.8%	49.6%
Brazil	Anapuros	2017	64.1%	70.4%	56.7%
Brazil	Anastácio	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Anastácio	2017	89.9%	92.5%	86.9%
Brazil	Anaurilândia	2000	92.6%	94.4%	90.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Anaurilândia	2017	90.3%	92.7%	87.8%
Brazil	Anchieta	2000	86.4%	88.4%	84.3%
Brazil	Anchieta	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Anchieta	2017	86.6%	89.0%	84.3%
Brazil	Anchieta	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Andaraí	2000	73.5%	77.8%	69.5%
Brazil	Andaraí	2017	75.6%	79.8%	71.3%
Brazil	Andira	2000	93.8%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Andira	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Andirá	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.4%
Brazil	Andirá	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Andorinha	2000	73.1%	76.7%	69.3%
Brazil	Andorinha	2017	75.2%	80.1%	70.7%
Brazil	Andradas	2000	89.9%	91.7%	87.8%
Brazil	Andradas	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.3%
Brazil	Andradina	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Andradina	2017	94.1%	95.7%	92.3%
Brazil	André da Rocha	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	André da Rocha	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Andrelândia	2000	88.8%	91.6%	85.7%
Brazil	Andrelândia	2017	90.7%	93.1%	87.9%
Brazil	Angatuba	2000	95.5%	97.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Angatuba	2017	96.6%	97.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Angelândia	2000	87.8%	90.6%	84.9%
Brazil	Angelândia	2017	90.1%	92.7%	87.3%
Brazil	Angélica	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.4%
Brazil	Angélica	2017	88.8%	91.5%	86.2%
Brazil	Angelim	2000	80.9%	83.1%	78.7%
Brazil	Angelim	2017	78.3%	81.1%	75.6%
Brazil	Angelina	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Angelina	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Angical	2000	71.3%	75.9%	66.4%
Brazil	Angical	2017	74.4%	78.9%	69.2%
Brazil	Angical do Piauí	2000	58.1%	65.1%	50.8%
Brazil	Angical do Piauí	2017	69.4%	76.0%	61.8%
Brazil	Angico	2000	71.9%	75.4%	68.3%
Brazil	Angico	2017	64.3%	69.2%	59.4%
Brazil	Angicos	2000	87.6%	90.2%	84.6%
Brazil	Angicos	2017	89.3%	91.7%	86.3%
Brazil	Angra dos Reis	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Angra dos Reis	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Anguera	2000	72.0%	75.9%	68.7%
Brazil	Anguera	2017	75.0%	79.0%	71.3%
Brazil	Ângulo	2000	93.0%	94.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Ângulo	2017	92.3%	94.0%	90.6%
Brazil	Anhanguera	2000	85.5%	88.2%	83.0%
Brazil	Anhanguera	2017	84.1%	87.2%	80.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Anhembi	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Anhembi	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Anhumas	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Anhumas	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Anicuns	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.8%
Brazil	Anicuns	2017	91.0%	92.7%	89.0%
Brazil	Anísio de Abreu	2000	64.0%	71.6%	56.6%
Brazil	Anísio de Abreu	2017	72.4%	79.4%	65.5%
Brazil	Anita Garibaldi	2000	94.9%	96.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Anita Garibaldi	2017	95.4%	96.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Anitápolis	2000	95.6%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Anitápolis	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Anori	2000	80.0%	84.4%	74.4%
Brazil	Anori	2017	80.8%	85.4%	75.6%
Brazil	Anta Gorda	2000	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Anta Gorda	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Antas	2000	75.3%	78.9%	71.3%
Brazil	Antas	2017	77.5%	81.0%	73.5%
Brazil	Antonina	2000	91.7%	93.6%	90.0%
Brazil	Antonina	2017	91.1%	93.3%	89.3%
Brazil	Antonina do Norte	2000	77.4%	80.8%	73.6%
Brazil	Antonina do Norte	2017	77.9%	81.6%	74.1%
Brazil	Antônio Almeida	2000	56.4%	64.9%	47.6%
Brazil	Antônio Almeida	2017	67.0%	74.6%	57.4%
Brazil	Antônio Cardoso	2000	71.9%	74.6%	69.3%
Brazil	Antônio Cardoso	2017	74.8%	78.0%	71.8%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2000	87.2%	89.5%	84.9%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2017	89.2%	91.6%	87.2%
Brazil	Antônio Dias	2000	85.0%	87.2%	82.5%
Brazil	Antônio Dias	2017	87.9%	89.9%	85.8%
Brazil	Antônio Gonçalves	2000	76.3%	80.1%	72.5%
Brazil	Antônio Gonçalves	2017	78.4%	82.1%	74.8%
Brazil	Antônio João	2000	94.6%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Antônio João	2017	90.9%	93.3%	87.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Antônio Martins	2000	87.0%	89.5%	84.0%
Brazil	Antônio Martins	2017	86.8%	89.3%	83.4%
Brazil	Antonio Olinto	2000	93.9%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Antonio Olinto	2017	93.9%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Antônio Prado	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Antônio Prado	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Antonio Prado de Minas	2000	89.4%	91.8%	86.8%
Brazil	Antonio Prado de Minas	2017	91.3%	93.4%	89.0%
Brazil	Aparecida	2000	80.8%	83.8%	77.6%
Brazil	Aparecida	2000	94.4%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Aparecida	2017	81.0%	84.6%	77.1%
Brazil	Aparecida	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Aparecida de Goiânia	2000	92.8%	93.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Aparecida de Goiânia	2017	90.5%	91.5%	89.4%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio doce	2000	92.2%	94.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio doce	2017	89.5%	92.3%	86.9%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio Negro	2000	72.1%	76.5%	67.2%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio Negro	2017	62.8%	68.7%	56.4%
Brazil	Aparecida do Taboado	2000	92.4%	94.2%	89.8%
Brazil	Aparecida do Taboado	2017	91.3%	93.7%	88.4%
Brazil	Aparecida doeste	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Aparecida doeste	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Aperibé	2000	94.3%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Aperibé	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Apiacá	2000	88.8%	90.8%	86.0%
Brazil	Apiacá	2017	90.5%	92.3%	88.1%
Brazil	Apiacás	2000	90.4%	93.5%	85.8%
Brazil	Apiacás	2017	88.9%	92.8%	83.9%
Brazil	Apiaiá	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Apiaiá	2017	96.4%	97.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Apicum-Açu	2000	63.0%	71.2%	55.5%
Brazil	Apicum-Açu	2017	66.0%	75.4%	56.3%
Brazil	Apiúna	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Apiúna	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Apodi	2000	83.9%	87.0%	80.5%
Brazil	Apodi	2017	85.2%	88.1%	81.9%
Brazil	Aporá	2000	73.5%	76.8%	69.6%
Brazil	Aporá	2017	76.5%	80.2%	72.2%
Brazil	Aporé	2000	92.1%	94.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Aporé	2017	88.4%	91.2%	85.1%
Brazil	Apuarema	2000	76.0%	78.7%	72.7%
Brazil	Apuarema	2017	78.1%	80.9%	74.8%
Brazil	Apucarana	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Apucarana	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Apuí	2000	84.6%	90.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Apuí	2017	84.8%	91.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Apuiarés	2000	77.9%	80.9%	74.5%
Brazil	Apuiarés	2017	77.7%	81.7%	73.8%
Brazil	Aquidabã	2000	83.8%	86.2%	81.0%
Brazil	Aquidabã	2017	86.3%	88.5%	83.7%
Brazil	Aquidauana	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Aquidauana	2017	90.0%	92.6%	87.2%
Brazil	Aquiraz	2000	78.2%	80.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Aquiraz	2017	77.9%	80.4%	75.4%
Brazil	Arabutã	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Arabutã	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Aracagi	2000	80.4%	83.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Aracagi	2017	81.4%	83.9%	78.6%
Brazil	Aracai	2000	85.1%	88.7%	82.0%
Brazil	Aracai	2017	88.3%	91.1%	85.4%
Brazil	Aracaju	2000	83.7%	85.4%	81.8%
Brazil	Aracaju	2017	86.8%	88.2%	85.4%
Brazil	Araçariguama	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Araçariguama	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	Araças	2000	73.9%	76.7%	70.7%
Brazil	Araças	2017	75.9%	79.0%	72.2%
Brazil	Aracati	2000	79.8%	82.4%	76.0%
Brazil	Aracati	2017	79.9%	82.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Aracatu	2000	71.8%	75.9%	67.8%
Brazil	Aracatu	2017	75.3%	79.3%	70.7%
Brazil	Araçatuba	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Araçatuba	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Brazil	Araci	2000	71.8%	75.2%	68.4%
Brazil	Araci	2017	75.0%	78.3%	71.3%
Brazil	Aracitaba	2000	84.8%	87.0%	82.3%
Brazil	Aracitaba	2017	87.1%	89.4%	84.6%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2000	79.1%	81.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2000	78.9%	81.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2017	77.2%	79.6%	74.3%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2017	78.7%	81.4%	76.0%
Brazil	Araçoiaba da Serra	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Araçoiaba da Serra	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Aracruz	2000	86.7%	88.7%	84.7%
Brazil	Aracruz	2017	87.3%	89.5%	85.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Araçu	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Araçu	2017	90.0%	91.8%	87.7%
Brazil	Araçuaí	2000	83.9%	87.2%	80.4%
Brazil	Araçuaí	2017	87.5%	90.6%	84.2%
Brazil	Aragarças	2000	92.1%	94.0%	89.6%
Brazil	Aragarças	2017	90.1%	92.7%	87.1%
Brazil	Aragoiânia	2000	92.1%	93.2%	91.0%
Brazil	Aragoiânia	2017	89.4%	90.9%	87.6%
Brazil	Aragominas	2000	77.3%	80.6%	73.9%
Brazil	Aragominas	2017	70.3%	74.8%	65.9%
Brazil	Araguacema	2000	79.8%	83.8%	74.8%
Brazil	Araguacema	2017	75.5%	81.1%	69.1%
Brazil	Araguaçu	2000	85.4%	88.4%	80.9%
Brazil	Araguaçu	2017	80.4%	84.5%	74.9%
Brazil	Araguaiana	2000	91.4%	93.6%	88.6%
Brazil	Araguaiana	2017	89.0%	91.8%	85.0%
Brazil	Araguaína	2000	73.4%	76.4%	70.2%
Brazil	Araguaína	2017	65.1%	69.0%	61.0%
Brazil	Araguainha	2000	92.4%	94.9%	89.5%
Brazil	Araguainha	2017	90.3%	93.6%	86.6%
Brazil	Araguanã	2000	78.1%	81.3%	74.7%
Brazil	Araguanã	2000	61.9%	67.5%	55.8%
Brazil	Araguanã	2017	65.5%	71.9%	58.0%
Brazil	Araguanã	2017	72.8%	77.2%	68.2%
Brazil	Araguapaz	2000	92.2%	94.2%	89.6%
Brazil	Araguapaz	2017	89.6%	92.5%	85.7%
Brazil	Araguari	2000	89.6%	91.4%	87.6%
Brazil	Araguari	2017	90.4%	92.1%	88.5%
Brazil	Araguatins	2000	72.9%	76.1%	69.6%
Brazil	Araguatins	2017	67.3%	71.0%	62.7%
Brazil	Araioses	2000	60.9%	66.2%	56.1%
Brazil	Araioses	2017	68.4%	73.5%	63.1%
Brazil	Aral Moreira	2000	94.0%	95.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Aral Moreira	2017	89.9%	92.9%	86.7%
Brazil	Aramari	2000	73.3%	76.3%	70.5%
Brazil	Aramari	2017	75.3%	78.8%	71.8%
Brazil	Arambaré	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Arambaré	2017	94.7%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Arame	2000	55.6%	62.1%	49.1%
Brazil	Arame	2017	61.0%	68.8%	52.5%
Brazil	Aramina	2000	89.5%	92.1%	87.0%
Brazil	Aramina	2017	92.1%	94.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Arandu	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Arandu	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Arantina	2000	91.2%	93.5%	88.3%
Brazil	Arantina	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.1%
Brazil	Arapeí	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Arapeí	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Arapiraca	2000	79.3%	81.1%	77.1%
Brazil	Arapiraca	2017	76.4%	78.9%	74.0%
Brazil	Arapoema	2000	78.6%	82.2%	75.0%
Brazil	Arapoema	2017	73.0%	78.2%	67.3%
Brazil	Araponga	2000	89.7%	91.9%	87.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Araponga	2017	91.7%	93.5%	89.7%
Brazil	Arapongas	2000	94.1%	95.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Arapongas	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Araporã	2000	90.3%	92.3%	88.5%
Brazil	Araporã	2017	89.3%	91.7%	87.0%
Brazil	Arapoti	2000	93.7%	95.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Arapoti	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Arapu	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Arapu	2017	93.6%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Arapuá	2000	85.6%	89.1%	81.6%
Brazil	Arapuá	2017	88.4%	91.5%	84.6%
Brazil	Araputanga	2000	91.0%	93.5%	88.5%
Brazil	Araputanga	2017	90.2%	93.2%	87.3%
Brazil	Araquari	2000	94.4%	95.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Araquari	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Arara	2000	83.8%	86.1%	81.5%
Brazil	Arara	2017	84.3%	87.0%	81.8%
Brazil	Araranguá	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Araranguá	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Araraquara	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Araraquara	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Brazil	Araras	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Araras	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Ararendá	2000	75.0%	78.5%	71.3%
Brazil	Ararendá	2017	75.8%	79.5%	71.9%
Brazil	Arari	2000	55.7%	61.0%	50.7%
Brazil	Arari	2017	62.3%	68.9%	56.0%
Brazil	Araricá	2000	93.6%	94.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Araricá	2017	94.0%	94.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Araripe	2000	76.9%	80.1%	73.7%
Brazil	Araripe	2017	77.2%	81.1%	73.5%
Brazil	Araripina	2000	73.4%	76.6%	69.7%
Brazil	Araripina	2017	74.2%	77.6%	70.0%
Brazil	Araruama	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Araruama	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Araruna	2000	85.6%	88.0%	83.3%
Brazil	Araruna	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Araruna	2017	86.7%	89.0%	84.2%
Brazil	Araruna	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Arataca	2000	75.3%	78.4%	71.8%
Brazil	Arataca	2017	78.1%	81.5%	74.6%
Brazil	Aratiba	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Aratiba	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Aratuba	2000	84.2%	86.3%	81.5%
Brazil	Aratuba	2017	83.4%	85.8%	80.5%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2000	74.0%	76.9%	71.1%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2017	76.3%	79.7%	73.2%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2000	72.7%	75.7%	69.6%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2017	75.1%	78.7%	71.6%
Brazil	Araúá	2000	83.1%	85.8%	80.6%
Brazil	Araúá	2017	86.7%	89.1%	84.4%
Brazil	Araucária	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Araucária	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Araujos	2000	84.6%	87.3%	82.1%
Brazil	Araujos	2017	88.0%	90.5%	85.3%
Brazil	Araújos	2000	93.5%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Araújos	2017	93.1%	94.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Araxá	2000	87.8%	90.7%	85.1%
Brazil	Araxá	2017	90.2%	92.5%	87.4%
Brazil	Arceburgo	2000	89.1%	91.7%	86.7%
Brazil	Arceburgo	2017	91.6%	93.7%	89.3%
Brazil	Arco-íris	2000	95.7%	97.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Arco-íris	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Arcos	2000	85.2%	88.1%	81.8%
Brazil	Arcos	2017	88.2%	90.7%	85.3%
Brazil	Arcoverde	2000	80.3%	82.6%	77.9%
Brazil	Arcoverde	2017	78.4%	80.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Areado	2000	85.0%	87.5%	81.9%
Brazil	Areado	2017	87.9%	90.2%	85.4%
Brazil	Areal	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Areal	2017	94.1%	95.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Arealva	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Arealva	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Areia	2000	83.0%	85.3%	80.8%
Brazil	Areia	2017	82.8%	85.4%	80.1%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2000	83.8%	87.0%	80.2%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2000	86.7%	88.5%	84.6%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2017	89.4%	90.8%	87.8%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2017	85.1%	88.4%	81.1%
Brazil	Areia de Baraúnas	2000	81.6%	84.4%	78.4%
Brazil	Areia de Baraúnas	2017	81.8%	85.3%	78.2%
Brazil	Areial	2000	81.9%	84.2%	79.6%
Brazil	Areial	2017	81.9%	84.7%	79.4%
Brazil	Areias	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Areias	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Areiópolis	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Areiópolis	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2000	91.0%	93.2%	88.9%
Brazil	Arenápolis	2017	89.1%	92.0%	85.9%
Brazil	Arenópolis	2000	92.0%	94.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Arenópolis	2017	89.5%	92.6%	85.7%
Brazil	Arês	2000	87.7%	89.9%	85.4%
Brazil	Arês	2017	89.2%	91.3%	87.0%
Brazil	Argirita	2000	87.3%	89.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Argirita	2017	89.5%	91.9%	87.2%
Brazil	Aricanduva	2000	87.3%	90.4%	83.8%
Brazil	Aricanduva	2017	89.8%	92.4%	86.8%
Brazil	Arinos	2000	89.1%	92.5%	85.4%
Brazil	Arinos	2017	89.9%	93.4%	86.0%
Brazil	Aripuanã	2000	89.5%	92.9%	86.1%
Brazil	Aripuanã	2017	88.1%	91.7%	84.0%
Brazil	Ariquemes	2000	91.1%	92.9%	89.1%
Brazil	Ariquemes	2017	90.3%	92.3%	88.1%
Brazil	Ariranha	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ariranha	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Ariranha do Ivaí	2000	94.0%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Ariranha do Ivaí	2017	93.5%	95.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Armação dos Búzios	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Armação dos Búzios	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Armazém	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Armazém	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Arneiroz	2000	76.5%	79.7%	72.3%
Brazil	Arneiroz	2017	77.0%	80.8%	73.2%
Brazil	Aroazes	2000	61.3%	68.8%	54.4%
Brazil	Aroazes	2017	70.9%	77.9%	63.3%
Brazil	Aroeiras	2000	75.7%	78.2%	72.8%
Brazil	Aroeiras	2017	75.1%	78.3%	71.6%
Brazil	Arraial	2000	56.3%	63.1%	48.5%
Brazil	Arraial	2017	68.7%	75.5%	60.6%
Brazil	Arraial do Cabo	2000	94.7%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Arraial do Cabo	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Arraias	2000	81.9%	85.3%	77.5%
Brazil	Arraias	2017	76.5%	81.4%	71.1%
Brazil	Arroio do Meio	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Arroio do Meio	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.5%
Brazil	Arroio do Sal	2000	93.4%	95.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Arroio do Sal	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Arroio do Tigre	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Arroio do Tigre	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Arroio dos Ratos	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Arroio dos Ratos	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Arroio Grande	2000	93.7%	95.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Arroio Grande	2017	94.1%	95.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Arroio Trinta	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Arroio Trinta	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Artur Nogueira	2000	94.4%	95.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Artur Nogueira	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Aruanã	2000	91.4%	93.8%	88.8%
Brazil	Aruanã	2017	88.9%	92.0%	85.1%
Brazil	Aruja	2000	95.5%	96.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Aruja	2017	96.7%	97.2%	96.2%
Brazil	Arvoredo	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Arvoredo	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Arvorezinha	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Arvorezinha	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Ascurra	2000	94.4%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Ascurra	2017	95.1%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Aspásia	2000	94.2%	95.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Aspásia	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Assaí	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Assaí	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Assaré	2000	78.3%	81.4%	75.4%
Brazil	Assaré	2017	78.5%	82.3%	75.1%
Brazil	Assis	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Assis	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Assis Brazil	2000	80.4%	86.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Assis Brazil	2017	82.3%	87.6%	75.5%
Brazil	Assis	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Chateaubri				
Brazil	Assis	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Chateaubri				
Brazil	Assunção	2000	81.7%	84.5%	78.8%
Brazil	Assunção	2017	81.9%	85.5%	78.3%
Brazil	Assunção do Piauí	2000	70.9%	76.8%	65.3%
Brazil	Assunção do Piauí	2017	75.3%	81.8%	69.0%
Brazil	Astolfo Dutra	2000	84.2%	86.8%	81.7%
Brazil	Astolfo Dutra	2017	87.1%	89.2%	84.8%
Brazil	Astorga	2000	93.4%	94.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Astorga	2017	92.7%	94.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Atalaia do Norte	2000	80.3%	84.7%	74.5%
Brazil	Atalaia do Norte	2017	80.9%	85.5%	75.3%
Brazil	Atalanta	2000	94.7%	96.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Atalanta	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Ataleia	2000	85.3%	88.3%	81.6%
Brazil	Ataleia	2017	87.5%	90.3%	83.8%
Brazil	Ataléia	2000	93.3%	94.9%	91.7%
Brazil	Ataléia	2000	81.7%	83.5%	79.5%
Brazil	Ataléia	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.8%
Brazil	Ataléia	2017	77.6%	80.2%	74.9%
Brazil	Atibaia	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Atibaia	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Atilio Vivacqua	2000	87.0%	88.9%	84.9%
Brazil	Atilio Vivacqua	2017	88.0%	89.7%	85.8%
Brazil	Augustinópolis	2000	71.5%	75.0%	67.8%
Brazil	Augustinópolis	2017	66.7%	71.4%	62.5%
Brazil	Augusto Corrêa	2000	83.5%	86.3%	80.4%
Brazil	Augusto Corrêa	2017	83.2%	86.4%	80.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Augusto de Lima	2000	84.5%	89.0%	80.3%
Brazil	Augusto de Lima	2017	87.6%	91.6%	83.3%
Brazil	Augusto Pestana	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Augusto Pestana	2017	94.5%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Augusto Severo	2000	86.8%	89.8%	83.5%
Brazil	Augusto Severo	2017	88.5%	91.1%	85.4%
Brazil	Aurelino Leal	2000	73.2%	76.9%	69.9%
Brazil	Aurelino Leal	2017	76.1%	80.0%	72.7%
Brazil	Auriflama	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Auriflama	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.5%
Brazil	Aurilândia	2000	92.2%	94.0%	90.1%
Brazil	Aurilândia	2017	89.5%	91.9%	86.9%
Brazil	Aurora	2000	77.8%	80.5%	74.9%
Brazil	Aurora	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Aurora	2017	77.2%	80.3%	74.2%
Brazil	Aurora	2017	95.5%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Aurora do Pará	2000	87.8%	89.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Aurora do Pará	2017	87.3%	89.8%	85.0%
Brazil	Aurora do Tocantins	2000	79.1%	83.1%	74.5%
Brazil	Aurora do Tocantins	2017	74.6%	80.0%	69.0%
Brazil	Autazes	2000	80.5%	84.1%	76.4%
Brazil	Autazes	2017	81.4%	85.5%	76.9%
Brazil	Avaí	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Avaí	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Avanhandava	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Avanhandava	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.7%
Brazil	Avare	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Avare	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Aveiro	2000	85.9%	89.1%	81.7%
Brazil	Aveiro	2017	85.4%	88.8%	81.3%
Brazil	Avelino Lopes	2000	68.4%	75.4%	60.6%
Brazil	Avelino Lopes	2017	75.4%	81.9%	66.9%
Brazil	Avelinópolis	2000	92.4%	93.7%	91.0%
Brazil	Avelinópolis	2017	89.7%	91.8%	87.5%
Brazil	Axixá	2000	55.2%	59.7%	50.1%
Brazil	Axixá	2017	61.2%	66.6%	55.4%
Brazil	Axixá do Tocantins	2000	68.8%	72.4%	64.8%
Brazil	Axixá do Tocantins	2017	62.9%	67.6%	57.6%
Brazil	Babaçulândia	2000	69.4%	73.4%	64.8%
Brazil	Babaçulândia	2017	60.2%	65.0%	54.6%
Brazil	Bacabal	2000	54.3%	59.2%	48.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bacabal	2017	60.6%	66.2%	54.4%
Brazil	Bacabeira	2000	54.0%	58.6%	49.3%
Brazil	Bacabeira	2017	59.6%	65.5%	54.2%
Brazil	Bacuri	2000	62.8%	69.8%	56.4%
Brazil	Bacuri	2017	66.5%	74.5%	59.1%
Brazil	Bacurituba	2000	55.1%	60.0%	50.2%
Brazil	Bacurituba	2017	60.4%	65.8%	54.1%
Brazil	Bady Bassitt	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Bady Bassitt	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Baependi	2000	88.3%	90.3%	85.3%
Brazil	Baependi	2017	90.5%	92.3%	87.8%
Brazil	Bagé	2000	87.5%	89.9%	84.6%
Brazil	Bagé	2017	86.6%	89.2%	83.2%
Brazil	Baía da Traição	2000	82.1%	85.1%	78.4%
Brazil	Baía da Traição	2017	82.5%	86.0%	78.6%
Brazil	Baía Formosa	2000	84.1%	87.2%	80.9%
Brazil	Baía Formosa	2017	85.1%	88.2%	81.6%
Brazil	Baianópolis	2000	73.8%	78.7%	69.1%
Brazil	Baianópolis	2017	76.4%	81.6%	70.4%
Brazil	Baião	2000	87.6%	90.1%	85.0%
Brazil	Baião	2017	86.8%	89.5%	83.8%
Brazil	Baixa Grande	2000	76.0%	79.7%	72.5%
Brazil	Baixa Grande	2017	78.2%	81.9%	74.3%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2000	59.3%	67.4%	50.2%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2017	67.8%	76.5%	58.0%
Brazil	Baixio	2000	78.6%	81.5%	75.4%
Brazil	Baixio	2017	78.3%	82.2%	74.7%
Brazil	Baixo Guandu	2000	85.0%	88.1%	82.3%
Brazil	Baixo Guandu	2017	86.7%	89.4%	84.0%
Brazil	Baje	2000	94.4%	96.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Baje	2017	94.7%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Balbinos	2000	94.8%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Balbinos	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Baldim	2000	85.2%	88.1%	82.2%
Brazil	Baldim	2017	88.1%	90.8%	85.3%
Brazil	Baliza	2000	91.7%	94.0%	88.4%
Brazil	Baliza	2017	89.5%	92.7%	85.2%
Brazil	Balneário Arroio do Silva	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Balneário Arroio do Silva	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Balneário Barra do Sul	2000	93.3%	95.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Balneário Barra do Sul	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Balneário Camboriú	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Balneário Camboriú	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Balneário Gaivota	2000	93.3%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Balneário Gaivota	2017	94.2%	95.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Balneário Pinalhal	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Balneário Pinalhal	2017	94.7%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Balsa Nova	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.9%
Brazil	Balsa Nova	2017	93.9%	95.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Bálsamo	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Bálsamo	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Balsas	2000	59.7%	66.3%	52.5%
Brazil	Balsas	2017	65.7%	72.9%	57.9%
Brazil	Bambuí	2000	86.5%	89.6%	82.3%
Brazil	Bambuí	2017	89.4%	92.1%	86.0%
Brazil	Banabuiú	2000	76.7%	79.6%	73.7%
Brazil	Banabuiú	2017	76.3%	80.0%	72.2%
Brazil	Bananal	2000	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Bananal	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Bananeiras	2000	83.1%	85.5%	81.1%
Brazil	Bananeiras	2017	83.9%	86.4%	81.7%
Brazil	Bandeira	2000	81.7%	85.9%	77.7%
Brazil	Bandeira	2017	84.6%	88.0%	80.4%
Brazil	Bandeirante	2000	94.7%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Bandeirante	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2000	92.7%	94.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2017	90.7%	93.2%	87.9%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Bandeirantes do Tocantins	2000	75.8%	79.7%	71.3%
Brazil	Bandeirantes do Tocantins	2017	66.4%	72.3%	60.7%
Brazil	Bandiera do Sul	2000	86.3%	89.1%	83.7%
Brazil	Bandiera do Sul	2017	88.8%	91.2%	86.5%
Brazil	Bannach	2000	88.8%	91.7%	85.2%
Brazil	Bannach	2017	88.0%	91.5%	83.9%
Brazil	Banzaê	2000	73.7%	77.1%	69.3%
Brazil	Banzaê	2017	76.2%	79.7%	71.4%
Brazil	Barão de Antonina	2000	93.8%	95.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Barão de Antonina	2017	94.3%	96.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Barão de Coacais	2000	86.7%	88.9%	84.3%
Brazil	Barão de Coacais	2017	89.6%	91.4%	87.3%
Brazil	Barao de Cote-gipe	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barao de Cote-gipe	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Barão de Gra-jaú	2000	56.3%	62.9%	49.5%
Brazil	Barão de Gra-jaú	2017	68.5%	74.1%	61.5%
Brazil	Barão de Melgaço	2000	91.3%	93.3%	88.9%
Brazil	Barão de Melgaço	2017	88.9%	91.9%	85.2%
Brazil	Barão de Monte Alto	2000	90.3%	92.3%	88.0%
Brazil	Barão de Monte Alto	2017	92.3%	93.9%	90.3%
Brazil	Barão do Triunfo	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Barão do Triunfo	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Baraúna	2000	83.0%	85.8%	80.0%
Brazil	Baraúna	2000	84.9%	87.5%	82.1%
Brazil	Baraúna	2017	83.2%	86.3%	79.7%
Brazil	Baraúna	2017	86.3%	88.7%	83.6%
Brazil	Barbacena	2000	88.2%	90.5%	86.1%
Brazil	Barbacena	2017	90.3%	92.1%	88.4%
Brazil	Barbalha	2000	80.9%	82.9%	78.8%
Brazil	Barbalha	2017	80.5%	82.6%	78.2%
Brazil	Barbosa	2000	95.1%	96.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Barbosa	2017	96.4%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Barbosa Fer-raz	2000	93.4%	94.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Barbosa Fer-raz	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.9%
Brazil	Barcarena	2000	87.7%	89.2%	86.1%
Brazil	Barcarena	2017	86.9%	88.7%	85.1%
Brazil	Barcelona	2000	85.3%	88.0%	82.2%
Brazil	Barcelona	2017	87.2%	89.8%	84.2%
Brazil	Barcelos	2000	83.9%	89.3%	77.8%
Brazil	Barcelos	2017	86.1%	90.9%	80.4%
Brazil	Bariri	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Bariri	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Baro	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Baro	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Barra	2000	71.4%	76.0%	66.7%
Brazil	Barra	2017	75.3%	79.9%	70.8%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2000	94.6%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Barra	2000	59.8%	66.6%	53.5%
Brazil	d'Alcântara Barra	2017	70.7%	77.3%	64.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barra da Choça	2000	73.6%	76.8%	70.4%
Brazil	Barra da Choça	2017	75.6%	79.0%	72.5%
Brazil	Barra da Estiva	2000	76.7%	80.2%	72.2%
Brazil	Barra da Estiva	2017	79.5%	83.8%	75.1%
Brazil	Barra de Guabira	2000	82.5%	84.5%	80.0%
Brazil	Barra de Guabira	2017	80.5%	82.9%	77.7%
Brazil	Barra de Santa Rosa	2000	81.7%	84.4%	78.2%
Brazil	Barra de Santa Rosa	2017	82.8%	85.9%	79.3%
Brazil	Barra de Santana	2000	75.7%	78.6%	72.7%
Brazil	Barra de Santana	2017	75.6%	79.4%	72.0%
Brazil	Barra de Santo Antônio	2000	78.2%	81.1%	75.1%
Brazil	Barra de Santo Antônio	2017	73.9%	77.5%	69.6%
Brazil	Barra de São Francisco	2000	86.5%	88.9%	84.1%
Brazil	Barra de São Francisco	2017	87.7%	89.8%	85.4%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2000	78.3%	81.3%	75.2%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2000	77.5%	80.2%	74.4%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2017	74.6%	78.0%	70.2%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2017	77.1%	80.3%	73.0%
Brazil	Barra do Chapéu	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Barra do Chapéu	2017	95.6%	97.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Barra do Corda	2000	54.9%	61.3%	48.6%
Brazil	Barra do Corda	2017	62.0%	68.6%	53.5%
Brazil	Barra do Garças	2000	92.0%	94.1%	89.5%
Brazil	Barra do Garças	2017	90.1%	92.6%	87.2%
Brazil	Barra do Guarita	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Barra do Guarita	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barra do Jacaré	2000	93.6%	95.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Barra do Jacaré	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Barra do Mendes	2000	72.1%	76.1%	67.9%
Brazil	Barra do Mendes	2017	75.2%	79.8%	70.1%
Brazil	Barra do Ouro	2000	67.1%	72.4%	60.7%
Brazil	Barra do Ouro	2017	58.4%	65.1%	50.7%
Brazil	Barra do Piraí	2000	93.7%	94.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Barra do Piraí	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Barra do Quaraí	2000	93.5%	96.5%	89.3%
Brazil	Barra do Quaraí	2017	93.9%	96.9%	89.6%
Brazil	Barra do Ribeiro	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Barra do Ribeiro	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Barra do Rio Azul	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Barra do Rio Azul	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Barra do Rocha	2000	75.2%	78.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Barra do Rocha	2017	77.5%	80.6%	74.5%
Brazil	Barra do Turvo	2000	94.5%	96.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Barra do Turvo	2017	94.9%	96.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Barra dos Bugre	2000	90.7%	93.0%	88.2%
Brazil	Barra dos Bugre	2017	88.8%	91.5%	85.8%
Brazil	Barra dos Coqueiros	2000	81.6%	83.4%	79.5%
Brazil	Barra dos Coqueiros	2017	84.6%	86.4%	82.9%
Brazil	Barra Funda	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Barra Funda	2017	94.4%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Barra Longa	2000	82.8%	85.9%	79.7%
Brazil	Barra Longa	2017	86.1%	88.9%	83.5%
Brazil	Barra Mansa	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Barra Mansa	2017	96.2%	96.8%	95.5%
Brazil	Barra Velha	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Barra Velha	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Barracão	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Barracão	2000	94.4%	96.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Barracão	2017	94.6%	96.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Barracão	2017	95.0%	96.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Barras	2000	60.2%	65.0%	55.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barras	2017	70.6%	74.9%	65.1%
Brazil	Barreira	2000	78.0%	80.7%	75.5%
Brazil	Barreira	2017	77.9%	81.0%	75.1%
Brazil	Barreiras	2000	75.5%	78.9%	71.3%
Brazil	Barreiras	2017	77.4%	80.6%	73.6%
Brazil	Barreiras do Piauí	2000	64.3%	73.8%	55.4%
Brazil	Barreiras do Piauí	2017	69.3%	79.1%	59.0%
Brazil	Barreirinha	2000	82.8%	86.7%	79.0%
Brazil	Barreirinha	2017	83.0%	86.9%	79.7%
Brazil	Barreirinhas	2000	55.1%	61.5%	47.8%
Brazil	Barreirinhas	2017	62.6%	70.0%	53.9%
Brazil	Barreiros	2000	81.1%	83.7%	78.5%
Brazil	Barreiros	2017	80.0%	82.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Barretos	2000	93.8%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Barretos	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Barrinha	2000	94.3%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Barrinha	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Barro	2000	81.3%	83.9%	78.4%
Brazil	Barro	2017	81.4%	84.1%	78.3%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2000	92.6%	94.3%	90.7%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2000	71.0%	74.7%	67.0%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2017	90.1%	92.6%	87.4%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2017	73.8%	78.1%	69.4%
Brazil	Barro Duro	2000	59.3%	65.8%	53.4%
Brazil	Barro Duro	2017	70.6%	77.1%	64.4%
Brazil	Barro Preto	2000	73.8%	76.7%	70.9%
Brazil	Barro Preto	2017	76.4%	79.4%	73.3%
Brazil	Barrolândia	2000	74.9%	78.7%	71.4%
Brazil	Barrolândia	2017	64.7%	69.6%	59.1%
Brazil	Barroquinha	2000	68.7%	73.4%	63.6%
Brazil	Barroquinha	2017	72.3%	77.0%	66.6%
Brazil	Barros Cassal	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Barros Cassal	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Barroso	2000	86.5%	88.9%	83.9%
Brazil	Barroso	2017	89.0%	91.0%	86.6%
Brazil	Barueri	2000	95.0%	95.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Barueri	2017	96.3%	96.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Bastos	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Brazil	Bastos	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Brazil	Bataguassu	2000	92.0%	94.0%	90.1%
Brazil	Bataguassu	2017	89.7%	92.5%	86.9%
Brazil	Bataiporã	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.3%
Brazil	Bataiporã	2017	90.2%	92.6%	87.7%
Brazil	Batalha	2000	61.8%	66.8%	57.2%
Brazil	Batalha	2000	76.5%	79.6%	73.5%
Brazil	Batalha	2017	71.1%	76.1%	66.5%
Brazil	Batalha	2017	75.0%	78.6%	71.1%
Brazil	Batatais	2000	93.5%	94.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Batatais	2017	95.1%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Baturité	2000	81.4%	83.4%	78.9%
Brazil	Baturité	2017	80.9%	83.4%	78.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bauru	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Bauru	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Bayeux	2000	83.9%	85.5%	82.2%
Brazil	Bayeux	2017	84.8%	86.2%	83.2%
Brazil	Bebedouro	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Bebedouro	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Beberibe	2000	78.4%	81.7%	75.0%
Brazil	Beberibe	2017	78.0%	81.8%	74.7%
Brazil	Bela Cruz	2000	78.3%	80.8%	75.2%
Brazil	Bela Cruz	2017	78.3%	81.1%	75.1%
Brazil	Bela Vista	2000	94.0%	96.0%	91.8%
Brazil	Bela Vista	2017	90.1%	93.5%	86.3%
Brazil	Bela Vista da Caroba	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Bela Vista da Caroba	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.3%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Goiás	2000	92.7%	93.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Goiás	2017	90.4%	91.9%	88.3%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Minas	2000	85.0%	87.2%	82.4%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Minas	2017	88.0%	89.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Maranhão	2000	56.2%	60.9%	50.5%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Maranhão	2017	62.0%	67.4%	56.1%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Paraíso	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Paraíso	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Piauí	2000	61.7%	69.0%	54.3%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Piauí	2017	71.1%	79.0%	63.7%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Toldo	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Toldo	2017	95.2%	96.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Belágua	2000	55.3%	61.5%	48.8%
Brazil	Belágua	2017	61.4%	68.5%	53.5%
Brazil	Belém	2000	81.4%	83.9%	78.8%
Brazil	Belém	2000	80.8%	82.9%	78.7%
Brazil	Belém	2000	87.6%	88.4%	86.6%
Brazil	Belém	2017	83.9%	86.6%	81.4%
Brazil	Belém	2017	86.9%	87.9%	85.6%
Brazil	Belém	2017	75.7%	78.5%	72.9%
Brazil	Belém de Maria	2000	78.6%	80.5%	76.4%
Brazil	Belém de Maria	2017	75.3%	78.0%	72.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Belém de São Francisco	2000	77.3%	80.8%	73.3%
Brazil	Belém de São Francisco	2017	75.4%	80.1%	70.4%
Brazil	Belém do Brejo do Cruz	2000	84.9%	88.0%	81.0%
Brazil	Belém do Brejo do Cruz	2017	86.3%	89.3%	82.6%
Brazil	Belém do Piauí	2000	66.1%	71.1%	60.8%
Brazil	Belém do Piauí	2017	71.1%	76.8%	64.1%
Brazil	Belford Roxo	2000	94.7%	95.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Belford Roxo	2017	95.8%	96.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Belmiro Braga	2000	89.0%	91.1%	86.8%
Brazil	Belmiro Braga	2017	91.0%	92.7%	89.1%
Brazil	Belmonte	2000	73.7%	78.1%	68.5%
Brazil	Belmonte	2000	94.8%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Belmonte	2017	76.3%	80.8%	70.3%
Brazil	Belmonte	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Belo Campo	2000	72.5%	75.9%	69.1%
Brazil	Belo Campo	2017	75.5%	79.3%	71.7%
Brazil	Belo Horizonte	2000	86.3%	87.2%	85.4%
Brazil	Belo Horizonte	2017	89.0%	89.7%	88.2%
Brazil	Belo Jardim	2000	79.3%	81.4%	77.0%
Brazil	Belo Jardim	2017	77.4%	79.9%	74.9%
Brazil	Belo Monte	2000	76.7%	79.7%	73.5%
Brazil	Belo Monte	2017	76.9%	80.6%	73.2%
Brazil	Belo Oriente	2000	84.1%	86.5%	81.0%
Brazil	Belo Oriente	2017	87.2%	89.2%	84.8%
Brazil	Belo Vale	2000	84.2%	86.7%	81.3%
Brazil	Belo Vale	2017	87.4%	89.7%	84.8%
Brazil	Belterra	2000	87.8%	90.0%	85.0%
Brazil	Belterra	2017	87.1%	89.9%	83.8%
Brazil	Beneditinos	2000	57.9%	64.7%	51.2%
Brazil	Beneditinos	2017	70.8%	77.1%	64.1%
Brazil	Benedito Leite	2000	56.7%	65.3%	46.8%
Brazil	Benedito Leite	2017	67.3%	76.0%	58.2%
Brazil	Benedito Novo	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Benedito Novo	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Benevides	2000	86.9%	88.4%	85.4%
Brazil	Benevides	2017	85.8%	87.4%	84.2%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant	2000	82.0%	86.1%	77.7%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant	2017	82.9%	86.8%	78.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Benjamin Constant do Sul	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant do Sul	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Bento de Abreu	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Bento de Abreu	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Bento Fernandes	2000	85.9%	88.5%	83.2%
Brazil	Bento Fernandes	2017	87.6%	90.1%	84.9%
Brazil	Bento Gonçalves	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Bento Gonçalves	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Bequimão	2000	55.7%	60.8%	50.7%
Brazil	Bequimão	2017	60.7%	66.9%	54.1%
Brazil	Berilo	2000	84.0%	87.6%	79.5%
Brazil	Berilo	2017	87.2%	90.3%	83.7%
Brazil	Berizal	2000	82.6%	86.3%	77.7%
Brazil	Berizal	2017	85.9%	89.1%	81.5%
Brazil	Bernardino Batista	2000	82.3%	85.1%	79.2%
Brazil	Bernardino Batista	2017	82.3%	85.3%	79.1%
Brazil	Bernardino de Campos	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Bernardino de Campos	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Bernardo do Mearim	2000	54.8%	60.0%	50.0%
Brazil	Bernardo do Mearim	2017	61.0%	66.9%	55.4%
Brazil	Bernardo Sayão	2000	76.8%	80.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Bernardo Sayão	2017	70.1%	75.3%	64.2%
Brazil	Bertioga	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Bertioga	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Brazil	Bertolândia	2000	57.1%	66.2%	47.9%
Brazil	Bertolândia	2017	68.9%	77.4%	59.9%
Brazil	Bertópolis	2000	81.2%	85.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Bertópolis	2017	83.9%	88.0%	80.2%
Brazil	Beruri	2000	79.8%	84.1%	75.4%
Brazil	Beruri	2017	80.5%	84.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Betânia	2000	78.3%	81.8%	74.9%
Brazil	Betânia	2017	76.5%	80.1%	72.5%
Brazil	Betânia do Piauí	2000	72.0%	77.0%	66.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Betânia do Piauí	2017	73.5%	79.3%	68.0%
Brazil	Betim	2000	85.2%	86.3%	83.8%
Brazil	Betim	2017	88.0%	89.0%	86.8%
Brazil	Bezerros	2000	77.8%	79.9%	75.4%
Brazil	Bezerros	2017	75.7%	78.2%	73.0%
Brazil	Bias Fortes	2000	86.8%	89.4%	84.1%
Brazil	Bias Fortes	2017	88.9%	91.3%	86.2%
Brazil	Bicas	2000	89.1%	91.2%	86.5%
Brazil	Bicas	2017	91.0%	92.7%	88.7%
Brazil	Biguaçu	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Biguaçu	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Bilac	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Bilac	2017	96.9%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Biquinhas	2000	84.1%	88.8%	79.5%
Brazil	Biquinhas	2017	87.2%	91.3%	82.6%
Brazil	Birigui	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Birigui	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Brazil	Biritiba Mirim	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Biritiba Mirim	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Biritinga	2000	73.3%	76.9%	69.6%
Brazil	Biritinga	2017	76.2%	80.3%	71.4%
Brazil	Bituruna	2000	93.8%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Bituruna	2017	93.6%	95.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Blumenau	2000	94.4%	95.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Blumenau	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	86.2%	89.1%	83.2%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	83.7%	86.5%	81.0%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	94.5%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	89.1%	91.5%	86.2%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	84.7%	87.2%	81.9%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	94.0%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Iguaçu	2000	93.3%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Iguaçu	2017	92.9%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Sul	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Sul	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Brazil	Boa Hora	2000	60.1%	65.2%	54.8%
Brazil	Boa Hora	2017	70.3%	75.4%	64.7%
Brazil	Boa Nova	2000	75.3%	78.7%	71.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Boa Nova	2017	77.6%	81.4%	73.7%
Brazil	Boa Ventura	2000	80.7%	83.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Boa Ventura	2017	80.1%	83.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Boa Ventura de São Roque	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Boa Ventura de São Roque	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Boa Viagem	2000	80.2%	82.5%	77.6%
Brazil	Boa Viagem	2017	79.9%	82.3%	77.0%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2000	77.6%	80.8%	74.0%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2000	91.6%	93.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2017	77.6%	82.2%	73.0%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2017	93.0%	94.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista da Aparecida	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista da Aparecida	2017	93.0%	94.8%	91.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Misses	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Misses	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Missões	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Missões	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Buricá	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Buricá	2017	94.4%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Gurupi	2000	77.3%	81.1%	72.5%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Gurupi	2017	78.7%	83.0%	73.3%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Ramos	2000	80.6%	85.5%	76.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Ramos	2017	80.8%	85.5%	76.3%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Sul	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Sul	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Tupim	2000	68.9%	73.1%	64.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Tupim	2017	71.8%	76.6%	66.1%
Brazil	Boca da Mata	2000	79.9%	82.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Boca da Mata	2017	74.6%	77.7%	71.2%
Brazil	Boca do Acre	2000	79.9%	84.6%	74.6%
Brazil	Boca do Acre	2017	81.0%	85.7%	75.4%
Brazil	Bocaina	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Bocaina	2000	62.2%	67.5%	56.9%
Brazil	Bocaina	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Bocaina	2017	70.5%	76.1%	65.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bocaina de Minas	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Bocaina de Minas	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Bocaina do Sul	2000	95.3%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Bocaina do Sul	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Bocaiúva	2000	85.3%	88.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Bocaiúva	2017	88.2%	90.6%	85.2%
Brazil	Bocaiúva do Sul	2000	93.7%	95.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Bocaiúva do Sul	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Bodó	2000	89.5%	91.8%	86.8%
Brazil	Bodó	2017	90.6%	92.8%	88.0%
Brazil	Bodocó	2000	77.2%	80.2%	74.3%
Brazil	Bodocó	2017	76.0%	80.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Bodoquena	2000	94.8%	96.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Bodoquena	2017	90.8%	94.0%	87.7%
Brazil	Bofete	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Bofete	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Boituva	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Boituva	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Bom Conselho	2000	82.9%	85.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Bom Conselho	2017	80.2%	82.9%	77.3%
Brazil	Bom despacho	2000	85.0%	87.7%	82.2%
Brazil	Bom despacho	2017	88.1%	90.6%	85.5%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	78.8%	80.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	76.9%	79.3%	74.3%
Brazil	Bom Jardim da Serra	2000	97.1%	98.0%	95.9%
Brazil	Bom Jardim da Serra	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.2%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Goiás	2000	91.7%	94.0%	88.7%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Goiás	2017	89.2%	92.5%	85.4%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Minas	2000	91.4%	93.6%	88.7%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Minas	2017	92.9%	94.9%	90.5%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	61.8%	66.8%	57.0%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	66.1%	70.6%	61.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	85.8%	87.9%	83.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	61.0%	68.7%	52.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	95.0%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	80.1%	83.3%	76.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	87.6%	89.7%	85.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	79.9%	83.7%	76.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	95.4%	96.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	70.8%	78.2%	61.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Lapa	2000	73.2%	77.5%	69.1%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Lapa	2017	77.5%	81.8%	73.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Penha	2000	87.2%	89.7%	84.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Penha	2017	89.7%	91.9%	86.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Serra	2000	69.5%	74.1%	65.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Serra	2017	72.3%	77.1%	68.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus das Selvas	2000	62.8%	68.4%	57.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus das Selvas	2017	65.8%	72.0%	59.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus de Goiás	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus de Goiás	2017	89.7%	92.0%	87.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Amparo	2000	86.3%	88.5%	83.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Amparo	2017	89.1%	91.0%	86.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Galho	2000	85.0%	87.5%	82.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Galho	2017	87.6%	90.0%	85.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Itabapoana	2000	90.3%	92.2%	87.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Itabapoana	2017	92.1%	93.8%	90.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Norte	2000	89.2%	91.2%	86.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Norte	2017	91.0%	92.9%	88.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Oeste	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Oeste	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Sul	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Sul	2017	94.1%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2000	71.1%	76.0%	65.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2000	84.5%	87.2%	81.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2017	61.1%	68.0%	54.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2017	83.1%	86.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Bom Lugar	2000	55.8%	61.0%	50.2%
Brazil	Bom Lugar	2017	61.8%	67.4%	54.3%
Brazil	Bom Princípio	2000	93.2%	94.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Bom Princípio	2017	93.8%	94.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Bom Princípio do Piauí	2000	64.8%	69.7%	59.5%
Brazil	Bom Princípio do Piauí	2017	71.6%	76.2%	66.3%
Brazil	Bom Progresso	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Bom Progresso	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Bom Repouso	2000	92.7%	94.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Bom Repouso	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Bom Retiro	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Bom Retiro	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Bom Retiro do Sul	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Bom Retiro do Sul	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	94.4%	95.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	85.8%	88.6%	82.7%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	84.6%	87.5%	81.5%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	88.3%	90.7%	85.4%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	85.4%	88.7%	82.1%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso de Itararé	2000	95.4%	97.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso de Itararé	2017	95.8%	97.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso do Sul	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso do Sul	2017	92.8%	94.5%	90.7%
Brazil	Bombinhas	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Bombinhas	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Bon Jesus dos Perdoes	2000	94.4%	95.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Bon Jesus dos Perdoes	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Bonfim	2000	84.5%	87.1%	81.7%
Brazil	Bonfim	2000	91.5%	94.1%	88.4%
Brazil	Bonfim	2017	87.7%	89.9%	85.0%
Brazil	Bonfim	2017	92.6%	94.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Bonfim do Piauí	2000	63.7%	70.6%	56.8%
Brazil	Bonfim do Piauí	2017	71.8%	78.2%	65.3%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis	2000	89.9%	92.3%	86.7%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis	2017	87.1%	90.5%	83.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bonfinópolis de Minas	2000	89.7%	92.9%	85.8%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis de Minas	2017	91.2%	94.2%	87.5%
Brazil	Boninal	2000	77.5%	81.2%	73.7%
Brazil	Boninal	2017	80.2%	83.7%	75.4%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	94.7%	96.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	78.6%	82.6%	74.7%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	87.6%	89.4%	85.3%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	81.7%	83.6%	79.7%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	81.0%	84.6%	77.0%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	86.9%	89.2%	84.3%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	79.4%	81.6%	77.0%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	91.3%	94.2%	87.7%
Brazil	Bonito de Minas	2000	85.8%	89.8%	81.9%
Brazil	Bonito de Minas	2017	87.9%	91.3%	84.1%
Brazil	Bonito de Santa Fé	2000	82.1%	84.8%	79.0%
Brazil	Bonito de Santa Fé	2017	82.1%	85.2%	78.5%
Brazil	Bonópolis	2000	92.7%	93.7%	91.7%
Brazil	Bonópolis	2017	90.1%	91.7%	88.5%
Brazil	Boqueirão	2000	76.1%	79.4%	72.7%
Brazil	Boqueirão	2017	76.1%	80.2%	71.8%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Leão	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Leão	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Piauí	2000	59.6%	65.3%	54.1%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Piauí	2017	70.0%	75.3%	64.1%
Brazil	Boqueirao dos Cochos	2000	80.7%	83.7%	77.0%
Brazil	Boqueirao dos Cochos	2017	80.5%	84.1%	76.2%
Brazil	Boquira	2000	73.7%	77.9%	68.8%
Brazil	Boquira	2017	76.9%	81.3%	72.1%
Brazil	Borá	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Borá	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Boracéia	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Boracéia	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Borba	2000	80.3%	84.8%	75.7%
Brazil	Borba	2017	81.0%	85.5%	75.5%
Brazil	Borborema	2000	83.5%	86.0%	81.5%
Brazil	Borborema	2000	94.1%	95.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Borborema	2017	95.7%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Borborema	2017	84.0%	86.4%	81.7%
Brazil	Borda da Mata	2000	87.0%	89.3%	84.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Borda da Mata	2017	89.5%	91.5%	87.4%
Brazil	Borebi	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Borebi	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Brazil	Borrazópolis	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Borrazópolis	2017	93.4%	94.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Bossoroca	2000	94.4%	96.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Bossoroca	2017	94.7%	96.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Botelhos	2000	86.6%	89.0%	84.3%
Brazil	Botelhos	2017	89.0%	91.4%	87.1%
Brazil	Botucatu	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Botucatu	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Botumirim	2000	86.7%	90.2%	82.1%
Brazil	Botumirim	2017	89.4%	92.4%	85.4%
Brazil	Botuporã	2000	73.5%	77.7%	69.2%
Brazil	Botuporã	2017	76.3%	80.4%	72.3%
Brazil	Botuverá	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Botuverá	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Braço do Norte	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Braço do Norte	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Braço do Trombudo	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Braço do Trombudo	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Braga	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Braga	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Bragança Paulista	2000	93.7%	94.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Bragança Paulista	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Braganey	2000	93.9%	95.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Braganey	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Braganga	2000	85.7%	87.9%	83.0%
Brazil	Braganga	2017	85.4%	87.8%	82.5%
Brazil	Branquinha	2000	81.8%	84.1%	79.4%
Brazil	Branquinha	2017	78.1%	81.0%	75.4%
Brazil	Bras Pires	2000	83.6%	86.7%	80.9%
Brazil	Bras Pires	2017	86.8%	89.3%	84.2%
Brazil	Brasabrantas	2000	92.5%	93.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Brasabrantas	2017	89.9%	91.4%	88.0%
Brazil	Brasilândia	2000	91.7%	93.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Brasilândia	2017	89.7%	92.3%	86.7%
Brazil	Brasilândia de Minas	2000	85.8%	88.5%	82.6%
Brazil	Brasilândia de Minas	2017	88.5%	91.0%	85.8%
Brazil	Brasilândia do Sul	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Brasilândia do Sul	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Brasilândia do Tocantins	2000	74.6%	79.2%	70.1%
Brazil	Brasilândia do Tocantins	2017	64.9%	70.6%	58.8%
Brazil	Brasília	2000	78.9%	83.4%	73.2%
Brazil	Brasília	2017	80.1%	84.1%	75.2%
Brazil	Brasília	2000	97.6%	97.9%	97.4%
Brazil	Brasília	2017	97.5%	97.8%	97.2%
Brazil	Brasília de Minas	2000	87.3%	90.4%	83.7%
Brazil	Brasília de Minas	2017	89.8%	92.4%	86.6%
Brazil	Brasnorte	2000	90.6%	93.9%	86.7%
Brazil	Brasnorte	2017	88.6%	92.8%	83.2%
Brazil	Brasópolis	2000	88.3%	90.5%	86.1%
Brazil	Brasópolis	2017	90.3%	92.5%	87.9%
Brazil	Brauna	2000	95.7%	97.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Brauna	2017	96.7%	97.8%	95.4%
Brazil	Braúnas	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Braúnas	2017	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Brazil Novo	2000	88.0%	91.3%	84.1%
Brazil	Brazil Novo	2017	87.2%	90.6%	83.2%
Brazil	Brazileira	2000	63.1%	69.0%	58.0%
Brazil	Brazileira	2017	70.9%	76.7%	65.1%
Brazil	Brejão	2000	81.5%	83.7%	79.4%
Brazil	Brejão	2017	78.6%	81.0%	75.8%
Brazil	Brejetuba	2000	88.4%	90.7%	86.3%
Brazil	Brejetuba	2017	89.1%	91.4%	86.7%
Brazil	Brejinho	2000	86.4%	88.6%	84.4%
Brazil	Brejinho	2000	83.8%	86.2%	81.2%
Brazil	Brejinho	2017	88.0%	90.1%	86.0%
Brazil	Brejinho	2017	84.0%	86.7%	80.9%
Brazil	Brejinho de Nazaré	2000	72.1%	76.4%	68.1%
Brazil	Brejinho de Nazaré	2017	61.9%	66.8%	56.1%
Brazil	Brejo	2000	55.0%	60.6%	49.5%
Brazil	Brejo	2017	64.1%	70.1%	57.7%
Brazil	Brejo Alegre	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Brejo Alegre	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Brejo da Madre de deus	2000	77.4%	79.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Brejo da Madre de deus	2017	75.8%	78.2%	73.4%
Brazil	Brejo de Areia	2000	56.7%	62.9%	50.8%
Brazil	Brejo de Areia	2017	62.4%	70.0%	55.1%
Brazil	Brejo do Cruz	2000	83.7%	86.7%	80.2%
Brazil	Brejo do Cruz	2017	85.0%	88.2%	81.1%
Brazil	Brejo do Piauí	2000	59.3%	67.4%	50.9%
Brazil	Brejo do Piauí	2017	70.7%	77.8%	62.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Brejo dos Santos	2000	84.7%	87.5%	81.4%
Brazil	Brejo dos Santos	2017	85.5%	88.5%	82.0%
Brazil	Brejo Grande	2000	78.2%	81.9%	74.1%
Brazil	Brejo Grande	2017	78.4%	82.9%	73.4%
Brazil	Brejo Grande do Araguaia	2000	77.3%	80.3%	74.1%
Brazil	Brejo Grande do Araguaia	2017	73.2%	77.3%	68.6%
Brazil	Brejo Santo	2000	79.2%	81.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Brejo Santo	2017	79.0%	81.6%	75.8%
Brazil	Brejões	2000	77.5%	81.1%	73.9%
Brazil	Brejões	2017	79.6%	83.6%	75.4%
Brazil	Brejolândia	2000	72.3%	77.5%	67.7%
Brazil	Brejolândia	2017	75.4%	81.1%	69.8%
Brazil	Breu Branco	2000	87.6%	89.9%	85.1%
Brazil	Breu Branco	2017	86.7%	89.0%	83.9%
Brazil	Breves	2000	89.1%	91.1%	86.5%
Brazil	Breves	2017	88.3%	90.5%	85.8%
Brazil	Brochier	2000	94.1%	95.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Brochier	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.1%
Brazil	Brodosqui	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Brodosqui	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Brotas	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Brotas	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Brotas de Macaúbas	2000	75.3%	79.0%	70.8%
Brazil	Brotas de Macaúbas	2017	78.1%	83.1%	73.4%
Brazil	Brumadinho	2000	84.4%	86.3%	82.5%
Brazil	Brumadinho	2017	87.3%	89.2%	85.4%
Brazil	Brumado	2000	71.1%	74.5%	67.0%
Brazil	Brumado	2017	74.2%	77.8%	69.8%
Brazil	Brunópolis	2000	94.8%	96.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Brunópolis	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Brusque	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Brusque	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Bueno Brandão	2000	92.2%	93.7%	89.9%
Brazil	Bueno Brandão	2017	93.9%	95.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Buenópolis	2000	84.8%	89.3%	80.2%
Brazil	Buenópolis	2017	87.6%	91.4%	82.9%
Brazil	Buenos Aires	2000	78.5%	80.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Buenos Aires	2017	76.7%	79.0%	74.0%
Brazil	Buerarema	2000	75.2%	78.1%	71.9%
Brazil	Buerarema	2017	78.0%	80.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Bugre	2000	84.4%	86.8%	81.5%
Brazil	Bugre	2017	87.3%	89.3%	85.0%
Brazil	Buíque	2000	79.2%	81.8%	76.6%
Brazil	Buíque	2017	76.3%	79.4%	73.2%
Brazil	Bujari	2000	76.9%	80.2%	73.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bujari	2017	77.9%	81.3%	74.3%
Brazil	Bujaru	2000	86.7%	88.3%	84.7%
Brazil	Bujaru	2017	85.7%	88.0%	83.3%
Brazil	Buquim	2000	84.5%	87.0%	82.1%
Brazil	Buquim	2017	87.9%	90.1%	85.6%
Brazil	Buri	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Buri	2017	97.0%	98.0%	95.9%
Brazil	Buritama	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Buritama	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.5%
Brazil	Buriti	2000	55.0%	60.8%	49.8%
Brazil	Buriti	2017	64.4%	69.9%	58.1%
Brazil	Buriti Alegre	2000	89.7%	92.1%	87.4%
Brazil	Buriti Alegre	2017	87.5%	90.4%	84.3%
Brazil	Buriti Bravo	2000	52.4%	58.7%	46.7%
Brazil	Buriti Bravo	2017	60.7%	68.0%	53.9%
Brazil	Buriti de Goiás	2000	92.4%	94.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Buriti de Goiás	2017	89.7%	92.4%	87.1%
Brazil	Buriti do Tocantins	2000	75.5%	78.9%	71.8%
Brazil	Buriti do Tocantins	2017	71.1%	75.7%	66.5%
Brazil	Buriti dos Lopes	2000	64.6%	69.1%	59.7%
Brazil	Buriti dos Lopes	2017	72.4%	76.9%	67.4%
Brazil	Buriti dos Montes	2000	69.9%	75.5%	64.2%
Brazil	Buriti dos Montes	2017	74.3%	79.8%	67.9%
Brazil	Buriticupu	2000	61.4%	67.4%	54.7%
Brazil	Buriticupu	2017	64.7%	71.8%	57.0%
Brazil	Buritinópolis	2000	87.3%	90.7%	83.9%
Brazil	Buritinópolis	2017	84.8%	88.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Buritirama	2000	70.9%	76.8%	64.3%
Brazil	Buritirama	2017	75.7%	81.6%	68.7%
Brazil	Buritirana	2000	62.6%	68.4%	56.5%
Brazil	Buritirana	2017	63.2%	70.1%	56.4%
Brazil	Buritis	2000	90.1%	93.1%	86.9%
Brazil	Buritis	2000	91.6%	94.1%	89.3%
Brazil	Buritis	2017	89.6%	92.9%	86.2%
Brazil	Buritis	2017	90.7%	93.6%	88.0%
Brazil	Buritizal	2000	90.8%	93.4%	88.5%
Brazil	Buritizal	2017	93.0%	95.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Buritizeiro	2000	85.0%	88.3%	81.2%
Brazil	Buritizeiro	2017	88.0%	90.7%	84.4%
Brazil	Butiá	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Butiá	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Caapiranga	2000	80.4%	84.5%	75.4%
Brazil	Caapiranga	2017	81.5%	86.1%	76.9%
Brazil	Caaporã	2000	79.7%	82.3%	77.3%
Brazil	Caaporã	2017	78.8%	81.8%	75.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caarapó	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Caarapó	2017	90.1%	92.2%	87.9%
Brazil	Caatiba	2000	71.5%	75.1%	68.0%
Brazil	Caatiba	2017	72.9%	76.7%	68.9%
Brazil	Cabaceiras	2000	76.6%	79.8%	73.0%
Brazil	Cabaceiras	2017	76.3%	81.0%	71.4%
Brazil	Cabaceiras do Paraguaçu	2000	72.3%	74.8%	69.2%
Brazil	Cabaceiras do Paraguaçu	2017	75.2%	78.0%	72.0%
Brazil	Cabeceira Grande	2000	92.4%	94.0%	90.2%
Brazil	Cabeceira Grande	2017	91.8%	93.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Cabeceiras	2000	91.6%	93.7%	89.0%
Brazil	Cabeceiras	2017	90.8%	93.5%	88.0%
Brazil	Cabeceiras do Piauí	2000	59.7%	64.8%	54.3%
Brazil	Cabeceiras do Piauí	2017	70.5%	75.8%	64.7%
Brazil	Cabedelo	2000	82.1%	84.3%	79.5%
Brazil	Cabedelo	2017	82.6%	84.9%	80.0%
Brazil	Cabixi	2000	90.0%	93.5%	85.9%
Brazil	Cabixi	2017	88.6%	92.6%	84.0%
Brazil	Cabo	2000	80.0%	81.5%	78.7%
Brazil	Cabo	2017	78.1%	80.1%	76.5%
Brazil	Cabo Frio	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Cabo Frio	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Cabo Verde	2000	86.9%	89.4%	84.6%
Brazil	Cabo Verde	2017	89.5%	91.8%	87.3%
Brazil	Cabrália Paulista	2000	95.3%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Cabrália Paulista	2017	96.4%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Cabreúva	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Cabreúva	2017	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Cabrobó	2000	79.6%	82.7%	76.1%
Brazil	Cabrobó	2017	78.6%	82.3%	74.6%
Brazil	Caçador	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Caçador	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Caçapava	2000	94.2%	95.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Caçapava	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Caçapava do Sul	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Caçapava do Sul	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Cacaulândia	2000	91.0%	93.3%	88.5%
Brazil	Cacaulândia	2017	89.8%	92.5%	86.7%
Brazil	Cacequi	2000	94.2%	96.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Cacequi	2017	94.7%	96.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Cáceres	2000	91.0%	93.3%	88.5%
Brazil	Cáceres	2017	89.2%	92.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Cachoeira	2000	74.0%	76.4%	71.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cachoeira	2017	77.2%	80.0%	74.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira	2000	92.1%	93.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Alta Cachoeira	2017	89.7%	91.8%	86.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira da Prata	2000	84.8%	87.7%	81.9%
Brazil	Cachoeira da Prata	2017	87.8%	90.2%	84.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Goias	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.1%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Goias	2017	91.0%	93.2%	88.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Minas	2000	86.1%	88.3%	83.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Minas	2017	88.7%	90.6%	86.5%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Pajes	2000	85.3%	88.8%	81.4%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Pajes	2017	88.5%	91.4%	85.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Arari	2000	87.6%	89.6%	85.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Arari	2017	86.6%	88.8%	83.7%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Piriá	2000	79.4%	82.9%	75.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Piriá	2017	80.6%	84.3%	76.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Sul	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Sul	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Cachoeira dos índios	2000	80.6%	83.7%	77.7%
Brazil	Cachoeira dos índios	2017	80.6%	84.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2000	89.0%	91.5%	86.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2000	89.2%	91.6%	87.1%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2017	87.5%	90.4%	84.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2017	87.6%	90.3%	85.0%
Brazil	Cachoeira Grande	2000	55.7%	60.9%	50.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira Grande	2017	61.7%	67.4%	54.9%
Brazil	Cachoeira Paulista	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira Paulista	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cachoeiras de Macacu	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Cachoeiras de Macacu	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	93.7%	94.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	71.8%	75.7%	67.7%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	94.5%	95.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	64.9%	70.3%	59.6%
Brazil	Cachoeiro de Itapemirim	2000	85.6%	87.6%	83.5%
Brazil	Cachoeiro de Itapemirim	2017	86.6%	88.5%	84.5%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	76.5%	78.6%	74.0%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	73.6%	76.4%	69.9%
Brazil	Cacimba de Areia	2000	80.4%	83.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Cacimba de Areia	2017	80.3%	83.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Cacimba de dentro	2000	83.6%	86.1%	81.2%
Brazil	Cacimba de dentro	2017	84.6%	87.0%	82.0%
Brazil	Cacimbas	2000	82.6%	85.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Cacimbas	2017	82.9%	85.8%	79.0%
Brazil	Cacimbinhas	2000	77.6%	80.3%	74.7%
Brazil	Cacimbinhas	2017	74.1%	78.1%	70.3%
Brazil	Cacique doble	2000	94.2%	95.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Cacique doble	2017	94.7%	96.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Cacoal	2000	91.3%	92.9%	89.3%
Brazil	Cacoal	2017	90.4%	92.1%	88.4%
Brazil	Caconde	2000	87.7%	90.1%	85.0%
Brazil	Caconde	2017	90.4%	92.3%	88.0%
Brazil	Caçu	2000	91.9%	93.8%	89.4%
Brazil	Caçu	2017	89.2%	91.8%	85.9%
Brazil	Caculé	2000	74.1%	78.3%	70.4%
Brazil	Caculé	2017	76.7%	80.6%	72.5%
Brazil	Caém	2000	73.7%	77.0%	70.4%
Brazil	Caém	2017	75.5%	79.2%	71.6%
Brazil	Caetanópolis	2000	86.0%	89.0%	82.9%
Brazil	Caetanópolis	2017	88.9%	91.6%	86.1%
Brazil	Caetanos	2000	68.6%	73.3%	64.3%
Brazil	Caetanos	2017	71.7%	76.8%	66.7%
Brazil	Caeté	2000	85.6%	87.5%	83.6%
Brazil	Caeté	2017	88.4%	90.0%	86.3%
Brazil	Caetés	2000	80.8%	83.0%	78.8%
Brazil	Caetés	2017	78.6%	81.3%	75.8%
Brazil	Caetité	2000	76.3%	79.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Caetité	2017	78.9%	82.2%	75.2%
Brazil	Cafarnaum	2000	71.7%	75.3%	67.1%
Brazil	Cafarnaum	2017	74.4%	78.5%	69.2%
Brazil	Cafeara	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Cafeara	2017	93.6%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cafelândia	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2017	93.9%	95.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Cafezal do Sul	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Cafezal do Sul	2017	93.8%	95.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Caiabu	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Caiabu	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Caiana	2000	88.9%	91.1%	86.5%
Brazil	Caiana	2017	90.3%	92.3%	87.9%
Brazil	Caiapônia	2000	92.9%	95.1%	90.6%
Brazil	Caiapônia	2017	90.5%	93.7%	87.3%
Brazil	Caibaté	2000	94.2%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Caibaté	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Caibi	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Caibi	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Caçara	2000	82.0%	84.5%	79.5%
Brazil	Caçara	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Caçara	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Caçara	2017	83.4%	86.0%	80.9%
Brazil	Caçara do Norte	2000	86.3%	89.0%	83.3%
Brazil	Caçara do Norte	2017	88.1%	90.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Caçara do Rio do Vento	2000	85.7%	88.3%	82.9%
Brazil	Caçara do Rio do Vento	2017	87.3%	89.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Caicó	2000	83.9%	88.4%	78.3%
Brazil	Caicó	2017	85.4%	90.0%	80.2%
Brazil	Caieiras	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Caieiras	2017	96.8%	97.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Cairu	2000	73.0%	76.5%	69.2%
Brazil	Cairu	2017	75.8%	80.0%	71.4%
Brazil	Caiuá	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Caiuá	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Cajamar	2000	95.1%	95.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Cajamar	2017	96.4%	96.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Cajapió	2000	54.9%	60.2%	49.7%
Brazil	Cajapió	2017	60.5%	66.9%	54.5%
Brazil	Cajari	2000	55.6%	60.6%	50.4%
Brazil	Cajari	2017	61.0%	66.6%	54.9%
Brazil	Cajati	2000	94.5%	96.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Cajati	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Cajazeiras	2000	82.5%	85.4%	79.5%
Brazil	Cajazeiras	2017	82.7%	85.9%	79.7%
Brazil	Cajazeiras do Piauí	2000	55.8%	63.3%	48.1%
Brazil	Cajazeiras do Piauí	2017	68.4%	75.6%	60.8%
Brazil	Cajazeirinhas	2000	80.2%	83.4%	77.1%
Brazil	Cajazeirinhas	2017	79.9%	83.7%	76.2%
Brazil	Cajobi	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Cajobi	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cajueiro	2000	79.6%	82.0%	76.9%
Brazil	Cajueiro	2017	77.5%	80.4%	74.2%
Brazil	Cajueiro da Praia	2000	66.1%	71.5%	60.9%
Brazil	Cajueiro da Praia	2017	71.2%	77.1%	65.3%
Brazil	Cajuri	2000	86.1%	88.6%	83.6%
Brazil	Cajuri	2017	88.6%	90.9%	86.6%
Brazil	Cajuru	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Cajuru	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Calçado	2000	79.4%	81.4%	77.2%
Brazil	Calçado	2017	76.9%	79.4%	74.0%
Brazil	Calçoene	2000	92.8%	95.7%	89.0%
Brazil	Calçoene	2017	91.4%	94.8%	86.5%
Brazil	Caldas	2000	88.6%	90.6%	86.5%
Brazil	Caldas	2017	90.7%	92.4%	88.8%
Brazil	Caldas Brandão	2000	78.5%	80.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Caldas Brandão	2017	79.2%	82.3%	76.1%
Brazil	Caldas Novas	2000	90.2%	92.0%	88.2%
Brazil	Caldas Novas	2017	87.9%	90.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Caldazinha	2000	92.0%	93.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Caldazinha	2017	89.1%	90.6%	87.2%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande	2000	73.6%	76.9%	70.3%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande	2017	75.8%	79.4%	71.7%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande do Piauí	2000	71.9%	76.4%	67.7%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande do Piauí	2017	74.3%	78.7%	68.9%
Brazil	Califórnia	2000	94.3%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Califórnia	2017	93.8%	95.0%	92.4%
Brazil	Calmon	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Calmon	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Calumbi	2000	79.4%	82.5%	76.4%
Brazil	Calumbi	2017	78.1%	81.5%	74.3%
Brazil	Camacan	2000	74.7%	78.2%	71.1%
Brazil	Camacan	2017	77.5%	81.0%	73.6%
Brazil	Camaçari	2000	74.6%	76.6%	72.3%
Brazil	Camaçari	2017	77.9%	80.0%	75.5%
Brazil	Camacho	2000	87.0%	89.5%	84.1%
Brazil	Camacho	2017	89.6%	91.7%	86.9%
Brazil	Camagua	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Camagua	2017	95.4%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Camalaú	2000	78.6%	81.3%	74.7%
Brazil	Camalaú	2017	77.2%	80.6%	73.0%
Brazil	Camamu	2000	74.7%	78.2%	71.3%
Brazil	Camamu	2017	76.9%	80.5%	73.2%
Brazil	Camanducaia	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Camanducaia	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Camapuã	2000	93.8%	95.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Camapuã	2017	89.6%	92.4%	86.5%
Brazil	Camaragibe	2000	79.0%	80.1%	77.8%
Brazil	Camaragibe	2017	77.4%	79.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Camargo	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Camargo	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Cambará	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Cambará	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Cambará do Sul	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Cambará do Sul	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Cambé	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Cambé	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Cambira	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Cambira	2017	93.0%	94.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Camboriú	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Camboriú	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Cambuci	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Cambuci	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Cambuí	2000	89.1%	91.4%	86.5%
Brazil	Cambuí	2017	91.4%	93.2%	89.1%
Brazil	Cambuquira	2000	84.6%	86.8%	81.4%
Brazil	Cambuquira	2017	87.2%	89.4%	84.2%
Brazil	Cametá	2000	87.7%	89.7%	85.4%
Brazil	Cametá	2017	87.1%	89.1%	84.9%
Brazil	Camocim	2000	72.7%	76.9%	68.1%
Brazil	Camocim	2017	76.2%	80.4%	72.1%
Brazil	Camocim de São Félix	2000	80.7%	82.8%	78.8%
Brazil	Camocim de São Félix	2017	78.6%	81.3%	76.0%
Brazil	Campanário	2000	85.4%	88.6%	81.7%
Brazil	Campanário	2017	87.9%	90.9%	84.7%
Brazil	Campanha	2000	85.9%	88.2%	82.9%
Brazil	Campanha	2017	88.6%	90.5%	85.9%
Brazil	Campestre	2000	87.4%	90.0%	84.7%
Brazil	Campestre	2000	79.2%	81.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Campestre	2017	88.9%	91.3%	86.3%
Brazil	Campestre	2017	76.2%	79.5%	73.2%
Brazil	Campestre da Serra	2000	94.3%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Campestre da Serra	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Campestre de Goiás	2000	92.0%	93.2%	90.5%
Brazil	Campestre de Goiás	2017	89.0%	91.0%	86.8%
Brazil	Campestre do Maranhão	2000	67.4%	72.3%	62.7%
Brazil	Campestre do Maranhão	2017	65.5%	71.5%	59.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campina da Lagoa	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Campina da Lagoa	2017	93.5%	95.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Campina das Missões	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Campina das Missões	2017	94.5%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Campina do Monte Alegre	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Campina do Monte Alegre	2017	96.7%	97.8%	95.5%
Brazil	Campina do Simão	2000	94.5%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Campina do Simão	2017	94.1%	95.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Campina Grande	2000	82.0%	83.9%	80.1%
Brazil	Campina Grande	2017	82.3%	84.4%	80.3%
Brazil	Campina Grande do Sul	2000	93.3%	94.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Campina Grande do Sul	2017	92.6%	93.6%	91.3%
Brazil	Campina Verde	2000	90.1%	93.1%	86.6%
Brazil	Campina Verde	2017	91.6%	94.2%	88.3%
Brazil	Campinaçu	2000	92.0%	94.2%	89.8%
Brazil	Campinaçu	2017	89.3%	92.3%	86.2%
Brazil	Campinápolis	2000	91.5%	94.3%	87.9%
Brazil	Campinápolis	2017	89.8%	93.4%	84.9%
Brazil	Campinas	2000	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Campinas	2017	96.2%	96.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Campinas do Piauí	2000	60.0%	66.8%	53.5%
Brazil	Campinas do Piauí	2017	70.3%	77.1%	63.3%
Brazil	Campinas do Sul	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Campinas do Sul	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Campinorte	2000	92.4%	94.1%	90.3%
Brazil	Campinorte	2017	90.1%	92.3%	87.4%
Brazil	Campo	2000	94.0%	94.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Campo	2017	93.5%	94.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2000	78.8%	80.9%	76.5%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2017	74.5%	77.1%	71.6%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Goiás	2000	89.0%	91.2%	86.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Goiás	2017	87.0%	90.1%	83.5%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Lourdes	2000	66.0%	72.2%	59.8%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Lourdes	2017	73.3%	78.8%	67.0%
Brazil	Campo Alegre do Fidalgo	2000	64.1%	70.9%	57.6%
Brazil	Campo Alegre do Fidalgo	2017	71.6%	79.1%	64.5%
Brazil	Campo Azul	2000	85.9%	89.7%	81.8%
Brazil	Campo Azul	2017	88.5%	91.8%	84.8%
Brazil	Campo Belo	2000	85.2%	87.8%	82.0%
Brazil	Campo Belo	2017	88.1%	90.7%	85.4%
Brazil	Campo Belo do Sul	2000	94.8%	96.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Campo Belo do Sul	2017	95.3%	97.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Campo Bom	2000	94.2%	94.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Campo Bom	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Campo Bonito	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Campo Bonito	2017	93.7%	95.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Campo do Brito	2000	83.8%	85.6%	81.3%
Brazil	Campo do Brito	2017	86.9%	88.7%	84.7%
Brazil	Campo do Meio	2000	84.3%	87.2%	81.1%
Brazil	Campo do Meio	2017	87.3%	90.1%	84.4%
Brazil	Campo do Tenente	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Campo do Tenente	2017	94.4%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Campo Erê	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Campo Erê	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Campo Florido	2000	86.3%	89.5%	82.5%
Brazil	Campo Florido	2017	89.1%	91.8%	85.6%
Brazil	Campo Formoso	2000	75.1%	78.4%	72.0%
Brazil	Campo Formoso	2017	77.3%	80.5%	73.9%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2000	77.5%	80.0%	74.7%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2017	90.3%	91.8%	89.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campo Grande	2017	76.0%	79.0%	72.8%
Brazil	Campo Grande do Piauí	2000	66.1%	71.2%	60.4%
Brazil	Campo Grande do Piauí	2017	72.2%	77.9%	65.8%
Brazil	Campo Largo do Piauí	2000	58.0%	63.0%	52.8%
Brazil	Campo Largo do Piauí	2017	67.9%	72.5%	62.6%
Brazil	Campo Limpo Paulista	2000	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Brazil	Campo Limpo Paulista	2017	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Brazil	Campo Magro	2000	93.6%	94.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Campo Magro	2017	93.0%	93.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Campo Maior	2000	60.1%	65.7%	54.4%
Brazil	Campo Maior	2017	71.0%	76.7%	64.8%
Brazil	Campo Mourão	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Campo Mourão	2017	93.6%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Campo Novo	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Campo Novo	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Campo Novo de Rondônia	2000	91.6%	94.0%	88.9%
Brazil	Campo Novo de Rondônia	2017	90.6%	93.4%	87.7%
Brazil	Campo Novo do Parecis	2000	91.7%	94.2%	88.7%
Brazil	Campo Novo do Parecis	2017	89.8%	93.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Campo Real	2000	94.4%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Campo Real	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Campo Redondo	2000	86.6%	89.1%	83.9%
Brazil	Campo Redondo	2017	87.2%	89.8%	84.3%
Brazil	Campo Verde	2000	92.2%	94.0%	89.8%
Brazil	Campo Verde	2017	90.5%	92.8%	87.3%
Brazil	Campos	2000	94.2%	95.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Campos	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Campos Altos	2000	85.8%	89.1%	81.6%
Brazil	Campos Altos	2017	88.5%	91.2%	84.5%
Brazil	Campos Belos	2000	83.9%	87.2%	79.7%
Brazil	Campos Belos	2017	80.2%	85.2%	75.2%
Brazil	Campos Borges	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Campos Borges	2017	94.7%	96.2%	93.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campos de Júlio	2000	91.4%	94.5%	87.3%
Brazil	Campos de Júlio	2017	89.7%	93.6%	84.4%
Brazil	Campos do Jordão	2000	95.6%	96.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Campos do Jordão	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
Brazil	Campos Gerais	2000	84.8%	87.6%	81.8%
Brazil	Campos Gerais	2017	87.7%	90.4%	84.9%
Brazil	Campos Lindos	2000	63.5%	70.5%	56.6%
Brazil	Campos Lindos	2017	60.1%	69.8%	50.9%
Brazil	Campos Novos	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Campos Novos	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Campos Novos Paulista	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Campos Novos Paulista	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Campos Sales	2000	74.5%	78.2%	70.9%
Brazil	Campos Sales	2017	75.9%	79.7%	71.9%
Brazil	Campos Verdes	2000	91.7%	93.7%	89.4%
Brazil	Campos Verdes	2017	89.3%	92.1%	86.1%
Brazil	Campos Verdes de Goiás	2000	84.4%	87.0%	81.3%
Brazil	Campos Verdes de Goiás	2017	87.5%	90.0%	84.7%
Brazil	Camutanga	2000	80.1%	82.2%	77.6%
Brazil	Camutanga	2017	79.7%	82.2%	76.9%
Brazil	Canaã	2000	86.8%	89.9%	84.1%
Brazil	Canaã	2017	89.2%	91.8%	86.8%
Brazil	Canaã dos Carajás	2000	88.8%	91.2%	86.1%
Brazil	Canaã dos Carajás	2017	88.1%	90.6%	85.0%
Brazil	CanaBrava do Norte	2000	88.5%	92.2%	83.5%
Brazil	CanaBrava do Norte	2017	86.8%	91.1%	81.2%
Brazil	Cananéia	2000	94.8%	96.3%	92.9%
Brazil	Cananéia	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Canapi	2000	77.7%	80.8%	74.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Canapi	2017	74.4%	78.7%	70.2%
Brazil	Canápolis	2000	90.1%	92.7%	87.8%
Brazil	Canápolis	2000	75.3%	79.8%	70.4%
Brazil	Canápolis	2017	90.1%	92.6%	87.4%
Brazil	Canápolis	2017	78.0%	82.9%	72.9%
Brazil	Canarana	2000	70.8%	74.6%	66.5%
Brazil	Canarana	2000	91.3%	94.2%	86.9%
Brazil	Canarana	2017	89.9%	93.5%	85.3%
Brazil	Canarana	2017	73.6%	77.7%	69.0%
Brazil	Canas	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Canas	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Canavieira	2000	55.5%	65.2%	46.6%
Brazil	Canavieira	2017	67.7%	76.5%	57.3%
Brazil	Canavieiras	2000	74.9%	79.3%	70.2%
Brazil	Canavieiras	2017	75.6%	80.1%	69.8%
Brazil	Candeal	2000	73.4%	76.9%	69.6%
Brazil	Candeal	2017	76.2%	80.2%	72.3%
Brazil	Candeias	2000	85.8%	88.5%	82.6%
Brazil	Candeias	2000	73.2%	75.5%	70.8%
Brazil	Candeias	2017	88.5%	91.0%	85.8%
Brazil	Candeias	2017	75.9%	78.7%	73.4%
Brazil	Candeias do Jamari	2000	90.1%	91.9%	88.0%
Brazil	Candeias do Jamari	2017	89.2%	91.2%	86.8%
Brazil	Candelária	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Candelária	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Candiba	2000	73.9%	77.5%	69.8%
Brazil	Candiba	2017	76.3%	80.2%	72.3%
Brazil	Cândido de Abreu	2000	93.8%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Cândido de Abreu	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Cândido Godói	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Cândido Godói	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Cândido Mendes	2000	70.5%	75.7%	64.8%
Brazil	Cândido Mendes	2017	71.0%	77.8%	64.8%
Brazil	Cândido Mota	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Cândido Mota	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Cândido Rodrigues	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Cândido Rodrigues	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Cândido Sales	2000	75.6%	79.2%	72.0%
Brazil	Cândido Sales	2017	78.7%	82.1%	75.3%
Brazil	Candiota	2000	94.0%	96.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Candiota	2017	94.3%	96.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Candói	2000	93.7%	95.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Candói	2017	93.2%	94.9%	91.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Canela	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Canela	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Canelinha	2000	94.2%	95.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Canelinha	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Canguaretama	2000	84.7%	87.1%	81.9%
Brazil	Canguaretama	2017	85.9%	88.5%	83.3%
Brazil	Canguçu	2000	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Canguçu	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Canhoba	2000	80.0%	82.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Canhoba	2017	82.2%	84.8%	79.0%
Brazil	Canhotinho	2000	80.7%	82.7%	78.6%
Brazil	Canhotinho	2017	77.7%	80.3%	75.2%
Brazil	Canindé	2000	79.3%	82.1%	76.0%
Brazil	Canindé	2017	80.7%	83.8%	76.7%
Brazil	Canindé de São Francisco	2000	77.1%	80.1%	73.7%
Brazil	Canindé de São Francisco	2017	78.0%	81.2%	73.8%
Brazil	Canitar	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Canitar	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Canoas	2000	94.1%	94.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Canoas	2017	94.5%	95.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Canoinhas	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Canoinhas	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Cansanção	2000	72.0%	75.7%	68.3%
Brazil	Cansanção	2017	75.1%	79.4%	70.7%
Brazil	Cantá	2000	91.2%	93.2%	89.2%
Brazil	Cantá	2017	92.6%	94.2%	90.7%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	86.1%	89.3%	83.0%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	93.6%	95.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.3%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	88.8%	91.7%	85.9%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Cantanhede	2000	55.1%	60.5%	49.0%
Brazil	Cantanhede	2017	61.2%	67.1%	53.7%
Brazil	Canto do Buriti	2000	58.6%	66.7%	50.5%
Brazil	Canto do Buriti	2017	70.5%	78.3%	62.0%
Brazil	Canudos	2000	74.5%	78.8%	69.6%
Brazil	Canudos	2017	76.0%	80.9%	70.2%
Brazil	Canutama	2000	84.0%	88.4%	77.5%
Brazil	Canutama	2017	83.7%	88.4%	77.2%
Brazil	Capanema	2000	87.1%	89.3%	84.8%
Brazil	Capanema	2000	93.8%	95.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Capanema	2017	86.4%	88.7%	84.1%
Brazil	Capanema	2017	93.5%	95.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Capão Alto	2000	95.0%	96.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Capão Alto	2017	95.4%	96.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Capão Bonito	2000	96.5%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Capão Bonito	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Capão da Canoa	2000	93.9%	94.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Capão da Canoa	2017	94.4%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Capão Leão	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Capão Leão	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Caparaó	2000	88.2%	90.4%	85.9%
Brazil	Caparaó	2017	89.8%	91.8%	87.7%
Brazil	Capela	2000	85.6%	87.7%	83.3%
Brazil	Capela	2000	80.8%	83.1%	78.5%
Brazil	Capela	2017	88.3%	90.2%	86.2%
Brazil	Capela	2017	76.6%	79.5%	73.8%
Brazil	Capela de Santana	2000	93.5%	95.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Capela de Santana	2017	94.1%	95.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Capela do Alto	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Capela do Alto	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Capela do Alto Alegre	2000	71.0%	74.8%	67.0%
Brazil	Capela do Alto Alegre	2017	74.1%	78.2%	69.8%
Brazil	Capela Nova	2000	84.7%	87.2%	81.6%
Brazil	Capela Nova	2017	87.5%	89.9%	85.0%
Brazil	Capelinha	2000	87.2%	90.1%	84.0%
Brazil	Capelinha	2017	89.6%	92.2%	86.4%
Brazil	Capetinga	2000	90.1%	92.4%	87.4%
Brazil	Capetinga	2017	92.2%	94.0%	90.0%
Brazil	Capim	2000	81.4%	83.8%	79.0%
Brazil	Capim	2017	82.1%	84.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Capim Branco	2000	86.1%	88.1%	83.9%
Brazil	Capim Branco	2017	89.0%	90.5%	87.0%
Brazil	Capim Grosso	2000	72.5%	75.8%	68.9%
Brazil	Capim Grosso	2017	75.6%	79.0%	72.2%
Brazil	Capinópolis	2000	91.0%	93.3%	88.7%
Brazil	Capinópolis	2017	90.7%	92.9%	88.1%
Brazil	Capinzal	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Capinzal	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Capinzal do Norte	2000	53.3%	58.8%	48.1%
Brazil	Capinzal do Norte	2017	59.7%	66.4%	53.2%
Brazil	Capistrano	2000	81.5%	84.0%	78.9%
Brazil	Capistrano	2017	81.1%	84.0%	77.9%
Brazil	Capitão	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Capitão	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Capitão Andrade	2000	83.7%	87.7%	79.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Capitão Andrade	2017	86.9%	90.3%	83.1%
Brazil	Capitão de Campos	2000	62.4%	68.7%	56.9%
Brazil	Capitão de Campos	2017	72.0%	77.4%	67.0%
Brazil	Capitão Enéas	2000	84.2%	86.8%	80.9%
Brazil	Capitão Enéas	2017	87.2%	89.7%	84.6%
Brazil	Capitão Gervásio Oliveira	2000	64.5%	71.2%	57.9%
Brazil	Capitão Gervásio Oliveira	2017	71.5%	79.0%	63.9%
Brazil	Capitão Leônidas Marques	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Capitão Leônidas Marques	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Capitão Poço	2000	87.2%	89.4%	84.7%
Brazil	Capitão Poço	2017	87.0%	89.1%	84.3%
Brazil	Capitólio	2000	85.1%	88.5%	80.7%
Brazil	Capitólio	2017	87.9%	91.0%	84.1%
Brazil	Capivari	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Capivari	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Capivari de Baixo	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Capivari de Baixo	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Capivari do Sul	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Capivari do Sul	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Capixaba	2000	77.8%	82.8%	71.8%
Brazil	Capixaba	2017	78.4%	83.9%	72.0%
Brazil	Capoeiras	2000	80.4%	82.6%	78.5%
Brazil	Capoeiras	2017	78.4%	81.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Caputira	2000	87.6%	89.9%	85.1%
Brazil	Caputira	2017	89.7%	91.7%	87.1%
Brazil	Caraá	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Caraá	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Caracaráí	2000	90.7%	93.7%	87.0%
Brazil	Caracaráí	2017	92.1%	94.7%	88.8%
Brazil	Caracol	2000	93.9%	96.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Caracol	2000	65.6%	72.3%	58.7%
Brazil	Caracol	2017	74.2%	80.8%	68.0%
Brazil	Caracol	2017	90.0%	93.6%	85.5%
Brazil	Caragatatuba	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Caragatatuba	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Caraí	2000	87.2%	90.2%	83.8%
Brazil	Caraí	2017	89.9%	92.4%	87.1%
Brazil	Caraíbas	2000	70.2%	74.2%	66.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caraúbas	2017	73.1%	77.6%	68.5%
Brazil	Carambeí	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Carambeí	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Caranaíba	2000	85.5%	88.0%	83.2%
Brazil	Caranaíba	2017	88.2%	90.3%	85.8%
Brazil	Carandaí	2000	86.6%	88.9%	84.4%
Brazil	Carandaí	2017	89.0%	91.0%	86.7%
Brazil	Carangola	2000	87.1%	89.3%	84.5%
Brazil	Carangola	2017	88.9%	90.9%	86.5%
Brazil	Carapicuíba	2000	95.1%	95.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Carapicuíba	2017	96.4%	96.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Caratinga	2000	87.7%	89.8%	85.4%
Brazil	Caratinga	2017	89.9%	91.8%	88.1%
Brazil	Carauari	2000	81.8%	88.1%	74.8%
Brazil	Carauari	2017	83.0%	89.2%	75.8%
Brazil	Caraúbas	2000	77.9%	80.8%	74.7%
Brazil	Caraúbas	2000	85.9%	88.6%	82.5%
Brazil	Caraúbas	2017	77.4%	80.8%	73.2%
Brazil	Caraúbas	2017	87.5%	90.1%	84.3%
Brazil	Caraúbas do Piauí	2000	62.9%	68.6%	56.5%
Brazil	Caraúbas do Piauí	2017	71.1%	76.0%	64.7%
Brazil	Caravahópolis	2000	84.0%	86.9%	80.7%
Brazil	Caravahópolis	2017	86.8%	89.9%	84.0%
Brazil	Caravelas	2000	76.3%	79.5%	72.4%
Brazil	Caravelas	2017	77.7%	81.5%	73.4%
Brazil	Carazinho	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Carazinho	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Carbonita	2000	84.4%	88.6%	79.9%
Brazil	Carbonita	2017	87.3%	91.2%	83.0%
Brazil	Cardeal da Silva	2000	74.4%	78.2%	70.6%
Brazil	Cardeal da Silva	2017	76.7%	80.8%	72.3%
Brazil	Cardoso	2000	93.5%	95.7%	91.0%
Brazil	Cardoso	2017	94.9%	96.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Cardoso Moreira	2000	93.4%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	Cardoso Moreira	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Careçu	2000	84.2%	86.8%	81.3%
Brazil	Careçu	2017	87.0%	89.4%	84.2%
Brazil	Careiro	2000	79.7%	82.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Careiro	2017	80.5%	83.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Careiro da Várzea	2000	79.0%	81.5%	76.2%
Brazil	Careiro da Várzea	2017	79.5%	82.5%	76.2%
Brazil	Carepebus	2000	94.6%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Carepebus	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Cariacica	2000	85.7%	87.0%	84.2%
Brazil	Cariacica	2017	86.1%	87.5%	84.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caridade	2000	78.6%	81.5%	75.8%
Brazil	Caridade	2017	78.5%	81.6%	75.2%
Brazil	Caridade do Piauí	2000	67.9%	73.3%	62.0%
Brazil	Caridade do Piauí	2017	72.0%	78.4%	65.0%
Brazil	Carinhanha	2000	75.3%	79.9%	70.8%
Brazil	Carinhanha	2017	78.1%	82.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Carira	2000	82.3%	85.4%	79.3%
Brazil	Carira	2017	85.4%	88.2%	82.3%
Brazil	Cariré	2000	76.0%	78.7%	72.9%
Brazil	Cariré	2017	76.1%	79.2%	72.5%
Brazil	Cariri do Tocantins	2000	76.0%	79.9%	72.1%
Brazil	Cariri do Tocantins	2017	66.0%	71.4%	61.2%
Brazil	Caririaçu	2000	80.3%	82.7%	78.0%
Brazil	Caririaçu	2017	79.5%	82.4%	76.9%
Brazil	Cariús	2000	77.7%	80.6%	74.8%
Brazil	Cariús	2017	77.2%	80.5%	74.0%
Brazil	Carlinda	2000	90.5%	93.4%	87.3%
Brazil	Carlinda	2017	88.6%	92.0%	84.9%
Brazil	Carlópolis	2000	93.5%	95.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Carlópolis	2017	94.0%	95.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Carlos Barbosa	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Carlos Barbosa	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Carlos Chagas	2000	82.7%	86.9%	78.1%
Brazil	Carlos Chagas	2017	85.4%	88.6%	81.1%
Brazil	Carlos Gomes	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Carlos Gomes	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Carmésia	2000	86.4%	89.4%	83.2%
Brazil	Carmésia	2017	88.9%	91.6%	85.6%
Brazil	Carmo	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.7%
Brazil	Carmo	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	Carmo da Cachoeira	2000	85.4%	88.1%	82.5%
Brazil	Carmo da Cachoeira	2017	88.1%	90.5%	85.3%
Brazil	Carmo da Mata	2000	84.8%	87.5%	81.4%
Brazil	Carmo da Mata	2017	88.1%	90.6%	85.1%
Brazil	Carmo de Minas	2000	87.1%	89.1%	84.6%
Brazil	Carmo de Minas	2017	89.4%	91.3%	87.0%
Brazil	Carmo do Cajuru	2000	84.2%	86.5%	81.5%
Brazil	Carmo do Cajuru	2017	87.4%	89.3%	85.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Carmo do Paranaíba	2000	87.0%	90.2%	83.6%
Brazil	Carmo do Paranaíba	2017	89.5%	92.2%	86.5%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Claro	2000	85.3%	87.9%	82.1%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Claro	2017	87.9%	90.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Verde	2000	92.5%	93.8%	91.0%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Verde	2017	89.9%	91.8%	87.7%
Brazil	Carmolândia	2000	75.0%	78.2%	71.2%
Brazil	Carmolândia	2017	66.1%	71.1%	60.8%
Brazil	Carmópolis	2000	82.8%	84.8%	80.5%
Brazil	Carmópolis	2017	85.7%	87.7%	83.3%
Brazil	Carmópolis de Minas	2000	85.6%	88.0%	82.5%
Brazil	Carmópolis de Minas	2017	88.7%	90.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Carnaíba	2000	79.4%	82.3%	76.5%
Brazil	Carnaíba	2017	77.6%	80.8%	74.5%
Brazil	Carnaúba dos Dantas	2000	86.0%	88.5%	82.5%
Brazil	Carnaúba dos Dantas	2017	87.1%	89.6%	83.7%
Brazil	Carnaubais	2000	87.1%	89.8%	84.0%
Brazil	Carnaubais	2017	88.8%	91.5%	85.7%
Brazil	Carnaubal	2000	77.1%	80.1%	74.1%
Brazil	Carnaubal	2017	77.8%	81.1%	74.5%
Brazil	Carnaubeira da Penha	2000	78.7%	82.3%	75.3%
Brazil	Carnaubeira da Penha	2017	77.5%	81.3%	73.3%
Brazil	Carneirinho	2000	91.0%	93.5%	88.5%
Brazil	Carneirinho	2017	90.3%	93.0%	87.0%
Brazil	Carneiros	2000	78.0%	81.0%	75.2%
Brazil	Carneiros	2017	75.7%	79.4%	72.1%
Brazil	Caroebe	2000	91.2%	94.7%	86.1%
Brazil	Caroebe	2017	92.3%	95.3%	88.2%
Brazil	Carolina	2000	66.5%	71.4%	61.4%
Brazil	Carolina	2017	60.5%	66.8%	54.3%
Brazil	Carpina	2000	81.0%	82.6%	79.4%
Brazil	Carpina	2017	80.3%	82.5%	78.3%
Brazil	Carrancas	2000	87.5%	90.6%	84.0%
Brazil	Carrancas	2017	89.6%	92.3%	86.6%
Brazil	Carrapateira	2000	82.2%	85.3%	78.9%
Brazil	Carrapateira	2017	82.3%	85.7%	78.3%
Brazil	Carrasco	2000	72.3%	76.1%	68.1%
Brazil	Bonito Carrasco	2017	67.4%	72.2%	62.9%
Brazil	Caruaru	2000	77.5%	79.2%	75.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caruaru	2017	75.6%	77.5%	73.4%
Brazil	Carutapera	2000	76.9%	81.4%	71.9%
Brazil	Carutapera	2017	77.4%	82.5%	71.9%
Brazil	Carvalhos	2000	91.6%	93.7%	89.5%
Brazil	Carvalhos	2017	93.1%	94.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Casa Branca	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Casa Branca	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Casa Grande	2000	85.1%	87.6%	82.6%
Brazil	Casa Grande	2017	87.9%	90.0%	85.3%
Brazil	Casa Nova	2000	72.9%	76.9%	69.1%
Brazil	Casa Nova	2017	74.6%	78.6%	70.0%
Brazil	Casca	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Casca	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Cascalho Rico	2000	85.6%	88.4%	83.0%
Brazil	Cascalho Rico	2017	86.3%	89.4%	82.9%
Brazil	Cascavel	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Cascavel	2000	79.1%	82.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Cascavel	2017	94.4%	95.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Cascavel	2017	78.8%	82.3%	76.0%
Brazil	Caseara	2000	81.2%	86.0%	75.5%
Brazil	Caseara	2017	77.4%	83.0%	70.4%
Brazil	Caseiros	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Caseiros	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Casimiro de Abreu	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Casimiro de Abreu	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Casinhas	2000	76.3%	78.7%	73.5%
Brazil	Casinhas	2017	74.8%	78.0%	71.7%
Brazil	Casserengue	2000	81.5%	84.1%	79.1%
Brazil	Casserengue	2017	82.2%	85.1%	79.3%
Brazil	Cássia	2000	87.7%	90.7%	84.8%
Brazil	Cássia	2017	90.3%	92.6%	87.5%
Brazil	Cássia dos Coqueiros	2000	92.7%	94.4%	90.9%
Brazil	Cássia dos Coqueiros	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Cassilândia	2000	92.3%	94.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Cassilândia	2017	88.6%	91.4%	85.4%
Brazil	Cassiterita	2000	84.5%	87.9%	80.5%
Brazil	Cassiterita	2017	87.1%	89.9%	83.4%
Brazil	Castanhal	2000	88.2%	89.9%	86.6%
Brazil	Castanhal	2017	87.5%	89.1%	85.7%
Brazil	Castanheira	2000	90.2%	93.3%	86.3%
Brazil	Castanheira	2017	88.5%	92.1%	83.6%
Brazil	Castanheiras	2000	90.2%	92.1%	88.0%
Brazil	Castanheiras	2017	89.0%	91.1%	86.5%
Brazil	Castelândia	2000	91.5%	93.5%	89.3%
Brazil	Castelândia	2017	89.0%	91.9%	85.9%
Brazil	Castelo	2000	84.5%	86.8%	82.2%
Brazil	Castelo	2017	84.6%	87.1%	81.5%
Brazil	Castelo do Piauí	2000	63.0%	69.5%	56.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Castelo do Piauí	2017	71.1%	78.4%	63.6%
Brazil	Castilho	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.5%
Brazil	Castilho	2017	91.7%	93.6%	89.7%
Brazil	Castro	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Castro	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Castro Alves	2000	74.3%	77.2%	71.6%
Brazil	Castro Alves	2017	77.3%	80.5%	74.0%
Brazil	Cataguases	2000	86.9%	89.3%	84.3%
Brazil	Cataguases	2017	89.6%	91.5%	87.4%
Brazil	Catalão	2000	87.2%	89.1%	84.9%
Brazil	Catalão	2017	85.6%	87.9%	83.0%
Brazil	Catanduva	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Catanduva	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	Catandubas	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Catandubas	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Catandubas	2017	93.6%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Catandubas	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Catarina	2000	78.4%	81.5%	74.4%
Brazil	Catarina	2017	78.5%	82.0%	73.7%
Brazil	Catas Altas	2000	84.9%	87.7%	82.1%
Brazil	Catas Altas	2017	88.0%	90.4%	85.3%
Brazil	Catas Altas da Noruega	2000	84.6%	87.1%	81.6%
Brazil	Catas Altas da Noruega	2017	87.6%	89.9%	84.7%
Brazil	Catende	2000	79.9%	81.7%	77.9%
Brazil	Catende	2017	77.5%	80.1%	75.1%
Brazil	Catigua	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Catigua	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Catingueira	2000	80.4%	83.4%	77.2%
Brazil	Catingueira	2017	79.6%	83.4%	75.7%
Brazil	Catolândia	2000	74.1%	78.7%	69.2%
Brazil	Catolândia	2017	76.1%	80.8%	70.6%
Brazil	Catolé do Rocha	2000	84.3%	87.1%	81.0%
Brazil	Catolé do Rocha	2017	85.3%	88.1%	82.0%
Brazil	Catu	2000	75.0%	77.5%	72.3%
Brazil	Catu	2017	77.3%	79.8%	74.5%
Brazil	Catuípe	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Catuípe	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Catuji	2000	86.2%	89.5%	82.7%
Brazil	Catuji	2017	88.8%	91.6%	85.7%
Brazil	Catunda	2000	78.8%	82.0%	75.9%
Brazil	Catunda	2017	78.7%	82.1%	74.9%
Brazil	Caturai	2000	92.5%	93.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Caturai	2017	89.8%	91.5%	88.0%
Brazil	Caturama	2000	72.0%	76.0%	67.6%
Brazil	Caturama	2017	74.4%	79.1%	70.0%
Brazil	Caturité	2000	78.2%	81.5%	74.9%
Brazil	Caturité	2017	78.5%	81.9%	74.9%
Brazil	Catuti	2000	83.1%	86.8%	78.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Catuti	2017	86.5%	89.8%	82.3%
Brazil	Caucaia	2000	78.1%	79.5%	76.7%
Brazil	Caucaia	2017	77.2%	78.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Cavalcante	2000	90.1%	92.4%	87.5%
Brazil	Cavalcante	2017	87.0%	90.0%	83.5%
Brazil	Caxambu	2000	86.5%	88.7%	83.2%
Brazil	Caxambu	2017	88.9%	90.9%	85.9%
Brazil	Caxambu do Sul	2000	94.4%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Caxambu do Sul	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Caxias	2000	52.5%	58.0%	47.3%
Brazil	Caxias	2017	61.9%	68.4%	55.5%
Brazil	Caxias do Sul	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Caxias do Sul	2017	95.6%	96.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Caxingó	2000	62.3%	67.7%	56.6%
Brazil	Caxingó	2017	70.5%	75.4%	64.3%
Brazil	Ceará-Mirim	2000	86.6%	88.9%	84.0%
Brazil	Ceará-Mirim	2017	87.8%	89.7%	85.3%
Brazil	Cedral	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Cedral	2000	56.9%	64.6%	50.2%
Brazil	Cedral	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Cedral	2017	60.8%	69.1%	51.3%
Brazil	Cedro	2000	80.4%	83.2%	77.4%
Brazil	Cedro	2000	78.5%	81.0%	75.6%
Brazil	Cedro	2017	80.1%	83.5%	76.3%
Brazil	Cedro	2017	78.0%	81.0%	74.6%
Brazil	Cedro de São João	2000	81.0%	83.5%	78.4%
Brazil	Cedro de São João	2017	83.5%	86.1%	80.8%
Brazil	Cedro do Abaeté	2000	84.8%	89.0%	80.6%
Brazil	Cedro do Abaeté	2017	87.7%	91.0%	84.1%
Brazil	Celso Ramos	2000	94.4%	96.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Celso Ramos	2017	95.0%	96.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Centenário	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Centenário	2000	68.5%	75.4%	60.7%
Brazil	Centenário	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Centenário	2017	61.2%	70.0%	52.1%
Brazil	Centenário do Sul	2000	93.9%	95.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Centenário do Sul	2017	93.8%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Central	2000	74.0%	77.8%	69.5%
Brazil	Central	2017	77.0%	81.2%	72.7%
Brazil	Central de Minas	2000	86.8%	89.6%	83.6%
Brazil	Central de Minas	2017	88.5%	91.2%	85.4%
Brazil	Central do Maranhão	2000	57.4%	63.5%	51.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Central do Maranhão	2017	61.9%	68.4%	54.7%
Brazil	Centralina	2000	91.0%	93.1%	89.0%
Brazil	Centralina	2017	90.8%	93.0%	88.3%
Brazil	Centro do Guilherme	2000	71.3%	76.4%	65.7%
Brazil	Centro do Guilherme	2017	72.7%	78.5%	66.4%
Brazil	Centro Novo do Maranhão	2000	75.1%	79.3%	70.9%
Brazil	Centro Novo do Maranhão	2017	76.4%	81.0%	72.0%
Brazil	Cerejeiras	2000	90.7%	93.7%	87.2%
Brazil	Cerejeiras	2017	89.7%	93.2%	85.9%
Brazil	Ceres	2000	92.3%	93.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Ceres	2017	89.6%	91.4%	87.4%
Brazil	Cerqueira César	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Cerqueira César	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Cerquillo	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Cerquillo	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Cerrito	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Cerrito	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Cêro Azul	2000	92.5%	94.3%	90.9%
Brazil	Cêro Azul	2017	92.3%	94.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Cerro Branco	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Cerro Branco	2017	94.4%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Cerro Corá	2000	88.8%	91.3%	86.3%
Brazil	Cerro Corá	2017	89.8%	92.2%	87.2%
Brazil	Cerro Grande	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Cerro Grande	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Cerro Grande do Sul	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Cerro Grande do Sul	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Cerro Largo	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Cerro Largo	2017	94.5%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Cerro Negro	2000	94.8%	96.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Cerro Negro	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Cesário Lange	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Cesário Lange	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Céu Azul	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Céu Azul	2017	93.7%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Cezarina	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.2%
Brazil	Cezarina	2017	88.9%	91.1%	86.3%
Brazil	Chã de Alegria	2000	77.5%	79.3%	76.0%
Brazil	Chã de Alegria	2017	75.6%	77.9%	73.2%
Brazil	Chã Grande	2000	82.5%	84.6%	80.5%
Brazil	Chã Grande	2017	80.7%	83.1%	78.0%
Brazil	Chã Preta	2000	82.0%	84.4%	79.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Chã Preta	2017	77.6%	81.0%	74.6%
Brazil	Chácara	2000	88.0%	89.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Chácara	2017	89.9%	91.4%	88.2%
Brazil	Chale	2000	85.4%	88.0%	82.2%
Brazil	Chale	2017	87.2%	89.8%	83.9%
Brazil	Chapada	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Chapada	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Chapada da Natividade	2000	74.3%	78.9%	69.2%
Brazil	Chapada da Natividade	2017	64.2%	71.5%	57.8%
Brazil	Chapada de Areia	2000	75.0%	79.5%	70.5%
Brazil	Chapada de Areia	2017	64.9%	71.1%	58.6%
Brazil	Chapada do Norte	2000	84.6%	88.2%	80.6%
Brazil	Chapada do Norte	2017	87.7%	90.7%	84.3%
Brazil	Chapada dos Guimarães	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.2%
Brazil	Chapada dos Guimarães	2017	89.6%	91.7%	86.9%
Brazil	Chapada Gaúcha	2000	88.1%	92.0%	83.8%
Brazil	Chapada Gaúcha	2017	89.4%	93.2%	84.4%
Brazil	Chapadão do Céu	2000	53.1%	58.8%	47.5%
Brazil	Chapadão do Céu	2017	61.0%	67.0%	54.2%
Brazil	Chapadão do Lageado	2000	94.7%	96.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Chapadão do Lageado	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Chapadão do Sul	2000	93.7%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Chapadão do Sul	2017	90.3%	92.7%	87.6%
Brazil	Chapadinha	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Chapadinha	2017	90.2%	92.7%	87.3%
Brazil	Chapecó	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Chapecó	2017	96.0%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Charqueada	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Charqueada	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Charqueadas	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Charqueadas	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Charrua	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Charrua	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Chaval	2000	68.1%	72.8%	63.2%
Brazil	Chaval	2017	72.2%	76.6%	67.1%
Brazil	Chavantes	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Chavantes	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Chaves	2000	89.9%	92.1%	87.0%
Brazil	Chaves	2017	88.5%	91.2%	84.5%
Brazil	Chaveslandia	2000	90.6%	92.9%	88.0%
Brazil	Chaveslandia	2017	89.5%	92.2%	86.6%
Brazil	Chiador	2000	88.9%	90.8%	86.2%
Brazil	Chiador	2017	91.0%	92.5%	88.9%
Brazil	Chiapeta	2000	94.5%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Chiapeta	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Chopinzinho	2000	93.1%	94.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Chopinzinho	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Choró	2000	79.7%	82.5%	76.8%
Brazil	Choró	2017	79.4%	82.4%	75.8%
Brazil	Chorozinho	2000	76.8%	79.6%	74.1%
Brazil	Chorozinho	2017	76.9%	79.8%	73.4%
Brazil	Chorrochó	2000	77.0%	80.9%	73.2%
Brazil	Chorrochó	2017	76.4%	80.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Chuí	2000	92.6%	96.3%	87.1%
Brazil	Chuí	2017	93.0%	96.4%	88.1%
Brazil	Chupinguaia	2000	90.3%	93.1%	87.7%
Brazil	Chupinguaia	2017	89.4%	92.4%	85.9%
Brazil	Chuvisca	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Chuvisca	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Cianorte	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Cianorte	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Cícero Dantas	2000	75.8%	78.9%	72.3%
Brazil	Cícero Dantas	2017	78.1%	81.4%	74.4%
Brazil	Cidade Gaúcha	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Cidade Gaúcha	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Cidade Ocidental	2000	94.0%	94.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Cidade Ocidental	2017	93.0%	94.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Cidelândia	2000	72.0%	76.2%	67.4%
Brazil	Cidelândia	2017	69.9%	74.2%	65.4%
Brazil	Cidreira	2000	93.6%	95.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Cidreira	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Cipó	2000	74.2%	77.6%	70.5%
Brazil	Cipó	2017	77.6%	81.2%	73.6%
Brazil	Cipotânea	2000	84.1%	87.1%	81.3%
Brazil	Cipotânea	2017	87.0%	89.6%	84.2%
Brazil	Ciríaco	2000	94.5%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Ciríaco	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Claraval	2000	90.8%	93.0%	88.3%
Brazil	Claraval	2017	93.0%	94.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Claro dos Poções	2000	85.3%	88.6%	81.4%
Brazil	Claro dos Poções	2017	87.9%	90.7%	84.3%
Brazil	Cláudia	2000	90.6%	93.5%	87.3%
Brazil	Cláudia	2017	88.5%	92.2%	84.5%
Brazil	Cláudio	2000	85.0%	87.5%	81.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cláudio	2017	88.2%	90.5%	85.2%
Brazil	Clementina	2000	96.2%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Clementina	2017	97.1%	98.1%	96.0%
Brazil	Clevelândia	2000	94.3%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Clevelândia	2017	94.3%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Coaraci	2000	77.0%	79.9%	73.6%
Brazil	Coaraci	2017	79.6%	82.8%	75.9%
Brazil	Coari	2000	82.9%	88.5%	76.8%
Brazil	Coari	2017	84.3%	89.8%	77.6%
Brazil	Cocal	2000	66.7%	71.2%	61.3%
Brazil	Cocal	2017	72.7%	77.2%	66.9%
Brazil	Cocal de Telha	2000	60.2%	66.2%	54.9%
Brazil	Cocal de Telha	2017	70.1%	75.8%	64.7%
Brazil	Cocal do Sul	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Cocal do Sul	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Cocal dos Alves	2000	66.2%	71.2%	61.4%
Brazil	Cocal dos Alves	2017	71.7%	77.0%	66.3%
Brazil	Cocalinho	2000	90.2%	92.5%	87.2%
Brazil	Cocalinho	2017	87.7%	90.7%	83.8%
Brazil	Cocalzinho de Goiás	2000	93.4%	94.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Cocalzinho de Goiás	2017	91.4%	92.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Cocos	2000	79.9%	85.1%	75.4%
Brazil	Cocos	2017	83.1%	87.4%	78.3%
Brazil	Codajás	2000	82.5%	87.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Codajás	2017	83.5%	88.4%	77.3%
Brazil	Codó	2000	53.0%	58.8%	47.4%
Brazil	Codó	2017	60.6%	67.0%	53.3%
Brazil	Coelho Neto	2000	57.9%	63.1%	51.8%
Brazil	Coelho Neto	2017	68.2%	73.7%	62.0%
Brazil	Coimbra	2000	86.3%	88.8%	84.0%
Brazil	Coimbra	2017	88.8%	90.9%	87.0%
Brazil	Coité do Nória	2000	79.4%	81.4%	77.2%
Brazil	Coité do Nória	2017	74.8%	77.6%	71.9%
Brazil	Coivaras	2000	59.8%	65.6%	53.8%
Brazil	Coivaras	2017	70.8%	76.5%	64.7%
Brazil	Colares	2000	87.7%	89.6%	85.4%
Brazil	Colares	2017	86.7%	88.9%	83.9%
Brazil	Colatina	2000	85.5%	87.4%	83.0%
Brazil	Colatina	2017	86.4%	88.4%	84.0%
Brazil	Colíder	2000	91.6%	93.9%	88.8%
Brazil	Colíder	2017	90.0%	93.1%	86.8%
Brazil	Colina	2000	93.5%	95.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Colina	2017	95.3%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Colinas	2000	93.4%	94.7%	91.9%
Brazil	Colinas	2000	53.7%	59.6%	47.6%
Brazil	Colinas	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Colinas	2017	61.3%	68.9%	54.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Colinas do Sul	2000	91.1%	93.3%	88.5%
Brazil	Colinas do Sul	2017	88.1%	91.1%	84.5%
Brazil	Colinas do Tocantins	2000	74.9%	79.3%	70.7%
Brazil	Colinas do Tocantins	2017	66.1%	71.5%	60.7%
Brazil	Colméia	2000	76.1%	80.8%	71.7%
Brazil	Colméia	2017	67.2%	73.2%	61.8%
Brazil	Colômbia	2000	90.3%	92.8%	87.1%
Brazil	Colômbia	2017	92.7%	94.7%	90.2%
Brazil	Colombo	2000	93.8%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Colombo	2017	93.3%	94.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Colônia do Gurguéia	2000	57.6%	67.1%	48.3%
Brazil	Colônia do Gurguéia	2017	69.5%	78.5%	59.5%
Brazil	Colônia do Piauí	2000	57.0%	64.0%	49.9%
Brazil	Colônia do Piauí	2017	69.1%	76.3%	61.9%
Brazil	Colônia Leopoldina	2000	80.6%	82.7%	78.6%
Brazil	Colônia Leopoldina	2017	77.2%	80.2%	74.3%
Brazil	Colorado	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Colorado	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Colorado	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Colorado	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Colorado do Oeste	2000	91.3%	94.1%	88.0%
Brazil	Colorado do Oeste	2017	90.1%	93.1%	86.5%
Brazil	Coluna	2000	85.6%	88.7%	82.3%
Brazil	Coluna	2017	88.4%	90.9%	85.6%
Brazil	Combinado	2000	79.8%	83.4%	75.3%
Brazil	Combinado	2017	75.0%	79.9%	69.5%
Brazil	Comendador Gomes	2000	88.1%	91.4%	84.4%
Brazil	Comendador Gomes	2017	90.3%	93.1%	87.2%
Brazil	Comendador Levy Gasparian	2000	89.9%	91.8%	87.8%
Brazil	Comendador Levy Gasparian	2017	91.8%	93.4%	89.9%
Brazil	Comercinho	2000	85.4%	89.0%	81.1%
Brazil	Comercinho	2017	88.7%	91.5%	84.7%
Brazil	Comodoro	2000	91.2%	94.0%	87.9%
Brazil	Comodoro	2017	89.8%	93.0%	85.7%
Brazil	Conceição	2000	81.0%	84.0%	77.9%
Brazil	Conceição	2017	80.7%	84.0%	77.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Conceição da Aparecida	2000	85.5%	88.1%	82.3%
Brazil	Conceição da Aparecida	2017	88.3%	90.6%	85.4%
Brazil	Conceição da Barra	2000	83.9%	86.8%	80.8%
Brazil	Conceição da Barra	2017	85.3%	88.2%	81.8%
Brazil	Conceição da Feira	2000	72.7%	75.4%	70.1%
Brazil	Conceição da Feira	2017	75.7%	78.6%	72.7%
Brazil	Conceição das Alagoas	2000	87.4%	89.8%	84.6%
Brazil	Conceição das Alagoas	2017	90.1%	92.3%	87.7%
Brazil	Conceição das Pedras	2000	86.7%	89.3%	83.8%
Brazil	Conceição das Pedras	2017	89.7%	91.7%	87.1%
Brazil	Conceição de Ipanema	2000	85.7%	88.2%	82.5%
Brazil	Conceição de Ipanema	2017	87.6%	89.9%	84.5%
Brazil	Conceicao do Almeida	2000	72.7%	75.5%	69.9%
Brazil	Conceicao do Almeida	2017	75.4%	78.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Conceição do Almeida	2000	73.1%	75.7%	70.5%
Brazil	Conceição do Almeida	2017	75.7%	78.5%	72.6%
Brazil	Conceição do Araguaia	2000	87.3%	89.6%	84.9%
Brazil	Conceição do Araguaia	2017	86.5%	89.0%	83.5%
Brazil	Conceição do Canindé	2000	63.1%	69.3%	57.0%
Brazil	Conceição do Canindé	2017	70.8%	77.7%	64.3%
Brazil	Conceição do Castelo	2000	87.7%	89.5%	85.3%
Brazil	Conceição do Castelo	2017	87.9%	90.0%	85.4%
Brazil	Conceição do Coité	2000	72.6%	75.5%	69.3%
Brazil	Conceição do Coité	2017	77.2%	80.1%	73.8%
Brazil	Conceição do Jacuípe	2000	74.4%	76.6%	71.7%
Brazil	Conceição do Jacuípe	2017	77.1%	79.6%	73.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Conceição do Lago-Açu	2000	56.7%	61.7%	51.0%
Brazil	Conceição do Lago-Açu	2017	63.2%	69.0%	57.0%
Brazil	Conceição do Mato Dentro	2000	85.7%	88.9%	82.4%
Brazil	Conceição do Mato Dentro	2017	88.4%	91.2%	85.1%
Brazil	Conceição do Para	2000	83.9%	86.9%	81.0%
Brazil	Conceição do Para	2017	87.1%	89.5%	84.5%
Brazil	Conceição do Rio Verde	2000	85.3%	87.8%	82.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Rio Verde	2017	88.8%	91.0%	85.8%
Brazil	Conceição do Tocantins	2000	76.0%	80.8%	70.8%
Brazil	Conceição do Tocantins	2017	67.0%	74.1%	60.0%
Brazil	Conceição dos Ouros	2000	87.0%	89.1%	84.4%
Brazil	Conceição dos Ouros	2017	89.4%	91.3%	86.9%
Brazil	Conceicao Macabu	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Conceicao Macabu	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Conchal	2000	94.3%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Conchal	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Conchas	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Conchas	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Concórdia	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Concórdia	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.6%
Brazil	Concórdia do Pará	2000	81.2%	84.5%	77.7%
Brazil	Concórdia do Pará	2017	78.9%	83.2%	74.4%
Brazil	Condado	2000	80.6%	83.4%	77.4%
Brazil	Condado	2000	79.3%	81.3%	77.0%
Brazil	Condado	2017	80.6%	83.9%	76.9%
Brazil	Condado	2017	78.3%	80.6%	75.3%
Brazil	Conde	2000	74.8%	79.1%	70.2%
Brazil	Conde	2000	82.5%	84.4%	80.3%
Brazil	Conde	2017	83.0%	85.0%	80.8%
Brazil	Conde	2017	78.0%	82.6%	72.5%
Brazil	Condeúba	2000	75.7%	80.0%	71.3%
Brazil	Condeúba	2017	78.8%	82.9%	73.6%
Brazil	Condor	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Condor	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Cônego Marinho	2000	85.8%	89.3%	81.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cônego Marinho	2017	88.4%	91.0%	84.6%
Brazil	Confins	2000	85.3%	87.0%	83.3%
Brazil	Confins	2017	88.2%	89.8%	86.5%
Brazil	Confresa	2000	88.9%	92.4%	84.6%
Brazil	Confresa	2017	87.5%	91.3%	82.7%
Brazil	Congo	2000	78.5%	81.3%	74.8%
Brazil	Congo	2017	77.8%	81.2%	73.5%
Brazil	Congonhal	2000	84.3%	87.0%	81.4%
Brazil	Congonhal	2017	87.0%	89.2%	84.5%
Brazil	Congonhas	2000	86.3%	88.4%	83.8%
Brazil	Congonhas	2017	89.2%	91.1%	87.0%
Brazil	Congonhas do Norte	2000	88.9%	91.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Congonhas do Norte	2017	91.1%	93.7%	88.3%
Brazil	Congonhinhas	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.3%
Brazil	Congonhinhas	2017	92.8%	94.5%	90.7%
Brazil	Conquista	2000	86.7%	89.8%	83.6%
Brazil	Conquista	2017	90.1%	92.4%	87.4%
Brazil	Conselheiro Lafaiete	2000	86.4%	88.4%	84.1%
Brazil	Conselheiro Lafaiete	2017	89.2%	90.8%	87.2%
Brazil	Conselheiro Mayrinck	2000	92.5%	94.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Conselheiro Mayrinck	2017	92.3%	94.4%	90.1%
Brazil	Conselheiro Pena	2000	86.5%	89.5%	83.5%
Brazil	Conselheiro Pena	2017	88.7%	91.3%	85.6%
Brazil	Consolação	2000	90.3%	92.2%	88.0%
Brazil	Consolação	2017	92.1%	93.8%	90.4%
Brazil	Constantina	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Constantina	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Contagem	2000	85.8%	86.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Contagem	2017	88.5%	89.3%	87.6%
Brazil	Contenda	2000	94.2%	95.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Contenda	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Contendas do Sincorá	2000	70.0%	74.3%	65.2%
Brazil	Contendas do Sincorá	2017	73.4%	78.5%	67.7%
Brazil	Coqueiral	2000	84.8%	87.6%	81.4%
Brazil	Coqueiral	2017	87.8%	90.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Coqueiro Seco	2000	78.4%	80.4%	76.1%
Brazil	Coqueiro Seco	2017	73.0%	75.6%	70.3%
Brazil	Coqueiros do Sul	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Coqueiros do Sul	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Coração de Jesus	2000	85.3%	87.9%	82.5%
Brazil	Coração de Jesus	2017	88.3%	90.6%	85.6%
Brazil	Coração de Maria	2000	72.9%	75.1%	70.2%
Brazil	Coração de Maria	2017	75.3%	77.9%	72.2%
Brazil	Corbélia	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Corbélia	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Cordeiro	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Cordeiro	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Cordeirópolis	2000	95.1%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Cordeirópolis	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Brazil	Cordeiros	2000	78.1%	82.2%	72.4%
Brazil	Cordeiros	2017	81.4%	85.3%	75.8%
Brazil	Cordilheira Alta	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Cordilheira Alta	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Cordisburgo	2000	86.8%	89.8%	84.1%
Brazil	Cordisburgo	2017	89.3%	91.8%	86.5%
Brazil	Cordislândia	2000	86.3%	89.3%	82.5%
Brazil	Cordislândia	2017	89.0%	91.6%	85.9%
Brazil	Coreaú	2000	74.8%	77.5%	71.9%
Brazil	Coreaú	2017	75.4%	78.5%	71.7%
Brazil	Coremas	2000	80.5%	84.1%	77.2%
Brazil	Coremas	2017	80.3%	84.2%	76.6%
Brazil	Corguinho	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Corguinho	2017	89.5%	92.0%	86.4%
Brazil	Coribe	2000	77.0%	82.3%	72.0%
Brazil	Coribe	2017	79.3%	84.4%	74.0%
Brazil	Corinto	2000	87.0%	90.0%	83.6%
Brazil	Corinto	2017	89.3%	91.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Cornélio Procópio	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Cornélio Procópio	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Coroaci	2000	86.0%	89.1%	82.5%
Brazil	Coroaci	2017	88.5%	91.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Coroados	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Coroados	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Coroatá	2000	53.1%	58.7%	47.2%
Brazil	Coroatá	2017	60.4%	66.8%	52.8%
Brazil	Coromandel	2000	86.4%	89.5%	82.7%
Brazil	Coromandel	2017	87.9%	90.8%	84.5%
Brazil	Coronel Barros	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Coronel Barros	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Coronel Bicaco	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Coronel Bicaco	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Coronel domingos Soares	2000	94.1%	95.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Coronel domingos Soares	2017	93.9%	95.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Coronel Ezequiel	2000	86.0%	88.4%	83.2%
Brazil	Coronel Ezequiel	2017	86.4%	88.9%	83.5%
Brazil	Coronel Fabriciano	2000	84.7%	86.8%	82.4%
Brazil	Coronel Fabriciano	2017	87.8%	89.5%	85.8%
Brazil	Coronel Freitas	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Coronel Freitas	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Coronel João Pessoa	2000	83.7%	86.2%	80.9%
Brazil	Coronel João Pessoa	2017	83.9%	86.4%	80.9%
Brazil	Coronel João Sá	2000	77.7%	81.4%	73.4%
Brazil	Coronel João Sá	2017	81.0%	84.7%	77.1%
Brazil	Coronel José Dias	2000	64.0%	70.4%	57.4%
Brazil	Coronel José Dias	2017	71.2%	78.0%	64.2%
Brazil	Coronel Macedo	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.1%
Brazil	Coronel Macedo	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Coronel Martins	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Coronel Martins	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Coronel Murta	2000	82.9%	86.7%	78.7%
Brazil	Coronel Murta	2017	86.5%	89.7%	82.5%
Brazil	Coronel Pacheco	2000	85.6%	88.0%	83.1%
Brazil	Coronel Pacheco	2017	87.8%	89.8%	85.4%
Brazil	Coronel Sapucaia	2000	93.8%	95.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Coronel Sapucaia	2017	89.7%	93.2%	86.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Coronel Vivida	2000	93.2%	94.9%	91.2%
Brazil	Coronel Vivida	2017	92.9%	94.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Coronel Xavier Chaves	2000	84.7%	87.6%	81.7%
Brazil	Coronel Xavier Chaves	2017	87.4%	90.0%	84.5%
Brazil	Córrego Danta	2000	85.2%	88.6%	81.1%
Brazil	Córrego Danta	2017	88.3%	91.1%	84.6%
Brazil	Córrego do Bom Jesus	2000	90.8%	92.6%	88.6%
Brazil	Córrego do Bom Jesus	2017	92.8%	94.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Córrego do Ouro	2000	92.5%	94.3%	90.6%
Brazil	Córrego do Ouro	2017	89.9%	92.5%	87.2%
Brazil	Córrego Fundo	2000	85.3%	88.1%	82.1%
Brazil	Córrego Fundo	2017	88.1%	90.7%	85.0%
Brazil	Córrego Novo	2000	85.0%	87.9%	82.3%
Brazil	Córrego Novo	2017	87.7%	90.4%	85.1%
Brazil	Correia Pinto	2000	95.2%	96.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Correia Pinto	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Corrente	2000	67.6%	74.8%	59.2%
Brazil	Corrente	2017	73.1%	81.3%	63.8%
Brazil	Correntes	2000	81.5%	83.7%	79.1%
Brazil	Correntes	2017	77.3%	80.4%	73.9%
Brazil	Correntina	2000	76.6%	81.2%	70.6%
Brazil	Correntina	2017	78.0%	83.3%	70.6%
Brazil	Cortes	2000	82.8%	84.9%	80.3%
Brazil	Cortes	2017	80.5%	83.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Corumbá	2000	92.3%	94.2%	89.9%
Brazil	Corumbá	2017	87.5%	90.6%	83.8%
Brazil	Corumbá de Goiás	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Corumbá de Goiás	2017	90.5%	92.3%	88.4%
Brazil	Corumbaíba	2000	88.8%	90.8%	86.6%
Brazil	Corumbaíba	2017	87.0%	89.6%	83.8%
Brazil	Corumbataí	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Corumbataí	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Corumbataí do Sul	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Corumbataí do Sul	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Corumbiara	2000	90.6%	93.6%	87.1%
Brazil	Corumbiara	2017	89.7%	93.0%	85.9%
Brazil	Corupá	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Corupá	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Coruripe	2000	77.3%	80.8%	73.7%
Brazil	Coruripe	2017	73.5%	77.6%	68.7%
Brazil	Cosmópolis	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Cosmópolis	2017	96.6%	97.3%	96.0%
Brazil	Cosmorama	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Cosmorama	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Costa Marques	2000	90.1%	93.9%	85.9%
Brazil	Costa Marques	2017	89.3%	93.3%	84.6%
Brazil	Costa Rica	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Costa Rica	2017	89.6%	92.3%	86.6%
Brazil	Cotegipe	2000	72.1%	76.6%	67.6%
Brazil	Cotegipe	2017	75.4%	80.2%	70.6%
Brazil	Cotia	2000	95.5%	96.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Cotia	2017	96.7%	97.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Cotiporã	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Cotiporã	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Cotriguaçu	2000	89.7%	93.0%	85.9%
Brazil	Cotriguaçu	2017	88.1%	91.7%	83.8%
Brazil	Couto de Magalhães	2000	85.8%	89.6%	81.7%
Brazil	Couto de Magalhães	2017	88.3%	91.7%	84.2%
Brazil	Couto Magalhães	2000	79.2%	82.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Couto Magalhães	2017	75.3%	80.2%	70.6%
Brazil	Coxilha	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Coxilha	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Coxim	2000	92.8%	94.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Coxim	2017	88.3%	91.5%	84.6%
Brazil	Coxixola	2000	79.1%	82.1%	75.9%
Brazil	Coxixola	2017	78.5%	82.1%	74.7%
Brazil	Craíbas	2000	77.3%	79.8%	74.8%
Brazil	Craíbas	2017	73.8%	77.1%	70.5%
Brazil	Crateús	2000	74.4%	77.7%	70.6%
Brazil	Crateús	2017	75.8%	79.4%	71.9%
Brazil	Crato	2000	80.6%	82.8%	78.5%
Brazil	Crato	2017	80.1%	82.2%	77.7%
Brazil	Cravinhos	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Cravinhos	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Cravolândia	2000	76.7%	80.0%	72.9%
Brazil	Cravolândia	2017	79.2%	82.6%	75.2%
Brazil	Criciúma	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Criciúma	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Crisólita	2000	83.4%	86.7%	79.2%
Brazil	Crisólita	2017	86.4%	89.6%	82.4%
Brazil	Crisópolis	2000	74.7%	78.3%	70.7%
Brazil	Crisópolis	2017	77.3%	81.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Crissiumal	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Crissiumal	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cristais	2000	84.5%	87.4%	81.1%
Brazil	Cristais	2017	88.3%	90.8%	85.3%
Brazil	Cristais	2000	92.2%	94.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Cristais	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Cristal	2000	93.9%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Cristal	2017	94.3%	95.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Cristal do Sul	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Cristal do Sul	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Cristalândia	2000	76.8%	81.1%	72.0%
Brazil	Cristalândia	2017	67.8%	74.7%	61.6%
Brazil	Cristalândia	2000	68.5%	75.8%	60.9%
Brazil	do Piauí				
Brazil	Cristalândia	2017	73.5%	80.6%	65.5%
Brazil	do Piauí				
Brazil	Cristália	2000	86.0%	89.8%	81.5%
Brazil	Cristália	2017	88.9%	92.1%	84.7%
Brazil	Cristalina	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Cristalina	2017	91.5%	93.4%	89.2%
Brazil	Cristiano	2000	85.4%	87.6%	83.0%
Brazil	Otoni				
Brazil	Cristiano	2017	88.1%	90.1%	85.8%
Brazil	Otoni				
Brazil	Cristianópolis	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Cristianópolis	2017	89.6%	92.2%	87.2%
Brazil	Cristina	2000	89.8%	91.6%	87.7%
Brazil	Cristina	2017	91.7%	93.4%	89.9%
Brazil	Cristinápolis	2000	79.5%	82.8%	76.5%
Brazil	Cristinápolis	2017	83.2%	86.0%	80.4%
Brazil	Cristino Cas-	2000	60.5%	69.1%	51.4%
Brazil	tro				
Brazil	Cristino Cas-	2017	70.8%	78.5%	60.8%
Brazil	tro				
Brazil	Cristópolis	2000	73.7%	78.7%	69.1%
Brazil	Cristópolis	2017	76.9%	82.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Crixás	2000	92.4%	94.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Crixás	2017	90.2%	93.1%	87.3%
Brazil	Crixás do To-	2000	73.7%	78.0%	69.5%
Brazil	cantins				
Brazil	Crixás do To-	2017	63.4%	69.6%	57.4%
Brazil	cantins				
Brazil	Croatá	2000	74.9%	78.4%	71.4%
Brazil	Croatá	2017	75.9%	79.8%	72.1%
Brazil	Cromínia	2000	91.8%	93.3%	90.3%
Brazil	Cromínia	2017	89.0%	91.0%	86.5%
Brazil	Crucilândia	2000	84.8%	87.7%	81.8%
Brazil	Crucilândia	2017	87.9%	90.4%	85.1%
Brazil	Cruz	2000	78.1%	81.7%	74.5%
Brazil	Cruz	2017	78.2%	82.4%	74.4%
Brazil	Cruz Alta	2000	94.8%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Cruz Alta	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cruz das Almas	2000	74.2%	76.8%	71.5%
Brazil	Cruz das Almas	2017	77.3%	79.8%	74.4%
Brazil	Cruz do Espírito Santo	2000	81.2%	83.2%	79.2%
Brazil	Cruz do Espírito Santo	2017	82.0%	84.2%	79.8%
Brazil	Cruz Machado	2000	93.3%	94.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Cruz Machado	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Cruzália	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Cruzália	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Cruzeiro	2000	91.5%	93.4%	89.3%
Brazil	Cruzeiro	2017	93.6%	95.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Cruzeiro da Fortaleza	2000	85.0%	88.2%	81.7%
Brazil	Cruzeiro da Fortaleza	2017	87.8%	90.6%	84.4%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Iguaçu	2000	93.1%	94.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Iguaçu	2017	92.7%	94.6%	90.7%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Oeste	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Oeste	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	79.9%	83.7%	75.7%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	94.0%	95.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	94.8%	95.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	93.8%	95.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	80.7%	84.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Cruzeta	2000	85.6%	88.3%	82.4%
Brazil	Cruzeta	2017	87.2%	89.8%	84.1%
Brazil	Cruzília	2000	89.7%	91.9%	86.7%
Brazil	Cruzília	2017	91.6%	93.7%	88.9%
Brazil	Cruzmalina	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Cruzmalina	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Cubatão	2000	96.0%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Cubatão	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.5%
Brazil	Cubati	2000	81.0%	83.7%	77.6%
Brazil	Cubati	2017	80.9%	84.4%	77.3%
Brazil	Cuiaba	2000	90.7%	91.8%	89.6%
Brazil	Cuiaba	2017	88.8%	90.0%	87.4%
Brazil	Cuité	2000	84.2%	86.8%	81.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cuité	2017	84.7%	87.7%	81.8%
Brazil	Cuité de Manguape	2000	80.5%	83.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Cuité de Manguape	2017	81.2%	84.2%	78.5%
Brazil	Cuitegi	2000	80.3%	82.9%	77.5%
Brazil	Cuitegi	2017	81.1%	84.0%	78.1%
Brazil	Cujubim	2000	90.2%	92.8%	86.8%
Brazil	Cujubim	2017	89.2%	92.0%	85.5%
Brazil	Cumari	2000	85.6%	88.3%	83.0%
Brazil	Cumari	2017	84.0%	86.9%	80.7%
Brazil	Cumarú	2000	75.8%	78.4%	73.2%
Brazil	Cumarú	2017	73.6%	76.5%	70.6%
Brazil	Cumarú do Norte	2000	88.6%	92.0%	84.7%
Brazil	Cumarú do Norte	2017	87.8%	91.5%	83.7%
Brazil	Cumbe	2000	84.1%	86.8%	81.2%
Brazil	Cumbe	2017	87.0%	89.2%	84.3%
Brazil	Cunha	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Cunha	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Brazil	Cunha Porã	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Cunha Porã	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Cunhataí	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Cunhataí	2017	95.4%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Cuparaque	2000	87.3%	89.7%	84.3%
Brazil	Cuparaque	2017	88.6%	90.9%	85.6%
Brazil	Cupira	2000	77.6%	79.8%	75.5%
Brazil	Cupira	2017	74.0%	76.9%	71.0%
Brazil	Curaçá	2000	77.4%	80.8%	73.7%
Brazil	Curaçá	2017	77.0%	80.9%	72.9%
Brazil	Curimatá	2000	65.1%	71.8%	57.6%
Brazil	Curimatá	2017	72.4%	79.2%	63.8%
Brazil	Curionópolis	2000	89.3%	91.4%	86.8%
Brazil	Curionópolis	2017	88.6%	90.8%	86.0%
Brazil	Curitiba	2000	94.0%	94.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Curitiba	2017	93.6%	94.3%	92.9%
Brazil	Curitibanos	2000	95.8%	97.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Curitibanos	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Curiúva	2000	93.7%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Curiúva	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Currais	2000	60.5%	69.0%	51.3%
Brazil	Currais	2017	70.2%	78.3%	61.2%
Brazil	Currais Novos	2000	88.2%	90.5%	85.8%
Brazil	Currais Novos	2017	89.4%	91.4%	86.7%
Brazil	Curral de Cima	2000	82.6%	84.9%	80.0%
Brazil	Curral de Cima	2017	83.6%	86.2%	80.8%
Brazil	Curral de dentro	2000	87.5%	90.5%	83.6%
Brazil	Curral de dentro	2017	90.2%	92.9%	87.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Curral Novo do Piauí	2000	70.8%	75.4%	65.6%
Brazil	Curral Novo do Piauí	2017	73.4%	78.3%	67.6%
Brazil	Curral Velho	2000	81.1%	83.6%	77.9%
Brazil	Curral Velho	2017	80.5%	83.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Curralinho	2000	87.5%	90.1%	84.3%
Brazil	Curralinho	2017	86.7%	89.6%	83.4%
Brazil	Curralinhos	2000	57.0%	62.5%	50.4%
Brazil	Curralinhos	2017	68.0%	73.4%	61.0%
Brazil	Curuá	2000	86.2%	89.4%	82.7%
Brazil	Curuá	2017	85.5%	89.1%	81.8%
Brazil	Curuçá	2000	88.6%	91.0%	86.3%
Brazil	Curuçá	2017	87.8%	90.4%	85.4%
Brazil	Cururupu	2000	58.9%	66.2%	51.7%
Brazil	Cururupu	2017	63.4%	71.2%	54.5%
Brazil	Curvelo	2000	85.9%	89.2%	82.6%
Brazil	Curvelo	2017	88.9%	91.5%	85.7%
Brazil	Custódia	2000	80.7%	83.6%	77.5%
Brazil	Custódia	2017	79.3%	82.7%	75.6%
Brazil	Cutias	2000	90.5%	93.0%	87.5%
Brazil	Cutias	2017	88.6%	91.8%	84.9%
Brazil	Damianópolis	2000	87.6%	90.8%	84.0%
Brazil	Damianópolis	2017	85.8%	89.9%	81.4%
Brazil	Damião	2000	82.9%	85.5%	80.1%
Brazil	Damião	2017	83.9%	86.6%	80.7%
Brazil	Darcinópolis	2000	70.6%	74.3%	66.3%
Brazil	Darcinópolis	2017	62.8%	68.1%	57.1%
Brazil	Dário Meira	2000	75.8%	79.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Dário Meira	2017	78.2%	81.8%	74.1%
Brazil	Datas	2000	89.0%	91.6%	85.9%
Brazil	Datas	2017	91.1%	93.4%	88.2%
Brazil	David Canabarro	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	David Canabarro	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2000	63.1%	67.2%	58.4%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2000	84.8%	87.6%	81.5%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2017	61.7%	66.2%	56.7%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2017	83.7%	86.9%	79.2%
Brazil	Delfim Moreira	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Delfim Moreira	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Delfinópolis	2000	85.7%	89.0%	82.4%
Brazil	Delfinópolis	2017	88.7%	91.6%	85.6%
Brazil	Delmiro Gouveia	2000	75.7%	78.5%	72.8%
Brazil	Delmiro Gouveia	2017	75.0%	78.3%	71.4%
Brazil	Delta	2000	86.8%	89.9%	84.1%
Brazil	Delta	2017	91.3%	93.4%	89.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Demerval Lobão	2000	56.2%	61.9%	50.8%
Brazil	Demerval Lobão	2017	68.0%	73.3%	62.4%
Brazil	Denise	2000	90.8%	92.9%	88.6%
Brazil	Denise	2017	88.9%	91.8%	85.7%
Brazil	Deodápolis	2000	93.5%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Deodápolis	2017	89.3%	91.7%	86.5%
Brazil	Deputado Irapuan Pinheiro	2000	78.8%	82.2%	75.4%
Brazil	Deputado Irapuan Pinheiro	2017	78.0%	82.3%	74.3%
Brazil	Derrubadas	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Derrubadas	2017	94.9%	96.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Descalvado	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Descalvado	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Descanso	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Descanso	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Descoberto	2000	85.7%	88.4%	83.0%
Brazil	Descoberto	2017	88.2%	90.5%	85.7%
Brazil	Desterro	2000	81.5%	84.4%	78.1%
Brazil	Desterro	2017	81.7%	84.8%	77.6%
Brazil	Desterro de Entre Rios	2000	86.3%	89.1%	83.4%
Brazil	Desterro de Entre Rios	2017	89.0%	91.5%	86.4%
Brazil	Desterro de Malta	2000	83.6%	86.3%	80.8%
Brazil	Desterro de Malta	2017	84.3%	87.1%	81.3%
Brazil	Desterro do Melo	2000	85.5%	87.8%	82.5%
Brazil	Desterro do Melo	2017	88.0%	90.1%	85.3%
Brazil	Dezesseis de Novembro	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Dezesseis de Novembro	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Diadema	2000	95.6%	96.0%	95.2%
Brazil	Diadema	2017	96.8%	97.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Diamante	2000	80.8%	83.6%	77.8%
Brazil	Diamante	2017	80.3%	83.7%	76.8%
Brazil	Diamante d'Oeste	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Diamante d'Oeste	2017	93.5%	95.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Diamante do Norte	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Diamante do Norte	2017	93.2%	95.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Diamante do Sul	2000	93.7%	95.3%	91.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Diamante do Sul	2017	93.1%	94.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Diamantina	2000	88.4%	91.4%	85.4%
Brazil	Diamantina	2017	90.5%	93.1%	87.7%
Brazil	Diamantino	2000	91.4%	93.8%	89.3%
Brazil	Diamantino	2017	89.7%	92.5%	86.8%
Brazil	Dianopolis	2000	73.2%	78.1%	67.6%
Brazil	Dianopolis	2017	66.1%	72.4%	59.7%
Brazil	Dias d'vila	2000	74.4%	76.4%	72.3%
Brazil	Dias d'vila	2017	77.4%	79.9%	74.9%
Brazil	Dilermano de Aguiar	2000	93.8%	95.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Dilermano de Aguiar	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Diogo de Vasconcelos	2000	83.4%	86.4%	80.2%
Brazil	Diogo de Vasconcelos	2017	86.7%	89.6%	83.8%
Brazil	Dionísio	2000	84.6%	87.5%	81.3%
Brazil	Dionísio	2017	86.7%	89.3%	83.9%
Brazil	Dionísio Cerqueira	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Dionísio Cerqueira	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Diorama	2000	92.2%	94.4%	89.8%
Brazil	Diorama	2017	89.8%	92.6%	86.2%
Brazil	Dirce Reis	2000	95.4%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Dirce Reis	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Dirceu Arcoverde	2000	67.0%	73.0%	60.5%
Brazil	Dirceu Arcoverde	2017	72.5%	78.7%	65.6%
Brazil	Divina Pastora	2000	83.4%	85.4%	81.0%
Brazil	Divina Pastora	2017	86.5%	88.5%	84.3%
Brazil	Divinésia	2000	84.9%	87.4%	82.7%
Brazil	Divinésia	2017	87.6%	89.7%	85.5%
Brazil	Divino	2000	88.5%	90.4%	86.1%
Brazil	Divino	2017	90.3%	92.2%	88.3%
Brazil	Divino das Laranjeiras	2000	86.4%	89.4%	83.3%
Brazil	Divino das Laranjeiras	2017	88.5%	91.2%	85.5%
Brazil	Divino de São Lourenço	2000	88.3%	90.6%	86.1%
Brazil	Divino de São Lourenço	2017	89.4%	91.6%	87.1%
Brazil	Divinolândia	2000	91.2%	92.9%	89.1%
Brazil	Divinolândia	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Divinolandia de Minas	2000	89.2%	91.6%	86.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Divinolândia de Minas	2017	91.4%	93.4%	89.1%
Brazil	Divinópolis	2000	85.3%	87.6%	82.9%
Brazil	Divinópolis	2017	88.5%	90.3%	86.3%
Brazil	Divinópolis de Goiás	2000	84.3%	88.5%	79.9%
Brazil	Divinópolis de Goiás	2017	81.6%	86.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Divinópolis do Tocantins	2000	76.4%	80.3%	72.0%
Brazil	Divinópolis do Tocantins	2017	67.3%	73.0%	61.9%
Brazil	Divisa Alegre	2000	81.2%	84.8%	77.2%
Brazil	Divisa Alegre	2017	84.4%	87.8%	80.7%
Brazil	Divisa Nova	2000	83.9%	87.3%	80.0%
Brazil	Divisa Nova	2017	87.2%	90.3%	84.2%
Brazil	Divisópolis	2000	84.4%	87.6%	81.9%
Brazil	Divisópolis	2017	87.2%	90.0%	84.9%
Brazil	Dobrada	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Dobrada	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Dois Córregos	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Dois Córregos	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos das Missões	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos das Missões	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos do Buriti	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos do Buriti	2017	89.9%	92.0%	87.0%
Brazil	Dois Lajeados	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Dois Lajeados	2017	94.5%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Dois Riachos	2000	77.7%	80.6%	74.4%
Brazil	Dois Riachos	2017	74.5%	78.7%	70.5%
Brazil	Dois Vizinhos	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Dois Vizinhos	2017	93.6%	95.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Dom Aquino	2000	91.0%	93.1%	88.5%
Brazil	Dom Aquino	2017	88.9%	91.7%	85.5%
Brazil	Dom Basílio	2000	70.9%	74.7%	66.6%
Brazil	Dom Basílio	2017	73.8%	78.0%	68.9%
Brazil	Dom Bosco	2000	89.4%	92.4%	85.4%
Brazil	Dom Bosco	2017	90.6%	93.5%	87.2%
Brazil	Dom Cavati	2000	86.7%	89.4%	83.6%
Brazil	Dom Cavati	2017	89.1%	91.4%	86.4%
Brazil	Dom Eliseu	2000	79.3%	83.3%	74.9%
Brazil	Dom Eliseu	2017	80.3%	84.4%	75.3%
Brazil	Dom Expedito Lopes	2000	63.3%	69.3%	57.7%
Brazil	Dom Expedito Lopes	2017	73.3%	78.2%	67.5%
Brazil	Dom Feliciano	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Dom Feliciano	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Dom Inocência	2000	66.1%	72.4%	59.6%
Brazil	Dom Inocência	2017	71.7%	78.4%	65.0%
Brazil	Dom Joaquim	2000	84.9%	88.2%	81.3%
Brazil	Dom Joaquim	2017	87.6%	90.4%	84.3%
Brazil	Dom Macedo Costa	2000	73.3%	76.0%	70.4%
Brazil	Dom Macedo Costa	2017	75.9%	78.8%	72.8%
Brazil	Dom Pedrito	2000	94.9%	96.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Dom Pedrito	2017	95.8%	97.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Dom Pedro	2000	56.6%	62.6%	50.5%
Brazil	Dom Pedro	2017	63.4%	70.3%	56.2%
Brazil	Dom Pedro de Alcântara	2000	93.9%	95.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Dom Pedro de Alcântara	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Dom Silvério	2000	84.6%	87.2%	81.6%
Brazil	Dom Silvério	2017	87.6%	90.0%	85.1%
Brazil	Dom Viçoso	2000	88.2%	90.1%	85.8%
Brazil	Dom Viçoso	2017	90.3%	92.0%	88.0%
Brazil	Domingos Martins	2000	89.6%	91.1%	88.0%
Brazil	Domingos Martins	2017	89.5%	91.4%	87.3%
Brazil	Domingos Mourão	2000	64.6%	70.4%	59.3%
Brazil	Domingos Mourão	2017	68.8%	75.0%	63.2%
Brazil	Dona Emma	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Dona Emma	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Dona Eusébia	2000	84.9%	87.4%	82.5%
Brazil	Dona Eusébia	2017	87.7%	89.8%	85.3%
Brazil	Dona Francisca	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Dona Francisca	2017	94.5%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Dona Inês	2000	82.3%	84.6%	79.7%
Brazil	Dona Inês	2017	83.6%	86.0%	80.9%
Brazil	Dores de Campos	2000	84.8%	87.3%	81.9%
Brazil	Dores de Campos	2017	87.4%	89.7%	84.6%
Brazil	Dores de Guanhães	2000	85.3%	88.1%	81.9%
Brazil	Dores de Guanhães	2017	88.1%	90.6%	85.0%
Brazil	Dores do Indaiá	2000	86.2%	89.5%	82.8%
Brazil	Dores do Indaiá	2017	89.3%	92.2%	86.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Dores do Rio Preto	2000	89.9%	92.0%	88.0%
Brazil	Dores do Rio Preto	2017	91.1%	93.0%	89.2%
Brazil	Dores do Turvo	2000	85.1%	87.7%	82.8%
Brazil	Dores do Turvo	2017	87.8%	90.1%	85.4%
Brazil	Doresópolis	2000	84.6%	88.1%	80.9%
Brazil	Doresópolis	2017	87.6%	90.7%	83.7%
Brazil	Dormentes	2000	74.2%	78.5%	69.5%
Brazil	Dormentes	2017	74.3%	79.6%	69.0%
Brazil	Douradina	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Douradina	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Douradina	2017	89.5%	91.7%	86.9%
Brazil	Douradina	2017	93.8%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Dourado	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Dourado	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Douradoquara	2000	84.2%	87.6%	80.7%
Brazil	Douradoquara	2017	84.4%	88.0%	80.1%
Brazil	Dourados	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Dourados	2017	90.0%	92.1%	87.7%
Brazil	Doutor Carmargo	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Doutor Carmargo	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Doutor Maurício Cardoso	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Doutor Maurício Cardoso	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Doutor Pedrinho	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Doutor Pedrinho	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Doutor Ricardo	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Doutor Ricardo	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Doutor Severiano	2000	82.8%	85.6%	79.7%
Brazil	Doutor Severiano	2017	82.8%	85.8%	79.7%
Brazil	Doutor Ulysses	2000	93.5%	95.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Doutor Ulysses	2017	93.3%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Doverlândia	2000	92.4%	94.7%	89.8%
Brazil	Doverlândia	2017	89.3%	92.7%	85.5%
Brazil	Dracena	2000	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Dracena	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Duartina	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Duartina	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Duas Barras	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Duas Barras	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Brazil	Duas Estradas	2000	81.8%	84.7%	79.1%
Brazil	Duas Estradas	2017	82.9%	85.8%	80.0%
Brazil	Dueré	2000	74.6%	78.7%	70.5%
Brazil	Dueré	2017	64.3%	69.8%	58.9%
Brazil	Dulcinópolis	2000	94.7%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Dulcinópolis	2017	95.4%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Dumont	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Dumont	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Duque Baccelar	2000	54.3%	60.3%	49.1%
Brazil	Duque Baccelar	2017	64.2%	70.3%	57.8%
Brazil	Duque de Caxias	2000	94.5%	95.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Duque de Caxias	2017	95.6%	96.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Durandé	2000	86.2%	88.5%	83.3%
Brazil	Durandé	2017	87.9%	90.3%	85.2%
Brazil	Echaporã	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Echaporã	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Ecoporanga	2000	84.1%	87.6%	80.7%
Brazil	Ecoporanga	2017	85.5%	89.0%	82.1%
Brazil	Edealina	2000	91.6%	93.1%	89.8%
Brazil	Edealina	2017	88.6%	90.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Edéia	2000	92.5%	93.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Edéia	2017	90.0%	92.2%	87.6%
Brazil	Eirunepé	2000	81.1%	86.6%	75.4%
Brazil	Eirunepé	2017	82.4%	88.1%	76.2%
Brazil	Eldorado	2000	92.6%	94.5%	90.7%
Brazil	Eldorado	2000	94.5%	96.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Eldorado	2017	89.4%	92.1%	86.7%
Brazil	Eldorado	2017	95.6%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Eldorado do Sul	2000	93.9%	94.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Eldorado do Sul	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Eldorado dos Carajás	2000	86.4%	89.3%	83.2%
Brazil	Eldorado dos Carajás	2017	85.6%	88.8%	82.2%
Brazil	Elesbão Veloso	2000	59.5%	66.5%	53.3%
Brazil	Elesbão Veloso	2017	71.6%	78.0%	64.9%
Brazil	Elias Fausto	2000	93.4%	94.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Elias Fausto	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Eliseu Martins	2000	58.3%	67.4%	48.8%
Brazil	Eliseu Martins	2017	70.1%	78.3%	59.8%
Brazil	Elisiário	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Elisiário	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Elísio Medrado	2000	74.8%	77.7%	71.9%
Brazil	Elísio Medrado	2017	77.2%	80.8%	74.0%
Brazil	Elói Mendes	2000	84.4%	86.7%	81.7%
Brazil	Elói Mendes	2017	87.3%	89.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Emas	2000	80.1%	83.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Emas	2017	79.3%	83.2%	75.1%
Brazil	Embaúba	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Embaúba	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Embu	2000	95.8%	96.3%	95.3%
Brazil	Embu	2017	96.9%	97.3%	96.5%
Brazil	Embu-Guaçu	2000	95.9%	96.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Embu-Guaçu	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Brazil	Emilianópolis	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Emilianópolis	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Encantado	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Encantado	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Encanto	2000	82.5%	85.3%	79.5%
Brazil	Encanto	2017	83.0%	86.0%	79.5%
Brazil	Encruzilhada	2000	78.5%	81.9%	75.1%
Brazil	Encruzilhada	2017	81.3%	84.6%	78.1%
Brazil	Encruzilhada do Sul	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Encruzilhada do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Enéas Marques	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.1%
Brazil	Enéas Marques	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Engenheiro Beltrão	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.7%
Brazil	Engenheiro Beltrão	2017	92.7%	94.4%	91.0%
Brazil	Engenheiro Caldas	2000	85.3%	87.9%	82.1%
Brazil	Engenheiro Caldas	2017	87.8%	90.0%	85.1%
Brazil	Engenheiro Coelho	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Engenheiro Coelho	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	Engenheiro Navarro	2000	85.4%	88.8%	81.8%
Brazil	Engenheiro Navarro	2017	88.3%	91.1%	84.7%
Brazil	Engenheiro Paulo de Front	2000	95.6%	96.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Engenheiro Paulo de Front	2017	96.5%	97.0%	95.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Engenho Velho	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Engenho Velho	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Entre Folhas	2000	86.0%	88.7%	83.0%
Brazil	Entre Folhas	2017	88.5%	90.8%	85.8%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2000	73.8%	77.2%	70.5%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2017	76.2%	80.0%	72.6%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Entre Rios de Minas	2000	85.7%	88.0%	83.0%
Brazil	Entre Rios de Minas	2017	88.7%	90.9%	86.4%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Oeste	2000	93.6%	95.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Oeste	2017	92.7%	94.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Sul	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Sul	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Entre-Ijuís	2000	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Entre-Ijuís	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Envira	2000	80.5%	85.9%	75.2%
Brazil	Envira	2017	81.4%	86.4%	75.8%
Brazil	Epitaciolândia	2000	78.6%	83.3%	72.5%
Brazil	Epitaciolândia	2017	79.7%	84.5%	73.7%
Brazil	Equador	2000	82.5%	85.1%	79.4%
Brazil	Equador	2017	83.1%	86.4%	79.7%
Brazil	Erebango	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Erebango	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Erechim	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Erechim	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Ererê	2000	81.3%	84.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Ererê	2017	81.4%	85.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Érico Cardoso	2000	76.4%	80.2%	71.7%
Brazil	Érico Cardoso	2017	78.6%	82.9%	74.4%
Brazil	Ermo	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Ermo	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Ernestina	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Ernestina	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Eerval	2000	94.1%	96.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Eerval	2017	94.4%	96.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Eerval Grande	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Eerval Grande	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Eerval Seco	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Eerval Seco	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Eerval Velho	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Eerval Velho	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Ervália	2000	89.3%	91.5%	87.0%
Brazil	Ervália	2017	91.4%	93.2%	89.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Escada	2000	80.6%	82.6%	79.0%
Brazil	Escada	2017	78.4%	80.5%	76.3%
Brazil	Esmeralda	2000	94.8%	96.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Esmeralda	2017	95.3%	96.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Esmeraldas	2000	85.7%	87.4%	83.9%
Brazil	Esmeraldas	2017	88.7%	90.2%	87.2%
Brazil	Espera Feliz	2000	89.2%	91.4%	87.0%
Brazil	Espera Feliz	2017	90.6%	92.6%	88.4%
Brazil	Esperança	2000	83.9%	85.9%	81.6%
Brazil	Esperança	2017	84.1%	86.5%	81.6%
Brazil	Esperança do Sul	2000	94.2%	95.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Esperança do Sul	2017	94.6%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Esperança Nova	2000	93.7%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Esperança Nova	2017	92.3%	94.2%	90.0%
Brazil	Esperantina	2000	61.1%	66.6%	56.0%
Brazil	Esperantina	2000	79.6%	82.9%	76.1%
Brazil	Esperantina	2017	76.5%	80.8%	71.7%
Brazil	Esperantina	2017	70.8%	76.1%	65.6%
Brazil	Esperantinópolis	2000	54.9%	60.0%	49.2%
Brazil	Esperantinópolis	2017	61.2%	67.4%	54.3%
Brazil	Espigão Alto do Iguaçu	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Espigão Alto do Iguaçu	2017	93.1%	94.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Espigão d'Oeste	2000	91.1%	93.3%	88.8%
Brazil	Espigão d'Oeste	2017	90.0%	92.6%	87.4%
Brazil	Espinosa	2000	80.3%	83.9%	76.0%
Brazil	Espinosa	2017	83.5%	86.9%	79.9%
Brazil	Espírito Santo	2000	85.6%	88.0%	83.5%
Brazil	Espírito Santo	2017	87.1%	89.2%	84.8%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Dourado	2000	85.0%	87.5%	82.2%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Dourado	2017	87.5%	89.8%	85.2%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Pinhal	2000	92.5%	93.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Pinhal	2017	94.4%	95.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Turvo	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Turvo	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Esplanada	2000	74.7%	78.4%	71.1%
Brazil	Esplanada	2017	77.7%	81.4%	73.9%
Brazil	Espumoso	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Espumoso	2017	94.5%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Estação	2000	93.9%	94.6%	93.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Estação	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Estância	2000	84.0%	86.8%	81.5%
Brazil	Estância	2017	87.5%	89.8%	85.2%
Brazil	Estância Velha	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Estância Velha	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Esteio	2000	94.3%	94.9%	93.6%
Brazil	Esteio	2017	94.7%	95.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Estiva	2000	87.5%	89.9%	84.7%
Brazil	Estiva	2017	89.9%	92.0%	87.8%
Brazil	Estiva Gerbi	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Estiva Gerbi	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Estreito	2000	66.1%	70.4%	61.1%
Brazil	Estreito	2017	61.2%	66.8%	55.7%
Brazil	Estrela	2000	93.7%	94.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Estrela	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Estrela dalva	2000	90.2%	92.5%	87.9%
Brazil	Estrela dalva	2017	92.2%	94.2%	90.0%
Brazil	Estrela de Alagoas	2000	78.9%	81.7%	76.3%
Brazil	Estrela de Alagoas	2017	75.0%	78.0%	71.6%
Brazil	Estrela do Indaiá	2000	84.6%	88.1%	81.2%
Brazil	Estrela do Indaiá	2017	88.0%	91.1%	84.7%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2000	95.4%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2000	92.0%	93.9%	89.4%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2017	89.5%	92.1%	86.0%
Brazil	Estrela do Oeste	2000	95.3%	96.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Estrela do Oeste	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Estrela do Sul	2000	86.5%	89.3%	83.4%
Brazil	Estrela do Sul	2017	87.9%	90.7%	84.5%
Brazil	Estrela Velha	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Estrela Velha	2017	94.6%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha	2000	74.5%	78.3%	70.6%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha	2017	77.1%	80.6%	73.4%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha Paulista	2000	94.0%	95.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha Paulista	2017	93.3%	95.2%	90.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Eugênio de Castro	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Eugênio de Castro	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Eugenópolis	2000	89.4%	91.6%	86.8%
Brazil	Eugenópolis	2017	91.4%	93.2%	89.0%
Brazil	Eunápolis	2000	75.2%	78.3%	71.7%
Brazil	Eunápolis	2017	77.8%	80.8%	74.3%
Brazil	Eusébio	2000	78.6%	80.1%	77.1%
Brazil	Eusébio	2017	78.7%	80.9%	76.6%
Brazil	Ewbank da Câmara	2000	85.5%	87.8%	82.8%
Brazil	Ewbank da Câmara	2017	87.7%	89.9%	85.3%
Brazil	Extrema	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Extrema	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Extremoz	2000	85.9%	88.0%	83.7%
Brazil	Extremoz	2017	86.8%	88.7%	84.5%
Brazil	Exu	2000	79.6%	82.5%	77.0%
Brazil	Exu	2017	78.9%	82.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Fagundes	2000	75.9%	78.4%	73.0%
Brazil	Fagundes	2017	75.3%	78.2%	72.3%
Brazil	Fagundes Varela	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Fagundes Varela	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Faina	2000	92.4%	94.4%	90.4%
Brazil	Faina	2017	89.9%	92.6%	86.8%
Brazil	Fama	2000	83.5%	86.3%	80.4%
Brazil	Fama	2017	86.5%	89.3%	83.7%
Brazil	Faria Lemos	2000	87.5%	90.0%	84.8%
Brazil	Faria Lemos	2017	89.3%	91.4%	87.0%
Brazil	Farias Brito	2000	78.4%	81.4%	75.6%
Brazil	Farias Brito	2017	78.1%	81.4%	74.3%
Brazil	Faro	2000	82.7%	86.5%	78.5%
Brazil	Faro	2017	82.1%	85.9%	77.7%
Brazil	Farol	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Farol	2017	93.8%	95.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Farroupilha	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Farroupilha	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Fartura	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Fartura	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Fartura do Piauí	2000	66.2%	72.5%	59.7%
Brazil	Fartura do Piauí	2017	72.7%	78.7%	66.4%
Brazil	Fátima	2000	74.7%	77.8%	70.8%
Brazil	Fátima	2000	76.7%	80.6%	71.7%
Brazil	Fátima	2017	77.7%	80.9%	73.3%
Brazil	Fátima	2017	67.3%	73.6%	60.9%
Brazil	Fatima do Sul	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Fatima do Sul	2017	89.8%	92.1%	87.5%
Brazil	Faxinal	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Faxinal	2017	94.4%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Faxinal do Soturno	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Faxinal do Soturno	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Faxinal dos Guedes	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Faxinal dos Guedes	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Faxinalzinho	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Faxinalzinho	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Fazenda Nova	2000	92.1%	94.0%	90.1%
Brazil	Fazenda Nova	2017	89.4%	92.1%	86.8%
Brazil	Fazenda Rio Grande	2000	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Fazenda Rio Grande	2017	94.0%	94.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Fazenda Vilanova	2000	94.1%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Fazenda Vilanova	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Feijó	2000	80.4%	84.7%	75.7%
Brazil	Feijó	2017	81.7%	85.8%	76.9%
Brazil	Feira da Mata	2000	77.5%	82.4%	72.5%
Brazil	Feira da Mata	2017	79.8%	84.4%	74.6%
Brazil	Feira de Santana	2000	74.5%	76.3%	72.5%
Brazil	Feira de Santana	2017	77.3%	79.5%	75.3%
Brazil	Feira Grande	2000	78.1%	80.2%	75.7%
Brazil	Feira Grande	2017	75.0%	77.9%	71.7%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2000	84.1%	86.8%	81.2%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2000	78.4%	80.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2017	87.0%	89.3%	83.9%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2017	77.0%	79.5%	74.4%
Brazil	Feira Nova do Maranhão	2000	63.3%	70.4%	57.1%
Brazil	Feira Nova do Maranhão	2017	63.8%	72.8%	55.4%
Brazil	Felício dos Santos	2000	86.0%	89.3%	82.3%
Brazil	Felício dos Santos	2017	88.4%	91.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Felipe Guerra	2000	84.5%	87.3%	81.2%
Brazil	Felipe Guerra	2017	86.0%	88.7%	82.2%
Brazil	Felisberto Caldeira	2000	85.8%	89.5%	81.8%
Brazil	Felisberto Caldeira	2017	88.3%	91.8%	84.1%
Brazil	Felisburgo	2000	85.3%	89.1%	81.3%
Brazil	Felisburgo	2017	88.1%	91.3%	83.8%
Brazil	Felixlândia	2000	86.2%	90.3%	81.5%
Brazil	Felixlândia	2017	89.2%	92.7%	85.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Feliz	2000	93.6%	94.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Feliz	2017	94.1%	95.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Feliz Deserto	2000	75.5%	79.2%	71.6%
Brazil	Feliz Deserto	2017	72.7%	77.3%	67.2%
Brazil	Feliz Natal	2000	91.2%	94.0%	88.3%
Brazil	Feliz Natal	2017	90.3%	93.6%	86.7%
Brazil	Fênix	2000	93.0%	94.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Fênix	2017	92.4%	94.1%	90.5%
Brazil	Fernandes Pinheiro	2000	93.6%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Fernandes Pinheiro	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.1%
Brazil	Fernandes Pinheiro	2000	85.0%	87.6%	82.0%
Brazil	Fernandes Pinheiro	2017	87.7%	89.8%	85.0%
Brazil	Fernando de Noronha	2000	79.7%	92.4%	58.4%
Brazil	Fernando de Noronha	2017	77.9%	91.9%	56.6%
Brazil	Fernando Falcão	2000	55.0%	62.4%	47.5%
Brazil	Fernando Falcão	2017	61.1%	70.7%	51.9%
Brazil	Fernando Pedroza	2000	86.6%	89.5%	83.5%
Brazil	Fernando Pedroza	2017	88.2%	90.8%	85.1%
Brazil	Fernando Prestes	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Fernando Prestes	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Fernandópolis	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Fernandópolis	2017	96.3%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Ferno	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Ferno	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Ferraz de Vascon	2000	95.8%	96.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Ferraz de Vascon	2017	96.9%	97.2%	96.6%
Brazil	Ferreira Gomes	2000	91.0%	92.9%	88.4%
Brazil	Ferreira Gomes	2017	89.1%	91.9%	85.6%
Brazil	Ferreiros	2000	80.1%	82.1%	77.8%
Brazil	Ferreiros	2017	79.5%	81.7%	76.8%
Brazil	Ferros	2000	85.5%	88.0%	82.4%
Brazil	Ferros	2017	88.2%	90.4%	85.4%
Brazil	Fervedouro	2000	88.9%	90.8%	86.6%
Brazil	Fervedouro	2017	90.8%	92.6%	88.8%
Brazil	Figueira	2000	92.7%	94.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Figueira	2017	92.2%	94.3%	89.9%
Brazil	Figueirópolis	2000	68.3%	72.6%	63.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Figueirópolis	2017	60.8%	66.6%	55.0%
Brazil	Figueirópolis	2000	91.1%	93.6%	88.0%
Brazil	Figueirópolis d'Oeste	2017	89.1%	92.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2000	71.5%	74.8%	67.6%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2000	78.6%	82.5%	74.4%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2017	74.0%	77.7%	70.1%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2017	70.4%	75.8%	64.4%
Brazil	Firmino Alves	2000	75.2%	78.9%	71.6%
Brazil	Firmino Alves	2017	78.0%	81.5%	73.8%
Brazil	Firminópolis	2000	92.5%	94.2%	90.7%
Brazil	Firminópolis	2017	90.0%	92.0%	87.6%
Brazil	Flexeiras	2000	80.9%	83.3%	78.6%
Brazil	Flexeiras	2017	77.1%	80.5%	74.3%
Brazil	Flor da Serra do Sul	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Flor da Serra do Sul	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Flor do Sertão	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Flor do Sertão	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Flora Rica	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Flora Rica	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Floraí	2000	93.6%	95.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Floraí	2017	93.1%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	Florânia	2000	87.4%	90.0%	84.2%
Brazil	Florânia	2017	88.9%	91.3%	85.9%
Brazil	Floreal	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Floreal	2017	96.8%	97.9%	95.7%
Brazil	Flores	2000	79.6%	82.7%	76.7%
Brazil	Flores	2017	78.1%	81.4%	74.9%
Brazil	Flores da Cunha	2000	94.6%	95.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Flores da Cunha	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Flores de Goiás	2000	89.2%	91.4%	86.1%
Brazil	Flores de Goiás	2017	86.0%	89.1%	82.5%
Brazil	Flores do Piauí	2000	58.7%	66.6%	48.8%
Brazil	Flores do Piauí	2017	70.7%	78.3%	60.7%
Brazil	Floresta	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Floresta	2000	76.4%	80.6%	72.7%
Brazil	Floresta	2017	92.2%	93.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Floresta	2017	74.8%	79.5%	69.8%
Brazil	Floresta Azul	2000	74.1%	77.8%	70.6%
Brazil	Floresta Azul	2017	76.9%	80.6%	73.1%
Brazil	Floresta do Araguaia	2000	84.5%	87.8%	81.1%
Brazil	Floresta do Araguaia	2017	82.9%	87.0%	79.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Floresta do Piauí	2000	59.8%	67.3%	53.1%
Brazil	Floresta do Piauí	2017	70.4%	77.3%	63.1%
Brazil	Florestal	2000	85.5%	87.6%	83.2%
Brazil	Florestal	2017	89.5%	91.2%	87.8%
Brazil	Florestópolis	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Florestópolis	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Floriano	2000	56.5%	63.0%	50.1%
Brazil	Floriano	2017	69.3%	75.5%	62.5%
Brazil	Floriano	2000	93.7%	95.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Floriano Peixoto	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Florianópolis	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Florianópolis	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Flórida	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.7%
Brazil	Flórida	2017	92.8%	94.4%	90.9%
Brazil	Flórida Paulista	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Flórida Paulista	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Florínia	2000	93.6%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Florínia	2017	94.0%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Floriniópolis	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Floriniópolis	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Fonte Boa	2000	80.5%	86.3%	73.3%
Brazil	Fonte Boa	2017	81.2%	87.0%	74.1%
Brazil	Fontoura Xavier	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Fontoura Xavier	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Formiga	2000	86.5%	89.3%	83.6%
Brazil	Formiga	2017	89.3%	91.6%	86.5%
Brazil	Formigueiro	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Formigueiro	2017	94.5%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Formosa	2000	92.6%	93.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Formosa	2017	91.2%	92.9%	88.6%
Brazil	Formosa da Serra Negra	2000	60.4%	67.6%	52.9%
Brazil	Formosa da Serra Negra	2017	64.0%	72.8%	55.1%
Brazil	Formosa do Oeste	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Formosa do Oeste	2017	93.9%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Formosa do Rio Preto	2000	70.5%	77.9%	64.3%
Brazil	Formosa do Rio Preto	2017	74.7%	82.5%	67.3%
Brazil	Formosa do Sul	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Formosa do Sul	2017	94.7%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Formoso	2000	91.6%	93.6%	89.4%
Brazil	Formoso	2000	88.8%	91.9%	85.3%
Brazil	Formoso	2017	89.3%	91.9%	86.5%
Brazil	Formoso	2017	87.7%	91.4%	83.5%
Brazil	Formoso do Araguaia	2000	74.5%	78.9%	69.8%
Brazil	Formoso do Araguaia	2017	64.6%	70.5%	58.8%
Brazil	Forquilha	2000	78.9%	81.9%	76.3%
Brazil	Forquilha	2017	79.2%	82.5%	75.6%
Brazil	Forquilha	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Forquilha	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Fortaleza	2000	79.1%	80.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Fortaleza	2017	78.5%	79.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Fortaleza de Minas	2000	87.7%	90.2%	85.0%
Brazil	Fortaleza de Minas	2017	90.2%	92.4%	88.1%
Brazil	Fortaleza do Tabocão	2000	73.5%	77.8%	69.2%
Brazil	Fortaleza do Tabocão	2017	63.4%	70.0%	57.3%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Nogueiras	2000	62.9%	70.3%	55.5%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Nogueiras	2017	66.8%	75.9%	59.1%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Valos	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Valos	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Fortim	2000	78.9%	82.3%	74.9%
Brazil	Fortim	2017	78.4%	81.8%	74.5%
Brazil	Fortuna	2000	51.7%	58.2%	45.6%
Brazil	Fortuna	2017	59.7%	66.7%	52.9%
Brazil	Fortuna de Minas	2000	84.8%	87.5%	82.0%
Brazil	Fortuna de Minas	2017	87.8%	90.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Foz do Iguaçu	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Foz do Iguaçu	2017	93.6%	95.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Foz do Jordão	2000	93.3%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Foz do Jordão	2017	92.8%	94.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Fraiburgo	2000	95.6%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Fraiburgo	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Franca	2000	93.5%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Franca	2017	95.1%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Francinópolis	2000	58.9%	66.4%	51.4%
Brazil	Francinópolis	2017	70.1%	77.1%	63.0%
Brazil	Francisco Alves	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Francisco Alves	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Francisco Ayres	2000	55.5%	62.0%	47.9%
Brazil	Francisco Ayres	2017	68.0%	74.1%	60.5%
Brazil	Francisco Badaró	2000	85.5%	88.8%	82.1%
Brazil	Francisco Badaró	2017	88.2%	91.0%	85.0%
Brazil	Francisco Beltrão	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Francisco Beltrão	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Francisco Dantas	2000	85.4%	88.3%	82.4%
Brazil	Francisco Dantas	2017	86.0%	88.8%	82.6%
Brazil	Francisco Dumon	2000	83.4%	86.9%	79.6%
Brazil	Francisco Dumon	2017	86.8%	89.9%	83.6%
Brazil	Francisco Macêdo	2000	69.0%	73.9%	64.2%
Brazil	Francisco Macêdo	2017	72.6%	77.6%	66.9%
Brazil	Francisco Morato	2000	95.9%	96.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Francisco Morato	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.5%
Brazil	Francisco Sá	2000	84.5%	87.1%	81.9%
Brazil	Francisco Sá	2017	87.5%	89.7%	85.2%
Brazil	Francisco Santos	2000	64.1%	69.6%	58.2%
Brazil	Francisco Santos	2017	71.2%	76.7%	65.0%
Brazil	Franciscópolis	2000	86.4%	89.3%	82.5%
Brazil	Franciscópolis	2017	89.2%	91.7%	86.0%
Brazil	Franco da Rocha	2000	95.6%	96.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Franco da Rocha	2017	96.9%	97.3%	96.4%
Brazil	Frecheirinha	2000	75.2%	77.9%	72.6%
Brazil	Frecheirinha	2017	76.3%	79.4%	72.9%
Brazil	Frederico Westphalen	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Frederico Westphalen	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Frei Gaspar	2000	86.4%	89.4%	82.8%
Brazil	Frei Gaspar	2017	88.7%	91.4%	85.6%
Brazil	Frei Inocêncio	2000	84.2%	87.2%	81.1%
Brazil	Frei Inocêncio	2017	87.1%	89.9%	83.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Frei Lagonegro	2000	86.0%	89.3%	82.5%
Brazil	Frei Lagonegro	2017	88.8%	91.5%	85.4%
Brazil	Frei Martinho	2000	85.7%	88.1%	82.8%
Brazil	Frei Martinho	2017	86.5%	89.1%	83.6%
Brazil	Frei Miguelinho	2000	76.8%	79.0%	74.6%
Brazil	Frei Miguelinho	2017	75.4%	78.5%	72.5%
Brazil	Frei Paulo	2000	83.7%	85.9%	81.0%
Brazil	Frei Paulo	2017	86.8%	88.8%	84.3%
Brazil	Frei Rogério	2000	94.8%	96.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Frei Rogério	2017	95.3%	96.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Fronteira	2000	92.4%	94.5%	89.7%
Brazil	Fronteira	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Fronteira dos Vales	2000	83.5%	87.9%	79.0%
Brazil	Fronteira dos Vales	2017	86.6%	90.5%	82.3%
Brazil	Fronteiras	2000	71.9%	76.7%	67.1%
Brazil	Fronteiras	2017	74.7%	79.8%	69.3%
Brazil	Fruta de Leite	2000	85.9%	89.7%	81.9%
Brazil	Fruta de Leite	2017	88.8%	91.8%	84.9%
Brazil	Frutal	2000	89.4%	91.8%	86.5%
Brazil	Frutal	2017	91.8%	93.7%	89.4%
Brazil	Frutuoso Gomes	2000	85.6%	88.3%	82.8%
Brazil	Frutuoso Gomes	2017	86.6%	89.1%	83.5%
Brazil	Fundão	2000	85.8%	87.6%	83.7%
Brazil	Fundão	2017	85.9%	88.0%	83.8%
Brazil	Funilândia	2000	84.1%	87.2%	81.1%
Brazil	Funilândia	2017	87.2%	89.8%	84.3%
Brazil	Gabriel Monteiro	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Gabriel Monteiro	2017	96.9%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Gado Bravo	2000	75.4%	78.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Gado Bravo	2017	74.8%	78.3%	71.1%
Brazil	Gália	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Gália	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Galiléia	2000	85.4%	88.6%	82.4%
Brazil	Galiléia	2017	87.8%	90.5%	85.1%
Brazil	Galinhos	2000	84.4%	88.1%	79.7%
Brazil	Galinhos	2017	85.5%	90.0%	80.8%
Brazil	Galvão	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Galvão	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Gameleira	2000	80.3%	82.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Gameleira	2017	77.8%	80.2%	75.0%
Brazil	Gameleiras	2000	81.7%	85.9%	77.0%
Brazil	Gameleiras	2017	84.9%	88.8%	80.5%
Brazil	Gandu	2000	75.0%	78.0%	71.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Gandu	2017	77.4%	80.7%	73.0%
Brazil	Garanhuns	2000	81.9%	83.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Garanhuns	2017	79.9%	82.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Gararu	2000	78.8%	81.7%	75.9%
Brazil	Gararu	2017	80.4%	83.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Garça	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Garça	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Garibaldi	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Garibaldi	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Garopaba	2000	93.6%	95.3%	91.5%
Brazil	Garopaba	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Garrafão do Norte	2000	85.5%	88.4%	82.7%
Brazil	Garrafão do Norte	2017	85.4%	88.2%	82.3%
Brazil	Garruchos	2000	94.0%	96.0%	91.3%
Brazil	Garruchos	2017	94.4%	96.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Garuva	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.3%
Brazil	Garuva	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Gaspar	2000	94.3%	95.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Gaspar	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Gastão Vidigal	2000	95.6%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Gastão Vidigal	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Gaúcha do Norte	2000	90.3%	93.6%	86.3%
Brazil	Gaúcha do Norte	2017	88.2%	92.1%	83.2%
Brazil	Gaurama	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Gaurama	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Gavião	2000	68.4%	72.6%	64.3%
Brazil	Gavião	2017	71.6%	76.2%	67.0%
Brazil	Gavião	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Gavião Peixoto	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Geminiano	2000	62.4%	67.4%	57.5%
Brazil	Geminiano	2017	71.1%	76.0%	65.6%
Brazil	General Câmara	2000	94.0%	95.1%	92.8%
Brazil	General Câmara	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.4%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2000	94.7%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2000	91.5%	94.0%	88.4%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2017	94.9%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2017	89.5%	92.5%	85.3%
Brazil	General Maynard	2000	82.5%	84.5%	80.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	General Maynard	2017	85.4%	87.4%	82.7%
Brazil	General Salgado	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	General Salgado	2017	96.9%	97.9%	95.6%
Brazil	General Sampaio	2000	78.6%	82.1%	75.4%
Brazil	General Sampaio	2017	78.4%	82.3%	73.7%
Brazil	Gentil	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Gentil	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Gentio do Ouro	2000	76.9%	80.9%	72.6%
Brazil	Gentio do Ouro	2017	79.5%	83.4%	75.2%
Brazil	Getulina	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Getulina	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.5%
Brazil	Getúlio Vargas	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Getúlio Vargas	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Gilbués	2000	64.3%	72.7%	56.1%
Brazil	Gilbués	2017	70.3%	78.8%	60.7%
Brazil	Girau do Ponciano	2000	78.9%	81.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Girau do Ponciano	2017	76.9%	79.6%	73.9%
Brazil	Giruá	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Giruá	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Glaucilândia	2000	85.0%	88.1%	81.8%
Brazil	Glaucilândia	2017	87.9%	90.4%	85.5%
Brazil	Glicério	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Glicério	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Glória	2000	74.3%	77.9%	70.8%
Brazil	Glória	2017	74.1%	78.2%	69.4%
Brazil	Glória d'Oeste	2000	91.2%	93.6%	88.7%
Brazil	Glória d'Oeste	2017	89.1%	92.2%	85.8%
Brazil	Glória de Dourados	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Glória de Dourados	2017	89.8%	92.1%	87.1%
Brazil	Glória do Goitá	2000	78.9%	80.8%	77.4%
Brazil	Glória do Goitá	2017	77.5%	79.8%	75.2%
Brazil	Glorinha	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Glorinha	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Godofredo Viana	2000	72.5%	78.1%	67.1%
Brazil	Godofredo Viana	2017	73.8%	80.6%	67.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Godoy Moreira	2000	93.6%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Godoy Moreira	2017	93.0%	94.5%	91.2%
Brazil	Goiabeira	2000	84.2%	87.2%	80.5%
Brazil	Goiabeira	2017	86.4%	89.2%	82.9%
Brazil	Goianá	2000	84.4%	87.1%	81.5%
Brazil	Goianá	2000	79.2%	81.4%	77.2%
Brazil	Goianá	2017	86.9%	89.1%	84.4%
Brazil	Goianá	2017	77.9%	81.0%	75.3%
Brazil	Goianópolis	2000	93.1%	94.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Goianópolis	2017	91.0%	92.4%	89.5%
Brazil	Goiandira	2000	86.5%	88.6%	83.8%
Brazil	Goiandira	2017	84.2%	87.0%	80.6%
Brazil	Goianésia	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Goianésia	2017	91.0%	92.7%	88.8%
Brazil	Goianésia do Pará	2000	88.8%	91.0%	86.4%
Brazil	Goianésia do Pará	2017	88.3%	90.7%	85.5%
Brazil	Goiania	2000	92.7%	93.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Goiania	2017	90.3%	91.4%	89.2%
Brazil	Goianinha	2000	87.4%	89.2%	85.3%
Brazil	Goianinha	2017	88.9%	90.8%	86.8%
Brazil	Goianira	2000	92.5%	93.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Goianira	2017	90.0%	91.3%	88.6%
Brazil	Goianorte	2000	76.4%	80.6%	72.2%
Brazil	Goianorte	2017	68.4%	74.2%	62.3%
Brazil	Goiás	2000	92.7%	94.2%	90.8%
Brazil	Goiás	2017	90.3%	92.4%	87.5%
Brazil	Goiatins	2000	68.0%	73.1%	62.3%
Brazil	Goiatins	2017	60.0%	67.1%	53.1%
Brazil	Goiatuba	2000	91.4%	93.2%	89.6%
Brazil	Goiatuba	2017	89.3%	91.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Goioerê	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Goioerê	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Goioxim	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Goioxim	2017	93.9%	95.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Gonçalves	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.3%
Brazil	Gonçalves	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Gonçalves Dias	2000	54.5%	60.2%	48.5%
Brazil	Gonçalves Dias	2017	60.9%	67.6%	54.2%
Brazil	Gongogi	2000	74.3%	77.5%	71.0%
Brazil	Gongogi	2017	76.4%	80.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Gonzaga	2000	88.5%	91.0%	85.8%
Brazil	Gonzaga	2017	90.6%	92.8%	88.2%
Brazil	Gouvea	2000	88.7%	91.3%	85.3%
Brazil	Gouvea	2017	91.0%	93.2%	88.2%
Brazil	Gouvelândia	2000	90.5%	92.8%	87.9%
Brazil	Gouvelândia	2017	88.3%	91.3%	85.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Governador Archer	2000	55.1%	61.0%	49.1%
Brazil	Governador Archer	2017	61.2%	68.0%	53.8%
Brazil	Governador Celso Ramos	2000	93.5%	95.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Governador Celso Ramos	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Governador Dix-Sept Rosad	2000	86.2%	88.7%	82.9%
Brazil	Governador Dix-Sept Rosad	2017	87.7%	89.9%	84.7%
Brazil	Governador Edison Lobão	2000	63.2%	67.7%	58.1%
Brazil	Governador Edison Lobão	2017	60.9%	66.1%	54.6%
Brazil	Governador Eugênio Barros	2000	52.6%	58.1%	46.7%
Brazil	Governador Eugênio Barros	2017	59.7%	65.9%	53.2%
Brazil	Governador Jorge Teixeira	2000	91.1%	93.1%	88.5%
Brazil	Governador Jorge Teixeira	2017	89.8%	92.3%	86.6%
Brazil	Governador Luiz Rocha	2000	51.0%	57.1%	45.0%
Brazil	Governador Luiz Rocha	2017	61.4%	68.4%	54.9%
Brazil	Governador Mangabeira	2000	73.4%	75.8%	70.9%
Brazil	Governador Mangabeira	2017	76.2%	79.0%	73.6%
Brazil	Governador Newton Bello	2000	62.7%	68.1%	57.8%
Brazil	Governador Newton Bello	2017	66.1%	71.6%	60.1%
Brazil	Governador Nunes Freire	2000	69.8%	74.3%	64.4%
Brazil	Governador Nunes Freire	2017	71.2%	76.5%	65.2%
Brazil	Governador Valadares	2000	85.5%	87.6%	83.3%
Brazil	Governador Valadares	2017	88.4%	90.1%	86.7%
Brazil	Graça	2000	78.9%	81.4%	76.4%
Brazil	Graça	2017	78.4%	81.2%	75.5%
Brazil	Graça Aranha	2000	53.5%	59.4%	47.3%
Brazil	Graça Aranha	2017	60.5%	67.7%	54.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Gracho Cardoso	2000	83.3%	86.1%	80.1%
Brazil	Gracho Cardoso	2017	85.9%	88.5%	82.8%
Brazil	Grajaú	2000	55.5%	62.5%	47.9%
Brazil	Grajaú	2017	62.3%	70.8%	53.9%
Brazil	Gramado	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Gramado	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Gramado dos Loureiros	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Gramado dos Loureiros	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Gramado Xavier	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Gramado Xavier	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Grandes Rios	2000	93.9%	95.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Grandes Rios	2017	93.4%	95.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Granito	2000	78.6%	81.7%	75.5%
Brazil	Granito	2017	77.5%	81.2%	74.1%
Brazil	Granja	2000	72.6%	75.8%	69.2%
Brazil	Granja	2017	74.6%	78.0%	71.0%
Brazil	Granjeiro	2000	79.8%	82.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Granjeiro	2017	79.1%	82.5%	76.3%
Brazil	Grão Mogol	2000	86.7%	90.0%	82.1%
Brazil	Grão Mogol	2017	89.5%	92.1%	85.8%
Brazil	Grão Pará	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Grão Pará	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Gravatá	2000	81.8%	84.0%	79.6%
Brazil	Gravatá	2017	80.3%	82.9%	78.0%
Brazil	Gravataí	2000	94.2%	94.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Gravataí	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Gravataí	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Gravataí	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Groaíras	2000	76.9%	79.9%	73.7%
Brazil	Groaíras	2000	83.5%	86.7%	80.1%
Brazil	Groaíras	2017	77.1%	80.6%	73.5%
Brazil	Groaíras	2017	84.8%	88.0%	80.9%
Brazil	Grupiara	2000	83.8%	87.1%	80.4%
Brazil	Grupiara	2017	84.0%	87.5%	80.1%
Brazil	Guabiju	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Guabiju	2017	95.0%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Guabiruba	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Guabiruba	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Guaçuí	2000	87.3%	89.6%	84.8%
Brazil	Guaçuí	2017	88.4%	90.4%	85.8%
Brazil	Guadalupe	2000	54.0%	62.0%	45.7%
Brazil	Guadalupe	2017	66.0%	73.5%	57.2%
Brazil	Guaíba	2000	94.1%	94.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Guaíba	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Guaíçara	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Guaíçara	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Guaimbê	2000	95.2%	96.7%	93.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guaimbé	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Guaira	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Guaira	2000	92.7%	94.7%	90.4%
Brazil	Guaira	2017	91.9%	93.8%	89.2%
Brazil	Guaira	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Guairaça	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Guairaça	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Guaiúba	2000	79.6%	81.7%	77.6%
Brazil	Guaiúba	2017	79.1%	81.9%	76.8%
Brazil	Guajará	2000	79.7%	83.7%	75.2%
Brazil	Guajará	2017	79.9%	83.4%	75.6%
Brazil	Guajará-Mirim	2000	89.2%	92.7%	85.1%
Brazil	Guajará-Mirim	2017	88.7%	92.3%	84.5%
Brazil	Guajeru	2000	72.8%	77.0%	68.7%
Brazil	Guajeru	2017	75.6%	79.7%	71.2%
Brazil	Guamaré	2000	84.7%	88.4%	80.4%
Brazil	Guamaré	2017	85.9%	90.0%	81.5%
Brazil	Guamiranga	2000	93.6%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Guamiranga	2017	93.0%	94.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Guanambi	2000	73.8%	77.1%	69.8%
Brazil	Guanambi	2017	76.3%	80.0%	72.6%
Brazil	Guanhães	2000	88.0%	90.4%	85.3%
Brazil	Guanhães	2017	89.4%	91.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Guapé	2000	84.7%	87.5%	81.1%
Brazil	Guapé	2017	87.6%	90.3%	84.5%
Brazil	Guapiaçu	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Guapiaçu	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Guapiara	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Guapiara	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Guapimirim	2000	93.1%	94.0%	91.9%
Brazil	Guapimirim	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Guapirama	2000	92.5%	94.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Guapirama	2017	92.4%	94.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Guapó	2000	92.0%	93.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Guapó	2017	89.0%	91.0%	87.0%
Brazil	Guaporé	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Guaporé	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Guaporema	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Guaporema	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Guará	2000	93.5%	95.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Guará	2017	95.3%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Guarabira	2000	80.5%	83.4%	77.9%
Brazil	Guarabira	2017	81.3%	84.3%	78.6%
Brazil	Guaraçai	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Guaraçai	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Guaraci	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Guaraci	2000	92.1%	94.3%	89.4%
Brazil	Guaraci	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Guaraci	2017	93.6%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2000	95.3%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2000	83.4%	86.5%	80.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2017	95.1%	96.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2017	86.6%	89.5%	84.1%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2000	81.1%	83.6%	78.7%
Brazil	Guaraciaba do Norte	2017	81.3%	84.0%	78.4%
Brazil	Guaraciama	2000	85.8%	89.2%	82.3%
Brazil	Guaraciama	2017	88.5%	91.3%	85.6%
Brazil	Guaraíta	2000	86.8%	90.0%	83.5%
Brazil	Guaraíta	2017	84.1%	87.8%	79.5%
Brazil	Guaramiranga	2000	87.5%	89.2%	85.4%
Brazil	Guaramiranga	2017	86.9%	88.8%	84.6%
Brazil	Guaramirim	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Guaramirim	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Guaranesia	2000	88.3%	90.7%	85.7%
Brazil	Guaranesia	2017	90.9%	92.8%	88.6%
Brazil	Guarani	2000	83.9%	86.6%	81.4%
Brazil	Guarani	2017	86.7%	89.1%	84.0%
Brazil	Guarani das Missões	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Guarani das Missões	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Guarani de Goiás	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.2%
Brazil	Guarani de Goiás	2017	90.7%	92.9%	88.2%
Brazil	Guarani do Oeste	2000	94.3%	96.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Guarani do Oeste	2017	95.2%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Guaraniçu	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Guaraniçu	2017	93.7%	95.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Guarantã	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Guarantã	2017	96.2%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Guarantã do Norte	2000	90.8%	93.5%	87.3%
Brazil	Guarantã do Norte	2017	89.1%	92.5%	85.1%
Brazil	Guarapari	2000	86.1%	88.2%	83.8%
Brazil	Guarapari	2017	86.3%	88.6%	83.6%
Brazil	Guarapuava	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Guarapuava	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Guaraqueçaba	2000	92.7%	94.8%	90.4%
Brazil	Guaraqueçaba	2017	92.9%	95.0%	90.4%
Brazil	Guarará	2000	88.7%	90.8%	86.0%
Brazil	Guarará	2017	90.6%	92.5%	88.2%
Brazil	Guararapes	2000	94.4%	95.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Guararapes	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Guararema	2000	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Guararema	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Guaratinga	2000	77.7%	81.1%	74.1%
Brazil	Guaratinga	2017	80.4%	83.9%	76.5%
Brazil	Guaratinguetá	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guaratinguetá	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.5%
Brazil	Guaratuba	2000	92.7%	94.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Guaratuba	2017	92.7%	94.7%	90.4%
Brazil	Guarda-Mor	2000	87.6%	90.9%	84.1%
Brazil	Guarda-Mor	2017	87.8%	91.0%	84.2%
Brazil	Guareí	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Guareí	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Guariba	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Guariba	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Guaribas	2000	65.9%	72.9%	58.5%
Brazil	Guaribas	2017	74.7%	81.3%	66.2%
Brazil	Guarinos	2000	92.3%	94.1%	90.4%
Brazil	Guarinos	2017	89.8%	92.2%	87.1%
Brazil	Guarujá	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Guarujá	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	Guarujá do Sul	2000	94.9%	96.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Guarujá do Sul	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Guarulhos	2000	95.5%	96.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Guarulhos	2017	96.7%	97.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Guatambú	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Guatambú	2017	95.2%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Guataparά	2000	94.2%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Guataparά	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Guaxupé	2000	89.0%	91.3%	86.4%
Brazil	Guaxupé	2017	91.4%	93.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Guia Branca	2000	86.2%	88.6%	83.5%
Brazil	Guia Branca	2017	87.1%	89.7%	84.4%
Brazil	Guia Lopes da Laguna	2000	94.1%	95.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Guia Lopes da Laguna	2017	90.3%	93.1%	87.2%
Brazil	Guidoval	2000	85.3%	87.5%	82.8%
Brazil	Guidoval	2017	88.0%	89.8%	85.7%
Brazil	Guimarāes	2000	57.1%	63.6%	50.8%
Brazil	Guimarāes	2017	61.3%	69.3%	52.8%
Brazil	Guimarania	2000	85.6%	88.6%	82.5%
Brazil	Guimarania	2017	88.1%	90.8%	85.3%
Brazil	Guiratinga	2000	93.0%	95.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Guiratinga	2017	91.6%	94.3%	88.3%
Brazil	Guiricema	2000	85.7%	88.3%	83.0%
Brazil	Guiricema	2017	88.4%	90.4%	85.9%
Brazil	Gurinhata	2000	91.1%	93.8%	88.3%
Brazil	Gurinhata	2017	91.5%	93.9%	88.8%
Brazil	Gurinhém	2000	78.6%	81.0%	75.6%
Brazil	Gurinhém	2017	79.1%	82.2%	76.0%
Brazil	Gurjāo	2000	79.1%	82.8%	75.5%
Brazil	Gurjāo	2017	78.6%	83.0%	74.3%
Brazil	Gurupá	2000	88.0%	91.1%	84.8%
Brazil	Gurupá	2017	86.7%	90.1%	83.2%
Brazil	Gurupi	2000	75.8%	79.8%	72.4%
Brazil	Gurupi	2017	66.5%	71.1%	62.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guzolandia	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Guzolandia	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Harmonia	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Harmonia	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Heitoraí	2000	92.5%	93.8%	90.8%
Brazil	Heitoraí	2017	89.9%	92.1%	87.6%
Brazil	Heliadora	2000	84.9%	87.4%	82.0%
Brazil	Heliadora	2017	87.6%	90.2%	85.0%
Brazil	Heliópolis	2000	73.9%	76.9%	70.2%
Brazil	Heliópolis	2017	76.9%	80.3%	72.6%
Brazil	Herculândia	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Herculândia	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Herval	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Herval d'Oeste	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Herveiras	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Herveiras	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2000	76.7%	79.7%	73.3%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2017	90.6%	92.0%	89.2%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2017	76.4%	80.1%	72.3%
Brazil	Hidrolina	2000	92.7%	94.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Hidrolina	2017	90.2%	92.5%	87.7%
Brazil	Holambra	2000	94.2%	95.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Holambra	2017	95.9%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Honório Serpa	2000	93.5%	95.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Honório Serpa	2017	93.2%	95.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Horizonte	2000	78.3%	80.5%	76.2%
Brazil	Horizonte	2017	80.2%	82.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Horizontina	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Horizontina	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Hortolândia	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Hortolândia	2017	96.6%	97.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Hugo	2000	59.0%	65.7%	52.9%
Brazil	Hugo Napoleão	2017	70.4%	77.0%	63.3%
Brazil	Hulha Negra	2000	94.0%	96.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Hulha Negra	2017	94.4%	96.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Humaitá	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Humaitá	2000	85.1%	89.0%	80.6%
Brazil	Humaitá	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Humaitá	2017	85.0%	89.0%	80.5%
Brazil	Humberto Campos	2000	54.1%	60.7%	47.0%
Brazil	Humberto Campos	2017	59.7%	67.4%	51.2%
Brazil	Iacanga	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Iacanga	2017	96.4%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Iaciara	2000	87.5%	90.5%	84.7%
Brazil	Iaciara	2017	84.5%	88.4%	80.2%
Brazil	Iacri	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Iacri	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Iaçu	2000	68.7%	73.1%	64.0%
Brazil	Iaçu	2000	85.9%	88.2%	83.3%
Brazil	Iaçu	2017	72.1%	76.6%	66.8%
Brazil	Iaçu	2017	88.5%	90.5%	86.3%
Brazil	Iaras	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Iaras	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.7%
Brazil	Iati	2000	78.9%	81.5%	76.1%
Brazil	Iati	2017	75.9%	79.1%	72.5%
Brazil	Ibaiti	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Ibaiti	2017	93.7%	95.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Ibarama	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Ibarama	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Ibaretama	2000	76.7%	79.8%	73.3%
Brazil	Ibaretama	2017	76.3%	79.6%	72.4%
Brazil	Ibaté	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Brazil	Ibaté	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	Ibateguara	2000	82.6%	84.4%	80.6%
Brazil	Ibateguara	2017	78.7%	81.1%	75.9%
Brazil	Ibatiba	2000	88.1%	90.4%	85.7%
Brazil	Ibatiba	2017	89.1%	91.3%	86.7%
Brazil	Ibema	2000	94.4%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Ibema	2017	94.0%	95.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Ibertioga	2000	87.8%	90.5%	84.9%
Brazil	Ibertioga	2017	89.7%	92.5%	86.9%
Brazil	Ibiá	2000	85.5%	88.9%	81.2%
Brazil	Ibiá	2017	88.1%	91.4%	83.7%
Brazil	Ibiaçá	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Ibiaçá	2017	94.5%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Ibiaí	2000	85.0%	88.8%	80.9%
Brazil	Ibiaí	2017	87.8%	91.3%	83.3%
Brazil	Ibiam	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Ibiam	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Ibiapina	2000	81.7%	84.0%	79.0%
Brazil	Ibiapina	2017	81.7%	84.2%	78.9%
Brazil	Ibiara	2000	81.2%	84.2%	78.2%
Brazil	Ibiara	2017	80.7%	84.1%	77.4%
Brazil	Ibiassucê	2000	73.2%	76.7%	69.4%
Brazil	Ibiassucê	2017	74.4%	78.3%	70.5%
Brazil	Ibicaraí	2000	73.5%	77.2%	70.1%
Brazil	Ibicaraí	2017	76.3%	79.9%	72.4%
Brazil	Ibicaré	2000	94.5%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Ibicaré	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Ibicoara	2000	79.7%	83.6%	75.1%
Brazil	Ibicoara	2017	82.4%	86.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Ibicuí	2000	76.6%	79.4%	72.9%
Brazil	Ibicuí	2017	79.6%	82.4%	76.0%
Brazil	Ibicuitinga	2000	77.2%	80.4%	74.2%
Brazil	Ibicuitinga	2017	76.6%	80.0%	72.6%
Brazil	Ibimirim	2000	78.0%	81.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Ibimirim	2017	75.8%	79.8%	71.6%
Brazil	Ibipeba	2000	71.8%	75.7%	67.7%
Brazil	Ibipeba	2017	74.2%	78.2%	69.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ibipitanga	2000	71.8%	76.2%	67.6%
Brazil	Ibipitanga	2017	74.6%	78.8%	70.3%
Brazil	Ibiporã	2000	93.7%	94.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Ibiporã	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Ibiquera	2000	74.1%	78.7%	69.1%
Brazil	Ibiquera	2017	77.0%	82.2%	71.0%
Brazil	Ibirá	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Ibirá	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Ibiracatu	2000	86.6%	89.9%	82.7%
Brazil	Ibiracatu	2017	89.3%	92.1%	86.1%
Brazil	Ibiraci	2000	90.8%	93.0%	88.3%
Brazil	Ibiraci	2017	92.9%	94.5%	90.7%
Brazil	Ibiraçu	2000	86.1%	88.0%	83.7%
Brazil	Ibiraçu	2017	86.2%	88.5%	83.8%
Brazil	Ibiraiaras	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Ibiraiaras	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Ibrajuba	2000	76.8%	79.0%	74.2%
Brazil	Ibrajuba	2017	73.6%	76.4%	70.4%
Brazil	Ibirama	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Ibirama	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Ibirapitanga	2000	75.6%	78.5%	72.8%
Brazil	Ibirapitanga	2017	78.3%	81.2%	75.1%
Brazil	Ibirapuã	2000	79.5%	82.7%	75.8%
Brazil	Ibirapuã	2017	81.6%	85.1%	77.9%
Brazil	Ibirapuitã	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Ibirapuitã	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Ibirarema	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Ibirarema	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Ibirataia	2000	75.6%	78.3%	72.0%
Brazil	Ibirataia	2017	78.3%	81.5%	74.6%
Brazil	Ibirité	2000	86.2%	87.4%	85.0%
Brazil	Ibirité	2017	88.9%	89.9%	87.8%
Brazil	Ibirubá	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Ibirubá	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Ibitiara	2000	74.8%	78.7%	70.6%
Brazil	Ibitiara	2017	77.8%	81.7%	73.3%
Brazil	Ibitinga	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Ibitinga	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Ibitirama	2000	87.9%	90.0%	85.9%
Brazil	Ibitirama	2017	88.9%	91.1%	86.8%
Brazil	Ibititá	2000	72.6%	76.3%	68.8%
Brazil	Ibititá	2017	75.3%	79.0%	71.2%
Brazil	Ibitiúra de Minas	2000	88.9%	91.1%	86.7%
Brazil	Ibitiúra de Minas	2017	91.2%	92.9%	89.1%
Brazil	Ibituruna	2000	84.2%	87.3%	81.0%
Brazil	Ibituruna	2017	87.0%	89.6%	84.2%
Brazil	Ibiúna	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Ibiúna	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Ibotirama	2000	73.4%	77.9%	68.5%
Brazil	Ibotirama	2017	76.7%	80.9%	71.7%
Brazil	Icapuí	2000	81.2%	85.3%	77.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Icapuí	2017	82.0%	86.5%	77.6%
Brazil	Içara	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Içara	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Icaraí de Minas	2000	86.3%	90.0%	82.7%
Brazil	Icaraí de Minas	2017	88.9%	92.0%	85.3%
Brazil	Icaraíma	2000	93.8%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Icaraíma	2017	92.3%	94.3%	89.9%
Brazil	Icatu	2000	53.5%	57.9%	48.6%
Brazil	Icatu	2017	58.7%	64.4%	52.6%
Brazil	Icém	2000	92.2%	94.1%	89.4%
Brazil	Icém	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Ichu	2000	72.5%	76.1%	68.3%
Brazil	Ichu	2017	75.6%	79.3%	71.5%
Brazil	Icó	2000	78.3%	81.0%	75.6%
Brazil	Icó	2017	78.3%	81.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Iconha	2000	87.4%	89.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Iconha	2017	87.6%	89.7%	85.5%
Brazil	Ielmo Marinho	2000	86.3%	88.5%	83.9%
Brazil	Ielmo Marinho	2017	87.8%	89.9%	85.5%
Brazil	Iepê	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Iepê	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Igaci	2000	78.8%	81.1%	76.5%
Brazil	Igaci	2017	74.3%	77.3%	71.1%
Brazil	Igaporã	2000	74.3%	78.1%	70.2%
Brazil	Igaporã	2017	77.0%	80.9%	72.5%
Brazil	Igaracu	2000	78.5%	80.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Igaracu	2017	77.3%	79.3%	75.2%
Brazil	Igarapu do Tietê	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Igarapu do Tietê	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Igarapava	2000	88.6%	91.5%	86.0%
Brazil	Igarapava	2017	91.4%	93.6%	89.2%
Brazil	Igarapé	2000	86.7%	88.4%	84.7%
Brazil	Igarapé	2017	89.4%	90.9%	87.7%
Brazil	Igarapé do Meio	2000	55.4%	60.8%	50.2%
Brazil	Igarapé do Meio	2017	61.6%	67.6%	55.0%
Brazil	Igarapé Grande	2000	56.0%	61.0%	50.3%
Brazil	Igarapé Grande	2017	61.8%	67.1%	55.4%
Brazil	Igarapé-Açu	2000	87.8%	89.8%	85.9%
Brazil	Igarapé-Açu	2017	87.0%	89.0%	84.9%
Brazil	Igarapé-Miri	2000	88.0%	89.7%	86.3%
Brazil	Igarapé-Miri	2017	87.3%	89.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Igaratá	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Igaratá	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Igaratinga	2000	85.6%	87.7%	83.2%
Brazil	Igaratinga	2017	88.5%	90.5%	86.3%
Brazil	Igrapiúna	2000	74.4%	77.7%	70.7%
Brazil	Igrapiúna	2017	76.6%	80.2%	72.5%
Brazil	Igreja Nova	2000	79.2%	81.7%	76.6%
Brazil	Igreja Nova	2017	78.4%	81.0%	75.2%
Brazil	Igrejinha	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Igrejinha	2017	94.9%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Iguaba Grande	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Iguaba Grande	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Iguaí	2000	75.5%	78.9%	71.8%
Brazil	Iguaí	2017	77.6%	80.9%	73.3%
Brazil	Iguape	2000	94.5%	96.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Iguape	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Iguaraci	2000	79.0%	82.0%	76.1%
Brazil	Iguaraci	2017	77.2%	79.9%	73.9%
Brazil	Iguaraçu	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Iguaraçu	2017	92.6%	94.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Iguatama	2000	84.1%	87.6%	80.4%
Brazil	Iguatama	2017	87.2%	90.4%	83.8%
Brazil	Iguatemi	2000	92.9%	94.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Iguatemi	2017	89.2%	91.9%	86.1%
Brazil	Iguatu	2000	78.2%	80.8%	75.4%
Brazil	Iguatu	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Iguatu	2017	77.7%	80.7%	74.7%
Brazil	Iguatu	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Ijaci	2000	84.5%	87.2%	81.7%
Brazil	Ijaci	2017	87.3%	89.6%	84.4%
Brazil	Ijuí	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Ijuí	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Ilha das Flores	2000	79.1%	82.6%	75.3%
Brazil	Ilha das Flores	2017	80.0%	84.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Ilha Grande	2000	61.4%	67.1%	56.4%
Brazil	Ilha Grande	2017	68.1%	73.7%	62.8%
Brazil	Ilha Solteira	2000	92.0%	93.8%	89.5%
Brazil	Ilha Solteira	2017	91.5%	93.6%	88.3%
Brazil	Ilhabela	2000	95.6%	97.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Ilhabela	2017	96.6%	97.8%	95.4%
Brazil	Ilhéus	2000	74.8%	77.7%	71.3%
Brazil	Ilhéus	2017	77.7%	80.6%	74.5%
Brazil	Ilhota	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Ilhota	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Ilicínea	2000	85.9%	88.9%	82.7%
Brazil	Ilicínea	2017	88.7%	91.4%	85.7%
Brazil	Ilópolis	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Ilópolis	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Imaculada	2000	81.5%	84.1%	78.6%
Brazil	Imaculada	2017	81.0%	84.1%	77.7%
Brazil	Imaruí	2000	93.3%	94.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Imaruí	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Imbaú	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Imbaú	2017	93.7%	95.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Imbé	2000	94.0%	95.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Imbé	2017	94.5%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Imbé de Minas	2000	87.2%	89.5%	84.4%
Brazil	Imbé de Minas	2017	89.4%	91.4%	86.6%
Brazil	Imbituba	2000	93.7%	95.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Imbituba	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Imbituva	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Imbituva	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.6%
Brazil	Imbuia	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Imbuia	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Imigrante	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Imigrante	2017	94.4%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Imperatriz	2000	64.6%	68.5%	60.2%
Brazil	Imperatriz	2017	63.3%	67.4%	58.7%
Brazil	Inácio Martins	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Inácio Martins	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Inaciolândia	2000	90.7%	92.9%	88.5%
Brazil	Inaciolândia	2017	89.0%	91.7%	86.1%
Brazil	Inajá	2000	76.8%	80.4%	73.5%
Brazil	Inajá	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Inajá	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Inajá	2017	74.1%	78.7%	69.4%
Brazil	Inconfidentes	2000	87.5%	89.8%	85.2%
Brazil	Inconfidentes	2017	90.1%	91.9%	88.0%
Brazil	Indaiabira	2000	84.2%	87.7%	80.3%
Brazil	Indaiabira	2017	87.4%	90.4%	83.9%
Brazil	Indaial	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Indaial	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Indaíatuba	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Indaíatuba	2017	95.9%	96.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Independência	2000	77.8%	81.2%	74.0%
Brazil	Independência	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Independência	2017	77.3%	81.1%	73.4%
Brazil	Independência	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Indiana	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Indiana	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2000	86.9%	89.5%	84.0%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2017	93.5%	95.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2017	88.8%	91.1%	85.6%
Brazil	Indiaporã	2000	93.6%	95.8%	91.1%
Brazil	Indiaporã	2017	94.5%	96.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Indiara	2000	92.2%	93.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Indiara	2017	89.5%	92.0%	86.7%
Brazil	Indiaroba	2000	79.6%	83.2%	76.1%
Brazil	Indiaroba	2017	83.3%	86.7%	79.8%
Brazil	Indiavaí	2000	91.0%	93.3%	88.4%
Brazil	Indiavaí	2017	89.0%	92.2%	85.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ingá	2000	78.5%	81.0%	75.5%
Brazil	Ingá	2017	78.8%	81.5%	75.8%
Brazil	Ingaí	2000	84.8%	87.9%	81.9%
Brazil	Ingaí	2017	87.5%	90.2%	84.8%
Brazil	Ingazeira	2000	77.3%	80.1%	74.1%
Brazil	Ingazeira	2017	75.3%	78.8%	71.6%
Brazil	Inhacor	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Inhacor	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Inhambupe	2000	73.2%	76.4%	69.6%
Brazil	Inhambupe	2017	76.1%	79.8%	72.0%
Brazil	Inhangapi	2000	87.2%	88.9%	85.4%
Brazil	Inhangapi	2017	86.3%	88.3%	84.2%
Brazil	Inhapi	2000	77.9%	80.8%	74.5%
Brazil	Inhapi	2017	75.2%	78.9%	71.3%
Brazil	Inhapim	2000	86.8%	89.3%	83.7%
Brazil	Inhapim	2017	89.8%	91.7%	87.5%
Brazil	Inhaúma	2000	85.2%	87.8%	82.4%
Brazil	Inhaúma	2017	88.2%	90.3%	85.3%
Brazil	Inhuma	2000	61.5%	66.8%	55.2%
Brazil	Inhuma	2017	71.3%	76.1%	64.8%
Brazil	Inhumas	2000	93.0%	94.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Inhumas	2017	90.7%	92.2%	88.9%
Brazil	Inimutaba	2000	84.6%	88.1%	81.1%
Brazil	Inimutaba	2017	87.8%	90.7%	84.3%
Brazil	Inocência	2000	91.5%	93.6%	88.9%
Brazil	Inocência	2017	86.4%	90.0%	82.2%
Brazil	Inúbia	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.5%
Brazil	Inúbia Paulista	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Iomerê	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Iomerê	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Ipaba	2000	84.0%	86.4%	81.4%
Brazil	Ipaba	2017	87.0%	89.2%	84.6%
Brazil	Ipameri	2000	89.8%	91.6%	87.4%
Brazil	Ipameri	2017	87.6%	90.0%	84.5%
Brazil	Ipanema	2000	84.9%	87.8%	81.8%
Brazil	Ipanema	2017	87.1%	89.9%	83.9%
Brazil	Ipanguaçu	2000	87.3%	89.8%	84.3%
Brazil	Ipanguaçu	2017	89.1%	91.6%	86.4%
Brazil	Ipaporanga	2000	74.0%	77.9%	69.9%
Brazil	Ipaporanga	2017	75.3%	79.4%	70.6%
Brazil	Ipatinga	2000	84.0%	86.1%	81.7%
Brazil	Ipatinga	2017	87.8%	89.5%	86.2%
Brazil	Ipauçu	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Ipauçu	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Ipaumirim	2000	78.7%	81.6%	75.7%
Brazil	Ipaumirim	2017	78.5%	82.0%	74.7%
Brazil	Ipê	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Ipê	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Ipecaetá	2000	71.9%	75.5%	68.5%
Brazil	Ipecaetá	2017	75.0%	78.7%	71.4%
Brazil	Iperó	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Iperó	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Ipeúna	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Ipeúna	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Ipiaçu	2000	90.8%	93.3%	88.4%
Brazil	Ipiaçu	2017	89.9%	92.8%	86.7%
Brazil	Ipiaú	2000	75.6%	78.7%	72.1%
Brazil	Ipiaú	2017	78.8%	81.8%	75.2%
Brazil	Ipiguá	2000	93.8%	95.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Ipiguá	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Ipirá	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Ipirá	2000	73.7%	77.1%	70.2%
Brazil	Ipirá	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Ipirá	2017	76.6%	80.1%	72.9%
Brazil	Ipiranga	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Ipiranga	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.7%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Piauí	2000	61.0%	66.8%	54.9%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Piauí	2017	71.4%	76.2%	65.0%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Sul	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Sul	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Ipixuna	2000	80.8%	86.0%	75.2%
Brazil	Ipixuna	2017	81.7%	87.5%	76.3%
Brazil	Ipixuna do Pará	2000	87.1%	89.2%	84.7%
Brazil	Ipixuna do Pará	2017	86.7%	89.0%	83.9%
Brazil	Ipojuca	2000	79.5%	81.6%	77.6%
Brazil	Ipojuca	2017	77.1%	79.7%	74.6%
Brazil	Iporá	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Iporá	2000	92.3%	94.4%	90.2%
Brazil	Iporá	2017	93.7%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Iporá	2017	89.8%	92.6%	87.0%
Brazil	Iporã	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Iporã	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Iporã do Oeste	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Iporã do Oeste	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Iporanga	2000	94.1%	96.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Iporanga	2017	94.8%	96.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Ipú	2000	75.2%	78.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Ipú	2017	75.3%	78.4%	71.7%
Brazil	Ipuã	2000	92.6%	94.5%	90.6%
Brazil	Ipuã	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Ipuaçu	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Ipuaçu	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Ipubi	2000	76.0%	79.1%	72.8%
Brazil	Ipubi	2017	75.4%	79.1%	72.1%
Brazil	Ipueira	2000	82.6%	85.7%	79.4%
Brazil	Ipueira	2017	83.2%	86.9%	79.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ipueiras	2000	74.4%	77.8%	71.2%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2000	72.2%	76.5%	67.9%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2017	62.0%	67.1%	55.8%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2017	75.1%	78.9%	71.1%
Brazil	Ipuiúna	2000	87.8%	90.1%	85.1%
Brazil	Ipuiúna	2017	91.2%	92.9%	89.1%
Brazil	Ipumirim	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Ipumirim	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Ipupiara	2000	74.0%	78.7%	68.9%
Brazil	Ipupiara	2017	76.8%	82.1%	71.1%
Brazil	Iracema	2000	91.3%	94.0%	88.1%
Brazil	Iracema	2000	81.3%	84.6%	78.0%
Brazil	Iracema	2017	93.2%	95.4%	91.0%
Brazil	Iracema	2017	81.6%	85.4%	78.1%
Brazil	Iracema do Oeste	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Iracema do Oeste	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Iracemápolis	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Iracemápolis	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	Iraceminha	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Iraceminha	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Iraí	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Iraí	2017	94.6%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Iraí de Minas	2000	86.5%	89.6%	83.4%
Brazil	Iraí de Minas	2017	88.4%	91.6%	85.2%
Brazil	Irajuba	2000	75.9%	80.3%	72.1%
Brazil	Irajuba	2017	78.3%	82.7%	73.9%
Brazil	Iramaia	2000	69.8%	74.3%	65.1%
Brazil	Iramaia	2017	72.8%	77.6%	67.1%
Brazil	Iranduba	2000	79.9%	82.1%	77.8%
Brazil	Iranduba	2017	80.6%	83.0%	78.1%
Brazil	Irani	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Irani	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	Irapuã	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Irapuã	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Irapuru	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Irapuru	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Iraquara	2000	75.3%	79.1%	71.3%
Brazil	Iraquara	2017	77.4%	81.1%	73.3%
Brazil	Irará	2000	73.6%	76.7%	70.5%
Brazil	Irará	2017	75.7%	79.0%	72.5%
Brazil	Irati	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Irati	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Irati	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Irati	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Irauçuba	2000	78.3%	81.1%	75.3%
Brazil	Irauçuba	2017	78.7%	82.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Irecê	2000	74.4%	77.4%	71.1%
Brazil	Irecê	2017	77.4%	80.7%	74.1%
Brazil	Iretama	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Iretama	2017	94.0%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Irineópolis	2000	94.3%	96.0%	92.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Irineópolis	2017	94.5%	96.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Irituia	2000	87.1%	89.4%	84.7%
Brazil	Irituia	2017	86.7%	89.0%	84.4%
Brazil	Irupi	2000	88.0%	90.1%	85.7%
Brazil	Irupi	2017	89.8%	91.6%	87.7%
Brazil	Isaiás Coelho	2000	62.1%	68.4%	56.1%
Brazil	Isaiás Coelho	2017	70.7%	77.8%	64.4%
Brazil	Israelândia	2000	91.6%	93.7%	89.4%
Brazil	Israelândia	2017	88.7%	91.5%	85.4%
Brazil	Itá	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Itá	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Itaara	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Itaara	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2000	77.1%	79.5%	74.6%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2000	85.0%	86.7%	82.9%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2017	77.6%	80.6%	74.5%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2017	88.0%	89.4%	86.2%
Brazil	Itabaianinha	2000	82.2%	84.7%	79.7%
Brazil	Itabaianinha	2017	85.9%	88.0%	83.4%
Brazil	Itabela	2000	74.0%	77.7%	70.4%
Brazil	Itabela	2017	76.4%	80.2%	72.6%
Brazil	Itaberá	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Itaberá	2017	95.6%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Itaberaba	2000	70.8%	74.2%	67.0%
Brazil	Itaberaba	2017	74.0%	78.2%	69.7%
Brazil	Itaberaí	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.1%
Brazil	Itaberaí	2017	90.1%	92.0%	88.0%
Brazil	Itabi	2000	81.7%	84.6%	78.6%
Brazil	Itabi	2017	83.7%	86.4%	80.6%
Brazil	Itabira	2000	87.5%	89.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Itabira	2017	90.1%	91.6%	88.4%
Brazil	Itabirinha de Mantena	2000	85.4%	87.8%	82.5%
Brazil	Itabirinha de Mantena	2017	88.0%	90.2%	85.3%
Brazil	Itabirito	2000	86.2%	87.8%	84.2%
Brazil	Itabirito	2017	88.9%	90.5%	87.0%
Brazil	Itaboraí	2000	94.6%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Itaboraí	2017	95.7%	96.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Itabuna	2000	74.4%	77.1%	71.5%
Brazil	Itabuna	2017	77.4%	80.1%	74.5%
Brazil	Itacajá	2000	71.0%	76.6%	65.0%
Brazil	Itacajá	2017	61.8%	69.5%	54.8%
Brazil	Itacarambi	2000	85.0%	87.1%	82.4%
Brazil	Itacarambi	2017	88.0%	89.8%	85.7%
Brazil	Itacarambira	2000	85.7%	89.1%	82.0%
Brazil	Itacarambira	2017	88.6%	91.3%	85.3%
Brazil	Itacaré	2000	73.9%	77.8%	70.1%
Brazil	Itacaré	2017	76.6%	80.2%	72.3%
Brazil	Itacoatiara	2000	81.6%	84.8%	78.1%
Brazil	Itacoatiara	2017	82.4%	85.7%	78.9%
Brazil	Itacuruba	2000	76.3%	80.8%	71.3%
Brazil	Itacuruba	2017	74.7%	79.7%	68.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itacurubi	2000	94.2%	96.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Itacurubi	2017	94.6%	96.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Itaeté	2000	69.0%	73.8%	64.5%
Brazil	Itaeté	2017	71.8%	77.2%	66.4%
Brazil	Itagi	2000	76.6%	79.7%	72.9%
Brazil	Itagi	2017	78.7%	81.9%	74.6%
Brazil	Itagibá	2000	76.0%	78.8%	71.9%
Brazil	Itagibá	2017	79.0%	81.8%	75.2%
Brazil	Itagimirim	2000	76.5%	80.2%	72.4%
Brazil	Itagimirim	2017	79.3%	83.2%	75.1%
Brazil	Itaguaçu	2000	87.0%	89.4%	84.4%
Brazil	Itaguaçu	2017	87.6%	90.3%	84.8%
Brazil	Itaguaçu da Bahia	2000	71.6%	76.7%	65.7%
Brazil	Itaguaçu da Bahia	2017	74.4%	79.7%	68.4%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2000	93.5%	94.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Itaguara	2000	87.8%	91.3%	84.5%
Brazil	Itaguara	2017	90.2%	93.2%	86.8%
Brazil	Itaguari	2000	92.5%	93.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Itaguari	2017	89.8%	91.9%	87.5%
Brazil	Itaguaru	2000	92.8%	94.1%	91.3%
Brazil	Itaguaru	2017	90.4%	92.3%	88.2%
Brazil	Itaguatins	2000	63.8%	68.0%	59.1%
Brazil	Itaguatins	2017	59.4%	64.6%	53.1%
Brazil	Itaí	2000	95.6%	96.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Itaí	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Itaíba	2000	78.1%	81.2%	74.7%
Brazil	Itaíba	2017	74.7%	78.7%	70.6%
Brazil	Itaíçaba	2000	77.9%	81.0%	74.0%
Brazil	Itaíçaba	2017	77.8%	80.9%	74.7%
Brazil	Itainópolis	2000	61.2%	67.3%	55.8%
Brazil	Itainópolis	2017	70.0%	76.5%	63.4%
Brazil	Itaiópolis	2000	95.5%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Itaiópolis	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Itaipava do Grajaú	2000	53.1%	60.1%	46.6%
Brazil	Itaipava do Grajaú	2017	59.5%	67.5%	51.7%
Brazil	Itaipé	2000	86.5%	89.2%	83.5%
Brazil	Itaipé	2017	89.5%	91.7%	86.8%
Brazil	Itaipulândia	2000	93.7%	95.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Itaipulândia	2017	93.0%	95.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Itaitinga	2000	79.1%	80.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Itaitinga	2017	78.6%	80.8%	76.6%
Brazil	Itaituba	2000	86.9%	90.4%	82.3%
Brazil	Itaituba	2017	86.7%	90.4%	82.2%
Brazil	Itajá	2000	91.5%	93.5%	89.3%
Brazil	Itajá	2000	86.8%	89.5%	83.8%
Brazil	Itajá	2017	88.6%	91.3%	85.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itajá	2017	87.9%	90.9%	84.6%
Brazil	Itajaí	2000	94.3%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Itajaí	2017	95.0%	96.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Itajobi	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Itajobi	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Itaju	2000	94.6%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Itaju	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Itaju do Colônia	2000	75.3%	78.9%	72.0%
Brazil	Itaju do Colônia	2017	78.3%	82.2%	74.8%
Brazil	Itajubá	2000	89.0%	90.8%	87.2%
Brazil	Itajubá	2017	91.2%	92.6%	89.6%
Brazil	Itajuípe	2000	73.7%	76.8%	70.8%
Brazil	Itajuípe	2017	76.1%	79.3%	73.2%
Brazil	Italva	2000	93.6%	95.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Italva	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Itamaraju	2000	73.9%	77.7%	70.1%
Brazil	Itamaraju	2017	77.1%	81.2%	72.7%
Brazil	Itamarandiba	2000	88.3%	90.3%	85.7%
Brazil	Itamarandiba	2017	90.6%	92.2%	88.2%
Brazil	Itamarati	2000	79.4%	86.6%	72.3%
Brazil	Itamarati	2017	80.3%	88.1%	71.9%
Brazil	Itamarati de Minas	2000	87.5%	90.6%	84.3%
Brazil	Itamarati de Minas	2017	89.9%	92.8%	87.1%
Brazil	Itamari	2000	75.4%	78.2%	71.8%
Brazil	Itamari	2017	77.7%	80.8%	73.7%
Brazil	Itambacuri	2000	85.3%	87.2%	83.5%
Brazil	Itambacuri	2017	87.9%	89.6%	86.0%
Brazil	Itambaraca	2000	93.0%	94.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Itambaraca	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Itambaracá	2000	77.1%	79.3%	75.1%
Brazil	Itambaracá	2017	74.9%	77.5%	72.1%
Brazil	Itambé	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Itambé	2000	69.9%	73.4%	65.8%
Brazil	Itambé	2017	71.7%	75.9%	67.6%
Brazil	Itambé	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Itambé do Mato Dentro	2000	86.2%	89.3%	82.8%
Brazil	Itambé do Mato Dentro	2017	88.7%	91.3%	85.5%
Brazil	Itamogi	2000	84.7%	87.8%	81.2%
Brazil	Itamogi	2017	87.6%	90.3%	84.1%
Brazil	Itamonte	2000	91.4%	93.0%	89.1%
Brazil	Itamonte	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Itanagra	2000	73.2%	76.8%	69.5%
Brazil	Itanagra	2017	75.5%	79.6%	71.2%
Brazil	Itanhaém	2000	95.0%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Itanhaém	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Itanhandu	2000	92.2%	94.0%	90.5%
Brazil	Itanhandu	2017	93.8%	95.3%	92.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itanhém	2000	80.7%	84.5%	77.1%
Brazil	Itanhém	2017	83.0%	86.4%	79.2%
Brazil	Itanhomi	2000	88.9%	90.6%	87.2%
Brazil	Itanhomi	2017	91.0%	92.3%	89.8%
Brazil	Itaobim	2000	85.7%	88.9%	82.5%
Brazil	Itaobim	2017	88.1%	90.7%	85.1%
Brazil	Itaóca	2000	93.6%	95.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Itaóca	2017	94.1%	96.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Itaocara	2000	94.5%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Itaocara	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Itapaci	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Itapaci	2017	90.0%	92.0%	87.8%
Brazil	Itapagipe	2000	82.9%	86.5%	78.7%
Brazil	Itapagipe	2017	86.9%	90.0%	83.3%
Brazil	Itapajé	2000	83.6%	86.0%	81.3%
Brazil	Itapajé	2017	82.8%	85.3%	80.2%
Brazil	Itaparica	2000	71.4%	74.0%	68.7%
Brazil	Itaparica	2017	73.9%	76.7%	70.8%
Brazil	Itapé	2000	72.9%	76.1%	69.5%
Brazil	Itapé	2017	75.9%	79.1%	72.3%
Brazil	Itapebi	2000	76.5%	80.5%	72.4%
Brazil	Itapebi	2017	79.7%	83.9%	75.9%
Brazil	Itapecerica	2000	86.9%	88.9%	84.7%
Brazil	Itapecerica	2017	89.8%	91.5%	87.9%
Brazil	Itapecerica da Serra	2000	95.9%	96.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Itapecerica da Serra	2017	97.0%	97.5%	96.6%
Brazil	Itapecuru Mirim	2000	56.8%	62.5%	50.9%
Brazil	Itapecuru Mirim	2017	63.5%	69.2%	57.5%
Brazil	Itapejara d'Oeste	2000	93.5%	95.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Itapejara d'Oeste	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Itapema	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Itapema	2017	95.1%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Itapemirim	2000	86.5%	88.8%	84.0%
Brazil	Itapemirim	2017	87.3%	89.5%	84.7%
Brazil	Itaperuçu	2000	94.1%	95.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Itaperuçu	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Itaperuna	2000	91.1%	92.7%	89.1%
Brazil	Itaperuna	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Itapetim	2000	82.8%	85.2%	80.0%
Brazil	Itapetim	2017	82.9%	86.1%	79.7%
Brazil	Itapetinga	2000	75.7%	79.2%	72.5%
Brazil	Itapetinga	2017	78.6%	82.3%	74.7%
Brazil	Itapetininga	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Itapetininga	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Itapeva	2000	85.7%	88.3%	82.4%
Brazil	Itapeva	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Itapeva	2017	88.7%	90.8%	85.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itapeva	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.6%
Brazil	Itapevi	2000	95.5%	96.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Itapevi	2017	96.7%	97.2%	96.2%
Brazil	Itapicuru	2000	77.5%	80.2%	74.6%
Brazil	Itapicuru	2017	81.0%	83.7%	77.9%
Brazil	Itapipoca	2000	80.7%	83.3%	78.3%
Brazil	Itapipoca	2017	79.8%	82.4%	77.2%
Brazil	Itapira	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Itapira	2017	96.8%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Itapiranga	2000	88.8%	90.9%	86.4%
Brazil	Itapiranga	2017	89.2%	91.4%	86.5%
Brazil	Itapirapuã	2000	91.9%	94.0%	89.6%
Brazil	Itapirapuã	2017	89.2%	92.1%	86.1%
Brazil	Itapirapuã	2000	93.7%	95.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Itapirapuã Paulista	2017	93.9%	95.7%	91.7%
Brazil	Itapiratins	2000	70.1%	75.1%	64.7%
Brazil	Itapiratins	2017	59.8%	67.4%	53.4%
Brazil	Itapissuma	2000	77.6%	79.6%	75.8%
Brazil	Itapissuma	2017	75.7%	78.0%	73.2%
Brazil	Itapitanga	2000	76.9%	79.9%	73.6%
Brazil	Itapitanga	2017	79.8%	83.3%	75.7%
Brazil	Itapiúna	2000	78.4%	81.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Itapiúna	2017	78.0%	81.3%	74.5%
Brazil	Itapoá	2000	92.7%	94.6%	90.7%
Brazil	Itapoá	2017	93.3%	95.2%	91.0%
Brazil	Itápolis	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Itápolis	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Itaporã	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Itaporã	2017	89.7%	91.8%	87.5%
Brazil	Itaporã do Tocantins	2000	76.0%	80.3%	71.6%
Brazil	Itaporã do Tocantins	2017	67.0%	73.4%	61.0%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2000	82.2%	85.0%	79.0%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2000	94.6%	96.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2017	82.3%	85.5%	78.6%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.1%
Brazil	Itaporanga da-juda	2000	85.0%	87.3%	82.9%
Brazil	Itaporanga da-juda	2017	88.2%	90.1%	86.3%
Brazil	Itapororoca	2000	82.1%	84.5%	79.6%
Brazil	Itapororoca	2017	83.1%	85.5%	80.3%
Brazil	Itapuã do Oeste	2000	90.3%	92.8%	87.4%
Brazil	Itapuã do Oeste	2017	89.4%	92.4%	86.2%
Brazil	Itapuca	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Itapuca	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Itapuí	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Itapuí	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itapura	2000	91.5%	93.5%	89.1%
Brazil	Itapura	2017	89.9%	92.2%	86.9%
Brazil	Itapuranga	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Itapuranga	2017	90.2%	92.2%	87.9%
Brazil	Itaquaquecetuba	2000	95.4%	95.8%	94.8%
Brazil	Itaquaquecetuba	2017	96.6%	97.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Itaquara	2000	76.4%	79.7%	73.0%
Brazil	Itaquara	2017	78.5%	81.9%	74.7%
Brazil	Itaqui	2000	95.1%	96.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Itaqui	2017	95.6%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Itaquiraí	2000	92.8%	94.5%	90.8%
Brazil	Itaquiraí	2017	89.4%	92.0%	86.3%
Brazil	Itaquitinga	2000	78.6%	80.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Itaquitinga	2017	77.1%	79.5%	74.2%
Brazil	Itarana	2000	87.8%	89.9%	85.3%
Brazil	Itarana	2017	88.2%	90.5%	85.5%
Brazil	Itarantim	2000	77.8%	81.8%	73.3%
Brazil	Itarantim	2017	81.1%	85.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Itararé	2000	94.9%	96.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Itararé	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Itariri	2000	94.7%	96.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Itariri	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Itaruma	2000	91.9%	93.8%	89.7%
Brazil	Itaruma	2017	88.8%	91.3%	85.6%
Brazil	Itarumã	2000	78.8%	82.1%	74.8%
Brazil	Itarumã	2017	78.4%	82.1%	74.3%
Brazil	Itatiaia	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.4%
Brazil	Itatiaia	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Itatiaiuçu	2000	89.6%	90.9%	88.0%
Brazil	Itatiaiuçu	2017	91.9%	93.0%	90.6%
Brazil	Itatiba	2000	94.6%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Itatiba	2017	96.0%	96.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Itatiba do Sul	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Itatiba do Sul	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Itatim	2000	73.4%	76.9%	69.8%
Brazil	Itatim	2017	76.6%	80.3%	72.5%
Brazil	Itatinga	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Itatinga	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
Brazil	Itatira	2000	82.5%	85.1%	79.5%
Brazil	Itatira	2017	82.1%	84.9%	78.3%
Brazil	Itatuba	2000	76.0%	78.5%	73.1%
Brazil	Itatuba	2017	75.9%	78.8%	72.6%
Brazil	Itaú	2000	83.1%	86.8%	79.5%
Brazil	Itaú	2017	84.1%	87.9%	80.5%
Brazil	Itaú de Minas	2000	85.5%	88.0%	83.0%
Brazil	Itaú de Minas	2017	88.6%	90.9%	86.3%
Brazil	Itaúba	2000	90.7%	93.4%	87.5%
Brazil	Itaúba	2017	88.9%	91.9%	85.3%
Brazil	Itaubal	2000	90.1%	92.5%	87.4%
Brazil	Itaubal	2017	88.2%	91.1%	84.9%
Brazil	Itauçu	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.5%
Brazil	Itauçu	2017	90.5%	92.3%	88.6%
Brazil	Itaueira	2000	57.2%	65.3%	47.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itaueira	2017	69.5%	77.6%	59.4%
Brazil	Itaúna	2000	86.4%	88.3%	84.2%
Brazil	Itaúna	2017	89.2%	91.0%	87.3%
Brazil	Itaúna do Sul	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Itaúna do Sul	2017	93.7%	95.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Itaverava	2000	85.8%	87.9%	83.1%
Brazil	Itaverava	2017	88.6%	90.6%	86.5%
Brazil	Itinga	2000	83.0%	86.7%	78.6%
Brazil	Itinga	2017	86.8%	90.0%	82.7%
Brazil	Itinga do Maranhão	2000	75.7%	80.1%	71.0%
Brazil	Itinga do Maranhão	2017	76.8%	81.4%	71.6%
Brazil	Itiquira	2000	92.8%	94.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Itiquira	2017	90.2%	92.9%	86.6%
Brazil	Itirapina	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Itirapina	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	Itirapuã	2000	91.2%	93.2%	89.1%
Brazil	Itirapuã	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	Itiruçu	2000	77.2%	80.8%	73.8%
Brazil	Itiruçu	2017	79.3%	83.1%	75.8%
Brazil	Itiúba	2000	72.2%	76.1%	68.2%
Brazil	Itiúba	2017	75.1%	79.5%	71.2%
Brazil	Itobi	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.2%
Brazil	Itobi	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Itororó	2000	75.6%	78.8%	72.2%
Brazil	Itororó	2017	78.4%	81.6%	74.3%
Brazil	Itu	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Itu	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Ituaçu	2000	72.8%	76.5%	68.2%
Brazil	Ituaçu	2017	76.2%	80.3%	71.6%
Brazil	Ituberá	2000	74.2%	77.8%	70.1%
Brazil	Ituberá	2017	76.4%	80.2%	72.7%
Brazil	Itueta	2000	85.5%	88.4%	82.3%
Brazil	Itueta	2017	87.3%	90.0%	84.4%
Brazil	Ituiutaba	2000	90.5%	93.0%	87.8%
Brazil	Ituiutaba	2017	91.1%	93.5%	88.4%
Brazil	Itumbiara	2000	90.3%	92.2%	88.5%
Brazil	Itumbiara	2017	88.9%	91.1%	87.0%
Brazil	Itumirim	2000	84.6%	87.7%	81.6%
Brazil	Itumirim	2017	87.3%	90.0%	84.5%
Brazil	Itupeva	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Itupeva	2017	96.0%	96.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Itupiranga	2000	87.8%	90.1%	85.0%
Brazil	Itupiranga	2017	87.1%	89.5%	84.1%
Brazil	Ituporanga	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Ituporanga	2017	95.6%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Iturama	2000	93.0%	95.3%	90.2%
Brazil	Iturama	2017	93.8%	95.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Itutinga	2000	84.9%	87.9%	81.0%
Brazil	Itutinga	2017	87.5%	90.4%	84.1%
Brazil	Ituverava	2000	92.3%	94.2%	90.1%
Brazil	Ituverava	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Iuiú	2000	77.3%	82.3%	72.7%
Brazil	Iuiú	2017	80.4%	85.0%	75.2%
Brazil	Iúna	2000	87.0%	89.2%	84.8%
Brazil	Iúna	2017	87.9%	89.9%	85.5%
Brazil	Ivaí	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Ivaí	2017	93.4%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Ivaiporã	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Ivaiporã	2017	93.7%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Ivaté	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Ivaté	2017	92.8%	94.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Ivatuva	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Ivatuva	2017	93.6%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Ivinhema	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Ivinhema	2017	89.6%	92.1%	86.8%
Brazil	Ivolândia	2000	92.4%	94.2%	90.4%
Brazil	Ivolândia	2017	89.8%	92.5%	86.7%
Brazil	Ivorá	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Ivorá	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Ivoti	2000	94.1%	94.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Ivoti	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Jaboatão dos Guararapes	2000	78.6%	79.7%	77.5%
Brazil	Jaboatão dos Guararapes	2017	76.8%	78.2%	75.4%
Brazil	Jaborá	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Jaborá	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2000	93.3%	95.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2000	77.1%	81.9%	71.9%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2017	78.8%	83.6%	73.5%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Jaboticaba	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Jaboticaba	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Jaboticatubas	2000	85.5%	88.0%	82.6%
Brazil	Jaboticatubas	2017	88.3%	90.5%	86.1%
Brazil	Jabuti	2000	92.6%	94.4%	90.6%
Brazil	Jabuti	2017	92.3%	94.3%	90.0%
Brazil	Jabuticabal	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Jabuticabal	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Jaçanã	2000	85.8%	88.2%	83.0%
Brazil	Jaçanã	2017	86.1%	88.7%	83.3%
Brazil	Jacaraci	2000	76.9%	80.4%	73.3%
Brazil	Jacaraci	2017	79.5%	83.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Jacaraú	2000	84.0%	86.3%	81.3%
Brazil	Jacaraú	2017	85.0%	87.6%	82.0%
Brazil	Jacaré dos Homens	2000	76.4%	79.5%	73.0%
Brazil	Jacaré dos Homens	2017	74.8%	78.8%	70.5%
Brazil	Jacareacanga	2000	86.4%	91.0%	80.8%
Brazil	Jacareacanga	2017	85.9%	90.7%	80.3%
Brazil	Jacareí	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Jacareí	2017	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Brazil	Jacarezinho	2000	93.8%	95.4%	92.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jacarezinho	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Jaci	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Jaci	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Jaciara	2000	91.1%	93.2%	88.7%
Brazil	Jaciara	2017	89.0%	91.7%	85.8%
Brazil	Jacinto	2000	79.0%	82.9%	74.3%
Brazil	Jacinto	2017	82.7%	86.6%	77.8%
Brazil	Jacinto Machado	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Jacinto Machado	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Jacobina	2000	75.3%	79.0%	72.3%
Brazil	Jacobina	2017	77.4%	80.8%	74.3%
Brazil	Jacobina do Piauí	2000	65.8%	71.5%	60.6%
Brazil	Jacobina do Piauí	2017	71.2%	77.7%	64.6%
Brazil	Jacuí	2000	87.7%	90.1%	85.1%
Brazil	Jacuí	2017	90.2%	92.3%	87.9%
Brazil	Jacuípe	2000	79.5%	82.0%	77.2%
Brazil	Jacuípe	2017	76.8%	79.9%	73.5%
Brazil	Jacundá	2000	87.7%	90.1%	84.2%
Brazil	Jacundá	2017	86.9%	89.7%	82.8%
Brazil	Jacupiranga	2000	94.5%	96.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Jacupiranga	2017	95.5%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2000	90.7%	92.2%	88.6%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Jaguapitã	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Jaguapitã	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Jaguaquara	2000	78.1%	81.2%	74.9%
Brazil	Jaguaquara	2017	80.2%	83.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Jaguaraçu	2000	85.7%	88.0%	83.4%
Brazil	Jaguaraçu	2017	87.4%	89.4%	85.2%
Brazil	Jaguarão	2000	94.0%	96.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Jaguarão	2017	93.6%	96.0%	90.6%
Brazil	Jaguarari	2000	74.2%	77.6%	70.3%
Brazil	Jaguarari	2017	75.9%	79.6%	71.6%
Brazil	Jaguaré	2000	85.9%	88.6%	82.9%
Brazil	Jaguaré	2017	86.6%	89.4%	83.6%
Brazil	Jaguaretama	2000	76.7%	79.9%	73.3%
Brazil	Jaguaretama	2017	76.1%	80.0%	72.0%
Brazil	Jaguari	2000	94.4%	96.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Jaguari	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Jaguariaíva	2000	93.9%	95.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Jaguariaíva	2017	93.7%	95.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Jaguaribara	2000	77.4%	80.9%	73.9%
Brazil	Jaguaribara	2017	76.8%	80.9%	72.8%
Brazil	Jaguaribe	2000	77.2%	80.5%	73.4%
Brazil	Jaguaribe	2017	77.0%	80.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Jaguaripe	2000	72.8%	75.5%	70.1%
Brazil	Jaguaripe	2017	75.2%	78.0%	72.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jaguariúna	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Jaguariúna	2017	96.1%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Jaguaruana	2000	79.1%	81.7%	76.2%
Brazil	Jaguaruana	2017	79.2%	82.3%	76.3%
Brazil	Jaguaruna	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Jaguaruna	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Jaíba	2000	84.3%	87.8%	80.6%
Brazil	Jaíba	2017	87.5%	90.4%	83.8%
Brazil	Jaicós	2000	64.2%	69.9%	58.0%
Brazil	Jaicós	2017	71.0%	76.6%	64.2%
Brazil	Jales	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Jales	2017	96.3%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Jambeiro	2000	95.7%	96.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Jambeiro	2017	96.9%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Jampruca	2000	85.2%	88.3%	81.7%
Brazil	Jampruca	2017	87.8%	90.4%	84.7%
Brazil	Janaúba	2000	87.1%	89.9%	83.9%
Brazil	Janaúba	2017	90.0%	92.6%	87.2%
Brazil	Jandaia	2000	92.7%	94.5%	91.0%
Brazil	Jandaia	2017	90.3%	92.9%	88.0%
Brazil	Jandaia do Sul	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Jandaia do Sul	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.5%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2000	78.1%	81.5%	74.8%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2000	85.8%	89.2%	81.7%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2017	87.3%	90.9%	83.0%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2017	81.8%	84.8%	78.6%
Brazil	Jandira	2000	95.2%	95.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Jandira	2017	96.5%	96.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Janduís	2000	86.0%	89.1%	82.6%
Brazil	Janduís	2017	87.5%	90.4%	84.3%
Brazil	Jangada	2000	90.7%	92.7%	88.2%
Brazil	Jangada	2017	88.6%	91.3%	85.0%
Brazil	Janiópolis	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Janiópolis	2017	94.0%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Januária	2000	85.0%	88.1%	81.6%
Brazil	Januária	2017	87.7%	90.5%	84.4%
Brazil	Januário Cicco	2000	85.1%	87.3%	82.4%
Brazil	Januário Cicco	2017	87.0%	89.2%	84.7%
Brazil	Japaraíba	2000	84.8%	87.7%	81.3%
Brazil	Japaraíba	2017	88.1%	90.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2000	78.2%	81.5%	75.0%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2017	75.4%	79.6%	71.4%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2000	83.8%	86.1%	81.6%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2017	86.6%	88.6%	84.3%
Brazil	Japeri	2000	93.8%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Japeri	2017	95.2%	95.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Japi	2000	82.1%	85.2%	79.3%
Brazil	Japi	2017	83.6%	86.8%	80.4%
Brazil	Japira	2000	92.9%	94.5%	90.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Japira	2017	92.5%	94.5%	90.3%
Brazil	Japoatã	2000	82.9%	85.3%	80.3%
Brazil	Japoatã	2017	85.1%	87.4%	82.8%
Brazil	Japonvar	2000	87.0%	90.5%	82.9%
Brazil	Japonvar	2017	89.7%	92.5%	86.3%
Brazil	Japorã	2000	92.4%	94.2%	90.5%
Brazil	Japorã	2017	88.5%	91.0%	85.4%
Brazil	Japurá	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Japurá	2000	79.4%	85.4%	72.4%
Brazil	Japurá	2017	79.7%	86.4%	72.5%
Brazil	Japurá	2017	94.4%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Jaqueira	2000	80.7%	82.7%	78.5%
Brazil	Jaqueira	2017	77.6%	80.3%	75.0%
Brazil	Jaquirana	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Jaquirana	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Jaraguá	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.8%
Brazil	Jaraguá	2017	90.0%	91.9%	87.4%
Brazil	Jaraguá do Sul	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Jaraguá do Sul	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Jaraguari	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Jaraguari	2017	90.2%	92.4%	87.7%
Brazil	Jaramataia	2000	76.9%	79.7%	73.9%
Brazil	Jaramataia	2017	74.5%	77.8%	70.3%
Brazil	Jardim	2000	80.4%	83.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Jardim	2000	94.2%	95.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Jardim	2017	91.2%	93.8%	88.2%
Brazil	Jardim	2017	79.9%	82.8%	76.5%
Brazil	Jardim Alegre	2000	94.4%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Jardim Alegre	2017	93.4%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Jardim de Angicos	2000	86.0%	88.6%	82.6%
Brazil	Jardim de Angicos	2017	87.8%	90.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Jardim do Mulato	2000	58.3%	64.5%	51.7%
Brazil	Jardim do Mulato	2017	69.8%	75.8%	63.0%
Brazil	Jardim do Seridó	2000	86.3%	88.8%	83.1%
Brazil	Jardim do Seridó	2017	87.6%	90.0%	84.3%
Brazil	Jardim Olinda	2000	94.4%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Jardim Olinda	2017	94.3%	96.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Jardim-Piranhas	2000	85.6%	88.3%	82.6%
Brazil	Jardim-Piranhas	2017	87.3%	89.9%	84.1%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jari	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Jari	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Jarinu	2000	94.6%	95.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Jarinu	2017	96.0%	96.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Jaru	2000	91.1%	92.9%	88.6%
Brazil	Jaru	2017	90.1%	92.0%	87.4%
Brazil	Jataí	2000	92.9%	94.6%	90.9%
Brazil	Jataí	2017	90.3%	92.9%	87.4%
Brazil	Jataizinho	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Jataizinho	2017	93.4%	94.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Jataúba	2000	79.5%	81.8%	76.2%
Brazil	Jataúba	2017	78.6%	81.5%	74.9%
Brazil	Jateí	2000	93.3%	94.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Jateí	2017	89.1%	91.7%	86.5%
Brazil	Jati	2000	77.8%	80.5%	74.6%
Brazil	Jati	2017	77.0%	80.3%	73.7%
Brazil	Jatobá	2000	53.6%	59.4%	47.1%
Brazil	Jatobá	2000	74.7%	78.2%	71.1%
Brazil	Jatobá	2017	73.8%	77.7%	69.3%
Brazil	Jatobá	2017	61.0%	68.5%	53.0%
Brazil	Jatobá do Piauí	2000	60.7%	66.0%	55.6%
Brazil	Jatobá do Piauí	2017	70.5%	75.8%	64.9%
Brazil	Jaú	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Jaú	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Jaú do Tocantins	2000	82.4%	86.1%	78.3%
Brazil	Jaú do Tocantins	2017	75.8%	81.1%	69.5%
Brazil	Jaupaci	2000	91.6%	93.7%	89.3%
Brazil	Jaupaci	2017	88.8%	91.6%	85.4%
Brazil	Jauru	2000	91.5%	93.8%	88.2%
Brazil	Jauru	2017	89.6%	92.8%	85.4%
Brazil	Jeceaba	2000	84.8%	87.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Jeceaba	2017	87.9%	90.3%	85.5%
Brazil	Jenipapo de Minas	2000	83.8%	87.7%	79.9%
Brazil	Jenipapo de Minas	2017	87.1%	90.4%	83.7%
Brazil	Jenipapo dos Vieiras	2000	54.5%	62.5%	47.5%
Brazil	Jenipapo dos Vieiras	2017	60.6%	69.4%	52.4%
Brazil	Jequeri	2000	84.8%	87.9%	81.6%
Brazil	Jequeri	2017	87.5%	90.2%	84.6%
Brazil	Jequié	2000	73.5%	77.0%	70.4%
Brazil	Jequié	2017	76.5%	80.0%	73.5%
Brazil	Jequitáí	2000	83.2%	87.1%	79.2%
Brazil	Jequitáí	2017	86.4%	90.2%	82.3%
Brazil	Jequitibá	2000	84.2%	87.6%	80.9%
Brazil	Jequitibá	2017	87.3%	90.1%	84.3%
Brazil	Jequitinhonha	2000	81.6%	86.1%	77.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jequitinhonha	2017	85.5%	89.5%	80.9%
Brazil	Jeremoabo	2000	76.0%	78.9%	72.7%
Brazil	Jeremoabo	2017	78.5%	81.8%	75.4%
Brazil	Jericó	2000	82.8%	85.9%	79.7%
Brazil	Jericó	2017	83.5%	87.0%	79.6%
Brazil	Jeriquara	2000	92.7%	94.6%	90.9%
Brazil	Jeriquara	2017	94.6%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Jerônimo Monteiro	2000	85.1%	87.3%	82.8%
Brazil	Jerônimo Monteiro	2017	86.0%	88.2%	83.6%
Brazil	Jerumenha	2000	54.0%	62.7%	45.6%
Brazil	Jerumenha	2017	66.1%	74.8%	55.4%
Brazil	Jesuânia	2000	86.6%	88.6%	84.1%
Brazil	Jesuânia	2017	89.0%	91.1%	86.4%
Brazil	Jesuítas	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Jesuítas	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Jesúpolis	2000	92.4%	93.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Jesúpolis	2017	89.6%	91.5%	86.9%
Brazil	Ji-Paraná	2000	90.7%	92.5%	88.4%
Brazil	Ji-Paraná	2017	89.6%	91.6%	87.4%
Brazil	Jijoca de Jericoacoara	2000	76.6%	80.7%	72.5%
Brazil	Jijoca de Jericoacoara	2017	77.0%	81.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Jiquiriçá	2000	75.0%	78.6%	70.7%
Brazil	Jiquiriçá	2017	76.8%	80.8%	72.1%
Brazil	Jitaúna	2000	74.6%	77.9%	71.5%
Brazil	Jitaúna	2017	77.3%	80.5%	74.0%
Brazil	Joaçaba	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Joaçaba	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Joáima	2000	83.2%	87.2%	78.9%
Brazil	Joáima	2017	86.7%	90.0%	82.2%
Brazil	Joanésia	2000	85.2%	87.9%	82.2%
Brazil	Joanésia	2017	88.0%	90.3%	85.6%
Brazil	Joanópolis	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Joanópolis	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	João Alfredo	2000	78.2%	80.2%	75.8%
Brazil	João Alfredo	2017	76.6%	79.3%	74.0%
Brazil	João Câmara	2000	87.4%	89.9%	84.5%
Brazil	João Câmara	2017	89.0%	91.3%	85.9%
Brazil	João Costa	2000	60.4%	68.1%	52.5%
Brazil	João Costa	2017	70.1%	77.7%	60.9%
Brazil	João Dias	2000	85.4%	88.2%	82.3%
Brazil	João Dias	2017	86.2%	88.8%	83.0%
Brazil	João Dourado	2000	73.5%	76.9%	70.2%
Brazil	João Dourado	2017	75.1%	78.9%	71.3%
Brazil	João Lisboa	2000	63.4%	67.7%	58.6%
Brazil	João Lisboa	2017	62.9%	67.8%	57.8%
Brazil	João Monlevade	2000	87.7%	89.7%	85.4%
Brazil	João Monlevade	2017	90.3%	91.9%	88.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	João Neiva	2000	86.3%	88.4%	83.9%
Brazil	João Neiva	2017	86.4%	88.9%	83.8%
Brazil	João Pessoa	2000	82.9%	84.7%	81.0%
Brazil	João Pessoa	2017	83.6%	85.3%	81.9%
Brazil	João Pinheiro	2000	88.1%	91.2%	84.2%
Brazil	João Pinheiro	2017	90.1%	92.9%	86.9%
Brazil	João Ramalho	2000	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	João Ramalho	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Joaquim Felício	2000	84.9%	89.3%	80.2%
Brazil	Joaquim Felício	2017	87.6%	91.5%	82.6%
Brazil	Joaquim Gomes	2000	80.5%	82.9%	78.2%
Brazil	Joaquim Gomes	2017	76.6%	79.8%	73.8%
Brazil	Joaquim Nabuco	2000	81.5%	83.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Joaquim Nabuco	2017	79.1%	81.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Joaquim Pires	2000	61.5%	66.1%	56.5%
Brazil	Joaquim Pires	2017	70.5%	75.4%	65.8%
Brazil	Joaquim Távora	2000	92.9%	94.9%	91.0%
Brazil	Joaquim Távora	2017	93.1%	95.1%	90.9%
Brazil	Joca Marques	2000	57.7%	63.0%	53.0%
Brazil	Joca Marques	2017	67.3%	72.7%	61.1%
Brazil	Jóia	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Jóia	2017	94.7%	96.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Joinvile	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.5%
Brazil	Joinvile	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Jordânia	2000	80.3%	84.0%	76.5%
Brazil	Jordânia	2017	83.3%	87.3%	79.3%
Brazil	Jordão	2000	74.6%	80.1%	67.7%
Brazil	Jordão	2017	75.5%	81.4%	68.1%
Brazil	José Boiteux	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	José Boiteux	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	José Bonifácio	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	José Bonifácio	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	José da Penha	2000	84.4%	86.9%	81.6%
Brazil	José da Penha	2017	85.2%	87.8%	82.2%
Brazil	José de Freitas	2000	60.1%	64.9%	55.4%
Brazil	José de Freitas	2017	71.3%	75.9%	66.3%
Brazil	José Gonçalves de Minas	2000	85.2%	88.8%	80.8%
Brazil	José Gonçalves de Minas	2017	88.2%	91.3%	84.2%
Brazil	José Raydan	2000	85.4%	88.6%	81.2%
Brazil	José Raydan	2017	88.4%	91.0%	84.8%
Brazil	Joselândia	2000	53.9%	59.4%	48.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Joselândia	2017	60.4%	67.2%	53.7%
Brazil	Josenópolis	2000	84.9%	88.2%	81.2%
Brazil	Josenópolis	2017	87.5%	90.5%	83.9%
Brazil	Joviânia	2000	91.6%	93.5%	89.8%
Brazil	Joviânia	2017	89.0%	91.5%	86.5%
Brazil	Juara	2000	90.7%	94.1%	87.5%
Brazil	Juara	2017	89.2%	93.0%	84.9%
Brazil	Juarez Távora	2000	78.9%	81.6%	76.2%
Brazil	Juarez Távora	2017	79.1%	82.2%	76.3%
Brazil	Juarina	2000	78.6%	82.2%	74.6%
Brazil	Juarina	2017	73.9%	78.7%	68.4%
Brazil	Juatuba	2000	85.1%	86.9%	82.9%
Brazil	Juatuba	2017	88.0%	89.5%	86.0%
Brazil	Juazeirinho	2000	81.1%	84.3%	78.1%
Brazil	Juazeirinho	2017	81.2%	85.1%	77.5%
Brazil	Juazeiro	2000	76.8%	79.0%	74.8%
Brazil	Juazeiro	2017	78.7%	80.9%	76.3%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Norte	2000	82.1%	84.0%	80.2%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Norte	2017	81.9%	83.7%	79.8%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Piauí	2000	62.1%	68.6%	56.0%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Piauí	2017	70.6%	77.1%	63.5%
Brazil	Jucás	2000	76.9%	79.7%	73.9%
Brazil	Jucás	2017	76.4%	79.7%	72.9%
Brazil	Jucati	2000	79.6%	81.7%	77.7%
Brazil	Jucati	2017	77.3%	79.7%	74.5%
Brazil	Jucuruçu	2000	79.4%	83.3%	75.7%
Brazil	Jucuruçu	2017	81.7%	85.3%	77.5%
Brazil	Jucurutu	2000	86.6%	89.6%	82.8%
Brazil	Jucurutu	2017	88.8%	91.7%	85.6%
Brazil	Juína	2000	91.1%	94.3%	87.3%
Brazil	Juína	2017	89.7%	93.2%	85.4%
Brazil	Juiz de Fora	2000	88.3%	90.0%	86.5%
Brazil	Juiz de Fora	2017	90.2%	91.5%	88.6%
Brazil	Júlio Borges	2000	67.9%	75.0%	60.7%
Brazil	Júlio Borges	2017	73.8%	81.1%	66.2%
Brazil	Júlio de Castilhos	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Júlio de Castilhos	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Júlio Mesquita	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Júlio Mesquita	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Jumirim	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Jumirim	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Junco	2000	86.8%	89.5%	83.4%
Brazil	Junco	2017	87.9%	90.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Junco do Maranhão	2000	73.9%	78.8%	68.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Junco do Maranhão	2017	75.0%	80.2%	69.0%
Brazil	Junco do Seridó	2000	82.5%	85.0%	79.5%
Brazil	Junco do Seridó	2017	82.9%	86.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Jundiá	2000	78.9%	81.3%	76.3%
Brazil	Jundiá	2017	75.8%	79.0%	72.6%
Brazil	Jundiáí	2000	95.6%	96.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Jundiáí	2017	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Brazil	Jundiáí do Sul	2000	92.6%	94.3%	90.8%
Brazil	Jundiáí do Sul	2017	92.4%	94.3%	90.5%
Brazil	Junqueiro	2000	78.8%	81.1%	76.2%
Brazil	Junqueiro	2017	74.3%	77.4%	71.1%
Brazil	Junqueirópolis	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.5%
Brazil	Junqueirópolis	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Jupiá	2000	79.4%	81.6%	77.4%
Brazil	Jupiá	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Jupiá	2017	94.3%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Jupiá	2017	77.1%	79.7%	74.1%
Brazil	Juquiá	2000	94.3%	95.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Juquiá	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Juquitiba	2000	96.1%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Juquitiba	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Juramento	2000	86.1%	89.1%	82.4%
Brazil	Juramento	2017	88.8%	91.2%	86.0%
Brazil	Juranda	2000	94.1%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Juranda	2017	93.6%	95.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Jurema	2000	79.9%	81.9%	77.9%
Brazil	Jurema	2000	64.5%	71.8%	57.5%
Brazil	Jurema	2017	77.5%	80.0%	74.9%
Brazil	Jurema	2017	72.9%	79.7%	66.7%
Brazil	Juripiranga	2000	80.3%	82.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Juripiranga	2017	80.2%	82.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Juru	2000	80.5%	83.4%	77.4%
Brazil	Juru	2017	79.3%	82.9%	75.8%
Brazil	Juruá	2000	80.5%	86.2%	74.8%
Brazil	Juruá	2017	82.2%	88.4%	75.4%
Brazil	Juruáia	2000	86.7%	88.9%	83.7%
Brazil	Juruáia	2017	90.3%	92.1%	88.0%
Brazil	Juruena	2000	89.8%	93.5%	85.5%
Brazil	Juruena	2017	88.0%	92.1%	83.1%
Brazil	Juruti	2000	85.3%	88.3%	81.5%
Brazil	Juruti	2017	85.3%	88.4%	81.2%
Brazil	Juscimeira	2000	91.7%	93.7%	89.3%
Brazil	Juscimeira	2017	89.9%	92.5%	86.7%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	74.0%	78.5%	69.6%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	92.4%	94.3%	90.2%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	77.0%	81.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	89.6%	92.4%	86.3%
Brazil	Jussari	2000	75.0%	78.6%	70.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jussari	2017	77.8%	82.0%	74.0%
Brazil	Jussiape	2000	72.5%	76.6%	67.3%
Brazil	Jussiape	2017	75.1%	80.0%	69.5%
Brazil	Jutaí	2000	80.7%	86.9%	73.7%
Brazil	Jutaí	2017	81.5%	87.5%	74.0%
Brazil	Juti	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.4%
Brazil	Juti	2017	88.7%	91.4%	85.7%
Brazil	Juvenília	2000	79.6%	84.0%	75.4%
Brazil	Juvenília	2017	82.3%	86.5%	77.9%
Brazil	Kaloré	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Kaloré	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Lábrea	2000	84.1%	89.1%	77.8%
Brazil	Lábrea	2017	83.9%	89.1%	77.7%
Brazil	Lacerdópolis	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Lacerdópolis	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Ladainha	2000	86.4%	89.4%	83.1%
Brazil	Ladainha	2017	88.9%	91.4%	86.0%
Brazil	Lafaiete Coutinho	2000	73.6%	77.2%	69.9%
Brazil	Lafaiete Coutinho	2017	76.0%	79.7%	71.5%
Brazil	Lagamar	2000	86.4%	89.5%	82.9%
Brazil	Lagamar	2017	87.9%	90.9%	84.2%
Brazil	Lagarto	2000	83.5%	85.9%	81.1%
Brazil	Lagarto	2017	87.2%	89.4%	84.9%
Brazil	Lages	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Lages	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Lago da Pedra	2000	55.8%	61.3%	50.7%
Brazil	Lago da Pedra	2017	62.0%	68.5%	55.8%
Brazil	Lago do Junco	2000	55.5%	60.9%	50.0%
Brazil	Lago do Junco	2017	61.7%	67.7%	55.2%
Brazil	Lago dos Rodrigues	2000	55.3%	60.7%	49.8%
Brazil	Lago dos Rodrigues	2017	61.6%	67.6%	54.4%
Brazil	Lago Verde	2000	55.5%	60.6%	50.4%
Brazil	Lago Verde	2017	63.1%	69.2%	56.2%
Brazil	Lagoa	2000	84.0%	87.1%	80.9%
Brazil	Lagoa	2017	84.6%	87.8%	81.5%
Brazil	Lagoa Alegre	2000	58.5%	64.2%	53.0%
Brazil	Lagoa Alegre	2017	69.5%	75.1%	63.4%
Brazil	Lagoa da Canoa	2000	78.5%	80.6%	76.0%
Brazil	Lagoa da Canoa	2017	75.3%	78.1%	72.1%
Brazil	Lagoa da Confusão	2000	78.0%	82.4%	72.6%
Brazil	Lagoa da Confusão	2017	69.4%	77.0%	61.7%
Brazil	Lagoa da Prata	2000	85.3%	88.2%	81.9%
Brazil	Lagoa da Prata	2017	88.5%	91.0%	85.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lagoa de Anta	2000	83.6%	86.0%	80.9%
Brazil	Lagoa de Anta	2017	85.5%	87.8%	82.9%
Brazil	Lagoa de dentro	2000	81.9%	84.7%	78.9%
Brazil	Lagoa de dentro	2017	83.1%	86.0%	80.1%
Brazil	Lagoa de Pedras	2000	85.3%	87.4%	83.0%
Brazil	Lagoa de Pedras	2017	87.0%	89.1%	84.8%
Brazil	Lagoa de São Francisco	2000	65.5%	71.8%	59.8%
Brazil	Lagoa de São Francisco	2017	71.8%	78.0%	65.5%
Brazil	Lagoa do Barro do Piauí	2000	67.8%	73.7%	61.4%
Brazil	Lagoa do Barro do Piauí	2017	73.1%	79.7%	65.6%
Brazil	Lagoa do Carro	2000	81.5%	83.2%	79.7%
Brazil	Lagoa do Carro	2017	81.0%	83.1%	79.0%
Brazil	Lagoa do Itaenga	2000	79.9%	81.6%	78.1%
Brazil	Lagoa do Itaenga	2017	78.8%	81.1%	76.8%
Brazil	Lagoa do Mato	2000	53.0%	59.9%	46.5%
Brazil	Lagoa do Mato	2017	64.1%	71.9%	56.1%
Brazil	Lagoa do Ouro	2000	81.3%	83.7%	79.0%
Brazil	Lagoa do Ouro	2017	77.3%	80.5%	74.0%
Brazil	Lagoa do Piauí	2000	57.3%	63.6%	52.0%
Brazil	Lagoa do Piauí	2017	68.9%	74.7%	63.0%
Brazil	Lagoa do Sítio	2000	62.8%	68.5%	56.6%
Brazil	Lagoa do Sítio	2017	71.3%	77.1%	64.9%
Brazil	Lagoa do Tocantins	2000	71.8%	77.2%	66.0%
Brazil	Lagoa do Tocantins	2017	63.4%	70.9%	56.3%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Gatos	2000	79.1%	81.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Gatos	2017	75.8%	78.6%	73.0%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Patos	2000	84.7%	88.4%	80.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lagoa dos Patos	2017	87.3%	90.7%	83.6%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Três Cantos	2000	93.9%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Três Cantos	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Lagoa dourada	2000	86.0%	88.6%	83.3%
Brazil	Lagoa dourada	2017	88.6%	90.8%	86.3%
Brazil	Lagoa Formosa	2000	85.3%	88.5%	81.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Formosa	2017	88.0%	90.8%	84.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2000	87.1%	90.3%	84.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2000	75.3%	78.8%	71.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2017	74.1%	78.0%	69.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2017	88.4%	91.7%	85.3%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande do Maranhão	2000	54.7%	61.4%	48.5%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande do Maranhão	2017	61.3%	68.7%	53.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Mirim	2000	92.9%	95.5%	90.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Mirim	2017	93.5%	95.6%	90.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Nova	2000	89.3%	91.7%	87.1%
Brazil	Lagoa Nova	2017	90.4%	92.6%	88.0%
Brazil	Lagoa Real	2000	71.1%	74.9%	67.7%
Brazil	Lagoa Real	2017	73.6%	78.2%	69.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Salgada	2000	85.4%	87.6%	83.5%
Brazil	Lagoa Salgada	2017	87.2%	89.3%	85.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Santa	2000	85.6%	87.6%	83.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Santa	2017	89.1%	90.7%	87.3%
Brazil	Lagoa Seca	2000	81.9%	84.0%	79.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Seca	2017	81.6%	83.7%	79.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Vermelha	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Lagoa Vermelha	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.0%
Brazil	Lagoão	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Lagoão	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Lagoas de Velhos	2000	84.9%	87.6%	81.8%
Brazil	Lagoas de Velhos	2017	87.0%	89.5%	84.0%
Brazil	Lagoinha	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Lagoinha	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Brazil	Lagoinha do Piauí	2000	59.2%	65.5%	52.9%
Brazil	Lagoinha do Piauí	2017	70.4%	76.9%	63.9%
Brazil	Laguna	2000	93.6%	95.3%	91.5%
Brazil	Laguna	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Laguna Carapã	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Laguna Carapã	2017	89.7%	92.1%	86.9%
Brazil	Laje	2000	74.3%	77.6%	71.6%
Brazil	Laje	2017	76.7%	79.8%	73.8%
Brazil	Laje do Muriaé	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.0%
Brazil	Laje do Muriaé	2017	93.4%	94.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Lajeado do Bugre	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Lajeado do Bugre	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Lajeado Grande	2000	94.6%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Lajeado Grande	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Lajeado Novo	2000	61.8%	67.2%	55.6%
Brazil	Lajeado Novo	2017	61.6%	68.2%	53.8%
Brazil	Lajedao	2000	82.7%	86.3%	79.2%
Brazil	Lajedao	2017	84.8%	88.2%	80.7%
Brazil	Lajedão	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Lajedão	2000	72.2%	76.1%	68.3%
Brazil	Lajedão	2017	62.3%	67.2%	57.1%
Brazil	Lajedão	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Lajedinho	2000	74.9%	79.3%	70.8%
Brazil	Lajedinho	2017	77.2%	81.4%	72.5%
Brazil	Lajedo	2000	79.0%	81.1%	76.8%
Brazil	Lajedo	2017	76.6%	79.1%	73.8%
Brazil	Lajedo do Tabocal	2000	76.7%	80.4%	73.0%
Brazil	Lajedo do Tabocal	2017	79.2%	82.7%	75.0%
Brazil	Lajes	2000	86.5%	89.2%	83.3%
Brazil	Lajes	2017	87.8%	90.8%	84.6%
Brazil	Lajes Pin-tadas	2000	85.7%	88.3%	82.8%
Brazil	Lajes Pin-tadas	2017	86.8%	89.6%	83.5%
Brazil	Lajinha	2000	85.9%	88.3%	82.9%
Brazil	Lajinha	2017	87.1%	89.5%	84.3%
Brazil	Lamarão	2000	74.2%	77.3%	70.6%
Brazil	Lamarão	2017	76.7%	80.4%	73.0%
Brazil	Lambari	2000	86.5%	88.6%	83.7%
Brazil	Lambari	2017	89.0%	90.9%	86.6%
Brazil	Lambari d'Oeste	2000	91.3%	93.5%	89.1%
Brazil	Lambari d'Oeste	2017	89.5%	92.5%	86.3%
Brazil	Lamim	2000	84.8%	87.4%	81.9%
Brazil	Lamim	2017	87.7%	90.2%	85.1%
Brazil	Landri Sales	2000	56.7%	65.6%	48.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Landri Sales	2017	67.8%	76.5%	59.4%
Brazil	Lapão	2000	72.0%	75.6%	68.4%
Brazil	Lapão	2017	74.9%	78.6%	71.4%
Brazil	Laranja da Terra	2000	86.1%	88.7%	83.5%
Brazil	Laranja da Terra	2017	86.8%	89.3%	83.9%
Brazil	Laranjal	2000	93.6%	95.0%	91.8%
Brazil	Laranjal	2000	88.0%	90.4%	85.5%
Brazil	Laranjal	2017	93.0%	94.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Laranjal	2017	90.5%	92.4%	88.5%
Brazil	Laranjal do Jari	2000	90.7%	93.8%	87.4%
Brazil	Laranjal do Jari	2017	89.7%	93.6%	85.9%
Brazil	Laranjal Paulista	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Laranjal Paulista	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Laranjeiras	2000	84.9%	86.5%	83.1%
Brazil	Laranjeiras	2017	87.9%	89.4%	86.3%
Brazil	Laranjeiras do Sul	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Laranjeiras do Sul	2017	94.1%	95.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Lassance	2000	84.3%	88.4%	79.8%
Brazil	Lassance	2017	87.4%	91.0%	83.1%
Brazil	Lastro	2000	83.5%	86.3%	80.0%
Brazil	Lastro	2017	84.3%	87.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Laurentino	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Laurentino	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Lauro de Freitas	2000	74.3%	76.1%	72.5%
Brazil	Lauro de Freitas	2017	77.5%	79.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Lauro Muller	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Lauro Muller	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Lavandeira	2000	79.7%	83.3%	75.1%
Brazil	Lavandeira	2017	75.1%	79.9%	69.8%
Brazil	Lavínia	2000	96.3%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Lavínia	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Lavras	2000	86.0%	88.4%	83.4%
Brazil	Lavras	2017	88.7%	90.9%	86.3%
Brazil	Lavras da Mangabeira	2000	77.1%	79.8%	74.2%
Brazil	Lavras da Mangabeira	2017	76.6%	79.8%	73.4%
Brazil	Lavras do Sul	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Lavras do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Lavrinhas	2000	91.4%	93.3%	88.9%
Brazil	Lavrinhas	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Leandro Ferreira	2000	84.3%	87.3%	81.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Leandro Ferreira	2017	87.4%	90.0%	85.0%
Brazil	Lebon Régis	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.3%
Brazil	Lebon Régis	2017	96.4%	97.6%	94.8%
Brazil	Leme	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Leme	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Leme do Prado	2000	84.9%	88.6%	80.9%
Brazil	Leme do Prado	2017	87.9%	91.2%	84.3%
Brazil	Lençóis	2000	74.5%	78.8%	70.0%
Brazil	Lençóis	2017	75.9%	80.1%	71.4%
Brazil	Lençóis Paulista	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Lençóis Paulista	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Leoberto Leal	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Leoberto Leal	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Leopoldina	2000	86.9%	89.4%	84.4%
Brazil	Leopoldina	2017	89.4%	91.5%	87.2%
Brazil	Leopoldo de Bulhões	2000	92.5%	93.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Leopoldo de Bulhões	2017	90.0%	91.5%	88.3%
Brazil	Leópolis	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Leópolis	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Liberato Salzano	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Liberato Salzano	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Liberdade	2000	92.0%	94.1%	89.5%
Brazil	Liberdade	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.3%
Brazil	Licínio de Almeida	2000	75.8%	79.3%	72.1%
Brazil	Licínio de Almeida	2017	78.3%	82.1%	74.1%
Brazil	Lidianópolis	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Lidianópolis	2017	93.4%	95.0%	91.9%
Brazil	Lima Campos	2000	54.0%	59.8%	48.7%
Brazil	Lima Campos	2017	60.5%	66.2%	54.3%
Brazil	Lima Duarte	2000	89.5%	91.9%	86.5%
Brazil	Lima Duarte	2017	91.3%	93.6%	88.7%
Brazil	Limeira	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.9%
Brazil	Limeira	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Brazil	Limeira do Oeste	2000	91.0%	93.6%	88.2%
Brazil	Limeira do Oeste	2017	90.4%	93.3%	87.4%
Brazil	Limoeiro	2000	78.5%	80.4%	76.6%
Brazil	Limoeiro	2017	77.1%	79.7%	74.6%
Brazil	Limoeiro de Anadia	2000	79.4%	81.2%	77.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Limoeiro de Anadia	2017	74.9%	77.5%	72.0%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Ajuru	2000	87.5%	89.6%	84.6%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Ajuru	2017	86.8%	89.0%	83.5%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Norte	2000	80.9%	83.6%	77.8%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Norte	2017	81.4%	84.2%	78.3%
Brazil	Lindoeste	2000	93.9%	95.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Lindoeste	2017	93.4%	95.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Lindóia	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.5%
Brazil	Lindóia	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Lindóia do Sul	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Lindóia do Sul	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Lindolfo Col- lor	2000	93.7%	94.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Lindolfo Col- lor	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Linha Nova	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Linha Nova	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Linhares	2000	86.9%	89.2%	84.5%
Brazil	Linhares	2017	87.6%	89.8%	85.3%
Brazil	Lins	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Lins	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Livramento	2000	80.8%	83.9%	77.6%
Brazil	Livramento	2017	80.7%	84.3%	76.9%
Brazil	Livramento do Brumado	2000	72.4%	76.3%	68.4%
Brazil	Livramento do Brumado	2017	76.0%	79.6%	71.5%
Brazil	Lizarda	2000	68.0%	74.0%	60.3%
Brazil	Lizarda	2017	63.9%	73.1%	54.8%
Brazil	Loanda	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Loanda	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Lobato	2000	93.8%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Lobato	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Logradouro	2000	82.2%	84.6%	79.6%
Brazil	Logradouro	2017	84.0%	86.4%	81.7%
Brazil	Londrina	2000	94.1%	95.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Londrina	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Lontra	2000	86.8%	90.0%	82.4%
Brazil	Lontra	2017	89.5%	92.3%	85.9%
Brazil	Lontras	2000	94.5%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Lontras	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Lorena	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.3%
Brazil	Lorena	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Loreto	2000	56.0%	64.1%	48.0%
Brazil	Loreto	2017	64.8%	73.2%	54.5%
Brazil	Lourdes	2000	95.6%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Lourdes	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Louveira	2000	95.0%	95.8%	94.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Louveira	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Lucas do Rio Verde	2000	91.5%	93.6%	89.0%
Brazil	Lucas do Rio Verde	2017	89.7%	92.5%	87.0%
Brazil	Lucélia	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Lucélia	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Lucena	2000	82.5%	85.1%	79.4%
Brazil	Lucena	2017	83.2%	86.0%	79.6%
Brazil	Lucianópolis	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Lucianópolis	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Luciára	2000	82.2%	87.0%	75.7%
Brazil	Luciára	2017	77.6%	84.2%	68.6%
Brazil	Lucrécia	2000	84.9%	87.7%	81.8%
Brazil	Lucrécia	2017	86.0%	88.8%	83.0%
Brazil	Luís Antônio	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Luís Antônio	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Luís Correia	2000	64.6%	69.4%	60.2%
Brazil	Luís Correia	2017	71.0%	75.6%	66.0%
Brazil	Luís Domingues	2000	74.1%	79.4%	68.9%
Brazil	Luís Domingues	2017	76.0%	81.7%	70.5%
Brazil	Luís Gomes	2000	85.0%	87.5%	82.1%
Brazil	Luís Gomes	2017	85.4%	87.9%	82.5%
Brazil	Luisburgo	2000	88.2%	90.2%	85.9%
Brazil	Luisburgo	2017	90.0%	91.8%	87.8%
Brazil	Luisiania	2000	95.5%	97.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Luisiania	2017	96.6%	97.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Luislândia	2000	86.1%	89.3%	82.4%
Brazil	Luislândia	2017	88.8%	91.7%	85.0%
Brazil	Luiz Alves	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Luiz Alves	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Luiziânia	2000	93.8%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Luiziânia	2017	93.2%	94.9%	91.4%
Brazil	Luminárias	2000	85.4%	88.4%	82.3%
Brazil	Luminárias	2017	88.0%	90.7%	85.0%
Brazil	Lunardelli	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Lunardelli	2017	93.4%	95.0%	91.9%
Brazil	Lupercio	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Lupercio	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Lupionópolis	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Lupionópolis	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Lutécia	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Lutécia	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Luz	2000	85.4%	88.3%	81.9%
Brazil	Luz	2017	88.6%	91.1%	85.4%
Brazil	Luzerna	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Luzerna	2017	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Luziânia	2000	92.4%	93.5%	91.1%
Brazil	Luziânia	2017	90.5%	91.9%	88.9%
Brazil	Luzilândia	2000	60.8%	65.6%	56.2%
Brazil	Luzilândia	2017	70.3%	75.0%	65.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Luzinópolis	2000	70.9%	74.8%	66.9%
Brazil	Luzinópolis	2017	63.8%	69.3%	58.3%
Brazil	Macaé	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Macaé	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Macaíba	2000	86.2%	87.8%	84.6%
Brazil	Macaíba	2017	87.7%	89.1%	86.1%
Brazil	Macaçuba	2000	75.8%	79.5%	71.7%
Brazil	Macaçuba	2017	78.2%	81.8%	74.2%
Brazil	Maçambara	2000	94.1%	95.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Maçambara	2000	83.9%	86.1%	81.4%
Brazil	Maçambara	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Maçambara	2017	86.8%	88.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Macapa	2000	90.4%	91.8%	88.9%
Brazil	Macapa	2017	88.5%	90.1%	86.8%
Brazil	Macaparana	2000	79.8%	82.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Macaparana	2017	78.3%	80.9%	75.2%
Brazil	Macarani	2000	76.7%	80.0%	73.1%
Brazil	Macarani	2017	79.3%	82.7%	75.6%
Brazil	Macatuba	2000	94.6%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Macatuba	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Macau	2000	84.7%	88.5%	80.6%
Brazil	Macau	2017	86.1%	90.1%	82.4%
Brazil	Macaubal	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Macaubal	2017	96.6%	97.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Macaúbas	2000	73.8%	77.1%	70.1%
Brazil	Macaúbas	2017	76.7%	80.1%	73.0%
Brazil	Macedonia	2000	94.5%	96.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Macedonia	2017	95.6%	97.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Maceió (capital)	2000	80.1%	81.9%	78.0%
Brazil	Maceió (capital)	2017	75.8%	78.3%	73.3%
Brazil	Machacalis	2000	81.7%	85.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Machacalis	2017	84.7%	88.5%	79.7%
Brazil	Machadinho	2000	90.1%	92.9%	86.9%
Brazil	Machadinho	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Machadinho	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Machadinho	2017	89.1%	92.2%	85.3%
Brazil	Machado	2000	84.1%	86.9%	81.0%
Brazil	Machado	2017	86.9%	89.5%	84.1%
Brazil	Machados	2000	81.1%	83.3%	79.0%
Brazil	Machados	2017	79.5%	82.1%	77.1%
Brazil	Macieira	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Macieira	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Macuco	2000	94.2%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Macuco	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Macururé	2000	77.3%	81.9%	72.9%
Brazil	Macururé	2017	77.3%	82.7%	71.6%
Brazil	Madalena	2000	79.4%	81.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Madalena	2017	79.0%	82.3%	74.7%
Brazil	Madeiro	2000	57.1%	62.3%	52.1%
Brazil	Madeiro	2017	66.5%	72.1%	60.2%
Brazil	Madre de deus	2000	74.4%	77.1%	71.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Madre de deus	2017	77.4%	80.3%	74.3%
Brazil	Madre de deus de Minas	2000	85.6%	89.0%	81.7%
Brazil	Madre de deus de Minas	2017	88.0%	91.3%	84.4%
Brazil	Mãe d'Água	2000	82.2%	84.7%	79.1%
Brazil	Mãe d'Água	2017	81.9%	84.6%	78.0%
Brazil	Maetinga	2000	73.2%	76.9%	69.3%
Brazil	Maetinga	2017	76.5%	80.6%	72.4%
Brazil	Maфра	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Maфра	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Magalhães Barata	2000	88.6%	90.9%	86.3%
Brazil	Magalhães Barata	2017	87.9%	90.3%	85.0%
Brazil	Magalhães de Almeida	2000	60.5%	65.4%	55.4%
Brazil	Magalhães de Almeida	2017	69.5%	74.6%	63.5%
Brazil	Magda	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Magda	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Magé	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Magé	2017	94.9%	95.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Maiquinique	2000	77.7%	81.2%	73.9%
Brazil	Maiquinique	2017	80.7%	84.5%	76.9%
Brazil	Mairi	2000	77.7%	81.1%	74.3%
Brazil	Mairi	2017	79.9%	83.3%	76.3%
Brazil	Mairinque	2000	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Mairinque	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	Mairiporã	2000	95.5%	96.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Mairiporã	2017	96.7%	97.2%	96.2%
Brazil	Mairipotaba	2000	91.7%	93.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Mairipotaba	2017	88.7%	90.7%	86.1%
Brazil	Major Gercino	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Major Gercino	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Major Isidoro	2000	77.5%	80.1%	74.5%
Brazil	Major Isidoro	2017	74.4%	78.0%	70.8%
Brazil	Major Sales	2000	84.8%	87.5%	81.4%
Brazil	Major Sales	2017	85.5%	88.2%	82.5%
Brazil	Major Vieira	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Major Vieira	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Malacacheta	2000	86.7%	89.9%	83.2%
Brazil	Malacacheta	2017	89.2%	91.9%	86.1%
Brazil	Malhada	2000	76.1%	80.6%	71.4%
Brazil	Malhada	2017	79.0%	83.0%	74.0%
Brazil	Malhada de Pedras	2000	70.9%	74.7%	66.9%
Brazil	Malhada de Pedras	2017	73.8%	77.9%	69.2%
Brazil	Malhada dos Bois	2000	83.0%	85.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Malhada dos Bois	2017	85.6%	87.9%	83.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Malhador	2000	84.2%	86.3%	81.7%
Brazil	Malhador	2017	87.3%	89.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Mallet	2000	93.6%	95.0%	91.9%
Brazil	Mallet	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Malta	2000	81.3%	83.9%	78.5%
Brazil	Malta	2017	81.5%	84.7%	77.8%
Brazil	Mamanguape	2000	83.8%	85.9%	81.4%
Brazil	Mamanguape	2017	84.0%	86.1%	81.3%
Brazil	Mambaí	2000	87.3%	90.4%	83.8%
Brazil	Mambaí	2017	85.5%	89.7%	81.4%
Brazil	Mamborê	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Mamborê	2017	93.7%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Mamonas	2000	81.7%	85.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Mamonas	2017	85.0%	88.6%	81.1%
Brazil	Mampituba	2000	94.5%	96.0%	92.5%
Brazil	Mampituba	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Manacapuru	2000	80.7%	84.2%	77.8%
Brazil	Manacapuru	2017	81.6%	84.9%	78.5%
Brazil	Manaíra	2000	82.4%	85.2%	79.9%
Brazil	Manaíra	2017	81.8%	85.0%	78.9%
Brazil	Manaquiri	2000	79.2%	82.8%	75.8%
Brazil	Manaquiri	2017	79.9%	83.4%	76.2%
Brazil	Manari	2000	79.6%	82.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Manari	2017	76.9%	80.6%	72.6%
Brazil	Mâncio Lima	2000	78.8%	83.1%	74.1%
Brazil	Mâncio Lima	2017	79.8%	83.6%	75.5%
Brazil	Mandaguaçu	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Mandaguaçu	2017	93.4%	94.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Mandaguari	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Mandaguari	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Mandirituba	2000	94.0%	95.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Mandirituba	2017	93.8%	95.0%	92.5%
Brazil	Manduri	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Manduri	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.3%
Brazil	Manfrinópolis	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Manfrinópolis	2017	93.7%	95.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Manga	2000	82.3%	86.4%	77.7%
Brazil	Manga	2017	85.4%	89.3%	81.0%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2000	93.6%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Brazil	Mangueirinha	2000	93.5%	95.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Mangueirinha	2017	93.1%	95.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Manhuaçu	2000	87.8%	89.7%	85.5%
Brazil	Manhuaçu	2017	90.4%	92.0%	88.0%
Brazil	Manhumirim	2000	86.3%	88.6%	83.8%
Brazil	Manhumirim	2017	87.9%	90.1%	85.6%
Brazil	Manicore	2000	82.3%	86.5%	77.1%
Brazil	Manicore	2017	82.6%	87.3%	77.5%
Brazil	Manoel Emídio	2000	57.4%	66.0%	47.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Manoel Emídio	2017	69.4%	77.9%	59.5%
Brazil	Manoel Ribas	2000	94.7%	96.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Manoel Ribas	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Manoel Urbano	2000	78.1%	84.1%	70.6%
Brazil	Manoel Urbano	2017	80.9%	86.4%	74.5%
Brazil	Manoel Viana	2000	94.7%	96.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Manoel Viana	2017	95.1%	96.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Manoel Victorino	2000	70.8%	74.7%	66.6%
Brazil	Manoel Victorino	2017	73.6%	77.9%	69.1%
Brazil	Mansidão	2000	69.9%	76.4%	63.1%
Brazil	Mansidão	2017	74.8%	81.7%	67.4%
Brazil	Mantena	2000	86.2%	88.6%	83.5%
Brazil	Mantena	2017	87.5%	89.9%	85.0%
Brazil	Mantenópolis	2000	88.0%	90.3%	85.3%
Brazil	Mantenópolis	2017	89.4%	91.4%	86.7%
Brazil	Maquiné	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Maquiné	2017	94.5%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Mar de Espanha	2000	89.2%	91.5%	86.5%
Brazil	Mar de Espanha	2017	91.1%	93.0%	88.9%
Brazil	Mar Vermelho	2000	81.4%	83.6%	79.1%
Brazil	Mar Vermelho	2017	76.4%	79.7%	73.2%
Brazil	Mara Rosa	2000	92.4%	94.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Mara Rosa	2017	90.0%	92.3%	86.9%
Brazil	Maraã	2000	80.8%	86.1%	74.9%
Brazil	Maraã	2017	81.3%	86.6%	74.2%
Brazil	Marabá	2000	86.3%	88.4%	84.5%
Brazil	Marabá	2017	85.6%	87.7%	83.3%
Brazil	Marabá Paulista	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Marabá Paulista	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Maracaçumé	2000	71.2%	76.0%	65.3%
Brazil	Maracaçumé	2017	72.2%	77.2%	65.9%
Brazil	Maracaí	2000	95.4%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Maracaí	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Maracajá	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Maracajá	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Maracaju	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Maracaju	2017	90.3%	92.5%	87.4%
Brazil	Maracanã	2000	88.3%	90.4%	86.2%
Brazil	Maracanã	2017	87.5%	89.8%	85.0%
Brazil	Maracanaú	2000	80.0%	81.4%	78.8%
Brazil	Maracanaú	2017	79.5%	81.3%	77.7%
Brazil	Maracás	2000	75.9%	80.1%	71.4%
Brazil	Maracás	2017	78.5%	82.4%	73.0%
Brazil	Maragogi	2000	78.8%	82.2%	75.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Maragogi	2017	76.3%	80.1%	72.3%
Brazil	Maragogipe	2000	72.3%	74.8%	69.8%
Brazil	Maragogipe	2017	75.6%	78.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Maraial	2000	80.9%	83.0%	78.7%
Brazil	Maraial	2017	78.0%	80.6%	74.9%
Brazil	Marajá do Sena	2000	54.9%	60.6%	48.9%
Brazil	Marajá do Sena	2017	61.1%	68.7%	54.4%
Brazil	Maranguape	2000	79.8%	81.5%	78.1%
Brazil	Maranguape	2017	79.3%	81.4%	77.3%
Brazil	Maranhãozinho	2000	68.2%	72.8%	62.8%
Brazil	Maranhãozinho	2017	70.4%	75.7%	64.2%
Brazil	Marapanim	2000	88.6%	90.7%	86.4%
Brazil	Marapanim	2017	87.8%	90.3%	85.0%
Brazil	Marapoama	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Marapoama	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Maratá	2000	94.2%	95.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Maratá	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Marataízes	2000	87.0%	89.6%	84.1%
Brazil	Marataízes	2017	88.0%	90.5%	84.9%
Brazil	Maraú	2000	74.3%	77.5%	71.0%
Brazil	Maraú	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Maraú	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Maraú	2017	76.7%	80.1%	73.1%
Brazil	Maravilha	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Maravilha	2000	77.7%	81.0%	74.6%
Brazil	Maravilha	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Maravilha	2017	74.3%	78.2%	70.1%
Brazil	Maravilhas	2000	85.8%	88.8%	82.3%
Brazil	Maravilhas	2017	88.8%	91.4%	85.6%
Brazil	Marcação	2000	82.2%	84.7%	79.1%
Brazil	Marcação	2017	82.8%	85.6%	79.4%
Brazil	Marcelândia	2000	91.1%	94.1%	87.5%
Brazil	Marcelândia	2017	89.3%	93.0%	84.3%
Brazil	Marcelino Vieira	2000	84.1%	86.8%	81.0%
Brazil	Marcelino Vieira	2017	85.0%	87.9%	82.0%
Brazil	Marcionilio Dias	2000	93.7%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Marcionilio Dias	2017	94.4%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Marcionílio Souza	2000	68.2%	72.8%	63.1%
Brazil	Marcionílio Souza	2017	70.7%	75.7%	64.0%
Brazil	Marco	2000	78.2%	80.9%	75.4%
Brazil	Marco	2017	78.2%	81.3%	75.0%
Brazil	Marcolândia	2000	71.5%	75.8%	66.9%
Brazil	Marcolândia	2017	74.2%	78.7%	68.2%
Brazil	Marcos Parente	2000	55.6%	63.9%	47.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Marcos Parente	2017	66.8%	75.6%	58.0%
Brazil	Marechal Cândido Rondon	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Marechal Cândido Rondon	2017	93.9%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Marechal deodoro	2000	80.5%	82.7%	77.7%
Brazil	Marechal deodoro	2017	76.0%	78.8%	72.8%
Brazil	Marechal Florianópolis	2000	89.8%	91.6%	88.3%
Brazil	Marechal Florianópolis	2017	89.6%	91.6%	87.4%
Brazil	Marechal Thaumaturgo	2000	79.0%	84.8%	72.5%
Brazil	Marechal Thaumaturgo	2017	80.7%	86.2%	74.1%
Brazil	Marema	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Marema	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Mari	2000	79.6%	82.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Mari	2017	80.4%	83.3%	77.2%
Brazil	Maria da Fé	2000	90.9%	92.5%	89.2%
Brazil	Maria da Fé	2017	92.6%	94.1%	91.0%
Brazil	Maria Helena	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Maria Helena	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Marialva	2000	94.0%	95.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Marialva	2017	93.4%	94.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Mariana	2000	85.6%	88.2%	83.2%
Brazil	Mariana	2017	88.4%	90.7%	85.8%
Brazil	Mariana	2000	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Pimentel				
Brazil	Mariana Pimentel	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Mariano Moro	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Mariano Moro	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Marianópolis do Tocantins	2000	78.6%	83.2%	72.8%
Brazil	Marianópolis do Tocantins	2017	71.8%	78.0%	64.8%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Maribondo	2000	79.5%	81.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Maribondo	2017	73.8%	76.9%	70.1%
Brazil	Maricá	2000	94.7%	95.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Maricá	2017	95.9%	96.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Marilac	2000	84.6%	87.6%	81.0%
Brazil	Marilac	2017	87.4%	90.2%	83.7%
Brazil	Marilândia	2000	87.7%	89.9%	85.3%
Brazil	Marilândia	2017	88.2%	90.4%	85.8%
Brazil	Marilândia do Sul	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Marilândia do Sul	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Marilena	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Marilena	2017	93.1%	95.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Marília	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Marília	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Mariluz	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Mariluz	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Maringá	2000	93.5%	94.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Maringá	2017	93.0%	94.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Marinópolis	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Marinópolis	2017	95.5%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Mário Campos	2000	85.9%	87.5%	84.3%
Brazil	Mário Campos	2017	88.7%	90.2%	87.2%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Maripá	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Maripá	2017	93.7%	95.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Maripá de Minas	2000	88.4%	90.8%	85.8%
Brazil	Maripá de Minas	2017	90.3%	92.4%	87.9%
Brazil	Marituba	2000	87.6%	88.8%	86.4%
Brazil	Marituba	2017	86.7%	88.1%	85.6%
Brazil	Marizópolis	2000	81.2%	84.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Marizópolis	2017	81.4%	85.0%	78.0%
Brazil	Marliéria	2000	84.4%	86.7%	81.9%
Brazil	Marliéria	2017	86.7%	88.7%	84.5%
Brazil	Marmeleiro	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Marmeleiro	2017	93.7%	95.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Marmelópolis	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Marmelópolis	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	Marques de Souza	2000	94.1%	95.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Marques de Souza	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Marquinho	2000	93.9%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Marquinho	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Martinho Campos	2000	84.3%	87.5%	80.4%
Brazil	Martinho Campos	2017	87.4%	90.3%	84.2%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2000	78.8%	82.0%	75.3%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2017	79.7%	83.1%	75.8%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Martins	2000	88.9%	91.2%	86.2%
Brazil	Martins	2017	89.4%	91.6%	86.7%
Brazil	Martins Soares	2000	87.3%	89.5%	84.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Martins Soares	2017	89.0%	91.0%	86.6%
Brazil	Maruim	2000	83.2%	85.0%	81.1%
Brazil	Maruim	2017	86.3%	87.9%	84.3%
Brazil	Marumbi	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.5%
Brazil	Marumbi	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Marzagão	2000	88.8%	90.8%	86.5%
Brazil	Marzagão	2017	86.1%	89.0%	82.8%
Brazil	Mascote	2000	74.6%	78.9%	70.6%
Brazil	Mascote	2017	77.5%	81.9%	73.3%
Brazil	Massapê	2000	78.2%	80.6%	76.0%
Brazil	Massapê	2017	78.4%	81.2%	75.5%
Brazil	Massapê do Piauí	2000	64.7%	70.4%	58.7%
Brazil	Massapê do Piauí	2017	70.5%	76.9%	63.8%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2000	80.8%	82.9%	78.9%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2000	95.1%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2017	80.4%	83.0%	78.2%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.6%
Brazil	Mata	2000	94.3%	95.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Mata	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Mata de São João	2000	74.5%	77.0%	72.1%
Brazil	Mata de São João	2017	77.5%	80.2%	74.7%
Brazil	Mata Grande	2000	79.5%	82.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Mata Grande	2017	76.6%	80.0%	72.8%
Brazil	Mata Roma	2000	54.0%	60.3%	47.9%
Brazil	Mata Roma	2017	62.1%	68.8%	55.0%
Brazil	Mata Verde	2000	82.6%	86.4%	78.9%
Brazil	Mata Verde	2017	85.3%	88.5%	81.7%
Brazil	Matão	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Matão	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Brazil	Mataraca	2000	84.6%	87.2%	81.4%
Brazil	Mataraca	2017	87.2%	89.5%	84.5%
Brazil	Mateira	2000	90.9%	93.1%	88.2%
Brazil	Mateira	2017	88.9%	91.5%	85.4%
Brazil	Mateiros	2000	66.8%	74.8%	59.5%
Brazil	Mateiros	2017	63.5%	74.1%	53.2%
Brazil	Matelândia	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Matelândia	2017	93.7%	95.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Materlândia	2000	86.3%	89.3%	82.9%
Brazil	Materlândia	2017	89.0%	91.5%	85.1%
Brazil	Mates do Norte	2000	55.5%	61.2%	50.0%
Brazil	Mates do Norte	2017	61.8%	67.7%	54.8%
Brazil	Mateus Leme	2000	85.8%	87.6%	83.7%
Brazil	Mateus Leme	2017	88.6%	90.2%	86.8%
Brazil	Mathias Lobato	2000	84.2%	87.0%	81.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mathias Lobato	2017	87.1%	89.6%	84.0%
Brazil	Matias Barbosa	2000	88.5%	90.2%	86.4%
Brazil	Matias Barbosa	2017	90.4%	91.8%	88.8%
Brazil	Matias Cardoso	2000	82.2%	86.6%	77.5%
Brazil	Matias Cardoso	2017	85.3%	89.4%	80.7%
Brazil	Matias Olímpio	2000	58.9%	64.4%	53.6%
Brazil	Matias Olímpio	2017	68.7%	74.1%	62.9%
Brazil	Matina	2000	72.9%	77.0%	68.6%
Brazil	Matina	2017	75.5%	79.9%	70.9%
Brazil	Matinha	2000	56.4%	60.8%	50.8%
Brazil	Matinha	2017	61.7%	67.2%	55.0%
Brazil	Matinhas	2000	81.9%	84.1%	79.8%
Brazil	Matinhas	2017	81.4%	83.8%	79.1%
Brazil	Matinhos	2000	92.7%	94.5%	90.4%
Brazil	Matinhos	2017	92.6%	94.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Matipó	2000	86.1%	88.7%	83.5%
Brazil	Matipó	2017	88.4%	90.8%	86.0%
Brazil	Mato Castelhano	2000	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Mato Castelhano	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2000	83.4%	86.3%	80.4%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2000	89.6%	93.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2017	84.0%	87.4%	80.1%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2017	88.0%	92.4%	83.1%
Brazil	Mato Leitão	2000	93.7%	94.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Mato Leitão	2017	94.2%	95.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Mato Rico	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Mato Rico	2017	93.7%	95.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Mato Verde	2000	83.3%	87.2%	78.7%
Brazil	Mato Verde	2017	86.7%	90.2%	82.4%
Brazil	Matões	2000	53.0%	59.0%	46.7%
Brazil	Matões	2017	62.2%	68.9%	55.1%
Brazil	Matos Costa	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Matos Costa	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Matozinhos	2000	85.4%	87.4%	83.0%
Brazil	Matozinhos	2017	88.4%	90.0%	86.4%
Brazil	Matrinchã	2000	91.8%	94.0%	89.5%
Brazil	Matrinchã	2017	89.1%	91.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Matriz de Camaragibe	2000	78.8%	81.1%	76.3%
Brazil	Matriz de Camaragibe	2017	75.3%	78.7%	72.3%
Brazil	Matupá	2000	91.3%	93.6%	88.6%
Brazil	Matupá	2017	89.8%	92.5%	86.4%
Brazil	Maturéia	2000	85.4%	87.6%	82.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Maturéia	2017	85.7%	88.3%	82.3%
Brazil	Matutina	2000	85.8%	89.0%	81.6%
Brazil	Matutina	2017	88.7%	91.7%	84.6%
Brazil	Mauá	2000	96.0%	96.4%	95.5%
Brazil	Mauá	2017	97.0%	97.4%	96.7%
Brazil	Mauá da Serra	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Mauá da Serra	2017	94.4%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Maués	2000	80.9%	81.9%	79.6%
Brazil	Maués	2017	81.7%	83.0%	80.5%
Brazil	Maurilândia	2000	92.1%	93.8%	90.0%
Brazil	Maurilândia	2017	89.7%	92.0%	86.5%
Brazil	Maurilândia	2000	64.6%	69.0%	59.7%
Brazil	Maurilândia do Tocantins	2017	60.0%	66.0%	53.3%
Brazil	Maxaranguape	2000	86.0%	89.0%	82.3%
Brazil	Maxaranguape	2017	87.0%	89.9%	83.5%
Brazil	Maximiliano de Almeida	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Maximiliano de Almeida	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Mazagão	2000	90.2%	92.2%	88.0%
Brazil	Mazagão	2017	88.2%	91.0%	85.5%
Brazil	Me do Rio	2000	87.9%	90.5%	85.6%
Brazil	Me do Rio	2017	87.5%	90.2%	85.0%
Brazil	Medeiros	2000	85.8%	89.2%	81.3%
Brazil	Medeiros	2017	88.6%	91.7%	84.3%
Brazil	Medeiros Neto	2000	79.3%	82.7%	75.7%
Brazil	Medeiros Neto	2017	81.9%	85.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Medianeira	2000	94.6%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Medianeira	2017	94.2%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Medicilândia	2000	87.6%	91.1%	83.3%
Brazil	Medicilândia	2017	87.1%	90.9%	82.5%
Brazil	Medina	2000	86.6%	89.8%	82.8%
Brazil	Medina	2017	89.7%	92.4%	86.4%
Brazil	Meleiro	2000	94.6%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Meleiro	2017	95.4%	96.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Melgaco	2000	87.5%	90.6%	84.4%
Brazil	Melgaco	2017	86.0%	89.7%	82.2%
Brazil	Melgaço	2000	87.3%	90.1%	84.1%
Brazil	Melgaço	2017	86.3%	89.4%	82.8%
Brazil	Mendes	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Mendes	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Mendes	2000	86.3%	89.4%	82.9%
Brazil	Mendes Pimentel	2017	88.4%	91.0%	84.9%
Brazil	Mendonça	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Mendonça	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Mercedes	2000	93.8%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Mercedes	2017	92.7%	94.7%	90.6%
Brazil	Mercês	2000	84.8%	87.5%	82.0%
Brazil	Mercês	2017	87.5%	90.0%	84.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Meridiano	2000	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Meridiano	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Meruoca	2000	86.5%	88.2%	84.7%
Brazil	Meruoca	2017	85.8%	87.4%	83.9%
Brazil	Mesópolis	2000	93.3%	95.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Mesópolis	2017	93.8%	95.7%	91.5%
Brazil	Mesquita	2000	84.4%	86.9%	81.5%
Brazil	Mesquita	2017	87.4%	89.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Messias	2000	82.1%	84.4%	80.1%
Brazil	Messias	2017	78.2%	81.0%	75.4%
Brazil	Miguel Alves	2000	57.0%	61.9%	51.8%
Brazil	Miguel Alves	2017	67.4%	72.5%	61.6%
Brazil	Miguel Calmon	2000	77.0%	80.1%	73.5%
Brazil	Miguel Calmon	2017	79.2%	82.5%	75.6%
Brazil	Miguel Leão	2000	58.4%	64.4%	51.8%
Brazil	Miguel Leão	2017	69.5%	75.2%	62.2%
Brazil	Miguel Pereira	2000	95.7%	96.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Miguel Pereira	2017	96.5%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Miguelópolis	2000	90.9%	93.2%	88.4%
Brazil	Miguelópolis	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Milagres	2000	78.0%	80.6%	75.3%
Brazil	Milagres	2000	76.2%	79.9%	72.8%
Brazil	Milagres	2017	77.5%	80.5%	74.5%
Brazil	Milagres	2017	79.0%	83.2%	75.4%
Brazil	Milagres do Maranhão	2000	55.1%	59.9%	49.2%
Brazil	Milagres do Maranhão	2017	63.8%	69.3%	56.8%
Brazil	Milhã	2000	78.7%	82.1%	75.3%
Brazil	Milhã	2017	78.1%	82.0%	73.9%
Brazil	Milton Brandão	2000	65.4%	70.2%	59.7%
Brazil	Milton Brandão	2017	71.7%	77.0%	65.6%
Brazil	Mimoso de Goiás	2000	93.3%	94.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Mimoso de Goiás	2017	91.5%	93.6%	88.8%
Brazil	Mimoso do Sul	2000	88.4%	90.4%	85.8%
Brazil	Mimoso do Sul	2017	89.5%	91.5%	86.8%
Brazil	Minaçu	2000	87.9%	90.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Minaçu	2017	84.2%	88.3%	80.0%
Brazil	Minador do Negrão	2000	78.2%	80.9%	75.7%
Brazil	Minador do Negrão	2017	74.6%	78.3%	70.8%
Brazil	Minas do Leão	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Minas do Leão	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Minas Novas	2000	86.1%	89.4%	82.5%
Brazil	Minas Novas	2017	88.9%	91.8%	85.9%
Brazil	Minduri	2000	88.1%	91.0%	84.8%
Brazil	Minduri	2017	90.2%	92.9%	87.4%
Brazil	Mineiros	2000	93.3%	95.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Mineiros	2017	91.0%	93.7%	87.9%
Brazil	Mineiros do Tietê	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Mineiros do Tietê	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Ministro Andreazza	2000	90.9%	92.9%	88.6%
Brazil	Ministro Andreazza	2017	89.7%	91.9%	86.8%
Brazil	Mira Estrela	2000	93.2%	95.7%	90.5%
Brazil	Mira Estrela	2017	94.4%	96.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Mirabela	2000	86.2%	89.6%	82.2%
Brazil	Mirabela	2017	88.9%	91.9%	85.3%
Brazil	Miracatu	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Miracatu	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Miracema	2000	92.0%	93.7%	89.9%
Brazil	Miracema	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Miracema do Tocantins	2000	72.2%	76.1%	68.3%
Brazil	Miracema do Tocantins	2017	62.4%	67.9%	57.0%
Brazil	Mirador	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Mirador	2000	54.8%	61.4%	48.7%
Brazil	Mirador	2017	61.9%	69.6%	55.0%
Brazil	Mirador	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Miradouro	2000	87.5%	90.0%	84.5%
Brazil	Miradouro	2017	89.6%	91.8%	87.0%
Brazil	Miraguaí	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Miraguaí	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Miraí	2000	87.1%	89.4%	84.5%
Brazil	Miraí	2017	89.5%	91.5%	87.1%
Brazil	Miraíma	2000	77.8%	80.7%	74.9%
Brazil	Miraíma	2017	77.5%	80.9%	73.5%
Brazil	Miranda	2000	93.9%	95.7%	91.9%
Brazil	Miranda	2017	89.9%	93.0%	86.8%
Brazil	Miranda do Norte	2000	55.8%	61.6%	49.7%
Brazil	Miranda do Norte	2017	61.9%	68.7%	54.1%
Brazil	Mirandiba	2000	77.9%	81.5%	74.4%
Brazil	Mirandiba	2017	76.6%	80.7%	72.3%
Brazil	Mirandópolis	2000	96.4%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Mirandópolis	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.5%
Brazil	Mirangaba	2000	75.9%	79.4%	72.3%
Brazil	Mirangaba	2017	78.2%	81.5%	75.0%
Brazil	Miranorte	2000	74.2%	78.2%	70.6%
Brazil	Miranorte	2017	64.5%	70.5%	58.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mirante	2000	69.3%	73.9%	64.7%
Brazil	Mirante	2017	72.4%	77.1%	67.7%
Brazil	Mirante da Serra	2000	90.8%	93.2%	88.3%
Brazil	Mirante da Serra	2017	89.7%	92.6%	86.8%
Brazil	Mirante do Parapanema	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	Mirante do Parapanema	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Miraselva	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Miraselva	2017	93.7%	95.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Mirassol	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Mirassol	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Mirassol d'Oeste	2000	92.1%	94.1%	90.0%
Brazil	Mirassol d'Oeste	2017	90.5%	93.0%	87.8%
Brazil	Mirassolândia	2000	93.9%	95.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Mirassolândia	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Miravânia	2000	83.9%	88.6%	79.9%
Brazil	Miravânia	2017	86.3%	90.6%	82.4%
Brazil	Mirim doce	2000	94.5%	96.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Mirim doce	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Mirinzal	2000	58.2%	65.1%	51.9%
Brazil	Mirinzal	2017	62.7%	69.9%	54.9%
Brazil	Missal	2000	93.8%	95.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Missal	2017	93.2%	95.0%	91.0%
Brazil	Misso Velha	2000	79.6%	81.9%	77.4%
Brazil	Misso Velha	2017	79.1%	81.5%	76.7%
Brazil	Mocajuba	2000	87.7%	90.0%	84.9%
Brazil	Mocajuba	2017	87.0%	89.5%	84.0%
Brazil	Mococa	2000	91.1%	93.3%	89.2%
Brazil	Mococa	2017	93.4%	95.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Modelo	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Modelo	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Moeda	2000	84.8%	87.4%	82.0%
Brazil	Moeda	2017	88.0%	90.1%	85.3%
Brazil	Moema	2000	84.9%	87.7%	81.9%
Brazil	Moema	2017	88.2%	90.6%	85.5%
Brazil	Mogi das Cruzes	2000	95.8%	96.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Mogi das Cruzes	2017	96.9%	97.3%	96.4%
Brazil	Mogi Guaçu	2000	94.4%	95.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Mogi Guaçu	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Mogi Mirim	2000	95.1%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Mogi Mirim	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.6%
Brazil	Moiporá	2000	92.4%	94.2%	90.3%
Brazil	Moiporá	2017	89.9%	92.4%	86.8%
Brazil	Moita Bonita	2000	84.1%	86.3%	81.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Moita Bonita	2017	87.1%	89.2%	84.8%
Brazil	Moju	2000	88.4%	89.9%	86.9%
Brazil	Moju	2017	87.7%	89.5%	86.0%
Brazil	Mombaça	2000	78.4%	81.6%	74.7%
Brazil	Mombaça	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Mombaça	2017	77.7%	81.2%	73.8%
Brazil	Mombaça	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.2%
Brazil	Monção	2000	56.9%	61.6%	51.9%
Brazil	Monção	2017	62.1%	67.4%	56.1%
Brazil	Monções	2000	95.6%	96.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Monções	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Mondaí	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Mondaí	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Mongaguá	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Mongaguá	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Mongeiro	2000	78.2%	80.8%	75.5%
Brazil	Mongeiro	2017	76.9%	80.1%	73.9%
Brazil	Monjolos	2000	85.0%	89.0%	80.5%
Brazil	Monjolos	2017	87.8%	91.4%	84.1%
Brazil	Monsenhor Gil	2000	58.3%	64.6%	52.1%
Brazil	Monsenhor Gil	2017	69.6%	75.3%	63.2%
Brazil	Monsenhor Hipólito	2000	65.9%	71.1%	60.6%
Brazil	Monsenhor Hipólito	2017	72.0%	77.7%	66.1%
Brazil	Monsenhor Paulo	2000	84.8%	87.2%	81.8%
Brazil	Monsenhor Paulo	2017	87.6%	89.8%	85.1%
Brazil	Monsenhor Tabosa	2000	83.4%	86.0%	81.0%
Brazil	Monsenhor Tabosa	2017	83.3%	86.1%	80.5%
Brazil	Montadas	2000	82.3%	84.6%	80.1%
Brazil	Montadas	2017	82.5%	85.2%	80.2%
Brazil	Montalvânia	2000	81.0%	85.5%	76.7%
Brazil	Montalvânia	2017	83.4%	87.7%	79.4%
Brazil	Montanha	2000	85.0%	88.0%	81.7%
Brazil	Montanha	2017	86.8%	89.5%	83.4%
Brazil	Montanhas	2000	83.1%	85.5%	80.5%
Brazil	Montanhas	2017	84.6%	87.2%	81.4%
Brazil	Montauri	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Montauri	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2000	87.1%	90.0%	83.8%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2000	86.0%	87.7%	84.2%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2017	86.5%	89.8%	82.5%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2017	88.0%	89.6%	86.3%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Goiás	2000	84.9%	88.2%	80.4%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Goiás	2017	81.0%	85.3%	76.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Minas	2000	88.7%	91.4%	85.8%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Minas	2017	89.5%	92.1%	86.6%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Sergipe	2000	82.1%	85.2%	79.1%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Sergipe	2017	84.5%	87.3%	81.3%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Piauí	2000	63.8%	71.8%	56.2%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Piauí	2017	70.8%	79.1%	62.4%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Sul	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Sul	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Monte Alegre dos Campos	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Monte Alegre dos Campos	2017	94.8%	96.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Monte Alto	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Monte Alto	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.6%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2000	94.8%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2017	96.2%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Monte Azul	2000	82.3%	86.4%	78.0%
Brazil	Monte Azul	2017	85.6%	89.1%	81.7%
Brazil	Monte Azul Paulista	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Monte Azul Paulista	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Monte Belo	2000	85.4%	88.1%	82.1%
Brazil	Monte Belo	2017	88.2%	90.5%	85.5%
Brazil	Monte Belo do Sul	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Monte Belo do Sul	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Monte Carlo	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Monte Carlo	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Monte Carmelo	2000	87.5%	90.3%	84.6%
Brazil	Monte Carmelo	2017	89.1%	91.9%	86.3%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2000	94.6%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Monte das Gameleiras	2000	86.0%	88.6%	83.6%
Brazil	Monte das Gameleiras	2017	87.2%	89.6%	84.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Monte do Carmo	2000	72.6%	76.8%	68.2%
Brazil	Monte do Carmo	2017	62.9%	68.3%	56.6%
Brazil	Monte Formoso	2000	86.8%	90.2%	82.8%
Brazil	Monte Formoso	2017	89.5%	92.5%	86.5%
Brazil	Monte Horebe	2000	82.4%	85.0%	79.4%
Brazil	Monte Horebe	2017	82.5%	85.6%	79.1%
Brazil	Monte Mor	2000	94.6%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Monte Mor	2017	96.1%	96.7%	95.3%
Brazil	Monte Negro	2000	91.8%	94.0%	89.4%
Brazil	Monte Negro	2017	90.9%	93.3%	88.0%
Brazil	Monte Santo	2000	73.5%	77.3%	70.0%
Brazil	Monte Santo	2017	76.0%	79.9%	71.5%
Brazil	Monte Santo de Minas	2000	91.2%	93.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Monte Santo de Minas	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Monte Santo do Tocantins	2000	75.0%	79.4%	70.9%
Brazil	Monte Santo do Tocantins	2017	64.9%	70.9%	59.1%
Brazil	Monte São	2000	90.9%	92.4%	88.9%
Brazil	Monte São	2017	93.0%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Monteiro	2000	79.1%	81.9%	76.2%
Brazil	Monteiro	2017	77.8%	81.0%	74.8%
Brazil	Monteiro Lobato	2000	93.5%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Monteiro Lobato	2017	95.1%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Monteirópolis	2000	76.5%	79.3%	73.4%
Brazil	Monteirópolis	2017	74.8%	78.9%	70.8%
Brazil	Montenegro	2000	94.0%	94.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Montenegro	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Montes Altos	2000	63.3%	68.3%	56.9%
Brazil	Montes Altos	2017	63.2%	69.9%	54.7%
Brazil	Montes Claros	2000	86.4%	88.8%	83.9%
Brazil	Montes Claros	2017	89.2%	91.0%	87.4%
Brazil	Montes Claros de Goiás	2000	91.8%	93.9%	89.2%
Brazil	Montes Claros de Goiás	2017	89.2%	92.1%	85.9%
Brazil	Montezuma	2000	84.3%	88.4%	80.2%
Brazil	Montezuma	2017	87.3%	90.6%	83.3%
Brazil	Montividiu	2000	92.8%	94.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Montividiu	2017	90.3%	93.1%	87.6%
Brazil	Montividiu do Norte	2000	87.5%	90.3%	84.6%
Brazil	Montividiu do Norte	2017	83.6%	87.3%	79.5%
Brazil	Morada Nova	2000	77.5%	80.0%	74.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Morada Nova	2017	77.2%	80.1%	73.6%
Brazil	Morada Nova de Minas	2000	84.7%	88.9%	79.7%
Brazil	Morada Nova de Minas	2017	87.8%	91.7%	83.3%
Brazil	Moraújo	2000	74.9%	77.7%	71.9%
Brazil	Moraújo	2017	75.6%	78.8%	72.2%
Brazil	Moreira Sales	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Moreira Sales	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Moreno	2000	78.4%	79.9%	77.1%
Brazil	Moreno	2017	76.2%	78.1%	74.1%
Brazil	Mormaço	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Mormaço	2017	94.5%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Morpará	2000	72.0%	77.2%	67.3%
Brazil	Morpará	2017	75.3%	80.5%	70.3%
Brazil	Morretes	2000	90.7%	92.4%	88.9%
Brazil	Morretes	2017	89.7%	91.9%	87.5%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2000	91.0%	93.0%	89.1%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2000	78.1%	81.0%	75.0%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2017	88.2%	90.9%	85.2%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2017	78.0%	81.4%	74.6%
Brazil	Morrinhos do Sul	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Morrinhos do Sul	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Morro Agudo	2000	93.6%	95.3%	91.7%
Brazil	Morro Agudo	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Morro Agudo de Goiás	2000	93.3%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Morro Agudo de Goiás	2017	91.1%	93.4%	88.6%
Brazil	Morro Cabeça No Tempo	2000	66.3%	73.5%	58.4%
Brazil	Morro Cabeça No Tempo	2017	73.9%	81.7%	65.9%
Brazil	Morro da Fumaça	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Morro da Fumaça	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Morro da Garça	2000	85.0%	89.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Morro da Garça	2017	88.2%	91.5%	83.8%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu	2000	76.7%	79.8%	73.3%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu	2017	78.9%	82.3%	75.7%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu do Piauí	2000	61.4%	66.7%	56.0%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu do Piauí	2017	70.8%	76.4%	65.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Morro do Pilar	2000	85.1%	88.4%	81.7%
Brazil	Morro do Pilar	2017	87.8%	90.9%	84.5%
Brazil	Morro Grande	2000	94.6%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Morro Grande	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Morro Redondo	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Morro Redondo	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Morro Reuter	2000	94.9%	95.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Morro Reuter	2017	95.1%	95.8%	94.3%
Brazil	Morros	2000	54.5%	59.4%	49.2%
Brazil	Morros	2017	60.2%	66.3%	53.6%
Brazil	Mortugaba	2000	79.7%	83.6%	75.4%
Brazil	Mortugaba	2017	82.7%	86.9%	78.3%
Brazil	Morungaba	2000	94.1%	95.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Morungaba	2017	95.7%	96.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Mosquito	2000	67.5%	71.6%	63.3%
Brazil	Mosquito	2017	60.9%	66.9%	55.3%
Brazil	Mossâmedes	2000	92.5%	94.1%	90.7%
Brazil	Mossâmedes	2017	89.9%	92.3%	87.2%
Brazil	Mossoró	2000	85.8%	87.9%	83.5%
Brazil	Mossoró	2017	87.8%	89.6%	85.9%
Brazil	Mostardas	2000	94.4%	96.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Mostardas	2017	94.7%	96.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Motuca	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Motuca	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Mozarlândia	2000	92.0%	94.2%	89.6%
Brazil	Mozarlândia	2017	89.9%	92.8%	86.6%
Brazil	Muaná	2000	87.7%	90.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Muaná	2017	86.8%	89.4%	83.8%
Brazil	Mucajaí	2000	91.2%	93.4%	88.9%
Brazil	Mucajaí	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Mucambo	2000	73.8%	76.8%	70.6%
Brazil	Mucambo	2017	74.0%	77.6%	70.2%
Brazil	Mucugê	2000	78.9%	82.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Mucugê	2017	81.3%	84.7%	77.4%
Brazil	Muçum	2000	92.9%	94.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Muçum	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Mucuri	2000	80.5%	83.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Mucuri	2017	82.0%	85.3%	78.1%
Brazil	Mucurici	2000	83.6%	86.7%	79.8%
Brazil	Mucurici	2017	85.2%	88.5%	81.4%
Brazil	Muitos Capões	2000	94.6%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Muitos Capões	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.5%
Brazil	Muliterno	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Muliterno	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Mulungu	2000	86.7%	88.7%	84.3%
Brazil	Mulungu	2000	79.5%	82.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Mulungu	2017	80.2%	83.4%	77.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mulungu	2017	87.1%	88.9%	84.5%
Brazil	Mulungu do Morro	2000	74.8%	78.4%	70.7%
Brazil	Mulungu do Morro	2017	77.3%	80.7%	72.6%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	90.4%	92.8%	87.5%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	92.7%	94.4%	91.0%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	77.2%	80.2%	74.1%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	87.9%	91.1%	84.0%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	89.9%	92.2%	87.1%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	79.1%	82.1%	75.1%
Brazil	Munhoz	2000	94.4%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Munhoz	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.3%
Brazil	Munhoz de Melo	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.9%
Brazil	Munhoz de Melo	2017	92.7%	94.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Muniz Ferreira	2000	73.7%	76.6%	70.7%
Brazil	Muniz Ferreira	2017	76.1%	79.2%	72.8%
Brazil	Muniz Freire	2000	86.7%	89.1%	84.4%
Brazil	Muniz Freire	2017	87.2%	89.5%	84.6%
Brazil	Muquém de São Francisco	2000	73.5%	77.8%	68.9%
Brazil	Muquém de São Francisco	2017	76.8%	81.1%	72.0%
Brazil	Muqui	2000	87.2%	89.2%	85.0%
Brazil	Muqui	2017	87.9%	90.0%	85.8%
Brazil	Muriaé	2000	89.1%	91.2%	86.7%
Brazil	Muriaé	2017	91.4%	93.0%	89.3%
Brazil	Muribeca	2000	83.9%	86.5%	81.6%
Brazil	Muribeca	2017	86.6%	88.8%	84.4%
Brazil	Murici	2000	81.7%	83.8%	79.6%
Brazil	Murici	2017	77.9%	80.5%	75.2%
Brazil	Murici dos Portelas	2000	61.2%	66.1%	55.7%
Brazil	Murici dos Portelas	2017	69.9%	74.3%	64.1%
Brazil	Muricilândia	2000	76.1%	79.3%	72.9%
Brazil	Muricilândia	2017	68.3%	73.1%	63.6%
Brazil	Muritiba	2000	73.2%	75.6%	70.6%
Brazil	Muritiba	2017	76.0%	78.8%	73.3%
Brazil	Murutinga do Sul	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Murutinga do Sul	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Mutuípe	2000	75.1%	78.5%	71.7%
Brazil	Mutuípe	2017	77.5%	80.8%	73.9%
Brazil	Mutum	2000	85.1%	88.0%	82.2%
Brazil	Mutum	2017	86.6%	89.4%	83.9%
Brazil	Mutunópolis	2000	91.3%	93.4%	88.7%
Brazil	Mutunópolis	2017	88.8%	91.6%	85.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Muzambinho	2000	87.2%	89.7%	84.5%
Brazil	Muzambinho	2017	89.8%	91.8%	87.5%
Brazil	Nacip Raydan	2000	85.8%	89.0%	82.4%
Brazil	Nacip Raydan	2017	88.4%	91.2%	85.3%
Brazil	Nantes	2000	95.4%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Nantes	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Nanuque	2000	83.5%	86.5%	79.9%
Brazil	Nanuque	2017	85.8%	88.8%	82.0%
Brazil	Naque	2000	83.2%	85.9%	80.0%
Brazil	Naque	2017	86.5%	88.9%	83.4%
Brazil	Narandiba	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Narandiba	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Natal	2000	86.7%	88.5%	84.9%
Brazil	Natal	2017	87.7%	89.3%	86.2%
Brazil	Natalândia	2000	89.9%	92.9%	86.8%
Brazil	Natalândia	2017	90.6%	93.5%	87.2%
Brazil	Natércia	2000	87.0%	89.3%	84.7%
Brazil	Natércia	2017	89.4%	91.6%	87.3%
Brazil	Natividade	2000	74.6%	79.0%	69.2%
Brazil	Natividade	2000	89.8%	92.0%	87.5%
Brazil	Natividade	2017	65.0%	71.9%	57.7%
Brazil	Natividade	2017	91.6%	93.4%	89.5%
Brazil	Natividade da Serra	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Natividade da Serra	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Natuba	2000	79.9%	81.9%	77.4%
Brazil	Natuba	2017	78.6%	81.6%	75.6%
Brazil	Navegantes	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Navegantes	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Naviraí	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	Naviraí	2017	89.3%	91.9%	86.5%
Brazil	Nazaré	2000	73.2%	76.1%	70.2%
Brazil	Nazaré	2000	69.7%	73.8%	65.4%
Brazil	Nazaré	2017	75.6%	79.2%	72.3%
Brazil	Nazaré	2017	62.9%	67.9%	57.3%
Brazil	Nazaré da Mata	2000	78.1%	79.8%	76.4%
Brazil	Nazaré da Mata	2017	76.4%	78.5%	73.8%
Brazil	Nazaré do Piauí	2000	55.5%	62.9%	48.2%
Brazil	Nazaré do Piauí	2017	68.4%	75.0%	60.9%
Brazil	Nazaré Paulista	2000	95.0%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Nazaré Paulista	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	Nazareno	2000	84.6%	87.9%	80.9%
Brazil	Nazareno	2017	87.2%	90.2%	83.8%
Brazil	Nazarezinho	2000	81.8%	84.9%	78.5%
Brazil	Nazarezinho	2017	81.9%	85.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Nazário	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nazário	2017	90.1%	92.0%	87.8%
Brazil	Neópolis	2000	81.0%	83.9%	78.2%
Brazil	Neópolis	2017	82.2%	84.9%	79.5%
Brazil	Nepomuceno	2000	84.7%	87.3%	81.5%
Brazil	Nepomuceno	2017	87.7%	90.2%	84.9%
Brazil	Nerópolis	2000	92.6%	93.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Nerópolis	2017	90.1%	91.5%	88.5%
Brazil	Neves	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Neves	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Nhamundá	2000	82.8%	86.3%	78.8%
Brazil	Nhamundá	2017	82.3%	86.0%	78.0%
Brazil	Nhandeara	2000	95.6%	97.1%	93.8%
Brazil	Nhandeara	2017	96.7%	97.8%	95.5%
Brazil	Nicolau Ver- gueiro	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Nicolau Ver- gueiro	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Nilo Peçanha	2000	73.9%	77.0%	70.5%
Brazil	Nilo Peçanha	2017	76.4%	79.5%	73.2%
Brazil	Nilópolis	2000	94.7%	95.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Nilópolis	2017	95.8%	96.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Nina Ro- drigues	2000	54.6%	59.9%	48.5%
Brazil	Nina Ro- drigues	2017	61.0%	68.1%	54.2%
Brazil	Ninheira	2000	80.1%	83.8%	76.0%
Brazil	Ninheira	2017	83.4%	86.8%	79.3%
Brazil	Nioaque	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Nioaque	2017	90.1%	92.8%	86.8%
Brazil	Nipoã	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Nipoã	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.0%
Brazil	Niquelândia	2000	92.4%	94.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Niquelândia	2017	90.4%	92.8%	87.5%
Brazil	Nísia Floresta	2000	85.9%	87.9%	83.7%
Brazil	Nísia Floresta	2017	87.0%	88.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Niterói	2000	94.6%	95.2%	93.9%
Brazil	Niterói	2017	95.7%	96.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Nobres	2000	90.4%	93.0%	88.2%
Brazil	Nobres	2017	88.3%	91.5%	84.8%
Brazil	Nonoai	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Nonoai	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Nordestina	2000	71.0%	74.5%	66.9%
Brazil	Nordestina	2017	74.6%	79.3%	69.9%
Brazil	Normandia	2000	91.2%	94.8%	86.3%
Brazil	Normandia	2017	92.5%	95.5%	87.9%
Brazil	Nortelândia	2000	91.0%	93.2%	88.7%
Brazil	Nortelândia	2017	89.0%	92.1%	85.7%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora Aprecido	2000	83.2%	85.9%	80.2%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora Aprecido	2017	86.2%	88.5%	83.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nossa Senhora da Glória	2000	84.4%	87.0%	81.6%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora da Glória	2017	87.1%	89.3%	84.4%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Dores	2000	84.8%	87.2%	82.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Dores	2017	87.6%	89.8%	85.6%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Graças	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Graças	2017	93.6%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Lourdes	2000	80.2%	82.9%	77.0%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Lourdes	2017	81.9%	84.6%	78.7%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Nazaré	2000	59.0%	65.0%	53.9%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Nazaré	2017	69.9%	75.7%	64.1%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Livramento	2000	91.2%	92.7%	89.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Livramento	2017	89.1%	91.0%	86.6%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Socorro	2000	84.5%	86.1%	82.7%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Socorro	2017	87.6%	89.0%	86.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora dos Remédios	2000	58.5%	63.8%	53.1%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora dos Remédios	2017	68.7%	73.9%	62.9%
Brazil	Nova Aliança	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Nova Aliança	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Nova Aliança do Ivaí	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Nova Aliança do Ivaí	2017	93.8%	95.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Nova Alvorada	2000	94.4%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Nova Alvorada	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Nova Alvorada do Sul	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Nova Alvorada do Sul	2017	90.0%	92.7%	86.7%
Brazil	Nova América	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Nova América	2017	90.9%	93.2%	88.6%
Brazil	Nova América da Colina	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova América da Colina	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Nova Andradina	2000	93.0%	94.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Nova Andradina	2017	89.6%	92.0%	87.2%
Brazil	Nova Araçá	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Nova Araçá	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2000	86.6%	89.1%	83.9%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2017	83.8%	87.3%	79.9%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2017	93.7%	95.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Nova Bandeirantes	2000	90.4%	93.5%	86.5%
Brazil	Nova Bandeirantes	2017	89.4%	93.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Nova Bassano	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Nova Bassano	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Nova Belém	2000	87.5%	90.4%	84.3%
Brazil	Nova Belém	2017	89.1%	91.6%	85.6%
Brazil	Nova Boa Vista	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Nova Boa Vista	2017	94.4%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia	2000	91.1%	93.6%	87.8%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia	2017	89.1%	92.4%	84.2%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia d'Oeste	2000	91.0%	92.8%	88.4%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia d'Oeste	2017	89.9%	92.0%	87.1%
Brazil	Nova Brésia	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Nova Brésia	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Nova Campina	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Nova Campina	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Nova Canaã	2000	76.2%	79.3%	73.2%
Brazil	Nova Canaã	2017	78.3%	81.7%	74.4%
Brazil	Nova Canaã do Norte	2000	90.9%	93.5%	88.0%
Brazil	Nova Canaã do Norte	2017	89.0%	92.5%	85.3%
Brazil	Nova Canaã Paulista	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Nova Canaã Paulista	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Nova Candelária	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Nova Candelária	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Nova Cantu	2000	93.8%	95.2%	91.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Cantu	2017	93.2%	95.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Nova Castilho	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Nova Castilho	2017	96.7%	97.8%	95.3%
Brazil	Nova Colinas	2000	61.6%	68.9%	54.5%
Brazil	Nova Colinas	2017	64.4%	72.6%	56.2%
Brazil	Nova Crixás	2000	91.0%	93.1%	88.0%
Brazil	Nova Crixás	2017	88.6%	91.2%	85.1%
Brazil	Nova Cruz	2000	82.5%	84.9%	80.0%
Brazil	Nova Cruz	2017	84.4%	86.8%	82.0%
Brazil	Nova Era	2000	85.9%	88.1%	83.3%
Brazil	Nova Era	2017	88.8%	90.7%	86.7%
Brazil	Nova Erechim	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Nova Erechim	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Nova Esperança	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Nova Esperança	2017	92.8%	94.4%	91.1%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Piriá	2000	84.3%	87.7%	81.3%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Piriá	2017	84.3%	88.2%	80.7%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sudoeste	2000	93.6%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sudoeste	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sul	2000	94.5%	96.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sul	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Nova Europa	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Nova Europa	2017	96.2%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2000	93.9%	95.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2000	70.0%	73.9%	66.1%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2017	93.9%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2017	73.5%	78.0%	69.3%
Brazil	Nova Floresta	2000	85.4%	87.9%	82.8%
Brazil	Nova Floresta	2017	85.8%	88.6%	83.1%
Brazil	Nova Friburgo	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Brazil	Nova Friburgo	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.4%
Brazil	Nova Glória	2000	92.7%	94.1%	91.3%
Brazil	Nova Glória	2017	90.2%	92.0%	88.3%
Brazil	Nova Granada	2000	93.3%	95.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Nova Granada	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Nova Guarita	2000	90.6%	93.9%	87.2%
Brazil	Nova Guarita	2017	88.7%	92.8%	84.9%
Brazil	Nova Guataporanga	2000	94.5%	96.1%	92.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Guataporanga	2017	94.5%	96.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Nova Hartz	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Nova Hartz	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Nova Ibiá	2000	75.3%	78.4%	71.8%
Brazil	Nova Ibiá	2017	77.4%	80.7%	73.7%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu	2000	94.9%	95.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu	2017	95.9%	96.3%	95.5%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu de Goiás	2000	91.8%	93.7%	89.9%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu de Goiás	2017	89.4%	91.9%	86.4%
Brazil	Nova Independência	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Nova Independência	2017	94.1%	95.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Nova Iorque	2000	52.9%	60.4%	45.9%
Brazil	Nova Iorque	2017	62.8%	70.8%	55.3%
Brazil	Nova Ipixuna	2000	87.7%	89.7%	85.3%
Brazil	Nova Ipixuna	2017	87.0%	89.2%	84.1%
Brazil	Nova Itaberaba	2000	94.4%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Nova Itaberaba	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Nova Itarana	2000	76.9%	81.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Nova Itarana	2017	79.5%	84.0%	75.4%
Brazil	Nova Lacerda	2000	89.4%	93.1%	84.3%
Brazil	Nova Lacerda	2017	87.3%	91.8%	81.3%
Brazil	Nova Laranjeiras	2000	93.8%	95.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Nova Laranjeiras	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Nova Lima	2000	86.7%	87.9%	85.4%
Brazil	Nova Lima	2017	89.1%	90.3%	88.0%
Brazil	Nova Londrina	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Nova Londrina	2017	94.3%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Nova Luzitânia	2000	95.6%	97.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Nova Luzitânia	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Nova Mamoré	2000	89.7%	92.9%	86.5%
Brazil	Nova Mamoré	2017	89.1%	92.3%	85.9%
Brazil	Nova Marilândia	2000	91.3%	93.6%	89.3%
Brazil	Nova Marilândia	2017	89.4%	92.6%	86.8%
Brazil	Nova Maringá	2000	90.9%	93.7%	88.1%
Brazil	Nova Maringá	2017	88.8%	92.6%	85.2%
Brazil	Nova Módica	2000	87.1%	90.1%	83.3%
Brazil	Nova Módica	2017	89.3%	91.9%	86.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Monte Verde	2000	90.8%	94.1%	87.1%
Brazil	Nova Monte Verde	2017	89.1%	93.2%	83.9%
Brazil	Nova Mutum	2000	91.5%	94.0%	89.2%
Brazil	Nova Mutum	2017	90.2%	93.1%	87.2%
Brazil	Nova Odessa	2000	95.4%	96.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Nova Odessa	2017	96.7%	97.2%	96.1%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2000	91.0%	93.2%	88.7%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2017	89.2%	92.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	81.9%	84.4%	78.8%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	79.3%	82.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	74.2%	78.1%	69.7%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	79.1%	82.3%	75.6%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	81.2%	84.2%	77.8%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	64.1%	69.9%	58.6%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Maranhão	2000	63.5%	68.6%	57.7%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Maranhão	2017	66.7%	73.3%	59.6%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Norte	2000	80.5%	84.2%	76.2%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Norte	2017	81.2%	85.4%	76.6%
Brazil	Nova Pádua	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Nova Pádua	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Nova Palma	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Nova Palma	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Nova Palmeira	2000	83.3%	86.1%	79.8%
Brazil	Nova Palmeira	2017	83.6%	87.0%	80.0%
Brazil	Nova Petrópolis	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Nova Petrópolis	2017	95.1%	96.1%	94.1%
Brazil	Nova Ponte	2000	86.0%	89.1%	82.6%
Brazil	Nova Ponte	2017	88.3%	91.2%	84.6%
Brazil	Nova Porteira	2000	87.1%	90.1%	83.6%
Brazil	Nova Porteira	2017	90.0%	92.8%	87.0%
Brazil	Nova Prata	2000	94.2%	95.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Nova Prata	2017	94.5%	95.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Nova Prata do Iguaçu	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Nova Prata do Iguaçu	2017	93.5%	95.1%	92.0%
Brazil	Nova Ramada	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Nova Ramada	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Redenção	2000	70.4%	75.2%	65.7%
Brazil	Nova Redenção	2017	73.1%	78.4%	68.2%
Brazil	Nova Resende	2000	87.0%	89.7%	84.0%
Brazil	Nova Resende	2017	89.4%	91.6%	86.8%
Brazil	Nova Roma	2000	87.5%	90.5%	84.4%
Brazil	Nova Roma	2017	84.3%	87.8%	80.7%
Brazil	Nova Roma do Sul	2000	94.2%	95.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Nova Roma do Sul	2017	94.5%	95.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Nova Rosalândia	2000	73.9%	78.0%	69.2%
Brazil	Nova Rosalândia	2017	63.7%	69.2%	57.4%
Brazil	Nova Russas	2000	76.5%	79.8%	72.7%
Brazil	Nova Russas	2017	77.1%	80.6%	72.8%
Brazil	Nova Santa Bárbara	2000	93.5%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Nova Santa Bárbara	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2000	94.1%	94.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2000	60.8%	67.6%	54.0%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2017	94.8%	95.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2017	70.4%	77.4%	62.6%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rosa	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rosa	2017	93.5%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Nova Serrana	2000	86.5%	88.8%	83.9%
Brazil	Nova Serrana	2017	89.4%	91.4%	87.3%
Brazil	Nova Soure	2000	72.7%	76.2%	69.1%
Brazil	Nova Soure	2017	75.9%	79.8%	72.3%
Brazil	Nova Tebas	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Nova Tebas	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Nova Timboteua	2000	87.7%	89.5%	85.6%
Brazil	Nova Timboteua	2017	87.0%	89.1%	84.8%
Brazil	Nova Trento	2000	94.3%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Nova Trento	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Nova Uiratã	2000	91.0%	93.4%	87.6%
Brazil	Nova Uiratã	2017	89.1%	92.0%	85.4%
Brazil	Nova União	2000	86.1%	88.1%	83.6%
Brazil	Nova União	2000	90.8%	92.9%	88.5%
Brazil	Nova União	2017	89.6%	92.0%	86.9%
Brazil	Nova União	2017	88.8%	90.9%	86.7%
Brazil	Nova Venécia	2000	84.7%	86.8%	82.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Venécia	2017	85.5%	87.7%	83.1%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2000	93.1%	94.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2017	90.9%	92.3%	89.3%
Brazil	Nova Viçosa	2000	79.2%	82.5%	75.4%
Brazil	Nova Viçosa	2017	81.0%	84.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Nova Xavantina	2000	92.0%	94.3%	88.1%
Brazil	Nova Xavantina	2017	90.3%	93.4%	85.9%
Brazil	Novais	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Novais	2017	96.1%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Novo Acordo	2000	70.0%	75.6%	64.2%
Brazil	Novo Acordo	2017	61.0%	68.8%	53.3%
Brazil	Novo Airão	2000	81.5%	86.3%	76.4%
Brazil	Novo Airão	2017	84.0%	88.5%	78.4%
Brazil	Novo Alegre	2000	81.4%	85.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Novo Alegre	2017	77.1%	82.4%	71.0%
Brazil	Novo Aripuanã	2000	81.7%	87.1%	75.2%
Brazil	Novo Aripuanã	2017	82.2%	87.9%	76.3%
Brazil	Novo Barreiro	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Novo Barreiro	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Novo Brazil	2000	92.3%	94.2%	90.2%
Brazil	Novo Brazil	2017	89.6%	92.2%	86.7%
Brazil	Novo Cabrais	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Novo Cabrais	2017	94.3%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Novo Cruzeiro	2000	87.7%	90.5%	84.5%
Brazil	Novo Cruzeiro	2017	90.2%	92.4%	87.4%
Brazil	Novo Gama	2000	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Novo Gama	2017	93.8%	94.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Novo Hamburgo	2000	94.3%	94.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Novo Hamburgo	2017	94.8%	95.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	76.1%	80.0%	71.8%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	78.7%	82.6%	74.2%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	94.7%	96.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte do Norte	2000	90.9%	94.3%	87.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Novo Horizonte do Norte	2017	89.1%	93.3%	84.2%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte do Oeste	2000	90.6%	92.6%	88.5%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte do Oeste	2017	89.5%	91.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte do Sul	2000	93.1%	94.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte do Sul	2017	89.3%	92.4%	86.6%
Brazil	Novo Itacolomi	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.5%
Brazil	Novo Itacolomi	2017	93.1%	94.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Novo Jardim	2000	72.4%	77.8%	66.7%
Brazil	Novo Jardim	2017	65.4%	72.3%	58.1%
Brazil	Novo Lino	2000	80.1%	82.2%	77.7%
Brazil	Novo Lino	2017	77.3%	80.1%	74.2%
Brazil	Novo Machado	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Novo Machado	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Novo Mundo	2000	90.4%	93.4%	86.8%
Brazil	Novo Mundo	2017	88.7%	92.1%	85.0%
Brazil	Novo Oriente	2000	73.9%	78.0%	69.5%
Brazil	Novo Oriente	2017	75.9%	80.1%	71.0%
Brazil	Novo Oriente de Minas	2000	85.6%	88.6%	81.6%
Brazil	Novo Oriente de Minas	2017	88.4%	91.0%	85.1%
Brazil	Novo Oriente do Piauí	2000	59.5%	65.7%	53.3%
Brazil	Novo Oriente do Piauí	2017	70.2%	76.1%	63.6%
Brazil	Novo Planalto	2000	88.0%	90.9%	84.7%
Brazil	Novo Planalto	2017	84.4%	88.7%	79.7%
Brazil	Novo Progresso	2000	89.2%	93.1%	84.0%
Brazil	Novo Progresso	2017	88.4%	92.6%	82.6%
Brazil	Novo Repartimento	2000	88.8%	91.3%	86.3%
Brazil	Novo Repartimento	2017	88.0%	90.7%	85.2%
Brazil	Novo Santo Antônio	2000	60.1%	66.6%	53.8%
Brazil	Novo Santo Antônio	2017	70.3%	76.4%	63.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Novo São Joaquim	2000	91.4%	94.0%	87.7%
Brazil	Novo São Joaquim	2017	89.4%	92.8%	84.9%
Brazil	Novo Tiradentes	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Novo Tiradentes	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Novo Triunfo	2000	76.0%	79.8%	71.9%
Brazil	Novo Triunfo	2017	77.9%	81.1%	73.9%
Brazil	Novorizonte	2000	85.8%	89.6%	82.0%
Brazil	Novorizonte	2017	88.7%	91.7%	84.9%
Brazil	Nuporanga	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Nuporanga	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Óbidos	2000	86.4%	89.8%	82.1%
Brazil	Óbidos	2017	85.8%	89.5%	81.3%
Brazil	Ocara	2000	78.8%	81.4%	75.8%
Brazil	Ocara	2017	78.4%	81.3%	75.4%
Brazil	Ocaçu	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Ocaçu	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Oeiras	2000	57.3%	64.1%	51.4%
Brazil	Oeiras	2017	69.8%	76.3%	63.0%
Brazil	Oeiras do Pará	2000	88.2%	90.3%	85.9%
Brazil	Oeiras do Pará	2017	87.6%	90.0%	85.1%
Brazil	Oiapoque	2000	91.6%	95.3%	87.0%
Brazil	Oiapoque	2017	90.2%	94.1%	85.6%
Brazil	Olaria	2000	90.2%	92.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Olaria	2017	91.9%	93.9%	88.9%
Brazil	Óleo	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Óleo	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Olho d'Água	2000	81.0%	84.2%	77.5%
Brazil	Olho d'Água	2017	79.6%	83.7%	75.7%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Cunhãs	2000	56.4%	62.4%	51.4%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Cunhãs	2017	62.3%	68.4%	56.1%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Flores	2000	77.1%	80.0%	73.6%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Flores	2017	74.8%	78.7%	70.7%
Brazil	Olho d'Água do Casado	2000	79.4%	82.2%	76.3%
Brazil	Olho d'Água do Casado	2017	78.8%	81.9%	74.8%
Brazil	Olho d'água do Piauí	2000	59.3%	65.8%	53.7%
Brazil	Olho d'água do Piauí	2017	70.6%	77.0%	64.0%
Brazil	Olho d'Água Grande	2000	77.6%	80.3%	74.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Olho d'Água Grande	2017	77.5%	80.6%	73.6%
Brazil	Olho-d'Água do Borges	2000	85.6%	88.6%	82.4%
Brazil	Olho-d'Água do Borges	2017	86.9%	89.6%	83.6%
Brazil	Olhos-d'Água	2000	84.1%	87.8%	79.5%
Brazil	Olhos-d'Água	2017	87.1%	90.4%	82.4%
Brazil	Olímpia	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Olímpia	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Olímpio	2000	87.5%	89.6%	84.9%
Brazil	Noronha				
Brazil	Olímpio Noronha	2017	89.7%	91.8%	87.6%
Brazil	Olinda	2000	79.0%	80.2%	77.8%
Brazil	Olinda	2017	77.4%	79.1%	75.8%
Brazil	Olinda Nova do Maranhão	2000	56.4%	61.3%	50.9%
Brazil	Olinda Nova do Maranhão	2017	61.6%	67.4%	54.8%
Brazil	Olindina	2000	72.8%	76.4%	69.1%
Brazil	Olindina	2017	76.5%	80.3%	72.1%
Brazil	Olivedos	2000	79.4%	82.5%	76.3%
Brazil	Olivedos	2017	79.5%	83.3%	75.8%
Brazil	Oliveira	2000	85.6%	88.3%	82.7%
Brazil	Oliveira	2017	88.4%	90.9%	85.8%
Brazil	Oliveira de Fátima	2000	73.4%	77.7%	69.0%
Brazil	Oliveira de Fátima	2017	63.4%	70.0%	56.9%
Brazil	Oliveira Fortes	2000	86.7%	88.9%	84.5%
Brazil	Oliveira Fortes	2017	89.0%	90.8%	86.9%
Brazil	Olivença	2000	77.1%	80.0%	73.8%
Brazil	Olivença	2017	74.4%	78.2%	70.5%
Brazil	Oliveria dos Brejinhos	2000	72.6%	76.6%	68.1%
Brazil	Oliveria dos Brejinhos	2017	75.8%	80.0%	71.5%
Brazil	Onça de Pitangui	2000	85.3%	88.1%	82.5%
Brazil	Onça de Pitangui	2017	88.3%	90.4%	86.0%
Brazil	Onda Verde	2000	93.5%	95.2%	91.5%
Brazil	Onda Verde	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Oratórios	2000	84.0%	86.8%	81.1%
Brazil	Oratórios	2017	87.1%	89.5%	84.3%
Brazil	Oriente	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Oriente	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Orindiúva	2000	91.6%	94.0%	88.8%
Brazil	Orindiúva	2017	93.6%	95.4%	91.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Oriximiná	2000	86.7%	90.1%	82.9%
Brazil	Oriximiná	2017	86.4%	90.2%	82.5%
Brazil	Orizânia	2000	88.4%	90.4%	86.0%
Brazil	Orizânia	2017	90.3%	92.0%	88.1%
Brazil	Orizona	2000	91.0%	92.8%	89.0%
Brazil	Orizona	2017	88.3%	90.6%	85.1%
Brazil	Orlandia	2000	94.8%	96.3%	93.3%
Brazil	Orlandia	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Orleaes	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Orleaes	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Orobó	2000	79.6%	81.6%	77.8%
Brazil	Orobó	2017	78.3%	80.8%	76.1%
Brazil	Orós	2000	76.4%	79.5%	73.4%
Brazil	Orós	2017	75.9%	79.3%	72.5%
Brazil	Ortigueira	2000	94.3%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Ortigueira	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Osasco	2000	95.0%	95.5%	94.5%
Brazil	Osasco	2017	96.3%	96.7%	95.9%
Brazil	Oscar Bressane	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Oscar Bressane	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Osório	2000	93.9%	95.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Osório	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Oswaldo Cruz	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.5%
Brazil	Oswaldo Cruz	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Otacílio Costa	2000	95.5%	96.9%	94.0%
Brazil	Otacílio Costa	2017	96.1%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Ourém	2000	87.6%	89.7%	85.1%
Brazil	Ourém	2017	87.1%	89.3%	84.4%
Brazil	Ouriçangas	2000	73.8%	77.3%	70.5%
Brazil	Ouriçangas	2017	75.8%	79.6%	72.1%
Brazil	Ouricuri	2000	77.0%	80.2%	73.6%
Brazil	Ouricuri	2017	76.2%	80.0%	72.0%
Brazil	Ourilândia do Norte	2000	89.0%	91.7%	85.7%
Brazil	Ourilândia do Norte	2017	88.3%	91.2%	84.6%
Brazil	Ourinhos	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Ourinhos	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Brazil	Ourizona	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Ourizona	2017	92.9%	94.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Ouro	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Ouro	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	84.8%	87.4%	81.7%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	87.5%	89.2%	84.9%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	77.8%	81.1%	74.5%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	85.9%	88.6%	83.0%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	89.9%	91.5%	87.7%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	74.3%	78.4%	69.9%
Brazil	Ouro Fino	2000	87.8%	90.1%	85.4%
Brazil	Ouro Fino	2017	90.3%	92.2%	88.0%
Brazil	Ouro Preto	2000	88.3%	90.0%	86.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ouro Preto	2017	90.6%	92.1%	88.8%
Brazil	Ouro Preto do Oeste	2000	91.3%	93.0%	89.1%
Brazil	Ouro Preto do Oeste	2017	90.3%	92.2%	88.0%
Brazil	Ouro Velho	2000	79.3%	82.1%	76.1%
Brazil	Ouro Velho	2017	78.2%	81.8%	74.4%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Goiás	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.5%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Goiás	2017	90.0%	91.6%	88.3%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Minas	2000	86.5%	89.9%	82.7%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Minas	2017	88.7%	91.8%	85.4%
Brazil	Ouro Verde do Oeste	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Ouro Verde do Oeste	2017	93.7%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Ouroeste	2000	93.9%	95.9%	91.7%
Brazil	Ouroeste	2017	94.7%	96.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Ourolândia	2000	71.9%	76.2%	67.7%
Brazil	Ourolândia	2017	74.7%	78.9%	70.1%
Brazil	Ouvidor	2000	84.9%	87.4%	82.4%
Brazil	Ouvidor	2017	83.2%	86.2%	79.8%
Brazil	Pacaembu	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Pacaembu	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Pacajá	2000	88.1%	90.7%	84.4%
Brazil	Pacajá	2017	87.2%	90.2%	83.1%
Brazil	Pacajús	2000	78.5%	80.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Pacajús	2017	78.8%	81.3%	76.1%
Brazil	Pacaraima	2000	94.2%	96.6%	90.7%
Brazil	Pacaraima	2017	95.0%	97.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2000	80.6%	83.4%	77.5%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2000	80.7%	82.3%	79.2%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2017	80.2%	82.3%	77.9%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2017	82.4%	85.7%	78.7%
Brazil	Paço do Lumiar	2000	55.1%	59.2%	51.2%
Brazil	Paço do Lumiar	2017	60.5%	64.3%	56.5%
Brazil	Pacoti	2000	85.9%	87.8%	83.9%
Brazil	Pacoti	2017	84.9%	86.9%	82.5%
Brazil	Pacujá	2000	74.6%	77.7%	71.2%
Brazil	Pacujá	2017	74.2%	78.0%	70.5%
Brazil	Padre Bernardo	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Padre Bernardo	2017	92.5%	94.3%	90.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Padre Carvalho	2000	84.9%	88.6%	80.3%
Brazil	Padre Carvalho	2017	88.0%	91.1%	84.1%
Brazil	Padre Marcos	2000	67.1%	72.2%	61.8%
Brazil	Padre Marcos	2017	71.6%	76.7%	65.3%
Brazil	Padre Paraíso	2000	86.7%	89.7%	83.1%
Brazil	Padre Paraíso	2017	89.5%	92.2%	86.7%
Brazil	Paes Landim	2000	57.4%	65.4%	50.0%
Brazil	Paes Landim	2017	69.1%	76.9%	61.4%
Brazil	Pai Pedro	2000	83.6%	87.1%	79.3%
Brazil	Pai Pedro	2017	86.9%	89.9%	83.3%
Brazil	Paial	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Paial	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Paçandu	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Paçandu	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Paim Filho	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Paim Filho	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Paineiras	2000	84.2%	88.8%	79.7%
Brazil	Paineiras	2017	87.2%	91.1%	83.2%
Brazil	Painel	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Painel	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Pains	2000	85.0%	88.1%	81.6%
Brazil	Pains	2017	87.9%	90.6%	84.9%
Brazil	Paiva	2000	84.1%	86.5%	81.2%
Brazil	Paiva	2017	86.7%	89.1%	83.8%
Brazil	Pajeú do Piauí	2000	58.3%	66.6%	49.3%
Brazil	Pajeú do Piauí	2017	70.2%	78.2%	60.5%
Brazil	Palestina	2000	93.2%	95.2%	90.7%
Brazil	Palestina	2000	76.3%	79.5%	73.5%
Brazil	Palestina	2017	75.3%	79.3%	71.7%
Brazil	Palestina	2017	94.9%	96.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Palestina de Goiás	2000	92.3%	94.6%	90.0%
Brazil	Palestina de Goiás	2017	89.7%	93.0%	86.0%
Brazil	Palestina do Pará	2000	76.0%	79.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Palestina do Pará	2017	71.5%	75.9%	66.5%
Brazil	Palhano	2000	78.0%	80.9%	74.4%
Brazil	Palhano	2017	77.8%	81.0%	74.3%
Brazil	Palhoça	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Palhoça	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Palma	2000	84.1%	87.2%	80.8%
Brazil	Palma	2017	86.8%	89.6%	83.9%
Brazil	Palma Sola	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Palma Sola	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Palmácia	2000	82.2%	84.3%	80.3%
Brazil	Palmácia	2017	81.4%	83.6%	79.1%
Brazil	Palmares	2000	79.7%	81.8%	77.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Palmares	2017	77.1%	79.8%	74.7%
Brazil	Palmares do Sul	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Palmares do Sul	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Palmares Paulista	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Palmares Paulista	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Palmas	2000	73.5%	76.2%	70.6%
Brazil	Palmas	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Palmas	2017	64.1%	67.1%	60.7%
Brazil	Palmas	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Palmas de Monte Alto	2000	73.8%	78.4%	70.0%
Brazil	Palmas de Monte Alto	2017	76.3%	80.6%	71.6%
Brazil	Palmeira	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Palmeira	2000	95.3%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Palmeira	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Palmeira	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Palmeira das Missões	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Palmeira das Missões	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Palmeira do Oeste	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Palmeira do Oeste	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Palmeira do Piauí	2000	59.8%	68.5%	50.1%
Brazil	Palmeira do Piauí	2017	70.0%	78.2%	60.5%
Brazil	Palmeira dos índios	2000	80.4%	82.6%	78.1%
Brazil	Palmeira dos índios	2017	76.2%	79.1%	72.9%
Brazil	Palmeirais	2000	53.8%	59.3%	47.4%
Brazil	Palmeirais	2017	65.8%	71.9%	58.6%
Brazil	Palmeirândia	2000	56.8%	61.6%	52.3%
Brazil	Palmeirândia	2017	61.7%	67.8%	54.9%
Brazil	Palmeirante	2000	85.8%	89.0%	82.1%
Brazil	Palmeirante	2017	81.1%	85.4%	76.2%
Brazil	Palmeiras	2000	76.4%	80.5%	72.2%
Brazil	Palmeiras	2017	78.6%	82.7%	74.5%
Brazil	Palmeiras de Goiás	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.7%
Brazil	Palmeiras de Goiás	2017	89.5%	91.5%	87.1%
Brazil	Palmeirina	2000	81.1%	83.2%	78.6%
Brazil	Palmeirina	2017	77.3%	80.2%	74.6%
Brazil	Palmeirópolis	2000	71.5%	75.5%	66.3%
Brazil	Palmeirópolis	2017	60.9%	66.3%	55.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Palmelo	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.3%
Brazil	Palmelo	2017	89.0%	91.4%	86.3%
Brazil	Palminópolis	2000	92.8%	94.3%	91.0%
Brazil	Palminópolis	2017	90.4%	92.4%	88.1%
Brazil	Palmital	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Palmital	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Palmital	2017	93.9%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Palmital	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Palmitinhos	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Palmitinhos	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Palmitos	2000	94.5%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Palmitos	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Palmópolis	2000	89.8%	91.9%	87.4%
Brazil	Palmópolis	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.2%
Brazil	Palotina	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Palotina	2017	93.6%	95.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Panamá	2000	89.8%	91.7%	87.6%
Brazil	Panamá	2017	87.3%	89.8%	84.4%
Brazil	Panambi	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Panambi	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Pancas	2000	86.5%	88.8%	83.4%
Brazil	Pancas	2017	87.4%	90.0%	84.2%
Brazil	Panelas	2000	80.2%	82.4%	78.1%
Brazil	Panelas	2017	77.9%	80.5%	75.2%
Brazil	Panorama	2000	92.9%	94.8%	90.8%
Brazil	Panorama	2017	92.3%	94.6%	89.7%
Brazil	Pantano Grande	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Pantano Grande	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Pão de Açúcar	2000	76.8%	79.9%	74.1%
Brazil	Pão de Açúcar	2017	76.6%	79.8%	73.4%
Brazil	Papagaios	2000	86.2%	89.2%	82.3%
Brazil	Papagaios	2017	89.1%	92.0%	85.8%
Brazil	Papanduva	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Papanduva	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Paquetá	2000	61.2%	66.9%	55.7%
Brazil	Paquetá	2017	71.5%	76.7%	65.4%
Brazil	Pará de Minas	2000	86.9%	88.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Pará de Minas	2017	89.6%	91.4%	87.6%
Brazil	Paracambi	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Paracambi	2017	95.5%	96.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Paracatu	2000	90.7%	93.1%	88.0%
Brazil	Paracatu	2017	91.3%	93.4%	88.5%
Brazil	Paracuru	2000	78.8%	82.2%	75.2%
Brazil	Paracuru	2017	78.8%	82.4%	74.8%
Brazil	Paragominas	2000	85.5%	88.8%	82.3%
Brazil	Paragominas	2017	86.6%	89.6%	83.0%
Brazil	Paraguaçu	2000	86.0%	88.4%	83.4%
Brazil	Paraguaçu	2017	88.7%	91.2%	86.3%
Brazil	Paraguaçu Paulista	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paraguaçu Paulista	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Brazil	Paraíba	2000	94.5%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Paraíba	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Paraíba do Sul	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.6%
Brazil	Paraíba do Sul	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Paraibano	2000	58.0%	64.7%	51.9%
Brazil	Paraibano	2017	66.7%	73.7%	60.3%
Brazil	Paraibuna	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	Paraibuna	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Brazil	Paraipaba	2000	80.5%	83.3%	77.0%
Brazil	Paraipaba	2017	80.7%	83.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Paraíso	2000	94.8%	96.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Paraíso	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Paraíso	2017	95.0%	96.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Paraíso	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Brazil	Paraíso do Norte	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Paraíso do Norte	2017	93.8%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Paraíso do Sul	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Paraíso do Sul	2017	94.5%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Paraíso do Tocantins	2000	75.0%	78.9%	71.3%
Brazil	Paraíso do Tocantins	2017	65.6%	70.9%	60.5%
Brazil	Paraisópolis	2000	90.6%	92.5%	88.4%
Brazil	Paraisópolis	2017	92.6%	94.2%	91.0%
Brazil	Parambu	2000	74.8%	78.8%	69.9%
Brazil	Parambu	2017	77.6%	82.0%	72.3%
Brazil	Paramirim	2000	72.1%	76.7%	67.2%
Brazil	Paramirim	2017	74.6%	79.1%	69.6%
Brazil	Paramoti	2000	77.8%	80.9%	74.5%
Brazil	Paramoti	2017	77.9%	81.6%	74.2%
Brazil	Paraná	2000	78.8%	83.2%	74.5%
Brazil	Paraná	2000	85.1%	87.8%	81.9%
Brazil	Paraná	2017	70.7%	76.8%	64.4%
Brazil	Paraná	2017	85.7%	88.7%	82.7%
Brazil	Paranacity	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Paranacity	2017	94.6%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Paranaguá	2000	92.1%	94.1%	90.2%
Brazil	Paranaguá	2017	92.0%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Paranaíba	2000	90.6%	92.8%	88.0%
Brazil	Paranaíba	2017	87.5%	90.5%	83.8%
Brazil	Paranaíta	2000	91.5%	94.2%	88.3%
Brazil	Paranaíta	2017	89.9%	93.2%	86.0%
Brazil	Paranaparema	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Paranaparema	2017	97.1%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Paranapoema	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Paranapoema	2017	94.1%	95.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Paranapuã	2000	94.5%	96.0%	92.5%
Brazil	Paranapuã	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Paranatama	2000	81.5%	83.9%	79.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paranatama	2017	79.3%	82.0%	76.3%
Brazil	Paranatinga	2000	90.1%	93.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Paranatinga	2017	88.5%	92.5%	83.8%
Brazil	Paranavaí	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Paranavaí	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Paranhos	2000	93.1%	95.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Paranhos	2017	89.1%	93.0%	84.6%
Brazil	Paraopeba	2000	86.2%	89.5%	82.9%
Brazil	Paraopeba	2017	89.2%	91.9%	86.2%
Brazil	Parapuã	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Parapuã	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.1%
Brazil	Parari	2000	79.8%	83.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Parari	2017	79.0%	83.3%	74.5%
Brazil	Parati	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Parati	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.0%
Brazil	Paratinga	2000	72.5%	76.8%	68.3%
Brazil	Paratinga	2017	75.5%	79.5%	71.3%
Brazil	Paraú	2000	86.7%	89.9%	83.4%
Brazil	Paraú	2017	88.5%	91.5%	85.5%
Brazil	Parauapebas	2000	88.4%	90.5%	85.9%
Brazil	Parauapebas	2017	87.8%	89.8%	85.3%
Brazil	Paraúna	2000	92.8%	94.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Paraúna	2017	90.4%	93.1%	87.7%
Brazil	Parazinho	2000	85.9%	89.6%	81.7%
Brazil	Parazinho	2017	87.5%	90.9%	83.3%
Brazil	Pardinho	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Pardinho	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Brazil	Parei Novo	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Parei Novo	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Parecis	2000	90.8%	93.4%	87.6%
Brazil	Parecis	2017	89.9%	92.7%	86.5%
Brazil	Parelhas	2000	83.7%	86.8%	80.2%
Brazil	Parelhas	2017	84.5%	87.7%	80.7%
Brazil	Pariconha	2000	77.9%	80.9%	74.8%
Brazil	Pariconha	2017	76.6%	80.1%	73.0%
Brazil	Parintins	2000	84.2%	87.3%	81.3%
Brazil	Parintins	2017	84.4%	87.2%	81.5%
Brazil	Paripiranga	2000	82.2%	84.8%	79.3%
Brazil	Paripiranga	2017	85.0%	87.4%	82.6%
Brazil	Paripueira	2000	79.3%	81.8%	76.4%
Brazil	Paripueira	2017	75.4%	78.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Pariquera-Açu	2000	94.3%	96.0%	92.4%
Brazil	Pariquera-Açu	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Parisi	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.1%
Brazil	Parisi	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.6%
Brazil	Parnaguá	2000	67.0%	74.4%	59.4%
Brazil	Parnaguá	2017	75.3%	82.2%	67.5%
Brazil	Parnaíba	2000	64.9%	70.1%	60.3%
Brazil	Parnaíba	2017	72.0%	76.7%	67.3%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2000	87.0%	88.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2000	78.2%	81.1%	74.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Parnamirim	2017	88.2%	89.5%	86.6%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2017	76.5%	80.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Parnarama	2000	52.1%	57.2%	46.6%
Brazil	Parnarama	2017	61.6%	67.6%	55.3%
Brazil	Parobé	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Parobé	2017	94.7%	95.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Passa e Fica	2000	83.2%	85.5%	80.5%
Brazil	Passa e Fica	2017	85.0%	87.3%	82.4%
Brazil	Passa Quatro	2000	92.8%	94.3%	90.9%
Brazil	Passa Quatro	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Passa Sete	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Passa Sete	2017	95.2%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Passa Tempo	2000	85.3%	88.5%	82.4%
Brazil	Passa Tempo	2017	88.2%	91.0%	85.6%
Brazil	Passa Vinte	2000	92.5%	94.4%	90.3%
Brazil	Passa Vinte	2017	94.0%	95.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	85.9%	88.7%	82.8%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	80.7%	83.7%	77.5%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	85.0%	87.5%	82.7%
Brazil	Passabém	2017	88.6%	91.2%	85.6%
Brazil	Passabém	2017	86.7%	89.0%	84.2%
Brazil	Passabém	2017	80.7%	84.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Passagem Franca	2000	54.4%	60.1%	48.2%
Brazil	Passagem Franca	2017	62.9%	69.5%	55.3%
Brazil	Passagem Franca do Piauí	2000	58.4%	65.0%	51.9%
Brazil	Passagem Franca do Piauí	2017	69.9%	76.2%	63.2%
Brazil	Passira	2000	76.4%	78.7%	74.1%
Brazil	Passira	2017	74.7%	77.7%	71.9%
Brazil	Passo de Camaragibe	2000	78.5%	81.3%	75.6%
Brazil	Passo de Camaragibe	2017	75.1%	78.6%	71.2%
Brazil	Passo de Torres	2000	93.3%	95.2%	90.4%
Brazil	Passo de Torres	2017	94.1%	95.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Passo do Sobrado	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.4%
Brazil	Passo do Sobrado	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Passo Fundo	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Passo Fundo	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.9%
Brazil	Passos	2000	86.7%	89.3%	84.2%
Brazil	Passos	2017	89.4%	91.8%	86.8%
Brazil	Passos Maia	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Passos Maia	2017	95.5%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Pastos Bons	2000	55.8%	63.1%	49.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pastos Bons	2017	64.1%	71.5%	56.9%
Brazil	Patis	2000	85.5%	89.1%	81.4%
Brazil	Patis	2017	88.4%	91.5%	84.3%
Brazil	Pato Bragado	2000	93.7%	95.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Pato Bragado	2017	92.8%	94.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Pato Branco	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Pato Branco	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Patos	2000	80.3%	83.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Patos	2017	80.4%	83.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Patos de Minas	2000	86.7%	89.6%	83.8%
Brazil	Patos de Minas	2017	89.0%	91.4%	86.5%
Brazil	Patos do Piauí	2000	63.9%	70.1%	58.3%
Brazil	Patos do Piauí	2017	70.6%	76.9%	64.0%
Brazil	Patrocínio	2000	86.7%	89.5%	83.7%
Brazil	Patrocínio	2017	89.1%	91.2%	86.1%
Brazil	Patrocínio do Muriaé	2000	89.0%	91.1%	86.3%
Brazil	Patrocínio do Muriaé	2017	91.3%	93.0%	88.7%
Brazil	Patrocínio Paulista	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.0%
Brazil	Patrocínio Paulista	2017	93.8%	95.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Patu	2000	88.3%	90.9%	85.4%
Brazil	Patu	2017	89.2%	91.8%	86.5%
Brazil	Paty do Alferes	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Paty do Alferes	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Pau Brazil	2000	76.3%	79.9%	72.1%
Brazil	Pau Brazil	2017	79.6%	83.4%	75.7%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2000	80.7%	84.2%	77.1%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2000	87.2%	89.8%	83.4%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2017	86.5%	89.6%	82.5%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2017	76.9%	81.6%	72.6%
Brazil	Pau dos Ferros	2000	83.1%	86.0%	79.9%
Brazil	Pau dos Ferros	2017	84.0%	86.9%	80.7%
Brazil	Paudalho	2000	78.1%	79.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Paudalho	2017	76.4%	78.6%	74.4%
Brazil	Pauini	2000	80.3%	86.6%	73.7%
Brazil	Pauini	2017	80.7%	87.3%	73.2%
Brazil	Paula Cândido	2000	86.0%	88.5%	83.9%
Brazil	Paula Cândido	2017	88.7%	90.7%	86.8%
Brazil	Paula Freitas	2000	93.8%	95.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Paula Freitas	2017	93.8%	95.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Paulicéia	2000	92.6%	94.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Paulicéia	2017	91.9%	94.3%	89.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paulínia	2000	94.8%	95.5%	93.9%
Brazil	Paulínia	2017	96.3%	96.9%	95.7%
Brazil	Paulino Neves	2000	55.0%	61.6%	47.2%
Brazil	Paulino Neves	2017	62.0%	69.5%	52.5%
Brazil	Paulista	2000	81.8%	84.8%	79.0%
Brazil	Paulista	2000	78.7%	80.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Paulista	2017	82.7%	85.8%	79.4%
Brazil	Paulista	2017	76.9%	78.7%	74.9%
Brazil	Paulistana	2000	69.5%	74.8%	63.9%
Brazil	Paulistana	2017	73.8%	79.8%	67.5%
Brazil	Paulistânia	2000	95.6%	96.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Paulistânia	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Paulistas	2000	86.2%	88.8%	83.1%
Brazil	Paulistas	2017	88.9%	91.1%	86.0%
Brazil	Paulo Afonso	2000	75.5%	78.6%	71.5%
Brazil	Paulo Afonso	2017	76.2%	79.3%	71.9%
Brazil	Paulo de Faria	2000	90.5%	93.3%	87.7%
Brazil	Paulo de Faria	2017	92.6%	94.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Paulo Frontin	2000	93.8%	95.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Paulo Frontin	2017	93.7%	95.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Paulo Jacinto	2000	80.8%	83.1%	78.4%
Brazil	Paulo Jacinto	2017	75.7%	78.9%	72.5%
Brazil	Paulo Lopez	2000	93.7%	95.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Paulo Lopez	2017	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Paulo Ramos	2000	55.5%	61.0%	50.0%
Brazil	Paulo Ramos	2017	61.6%	68.1%	55.1%
Brazil	Pavão	2000	83.5%	86.8%	78.8%
Brazil	Pavão	2017	86.4%	89.6%	82.0%
Brazil	Paverama	2000	94.2%	95.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Paverama	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Pavussu	2000	59.3%	68.8%	50.6%
Brazil	Pavussu	2017	71.0%	79.6%	61.7%
Brazil	Pé de Serra	2000	72.5%	76.1%	68.7%
Brazil	Pé de Serra	2017	76.2%	79.6%	72.2%
Brazil	Peabiru	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Peabiru	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Peçanha	2000	87.9%	90.7%	85.6%
Brazil	Peçanha	2017	90.3%	92.6%	87.9%
Brazil	Pederneiras	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Pederneiras	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Pedra	2000	78.6%	81.0%	76.1%
Brazil	Pedra	2017	76.3%	79.4%	73.8%
Brazil	Pedra Azul	2000	84.2%	87.7%	79.9%
Brazil	Pedra Azul	2017	87.5%	90.5%	84.1%
Brazil	Pedra Bela	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Pedra Bela	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Brazil	Pedra Bonita	2000	88.9%	91.0%	86.6%
Brazil	Pedra Bonita	2017	90.8%	92.5%	89.0%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2000	81.4%	84.1%	78.2%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2000	81.2%	84.1%	77.9%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2017	80.7%	84.0%	77.6%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2017	80.9%	84.1%	76.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pedra Branca do Amapari	2000	91.6%	94.8%	88.4%
Brazil	Pedra Branca do Amapari	2017	90.0%	93.9%	86.0%
Brazil	Pedra do Anta	2000	84.6%	87.5%	81.7%
Brazil	Pedra do Anta	2017	87.5%	89.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Pedra do Indaiá	2000	85.9%	88.5%	83.0%
Brazil	Pedra do Indaiá	2017	88.9%	91.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Pedra dourada	2000	90.0%	91.9%	87.9%
Brazil	Pedra dourada	2017	91.6%	93.3%	90.0%
Brazil	Pedra Grande	2000	85.1%	89.2%	80.7%
Brazil	Pedra Grande	2017	86.7%	90.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Pedra Lavadra	2000	81.9%	84.6%	78.6%
Brazil	Pedra Lavadra	2017	82.2%	85.2%	78.8%
Brazil	Pedra Mole	2000	81.6%	84.8%	78.8%
Brazil	Pedra Mole	2017	85.2%	87.9%	82.0%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2000	86.1%	89.0%	83.1%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2000	91.6%	93.6%	89.1%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2017	87.8%	90.6%	84.3%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2017	89.4%	91.9%	86.4%
Brazil	Pedralva	2000	87.0%	89.2%	84.5%
Brazil	Pedralva	2017	89.3%	91.4%	87.3%
Brazil	Pedranópolis	2000	94.8%	96.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Pedranópolis	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.4%
Brazil	Pedrão	2000	73.5%	76.5%	70.2%
Brazil	Pedrão	2017	75.7%	79.2%	72.1%
Brazil	Pedras de Fogo	2000	80.8%	83.0%	78.5%
Brazil	Pedras de Fogo	2017	80.6%	83.1%	77.8%
Brazil	Pedras de Maria da Cruz	2000	84.7%	88.2%	80.3%
Brazil	Pedras de Maria da Cruz	2017	87.7%	90.5%	83.9%
Brazil	Pedras Grandes	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Pedras Grandes	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Pedregulho	2000	92.4%	94.5%	90.1%
Brazil	Pedregulho	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Pedreira	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Pedreira	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Pedreiras	2000	54.0%	60.0%	49.1%
Brazil	Pedreiras	2017	60.4%	66.0%	54.8%
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2000	83.9%	86.4%	81.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2017	87.4%	89.4%	85.2%
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2017	94.5%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Paulista				
Brazil	Pedrinópolis	2000	85.7%	88.8%	82.2%
Brazil	Pedrinópolis	2017	88.0%	90.8%	83.9%
Brazil	Pedro Afonso	2000	71.0%	75.7%	65.5%
Brazil	Pedro Afonso	2017	61.0%	67.2%	55.2%
Brazil	Pedro Alexandre	2000	79.1%	82.1%	75.1%
Brazil	Pedro Alexandre	2017	81.4%	84.5%	77.3%
Brazil	Pedro Avelino	2000	85.9%	89.1%	82.9%
Brazil	Pedro Avelino	2017	88.0%	91.2%	84.9%
Brazil	Pedro Canário	2000	82.4%	85.4%	79.0%
Brazil	Pedro Canário	2017	84.1%	87.2%	80.4%
Brazil	Pedro de Toledo	2000	94.5%	96.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Pedro de Toledo	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Pedro do Rosário	2000	59.4%	64.6%	54.6%
Brazil	Pedro do Rosário	2017	63.6%	69.6%	57.9%
Brazil	Pedro Gomes	2000	92.4%	94.5%	89.2%
Brazil	Pedro Gomes	2017	88.2%	91.5%	83.6%
Brazil	Pedro Laurentino	2000	59.5%	66.5%	52.7%
Brazil	Pedro Laurentino	2017	70.1%	77.3%	62.0%
Brazil	Pedro Leopoldo	2000	85.3%	86.9%	83.2%
Brazil	Pedro Leopoldo	2017	88.3%	89.6%	86.7%
Brazil	Pedro Li	2000	68.7%	73.9%	62.7%
Brazil	Pedro Li	2017	73.9%	79.2%	67.7%
Brazil	Pedro Osório	2000	93.9%	95.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Pedro Osório	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Pedro Régis	2000	83.0%	85.5%	80.1%
Brazil	Pedro Régis	2017	84.1%	86.7%	80.8%
Brazil	Pedro Teixeira	2000	86.8%	89.4%	84.1%
Brazil	Pedro Teixeira	2017	88.9%	91.5%	86.2%
Brazil	Pedro Velho	2000	83.8%	86.2%	81.3%
Brazil	Pedro Velho	2017	85.2%	87.7%	82.4%
Brazil	Peixe	2000	74.7%	78.7%	69.9%
Brazil	Peixe	2017	64.7%	70.6%	58.6%
Brazil	Peixe Boi	2000	87.3%	89.1%	85.1%
Brazil	Peixe Boi	2017	86.5%	88.7%	84.3%
Brazil	Peixoto de Azevedo	2000	90.4%	93.0%	87.1%
Brazil	Peixoto de Azevedo	2017	88.5%	91.4%	85.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pejuçara	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Pejuçara	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Pelotas	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Pelotas	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Penaforte	2000	77.5%	80.4%	74.5%
Brazil	Penaforte	2017	76.4%	79.9%	72.5%
Brazil	Penalva	2000	57.2%	61.9%	52.2%
Brazil	Penalva	2017	62.1%	67.3%	55.6%
Brazil	Penápolis	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Penápolis	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Pendências	2000	87.1%	90.1%	84.2%
Brazil	Pendências	2017	88.7%	91.7%	85.8%
Brazil	Penedo	2000	80.8%	83.5%	78.1%
Brazil	Penedo	2017	81.0%	83.9%	77.8%
Brazil	Penha	2000	93.2%	94.7%	91.3%
Brazil	Penha	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Pentecoste	2000	78.8%	81.4%	76.1%
Brazil	Pentecoste	2017	79.0%	82.3%	75.6%
Brazil	Pequeri	2000	88.5%	90.7%	85.6%
Brazil	Pequeri	2017	90.4%	92.4%	87.8%
Brazil	Pequi	2000	85.8%	88.4%	82.9%
Brazil	Pequi	2017	88.7%	91.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Pequizeiro	2000	77.0%	81.0%	73.1%
Brazil	Pequizeiro	2017	69.8%	74.8%	64.8%
Brazil	Perdigão	2000	85.3%	87.8%	82.9%
Brazil	Perdigão	2017	88.6%	90.8%	86.1%
Brazil	Perdizes	2000	85.7%	88.9%	81.8%
Brazil	Perdizes	2017	88.1%	91.3%	84.5%
Brazil	Perdões	2000	84.9%	87.5%	81.6%
Brazil	Perdões	2017	87.8%	89.9%	84.7%
Brazil	Pereira Barreto	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Pereira Barreto	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Pereiras	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Pereiras	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Pereiro	2000	82.6%	85.0%	79.6%
Brazil	Pereiro	2017	82.5%	85.3%	79.6%
Brazil	Peri-Mirim	2000	56.4%	61.6%	51.7%
Brazil	Peri-Mirim	2017	61.5%	67.2%	55.3%
Brazil	Periquito	2000	83.6%	86.3%	80.6%
Brazil	Periquito	2017	86.8%	89.2%	83.6%
Brazil	Peritiba	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Peritiba	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Peritoró	2000	53.0%	59.1%	46.8%
Brazil	Peritoró	2017	59.9%	66.0%	52.4%
Brazil	Perobal	2000	94.4%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Perobal	2017	93.8%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Pérola	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Pérola	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Pérola d'Oeste	2000	93.7%	95.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Pérola d'Oeste	2017	93.4%	95.0%	91.3%
Brazil	Perolândia	2000	93.2%	95.1%	90.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Perolândia	2017	90.8%	93.6%	87.2%
Brazil	Peruíbe	2000	95.1%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Peruíbe	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Pescador	2000	86.8%	89.9%	83.1%
Brazil	Pescador	2017	88.9%	91.4%	85.3%
Brazil	Pesqueira	2000	79.1%	81.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Pesqueira	2017	77.2%	80.0%	74.4%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2000	75.8%	79.8%	72.4%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2000	94.5%	96.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2017	76.5%	80.8%	72.6%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Petrolina	2000	75.4%	77.9%	73.0%
Brazil	Petrolina	2017	75.8%	78.2%	73.0%
Brazil	Petrolina de Goiás	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Petrolina de Goiás	2017	90.0%	91.8%	87.9%
Brazil	Petrópolis	2000	96.0%	96.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Petrópolis	2017	96.6%	97.1%	96.1%
Brazil	Piaçabuçu	2000	76.7%	80.5%	72.9%
Brazil	Piaçabuçu	2017	75.9%	80.3%	70.6%
Brazil	Piacatu	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Piacatu	2017	96.8%	97.9%	95.6%
Brazil	Piancó	2000	79.8%	83.4%	76.1%
Brazil	Piancó	2017	79.0%	83.2%	74.7%
Brazil	Piatã	2000	79.4%	83.3%	75.9%
Brazil	Piatã	2017	81.7%	85.5%	77.9%
Brazil	Piau	2000	84.0%	86.8%	81.2%
Brazil	Piau	2017	86.4%	89.0%	83.6%
Brazil	Picada Café	2000	93.9%	94.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Picada Café	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Piçarra	2000	82.9%	85.8%	79.5%
Brazil	Piçarra	2017	80.5%	84.1%	76.1%
Brazil	Piçarras	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Piçarras	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Picos	2000	62.5%	68.0%	57.3%
Brazil	Picos	2017	72.5%	77.4%	67.3%
Brazil	Picuí	2000	83.9%	86.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Picuí	2017	84.5%	87.3%	80.8%
Brazil	Piedade	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Piedade	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Piedade de Caratinga	2000	88.7%	91.0%	86.2%
Brazil	Piedade de Caratinga	2017	91.6%	93.4%	89.5%
Brazil	Piedade do Ponte Nova	2000	83.7%	87.0%	80.3%
Brazil	Piedade do Ponte Nova	2017	86.7%	89.4%	83.6%
Brazil	Piedade do Rio Grande	2000	86.4%	89.7%	83.0%
Brazil	Piedade do Rio Grande	2017	88.7%	91.7%	85.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Piedade dos Gerais	2000	84.8%	87.8%	81.8%
Brazil	Piedade dos Gerais	2017	87.9%	90.6%	85.0%
Brazil	Piên	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Piên	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Pilão Arcado	2000	69.7%	74.9%	64.3%
Brazil	Pilão Arcado	2017	75.1%	80.0%	70.0%
Brazil	Pilar	2000	79.7%	81.6%	77.5%
Brazil	Pilar	2000	77.1%	79.4%	74.6%
Brazil	Pilar	2017	74.3%	76.9%	71.3%
Brazil	Pilar	2017	77.3%	79.8%	74.2%
Brazil	Pilar de Goiás	2000	93.0%	94.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Pilar de Goiás	2017	90.7%	92.7%	88.4%
Brazil	Pilar do Sul	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Pilar do Sul	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Pilões	2000	81.8%	84.4%	79.5%
Brazil	Pilões	2000	83.9%	86.9%	80.4%
Brazil	Pilões	2017	82.1%	85.0%	79.4%
Brazil	Pilões	2017	85.0%	88.0%	80.8%
Brazil	Pilõezinhos	2000	81.3%	84.0%	78.9%
Brazil	Pilõezinhos	2017	81.9%	84.7%	79.2%
Brazil	Pimenta	2000	84.7%	87.8%	80.9%
Brazil	Pimenta	2017	88.9%	91.5%	85.8%
Brazil	Pimenta Bueno	2000	91.1%	93.0%	88.7%
Brazil	Pimenta Bueno	2017	90.2%	92.3%	87.4%
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2000	65.9%	71.7%	59.4%
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2017	72.3%	77.9%	65.9%
Brazil	Pimenteiras do Oeste	2000	89.9%	93.2%	86.4%
Brazil	Pimenteiras do Oeste	2017	88.9%	92.7%	84.9%
Brazil	Pindaí	2000	74.8%	78.8%	71.3%
Brazil	Pindaí	2017	77.4%	81.6%	73.3%
Brazil	Pindamonhangaba	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Pindamonhangaba	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Pindaré-Mirim	2000	56.8%	62.1%	52.0%
Brazil	Pindaré-Mirim	2017	61.9%	67.1%	56.5%
Brazil	Pindoba	2000	80.2%	82.5%	78.0%
Brazil	Pindoba	2017	74.6%	77.7%	71.2%
Brazil	Pindobaçu	2000	75.2%	79.2%	72.0%
Brazil	Pindobaçu	2017	77.4%	80.8%	73.8%
Brazil	Pindorama	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Pindorama	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Pindorama do Tocantins	2000	72.3%	78.1%	66.8%
Brazil	Pindorama do Tocantins	2017	63.0%	71.0%	55.3%
Brazil	Pindoretama	2000	78.9%	81.5%	76.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pindoretama	2017	78.4%	81.2%	75.8%
Brazil	Pingo d'Água	2000	83.6%	86.6%	80.5%
Brazil	Pingo d'Água	2017	86.5%	89.5%	83.7%
Brazil	Pinhais	2000	93.9%	94.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Pinhais	2017	93.5%	94.2%	92.6%
Brazil	Pinhal	2000	90.9%	92.6%	88.9%
Brazil	Pinhal	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Pinhal	2017	94.4%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Pinhal	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Pinhal de São Bento	2000	93.7%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Pinhal de São Bento	2017	93.4%	95.1%	91.3%
Brazil	Pinhal Grande	2000	94.5%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Pinhal Grande	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Pinhalão	2000	92.7%	94.4%	90.6%
Brazil	Pinhalão	2017	92.4%	94.3%	90.4%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2000	93.2%	94.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Pinhão	2000	93.6%	95.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Pinhão	2000	81.8%	84.8%	78.8%
Brazil	Pinhão	2017	85.2%	88.1%	82.2%
Brazil	Pinhão	2017	93.2%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Pinheiral	2000	94.4%	95.5%	93.1%
Brazil	Pinheiral	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.7%
Brazil	Pinheirinho do Vale	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Pinheirinho do Vale	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Pinheiro	2000	58.0%	63.1%	53.1%
Brazil	Pinheiro	2017	62.8%	68.5%	57.3%
Brazil	Pinheiro Machado	2000	94.6%	96.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Pinheiro Machado	2017	94.8%	96.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Pinheiro Preto	2000	94.6%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Pinheiro Preto	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Pinheiros	2000	84.9%	87.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Pinheiros	2017	86.7%	89.3%	83.9%
Brazil	Pintadas	2000	72.7%	76.4%	68.7%
Brazil	Pintadas	2017	75.7%	79.6%	71.5%
Brazil	Pintópolis	2000	86.9%	90.8%	83.1%
Brazil	Pintópolis	2017	89.2%	92.5%	85.6%
Brazil	Pio IX	2000	71.8%	76.3%	67.0%
Brazil	Pio IX	2017	75.1%	79.7%	70.4%
Brazil	Pio XII	2000	55.7%	61.2%	50.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pio XII	2017	61.8%	68.1%	56.2%
Brazil	Piquerobi	2000	96.3%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Piquerobi	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Piquet	2000	78.9%	82.1%	75.5%
Brazil	Carneiro				
Brazil	Piquet	2017	78.2%	81.9%	74.4%
Brazil	Carneiro				
Brazil	Piquete	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.3%
Brazil	Piquete	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Piracaia	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.6%
Brazil	Piracaia	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Piracanjuba	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Piracanjuba	2017	90.0%	92.1%	87.6%
Brazil	Piracema	2000	84.5%	87.8%	81.4%
Brazil	Piracema	2017	87.7%	90.5%	84.9%
Brazil	Piracicaba	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Piracicaba	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Piracununga	2000	93.8%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Piracununga	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Piracuruca	2000	62.5%	67.7%	57.4%
Brazil	Piracuruca	2017	70.1%	75.7%	64.4%
Brazil	Piraí	2000	94.3%	95.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Piraí	2017	95.7%	96.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Piraí do Norte	2000	74.7%	77.8%	71.0%
Brazil	Piraí do Norte	2017	76.9%	80.2%	73.2%
Brazil	Piraí do Sul	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Piraí do Sul	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Pirajuba	2000	87.3%	90.3%	83.7%
Brazil	Pirajuba	2017	90.0%	92.5%	87.0%
Brazil	Pirajui	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Pirajui	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Brazil	Pirajuí	2000	94.5%	96.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Pirajuí	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Pirambu	2000	81.0%	83.9%	78.1%
Brazil	Pirambu	2017	83.8%	86.6%	80.8%
Brazil	Piranga	2000	84.2%	86.9%	81.2%
Brazil	Piranga	2017	87.9%	90.1%	85.4%
Brazil	Pirangi	2000	94.6%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Pirangi	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Piranguçu	2000	90.7%	92.4%	88.8%
Brazil	Piranguçu	2017	92.5%	93.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Piranguinho	2000	86.3%	88.6%	83.6%
Brazil	Piranguinho	2017	88.7%	90.7%	85.9%
Brazil	Piranhas	2000	91.9%	94.3%	89.1%
Brazil	Piranhas	2000	78.0%	80.9%	74.6%
Brazil	Piranhas	2017	89.2%	92.8%	85.2%
Brazil	Piranhas	2017	78.3%	81.6%	74.3%
Brazil	Pirapemas	2000	57.1%	63.6%	51.5%
Brazil	Pirapemas	2017	63.4%	71.1%	56.4%
Brazil	Pirapetinga	2000	91.1%	93.2%	88.6%
Brazil	Pirapetinga	2017	93.0%	94.8%	90.6%
Brazil	Pirapó	2000	94.0%	95.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Pirapó	2017	94.4%	96.1%	92.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pirapora	2000	86.0%	89.1%	82.1%
Brazil	Pirapora	2017	88.9%	91.5%	85.7%
Brazil	Pirapora do Bom Jesus	2000	94.4%	95.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Pirapora do Bom Jesus	2017	95.9%	96.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Pirapozinho	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Brazil	Pirapozinho	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Piraquara	2000	93.5%	94.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Piraquara	2017	92.9%	93.8%	91.8%
Brazil	Piraquê	2000	74.1%	77.5%	70.5%
Brazil	Piraquê	2017	65.8%	69.9%	60.7%
Brazil	Piratini	2000	94.6%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Piratini	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Piratininga	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Piratininga	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Piratuba	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Piratuba	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Pirauba	2000	85.7%	88.2%	83.5%
Brazil	Pirauba	2017	88.4%	90.6%	86.1%
Brazil	Pirenópolis	2000	92.1%	93.4%	90.6%
Brazil	Pirenópolis	2017	89.0%	91.0%	86.6%
Brazil	Pires do Rio	2000	91.0%	92.7%	88.9%
Brazil	Pires do Rio	2017	88.7%	91.2%	85.6%
Brazil	Pires Ferreira	2000	74.1%	77.3%	70.9%
Brazil	Pires Ferreira	2017	74.0%	77.8%	69.9%
Brazil	Piripá	2000	75.5%	79.4%	71.4%
Brazil	Piripá	2017	78.9%	83.0%	74.2%
Brazil	Piripiri	2000	65.7%	71.0%	59.9%
Brazil	Piripiri	2017	73.7%	78.6%	68.4%
Brazil	Piritiba	2000	77.0%	80.4%	73.8%
Brazil	Piritiba	2017	78.8%	82.4%	75.1%
Brazil	Pirpirituba	2000	81.1%	83.7%	78.6%
Brazil	Pirpirituba	2017	82.0%	84.6%	79.4%
Brazil	Pitanga	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Pitanga	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2000	93.3%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2017	92.7%	94.3%	91.1%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Pitanguí	2000	86.6%	89.4%	83.6%
Brazil	Pitanguí	2017	89.5%	91.7%	87.3%
Brazil	Pitimbu	2000	79.0%	81.5%	76.4%
Brazil	Pitimbu	2017	78.2%	81.7%	74.9%
Brazil	Piui	2000	86.2%	89.2%	82.4%
Brazil	Piui	2017	88.9%	91.5%	85.4%
Brazil	Pium	2000	77.6%	81.4%	73.4%
Brazil	Pium	2017	70.9%	75.6%	65.4%
Brazil	Piúma	2000	87.9%	89.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Piúma	2017	88.4%	90.6%	86.2%
Brazil	Placas	2000	87.6%	90.9%	84.0%
Brazil	Placas	2017	87.0%	90.3%	83.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Plácido de Castro	2000	79.3%	83.1%	74.5%
Brazil	Plácido de Castro	2017	79.8%	83.8%	75.0%
Brazil	Planaltina	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Planaltina	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Planaltina do Paraná	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Planaltina do Paraná	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Planaltino	2000	74.0%	78.0%	69.5%
Brazil	Planaltino	2017	76.4%	80.5%	71.7%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	95.5%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	76.8%	79.8%	73.8%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	93.7%	95.1%	91.8%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	79.4%	82.3%	76.2%
Brazil	Planalto Alegre	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Planalto Alegre	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Planalto da Serra	2000	90.8%	93.7%	87.4%
Brazil	Planalto da Serra	2017	88.8%	92.7%	84.6%
Brazil	Planura	2000	89.4%	92.2%	86.0%
Brazil	Planura	2017	92.0%	94.1%	89.2%
Brazil	Platina	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Platina	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Brazil	Poá	2000	95.5%	96.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Poá	2017	96.7%	97.2%	96.3%
Brazil	Poção	2000	82.0%	84.4%	79.1%
Brazil	Poção	2017	80.7%	83.7%	77.3%
Brazil	Poção de Pedras	2000	56.8%	61.9%	51.3%
Brazil	Poção de Pedras	2017	63.4%	68.7%	56.6%
Brazil	Pocinhos	2000	80.5%	83.5%	77.5%
Brazil	Pocinhos	2017	81.1%	84.7%	77.9%
Brazil	Poço Branco	2000	86.9%	89.2%	84.2%
Brazil	Poço Branco	2017	88.3%	90.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Poço Dantas	2000	84.1%	86.7%	81.3%
Brazil	Poço Dantas	2017	84.4%	87.2%	81.4%
Brazil	Poço das Antas	2000	94.2%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Poço das Antas	2017	94.5%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Poço das Trincheiras	2000	78.0%	81.0%	75.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Poço das Trincheiras	2017	74.7%	78.3%	70.9%
Brazil	Poço de José de Moura	2000	79.7%	83.0%	76.5%
Brazil	Poço de José de Moura	2017	80.2%	84.0%	76.2%
Brazil	Poço Fundo	2000	84.5%	87.3%	81.6%
Brazil	Poço Fundo	2017	87.2%	89.9%	84.5%
Brazil	Poço Redondo	2000	79.9%	82.7%	76.8%
Brazil	Poço Redondo	2017	81.5%	84.2%	78.5%
Brazil	Poço Verde	2000	78.8%	81.7%	75.1%
Brazil	Poço Verde	2017	81.9%	84.7%	78.1%
Brazil	Poções	2000	77.0%	80.5%	73.6%
Brazil	Poções	2017	79.8%	83.1%	76.4%
Brazil	Poconé	2000	91.4%	93.4%	88.8%
Brazil	Poconé	2017	89.3%	92.0%	86.0%
Brazil	Poços de Caldas	2000	91.4%	93.0%	89.6%
Brazil	Poços de Caldas	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.7%
Brazil	Pocrane	2000	85.2%	88.7%	82.3%
Brazil	Pocrane	2017	87.3%	90.5%	84.1%
Brazil	Pojuca	2000	74.5%	77.1%	71.7%
Brazil	Pojuca	2017	77.1%	79.9%	74.1%
Brazil	Poloni	2000	95.1%	96.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Poloni	2017	96.4%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Pombal	2000	80.7%	83.1%	78.1%
Brazil	Pombal	2017	80.8%	83.6%	77.9%
Brazil	Pombos	2000	80.9%	83.0%	79.0%
Brazil	Pombos	2017	78.9%	81.3%	76.6%
Brazil	Pomerode	2000	94.3%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Pomerode	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Pompéia	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Pompéia	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Pompéu	2000	86.6%	89.5%	82.9%
Brazil	Pompéu	2017	89.5%	92.1%	86.7%
Brazil	Pongaí	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Pongaí	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Ponta Alta	2000	94.8%	96.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Ponta Alta	2017	95.4%	96.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Ponta de Pedras	2000	87.2%	89.4%	85.2%
Brazil	Ponta de Pedras	2017	86.2%	88.7%	83.4%
Brazil	Ponta Grossa	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Ponta Grossa	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Ponta Porã	2000	94.5%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Ponta Porã	2017	90.7%	93.0%	87.9%
Brazil	Pontal	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Pontal	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Brazil	Pontal do Araguaia	2000	92.0%	94.1%	89.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pontal do Araguaia	2017	90.0%	92.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Pontal do Paraná	2000	91.7%	93.7%	89.4%
Brazil	Pontal do Paraná	2017	91.7%	93.6%	89.2%
Brazil	Pontalina	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.3%
Brazil	Pontalina	2017	89.5%	91.8%	87.2%
Brazil	Pontalinda	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Pontalinda	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Pontão	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Pontão	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Bom Jesus	2000	73.6%	78.3%	67.9%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Bom Jesus	2017	67.1%	73.1%	60.6%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2000	95.6%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2000	70.4%	76.0%	64.9%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2017	60.6%	68.7%	53.6%
Brazil	Ponte Branca	2000	91.8%	94.4%	88.8%
Brazil	Ponte Branca	2017	89.4%	93.0%	85.4%
Brazil	Ponte Nova	2000	84.3%	87.3%	81.3%
Brazil	Ponte Nova	2017	87.4%	90.2%	84.8%
Brazil	Ponte Preta	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Ponte Preta	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Ponte Serrada	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Ponte Serrada	2017	96.3%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Pontes e Lacerda	2000	90.5%	93.6%	86.7%
Brazil	Pontes e Lacerda	2017	88.5%	92.0%	84.3%
Brazil	Pontes Gestral	2000	93.2%	95.3%	90.6%
Brazil	Pontes Gestral	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Ponto Belo	2000	83.6%	86.7%	80.2%
Brazil	Ponto Belo	2017	84.9%	88.2%	81.2%
Brazil	Ponto Chique	2000	85.4%	89.4%	80.4%
Brazil	Ponto Chique	2017	88.2%	91.6%	83.9%
Brazil	Ponto dos Volantes	2000	86.2%	89.0%	82.1%
Brazil	Ponto dos Volantes	2017	89.3%	91.7%	86.4%
Brazil	Ponto Novo	2000	70.8%	74.1%	67.1%
Brazil	Ponto Novo	2017	73.5%	77.3%	69.5%
Brazil	Populina	2000	93.1%	95.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Populina	2017	93.7%	95.6%	91.3%
Brazil	Poranga	2000	75.9%	79.7%	71.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Poranga	2017	77.9%	81.7%	73.4%
Brazil	Porangaba	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Porangaba	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.9%
Brazil	Porangatu	2000	90.3%	92.4%	87.8%
Brazil	Porangatu	2017	87.9%	90.7%	85.2%
Brazil	Porciúncula	2000	88.1%	90.5%	85.3%
Brazil	Porciúncula	2017	90.1%	92.2%	87.7%
Brazil	Porecatu	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.4%
Brazil	Porecatu	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	Portalegre	2000	87.6%	90.2%	84.7%
Brazil	Portalegre	2017	88.0%	90.4%	84.9%
Brazil	Portao	2000	93.7%	94.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Portao	2017	94.3%	95.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Porteiras	2000	80.0%	82.6%	77.3%
Brazil	Porteiras	2017	79.5%	82.2%	76.3%
Brazil	Porteirinha	2000	84.4%	87.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Porteirinha	2017	87.7%	90.6%	84.2%
Brazil	Porteiro	2000	91.2%	93.1%	88.9%
Brazil	Porteiro	2017	88.1%	90.8%	84.8%
Brazil	Portel	2000	87.2%	90.0%	84.1%
Brazil	Portel	2017	86.3%	89.5%	82.5%
Brazil	Portelândia	2000	93.4%	95.5%	91.0%
Brazil	Portelândia	2017	91.4%	94.2%	88.3%
Brazil	Porto	2000	56.4%	62.1%	50.5%
Brazil	Porto	2017	66.6%	72.2%	60.6%
Brazil	Porto Acre	2000	77.8%	81.0%	74.1%
Brazil	Porto Acre	2017	78.6%	82.2%	74.2%
Brazil	Porto Alegre	2000	94.2%	94.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Porto Alegre	2017	94.6%	95.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Norte	2000	89.2%	92.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Norte	2017	87.9%	91.9%	83.2%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Piauí	2000	53.1%	60.2%	44.6%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Piauí	2017	63.7%	71.6%	53.7%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Tocantins	2000	72.3%	77.5%	66.4%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Tocantins	2017	63.3%	70.7%	56.5%
Brazil	Porto Amazonas	2000	93.5%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Porto Amazonas	2017	93.1%	94.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Porto Barreiro	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Porto Barreiro	2017	92.9%	94.6%	91.0%
Brazil	Porto Belo	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Porto Belo	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Porto Calvo	2000	79.9%	82.5%	77.2%
Brazil	Porto Calvo	2017	77.8%	80.9%	74.8%
Brazil	Porto da Folha	2000	80.2%	83.2%	77.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Porto da Folha	2017	81.9%	85.2%	78.7%
Brazil	Porto de Moz	2000	87.2%	90.6%	83.5%
Brazil	Porto de Moz	2017	86.7%	90.4%	82.6%
Brazil	Porto de Pedras	2000	79.2%	81.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Porto de Pedras	2017	76.9%	80.0%	73.8%
Brazil	Porto do Mangue	2000	84.9%	88.4%	81.2%
Brazil	Porto do Mangue	2017	86.4%	89.9%	83.0%
Brazil	Porto dos Gaúchos	2000	90.5%	93.9%	87.2%
Brazil	Porto dos Gaúchos	2017	88.5%	92.7%	83.6%
Brazil	Porto Esperidião	2000	91.2%	93.6%	88.4%
Brazil	Porto Esperidião	2017	89.2%	92.1%	85.5%
Brazil	Porto Estrela	2000	90.9%	93.1%	88.1%
Brazil	Porto Estrela	2017	89.0%	91.8%	85.3%
Brazil	Porto Feliz	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Porto Feliz	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Brazil	Porto Ferreira	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Porto Ferreira	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Porto Firme	2000	83.8%	86.7%	80.8%
Brazil	Porto Firme	2017	86.9%	89.4%	84.3%
Brazil	Porto Franco	2000	66.1%	70.3%	61.4%
Brazil	Porto Franco	2017	62.8%	68.7%	57.1%
Brazil	Porto Grande	2000	91.7%	93.6%	88.9%
Brazil	Porto Grande	2017	90.1%	92.6%	87.1%
Brazil	Porto Lucena	2000	94.0%	95.6%	91.7%
Brazil	Porto Lucena	2017	94.3%	96.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Porto Mauá	2000	94.0%	95.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Porto Mauá	2017	94.3%	95.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Porto Murinho	2000	93.0%	95.7%	89.2%
Brazil	Porto Murinho	2017	88.7%	93.1%	82.6%
Brazil	Porto Nacional	2000	72.3%	75.4%	68.7%
Brazil	Porto Nacional	2017	62.2%	67.0%	57.0%
Brazil	Porto Real	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Porto Real	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Porto Real do Colégio	2000	79.1%	81.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Porto Real do Colégio	2017	80.1%	82.8%	76.8%
Brazil	Porto Rico	2000	93.7%	95.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Porto Rico	2017	92.0%	94.2%	89.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Porto Rico do Maranhão	2000	58.3%	66.0%	50.8%
Brazil	Porto Rico do Maranhão	2017	62.4%	70.6%	52.8%
Brazil	Porto Seguro	2000	72.8%	76.7%	68.8%
Brazil	Porto Seguro	2017	74.7%	78.7%	70.7%
Brazil	Porto União	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Porto União	2017	94.1%	95.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Porto Velho	2000	90.4%	92.0%	88.8%
Brazil	Porto Velho	2017	89.7%	91.3%	88.1%
Brazil	Porto Vera Cruz	2000	93.7%	95.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Porto Vera Cruz	2017	94.1%	95.7%	91.9%
Brazil	Porto Vitória	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Porto Vitória	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Porto Walter	2000	78.6%	83.5%	73.3%
Brazil	Porto Walter	2017	79.8%	84.9%	74.7%
Brazil	Porto Xavier	2000	94.3%	96.2%	91.9%
Brazil	Porto Xavier	2017	94.7%	96.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Posse	2000	88.4%	91.5%	85.4%
Brazil	Posse	2017	86.4%	89.6%	82.2%
Brazil	Poté	2000	87.0%	90.2%	84.0%
Brazil	Poté	2017	89.5%	91.9%	86.9%
Brazil	Potengi	2000	77.2%	80.0%	74.1%
Brazil	Potengi	2017	79.2%	82.6%	75.7%
Brazil	Potim	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Potim	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Potiraguá	2000	75.7%	79.4%	71.3%
Brazil	Potiraguá	2017	78.8%	82.7%	74.4%
Brazil	Potirendaba	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Potirendaba	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Potiretama	2000	81.4%	84.8%	78.2%
Brazil	Potiretama	2017	81.8%	85.5%	78.2%
Brazil	Pouso Alegre	2000	85.5%	87.6%	83.1%
Brazil	Pouso Alegre	2017	88.0%	89.8%	85.8%
Brazil	Pouso Alto	2000	88.8%	90.7%	86.5%
Brazil	Pouso Alto	2017	90.9%	92.5%	88.6%
Brazil	Pouso Novo	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Pouso Novo	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Pouso Redondo	2000	94.7%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Pouso Redondo	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Poxoréo	2000	91.4%	93.5%	88.6%
Brazil	Poxoréo	2017	89.7%	92.2%	86.6%
Brazil	Pracinha	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Brazil	Pracinha	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Pracuúba	2000	91.7%	94.8%	88.3%
Brazil	Pracuúba	2017	90.0%	93.6%	85.4%
Brazil	Prado	2000	73.0%	77.5%	68.6%
Brazil	Prado	2017	75.4%	80.2%	70.3%
Brazil	Prado Ferreira	2000	93.6%	95.0%	92.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Prado Ferreira	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Pradópolis	2000	93.8%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Pradópolis	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Prados	2000	84.7%	87.5%	81.8%
Brazil	Prados	2017	87.3%	89.8%	84.4%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2000	94.0%	95.6%	92.0%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.2%
Brazil	Praia Norte	2000	67.2%	71.1%	63.4%
Brazil	Praia Norte	2017	61.9%	66.6%	57.7%
Brazil	Prainha	2000	86.7%	89.9%	83.1%
Brazil	Prainha	2017	86.0%	89.8%	81.1%
Brazil	Pranchita	2000	93.9%	95.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Pranchita	2017	93.7%	95.3%	91.5%
Brazil	Prata	2000	79.5%	82.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Prata	2000	87.6%	90.6%	83.7%
Brazil	Prata	2017	78.4%	81.8%	74.7%
Brazil	Prata	2017	89.5%	92.3%	86.0%
Brazil	Prata do Piauí	2000	58.0%	65.9%	49.9%
Brazil	Prata do Piauí	2017	69.4%	77.5%	60.5%
Brazil	Pratânia	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Pratânia	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Pratópolis	2000	87.7%	90.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Pratópolis	2017	90.3%	92.6%	87.9%
Brazil	Pratinha	2000	88.0%	90.6%	84.2%
Brazil	Pratinha	2017	90.3%	92.6%	86.7%
Brazil	Presidente Alves	2000	95.0%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	Presidente Alves	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2000	83.5%	86.4%	80.9%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2017	86.7%	89.0%	84.3%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2000	93.5%	95.0%	91.9%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2017	92.9%	94.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2000	53.5%	58.8%	47.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2000	73.7%	76.9%	69.9%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2017	62.3%	68.9%	55.9%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2017	76.3%	79.6%	72.7%
Brazil	Presidente Epitácio	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Presidente Epitácio	2017	94.1%	95.7%	92.1%
Brazil	Presidente Figueiredo	2000	84.1%	87.4%	79.8%
Brazil	Presidente Figueiredo	2017	84.7%	88.5%	79.8%
Brazil	Presidente Getúlio	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Presidente Getúlio	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Presidente Jânio Quadros	2000	73.9%	77.9%	70.2%
Brazil	Presidente Jânio Quadros	2017	77.3%	81.4%	73.0%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	85.0%	87.4%	82.4%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	84.2%	88.0%	80.0%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	55.3%	60.7%	50.1%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	87.3%	90.4%	83.6%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	61.3%	66.9%	54.4%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	86.9%	89.3%	84.3%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2000	74.9%	79.3%	70.4%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2000	87.5%	90.1%	84.8%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2017	65.4%	71.2%	59.4%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2017	88.7%	91.2%	86.1%
Brazil	Presidente Kubitschek	2000	89.0%	91.8%	85.7%
Brazil	Presidente Kubitschek	2017	91.1%	93.5%	88.1%
Brazil	Presidente Lucena	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Presidente Lucena	2017	94.2%	95.1%	93.2%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2000	66.1%	71.1%	60.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2000	90.2%	92.0%	88.1%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2017	88.9%	91.0%	86.4%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2017	68.4%	74.2%	61.9%
Brazil	Presidente Nereu	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Presidente Nereu	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.2%
Brazil	Presidente Olegário	2000	87.0%	90.0%	83.7%
Brazil	Presidente Olegário	2017	89.1%	91.8%	86.0%
Brazil	Presidente Prudente	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.8%
Brazil	Presidente Prudente	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.4%
Brazil	Presidente Sarney	2000	59.7%	65.4%	54.3%
Brazil	Presidente Sarney	2017	63.3%	69.7%	57.6%
Brazil	Presidente Tancredo Neves	2000	75.0%	78.4%	71.2%
Brazil	Presidente Tancredo Neves	2017	77.2%	80.5%	73.4%
Brazil	Presidente Vargas	2000	55.1%	61.3%	49.5%
Brazil	Presidente Vargas	2017	61.3%	68.7%	55.1%
Brazil	Presidente Venceslau	2000	96.4%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	Presidente Venceslau	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Primavera	2000	87.0%	89.4%	84.2%
Brazil	Primavera	2000	82.8%	84.8%	80.8%
Brazil	Primavera	2017	86.2%	88.8%	83.5%
Brazil	Primavera	2017	81.1%	83.3%	78.7%
Brazil	Primavera de Rondônia	2000	90.4%	92.6%	88.0%
Brazil	Primavera de Rondônia	2017	89.3%	91.8%	86.3%
Brazil	Primavera do Leste	2000	92.4%	94.3%	89.7%
Brazil	Primavera do Leste	2017	90.8%	93.4%	87.8%
Brazil	Primeira Cruz	2000	54.2%	61.0%	46.6%
Brazil	Primeira Cruz	2017	60.2%	68.5%	50.5%
Brazil	Primeiro de Maio	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Primeiro de Maio	2017	93.8%	95.4%	91.9%
Brazil	Princesa	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Princesa	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2000	81.4%	84.2%	78.5%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2017	80.4%	83.5%	77.0%
Brazil	Professor Jamil	2000	91.4%	93.0%	89.7%
Brazil	Professor Jamil	2017	88.2%	90.3%	85.6%
Brazil	Progresso	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Progresso	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Promissão	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Promissão	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Propriá	2000	80.5%	83.5%	77.9%
Brazil	Propriá	2017	82.7%	85.6%	79.9%
Brazil	Protásio Alves	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Protásio Alves	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Prudente de Moraes	2000	85.2%	87.5%	82.5%
Brazil	Prudente de Moraes	2017	88.2%	90.1%	85.9%
Brazil	Prudentópolis	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Prudentópolis	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.6%
Brazil	Pugmil	2000	73.6%	77.6%	69.2%
Brazil	Pugmil	2017	63.3%	68.2%	57.3%
Brazil	Pureza	2000	86.9%	89.5%	83.8%
Brazil	Pureza	2017	88.1%	90.6%	85.1%
Brazil	Putinga	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Putinga	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Puxinanã	2000	81.8%	83.9%	79.8%
Brazil	Puxinanã	2017	81.9%	84.4%	79.7%
Brazil	Quadra	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Quadra	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Quaraí	2000	93.9%	96.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Quaraí	2017	94.3%	96.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Quartel Geral	2000	84.9%	88.5%	81.0%
Brazil	Quartel Geral	2017	88.0%	91.0%	84.2%
Brazil	Quarto Centenário	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Quarto Centenário	2017	93.9%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Quatá	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.1%
Brazil	Quatá	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Quatiguá	2000	93.0%	94.9%	90.9%
Brazil	Quatiguá	2017	93.2%	95.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Quatipuru	2000	86.6%	89.2%	83.7%
Brazil	Quatipuru	2017	85.6%	88.6%	82.2%
Brazil	Quatis	2000	92.7%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Quatis	2017	94.3%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Quatro Barras	2000	93.7%	94.6%	92.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Quatro Barras	2017	93.1%	94.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Quatro Pontes	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Quatro Pontes	2017	93.6%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Quebrangulo	2000	81.1%	83.3%	78.7%
Brazil	Quebrangulo	2017	76.5%	79.4%	73.2%
Brazil	Quedas do Iguaçu	2000	93.4%	95.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Quedas do Iguaçu	2017	93.0%	94.7%	90.9%
Brazil	Queimada Nova	2000	69.4%	74.9%	62.8%
Brazil	Queimada Nova	2017	73.3%	79.7%	65.8%
Brazil	Queimadas	2000	79.4%	81.6%	76.8%
Brazil	Queimadas	2000	70.2%	73.6%	66.5%
Brazil	Queimadas	2017	79.7%	82.2%	76.7%
Brazil	Queimadas	2017	73.6%	77.3%	69.5%
Brazil	Queimados	2000	93.9%	94.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Queimados	2017	95.3%	95.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Queiroz	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Queiroz	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Queluz	2000	92.2%	94.0%	90.0%
Brazil	Queluz	2017	93.9%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Queluzita	2000	84.9%	87.0%	82.2%
Brazil	Queluzita	2017	87.7%	89.6%	85.5%
Brazil	Querência	2000	89.8%	93.3%	85.2%
Brazil	Querência	2017	89.0%	92.9%	84.0%
Brazil	Querência do Norte	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Querência do Norte	2017	93.1%	94.8%	91.1%
Brazil	Quevedos	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Quevedos	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Quijingue	2000	71.0%	75.1%	67.1%
Brazil	Quijingue	2017	74.0%	78.4%	69.9%
Brazil	Quilombo	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Quilombo	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Quinta do Sol	2000	93.5%	94.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Quinta do Sol	2017	92.9%	94.5%	90.9%
Brazil	Quinze de Novembro	2000	93.9%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Quinze de Novembro	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Quipapá	2000	80.7%	82.9%	78.8%
Brazil	Quipapá	2017	77.4%	80.1%	74.5%
Brazil	Quirinópolis	2000	91.3%	93.4%	88.9%
Brazil	Quirinópolis	2017	89.5%	92.0%	86.3%
Brazil	Quissamã	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Quissamã	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Quitana	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Quitana	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Quitandinha	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Quitandinha	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Quiterianópolis	2000	74.1%	78.1%	69.8%
Brazil	Quiterianópolis	2017	76.4%	81.0%	71.6%
Brazil	Quixabá	2000	80.5%	83.4%	77.8%
Brazil	Quixabá	2000	80.2%	83.2%	76.9%
Brazil	Quixabá	2017	79.2%	82.5%	75.9%
Brazil	Quixabá	2017	80.3%	83.7%	76.5%
Brazil	Quixabeira	2000	73.5%	77.2%	70.2%
Brazil	Quixabeira	2017	76.0%	79.5%	72.7%
Brazil	Quixada	2000	80.4%	83.1%	78.1%
Brazil	Quixada	2017	80.2%	83.0%	77.2%
Brazil	Quixelô	2000	78.2%	81.1%	75.4%
Brazil	Quixelô	2017	77.3%	80.4%	74.1%
Brazil	Quixeramobim	2000	78.3%	81.0%	74.7%
Brazil	Quixeramobim	2017	78.0%	81.3%	74.0%
Brazil	Quixeré	2000	80.9%	83.8%	77.9%
Brazil	Quixeré	2017	81.3%	84.1%	78.4%
Brazil	Rafael Fernandes	2000	83.3%	86.1%	80.3%
Brazil	Rafael Fernandes	2017	84.2%	86.9%	80.9%
Brazil	Rafael Godeiro	2000	86.4%	89.3%	82.9%
Brazil	Rafael Godeiro	2017	87.3%	90.0%	84.1%
Brazil	Rafael Jambeiro	2000	71.8%	75.0%	68.4%
Brazil	Rafael Jambeiro	2017	74.9%	78.5%	70.9%
Brazil	Rafard	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Rafard	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Ramilândia	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Ramilândia	2017	93.5%	95.2%	91.6%
Brazil	Rancharia	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Rancharia	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.3%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre	2017	93.1%	94.9%	91.3%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre d'Oeste	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre d'Oeste	2017	93.7%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Rancho Queimado	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.8%
Brazil	Rancho Queimado	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Raposa	2000	55.3%	60.5%	50.5%
Brazil	Raposa	2017	60.4%	66.2%	54.8%
Brazil	Raposos	2000	86.1%	87.7%	84.4%
Brazil	Raposos	2017	88.8%	90.3%	86.9%
Brazil	Raul Soares	2000	84.1%	87.2%	81.1%
Brazil	Raul Soares	2017	86.8%	89.8%	83.9%
Brazil	Realeza	2000	93.4%	94.8%	91.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Realeza	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Rebouças	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.1%
Brazil	Rebouças	2017	93.5%	95.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Recife	2000	78.4%	79.4%	77.5%
Brazil	Recife	2017	76.6%	77.9%	75.5%
Brazil	Recreio	2000	88.9%	91.1%	86.4%
Brazil	Recreio	2017	91.2%	93.1%	89.1%
Brazil	Recursolândia	2000	67.0%	74.1%	59.6%
Brazil	Recursolândia	2017	60.6%	69.9%	51.3%
Brazil	Redenção	2000	80.3%	82.5%	78.0%
Brazil	Redenção	2000	88.3%	90.8%	85.9%
Brazil	Redenção	2017	87.8%	90.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Redenção	2017	80.5%	83.1%	78.2%
Brazil	Redenção da Serra	2000	96.2%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Redenção da Serra	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.3%
Brazil	Redenção do Gurguéia	2000	62.3%	71.0%	52.1%
Brazil	Redenção do Gurguéia	2017	70.8%	78.4%	60.3%
Brazil	Redentora	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Redentora	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Reduto	2000	86.2%	88.5%	83.9%
Brazil	Reduto	2017	88.5%	90.4%	86.3%
Brazil	Regeneração	2000	58.1%	65.2%	50.5%
Brazil	Regeneração	2017	69.5%	76.7%	62.3%
Brazil	Regente Feijó	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Regente Feijó	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Reginópolis	2000	94.7%	96.3%	92.9%
Brazil	Reginópolis	2017	96.6%	97.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Registro	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Registro	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Relvado	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Relvado	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Remanso	2000	70.1%	74.8%	64.4%
Brazil	Remanso	2017	74.3%	79.6%	69.2%
Brazil	Remígio	2000	82.9%	85.2%	80.5%
Brazil	Remígio	2017	82.7%	85.5%	80.1%
Brazil	Renascença	2000	93.7%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	Renascença	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.8%
Brazil	Reriutaba	2000	76.1%	79.2%	73.1%
Brazil	Reriutaba	2017	76.1%	79.5%	72.4%
Brazil	Resende	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.5%
Brazil	Resende	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Resende Costa	2000	87.6%	90.2%	84.9%
Brazil	Resende Costa	2017	90.0%	92.2%	87.5%
Brazil	Reserva	2000	94.5%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Reserva	2017	94.0%	95.7%	92.3%
Brazil	Reserva do Cabaçal	2000	91.6%	94.0%	88.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Reserva do Cabaçal	2017	89.7%	92.9%	85.8%
Brazil	Reserva do Iguaçu	2000	93.4%	95.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Reserva do Iguaçu	2017	93.0%	94.7%	91.0%
Brazil	Resplendor	2000	85.2%	88.1%	81.8%
Brazil	Resplendor	2017	87.1%	90.0%	83.7%
Brazil	Ressaquinha	2000	87.2%	89.6%	84.6%
Brazil	Ressaquinha	2017	89.3%	91.3%	86.8%
Brazil	Restinga	2000	93.4%	94.8%	91.7%
Brazil	Restinga	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Brazil	Restinga Seca	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Restinga Seca	2017	94.3%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Retirolândia	2000	71.9%	75.4%	68.5%
Brazil	Retirolândia	2017	75.3%	78.8%	71.3%
Brazil	Riachão	2000	63.6%	70.4%	56.3%
Brazil	Riachão	2017	63.1%	71.0%	54.1%
Brazil	Riachão das Neves	2000	70.7%	75.4%	65.5%
Brazil	Riachão das Neves	2017	73.9%	78.7%	68.1%
Brazil	Riachão do Bacamarte	2000	83.0%	85.4%	80.4%
Brazil	Riachão do Bacamarte	2017	84.5%	86.8%	81.9%
Brazil	Riachão do dantas	2000	83.1%	85.5%	80.8%
Brazil	Riachão do dantas	2017	86.6%	88.8%	84.3%
Brazil	Riachão do Jacuipe	2000	70.8%	74.7%	67.0%
Brazil	Riachão do Jacuipe	2017	74.4%	78.2%	69.6%
Brazil	Riachão do Poço	2000	78.5%	81.0%	75.7%
Brazil	Riachão do Poço	2017	78.5%	81.9%	75.0%
Brazil	Riachinho	2000	72.8%	76.4%	69.2%
Brazil	Riachinho	2000	88.7%	92.4%	84.8%
Brazil	Riachinho	2017	65.3%	70.5%	60.2%
Brazil	Riachinho	2017	90.7%	93.6%	87.4%
Brazil	Riacho	2000	78.6%	81.0%	76.1%
Brazil	Riacho	2017	78.6%	81.4%	75.6%
Brazil	Riacho da Cruz	2000	83.6%	87.1%	80.1%
Brazil	Riacho da Cruz	2017	84.8%	88.2%	81.1%
Brazil	Riacho das Almas	2000	76.2%	78.3%	74.1%
Brazil	Riacho das Almas	2017	74.3%	76.7%	71.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2000	83.8%	86.3%	81.0%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2000	73.5%	77.6%	69.1%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2017	76.2%	80.4%	71.6%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2017	84.6%	87.1%	81.7%
Brazil	Riacho de Santo Antônio	2000	78.7%	81.1%	76.1%
Brazil	Riacho de Santo Antônio	2017	79.4%	82.3%	76.6%
Brazil	Riacho dos Machados	2000	86.5%	89.8%	82.8%
Brazil	Riacho dos Machados	2017	89.4%	92.4%	85.9%
Brazil	Riacho Frio	2000	65.3%	72.8%	57.4%
Brazil	Riacho Frio	2017	72.3%	80.2%	64.1%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2000	85.3%	88.0%	82.5%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2000	83.6%	85.6%	81.4%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2017	87.2%	89.8%	84.4%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2017	86.8%	88.7%	84.6%
Brazil	Rialma	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Rialma	2017	89.6%	91.5%	87.5%
Brazil	Rianópolis	2000	92.3%	93.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Rianópolis	2017	89.6%	91.5%	87.6%
Brazil	Ribamar Fiquene	2000	63.6%	68.3%	59.2%
Brazil	Ribamar Fiquene	2017	60.3%	66.4%	53.4%
Brazil	Ribas do Rio Pardo	2000	93.3%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Ribas do Rio Pardo	2017	88.7%	91.7%	85.0%
Brazil	Ribeira	2000	93.3%	95.1%	91.3%
Brazil	Ribeira	2017	93.5%	95.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Ribeira do Amparo	2000	74.6%	77.7%	71.0%
Brazil	Ribeira do Amparo	2017	77.7%	80.6%	73.8%
Brazil	Ribeira do Piauí	2000	56.6%	64.8%	48.5%
Brazil	Ribeira do Piauí	2017	68.8%	76.7%	60.1%
Brazil	Ribeira do Pombal	2000	74.0%	77.0%	70.4%
Brazil	Ribeira do Pombal	2017	76.8%	80.0%	73.0%
Brazil	Ribeirão	2000	80.3%	82.4%	78.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão	2017	77.8%	80.4%	74.9%
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão Branco	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão Branco	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão Cascalheira	2000	90.3%	93.6%	86.0%
Brazil	Ribeirão Cascalheira	2017	88.2%	92.3%	82.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão Claro	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão Claro	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão Corrente	2000	93.3%	94.9%	91.4%
Brazil	Ribeirão Corrente	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão das Neves	2000	85.8%	87.0%	84.4%
Brazil	Ribeirão das Neves	2017	88.8%	89.8%	87.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Largo	2000	74.4%	77.7%	70.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Largo	2017	75.8%	79.4%	71.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Pinhal	2000	92.7%	94.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Pinhal	2017	92.6%	94.2%	90.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Sul	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Sul	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Ribeirão dos índios	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão dos índios	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão Grande	2000	96.6%	97.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Ribeirão Grande	2017	97.2%	98.1%	96.0%
Brazil	Ribeirão Pires	2000	96.0%	96.5%	95.5%
Brazil	Ribeirão Pires	2017	97.2%	97.5%	96.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão Preto	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão Preto	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão Vermelho	2000	83.7%	86.5%	80.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão Vermelho	2017	86.7%	89.0%	83.6%
Brazil	Ribeirãozinho	2000	91.7%	94.3%	88.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ribeirãozinho	2017	89.4%	92.7%	84.9%
Brazil	Ribeiro Gonçalves	2000	56.9%	65.2%	48.0%
Brazil	Ribeiro Gonçalves	2017	65.2%	73.4%	55.5%
Brazil	Ribeirópolis	2000	84.1%	86.4%	81.5%
Brazil	Ribeirópolis	2017	87.1%	89.0%	84.7%
Brazil	Ricaho dos Cavalos	2000	82.7%	85.8%	79.4%
Brazil	Ricaho dos Cavalos	2017	83.6%	86.7%	80.4%
Brazil	Rifaina	2000	87.9%	90.7%	84.5%
Brazil	Rifaina	2017	90.7%	93.3%	87.7%
Brazil	Rincão	2000	94.6%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Rincão	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Rinópolis	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.5%
Brazil	Rinópolis	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Brazil	Rio Acima	2000	84.3%	86.3%	81.9%
Brazil	Rio Acima	2017	87.3%	89.1%	84.9%
Brazil	Rio Azul	2000	93.5%	95.0%	91.8%
Brazil	Rio Azul	2017	93.1%	94.8%	91.2%
Brazil	Rio Bananal	2000	86.9%	89.2%	84.4%
Brazil	Rio Bananal	2017	87.3%	89.7%	84.6%
Brazil	Rio Bom	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Rio Bom	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Rio Bonito	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Rio Bonito	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Rio Bonito do Iguaçu	2000	93.4%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Rio Bonito do Iguaçu	2017	92.9%	94.4%	90.9%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2000	90.8%	93.4%	88.1%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2000	77.9%	79.9%	75.7%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2017	78.8%	80.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2017	88.7%	91.9%	85.3%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Ivaí	2000	94.1%	95.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Ivaí	2017	93.6%	95.5%	91.5%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Sul	2000	93.9%	95.1%	92.7%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Sul	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.8%
Brazil	Rio Brilhante	2000	93.9%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Rio Brilhante	2017	89.9%	92.3%	86.5%
Brazil	Rio Casca	2000	82.8%	86.2%	79.4%
Brazil	Rio Casca	2017	85.9%	88.9%	82.6%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.9%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2017	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Brazil	Rio Crespo	2000	90.8%	93.0%	88.2%
Brazil	Rio Crespo	2017	89.7%	92.1%	86.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rio da Conceição	2000	70.9%	76.1%	64.4%
Brazil	Rio da Conceição	2017	63.0%	70.7%	55.6%
Brazil	Rio das Antas	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Rio das Antas	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Rio das Flores	2000	92.1%	93.9%	90.2%
Brazil	Rio das Flores	2017	93.7%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Rio das Ostras	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Rio das Ostras	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Brazil	Rio das Pedras	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Rio das Pedras	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Rio de Contas	2000	73.3%	77.0%	69.0%
Brazil	Rio de Contas	2017	75.8%	80.1%	71.2%
Brazil	Rio de Janeiro	2000	94.9%	95.2%	94.5%
Brazil	Rio de Janeiro	2017	95.9%	96.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Rio do Antônio	2000	71.7%	75.3%	68.3%
Brazil	Rio do Antônio	2017	74.3%	78.2%	70.3%
Brazil	Rio do Campo	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Rio do Campo	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Rio do Fogo	2000	86.1%	89.4%	82.4%
Brazil	Rio do Fogo	2017	87.1%	90.3%	83.3%
Brazil	Rio do Oeste	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Rio do Oeste	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Rio do Pires	2000	73.6%	77.9%	69.5%
Brazil	Rio do Pires	2017	75.9%	80.9%	71.7%
Brazil	Rio do Prado	2000	83.6%	87.5%	79.4%
Brazil	Rio do Prado	2017	86.3%	89.8%	82.4%
Brazil	Rio do Sul	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Rio do Sul	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Rio doce	2000	82.0%	85.0%	78.7%
Brazil	Rio doce	2017	85.5%	88.1%	82.4%
Brazil	Rio dos Bois	2000	73.5%	77.6%	69.4%
Brazil	Rio dos Bois	2017	63.6%	69.1%	58.4%
Brazil	Rio dos Cedros	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Rio dos Cedros	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Rio dos índios	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Rio dos índios	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Rio Espera	2000	84.7%	87.3%	81.9%
Brazil	Rio Espera	2017	87.6%	89.8%	85.0%
Brazil	Rio Formoso	2000	78.9%	81.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Rio Formoso	2017	76.5%	79.6%	73.6%
Brazil	Rio Fortuna	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.1%
Brazil	Rio Fortuna	2017	94.8%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Rio Grande	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Rio Grande	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rio Grande da Serra	2000	96.9%	97.3%	96.4%
Brazil	Rio Grande da Serra	2017	97.7%	98.0%	97.4%
Brazil	Rio Grande do Piauí	2000	58.4%	67.8%	48.6%
Brazil	Rio Grande do Piauí	2017	70.5%	79.1%	60.8%
Brazil	Rio Largo	2000	82.4%	84.3%	80.1%
Brazil	Rio Largo	2017	78.8%	81.1%	76.5%
Brazil	Rio Manso	2000	84.9%	87.5%	82.2%
Brazil	Rio Manso	2017	88.1%	90.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Rio Maria	2000	86.9%	89.8%	83.2%
Brazil	Rio Maria	2017	85.9%	89.3%	81.9%
Brazil	Rio Negrinho	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Brazil	Rio Negrinho	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2000	93.5%	95.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2017	89.2%	92.2%	85.3%
Brazil	Rio Novo	2000	84.0%	86.7%	81.3%
Brazil	Rio Novo	2017	86.7%	89.2%	84.2%
Brazil	Rio Novo do Sul	2000	87.1%	89.1%	85.1%
Brazil	Rio Novo do Sul	2017	87.4%	89.1%	85.3%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2000	86.1%	89.5%	82.1%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2017	88.9%	91.8%	85.1%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2000	85.8%	88.9%	82.4%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2017	88.7%	91.4%	85.6%
Brazil	Rio Pardo	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Rio Pardo	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Rio Pardo de Minas	2000	84.6%	87.0%	81.4%
Brazil	Rio Pardo de Minas	2017	87.7%	89.7%	85.1%
Brazil	Rio Pomba	2000	83.5%	86.3%	80.7%
Brazil	Rio Pomba	2017	86.4%	88.8%	83.6%
Brazil	Rio Preto	2000	91.2%	93.3%	88.7%
Brazil	Rio Preto	2017	92.9%	94.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Rio Preto da Eva	2000	80.3%	83.7%	76.8%
Brazil	Rio Preto da Eva	2017	80.7%	85.0%	76.0%
Brazil	Rio Quente	2000	90.8%	92.7%	88.9%
Brazil	Rio Quente	2017	88.7%	91.0%	86.0%
Brazil	Rio Real	2000	77.0%	80.3%	73.6%
Brazil	Rio Real	2017	82.0%	84.9%	79.2%
Brazil	Rio Rufino	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Rio Rufino	2017	96.3%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	Rio Sono	2000	70.5%	75.2%	65.2%
Brazil	Rio Sono	2017	61.7%	68.2%	55.0%
Brazil	Rio Tinto	2000	83.6%	85.9%	80.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rio Tinto	2017	84.7%	86.9%	82.0%
Brazil	Rio Verde	2000	92.7%	94.3%	90.8%
Brazil	Rio Verde	2017	90.3%	92.3%	87.9%
Brazil	Rio Verde de Mato Grosso	2000	93.6%	95.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Rio Verde de Mato Grosso	2017	89.6%	92.7%	86.2%
Brazil	Rio Vermelho	2000	85.6%	88.6%	82.3%
Brazil	Rio Vermelho	2017	88.4%	91.2%	85.0%
Brazil	Riolândia	2000	91.9%	94.5%	88.8%
Brazil	Riolândia	2017	93.6%	95.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Riozinho	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Riozinho	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Riqueza	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Riqueza	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Ritápolis	2000	85.6%	88.4%	82.3%
Brazil	Ritápolis	2017	88.1%	90.6%	85.0%
Brazil	Riversul	2000	94.3%	95.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Riversul	2017	94.4%	96.0%	92.1%
Brazil	Roca Sales	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Roca Sales	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.2%
Brazil	Rochedo	2000	93.7%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Rochedo	2017	89.3%	92.0%	86.0%
Brazil	Rochedo de Minas	2000	86.4%	88.9%	83.4%
Brazil	Rochedo de Minas	2017	88.7%	91.1%	86.4%
Brazil	Rodeio	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Rodeio	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Rodeio Bonito	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Rodeio Bonito	2017	94.4%	95.7%	92.9%
Brazil	Rodeiro	2000	84.5%	86.8%	82.1%
Brazil	Rodeiro	2017	87.4%	89.3%	85.2%
Brazil	Rodelas	2000	77.9%	82.1%	73.4%
Brazil	Rodelas	2017	77.5%	82.0%	72.0%
Brazil	Rodolfo	2000	82.8%	86.4%	79.3%
Brazil	Rodolfo Fernandes	2017	83.6%	87.1%	79.9%
Brazil	Rodolfo Fernandes	2000	78.7%	82.6%	74.1%
Brazil	Rodrigues Alves	2017	79.7%	83.6%	76.1%
Brazil	Rolândia	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.9%
Brazil	Rolândia	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Rolante	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Rolante	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Rolim de Moura	2000	91.1%	92.9%	89.0%
Brazil	Rolim de Moura	2017	90.2%	92.0%	87.9%
Brazil	Romaria	2000	87.9%	90.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Romaria	2017	89.5%	91.9%	86.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Romelândia	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Romelândia	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Roncador	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Roncador	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	Ronda Alta	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Ronda Alta	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Rondinha	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Rondinha	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Rondon	2000	94.3%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Rondon	2017	93.8%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Rondon do Pará	2000	81.6%	85.3%	77.4%
Brazil	Rondon do Pará	2017	80.8%	84.9%	76.6%
Brazil	Rondonópolis	2000	91.4%	93.3%	89.1%
Brazil	Rondonópolis	2017	89.3%	91.5%	86.8%
Brazil	Roque Gonzales	2000	94.1%	95.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Roque Gonzales	2017	94.5%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	Rorainópolis	2000	91.1%	93.7%	87.5%
Brazil	Rorainópolis	2017	93.0%	95.1%	90.1%
Brazil	Rosana	2000	93.6%	95.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Rosana	2017	92.1%	94.3%	89.8%
Brazil	Rosário	2000	54.4%	59.4%	49.5%
Brazil	Rosário	2017	60.1%	65.9%	54.2%
Brazil	Rosário da Limeira	2000	88.3%	90.3%	85.8%
Brazil	Rosário da Limeira	2017	90.4%	92.1%	88.3%
Brazil	Rosário do Catete	2000	82.8%	84.7%	80.5%
Brazil	Rosário do Catete	2017	85.9%	87.6%	83.5%
Brazil	Rosário do Ivaí	2000	93.8%	95.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Rosário do Ivaí	2017	93.3%	95.2%	91.1%
Brazil	Rosário do Sul	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Rosário do Sul	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Rosário Oeste	2000	90.9%	93.1%	89.0%
Brazil	Rosário Oeste	2017	89.0%	91.6%	85.9%
Brazil	Roseira	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Roseira	2017	95.1%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Roteiro	2000	79.5%	82.1%	76.6%
Brazil	Roteiro	2017	73.4%	77.0%	69.0%
Brazil	Rubelita	2000	83.9%	87.7%	80.1%
Brazil	Rubelita	2017	87.2%	90.1%	83.7%
Brazil	Rubiácea	2000	96.2%	97.4%	94.9%
Brazil	Rubiácea	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Rubiataba	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.2%
Brazil	Rubiataba	2017	91.6%	93.4%	89.5%
Brazil	Rubim	2000	80.9%	85.1%	76.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rubim	2017	84.0%	88.3%	79.0%
Brazil	Rubinéia	2000	92.6%	94.6%	90.4%
Brazil	Rubinéia	2017	92.5%	94.5%	90.0%
Brazil	Rurópolis	2000	87.8%	91.3%	83.6%
Brazil	Rurópolis	2017	87.4%	90.7%	83.4%
Brazil	Russas	2000	80.4%	83.0%	77.5%
Brazil	Russas	2017	80.8%	83.7%	78.0%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2000	86.5%	89.1%	83.6%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2000	75.0%	78.5%	71.3%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2017	88.2%	90.7%	85.3%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2017	77.5%	81.1%	73.4%
Brazil	Sabará	2000	85.6%	86.8%	84.5%
Brazil	Sabará	2017	88.3%	89.4%	87.2%
Brazil	Sabáudia	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Sabáudia	2017	92.8%	94.3%	91.4%
Brazil	Sabino	2000	94.6%	96.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Sabino	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Sabinópolis	2000	87.1%	89.8%	84.1%
Brazil	Sabinópolis	2017	89.5%	91.9%	86.8%
Brazil	Saboeiro	2000	76.2%	79.4%	72.6%
Brazil	Saboeiro	2017	76.4%	80.0%	72.4%
Brazil	Sacramento	2000	88.8%	91.7%	85.6%
Brazil	Sacramento	2017	91.2%	93.5%	88.3%
Brazil	Sagrada Família	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Sagrada Família	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Sagres	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Sagres	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Brazil	Sairé	2000	79.6%	81.7%	77.2%
Brazil	Sairé	2017	77.3%	79.9%	74.7%
Brazil	Saldanha Maranhão	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	Saldanha Maranhão	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Sales	2000	94.6%	96.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Sales	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.6%
Brazil	Sales Oliveira	2000	93.9%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Sales Oliveira	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Salesópolis	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Salesópolis	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.6%
Brazil	Saete	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Saete	2017	95.5%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2000	81.7%	84.6%	78.7%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2000	75.7%	77.9%	73.3%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2017	82.2%	85.8%	78.8%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2017	73.8%	76.9%	71.2%
Brazil	Salgado	2000	85.3%	87.7%	82.9%
Brazil	Salgado	2017	88.4%	90.6%	86.2%
Brazil	Salgado de São Félix	2000	76.4%	78.7%	73.6%
Brazil	Salgado de São Félix	2017	75.8%	78.9%	73.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Salgado Filho	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Salgado Filho	2017	93.8%	95.5%	92.2%
Brazil	Salgueiro	2000	78.0%	80.7%	75.2%
Brazil	Salgueiro	2017	76.5%	79.8%	72.8%
Brazil	Salidao	2000	79.2%	82.0%	76.3%
Brazil	Salidao	2017	78.4%	82.3%	75.5%
Brazil	Salinas	2000	83.9%	87.7%	79.9%
Brazil	Salinas	2017	87.5%	90.5%	83.8%
Brazil	Salinas da Margarida	2000	72.0%	74.9%	69.2%
Brazil	Salinas da Margarida	2017	74.6%	78.2%	70.9%
Brazil	Salinópolis	2000	87.8%	90.2%	85.1%
Brazil	Salinópolis	2017	86.8%	89.6%	83.6%
Brazil	Salitre	2000	74.6%	78.3%	71.0%
Brazil	Salitre	2017	75.8%	79.9%	71.9%
Brazil	Salmourão	2000	96.3%	97.6%	95.0%
Brazil	Salmourão	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Saloá	2000	81.1%	83.5%	78.7%
Brazil	Saloá	2017	78.7%	81.4%	75.4%
Brazil	Saltinho	2000	94.5%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Saltinho	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Saltinho	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Saltinho	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Salto	2000	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Salto	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.6%
Brazil	Salto da Divisa	2000	78.7%	82.4%	74.2%
Brazil	Salto da Divisa	2017	82.1%	85.9%	77.4%
Brazil	Salto do Céu	2000	91.3%	93.8%	88.7%
Brazil	Salto do Céu	2017	89.4%	92.4%	85.7%
Brazil	Salto do Itararé	2000	93.6%	95.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Salto do Itararé	2017	94.0%	95.8%	91.9%
Brazil	Salto do Jacuí	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Salto do Jacuí	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Salto do Londera	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Salto do Londera	2017	93.1%	94.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Salto do Pirapora	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Salto do Pirapora	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Salto Grande	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.8%
Brazil	Salto Grande	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Salto Veloso	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Salto Veloso	2017	95.5%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Salvador	2000	74.2%	75.6%	72.9%
Brazil	Salvador	2017	77.1%	78.6%	75.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Salvador das Missões	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Salvador das Missões	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Salvador do Sul	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Salvador do Sul	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Salvaterra	2000	89.1%	91.2%	86.5%
Brazil	Salvaterra	2017	88.2%	90.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Sambaíba	2000	56.1%	64.0%	47.9%
Brazil	Sambaíba	2017	63.8%	73.3%	53.6%
Brazil	Sampaio	2000	68.6%	72.6%	64.6%
Brazil	Sampaio	2017	63.8%	68.6%	59.3%
Brazil	San Antonio do Itambe	2000	85.6%	89.0%	81.8%
Brazil	San Antonio do Itambe	2017	88.4%	91.3%	84.5%
Brazil	San Antonio do Rio Abai	2000	84.4%	87.6%	80.7%
Brazil	San Antonio do Rio Abai	2017	87.2%	90.3%	83.2%
Brazil	Sananduva	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Sananduva	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Sanclerlândia	2000	92.3%	94.0%	90.5%
Brazil	Sanclerlândia	2017	89.5%	91.9%	86.7%
Brazil	Sandolândia	2000	81.5%	85.4%	76.4%
Brazil	Sandolândia	2017	74.8%	80.5%	67.8%
Brazil	Sandovalina	2000	95.3%	96.7%	93.9%
Brazil	Sandovalina	2017	95.5%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Sangão	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.7%
Brazil	Sangão	2017	94.5%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Sanharó	2000	79.0%	81.3%	76.8%
Brazil	Sanharó	2017	77.0%	79.6%	74.3%
Brazil	Santa Adélia	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Santa Adélia	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Santa Albertina	2000	93.0%	94.9%	90.4%
Brazil	Santa Albertina	2017	93.2%	95.0%	90.6%
Brazil	Santa Amélia	2000	92.6%	94.2%	91.0%
Brazil	Santa Amélia	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.8%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	86.3%	88.6%	83.7%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	74.1%	77.1%	70.8%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2017	89.2%	91.3%	86.8%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2017	76.4%	80.0%	72.7%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara d'Oeste	2017	96.6%	97.1%	95.9%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara de Goiás	2000	92.0%	93.3%	90.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Bárbara de Goiás	2017	89.0%	91.1%	87.0%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Leste	2000	85.3%	87.7%	82.6%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Leste	2017	87.8%	89.8%	85.2%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Monte Verde	2000	87.5%	89.1%	85.7%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Monte Verde	2017	89.6%	91.2%	87.9%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Pará	2000	86.8%	88.3%	85.3%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Pará	2017	85.7%	87.5%	83.8%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Sul	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Sul	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Tugúrio	2000	90.4%	92.6%	88.0%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Tugúrio	2017	92.2%	94.0%	90.0%
Brazil	Santa Branca	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Brazil	Santa Branca	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Brazil	Santa Brígida	2000	76.4%	80.2%	72.5%
Brazil	Santa Brígida	2017	77.6%	81.4%	73.3%
Brazil	Santa Carmem	2000	91.1%	93.4%	88.2%
Brazil	Santa Carmem	2017	89.1%	92.1%	85.7%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2000	76.5%	79.1%	73.9%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2017	75.9%	79.3%	72.4%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Cecília do Pavão	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Santa Cecília do Pavão	2017	92.9%	94.5%	91.2%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Oeste	2000	92.4%	94.5%	90.0%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Oeste	2017	92.0%	94.4%	89.6%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Sul	2000	94.4%	95.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Sul	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2000	76.4%	79.9%	72.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2000	85.8%	87.9%	83.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2017	75.7%	79.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2017	85.9%	88.3%	83.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Cruz Cabralia	2000	72.9%	77.2%	68.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz Cabralia	2017	75.1%	79.2%	70.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Baixa Verde	2000	82.8%	85.5%	80.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Baixa Verde	2017	81.9%	85.0%	78.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Conceição	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Conceição	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Esperança	2000	92.7%	94.3%	90.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Esperança	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Vitória	2000	77.1%	80.7%	73.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Vitória	2017	79.8%	83.6%	75.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz das Palmeiras	2000	93.7%	95.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz das Palmeiras	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Goiás	2000	91.4%	93.1%	89.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Goiás	2017	88.9%	91.4%	86.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Minas	2000	86.7%	89.4%	84.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Minas	2017	89.3%	91.5%	86.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Monte Castelo	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Monte Castelo	2017	93.2%	95.0%	91.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Salinas	2000	86.5%	89.8%	82.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Salinas	2017	89.6%	92.4%	86.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Arari	2000	88.4%	91.2%	85.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Arari	2017	87.3%	90.6%	83.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Capibaribe	2000	76.8%	78.7%	74.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Capibaribe	2017	75.5%	78.4%	72.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Escalvado	2000	82.0%	85.0%	78.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Escalvado	2017	85.4%	88.2%	82.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Piauí	2000	58.2%	64.5%	52.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Piauí	2017	69.5%	75.5%	63.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Rio Pardo	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Rio Pardo	2017	96.3%	97.4%	95.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Sul	2000	94.2%	95.3%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Sul	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz dos Milagres	2000	59.4%	66.8%	51.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz dos Milagres	2017	69.8%	76.8%	61.0%
Brazil	Santa Efigênia de Minas	2000	88.2%	90.7%	85.7%
Brazil	Santa Efigênia de Minas	2017	90.5%	92.7%	88.1%
Brazil	Santa Ernestina	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Santa Ernestina	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.5%
Brazil	Santa Fé	2000	93.5%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Santa Fé	2017	93.0%	94.5%	91.3%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Goiás	2000	53.5%	59.4%	46.4%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Goiás	2017	60.6%	67.8%	52.8%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Minas	2000	86.8%	90.5%	82.0%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Minas	2017	89.2%	92.6%	85.1%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Araguaia	2000	77.2%	80.7%	74.0%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Araguaia	2017	70.1%	74.8%	65.5%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Sul	2000	93.5%	95.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Sul	2017	93.6%	95.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2000	75.1%	79.5%	71.0%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2000	61.1%	69.3%	52.0%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2017	65.6%	74.8%	56.3%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2017	75.5%	80.1%	71.1%
Brazil	Santa Filomena do Maranhão	2000	92.4%	94.5%	90.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Filomena do Maranhão	2017	90.0%	92.6%	86.8%
Brazil	Santa Gertrudes	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Brazil	Santa Gertrudes	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	79.7%	82.8%	76.6%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	94.8%	96.3%	93.0%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	93.6%	95.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	60.8%	66.1%	56.0%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	92.7%	94.8%	90.5%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	64.1%	69.9%	58.7%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	79.4%	83.5%	75.6%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Goiás	2000	92.7%	94.2%	90.9%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Goiás	2017	90.3%	92.6%	87.7%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Minas	2000	82.1%	86.4%	78.1%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Minas	2017	85.1%	89.0%	81.0%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	57.7%	62.7%	52.8%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	80.8%	83.9%	77.7%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	76.8%	80.2%	72.7%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	63.1%	68.7%	57.5%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	80.2%	83.4%	76.7%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	79.4%	83.1%	75.2%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	94.3%	95.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.5%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2017	89.3%	91.4%	87.2%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Ivaí	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Ivaí	2017	94.7%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Oeste	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Oeste	2017	93.1%	94.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Pará	2000	87.7%	89.3%	86.2%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Pará	2017	86.9%	88.7%	85.2%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Rio Negro	2000	81.7%	88.8%	73.6%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Rio Negro	2017	82.9%	89.6%	74.1%
Brazil	Santa Juliana	2000	86.1%	89.1%	82.3%
Brazil	Santa Juliana	2017	88.5%	91.4%	84.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Leopoldina	2000	87.7%	89.4%	85.6%
Brazil	Santa Leopoldina	2017	87.6%	89.4%	85.3%
Brazil	Santa Lucia	2000	94.5%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Santa Lucia	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	Santa Lúcia	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.0%
Brazil	Santa Lúcia	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.3%
Brazil	Santa Luz	2000	61.1%	68.8%	52.8%
Brazil	Santa Luz	2017	71.1%	79.0%	62.6%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	85.5%	86.9%	84.1%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	57.9%	62.6%	52.9%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	83.8%	86.8%	81.0%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	75.0%	78.8%	71.8%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	88.6%	89.7%	87.4%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	62.6%	68.5%	57.0%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	84.7%	88.0%	81.4%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	77.9%	81.4%	74.0%
Brazil	Santa Luzia d'Oeste	2000	91.0%	92.8%	88.4%
Brazil	Santa Luzia d'Oeste	2017	89.9%	92.0%	87.3%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Itanhy	2000	81.9%	85.0%	78.9%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Itanhy	2017	85.5%	88.2%	82.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Norte	2000	78.8%	80.7%	76.8%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Norte	2017	73.3%	75.5%	70.8%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Pará	2000	85.8%	88.2%	83.1%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Pará	2017	85.3%	87.9%	81.9%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Paruá	2000	65.7%	70.7%	59.6%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Paruá	2017	68.2%	74.2%	61.8%
Brazil	Santa Margarida	2000	88.1%	90.1%	85.7%
Brazil	Santa Margarida	2017	90.1%	91.9%	88.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2000	93.9%	95.1%	92.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2000	85.3%	87.6%	82.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2017	87.1%	89.4%	84.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Boa Vista	2000	77.3%	80.3%	73.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Boa Vista	2017	76.5%	80.4%	71.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Serra	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Maria da Serra	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Vitória	2000	75.5%	79.9%	70.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Vitória	2017	78.0%	82.1%	73.3%
Brazil	Santa Maria das Barreiras	2000	86.4%	89.4%	83.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria das Barreiras	2017	85.5%	89.0%	81.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Itabira	2000	85.7%	88.3%	82.8%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Itabira	2017	88.4%	90.8%	85.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Jetibá	2000	90.6%	92.1%	88.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Jetibá	2017	90.6%	92.3%	88.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Cambucá	2000	77.9%	80.0%	75.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Cambucá	2017	76.8%	80.0%	73.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Herval	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Herval	2017	95.3%	96.0%	94.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Oeste	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Oeste	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Pará	2000	88.4%	90.2%	86.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Pará	2017	87.8%	89.8%	85.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Salto	2000	82.3%	85.9%	78.3%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Salto	2017	85.2%	88.6%	80.8%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Suaçuí	2000	85.5%	88.6%	82.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Suaçuí	2017	88.1%	90.8%	84.8%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Tocantins	2000	70.9%	76.4%	64.8%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Tocantins	2017	62.0%	68.8%	54.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria Madalena	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria Madalena	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Brazil	Santa Mariana	2000	94.6%	95.9%	92.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Mariana	2017	94.2%	95.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Santa Mercedes	2000	93.7%	95.5%	91.8%
Brazil	Santa Mercedes	2017	93.4%	95.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Santa Mônica	2000	92.9%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Santa Mônica	2017	93.1%	94.4%	91.8%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria	2000	79.3%	82.2%	76.4%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria	2017	79.2%	82.6%	75.6%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria do Maranhão	2000	56.4%	61.3%	51.6%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria do Maranhão	2017	65.0%	70.1%	59.2%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2000	83.5%	85.2%	81.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2000	54.4%	59.9%	49.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2017	60.4%	65.9%	54.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2017	84.3%	86.0%	82.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Araguaia	2000	93.3%	95.4%	90.9%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Araguaia	2017	91.2%	93.9%	87.8%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Caldas	2000	88.2%	90.5%	85.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Caldas	2017	90.4%	92.2%	88.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Cássia	2000	71.5%	76.5%	65.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Cássia	2017	76.4%	81.4%	69.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Jacutinga	2000	90.9%	93.1%	88.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Jacutinga	2017	92.6%	94.4%	90.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Minas	2000	88.0%	90.5%	85.6%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Minas	2017	90.0%	92.1%	87.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Ibitipoca	2000	85.5%	88.0%	83.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Ibitipoca	2017	88.0%	90.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Novo destino	2000	92.3%	93.7%	90.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Novo destino	2017	89.5%	91.7%	87.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Oeste	2000	93.5%	95.3%	91.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Rita do Oeste	2017	93.7%	95.4%	91.6%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Pardo	2000	92.2%	94.0%	90.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Pardo	2017	88.4%	91.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Passa Quatro	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Passa Quatro	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Sapucaí	2000	88.3%	91.1%	85.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Sapucaí	2017	90.2%	92.8%	87.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Tocantins	2000	74.3%	78.5%	70.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Tocantins	2017	64.4%	70.0%	58.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita Itueto	2000	87.6%	90.6%	84.6%
Brazil	Santa Rita Itueto	2017	89.4%	91.9%	86.3%
Brazil	Santa Rosa	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Rosa	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa da Serra	2000	85.6%	88.9%	81.6%
Brazil	Santa Rosa da Serra	2017	88.6%	91.3%	84.8%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Goiás	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.6%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Goiás	2017	90.4%	92.4%	88.3%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	83.8%	86.2%	81.2%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	94.8%	96.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	86.9%	89.0%	84.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Piauí	2000	57.0%	64.5%	50.6%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Piauí	2017	69.2%	76.6%	62.6%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Purus	2000	77.7%	84.1%	70.9%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Purus	2017	79.1%	86.5%	72.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Sul	2000	93.7%	95.3%	91.5%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Sul	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Tocantins	2000	73.5%	78.5%	69.0%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Tocantins	2017	63.8%	70.5%	57.0%
Brazil	Santa Salete	2000	94.8%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Santa Salete	2017	95.4%	96.6%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Teresa	2000	89.1%	90.9%	87.1%
Brazil	Santa Teresa	2017	89.2%	91.1%	87.2%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2000	73.2%	76.5%	69.8%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2000	81.2%	83.8%	77.9%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2017	80.7%	83.9%	76.4%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2017	76.3%	79.9%	71.9%
Brazil	Santa Tereza	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.7%
Brazil	Santa Tereza	2017	93.8%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	Santa Tereza de Goiás	2000	91.3%	93.4%	89.0%
Brazil	Santa Tereza de Goiás	2017	88.8%	91.5%	85.5%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Oeste	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Oeste	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Tocantins	2000	70.5%	75.2%	65.1%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Tocantins	2017	60.7%	66.8%	54.2%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	83.1%	85.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.7%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	82.9%	87.8%	78.0%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	83.0%	85.9%	79.6%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	79.5%	85.6%	72.5%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Goiás	2000	91.6%	93.4%	89.5%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Goiás	2017	89.0%	91.5%	85.7%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Itaipu	2000	93.9%	95.4%	92.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Itaipu	2017	93.4%	95.0%	91.6%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Progresso	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Progresso	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Tocantins	2000	69.6%	73.5%	65.5%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Tocantins	2017	62.8%	68.5%	57.1%
Brazil	Santa Vitória	2000	90.8%	93.2%	88.0%
Brazil	Santa Vitória	2017	90.0%	92.9%	87.2%
Brazil	Santa Vitória do Palmar	2000	93.9%	96.8%	90.1%
Brazil	Santa Vitória do Palmar	2017	94.4%	96.8%	91.1%
Brazil	Santaluz	2000	70.5%	73.8%	66.7%
Brazil	Santaluz	2017	73.7%	77.4%	69.7%
Brazil	Santana	2000	84.2%	87.2%	81.0%
Brazil	Santana	2000	91.0%	92.5%	89.4%
Brazil	Santana	2000	74.4%	78.6%	69.9%
Brazil	Santana	2017	89.2%	90.9%	87.2%
Brazil	Santana	2017	77.2%	81.6%	72.4%
Brazil	Santana	2017	84.9%	88.2%	81.4%
Brazil	Santana da Boa Vista	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Santana da Boa Vista	2017	94.6%	96.1%	92.8%
Brazil	Santana da Ponte Pensa	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.3%
Brazil	Santana da Ponte Pensa	2017	94.8%	96.2%	93.0%
Brazil	Santana da Vargem	2000	85.0%	87.8%	81.7%
Brazil	Santana da Vargem	2017	88.0%	90.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Santana de Cataguases	2000	87.2%	89.4%	84.7%
Brazil	Santana de Cataguases	2017	89.6%	91.6%	87.6%
Brazil	Santana de Mangueira	2000	81.1%	83.8%	78.2%
Brazil	Santana de Mangueira	2017	80.5%	83.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Santana de Parnaíba	2000	94.7%	95.4%	94.1%
Brazil	Santana de Parnaíba	2017	96.2%	96.7%	95.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santana de Pirapama	2000	84.6%	88.4%	81.2%
Brazil	Santana de Pirapama	2017	87.7%	90.9%	84.1%
Brazil	Santana do Acaraú	2000	78.1%	80.9%	75.4%
Brazil	Santana do Acaraú	2017	78.2%	81.3%	74.6%
Brazil	Santana do Araguaia	2000	86.4%	90.0%	81.9%
Brazil	Santana do Araguaia	2017	85.2%	88.9%	80.7%
Brazil	Santana do Cariri	2000	80.2%	83.2%	77.1%
Brazil	Santana do Cariri	2017	80.1%	83.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Santana do deserto	2000	89.4%	91.4%	86.8%
Brazil	Santana do deserto	2017	91.3%	92.9%	89.4%
Brazil	Santana do Garambéu	2000	87.1%	90.2%	83.3%
Brazil	Santana do Garambéu	2017	89.2%	92.2%	85.6%
Brazil	Santana do Ipanema	2000	78.2%	81.2%	75.2%
Brazil	Santana do Ipanema	2017	75.3%	78.6%	71.6%
Brazil	Santana do Itararé	2000	93.4%	95.2%	91.2%
Brazil	Santana do Itararé	2017	93.7%	95.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Santana do Jacaré	2000	85.0%	87.6%	81.9%
Brazil	Santana do Jacaré	2017	87.9%	90.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Santana do Livramento	2000	94.8%	96.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Santana do Livramento	2017	95.2%	96.8%	93.4%
Brazil	Santana do Manhuaçu	2000	85.8%	88.5%	83.1%
Brazil	Santana do Manhuaçu	2017	87.8%	90.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Santana do Maranhão	2000	55.9%	62.1%	50.3%
Brazil	Santana do Maranhão	2017	63.8%	71.2%	57.1%
Brazil	Santana do Matos	2000	86.8%	89.6%	83.6%
Brazil	Santana do Matos	2017	88.4%	91.2%	85.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santana do Mundaú	2000	81.9%	84.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Santana do Mundaú	2017	77.9%	81.0%	74.9%
Brazil	Santana do Paraíso	2000	83.2%	85.3%	80.7%
Brazil	Santana do Paraíso	2017	86.7%	88.6%	84.8%
Brazil	Santana do Piauí	2000	61.9%	67.3%	56.4%
Brazil	Santana do Piauí	2017	71.2%	76.3%	65.9%
Brazil	Santana do Riacho	2000	87.5%	90.4%	84.3%
Brazil	Santana do Riacho	2017	90.1%	92.4%	87.0%
Brazil	Santana do São Francisco	2000	80.4%	83.3%	77.6%
Brazil	Santana do São Francisco	2017	81.3%	84.1%	78.3%
Brazil	Santana dos Garrotes	2000	81.4%	84.3%	78.2%
Brazil	Santana dos Garrotes	2017	80.7%	84.1%	77.3%
Brazil	Santana dos Montes	2000	84.7%	87.2%	82.1%
Brazil	Santana dos Montes	2017	87.6%	89.8%	85.4%
Brazil	Santanópolis	2000	73.6%	76.6%	70.3%
Brazil	Santanópolis	2017	75.7%	79.4%	72.2%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	82.8%	85.6%	79.6%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	87.1%	89.3%	85.1%
Brazil	Santarém	2017	83.1%	86.0%	79.8%
Brazil	Santarém	2017	86.4%	88.7%	84.4%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	87.8%	89.9%	85.4%
Brazil	Santarém Novo	2017	86.8%	89.3%	84.3%
Brazil	Santiago	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Santiago	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.6%
Brazil	Santiago do Sul	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Santiago do Sul	2017	94.5%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Santo Afonso	2000	91.6%	93.7%	89.5%
Brazil	Santo Afonso	2017	89.8%	92.4%	86.8%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2000	73.0%	75.5%	70.0%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2017	75.7%	78.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Santo Amaro da Imperatriz	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Amaro das Brotas	2000	82.0%	83.7%	79.9%
Brazil	Santo Amaro das Brotas	2017	85.0%	86.8%	83.1%
Brazil	Santo Amaro do Maranhão	2000	54.7%	61.1%	46.7%
Brazil	Santo Amaro do Maranhão	2017	61.1%	68.7%	51.9%
Brazil	Santo Anastácio	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.0%
Brazil	Santo Anastácio	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Brazil	Santo André	2000	80.2%	83.5%	77.1%
Brazil	Santo André	2000	95.8%	96.2%	95.4%
Brazil	Santo André	2017	79.6%	83.7%	75.6%
Brazil	Santo André	2017	96.9%	97.3%	96.6%
Brazil	Santo Ângelo	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Santo Ângelo	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2000	84.2%	86.8%	81.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2017	86.2%	88.4%	83.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Alegria	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Alegria	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Barra	2000	92.1%	93.9%	90.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Barra	2017	89.4%	92.2%	86.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Patrulha	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Patrulha	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Santo Antonio da Platina	2000	93.3%	94.9%	91.5%
Brazil	Santo Antonio da Platina	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio das Missões	2000	94.9%	96.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio das Missões	2017	95.3%	96.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Goiás	2000	92.8%	93.6%	91.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Goiás	2017	90.3%	91.7%	88.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Jesus	2000	74.5%	77.4%	71.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Jesus	2017	77.2%	80.1%	74.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Lisboa	2000	58.3%	64.8%	51.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Lisboa	2017	69.6%	75.9%	62.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Pádua	2000	92.2%	94.0%	90.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Pádua	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Posse	2000	91.6%	93.5%	89.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Posse	2017	93.6%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Amparo	2000	85.4%	87.7%	83.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Amparo	2017	88.2%	90.4%	85.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aracanguá	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aracanguá	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aventureiro	2000	87.7%	89.6%	85.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aventureiro	2017	89.8%	91.3%	87.9%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Caiuá	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Caiuá	2017	93.9%	95.7%	91.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Descoberto	2000	93.9%	94.7%	93.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Descoberto	2017	92.7%	93.9%	91.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Grama	2000	88.8%	91.3%	86.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Grama	2017	90.8%	93.0%	88.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Içá	2000	80.8%	86.8%	75.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Içá	2017	81.5%	87.1%	76.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Jacinto	2000	84.0%	86.4%	81.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Jacinto	2017	86.8%	89.0%	84.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Leverger	2000	91.0%	92.3%	89.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Leverger	2017	89.2%	90.9%	86.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Monte	2000	82.3%	86.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Monte	2017	84.9%	88.6%	80.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Palma	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Palma	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Paraíso	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.5%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Paraíso	2017	93.0%	94.4%	90.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Pinhal	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Pinhal	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Planalto	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Planalto	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Retiro	2000	86.0%	88.7%	83.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Retiro	2017	89.1%	91.3%	86.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Tauá	2000	87.3%	88.8%	85.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Tauá	2017	86.4%	88.3%	84.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Lopes	2000	53.5%	59.4%	48.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Lopes	2017	59.9%	66.7%	53.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Milagres	2000	63.1%	68.5%	57.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Milagres	2017	70.9%	76.6%	65.1%
Brazil	Santo Augusto	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Santo Augusto	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Santo Cristo	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Santo Cristo	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Santo Estêvão	2000	72.1%	75.0%	68.9%
Brazil	Santo Estêvão	2017	75.3%	78.5%	71.9%
Brazil	Santo Expedito	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Brazil	Santo Expedito	2017	97.1%	97.9%	95.9%
Brazil	Santo Expedito do Sul	2000	94.0%	95.6%	92.2%
Brazil	Santo Expedito do Sul	2017	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Santo Hipólito	2000	84.4%	88.5%	80.5%
Brazil	Santo Hipólito	2017	87.5%	90.8%	83.6%
Brazil	Santo Inácio	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	Santo Inácio	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Santo Inácio do Piauí	2000	58.3%	65.1%	51.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santo Inácio do Piauí	2017	69.7%	76.6%	61.9%
Brazil	Santópolis do Aguapeí	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Santópolis do Aguapeí	2017	96.9%	97.9%	95.7%
Brazil	Santos	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.2%
Brazil	Santos	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Brazil	Santos Dumont	2000	86.6%	88.8%	84.2%
Brazil	Santos Dumont	2017	88.7%	90.7%	86.5%
Brazil	São Antonio de Sudoeste	2000	93.9%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	São Antonio de Sudoeste	2017	93.7%	95.3%	92.0%
Brazil	São Benedito	2000	81.2%	83.6%	78.7%
Brazil	São Benedito	2017	81.0%	83.5%	78.6%
Brazil	São Benedito do Rio Preto	2000	55.6%	61.8%	49.5%
Brazil	São Benedito do Rio Preto	2017	62.4%	68.9%	55.1%
Brazil	São Benedito do Sul	2000	80.8%	82.8%	78.7%
Brazil	São Benedito do Sul	2017	77.5%	80.2%	74.6%
Brazil	São Bentinho	2000	79.9%	82.9%	76.9%
Brazil	São Bentinho	2017	79.9%	83.5%	75.9%
Brazil	São Bento	2000	83.0%	86.0%	79.7%
Brazil	São Bento	2000	56.3%	60.9%	51.8%
Brazil	São Bento	2017	61.4%	66.9%	54.8%
Brazil	São Bento	2017	84.4%	87.4%	80.9%
Brazil	São Bento Abade	2000	84.9%	88.1%	81.8%
Brazil	São Bento Abade	2017	89.1%	91.8%	86.5%
Brazil	São Bento do Norte	2000	84.4%	88.6%	79.0%
Brazil	São Bento do Norte	2017	86.0%	90.6%	80.9%
Brazil	São Bento do Sapucaí	2000	92.0%	93.6%	89.9%
Brazil	São Bento do Sapucaí	2017	93.8%	95.1%	92.2%
Brazil	São Bento do Sul	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Brazil	São Bento do Sul	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	São Bento do Tocantins	2000	71.8%	75.9%	67.8%
Brazil	São Bento do Tocantins	2017	65.1%	69.9%	60.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Bento do Trairí	2000	83.8%	86.4%	80.9%
Brazil	São Bento do Trairí	2017	84.9%	87.7%	82.0%
Brazil	São Bento do Una	2000	79.3%	81.4%	77.2%
Brazil	São Bento do Una	2017	77.3%	80.1%	74.5%
Brazil	São Bernardino	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.2%
Brazil	São Bernardino	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	São Bernardo	2000	57.3%	63.2%	52.7%
Brazil	São Bernardo	2017	66.4%	71.9%	60.7%
Brazil	São Bernardo do Campo	2000	95.8%	96.2%	95.4%
Brazil	São Bernardo do Campo	2017	96.9%	97.2%	96.5%
Brazil	São Bonifácio	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	São Bonifácio	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	São Borja	2000	94.3%	96.1%	91.9%
Brazil	São Borja	2017	94.7%	96.2%	92.6%
Brazil	São Brás	2000	78.1%	81.1%	75.0%
Brazil	São Brás	2017	79.8%	82.9%	76.7%
Brazil	São Brás do Suaçuí	2000	84.7%	87.3%	82.1%
Brazil	São Brás do Suaçuí	2017	87.8%	90.0%	85.4%
Brazil	São Braz do Piauí	2000	64.3%	71.8%	57.6%
Brazil	São Braz do Piauí	2017	73.0%	79.5%	66.2%
Brazil	São Caetano de Odivelas	2000	88.2%	90.3%	85.7%
Brazil	São Caetano de Odivelas	2017	87.2%	89.7%	84.2%
Brazil	São Caetano do Sul	2000	95.5%	95.9%	95.1%
Brazil	São Caetano do Sul	2017	96.7%	97.0%	96.4%
Brazil	São Caitano	2000	77.3%	79.3%	75.1%
Brazil	São Caitano	2017	75.1%	77.6%	72.2%
Brazil	São Carlos	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	São Carlos	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	São Carlos	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	São Carlos	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Brazil	São Carlos do Ivaí	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.2%
Brazil	São Carlos do Ivaí	2017	93.3%	94.9%	91.5%
Brazil	São Cristóvão	2000	83.6%	85.6%	81.8%
Brazil	São Cristóvão	2017	86.9%	88.6%	85.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Cristóvão do Sul	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.1%
Brazil	São Cristóvão do Sul	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.8%
Brazil	São Desidério	2000	75.3%	79.6%	70.6%
Brazil	São Desidério	2017	75.9%	81.2%	71.1%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	85.1%	88.9%	80.9%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	70.5%	74.1%	66.7%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	84.5%	86.7%	82.2%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	82.7%	87.6%	78.0%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	74.0%	78.1%	70.0%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	87.6%	89.8%	85.7%
Brazil	São Domingos das Dores	2000	87.9%	90.4%	85.1%
Brazil	São Domingos das Dores	2017	90.2%	92.3%	87.6%
Brazil	São Domingos de Pombal	2000	80.1%	83.5%	76.9%
Brazil	São Domingos de Pombal	2017	80.4%	84.2%	76.5%
Brazil	São Domingos do Araguaia	2000	84.3%	86.9%	81.8%
Brazil	São Domingos do Araguaia	2017	82.8%	86.1%	79.3%
Brazil	São Domingos do Azeitão	2000	55.8%	64.0%	46.5%
Brazil	São Domingos do Azeitão	2017	66.6%	75.6%	56.3%
Brazil	São Domingos do Capim	2000	87.6%	89.7%	85.5%
Brazil	São Domingos do Capim	2017	87.0%	89.5%	84.4%
Brazil	São Domingos do Cariri	2000	77.1%	80.3%	73.9%
Brazil	São Domingos do Cariri	2017	76.4%	80.2%	72.1%
Brazil	São Domingos do Maranhão	2000	54.1%	60.4%	47.6%
Brazil	São Domingos do Maranhão	2017	61.4%	68.9%	54.1%
Brazil	São Domingos do Norte	2000	86.7%	88.9%	84.1%
Brazil	São Domingos do Norte	2017	87.4%	89.8%	84.7%
Brazil	São Domingos do Prata	2000	86.5%	88.7%	83.6%
Brazil	São Domingos do Prata	2017	89.3%	91.2%	87.0%
Brazil	São Domingos do Sul	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Domingos do Sul	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	São Felipe	2000	74.9%	77.6%	72.3%
Brazil	São Felipe	2017	77.7%	80.5%	74.4%
Brazil	São Felipe d'Oeste	2000	91.0%	93.2%	88.1%
Brazil	São Felipe d'Oeste	2017	89.9%	92.4%	86.8%
Brazil	São Félix	2000	73.4%	76.1%	70.8%
Brazil	São Félix	2017	76.4%	79.3%	73.5%
Brazil	São Félix de Balsas	2000	54.1%	62.4%	45.6%
Brazil	São Félix de Balsas	2017	62.8%	72.5%	52.2%
Brazil	São Félix de Minas	2000	87.8%	90.8%	84.5%
Brazil	São Félix de Minas	2017	89.8%	92.3%	86.5%
Brazil	São Félix do Coribe	2000	75.7%	80.2%	70.5%
Brazil	São Félix do Coribe	2017	78.4%	82.6%	73.7%
Brazil	São Félix do Piauí	2000	59.0%	66.6%	51.6%
Brazil	São Félix do Piauí	2017	70.0%	77.5%	61.6%
Brazil	São Félix do Tocantins	2000	66.4%	74.9%	58.7%
Brazil	São Félix do Tocantins	2017	62.1%	72.4%	51.3%
Brazil	São Félix do Xingu	2000	88.5%	91.4%	84.6%
Brazil	São Félix do Xingu	2017	87.8%	90.8%	84.3%
Brazil	São Félix Xingu	2000	85.8%	89.6%	81.7%
Brazil	São Félix Xingu	2017	82.5%	87.2%	77.3%
Brazil	São Fernando	2000	84.7%	87.4%	81.9%
Brazil	São Fernando	2017	86.4%	88.9%	83.3%
Brazil	São Fidélis	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	São Fidélis	2017	95.5%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	95.1%	96.6%	93.4%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	85.7%	89.1%	81.9%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	82.5%	85.3%	80.0%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	83.7%	86.5%	80.7%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	88.4%	91.1%	85.2%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	84.1%	87.4%	80.3%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	85.1%	87.6%	82.7%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis	2000	93.9%	95.7%	92.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis	2017	94.3%	95.9%	92.3%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis do Piauí	2000	65.2%	72.4%	58.9%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis do Piauí	2017	72.0%	80.0%	65.4%
Brazil	São Francisco de Goiás	2000	92.3%	93.7%	90.5%
Brazil	São Francisco de Goiás	2017	89.5%	91.5%	86.5%
Brazil	São Francisco de Itabapoana	2000	90.5%	92.7%	88.1%
Brazil	São Francisco de Itabapoana	2017	92.0%	93.9%	89.8%
Brazil	São Francisco de Oliveira	2000	85.5%	87.9%	82.6%
Brazil	São Francisco de Oliveira	2017	88.4%	90.6%	85.7%
Brazil	São Francisco de Paula	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Brazil	São Francisco de Paula	2017	96.0%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	São Francisco de Sales	2000	91.5%	94.4%	88.4%
Brazil	São Francisco de Sales	2017	93.0%	95.5%	90.4%
Brazil	São Francisco do Brejão	2000	67.3%	71.9%	62.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Brejão	2017	67.0%	72.1%	61.7%
Brazil	São Francisco do Conde	2000	73.1%	75.3%	70.1%
Brazil	São Francisco do Conde	2017	76.1%	79.2%	73.1%
Brazil	São Francisco do Glória	2000	88.4%	90.7%	85.8%
Brazil	São Francisco do Glória	2017	90.5%	92.4%	88.3%
Brazil	São Francisco do Guaporé	2000	90.7%	93.0%	87.8%
Brazil	São Francisco do Guaporé	2017	89.7%	92.3%	86.1%
Brazil	São Francisco do Maranhão	2000	54.5%	60.2%	47.9%
Brazil	São Francisco do Maranhão	2017	66.3%	72.5%	59.3%
Brazil	São Francisco do Oeste	2000	82.3%	85.6%	78.5%
Brazil	São Francisco do Oeste	2017	83.1%	86.7%	79.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Francisco do Pará	2000	87.5%	89.2%	85.7%
Brazil	São Francisco do Pará	2017	86.8%	88.9%	84.7%
Brazil	São Francisco do Piauí	2000	56.1%	63.6%	49.1%
Brazil	São Francisco do Piauí	2017	68.8%	75.8%	60.9%
Brazil	São Francisco do Sul	2000	93.3%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Sul	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.8%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2000	72.4%	76.3%	68.8%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.8%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2017	95.2%	96.5%	93.5%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2017	75.2%	79.0%	71.1%
Brazil	São Gabriel da Palha	2000	86.1%	88.6%	83.5%
Brazil	São Gabriel da Palha	2017	86.7%	89.4%	83.8%
Brazil	São Gabriel de Cahoeira	2000	81.8%	88.7%	74.1%
Brazil	São Gabriel de Cahoeira	2017	82.4%	88.0%	75.0%
Brazil	São Gabriel do Oeste	2000	94.6%	96.0%	92.7%
Brazil	São Gabriel do Oeste	2017	91.0%	93.5%	88.1%
Brazil	São Geraldo	2000	84.8%	87.4%	82.6%
Brazil	São Geraldo	2017	87.6%	89.7%	85.6%
Brazil	São Geraldo da Piedade	2000	84.7%	87.6%	81.8%
Brazil	São Geraldo da Piedade	2017	87.5%	90.1%	84.8%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Araguaia	2000	81.7%	84.5%	78.8%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Araguaia	2017	79.3%	83.0%	75.5%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Baixo	2000	86.4%	89.3%	83.0%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Baixo	2017	88.2%	91.1%	85.0%
Brazil	São Gonçalo	2000	94.7%	95.3%	94.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo	2017	95.8%	96.2%	95.2%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Abaeté	2000	86.1%	89.8%	81.4%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Abaeté	2017	88.7%	92.0%	84.8%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2000	87.1%	88.6%	85.4%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2000	78.0%	80.7%	75.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2017	77.8%	80.8%	74.7%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2017	88.4%	89.8%	86.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Gurguéia	2000	66.3%	74.2%	58.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Gurguéia	2017	72.3%	80.4%	62.5%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Pará	2000	84.0%	86.7%	81.2%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Pará	2017	87.1%	89.4%	84.4%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Piauí	2000	58.7%	64.8%	52.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Piauí	2017	70.0%	76.1%	63.2%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Rio Abaixo	2000	85.1%	87.4%	82.6%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Rio Abaixo	2017	87.9%	89.7%	85.6%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Sapucaí	2000	84.1%	86.7%	80.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Sapucaí	2017	87.0%	89.5%	83.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo dos Campos	2000	73.9%	76.3%	71.5%
Brazil	São Gonçalo dos Campos	2017	76.9%	79.5%	74.4%
Brazil	São Gotardo	2000	85.7%	89.1%	81.8%
Brazil	São Gotardo	2017	88.7%	91.5%	85.2%
Brazil	São Jerônimo	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	São Jerônimo	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	São Jerônimo da Serra	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	São Jerônimo da Serra	2017	93.7%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	São João	2000	80.5%	82.2%	78.7%
Brazil	São João	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.5%
Brazil	São João	2017	79.1%	81.1%	76.7%
Brazil	São João	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.2%
Brazil	São João Batista	2000	55.3%	60.1%	49.9%
Brazil	São João Batista	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.1%
Brazil	São João Batista	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	São João Batista	2017	61.0%	67.1%	54.8%
Brazil	São João Batista do Glória	2000	84.9%	88.3%	81.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João Batista do Glória	2017	87.7%	90.5%	84.7%
Brazil	São João d'Aliança	2000	92.1%	93.8%	90.0%
Brazil	São João d'Aliança	2017	90.2%	92.4%	87.5%
Brazil	São João da Baliza	2000	91.7%	94.9%	87.4%
Brazil	São João da Baliza	2017	92.8%	95.6%	89.1%
Brazil	São João da Barra	2000	92.4%	94.3%	90.2%
Brazil	São João da Barra	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	São João da Boa Vista	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.6%
Brazil	São João da Boa Vista	2017	94.2%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	São João da Canabrava	2000	62.2%	67.3%	56.9%
Brazil	São João da Canabrava	2017	70.5%	75.9%	64.8%
Brazil	São João da Fronteira	2000	66.4%	71.0%	61.7%
Brazil	São João da Fronteira	2017	70.3%	75.7%	64.9%
Brazil	São João da Lagoa	2000	86.5%	89.5%	83.3%
Brazil	São João da Lagoa	2017	88.8%	91.8%	85.8%
Brazil	São João da Mata	2000	86.1%	88.7%	83.0%
Brazil	São João da Mata	2017	88.6%	91.0%	86.3%
Brazil	São João da Paraúna	2000	92.9%	94.8%	91.0%
Brazil	São João da Paraúna	2017	90.6%	93.1%	87.8%
Brazil	São João da Ponta	2000	88.2%	90.2%	86.0%
Brazil	São João da Ponta	2017	87.2%	89.4%	84.7%
Brazil	São João da Ponte	2000	85.8%	88.8%	81.8%
Brazil	São João da Ponte	2017	88.7%	91.7%	85.2%
Brazil	São João da Serra	2000	59.2%	67.0%	51.7%
Brazil	São João da Serra	2017	69.6%	77.6%	61.2%
Brazil	São João da Urtiga	2000	94.0%	95.6%	92.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João da Urtiga	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	São João da Varjota	2000	60.0%	65.8%	54.3%
Brazil	São João da Varjota	2017	71.1%	77.0%	65.3%
Brazil	São João das Duas Ponte	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Brazil	São João das Duas Ponte	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	São João das Missões	2000	84.1%	88.4%	79.9%
Brazil	São João das Missões	2017	86.8%	90.5%	83.2%
Brazil	São João de Iracema	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	São João de Iracema	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.4%
Brazil	São João de Meriti	2000	94.5%	95.0%	94.0%
Brazil	São João de Meriti	2017	95.7%	96.0%	95.3%
Brazil	São João de Pirabas	2000	87.5%	89.8%	84.8%
Brazil	São João de Pirabas	2017	86.4%	89.0%	83.5%
Brazil	São João del Rei	2000	86.5%	89.3%	83.7%
Brazil	São João del Rei	2017	89.2%	91.5%	86.7%
Brazil	São João do Araguaia	2000	83.2%	85.7%	80.7%
Brazil	São João do Araguaia	2017	81.2%	84.1%	77.7%
Brazil	São João do Arraial	2000	60.0%	65.1%	54.7%
Brazil	São João do Arraial	2017	69.7%	74.8%	63.6%
Brazil	São João do Belm	2000	81.0%	83.6%	78.4%
Brazil	São João do Belm	2017	81.0%	83.7%	78.2%
Brazil	São João do Belmonte	2000	78.3%	81.3%	75.2%
Brazil	São João do Belmonte	2017	77.1%	80.8%	73.2%
Brazil	São João do Caiuá	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.6%
Brazil	São João do Caiuá	2017	93.7%	95.3%	91.7%
Brazil	São João do Cariri	2000	78.4%	81.6%	75.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João do Cariri	2017	77.8%	82.0%	73.8%
Brazil	São João do Carú	2000	65.1%	71.4%	59.0%
Brazil	São João do Carú	2017	67.7%	74.4%	61.3%
Brazil	São João do Itaperiú	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	São João do Itaperiú	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	São João do Ivaí	2000	93.4%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	São João do Ivaí	2017	92.8%	94.4%	91.2%
Brazil	São João do Jaguaribe	2000	78.1%	81.3%	75.1%
Brazil	São João do Jaguaribe	2017	78.0%	81.2%	74.2%
Brazil	São João do Manhuaçu	2000	88.0%	90.2%	85.8%
Brazil	São João do Manhuaçu	2017	89.9%	91.8%	87.7%
Brazil	São João do Mantenhina	2000	85.9%	88.7%	82.8%
Brazil	São João do Mantenhina	2017	87.7%	90.3%	84.6%
Brazil	São João do Oeste	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	São João do Oeste	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	São João do Oriente	2000	85.2%	87.7%	82.2%
Brazil	São João do Oriente	2017	87.9%	90.3%	85.1%
Brazil	São João do Pacuí	2000	86.1%	89.8%	81.8%
Brazil	São João do Pacuí	2017	88.6%	91.8%	84.5%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2000	80.9%	84.7%	75.9%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2000	65.4%	71.7%	59.4%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2017	64.7%	71.5%	57.1%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2017	84.3%	87.9%	79.2%
Brazil	São João do Pau d'Alho	2000	93.9%	95.6%	91.9%
Brazil	São João do Pau d'Alho	2017	93.7%	95.5%	91.6%
Brazil	São João do Polésine	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João do Polésine	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	São João do Rio do Peixe	2000	80.4%	83.2%	77.2%
Brazil	São João do Rio do Peixe	2017	80.5%	83.8%	76.9%
Brazil	São João do Sabugi	2000	81.9%	85.0%	78.7%
Brazil	São João do Sabugi	2017	83.0%	86.2%	79.5%
Brazil	São João do Soter	2000	51.0%	56.9%	44.8%
Brazil	São João do Soter	2017	58.0%	65.3%	50.6%
Brazil	São João do Sul	2000	93.9%	95.6%	91.6%
Brazil	São João do Sul	2017	94.6%	96.0%	92.5%
Brazil	São João do Tigre	2000	80.0%	82.4%	76.6%
Brazil	São João do Tigre	2017	78.7%	81.7%	75.1%
Brazil	São João do Triunfo	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.0%
Brazil	São João do Triunfo	2017	93.2%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	São João dos Patos	2000	55.0%	61.8%	48.2%
Brazil	São João dos Patos	2017	64.5%	71.6%	58.3%
Brazil	São João Evangelista	2000	87.0%	89.6%	84.2%
Brazil	São João Evangelista	2017	89.6%	91.8%	87.0%
Brazil	São João Nepomuceno	2000	85.2%	88.0%	82.5%
Brazil	São João Nepomuceno	2017	87.7%	90.0%	85.2%
Brazil	São João Piauí	2000	59.6%	66.6%	52.0%
Brazil	São João Piauí	2017	69.4%	76.6%	61.0%
Brazil	São Joaquim	2000	96.7%	97.8%	95.4%
Brazil	São Joaquim	2017	97.0%	98.0%	95.8%
Brazil	São Joaquim da Barra	2000	94.1%	95.7%	92.2%
Brazil	São Joaquim da Barra	2017	95.7%	97.0%	94.4%
Brazil	São Joaquim de Bicas	2000	86.0%	87.8%	84.2%
Brazil	São Joaquim de Bicas	2017	88.9%	90.5%	87.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Joaquin do Monte	2000	80.2%	82.1%	78.4%
Brazil	São Joaquin do Monte	2017	77.7%	80.0%	75.5%
Brazil	São Jorge	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	São Jorge	2017	94.9%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	São Jorge d'Oeste	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.3%
Brazil	São Jorge d'Oeste	2017	92.6%	94.3%	90.6%
Brazil	São Jorge do Ivaí	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	São Jorge do Ivaí	2017	92.8%	94.4%	91.2%
Brazil	São Jorge do Patrocínio	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	São Jorge do Patrocínio	2017	92.0%	94.0%	89.6%
Brazil	São José	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	São José	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%
Brazil	São José da Barra	2000	83.9%	87.3%	80.4%
Brazil	São José da Barra	2017	86.9%	89.9%	83.5%
Brazil	São José da Bela Vista	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	São José da Bela Vista	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	São José da Boa Vista	2000	93.2%	95.1%	91.2%
Brazil	São José da Boa Vista	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.3%
Brazil	São José da Coroa Grande	2000	79.2%	82.2%	75.9%
Brazil	São José da Coroa Grande	2017	75.5%	79.5%	71.1%
Brazil	São José da Lagoa Tapada	2000	81.3%	84.2%	77.9%
Brazil	São José da Lagoa Tapada	2017	81.3%	85.0%	77.3%
Brazil	São José da Laje	2000	83.5%	85.7%	81.2%
Brazil	São José da Laje	2017	78.6%	81.3%	75.9%
Brazil	São José da Lapa	2000	85.8%	87.1%	84.1%
Brazil	São José da Lapa	2017	88.6%	90.0%	87.2%
Brazil	São José da Safira	2000	84.6%	87.7%	81.2%
Brazil	São José da Safira	2017	87.4%	90.2%	84.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José da Tapera	2000	76.8%	79.8%	73.8%
Brazil	São José da Tapera	2017	75.3%	78.6%	71.8%
Brazil	São José da Varginha	2000	85.0%	87.3%	82.4%
Brazil	São José da Varginha	2017	88.0%	90.3%	85.8%
Brazil	São José da Vitória	2000	75.1%	78.4%	71.7%
Brazil	São José da Vitória	2017	77.9%	81.0%	74.4%
Brazil	São José das Missões	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	São José das Missões	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	São José das Palmeiras	2000	93.9%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	São José das Palmeiras	2017	93.2%	94.9%	91.2%
Brazil	São José de Caiana	2000	81.4%	84.4%	78.2%
Brazil	São José de Caiana	2017	81.4%	85.0%	77.4%
Brazil	São José de Espinharas	2000	82.2%	85.2%	79.4%
Brazil	São José de Espinharas	2017	82.7%	85.7%	79.4%
Brazil	São José de Mipibu	2000	86.3%	88.1%	84.7%
Brazil	São José de Mipibu	2017	87.7%	89.1%	86.1%
Brazil	São José de Piranhas	2000	81.5%	84.3%	78.4%
Brazil	São José de Piranhas	2017	81.4%	84.6%	77.7%
Brazil	São José de Princesa	2000	83.7%	86.1%	81.1%
Brazil	São José de Princesa	2017	83.0%	86.0%	80.2%
Brazil	São José de Ribamar	2000	55.8%	59.4%	52.3%
Brazil	São José de Ribamar	2017	61.3%	64.9%	57.4%
Brazil	São José de Ubá	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.4%
Brazil	São José de Ubá	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.4%
Brazil	São José do Alegre	2000	86.3%	88.6%	83.6%
Brazil	São José do Alegre	2017	88.7%	90.9%	86.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José do Barreiro	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	São José do Barreiro	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	São José do Belmonte	2000	84.8%	88.1%	80.7%
Brazil	São José do Belmonte	2017	86.5%	89.5%	82.7%
Brazil	São José do Bonfim	2000	81.1%	83.7%	78.1%
Brazil	São José do Bonfim	2017	80.9%	83.8%	77.2%
Brazil	São José do Calçado	2000	89.3%	91.2%	87.1%
Brazil	São José do Calçado	2017	90.5%	92.3%	88.3%
Brazil	São José do Campestre	2000	83.2%	85.8%	80.4%
Brazil	São José do Campestre	2017	85.4%	87.9%	82.2%
Brazil	São José do Cedro	2000	94.9%	96.4%	93.2%
Brazil	São José do Cedro	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.2%
Brazil	São José do Cerrito	2000	94.8%	96.4%	93.0%
Brazil	São José do Cerrito	2017	95.3%	96.8%	93.6%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2000	61.9%	67.5%	56.3%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2000	87.3%	90.2%	83.6%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2017	70.3%	76.4%	64.4%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2017	89.3%	91.9%	85.6%
Brazil	São José do Goiabal	2000	83.5%	87.0%	79.7%
Brazil	São José do Goiabal	2017	86.4%	89.7%	83.1%
Brazil	São José do Herval	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	São José do Herval	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	São José do Hortêncio	2000	93.5%	94.7%	92.6%
Brazil	São José do Hortêncio	2017	94.0%	95.0%	93.0%
Brazil	São José do Inhacorá	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	São José do Inhacorá	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José do Jacuípe	2000	70.4%	73.8%	66.7%
Brazil	São José do Jacuípe	2017	73.3%	76.9%	69.2%
Brazil	São José do Jacuri	2000	85.0%	88.4%	81.5%
Brazil	São José do Jacuri	2017	87.8%	90.4%	84.5%
Brazil	São José do Mantimento	2000	85.2%	87.9%	82.0%
Brazil	São José do Mantimento	2017	87.1%	89.6%	83.8%
Brazil	São José do Ouro	2000	94.6%	96.2%	92.8%
Brazil	São José do Ouro	2017	95.1%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	São José do Peixe	2000	56.0%	64.3%	47.4%
Brazil	São José do Peixe	2017	68.6%	76.5%	59.6%
Brazil	São José do Piauí	2000	62.2%	67.6%	56.3%
Brazil	São José do Piauí	2017	71.2%	76.5%	65.0%
Brazil	São José do Povo	2000	91.2%	93.4%	88.4%
Brazil	São José do Povo	2017	89.0%	92.0%	86.0%
Brazil	São José do Rio Claro	2000	91.3%	93.5%	88.5%
Brazil	São José do Rio Claro	2017	89.4%	92.5%	85.8%
Brazil	São José do Rio Pardo	2000	92.2%	93.9%	90.4%
Brazil	São José do Rio Pardo	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.8%
Brazil	São José do Rio Preto	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.6%
Brazil	São José do Rio Preto	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Brazil	São José do Sabugi	2000	84.8%	87.4%	81.6%
Brazil	São José do Sabugi	2017	85.6%	88.6%	82.4%
Brazil	São José do Seridó	2000	85.3%	87.9%	81.5%
Brazil	São José do Seridó	2017	87.1%	89.5%	84.1%
Brazil	São José do Vale do Rio Preto	2000	93.4%	94.5%	91.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José do Vale do Rio Preto	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	São José do Xingu	2000	90.4%	93.2%	85.9%
Brazil	São José do Xingu	2017	88.9%	92.5%	83.5%
Brazil	São José dos Ausentes	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.1%
Brazil	São José dos Ausentes	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.5%
Brazil	São José dos Basílios	2000	55.0%	61.1%	49.1%
Brazil	São José dos Basílios	2017	61.4%	68.6%	54.5%
Brazil	São José dos Campos	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.9%
Brazil	São José dos Campos	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	São José dos Cordeiros	2000	80.3%	83.3%	77.0%
Brazil	São José dos Cordeiros	2017	79.9%	83.8%	75.9%
Brazil	São José dos Pinhais	2000	93.8%	94.5%	92.9%
Brazil	São José dos Pinhais	2017	93.4%	94.2%	92.6%
Brazil	São José dos Quatro Marcos	2000	91.8%	94.0%	89.5%
Brazil	São José dos Quatro Marcos	2017	90.1%	92.8%	87.4%
Brazil	São José dos Ramos	2000	77.6%	79.9%	74.9%
Brazil	São José dos Ramos	2017	78.0%	80.9%	75.2%
Brazil	São Juliao	2000	68.3%	73.7%	62.9%
Brazil	São Juliao	2017	72.6%	77.7%	66.7%
Brazil	São Leopoldo	2000	94.3%	95.0%	93.6%
Brazil	São Leopoldo	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.1%
Brazil	São Lourenço	2000	87.4%	89.4%	84.9%
Brazil	São Lourenço	2017	89.6%	91.5%	87.3%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Mata	2000	78.0%	79.1%	76.7%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Mata	2017	76.6%	78.4%	74.4%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Serra	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.3%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Serra	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Oeste	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Lourenço do Oeste	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Piauí	2000	65.4%	71.5%	59.1%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Piauí	2017	72.0%	78.4%	65.2%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Sul	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.4%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Sul	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	São Ludgero	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.1%
Brazil	São Ludgero	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	São Luis	2000	55.5%	58.6%	52.2%
Brazil	São Luis	2017	60.8%	64.0%	57.7%
Brazil	São Luís de Montes Belos	2000	92.8%	94.5%	91.1%
Brazil	São Luís de Montes Belos	2017	90.5%	92.7%	88.4%
Brazil	São Luis do Piauí	2000	63.4%	69.1%	57.7%
Brazil	São Luis do Piauí	2017	71.2%	77.3%	65.1%
Brazil	São Luís do Quitunde	2000	80.1%	82.6%	77.8%
Brazil	São Luís do Quitunde	2017	76.8%	80.1%	73.5%
Brazil	São Luis Gonzaga do Maranhao	2000	53.9%	59.1%	48.8%
Brazil	São Luis Gonzaga do Maranhao	2017	60.3%	65.5%	53.7%
Brazil	São Luiz	2000	92.1%	95.0%	88.0%
Brazil	São Luiz	2017	93.2%	95.7%	90.0%
Brazil	São Luiz do Curu	2000	80.1%	82.9%	77.4%
Brazil	São Luiz do Curu	2017	80.4%	83.5%	77.1%
Brazil	São Luiz do Norte	2000	92.7%	94.2%	91.0%
Brazil	São Luiz do Norte	2017	90.2%	92.3%	87.6%
Brazil	São Luiz do Paraitinga	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	São Luiz do Paraitinga	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Brazil	São Luiz Gonzaga	2000	94.6%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	São Luiz Gonzaga	2017	94.8%	96.4%	93.3%
Brazil	São Mamede	2000	84.1%	86.9%	81.0%
Brazil	São Mamede	2017	84.7%	88.0%	81.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Manoel do Paraná	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	São Manoel do Paraná	2017	93.7%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	São Manuel	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Brazil	São Manuel	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	São Marcos	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	São Marcos	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	São Martinho	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.0%
Brazil	São Martinho	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	São Martinho	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	São Martinho	2017	94.7%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	São Martinho da Serra	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	São Martinho da Serra	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	São Mateus	2000	85.4%	87.8%	82.6%
Brazil	São Mateus	2017	86.5%	88.7%	83.4%
Brazil	São Mateus do Maranhão	2000	54.4%	60.3%	48.5%
Brazil	São Mateus do Maranhão	2017	61.0%	67.9%	54.1%
Brazil	São Mateus do Sul	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.6%
Brazil	São Mateus do Sul	2017	93.8%	95.3%	92.3%
Brazil	São Miguel	2000	83.3%	85.8%	80.6%
Brazil	São Miguel	2017	83.4%	86.1%	80.6%
Brazil	São Miguel Arcanjo	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Brazil	São Miguel Arcanjo	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	São Miguel da Baixa Grande	2000	59.4%	66.4%	52.6%
Brazil	São Miguel da Baixa Grande	2017	70.4%	77.7%	63.2%
Brazil	São Miguel da Boa Vista	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	São Miguel da Boa Vista	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	São Miguel das Matas	2000	74.2%	77.4%	71.3%
Brazil	São Miguel das Matas	2017	76.7%	80.0%	73.9%
Brazil	São Miguel das Misses	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	São Miguel das Misses	2017	94.7%	96.2%	93.2%
Brazil	São Miguel de Touros	2000	85.7%	89.2%	81.2%
Brazil	São Miguel de Touros	2017	87.2%	90.6%	82.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Miguel do Aleixo	2000	83.2%	85.9%	80.0%
Brazil	São Miguel do Aleixo	2017	86.4%	88.8%	83.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Anta	2000	86.8%	89.6%	84.5%
Brazil	São Miguel do Anta	2017	89.4%	91.6%	87.3%
Brazil	São Miguel do Araguaia	2000	89.2%	92.0%	85.9%
Brazil	São Miguel do Araguaia	2017	86.1%	90.0%	81.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Fidalgo	2000	56.4%	65.0%	49.3%
Brazil	São Miguel do Fidalgo	2017	68.7%	77.2%	60.8%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guamá	2000	87.3%	89.5%	85.2%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guamá	2017	86.5%	88.9%	84.1%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guaporé	2000	90.8%	93.0%	88.2%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guaporé	2017	89.8%	92.3%	87.1%
Brazil	São Miguel do Iguaçu	2000	93.9%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	São Miguel do Iguaçu	2017	93.4%	95.1%	91.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Oeste	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	São Miguel do Oeste	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Brazil	São Miguel do Passa Quatro	2000	92.0%	93.5%	90.3%
Brazil	São Miguel do Passa Quatro	2017	89.6%	91.8%	87.2%
Brazil	São Miguel do Tocantins	2000	64.6%	68.5%	60.4%
Brazil	São Miguel do Tocantins	2017	62.5%	66.6%	57.9%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Campos	2000	80.1%	82.3%	77.8%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Campos	2017	76.1%	79.0%	72.6%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Milagres	2000	78.2%	81.6%	74.4%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Milagres	2017	74.8%	78.7%	70.7%
Brazil	São Miguel Taipu	2000	78.1%	80.6%	75.8%
Brazil	São Miguel Taipu	2017	78.3%	80.9%	75.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Miguel Tapuio	2000	67.0%	73.2%	61.0%
Brazil	São Miguel Tapuio	2017	73.4%	79.6%	67.3%
Brazil	São Nicolau	2000	94.1%	95.9%	92.1%
Brazil	São Nicolau	2017	94.5%	96.3%	92.5%
Brazil	São Patrício	2000	92.8%	94.2%	91.2%
Brazil	São Patrício	2017	90.3%	92.3%	88.0%
Brazil	São Paulo	2000	95.4%	95.7%	95.0%
Brazil	São Paulo	2017	96.6%	96.9%	96.3%
Brazil	São Paulo das Missões	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	São Paulo das Missões	2017	94.7%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	São Paulo de Olivença	2000	80.3%	85.1%	74.1%
Brazil	São Paulo de Olivença	2017	81.3%	86.3%	75.4%
Brazil	São Paulo do Potengi	2000	86.1%	88.4%	83.3%
Brazil	São Paulo do Potengi	2017	88.1%	90.4%	85.5%
Brazil	São Pedro	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	São Pedro	2000	85.4%	87.8%	82.7%
Brazil	São Pedro	2017	87.3%	89.5%	84.7%
Brazil	São Pedro	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Brazil	São Pedro da Água Branca	2000	80.3%	83.9%	76.6%
Brazil	São Pedro da Água Branca	2017	77.8%	82.0%	72.9%
Brazil	São Pedro da Aldeia	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	São Pedro da Aldeia	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Brazil	São Pedro da Cipa	2000	91.2%	93.2%	88.7%
Brazil	São Pedro da Cipa	2017	89.1%	91.8%	85.8%
Brazil	São Pedro da Serra	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Brazil	São Pedro da Serra	2017	95.6%	96.4%	94.5%
Brazil	São Pedro da União	2000	87.3%	89.7%	84.5%
Brazil	São Pedro da União	2017	89.8%	91.9%	87.4%
Brazil	São Pedro de Alcântara	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	São Pedro de Alcântara	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	São Pedro do Butiá	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Pedro do Butiá	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	São Pedro do Iguaçu	2000	94.2%	95.4%	92.6%
Brazil	São Pedro do Iguaçu	2017	93.6%	95.0%	91.7%
Brazil	São Pedro do Ivaí	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.0%
Brazil	São Pedro do Ivaí	2017	92.9%	94.5%	91.3%
Brazil	São Pedro do Paraná	2000	93.9%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	São Pedro do Paraná	2017	92.5%	94.5%	90.1%
Brazil	São Pedro do Piauí	2000	61.2%	67.1%	54.4%
Brazil	São Pedro do Piauí	2017	72.0%	77.6%	65.9%
Brazil	São Pedro do Suaçuí	2000	84.8%	88.3%	81.2%
Brazil	São Pedro do Suaçuí	2017	87.6%	90.6%	84.0%
Brazil	São Pedro do Sul	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	São Pedro do Sul	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	São Pedro do Turvo	2000	95.4%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	São Pedro do Turvo	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Crentes	2000	63.2%	69.9%	56.9%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Crentes	2017	64.1%	72.9%	55.8%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Ferros	2000	84.8%	88.0%	81.8%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Ferros	2017	87.7%	90.6%	84.9%
Brazil	São Rafael	2000	86.6%	89.8%	83.2%
Brazil	São Rafael	2017	88.4%	91.3%	85.4%
Brazil	São Raimundo das Mangabeiras	2000	57.2%	64.7%	48.3%
Brazil	São Raimundo das Mangabeiras	2017	64.4%	72.9%	53.7%
Brazil	São Raimundo do Doca Bezerra	2000	54.8%	60.8%	48.5%
Brazil	São Raimundo do Doca Bezerra	2017	61.5%	69.3%	54.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Raimundo Nonato	2000	65.5%	72.4%	59.7%
Brazil	São Raimundo Nonato	2017	73.6%	79.4%	67.4%
Brazil	São Roberto	2000	54.9%	60.9%	48.8%
Brazil	São Roberto	2017	61.4%	69.3%	54.4%
Brazil	São Romão	2000	86.3%	89.4%	83.0%
Brazil	São Romão	2017	88.7%	91.5%	85.2%
Brazil	São Roque	2000	95.8%	96.6%	95.0%
Brazil	São Roque	2017	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%
Brazil	São Roque de Minas	2000	85.7%	89.0%	81.6%
Brazil	São Roque de Minas	2017	88.5%	91.6%	84.8%
Brazil	São Roque do Canaã	2000	87.3%	89.4%	85.0%
Brazil	São Roque do Canaã	2017	87.6%	90.1%	84.9%
Brazil	São Salvador do Tocantins	2000	79.2%	83.2%	74.6%
Brazil	São Salvador do Tocantins	2017	71.3%	77.2%	64.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2000	95.6%	97.0%	94.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2000	78.2%	80.7%	75.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2017	96.7%	97.8%	95.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2017	74.6%	77.8%	71.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Amoreira	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Amoreira	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Bela Vista	2000	84.4%	86.8%	82.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Bela Vista	2017	87.1%	89.1%	84.9%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Boa Vista	2000	88.2%	90.4%	85.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Boa Vista	2017	87.5%	89.7%	84.9%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Grama	2000	92.3%	94.1%	90.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Grama	2017	94.2%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião de Lagoa de Roça	2000	82.2%	84.6%	80.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião de Lagoa de Roça	2017	81.9%	84.3%	79.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Alto	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Alto	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Sebastião do Anta	2000	87.4%	90.1%	84.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Anta	2017	90.6%	92.8%	88.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Caí	2000	93.4%	94.6%	92.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Caí	2017	94.3%	95.3%	93.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Maranhão	2000	85.0%	88.1%	80.9%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Maranhão	2017	87.7%	90.1%	84.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Oeste	2000	84.8%	87.2%	82.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Oeste	2017	88.0%	89.9%	85.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Paraíso	2000	90.2%	92.2%	88.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Paraíso	2017	92.4%	94.2%	90.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Passé	2000	74.6%	76.7%	72.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Passé	2017	79.0%	81.1%	76.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Preto	2000	84.7%	87.8%	81.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Preto	2017	87.5%	90.4%	84.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Verde	2000	88.6%	90.4%	86.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Verde	2017	90.8%	92.4%	88.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Tocantins	2000	76.0%	79.4%	72.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Tocantins	2017	71.8%	76.5%	67.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Uatumã	2000	82.7%	86.7%	78.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Uatumã	2017	83.6%	87.3%	79.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Umbuzeiro	2000	77.9%	80.5%	75.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Umbuzeiro	2017	75.6%	79.0%	72.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Vargem Alegre	2000	89.0%	90.9%	86.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Vargem Alegre	2017	91.1%	92.6%	89.0%
Brazil	São Sepé	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.2%
Brazil	São Sepé	2017	94.5%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	São Simão	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Simão	2000	90.7%	93.0%	88.1%
Brazil	São Simão	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Brazil	São Simão	2017	89.1%	91.9%	85.8%
Brazil	São Thomé das Letras	2000	87.8%	90.2%	84.7%
Brazil	São Thomé das Letras	2017	89.8%	92.1%	87.1%
Brazil	São Tiago	2000	86.1%	88.9%	83.2%
Brazil	São Tiago	2017	88.6%	91.2%	86.1%
Brazil	São Tomás de Aquino	2000	90.6%	92.7%	88.4%
Brazil	São Tomás de Aquino	2017	92.8%	94.4%	90.8%
Brazil	São Tomé	2000	93.9%	95.1%	92.6%
Brazil	São Tomé	2000	84.8%	87.5%	81.7%
Brazil	São Tomé	2017	86.5%	89.2%	82.8%
Brazil	São Tomé	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.9%
Brazil	São Valentim	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	São Valentim	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Brazil	São Valentim do Sul	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	São Valentim do Sul	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	São Valério da Natividade	2000	74.7%	79.6%	69.8%
Brazil	São Valério da Natividade	2017	64.6%	71.0%	57.4%
Brazil	São Valério do Sul	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	São Valério do Sul	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	São Vendelino	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.2%
Brazil	São Vendelino	2017	93.8%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	São Vicente	2000	96.2%	96.8%	95.4%
Brazil	São Vicente	2000	88.6%	90.9%	85.7%
Brazil	São Vicente	2017	97.3%	97.7%	96.7%
Brazil	São Vicente	2017	89.8%	92.0%	87.0%
Brazil	São Vicente de Minas	2000	87.4%	90.6%	84.2%
Brazil	São Vicente de Minas	2017	89.6%	92.6%	86.4%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2000	55.8%	61.2%	50.9%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2000	82.3%	84.4%	80.1%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2017	81.0%	83.7%	78.1%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2017	61.0%	67.0%	54.6%
Brazil	Sapé	2000	82.2%	84.5%	79.8%
Brazil	Sapé	2017	83.3%	85.6%	80.8%
Brazil	Sapeaçu	2000	72.9%	75.8%	70.1%
Brazil	Sapeaçu	2017	75.6%	78.5%	72.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sapezal	2000	92.3%	95.1%	89.2%
Brazil	Sapezal	2000	91.8%	94.8%	87.9%
Brazil	Sapezal	2017	90.3%	93.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Sapezal	2017	87.6%	91.5%	82.7%
Brazil	Sapiranga	2000	94.4%	95.1%	93.7%
Brazil	Sapiranga	2017	94.9%	95.6%	94.0%
Brazil	Sapopema	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.4%
Brazil	Sapopema	2017	92.7%	94.5%	90.7%
Brazil	Sapucai-Mirim	2000	92.8%	94.3%	90.9%
Brazil	Sapucai-Mirim	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2000	90.2%	92.0%	88.1%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2000	86.3%	89.2%	82.4%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2017	92.2%	93.8%	90.4%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2017	85.1%	88.4%	81.2%
Brazil	Sapucaia do Sul	2000	94.4%	95.0%	93.8%
Brazil	Sapucaia do Sul	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.2%
Brazil	Squarema	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Squarema	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.0%
Brazil	Sarandi	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.6%
Brazil	Sarandi	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Sarandi	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Sarandi	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Sarapuí	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Sarapuí	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Brazil	Sardoá	2000	88.3%	90.6%	85.7%
Brazil	Sardoá	2017	90.5%	92.6%	88.2%
Brazil	Sarutaiá	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.8%
Brazil	Sarutaiá	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Sarzedo	2000	85.2%	86.7%	83.7%
Brazil	Sarzedo	2017	88.0%	89.3%	86.7%
Brazil	Sátiro Dias	2000	73.1%	76.3%	68.8%
Brazil	Sátiro Dias	2017	76.0%	80.2%	71.4%
Brazil	Satuba	2000	80.5%	82.2%	78.6%
Brazil	Satuba	2017	75.7%	77.9%	73.5%
Brazil	Satubinha	2000	55.9%	61.3%	51.2%
Brazil	Satubinha	2017	61.8%	67.7%	56.6%
Brazil	Saubara	2000	72.0%	74.6%	69.1%
Brazil	Saubara	2017	74.7%	77.7%	71.7%
Brazil	Saudade do Iguaçu	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Saudade do Iguaçu	2017	92.8%	94.4%	90.6%
Brazil	Saudades	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.9%
Brazil	Saudades	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Saúde	2000	75.1%	79.0%	71.3%
Brazil	Saúde	2017	76.9%	80.6%	73.0%
Brazil	Schroeder	2000	93.7%	94.8%	92.4%
Brazil	Schroeder	2017	94.3%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Seabra	2000	76.3%	80.3%	72.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Seabra	2017	79.0%	82.5%	75.0%
Brazil	Seara	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Seara	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Brazil	Sebastianópolis do Sul	2000	95.5%	97.0%	94.0%
Brazil	Sebastianópolis do Sul	2017	96.6%	97.7%	95.4%
Brazil	Sebastião Barros	2000	69.0%	76.0%	62.1%
Brazil	Sebastião Barros	2017	74.4%	81.8%	66.6%
Brazil	Sebastião Laranjeiras	2000	76.4%	80.3%	71.9%
Brazil	Sebastião Laranjeiras	2017	79.1%	83.4%	74.8%
Brazil	Sebastião Leal	2000	58.4%	66.8%	49.7%
Brazil	Sebastião Leal	2017	69.5%	77.3%	61.5%
Brazil	Seberi	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Seberi	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.3%
Brazil	Sede Nova	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Sede Nova	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Segredo	2000	94.6%	96.0%	93.0%
Brazil	Segredo	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Selbach	2000	93.9%	95.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Selbach	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Selvíria	2000	91.2%	93.1%	88.2%
Brazil	Selvíria	2017	88.6%	91.3%	85.0%
Brazil	Sem-Peixe	2000	83.3%	86.4%	80.0%
Brazil	Sem-Peixe	2017	86.5%	89.1%	83.5%
Brazil	Sena	2000	77.8%	82.2%	71.2%
Brazil	Madureira Sena	2017	79.4%	83.9%	73.6%
Brazil	Madureira Senador Alexandre Costa	2000	54.7%	61.1%	48.6%
Brazil	Senador Alexandre Costa	2017	62.0%	68.9%	54.9%
Brazil	Senador Amaral	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Senador Amaral	2017	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Senador Canedo	2000	91.9%	92.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Senador Canedo	2017	89.2%	90.6%	87.9%
Brazil	Senador Cortes	2000	90.4%	92.5%	87.9%
Brazil	Senador Cortes	2017	92.1%	93.9%	89.9%
Brazil	Senador Elói de Souza	2000	85.1%	87.3%	82.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Senador Elói de Souza	2017	87.1%	89.3%	84.5%
Brazil	Senador Firmino	2000	83.9%	86.8%	81.3%
Brazil	Senador Firmino	2017	87.8%	90.1%	85.6%
Brazil	Senador Georgino Avelino	2000	86.5%	88.9%	84.0%
Brazil	Senador Georgino Avelino	2017	87.7%	89.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Senador Guiomard	2000	77.6%	80.1%	74.5%
Brazil	Senador Guiomard	2017	78.3%	81.0%	75.3%
Brazil	Senador José Bento	2000	85.8%	88.3%	83.2%
Brazil	Senador José Bento	2017	88.5%	90.7%	86.1%
Brazil	Senador José Porfírio	2000	87.5%	90.7%	84.1%
Brazil	Senador José Porfírio	2017	86.7%	90.1%	82.6%
Brazil	Senador La Rocque	2000	63.1%	67.7%	58.2%
Brazil	Senador La Rocque	2017	62.7%	67.6%	57.7%
Brazil	Senador Modestino Gonçalves	2000	85.7%	89.5%	81.8%
Brazil	Senador Modestino Gonçalves	2017	88.3%	91.8%	84.7%
Brazil	Senador Pompeu	2000	78.5%	81.7%	75.0%
Brazil	Senador Pompeu	2017	78.0%	82.1%	74.4%
Brazil	Senador Rui Palmeira	2000	77.9%	80.8%	75.0%
Brazil	Senador Rui Palmeira	2017	75.4%	78.9%	71.7%
Brazil	Senador Sá	2000	78.7%	81.5%	75.7%
Brazil	Senador Sá	2017	78.8%	82.3%	74.8%
Brazil	Senador Salgado Filho	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Senador Salgado Filho	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Sengés	2000	93.9%	95.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Sengés	2017	94.1%	95.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Senhor do Bonfim	2000	74.3%	78.2%	70.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Senhor do Bonfim	2017	76.7%	80.1%	73.0%
Brazil	Senhora de Oliveira	2000	85.1%	87.8%	82.6%
Brazil	Senhora de Oliveira	2017	88.0%	90.3%	85.7%
Brazil	Senhora do Porto	2000	86.5%	89.2%	83.2%
Brazil	Senhora do Porto	2017	89.0%	91.6%	85.9%
Brazil	Senhora dos Remédios	2000	85.2%	87.6%	82.2%
Brazil	Senhora dos Remédios	2017	87.9%	90.2%	85.3%
Brazil	Sentinela do Sul	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Sentinela do Sul	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Sento Sé	2000	72.2%	77.1%	67.1%
Brazil	Sento Sé	2017	74.9%	79.8%	69.3%
Brazil	Serafina Cor-rêa	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Brazil	Serafina Cor-rêa	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Sericita	2000	87.9%	90.3%	85.3%
Brazil	Sericita	2017	90.0%	92.2%	87.6%
Brazil	Seridó	2000	80.7%	83.9%	77.9%
Brazil	Seridó	2017	80.8%	84.3%	77.7%
Brazil	Seringueiras	2000	90.8%	94.1%	86.9%
Brazil	Seringueiras	2017	90.0%	93.4%	85.4%
Brazil	Sério	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Sério	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Brazil	Seritinga	2000	89.7%	92.1%	86.9%
Brazil	Seritinga	2017	91.5%	93.8%	89.2%
Brazil	Seropédica	2000	93.5%	94.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Seropédica	2017	95.0%	95.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Serra	2000	86.3%	87.9%	84.6%
Brazil	Serra	2017	86.6%	88.2%	84.9%
Brazil	Serra Alta	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Serra Alta	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Serra Azul	2000	92.8%	94.5%	91.0%
Brazil	Serra Azul	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Brazil	Serra Azul de Minas	2000	85.9%	89.0%	81.8%
Brazil	Serra Azul de Minas	2017	88.6%	91.6%	84.5%
Brazil	Serra Branca	2000	79.6%	82.5%	76.5%
Brazil	Serra Branca	2017	79.0%	82.6%	75.2%
Brazil	Serra da Raiz	2000	82.0%	84.8%	79.5%
Brazil	Serra da Raiz	2017	83.0%	85.8%	80.1%
Brazil	Serra da Saudad	2000	84.6%	88.2%	81.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Serra da Saudad	2017	87.9%	90.9%	84.9%
Brazil	Serra de São Bento	2000	85.3%	87.5%	82.8%
Brazil	Serra de São Bento	2017	86.7%	88.9%	83.9%
Brazil	Serra do Mel	2000	87.6%	90.0%	84.9%
Brazil	Serra do Mel	2017	88.8%	91.2%	86.3%
Brazil	Serra do Navio	2000	91.8%	94.9%	87.9%
Brazil	Serra do Navio	2017	90.1%	93.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Serra do Ramalho	2000	74.3%	78.6%	69.9%
Brazil	Serra do Ramalho	2017	76.9%	81.2%	72.1%
Brazil	Serra do Salitre	2000	86.6%	89.4%	83.4%
Brazil	Serra do Salitre	2017	89.0%	91.4%	85.9%
Brazil	Serra dos Aimorés	2000	81.9%	85.0%	78.1%
Brazil	Serra dos Aimorés	2017	84.0%	86.9%	80.2%
Brazil	Serra dourada	2000	73.1%	77.7%	68.4%
Brazil	Serra dourada	2017	76.1%	81.0%	70.4%
Brazil	Serra Grande	2000	81.2%	84.0%	78.3%
Brazil	Serra Grande	2017	81.2%	84.6%	77.7%
Brazil	Serra Negra	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.4%
Brazil	Serra Negra	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.3%
Brazil	Serra Negra do Norte	2000	83.3%	85.8%	80.3%
Brazil	Serra Negra do Norte	2017	84.4%	87.3%	81.5%
Brazil	Serra Preta	2000	72.7%	76.3%	69.3%
Brazil	Serra Preta	2017	75.8%	79.8%	71.8%
Brazil	Serra Redonda	2000	80.2%	82.3%	78.0%
Brazil	Serra Redonda	2017	79.9%	82.8%	77.0%
Brazil	Serra Talhada	2000	78.7%	81.6%	75.6%
Brazil	Serra Talhada	2017	77.4%	80.6%	73.4%
Brazil	Serrana	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.6%
Brazil	Serrana	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Brazil	Serrania	2000	85.7%	89.3%	82.2%
Brazil	Serrania	2017	88.7%	91.9%	85.6%
Brazil	Serrano do Maranhão	2000	60.0%	66.8%	54.0%
Brazil	Serrano do Maranhão	2017	64.1%	71.7%	56.9%
Brazil	Serranópolis	2000	92.9%	94.7%	90.8%
Brazil	Serranópolis	2017	89.9%	92.3%	86.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Serranópolis de Minas	2000	84.5%	87.7%	81.7%
Brazil	Serranópolis de Minas	2017	87.9%	90.7%	85.5%
Brazil	Serranópolis do Iguaçu	2000	93.8%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Serranópolis do Iguaçu	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.5%
Brazil	Serranos	2000	89.4%	91.9%	86.6%
Brazil	Serranos	2017	91.3%	93.7%	89.0%
Brazil	Serraria	2000	82.4%	85.1%	80.1%
Brazil	Serraria	2017	82.7%	85.2%	80.2%
Brazil	Serrinha	2000	84.6%	87.0%	82.0%
Brazil	Serrinha	2000	73.9%	76.8%	70.5%
Brazil	Serrinha	2017	86.6%	88.8%	83.8%
Brazil	Serrinha	2017	76.8%	79.9%	73.2%
Brazil	Serrinha dos Pintos	2000	88.2%	90.6%	85.5%
Brazil	Serrinha dos Pintos	2017	88.9%	91.2%	85.9%
Brazil	Serrita	2000	78.4%	81.1%	75.6%
Brazil	Serrita	2017	77.1%	80.7%	73.3%
Brazil	Serro	2000	86.8%	89.9%	83.2%
Brazil	Serro	2017	89.4%	92.1%	86.1%
Brazil	Serrolândia	2000	75.6%	79.0%	72.6%
Brazil	Serrolândia	2017	77.7%	80.9%	74.5%
Brazil	Sertaneja	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.7%
Brazil	Sertaneja	2017	93.6%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Sertânia	2000	77.9%	80.8%	75.2%
Brazil	Sertânia	2017	75.6%	79.1%	72.1%
Brazil	Sertanópolis	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Sertanópolis	2017	92.9%	94.4%	91.2%
Brazil	Sertão	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Sertão	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Sertão Santana	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Sertão Santana	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2000	81.4%	84.0%	78.7%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2017	82.4%	85.2%	79.5%
Brazil	Sete Barras	2000	94.0%	95.9%	92.0%
Brazil	Sete Barras	2017	95.4%	96.8%	93.9%
Brazil	Sete de Setembro	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Sete de Setembro	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.2%
Brazil	Sete Lagoas	2000	87.3%	89.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Sete Lagoas	2017	90.0%	91.7%	88.0%
Brazil	Sete Quedas	2000	92.7%	94.9%	90.3%
Brazil	Sete Quedas	2017	88.7%	92.7%	84.9%
Brazil	Setubinha	2000	87.4%	90.3%	84.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Setubinha	2017	89.9%	92.2%	87.0%
Brazil	Severiano de Almeida	2000	94.1%	95.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Severiano de Almeida	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.2%
Brazil	Severiano Melo	2000	83.2%	86.9%	79.6%
Brazil	Severiano Melo	2017	84.2%	87.7%	80.6%
Brazil	Severínia	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.6%
Brazil	Severínia	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Siderópolis	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Siderópolis	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Sidrolândia	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Sidrolândia	2017	90.5%	92.5%	87.8%
Brazil	Sigefredo Pacheco	2000	62.0%	67.7%	56.8%
Brazil	Sigefredo Pacheco	2017	71.1%	76.8%	65.0%
Brazil	Silva Jardim	2000	94.2%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Silva Jardim	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.5%
Brazil	Silvânia	2000	92.2%	93.4%	90.8%
Brazil	Silvânia	2017	89.6%	91.4%	87.4%
Brazil	Silvanópolis	2000	72.3%	76.6%	67.5%
Brazil	Silvanópolis	2017	62.4%	68.3%	55.7%
Brazil	Silveira Martins	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Silveira Martins	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Silveirânia	2000	84.7%	87.3%	82.0%
Brazil	Silveirânia	2017	87.5%	89.9%	84.6%
Brazil	Silveiras	2000	94.6%	95.9%	92.7%
Brazil	Silveiras	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Brazil	Silves	2000	80.6%	84.8%	76.0%
Brazil	Silves	2017	81.2%	85.5%	76.3%
Brazil	Silvianópolis	2000	84.2%	86.9%	81.2%
Brazil	Silvianópolis	2017	86.9%	89.5%	84.3%
Brazil	Simão Dias	2000	81.9%	84.5%	79.2%
Brazil	Simão Dias	2017	85.1%	87.4%	82.6%
Brazil	Simão Pereira	2000	89.1%	91.0%	86.9%
Brazil	Simão Pereira	2017	91.0%	92.6%	89.4%
Brazil	Simões	2000	71.9%	76.2%	66.9%
Brazil	Simões	2017	75.0%	79.7%	69.1%
Brazil	Simões Filho	2000	74.8%	76.2%	73.1%
Brazil	Simões Filho	2017	77.3%	79.1%	75.4%
Brazil	Simolândia	2000	88.6%	91.2%	85.2%
Brazil	Simolândia	2017	86.3%	89.6%	82.7%
Brazil	Simonésia	2000	87.7%	90.1%	85.4%
Brazil	Simonésia	2017	89.6%	91.6%	87.2%
Brazil	Simplício Mendes	2000	60.5%	67.7%	53.3%
Brazil	Simplício Mendes	2017	70.7%	78.1%	63.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sinimbu	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Sinimbu	2017	95.0%	96.1%	94.0%
Brazil	Sinop	2000	91.6%	93.6%	89.2%
Brazil	Sinop	2017	90.0%	92.3%	87.5%
Brazil	Siqueira Campos	2000	93.3%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Siqueira Campos	2017	93.4%	95.2%	91.4%
Brazil	Sirinhaém	2000	78.7%	81.3%	76.3%
Brazil	Sirinhaém	2017	76.1%	79.4%	73.1%
Brazil	Siriri	2000	84.7%	86.9%	82.4%
Brazil	Siriri	2017	87.6%	89.5%	85.5%
Brazil	Sítio d'Abadia	2000	88.5%	91.3%	84.9%
Brazil	Sítio d'Abadia	2017	87.1%	90.8%	82.9%
Brazil	Sítio do Mato	2000	72.8%	77.2%	68.8%
Brazil	Sítio do Mato	2017	75.7%	80.4%	71.5%
Brazil	Sítio do Quinto	2000	75.0%	78.5%	71.6%
Brazil	Sítio do Quinto	2017	77.7%	81.7%	73.7%
Brazil	Sítio dos Mor-eiras	2000	79.1%	81.9%	76.2%
Brazil	Sítio dos Mor-eiras	2017	78.1%	81.4%	74.4%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2000	86.3%	89.0%	83.4%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2000	60.9%	67.2%	54.8%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2017	88.0%	90.6%	85.2%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2017	62.9%	70.8%	54.2%
Brazil	Sítio Novo do Tocantins	2000	66.9%	70.6%	62.9%
Brazil	Sítio Novo do Tocantins	2017	61.8%	66.4%	56.5%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2000	72.2%	76.0%	68.5%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2017	72.2%	76.4%	67.2%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Sobrado	2000	79.1%	81.3%	76.6%
Brazil	Sobrado	2017	79.8%	82.4%	77.1%
Brazil	Sobral	2000	78.4%	80.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Sobral	2017	79.0%	81.8%	76.6%
Brazil	Sobralia	2000	85.0%	87.4%	82.2%
Brazil	Sobralia	2017	87.7%	89.8%	85.0%
Brazil	Socorro	2000	92.4%	93.9%	90.7%
Brazil	Socorro	2017	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Socorro do Piauí	2000	57.7%	65.8%	49.2%
Brazil	Socorro do Piauí	2017	69.5%	77.1%	60.1%
Brazil	Solânea	2000	83.6%	86.2%	81.6%
Brazil	Solânea	2017	84.2%	86.5%	81.8%
Brazil	Soledade	2000	79.3%	82.4%	76.5%
Brazil	Soledade	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Soledade	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Soledade	2017	79.1%	82.9%	75.7%
Brazil	Soledade de Minas	2000	86.7%	88.9%	84.1%
Brazil	Soledade de Minas	2017	89.0%	91.0%	86.6%
Brazil	Solonópole	2000	77.4%	80.8%	73.5%
Brazil	Solonópole	2017	76.9%	81.0%	72.7%
Brazil	Sombrio	2000	93.6%	95.3%	91.5%
Brazil	Sombrio	2017	94.4%	95.8%	92.6%
Brazil	Sonora	2000	92.9%	95.0%	89.9%
Brazil	Sonora	2017	89.7%	92.7%	85.9%
Brazil	Sooretama	2000	86.5%	89.0%	83.8%
Brazil	Sooretama	2017	87.1%	89.8%	84.5%
Brazil	Sorocaba	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Sorocaba	2017	96.5%	97.1%	95.8%
Brazil	Sossêgo	2000	81.5%	84.3%	78.4%
Brazil	Sossêgo	2017	81.6%	84.9%	78.2%
Brazil	Soure	2000	89.7%	91.9%	87.1%
Brazil	Soure	2017	89.0%	91.4%	86.0%
Brazil	Sousa	2000	82.4%	84.9%	79.3%
Brazil	Sousa	2017	83.0%	86.0%	79.7%
Brazil	Souto Soares	2000	74.1%	77.7%	69.8%
Brazil	Souto Soares	2017	76.7%	80.2%	72.2%
Brazil	Sucupira	2000	76.1%	80.0%	71.3%
Brazil	Sucupira	2017	66.4%	71.2%	60.9%
Brazil	Sucupira do Norte	2000	56.0%	63.4%	49.2%
Brazil	Sucupira do Norte	2017	63.6%	72.5%	56.3%
Brazil	Sucupira do Riachão	2000	54.3%	60.8%	46.7%
Brazil	Sucupira do Riachão	2017	64.3%	71.9%	56.6%
Brazil	Sud Mennucci	2000	95.3%	96.7%	93.7%
Brazil	Sud Mennucci	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.5%
Brazil	Sul Brazil	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Sul Brazil	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Sulina	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Sulina	2017	92.7%	94.2%	90.6%
Brazil	Sumaré	2000	95.2%	95.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Sumaré	2017	96.6%	97.1%	96.0%
Brazil	Sumé	2000	79.8%	82.6%	76.7%
Brazil	Sumé	2017	78.8%	82.0%	75.2%
Brazil	Sumidouro	2000	94.3%	95.4%	92.9%
Brazil	Sumidouro	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Brazil	Surubim	2000	77.3%	79.6%	74.5%
Brazil	Surubim	2017	76.2%	78.9%	73.2%
Brazil	Sussuapara	2000	61.7%	66.9%	57.3%
Brazil	Sussuapara	2017	70.7%	75.9%	65.4%
Brazil	Suzanópolis	2000	95.3%	95.8%	94.6%
Brazil	Suzanópolis	2017	96.5%	96.9%	96.1%
Brazil	Suzano	2000	95.7%	96.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Suzano	2017	96.8%	97.2%	96.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Tabaí	2000	93.6%	94.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Tabaí	2017	94.1%	95.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Tabaporã	2000	90.6%	93.3%	87.4%
Brazil	Tabaporã	2017	88.6%	92.3%	84.4%
Brazil	Tabapuã	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Tabapuã	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2000	81.0%	85.7%	76.3%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2017	81.6%	86.3%	75.8%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Brazil	Tabira	2000	78.1%	80.8%	75.0%
Brazil	Tabira	2017	76.4%	79.9%	72.5%
Brazil	Taboão da Serra	2000	95.4%	96.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Taboão da Serra	2017	96.6%	97.0%	96.3%
Brazil	Tabocas do Brejo Velho	2000	73.5%	77.7%	68.9%
Brazil	Tabocas do Brejo Velho	2017	76.5%	81.0%	71.5%
Brazil	Taboleiro Grande	2000	82.8%	86.6%	79.3%
Brazil	Taboleiro Grande	2017	83.9%	87.5%	80.3%
Brazil	Tabuleiro	2000	83.7%	86.4%	81.0%
Brazil	Tabuleiro	2017	86.3%	88.7%	83.8%
Brazil	Tabuleiro do Norte	2000	79.5%	82.5%	76.4%
Brazil	Tabuleiro do Norte	2017	79.7%	82.8%	76.3%
Brazil	Tacaimbó	2000	77.4%	79.5%	75.1%
Brazil	Tacaimbó	2017	75.0%	77.8%	71.9%
Brazil	Tacaratu	2000	77.7%	80.9%	74.6%
Brazil	Tacaratu	2017	76.0%	79.7%	71.6%
Brazil	Taciba	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Taciba	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Brazil	Tacima	2000	82.1%	84.4%	79.8%
Brazil	Tacima	2017	83.9%	86.2%	81.5%
Brazil	Tacuru	2000	92.7%	94.6%	90.6%
Brazil	Tacuru	2017	88.1%	91.3%	84.8%
Brazil	Taguaí	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Taguaí	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Taguatinga	2000	76.2%	80.4%	71.2%
Brazil	Taguatinga	2017	70.8%	76.0%	64.5%
Brazil	Taiacu	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Taiacu	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Brazil	Tailândia	2000	89.2%	91.1%	86.6%
Brazil	Tailândia	2017	88.6%	90.7%	85.8%
Brazil	Taió	2000	94.3%	95.9%	92.5%
Brazil	Taió	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Taiobeiras	2000	85.1%	88.7%	81.3%
Brazil	Taiobeiras	2017	88.2%	91.2%	84.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Taipas do Tocantins	2000	74.1%	78.4%	69.2%
Brazil	Taipas do Tocantins	2017	65.0%	71.1%	58.4%
Brazil	Taipu	2000	86.8%	89.0%	84.0%
Brazil	Taipu	2017	88.2%	90.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Taiúva	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Taiúva	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Talismã	2000	82.1%	85.7%	77.8%
Brazil	Talismã	2017	75.6%	80.0%	70.3%
Brazil	Tamandaré	2000	79.5%	82.1%	76.9%
Brazil	Tamandaré	2017	78.0%	81.2%	74.9%
Brazil	Tamarana	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Tamarana	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Tambaú	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Tambaú	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Brazil	Tambe	2000	81.3%	83.1%	79.1%
Brazil	Tambe	2017	81.0%	83.2%	78.6%
Brazil	Tamboara	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Tamboara	2017	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Tamboril	2000	78.2%	81.4%	75.1%
Brazil	Tamboril	2017	78.3%	81.6%	74.5%
Brazil	Tamboril do Piauí	2000	60.0%	68.4%	51.8%
Brazil	Tamboril do Piauí	2017	71.0%	79.1%	62.6%
Brazil	Tanabi	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Tanabi	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Brazil	Tangará	2000	84.6%	87.3%	81.2%
Brazil	Tangará	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Tangará	2017	86.6%	89.4%	83.6%
Brazil	Tangará	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Tangará da Serra	2000	92.0%	93.9%	89.8%
Brazil	Tangará da Serra	2017	90.5%	92.9%	87.5%
Brazil	Tanguá	2000	94.4%	95.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Tanguá	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Tanhaçu	2000	69.7%	73.9%	64.8%
Brazil	Tanhaçu	2017	73.3%	77.3%	68.8%
Brazil	Tanque d'Arca	2000	80.8%	82.8%	78.6%
Brazil	Tanque d'Arca	2017	75.6%	78.6%	72.4%
Brazil	Tanque do Piauí	2000	60.7%	67.7%	53.5%
Brazil	Tanque do Piauí	2017	71.7%	78.2%	64.8%
Brazil	Tanque Novo	2000	75.4%	79.3%	71.4%
Brazil	Tanque Novo	2017	78.4%	82.5%	74.3%
Brazil	Tanquinho	2000	73.2%	76.5%	69.9%
Brazil	Tanquinho	2017	75.9%	79.7%	72.0%
Brazil	Taparuba	2000	85.8%	88.9%	82.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Taparuba	2017	87.8%	90.7%	84.9%
Brazil	Tapauá	2000	81.2%	88.0%	74.2%
Brazil	Tapauá	2017	81.8%	88.4%	73.8%
Brazil	Tapejara	2000	94.4%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Tapejara	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Tapejara	2017	93.9%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Tapejara	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Tapera	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.9%
Brazil	Tapera	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Taperoá	2000	74.1%	77.4%	70.6%
Brazil	Taperoá	2000	81.0%	83.9%	77.8%
Brazil	Taperoá	2017	77.2%	80.7%	73.9%
Brazil	Taperoá	2017	81.0%	84.6%	77.1%
Brazil	Tapes	2000	94.5%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Tapes	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Brazil	Tapira	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Brazil	Tapira	2000	88.8%	91.9%	85.7%
Brazil	Tapira	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Tapira	2017	91.0%	93.5%	88.3%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2000	85.5%	88.7%	81.3%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2017	88.3%	91.1%	84.7%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Tapiramutá	2000	77.8%	81.7%	74.0%
Brazil	Tapiramutá	2017	79.3%	83.6%	75.0%
Brazil	Tapiratiba	2000	88.9%	91.2%	86.4%
Brazil	Tapiratiba	2017	91.4%	93.4%	89.3%
Brazil	Tapurah	2000	91.3%	93.1%	89.0%
Brazil	Tapurah	2017	89.5%	91.7%	87.1%
Brazil	Taquara	2000	93.8%	94.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Taquara	2017	94.3%	95.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Taquaraçu de Minas	2000	85.4%	87.4%	82.9%
Brazil	Taquaraçu de Minas	2017	88.1%	90.2%	85.9%
Brazil	Taquaral	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Taquaral	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Brazil	Taquaral de Goiás	2000	93.0%	94.3%	91.5%
Brazil	Taquaral de Goiás	2017	90.6%	92.6%	88.6%
Brazil	Taquarana	2000	80.2%	82.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Taquarana	2017	75.1%	77.8%	72.2%
Brazil	Taquari	2000	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Taquari	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Taquaritinga	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Taquaritinga	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Brazil	Taquaritinga do Norte	2000	79.0%	81.1%	76.7%
Brazil	Taquaritinga do Norte	2017	77.8%	80.4%	75.2%
Brazil	Taquarituba	2000	95.5%	97.0%	93.6%
Brazil	Taquarituba	2017	96.3%	97.4%	94.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Taquarivaí	2000	95.4%	96.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Taquarivaí	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%
Brazil	Taquaruçu do Sul	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Taquaruçu do Sul	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.5%
Brazil	Taquarussu	2000	92.9%	94.5%	91.2%
Brazil	Taquarussu	2017	90.0%	92.4%	87.7%
Brazil	Tarabai	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.7%
Brazil	Tarabai	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.1%
Brazil	Tarauacá	2000	79.4%	83.6%	74.8%
Brazil	Tarauacá	2017	80.5%	85.1%	75.7%
Brazil	Tarrafas	2000	77.3%	80.8%	73.9%
Brazil	Tarrafas	2017	77.4%	81.1%	73.6%
Brazil	Tartarugalzinho	2000	91.1%	93.8%	87.5%
Brazil	Tartarugalzinho	2017	89.3%	93.0%	85.2%
Brazil	Tarumã	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Tarumã	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Tarumirim	2000	86.2%	88.9%	83.2%
Brazil	Tarumirim	2017	88.5%	90.8%	86.2%
Brazil	Tasso Fragoso	2000	60.6%	69.1%	51.8%
Brazil	Tasso Fragoso	2017	65.8%	75.5%	57.1%
Brazil	Tatuí	2000	93.4%	95.0%	91.2%
Brazil	Tatuí	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.1%
Brazil	Tauá	2000	76.2%	79.4%	72.1%
Brazil	Tauá	2017	77.0%	80.6%	72.6%
Brazil	Taubaté	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Taubaté	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Brazil	Tavares	2000	81.1%	83.9%	78.3%
Brazil	Tavares	2000	94.0%	96.1%	91.2%
Brazil	Tavares	2017	80.0%	83.2%	76.5%
Brazil	Tavares	2017	94.4%	96.3%	91.8%
Brazil	Tefé	2000	80.8%	85.8%	74.7%
Brazil	Tefé	2017	81.8%	86.4%	75.5%
Brazil	Teixeira	2000	81.8%	84.4%	78.9%
Brazil	Teixeira	2017	81.6%	84.5%	78.1%
Brazil	Teixeira de Freitas	2000	76.1%	79.4%	72.9%
Brazil	Teixeira de Freitas	2017	78.2%	81.7%	74.9%
Brazil	Teixeiras	2000	84.8%	87.5%	82.1%
Brazil	Teixeiras	2017	87.8%	90.2%	85.6%
Brazil	Teixeirópolis	2000	90.1%	92.0%	87.6%
Brazil	Teixeirópolis	2017	88.7%	91.0%	86.1%
Brazil	Tejuçuoca	2000	78.9%	81.8%	75.7%
Brazil	Tejuçuoca	2017	78.4%	82.4%	74.3%
Brazil	Tejupa	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.3%
Brazil	Tejupa	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Telêmaco Borba	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.5%
Brazil	Telêmaco Borba	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.9%
Brazil	Telha	2000	79.7%	82.7%	76.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Telha	2017	81.9%	84.9%	79.1%
Brazil	Tenente Ananias	2000	85.2%	87.9%	82.1%
Brazil	Tenente Ananias	2017	86.0%	89.2%	82.5%
Brazil	Tenente Laurentino Cruz	2000	88.9%	91.4%	86.1%
Brazil	Tenente Laurentino Cruz	2017	90.0%	92.4%	87.3%
Brazil	Tenente Portela	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Brazil	Tenente Portela	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.6%
Brazil	Tenório	2000	82.2%	85.0%	78.9%
Brazil	Tenório	2017	82.5%	86.1%	78.9%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2000	94.8%	96.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2000	72.9%	75.8%	70.0%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2017	94.5%	96.3%	92.9%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2017	75.4%	78.8%	72.0%
Brazil	Teofilândia	2000	73.2%	76.9%	69.7%
Brazil	Teofilândia	2017	76.2%	79.6%	72.7%
Brazil	Teófilo Otoni	2000	86.2%	89.1%	82.8%
Brazil	Teófilo Otoni	2017	88.7%	91.2%	85.6%
Brazil	Teolândia	2000	74.8%	78.2%	71.6%
Brazil	Teolândia	2017	78.0%	81.2%	74.8%
Brazil	Teotônio Vilela	2000	78.3%	80.8%	75.8%
Brazil	Teotônio Vilela	2017	73.4%	76.7%	70.1%
Brazil	Terenos	2000	94.0%	95.0%	92.9%
Brazil	Terenos	2017	89.7%	91.4%	87.7%
Brazil	Teresina	2000	57.6%	60.5%	54.7%
Brazil	Teresina	2017	69.6%	71.9%	66.9%
Brazil	Teresina de Goiás	2000	89.7%	92.2%	86.9%
Brazil	Teresina de Goiás	2017	86.7%	89.9%	83.3%
Brazil	Teresópolis	2000	95.8%	96.5%	94.9%
Brazil	Teresópolis	2017	96.5%	97.0%	95.7%
Brazil	Terezinha	2000	81.6%	84.0%	79.3%
Brazil	Terezinha	2017	78.6%	81.0%	75.6%
Brazil	Terezópolis de Goiás	2000	92.3%	93.4%	91.5%
Brazil	Terezópolis de Goiás	2017	89.6%	91.2%	87.9%
Brazil	Terra Alta	2000	87.9%	89.8%	85.9%
Brazil	Terra Alta	2017	86.9%	89.1%	84.6%
Brazil	Terra Boa	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.2%
Brazil	Terra Boa	2017	93.0%	94.7%	91.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Terra de Areia	2000	94.1%	95.8%	92.5%
Brazil	Terra de Areia	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2000	78.2%	81.2%	75.3%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2000	73.5%	76.3%	70.7%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2017	76.3%	79.8%	72.2%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2017	76.1%	79.2%	72.8%
Brazil	Terra Nova do Norte	2000	91.1%	93.6%	87.8%
Brazil	Terra Nova do Norte	2017	89.3%	92.5%	85.3%
Brazil	Terra Rica	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.9%
Brazil	Terra Rica	2017	94.1%	95.9%	92.2%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.5%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2000	93.6%	95.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2017	93.2%	94.7%	91.2%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Brazil	Terra Santa	2000	84.5%	87.9%	80.1%
Brazil	Terra Santa	2017	84.1%	87.8%	78.9%
Brazil	Tesouro	2000	91.8%	94.5%	88.4%
Brazil	Tesouro	2017	89.8%	93.4%	85.1%
Brazil	Teutônia	2000	94.1%	95.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Teutônia	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.4%
Brazil	Texeira Soares	2000	93.7%	95.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Texeira Soares	2017	93.4%	94.8%	91.5%
Brazil	Theobroma	2000	90.3%	92.7%	87.5%
Brazil	Theobroma	2017	89.3%	91.9%	86.4%
Brazil	Tianguá	2000	81.2%	83.5%	78.6%
Brazil	Tianguá	2017	82.0%	84.4%	79.4%
Brazil	Tibaji	2000	93.8%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Tibaji	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Tibau	2000	82.9%	86.6%	79.3%
Brazil	Tibau	2017	83.8%	87.6%	79.5%
Brazil	Tibau do Sul	2000	86.3%	88.8%	83.6%
Brazil	Tibau do Sul	2017	87.4%	90.0%	84.6%
Brazil	Tietê	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Brazil	Tietê	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.0%
Brazil	Tigrinhos	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Tigrinhos	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Tijucas	2000	93.4%	94.8%	92.0%
Brazil	Tijucas	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Tijucas do Sul	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.4%
Brazil	Tijucas do Sul	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Timbaúba	2000	80.6%	82.7%	78.3%
Brazil	Timbaúba	2017	79.8%	82.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Timbaúba dos Batistas	2000	84.0%	86.5%	81.2%
Brazil	Timbaúba dos Batistas	2017	85.4%	88.2%	82.3%
Brazil	Timbé do Sul	2000	94.2%	95.8%	92.1%
Brazil	Timbé do Sul	2017	94.8%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Timbiras	2000	54.6%	60.4%	48.1%
Brazil	Timbiras	2017	62.2%	68.6%	54.5%
Brazil	Timbó	2000	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Timbó	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Timbó Grande	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Timbó Grande	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Timburi	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Timburi	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Brazil	Timon	2000	56.3%	59.7%	53.3%
Brazil	Timon	2017	68.1%	71.1%	64.9%
Brazil	Timóteo	2000	84.7%	86.9%	82.4%
Brazil	Timóteo	2017	87.8%	89.5%	85.9%
Brazil	Tiradentes	2000	84.9%	87.7%	81.9%
Brazil	Tiradentes	2017	87.5%	89.9%	84.7%
Brazil	Tiradentes do Sul	2000	93.8%	95.5%	92.0%
Brazil	Tiradentes do Sul	2017	94.2%	95.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Tiros	2000	86.1%	89.6%	81.9%
Brazil	Tiros	2017	88.4%	91.5%	84.5%
Brazil	Tobias Barreto	2000	80.5%	83.0%	77.4%
Brazil	Tobias Barreto	2017	84.0%	86.3%	81.3%
Brazil	Tocantínia	2000	72.0%	76.2%	68.1%
Brazil	Tocantínia	2017	62.4%	67.1%	56.7%
Brazil	Tocantinópolis	2000	64.7%	68.9%	60.5%
Brazil	Tocantinópolis	2017	60.1%	65.6%	54.3%
Brazil	Tocantins	2000	85.9%	88.1%	83.7%
Brazil	Tocantins	2017	88.5%	90.5%	86.2%
Brazil	Tocos do Moji	2000	88.5%	90.5%	86.1%
Brazil	Tocos do Moji	2017	90.8%	92.5%	88.5%
Brazil	Toledo	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Toledo	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Brazil	Toledo	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Brazil	Toledo	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.1%
Brazil	Tomar do Geru	2000	80.0%	83.1%	77.2%
Brazil	Tomar do Geru	2017	83.8%	86.4%	81.3%
Brazil	Tomazina	2000	92.7%	94.6%	90.7%
Brazil	Tomazina	2017	92.6%	94.5%	90.5%
Brazil	Tombos	2000	87.6%	90.1%	85.0%
Brazil	Tombos	2017	89.7%	91.7%	87.4%
Brazil	Tomé-Açu	2000	87.8%	89.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Tomé-Açu	2017	87.0%	89.6%	84.0%
Brazil	Tonantins	2000	80.1%	86.5%	72.9%
Brazil	Tonantins	2017	80.8%	86.7%	73.0%
Brazil	Toritama	2000	78.2%	80.2%	76.0%
Brazil	Toritama	2017	77.2%	79.6%	74.7%
Brazil	Torixoréu	2000	91.7%	93.9%	88.2%
Brazil	Torixoréu	2017	89.3%	92.4%	84.8%
Brazil	Toropi	2000	94.1%	95.7%	92.2%
Brazil	Toropi	2017	94.5%	95.9%	92.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Torre de Pedra	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.3%
Brazil	Torre de Pedra	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.1%
Brazil	Torres	2000	94.2%	95.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Torres	2017	95.0%	96.5%	92.8%
Brazil	Torrinha	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.6%
Brazil	Torrinha	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Brazil	Touros	2000	86.0%	89.3%	81.6%
Brazil	Touros	2017	87.2%	90.5%	82.7%
Brazil	Trabiju	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Trabiju	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Brazil	Tracuateua	2000	86.2%	88.4%	83.8%
Brazil	Tracuateua	2017	85.4%	87.8%	83.1%
Brazil	Tracunhaém	2000	78.5%	80.1%	76.9%
Brazil	Tracunhaém	2017	78.2%	80.2%	75.9%
Brazil	Traipu	2000	77.5%	80.3%	74.8%
Brazil	Traipu	2017	77.4%	80.7%	74.6%
Brazil	Trairão	2000	86.7%	91.1%	81.2%
Brazil	Trairão	2017	86.1%	90.6%	80.9%
Brazil	Trairi	2000	79.0%	81.9%	75.2%
Brazil	Trairi	2017	78.5%	82.0%	74.9%
Brazil	Trajano de Moraes	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Brazil	Trajano de Moraes	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Brazil	Tramandaí	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Tramandaí	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Travesseiro	2000	93.9%	95.1%	92.6%
Brazil	Travesseiro	2017	94.4%	95.5%	93.1%
Brazil	Tremedal	2000	73.9%	77.5%	70.5%
Brazil	Tremedal	2017	77.1%	81.0%	73.5%
Brazil	Tremembé	2000	93.5%	94.8%	92.2%
Brazil	Tremembé	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Três Arroios	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Três Arroios	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%
Brazil	Três Barras do Paraná	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Três Barras do Paraná	2017	93.7%	95.2%	92.0%
Brazil	Três Cachoeiras	2000	93.8%	95.4%	91.4%
Brazil	Três Cachoeiras	2017	94.5%	95.9%	92.4%
Brazil	Três Corações	2000	85.4%	88.1%	82.3%
Brazil	Três Corações	2017	88.1%	90.3%	85.4%
Brazil	Três Coroas	2000	94.5%	95.6%	93.3%
Brazil	Três Coroas	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.8%
Brazil	Três de Maio	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Brazil	Três de Maio	2017	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Três Forquilhas	2000	94.4%	95.9%	92.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Três Forquilhas	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.0%
Brazil	Três Fronteiras	2000	93.7%	95.4%	91.3%
Brazil	Três Fronteiras	2017	93.9%	95.5%	91.9%
Brazil	Três Lagoas	2000	90.6%	92.8%	88.4%
Brazil	Três Lagoas	2017	87.9%	90.7%	85.1%
Brazil	Três Marias	2000	86.3%	90.4%	81.6%
Brazil	Três Marias	2017	89.2%	92.6%	85.1%
Brazil	Três Palmeiras	2000	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Três Palmeiras	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.5%
Brazil	Três Passos	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.6%
Brazil	Três Passos	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.9%
Brazil	Três Pontas	2000	85.8%	88.4%	82.9%
Brazil	Três Pontas	2017	88.6%	90.8%	85.9%
Brazil	Três Ranchos	2000	83.5%	86.7%	80.1%
Brazil	Três Ranchos	2017	82.7%	86.4%	78.8%
Brazil	Três Rios	2000	90.7%	92.3%	88.7%
Brazil	Três Rios	2017	92.5%	93.9%	90.8%
Brazil	Treviso	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Treviso	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Treze de Maio	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Treze de Maio	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Treze Tílias	2000	94.7%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Treze Tílias	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	Trindade	2000	76.7%	79.9%	73.2%
Brazil	Trindade	2000	92.9%	93.7%	92.0%
Brazil	Trindade	2017	90.8%	92.1%	89.4%
Brazil	Trindade	2017	76.8%	80.3%	72.9%
Brazil	Trindade do Sul	2000	94.7%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Trindade do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.7%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	84.8%	87.1%	82.2%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	80.5%	83.7%	77.6%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	84.0%	86.6%	81.0%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	80.4%	84.2%	77.0%
Brazil	Triunfo Potiguar	2000	87.2%	90.3%	84.1%
Brazil	Triunfo Potiguar	2017	88.8%	91.8%	86.0%
Brazil	Trizidela do Vale	2000	54.0%	59.9%	49.0%
Brazil	Trizidela do Vale	2017	60.4%	66.0%	54.5%
Brazil	Trombas	2000	90.3%	92.4%	87.8%
Brazil	Trombas	2017	87.6%	90.5%	83.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Trombudo Central	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	Trombudo Central	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Tubarão	2000	94.1%	95.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Tubarão	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Tucano	2000	72.7%	76.2%	69.2%
Brazil	Tucano	2017	75.8%	79.5%	72.2%
Brazil	Tucumã	2000	89.1%	91.8%	85.6%
Brazil	Tucumã	2017	88.4%	91.5%	84.7%
Brazil	Tucunduva	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.3%
Brazil	Tucunduva	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.7%
Brazil	Tucuruí	2000	87.9%	90.1%	85.1%
Brazil	Tucuruí	2017	87.2%	89.6%	84.3%
Brazil	Tufilândia	2000	57.7%	63.3%	52.1%
Brazil	Tufilândia	2017	62.8%	68.9%	56.9%
Brazil	Tuiuti	2000	93.6%	94.8%	92.3%
Brazil	Tuiuti	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.2%
Brazil	Tumiritinga	2000	84.6%	87.3%	81.6%
Brazil	Tumiritinga	2017	87.3%	89.9%	84.8%
Brazil	Tunápolis	2000	94.7%	96.1%	92.9%
Brazil	Tunápolis	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.1%
Brazil	Tunas	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Tunas	2017	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Tunas do Paraná	2000	94.0%	95.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Tunas do Paraná	2017	93.7%	95.7%	91.8%
Brazil	Tuneiras do Oeste	2000	94.4%	95.7%	93.1%
Brazil	Tuneiras do Oeste	2017	94.0%	95.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Tuntum	2000	55.4%	61.6%	49.2%
Brazil	Tuntum	2017	62.3%	68.5%	55.9%
Brazil	Tupã	2000	96.3%	97.5%	95.0%
Brazil	Tupã	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.0%
Brazil	Tupaciguara	2000	89.9%	92.1%	87.6%
Brazil	Tupaciguara	2017	90.2%	92.3%	87.5%
Brazil	Tupanatinga	2000	79.6%	82.4%	77.0%
Brazil	Tupanatinga	2017	76.8%	80.0%	73.4%
Brazil	Tupanci do Sul	2000	94.6%	96.3%	92.7%
Brazil	Tupanci do Sul	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Tupanciretã	2000	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Tupanciretã	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.6%
Brazil	Tupandi	2000	94.5%	95.4%	93.4%
Brazil	Tupandi	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Tuparendi	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.1%
Brazil	Tuparendi	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.7%
Brazil	Tuparetama	2000	78.1%	80.8%	75.0%
Brazil	Tuparetama	2017	76.5%	80.2%	72.5%
Brazil	Tupãssi	2000	94.3%	95.4%	93.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Tupãssi	2017	93.7%	95.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Tupi Paulista	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.4%
Brazil	Tupi Paulista	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.7%
Brazil	Tupirama	2000	74.4%	78.5%	69.9%
Brazil	Tupirama	2017	64.6%	70.0%	58.7%
Brazil	Tupiratins	2000	70.1%	75.3%	64.6%
Brazil	Tupiratins	2017	59.9%	67.4%	53.4%
Brazil	Turiaçu	2000	64.8%	70.8%	58.7%
Brazil	Turiaçu	2017	66.8%	73.7%	59.8%
Brazil	Turilândia	2000	62.5%	68.8%	57.3%
Brazil	Turilândia	2017	65.6%	72.7%	60.0%
Brazil	Turiúba	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Turiúba	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.2%
Brazil	Turmalina	2000	84.9%	88.7%	80.6%
Brazil	Turmalina	2000	94.4%	96.2%	92.5%
Brazil	Turmalina	2017	87.9%	91.4%	84.2%
Brazil	Turmalina	2017	95.1%	96.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Turuçu	2000	93.8%	95.4%	92.0%
Brazil	Turuçu	2017	94.1%	95.7%	92.4%
Brazil	Tururu	2000	79.4%	82.3%	76.9%
Brazil	Tururu	2017	79.9%	83.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Turvânia	2000	92.6%	94.0%	91.1%
Brazil	Turvânia	2017	90.0%	91.8%	87.9%
Brazil	Turvelândia	2000	91.8%	93.5%	89.9%
Brazil	Turvelândia	2017	89.2%	91.4%	86.3%
Brazil	Turvo	2000	94.6%	96.0%	92.8%
Brazil	Turvo	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Turvo	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Brazil	Turvo	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Turvolandia	2000	83.9%	86.8%	81.0%
Brazil	Turvolandia	2017	86.8%	89.6%	83.8%
Brazil	Tutoia	2000	56.9%	62.6%	50.7%
Brazil	Tutoia	2017	64.2%	70.8%	56.8%
Brazil	Tutóia	2000	56.6%	62.7%	49.6%
Brazil	Tutóia	2017	63.5%	70.4%	55.3%
Brazil	Uarini	2000	81.9%	87.0%	76.6%
Brazil	Uarini	2017	82.3%	87.4%	76.8%
Brazil	Uauá	2000	76.0%	80.1%	71.5%
Brazil	Uauá	2017	78.0%	82.7%	73.2%
Brazil	Ubá	2000	84.6%	86.9%	82.3%
Brazil	Ubá	2017	87.5%	89.4%	85.2%
Brazil	Ubaí	2000	86.2%	89.8%	82.5%
Brazil	Ubaí	2017	88.7%	91.9%	85.0%
Brazil	Ubaíra	2000	74.7%	78.1%	71.1%
Brazil	Ubaíra	2017	77.1%	80.5%	73.5%
Brazil	Ubaitaba	2000	73.8%	77.1%	70.9%
Brazil	Ubaitaba	2017	77.4%	80.9%	74.2%
Brazil	Ubajara	2000	79.2%	81.6%	76.5%
Brazil	Ubajara	2017	79.4%	82.3%	76.5%
Brazil	Ubaporanga	2000	88.4%	90.7%	85.6%
Brazil	Ubaporanga	2017	90.6%	92.6%	88.3%
Brazil	Ubarana	2000	95.2%	96.5%	93.3%
Brazil	Ubarana	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ubatã	2000	75.4%	78.3%	72.5%
Brazil	Ubatã	2017	78.1%	81.3%	74.9%
Brazil	Ubatuba	2000	96.0%	97.3%	94.4%
Brazil	Ubatuba	2017	96.9%	97.9%	95.7%
Brazil	Uberaba	2000	87.5%	89.6%	85.2%
Brazil	Uberaba	2017	90.1%	91.7%	88.3%
Brazil	Uberlândia	2000	87.8%	89.6%	86.0%
Brazil	Uberlândia	2017	89.4%	90.9%	87.8%
Brazil	Ubirajara	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.5%
Brazil	Ubirajara	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.5%
Brazil	Ubiratã	2000	93.9%	95.4%	92.4%
Brazil	Ubiratã	2017	93.3%	95.1%	91.4%
Brazil	Ubiretama	2000	94.2%	95.6%	92.6%
Brazil	Ubiretama	2017	94.5%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Uchoa	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Uchoa	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.7%
Brazil	Uibaí	2000	72.1%	75.8%	68.4%
Brazil	Uibaí	2017	74.5%	78.2%	70.5%
Brazil	Uiramutã	2000	92.7%	96.3%	87.5%
Brazil	Uiramutã	2017	93.5%	96.5%	89.0%
Brazil	Uirapuru	2000	91.2%	93.3%	88.8%
Brazil	Uirapuru	2017	88.6%	91.6%	85.1%
Brazil	Uiraúna	2000	83.6%	86.4%	80.5%
Brazil	Uiraúna	2017	84.1%	87.0%	80.8%
Brazil	Ulianópolis	2000	83.3%	86.7%	79.3%
Brazil	Ulianópolis	2017	83.9%	87.3%	79.5%
Brazil	Umari	2000	79.1%	82.2%	76.2%
Brazil	Umari	2017	78.9%	82.6%	75.5%
Brazil	Umarizal	2000	84.8%	88.2%	81.4%
Brazil	Umarizal	2017	86.0%	89.2%	82.5%
Brazil	Umbauba	2000	83.7%	86.6%	80.9%
Brazil	Umbauba	2017	87.2%	89.6%	84.6%
Brazil	Umburanas	2000	72.9%	77.5%	68.1%
Brazil	Umburanas	2017	75.7%	80.2%	70.5%
Brazil	Umburatiba	2000	80.7%	84.9%	75.9%
Brazil	Umburatiba	2017	83.4%	87.6%	78.5%
Brazil	Umbuzeiro	2000	76.7%	79.0%	73.8%
Brazil	Umbuzeiro	2017	75.4%	78.7%	72.1%
Brazil	Umirim	2000	80.2%	82.8%	77.5%
Brazil	Umirim	2017	80.2%	83.1%	76.9%
Brazil	Umarama	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Brazil	Umarama	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%
Brazil	Una	2000	74.5%	78.0%	70.5%
Brazil	Una	2017	77.5%	81.4%	73.1%
Brazil	Unai	2000	90.9%	93.3%	88.4%
Brazil	Unai	2017	90.9%	93.4%	88.3%
Brazil	União	2000	56.6%	61.6%	51.7%
Brazil	União	2017	67.7%	73.0%	62.1%
Brazil	União da Serra	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.7%
Brazil	União da Serra	2017	94.5%	95.9%	93.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	União da Vitória	2000	94.0%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	União da Vitória	2017	94.0%	95.5%	92.1%
Brazil	União de Minas	2000	91.4%	93.9%	88.8%
Brazil	União de Minas	2017	91.6%	94.2%	88.8%
Brazil	União do Oeste	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	União do Oeste	2017	95.0%	96.3%	93.4%
Brazil	União do Sul	2000	90.7%	94.0%	87.1%
Brazil	União do Sul	2017	88.8%	93.1%	83.4%
Brazil	União dos Palmares	2000	82.0%	84.1%	79.3%
Brazil	União dos Palmares	2017	78.3%	81.3%	75.6%
Brazil	União Paulista	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.5%
Brazil	União Paulista	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Brazil	Uniflor	2000	93.5%	95.0%	91.8%
Brazil	Uniflor	2017	92.9%	94.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Unistalda	2000	94.6%	96.3%	92.4%
Brazil	Unistalda	2017	94.9%	96.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Upanema	2000	86.7%	89.5%	83.3%
Brazil	Upanema	2017	88.4%	91.1%	85.4%
Brazil	Uraí	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.6%
Brazil	Uraí	2017	92.9%	94.4%	91.2%
Brazil	Urandi	2000	77.3%	81.0%	73.6%
Brazil	Urandi	2017	80.3%	83.9%	76.7%
Brazil	Urânia	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Urânia	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Urbano Santos	2000	56.3%	62.5%	50.2%
Brazil	Urbano Santos	2017	63.3%	70.2%	55.5%
Brazil	urea	2000	93.7%	95.1%	92.0%
Brazil	urea	2017	94.3%	95.4%	92.5%
Brazil	Uru	2000	94.4%	96.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Uru	2017	95.9%	97.1%	94.3%
Brazil	Uruaçu	2000	93.0%	94.6%	91.1%
Brazil	Uruaçu	2017	90.9%	93.1%	88.2%
Brazil	Uruana	2000	93.1%	94.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Uruana	2017	90.8%	92.4%	88.9%
Brazil	Uruana de Minas	2000	89.9%	93.0%	86.5%
Brazil	Uruana de Minas	2017	90.5%	93.6%	86.9%
Brazil	Uruará	2000	88.8%	92.1%	85.4%
Brazil	Uruará	2017	88.4%	91.6%	85.3%
Brazil	Urubici	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Urubici	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.1%
Brazil	Uruburetama	2000	82.9%	85.1%	80.7%
Brazil	Uruburetama	2017	81.9%	84.5%	79.1%
Brazil	Urucânia	2000	84.6%	87.5%	81.7%
Brazil	Urucânia	2017	87.5%	90.1%	84.8%
Brazil	Urucará	2000	81.4%	85.6%	76.9%
Brazil	Urucará	2017	81.9%	86.7%	76.6%
Brazil	Uruçuca	2000	73.9%	76.8%	70.6%
Brazil	Uruçuca	2017	76.5%	79.3%	73.0%
Brazil	Uruçuí	2000	57.7%	66.0%	49.4%
Brazil	Uruçuí	2017	67.8%	76.1%	58.9%
Brazil	Urucuaia	2000	87.7%	91.6%	84.3%
Brazil	Urucuaia	2017	90.0%	93.2%	86.5%
Brazil	Urucurituba	2000	81.7%	85.1%	77.6%
Brazil	Urucurituba	2017	82.4%	86.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Uruguaiana	2000	94.1%	96.2%	92.1%
Brazil	Uruguaiana	2017	94.6%	96.3%	92.9%
Brazil	Uruoca	2000	76.6%	79.2%	73.3%
Brazil	Uruoca	2017	77.2%	80.5%	73.6%
Brazil	Urupá	2000	90.3%	92.4%	87.8%
Brazil	Urupá	2017	89.1%	91.4%	86.7%
Brazil	Urupema	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.6%
Brazil	Urupema	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Brazil	Urupês	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.3%
Brazil	Urupês	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Brazil	Urussanga	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.9%
Brazil	Urussanga	2017	94.4%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Urutaí	2000	90.1%	91.9%	87.4%
Brazil	Urutaí	2017	87.5%	90.2%	84.3%
Brazil	Utinga	2000	74.3%	78.6%	69.8%
Brazil	Utinga	2017	76.5%	81.0%	71.7%
Brazil	Vacaria	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.8%
Brazil	Vacaria	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Vale do Anari	2000	90.4%	92.9%	87.7%
Brazil	Vale do Anari	2017	89.4%	92.2%	86.3%
Brazil	Vale do Paraíso	2000	90.1%	92.3%	87.5%
Brazil	Vale do Paraíso	2017	88.9%	91.6%	86.4%
Brazil	Vale do Sol	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.4%
Brazil	Vale do Sol	2017	94.3%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Vale Real	2000	93.9%	94.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Vale Real	2017	94.3%	95.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Vale Verde	2000	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%
Brazil	Vale Verde	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Brazil	Valença	2000	74.4%	77.6%	70.6%
Brazil	Valença	2017	76.9%	80.3%	73.3%
Brazil	Valença do Piauí	2000	60.8%	66.7%	54.0%
Brazil	Valença do Piauí	2017	70.6%	76.0%	63.8%
Brazil	Valencia	2000	93.4%	94.9%	91.8%
Brazil	Valencia	2017	94.7%	95.9%	93.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Valente	2000	72.0%	75.7%	68.5%
Brazil	Valente	2017	75.4%	79.4%	71.5%
Brazil	Valentim Gentil	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.9%
Brazil	Valentim Gentil	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Brazil	Valinhos	2000	94.5%	95.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Valinhos	2017	96.0%	96.5%	95.4%
Brazil	Valparaíso	2000	96.3%	97.4%	94.8%
Brazil	Valparaíso	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.8%
Brazil	Valparaíso de Goiás	2000	94.9%	95.6%	94.2%
Brazil	Valparaíso de Goiás	2017	94.1%	94.8%	93.3%
Brazil	Vanini	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.8%
Brazil	Vanini	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.3%
Brazil	Vargeão	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Vargeão	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.5%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	94.7%	96.4%	92.7%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.4%
Brazil	Vargem	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Vargem	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Brazil	Vargem Alegre	2000	85.2%	87.8%	82.1%
Brazil	Vargem Alegre	2017	87.8%	90.1%	85.0%
Brazil	Vargem Alta	2000	88.5%	90.1%	86.4%
Brazil	Vargem Alta	2017	88.4%	90.2%	86.1%
Brazil	Vargem Bonita	2000	95.4%	96.6%	93.9%
Brazil	Vargem Bonita	2000	85.5%	89.3%	81.3%
Brazil	Vargem Bonita	2017	88.4%	91.6%	84.7%
Brazil	Vargem Bonita	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.4%
Brazil	Vargem Grande	2000	55.0%	60.1%	49.5%
Brazil	Vargem Grande	2017	61.2%	67.4%	54.6%
Brazil	Vargem Grande do Rio Pardo	2000	83.9%	88.0%	79.2%
Brazil	Vargem Grande do Rio Pardo	2017	87.2%	90.7%	83.0%
Brazil	Vargem Grande do Sul	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.9%
Brazil	Vargem Grande do Sul	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.0%
Brazil	Vargem Grande Paulista	2000	95.5%	96.2%	94.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Vargem Grande Paulista	2017	96.7%	97.3%	96.2%
Brazil	Varginha	2000	86.0%	88.1%	83.4%
Brazil	Varginha	2017	88.8%	90.5%	86.5%
Brazil	Varjao	2000	91.6%	93.0%	89.8%
Brazil	Varjao	2017	88.5%	90.7%	85.6%
Brazil	Varjão de Minas	2000	87.1%	90.9%	82.9%
Brazil	Varjão de Minas	2017	89.4%	92.7%	85.7%
Brazil	Varjota	2000	76.2%	79.3%	72.9%
Brazil	Varjota	2017	76.5%	80.0%	72.5%
Brazil	Varre-Sai	2000	89.8%	91.8%	87.5%
Brazil	Varre-Sai	2017	91.2%	93.1%	89.2%
Brazil	Várzea	2000	85.3%	87.3%	83.5%
Brazil	Várzea	2017	86.8%	88.5%	85.0%
Brazil	Várzea Alegre	2000	79.2%	82.0%	77.0%
Brazil	Várzea Alegre	2017	79.2%	82.4%	76.4%
Brazil	Várzea Branca	2000	64.9%	71.7%	57.8%
Brazil	Várzea Branca	2017	72.5%	78.7%	65.8%
Brazil	Várzea da Palma	2000	84.3%	88.6%	80.2%
Brazil	Várzea da Palma	2017	88.7%	92.1%	85.5%
Brazil	Várzea da Roça	2000	75.3%	79.1%	71.8%
Brazil	Várzea da Roça	2017	77.5%	81.1%	74.0%
Brazil	Várzea do Poço	2000	76.0%	79.5%	72.8%
Brazil	Várzea do Poço	2017	78.1%	81.6%	75.0%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2000	59.6%	66.5%	52.3%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2000	90.9%	92.0%	89.6%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2017	70.8%	77.5%	63.5%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2017	89.0%	90.3%	87.5%
Brazil	Várzea Nova	2000	75.9%	80.0%	71.9%
Brazil	Várzea Nova	2017	78.7%	82.2%	74.4%
Brazil	Várzea Paulista	2000	95.8%	96.5%	95.1%
Brazil	Várzea Paulista	2017	96.9%	97.4%	96.4%
Brazil	Varzedo	2000	74.0%	77.3%	71.2%
Brazil	Varzedo	2017	76.7%	80.1%	73.4%
Brazil	Varzelândia	2000	86.3%	89.6%	82.5%
Brazil	Varzelândia	2017	88.8%	91.6%	85.5%
Brazil	Vassouras	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Vassouras	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Venâncio Aires	2000	93.8%	95.0%	92.5%
Brazil	Venâncio Aires	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Venceslau Bras	2000	93.6%	95.3%	91.6%
Brazil	Venceslau Bras	2017	93.6%	95.5%	91.7%
Brazil	Venda Nova do Imigrante	2000	89.1%	90.9%	86.9%
Brazil	Venda Nova do Imigrante	2017	89.3%	91.3%	87.0%
Brazil	Venha-Ver	2000	84.9%	87.2%	82.1%
Brazil	Venha-Ver	2017	85.1%	87.6%	82.1%
Brazil	Ventania	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.3%
Brazil	Ventania	2017	93.7%	95.2%	91.7%
Brazil	Venturosa	2000	77.3%	80.1%	74.6%
Brazil	Venturosa	2017	74.6%	78.1%	71.9%
Brazil	Vera	2000	90.9%	93.4%	88.1%
Brazil	Vera	2017	88.8%	92.1%	85.2%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	72.2%	74.6%	69.4%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	86.1%	87.8%	84.0%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	97.0%	97.8%	95.9%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	75.0%	77.9%	71.7%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	88.2%	89.8%	86.4%
Brazil	Vera Cruz do Oeste	2000	94.4%	95.7%	92.8%
Brazil	Vera Cruz do Oeste	2017	93.9%	95.4%	92.1%
Brazil	Vera Mendes	2000	62.5%	68.8%	56.7%
Brazil	Vera Mendes	2017	70.6%	77.2%	63.7%
Brazil	Veranópolis	2000	94.4%	95.4%	93.0%
Brazil	Veranópolis	2017	94.6%	95.7%	93.2%
Brazil	Verdejante	2000	77.9%	80.7%	74.9%
Brazil	Verdejante	2017	76.9%	80.6%	72.7%
Brazil	Verdelândia	2000	84.7%	88.5%	81.0%
Brazil	Verdelândia	2017	88.0%	91.1%	84.8%
Brazil	Verê	2000	93.0%	94.6%	91.2%
Brazil	Verê	2017	92.6%	94.3%	90.6%
Brazil	Vereda	2000	78.3%	82.0%	74.9%
Brazil	Vereda	2017	80.3%	83.8%	76.2%
Brazil	Veredinha	2000	85.2%	89.1%	81.2%
Brazil	Veredinha	2017	88.1%	91.5%	84.6%
Brazil	Veríssimo	2000	85.7%	88.7%	82.0%
Brazil	Veríssimo	2017	88.4%	90.9%	84.9%
Brazil	Vermelho Novo	2000	86.9%	89.4%	84.1%
Brazil	Vermelho Novo	2017	89.2%	91.5%	86.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Vertente do Lério	2000	76.2%	78.8%	73.5%
Brazil	Vertente do Lério	2017	75.0%	78.2%	71.9%
Brazil	Vertentes	2000	81.0%	82.8%	78.8%
Brazil	Vertentes	2017	80.4%	82.9%	77.9%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2000	85.7%	87.0%	84.3%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2017	89.2%	90.2%	87.9%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2000	94.4%	95.7%	93.3%
Brazil	Correa Vespasiano	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Brazil	Viadutos	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.3%
Brazil	Viadutos	2017	94.5%	95.6%	92.9%
Brazil	Viamão	2000	94.6%	95.3%	93.9%
Brazil	Viamão	2017	95.0%	95.6%	94.3%
Brazil	Viana	2000	85.5%	87.0%	83.8%
Brazil	Viana	2000	56.3%	60.9%	51.0%
Brazil	Viana	2017	85.2%	86.8%	83.3%
Brazil	Viana	2017	61.5%	66.7%	54.7%
Brazil	Vianópolis	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.5%
Brazil	Vianópolis	2017	89.8%	92.0%	87.5%
Brazil	Vicência	2000	78.7%	80.7%	76.8%
Brazil	Vicência	2017	77.2%	79.4%	74.7%
Brazil	Vicente Dutra	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.5%
Brazil	Vicente Dutra	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Vicentina	2000	93.5%	94.9%	92.1%
Brazil	Vicentina	2017	89.2%	91.7%	86.7%
Brazil	Vicentinópolis	2000	91.9%	93.7%	90.1%
Brazil	Vicentinópolis	2017	89.4%	92.0%	86.9%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	81.2%	83.7%	78.9%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	86.6%	89.3%	83.6%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	85.5%	88.0%	83.1%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	76.3%	79.5%	73.2%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	87.2%	89.8%	84.0%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	88.4%	90.4%	86.5%
Brazil	Viçosa do Ceará	2000	75.2%	78.2%	72.1%
Brazil	Viçosa do Ceará	2017	76.9%	80.5%	73.4%
Brazil	Victor Graeff	2000	93.8%	95.3%	92.2%
Brazil	Victor Graeff	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.8%
Brazil	Victorino Freire	2000	56.1%	61.9%	51.5%
Brazil	Victorino Freire	2017	62.0%	68.3%	56.2%
Brazil	Vidal Ramos	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.2%
Brazil	Vidal Ramos	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
Brazil	Videira	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.6%
Brazil	Videira	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Brazil	Vieiras	2000	89.4%	91.5%	86.8%
Brazil	Vieiras	2017	91.2%	93.1%	89.2%
Brazil	Vieirópolis	2000	83.4%	86.1%	80.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Vieirópolis	2017	83.8%	86.9%	80.6%
Brazil	Vigia	2000	87.8%	89.9%	85.3%
Brazil	Vigia	2017	86.8%	89.2%	84.1%
Brazil	Vila Alta	2000	93.6%	95.0%	91.7%
Brazil	Vila Alta	2017	91.8%	93.9%	89.3%
Brazil	Vila Boa	2000	89.7%	91.9%	86.9%
Brazil	Vila Boa	2017	86.4%	89.6%	82.5%
Brazil	Vila Flor	2000	84.7%	87.4%	81.6%
Brazil	Vila Flor	2017	85.8%	88.6%	82.6%
Brazil	Vila Flores	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.2%
Brazil	Vila Flores	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Brazil	Vila Lângaro	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.4%
Brazil	Vila Lângaro	2017	94.3%	95.5%	93.0%
Brazil	Vila Maria	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.6%
Brazil	Vila Maria	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.0%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Piauí	2000	66.7%	71.5%	61.4%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Piauí	2017	72.0%	77.1%	65.9%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Sul	2000	94.4%	96.1%	92.3%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Sul	2017	94.7%	96.3%	92.8%
Brazil	Vila Nova dos Martírios	2000	76.4%	80.1%	72.8%
Brazil	Vila Nova dos Martírios	2017	73.1%	77.9%	68.8%
Brazil	Vila Pavão	2000	84.3%	86.9%	81.5%
Brazil	Vila Pavão	2017	85.1%	87.7%	82.1%
Brazil	Vila Propício	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.9%
Brazil	Vila Propício	2017	90.0%	92.1%	87.8%
Brazil	Vila Rica	2000	87.5%	91.6%	82.9%
Brazil	Vila Rica	2017	86.1%	90.3%	81.2%
Brazil	Vila Valério	2000	86.4%	88.8%	84.1%
Brazil	Vila Valério	2017	87.0%	89.3%	84.3%
Brazil	Vila Velha	2000	85.4%	87.0%	83.5%
Brazil	Vila Velha	2017	85.3%	86.9%	83.5%
Brazil	Vilhena	2000	91.8%	94.2%	89.3%
Brazil	Vilhena	2017	90.8%	93.1%	88.0%
Brazil	Vinhedo	2000	95.0%	95.8%	94.2%
Brazil	Vinhedo	2017	96.3%	96.9%	95.7%
Brazil	Viradouro	2000	93.8%	95.3%	91.9%
Brazil	Viradouro	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Virgem da Lapa	2000	83.7%	87.0%	79.7%
Brazil	Virgem da Lapa	2017	87.1%	90.1%	83.6%
Brazil	Virgínia	2000	91.6%	93.1%	89.7%
Brazil	Virgínia	2017	93.2%	94.6%	91.6%
Brazil	Virginópolis	2000	87.0%	89.8%	84.0%
Brazil	Virginópolis	2017	89.5%	91.9%	86.7%
Brazil	Virgolândia	2000	85.6%	88.9%	82.3%
Brazil	Virgolândia	2017	88.4%	91.2%	85.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Virmond	2000	93.6%	95.2%	91.8%
Brazil	Virmond	2017	93.0%	94.8%	90.9%
Brazil	Visconde do Rio Branco	2000	85.0%	87.5%	82.6%
Brazil	Visconde do Rio Branco	2017	87.9%	90.0%	85.8%
Brazil	Viseu	2000	81.2%	84.2%	78.0%
Brazil	Viseu	2017	81.4%	84.7%	78.0%
Brazil	Vista Alegre	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Vista Alegre	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.4%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Alto	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.0%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Alto	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Prata	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.7%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Prata	2017	94.6%	96.0%	93.1%
Brazil	Vista Gaúcha	2000	94.1%	95.7%	92.5%
Brazil	Vista Gaúcha	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.1%
Brazil	Vitor Meireles	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.2%
Brazil	Vitor Meireles	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Brazil	Vitoria	2000	85.8%	87.2%	84.0%
Brazil	Vitoria	2017	85.9%	87.3%	84.2%
Brazil	Vitória Brasil	2000	95.1%	96.6%	93.6%
Brazil	Vitória Brasil	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.6%
Brazil	Vitória da Conquista	2000	74.5%	77.0%	71.6%
Brazil	Vitória da Conquista	2017	76.9%	79.5%	74.5%
Brazil	Vitória das Misses	2000	94.3%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Vitória das Misses	2017	94.6%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Vitória de Santo Antão	2000	78.9%	80.9%	77.2%
Brazil	Vitória de Santo Antão	2017	77.5%	79.8%	75.3%
Brazil	Vitória do Jari	2000	90.5%	93.7%	87.1%
Brazil	Vitória do Jari	2017	89.5%	93.2%	85.8%
Brazil	Vitória do Mearim	2000	55.0%	60.6%	50.1%
Brazil	Vitória do Mearim	2017	61.2%	67.6%	55.2%
Brazil	Vitória do Xingu	2000	87.6%	90.7%	83.2%
Brazil	Vitória do Xingu	2017	87.6%	91.2%	83.1%
Brazil	Vitorino	2000	94.5%	95.9%	93.0%
Brazil	Vitorino	2017	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Brazil	Volta Grande	2000	89.5%	91.9%	87.1%
Brazil	Volta Grande	2017	91.6%	93.7%	89.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Volta Redonda	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.7%
Brazil	Volta Redonda	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.1%
Brazil	Votorantim	2000	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Brazil	Votorantim	2017	96.7%	97.3%	96.0%
Brazil	Votuporanga	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.3%
Brazil	Votuporanga	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Brazil	Wagner	2000	74.7%	79.4%	70.3%
Brazil	Wagner	2017	76.6%	81.1%	72.2%
Brazil	Wall Ferraz	2000	57.9%	64.6%	51.3%
Brazil	Wall Ferraz	2017	69.4%	75.4%	62.2%
Brazil	Wanderlândia	2000	72.5%	76.0%	68.6%
Brazil	Wanderlândia	2017	63.3%	67.2%	58.7%
Brazil	Wenceslau Braz	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
Brazil	Wenceslau Braz	2017	93.8%	95.0%	92.3%
Brazil	Wenceslau Guimarães	2000	74.9%	77.8%	71.4%
Brazil	Wenceslau Guimarães	2017	77.8%	80.7%	74.1%
Brazil	Witmarsum	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.1%
Brazil	Witmarsum	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Brazil	Xambioá	2000	78.6%	82.1%	75.3%
Brazil	Xambioá	2017	74.2%	78.5%	70.1%
Brazil	Xambrê	2000	94.2%	95.5%	92.6%
Brazil	Xambrê	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.4%
Brazil	Xangri-lá	2000	94.4%	95.8%	92.7%
Brazil	Xangri-lá	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.3%
Brazil	Xanxerê	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Brazil	Xanxerê	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Brazil	Xapuri	2000	77.0%	81.4%	71.2%
Brazil	Xapuri	2017	78.3%	82.5%	72.7%
Brazil	Xavantina	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.7%
Brazil	Xavantina	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.1%
Brazil	Xaxim	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.4%
Brazil	Xaxim	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.7%
Brazil	Xexéu	2000	80.0%	82.1%	77.7%
Brazil	Xexéu	2017	77.1%	79.9%	74.6%
Brazil	Xinguara	2000	88.6%	90.8%	85.4%
Brazil	Xinguara	2017	87.9%	90.3%	84.4%
Brazil	Xique-Xique	2000	71.9%	77.2%	66.8%
Brazil	Xique-Xique	2017	75.9%	80.7%	70.7%
Brazil	Zabelê	2000	78.2%	80.8%	75.3%
Brazil	Zabelê	2017	76.2%	79.7%	72.8%
Brazil	Zacarias	2000	95.6%	96.9%	93.8%
Brazil	Zacarias	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.5%
Brazil	Zé Doca	2000	63.4%	69.3%	58.4%
Brazil	Zé Doca	2017	67.5%	73.5%	61.7%
Brazil	Zortéa	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.1%
Brazil	Zortéa	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.7%
Colombia	Abejorral	2000	80.5%	87.2%	71.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Abejorral	2017	74.4%	83.3%	63.8%
Colombia	Abrego	2000	76.1%	86.7%	63.1%
Colombia	Abrego	2017	72.3%	84.4%	54.4%
Colombia	Abriaquí	2000	82.0%	91.7%	68.3%
Colombia	Abriaquí	2017	74.5%	87.9%	53.0%
Colombia	Acacías	2000	95.6%	97.4%	93.3%
Colombia	Acacías	2017	92.3%	94.3%	89.5%
Colombia	Acandí	2000	44.3%	57.6%	33.1%
Colombia	Acandí	2017	37.1%	50.8%	24.7%
Colombia	Acevedo	2000	85.0%	91.6%	77.8%
Colombia	Acevedo	2017	67.9%	81.3%	51.0%
Colombia	Achí	2000	83.7%	89.8%	76.6%
Colombia	Achí	2017	66.4%	78.5%	53.6%
Colombia	Agrado	2000	80.9%	87.6%	73.2%
Colombia	Agrado	2017	61.5%	77.9%	44.9%
Colombia	Agua de Dios	2000	81.5%	88.9%	73.4%
Colombia	Agua de Dios	2017	72.0%	82.4%	58.8%
Colombia	Aguachica	2000	70.2%	75.3%	64.9%
Colombia	Aguachica	2017	62.6%	66.7%	57.5%
Colombia	Aguada	2000	81.7%	90.4%	71.9%
Colombia	Aguada	2017	77.3%	87.3%	63.7%
Colombia	Aguadas	2000	87.7%	94.3%	79.5%
Colombia	Aguadas	2017	78.5%	89.4%	64.7%
Colombia	Aguazul	2000	98.1%	99.3%	96.1%
Colombia	Aguazul	2017	98.0%	99.1%	96.3%
Colombia	Agustín Codazzi	2000	71.7%	76.9%	66.1%
Colombia	Agustín Codazzi	2017	63.8%	69.6%	57.7%
Colombia	Aipe	2000	87.0%	91.4%	81.1%
Colombia	Aipe	2017	79.2%	87.6%	66.6%
Colombia	Albán	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.4%
Colombia	Albán	2000	86.4%	91.8%	77.9%
Colombia	Albán	2017	72.8%	76.9%	68.6%
Colombia	Albán	2017	81.2%	89.0%	69.4%
Colombia	Albania	2000	81.1%	88.6%	72.0%
Colombia	Albania	2000	81.7%	89.9%	71.1%
Colombia	Albania	2017	69.4%	82.2%	55.0%
Colombia	Albania	2017	73.9%	86.5%	55.9%
Colombia	Alcalá	2000	91.0%	96.1%	82.5%
Colombia	Alcalá	2017	89.9%	96.0%	79.2%
Colombia	Aldana	2000	94.6%	95.9%	92.7%
Colombia	Aldana	2017	55.8%	65.2%	45.9%
Colombia	Alejandría	2000	77.0%	87.9%	64.7%
Colombia	Alejandría	2017	74.0%	86.5%	58.4%
Colombia	Algeciras	2000	84.4%	90.5%	77.1%
Colombia	Algeciras	2017	67.5%	78.8%	51.8%
Colombia	Almaguer	2000	87.9%	90.9%	84.1%
Colombia	Almaguer	2017	53.3%	63.5%	42.9%
Colombia	Almeida	2000	82.4%	88.7%	73.3%
Colombia	Almeida	2017	67.0%	80.1%	50.3%
Colombia	Alpujarra	2000	86.0%	92.7%	75.9%
Colombia	Alpujarra	2017	74.7%	87.1%	59.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Altamira	2000	84.5%	89.1%	78.6%
Colombia	Altamira	2017	71.2%	81.0%	59.4%
Colombia	Alto Baudó	2000	36.4%	42.6%	30.6%
Colombia	Alto Baudó	2017	28.7%	34.8%	23.3%
Colombia	Altos del Rosario	2000	87.6%	91.5%	82.5%
Colombia	Altos del Rosario	2017	65.5%	77.0%	52.5%
Colombia	Alvarado	2000	87.0%	94.5%	78.1%
Colombia	Alvarado	2017	82.6%	92.9%	69.8%
Colombia	Amagá	2000	79.2%	85.5%	71.7%
Colombia	Amagá	2017	78.4%	85.0%	70.0%
Colombia	Amalfi	2000	76.1%	86.3%	63.9%
Colombia	Amalfi	2017	73.1%	85.8%	58.2%
Colombia	Ambalema	2000	80.7%	88.6%	70.4%
Colombia	Ambalema	2017	74.2%	85.4%	59.7%
Colombia	Anapoima	2000	85.4%	92.6%	76.1%
Colombia	Anapoima	2017	81.5%	90.2%	69.6%
Colombia	Ancuyá	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.1%
Colombia	Ancuyá	2017	60.6%	67.1%	54.4%
Colombia	Andalucía	2000	94.8%	96.5%	92.4%
Colombia	Andalucía	2017	91.4%	93.8%	88.4%
Colombia	Andes	2000	81.1%	86.7%	74.4%
Colombia	Andes	2017	74.3%	82.2%	66.6%
Colombia	Angelópolis	2000	77.7%	85.5%	69.0%
Colombia	Angelópolis	2017	72.6%	84.8%	59.1%
Colombia	Angostura	2000	77.4%	86.2%	67.0%
Colombia	Angostura	2017	73.6%	84.6%	60.0%
Colombia	Anolaima	2000	85.4%	91.8%	77.0%
Colombia	Anolaima	2017	80.2%	89.4%	66.7%
Colombia	Anorí	2000	75.6%	86.1%	60.6%
Colombia	Anorí	2017	74.2%	85.7%	57.3%
Colombia	Anserma	2000	92.7%	96.3%	88.1%
Colombia	Anserma	2017	84.7%	92.2%	73.0%
Colombia	Ansermanuevo	2000	92.5%	96.7%	85.6%
Colombia	Ansermanuevo	2017	84.3%	93.7%	68.9%
Colombia	Anzá	2000	78.0%	89.3%	64.0%
Colombia	Anzá	2017	75.9%	89.0%	56.8%
Colombia	Anzoátegui	2000	89.7%	93.9%	84.8%
Colombia	Anzoátegui	2017	79.7%	88.4%	70.6%
Colombia	Apartadó	2000	77.9%	83.3%	72.2%
Colombia	Apartadó	2017	74.0%	79.7%	67.7%
Colombia	Apía	2000	93.5%	97.5%	87.0%
Colombia	Apía	2017	87.3%	95.4%	74.4%
Colombia	Apulo	2000	83.7%	92.7%	72.7%
Colombia	Apulo	2017	77.7%	90.3%	61.4%
Colombia	Aquitania	2000	87.0%	92.4%	79.7%
Colombia	Aquitania	2017	75.9%	85.7%	61.5%
Colombia	Aracataca	2000	83.4%	86.2%	80.4%
Colombia	Aracataca	2017	66.4%	69.8%	61.9%
Colombia	Aranzazú	2000	93.1%	97.3%	86.8%
Colombia	Aranzazú	2017	81.7%	93.4%	65.0%
Colombia	Aratoca	2000	81.7%	90.9%	68.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Aratoca	2017	78.9%	90.8%	58.6%
Colombia	Arauca	2000	97.2%	98.6%	95.2%
Colombia	Arauca	2017	96.6%	97.8%	95.0%
Colombia	Arauquita	2000	96.8%	98.7%	93.5%
Colombia	Arauquita	2017	96.3%	98.1%	92.6%
Colombia	Arbeláez	2000	85.0%	91.7%	75.7%
Colombia	Arbeláez	2017	76.6%	85.9%	61.9%
Colombia	Arboleda	2000	95.8%	97.5%	93.7%
Colombia	Arboleda	2017	73.0%	84.0%	57.5%
Colombia	Arboledas	2000	78.9%	88.6%	66.2%
Colombia	Arboledas	2017	71.4%	85.3%	48.4%
Colombia	Arboletes	2000	82.6%	89.1%	74.9%
Colombia	Arboletes	2017	72.2%	83.2%	55.6%
Colombia	Arcabuco	2000	84.2%	91.9%	75.0%
Colombia	Arcabuco	2017	69.8%	86.0%	50.3%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	90.6%	93.2%	87.2%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	80.0%	89.0%	70.0%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	90.0%	96.5%	79.8%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	73.2%	84.4%	56.2%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	53.2%	62.0%	43.8%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	78.5%	93.1%	54.7%
Colombia	Ariguaní	2000	79.9%	84.3%	75.0%
Colombia	Ariguaní	2017	65.3%	72.1%	56.7%
Colombia	Arjona	2000	88.6%	92.7%	84.1%
Colombia	Arjona	2017	70.7%	80.2%	59.5%
Colombia	Armenia	2000	76.4%	89.4%	60.6%
Colombia	Armenia	2000	90.6%	92.7%	88.0%
Colombia	Armenia	2017	92.9%	94.4%	91.3%
Colombia	Armenia	2017	76.7%	91.2%	56.3%
Colombia	Armero	2000	80.8%	89.4%	68.9%
Colombia	Armero	2017	73.0%	86.0%	53.7%
Colombia	Astrea	2000	77.1%	85.8%	65.8%
Colombia	Astrea	2017	66.3%	80.9%	49.0%
Colombia	Ataco	2000	81.2%	88.4%	72.1%
Colombia	Ataco	2017	74.0%	83.4%	61.0%
Colombia	Ayapel	2000	84.2%	88.4%	80.2%
Colombia	Ayapel	2017	68.4%	75.2%	61.0%
Colombia	Bagadó	2000	52.3%	61.5%	42.5%
Colombia	Bagadó	2017	48.1%	59.8%	35.4%
Colombia	Bahía Solano	2000	43.9%	57.1%	32.7%
Colombia	Bahía Solano	2017	36.1%	49.7%	23.2%
Colombia	Bajo Baudó	2000	38.0%	46.6%	29.0%
Colombia	Bajo Baudó	2017	31.2%	41.8%	21.4%
Colombia	Balboa	2000	92.7%	97.8%	83.9%
Colombia	Balboa	2000	91.9%	94.3%	88.9%
Colombia	Balboa	2017	86.4%	96.1%	69.1%
Colombia	Balboa	2017	56.5%	68.3%	41.8%
Colombia	Baranoa	2000	96.1%	97.8%	93.7%
Colombia	Baranoa	2017	84.1%	90.8%	74.4%
Colombia	Baraya	2000	88.2%	96.0%	75.9%
Colombia	Baraya	2017	78.6%	91.3%	60.1%
Colombia	Barbacoas	2000	94.8%	96.4%	92.8%
Colombia	Barbacoas	2017	68.6%	76.6%	59.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Barbosa	2000	83.6%	89.1%	77.1%
Colombia	Barbosa	2000	75.3%	81.8%	67.6%
Colombia	Barbosa	2017	78.7%	85.2%	71.4%
Colombia	Barbosa	2017	73.9%	81.1%	65.5%
Colombia	Barichara	2000	81.5%	90.6%	69.5%
Colombia	Barichara	2017	79.1%	90.4%	63.1%
Colombia	Barranca de Upía	2000	95.5%	98.7%	90.5%
Colombia	Barranca de Upía	2017	94.1%	97.6%	89.0%
Colombia	Barrancabermeja	2000	85.1%	89.3%	80.4%
Colombia	Barrancabermeja	2017	82.8%	87.2%	75.7%
Colombia	Barrancas	2000	69.7%	75.5%	63.8%
Colombia	Barrancas	2017	58.3%	64.6%	51.8%
Colombia	Barranco de Loba	2000	87.0%	90.2%	83.0%
Colombia	Barranco de Loba	2017	64.7%	71.4%	57.4%
Colombia	Barranco Minas	2000	91.0%	93.4%	88.3%
Colombia	Barranco Minas	2017	77.3%	80.8%	73.3%
Colombia	Barranquilla	2000	96.3%	96.9%	95.7%
Colombia	Barranquilla	2017	86.1%	87.9%	84.0%
Colombia	Becerril	2000	71.1%	79.7%	60.9%
Colombia	Becerril	2017	60.2%	69.0%	50.9%
Colombia	Belalcázar	2000	92.7%	97.4%	86.5%
Colombia	Belalcázar	2017	86.9%	95.3%	74.7%
Colombia	Belén	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.8%
Colombia	Belén	2000	85.3%	94.1%	73.9%
Colombia	Belén	2017	78.7%	84.2%	72.2%
Colombia	Belén	2017	73.2%	90.8%	48.2%
Colombia	Belén de los Andaquies	2000	83.4%	88.5%	76.8%
Colombia	Belén de los Andaquies	2017	70.1%	77.9%	60.3%
Colombia	Belén de Umbria	2000	94.4%	96.4%	91.5%
Colombia	Belén de Umbria	2017	88.9%	92.8%	84.0%
Colombia	Bello	2000	72.9%	77.8%	67.6%
Colombia	Bello	2017	72.1%	77.4%	65.7%
Colombia	Belmira	2000	79.4%	88.3%	67.5%
Colombia	Belmira	2017	72.7%	84.9%	57.4%
Colombia	Beltrán	2000	81.7%	91.4%	68.2%
Colombia	Beltrán	2017	74.9%	89.7%	53.6%
Colombia	Berbeo	2000	78.5%	89.5%	65.8%
Colombia	Berbeo	2017	76.3%	89.2%	57.7%
Colombia	Betania	2000	76.4%	86.9%	63.5%
Colombia	Betania	2017	70.4%	84.2%	52.4%
Colombia	Beteitiva	2000	82.8%	91.5%	73.2%
Colombia	Beteitiva	2017	70.7%	86.2%	53.5%
Colombia	Betulia	2000	78.0%	87.1%	65.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Betulia	2000	82.6%	91.8%	70.2%
Colombia	Betulia	2017	75.5%	87.5%	60.3%
Colombia	Betulia	2017	78.5%	90.5%	62.2%
Colombia	Bituima	2000	85.3%	93.4%	73.4%
Colombia	Bituima	2017	79.1%	91.4%	60.1%
Colombia	Boavita	2000	80.7%	89.3%	70.2%
Colombia	Boavita	2017	73.1%	85.9%	56.1%
Colombia	Bochalema	2000	78.5%	83.6%	71.7%
Colombia	Bochalema	2017	73.2%	77.7%	67.9%
Colombia	Bojacá	2000	85.5%	89.5%	80.8%
Colombia	Bojacá	2017	78.3%	83.9%	70.7%
Colombia	Bojayá	2000	40.2%	51.0%	30.9%
Colombia	Bojayá	2017	35.1%	45.5%	25.3%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	90.5%	96.9%	81.9%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	84.0%	89.4%	78.0%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	74.1%	86.4%	58.8%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	89.3%	91.6%	86.8%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	80.9%	93.6%	60.9%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	78.4%	85.3%	69.4%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	58.6%	65.6%	51.2%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	71.3%	85.4%	54.3%
Colombia	Bosconia	2000	71.1%	81.1%	61.0%
Colombia	Bosconia	2017	62.0%	76.1%	46.9%
Colombia	Boyacá	2000	83.0%	88.3%	75.5%
Colombia	Boyacá	2017	73.3%	81.8%	60.9%
Colombia	Briceño	2000	77.9%	84.1%	71.3%
Colombia	Briceño	2000	77.7%	89.5%	62.6%
Colombia	Briceño	2017	75.0%	89.1%	57.7%
Colombia	Briceño	2017	65.9%	76.1%	52.1%
Colombia	Bucaramanga	2000	83.0%	85.1%	80.8%
Colombia	Bucaramanga	2017	82.4%	84.2%	80.5%
Colombia	Bucarasica	2000	83.1%	90.7%	72.7%
Colombia	Bucarasica	2017	78.1%	88.3%	65.0%
Colombia	Buenaventura	2000	92.8%	95.0%	90.4%
Colombia	Buenaventura	2017	88.1%	90.2%	85.4%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	81.4%	90.3%	70.4%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	88.1%	96.4%	75.1%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	86.8%	92.3%	79.6%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	83.7%	91.0%	74.2%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	70.7%	84.9%	54.0%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	69.9%	81.4%	56.7%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	67.8%	83.4%	44.8%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	88.2%	96.7%	73.3%
Colombia	Buenos Aires	2000	89.9%	93.4%	86.3%
Colombia	Buenos Aires	2017	55.3%	70.4%	40.4%
Colombia	Buesaco	2000	96.0%	98.0%	93.5%
Colombia	Buesaco	2017	79.8%	89.2%	68.5%
Colombia	Bugalagrande	2000	93.8%	96.3%	90.2%
Colombia	Bugalagrande	2017	89.7%	93.2%	84.7%
Colombia	Buriticá	2000	79.8%	88.7%	65.8%
Colombia	Buriticá	2017	75.7%	86.5%	61.5%
Colombia	Busbanza	2000	80.0%	85.5%	73.2%
Colombia	Busbanza	2017	67.2%	75.0%	56.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Cabrera	2000	93.6%	97.8%	85.8%
Colombia	Cabrera	2000	81.0%	90.0%	70.4%
Colombia	Cabrera	2017	85.4%	95.3%	68.7%
Colombia	Cabrera	2017	79.4%	89.9%	65.6%
Colombia	Cabuyaro	2000	95.1%	98.1%	89.1%
Colombia	Cabuyaro	2017	92.2%	96.3%	84.3%
Colombia	Cacahual	2000	90.1%	93.7%	85.3%
Colombia	Cacahual	2017	76.5%	82.9%	68.9%
Colombia	Cáceres	2000	79.8%	88.0%	70.1%
Colombia	Cáceres	2017	73.0%	83.3%	60.4%
Colombia	Cachipay	2000	84.1%	91.6%	75.1%
Colombia	Cachipay	2017	80.2%	89.6%	67.5%
Colombia	Cáchira	2000	79.2%	87.8%	69.4%
Colombia	Cáchira	2017	76.4%	86.2%	64.3%
Colombia	Cácota	2000	82.5%	88.7%	74.4%
Colombia	Cácota	2017	75.3%	83.8%	64.7%
Colombia	Caicedo	2000	80.7%	91.3%	67.4%
Colombia	Caicedo	2017	75.1%	89.0%	55.2%
Colombia	Caicedonia	2000	91.4%	97.4%	82.6%
Colombia	Caicedonia	2017	89.4%	97.0%	76.6%
Colombia	Caimito	2000	83.8%	91.0%	74.5%
Colombia	Caimito	2017	73.6%	85.0%	58.2%
Colombia	Cajamarca	2000	82.7%	88.7%	73.6%
Colombia	Cajamarca	2017	77.6%	85.7%	64.8%
Colombia	Cajibío	2000	85.2%	88.3%	81.5%
Colombia	Cajibío	2017	38.1%	48.8%	29.1%
Colombia	Cajicá	2000	85.5%	88.5%	82.3%
Colombia	Cajicá	2017	80.3%	84.0%	76.0%
Colombia	Calamar	2000	87.1%	93.2%	79.9%
Colombia	Calamar	2000	84.6%	89.7%	78.2%
Colombia	Calamar	2017	67.3%	83.6%	51.4%
Colombia	Calamar	2017	83.1%	87.5%	78.2%
Colombia	Calarcá	2000	88.3%	92.4%	82.8%
Colombia	Calarcá	2017	91.2%	94.3%	86.6%
Colombia	Caldas	2000	77.3%	82.2%	73.0%
Colombia	Caldas	2000	82.0%	88.9%	74.2%
Colombia	Caldas	2017	72.8%	77.8%	67.2%
Colombia	Caldas	2017	67.1%	80.1%	51.4%
Colombia	Caldonó	2000	88.2%	90.9%	84.2%
Colombia	Caldonó	2017	54.0%	64.5%	44.1%
Colombia	California	2000	85.3%	94.3%	70.6%
Colombia	California	2017	78.2%	92.0%	52.4%
Colombia	Calima	2000	93.4%	97.5%	87.1%
Colombia	Calima	2017	86.0%	94.2%	73.4%
Colombia	Caloto	2000	90.5%	92.1%	88.3%
Colombia	Caloto	2017	60.2%	65.4%	54.8%
Colombia	Campamento	2000	76.7%	83.4%	69.3%
Colombia	Campamento	2017	75.6%	81.4%	68.0%
Colombia	Campo de la Cruz	2000	88.7%	94.8%	80.5%
Colombia	Campo de la Cruz	2017	71.3%	88.0%	48.7%
Colombia	Campoalegre	2000	83.8%	89.2%	77.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Campoalegre	2017	72.8%	81.8%	59.3%
Colombia	Campohermoso	2000	77.7%	86.7%	67.4%
Colombia	Campohermoso	2017	68.6%	78.8%	55.4%
Colombia	Canalete	2000	85.2%	90.0%	79.4%
Colombia	Canalete	2017	69.4%	79.8%	57.6%
Colombia	Cañasgordas	2000	81.5%	90.6%	71.2%
Colombia	Cañasgordas	2017	76.7%	86.3%	64.8%
Colombia	Candelaria	2000	94.5%	96.4%	91.6%
Colombia	Candelaria	2000	91.6%	95.9%	85.0%
Colombia	Candelaria	2017	89.2%	93.3%	82.5%
Colombia	Candelaria	2017	77.7%	89.4%	59.3%
Colombia	Cantagallo	2000	84.5%	91.0%	75.5%
Colombia	Cantagallo	2017	74.0%	85.7%	57.1%
Colombia	Caparrapí	2000	89.9%	93.5%	85.3%
Colombia	Caparrapí	2017	84.5%	89.8%	78.0%
Colombia	Capitanejo	2000	78.2%	86.1%	69.1%
Colombia	Capitanejo	2017	77.2%	86.2%	66.5%
Colombia	Cáqueza	2000	86.3%	91.9%	78.1%
Colombia	Cáqueza	2017	82.9%	89.7%	71.4%
Colombia	Caracolí	2000	79.6%	88.1%	69.5%
Colombia	Caracolí	2017	74.9%	85.7%	58.8%
Colombia	Caramanta	2000	89.7%	95.0%	82.9%
Colombia	Caramanta	2017	78.5%	89.6%	64.0%
Colombia	Carcasí	2000	84.1%	91.9%	74.6%
Colombia	Carcasí	2017	78.7%	89.2%	65.1%
Colombia	Carepa	2000	75.7%	83.5%	66.7%
Colombia	Carepa	2017	72.1%	81.3%	61.2%
Colombia	Carmen de Apicalá	2000	84.4%	89.6%	78.0%
Colombia	Carmen de Apicalá	2017	77.7%	84.7%	66.2%
Colombia	Carmen de Carupa	2000	87.3%	93.6%	79.9%
Colombia	Carmen de Carupa	2017	76.9%	88.3%	65.4%
Colombia	Carolina del Príncipe	2000	76.1%	91.1%	58.1%
Colombia	Carolina del Príncipe	2017	71.5%	90.1%	48.0%
Colombia	Cartagena de Indias	2000	87.3%	89.2%	85.1%
Colombia	Cartagena de Indias	2017	65.1%	68.1%	62.2%
Colombia	Cartagena del Chairá	2000	81.6%	86.1%	76.7%
Colombia	Cartagena del Chairá	2017	71.5%	75.1%	67.6%
Colombia	Cartago	2000	93.4%	95.5%	90.5%
Colombia	Cartago	2017	90.9%	93.7%	87.6%
Colombia	Carurú	2000	81.1%	87.7%	73.3%
Colombia	Carurú	2017	79.9%	85.2%	73.2%
Colombia	Casabianca	2000	86.3%	93.2%	77.5%
Colombia	Casabianca	2017	72.7%	86.0%	55.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Castilla Nueva	2000	96.5%	98.5%	93.7%
Colombia	Castilla Nueva	2017	93.3%	96.5%	88.4%
Colombia	Caucasia	2000	81.9%	87.0%	75.8%
Colombia	Caucasia	2017	73.9%	80.7%	65.7%
Colombia	Cepitá	2000	80.2%	90.2%	67.5%
Colombia	Cepitá	2017	80.1%	90.7%	64.7%
Colombia	Cereté	2000	84.6%	87.0%	82.3%
Colombia	Cereté	2017	63.8%	68.6%	58.5%
Colombia	Ceranza	2000	87.8%	94.4%	79.4%
Colombia	Ceranza	2017	73.7%	88.4%	55.4%
Colombia	Cerrito	2000	88.7%	96.0%	77.9%
Colombia	Cerrito	2017	82.3%	93.2%	65.8%
Colombia	Cerro de San Antonio	2000	84.6%	91.5%	76.9%
Colombia	Cerro de San Antonio	2017	64.6%	81.0%	43.7%
Colombia	Chachagüí	2000	96.6%	98.4%	93.9%
Colombia	Chachagüí	2017	82.5%	91.1%	68.0%
Colombia	Chaguaní	2000	81.8%	91.8%	68.6%
Colombia	Chaguaní	2017	76.1%	90.2%	55.1%
Colombia	Chalán	2000	81.3%	90.8%	69.6%
Colombia	Chalán	2017	70.3%	86.3%	51.7%
Colombia	Chámeza	2000	89.0%	96.0%	79.7%
Colombia	Chámeza	2017	87.7%	95.6%	75.5%
Colombia	Chaparral	2000	80.6%	86.4%	74.5%
Colombia	Chaparral	2017	73.6%	80.7%	66.4%
Colombia	Charalá	2000	84.5%	90.8%	76.9%
Colombia	Charalá	2017	80.1%	87.0%	71.6%
Colombia	Charta	2000	85.8%	92.8%	77.4%
Colombia	Charta	2017	82.4%	91.5%	69.2%
Colombia	Chía	2000	84.9%	88.5%	80.9%
Colombia	Chía	2017	82.1%	86.1%	78.2%
Colombia	Chigorodó	2000	73.4%	79.7%	66.7%
Colombia	Chigorodó	2017	69.8%	75.6%	62.2%
Colombia	Chimá	2000	84.3%	93.6%	71.7%
Colombia	Chimá	2000	86.0%	91.3%	79.5%
Colombia	Chimá	2017	63.3%	78.7%	47.3%
Colombia	Chimá	2017	79.5%	92.4%	62.9%
Colombia	Chimichagua	2000	77.6%	84.1%	70.6%
Colombia	Chimichagua	2017	70.7%	78.7%	61.4%
Colombia	Chinácota	2000	82.4%	88.0%	76.3%
Colombia	Chinácota	2017	79.7%	85.1%	73.7%
Colombia	Chinavita	2000	79.9%	86.9%	72.5%
Colombia	Chinavita	2017	68.5%	80.0%	54.2%
Colombia	Chinchiná	2000	89.4%	95.0%	80.3%
Colombia	Chinchiná	2017	83.1%	92.3%	66.1%
Colombia	Chinú	2000	83.7%	87.8%	79.7%
Colombia	Chinú	2017	64.2%	72.0%	56.1%
Colombia	Chipaque	2000	87.9%	94.4%	79.1%
Colombia	Chipaque	2017	79.4%	91.8%	64.2%
Colombia	Chipatá	2000	82.6%	90.0%	73.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Chipatá	2017	77.3%	86.9%	66.7%
Colombia	Chiquinquirá	2000	85.6%	88.7%	81.9%
Colombia	Chiquinquirá	2017	77.7%	81.3%	73.6%
Colombia	Chíquiza	2000	80.1%	89.0%	70.2%
Colombia	Chíquiza	2017	68.0%	83.0%	49.3%
Colombia	Chiriguaná	2000	72.2%	81.6%	61.6%
Colombia	Chiriguaná	2017	66.7%	77.8%	55.4%
Colombia	Chiscas	2000	87.4%	92.4%	80.1%
Colombia	Chiscas	2017	79.3%	87.6%	67.4%
Colombia	Chita	2000	90.5%	96.3%	81.9%
Colombia	Chita	2017	83.6%	93.6%	68.0%
Colombia	Chitagá	2000	87.0%	93.7%	77.6%
Colombia	Chitagá	2017	82.0%	91.1%	69.8%
Colombia	Chitaraque	2000	80.4%	88.7%	68.7%
Colombia	Chitaraque	2017	68.9%	82.6%	52.9%
Colombia	Chivatá	2000	81.8%	86.5%	76.3%
Colombia	Chivatá	2017	66.9%	75.7%	56.1%
Colombia	Chivolo	2000	81.3%	87.2%	74.6%
Colombia	Chivolo	2017	64.7%	75.2%	53.5%
Colombia	Chivor	2000	80.4%	91.4%	63.2%
Colombia	Chivor	2017	69.7%	88.6%	39.1%
Colombia	Choachí	2000	94.0%	97.3%	89.1%
Colombia	Choachí	2017	90.3%	95.9%	83.0%
Colombia	Chocontá	2000	84.9%	91.2%	76.7%
Colombia	Chocontá	2017	75.9%	83.2%	65.3%
Colombia	Cicuco	2000	86.6%	89.6%	83.4%
Colombia	Cicuco	2017	67.2%	73.0%	61.1%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2000	81.0%	84.4%	77.2%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2000	82.1%	89.9%	72.6%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2017	57.8%	63.5%	52.0%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2017	70.3%	83.2%	52.8%
Colombia	Ciénaga de Oro	2000	85.6%	88.9%	80.5%
Colombia	Ciénaga de Oro	2017	65.9%	74.2%	56.1%
Colombia	Cimitarra	2000	82.8%	88.5%	76.0%
Colombia	Cimitarra	2017	79.4%	85.2%	72.4%
Colombia	Circasia	2000	89.0%	93.7%	82.2%
Colombia	Circasia	2017	89.7%	94.7%	81.8%
Colombia	Cisneros	2000	75.7%	86.4%	61.3%
Colombia	Cisneros	2017	73.1%	86.5%	54.9%
Colombia	Cocorná	2000	79.1%	88.6%	66.8%
Colombia	Cocorná	2017	78.5%	88.7%	66.2%
Colombia	Coello	2000	85.1%	92.3%	76.8%
Colombia	Coello	2017	80.3%	90.8%	66.8%
Colombia	Cogua	2000	88.3%	93.1%	82.5%
Colombia	Cogua	2017	82.5%	89.1%	74.0%
Colombia	Colombia	2000	91.8%	95.7%	84.3%
Colombia	Colombia	2017	81.7%	88.9%	70.7%
Colombia	Colón	2000	94.6%	96.5%	92.4%
Colombia	Colón	2000	91.2%	94.2%	87.4%
Colombia	Colón	2017	76.3%	84.4%	67.8%
Colombia	Colón	2017	82.2%	87.2%	76.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Colosó	2000	83.3%	90.5%	73.6%
Colombia	Colosó	2017	71.4%	84.2%	55.3%
Colombia	Cómbita	2000	79.6%	83.6%	75.4%
Colombia	Cómbita	2017	72.4%	77.4%	66.2%
Colombia	Concepción	2000	85.3%	91.5%	77.7%
Colombia	Concepción	2000	77.2%	87.8%	65.2%
Colombia	Concepción	2017	81.2%	88.8%	70.8%
Colombia	Concepción	2017	72.6%	86.7%	52.7%
Colombia	Concordia	2000	76.9%	85.0%	69.1%
Colombia	Concordia	2017	74.7%	83.9%	65.3%
Colombia	Condoto	2000	38.0%	48.0%	30.2%
Colombia	Condoto	2017	35.8%	47.5%	23.9%
Colombia	Confines	2000	82.2%	90.7%	68.5%
Colombia	Confines	2017	77.4%	89.3%	58.9%
Colombia	Consacá	2000	93.2%	95.8%	90.1%
Colombia	Consacá	2017	68.3%	82.8%	51.8%
Colombia	Contadero	2000	93.8%	96.0%	91.2%
Colombia	Contadero	2017	58.6%	71.1%	45.8%
Colombia	Contratación	2000	83.5%	92.6%	72.2%
Colombia	Contratación	2017	78.8%	90.7%	59.7%
Colombia	Convención	2000	75.0%	82.2%	66.8%
Colombia	Convención	2017	72.3%	79.2%	63.2%
Colombia	Copacabana	2000	74.2%	82.7%	63.6%
Colombia	Copacabana	2017	72.6%	83.5%	57.8%
Colombia	Coper	2000	83.7%	91.9%	72.5%
Colombia	Coper	2017	74.8%	88.4%	52.9%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	93.9%	95.7%	91.9%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	86.5%	95.7%	73.3%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	84.3%	91.4%	74.9%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	62.5%	73.7%	52.1%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	85.7%	95.8%	69.9%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	67.3%	81.1%	49.6%
Colombia	Corinto	2000	91.4%	94.6%	87.9%
Colombia	Corinto	2017	74.0%	81.5%	64.7%
Colombia	Coromoro	2000	86.2%	93.1%	74.5%
Colombia	Coromoro	2017	78.1%	88.1%	61.0%
Colombia	Corozal	2000	80.5%	85.1%	75.4%
Colombia	Corozal	2017	68.2%	75.7%	60.6%
Colombia	Corrales	2000	79.4%	82.7%	75.6%
Colombia	Corrales	2017	66.3%	69.8%	62.1%
Colombia	Cota	2000	91.4%	94.2%	87.6%
Colombia	Cota	2017	91.7%	94.3%	88.1%
Colombia	Covarachía	2000	81.5%	88.3%	73.8%
Colombia	Covarachía	2017	78.3%	86.6%	67.9%
Colombia	Coyaima	2000	80.4%	88.3%	72.4%
Colombia	Coyaima	2017	71.2%	83.7%	55.5%
Colombia	Cravo Norte	2000	95.6%	98.4%	90.2%
Colombia	Cravo Norte	2017	90.7%	95.4%	84.7%
Colombia	Cuaspud	2000	94.5%	95.7%	92.6%
Colombia	Cuaspud	2017	60.4%	65.5%	53.9%
Colombia	Cubará	2000	88.9%	95.5%	75.2%
Colombia	Cubará	2017	87.6%	95.3%	74.0%
Colombia	Cucaita	2000	85.5%	91.0%	80.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Cucaita	2017	79.9%	87.6%	70.3%
Colombia	Cucunubá	2000	87.5%	92.4%	79.6%
Colombia	Cucunubá	2017	80.5%	87.2%	71.5%
Colombia	Cucutilla	2000	81.3%	90.6%	69.2%
Colombia	Cucutilla	2017	74.8%	87.6%	58.8%
Colombia	Cútiva	2000	83.9%	91.1%	75.1%
Colombia	Cútiva	2017	71.2%	85.0%	53.6%
Colombia	Cumaral	2000	94.6%	97.3%	89.4%
Colombia	Cumaral	2017	91.5%	95.8%	83.9%
Colombia	Cumaribo	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.5%
Colombia	Cumaribo	2017	86.9%	89.4%	84.2%
Colombia	Cumbal	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.0%
Colombia	Cumbal	2017	73.3%	80.2%	64.1%
Colombia	Cumbitara	2000	96.6%	98.3%	94.4%
Colombia	Cumbitara	2017	71.8%	85.0%	55.1%
Colombia	Cunday	2000	86.4%	93.0%	78.1%
Colombia	Cunday	2017	80.0%	90.1%	67.2%
Colombia	Curillo	2000	82.1%	88.9%	73.8%
Colombia	Curillo	2017	73.1%	83.5%	60.5%
Colombia	Curití	2000	82.1%	90.7%	72.3%
Colombia	Curití	2017	78.7%	89.7%	65.0%
Colombia	Curumaní	2000	68.4%	75.7%	59.9%
Colombia	Curumaní	2017	61.5%	70.2%	52.5%
Colombia	Dabeiba	2000	77.7%	87.1%	67.7%
Colombia	Dabeiba	2017	73.2%	82.1%	61.4%
Colombia	Dagua	2000	95.6%	98.0%	90.8%
Colombia	Dagua	2017	90.0%	95.7%	81.1%
Colombia	Dolores	2000	88.9%	95.6%	79.6%
Colombia	Dolores	2017	79.4%	92.0%	61.4%
Colombia	Don Matías	2000	76.2%	81.9%	70.1%
Colombia	Don Matías	2017	68.9%	75.6%	62.1%
Colombia	Dosquebradas	2000	90.5%	94.1%	85.1%
Colombia	Dosquebradas	2017	87.9%	92.7%	80.3%
Colombia	Duitama	2000	83.5%	86.2%	80.1%
Colombia	Duitama	2017	72.8%	76.1%	68.8%
Colombia	Durania	2000	76.5%	83.8%	67.5%
Colombia	Durania	2017	69.8%	79.0%	58.1%
Colombia	Ebéjico	2000	77.0%	88.7%	64.2%
Colombia	Ebéjico	2017	76.9%	89.4%	60.9%
Colombia	El Águila	2000	90.9%	97.2%	81.3%
Colombia	El Águila	2017	81.2%	94.4%	56.5%
Colombia	El Bagre	2000	80.5%	87.3%	72.6%
Colombia	El Bagre	2017	73.2%	81.1%	64.2%
Colombia	El Banco	2000	84.5%	90.2%	77.5%
Colombia	El Banco	2017	70.9%	81.9%	59.1%
Colombia	El Cairo	2000	83.8%	94.0%	70.2%
Colombia	El Cairo	2017	70.4%	89.6%	44.6%
Colombia	El Calvario	2000	93.2%	97.2%	87.3%
Colombia	El Calvario	2017	87.4%	94.6%	76.4%
Colombia	El Cantón del San Pablo	2000	33.8%	41.0%	27.2%
Colombia	El Cantón del San Pablo	2017	29.1%	36.3%	21.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	El Carmen	2000	75.1%	85.5%	63.3%
Colombia	El Carmen	2017	71.5%	83.1%	56.3%
Colombia	El Carmen de Atrato	2000	52.8%	60.4%	45.8%
Colombia	El Carmen de Atrato	2017	45.8%	54.3%	36.9%
Colombia	El Carmen de Bolívar	2000	84.7%	88.9%	80.0%
Colombia	El Carmen de Bolívar	2017	66.2%	72.3%	59.8%
Colombia	El Carmen de Chucurí	2000	83.5%	90.1%	74.5%
Colombia	El Carmen de Chucurí	2017	80.3%	88.5%	69.8%
Colombia	El Carmen de Viboral	2000	80.5%	86.8%	72.5%
Colombia	El Carmen de Viboral	2017	78.7%	86.0%	69.2%
Colombia	El Castillo	2000	95.5%	97.8%	91.4%
Colombia	El Castillo	2017	91.9%	95.3%	87.0%
Colombia	El Cerrito	2000	94.9%	96.9%	92.2%
Colombia	El Cerrito	2017	90.7%	94.2%	86.2%
Colombia	El Charco	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.2%
Colombia	El Charco	2017	67.9%	76.0%	58.2%
Colombia	El Cocuy	2000	86.8%	93.2%	77.7%
Colombia	El Cocuy	2017	75.7%	87.6%	60.7%
Colombia	El Colegio	2000	87.0%	93.5%	78.1%
Colombia	El Colegio	2017	83.0%	91.9%	71.9%
Colombia	El Copey	2000	76.9%	85.6%	67.5%
Colombia	El Copey	2017	65.9%	80.4%	51.6%
Colombia	El Doncello	2000	82.8%	86.5%	78.6%
Colombia	El Doncello	2017	72.6%	76.6%	68.1%
Colombia	El Dorado	2000	96.1%	98.4%	91.6%
Colombia	El Dorado	2017	92.1%	96.4%	84.3%
Colombia	El Dovio	2000	90.6%	97.1%	80.1%
Colombia	El Dovio	2017	80.5%	93.2%	59.4%
Colombia	El Encanto	2000	78.7%	85.5%	72.5%
Colombia	El Encanto	2017	71.7%	77.1%	65.6%
Colombia	El Espino	2000	79.3%	84.7%	73.4%
Colombia	El Espino	2017	71.1%	76.1%	65.4%
Colombia	El Guacamayo	2000	83.5%	92.0%	73.0%
Colombia	El Guacamayo	2017	78.3%	88.8%	61.5%
Colombia	El Guamo	2000	84.4%	90.4%	77.1%
Colombia	El Guamo	2017	62.6%	76.0%	46.0%
Colombia	El Litoral del San Juan	2000	45.9%	52.8%	39.6%
Colombia	El Litoral del San Juan	2017	35.8%	42.2%	29.8%
Colombia	El Molino	2000	77.8%	90.6%	61.1%
Colombia	El Molino	2017	72.0%	90.0%	51.1%
Colombia	El Paso	2000	70.1%	80.0%	59.0%
Colombia	El Paso	2017	65.2%	76.0%	51.0%
Colombia	El Paujíl	2000	81.2%	85.7%	75.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	El Paujíl	2017	70.3%	77.2%	61.6%
Colombia	El Peñon	2000	84.8%	93.3%	72.0%
Colombia	El Peñon	2000	88.2%	93.4%	80.8%
Colombia	El Peñon	2017	80.5%	91.2%	65.5%
Colombia	El Peñon	2017	83.3%	89.9%	73.2%
Colombia	El Piñón	2000	83.5%	87.6%	79.5%
Colombia	El Piñón	2017	66.5%	74.3%	56.4%
Colombia	El Playón	2000	79.6%	89.9%	69.2%
Colombia	El Playón	2017	78.0%	90.1%	64.5%
Colombia	El Retorno	2000	83.9%	88.1%	78.9%
Colombia	El Retorno	2017	83.3%	87.1%	78.6%
Colombia	El Rosario	2000	95.2%	97.2%	92.8%
Colombia	El Rosario	2017	68.7%	80.1%	56.2%
Colombia	El Santuario	2000	83.6%	89.7%	75.1%
Colombia	El Santuario	2017	82.4%	89.3%	72.9%
Colombia	El Tablón de Gomez	2000	93.1%	95.6%	90.4%
Colombia	El Tablón de Gomez	2017	73.9%	80.9%	64.5%
Colombia	El Tambo	2000	96.1%	97.7%	93.8%
Colombia	El Tambo	2000	90.3%	92.6%	87.3%
Colombia	El Tambo	2017	76.1%	85.4%	64.9%
Colombia	El Tambo	2017	57.3%	65.6%	48.5%
Colombia	El Tarra	2000	77.5%	87.7%	65.0%
Colombia	El Tarra	2017	71.7%	85.4%	55.3%
Colombia	El Zulia	2000	78.9%	86.5%	69.6%
Colombia	El Zulia	2017	73.4%	83.4%	60.6%
Colombia	Elías	2000	82.0%	88.4%	74.2%
Colombia	Elías	2017	69.5%	81.3%	55.6%
Colombia	Encino	2000	87.6%	94.0%	78.5%
Colombia	Encino	2017	75.4%	88.8%	59.1%
Colombia	Enciso	2000	85.7%	90.3%	80.3%
Colombia	Enciso	2017	83.5%	88.3%	77.7%
Colombia	Entrerríos	2000	78.0%	89.8%	62.8%
Colombia	Entrerríos	2017	71.7%	86.7%	51.8%
Colombia	Envigado	2000	79.5%	82.5%	76.2%
Colombia	Envigado	2017	75.6%	79.0%	72.2%
Colombia	Espinal	2000	84.9%	88.2%	81.3%
Colombia	Espinal	2017	75.9%	79.8%	72.4%
Colombia	Facatativá	2000	89.8%	92.1%	87.0%
Colombia	Facatativá	2017	84.6%	87.8%	80.6%
Colombia	Falán	2000	84.7%	90.3%	77.3%
Colombia	Falán	2017	76.7%	86.1%	62.3%
Colombia	Filadelfia	2000	91.1%	95.5%	84.8%
Colombia	Filadelfia	2017	81.9%	91.8%	68.3%
Colombia	Filandia	2000	90.4%	95.8%	81.1%
Colombia	Filandia	2017	90.0%	95.9%	80.1%
Colombia	Firavitoba	2000	83.8%	90.5%	75.8%
Colombia	Firavitoba	2017	73.1%	86.6%	58.1%
Colombia	Flandes	2000	87.9%	92.6%	81.3%
Colombia	Flandes	2017	83.8%	90.0%	75.0%
Colombia	Florencia	2000	81.5%	84.5%	78.6%
Colombia	Florencia	2000	93.2%	95.4%	90.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Florencia	2017	67.6%	76.3%	57.7%
Colombia	Florencia	2017	70.1%	72.5%	67.6%
Colombia	Floresta	2000	84.9%	88.2%	81.8%
Colombia	Floresta	2017	74.3%	80.0%	67.7%
Colombia	Florián	2000	83.2%	91.6%	72.7%
Colombia	Florián	2017	77.3%	88.7%	62.4%
Colombia	Florida	2000	92.9%	95.4%	89.1%
Colombia	Florida	2017	82.3%	87.8%	74.9%
Colombia	Floridablanca	2000	81.0%	83.5%	78.3%
Colombia	Floridablanca	2017	78.1%	80.4%	75.8%
Colombia	Fómeque	2000	88.7%	93.9%	81.2%
Colombia	Fómeque	2017	82.2%	90.5%	70.0%
Colombia	Fonseca	2000	73.3%	81.4%	63.4%
Colombia	Fonseca	2017	66.1%	77.1%	54.1%
Colombia	Fortul	2000	96.9%	98.4%	94.4%
Colombia	Fortul	2017	96.5%	98.0%	93.7%
Colombia	Fosca	2000	89.2%	95.0%	79.7%
Colombia	Fosca	2017	80.8%	91.5%	63.9%
Colombia	Francisco Pizarro	2000	95.3%	97.9%	91.4%
Colombia	Francisco Pizarro	2017	68.7%	81.5%	50.0%
Colombia	Fredonia	2000	79.1%	87.7%	69.2%
Colombia	Fredonia	2017	75.9%	85.6%	62.8%
Colombia	Fresno	2000	86.1%	93.0%	77.7%
Colombia	Fresno	2017	76.7%	88.7%	61.9%
Colombia	Frontino	2000	78.9%	87.9%	68.2%
Colombia	Frontino	2017	74.8%	85.9%	61.3%
Colombia	Fuente de Oro	2000	96.0%	98.2%	92.0%
Colombia	Fuente de Oro	2017	92.2%	95.8%	86.2%
Colombia	Fundación	2000	81.6%	85.3%	77.2%
Colombia	Fundación	2017	65.4%	71.6%	58.7%
Colombia	Funes	2000	92.2%	95.3%	87.7%
Colombia	Funes	2017	63.6%	78.3%	47.5%
Colombia	Funza	2000	90.1%	94.0%	85.0%
Colombia	Funza	2017	89.1%	93.2%	83.2%
Colombia	Fúquene	2000	86.1%	92.0%	79.3%
Colombia	Fúquene	2017	77.9%	87.2%	66.3%
Colombia	Fusagasugá	2000	85.7%	89.5%	81.4%
Colombia	Fusagasugá	2017	79.4%	83.2%	75.0%
Colombia	Gachalá	2000	86.0%	93.8%	76.4%
Colombia	Gachalá	2017	79.4%	91.2%	63.4%
Colombia	Gachancipá	2000	83.3%	89.1%	76.7%
Colombia	Gachancipá	2017	74.7%	84.3%	64.0%
Colombia	Gachantivá	2000	79.4%	89.3%	68.8%
Colombia	Gachantivá	2017	69.8%	85.7%	49.4%
Colombia	Gachetá	2000	80.8%	92.2%	65.3%
Colombia	Gachetá	2017	70.6%	88.7%	42.3%
Colombia	Galán	2000	84.0%	93.3%	71.3%
Colombia	Galán	2017	78.2%	91.4%	59.0%
Colombia	Galapa	2000	95.4%	97.1%	92.9%
Colombia	Galapa	2017	85.3%	91.2%	75.8%
Colombia	Galeras	2000	80.0%	85.2%	74.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Galeras	2017	64.3%	72.4%	54.3%
Colombia	Gama	2000	85.0%	94.0%	73.4%
Colombia	Gama	2017	75.6%	91.4%	52.3%
Colombia	Gamarra	2000	78.5%	88.2%	68.0%
Colombia	Gamarra	2017	71.5%	86.3%	56.4%
Colombia	Gámbita	2000	82.8%	91.0%	73.3%
Colombia	Gámbita	2017	69.3%	85.9%	50.3%
Colombia	Gámeza	2000	82.2%	86.1%	76.9%
Colombia	Gámeza	2017	67.9%	73.1%	61.8%
Colombia	Garagoa	2000	80.4%	83.9%	76.7%
Colombia	Garagoa	2017	69.6%	72.6%	65.6%
Colombia	Garzón	2000	83.6%	86.9%	79.9%
Colombia	Garzón	2017	66.1%	70.2%	61.7%
Colombia	Génova	2000	90.3%	97.1%	80.9%
Colombia	Génova	2017	87.2%	96.5%	72.2%
Colombia	Gigante	2000	84.6%	92.8%	74.3%
Colombia	Gigante	2017	70.4%	86.2%	49.7%
Colombia	Ginebra	2000	95.3%	97.9%	91.8%
Colombia	Ginebra	2017	91.2%	95.7%	84.7%
Colombia	Giraldo	2000	81.0%	88.6%	71.4%
Colombia	Giraldo	2017	76.0%	85.0%	64.8%
Colombia	Girardot	2000	87.9%	92.6%	81.7%
Colombia	Girardot	2017	83.6%	89.8%	74.7%
Colombia	Girardota	2000	74.0%	82.9%	63.5%
Colombia	Girardota	2017	72.8%	83.9%	59.4%
Colombia	Girón	2000	82.8%	87.3%	77.9%
Colombia	Girón	2017	81.2%	86.0%	76.0%
Colombia	Gómez Plata	2000	75.6%	86.9%	60.4%
Colombia	Gómez Plata	2017	72.4%	86.9%	53.8%
Colombia	González	2000	77.2%	87.8%	62.2%
Colombia	González	2017	73.5%	87.4%	53.3%
Colombia	Gramalote	2000	76.5%	87.7%	63.1%
Colombia	Gramalote	2017	68.6%	83.5%	48.6%
Colombia	Granada	2000	96.3%	97.8%	93.8%
Colombia	Granada	2000	77.8%	86.0%	68.0%
Colombia	Granada	2017	92.6%	94.6%	89.7%
Colombia	Granada	2017	76.1%	85.0%	64.3%
Colombia	Guaca	2000	84.5%	91.7%	74.1%
Colombia	Guaca	2017	78.9%	89.3%	64.7%
Colombia	Guacamayas	2000	81.7%	87.6%	74.9%
Colombia	Guacamayas	2017	67.5%	75.4%	58.4%
Colombia	Guacarí	2000	95.3%	97.1%	92.6%
Colombia	Guacarí	2017	91.9%	94.8%	87.8%
Colombia	Guachetá	2000	84.0%	90.7%	75.8%
Colombia	Guachetá	2017	73.8%	85.5%	58.8%
Colombia	Guachucal	2000	96.1%	97.5%	94.3%
Colombia	Guachucal	2017	66.7%	80.1%	51.3%
Colombia	Guadalajara de Buga	2000	94.7%	96.5%	92.6%
Colombia	Guadalajara de Buga	2017	90.3%	92.8%	87.6%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	85.4%	91.7%	77.6%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	76.7%	89.2%	60.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	82.9%	89.4%	74.9%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	72.2%	84.7%	57.9%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	74.5%	87.5%	54.2%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	78.4%	85.0%	69.8%
Colombia	Guaduas	2000	85.4%	92.4%	76.4%
Colombia	Guaduas	2017	79.5%	89.2%	66.8%
Colombia	Guaitarilla	2000	95.3%	96.4%	93.9%
Colombia	Guaitarilla	2017	73.0%	76.5%	69.2%
Colombia	Gualmatán	2000	95.6%	97.2%	93.5%
Colombia	Gualmatán	2017	62.5%	75.9%	49.4%
Colombia	Guamal	2000	82.3%	87.9%	76.4%
Colombia	Guamal	2000	95.8%	97.7%	93.3%
Colombia	Guamal	2017	91.4%	94.8%	86.6%
Colombia	Guamal	2017	64.1%	74.9%	51.6%
Colombia	Guamo	2000	82.2%	88.9%	73.9%
Colombia	Guamo	2017	74.7%	84.9%	61.4%
Colombia	Guapí	2000	91.5%	93.8%	88.0%
Colombia	Guapí	2017	55.9%	68.0%	43.9%
Colombia	Guapotá	2000	83.2%	91.4%	72.4%
Colombia	Guapotá	2017	79.7%	89.4%	65.4%
Colombia	Guarandá	2000	81.3%	89.4%	71.4%
Colombia	Guarandá	2017	64.8%	81.2%	46.3%
Colombia	Guarne	2000	74.9%	81.0%	66.8%
Colombia	Guarne	2017	70.5%	78.9%	60.2%
Colombia	Guasca	2000	81.2%	89.7%	71.2%
Colombia	Guasca	2017	66.3%	83.8%	48.4%
Colombia	Guatapé	2000	73.2%	81.4%	64.0%
Colombia	Guatapé	2017	72.3%	80.3%	64.3%
Colombia	Guataquí	2000	84.3%	93.8%	69.6%
Colombia	Guataquí	2017	78.0%	91.7%	55.1%
Colombia	Guatavita	2000	81.8%	90.9%	70.8%
Colombia	Guatavita	2017	69.7%	86.1%	52.1%
Colombia	Guateque	2000	73.6%	82.0%	62.7%
Colombia	Guateque	2017	61.3%	75.3%	45.6%
Colombia	Guática	2000	91.7%	96.4%	85.4%
Colombia	Guática	2017	83.6%	92.4%	70.1%
Colombia	Guavatá	2000	84.0%	88.4%	78.9%
Colombia	Guavatá	2017	80.0%	84.8%	73.7%
Colombia	Guayabal de Síquima	2000	84.9%	92.6%	72.2%
Colombia	Guayabal de Síquima	2017	80.3%	90.2%	63.4%
Colombia	Guayabetal	2000	92.6%	97.4%	84.3%
Colombia	Guayabetal	2017	86.9%	94.8%	68.4%
Colombia	Guayatá	2000	79.4%	89.8%	66.8%
Colombia	Guayatá	2017	66.0%	85.2%	40.3%
Colombia	Güepsa	2000	79.3%	87.9%	68.1%
Colombia	Güepsa	2017	73.4%	84.9%	58.9%
Colombia	Guicán	2000	88.4%	94.1%	80.9%
Colombia	Guicán	2017	82.3%	91.0%	69.6%
Colombia	Gutiérrez	2000	94.3%	98.1%	88.3%
Colombia	Gutiérrez	2017	84.1%	94.6%	66.7%
Colombia	Hacarí	2000	77.1%	86.6%	63.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Hacarí	2017	71.4%	84.7%	53.7%
Colombia	Hatillo de Loba	2000	86.1%	91.8%	79.7%
Colombia	Hatillo de Loba	2017	67.7%	81.3%	51.7%
Colombia	Hato	2000	84.2%	93.9%	72.7%
Colombia	Hato	2017	78.6%	92.1%	61.1%
Colombia	Hato Corozal	2000	97.2%	98.8%	94.4%
Colombia	Hato Corozal	2017	95.8%	97.9%	92.9%
Colombia	Heliconia	2000	76.8%	88.2%	64.4%
Colombia	Heliconia	2017	74.6%	88.1%	58.9%
Colombia	Herrán	2000	87.2%	95.6%	74.6%
Colombia	Herrán	2017	84.7%	94.8%	67.9%
Colombia	Herveo	2000	90.7%	96.3%	84.1%
Colombia	Herveo	2017	76.5%	91.7%	55.7%
Colombia	Hispania	2000	76.4%	89.3%	62.4%
Colombia	Hispania	2017	73.9%	88.1%	54.6%
Colombia	Hobo	2000	77.9%	86.7%	68.1%
Colombia	Hobo	2017	59.9%	77.9%	39.3%
Colombia	Honda	2000	82.9%	92.2%	72.5%
Colombia	Honda	2017	72.9%	87.9%	54.4%
Colombia	Ibagué	2000	81.7%	84.1%	78.8%
Colombia	Ibagué	2017	75.9%	78.6%	72.7%
Colombia	Icononzo	2000	86.0%	92.3%	77.5%
Colombia	Icononzo	2017	77.8%	88.6%	65.8%
Colombia	Iles	2000	94.1%	96.1%	91.6%
Colombia	Iles	2017	58.3%	73.0%	43.4%
Colombia	Imués	2000	95.1%	96.4%	93.3%
Colombia	Imués	2017	68.0%	75.5%	60.4%
Colombia	Inzá	2000	89.6%	92.6%	86.6%
Colombia	Inzá	2017	61.1%	72.2%	48.8%
Colombia	Ipiales	2000	94.8%	96.1%	93.1%
Colombia	Ipiales	2017	71.3%	78.4%	62.4%
Colombia	Iquira	2000	84.8%	89.6%	78.6%
Colombia	Iquira	2017	68.5%	79.8%	56.3%
Colombia	Isnos	2000	86.9%	90.6%	81.8%
Colombia	Isnos	2017	72.5%	79.4%	63.7%
Colombia	Istmina	2000	33.1%	38.1%	28.4%
Colombia	Istmina	2017	30.0%	33.9%	25.9%
Colombia	Itagüí	2000	76.0%	79.0%	72.7%
Colombia	Itagüí	2017	71.8%	75.5%	67.9%
Colombia	Ituango	2000	80.3%	87.7%	70.2%
Colombia	Ituango	2017	73.1%	82.6%	59.3%
Colombia	Izá	2000	83.1%	91.2%	72.7%
Colombia	Izá	2017	71.2%	86.9%	50.6%
Colombia	Jambaló	2000	90.7%	93.7%	86.9%
Colombia	Jambaló	2017	57.1%	70.3%	45.4%
Colombia	Jamundí	2000	93.7%	95.9%	91.3%
Colombia	Jamundí	2017	81.4%	87.5%	74.5%
Colombia	Jardín	2000	83.5%	90.3%	73.2%
Colombia	Jardín	2017	75.1%	86.6%	60.5%
Colombia	Jenesano	2000	78.8%	84.3%	71.7%
Colombia	Jenesano	2017	67.1%	73.8%	59.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Jericó	2000	80.0%	90.3%	67.7%
Colombia	Jericó	2000	87.3%	92.8%	81.0%
Colombia	Jericó	2017	75.0%	86.9%	61.4%
Colombia	Jericó	2017	79.6%	88.5%	68.2%
Colombia	Jerusalén	2000	83.0%	93.3%	69.6%
Colombia	Jerusalén	2017	76.8%	90.6%	57.1%
Colombia	Jesús María	2000	83.3%	90.1%	74.3%
Colombia	Jesús María	2017	78.2%	87.9%	66.8%
Colombia	Jordán	2000	81.4%	89.8%	70.4%
Colombia	Jordán	2017	78.2%	89.7%	63.0%
Colombia	Juan de Acosta	2000	95.1%	98.3%	88.6%
Colombia	Juan de Acosta	2017	79.0%	92.8%	59.1%
Colombia	Junín	2000	85.8%	93.5%	73.4%
Colombia	Junín	2017	74.9%	88.9%	53.7%
Colombia	Juradó	2000	53.4%	69.8%	38.3%
Colombia	Juradó	2017	48.6%	69.5%	29.8%
Colombia	La Argentina	2000	90.4%	94.1%	85.7%
Colombia	La Argentina	2017	69.7%	79.5%	57.0%
Colombia	La Belleza	2000	85.6%	91.9%	77.4%
Colombia	La Belleza	2017	80.5%	89.0%	69.6%
Colombia	La Calera	2000	87.5%	89.9%	84.1%
Colombia	La Calera	2017	80.6%	84.6%	75.9%
Colombia	La Capilla	2000	77.2%	84.7%	67.2%
Colombia	La Capilla	2017	61.0%	74.0%	46.3%
Colombia	La Ceja	2000	79.9%	88.2%	69.8%
Colombia	La Ceja	2017	78.2%	87.9%	65.5%
Colombia	La Celia	2000	92.1%	97.8%	83.2%
Colombia	La Celia	2017	82.1%	95.4%	58.5%
Colombia	La Chorrera	2000	78.7%	84.7%	72.2%
Colombia	La Chorrera	2017	72.3%	76.7%	67.2%
Colombia	La Cruz	2000	96.3%	98.0%	94.2%
Colombia	La Cruz	2017	82.2%	89.9%	71.5%
Colombia	La Cumbre	2000	96.2%	98.1%	92.4%
Colombia	La Cumbre	2017	91.5%	95.2%	85.7%
Colombia	La Dorada	2000	87.0%	91.8%	80.3%
Colombia	La Dorada	2017	76.9%	85.4%	64.3%
Colombia	La Esperanza	2000	74.0%	85.4%	62.7%
Colombia	La Esperanza	2017	71.0%	84.5%	57.8%
Colombia	La Estrella	2000	78.9%	83.9%	73.4%
Colombia	La Estrella	2017	74.1%	81.3%	66.5%
Colombia	La Florida	2000	96.7%	98.2%	94.7%
Colombia	La Florida	2017	76.8%	87.0%	62.5%
Colombia	La Gloria	2000	77.2%	87.5%	63.9%
Colombia	La Gloria	2017	70.2%	84.3%	52.4%
Colombia	La Guadalupe	2000	88.5%	96.4%	75.4%
Colombia	La Guadalupe	2017	77.3%	88.9%	62.4%
Colombia	La Jagua de Ibirico	2000	69.3%	77.8%	59.8%
Colombia	La Jagua de Ibirico	2017	61.9%	74.0%	48.3%
Colombia	La Llanada	2000	96.6%	97.9%	94.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	La Llanada	2017	74.3%	83.3%	62.3%
Colombia	La Macarena	2000	91.9%	95.2%	87.4%
Colombia	La Macarena	2017	88.4%	92.2%	84.6%
Colombia	La Merced	2000	93.2%	95.9%	89.7%
Colombia	La Merced	2017	84.9%	91.0%	77.6%
Colombia	La Mesa	2000	85.4%	91.0%	77.5%
Colombia	La Mesa	2017	82.7%	89.4%	74.5%
Colombia	La Montañita	2000	78.0%	82.5%	73.8%
Colombia	La Montañita	2017	62.4%	69.6%	55.8%
Colombia	La Palma	2000	90.0%	95.8%	80.0%
Colombia	La Palma	2017	84.6%	93.9%	70.1%
Colombia	La Paz	2000	66.9%	72.5%	61.0%
Colombia	La Paz	2000	82.4%	91.1%	69.9%
Colombia	La Paz	2017	57.6%	65.1%	49.6%
Colombia	La Paz	2017	77.5%	88.7%	61.4%
Colombia	La Pedrera	2000	79.2%	85.0%	71.9%
Colombia	La Pedrera	2017	72.7%	78.2%	65.9%
Colombia	La Peña	2000	88.2%	94.6%	80.4%
Colombia	La Peña	2017	84.3%	92.5%	74.3%
Colombia	La Plata	2000	88.1%	91.5%	85.0%
Colombia	La Plata	2017	71.7%	79.6%	63.4%
Colombia	La Playa de Belén	2000	79.2%	86.9%	69.7%
Colombia	La Playa de Belén	2017	75.0%	84.4%	61.8%
Colombia	La Primavera	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.2%
Colombia	La Primavera	2017	86.9%	89.6%	83.8%
Colombia	La Salina	2000	93.2%	98.1%	83.3%
Colombia	La Salina	2017	90.1%	97.0%	78.1%
Colombia	La Sierra	2000	88.0%	90.5%	84.8%
Colombia	La Sierra	2017	56.2%	61.8%	49.8%
Colombia	La Tebaida	2000	89.3%	96.0%	77.4%
Colombia	La Tebaida	2017	89.5%	96.5%	76.5%
Colombia	La Tola	2000	95.6%	97.0%	94.1%
Colombia	La Tola	2017	72.7%	78.1%	65.7%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	79.0%	88.2%	67.4%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	95.2%	97.2%	92.6%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	85.4%	92.6%	75.2%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	94.7%	97.5%	90.7%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	75.2%	87.0%	58.2%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	70.4%	85.7%	50.1%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	74.7%	85.7%	62.5%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	92.4%	96.1%	88.1%
Colombia	La Uribe	2000	94.4%	97.3%	89.3%
Colombia	La Uribe	2017	87.9%	92.3%	82.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	La Uvita	2000	82.5%	89.3%	74.2%
Colombia	La Uvita	2017	72.7%	84.0%	58.5%
Colombia	La Vega	2000	90.1%	92.2%	87.9%
Colombia	La Vega	2000	86.4%	92.6%	77.4%
Colombia	La Vega	2017	61.3%	67.7%	54.5%
Colombia	La Vega	2017	82.7%	91.4%	68.7%
Colombia	La Victoria	2000	92.9%	96.8%	87.3%
Colombia	La Victoria	2000	87.2%	94.9%	76.8%
Colombia	La Victoria	2017	77.8%	91.2%	59.9%
Colombia	La Victoria	2017	90.5%	95.5%	83.2%
Colombia	La Virginia	2000	93.9%	98.0%	86.4%
Colombia	La Virginia	2017	90.6%	97.1%	78.0%
Colombia	Labateca	2000	81.7%	87.2%	74.9%
Colombia	Labateca	2017	75.9%	81.6%	67.1%
Colombia	Labranzagrande	2000	92.0%	96.1%	85.3%
Colombia	Labranzagrande	2017	86.9%	92.9%	78.1%
Colombia	Landázuri	2000	83.0%	88.8%	74.4%
Colombia	Landázuri	2017	80.6%	87.3%	72.0%
Colombia	Lebrija	2000	81.9%	88.9%	72.0%
Colombia	Lebrija	2017	79.4%	88.8%	68.0%
Colombia	Leiva	2000	94.5%	96.4%	92.0%
Colombia	Leiva	2017	65.1%	77.6%	49.4%
Colombia	Lejanías	2000	95.8%	98.1%	92.1%
Colombia	Lejanías	2017	92.2%	95.7%	86.9%
Colombia	Lenguazaque	2000	86.6%	91.5%	81.2%
Colombia	Lenguazaque	2017	78.3%	86.2%	68.8%
Colombia	Lérida	2000	80.7%	88.0%	70.8%
Colombia	Lérida	2017	74.2%	84.0%	58.9%
Colombia	Leticia	2000	80.0%	83.7%	75.8%
Colombia	Leticia	2017	72.3%	77.1%	66.8%
Colombia	Líbano	2000	86.3%	90.5%	81.0%
Colombia	Líbano	2017	75.4%	81.8%	68.0%
Colombia	Liborina	2000	78.4%	88.8%	64.7%
Colombia	Liborina	2017	76.2%	88.0%	62.2%
Colombia	Linares	2000	94.2%	96.3%	91.5%
Colombia	Linares	2017	64.7%	75.0%	53.0%
Colombia	Lloró	2000	37.5%	47.4%	29.3%
Colombia	Lloró	2017	33.6%	45.3%	22.6%
Colombia	López de Micay	2000	89.1%	92.7%	84.4%
Colombia	López de Micay	2017	58.3%	69.3%	44.6%
Colombia	Los Andes	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.3%
Colombia	Los Andes	2017	73.5%	80.8%	65.4%
Colombia	Los Córdoba	2000	85.5%	92.3%	77.3%
Colombia	Los Córdoba	2017	67.8%	82.7%	50.0%
Colombia	Los Palmitos	2000	79.5%	85.0%	74.1%
Colombia	Los Palmitos	2017	68.3%	75.1%	61.3%
Colombia	Los Patios	2000	77.2%	84.3%	68.1%
Colombia	Los Patios	2017	79.0%	87.1%	69.1%
Colombia	Los Santos	2000	79.9%	89.6%	68.4%
Colombia	Los Santos	2017	76.6%	88.8%	59.1%
Colombia	Lourdes	2000	76.1%	86.0%	63.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Lourdes	2017	67.5%	80.9%	53.0%
Colombia	Luruaco	2000	94.7%	97.8%	89.8%
Colombia	Luruaco	2017	78.3%	91.4%	57.9%
Colombia	Macanal	2000	78.9%	85.6%	70.5%
Colombia	Macanal	2017	64.4%	76.2%	50.4%
Colombia	Macaravita	2000	80.1%	89.3%	68.9%
Colombia	Macaravita	2017	73.7%	87.8%	57.5%
Colombia	Maceo	2000	78.5%	90.2%	59.9%
Colombia	Maceo	2017	74.4%	89.1%	54.6%
Colombia	Machetá	2000	81.5%	90.5%	71.0%
Colombia	Machetá	2017	70.0%	82.6%	52.3%
Colombia	Madrid	2000	85.3%	89.1%	81.1%
Colombia	Madrid	2017	80.0%	83.7%	75.4%
Colombia	Magüí	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Colombia	Magüí	2017	72.6%	80.4%	61.6%
Colombia	Mahates	2000	89.1%	92.4%	85.0%
Colombia	Mahates	2017	69.8%	78.9%	59.1%
Colombia	Maicao	2000	69.5%	74.1%	65.2%
Colombia	Maicao	2017	59.4%	64.0%	54.1%
Colombia	Majagual	2000	82.4%	88.1%	75.4%
Colombia	Majagual	2017	67.9%	76.8%	58.1%
Colombia	Málaga	2000	88.2%	93.0%	83.6%
Colombia	Málaga	2017	85.0%	89.8%	78.9%
Colombia	Malambo	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.2%
Colombia	Malambo	2017	87.3%	90.4%	83.1%
Colombia	Mallama	2000	96.6%	98.1%	94.0%
Colombia	Mallama	2017	74.6%	85.1%	59.6%
Colombia	Manatí	2000	93.0%	95.8%	89.6%
Colombia	Manatí	2017	81.8%	88.2%	73.2%
Colombia	Manaure	2000	69.7%	82.3%	55.1%
Colombia	Manaure	2000	72.3%	77.3%	67.0%
Colombia	Manaure	2017	62.5%	80.6%	41.5%
Colombia	Manaure	2017	61.9%	68.7%	54.3%
Colombia	Maní	2000	97.2%	99.1%	94.1%
Colombia	Maní	2017	95.9%	97.9%	92.2%
Colombia	Manizales	2000	92.9%	94.5%	91.2%
Colombia	Manizales	2017	83.7%	86.2%	81.1%
Colombia	Manta	2000	79.7%	89.9%	67.3%
Colombia	Manta	2017	67.3%	84.1%	46.1%
Colombia	Manzanares	2000	89.0%	94.9%	80.0%
Colombia	Manzanares	2017	77.1%	90.3%	56.5%
Colombia	Mapiripán	2000	91.3%	94.9%	86.5%
Colombia	Mapiripán	2017	87.7%	91.8%	82.7%
Colombia	Margarita	2000	84.0%	89.9%	76.8%
Colombia	Margarita	2017	62.7%	76.9%	44.5%
Colombia	María la Baja	2000	85.2%	89.6%	80.3%
Colombia	María la Baja	2017	62.0%	69.9%	51.3%
Colombia	Marinilla	2000	80.2%	85.2%	74.5%
Colombia	Marinilla	2017	77.7%	82.8%	71.7%
Colombia	Maripí	2000	83.7%	89.5%	77.8%
Colombia	Maripí	2017	74.7%	83.4%	64.4%
Colombia	Marmato	2000	93.1%	96.0%	89.3%
Colombia	Marmato	2017	85.8%	91.5%	78.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Marquetalia	2000	89.2%	94.7%	80.2%
Colombia	Marquetalia	2017	79.8%	90.3%	61.8%
Colombia	Marsella	2000	91.4%	95.7%	84.2%
Colombia	Marsella	2017	86.3%	93.8%	76.1%
Colombia	Marulanda	2000	94.7%	97.9%	90.4%
Colombia	Marulanda	2017	80.6%	91.8%	63.9%
Colombia	Matanza	2000	84.0%	90.8%	75.4%
Colombia	Matanza	2017	80.2%	88.3%	68.4%
Colombia	Medellín	2000	77.3%	79.5%	75.3%
Colombia	Medellín	2017	75.0%	76.8%	72.9%
Colombia	Medina	2000	87.1%	92.6%	80.5%
Colombia	Medina	2017	85.8%	91.8%	76.5%
Colombia	Melgar	2000	86.4%	92.1%	80.0%
Colombia	Melgar	2017	80.1%	88.1%	70.3%
Colombia	Mercaderes	2000	92.4%	94.5%	89.9%
Colombia	Mercaderes	2017	65.5%	73.0%	57.5%
Colombia	Mesetas	2000	95.2%	98.0%	91.0%
Colombia	Mesetas	2017	90.8%	94.9%	85.4%
Colombia	Milán	2000	81.5%	86.9%	74.9%
Colombia	Milán	2017	71.7%	78.9%	63.9%
Colombia	Miraflores	2000	81.6%	89.3%	70.5%
Colombia	Miraflores	2000	83.7%	88.8%	78.5%
Colombia	Miraflores	2017	82.8%	86.9%	78.3%
Colombia	Miraflores	2017	69.3%	80.8%	55.0%
Colombia	Miranda	2000	90.6%	93.6%	86.1%
Colombia	Miranda	2017	73.5%	82.4%	62.5%
Colombia	Mirití-Paraná	2000	79.3%	86.6%	71.3%
Colombia	Mirití-Paraná	2017	74.2%	81.7%	67.3%
Colombia	Mistrato	2000	85.9%	93.8%	75.9%
Colombia	Mistrato	2017	79.8%	91.1%	63.1%
Colombia	Mitú	2000	81.3%	85.3%	77.4%
Colombia	Mitú	2017	80.2%	82.8%	77.2%
Colombia	Mogotes	2000	83.0%	92.1%	71.9%
Colombia	Mogotes	2017	78.7%	90.1%	63.4%
Colombia	Molagavita	2000	80.3%	90.7%	68.6%
Colombia	Molagavita	2017	78.7%	90.2%	65.1%
Colombia	Momil	2000	85.4%	91.8%	77.1%
Colombia	Momil	2017	68.0%	83.3%	48.4%
Colombia	Mompós	2000	87.3%	91.9%	81.4%
Colombia	Mompós	2017	67.2%	79.5%	52.6%
Colombia	Mongua	2000	87.2%	91.3%	82.1%
Colombia	Mongua	2017	74.6%	81.7%	66.3%
Colombia	Monguí	2000	85.5%	90.0%	79.8%
Colombia	Monguí	2017	72.9%	81.7%	63.1%
Colombia	Moniquirá	2000	82.6%	87.3%	76.9%
Colombia	Moniquirá	2017	76.1%	81.8%	68.7%
Colombia	Moñitos	2000	86.7%	91.9%	79.2%
Colombia	Moñitos	2017	70.3%	83.5%	53.8%
Colombia	Montebello	2000	78.9%	85.6%	71.9%
Colombia	Montebello	2017	74.2%	81.9%	66.4%
Colombia	Montecristo	2000	83.3%	88.4%	76.8%
Colombia	Montecristo	2017	64.2%	75.5%	50.0%
Colombia	Montelíbano	2000	84.8%	88.0%	81.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Montelíbano	2017	67.2%	72.6%	62.0%
Colombia	Montenegro	2000	89.9%	95.5%	82.2%
Colombia	Montenegro	2017	90.4%	95.8%	83.1%
Colombia	Montería	2000	84.0%	86.0%	82.2%
Colombia	Montería	2017	68.0%	70.7%	65.3%
Colombia	Monterrey	2000	96.0%	98.7%	91.6%
Colombia	Monterrey	2017	95.8%	98.4%	91.3%
Colombia	Morales	2000	87.3%	90.5%	84.6%
Colombia	Morales	2000	84.5%	88.8%	79.8%
Colombia	Morales	2017	42.3%	51.5%	34.8%
Colombia	Morales	2017	68.1%	75.9%	59.7%
Colombia	Morelia	2000	82.5%	88.9%	74.4%
Colombia	Morelia	2017	71.4%	82.2%	54.8%
Colombia	Morroa	2000	80.3%	84.2%	76.3%
Colombia	Morroa	2017	69.5%	74.7%	63.8%
Colombia	Mosquera	2000	94.4%	97.7%	89.5%
Colombia	Mosquera	2000	86.5%	89.4%	82.7%
Colombia	Mosquera	2017	68.7%	84.4%	46.0%
Colombia	Mosquera	2017	84.7%	88.2%	79.4%
Colombia	Motavita	2000	84.3%	91.0%	76.1%
Colombia	Motavita	2017	69.4%	81.1%	53.2%
Colombia	Murillo	2000	87.3%	94.4%	77.8%
Colombia	Murillo	2017	70.8%	88.5%	48.8%
Colombia	Murindó	2000	61.4%	77.8%	44.8%
Colombia	Murindó	2017	58.8%	78.5%	38.4%
Colombia	Mutató	2000	69.0%	81.5%	52.1%
Colombia	Mutató	2017	63.5%	80.7%	43.9%
Colombia	Mutiscua	2000	86.3%	92.1%	78.8%
Colombia	Mutiscua	2017	76.3%	86.4%	62.9%
Colombia	Muzo	2000	87.2%	93.8%	78.8%
Colombia	Muzo	2017	78.7%	89.7%	65.8%
Colombia	Nariño	2000	84.7%	92.2%	73.4%
Colombia	Nariño	2000	87.2%	93.3%	78.6%
Colombia	Nariño	2017	82.5%	91.8%	69.7%
Colombia	Nariño	2017	74.8%	87.4%	55.3%
Colombia	Nátaga	2000	84.0%	90.1%	77.0%
Colombia	Nátaga	2017	61.1%	74.5%	46.6%
Colombia	Natagaima	2000	83.3%	88.4%	78.0%
Colombia	Natagaima	2017	75.3%	81.5%	68.9%
Colombia	Nechí	2000	81.7%	89.3%	70.9%
Colombia	Nechí	2017	72.4%	84.0%	58.7%
Colombia	Necoclí	2000	76.1%	83.7%	67.9%
Colombia	Necoclí	2017	72.7%	81.4%	63.2%
Colombia	Neira	2000	92.4%	95.7%	87.4%
Colombia	Neira	2017	81.5%	90.1%	70.3%
Colombia	Neiva	2000	85.3%	87.2%	82.7%
Colombia	Neiva	2017	73.7%	76.5%	70.6%
Colombia	Nemocón	2000	86.9%	91.7%	80.6%
Colombia	Nemocón	2017	81.3%	88.2%	71.3%
Colombia	Nilo	2000	82.6%	89.7%	74.6%
Colombia	Nilo	2017	73.7%	84.5%	60.7%
Colombia	Nimaima	2000	84.8%	91.1%	78.3%
Colombia	Nimaima	2017	80.8%	87.6%	72.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Nobsa	2000	87.7%	90.2%	84.5%
Colombia	Nobsa	2017	80.5%	84.2%	75.2%
Colombia	Nocaima	2000	82.9%	89.9%	75.1%
Colombia	Nocaima	2017	79.6%	87.3%	69.7%
Colombia	Novita	2000	36.5%	44.8%	27.8%
Colombia	Novita	2017	27.7%	39.0%	16.8%
Colombia	Nuevo Colón	2000	79.1%	85.3%	71.3%
Colombia	Nuevo Colón	2017	66.1%	75.1%	56.9%
Colombia	Nunchía	2000	97.8%	99.5%	94.4%
Colombia	Nunchía	2017	97.4%	99.1%	93.9%
Colombia	Nuquí	2000	45.5%	57.5%	33.4%
Colombia	Nuquí	2017	40.1%	55.8%	26.3%
Colombia	Obando	2000	92.5%	96.1%	87.1%
Colombia	Obando	2017	91.1%	95.6%	85.1%
Colombia	Ocamonte	2000	82.4%	91.1%	70.7%
Colombia	Ocamonte	2017	78.2%	89.3%	64.3%
Colombia	Ocaña	2000	76.5%	82.7%	68.9%
Colombia	Ocaña	2017	72.9%	80.5%	64.3%
Colombia	Oiba	2000	83.7%	90.0%	76.3%
Colombia	Oiba	2017	79.6%	86.0%	72.0%
Colombia	Oicatá	2000	79.3%	82.7%	75.8%
Colombia	Oicatá	2017	70.7%	74.7%	65.9%
Colombia	Olaya	2000	75.4%	82.4%	67.8%
Colombia	Olaya	2017	75.1%	80.4%	68.9%
Colombia	Olaya Herrera	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.5%
Colombia	Olaya Herrera	2017	72.1%	77.6%	65.6%
Colombia	Onzaga	2000	86.9%	93.1%	78.1%
Colombia	Onzaga	2017	79.8%	89.0%	66.8%
Colombia	Oporapa	2000	83.8%	90.1%	76.0%
Colombia	Oporapa	2017	67.6%	81.0%	51.4%
Colombia	Orito	2000	82.2%	86.8%	76.8%
Colombia	Orito	2017	83.3%	87.4%	78.2%
Colombia	Orocué	2000	96.8%	99.0%	92.4%
Colombia	Orocué	2017	93.7%	97.0%	88.4%
Colombia	Ortega	2000	80.4%	86.3%	72.7%
Colombia	Ortega	2017	73.1%	81.3%	63.5%
Colombia	Ospina	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.5%
Colombia	Ospina	2017	68.6%	76.6%	59.4%
Colombia	Otanche	2000	84.6%	92.7%	73.7%
Colombia	Otanche	2017	75.3%	87.9%	57.2%
Colombia	Ovejas	2000	83.7%	90.0%	76.3%
Colombia	Ovejas	2017	71.6%	82.5%	59.0%
Colombia	Pachavita	2000	78.4%	85.1%	71.8%
Colombia	Pachavita	2017	65.9%	75.4%	55.7%
Colombia	Pacho	2000	86.5%	92.7%	76.8%
Colombia	Pacho	2017	81.1%	90.2%	66.4%
Colombia	Pacoa	2000	80.3%	86.6%	74.3%
Colombia	Pacoa	2017	79.1%	83.7%	74.1%
Colombia	Pácora	2000	92.3%	96.0%	87.1%
Colombia	Pácora	2017	83.0%	90.7%	71.4%
Colombia	Padilla	2000	89.5%	91.0%	87.9%
Colombia	Padilla	2017	59.1%	61.9%	55.9%
Colombia	Páez	2000	88.9%	92.7%	84.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Páez	2000	81.8%	91.4%	68.6%
Colombia	Páez	2017	76.5%	88.0%	61.7%
Colombia	Páez	2017	64.6%	76.2%	53.6%
Colombia	Paicol	2000	86.1%	92.3%	77.4%
Colombia	Paicol	2017	73.7%	84.1%	60.2%
Colombia	Pailitas	2000	73.5%	84.5%	60.9%
Colombia	Pailitas	2017	67.9%	81.9%	50.7%
Colombia	Paime	2000	85.9%	94.8%	70.7%
Colombia	Paime	2017	78.7%	91.7%	56.9%
Colombia	Paipa	2000	83.9%	91.1%	75.0%
Colombia	Paipa	2017	72.2%	85.2%	54.2%
Colombia	Pajarito	2000	92.9%	97.6%	82.5%
Colombia	Pajarito	2017	91.6%	97.7%	79.6%
Colombia	Palermo	2000	78.4%	85.5%	70.2%
Colombia	Palermo	2017	60.4%	76.5%	44.3%
Colombia	Palestina	2000	89.8%	95.0%	82.5%
Colombia	Palestina	2000	83.4%	90.0%	74.1%
Colombia	Palestina	2017	55.7%	71.0%	38.6%
Colombia	Palestina	2017	82.7%	92.0%	68.9%
Colombia	Palmar	2000	81.8%	93.2%	69.3%
Colombia	Palmar	2017	79.4%	92.2%	63.2%
Colombia	Palmar de Varela	2000	93.6%	96.9%	89.4%
Colombia	Palmar de Varela	2017	80.3%	90.3%	67.6%
Colombia	Palmas del Socorro	2000	82.5%	92.2%	68.2%
Colombia	Palmas del Socorro	2017	78.6%	91.0%	62.4%
Colombia	Palmira	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.6%
Colombia	Palmira	2017	90.1%	92.1%	87.5%
Colombia	Pamplona	2000	81.9%	86.1%	77.6%
Colombia	Pamplona	2017	77.4%	81.1%	72.9%
Colombia	Pamplonita	2000	80.7%	85.2%	74.7%
Colombia	Pamplonita	2017	77.3%	82.5%	71.4%
Colombia	Pana Pana	2000	89.6%	93.2%	85.0%
Colombia	Pana Pana	2017	76.5%	82.2%	69.8%
Colombia	Pandi	2000	85.9%	92.8%	75.9%
Colombia	Pandi	2017	78.6%	90.0%	63.1%
Colombia	Panqueba	2000	82.2%	87.0%	76.3%
Colombia	Panqueba	2017	70.8%	77.5%	62.9%
Colombia	Papunahua	2000	83.0%	88.7%	75.7%
Colombia	Papunahua	2017	80.7%	86.3%	73.0%
Colombia	Páramo	2000	81.6%	88.7%	74.0%
Colombia	Páramo	2017	77.3%	86.3%	67.2%
Colombia	Paratebueno	2000	91.9%	97.4%	82.3%
Colombia	Paratebueno	2017	88.3%	95.8%	72.7%
Colombia	Pasca	2000	87.8%	93.3%	80.3%
Colombia	Pasca	2017	74.3%	86.5%	59.8%
Colombia	Patía	2000	89.2%	91.5%	86.5%
Colombia	Patía	2017	58.0%	63.8%	52.7%
Colombia	Pauna	2000	80.7%	86.8%	73.5%
Colombia	Pauna	2017	70.6%	79.7%	59.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Paya	2000	94.7%	98.3%	86.0%
Colombia	Paya	2017	94.1%	98.0%	85.9%
Colombia	Paz de Ariporo	2000	97.4%	98.8%	95.0%
Colombia	Paz de Ariporo	2017	95.0%	96.8%	92.9%
Colombia	Paz de Río	2000	81.6%	91.5%	69.8%
Colombia	Paz de Río	2017	71.4%	87.2%	51.0%
Colombia	Pedraza	2000	83.4%	89.1%	76.9%
Colombia	Pedraza	2017	64.6%	76.5%	51.0%
Colombia	Pelaya	2000	70.0%	78.1%	62.0%
Colombia	Pelaya	2017	61.0%	71.9%	49.6%
Colombia	Peñol	2000	78.1%	88.9%	67.5%
Colombia	Peñol	2017	76.8%	88.1%	62.8%
Colombia	Pensilvania	2000	91.2%	95.5%	85.7%
Colombia	Pensilvania	2017	80.1%	89.0%	65.8%
Colombia	Pequé	2000	79.9%	88.3%	68.1%
Colombia	Pequé	2017	73.9%	86.0%	60.9%
Colombia	Pereira	2000	91.0%	93.7%	87.2%
Colombia	Pereira	2017	88.5%	91.7%	84.1%
Colombia	Pesca	2000	84.3%	89.6%	78.2%
Colombia	Pesca	2017	71.0%	80.6%	60.4%
Colombia	Piedecuesta	2000	78.7%	82.3%	74.1%
Colombia	Piedecuesta	2017	75.0%	79.2%	69.8%
Colombia	Piedras	2000	86.7%	93.5%	77.6%
Colombia	Piedras	2017	83.4%	92.9%	70.9%
Colombia	Piendamó	2000	86.4%	89.0%	83.5%
Colombia	Piendamó	2017	47.5%	54.6%	41.6%
Colombia	Pijao	2000	88.1%	96.5%	76.9%
Colombia	Pijao	2017	86.6%	96.2%	70.3%
Colombia	Pinchote	2000	82.0%	86.4%	77.5%
Colombia	Pinchote	2017	79.2%	83.4%	74.7%
Colombia	Pinillos	2000	86.3%	89.8%	81.9%
Colombia	Pinillos	2017	62.9%	73.6%	52.9%
Colombia	Piojó	2000	94.1%	97.9%	87.7%
Colombia	Piojó	2017	75.9%	91.5%	55.3%
Colombia	Pisba	2000	88.5%	95.8%	77.2%
Colombia	Pisba	2017	84.4%	93.4%	68.1%
Colombia	Pital	2000	81.3%	87.5%	74.0%
Colombia	Pital	2017	61.0%	76.3%	44.8%
Colombia	Pitalito	2000	87.1%	89.6%	84.3%
Colombia	Pitalito	2017	76.1%	79.1%	73.2%
Colombia	Pivijay	2000	83.0%	88.1%	76.4%
Colombia	Pivijay	2017	65.3%	78.6%	51.2%
Colombia	Planadas	2000	87.2%	92.0%	80.9%
Colombia	Planadas	2017	71.3%	82.6%	59.2%
Colombia	Planeta Rica	2000	86.4%	89.7%	82.7%
Colombia	Planeta Rica	2017	66.7%	76.8%	56.0%
Colombia	Plato	2000	82.1%	86.7%	76.0%
Colombia	Plato	2017	63.9%	71.6%	53.1%
Colombia	Policarpa	2000	95.4%	97.2%	93.5%
Colombia	Policarpa	2017	70.7%	80.8%	61.2%
Colombia	Polonuevo	2000	96.7%	97.8%	95.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Polonuevo	2017	87.0%	91.0%	83.0%
Colombia	Ponedera	2000	92.0%	95.5%	87.0%
Colombia	Ponedera	2017	78.0%	88.3%	63.1%
Colombia	Popayán	2000	88.3%	89.5%	87.2%
Colombia	Popayán	2017	52.3%	55.3%	49.1%
Colombia	Pore	2000	97.9%	99.5%	94.7%
Colombia	Pore	2017	97.4%	99.1%	94.1%
Colombia	Potosí	2000	95.3%	96.7%	93.6%
Colombia	Potosí	2017	72.2%	81.3%	61.4%
Colombia	Pradera	2000	94.7%	96.6%	92.5%
Colombia	Pradera	2017	89.2%	92.4%	85.0%
Colombia	Prado	2000	87.1%	93.3%	80.5%
Colombia	Prado	2017	79.3%	90.1%	68.5%
Colombia	Providencia	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Colombia	Providencia	2017	67.1%	74.1%	59.4%
Colombia	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	84.7%	89.2%	79.2%
Colombia	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	65.3%	75.0%	51.6%
Colombia	Pueblo Rico	2000	78.6%	88.7%	66.1%
Colombia	Pueblo Rico	2017	70.9%	86.3%	49.0%
Colombia	Pueblo Viejo	2000	81.6%	87.5%	74.8%
Colombia	Pueblo Viejo	2017	56.2%	70.9%	41.0%
Colombia	Pueblorrico	2000	78.2%	89.6%	66.8%
Colombia	Pueblorrico	2017	74.8%	88.0%	58.9%
Colombia	Puente Nacional	2000	83.8%	90.6%	75.5%
Colombia	Puente Nacional	2017	79.5%	88.5%	64.8%
Colombia	Puerres	2000	93.4%	95.5%	90.6%
Colombia	Puerres	2017	62.9%	73.6%	51.7%
Colombia	Puerto Asís	2000	80.1%	85.8%	72.9%
Colombia	Puerto Asís	2017	83.6%	88.1%	77.9%
Colombia	Puerto Berrío	2000	80.4%	86.4%	74.4%
Colombia	Puerto Berrío	2017	75.9%	83.5%	68.7%
Colombia	Puerto Boyacá	2000	82.0%	88.2%	75.9%
Colombia	Puerto Boyacá	2017	72.6%	80.9%	64.6%
Colombia	Puerto Caicedo	2000	81.5%	87.7%	75.1%
Colombia	Puerto Caicedo	2017	84.4%	90.1%	78.6%
Colombia	Puerto Carreño	2000	96.7%	97.8%	95.4%
Colombia	Puerto Carreño	2017	86.4%	89.3%	83.4%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2000	94.7%	97.1%	91.2%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2000	89.8%	93.4%	84.7%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2017	78.1%	88.1%	64.9%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2017	75.4%	81.5%	69.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Puerto Concordia	2000	89.1%	93.3%	84.3%
Colombia	Puerto Concordia	2017	89.3%	93.1%	84.3%
Colombia	Puerto Escondido	2000	87.1%	92.2%	80.9%
Colombia	Puerto Escondido	2017	68.2%	81.0%	53.4%
Colombia	Puerto Gaitán	2000	94.4%	97.3%	89.3%
Colombia	Puerto Gaitán	2017	88.6%	92.5%	81.4%
Colombia	Puerto Guzmán	2000	83.7%	89.2%	75.5%
Colombia	Puerto Guzmán	2017	80.4%	86.4%	72.1%
Colombia	Puerto Inírida	2000	90.7%	92.6%	88.9%
Colombia	Puerto Inírida	2017	75.5%	77.6%	73.3%
Colombia	Puerto Leguízamo	2000	82.8%	89.4%	74.6%
Colombia	Puerto Leguízamo	2017	79.4%	86.6%	71.0%
Colombia	Puerto Libertador	2000	85.1%	90.3%	77.8%
Colombia	Puerto Libertador	2017	67.3%	74.9%	56.7%
Colombia	Puerto Lleras	2000	94.7%	98.0%	89.9%
Colombia	Puerto Lleras	2017	90.5%	95.1%	83.7%
Colombia	Puerto López	2000	95.3%	97.7%	92.2%
Colombia	Puerto López	2017	91.6%	94.5%	88.4%
Colombia	Puerto Nare	2000	81.3%	89.0%	72.7%
Colombia	Puerto Nare	2017	73.9%	85.8%	59.7%
Colombia	Puerto Nariño	2000	77.6%	83.6%	70.6%
Colombia	Puerto Nariño	2017	70.5%	77.7%	62.6%
Colombia	Puerto Parra	2000	82.8%	91.4%	72.4%
Colombia	Puerto Parra	2017	80.0%	88.8%	66.7%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2000	82.8%	86.9%	78.6%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2000	93.6%	96.9%	88.7%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2017	72.8%	76.8%	68.6%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2017	90.8%	94.5%	86.3%
Colombia	Puerto Rondón	2000	97.0%	98.5%	94.6%
Colombia	Puerto Rondón	2017	96.5%	97.9%	94.5%
Colombia	Puerto Salgar	2000	87.0%	92.3%	80.7%
Colombia	Puerto Salgar	2017	78.1%	85.9%	65.9%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2000	79.9%	87.6%	70.7%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2000	79.7%	88.1%	69.0%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2017	74.6%	82.9%	64.7%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2017	75.7%	85.0%	61.5%
Colombia	Puerto Tejada	2000	92.1%	94.2%	89.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Puerto Tejada	2017	76.7%	82.2%	69.8%
Colombia	Puerto Triunfo	2000	82.0%	88.5%	73.6%
Colombia	Puerto Triunfo	2017	73.1%	83.2%	63.4%
Colombia	Puerto Wilches	2000	84.3%	91.3%	75.8%
Colombia	Puerto Wilches	2017	76.3%	87.7%	61.8%
Colombia	Pulí	2000	82.7%	95.0%	67.3%
Colombia	Pulí	2017	77.5%	93.7%	56.2%
Colombia	Pupiales	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.3%
Colombia	Pupiales	2017	61.5%	71.1%	53.0%
Colombia	Puracé	2000	89.8%	93.6%	84.6%
Colombia	Puracé	2017	53.2%	69.1%	35.3%
Colombia	Purificación	2000	84.8%	88.8%	79.2%
Colombia	Purificación	2017	77.3%	81.4%	72.1%
Colombia	Purísima	2000	85.8%	91.3%	78.5%
Colombia	Purísima	2017	68.7%	82.8%	51.0%
Colombia	Quebradanegra	2000	85.6%	91.9%	78.9%
Colombia	Quebradanegra	2017	80.0%	87.6%	71.0%
Colombia	Quetame	2000	90.8%	96.1%	82.6%
Colombia	Quetame	2017	84.3%	93.6%	67.2%
Colombia	Quibdó	2000	37.9%	41.1%	34.4%
Colombia	Quibdó	2017	31.4%	34.1%	28.9%
Colombia	Quimbaya	2000	91.8%	96.5%	82.8%
Colombia	Quimbaya	2017	91.5%	96.6%	81.6%
Colombia	Quinchía	2000	91.2%	95.1%	86.3%
Colombia	Quinchía	2017	81.3%	88.7%	73.1%
Colombia	Quípama	2000	86.5%	94.4%	76.8%
Colombia	Quípama	2017	77.1%	90.7%	59.6%
Colombia	Quipile	2000	85.1%	93.6%	74.5%
Colombia	Quipile	2017	80.1%	91.8%	66.4%
Colombia	Ragonvalia	2000	84.2%	93.0%	72.4%
Colombia	Ragonvalia	2017	80.9%	91.9%	64.5%
Colombia	Ramiriquí	2000	80.8%	88.0%	71.6%
Colombia	Ramiriquí	2017	70.2%	81.3%	54.6%
Colombia	Ráquira	2000	78.5%	86.4%	71.5%
Colombia	Ráquira	2017	66.4%	77.8%	55.6%
Colombia	Recetor	2000	93.0%	98.0%	83.6%
Colombia	Recetor	2017	92.1%	97.6%	81.7%
Colombia	Remedios	2000	79.1%	86.4%	69.6%
Colombia	Remedios	2017	74.7%	82.7%	64.7%
Colombia	Remolino	2000	89.5%	93.8%	83.0%
Colombia	Remolino	2017	73.2%	84.4%	59.3%
Colombia	Repelón	2000	93.1%	96.5%	89.3%
Colombia	Repelón	2017	77.9%	88.4%	65.2%
Colombia	Restrepo	2000	94.8%	98.0%	89.8%
Colombia	Restrepo	2000	95.1%	96.3%	93.4%
Colombia	Restrepo	2017	89.3%	96.4%	76.9%
Colombia	Restrepo	2017	91.7%	93.5%	89.2%
Colombia	Retiro	2000	78.3%	83.2%	73.3%
Colombia	Retiro	2017	74.9%	80.9%	68.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Ricaurte	2000	95.1%	97.0%	93.0%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2000	89.0%	91.8%	85.3%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2017	84.2%	87.8%	79.5%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2017	73.5%	80.6%	64.9%
Colombia	Río de Oro	2000	72.7%	79.6%	63.7%
Colombia	Río de Oro	2017	60.3%	68.6%	51.7%
Colombia	Río Viejo	2000	83.6%	90.9%	76.3%
Colombia	Río Viejo	2017	64.0%	80.1%	46.8%
Colombia	Rioblanco	2000	87.2%	93.1%	78.8%
Colombia	Rioblanco	2017	78.9%	87.7%	67.4%
Colombia	Riofrío	2000	92.6%	97.3%	85.2%
Colombia	Riofrío	2017	83.6%	94.3%	66.4%
Colombia	Riohacha	2000	68.7%	72.7%	65.2%
Colombia	Riohacha	2017	51.6%	55.8%	46.4%
Colombia	Rionegro	2000	76.5%	80.3%	71.6%
Colombia	Rionegro	2000	80.7%	86.7%	75.0%
Colombia	Rionegro	2017	73.4%	77.8%	68.5%
Colombia	Rionegro	2017	78.2%	84.0%	71.9%
Colombia	Riosucio	2000	45.4%	52.7%	39.1%
Colombia	Riosucio	2000	89.8%	94.4%	83.9%
Colombia	Riosucio	2017	79.1%	88.9%	68.6%
Colombia	Riosucio	2017	39.1%	46.3%	31.3%
Colombia	Risaralda	2000	94.0%	96.0%	91.4%
Colombia	Risaralda	2017	87.7%	91.8%	82.7%
Colombia	Rivera	2000	86.0%	92.3%	77.8%
Colombia	Rivera	2017	75.0%	87.5%	57.4%
Colombia	Roberto Payán	2000	95.6%	97.2%	93.7%
Colombia	Roberto Payán	2017	68.9%	76.6%	61.4%
Colombia	Roldanillo	2000	93.6%	97.2%	88.3%
Colombia	Roldanillo	2017	88.0%	94.8%	78.5%
Colombia	Roncesvalles	2000	87.4%	94.9%	77.1%
Colombia	Roncesvalles	2017	77.5%	91.0%	60.0%
Colombia	Rondón	2000	79.1%	87.1%	70.4%
Colombia	Rondón	2017	65.2%	78.6%	49.8%
Colombia	Rosas	2000	88.0%	90.7%	84.2%
Colombia	Rosas	2017	53.5%	61.8%	44.8%
Colombia	Rovira	2000	78.5%	88.1%	65.1%
Colombia	Rovira	2017	68.9%	82.7%	47.2%
Colombia	Sabana de Torres	2000	82.2%	91.4%	67.6%
Colombia	Sabana de Torres	2017	79.0%	89.3%	62.3%
Colombia	Sabanagrande	2000	95.3%	97.7%	92.2%
Colombia	Sabanagrande	2017	84.5%	92.8%	72.1%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	94.9%	98.3%	88.7%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	79.3%	87.7%	69.7%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	95.8%	97.5%	93.9%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	95.2%	98.2%	90.0%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	76.4%	84.6%	67.6%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	85.3%	90.5%	78.6%
Colombia	Sabaneta	2000	80.2%	83.9%	75.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Sabaneta	2017	75.9%	79.8%	71.2%
Colombia	Saboyá	2000	84.0%	89.6%	77.7%
Colombia	Saboyá	2017	72.8%	82.9%	60.8%
Colombia	Sácama	2000	96.2%	98.9%	91.2%
Colombia	Sácama	2017	94.9%	98.2%	87.1%
Colombia	Sáchica	2000	79.8%	86.3%	72.8%
Colombia	Sáchica	2017	68.6%	77.8%	57.2%
Colombia	Saladoblanco	2000	87.7%	92.7%	81.0%
Colombia	Saladoblanco	2017	70.9%	83.4%	56.7%
Colombia	Salamina	2000	94.9%	97.8%	90.2%
Colombia	Salamina	2000	88.1%	92.3%	82.4%
Colombia	Salamina	2017	72.1%	82.4%	61.3%
Colombia	Salamina	2017	85.2%	93.8%	72.8%
Colombia	Salazar de las Palmas	2000	80.8%	89.9%	68.7%
Colombia	Salazar de las Palmas	2017	73.6%	86.0%	55.6%
Colombia	Saldaña	2000	79.5%	85.7%	71.7%
Colombia	Saldaña	2017	67.6%	78.6%	54.6%
Colombia	Salento	2000	86.5%	94.0%	77.0%
Colombia	Salento	2017	86.9%	94.7%	74.6%
Colombia	Salgar	2000	76.9%	83.2%	70.3%
Colombia	Salgar	2017	74.9%	80.6%	68.0%
Colombia	Samacá	2000	83.6%	88.2%	78.6%
Colombia	Samacá	2017	71.7%	77.0%	65.6%
Colombia	Samaná	2000	88.7%	93.2%	84.0%
Colombia	Samaná	2017	80.2%	87.2%	72.0%
Colombia	Samaniego	2000	95.0%	96.4%	93.3%
Colombia	Samaniego	2017	70.3%	75.3%	64.8%
Colombia	Sampués	2000	77.7%	82.2%	72.8%
Colombia	Sampués	2017	55.9%	61.9%	49.4%
Colombia	San Agustín	2000	91.3%	94.5%	87.0%
Colombia	San Agustín	2017	74.9%	83.7%	65.1%
Colombia	San Alberto	2000	71.6%	82.0%	61.5%
Colombia	San Alberto	2017	66.6%	78.3%	55.0%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2000	80.0%	90.1%	67.2%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2000	81.2%	87.2%	73.9%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2017	77.6%	84.3%	70.0%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2017	75.0%	88.0%	56.9%
Colombia	San Andrés de Sotavento	2000	85.2%	88.5%	81.3%
Colombia	San Andrés de Sotavento	2017	65.7%	73.5%	56.4%
Colombia	San Antero	2000	87.2%	92.2%	79.6%
Colombia	San Antero	2017	71.4%	81.6%	57.9%
Colombia	San Antonio	2000	80.7%	87.6%	73.2%
Colombia	San Antonio	2017	71.3%	80.3%	61.2%
Colombia	San Antonio de Palmito	2000	84.1%	90.0%	76.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Antonio de Palmito	2017	70.3%	82.7%	56.1%
Colombia	San Antonio del Tequendama	2000	86.8%	92.7%	78.5%
Colombia	San Antonio del Tequendama	2017	81.2%	89.7%	70.6%
Colombia	San Benito	2000	80.0%	88.9%	68.2%
Colombia	San Benito	2017	75.3%	86.3%	57.3%
Colombia	San Benito Abad	2000	81.3%	87.1%	74.0%
Colombia	San Benito Abad	2017	67.9%	76.9%	58.1%
Colombia	San Bernardino de Sahagún	2000	85.6%	89.4%	81.3%
Colombia	San Bernardino de Sahagún	2017	66.8%	75.5%	55.9%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2000	90.7%	95.7%	82.1%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2017	82.0%	92.1%	67.5%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2017	76.2%	82.8%	67.9%
Colombia	San Bernardo del Viento	2000	86.0%	93.0%	76.2%
Colombia	San Bernardo del Viento	2017	67.6%	84.0%	45.3%
Colombia	San Calixto	2000	78.4%	86.8%	68.8%
Colombia	San Calixto	2017	74.0%	83.8%	62.7%
Colombia	San Carlos	2000	82.3%	88.3%	75.1%
Colombia	San Carlos	2000	76.9%	86.2%	64.9%
Colombia	San Carlos	2017	73.4%	85.6%	57.0%
Colombia	San Carlos	2017	63.3%	75.7%	50.0%
Colombia	San Carlos de Guaroa	2000	95.6%	98.2%	91.5%
Colombia	San Carlos de Guaroa	2017	91.4%	95.1%	85.7%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2000	86.6%	94.6%	74.6%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2000	73.6%	82.5%	62.4%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2017	78.0%	90.8%	58.1%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2017	62.5%	78.3%	43.4%
Colombia	San Diego	2000	72.5%	79.7%	65.2%
Colombia	San Diego	2017	66.3%	76.2%	55.5%
Colombia	San Eduardo	2000	79.2%	89.1%	67.2%
Colombia	San Eduardo	2017	71.2%	83.7%	56.5%
Colombia	San Estanislao de Kostka	2000	89.7%	93.1%	84.9%
Colombia	San Estanislao de Kostka	2017	68.4%	77.2%	57.8%
Colombia	San Felipe	2000	89.3%	95.5%	80.8%
Colombia	San Felipe	2017	76.0%	86.9%	61.9%
Colombia	San Fernando	2000	86.6%	91.9%	80.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Fernando	2017	65.4%	75.7%	55.7%
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	89.0%	94.0%	81.2%
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	80.4%	91.2%	67.3%
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	88.8%	93.0%	83.9%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	85.0%	92.3%	73.8%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	75.7%	87.2%	61.0%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	83.6%	89.0%	77.2%
Colombia	San Gil	2000	81.4%	86.5%	75.3%
Colombia	San Gil	2017	79.2%	84.3%	71.8%
Colombia	San Jacinto	2000	86.9%	90.8%	82.1%
Colombia	San Jacinto	2017	68.8%	78.2%	59.0%
Colombia	San Jerónimo	2000	74.2%	81.2%	65.7%
Colombia	San Jerónimo	2017	79.3%	85.6%	71.3%
Colombia	San Joaquín	2000	85.6%	92.8%	77.7%
Colombia	San Joaquín	2017	80.8%	89.3%	70.7%
Colombia	San José de Cúcuta	2000	78.5%	81.0%	75.8%
Colombia	San José de Cúcuta	2017	73.9%	76.0%	71.0%
Colombia	San José de la Montaña	2000	80.7%	90.8%	67.4%
Colombia	San José de la Montaña	2017	73.8%	86.5%	57.2%
Colombia	San José de Miranda	2000	83.3%	89.5%	75.2%
Colombia	San José de Miranda	2017	79.3%	86.8%	69.0%
Colombia	San Jose de Ocune	2000	96.1%	97.3%	95.0%
Colombia	San Jose de Ocune	2017	86.1%	88.5%	83.7%
Colombia	San José de Pare	2000	80.3%	85.8%	74.2%
Colombia	San José de Pare	2017	74.0%	80.2%	67.7%
Colombia	San José del Fragua	2000	84.4%	90.0%	77.9%
Colombia	San José del Fragua	2017	69.8%	77.9%	59.7%
Colombia	San José del Guaviare	2000	84.6%	87.1%	81.9%
Colombia	San José del Guaviare	2017	83.7%	85.4%	81.8%
Colombia	San José del Palmar	2000	77.2%	87.6%	63.4%
Colombia	San José del Palmar	2017	62.0%	79.8%	39.8%
Colombia	San Juan de Arama	2000	95.7%	98.3%	91.8%
Colombia	San Juan de Arama	2017	91.4%	95.1%	84.4%
Colombia	San Juan de Betulia	2000	81.0%	87.5%	73.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Juan de Betulia	2017	70.1%	81.4%	57.2%
Colombia	San Juan de Pasto	2000	96.1%	96.7%	95.3%
Colombia	San Juan de Pasto	2017	75.5%	78.1%	72.6%
Colombia	San Juan de Río Seco	2000	82.0%	92.7%	68.5%
Colombia	San Juan de Río Seco	2017	76.4%	91.2%	57.9%
Colombia	San Juan de Urabá	2000	81.3%	88.9%	73.0%
Colombia	San Juan de Urabá	2017	74.2%	83.7%	61.6%
Colombia	San Juan del Cesar	2000	70.1%	77.4%	62.6%
Colombia	San Juan del Cesar	2017	60.9%	69.1%	53.2%
Colombia	San Juan Nepomuceno	2000	86.4%	89.6%	82.4%
Colombia	San Juan Nepomuceno	2017	65.7%	71.3%	60.1%
Colombia	San Juanito	2000	93.1%	96.9%	87.1%
Colombia	San Juanito	2017	86.7%	93.6%	77.0%
Colombia	San Lorenzo	2000	96.0%	97.9%	92.8%
Colombia	San Lorenzo	2017	76.2%	87.3%	55.5%
Colombia	San Luis	2000	77.0%	89.2%	64.7%
Colombia	San Luis	2017	74.3%	87.4%	59.3%
Colombia	San Luis	2000	80.9%	88.9%	70.8%
Colombia	San Luis	2017	76.0%	86.8%	58.1%
Colombia	San Luis de Cubarral	2000	95.5%	97.7%	92.1%
Colombia	San Luis de Cubarral	2017	90.6%	94.6%	84.9%
Colombia	San Luis de Gaceno	2000	81.5%	93.1%	62.2%
Colombia	San Luis de Gaceno	2017	77.5%	91.9%	52.5%
Colombia	San Luis de Palenque	2000	97.4%	99.3%	93.7%
Colombia	San Luis de Palenque	2017	95.5%	98.0%	91.1%
Colombia	San Marcos	2000	83.1%	87.3%	78.0%
Colombia	San Marcos	2017	69.2%	77.3%	60.0%
Colombia	San Martín	2000	70.4%	80.0%	60.7%
Colombia	San Martín	2000	95.8%	97.6%	93.4%
Colombia	San Martín	2017	61.4%	73.7%	47.6%
Colombia	San Martín	2017	91.7%	94.5%	88.5%
Colombia	San Martín de Loba	2000	86.5%	92.0%	79.6%
Colombia	San Martín de Loba	2017	70.3%	82.2%	51.5%
Colombia	San Mateo	2000	81.0%	87.7%	73.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Mateo	2017	68.7%	78.2%	56.0%
Colombia	San Miguel	2000	78.1%	87.3%	66.7%
Colombia	San Miguel	2017	75.9%	86.7%	61.5%
Colombia	San Miguel de Mocoa	2000	86.7%	90.4%	82.4%
Colombia	San Miguel de Mocoa	2017	84.0%	87.5%	80.0%
Colombia	San Miguel de Sema	2000	83.2%	88.9%	77.6%
Colombia	San Miguel de Sema	2017	75.1%	82.5%	67.2%
Colombia	San Onofre	2000	82.9%	87.5%	77.1%
Colombia	San Onofre	2017	68.8%	75.8%	59.8%
Colombia	San Pablo	2000	85.0%	90.7%	77.7%
Colombia	San Pablo	2000	94.8%	96.5%	92.2%
Colombia	San Pablo	2017	72.1%	82.2%	58.9%
Colombia	San Pablo	2017	77.4%	85.8%	67.3%
Colombia	San Pablo de Borbur	2000	83.5%	90.3%	76.8%
Colombia	San Pablo de Borbur	2017	73.9%	83.3%	61.5%
Colombia	San Pedro	2000	93.9%	96.1%	90.8%
Colombia	San Pedro	2000	82.6%	88.7%	75.4%
Colombia	San Pedro	2017	89.1%	92.4%	84.3%
Colombia	San Pedro	2017	69.7%	80.2%	58.2%
Colombia	San Pedro de Cartago	2000	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Colombia	San Pedro de Cartago	2017	73.2%	79.9%	65.2%
Colombia	San Pedro de los Milagros	2000	77.7%	86.3%	67.7%
Colombia	San Pedro de los Milagros	2017	73.4%	84.1%	60.6%
Colombia	San Pedro de Urabá	2000	83.2%	89.7%	75.6%
Colombia	San Pedro de Urabá	2017	72.1%	82.5%	59.9%
Colombia	San Pelayo	2000	84.2%	89.0%	79.1%
Colombia	San Pelayo	2017	61.4%	73.1%	48.9%
Colombia	San Rafael	2000	76.7%	84.1%	66.5%
Colombia	San Rafael	2017	74.8%	82.2%	65.6%
Colombia	San Roque	2000	78.1%	85.9%	68.1%
Colombia	San Roque	2017	74.8%	84.5%	64.3%
Colombia	San Sebastián	2000	93.1%	95.5%	89.9%
Colombia	San Sebastián	2017	71.8%	81.1%	60.9%
Colombia	San Sebastián de Buenavista	2000	83.9%	88.4%	78.5%
Colombia	San Sebastián de Buenavista	2017	67.5%	76.5%	57.1%
Colombia	San Sebastian de Mariquita	2000	85.8%	90.9%	79.7%
Colombia	San Sebastian de Mariquita	2017	76.9%	85.0%	67.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Vicente	2000	80.0%	89.0%	71.1%
Colombia	San Vicente	2017	77.1%	87.4%	64.3%
Colombia	San Vicente de Chucurí	2000	83.1%	90.2%	73.1%
Colombia	San Vicente de Chucurí	2017	79.7%	87.7%	66.9%
Colombia	San Vicente del Caguán	2000	83.9%	86.7%	80.7%
Colombia	San Vicente del Caguán	2017	74.1%	76.9%	71.4%
Colombia	San Zenón	2000	85.5%	91.2%	79.1%
Colombia	San Zenón	2017	69.9%	81.3%	58.6%
Colombia	Sandoná	2000	93.9%	96.2%	90.8%
Colombia	Sandoná	2017	69.2%	82.6%	55.1%
Colombia	Santa Ana	2000	83.6%	87.5%	79.2%
Colombia	Santa Ana	2017	67.7%	76.1%	58.6%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	81.1%	89.5%	70.9%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	81.3%	87.6%	74.4%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	94.8%	97.2%	91.6%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	75.9%	87.7%	58.6%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	76.9%	84.3%	68.2%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	65.6%	79.8%	48.3%
Colombia	Santa Catalina	2000	91.0%	94.4%	86.5%
Colombia	Santa Catalina	2017	69.5%	80.2%	57.0%
Colombia	Santa Cruz	2000	96.3%	97.7%	94.2%
Colombia	Santa Cruz	2017	74.6%	85.1%	61.9%
Colombia	Santa Cruz de Lórica	2000	87.6%	90.3%	84.5%
Colombia	Santa Cruz de Lórica	2017	68.3%	74.5%	61.3%
Colombia	Santa Fe de Antioquia	2000	76.9%	82.7%	70.0%
Colombia	Santa Fe de Antioquia	2017	75.3%	81.2%	68.5%
Colombia	Santa Helena del Opón	2000	83.5%	94.0%	68.7%
Colombia	Santa Helena del Opón	2017	79.8%	92.7%	60.5%
Colombia	Santa Isabel	2000	88.9%	93.6%	82.2%
Colombia	Santa Isabel	2017	74.6%	86.1%	61.7%
Colombia	Santa Lucía	2000	89.1%	95.2%	82.3%
Colombia	Santa Lucía	2017	71.1%	87.2%	50.8%
Colombia	Santa María	2000	84.4%	92.5%	73.5%
Colombia	Santa María	2000	77.8%	90.9%	59.0%
Colombia	Santa María	2017	61.9%	82.2%	41.6%
Colombia	Santa María	2017	72.5%	89.8%	47.2%
Colombia	Santa Marta (Dist. Esp.)	2000	80.3%	83.6%	77.2%
Colombia	Santa Marta (Dist. Esp.)	2017	65.3%	71.1%	59.5%
Colombia	Santa Rita	2000	95.8%	97.4%	93.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Santa Rita	2017	84.7%	88.3%	80.0%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2000	87.9%	92.0%	83.8%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2000	89.8%	92.7%	86.5%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2017	76.1%	83.1%	68.1%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2017	69.5%	77.2%	62.1%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Cabal	2000	87.6%	93.9%	78.4%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Cabal	2017	81.6%	91.1%	61.7%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Osos	2000	77.3%	87.7%	66.7%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Osos	2017	71.0%	83.8%	56.1%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2000	85.0%	88.5%	81.4%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2017	73.2%	78.4%	66.5%
Colombia	Santa Rosa del Sur	2000	86.5%	90.3%	81.5%
Colombia	Santa Rosa del Sur	2017	66.9%	74.2%	59.5%
Colombia	Santa Rosalía	2000	97.0%	98.4%	95.1%
Colombia	Santa Rosalía	2017	88.9%	92.3%	85.1%
Colombia	Santa Sofía	2000	82.1%	90.1%	72.6%
Colombia	Santa Sofía	2017	71.8%	86.3%	53.3%
Colombia	Santafé de Bogotá	2000	93.7%	95.2%	91.9%
Colombia	Santafé de Bogotá	2017	92.3%	94.1%	89.9%
Colombia	Santana	2000	79.3%	88.3%	68.9%
Colombia	Santana	2017	71.8%	84.0%	54.7%
Colombia	Santander de Quilichao	2000	89.8%	91.7%	87.5%
Colombia	Santander de Quilichao	2017	58.3%	62.9%	53.1%
Colombia	Santiago	2000	91.5%	95.1%	86.1%
Colombia	Santiago	2000	74.9%	87.6%	60.0%
Colombia	Santiago	2017	81.2%	88.6%	72.7%
Colombia	Santiago	2017	66.4%	84.0%	42.0%
Colombia	Santiago de Cali	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Colombia	Santiago de Cali	2017	91.5%	92.8%	90.2%
Colombia	Santo Domingo	2000	75.4%	87.3%	61.1%
Colombia	Santo Domingo	2017	71.5%	87.0%	52.7%
Colombia	Santo Domingo de Silos	2000	90.8%	95.4%	84.0%
Colombia	Santo Domingo de Silos	2017	85.2%	91.8%	74.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Santo Tomás	2000	94.4%	97.1%	91.1%
Colombia	Santo Tomás	2017	81.7%	90.7%	68.6%
Colombia	Santuario	2000	92.6%	97.7%	84.4%
Colombia	Santuario	2017	85.5%	95.9%	70.1%
Colombia	Sapuyes	2000	95.7%	97.4%	93.3%
Colombia	Sapuyes	2017	66.0%	79.2%	49.8%
Colombia	Saravena	2000	97.0%	98.5%	95.0%
Colombia	Saravena	2017	96.8%	98.0%	95.4%
Colombia	Sardinata	2000	81.9%	88.6%	73.8%
Colombia	Sardinata	2017	77.8%	85.9%	66.8%
Colombia	Sasaima	2000	85.8%	91.8%	79.0%
Colombia	Sasaima	2017	81.4%	89.0%	72.5%
Colombia	Sativanorte	2000	87.8%	92.9%	80.9%
Colombia	Sativanorte	2017	76.9%	87.4%	62.6%
Colombia	Sativasur	2000	84.4%	91.4%	74.7%
Colombia	Sativasur	2017	73.9%	87.0%	57.2%
Colombia	Segovia	2000	78.6%	85.9%	70.9%
Colombia	Segovia	2017	73.6%	82.3%	63.8%
Colombia	Sesquilé	2000	83.7%	91.4%	73.0%
Colombia	Sesquilé	2017	76.1%	86.7%	62.1%
Colombia	Sevilla	2000	94.0%	96.9%	89.7%
Colombia	Sevilla	2017	91.2%	95.2%	85.6%
Colombia	Siachoque	2000	82.8%	88.1%	75.9%
Colombia	Siachoque	2017	67.7%	77.3%	53.5%
Colombia	Sibaté	2000	85.0%	89.1%	81.0%
Colombia	Sibaté	2017	78.1%	81.7%	73.9%
Colombia	Sibundoy	2000	91.0%	94.3%	87.1%
Colombia	Sibundoy	2017	83.4%	87.9%	76.9%
Colombia	Silvania	2000	87.2%	92.1%	80.5%
Colombia	Silvania	2017	80.5%	87.3%	70.2%
Colombia	Silvia	2000	89.5%	91.6%	87.0%
Colombia	Silvia	2017	54.5%	60.6%	48.5%
Colombia	Simacota	2000	83.2%	90.2%	73.9%
Colombia	Simacota	2017	79.6%	88.9%	67.8%
Colombia	Simijaca	2000	81.7%	88.6%	74.1%
Colombia	Simijaca	2017	69.2%	82.6%	54.2%
Colombia	Simití	2000	84.9%	90.7%	78.5%
Colombia	Simití	2017	67.1%	77.6%	56.6%
Colombia	Sincé	2000	83.6%	87.0%	79.7%
Colombia	Sincé	2017	72.0%	76.9%	66.2%
Colombia	Sincelejo	2000	80.8%	82.9%	78.6%
Colombia	Sincelejo	2017	68.6%	70.2%	67.0%
Colombia	Sipí	2000	56.2%	68.3%	43.7%
Colombia	Sipí	2017	44.3%	62.6%	27.5%
Colombia	Soacha	2000	82.4%	87.0%	77.6%
Colombia	Soacha	2017	74.2%	80.1%	67.5%
Colombia	Soatá	2000	88.3%	95.2%	78.8%
Colombia	Soatá	2017	77.0%	90.7%	61.0%
Colombia	Socha	2000	84.6%	91.7%	74.5%
Colombia	Socha	2017	75.5%	88.2%	56.2%
Colombia	Socorro	2000	81.8%	91.2%	70.3%
Colombia	Socorro	2017	79.1%	90.2%	65.3%
Colombia	Socotá	2000	87.1%	93.1%	79.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Socotá	2017	78.2%	88.3%	65.3%
Colombia	Sogamoso	2000	86.8%	91.2%	80.5%
Colombia	Sogamoso	2017	78.7%	86.4%	67.6%
Colombia	Solano	2000	81.4%	85.3%	77.3%
Colombia	Solano	2017	74.5%	78.9%	69.8%
Colombia	Soledad	2000	96.6%	97.1%	96.1%
Colombia	Soledad	2017	87.5%	88.8%	86.0%
Colombia	Somondoco	2000	79.0%	87.7%	68.3%
Colombia	Somondoco	2017	64.4%	79.0%	44.6%
Colombia	Sonsón	2000	84.1%	88.8%	78.0%
Colombia	Sonsón	2017	76.0%	82.1%	69.3%
Colombia	Sopetrán	2000	74.8%	82.8%	64.0%
Colombia	Sopetrán	2017	75.2%	83.9%	65.0%
Colombia	Soplaviento	2000	90.2%	93.9%	86.2%
Colombia	Soplaviento	2017	71.5%	80.5%	60.9%
Colombia	Sopó	2000	83.6%	88.1%	79.1%
Colombia	Sopó	2017	76.2%	82.7%	69.7%
Colombia	Sora	2000	81.7%	88.3%	73.5%
Colombia	Sora	2017	70.1%	81.8%	53.5%
Colombia	Soracá	2000	83.3%	88.9%	75.8%
Colombia	Soracá	2017	73.1%	82.3%	62.3%
Colombia	Sotaquirá	2000	83.5%	92.2%	72.4%
Colombia	Sotaquirá	2017	71.1%	88.4%	48.0%
Colombia	Sotará	2000	86.9%	89.3%	83.7%
Colombia	Sotará	2017	41.1%	48.5%	34.8%
Colombia	Suaita	2000	80.8%	88.0%	71.7%
Colombia	Suaita	2017	73.4%	83.5%	60.9%
Colombia	Suan	2000	87.3%	94.5%	78.8%
Colombia	Suan	2017	68.2%	86.4%	44.9%
Colombia	Suárez	2000	93.6%	96.2%	89.6%
Colombia	Suárez	2000	83.7%	88.1%	78.7%
Colombia	Suárez	2017	77.6%	83.5%	70.6%
Colombia	Suárez	2017	68.2%	80.3%	52.8%
Colombia	Suaza	2000	87.7%	92.3%	82.2%
Colombia	Suaza	2017	76.3%	84.6%	63.8%
Colombia	Subachoque	2000	89.6%	93.9%	82.9%
Colombia	Subachoque	2017	85.6%	92.3%	75.7%
Colombia	Sucre	2000	85.1%	90.9%	77.0%
Colombia	Sucre	2000	83.9%	91.5%	73.5%
Colombia	Sucre	2017	80.4%	88.5%	68.3%
Colombia	Sucre	2017	69.3%	83.7%	45.4%
Colombia	Suesca	2000	85.5%	92.3%	76.3%
Colombia	Suesca	2017	80.5%	89.7%	69.1%
Colombia	Supatá	2000	86.2%	93.4%	75.5%
Colombia	Supatá	2017	81.1%	91.3%	65.0%
Colombia	Supía	2000	92.3%	95.7%	87.7%
Colombia	Supía	2017	83.9%	91.0%	73.5%
Colombia	Suratá	2000	81.7%	93.8%	64.4%
Colombia	Suratá	2017	76.1%	92.7%	53.6%
Colombia	Susa	2000	85.4%	92.7%	77.1%
Colombia	Susa	2017	76.4%	88.7%	60.6%
Colombia	Susacón	2000	89.8%	95.3%	82.1%
Colombia	Susacón	2017	79.0%	90.5%	63.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Sutamarchán	2000	78.6%	84.1%	72.9%
Colombia	Sutamarchán	2017	67.7%	73.8%	61.2%
Colombia	Sutatausa	2000	88.0%	94.4%	79.8%
Colombia	Sutatausa	2017	80.8%	91.3%	67.4%
Colombia	Sutatenza	2000	74.8%	82.3%	65.7%
Colombia	Sutatenza	2017	60.9%	74.0%	47.4%
Colombia	Tabio	2000	88.4%	93.3%	82.0%
Colombia	Tabio	2017	83.2%	90.6%	73.7%
Colombia	Tadó	2000	38.3%	43.7%	33.0%
Colombia	Tadó	2017	35.1%	39.9%	30.5%
Colombia	Talaigua	2000	87.4%	93.1%	80.5%
Colombia	Nuevo Talaigua	2017	68.7%	82.4%	51.0%
Colombia	Tamalameque	2000	78.2%	86.3%	68.2%
Colombia	Tamalameque	2017	66.8%	78.8%	51.1%
Colombia	Támara	2000	96.1%	98.9%	91.1%
Colombia	Támara	2017	95.8%	98.4%	90.6%
Colombia	Tame	2000	97.1%	98.4%	95.3%
Colombia	Tame	2017	96.6%	97.8%	94.6%
Colombia	Támesis	2000	83.2%	90.0%	75.3%
Colombia	Támesis	2017	76.5%	84.9%	66.2%
Colombia	Taminango	2000	95.9%	97.6%	93.5%
Colombia	Taminango	2017	77.9%	87.5%	62.2%
Colombia	Tangua	2000	95.0%	97.2%	91.9%
Colombia	Tangua	2017	68.5%	82.5%	49.7%
Colombia	Taraira	2000	81.4%	90.3%	70.5%
Colombia	Taraira	2017	76.0%	84.0%	65.4%
Colombia	Tarapacá	2000	78.6%	84.2%	72.2%
Colombia	Tarapacá	2017	72.1%	77.3%	66.7%
Colombia	Tarazá	2000	79.3%	86.4%	72.1%
Colombia	Tarazá	2017	73.8%	80.2%	66.3%
Colombia	Tarquí	2000	81.4%	87.4%	73.9%
Colombia	Tarquí	2017	58.8%	71.4%	43.7%
Colombia	Tarso	2000	77.8%	88.9%	66.2%
Colombia	Tarso	2017	75.7%	88.2%	58.6%
Colombia	Tasco	2000	83.3%	90.1%	74.8%
Colombia	Tasco	2017	70.0%	82.8%	54.8%
Colombia	Tauramena	2000	97.9%	99.2%	95.6%
Colombia	Tauramena	2017	97.2%	98.6%	95.0%
Colombia	Tausa	2000	89.4%	95.4%	80.4%
Colombia	Tausa	2017	81.2%	92.0%	65.8%
Colombia	Tello	2000	94.0%	97.3%	88.4%
Colombia	Tello	2017	90.3%	95.9%	82.0%
Colombia	Tena	2000	84.0%	90.8%	74.7%
Colombia	Tena	2017	79.4%	88.2%	65.9%
Colombia	Tenerife	2000	82.1%	88.3%	74.8%
Colombia	Tenerife	2017	63.2%	76.7%	47.3%
Colombia	Tenjo	2000	89.0%	93.1%	82.4%
Colombia	Tenjo	2017	86.4%	91.9%	77.9%
Colombia	Tenza	2000	76.2%	83.6%	66.9%
Colombia	Tenza	2017	60.3%	72.1%	48.8%
Colombia	Teorama	2000	77.5%	82.8%	70.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Teorama	2017	75.1%	80.9%	69.6%
Colombia	Teruel	2000	86.4%	92.4%	78.1%
Colombia	Teruel	2017	73.4%	84.2%	58.0%
Colombia	Tesalia	2000	83.3%	90.6%	74.6%
Colombia	Tesalia	2017	68.1%	83.7%	51.7%
Colombia	Tibacuy	2000	83.1%	90.7%	72.4%
Colombia	Tibacuy	2017	75.1%	87.3%	54.5%
Colombia	Tibaná	2000	78.8%	86.5%	70.6%
Colombia	Tibaná	2017	66.2%	77.9%	53.8%
Colombia	Tibasosa	2000	82.9%	87.3%	78.6%
Colombia	Tibasosa	2017	72.6%	80.3%	64.0%
Colombia	Tibirita	2000	75.6%	86.0%	63.2%
Colombia	Tibirita	2017	62.5%	78.8%	42.8%
Colombia	Tibú	2000	77.5%	85.0%	68.9%
Colombia	Tibú	2017	71.8%	78.9%	64.7%
Colombia	Tierralta	2000	85.7%	89.2%	81.8%
Colombia	Tierralta	2017	68.7%	77.9%	60.5%
Colombia	Timaná	2000	83.9%	87.3%	79.5%
Colombia	Timaná	2017	71.2%	76.1%	66.5%
Colombia	Timbío	2000	87.2%	90.0%	84.0%
Colombia	Timbío	2017	41.5%	51.5%	32.9%
Colombia	Timbiquí	2000	91.2%	94.1%	87.4%
Colombia	Timbiquí	2017	61.8%	69.6%	54.3%
Colombia	Tinjacá	2000	80.8%	87.6%	74.1%
Colombia	Tinjacá	2017	70.6%	79.4%	59.9%
Colombia	Tipacoque	2000	84.3%	92.2%	73.9%
Colombia	Tipacoque	2017	76.6%	88.2%	59.1%
Colombia	Titiribí	2000	77.1%	88.0%	64.7%
Colombia	Titiribí	2017	74.4%	87.1%	58.4%
Colombia	Toca	2000	83.9%	91.3%	76.4%
Colombia	Toca	2017	70.4%	84.6%	52.6%
Colombia	Tocaima	2000	83.5%	92.1%	73.8%
Colombia	Tocaima	2017	76.9%	87.8%	60.8%
Colombia	Tocancipá	2000	83.8%	88.9%	78.6%
Colombia	Tocancipá	2017	77.9%	85.9%	69.8%
Colombia	Toguí	2000	80.5%	85.0%	75.2%
Colombia	Toguí	2017	69.5%	76.3%	61.1%
Colombia	Toledo	2000	82.6%	88.9%	74.7%
Colombia	Toledo	2000	78.9%	89.2%	67.0%
Colombia	Toledo	2017	80.1%	87.1%	70.0%
Colombia	Toledo	2017	75.2%	86.8%	60.4%
Colombia	Tolú	2000	81.5%	88.2%	73.0%
Colombia	Tolú	2017	70.2%	82.3%	58.1%
Colombia	Toluviejo	2000	82.8%	88.8%	75.4%
Colombia	Toluviejo	2017	72.8%	83.1%	59.7%
Colombia	Tona	2000	86.1%	91.1%	80.4%
Colombia	Tona	2017	81.8%	88.7%	73.5%
Colombia	Topagá	2000	83.4%	86.6%	79.8%
Colombia	Topagá	2017	71.1%	74.7%	66.6%
Colombia	Topaipí	2000	88.4%	95.3%	77.2%
Colombia	Topaipí	2017	82.5%	92.2%	64.9%
Colombia	Toribío	2000	90.2%	92.8%	86.8%
Colombia	Toribío	2017	57.5%	64.1%	51.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Toro	2000	92.8%	97.1%	86.8%
Colombia	Toro	2017	87.3%	94.9%	77.0%
Colombia	Tota	2000	84.0%	89.0%	78.3%
Colombia	Tota	2017	68.5%	75.1%	60.4%
Colombia	Totoró	2000	87.5%	90.7%	84.3%
Colombia	Totoró	2017	50.0%	60.0%	40.0%
Colombia	Trinidad	2000	97.7%	99.1%	94.6%
Colombia	Trinidad	2017	96.0%	98.1%	92.7%
Colombia	Trujillo	2000	92.6%	97.6%	83.7%
Colombia	Trujillo	2017	83.4%	94.0%	63.8%
Colombia	Tubará	2000	95.0%	98.1%	90.2%
Colombia	Tubará	2017	79.2%	91.5%	61.2%
Colombia	Tuluá	2000	95.3%	96.8%	93.2%
Colombia	Tuluá	2017	92.2%	94.2%	89.6%
Colombia	Tumaco	2000	95.9%	97.3%	94.3%
Colombia	Tumaco	2017	73.3%	80.4%	64.0%
Colombia	Tunja	2000	85.9%	87.9%	83.7%
Colombia	Tunja	2017	76.6%	79.5%	73.6%
Colombia	Tunungua	2000	78.5%	87.1%	67.3%
Colombia	Tunungua	2017	70.1%	83.7%	50.0%
Colombia	Túquerres	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.2%
Colombia	Túquerres	2017	71.1%	80.8%	57.9%
Colombia	Turbaco	2000	88.8%	90.9%	86.6%
Colombia	Turbaco	2017	67.8%	72.5%	62.2%
Colombia	Turbaná	2000	87.5%	91.3%	84.0%
Colombia	Turbaná	2017	67.6%	74.3%	60.8%
Colombia	Turbo	2000	71.5%	78.1%	64.4%
Colombia	Turbo	2017	67.4%	75.0%	58.4%
Colombia	Turmequé	2000	81.3%	90.1%	69.9%
Colombia	Turmequé	2017	68.2%	83.4%	51.5%
Colombia	Tuta	2000	82.0%	90.7%	71.6%
Colombia	Tuta	2017	70.6%	85.9%	49.7%
Colombia	Tutazá	2000	87.4%	95.0%	76.1%
Colombia	Tutazá	2017	75.4%	91.4%	52.0%
Colombia	Ubalá	2000	83.3%	94.0%	70.3%
Colombia	Ubalá	2017	74.0%	91.1%	51.9%
Colombia	Ubaque	2000	91.0%	96.0%	83.8%
Colombia	Ubaque	2017	85.5%	94.0%	74.1%
Colombia	Ulloa	2000	91.2%	96.0%	83.9%
Colombia	Ulloa	2017	89.5%	95.4%	80.3%
Colombia	Umbita	2000	82.1%	89.9%	71.9%
Colombia	Umbita	2017	66.4%	82.3%	49.3%
Colombia	Une	2000	89.7%	95.7%	81.4%
Colombia	Une	2017	78.7%	91.1%	59.4%
Colombia	Unguía	2000	39.7%	47.4%	32.4%
Colombia	Unguía	2017	33.2%	42.6%	25.3%
Colombia	Uramita	2000	79.8%	88.0%	69.6%
Colombia	Uramita	2017	74.9%	85.0%	65.2%
Colombia	Uribe	2000	63.4%	68.5%	57.7%
Colombia	Uribe	2017	50.4%	55.1%	45.3%
Colombia	Urrao	2000	78.6%	85.5%	70.8%
Colombia	Urrao	2017	73.8%	81.3%	64.8%
Colombia	Urumita	2000	62.9%	70.4%	55.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Urumita	2017	51.0%	62.8%	39.0%
Colombia	Usiacurí	2000	96.8%	98.4%	93.8%
Colombia	Usiacurí	2017	86.9%	93.9%	75.7%
Colombia	Utica	2000	87.6%	94.1%	79.5%
Colombia	Utica	2017	84.0%	92.8%	73.6%
Colombia	Valdivia	2000	76.4%	85.7%	61.2%
Colombia	Valdivia	2017	75.1%	85.9%	58.8%
Colombia	Valencia	2000	83.7%	88.1%	77.8%
Colombia	Valencia	2017	64.7%	73.1%	52.7%
Colombia	Valle de San José	2000	81.1%	88.7%	71.9%
Colombia	Valle de San José	2017	77.5%	86.9%	66.8%
Colombia	Valle de San Juan	2000	79.4%	90.7%	61.9%
Colombia	Valle de San Juan	2017	73.0%	88.1%	48.6%
Colombia	Valle del Guamuez	2000	81.7%	87.3%	76.7%
Colombia	Valle del Guamuez	2017	82.9%	87.0%	77.5%
Colombia	Valledupar	2000	67.5%	70.8%	64.5%
Colombia	Valledupar	2017	59.8%	63.0%	56.6%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2000	85.0%	91.8%	75.9%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2000	81.2%	86.3%	75.2%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2017	78.9%	89.0%	65.3%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2017	72.0%	77.6%	67.0%
Colombia	Vegachí	2000	77.7%	88.4%	62.4%
Colombia	Vegachí	2017	73.9%	87.6%	56.9%
Colombia	Vélez	2000	84.0%	89.1%	78.1%
Colombia	Vélez	2017	79.4%	85.3%	72.3%
Colombia	Venadillo	2000	81.4%	87.6%	75.0%
Colombia	Venadillo	2017	75.2%	83.2%	65.8%
Colombia	Venecia	2000	89.7%	96.3%	79.5%
Colombia	Venecia	2000	77.4%	87.7%	63.7%
Colombia	Venecia	2017	75.5%	88.0%	60.0%
Colombia	Venecia	2017	81.4%	93.5%	62.4%
Colombia	Ventaquemada	2000	84.3%	90.3%	77.2%
Colombia	Ventaquemada	2017	71.9%	81.3%	57.4%
Colombia	Vergara	2000	86.4%	92.8%	77.1%
Colombia	Vergara	2017	80.3%	89.1%	68.2%
Colombia	Versalles	2000	89.8%	96.4%	77.4%
Colombia	Versalles	2017	79.2%	92.9%	55.0%
Colombia	Vetas	2000	86.7%	94.9%	75.0%
Colombia	Vetas	2017	79.4%	92.5%	58.0%
Colombia	Vianí	2000	84.4%	93.1%	73.0%
Colombia	Vianí	2017	78.2%	90.7%	58.8%
Colombia	Victoria	2000	90.1%	93.2%	85.5%
Colombia	Victoria	2017	79.5%	86.9%	70.6%
Colombia	Vigía del Fuerte	2000	54.1%	68.0%	40.4%
Colombia	Vigía del Fuerte	2017	51.3%	68.3%	33.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Vijes	2000	95.7%	98.0%	92.3%
Colombia	Vijes	2017	90.9%	95.0%	84.2%
Colombia	Villa Caro	2000	81.9%	90.1%	70.1%
Colombia	Villa Caro	2017	74.9%	85.8%	60.5%
Colombia	Villa de Leyva	2000	77.1%	87.1%	65.7%
Colombia	Villa de Leyva	2017	67.1%	82.6%	48.5%
Colombia	Villa de San Diego de Ubaté	2000	87.0%	90.8%	82.1%
Colombia	Villa de San Diego de Ubaté	2017	79.6%	83.8%	73.9%
Colombia	Villa del Rosario	2000	80.6%	83.3%	77.4%
Colombia	Villa del Rosario	2017	78.1%	80.7%	75.7%
Colombia	Villagarzón	2000	83.8%	90.9%	74.9%
Colombia	Villagarzón	2017	85.7%	90.5%	79.5%
Colombia	Villagómez	2000	86.4%	93.8%	75.4%
Colombia	Villagómez	2017	80.0%	89.8%	63.3%
Colombia	Villahermosa	2000	86.7%	90.6%	81.6%
Colombia	Villahermosa	2017	72.4%	80.9%	62.1%
Colombia	Villamaría	2000	92.3%	95.4%	87.9%
Colombia	Villamaría	2017	84.8%	91.1%	77.5%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	82.5%	90.0%	72.4%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	98.2%	99.4%	96.2%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	66.9%	74.1%	58.8%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	91.6%	95.4%	86.6%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	97.4%	98.8%	95.5%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	73.2%	85.0%	57.5%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	57.3%	67.7%	44.0%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	79.2%	88.7%	65.1%
Colombia	Villapinzón	2000	84.3%	92.0%	74.9%
Colombia	Villapinzón	2017	71.3%	87.2%	50.7%
Colombia	Villarrica	2000	89.2%	96.1%	78.5%
Colombia	Villarrica	2017	81.9%	93.5%	59.4%
Colombia	Villavicencio	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.6%
Colombia	Villavicencio	2017	92.6%	93.8%	91.1%
Colombia	Villavieja	2000	89.6%	95.5%	78.8%
Colombia	Villavieja	2017	86.0%	93.8%	70.6%
Colombia	Villeta	2000	82.0%	88.3%	76.2%
Colombia	Villeta	2017	78.7%	84.0%	72.6%
Colombia	Viotá	2000	85.3%	92.8%	74.3%
Colombia	Viotá	2017	78.9%	90.5%	59.5%
Colombia	Viracachá	2000	80.9%	88.9%	70.8%
Colombia	Viracachá	2017	70.5%	82.3%	53.9%
Colombia	Vista Hermosa	2000	95.1%	97.4%	91.6%
Colombia	Vista Hermosa	2017	91.2%	94.1%	87.2%
Colombia	Viterbo	2000	94.0%	97.9%	88.0%
Colombia	Viterbo	2017	88.2%	95.9%	74.8%
Colombia	Yacopí	2000	87.1%	93.6%	79.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Yacopí	2017	78.9%	88.8%	66.7%
Colombia	Yacuanquer	2000	93.9%	96.6%	90.1%
Colombia	Yacuanquer	2017	68.7%	83.8%	48.7%
Colombia	Yaguará	2000	80.7%	91.7%	67.7%
Colombia	Yaguará	2017	66.3%	87.3%	39.6%
Colombia	Yalí	2000	78.2%	88.6%	64.9%
Colombia	Yalí	2017	74.5%	87.5%	55.9%
Colombia	Yarumal	2000	78.3%	84.7%	71.3%
Colombia	Yarumal	2017	74.5%	79.8%	67.5%
Colombia	Yavaraté	2000	82.2%	90.3%	71.9%
Colombia	Yavaraté	2017	80.0%	88.0%	71.9%
Colombia	Yolombó	2000	77.7%	83.9%	71.1%
Colombia	Yolombó	2017	74.6%	80.4%	66.9%
Colombia	Yondó	2000	82.0%	89.3%	72.5%
Colombia	Yondó	2017	77.5%	86.8%	65.0%
Colombia	Yopal	2000	98.2%	99.3%	96.4%
Colombia	Yopal	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.5%
Colombia	Yotoco	2000	94.0%	97.7%	88.3%
Colombia	Yotoco	2017	87.3%	94.9%	73.1%
Colombia	Yumbo	2000	95.4%	96.5%	93.8%
Colombia	Yumbo	2017	91.2%	92.9%	89.0%
Colombia	Zambrano	2000	84.2%	91.5%	75.3%
Colombia	Zambrano	2017	66.2%	82.6%	45.3%
Colombia	Zapatoca	2000	83.6%	94.0%	70.4%
Colombia	Zapatoca	2017	78.4%	92.4%	57.7%
Colombia	Zaragoza	2000	78.4%	85.4%	70.2%
Colombia	Zaragoza	2017	75.0%	82.1%	66.1%
Colombia	Zarzal	2000	93.0%	95.7%	89.3%
Colombia	Zarzal	2017	89.6%	93.1%	85.4%
Colombia	Zetaquirá	2000	79.0%	88.2%	67.1%
Colombia	Zetaquirá	2017	67.2%	81.0%	49.6%
Colombia	Zipacón	2000	85.0%	90.4%	77.8%
Colombia	Zipacón	2017	78.6%	86.3%	67.6%
Colombia	Zipaquirá	2000	87.5%	91.3%	82.7%
Colombia	Zipaquirá	2017	81.3%	86.4%	75.1%
Costa Rica	Abangares	2000	98.9%	99.9%	96.5%
Costa Rica	Abangares	2017	99.5%	100.0%	98.1%
Costa Rica	Acosta	2000	99.5%	100.0%	98.2%
Costa Rica	Acosta	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Costa Rica	Aguirre	2000	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Costa Rica	Aguirre	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.2%
Costa Rica	Alajuela	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Costa Rica	Alajuela	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costa Rica	Alajuelita	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Costa Rica	Alajuelita	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Costa Rica	Alfaro Ruiz	2000	99.5%	100.0%	96.8%
Costa Rica	Alfaro Ruiz	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Costa Rica	Alvarado	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Costa Rica	Alvarado	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Costa Rica	Aserrí	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Costa Rica	Aserrí	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Costa Rica	Atenas	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Costa Rica	Atenas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Costa Rica	Bagaces	2000	98.7%	99.9%	94.5%
Costa Rica	Bagaces	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.0%
Costa Rica	Barva	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Barva	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costa Rica	Belén	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Belén	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costa Rica	Buenos Aires	2000	99.2%	99.9%	97.6%
Costa Rica	Buenos Aires	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.6%
Costa Rica	Cañas	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.2%
Costa Rica	Cañas	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Costa Rica	Carrillo	2000	99.5%	100.0%	98.1%
Costa Rica	Carrillo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Costa Rica	Cartago	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Costa Rica	Cartago	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Costa Rica	Corredores	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.0%
Costa Rica	Corredores	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Costa Rica	Coto Brus	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.7%
Costa Rica	Coto Brus	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Costa Rica	Curridabat	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Costa Rica	Curridabat	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Desamparados	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Desamparados	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costa Rica	Dota	2000	99.7%	100.0%	99.0%
Costa Rica	Dota	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Costa Rica	El Guarco	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Costa Rica	El Guarco	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costa Rica	Escazú	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Costa Rica	Escazú	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Esparza	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Costa Rica	Esparza	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.1%
Costa Rica	Flores	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Flores	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costa Rica	Garabito	2000	98.9%	100.0%	93.9%
Costa Rica	Garabito	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.3%
Costa Rica	Goicoechea	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Costa Rica	Goicoechea	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Golfito	2000	98.6%	99.7%	95.6%
Costa Rica	Golfito	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.8%
Costa Rica	Grecia	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Costa Rica	Grecia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Costa Rica	Guácimo	2000	98.0%	99.7%	93.4%
Costa Rica	Guácimo	2017	99.3%	99.9%	96.8%
Costa Rica	Guatuso	2000	98.8%	99.9%	95.0%
Costa Rica	Guatuso	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Costa Rica	Heredia	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Heredia	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Hojancha	2000	99.1%	100.0%	95.9%
Costa Rica	Hojancha	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.7%
Costa Rica	Jiménez	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Costa Rica	Jiménez	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Costa Rica	La Cruz	2000	97.6%	99.7%	91.9%
Costa Rica	La Cruz	2017	98.8%	99.9%	93.3%
Costa Rica	La Unión	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Costa Rica	La Unión	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	León Cortés	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Costa Rica	León Cortés	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Costa Rica	Liberia	2000	99.6%	99.9%	98.5%
Costa Rica	Liberia	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.1%
Costa Rica	Limón	2000	99.3%	99.8%	96.4%
Costa Rica	Limón	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.4%
Costa Rica	Los Chiles	2000	99.2%	99.9%	97.0%
Costa Rica	Los Chiles	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.8%
Costa Rica	Matina	2000	98.9%	99.8%	96.0%
Costa Rica	Matina	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Costa Rica	Montes de Oca	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.2%
Costa Rica	Montes de Oca	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Montes de Oro	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Costa Rica	Montes de Oro	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Costa Rica	Mora	2000	98.3%	99.8%	94.0%
Costa Rica	Mora	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Costa Rica	Moravia	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Costa Rica	Moravia	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Nandayure	2000	99.0%	99.9%	95.6%
Costa Rica	Nandayure	2017	99.5%	100.0%	98.3%
Costa Rica	Naranjo	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Costa Rica	Naranjo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Nicoya	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.8%
Costa Rica	Nicoya	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Costa Rica	Oreamuno	2000	98.7%	99.7%	96.0%
Costa Rica	Oreamuno	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Costa Rica	Orotina	2000	99.6%	100.0%	98.3%
Costa Rica	Orotina	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Costa Rica	Osa	2000	99.0%	99.8%	95.1%
Costa Rica	Osa	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.5%
Costa Rica	Palmares	2000	99.1%	99.7%	98.4%
Costa Rica	Palmares	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Costa Rica	Paraíso	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Costa Rica	Paraíso	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Parrita	2000	99.4%	100.0%	97.6%
Costa Rica	Parrita	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.7%
Costa Rica	Pérez Zeledón	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Costa Rica	Pérez Zeledón	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.9%
Costa Rica	Poás	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Poás	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costa Rica	Pococí	2000	99.1%	99.9%	96.8%
Costa Rica	Pococí	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.3%
Costa Rica	Puntarenas	2000	99.2%	99.8%	98.3%
Costa Rica	Puntarenas	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Costa Rica	Puriscal	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.4%
Costa Rica	Puriscal	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Costa Rica	San Carlos	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.2%
Costa Rica	San Carlos	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Costa Rica	San Isidro	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Costa Rica	San Isidro	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	San José	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Costa Rica	San José	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.6%
Costa Rica	San Mateo	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Costa Rica	San Mateo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Costa Rica	San Pablo	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	San Pablo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costa Rica	San Rafael	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	San Rafael	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costa Rica	San Ramón	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.1%
Costa Rica	San Ramón	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Costa Rica	Santa Ana	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Costa Rica	Santa Ana	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Santa Bárbara	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Santa Bárbara	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costa Rica	Santa Cruz	2000	99.1%	99.8%	97.3%
Costa Rica	Santa Cruz	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Costa Rica	Santo Domingo	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Santo Domingo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costa Rica	Sarapiquí	2000	98.7%	99.7%	96.3%
Costa Rica	Sarapiquí	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Costa Rica	Siquirres	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.2%
Costa Rica	Siquirres	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Costa Rica	Talamanca	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.1%
Costa Rica	Talamanca	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.1%
Costa Rica	Tarrazú	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Costa Rica	Tarrazú	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Costa Rica	Tibás	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Costa Rica	Tibás	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Costa Rica	Tilarán	2000	99.6%	100.0%	97.9%
Costa Rica	Tilarán	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Costa Rica	Turrialba	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Costa Rica	Turrialba	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Costa Rica	Turrubares	2000	98.9%	99.9%	94.3%
Costa Rica	Turrubares	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.0%
Costa Rica	Upala	2000	98.9%	99.8%	96.9%
Costa Rica	Upala	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.3%
Costa Rica	Valverde Vega	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Valverde Vega	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Costa Rica	Vásquez de Coronado	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Costa Rica	Vásquez de Coronado	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Dominican Republic	Altamira	2000	76.9%	99.6%	36.5%
Dominican Republic	Altamira	2017	96.7%	100.0%	80.5%
Dominican Republic	Arenoso	2000	70.7%	99.1%	25.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Arenoso	2017	93.7%	100.0%	65.6%
Dominican Republic	Azua de Compostela	2000	86.6%	98.6%	41.3%
Dominican Republic	Azua de Compostela	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.1%
Dominican Republic	Bajos de Haina	2000	93.0%	100.0%	37.5%
Dominican Republic	Bajos de Haina	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.2%
Dominican Republic	Baní	2000	78.2%	94.3%	48.4%
Dominican Republic	Baní	2017	97.1%	99.9%	86.0%
Dominican Republic	Banica	2000	73.0%	97.9%	35.7%
Dominican Republic	Banica	2017	93.9%	100.0%	70.5%
Dominican Republic	Bayaguana	2000	68.4%	91.9%	30.2%
Dominican Republic	Bayaguana	2017	92.4%	99.4%	66.6%
Dominican Republic	Boca Chica	2000	70.0%	99.4%	17.0%
Dominican Republic	Boca Chica	2017	93.0%	100.0%	57.4%
Dominican Republic	Bohechio	2000	72.7%	96.7%	30.1%
Dominican Republic	Bohechio	2017	93.2%	100.0%	64.2%
Dominican Republic	Bonao	2000	75.2%	90.3%	50.6%
Dominican Republic	Bonao	2017	98.1%	99.9%	93.1%
Dominican Republic	Cabral	2000	84.6%	99.5%	22.5%
Dominican Republic	Cabral	2017	98.1%	100.0%	88.8%
Dominican Republic	Cabrera	2000	77.8%	97.7%	41.8%
Dominican Republic	Cabrera	2017	94.7%	100.0%	71.8%
Dominican Republic	Cambita	2000	90.1%	99.1%	73.7%
Dominican Republic	Garabito	2017	98.0%	100.0%	92.0%
Dominican Republic	Castañuela	2000	88.4%	98.8%	72.8%
Dominican Republic	Castañuela	2017	97.6%	100.0%	89.4%
Dominican Republic	Castillo	2000	74.3%	99.8%	18.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Castillo	2017	94.4%	100.0%	66.8%
Dominican Republic	Cayetano Germosén	2000	56.3%	100.0%	3.0%
Dominican Republic	Cayetano Germosén	2017	85.6%	100.0%	22.1%
Dominican Republic	Cevicos	2000	69.4%	95.9%	34.7%
Dominican Republic	Cevicos	2017	93.9%	100.0%	75.5%
Dominican Republic	Comendador	2000	57.9%	81.9%	29.6%
Dominican Republic	Comendador	2017	90.4%	99.5%	71.6%
Dominican Republic	Concepción de la Vega	2000	63.3%	87.5%	39.2%
Dominican Republic	Concepción de la Vega	2017	92.2%	99.6%	76.6%
Dominican Republic	Constanza	2000	79.1%	96.2%	54.5%
Dominican Republic	Constanza	2017	98.1%	99.9%	93.1%
Dominican Republic	Consuelo	2000	77.2%	99.1%	25.1%
Dominican Republic	Consuelo	2017	97.8%	100.0%	82.5%
Dominican Republic	Cotuí	2000	69.6%	93.6%	39.1%
Dominican Republic	Cotuí	2017	94.4%	99.9%	76.7%
Dominican Republic	Cristobal	2000	75.5%	99.6%	29.0%
Dominican Republic	Cristobal	2017	95.5%	100.0%	71.1%
Dominican Republic	Dajabón	2000	74.6%	99.2%	22.9%
Dominican Republic	Dajabón	2017	95.0%	100.0%	64.7%
Dominican Republic	Distrito Nacional	2000	67.7%	88.1%	34.7%
Dominican Republic	Distrito Nacional	2017	98.6%	99.9%	90.5%
Dominican Republic	Duvergé	2000	69.4%	92.2%	38.5%
Dominican Republic	Duvergé	2017	92.4%	99.8%	71.7%
Dominican Republic	El Cercado	2000	69.7%	96.0%	27.9%
Dominican Republic	El Cercado	2017	92.4%	99.9%	63.6%
Dominican Republic	El Factor	2000	73.7%	99.6%	16.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	El Factor	2017	95.3%	100.0%	65.4%
Dominican Republic	El Llano	2000	74.1%	99.3%	27.8%
Dominican Republic	El Llano	2017	96.0%	100.0%	71.3%
Dominican Republic	El Peñón	2000	84.4%	100.0%	33.3%
Dominican Republic	El Peñón	2017	99.2%	100.0%	91.3%
Dominican Republic	El Pino	2000	72.3%	99.8%	21.4%
Dominican Republic	El Pino	2017	96.1%	100.0%	72.7%
Dominican Republic	El Valle	2000	71.5%	98.2%	17.4%
Dominican Republic	El Valle	2017	93.7%	100.0%	62.5%
Dominican Republic	Enriquillo	2000	70.5%	97.6%	17.7%
Dominican Republic	Enriquillo	2017	92.5%	100.0%	51.6%
Dominican Republic	Esperalvilla	2000	63.9%	98.5%	18.0%
Dominican Republic	Esperalvilla	2017	93.5%	100.0%	62.9%
Dominican Republic	Esperanza	2000	72.6%	98.0%	36.2%
Dominican Republic	Esperanza	2017	96.8%	100.0%	83.4%
Dominican Republic	Estebania	2000	75.5%	97.2%	42.0%
Dominican Republic	Estebania	2017	95.4%	100.0%	77.0%
Dominican Republic	Fantino	2000	72.3%	99.9%	17.2%
Dominican Republic	Fantino	2017	95.2%	100.0%	70.5%
Dominican Republic	Fundación	2000	68.9%	100.0%	12.2%
Dominican Republic	Fundación	2017	95.8%	100.0%	59.6%
Dominican Republic	Galvan	2000	59.9%	81.9%	35.4%
Dominican Republic	Galvan	2017	93.5%	99.6%	81.3%
Dominican Republic	Gaspar Hernández	2000	71.6%	97.0%	32.2%
Dominican Republic	Gaspar Hernández	2017	94.3%	100.0%	70.8%
Dominican Republic	Guananico	2000	68.9%	99.9%	19.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Guananico	2017	96.3%	100.0%	78.1%
Dominican Republic	Guayabal	2000	63.7%	93.7%	24.6%
Dominican Republic	Guayabal	2017	91.3%	99.9%	64.5%
Dominican Republic	Guayacanes	2000	69.9%	99.8%	19.0%
Dominican Republic	Guayacanes	2017	93.2%	100.0%	60.4%
Dominican Republic	Guaymate	2000	61.0%	92.3%	24.8%
Dominican Republic	Guaymate	2017	90.3%	99.8%	65.1%
Dominican Republic	Guayubín	2000	68.0%	92.2%	37.9%
Dominican Republic	Guayubín	2017	89.4%	99.5%	64.2%
Dominican Republic	Guerra	2000	72.5%	97.4%	31.8%
Dominican Republic	Guerra	2017	94.3%	100.0%	72.6%
Dominican Republic	Hato Mayor del Rey	2000	72.7%	93.1%	49.3%
Dominican Republic	Hato Mayor del Rey	2017	93.8%	99.8%	77.8%
Dominican Republic	Hondo Valle	2000	69.2%	99.4%	20.7%
Dominican Republic	Hondo Valle	2017	91.0%	100.0%	51.2%
Dominican Republic	Hostos	2000	73.1%	99.8%	16.2%
Dominican Republic	Hostos	2017	93.9%	100.0%	60.2%
Dominican Republic	Imbert	2000	76.0%	99.6%	29.6%
Dominican Republic	Imbert	2017	97.1%	100.0%	81.3%
Dominican Republic	Jamao al Norte	2000	69.8%	99.4%	22.3%
Dominican Republic	Jamao al Norte	2017	92.6%	100.0%	55.4%
Dominican Republic	Janico	2000	72.2%	94.0%	43.0%
Dominican Republic	Janico	2017	93.6%	99.9%	73.4%
Dominican Republic	Jaquimeyes	2000	72.5%	99.3%	22.0%
Dominican Republic	Jaquimeyes	2017	96.0%	100.0%	77.2%
Dominican Republic	Jarabacoa	2000	74.2%	96.6%	32.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Jarabacoa	2017	94.6%	99.9%	66.7%
Dominican Republic	Jima Abajo	2000	69.9%	99.4%	17.6%
Dominican Republic	Jima Abajo	2017	92.4%	100.0%	54.7%
Dominican Republic	Jimaní	2000	69.5%	94.1%	33.3%
Dominican Republic	Jimaní	2017	93.5%	100.0%	65.0%
Dominican Republic	Juan de Herrera	2000	64.3%	82.3%	33.5%
Dominican Republic	Juan de Herrera	2017	94.8%	98.9%	85.5%
Dominican Republic	Juan Santiago	2000	70.7%	99.5%	20.0%
Dominican Republic	Juan Santiago	2017	93.7%	100.0%	62.8%
Dominican Republic	La Cienaga	2000	68.5%	98.3%	20.1%
Dominican Republic	La Cienaga	2017	92.4%	100.0%	51.2%
Dominican Republic	La Descubierta	2000	67.7%	98.5%	20.3%
Dominican Republic	La Descubierta	2017	95.2%	100.0%	70.7%
Dominican Republic	La Isabela	2000	70.7%	98.1%	27.3%
Dominican Republic	La Isabela	2017	93.2%	100.0%	60.2%
Dominican Republic	La Laguna de Nisibón	2000	75.5%	98.6%	38.5%
Dominican Republic	La Laguna de Nisibón	2017	96.1%	100.0%	76.6%
Dominican Republic	La Mata	2000	65.6%	95.7%	24.7%
Dominican Republic	La Mata	2017	90.7%	100.0%	60.9%
Dominican Republic	La Romana	2000	77.6%	98.0%	34.7%
Dominican Republic	La Romana	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.5%
Dominican Republic	Laguna Salada	2000	75.1%	98.9%	24.9%
Dominican Republic	Laguna Salada	2017	95.7%	100.0%	70.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Charcas	2000	70.9%	98.6%	32.5%
Dominican Republic	Las Charcas	2017	93.1%	100.0%	68.8%
Dominican Republic	Las Guaranas	2000	76.1%	100.0%	18.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Las Guaranas	2017	94.8%	100.0%	62.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Farfan	2000	65.2%	90.8%	27.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Farfan	2017	92.7%	99.6%	61.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Santa Cruz	2000	87.9%	100.0%	63.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Santa Cruz	2017	88.6%	100.0%	37.3%
Dominican Republic	Las Salinas	2000	75.3%	99.9%	25.2%
Dominican Republic	Las Salinas	2017	96.3%	100.0%	77.3%
Dominican Republic	Las Terrenas	2000	67.4%	99.5%	16.6%
Dominican Republic	Las Terrenas	2017	89.8%	100.0%	40.4%
Dominican Republic	Las Yayas de Viajama	2000	66.9%	93.6%	31.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Yayas de Viajama	2017	92.8%	99.8%	64.5%
Dominican Republic	Licey al Medio	2000	66.2%	100.0%	5.3%
Dominican Republic	Licey al Medio	2017	92.4%	100.0%	47.3%
Dominican Republic	Loma de Cabrera	2000	90.9%	99.6%	68.7%
Dominican Republic	Loma de Cabrera	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.1%
Dominican Republic	Los Alcarrizos	2000	86.5%	99.7%	71.2%
Dominican Republic	Los Alcarrizos	2017	94.5%	100.0%	75.5%
Dominican Republic	Los Almácigos	2000	71.9%	98.8%	27.2%
Dominican Republic	Los Almácigos	2017	94.7%	100.0%	60.8%
Dominican Republic	Los Cacaos	2000	70.8%	98.1%	27.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Cacaos	2017	92.7%	100.0%	61.3%
Dominican Republic	Los Hidalgos	2000	75.9%	99.9%	20.3%
Dominican Republic	Los Hidalgos	2017	95.7%	100.0%	71.1%
Dominican Republic	Los Llanos	2000	70.5%	91.8%	43.1%
Dominican Republic	Los Llanos	2017	93.3%	99.8%	77.2%
Dominican Republic	Los Rios	2000	69.7%	99.3%	17.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Los Rios	2017	93.3%	100.0%	56.0%
Dominican Republic	Luperon	2000	70.3%	95.0%	36.6%
Dominican Republic	Luperon	2017	92.6%	99.9%	67.5%
Dominican Republic	Maimón	2000	90.4%	100.0%	39.9%
Dominican Republic	Maimón	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.1%
Dominican Republic	Mao	2000	80.8%	97.2%	48.8%
Dominican Republic	Mao	2017	96.5%	100.0%	77.2%
Dominican Republic	Mella	2000	69.0%	95.0%	26.4%
Dominican Republic	Mella	2017	92.9%	99.9%	71.2%
Dominican Republic	Miches	2000	70.7%	96.5%	27.8%
Dominican Republic	Miches	2017	92.9%	100.0%	60.4%
Dominican Republic	Moca	2000	39.3%	58.2%	21.0%
Dominican Republic	Moca	2017	75.4%	96.5%	50.4%
Dominican Republic	Monción	2000	67.6%	98.1%	10.0%
Dominican Republic	Monción	2017	92.0%	100.0%	49.4%
Dominican Republic	Monte Plata	2000	71.0%	92.0%	43.3%
Dominican Republic	Monte Plata	2017	95.6%	99.6%	85.1%
Dominican Republic	Montellano	2000	69.7%	98.6%	14.3%
Dominican Republic	Montellano	2017	96.4%	100.0%	68.8%
Dominican Republic	Nagua	2000	88.9%	98.5%	66.6%
Dominican Republic	Nagua	2017	98.6%	100.0%	94.0%
Dominican Republic	Neyba	2000	49.9%	87.9%	17.6%
Dominican Republic	Neyba	2017	94.3%	99.8%	71.4%
Dominican Republic	Nigua	2000	82.9%	100.0%	25.2%
Dominican Republic	Nigua	2017	97.0%	100.0%	73.8%
Dominican Republic	Nizao	2000	71.1%	100.0%	15.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Nizao	2017	94.1%	100.0%	60.5%
Dominican Republic	Padre Las Casas	2000	71.2%	90.5%	45.9%
Dominican Republic	Padre Las Casas	2017	94.2%	99.6%	81.7%
Dominican Republic	Paraiso	2000	71.7%	99.4%	20.8%
Dominican Republic	Paraiso	2017	93.3%	100.0%	66.7%
Dominican Republic	Partido	2000	88.0%	99.7%	65.8%
Dominican Republic	Partido	2017	97.7%	100.0%	79.6%
Dominican Republic	Pedernales	2000	69.6%	88.8%	47.7%
Dominican Republic	Pedernales	2017	92.7%	99.2%	77.9%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Brand	2000	61.4%	94.8%	19.9%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Brand	2017	86.0%	99.5%	42.5%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Santana	2000	72.2%	94.8%	41.8%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Santana	2017	93.9%	99.9%	78.0%
Dominican Republic	Pepillo Salcedo	2000	75.1%	99.9%	32.2%
Dominican Republic	Pepillo Salcedo	2017	94.5%	100.0%	73.0%
Dominican Republic	Peralta	2000	71.6%	99.0%	24.3%
Dominican Republic	Peralta	2017	95.1%	100.0%	63.7%
Dominican Republic	Piedra Blanca	2000	77.9%	97.0%	47.1%
Dominican Republic	Piedra Blanca	2017	97.2%	100.0%	86.4%
Dominican Republic	Pimentel	2000	72.0%	98.4%	25.6%
Dominican Republic	Pimentel	2017	92.1%	100.0%	49.2%
Dominican Republic	Polo	2000	72.2%	98.6%	22.4%
Dominican Republic	Polo	2017	93.6%	100.0%	66.3%
Dominican Republic	Postrer Rio	2000	67.7%	99.1%	20.5%
Dominican Republic	Postrer Rio	2017	92.7%	100.0%	56.3%
Dominican Republic	Pueblo Viejo	2000	79.5%	98.2%	50.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Pueblo Viejo	2017	97.3%	100.0%	86.1%
Dominican Republic	Puñal	2000	68.7%	100.0%	7.3%
Dominican Republic	Puñal	2017	92.8%	100.0%	46.9%
Dominican Republic	Quisquella	2000	77.8%	96.7%	47.0%
Dominican Republic	Quisquella	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.6%
Dominican Republic	Ramón Santana	2000	71.6%	95.9%	33.7%
Dominican Republic	Ramón Santana	2017	93.9%	99.9%	61.2%
Dominican Republic	Rancho Arriba	2000	73.5%	98.9%	24.0%
Dominican Republic	Rancho Arriba	2017	94.1%	100.0%	66.9%
Dominican Republic	Restauración	2000	74.4%	99.4%	38.7%
Dominican Republic	Restauración	2017	93.8%	100.0%	64.8%
Dominican Republic	Rio San Juan	2000	71.6%	98.7%	28.2%
Dominican Republic	Rio San Juan	2017	93.2%	100.0%	54.6%
Dominican Republic	Sabana de la Mar	2000	73.0%	96.2%	36.4%
Dominican Republic	Sabana de la Mar	2017	94.7%	99.9%	70.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Boyá	2000	73.6%	96.5%	26.7%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Boyá	2017	94.5%	99.9%	65.4%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Palenque	2000	69.2%	100.0%	6.8%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Palenque	2017	94.5%	100.0%	56.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Iglesia	2000	72.2%	99.9%	21.9%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Iglesia	2017	95.1%	100.0%	62.2%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Larga	2000	73.7%	97.1%	42.6%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Larga	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.5%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Yegua	2000	87.9%	99.9%	61.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Sabana Yegua	2017	98.3%	100.0%	83.7%
Dominican Republic	Salcedo	2000	82.0%	99.6%	49.1%
Dominican Republic	Salcedo	2017	97.8%	100.0%	85.9%
Dominican Republic	Salvaleón de Higüey	2000	74.6%	87.1%	52.0%
Dominican Republic	Salvaleón de Higüey	2017	96.2%	99.2%	87.8%
Dominican Republic	San Cristóbal	2000	82.3%	94.9%	56.1%
Dominican Republic	San Cristóbal	2017	95.1%	99.8%	79.2%
Dominican Republic	San Felipe de Puerto Plata	2000	62.1%	87.3%	34.1%
Dominican Republic	San Felipe de Puerto Plata	2017	96.2%	99.6%	85.3%
Dominican Republic	San Fernando de Monte Cristi	2000	76.7%	96.3%	42.5%
Dominican Republic	San Fernando de Monte Cristi	2017	95.0%	99.9%	74.7%
Dominican Republic	San Francisco de Macorís	2000	72.5%	94.3%	43.1%
Dominican Republic	San Francisco de Macorís	2017	95.8%	99.9%	82.9%
Dominican Republic	San Gregorio de Yaguatae	2000	75.9%	99.8%	21.3%
Dominican Republic	San Gregorio de Yaguatae	2017	95.4%	100.0%	70.5%
Dominican Republic	San Ignacio de Sabaneta	2000	70.5%	93.3%	32.2%
Dominican Republic	San Ignacio de Sabaneta	2017	92.7%	99.9%	68.5%
Dominican Republic	San José de Las Matas	2000	71.0%	89.7%	45.6%
Dominican Republic	San José de Las Matas	2017	93.2%	99.4%	78.3%
Dominican Republic	San José de Ocoa	2000	67.6%	95.1%	35.9%
Dominican Republic	San José de Ocoa	2017	95.0%	99.9%	78.3%
Dominican Republic	San Juan de la Maguana	2000	69.9%	86.9%	37.6%
Dominican Republic	San Juan de la Maguana	2017	95.1%	98.9%	84.5%
Dominican Republic	San Pedro de Macorís	2000	70.4%	98.8%	25.8%
Dominican Republic	San Pedro de Macorís	2017	96.5%	100.0%	82.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	San Rafael del Yuma	2000	71.6%	92.7%	42.7%
Dominican Republic	San Rafael del Yuma	2017	94.0%	99.6%	82.2%
Dominican Republic	Sánchez	2000	75.2%	96.7%	38.5%
Dominican Republic	Sánchez	2017	95.1%	99.9%	74.9%
Dominican Republic	Santa Bárbara de Samaná	2000	73.2%	92.3%	45.9%
Dominican Republic	Santa Bárbara de Samaná	2017	95.5%	99.9%	81.0%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz de Barahona	2000	58.1%	95.3%	16.2%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz de Barahona	2017	96.2%	100.0%	74.4%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz del Seybo	2000	73.2%	92.4%	42.7%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz del Seybo	2017	95.0%	99.6%	82.0%
Dominican Republic	Santiago de los Caballeros	2000	82.8%	92.9%	69.6%
Dominican Republic	Santiago de los Caballeros	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.3%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Este	2000	69.4%	99.2%	17.7%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Este	2017	93.8%	100.0%	63.8%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Norte	2000	57.0%	78.1%	31.6%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Norte	2017	94.1%	99.7%	80.1%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Oeste	2000	95.0%	99.8%	73.2%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Oeste	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.9%
Dominican Republic	Sosua	2000	70.4%	95.8%	34.3%
Dominican Republic	Sosua	2017	94.6%	99.9%	78.3%
Dominican Republic	Tamayo	2000	72.0%	97.4%	23.3%
Dominican Republic	Tamayo	2017	93.8%	99.9%	64.6%
Dominican Republic	Tamboril	2000	66.7%	99.5%	13.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Tamboril	2017	93.8%	100.0%	59.9%
Dominican Republic	Tenares	2000	77.9%	99.3%	40.7%
Dominican Republic	Tenares	2017	96.1%	100.0%	76.5%
Dominican Republic	Vallejuelo	2000	70.0%	98.2%	22.4%
Dominican Republic	Vallejuelo	2017	92.7%	100.0%	55.7%
Dominican Republic	Vicente Noble	2000	71.9%	97.8%	21.4%
Dominican Republic	Vicente Noble	2017	93.7%	100.0%	72.2%
Dominican Republic	Villa Altagracia	2000	70.9%	95.7%	25.9%
Dominican Republic	Villa Altagracia	2017	92.8%	99.6%	69.5%
Dominican Republic	Villa Bisonó	2000	82.5%	99.7%	32.6%
Dominican Republic	Villa Bisonó	2017	97.5%	100.0%	77.4%
Dominican Republic	Villa Gonzalez	2000	95.2%	99.9%	81.8%
Dominican Republic	Villa Gonzalez	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.6%
Dominican Republic	Villa Hermosa	2000	84.2%	96.0%	69.3%
Dominican Republic	Villa Hermosa	2017	98.4%	100.0%	92.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Jaragua	2000	69.0%	99.5%	16.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Jaragua	2017	92.9%	100.0%	46.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Rivas	2000	71.1%	97.4%	36.6%
Dominican Republic	Villa Rivas	2017	93.2%	99.9%	69.3%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tabara Arriba	2000	74.6%	97.7%	41.4%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tabara Arriba	2017	93.8%	100.0%	71.2%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tapia	2000	70.2%	99.9%	18.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tapia	2017	93.4%	100.0%	62.6%
Dominican Republic	Villa Vázquez	2000	78.8%	97.3%	37.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Vázquez	2017	95.3%	100.0%	72.7%
Dominican Republic	Yamasá	2000	54.6%	88.1%	24.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Yamasá	2017	88.2%	99.8%	58.1%
Ecuador	24 De Mayo	2000	57.7%	64.6%	57.2%
Ecuador	24 De Mayo	2017	58.7%	58.9%	58.4%
Ecuador	Aguarico	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Ecuador	Aguarico	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Ecuador	Alausí	2000	51.0%	54.3%	46.2%
Ecuador	Alausí	2017	50.9%	53.8%	45.5%
Ecuador	Alfredo Baquerizo Moreno	2000	66.4%	71.8%	63.0%
Ecuador	Alfredo Baquerizo Moreno	2017	64.4%	69.6%	62.0%
Ecuador	Ambato	2000	97.5%	98.6%	96.3%
Ecuador	Ambato	2017	97.2%	98.5%	96.1%
Ecuador	Antonio Ante	2000	72.3%	97.1%	57.8%
Ecuador	Antonio Ante	2017	63.2%	97.5%	53.8%
Ecuador	Arajuno	2000	83.6%	92.2%	73.1%
Ecuador	Arajuno	2017	83.0%	92.0%	70.5%
Ecuador	Archidona	2000	64.8%	68.8%	57.8%
Ecuador	Archidona	2017	71.1%	77.6%	62.4%
Ecuador	Arenillas	2000	55.1%	68.3%	46.1%
Ecuador	Arenillas	2017	67.1%	77.1%	60.8%
Ecuador	Atacames	2000	87.9%	89.5%	87.7%
Ecuador	Atacames	2017	89.2%	90.3%	89.0%
Ecuador	Atahualpa	2000	76.9%	89.6%	74.7%
Ecuador	Atahualpa	2017	78.2%	84.3%	77.7%
Ecuador	Azogues	2000	71.0%	78.8%	67.2%
Ecuador	Azogues	2017	66.6%	67.9%	65.8%
Ecuador	Baba	2000	99.2%	99.2%	99.1%
Ecuador	Baba	2017	99.2%	99.2%	99.2%
Ecuador	Babahoyo	2000	66.4%	67.3%	64.5%
Ecuador	Babahoyo	2017	70.6%	71.3%	69.0%
Ecuador	Balao	2000	98.6%	98.6%	98.6%
Ecuador	Balao	2017	98.3%	98.3%	98.3%
Ecuador	Balsas	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Balsas	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Balzar	2000	18.3%	36.7%	14.3%
Ecuador	Balzar	2017	21.0%	45.0%	17.5%
Ecuador	Baños de Agua Santa	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Ecuador	Baños de Agua Santa	2017	96.4%	97.8%	95.1%
Ecuador	Biblián	2000	92.5%	93.0%	92.1%
Ecuador	Biblián	2017	94.2%	94.5%	93.8%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2000	79.6%	79.6%	79.5%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2000	81.6%	84.4%	80.4%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2017	78.9%	79.4%	75.1%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2017	82.8%	84.6%	82.4%
Ecuador	Buena Fé	2000	78.8%	83.2%	76.4%
Ecuador	Buena Fé	2017	78.8%	81.9%	77.0%
Ecuador	Caluma	2000	74.9%	76.1%	74.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Caluma	2017	77.4%	78.0%	77.3%
Ecuador	Calvas	2000	95.9%	98.8%	93.3%
Ecuador	Calvas	2017	95.7%	98.8%	93.3%
Ecuador	Camilo Ponce Enriquez	2000	99.6%	99.6%	99.6%
Ecuador	Camilo Ponce Enriquez	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.6%
Ecuador	Cañar	2000	82.2%	86.5%	81.4%
Ecuador	Cañar	2017	83.7%	86.4%	83.2%
Ecuador	Carlos Julio Arosemena Tola	2000	33.6%	40.2%	28.8%
Ecuador	Carlos Julio Arosemena Tola	2017	23.6%	35.1%	17.9%
Ecuador	Cascales	2000	63.4%	64.6%	56.2%
Ecuador	Cascales	2017	55.8%	57.1%	49.9%
Ecuador	Catamayo	2000	88.5%	90.2%	84.3%
Ecuador	Catamayo	2017	89.3%	91.3%	85.8%
Ecuador	Cayambe	2000	77.2%	80.4%	72.1%
Ecuador	Cayambe	2017	77.9%	81.6%	72.5%
Ecuador	Celica	2000	40.9%	49.2%	24.8%
Ecuador	Celica	2017	35.8%	44.0%	20.4%
Ecuador	Centinela del Cóndor	2000	51.3%	52.2%	50.4%
Ecuador	Centinela del Cóndor	2017	52.4%	53.2%	51.1%
Ecuador	Cevallos	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Cevallos	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Chaguarpamba	2000	73.5%	76.3%	70.4%
Ecuador	Chaguarpamba	2017	69.2%	72.3%	67.7%
Ecuador	Chambo	2000	70.9%	71.0%	70.9%
Ecuador	Chambo	2017	68.3%	68.3%	68.3%
Ecuador	Chilla	2000	67.3%	69.3%	66.8%
Ecuador	Chilla	2017	64.3%	64.9%	61.0%
Ecuador	Chillanes	2000	86.9%	87.0%	86.9%
Ecuador	Chillanes	2017	87.1%	87.1%	87.1%
Ecuador	Chimbo	2000	81.2%	90.2%	71.8%
Ecuador	Chimbo	2017	69.6%	78.5%	65.0%
Ecuador	Chinchi	2000	29.6%	44.7%	25.7%
Ecuador	Chinchi	2017	24.3%	49.0%	20.9%
Ecuador	Chone	2000	39.5%	42.6%	36.7%
Ecuador	Chone	2017	36.1%	39.3%	33.3%
Ecuador	Chordeleg	2000	88.0%	88.5%	87.8%
Ecuador	Chordeleg	2017	89.6%	90.2%	87.0%
Ecuador	Chunchi	2000	80.3%	81.8%	80.1%
Ecuador	Chunchi	2017	80.5%	81.9%	80.3%
Ecuador	Colimes	2000	43.5%	52.6%	34.4%
Ecuador	Colimes	2017	43.8%	49.7%	36.8%
Ecuador	Colta	2000	83.5%	84.7%	78.8%
Ecuador	Colta	2017	82.4%	83.7%	77.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Coronel Marcelino Maridueña	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Coronel Marcelino Maridueña	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Cotacachi	2000	49.9%	56.8%	44.3%
Ecuador	Cotacachi	2017	49.7%	56.2%	44.7%
Ecuador	Cuenca	2000	90.6%	91.7%	89.8%
Ecuador	Cuenca	2017	91.6%	92.8%	90.8%
Ecuador	Cumanda	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Cumanda	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Cuyabeno	2000	65.9%	73.5%	58.3%
Ecuador	Cuyabeno	2017	69.3%	75.4%	63.2%
Ecuador	Daule	2000	83.4%	83.4%	83.4%
Ecuador	Daule	2017	82.3%	82.3%	81.8%
Ecuador	Déleg	2000	75.2%	75.2%	75.2%
Ecuador	Déleg	2017	83.9%	83.9%	83.9%
Ecuador	Durán	2000	94.5%	98.4%	94.1%
Ecuador	Durán	2017	96.1%	98.2%	95.8%
Ecuador	Echeandía	2000	85.8%	85.8%	85.8%
Ecuador	Echeandía	2017	83.7%	83.7%	83.7%
Ecuador	El Carmen	2000	40.4%	45.9%	36.4%
Ecuador	El Carmen	2017	46.7%	52.6%	42.1%
Ecuador	El Chaco	2000	80.9%	81.8%	80.6%
Ecuador	El Chaco	2017	84.9%	85.4%	84.8%
Ecuador	El Empalme	2000	67.2%	88.2%	50.2%
Ecuador	El Empalme	2017	69.9%	88.7%	55.6%
Ecuador	El Guabo	2000	84.0%	88.7%	80.9%
Ecuador	El Guabo	2017	81.3%	83.3%	80.6%
Ecuador	El Pan	2000	82.8%	91.7%	81.7%
Ecuador	El Pan	2017	82.0%	91.7%	80.5%
Ecuador	El Panguí	2000	34.2%	42.2%	29.8%
Ecuador	El Panguí	2017	28.3%	34.8%	25.5%
Ecuador	El Tambo	2000	95.4%	97.5%	95.3%
Ecuador	El Tambo	2017	95.9%	97.1%	95.8%
Ecuador	El Triunfo	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	El Triunfo	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Eloy Alfaro	2000	84.0%	87.6%	81.4%
Ecuador	Eloy Alfaro	2017	83.3%	87.2%	80.7%
Ecuador	Esmeraldas	2000	97.8%	98.0%	97.7%
Ecuador	Esmeraldas	2017	98.1%	98.2%	97.9%
Ecuador	Espejo	2000	79.4%	80.6%	73.7%
Ecuador	Espejo	2017	83.9%	84.9%	79.0%
Ecuador	Espíndola	2000	81.9%	95.0%	70.4%
Ecuador	Espíndola	2017	83.0%	94.3%	73.3%
Ecuador	Flavio Alfaro	2000	33.0%	60.0%	8.0%
Ecuador	Flavio Alfaro	2017	34.2%	61.9%	8.5%
Ecuador	General Antonio Elizalde	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	General Antonio Elizalde	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Girón	2000	94.4%	96.5%	90.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Girón	2017	95.2%	97.2%	88.2%
Ecuador	Gonzalo Pizarro	2000	31.0%	33.3%	28.1%
Ecuador	Gonzalo Pizarro	2017	27.8%	29.1%	26.1%
Ecuador	Gonzanamá	2000	75.5%	85.5%	68.6%
Ecuador	Gonzanamá	2017	75.7%	89.4%	68.0%
Ecuador	Guachapala	2000	99.4%	100.0%	98.5%
Ecuador	Guachapala	2017	99.3%	100.0%	98.6%
Ecuador	Gualaceo	2000	71.4%	86.4%	65.1%
Ecuador	Gualaceo	2017	75.5%	88.4%	70.4%
Ecuador	Gualaquiza	2000	70.9%	72.5%	69.9%
Ecuador	Gualaquiza	2017	72.2%	73.0%	71.2%
Ecuador	Guamote	2000	67.7%	74.9%	59.1%
Ecuador	Guamote	2017	68.6%	75.0%	61.5%
Ecuador	Guano	2000	49.3%	51.7%	47.2%
Ecuador	Guano	2017	48.9%	50.9%	46.5%
Ecuador	Guaranda	2000	80.9%	83.6%	78.4%
Ecuador	Guaranda	2017	81.5%	85.0%	78.2%
Ecuador	Guayaquil	2000	80.2%	82.2%	78.3%
Ecuador	Guayaquil	2017	84.8%	86.4%	83.5%
Ecuador	Huamboya	2000	21.1%	23.5%	21.0%
Ecuador	Huamboya	2017	20.7%	21.2%	17.8%
Ecuador	Huaquillas	2000	92.7%	94.7%	92.2%
Ecuador	Huaquillas	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.9%
Ecuador	Ibarra	2000	68.7%	81.9%	64.5%
Ecuador	Ibarra	2017	71.0%	85.5%	67.4%
Ecuador	Isabela	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Isabela	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Isidro Ayora	2000	67.4%	71.1%	65.0%
Ecuador	Isidro Ayora	2017	61.3%	67.0%	59.8%
Ecuador	Jama	2000	99.2%	100.0%	95.4%
Ecuador	Jama	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.6%
Ecuador	Jaramijó	2000	58.4%	58.9%	54.6%
Ecuador	Jaramijó	2017	51.6%	60.1%	43.0%
Ecuador	Jipijapa	2000	77.7%	79.4%	74.9%
Ecuador	Jipijapa	2017	77.8%	79.3%	76.8%
Ecuador	Junín	2000	76.6%	76.8%	75.8%
Ecuador	Junín	2017	79.1%	81.4%	65.1%
Ecuador	La Concordia	2000	23.0%	37.5%	19.3%
Ecuador	La Concordia	2017	16.5%	39.0%	14.6%
Ecuador	La Joya de los Sachas	2000	40.6%	44.3%	35.5%
Ecuador	La Joya de los Sachas	2017	39.1%	43.3%	32.4%
Ecuador	La Libertad	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Ecuador	La Libertad	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Ecuador	La Maná	2000	88.4%	95.5%	86.7%
Ecuador	La Maná	2017	89.1%	95.5%	87.6%
Ecuador	La Troncal	2000	83.3%	83.3%	83.3%
Ecuador	La Troncal	2017	87.3%	87.3%	87.3%
Ecuador	Lago Agrio	2000	72.0%	72.9%	71.0%
Ecuador	Lago Agrio	2017	71.1%	72.1%	69.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Las Lajas	2000	7.8%	10.0%	4.9%
Ecuador	Las Lajas	2017	6.9%	10.7%	5.8%
Ecuador	Las Naves	2000	83.8%	83.9%	83.8%
Ecuador	Las Naves	2017	86.5%	86.5%	86.5%
Ecuador	Latacunga	2000	79.1%	81.1%	77.7%
Ecuador	Latacunga	2017	78.2%	80.6%	76.9%
Ecuador	Limón In-	2000	55.9%	57.5%	54.4%
	danza				
Ecuador	Limón In-	2017	57.7%	58.8%	56.3%
	danza				
Ecuador	Logroño	2000	83.9%	84.9%	78.6%
Ecuador	Logroño	2017	86.8%	88.3%	82.2%
Ecuador	Loja	2000	82.8%	89.0%	72.9%
Ecuador	Loja	2017	81.6%	91.0%	56.0%
Ecuador	Lomas de Sar-	2000	23.8%	25.2%	23.6%
	gentillo				
Ecuador	Lomas de Sar-	2017	24.7%	24.7%	24.7%
	gentillo				
Ecuador	Loreto	2000	38.7%	49.1%	32.2%
Ecuador	Loreto	2017	45.3%	57.7%	39.4%
Ecuador	Macará	2000	66.5%	83.7%	59.0%
Ecuador	Macará	2017	64.8%	82.1%	57.6%
Ecuador	Machala	2000	72.6%	84.4%	65.3%
Ecuador	Machala	2017	64.6%	69.3%	63.8%
Ecuador	Manta	2000	61.8%	61.9%	61.5%
Ecuador	Manta	2017	56.6%	61.9%	51.0%
Ecuador	Marcabelí	2000	67.3%	67.9%	66.6%
Ecuador	Marcabelí	2017	70.4%	71.4%	70.1%
Ecuador	Mejía	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.2%
Ecuador	Mejía	2000	9.8%	11.2%	9.7%
Ecuador	Mejía	2017	8.8%	10.1%	8.8%
Ecuador	Mejía	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Ecuador	Mera	2000	30.5%	31.6%	30.2%
Ecuador	Mera	2017	27.0%	28.3%	24.9%
Ecuador	Milagro	2000	87.3%	87.4%	87.3%
Ecuador	Milagro	2017	89.3%	89.4%	89.3%
Ecuador	Mira	2000	45.0%	45.2%	44.9%
Ecuador	Mira	2017	51.3%	51.5%	51.2%
Ecuador	Mocache	2000	91.9%	92.0%	91.2%
Ecuador	Mocache	2017	93.7%	94.1%	93.2%
Ecuador	Mocha	2000	84.9%	87.9%	83.5%
Ecuador	Mocha	2017	86.3%	89.5%	84.7%
Ecuador	Montalvo	2000	54.1%	54.2%	53.9%
Ecuador	Montalvo	2017	56.2%	56.2%	55.9%
Ecuador	Montecristi	2000	27.5%	27.6%	27.0%
Ecuador	Montecristi	2017	17.4%	25.5%	8.9%
Ecuador	Montúfar	2000	88.0%	92.6%	85.9%
Ecuador	Montúfar	2017	88.7%	92.6%	87.0%
Ecuador	Morona	2000	64.1%	64.6%	63.8%
Ecuador	Morona	2017	66.9%	69.0%	55.6%
Ecuador	Muisne	2000	84.5%	94.4%	76.8%
Ecuador	Muisne	2017	84.2%	94.8%	75.1%
Ecuador	Nabón	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Nabón	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Ecuador	Nangaritza	2000	29.7%	32.1%	27.8%
Ecuador	Nangaritza	2017	26.5%	29.0%	24.8%
Ecuador	Naranjal	2000	73.9%	79.8%	72.3%
Ecuador	Naranjal	2017	65.7%	71.8%	64.0%
Ecuador	Naranjito	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Naranjito	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Nobol	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Nobol	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2000	89.4%	91.0%	79.4%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2000	68.3%	68.7%	61.5%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2017	70.0%	71.0%	55.4%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2017	84.8%	91.0%	73.2%
Ecuador	Oña	2000	97.1%	99.3%	95.6%
Ecuador	Oña	2017	97.9%	99.2%	97.1%
Ecuador	Orellana	2000	27.5%	28.6%	26.8%
Ecuador	Orellana	2017	24.1%	25.3%	23.2%
Ecuador	Otavalo	2000	65.5%	86.6%	56.0%
Ecuador	Otavalo	2017	71.9%	92.5%	62.3%
Ecuador	Pablo Sexto	2000	62.7%	65.7%	57.9%
Ecuador	Pablo Sexto	2017	53.8%	57.2%	50.1%
Ecuador	Paján	2000	47.7%	54.9%	42.3%
Ecuador	Paján	2017	44.8%	50.2%	40.3%
Ecuador	Palanda	2000	58.5%	65.7%	55.6%
Ecuador	Palanda	2017	49.2%	54.1%	45.9%
Ecuador	Palenque	2000	75.5%	80.1%	73.0%
Ecuador	Palenque	2017	78.0%	80.9%	76.8%
Ecuador	Palestina	2000	64.6%	72.0%	60.3%
Ecuador	Palestina	2017	65.9%	71.7%	63.2%
Ecuador	Pallatanga	2000	42.1%	45.3%	39.7%
Ecuador	Pallatanga	2017	41.9%	44.7%	38.6%
Ecuador	Palora	2000	49.8%	54.8%	46.6%
Ecuador	Palora	2017	48.2%	51.6%	34.0%
Ecuador	Paltas	2000	61.0%	66.2%	55.3%
Ecuador	Paltas	2017	59.5%	65.8%	53.8%
Ecuador	Pangua	2000	83.8%	87.8%	82.3%
Ecuador	Pangua	2017	85.0%	88.3%	83.9%
Ecuador	Paquisha	2000	16.7%	22.8%	16.3%
Ecuador	Paquisha	2017	14.4%	17.5%	14.2%
Ecuador	Pasaje	2000	81.0%	84.0%	79.4%
Ecuador	Pasaje	2017	81.0%	82.1%	80.4%
Ecuador	Pastaza	2000	18.2%	18.6%	18.0%
Ecuador	Pastaza	2017	17.9%	18.2%	17.7%
Ecuador	Patate	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.2%
Ecuador	Patate	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.1%
Ecuador	Paute	2000	88.7%	93.4%	84.8%
Ecuador	Paute	2017	89.4%	94.1%	86.5%
Ecuador	Pedernales	2000	85.5%	97.2%	63.6%
Ecuador	Pedernales	2017	87.0%	97.0%	61.3%
Ecuador	Pedro Carbo	2000	50.3%	63.5%	18.3%
Ecuador	Pedro Carbo	2017	42.7%	62.5%	12.6%
Ecuador	Pedro Moncayo	2000	56.8%	80.2%	35.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Pedro Moncayo	2017	54.3%	80.8%	35.5%
Ecuador	Pedro Vicente Maldonado	2000	75.8%	82.7%	68.1%
Ecuador	Pedro Vicente Maldonado	2017	76.6%	82.6%	70.3%
Ecuador	Penipe	2000	99.2%	100.0%	92.4%
Ecuador	Penipe	2017	99.1%	100.0%	92.1%
Ecuador	Pichincha	2000	17.3%	27.3%	9.7%
Ecuador	Pichincha	2017	16.9%	23.5%	10.1%
Ecuador	Pimampiro	2000	79.5%	86.4%	75.6%
Ecuador	Pimampiro	2017	82.4%	89.0%	79.3%
Ecuador	Piñas	2000	67.6%	68.9%	67.0%
Ecuador	Piñas	2017	70.6%	71.8%	69.7%
Ecuador	Pindal	2000	12.9%	21.7%	12.1%
Ecuador	Pindal	2017	13.0%	18.4%	12.8%
Ecuador	Playas	2000	78.1%	88.1%	69.7%
Ecuador	Playas	2017	82.4%	90.3%	76.0%
Ecuador	Portovelo	2000	74.9%	79.7%	74.1%
Ecuador	Portovelo	2017	76.9%	78.6%	64.9%
Ecuador	Portoviejo	2000	59.3%	61.0%	57.5%
Ecuador	Portoviejo	2017	67.2%	68.7%	65.6%
Ecuador	Pucará	2000	73.5%	77.9%	68.2%
Ecuador	Pucará	2017	75.1%	79.4%	72.2%
Ecuador	Pueblo Viejo	2000	93.5%	93.6%	91.8%
Ecuador	Pueblo Viejo	2017	92.9%	93.0%	90.8%
Ecuador	Puerto López	2000	73.1%	76.5%	70.5%
Ecuador	Puerto López	2017	78.7%	84.9%	72.2%
Ecuador	Puerto Quito	2000	39.7%	53.2%	29.7%
Ecuador	Puerto Quito	2017	42.4%	56.1%	29.6%
Ecuador	Pujilí	2000	94.4%	95.8%	93.2%
Ecuador	Pujilí	2017	93.6%	94.9%	92.8%
Ecuador	Putumayo	2000	44.9%	66.5%	37.1%
Ecuador	Putumayo	2017	45.8%	67.5%	37.8%
Ecuador	Puyango	2000	53.0%	54.2%	52.1%
Ecuador	Puyango	2017	52.8%	54.7%	50.5%
Ecuador	Quero	2000	75.6%	77.5%	75.4%
Ecuador	Quero	2017	77.0%	79.1%	76.8%
Ecuador	Quevedo	2000	90.0%	97.2%	86.7%
Ecuador	Quevedo	2017	90.5%	96.5%	88.1%
Ecuador	Quijos	2000	73.3%	76.6%	69.6%
Ecuador	Quijos	2017	73.2%	75.7%	70.8%
Ecuador	Quilanga	2000	92.1%	93.6%	81.2%
Ecuador	Quilanga	2017	92.7%	94.3%	83.1%
Ecuador	Quinindé	2000	72.4%	77.9%	66.6%
Ecuador	Quinindé	2017	73.1%	78.8%	67.6%
Ecuador	Quinsaloma	2000	87.6%	99.6%	81.5%
Ecuador	Quinsaloma	2017	85.0%	98.7%	76.6%
Ecuador	Quito	2000	83.6%	85.8%	82.2%
Ecuador	Quito	2017	83.1%	85.7%	81.7%
Ecuador	Río Verde	2000	80.5%	92.8%	76.5%
Ecuador	Río Verde	2017	80.6%	92.2%	77.9%
Ecuador	Riobamba	2000	61.4%	62.4%	59.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Riobamba	2017	68.9%	70.0%	67.0%
Ecuador	Rocafuerte	2000	44.5%	46.2%	44.4%
Ecuador	Rocafuerte	2017	44.4%	45.0%	44.3%
Ecuador	Rumiñahui	2000	23.1%	32.7%	21.5%
Ecuador	Rumiñahui	2017	21.4%	26.8%	20.1%
Ecuador	Salcedo	2000	96.0%	96.3%	93.9%
Ecuador	Salcedo	2017	95.1%	95.6%	92.7%
Ecuador	Salinas	2000	63.2%	72.3%	61.8%
Ecuador	Salinas	2017	65.0%	65.2%	64.2%
Ecuador	Samborondón	2000	79.8%	81.0%	78.2%
Ecuador	Samborondón	2017	83.3%	84.3%	82.6%
Ecuador	San Cristóbal	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	San Cristóbal	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	San Fernando	2000	77.2%	85.2%	72.2%
Ecuador	San Fernando	2017	76.9%	86.3%	45.0%
Ecuador	San Jacinto de Yaguachi	2000	98.3%	100.0%	96.9%
Ecuador	San Jacinto de Yaguachi	2017	98.2%	100.0%	96.9%
Ecuador	San Juan Bosco	2000	72.1%	72.6%	70.1%
Ecuador	San Juan Bosco	2017	73.0%	73.6%	71.4%
Ecuador	San Lorenzo	2000	56.0%	62.3%	51.3%
Ecuador	San Lorenzo	2017	58.5%	62.7%	55.5%
Ecuador	San Miguel	2000	92.2%	93.4%	90.3%
Ecuador	San Miguel	2017	88.0%	88.7%	87.2%
Ecuador	San Miguel de los Bancos	2000	46.9%	51.7%	43.6%
Ecuador	San Miguel de los Bancos	2017	51.2%	54.0%	48.1%
Ecuador	San Miguel de Urcuquí	2000	9.2%	35.8%	4.0%
Ecuador	San Miguel de Urcuquí	2017	8.4%	38.1%	4.4%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Huaca	2000	49.8%	58.1%	46.4%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Huaca	2017	59.6%	66.4%	56.8%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Pelileo	2000	96.2%	96.2%	96.2%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Pelileo	2017	97.1%	97.1%	97.1%
Ecuador	San Vicente	2000	41.6%	45.1%	32.7%
Ecuador	San Vicente	2017	34.7%	40.3%	24.2%
Ecuador	Santa Ana	2000	45.9%	53.3%	42.3%
Ecuador	Santa Ana	2017	43.9%	47.0%	39.3%
Ecuador	Santa Clara	2000	28.5%	30.6%	24.6%
Ecuador	Santa Clara	2017	18.8%	21.1%	16.9%
Ecuador	Santa Cruz	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Santa Cruz	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Ecuador	Santa Elena	2000	57.1%	65.3%	51.0%
Ecuador	Santa Elena	2017	56.4%	63.8%	51.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Santa Isabel	2000	91.7%	93.6%	90.6%
Ecuador	Santa Isabel	2017	91.2%	93.3%	89.9%
Ecuador	Santa Lucia	2000	77.3%	77.4%	77.3%
Ecuador	Santa Lucia	2017	81.6%	81.8%	80.1%
Ecuador	Santa Rosa	2000	54.0%	69.3%	39.2%
Ecuador	Santa Rosa	2017	56.0%	71.4%	40.3%
Ecuador	Santiago	2000	56.4%	59.3%	55.1%
Ecuador	Santiago	2017	64.7%	67.4%	63.6%
Ecuador	Santiago de Pillaro	2000	64.5%	76.0%	52.1%
Ecuador	Santiago de Pillaro	2017	63.5%	76.2%	51.4%
Ecuador	Santo Domingo	2000	61.6%	70.9%	57.6%
Ecuador	Santo Domingo	2017	58.4%	66.3%	51.0%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2000	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2017	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2000	76.4%	81.4%	71.5%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2017	71.3%	78.1%	66.6%
Ecuador	Saraguro	2000	77.2%	80.9%	74.2%
Ecuador	Saraguro	2017	77.2%	81.5%	74.0%
Ecuador	Sevilla de Oro	2000	84.5%	98.7%	73.8%
Ecuador	Sevilla de Oro	2017	86.0%	98.5%	78.7%
Ecuador	Shushufindi	2000	57.2%	61.1%	51.8%
Ecuador	Shushufindi	2017	58.0%	62.5%	53.5%
Ecuador	Sigchos	2000	50.1%	53.9%	47.6%
Ecuador	Sigchos	2017	51.6%	56.0%	50.4%
Ecuador	Sigsig	2000	56.7%	62.6%	52.0%
Ecuador	Sigsig	2017	55.8%	62.2%	50.4%
Ecuador	Simon Bolivar	2000	88.1%	88.1%	88.1%
Ecuador	Simon Bolivar	2017	87.5%	87.5%	87.5%
Ecuador	Sozoranga	2000	64.6%	90.8%	41.2%
Ecuador	Sozoranga	2017	61.7%	89.8%	38.7%
Ecuador	Sucre	2000	32.4%	33.4%	29.5%
Ecuador	Sucre	2017	31.7%	33.0%	29.0%
Ecuador	Sucúa	2000	83.3%	84.3%	83.2%
Ecuador	Sucúa	2017	89.5%	90.0%	89.4%
Ecuador	Sucumbíos	2000	51.6%	64.0%	46.2%
Ecuador	Sucumbíos	2017	48.0%	59.8%	43.7%
Ecuador	Suscal	2000	51.8%	58.1%	41.3%
Ecuador	Suscal	2017	37.9%	45.5%	36.6%
Ecuador	Taisha	2000	95.1%	95.4%	94.8%
Ecuador	Taisha	2017	93.9%	94.0%	93.7%
Ecuador	Tena	2000	68.1%	75.9%	43.8%
Ecuador	Tena	2017	62.5%	73.4%	34.3%
Ecuador	Tisaleo	2000	92.7%	94.0%	78.0%
Ecuador	Tisaleo	2017	93.4%	95.1%	73.0%
Ecuador	Tiwintza	2000	51.6%	59.9%	49.5%
Ecuador	Tiwintza	2017	45.3%	55.4%	43.0%
Ecuador	Tosagua	2000	4.8%	9.3%	3.6%
Ecuador	Tosagua	2017	4.0%	4.1%	3.2%
Ecuador	Tulcán	2000	82.0%	87.3%	79.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Tulcán	2017	82.3%	86.0%	80.6%
Ecuador	Urbina Jado	2000	82.3%	84.1%	79.8%
Ecuador	Urbina Jado	2017	80.5%	84.7%	78.3%
Ecuador	Urdaneta	2000	44.5%	48.3%	36.8%
Ecuador	Urdaneta	2017	49.1%	50.4%	41.0%
Ecuador	Valencia	2000	52.2%	64.6%	47.3%
Ecuador	Valencia	2017	58.6%	70.2%	53.5%
Ecuador	Ventanas	2000	95.1%	95.1%	95.1%
Ecuador	Ventanas	2017	93.9%	93.9%	93.9%
Ecuador	Vinces	2000	97.5%	97.7%	96.5%
Ecuador	Vinces	2017	96.7%	97.0%	95.9%
Ecuador	Yacuambi	2000	73.6%	77.5%	69.5%
Ecuador	Yacuambi	2017	65.6%	69.3%	62.0%
Ecuador	Yantzaza	2000	63.7%	66.6%	60.3%
Ecuador	Yantzaza	2017	65.9%	68.8%	63.3%
Ecuador	Zamora	2000	62.0%	64.1%	58.7%
Ecuador	Zamora	2017	66.7%	68.3%	64.7%
Ecuador	Zapotillo	2000	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%
Ecuador	Zapotillo	2017	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%
Ecuador	Zaruma	2000	88.7%	90.2%	87.8%
Ecuador	Zaruma	2017	89.5%	90.8%	88.9%
El Salvador	Acajutla	2000	47.4%	77.2%	32.2%
El Salvador	Acajutla	2017	47.5%	78.5%	31.0%
El Salvador	Agua Caliente	2000	29.7%	79.6%	10.7%
El Salvador	Agua Caliente	2017	28.6%	80.6%	9.9%
El Salvador	Aguilares	2000	68.9%	96.4%	41.8%
El Salvador	Aguilares	2017	67.4%	95.3%	40.3%
El Salvador	Ahuachapán	2000	38.6%	78.5%	1.6%
El Salvador	Ahuachapán	2017	36.7%	76.2%	1.3%
El Salvador	Alegría	2000	57.7%	90.4%	2.2%
El Salvador	Alegría	2017	56.1%	89.9%	1.9%
El Salvador	Anamorós	2000	26.8%	85.3%	0.9%
El Salvador	Anamorós	2017	25.0%	85.7%	0.9%
El Salvador	Antiguo Cuscatlán	2000	50.9%	52.4%	49.2%
El Salvador	Antiguo Cuscatlán	2017	46.6%	47.9%	45.2%
El Salvador	Apaneca	2000	21.7%	54.6%	0.4%
El Salvador	Apaneca	2017	22.3%	51.3%	0.4%
El Salvador	Apastepeque	2000	32.5%	84.6%	0.7%
El Salvador	Apastepeque	2017	32.7%	83.1%	0.7%
El Salvador	Apopa	2000	61.9%	64.7%	50.4%
El Salvador	Apopa	2017	61.0%	65.3%	39.1%
El Salvador	Arambala	2000	78.9%	100.0%	18.3%
El Salvador	Arambala	2017	76.9%	100.0%	17.9%
El Salvador	Arcatao	2000	45.9%	100.0%	0.3%
El Salvador	Arcatao	2017	45.7%	100.0%	0.3%
El Salvador	Armenia	2000	35.1%	72.8%	1.1%
El Salvador	Armenia	2017	34.1%	69.5%	1.0%
El Salvador	Atiquizaya	2000	16.5%	38.0%	6.1%
El Salvador	Atiquizaya	2017	14.5%	35.6%	5.3%
El Salvador	Ayutuxtepeque	2000	72.2%	72.7%	71.9%
El Salvador	Ayutuxtepeque	2017	72.5%	72.9%	72.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Azacualpa	2000	55.2%	100.0%	1.4%
El Salvador	Azacualpa	2017	58.9%	100.0%	1.1%
El Salvador	Berlín	2000	32.7%	62.4%	5.5%
El Salvador	Berlín	2017	33.9%	64.6%	6.5%
El Salvador	Bolívar	2000	14.6%	79.8%	0.3%
El Salvador	Bolívar	2017	14.4%	78.5%	0.3%
El Salvador	Cacaopera	2000	48.3%	94.7%	7.5%
El Salvador	Cacaopera	2017	46.9%	94.6%	6.5%
El Salvador	California	2000	43.4%	100.0%	1.5%
El Salvador	California	2017	41.2%	100.0%	1.3%
El Salvador	Caluco	2000	26.5%	96.8%	0.2%
El Salvador	Caluco	2017	27.0%	97.3%	0.2%
El Salvador	Candelaria	2000	11.5%	59.2%	1.1%
El Salvador	Candelaria	2017	11.0%	60.2%	0.5%
El Salvador	Candelaria de la Frontera	2000	59.1%	93.7%	18.0%
El Salvador	Candelaria de la Frontera	2017	58.0%	94.2%	15.9%
El Salvador	Carolina	2000	72.8%	100.0%	18.2%
El Salvador	Carolina	2017	73.1%	100.0%	19.2%
El Salvador	Chalatenango	2000	66.2%	91.9%	37.4%
El Salvador	Chalatenango	2017	64.0%	89.2%	36.2%
El Salvador	Chalchuapa	2000	76.2%	94.8%	38.2%
El Salvador	Chalchuapa	2017	75.6%	95.0%	42.3%
El Salvador	Chapeltique	2000	24.0%	84.0%	0.3%
El Salvador	Chapeltique	2017	23.8%	84.0%	0.3%
El Salvador	Chilanga	2000	47.2%	99.7%	5.4%
El Salvador	Chilanga	2017	46.7%	99.6%	4.7%
El Salvador	Chiltiupán	2000	34.4%	70.8%	6.1%
El Salvador	Chiltiupán	2017	36.9%	71.9%	5.4%
El Salvador	Chinameca	2000	61.7%	88.1%	32.9%
El Salvador	Chinameca	2017	53.7%	87.9%	30.4%
El Salvador	Chirilagua	2000	30.4%	63.6%	4.6%
El Salvador	Chirilagua	2017	30.0%	65.4%	4.9%
El Salvador	Cinquera	2000	20.0%	82.5%	0.5%
El Salvador	Cinquera	2017	19.1%	82.3%	0.4%
El Salvador	Citalá	2000	44.9%	100.0%	34.8%
El Salvador	Citalá	2017	45.4%	100.0%	31.7%
El Salvador	Ciudad Arce	2000	52.1%	89.4%	21.7%
El Salvador	Ciudad Arce	2017	56.3%	90.3%	29.1%
El Salvador	Ciudad Barrios	2000	65.7%	96.6%	13.5%
El Salvador	Ciudad Barrios	2017	67.5%	96.5%	13.0%
El Salvador	Coatepeque	2000	61.5%	92.8%	22.4%
El Salvador	Coatepeque	2017	63.0%	93.9%	21.5%
El Salvador	Cojutepeque	2000	2.2%	5.7%	0.9%
El Salvador	Cojutepeque	2017	2.2%	6.0%	0.7%
El Salvador	Colón	2000	61.2%	95.8%	4.6%
El Salvador	Colón	2017	60.7%	96.1%	3.5%
El Salvador	Comacarán	2000	17.6%	99.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	Comacarán	2017	17.6%	98.7%	0.2%
El Salvador	Comalapa	2000	13.6%	53.3%	4.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Comalapa	2017	14.5%	59.0%	5.4%
El Salvador	Comasagua	2000	42.3%	86.6%	1.0%
El Salvador	Comasagua	2017	42.6%	83.2%	0.8%
El Salvador	Concepción	2000	27.9%	91.5%	0.5%
El Salvador	Batres				
El Salvador	Concepción	2017	27.9%	88.3%	0.5%
El Salvador	Batres				
El Salvador	Concepción de Ataco	2000	23.6%	75.0%	1.2%
El Salvador	Concepción de Ataco	2017	23.0%	73.5%	1.2%
El Salvador	Concepción de Oriente	2000	20.0%	53.6%	0.3%
El Salvador	Concepción de Oriente	2017	20.3%	54.8%	0.3%
El Salvador	Concepción	2000	74.2%	98.7%	63.4%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque				
El Salvador	Concepción	2017	67.8%	98.7%	51.2%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque				
El Salvador	Conchagua	2000	31.2%	64.0%	9.7%
El Salvador	Conchagua	2017	31.6%	62.9%	9.7%
El Salvador	Corinto	2000	76.7%	99.8%	17.1%
El Salvador	Corinto	2017	75.9%	99.8%	13.7%
El Salvador	Cuisnahuat	2000	18.0%	61.4%	0.1%
El Salvador	Cuisnahuat	2017	17.8%	63.3%	0.1%
El Salvador	Cuscatancingo	2000	70.1%	71.3%	69.0%
El Salvador	Cuscatancingo	2017	66.8%	68.0%	65.7%
El Salvador	Cuyultitán	2000	57.7%	100.0%	0.8%
El Salvador	Cuyultitán	2017	57.2%	100.0%	0.7%
El Salvador	Delgado	2000	97.6%	97.7%	96.9%
El Salvador	Delgado	2017	97.7%	98.0%	96.5%
El Salvador	Delicias de Concepción	2000	81.6%	99.3%	40.4%
El Salvador	Delicias de Concepción	2017	79.9%	99.2%	38.9%
El Salvador	Dolores	2000	55.7%	94.4%	17.2%
El Salvador	Dolores	2017	53.9%	95.6%	15.6%
El Salvador	Dulce Nombre de María	2000	31.6%	64.8%	13.3%
El Salvador	Dulce Nombre de María	2017	37.0%	68.3%	17.8%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2000	34.9%	80.4%	1.9%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2000	1.5%	5.4%	0.5%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2017	1.5%	5.4%	0.5%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2017	35.7%	81.8%	2.0%
El Salvador	El Carrizal	2000	25.1%	85.3%	8.5%
El Salvador	El Carrizal	2017	26.9%	84.0%	10.9%
El Salvador	El Congo	2000	41.6%	70.1%	8.8%
El Salvador	El Congo	2017	39.0%	65.4%	7.4%
El Salvador	El Divisadero	2000	39.0%	97.0%	0.8%
El Salvador	El Divisadero	2017	38.8%	96.7%	0.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	El Paisnal	2000	35.3%	73.2%	2.2%
El Salvador	El Paisnal	2017	30.9%	76.8%	1.2%
El Salvador	El Paraíso	2000	22.6%	98.1%	0.3%
El Salvador	El Paraíso	2017	22.6%	98.1%	0.2%
El Salvador	El Porvenir	2000	74.7%	100.0%	7.5%
El Salvador	El Porvenir	2017	75.0%	100.0%	7.3%
El Salvador	El Refugio	2000	89.7%	96.0%	6.0%
El Salvador	El Refugio	2017	88.3%	94.8%	6.0%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	34.6%	93.1%	11.3%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	35.2%	100.0%	0.1%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	7.8%	90.7%	0.3%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	37.0%	100.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	33.8%	94.0%	10.7%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	7.9%	90.0%	0.3%
El Salvador	El Sauce	2000	2.0%	20.5%	0.1%
El Salvador	El Sauce	2017	2.0%	20.0%	0.1%
El Salvador	El Tránsito	2000	36.1%	88.4%	1.1%
El Salvador	El Tránsito	2017	35.6%	85.2%	1.2%
El Salvador	El Triunfo	2000	27.1%	92.0%	0.5%
El Salvador	El Triunfo	2017	26.3%	92.7%	0.4%
El Salvador	Embalse Cer- ron Grande	2000	44.8%	77.0%	18.4%
El Salvador	Embalse Cer- ron Grande	2017	41.5%	72.4%	15.3%
El Salvador	Ereguayquín	2000	45.0%	99.9%	0.3%
El Salvador	Ereguayquín	2017	45.2%	99.9%	0.3%
El Salvador	Estanzuelas	2000	11.1%	63.1%	0.2%
El Salvador	Estanzuelas	2017	11.2%	57.6%	0.2%
El Salvador	Guacotecti	2000	28.9%	93.6%	0.5%
El Salvador	Guacotecti	2017	28.6%	94.7%	0.5%
El Salvador	Guadalupe	2000	32.5%	99.2%	0.4%
El Salvador	Guadalupe	2017	32.6%	99.1%	0.4%
El Salvador	Gualococti	2000	29.0%	96.5%	12.2%
El Salvador	Gualococti	2017	28.6%	94.8%	11.9%
El Salvador	Guatajiagua	2000	25.5%	76.6%	0.3%
El Salvador	Guatajiagua	2017	24.9%	75.9%	0.3%
El Salvador	Guaymango	2000	13.1%	54.9%	0.1%
El Salvador	Guaymango	2017	12.8%	53.7%	0.1%
El Salvador	Guazapa	2000	69.5%	96.0%	30.8%
El Salvador	Guazapa	2017	69.4%	94.8%	30.2%
El Salvador	Huizúcar	2000	6.7%	17.6%	2.9%
El Salvador	Huizúcar	2017	6.0%	12.8%	3.6%
El Salvador	Ilobasco	2000	45.9%	85.3%	2.4%
El Salvador	Ilobasco	2017	46.9%	85.5%	2.5%
El Salvador	Ilopango	2000	97.3%	97.7%	97.1%
El Salvador	Ilopango	2017	97.2%	97.7%	97.0%
El Salvador	Intipucá	2000	17.9%	73.6%	0.9%
El Salvador	Intipucá	2017	17.8%	71.7%	0.9%
El Salvador	Izalco	2000	59.0%	83.9%	39.9%
El Salvador	Izalco	2017	58.1%	82.5%	41.4%
El Salvador	Jayaque	2000	53.7%	97.9%	22.9%
El Salvador	Jayaque	2017	51.4%	98.0%	16.6%
El Salvador	Jerusalén	2000	28.2%	74.6%	0.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Jerusalén	2017	27.6%	73.3%	0.6%
El Salvador	Jicalapa	2000	42.6%	99.7%	1.4%
El Salvador	Jicalapa	2017	42.4%	99.6%	1.3%
El Salvador	Jiquilisco	2000	35.0%	64.3%	12.6%
El Salvador	Jiquilisco	2017	34.3%	66.8%	12.2%
El Salvador	Joateca	2000	54.4%	100.0%	8.0%
El Salvador	Joateca	2017	53.6%	100.0%	7.6%
El Salvador	Jocoaitique	2000	60.4%	100.0%	2.7%
El Salvador	Jocoaitique	2017	60.5%	100.0%	2.1%
El Salvador	Jocoro	2000	21.3%	86.8%	0.6%
El Salvador	Jocoro	2017	20.3%	89.0%	0.6%
El Salvador	Juayúa	2000	59.2%	89.1%	15.8%
El Salvador	Juayúa	2017	58.6%	88.2%	14.4%
El Salvador	Jucuapa	2000	26.7%	91.9%	0.7%
El Salvador	Jucuapa	2017	24.2%	91.8%	0.6%
El Salvador	Jucuarán	2000	51.8%	89.0%	15.1%
El Salvador	Jucuarán	2017	51.9%	88.8%	15.2%
El Salvador	Jujutla	2000	26.9%	70.5%	1.3%
El Salvador	Jujutla	2017	27.3%	68.3%	1.2%
El Salvador	Jutiapa	2000	21.9%	84.9%	0.9%
El Salvador	Jutiapa	2017	21.1%	80.5%	1.0%
El Salvador	La Laguna	2000	12.6%	97.8%	1.2%
El Salvador	La Laguna	2017	12.8%	97.8%	1.4%
El Salvador	La Libertad	2000	48.8%	80.5%	26.5%
El Salvador	La Libertad	2017	52.3%	80.5%	26.6%
El Salvador	La Palma	2000	54.5%	92.4%	31.7%
El Salvador	La Palma	2017	56.3%	93.0%	32.8%
El Salvador	La Reina	2000	36.4%	81.2%	11.2%
El Salvador	La Reina	2017	37.2%	79.8%	12.3%
El Salvador	La Unión	2000	28.8%	64.1%	8.7%
El Salvador	La Unión	2017	29.0%	65.5%	8.4%
El Salvador	Lago de Coatepeque	2000	49.9%	100.0%	0.8%
El Salvador	Lago de Coatepeque	2017	48.3%	100.0%	0.7%
El Salvador	Lago de Guija	2000	51.7%	99.9%	0.5%
El Salvador	Lago de Guija	2017	45.8%	99.8%	0.5%
El Salvador	Lago de Llopango	2000	41.5%	99.6%	20.2%
El Salvador	Lago de Llopango	2017	40.4%	99.6%	19.3%
El Salvador	Las Vueltas	2000	38.4%	83.7%	21.6%
El Salvador	Las Vueltas	2017	40.2%	83.3%	22.3%
El Salvador	Lislique	2000	61.4%	99.9%	6.8%
El Salvador	Lislique	2017	60.8%	99.9%	7.0%
El Salvador	Lolotique	2000	38.0%	92.7%	2.5%
El Salvador	Lolotique	2017	34.2%	93.8%	3.3%
El Salvador	Lolotiquillo	2000	42.5%	99.7%	1.9%
El Salvador	Lolotiquillo	2017	41.9%	99.7%	1.8%
El Salvador	Masahuat	2000	55.8%	99.3%	0.7%
El Salvador	Masahuat	2017	54.3%	99.2%	0.7%
El Salvador	Meanguera	2000	60.7%	100.0%	7.4%
El Salvador	Meanguera	2017	60.0%	100.0%	8.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Meanguera del Golfo	2000	45.9%	100.0%	0.5%
El Salvador	Meanguera del Golfo	2017	46.3%	99.9%	0.5%
El Salvador	Mejicanos	2000	38.8%	39.3%	38.5%
El Salvador	Mejicanos	2017	41.9%	42.4%	41.6%
El Salvador	Mercedes La Ceiba	2000	36.3%	94.9%	0.5%
El Salvador	Mercedes La Ceiba	2017	35.8%	94.7%	0.5%
El Salvador	Mercedes Umaña	2000	16.6%	78.2%	0.2%
El Salvador	Mercedes Umaña	2017	16.5%	78.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	Metapán	2000	41.6%	78.5%	15.2%
El Salvador	Metapán	2017	42.0%	76.0%	17.1%
El Salvador	Moncagua	2000	61.6%	98.2%	8.1%
El Salvador	Moncagua	2017	63.7%	98.4%	8.3%
El Salvador	Monte San Juan	2000	8.6%	29.9%	0.8%
El Salvador	Monte San Juan	2017	10.0%	35.7%	0.8%
El Salvador	Nahuizalco	2000	26.7%	37.9%	22.6%
El Salvador	Nahuizalco	2017	24.6%	32.9%	21.6%
El Salvador	Nahulingo	2000	91.8%	100.0%	79.6%
El Salvador	Nahulingo	2017	92.2%	100.0%	80.6%
El Salvador	Nejapa	2000	63.4%	70.8%	57.0%
El Salvador	Nejapa	2017	61.1%	68.8%	54.5%
El Salvador	Nombre de Jesús	2000	40.2%	100.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	Nombre de Jesús	2017	41.1%	100.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	Nueva Concepción	2000	34.8%	66.7%	6.0%
El Salvador	Nueva Concepción	2017	33.7%	67.5%	5.6%
El Salvador	Nueva Esparta	2000	59.6%	96.5%	19.8%
El Salvador	Nueva Esparta	2017	56.5%	95.7%	17.1%
El Salvador	Nueva Granada	2000	24.8%	74.6%	0.2%
El Salvador	Nueva Granada	2017	24.5%	73.8%	0.2%
El Salvador	Nueva Guadalupe	2000	24.9%	98.7%	1.8%
El Salvador	Nueva Guadalupe	2017	22.5%	98.4%	1.5%
El Salvador	Nueva San Salvador	2000	64.3%	87.5%	46.2%
El Salvador	Nueva San Salvador	2017	60.2%	85.9%	41.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Nueva Trinidad	2000	44.9%	97.7%	0.2%
El Salvador	Nueva Trinidad	2017	48.5%	98.8%	0.2%
El Salvador	Nuevo Cuscatlán	2000	0.7%	1.6%	0.2%
El Salvador	Nuevo Cuscatlán	2017	0.7%	1.5%	0.2%
El Salvador	Nuevo Edén de San Juan	2000	54.6%	94.0%	17.1%
El Salvador	Nuevo Edén de San Juan	2017	54.0%	93.2%	17.2%
El Salvador	Ojos de Agua	2000	32.5%	64.3%	17.7%
El Salvador	Ojos de Agua	2017	33.0%	68.1%	17.4%
El Salvador	Olocuilta	2000	50.9%	94.9%	1.4%
El Salvador	Olocuilta	2017	50.6%	95.9%	1.3%
El Salvador	Opico	2000	45.8%	79.4%	11.4%
El Salvador	Opico	2017	51.2%	83.5%	11.0%
El Salvador	Oratorio de Concepción	2000	51.6%	100.0%	1.0%
El Salvador	Oratorio de Concepción	2017	51.8%	100.0%	1.0%
El Salvador	Osicala	2000	37.8%	100.0%	9.9%
El Salvador	Osicala	2017	37.3%	100.0%	8.8%
El Salvador	Ozatlán	2000	39.3%	95.4%	0.5%
El Salvador	Ozatlán	2017	40.7%	95.6%	0.5%
El Salvador	Panchimalco	2000	11.0%	32.0%	0.6%
El Salvador	Panchimalco	2017	11.1%	32.9%	0.6%
El Salvador	Paraíso de Osorio	2000	30.0%	92.0%	0.6%
El Salvador	Paraíso de Osorio	2017	31.5%	90.7%	0.6%
El Salvador	Pasaquina	2000	2.4%	17.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	Pasaquina	2017	2.2%	19.9%	0.2%
El Salvador	Perquín	2000	73.8%	100.0%	31.1%
El Salvador	Perquín	2017	68.4%	100.0%	23.7%
El Salvador	Polorós	2000	45.6%	89.1%	7.8%
El Salvador	Polorós	2017	43.8%	88.8%	5.8%
El Salvador	Potonico	2000	50.2%	100.0%	2.0%
El Salvador	Potonico	2017	50.4%	100.0%	1.7%
El Salvador	Puerto El Triunfo	2000	20.2%	98.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	Puerto El Triunfo	2017	20.6%	97.5%	0.2%
El Salvador	Quelepa	2000	61.5%	100.0%	1.7%
El Salvador	Quelepa	2017	61.7%	100.0%	1.7%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque	2000	56.6%	90.4%	10.4%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque	2017	55.9%	89.9%	10.1%
El Salvador	Rosario de Mora	2000	13.4%	56.0%	0.7%
El Salvador	Rosario de Mora	2017	11.0%	45.7%	0.8%
El Salvador	Sacacoyo	2000	61.4%	100.0%	0.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Sacacoyo	2017	59.3%	100.0%	0.8%
El Salvador	Salcoatitán	2000	41.5%	100.0%	0.9%
El Salvador	Salcoatitán	2017	45.8%	100.0%	0.8%
El Salvador	San Agustín	2000	39.2%	89.0%	3.0%
El Salvador	San Agustín	2017	40.5%	88.9%	2.5%
El Salvador	San Alejo	2000	14.7%	53.6%	0.6%
El Salvador	San Alejo	2017	14.3%	50.5%	0.6%
El Salvador	San Antonio	2000	66.3%	100.0%	2.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio	2017	66.1%	100.0%	2.5%
El Salvador	San Antonio de la Cruz	2000	45.2%	100.0%	0.3%
El Salvador	San Antonio de la Cruz	2017	49.8%	100.0%	0.3%
El Salvador	San Antonio del Monte	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.7%
El Salvador	San Antonio del Monte	2017	94.8%	96.1%	93.7%
El Salvador	San Antonio Los Ranchos	2000	27.7%	99.9%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Antonio Los Ranchos	2017	27.0%	99.9%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Antonio Masahuat	2000	20.2%	82.2%	0.3%
El Salvador	San Antonio Masahuat	2017	20.4%	81.4%	0.3%
El Salvador	San Antonio Pajonal	2000	37.9%	99.6%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Antonio Pajonal	2017	38.1%	99.2%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Bartolomé Perulapía	2000	49.1%	90.2%	5.0%
El Salvador	San Bartolomé Perulapía	2017	46.8%	88.3%	4.9%
El Salvador	San Buenaventura	2000	26.9%	89.8%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Buenaventura	2017	25.0%	88.8%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Carlos	2000	52.8%	97.9%	3.1%
El Salvador	San Carlos	2017	52.3%	97.7%	3.0%
El Salvador	San Cayetano Istepeque	2000	37.6%	100.0%	0.3%
El Salvador	San Cayetano Istepeque	2017	34.8%	99.9%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Cristóbal	2000	18.0%	99.7%	0.9%
El Salvador	San Cristóbal	2017	16.6%	99.7%	0.9%
El Salvador	San Dionisio	2000	40.2%	99.8%	1.9%
El Salvador	San Dionisio	2017	42.6%	99.7%	1.6%
El Salvador	San Emigdio	2000	16.7%	99.5%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Emigdio	2017	16.4%	99.4%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Esteban Catarina	2000	38.2%	82.6%	1.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Esteban Catarina	2017	38.6%	83.9%	0.8%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2000	55.8%	100.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2000	89.9%	100.0%	77.2%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2017	55.6%	100.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2017	90.2%	100.0%	76.4%
El Salvador	San Francisco Chinameca	2000	45.7%	96.7%	0.9%
El Salvador	San Francisco Chinameca	2017	46.5%	97.1%	0.8%
El Salvador	San Francisco Gotera	2000	62.1%	99.4%	14.6%
El Salvador	San Francisco Gotera	2017	61.4%	99.3%	13.2%
El Salvador	San Francisco Javier	2000	28.2%	87.6%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Francisco Javier	2017	29.5%	86.2%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Francisco Lempa	2000	48.2%	100.0%	0.4%
El Salvador	San Francisco Lempa	2017	51.2%	100.0%	0.4%
El Salvador	San Francisco Menéndez	2000	30.2%	64.5%	5.2%
El Salvador	San Francisco Menéndez	2017	29.9%	64.4%	5.3%
El Salvador	San Francisco Morazán	2000	46.8%	73.9%	28.2%
El Salvador	San Francisco Morazán	2017	46.0%	72.5%	27.6%
El Salvador	San Gerardo	2000	57.3%	100.0%	4.3%
El Salvador	San Gerardo	2017	57.1%	100.0%	3.8%
El Salvador	San Ignacio	2000	31.0%	86.9%	4.5%
El Salvador	San Ignacio	2017	32.3%	89.3%	4.7%
El Salvador	San Ildefonso	2000	18.3%	67.9%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Ildefonso	2017	18.1%	68.6%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2000	33.1%	99.1%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2000	41.3%	100.0%	0.7%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2017	33.0%	98.6%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2017	39.1%	100.0%	0.7%
El Salvador	San Isidro Labrador	2000	48.3%	83.2%	7.4%
El Salvador	San Isidro Labrador	2017	59.4%	95.9%	7.6%
El Salvador	San Jorge	2000	44.7%	100.0%	4.7%
El Salvador	San Jorge	2017	44.1%	100.0%	4.9%
El Salvador	San José	2000	4.4%	20.4%	0.3%
El Salvador	San José	2017	4.4%	20.0%	0.3%
El Salvador	San José Canasque	2000	42.0%	100.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	San José Canasque	2017	42.3%	100.0%	0.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San José Guayabal	2000	43.3%	99.6%	1.3%
El Salvador	San José Guayabal	2017	43.5%	99.8%	1.4%
El Salvador	San José Las Flores	2000	44.1%	99.7%	5.1%
El Salvador	San José Las Flores	2017	63.2%	99.5%	7.8%
El Salvador	San José Villanueva	2000	45.4%	58.7%	34.3%
El Salvador	San José Villanueva	2017	44.2%	51.1%	38.7%
El Salvador	San Juan Nonualco	2000	68.4%	89.9%	34.3%
El Salvador	San Juan Nonualco	2017	65.3%	88.1%	34.1%
El Salvador	San Juan Talpa	2000	46.8%	100.0%	0.4%
El Salvador	San Juan Talpa	2017	48.2%	100.0%	0.4%
El Salvador	San Juan Tepezontes	2000	27.1%	99.8%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Juan Tepezontes	2017	27.1%	99.8%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Julián	2000	22.4%	91.4%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Julián	2017	21.8%	90.5%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2000	19.4%	93.2%	0.4%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2000	19.5%	56.9%	0.7%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2017	18.3%	53.2%	0.6%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2017	18.7%	93.9%	0.3%
El Salvador	San Luis de la Reina	2000	55.4%	100.0%	10.5%
El Salvador	San Luis de la Reina	2017	56.4%	100.0%	10.7%
El Salvador	San Luis del Carmen	2000	37.4%	100.0%	0.4%
El Salvador	San Luis del Carmen	2017	38.7%	100.0%	0.4%
El Salvador	San Luis La Herradura	2000	26.3%	81.3%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Luis La Herradura	2017	26.4%	80.6%	0.6%
El Salvador	San Luis Talpa	2000	31.3%	64.4%	2.8%
El Salvador	San Luis Talpa	2017	28.9%	59.5%	3.5%
El Salvador	San Marcos	2000	4.9%	5.2%	4.8%
El Salvador	San Marcos	2017	4.2%	4.5%	4.0%
El Salvador	San Martín	2000	73.1%	97.5%	23.3%
El Salvador	San Martín	2017	71.7%	97.2%	24.0%
El Salvador	San Matías	2000	27.7%	86.4%	0.6%
El Salvador	San Matías	2017	26.0%	86.0%	0.6%
El Salvador	San Miguel	2000	58.4%	84.0%	17.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Miguel	2017	57.8%	84.9%	15.2%
El Salvador	San Miguel de Mercedes	2000	59.8%	99.8%	0.8%
El Salvador	San Miguel de Mercedes	2017	59.9%	99.8%	0.8%
El Salvador	San Miguel Tepezontes	2000	27.2%	100.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Miguel Tepezontes	2017	27.3%	100.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Pablo Tacachico	2000	45.2%	93.8%	5.0%
El Salvador	San Pablo Tacachico	2017	44.1%	95.0%	5.2%
El Salvador	San Pedro Masahuat	2000	27.5%	76.2%	1.3%
El Salvador	San Pedro Masahuat	2017	24.5%	72.5%	0.9%
El Salvador	San Pedro Nonualco	2000	44.1%	95.4%	1.0%
El Salvador	San Pedro Nonualco	2017	45.5%	96.6%	0.9%
El Salvador	San Pedro Perulapán	2000	29.3%	66.7%	2.2%
El Salvador	San Pedro Perulapán	2017	27.6%	65.4%	2.0%
El Salvador	San Pedro Puxtla	2000	11.6%	57.3%	2.4%
El Salvador	San Pedro Puxtla	2017	11.3%	55.1%	2.6%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2000	50.5%	100.0%	0.4%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2000	16.6%	82.1%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2017	16.6%	80.2%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2017	50.1%	100.0%	0.4%
El Salvador	San Rafael Cedros	2000	8.4%	28.5%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Rafael Cedros	2017	7.7%	26.4%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Rafael Obrajuelo	2000	81.0%	99.1%	35.0%
El Salvador	San Rafael Obrajuelo	2017	80.3%	98.9%	35.4%
El Salvador	San Ramón	2000	4.4%	14.9%	2.0%
El Salvador	San Ramón	2017	3.0%	13.5%	0.8%
El Salvador	San Salvador	2000	67.8%	68.6%	66.9%
El Salvador	San Salvador	2017	64.9%	65.6%	64.2%
El Salvador	San Sebastián	2000	40.1%	96.6%	0.7%
El Salvador	San Sebastián	2017	39.8%	96.3%	0.7%
El Salvador	San Sebastián Salitrillo	2000	74.7%	100.0%	2.2%
El Salvador	San Sebastián Salitrillo	2017	75.3%	100.0%	2.0%
El Salvador	San Simón	2000	53.1%	100.0%	22.7%
El Salvador	San Simón	2017	48.7%	100.0%	20.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Vicente	2000	36.1%	74.9%	6.6%
El Salvador	San Vicente	2017	35.6%	74.8%	6.6%
El Salvador	Santa Ana	2000	58.5%	92.8%	25.9%
El Salvador	Santa Ana	2017	58.6%	92.6%	24.3%
El Salvador	Santa Catarina Masahuat	2000	46.4%	58.7%	11.4%
El Salvador	Santa Catarina Masahuat	2017	43.5%	54.5%	10.3%
El Salvador	Santa Clara	2000	34.0%	75.0%	0.7%
El Salvador	Santa Clara	2017	33.1%	75.1%	0.7%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Analquito	2000	10.8%	85.4%	0.4%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Analquito	2017	10.4%	83.1%	0.4%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Michapa	2000	30.2%	50.8%	0.7%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Michapa	2017	33.8%	57.1%	0.7%
El Salvador	Santa Elena	2000	41.0%	96.2%	1.6%
El Salvador	Santa Elena	2017	41.6%	96.4%	1.5%
El Salvador	Santa Isabel Ishuatán	2000	31.3%	74.4%	0.3%
El Salvador	Santa Isabel Ishuatán	2017	29.0%	74.3%	0.3%
El Salvador	Santa María	2000	29.2%	83.9%	0.8%
El Salvador	Santa María	2017	30.7%	86.1%	0.8%
El Salvador	Santa María Ostuma	2000	47.5%	82.9%	1.1%
El Salvador	Santa María Ostuma	2017	48.9%	83.6%	1.1%
El Salvador	Santa Rita	2000	35.7%	76.0%	20.3%
El Salvador	Santa Rita	2017	35.5%	81.1%	18.7%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	15.4%	48.5%	1.8%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	13.8%	44.3%	1.7%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa Guachipilín	2000	41.6%	99.9%	0.4%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa Guachipilín	2017	41.1%	99.9%	0.4%
El Salvador	Santiago de la Frontera	2000	46.2%	100.0%	0.3%
El Salvador	Santiago de la Frontera	2017	45.8%	100.0%	0.3%
El Salvador	Santiago de María	2000	78.2%	99.0%	15.9%
El Salvador	Santiago de María	2017	77.0%	98.7%	13.5%
El Salvador	Santiago Nonualco	2000	44.4%	83.3%	11.8%
El Salvador	Santiago Nonualco	2017	44.5%	82.2%	12.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Santiago Texacuangos	2000	53.2%	100.0%	17.9%
El Salvador	Santiago Texacuangos	2017	52.9%	100.0%	17.0%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2000	36.7%	95.9%	1.1%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2000	35.9%	74.8%	27.8%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2017	33.1%	95.4%	1.0%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2017	36.4%	69.5%	29.1%
El Salvador	Santo Tomás	2000	12.9%	24.1%	4.8%
El Salvador	Santo Tomás	2017	13.5%	25.6%	4.8%
El Salvador	Sensembra	2000	38.9%	99.9%	0.6%
El Salvador	Sensembra	2017	40.2%	99.9%	0.5%
El Salvador	Sensuntepeque	2000	45.5%	86.2%	16.0%
El Salvador	Sensuntepeque	2017	44.8%	82.9%	15.2%
El Salvador	Sesori	2000	37.2%	76.7%	2.3%
El Salvador	Sesori	2017	36.8%	75.8%	1.9%
El Salvador	Sociedad	2000	46.6%	92.6%	19.3%
El Salvador	Sociedad	2017	44.1%	93.3%	16.6%
El Salvador	Sonsonate	2000	59.1%	76.6%	40.3%
El Salvador	Sonsonate	2017	60.5%	78.0%	42.5%
El Salvador	Sonzacate	2000	87.3%	87.5%	87.1%
El Salvador	Sonzacate	2017	82.1%	82.3%	81.8%
El Salvador	Soyapango	2000	98.3%	98.3%	98.3%
El Salvador	Soyapango	2017	98.1%	98.1%	98.1%
El Salvador	Suchitoto	2000	33.7%	71.0%	7.2%
El Salvador	Suchitoto	2017	32.7%	71.0%	5.4%
El Salvador	Tacuba	2000	38.0%	65.2%	12.3%
El Salvador	Tacuba	2017	33.0%	58.7%	11.2%
El Salvador	Talnique	2000	16.8%	58.8%	1.2%
El Salvador	Talnique	2017	15.2%	52.0%	0.8%
El Salvador	Tamanique	2000	38.2%	83.2%	7.3%
El Salvador	Tamanique	2017	43.7%	81.4%	5.6%
El Salvador	Tapalhuaca	2000	29.9%	98.8%	0.3%
El Salvador	Tapalhuaca	2017	29.2%	98.4%	0.3%
El Salvador	Tecapán	2000	42.9%	99.7%	18.8%
El Salvador	Tecapán	2017	40.2%	99.6%	16.5%
El Salvador	Tecoluca	2000	24.3%	58.9%	4.8%
El Salvador	Tecoluca	2017	24.1%	57.7%	4.7%
El Salvador	Tejutepeque	2000	18.0%	90.5%	0.4%
El Salvador	Tejutepeque	2017	16.1%	90.6%	0.3%
El Salvador	Tejutla	2000	23.0%	66.8%	0.6%
El Salvador	Tejutla	2017	26.3%	71.6%	0.5%
El Salvador	Tenancingo	2000	22.1%	87.9%	0.7%
El Salvador	Tenancingo	2017	22.2%	86.4%	0.7%
El Salvador	Teotepeque	2000	24.3%	70.0%	6.0%
El Salvador	Teotepeque	2017	23.8%	68.6%	5.6%
El Salvador	Tepecoyo	2000	68.5%	94.5%	45.9%
El Salvador	Tepecoyo	2017	68.6%	94.3%	45.4%
El Salvador	Tepetitán	2000	36.5%	97.2%	0.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Tepetitán	2017	35.2%	96.5%	0.7%
El Salvador	Texistepeque	2000	39.1%	85.4%	3.5%
El Salvador	Texistepeque	2017	38.9%	84.5%	3.6%
El Salvador	Tonacatepeque	2000	96.1%	100.0%	85.3%
El Salvador	Tonacatepeque	2017	95.1%	100.0%	78.5%
El Salvador	Torola	2000	64.9%	100.0%	0.4%
El Salvador	Torola	2017	68.7%	100.0%	0.4%
El Salvador	Turín	2000	4.0%	17.3%	0.2%
El Salvador	Turín	2017	3.9%	17.7%	0.2%
El Salvador	Uluazapa	2000	19.2%	82.7%	0.3%
El Salvador	Uluazapa	2017	19.3%	80.1%	0.3%
El Salvador	Usulután	2000	28.2%	74.1%	5.7%
El Salvador	Usulután	2017	29.3%	72.8%	7.1%
El Salvador	Verapaz	2000	35.6%	100.0%	0.5%
El Salvador	Verapaz	2017	35.9%	100.0%	0.4%
El Salvador	Victoria	2000	55.3%	97.8%	7.1%
El Salvador	Victoria	2017	53.7%	96.9%	6.9%
El Salvador	Yamabal	2000	43.2%	89.0%	14.7%
El Salvador	Yamabal	2017	41.8%	86.0%	13.1%
El Salvador	Yayantique	2000	23.0%	86.1%	0.2%
El Salvador	Yayantique	2017	22.1%	85.6%	0.2%
El Salvador	Yoloaiquín	2000	60.9%	98.5%	16.1%
El Salvador	Yoloaiquín	2017	60.1%	98.1%	14.2%
El Salvador	Yucuaiquín	2000	18.3%	83.2%	0.3%
El Salvador	Yucuaiquín	2017	17.9%	82.4%	0.3%
El Salvador	Zacatecoluca	2000	64.5%	90.2%	33.8%
El Salvador	Zacatecoluca	2017	62.4%	88.5%	32.2%
El Salvador	Zaragoza	2000	59.0%	67.6%	50.7%
El Salvador	Zaragoza	2017	47.9%	55.0%	42.4%
Guatemala	Acatenango	2000	77.1%	96.5%	40.5%
Guatemala	Acatenango	2017	94.1%	99.3%	75.9%
Guatemala	Agua Blanca	2000	28.2%	59.1%	9.0%
Guatemala	Agua Blanca	2017	81.6%	96.9%	49.4%
Guatemala	Aguacatán	2000	63.0%	89.0%	33.4%
Guatemala	Aguacatán	2017	86.2%	98.2%	58.6%
Guatemala	Almolonga	2000	97.8%	99.9%	85.5%
Guatemala	Almolonga	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Guatemala	Alotenango	2000	92.5%	99.7%	66.3%
Guatemala	Alotenango	2017	98.5%	100.0%	92.9%
Guatemala	Amatitlán	2000	93.1%	96.8%	87.6%
Guatemala	Amatitlán	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.3%
Guatemala	Antigua	2000	84.2%	87.6%	80.3%
Guatemala	Guatemala	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.4%
Guatemala	Antigua	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.4%
Guatemala	Asunción Mita	2000	20.7%	48.4%	4.1%
Guatemala	Asunción Mita	2017	74.0%	91.7%	49.3%
Guatemala	Atescatempa	2000	34.3%	45.8%	15.6%
Guatemala	Atescatempa	2017	68.1%	75.5%	53.2%
Guatemala	Ayutla	2000	92.7%	99.4%	68.7%
Guatemala	Ayutla	2017	98.3%	99.9%	91.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Barberena	2000	79.3%	91.7%	59.1%
Guatemala	Barberena	2017	97.8%	99.2%	94.3%
Guatemala	Cabañas	2000	75.3%	83.2%	63.4%
Guatemala	Cabañas	2017	92.2%	95.5%	85.9%
Guatemala	Cabricán	2000	80.2%	92.6%	55.7%
Guatemala	Cabricán	2017	94.0%	98.1%	81.3%
Guatemala	Cajolá	2000	90.8%	94.8%	84.7%
Guatemala	Cajolá	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.2%
Guatemala	Camotán	2000	42.8%	61.3%	26.2%
Guatemala	Camotán	2017	77.4%	88.8%	61.3%
Guatemala	Canillá	2000	64.5%	97.7%	16.4%
Guatemala	Canillá	2017	87.7%	99.9%	46.4%
Guatemala	Cantel	2000	96.1%	99.1%	86.6%
Guatemala	Cantel	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.7%
Guatemala	Casillas	2000	91.9%	98.7%	75.9%
Guatemala	Casillas	2017	98.3%	99.8%	92.4%
Guatemala	Catarina	2000	91.1%	97.3%	78.1%
Guatemala	Catarina	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.2%
Guatemala	Chahal	2000	71.0%	93.8%	36.0%
Guatemala	Chahal	2017	88.2%	98.5%	55.8%
Guatemala	Chajul	2000	79.3%	96.6%	54.7%
Guatemala	Chajul	2017	92.2%	99.4%	76.4%
Guatemala	Champerico	2000	62.1%	76.1%	47.3%
Guatemala	Champerico	2017	80.8%	92.9%	66.9%
Guatemala	Chiantla	2000	82.7%	96.5%	47.0%
Guatemala	Chiantla	2017	95.0%	99.5%	76.7%
Guatemala	Chicacao	2000	55.8%	66.4%	44.9%
Guatemala	Chicacao	2017	89.0%	95.1%	78.0%
Guatemala	Chicaman	2000	79.1%	95.3%	57.6%
Guatemala	Chicaman	2017	90.8%	99.0%	75.7%
Guatemala	Chiché	2000	71.7%	84.6%	52.9%
Guatemala	Chiché	2017	90.5%	95.2%	77.4%
Guatemala	Chichicastenango	2000	77.3%	85.2%	66.0%
Guatemala	Chichicastenango	2017	93.5%	97.5%	86.2%
Guatemala	Chimaltenango	2000	69.1%	74.9%	62.4%
Guatemala	Chimaltenango	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Guatemala	Chinautla	2000	61.7%	71.4%	48.9%
Guatemala	Chinautla	2017	91.4%	96.0%	84.0%
Guatemala	Chinique	2000	64.1%	89.5%	33.4%
Guatemala	Chinique	2017	84.2%	96.6%	56.0%
Guatemala	Chiquimula	2000	30.4%	37.7%	22.6%
Guatemala	Chiquimula	2017	79.1%	82.2%	75.0%
Guatemala	Chiquimulilla	2000	47.6%	68.5%	27.9%
Guatemala	Chiquimulilla	2017	84.7%	95.4%	66.4%
Guatemala	Chisec	2000	76.6%	95.3%	51.3%
Guatemala	Chisec	2017	88.8%	98.7%	72.7%
Guatemala	Chuarrancho	2000	59.8%	96.0%	14.5%
Guatemala	Chuarrancho	2017	84.5%	99.5%	47.1%
Guatemala	Ciudad Vieja	2000	97.5%	99.5%	91.3%
Guatemala	Ciudad Vieja	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Guatemala	Coatepeque	2000	80.8%	89.9%	69.6%
Guatemala	Coatepeque	2017	93.0%	98.1%	82.7%
Guatemala	Cobán	2000	80.7%	91.8%	64.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Cobán	2017	94.3%	98.5%	86.0%
Guatemala	Colomba	2000	69.7%	78.5%	59.7%
Guatemala	Colomba	2017	94.3%	97.7%	87.9%
Guatemala	Colotenango	2000	73.0%	92.0%	39.0%
Guatemala	Colotenango	2017	91.5%	98.1%	67.1%
Guatemala	Comalapa	2000	90.4%	93.3%	84.9%
Guatemala	Comalapa	2017	98.7%	99.3%	96.8%
Guatemala	Comapa	2000	70.8%	90.5%	40.7%
Guatemala	Comapa	2017	90.3%	97.7%	71.5%
Guatemala	Comitancillo	2000	77.4%	83.6%	70.6%
Guatemala	Comitancillo	2017	91.5%	94.7%	87.3%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	96.2%	98.8%	91.0%
Guatemala	Concepción	2017	98.8%	99.6%	97.0%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	69.5%	81.1%	59.2%
Guatemala	Chiquirichapa				
Guatemala	Concepción	2017	95.5%	99.0%	89.0%
Guatemala	Chiquirichapa				
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	75.3%	97.8%	36.9%
Guatemala	Huista				
Guatemala	Concepción	2017	94.0%	99.7%	71.2%
Guatemala	Huista				
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	59.7%	80.7%	31.0%
Guatemala	Las Minas				
Guatemala	Concepción	2017	90.2%	99.3%	72.8%
Guatemala	Las Minas				
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	81.6%	95.8%	60.0%
Guatemala	Tutuapa				
Guatemala	Concepción	2017	93.4%	98.9%	79.9%
Guatemala	Tutuapa				
Guatemala	Conguaco	2000	33.0%	56.5%	12.1%
Guatemala	Conguaco	2017	68.9%	84.5%	41.3%
Guatemala	Cubulco	2000	77.5%	89.8%	61.0%
Guatemala	Cubulco	2017	90.3%	95.8%	82.6%
Guatemala	Cuilapa	2000	62.0%	72.2%	49.7%
Guatemala	Cuilapa	2017	86.4%	93.0%	80.2%
Guatemala	Cuilco	2000	41.5%	66.8%	18.5%
Guatemala	Cuilco	2017	72.5%	89.7%	49.1%
Guatemala	Cunén	2000	84.3%	97.8%	55.2%
Guatemala	Cunén	2017	94.1%	99.6%	78.5%
Guatemala	Cuyotenango	2000	46.3%	57.8%	32.5%
Guatemala	Cuyotenango	2017	82.5%	90.9%	71.6%
Guatemala	Dolores	2000	76.4%	89.9%	54.8%
Guatemala	Dolores	2017	90.7%	97.5%	78.8%
Guatemala	El Adelanto	2000	71.6%	91.6%	36.8%
Guatemala	El Adelanto	2017	88.8%	98.5%	61.2%
Guatemala	El Asintal	2000	88.8%	91.2%	85.7%
Guatemala	El Asintal	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Guatemala	El Estor	2000	72.0%	91.7%	47.8%
Guatemala	El Estor	2017	87.8%	97.7%	69.4%
Guatemala	El Jícaro	2000	86.4%	93.8%	73.6%
Guatemala	El Jícaro	2017	97.0%	99.2%	91.7%
Guatemala	El Palmar	2000	70.1%	92.9%	36.9%
Guatemala	El Palmar	2017	92.1%	99.3%	72.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	El Progreso	2000	44.2%	68.3%	21.3%
Guatemala	El Progreso	2017	86.1%	95.0%	67.0%
Guatemala	El Quetzal	2000	76.0%	91.9%	52.5%
Guatemala	El Quetzal	2017	95.3%	99.3%	81.8%
Guatemala	El Rodeo	2000	94.0%	98.8%	81.5%
Guatemala	El Rodeo	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.1%
Guatemala	El Tejar	2000	59.9%	70.6%	46.3%
Guatemala	El Tejar	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.4%
Guatemala	El Tumbador	2000	93.9%	98.3%	81.1%
Guatemala	El Tumbador	2017	98.6%	99.7%	93.5%
Guatemala	Escuintla	2000	65.6%	78.4%	49.0%
Guatemala	Escuintla	2017	95.2%	98.5%	88.9%
Guatemala	Esquipulas	2000	34.4%	65.6%	12.1%
Guatemala	Esquipulas	2017	78.2%	93.9%	57.1%
Guatemala	Esquipulas	2000	97.0%	99.3%	92.1%
Guatemala	Palo Gordo				
Guatemala	Esquipulas	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.3%
Guatemala	Palo Gordo				
Guatemala	Estanzuela	2000	83.4%	89.0%	75.4%
Guatemala	Estanzuela	2017	97.1%	98.5%	94.0%
Guatemala	Flores	2000	64.2%	79.7%	47.8%
Guatemala	Flores	2017	83.6%	91.8%	73.9%
Guatemala	Flores Costa	2000	94.1%	97.9%	87.0%
Guatemala	Cuca				
Guatemala	Flores Costa	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.8%
Guatemala	Cuca				
Guatemala	Fraijanes	2000	92.1%	98.7%	65.2%
Guatemala	Fraijanes	2017	98.8%	99.8%	93.4%
Guatemala	Fray Bartolomé de las Casas	2000	64.0%	87.2%	36.8%
Guatemala	Fray Bartolomé de las Casas	2017	81.4%	96.1%	59.4%
Guatemala	Génova	2000	96.9%	99.5%	86.4%
Guatemala	Génova	2017	99.4%	99.9%	96.1%
Guatemala	Granados	2000	68.9%	84.7%	51.8%
Guatemala	Granados	2017	93.3%	97.8%	82.5%
Guatemala	Gualán	2000	64.2%	75.3%	51.2%
Guatemala	Gualán	2017	90.3%	96.5%	80.6%
Guatemala	Guanagazapa	2000	67.1%	91.8%	42.0%
Guatemala	Guanagazapa	2017	89.1%	98.8%	68.3%
Guatemala	Guastatoya	2000	73.9%	80.3%	66.5%
Guatemala	Guastatoya	2017	92.1%	96.0%	88.6%
Guatemala	Guazacapán	2000	46.3%	73.2%	24.9%
Guatemala	Guazacapán	2017	92.0%	97.6%	79.7%
Guatemala	Huehuetenango	2000	53.7%	81.0%	34.6%
Guatemala	Huehuetenango	2017	82.5%	98.4%	51.1%
Guatemala	Huitán	2000	85.1%	91.2%	77.5%
Guatemala	Huitán	2017	95.9%	98.0%	92.5%
Guatemala	Huité	2000	65.2%	79.0%	51.7%
Guatemala	Huité	2017	88.6%	93.7%	80.6%
Guatemala	Ipala	2000	28.3%	60.1%	12.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Ipala	2017	78.5%	96.8%	44.5%
Guatemala	Ixcán	2000	70.7%	94.6%	37.4%
Guatemala	Ixcán	2017	86.4%	98.7%	61.4%
Guatemala	Ixchiguan	2000	85.6%	92.0%	75.5%
Guatemala	Ixchiguan	2017	96.2%	98.6%	91.4%
Guatemala	Iztapa	2000	36.2%	77.0%	6.4%
Guatemala	Iztapa	2017	79.8%	98.0%	40.9%
Guatemala	Jacaltenango	2000	69.9%	90.8%	39.3%
Guatemala	Jacaltenango	2017	90.4%	99.2%	72.2%
Guatemala	Jalapa	2000	57.3%	63.2%	50.9%
Guatemala	Jalapa	2017	87.6%	92.2%	82.4%
Guatemala	Jalpatagua	2000	45.1%	66.9%	22.4%
Guatemala	Jalpatagua	2017	84.8%	94.2%	67.3%
Guatemala	Jerez	2000	87.0%	99.9%	42.8%
Guatemala	Jerez	2017	97.3%	100.0%	82.3%
Guatemala	Jocotán	2000	30.6%	45.6%	19.5%
Guatemala	Jocotán	2017	73.0%	82.3%	62.1%
Guatemala	Jocotenango	2000	76.2%	80.7%	70.2%
Guatemala	Jocotenango	2017	94.4%	97.4%	89.4%
Guatemala	Joyabaj	2000	61.0%	81.3%	33.7%
Guatemala	Joyabaj	2017	87.2%	95.9%	69.7%
Guatemala	Jutiapa	2000	62.0%	67.9%	54.6%
Guatemala	Jutiapa	2017	89.5%	91.8%	85.9%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2000	53.4%	71.2%	36.5%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2000	62.6%	81.9%	41.4%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2017	88.4%	96.3%	76.6%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2017	88.1%	96.6%	70.8%
Guatemala	La Esperanza	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.1%
Guatemala	La Esperanza	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Guatemala	La Gomera	2000	63.4%	88.5%	31.1%
Guatemala	La Gomera	2017	87.4%	98.3%	68.5%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2000	44.1%	70.2%	25.5%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2000	65.6%	80.0%	51.3%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2017	83.8%	92.1%	74.7%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2017	78.2%	93.6%	49.6%
Guatemala	La Reforma	2000	86.9%	99.0%	57.0%
Guatemala	La Reforma	2017	96.7%	100.0%	81.2%
Guatemala	La Unión	2000	47.5%	61.9%	34.0%
Guatemala	La Unión	2017	84.0%	93.1%	71.4%
Guatemala	Lanquín	2000	69.8%	97.0%	22.5%
Guatemala	Lanquín	2017	85.8%	99.0%	48.9%
Guatemala	Livingston	2000	55.0%	72.4%	35.6%
Guatemala	Livingston	2017	81.6%	92.8%	67.6%
Guatemala	Los Amates	2000	63.5%	79.7%	47.0%
Guatemala	Los Amates	2017	88.7%	96.2%	75.6%
Guatemala	Magdalena	2000	73.2%	82.8%	63.5%
Guatemala	Milpas Altas	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Malacatán	2000	91.2%	96.4%	79.7%
Guatemala	Malacatán	2017	98.3%	99.6%	94.7%
Guatemala	Malacatancito	2000	75.4%	83.3%	69.4%
Guatemala	Malacatancito	2017	92.4%	97.7%	84.9%
Guatemala	Masagua	2000	37.0%	69.9%	16.0%
Guatemala	Masagua	2017	77.0%	94.4%	49.0%
Guatemala	Mataquesuintla	2000	72.4%	80.5%	62.6%
Guatemala	Mataquesuintla	2017	93.4%	96.1%	88.6%
Guatemala	Mazatenango	2000	54.1%	65.7%	40.7%
Guatemala	Mazatenango	2017	91.0%	95.5%	83.9%
Guatemala	Melchor de Mencos	2000	68.5%	90.2%	44.2%
Guatemala	Melchor de Mencos	2017	86.2%	97.2%	71.1%
Guatemala	Mixco	2000	49.3%	60.8%	40.4%
Guatemala	Mixco	2017	97.3%	98.4%	95.4%
Guatemala	Momostenango	2000	77.7%	87.8%	70.7%
Guatemala	Momostenango	2017	91.3%	97.1%	83.8%
Guatemala	Monjas	2000	46.9%	55.3%	36.1%
Guatemala	Monjas	2017	88.2%	94.3%	78.6%
Guatemala	Morales	2000	52.5%	62.7%	41.9%
Guatemala	Morales	2017	84.4%	90.3%	76.2%
Guatemala	Morazán	2000	67.7%	83.1%	51.6%
Guatemala	Morazán	2017	89.9%	97.1%	77.7%
Guatemala	Moyuta	2000	42.4%	77.8%	19.0%
Guatemala	Moyuta	2017	75.6%	94.2%	46.6%
Guatemala	NA	2000	59.4%	77.8%	43.6%
Guatemala	NA	2000	78.2%	84.2%	69.4%
Guatemala	NA	2017	84.5%	95.2%	72.2%
Guatemala	NA	2017	97.1%	97.7%	95.8%
Guatemala	Nahualá	2000	89.2%	92.0%	84.2%
Guatemala	Nahualá	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.0%
Guatemala	Nebaj	2000	82.8%	97.5%	56.4%
Guatemala	Nebaj	2017	93.1%	99.5%	76.7%
Guatemala	Nentón	2000	65.1%	90.5%	31.4%
Guatemala	Nentón	2017	84.3%	97.8%	62.4%
Guatemala	Nueva Concepción	2000	45.0%	64.0%	26.3%
Guatemala	Nueva Concepción	2017	82.4%	91.8%	69.3%
Guatemala	Nueva Santa Rosa	2000	82.6%	85.1%	79.1%
Guatemala	Nueva Santa Rosa	2017	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Guatemala	Nuevo Progreso	2000	87.3%	97.7%	59.6%
Guatemala	Nuevo Progreso	2017	96.8%	99.9%	86.6%
Guatemala	Nuevo San Carlos	2000	75.7%	80.7%	70.6%
Guatemala	Nuevo San Carlos	2017	95.5%	97.2%	92.4%
Guatemala	Ocosingo	2000	87.5%	98.9%	38.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Ocos	2017	96.3%	99.8%	77.4%
Guatemala	Olintepeque	2000	85.8%	91.4%	76.3%
Guatemala	Olintepeque	2017	98.4%	99.1%	97.2%
Guatemala	Olopa	2000	25.0%	33.4%	19.5%
Guatemala	Olopa	2017	72.1%	81.2%	63.4%
Guatemala	Oratorio	2000	26.9%	51.2%	10.4%
Guatemala	Oratorio	2017	76.1%	89.9%	52.8%
Guatemala	Ostuncalco	2000	76.9%	88.0%	63.5%
Guatemala	Ostuncalco	2017	94.5%	98.6%	84.9%
Guatemala	Pachalúm	2000	78.9%	96.1%	46.3%
Guatemala	Pachalúm	2017	94.6%	99.3%	78.0%
Guatemala	Pajapita	2000	76.7%	93.5%	49.3%
Guatemala	Pajapita	2017	92.9%	99.6%	75.8%
Guatemala	Palencia	2000	34.6%	53.4%	18.1%
Guatemala	Palencia	2017	80.4%	91.4%	66.2%
Guatemala	Palestina de Los Altos	2000	89.0%	94.9%	77.5%
Guatemala	Palestina de Los Altos	2017	97.6%	99.3%	91.9%
Guatemala	Palín	2000	82.1%	96.5%	47.6%
Guatemala	Palín	2017	96.3%	99.8%	80.3%
Guatemala	Panajachel	2000	90.0%	96.8%	76.7%
Guatemala	Panajachel	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Guatemala	Panzós	2000	80.1%	95.9%	56.0%
Guatemala	Panzós	2017	92.1%	99.2%	74.2%
Guatemala	Parramos	2000	22.3%	32.1%	13.0%
Guatemala	Parramos	2017	60.8%	82.8%	39.9%
Guatemala	Pasaco	2000	29.5%	73.6%	4.4%
Guatemala	Pasaco	2017	70.0%	94.7%	35.1%
Guatemala	Pastores	2000	67.8%	72.5%	61.9%
Guatemala	Pastores	2017	92.9%	95.1%	90.5%
Guatemala	Patulul	2000	40.5%	57.7%	27.5%
Guatemala	Patulul	2017	89.9%	97.2%	74.4%
Guatemala	Patzicía	2000	75.3%	88.4%	57.1%
Guatemala	Patzicía	2017	92.8%	99.1%	75.7%
Guatemala	Patzité	2000	79.2%	87.1%	68.3%
Guatemala	Patzité	2017	93.4%	96.0%	87.6%
Guatemala	Patzún	2000	93.4%	97.5%	85.5%
Guatemala	Patzún	2017	97.6%	99.3%	93.4%
Guatemala	Petapa	2000	92.4%	96.4%	85.9%
Guatemala	Petapa	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Guatemala	Pochuta	2000	89.5%	98.0%	66.1%
Guatemala	Pochuta	2017	96.4%	99.5%	84.3%
Guatemala	Poptún	2000	67.3%	82.1%	46.8%
Guatemala	Poptún	2017	90.6%	96.8%	82.0%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2000	29.7%	42.3%	19.5%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2017	90.8%	98.2%	74.2%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2000	77.3%	92.7%	54.8%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2017	93.0%	99.0%	79.6%
Guatemala	Puerto Barrios	2000	39.9%	57.3%	23.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Puerto Barrios	2017	86.1%	94.5%	73.3%
Guatemala	Purulhá	2000	85.1%	93.6%	69.2%
Guatemala	Purulhá	2017	95.8%	99.1%	87.8%
Guatemala	Quetzaltenango	2000	93.5%	97.0%	87.0%
Guatemala	Quetzaltenango	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.8%
Guatemala	Quezada	2000	57.1%	79.3%	28.0%
Guatemala	Quezada	2017	89.0%	97.3%	65.8%
Guatemala	Quezaltepeque	2000	66.5%	77.7%	53.1%
Guatemala	Quezaltepeque	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.6%
Guatemala	Rabinal	2000	87.5%	91.5%	81.9%
Guatemala	Rabinal	2017	97.3%	98.2%	95.7%
Guatemala	Retalhuleu	2000	89.1%	93.0%	83.5%
Guatemala	Retalhuleu	2017	98.0%	99.2%	95.5%
Guatemala	Río Blanco	2000	95.1%	97.4%	91.8%
Guatemala	Río Blanco	2017	98.9%	99.4%	98.0%
Guatemala	Río Bravo	2000	66.3%	80.9%	48.3%
Guatemala	Río Bravo	2017	93.2%	97.5%	85.8%
Guatemala	Río Hondo	2000	67.9%	86.0%	40.3%
Guatemala	Río Hondo	2017	90.5%	98.5%	75.1%
Guatemala	Sacapulas	2000	59.6%	78.6%	35.7%
Guatemala	Sacapulas	2017	84.3%	95.7%	63.9%
Guatemala	Salamá	2000	67.9%	75.2%	59.6%
Guatemala	Salamá	2017	91.7%	95.3%	85.9%
Guatemala	Salcajá	2000	60.4%	67.6%	52.4%
Guatemala	Salcajá	2017	91.3%	93.3%	89.2%
Guatemala	Samayac	2000	23.7%	29.7%	18.1%
Guatemala	Samayac	2017	87.7%	90.5%	85.1%
Guatemala	San Agustín Acasaguastlán	2000	90.2%	95.6%	80.2%
Guatemala	San Agustín Acasaguastlán	2017	98.8%	99.6%	96.3%
Guatemala	San Andrés	2000	64.9%	87.2%	45.0%
Guatemala	San Andrés	2017	83.0%	95.5%	68.4%
Guatemala	San Andrés Itzapa	2000	30.6%	39.7%	24.1%
Guatemala	San Andrés Itzapa	2017	72.1%	86.9%	58.3%
Guatemala	San Andrés Sajcabajá	2000	65.3%	89.0%	23.6%
Guatemala	San Andrés Sajcabajá	2017	89.0%	98.4%	64.4%
Guatemala	San Andrés Semetabaj	2000	96.0%	98.4%	92.2%
Guatemala	San Andrés Semetabaj	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%
Guatemala	San Andrés Villa Seca	2000	61.7%	78.9%	38.4%
Guatemala	San Andrés Villa Seca	2017	89.7%	96.6%	72.2%
Guatemala	San Andrés Xecul	2000	69.0%	83.6%	53.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Andrés Xecul	2017	97.5%	98.4%	96.2%
Guatemala	San Antonio Aguas Calientes	2000	89.2%	96.2%	75.5%
Guatemala	San Antonio Aguas Calientes	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Guatemala	San Antonio Huista	2000	57.6%	81.4%	32.0%
Guatemala	San Antonio Huista	2017	84.9%	95.2%	63.7%
Guatemala	San Antonio Ilotenango	2000	66.9%	84.3%	46.6%
Guatemala	San Antonio Ilotenango	2017	97.2%	98.5%	95.3%
Guatemala	San Antonio La Paz	2000	64.2%	77.3%	51.0%
Guatemala	San Antonio La Paz	2017	90.8%	97.1%	81.6%
Guatemala	San Antonio Palopó	2000	98.2%	99.9%	92.1%
Guatemala	San Antonio Palopó	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Guatemala	San Antonio Sacatepéquez	2000	96.7%	99.1%	90.2%
Guatemala	San Antonio Sacatepéquez	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.2%
Guatemala	San Antonio Suchitepéquez	2000	39.2%	43.8%	35.0%
Guatemala	San Antonio Suchitepéquez	2017	82.5%	91.3%	68.3%
Guatemala	San Bartolo	2000	59.4%	73.4%	43.1%
Guatemala	San Bartolo	2017	87.9%	97.1%	73.3%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Jocotenango	2000	49.1%	94.4%	9.6%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Jocotenango	2017	78.5%	99.3%	34.8%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Milpas Altas	2000	63.7%	83.5%	34.1%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Milpas Altas	2017	94.9%	98.3%	87.8%
Guatemala	San Benito	2000	77.4%	90.5%	59.9%
Guatemala	San Benito	2017	93.1%	97.8%	84.0%
Guatemala	San Bernardino	2000	55.1%	57.9%	53.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Bernardino	2017	91.5%	92.7%	90.2%
Guatemala	San Carlos Alzatate	2000	83.0%	95.1%	58.4%
Guatemala	San Carlos Alzatate	2017	93.0%	98.4%	78.8%
Guatemala	San Carlos Sija	2000	76.2%	87.1%	63.3%
Guatemala	San Carlos Sija	2017	92.8%	97.2%	85.4%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Acasaguastlán	2000	94.5%	98.6%	80.1%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Acasaguastlán	2017	99.1%	99.9%	94.8%
Guatemala	San Cristobal Cucho	2000	98.1%	99.9%	92.1%
Guatemala	San Cristobal Cucho	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Totonicapán	2000	46.1%	52.1%	41.4%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Totonicapán	2017	85.9%	89.0%	82.7%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Verapaz	2000	81.5%	99.3%	47.6%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Verapaz	2017	92.5%	99.8%	72.0%
Guatemala	San Diego	2000	52.8%	71.6%	32.6%
Guatemala	San Diego	2017	84.8%	94.8%	66.1%
Guatemala	San Felipe	2000	54.0%	62.6%	45.4%
Guatemala	San Felipe	2017	91.3%	98.1%	76.0%
Guatemala	San Francisco	2000	89.6%	99.1%	59.0%
Guatemala	San Francisco	2017	96.2%	99.8%	83.5%
Guatemala	San Francisco El Alto	2000	77.8%	82.9%	73.9%
Guatemala	San Francisco El Alto	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.5%
Guatemala	San Francisco La Unión	2000	90.9%	94.2%	85.0%
Guatemala	San Francisco La Unión	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.8%
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2000	33.6%	45.6%	22.3%
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2017	94.0%	97.0%	88.8%
Guatemala	San Gabriel	2000	66.8%	81.0%	50.2%
Guatemala	San Gabriel	2017	92.1%	96.6%	84.6%
Guatemala	San Gaspar Ixchil	2000	54.1%	64.9%	44.0%
Guatemala	San Gaspar Ixchil	2017	81.2%	90.2%	71.8%
Guatemala	San Ildefonso Ixtahuacán	2000	62.8%	85.8%	31.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Ildefonso Ixtahuacán	2017	84.7%	96.2%	63.1%
Guatemala	San Jacinto	2000	53.5%	62.7%	44.1%
Guatemala	San Jacinto	2017	94.1%	96.1%	91.6%
Guatemala	San Jerónimo	2000	66.2%	83.5%	45.6%
Guatemala	San Jerónimo	2017	90.5%	97.3%	74.6%
Guatemala	San José	2000	69.7%	98.0%	31.4%
Guatemala	San José	2000	64.5%	85.4%	45.0%
Guatemala	San José	2017	87.6%	99.7%	68.2%
Guatemala	San José	2017	92.9%	98.9%	77.1%
Guatemala	San José Acatempa	2000	38.3%	58.2%	19.9%
Guatemala	San José Acatempa	2017	85.6%	96.3%	66.0%
Guatemala	San José Chacayá	2000	86.4%	96.4%	62.3%
Guatemala	San José Chacayá	2017	98.2%	99.6%	93.6%
Guatemala	San José del Golfo	2000	22.5%	58.9%	3.5%
Guatemala	San José del Golfo	2017	75.7%	94.6%	47.1%
Guatemala	San José El Idolo	2000	41.6%	83.0%	9.1%
Guatemala	San José El Idolo	2017	82.2%	98.7%	48.3%
Guatemala	San José La Arada	2000	77.7%	87.0%	63.5%
Guatemala	San José La Arada	2017	95.5%	98.1%	90.9%
Guatemala	San José Ojetenam	2000	84.4%	97.6%	41.8%
Guatemala	San José Ojetenam	2017	94.3%	99.6%	68.8%
Guatemala	San José Pinula	2000	77.2%	93.8%	55.1%
Guatemala	San José Pinula	2017	93.7%	99.6%	79.4%
Guatemala	San José Poaquil	2000	77.2%	99.4%	19.8%
Guatemala	San José Poaquil	2017	91.1%	99.9%	47.5%
Guatemala	San Juan Atitán	2000	84.5%	98.5%	44.4%
Guatemala	San Juan Atitán	2017	93.8%	99.5%	71.7%
Guatemala	San Juan Bautista	2000	40.8%	53.1%	27.0%
Guatemala	San Juan Bautista	2017	97.2%	98.3%	95.7%
Guatemala	San Juan Chamelco	2000	86.9%	92.4%	77.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Juan Chamelco	2017	95.4%	98.2%	90.9%
Guatemala	San Juan Cotzal	2000	81.6%	96.0%	46.8%
Guatemala	San Juan Cotzal	2017	94.6%	99.5%	77.0%
Guatemala	San Juan Ermita	2000	34.6%	39.6%	30.5%
Guatemala	San Juan Ermita	2017	77.2%	80.5%	73.7%
Guatemala	San Juan Ixcoy	2000	85.3%	98.5%	45.9%
Guatemala	San Juan Ixcoy	2017	95.2%	99.7%	72.6%
Guatemala	San Juan La Laguna	2000	34.5%	66.5%	13.3%
Guatemala	San Juan La Laguna	2017	67.1%	90.7%	38.7%
Guatemala	San Juan Sacatepéquez	2000	86.6%	93.3%	76.4%
Guatemala	San Juan Sacatepéquez	2017	97.0%	98.9%	91.8%
Guatemala	San Juan Tecuaco	2000	30.1%	54.3%	13.2%
Guatemala	San Juan Tecuaco	2017	88.9%	97.7%	74.5%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2000	97.8%	99.7%	87.7%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2000	72.6%	92.3%	49.7%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2017	90.1%	99.1%	69.9%
Guatemala	San Lucas Sacatepéquez	2000	54.9%	72.2%	30.4%
Guatemala	San Lucas Sacatepéquez	2017	94.6%	98.1%	87.9%
Guatemala	San Lucas Tolimán	2000	99.1%	99.9%	97.1%
Guatemala	San Lucas Tolimán	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Guatemala	San Luis	2000	32.9%	55.4%	16.6%
Guatemala	San Luis	2000	66.2%	84.0%	43.6%
Guatemala	San Luis	2017	62.3%	84.8%	40.9%
Guatemala	San Luis	2017	85.8%	95.2%	70.5%
Guatemala	San Luis Jilotepeque	2000	24.4%	40.6%	14.5%
Guatemala	San Luis Jilotepeque	2017	67.2%	81.5%	49.1%
Guatemala	San Manuel Chaparrón	2000	18.0%	43.0%	5.2%
Guatemala	San Manuel Chaparrón	2017	78.4%	94.2%	55.8%
Guatemala	San Marcos	2000	89.6%	96.6%	73.1%
Guatemala	San Marcos	2017	96.9%	99.3%	89.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Marcos La Laguna	2000	85.1%	87.4%	82.8%
Guatemala	San Marcos La Laguna	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Guatemala	San Martín Jilotepeque	2000	81.6%	94.9%	57.6%
Guatemala	San Martín Jilotepeque	2017	92.7%	98.9%	76.6%
Guatemala	San Martín Sacatepéquez	2000	44.5%	70.4%	24.0%
Guatemala	San Martín Sacatepéquez	2017	84.6%	96.4%	60.2%
Guatemala	San Martín Zapotitlán	2000	50.9%	83.2%	14.5%
Guatemala	San Martín Zapotitlán	2017	96.6%	99.2%	90.9%
Guatemala	San Mateo	2000	92.3%	96.2%	86.9%
Guatemala	San Mateo	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Guatemala	San Mateo Ixtatán	2000	50.1%	90.4%	14.9%
Guatemala	San Mateo Ixtatán	2017	76.8%	97.5%	43.5%
Guatemala	San Miguel Acatán	2000	76.3%	87.8%	65.7%
Guatemala	San Miguel Acatán	2017	92.9%	98.5%	83.8%
Guatemala	San Miguel Chicaj	2000	82.2%	87.7%	72.7%
Guatemala	San Miguel Chicaj	2017	94.9%	96.9%	91.6%
Guatemala	San Miguel Dueñas	2000	90.6%	96.1%	78.6%
Guatemala	San Miguel Dueñas	2017	96.1%	98.5%	92.5%
Guatemala	San Miguel Ixtahuacán	2000	82.7%	96.9%	49.4%
Guatemala	San Miguel Ixtahuacán	2017	94.2%	99.4%	75.6%
Guatemala	San Miguel Panán	2000	25.7%	38.4%	14.1%
Guatemala	San Miguel Panán	2017	81.5%	92.6%	69.1%
Guatemala	San Miguel Sigüilá	2000	96.3%	98.2%	93.2%
Guatemala	San Miguel Sigüilá	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Guatemala	San Pablo	2000	90.5%	97.0%	77.4%
Guatemala	San Pablo	2017	97.6%	99.6%	92.2%
Guatemala	San Pablo Jocopilas	2000	12.5%	19.8%	8.4%
Guatemala	San Pablo Jocopilas	2017	74.4%	79.7%	70.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Pablo La Laguna	2000	84.7%	87.3%	82.1%
Guatemala	San Pablo La Laguna	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Guatemala	San Pedro Ayampuc	2000	46.1%	68.6%	20.6%
Guatemala	San Pedro Ayampuc	2017	89.8%	96.0%	78.6%
Guatemala	San Pedro Carchá	2000	79.0%	91.7%	62.6%
Guatemala	San Pedro Carchá	2017	90.6%	97.2%	77.6%
Guatemala	San Pedro Jocopilas	2000	56.4%	74.2%	39.4%
Guatemala	San Pedro Jocopilas	2017	82.4%	93.6%	68.9%
Guatemala	San Pedro La Laguna	2000	56.7%	69.6%	39.8%
Guatemala	San Pedro La Laguna	2017	88.7%	93.9%	80.9%
Guatemala	San Pedro Necta	2000	69.6%	97.8%	15.2%
Guatemala	San Pedro Necta	2017	85.3%	99.6%	37.6%
Guatemala	San Pedro Pinula	2000	32.3%	52.5%	16.2%
Guatemala	San Pedro Pinula	2017	65.1%	80.7%	45.5%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2000	97.0%	98.9%	92.9%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2000	90.1%	96.2%	83.0%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2017	96.8%	99.4%	92.0%
Guatemala	San Rafael La Independencia	2000	93.5%	99.6%	65.4%
Guatemala	San Rafael La Independencia	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.6%
Guatemala	San Rafael Las Flores	2000	80.0%	94.8%	46.8%
Guatemala	San Rafael Las Flores	2017	94.2%	98.7%	78.8%
Guatemala	San Rafael Petzal	2000	62.7%	78.1%	39.9%
Guatemala	San Rafael Petzal	2017	87.2%	95.5%	71.0%
Guatemala	San Rafael Piedra de la Cuesta	2000	77.8%	84.6%	67.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Rafaél Pie de la Cuesta	2017	94.7%	97.0%	91.4%
Guatemala	San Raymundo	2000	77.6%	97.6%	42.7%
Guatemala	San Raymundo	2017	93.2%	99.8%	73.2%
Guatemala	San Sebastián	2000	47.8%	62.4%	34.3%
Guatemala	San Sebastián	2017	97.1%	98.3%	95.2%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Coatán	2000	68.3%	94.0%	36.2%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Coatán	2017	90.9%	99.5%	65.9%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Huehuetenango	2000	86.0%	89.2%	82.2%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Huehuetenango	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.5%
Guatemala	San Sibinal	2000	89.9%	100.0%	50.3%
Guatemala	San Sibinal	2017	96.6%	100.0%	78.5%
Guatemala	San Vicente Pacaya	2000	75.5%	97.5%	38.6%
Guatemala	San Vicente Pacaya	2017	92.3%	99.7%	66.1%
Guatemala	Sanarate	2000	73.6%	87.8%	58.0%
Guatemala	Sanarate	2017	95.1%	98.3%	89.1%
Guatemala	Sansare	2000	45.5%	57.8%	38.6%
Guatemala	Sansare	2017	68.9%	83.0%	59.3%
Guatemala	Santa Ana	2000	75.0%	90.4%	56.2%
Guatemala	Santa Ana	2017	89.3%	97.2%	76.8%
Guatemala	Santa Ana Huista	2000	74.7%	96.0%	35.1%
Guatemala	Santa Ana Huista	2017	92.8%	99.7%	71.4%
Guatemala	Santa Apolonia	2000	87.4%	97.2%	68.3%
Guatemala	Santa Apolonia	2017	96.6%	99.5%	86.7%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2000	69.4%	90.3%	37.6%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2000	46.5%	59.0%	34.9%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2017	86.4%	90.0%	79.7%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2017	87.8%	97.6%	61.6%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Barahona	2000	75.8%	92.1%	47.8%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Barahona	2017	93.3%	99.2%	79.7%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Ixtahuacan	2000	69.9%	81.0%	56.7%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Ixtahuacan	2017	90.3%	96.2%	79.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Mita	2000	30.6%	57.2%	11.7%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Mita	2017	87.0%	97.7%	72.2%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Palopó	2000	90.7%	97.5%	76.9%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Palopó	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Pinula	2000	82.4%	88.8%	60.9%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Pinula	2017	98.4%	99.7%	91.0%
Guatemala	Santa Clara La Laguna	2000	69.8%	86.0%	58.6%
Guatemala	Santa Clara La Laguna	2017	82.1%	95.4%	68.9%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Balanyá	2000	88.2%	91.8%	79.7%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Balanyá	2017	98.4%	99.4%	95.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Barillas	2000	67.7%	89.9%	43.5%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Barillas	2017	86.7%	97.8%	70.2%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz del Quiché	2000	76.2%	85.9%	65.7%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz del Quiché	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz El Chol	2000	59.7%	90.4%	30.1%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz El Chol	2017	87.1%	98.5%	56.8%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz La Laguna	2000	80.2%	88.0%	68.8%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz La Laguna	2017	98.1%	99.1%	96.5%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Muluá	2000	60.8%	74.6%	50.1%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Muluá	2017	96.3%	98.2%	94.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Naranjo	2000	49.2%	57.8%	41.1%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Naranjo	2017	90.5%	92.6%	87.7%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Verapaz	2000	91.4%	99.6%	59.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Verapaz	2017	98.8%	99.9%	93.7%
Guatemala	Santa Eulalia	2000	83.6%	97.4%	54.6%
Guatemala	Santa Eulalia	2017	94.4%	99.8%	78.4%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Cotzumalguapa	2000	59.9%	81.1%	33.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Cotzumalguapa	2017	86.2%	96.8%	67.6%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía La Reforma	2000	41.9%	65.0%	28.8%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía La Reforma	2017	75.6%	90.6%	52.1%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Milpas Altas	2000	53.4%	69.8%	39.3%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Milpas Altas	2017	98.5%	99.2%	97.7%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Utatlán	2000	97.1%	98.5%	93.9%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Utatlán	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Guatemala	Santa María Cahabón	2000	78.4%	99.6%	22.1%
Guatemala	Santa María Cahabón	2017	90.5%	99.9%	54.2%
Guatemala	Santa María Chiquimula	2000	65.2%	80.4%	52.0%
Guatemala	Santa María Chiquimula	2017	90.5%	96.8%	80.4%
Guatemala	Santa María de Jesús	2000	96.0%	99.3%	89.1%
Guatemala	Santa María de Jesús	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.7%
Guatemala	Santa María Ixhuateán	2000	33.6%	51.9%	20.4%
Guatemala	Santa María Ixhuateán	2017	77.8%	89.7%	63.0%
Guatemala	Santa María Visitación	2000	52.7%	83.9%	32.8%
Guatemala	Santa María Visitación	2017	68.5%	93.9%	41.9%
Guatemala	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	83.7%	93.3%	65.5%
Guatemala	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	98.1%	99.6%	91.3%
Guatemala	Santiago Atitlán	2000	88.6%	92.5%	77.4%
Guatemala	Santiago Atitlán	2017	96.2%	97.3%	92.9%
Guatemala	Santiago Chimaltenango	2000	69.8%	99.2%	16.4%
Guatemala	Santiago Chimaltenango	2017	86.4%	99.9%	46.5%
Guatemala	Santiago Sacatepéquez	2000	89.7%	95.9%	74.8%
Guatemala	Santiago Sacatepéquez	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Suchitepequez	2000	61.2%	74.2%	46.4%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Suchitepequez	2017	91.0%	95.8%	81.5%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Xenacoj	2000	83.2%	92.1%	66.5%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Xenacoj	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Guatemala	Santo Tomás La Unión	2000	21.4%	35.3%	12.5%
Guatemala	Santo Tomás La Unión	2017	90.4%	93.4%	83.8%
Guatemala	Sayaxché	2000	67.1%	83.8%	46.8%
Guatemala	Sayaxché	2017	85.3%	95.2%	73.1%
Guatemala	Senahú	2000	66.1%	79.0%	40.1%
Guatemala	Senahú	2017	83.8%	93.0%	67.9%
Guatemala	Sibilia	2000	84.7%	90.7%	77.0%
Guatemala	Sibilia	2017	96.7%	98.9%	92.9%
Guatemala	Sipacapa	2000	71.2%	95.4%	24.5%
Guatemala	Sipacapa	2017	89.6%	98.6%	58.4%
Guatemala	Siquinalá	2000	81.0%	94.2%	54.1%
Guatemala	Siquinalá	2017	97.3%	99.8%	89.7%
Guatemala	Sololá	2000	83.7%	92.0%	71.1%
Guatemala	Sololá	2017	97.2%	98.9%	93.5%
Guatemala	Soloma	2000	88.2%	96.0%	72.5%
Guatemala	Soloma	2017	96.7%	99.2%	88.9%
Guatemala	Sumpango	2000	77.9%	88.6%	62.4%
Guatemala	Sumpango	2017	98.1%	98.9%	97.0%
Guatemala	Tacaná	2000	92.6%	98.6%	81.9%
Guatemala	Tacaná	2017	98.0%	99.8%	93.1%
Guatemala	Tactic	2000	67.6%	82.0%	45.3%
Guatemala	Tactic	2017	90.9%	96.7%	81.5%
Guatemala	Tajumulco	2000	88.0%	95.9%	71.1%
Guatemala	Tajumulco	2017	96.7%	99.2%	90.1%
Guatemala	Tamahú	2000	69.2%	94.2%	32.1%
Guatemala	Tamahú	2017	93.8%	99.2%	75.8%
Guatemala	Taxisco	2000	52.8%	75.9%	32.9%
Guatemala	Taxisco	2017	86.6%	95.5%	72.0%
Guatemala	Tecpán	2000	92.9%	96.6%	86.8%
Guatemala	Guatemala Tecpán	2017	97.7%	99.1%	93.6%
Guatemala	Tectitán	2000	71.8%	95.0%	37.4%
Guatemala	Tectitán	2017	88.3%	99.1%	64.5%
Guatemala	Teculután	2000	66.1%	87.0%	43.0%
Guatemala	Teculután	2017	97.6%	99.7%	87.4%
Guatemala	Tejutla	2000	65.3%	79.4%	49.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Tejutla	2017	91.4%	95.4%	83.7%
Guatemala	Tiquisate	2000	37.9%	61.1%	21.2%
Guatemala	Tiquisate	2017	82.0%	94.9%	66.6%
Guatemala	Todos Santos	2000	76.0%	99.1%	24.7%
Guatemala	Cuchumatán				
Guatemala	Todos Santos	2017	89.4%	99.7%	50.3%
Guatemala	Cuchumatán				
Guatemala	Totonicapán	2000	77.3%	86.0%	64.1%
Guatemala	Totonicapán	2017	95.1%	96.7%	91.6%
Guatemala	Tucurú	2000	85.4%	95.5%	66.9%
Guatemala	Tucurú	2017	95.3%	99.2%	85.6%
Guatemala	Uspantán	2000	82.8%	97.7%	55.5%
Guatemala	Uspantán	2017	93.0%	99.6%	76.9%
Guatemala	Usumatlán	2000	86.1%	92.6%	77.1%
Guatemala	Usumatlán	2017	99.0%	99.9%	94.9%
Guatemala	Villa Canales	2000	79.8%	87.0%	70.8%
Guatemala	Villa Canales	2017	97.5%	99.5%	92.9%
Guatemala	Villa Nueva	2000	68.7%	77.4%	61.2%
Guatemala	Villa Nueva	2017	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
Guatemala	Yepocapa	2000	84.7%	98.9%	45.0%
Guatemala	Yepocapa	2017	97.2%	99.8%	87.9%
Guatemala	Yupiltepeque	2000	65.0%	75.4%	42.1%
Guatemala	Yupiltepeque	2017	92.1%	95.6%	83.6%
Guatemala	Zacapa	2000	64.4%	78.4%	51.7%
Guatemala	Zacapa	2017	91.0%	95.6%	84.4%
Guatemala	Zacualpa	2000	71.1%	84.6%	51.8%
Guatemala	Zacualpa	2017	90.5%	95.9%	80.0%
Guatemala	Zapotitlán	2000	84.5%	99.6%	45.9%
Guatemala	Zapotitlán	2017	94.5%	99.9%	70.3%
Guatemala	Zaragoza	2000	79.7%	83.7%	74.2%
Guatemala	Zaragoza	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 1	2000	28.6%	41.8%	19.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 1	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 10	2000	21.9%	31.8%	13.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 10	2017	98.7%	99.3%	97.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 11	2000	26.3%	41.0%	15.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 11	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 12	2000	20.1%	31.0%	12.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 12	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 13	2000	18.2%	26.1%	11.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 13	2017	98.0%	99.0%	95.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 14	2000	19.3%	29.8%	12.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 14	2017	97.5%	99.1%	93.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 15	2000	53.5%	65.7%	34.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 15	2017	98.8%	99.6%	95.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 16	2000	67.1%	86.0%	41.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 16	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 17	2000	74.8%	85.5%	58.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 17	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 18	2000	66.1%	75.9%	52.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 18	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 19	2000	40.2%	71.0%	20.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 19	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	ZONA 2	2000	34.9%	43.0%	28.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 2	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 22	2000	21.0%	27.0%	16.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 22	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 24	2000	83.0%	95.2%	58.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 24	2017	98.5%	99.7%	95.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 25	2000	62.6%	75.8%	38.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 25	2017	92.6%	97.1%	84.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 3	2000	24.5%	35.5%	16.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 3	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 4	2000	19.5%	29.3%	11.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 4	2017	98.8%	99.3%	97.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 5	2000	47.1%	53.5%	39.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 5	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 6	2000	67.1%	75.9%	53.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 6	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 7	2000	33.6%	55.4%	19.0%
Guatemala	ZONA 7	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 8	2000	19.4%	29.3%	11.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 8	2017	98.8%	99.3%	97.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 9	2000	19.0%	30.0%	10.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 9	2017	98.8%	99.4%	97.7%
Guatemala	Zunil	2000	91.0%	99.5%	60.0%
Guatemala	Zunil	2017	98.3%	99.9%	91.5%
Guatemala	Zunilito	2000	61.1%	75.4%	42.9%
Guatemala	Zunilito	2017	92.6%	98.2%	78.1%
Guyana	Abary / Mahaicony	2000	94.9%	99.9%	71.7%
Guyana	Abary / Mahaicony	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.2%
Guyana	Agatash	2000	62.7%	78.0%	42.4%
Guyana	Agatash	2017	91.3%	96.0%	83.3%
Guyana	Aishalton - Karaudanawa, Achiwib	2000	74.9%	84.2%	62.2%
Guyana	Aishalton - Karaudanawa, Achiwib	2017	83.7%	90.7%	73.7%
Guyana	Amsterdam (Demerara River) / Vriesland	2000	70.7%	78.4%	63.3%
Guyana	Amsterdam (Demerara River) / Vriesland	2017	90.3%	94.0%	85.8%
Guyana	Anna Regina	2000	93.6%	95.8%	90.7%
Guyana	Anna Regina	2017	98.7%	99.2%	97.9%
Guyana	Arau	2000	75.3%	96.3%	37.9%
Guyana	Arau	2017	85.6%	98.5%	60.5%
Guyana	Barima / Amakura	2000	80.3%	86.9%	73.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Barima / Amakura	2017	88.9%	93.1%	83.8%
Guyana	Bartica	2000	58.8%	78.1%	33.8%
Guyana	Bartica	2017	90.2%	95.8%	80.7%
Guyana	Bel Air / Woodlands	2000	99.3%	99.9%	97.3%
Guyana	Bel Air / Woodlands	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Guyana	Berbice River Settlements	2000	80.2%	90.7%	65.2%
Guyana	Berbice River Settlements	2017	89.6%	95.7%	79.6%
Guyana	Black Bush Polder land Development Scheme	2000	88.7%	96.4%	70.9%
Guyana	Black Bush Polder land Development Scheme	2017	96.9%	99.1%	89.3%
Guyana	Blankenburg / Hague	2000	82.4%	87.2%	77.5%
Guyana	Blankenburg / Hague	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Guyana	Bonasika / Boerasirie	2000	85.2%	90.8%	78.3%
Guyana	Bonasika / Boerasirie	2017	95.5%	97.9%	91.8%
Guyana	Borlam (No.37) / Kintyre	2000	92.0%	97.0%	78.6%
Guyana	Borlam (No.37) / Kintyre	2017	98.2%	99.5%	93.9%
Guyana	Bush Lot / Adventure	2000	96.9%	99.2%	92.2%
Guyana	Bush Lot / Adventure	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.8%
Guyana	Canal No. 2 (part) + The Belle + Little Alliance	2000	95.0%	97.0%	91.7%
Guyana	Canal No. 2 (part) + The Belle + Little Alliance	2017	98.4%	99.2%	97.7%
Guyana	Canals Polder	2000	89.7%	92.9%	85.0%
Guyana	Canals Polder	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.4%
Guyana	Cane Field / Enterprise	2000	91.5%	97.2%	82.0%
Guyana	Cane Field / Enterprise	2017	96.7%	99.2%	90.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Cane Grove Land Development Scheme	2000	96.7%	98.9%	91.9%
Guyana	Cane Grove Land Development Scheme	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.8%
Guyana	Chance / Hamlet	2000	97.5%	99.2%	93.9%
Guyana	Chance / Hamlet	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.2%
Guyana	Charity / Urasara	2000	83.9%	91.4%	71.6%
Guyana	Charity / Urasara	2017	92.5%	96.9%	85.6%
Guyana	Chenapau River	2000	61.3%	87.1%	27.7%
Guyana	Chenapau River	2017	73.7%	93.6%	37.5%
Guyana	City of Georgetown	2000	86.5%	89.2%	83.9%
Guyana	City of Georgetown	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Guyana	Coomaka Lands	2000	77.2%	87.2%	67.4%
Guyana	Coomaka Lands	2017	88.6%	96.0%	79.6%
Guyana	Corentyne River	2000	90.2%	92.4%	87.5%
Guyana	Corentyne River	2017	95.5%	97.5%	92.8%
Guyana	Cornelia Ida / Stewartville	2000	80.8%	85.7%	75.8%
Guyana	Cornelia Ida / Stewartville	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Guyana	Corriverton	2000	89.0%	98.2%	67.1%
Guyana	Corriverton	2017	96.4%	99.8%	85.8%
Guyana	Demerara Conservancy	2000	85.9%	94.3%	67.8%
Guyana	Demerara Conservancy	2017	95.6%	98.7%	87.8%
Guyana	Diamond / Golden Grove	2000	67.9%	75.4%	59.9%
Guyana	Diamond / Golden Grove	2017	94.2%	96.4%	90.5%
Guyana	East Bank Berbice	2000	74.9%	81.7%	66.9%
Guyana	East Bank Berbice	2017	83.1%	88.4%	76.2%
Guyana	Eccles / Ramsburg	2000	80.8%	85.4%	75.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Eccles / Ramsburg	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Guyana	Enfield / New Doe Park	2000	94.3%	98.4%	83.9%
Guyana	Enfield / New Doe Park	2017	97.9%	99.6%	93.9%
Guyana	Enmore / Hope	2000	95.6%	99.0%	87.2%
Guyana	Enmore / Hope	2017	98.5%	99.7%	95.2%
Guyana	Farm / Woodlands	2000	95.6%	98.8%	90.3%
Guyana	Farm / Woodlands	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.4%
Guyana	Foulis / Buxton	2000	97.1%	98.8%	93.4%
Guyana	Foulis / Buxton	2017	98.9%	99.6%	96.7%
Guyana	Fyrish / Gibraltar	2000	96.5%	99.7%	82.2%
Guyana	Fyrish / Gibraltar	2017	99.2%	99.9%	95.5%
Guyana	Gelderland / No. 3	2000	97.7%	98.7%	95.4%
Guyana	Gelderland / No. 3	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.3%
Guyana	Good Hope / Hydronie	2000	92.5%	96.5%	87.1%
Guyana	Good Hope / Hydronie	2017	98.7%	99.4%	97.6%
Guyana	Good Hope / Pomona	2000	67.1%	81.5%	49.0%
Guyana	Good Hope / Pomona	2017	92.1%	96.8%	82.7%
Guyana	Good Success / Caledonia	2000	78.5%	84.6%	71.4%
Guyana	Good Success / Caledonia	2017	94.8%	97.1%	91.1%
Guyana	Grove / Haslington	2000	97.6%	98.9%	94.9%
Guyana	Grove / Haslington	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.4%
Guyana	Hampshire / Kilcoy	2000	80.7%	86.9%	70.5%
Guyana	Hampshire / Kilcoy	2017	93.4%	96.4%	88.6%
Guyana	Herstelling / Little Diamond	2000	71.3%	76.1%	66.1%
Guyana	Herstelling / Little Diamond	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Hogstye / Lancaster	2000	94.9%	97.9%	89.9%
Guyana	Hogstye / Lancaster	2017	98.7%	99.5%	97.3%
Guyana	Ireng / Sawariwau (Including St. Ignatius)	2000	74.7%	84.8%	64.3%
Guyana	Ireng / Sawariwau (Including St. Ignatius)	2017	89.5%	93.6%	82.6%
Guyana	Ituni	2000	80.0%	93.9%	59.8%
Guyana	Ituni	2017	90.5%	98.0%	72.6%
Guyana	Jackson Creek / Crabwood Creek	2000	91.5%	99.5%	64.5%
Guyana	Jackson Creek / Crabwood Creek	2017	96.4%	99.9%	78.7%
Guyana	Jawalla, Kubenang River	2000	68.3%	88.3%	42.2%
Guyana	Jawalla, Kubenang River	2017	78.9%	93.4%	57.7%
Guyana	John / Port Mourant	2000	78.4%	87.1%	66.7%
Guyana	John / Port Mourant	2017	92.4%	96.5%	85.3%
Guyana	Joppa / Macedonia	2000	95.9%	97.4%	94.0%
Guyana	Joppa / Macedonia	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Guyana	Kaibarupai	2000	69.0%	91.7%	33.7%
Guyana	Kaibarupai	2017	79.0%	95.1%	48.0%
Guyana	Kamarang	2000	66.9%	80.4%	49.6%
Guyana	Kamarang	2017	77.7%	88.2%	63.7%
Guyana	Karambaru to Kukui River + Phillipi	2000	65.8%	79.9%	51.5%
Guyana	Karambaru to Kukui River + Phillipi	2017	76.9%	87.0%	63.7%
Guyana	Klein Pouderoyen / Best	2000	84.1%	88.2%	78.7%
Guyana	Klein Pouderoyen / Best	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.0%
Guyana	Kopanang, Waipa, Kenepai	2000	72.7%	86.2%	50.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Kopanang, Waipa, Kene-pai	2017	80.9%	90.8%	62.3%
Guyana	Kwakwani	2000	80.3%	91.8%	49.6%
Guyana	Kwakwani	2017	94.0%	98.1%	82.6%
Guyana	La Bonne Intention / Better Hope	2000	87.3%	90.2%	83.4%
Guyana	La Bonne Intention / Better Hope	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.4%
Guyana	La Reconnaissance / Mon Repos	2000	91.3%	94.6%	84.7%
Guyana	La Reconnaissance / Mon Repos	2017	97.4%	99.0%	93.9%
Guyana	Leguan (Essequibo Islands)	2000	50.4%	79.9%	22.6%
Guyana	Leguan (Essequibo Islands)	2017	60.2%	84.3%	31.6%
Guyana	Linden	2000	69.3%	77.8%	61.8%
Guyana	Linden	2017	91.3%	95.5%	86.6%
Guyana	Lower West Demerara	2000	79.5%	95.5%	50.9%
Guyana	Lower West Demerara	2017	89.4%	98.4%	70.1%
Guyana	Mabaruma / Kumaka / Hosororo	2000	82.4%	90.7%	69.1%
Guyana	Mabaruma / Kumaka / Hosororo	2017	87.3%	94.3%	74.6%
Guyana	Mabura Hills	2000	75.9%	87.5%	60.6%
Guyana	Mabura Hills	2017	87.1%	94.6%	76.5%
Guyana	Madhia + Kurubrong River + Mona Falls	2000	71.3%	81.4%	60.3%
Guyana	Madhia + Kurubrong River + Mona Falls	2017	83.8%	90.2%	75.5%
Guyana	Makouria River	2000	58.5%	78.0%	33.0%
Guyana	Makouria River	2017	90.0%	95.7%	80.5%
Guyana	Maripari River + Kurukabaru	2000	68.1%	82.2%	49.1%
Guyana	Maripari River + Kurukabaru	2017	77.7%	89.3%	60.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Marudi	2000	75.3%	88.7%	55.5%
Guyana	Marudi	2017	83.8%	94.1%	68.3%
Guyana	Matthews Ridge / Arakaka (Matakai) / Port Kaituma	2000	79.2%	91.4%	59.2%
Guyana	Matthews Ridge / Arakaka (Matakai) / Port Kaituma	2017	87.2%	94.7%	72.0%
Guyana	Meer Zorgen / Malgre Tout	2000	82.3%	85.4%	79.0%
Guyana	Meer Zorgen / Malgre Tout	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Guyana	Mocha / Arcadia	2000	72.3%	83.0%	60.5%
Guyana	Mocha / Arcadia	2017	95.4%	98.0%	91.0%
Guyana	Monkey Mountain	2000	66.4%	90.8%	35.5%
Guyana	Monkey Mountain	2017	76.6%	94.1%	50.4%
Guyana	Naarstigheid / Union	2000	98.1%	99.8%	92.7%
Guyana	Naarstigheid / Union	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.3%
Guyana	New Amsterdam	2000	98.1%	99.1%	96.5%
Guyana	New Amsterdam	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Guyana	Nismes / La Grange	2000	80.6%	84.2%	76.6%
Guyana	Nismes / La Grange	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Guyana	No. 38 / Ordnance Fortlands	2000	91.5%	94.3%	87.0%
Guyana	No. 38 / Ordnance Fortlands	2017	98.0%	98.9%	96.1%
Guyana	No.51 Village / Good Hope	2000	95.3%	98.0%	90.6%
Guyana	No.51 Village / Good Hope	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.2%
Guyana	No.74 Village / No.52 Village	2000	98.5%	99.6%	95.3%
Guyana	No.74 Village / No.52 Village	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Nouvelle Flanders / La Jalousie	2000	76.6%	83.6%	69.0%
Guyana	Nouvelle Flanders / La Jalousie	2017	97.2%	98.3%	95.7%
Guyana	Paradise / Evergreen (including Somerset and Berks)	2000	87.8%	95.8%	76.9%
Guyana	Paradise / Evergreen (including Somerset and Berks)	2017	94.5%	98.3%	86.8%
Guyana	Paramakatoi	2000	30.8%	43.7%	19.1%
Guyana	Paramakatoi	2017	42.6%	57.6%	29.9%
Guyana	Parika / Mora	2000	89.2%	95.5%	79.7%
Guyana	Parika / Mora	2017	97.0%	98.9%	93.3%
Guyana	Paruima	2000	70.6%	84.7%	55.2%
Guyana	Paruima	2017	81.7%	91.0%	68.8%
Guyana	Patentia / Toevlugt	2000	78.4%	81.8%	74.8%
Guyana	Patentia / Toevlugt	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Guyana	Plaisance / Industry	2000	87.0%	89.8%	83.6%
Guyana	Plaisance / Industry	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Guyana	Rest of Region 1	2000	77.4%	86.1%	66.6%
Guyana	Rest of Region 1	2017	87.3%	92.8%	79.9%
Guyana	Rest of Region 10	2000	78.5%	86.6%	66.4%
Guyana	Rest of Region 10	2017	88.5%	93.2%	81.1%
Guyana	Rest of Region 7	2000	73.7%	80.0%	64.6%
Guyana	Rest of Region 7	2017	83.5%	87.5%	78.6%
Guyana	Rest of Region 8	2000	66.4%	74.7%	58.2%
Guyana	Rest of Region 8	2017	77.8%	84.0%	71.6%
Guyana	Rest of Region 9	2000	76.8%	83.3%	70.2%
Guyana	Rest of Region 9	2017	85.0%	89.4%	80.1%
Guyana	Rising Sun / Profit	2000	95.0%	97.5%	91.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Rising Sun / Profit	2017	97.9%	99.0%	96.2%
Guyana	Riverstown / Annandale	2000	81.5%	86.3%	75.6%
Guyana	Riverstown / Annandale	2017	94.9%	96.8%	92.6%
Guyana	Rose Hall	2000	79.0%	86.5%	68.7%
Guyana	Rose Hall	2017	92.8%	96.4%	87.0%
Guyana	Rosignol / Zeelust	2000	98.3%	99.0%	96.9%
Guyana	Rosignol / Zeelust	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Guyana	Sand Creek - Dadanawa, Catunarib, Sawariwau	2000	78.1%	87.5%	65.7%
Guyana	Sand Creek - Dadanawa, Catunarib, Sawariwau	2017	86.7%	93.2%	77.3%
Guyana	Soesdyke-Linden highway (including Timehri)	2000	92.3%	97.7%	82.0%
Guyana	Soesdyke-Linden highway (including Timehri)	2017	96.9%	99.2%	91.7%
Guyana	Sparta / Bonasika and Rest of Essequibo Islands	2000	77.5%	92.6%	54.8%
Guyana	Sparta / Bonasika and Rest of Essequibo Islands	2017	88.3%	97.0%	71.9%
Guyana	St. Cuthberts / Orange Nassau (Mahaica River)	2000	91.2%	96.4%	82.9%
Guyana	St. Cuthberts / Orange Nassau (Mahaica River)	2017	97.4%	99.2%	93.0%
Guyana	St. Francis Mission	2000	81.7%	94.4%	65.9%
Guyana	St. Francis Mission	2017	89.6%	97.4%	75.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Supernaam River, Bethany and Mashabo Villages	2000	80.9%	93.4%	56.7%
Guyana	Supernaam River, Bethany and Mashabo Villages	2017	90.4%	97.7%	65.9%
Guyana	Tarlogie / Maida	2000	97.5%	99.0%	95.5%
Guyana	Tarlogie / Maida	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Guyana	Te Huist Coverden / Soesdyke	2000	96.4%	99.6%	87.6%
Guyana	Te Huist Coverden / Soesdyke	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.0%
Guyana	Tempe / Seafield	2000	98.3%	99.8%	91.7%
Guyana	Tempe / Seafield	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.1%
Guyana	Toka - Jakaretinga	2000	79.0%	91.0%	65.5%
Guyana	Toka - Jakaretinga	2017	87.2%	95.1%	76.4%
Guyana	Triumph / Beterverwagting	2000	88.3%	91.6%	83.4%
Guyana	Triumph / Beterverwagting	2017	98.0%	98.7%	96.7%
Guyana	Uitvlugt / Tuschen	2000	79.9%	83.9%	75.4%
Guyana	Uitvlugt / Tuschen	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Guyana	Vereeniging / Unity	2000	97.6%	99.1%	94.8%
Guyana	Vereeniging / Unity	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Guyana	Vergenoegen / Greenwich Park	2000	89.9%	93.7%	83.8%
Guyana	Vergenoegen / Greenwich Park	2017	97.7%	98.6%	96.1%
Guyana	Waini	2000	82.1%	89.3%	73.3%
Guyana	Waini	2017	89.6%	94.4%	83.1%
Guyana	Wakenaam (Essequibo Islands)	2000	62.4%	82.2%	39.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Wakenaam (Essequibo Islands)	2017	81.9%	92.0%	63.1%
Guyana	Waramadan	2000	66.6%	90.1%	30.2%
Guyana	Waramadan	2017	77.9%	92.0%	53.4%
Guyana	West bank Berbice	2000	97.3%	98.9%	94.3%
Guyana	West bank Berbice	2017	98.8%	99.6%	96.9%
Guyana	Whim / Bloomfield	2000	92.1%	97.4%	82.8%
Guyana	Whim / Bloomfield	2017	97.2%	99.2%	92.5%
Guyana	Woodley Park / Bath	2000	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Guyana	Woodley Park / Bath	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Guyana	Yakarinta - Wowetta, Surama	2000	79.1%	87.6%	67.8%
Guyana	Yakarinta - Wowetta, Surama	2017	86.5%	92.7%	77.6%
Guyana	Yarong Paru - Good Hope	2000	73.8%	87.0%	56.1%
Guyana	Yarong Paru - Good Hope	2017	82.9%	93.2%	69.0%
Guyana	Zorg-en-Vlygt / Aberdeen	2000	77.8%	89.6%	60.3%
Guyana	Zorg-en-Vlygt / Aberdeen	2017	93.6%	97.4%	86.5%
Haiti	Anse d'Hainault	2000	4.7%	6.4%	3.2%
Haiti	Anse d'Hainault	2017	11.7%	15.3%	9.9%
Haiti	Aquin	2000	20.9%	26.4%	15.9%
Haiti	Aquin	2017	42.8%	48.1%	37.4%
Haiti	Bainet	2000	10.4%	15.3%	6.7%
Haiti	Bainet	2017	32.7%	41.1%	24.1%
Haiti	Belle-Anse	2000	8.2%	15.0%	4.2%
Haiti	Belle-Anse	2017	29.5%	39.3%	20.0%
Haiti	Borgne	2000	17.2%	23.0%	13.2%
Haiti	Borgne	2017	37.8%	44.0%	32.4%
Haiti	Cerca La Source	2000	4.6%	10.4%	2.6%
Haiti	Cerca La Source	2017	17.6%	27.2%	12.6%
Haiti	Corail	2000	11.4%	16.4%	7.4%
Haiti	Corail	2017	35.3%	41.0%	29.3%
Haiti	Croix-des-Bouquets	2000	22.6%	25.7%	20.0%
Haiti	Croix-des-Bouquets	2017	52.5%	56.8%	48.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Haiti	Dessalines	2000	16.4%	19.0%	14.1%
Haiti	Dessalines	2017	36.5%	40.5%	32.6%
Haiti	Fort-Liberté	2000	20.9%	24.1%	16.9%
Haiti	Fort-Liberté	2017	42.3%	46.6%	38.9%
Haiti	Grande-Rivière du Nord	2000	7.1%	8.6%	5.9%
Haiti	Grande-Rivière du Nord	2017	26.1%	28.6%	23.3%
Haiti	Gros-Morne	2000	16.2%	22.3%	12.5%
Haiti	Gros-Morne	2017	35.5%	45.5%	27.1%
Haiti	Hinche	2000	11.4%	15.5%	8.6%
Haiti	Hinche	2017	28.2%	33.5%	23.6%
Haiti	Jacmel	2000	26.6%	29.6%	24.3%
Haiti	Jacmel	2017	58.6%	61.6%	56.1%
Haiti	Jérémie	2000	6.8%	11.5%	4.6%
Haiti	Jérémie	2017	24.7%	31.0%	19.7%
Haiti	l'Acul-du-Nord	2000	28.6%	32.1%	25.4%
Haiti	l'Acul-du-Nord	2017	58.3%	60.3%	56.3%
Haiti	l'Anse-à-Veau	2000	7.9%	8.8%	7.2%
Haiti	l'Anse-à-Veau	2017	29.6%	31.0%	28.0%
Haiti	l'Arcahaie	2000	18.4%	26.7%	12.6%
Haiti	l'Arcahaie	2017	53.2%	63.7%	43.8%
Haiti	La Gonâve	2000	10.0%	17.3%	5.2%
Haiti	La Gonâve	2017	27.2%	45.6%	16.2%
Haiti	Lascahobas	2000	7.4%	11.9%	4.9%
Haiti	Lascahobas	2017	25.7%	31.8%	20.2%
Haiti	le Cap-Haïtien	2000	35.1%	39.0%	31.4%
Haiti	le Cap-Haïtien	2017	69.5%	71.6%	67.4%
Haiti	le Limbé	2000	11.1%	13.6%	9.6%
Haiti	le Limbé	2017	41.2%	46.2%	37.2%
Haiti	le Trou-du-Nord	2000	13.2%	14.2%	12.5%
Haiti	le Trou-du-Nord	2017	35.0%	37.5%	33.0%
Haiti	Léogâne	2000	26.1%	28.7%	24.0%
Haiti	Léogâne	2017	64.0%	67.8%	60.0%
Haiti	les Cayes	2000	24.2%	27.1%	22.1%
Haiti	les Cayes	2017	51.7%	54.4%	49.2%
Haiti	les Chardonnières	2000	11.4%	19.6%	5.2%
Haiti	les Chardonnières	2017	29.8%	42.4%	19.4%
Haiti	les Côteaux	2000	9.2%	13.8%	6.2%
Haiti	les Côteaux	2017	32.8%	40.6%	25.7%
Haiti	les Gonaïves	2000	18.6%	21.3%	15.9%
Haiti	les Gonaïves	2017	46.4%	49.6%	43.2%
Haiti	Marmelade	2000	17.8%	25.5%	13.1%
Haiti	Marmelade	2017	31.0%	40.2%	24.8%
Haiti	Miragoâne	2000	8.5%	9.2%	7.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Haiti	Miragoâne	2017	35.3%	37.0%	33.6%
Haiti	Mirebalais	2000	19.1%	25.6%	13.4%
Haiti	Mirebalais	2017	45.3%	51.0%	39.0%
Haiti	Môle Saint-Nicolas	2000	13.0%	17.7%	9.9%
Haiti	Môle Saint-Nicolas	2017	38.3%	44.0%	33.7%
Haiti	Ouanaminthe	2000	19.0%	20.8%	16.4%
Haiti	Ouanaminthe	2017	53.0%	55.5%	50.3%
Haiti	Plaisance	2000	6.8%	11.1%	4.1%
Haiti	Plaisance	2017	22.2%	27.2%	17.1%
Haiti	Port-au-Prince	2000	38.1%	39.3%	36.6%
Haiti	Port-au-Prince	2017	76.8%	77.8%	76.0%
Haiti	Port-de-Paix	2000	20.3%	23.8%	17.7%
Haiti	Port-de-Paix	2017	48.4%	52.2%	45.2%
Haiti	Port-Salut	2000	7.2%	12.5%	4.7%
Haiti	Port-Salut	2017	29.4%	36.1%	23.0%
Haiti	Saint-Louis du Nord	2000	6.3%	10.3%	5.2%
Haiti	Saint-Louis du Nord	2017	25.9%	33.0%	22.8%
Haiti	Saint-Marc	2000	24.9%	29.0%	20.9%
Haiti	Saint-Marc	2017	54.0%	59.1%	49.0%
Haiti	Saint-Raphaël	2000	9.7%	19.0%	3.8%
Haiti	Saint-Raphaël	2017	30.7%	43.8%	18.4%
Haiti	Vallières	2000	9.3%	10.2%	8.3%
Haiti	Vallières	2017	18.4%	21.2%	16.7%
Honduras	Aguaqueterique	2000	50.9%	71.4%	33.4%
Honduras	Aguaqueterique	2017	74.0%	88.0%	57.3%
Honduras	Ahuas	2000	37.8%	54.0%	24.7%
Honduras	Ahuas	2017	58.6%	75.3%	42.6%
Honduras	Ajuterique	2000	52.4%	66.2%	39.9%
Honduras	Ajuterique	2017	83.3%	91.7%	71.8%
Honduras	Alauca	2000	65.8%	76.7%	53.8%
Honduras	Alauca	2017	80.4%	87.5%	71.4%
Honduras	Alianza	2000	52.6%	64.6%	39.2%
Honduras	Alianza	2017	77.1%	86.7%	64.2%
Honduras	Alubarén	2000	43.9%	50.1%	38.2%
Honduras	Alubarén	2017	64.5%	70.2%	58.6%
Honduras	Amapala	2000	47.4%	55.7%	39.1%
Honduras	Amapala	2017	74.1%	82.5%	65.6%
Honduras	Apacilagua	2000	61.3%	71.5%	49.2%
Honduras	Apacilagua	2017	79.7%	87.0%	70.2%
Honduras	Arada	2000	34.1%	50.2%	19.2%
Honduras	Arada	2017	55.9%	76.0%	35.6%
Honduras	Aramecina	2000	49.4%	68.5%	32.6%
Honduras	Aramecina	2017	78.5%	89.8%	62.1%
Honduras	Arenal	2000	54.8%	72.7%	35.0%
Honduras	Arenal	2017	76.8%	92.2%	57.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Arizona	2000	59.1%	72.3%	45.0%
Honduras	Arizona	2017	80.5%	89.2%	70.0%
Honduras	Atima	2000	34.3%	42.4%	27.2%
Honduras	Atima	2017	53.5%	60.7%	45.9%
Honduras	Azacualpa	2000	48.8%	59.3%	39.0%
Honduras	Azacualpa	2017	76.1%	84.9%	68.0%
Honduras	Balfate	2000	63.4%	74.0%	52.2%
Honduras	Balfate	2017	83.2%	90.4%	74.5%
Honduras	Belen	2000	54.8%	66.4%	42.8%
Honduras	Belen	2017	73.9%	82.8%	62.4%
Honduras	Belén Gualcho	2000	45.5%	52.2%	38.4%
Honduras	Belén Gualcho	2017	68.8%	73.3%	63.9%
Honduras	Bonito Oriental	2000	60.1%	68.5%	52.2%
Honduras	Bonito Oriental	2017	85.0%	91.2%	77.9%
Honduras	Brus Laguna	2000	40.0%	48.5%	30.5%
Honduras	Brus Laguna	2017	62.4%	72.8%	52.5%
Honduras	Cabañas	2000	46.9%	64.2%	31.4%
Honduras	Cabañas	2000	57.2%	72.5%	42.3%
Honduras	Cabañas	2017	67.1%	84.8%	48.6%
Honduras	Cabañas	2017	75.6%	87.4%	62.3%
Honduras	Camasca	2000	47.0%	52.4%	40.1%
Honduras	Camasca	2017	67.5%	73.1%	62.8%
Honduras	Campamento	2000	56.0%	69.9%	43.0%
Honduras	Campamento	2017	76.2%	88.2%	64.5%
Honduras	Candelaria	2000	74.8%	84.5%	61.1%
Honduras	Candelaria	2017	86.5%	94.1%	77.3%
Honduras	Cane	2000	70.1%	76.7%	63.2%
Honduras	Cane	2017	90.6%	93.4%	87.9%
Honduras	Caridad	2000	49.4%	60.7%	39.1%
Honduras	Caridad	2017	68.8%	81.3%	57.4%
Honduras	Catacamas	2000	58.4%	64.6%	53.0%
Honduras	Catacamas	2017	79.6%	84.3%	74.7%
Honduras	Cedros	2000	58.8%	71.0%	45.5%
Honduras	Cedros	2017	80.0%	91.0%	68.8%
Honduras	Ceguaca	2000	55.2%	64.1%	45.1%
Honduras	Ceguaca	2017	76.4%	84.8%	66.7%
Honduras	Chinacla	2000	62.1%	72.9%	50.6%
Honduras	Chinacla	2017	80.3%	89.2%	69.8%
Honduras	Chinda	2000	55.3%	75.6%	37.7%
Honduras	Chinda	2017	77.9%	93.2%	57.8%
Honduras	Choloma	2000	74.4%	79.8%	68.8%
Honduras	Choloma	2017	94.1%	95.9%	92.1%
Honduras	Choluteca	2000	65.8%	72.1%	59.6%
Honduras	Choluteca	2017	84.0%	88.1%	79.6%
Honduras	Cololaca	2000	43.9%	54.5%	33.7%
Honduras	Cololaca	2017	80.9%	89.6%	69.4%
Honduras	Colomoncagua	2000	38.8%	47.2%	30.9%
Honduras	Colomoncagua	2017	57.8%	64.9%	50.2%
Honduras	Comayagua	2000	53.2%	58.2%	48.1%
Honduras	Comayagua	2017	84.5%	87.3%	81.5%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	28.8%	43.1%	17.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Concepción	2000	46.4%	50.3%	42.4%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	50.6%	62.4%	39.8%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	76.6%	87.6%	65.4%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	60.1%	70.6%	44.7%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	65.9%	69.5%	62.1%
Honduras	Concepción de Maria	2000	66.9%	75.0%	54.4%
Honduras	Concepción de Maria	2017	84.6%	89.6%	77.0%
Honduras	Concepción del Norte	2000	58.0%	78.0%	36.1%
Honduras	Concepción del Norte	2017	78.8%	94.3%	56.9%
Honduras	Concepción del Sur	2000	89.2%	95.8%	80.5%
Honduras	Concepción del Sur	2017	97.2%	99.6%	92.6%
Honduras	Concordia	2000	51.5%	71.4%	33.4%
Honduras	Concordia	2017	74.0%	89.6%	55.1%
Honduras	Copán Ruinas	2000	43.7%	54.6%	32.6%
Honduras	Copán Ruinas	2017	65.5%	74.8%	53.1%
Honduras	Corquín	2000	58.9%	69.5%	48.7%
Honduras	Corquín	2017	82.7%	89.0%	75.1%
Honduras	Cucuyagua	2000	53.1%	63.4%	41.8%
Honduras	Cucuyagua	2017	80.5%	87.8%	71.6%
Honduras	Curarén	2000	42.0%	56.5%	28.7%
Honduras	Curarén	2017	67.8%	82.3%	51.4%
Honduras	Danlí	2000	60.1%	66.2%	53.7%
Honduras	Danlí	2017	81.3%	84.8%	76.8%
Honduras	Distrito Central	2000	74.9%	78.7%	70.2%
Honduras	Distrito Central	2017	90.7%	92.5%	88.0%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	43.2%	52.6%	34.5%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	38.4%	46.7%	30.6%
Honduras	Dolores	2017	53.8%	60.2%	45.5%
Honduras	Dolores	2017	65.6%	73.1%	58.0%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	36.4%	50.1%	24.6%
Honduras	Dolores Merendon	2017	66.7%	79.6%	54.5%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre	2000	40.9%	56.7%	25.4%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre	2017	74.1%	81.2%	65.0%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre de Culmí	2000	48.7%	59.1%	37.6%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre de Culmí	2017	70.5%	80.1%	58.3%
Honduras	Duyure	2000	48.6%	70.4%	29.1%
Honduras	Duyure	2017	68.2%	91.0%	45.8%
Honduras	El Corpus	2000	57.2%	66.1%	48.1%
Honduras	El Corpus	2017	74.3%	81.2%	66.7%
Honduras	El Negrito	2000	63.0%	72.8%	53.4%
Honduras	El Negrito	2017	88.5%	92.8%	81.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	El Nispero	2000	29.0%	43.6%	19.7%
Honduras	El Nispero	2017	47.6%	62.9%	33.9%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2000	44.4%	58.9%	31.2%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2000	70.2%	79.1%	57.5%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2017	86.8%	91.9%	80.6%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2017	77.3%	86.0%	68.8%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2000	79.0%	87.7%	64.7%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2000	57.5%	70.4%	43.9%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2017	96.3%	98.6%	92.2%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2017	81.0%	91.5%	68.3%
Honduras	El Progreso	2000	76.8%	82.8%	70.9%
Honduras	El Progreso	2017	94.8%	96.8%	91.6%
Honduras	El Rosario	2000	39.7%	56.0%	24.9%
Honduras	El Rosario	2000	63.4%	81.3%	48.3%
Honduras	El Rosario	2017	60.6%	79.1%	41.5%
Honduras	El Rosario	2017	87.5%	96.2%	75.0%
Honduras	El Triunfo	2000	57.4%	68.9%	47.2%
Honduras	El Triunfo	2017	74.7%	83.1%	65.7%
Honduras	Erandique	2000	32.3%	42.0%	24.7%
Honduras	Erandique	2017	57.1%	68.2%	47.3%
Honduras	Esparta	2000	65.0%	75.4%	53.4%
Honduras	Esparta	2017	85.8%	92.2%	76.3%
Honduras	Esquíás	2000	54.2%	73.0%	37.9%
Honduras	Esquíás	2017	79.2%	92.5%	64.9%
Honduras	Esquipulas del Norte	2000	48.7%	63.7%	34.9%
Honduras	Esquipulas del Norte	2017	70.9%	84.3%	55.7%
Honduras	Florida	2000	55.9%	67.9%	43.3%
Honduras	Florida	2017	77.3%	86.5%	65.0%
Honduras	Fraternidad	2000	34.6%	42.3%	27.0%
Honduras	Fraternidad	2017	58.7%	66.5%	50.7%
Honduras	Goascorán	2000	41.7%	50.1%	33.9%
Honduras	Goascorán	2017	64.7%	72.0%	57.1%
Honduras	Gracias	2000	52.9%	61.9%	43.0%
Honduras	Gracias	2017	77.2%	82.8%	71.2%
Honduras	Guaimaca	2000	57.3%	67.5%	47.1%
Honduras	Guaimaca	2017	82.4%	89.2%	74.9%
Honduras	Guajiquiro	2000	52.2%	61.6%	43.0%
Honduras	Guajiquiro	2017	71.4%	80.5%	62.3%
Honduras	Gualaco	2000	52.1%	65.1%	39.6%
Honduras	Gualaco	2017	74.5%	84.9%	62.6%
Honduras	Gualala	2000	54.7%	77.9%	30.7%
Honduras	Gualala	2017	81.6%	93.6%	56.9%
Honduras	Gualcince	2000	61.9%	69.5%	51.4%
Honduras	Gualcince	2017	82.5%	88.7%	73.0%
Honduras	Guanaja	2000	59.1%	73.0%	44.1%
Honduras	Guanaja	2017	90.3%	95.6%	83.5%
Honduras	Guarita	2000	35.0%	53.4%	19.3%
Honduras	Guarita	2017	62.6%	82.6%	44.2%
Honduras	Guarizama	2000	52.5%	74.7%	29.9%
Honduras	Guarizama	2017	74.9%	94.4%	54.0%
Honduras	Guata	2000	46.0%	54.5%	36.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Guata	2017	69.1%	78.2%	59.3%
Honduras	Guayape	2000	49.8%	71.3%	33.4%
Honduras	Guayape	2017	70.6%	87.8%	52.2%
Honduras	Guinope	2000	58.0%	77.1%	36.1%
Honduras	Guinope	2017	77.8%	93.2%	56.5%
Honduras	Humuya	2000	61.2%	77.2%	43.2%
Honduras	Humuya	2017	80.8%	94.2%	66.1%
Honduras	Ilama	2000	53.8%	72.4%	34.2%
Honduras	Ilama	2017	76.4%	89.4%	56.4%
Honduras	Intibucá	2000	61.0%	66.8%	54.2%
Honduras	Intibucá	2017	77.5%	81.4%	73.3%
Honduras	Iriona	2000	45.0%	56.1%	34.6%
Honduras	Iriona	2017	68.0%	78.8%	56.2%
Honduras	Jacaleapa	2000	61.7%	72.8%	49.9%
Honduras	Jacaleapa	2017	84.1%	90.8%	74.2%
Honduras	Jano	2000	50.3%	69.6%	31.8%
Honduras	Jano	2017	71.9%	89.8%	53.7%
Honduras	Jesús de Otoro	2000	51.1%	58.5%	44.9%
Honduras	Jesús de Otoro	2017	76.3%	82.0%	70.3%
Honduras	Jocón	2000	44.2%	59.9%	30.5%
Honduras	Jocón	2017	67.8%	83.0%	53.8%
Honduras	José Santos Guardiola	2000	36.4%	44.3%	29.5%
Honduras	José Santos Guardiola	2017	71.4%	82.4%	61.5%
Honduras	Juan Francisco Bulnes	2000	39.2%	53.7%	27.8%
Honduras	Juan Francisco Bulnes	2017	67.6%	82.6%	55.2%
Honduras	Jutiapa	2000	60.0%	73.1%	45.2%
Honduras	Jutiapa	2017	81.8%	91.7%	69.0%
Honduras	Juticalpa	2000	59.8%	68.1%	50.1%
Honduras	Juticalpa	2017	85.0%	89.1%	79.0%
Honduras	La Campa	2000	46.6%	58.1%	33.6%
Honduras	La Campa	2017	67.4%	78.0%	54.5%
Honduras	La Ceiba	2000	77.8%	82.7%	72.7%
Honduras	La Ceiba	2017	95.5%	97.4%	93.5%
Honduras	La Encarnación	2000	37.2%	43.4%	31.1%
Honduras	La Encarnación	2017	73.0%	77.1%	68.7%
Honduras	La Esperanza	2000	78.3%	91.3%	62.6%
Honduras	La Esperanza	2017	93.3%	98.5%	82.5%
Honduras	La Iguala	2000	29.0%	39.5%	21.7%
Honduras	La Iguala	2017	49.0%	65.8%	37.3%
Honduras	La Jigua	2000	65.3%	71.0%	58.6%
Honduras	La Jigua	2017	90.7%	93.0%	88.0%
Honduras	La Labor	2000	39.1%	43.3%	35.3%
Honduras	La Labor	2017	67.1%	71.3%	63.2%
Honduras	La Libertad	2000	35.6%	54.5%	21.3%
Honduras	La Libertad	2000	61.5%	68.8%	54.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	La Libertad	2017	56.1%	71.5%	40.3%
Honduras	La Libertad	2017	87.9%	92.2%	83.6%
Honduras	La Lima	2000	73.1%	81.4%	64.6%
Honduras	La Lima	2017	95.3%	97.7%	92.5%
Honduras	La Masica	2000	69.1%	78.3%	60.2%
Honduras	La Masica	2017	92.1%	95.3%	88.1%
Honduras	La Paz	2000	60.5%	66.6%	53.6%
Honduras	La Paz	2017	83.3%	86.3%	79.5%
Honduras	La Trinidad	2000	57.3%	76.6%	40.6%
Honduras	La Trinidad	2017	84.9%	96.5%	65.7%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	55.8%	69.1%	43.3%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	18.9%	23.7%	15.0%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	52.0%	65.6%	39.6%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	37.9%	45.8%	31.5%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	79.4%	87.4%	69.8%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	75.8%	87.1%	63.3%
Honduras	La Venta	2000	42.1%	58.9%	25.8%
Honduras	La Venta	2017	63.3%	82.5%	42.6%
Honduras	La Virtud	2000	40.1%	48.6%	30.3%
Honduras	La Virtud	2017	78.2%	85.5%	70.8%
Honduras	Lamaní	2000	65.9%	79.5%	49.9%
Honduras	Lamaní	2017	84.9%	94.4%	70.9%
Honduras	Langue	2000	51.0%	58.1%	44.9%
Honduras	Langue	2017	73.4%	78.5%	68.2%
Honduras	Las Flores	2000	39.3%	47.9%	30.6%
Honduras	Las Flores	2017	65.2%	73.0%	56.7%
Honduras	Las Lajas	2000	67.8%	84.5%	47.5%
Honduras	Las Lajas	2017	89.1%	97.4%	74.7%
Honduras	Las Vegas	2000	74.3%	92.1%	52.0%
Honduras	Las Vegas	2017	95.6%	98.6%	86.5%
Honduras	Lauterique	2000	42.9%	53.0%	34.5%
Honduras	Lauterique	2017	63.0%	72.9%	54.5%
Honduras	Lejamaní	2000	60.6%	75.1%	41.4%
Honduras	Lejamaní	2017	81.0%	90.2%	66.5%
Honduras	Lepaera	2000	36.6%	43.9%	29.8%
Honduras	Lepaera	2017	56.9%	63.6%	50.1%
Honduras	Lepaterique	2000	56.6%	69.4%	40.9%
Honduras	Lepaterique	2017	75.0%	86.7%	61.4%
Honduras	Limón	2000	65.5%	79.1%	50.8%
Honduras	Limón	2017	87.3%	94.5%	77.1%
Honduras	Liure	2000	57.3%	70.1%	40.1%
Honduras	Liure	2017	73.1%	83.9%	57.0%
Honduras	Lucerna	2000	46.2%	55.8%	37.8%
Honduras	Lucerna	2017	73.3%	78.7%	67.3%
Honduras	Macuelizo	2000	62.7%	73.3%	51.9%
Honduras	Macuelizo	2017	86.3%	93.6%	76.4%
Honduras	Magdalena	2000	32.2%	37.1%	28.0%
Honduras	Magdalena	2017	56.7%	62.2%	52.1%
Honduras	Mangulile	2000	51.7%	67.2%	35.8%
Honduras	Mangulile	2017	75.1%	88.3%	57.8%
Honduras	Manto	2000	53.6%	68.1%	39.6%
Honduras	Manto	2017	75.9%	89.0%	60.5%
Honduras	Mapulaca	2000	55.8%	67.4%	42.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Mapulaca	2017	79.4%	87.3%	70.0%
Honduras	Maraita	2000	60.7%	77.3%	42.9%
Honduras	Maraita	2017	80.5%	92.6%	63.3%
Honduras	Marale	2000	57.6%	73.6%	40.1%
Honduras	Marale	2017	78.2%	90.7%	61.8%
Honduras	Marcala	2000	57.2%	66.1%	46.0%
Honduras	Marcala	2017	79.1%	85.0%	71.5%
Honduras	Marcovia	2000	56.5%	65.0%	47.0%
Honduras	Marcovia	2017	75.1%	84.0%	66.5%
Honduras	Masaguara	2000	39.6%	45.9%	34.1%
Honduras	Masaguara	2017	64.1%	69.7%	57.8%
Honduras	Meámbar	2000	52.4%	69.0%	35.4%
Honduras	Meámbar	2017	74.3%	89.9%	56.6%
Honduras	Mercedes	2000	51.5%	62.8%	39.3%
Honduras	Mercedes	2017	80.9%	88.3%	72.1%
Honduras	Mercedes de Oriente	2000	49.2%	85.8%	20.6%
Honduras	Mercedes de Oriente	2017	73.3%	95.3%	41.2%
Honduras	Minas de Oro	2000	54.7%	68.8%	41.2%
Honduras	Minas de Oro	2017	78.3%	89.7%	65.0%
Honduras	Morazán	2000	55.3%	65.3%	45.1%
Honduras	Morazán	2017	83.6%	91.7%	76.2%
Honduras	Morocelí	2000	66.9%	77.9%	54.8%
Honduras	Morocelí	2017	84.8%	91.9%	76.0%
Honduras	Morolica	2000	59.9%	74.0%	45.4%
Honduras	Morolica	2017	76.3%	87.7%	62.2%
Honduras	Nacaome	2000	54.4%	59.3%	49.8%
Honduras	Nacaome	2017	79.6%	82.3%	76.4%
Honduras	Namasigue	2000	48.3%	57.8%	39.6%
Honduras	Namasigue	2017	63.8%	72.4%	54.5%
Honduras	Naranjito	2000	44.4%	57.5%	31.8%
Honduras	Naranjito	2017	67.2%	80.1%	52.3%
Honduras	Nueva Arcadia	2000	57.9%	65.6%	50.2%
Honduras	Nueva Arcadia	2017	85.7%	89.9%	79.6%
Honduras	Nueva Armenia	2000	59.1%	75.2%	44.0%
Honduras	Nueva Armenia	2017	80.5%	93.6%	65.8%
Honduras	Nueva Frontera	2000	44.6%	55.5%	33.6%
Honduras	Nueva Frontera	2017	68.0%	80.2%	55.9%
Honduras	Nuevo Celilac	2000	47.5%	66.2%	30.2%
Honduras	Nuevo Celilac	2017	73.7%	87.5%	58.6%
Honduras	Ocotepeque	2000	64.3%	70.3%	58.0%
Honduras	Ocotepeque	2017	90.3%	92.7%	87.1%
Honduras	Ojo de Agua	2000	71.9%	80.7%	60.5%
Honduras	Ojo de Agua	2017	92.1%	96.3%	85.8%
Honduras	Ojojona	2000	59.3%	72.0%	44.0%
Honduras	Ojojona	2017	77.8%	88.1%	64.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Olanchito	2000	73.3%	79.1%	65.9%
Honduras	Olanchito	2017	89.8%	92.9%	85.5%
Honduras	Omoa	2000	56.2%	67.3%	45.3%
Honduras	Omoa	2017	79.1%	87.8%	69.9%
Honduras	Opatoro	2000	54.5%	68.0%	43.1%
Honduras	Opatoro	2017	77.2%	87.6%	65.6%
Honduras	Orica	2000	51.2%	62.0%	41.4%
Honduras	Orica	2017	73.0%	83.1%	62.2%
Honduras	Orocuina	2000	64.5%	73.4%	56.5%
Honduras	Orocuina	2017	81.8%	87.5%	75.1%
Honduras	Oropolí	2000	58.6%	80.2%	32.0%
Honduras	Oropolí	2017	77.5%	94.2%	52.0%
Honduras	Patuca	2000	50.1%	63.8%	36.8%
Honduras	Patuca	2017	71.2%	84.9%	57.1%
Honduras	Pespire	2000	56.4%	65.6%	46.8%
Honduras	Pespire	2017	76.4%	82.5%	68.3%
Honduras	Pettoa	2000	57.9%	71.3%	45.1%
Honduras	Pettoa	2017	81.3%	90.9%	69.7%
Honduras	Pimienta	2000	65.9%	81.2%	46.6%
Honduras	Pimienta	2017	88.1%	96.9%	71.3%
Honduras	Piraera	2000	48.6%	65.6%	32.9%
Honduras	Piraera	2017	70.3%	84.5%	51.6%
Honduras	Potrerrillos	2000	59.7%	73.0%	44.5%
Honduras	Potrerrillos	2000	64.4%	78.4%	48.3%
Honduras	Potrerrillos	2017	78.8%	90.5%	66.1%
Honduras	Potrerrillos	2017	90.8%	97.2%	78.7%
Honduras	Protección	2000	58.1%	69.3%	44.6%
Honduras	Protección	2017	77.5%	86.8%	64.2%
Honduras	Puerto Cortés	2000	80.5%	87.2%	70.6%
Honduras	Puerto Cortés	2017	96.4%	98.2%	93.8%
Honduras	Puerto Lem-pira	2000	44.4%	51.3%	36.9%
Honduras	Puerto Lem-pira	2017	63.4%	70.4%	56.0%
Honduras	Quimistán	2000	57.4%	67.6%	48.7%
Honduras	Quimistán	2017	82.4%	90.3%	74.3%
Honduras	Ramón Villeda Morales	2000	35.5%	46.5%	24.4%
Honduras	Ramón Villeda Morales	2017	53.8%	65.4%	40.3%
Honduras	Reitoca	2000	56.1%	70.0%	42.4%
Honduras	Reitoca	2017	77.3%	88.3%	62.7%
Honduras	Roatán	2000	54.9%	61.0%	43.9%
Honduras	Roatán	2017	86.6%	91.5%	82.0%
Honduras	Sabá	2000	66.5%	77.2%	56.2%
Honduras	Sabá	2017	88.9%	94.1%	81.7%
Honduras	Sabanagrande	2000	49.4%	69.1%	31.8%
Honduras	Sabanagrande	2017	73.8%	89.3%	53.2%
Honduras	Salamá	2000	53.6%	70.6%	36.3%
Honduras	Salamá	2017	75.1%	87.7%	55.4%
Honduras	San Agustín	2000	34.1%	56.6%	21.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Agustín	2017	62.6%	81.4%	43.9%
Honduras	San Andrés	2000	41.2%	51.4%	32.0%
Honduras	San Andrés	2017	66.0%	76.1%	56.2%
Honduras	San Antonio	2000	43.7%	52.9%	35.2%
Honduras	San Antonio	2000	51.6%	82.4%	24.0%
Honduras	San Antonio	2017	73.4%	96.4%	40.4%
Honduras	San Antonio	2017	71.0%	79.5%	62.7%
Honduras	San Antonio de Cortés	2000	52.5%	69.4%	37.1%
Honduras	San Antonio de Cortés	2017	75.9%	88.6%	60.7%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2000	49.5%	63.7%	31.6%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2000	64.7%	84.7%	44.1%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2017	86.2%	98.4%	65.5%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2017	69.2%	82.7%	47.2%
Honduras	San Antonio de Oriente	2000	75.9%	82.9%	67.6%
Honduras	San Antonio de Oriente	2017	89.6%	92.8%	84.6%
Honduras	San Antonio del Norte	2000	44.6%	62.4%	30.6%
Honduras	San Antonio del Norte	2017	69.1%	86.2%	51.7%
Honduras	San Buenaventura	2000	57.2%	77.7%	37.2%
Honduras	San Buenaventura	2017	77.5%	93.2%	55.3%
Honduras	San Esteban	2000	50.6%	65.0%	36.9%
Honduras	San Esteban	2017	73.5%	85.1%	59.2%
Honduras	San Fernando	2000	53.6%	61.3%	43.9%
Honduras	San Fernando	2017	74.8%	81.2%	67.4%
Honduras	San Francisco	2000	76.4%	85.3%	65.8%
Honduras	San Francisco	2000	34.2%	42.5%	27.3%
Honduras	San Francisco	2017	57.4%	65.6%	48.8%
Honduras	San Francisco	2017	94.5%	97.5%	89.8%
Honduras	San Francisco de Becerra	2000	49.7%	63.1%	37.1%
Honduras	San Francisco de Becerra	2017	69.3%	80.2%	59.4%
Honduras	San Francisco de Coray	2000	38.2%	49.1%	30.7%
Honduras	San Francisco de Coray	2017	58.4%	68.1%	49.8%
Honduras	San Francisco de la Paz	2000	62.3%	74.2%	49.9%
Honduras	San Francisco de la Paz	2017	82.8%	91.8%	71.7%
Honduras	San Francisco de Ojuera	2000	42.2%	60.6%	24.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Francisco de Ojuera	2017	65.8%	84.3%	45.9%
Honduras	San Francisco de Opalaca	2000	32.1%	44.4%	23.1%
Honduras	San Francisco de Opalaca	2017	49.8%	61.3%	37.7%
Honduras	San Francisco de Yojoa	2000	75.8%	93.1%	55.8%
Honduras	San Francisco de Yojoa	2017	94.2%	99.7%	80.5%
Honduras	San Francisco del Valle	2000	37.6%	42.5%	32.8%
Honduras	San Francisco del Valle	2017	69.5%	73.4%	66.3%
Honduras	San Ignacio	2000	63.9%	78.6%	49.1%
Honduras	San Ignacio	2017	84.2%	94.2%	70.2%
Honduras	San Isidro	2000	53.5%	69.2%	35.5%
Honduras	San Isidro	2000	45.3%	62.9%	30.5%
Honduras	San Isidro	2017	70.8%	83.7%	51.9%
Honduras	San Isidro	2017	65.1%	81.9%	46.8%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2000	55.7%	71.7%	39.5%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2000	41.1%	53.6%	29.8%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2017	80.9%	92.6%	65.3%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2017	63.4%	72.5%	50.7%
Honduras	San Jorge	2000	40.1%	52.5%	28.9%
Honduras	San Jorge	2017	68.2%	82.2%	51.2%
Honduras	San José	2000	56.1%	63.5%	47.7%
Honduras	San José	2000	26.3%	39.2%	16.7%
Honduras	San José	2000	57.2%	71.3%	39.9%
Honduras	San José	2017	79.0%	84.4%	71.9%
Honduras	San José	2017	76.8%	88.0%	61.6%
Honduras	San José	2017	37.7%	53.5%	25.7%
Honduras	San José de Colinas	2000	48.3%	66.7%	32.9%
Honduras	San José de Colinas	2017	71.9%	87.0%	53.1%
Honduras	San José de Comayagua	2000	65.9%	84.9%	44.9%
Honduras	San José de Comayagua	2017	85.8%	95.6%	67.9%
Honduras	San José del Potrero	2000	55.8%	77.0%	35.8%
Honduras	San José del Potrero	2017	77.6%	93.7%	56.8%
Honduras	San Juan	2000	53.0%	83.7%	24.2%
Honduras	San Juan	2000	60.9%	70.1%	49.8%
Honduras	San Juan	2017	74.6%	96.5%	45.3%
Honduras	San Juan	2017	80.3%	86.1%	72.2%
Honduras	San Juan de Flores	2000	68.6%	84.4%	50.9%
Honduras	San Juan de Flores	2017	89.0%	96.7%	75.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Juan de Opoa	2000	46.2%	54.3%	39.0%
Honduras	San Juan de Opoa	2017	74.1%	79.8%	69.1%
Honduras	San Juan Guarita	2000	49.1%	80.3%	21.1%
Honduras	San Juan Guarita	2017	70.5%	97.0%	35.8%
Honduras	San Lorenzo	2000	42.2%	50.2%	34.5%
Honduras	San Lorenzo	2017	65.7%	73.6%	58.3%
Honduras	San Lucas	2000	60.4%	71.4%	48.5%
Honduras	San Lucas	2017	72.9%	83.4%	60.7%
Honduras	San Luis	2000	55.6%	67.4%	42.8%
Honduras	San Luis	2000	51.1%	70.5%	33.3%
Honduras	San Luis	2017	82.1%	90.8%	68.8%
Honduras	San Luis	2017	78.2%	89.1%	61.5%
Honduras	San Manuel	2000	71.1%	79.5%	62.6%
Honduras	San Manuel	2017	91.1%	94.1%	86.8%
Honduras	San Manuel Colohete	2000	41.4%	53.5%	31.3%
Honduras	San Manuel Colohete	2017	61.0%	73.8%	48.6%
Honduras	San Marcos	2000	50.1%	63.0%	39.5%
Honduras	San Marcos	2000	74.8%	82.4%	65.3%
Honduras	San Marcos	2017	87.0%	91.5%	79.0%
Honduras	San Marcos	2017	91.7%	96.6%	85.0%
Honduras	San Marcos de Caiquín	2000	42.7%	63.7%	25.1%
Honduras	San Marcos de Caiquín	2017	62.5%	80.6%	43.0%
Honduras	San Marcos de Colón	2000	59.4%	73.5%	44.6%
Honduras	San Marcos de Colón	2017	83.3%	91.8%	73.2%
Honduras	San Marcos de la Sierra	2000	41.2%	51.6%	30.6%
Honduras	San Marcos de la Sierra	2017	57.6%	66.9%	46.8%
Honduras	San Matías	2000	57.4%	72.4%	42.9%
Honduras	San Matías	2017	83.8%	95.1%	70.9%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2000	39.8%	50.8%	30.6%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2000	46.3%	62.0%	29.2%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2017	66.4%	77.3%	50.4%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2017	64.0%	72.8%	54.7%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2000	44.6%	57.0%	34.4%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2000	52.1%	61.3%	42.6%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2017	71.9%	82.7%	60.3%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2017	78.1%	82.4%	73.0%
Honduras	San Pedro	2000	57.6%	74.0%	46.5%
Honduras	San Pedro	2017	82.1%	94.3%	70.1%
Honduras	San Pedro de Tutule	2000	49.5%	58.6%	39.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Pedro de Tutule	2017	70.3%	77.0%	62.3%
Honduras	San Pedro Sula	2000	77.9%	81.8%	73.9%
Honduras	San Pedro Sula	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Honduras	San Pedro Zapaca	2000	65.9%	80.5%	48.2%
Honduras	San Pedro Zapaca	2017	84.4%	95.7%	68.6%
Honduras	San Rafael	2000	29.5%	55.2%	16.6%
Honduras	San Rafael	2017	54.7%	89.1%	31.0%
Honduras	San Sebastian	2000	40.4%	53.5%	30.9%
Honduras	San Sebastian	2017	65.5%	77.0%	52.7%
Honduras	San Sebastián	2000	65.7%	75.3%	57.2%
Honduras	San Sebastián	2017	83.7%	90.9%	75.3%
Honduras	San Vicente Centenario	2000	33.2%	47.6%	19.7%
Honduras	San Vicente Centenario	2017	60.7%	79.8%	39.4%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2000	60.5%	72.5%	48.9%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2000	55.4%	64.1%	46.8%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2017	80.5%	89.7%	69.8%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2017	76.9%	83.6%	67.8%
Honduras	Santa Ana de Yusguare	2000	64.3%	71.6%	57.7%
Honduras	Santa Ana de Yusguare	2017	83.1%	87.4%	79.1%
Honduras	Santa Bárbara	2000	59.5%	69.3%	46.3%
Honduras	Santa Bárbara	2017	88.8%	93.0%	80.1%
Honduras	Santa Cruz	2000	43.4%	64.1%	23.3%
Honduras	Santa Cruz	2017	63.8%	83.2%	41.6%
Honduras	Santa Cruz de Yojoa	2000	69.1%	78.3%	60.6%
Honduras	Santa Cruz de Yojoa	2017	91.1%	95.8%	84.9%
Honduras	Santa Elena	2000	59.5%	64.7%	53.9%
Honduras	Santa Elena	2017	73.7%	77.4%	69.6%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2000	58.2%	78.6%	34.6%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2000	49.7%	72.0%	36.5%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2017	79.5%	89.4%	65.2%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2017	79.9%	94.5%	55.4%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2000	37.5%	58.1%	20.9%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2000	92.8%	96.5%	86.1%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2017	62.8%	86.9%	40.9%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.3%
Honduras	Santa María	2000	57.4%	66.2%	49.0%
Honduras	Santa María	2017	84.2%	87.3%	80.4%
Honduras	Santa Maria del Real	2000	60.3%	71.5%	49.9%
Honduras	Santa Maria del Real	2017	84.0%	89.4%	77.2%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	33.4%	52.8%	17.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	65.4%	77.3%	52.4%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	27.5%	37.1%	18.3%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	59.4%	86.2%	38.9%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	51.6%	65.7%	38.6%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	89.1%	95.1%	79.5%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Aguán	2000	50.6%	71.2%	28.8%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Aguán	2017	78.7%	93.4%	55.3%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Copán	2000	41.3%	48.6%	35.0%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Copán	2017	78.5%	84.5%	72.4%
Honduras	Santiago de Puringla	2000	37.7%	43.6%	31.8%
Honduras	Santiago de Puringla	2017	63.7%	69.0%	59.4%
Honduras	Sensenti	2000	40.5%	47.8%	33.3%
Honduras	Sensenti	2017	72.9%	78.2%	65.3%
Honduras	Siguatopeque	2000	55.5%	61.7%	49.8%
Honduras	Siguatopeque	2017	88.6%	90.9%	86.0%
Honduras	Silca	2000	60.2%	74.8%	41.8%
Honduras	Silca	2017	83.2%	92.7%	69.6%
Honduras	Sinuapa	2000	57.2%	65.5%	49.5%
Honduras	Sinuapa	2017	88.6%	91.1%	85.3%
Honduras	Soledad	2000	55.0%	70.9%	41.2%
Honduras	Soledad	2017	73.0%	85.4%	61.1%
Honduras	Sonaguera	2000	55.7%	61.6%	49.6%
Honduras	Sonaguera	2017	88.8%	91.8%	85.2%
Honduras	Sulaco	2000	60.4%	74.6%	44.7%
Honduras	Sulaco	2017	80.3%	90.6%	65.9%
Honduras	Talanga	2000	62.1%	74.2%	51.3%
Honduras	Talanga	2017	83.5%	90.7%	75.0%
Honduras	Talgua	2000	46.7%	52.6%	40.2%
Honduras	Talgua	2017	67.4%	72.4%	61.6%
Honduras	Tambla	2000	35.1%	61.4%	17.3%
Honduras	Tambla	2017	61.3%	91.5%	34.6%
Honduras	Tatumbula	2000	54.7%	73.3%	35.7%
Honduras	Tatumbula	2017	70.2%	85.7%	52.0%
Honduras	Taulabe	2000	62.8%	79.2%	44.3%
Honduras	Taulabe	2017	85.5%	95.3%	70.7%
Honduras	Tela	2000	62.0%	68.9%	55.5%
Honduras	Tela	2017	87.2%	91.5%	82.1%
Honduras	Teupasenti	2000	63.6%	73.0%	53.4%
Honduras	Teupasenti	2017	84.5%	90.6%	76.6%
Honduras	Texiguat	2000	51.1%	64.9%	38.1%
Honduras	Texiguat	2017	69.4%	82.0%	55.5%
Honduras	Tocoa	2000	67.8%	75.2%	59.0%
Honduras	Tocoa	2017	90.6%	93.4%	86.8%
Honduras	Tomalá	2000	32.8%	56.0%	14.5%
Honduras	Tomalá	2017	60.0%	86.1%	32.6%
Honduras	Trinidad	2000	51.5%	61.3%	42.3%
Honduras	Trinidad	2017	75.0%	81.3%	68.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Trinidad de Copán	2000	51.8%	63.3%	41.8%
Honduras	Trinidad de Copán	2017	80.4%	88.0%	69.4%
Honduras	Trojes	2000	51.7%	60.2%	41.9%
Honduras	Trojes	2017	72.3%	79.5%	64.8%
Honduras	Trujillo	2000	63.4%	70.2%	56.5%
Honduras	Trujillo	2017	87.5%	91.4%	82.4%
Honduras	Utila	2000	53.3%	73.2%	32.8%
Honduras	Utila	2017	89.9%	97.0%	77.4%
Honduras	Vado Ancho	2000	38.6%	60.2%	20.6%
Honduras	Vado Ancho	2017	55.7%	77.1%	32.6%
Honduras	Valladolid	2000	57.0%	69.2%	42.8%
Honduras	Valladolid	2017	77.1%	89.2%	63.6%
Honduras	Valle de Ángeles	2000	89.8%	95.2%	82.7%
Honduras	Valle de Ángeles	2017	98.8%	99.7%	97.0%
Honduras	Vallecillo	2000	46.4%	74.2%	24.0%
Honduras	Vallecillo	2017	70.2%	92.0%	46.4%
Honduras	Veracruz	2000	52.1%	58.1%	45.8%
Honduras	Veracruz	2017	72.3%	77.0%	67.9%
Honduras	Victoria	2000	48.1%	59.9%	36.4%
Honduras	Victoria	2017	69.5%	81.5%	57.5%
Honduras	Villa de San Antonio	2000	65.2%	75.0%	51.9%
Honduras	Villa de San Antonio	2017	82.6%	89.1%	73.3%
Honduras	Villa de San Francisco	2000	77.6%	89.9%	62.5%
Honduras	Villa de San Francisco	2017	94.7%	99.0%	87.1%
Honduras	Villanueva	2000	71.0%	77.4%	64.8%
Honduras	Villanueva	2017	90.7%	93.6%	87.4%
Honduras	Virginia	2000	86.3%	95.5%	73.8%
Honduras	Virginia	2017	95.2%	99.5%	86.4%
Honduras	Wampusirpi	2000	44.9%	59.1%	30.2%
Honduras	Wampusirpi	2017	63.9%	79.2%	48.4%
Honduras	Yamaranguila	2000	60.3%	70.9%	46.8%
Honduras	Yamaranguila	2017	78.9%	86.4%	67.7%
Honduras	Yarula	2000	58.5%	66.9%	49.6%
Honduras	Yarula	2017	74.4%	80.7%	66.7%
Honduras	Yauyupe	2000	62.4%	91.3%	36.1%
Honduras	Yauyupe	2017	86.5%	99.1%	65.6%
Honduras	Yocón	2000	57.5%	71.0%	43.6%
Honduras	Yocón	2017	77.6%	85.8%	69.2%
Honduras	Yorito	2000	59.0%	75.2%	43.5%
Honduras	Yorito	2017	80.1%	93.8%	62.7%
Honduras	Yoro	2000	50.2%	57.3%	41.4%
Honduras	Yoro	2017	74.8%	81.8%	66.3%
Honduras	Yuscarán	2000	60.6%	77.5%	41.7%
Honduras	Yuscarán	2017	80.5%	94.3%	60.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Abala	2000	8.4%	20.7%	2.3%
Mexico	Abala	2017	8.8%	22.3%	2.5%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	55.7%	83.1%	23.2%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	78.7%	80.6%	76.3%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	58.3%	94.3%	13.6%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	53.4%	69.7%	33.2%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	59.9%	94.1%	18.7%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	54.9%	71.2%	34.8%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	75.7%	78.4%	72.3%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	57.1%	84.4%	26.2%
Mexico	Abejones	2000	22.7%	28.3%	17.7%
Mexico	Abejones	2017	27.7%	34.2%	21.7%
Mexico	Acacoyagua	2000	59.0%	71.9%	43.0%
Mexico	Acacoyagua	2017	60.9%	72.2%	47.1%
Mexico	Acajete	2000	45.7%	51.5%	39.3%
Mexico	Acajete	2000	31.4%	34.3%	28.7%
Mexico	Acajete	2017	34.7%	38.7%	31.0%
Mexico	Acajete	2017	49.5%	54.7%	43.5%
Mexico	Acala	2000	71.0%	84.8%	50.9%
Mexico	Acala	2017	72.6%	85.7%	54.8%
Mexico	Acambaro	2000	71.2%	88.8%	50.5%
Mexico	Acambaro	2017	72.1%	89.1%	52.8%
Mexico	Acambay	2000	26.6%	48.6%	10.8%
Mexico	Acambay	2017	28.6%	50.9%	11.7%
Mexico	Acanceh	2000	23.8%	34.0%	16.5%
Mexico	Acanceh	2017	24.9%	34.5%	17.9%
Mexico	Acapetahua	2000	62.2%	85.8%	37.6%
Mexico	Acapetahua	2017	63.2%	86.4%	38.9%
Mexico	Acaponeta	2000	63.2%	81.1%	42.0%
Mexico	Acaponeta	2017	62.7%	82.7%	39.9%
Mexico	Acapulco De Juarez	2000	62.5%	90.2%	24.6%
Mexico	Acapulco De Juarez	2017	63.0%	90.2%	27.3%
Mexico	Acateno	2000	35.4%	51.6%	21.0%
Mexico	Acateno	2017	36.9%	63.5%	16.6%
Mexico	Acatepec	2000	4.3%	18.7%	0.3%
Mexico	Acatepec	2017	4.7%	19.2%	0.3%
Mexico	Acatic	2000	70.9%	87.8%	46.1%
Mexico	Acatic	2017	71.9%	88.3%	47.5%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	36.8%	49.7%	26.9%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	56.9%	67.6%	46.1%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	91.8%	92.3%	91.3%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	36.9%	49.8%	26.6%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	58.3%	71.2%	45.2%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	90.7%	91.2%	90.2%
Mexico	Acatlan De Juarez	2000	88.8%	93.8%	80.1%
Mexico	Acatlan De Juarez	2017	89.4%	94.0%	83.5%
Mexico	Acatlan De Perez Figuroa	2000	43.9%	68.4%	18.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Acatlan De Perez Figueroa	2017	45.4%	69.8%	19.8%
Mexico	Acatzingo	2000	57.0%	64.2%	51.7%
Mexico	Acatzingo	2017	56.6%	63.8%	51.4%
Mexico	Acaxochitlan	2000	30.5%	39.4%	21.6%
Mexico	Acaxochitlan	2017	32.7%	41.8%	23.1%
Mexico	Acayucan	2000	79.4%	92.7%	66.9%
Mexico	Acayucan	2017	80.0%	92.2%	68.4%
Mexico	Acolman	2000	83.3%	84.1%	82.7%
Mexico	Acolman	2017	83.3%	84.3%	82.5%
Mexico	Aconchi	2000	89.9%	92.2%	87.0%
Mexico	Aconchi	2017	90.8%	92.8%	88.5%
Mexico	Acteopan	2000	14.1%	14.6%	13.6%
Mexico	Acteopan	2017	18.0%	18.6%	17.4%
Mexico	Actopan	2000	65.6%	88.1%	33.7%
Mexico	Actopan	2000	71.3%	81.9%	60.2%
Mexico	Actopan	2017	66.9%	89.2%	36.9%
Mexico	Actopan	2017	73.0%	83.0%	62.2%
Mexico	Acuamanala De Miguel Hidalgo	2000	71.6%	72.4%	70.8%
Mexico	Acuamanala De Miguel Hidalgo	2017	73.8%	74.6%	73.0%
Mexico	Acuitzio	2000	61.6%	75.0%	46.0%
Mexico	Acuitzio	2017	62.5%	75.2%	48.3%
Mexico	Acula	2000	49.4%	71.3%	29.0%
Mexico	Acula	2017	51.0%	68.7%	34.3%
Mexico	Aculco	2000	30.5%	55.1%	12.7%
Mexico	Aculco	2017	31.3%	56.1%	13.4%
Mexico	Acultzingo	2000	13.3%	14.3%	12.4%
Mexico	Acultzingo	2017	16.0%	17.0%	15.1%
Mexico	Acuna	2000	73.1%	87.3%	53.9%
Mexico	Acuna	2017	73.9%	88.5%	51.5%
Mexico	Agua Blanca De Iturbide	2000	26.4%	38.9%	16.4%
Mexico	Agua Blanca De Iturbide	2017	27.9%	39.9%	17.9%
Mexico	Agua Dulce	2000	77.8%	91.2%	54.9%
Mexico	Agua Dulce	2017	79.3%	93.1%	52.6%
Mexico	Agua Prieta	2000	92.4%	97.1%	79.2%
Mexico	Agua Prieta	2017	93.1%	97.5%	80.6%
Mexico	Agualeguas	2000	64.5%	94.3%	22.5%
Mexico	Agualeguas	2017	65.6%	94.5%	23.7%
Mexico	Aguascalientes	2000	93.8%	98.1%	84.0%
Mexico	Aguascalientes	2017	94.2%	98.3%	84.8%
Mexico	Aguililla	2000	50.7%	90.3%	11.4%
Mexico	Aguililla	2017	51.7%	90.7%	12.3%
Mexico	Ahome	2000	80.5%	93.6%	63.2%
Mexico	Ahome	2017	81.5%	93.9%	64.7%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2000	6.6%	7.1%	6.2%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2000	66.2%	89.1%	40.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2017	7.2%	7.8%	6.7%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2017	67.7%	89.1%	43.1%
Mexico	Ahuacuotzingo	2000	25.6%	53.9%	5.8%
Mexico	Ahuacuotzingo	2017	25.5%	53.1%	7.1%
Mexico	Ahualulco	2000	36.2%	66.6%	13.0%
Mexico	Ahualulco	2017	37.4%	67.4%	12.9%
Mexico	Ahualulco De Mercado	2000	92.0%	96.6%	83.3%
Mexico	Ahualulco De Mercado	2017	92.3%	96.5%	84.9%
Mexico	Ahuatlan	2000	21.3%	35.5%	13.7%
Mexico	Ahuatlan	2017	22.9%	36.8%	14.4%
Mexico	Ahuazotepic	2000	31.6%	39.3%	25.6%
Mexico	Ahuazotepic	2017	31.9%	36.5%	28.2%
Mexico	Ahuehuetitla	2000	50.9%	61.5%	39.9%
Mexico	Ahuehuetitla	2017	50.3%	56.4%	43.6%
Mexico	Ahumada	2000	71.7%	78.9%	63.8%
Mexico	Ahumada	2017	72.7%	80.1%	63.8%
Mexico	Ajacuba	2000	61.1%	71.7%	50.9%
Mexico	Ajacuba	2017	63.4%	72.2%	55.0%
Mexico	Ajalpan	2000	15.7%	26.0%	9.9%
Mexico	Ajalpan	2017	19.4%	33.7%	10.2%
Mexico	Ajuchitlan	2000	37.6%	78.7%	7.6%
Mexico	Ajuchitlan Del Progreso	2017	38.0%	77.2%	8.1%
Mexico	Akil	2000	39.3%	41.2%	37.6%
Mexico	Akil	2017	40.7%	42.8%	38.8%
Mexico	Alamos	2000	44.8%	69.4%	23.5%
Mexico	Alamos	2017	47.5%	70.2%	25.8%
Mexico	Alaquines	2000	26.7%	52.0%	9.1%
Mexico	Alaquines	2017	29.4%	59.3%	9.6%
Mexico	Albino Zertuche	2000	45.8%	64.4%	27.8%
Mexico	Albino Zertuche	2017	47.7%	60.9%	35.1%
Mexico	Alcozauca De Guerrero	2000	4.2%	14.5%	1.4%
Mexico	Alcozauca De Guerrero	2017	4.6%	17.5%	1.4%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.7%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	83.4%	93.6%	66.5%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	46.2%	75.2%	18.8%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	4.3%	5.7%	3.5%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	83.1%	94.7%	61.4%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	47.4%	77.9%	16.7%
Mexico	Alfajayucan	2000	41.7%	68.8%	18.4%
Mexico	Alfajayucan	2017	44.1%	70.6%	20.9%
Mexico	Aljojuca	2000	35.1%	41.2%	29.1%
Mexico	Aljojuca	2017	36.7%	42.4%	31.4%
Mexico	Allende	2000	64.7%	76.3%	50.6%
Mexico	Allende	2000	74.6%	97.0%	33.5%
Mexico	Allende	2000	75.0%	89.1%	57.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Allende	2017	76.8%	88.3%	62.3%
Mexico	Allende	2017	75.3%	97.1%	34.5%
Mexico	Allende	2017	66.4%	76.8%	55.0%
Mexico	Almoleya	2000	67.9%	81.5%	55.4%
Mexico	Almoleya	2017	68.8%	83.3%	51.1%
Mexico	Almoleya De Alquisiras	2000	40.7%	53.5%	28.4%
Mexico	Almoleya De Alquisiras	2017	42.9%	57.7%	29.1%
Mexico	Almoleya De Juarez	2000	26.5%	47.0%	12.8%
Mexico	Almoleya De Juarez	2017	28.0%	47.9%	14.5%
Mexico	Almoleya Del Rio	2000	91.2%	91.4%	90.9%
Mexico	Almoleya Del Rio	2017	92.4%	92.6%	92.2%
Mexico	Alpatlahuac	2000	20.4%	21.8%	19.0%
Mexico	Alpatlahuac	2017	23.0%	26.2%	20.2%
Mexico	Alpoyeca	2000	32.5%	46.8%	19.7%
Mexico	Alpoyeca	2017	32.3%	47.0%	17.8%
Mexico	Altamira	2000	78.5%	90.7%	58.5%
Mexico	Altamira	2017	76.8%	90.1%	55.9%
Mexico	Altamirano	2000	35.7%	68.3%	8.5%
Mexico	Altamirano	2017	36.5%	68.6%	9.0%
Mexico	Altar	2000	68.0%	79.4%	51.4%
Mexico	Altar	2017	71.3%	76.3%	65.5%
Mexico	Altepexi	2000	44.2%	50.5%	37.5%
Mexico	Altepexi	2017	45.0%	54.9%	36.2%
Mexico	Alto Lucero De Gutierrez Barrios	2000	60.9%	88.7%	31.3%
Mexico	Alto Lucero De Gutierrez Barrios	2017	63.1%	89.2%	34.0%
Mexico	Altotonga	2000	27.2%	36.0%	22.3%
Mexico	Altotonga	2017	29.6%	38.1%	24.9%
Mexico	Altzayanca	2000	60.2%	72.2%	46.9%
Mexico	Altzayanca	2017	62.0%	72.9%	49.6%
Mexico	Alvarado	2000	68.2%	93.3%	29.3%
Mexico	Alvarado	2017	67.8%	93.9%	27.1%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2000	94.2%	94.4%	94.1%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2000	55.2%	73.1%	35.3%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2017	94.8%	94.9%	94.7%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2017	56.6%	75.5%	35.6%
Mexico	Amacueca	2000	90.1%	91.4%	88.6%
Mexico	Amacueca	2017	90.6%	91.7%	88.9%
Mexico	Amacuzac	2000	71.9%	76.8%	65.6%
Mexico	Amacuzac	2017	72.9%	77.3%	67.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Amanalco	2000	23.7%	43.5%	9.0%
Mexico	Amanalco	2017	24.0%	42.9%	10.1%
Mexico	Amatan	2000	13.1%	24.1%	4.8%
Mexico	Amatan	2017	14.1%	26.9%	5.9%
Mexico	Amatenango De La Frontera	2000	34.2%	59.5%	13.9%
Mexico	Amatenango De La Frontera	2017	35.7%	62.7%	14.5%
Mexico	Amatenango Del Valle	2000	20.8%	33.3%	14.6%
Mexico	Amatenango Del Valle	2017	21.9%	36.0%	15.2%
Mexico	Amatepec	2000	29.1%	58.8%	7.4%
Mexico	Amatepec	2017	30.3%	59.5%	8.4%
Mexico	Amatitan	2000	87.3%	92.0%	81.3%
Mexico	Amatitan	2017	83.8%	91.9%	72.6%
Mexico	Amatitlan	2000	49.1%	70.1%	28.5%
Mexico	Amatitlan	2017	52.8%	70.4%	34.4%
Mexico	Amatlan De Canas	2000	67.8%	89.0%	41.2%
Mexico	Amatlan De Canas	2017	68.9%	89.8%	40.5%
Mexico	Amatlan De Los Reyes	2000	52.1%	55.0%	49.2%
Mexico	Amatlan De Los Reyes	2017	53.0%	58.6%	48.0%
Mexico	Amaxac De Guerrero	2000	73.7%	74.3%	73.3%
Mexico	Amaxac De Guerrero	2017	75.9%	76.5%	75.5%
Mexico	Amealco De Bonfil	2000	38.9%	69.2%	14.9%
Mexico	Amealco De Bonfil	2017	40.3%	70.6%	15.8%
Mexico	Ameca	2000	80.2%	93.3%	59.4%
Mexico	Ameca	2017	81.5%	93.6%	63.6%
Mexico	Amecameca	2000	72.5%	73.4%	71.8%
Mexico	Amecameca	2017	73.1%	74.3%	72.1%
Mexico	Amixtlan	2000	11.0%	11.4%	10.6%
Mexico	Amixtlan	2017	11.4%	11.8%	11.0%
Mexico	Amozoc	2000	73.2%	75.6%	70.7%
Mexico	Amozoc	2017	71.0%	74.9%	66.4%
Mexico	Anahuac	2000	66.9%	90.9%	28.7%
Mexico	Anahuac	2017	67.0%	92.4%	25.6%
Mexico	Angamacutiro	2000	53.8%	73.8%	36.5%
Mexico	Angamacutiro	2017	55.8%	73.9%	39.8%
Mexico	Angangueo	2000	19.9%	24.6%	17.8%
Mexico	Angangueo	2017	21.4%	26.3%	19.3%
Mexico	Angel Albino Corzo	2000	46.1%	72.2%	15.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Angel Albino Corzo	2017	47.2%	72.9%	17.2%
Mexico	Angel R. Cabada	2000	68.8%	84.4%	48.5%
Mexico	Angel R. Cabada	2017	70.5%	85.1%	49.8%
Mexico	Angostura	2000	65.0%	95.6%	24.3%
Mexico	Angostura	2017	65.1%	96.4%	25.0%
Mexico	Animas Trujano	2000	31.7%	32.5%	30.9%
Mexico	Animas Trujano	2017	33.3%	34.2%	32.5%
Mexico	Antiguo Morelos	2000	41.0%	66.1%	18.9%
Mexico	Antiguo Morelos	2017	42.0%	65.6%	20.8%
Mexico	Apan	2000	85.1%	89.7%	79.2%
Mexico	Apan	2017	82.7%	91.0%	70.2%
Mexico	Apaseo El Alto	2000	61.4%	82.5%	37.8%
Mexico	Apaseo El Alto	2017	62.5%	82.2%	41.0%
Mexico	Apaseo El Grande	2000	74.5%	92.2%	43.1%
Mexico	Apaseo El Grande	2017	76.6%	93.2%	49.1%
Mexico	Apatzingan	2000	63.2%	79.4%	43.1%
Mexico	Apatzingan	2017	63.8%	81.9%	42.3%
Mexico	Apaxco	2000	80.8%	82.2%	79.2%
Mexico	Apaxco	2017	81.1%	83.0%	78.7%
Mexico	Apaxtla	2000	39.2%	72.3%	11.4%
Mexico	Apaxtla	2017	39.2%	70.6%	11.0%
Mexico	Apazapan	2000	58.1%	75.6%	34.0%
Mexico	Apazapan	2017	60.8%	76.6%	37.0%
Mexico	Apetatitlan De Antonio Carvajal	2000	81.5%	81.9%	81.2%
Mexico	Apetatitlan De Antonio Carvajal	2017	80.7%	81.1%	80.3%
Mexico	Apizaco	2000	81.0%	81.4%	80.5%
Mexico	Apizaco	2017	83.4%	83.9%	83.0%
Mexico	Apodaca	2000	94.0%	97.1%	86.9%
Mexico	Apodaca	2017	93.1%	96.7%	85.9%
Mexico	Aporo	2000	43.4%	49.8%	37.3%
Mexico	Aporo	2017	42.2%	44.5%	39.6%
Mexico	Apozol	2000	81.4%	92.1%	65.5%
Mexico	Apozol	2017	82.7%	92.5%	66.8%
Mexico	Apulco	2000	63.2%	87.4%	32.3%
Mexico	Apulco	2017	64.6%	90.4%	30.6%
Mexico	Aquila	2000	27.2%	59.1%	4.9%
Mexico	Aquila	2000	4.7%	5.4%	4.0%
Mexico	Aquila	2017	7.0%	8.0%	6.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Aquila	2017	28.4%	60.3%	5.1%
Mexico	Aquiles Serdan	2000	82.6%	90.2%	70.1%
Mexico	Aquiles Serdan	2017	80.4%	90.1%	64.9%
Mexico	Aquismon	2000	33.2%	58.6%	11.7%
Mexico	Aquismon	2017	32.8%	57.3%	12.1%
Mexico	Aquixtla	2000	33.9%	50.6%	18.7%
Mexico	Aquixtla	2017	35.1%	49.2%	21.0%
Mexico	Aramberri	2000	42.5%	71.7%	15.0%
Mexico	Aramberri	2017	43.5%	72.6%	16.0%
Mexico	Arandas	2000	77.1%	95.6%	50.9%
Mexico	Arandas	2017	78.8%	95.2%	55.3%
Mexico	Arcelia	2000	37.3%	73.4%	8.1%
Mexico	Arcelia	2017	38.5%	74.0%	9.5%
Mexico	Ario	2000	50.6%	73.5%	27.5%
Mexico	Ario	2017	51.1%	78.8%	23.4%
Mexico	Arivechi	2000	73.9%	96.4%	35.7%
Mexico	Arivechi	2017	74.2%	95.7%	35.1%
Mexico	Arizpe	2000	77.9%	95.3%	39.3%
Mexico	Arizpe	2017	81.6%	95.2%	52.7%
Mexico	Armadillo De Los Infante	2000	38.5%	75.6%	13.3%
Mexico	Armadillo De Los Infante	2017	39.4%	73.4%	14.2%
Mexico	Armeria	2000	85.8%	96.9%	62.5%
Mexico	Armeria	2017	86.7%	97.1%	67.0%
Mexico	Arriaga	2000	76.6%	94.1%	47.6%
Mexico	Arriaga	2017	77.7%	94.5%	49.5%
Mexico	Arroyo Seco	2000	38.4%	67.2%	12.3%
Mexico	Arroyo Seco	2017	40.1%	66.6%	15.6%
Mexico	Arteaga	2000	35.7%	73.2%	7.0%
Mexico	Arteaga	2000	69.9%	87.4%	47.6%
Mexico	Arteaga	2017	71.5%	88.4%	49.7%
Mexico	Arteaga	2017	36.3%	72.7%	7.9%
Mexico	Ascension	2000	68.8%	80.5%	55.3%
Mexico	Ascension	2017	70.8%	80.0%	58.3%
Mexico	Asientos	2000	71.4%	91.6%	43.0%
Mexico	Asientos	2017	72.9%	92.0%	45.5%
Mexico	Astacinga	2000	3.1%	3.5%	2.8%
Mexico	Astacinga	2017	3.3%	3.8%	2.9%
Mexico	Asuncion Cacalotepec	2000	2.0%	2.5%	1.6%
Mexico	Asuncion Cacalotepec	2017	2.1%	2.6%	1.7%
Mexico	Asuncion Cuyotepeji	2000	11.0%	14.2%	8.7%
Mexico	Asuncion Cuyotepeji	2017	11.4%	14.6%	9.2%
Mexico	Asuncion Ixtaltepec	2000	78.8%	92.8%	56.9%
Mexico	Asuncion Ixtaltepec	2017	79.3%	93.1%	56.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Asuncion Nochixtlan	2000	42.2%	43.7%	40.9%
Mexico	Asuncion Nochixtlan	2017	36.4%	38.3%	35.0%
Mexico	Asuncion Ocotlan	2000	17.3%	18.1%	16.6%
Mexico	Asuncion Ocotlan	2017	18.4%	19.3%	17.7%
Mexico	Asuncion Tlacolulita	2000	43.0%	71.1%	21.2%
Mexico	Asuncion Tlacolulita	2017	44.6%	78.7%	17.4%
Mexico	Atarjea	2000	14.7%	31.2%	2.6%
Mexico	Atarjea	2017	16.5%	37.3%	2.8%
Mexico	Atemajac De Brizuela	2000	78.9%	87.1%	69.4%
Mexico	Atemajac De Brizuela	2017	80.2%	87.7%	71.8%
Mexico	Atempan	2000	30.3%	31.7%	28.9%
Mexico	Atempan	2017	34.3%	35.7%	32.8%
Mexico	Atenango Del Rio	2000	34.0%	78.4%	6.2%
Mexico	Atenango Del Rio	2017	34.8%	79.3%	5.9%
Mexico	Atenco	2000	90.6%	91.1%	90.1%
Mexico	Atenco	2017	91.4%	91.8%	91.1%
Mexico	Atengo	2000	52.3%	76.8%	30.0%
Mexico	Atengo	2017	52.9%	77.5%	31.6%
Mexico	Atenguillo	2000	63.2%	91.0%	30.4%
Mexico	Atenguillo	2017	64.4%	90.9%	31.9%
Mexico	Atexcal	2000	21.5%	42.1%	7.2%
Mexico	Atexcal	2017	22.2%	42.2%	7.6%
Mexico	Atil	2000	62.1%	75.9%	45.8%
Mexico	Atil	2017	64.1%	78.3%	46.5%
Mexico	Atitalaquia	2000	81.8%	82.6%	80.9%
Mexico	Atitalaquia	2017	84.3%	85.3%	82.9%
Mexico	Atizapan	2000	81.1%	81.7%	80.4%
Mexico	Atizapan	2017	85.6%	86.1%	85.1%
Mexico	Atizapan De Zaragoza	2000	93.0%	93.3%	92.8%
Mexico	Atizapan De Zaragoza	2017	93.3%	93.5%	93.0%
Mexico	Atlacomulco	2000	49.8%	71.4%	29.2%
Mexico	Atlacomulco	2017	51.6%	73.3%	30.0%
Mexico	Atlahuilco	2000	4.2%	4.5%	3.8%
Mexico	Atlahuilco	2017	4.7%	5.1%	4.4%
Mexico	Atlamajalcingo Del Monte	2000	0.6%	2.0%	0.2%
Mexico	Atlamajalcingo Del Monte	2017	0.8%	2.4%	0.2%
Mexico	Atlangatepec	2000	52.8%	66.3%	38.8%
Mexico	Atlangatepec	2017	54.1%	67.0%	39.5%
Mexico	Atlapexco	2000	10.7%	17.2%	6.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Atlapexco	2017	11.4%	18.8%	7.2%
Mexico	Atlatlahucan	2000	51.2%	52.0%	50.5%
Mexico	Atlatlahucan	2017	54.7%	55.5%	53.9%
Mexico	Atlautla	2000	45.9%	46.5%	45.2%
Mexico	Atlautla	2017	44.1%	44.8%	43.5%
Mexico	Atlequizayan	2000	16.3%	16.8%	15.7%
Mexico	Atlequizayan	2017	17.3%	17.9%	16.6%
Mexico	Atlixco	2000	67.3%	76.3%	57.5%
Mexico	Atlixco	2017	68.1%	75.4%	59.9%
Mexico	Atlixnac	2000	18.5%	40.7%	3.0%
Mexico	Atlixnac	2017	18.5%	40.2%	3.2%
Mexico	Atolinga	2000	55.4%	75.9%	33.7%
Mexico	Atolinga	2017	58.4%	78.5%	35.6%
Mexico	Atotonilco De Tula	2000	81.3%	83.5%	78.4%
Mexico	Atotonilco De Tula	2017	82.1%	84.2%	79.3%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Alto	2000	76.5%	91.4%	56.8%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Alto	2017	77.3%	91.4%	58.1%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Grande	2000	38.2%	63.1%	16.6%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Grande	2017	39.2%	61.8%	19.1%
Mexico	Atoyac	2000	50.9%	58.4%	43.2%
Mexico	Atoyac	2000	76.0%	83.8%	66.0%
Mexico	Atoyac	2017	53.1%	60.6%	45.5%
Mexico	Atoyac	2017	77.9%	84.3%	69.4%
Mexico	Atoyac De Alvarez	2000	45.5%	74.4%	18.0%
Mexico	Atoyac De Alvarez	2017	45.7%	76.4%	17.4%
Mexico	Atoyatempan	2000	47.7%	49.3%	45.9%
Mexico	Atoyatempan	2017	49.7%	51.3%	48.0%
Mexico	Atzacan	2000	78.2%	78.8%	77.6%
Mexico	Atzacan	2017	82.1%	82.6%	81.4%
Mexico	Atzala	2000	51.2%	54.8%	47.3%
Mexico	Atzala	2017	53.3%	58.0%	48.5%
Mexico	Atzalan	2000	43.8%	60.4%	27.0%
Mexico	Atzalan	2017	44.8%	60.6%	28.6%
Mexico	Atzitzihuacan	2000	46.2%	47.2%	43.6%
Mexico	Atzitzihuacan	2017	45.5%	46.6%	42.5%
Mexico	Atzitzintla	2000	27.0%	34.4%	21.2%
Mexico	Atzitzintla	2017	29.2%	37.8%	22.6%
Mexico	Autlan De Navarro	2000	87.5%	95.2%	75.3%
Mexico	Autlan De Navarro	2017	88.5%	95.5%	77.9%
Mexico	Axapusco	2000	73.4%	80.3%	62.7%
Mexico	Axapusco	2017	74.0%	80.5%	63.7%
Mexico	Axochiapan	2000	45.8%	63.5%	29.3%
Mexico	Axochiapan	2017	48.4%	62.0%	35.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Axtla De Terrazas	2000	21.4%	32.3%	11.0%
Mexico	Axtla De Terrazas	2017	22.0%	31.8%	12.2%
Mexico	Axutla	2000	48.8%	60.5%	36.1%
Mexico	Axutla	2017	50.7%	62.3%	38.8%
Mexico	Ayahualulco	2000	24.4%	41.1%	15.2%
Mexico	Ayahualulco	2017	25.3%	43.0%	14.7%
Mexico	Ayala	2000	69.9%	82.6%	56.0%
Mexico	Ayala	2017	70.7%	83.2%	56.8%
Mexico	Ayapango	2000	77.9%	78.4%	77.3%
Mexico	Ayapango	2017	83.0%	83.6%	82.4%
Mexico	Ayoquezco De Aldama	2000	13.5%	14.6%	12.4%
Mexico	Ayoquezco De Aldama	2017	14.2%	15.3%	13.1%
Mexico	Ayotlan	2000	64.8%	93.7%	29.1%
Mexico	Ayotlan	2017	68.5%	93.5%	36.9%
Mexico	Ayotoxco De Guerrero	2000	18.9%	29.1%	11.6%
Mexico	Ayotoxco De Guerrero	2017	20.2%	32.3%	12.0%
Mexico	Ayotzintepic	2000	24.8%	47.5%	6.7%
Mexico	Ayotzintepic	2017	22.5%	45.6%	6.8%
Mexico	Ayutla	2000	58.7%	80.1%	32.8%
Mexico	Ayutla	2017	59.2%	84.7%	28.6%
Mexico	Ayutla De Los Libres	2000	17.2%	39.8%	4.1%
Mexico	Ayutla De Los Libres	2017	16.9%	37.3%	4.2%
Mexico	Azcapotzalco	2000	97.6%	97.7%	97.6%
Mexico	Azcapotzalco	2017	97.8%	97.9%	97.8%
Mexico	Azoyu	2000	20.2%	62.7%	1.5%
Mexico	Azoyu	2017	21.1%	64.9%	1.9%
Mexico	Baca	2000	26.4%	28.1%	24.7%
Mexico	Baca	2017	28.5%	30.5%	26.6%
Mexico	Bacadehuachi	2000	76.7%	95.1%	41.2%
Mexico	Bacadehuachi	2017	77.6%	95.4%	43.2%
Mexico	Bacanora	2000	77.8%	95.8%	38.7%
Mexico	Bacanora	2017	78.4%	95.4%	39.1%
Mexico	Bacerac	2000	57.6%	86.5%	11.6%
Mexico	Bacerac	2017	60.2%	89.1%	12.5%
Mexico	Bachiniva	2000	55.1%	85.8%	20.3%
Mexico	Bachiniva	2017	56.7%	85.9%	22.7%
Mexico	Bacoachi	2000	80.0%	94.5%	47.4%
Mexico	Bacoachi	2017	85.4%	91.9%	77.5%
Mexico	Bacum	2000	52.9%	76.5%	27.6%
Mexico	Bacum	2017	53.3%	75.6%	29.0%
Mexico	Badiraguato	2000	32.7%	54.9%	13.7%
Mexico	Badiraguato	2017	34.3%	56.1%	14.8%
Mexico	Bahia De Banderas	2000	73.6%	94.2%	45.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Bahia De Banderas	2017	74.2%	94.7%	44.3%
Mexico	Balancan	2000	65.9%	86.7%	44.2%
Mexico	Balancan	2017	66.4%	86.8%	44.2%
Mexico	Balleza	2000	23.2%	46.7%	7.4%
Mexico	Balleza	2017	24.8%	49.7%	8.6%
Mexico	Banamichi	2000	88.9%	90.6%	86.9%
Mexico	Banamichi	2017	89.3%	92.2%	85.7%
Mexico	Banderilla	2000	89.9%	90.2%	89.6%
Mexico	Banderilla	2017	90.9%	91.2%	90.6%
Mexico	Batopilas	2000	19.7%	42.3%	4.3%
Mexico	Batopilas	2017	21.1%	42.2%	5.2%
Mexico	Baviacora	2000	81.5%	94.1%	63.8%
Mexico	Baviacora	2017	81.4%	95.3%	59.7%
Mexico	Bavispe	2000	76.5%	99.0%	20.7%
Mexico	Bavispe	2017	77.2%	98.7%	29.6%
Mexico	Bejucal De Ocampo	2000	26.9%	40.7%	20.3%
Mexico	Bejucal De Ocampo	2017	26.9%	40.7%	19.3%
Mexico	Bella Vista	2000	44.4%	68.1%	23.5%
Mexico	Bella Vista	2017	46.8%	69.7%	26.3%
Mexico	Benemerito De Las Americas	2000	50.9%	89.6%	2.2%
Mexico	Benemerito De Las Americas	2017	49.2%	89.0%	2.5%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	98.6%	98.7%	98.6%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	92.6%	97.7%	82.3%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	51.7%	73.3%	26.5%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	6.4%	15.9%	2.1%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	53.4%	84.0%	21.9%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	86.6%	90.7%	79.8%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	52.0%	78.1%	24.8%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	52.8%	70.2%	32.4%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	93.4%	98.0%	83.4%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	56.1%	80.3%	33.5%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	6.9%	17.1%	2.1%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	98.8%	98.8%	98.8%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	90.5%	91.8%	88.9%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	51.8%	78.7%	26.0%
Mexico	Benjamin Hill	2000	83.9%	95.0%	62.8%
Mexico	Benjamin Hill	2017	88.8%	95.2%	78.2%
Mexico	Berriozabal	2000	67.8%	81.7%	51.7%
Mexico	Berriozabal	2017	68.0%	78.5%	54.8%
Mexico	Boca Del Rio	2000	87.3%	90.3%	82.7%
Mexico	Boca Del Rio	2017	87.1%	90.1%	82.6%
Mexico	Bochil	2000	47.3%	58.3%	36.4%
Mexico	Bochil	2017	49.4%	59.0%	39.6%
Mexico	Bocoyna	2000	34.8%	54.6%	18.2%
Mexico	Bocoyna	2017	35.6%	53.6%	21.1%
Mexico	Bokoba	2000	11.4%	22.7%	6.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Bokoba	2017	9.7%	17.2%	6.6%
Mexico	Bolanos	2000	51.7%	89.6%	13.6%
Mexico	Bolanos	2017	52.3%	89.4%	13.8%
Mexico	Brisenas	2000	85.6%	86.7%	84.1%
Mexico	Brisenas	2017	86.4%	87.4%	85.3%
Mexico	Buctzotz	2000	25.4%	49.5%	9.0%
Mexico	Buctzotz	2017	29.6%	57.8%	10.8%
Mexico	Buenaventura	2000	60.8%	79.2%	36.4%
Mexico	Buenaventura	2017	61.9%	80.1%	38.9%
Mexico	Buenavista	2000	58.0%	85.6%	27.0%
Mexico	Buenavista	2017	57.6%	88.6%	23.8%
Mexico	Buenavista De Cuellar	2000	73.1%	87.1%	46.2%
Mexico	Buenavista De Cuellar	2017	75.3%	86.7%	61.3%
Mexico	Burgos	2000	28.8%	55.1%	9.4%
Mexico	Burgos	2017	29.3%	56.4%	10.3%
Mexico	Bustamante	2000	68.5%	85.9%	45.3%
Mexico	Bustamante	2000	19.0%	50.6%	2.7%
Mexico	Bustamante	2017	69.8%	85.1%	50.2%
Mexico	Bustamante	2017	20.2%	52.4%	2.8%
Mexico	Cabo Corrientes	2000	53.4%	88.0%	13.3%
Mexico	Cabo Corrientes	2017	54.4%	88.8%	14.1%
Mexico	Caborca	2000	70.8%	81.7%	57.4%
Mexico	Caborca	2017	72.6%	82.6%	60.9%
Mexico	Cacahoatan	2000	61.4%	70.2%	49.4%
Mexico	Cacahoatan	2017	63.0%	71.2%	51.8%
Mexico	Cacalchen	2000	17.9%	22.3%	14.1%
Mexico	Cacalchen	2017	19.0%	23.4%	15.1%
Mexico	Cadereyta De Montes	2000	42.8%	72.1%	16.0%
Mexico	Cadereyta De Montes	2017	44.0%	72.7%	17.3%
Mexico	Cadereyta Jimenez	2000	75.0%	87.6%	59.2%
Mexico	Cadereyta Jimenez	2017	72.5%	87.4%	54.7%
Mexico	Cajeme	2000	86.6%	92.5%	77.6%
Mexico	Cajeme	2017	86.9%	92.9%	77.5%
Mexico	Calakmul	2000	29.0%	48.9%	11.4%
Mexico	Calakmul	2017	28.8%	46.3%	11.5%
Mexico	Calchualco	2000	9.1%	14.5%	5.8%
Mexico	Calchualco	2017	12.2%	20.1%	6.7%
Mexico	Calera	2000	87.4%	92.4%	79.5%
Mexico	Calera	2017	87.4%	92.7%	78.2%
Mexico	Calihuala	2000	6.6%	7.5%	5.8%
Mexico	Calihuala	2017	6.1%	7.2%	5.1%
Mexico	Calimaya	2000	78.0%	89.5%	60.6%
Mexico	Calimaya	2017	80.0%	89.5%	67.1%
Mexico	Calkini	2000	41.6%	70.9%	17.6%
Mexico	Calkini	2017	42.5%	71.1%	19.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Calnali	2000	28.0%	43.6%	13.2%
Mexico	Calnali	2017	29.7%	46.2%	13.8%
Mexico	Calotmul	2000	13.7%	29.7%	4.2%
Mexico	Calotmul	2017	14.3%	31.8%	4.2%
Mexico	Calpan	2000	49.8%	51.2%	48.5%
Mexico	Calpan	2017	53.1%	54.6%	51.8%
Mexico	Calpulalpan	2000	92.6%	95.7%	88.2%
Mexico	Calpulalpan	2017	92.4%	96.0%	87.3%
Mexico	Caltepec	2000	35.1%	64.3%	13.3%
Mexico	Caltepec	2017	36.3%	65.8%	12.9%
Mexico	Calvillo	2000	81.4%	92.7%	65.4%
Mexico	Calvillo	2017	81.6%	93.0%	66.0%
Mexico	Camargo	2000	87.6%	94.4%	77.0%
Mexico	Camargo	2000	70.7%	94.0%	32.4%
Mexico	Camargo	2017	88.2%	93.6%	79.4%
Mexico	Camargo	2017	69.6%	95.5%	26.1%
Mexico	Camaron De Tejada	2000	24.4%	50.2%	9.9%
Mexico	Camaron De Tejada	2017	25.1%	50.4%	10.6%
Mexico	Camerino Z. Mendoza	2000	72.4%	73.0%	71.9%
Mexico	Camerino Z. Mendoza	2017	74.3%	74.8%	73.8%
Mexico	Camocuautila	2000	21.8%	22.7%	20.7%
Mexico	Camocuautila	2017	23.2%	24.3%	22.1%
Mexico	Campeche	2000	81.6%	94.1%	60.4%
Mexico	Campeche	2017	82.1%	94.8%	61.8%
Mexico	Canada Morelos	2000	17.9%	31.4%	8.3%
Mexico	Canada Morelos	2017	18.1%	31.0%	8.5%
Mexico	Canadas De Obregon	2000	62.4%	84.5%	34.6%
Mexico	Canadas De Obregon	2017	63.9%	86.1%	35.5%
Mexico	Cananea	2000	96.1%	97.9%	92.3%
Mexico	Cananea	2017	95.9%	98.1%	89.6%
Mexico	Canatlan	2000	48.6%	73.2%	24.9%
Mexico	Canatlan	2017	50.3%	74.1%	28.7%
Mexico	Candela	2000	47.6%	82.6%	17.5%
Mexico	Candela	2017	48.8%	75.9%	24.6%
Mexico	Candelaria	2000	39.4%	61.9%	19.2%
Mexico	Candelaria	2017	39.3%	62.5%	19.5%
Mexico	Candelaria	2000	7.4%	15.5%	4.5%
Mexico	Loxicha	2017	7.7%	16.6%	4.4%
Mexico	Canelas	2000	33.0%	69.2%	5.6%
Mexico	Canelas	2017	34.3%	71.2%	6.1%
Mexico	Canitas De Felipe Pescador	2000	57.5%	79.7%	36.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Canitas De Felipe Pescador	2017	58.9%	81.7%	36.9%
Mexico	Cansahcab	2000	31.6%	34.0%	29.5%
Mexico	Cansahcab	2017	32.9%	35.6%	30.3%
Mexico	Cantamayec	2000	4.7%	15.2%	0.7%
Mexico	Cantamayec	2017	5.6%	17.1%	0.8%
Mexico	Capulalpam De Mendez	2000	76.6%	77.8%	75.3%
Mexico	Capulalpam De Mendez	2017	77.9%	78.8%	76.7%
Mexico	Capulhuac	2000	63.7%	64.6%	62.9%
Mexico	Capulhuac	2017	67.7%	68.6%	66.9%
Mexico	Caracuaro	2000	34.1%	71.9%	7.2%
Mexico	Caracuaro	2017	35.7%	74.2%	8.0%
Mexico	Carbo	2000	78.4%	90.4%	61.6%
Mexico	Carbo	2017	79.7%	90.5%	63.9%
Mexico	Cardenas	2000	52.6%	70.3%	32.2%
Mexico	Cardenas	2000	67.6%	94.3%	28.3%
Mexico	Cardenas	2017	48.0%	58.4%	36.1%
Mexico	Cardenas	2017	68.1%	94.5%	29.3%
Mexico	Cardonal	2000	30.5%	55.2%	9.3%
Mexico	Cardonal	2017	31.3%	55.1%	9.9%
Mexico	Carichi	2000	28.4%	55.0%	9.3%
Mexico	Carichi	2017	29.1%	52.1%	9.3%
Mexico	Carlos A. Carrillo	2000	78.7%	86.8%	67.0%
Mexico	Carlos A. Carrillo	2017	76.3%	81.8%	69.8%
Mexico	Carmen	2000	74.3%	78.9%	70.1%
Mexico	Carmen	2000	69.3%	81.9%	54.8%
Mexico	Carmen	2017	70.2%	82.6%	55.7%
Mexico	Carmen	2017	63.2%	72.7%	55.7%
Mexico	Carrillo Puerto	2000	34.8%	52.9%	21.4%
Mexico	Carrillo Puerto	2017	36.4%	53.0%	23.2%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2000	20.9%	49.7%	2.7%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2017	21.7%	53.3%	2.7%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2000	59.0%	72.9%	46.4%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2017	59.8%	73.6%	46.7%
Mexico	Casimiro Castillo	2000	74.5%	91.3%	50.7%
Mexico	Casimiro Castillo	2017	76.1%	91.7%	54.3%
Mexico	Castanos	2000	64.0%	76.8%	49.5%
Mexico	Castanos	2017	64.9%	76.6%	52.7%
Mexico	Castillo De Teayo	2000	34.7%	60.3%	15.6%
Mexico	Castillo De Teayo	2017	36.7%	67.4%	15.5%
Mexico	Catazaja	2000	51.9%	82.9%	21.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Catazaja	2017	53.3%	83.4%	23.8%
Mexico	Catemaco	2000	58.8%	79.0%	39.0%
Mexico	Catemaco	2017	61.2%	80.8%	40.6%
Mexico	Catorce	2000	41.1%	73.4%	12.2%
Mexico	Catorce	2017	41.5%	72.6%	12.4%
Mexico	Caxhuacan	2000	10.1%	10.4%	9.8%
Mexico	Caxhuacan	2017	10.9%	11.3%	10.6%
Mexico	Cazones	2000	41.3%	69.0%	16.9%
Mexico	Cazones	2017	42.6%	69.5%	18.0%
Mexico	Cedral	2000	54.6%	78.7%	32.5%
Mexico	Cedral	2017	55.8%	79.5%	33.4%
Mexico	Celaya	2000	85.8%	95.2%	71.9%
Mexico	Celaya	2017	86.6%	95.2%	74.8%
Mexico	Celestun	2000	56.1%	99.5%	1.6%
Mexico	Celestun	2017	57.1%	99.5%	1.8%
Mexico	Cenotillo	2000	23.5%	50.0%	8.2%
Mexico	Cenotillo	2017	23.9%	43.4%	11.3%
Mexico	Centla	2000	69.2%	94.7%	35.9%
Mexico	Centla	2017	70.2%	95.0%	36.0%
Mexico	Centro	2000	85.2%	96.2%	66.5%
Mexico	Centro	2017	86.0%	96.1%	68.4%
Mexico	Cerralvo	2000	82.8%	90.3%	72.2%
Mexico	Cerralvo	2017	83.6%	94.3%	60.8%
Mexico	Cerritos	2000	50.1%	77.3%	21.7%
Mexico	Cerritos	2017	51.9%	79.6%	22.0%
Mexico	Cerro Azul	2000	47.9%	66.4%	30.0%
Mexico	Cerro Azul	2017	49.6%	67.8%	31.7%
Mexico	Cerro De San Pedro	2000	89.1%	96.0%	74.6%
Mexico	Cerro De San Pedro	2017	89.0%	95.7%	75.1%
Mexico	Chacaltianguis	2000	77.7%	91.9%	60.6%
Mexico	Chacaltianguis	2017	75.6%	91.8%	55.8%
Mexico	Chacsinkin	2000	20.5%	38.2%	11.0%
Mexico	Chacsinkin	2017	20.2%	25.5%	15.7%
Mexico	Chahuities	2000	75.3%	86.8%	59.1%
Mexico	Chahuities	2017	75.5%	82.9%	64.7%
Mexico	Chalcatongo	2000	8.2%	9.8%	7.1%
Mexico	Chalcatongo De Hidalgo	2017	10.9%	13.1%	9.6%
Mexico	Chalchicomula De Sesma	2000	38.3%	52.2%	26.8%
Mexico	Chalchicomula De Sesma	2017	40.1%	56.3%	26.9%
Mexico	Chalchihuitan	2000	4.4%	15.0%	0.6%
Mexico	Chalchihuitan	2017	4.0%	13.5%	0.6%
Mexico	Chalchihuites	2000	55.2%	79.9%	28.7%
Mexico	Chalchihuites	2017	56.4%	79.8%	31.2%
Mexico	Chalco	2000	87.0%	88.1%	85.6%
Mexico	Chalco	2017	86.8%	87.8%	85.4%
Mexico	Chalma	2000	33.9%	47.0%	22.4%
Mexico	Chalma	2017	34.3%	48.1%	21.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Champoton	2000	45.1%	81.0%	16.0%
Mexico	Champoton	2017	45.4%	81.0%	16.7%
Mexico	Chamula	2000	19.1%	31.5%	9.7%
Mexico	Chamula	2017	20.8%	31.9%	12.0%
Mexico	Chanal	2000	12.3%	35.3%	2.0%
Mexico	Chanal	2017	14.6%	42.1%	2.1%
Mexico	Chankom	2000	6.3%	21.5%	0.9%
Mexico	Chankom	2017	7.1%	24.2%	1.0%
Mexico	Chapa De Mota	2000	30.2%	52.7%	16.3%
Mexico	Chapa De Mota	2017	33.8%	56.5%	19.0%
Mexico	Chapab	2000	16.8%	22.3%	14.5%
Mexico	Chapab	2017	10.9%	16.5%	8.9%
Mexico	Chapala	2000	87.6%	97.2%	72.0%
Mexico	Chapala	2017	90.1%	97.8%	76.0%
Mexico	Chapantongo	2000	36.4%	63.6%	14.7%
Mexico	Chapantongo	2017	36.8%	64.4%	15.1%
Mexico	Chapulco	2000	26.4%	32.4%	21.4%
Mexico	Chapulco	2017	28.6%	34.9%	23.4%
Mexico	Chapulhuacan	2000	36.9%	60.0%	16.0%
Mexico	Chapulhuacan	2017	38.0%	59.4%	17.6%
Mexico	Chapultenango	2000	48.9%	65.3%	33.8%
Mexico	Chapultenango	2017	53.8%	73.5%	34.9%
Mexico	Chapultepec	2000	80.5%	81.4%	79.8%
Mexico	Chapultepec	2017	92.4%	92.7%	92.1%
Mexico	Charapan	2000	30.3%	54.5%	11.8%
Mexico	Charapan	2017	31.6%	56.3%	13.5%
Mexico	Charcas	2000	59.9%	78.5%	38.7%
Mexico	Charcas	2017	63.5%	79.5%	43.6%
Mexico	Charo	2000	53.3%	77.0%	31.9%
Mexico	Charo	2017	54.8%	77.5%	32.9%
Mexico	Chavinda	2000	66.4%	84.5%	48.1%
Mexico	Chavinda	2017	67.2%	86.4%	46.6%
Mexico	Chemax	2000	24.6%	60.1%	1.9%
Mexico	Chemax	2017	25.6%	60.8%	1.8%
Mexico	Chenalho	2000	7.2%	18.8%	3.0%
Mexico	Chenalho	2017	7.8%	20.0%	3.5%
Mexico	Cheran	2000	15.9%	27.7%	9.2%
Mexico	Cheran	2017	16.5%	28.7%	9.6%
Mexico	Chiapa De Corzo	2000	73.8%	89.1%	53.5%
Mexico	Chiapa De Corzo	2017	75.5%	91.2%	53.5%
Mexico	Chiapilla	2000	68.2%	73.3%	63.4%
Mexico	Chiapilla	2017	68.9%	75.1%	62.6%
Mexico	Chiautempan	2000	81.0%	81.4%	80.6%
Mexico	Chiautempan	2017	82.9%	83.3%	82.5%
Mexico	Chiautla	2000	89.1%	89.8%	88.6%
Mexico	Chiautla	2000	54.9%	74.1%	33.9%
Mexico	Chiautla	2017	88.5%	89.5%	87.5%
Mexico	Chiautla	2017	58.0%	73.8%	39.9%
Mexico	Chiautzingo	2000	37.9%	38.6%	37.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chiautzingo	2017	40.8%	41.6%	40.0%
Mexico	Chichimila	2000	27.6%	55.2%	6.3%
Mexico	Chichimila	2017	31.6%	61.9%	6.6%
Mexico	Chichiquila	2000	12.8%	14.9%	11.4%
Mexico	Chichiquila	2017	11.2%	13.0%	10.0%
Mexico	Chicoasen	2000	33.0%	41.5%	24.6%
Mexico	Chicoasen	2017	35.0%	42.3%	27.5%
Mexico	Chicoloapan	2000	79.2%	82.4%	76.0%
Mexico	Chicoloapan	2017	81.7%	83.7%	79.5%
Mexico	Chicomuselo	2000	53.4%	86.5%	19.5%
Mexico	Chicomuselo	2017	54.7%	86.8%	19.5%
Mexico	Chiconamel	2000	13.2%	22.9%	7.3%
Mexico	Chiconamel	2017	14.7%	26.9%	7.9%
Mexico	Chiconcuac	2000	92.2%	92.4%	91.9%
Mexico	Chiconcuac	2017	94.0%	94.2%	93.7%
Mexico	Chiconcuautla	2000	6.4%	10.8%	3.6%
Mexico	Chiconcuautla	2017	6.0%	10.2%	3.4%
Mexico	Chiconquiaco	2000	53.6%	63.5%	44.2%
Mexico	Chiconquiaco	2017	58.5%	68.7%	48.7%
Mexico	Chicontepec	2000	22.8%	50.2%	4.4%
Mexico	Chicontepec	2017	23.9%	51.4%	4.8%
Mexico	Chicxulub	2000	27.2%	28.3%	26.2%
Mexico	Pueblo Chicxulub	2017	28.8%	29.9%	27.7%
Mexico	Chietla	2000	54.6%	66.7%	40.7%
Mexico	Chietla	2017	55.9%	67.0%	42.7%
Mexico	Chigmecatitlan	2000	19.0%	23.7%	14.3%
Mexico	Chigmecatitlan	2017	16.0%	18.1%	13.6%
Mexico	Chignahuapan	2000	45.0%	74.6%	14.7%
Mexico	Chignahuapan	2017	45.8%	73.4%	17.4%
Mexico	Chignautla	2000	43.6%	45.1%	42.2%
Mexico	Chignautla	2017	51.9%	53.5%	50.5%
Mexico	Chihuahua	2000	95.7%	97.4%	93.1%
Mexico	Chihuahua	2017	95.6%	97.5%	93.0%
Mexico	Chikindzonot	2000	8.3%	24.6%	0.5%
Mexico	Chikindzonot	2017	9.2%	36.1%	0.4%
Mexico	Chila	2000	24.2%	32.1%	18.5%
Mexico	Chila	2017	20.6%	28.9%	14.9%
Mexico	Chila De La Sal	2000	37.0%	45.2%	29.7%
Mexico	Chila De La Sal	2017	42.1%	48.5%	35.8%
Mexico	Chilapa De Al- varez	2000	29.8%	67.3%	7.8%
Mexico	Chilapa De Al- varez	2017	31.2%	69.2%	8.7%
Mexico	Chilchota	2000	50.2%	58.9%	41.9%
Mexico	Chilchota	2017	49.9%	58.0%	41.7%
Mexico	Chilchotla	2000	12.9%	19.2%	10.5%
Mexico	Chilchotla	2017	14.0%	19.4%	11.9%
Mexico	Chilcuautla	2000	59.0%	71.6%	48.0%
Mexico	Chilcuautla	2017	63.3%	74.8%	52.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chilon	2000	33.8%	62.2%	11.5%
Mexico	Chilon	2017	34.3%	63.5%	11.3%
Mexico	Chilpancingo	2000	73.1%	86.5%	57.3%
Mexico	Chilpancingo De Los Bravo	2017	73.8%	87.7%	57.2%
Mexico	Chimalhuacan	2000	89.5%	89.7%	89.4%
Mexico	Chimalhuacan	2017	89.8%	90.0%	89.7%
Mexico	Chimaltitan	2000	47.4%	82.4%	16.5%
Mexico	Chimaltitan	2017	48.4%	82.4%	16.5%
Mexico	China	2000	65.9%	79.7%	48.6%
Mexico	China	2017	66.8%	80.4%	48.7%
Mexico	Chinameca	2000	35.5%	48.9%	27.5%
Mexico	Chinameca	2017	42.8%	52.1%	36.8%
Mexico	Chinampa De Gorostiza	2000	8.7%	11.2%	7.7%
Mexico	Chinampa De Gorostiza	2017	10.0%	12.4%	8.8%
Mexico	Chinantla	2000	34.8%	42.3%	27.9%
Mexico	Chinantla	2017	36.6%	42.6%	31.0%
Mexico	Chinicuila	2000	43.5%	74.5%	14.5%
Mexico	Chinicuila	2017	43.9%	71.5%	16.5%
Mexico	Chinipas	2000	25.1%	52.0%	3.8%
Mexico	Chinipas	2017	27.1%	53.6%	5.1%
Mexico	Chiquihuitlan De Benito Juarez	2000	2.3%	3.0%	1.8%
Mexico	Chiquihuitlan De Benito Juarez	2017	2.4%	3.1%	1.9%
Mexico	Chiquilistlan	2000	65.7%	84.3%	45.3%
Mexico	Chiquilistlan	2017	68.5%	86.7%	42.3%
Mexico	Chocaman	2000	55.3%	55.9%	54.6%
Mexico	Chocaman	2017	57.0%	57.6%	56.4%
Mexico	Chochola	2000	13.7%	23.5%	7.8%
Mexico	Chochola	2017	15.8%	28.2%	6.6%
Mexico	Choix	2000	42.0%	69.5%	16.6%
Mexico	Choix	2017	42.8%	72.2%	14.5%
Mexico	Chontla	2000	25.3%	38.7%	14.2%
Mexico	Chontla	2017	26.5%	38.1%	15.9%
Mexico	Chucandiro	2000	32.4%	43.0%	23.8%
Mexico	Chucandiro	2017	33.8%	47.1%	23.5%
Mexico	Chumatlan	2000	4.7%	5.3%	4.2%
Mexico	Chumatlan	2017	5.2%	5.8%	4.6%
Mexico	Chumayel	2000	18.4%	19.1%	17.8%
Mexico	Chumayel	2017	18.9%	19.7%	18.3%
Mexico	Churintzio	2000	65.9%	80.9%	49.4%
Mexico	Churintzio	2017	67.9%	81.6%	52.2%
Mexico	Churumuco	2000	35.6%	68.5%	9.8%
Mexico	Churumuco	2017	35.7%	65.4%	12.4%
Mexico	Cienega De Flores	2000	93.6%	94.6%	91.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cienega De Flores	2017	88.0%	91.2%	83.2%
Mexico	Cienega De Zimatlan	2000	44.9%	46.4%	43.3%
Mexico	Cienega De Zimatlan	2017	47.0%	48.5%	45.4%
Mexico	Cihuatlan	2000	75.6%	98.0%	33.1%
Mexico	Cihuatlan	2017	76.5%	98.3%	35.3%
Mexico	Cintalapa	2000	58.9%	89.2%	21.7%
Mexico	Cintalapa	2017	60.1%	89.6%	23.1%
Mexico	Citlaltepetl	2000	41.8%	48.4%	36.9%
Mexico	Citlaltepetl	2017	51.6%	53.1%	49.5%
Mexico	Ciudad Del Maiz	2000	37.0%	66.7%	16.1%
Mexico	Ciudad Del Maiz	2017	38.6%	67.9%	16.2%
Mexico	Ciudad Fernandez	2000	69.5%	80.1%	58.3%
Mexico	Ciudad Fernandez	2017	71.3%	81.3%	60.9%
Mexico	Ciudad Ixteppec	2000	86.5%	88.4%	84.6%
Mexico	Ciudad Ixteppec	2017	87.2%	88.9%	85.3%
Mexico	Ciudad Madero	2000	83.5%	88.3%	77.1%
Mexico	Ciudad Madero	2017	85.6%	90.1%	79.4%
Mexico	Ciudad Valles	2000	57.1%	75.5%	37.7%
Mexico	Ciudad Valles	2017	58.7%	77.5%	37.4%
Mexico	Coacalco De Berriozabal	2000	94.5%	94.6%	94.3%
Mexico	Coacalco De Berriozabal	2017	95.0%	95.2%	94.9%
Mexico	Coacoatzintla	2000	68.9%	69.7%	68.0%
Mexico	Coacoatzintla	2017	72.1%	72.8%	71.4%
Mexico	Coahuayana	2000	55.7%	80.7%	25.9%
Mexico	Coahuayana	2017	57.2%	81.9%	27.0%
Mexico	Coahuayutla	2000	12.8%	35.2%	1.9%
Mexico	De Jose Maria Izazaga	2017	13.3%	33.8%	2.1%
Mexico	Coahuayutla De Jose Maria Izazaga	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Mexico	Coahuayutla De Jose Maria Izazaga	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Mexico	Coalcoman De Vazquez Palares	2000	53.4%	84.2%	18.1%
Mexico	Coalcoman De Vazquez Palares	2017	54.3%	84.1%	18.7%
Mexico	Coapilla	2000	48.8%	69.1%	30.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Coapilla	2017	52.0%	68.1%	38.7%
Mexico	Coatecas Altas	2000	50.3%	54.3%	46.0%
Mexico	Coatecas Altas	2017	49.0%	52.2%	46.4%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	12.6%	13.3%	11.8%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	66.2%	67.0%	65.3%
Mexico	Coatepec	2017	16.5%	17.4%	15.6%
Mexico	Coatepec	2017	66.7%	67.6%	65.8%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	54.5%	69.5%	39.8%
Mexico	Harinas				
Mexico	Coatepec Harinas	2017	54.8%	71.1%	39.6%
Mexico	Coatlan Del Rio	2000	59.6%	67.0%	51.5%
Mexico	Coatlan Del Rio	2017	61.2%	69.5%	53.0%
Mexico	Coatzacoalcos	2000	82.0%	96.9%	57.8%
Mexico	Coatzacoalcos	2017	84.3%	97.6%	59.9%
Mexico	Coatzingo	2000	51.5%	57.6%	45.1%
Mexico	Coatzingo	2017	55.8%	61.5%	48.5%
Mexico	Coatzintla	2000	69.5%	81.6%	53.9%
Mexico	Coatzintla	2017	71.8%	83.8%	56.5%
Mexico	Cochoapa El Grande	2000	6.2%	26.8%	0.2%
Mexico	Cochoapa El Grande	2017	5.3%	22.0%	0.2%
Mexico	Cocotitlan	2000	69.8%	70.6%	69.0%
Mexico	Cocotitlan	2017	71.6%	72.4%	70.9%
Mexico	Cocula	2000	78.6%	94.2%	53.1%
Mexico	Cocula	2000	52.7%	80.0%	24.1%
Mexico	Cocula	2017	80.5%	93.1%	60.5%
Mexico	Cocula	2017	53.5%	80.4%	24.6%
Mexico	Coeneo	2000	47.7%	73.4%	25.2%
Mexico	Coeneo	2017	49.1%	75.0%	25.8%
Mexico	Coetzala	2000	33.3%	34.7%	31.6%
Mexico	Coetzala	2017	34.1%	35.5%	32.5%
Mexico	Cohetzala	2000	16.2%	31.2%	6.0%
Mexico	Cohetzala	2017	18.2%	38.1%	5.5%
Mexico	Cohuecan	2000	23.9%	24.6%	23.0%
Mexico	Cohuecan	2017	25.3%	26.1%	24.4%
Mexico	Coicoyan De Las Flores	2000	5.8%	26.7%	0.7%
Mexico	Coicoyan De Las Flores	2017	6.8%	30.6%	0.7%
Mexico	Cojumatlan De Regules	2000	65.7%	78.8%	52.6%
Mexico	Cojumatlan De Regules	2017	67.7%	80.1%	54.7%
Mexico	Colima	2000	96.0%	97.5%	94.0%
Mexico	Colima	2017	96.3%	97.8%	94.1%
Mexico	Colipa	2000	45.0%	59.5%	32.5%
Mexico	Colipa	2017	46.5%	65.1%	30.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Colon	2000	41.4%	70.6%	15.0%
Mexico	Colon	2017	43.0%	73.1%	15.9%
Mexico	Colotlan	2000	78.8%	83.8%	72.5%
Mexico	Colotlan	2017	79.7%	84.5%	72.8%
Mexico	Comala	2000	89.2%	94.8%	80.4%
Mexico	Comala	2017	89.6%	95.1%	80.2%
Mexico	Comalcalco	2000	63.1%	91.3%	30.7%
Mexico	Comalcalco	2017	64.7%	91.4%	32.6%
Mexico	Comapa	2000	31.7%	54.0%	16.4%
Mexico	Comapa	2017	33.0%	54.4%	17.5%
Mexico	Comitan De Dominguez	2000	65.0%	78.9%	50.5%
Mexico	Comitan De Dominguez	2017	65.0%	80.8%	50.5%
Mexico	Comondu	2000	60.3%	76.3%	44.0%
Mexico	Comondu	2017	60.9%	77.2%	45.0%
Mexico	Comonfort	2000	63.7%	82.5%	41.0%
Mexico	Comonfort	2017	65.1%	83.4%	44.3%
Mexico	Compostela	2000	67.2%	97.2%	30.5%
Mexico	Compostela	2017	67.9%	97.1%	31.0%
Mexico	Concepcion Buenavista	2000	9.9%	16.8%	5.7%
Mexico	Concepcion Buenavista	2017	11.5%	18.1%	6.8%
Mexico	Concepcion De Buenos Aires	2000	83.7%	92.0%	74.3%
Mexico	Concepcion De Buenos Aires	2017	85.3%	93.2%	76.2%
Mexico	Concepcion Del Oro	2000	55.3%	70.0%	41.3%
Mexico	Concepcion Del Oro	2017	56.4%	71.8%	41.4%
Mexico	Concepcion Papalo	2000	4.1%	5.3%	3.3%
Mexico	Concepcion Papalo	2017	4.6%	6.1%	3.6%
Mexico	Concordia	2000	49.8%	78.2%	19.8%
Mexico	Concordia	2017	49.9%	75.8%	21.8%
Mexico	Coneto De Comonfort	2000	33.3%	68.4%	8.4%
Mexico	Coneto De Comonfort	2017	34.4%	69.4%	9.3%
Mexico	Conkal	2000	44.4%	50.5%	38.6%
Mexico	Conkal	2017	46.6%	54.7%	38.0%
Mexico	Constancia Del Rosario	2000	46.6%	54.4%	40.4%
Mexico	Constancia Del Rosario	2017	49.6%	58.8%	42.0%
Mexico	Contepec	2000	52.3%	72.9%	30.1%
Mexico	Contepec	2017	54.0%	74.9%	30.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Contla De Juan Cua-matzi	2000	73.6%	74.2%	73.0%
Mexico	Contla De Juan Cua-matzi	2017	76.1%	76.6%	75.5%
Mexico	Copainala	2000	57.7%	74.6%	35.6%
Mexico	Copainala	2017	58.7%	76.1%	39.6%
Mexico	Copala	2000	43.4%	81.6%	10.7%
Mexico	Copala	2017	44.4%	82.1%	12.0%
Mexico	Copalillo	2000	23.5%	47.2%	7.7%
Mexico	Copalillo	2017	24.9%	49.6%	7.9%
Mexico	Copanatoyac	2000	6.7%	18.9%	1.6%
Mexico	Copanatoyac	2017	7.4%	21.4%	1.7%
Mexico	Copandaro	2000	50.1%	57.1%	43.5%
Mexico	Copandaro	2017	48.3%	57.4%	39.6%
Mexico	Coquimatlan	2000	88.5%	98.2%	68.9%
Mexico	Coquimatlan	2017	88.2%	98.0%	69.8%
Mexico	Cordoba	2000	65.5%	66.4%	64.7%
Mexico	Cordoba	2017	67.9%	69.3%	66.6%
Mexico	Coronado	2000	67.7%	95.6%	25.1%
Mexico	Coronado	2017	68.9%	95.4%	27.7%
Mexico	Coronango	2000	60.4%	61.0%	59.9%
Mexico	Coronango	2017	65.4%	66.0%	64.9%
Mexico	Coroneo	2000	39.2%	53.1%	25.1%
Mexico	Coroneo	2017	40.7%	57.1%	25.6%
Mexico	Corregidora	2000	82.6%	90.5%	72.4%
Mexico	Corregidora	2017	83.1%	90.4%	74.4%
Mexico	Cortazar	2000	74.9%	79.1%	68.4%
Mexico	Cortazar	2017	76.0%	80.0%	69.1%
Mexico	Cosala	2000	49.4%	66.9%	32.9%
Mexico	Cosala	2017	50.8%	69.6%	30.2%
Mexico	Cosamaloapan De Carpio	2000	85.4%	92.6%	76.0%
Mexico	Cosamaloapan De Carpio	2017	85.3%	92.4%	75.4%
Mexico	Cosautlan De Carvajal	2000	43.9%	45.9%	42.3%
Mexico	Cosautlan De Carvajal	2017	43.7%	45.3%	42.3%
Mexico	Coscomatepec	2000	46.8%	47.9%	45.5%
Mexico	Coscomatepec	2017	48.9%	50.1%	47.4%
Mexico	Cosio	2000	74.5%	86.3%	58.9%
Mexico	Cosio	2017	76.0%	87.2%	60.6%
Mexico	Cosolapa	2000	32.1%	43.3%	23.0%
Mexico	Cosolapa	2017	33.6%	44.2%	24.8%
Mexico	Cosoleacaque	2000	71.2%	81.7%	57.8%
Mexico	Cosoleacaque	2017	71.6%	78.7%	61.9%
Mexico	Cosoltepec	2000	7.5%	12.1%	4.6%
Mexico	Cosoltepec	2017	9.6%	17.4%	5.2%
Mexico	Cotaxtla	2000	50.5%	74.7%	23.9%
Mexico	Cotaxtla	2017	51.9%	73.9%	27.1%
Mexico	Cotija	2000	55.0%	67.5%	41.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cotija	2017	57.2%	69.2%	44.0%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2000	52.4%	60.1%	47.2%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2000	13.3%	21.0%	8.8%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2017	53.0%	62.7%	45.7%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2017	15.3%	23.1%	10.6%
Mexico	Coxquihui	2000	6.5%	7.4%	5.5%
Mexico	Coxquihui	2017	7.3%	8.5%	6.2%
Mexico	Coyame Del Sotol	2000	72.0%	88.7%	46.5%
Mexico	Coyame Del Sotol	2017	74.1%	87.0%	60.0%
Mexico	Coyoacan	2000	96.8%	96.9%	96.8%
Mexico	Coyoacan	2017	97.1%	97.1%	97.0%
Mexico	Coyomeapan	2000	2.8%	3.6%	2.3%
Mexico	Coyomeapan	2017	3.3%	4.1%	2.8%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2000	26.6%	39.4%	15.4%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2000	83.7%	85.1%	82.1%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2017	27.7%	42.2%	13.5%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2017	82.9%	85.9%	79.4%
Mexico	Coyuca De Benitez	2000	48.9%	87.4%	11.8%
Mexico	Coyuca De Benitez	2017	50.2%	88.4%	12.2%
Mexico	Coyuca De Catalan	2000	44.6%	68.4%	25.4%
Mexico	Coyuca De Catalan	2017	46.0%	69.5%	26.8%
Mexico	Coyutla	2000	25.8%	37.4%	12.9%
Mexico	Coyutla	2017	19.1%	28.3%	10.1%
Mexico	Cozumel	2000	96.9%	98.7%	93.3%
Mexico	Cozumel	2017	96.0%	98.7%	87.2%
Mexico	Cruillas	2000	26.4%	66.4%	1.3%
Mexico	Cruillas	2017	27.6%	66.0%	1.7%
Mexico	Cuajimalpa De Morelos	2000	93.7%	93.8%	93.5%
Mexico	Cuajimalpa De Morelos	2017	93.9%	94.1%	93.8%
Mexico	Cuajinicuilapa	2000	26.0%	52.3%	9.5%
Mexico	Cuajinicuilapa	2017	26.5%	52.2%	10.4%
Mexico	Cualac	2000	17.6%	31.1%	8.6%
Mexico	Cualac	2017	18.5%	34.4%	8.0%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla	2000	59.9%	64.8%	53.1%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla	2017	61.0%	64.5%	56.0%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla De Madero	2000	23.7%	24.4%	23.1%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla De Madero	2017	24.7%	25.4%	24.1%
Mexico	Cuatrocienegas	2000	69.7%	81.5%	57.1%
Mexico	Cuatrocienegas	2017	71.2%	82.4%	59.1%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	87.8%	96.7%	68.9%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	71.8%	94.8%	39.4%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	99.6%	99.6%	99.6%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	77.2%	90.9%	58.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	78.0%	90.8%	59.0%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	88.9%	97.1%	70.8%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	72.4%	95.1%	39.3%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	99.6%	99.6%	99.6%
Mexico	Cuautempan	2000	27.2%	33.5%	21.8%
Mexico	Cuautempan	2017	28.3%	34.1%	23.3%
Mexico	Cuatepec	2000	25.1%	46.9%	8.1%
Mexico	Cuatepec	2017	25.7%	47.4%	9.5%
Mexico	Cuatepec De Hinojosa	2000	59.0%	75.8%	44.4%
Mexico	Cuatepec De Hinojosa	2017	59.0%	75.5%	42.3%
Mexico	Cuautinchan	2000	47.5%	55.6%	41.9%
Mexico	Cuautinchan	2017	45.7%	52.8%	40.7%
Mexico	Cuautitlan	2000	94.2%	94.5%	93.9%
Mexico	Cuautitlan	2017	94.9%	95.2%	94.6%
Mexico	Cuautitlan De Garcia Barragan	2000	61.5%	88.1%	28.2%
Mexico	Cuautitlan De Garcia Barragan	2017	62.4%	89.0%	29.1%
Mexico	Cuautitlan Izcalli	2000	92.9%	93.0%	92.7%
Mexico	Cuautitlan Izcalli	2017	93.4%	93.6%	93.3%
Mexico	Cuautla	2000	85.3%	87.1%	82.9%
Mexico	Cuautla	2000	51.3%	87.3%	18.0%
Mexico	Cuautla	2017	85.9%	87.8%	83.3%
Mexico	Cuautla	2017	52.2%	87.4%	19.0%
Mexico	Cuautlancingo	2000	68.9%	70.1%	67.6%
Mexico	Cuautlancingo	2017	70.3%	71.5%	69.2%
Mexico	Cuaxomulco	2000	54.0%	54.9%	53.2%
Mexico	Cuaxomulco	2017	59.2%	60.0%	58.3%
Mexico	Cuayuca De Andrade	2000	14.5%	28.8%	4.8%
Mexico	Cuayuca De Andrade	2017	16.0%	31.5%	5.3%
Mexico	Cucurpe	2000	63.2%	98.0%	9.8%
Mexico	Cucurpe	2017	64.6%	97.8%	10.5%
Mexico	Cuencame	2000	59.1%	75.1%	43.7%
Mexico	Cuencame	2017	60.2%	75.8%	43.8%
Mexico	Cueramaro	2000	65.4%	85.3%	42.6%
Mexico	Cueramaro	2017	68.4%	85.1%	47.2%
Mexico	Cuernavaca	2000	82.8%	87.6%	77.7%
Mexico	Cuernavaca	2017	83.3%	87.6%	78.8%
Mexico	Cuetzala Del Progreso	2000	33.7%	60.2%	10.9%
Mexico	Cuetzala Del Progreso	2017	34.6%	60.6%	11.5%
Mexico	Cuetzalan Del Progreso	2000	17.3%	23.8%	12.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cuetzalan Del Progreso	2017	18.6%	25.4%	13.8%
Mexico	Cuichapa	2000	62.1%	64.6%	59.4%
Mexico	Cuichapa	2017	68.4%	70.8%	65.7%
Mexico	Cuilapam De Guerrero	2000	32.0%	32.8%	31.3%
Mexico	Cuilapam De Guerrero	2017	37.3%	38.0%	36.5%
Mexico	Cuitlahuac	2000	70.9%	78.1%	61.3%
Mexico	Cuitlahuac	2017	73.3%	80.3%	64.1%
Mexico	Cuitzeo	2000	64.9%	77.9%	47.3%
Mexico	Cuitzeo	2017	65.3%	76.5%	50.2%
Mexico	Culiacan	2000	78.6%	87.1%	66.3%
Mexico	Culiacan	2017	80.1%	86.9%	71.0%
Mexico	Cumpas	2000	83.5%	96.8%	56.2%
Mexico	Cumpas	2017	84.1%	96.7%	61.0%
Mexico	Cuncunul	2000	11.8%	25.3%	5.3%
Mexico	Cuncunul	2017	12.3%	25.8%	5.9%
Mexico	Cunduacan	2000	68.2%	91.6%	43.4%
Mexico	Cunduacan	2017	69.4%	92.3%	44.3%
Mexico	Cuquio	2000	53.7%	75.4%	29.4%
Mexico	Cuquio	2017	55.2%	76.2%	30.5%
Mexico	Cusihuiachi	2000	48.2%	84.1%	15.6%
Mexico	Cusihuiachi	2017	45.5%	83.5%	12.6%
Mexico	Cutzamala De Pinzon	2000	36.0%	65.9%	10.7%
Mexico	Cutzamala De Pinzon	2017	37.0%	69.1%	11.0%
Mexico	Cuyamecalco Villa De Zaragoza	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Mexico	Cuyamecalco Villa De Zaragoza	2017	1.7%	2.1%	1.3%
Mexico	Cuyoaco	2000	37.2%	52.4%	24.9%
Mexico	Cuyoaco	2017	39.5%	54.1%	26.0%
Mexico	Cuzama	2000	6.8%	9.7%	5.5%
Mexico	Cuzama	2017	7.6%	10.5%	6.2%
Mexico	Degollado	2000	70.4%	78.6%	58.1%
Mexico	Degollado	2017	73.2%	82.6%	59.3%
Mexico	Del Nayar	2000	22.9%	53.2%	6.4%
Mexico	Del Nayar	2017	23.5%	48.9%	6.9%
Mexico	Delicias	2000	92.0%	96.9%	81.6%
Mexico	Delicias	2017	92.5%	96.8%	83.8%
Mexico	Divisaderos	2000	85.3%	97.2%	58.0%
Mexico	Divisaderos	2017	86.2%	97.4%	59.9%
Mexico	Doctor Mora	2000	43.2%	63.8%	22.0%
Mexico	Doctor Mora	2017	44.8%	65.9%	21.8%
Mexico	Dolores Hidalgo Cuna De La Independenc	2000	53.5%	76.1%	32.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Dolores Hidalgo Cuna De La Independenc	2017	54.4%	76.0%	33.5%
Mexico	Domingo Arenas	2000	36.0%	36.8%	35.1%
Mexico	Domingo Arenas	2017	38.2%	39.1%	37.3%
Mexico	Donato Guerra	2000	21.3%	38.0%	8.8%
Mexico	Donato Guerra	2017	22.1%	39.7%	9.4%
Mexico	Dr. Arroyo	2000	32.8%	53.6%	14.0%
Mexico	Dr. Arroyo	2017	35.2%	59.4%	13.8%
Mexico	Dr. Belisario Dominguez	2000	68.7%	96.0%	31.6%
Mexico	Dr. Belisario Dominguez	2017	70.3%	95.9%	34.7%
Mexico	Dr. Coss	2000	56.2%	84.0%	24.2%
Mexico	Dr. Coss	2017	57.9%	83.9%	27.4%
Mexico	Dr. Gonzalez	2000	69.7%	94.9%	25.1%
Mexico	Dr. Gonzalez	2017	70.8%	95.9%	28.2%
Mexico	Durango	2000	82.3%	94.9%	60.8%
Mexico	Durango	2017	82.9%	94.9%	63.0%
Mexico	Dzan	2000	33.2%	37.1%	29.1%
Mexico	Dzan	2017	35.3%	39.4%	31.1%
Mexico	Dzemul	2000	36.3%	40.0%	32.4%
Mexico	Dzemul	2017	39.1%	42.7%	35.1%
Mexico	Dzidzantun	2000	46.8%	57.3%	36.3%
Mexico	Dzidzantun	2017	51.3%	61.3%	41.3%
Mexico	Dzilam De Bravo	2000	57.7%	99.3%	2.0%
Mexico	Dzilam De Bravo	2017	58.9%	99.1%	2.6%
Mexico	Dzilam Gonzalez	2000	42.3%	86.8%	6.6%
Mexico	Dzilam Gonzalez	2017	43.8%	87.9%	7.4%
Mexico	Dzitas	2000	16.3%	33.4%	7.1%
Mexico	Dzitas	2017	16.7%	37.8%	5.0%
Mexico	Dzoncauich	2000	9.6%	20.7%	3.5%
Mexico	Dzoncauich	2017	13.2%	17.1%	10.1%
Mexico	Ebano	2000	40.5%	64.5%	16.1%
Mexico	Ebano	2017	41.9%	61.8%	23.7%
Mexico	Ecatepec De Morelos	2000	93.9%	94.1%	93.7%
Mexico	Ecatepec De Morelos	2017	94.3%	94.5%	94.2%
Mexico	Ecatzingo	2000	22.3%	23.3%	21.3%
Mexico	Ecatzingo	2017	24.3%	26.8%	22.0%
Mexico	Ecuandureo	2000	77.7%	92.9%	47.3%
Mexico	Ecuandureo	2017	79.1%	92.9%	51.4%
Mexico	Eduardo Neri	2000	48.9%	86.0%	11.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Eduardo Neri	2017	50.2%	88.1%	11.5%
Mexico	Ejutla	2000	74.5%	91.2%	54.5%
Mexico	Ejutla	2017	76.1%	91.8%	57.2%
Mexico	El Arenal	2000	93.1%	96.8%	87.1%
Mexico	El Arenal	2000	51.0%	63.1%	39.5%
Mexico	El Arenal	2017	53.6%	66.2%	41.8%
Mexico	El Arenal	2017	94.2%	96.8%	90.1%
Mexico	El Barrio De La Soledad	2000	73.0%	85.9%	50.8%
Mexico	El Barrio De La Soledad	2017	74.4%	83.8%	57.4%
Mexico	El Bosque	2000	25.7%	34.9%	19.4%
Mexico	El Bosque	2017	26.2%	34.6%	20.0%
Mexico	El Carmen Tequexquitla	2000	44.7%	52.8%	38.1%
Mexico	El Carmen Tequexquitla	2017	46.5%	55.2%	39.1%
Mexico	El Espinal	2000	91.2%	92.5%	89.5%
Mexico	El Espinal	2017	91.4%	93.1%	89.0%
Mexico	El Fuerte	2000	54.8%	74.8%	33.5%
Mexico	El Fuerte	2017	55.7%	76.6%	35.0%
Mexico	El Grullo	2000	90.9%	92.2%	88.9%
Mexico	El Grullo	2017	91.5%	92.9%	89.6%
Mexico	El Higo	2000	41.6%	60.4%	23.7%
Mexico	El Higo	2017	42.5%	63.0%	22.2%
Mexico	El Limon	2000	83.0%	92.4%	70.0%
Mexico	El Limon	2017	84.8%	92.0%	75.3%
Mexico	El Llano	2000	63.5%	91.8%	28.8%
Mexico	El Llano	2017	64.3%	92.4%	28.5%
Mexico	El Mante	2000	65.9%	87.7%	36.2%
Mexico	El Mante	2017	66.5%	88.8%	33.7%
Mexico	El Marques	2000	55.1%	81.3%	28.9%
Mexico	El Marques	2017	55.6%	81.9%	28.5%
Mexico	El Naranjo	2000	46.6%	66.8%	30.2%
Mexico	El Naranjo	2017	48.1%	67.7%	31.2%
Mexico	El Oro	2000	31.1%	41.9%	24.5%
Mexico	El Oro	2000	55.4%	77.9%	31.5%
Mexico	El Oro	2017	32.5%	42.0%	26.3%
Mexico	El Oro	2017	56.3%	80.1%	31.5%
Mexico	El Plateado De Joaquin Amaro	2000	50.3%	78.7%	19.9%
Mexico	El Plateado De Joaquin Amaro	2017	51.4%	79.2%	21.4%
Mexico	El Porvenir	2000	7.8%	9.8%	6.5%
Mexico	El Porvenir	2017	11.3%	16.1%	8.4%
Mexico	El Salto	2000	91.1%	93.6%	86.9%
Mexico	El Salto	2017	91.6%	94.2%	87.0%
Mexico	El Salvador	2000	40.7%	80.3%	6.6%
Mexico	El Salvador	2017	43.7%	83.8%	8.4%
Mexico	El Tule	2000	50.3%	75.0%	28.4%
Mexico	El Tule	2017	52.4%	73.8%	32.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Elota	2000	40.1%	76.8%	4.9%
Mexico	Elota	2017	39.1%	72.7%	6.0%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2000	0.8%	2.8%	0.2%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2000	47.5%	71.2%	29.7%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2017	1.1%	3.8%	0.2%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2017	49.0%	73.5%	29.0%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2000	9.6%	10.5%	8.9%
	De Flores Magon				
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2017	10.2%	11.0%	9.4%
	De Flores Magon				
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	89.6%	93.6%	84.1%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	77.1%	78.6%	75.5%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	82.7%	95.9%	60.6%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	51.5%	54.0%	48.8%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	66.0%	74.4%	55.2%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	90.2%	93.9%	84.6%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	82.8%	96.6%	60.4%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	80.1%	80.9%	79.4%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	66.6%	76.8%	53.1%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	57.3%	59.7%	54.6%
Mexico	Empalme	2000	71.2%	87.5%	46.3%
Mexico	Empalme	2017	71.9%	85.3%	52.8%
Mexico	Encarnacion De Diaz	2000	71.0%	92.3%	34.9%
Mexico	Encarnacion De Diaz	2017	72.1%	92.8%	35.8%
Mexico	Ensenada	2000	72.0%	85.8%	51.8%
Mexico	Ensenada	2017	73.1%	86.5%	54.7%
Mexico	Epatlan	2000	47.0%	49.9%	43.8%
Mexico	Epatlan	2017	48.2%	51.6%	44.5%
Mexico	Epazoyucan	2000	59.1%	65.0%	53.7%
Mexico	Epazoyucan	2017	65.8%	71.8%	59.1%
Mexico	Epitacio Huerta	2000	41.3%	63.8%	21.8%
Mexico	Epitacio Huerta	2017	43.0%	65.9%	23.0%
Mexico	Erongaricuario	2000	36.1%	54.2%	19.7%
Mexico	Erongaricuario	2017	37.2%	54.4%	22.4%
Mexico	Escarcega	2000	48.6%	65.7%	31.3%
Mexico	Escarcega	2017	49.6%	66.6%	33.7%
Mexico	Escobedo	2000	36.7%	75.1%	7.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Escobedo	2017	38.5%	77.1%	8.2%
Mexico	Escuinapa	2000	62.0%	95.9%	15.7%
Mexico	Escuinapa	2017	62.2%	96.1%	13.8%
Mexico	Escuintla	2000	51.7%	72.9%	28.3%
Mexico	Escuintla	2017	52.7%	74.2%	28.7%
Mexico	Espanita	2000	73.1%	77.9%	67.4%
Mexico	Espanita	2017	78.9%	82.3%	74.8%
Mexico	Esperanza	2000	38.7%	44.8%	32.8%
Mexico	Esperanza	2017	39.1%	44.9%	33.5%
Mexico	Espinal	2000	14.7%	28.8%	6.5%
Mexico	Espinal	2017	16.2%	31.5%	7.3%
Mexico	Espita	2000	16.0%	37.3%	6.4%
Mexico	Espita	2017	16.6%	30.7%	7.8%
Mexico	Etchojoa	2000	41.9%	73.0%	15.3%
Mexico	Etchojoa	2017	43.4%	74.9%	16.3%
Mexico	Etzatlán	2000	89.0%	93.2%	81.8%
Mexico	Etzatlán	2017	89.9%	93.5%	83.3%
Mexico	Ezequiel Montes	2000	63.0%	80.8%	44.9%
Mexico	Ezequiel Montes	2017	62.3%	82.6%	39.8%
Mexico	Felipe Carrillo Puerto	2000	49.3%	74.2%	24.7%
Mexico	Felipe Carrillo Puerto	2017	50.4%	73.1%	27.8%
Mexico	Filomeno Mata	2000	4.2%	4.5%	3.9%
Mexico	Filomeno Mata	2017	4.2%	4.5%	4.0%
Mexico	Florencio Villarreal	2000	43.0%	66.0%	18.6%
Mexico	Florencio Villarreal	2017	43.2%	62.8%	22.1%
Mexico	Fortín	2000	66.9%	67.5%	66.3%
Mexico	Fortín	2017	69.6%	70.1%	69.0%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2000	63.0%	86.3%	33.1%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2000	67.5%	76.9%	55.0%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2017	64.2%	86.7%	35.3%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2017	69.6%	76.9%	58.7%
Mexico	Francisco Leon	2000	25.1%	45.4%	12.7%
Mexico	Francisco Leon	2017	26.3%	47.5%	12.8%
Mexico	Francisco Z. Mena	2000	27.3%	55.2%	7.5%
Mexico	Francisco Z. Mena	2017	28.4%	55.3%	8.8%
Mexico	Fresnillo	2000	77.6%	91.1%	59.4%
Mexico	Fresnillo	2017	79.5%	92.2%	63.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Fresnillo De Trujano	2000	4.9%	9.1%	3.2%
Mexico	Fresnillo De Trujano	2017	5.1%	10.1%	3.2%
Mexico	Frontera	2000	78.6%	89.1%	64.5%
Mexico	Frontera	2017	80.7%	90.2%	65.7%
Mexico	Frontera Co-malapa	2000	51.6%	82.9%	18.5%
Mexico	Frontera Co-malapa	2017	52.7%	84.1%	19.5%
Mexico	Frontera Hidalgo	2000	68.4%	76.5%	57.4%
Mexico	Frontera Hidalgo	2017	70.7%	78.6%	59.3%
Mexico	Fronteras	2000	84.5%	91.4%	72.7%
Mexico	Fronteras	2017	85.5%	92.0%	74.2%
Mexico	Gabriel Zamora	2000	43.4%	70.1%	18.8%
Mexico	Gabriel Zamora	2017	44.6%	71.0%	21.1%
Mexico	Galeana	2000	73.0%	94.8%	39.6%
Mexico	Galeana	2000	49.2%	70.7%	27.1%
Mexico	Galeana	2017	67.2%	96.7%	21.9%
Mexico	Galeana	2017	50.3%	72.0%	28.0%
Mexico	Garcia	2000	78.6%	97.1%	58.3%
Mexico	Garcia	2017	69.9%	96.5%	37.0%
Mexico	Genaro Codina	2000	31.7%	57.3%	11.4%
Mexico	Genaro Codina	2017	34.8%	60.3%	12.3%
Mexico	General Bravo	2000	64.5%	95.8%	11.0%
Mexico	General Bravo	2017	64.7%	95.9%	11.9%
Mexico	General Canuto A. Neri	2000	28.8%	57.2%	6.5%
Mexico	General Canuto A. Neri	2017	28.2%	53.8%	7.5%
Mexico	General Cepeda	2000	52.9%	82.3%	23.0%
Mexico	General Cepeda	2017	52.5%	74.3%	31.0%
Mexico	General Enrique Estrada	2000	83.1%	90.4%	73.3%
Mexico	General Enrique Estrada	2017	83.3%	91.6%	68.2%
Mexico	General Felipe Angeles	2000	48.2%	55.9%	41.9%
Mexico	General Felipe Angeles	2017	48.8%	55.4%	43.5%
Mexico	General Francisco R. Murguia	2000	50.2%	68.7%	29.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	General Francisco R. Murguia	2017	50.7%	70.0%	29.9%
Mexico	General Heliodoro Castillo	2000	36.8%	71.2%	7.5%
Mexico	General Heliodoro Castillo	2017	38.0%	73.7%	7.5%
Mexico	General Panfilo Natera	2000	58.4%	82.8%	30.4%
Mexico	General Panfilo Natera	2017	58.2%	81.9%	32.5%
Mexico	General Plutarco Elias Calles	2000	74.0%	89.1%	53.3%
Mexico	General Plutarco Elias Calles	2017	74.8%	89.7%	54.1%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	58.7%	74.8%	40.1%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	35.1%	66.2%	8.3%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	77.5%	87.9%	64.1%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	60.4%	76.4%	42.3%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	80.1%	89.9%	68.4%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	37.4%	68.8%	8.9%
Mexico	Gomez Palacio	2000	82.3%	90.2%	72.1%
Mexico	Gomez Palacio	2017	83.3%	90.8%	73.4%
Mexico	Gonzalez	2000	44.0%	72.8%	14.8%
Mexico	Gonzalez	2017	45.0%	73.8%	15.2%
Mexico	Gral. Escobedo	2000	92.8%	94.2%	90.8%
Mexico	Gral. Escobedo	2017	92.3%	94.2%	89.6%
Mexico	Gral. Simon Bolivar	2000	36.4%	62.2%	13.7%
Mexico	Gral. Simon Bolivar	2017	36.9%	62.6%	13.4%
Mexico	Gral. Teran	2000	59.6%	82.0%	34.0%
Mexico	Gral. Teran	2017	61.1%	81.8%	36.2%
Mexico	Gral. Trevino	2000	73.1%	81.9%	64.5%
Mexico	Gral. Trevino	2017	74.5%	83.3%	66.4%
Mexico	Gral. Zaragoza	2000	46.5%	80.4%	13.9%
Mexico	Gral. Zaragoza	2017	48.3%	81.2%	14.5%
Mexico	Gral. Zuazua	2000	76.0%	87.9%	60.0%
Mexico	Gral. Zuazua	2017	74.7%	83.0%	63.3%
Mexico	Gran Morelos	2000	67.2%	91.3%	34.0%
Mexico	Gran Morelos	2017	68.3%	90.2%	40.7%
Mexico	Granados	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Mexico	Granados	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Guachinango	2000	58.0%	86.2%	20.5%
Mexico	Guachinango	2017	58.1%	87.5%	20.8%
Mexico	Guachochi	2000	39.6%	58.1%	23.1%
Mexico	Guachochi	2017	40.7%	60.0%	23.6%
Mexico	Guadalajara	2000	96.8%	98.9%	91.5%
Mexico	Guadalajara	2017	96.6%	98.9%	90.2%
Mexico	Guadalcazar	2000	23.7%	52.7%	4.5%
Mexico	Guadalcazar	2017	23.9%	52.7%	4.9%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	39.9%	45.9%	36.4%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	79.9%	90.7%	63.8%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	54.8%	85.0%	25.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	96.8%	98.2%	93.8%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	42.7%	48.0%	38.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	95.9%	97.7%	91.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	81.4%	89.7%	71.3%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	55.0%	90.9%	18.5%
Mexico	Guadalupe De Ramirez	2000	21.0%	22.5%	19.8%
Mexico	Guadalupe De Ramirez	2017	33.2%	34.4%	32.0%
Mexico	Guadalupe Etna	2000	62.4%	63.4%	61.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe Etna	2017	65.2%	66.1%	63.9%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2000	29.7%	44.2%	19.8%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2000	67.9%	91.1%	39.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2017	32.8%	42.9%	25.3%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2017	68.9%	91.9%	40.4%
Mexico	Guadalupe Y Calvo	2000	32.8%	50.8%	16.2%
Mexico	Guadalupe Y Calvo	2017	33.1%	49.7%	16.9%
Mexico	Guanacevi	2000	32.6%	63.9%	9.6%
Mexico	Guanacevi	2017	32.1%	62.9%	10.4%
Mexico	Guanajuato	2000	72.7%	90.0%	49.6%
Mexico	Guanajuato	2017	73.9%	90.9%	50.4%
Mexico	Guasave	2000	57.1%	81.6%	31.9%
Mexico	Guasave	2017	57.7%	82.1%	32.4%
Mexico	Guaymas	2000	76.5%	88.4%	54.3%
Mexico	Guaymas	2017	77.7%	87.4%	62.6%
Mexico	Guazapares	2000	17.5%	46.9%	1.5%
Mexico	Guazapares	2017	17.3%	46.4%	1.7%
Mexico	Guelatao De Juarez	2000	71.9%	74.3%	69.8%
Mexico	Guelatao De Juarez	2017	73.4%	75.7%	71.4%
Mexico	Guemez	2000	26.8%	54.3%	8.4%
Mexico	Guemez	2017	27.7%	56.1%	8.5%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	63.5%	95.6%	25.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	46.6%	66.9%	27.4%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	51.3%	88.6%	14.0%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	47.8%	65.9%	30.1%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	52.4%	89.3%	13.2%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	65.7%	95.6%	29.1%
Mexico	Guevea De Humboldt	2000	9.7%	18.3%	5.9%
Mexico	Guevea De Humboldt	2017	10.4%	16.2%	6.8%
Mexico	Gustavo A. Madero	2000	97.4%	97.5%	97.3%
Mexico	Gustavo A. Madero	2017	97.6%	97.7%	97.5%
Mexico	Gustavo Diaz Ordaz	2000	62.8%	91.3%	25.0%
Mexico	Gustavo Diaz Ordaz	2017	63.9%	93.3%	20.8%
Mexico	Gutierrez Zamora	2000	62.8%	74.1%	51.6%
Mexico	Gutierrez Zamora	2017	65.6%	78.5%	52.4%
Mexico	Halacho	2000	22.2%	46.3%	6.5%
Mexico	Halacho	2017	22.9%	45.8%	7.2%
Mexico	Hecelchakan	2000	34.9%	61.0%	17.2%
Mexico	Hecelchakan	2017	36.1%	62.7%	17.3%
Mexico	Hermenegildo Galeana	2000	5.6%	6.0%	5.2%
Mexico	Hermenegildo Galeana	2017	6.5%	6.9%	6.0%
Mexico	Hermosillo	2000	84.3%	89.3%	77.6%
Mexico	Hermosillo	2017	85.3%	90.2%	78.9%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Ejutla De Crespo	2000	57.2%	58.7%	55.6%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Ejutla De Crespo	2017	60.7%	61.7%	59.8%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Huajuapán De León	2000	81.4%	83.2%	78.9%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Huajuapán De León	2017	80.8%	82.9%	77.9%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Tlaxiaco	2000	22.6%	24.9%	21.2%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Tlaxiaco	2017	23.0%	26.0%	21.2%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	46.7%	93.9%	4.8%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	88.4%	91.9%	83.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	25.9%	47.8%	9.7%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	63.6%	86.5%	29.0%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	49.6%	69.0%	29.4%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	27.6%	50.6%	10.4%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	51.7%	72.4%	29.5%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	88.7%	92.3%	84.1%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	65.2%	87.6%	30.0%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	47.2%	93.0%	5.1%
Mexico	Hidalgo Del Parral	2000	92.0%	96.9%	82.2%
Mexico	Hidalgo Del Parral	2017	93.8%	97.1%	88.0%
Mexico	Hidalgotitlan	2000	56.3%	86.3%	37.6%
Mexico	Hidalgotitlan	2017	56.4%	85.9%	38.1%
Mexico	Higueras	2000	77.7%	83.6%	71.1%
Mexico	Higueras	2017	72.3%	80.7%	63.5%
Mexico	Hocaba	2000	6.6%	7.3%	6.1%
Mexico	Hocaba	2017	6.9%	7.6%	6.4%
Mexico	Hoctun	2000	11.1%	13.5%	9.7%
Mexico	Hoctun	2017	12.0%	13.9%	10.6%
Mexico	Homun	2000	5.1%	6.2%	4.3%
Mexico	Homun	2017	5.5%	6.6%	4.6%
Mexico	Honey	2000	20.4%	27.1%	15.2%
Mexico	Honey	2017	21.7%	29.0%	16.1%
Mexico	Hopelchen	2000	39.7%	60.2%	21.9%
Mexico	Hopelchen	2017	40.8%	61.3%	21.7%
Mexico	Hostotipaquillo	2000	59.0%	86.6%	22.8%
Mexico	Hostotipaquillo	2017	60.4%	87.5%	25.4%
Mexico	Huachinera	2000	50.1%	84.6%	16.2%
Mexico	Huachinera	2017	51.1%	92.2%	10.1%
Mexico	Huajicori	2000	39.8%	77.2%	10.0%
Mexico	Huajicori	2017	42.3%	73.2%	15.2%
Mexico	Hualahuises	2000	64.9%	74.1%	55.2%
Mexico	Hualahuises	2017	65.7%	76.5%	54.0%
Mexico	Huamantla	2000	57.3%	60.8%	53.0%
Mexico	Huamantla	2017	60.8%	64.2%	56.6%
Mexico	Huamuxtitlan	2000	45.9%	60.5%	33.7%
Mexico	Huamuxtitlan	2017	46.1%	60.2%	34.0%
Mexico	Huandacareo	2000	77.7%	81.8%	72.6%
Mexico	Huandacareo	2017	79.1%	82.1%	75.0%
Mexico	Huanimaro	2000	40.9%	58.8%	23.5%
Mexico	Huanimaro	2017	42.5%	59.7%	25.4%
Mexico	Huaniqueo	2000	46.3%	70.2%	20.9%
Mexico	Huaniqueo	2017	47.8%	70.1%	23.8%
Mexico	Huanusco	2000	64.1%	89.0%	32.7%
Mexico	Huanusco	2017	65.2%	89.7%	34.5%
Mexico	Huaquechula	2000	45.8%	61.1%	29.4%
Mexico	Huaquechula	2017	48.6%	63.2%	32.2%
Mexico	Huasabas	2000	93.1%	95.4%	90.3%
Mexico	Huasabas	2017	93.7%	95.7%	91.1%
Mexico	Huasca De Ocampo	2000	27.3%	42.3%	14.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huasca De Ocampo	2017	26.8%	40.7%	14.9%
Mexico	Huatabampo	2000	46.9%	90.5%	12.4%
Mexico	Huatabampo	2017	47.6%	89.9%	12.7%
Mexico	Huatlatlauca	2000	27.7%	33.0%	19.9%
Mexico	Huatlatlauca	2017	31.1%	36.3%	22.4%
Mexico	Huatusco	2000	46.9%	48.0%	45.8%
Mexico	Huatusco	2017	49.0%	50.1%	47.8%
Mexico	Huauchinango	2000	41.5%	45.2%	39.5%
Mexico	Huauchinango	2017	45.0%	51.5%	39.0%
Mexico	Huauteppec	2000	9.1%	10.9%	7.6%
Mexico	Huauteppec	2017	9.6%	10.9%	8.5%
Mexico	Huautla	2000	23.9%	43.5%	8.9%
Mexico	Huautla	2017	25.0%	44.8%	9.2%
Mexico	Huautla De Jimenez	2000	11.7%	18.2%	8.0%
Mexico	Huautla De Jimenez	2017	13.6%	21.2%	9.0%
Mexico	Huayacocotla	2000	27.6%	50.4%	10.8%
Mexico	Huayacocotla	2017	27.1%	49.3%	11.0%
Mexico	Huazalingo	2000	13.8%	24.7%	7.1%
Mexico	Huazalingo	2017	14.2%	25.1%	7.5%
Mexico	Huehuetan	2000	53.6%	68.5%	37.4%
Mexico	Huehuetan	2017	55.2%	70.4%	38.4%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2000	13.6%	26.8%	7.2%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2000	6.8%	7.1%	6.6%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2017	6.9%	7.2%	6.6%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2017	17.3%	30.6%	10.4%
Mexico	Huehuetlan	2000	44.8%	64.4%	26.2%
Mexico	Huehuetlan	2017	45.5%	62.5%	28.6%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Chico	2000	34.2%	45.9%	22.5%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Chico	2017	36.8%	48.1%	24.3%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Grande	2000	58.1%	65.5%	50.5%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Grande	2017	60.0%	70.1%	49.6%
Mexico	Huehuetoca	2000	81.8%	85.7%	77.1%
Mexico	Huehuetoca	2017	81.3%	85.2%	76.5%
Mexico	Huejotitan	2000	43.7%	81.2%	13.3%
Mexico	Huejotitan	2017	43.8%	78.7%	16.8%
Mexico	Huejotzingo	2000	50.5%	51.1%	49.9%
Mexico	Huejotzingo	2017	52.5%	53.0%	51.9%
Mexico	Huejucar	2000	61.8%	77.9%	45.1%
Mexico	Huejucar	2017	63.6%	78.7%	46.9%
Mexico	Huejuquilla El Alto	2000	50.3%	74.0%	29.1%
Mexico	Huejuquilla El Alto	2017	52.3%	78.4%	26.0%
Mexico	Huejutla De Reyes	2000	40.4%	55.2%	26.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huejutla De Reyes	2017	42.1%	55.7%	29.2%
Mexico	Huepac	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.1%
Mexico	Huepac	2017	92.9%	94.4%	90.7%
Mexico	Huetamo	2000	47.8%	75.1%	24.0%
Mexico	Huetamo	2017	48.2%	73.5%	25.0%
Mexico	Hueyapan	2000	22.7%	28.3%	19.3%
Mexico	Hueyapan	2017	24.6%	28.3%	21.9%
Mexico	Hueyapan De Ocampo	2000	47.5%	75.3%	16.6%
Mexico	Hueyapan De Ocampo	2017	48.5%	75.8%	17.6%
Mexico	Hueyotlipan	2000	66.2%	73.3%	56.8%
Mexico	Hueyotlipan	2017	68.1%	74.7%	58.9%
Mexico	Hueypoxtla	2000	57.1%	72.3%	39.8%
Mexico	Hueypoxtla	2017	62.6%	71.9%	51.4%
Mexico	Hueytamalco	2000	27.5%	45.7%	14.9%
Mexico	Hueytamalco	2017	30.4%	49.3%	17.0%
Mexico	Hueytlalpan	2000	12.1%	12.5%	11.6%
Mexico	Hueytlalpan	2017	15.5%	16.0%	14.9%
Mexico	Huhi	2000	19.2%	23.3%	15.1%
Mexico	Huhi	2017	20.6%	24.9%	16.1%
Mexico	Huichapan	2000	42.7%	74.7%	20.1%
Mexico	Huichapan	2017	44.3%	76.4%	22.3%
Mexico	Huiloapan	2000	66.2%	67.4%	65.1%
Mexico	Huiloapan	2017	69.4%	70.6%	68.3%
Mexico	Huimanguillo	2000	64.9%	89.1%	37.1%
Mexico	Huimanguillo	2017	65.6%	88.1%	37.7%
Mexico	Huimilpan	2000	42.9%	72.5%	19.8%
Mexico	Huimilpan	2017	44.3%	73.3%	20.2%
Mexico	Huiramba	2000	52.9%	59.4%	46.6%
Mexico	Huiramba	2017	50.3%	59.7%	41.7%
Mexico	Huitiupan	2000	10.1%	21.6%	4.7%
Mexico	Huitiupan	2017	10.7%	22.8%	5.4%
Mexico	Huitzilac	2000	60.3%	71.7%	48.5%
Mexico	Huitzilac	2017	62.8%	73.9%	51.3%
Mexico	Huitzilan De Serdan	2000	12.4%	12.9%	11.9%
Mexico	Huitzilan De Serdan	2017	14.0%	14.6%	13.3%
Mexico	Huitziltepec	2000	44.1%	50.5%	38.4%
Mexico	Huitziltepec	2017	46.0%	50.9%	41.4%
Mexico	Huitzucu De Los Figueroa	2000	55.0%	86.3%	18.2%
Mexico	Huitzucu De Los Figueroa	2017	56.3%	87.0%	18.6%
Mexico	Huixquilucan	2000	87.4%	89.2%	84.2%
Mexico	Huixquilucan	2017	88.6%	90.6%	85.1%
Mexico	Huixtan	2000	17.6%	38.7%	5.6%
Mexico	Huixtan	2017	18.6%	40.7%	5.8%
Mexico	Huixtla	2000	69.7%	78.9%	59.6%
Mexico	Huixtla	2017	70.8%	80.2%	59.8%
Mexico	Hunucma	2000	20.7%	32.2%	12.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Hunucma	2017	21.8%	33.1%	12.9%
Mexico	Ignacio De La Llave	2000	56.4%	81.8%	28.7%
Mexico	Ignacio De La Llave	2017	58.2%	82.4%	31.3%
Mexico	Ignacio Zaragoza	2000	51.8%	88.7%	14.0%
Mexico	Ignacio Zaragoza	2017	52.9%	85.5%	18.8%
Mexico	Iguala De La Independencia	2000	80.6%	91.0%	66.2%
Mexico	Iguala De La Independencia	2017	82.7%	92.6%	67.3%
Mexico	Igualapa	2000	8.1%	20.1%	2.6%
Mexico	Igualapa	2017	8.4%	20.3%	2.9%
Mexico	Ilamatlan	2000	3.2%	10.7%	0.9%
Mexico	Ilamatlan	2017	4.5%	11.5%	1.2%
Mexico	Iliatenco	2000	16.7%	72.4%	0.3%
Mexico	Iliatenco	2017	15.2%	63.6%	0.4%
Mexico	Imuris	2000	86.2%	94.7%	71.2%
Mexico	Imuris	2017	85.7%	94.9%	71.4%
Mexico	Indaparapeo	2000	65.9%	73.3%	58.0%
Mexico	Indaparapeo	2017	66.4%	76.1%	54.1%
Mexico	Inde	2000	51.9%	76.8%	23.6%
Mexico	Inde	2017	53.1%	78.6%	25.6%
Mexico	Irapuato	2000	79.7%	92.1%	62.8%
Mexico	Irapuato	2017	80.7%	92.5%	66.1%
Mexico	Irimbo	2000	57.7%	74.8%	38.4%
Mexico	Irimbo	2017	61.2%	77.2%	42.9%
Mexico	Isidro Fabela	2000	44.2%	49.5%	40.3%
Mexico	Isidro Fabela	2017	44.6%	48.6%	41.7%
Mexico	Isla	2000	68.2%	86.2%	43.1%
Mexico	Isla	2017	70.0%	87.5%	45.0%
Mexico	Isla Mujeres	2000	77.9%	99.7%	16.3%
Mexico	Isla Mujeres	2017	82.5%	99.7%	33.0%
Mexico	Iturbide	2000	33.2%	71.9%	6.1%
Mexico	Iturbide	2017	34.3%	71.3%	6.8%
Mexico	Ixcamilpa De Guerrero	2000	13.6%	28.0%	4.1%
Mexico	Ixcamilpa De Guerrero	2017	14.9%	27.2%	4.9%
Mexico	Ixcaquixtla	2000	50.6%	63.5%	39.1%
Mexico	Ixcaquixtla	2017	63.3%	76.6%	50.2%
Mexico	Ixcateopan De Cuauhtemoc	2000	26.3%	45.0%	11.5%
Mexico	Ixcateopan De Cuauhtemoc	2017	27.2%	47.6%	10.0%
Mexico	Ixcatepec	2000	22.9%	37.6%	13.9%
Mexico	Ixcatepec	2017	24.2%	39.2%	14.4%
Mexico	Ixhuacan De Los Reyes	2000	32.5%	38.0%	27.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Ixhuacan De Los Reyes	2017	33.2%	40.3%	26.9%
Mexico	Ixhuatan	2000	54.2%	62.8%	47.9%
Mexico	Ixhuatan	2017	63.0%	71.3%	53.0%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan De Madero	2000	9.2%	26.5%	1.4%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan De Madero	2017	9.2%	26.4%	1.5%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Cafe	2000	45.8%	46.4%	45.2%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Cafe	2017	48.8%	49.3%	48.1%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Sureste	2000	57.3%	59.9%	54.6%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Sureste	2017	58.5%	61.3%	55.8%
Mexico	Ixhuatlancillo	2000	75.7%	77.1%	74.6%
Mexico	Ixhuatlancillo	2017	75.2%	76.4%	74.3%
Mexico	Ixil	2000	29.8%	34.7%	25.0%
Mexico	Ixil	2017	30.8%	34.3%	27.2%
Mexico	Ixmatlahuacan	2000	65.8%	81.5%	48.3%
Mexico	Ixmatlahuacan	2017	70.6%	83.4%	54.2%
Mexico	Ixmiquilpan	2000	56.7%	74.7%	40.0%
Mexico	Ixmiquilpan	2017	60.8%	77.7%	44.8%
Mexico	Ixpantepec Nieves	2000	10.2%	14.5%	7.5%
Mexico	Ixpantepec Nieves	2017	11.2%	16.0%	8.3%
Mexico	Ixtacamaxtitlan	2000	29.2%	55.5%	11.5%
Mexico	Ixtacamaxtitlan	2017	30.0%	57.8%	12.0%
Mexico	Ixtacomitan	2000	59.0%	68.9%	48.4%
Mexico	Ixtacomitan	2017	60.2%	69.1%	49.2%
Mexico	Ixtacuixtla De Mariano Matamoros	2000	68.5%	71.6%	64.6%
Mexico	Ixtacuixtla De Mariano Matamoros	2017	70.1%	72.7%	66.6%
Mexico	Ixtaczoquitlan	2000	63.6%	64.1%	63.0%
Mexico	Ixtaczoquitlan	2017	65.5%	66.0%	65.0%
Mexico	Ixtapa	2000	53.2%	70.1%	34.7%
Mexico	Ixtapa	2017	54.5%	69.7%	37.3%
Mexico	Ixtapaluca	2000	86.8%	88.6%	84.0%
Mexico	Ixtapaluca	2017	87.3%	89.2%	84.5%
Mexico	Ixtapan De La Sal	2000	70.9%	77.0%	64.1%
Mexico	Ixtapan De La Sal	2017	72.0%	76.8%	66.9%
Mexico	Ixtapan Del Oro	2000	29.7%	41.1%	19.0%
Mexico	Ixtapan Del Oro	2017	32.1%	45.3%	19.7%
Mexico	Ixtapangajoya	2000	26.9%	40.6%	20.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Ixtapangajoya	2017	28.3%	39.1%	20.8%
Mexico	Ixtenco	2000	46.2%	48.7%	44.9%
Mexico	Ixtenco	2017	73.4%	74.5%	72.4%
Mexico	Ixtepec	2000	12.8%	13.3%	12.3%
Mexico	Ixtepec	2017	14.4%	15.0%	13.9%
Mexico	Ixtlahuaca	2000	20.5%	38.4%	7.7%
Mexico	Ixtlahuaca	2017	22.5%	40.4%	9.1%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2000	72.6%	88.4%	52.2%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2017	71.2%	90.0%	48.3%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2000	90.6%	97.3%	75.5%
Mexico	De Los Membrillos Ixtlahuacan	2017	91.9%	97.6%	79.9%
Mexico	De Los Membrillos Ixtlahuacan	2000	71.4%	91.9%	45.1%
Mexico	Del Rio Ixtlahuacan	2017	73.2%	93.1%	44.2%
Mexico	Ixtlan	2000	69.1%	80.0%	52.7%
Mexico	Ixtlan	2017	71.9%	76.5%	63.8%
Mexico	Ixtlan De Juarez	2000	56.7%	68.5%	46.6%
Mexico	Ixtlan De Juarez	2017	64.5%	70.9%	56.8%
Mexico	Ixtlan Del Rio	2000	80.3%	89.9%	68.1%
Mexico	Ixtlan Del Rio	2017	79.0%	89.5%	65.4%
Mexico	Izamal	2000	24.5%	36.7%	13.3%
Mexico	Izamal	2017	25.8%	38.7%	14.2%
Mexico	Iztacalco	2000	99.0%	99.0%	99.0%
Mexico	Iztacalco	2017	99.1%	99.1%	99.1%
Mexico	Iztapalapa	2000	97.2%	97.3%	97.2%
Mexico	Iztapalapa	2017	97.4%	97.5%	97.4%
Mexico	Izucar De Matamoros	2000	66.5%	71.5%	59.5%
Mexico	Izucar De Matamoros	2017	68.1%	73.6%	60.4%
Mexico	Jacala De Ledezma	2000	31.7%	51.5%	16.0%
Mexico	Jacala De Ledezma	2017	33.2%	53.8%	16.3%
Mexico	Jacona	2000	86.0%	89.9%	80.3%
Mexico	Jacona	2017	87.0%	90.7%	81.8%
Mexico	Jala	2000	62.5%	79.7%	44.3%
Mexico	Jala	2017	66.1%	81.9%	48.8%
Mexico	Jalacingo	2000	34.2%	44.6%	27.6%
Mexico	Jalacingo	2017	37.1%	45.0%	31.5%
Mexico	Jalapa	2000	80.4%	95.9%	53.0%
Mexico	Jalapa	2017	81.1%	96.0%	54.3%
Mexico	Jalcomulco	2000	23.9%	37.5%	14.3%
Mexico	Jalcomulco	2017	23.5%	30.9%	19.1%
Mexico	Jalostotitlan	2000	86.6%	94.6%	75.3%
Mexico	Jalostotitlan	2017	86.5%	94.0%	74.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jalpa	2000	81.1%	91.1%	65.9%
Mexico	Jalpa	2017	83.3%	90.6%	73.3%
Mexico	Jalpa De Mendez	2000	73.6%	90.1%	51.4%
Mexico	Jalpa De Mendez	2017	75.4%	91.0%	53.6%
Mexico	Jalpan	2000	28.8%	45.0%	13.0%
Mexico	Jalpan	2017	30.2%	47.1%	13.9%
Mexico	Jalpan De Serra	2000	37.2%	77.0%	8.4%
Mexico	Jalpan De Serra	2017	40.9%	81.4%	8.4%
Mexico	Jaltenco	2000	89.0%	89.2%	88.6%
Mexico	Jaltenco	2017	88.9%	89.2%	88.5%
Mexico	Jaltipan	2000	61.7%	62.7%	60.6%
Mexico	Jaltipan	2017	43.9%	45.6%	42.3%
Mexico	Jaltocan	2000	49.0%	64.7%	33.1%
Mexico	Jaltocan	2017	52.2%	68.8%	33.8%
Mexico	Jamapa	2000	59.3%	73.2%	44.0%
Mexico	Jamapa	2017	59.8%	75.5%	42.7%
Mexico	Jamay	2000	92.9%	96.2%	86.7%
Mexico	Jamay	2017	93.7%	96.2%	90.0%
Mexico	Janos	2000	62.8%	82.6%	38.8%
Mexico	Janos	2017	63.8%	84.2%	37.9%
Mexico	Jantetelco	2000	53.8%	58.8%	49.7%
Mexico	Jantetelco	2017	55.1%	60.1%	50.9%
Mexico	Jaral Del Progreso	2000	76.3%	88.8%	59.3%
Mexico	Jaral Del Progreso	2017	77.0%	88.4%	61.9%
Mexico	Jaumave	2000	42.5%	81.5%	11.8%
Mexico	Jaumave	2017	43.1%	83.6%	10.0%
Mexico	Jerecuaro	2000	42.5%	68.3%	17.6%
Mexico	Jerecuaro	2017	43.4%	68.2%	18.7%
Mexico	Jerez	2000	78.6%	91.1%	62.4%
Mexico	Jerez	2017	79.8%	91.5%	64.5%
Mexico	Jesus Caranza	2000	41.9%	73.4%	11.7%
Mexico	Jesus Caranza	2017	41.9%	71.1%	12.6%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2000	86.2%	94.3%	74.6%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2000	65.4%	94.7%	34.5%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2017	86.6%	94.7%	75.8%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2017	66.3%	95.1%	35.4%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2000	85.4%	85.8%	85.0%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2000	41.7%	66.1%	18.2%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2017	43.7%	66.1%	21.3%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2017	87.1%	87.4%	86.8%
Mexico	Jilotlan De Los Dolores	2000	40.9%	80.3%	10.3%
Mexico	Jilotlan De Los Dolores	2017	41.3%	79.9%	10.6%
Mexico	Jilotzingo	2000	63.6%	75.4%	44.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jilotzingo	2017	66.0%	75.4%	50.6%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	54.7%	77.3%	32.1%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	34.6%	61.3%	11.4%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	82.3%	94.5%	56.9%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	50.3%	68.6%	31.9%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	50.4%	69.4%	31.4%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	56.4%	79.2%	34.0%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	83.9%	93.6%	66.0%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	36.5%	63.7%	12.2%
Mexico	Jimenez Del Teul	2000	36.9%	85.5%	1.6%
Mexico	Jimenez Del Teul	2017	38.1%	85.6%	2.4%
Mexico	Jiquilpan	2000	87.0%	90.7%	80.0%
Mexico	Jiquilpan	2017	87.6%	91.1%	80.6%
Mexico	Jiquipilas	2000	59.6%	95.2%	16.5%
Mexico	Jiquipilas	2017	59.6%	95.1%	16.9%
Mexico	Jiquipilco	2000	15.2%	28.6%	6.8%
Mexico	Jiquipilco	2017	16.9%	31.7%	7.8%
Mexico	Jitotol	2000	35.6%	45.1%	26.5%
Mexico	Jitotol	2017	35.5%	44.2%	27.1%
Mexico	Jiutepec	2000	89.4%	92.3%	85.3%
Mexico	Jiutepec	2017	90.6%	92.8%	87.1%
Mexico	Jocotepec	2000	79.8%	95.4%	52.3%
Mexico	Jocotepec	2017	81.7%	94.3%	59.1%
Mexico	Jocotitlan	2000	39.9%	63.6%	15.7%
Mexico	Jocotitlan	2017	41.7%	66.4%	15.9%
Mexico	Jojutla	2000	86.4%	88.2%	82.9%
Mexico	Jojutla	2017	87.6%	89.4%	83.5%
Mexico	Jolalpan	2000	14.7%	34.9%	3.5%
Mexico	Jolalpan	2017	15.2%	34.6%	3.8%
Mexico	Jonacatepec	2000	68.9%	75.7%	61.6%
Mexico	Jonacatepec	2017	70.4%	77.0%	63.4%
Mexico	Jonotla	2000	18.4%	18.9%	17.8%
Mexico	Jonotla	2017	20.6%	21.2%	19.9%
Mexico	Jonuta	2000	56.1%	85.6%	27.0%
Mexico	Jonuta	2017	56.9%	86.5%	26.2%
Mexico	Jopala	2000	18.9%	26.6%	12.8%
Mexico	Jopala	2017	19.3%	25.4%	14.3%
Mexico	Joquicingo	2000	77.3%	78.8%	76.1%
Mexico	Joquicingo	2017	76.3%	78.2%	74.8%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2000	57.3%	83.0%	28.3%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2000	70.8%	95.3%	15.9%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2017	58.2%	82.9%	30.3%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2017	71.5%	95.4%	17.8%
Mexico	Jose Joaquin De Herrera	2000	28.2%	92.7%	0.5%
Mexico	Jose Joaquin De Herrera	2017	29.4%	91.5%	0.5%
Mexico	Jose Maria Morelos	2000	50.1%	84.4%	16.1%
Mexico	Jose Maria Morelos	2017	50.7%	84.2%	16.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jose Sixto Verduzco	2000	48.0%	74.5%	23.1%
Mexico	Jose Sixto Verduzco	2017	49.5%	74.7%	25.4%
Mexico	Juan Aldama	2000	70.7%	83.7%	57.5%
Mexico	Juan Aldama	2017	71.2%	87.3%	49.6%
Mexico	Juan C. Bonilla	2000	42.1%	43.4%	40.9%
Mexico	Juan C. Bonilla	2017	45.4%	46.6%	44.1%
Mexico	Juan Galindo	2000	51.5%	57.4%	45.7%
Mexico	Juan Galindo	2017	52.2%	56.1%	48.0%
Mexico	Juan N. Mendez	2000	35.6%	56.4%	21.9%
Mexico	Juan N. Mendez	2017	35.9%	51.1%	22.3%
Mexico	Juan R. Escudero	2000	33.6%	63.6%	10.2%
Mexico	Juan R. Escudero	2017	37.2%	65.7%	10.7%
Mexico	Juan Rodriguez Clara	2000	64.7%	85.6%	38.3%
Mexico	Juan Rodriguez Clara	2017	65.3%	86.7%	37.6%
Mexico	Juanacatlan	2000	87.5%	94.7%	72.7%
Mexico	Juanacatlan	2017	88.4%	94.2%	78.0%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	37.8%	74.7%	11.5%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	88.9%	97.2%	74.1%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	75.9%	84.7%	63.5%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	45.9%	62.6%	29.6%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	52.2%	81.1%	25.0%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	89.4%	97.5%	71.2%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	47.6%	62.4%	32.2%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	39.5%	76.1%	12.6%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	69.2%	83.6%	52.5%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	53.2%	82.4%	25.5%
Mexico	Juarez Hidalgo	2000	35.2%	43.8%	30.4%
Mexico	Juarez Hidalgo	2017	35.1%	45.0%	29.5%
Mexico	Juchipila	2000	91.7%	94.1%	86.0%
Mexico	Juchipila	2017	92.0%	94.2%	86.9%
Mexico	Juchique De Ferrer	2000	47.7%	59.4%	35.8%
Mexico	Juchique De Ferrer	2017	49.5%	62.2%	36.2%
Mexico	Juchitan	2000	26.2%	74.8%	2.7%
Mexico	Juchitan	2017	24.0%	50.7%	5.9%
Mexico	Juchitan De Zaragoza	2000	84.7%	87.7%	80.3%
Mexico	Juchitan De Zaragoza	2017	86.1%	88.6%	82.1%
Mexico	Juchitepec	2000	66.2%	69.7%	61.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Juchitepec	2017	69.5%	72.5%	65.7%
Mexico	Juchitlan	2000	72.5%	91.1%	39.5%
Mexico	Juchitlan	2017	71.9%	90.7%	47.1%
Mexico	Julimes	2000	75.6%	88.4%	57.4%
Mexico	Julimes	2017	76.9%	88.5%	57.9%
Mexico	Jungapeo	2000	40.0%	61.9%	21.5%
Mexico	Jungapeo	2017	42.2%	67.6%	19.9%
Mexico	Kanasin	2000	45.8%	50.2%	41.5%
Mexico	Kanasin	2017	46.3%	49.6%	43.0%
Mexico	Kantunil	2000	23.5%	40.1%	13.8%
Mexico	Kantunil	2017	22.6%	42.3%	9.7%
Mexico	Kaua	2000	7.7%	12.1%	5.4%
Mexico	Kaua	2017	8.8%	14.7%	5.5%
Mexico	Kinchil	2000	8.2%	9.8%	6.9%
Mexico	Kinchil	2017	8.9%	11.2%	7.3%
Mexico	Kopoma	2000	9.6%	16.5%	6.6%
Mexico	Kopoma	2017	11.7%	24.7%	6.5%
Mexico	La Antigua	2000	78.7%	96.2%	36.6%
Mexico	La Antigua	2017	80.5%	95.8%	47.1%
Mexico	La Barca	2000	84.8%	92.2%	70.7%
Mexico	La Barca	2017	86.8%	93.1%	74.5%
Mexico	La Colorada	2000	55.4%	77.3%	31.5%
Mexico	La Colorada	2017	56.7%	76.2%	33.4%
Mexico	La Compania	2000	3.8%	4.2%	3.5%
Mexico	La Compania	2017	4.3%	4.7%	3.9%
Mexico	La Concordia	2000	60.9%	84.4%	26.5%
Mexico	La Concordia	2017	62.0%	84.8%	28.5%
Mexico	La Cruz	2000	73.5%	89.0%	47.8%
Mexico	La Cruz	2017	74.1%	89.2%	51.4%
Mexico	La Grandeza	2000	20.5%	36.4%	11.1%
Mexico	La Grandeza	2017	20.0%	31.5%	13.1%
Mexico	La Huacana	2000	41.2%	78.7%	7.8%
Mexico	La Huacana	2017	41.7%	78.1%	8.8%
Mexico	La Huerta	2000	63.8%	97.1%	19.9%
Mexico	La Huerta	2017	64.5%	97.4%	20.4%
Mexico	La Independencia	2000	46.2%	81.3%	15.3%
Mexico	La Independencia	2017	47.1%	80.7%	16.2%
Mexico	La Libertad	2000	69.8%	88.6%	51.6%
Mexico	La Libertad	2017	71.9%	90.6%	53.2%
Mexico	La Magdalena Contreras	2000	96.2%	96.3%	96.1%
Mexico	La Magdalena Contreras	2017	96.3%	96.4%	96.2%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlaltelulco	2000	83.0%	83.4%	82.7%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlaltelulco	2017	83.9%	84.3%	83.6%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlatlauquitepec	2000	60.4%	74.3%	45.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlatlauquitepec	2017	60.7%	75.7%	44.4%
Mexico	La Manzanilla De La Paz	2000	53.8%	77.8%	28.8%
Mexico	La Manzanilla De La Paz	2017	51.3%	71.6%	32.3%
Mexico	La Mision	2000	37.5%	63.1%	18.4%
Mexico	La Mision	2017	38.8%	60.8%	20.5%
Mexico	La Paz	2000	88.3%	88.5%	88.0%
Mexico	La Paz	2000	87.2%	91.7%	81.4%
Mexico	La Paz	2017	88.5%	88.7%	88.3%
Mexico	La Paz	2017	87.4%	92.1%	80.9%
Mexico	La Pe	2000	0.7%	0.8%	0.6%
Mexico	La Pe	2017	0.8%	0.9%	0.7%
Mexico	La Perla	2000	34.1%	46.3%	25.9%
Mexico	La Perla	2017	34.9%	41.4%	29.8%
Mexico	La Piedad	2000	85.2%	91.4%	75.5%
Mexico	La Piedad	2017	85.7%	93.3%	71.9%
Mexico	La Reforma	2000	10.2%	23.0%	3.9%
Mexico	La Reforma	2017	11.9%	21.6%	6.9%
Mexico	La Trinidad Vista Hermosa	2000	45.3%	47.6%	42.9%
Mexico	La Trinidad Vista Hermosa	2017	46.7%	49.0%	44.4%
Mexico	La Trinitaria	2000	41.2%	71.5%	11.8%
Mexico	La Trinitaria	2017	42.4%	74.2%	12.0%
Mexico	La Union De Isidoro Montes De Oca	2000	44.3%	79.6%	9.0%
Mexico	La Union De Isidoro Montes De Oca	2017	46.4%	81.0%	10.8%
Mexico	La Yesca	2000	50.2%	75.0%	21.2%
Mexico	La Yesca	2017	50.3%	73.5%	22.5%
Mexico	Lafragua	2000	23.8%	43.0%	8.4%
Mexico	Lafragua	2017	24.6%	44.3%	9.5%
Mexico	Lagos De Moreno	2000	76.8%	91.5%	53.6%
Mexico	Lagos De Moreno	2017	77.2%	91.8%	55.0%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2000	32.5%	64.1%	9.0%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2000	28.4%	36.3%	22.4%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2017	30.9%	40.5%	23.5%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2017	32.8%	61.2%	9.0%
Mexico	Lamadrid	2000	42.0%	49.3%	36.1%
Mexico	Lamadrid	2017	43.2%	54.1%	34.3%
Mexico	Lampazos De Naranjo	2000	52.6%	62.8%	42.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Lampazos De Naranjo	2017	54.4%	64.6%	43.9%
Mexico	Landa De Matamoros	2000	36.6%	66.5%	10.3%
Mexico	Landa De Matamoros	2017	37.9%	67.3%	11.1%
Mexico	Landero Y Coss	2000	84.7%	85.5%	83.8%
Mexico	Landero Y Coss	2017	86.2%	86.9%	85.4%
Mexico	Larrainzar	2000	13.3%	25.3%	7.0%
Mexico	Larrainzar	2017	13.9%	25.6%	7.6%
Mexico	Las Choapas	2000	47.4%	66.7%	27.5%
Mexico	Las Choapas	2017	48.7%	67.4%	28.4%
Mexico	Las Margaritas	2000	36.1%	61.9%	13.0%
Mexico	Las Margaritas	2017	36.8%	61.9%	13.9%
Mexico	Las Minas	2000	20.7%	23.2%	19.2%
Mexico	Las Minas	2017	21.5%	23.9%	20.0%
Mexico	Las Rosas	2000	54.9%	73.8%	36.9%
Mexico	Las Rosas	2017	55.4%	75.5%	36.8%
Mexico	Las Vigas De Ramirez	2000	39.0%	40.6%	37.4%
Mexico	Las Vigas De Ramirez	2017	39.9%	41.4%	38.4%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	72.7%	91.6%	42.6%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	66.7%	83.9%	48.7%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	32.8%	67.7%	9.2%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	73.5%	91.0%	46.5%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	74.0%	83.6%	63.5%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	33.8%	67.9%	9.6%
Mexico	Leon	2000	85.7%	97.2%	66.9%
Mexico	Leon	2017	86.0%	97.4%	67.6%
Mexico	Leonardo Bravo	2000	45.1%	79.4%	15.6%
Mexico	Leonardo Bravo	2017	46.3%	80.7%	16.1%
Mexico	Lerdo	2000	80.1%	88.3%	69.6%
Mexico	Lerdo	2017	80.1%	89.2%	68.2%
Mexico	Lerdo De Tejada	2000	72.9%	79.2%	65.3%
Mexico	Lerdo De Tejada	2017	76.9%	82.3%	70.1%
Mexico	Lerma	2000	70.2%	71.6%	68.5%
Mexico	Lerma	2017	72.2%	73.5%	70.5%
Mexico	Libres	2000	51.0%	57.3%	45.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Libres	2017	50.9%	56.2%	45.4%
Mexico	Linares	2000	68.6%	82.5%	53.9%
Mexico	Linares	2017	70.0%	83.0%	55.6%
Mexico	Llera	2000	30.6%	57.0%	11.3%
Mexico	Llera	2017	31.2%	57.5%	12.1%
Mexico	Lolotla	2000	41.3%	66.1%	13.7%
Mexico	Lolotla	2017	40.7%	63.5%	15.3%
Mexico	Loma Bonita	2000	61.7%	86.7%	30.4%
Mexico	Loma Bonita	2017	62.9%	87.3%	32.1%
Mexico	Lopez	2000	76.3%	94.9%	45.2%
Mexico	Lopez	2017	78.4%	94.5%	55.1%
Mexico	Loreto	2000	79.9%	93.0%	59.3%
Mexico	Loreto	2000	65.9%	80.5%	46.7%
Mexico	Loreto	2017	81.0%	92.3%	61.7%
Mexico	Loreto	2017	67.1%	81.6%	48.2%
Mexico	Los Aldamas	2000	56.9%	89.4%	19.6%
Mexico	Los Aldamas	2017	58.4%	92.1%	21.1%
Mexico	Los Cabos	2000	79.1%	94.2%	55.4%
Mexico	Los Cabos	2017	80.4%	94.1%	58.1%
Mexico	Los Herreras	2000	72.6%	88.3%	45.9%
Mexico	Los Herreras	2017	74.0%	89.1%	48.8%
Mexico	Los Ramones	2000	62.7%	89.8%	30.3%
Mexico	Los Ramones	2017	63.5%	90.6%	30.9%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2000	52.4%	80.6%	22.1%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2000	5.9%	6.2%	5.5%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2017	55.5%	82.3%	25.1%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2017	7.2%	7.6%	6.9%
Mexico	Los Reyes De Juarez	2000	27.4%	28.6%	26.3%
Mexico	Los Reyes De Juarez	2017	30.6%	32.0%	29.4%
Mexico	Luis Moya	2000	88.7%	93.5%	82.2%
Mexico	Luis Moya	2017	88.8%	93.9%	81.7%
Mexico	Luvianos	2000	42.8%	92.7%	4.6%
Mexico	Luvianos	2017	44.1%	92.8%	4.8%
Mexico	Macuspana	2000	68.2%	88.8%	43.7%
Mexico	Macuspana	2017	69.6%	89.5%	45.5%
Mexico	Madera	2000	41.5%	51.8%	29.0%
Mexico	Madera	2017	42.3%	53.2%	29.7%
Mexico	Madero	2000	47.6%	78.0%	17.5%
Mexico	Madero	2017	49.0%	78.8%	18.1%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	86.1%	96.2%	68.1%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	21.9%	22.8%	21.3%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	90.4%	95.6%	83.3%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	88.3%	95.4%	75.6%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	23.9%	24.7%	23.2%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	90.2%	95.7%	82.4%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	28.7%	29.9%	27.6%
Mexico	Apasco	2017	31.4%	32.7%	30.2%
Mexico	Magdalena Jaltepec	2000	10.2%	19.1%	6.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Magdalena Jaltepec	2017	11.6%	22.4%	6.5%
Mexico	Magdalena Mixtepec	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Mexico	Magdalena Mixtepec	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Mexico	Magdalena Ocotlan	2000	8.6%	9.3%	8.0%
Mexico	Magdalena Ocotlan	2017	9.6%	10.4%	8.8%
Mexico	Magdalena Penasco	2000	1.3%	1.6%	1.0%
Mexico	Magdalena Penasco	2017	1.8%	2.2%	1.5%
Mexico	Magdalena Teitipac	2000	31.8%	32.9%	30.9%
Mexico	Magdalena Teitipac	2017	34.9%	36.0%	33.8%
Mexico	Magdalena Tequisistlan	2000	51.9%	81.5%	18.7%
Mexico	Magdalena Tequisistlan	2017	53.4%	83.7%	19.5%
Mexico	Magdalena Tlacotepec	2000	69.7%	74.8%	60.9%
Mexico	Magdalena Tlacotepec	2017	70.4%	89.1%	45.5%
Mexico	Magdalena Yodocono De Porfirio Diaz	2000	12.1%	12.7%	11.3%
Mexico	Magdalena Yodocono De Porfirio Diaz	2017	13.0%	13.7%	12.2%
Mexico	Magdalena Zahuatlan	2000	35.2%	37.5%	33.2%
Mexico	Magdalena Zahuatlan	2017	32.2%	34.8%	29.7%
Mexico	Maguarichi	2000	7.2%	26.9%	0.8%
Mexico	Maguarichi	2017	10.3%	35.0%	0.8%
Mexico	Mainero	2000	22.8%	41.7%	9.4%
Mexico	Mainero	2017	24.8%	44.6%	9.0%
Mexico	Malinalco	2000	57.5%	74.7%	40.9%
Mexico	Malinalco	2017	58.2%	77.1%	39.5%
Mexico	Malinaltepec	2000	8.1%	29.7%	0.7%
Mexico	Malinaltepec	2017	8.1%	28.7%	0.6%
Mexico	Maltrata	2000	30.0%	36.0%	26.6%
Mexico	Maltrata	2017	31.2%	36.4%	28.0%
Mexico	Mama	2000	13.1%	14.4%	12.2%
Mexico	Mama	2017	14.2%	15.6%	13.2%
Mexico	Mani	2000	19.0%	21.7%	16.8%
Mexico	Mani	2017	20.2%	23.4%	17.6%
Mexico	Manlio Fabio Altamirano	2000	49.1%	66.3%	31.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Manlio Fabio Altamirano	2017	50.8%	66.8%	33.1%
Mexico	Manuel Benavides	2000	68.3%	90.3%	34.7%
Mexico	Manuel Benavides	2017	70.0%	91.3%	36.7%
Mexico	Manuel Doblado	2000	58.7%	81.6%	35.1%
Mexico	Manuel Doblado	2017	60.2%	82.0%	37.6%
Mexico	Manzanillo	2000	87.1%	97.9%	61.7%
Mexico	Manzanillo	2017	87.1%	98.0%	63.3%
Mexico	Mapastepec	2000	68.4%	87.4%	47.8%
Mexico	Mapastepec	2017	68.9%	88.4%	48.2%
Mexico	Mapimi	2000	59.2%	77.1%	39.7%
Mexico	Mapimi	2017	59.5%	73.8%	43.4%
Mexico	Maravatio	2000	56.2%	76.7%	33.1%
Mexico	Maravatio	2017	57.9%	77.6%	35.5%
Mexico	Maravilla Tenejapa	2000	8.5%	30.6%	0.6%
Mexico	Maravilla Tenejapa	2017	10.3%	31.7%	0.7%
Mexico	Marcos Castellanos	2000	79.9%	89.1%	69.5%
Mexico	Marcos Castellanos	2017	80.9%	89.5%	69.9%
Mexico	Mariano Escobedo	2000	52.4%	61.7%	47.8%
Mexico	Mariano Escobedo	2017	58.6%	67.7%	54.0%
Mexico	Marin	2000	89.4%	91.6%	86.3%
Mexico	Marin	2017	86.2%	90.9%	78.3%
Mexico	Mariscala De Juarez	2000	33.4%	42.7%	24.9%
Mexico	Mariscala De Juarez	2017	34.4%	44.7%	24.7%
Mexico	Marquelia	2000	38.5%	91.7%	1.4%
Mexico	Marquelia	2017	37.0%	90.3%	1.8%
Mexico	Marques De Comillas	2000	22.7%	52.3%	5.3%
Mexico	Marques De Comillas	2017	24.2%	53.3%	4.8%
Mexico	Martinez De La Torre	2000	77.4%	93.5%	45.0%
Mexico	Martinez De La Torre	2017	78.4%	93.7%	46.6%
Mexico	Martir De Cuilapan	2000	36.6%	75.9%	5.1%
Mexico	Martir De Cuilapan	2017	36.7%	77.6%	5.9%
Mexico	Martires De Tacubaya	2000	21.8%	27.0%	17.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Martires De Tacubaya	2017	21.9%	26.6%	17.8%
Mexico	Mascota	2000	67.5%	96.4%	17.6%
Mexico	Mascota	2017	68.2%	96.7%	17.9%
Mexico	Matachi	2000	51.4%	76.4%	24.8%
Mexico	Matachi	2017	52.3%	76.6%	26.1%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	66.8%	85.9%	37.3%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	72.7%	83.2%	57.4%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	64.6%	87.5%	35.3%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	62.7%	83.8%	36.1%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	65.3%	87.7%	36.0%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	73.8%	85.3%	54.1%
Mexico	Matehuala	2000	72.1%	79.5%	65.3%
Mexico	Matehuala	2017	71.9%	79.9%	63.4%
Mexico	Matias Romero Avendano	2000	63.1%	90.3%	36.4%
Mexico	Matias Romero Avendano	2017	63.1%	90.9%	37.2%
Mexico	Matlapa	2000	18.7%	30.6%	12.2%
Mexico	Matlapa	2017	20.0%	30.2%	13.9%
Mexico	Maxcanu	2000	25.1%	48.9%	6.1%
Mexico	Maxcanu	2017	24.1%	43.8%	8.1%
Mexico	Mayapan	2000	2.3%	3.4%	1.6%
Mexico	Mayapan	2017	2.3%	3.3%	1.6%
Mexico	Mazamitla	2000	74.3%	85.8%	57.8%
Mexico	Mazamitla	2017	77.4%	84.6%	67.3%
Mexico	Mazapa De Madero	2000	41.1%	54.6%	24.6%
Mexico	Mazapa De Madero	2017	40.5%	53.2%	25.0%
Mexico	Mazapil	2000	27.0%	45.8%	14.0%
Mexico	Mazapil	2017	27.4%	46.3%	14.7%
Mexico	Mazapiltepec De Juarez	2000	23.4%	38.3%	14.9%
Mexico	Mazapiltepec De Juarez	2017	19.9%	25.1%	17.0%
Mexico	Mazatan	2000	71.9%	90.8%	47.4%
Mexico	Mazatan	2000	84.5%	94.5%	64.6%
Mexico	Mazatan	2017	73.1%	91.6%	48.5%
Mexico	Mazatan	2017	87.1%	93.3%	77.0%
Mexico	Mazatecochco De Jose Maria Morelos	2000	73.1%	73.7%	72.4%
Mexico	Mazatecochco De Jose Maria Morelos	2017	74.6%	75.2%	74.0%
Mexico	Mazatepec	2000	72.2%	78.8%	64.1%
Mexico	Mazatepec	2017	73.5%	80.0%	65.4%
Mexico	Mazatlan	2000	82.3%	96.3%	54.0%
Mexico	Mazatlan	2017	82.9%	95.7%	58.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Mazatlan De Villa Flores	2000	3.0%	4.8%	2.3%
Mexico	Mazatlan De Villa Flores	2017	3.2%	4.3%	2.6%
Mexico	Mecatlan	2000	3.8%	4.1%	3.6%
Mexico	Mecatlan	2017	3.7%	3.9%	3.4%
Mexico	Mecayapan	2000	17.7%	30.0%	8.9%
Mexico	Mecayapan	2017	18.6%	32.0%	8.7%
Mexico	Medellin	2000	71.3%	78.4%	61.4%
Mexico	Medellin	2017	68.0%	77.1%	56.6%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	30.5%	70.5%	3.6%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	89.0%	89.3%	88.8%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	85.9%	89.4%	81.1%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	90.8%	91.0%	90.5%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	86.5%	90.6%	79.8%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	32.8%	75.0%	4.3%
Mexico	Mendez	2000	24.0%	46.4%	7.7%
Mexico	Mendez	2017	25.4%	48.5%	8.7%
Mexico	Meoqui	2000	86.0%	92.1%	75.8%
Mexico	Meoqui	2017	86.8%	92.5%	76.9%
Mexico	Merida	2000	61.4%	73.4%	47.8%
Mexico	Merida	2017	62.6%	74.3%	50.9%
Mexico	Mesones Hidalgo	2000	23.7%	44.1%	8.5%
Mexico	Mesones Hidalgo	2017	24.6%	41.8%	12.9%
Mexico	Metapa	2000	50.0%	57.5%	43.4%
Mexico	Metapa	2017	50.0%	59.5%	42.4%
Mexico	Metepec	2000	30.9%	40.8%	21.2%
Mexico	Metepec	2000	89.6%	91.3%	86.0%
Mexico	Metepec	2017	90.3%	91.9%	86.9%
Mexico	Metepec	2017	33.0%	43.3%	22.0%
Mexico	Metlatonoc	2000	5.4%	15.7%	0.5%
Mexico	Metlatonoc	2017	4.9%	13.0%	0.6%
Mexico	Metztitlan	2000	41.9%	68.3%	19.4%
Mexico	Metztitlan	2017	44.1%	69.9%	20.4%
Mexico	Mexicali	2000	78.8%	89.5%	63.9%
Mexico	Mexicali	2017	80.1%	90.4%	64.2%
Mexico	Mexicaltzingo	2000	92.6%	93.3%	91.7%
Mexico	Mexicaltzingo	2017	92.5%	93.1%	91.7%
Mexico	Mexquitic De Carmona	2000	47.9%	71.9%	22.0%
Mexico	Mexquitic De Carmona	2017	49.1%	71.0%	23.3%
Mexico	Mexxicacan	2000	76.5%	83.7%	65.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Mexxicacan	2017	77.9%	84.6%	67.4%
Mexico	Mezquital	2000	57.9%	75.5%	40.0%
Mexico	Mezquital	2017	61.7%	79.2%	42.3%
Mexico	Mezquital Del Oro	2000	50.9%	70.3%	31.4%
Mexico	Mezquital Del Oro	2017	54.2%	79.0%	30.0%
Mexico	Mezquitic	2000	32.9%	60.0%	9.3%
Mexico	Mezquitic	2017	34.0%	62.1%	9.1%
Mexico	Miacatlan	2000	65.0%	73.4%	55.5%
Mexico	Miacatlan	2017	66.5%	75.4%	56.1%
Mexico	Miahuatlan	2000	80.3%	80.9%	79.7%
Mexico	Miahuatlan	2017	79.6%	80.3%	79.1%
Mexico	Miahuatlan De Porfirio Diaz	2000	23.2%	25.5%	21.6%
Mexico	Miahuatlan De Porfirio Diaz	2017	27.4%	29.5%	25.9%
Mexico	Mier	2000	81.1%	94.0%	59.6%
Mexico	Mier	2017	80.9%	93.9%	63.3%
Mexico	Mier Y Noriega	2000	17.1%	48.7%	2.3%
Mexico	Mier Y Noriega	2017	17.6%	48.6%	2.2%
Mexico	Miguel Aleman	2000	84.8%	93.9%	66.2%
Mexico	Miguel Aleman	2017	86.1%	94.0%	70.7%
Mexico	Miguel Auza	2000	69.5%	87.4%	51.4%
Mexico	Miguel Auza	2017	67.0%	91.0%	42.3%
Mexico	Miguel Hidalgo	2000	96.7%	96.7%	96.6%
Mexico	Miguel Hidalgo	2017	96.7%	96.7%	96.6%
Mexico	Milpa Alta	2000	84.3%	87.6%	78.9%
Mexico	Milpa Alta	2017	86.9%	90.3%	80.8%
Mexico	Mina	2000	78.7%	87.8%	66.7%
Mexico	Mina	2017	66.1%	80.7%	48.6%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2000	80.1%	85.2%	74.0%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2000	79.7%	93.0%	60.3%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2017	80.0%	85.1%	73.6%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2017	79.6%	93.5%	59.4%
Mexico	Mineral De La Reforma	2000	90.5%	92.8%	87.2%
Mexico	Mineral De La Reforma	2017	89.5%	92.9%	83.6%
Mexico	Mineral Del Chico	2000	45.4%	54.0%	39.8%
Mexico	Mineral Del Chico	2017	50.3%	55.0%	46.7%
Mexico	Mineral Del Monte	2000	63.7%	65.6%	61.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Mineral Del Monte	2017	66.6%	68.7%	64.1%
Mexico	Miquihuana	2000	18.0%	46.6%	2.1%
Mexico	Miquihuana	2017	18.7%	46.7%	2.2%
Mexico	Misantla	2000	55.9%	78.9%	35.6%
Mexico	Misantla	2017	57.2%	78.6%	37.8%
Mexico	Mitontic	2000	11.6%	16.9%	8.5%
Mexico	Mitontic	2017	13.0%	18.0%	10.2%
Mexico	Mixistlan De La Reforma	2000	18.1%	19.4%	16.6%
Mexico	Mixistlan De La Reforma	2017	15.0%	16.7%	13.1%
Mexico	Mixquiahuala De Juarez	2000	75.4%	76.7%	74.2%
Mexico	Mixquiahuala De Juarez	2017	76.0%	77.3%	74.6%
Mexico	Mixtla	2000	52.8%	54.3%	51.2%
Mexico	Mixtla	2017	57.2%	58.7%	55.5%
Mexico	Mixtla De Altamirano	2000	2.2%	2.5%	1.9%
Mexico	Mixtla De Altamirano	2017	2.2%	2.6%	1.9%
Mexico	Mixtlan	2000	52.2%	88.1%	18.7%
Mexico	Mixtlan	2017	53.8%	89.4%	20.4%
Mexico	Mochitlan	2000	49.0%	87.5%	11.4%
Mexico	Mochitlan	2017	49.3%	85.5%	12.5%
Mexico	Mococho	2000	27.4%	28.2%	26.5%
Mexico	Mococho	2017	29.0%	29.9%	28.1%
Mexico	Mocorito	2000	53.3%	77.7%	29.2%
Mexico	Mocorito	2017	54.3%	78.7%	29.2%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2000	91.2%	95.9%	84.6%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2000	38.0%	64.5%	12.7%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2017	40.2%	67.2%	13.1%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2017	91.9%	96.2%	85.7%
Mexico	Molango De Escamilla	2000	39.2%	58.1%	20.1%
Mexico	Molango De Escamilla	2017	43.1%	59.1%	26.2%
Mexico	Molcaxac	2000	42.9%	52.9%	32.5%
Mexico	Molcaxac	2017	45.0%	55.7%	33.3%
Mexico	Moloacan	2000	62.9%	86.1%	37.3%
Mexico	Moloacan	2017	64.0%	86.3%	38.9%
Mexico	Momax	2000	68.6%	85.0%	50.8%
Mexico	Momax	2017	69.7%	85.4%	49.2%
Mexico	Monclova	2000	82.4%	90.0%	71.9%
Mexico	Monclova	2017	83.6%	91.3%	71.1%
Mexico	Monjas	2000	39.0%	40.6%	37.4%
Mexico	Monjas	2017	42.5%	43.7%	41.2%
Mexico	Monte Escobedo	2000	49.1%	84.3%	12.1%
Mexico	Monte Escobedo	2017	50.3%	84.9%	12.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Montecristo De Guerrero	2000	44.9%	78.4%	15.2%
Mexico	Montecristo De Guerrero	2017	47.4%	79.0%	17.9%
Mexico	Montemorelos	2000	66.6%	82.7%	48.1%
Mexico	Montemorelos	2017	68.4%	82.6%	48.6%
Mexico	Monterrey	2000	98.7%	99.5%	96.1%
Mexico	Monterrey	2017	98.8%	99.5%	96.2%
Mexico	Morelia	2000	81.6%	91.7%	69.5%
Mexico	Morelia	2017	82.4%	91.7%	72.4%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	69.3%	74.2%	62.9%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	18.1%	39.1%	4.7%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	47.4%	72.8%	22.2%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	74.1%	82.7%	63.3%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	26.6%	51.0%	10.6%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	20.1%	41.0%	5.3%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	50.1%	74.0%	24.3%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	75.3%	83.5%	65.0%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	73.3%	78.6%	66.1%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	28.0%	52.3%	11.0%
Mexico	Moris	2000	32.5%	70.2%	5.7%
Mexico	Moris	2017	33.5%	70.7%	6.0%
Mexico	Moroleon	2000	88.1%	91.7%	83.3%
Mexico	Moroleon	2017	88.8%	91.5%	85.4%
Mexico	Motozintla	2000	44.0%	65.6%	21.3%
Mexico	Motozintla	2017	44.1%	65.4%	21.9%
Mexico	Motul	2000	23.7%	24.7%	22.7%
Mexico	Motul	2017	27.4%	28.4%	26.4%
Mexico	Moyahua De Estrada	2000	67.0%	91.4%	34.5%
Mexico	Moyahua De Estrada	2017	67.2%	91.8%	33.9%
Mexico	Mugica	2000	45.1%	62.2%	26.9%
Mexico	Mugica	2017	50.0%	67.0%	32.0%
Mexico	Mulege	2000	66.3%	79.7%	52.4%
Mexico	Mulege	2017	68.6%	79.3%	56.8%
Mexico	Muna	2000	35.7%	44.4%	27.8%
Mexico	Muna	2017	37.4%	45.7%	29.7%
Mexico	Munoz Domingo Arenas	2000	66.7%	75.6%	57.1%
Mexico	Munoz Domingo Arenas	2017	68.4%	76.8%	58.7%
Mexico	Muxupip	2000	18.2%	19.5%	17.1%
Mexico	Muxupip	2017	21.4%	22.6%	20.3%
Mexico	Muzquiz	2000	71.9%	82.8%	55.9%
Mexico	Muzquiz	2017	73.9%	79.7%	66.7%
Mexico	Nacajuca	2000	76.5%	93.6%	51.8%
Mexico	Nacajuca	2017	77.7%	95.0%	50.4%
Mexico	Naco	2000	85.4%	96.4%	66.8%
Mexico	Naco	2017	86.0%	96.6%	68.2%
Mexico	Nacori Chico	2000	64.7%	92.2%	23.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Nacori Chico	2017	64.0%	92.0%	23.9%
Mexico	Nacozari De Garcia	2000	76.3%	99.5%	14.4%
Mexico	Nacozari De Garcia	2017	77.6%	98.5%	25.7%
Mexico	Nadadores	2000	49.6%	78.2%	19.5%
Mexico	Nadadores	2017	48.9%	77.5%	23.4%
Mexico	Nahuatzen	2000	16.1%	28.8%	7.9%
Mexico	Nahuatzen	2017	16.6%	29.3%	8.2%
Mexico	Namiquipa	2000	63.7%	90.2%	32.7%
Mexico	Namiquipa	2017	64.2%	90.7%	32.6%
Mexico	Nanacamilpa De Mariano Arista	2000	92.2%	94.1%	88.2%
Mexico	Nanacamilpa De Mariano Arista	2017	92.8%	94.4%	89.2%
Mexico	Nanchital De Lazaro Cardenas Del Rio	2000	73.2%	73.9%	72.4%
Mexico	Nanchital De Lazaro Cardenas Del Rio	2017	74.7%	75.3%	74.0%
Mexico	Naolinco	2000	79.8%	82.4%	77.1%
Mexico	Naolinco	2017	81.3%	83.6%	78.8%
Mexico	Naranjal	2000	26.6%	27.8%	25.5%
Mexico	Naranjal	2017	27.5%	28.6%	26.5%
Mexico	Naranjos Amatlan	2000	30.5%	35.4%	24.2%
Mexico	Naranjos Amatlan	2017	18.5%	22.3%	15.0%
Mexico	Natividad	2000	93.3%	94.4%	92.0%
Mexico	Natividad	2017	93.7%	94.8%	92.5%
Mexico	Nativitas	2000	73.2%	73.7%	72.6%
Mexico	Nativitas	2017	74.3%	74.8%	73.8%
Mexico	Naucalpan De Juarez	2000	88.8%	90.3%	86.6%
Mexico	Naucalpan De Juarez	2017	89.4%	91.1%	86.9%
Mexico	Naupan	2000	22.5%	30.4%	15.5%
Mexico	Naupan	2017	24.1%	32.1%	16.7%
Mexico	Nautla	2000	53.8%	80.8%	20.6%
Mexico	Nautla	2017	54.9%	81.3%	20.2%
Mexico	Nauzontla	2000	34.7%	37.4%	32.3%
Mexico	Nauzontla	2017	35.8%	38.4%	33.5%
Mexico	Nava	2000	67.8%	95.5%	30.3%
Mexico	Nava	2017	68.0%	94.8%	31.8%
Mexico	Navojoa	2000	63.0%	79.1%	47.3%
Mexico	Navojoa	2017	63.9%	81.0%	46.3%
Mexico	Navolato	2000	63.2%	89.3%	37.1%
Mexico	Navolato	2017	64.7%	89.2%	38.9%
Mexico	Nazareno Etna	2000	60.5%	61.6%	59.2%
Mexico	Nazareno Etna	2017	61.7%	62.7%	60.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Nazas	2000	64.8%	91.4%	28.6%
Mexico	Nazas	2017	66.4%	91.2%	32.0%
Mexico	Nealtican	2000	77.3%	78.5%	76.3%
Mexico	Nealtican	2017	77.9%	79.1%	76.9%
Mexico	Nejapa De Madero	2000	43.4%	74.1%	14.5%
Mexico	Nejapa De Madero	2017	44.2%	74.7%	14.3%
Mexico	Nextlalpan	2000	77.0%	84.0%	70.1%
Mexico	Nextlalpan	2017	77.9%	85.9%	69.5%
Mexico	Nezahualcoyotl	2000	97.0%	97.0%	96.9%
Mexico	Nezahualcoyotl	2017	97.2%	97.3%	97.1%
Mexico	Nicolas Bravo	2000	16.1%	20.0%	13.3%
Mexico	Nicolas Bravo	2017	19.8%	23.3%	16.6%
Mexico	Nicolas Flores	2000	24.4%	45.5%	8.8%
Mexico	Nicolas Flores	2017	25.3%	47.5%	8.9%
Mexico	Nicolas Romero	2000	71.0%	72.4%	69.5%
Mexico	Nicolas Romero	2017	68.5%	70.2%	66.8%
Mexico	Nicolas Ruiz	2000	38.5%	91.0%	2.0%
Mexico	Nicolas Ruiz	2017	39.1%	91.3%	1.9%
Mexico	Nochistlan De Mejia	2000	76.0%	88.7%	59.7%
Mexico	Nochistlan De Mejia	2017	76.8%	89.0%	60.7%
Mexico	Nocupetaro	2000	28.4%	58.8%	4.4%
Mexico	Nocupetaro	2017	27.6%	59.4%	4.6%
Mexico	Nogales	2000	91.3%	97.6%	79.8%
Mexico	Nogales	2000	65.4%	66.4%	64.6%
Mexico	Nogales	2017	91.5%	97.9%	76.6%
Mexico	Nogales	2017	76.1%	77.3%	75.1%
Mexico	Nombre De Dios	2000	63.3%	91.4%	30.6%
Mexico	Nombre De Dios	2017	63.6%	92.1%	30.5%
Mexico	Nonoava	2000	33.2%	53.3%	14.8%
Mexico	Nonoava	2017	34.4%	54.9%	15.6%
Mexico	Nopala De Villagran	2000	36.4%	68.3%	14.5%
Mexico	Nopala De Villagran	2017	37.7%	67.3%	16.1%
Mexico	Nopaltepec	2000	70.8%	74.9%	63.9%
Mexico	Nopaltepec	2017	73.4%	78.2%	65.2%
Mexico	Nopalucan	2000	57.0%	59.6%	54.3%
Mexico	Nopalucan	2017	56.6%	59.5%	53.6%
Mexico	Noria De Angeles	2000	54.9%	75.9%	29.3%
Mexico	Noria De Angeles	2017	57.9%	79.4%	31.7%
Mexico	Nuevo Casas Grandes	2000	83.2%	88.0%	77.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Nuevo Casas Grandes	2017	83.8%	88.0%	78.6%
Mexico	Nuevo Ideal	2000	48.7%	73.3%	23.3%
Mexico	Nuevo Ideal	2017	50.0%	74.1%	25.1%
Mexico	Nuevo Laredo	2000	80.9%	96.7%	55.0%
Mexico	Nuevo Laredo	2017	81.4%	96.9%	55.7%
Mexico	Nuevo Morelos	2000	37.8%	50.1%	25.2%
Mexico	Nuevo Morelos	2017	39.2%	51.2%	27.1%
Mexico	Nuevo Parangaricutiro	2000	61.6%	81.1%	39.3%
Mexico	Nuevo Parangaricutiro	2017	61.2%	80.3%	40.2%
Mexico	Nuevo Urecho	2000	42.1%	68.1%	21.1%
Mexico	Nuevo Urecho	2017	42.6%	67.5%	21.5%
Mexico	Nuevo Zoquiapam	2000	67.0%	68.8%	65.3%
Mexico	Nuevo Zoquiapam	2017	66.1%	67.9%	64.3%
Mexico	Numaran	2000	59.6%	78.9%	36.3%
Mexico	Numaran	2017	61.7%	77.0%	42.8%
Mexico	Oaxaca De Juarez	2000	74.8%	75.2%	74.3%
Mexico	Oaxaca De Juarez	2017	74.9%	75.3%	74.4%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	71.2%	81.3%	60.3%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	15.9%	41.8%	1.0%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	27.6%	46.9%	15.1%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	34.6%	65.4%	9.5%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	43.3%	67.5%	18.1%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	56.7%	84.0%	28.8%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	16.1%	43.9%	1.2%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	28.9%	46.5%	17.9%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	44.6%	69.3%	19.8%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	35.8%	67.1%	9.8%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	71.8%	81.2%	60.9%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	58.1%	85.1%	28.7%
Mexico	Ocosingo	2000	33.8%	52.7%	17.4%
Mexico	Ocosingo	2017	34.8%	54.0%	17.2%
Mexico	Ocoteppec	2000	32.7%	43.5%	24.8%
Mexico	Ocoteppec	2000	45.6%	53.0%	38.9%
Mexico	Ocoteppec	2017	33.7%	44.6%	25.3%
Mexico	Ocoteppec	2017	48.2%	54.0%	42.9%
Mexico	Ocotlan	2000	91.7%	96.1%	83.7%
Mexico	Ocotlan	2017	92.2%	95.6%	87.4%
Mexico	Ocotlan De Morelos	2000	31.8%	32.5%	31.3%
Mexico	Ocotlan De Morelos	2017	33.8%	34.4%	33.2%
Mexico	Ocoyoacac	2000	70.8%	71.8%	70.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Ocoyoacac	2017	72.7%	73.9%	71.9%
Mexico	Ocoyucan	2000	53.2%	59.7%	46.2%
Mexico	Ocoyucan	2017	55.9%	59.2%	52.5%
Mexico	Ocozacoautla	2000	63.8%	81.6%	40.1%
Mexico	De Espinosa				
Mexico	Ocozacoautla	2017	64.6%	82.6%	39.6%
Mexico	De Espinosa				
Mexico	Ocuilan	2000	38.3%	60.4%	19.8%
Mexico	Ocuilan	2017	38.7%	60.0%	20.4%
Mexico	Ocuituco	2000	25.9%	26.9%	24.9%
Mexico	Ocuituco	2017	26.6%	27.8%	25.6%
Mexico	Ojinaga	2000	86.1%	96.1%	63.8%
Mexico	Ojinaga	2017	87.4%	95.4%	69.9%
Mexico	Ojocaliente	2000	84.5%	94.7%	67.7%
Mexico	Ojocaliente	2017	84.6%	95.0%	65.8%
Mexico	Ojuelos De	2000	46.6%	78.0%	18.2%
Mexico	Jalisco				
Mexico	Ojuelos De	2017	47.7%	79.0%	19.0%
Mexico	Jalisco				
Mexico	Olinala	2000	25.9%	59.1%	4.8%
Mexico	Olinala	2017	26.8%	61.1%	5.1%
Mexico	Olintla	2000	3.5%	3.8%	3.2%
Mexico	Olintla	2017	3.7%	4.1%	3.4%
Mexico	Oluta	2000	92.4%	93.4%	91.0%
Mexico	Oluta	2017	93.2%	94.1%	91.8%
Mexico	Omealca	2000	43.7%	57.7%	32.2%
Mexico	Omealca	2017	42.9%	60.3%	30.6%
Mexico	Ometepec	2000	26.4%	45.9%	11.1%
Mexico	Ometepec	2017	27.9%	47.5%	12.5%
Mexico	Omitlan De	2000	34.5%	44.5%	26.9%
Mexico	Juarez				
Mexico	Omitlan De	2017	31.2%	40.4%	23.8%
Mexico	Juarez				
Mexico	Onavas	2000	60.5%	90.2%	26.2%
Mexico	Onavas	2017	68.1%	89.4%	36.4%
Mexico	Opichen	2000	12.4%	20.0%	7.6%
Mexico	Opichen	2017	12.1%	18.3%	8.3%
Mexico	Opodepe	2000	53.4%	81.7%	21.8%
Mexico	Opodepe	2017	55.6%	86.0%	21.8%
Mexico	Oquitoa	2000	85.7%	88.5%	83.0%
Mexico	Oquitoa	2017	86.5%	89.1%	83.8%
Mexico	Oriental	2000	53.8%	66.6%	45.5%
Mexico	Oriental	2017	53.5%	70.1%	39.1%
Mexico	Orizaba	2000	92.0%	92.2%	91.7%
Mexico	Orizaba	2017	92.7%	93.0%	92.5%
Mexico	Ostuacan	2000	40.7%	68.0%	14.1%
Mexico	Ostuacan	2017	42.2%	67.1%	17.5%
Mexico	Osumacinta	2000	48.6%	56.3%	38.2%
Mexico	Osumacinta	2017	50.1%	58.2%	39.2%
Mexico	Otaez	2000	30.7%	57.5%	6.8%
Mexico	Otaez	2017	30.2%	58.3%	6.8%
Mexico	Otatitlan	2000	69.7%	78.2%	58.7%
Mexico	Otatitlan	2017	71.1%	79.8%	59.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Oteapan	2000	41.6%	42.6%	40.8%
Mexico	Oteapan	2017	44.3%	45.3%	43.4%
Mexico	Othon P. Blanco	2000	75.4%	86.6%	61.4%
Mexico	Othon P. Blanco	2017	77.1%	87.3%	63.4%
Mexico	Otumba	2000	62.2%	66.0%	58.0%
Mexico	Otumba	2017	64.2%	68.4%	59.8%
Mexico	Otzoloapan	2000	42.7%	59.6%	27.6%
Mexico	Otzoloapan	2017	41.0%	61.6%	26.3%
Mexico	Otzolotepec	2000	42.1%	52.5%	32.2%
Mexico	Otzolotepec	2017	44.6%	54.5%	34.3%
Mexico	Oxchuc	2000	11.9%	25.9%	3.6%
Mexico	Oxchuc	2017	12.8%	28.2%	3.8%
Mexico	Oxkutzcab	2000	39.8%	49.0%	32.1%
Mexico	Oxkutzcab	2017	39.9%	49.2%	32.2%
Mexico	Ozuluama De Mascarenas	2000	44.3%	68.6%	20.2%
Mexico	Ozuluama De Mascarenas	2017	45.2%	70.4%	21.6%
Mexico	Ozumba	2000	52.4%	53.2%	51.8%
Mexico	Ozumba	2017	54.6%	55.3%	54.0%
Mexico	Pabellon De Arteaga	2000	90.7%	95.7%	83.7%
Mexico	Pabellon De Arteaga	2017	92.4%	96.4%	86.2%
Mexico	Pachuca De Soto	2000	90.8%	93.5%	86.1%
Mexico	Pachuca De Soto	2017	89.7%	92.0%	85.8%
Mexico	Pacula	2000	22.6%	57.1%	3.6%
Mexico	Pacula	2017	22.8%	57.7%	3.2%
Mexico	Padilla	2000	36.9%	64.4%	10.7%
Mexico	Padilla	2017	37.7%	71.5%	12.1%
Mexico	Pahuatlan	2000	18.5%	22.1%	16.2%
Mexico	Pahuatlan	2017	21.1%	25.1%	18.8%
Mexico	Pajacuaran	2000	78.3%	85.3%	66.3%
Mexico	Pajacuaran	2017	79.5%	85.7%	68.4%
Mexico	Pajapan	2000	21.3%	63.4%	3.3%
Mexico	Pajapan	2017	23.7%	65.3%	4.4%
Mexico	Palenque	2000	45.3%	77.4%	19.7%
Mexico	Palenque	2017	47.2%	75.0%	23.9%
Mexico	Palizada	2000	43.0%	76.5%	14.5%
Mexico	Palizada	2017	45.0%	75.0%	15.7%
Mexico	Palmar De Bravo	2000	14.5%	24.4%	7.8%
Mexico	Palmar De Bravo	2017	14.8%	23.5%	8.5%
Mexico	Pamillas	2000	23.0%	45.2%	5.2%
Mexico	Pamillas	2017	23.2%	44.9%	6.0%
Mexico	Panaba	2000	46.5%	76.4%	20.3%
Mexico	Panaba	2017	51.6%	73.3%	30.6%
Mexico	Panindicuaro	2000	41.7%	61.3%	27.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Panindicuario	2017	43.4%	62.4%	28.9%
Mexico	Panotla	2000	64.5%	65.3%	63.5%
Mexico	Panotla	2017	67.0%	67.9%	66.1%
Mexico	Pantelho	2000	18.5%	36.6%	6.1%
Mexico	Pantelho	2017	19.6%	37.8%	7.1%
Mexico	Pantepec	2000	55.2%	66.1%	47.3%
Mexico	Pantepec	2000	25.8%	39.3%	13.9%
Mexico	Pantepec	2017	26.9%	40.6%	14.8%
Mexico	Pantepec	2017	55.8%	66.7%	47.6%
Mexico	Panuco	2000	55.8%	78.2%	31.4%
Mexico	Panuco	2000	47.9%	83.1%	19.2%
Mexico	Panuco	2017	48.7%	84.0%	19.2%
Mexico	Panuco	2017	56.9%	77.9%	34.8%
Mexico	Panuco De Coronado	2000	50.2%	86.4%	16.6%
Mexico	Panuco De Coronado	2017	50.6%	86.7%	18.7%
Mexico	Papalotla	2000	90.2%	90.7%	89.7%
Mexico	Papalotla	2017	90.9%	91.4%	90.4%
Mexico	Papalotla De Xicohtencatl	2000	79.0%	79.4%	78.4%
Mexico	Papalotla De Xicohtencatl	2017	80.1%	80.6%	79.5%
Mexico	Papantla	2000	51.9%	74.0%	34.1%
Mexico	Papantla	2017	53.3%	75.7%	34.9%
Mexico	Paracho	2000	25.9%	33.8%	20.4%
Mexico	Paracho	2017	27.0%	34.8%	21.6%
Mexico	Paracuaro	2000	49.2%	81.4%	19.3%
Mexico	Paracuaro	2017	50.5%	80.7%	20.7%
Mexico	Paraiso	2000	85.7%	96.7%	69.0%
Mexico	Paraiso	2017	86.3%	97.1%	70.0%
Mexico	Paras	2000	60.3%	92.9%	21.6%
Mexico	Paras	2017	61.6%	85.9%	33.4%
Mexico	Parras	2000	71.1%	84.9%	56.5%
Mexico	Parras	2017	74.3%	87.4%	59.9%
Mexico	Paso De Ovejas	2000	73.0%	88.1%	54.5%
Mexico	Paso De Ovejas	2017	73.9%	87.7%	58.0%
Mexico	Paso Del Macho	2000	45.7%	64.0%	27.9%
Mexico	Paso Del Macho	2017	46.9%	66.6%	27.6%
Mexico	Patzcuaro	2000	59.6%	66.3%	51.2%
Mexico	Patzcuaro	2017	63.6%	70.1%	56.7%
Mexico	Pedro Ascencio Alquisiras	2000	16.8%	35.2%	4.9%
Mexico	Pedro Ascencio Alquisiras	2017	17.9%	35.0%	5.9%
Mexico	Pedro Escobedo	2000	60.8%	82.2%	37.1%
Mexico	Pedro Escobedo	2017	62.4%	83.7%	37.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Penamiller	2000	32.5%	63.6%	8.5%
Mexico	Penamiller	2017	33.3%	64.4%	9.4%
Mexico	Penjamillo	2000	58.4%	79.8%	36.5%
Mexico	Penjamillo	2017	59.6%	81.3%	38.1%
Mexico	Penjamo	2000	58.0%	83.0%	27.7%
Mexico	Penjamo	2017	60.5%	84.2%	31.6%
Mexico	Penon Blanco	2000	52.9%	80.4%	22.8%
Mexico	Penon Blanco	2017	53.3%	84.0%	18.3%
Mexico	Periban	2000	51.5%	74.6%	31.1%
Mexico	Periban	2017	54.3%	75.4%	33.6%
Mexico	Perote	2000	51.7%	70.3%	34.5%
Mexico	Perote	2017	54.4%	71.9%	37.8%
Mexico	Pesqueria	2000	71.3%	82.2%	55.6%
Mexico	Pesqueria	2017	74.8%	85.7%	59.9%
Mexico	Petatlan	2000	53.0%	91.4%	8.9%
Mexico	Petatlan	2017	53.3%	90.8%	10.3%
Mexico	Petlalcingo	2000	39.2%	48.9%	33.0%
Mexico	Petlalcingo	2017	35.0%	45.8%	26.9%
Mexico	Peto	2000	34.1%	68.0%	12.3%
Mexico	Peto	2017	33.2%	70.9%	11.2%
Mexico	Piaxtla	2000	37.1%	50.6%	27.1%
Mexico	Piaxtla	2017	37.3%	51.5%	26.1%
Mexico	Pichucalco	2000	50.5%	84.0%	19.3%
Mexico	Pichucalco	2017	51.9%	84.9%	19.3%
Mexico	Piedras Ne- gras	2000	85.7%	94.1%	69.4%
Mexico	Piedras Ne- gras	2017	85.7%	94.8%	66.3%
Mexico	Pihuamo	2000	67.5%	90.2%	38.8%
Mexico	Pihuamo	2017	68.4%	94.7%	31.7%
Mexico	Pijijiapan	2000	58.0%	83.1%	27.9%
Mexico	Pijijiapan	2017	58.9%	84.3%	29.3%
Mexico	Pilcaya	2000	45.7%	63.0%	28.6%
Mexico	Pilcaya	2017	49.4%	66.1%	30.6%
Mexico	Pinal De Amoles	2000	23.2%	51.3%	5.4%
Mexico	Pinal De Amoles	2017	24.8%	55.5%	5.5%
Mexico	Pinos	2000	46.1%	73.6%	19.3%
Mexico	Pinos	2017	47.3%	72.2%	22.3%
Mexico	Pinotepa De Don Luis	2000	11.5%	12.6%	10.6%
Mexico	Pinotepa De Don Luis	2017	13.5%	14.9%	12.4%
Mexico	Pisaflores	2000	24.4%	48.8%	9.6%
Mexico	Pisaflores	2017	26.0%	51.2%	10.2%
Mexico	Pitiquito	2000	57.4%	75.0%	40.1%
Mexico	Pitiquito	2017	59.4%	74.8%	44.5%
Mexico	Platon Sanchez	2000	25.9%	37.9%	15.2%
Mexico	Platon Sanchez	2017	26.1%	35.2%	17.1%
Mexico	Playa Vicente	2000	44.0%	84.0%	7.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Playa Vicente	2017	44.7%	84.0%	7.9%
Mexico	Playas De Rosarito	2000	78.0%	93.2%	54.0%
Mexico	Playas De Rosarito	2017	79.2%	94.6%	54.9%
Mexico	Pluma Hidalgo	2000	7.0%	9.6%	5.7%
Mexico	Pluma Hidalgo	2017	7.0%	10.3%	5.1%
Mexico	Poanas	2000	61.2%	82.9%	38.4%
Mexico	Poanas	2017	62.5%	84.1%	38.8%
Mexico	Polotitlan	2000	46.4%	65.7%	28.0%
Mexico	Polotitlan	2017	47.8%	66.9%	30.2%
Mexico	Poncitlan	2000	77.1%	93.3%	55.6%
Mexico	Poncitlan	2017	75.9%	93.3%	50.2%
Mexico	Poza Rica De Hidalgo	2000	85.8%	89.5%	77.7%
Mexico	Poza Rica De Hidalgo	2017	85.3%	90.6%	75.4%
Mexico	Praxedis G. Guerrero	2000	51.3%	85.9%	17.7%
Mexico	Praxedis G. Guerrero	2017	52.1%	87.0%	17.2%
Mexico	Progreso	2000	45.6%	71.4%	17.4%
Mexico	Progreso	2000	83.0%	94.1%	63.2%
Mexico	Progreso	2017	86.5%	95.4%	66.1%
Mexico	Progreso	2017	47.5%	73.3%	19.8%
Mexico	Progreso De Obregon	2000	77.3%	80.6%	73.3%
Mexico	Progreso De Obregon	2017	79.2%	82.3%	75.3%
Mexico	Puebla	2000	86.6%	87.7%	84.7%
Mexico	Puebla	2017	86.6%	87.5%	85.3%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	51.5%	73.1%	28.2%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	69.8%	86.1%	45.2%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	52.0%	72.6%	30.4%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	73.0%	87.7%	49.7%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	32.2%	46.9%	21.2%
Mexico	Solistahuacan	2017	34.1%	48.7%	24.1%
Mexico	Pueblo Viejo	2000	42.9%	55.3%	32.5%
Mexico	Pueblo Viejo	2017	44.9%	57.0%	35.1%
Mexico	Puente De Ixtla	2000	80.3%	85.2%	69.3%
Mexico	Puente De Ixtla	2017	81.0%	84.7%	72.1%
Mexico	Puente Nacional	2000	61.4%	86.5%	32.5%
Mexico	Puente Nacional	2017	63.9%	86.9%	37.5%
Mexico	Puerto Peñasco	2000	79.8%	92.1%	51.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Puerto Pe- nasco	2017	81.7%	90.2%	60.3%
Mexico	Puerto Val- larta	2000	85.2%	96.4%	60.6%
Mexico	Puerto Val- larta	2017	86.6%	96.3%	68.7%
Mexico	Pungarabato	2000	63.1%	77.1%	48.3%
Mexico	Pungarabato	2017	64.7%	78.4%	50.0%
Mexico	Purepero	2000	84.4%	89.2%	76.7%
Mexico	Purepero	2017	84.0%	89.7%	75.4%
Mexico	Purísima Del Rincon	2000	83.2%	92.0%	69.7%
Mexico	Purísima Del Rincon	2017	82.8%	93.1%	67.4%
Mexico	Puruandiro	2000	53.8%	83.3%	24.6%
Mexico	Puruandiro	2017	56.7%	79.2%	33.1%
Mexico	Putla Villa De Guerrero	2000	33.0%	43.7%	25.9%
Mexico	Putla Villa De Guerrero	2017	32.3%	41.4%	26.5%
Mexico	Quecholac	2000	28.5%	31.0%	27.0%
Mexico	Quecholac	2017	29.1%	31.8%	27.6%
Mexico	Quechultenango	2000	28.6%	52.0%	8.3%
Mexico	Quechultenango	2017	31.5%	58.2%	9.0%
Mexico	Querendaro	2000	62.1%	88.8%	26.2%
Mexico	Querendaro	2017	63.5%	89.0%	27.2%
Mexico	Queretaro	2000	82.5%	93.1%	67.5%
Mexico	Queretaro	2017	83.2%	93.4%	68.6%
Mexico	Quimixtlan	2000	22.8%	26.6%	20.7%
Mexico	Quimixtlan	2017	25.7%	32.9%	21.4%
Mexico	Quintana Roo	2000	10.6%	14.9%	7.5%
Mexico	Quintana Roo	2017	12.0%	17.1%	8.4%
Mexico	Quiriego	2000	34.5%	76.7%	6.2%
Mexico	Quiriego	2017	35.8%	78.5%	6.5%
Mexico	Quiroga	2000	39.1%	55.7%	24.1%
Mexico	Quiroga	2017	40.6%	57.2%	24.1%
Mexico	Quitupan	2000	60.5%	83.1%	38.4%
Mexico	Quitupan	2017	62.5%	83.9%	40.7%
Mexico	Rafael Del- gado	2000	53.0%	53.5%	52.4%
Mexico	Rafael Del- gado	2017	48.5%	48.9%	48.0%
Mexico	Rafael Lara Grajales	2000	70.3%	71.6%	68.9%
Mexico	Rafael Lara Grajales	2017	71.2%	72.5%	69.8%
Mexico	Rafael Lucio	2000	63.2%	64.0%	62.5%
Mexico	Rafael Lucio	2017	65.0%	65.7%	64.2%
Mexico	Ramos Arizpe	2000	83.6%	91.5%	73.9%
Mexico	Ramos Arizpe	2017	84.1%	92.2%	72.6%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	44.7%	71.1%	21.3%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	53.1%	62.8%	45.4%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	55.2%	88.4%	17.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Rayon	2000	85.8%	86.4%	85.1%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	45.8%	72.9%	22.4%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	57.5%	67.4%	47.3%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	89.1%	89.6%	88.6%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	49.0%	60.8%	39.4%
Mexico	Rayones	2000	46.3%	75.1%	16.0%
Mexico	Rayones	2017	48.4%	77.5%	15.2%
Mexico	Reforma	2000	73.3%	89.1%	48.9%
Mexico	Reforma	2017	74.7%	90.1%	50.2%
Mexico	Reforma De Pineda	2000	55.3%	59.9%	50.4%
Mexico	Reforma De Pineda	2017	57.2%	61.7%	52.2%
Mexico	Reyes Etla	2000	53.7%	54.7%	52.5%
Mexico	Reyes Etla	2017	52.4%	53.4%	51.3%
Mexico	Reynosa	2000	75.2%	86.8%	61.1%
Mexico	Reynosa	2017	76.5%	88.4%	58.3%
Mexico	Rincon De Romos	2000	83.4%	89.1%	74.0%
Mexico	Rincon De Romos	2017	82.2%	88.4%	72.4%
Mexico	Rio Blanco	2000	87.4%	87.7%	87.1%
Mexico	Rio Blanco	2017	90.9%	91.2%	90.6%
Mexico	Rio Bravo	2000	71.7%	89.0%	45.8%
Mexico	Rio Bravo	2017	71.5%	90.9%	41.6%
Mexico	Rio Grande	2000	67.6%	85.9%	45.8%
Mexico	Rio Grande	2017	68.1%	85.8%	47.2%
Mexico	Rio Lagartos	2000	81.6%	93.8%	52.2%
Mexico	Rio Lagartos	2017	77.8%	97.7%	33.7%
Mexico	Rioverde	2000	59.5%	77.0%	41.0%
Mexico	Rioverde	2017	60.4%	78.5%	41.8%
Mexico	Riva Palacio	2000	60.1%	82.6%	35.3%
Mexico	Riva Palacio	2017	61.2%	83.8%	34.5%
Mexico	Rodeo	2000	49.8%	74.7%	24.0%
Mexico	Rodeo	2017	51.7%	74.3%	27.6%
Mexico	Rojas De Cuauhtemoc	2000	56.5%	57.2%	55.7%
Mexico	Rojas De Cuauhtemoc	2017	29.5%	30.5%	28.6%
Mexico	Romita	2000	63.5%	85.3%	40.5%
Mexico	Romita	2017	64.2%	86.2%	39.8%
Mexico	Rosales	2000	90.6%	94.0%	85.8%
Mexico	Rosales	2017	90.9%	94.4%	85.3%
Mexico	Rosamorada	2000	43.4%	70.9%	17.1%
Mexico	Rosamorada	2017	45.0%	72.3%	18.1%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	45.8%	77.6%	12.3%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	66.4%	91.0%	31.9%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	39.3%	56.4%	21.6%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	41.3%	58.7%	22.8%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	46.8%	80.2%	12.8%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	67.5%	91.7%	34.6%
Mexico	Ruiz	2000	52.4%	84.8%	15.5%
Mexico	Ruiz	2017	52.4%	85.4%	16.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Sabanilla	2000	17.7%	38.7%	4.5%
Mexico	Sabanilla	2017	18.2%	39.7%	4.8%
Mexico	Sabinas	2000	78.1%	92.1%	53.1%
Mexico	Sabinas	2017	79.2%	92.9%	53.8%
Mexico	Sabinas Hidalgo	2000	85.4%	94.2%	68.1%
Mexico	Sabinas Hidalgo	2017	85.7%	94.1%	71.8%
Mexico	Sacalum	2000	33.0%	48.4%	17.3%
Mexico	Sacalum	2017	32.1%	44.8%	19.5%
Mexico	Sacramento	2000	32.7%	54.0%	15.7%
Mexico	Sacramento	2017	34.5%	63.9%	14.1%
Mexico	Sahuaripa	2000	86.3%	95.4%	60.8%
Mexico	Sahuaripa	2017	86.8%	95.6%	60.8%
Mexico	Sahuayo	2000	91.2%	92.7%	89.5%
Mexico	Sahuayo	2017	91.9%	93.2%	90.3%
Mexico	Sain Alto	2000	47.5%	81.7%	14.8%
Mexico	Sain Alto	2017	49.6%	83.6%	18.0%
Mexico	Salamanca	2000	70.2%	84.5%	50.4%
Mexico	Salamanca	2017	71.8%	85.3%	52.3%
Mexico	Salina Cruz	2000	83.5%	93.0%	68.2%
Mexico	Salina Cruz	2017	85.0%	92.2%	73.6%
Mexico	Salinas	2000	57.1%	85.6%	24.4%
Mexico	Salinas	2017	60.1%	80.4%	36.8%
Mexico	Salinas Victoria	2000	55.1%	75.9%	33.1%
Mexico	Salinas Victoria	2017	59.0%	77.6%	38.1%
Mexico	Saltabarranca	2000	65.3%	75.3%	54.0%
Mexico	Saltabarranca	2017	67.4%	75.6%	57.2%
Mexico	Saltillo	2000	92.0%	94.9%	86.0%
Mexico	Saltillo	2017	92.5%	95.4%	86.5%
Mexico	Salto De Agua	2000	30.0%	66.8%	3.2%
Mexico	Salto De Agua	2017	30.8%	67.2%	3.4%
Mexico	Salvador Alvarado	2000	72.6%	88.1%	52.8%
Mexico	Salvador Alvarado	2017	73.7%	88.5%	52.8%
Mexico	Salvador Escalante	2000	44.3%	68.0%	18.3%
Mexico	Salvador Escalante	2017	45.7%	69.0%	20.3%
Mexico	Salvatierra	2000	70.7%	88.3%	46.6%
Mexico	Salvatierra	2017	72.2%	87.3%	50.0%
Mexico	Samahil	2000	9.6%	16.4%	5.0%
Mexico	Samahil	2017	10.7%	19.3%	5.0%
Mexico	San Agustin Amatengo	2000	9.3%	10.8%	8.0%
Mexico	San Agustin Amatengo	2017	11.2%	13.2%	9.3%
Mexico	San Agustin Atenango	2000	20.7%	30.9%	13.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Agustin Atenango	2017	21.4%	32.3%	13.4%
Mexico	San Agustin Chayuco	2000	7.8%	13.9%	4.5%
Mexico	San Agustin Chayuco	2017	8.5%	15.5%	5.2%
Mexico	San Agustin De Las Juntas	2000	71.2%	71.7%	70.8%
Mexico	San Agustin De Las Juntas	2017	68.2%	68.7%	67.8%
Mexico	San Agustin Etna	2000	62.0%	63.0%	61.0%
Mexico	San Agustin Etna	2017	67.5%	68.5%	66.6%
Mexico	San Agustin Loxicha	2000	2.6%	8.8%	1.0%
Mexico	San Agustin Loxicha	2017	3.2%	12.0%	1.1%
Mexico	San Agustin Metzquitlan	2000	40.1%	63.8%	19.3%
Mexico	San Agustin Metzquitlan	2017	40.5%	63.1%	19.4%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlacotepec	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.4%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlacotepec	2017	1.8%	2.2%	1.5%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlaxiaca	2000	47.5%	58.7%	36.3%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlaxiaca	2017	49.1%	59.4%	38.6%
Mexico	San Agustin Yatareni	2000	81.6%	82.0%	81.2%
Mexico	San Agustin Yatareni	2017	82.6%	82.9%	82.2%
Mexico	San Andres Cabecera Nueva	2000	4.6%	15.5%	0.7%
Mexico	San Andres Cabecera Nueva	2017	5.2%	15.5%	0.9%
Mexico	San Andres Cholula	2000	74.1%	75.4%	72.4%
Mexico	San Andres Cholula	2017	76.4%	77.5%	74.9%
Mexico	San Andres Dinicuiti	2000	87.0%	88.1%	86.1%
Mexico	San Andres Dinicuiti	2017	82.3%	83.5%	81.3%
Mexico	San Andres Duraznal	2000	1.9%	2.2%	1.6%
Mexico	San Andres Duraznal	2017	2.1%	2.5%	1.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Andres Huaxpaltepec	2000	13.4%	19.2%	9.6%
Mexico	San Andres Huaxpaltepec	2017	14.0%	17.8%	11.2%
Mexico	San Andres Huayapam	2000	79.3%	80.1%	78.6%
Mexico	San Andres Huayapam	2017	79.0%	79.7%	78.4%
Mexico	San Andres Ixtlahuaca	2000	7.6%	8.2%	7.1%
Mexico	San Andres Ixtlahuaca	2017	7.9%	8.7%	7.2%
Mexico	San Andres Lagunas	2000	20.1%	25.6%	14.0%
Mexico	San Andres Lagunas	2017	23.6%	30.1%	16.5%
Mexico	San Andres Nuxino	2000	1.1%	2.6%	0.4%
Mexico	San Andres Nuxino	2017	1.1%	2.1%	0.5%
Mexico	San Andres Paxtlan	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Mexico	San Andres Paxtlan	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Mexico	San Andres Sinaxtla	2000	29.8%	31.0%	28.6%
Mexico	San Andres Sinaxtla	2017	31.6%	32.8%	30.3%
Mexico	San Andres Solaga	2000	16.0%	16.9%	15.1%
Mexico	San Andres Solaga	2017	17.0%	17.9%	16.1%
Mexico	San Andres Tenejapan	2000	35.2%	35.8%	34.4%
Mexico	San Andres Tenejapan	2017	32.1%	32.7%	31.5%
Mexico	San Andres Teotilalpam	2000	39.9%	57.4%	26.5%
Mexico	San Andres Teotilalpam	2017	40.5%	56.2%	27.4%
Mexico	San Andres Tepetlapa	2000	12.2%	13.5%	11.0%
Mexico	San Andres Tepetlapa	2017	12.3%	13.4%	11.1%
Mexico	San Andres Tuxtla	2000	51.5%	65.3%	35.3%
Mexico	San Andres Tuxtla	2017	53.2%	67.8%	36.9%
Mexico	San Andres Yaa	2000	33.9%	36.4%	31.5%
Mexico	San Andres Yaa	2017	24.7%	26.6%	23.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Andres Zabache	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.3%
Mexico	San Andres Zabache	2017	1.7%	2.1%	1.4%
Mexico	San Andres Zautla	2000	41.0%	42.0%	39.9%
Mexico	San Andres Zautla	2017	42.3%	43.4%	41.1%
Mexico	San Antonino Castillo Velasco	2000	44.6%	45.7%	43.4%
Mexico	San Antonino Castillo Velasco	2017	47.0%	48.1%	45.8%
Mexico	San Antonino El Alto	2000	3.9%	16.3%	1.1%
Mexico	San Antonino El Alto	2017	3.8%	15.3%	1.2%
Mexico	San Antonino Monte Verde	2000	11.9%	15.8%	9.9%
Mexico	San Antonino Monte Verde	2017	12.8%	18.0%	10.3%
Mexico	San Antonio	2000	10.6%	19.1%	7.0%
Mexico	San Antonio	2017	10.9%	17.5%	7.9%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2000	38.0%	40.4%	35.7%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2017	39.5%	42.0%	37.1%
Mexico	San Antonio Canada	2000	9.2%	13.7%	5.9%
Mexico	San Antonio Canada	2017	9.2%	13.7%	5.8%
Mexico	San Antonio De La Cal	2000	72.7%	73.3%	72.2%
Mexico	San Antonio De La Cal	2017	74.2%	74.7%	73.6%
Mexico	San Antonio Huitepec	2000	7.7%	16.6%	3.7%
Mexico	San Antonio Huitepec	2017	8.6%	18.3%	4.3%
Mexico	San Antonio La Isla	2000	90.8%	91.1%	90.5%
Mexico	San Antonio La Isla	2017	93.6%	93.8%	93.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Nanahuatipam	2000	39.1%	43.1%	35.1%
Mexico	San Antonio Nanahuatipam	2017	46.9%	50.9%	42.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Sinicahua	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Antonio Sinicahua	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Mexico	San Antonio Tepetlapa	2000	4.0%	4.6%	3.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Tepetlapa	2017	4.6%	6.7%	3.3%
Mexico	San Baltazar Chichicapam	2000	15.4%	18.4%	12.7%
Mexico	San Baltazar Chichicapam	2017	14.1%	16.8%	11.7%
Mexico	San Baltazar Loxicha	2000	1.8%	2.2%	1.5%
Mexico	San Baltazar Loxicha	2017	1.8%	2.2%	1.5%
Mexico	San Baltazar Yatzachi El Bajo	2000	24.4%	25.5%	23.3%
Mexico	San Baltazar Yatzachi El Bajo	2017	27.8%	29.0%	26.7%
Mexico	San Bartolo Coyotepec	2000	22.0%	23.1%	21.1%
Mexico	San Bartolo Coyotepec	2017	23.3%	24.4%	22.3%
Mexico	San Bartolo Soyaltepec	2000	14.2%	22.5%	9.7%
Mexico	San Bartolo Soyaltepec	2017	15.9%	23.3%	11.5%
Mexico	San Bartolo Tutotepec	2000	14.3%	23.6%	7.9%
Mexico	San Bartolo Tutotepec	2017	14.6%	23.4%	8.5%
Mexico	San Bartolo Yautepec	2000	51.6%	86.5%	13.5%
Mexico	San Bartolo Yautepec	2017	52.9%	87.3%	14.4%
Mexico	San Bartolome Ayautla	2000	1.4%	1.7%	1.1%
Mexico	San Bartolome Ayautla	2017	1.4%	1.7%	1.1%
Mexico	San Bartolome Loxicha	2000	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Mexico	San Bartolome Loxicha	2017	0.4%	1.2%	0.1%
Mexico	San Bartolome Quialana	2000	24.1%	25.1%	23.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Bartolome Quialana	2017	23.9%	25.0%	23.0%
Mexico	San Bartolome Yucuaene	2000	4.1%	4.9%	3.4%
Mexico	San Bartolome Yucuaene	2017	4.2%	5.0%	3.4%
Mexico	San Bartolome Zoogocho	2000	22.3%	23.4%	21.1%
Mexico	San Bartolome Zoogocho	2017	24.7%	25.8%	23.5%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2000	43.3%	75.8%	11.8%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2017	44.1%	74.1%	12.9%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2000	14.6%	15.4%	13.9%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2017	17.8%	18.8%	17.0%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2000	58.5%	89.2%	21.1%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2017	60.3%	89.5%	24.4%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2000	72.3%	88.5%	46.5%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2017	71.8%	87.5%	48.7%
Mexico	San Buenaventura	2000	69.5%	77.2%	56.5%
Mexico	San Buenaventura	2017	70.9%	78.2%	59.2%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2000	22.1%	55.6%	3.4%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2017	22.0%	54.3%	3.8%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2000	44.1%	62.5%	24.7%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2017	47.0%	66.0%	28.6%
Mexico	San Cirio De Acosta	2000	53.7%	83.3%	16.6%
Mexico	San Cirio De Acosta	2017	55.0%	83.1%	19.4%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amatlan	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amatlan	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amoltepec	2000	5.1%	5.6%	4.5%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amoltepec	2017	6.0%	6.8%	5.2%
Mexico	San Cristobal De La Barranca	2000	47.1%	85.0%	12.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Cristobal De La Barranca	2017	49.1%	85.2%	15.1%
Mexico	San Cristobal De Las Casas	2000	58.3%	65.9%	51.9%
Mexico	San Cristobal De Las Casas	2017	57.8%	65.5%	51.3%
Mexico	San Cristobal Lachirioag	2000	70.3%	71.9%	68.3%
Mexico	San Cristobal Lachirioag	2017	72.7%	74.1%	70.9%
Mexico	San Cristobal Suchixtlahuaca	2000	42.5%	47.1%	38.1%
Mexico	San Cristobal Suchixtlahuaca	2017	45.7%	49.7%	41.6%
Mexico	San Damian Texoloc	2000	60.7%	61.4%	60.0%
Mexico	San Damian Texoloc	2017	60.9%	61.7%	60.1%
Mexico	San Diego De Alejandria	2000	82.8%	93.7%	55.8%
Mexico	San Diego De Alejandria	2017	83.7%	92.4%	62.4%
Mexico	San Diego De La Union	2000	44.4%	79.0%	13.1%
Mexico	San Diego De La Union	2017	45.6%	80.9%	13.1%
Mexico	San Diego La Mesa Tochimiltzingo	2000	29.2%	39.5%	21.7%
Mexico	San Diego La Mesa Tochimiltzingo	2017	41.0%	50.2%	32.7%
Mexico	San Dimas	2000	42.4%	67.1%	15.2%
Mexico	San Dimas	2017	43.8%	68.1%	16.2%
Mexico	San Dionisio Del Mar	2000	21.4%	43.1%	7.2%
Mexico	San Dionisio Del Mar	2017	23.0%	45.0%	7.9%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocoatepec	2000	11.2%	16.5%	7.9%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocoatepec	2017	18.5%	24.1%	13.7%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotlan	2000	26.4%	27.3%	25.8%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotlan	2017	24.7%	25.5%	24.1%
Mexico	San Esteban Atatlahuca	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Mexico	San Esteban Atatlahuca	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Felipe	2000	75.3%	99.3%	15.4%
Mexico	San Felipe	2000	48.5%	74.5%	23.4%
Mexico	San Felipe	2017	49.7%	75.6%	24.5%
Mexico	San Felipe	2017	76.0%	99.2%	16.6%
Mexico	San Felipe De Jesus	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.3%
Mexico	San Felipe De Jesus	2017	93.9%	95.6%	91.3%
Mexico	San Felipe Del Progreso	2000	22.2%	55.2%	3.9%
Mexico	San Felipe Del Progreso	2017	22.9%	56.0%	4.3%
Mexico	San Felipe Jalapa De Diaz	2000	8.7%	12.5%	5.9%
Mexico	San Felipe Jalapa De Diaz	2017	9.0%	11.9%	6.4%
Mexico	San Felipe Orizatlan	2000	41.5%	62.6%	18.6%
Mexico	San Felipe Orizatlan	2017	40.9%	59.2%	22.0%
Mexico	San Felipe Tejalapam	2000	13.6%	14.3%	13.1%
Mexico	San Felipe Tejalapam	2017	13.4%	14.0%	12.8%
Mexico	San Felipe Teotlalcingo	2000	41.6%	43.4%	39.9%
Mexico	San Felipe Teotlalcingo	2017	42.1%	43.9%	40.5%
Mexico	San Felipe Tepatlan	2000	8.1%	8.8%	7.5%
Mexico	San Felipe Tepatlan	2017	9.1%	9.9%	8.4%
Mexico	San Felipe Usila	2000	10.2%	24.5%	2.3%
Mexico	San Felipe Usila	2017	12.8%	30.7%	2.8%
Mexico	San Fernando	2000	52.7%	68.9%	34.3%
Mexico	San Fernando	2000	33.1%	50.6%	16.3%
Mexico	San Fernando	2017	53.3%	74.6%	29.3%
Mexico	San Fernando	2017	34.1%	53.2%	18.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Cahuacua	2000	4.1%	15.2%	1.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Cahuacua	2017	4.6%	15.4%	1.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Cajonos	2000	45.0%	46.2%	43.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Cajonos	2017	64.4%	66.1%	62.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Chapulapa	2000	16.8%	21.2%	13.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Francisco Chapulapa	2017	17.6%	22.0%	13.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Chindua	2000	18.4%	19.7%	16.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Chindua	2017	20.1%	21.6%	18.6%
Mexico	San Francisco De Borja	2000	60.9%	86.3%	24.6%
Mexico	San Francisco De Borja	2017	62.0%	87.1%	25.8%
Mexico	San Francisco De Conchos	2000	72.5%	95.5%	33.0%
Mexico	San Francisco De Conchos	2017	74.6%	94.6%	40.8%
Mexico	San Francisco De Los Romo	2000	90.4%	97.2%	68.1%
Mexico	San Francisco De Los Romo	2017	91.1%	97.5%	69.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Mar	2000	49.2%	80.9%	22.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Mar	2017	55.4%	79.5%	33.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Oro	2000	80.3%	82.5%	77.2%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Oro	2017	81.0%	83.4%	78.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Rincon	2000	85.2%	93.6%	69.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Rincon	2017	85.7%	94.0%	70.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Huehuetlan	2000	4.7%	5.1%	4.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Huehuetlan	2017	5.3%	5.7%	4.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Ixhuatan	2000	57.9%	64.8%	51.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Ixhuatan	2017	61.6%	66.2%	55.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Jaltepetongo	2000	6.2%	7.0%	5.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Jaltepetongo	2017	5.9%	6.7%	5.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Lachigolo	2000	25.4%	26.4%	24.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Lachigolo	2017	27.4%	28.5%	26.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Logueche	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Logueche	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%
Mexico	San Francisco Nuxano	2000	8.1%	8.8%	7.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Francisco Nuxano	2017	8.7%	9.4%	8.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Ozolotepec	2000	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Ozolotepec	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Sola	2000	13.6%	38.9%	2.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Sola	2017	14.5%	41.1%	2.5%
Mexico	San Francisco Telixtlahuaca	2000	27.5%	30.2%	25.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Telixtlahuaca	2017	31.1%	33.0%	29.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Teopan	2000	14.2%	16.3%	12.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Teopan	2017	10.3%	12.1%	8.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Tetlanohcan	2000	73.0%	73.5%	72.5%
Mexico	San Francisco Tetlanohcan	2017	76.4%	76.9%	76.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Tlapancingo	2000	2.8%	4.9%	1.5%
Mexico	San Francisco Tlapancingo	2017	3.1%	6.3%	1.5%
Mexico	San Gabriel	2000	61.0%	84.7%	37.2%
Mexico	San Gabriel	2017	63.2%	87.0%	38.3%
Mexico	San Gabriel Chilac	2000	64.9%	72.3%	56.5%
Mexico	San Gabriel Chilac	2017	66.2%	73.5%	59.2%
Mexico	San Gabriel Mixtepec	2000	9.0%	23.4%	2.3%
Mexico	San Gabriel Mixtepec	2017	10.6%	28.7%	2.2%
Mexico	San Gregorio Atzompa	2000	48.5%	49.3%	47.8%
Mexico	San Gregorio Atzompa	2017	54.7%	55.5%	54.0%
Mexico	San Ignacio	2000	50.8%	76.8%	22.7%
Mexico	San Ignacio	2017	52.3%	77.7%	24.0%
Mexico	San Ignacio Rio Muerto	2000	36.3%	70.5%	9.9%
Mexico	San Ignacio Rio Muerto	2017	38.4%	73.5%	10.3%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Amatlan	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Amatlan	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Sola	2000	9.9%	29.8%	1.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Ildefonso Sola	2017	13.5%	39.8%	1.7%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Villa Alta	2000	59.8%	64.5%	55.9%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Villa Alta	2017	63.6%	66.9%	60.5%
Mexico	San Jacinto Amilpas	2000	63.9%	64.5%	63.2%
Mexico	San Jacinto Amilpas	2017	65.9%	66.6%	65.2%
Mexico	San Jacinto Tlacotepec	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Mexico	San Jacinto Tlacotepec	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Mexico	San Javier	2000	65.6%	80.5%	49.0%
Mexico	San Javier	2017	67.6%	81.3%	52.0%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Coatlan	2000	16.8%	34.2%	4.4%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Coatlan	2017	17.8%	35.5%	5.4%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Silacayoapilla	2000	42.1%	45.3%	38.9%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Silacayoapilla	2017	54.4%	57.7%	50.9%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Sosola	2000	21.8%	25.6%	17.8%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Sosola	2017	19.1%	22.8%	15.6%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Taviche	2000	1.4%	1.5%	1.3%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Taviche	2017	2.2%	2.5%	1.8%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecoatl	2000	8.3%	9.0%	7.6%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecoatl	2017	8.7%	9.4%	8.0%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecuanipan	2000	36.0%	36.8%	35.1%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecuanipan	2017	41.1%	42.0%	40.2%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tlachahuaya	2000	15.0%	15.6%	14.3%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tlachahuaya	2017	16.0%	16.7%	15.4%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Xayacatlan	2000	18.5%	23.3%	15.8%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Xayacatlan	2017	22.8%	27.4%	20.0%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Zacualpan	2000	76.7%	77.0%	76.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Jeronimo Zacualpan	2017	78.1%	78.5%	77.7%
Mexico	San Joaquin	2000	31.1%	71.5%	5.6%
Mexico	San Joaquin	2017	34.0%	68.4%	10.0%
Mexico	San Jorge Nuchita	2000	30.5%	33.3%	28.4%
Mexico	San Jorge Nuchita	2017	28.6%	32.6%	25.7%
Mexico	San Jose Ayuquila	2000	26.4%	28.6%	24.3%
Mexico	San Jose Ayuquila	2017	21.9%	23.5%	20.2%
Mexico	San Jose Chiapa	2000	36.2%	40.4%	32.9%
Mexico	San Jose Chiapa	2017	39.0%	44.4%	34.6%
Mexico	San Jose Chiltepec	2000	26.5%	44.5%	12.8%
Mexico	San Jose Chiltepec	2017	27.5%	45.2%	13.4%
Mexico	San Jose De Gracia	2000	73.7%	92.0%	40.1%
Mexico	San Jose De Gracia	2017	75.0%	91.6%	42.3%
Mexico	San Jose Del Penasco	2000	3.1%	4.0%	2.3%
Mexico	San Jose Del Penasco	2017	4.4%	5.7%	3.1%
Mexico	San Jose Del Progreso	2000	4.3%	4.5%	3.9%
Mexico	San Jose Del Progreso	2017	4.0%	4.2%	3.7%
Mexico	San Jose Del Rincon	2000	22.5%	60.0%	2.4%
Mexico	San Jose Del Rincon	2017	23.4%	61.3%	2.5%
Mexico	San Jose Estancia Grande	2000	4.0%	8.0%	1.8%
Mexico	San Jose Estancia Grande	2017	4.2%	8.2%	2.0%
Mexico	San Jose Independencia	2000	18.3%	25.8%	12.7%
Mexico	San Jose Independencia	2017	19.0%	26.7%	13.2%
Mexico	San Jose Iturbide	2000	59.0%	82.0%	33.0%
Mexico	San Jose Iturbide	2017	60.4%	82.0%	38.1%
Mexico	San Jose Lachiguiri	2000	3.9%	5.7%	2.9%
Mexico	San Jose Lachiguiri	2017	4.8%	7.6%	3.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Jose Mi- ahuatlan	2000	74.4%	80.4%	66.1%
Mexico	San Jose Mi- ahuatlan	2017	73.7%	77.0%	67.9%
Mexico	San Jose Tea- calco	2000	49.6%	50.8%	48.3%
Mexico	San Jose Tea- calco	2017	52.6%	53.9%	51.4%
Mexico	San Jose Ten- ango	2000	8.5%	20.7%	3.3%
Mexico	San Jose Ten- ango	2017	7.9%	18.1%	3.4%
Mexico	San Juan Achiutla	2000	9.5%	10.3%	8.9%
Mexico	San Juan Achiutla	2017	9.9%	10.8%	9.2%
Mexico	San Juan Atenco	2000	34.4%	48.4%	24.7%
Mexico	San Juan Atenco	2017	36.2%	48.5%	28.8%
Mexico	San Juan Ate- pec	2000	27.7%	30.3%	24.4%
Mexico	San Juan Ate- pec	2017	29.7%	32.6%	26.1%
Mexico	San Juan At- zompa	2000	13.5%	19.0%	9.1%
Mexico	San Juan At- zompa	2017	14.6%	20.5%	9.8%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista	2000	24.4%	34.4%	14.6%
Mexico	San Juan Atatlahuca	2017	20.7%	30.4%	13.4%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista	2000	16.5%	19.1%	14.6%
Mexico	San Juan Coixtlahuaca	2017	17.7%	19.6%	15.9%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista	2000	41.7%	54.2%	34.8%
Mexico	San Juan Cuicatlan	2017	39.7%	54.5%	31.0%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista	2000	36.7%	37.7%	35.5%
Mexico	San Juan Guelache	2017	36.3%	37.4%	35.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Jayacatlan	2000	0.6%	1.5%	0.2%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Jayacatlan	2017	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Lo De Soto	2000	14.5%	27.1%	7.6%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Lo De Soto	2017	15.9%	29.8%	8.3%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Suchitepec	2000	6.8%	8.4%	5.7%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Suchitepec	2017	8.4%	9.7%	7.2%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlachichilco	2000	11.9%	14.0%	10.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlachichilco	2017	10.3%	13.3%	8.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlacoatzintepec	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlacoatzintepec	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tuxtepec	2000	56.8%	77.2%	35.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tuxtepec	2017	60.2%	82.2%	35.4%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Valle Nacional	2000	26.4%	47.5%	11.0%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Valle Nacional	2017	27.7%	48.7%	12.0%
Mexico	San Juan Cacahuatepec	2000	24.1%	31.8%	18.2%
Mexico	San Juan Cacahuatepec	2017	25.0%	31.6%	20.0%
Mexico	San Juan Cancuc	2000	4.4%	10.6%	1.5%
Mexico	San Juan Cancuc	2017	4.9%	12.3%	1.6%
Mexico	San Juan Chicomezuchil	2000	53.0%	54.5%	51.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Chicomezuchil	2017	51.5%	53.1%	50.0%
Mexico	San Juan Chilalteca	2000	41.7%	42.7%	40.6%
Mexico	San Juan Chilalteca	2017	44.4%	45.3%	43.2%
Mexico	San Juan Cieneguilla	2000	51.6%	64.1%	43.2%
Mexico	San Juan Cieneguilla	2017	51.9%	63.9%	43.2%
Mexico	San Juan Coatzacoapam	2000	5.3%	5.9%	4.7%
Mexico	San Juan Coatzacoapam	2017	6.7%	7.5%	6.0%
Mexico	San Juan Colorado	2000	12.2%	13.5%	11.1%
Mexico	San Juan Colorado	2017	16.0%	17.3%	14.8%
Mexico	San Juan Comaltepec	2000	8.7%	14.5%	5.5%
Mexico	San Juan Comaltepec	2017	7.9%	11.5%	5.6%
Mexico	San Juan Cotzocon	2000	30.3%	68.0%	2.6%
Mexico	San Juan Cotzocon	2017	30.4%	68.5%	2.4%
Mexico	San Juan De Guadalupe	2000	35.0%	63.1%	12.4%
Mexico	San Juan De Guadalupe	2017	36.5%	67.1%	12.1%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Cues	2000	11.8%	22.2%	7.4%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Cues	2017	9.7%	12.0%	8.1%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Lagos	2000	71.9%	90.6%	45.7%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Lagos	2017	73.6%	89.2%	51.3%
Mexico	San Juan De Sabinas	2000	88.7%	91.3%	85.4%
Mexico	San Juan De Sabinas	2017	89.4%	91.6%	87.0%
Mexico	San Juan Del Estado	2000	12.2%	13.2%	11.2%
Mexico	San Juan Del Estado	2017	15.6%	16.9%	14.4%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	37.3%	69.6%	9.1%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	68.3%	87.2%	46.1%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	6.2%	8.7%	4.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	38.5%	69.7%	9.5%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	71.6%	87.8%	51.8%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	6.4%	9.4%	4.8%
Mexico	San Juan Dixi	2000	3.1%	3.8%	2.5%
Mexico	San Juan Dixi	2017	2.9%	3.5%	2.4%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2000	58.3%	85.0%	26.7%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2017	59.0%	85.6%	26.9%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2000	30.9%	33.4%	29.0%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista Analco	2017	32.6%	35.0%	30.6%
Mexico	San Juan Guelavia	2000	4.7%	5.0%	4.3%
Mexico	San Juan Guelavia	2017	5.8%	6.2%	5.4%
Mexico	San Juan Guichicovi	2000	39.2%	56.5%	22.5%
Mexico	San Juan Guichicovi	2017	39.1%	55.6%	23.6%
Mexico	San Juan Huactzinco	2000	78.4%	78.8%	78.0%
Mexico	San Juan Huactzinco	2017	79.9%	80.3%	79.5%
Mexico	San Juan Ihualtepec	2000	17.2%	20.3%	15.0%
Mexico	San Juan Ihualtepec	2017	26.9%	30.7%	24.1%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Mixes	2000	3.4%	5.4%	2.3%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Mixes	2017	4.0%	8.3%	2.5%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Vijanos	2000	9.4%	10.4%	8.5%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Vijanos	2017	9.9%	11.0%	9.0%
Mexico	San Juan Lachao	2000	9.7%	22.1%	3.0%
Mexico	San Juan Lachao	2017	12.4%	29.1%	3.5%
Mexico	San Juan Lachigalla	2000	3.4%	5.1%	2.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Lachigalla	2017	5.3%	6.9%	4.1%
Mexico	San Juan Lajarcia	2000	25.1%	38.9%	17.3%
Mexico	San Juan Lajarcia	2017	26.4%	42.2%	16.2%
Mexico	San Juan Lalana	2000	4.3%	15.6%	0.7%
Mexico	San Juan Lalana	2017	5.4%	19.3%	0.7%
Mexico	San Juan Mazatlan	2000	30.6%	66.2%	3.3%
Mexico	San Juan Mazatlan	2017	31.1%	65.7%	3.4%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 08 -	2000	7.3%	13.4%	3.0%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 08 -	2017	7.5%	13.8%	3.1%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2000	6.3%	9.0%	4.3%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2017	5.7%	8.0%	3.9%
Mexico	San Juan Numi	2000	3.0%	11.3%	0.5%
Mexico	San Juan Numi	2017	3.0%	10.5%	0.5%
Mexico	San Juan Ozolotepec	2000	1.6%	2.8%	0.8%
Mexico	San Juan Ozolotepec	2017	1.7%	3.3%	0.9%
Mexico	San Juan Petlapa	2000	33.4%	47.7%	21.5%
Mexico	San Juan Petlapa	2017	32.6%	48.6%	20.0%
Mexico	San Juan Quiahije	2000	1.5%	3.6%	0.4%
Mexico	San Juan Quiahije	2017	1.6%	3.8%	0.5%
Mexico	San Juan Quiotepec	2000	10.8%	17.0%	7.7%
Mexico	San Juan Quiotepec	2017	11.9%	19.4%	7.7%
Mexico	San Juan Sayultepec	2000	22.8%	24.1%	21.5%
Mexico	San Juan Sayultepec	2017	24.8%	26.2%	23.4%
Mexico	San Juan Tabaa	2000	26.0%	27.3%	24.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Tabaa	2017	23.8%	25.0%	22.6%
Mexico	San Juan Tamazola	2000	0.6%	1.8%	0.2%
Mexico	San Juan Tamazola	2017	0.6%	2.1%	0.2%
Mexico	San Juan Teita	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Mexico	San Juan Teita	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.3%
Mexico	San Juan Teitipac	2000	7.8%	8.2%	7.4%
Mexico	San Juan Teitipac	2017	8.3%	8.7%	8.0%
Mexico	San Juan Tepeuxila	2000	36.7%	41.6%	33.1%
Mexico	San Juan Tepeuxila	2017	36.5%	39.0%	34.5%
Mexico	San Juan Teposcolula	2000	14.4%	25.4%	9.2%
Mexico	San Juan Teposcolula	2017	15.6%	22.5%	12.0%
Mexico	San Juan Yaee	2000	28.0%	30.1%	26.0%
Mexico	San Juan Yaee	2017	29.5%	31.6%	27.5%
Mexico	San Juan Yat-zona	2000	71.0%	73.7%	68.5%
Mexico	San Juan Yat-zona	2017	81.1%	83.0%	79.1%
Mexico	San Juan Yucuita	2000	26.5%	27.9%	25.0%
Mexico	San Juan Yucuita	2017	28.6%	29.9%	27.1%
Mexico	San Juanito De Escobedo	2000	79.2%	87.3%	65.4%
Mexico	San Juanito De Escobedo	2017	80.0%	89.6%	62.3%
Mexico	San Julian	2000	87.6%	93.1%	78.3%
Mexico	San Julian	2017	89.1%	93.1%	84.7%
Mexico	San Lorenzo	2000	5.8%	6.8%	5.1%
Mexico	San Lorenzo	2017	6.0%	7.0%	5.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Albarradas	2000	8.8%	14.1%	4.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Albarradas	2017	8.0%	12.7%	4.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Axocomanitla	2000	77.6%	78.0%	77.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Axocomanitla	2017	79.2%	79.6%	78.8%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cacaotepec	2000	50.2%	50.8%	49.5%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cacaotepec	2017	57.8%	58.5%	57.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cuaunecuiltla	2000	3.7%	4.1%	3.3%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cuaunecuiltla	2017	3.7%	4.0%	3.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Texmelucan	2000	17.6%	24.6%	12.9%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Texmelucan	2017	17.9%	26.6%	12.3%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Victoria	2000	41.0%	43.2%	38.9%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Victoria	2017	42.2%	44.3%	40.1%
Mexico	San Lucas	2000	51.3%	71.6%	31.8%
Mexico	San Lucas	2000	51.8%	62.5%	42.2%
Mexico	San Lucas	2017	52.2%	72.7%	31.7%
Mexico	San Lucas	2017	53.0%	62.0%	44.1%
Mexico	San Lucas Camotlan	2000	1.5%	2.8%	0.7%
Mexico	San Lucas Camotlan	2017	1.3%	2.5%	0.6%
Mexico	San Lucas Ojitlan	2000	19.8%	32.8%	7.8%
Mexico	San Lucas Ojitlan	2017	20.7%	34.5%	8.7%
Mexico	San Lucas Quiavini	2000	37.5%	38.9%	36.3%
Mexico	San Lucas Quiavini	2017	32.7%	34.3%	31.3%
Mexico	San Lucas Tecopilco	2000	62.8%	65.8%	59.4%
Mexico	San Lucas Tecopilco	2017	64.7%	67.7%	61.2%
Mexico	San Lucas Zoquiapam	2000	6.3%	6.7%	5.9%
Mexico	San Lucas Zoquiapam	2017	6.7%	7.2%	6.3%
Mexico	San Luis Acatlan	2000	20.0%	53.2%	2.4%
Mexico	San Luis Acatlan	2017	20.3%	53.6%	2.7%
Mexico	San Luis Amatlan	2000	6.4%	27.0%	2.2%
Mexico	San Luis Amatlan	2017	5.4%	17.8%	2.4%
Mexico	San Luis De La Paz	2000	55.2%	80.5%	31.0%
Mexico	San Luis De La Paz	2017	55.2%	86.0%	25.7%
Mexico	San Luis Del Cordero	2000	68.7%	87.0%	47.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Luis Del Cordero	2017	69.5%	91.9%	36.2%
Mexico	San Luis Potosi	2000	90.8%	95.0%	82.2%
Mexico	San Luis Potosi	2017	90.6%	95.4%	80.4%
Mexico	San Luis Rio Colorado	2000	78.6%	86.3%	68.6%
Mexico	San Luis Rio Colorado	2017	79.7%	88.2%	67.4%
Mexico	San Marcial Ozolotepec	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Mexico	San Marcial Ozolotepec	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Mexico	San Marcos	2000	88.6%	96.6%	71.0%
Mexico	San Marcos	2000	39.6%	68.5%	14.1%
Mexico	San Marcos	2017	90.2%	95.3%	83.1%
Mexico	San Marcos	2017	39.2%	64.3%	17.7%
Mexico	San Marcos Arteaga	2000	73.7%	75.0%	70.2%
Mexico	San Marcos Arteaga	2017	62.9%	64.1%	60.0%
Mexico	San Martin Chalchicautla	2000	28.1%	50.2%	13.4%
Mexico	San Martin Chalchicautla	2017	27.4%	48.4%	13.7%
Mexico	San Martin De Bolanos	2000	45.6%	83.5%	10.2%
Mexico	San Martin De Bolanos	2017	46.7%	83.3%	10.5%
Mexico	San Martin De Las Piramides	2000	76.1%	76.6%	75.7%
Mexico	San Martin De Las Piramides	2017	79.8%	80.3%	79.4%
Mexico	San Martin De Los Cansecos	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Mexico	San Martin De Los Cansecos	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Mexico	San Martin Hidalgo	2000	80.5%	94.8%	54.6%
Mexico	San Martin Hidalgo	2017	81.7%	95.2%	56.1%
Mexico	San Martin Huamelulpam	2000	2.2%	2.7%	1.8%
Mexico	San Martin Huamelulpam	2017	3.0%	3.5%	2.5%
Mexico	San Martin Itunyoso	2000	1.6%	4.2%	0.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Martin Itunyoso	2017	1.9%	5.1%	0.5%
Mexico	San Martin Lachila	2000	1.7%	2.1%	1.4%
Mexico	San Martin Lachila	2017	1.9%	2.3%	1.6%
Mexico	San Martin Peras	2000	0.8%	1.5%	0.5%
Mexico	San Martin Peras	2017	1.1%	2.1%	0.6%
Mexico	San Martin Texmelucan	2000	82.6%	83.1%	82.0%
Mexico	San Martin Texmelucan	2017	82.0%	82.5%	81.5%
Mexico	San Martin Tilcajete	2000	27.4%	28.8%	26.2%
Mexico	San Martin Tilcajete	2017	29.8%	31.3%	28.5%
Mexico	San Martin Totoltepec	2000	52.7%	54.1%	51.4%
Mexico	San Martin Totoltepec	2017	54.8%	56.2%	53.6%
Mexico	San Martin Toxpalan	2000	20.3%	22.7%	18.0%
Mexico	San Martin Toxpalan	2017	21.2%	23.7%	19.0%
Mexico	San Martin Zacatepec	2000	13.7%	14.8%	12.8%
Mexico	San Martin Zacatepec	2017	13.5%	14.6%	12.6%
Mexico	San Mateo Atenco	2000	69.0%	71.0%	66.5%
Mexico	San Mateo Atenco	2017	69.3%	71.5%	66.3%
Mexico	San Mateo Cajonos	2000	41.7%	43.2%	40.3%
Mexico	San Mateo Cajonos	2017	43.5%	45.1%	42.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Del Mar	2000	21.7%	66.9%	6.5%
Mexico	San Mateo Del Mar	2017	23.4%	62.5%	8.8%
Mexico	San Mateo Etlatongo	2000	39.6%	41.1%	38.1%
Mexico	San Mateo Etlatongo	2017	31.7%	33.1%	30.3%
Mexico	San Mateo Nejapam	2000	11.8%	13.1%	10.6%
Mexico	San Mateo Nejapam	2017	12.9%	14.2%	11.7%
Mexico	San Mateo Peñasco	2000	2.3%	2.8%	1.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Mateo Peñasco	2017	2.5%	3.2%	2.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Pinas	2000	3.0%	8.0%	0.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Pinas	2017	4.9%	15.4%	0.8%
Mexico	San Mateo Rio Hondo	2000	1.3%	3.5%	0.7%
Mexico	San Mateo Rio Hondo	2017	1.4%	3.7%	0.7%
Mexico	San Mateo Sindihui	2000	4.9%	9.8%	2.4%
Mexico	San Mateo Sindihui	2017	5.8%	6.8%	5.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Tlapiltepec	2000	27.2%	29.8%	24.8%
Mexico	San Mateo Tlapiltepec	2017	32.2%	34.6%	30.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Yoloxochitlan	2000	12.3%	12.9%	11.7%
Mexico	San Mateo Yoloxochitlan	2017	11.0%	11.6%	10.4%
Mexico	San Matias Tlalancaleca	2000	75.3%	79.5%	71.3%
Mexico	San Matias Tlalancaleca	2017	72.4%	77.4%	67.1%
Mexico	San Melchor Betaza	2000	8.1%	9.1%	7.2%
Mexico	San Melchor Betaza	2017	5.8%	6.6%	5.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Achiutla	2000	8.6%	9.7%	7.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Achiutla	2017	8.4%	9.5%	7.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Ahuehuetitlan	2000	21.1%	24.7%	18.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Ahuehuetitlan	2017	18.9%	20.8%	17.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Aloapam	2000	12.9%	14.6%	11.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Aloapam	2017	16.6%	18.3%	14.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatitlan	2000	4.7%	5.7%	3.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatitlan	2017	4.6%	5.6%	3.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatlan	2000	21.6%	29.4%	19.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatlan	2017	22.5%	29.9%	19.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Miguel Chicahua	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Chicahua	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Chimalapa	2000	62.9%	95.3%	19.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Chimalapa	2017	63.9%	94.5%	22.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Coatlan	2000	6.2%	7.9%	5.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Coatlan	2017	6.9%	9.0%	5.5%
Mexico	San Miguel De Allende	2000	60.7%	82.8%	34.9%
Mexico	San Miguel De Allende	2017	61.6%	85.4%	38.0%
Mexico	San Miguel De Horcasitas	2000	55.4%	92.7%	16.1%
Mexico	San Miguel De Horcasitas	2017	52.1%	77.8%	26.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Puerto	2000	20.5%	53.2%	2.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Puerto	2017	20.0%	52.2%	2.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Rio	2000	75.5%	77.0%	74.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Rio	2017	77.1%	79.1%	75.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Ejutla	2000	94.0%	94.6%	93.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Ejutla	2017	81.7%	82.3%	81.1%
Mexico	San Miguel El Alto	2000	84.2%	93.0%	70.6%
Mexico	San Miguel El Alto	2017	84.2%	93.4%	69.9%
Mexico	San Miguel El Grande	2000	4.3%	5.1%	3.5%
Mexico	San Miguel El Grande	2017	6.5%	7.3%	5.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Huautla	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Huautla	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Ixitlan	2000	30.1%	45.0%	20.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Ixitlan	2017	54.6%	60.6%	50.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Mixtepec	2000	8.5%	9.4%	7.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Mixtepec	2017	5.1%	5.9%	4.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Miguel Panixt-lahuaca	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Panixt-lahuaca	2017	2.6%	4.4%	1.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Peras	2000	2.9%	5.0%	1.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Peras	2017	3.7%	6.5%	2.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Piedras	2000	1.0%	1.9%	0.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Piedras	2017	1.2%	2.3%	0.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Quetzaltepec	2000	25.4%	29.6%	20.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Quetzaltepec	2017	30.0%	34.8%	24.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Santa Flor	2000	3.0%	3.5%	2.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Santa Flor	2017	2.5%	3.0%	2.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Soyaltepec	2000	24.5%	43.5%	8.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Soyaltepec	2017	25.1%	44.3%	9.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Suchixtepec	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Suchixtepec	2017	1.7%	2.0%	1.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Tecomatlan	2000	7.4%	8.5%	6.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Tecomatlan	2017	7.6%	8.9%	6.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Tenango	2000	44.1%	82.4%	9.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Tenango	2017	45.2%	84.6%	8.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Tequixtepec	2000	18.8%	26.4%	14.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Tequixtepec	2017	19.2%	26.4%	14.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Tilquiapam	2000	11.1%	12.9%	9.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Tilquiapam	2017	10.6%	12.3%	9.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacamama	2000	8.3%	10.3%	6.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacamama	2017	8.3%	11.2%	6.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacotepec	2000	7.5%	9.0%	6.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacotepec	2017	8.7%	10.2%	7.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Totolapan	2000	20.1%	48.6%	3.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Totolapan	2017	20.5%	44.8%	4.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Tulancingo	2000	45.3%	48.0%	42.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Tulancingo	2017	48.0%	50.4%	46.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Xoxtla	2000	65.2%	65.8%	64.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Xoxtla	2017	69.1%	69.6%	68.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Yotao	2000	10.2%	11.2%	9.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Yotao	2017	11.1%	12.2%	9.7%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2000	8.1%	9.4%	6.9%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2000	6.8%	26.4%	0.7%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2017	9.1%	10.7%	7.7%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2017	9.4%	32.6%	0.7%
Mexico	San Nicolas Buenos Aires	2000	35.0%	56.4%	16.5%
Mexico	San Nicolas Buenos Aires	2017	36.1%	58.4%	17.4%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Garza	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Garza	2017	98.3%	98.5%	97.9%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Ranchos	2000	69.4%	70.5%	68.4%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Ranchos	2017	70.0%	71.3%	68.9%
Mexico	San Nicolas Hidalgo	2000	17.1%	18.5%	16.1%
Mexico	San Nicolas Hidalgo	2017	17.1%	18.6%	16.1%
Mexico	San Nicolas Tolentino	2000	43.8%	74.0%	12.5%
Mexico	San Nicolas Tolentino	2017	45.4%	77.8%	12.3%
Mexico	San Pablo Anicano	2000	52.5%	58.5%	46.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Anicano	2017	55.1%	59.5%	50.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Coatlan	2000	3.7%	6.2%	2.2%
Mexico	San Pablo Coatlan	2017	3.5%	5.7%	2.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pablo Cuatro Venados	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Mexico	San Pablo Cuatro Venados	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Del Monte	2000	83.1%	85.5%	79.3%
Mexico	San Pablo Del Monte	2017	83.8%	85.4%	81.3%
Mexico	San Pablo Etna	2000	63.4%	64.2%	62.5%
Mexico	San Pablo Etna	2017	65.3%	66.1%	64.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Huitzo	2000	29.4%	30.4%	28.5%
Mexico	San Pablo Huitzo	2017	31.0%	31.9%	30.2%
Mexico	San Pablo Huixtepec	2000	13.9%	14.6%	13.3%
Mexico	San Pablo Huixtepec	2017	15.0%	15.7%	14.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Macuiltian-guis	2000	8.0%	10.3%	6.1%
Mexico	San Pablo Macuiltian-guis	2017	5.7%	7.1%	4.7%
Mexico	San Pablo Tlaltepec	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Tlaltepec	2017	1.7%	2.1%	1.3%
Mexico	San Pablo Villa De Mitla	2000	75.4%	81.3%	66.7%
Mexico	San Pablo Villa De Mitla	2017	75.8%	79.0%	71.6%
Mexico	San Pablo Yaganiza	2000	56.9%	58.4%	55.5%
Mexico	San Pablo Yaganiza	2017	58.6%	60.0%	57.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Amuzgos	2000	63.1%	91.1%	26.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Amuzgos	2017	64.2%	91.2%	28.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Apostol	2000	6.9%	10.5%	4.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Apostol	2017	9.2%	13.0%	6.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2000	14.0%	15.3%	12.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2017	14.5%	16.0%	13.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2000	9.7%	10.8%	8.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2017	10.8%	11.7%	9.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Cajonos	2000	59.5%	62.2%	57.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Cajonos	2017	64.4%	66.5%	62.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Cholula	2000	56.2%	56.9%	55.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Cholula	2017	57.5%	58.2%	56.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Comitancillo	2000	81.3%	83.1%	79.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Comitancillo	2017	83.8%	85.2%	82.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Coxcaltepec Cantaros	2000	17.4%	20.6%	14.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Coxcaltepec Cantaros	2017	12.2%	14.6%	10.1%
Mexico	San Pedro De La Cueva	2000	54.8%	92.5%	9.9%
Mexico	San Pedro De La Cueva	2017	56.6%	89.1%	17.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Del Gallo	2000	60.6%	94.8%	30.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Del Gallo	2017	64.0%	91.3%	32.3%
Mexico	San Pedro El Alto	2000	2.7%	3.4%	2.2%
Mexico	San Pedro El Alto	2017	3.2%	4.6%	2.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Garza Garcia	2000	99.2%	99.2%	99.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Garza Garcia	2017	99.2%	99.2%	99.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Huamelula	2000	48.2%	75.0%	22.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Huamelula	2017	48.7%	74.3%	23.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Huilotepec	2000	49.6%	53.3%	45.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Huilotepec	2017	52.2%	54.6%	49.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixcatlan	2000	18.5%	28.2%	11.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixcatlan	2017	19.6%	28.9%	13.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixtlahuaca	2000	26.1%	26.7%	25.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixtlahuaca	2017	20.8%	21.7%	19.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Jaltepetongo	2000	38.0%	42.0%	33.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Jaltepetongo	2017	65.3%	78.3%	49.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Jicayan	2000	8.9%	9.7%	8.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Jicayan	2017	9.9%	10.7%	9.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Jocotipac	2000	31.5%	38.2%	25.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Jocotipac	2017	21.5%	25.9%	17.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Juchatengo	2000	35.8%	48.0%	25.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Juchatengo	2017	37.2%	49.5%	26.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Lagunillas	2000	68.2%	93.1%	35.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Lagunillas	2017	68.2%	93.9%	33.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir	2000	26.0%	26.8%	25.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir	2017	25.0%	25.9%	24.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Quiechapa	2000	60.0%	68.8%	49.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Quiechapa	2017	47.5%	61.2%	34.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Yucuxaco	2000	7.2%	8.8%	5.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Yucuxaco	2017	7.5%	9.1%	5.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 22 -	2000	43.9%	69.9%	15.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 22 -	2017	42.9%	64.5%	19.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2000	8.6%	13.5%	5.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2017	9.0%	14.2%	5.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Molinos	2000	5.0%	5.8%	4.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Molinos	2017	5.3%	6.1%	4.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Nopala	2000	41.5%	44.0%	38.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Nopala	2017	40.8%	43.5%	38.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocopetatillo	2000	4.9%	5.4%	4.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocopetatillo	2017	5.3%	5.9%	4.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocoteppec	2000	2.5%	4.0%	1.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocoteppec	2017	2.6%	4.1%	1.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Pochutla	2000	54.8%	79.0%	18.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Pochutla	2017	51.8%	74.8%	22.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Quiatoni	2000	15.7%	28.0%	3.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Quiatoni	2017	16.2%	29.2%	3.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Sochiapam	2000	11.5%	15.6%	8.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Sochiapam	2017	11.3%	14.8%	9.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Tapanatepec	2000	67.6%	84.7%	43.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Tapanatepec	2017	69.7%	84.1%	47.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Taviche	2000	1.9%	2.6%	1.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Taviche	2017	2.0%	2.8%	1.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Teozacoalco	2000	3.1%	5.4%	2.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Teozacoalco	2017	3.0%	5.5%	1.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Teutila	2000	20.9%	24.4%	16.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Teutila	2017	19.4%	22.8%	15.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Tidaa	2000	7.2%	7.8%	6.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Tidaa	2017	8.7%	9.5%	8.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Topiltepec	2000	20.4%	21.4%	19.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Topiltepec	2017	21.6%	22.7%	20.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Totolapa	2000	15.7%	37.0%	3.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Totolapa	2017	13.0%	26.2%	2.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Ayutla	2000	21.3%	27.0%	13.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Ayutla	2017	20.6%	26.2%	12.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Teposcolula	2000	32.3%	34.6%	29.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Teposcolula	2017	33.5%	35.8%	30.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Tequixtepec	2000	29.4%	45.5%	15.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Tequixtepec	2017	29.5%	44.2%	16.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Yaneri	2000	23.3%	25.3%	21.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Yaneri	2017	29.4%	32.0%	26.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Yeloixt-lahuaca	2000	53.4%	64.6%	41.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Yeloixt-lahuaca	2017	48.9%	57.5%	39.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Yolox	2000	13.0%	19.8%	8.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Yolox	2017	11.1%	18.0%	7.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Yucunama	2000	16.1%	18.5%	14.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Yucunama	2017	17.6%	20.2%	15.3%
Mexico	San Rafael	2000	55.9%	95.0%	7.9%
Mexico	San Rafael	2017	56.9%	95.2%	8.7%
Mexico	San Raymundo Jalpan	2000	26.5%	27.3%	25.7%
Mexico	San Raymundo Jalpan	2017	28.2%	29.0%	27.4%
Mexico	San Salvador	2000	59.0%	68.3%	47.1%
Mexico	San Salvador	2017	61.5%	68.8%	51.8%
Mexico	San Salvador El Seco	2000	27.4%	33.5%	22.8%
Mexico	San Salvador El Seco	2017	25.5%	30.0%	21.6%
Mexico	San Salvador El Verde	2000	53.2%	61.8%	46.6%
Mexico	San Salvador El Verde	2017	56.3%	62.4%	51.2%
Mexico	San Salvador Huixcolotla	2000	47.4%	48.1%	46.7%
Mexico	San Salvador Huixcolotla	2017	58.3%	59.1%	57.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Sebastian Abasolo	2000	14.3%	15.0%	13.5%
Mexico	San Sebastian Abasolo	2017	17.4%	18.0%	16.6%
Mexico	San Sebastian Coatlan	2000	3.7%	11.3%	0.8%
Mexico	San Sebastian Coatlan	2017	4.0%	12.2%	1.0%
Mexico	San Sebastian Del Oeste	2000	56.2%	90.7%	22.9%
Mexico	San Sebastian Del Oeste	2017	56.8%	91.0%	21.6%
Mexico	San Sebastian Ixcapa	2000	23.3%	31.4%	15.9%
Mexico	San Sebastian Ixcapa	2017	25.2%	30.8%	20.6%
Mexico	San Sebastian Nicananduta	2000	77.9%	80.4%	75.4%
Mexico	San Sebastian Nicananduta	2017	76.7%	79.1%	74.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Rio Hondo	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mexico	San Sebastian Rio Hondo	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tecomaxt-lahuaca	2000	15.7%	31.8%	6.7%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tecomaxt-lahuaca	2017	16.9%	33.1%	7.6%
Mexico	San Sebastian Teitipac	2000	7.6%	8.1%	7.1%
Mexico	San Sebastian Teitipac	2017	9.0%	9.5%	8.4%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tlacotepec	2000	11.8%	34.0%	2.0%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tlacotepec	2017	11.8%	32.5%	2.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tutla	2000	79.7%	80.1%	79.3%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tutla	2017	80.3%	80.7%	79.9%
Mexico	San Simon Almolongas	2000	8.3%	10.5%	7.2%
Mexico	San Simon Almolongas	2017	8.3%	11.0%	7.0%
Mexico	San Simon De Guerrero	2000	23.4%	34.2%	14.3%
Mexico	San Simon De Guerrero	2017	24.2%	35.8%	15.2%
Mexico	San Simon Zahuatlan	2000	4.2%	4.8%	3.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Simon Zahuatlan	2017	4.4%	4.9%	3.8%
Mexico	San Vicente Coatlan	2000	1.9%	4.1%	0.9%
Mexico	San Vicente Coatlan	2017	2.2%	4.3%	1.2%
Mexico	San Vicente Lachixio	2000	2.5%	4.2%	1.6%
Mexico	San Vicente Lachixio	2017	2.1%	2.9%	1.6%
Mexico	San Vicente Nunu	2000	22.6%	24.8%	20.4%
Mexico	San Vicente Nunu	2017	24.8%	27.1%	22.8%
Mexico	San Vicente Tancuayalab	2000	31.1%	57.9%	8.7%
Mexico	San Vicente Tancuayalab	2017	30.7%	56.8%	9.1%
Mexico	Sanahcat	2000	4.4%	5.0%	3.8%
Mexico	Sanahcat	2017	4.6%	5.3%	3.9%
Mexico	Sanctorum De Lazaro Cardenas	2000	88.6%	90.2%	86.2%
Mexico	Sanctorum De Lazaro Cardenas	2017	89.5%	91.4%	86.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2000	85.9%	93.6%	74.7%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2000	18.4%	20.7%	16.2%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2017	18.7%	20.6%	16.8%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2017	85.2%	95.2%	68.3%
Mexico	Santa Ana Ateixtlahuaca	2000	7.4%	9.4%	6.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana Ateixtlahuaca	2017	5.3%	6.9%	4.3%
Mexico	Santa Ana Cuauhtemoc	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.1%
Mexico	Santa Ana Cuauhtemoc	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Mexico	Santa Ana Del Valle	2000	35.3%	36.3%	34.2%
Mexico	Santa Ana Del Valle	2017	33.9%	35.0%	32.8%
Mexico	Santa Ana Maya	2000	67.0%	87.4%	40.4%
Mexico	Santa Ana Maya	2017	69.5%	87.4%	45.8%
Mexico	Santa Ana Nopalucan	2000	59.4%	60.2%	58.5%
Mexico	Santa Ana Nopalucan	2017	61.2%	62.0%	60.3%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tavela	2000	4.6%	7.0%	2.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Ana Tavela	2017	5.0%	7.6%	3.1%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tlapacoyan	2000	39.0%	40.9%	36.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tlapacoyan	2017	44.3%	46.4%	41.8%
Mexico	Santa Ana Yareni	2000	8.6%	10.0%	7.4%
Mexico	Santa Ana Yareni	2017	9.7%	11.2%	8.4%
Mexico	Santa Ana Zegache	2000	23.0%	23.8%	22.4%
Mexico	Santa Ana Zegache	2017	27.2%	28.0%	26.4%
Mexico	Santa Apolonia Teacalco	2000	74.5%	75.0%	73.9%
Mexico	Santa Apolonia Teacalco	2017	76.0%	76.5%	75.5%
Mexico	Santa Barbara	2000	82.0%	89.7%	71.5%
Mexico	Santa Barbara	2017	83.9%	89.5%	76.9%
Mexico	Santa Catalina Quieri	2000	33.5%	40.8%	26.0%
Mexico	Santa Catalina Quieri	2017	38.1%	44.2%	31.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	96.4%	98.6%	91.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	35.0%	75.2%	5.0%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	27.0%	50.0%	10.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	96.5%	98.5%	92.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	35.1%	73.8%	5.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	27.7%	49.5%	11.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ayometla	2000	80.9%	81.3%	80.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ayometla	2017	81.9%	82.3%	81.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Cuixtla	2000	26.3%	27.9%	24.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Cuixtla	2017	27.3%	28.9%	25.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ixtepeji	2000	43.5%	44.7%	42.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ixtepeji	2017	44.5%	45.6%	43.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Juquila	2000	10.5%	22.9%	2.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Catarina Juquila	2017	11.3%	24.4%	3.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Lachatao	2000	16.8%	19.5%	15.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Lachatao	2017	16.6%	19.7%	15.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Loxicha	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Loxicha	2017	2.1%	4.3%	1.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Mechoacacan	2000	3.4%	3.9%	2.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Mechoacacan	2017	2.6%	3.2%	2.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Minas	2000	8.8%	9.4%	8.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Minas	2017	8.5%	9.0%	8.0%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiane	2000	36.4%	37.4%	35.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiane	2017	42.0%	43.0%	40.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quioquitani	2000	2.3%	3.3%	1.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quioquitani	2017	2.6%	3.7%	1.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tayata	2000	9.9%	10.9%	9.0%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tayata	2017	10.6%	11.6%	9.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ticua	2000	3.1%	3.5%	2.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ticua	2017	4.0%	4.5%	3.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tlaltempan	2000	16.5%	18.9%	14.0%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tlaltempan	2017	15.1%	16.8%	13.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Yosonotu	2000	0.6%	1.4%	0.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Yosonotu	2017	0.7%	1.5%	0.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Zapotilla	2000	30.5%	65.3%	9.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Catarina Zapotilla	2017	30.9%	64.6%	10.3%
Mexico	Santa Clara	2000	54.6%	81.9%	27.7%
Mexico	Santa Clara	2017	56.9%	84.1%	30.7%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	67.7%	97.1%	21.5%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	71.9%	97.4%	32.0%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Acatepec	2000	10.6%	11.2%	10.1%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Acatepec	2017	10.2%	10.8%	9.7%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Amilpas	2000	80.5%	80.9%	80.1%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Amilpas	2017	81.3%	81.6%	80.9%
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Bravo	2000	11.6%	12.3%	10.8%
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Bravo	2017	16.3%	17.2%	15.4%
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Juventino Rosas	2000	78.2%	89.2%	59.9%
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Juventino Rosas	2017	80.4%	90.8%	63.0%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Itundujia	2000	1.9%	9.4%	0.3%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Itundujia	2017	2.1%	9.6%	0.3%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Mixtepec	2000	40.8%	42.4%	39.1%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Mixtepec	2017	36.7%	38.0%	35.3%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Nundaco	2000	3.0%	6.3%	1.3%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Nundaco	2017	2.6%	5.5%	1.3%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Papalutla	2000	5.5%	5.9%	5.1%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Papalutla	2017	6.3%	6.7%	5.8%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Quilehltla	2000	76.7%	77.2%	76.1%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Quilehltla	2017	78.7%	79.2%	78.2%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tacache De Mina	2000	55.8%	58.9%	52.8%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tacache De Mina	2017	56.5%	60.4%	52.4%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tacahua	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tacahua	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tayata	2000	6.4%	7.2%	5.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tayata	2017	7.9%	8.8%	6.9%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tlaxcala	2000	73.9%	74.4%	73.3%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tlaxcala	2017	74.0%	74.6%	73.5%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xitla	2000	24.3%	25.7%	23.0%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xitla	2017	27.3%	28.5%	26.3%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xoxocotlan	2000	68.9%	69.3%	68.4%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xoxocotlan	2017	68.3%	68.7%	67.8%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Zenzontepec	2000	0.5%	1.6%	0.1%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Zenzontepec	2017	0.5%	1.6%	0.1%
Mexico	Santa Elena	2000	34.0%	57.4%	17.8%
Mexico	Santa Elena	2017	36.6%	60.9%	17.2%
Mexico	Santa Gertrudis	2000	43.2%	45.2%	41.2%
Mexico	Santa Gertrudis	2017	43.0%	44.9%	41.1%
Mexico	Santa Ines Ahuatempan	2000	31.8%	43.6%	22.8%
Mexico	Santa Ines Ahuatempan	2017	32.7%	45.6%	23.8%
Mexico	Santa Ines De Zaragoza	2000	1.1%	2.7%	0.4%
Mexico	Santa Ines De Zaragoza	2017	1.7%	5.4%	0.5%
Mexico	Santa Ines Del Monte	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Mexico	Santa Ines Del Monte	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Mexico	Santa Ines Yatzeche	2000	11.2%	11.9%	10.7%
Mexico	Santa Ines Yatzeche	2017	12.0%	12.7%	11.4%
Mexico	Santa Isabel	2000	78.0%	92.5%	56.8%
Mexico	Santa Isabel	2017	78.5%	93.3%	58.5%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Cholula	2000	38.8%	41.1%	36.9%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Cholula	2017	42.2%	44.6%	40.1%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Xiloxotla	2000	80.0%	80.3%	79.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Isabel Xiloxotla	2017	81.6%	82.0%	81.2%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Del Camino	2000	81.6%	82.0%	81.2%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Del Camino	2017	82.8%	83.1%	82.4%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Miahuatlan	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.3%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Miahuatlan	2017	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Monteverde	2000	1.8%	4.0%	0.6%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Monteverde	2017	2.8%	7.7%	0.7%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Ocotlan	2000	12.9%	13.8%	12.1%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Ocotlan	2017	13.2%	14.0%	12.4%
Mexico	Santa Magdalena Jicotlan	2000	40.0%	43.3%	36.3%
Mexico	Santa Magdalena Jicotlan	2017	41.8%	44.8%	38.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Alotepec	2000	7.6%	8.6%	6.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Alotepec	2017	8.2%	9.4%	7.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Apazco	2000	0.8%	2.5%	0.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Apazco	2017	0.8%	2.5%	0.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Atzompa	2000	53.5%	54.2%	52.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Atzompa	2017	57.4%	58.1%	56.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Camotlan	2000	17.7%	22.9%	13.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Camotlan	2017	17.9%	23.4%	13.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chachoapam	2000	19.9%	22.1%	18.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chachoapam	2017	20.3%	22.9%	18.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chilchotla	2000	22.7%	33.7%	15.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chilchotla	2017	20.6%	30.9%	13.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chimalapa	2000	52.4%	79.1%	23.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chimalapa	2017	55.4%	80.6%	23.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Colotepec	2000	40.4%	68.7%	8.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Colotepec	2017	40.5%	67.2%	9.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Cortijo	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Cortijo	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Coyotepec	2000	32.7%	33.6%	31.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Coyotepec	2017	35.6%	36.5%	34.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria De La Paz	2000	56.6%	98.1%	4.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria De La Paz	2017	57.5%	97.9%	4.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria De Los Angeles	2000	46.5%	67.9%	27.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria De Los Angeles	2017	48.9%	69.9%	28.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2000	65.3%	90.2%	34.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2000	30.0%	59.0%	6.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2017	67.3%	90.1%	39.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2017	35.4%	75.1%	5.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rio	2000	50.3%	84.8%	19.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rio	2017	50.5%	86.0%	19.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rosario	2000	4.9%	5.6%	4.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rosario	2017	6.4%	7.3%	5.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Tule	2000	73.5%	73.9%	73.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Tule	2017	58.6%	59.3%	58.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ecatepec	2000	42.9%	68.0%	21.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ecatepec	2017	44.4%	70.6%	22.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guelace	2000	24.6%	25.6%	23.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guelace	2017	27.2%	28.4%	26.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guienagati	2000	17.3%	29.9%	9.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Guienagati	2017	19.2%	35.0%	9.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huatulco	2000	62.0%	92.3%	18.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huatulco	2017	61.5%	90.6%	19.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huazolotitlan	2000	25.0%	49.9%	7.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huazolotitlan	2017	27.3%	53.7%	8.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ipalapa	2000	16.4%	27.3%	9.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ipalapa	2017	14.9%	24.3%	8.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ixcatlan	2000	13.3%	23.6%	6.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ixcatlan	2017	15.7%	26.0%	7.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jacatepec	2000	21.9%	47.0%	6.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jacatepec	2017	22.9%	47.4%	6.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jalapa Del Marques	2000	61.8%	91.9%	13.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jalapa Del Marques	2017	62.9%	92.2%	14.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jaltianguis	2000	48.0%	50.1%	46.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jaltianguis	2017	51.7%	53.9%	49.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria La Asuncion	2000	8.7%	9.5%	8.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria La Asuncion	2017	9.5%	10.2%	8.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Lachixio	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Lachixio	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Mixtequilla	2000	73.4%	77.9%	66.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Mixtequilla	2017	72.5%	79.6%	63.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nativitas	2000	6.5%	10.4%	4.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nativitas	2017	6.8%	9.9%	4.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nduayaco	2000	15.7%	17.1%	14.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nduayaco	2017	18.7%	20.1%	17.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Ozolotepec	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ozolotepec	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Papalo	2000	3.5%	4.6%	2.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Papalo	2017	4.7%	6.3%	3.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Penoles	2000	9.3%	15.0%	5.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Penoles	2017	6.4%	10.8%	4.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Petapa	2000	69.5%	78.2%	57.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Petapa	2017	69.7%	80.0%	54.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Quiegolani	2000	10.4%	16.7%	6.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Quiegolani	2017	11.3%	18.0%	7.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Sola	2000	15.1%	20.7%	11.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Sola	2017	15.7%	21.6%	11.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tataltepec	2000	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tataltepec	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tecomavaca	2000	18.0%	31.7%	9.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tecomavaca	2017	22.0%	38.0%	10.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcalapa	2000	68.6%	70.9%	66.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcalapa	2017	74.9%	76.1%	73.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcaltepec	2000	5.6%	7.1%	4.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temaxcaltepec	2017	4.9%	6.0%	3.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Teopoxco	2000	9.7%	10.4%	9.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Teopoxco	2017	9.9%	10.6%	9.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tepantlali	2000	2.9%	3.5%	2.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tepantlali	2017	3.3%	4.0%	2.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Texcatitlan	2000	1.2%	1.6%	1.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Texcatitlan	2017	1.8%	2.3%	1.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlahuitoltepec	2000	9.4%	10.0%	8.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlahuitoltepec	2017	6.5%	6.9%	6.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlalixtac	2000	13.8%	16.4%	11.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlalixtac	2017	14.2%	17.0%	12.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tonameca	2000	24.4%	51.3%	2.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tonameca	2017	22.9%	46.7%	1.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Totolapilla	2000	10.5%	24.1%	3.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Totolapilla	2017	11.3%	26.8%	3.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Xadani	2000	70.1%	79.9%	59.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Xadani	2017	61.1%	79.0%	42.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yalina	2000	23.0%	24.6%	21.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yalina	2017	28.5%	30.5%	26.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yavesia	2000	12.3%	13.1%	11.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yavesia	2017	16.7%	18.5%	15.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yolotepec	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yolotepec	2017	3.3%	4.8%	2.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yosoyua	2000	3.2%	3.7%	2.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yosoyua	2017	3.3%	3.7%	2.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yucuhiti	2000	4.9%	6.8%	3.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yucuhiti	2017	5.7%	7.7%	4.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zacatepec	2000	15.2%	32.2%	6.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zacatepec	2017	15.5%	32.9%	6.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zaniza	2000	3.2%	4.5%	2.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zaniza	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Zoquitlan	2000	21.2%	37.9%	8.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zoquitlan	2017	21.7%	37.9%	9.5%
Mexico	Santiago	2000	85.6%	96.9%	66.6%
Mexico	Santiago	2017	86.9%	97.0%	70.0%
Mexico	Santiago Amoltepec	2000	0.4%	1.4%	0.1%
Mexico	Santiago Amoltepec	2017	0.5%	1.5%	0.1%
Mexico	Santiago Apoala	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Mexico	Santiago Apoala	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mexico	Santiago Apostol	2000	25.9%	26.5%	25.4%
Mexico	Santiago Apostol	2017	30.6%	31.2%	30.0%
Mexico	Santiago Astata	2000	49.0%	58.7%	39.5%
Mexico	Santiago Astata	2017	51.4%	62.5%	38.7%
Mexico	Santiago Atitlan	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Mexico	Santiago Atitlan	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%
Mexico	Santiago Ayuquillilla	2000	13.5%	15.5%	11.7%
Mexico	Santiago Ayuquillilla	2017	15.7%	18.6%	12.7%
Mexico	Santiago Cacaloxtepec	2000	37.7%	39.8%	35.1%
Mexico	Santiago Cacaloxtepec	2017	35.0%	37.1%	32.4%
Mexico	Santiago Camotlan	2000	66.0%	87.0%	49.9%
Mexico	Santiago Camotlan	2017	77.4%	88.3%	65.4%
Mexico	Santiago Chazumba	2000	27.8%	39.9%	16.6%
Mexico	Santiago Chazumba	2017	29.8%	44.1%	17.3%
Mexico	Santiago Choapam	2000	6.9%	12.1%	4.5%
Mexico	Santiago Choapam	2017	7.1%	16.9%	4.2%
Mexico	Santiago Comaltepec	2000	23.1%	33.3%	12.0%
Mexico	Santiago Comaltepec	2017	21.7%	33.3%	10.4%
Mexico	Santiago De Anaya	2000	39.7%	57.9%	24.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago De Anaya	2017	40.7%	59.5%	23.7%
Mexico	Santiago Del Rio	2000	7.3%	13.6%	3.3%
Mexico	Santiago Del Rio	2017	8.4%	13.9%	4.6%
Mexico	Santiago El Pinar	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Mexico	Santiago El Pinar	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Mexico	Santiago Huajolotitlan	2000	44.9%	49.7%	41.3%
Mexico	Santiago Huajolotitlan	2017	43.7%	48.1%	40.7%
Mexico	Santiago Huaucilla	2000	18.3%	26.7%	11.2%
Mexico	Santiago Huaucilla	2017	19.9%	34.3%	10.5%
Mexico	Santiago Ihuitlan Plumas	2000	10.6%	13.6%	7.9%
Mexico	Santiago Ihuitlan Plumas	2017	5.9%	6.8%	5.0%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintepec	2000	14.8%	35.4%	5.9%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintepec	2017	15.5%	33.6%	6.8%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintla	2000	62.8%	85.2%	35.5%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintla	2017	64.2%	86.5%	37.8%
Mexico	Santiago Ixtayutla	2000	2.8%	8.9%	0.6%
Mexico	Santiago Ixtayutla	2017	2.3%	6.7%	0.6%
Mexico	Santiago Jamiltepec	2000	16.9%	29.4%	5.8%
Mexico	Santiago Jamiltepec	2017	17.3%	30.0%	6.1%
Mexico	Santiago Jocotepec	2000	12.0%	37.1%	1.7%
Mexico	Santiago Jocotepec	2017	12.4%	38.7%	1.8%
Mexico	Santiago Juxtahuaca	2000	22.9%	45.1%	8.4%
Mexico	Santiago Juxtahuaca	2017	19.6%	36.4%	8.6%
Mexico	Santiago Lachiguiri	2000	11.8%	35.5%	1.6%
Mexico	Santiago Lachiguiri	2017	12.7%	37.8%	1.7%
Mexico	Santiago Lalopa	2000	39.7%	42.3%	37.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Lalopa	2017	51.2%	54.0%	48.3%
Mexico	Santiago Laolalaga	2000	58.5%	67.8%	49.6%
Mexico	Santiago Laolalaga	2017	61.0%	69.2%	53.9%
Mexico	Santiago Laxopa	2000	28.8%	30.5%	27.4%
Mexico	Santiago Laxopa	2017	39.0%	40.7%	37.7%
Mexico	Santiago Llano Grande	2000	2.4%	2.9%	2.0%
Mexico	Santiago Llano Grande	2017	3.5%	4.3%	3.0%
Mexico	Santiago Maravatio	2000	72.7%	75.2%	69.5%
Mexico	Santiago Maravatio	2017	74.1%	77.1%	69.3%
Mexico	Santiago Matatlan	2000	56.8%	60.7%	51.0%
Mexico	Santiago Matatlan	2017	50.6%	53.9%	46.5%
Mexico	Santiago Miahuatlan	2000	45.3%	50.5%	41.5%
Mexico	Santiago Miahuatlan	2017	45.8%	51.7%	40.9%
Mexico	Santiago Miltepec	2000	17.1%	22.2%	12.9%
Mexico	Santiago Miltepec	2017	13.3%	14.7%	12.0%
Mexico	Santiago Minas	2000	9.0%	22.6%	3.2%
Mexico	Santiago Minas	2017	8.3%	19.9%	2.8%
Mexico	Santiago Nacaltepec	2000	16.7%	24.0%	8.6%
Mexico	Santiago Nacaltepec	2017	23.4%	32.2%	12.8%
Mexico	Santiago Nejapilla	2000	15.7%	16.6%	14.9%
Mexico	Santiago Nejapilla	2017	19.5%	20.6%	18.3%
Mexico	Santiago Niltepec	2000	73.0%	89.5%	50.3%
Mexico	Santiago Niltepec	2017	74.7%	90.4%	52.3%
Mexico	Santiago Nundiche	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Mexico	Santiago Nundiche	2017	2.4%	3.9%	1.5%
Mexico	Santiago Nuyoo	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Nuyoo	2017	1.4%	2.4%	0.9%
Mexico	Santiago Papasquiario	2000	61.1%	81.3%	35.8%
Mexico	Santiago Papasquiario	2017	61.6%	77.1%	44.0%
Mexico	Santiago Pinotepa Nacional	2000	32.4%	50.9%	17.2%
Mexico	Santiago Pinotepa Nacional	2017	30.9%	42.8%	20.8%
Mexico	Santiago Sochiapa	2000	19.9%	63.0%	1.2%
Mexico	Santiago Sochiapa	2017	20.0%	67.0%	1.0%
Mexico	Santiago Suchilquitongo	2000	27.3%	28.3%	26.2%
Mexico	Santiago Suchilquitongo	2017	28.7%	29.8%	27.6%
Mexico	Santiago Tamazola	2000	42.4%	43.9%	41.0%
Mexico	Santiago Tamazola	2017	38.1%	39.3%	37.1%
Mexico	Santiago Tapextla	2000	10.2%	23.6%	3.1%
Mexico	Santiago Tapextla	2017	10.8%	22.3%	4.3%
Mexico	Santiago Tenango	2000	6.5%	7.8%	5.5%
Mexico	Santiago Tenango	2017	6.9%	8.1%	5.9%
Mexico	Santiago Tepetlapa	2000	28.9%	32.0%	26.4%
Mexico	Santiago Tepetlapa	2017	31.3%	34.3%	28.7%
Mexico	Santiago Tetepec	2000	2.7%	8.8%	0.6%
Mexico	Santiago Tetepec	2017	3.1%	9.9%	0.8%
Mexico	Santiago Texcalcingo	2000	6.8%	7.8%	6.1%
Mexico	Santiago Texcalcingo	2017	7.0%	8.0%	6.1%
Mexico	Santiago Textitlan	2000	1.1%	2.5%	0.5%
Mexico	Santiago Textitlan	2017	1.2%	2.9%	0.5%
Mexico	Santiago Tilantongo	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.3%
Mexico	Santiago Tilantongo	2017	2.1%	2.7%	1.6%
Mexico	Santiago Tillo	2000	21.7%	22.9%	20.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Tillo	2017	24.0%	25.1%	22.7%
Mexico	Santiago Tlazoyaltepec	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Mexico	Santiago Tlazoyaltepec	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.2%
Mexico	Santiago Tulantepec De Lugo Guerrero	2000	71.4%	78.4%	63.0%
Mexico	Santiago Tulantepec De Lugo Guerrero	2017	71.7%	79.4%	62.7%
Mexico	Santiago Tuxtla	2000	44.9%	71.0%	19.9%
Mexico	Santiago Tuxtla	2017	46.8%	73.5%	19.2%
Mexico	Santiago Xanica	2000	0.7%	1.8%	0.3%
Mexico	Santiago Xanica	2017	0.8%	2.6%	0.3%
Mexico	Santiago Xiacui	2000	24.4%	25.1%	23.7%
Mexico	Santiago Xiacui	2017	26.7%	27.4%	26.1%
Mexico	Santiago Yaitepec	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.7%
Mexico	Santiago Yaitepec	2017	2.5%	3.6%	1.8%
Mexico	Santiago Yaveo	2000	24.1%	52.8%	3.7%
Mexico	Santiago Yaveo	2017	23.4%	51.8%	4.0%
Mexico	Santiago Yolomecatl	2000	58.6%	60.9%	55.9%
Mexico	Santiago Yolomecatl	2017	60.4%	62.6%	57.7%
Mexico	Santiago Yosondua	2000	5.3%	11.9%	2.5%
Mexico	Santiago Yosondua	2017	6.3%	12.5%	2.8%
Mexico	Santiago Yucuyachi	2000	42.2%	45.2%	39.6%
Mexico	Santiago Yucuyachi	2017	35.8%	38.1%	33.6%
Mexico	Santiago Zocatepec	2000	0.9%	1.5%	0.6%
Mexico	Santiago Zocatepec	2017	1.1%	2.3%	0.6%
Mexico	Santiago Zochila	2000	31.8%	33.0%	30.6%
Mexico	Santiago Zochila	2017	41.2%	42.6%	39.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santo Domingo	2000	36.0%	55.6%	18.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo	2017	37.6%	57.2%	18.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Albarradas	2000	54.5%	66.8%	35.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Albarradas	2017	56.8%	69.5%	35.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Armenta	2000	5.6%	19.6%	0.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Armenta	2017	8.9%	16.8%	5.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Chihuitan	2000	74.4%	84.0%	63.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Chihuitan	2017	74.2%	80.9%	66.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo De Morelos	2000	1.4%	7.3%	0.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo De Morelos	2017	1.3%	5.2%	0.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ingenio	2000	63.2%	84.6%	39.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ingenio	2017	62.7%	88.7%	31.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ixcatlan	2000	2.3%	3.2%	1.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ixcatlan	2017	2.6%	4.5%	1.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Nuxaa	2000	3.3%	5.7%	2.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Nuxaa	2017	3.3%	5.2%	2.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ozolotepec	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ozolotepec	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santo Domingo Petapa	2000	45.0%	67.2%	28.1%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Petapa	2017	45.4%	68.0%	28.1%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Roayaga	2000	6.5%	7.2%	6.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Roayaga	2017	12.4%	15.0%	10.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tehuantepec	2000	75.6%	91.7%	52.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tehuantepec	2017	76.3%	91.7%	54.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Teojomulco	2000	3.0%	6.7%	2.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Teojomulco	2017	4.4%	10.9%	2.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tepuxtepec	2000	2.3%	3.6%	1.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tepuxtepec	2017	2.2%	4.2%	1.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tlatayapam	2000	12.3%	13.1%	11.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tlatayapam	2017	13.3%	14.1%	12.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tomaltepec	2000	47.3%	48.3%	46.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tomaltepec	2017	45.7%	46.8%	44.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonala	2000	22.4%	23.9%	20.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonala	2017	23.6%	25.3%	21.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonaltepec	2000	6.1%	8.5%	4.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonaltepec	2017	6.4%	8.7%	5.1%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Xagacia	2000	59.1%	61.6%	57.1%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Xagacia	2017	60.7%	63.2%	58.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yanhuitlan	2000	20.2%	22.9%	17.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yanhuitlan	2017	21.9%	24.9%	18.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yodohino	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yodohino	2017	99.6%	99.6%	99.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Zanatepec	2000	61.0%	83.6%	27.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Zanatepec	2017	62.4%	85.4%	28.3%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2000	45.2%	65.0%	23.8%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2017	47.0%	67.5%	23.9%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2000	31.6%	32.5%	30.8%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2017	32.8%	33.7%	32.0%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Jalieza	2000	16.4%	17.4%	15.5%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Jalieza	2017	16.7%	17.7%	15.8%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Mazaltepec	2000	24.1%	25.1%	23.1%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Mazaltepec	2017	35.9%	37.1%	34.6%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Ocotepc	2000	1.5%	3.2%	0.6%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Ocotepc	2017	1.9%	3.7%	0.7%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Tamazulapan	2000	6.1%	7.2%	5.1%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Tamazulapan	2017	6.8%	8.0%	5.7%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Nopala	2000	7.9%	16.1%	3.7%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Nopala	2017	8.7%	18.0%	3.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santos Reyes Papalo	2000	6.0%	6.6%	5.5%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Papalo	2017	5.3%	6.0%	4.7%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Tepejillo	2000	62.5%	73.8%	48.6%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Tepejillo	2017	64.6%	76.2%	50.6%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Yucuna	2000	4.8%	5.6%	4.2%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Yucuna	2017	5.4%	6.1%	4.7%
Mexico	Saric	2000	65.4%	98.9%	8.0%
Mexico	Saric	2017	66.0%	98.9%	8.0%
Mexico	Satevo	2000	63.8%	84.8%	31.9%
Mexico	Satevo	2017	64.8%	86.8%	32.9%
Mexico	Saucillo	2000	68.5%	91.8%	36.9%
Mexico	Saucillo	2017	69.7%	91.5%	40.3%
Mexico	Sayula	2000	91.4%	96.7%	78.4%
Mexico	Sayula	2017	93.2%	96.2%	88.2%
Mexico	Sayula De Aleman	2000	64.9%	81.5%	49.2%
Mexico	Sayula De Aleman	2017	67.1%	84.2%	52.4%
Mexico	Senguio	2000	27.9%	46.0%	15.3%
Mexico	Senguio	2017	30.6%	49.7%	16.7%
Mexico	Seye	2000	21.0%	36.3%	13.8%
Mexico	Seye	2017	22.5%	26.6%	20.0%
Mexico	Sierra Mojada	2000	61.8%	87.6%	31.9%
Mexico	Sierra Mojada	2017	64.4%	83.7%	40.5%
Mexico	Silacayoapam	2000	11.8%	20.0%	8.2%
Mexico	Silacayoapam	2017	13.9%	19.7%	10.2%
Mexico	Silao	2000	71.3%	88.4%	48.6%
Mexico	Silao	2017	71.9%	88.9%	49.8%
Mexico	Siltepec	2000	30.2%	54.0%	11.2%
Mexico	Siltepec	2017	30.8%	55.1%	11.4%
Mexico	Simojovel	2000	13.2%	32.0%	4.5%
Mexico	Simojovel	2017	13.8%	34.0%	4.1%
Mexico	Sinaloa	2000	49.9%	73.8%	23.0%
Mexico	Sinaloa	2017	50.6%	73.7%	23.3%
Mexico	Sinanche	2000	37.5%	42.3%	32.4%
Mexico	Sinanche	2017	39.6%	45.0%	34.0%
Mexico	Singuilucan	2000	57.3%	76.3%	34.9%
Mexico	Singuilucan	2017	58.7%	78.1%	35.8%
Mexico	Sitala	2000	15.3%	30.8%	6.1%
Mexico	Sitala	2017	14.8%	31.1%	6.2%
Mexico	Sitio De Xitlapehua	2000	14.1%	16.1%	12.5%
Mexico	Sitio De Xitlapehua	2017	16.5%	18.5%	14.8%
Mexico	Sochiapa	2000	43.4%	44.7%	42.3%
Mexico	Sochiapa	2017	46.9%	48.0%	45.9%
Mexico	Socoltenango	2000	55.9%	79.5%	30.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Socoltenango	2017	57.1%	81.6%	31.9%
Mexico	Soconusco	2000	69.4%	83.1%	60.9%
Mexico	Soconusco	2017	77.7%	84.3%	73.6%
Mexico	Soledad	2000	6.4%	6.9%	5.8%
Mexico	Atzompa				
Mexico	Soledad	2017	4.9%	5.2%	4.6%
Mexico	Atzompa				
Mexico	Soledad De Doblado	2000	44.2%	61.5%	28.1%
Mexico	Soledad De Doblado	2017	47.8%	64.9%	31.3%
Mexico	Soledad De Graciano Sanchez	2000	93.9%	96.5%	89.8%
Mexico	Soledad De Graciano Sanchez	2017	94.4%	96.7%	90.6%
Mexico	Soledad Etla	2000	53.9%	54.7%	52.9%
Mexico	Soledad Etla	2017	56.2%	57.1%	55.2%
Mexico	Solidaridad	2000	65.4%	80.6%	47.0%
Mexico	Solidaridad	2017	66.2%	81.1%	49.1%
Mexico	Solosuchiapa	2000	40.5%	52.5%	30.9%
Mexico	Solosuchiapa	2017	45.0%	54.3%	35.5%
Mexico	Soltepec	2000	22.8%	37.9%	12.7%
Mexico	Soltepec	2017	23.4%	38.3%	14.0%
Mexico	Sombrerete	2000	48.8%	77.4%	20.4%
Mexico	Sombrerete	2017	49.9%	80.5%	19.9%
Mexico	Soteapan	2000	16.4%	31.9%	6.8%
Mexico	Soteapan	2017	17.2%	32.7%	7.0%
Mexico	Soto La Marina	2000	41.6%	66.5%	19.4%
Mexico	Soto La Marina	2017	43.1%	68.0%	20.5%
Mexico	Sotuta	2000	17.1%	33.6%	6.2%
Mexico	Sotuta	2017	18.0%	35.3%	7.0%
Mexico	Soyalo	2000	46.2%	64.7%	26.0%
Mexico	Soyalo	2017	48.3%	65.6%	28.9%
Mexico	Soyaniquilpan	2000	53.1%	69.2%	37.6%
Mexico	Soyaniquilpan De Juarez	2017	54.1%	69.1%	39.7%
Mexico	Soyopa	2000	58.7%	94.4%	15.7%
Mexico	Soyopa	2017	59.1%	93.7%	18.8%
Mexico	Suaqui Grande	2000	62.3%	70.1%	55.4%
Mexico	Suaqui Grande	2017	64.0%	71.6%	57.2%
Mexico	Suchiapa	2000	74.6%	84.8%	62.5%
Mexico	Suchiapa	2017	73.7%	85.7%	57.4%
Mexico	Suchiate	2000	64.8%	96.6%	16.0%
Mexico	Suchiate	2017	65.8%	96.6%	17.9%
Mexico	Suchil	2000	59.5%	92.2%	16.5%
Mexico	Suchil	2017	60.0%	92.8%	17.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Sucila	2000	21.3%	48.5%	6.0%
Mexico	Sucila	2017	22.7%	50.5%	6.6%
Mexico	Sudzal	2000	29.4%	71.4%	5.3%
Mexico	Sudzal	2017	29.2%	65.1%	5.2%
Mexico	Sultepec	2000	23.9%	53.7%	6.6%
Mexico	Sultepec	2017	25.0%	55.7%	7.1%
Mexico	Suma	2000	6.4%	6.9%	5.9%
Mexico	Suma	2017	7.7%	8.4%	7.1%
Mexico	Sunuapa	2000	34.3%	48.6%	25.6%
Mexico	Sunuapa	2017	36.0%	53.2%	24.2%
Mexico	Susticacan	2000	44.4%	70.3%	24.2%
Mexico	Susticacan	2017	46.0%	72.5%	25.0%
Mexico	Susupuato	2000	25.9%	43.0%	13.6%
Mexico	Susupuato	2017	28.2%	47.1%	15.2%
Mexico	Tabasco	2000	66.3%	84.1%	42.0%
Mexico	Tabasco	2017	67.4%	84.9%	44.4%
Mexico	Tacambaro	2000	48.4%	72.7%	24.8%
Mexico	Tacambaro	2017	50.2%	74.0%	27.0%
Mexico	Tacotalpa	2000	39.7%	75.3%	9.9%
Mexico	Tacotalpa	2017	41.0%	75.7%	11.4%
Mexico	Tahdziu	2000	16.8%	45.1%	4.1%
Mexico	Tahdziu	2017	17.8%	43.4%	5.2%
Mexico	Tahmek	2000	9.9%	10.8%	9.1%
Mexico	Tahmek	2017	12.8%	14.1%	11.7%
Mexico	Tala	2000	92.9%	97.5%	84.1%
Mexico	Tala	2017	93.2%	97.7%	84.5%
Mexico	Talpa De Al- lende	2000	67.9%	94.8%	20.7%
Mexico	Talpa De Al- lende	2017	67.2%	93.9%	22.4%
Mexico	Tamalin	2000	23.6%	50.9%	10.1%
Mexico	Tamalin	2017	23.6%	49.7%	10.5%
Mexico	Tamasopo	2000	41.5%	70.9%	13.5%
Mexico	Tamasopo	2017	43.9%	76.0%	12.8%
Mexico	Tamazula	2000	29.9%	53.3%	10.6%
Mexico	Tamazula	2017	30.4%	52.6%	11.2%
Mexico	Tamazula De Gordiano	2000	73.1%	90.8%	50.9%
Mexico	Tamazula De Gordiano	2017	74.8%	91.0%	54.8%
Mexico	Tamazulapam Del Espiritu Santo	2000	1.1%	1.3%	0.9%
Mexico	Tamazulapam Del Espiritu Santo	2017	1.8%	2.2%	1.6%
Mexico	Tamazunchale	2000	37.8%	58.6%	20.6%
Mexico	Tamazunchale	2017	37.7%	58.6%	20.6%
Mexico	Tamiahua	2000	23.4%	58.7%	4.4%
Mexico	Tamiahua	2017	24.3%	56.7%	4.8%
Mexico	Tampacan	2000	14.2%	25.0%	8.0%
Mexico	Tampacan	2017	15.4%	27.5%	8.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tampamolón Corona	2000	16.0%	29.5%	9.1%
Mexico	Tampamolón Corona	2017	15.8%	25.0%	10.2%
Mexico	Tampico	2000	85.1%	87.9%	76.4%
Mexico	Tampico	2017	86.8%	89.7%	77.2%
Mexico	Tampico Alto	2000	26.6%	54.5%	4.5%
Mexico	Tampico Alto	2017	28.2%	55.9%	5.8%
Mexico	Tamuín	2000	44.7%	81.1%	11.9%
Mexico	Tamuín	2017	46.8%	80.3%	15.5%
Mexico	Tancanhuitz	2000	33.7%	48.5%	27.3%
Mexico	Tancanhuitz	2017	30.8%	43.8%	24.8%
Mexico	Tancitaro	2000	37.8%	64.9%	15.2%
Mexico	Tancitaro	2017	39.8%	66.9%	14.2%
Mexico	Tancoco	2000	34.1%	44.4%	23.8%
Mexico	Tancoco	2017	35.9%	50.9%	23.3%
Mexico	Tanetze De Zaragoza	2000	12.2%	13.0%	11.3%
Mexico	Tanetze De Zaragoza	2017	15.4%	16.4%	14.4%
Mexico	Tangamandapio	2000	56.2%	68.9%	43.7%
Mexico	Tangamandapio	2017	58.0%	70.7%	45.2%
Mexico	Tangancicuaro	2000	61.8%	77.3%	46.4%
Mexico	Tangancicuaro	2017	63.3%	78.7%	47.5%
Mexico	Tanhuato	2000	82.6%	87.7%	75.0%
Mexico	Tanhuato	2017	83.2%	89.1%	73.5%
Mexico	Taniche	2000	50.2%	51.2%	49.4%
Mexico	Taniche	2017	54.4%	55.2%	53.7%
Mexico	Tanlajas	2000	13.5%	27.9%	5.8%
Mexico	Tanlajas	2017	14.4%	29.6%	5.8%
Mexico	Tanquian De Escobedo	2000	34.9%	50.7%	22.6%
Mexico	Tanquian De Escobedo	2017	36.6%	53.2%	23.6%
Mexico	Tantima	2000	27.3%	38.5%	16.6%
Mexico	Tantima	2017	30.0%	41.7%	18.6%
Mexico	Tantoyuca	2000	33.0%	49.2%	17.9%
Mexico	Tantoyuca	2017	34.8%	52.6%	18.5%
Mexico	Tapachula	2000	76.4%	88.1%	59.5%
Mexico	Tapachula	2017	78.0%	88.4%	63.3%
Mexico	Tapalapa	2000	47.3%	48.0%	46.5%
Mexico	Tapalapa	2017	49.5%	50.3%	48.7%
Mexico	Tapalpa	2000	72.7%	90.6%	50.3%
Mexico	Tapalpa	2017	74.6%	90.9%	54.6%
Mexico	Tapilula	2000	82.2%	82.8%	81.6%
Mexico	Tapilula	2017	80.1%	80.6%	79.5%
Mexico	Tarandacuaó	2000	69.4%	83.5%	49.9%
Mexico	Tarandacuaó	2017	70.1%	83.2%	51.3%
Mexico	Taretan	2000	44.8%	55.6%	35.7%
Mexico	Taretan	2017	46.5%	58.9%	34.5%
Mexico	Tarimbaro	2000	58.1%	76.0%	39.3%
Mexico	Tarimbaro	2017	60.0%	73.2%	45.6%
Mexico	Tarimoro	2000	63.5%	86.1%	38.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tarimoro	2017	64.8%	86.6%	42.3%
Mexico	Tasquillo	2000	44.9%	66.0%	27.6%
Mexico	Tasquillo	2017	46.7%	68.3%	28.7%
Mexico	Tatahuicapan De Juarez	2000	26.4%	56.2%	7.8%
Mexico	Tatahuicapan De Juarez	2017	25.5%	51.7%	7.7%
Mexico	Tataltepec De Valdes	2000	1.9%	7.0%	0.3%
Mexico	Tataltepec De Valdes	2017	1.2%	4.1%	0.3%
Mexico	Tatatila	2000	21.6%	26.7%	18.5%
Mexico	Tatatila	2017	24.6%	28.6%	22.0%
Mexico	Taxco De Alarcon	2000	48.6%	61.8%	36.3%
Mexico	Taxco De Alarcon	2017	53.3%	65.1%	41.9%
Mexico	Teabo	2000	14.7%	17.9%	11.5%
Mexico	Teabo	2017	16.4%	21.8%	12.5%
Mexico	Teapa	2000	54.1%	67.2%	39.5%
Mexico	Teapa	2017	58.0%	70.2%	43.5%
Mexico	Tecali De Herrera	2000	48.0%	50.3%	46.1%
Mexico	Tecali De Herrera	2017	49.9%	52.6%	47.8%
Mexico	Tecalitlan	2000	69.1%	96.5%	14.5%
Mexico	Tecalitlan	2017	69.8%	96.6%	16.3%
Mexico	Tecamac	2000	82.3%	85.3%	80.9%
Mexico	Tecamac	2017	80.0%	84.0%	78.1%
Mexico	Tecamachalco	2000	43.0%	50.1%	36.8%
Mexico	Tecamachalco	2017	48.8%	54.1%	44.0%
Mexico	Tecate	2000	81.3%	93.2%	67.1%
Mexico	Tecate	2017	83.0%	94.5%	68.4%
Mexico	Techaluta De Montenegro	2000	66.4%	71.1%	59.9%
Mexico	Techaluta De Montenegro	2017	68.1%	72.8%	61.5%
Mexico	Tecoanapa	2000	27.7%	50.3%	9.6%
Mexico	Tecoanapa	2017	28.8%	52.0%	10.0%
Mexico	Tecoh	2000	12.2%	21.9%	8.9%
Mexico	Tecoh	2017	13.0%	22.2%	9.6%
Mexico	Tecolotlan	2000	68.0%	84.5%	46.8%
Mexico	Tecolotlan	2017	69.8%	84.7%	48.9%
Mexico	Tecolutla	2000	58.0%	88.5%	23.7%
Mexico	Tecolutla	2017	59.0%	89.7%	23.5%
Mexico	Tecoman	2000	86.4%	95.8%	74.4%
Mexico	Tecoman	2017	86.7%	95.5%	76.1%
Mexico	Tecomatlan	2000	35.6%	52.6%	21.9%
Mexico	Tecomatlan	2017	37.3%	53.7%	23.7%
Mexico	Tecozautla	2000	45.3%	71.0%	22.5%
Mexico	Tecozautla	2017	46.3%	70.9%	25.1%
Mexico	Tecpan De Galeana	2000	48.3%	83.0%	13.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tecpan De Galeana	2017	49.0%	81.5%	15.3%
Mexico	Tecpatan	2000	38.0%	67.3%	10.1%
Mexico	Tecpatan	2017	39.1%	69.3%	10.6%
Mexico	Tecuala	2000	71.5%	91.4%	40.9%
Mexico	Tecuala	2017	73.5%	92.3%	44.3%
Mexico	Tehuacan	2000	70.0%	80.4%	58.6%
Mexico	Tehuacan	2017	70.4%	79.3%	60.2%
Mexico	Tehuipango	2000	6.3%	8.7%	4.9%
Mexico	Tehuipango	2017	6.9%	9.2%	5.6%
Mexico	Tehuitzingo	2000	39.8%	59.5%	22.0%
Mexico	Tehuitzingo	2017	41.7%	61.0%	22.8%
Mexico	Tejupilco	2000	37.4%	84.3%	7.7%
Mexico	Tejupilco	2017	38.3%	86.1%	6.3%
Mexico	Tekal De Venegas	2000	10.4%	19.3%	5.1%
Mexico	Tekal De Venegas	2017	11.1%	20.5%	5.5%
Mexico	Tekanto	2000	25.0%	27.0%	23.1%
Mexico	Tekanto	2017	29.5%	31.5%	27.7%
Mexico	Tekax	2000	32.5%	54.5%	17.1%
Mexico	Tekax	2017	33.4%	48.4%	20.8%
Mexico	Tekit	2000	10.8%	11.9%	9.9%
Mexico	Tekit	2017	11.1%	13.6%	9.4%
Mexico	Tekom	2000	18.8%	57.5%	2.9%
Mexico	Tekom	2017	18.8%	54.4%	3.4%
Mexico	Telchac Pueblo	2000	29.1%	30.5%	27.8%
Mexico	Telchac Pueblo	2017	31.8%	33.1%	30.7%
Mexico	Telchac Puerto	2000	65.0%	93.6%	13.7%
Mexico	Telchac Puerto	2017	67.3%	98.1%	8.8%
Mexico	Teloloapan	2000	32.7%	53.5%	15.1%
Mexico	Teloloapan	2017	34.0%	54.6%	15.7%
Mexico	Temamatla	2000	79.6%	80.6%	78.7%
Mexico	Temamatla	2017	81.1%	81.8%	80.5%
Mexico	Temapache	2000	34.7%	69.9%	8.9%
Mexico	Temapache	2017	36.5%	70.0%	10.5%
Mexico	Temascalapa	2000	50.6%	52.0%	48.2%
Mexico	Temascalapa	2017	45.8%	48.2%	42.6%
Mexico	Temascalcingo	2000	31.3%	51.1%	16.1%
Mexico	Temascalcingo	2017	32.7%	54.0%	16.3%
Mexico	Temascaltepec	2000	31.8%	61.5%	11.0%
Mexico	Temascaltepec	2017	32.1%	59.0%	11.9%
Mexico	Temax	2000	18.4%	33.0%	7.4%
Mexico	Temax	2017	19.5%	33.1%	8.9%
Mexico	Temixco	2000	82.8%	83.9%	81.5%
Mexico	Temixco	2017	83.4%	84.6%	82.0%
Mexico	Temoac	2000	46.0%	47.0%	45.1%
Mexico	Temoac	2017	47.1%	48.1%	46.2%
Mexico	Temoaya	2000	22.1%	35.6%	12.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Temoaya	2017	22.9%	36.5%	13.4%
Mexico	Temosachi	2000	39.1%	63.0%	17.5%
Mexico	Temosachi	2017	40.0%	63.6%	16.8%
Mexico	Temozon	2000	17.3%	49.7%	2.5%
Mexico	Temozon	2017	18.2%	50.6%	2.7%
Mexico	Tempoal	2000	41.3%	64.6%	22.5%
Mexico	Tempoal	2017	42.8%	65.6%	23.6%
Mexico	Tenabo	2000	27.6%	42.3%	14.6%
Mexico	Tenabo	2017	31.8%	59.6%	11.3%
Mexico	Tenamaxtlan	2000	74.1%	89.9%	52.1%
Mexico	Tenamaxtlan	2017	73.2%	91.9%	47.9%
Mexico	Tenampa	2000	21.3%	25.5%	18.2%
Mexico	Tenampa	2017	22.6%	26.5%	19.4%
Mexico	Tenampulco	2000	14.9%	28.3%	7.0%
Mexico	Tenampulco	2017	15.5%	24.8%	9.7%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2000	78.3%	79.1%	77.4%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2000	67.7%	77.6%	55.0%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2017	79.4%	80.2%	78.6%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2017	69.7%	76.0%	62.4%
Mexico	Tenango De Doria	2000	9.3%	13.5%	6.4%
Mexico	Tenango De Doria	2017	10.4%	15.8%	7.0%
Mexico	Tenango Del Aire	2000	87.0%	87.7%	86.3%
Mexico	Tenango Del Aire	2017	87.6%	88.2%	86.9%
Mexico	Tenango Del Valle	2000	70.7%	78.8%	61.8%
Mexico	Tenango Del Valle	2017	72.0%	79.4%	63.4%
Mexico	Tenejapa	2000	6.4%	11.7%	3.1%
Mexico	Tenejapa	2017	6.9%	12.0%	3.3%
Mexico	Tenochtitlan	2000	43.1%	49.5%	35.7%
Mexico	Tenochtitlan	2017	46.2%	53.9%	37.3%
Mexico	Tenosique	2000	62.6%	92.1%	25.5%
Mexico	Tenosique	2017	63.6%	93.4%	25.2%
Mexico	Teocaltiche	2000	74.8%	92.0%	45.9%
Mexico	Teocaltiche	2017	76.3%	92.4%	48.8%
Mexico	Teocelo	2000	46.9%	47.8%	46.0%
Mexico	Teocelo	2017	46.2%	47.4%	45.1%
Mexico	Teococuilco De Marcos Perez	2000	5.7%	7.0%	4.6%
Mexico	Teococuilco De Marcos Perez	2017	15.1%	16.7%	13.7%
Mexico	Teocuitatlan De Corona	2000	69.0%	84.6%	47.5%
Mexico	Teocuitatlan De Corona	2017	70.8%	85.8%	48.3%
Mexico	Teolocholco	2000	72.8%	73.5%	72.2%
Mexico	Teolocholco	2017	75.9%	76.5%	75.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Teoloyucan	2000	82.8%	83.4%	82.2%
Mexico	Teoloyucan	2017	82.1%	82.8%	81.2%
Mexico	Teopantlan	2000	78.3%	84.2%	69.0%
Mexico	Teopantlan	2017	71.4%	80.0%	58.1%
Mexico	Teopisca	2000	41.9%	55.3%	28.2%
Mexico	Teopisca	2017	45.1%	59.4%	32.4%
Mexico	Teotihuacan	2000	65.7%	68.9%	63.3%
Mexico	Teotihuacan	2017	62.7%	66.6%	59.8%
Mexico	Teotitlan De Flores Magon	2000	46.4%	48.0%	45.2%
Mexico	Teotitlan De Flores Magon	2017	53.1%	54.7%	51.8%
Mexico	Teotitlan Del Valle	2000	16.9%	17.7%	16.1%
Mexico	Teotitlan Del Valle	2017	18.3%	19.3%	17.5%
Mexico	Teotlalco	2000	40.4%	55.0%	25.9%
Mexico	Teotlalco	2017	41.8%	57.2%	27.0%
Mexico	Teotongo	2000	36.8%	39.4%	34.5%
Mexico	Teotongo	2017	38.7%	41.3%	36.2%
Mexico	Tepache	2000	84.6%	88.9%	78.4%
Mexico	Tepache	2017	84.9%	89.5%	78.9%
Mexico	Tepakan	2000	33.8%	39.7%	29.8%
Mexico	Tepakan	2017	36.7%	38.5%	35.0%
Mexico	Tepalcatepec	2000	47.4%	85.7%	12.5%
Mexico	Tepalcatepec	2017	46.1%	85.5%	12.7%
Mexico	Tepalcingo	2000	58.7%	74.2%	42.3%
Mexico	Tepalcingo	2017	60.5%	75.1%	44.7%
Mexico	Tepanco De Lopez	2000	26.7%	42.9%	11.1%
Mexico	Tepanco De Lopez	2017	29.3%	45.9%	13.1%
Mexico	Tepango De Rodriguez	2000	18.6%	19.3%	17.9%
Mexico	Tepango De Rodriguez	2017	20.0%	20.7%	19.2%
Mexico	Tepatitlan De Morelos	2000	85.3%	96.0%	66.4%
Mexico	Tepatitlan De Morelos	2017	85.9%	96.1%	67.2%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco	2000	14.4%	17.9%	12.5%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco	2017	15.3%	18.4%	13.3%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco De Hidalgo	2000	37.9%	43.8%	33.4%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco De Hidalgo	2017	43.6%	48.2%	39.3%
Mexico	Tepeaca	2000	42.8%	49.2%	36.8%
Mexico	Tepeaca	2017	44.7%	51.5%	38.2%
Mexico	Tepeapulco	2000	88.7%	91.6%	84.8%
Mexico	Tepeapulco	2017	88.6%	92.0%	83.4%
Mexico	Tepechitlan	2000	63.1%	82.1%	38.9%
Mexico	Tepechitlan	2017	62.9%	85.2%	36.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tepecoacuilco De Trujano	2000	51.1%	82.6%	19.8%
Mexico	Tepecoacuilco De Trujano	2017	51.8%	84.2%	20.6%
Mexico	Tepehuacan De Guerrero	2000	39.8%	68.4%	16.4%
Mexico	Tepehuacan De Guerrero	2017	39.8%	68.1%	16.7%
Mexico	Tepehuanes	2000	56.1%	76.0%	36.5%
Mexico	Tepehuanes	2017	56.0%	71.9%	39.9%
Mexico	Tepeji Del Rio De Ocampo	2000	68.0%	80.2%	54.8%
Mexico	Tepeji Del Rio De Ocampo	2017	70.6%	82.0%	58.1%
Mexico	Tepelmeme Villa De Morelos	2000	26.5%	49.2%	10.5%
Mexico	Tepelmeme Villa De Morelos	2017	24.4%	48.4%	8.8%
Mexico	Tepemaxalco	2000	12.9%	14.2%	11.7%
Mexico	Tepemaxalco	2017	16.6%	18.1%	15.2%
Mexico	Tepeojuma	2000	64.0%	66.9%	60.9%
Mexico	Tepeojuma	2017	68.0%	71.7%	64.2%
Mexico	Tepetitla De Lardizabal	2000	80.3%	80.8%	79.9%
Mexico	Tepetitla De Lardizabal	2017	80.6%	81.1%	80.1%
Mexico	Tepetitlan	2000	56.3%	67.1%	44.0%
Mexico	Tepetitlan	2017	62.8%	71.8%	51.9%
Mexico	Tepetlan	2000	62.4%	71.4%	53.1%
Mexico	Tepetlan	2017	68.2%	78.6%	56.7%
Mexico	Tepetlaoxtoc	2000	84.4%	89.4%	71.7%
Mexico	Tepetlaoxtoc	2017	84.2%	88.8%	74.2%
Mexico	Tepetlixpa	2000	53.8%	54.6%	53.0%
Mexico	Tepetlixpa	2017	54.8%	55.7%	54.1%
Mexico	Tepetongo	2000	47.4%	74.9%	21.0%
Mexico	Tepetongo	2017	48.3%	77.5%	22.7%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2000	12.3%	13.1%	11.6%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2000	21.1%	37.1%	10.9%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2017	12.2%	13.1%	11.5%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2017	21.7%	36.4%	10.6%
Mexico	Tepexco	2000	16.5%	23.8%	11.3%
Mexico	Tepexco	2017	17.7%	25.2%	12.3%
Mexico	Tepexi De Rodriguez	2000	35.6%	51.7%	23.9%
Mexico	Tepexi De Rodriguez	2017	36.7%	51.4%	24.8%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco	2000	33.5%	62.9%	10.3%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco	2017	37.4%	63.6%	15.1%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco De Cuauhtemoc	2000	44.7%	45.8%	43.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tepeyahualco De Cuauhtemoc	2017	45.7%	46.8%	44.5%
Mexico	Tepeyanco	2000	78.9%	79.3%	78.4%
Mexico	Tepeyanco	2017	80.4%	80.8%	80.0%
Mexico	Tepezala	2000	75.6%	87.0%	57.6%
Mexico	Tepezala	2017	77.3%	87.7%	60.6%
Mexico	Tepic	2000	88.2%	95.4%	75.1%
Mexico	Tepic	2017	88.8%	95.9%	76.3%
Mexico	Tepotzotlan	2000	81.1%	82.7%	79.4%
Mexico	Tepotzotlan	2017	80.3%	82.0%	78.4%
Mexico	Tepoztlan	2000	79.7%	86.2%	73.0%
Mexico	Tepoztlan	2017	79.6%	85.7%	73.2%
Mexico	Tequila	2000	14.6%	15.0%	14.2%
Mexico	Tequila	2000	73.2%	94.4%	39.3%
Mexico	Tequila	2017	14.8%	15.3%	14.4%
Mexico	Tequila	2017	75.9%	94.2%	46.4%
Mexico	Tequisquiapan	2000	71.2%	92.1%	39.7%
Mexico	Tequisquiapan	2017	72.7%	92.7%	42.1%
Mexico	Tequixquiac	2000	73.1%	77.9%	67.1%
Mexico	Tequixquiac	2017	72.7%	78.7%	65.2%
Mexico	Terrenate	2000	53.7%	63.1%	43.7%
Mexico	Terrenate	2017	54.7%	62.9%	46.2%
Mexico	Tetecala	2000	67.7%	71.0%	64.1%
Mexico	Tetecala	2017	65.4%	68.7%	62.0%
Mexico	Tetela De Ocampo	2000	20.4%	28.0%	14.5%
Mexico	Tetela De Ocampo	2017	22.1%	28.6%	16.4%
Mexico	Tetela Del Volcan	2000	22.1%	22.8%	21.6%
Mexico	Tetela Del Volcan	2017	24.0%	24.7%	23.3%
Mexico	Teteles De Avila Castillo	2000	31.1%	32.1%	30.2%
Mexico	Teteles De Avila Castillo	2017	34.7%	35.7%	33.8%
Mexico	Tetepango	2000	68.9%	73.9%	63.0%
Mexico	Tetepango	2017	70.3%	75.4%	64.6%
Mexico	Tetipac	2000	19.9%	33.3%	11.5%
Mexico	Tetipac	2017	20.4%	34.8%	11.5%
Mexico	Tetiz	2000	12.3%	21.1%	6.7%
Mexico	Tetiz	2017	13.3%	18.9%	8.8%
Mexico	Tetla De La Solidaridad	2000	79.6%	83.3%	76.4%
Mexico	Tetla De La Solidaridad	2017	79.7%	82.6%	77.0%
Mexico	Tetlatlahuca	2000	75.8%	76.3%	75.4%
Mexico	Tetlatlahuca	2017	76.8%	77.2%	76.3%
Mexico	Teuchitlan	2000	90.2%	97.9%	73.3%
Mexico	Teuchitlan	2017	90.9%	97.8%	74.5%
Mexico	Teul De Gonzalez Ortega	2000	59.2%	79.2%	32.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Teul De Gonzalez Ortega	2017	60.3%	81.2%	30.4%
Mexico	Texcaltitlan	2000	29.5%	38.2%	20.8%
Mexico	Texcaltitlan	2017	30.8%	40.3%	22.1%
Mexico	Texcalyacac	2000	93.7%	94.0%	93.4%
Mexico	Texcalyacac	2017	94.0%	94.3%	93.7%
Mexico	Texcatepec	2000	2.6%	9.1%	0.6%
Mexico	Texcatepec	2017	1.9%	6.3%	0.5%
Mexico	Texcoco	2000	92.7%	94.1%	89.6%
Mexico	Texcoco	2017	93.2%	94.5%	90.6%
Mexico	Texhuacan	2000	4.2%	4.6%	3.8%
Mexico	Texhuacan	2017	4.1%	4.5%	3.7%
Mexico	Texistepec	2000	73.8%	90.7%	58.7%
Mexico	Texistepec	2017	77.4%	92.2%	63.9%
Mexico	Teya	2000	52.2%	54.9%	49.2%
Mexico	Teya	2017	63.6%	65.9%	61.0%
Mexico	Teziutlan	2000	64.1%	68.1%	60.0%
Mexico	Teziutlan	2017	64.9%	70.1%	59.9%
Mexico	Tezoatlan	2000	58.0%	77.3%	47.1%
Mexico	De Segura Y Luna	2017	59.1%	75.4%	48.6%
Mexico	Tezonapa	2000	27.7%	48.5%	15.7%
Mexico	Tezonapa	2017	28.8%	49.7%	16.5%
Mexico	Tezontepec	2000	65.0%	67.6%	62.2%
Mexico	De Aldama	2017	67.0%	69.9%	64.2%
Mexico	Tezoyuca	2000	88.2%	88.8%	87.6%
Mexico	Tezoyuca	2017	88.0%	88.6%	87.3%
Mexico	Tianguismanalco	2000	47.7%	49.2%	45.9%
Mexico	Tianguismanalco	2017	56.3%	57.7%	54.7%
Mexico	Tianguistenco	2000	79.1%	79.7%	78.3%
Mexico	Tianguistenco	2017	81.1%	81.7%	80.3%
Mexico	Tianguistengo	2000	23.0%	35.7%	14.8%
Mexico	Tianguistengo	2017	26.2%	39.8%	18.1%
Mexico	Ticul	2000	47.6%	55.5%	39.3%
Mexico	Ticul	2017	49.3%	57.1%	40.7%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2000	60.7%	89.0%	17.6%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2000	13.0%	27.2%	5.1%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2017	61.8%	89.0%	21.5%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2017	14.1%	28.4%	5.5%
Mexico	Tierra Nueva	2000	60.5%	84.6%	34.1%
Mexico	Tierra Nueva	2017	61.8%	82.1%	38.0%
Mexico	Tihuatlan	2000	60.0%	82.7%	41.6%
Mexico	Tihuatlan	2017	61.7%	83.6%	41.1%
Mexico	Tijuana	2000	70.0%	93.9%	41.5%
Mexico	Tijuana	2017	70.9%	94.3%	43.3%
Mexico	Tila	2000	32.8%	62.4%	7.4%
Mexico	Tila	2017	32.7%	60.2%	8.5%
Mexico	Tilapa	2000	42.5%	49.4%	34.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tilapa	2017	45.1%	51.9%	37.0%
Mexico	Timilpan	2000	26.6%	45.9%	10.4%
Mexico	Timilpan	2017	27.6%	47.2%	11.2%
Mexico	Timucuy	2000	10.9%	13.7%	8.9%
Mexico	Timucuy	2017	11.8%	14.7%	9.7%
Mexico	Tingambato	2000	38.0%	60.8%	19.5%
Mexico	Tingambato	2017	39.9%	58.9%	22.0%
Mexico	Tinguindin	2000	67.2%	84.1%	44.9%
Mexico	Tinguindin	2017	68.0%	84.7%	45.7%
Mexico	Tinum	2000	16.5%	49.3%	2.7%
Mexico	Tinum	2017	17.2%	50.0%	3.0%
Mexico	Tiquicheo	2000	35.5%	73.0%	6.7%
Mexico	De Nicolas Romero Tiquicheo	2017	36.4%	72.6%	7.5%
Mexico	Tixcacalcupul	2000	14.6%	36.9%	2.8%
Mexico	Tixcacalcupul	2017	15.4%	37.6%	3.3%
Mexico	Tixkokob	2000	43.0%	50.0%	36.2%
Mexico	Tixkokob	2017	46.2%	49.5%	42.8%
Mexico	Tixmehuac	2000	19.0%	33.1%	10.2%
Mexico	Tixmehuac	2017	19.9%	39.5%	9.5%
Mexico	Tixpehual	2000	28.4%	31.4%	25.9%
Mexico	Tixpehual	2017	30.1%	33.5%	27.1%
Mexico	Tixtla De Guerrero	2000	39.1%	62.7%	18.2%
Mexico	Tixtla De Guerrero	2017	40.8%	64.4%	19.3%
Mexico	Tizapan El Alto	2000	80.7%	91.8%	63.3%
Mexico	Tizapan El Alto	2017	82.4%	91.6%	69.8%
Mexico	Tizayuca	2000	73.7%	79.3%	68.9%
Mexico	Tizayuca	2017	77.4%	81.5%	73.8%
Mexico	Tizimin	2000	38.8%	65.4%	18.8%
Mexico	Tizimin	2017	40.8%	66.5%	21.0%
Mexico	Tlachichilco	2000	7.7%	18.3%	2.2%
Mexico	Tlachichilco	2017	7.1%	17.1%	2.4%
Mexico	Tlachichuca	2000	31.3%	49.6%	15.7%
Mexico	Tlachichuca	2017	32.6%	51.4%	16.7%
Mexico	Tlacoachistlahuaca	2000	16.8%	43.8%	2.5%
Mexico	Tlacoachistlahuaca	2017	17.9%	46.0%	2.7%
Mexico	Tlacoapa	2000	3.8%	14.9%	0.6%
Mexico	Tlacoapa	2017	3.9%	15.8%	0.8%
Mexico	Tlacojalpan	2000	78.1%	82.6%	71.3%
Mexico	Tlacojalpan	2017	79.0%	83.2%	73.3%
Mexico	Tlacolula De Matamoros	2000	41.6%	43.4%	40.6%
Mexico	Tlacolula De Matamoros	2017	43.8%	45.5%	42.9%
Mexico	Tlacolulan	2000	38.1%	45.3%	34.0%
Mexico	Tlacolulan	2017	40.4%	47.2%	36.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tlacotalpan	2000	65.7%	85.6%	44.0%
Mexico	Tlacotalpan	2017	66.8%	86.4%	45.6%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Benito Juarez	2000	23.6%	39.3%	12.0%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Benito Juarez	2017	24.3%	42.2%	12.2%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Mejia	2000	34.8%	40.9%	30.5%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Mejia	2017	36.1%	42.3%	31.8%
Mexico	Tlacotepec Plumas	2000	6.2%	7.5%	5.1%
Mexico	Tlacotepec Plumas	2017	5.9%	7.3%	4.8%
Mexico	Tlacuilotepec	2000	20.5%	38.2%	8.9%
Mexico	Tlacuilotepec	2017	21.2%	40.6%	9.0%
Mexico	Tlahuac	2000	95.4%	95.5%	95.3%
Mexico	Tlahuac	2017	96.0%	96.2%	95.9%
Mexico	Tlahualilo	2000	54.6%	90.6%	12.5%
Mexico	Tlahualilo	2017	55.2%	92.3%	13.6%
Mexico	Tlahuapan	2000	58.0%	76.7%	43.3%
Mexico	Tlahuapan	2017	64.2%	77.3%	52.8%
Mexico	Tlahuelilpan	2000	86.8%	87.3%	86.3%
Mexico	Tlahuelilpan	2017	87.9%	88.5%	87.3%
Mexico	Tlahuiltepa	2000	19.8%	48.1%	4.2%
Mexico	Tlahuiltepa	2017	19.0%	45.2%	4.5%
Mexico	Tlajomulco De Zuniga	2000	85.2%	94.0%	68.8%
Mexico	Tlajomulco De Zuniga	2017	84.7%	94.0%	68.2%
Mexico	Tlalchapa	2000	43.4%	81.9%	8.4%
Mexico	Tlalchapa	2017	44.1%	82.0%	9.7%
Mexico	Tlalixcoyan	2000	58.9%	85.5%	30.1%
Mexico	Tlalixcoyan	2017	59.9%	85.7%	31.2%
Mexico	Tlalixtac De Cabrera	2000	81.9%	82.4%	81.6%
Mexico	Tlalixtac De Cabrera	2017	78.5%	79.2%	78.0%
Mexico	Tlalixtaquilla De Maldonado	2000	8.6%	13.7%	6.0%
Mexico	Tlalixtaquilla De Maldonado	2017	9.0%	13.8%	6.1%
Mexico	Tlalmanalco	2000	75.3%	76.4%	74.2%
Mexico	Tlalmanalco	2017	68.7%	70.6%	67.1%
Mexico	Tlalnelhuayocan	2000	73.0%	73.9%	72.0%
Mexico	Tlalnelhuayocan	2017	73.2%	74.1%	72.2%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla	2000	19.2%	26.1%	14.1%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla	2017	22.7%	30.1%	16.8%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla De Baz	2000	95.4%	95.5%	95.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tlalnepantla De Baz	2017	95.8%	95.9%	95.7%
Mexico	Tlalpan	2000	94.7%	96.5%	91.1%
Mexico	Tlalpan	2017	95.1%	96.6%	91.8%
Mexico	Tlalpujahuá	2000	24.8%	39.5%	13.8%
Mexico	Tlalpujahuá	2017	26.6%	43.1%	15.1%
Mexico	Tlaltenango	2000	58.0%	58.7%	57.1%
Mexico	Tlaltenango	2017	58.7%	59.5%	57.8%
Mexico	Tlaltenango	2000	67.7%	83.9%	47.1%
Mexico	De Sanchez Roman Tlaltenango	2017	68.9%	84.9%	48.8%
Mexico	Tlaltetela	2000	39.3%	45.8%	34.1%
Mexico	Tlaltetela	2017	47.7%	53.2%	42.7%
Mexico	Tlaltizapan	2000	70.5%	83.1%	53.1%
Mexico	Tlaltizapan	2017	72.3%	83.6%	56.5%
Mexico	Tlanalapa	2000	81.6%	90.3%	69.2%
Mexico	Tlanalapa	2017	81.3%	91.5%	66.3%
Mexico	Tlanchinol	2000	42.3%	65.0%	16.9%
Mexico	Tlanchinol	2017	42.8%	65.4%	18.4%
Mexico	Tlanepantla	2000	49.9%	50.9%	49.1%
Mexico	Tlanepantla	2017	52.3%	53.3%	51.4%
Mexico	Tlaola	2000	26.7%	33.3%	21.2%
Mexico	Tlaola	2017	27.1%	33.3%	22.2%
Mexico	Tlapa De Comonfort	2000	30.8%	49.6%	16.7%
Mexico	Tlapa De Comonfort	2017	37.4%	56.6%	21.5%
Mexico	Tlapacoya	2000	4.4%	4.9%	3.9%
Mexico	Tlapacoya	2017	4.9%	5.4%	4.4%
Mexico	Tlapacoyan	2000	51.1%	74.0%	32.0%
Mexico	Tlapacoyan	2017	55.7%	73.6%	38.4%
Mexico	Tlapanala	2000	50.1%	59.0%	40.7%
Mexico	Tlapanala	2017	53.0%	61.1%	44.1%
Mexico	Tlapehuala	2000	41.1%	77.2%	9.1%
Mexico	Tlapehuala	2017	42.5%	78.3%	10.2%
Mexico	Tlaquepaque	2000	93.9%	97.4%	82.7%
Mexico	Tlaquepaque	2017	94.2%	97.2%	84.8%
Mexico	Tlaquilpa	2000	4.7%	5.3%	4.3%
Mexico	Tlaquilpa	2017	5.0%	5.6%	4.4%
Mexico	Tlaquiltenango	2000	71.1%	85.0%	52.1%
Mexico	Tlaquiltenango	2017	71.8%	87.4%	50.4%
Mexico	Tlatlauquitepec	2000	37.1%	43.0%	31.8%
Mexico	Tlatlauquitepec	2017	39.0%	44.3%	34.2%
Mexico	Tlatlaya	2000	33.4%	59.4%	10.8%
Mexico	Tlatlaya	2017	35.0%	61.9%	11.1%
Mexico	Tlaxcala	2000	85.5%	85.9%	85.2%
Mexico	Tlaxcala	2017	86.2%	86.6%	85.9%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2000	5.8%	9.1%	4.0%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2000	58.8%	84.2%	28.7%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2017	5.9%	9.3%	4.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tlaxco	2017	60.3%	85.3%	30.3%
Mexico	Tlaxcoapan	2000	86.9%	87.6%	86.3%
Mexico	Tlaxcoapan	2017	88.7%	89.3%	88.0%
Mexico	Tlayacapan	2000	51.9%	52.8%	51.1%
Mexico	Tlayacapan	2017	52.7%	53.5%	51.9%
Mexico	Tlazazalca	2000	67.7%	79.8%	53.5%
Mexico	Tlazazalca	2017	69.0%	81.0%	56.1%
Mexico	Tlilapan	2000	53.1%	53.7%	52.4%
Mexico	Tlilapan	2017	48.8%	49.3%	48.2%
Mexico	Tocatlan	2000	57.3%	58.5%	55.9%
Mexico	Tocatlan	2017	59.1%	60.4%	57.8%
Mexico	Tochimilco	2000	44.3%	50.7%	36.0%
Mexico	Tochimilco	2017	42.7%	48.1%	35.5%
Mexico	Tochtepec	2000	32.0%	45.1%	22.5%
Mexico	Tochtepec	2017	33.0%	41.9%	26.2%
Mexico	Tocumbo	2000	60.5%	70.0%	48.3%
Mexico	Tocumbo	2017	61.2%	73.0%	46.5%
Mexico	Tolcayuca	2000	81.1%	84.8%	76.3%
Mexico	Tolcayuca	2017	82.6%	86.3%	76.9%
Mexico	Tolimán	2000	26.7%	53.1%	9.3%
Mexico	Tolimán	2000	55.1%	81.4%	28.3%
Mexico	Tolimán	2017	56.5%	82.2%	29.5%
Mexico	Tolimán	2017	28.7%	58.0%	9.3%
Mexico	Toluca	2000	67.0%	79.1%	54.1%
Mexico	Toluca	2017	66.9%	80.0%	53.2%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2000	55.2%	84.1%	23.0%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2000	57.7%	58.5%	56.9%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2017	56.2%	85.3%	24.5%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2017	59.2%	59.9%	58.5%
Mexico	Tonala	2000	93.7%	96.4%	86.3%
Mexico	Tonala	2000	67.8%	94.7%	33.9%
Mexico	Tonala	2017	93.7%	96.5%	85.5%
Mexico	Tonala	2017	67.6%	96.1%	28.0%
Mexico	Tonanitla	2000	90.3%	90.7%	90.0%
Mexico	Tonanitla	2017	90.2%	90.6%	89.8%
Mexico	Tonatico	2000	76.8%	84.8%	63.0%
Mexico	Tonatico	2017	78.6%	86.1%	64.8%
Mexico	Tonaya	2000	62.2%	72.6%	50.9%
Mexico	Tonaya	2017	64.5%	74.6%	52.2%
Mexico	Tonayan	2000	43.1%	44.4%	41.8%
Mexico	Tonayan	2017	46.4%	47.7%	45.2%
Mexico	Tonila	2000	75.9%	88.3%	53.7%
Mexico	Tonila	2017	76.9%	89.1%	53.5%
Mexico	Topia	2000	24.7%	76.6%	2.2%
Mexico	Topia	2017	24.8%	74.7%	2.7%
Mexico	Torreón	2000	88.0%	94.0%	76.7%
Mexico	Torreón	2017	89.1%	94.4%	80.3%
Mexico	Totatiche	2000	51.0%	72.1%	30.2%
Mexico	Totatiche	2017	52.7%	74.2%	29.6%
Mexico	Totolac	2000	82.3%	82.7%	81.9%
Mexico	Totolac	2017	82.8%	83.1%	82.4%
Mexico	Totolapa	2000	37.9%	65.5%	16.6%
Mexico	Totolapa	2017	47.9%	65.3%	31.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Totolapan	2000	23.1%	24.0%	22.3%
Mexico	Totolapan	2017	25.4%	26.2%	24.5%
Mexico	Totoltepec De Guerrero	2000	15.5%	23.8%	9.9%
Mexico	Totoltepec De Guerrero	2017	16.3%	25.1%	10.6%
Mexico	Totontepec Villa De Morelos	2000	8.0%	12.6%	4.8%
Mexico	Totontepec Villa De Morelos	2017	7.0%	12.0%	3.3%
Mexico	Tototlan	2000	80.9%	95.4%	59.5%
Mexico	Tototlan	2017	81.8%	95.4%	60.9%
Mexico	Totutla	2000	36.1%	37.2%	35.0%
Mexico	Totutla	2017	38.4%	39.7%	37.1%
Mexico	Trancoso	2000	71.7%	87.0%	52.8%
Mexico	Trancoso	2017	72.8%	87.8%	53.8%
Mexico	Tres Valles	2000	60.5%	89.4%	25.8%
Mexico	Tres Valles	2017	62.0%	90.0%	28.1%
Mexico	Trincheras	2000	59.5%	88.6%	24.7%
Mexico	Trincheras	2017	60.8%	89.5%	26.2%
Mexico	Trinidad Garcia De La Cadena	2000	68.2%	85.3%	46.1%
Mexico	Trinidad Garcia De La Cadena	2017	75.0%	86.0%	63.2%
Mexico	Trinidad Zachila	2000	38.1%	39.1%	37.0%
Mexico	Trinidad Zachila	2017	40.0%	41.1%	39.0%
Mexico	Tubutama	2000	62.0%	92.8%	25.0%
Mexico	Tubutama	2017	62.8%	94.1%	25.5%
Mexico	Tula	2000	39.2%	60.2%	17.2%
Mexico	Tula	2017	39.0%	59.6%	17.1%
Mexico	Tula De Alende	2000	69.5%	82.2%	55.3%
Mexico	Tula De Alende	2017	71.8%	83.5%	57.9%
Mexico	Tulancingo De Bravo	2000	74.3%	77.4%	71.0%
Mexico	Tulancingo De Bravo	2017	77.2%	79.5%	74.7%
Mexico	Tulcingo	2000	41.5%	59.1%	25.3%
Mexico	Tulcingo	2017	41.2%	56.2%	29.6%
Mexico	Tultepec	2000	94.7%	94.9%	94.5%
Mexico	Tultepec	2017	95.2%	95.3%	95.0%
Mexico	Tultitlan	2000	95.1%	95.2%	94.9%
Mexico	Tultitlan	2017	95.4%	95.5%	95.3%
Mexico	Tumbala	2000	27.0%	49.9%	10.9%
Mexico	Tumbala	2017	28.4%	49.9%	12.8%
Mexico	Tumbiscatio	2000	31.5%	65.8%	8.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tumbiscatio	2017	32.4%	67.5%	8.8%
Mexico	Tunkas	2000	23.9%	37.6%	13.1%
Mexico	Tunkas	2017	25.4%	39.5%	14.1%
Mexico	Turicato	2000	41.9%	77.9%	8.6%
Mexico	Turicato	2017	42.9%	79.2%	9.1%
Mexico	Tuxcacuesco	2000	56.3%	78.5%	32.3%
Mexico	Tuxcacuesco	2017	57.6%	80.4%	32.8%
Mexico	Tuxcueca	2000	68.0%	92.1%	33.9%
Mexico	Tuxcueca	2017	69.5%	92.3%	36.0%
Mexico	Tuxpam	2000	43.3%	62.4%	25.5%
Mexico	Tuxpam	2017	43.9%	67.2%	22.5%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	50.9%	64.0%	38.4%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	80.8%	92.4%	61.8%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	64.7%	76.9%	49.2%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	81.2%	93.1%	59.0%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	53.0%	66.7%	39.7%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	66.5%	77.4%	53.4%
Mexico	Tuxtilla	2000	92.5%	95.2%	89.0%
Mexico	Tuxtilla	2017	93.4%	95.8%	90.1%
Mexico	Tuxtla Chico	2000	63.8%	73.3%	51.3%
Mexico	Tuxtla Chico	2017	64.9%	73.0%	54.5%
Mexico	Tuxtla Gutierrez	2000	84.2%	94.0%	69.7%
Mexico	Tuxtla Gutierrez	2017	84.6%	94.1%	70.5%
Mexico	Tuzamapan De Galeana	2000	15.2%	15.7%	14.7%
Mexico	Tuzamapan De Galeana	2017	16.1%	16.6%	15.6%
Mexico	Tuzantan	2000	53.9%	72.5%	36.7%
Mexico	Tuzantan	2017	55.1%	72.3%	38.7%
Mexico	Tuzantla	2000	29.6%	63.8%	9.4%
Mexico	Tuzantla	2017	30.9%	62.7%	11.1%
Mexico	Tzicatlacoyan	2000	29.0%	36.8%	19.9%
Mexico	Tzicatlacoyan	2017	29.9%	37.5%	20.9%
Mexico	Tzimol	2000	45.2%	60.6%	29.7%
Mexico	Tzimol	2017	46.4%	60.8%	32.4%
Mexico	Tzintzuntzan	2000	38.6%	51.8%	28.3%
Mexico	Tzintzuntzan	2017	41.1%	54.1%	30.5%
Mexico	Tzitzio	2000	36.5%	70.8%	6.6%
Mexico	Tzitzio	2017	37.9%	73.1%	7.7%
Mexico	Tzompantepec	2000	59.7%	60.5%	58.7%
Mexico	Tzompantepec	2017	63.6%	64.4%	62.7%
Mexico	Tzucacab	2000	28.2%	61.2%	7.3%
Mexico	Tzucacab	2017	29.3%	64.0%	7.5%
Mexico	Uayma	2000	9.1%	19.3%	3.3%
Mexico	Uayma	2017	9.1%	20.5%	3.2%
Mexico	Ucu	2000	8.1%	13.1%	4.7%
Mexico	Ucu	2017	6.1%	8.8%	4.3%
Mexico	Uman	2000	40.6%	59.2%	25.5%
Mexico	Uman	2017	42.0%	56.2%	28.1%
Mexico	Union De San Antonio	2000	70.1%	91.1%	42.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Union De San Antonio	2017	71.0%	90.8%	42.2%
Mexico	Union De Tula	2000	84.7%	95.6%	70.5%
Mexico	Union De Tula	2017	85.9%	94.6%	74.8%
Mexico	Union Hidalgo	2000	86.4%	94.9%	68.2%
Mexico	Union Hidalgo	2017	87.0%	95.3%	68.5%
Mexico	Union Juarez	2000	52.7%	69.3%	37.9%
Mexico	Union Juarez	2017	54.8%	70.6%	39.8%
Mexico	Ures	2000	81.2%	91.6%	63.5%
Mexico	Ures	2017	82.0%	92.0%	65.1%
Mexico	Uriangato	2000	86.2%	88.8%	82.6%
Mexico	Uriangato	2017	87.7%	89.3%	85.2%
Mexico	Urique	2000	23.0%	45.8%	4.7%
Mexico	Urique	2017	23.7%	45.7%	5.4%
Mexico	Ursulo Galvan	2000	85.9%	94.2%	66.8%
Mexico	Ursulo Galvan	2017	86.7%	94.7%	66.9%
Mexico	Uruachi	2000	16.5%	35.2%	3.0%
Mexico	Uruachi	2017	18.2%	36.9%	3.3%
Mexico	Uruapan	2000	63.2%	79.0%	43.8%
Mexico	Uruapan	2017	64.5%	77.4%	49.2%
Mexico	Uxpanapa	2000	32.5%	70.3%	5.7%
Mexico	Uxpanapa	2017	33.4%	72.5%	6.0%
Mexico	Valerio Trujano	2000	28.1%	40.2%	20.9%
Mexico	Valerio Trujano	2017	28.3%	42.9%	20.0%
Mexico	Valladolid	2000	32.4%	47.3%	21.0%
Mexico	Valladolid	2017	33.6%	48.8%	22.1%
Mexico	Valle De Bravo	2000	55.9%	72.2%	41.1%
Mexico	Valle De Bravo	2017	58.1%	74.8%	42.5%
Mexico	Valle De Chalco Solidaridad	2000	92.8%	93.0%	92.6%
Mexico	Valle De Chalco Solidaridad	2017	92.9%	93.1%	92.7%
Mexico	Valle De Guadalupe	2000	85.2%	93.0%	72.0%
Mexico	Valle De Guadalupe	2017	85.8%	93.6%	73.4%
Mexico	Valle De Juarez	2000	85.0%	89.6%	79.0%
Mexico	Valle De Juarez	2017	85.7%	89.4%	80.0%
Mexico	Valle De Santiago	2000	56.1%	82.5%	28.6%
Mexico	Valle De Santiago	2017	57.5%	83.6%	28.9%
Mexico	Valle De Zaragoza	2000	59.3%	87.7%	25.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Valle De Zaragoza	2017	60.8%	88.0%	28.9%
Mexico	Valle Hermoso	2000	61.1%	90.9%	24.0%
Mexico	Valle Hermoso	2017	63.3%	87.2%	33.9%
Mexico	Vallecillo	2000	52.7%	90.7%	9.6%
Mexico	Vallecillo	2017	54.0%	91.1%	9.7%
Mexico	Valparaiso	2000	48.5%	70.4%	27.5%
Mexico	Valparaiso	2017	49.8%	71.8%	28.5%
Mexico	Vanegas	2000	31.4%	51.7%	12.9%
Mexico	Vanegas	2017	32.1%	54.3%	14.5%
Mexico	Vega De Alatorre	2000	58.5%	82.8%	28.8%
Mexico	Vega De Alatorre	2017	60.2%	84.5%	29.1%
Mexico	Venado	2000	44.7%	62.5%	25.8%
Mexico	Venado	2017	46.2%	64.9%	26.5%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	88.6%	93.2%	79.1%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	98.9%	99.0%	98.9%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	62.8%	80.8%	41.5%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	57.3%	82.1%	29.7%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	99.0%	99.0%	98.9%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	57.1%	83.5%	29.4%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	89.1%	93.6%	80.1%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	66.2%	80.1%	49.9%
Mexico	Veracruz	2000	85.6%	87.8%	82.0%
Mexico	Veracruz	2017	86.5%	90.0%	78.0%
Mexico	Vetagrande	2000	71.9%	79.3%	64.8%
Mexico	Vetagrande	2017	76.9%	83.1%	69.9%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2000	4.0%	8.8%	1.7%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2000	81.2%	87.7%	74.3%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2017	82.4%	88.4%	75.2%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2017	3.8%	7.8%	1.7%
Mexico	Victoria	2000	27.1%	56.2%	6.3%
Mexico	Victoria	2000	76.5%	90.6%	55.2%
Mexico	Victoria	2017	28.1%	52.3%	7.3%
Mexico	Victoria	2017	77.8%	89.6%	58.3%
Mexico	Viesca	2000	53.3%	80.4%	27.6%
Mexico	Viesca	2017	53.5%	80.9%	28.2%
Mexico	Villa Aldama	2000	32.1%	34.7%	29.7%
Mexico	Villa Aldama	2017	33.9%	36.8%	31.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Villa Comaltitlan	2000	60.5%	80.6%	37.2%
Mexico	Villa Comaltitlan	2017	60.7%	82.7%	37.1%
Mexico	Villa Corona	2000	86.2%	95.0%	69.6%
Mexico	Villa Corona	2017	86.4%	95.1%	72.0%
Mexico	Villa Corzo	2000	60.7%	85.7%	29.3%
Mexico	Villa Corzo	2017	61.9%	86.5%	31.9%
Mexico	Villa De Al-lende	2000	19.6%	31.3%	11.2%
Mexico	Villa De Al-lende	2017	21.2%	34.1%	12.5%
Mexico	Villa De Al-varez	2000	97.7%	98.7%	95.8%
Mexico	Villa De Al-varez	2017	97.9%	98.8%	96.2%
Mexico	Villa De Arista	2000	34.4%	60.8%	12.6%
Mexico	Villa De Arista	2017	35.8%	62.2%	13.0%
Mexico	Villa De Arriaga	2000	47.8%	86.5%	12.2%
Mexico	Villa De Arriaga	2017	48.7%	86.5%	13.2%
Mexico	Villa De Chilapa De Diaz	2000	71.6%	73.3%	69.7%
Mexico	Villa De Chilapa De Diaz	2017	50.5%	53.3%	47.8%
Mexico	Villa De Cos	2000	45.3%	66.4%	23.2%
Mexico	Villa De Cos	2017	46.0%	68.0%	21.8%
Mexico	Villa De Etlá	2000	61.4%	62.4%	60.1%
Mexico	Villa De Etlá	2017	63.2%	64.1%	62.0%
Mexico	Villa De Guadalupe	2000	29.1%	61.9%	3.4%
Mexico	Villa De Guadalupe	2017	30.8%	64.2%	3.7%
Mexico	Villa De La Paz	2000	51.3%	60.6%	40.2%
Mexico	Villa De La Paz	2017	54.0%	63.5%	40.2%
Mexico	Villa De Ramos	2000	41.8%	70.5%	16.7%
Mexico	Villa De Ramos	2017	42.9%	71.2%	19.1%
Mexico	Villa De Reyes	2000	44.5%	78.7%	16.6%
Mexico	Villa De Reyes	2017	45.9%	79.1%	18.5%
Mexico	Villa De Tamazulapam Del Progreso	2000	64.7%	70.4%	56.9%
Mexico	Villa De Tamazulapam Del Progreso	2017	57.7%	61.7%	53.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Villa De Tezontepec	2000	85.6%	88.5%	81.0%
Mexico	Villa De Tezontepec	2017	86.5%	89.0%	82.4%
Mexico	Villa De Tututepec De Melchor Ocampo	2000	49.3%	85.2%	8.6%
Mexico	Villa De Tututepec De Melchor Ocampo	2017	50.3%	87.4%	9.4%
Mexico	Villa De Zaachila	2000	26.0%	26.7%	25.4%
Mexico	Villa De Zaachila	2017	27.4%	28.1%	26.8%
Mexico	Villa Del Carbon	2000	47.8%	60.3%	37.4%
Mexico	Villa Del Carbon	2017	50.6%	61.7%	40.5%
Mexico	Villa Diaz Ordaz	2000	50.1%	54.3%	46.0%
Mexico	Villa Diaz Ordaz	2017	46.6%	50.6%	42.6%
Mexico	Villa Garcia	2000	70.9%	88.7%	50.6%
Mexico	Villa Garcia	2017	71.8%	89.7%	51.2%
Mexico	Villa Gonzalez Ortega	2000	63.2%	86.4%	36.3%
Mexico	Villa Gonzalez Ortega	2017	64.5%	87.3%	36.9%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2000	63.0%	83.6%	38.4%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2000	51.8%	64.7%	38.6%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2017	64.4%	84.4%	40.6%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2017	52.6%	63.2%	41.8%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	33.5%	70.5%	5.3%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	43.6%	68.4%	21.3%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	24.9%	27.1%	23.0%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	82.6%	91.3%	70.8%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	71.1%	98.9%	18.7%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	29.6%	32.9%	26.3%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	45.9%	72.2%	21.4%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	34.7%	71.7%	6.5%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	71.5%	99.1%	15.3%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	83.7%	92.0%	73.5%
Mexico	Villa Juarez	2000	40.3%	76.1%	10.5%
Mexico	Villa Juarez	2017	41.0%	77.4%	10.9%
Mexico	Villa Pesqueira	2000	75.8%	89.6%	52.3%
Mexico	Villa Pesqueira	2017	76.2%	90.2%	52.9%
Mexico	Villa Purificacion	2000	56.6%	91.4%	15.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Villa Purificacion	2017	58.2%	91.7%	16.5%
Mexico	Villa Sola De Vega	2000	14.3%	31.4%	5.8%
Mexico	Villa Sola De Vega	2017	15.8%	34.7%	6.8%
Mexico	Villa Talea De Castro	2000	26.0%	27.7%	24.5%
Mexico	Villa Talea De Castro	2017	26.0%	27.7%	24.4%
Mexico	Villa Tejupam De La Union	2000	26.2%	32.3%	23.0%
Mexico	Villa Tejupam De La Union	2017	29.3%	34.0%	26.3%
Mexico	Villa Union	2000	54.2%	75.2%	29.8%
Mexico	Villa Union	2017	52.7%	78.6%	23.8%
Mexico	Villa Victoria	2000	17.9%	38.5%	4.9%
Mexico	Villa Victoria	2017	18.7%	40.8%	5.1%
Mexico	Villaflores	2000	67.2%	94.8%	33.2%
Mexico	Villaflores	2017	68.2%	94.9%	35.3%
Mexico	Villagran	2000	73.4%	86.3%	55.7%
Mexico	Villagran	2000	21.0%	49.9%	3.7%
Mexico	Villagran	2017	75.7%	87.4%	58.8%
Mexico	Villagran	2017	22.3%	54.1%	4.6%
Mexico	Villaldama	2000	62.7%	94.2%	21.3%
Mexico	Villaldama	2017	64.8%	92.5%	25.4%
Mexico	Villamar	2000	63.8%	79.6%	45.4%
Mexico	Villamar	2017	65.5%	81.0%	47.2%
Mexico	Villanueva	2000	59.3%	84.2%	21.7%
Mexico	Villanueva	2017	60.8%	84.5%	25.1%
Mexico	Vista Hermosa	2000	84.2%	86.1%	81.5%
Mexico	Vista Hermosa	2017	85.5%	87.1%	82.9%
Mexico	Xalapa	2000	88.2%	88.6%	87.7%
Mexico	Xalapa	2017	89.2%	89.7%	88.7%
Mexico	Xalatlaco	2000	74.1%	75.0%	73.3%
Mexico	Xalatlaco	2017	74.6%	75.7%	72.9%
Mexico	Xalisco	2000	87.3%	93.2%	79.3%
Mexico	Xalisco	2017	88.1%	93.7%	81.0%
Mexico	Xaloztoc	2000	55.0%	57.6%	52.1%
Mexico	Xaloztoc	2017	56.4%	59.7%	52.8%
Mexico	Xalpatlahuac	2000	8.1%	23.5%	1.9%
Mexico	Xalpatlahuac	2017	7.7%	22.3%	1.9%
Mexico	Xaltocan	2000	72.7%	73.7%	71.7%
Mexico	Xaltocan	2017	75.2%	76.1%	74.3%
Mexico	Xayacatlan De Bravo	2000	51.0%	57.0%	45.0%
Mexico	Xayacatlan De Bravo	2017	53.7%	60.3%	47.5%
Mexico	Xichu	2000	21.7%	51.0%	4.5%
Mexico	Xichu	2017	22.4%	51.0%	5.1%
Mexico	Xico	2000	61.5%	70.1%	53.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Xico	2017	62.9%	73.7%	51.3%
Mexico	Xicohtzinco	2000	81.7%	82.0%	81.2%
Mexico	Xicohtzinco	2017	82.6%	82.9%	82.2%
Mexico	Xicotencatl	2000	51.2%	71.6%	32.4%
Mexico	Xicotencatl	2017	49.3%	70.3%	30.3%
Mexico	Xicoteppec	2000	46.4%	57.3%	35.6%
Mexico	Xicoteppec	2017	48.9%	58.7%	38.8%
Mexico	Xicotlan	2000	27.3%	49.4%	9.0%
Mexico	Xicotlan	2017	29.0%	52.7%	9.4%
Mexico	Xilitla	2000	33.8%	54.3%	14.8%
Mexico	Xilitla	2017	35.3%	55.8%	16.0%
Mexico	Xiutetelco	2000	41.7%	43.9%	39.6%
Mexico	Xiutetelco	2017	45.8%	48.8%	43.4%
Mexico	Xocchel	2000	10.3%	12.4%	9.0%
Mexico	Xocchel	2017	10.7%	12.4%	9.8%
Mexico	Xochiapulco	2000	40.8%	41.8%	38.5%
Mexico	Xochiapulco	2017	43.8%	44.6%	41.6%
Mexico	Xochiatipan	2000	5.3%	14.7%	2.0%
Mexico	Xochiatipan	2017	6.0%	17.5%	2.1%
Mexico	Xochicoatlan	2000	36.9%	52.6%	22.5%
Mexico	Xochicoatlan	2017	39.0%	54.9%	24.2%
Mexico	Xochihuehuetlan	2000	23.8%	39.3%	12.1%
Mexico	Xochihuehuetlan	2017	24.6%	39.9%	13.9%
Mexico	Xochiltepec	2000	52.7%	54.0%	51.4%
Mexico	Xochiltepec	2017	56.6%	58.1%	55.0%
Mexico	Xochimilco	2000	90.6%	92.8%	85.9%
Mexico	Xochimilco	2017	91.7%	93.9%	87.0%
Mexico	Xochistlahuaca	2000	10.0%	27.3%	2.2%
Mexico	Xochistlahuaca	2017	9.5%	23.0%	2.6%
Mexico	Xochitepec	2000	72.6%	74.0%	70.8%
Mexico	Xochitepec	2017	73.8%	75.2%	72.1%
Mexico	Xochitlan	2000	17.3%	18.2%	16.4%
Mexico	De Vicente Suarez				
Mexico	Xochitlan	2017	18.1%	19.1%	17.2%
Mexico	De Vicente Suarez				
Mexico	Xochitlan Todos Santos	2000	26.1%	38.8%	16.1%
Mexico	Xochitlan Todos Santos	2017	29.2%	41.3%	19.2%
Mexico	Xonacatlan	2000	70.0%	72.8%	67.3%
Mexico	Xonacatlan	2017	75.5%	77.8%	72.9%
Mexico	Xoxocotla	2000	8.1%	9.0%	7.3%
Mexico	Xoxocotla	2017	7.8%	8.6%	7.0%
Mexico	Yahualica	2000	6.2%	9.2%	4.3%
Mexico	Yahualica	2017	6.6%	10.1%	4.6%
Mexico	Yahualica	2000	69.8%	87.8%	44.1%
Mexico	De Gonzalez Gallo				
Mexico	Yahualica	2017	70.9%	88.7%	43.1%
Mexico	De Gonzalez Gallo				

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Yajalon	2000	55.9%	78.9%	34.9%
Mexico	Yajalon	2017	61.2%	80.2%	41.6%
Mexico	Yanga	2000	69.6%	74.5%	63.6%
Mexico	Yanga	2017	70.6%	74.9%	65.4%
Mexico	Yaonahuac	2000	23.0%	24.3%	21.9%
Mexico	Yaonahuac	2017	26.5%	27.9%	25.1%
Mexico	Yauhquemecan	2000	81.6%	82.1%	81.1%
Mexico	Yauhquemecan	2017	83.3%	83.7%	82.8%
Mexico	Yautepec	2000	74.9%	86.5%	58.4%
Mexico	Yautepec	2017	77.6%	87.4%	63.1%
Mexico	Yaxcaba	2000	11.8%	33.1%	1.1%
Mexico	Yaxcaba	2017	12.8%	36.3%	1.2%
Mexico	Yaxe	2000	6.2%	7.9%	4.7%
Mexico	Yaxe	2017	5.4%	6.9%	4.1%
Mexico	Yaxkukul	2000	32.2%	33.7%	30.6%
Mexico	Yaxkukul	2017	35.7%	37.2%	34.2%
Mexico	Yecapixtla	2000	70.8%	71.3%	70.2%
Mexico	Yecapixtla	2017	67.2%	67.7%	66.5%
Mexico	Yecora	2000	39.5%	78.6%	9.1%
Mexico	Yecora	2017	41.1%	76.5%	12.4%
Mexico	Yecuatla	2000	36.9%	41.4%	33.0%
Mexico	Yecuatla	2017	38.0%	42.0%	34.4%
Mexico	Yehualtepec	2000	22.0%	32.2%	14.5%
Mexico	Yehualtepec	2017	22.9%	35.1%	15.2%
Mexico	Yobain	2000	36.9%	59.7%	19.6%
Mexico	Yobain	2017	39.7%	57.5%	26.2%
Mexico	Yogana	2000	10.0%	12.7%	7.7%
Mexico	Yogana	2017	14.5%	19.4%	10.6%
Mexico	Yurecuaro	2000	85.5%	90.7%	76.4%
Mexico	Yurecuaro	2017	84.4%	91.9%	70.9%
Mexico	Yuriria	2000	64.6%	85.9%	38.9%
Mexico	Yuriria	2017	64.7%	87.8%	37.9%
Mexico	Yutanduchi	2000	3.5%	4.4%	2.9%
Mexico	Yutanduchi De Guerrero	2017	2.6%	3.4%	2.0%
Mexico	Zacapala	2000	21.5%	32.5%	13.0%
Mexico	Zacapala	2017	26.0%	38.6%	16.5%
Mexico	Zacapoaxtla	2000	41.7%	43.2%	40.1%
Mexico	Zacapoaxtla	2017	44.5%	46.0%	43.0%
Mexico	Zacapu	2000	70.8%	85.6%	52.9%
Mexico	Zacapu	2017	72.5%	85.4%	56.9%
Mexico	Zacatecas	2000	72.1%	80.1%	61.4%
Mexico	Zacatecas	2017	78.0%	83.6%	67.6%
Mexico	Zacatelco	2000	79.0%	79.4%	78.7%
Mexico	Zacatelco	2017	80.3%	80.6%	79.9%
Mexico	Zacatepec De Hidalgo	2000	80.0%	80.8%	79.2%
Mexico	Zacatepec De Hidalgo	2017	81.1%	81.8%	80.4%
Mexico	Zacatlan	2000	27.3%	37.6%	17.5%
Mexico	Zacatlan	2017	28.1%	38.0%	18.3%
Mexico	Zacazonapan	2000	56.7%	62.6%	50.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zacazonapan	2017	58.7%	64.2%	52.4%
Mexico	Zacoalco De Torres	2000	79.4%	89.9%	65.6%
Mexico	Zacoalco De Torres	2017	80.4%	90.7%	66.4%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2000	12.9%	24.6%	4.9%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2000	35.0%	53.2%	20.8%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2017	13.9%	25.8%	5.7%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2017	36.1%	54.1%	22.8%
Mexico	Zacualpan De Amilpas	2000	31.8%	32.4%	31.2%
Mexico	Zacualpan De Amilpas	2017	36.1%	36.7%	35.4%
Mexico	Zacualtipan De Angeles	2000	52.9%	67.1%	39.4%
Mexico	Zacualtipan De Angeles	2017	55.0%	68.5%	41.9%
Mexico	Zamora	2000	84.9%	92.8%	75.7%
Mexico	Zamora	2017	85.9%	93.1%	76.2%
Mexico	Zapopan	2000	89.7%	98.1%	77.0%
Mexico	Zapopan	2017	89.1%	98.3%	74.0%
Mexico	Zapotiltic	2000	79.6%	88.0%	70.3%
Mexico	Zapotiltic	2017	80.2%	89.2%	68.9%
Mexico	Zapotitlan	2000	39.2%	58.1%	23.5%
Mexico	Zapotitlan	2017	40.9%	60.6%	23.9%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Mendez	2000	16.2%	16.6%	15.8%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Mendez	2017	17.6%	18.1%	17.1%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Vadillo	2000	57.3%	80.1%	32.6%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Vadillo	2017	57.4%	81.4%	35.0%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Del Rio	2000	4.7%	16.5%	1.0%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Del Rio	2017	4.8%	15.4%	1.1%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Lagunas	2000	8.3%	11.3%	6.7%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Lagunas	2017	9.2%	13.2%	6.8%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Palmas	2000	5.2%	9.5%	2.5%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Palmas	2017	6.3%	10.5%	3.7%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Tablas	2000	3.0%	8.0%	0.9%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Tablas	2017	3.1%	8.5%	0.8%
Mexico	Zapotlan De Juarez	2000	79.3%	81.3%	77.0%
Mexico	Zapotlan De Juarez	2017	81.9%	83.8%	79.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zapotlan Del Rey	2000	68.8%	89.2%	47.0%
Mexico	Zapotlan Del Rey	2017	68.6%	88.6%	43.7%
Mexico	Zapotlan El Grande	2000	91.9%	94.6%	88.3%
Mexico	Zapotlan El Grande	2017	91.0%	93.5%	87.6%
Mexico	Zapotlanejo	2000	79.0%	89.6%	64.5%
Mexico	Zapotlanejo	2017	78.3%	89.5%	62.6%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	65.1%	72.6%	55.6%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	40.7%	62.0%	22.8%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	68.6%	72.3%	65.2%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	57.1%	58.2%	56.1%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	65.7%	70.6%	59.3%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	42.3%	65.3%	21.9%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	66.0%	68.9%	63.2%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	58.6%	59.8%	57.7%
Mexico	Zautla	2000	32.5%	51.1%	19.6%
Mexico	Zautla	2017	29.5%	43.2%	18.6%
Mexico	Zempoala	2000	72.1%	85.1%	57.3%
Mexico	Zempoala	2017	70.5%	85.0%	54.2%
Mexico	Zentla	2000	25.3%	35.8%	17.2%
Mexico	Zentla	2017	26.6%	37.3%	18.0%
Mexico	Zihuateutla	2000	20.8%	30.1%	13.2%
Mexico	Zihuateutla	2017	21.4%	29.2%	14.2%
Mexico	Zimapan	2000	48.3%	74.4%	23.7%
Mexico	Zimapan	2017	50.1%	75.3%	26.1%
Mexico	Zimatlan De Alvarez	2000	32.2%	34.8%	31.2%
Mexico	Zimatlan De Alvarez	2017	34.2%	36.7%	33.2%
Mexico	Zinacantan	2000	37.2%	50.7%	23.8%
Mexico	Zinacantan	2017	39.7%	53.5%	26.0%
Mexico	Zinacantepec	2000	45.3%	65.5%	29.1%
Mexico	Zinacantepec	2017	46.6%	64.9%	31.7%
Mexico	Zinacatepec	2000	69.6%	70.6%	68.3%
Mexico	Zinacatepec	2017	64.5%	66.5%	60.9%
Mexico	Zinaparo	2000	62.1%	74.5%	49.0%
Mexico	Zinaparo	2017	63.7%	72.5%	56.2%
Mexico	Zinapequaro	2000	57.5%	85.4%	27.2%
Mexico	Zinapequaro	2017	58.3%	85.8%	28.8%
Mexico	Ziracuaretiro	2000	35.1%	48.2%	23.9%
Mexico	Ziracuaretiro	2017	36.0%	49.2%	25.1%
Mexico	Zirandaro	2000	38.2%	65.0%	8.5%
Mexico	Zirandaro	2017	39.4%	64.9%	9.4%
Mexico	Zitacuaro	2000	53.2%	65.7%	41.6%
Mexico	Zitacuaro	2017	55.9%	68.0%	44.6%
Mexico	Zitlala	2000	18.0%	45.3%	4.4%
Mexico	Zitlala	2017	19.0%	46.2%	4.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zitlaltepec De Trinidad Sanchez Santos	2000	84.1%	85.1%	83.2%
Mexico	Zitlaltepec De Trinidad Sanchez Santos	2017	80.7%	81.6%	79.9%
Mexico	Zongolica	2000	15.8%	25.9%	12.2%
Mexico	Zongolica	2017	18.0%	27.3%	14.2%
Mexico	Zongozotla	2000	22.1%	22.8%	21.5%
Mexico	Zongozotla	2017	24.1%	24.8%	23.4%
Mexico	Zontecomatlan De Lopez Y Fuentes	2000	3.2%	11.0%	1.1%
Mexico	Zontecomatlan De Lopez Y Fuentes	2017	3.5%	12.3%	1.1%
Mexico	Zoquiapan	2000	21.5%	22.1%	20.8%
Mexico	Zoquiapan	2017	23.8%	24.4%	23.1%
Mexico	Zoquitlan	2000	6.1%	14.2%	1.9%
Mexico	Zoquitlan	2017	6.1%	13.8%	2.0%
Mexico	Zozocolco De Hidalgo	2000	8.0%	8.4%	7.6%
Mexico	Zozocolco De Hidalgo	2017	8.3%	8.7%	7.9%
Mexico	Zumpahuacan	2000	50.0%	70.3%	29.3%
Mexico	Zumpahuacan	2017	51.0%	71.3%	31.5%
Mexico	Zumpango	2000	63.7%	73.9%	51.6%
Mexico	Zumpango	2017	63.4%	74.6%	50.3%
Nicaragua	Achuapa	2000	61.1%	73.3%	47.0%
Nicaragua	Achuapa	2017	80.0%	91.5%	68.6%
Nicaragua	Acoyapa	2000	57.5%	73.0%	42.0%
Nicaragua	Acoyapa	2017	77.4%	89.0%	64.7%
Nicaragua	Altagracia	2000	63.7%	81.3%	45.8%
Nicaragua	Altagracia	2017	84.5%	96.1%	68.8%
Nicaragua	Belén	2000	71.8%	81.7%	59.6%
Nicaragua	Belén	2017	85.7%	92.1%	77.4%
Nicaragua	Bluefields	2000	57.5%	66.1%	46.2%
Nicaragua	Bluefields	2017	75.0%	80.5%	68.2%
Nicaragua	Boaco	2000	56.8%	73.0%	39.3%
Nicaragua	Boaco	2017	77.4%	89.4%	62.1%
Nicaragua	Bocana de Paiwas	2000	64.0%	75.5%	51.3%
Nicaragua	Bocana de Paiwas	2017	81.2%	88.7%	71.3%
Nicaragua	Bonanza	2000	49.7%	62.0%	39.0%
Nicaragua	Bonanza	2017	72.3%	82.2%	62.3%
Nicaragua	Buenos Aires	2000	75.3%	92.8%	63.7%
Nicaragua	Buenos Aires	2017	94.8%	98.6%	82.4%
Nicaragua	Camoapa	2000	60.2%	73.8%	42.8%
Nicaragua	Camoapa	2017	80.9%	90.6%	66.5%
Nicaragua	Catarina	2000	66.6%	70.4%	62.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Catarina	2017	81.5%	88.5%	77.6%
Nicaragua	Chichigalpa	2000	66.0%	77.9%	53.6%
Nicaragua	Chichigalpa	2017	84.3%	93.9%	71.4%
Nicaragua	Chinandega	2000	70.8%	82.8%	58.3%
Nicaragua	Chinandega	2017	87.8%	96.2%	75.5%
Nicaragua	Cinco Pinos	2000	71.4%	79.4%	62.8%
Nicaragua	Cinco Pinos	2017	85.9%	91.9%	79.3%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Antigua	2000	76.1%	87.3%	63.1%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Antigua	2017	89.3%	95.8%	81.6%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Darío	2000	63.6%	74.0%	51.9%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Darío	2017	82.1%	90.7%	72.9%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Sandino	2000	66.3%	79.8%	52.1%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Sandino	2017	84.4%	94.4%	73.0%
Nicaragua	Comalapa	2000	58.0%	70.4%	45.1%
Nicaragua	Comalapa	2017	78.5%	88.6%	67.1%
Nicaragua	Condega	2000	69.5%	79.0%	57.0%
Nicaragua	Condega	2017	85.9%	93.8%	75.9%
Nicaragua	Corinto	2000	55.9%	78.5%	38.8%
Nicaragua	Corinto	2017	81.6%	96.8%	62.6%
Nicaragua	Dipilto	2000	67.9%	81.1%	54.5%
Nicaragua	Dipilto	2017	84.4%	93.2%	73.4%
Nicaragua	Diriá	2000	69.0%	74.1%	63.3%
Nicaragua	Diriá	2017	85.8%	89.7%	82.3%
Nicaragua	Diriamba	2000	65.6%	74.1%	58.3%
Nicaragua	Diriamba	2017	84.4%	89.3%	78.6%
Nicaragua	Diriomo	2000	71.8%	76.3%	67.5%
Nicaragua	Diriomo	2017	86.6%	89.0%	84.0%
Nicaragua	Dolores	2000	67.9%	73.7%	61.8%
Nicaragua	Dolores	2017	85.9%	94.4%	79.0%
Nicaragua	El Almendro	2000	59.6%	71.3%	46.5%
Nicaragua	El Almendro	2017	79.8%	89.3%	68.8%
Nicaragua	El Cuá	2000	51.6%	61.5%	42.0%
Nicaragua	El Cuá	2017	73.7%	81.4%	65.7%
Nicaragua	El Jicaral	2000	57.3%	73.3%	42.7%
Nicaragua	El Jicaral	2017	78.5%	91.7%	64.1%
Nicaragua	El Rama	2000	51.6%	59.8%	43.4%
Nicaragua	El Rama	2017	73.7%	80.4%	65.7%
Nicaragua	El Realejo	2000	68.7%	81.8%	54.3%
Nicaragua	El Realejo	2017	84.9%	94.4%	71.0%
Nicaragua	El Rosario	2000	70.9%	78.1%	61.9%
Nicaragua	El Rosario	2017	85.0%	90.6%	78.3%
Nicaragua	El Sauce	2000	64.2%	76.4%	53.6%
Nicaragua	El Sauce	2017	82.9%	91.1%	74.0%
Nicaragua	El Viejo	2000	62.0%	75.2%	48.3%
Nicaragua	El Viejo	2017	80.3%	88.6%	69.9%
Nicaragua	Esquipulas	2000	50.5%	68.8%	35.0%
Nicaragua	Esquipulas	2017	73.1%	92.1%	53.6%
Nicaragua	Estelí	2000	67.5%	76.9%	57.1%
Nicaragua	Estelí	2017	87.0%	93.5%	79.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Granada	2000	74.0%	81.9%	66.1%
Nicaragua	Granada	2017	90.1%	95.7%	83.8%
Nicaragua	Jalapa	2000	64.7%	78.1%	48.3%
Nicaragua	Jalapa	2017	84.5%	93.6%	72.7%
Nicaragua	Jinotega	2000	62.3%	77.3%	48.5%
Nicaragua	Jinotega	2017	81.6%	92.7%	69.0%
Nicaragua	Jinotepe	2000	72.9%	79.5%	64.8%
Nicaragua	Jinotepe	2017	87.9%	95.4%	81.0%
Nicaragua	Juigalpa	2000	61.0%	70.0%	53.0%
Nicaragua	Juigalpa	2017	80.5%	88.5%	73.1%
Nicaragua	Kukra Hill	2000	48.1%	68.6%	29.5%
Nicaragua	Kukra Hill	2017	71.2%	83.6%	55.7%
Nicaragua	La Concepción	2000	66.2%	75.7%	58.5%
Nicaragua	La Concepción	2017	86.0%	95.6%	75.6%
Nicaragua	La Concordia	2000	70.2%	81.8%	57.8%
Nicaragua	La Concordia	2017	85.6%	94.3%	73.3%
Nicaragua	La Conquista	2000	65.3%	69.4%	60.3%
Nicaragua	La Conquista	2017	81.2%	85.2%	77.1%
Nicaragua	La Cruz de Río Grande	2000	50.2%	57.0%	42.8%
Nicaragua	La Cruz de Río Grande	2017	71.9%	78.6%	65.4%
Nicaragua	La Libertad	2000	54.2%	68.5%	38.6%
Nicaragua	La Libertad	2017	74.3%	90.4%	56.5%
Nicaragua	La Paz Centro	2000	70.4%	83.1%	55.8%
Nicaragua	La Paz Centro	2017	89.0%	95.2%	80.7%
Nicaragua	La Paz de Carazo	2000	68.6%	73.0%	63.7%
Nicaragua	La Paz de Carazo	2017	82.5%	85.2%	79.7%
Nicaragua	La Trinidad	2000	62.0%	72.2%	49.7%
Nicaragua	La Trinidad	2017	83.8%	93.5%	72.8%
Nicaragua	Lago de Managua	2000	78.3%	90.9%	59.7%
Nicaragua	Lago de Managua	2017	90.6%	97.7%	78.0%
Nicaragua	Lago de Nicaragua	2000	63.7%	73.5%	55.5%
Nicaragua	Lago de Nicaragua	2017	82.9%	90.1%	74.8%
Nicaragua	Laguna de Perlas	2000	45.4%	56.2%	36.3%
Nicaragua	Laguna de Perlas	2017	68.5%	78.1%	58.6%
Nicaragua	Larreynaga-Malpaisillo	2000	69.8%	82.6%	58.1%
Nicaragua	Larreynaga-Malpaisillo	2017	85.9%	93.9%	75.9%
Nicaragua	Las Sabanas	2000	64.6%	74.6%	53.3%
Nicaragua	Las Sabanas	2017	81.3%	90.7%	71.8%
Nicaragua	León	2000	64.6%	75.0%	53.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	León	2017	86.0%	93.8%	76.6%
Nicaragua	Macuelizo	2000	66.7%	80.7%	52.0%
Nicaragua	Macuelizo	2017	83.7%	93.3%	71.7%
Nicaragua	Managua	2000	80.2%	86.8%	71.8%
Nicaragua	Managua	2017	92.8%	97.9%	86.2%
Nicaragua	Masatepe	2000	66.3%	78.9%	59.4%
Nicaragua	Masatepe	2017	84.4%	91.4%	76.0%
Nicaragua	Masaya	2000	70.9%	78.1%	64.3%
Nicaragua	Masaya	2017	87.8%	92.5%	81.9%
Nicaragua	Matagalpa	2000	71.7%	82.0%	60.6%
Nicaragua	Matagalpa	2017	88.5%	96.8%	78.1%
Nicaragua	Mateare	2000	64.2%	80.5%	50.0%
Nicaragua	Mateare	2017	83.6%	95.2%	70.7%
Nicaragua	Matiguás	2000	65.1%	76.5%	51.9%
Nicaragua	Matiguás	2017	83.2%	90.2%	74.1%
Nicaragua	Morrito	2000	53.4%	69.0%	37.2%
Nicaragua	Morrito	2017	74.7%	87.3%	61.2%
Nicaragua	Moyogalpa	2000	60.8%	72.6%	50.1%
Nicaragua	Moyogalpa	2017	85.9%	98.4%	71.6%
Nicaragua	Mozonte	2000	69.6%	79.5%	58.5%
Nicaragua	Mozonte	2017	85.7%	93.3%	76.7%
Nicaragua	Muelle de los Bueyes	2000	57.4%	69.0%	44.9%
Nicaragua	Muelle de los Bueyes	2017	77.5%	86.3%	67.6%
Nicaragua	Murra	2000	53.9%	70.7%	39.5%
Nicaragua	Murra	2017	74.9%	87.7%	61.3%
Nicaragua	Muy Muy	2000	67.1%	82.9%	50.0%
Nicaragua	Muy Muy	2017	85.0%	95.9%	71.8%
Nicaragua	Nagarote	2000	66.0%	80.5%	50.9%
Nicaragua	Nagarote	2017	85.3%	95.5%	72.6%
Nicaragua	Nandaime	2000	65.9%	76.1%	54.9%
Nicaragua	Nandaime	2017	84.2%	90.9%	75.6%
Nicaragua	Nandasmo	2000	68.0%	74.2%	60.1%
Nicaragua	Nandasmo	2017	82.9%	87.2%	76.6%
Nicaragua	Nindirí	2000	77.1%	83.6%	70.0%
Nicaragua	Nindirí	2017	92.0%	96.1%	85.8%
Nicaragua	Niquinohomo	2000	70.9%	74.5%	67.4%
Nicaragua	Niquinohomo	2017	84.7%	87.1%	82.6%
Nicaragua	Nueva Guinea	2000	54.3%	65.6%	42.6%
Nicaragua	Nueva Guinea	2017	75.1%	83.8%	64.8%
Nicaragua	Ocotal	2000	72.2%	76.5%	67.2%
Nicaragua	Ocotal	2017	87.4%	95.5%	82.5%
Nicaragua	Palacagüina	2000	69.8%	76.9%	61.8%
Nicaragua	Palacagüina	2017	85.2%	91.8%	78.3%
Nicaragua	Posoltega	2000	70.6%	78.5%	63.3%
Nicaragua	Posoltega	2017	85.4%	95.5%	79.0%
Nicaragua	Potosí	2000	74.6%	82.0%	65.0%
Nicaragua	Potosí	2017	87.3%	92.8%	78.3%
Nicaragua	Prinzapolka	2000	45.9%	53.9%	38.0%
Nicaragua	Prinzapolka	2017	67.4%	74.9%	59.5%
Nicaragua	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	70.2%	79.5%	57.8%
Nicaragua	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	86.3%	95.0%	76.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Puerto Cabezas	2000	49.1%	57.3%	40.1%
Nicaragua	Puerto Cabezas	2017	70.8%	77.1%	62.8%
Nicaragua	Puerto Morazán	2000	67.9%	81.6%	53.6%
Nicaragua	Puerto Morazán	2017	84.4%	92.4%	74.0%
Nicaragua	Quezalguaque	2000	74.3%	83.4%	64.7%
Nicaragua	Quezalguaque	2017	87.8%	93.7%	80.1%
Nicaragua	Quilalí	2000	59.1%	72.7%	42.9%
Nicaragua	Quilalí	2017	78.9%	91.3%	63.9%
Nicaragua	Rancho Grande	2000	58.7%	73.4%	44.2%
Nicaragua	Rancho Grande	2017	79.7%	90.6%	64.9%
Nicaragua	Río Blanco	2000	56.4%	66.4%	46.4%
Nicaragua	Río Blanco	2017	77.4%	84.5%	68.7%
Nicaragua	Rivas	2000	86.3%	92.5%	80.7%
Nicaragua	Rivas	2017	96.1%	99.4%	92.3%
Nicaragua	Rosita	2000	48.0%	59.2%	38.5%
Nicaragua	Rosita	2017	69.8%	76.8%	61.2%
Nicaragua	San Carlos	2000	59.4%	68.0%	50.2%
Nicaragua	San Carlos	2017	78.8%	84.8%	71.3%
Nicaragua	San Dionisio	2000	60.6%	74.2%	48.5%
Nicaragua	San Dionisio	2017	79.6%	90.1%	67.4%
Nicaragua	San Fernando	2000	69.4%	82.5%	56.2%
Nicaragua	San Fernando	2017	85.8%	94.9%	74.6%
Nicaragua	San Francisco del Norte	2000	61.6%	73.3%	48.7%
Nicaragua	San Francisco del Norte	2017	81.5%	95.0%	67.9%
Nicaragua	San Francisco Libre	2000	63.5%	78.1%	48.2%
Nicaragua	San Francisco Libre	2017	82.4%	92.9%	67.1%
Nicaragua	San Isidro	2000	61.0%	75.0%	48.3%
Nicaragua	San Isidro	2017	83.8%	95.2%	72.7%
Nicaragua	San Jorge	2000	78.0%	92.8%	67.0%
Nicaragua	San Jorge	2017	96.4%	99.5%	87.3%
Nicaragua	San José de Cusmapa	2000	53.3%	63.0%	43.1%
Nicaragua	San José de Cusmapa	2017	73.7%	87.2%	60.9%
Nicaragua	San José de los Remates	2000	48.4%	62.8%	34.1%
Nicaragua	San José de los Remates	2017	71.1%	86.8%	55.6%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Limay	2000	64.1%	77.1%	51.7%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Limay	2017	82.4%	91.0%	73.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	San Juan de Oriente	2000	65.8%	70.7%	61.0%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Oriente	2017	81.0%	89.4%	76.3%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Norte	2000	38.8%	50.9%	26.8%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Norte	2017	64.3%	76.6%	49.7%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Río Coco	2000	67.8%	77.3%	56.3%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Río Coco	2017	85.7%	94.4%	76.8%
Nicaragua	San Lorenzo	2000	59.5%	74.5%	42.4%
Nicaragua	San Lorenzo	2017	79.8%	90.8%	63.7%
Nicaragua	San Lucas	2000	66.6%	78.8%	55.8%
Nicaragua	San Lucas	2017	84.4%	93.0%	76.1%
Nicaragua	San Marcos	2000	67.2%	75.6%	58.3%
Nicaragua	San Marcos	2017	85.7%	94.2%	77.0%
Nicaragua	San Miguelito	2000	59.2%	72.0%	43.7%
Nicaragua	San Miguelito	2017	78.7%	87.8%	65.7%
Nicaragua	San Nicolás	2000	59.8%	73.3%	44.4%
Nicaragua	San Nicolás	2017	79.9%	90.8%	66.6%
Nicaragua	San Pedro de Lóvago	2000	60.9%	73.6%	47.3%
Nicaragua	San Pedro de Lóvago	2017	80.8%	90.2%	68.9%
Nicaragua	San Pedro del Norte	2000	70.4%	77.0%	62.6%
Nicaragua	San Pedro del Norte	2017	84.7%	90.2%	78.2%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Norte	2000	61.1%	74.7%	46.1%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Norte	2017	81.1%	91.6%	66.6%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Sur	2000	69.5%	82.8%	55.4%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Sur	2017	86.4%	94.9%	75.5%
Nicaragua	San Ramón	2000	70.8%	84.2%	59.0%
Nicaragua	San Ramón	2017	87.8%	95.6%	79.1%
Nicaragua	San Sebastián de Yalí	2000	63.3%	76.2%	49.3%
Nicaragua	San Sebastián de Yalí	2017	84.2%	95.9%	70.8%
Nicaragua	Santa Lucía	2000	46.0%	61.6%	31.3%
Nicaragua	Santa Lucía	2017	70.2%	89.2%	52.1%
Nicaragua	Santa María	2000	74.1%	85.1%	62.8%
Nicaragua	Santa María	2017	87.9%	94.9%	80.7%
Nicaragua	Santa María de Pantasma	2000	52.0%	65.9%	37.0%
Nicaragua	Santa María de Pantasma	2017	74.4%	87.7%	60.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Santa Rosa del Peñón	2000	45.7%	59.6%	33.2%
Nicaragua	Santa Rosa del Peñón	2017	68.2%	82.5%	52.5%
Nicaragua	Santo Domingo	2000	58.5%	75.8%	43.4%
Nicaragua	Santo Domingo	2017	77.8%	91.0%	61.7%
Nicaragua	Santo Tomás	2000	56.2%	72.2%	39.8%
Nicaragua	Santo Tomás	2017	74.7%	88.9%	59.6%
Nicaragua	Sébaco	2000	60.7%	76.3%	49.1%
Nicaragua	Sébaco	2017	85.3%	95.4%	74.6%
Nicaragua	Siuna	2000	50.0%	58.5%	41.7%
Nicaragua	Siuna	2017	72.6%	79.4%	65.1%
Nicaragua	Somotillo	2000	67.0%	79.3%	52.0%
Nicaragua	Somotillo	2017	84.6%	93.0%	71.6%
Nicaragua	Somoto	2000	65.4%	75.7%	55.2%
Nicaragua	Somoto	2017	82.3%	90.7%	73.8%
Nicaragua	Telica	2000	73.9%	83.8%	61.3%
Nicaragua	Telica	2017	87.4%	94.9%	78.3%
Nicaragua	Telpaneca	2000	70.6%	80.6%	59.5%
Nicaragua	Telpaneca	2017	86.6%	93.8%	77.8%
Nicaragua	Terrabona	2000	58.9%	74.8%	43.4%
Nicaragua	Terrabona	2017	79.0%	92.7%	62.7%
Nicaragua	Teustepe	2000	51.0%	64.6%	38.9%
Nicaragua	Teustepe	2017	73.8%	86.5%	60.8%
Nicaragua	Ticuantepe	2000	65.0%	74.4%	56.6%
Nicaragua	Ticuantepe	2017	90.4%	97.6%	83.0%
Nicaragua	Tipitapa	2000	71.0%	81.8%	55.8%
Nicaragua	Tipitapa	2017	86.5%	93.5%	75.0%
Nicaragua	Tisma	2000	71.0%	84.0%	58.2%
Nicaragua	Tisma	2017	86.5%	94.8%	76.0%
Nicaragua	Tola	2000	67.0%	75.9%	57.7%
Nicaragua	Tola	2017	83.7%	90.2%	76.0%
Nicaragua	Totogalpa	2000	68.2%	75.0%	61.8%
Nicaragua	Totogalpa	2017	83.5%	90.4%	77.6%
Nicaragua	Tuma-La Dalia	2000	60.9%	75.5%	45.1%
Nicaragua	Tuma-La Dalia	2017	78.2%	89.4%	63.1%
Nicaragua	Villa Carlos Fonseca	2000	66.4%	78.2%	52.9%
Nicaragua	Villa Carlos Fonseca	2017	85.1%	94.1%	74.1%
Nicaragua	Villa Sandino	2000	58.6%	71.5%	45.9%
Nicaragua	Villa Sandino	2017	78.2%	88.2%	67.4%
Nicaragua	Villanueva	2000	66.3%	79.7%	52.6%
Nicaragua	Villanueva	2017	84.0%	92.1%	72.7%
Nicaragua	Waslala	2000	55.4%	69.4%	42.7%
Nicaragua	Waslala	2017	76.8%	86.1%	65.8%
Nicaragua	Waspán	2000	46.2%	54.4%	38.9%
Nicaragua	Waspán	2017	68.6%	75.2%	62.3%
Nicaragua	Wiwilí	2000	48.9%	57.8%	40.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Wiwilí	2017	71.0%	79.3%	62.8%
Nicaragua	Yalagüina	2000	70.7%	76.9%	64.4%
Nicaragua	Yalagüina	2017	83.4%	87.6%	78.9%
Panama	Aguadulce	2000	79.5%	89.8%	68.7%
Panama	Aguadulce	2017	79.8%	90.2%	69.4%
Panama	Alanje	2000	87.9%	96.5%	74.9%
Panama	Alanje	2017	88.2%	96.6%	75.7%
Panama	Antón	2000	81.9%	89.4%	73.8%
Panama	Antón	2017	82.2%	89.6%	74.0%
Panama	Arraiján	2000	87.2%	95.8%	75.3%
Panama	Arraiján	2017	87.5%	95.9%	76.0%
Panama	Atalaya	2000	73.7%	87.4%	60.3%
Panama	Atalaya	2017	74.0%	87.4%	61.3%
Panama	Balboa	2000	73.4%	98.5%	40.6%
Panama	Balboa	2017	73.9%	98.7%	40.6%
Panama	Barú	2000	83.8%	95.5%	67.6%
Panama	Barú	2017	84.0%	95.3%	68.0%
Panama	Besiko	2000	26.7%	38.7%	17.4%
Panama	Besiko	2017	27.3%	39.6%	17.8%
Panama	Bocas del Toro	2000	47.1%	74.4%	22.9%
Panama	Bocas del Toro	2017	47.2%	74.5%	23.1%
Panama	Boquerón	2000	90.2%	97.7%	74.9%
Panama	Boquerón	2017	90.5%	97.8%	75.4%
Panama	Boquete	2000	69.4%	86.8%	48.5%
Panama	Boquete	2017	69.8%	86.9%	49.0%
Panama	Bugaba	2000	89.1%	95.1%	78.5%
Panama	Bugaba	2017	89.4%	95.2%	79.1%
Panama	Calobre	2000	75.2%	86.4%	63.1%
Panama	Calobre	2017	75.7%	86.7%	64.1%
Panama	Cañazas	2000	61.5%	74.6%	46.4%
Panama	Cañazas	2017	61.6%	74.4%	46.5%
Panama	Capira	2000	87.0%	95.3%	75.0%
Panama	Capira	2017	87.3%	95.5%	75.6%
Panama	Cémaco	2000	57.2%	66.8%	47.4%
Panama	Cémaco	2017	58.4%	67.7%	49.2%
Panama	Chagres	2000	84.8%	99.3%	61.3%
Panama	Chagres	2017	85.1%	99.3%	61.9%
Panama	Chame	2000	86.7%	96.1%	71.4%
Panama	Chame	2017	86.7%	96.2%	71.4%
Panama	Changuinola	2000	54.5%	68.8%	40.9%
Panama	Changuinola	2017	54.6%	68.6%	41.4%
Panama	Chepigana	2000	59.6%	70.1%	49.7%
Panama	Chepigana	2017	60.5%	70.6%	50.5%
Panama	Chepo	2000	79.2%	89.7%	61.6%
Panama	Chepo	2017	79.7%	89.9%	63.7%
Panama	Chimán	2000	80.4%	97.2%	50.8%
Panama	Chimán	2017	80.5%	97.1%	51.2%
Panama	Chiriquí Grande	2000	28.1%	38.5%	20.0%
Panama	Chiriquí Grande	2017	28.8%	39.2%	20.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Chitré	2000	81.9%	89.7%	72.8%
Panama	Chitré	2017	82.2%	89.8%	73.5%
Panama	Colón	2000	92.4%	96.4%	85.5%
Panama	Colón	2017	92.6%	96.5%	85.9%
Panama	David	2000	85.4%	92.4%	77.3%
Panama	David	2017	85.8%	92.4%	78.0%
Panama	Dolega	2000	85.2%	94.5%	73.5%
Panama	Dolega	2017	85.1%	94.2%	73.3%
Panama	Donoso	2000	77.5%	90.3%	59.7%
Panama	Donoso	2017	78.2%	90.5%	61.7%
Panama	Gualaca	2000	54.3%	71.8%	38.6%
Panama	Gualaca	2017	54.1%	70.8%	39.2%
Panama	Guararé	2000	82.9%	91.4%	72.4%
Panama	Guararé	2017	83.3%	91.6%	72.7%
Panama	Kankintú	2000	18.3%	25.7%	13.4%
Panama	Kankintú	2017	18.9%	26.4%	13.8%
Panama	Kuna Yala	2000	61.2%	75.9%	44.5%
Panama	Kuna Yala	2017	61.2%	75.7%	44.5%
Panama	Kusapín	2000	28.1%	48.1%	13.7%
Panama	Kusapín	2017	28.4%	48.6%	13.7%
Panama	La Chorrera	2000	87.7%	95.1%	75.3%
Panama	La Chorrera	2017	87.9%	95.2%	75.8%
Panama	La Mesa	2000	71.7%	84.2%	57.1%
Panama	La Mesa	2017	72.4%	84.6%	57.9%
Panama	La Pintada	2000	81.5%	90.5%	69.6%
Panama	La Pintada	2017	82.0%	90.7%	70.5%
Panama	Lago Alajuela	2000	85.6%	95.9%	67.9%
Panama	Lago Alajuela	2017	86.6%	96.3%	69.7%
Panama	Lago Bayano	2000	75.6%	94.2%	48.1%
Panama	Lago Bayano	2017	76.3%	94.8%	49.1%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2000	93.5%	97.7%	87.6%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2000	90.1%	98.8%	72.9%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2017	93.6%	97.8%	87.7%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2017	90.2%	98.8%	73.1%
Panama	Las Minas	2000	77.0%	89.2%	62.5%
Panama	Las Minas	2017	77.4%	89.3%	63.1%
Panama	Las Palmas	2000	67.5%	83.4%	50.2%
Panama	Las Palmas	2017	67.8%	83.3%	50.7%
Panama	Las Tablas	2000	83.7%	94.7%	70.8%
Panama	Las Tablas	2017	84.2%	94.9%	71.5%
Panama	Los Pozos	2000	79.7%	95.0%	59.5%
Panama	Los Pozos	2017	80.2%	95.2%	60.0%
Panama	Los Santos	2000	82.4%	89.8%	73.0%
Panama	Los Santos	2017	82.8%	90.1%	73.4%
Panama	Macaracas	2000	82.1%	96.8%	62.7%
Panama	Macaracas	2017	82.4%	96.8%	63.3%
Panama	Mironó	2000	28.5%	40.0%	18.2%
Panama	Mironó	2017	29.1%	40.8%	18.6%
Panama	Montijo	2000	74.5%	84.3%	62.4%
Panama	Montijo	2017	75.0%	84.6%	63.4%
Panama	Müna	2000	24.0%	31.5%	17.2%
Panama	Müna	2017	24.1%	31.7%	17.3%
Panama	Natá	2000	80.3%	87.7%	70.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Natá	2017	80.6%	88.0%	70.6%
Panama	Nole Duima	2000	31.4%	40.1%	22.8%
Panama	Nole Duima	2017	31.7%	40.5%	23.1%
Panama	Ñürüm	2000	37.3%	46.8%	28.1%
Panama	Ñürüm	2017	36.4%	45.6%	27.7%
Panama	Ocú	2000	77.5%	88.7%	65.5%
Panama	Ocú	2017	78.0%	88.9%	66.2%
Panama	Olá	2000	79.1%	92.9%	61.1%
Panama	Olá	2017	79.6%	93.1%	62.1%
Panama	Panamá	2000	85.9%	91.9%	80.1%
Panama	Panamá	2017	86.3%	92.1%	80.6%
Panama	Parita	2000	80.8%	90.1%	70.5%
Panama	Parita	2017	81.0%	90.0%	71.0%
Panama	Pedasí	2000	80.1%	99.0%	48.0%
Panama	Pedasí	2017	80.5%	99.0%	49.1%
Panama	Penonomé	2000	82.2%	89.2%	74.1%
Panama	Penonomé	2017	82.8%	89.4%	75.0%
Panama	Pesé	2000	81.4%	94.4%	67.5%
Panama	Pesé	2017	81.4%	94.6%	67.9%
Panama	Pinogana	2000	55.8%	65.0%	46.2%
Panama	Pinogana	2017	56.9%	66.1%	46.6%
Panama	Pocrí	2000	82.1%	98.6%	61.3%
Panama	Pocrí	2017	82.5%	98.6%	61.6%
Panama	Portobelo	2000	84.4%	98.3%	61.3%
Panama	Portobelo	2017	84.8%	98.3%	61.9%
Panama	Remedios	2000	60.8%	75.8%	46.8%
Panama	Remedios	2017	60.9%	75.8%	47.4%
Panama	Renacimiento	2000	86.6%	98.9%	63.2%
Panama	Renacimiento	2017	86.9%	99.0%	64.3%
Panama	Río de Jesús	2000	73.9%	89.8%	55.2%
Panama	Río de Jesús	2017	74.5%	90.2%	56.2%
Panama	Sambú	2000	60.9%	81.4%	42.5%
Panama	Sambú	2017	61.5%	81.4%	43.4%
Panama	San Carlos	2000	84.1%	94.7%	68.8%
Panama	San Carlos	2017	84.3%	94.9%	69.2%
Panama	San Félix	2000	55.9%	73.7%	37.3%
Panama	San Félix	2017	56.8%	74.5%	38.2%
Panama	San Francisco	2000	73.3%	89.2%	55.8%
Panama	San Francisco	2017	73.8%	89.4%	56.1%
Panama	San Lorenzo	2000	57.5%	80.0%	35.6%
Panama	San Lorenzo	2017	57.0%	79.3%	35.4%
Panama	San Miguelito	2000	86.3%	91.8%	80.1%
Panama	San Miguelito	2017	86.5%	91.9%	80.2%
Panama	Santa Fe	2000	67.9%	79.2%	55.2%
Panama	Santa Fe	2017	68.5%	79.7%	55.8%
Panama	Santa Isabel	2000	78.5%	97.5%	52.9%
Panama	Santa Isabel	2017	79.1%	97.7%	53.8%
Panama	Santa María	2000	78.6%	93.3%	61.9%
Panama	Santa María	2017	79.0%	93.5%	62.6%
Panama	Santiago	2000	72.6%	79.4%	64.9%
Panama	Santiago	2017	73.2%	80.1%	65.6%
Panama	Soná	2000	73.5%	85.1%	61.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Soná	2017	74.1%	85.5%	62.1%
Panama	Taboga	2000	82.3%	98.9%	52.5%
Panama	Taboga	2017	82.6%	98.9%	53.7%
Panama	Tolé	2000	54.4%	70.3%	40.0%
Panama	Tolé	2017	54.0%	69.6%	39.9%
Panama	Tonosí	2000	81.4%	93.9%	65.4%
Panama	Tonosí	2017	81.8%	94.1%	66.3%
Paraguay	25 de Diciembre	2000	85.5%	89.7%	79.9%
Paraguay	25 de Diciembre	2017	75.8%	86.3%	60.9%
Paraguay	3 de Febrero	2000	88.3%	95.9%	76.1%
Paraguay	3 de Febrero	2017	70.3%	87.3%	46.9%
Paraguay	Abaí	2000	87.8%	90.9%	83.9%
Paraguay	Abaí	2017	72.8%	79.3%	65.9%
Paraguay	Acahay	2000	93.4%	97.7%	86.1%
Paraguay	Acahay	2017	83.8%	94.2%	66.7%
Paraguay	Alberdi	2000	93.8%	95.5%	91.6%
Paraguay	Alberdi	2017	90.0%	94.6%	84.6%
Paraguay	Alto Verá	2000	91.1%	94.3%	86.2%
Paraguay	Alto Verá	2017	83.6%	90.6%	74.3%
Paraguay	Altos	2000	93.0%	96.0%	87.1%
Paraguay	Altos	2017	88.0%	92.2%	82.4%
Paraguay	Antequera	2000	89.1%	95.5%	78.9%
Paraguay	Antequera	2017	81.5%	94.2%	55.4%
Paraguay	Areguá	2000	75.3%	86.3%	57.4%
Paraguay	Areguá	2017	63.1%	81.4%	42.2%
Paraguay	Arroyos y Esteros	2000	94.4%	97.7%	88.5%
Paraguay	Arroyos y Esteros	2017	91.0%	97.2%	80.4%
Paraguay	Asunción	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.3%
Paraguay	Asunción	2017	97.4%	97.7%	97.0%
Paraguay	Atyrá	2000	90.8%	93.3%	87.5%
Paraguay	Atyrá	2017	77.3%	84.8%	69.8%
Paraguay	Ayolas	2000	89.1%	93.0%	82.8%
Paraguay	Ayolas	2017	81.9%	90.4%	71.7%
Paraguay	Belén	2000	77.3%	88.3%	61.5%
Paraguay	Belén	2017	60.9%	80.1%	41.4%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2000	88.0%	91.6%	81.9%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2000	89.1%	96.4%	71.8%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2017	79.4%	87.2%	69.9%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2017	81.9%	93.8%	62.1%
Paraguay	Benjamín Aceval	2000	92.5%	95.0%	88.3%
Paraguay	Benjamín Aceval	2017	85.2%	90.1%	78.6%
Paraguay	Borja	2000	91.3%	96.5%	84.0%
Paraguay	Borja	2017	85.5%	95.4%	69.3%
Paraguay	Caacupé	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.1%
Paraguay	Caacupé	2017	87.2%	90.9%	82.3%
Paraguay	Caaguazú	2000	89.8%	91.8%	87.6%
Paraguay	Caaguazú	2017	79.6%	82.8%	76.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Caapucú	2000	91.5%	95.3%	85.9%
Paraguay	Caapucú	2017	84.0%	92.9%	74.2%
Paraguay	Caazapá	2000	87.9%	91.8%	82.7%
Paraguay	Caazapá	2017	73.8%	79.8%	64.7%
Paraguay	Cambyreta	2000	86.3%	89.0%	83.2%
Paraguay	Cambyreta	2017	83.7%	87.9%	79.2%
Paraguay	Capiatá	2000	97.4%	98.5%	94.5%
Paraguay	Capiatá	2017	95.4%	98.1%	90.4%
Paraguay	Capitán Bado	2000	88.6%	92.4%	83.6%
Paraguay	Capitán Bado	2017	80.0%	85.6%	73.6%
Paraguay	Capitán Mauricio José Troche	2000	90.9%	97.2%	77.7%
Paraguay	Capitán Mauricio José Troche	2017	81.5%	95.9%	56.6%
Paraguay	Capitán Meza	2000	90.4%	93.9%	85.8%
Paraguay	Capitán Meza	2017	81.2%	87.7%	74.1%
Paraguay	Capitán Miranda	2000	84.4%	91.6%	69.2%
Paraguay	Capitán Miranda	2017	84.2%	94.3%	66.1%
Paraguay	Caraguatay	2000	93.7%	95.4%	91.0%
Paraguay	Caraguatay	2017	86.7%	89.9%	82.6%
Paraguay	Carapeguá	2000	92.6%	96.1%	87.3%
Paraguay	Carapeguá	2017	82.1%	90.4%	70.8%
Paraguay	Carayaó	2000	90.8%	95.4%	83.2%
Paraguay	Carayaó	2017	83.1%	92.5%	69.9%
Paraguay	Carlos Antonio López	2000	89.7%	93.7%	82.0%
Paraguay	Carlos Antonio López	2017	82.6%	92.3%	69.1%
Paraguay	Carmen del Paraná	2000	91.9%	95.8%	85.0%
Paraguay	Carmen del Paraná	2017	83.3%	93.3%	66.3%
Paraguay	Cerrito	2000	90.6%	95.2%	82.8%
Paraguay	Cerrito	2017	83.5%	93.9%	70.3%
Paraguay	Choré	2000	85.8%	87.3%	83.7%
Paraguay	Choré	2017	70.0%	74.3%	66.6%
Paraguay	Ciudad del Este	2000	94.4%	95.3%	93.2%
Paraguay	Ciudad del Este	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.0%
Paraguay	Concepción	2000	78.7%	81.9%	74.9%
Paraguay	Concepción	2017	63.7%	70.0%	58.4%
Paraguay	Coronel Bogado	2000	91.6%	94.3%	88.4%
Paraguay	Coronel Bogado	2017	82.8%	88.9%	75.7%
Paraguay	Coronel Martínez	2000	91.5%	96.8%	81.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Coronel Martínez	2017	92.6%	98.7%	80.0%
Paraguay	Coronel Oviedo	2000	89.6%	91.5%	87.2%
Paraguay	Coronel Oviedo	2017	83.5%	85.8%	80.7%
Paraguay	Corpus Christi	2000	86.5%	91.9%	77.9%
Paraguay	Corpus Christi	2017	76.0%	86.0%	64.5%
Paraguay	Desmochados	2000	90.8%	95.8%	82.7%
Paraguay	Desmochados	2017	84.3%	94.5%	67.6%
Paraguay	Doctor Botrell	2000	89.1%	96.2%	75.9%
Paraguay	Doctor Botrell	2017	80.2%	95.0%	55.0%
Paraguay	Doctor Cecilio Báez	2000	89.9%	91.8%	87.3%
Paraguay	Doctor Cecilio Báez	2017	80.7%	83.5%	77.5%
Paraguay	Doctor J. Eulogio Estigarribia	2000	87.1%	90.0%	84.0%
Paraguay	Doctor J. Eulogio Estigarribia	2017	82.9%	88.4%	76.6%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan León Mallorquín	2000	93.3%	95.8%	89.7%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan León Mallorquín	2017	93.4%	96.8%	89.0%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan Manuel Frutos	2000	92.4%	94.1%	90.1%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan Manuel Frutos	2017	84.6%	87.9%	80.2%
Paraguay	Doctor Moisés S. Bertoni	2000	90.8%	95.2%	83.3%
Paraguay	Doctor Moisés S. Bertoni	2017	82.5%	91.9%	68.8%
Paraguay	Doctor Pedro P. Peña	2000	90.4%	91.9%	88.7%
Paraguay	Doctor Pedro P. Peña	2017	87.6%	90.2%	84.6%
Paraguay	Domingo Martínez de Irala	2000	90.6%	96.2%	79.7%
Paraguay	Domingo Martínez de Irala	2017	81.3%	92.4%	65.3%
Paraguay	Edelira	2000	89.6%	93.3%	84.6%
Paraguay	Edelira	2017	84.3%	92.0%	74.5%
Paraguay	Emboscada	2000	91.6%	97.0%	81.4%
Paraguay	Emboscada	2017	84.9%	96.0%	64.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Emboscada (Caazapa)	2000	88.4%	95.7%	74.3%
Paraguay	Emboscada (Caazapa)	2017	75.7%	90.3%	52.6%
Paraguay	Encarnación	2000	89.6%	93.4%	84.3%
Paraguay	Encarnación	2017	85.2%	91.3%	75.3%
Paraguay	Escobar	2000	93.1%	97.1%	85.2%
Paraguay	Escobar	2017	82.6%	91.9%	67.2%
Paraguay	Eusebio Ayala	2000	93.2%	96.1%	87.8%
Paraguay	Eusebio Ayala	2017	81.4%	90.9%	69.7%
Paraguay	Félix Perez Cardozo	2000	86.1%	95.8%	72.3%
Paraguay	Félix Perez Cardozo	2017	81.5%	96.5%	56.1%
Paraguay	Fernando de la Mora	2000	95.4%	97.1%	92.1%
Paraguay	Fernando de la Mora	2017	92.6%	96.0%	86.2%
Paraguay	Fram	2000	91.6%	95.0%	85.5%
Paraguay	Fram	2017	92.2%	95.0%	87.5%
Paraguay	Fuerte Olimpo	2000	77.5%	81.0%	73.7%
Paraguay	Fuerte Olimpo	2017	74.0%	78.4%	69.5%
Paraguay	Fulgencio Yegros	2000	88.3%	94.2%	78.4%
Paraguay	Fulgencio Yegros	2017	77.0%	89.0%	58.5%
Paraguay	General Artigas	2000	92.6%	95.7%	88.4%
Paraguay	General Artigas	2017	87.6%	93.6%	78.5%
Paraguay	General Bernardino Caballero	2000	90.8%	94.0%	86.9%
Paraguay	General Bernardino Caballero	2017	80.0%	88.9%	70.1%
Paraguay	General Delgado	2000	89.8%	93.6%	83.8%
Paraguay	General Delgado	2017	81.6%	88.4%	72.0%
Paraguay	General Elizardo Aquino	2000	85.7%	89.0%	81.9%
Paraguay	General Elizardo Aquino	2017	71.1%	79.0%	63.4%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2000	89.8%	95.4%	80.1%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2000	83.1%	85.5%	80.5%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2017	78.8%	93.2%	60.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2017	90.9%	93.0%	88.2%
Paraguay	General Francisco C. Alvarez	2000	86.4%	89.2%	82.2%
Paraguay	General Francisco C. Alvarez	2017	79.8%	84.8%	74.3%
Paraguay	General Higinio Morínigo	2000	87.3%	95.1%	73.4%
Paraguay	General Higinio Morínigo	2017	71.6%	87.1%	46.9%
Paraguay	General Isidoro Resquín	2000	81.2%	85.9%	75.3%
Paraguay	General Isidoro Resquín	2017	77.9%	87.7%	68.8%
Paraguay	General José Eduvigis Díaz	2000	91.6%	94.8%	85.9%
Paraguay	General José Eduvigis Díaz	2017	87.1%	92.3%	78.5%
Paraguay	Guarambaré	2000	99.1%	99.6%	98.4%
Paraguay	Guarambaré	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Paraguay	Guazú Cuá	2000	92.2%	95.6%	86.9%
Paraguay	Guazú Cuá	2017	86.2%	92.8%	77.2%
Paraguay	Hernandarias	2000	92.8%	94.2%	90.6%
Paraguay	Hernandarias	2017	88.9%	91.6%	85.7%
Paraguay	Hohenau	2000	91.7%	94.8%	86.1%
Paraguay	Hohenau	2017	85.4%	93.3%	73.8%
Paraguay	Horqueta	2000	84.1%	86.6%	81.1%
Paraguay	Horqueta	2017	71.9%	77.8%	66.2%
Paraguay	Humaitá	2000	89.8%	95.6%	81.3%
Paraguay	Humaitá	2017	84.1%	94.3%	68.8%
Paraguay	Independencia	2000	89.5%	94.0%	82.3%
Paraguay	Independencia	2017	78.9%	87.2%	67.2%
Paraguay	Isla Pucú	2000	93.8%	96.7%	89.6%
Paraguay	Isla Pucú	2017	83.5%	90.8%	73.9%
Paraguay	Isla Umbú	2000	93.7%	95.8%	90.5%
Paraguay	Isla Umbú	2017	89.1%	95.6%	81.1%
Paraguay	Itá	2000	97.8%	99.1%	95.6%
Paraguay	Itá	2017	98.4%	99.4%	96.8%
Paraguay	Itacurubí de la Cordillera	2000	92.1%	97.7%	81.8%
Paraguay	Itacurubí de la Cordillera	2017	81.5%	94.8%	62.7%
Paraguay	Itacurubí del Rosario	2000	88.1%	93.0%	80.8%
Paraguay	Itacurubí del Rosario	2017	78.9%	88.3%	65.3%
Paraguay	Itakyry	2000	91.3%	95.1%	86.4%
Paraguay	Itakyry	2017	84.8%	91.2%	77.1%
Paraguay	Itanara	2000	87.7%	94.0%	78.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Itanara	2017	78.6%	88.4%	66.1%
Paraguay	Itapé	2000	93.3%	96.9%	88.0%
Paraguay	Itapé	2017	93.3%	97.2%	86.3%
Paraguay	Itauguá	2000	96.2%	98.6%	91.6%
Paraguay	Itauguá	2017	92.1%	98.2%	84.1%
Paraguay	Iturbe	2000	90.4%	96.1%	78.3%
Paraguay	Iturbe	2017	82.2%	95.2%	60.4%
Paraguay	Jesús	2000	93.7%	96.8%	88.4%
Paraguay	Jesús	2017	89.9%	97.0%	80.5%
Paraguay	Jose A. Fassardi	2000	89.5%	94.6%	78.5%
Paraguay	Jose A. Fassardi	2017	80.3%	89.8%	65.2%
Paraguay	José Domingo Ocampos	2000	86.6%	95.8%	70.8%
Paraguay	José Domingo Ocampos	2017	72.0%	91.4%	44.5%
Paraguay	José Leandro Oviedo	2000	88.8%	94.7%	80.0%
Paraguay	José Leandro Oviedo	2017	93.7%	98.5%	82.5%
Paraguay	Juan Augusto Saldívar	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Paraguay	Juan Augusto Saldívar	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
Paraguay	Juan de Mena	2000	89.9%	94.5%	83.0%
Paraguay	Juan de Mena	2017	82.9%	91.6%	73.0%
Paraguay	Juan Emilio O'Leary	2000	88.8%	94.7%	77.0%
Paraguay	Juan Emilio O'Leary	2017	73.4%	88.7%	52.9%
Paraguay	La Colmena	2000	95.2%	97.9%	90.7%
Paraguay	La Colmena	2017	87.9%	94.4%	76.3%
Paraguay	La Pastora	2000	84.1%	93.9%	68.1%
Paraguay	La Pastora	2017	86.2%	97.4%	62.8%
Paraguay	La Paz	2000	88.4%	93.0%	80.7%
Paraguay	La Paz	2017	79.8%	90.4%	66.1%
Paraguay	La Victoria	2000	82.7%	85.7%	79.4%
Paraguay	La Victoria	2017	76.1%	79.9%	72.5%
Paraguay	Lambaré	2000	90.8%	95.6%	81.6%
Paraguay	Lambaré	2017	83.3%	93.1%	66.9%
Paraguay	Laureles	2000	92.1%	95.5%	86.5%
Paraguay	Laureles	2017	85.9%	92.6%	75.8%
Paraguay	Lima	2000	84.5%	90.4%	76.8%
Paraguay	Lima	2017	73.8%	83.8%	59.4%
Paraguay	Limpio	2000	95.5%	98.5%	90.1%
Paraguay	Limpio	2017	92.5%	97.8%	82.9%
Paraguay	Loma Grande	2000	94.6%	95.5%	93.3%
Paraguay	Loma Grande	2017	86.4%	89.3%	82.9%
Paraguay	Loreto	2000	84.9%	90.4%	78.5%
Paraguay	Loreto	2017	71.0%	81.5%	61.0%
Paraguay	Los Cedrales	2000	91.0%	96.1%	82.4%
Paraguay	Los Cedrales	2017	78.9%	89.0%	63.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Luque	2000	90.5%	93.6%	86.9%
Paraguay	Luque	2017	87.8%	90.9%	84.6%
Paraguay	Maciel	2000	86.5%	94.3%	74.7%
Paraguay	Maciel	2017	76.2%	92.1%	57.3%
Paraguay	Mariano	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.4%
Paraguay	Roque Alonso	2017	95.0%	96.6%	92.7%
Paraguay	Mariscal Francisco Solano López	2000	87.9%	92.3%	81.9%
Paraguay	Mariscal Francisco Solano López	2017	75.4%	85.1%	64.8%
Paraguay	Mariscal José Félix Estigarribia	2000	86.9%	91.5%	80.9%
Paraguay	Mariscal José Félix Estigarribia	2017	86.3%	93.3%	76.7%
Paraguay	Mayor José J. Martínez	2000	92.1%	97.0%	81.1%
Paraguay	Mayor José J. Martínez	2017	87.3%	96.7%	69.6%
Paraguay	Mayor Julio D. Otaño	2000	90.7%	95.9%	79.2%
Paraguay	Mayor Julio D. Otaño	2017	81.0%	93.5%	60.7%
Paraguay	Mayor Pablo Lagerenza	2000	78.1%	81.2%	74.1%
Paraguay	Mayor Pablo Lagerenza	2017	84.9%	88.3%	81.4%
Paraguay	Mbaracayú	2000	89.7%	94.0%	83.0%
Paraguay	Mbaracayú	2017	84.9%	91.0%	75.7%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Guairá	2000	90.8%	97.2%	78.5%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Guairá	2017	93.5%	98.5%	80.9%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Yhaguy	2000	91.3%	96.7%	81.7%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Yhaguy	2017	84.5%	95.7%	69.3%
Paraguay	Mbuyapey	2000	89.1%	94.4%	82.1%
Paraguay	Mbuyapey	2017	79.5%	91.3%	66.5%
Paraguay	Minga Guazú	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Paraguay	Minga Guazú	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.3%
Paraguay	Minga Porá	2000	91.3%	95.0%	85.3%
Paraguay	Minga Porá	2017	88.4%	94.7%	78.6%
Paraguay	Ñacunday	2000	90.3%	94.0%	86.2%
Paraguay	Ñacunday	2017	82.2%	89.8%	72.8%
Paraguay	Naranjal	2000	92.0%	95.4%	84.6%
Paraguay	Naranjal	2017	86.2%	94.2%	72.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Natalicio Talavera	2000	90.9%	97.7%	76.3%
Paraguay	Natalicio Talavera	2017	85.2%	97.9%	59.1%
Paraguay	Natalio	2000	90.4%	94.6%	83.9%
Paraguay	Natalio	2017	84.9%	92.5%	74.6%
Paraguay	Ñemby	2000	94.4%	97.4%	88.3%
Paraguay	Ñemby	2017	81.6%	90.6%	67.2%
Paraguay	Nueva Alborada	2000	79.2%	89.6%	65.9%
Paraguay	Nueva Alborada	2017	79.6%	89.4%	65.1%
Paraguay	Nueva Colombia	2000	93.0%	97.6%	84.1%
Paraguay	Nueva Colombia	2017	80.0%	92.2%	62.2%
Paraguay	Nueva Germania	2000	85.3%	89.2%	80.0%
Paraguay	Nueva Germania	2017	72.5%	82.0%	59.0%
Paraguay	Nueva Italia	2000	91.4%	97.3%	77.4%
Paraguay	Nueva Italia	2017	89.4%	98.3%	69.8%
Paraguay	Nueva Londres	2000	83.7%	90.2%	74.4%
Paraguay	Nueva Londres	2017	75.9%	85.0%	64.9%
Paraguay	Ñumí	2000	90.7%	96.7%	78.9%
Paraguay	Ñumí	2017	82.1%	97.1%	57.8%
Paraguay	Obligado	2000	89.9%	94.0%	84.0%
Paraguay	Obligado	2017	83.5%	89.7%	73.8%
Paraguay	Paraguarí	2000	95.9%	97.4%	93.9%
Paraguay	Paraguarí	2017	92.7%	95.5%	88.9%
Paraguay	Paso de Patria	2000	91.1%	97.6%	78.3%
Paraguay	Paso de Patria	2017	86.9%	97.8%	64.3%
Paraguay	Pedro Juan Caballero	2000	87.6%	89.8%	85.0%
Paraguay	Pedro Juan Caballero	2017	82.6%	86.6%	78.6%
Paraguay	Pilar	2000	92.2%	94.3%	89.6%
Paraguay	Pilar	2017	84.5%	91.5%	77.0%
Paraguay	Pirapó	2000	89.7%	95.4%	82.0%
Paraguay	Pirapó	2017	79.5%	91.0%	64.8%
Paraguay	Pirayú	2000	94.8%	97.8%	89.4%
Paraguay	Pirayú	2017	87.0%	94.3%	76.6%
Paraguay	Piribebuy	2000	94.4%	97.6%	88.1%
Paraguay	Piribebuy	2017	88.4%	94.8%	76.4%
Paraguay	Pozo Colorado	2000	88.8%	90.4%	87.0%
Paraguay	Pozo Colorado	2017	79.5%	82.6%	76.3%
Paraguay	Presidente Franco	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Paraguay	Presidente Franco	2017	90.2%	92.1%	87.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Primero de Marzo	2000	92.7%	96.1%	87.5%
Paraguay	Primero de Marzo	2017	85.2%	96.0%	72.7%
Paraguay	Puerto Pinasco	2000	85.3%	87.9%	82.2%
Paraguay	Puerto Pinasco	2017	74.7%	79.2%	69.7%
Paraguay	Quiindy	2000	94.5%	96.7%	91.2%
Paraguay	Quiindy	2017	89.4%	93.4%	84.7%
Paraguay	Quyquyhó	2000	90.3%	93.2%	85.9%
Paraguay	Quyquyhó	2017	74.9%	81.1%	67.1%
Paraguay	R. I. 3 Corrales	2000	88.0%	93.6%	79.4%
Paraguay	R. I. 3 Corrales	2017	78.5%	89.9%	65.5%
Paraguay	Raúl Arsenio Oviedo	2000	88.0%	91.7%	82.6%
Paraguay	Raúl Arsenio Oviedo	2017	78.2%	85.6%	68.8%
Paraguay	Repatriación	2000	89.6%	92.8%	84.9%
Paraguay	Repatriación	2017	78.8%	86.8%	69.3%
Paraguay	Salto del Guairá	2000	89.3%	92.9%	83.3%
Paraguay	Salto del Guairá	2017	87.7%	93.9%	75.8%
Paraguay	San Alberto	2000	91.8%	95.4%	85.7%
Paraguay	San Alberto	2017	91.6%	94.8%	86.2%
Paraguay	San Antonio	2000	90.6%	97.0%	73.7%
Paraguay	San Antonio	2017	72.6%	89.7%	41.9%
Paraguay	San Bernardino	2000	89.4%	96.0%	76.0%
Paraguay	San Bernardino	2017	85.0%	95.9%	67.7%
Paraguay	San Carlos	2000	83.2%	89.8%	75.5%
Paraguay	San Carlos	2017	70.2%	80.9%	59.1%
Paraguay	San Cosme y Damián	2000	89.8%	93.6%	84.6%
Paraguay	San Cosme y Damián	2017	83.2%	92.1%	71.4%
Paraguay	San Cristóbal	2000	89.1%	93.7%	82.6%
Paraguay	San Cristóbal	2017	79.3%	89.6%	64.5%
Paraguay	San Estanislao	2000	85.9%	87.7%	83.8%
Paraguay	San Estanislao	2017	72.0%	75.9%	68.5%
Paraguay	San Ignacio	2000	91.9%	93.8%	89.4%
Paraguay	San Ignacio	2017	86.8%	89.4%	83.6%
Paraguay	San Joaquín	2000	91.4%	94.4%	87.7%
Paraguay	San Joaquín	2017	80.1%	87.5%	72.7%
Paraguay	San José de los Arroyos	2000	91.1%	94.8%	86.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	San José de los Arroyos	2017	80.9%	89.1%	72.0%
Paraguay	San José Obrero	2000	92.4%	96.7%	82.2%
Paraguay	San José Obrero	2017	85.7%	94.9%	73.1%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista de las Misiones	2000	90.8%	94.0%	85.4%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista de las Misiones	2017	79.8%	89.3%	65.9%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista del Ñeembucu	2000	90.2%	94.1%	85.4%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista del Ñeembucu	2017	83.8%	91.5%	74.2%
Paraguay	San Juan del Paraná	2000	87.9%	96.4%	72.6%
Paraguay	San Juan del Paraná	2017	75.7%	91.2%	50.8%
Paraguay	San Juan Nepomuceno	2000	86.4%	91.1%	80.2%
Paraguay	San Juan Nepomuceno	2017	70.2%	78.1%	61.4%
Paraguay	San Lázaro	2000	83.7%	89.1%	76.0%
Paraguay	San Lázaro	2017	75.0%	83.5%	64.5%
Paraguay	San Lorenzo	2000	97.3%	98.3%	95.4%
Paraguay	San Lorenzo	2017	92.2%	95.2%	86.9%
Paraguay	San Miguel	2000	92.4%	95.7%	88.1%
Paraguay	San Miguel	2017	86.2%	92.4%	78.3%
Paraguay	San Pablo	2000	87.1%	93.4%	78.0%
Paraguay	San Pablo	2017	75.4%	86.6%	60.5%
Paraguay	San Patricio	2000	88.8%	91.2%	86.2%
Paraguay	San Patricio	2017	84.2%	87.6%	80.1%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Paraná	2000	91.5%	93.9%	88.2%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Paraná	2017	84.2%	88.3%	79.5%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Ycuamandyú	2000	88.1%	90.6%	84.7%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Ycuamandyú	2017	75.4%	81.1%	68.4%
Paraguay	San Rafael del Paraná	2000	90.4%	93.8%	85.8%
Paraguay	San Rafael del Paraná	2017	82.4%	89.7%	74.8%
Paraguay	San Roque González de Santa Cruz	2000	89.5%	93.9%	83.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	San Roque González de Santa Cruz	2017	73.5%	82.4%	63.5%
Paraguay	San Salvador	2000	91.6%	97.1%	81.0%
Paraguay	San Salvador	2017	85.7%	96.9%	66.5%
Paraguay	Santa Elena	2000	91.5%	97.8%	75.6%
Paraguay	Santa Elena	2017	84.9%	96.0%	63.3%
Paraguay	Santa María	2000	90.2%	95.0%	79.6%
Paraguay	Santa María	2017	86.7%	93.2%	75.1%
Paraguay	Santa Rita	2000	93.3%	96.2%	88.1%
Paraguay	Santa Rita	2017	89.5%	95.5%	80.5%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa	2000	91.6%	94.1%	89.0%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa	2017	89.0%	92.8%	85.3%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Mbutuy	2000	87.9%	94.8%	78.2%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Mbutuy	2017	81.6%	92.9%	66.3%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Monday	2000	90.8%	94.6%	85.9%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Monday	2017	82.5%	91.9%	69.9%
Paraguay	Santiago	2000	86.2%	91.1%	80.4%
Paraguay	Santiago	2017	77.8%	87.5%	66.8%
Paraguay	Sapucaí	2000	89.9%	95.4%	79.4%
Paraguay	Sapucaí	2017	78.7%	89.6%	64.0%
Paraguay	Simón Bolívar	2000	88.0%	92.5%	80.4%
Paraguay	Simón Bolívar	2017	79.1%	85.1%	69.6%
Paraguay	Tabaí	2000	87.7%	92.1%	81.2%
Paraguay	Tabaí	2017	74.6%	83.5%	65.2%
Paraguay	Tacuaras	2000	92.2%	95.1%	87.6%
Paraguay	Tacuaras	2017	88.0%	93.1%	80.9%
Paraguay	Tacuatí	2000	87.4%	90.4%	83.7%
Paraguay	Tacuatí	2017	75.7%	82.7%	67.6%
Paraguay	Tebicuarymí	2000	92.1%	97.8%	81.9%
Paraguay	Tebicuarymí	2017	90.1%	98.2%	73.8%
Paraguay	Tobatí	2000	91.4%	96.8%	81.1%
Paraguay	Tobatí	2017	80.5%	92.4%	62.8%
Paraguay	Tomás Romero Pereira	2000	88.2%	93.6%	81.2%
Paraguay	Tomás Romero Pereira	2017	79.6%	91.8%	61.6%
Paraguay	Trinidad	2000	93.5%	97.4%	86.0%
Paraguay	Trinidad	2017	90.4%	98.0%	78.7%
Paraguay	Unión	2000	89.3%	93.3%	83.8%
Paraguay	Unión	2017	77.8%	84.7%	67.3%
Paraguay	Valenzuela	2000	91.1%	96.8%	82.0%
Paraguay	Valenzuela	2017	79.9%	94.2%	60.0%
Paraguay	Villa del Rosario	2000	87.9%	92.8%	80.1%
Paraguay	Villa del Rosario	2017	82.5%	90.6%	68.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Villa Elisa	2000	91.7%	97.7%	74.8%
Paraguay	Villa Elisa	2017	76.8%	91.8%	48.0%
Paraguay	Villa Florida	2000	90.1%	97.3%	74.3%
Paraguay	Villa Florida	2017	82.3%	97.4%	50.8%
Paraguay	Villa Franca	2000	92.7%	96.0%	87.4%
Paraguay	Villa Franca	2017	88.3%	94.9%	79.0%
Paraguay	Villa Hayes	2000	88.4%	91.8%	84.1%
Paraguay	Villa Hayes	2017	85.7%	89.2%	81.3%
Paraguay	Villa Oliva	2000	90.9%	94.6%	86.5%
Paraguay	Villa Oliva	2017	87.0%	93.8%	78.4%
Paraguay	Villa San Isidro Curuguaty	2000	88.0%	89.9%	85.1%
Paraguay	Villa San Isidro Curuguaty	2017	76.2%	79.9%	71.9%
Paraguay	Villa Ygatimí	2000	88.6%	91.9%	84.4%
Paraguay	Villa Ygatimí	2017	78.2%	85.0%	70.2%
Paraguay	Villalbín	2000	90.1%	95.0%	82.2%
Paraguay	Villalbín	2017	82.3%	92.9%	66.6%
Paraguay	Villarrica	2000	90.7%	96.7%	80.2%
Paraguay	Villarrica	2017	92.2%	97.2%	79.4%
Paraguay	Villeta	2000	94.1%	97.3%	88.7%
Paraguay	Villeta	2017	93.2%	97.9%	84.9%
Paraguay	Water body	2000	89.8%	97.1%	74.2%
Paraguay	Water body	2017	83.9%	98.0%	60.4%
Paraguay	Yabebyry	2000	89.0%	93.0%	83.3%
Paraguay	Yabebyry	2017	81.9%	89.7%	71.7%
Paraguay	Yaguarón	2000	92.8%	97.0%	83.6%
Paraguay	Yaguarón	2017	84.8%	92.8%	71.6%
Paraguay	Yataity del Guairá	2000	86.6%	96.1%	70.0%
Paraguay	Yataity del Guairá	2017	81.0%	96.1%	54.8%
Paraguay	Yataity del Norte	2000	85.2%	90.1%	78.8%
Paraguay	Yataity del Norte	2017	72.6%	79.9%	65.5%
Paraguay	Yatytay	2000	89.0%	94.0%	80.3%
Paraguay	Yatytay	2017	83.7%	91.9%	72.0%
Paraguay	Yby Yaù	2000	87.7%	91.4%	83.1%
Paraguay	Yby Yaù	2017	79.6%	86.1%	70.6%
Paraguay	Ybycui	2000	90.5%	95.4%	81.5%
Paraguay	Ybycui	2017	76.5%	90.2%	57.8%
Paraguay	Ybytimí	2000	92.4%	95.8%	86.2%
Paraguay	Ybytimí	2017	82.9%	91.3%	70.6%
Paraguay	Yguazú	2000	89.4%	95.3%	78.4%
Paraguay	Yguazú	2017	79.2%	91.0%	63.6%
Paraguay	Yhú	2000	89.0%	91.5%	86.2%
Paraguay	Yhú	2017	81.8%	86.6%	75.9%
Paraguay	Ypacaraí	2000	92.7%	97.2%	83.9%
Paraguay	Ypacaraí	2017	86.7%	96.3%	69.2%
Paraguay	Ypané	2000	89.7%	95.5%	80.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Ypané	2017	77.3%	87.6%	64.2%
Paraguay	Ypejhú	2000	87.9%	92.4%	82.2%
Paraguay	Ypejhú	2017	79.0%	87.0%	70.1%
Paraguay	Yuty	2000	87.9%	92.2%	83.0%
Paraguay	Yuty	2017	77.6%	85.9%	68.5%
Peru	Abancay	2000	62.8%	69.7%	54.9%
Peru	Abancay	2017	90.6%	92.9%	87.5%
Peru	Acobamba	2000	38.3%	42.4%	34.5%
Peru	Acobamba	2017	73.1%	75.4%	70.5%
Peru	Acomayo	2000	40.4%	52.6%	27.6%
Peru	Acomayo	2017	66.7%	76.3%	55.6%
Peru	Aija	2000	53.1%	70.0%	37.8%
Peru	Aija	2017	79.9%	90.8%	64.6%
Peru	Alto Amazonas	2000	52.2%	55.9%	48.6%
Peru	Alto Amazonas	2017	77.5%	79.9%	75.2%
Peru	Ambo	2000	36.1%	42.9%	28.8%
Peru	Ambo	2017	69.5%	75.1%	62.7%
Peru	Andahuaylas	2000	65.7%	68.5%	63.2%
Peru	Andahuaylas	2017	91.0%	92.2%	89.7%
Peru	Angaraes	2000	51.9%	56.7%	46.9%
Peru	Angaraes	2017	80.4%	83.5%	75.9%
Peru	Anta	2000	41.0%	48.5%	34.8%
Peru	Anta	2017	68.2%	77.3%	60.0%
Peru	Antabamba	2000	40.8%	50.3%	32.3%
Peru	Antabamba	2017	67.8%	76.2%	59.4%
Peru	Antonio Raymondi	2000	48.4%	62.6%	33.9%
Peru	Antonio Raymondi	2017	69.8%	82.2%	55.6%
Peru	Arequipa	2000	89.0%	90.1%	87.9%
Peru	Arequipa	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Peru	Ascope	2000	64.6%	75.1%	55.8%
Peru	Ascope	2017	86.9%	92.6%	80.4%
Peru	Asunción	2000	41.7%	56.6%	27.2%
Peru	Asunción	2017	74.0%	85.2%	60.4%
Peru	Atalaya	2000	53.2%	57.2%	49.2%
Peru	Atalaya	2017	79.9%	82.4%	77.4%
Peru	Ayabaca	2000	52.9%	60.2%	44.8%
Peru	Ayabaca	2017	77.5%	83.2%	70.9%
Peru	Aymaraes	2000	47.0%	54.1%	38.1%
Peru	Aymaraes	2017	74.4%	80.2%	67.5%
Peru	Azángaro	2000	56.3%	62.3%	49.8%
Peru	Azángaro	2017	83.2%	86.6%	78.4%
Peru	Bagua	2000	64.0%	67.2%	60.3%
Peru	Bagua	2017	88.5%	90.6%	86.0%
Peru	Barranca	2000	76.1%	81.5%	69.7%
Peru	Barranca	2017	92.6%	94.8%	90.1%
Peru	Bellavista	2000	63.4%	70.6%	55.3%
Peru	Bellavista	2017	87.6%	91.6%	81.9%
Peru	Bolívar	2000	55.0%	68.2%	40.7%
Peru	Bolívar	2017	80.4%	89.1%	70.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Bolognesi	2000	46.5%	56.4%	36.6%
Peru	Bolognesi	2017	72.6%	81.2%	61.8%
Peru	Bongará	2000	56.2%	63.4%	48.1%
Peru	Bongará	2017	84.8%	88.6%	80.2%
Peru	Cajabamba	2000	56.2%	66.6%	46.8%
Peru	Cajabamba	2017	83.1%	89.6%	74.4%
Peru	Cajamarca	2000	65.3%	72.3%	58.4%
Peru	Cajamarca	2017	89.7%	93.5%	83.9%
Peru	Cajatambo	2000	46.8%	62.9%	32.3%
Peru	Cajatambo	2017	71.4%	82.8%	57.3%
Peru	Calca	2000	46.4%	60.9%	35.5%
Peru	Calca	2017	74.8%	83.6%	65.6%
Peru	Callao	2000	91.9%	96.7%	83.4%
Peru	Callao	2017	99.0%	99.6%	96.8%
Peru	Camaná	2000	68.5%	79.8%	57.1%
Peru	Camaná	2017	87.5%	93.0%	79.4%
Peru	Canas	2000	49.2%	58.9%	39.0%
Peru	Canas	2017	78.7%	85.8%	71.4%
Peru	Canchis	2000	54.3%	60.3%	49.5%
Peru	Canchis	2017	83.9%	87.8%	80.1%
Peru	Candarave	2000	59.1%	70.9%	47.2%
Peru	Candarave	2017	80.6%	88.3%	71.8%
Peru	Cañete	2000	65.3%	70.3%	59.6%
Peru	Cañete	2017	89.6%	92.5%	84.3%
Peru	Cangallo	2000	59.1%	67.5%	50.1%
Peru	Cangallo	2017	86.1%	90.1%	81.0%
Peru	Canta	2000	43.7%	54.0%	35.9%
Peru	Canta	2017	77.6%	83.9%	70.9%
Peru	Carabaya	2000	50.2%	56.7%	44.0%
Peru	Carabaya	2017	76.8%	81.8%	70.9%
Peru	Caravelí	2000	60.7%	66.9%	52.7%
Peru	Caravelí	2017	85.0%	89.1%	79.3%
Peru	Carhuaz	2000	47.9%	57.5%	38.3%
Peru	Carhuaz	2017	79.6%	85.2%	72.6%
Peru	Carlos Fermin Fitzcarrald	2000	42.5%	55.1%	29.8%
Peru	Carlos Fermin Fitzcarrald	2017	72.5%	82.0%	59.2%
Peru	Casma	2000	61.9%	68.8%	53.5%
Peru	Casma	2017	86.8%	90.8%	81.5%
Peru	Castilla	2000	61.0%	69.5%	52.8%
Peru	Castilla	2017	87.7%	91.9%	81.5%
Peru	Castrovirreyna	2000	42.7%	49.6%	35.2%
Peru	Castrovirreyna	2017	69.8%	75.7%	62.7%
Peru	Caylloma	2000	56.2%	62.7%	49.6%
Peru	Caylloma	2017	82.7%	87.5%	77.9%
Peru	Celendín	2000	65.4%	73.1%	56.8%
Peru	Celendín	2017	88.8%	92.8%	84.0%
Peru	Chachapoyas	2000	64.3%	71.2%	57.3%
Peru	Chachapoyas	2017	90.0%	93.7%	85.0%
Peru	Chanchamayo	2000	56.3%	62.3%	50.5%
Peru	Chanchamayo	2017	85.0%	88.9%	81.0%
Peru	Chepén	2000	56.2%	68.4%	48.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Chepén	2017	83.2%	92.3%	75.2%
Peru	Chiclayo	2000	75.3%	77.2%	73.1%
Peru	Chiclayo	2017	95.3%	95.9%	94.6%
Peru	Chincha	2000	59.6%	61.8%	57.5%
Peru	Chincha	2017	88.9%	90.4%	87.5%
Peru	Chincheros	2000	66.0%	70.8%	61.2%
Peru	Chincheros	2017	88.4%	91.1%	85.5%
Peru	Chota	2000	66.0%	71.1%	61.1%
Peru	Chota	2017	89.0%	91.3%	85.7%
Peru	Chucuító	2000	47.2%	52.9%	41.0%
Peru	Chucuító	2017	70.9%	76.6%	64.9%
Peru	Chumbivilcas	2000	48.5%	54.6%	42.4%
Peru	Chumbivilcas	2017	76.3%	81.0%	70.6%
Peru	Chupaca	2000	56.3%	59.8%	52.9%
Peru	Chupaca	2017	88.9%	90.9%	86.8%
Peru	Churcampa	2000	26.6%	30.2%	22.8%
Peru	Churcampa	2017	62.6%	65.9%	59.0%
Peru	Concepción	2000	58.3%	67.0%	48.4%
Peru	Concepción	2017	84.8%	89.4%	77.6%
Peru	Condesuyos	2000	57.7%	66.7%	47.3%
Peru	Condesuyos	2017	81.0%	88.0%	71.9%
Peru	Condorcanqui	2000	50.2%	55.3%	45.9%
Peru	Condorcanqui	2017	76.6%	80.3%	73.1%
Peru	Contralmirante Villar	2000	54.6%	65.4%	42.1%
Peru	Contralmirante Villar	2017	79.7%	87.4%	70.2%
Peru	Contumazá	2000	61.9%	73.1%	49.4%
Peru	Contumazá	2017	84.7%	91.5%	75.9%
Peru	Coronel Portillo	2000	66.6%	69.2%	63.6%
Peru	Coronel Portillo	2017	92.6%	93.8%	90.9%
Peru	Corongo	2000	59.2%	72.5%	42.4%
Peru	Corongo	2017	82.5%	91.9%	69.9%
Peru	Cotabambas	2000	44.4%	52.1%	37.0%
Peru	Cotabambas	2017	73.5%	80.7%	63.5%
Peru	Cusco	2000	65.1%	66.7%	63.5%
Peru	Cusco	2017	92.6%	93.2%	91.9%
Peru	Cutervo	2000	63.7%	70.2%	56.6%
Peru	Cutervo	2017	86.3%	89.9%	82.1%
Peru	Daniel Alcides Carrión	2000	32.1%	37.6%	27.2%
Peru	Daniel Alcides Carrión	2017	64.5%	68.4%	60.5%
Peru	Dos de Mayo	2000	47.5%	54.3%	40.2%
Peru	Dos de Mayo	2017	79.9%	84.8%	72.6%
Peru	El Collao	2000	48.3%	53.0%	43.8%
Peru	El Collao	2017	80.6%	83.7%	77.3%
Peru	El Dorado	2000	71.7%	79.3%	62.2%
Peru	El Dorado	2017	90.8%	94.5%	84.7%
Peru	Espinar	2000	53.6%	61.2%	42.4%
Peru	Espinar	2017	74.6%	80.7%	67.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Ferreñafe	2000	63.2%	72.0%	54.6%
Peru	Ferreñafe	2017	85.9%	90.9%	80.2%
Peru	General	2000	59.1%	64.0%	54.0%
Peru	Sánchez Cerro	2017	84.1%	87.1%	80.2%
Peru	Gran Chimú	2000	60.5%	73.9%	45.7%
Peru	Gran Chimú	2017	84.5%	92.5%	72.2%
Peru	Grau	2000	44.0%	52.1%	34.5%
Peru	Grau	2017	72.2%	79.2%	64.8%
Peru	Huacaybamba	2000	56.2%	69.3%	42.1%
Peru	Huacaybamba	2017	80.9%	89.3%	71.1%
Peru	Hualgayoc	2000	58.9%	67.1%	50.3%
Peru	Hualgayoc	2017	85.9%	91.7%	78.4%
Peru	Huallaga	2000	77.7%	83.6%	71.3%
Peru	Huallaga	2017	92.7%	95.3%	89.5%
Peru	Huamalíes	2000	49.5%	54.2%	44.2%
Peru	Huamalíes	2017	78.6%	82.8%	73.6%
Peru	Huamanga	2000	67.8%	69.5%	66.4%
Peru	Huamanga	2017	92.3%	93.3%	91.2%
Peru	Huanca Sancos	2000	56.0%	64.6%	46.3%
Peru	Huanca Sancos	2017	82.6%	88.4%	75.7%
Peru	Huancabamba	2000	52.1%	60.7%	43.1%
Peru	Huancabamba	2017	78.8%	84.3%	72.2%
Peru	Huancane	2000	52.0%	59.8%	45.4%
Peru	Huancane	2017	80.4%	85.4%	75.3%
Peru	Huancavelica	2000	36.0%	40.1%	32.3%
Peru	Huancavelica	2017	69.5%	72.8%	66.5%
Peru	Huancayo	2000	63.0%	65.2%	60.7%
Peru	Huancayo	2017	90.6%	91.5%	89.5%
Peru	Huanta	2000	50.2%	54.9%	44.8%
Peru	Huanta	2017	81.7%	84.5%	78.5%
Peru	Huaral	2000	63.8%	70.3%	57.1%
Peru	Huaral	2017	86.9%	91.1%	81.3%
Peru	Huaraz	2000	57.6%	62.7%	51.3%
Peru	Huaraz	2017	88.9%	91.2%	85.8%
Peru	Huari	2000	42.7%	53.1%	34.1%
Peru	Huari	2017	71.0%	80.6%	60.6%
Peru	Huarmey	2000	55.2%	68.2%	42.1%
Peru	Huarmey	2017	81.6%	89.7%	71.0%
Peru	Huarochoiri	2000	61.1%	68.7%	50.8%
Peru	Huarochoiri	2017	80.5%	86.1%	73.9%
Peru	Huaura	2000	65.8%	69.4%	61.5%
Peru	Huaura	2017	89.1%	91.1%	87.2%
Peru	Huaylas	2000	53.6%	61.6%	47.3%
Peru	Huaylas	2017	82.4%	86.8%	77.5%
Peru	Huaytara	2000	40.9%	48.2%	34.0%
Peru	Huaytara	2017	64.5%	71.4%	57.3%
Peru	Huenuco	2000	60.7%	64.3%	57.1%
Peru	Huenuco	2017	88.6%	90.7%	86.2%
Peru	Ica	2000	72.1%	75.3%	69.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Ica	2017	93.9%	95.1%	92.6%
Peru	Ilo	2000	90.3%	94.0%	86.1%
Peru	Ilo	2017	98.2%	99.2%	95.7%
Peru	Islay	2000	68.9%	78.5%	56.1%
Peru	Islay	2017	88.4%	94.4%	80.9%
Peru	Jaén	2000	67.0%	71.1%	62.6%
Peru	Jaén	2017	87.9%	90.4%	85.1%
Peru	Jauja	2000	48.4%	52.7%	44.1%
Peru	Jauja	2017	78.2%	81.7%	74.2%
Peru	Jorge Basadre	2000	57.1%	72.7%	41.1%
Peru	Jorge Basadre	2017	81.5%	89.1%	70.3%
Peru	Julcan	2000	49.9%	62.0%	37.6%
Peru	Julcan	2017	78.5%	85.3%	68.9%
Peru	Junín	2000	60.1%	67.0%	51.8%
Peru	Junín	2017	83.6%	88.2%	78.1%
Peru	La Convención	2000	60.8%	65.2%	56.2%
Peru	La Convención	2017	84.3%	87.8%	80.2%
Peru	La Mar	2000	57.6%	63.6%	52.3%
Peru	La Mar	2017	83.6%	86.7%	80.2%
Peru	La Unión	2000	60.1%	69.8%	47.1%
Peru	La Unión	2017	83.4%	89.3%	74.2%
Peru	Lago Titicaca	2000	60.4%	66.9%	54.8%
Peru	Lago Titicaca	2017	84.3%	87.5%	80.5%
Peru	Lamas	2000	58.9%	63.1%	54.3%
Peru	Lamas	2017	86.5%	88.7%	83.4%
Peru	Lambayeque	2000	69.6%	74.2%	64.7%
Peru	Lambayeque	2017	90.4%	92.7%	87.1%
Peru	Lampa	2000	51.5%	58.3%	45.0%
Peru	Lampa	2017	77.2%	83.1%	69.7%
Peru	Lauricocha	2000	48.9%	57.6%	41.2%
Peru	Lauricocha	2017	76.9%	83.6%	69.9%
Peru	Leoncio Prado	2000	47.3%	54.1%	40.9%
Peru	Leoncio Prado	2017	77.6%	83.3%	71.1%
Peru	Lima	2000	91.0%	91.7%	90.2%
Peru	Lima	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.4%
Peru	Loreto	2000	52.2%	57.7%	46.8%
Peru	Loreto	2017	78.2%	81.1%	75.0%
Peru	Lucanas	2000	49.2%	55.0%	43.4%
Peru	Lucanas	2017	73.9%	78.4%	69.3%
Peru	Luya	2000	65.1%	69.9%	59.1%
Peru	Luya	2017	89.2%	91.8%	85.6%
Peru	Manu	2000	38.9%	45.0%	32.9%
Peru	Manu	2017	65.2%	70.6%	58.9%
Peru	Marañón	2000	47.3%	54.0%	40.4%
Peru	Marañón	2017	78.0%	82.5%	72.0%
Peru	Mariscal Cáceres	2000	66.9%	74.4%	57.9%
Peru	Mariscal Cáceres	2017	89.5%	92.3%	85.7%
Peru	Mariscal Luzuriaga	2000	42.1%	53.0%	30.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Mariscal Luzuriaga	2017	73.9%	81.4%	66.0%
Peru	Mariscal Nieto	2000	64.0%	68.3%	59.5%
Peru	Mariscal Nieto	2017	89.1%	91.4%	86.6%
Peru	Mariscal Ramón Castilla	2000	43.2%	48.4%	38.6%
Peru	Mariscal Ramón Castilla	2017	71.9%	75.9%	67.4%
Peru	Maynas	2000	51.7%	60.2%	41.4%
Peru	Maynas	2017	76.6%	82.5%	67.4%
Peru	Melgar	2000	54.5%	61.5%	48.0%
Peru	Melgar	2017	81.7%	86.5%	76.5%
Peru	Moho	2000	47.9%	62.1%	32.2%
Peru	Moho	2017	75.8%	84.7%	62.9%
Peru	Morropón	2000	55.3%	61.2%	49.5%
Peru	Morropón	2017	83.1%	86.5%	78.7%
Peru	Moyobamba	2000	78.6%	81.5%	74.9%
Peru	Moyobamba	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.2%
Peru	Nazca	2000	74.9%	81.6%	66.4%
Peru	Nazca	2017	91.3%	94.3%	87.1%
Peru	Ocros	2000	45.4%	58.2%	30.8%
Peru	Ocros	2017	73.1%	84.3%	58.3%
Peru	Otuzco	2000	51.5%	61.1%	41.8%
Peru	Otuzco	2017	78.1%	84.8%	70.1%
Peru	Oxapampa	2000	49.1%	53.5%	44.3%
Peru	Oxapampa	2017	78.5%	81.5%	75.3%
Peru	Oyon	2000	55.9%	69.0%	41.0%
Peru	Oyon	2017	78.6%	87.6%	67.4%
Peru	Pacasmayo	2000	61.8%	68.5%	55.5%
Peru	Pacasmayo	2017	87.6%	91.0%	83.6%
Peru	Pachitea	2000	42.7%	49.2%	35.7%
Peru	Pachitea	2017	73.1%	78.2%	68.3%
Peru	Padre Abad	2000	57.4%	63.9%	51.7%
Peru	Padre Abad	2017	83.2%	87.6%	77.6%
Peru	Paita	2000	64.0%	76.1%	52.1%
Peru	Paita	2017	89.3%	94.0%	83.2%
Peru	Pallasca	2000	60.5%	70.2%	50.8%
Peru	Pallasca	2017	82.2%	90.0%	74.2%
Peru	Palpa	2000	71.7%	79.1%	63.4%
Peru	Palpa	2017	92.1%	95.8%	87.3%
Peru	Parinacochas	2000	50.6%	59.9%	42.5%
Peru	Parinacochas	2017	78.4%	84.9%	72.3%
Peru	Paruro	2000	55.5%	64.4%	46.1%
Peru	Paruro	2017	77.8%	84.6%	69.7%
Peru	Pasco	2000	35.9%	38.8%	33.2%
Peru	Pasco	2017	66.1%	69.2%	63.6%
Peru	Pataz	2000	48.4%	57.9%	38.6%
Peru	Pataz	2017	76.4%	82.5%	69.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Paucar del Sara Sara	2000	54.2%	64.1%	45.0%
Peru	Paucar del Sara Sara	2017	80.4%	87.2%	73.7%
Peru	Paucartambo	2000	45.3%	55.1%	36.7%
Peru	Paucartambo	2017	71.1%	81.3%	60.7%
Peru	Picota	2000	72.2%	78.4%	64.9%
Peru	Picota	2017	92.3%	95.0%	88.5%
Peru	Pisco	2000	58.2%	65.6%	51.8%
Peru	Pisco	2017	84.9%	90.8%	77.6%
Peru	Piura	2000	60.4%	62.5%	58.1%
Peru	Piura	2017	85.8%	87.3%	84.3%
Peru	Pomabamba	2000	49.0%	60.3%	36.7%
Peru	Pomabamba	2017	77.1%	83.7%	68.1%
Peru	Puerto Inca	2000	58.1%	64.3%	51.5%
Peru	Puerto Inca	2017	81.6%	85.5%	76.8%
Peru	Puno	2000	64.0%	68.8%	58.3%
Peru	Puno	2017	87.5%	90.5%	83.2%
Peru	Purús	2000	52.8%	64.6%	39.7%
Peru	Purús	2017	76.7%	82.5%	68.6%
Peru	Quispicanchi	2000	48.0%	55.5%	40.4%
Peru	Quispicanchi	2017	76.4%	82.6%	69.1%
Peru	Recuay	2000	48.5%	66.9%	31.7%
Peru	Recuay	2017	74.2%	85.3%	58.8%
Peru	Requena	2000	49.0%	53.9%	43.7%
Peru	Requena	2017	76.7%	80.1%	73.0%
Peru	Rioja	2000	77.7%	81.2%	73.9%
Peru	Rioja	2017	93.8%	95.3%	92.0%
Peru	Rodríguez de Mendoza	2000	55.7%	61.2%	50.1%
Peru	Rodríguez de Mendoza	2017	84.4%	88.3%	79.3%
Peru	San Antonio de Putina	2000	47.0%	56.9%	36.7%
Peru	San Antonio de Putina	2017	69.7%	77.4%	61.5%
Peru	San Ignacio	2000	59.1%	67.3%	51.9%
Peru	San Ignacio	2017	82.6%	87.3%	77.2%
Peru	San Marcos	2000	63.4%	71.9%	53.2%
Peru	San Marcos	2017	88.2%	92.4%	81.8%
Peru	San Martín	2000	80.2%	82.5%	77.8%
Peru	San Martín	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Peru	San Miguel	2000	62.9%	71.5%	52.6%
Peru	San Miguel	2017	87.5%	92.0%	80.7%
Peru	San Pablo	2000	61.7%	70.1%	52.5%
Peru	San Pablo	2017	89.1%	93.3%	83.3%
Peru	San Román	2000	67.2%	71.9%	61.3%
Peru	San Román	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.6%
Peru	Sánchez Carrión	2000	59.9%	67.1%	51.8%
Peru	Sánchez Carrión	2017	84.6%	90.0%	78.2%
Peru	Sandia	2000	47.7%	53.7%	41.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Sandia	2017	75.4%	80.5%	69.1%
Peru	Santa	2000	75.8%	80.4%	70.3%
Peru	Santa	2017	93.7%	95.5%	91.7%
Peru	Santa Cruz	2000	48.0%	59.8%	35.4%
Peru	Santa Cruz	2017	77.8%	85.7%	68.5%
Peru	Santiago de Chuco	2000	53.4%	62.7%	42.8%
Peru	Santiago de Chuco	2017	76.7%	85.9%	67.2%
Peru	Satipo	2000	64.2%	68.8%	59.8%
Peru	Satipo	2017	86.0%	88.7%	83.0%
Peru	Sechura	2000	47.3%	59.0%	38.2%
Peru	Sechura	2017	77.2%	84.1%	69.0%
Peru	Sihuas	2000	52.1%	68.4%	34.3%
Peru	Sihuas	2017	77.9%	88.9%	61.2%
Peru	Sucre	2000	52.0%	62.9%	41.9%
Peru	Sucre	2017	75.6%	83.8%	65.3%
Peru	Sullana	2000	67.2%	71.1%	63.3%
Peru	Sullana	2017	92.0%	93.7%	90.1%
Peru	Tacna	2000	76.7%	79.2%	74.0%
Peru	Tacna	2017	92.5%	94.4%	90.7%
Peru	Tahuamani	2000	60.2%	66.8%	54.0%
Peru	Tahuamani	2017	86.4%	90.2%	82.2%
Peru	Talara	2000	69.7%	75.9%	62.2%
Peru	Talara	2017	88.3%	91.8%	82.8%
Peru	Tambopata	2000	69.4%	71.7%	66.7%
Peru	Tambopata	2017	91.2%	92.2%	90.1%
Peru	Tarata	2000	48.1%	60.0%	36.5%
Peru	Tarata	2017	76.2%	84.3%	66.2%
Peru	Tarma	2000	56.4%	65.0%	47.4%
Peru	Tarma	2017	81.1%	87.0%	73.4%
Peru	Tayacaja	2000	47.2%	51.9%	42.4%
Peru	Tayacaja	2017	77.1%	80.7%	72.9%
Peru	Tocache	2000	58.9%	65.3%	51.5%
Peru	Tocache	2017	84.5%	87.7%	80.0%
Peru	Trujillo	2000	87.1%	88.6%	85.6%
Peru	Trujillo	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.9%
Peru	Tumbes	2000	62.4%	64.7%	60.2%
Peru	Tumbes	2017	92.1%	92.8%	91.4%
Peru	Ucayali	2000	50.6%	54.4%	46.8%
Peru	Ucayali	2017	77.6%	80.3%	74.7%
Peru	Urubamba	2000	42.8%	51.7%	35.3%
Peru	Urubamba	2017	77.7%	84.0%	69.3%
Peru	Utcubamba	2000	64.2%	69.1%	59.6%
Peru	Utcubamba	2017	90.3%	92.3%	87.8%
Peru	Victor Fajardo	2000	58.2%	67.5%	48.1%
Peru	Victor Fajardo	2017	84.9%	89.8%	78.8%
Peru	Vilcas Huamán	2000	54.3%	61.9%	45.5%
Peru	Vilcas Huamán	2017	81.0%	87.0%	73.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Viru	2000	67.3%	77.4%	55.4%
Peru	Viru	2017	88.7%	94.4%	76.1%
Peru	Yarowilca	2000	41.6%	47.7%	35.6%
Peru	Yarowilca	2017	76.8%	81.0%	72.4%
Peru	Yauli	2000	57.9%	67.1%	47.0%
Peru	Yauli	2017	81.7%	87.4%	75.1%
Peru	Yauyos	2000	46.0%	54.4%	37.6%
Peru	Yauyos	2017	72.2%	79.1%	64.1%
Peru	Yungay	2000	48.8%	57.4%	42.4%
Peru	Yungay	2017	79.1%	85.6%	73.2%
Peru	Yunguyo	2000	48.8%	54.8%	42.7%
Peru	Yunguyo	2017	83.7%	87.3%	79.5%
Peru	Zarumilla	2000	53.6%	61.4%	46.6%
Peru	Zarumilla	2017	87.4%	90.4%	83.6%
North Africa and Middle East					
Afghanistan	Ab Band	2000	16.9%	36.4%	5.1%
Afghanistan	Ab Band	2017	85.5%	96.8%	68.8%
Afghanistan	Ab Kamari	2000	16.6%	34.5%	5.5%
Afghanistan	Ab Kamari	2017	81.4%	95.0%	60.5%
Afghanistan	Achin	2000	10.9%	36.3%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Achin	2017	82.2%	98.5%	48.5%
Afghanistan	Adraskan	2000	11.1%	17.2%	6.0%
Afghanistan	Adraskan	2017	75.9%	85.7%	65.7%
Afghanistan	Ajristan	2000	16.6%	32.8%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Ajristan	2017	84.1%	96.0%	68.7%
Afghanistan	Alasay	2000	17.9%	55.4%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Alasay	2017	87.7%	99.6%	53.5%
Afghanistan	Ali abad	2000	10.7%	27.5%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Ali abad	2017	82.7%	96.1%	63.9%
Afghanistan	Alingar	2000	16.2%	43.8%	4.2%
Afghanistan	Alingar	2017	88.0%	98.2%	67.7%
Afghanistan	Alishing	2000	11.0%	39.5%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Alishing	2017	83.8%	97.4%	50.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Almar	2000	13.7%	39.0%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Almar	2017	83.4%	96.2%	57.7%
Afghanistan	Anar Dara	2000	13.4%	21.3%	7.9%
Afghanistan	Anar Dara	2017	77.2%	86.8%	67.5%
Afghanistan	Andar	2000	16.7%	35.2%	5.1%
Afghanistan	Andar	2017	86.8%	96.3%	69.1%
Afghanistan	Andarab	2000	7.7%	17.7%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Andarab	2017	75.7%	88.6%	58.2%
Afghanistan	Andkhoy	2000	8.6%	21.1%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Andkhoy	2017	90.1%	97.6%	76.2%
Afghanistan	Aqcha	2000	17.4%	30.9%	9.1%
Afghanistan	Aqcha	2017	88.4%	96.0%	73.6%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2000	14.0%	26.4%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2000	5.7%	16.9%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2017	83.1%	93.8%	68.8%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2017	76.9%	90.5%	54.3%
Afghanistan	Arghistan	2000	11.1%	22.7%	4.2%
Afghanistan	Arghistan	2017	76.6%	88.7%	60.1%
Afghanistan	Asad abad	2000	5.3%	10.0%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Asad abad	2017	72.9%	84.5%	58.2%
Afghanistan	Atghar	2000	8.8%	25.6%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Atghar	2017	75.1%	94.6%	43.8%
Afghanistan	Aybak	2000	14.9%	29.8%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Aybak	2017	88.7%	96.4%	75.5%
Afghanistan	Azro	2000	14.4%	36.9%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Azro	2017	86.0%	98.1%	62.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Baghlan City	2000	17.0%	37.3%	4.6%
Afghanistan	Baghlan City	2017	87.3%	97.4%	67.4%
Afghanistan	Baghlani Ja-did	2000	16.9%	39.8%	4.0%
Afghanistan	Baghlani Ja-did	2017	81.6%	94.8%	54.0%
Afghanistan	Bagram	2000	40.1%	56.9%	25.4%
Afghanistan	Bagram	2017	98.5%	99.6%	95.7%
Afghanistan	Bagrami	2000	47.6%	60.5%	38.3%
Afghanistan	Bagrami	2017	97.5%	99.5%	91.8%
Afghanistan	Baharak	2000	13.5%	26.5%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Baharak	2017	83.9%	95.0%	69.3%
Afghanistan	Bak	2000	13.2%	49.5%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Bak	2017	82.0%	98.9%	48.6%
Afghanistan	Bakwa	2000	7.1%	16.0%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Bakwa	2017	64.9%	76.8%	49.5%
Afghanistan	Bala Buluk	2000	13.2%	29.3%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Bala Buluk	2017	82.7%	93.3%	61.5%
Afghanistan	Balkh	2000	20.7%	44.9%	10.5%
Afghanistan	Balkh	2017	54.0%	75.1%	37.5%
Afghanistan	Balkhab	2000	10.8%	19.2%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Balkhab	2017	82.8%	92.9%	68.1%
Afghanistan	Bamyan City	2000	10.4%	24.9%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Bamyan City	2017	84.7%	94.2%	68.0%
Afghanistan	Bangi	2000	20.9%	42.6%	8.3%
Afghanistan	Bangi	2017	88.2%	98.3%	68.9%
Afghanistan	Bar Kunar	2000	10.2%	22.4%	3.8%
Afghanistan	Bar Kunar	2017	77.6%	92.4%	59.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Baraki Barak	2000	8.3%	15.2%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Baraki Barak	2017	79.0%	83.9%	70.3%
Afghanistan	Bargi Matal	2000	11.7%	22.8%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Bargi Matal	2017	82.3%	94.4%	66.3%
Afghanistan	Bati Kot	2000	13.3%	52.3%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Bati Kot	2017	82.6%	99.6%	37.4%
Afghanistan	Bilchiragh	2000	11.9%	25.0%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Bilchiragh	2017	82.1%	93.0%	67.0%
Afghanistan	Bughran	2000	10.3%	19.9%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Bughran	2017	73.2%	85.6%	58.5%
Afghanistan	Burka	2000	11.1%	37.3%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Burka	2017	81.1%	96.5%	56.3%
Afghanistan	Burmul	2000	13.2%	23.5%	5.1%
Afghanistan	Burmul	2017	79.1%	90.8%	61.6%
Afghanistan	Chaghcharan	2000	10.8%	16.9%	6.3%
Afghanistan	Chaghcharan	2017	73.2%	82.0%	63.9%
Afghanistan	Chah Ab	2000	12.8%	28.1%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Chah Ab	2017	89.8%	98.1%	73.7%
Afghanistan	Chahar Asyab	2000	72.5%	85.6%	61.8%
Afghanistan	Chahar Asyab	2017	96.7%	99.9%	89.4%
Afghanistan	Chaharikar	2000	37.6%	53.2%	24.4%
Afghanistan	Chaharikar	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Afghanistan	Chak	2000	14.4%	33.6%	5.1%
Afghanistan	Chak	2017	83.2%	95.9%	63.8%
Afghanistan	Chakhansur	2000	14.1%	23.2%	7.2%
Afghanistan	Chakhansur	2017	77.9%	85.7%	66.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Chal	2000	14.1%	38.7%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Chal	2017	83.8%	98.2%	53.8%
Afghanistan	Chamkani	2000	12.0%	24.1%	6.3%
Afghanistan	Chamkani	2017	94.2%	98.4%	78.3%
Afghanistan	Chapa Dara	2000	16.0%	47.2%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Chapa Dara	2017	84.5%	98.5%	52.0%
Afghanistan	Chaparhar	2000	23.2%	46.8%	9.5%
Afghanistan	Chaparhar	2017	87.7%	96.4%	71.2%
Afghanistan	Char Bolak	2000	7.6%	21.0%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Char Bolak	2017	64.8%	79.6%	44.2%
Afghanistan	Char Burjak	2000	12.4%	17.5%	8.2%
Afghanistan	Char Burjak	2017	73.3%	80.6%	63.2%
Afghanistan	Char Dara	2000	14.1%	36.9%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Char Dara	2017	82.0%	95.9%	58.0%
Afghanistan	Charkh	2000	12.2%	25.1%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Charkh	2017	79.5%	86.1%	68.1%
Afghanistan	Charkint	2000	27.8%	42.2%	17.1%
Afghanistan	Charkint	2017	87.0%	96.5%	70.2%
Afghanistan	Chawkay	2000	5.0%	18.3%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Chawkay	2017	41.0%	57.8%	27.7%
Afghanistan	Chimtal	2000	11.8%	26.2%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Chimtal	2017	69.7%	85.1%	47.5%
Afghanistan	Chishti Sharif	2000	10.9%	27.4%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Chishti Sharif	2017	77.9%	93.1%	58.8%
Afghanistan	Chora	2000	12.4%	21.5%	5.9%
Afghanistan	Chora	2017	79.0%	92.1%	64.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Dahana-I-Ghori	2000	12.7%	27.9%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Dahana-I-Ghori	2017	81.0%	95.1%	55.8%
Afghanistan	Daman	2000	6.6%	19.0%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Daman	2017	75.9%	93.3%	43.2%
Afghanistan	Dand Wa Patan	2000	9.0%	25.5%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Dand Wa Patan	2017	92.2%	98.8%	73.5%
Afghanistan	Dangam	2000	6.9%	21.3%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Dangam	2017	62.2%	89.3%	32.1%
Afghanistan	Dara-I-Nur	2000	5.3%	24.7%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Dara-I-Nur	2017	73.5%	93.5%	41.7%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Pech	2000	9.5%	28.1%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Pech	2017	80.3%	96.5%	53.9%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Suf	2000	12.9%	22.4%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Suf	2017	82.3%	91.9%	67.6%
Afghanistan	Darqad	2000	10.4%	33.8%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Darqad	2017	83.6%	98.8%	51.2%
Afghanistan	Darwaz	2000	12.4%	24.4%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Darwaz	2017	76.1%	88.3%	59.8%
Afghanistan	Darzab	2000	11.5%	32.7%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Darzab	2017	84.3%	96.7%	59.2%
Afghanistan	Dashti Archi	2000	26.0%	49.4%	13.3%
Afghanistan	Dashti Archi	2017	88.9%	98.5%	70.0%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2000	14.0%	25.3%	5.8%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2000	15.7%	36.1%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2017	84.8%	95.9%	67.3%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2017	86.7%	94.6%	73.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Dawlat Shah	2000	11.9%	28.8%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Dawlat Shah	2017	85.2%	96.6%	63.2%
Afghanistan	Day Kundi	2000	11.0%	18.1%	5.9%
Afghanistan	Day Kundi	2017	79.9%	88.0%	71.3%
Afghanistan	Daychopan	2000	13.0%	25.3%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Daychopan	2017	81.3%	92.6%	67.9%
Afghanistan	Daymirdad	2000	12.6%	27.9%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Daymirdad	2017	85.8%	96.8%	67.2%
Afghanistan	Dih Bala	2000	12.3%	39.5%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Dih Bala	2017	83.1%	98.4%	50.9%
Afghanistan	Dih Sabz	2000	12.1%	38.8%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Dih Sabz	2017	86.1%	97.7%	64.4%
Afghanistan	Dihdadi	2000	51.0%	68.1%	39.3%
Afghanistan	Dihdadi	2017	86.9%	96.1%	74.2%
Afghanistan	Dihrawud	2000	7.0%	17.7%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Dihrawud	2017	78.4%	91.5%	56.3%
Afghanistan	Dihyak	2000	12.4%	31.4%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Dihyak	2017	82.6%	96.2%	56.5%
Afghanistan	Dila	2000	19.5%	29.8%	10.7%
Afghanistan	Dila	2017	60.7%	77.0%	41.8%
Afghanistan	Disho	2000	10.5%	17.1%	5.4%
Afghanistan	Disho	2017	67.8%	77.9%	57.6%
Afghanistan	Doshi	2000	11.7%	23.5%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Doshi	2017	82.8%	95.3%	62.4%
Afghanistan	Dur Baba	2000	10.8%	39.1%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Dur Baba	2017	81.4%	98.7%	37.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Farah City	2000	8.4%	20.9%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Farah City	2017	84.3%	93.4%	66.7%
Afghanistan	Farkhar	2000	16.5%	33.3%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Farkhar	2017	85.5%	97.1%	62.4%
Afghanistan	Farsi	2000	8.8%	19.1%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Farsi	2017	73.5%	86.8%	55.8%
Afghanistan	Fayz abad	2000	23.3%	38.2%	9.6%
Afghanistan	Fayz abad	2017	83.1%	92.7%	70.3%
Afghanistan	Fayzabad	2000	17.2%	30.6%	7.6%
Afghanistan	Fayzabad	2017	83.5%	92.7%	68.3%
Afghanistan	Gardez	2000	13.9%	29.7%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Gardez	2017	89.6%	98.1%	70.3%
Afghanistan	Garmser	2000	12.0%	21.4%	5.9%
Afghanistan	Garmser	2017	78.6%	85.7%	67.8%
Afghanistan	Gayan	2000	4.3%	15.1%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Gayan	2017	67.2%	86.1%	40.4%
Afghanistan	Gelan	2000	10.2%	26.0%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Gelan	2017	78.2%	95.4%	54.3%
Afghanistan	Ghazni	2000	18.5%	36.0%	10.1%
Afghanistan	Ghazni	2017	93.8%	98.4%	78.4%
Afghanistan	Ghorak	2000	9.5%	22.7%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Ghorak	2017	71.4%	89.5%	48.5%
Afghanistan	Ghorband	2000	10.4%	30.4%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Ghorband	2017	75.3%	94.6%	48.0%
Afghanistan	Ghormach	2000	10.2%	25.9%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Ghormach	2017	84.0%	95.4%	61.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Ghoryan	2000	8.5%	15.5%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Ghoryan	2017	79.7%	89.0%	65.3%
Afghanistan	Giro	2000	10.1%	25.9%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Giro	2017	83.0%	96.3%	60.7%
Afghanistan	Gizab	2000	9.3%	17.0%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Gizab	2017	77.6%	87.8%	64.6%
Afghanistan	Gomal	2000	8.9%	17.2%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Gomal	2017	75.1%	85.6%	61.8%
Afghanistan	Goshta	2000	9.5%	35.0%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Goshta	2017	77.0%	97.4%	35.8%
Afghanistan	Gul dara	2000	24.2%	70.8%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Gul dara	2017	90.6%	99.6%	69.4%
Afghanistan	Gulistan	2000	10.8%	18.3%	5.6%
Afghanistan	Gulistan	2017	77.9%	87.1%	66.2%
Afghanistan	Gulran	2000	8.9%	16.2%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Gulran	2017	72.7%	83.3%	62.8%
Afghanistan	Gurbuz	2000	12.9%	38.3%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Gurbuz	2017	89.6%	98.9%	61.0%
Afghanistan	Guzara	2000	11.4%	30.9%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Guzara	2017	86.2%	96.5%	62.5%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Imam	2000	39.5%	59.6%	19.9%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Imam	2017	94.0%	98.8%	84.9%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Sultan	2000	10.4%	27.2%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Sultan	2017	83.1%	95.6%	64.3%
Afghanistan	Hirat City	2000	14.7%	19.5%	11.6%
Afghanistan	Hirat City	2017	94.5%	96.1%	91.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Hisa-i-Awali Bihsud	2000	12.9%	31.5%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Hisa-i-Awali Bihsud	2017	81.7%	96.0%	59.9%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Awali Panjsher	2000	28.7%	51.8%	12.1%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Awali Panjsher	2017	94.8%	98.9%	84.9%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Duwum Panjsher	2000	19.5%	50.6%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Duwum Panjsher	2017	89.0%	99.1%	66.9%
Afghanistan	Hisarak	2000	14.0%	46.1%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Hisarak	2017	82.4%	98.1%	51.6%
Afghanistan	Injil	2000	10.5%	17.9%	6.6%
Afghanistan	Injil	2017	74.2%	86.1%	60.0%
Afghanistan	Ishkamish	2000	19.0%	50.3%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Ishkamish	2017	86.5%	98.4%	61.7%
Afghanistan	Ishkashim	2000	15.9%	32.7%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Ishkashim	2017	79.8%	94.9%	59.6%
Afghanistan	Istalif	2000	19.9%	66.0%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Istalif	2017	89.4%	99.7%	58.8%
Afghanistan	Jabalussaraj	2000	39.2%	63.2%	20.0%
Afghanistan	Jabalussaraj	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%
Afghanistan	Jadran	2000	7.6%	20.9%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Jadran	2017	81.1%	96.4%	54.2%
Afghanistan	Jaghatu	2000	14.6%	29.0%	5.8%
Afghanistan	Jaghatu	2017	87.8%	95.0%	77.1%
Afghanistan	Jaghuri	2000	13.7%	27.9%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Jaghuri	2017	88.1%	96.1%	74.2%
Afghanistan	Jaji	2000	14.2%	32.0%	4.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Jaji	2017	92.0%	99.2%	74.1%
Afghanistan	Jaji Maydan	2000	5.2%	17.7%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Jaji Maydan	2017	74.3%	92.9%	42.0%
Afghanistan	Jalal abad	2000	34.7%	38.1%	31.7%
Afghanistan	Jalal abad	2017	94.2%	95.6%	92.0%
Afghanistan	Jalrez	2000	10.3%	25.7%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Jalrez	2017	67.2%	79.5%	49.0%
Afghanistan	Jani Khel	2000	23.4%	51.3%	5.9%
Afghanistan	Jani Khel	2017	92.8%	99.5%	77.5%
Afghanistan	Jawand	2000	10.9%	16.6%	6.7%
Afghanistan	Jawand	2017	78.0%	85.5%	69.6%
Afghanistan	Jurm	2000	14.0%	26.3%	6.5%
Afghanistan	Jurm	2017	84.2%	93.5%	68.9%
Afghanistan	Kabul City	2000	29.3%	41.6%	20.3%
Afghanistan	Kabul City	2017	93.6%	98.0%	83.5%
Afghanistan	Kahmard	2000	11.5%	21.5%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Kahmard	2017	79.3%	91.0%	64.4%
Afghanistan	Kajaki	2000	9.5%	28.3%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Kajaki	2017	76.4%	92.8%	47.5%
Afghanistan	Kalafgan	2000	13.2%	29.1%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Kalafgan	2017	89.2%	97.8%	70.4%
Afghanistan	Kalakan	2000	8.8%	28.4%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Kalakan	2017	94.0%	98.9%	76.3%
Afghanistan	Kaldar	2000	5.0%	18.4%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Kaldar	2017	70.6%	92.1%	35.1%
Afghanistan	Kama	2000	7.4%	18.3%	3.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Kama	2017	86.5%	93.0%	71.4%
Afghanistan	Kamdes	2000	10.9%	26.1%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Kamdes	2017	81.1%	94.5%	61.2%
Afghanistan	Kandahar City	2000	3.5%	8.2%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Kandahar City	2017	72.0%	85.6%	53.0%
Afghanistan	Kang	2000	12.0%	34.4%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Kang	2017	82.5%	96.0%	54.7%
Afghanistan	Karukh	2000	6.4%	16.1%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Karukh	2017	75.2%	89.0%	56.1%
Afghanistan	Khaki Jabar	2000	15.0%	41.2%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Khaki Jabar	2017	84.0%	97.7%	59.3%
Afghanistan	Khaki Safed	2000	9.7%	21.0%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Khaki Safed	2017	82.0%	94.7%	61.2%
Afghanistan	Khakrez	2000	10.2%	24.2%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Khakrez	2017	76.0%	93.2%	54.9%
Afghanistan	Khamyab	2000	10.4%	26.9%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Khamyab	2017	78.9%	94.6%	52.2%
Afghanistan	Khan Abad	2000	19.8%	43.3%	7.1%
Afghanistan	Khan Abad	2017	65.7%	87.7%	42.3%
Afghanistan	Khan Char Bagh	2000	15.7%	33.6%	5.1%
Afghanistan	Khan Char Bagh	2017	89.4%	97.7%	76.0%
Afghanistan	Khas Kunar	2000	5.6%	17.8%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Khas Kunar	2017	59.1%	85.1%	32.0%
Afghanistan	Khas Uruzgan	2000	13.4%	26.5%	5.6%
Afghanistan	Khas Uruzgan	2017	82.2%	91.9%	68.1%
Afghanistan	Khash Rod	2000	13.4%	26.5%	5.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Khash Rod	2017	80.4%	89.3%	68.0%
Afghanistan	Khinjan	2000	18.6%	41.7%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Khinjan	2017	82.8%	95.8%	51.6%
Afghanistan	Khogyani	2000	12.8%	38.4%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Khogyani	2017	83.1%	97.6%	46.6%
Afghanistan	Khost (Matun)	2000	12.4%	27.0%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Khost (Matun)	2017	87.8%	97.5%	69.6%
Afghanistan	Khost Wa Firing	2000	7.0%	15.0%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Khost Wa Firing	2017	75.2%	87.4%	60.8%
Afghanistan	Khulm	2000	10.8%	22.1%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Khulm	2017	80.5%	91.8%	62.7%
Afghanistan	Khuram Wa Sarbagh	2000	12.5%	26.2%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Khuram Wa Sarbagh	2017	82.5%	94.0%	66.9%
Afghanistan	Khushi	2000	10.8%	27.4%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Khushi	2017	89.0%	98.4%	67.2%
Afghanistan	Khwahan	2000	13.3%	25.9%	4.5%
Afghanistan	Khwahan	2017	79.5%	93.0%	64.4%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Du Koh	2000	12.3%	26.3%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Du Koh	2017	82.4%	93.0%	65.9%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Ghar	2000	12.5%	30.5%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Ghar	2017	88.0%	96.5%	73.6%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Sabz Posh	2000	21.2%	35.0%	12.4%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Sabz Posh	2017	94.6%	98.5%	86.8%
Afghanistan	Kijran	2000	9.9%	23.5%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Kijran	2017	75.1%	89.5%	59.1%
Afghanistan	Kishim	2000	15.4%	25.4%	7.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Kishim	2017	87.9%	95.1%	76.7%
Afghanistan	Kishindih	2000	10.5%	20.5%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Kishindih	2017	78.5%	89.8%	62.3%
Afghanistan	Kohband	2000	22.8%	32.4%	16.4%
Afghanistan	Kohband	2017	96.9%	99.3%	90.6%
Afghanistan	Kohi Safi	2000	18.7%	39.6%	6.3%
Afghanistan	Kohi Safi	2017	90.4%	98.5%	77.1%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2000	61.8%	71.5%	51.2%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2000	11.0%	25.6%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2017	75.5%	89.4%	57.3%
Afghanistan	Kohistanat	2000	13.3%	21.6%	7.3%
Afghanistan	Kohistanat	2017	79.4%	88.8%	66.2%
Afghanistan	Kuhsan	2000	9.2%	20.7%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Kuhsan	2017	81.8%	93.2%	63.0%
Afghanistan	Kunduz	2000	19.0%	39.1%	8.1%
Afghanistan	Kunduz	2017	69.1%	86.1%	49.4%
Afghanistan	Kuran Wa Munjan	2000	13.9%	28.0%	6.7%
Afghanistan	Kuran Wa Munjan	2017	82.6%	93.5%	65.7%
Afghanistan	Kushk	2000	9.4%	22.2%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Kushk	2017	79.4%	92.5%	61.4%
Afghanistan	Kushki Kuhna	2000	8.3%	18.0%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Kushki Kuhna	2017	77.7%	91.1%	59.6%
Afghanistan	Kuz Kunar	2000	7.4%	23.1%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Kuz Kunar	2017	86.7%	95.9%	69.7%
Afghanistan	Lal Pur	2000	9.4%	30.9%	0.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Lal Pur	2017	80.7%	97.9%	37.8%
Afghanistan	Lal Wa Sarjangan	2000	11.1%	22.3%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Lal Wa Sarjangan	2017	76.4%	87.1%	63.1%
Afghanistan	Lash Wa Juwayn	2000	9.5%	16.5%	4.5%
Afghanistan	Lash Wa Juwayn	2017	71.9%	82.5%	60.8%
Afghanistan	Lashkargah	2000	10.3%	24.7%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Lashkargah	2017	83.8%	94.0%	64.1%
Afghanistan	Lija Mangal	2000	16.7%	44.8%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Lija Mangal	2017	92.2%	99.2%	73.5%
Afghanistan	Mahmud Raqi	2000	16.7%	29.4%	9.5%
Afghanistan	Mahmud Raqi	2017	95.2%	98.3%	86.2%
Afghanistan	Malistan	2000	18.4%	33.5%	5.9%
Afghanistan	Malistan	2017	89.7%	98.0%	74.3%
Afghanistan	Mando Zayi	2000	21.6%	38.8%	11.4%
Afghanistan	Mando Zayi	2017	97.7%	99.5%	89.1%
Afghanistan	Mandol	2000	10.7%	21.8%	3.9%
Afghanistan	Mandol	2017	83.2%	93.9%	66.8%
Afghanistan	Mardyan	2000	19.4%	37.4%	7.6%
Afghanistan	Mardyan	2017	85.0%	94.4%	67.8%
Afghanistan	Markazi Bih-sud	2000	12.5%	25.8%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Markazi Bih-sud	2017	79.1%	91.8%	63.0%
Afghanistan	Marmul	2000	7.3%	22.8%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Marmul	2017	46.4%	62.6%	27.9%
Afghanistan	Maruf	2000	9.5%	17.9%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Maruf	2017	71.7%	84.3%	56.6%
Afghanistan	Marwara	2000	2.4%	6.7%	0.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Marwara	2017	78.2%	92.4%	55.3%
Afghanistan	Mata Khan	2000	12.5%	30.2%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Mata Khan	2017	76.2%	88.9%	56.0%
Afghanistan	Maydan Shahr	2000	5.6%	17.1%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Maydan Shahr	2017	63.7%	82.3%	36.5%
Afghanistan	Maymana	2000	17.8%	47.9%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Maymana	2017	89.7%	98.9%	66.3%
Afghanistan	Maywand	2000	11.4%	24.0%	3.8%
Afghanistan	Maywand	2017	82.3%	93.2%	66.4%
Afghanistan	Mazar-i-Sharif	2000	38.1%	38.9%	37.0%
Afghanistan	Mazar-i-Sharif	2017	49.8%	55.2%	46.0%
Afghanistan	Mihtarlam	2000	15.5%	42.0%	4.0%
Afghanistan	Mihtarlam	2017	91.5%	98.5%	72.1%
Afghanistan	Mingajik	2000	11.7%	30.3%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Mingajik	2017	80.1%	93.7%	60.2%
Afghanistan	Mirbacha Kot	2000	17.4%	25.1%	12.1%
Afghanistan	Mirbacha Kot	2017	95.5%	98.0%	90.0%
Afghanistan	Mizan	2000	11.9%	35.6%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Mizan	2017	79.5%	96.4%	48.3%
Afghanistan	Muhammad Agha	2000	13.0%	34.0%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Muhammad Agha	2017	84.5%	97.7%	62.1%
Afghanistan	Muhmand Dara	2000	7.7%	30.9%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Muhmand Dara	2017	84.6%	97.7%	54.9%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2000	10.1%	31.7%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2000	16.5%	37.8%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2017	83.5%	97.6%	56.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Muqur	2017	86.5%	97.8%	69.9%
Afghanistan	Murghab	2000	35.3%	45.7%	27.7%
Afghanistan	Murghab	2017	86.8%	94.1%	76.2%
Afghanistan	Musa Khel	2000	15.3%	43.0%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Musa Khel	2017	90.0%	99.0%	65.5%
Afghanistan	Musa Qala	2000	9.9%	24.3%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Musa Qala	2017	78.2%	92.6%	62.5%
Afghanistan	Musayi	2000	55.5%	84.5%	30.5%
Afghanistan	Musayi	2017	97.7%	99.9%	91.4%
Afghanistan	Nad Ali	2000	10.7%	19.7%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Nad Ali	2017	82.6%	90.7%	70.0%
Afghanistan	Nadir Shah Kot	2000	14.5%	31.8%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Nadir Shah Kot	2017	91.0%	97.7%	76.2%
Afghanistan	Nahri Sarraj	2000	9.1%	22.2%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Nahri Sarraj	2017	81.2%	92.1%	65.3%
Afghanistan	Nahri Shahi	2000	14.0%	18.6%	11.7%
Afghanistan	Nahri Shahi	2017	47.7%	55.1%	39.6%
Afghanistan	Nahrin	2000	7.4%	19.3%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Nahrin	2017	75.9%	91.7%	50.7%
Afghanistan	Nali	2000	12.6%	30.0%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Nali	2017	87.2%	97.5%	69.2%
Afghanistan	Narang Wa Badil	2000	4.8%	8.2%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Narang Wa Badil	2017	78.3%	89.9%	63.3%
Afghanistan	Naw Zad	2000	10.3%	18.3%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Naw Zad	2017	78.2%	90.1%	64.7%
Afghanistan	Nawa	2000	13.0%	26.6%	5.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Nawa	2017	77.2%	90.2%	57.5%
Afghanistan	Nawa-i-Barak Zayi	2000	15.5%	31.9%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Nawa-i-Barak Zayi	2017	88.8%	97.3%	71.9%
Afghanistan	Nawur	2000	15.6%	24.9%	8.9%
Afghanistan	Nawur	2017	82.4%	90.9%	71.3%
Afghanistan	Nazyan	2000	10.8%	46.3%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Nazyan	2017	81.7%	99.7%	21.1%
Afghanistan	Nesh	2000	9.3%	25.8%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Nesh	2017	74.9%	91.8%	47.6%
Afghanistan	Nijrab	2000	17.9%	38.8%	6.1%
Afghanistan	Nijrab	2017	92.0%	98.3%	78.9%
Afghanistan	Nika	2000	14.8%	47.7%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Nika	2017	77.5%	98.5%	32.5%
Afghanistan	Nirkh	2000	6.5%	18.6%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Nirkh	2017	51.4%	65.9%	36.4%
Afghanistan	Nurgal	2000	5.8%	27.8%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Nurgal	2017	76.9%	95.6%	42.5%
Afghanistan	Nuristan	2000	19.6%	39.0%	7.2%
Afghanistan	Nuristan	2017	88.4%	97.5%	74.1%
Afghanistan	Obe	2000	7.8%	17.9%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Obe	2017	83.3%	93.1%	70.4%
Afghanistan	Omna	2000	13.4%	25.4%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Omna	2017	54.1%	74.3%	31.7%
Afghanistan	Pachir Wa Agam	2000	13.0%	48.1%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Pachir Wa Agam	2017	81.1%	98.7%	42.7%
Afghanistan	Paghman	2000	13.7%	46.1%	2.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Paghman	2017	86.4%	98.5%	51.7%
Afghanistan	Panjab	2000	10.2%	20.3%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Panjab	2017	78.4%	90.3%	63.1%
Afghanistan	Panjsher	2000	18.0%	28.7%	9.0%
Afghanistan	Panjsher	2017	94.2%	97.8%	87.9%
Afghanistan	Panjwayi	2000	9.2%	21.4%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Panjwayi	2017	78.9%	90.6%	56.6%
Afghanistan	Pasaband	2000	11.1%	18.0%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Pasaband	2017	73.6%	84.6%	62.1%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Kot	2000	16.9%	38.9%	4.6%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Kot	2017	91.9%	98.4%	74.8%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Zarghun	2000	7.7%	17.7%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Zarghun	2017	79.1%	93.0%	58.6%
Afghanistan	Puli Alam	2000	11.4%	27.2%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Puli Alam	2017	72.9%	84.0%	57.3%
Afghanistan	Puli Khumri	2000	11.1%	28.3%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Puli Khumri	2017	88.0%	98.4%	58.6%
Afghanistan	Purchaman	2000	10.5%	16.6%	5.6%
Afghanistan	Purchaman	2017	75.1%	84.1%	64.9%
Afghanistan	Pusht Rod	2000	6.9%	20.0%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Pusht Rod	2017	86.2%	95.5%	68.3%
Afghanistan	Qadis	2000	13.2%	25.0%	6.1%
Afghanistan	Qadis	2017	83.8%	93.6%	69.3%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Kah	2000	11.8%	21.4%	5.9%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Kah	2017	78.6%	89.4%	67.7%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Naw	2000	11.5%	29.8%	2.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Naw	2017	83.1%	96.4%	51.7%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Zal	2000	12.9%	29.8%	3.9%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Zal	2017	80.5%	94.0%	62.4%
Afghanistan	Qalandar	2000	13.8%	44.1%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Qalandar	2017	85.1%	99.5%	45.9%
Afghanistan	Qalat	2000	15.8%	30.5%	5.6%
Afghanistan	Qalat	2017	88.0%	95.9%	74.5%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2000	11.7%	26.1%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2000	10.0%	21.4%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2017	96.5%	99.0%	88.2%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2017	82.0%	94.6%	63.9%
Afghanistan	Qaram Qol	2000	11.2%	33.1%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Qaram Qol	2017	87.0%	97.2%	62.6%
Afghanistan	Qarghayi	2000	16.9%	30.5%	9.7%
Afghanistan	Qarghayi	2017	91.9%	96.7%	83.9%
Afghanistan	Qarqin	2000	10.8%	23.6%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Qarqin	2017	77.8%	92.2%	58.6%
Afghanistan	Qaysar	2000	13.1%	31.0%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Qaysar	2017	84.1%	95.8%	63.4%
Afghanistan	Ragh	2000	14.1%	30.3%	4.6%
Afghanistan	Ragh	2017	83.1%	95.1%	64.8%
Afghanistan	Reg	2000	11.4%	16.1%	6.8%
Afghanistan	Reg	2000	13.0%	24.2%	6.3%
Afghanistan	Reg	2017	72.9%	80.2%	65.5%
Afghanistan	Reg	2017	77.2%	86.3%	66.3%
Afghanistan	Rodat	2000	10.6%	24.3%	2.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Rodat	2017	86.3%	97.1%	67.2%
Afghanistan	Royi Du Ab	2000	12.2%	29.6%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Royi Du Ab	2017	81.9%	94.1%	65.7%
Afghanistan	Rustaq	2000	15.8%	31.4%	5.7%
Afghanistan	Rustaq	2017	87.7%	96.0%	74.7%
Afghanistan	Sabari	2000	24.5%	52.7%	7.5%
Afghanistan	Sabari	2017	93.0%	99.5%	76.2%
Afghanistan	Saghar	2000	8.8%	16.1%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Saghar	2017	74.0%	87.9%	59.8%
Afghanistan	Salang	2000	25.8%	49.2%	10.6%
Afghanistan	Salang	2017	95.5%	99.1%	87.6%
Afghanistan	Sangcharak	2000	10.1%	29.2%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Sangcharak	2017	87.8%	97.3%	72.3%
Afghanistan	Sangin	2000	8.7%	26.3%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Sangin	2017	81.9%	95.0%	55.1%
Afghanistan	Sar Hawza	2000	13.6%	30.9%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Sar Hawza	2017	84.7%	96.9%	64.7%
Afghanistan	Sar-i-Pul City	2000	10.1%	19.5%	4.5%
Afghanistan	Sar-i-Pul City	2017	89.2%	95.7%	79.2%
Afghanistan	Sarobi	2000	5.5%	16.4%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Sarobi	2017	75.2%	92.1%	49.8%
Afghanistan	Sayid Abad	2000	11.2%	26.9%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Sayid Abad	2017	73.6%	91.2%	48.1%
Afghanistan	Sayid Karam	2000	7.6%	19.6%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Sayid Karam	2017	83.3%	95.3%	63.2%
Afghanistan	Sayyad	2000	20.3%	38.8%	7.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Sayyad	2017	90.1%	97.4%	77.7%
Afghanistan	Shah Wali Kot	2000	11.0%	23.3%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Shah Wali Kot	2017	77.2%	89.8%	56.7%
Afghanistan	Shahidi Hasas	2000	10.2%	19.8%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Shahidi Hasas	2017	78.2%	91.3%	63.0%
Afghanistan	Shahjoy	2000	11.6%	27.4%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Shahjoy	2017	83.3%	95.5%	64.3%
Afghanistan	Shahrak	2000	10.8%	17.7%	5.7%
Afghanistan	Shahrak	2017	73.9%	82.8%	63.6%
Afghanistan	Shahri Buzurg	2000	12.8%	29.4%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Shahri Buzurg	2017	86.1%	97.1%	66.9%
Afghanistan	Shahristan	2000	9.5%	16.5%	3.9%
Afghanistan	Shahristan	2017	77.7%	89.0%	62.2%
Afghanistan	Shakar Dara	2000	22.7%	37.1%	12.2%
Afghanistan	Shakar Dara	2017	92.4%	98.9%	75.9%
Afghanistan	Shamul	2000	10.9%	50.0%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Shamul	2017	81.1%	99.4%	29.9%
Afghanistan	Shamul zayi	2000	10.4%	22.3%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Shamul zayi	2017	74.1%	87.9%	54.0%
Afghanistan	Sharan	2000	20.9%	35.9%	11.9%
Afghanistan	Sharan	2017	83.1%	92.6%	68.1%
Afghanistan	Shekh Ali	2000	15.3%	37.8%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Shekh Ali	2017	89.5%	98.5%	70.4%
Afghanistan	Sherzad	2000	10.2%	35.0%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Sherzad	2017	85.4%	97.7%	57.1%
Afghanistan	Shib Koh	2000	8.4%	19.0%	2.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Shib Koh	2017	73.0%	89.9%	52.2%
Afghanistan	Shibar	2000	10.7%	27.6%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Shibar	2017	80.9%	94.6%	56.7%
Afghanistan	Shibirghan	2000	11.6%	22.8%	4.7%
Afghanistan	Shibirghan	2017	88.2%	95.9%	73.8%
Afghanistan	Shighnan	2000	12.8%	24.0%	5.5%
Afghanistan	Shighnan	2017	77.3%	89.5%	62.7%
Afghanistan	Shindand	2000	10.6%	28.7%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Shindand	2017	80.5%	92.4%	56.2%
Afghanistan	Shinkay	2000	13.7%	33.6%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Shinkay	2017	77.9%	93.8%	53.9%
Afghanistan	Shinwar	2000	8.2%	35.7%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Shinwar	2017	85.5%	98.5%	47.2%
Afghanistan	Shinwari	2000	19.7%	46.7%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Shinwari	2017	91.4%	99.0%	72.1%
Afghanistan	Shirin Tagab	2000	13.2%	28.2%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Shirin Tagab	2017	88.5%	96.1%	76.1%
Afghanistan	Sholgara	2000	12.2%	31.0%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Sholgara	2017	74.2%	90.1%	57.2%
Afghanistan	Shorabak	2000	11.1%	19.9%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Shorabak	2017	76.3%	87.5%	59.8%
Afghanistan	Shortepa	2000	10.2%	27.7%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Shortepa	2017	75.7%	91.9%	51.9%
Afghanistan	Shwak	2000	8.2%	24.8%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Shwak	2017	90.6%	98.1%	71.0%
Afghanistan	Sirkanay	2000	8.4%	17.6%	4.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Sirkanay	2017	81.9%	94.2%	63.7%
Afghanistan	Sozma Qala	2000	8.7%	25.4%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Sozma Qala	2017	82.6%	96.6%	56.1%
Afghanistan	Spera	2000	6.3%	18.2%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Spera	2017	80.3%	94.3%	58.1%
Afghanistan	Spin Boldak	2000	9.8%	22.2%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Spin Boldak	2017	76.0%	88.5%	53.2%
Afghanistan	Surkh Rod	2000	20.6%	32.1%	14.8%
Afghanistan	Surkh Rod	2017	89.7%	94.1%	80.8%
Afghanistan	Surkhi Parsa	2000	16.2%	35.5%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Surkhi Parsa	2017	89.3%	98.2%	72.7%
Afghanistan	Surobi	2000	9.0%	20.3%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Surobi	2017	83.0%	95.7%	63.5%
Afghanistan	Tagab	2000	14.3%	46.8%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Tagab	2017	86.1%	98.7%	51.4%
Afghanistan	Tala Wa Barfak	2000	10.3%	21.8%	4.0%
Afghanistan	Tala Wa Barfak	2017	78.0%	90.5%	63.1%
Afghanistan	Taluqan	2000	23.0%	34.7%	14.0%
Afghanistan	Taluqan	2017	94.6%	98.2%	89.2%
Afghanistan	Tani	2000	12.1%	30.4%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Tani	2017	88.1%	96.4%	71.1%
Afghanistan	Tarnak Wa Jaldak	2000	13.9%	34.2%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Tarnak Wa Jaldak	2017	80.2%	94.9%	56.0%
Afghanistan	Taywara	2000	11.9%	21.6%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Taywara	2017	75.6%	87.2%	62.4%
Afghanistan	Tere Zayi	2000	9.4%	28.9%	2.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Tere Zayi	2017	84.2%	97.4%	54.7%
Afghanistan	Tirin Kot	2000	10.0%	24.3%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Tirin Kot	2017	82.2%	92.4%	69.7%
Afghanistan	Tulak	2000	9.3%	18.4%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Tulak	2017	72.8%	86.5%	56.5%
Afghanistan	Urgun	2000	15.5%	26.0%	8.4%
Afghanistan	Urgun	2017	89.4%	96.4%	75.4%
Afghanistan	Wakhan	2000	11.5%	19.9%	5.5%
Afghanistan	Wakhan	2017	73.7%	83.7%	61.3%
Afghanistan	Wama	2000	13.1%	25.3%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Wama	2017	85.4%	94.9%	70.6%
Afghanistan	Waras	2000	12.2%	21.3%	5.1%
Afghanistan	Waras	2017	77.3%	88.1%	62.2%
Afghanistan	Warsaj	2000	15.2%	30.0%	6.2%
Afghanistan	Warsaj	2017	87.5%	95.7%	73.1%
Afghanistan	Washer	2000	14.2%	24.6%	6.2%
Afghanistan	Washer	2017	82.8%	92.0%	70.0%
Afghanistan	Waygal	2000	10.5%	28.3%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Waygal	2017	84.4%	97.4%	63.3%
Afghanistan	Wazakhwa	2000	11.3%	24.0%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Wazakhwa	2017	76.9%	90.7%	53.4%
Afghanistan	Wolmamay	2000	10.5%	19.8%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Wolmamay	2017	74.2%	88.8%	56.7%
Afghanistan	Yakawlang	2000	13.7%	33.5%	4.6%
Afghanistan	Yakawlang	2017	80.2%	90.2%	64.6%
Afghanistan	Yangi Qala	2000	14.5%	25.3%	6.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Yangi Qala	2017	89.9%	97.0%	77.6%
Afghanistan	Zana Khan	2000	14.1%	49.2%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Zana Khan	2017	81.2%	99.2%	41.1%
Afghanistan	Zaranj	2000	19.5%	54.9%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Zaranj	2017	91.8%	99.2%	62.9%
Afghanistan	Zarghun Shahr	2000	16.5%	25.8%	10.4%
Afghanistan	Zarghun Shahr	2017	74.4%	84.4%	61.6%
Afghanistan	Zebak	2000	11.2%	32.2%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Zebak	2017	83.2%	95.7%	58.6%
Afghanistan	Ziluk	2000	3.4%	15.5%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Ziluk	2017	68.7%	88.0%	30.9%
Afghanistan	Zinda Jan	2000	10.3%	25.9%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Zinda Jan	2017	76.0%	90.3%	55.7%
Afghanistan	Zurmat	2000	24.8%	40.3%	11.8%
Afghanistan	Zurmat	2017	91.3%	97.8%	81.0%
Algeria	Abadla	2000	96.7%	97.8%	95.1%
Algeria	Abadla	2017	96.8%	97.9%	95.2%
Algeria	Abalissa	2000	96.5%	98.0%	94.5%
Algeria	Abalissa	2017	96.7%	98.2%	94.6%
Algeria	Abi Youcef	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Abi Youcef	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Abou El Has-sen	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Algeria	Abou El Has-sen	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Algeria	Achaacha	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.7%
Algeria	Achaacha	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Algeria	Adekar	2000	97.0%	97.9%	96.1%
Algeria	Adekar	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Adrar	2000	96.4%	97.7%	95.0%
Algeria	Adrar	2017	96.5%	97.7%	95.1%
Algeria	Afir	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.0%
Algeria	Afir	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Aflou	2000	90.8%	92.7%	88.9%
Algeria	Aflou	2017	90.9%	92.8%	89.1%
Algeria	Aghbal	2000	97.1%	98.1%	96.0%
Algeria	Aghbal	2017	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
Algeria	Aghbalou	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Aghbalou	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Aghlal	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Aghlal	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Aghni-Goughrane	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	Aghni-Goughrane	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	Aghrib	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Aghrib	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Algeria	Ahl El Ksar	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Algeria	Ahl El Ksar	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Algeria	Ahmed Rachedi	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Ahmed Rachedi	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Ahmer El Ain	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Ahmer El Ain	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Ahnif	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.1%
Algeria	Ahnif	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Algeria	Ain Abessa	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Algeria	Ain Abessa	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Algeria	Ain Abid	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Ain Abid	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	Ain Adden	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Ain Adden	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	Ain Arnat	2000	94.9%	95.7%	94.0%
Algeria	Ain Arnat	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%
Algeria	Ain Azel	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.4%
Algeria	Ain Azel	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Algeria	Ain Bebouche	2000	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Algeria	Ain Bebouche	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.0%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Algeria	Ain Beida Harriche	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Beida Harriche	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Ben Beida	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ain Ben Beida	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ain Ben Khelil	2000	91.3%	93.8%	88.2%
Algeria	Ain Ben Khelil	2017	91.5%	93.9%	88.5%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.5%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Algeria	Ain Biya	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Biya	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Bouchekif	2000	91.0%	92.0%	89.9%
Algeria	Ain Bouchekif	2017	91.3%	92.2%	90.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Boucif	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.2%
Algeria	Ain Boucif	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.5%
Algeria	Ain Boudinar	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Boudinar	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Bouihi	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Ain Bouihi	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Ain Bouziane	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Bouziane	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Charchar	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Charchar	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Ain Chouhada	2000	91.7%	93.7%	89.7%
Algeria	Ain Chouhada	2017	91.9%	93.8%	90.0%
Algeria	Ain Defla	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Ain Defla	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Ain Deheb	2000	90.7%	92.5%	89.0%
Algeria	Ain Deheb	2017	91.0%	92.7%	89.4%
Algeria	Ain Djasser	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.2%
Algeria	Ain Djasser	2017	95.4%	96.7%	94.3%
Algeria	Ain El Arbaa	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain El Arbaa	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain El Assel	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain El Assel	2017	98.2%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain El Berd	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Ain El Berd	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Ain El Berda	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Ain El Berda	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Ain El Diss	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Algeria	Ain El Diss	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Algeria	Ain El Fakroun	2000	95.2%	96.1%	93.8%
Algeria	Ain El Fakroun	2017	95.3%	96.2%	93.9%
Algeria	Ain El Hadid	2000	91.4%	92.8%	90.0%
Algeria	Ain El Hadid	2017	91.7%	93.0%	90.3%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2000	91.6%	92.7%	90.4%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2017	91.8%	92.8%	90.6%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjel	2000	91.7%	93.8%	89.4%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjel	2017	91.8%	93.9%	89.6%
Algeria	Ain El Ibel	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.2%
Algeria	Ain El Ibel	2017	91.9%	93.3%	90.3%
Algeria	Ain El Kebira	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.4%
Algeria	Ain El Kebira	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Algeria	Ain El Kercha	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.3%
Algeria	Ain El Kercha	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.5%
Algeria	Ain El Melh	2000	92.4%	94.4%	90.2%
Algeria	Ain El Melh	2017	92.6%	94.5%	90.4%
Algeria	Ain El Orak	2000	91.1%	93.3%	88.8%
Algeria	Ain El Orak	2017	91.5%	93.5%	89.3%
Algeria	Ain Errich	2000	92.7%	94.8%	90.3%
Algeria	Ain Errich	2017	92.9%	94.9%	90.6%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Fares	2000	93.4%	95.4%	91.5%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2017	93.6%	95.4%	91.7%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	Ain Fekan	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Algeria	Ain Fekan	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.9%
Algeria	Ain Fekka	2000	91.8%	93.6%	89.6%
Algeria	Ain Fekka	2017	92.0%	93.8%	89.8%
Algeria	Ain Ferah	2000	93.8%	95.3%	92.4%
Algeria	Ain Ferah	2017	94.1%	95.5%	92.7%
Algeria	Ain Fettah	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Fettah	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Fezza	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Ain Fezza	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	Ain Frass	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Algeria	Ain Frass	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Algeria	Ain Ghoraba	2000	97.0%	97.8%	95.9%
Algeria	Ain Ghoraba	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
Algeria	Ain Kada	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Ain Kada	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Ain Kebira	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Kebira	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Kechra	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Kechra	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Algeria	Ain Kermes	2000	90.6%	92.2%	88.6%
Algeria	Ain Kermes	2017	90.9%	92.4%	88.9%
Algeria	Ain Khadra	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.6%
Algeria	Ain Khadra	2017	93.5%	94.8%	91.8%
Algeria	Ain Kihel	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Kihel	2017	97.7%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Lahdjar	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Algeria	Ain Lahdjar	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.7%
Algeria	Ain Laloui	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	Ain Laloui	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Ain Larbi	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Larbi	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Lechiakh	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Algeria	Ain Lechiakh	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Ain M'Lila	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Algeria	Ain M'Lila	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.3%
Algeria	Ain Maabed	2000	91.7%	93.0%	90.3%
Algeria	Ain Maabed	2017	91.9%	93.2%	90.4%
Algeria	Ain Madhi	2000	91.3%	93.8%	88.7%
Algeria	Ain Madhi	2017	91.6%	94.0%	89.1%
Algeria	Ain Makhlouf	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	Ain Makhlouf	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Algeria	Ain Mellouk	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Mellouk	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Merrane	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Ain Merrane	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Naga	2000	97.1%	98.0%	95.9%
Algeria	Ain Naga	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Algeria	Ain Nehala	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	Ain Nehala	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Ain Nouissy	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Nouissy	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Ouksir	2000	93.6%	95.1%	91.5%
Algeria	Ain Ouksir	2017	93.6%	95.2%	91.6%
Algeria	Ain Oulmane	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%
Algeria	Ain Oulmane	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Algeria	Ain Oussera	2000	91.1%	92.4%	89.6%
Algeria	Ain Oussera	2017	91.2%	92.5%	89.8%
Algeria	Ain Rahma	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Algeria	Ain Rahma	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Ain Rekada	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Algeria	Ain Rekada	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Romana	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Ain Romana	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Ain Roua	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Algeria	Ain Roua	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.8%
Algeria	Ain Safra	2000	91.2%	93.1%	89.4%
Algeria	Ain Safra	2017	91.4%	93.3%	89.7%
Algeria	Ain Sandel	2000	97.7%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain Sandel	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Sekhouna	2000	91.0%	93.0%	88.1%
Algeria	Ain Sekhouna	2017	91.2%	93.3%	88.3%
Algeria	Ain Semara	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Semara	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Ali	2000	90.8%	93.5%	87.5%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Ali	2017	91.0%	93.7%	87.8%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Cherif	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Cherif	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	91.6%	93.1%	90.0%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	91.8%	93.3%	90.2%
Algeria	Ain Taghrout	2000	94.9%	95.7%	93.9%
Algeria	Ain Taghrout	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Algeria	Ain Tagourait	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Algeria	Ain Tagourait	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	Ain Tallout	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Algeria	Ain Tallout	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Algeria	Ain Tarek	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.5%
Algeria	Ain Tarek	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Algeria	Ain Tedles	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Tedles	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Temouchent	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Temouchent	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Tesra	2000	94.6%	95.5%	93.5%
Algeria	Ain Tesra	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.6%
Algeria	Ain Thrid	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Ain Thrid	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Ain Tindamine	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Algeria	Ain Tindamine	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Algeria	Ain Tine	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Ain Tine	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Ain Tolba	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Ain Tolba	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Algeria	Ain Touila	2000	95.2%	96.4%	94.2%
Algeria	Ain Touila	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.3%
Algeria	Ain Touta	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Algeria	Ain Touta	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Ain Yagout	2000	95.1%	96.1%	94.0%
Algeria	Ain Yagout	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Algeria	Ain Youcef	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Youcef	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain Zaatout	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Algeria	Ain Zaatout	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Algeria	Ain Zana	2000	98.1%	98.8%	97.2%
Algeria	Ain Zana	2017	98.1%	98.8%	97.3%
Algeria	Ain Zarit	2000	90.8%	92.3%	89.3%
Algeria	Ain Zarit	2017	91.1%	92.4%	89.6%
Algeria	Ain Zerga	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.3%
Algeria	Ain Zerga	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.3%
Algeria	Ain Zitoun	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Algeria	Ain Zitoun	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Algeria	Ain Zouit	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Algeria	Ain Zouit	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Ain-Bessem	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Ain-Bessem	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Algeria	Ain-El-Hammam	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Ain-El-Hammam	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Ain-Legradj	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Algeria	Ain-Legradj	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Algeria	Ain-Sebt	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	Ain-Sebt	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Ain-Zaouia	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Ain-Zaouia	2017	97.6%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Aissaouia	2000	96.9%	97.6%	95.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Aissaouia	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	Ait Ag-gouacha	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Ait Ag-gouacha	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Ait Aissa Mimoun	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Ait Aissa Mimoun	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Ait Bouadou	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Ait Bouadou	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Ait Boumehdi	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Algeria	Ait Boumehdi	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.1%
Algeria	Ait Khelili	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	Ait Khelili	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Ait Laaziz	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Ait Laaziz	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Ait Naoual Mezada	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Algeria	Ait Naoual Mezada	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.2%
Algeria	Ait Oumalou	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Ait Oumalou	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Ait R'Zine	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Ait R'Zine	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	Ait Toudert	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Algeria	Ait Toudert	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	Ait Yahia Moussa	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Ait Yahia Moussa	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Ait-Chaffaa	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
Algeria	Ait-Chaffaa	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.7%
Algeria	Ait-Mahmoud	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	Ait-Mahmoud	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Algeria	Ait-Smail	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Algeria	Ait-Smail	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Algeria	Ait-Tizi	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Algeria	Ait-Tizi	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Algeria	Ait-Yahia	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Ait-Yahia	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Akabli	2000	96.7%	98.0%	94.6%
Algeria	Akabli	2017	96.8%	98.0%	94.8%
Algeria	Akbil	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Algeria	Akbil	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Algeria	Akbou	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Akbou	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Akerrou	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Algeria	Akerrou	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%
Algeria	Akfadou	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Akfadou	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Alaimia	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Alaimia	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Amalou	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Amalou	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Amernas	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Amernas	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Amieur	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Amieur	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Amirat Arres	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Amirat Arres	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Amizour	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Amizour	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Ammal	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Ammal	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Ammari	2000	91.2%	92.5%	89.7%
Algeria	Ammari	2017	91.5%	92.8%	90.1%
Algeria	Ammi Moussa	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Algeria	Ammi Moussa	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Algeria	Amoucha	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Algeria	Amoucha	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Algeria	Amourah	2000	93.0%	94.7%	90.6%
Algeria	Amourah	2017	93.3%	95.0%	91.0%
Algeria	Annaba	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Annaba	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Aokas	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.2%
Algeria	Aokas	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.4%
Algeria	Aomar	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Aomar	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Aoubellil	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Aoubellil	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	Aouf	2000	92.7%	94.1%	90.9%
Algeria	Aouf	2017	93.0%	94.3%	91.2%
Algeria	Aougrouit	2000	96.7%	98.0%	95.1%
Algeria	Aougrouit	2017	96.8%	98.0%	95.3%
Algeria	Aoulef	2000	96.6%	98.0%	95.1%
Algeria	Aoulef	2017	96.7%	98.0%	95.1%
Algeria	Arbaouat	2000	91.0%	93.0%	89.1%
Algeria	Arbaouat	2017	91.3%	93.3%	89.5%
Algeria	Arib	2000	96.8%	97.6%	96.0%
Algeria	Arib	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	Arris	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Algeria	Arris	2017	96.1%	97.1%	94.7%
Algeria	Arzew	2000	97.7%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Arzew	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Asfour	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Asfour	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Assela	2000	90.8%	93.3%	88.4%
Algeria	Assela	2017	91.1%	93.5%	88.7%
Algeria	Assi Youcef	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Assi Youcef	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Ath Mansour Taourirt	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ath Mansour Taourirt	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Algeria	Azails	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.8%
Algeria	Azails	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Algeria	Azazga	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Algeria	Azazga	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Azil Abdelkader (Metkouak)	2000	94.0%	95.1%	92.5%
Algeria	Azil Abdelkader (Metkouak)	2017	94.1%	95.2%	92.6%
Algeria	Azzaba	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Azzaba	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Azzefoun	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.9%
Algeria	Azzefoun	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.0%
Algeria	Azziz	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.6%
Algeria	Azziz	2017	94.2%	95.7%	92.7%
Algeria	Azzizia	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Azzizia	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Baata	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Algeria	Baata	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Algeria	Bab El Assa	2000	97.3%	98.3%	96.2%
Algeria	Bab El Assa	2017	97.4%	98.4%	96.3%
Algeria	Babar	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.5%
Algeria	Babar	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Algeria	Babor	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Algeria	Babor	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Algeria	Badredine El Mokrani	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Badredine El Mokrani	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Algeria	Baghai	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.1%
Algeria	Baghai	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.2%
Algeria	Baghlia	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Baghlia	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Baladiet Amor	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.1%
Algeria	Baladiet Amor	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.2%
Algeria	Baraki	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Baraki	2017	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Barbouche	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Algeria	Barbouche	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Algeria	Barika	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.1%
Algeria	Barika	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.2%
Algeria	Bathia	2000	92.8%	94.0%	91.0%
Algeria	Bathia	2017	92.9%	94.2%	91.2%
Algeria	Batna	2000	95.0%	95.9%	93.9%
Algeria	Batna	2017	95.1%	96.0%	94.0%
Algeria	Bayadha	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Algeria	Bayadha	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Algeria	Bazer-Sakra	2000	95.0%	95.9%	94.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bazer-Sakra	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.1%
Algeria	Bechar	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.8%
Algeria	Bechar	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.9%
Algeria	Bechloul	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.7%
Algeria	Bechloul	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Algeria	Bedjene	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Algeria	Bedjene	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Algeria	Beidha Bordj	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Algeria	Beidha Bordj	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Algeria	Bejaia	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Algeria	Bejaia	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Bekkaria	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.4%
Algeria	Bekkaria	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.6%
Algeria	Bekkouche	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Lakhdar				
Algeria	Bekkouche	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Lakhdar				
Algeria	Belaas	2000	93.8%	95.1%	92.3%
Algeria	Belaas	2017	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Algeria	Belaassel	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Bouzagza				
Algeria	Belaassel	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Bouzagza				
Algeria	Belaiba	2000	94.0%	95.1%	92.7%
Algeria	Belaiba	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.9%
Algeria	Belala	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Algeria	Belala	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Algeria	Belarbi	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Algeria	Belarbi	2017	96.9%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Belimour	2000	94.2%	95.1%	93.0%
Algeria	Belimour	2017	94.4%	95.2%	93.2%
Algeria	Belkheir	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Belkheir	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Bellaa	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Algeria	Bellaa	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Algeria	Ben Allal	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Ben Allal	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Algeria	Ben Azzouz	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Ben Azzouz	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Algeria	Ben Chicao	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Algeria	Ben Chicao	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Algeria	Ben Choud	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Ben Choud	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Ben Daoud	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Ben Daoud	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	Ben Djerrah	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Ben Djerrah	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Ben Freha	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ben Freha	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ben Guecha	2000	96.4%	97.6%	94.8%
Algeria	Ben Guecha	2017	96.5%	97.7%	95.0%
Algeria	Ben M'Hidi	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Ben M'Hidi	2017	98.4%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Ben Srou	2000	92.6%	94.2%	90.8%
Algeria	Ben Srou	2017	92.7%	94.3%	91.0%
Algeria	Benabdelmalek Ramdane	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.7%
Algeria	Benabdelmalek Ramdane	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Algeria	Benaceur	2000	96.7%	97.8%	95.5%
Algeria	Benaceur	2017	96.8%	97.9%	95.6%
Algeria	Benaicha Chelia	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.0%
Algeria	Benaicha Chelia	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Algeria	Benairia	2000	97.0%	97.9%	96.0%
Algeria	Benairia	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
Algeria	Bendaoud	2000	94.0%	95.4%	92.4%
Algeria	Bendaoud	2017	94.2%	95.5%	92.6%
Algeria	Benhar	2000	91.9%	93.0%	90.5%
Algeria	Benhar	2017	92.1%	93.3%	90.6%
Algeria	Beni Abbes	2000	96.6%	98.2%	94.4%
Algeria	Beni Abbes	2017	96.7%	98.2%	94.5%
Algeria	Beni Aissi	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Beni Aissi	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Beni Amrane	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Beni Amrane	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Beni Bahdel	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Algeria	Beni Bahdel	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.1%
Algeria	Beni Bechir	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Beni Bechir	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Beni Bouat-tab	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.1%
Algeria	Beni Bouat-tab	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Algeria	Beni Boussaid	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.5%
Algeria	Beni Boussaid	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.7%
Algeria	Beni Chaib	2000	91.9%	93.2%	90.2%
Algeria	Beni Chaib	2017	92.1%	93.4%	90.5%
Algeria	Beni Chebana	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Algeria	Beni Chebana	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Algeria	Beni Dejlil	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Algeria	Beni Dejlil	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Algeria	Beni Dergoun	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Beni Dergoun	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Beni Fouda	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.3%
Algeria	Beni Fouda	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.5%
Algeria	Beni Foudala	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.1%
Algeria	El Hakania	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Beni Hamidane	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Hamidane	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Beni Haoua	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.3%
Algeria	Beni Haoua	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Algeria	Beni Ikhlef	2000	96.5%	98.3%	94.4%
Algeria	Beni Ikhlef	2017	96.7%	98.3%	94.6%
Algeria	Beni Ilmane	2000	92.9%	94.6%	91.0%
Algeria	Beni Ilmane	2017	93.0%	94.7%	91.1%
Algeria	Beni K'Sila	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Algeria	Beni K'Sila	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Algeria	Beni Khellad	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.7%
Algeria	Beni Khellad	2017	97.8%	98.6%	96.8%
Algeria	Beni Lahcene	2000	91.7%	93.1%	90.0%
Algeria	Beni Lahcene	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.3%
Algeria	Beni Merad	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Beni Merad	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Beni Mester	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Beni Mester	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Beni Mezline	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Beni Mezline	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Beni Mileuk	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Algeria	Beni Mileuk	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Algeria	Beni Ouarsous	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Beni Ouarsous	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Algeria	Beni Oulbane	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Beni Oulbane	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Beni Ounif	2000	93.9%	96.0%	91.5%
Algeria	Beni Ounif	2017	94.0%	96.0%	91.9%
Algeria	Beni Oussine	2000	95.0%	95.8%	93.8%
Algeria	Beni Oussine	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.0%
Algeria	Beni Rached	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Algeria	Beni Rached	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Beni Saf	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.7%
Algeria	Beni Saf	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Algeria	Beni Slimane	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Algeria	Beni Slimane	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Algeria	Beni Smiel	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Algeria	Beni Smiel	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Algeria	Beni Snous	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.5%
Algeria	Beni Snous	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.7%
Algeria	Beni Yenni	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	Beni Yenni	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Beni Zentis	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Algeria	Beni Zentis	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Beni Zid	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Beni Zid	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Beni Zmenzer	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Beni Zmenzer	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Beni-Aziz	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Beni-Aziz	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Beni-Douala	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Beni-Douala	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Beni-Mellikeche	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Beni-Mellikeche	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Algeria	Beni-Mouhli	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Algeria	Beni-Mouhli	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Algeria	Beni-Quartilane	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.6%
Algeria	Beni-Quartilane	2017	95.7%	96.6%	94.6%
Algeria	Beni-Tamou	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Beni-Tamou	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Beni-Zikki	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Algeria	Beni-Zikki	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Algeria	Benian	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.8%
Algeria	Benian	2017	93.5%	94.7%	92.0%
Algeria	Benimaouche	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Algeria	Benimaouche	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Algeria	Benkhelil	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Benkhelil	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Bensekrane	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Bensekrane	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Benyacoub	2000	91.7%	93.6%	89.7%
Algeria	Benyacoub	2017	91.9%	93.7%	89.9%
Algeria	Benyahia Abderrahmane	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.4%
Algeria	Benyahia Abderrahmane	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Algeria	Benzouh	2000	91.5%	93.1%	89.7%
Algeria	Benzouh	2017	91.7%	93.3%	89.9%
Algeria	Berbacha	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Algeria	Berbacha	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Algeria	Berhoum	2000	93.2%	94.6%	91.7%
Algeria	Berhoum	2017	93.4%	94.7%	91.9%
Algeria	Berrahal	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Berrahal	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Berriane	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.3%
Algeria	Berriane	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.5%
Algeria	Berriche	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Algeria	Berriche	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Algeria	Berrihane	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Berrihane	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Berrouaghia	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Algeria	Berrouaghia	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Algeria	Besbes	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Besbes	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Algeria	Besbes	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Algeria	Besbes	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Bethioua	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Bethioua	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bhir El Cher-gui	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Algeria	Bhir El Cher-gui	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
Algeria	Bin El Ouiden	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Bin El Ouiden	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Bir Ben Laabed	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Algeria	Bir Ben Laabed	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Algeria	Bir Bouhouche	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.7%
Algeria	Bir Bouhouche	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Algeria	Bir Chouhada	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.4%
Algeria	Bir Chouhada	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.5%
Algeria	Bir Dheb	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Algeria	Bir Dheb	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.4%
Algeria	Bir El Ater	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.9%
Algeria	Bir El Ater	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
Algeria	Bir El Djir	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Bir El Djir	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Bir El Hammam	2000	93.8%	95.4%	91.5%
Algeria	Bir El Hammam	2017	93.9%	95.4%	91.7%
Algeria	Bir Foda	2000	92.0%	93.8%	90.0%
Algeria	Bir Foda	2017	92.2%	94.0%	90.3%
Algeria	Bir Ghbalou	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Bir Ghbalou	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Algeria	Bir Haddada	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.7%
Algeria	Bir Haddada	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.8%
Algeria	Bir Kasdali	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.6%
Algeria	Bir Kasdali	2017	94.9%	95.7%	93.8%
Algeria	Bir Mokkadem	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.4%
Algeria	Bir Mokkadem	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Algeria	Bir Ould Khe-lifa	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Algeria	Bir Ould Khe-lifa	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Bir-El-Arch	2000	95.7%	96.6%	94.8%
Algeria	Bir-El-Arch	2017	95.8%	96.6%	94.9%
Algeria	Birine	2000	92.5%	94.1%	90.7%
Algeria	Birine	2017	92.6%	94.1%	90.8%
Algeria	Birtouta	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Birtouta	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Biskra	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Algeria	Biskra	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Algeria	Bitam	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.2%
Algeria	Bitam	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.3%
Algeria	Blida	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Blida	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Boghni	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	Boghni	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Bordj Badji Mokhtar	2000	97.6%	98.7%	95.8%
Algeria	Bordj Badji Mokhtar	2017	97.8%	98.8%	96.1%
Algeria	Bordj Ben Az-zouz	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Algeria	Bordj Ben Az-zouz	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Algeria	Bordj Bou Ar-reridj	2000	94.3%	95.3%	93.1%
Algeria	Bordj Bou Ar-reridj	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.2%
Algeria	Bordj Bounaama	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.6%
Algeria	Bordj Bounaama	2017	92.4%	93.7%	90.9%
Algeria	Bordj El Emir Abdelkader	2000	93.0%	94.4%	91.5%
Algeria	Bordj El Emir Abdelkader	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.8%
Algeria	Bordj El Haouasse	2000	95.8%	98.0%	92.7%
Algeria	Bordj El Haouasse	2017	95.9%	98.0%	92.9%
Algeria	Bordj El Kif-fan	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Algeria	Bordj El Kif-fan	2017	97.4%	97.8%	96.7%
Algeria	Bordj Emir Khaled	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Algeria	Bordj Emir Khaled	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.1%
Algeria	Bordj Ghdir	2000	94.0%	95.1%	92.8%
Algeria	Bordj Ghdir	2017	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%
Algeria	Bordj Menaiel	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Bordj Menaiel	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Bordj Okhriss	2000	94.5%	95.7%	92.9%
Algeria	Bordj Okhriss	2017	94.5%	95.8%	92.9%
Algeria	Bordj Omar Driss	2000	96.6%	98.3%	94.1%
Algeria	Bordj Omar Driss	2017	96.8%	98.3%	94.5%
Algeria	Bordj Sebbat	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Algeria	Bordj Sebbat	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Bordj Tahar	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Bordj Tahar	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Bordj Zemmoura	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.8%
Algeria	Bordj Zemmoura	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bou Caid	2000	92.7%	94.0%	91.1%
Algeria	Bou Caid	2017	92.9%	94.1%	91.3%
Algeria	Bou Hachana	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Bou Hachana	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Bou Hamdane	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
Algeria	Bou Hamdane	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Bou Henni	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Bou Henni	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Bou Ismail	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Bou Ismail	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Bou Saada	2000	91.7%	93.0%	90.4%
Algeria	Bou Saada	2017	92.0%	93.1%	90.7%
Algeria	Bou Zedjar	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.5%
Algeria	Bou Zedjar	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
Algeria	Bouaarfa	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	Bouaarfa	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Bouaiche	2000	91.9%	93.7%	90.4%
Algeria	Bouaiche	2017	92.2%	93.9%	90.7%
Algeria	Bouaichoune	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.8%
Algeria	Bouaichoune	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Boualem	2000	90.9%	93.4%	87.6%
Algeria	Boualem	2017	91.1%	93.5%	87.6%
Algeria	Bouandas	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Algeria	Bouandas	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Algeria	Bouati Mahmoud	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Bouati Mahmoud	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Algeria	Bouchakroune	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Algeria	Bouchakroune	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Algeria	Bouchekouf	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Bouchekouf	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Boucherahil	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Boucherahil	2017	96.8%	97.7%	96.0%
Algeria	Bouchetata	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Bouchetata	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Bouda	2000	96.6%	97.7%	94.9%
Algeria	Bouda	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.1%
Algeria	Bouderbala	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Bouderbala	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Boudjebaa El Bordj	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.1%
Algeria	Boudjebaa El Bordj	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Boudjellil	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	Boudjellil	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Boudjeriou Messaoud	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Boudjeriou Messaoud	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Boudjima	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Boudjima	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Algeria	Boudouaou	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Boudouaou	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Boudouaou El Bahri	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Boudouaou El Bahri	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Boudria Beniyadjis	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Algeria	Boudria Beniyadjis	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Boufarik	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Boufarik	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Boufatis	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Boufatis	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Bougaa	2000	95.1%	96.0%	93.9%
Algeria	Bougaa	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.0%
Algeria	Bougara	2000	91.0%	92.3%	89.3%
Algeria	Bougara	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Bougara	2017	91.2%	92.6%	89.6%
Algeria	Bougara	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Bouhar	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.3%
Algeria	Bouhar	2017	95.6%	96.9%	94.5%
Algeria	Boughezoul	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.2%
Algeria	Boughezoul	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.4%
Algeria	Bougous	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.5%
Algeria	Bougous	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Bougtoub	2000	90.9%	92.7%	88.8%
Algeria	Bougtoub	2017	91.3%	93.0%	89.3%
Algeria	Bouguirat	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Bouguirat	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Bouhadjar	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Algeria	Bouhadjar	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Algeria	Bouhamza	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Bouhamza	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Bouhanifia	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Algeria	Bouhanifia	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Bouhatem	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Bouhatem	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Bouhlou	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Algeria	Bouhlou	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Algeria	Bouhmama	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.2%
Algeria	Bouhmama	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Algeria	Bouihi	2000	95.8%	97.2%	93.8%
Algeria	Bouihi	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.0%
Algeria	Bouinan	2000	97.5%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Bouinan	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Bouira	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Algeria	Bouira	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Bouira	2000	91.6%	93.2%	89.8%
Algeria	Lahdab Bouira	2017	91.8%	93.4%	90.0%
Algeria	Lahdab Boukadir	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Lahdab Boukadir	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Boukais	2000	95.8%	97.5%	93.4%
Algeria	Boukais	2017	95.9%	97.6%	93.5%
Algeria	Boukhadra	2000	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Algeria	Boukhadra	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Algeria	Boukhenifis	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Boukhenifis	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Boukhelifa	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	Boukhelifa	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Algeria	Boukram	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Boukram	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Boulhaf Dyr	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Algeria	Boulhaf Dyr	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.5%
Algeria	Boulhilat	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Algeria	Boulhilat	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Algeria	Boumahra	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Ahmed Boumahra	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Boumedfaa	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Boumedfaa	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Boumegueur	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.5%
Algeria	Boumegueur	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Algeria	Boumerdes	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Boumerdes	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Boumia	2000	95.1%	96.1%	94.0%
Algeria	Boumia	2017	95.2%	96.2%	94.2%
Algeria	Bounouh	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Bounouh	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	Bounoura	2000	96.0%	97.0%	95.0%
Algeria	Bounoura	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Algeria	Bourached	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Algeria	Bourached	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Algeria	Bouraoui Bel- hadeh	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Bouraoui Bel- hadeh	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Bourkika	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.6%
Algeria	Bourkika	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Algeria	Bousfer	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Bousfer	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Bouskene	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Algeria	Bouskene	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.4%
Algeria	Bousselam	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Algeria	Bousselam	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.9%
Algeria	Boussemgoun	2000	90.8%	93.2%	88.3%
Algeria	Boussemgoun	2017	90.9%	93.3%	88.5%
Algeria	Boussif Ouled Askeur	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Boussif Ouled Askeur	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Boutaleb	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Algeria	Boutaleb	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.9%
Algeria	Bouteldja	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bouteldja	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Bouti Sayeh	2000	92.2%	94.1%	90.0%
Algeria	Bouti Sayeh	2017	92.4%	94.2%	90.3%
Algeria	Boutlelis	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Boutlelis	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Bouzareah	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Algeria	Bouzareah	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Algeria	Bouzeghaia	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Bouzeghaia	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.3%
Algeria	Bouzeguene	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Bouzeguene	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.5%
Algeria	Bouzegza Ked-dara	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Bouzegza Ked-dara	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Bouzina	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.4%
Algeria	Bouzina	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.7%
Algeria	Branis	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Algeria	Branis	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.8%
Algeria	Breira	2000	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Algeria	Breira	2017	97.1%	98.0%	96.0%
Algeria	Brezina	2000	91.5%	93.7%	88.2%
Algeria	Brezina	2017	92.0%	94.2%	88.8%
Algeria	Brida	2000	90.6%	93.1%	88.1%
Algeria	Brida	2017	90.8%	93.3%	88.2%
Algeria	Chaabet El Ham	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Chaabet El Ham	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Algeria	Chabet Ameer	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Chabet Ameer	2017	97.7%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Chahbounia	2000	92.4%	94.1%	90.9%
Algeria	Chahbounia	2017	92.6%	94.2%	91.0%
Algeria	Chahna	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Chahna	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Chaiba	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Chaiba	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Charef	2000	91.4%	93.2%	89.6%
Algeria	Charef	2017	91.5%	93.4%	89.7%
Algeria	Charouine	2000	96.6%	98.1%	94.9%
Algeria	Charouine	2017	96.7%	98.1%	95.0%
Algeria	Chebaita Mokhtar	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Chebaita Mokhtar	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Chebli	2000	97.6%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Chebli	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Chechar	2000	95.7%	97.2%	93.7%
Algeria	Chechar	2017	95.8%	97.3%	93.9%
Algeria	Chefia	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Chefia	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Cheguig	2000	91.2%	93.6%	88.6%
Algeria	Cheguig	2017	91.6%	93.8%	89.1%
Algeria	Cehaima	2000	91.0%	92.6%	89.4%
Algeria	Cehaima	2017	91.3%	92.8%	89.7%
Algeria	Chekfa	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Chekfa	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Chelghoum Laid	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Algeria	Chelghoum Laid	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Algeria	Chelia	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Algeria	Chelia	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Algeria	Chellal	2000	91.7%	93.3%	90.2%
Algeria	Chellal	2017	91.9%	93.5%	90.4%
Algeria	Chellala	2000	90.9%	93.3%	88.4%
Algeria	Chellala	2017	91.2%	93.5%	88.8%
Algeria	Chellalet Ladhaura	2000	94.5%	96.2%	92.6%
Algeria	Chellalet Ladhaura	2017	94.6%	96.3%	92.7%
Algeria	Chellata	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.0%
Algeria	Chellata	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.1%
Algeria	Chemini	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Algeria	Chemini	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Algeria	Chemora	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.7%
Algeria	Chemora	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Algeria	Cheniguel	2000	94.4%	96.0%	93.0%
Algeria	Cheniguel	2017	94.5%	96.0%	93.0%
Algeria	Chentouf	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Chentouf	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Algeria	Cheraga	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Cheraga	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.6%
Algeria	Cheraga	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Algeria	Cheraga	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Cheraia	2000	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Algeria	Cheraia	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.8%
Algeria	Cherchel	2000	97.5%	98.4%	96.6%
Algeria	Cherchel	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
Algeria	Chetaibi	2000	97.9%	98.7%	97.1%
Algeria	Chetaibi	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.1%
Algeria	Chetma	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	Chetma	2017	96.7%	97.5%	96.0%
Algeria	Chetouane	2000	97.7%	98.4%	97.2%
Algeria	Chetouane	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Chetouane Belaila	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Algeria	Chetouane Belaila	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Algeria	Chettia	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Chettia	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Algeria	Chiffa	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Chiffa	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Chihani	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Chihani	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Chir	2000	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Algeria	Chir	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Algeria	Chlef	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Algeria	Chlef	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Chouaiba Ouled Rahma	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Algeria	Chouaiba Ouled Rahma	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.5%
Algeria	Chrea	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Algeria	Chrea	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Algeria	Chrea	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Chrea	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
Algeria	Colla	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Algeria	Colla	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Algeria	Collo	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Collo	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.7%
Algeria	Constantine	2000	98.1%	98.4%	97.7%
Algeria	Constantine	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Corso	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Corso	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Dahmouni	2000	91.1%	92.0%	89.9%
Algeria	Dahmouni	2017	91.3%	92.3%	90.2%
Algeria	Dahouara	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Dahouara	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Dahra	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.4%
Algeria	Dahra	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Algeria	Damous	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.3%
Algeria	Damous	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Algeria	Daoussen	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Algeria	Daoussen	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.5%
Algeria	Dar Ben Abdelah	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Algeria	Dar Ben Abdelah	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Algeria	Dar Chioukh	2000	91.9%	93.2%	90.3%
Algeria	Dar Chioukh	2017	92.0%	93.3%	90.6%
Algeria	Dar El Beida	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.8%
Algeria	Dar El Beida	2017	97.4%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Dar Yaghmouracene	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	Dar Yaghmouracene	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	Darguina	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.0%
Algeria	Darguina	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.2%
Algeria	Debdeb	2000	96.3%	98.3%	93.5%
Algeria	Debdeb	2017	96.4%	98.3%	93.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Debila	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Algeria	Debila	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Dechmia	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Algeria	Dechmia	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Algeria	Dehahna	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.4%
Algeria	Dehahna	2017	93.3%	94.6%	91.7%
Algeria	Dehamcha	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.2%
Algeria	Dehamcha	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Algeria	Deldoul	2000	96.7%	98.1%	95.2%
Algeria	Deldoul	2000	92.2%	93.2%	91.0%
Algeria	Deldoul	2017	92.4%	93.4%	91.3%
Algeria	Deldoul	2017	96.8%	98.1%	95.3%
Algeria	Dellys	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Algeria	Dellys	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Algeria	Derradji Bous-selah	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Derradji Bous-selah	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Derrag	2000	93.8%	95.2%	92.1%
Algeria	Derrag	2017	94.0%	95.3%	92.3%
Algeria	Deux Bassins	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.8%
Algeria	Deux Bassins	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Dhaya	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Algeria	Dhaya	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Algeria	Dhayet Bend-hahoua	2000	94.5%	95.9%	92.8%
Algeria	Dhayet Bend-hahoua	2017	94.8%	96.0%	93.1%
Algeria	Didouche Mourad	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Algeria	Didouche Mourad	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Dirrah	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.1%
Algeria	Dirrah	2017	93.7%	94.9%	92.1%
Algeria	Djaafra	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.5%
Algeria	Djaafra	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.6%
Algeria	Djamaa	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Djamaa	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	Djamora	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.1%
Algeria	Djamora	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.3%
Algeria	Djanet	2000	96.0%	98.1%	92.5%
Algeria	Djanet	2017	96.1%	98.1%	93.0%
Algeria	Djasr Kasentina	2000	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Algeria	Djasr Kasentina	2017	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	Djebabra	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Djebabra	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Djebahia	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Djebahia	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Djebala	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
Algeria	Djebala	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Djebala El Khemissi	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Djebala El Khemissi	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Djebel Messaad	2000	91.8%	93.6%	90.1%
Algeria	Djebel Messaad	2017	92.0%	93.8%	90.4%
Algeria	Djebilet Rosfa	2000	90.9%	92.7%	88.9%
Algeria	Djebilet Rosfa	2017	91.1%	92.9%	89.3%
Algeria	Djelfa	2000	91.5%	92.7%	90.2%
Algeria	Djelfa	2017	91.7%	92.8%	90.5%
Algeria	Djelida	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Algeria	Djelida	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Algeria	Djellal	2000	96.0%	97.4%	94.1%
Algeria	Djellal	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.2%
Algeria	Djemaa Beni Habibi	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Djemaa Beni Habibi	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Djemaa Ouled Cheikh	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.0%
Algeria	Djemaa Ouled Cheikh	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.2%
Algeria	Djemila	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Algeria	Djemila	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Algeria	Djemila	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.6%
Algeria	Djemila	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Algeria	Djendel	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Algeria	Djendel	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	Djendel Saadi Mohamed	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Djendel Saadi Mohamed	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Djeniane Bourzeg	2000	92.0%	94.4%	89.2%
Algeria	Djeniane Bourzeg	2017	92.3%	94.6%	89.8%
Algeria	Djerma	2000	95.0%	96.0%	94.0%
Algeria	Djerma	2017	95.1%	96.1%	94.2%
Algeria	Djezzar	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%
Algeria	Djezzar	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Algeria	Djidiouia	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Djidiouia	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Djillali Ben Ammar	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.7%
Algeria	Djillali Ben Ammar	2017	93.3%	94.8%	91.9%
Algeria	Djinet	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Djinet	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Algeria	Djouab	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Algeria	Djouab	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.9%
Algeria	Douaouda	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Douaouda	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Algeria	Douar El Ma	2000	96.7%	98.1%	95.1%
Algeria	Douar El Ma	2017	96.9%	98.2%	95.6%
Algeria	Douera	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Algeria	Douera	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.8%
Algeria	Doui Thabet	2000	92.4%	93.6%	91.0%
Algeria	Doui Thabet	2017	92.7%	94.0%	91.3%
Algeria	Douis	2000	91.7%	93.4%	89.7%
Algeria	Douis	2017	91.9%	93.6%	89.9%
Algeria	Draa El Caid	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.5%
Algeria	Draa El Caid	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.6%
Algeria	Draa El Mizan	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Draa El Mizan	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Draa Smar	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Draa Smar	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Draa-Ben-Khedda	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Draa-Ben-Khedda	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Draa-Kebila	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Algeria	Draa-Kebila	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.9%
Algeria	Draria	2000	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Algeria	Draria	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	Drea	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Drea	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Drean	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Drean	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Echatt	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Echatt	2017	98.5%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	El Abadia	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Algeria	El Abadia	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	El Ach	2000	92.9%	94.1%	91.4%
Algeria	El Ach	2017	93.1%	94.3%	91.7%
Algeria	El Achir	2000	94.2%	95.2%	93.1%
Algeria	El Achir	2017	94.2%	95.2%	93.1%
Algeria	El Achour	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.6%
Algeria	El Achour	2017	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Algeria	El Adjiba	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.6%
Algeria	El Adjiba	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.7%
Algeria	El Aioun	2000	98.3%	99.1%	97.5%
Algeria	El Aioun	2017	98.3%	99.1%	97.4%
Algeria	El Allia	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Algeria	El Allia	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Algeria	El Amiria	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Algeria	El Amiria	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.1%
Algeria	El Amra	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Algeria	El Amra	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	El Amria	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Algeria	El Amria	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.8%
Algeria	El Ançar	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	El Ançar	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	El Ancer	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	El Ancer	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Anseur	2000	94.3%	95.2%	93.2%
Algeria	El Anseur	2017	94.4%	95.3%	93.4%
Algeria	El Aouana	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Algeria	El Aouana	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	El Aricha	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.7%
Algeria	El Aricha	2017	95.7%	97.0%	93.9%
Algeria	El Asnam	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Algeria	El Asnam	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.0%
Algeria	El Assafia	2000	91.9%	93.2%	90.3%
Algeria	El Assafia	2017	92.1%	93.4%	90.5%
Algeria	El Attaf	2000	96.8%	97.6%	96.0%
Algeria	El Attaf	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	El Atteuf	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.5%
Algeria	El Atteuf	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.6%
Algeria	El Bayadh	2000	91.7%	93.2%	89.9%
Algeria	El Bayadh	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.1%
Algeria	El Beidha	2000	90.6%	92.9%	87.7%
Algeria	El Beidha	2017	90.8%	93.0%	88.1%
Algeria	El Biod	2000	91.3%	92.6%	89.6%
Algeria	El Biod	2017	91.7%	93.0%	90.0%
Algeria	El Biodh Sidi Cheikh	2000	91.3%	93.1%	89.2%
Algeria	El Biodh Sidi Cheikh	2017	91.7%	93.4%	89.7%
Algeria	El Bnou	2000	91.7%	94.3%	88.6%
Algeria	El Bnou	2017	92.3%	94.7%	89.9%
Algeria	El Bordj	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Algeria	El Bordj	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Algeria	El Borma	2000	96.5%	98.0%	94.8%
Algeria	El Borma	2017	96.6%	98.0%	94.9%
Algeria	El Bouni	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	El Bouni	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	El Braya	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	El Braya	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Algeria	El Dhaala	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Algeria	El Dhaala	2017	95.3%	96.5%	93.9%
Algeria	El Djazia	2000	95.4%	96.7%	93.9%
Algeria	El Djazia	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
Algeria	El Eulma	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	El Eulma	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.9%
Algeria	El Eulma	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	El Eulma	2017	95.0%	95.9%	94.1%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj Boughrara Saoudi	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.2%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj Boughrara Saoudi	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.5%
Algeria	El Fehoul	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	El Fehoul	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	El Feidh	2000	96.8%	97.9%	95.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Feidh	2017	96.9%	97.9%	95.5%
Algeria	El Gaada	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	El Gaada	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	El Ghedir	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	El Ghedir	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	El Ghicha	2000	90.9%	92.8%	88.8%
Algeria	El Ghicha	2017	91.0%	92.9%	89.2%
Algeria	El Ghomri	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	El Ghomri	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	El Ghrous	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Algeria	El Ghrous	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Algeria	El Gor	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.7%
Algeria	El Gor	2017	96.4%	97.4%	94.9%
Algeria	El Guedid	2000	91.1%	92.8%	89.3%
Algeria	El Guedid	2017	91.2%	93.0%	89.5%
Algeria	El Guelb El Kebir	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.1%
Algeria	El Guelb El Kebir	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	El Guerrarra	2000	96.3%	97.6%	94.7%
Algeria	El Guerrarra	2017	96.3%	97.6%	94.7%
Algeria	El Guettana	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	El Guettana	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	El Guettar	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	El Guettar	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	El H'Madna	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	El H'Madna	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	El Hacaiba	2000	96.0%	97.2%	94.6%
Algeria	El Hacaiba	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.7%
Algeria	El Hachem	2000	95.1%	96.3%	94.1%
Algeria	El Hachem	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.2%
Algeria	El Hachimia	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.2%
Algeria	El Hachimia	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.3%
Algeria	El Hadaiek	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Algeria	El Hadaiek	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	El Hadjab	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.7%
Algeria	El Hadjab	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Algeria	El Hadjadj	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Algeria	El Hadjadj	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Algeria	El Hadjar	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	El Hadjar	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	El Hadjira	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.4%
Algeria	El Hadjira	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.6%
Algeria	El Hakimia	2000	95.4%	96.4%	93.9%
Algeria	El Hakimia	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Algeria	El Hamadia	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Algeria	El Hamadia	2017	94.0%	95.0%	92.8%
Algeria	El Hamdania	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Algeria	El Hamdania	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Algeria	El Hamma	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.3%
Algeria	El Hamma	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.4%
Algeria	El Haouaita	2000	91.4%	94.0%	88.8%
Algeria	El Haouaita	2017	91.6%	94.2%	89.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Haouch	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Algeria	El Haouch	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.8%
Algeria	El Harmilia	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Algeria	El Harmilia	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Algeria	El Harrouch	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	El Harrouch	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	El Hassania	2000	93.3%	94.4%	91.8%
Algeria	El Hassania	2017	93.5%	94.6%	92.0%
Algeria	El Hassasna	2000	91.1%	92.4%	89.7%
Algeria	El Hassasna	2017	91.3%	92.6%	89.8%
Algeria	El Hassi	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.8%
Algeria	El Hassi	2000	95.2%	96.4%	94.1%
Algeria	El Hassi	2017	95.2%	96.4%	94.2%
Algeria	El Hassi	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.9%
Algeria	El Houamed	2000	92.4%	93.7%	91.1%
Algeria	El Houamed	2017	92.6%	93.8%	91.3%
Algeria	El Houdjbet	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.0%
Algeria	El Houdjbet	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Algeria	El Idrissia	2000	91.3%	93.1%	89.4%
Algeria	El Idrissia	2017	91.5%	93.3%	89.7%
Algeria	El Kaf Lakhdar	2000	94.9%	96.4%	93.3%
Algeria	El Kaf Lakhdar	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.5%
Algeria	El Kala	2000	98.2%	98.9%	97.5%
Algeria	El Kala	2017	98.3%	99.0%	97.6%
Algeria	El Karimia	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Algeria	El Karimia	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Algeria	El Kennar Nouchfi	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	El Kennar Nouchfi	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	El Kentara	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Algeria	El Kentara	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.8%
Algeria	El Kerma	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	El Kerma	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Algeria	El Keurt	2000	96.7%	97.3%	95.9%
Algeria	El Keurt	2017	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Algeria	El Khabouzia	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	El Khabouzia	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	El Kharrouba	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	El Kharrouba	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	El Kheither	2000	91.1%	92.8%	88.9%
Algeria	El Kheither	2017	91.4%	93.0%	89.2%
Algeria	El Khemis	2000	91.3%	92.8%	89.6%
Algeria	El Khemis	2017	91.5%	93.0%	89.9%
Algeria	El Khroub	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	El Khroub	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	El Kouif	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Algeria	El Kouif	2017	95.7%	97.0%	94.2%
Algeria	El Kseur	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	El Kseur	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	El M'Ghair	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El M'Ghair	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	El M'Hir	2000	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Algeria	El M'Hir	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.5%
Algeria	El Madher	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.3%
Algeria	El Madher	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.4%
Algeria	El Mahmal	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Algeria	El Mahmal	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.3%
Algeria	El Main	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Algeria	El Main	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Algeria	El Maine	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Algeria	El Maine	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Algeria	El Malabiodh	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Algeria	El Malabiodh	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Algeria	El Malah	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	El Malah	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	El Mamounia	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Algeria	El Mamounia	2017	96.7%	97.3%	95.9%
Algeria	El Marsa	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Algeria	El Marsa	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	El Marsa	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.3%
Algeria	El Marsa	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.5%
Algeria	El Matmar	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	El Matmar	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	El Matmor	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Algeria	El Matmor	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Algeria	El Mechira	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.1%
Algeria	El Mechira	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
Algeria	El Mehara	2000	91.1%	93.0%	89.3%
Algeria	El Mehara	2017	91.4%	93.3%	89.7%
Algeria	El Menaouer	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Algeria	El Menaouer	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.4%
Algeria	El Meniaa	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.9%
Algeria	El Meniaa	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.2%
Algeria	El Meridj	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.1%
Algeria	El Meridj	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.2%
Algeria	El Messaid	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.6%
Algeria	El Messaid	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
Algeria	El Mezeraa	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Algeria	El Mezeraa	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Algeria	El Milia	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	El Milia	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	El Mokrani El Madjen	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	El Mokrani El Madjen	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	El Oгла	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.9%
Algeria	El Oгла	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.4%
Algeria	El Oгла	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.9%
Algeria	El Oгла	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Algeria	El Ouata	2000	96.6%	98.2%	94.4%
Algeria	El Ouata	2017	96.7%	98.2%	94.9%
Algeria	El Oued	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Algeria	El Oued	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	96.2%	97.6%	94.2%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	96.3%	97.7%	94.4%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	96.0%	96.8%	94.9%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.2%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2017	95.0%	96.4%	93.4%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
Algeria	El Ouitaya	2000	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Algeria	El Ouitaya	2017	96.3%	97.0%	95.5%
Algeria	El Oumaria	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.5%
Algeria	El Oumaria	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Algeria	El Ouricia	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.9%
Algeria	El Ouricia	2017	94.8%	95.7%	94.0%
Algeria	El Rahia	2000	95.8%	97.1%	94.4%
Algeria	El Rahia	2017	95.9%	97.2%	94.5%
Algeria	El Tarf	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Algeria	El Tarf	2017	98.2%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	El Youssoufia	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.9%
Algeria	El Youssoufia	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.2%
Algeria	El-Affroun	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	El-Affroun	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Elayadi	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Barbes				
Algeria	Elayadi	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Barbes				
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Algeria	Emjez Edchich	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Emjez Edchich	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ensigha	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.3%
Algeria	Ensigha	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Algeria	Erg Ferradj	2000	96.5%	97.8%	94.9%
Algeria	Erg Ferradj	2017	96.6%	97.8%	94.9%
Algeria	Erraguene	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Algeria	Erraguene	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Es Sebt	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Es Sebt	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Es Senia	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Es Senia	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Faidh El Botma	2000	92.4%	94.3%	89.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Faidh El Botma	2017	92.4%	94.4%	89.7%
Algeria	Faidja	2000	91.0%	92.7%	88.8%
Algeria	Faidja	2017	91.3%	92.9%	89.4%
Algeria	Fellaoucene	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Algeria	Fellaoucene	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	Fenoughil	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.0%
Algeria	Fenoughil	2017	96.8%	98.0%	95.4%
Algeria	Feraoun	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Feraoun	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Algeria	Ferdjioua	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Ferdjioua	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Ferkane	2000	96.2%	97.6%	94.1%
Algeria	Ferkane	2017	96.3%	97.7%	94.2%
Algeria	Ferraguig	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Ferraguig	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.5%
Algeria	Fesdis	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Algeria	Fesdis	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Algeria	Filfila	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	Filfila	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Algeria	Fkirina	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Algeria	Fkirina	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Algeria	Foggaret Az-zouia	2000	97.2%	98.5%	95.4%
Algeria	Foggaret Az-zouia	2017	97.3%	98.6%	95.5%
Algeria	Fornaka	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Fornaka	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Foughala	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.4%
Algeria	Foughala	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Algeria	Fouka	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Fouka	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.5%
Algeria	Foum Toub	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.6%
Algeria	Foum Toub	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Algeria	Freha	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Freha	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Frenda	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.5%
Algeria	Frenda	2017	91.3%	92.8%	89.7%
Algeria	Frikat	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Frikat	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Froha	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Algeria	Froha	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Algeria	Gdyel	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Gdyel	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ghardaia	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Algeria	Ghardaia	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Algeria	Gharrous	2000	92.4%	93.8%	90.7%
Algeria	Gharrous	2017	92.6%	94.0%	91.0%
Algeria	Ghassoul	2000	91.5%	93.3%	89.1%
Algeria	Ghassoul	2017	91.7%	93.7%	89.3%
Algeria	Ghazaouet	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
Algeria	Ghazaouet	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.2%
Algeria	Ghebala	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ghebala	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Gherouaou	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	Gherouaou	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Ghessira	2000	95.9%	97.1%	94.2%
Algeria	Ghessira	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.3%
Algeria	Ghilassa	2000	94.0%	95.1%	92.7%
Algeria	Ghilassa	2017	94.1%	95.1%	92.8%
Algeria	Ghriss	2000	95.8%	96.6%	94.7%
Algeria	Ghriss	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.8%
Algeria	Gosbat	2000	94.6%	95.6%	93.4%
Algeria	Gosbat	2017	94.7%	95.7%	93.5%
Algeria	Gouraya	2000	97.5%	98.4%	96.4%
Algeria	Gouraya	2017	97.6%	98.5%	96.5%
Algeria	Grarem	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Gouga				
Algeria	Grarem	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Guellal	2000	94.9%	95.8%	93.9%
Algeria	Guellal	2017	95.1%	95.9%	94.1%
Algeria	Guelma	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Guelma	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Guelta Zerka	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.4%
Algeria	Guelta Zerka	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Algeria	Gueltat Sidi Saad	2000	90.8%	92.8%	88.5%
Algeria	Gueltat Sidi Saad	2017	91.0%	93.0%	88.8%
Algeria	Guemar	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Guemar	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Guenzet Tassameurt	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.3%
Algeria	Guenzet Tassameurt	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Algeria	Guerdjoum	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.5%
Algeria	Guerdjoum	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.7%
Algeria	Guernini	2000	91.2%	93.0%	89.2%
Algeria	Guernini	2017	91.5%	93.2%	89.5%
Algeria	Guerrouma	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Guerrouma	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Guertoufa	2000	91.5%	92.5%	90.3%
Algeria	Guertoufa	2017	91.8%	92.8%	90.6%
Algeria	Guettara	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.8%
Algeria	Guettara	2017	95.4%	96.7%	93.8%
Algeria	Guidjel	2000	94.6%	95.5%	93.7%
Algeria	Guidjel	2017	94.8%	95.6%	93.9%
Algeria	Guiga	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Algeria	Guiga	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.6%
Algeria	Guorriguer	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.3%
Algeria	Guorriguer	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.4%
Algeria	Hacine	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Hacine	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Had Echkalla	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.7%
Algeria	Had Echkalla	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Had Sahary	2000	91.8%	93.4%	89.7%
Algeria	Had Sahary	2017	92.0%	93.6%	90.0%
Algeria	Haddada	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.5%
Algeria	Haddada	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.6%
Algeria	Hadj Mechri	2000	90.7%	93.3%	87.7%
Algeria	Hadj Mechri	2017	90.9%	93.6%	87.9%
Algeria	Hadjadj	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.7%
Algeria	Hadjadj	2017	97.9%	98.6%	96.8%
Algeria	Hadjera Zerga	2000	92.9%	94.3%	91.0%
Algeria	Hadjera Zerga	2017	93.0%	94.4%	91.1%
Algeria	Hadjout	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Algeria	Hadjout	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Algeria	Hadjret En-nous	2000	97.5%	98.4%	96.4%
Algeria	Hadjret En-nous	2017	97.6%	98.5%	96.5%
Algeria	Haizer	2000	97.0%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Haizer	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	Hamadi Krouma	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Algeria	Hamadi Krouma	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Algeria	Hamadia	2000	91.0%	92.3%	89.4%
Algeria	Hamadia	2017	91.3%	92.5%	89.8%
Algeria	Hamala	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Hamala	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Algeria	Hamma	2000	94.3%	95.5%	93.1%
Algeria	Hamma	2017	94.4%	95.6%	93.2%
Algeria	Hamma Bouziane	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Hamma Bouziane	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Algeria	Hammadi	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Hammadi	2017	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Algeria	Hammam Ben Salah	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Algeria	Hammam Ben Salah	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Hammam Boughrara	2000	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Hammam Boughrara	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Hammam Bouhadjar	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Hammam Bouhadjar	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Hammam Dalaa	2000	92.5%	93.9%	91.1%
Algeria	Hammam Dalaa	2017	92.7%	94.0%	91.3%
Algeria	Hammam Debagh	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Hammam De-bagh	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Hammam Guergour	2000	95.3%	96.1%	94.2%
Algeria	Hammam Guergour	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.4%
Algeria	Hammam Melouane	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Hammam Melouane	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.5%
Algeria	Hammam N'Bail	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Hammam N'Bail	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Hammam Righa	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Hammam Righa	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	Hammam Soukhna	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.0%
Algeria	Hammam Soukhna	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.2%
Algeria	Hammamet	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.1%
Algeria	Hammamet	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Algeria	Hamraia	2000	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Algeria	Hamraia	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.7%
Algeria	Hamri	2000	91.7%	93.1%	90.0%
Algeria	Hamri	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Hamri	2017	91.9%	93.3%	90.2%
Algeria	Hamri	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Hanchir Toumghani	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.3%
Algeria	Hanchir Toumghani	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.5%
Algeria	Hanench	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Hanench	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Hannacha	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Hannacha	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Algeria	Haraoua	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Haraoua	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Haraza	2000	94.7%	96.1%	93.2%
Algeria	Haraza	2017	94.9%	96.2%	93.4%
Algeria	Harbil	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.0%
Algeria	Harbil	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.1%
Algeria	Harchoune	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Algeria	Harchoune	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.4%
Algeria	Hasnaoua	2000	94.7%	95.6%	93.7%
Algeria	Hasnaoua	2017	94.8%	95.7%	93.9%
Algeria	Hassaine Beni Yahi	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Hassaine Beni Yahi	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Hassani Abdelkrim	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Algeria	Hassani Abdelkrim	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	Hassasna	2000	97.7%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Hassasna	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Hassi Bahbah	2000	91.6%	93.1%	90.1%
Algeria	Hassi Bahbah	2017	91.8%	93.2%	90.3%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Abdellah	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Abdellah	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Okba	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Okba	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Hassi Bounif	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Hassi Bounif	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.4%
Algeria	Hassi Dahou	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Algeria	Hassi Dahou	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Algeria	Hassi Delaa	2000	92.9%	94.6%	90.9%
Algeria	Hassi Delaa	2017	93.2%	94.9%	91.4%
Algeria	Hassi El Euch	2000	91.9%	93.4%	90.1%
Algeria	Hassi El Euch	2017	92.1%	93.6%	90.2%
Algeria	Hassi El Ghella	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Hassi El Ghella	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Hassi Fedoul	2000	90.9%	92.4%	89.2%
Algeria	Hassi Fedoul	2017	91.1%	92.6%	89.5%
Algeria	Hassi Fehal	2000	96.4%	97.8%	94.2%
Algeria	Hassi Fehal	2017	96.5%	97.7%	94.3%
Algeria	Hassi Gara	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.0%
Algeria	Hassi Gara	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.3%
Algeria	Hassi Khalifa	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Algeria	Hassi Khalifa	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Algeria	Hassi Mameche	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Hassi Mameche	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Hassi Mef-soukh	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Hassi Mef-soukh	2017	97.9%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Hassi MESSAoud	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.3%
Algeria	Hassi MESSAoud	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.5%
Algeria	Hassi R'Mel	2000	93.1%	94.6%	91.5%
Algeria	Hassi R'Mel	2017	93.3%	94.7%	91.7%
Algeria	Hassi Zehana	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Hassi Zehana	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	Hattatba	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Hattatba	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Helliopolis	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Helliopolis	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Hennaya	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Hennaya	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Herenfa	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Herenfa	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	Hidoussa	2000	95.2%	96.0%	94.2%
Algeria	Hidoussa	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.4%
Algeria	Hoceinia	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	Hoceinia	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Honaine	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.7%
Algeria	Honaine	2017	97.8%	98.6%	96.8%
Algeria	Houari	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Boumedi- ene				
Algeria	Houari	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Boumedi- ene				
Algeria	Hounet	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.1%
Algeria	Hounet	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Algeria	Ibn Ziad	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Ibn Ziad	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Iboudraren	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Iboudraren	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	Ichmoul	2000	96.1%	97.2%	94.5%
Algeria	Ichmoul	2017	96.2%	97.2%	94.6%
Algeria	Idjeur	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Idjeur	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Idles	2000	96.2%	98.0%	93.6%
Algeria	Idles	2017	96.3%	98.0%	93.9%
Algeria	Ifelain Ilma- then	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Ifelain Ilma- then	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Iferhounene	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
Algeria	Iferhounene	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.4%
Algeria	Ifigha	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Ifigha	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Iflissen	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Algeria	Iflissen	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Algeria	Ighil-Ali	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Algeria	Ighil-Ali	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Algeria	Ighrem	2000	96.8%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	Ighrem	2017	96.9%	97.5%	96.1%
Algeria	Igli	2000	96.7%	98.2%	94.5%
Algeria	Igli	2017	96.8%	98.2%	94.8%
Algeria	Illilten	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Algeria	Illilten	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.4%
Algeria	Illizi	2000	96.8%	98.6%	94.4%
Algeria	Illizi	2017	96.9%	98.7%	94.6%
Algeria	Illoula	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Oumalou				

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Illoula Oumalou	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Imsouhal	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Imsouhal	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	In Amenas	2000	96.4%	98.3%	93.7%
Algeria	In Amenas	2017	96.6%	98.3%	93.9%
Algeria	In Ghar	2000	96.9%	98.0%	95.3%
Algeria	In Ghar	2017	97.0%	98.0%	95.6%
Algeria	In Guezzam	2000	96.8%	98.5%	94.2%
Algeria	In Guezzam	2017	96.9%	98.6%	94.4%
Algeria	In M'Guel	2000	96.6%	98.1%	94.7%
Algeria	In M'Guel	2017	96.8%	98.3%	95.0%
Algeria	In Salah	2000	96.6%	98.0%	95.0%
Algeria	In Salah	2017	96.8%	98.0%	95.2%
Algeria	In Zghmir	2000	96.6%	98.0%	94.7%
Algeria	In Zghmir	2017	96.8%	98.0%	95.1%
Algeria	Inoughissen	2000	96.2%	97.2%	94.5%
Algeria	Inoughissen	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.7%
Algeria	Irdjen	2000	97.7%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Irdjen	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Isser	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Isser	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Jijel	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Algeria	Jijel	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Kadiria	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Kadiria	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Kais	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Algeria	Kais	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Algeria	Kalaa	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	Kalaa	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Kalaat Bous-baa	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Kalaat Bous-baa	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Kanoua	2000	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Algeria	Kanoua	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Algeria	Kasdir	2000	93.9%	95.6%	91.9%
Algeria	Kasdir	2017	93.5%	95.1%	91.1%
Algeria	Kef El Ahmar	2000	91.5%	93.4%	89.0%
Algeria	Kef El Ahmar	2017	91.7%	93.7%	89.3%
Algeria	Kenadsa	2000	96.3%	97.5%	94.7%
Algeria	Kenadsa	2017	96.4%	97.5%	94.9%
Algeria	Kendira	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Algeria	Kendira	2017	96.0%	96.9%	95.0%
Algeria	Kerkera	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.7%
Algeria	Kerkera	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Algeria	Kerzaz	2000	96.5%	98.3%	94.2%
Algeria	Kerzaz	2017	96.6%	98.2%	94.6%
Algeria	Khadra	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.8%
Algeria	Khadra	2017	97.9%	98.6%	96.9%
Algeria	Khalouia	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.5%
Algeria	Khalouia	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Khams Djouamaa	2000	96.4%	97.5%	95.3%
Algeria	Khams Djouamaa	2017	96.5%	97.6%	95.3%
Algeria	Khatouti Sed Eldjir	2000	91.7%	93.3%	90.0%
Algeria	Khatouti Sed Eldjir	2017	91.9%	93.4%	90.2%
Algeria	Khedara	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.8%
Algeria	Khedara	2017	97.9%	98.7%	96.9%
Algeria	Kheir Oued Adjoul	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Kheir Oued Adjoul	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Kheiredine	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Kheiredine	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Khelil	2000	94.9%	95.7%	93.9%
Algeria	Khelil	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.0%
Algeria	Khemis El Khechna	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Khemis El Khechna	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Khemis Miliana	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Algeria	Khemis Miliana	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Algeria	Khemissa	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Khemissa	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Khemisti	2000	91.4%	92.6%	90.1%
Algeria	Khemisti	2017	91.7%	92.8%	90.4%
Algeria	Khenchela	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Algeria	Khenchela	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.2%
Algeria	Kheneg	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.0%
Algeria	Kheneg	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.2%
Algeria	Kheng Maoun	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Kheng Maoun	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
Algeria	Khenguet Sidi Nadji	2000	96.5%	97.8%	94.7%
Algeria	Khenguet Sidi Nadji	2017	96.6%	97.8%	94.9%
Algeria	Kherrata	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Algeria	Kherrata	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
Algeria	Khezzara	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Khezzara	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Khirane	2000	95.6%	97.1%	93.8%
Algeria	Khirane	2017	95.7%	97.1%	93.9%
Algeria	Khoubana	2000	92.3%	93.9%	90.4%
Algeria	Khoubana	2017	92.5%	94.1%	90.6%
Algeria	Khraicia	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	Khraicia	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.9%
Algeria	Kimmel	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.5%
Algeria	Kimmel	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.6%
Algeria	Kolea	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Kolea	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	Kouas	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Kouas	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Kouba	2000	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Algeria	Kouba	2017	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	Kouinine	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Algeria	Kouinine	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	Krakda	2000	91.6%	93.5%	89.3%
Algeria	Krakda	2017	91.8%	93.8%	89.6%
Algeria	Ksabi	2000	96.6%	98.3%	94.1%
Algeria	Ksabi	2017	96.7%	98.3%	94.5%
Algeria	Ksar Bellezma	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Algeria	Ksar Bellezma	2017	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Algeria	Ksar Chellala	2000	91.2%	92.9%	89.5%
Algeria	Ksar Chellala	2017	91.5%	93.1%	89.7%
Algeria	Ksar El Abtal	2000	94.9%	95.7%	93.7%
Algeria	Ksar El Abtal	2017	95.0%	95.9%	93.9%
Algeria	Ksar El Boukhari	2000	95.4%	96.8%	94.2%
Algeria	Ksar El Boukhari	2017	95.5%	96.8%	94.3%
Algeria	Ksar El Sbihi	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Algeria	Ksar El Sbihi	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Algeria	Ksar Hirane	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.1%
Algeria	Ksar Hirane	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.2%
Algeria	Ksar Kaddour	2000	96.2%	97.6%	94.3%
Algeria	Ksar Kaddour	2017	96.2%	97.7%	94.4%
Algeria	Ksour	2000	93.8%	94.9%	92.7%
Algeria	Ksour	2017	93.9%	95.0%	92.8%
Algeria	Labioud Med-jadja	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Algeria	Labioud Med-jadja	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	Lac Des Oiseaux	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Lac Des Oiseaux	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Laghouat	2000	91.7%	92.9%	90.3%
Algeria	Laghouat	2017	91.9%	93.0%	90.5%
Algeria	Lahlef	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Lahlef	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Lahmar	2000	95.7%	97.2%	93.8%
Algeria	Lahmar	2017	95.9%	97.3%	94.2%
Algeria	Lakhdaria	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Lakhdaria	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	93.1%	94.5%	91.6%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.2%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Algeria	Larbaa-Nath-Irathen	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Larbaa-Nath-Irathen	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Larbatache	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Larbatache	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Lardjem	2000	92.3%	93.5%	90.9%
Algeria	Lardjem	2017	92.4%	93.6%	90.9%
Algeria	Larhat	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.3%
Algeria	Larhat	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Algeria	Layoune	2000	91.4%	92.6%	90.0%
Algeria	Layoune	2017	91.7%	92.8%	90.4%
Algeria	Lazharia	2000	93.3%	94.5%	91.9%
Algeria	Lazharia	2017	93.4%	94.7%	92.0%
Algeria	Lazrou	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.1%
Algeria	Lazrou	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.3%
Algeria	Leghata	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Leghata	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Algeria	Lemsane	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.6%
Algeria	Lemsane	2017	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Algeria	Lemtar	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	Lemtar	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Algeria	Les Eucalyptus	2000	97.4%	97.8%	96.9%
Algeria	Les Eucalyptus	2017	97.5%	97.9%	97.0%
Algeria	Lichana	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%
Algeria	Lichana	2017	96.5%	97.2%	95.6%
Algeria	Lioua	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Lioua	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	M_Ziraa	2000	96.6%	97.7%	95.2%
Algeria	M_Ziraa	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.4%
Algeria	M'Chedallah	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.7%
Algeria	M'Chedallah	2017	97.0%	97.9%	95.9%
Algeria	M'Cid	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.4%
Algeria	M'Cid	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Algeria	M'Cif	2000	93.1%	94.7%	91.3%
Algeria	M'Cif	2017	93.3%	94.9%	91.5%
Algeria	M'Daourouche	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	M'Daourouche	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	M'Doukal	2000	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Algeria	M'Doukal	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Algeria	M'Kira	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	M'Kira	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	M'Lili	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	M'Lili	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	M'Liliha	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.3%
Algeria	M'Liliha	2017	92.1%	93.4%	90.5%
Algeria	M'Naguer	2000	96.7%	97.3%	95.9%
Algeria	M'Naguer	2017	96.8%	97.4%	96.0%
Algeria	M'Rara	2000	96.5%	97.5%	95.3%
Algeria	M'Rara	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.3%
Algeria	M'Sara	2000	95.9%	97.2%	94.4%
Algeria	M'Sara	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Algeria	M'Sila	2000	91.8%	92.8%	90.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	M'Sila	2017	92.0%	92.9%	90.9%
Algeria	M'Tarfa	2000	92.0%	93.0%	90.8%
Algeria	M'Tarfa	2017	92.2%	93.2%	91.0%
Algeria	M'Toussa	2000	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Algeria	M'Toussa	2017	95.2%	96.2%	93.8%
Algeria	Maacem	2000	91.3%	92.8%	89.4%
Algeria	Maacem	2017	91.5%	92.9%	89.6%
Algeria	Maadid	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.3%
Algeria	Maadid	2017	92.6%	93.8%	91.4%
Algeria	Maafa	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Algeria	Maafa	2017	95.5%	96.6%	94.3%
Algeria	Maala	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Maala	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Maamora	2000	91.0%	92.6%	88.9%
Algeria	Maamora	2000	94.8%	96.2%	93.4%
Algeria	Maamora	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.5%
Algeria	Maamora	2017	91.3%	92.9%	89.2%
Algeria	Maaouia	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.7%
Algeria	Maaouia	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Maarif	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.5%
Algeria	Maarif	2017	92.3%	93.7%	90.7%
Algeria	Maatkas	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Maatkas	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Machroha	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Machroha	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Madna	2000	91.0%	92.9%	89.0%
Algeria	Madna	2017	91.2%	93.1%	89.3%
Algeria	Maghnia	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.5%
Algeria	Maghnia	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Algeria	Magra	2000	93.5%	94.6%	92.0%
Algeria	Magra	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.1%
Algeria	Magrane	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Algeria	Magrane	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.5%
Algeria	Magtaa Douz	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Magtaa Douz	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Mahdia	2000	90.9%	92.2%	89.2%
Algeria	Mahdia	2017	91.2%	92.5%	89.6%
Algeria	Mahelma	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.6%
Algeria	Mahelma	2017	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Algeria	Makhda	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.5%
Algeria	Makhda	2017	94.1%	95.3%	92.6%
Algeria	Makman Ben Amer	2000	92.3%	94.2%	90.2%
Algeria	Makman Ben Amer	2017	92.6%	94.4%	90.6%
Algeria	Makouda	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Makouda	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Mansoura	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.3%
Algeria	Mansoura	2000	96.4%	97.6%	94.7%
Algeria	Mansoura	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Algeria	Mansoura	2017	96.5%	97.6%	94.8%
Algeria	Mansourah	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Mansourah	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Mansourah	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Mansourah	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Maouaklane	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.1%
Algeria	Maouaklane	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.2%
Algeria	Maoussa	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.8%
Algeria	Maoussa	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	Marsa Ben M'Hidi	2000	97.5%	98.6%	96.2%
Algeria	Marsa Ben M'Hidi	2017	97.6%	98.6%	96.3%
Algeria	Marsat El Hadjadj	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Marsat El Hadjadj	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Mascara	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Algeria	Mascara	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.9%
Algeria	Mazouna	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Mazouna	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Mecheria	2000	90.9%	92.6%	88.9%
Algeria	Mecheria	2017	91.1%	92.8%	89.2%
Algeria	Mechouneche	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Algeria	Mechouneche	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
Algeria	Mechraa Houari Boumediene	2000	96.5%	97.9%	94.7%
Algeria	Mechraa Houari Boumediene	2017	96.6%	98.0%	95.0%
Algeria	Mechraa Safa	2000	92.4%	93.7%	90.9%
Algeria	Mechraa Safa	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.2%
Algeria	Mechtrass	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Mechtrass	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Medea	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Algeria	Medea	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.6%
Algeria	Mediouna	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.5%
Algeria	Mediouna	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	Medjana	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.3%
Algeria	Medjana	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.5%
Algeria	Medjaz Ammar	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Medjaz Ammar	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Algeria	Medjaz Sfa	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Medjaz Sfa	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Medjebar	2000	96.1%	97.2%	95.0%
Algeria	Medjebar	2017	96.2%	97.3%	95.0%
Algeria	Medjedel	2000	92.0%	93.7%	90.3%
Algeria	Medjedel	2017	92.2%	93.9%	90.6%
Algeria	Medrissa	2000	90.9%	92.7%	89.0%
Algeria	Medrissa	2017	91.2%	93.0%	89.3%
Algeria	Medroussa	2000	91.2%	92.4%	89.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Medroussa	2017	91.4%	92.7%	90.0%
Algeria	Meftah	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Meftah	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Meftaha	2000	95.2%	96.5%	94.0%
Algeria	Meftaha	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.1%
Algeria	Megarine	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Algeria	Megarine	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Algeria	Megheraoua	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Algeria	Megheraoua	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Meghila	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.3%
Algeria	Meghila	2017	92.1%	93.4%	90.6%
Algeria	Mekhadma	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Mekhadma	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Mekhareg	2000	91.9%	93.2%	90.4%
Algeria	Mekhareg	2017	92.1%	93.3%	90.6%
Algeria	Mekhatria	2000	96.8%	97.6%	96.0%
Algeria	Mekhatria	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	Mekkedra	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Mekkedra	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Mekla	2000	97.7%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Mekla	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Melaab	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.3%
Algeria	Melaab	2017	93.2%	94.5%	91.6%
Algeria	Melbou	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.7%
Algeria	Melbou	2017	97.9%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Mellakou	2000	91.2%	92.3%	90.1%
Algeria	Mellakou	2017	91.5%	92.5%	90.4%
Algeria	Menaa	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.0%
Algeria	Menaa	2000	92.0%	93.7%	90.2%
Algeria	Menaa	2017	92.2%	93.9%	90.4%
Algeria	Menaa	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Algeria	Menaceur	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	Menaceur	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Algeria	Mendes	2000	94.7%	95.9%	93.5%
Algeria	Mendes	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Algeria	Merad	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.6%
Algeria	Merad	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Algeria	Merahna	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Merahna	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Algeria	Merdja Sidi Abed	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Algeria	Merdja Sidi Abed	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.1%
Algeria	Merhoum	2000	92.4%	94.1%	89.9%
Algeria	Merhoum	2017	92.6%	94.3%	90.3%
Algeria	Meridja	2000	96.1%	97.7%	93.9%
Algeria	Meridja	2017	96.1%	97.8%	93.8%
Algeria	Merine	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.4%
Algeria	Merine	2017	94.4%	95.7%	92.7%
Algeria	Merouana	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Algeria	Merouana	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.7%
Algeria	Mers El Kebir	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Mers El Kebir	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Meskiana	2000	95.5%	96.7%	93.9%
Algeria	Meskiana	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.1%
Algeria	Mesra	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Mesra	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Messaad	2000	92.0%	93.2%	90.4%
Algeria	Messaad	2017	92.2%	93.4%	90.6%
Algeria	Messelmoun	2000	97.1%	98.0%	95.9%
Algeria	Messelmoun	2017	97.3%	98.2%	96.2%
Algeria	Metarfa	2000	96.6%	98.0%	94.9%
Algeria	Metarfa	2017	96.7%	98.1%	95.0%
Algeria	Metlili	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.9%
Algeria	Metlili	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%
Algeria	Mezaourou	2000	96.0%	97.0%	94.8%
Algeria	Mezaourou	2017	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Algeria	Mezdour	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.8%
Algeria	Mezdour	2017	94.4%	95.7%	92.9%
Algeria	Mezghrane	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Mezghrane	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Mezloug	2000	94.8%	95.6%	94.0%
Algeria	Mezloug	2017	94.9%	95.7%	94.1%
Algeria	Mezrenna	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Mezrenna	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Mih Ouansa	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Algeria	Mih Ouansa	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.5%
Algeria	Mihoub	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Mihoub	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Mila	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Mila	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Miliana	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Algeria	Miliana	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Algeria	Minar Zarza	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Minar Zarza	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Misserghin	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Misserghin	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Mizrana	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Algeria	Mizrana	2017	97.9%	98.5%	96.9%
Algeria	Mogheul	2000	95.2%	97.1%	92.6%
Algeria	Mogheul	2017	95.3%	97.1%	92.8%
Algeria	Moghrar	2000	91.4%	93.4%	89.4%
Algeria	Moghrar	2017	91.8%	93.7%	89.7%
Algeria	Mohamed Boudiaf	2000	92.6%	94.3%	90.5%
Algeria	Mohamed Boudiaf	2017	92.8%	94.5%	90.8%
Algeria	Mohammadia	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Mohammadia	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Morsot	2000	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Algeria	Morsot	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.4%
Algeria	Mostaganem	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Mostaganem	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Moudjebara	2000	91.9%	93.1%	90.6%
Algeria	Moudjebara	2017	92.1%	93.3%	90.8%
Algeria	Moulay Larbi	2000	91.9%	93.7%	89.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Moulay Larbi	2017	92.1%	93.9%	90.2%
Algeria	Moulay Slissen	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.3%
Algeria	Moulay Slissen	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Algeria	Moussadek	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.2%
Algeria	Moussadek	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Algeria	Mouzaia	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Mouzaia	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Msirda	2000	97.7%	98.6%	96.5%
Algeria	Fouaga				
Algeria	Msirda	2017	97.7%	98.6%	96.5%
Algeria	Fouaga				
Algeria	Mustafa Ben Brahim	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Algeria	Mustafa Ben Brahim	2017	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Algeria	N'Gaous	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.5%
Algeria	N'Gaous	2017	94.9%	96.0%	93.7%
Algeria	N'Goussa	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Algeria	N'Goussa	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Algeria	Naama	2000	91.0%	92.9%	89.0%
Algeria	Naama	2017	91.1%	93.0%	89.0%
Algeria	Naciria	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Naciria	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Nador	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Algeria	Nador	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Algeria	Nadorah	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.2%
Algeria	Nadorah	2017	91.4%	92.7%	89.6%
Algeria	Naima	2000	90.8%	92.1%	89.4%
Algeria	Naima	2017	91.1%	92.3%	89.7%
Algeria	Nakhla	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Algeria	Nakhla	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Algeria	Nechemaya	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Nechemaya	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Nedroma	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Nedroma	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Negrine	2000	96.0%	97.5%	94.0%
Algeria	Negrine	2017	96.1%	97.6%	94.2%
Algeria	Nekmaria	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.8%
Algeria	Nekmaria	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Nesmoth	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.7%
Algeria	Nesmoth	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.8%
Algeria	Nezla	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Algeria	Nezla	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.6%
Algeria	Oggaz	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Oggaz	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ogla Melha	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.6%
Algeria	Ogla Melha	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.7%
Algeria	Oran	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Oran	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.3%
Algeria	Ouacif	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Ouacif	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouadhia	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.7%
Algeria	Ouadhia	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Ouaguenoun	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouaguenoun	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouamri	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.7%
Algeria	Ouamri	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Algeria	Ouanougha	2000	92.9%	94.6%	91.2%
Algeria	Ouanougha	2017	93.0%	94.7%	91.3%
Algeria	Ouargla	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Algeria	Ouargla	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Ouarizane	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	Ouarizane	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Oudjana	2000	98.4%	98.7%	97.9%
Algeria	Oudjana	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued Athmenia	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%
Algeria	Oued Athmenia	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Oued Berkeche	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Oued Berkeche	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Chaaba	2000	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Algeria	Oued Chaaba	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
Algeria	Oued Cheham	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Oued Cheham	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Oued Chorfa	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Algeria	Oued Chorfa	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Oued Chouly	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Oued Chouly	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Oued Djemaa	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.6%
Algeria	Oued Djemaa	2017	96.1%	97.0%	94.9%
Algeria	Oued Djer	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Oued Djer	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	Oued El Abtal	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Algeria	Oued El Abtal	2017	95.2%	96.3%	93.8%
Algeria	Oued El Alenda	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Oued El Alenda	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Oued El Alleug	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued El Alleug	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Oued El Aneb	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Oued El Aneb	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Oued El Barad	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
Algeria	Oued El Barad	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Algeria	Oued El Berdi	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Algeria	Oued El Berdi	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Oued El Djemmaa	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Oued El Djemmaa	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Oued El Kheir	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.8%
Algeria	Oued El Kheir	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Oued El Ma	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.9%
Algeria	Oued El Ma	2017	95.1%	96.2%	94.0%
Algeria	Oued Endja	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Endja	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Oued Essalem	2000	94.4%	95.5%	93.2%
Algeria	Oued Essalem	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.4%
Algeria	Oued Fodda	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Algeria	Oued Fodda	2017	96.8%	97.6%	96.0%
Algeria	Oued Fragha	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Oued Fragha	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Oued Ghir	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Oued Ghir	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Gous-sine	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.3%
Algeria	Oued Gous-sine	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.4%
Algeria	Oued Harbil	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	Oued Harbil	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Oued Kebrit	2000	96.9%	97.8%	95.9%
Algeria	Oued Kebrit	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
Algeria	Oued Lilli	2000	91.6%	92.5%	90.7%
Algeria	Oued Lilli	2017	91.7%	92.7%	90.8%
Algeria	Oued M'Zi	2000	91.3%	93.0%	89.3%
Algeria	Oued M'Zi	2017	91.5%	93.1%	89.5%
Algeria	Oued Mora	2000	91.2%	93.2%	89.0%
Algeria	Oued Mora	2017	91.4%	93.4%	89.3%
Algeria	Oued Nini	2000	95.2%	96.2%	93.9%
Algeria	Oued Nini	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Algeria	Oued Rhiou	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Oued Rhiou	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Oued Sebaa	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.5%
Algeria	Oued Sebaa	2017	95.5%	96.7%	93.7%
Algeria	Oued Sebbah	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Sebbah	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Oued Sefioune	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.4%
Algeria	Oued Sefioune	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.7%
Algeria	Oued Seguen	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.5%
Algeria	Oued Seguen	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.7%
Algeria	Oued Sly	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Algeria	Oued Sly	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Oued Taga	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.7%
Algeria	Oued Taga	2017	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Algeria	Oued Taourira	2000	94.1%	95.5%	92.4%
Algeria	Oued Taourira	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.7%
Algeria	Oued Taria	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Oued Taria	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.5%
Algeria	Oued Tlelat	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Oued Tlelat	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Oued Zenati	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Oued Zenati	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Oued Zhou	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Oued Zhou	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Algeria	Oued Zitoun	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Oued Zitoun	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Ouenza	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.5%
Algeria	Ouenza	2017	96.9%	97.8%	95.6%
Algeria	Ouezra	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.6%
Algeria	Ouezra	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.7%
Algeria	Ouillen	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Algeria	Ouillen	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Algeria	Ouldja Boulbalout	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Ouldja Boulbalout	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Abbes	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Algeria	Ouled Abbes	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.1%
Algeria	Ouled Addi Guebala	2000	92.8%	94.1%	91.3%
Algeria	Ouled Addi Guebala	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.4%
Algeria	Ouled Ad-douane	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Algeria	Ouled Ad-douane	2017	95.3%	96.3%	94.3%
Algeria	Ouled Ahmed Temmi	2000	96.5%	97.7%	95.0%
Algeria	Ouled Ahmed Temmi	2017	96.5%	97.7%	95.2%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2000	96.5%	97.9%	94.8%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2017	96.6%	98.0%	94.9%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Ouled Ammar	2000	94.4%	95.5%	93.0%
Algeria	Ouled Ammar	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.1%
Algeria	Ouled Antar	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.4%
Algeria	Ouled Antar	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.5%
Algeria	Ouled Aouf	2000	95.1%	96.0%	94.1%
Algeria	Ouled Aouf	2017	95.3%	96.1%	94.3%
Algeria	Ouled Attia	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouled Attia	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.0%
Algeria	Ouled Ben Abdelkader	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.3%
Algeria	Ouled Ben Abdelkader	2017	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Algeria	Ouled Bessem	2000	91.5%	92.8%	89.9%
Algeria	Ouled Bessem	2017	91.8%	93.0%	90.1%
Algeria	Ouled Bouachra	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Bouachra	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Algeria	Ouled Boudjemaa	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.8%
Algeria	Ouled Boudjemaa	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Algeria	Ouled Boughalem	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Algeria	Ouled Boughalem	2017	97.8%	98.6%	96.8%
Algeria	Ouled Brahem	2000	94.5%	95.5%	93.2%
Algeria	Ouled Brahem	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.4%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.4%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2000	91.6%	93.1%	90.0%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2017	91.8%	93.2%	90.2%
Algeria	Ouled Chebel	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Ouled Chebel	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Ouled Dahmane	2000	95.1%	96.1%	94.1%
Algeria	Ouled Dahmane	2017	95.1%	96.1%	94.1%
Algeria	Ouled Daid	2000	96.4%	97.4%	95.3%
Algeria	Ouled Daid	2017	96.4%	97.5%	95.4%
Algeria	Ouled Derradj	2000	92.6%	93.8%	91.3%
Algeria	Ouled Derradj	2017	92.8%	94.0%	91.5%
Algeria	Ouled Djellal	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.4%
Algeria	Ouled Djellal	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.5%
Algeria	Ouled Driss	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.6%
Algeria	Ouled Driss	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Algeria	Ouled Fadhel	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Algeria	Ouled Fadhel	2017	95.1%	96.3%	93.8%
Algeria	Ouled Fares	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.5%
Algeria	Ouled Fares	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	Ouled Fayet	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.6%
Algeria	Ouled Fayet	2017	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Algeria	Ouled Gacem	2000	95.5%	96.4%	94.3%
Algeria	Ouled Gacem	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.4%
Algeria	Ouled Hamla	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Algeria	Ouled Hamla	2017	96.2%	97.0%	95.4%
Algeria	Ouled Hebbaba	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Ouled Hebbaba	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Ouled Hedadj	2000	97.4%	97.8%	96.8%
Algeria	Ouled Hedadj	2017	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Ouled Hellal	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Algeria	Ouled Hellal	2017	95.4%	96.5%	94.1%
Algeria	Ouled Khaled	2000	91.8%	92.9%	90.7%
Algeria	Ouled Khaled	2017	92.1%	93.1%	91.0%
Algeria	Ouled Khelouf	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Algeria	Ouled Khelouf	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Khoudir	2000	96.5%	98.3%	93.8%
Algeria	Ouled Khoudir	2017	96.7%	98.3%	94.1%
Algeria	Ouled Kihel	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Kihel	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Ouled Maalah	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Algeria	Ouled Maalah	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.8%
Algeria	Ouled Maaraf	2000	94.1%	95.6%	92.3%
Algeria	Ouled Maaraf	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.5%
Algeria	Ouled Madhi	2000	91.8%	93.1%	90.5%
Algeria	Ouled Madhi	2017	92.0%	93.3%	90.8%
Algeria	Ouled Mansour	2000	91.7%	92.9%	90.3%
Algeria	Ouled Mansour	2017	91.9%	93.0%	90.4%
Algeria	Ouled Mimoun	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Ouled Mimoun	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Ouled Moumen	2000	97.9%	98.7%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Moumen	2017	98.0%	98.8%	97.1%
Algeria	Ouled Moussa	2000	97.4%	97.8%	96.8%
Algeria	Ouled Moussa	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Ouled Rabah	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Rabah	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Ouled Rached	2000	95.3%	96.6%	93.8%
Algeria	Ouled Rached	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.0%
Algeria	Ouled Rahmoune	2000	96.8%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	Ouled Rahmoune	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.1%
Algeria	Ouled Rechache	2000	95.5%	96.7%	94.2%
Algeria	Ouled Rechache	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Algeria	Ouled Riyah	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Ouled Riyah	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Ouled Saber	2000	94.6%	95.5%	93.7%
Algeria	Ouled Saber	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.8%
Algeria	Ouled Said	2000	96.4%	97.9%	94.6%
Algeria	Ouled Said	2017	96.5%	97.9%	94.7%
Algeria	Ouled Sellem	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.8%
Algeria	Ouled Sellem	2017	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Algeria	Ouled Si Ahmed	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.5%
Algeria	Ouled Si Ahmed	2017	94.8%	95.8%	93.6%
Algeria	Ouled Si Slimane	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Si Slimane	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.6%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2000	91.7%	93.3%	90.2%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2017	91.9%	93.5%	90.4%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Mihoub	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Mihoub	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Ouled Slama	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Ouled Slama	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Ouled Slimane	2000	93.8%	95.4%	92.2%
Algeria	Ouled Slimane	2017	94.0%	95.5%	92.4%
Algeria	Ouled Tebben	2000	94.0%	95.2%	92.6%
Algeria	Ouled Tebben	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.8%
Algeria	Ouled Yahia Khadrouche	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Yahia Khadrouche	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2017	96.1%	97.0%	95.0%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Ouled Zaoui	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Algeria	Ouled Zaoui	2017	95.1%	96.2%	93.8%
Algeria	Oulhaca El Gheraba	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.7%
Algeria	Oulhaca El Gheraba	2017	97.8%	98.6%	96.8%
Algeria	Oultene	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.3%
Algeria	Oultene	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.5%
Algeria	Oum Ali	2000	95.7%	97.1%	94.0%
Algeria	Oum Ali	2017	95.8%	97.2%	94.1%
Algeria	Oum Drou	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Oum Drou	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Algeria	Oum El Adhaim	2000	97.0%	97.9%	96.1%
Algeria	Oum El Adhaim	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Oum El Assel	2000	96.6%	98.3%	94.6%
Algeria	Oum El Assel	2017	96.7%	98.4%	94.6%
Algeria	Oum El Bouaghi	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.9%
Algeria	Oum El Bouaghi	2017	95.0%	96.0%	94.0%
Algeria	Oum El Djellil	2000	95.3%	96.6%	94.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Oum El Djellil	2017	95.5%	96.8%	94.3%
Algeria	Oum Laadham	2000	95.1%	96.5%	93.3%
Algeria	Oum Laadham	2017	95.1%	96.5%	93.3%
Algeria	Oum Toub	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Oum Toub	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Algeria	Oum Touyour	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Algeria	Oum Touyour	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.6%
Algeria	Oumache	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Oumache	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Algeria	Ourlal	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Algeria	Ourlal	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Ourmes	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Ourmes	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	Ouyoun El As-safir	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.4%
Algeria	Ouyoun El As-safir	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.5%
Algeria	Ouzzelaguen	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Ouzzelaguen	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Rabta	2000	93.9%	95.1%	92.5%
Algeria	Rabta	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.6%
Algeria	Ragouba	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Ragouba	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Rahouia	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.6%
Algeria	Rahouia	2017	93.1%	94.3%	91.6%
Algeria	Ramdane Djamel	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Ramdane Djamel	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Ramka	2000	94.4%	95.6%	92.8%
Algeria	Ramka	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Algeria	Raml Souk	2000	98.2%	99.0%	97.3%
Algeria	Raml Souk	2017	98.2%	99.0%	97.4%
Algeria	Raouraoua	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Raouraoua	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Ras Ain Amirouche	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Ras Ain Amirouche	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Ras El Agba	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Ras El Agba	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Ras El Aioun	2000	94.6%	95.8%	93.2%
Algeria	Ras El Aioun	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Algeria	Ras El Ma	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.2%
Algeria	Ras El Ma	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.3%
Algeria	Ras El Oued	2000	94.4%	95.4%	93.2%
Algeria	Ras El Oued	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.2%
Algeria	Ras Mi-aad Ouled Sassi	2000	94.9%	96.3%	93.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ras Mi-aad Ouled Sassi	2017	95.2%	96.4%	93.6%
Algeria	Rechaiga	2000	91.1%	92.5%	89.5%
Algeria	Rechaiga	2017	91.4%	92.7%	89.8%
Algeria	Redjem De-mouche	2000	95.0%	96.5%	92.9%
Algeria	Redjem De-mouche	2017	95.1%	96.6%	93.1%
Algeria	Reggane	2000	97.0%	98.1%	95.6%
Algeria	Reggane	2017	97.2%	98.3%	95.9%
Algeria	Reghaia	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Reghaia	2017	97.5%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Reguiba	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Algeria	Reguiba	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Algeria	Rehbat	2000	94.7%	95.8%	93.3%
Algeria	Rehbat	2017	94.7%	95.8%	93.4%
Algeria	Relizane	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	Relizane	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	Remchi	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Remchi	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Remila	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Algeria	Remila	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.7%
Algeria	Ridane	2000	95.5%	96.8%	94.3%
Algeria	Ridane	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.4%
Algeria	Robbah	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Algeria	Robbah	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Algeria	Rogassa	2000	91.5%	93.4%	89.4%
Algeria	Rogassa	2017	91.8%	93.5%	89.6%
Algeria	Roknia	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Roknia	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Algeria	Rosfa	2000	94.4%	95.6%	93.2%
Algeria	Rosfa	2017	94.5%	95.7%	93.3%
Algeria	Rouached	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Rouached	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Roubia	2000	95.7%	97.0%	94.5%
Algeria	Roubia	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.6%
Algeria	Rouiba	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Algeria	Rouiba	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Rouina	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Rouina	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Algeria	Rouissat	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Algeria	Rouissat	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Algeria	Sabra	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.8%
Algeria	Sabra	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Algeria	Safel El Ouiden	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.2%
Algeria	Safel El Ouiden	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.4%
Algeria	Safsaf	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Safsaf	2017	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Safsaf El Ouesra	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Safsaf El Ouesra	2017	95.7%	97.0%	94.2%
Algeria	Saharidj	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.6%
Algeria	Saharidj	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Algeria	Saida	2000	91.6%	92.7%	90.4%
Algeria	Saida	2017	91.8%	92.9%	90.7%
Algeria	Salah Bey	2000	94.6%	95.7%	93.4%
Algeria	Salah Bey	2017	94.8%	95.9%	93.6%
Algeria	Salah Bouchaour	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Salah Bouchaour	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Sali	2000	96.8%	98.0%	94.9%
Algeria	Sali	2017	97.1%	98.2%	95.3%
Algeria	Saneg	2000	95.2%	96.4%	93.8%
Algeria	Saneg	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Algeria	Saoula	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.8%
Algeria	Saoula	2017	97.3%	97.7%	96.9%
Algeria	Sayada	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Sayada	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Sebaa	2000	96.7%	97.9%	95.3%
Algeria	Sebaa	2017	96.8%	97.9%	95.5%
Algeria	Sebaine	2000	90.9%	92.1%	89.5%
Algeria	Sebaine	2017	91.1%	92.4%	89.7%
Algeria	Sebbaa	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Chioukh Sebbaa	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Algeria	Sebdou	2000	96.7%	97.8%	95.4%
Algeria	Sebdou	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.6%
Algeria	Sebgag	2000	90.9%	92.9%	89.0%
Algeria	Sebgag	2017	91.2%	93.1%	89.4%
Algeria	Sebseb	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.5%
Algeria	Sebseb	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.6%
Algeria	Sebt	2000	92.2%	93.6%	90.9%
Algeria	Sebt	2017	92.4%	93.7%	91.0%
Algeria	Sed Rahal	2000	92.2%	93.7%	90.4%
Algeria	Sed Rahal	2017	92.5%	94.0%	90.7%
Algeria	Seddouk	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Seddouk	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Sedjerara	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Sedjerara	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Sedrata	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Sedrata	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Sedraya	2000	96.9%	97.7%	95.9%
Algeria	Sedraya	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Algeria	Sefiane	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.7%
Algeria	Sefiane	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.8%
Algeria	Seggana	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.3%
Algeria	Seggana	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.4%
Algeria	Seghouane	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.8%
Algeria	Seghouane	2017	96.0%	97.0%	94.9%
Algeria	Sehailia	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sehailia	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Algeria	Sehala	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.1%
Algeria	Thaoura	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.2%
Algeria	Selaoua	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Announa	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Algeria	Selma Benzi- ada	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Algeria	Selma Benzi- ada	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Selmana	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.9%
Algeria	Selmana	2017	92.8%	94.2%	91.1%
Algeria	Sendjas	2000	95.8%	96.7%	94.6%
Algeria	Sendjas	2017	96.0%	96.8%	94.9%
Algeria	Seraidi	2000	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Seraidi	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.5%
Algeria	Serdj-El- Ghoul	2000	96.2%	97.2%	95.2%
Algeria	Serdj-El- Ghoul	2017	96.3%	97.3%	95.3%
Algeria	Serghine	2000	91.3%	93.0%	89.5%
Algeria	Serghine	2017	91.5%	93.1%	89.8%
Algeria	Seriana	2000	95.0%	96.1%	93.8%
Algeria	Seriana	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.9%
Algeria	Setif	2000	94.5%	95.2%	93.6%
Algeria	Setif	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.8%
Algeria	Settara	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Settara	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Sfisef	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Algeria	Sfisef	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.7%
Algeria	Sfissifa	2000	91.4%	94.0%	88.9%
Algeria	Sfissifa	2017	91.8%	94.2%	89.4%
Algeria	Si Abdelghani	2000	90.9%	92.3%	89.5%
Algeria	Si Abdelghani	2017	91.2%	92.5%	89.8%
Algeria	Si El Mahd- joub	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Algeria	Si El Mahd- joub	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Algeria	Si Mustapha	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Si Mustapha	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelaziz	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelaziz	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abdeldje- bar	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.7%
Algeria	Sidi Abdeldje- bar	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.9%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelli	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelli	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Sidi Abdel- moumene	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Abdelmoumene	2017	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2000	90.9%	92.7%	88.7%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2017	91.2%	93.0%	89.1%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.5%
Algeria	Sidi Abed	2000	91.4%	92.6%	89.8%
Algeria	Sidi Abed	2017	91.7%	92.9%	90.1%
Algeria	Sidi Ahmed	2000	91.2%	92.7%	89.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ahmed	2017	91.4%	93.0%	89.8%
Algeria	Sidi Aissa	2000	92.6%	94.1%	90.8%
Algeria	Sidi Aissa	2017	92.7%	94.2%	90.9%
Algeria	Sidi Akkacha	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.2%
Algeria	Sidi Akkacha	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ali	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.7%
Algeria	Sidi Ali	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Benyoub	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Benyoub	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Bous-sidi	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Bous-sidi	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Mellal	2000	92.9%	94.2%	91.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Mellal	2017	93.1%	94.3%	91.7%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	93.0%	94.1%	91.8%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.6%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.2%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.7%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ameer	2000	91.5%	93.2%	89.7%
Algeria	Sidi Ameer	2000	91.2%	93.3%	88.6%
Algeria	Sidi Ameer	2017	91.6%	93.4%	89.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ameer	2017	91.5%	93.5%	88.8%
Algeria	Sidi Amrane	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Algeria	Sidi Amrane	2017	96.7%	97.3%	95.8%
Algeria	Sidi Aoun	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Sidi Aoun	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Sidi Baizid	2000	92.0%	93.6%	90.5%
Algeria	Sidi Baizid	2017	92.2%	93.7%	90.7%
Algeria	Sidi Bakhti	2000	91.3%	92.7%	89.6%
Algeria	Sidi Bakhti	2017	91.6%	92.9%	89.9%
Algeria	Sidi Bel Abbes	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Sidi Bel Abbes	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Sidi Belattar	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Belattar	2017	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Adda	2000	97.9%	98.5%	97.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Adda	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Yebka	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Yebka	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Sidi Boubekeur	2000	94.0%	95.1%	92.7%
Algeria	Sidi Boubekeur	2017	94.0%	95.1%	92.8%
Algeria	Sidi Boumediene	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Sidi Boumediene	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Sidi Boussaid	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.5%
Algeria	Sidi Boussaid	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.6%
Algeria	Sidi Boutouchent	2000	92.3%	93.5%	90.8%
Algeria	Sidi Boutouchent	2017	92.5%	93.7%	91.0%
Algeria	Sidi Bouzid	2000	91.0%	92.9%	88.8%
Algeria	Sidi Bouzid	2017	91.2%	93.0%	89.1%
Algeria	Sidi Brahim	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Sidi Brahim	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Sidi Chahmi	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Algeria	Sidi Chahmi	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Algeria	Sidi Chouab	2000	94.7%	96.2%	92.9%
Algeria	Sidi Chouab	2017	94.9%	96.3%	93.1%
Algeria	Sidi Dahou Zair	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.0%
Algeria	Sidi Dahou Zair	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Sidi Damed	2000	94.2%	95.7%	92.4%
Algeria	Sidi Damed	2017	94.3%	95.7%	92.5%
Algeria	Sidi Daoud	2000	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Algeria	Sidi Daoud	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.1%
Algeria	Sidi Djilali	2000	96.1%	97.3%	94.4%
Algeria	Sidi Djilali	2017	96.2%	97.4%	94.4%
Algeria	Sidi Embarek	2000	94.6%	95.5%	93.6%
Algeria	Sidi Embarek	2017	94.7%	95.6%	93.7%
Algeria	Sidi Errabia	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Sidi Errabia	2017	96.7%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Sidi Fredj	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Algeria	Sidi Fredj	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Algeria	Sidi Ghiles	2000	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ghiles	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.6%
Algeria	Sidi Hadjeres	2000	91.8%	93.7%	89.7%
Algeria	Sidi Hadjeres	2017	92.0%	93.8%	90.0%
Algeria	Sidi Hamadouche	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.4%
Algeria	Sidi Hamadouche	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Hosni	2000	91.3%	92.5%	89.9%
Algeria	Sidi Hosni	2017	91.5%	92.7%	90.2%
Algeria	Sidi Kada	2000	95.7%	96.7%	94.5%
Algeria	Sidi Kada	2017	95.8%	96.7%	94.6%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2000	96.2%	97.0%	95.2%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2017	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Algeria	Sidi Khelifa	2000	98.2%	98.5%	97.7%
Algeria	Sidi Khelifa	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khelil	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khelil	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Algeria	Sidi Khettab	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khettab	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Sidi Khouiled	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khouiled	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ladjel	2000	91.2%	93.1%	89.4%
Algeria	Sidi Ladjel	2017	91.4%	93.3%	89.7%
Algeria	Sidi Lahcene	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	Sidi Lahcene	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.7%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2017	97.8%	98.6%	96.8%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Algeria	Sidi Lantri	2000	91.9%	93.3%	90.3%
Algeria	Sidi Lantri	2017	92.4%	93.6%	90.7%
Algeria	Sidi Lazreg	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Algeria	Sidi Lazreg	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.3%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	92.9%	94.7%	91.0%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	93.1%	94.9%	91.2%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Benali				
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.6%
Algeria	Benali				
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	96.1%	97.0%	95.1%
Algeria	Benaouda				
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.2%
Algeria	Benaouda				
Algeria	Sidi Makhoulouf	2000	91.8%	93.3%	89.9%
Algeria	Sidi Makhoulouf	2017	92.1%	93.6%	90.2%
Algeria	Sidi Marouf	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Marouf	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Medjahed	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.6%
Algeria	Sidi Medjahed	2017	97.6%	98.3%	96.8%
Algeria	Sidi Merouane	2000	98.6%	99.0%	98.2%
Algeria	Sidi Merouane	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Algeria	Sidi	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Mezghiche				
Algeria	Sidi	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Mezghiche				
Algeria	Sidi Moussa	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Sidi Moussa	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2000	96.6%	97.6%	95.6%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.8%
Algeria	Sidi Okba	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Sidi Okba	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ouri-ache Tadmaya	2000	97.8%	98.6%	96.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ouri-ache Tadmaya	2017	97.9%	98.6%	96.9%
Algeria	Sidi Rached	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.8%
Algeria	Sidi Rached	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Sidi Saada	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Sidi Saada	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Sidi Safi	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.9%
Algeria	Sidi Safi	2017	97.8%	98.5%	97.0%
Algeria	Sidi Said	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Sidi Said	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Sidi Semiane	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.3%
Algeria	Sidi Semiane	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.6%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	92.1%	93.6%	90.4%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	90.7%	93.3%	87.7%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	92.4%	93.8%	90.6%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	91.0%	93.5%	88.0%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Sidi Tifour	2000	91.0%	93.3%	88.1%
Algeria	Sidi Tifour	2017	91.2%	93.5%	88.3%
Algeria	Sidi Yacoub	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Sidi Yacoub	2017	97.5%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Sidi Zahar	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.3%
Algeria	Sidi Zahar	2017	95.8%	97.1%	94.4%
Algeria	Sidi Ziane	2000	95.6%	96.9%	94.1%
Algeria	Sidi Ziane	2017	95.7%	97.0%	94.3%
Algeria	Sig	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Sig	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.3%
Algeria	Sigous	2000	96.1%	96.9%	94.8%
Algeria	Sigous	2017	96.1%	96.9%	94.9%
Algeria	Sirat	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Sirat	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Skikda	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
Algeria	Skikda	2017	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Algeria	Slim	2000	92.0%	93.5%	89.9%
Algeria	Slim	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.2%
Algeria	Smaoun	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Smaoun	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Sobha	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Sobha	2017	97.3%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Souafli	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Souafli	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Souagui	2000	96.0%	97.1%	94.7%
Algeria	Souagui	2017	96.1%	97.2%	94.8%
Algeria	Souahlia	2000	97.7%	98.5%	96.7%
Algeria	Souahlia	2017	97.7%	98.6%	96.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Souamaa	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Souamaa	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Souani	2000	97.2%	98.1%	96.1%
Algeria	Souani	2017	97.2%	98.2%	96.2%
Algeria	Souarekh	2000	98.1%	99.0%	97.3%
Algeria	Souarekh	2017	98.2%	99.0%	97.3%
Algeria	Sougueur	2000	90.7%	92.1%	89.4%
Algeria	Sougueur	2017	90.8%	92.2%	89.5%
Algeria	Souhan	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.6%
Algeria	Souhan	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Souidania	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.6%
Algeria	Souidania	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.6%
Algeria	Souk Ahras	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Algeria	Souk Ahras	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2000	95.3%	96.3%	93.9%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.1%
Algeria	Souk El Khemis	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Algeria	Souk El Khemis	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.0%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.7%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.0%
Algeria	Souk Naamane	2000	95.4%	96.3%	94.3%
Algeria	Souk Naamane	2017	95.5%	96.4%	94.4%
Algeria	Souk Oufella	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Souk Oufella	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Souk Tleta	2000	97.6%	98.5%	96.4%
Algeria	Souk Tleta	2017	97.6%	98.5%	96.6%
Algeria	Soumaa	2000	92.5%	93.8%	90.9%
Algeria	Soumaa	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Algeria	Soumaa	2017	92.7%	94.0%	91.1%
Algeria	Soumaa	2017	97.4%	98.0%	96.9%
Algeria	Sour	2000	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Sour	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Sour El Ghouzlane	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.6%
Algeria	Sour El Ghouzlane	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.7%
Algeria	Stah Guentis	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.2%
Algeria	Stah Guentis	2017	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Algeria	Staoueli	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Algeria	Staoueli	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Algeria	Stidia	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Stidia	2017	97.8%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Still	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Algeria	Still	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.8%
Algeria	Stitten	2000	91.3%	93.3%	89.0%
Algeria	Stitten	2017	91.6%	93.5%	89.4%
Algeria	T Kout	2000	95.9%	97.0%	94.2%
Algeria	T Kout	2017	96.0%	97.1%	94.3%
Algeria	Tabelbala	2000	96.8%	98.3%	94.5%
Algeria	Tabelbala	2017	96.9%	98.4%	94.8%
Algeria	Tabia	2000	96.9%	97.7%	96.0%
Algeria	Tabia	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Algeria	Tablat	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Tablat	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Tacheta	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.8%
Algeria	Zegagha				
Algeria	Tacheta	2017	96.9%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Zegagha				
Algeria	Tachouda	2000	96.0%	96.9%	95.1%
Algeria	Tachouda	2017	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Algeria	Tadjemout	2000	91.6%	93.2%	89.8%
Algeria	Tadjemout	2017	91.8%	93.4%	90.0%
Algeria	Tadjena	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Tadjena	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Tadjenanet	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Algeria	Tadjenanet	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.5%
Algeria	Tadjrouna	2000	91.1%	93.8%	87.9%
Algeria	Tadjrouna	2017	91.3%	93.9%	88.3%
Algeria	Tadmait	2000	97.7%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Tadmait	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Tadmit	2000	91.8%	93.4%	90.1%
Algeria	Tadmit	2017	92.0%	93.7%	90.3%
Algeria	Tafissour	2000	93.2%	94.8%	91.4%
Algeria	Tafissour	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.7%
Algeria	Tafraoui	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Tafraoui	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Tafraout	2000	95.2%	96.6%	93.6%
Algeria	Tafraout	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.7%
Algeria	Tafreg	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Algeria	Tafreg	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.5%
Algeria	Tagdemt	2000	91.3%	92.4%	90.2%
Algeria	Tagdemt	2017	91.7%	92.7%	90.6%
Algeria	Taghit	2000	96.4%	97.9%	94.7%
Algeria	Taghit	2017	96.5%	97.9%	94.8%
Algeria	Taghlimet	2000	95.8%	96.8%	94.5%
Algeria	Taghlimet	2017	95.9%	96.9%	94.6%
Algeria	Taghzout	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Taghzout	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.7%
Algeria	Taghzout	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Taghzout	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Taglait	2000	93.5%	94.7%	91.9%
Algeria	Taglait	2017	93.6%	94.8%	92.1%
Algeria	Taguedit	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.7%
Algeria	Taguedit	2017	93.7%	95.1%	92.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Taher	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Taher	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Algeria	Taibet	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.7%
Algeria	Taibet	2017	96.9%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Takhemaret	2000	92.3%	93.6%	91.0%
Algeria	Takhemaret	2017	92.4%	93.6%	91.1%
Algeria	Tala Hamza	2000	97.7%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Tala Hamza	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Tala-Ifacene	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Algeria	Tala-Ifacene	2017	95.6%	96.5%	94.4%
Algeria	Taleb Larbi	2000	96.5%	97.7%	94.7%
Algeria	Taleb Larbi	2017	96.6%	97.7%	94.9%
Algeria	Talkhamt	2000	94.9%	96.0%	93.6%
Algeria	Talkhamt	2017	94.9%	96.1%	93.7%
Algeria	Talmine	2000	96.6%	98.1%	94.3%
Algeria	Talmine	2017	96.6%	98.2%	94.4%
Algeria	Tamalous	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Tamalous	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.8%
Algeria	Tamantit	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.1%
Algeria	Tamantit	2017	96.8%	98.0%	95.2%
Algeria	Tamekten	2000	96.6%	97.8%	95.3%
Algeria	Tamekten	2017	96.7%	97.8%	95.3%
Algeria	Tamelaht	2000	91.9%	93.2%	90.3%
Algeria	Tamelaht	2017	92.1%	93.3%	90.4%
Algeria	Tamenghasset	2000	96.1%	97.5%	94.0%
Algeria	Tamenghasset	2017	96.1%	97.6%	94.1%
Algeria	Tamest	2000	96.6%	97.9%	94.8%
Algeria	Tamest	2017	96.9%	98.1%	95.3%
Algeria	Tamezguida	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Algeria	Tamezguida	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Tamlouka	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Tamlouka	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Algeria	Tamokra	2000	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Algeria	Tamokra	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.7%
Algeria	Tamridjet	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
Algeria	Tamridjet	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.5%
Algeria	Tamsa	2000	91.7%	93.2%	90.2%
Algeria	Tamsa	2017	91.9%	93.3%	90.4%
Algeria	Tamtert	2000	96.6%	98.1%	94.5%
Algeria	Tamtert	2017	96.7%	98.1%	94.8%
Algeria	Tamza	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.1%
Algeria	Tamza	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.2%
Algeria	Tamzoura	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Tamzoura	2017	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Taouala	2000	90.8%	93.3%	88.1%
Algeria	Taouala	2017	91.0%	93.4%	88.3%
Algeria	Taoudmout	2000	92.4%	94.1%	90.2%
Algeria	Taoudmout	2017	92.6%	94.3%	90.5%
Algeria	Taougrit	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.2%
Algeria	Taougrit	2017	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Algeria	Taoura	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Taoura	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Taourga	2000	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Taourga	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Taourit Ighil	2000	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Taourit Ighil	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Taouzianat	2000	95.2%	96.3%	94.0%
Algeria	Taouzianat	2017	95.3%	96.4%	94.1%
Algeria	Tarik Ibn-Ziad	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.9%
Algeria	Tarik Ibn-Ziad	2017	94.4%	95.6%	92.9%
Algeria	Tarmount	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.0%
Algeria	Tarmount	2017	92.0%	93.4%	90.2%
Algeria	Taskriout	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Algeria	Taskriout	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.8%
Algeria	Tassadane Haddada	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.2%
Algeria	Tassadane Haddada	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Tassala	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.1%
Algeria	Tassala	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.3%
Algeria	Taxlent	2000	95.0%	96.1%	94.1%
Algeria	Taxlent	2017	95.1%	96.1%	94.1%
Algeria	Taya	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
Algeria	Taya	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.6%
Algeria	Tazgait	2000	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
Algeria	Tazgait	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Algeria	Tazmalt	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Tazmalt	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Tazoult	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Algeria	Tazoult	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.3%
Algeria	Tazrouk	2000	96.7%	98.0%	94.5%
Algeria	Tazrouk	2017	97.1%	98.3%	95.5%
Algeria	Tebesbest	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Algeria	Tebesbest	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Algeria	Tebessa	2000	95.4%	96.4%	94.4%
Algeria	Tebessa	2017	95.5%	96.5%	94.6%
Algeria	Telaa	2000	95.0%	96.0%	93.7%
Algeria	Telaa	2017	95.1%	96.1%	93.9%
Algeria	Telagh	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.0%
Algeria	Telagh	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.1%
Algeria	Telassa	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.3%
Algeria	Telassa	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.4%
Algeria	Teleghma	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Algeria	Teleghma	2017	96.6%	97.3%	95.6%
Algeria	Temacine	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.5%
Algeria	Temacine	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.6%
Algeria	Tenedla	2000	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Algeria	Tenedla	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Algeria	Tenes	2000	97.4%	98.3%	96.1%
Algeria	Tenes	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.2%
Algeria	Teniet El Abed	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.0%
Algeria	Teniet El Abed	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Teniet En Nasr	2000	95.2%	96.2%	94.1%
Algeria	Teniet En Nasr	2017	95.4%	96.3%	94.3%
Algeria	Tenira	2000	96.1%	97.1%	94.8%
Algeria	Tenira	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
Algeria	Terga	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Terga	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Terny Beni Hediél	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Terny Beni Hediél	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Terraguelt	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.1%
Algeria	Terraguelt	2017	96.5%	97.4%	95.3%
Algeria	Terrai Bainnane	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Terrai Bainnane	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Tesmart	2000	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Algeria	Tesmart	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.3%
Algeria	Tessala Lamtai	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Tessala Lamtai	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Tessala-El-Merdja	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.9%
Algeria	Tessala-El-Merdja	2017	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Algeria	Texenna	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Texenna	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.6%
Algeria	Thelidjene	2000	95.4%	96.6%	94.2%
Algeria	Thelidjene	2017	95.6%	96.8%	94.3%
Algeria	Thenia	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Thenia	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Theniet El Had	2000	92.6%	93.7%	91.3%
Algeria	Theniet El Had	2017	92.8%	93.9%	91.4%
Algeria	Thleth Douair	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.4%
Algeria	Thleth Douair	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.4%
Algeria	Tianet	2000	97.9%	98.6%	97.2%
Algeria	Tianet	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.3%
Algeria	Tiaret	2000	91.1%	92.0%	90.2%
Algeria	Tiaret	2017	91.4%	92.2%	90.5%
Algeria	Tiberguent	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Algeria	Tiberguent	2017	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Tiberkanine	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Algeria	Tiberkanine	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Algeria	Tichy	2000	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Algeria	Tichy	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.4%
Algeria	Tidda	2000	92.4%	93.7%	91.1%
Algeria	Tidda	2017	92.6%	93.9%	91.4%
Algeria	Tidjelabine	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Tidjelabine	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Algeria	Tiffech	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.3%
Algeria	Tiffech	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Algeria	Tifra	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.4%
Algeria	Tifra	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.5%
Algeria	Tighanimine	2000	95.8%	97.0%	94.4%
Algeria	Tighanimine	2017	95.9%	97.0%	94.5%
Algeria	Tigharghar	2000	95.7%	96.9%	94.3%
Algeria	Tigharghar	2017	95.7%	96.9%	94.4%
Algeria	Tighenif	2000	96.3%	97.1%	95.3%
Algeria	Tighenif	2017	96.4%	97.2%	95.4%
Algeria	Tigzirt	2000	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Algeria	Tigzirt	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.9%
Algeria	Tilatou	2000	95.2%	96.3%	93.9%
Algeria	Tilatou	2017	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Algeria	Tilmouni	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.2%
Algeria	Tilmouni	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Timezrit	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Timezrit	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Algeria	Timezrit	2017	97.7%	98.3%	96.9%
Algeria	Timezrit	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Algeria	Timgad	2000	95.3%	96.3%	94.1%
Algeria	Timgad	2017	95.4%	96.4%	94.3%
Algeria	Timiaouine	2000	96.3%	98.5%	92.9%
Algeria	Timiaouine	2017	96.6%	98.6%	93.6%
Algeria	Timizart	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Timizart	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.0%
Algeria	Timmimoun	2000	96.5%	97.7%	95.1%
Algeria	Timmimoun	2017	96.6%	97.8%	95.2%
Algeria	Timoudi	2000	96.5%	98.2%	94.0%
Algeria	Timoudi	2017	96.7%	98.2%	94.7%
Algeria	Tin Zaouatine	2000	97.1%	98.7%	94.7%
Algeria	Tin Zaouatine	2017	97.3%	98.8%	95.1%
Algeria	Tindouf	2000	96.3%	98.0%	94.1%
Algeria	Tindouf	2017	96.4%	98.0%	94.4%
Algeria	Tinedbar	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Tinedbar	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Tinerkouk	2000	96.2%	97.6%	94.7%
Algeria	Tinerkouk	2017	96.3%	97.7%	94.8%
Algeria	Tiout	2000	91.1%	93.0%	89.1%
Algeria	Tiout	2017	91.4%	93.2%	89.4%
Algeria	Tipaza	2000	97.4%	98.2%	96.4%
Algeria	Tipaza	2017	97.5%	98.3%	96.5%
Algeria	Tircine	2000	91.0%	92.7%	89.2%
Algeria	Tircine	2017	91.2%	92.9%	89.6%
Algeria	Tirmitine	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Tirmitine	2017	97.7%	98.3%	97.1%
Algeria	Tissemsilt	2000	91.1%	92.3%	89.8%
Algeria	Tissemsilt	2017	91.4%	92.5%	90.1%
Algeria	Tit	2000	96.5%	97.9%	94.5%
Algeria	Tit	2017	96.6%	98.0%	94.7%
Algeria	Tixter	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.6%
Algeria	Tixter	2017	94.9%	95.8%	93.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Tizi	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Algeria	Tizi	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	Tizi Mahdi	2000	96.7%	97.4%	95.8%
Algeria	Tizi Mahdi	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.9%
Algeria	Tizi N'Bechar	2000	95.5%	96.6%	94.2%
Algeria	Tizi N'Bechar	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.3%
Algeria	Tizi N'Tleta	2000	97.3%	98.0%	96.5%
Algeria	Tizi N'Tleta	2017	97.3%	98.0%	96.6%
Algeria	Tizi Ouzou	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Tizi Ouzou	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Tizi-Ghenif	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Tizi-Ghenif	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Tizi-N'Berber	2000	97.1%	98.0%	96.1%
Algeria	Tizi-N'Berber	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.2%
Algeria	Tizi-Rached	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Tizi-Rached	2017	97.7%	98.2%	96.8%
Algeria	Tlemcen	2000	97.4%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Tlemcen	2017	97.5%	98.2%	96.9%
Algeria	Tolga	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.5%
Algeria	Tolga	2017	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Algeria	Touahria	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.1%
Algeria	Touahria	2017	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Algeria	Toudja	2000	97.6%	98.3%	96.7%
Algeria	Toudja	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.9%
Algeria	Tougourt	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Algeria	Tougourt	2017	96.5%	97.3%	95.5%
Algeria	Tousmouline	2000	90.9%	93.0%	88.6%
Algeria	Tousmouline	2017	91.2%	93.2%	89.0%
Algeria	Tousnina	2000	90.8%	92.1%	89.3%
Algeria	Tousnina	2017	91.1%	92.3%	89.6%
Algeria	Treat	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Algeria	Treat	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.5%
Algeria	Trifaoui	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.8%
Algeria	Trifaoui	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.9%
Algeria	Tsabit	2000	96.7%	98.0%	94.9%
Algeria	Tsabit	2017	96.8%	98.1%	95.1%
Algeria	Yabous	2000	95.6%	96.6%	94.0%
Algeria	Yabous	2017	95.6%	96.7%	94.0%
Algeria	Yahia Be-niguecha	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Algeria	Yahia Be-niguecha	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.4%
Algeria	Yakourene	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.4%
Algeria	Yakourene	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.6%
Algeria	Yatafene	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.1%
Algeria	Yatafene	2017	97.2%	97.9%	96.3%
Algeria	Yellel	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Algeria	Yellel	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Algeria	Youb	2000	94.3%	95.7%	92.6%
Algeria	Youb	2017	94.4%	95.9%	92.8%
Algeria	Zaafrane	2000	91.6%	93.2%	89.9%
Algeria	Zaafrane	2017	91.8%	93.3%	90.2%
Algeria	Zaarouria	2000	98.1%	98.6%	97.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Zaarouria	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Zaccar	2000	91.8%	93.2%	90.3%
Algeria	Zaccar	2017	92.0%	93.3%	90.4%
Algeria	Zahana	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Algeria	Zahana	2017	97.6%	98.2%	97.0%
Algeria	Zanet El Beida	2000	95.3%	96.5%	94.0%
Algeria	Zanet El Beida	2017	95.4%	96.6%	94.2%
Algeria	Zaouia El Abidia	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.4%
Algeria	Zaouia El Abidia	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Algeria	Zaouiet Kounta	2000	96.6%	98.0%	94.8%
Algeria	Zaouiet Kounta	2017	96.8%	98.1%	95.1%
Algeria	Zarzour	2000	93.7%	95.2%	92.2%
Algeria	Zarzour	2017	93.9%	95.3%	92.3%
Algeria	Zbarbar El Is- seri	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
Algeria	Zbarbar El Is- seri	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Algeria	Zeboudja	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.0%
Algeria	Zeboudja	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.1%
Algeria	Zeddine	2000	95.9%	96.8%	94.8%
Algeria	Zeddine	2017	96.0%	96.9%	94.8%
Algeria	Zeghaia	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Zeghaia	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Algeria	Zekri	2000	97.3%	98.1%	96.3%
Algeria	Zekri	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.5%
Algeria	Zelfana	2000	96.7%	97.7%	95.4%
Algeria	Zelfana	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.5%
Algeria	Zelmata	2000	93.9%	95.1%	92.5%
Algeria	Zelmata	2017	94.1%	95.4%	92.8%
Algeria	Zemmoura	2000	96.5%	97.3%	95.6%
Algeria	Zemmoura	2017	96.6%	97.4%	95.7%
Algeria	Zemmouri	2000	97.7%	98.3%	97.0%
Algeria	Zemmouri	2017	97.7%	98.4%	97.1%
Algeria	Zenata	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.6%
Algeria	Zenata	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Zeralda	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Algeria	Zeralda	2017	97.2%	97.7%	96.6%
Algeria	Zerdeza	2000	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Zerdeza	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.7%
Algeria	Zeribet El Oued	2000	96.9%	98.0%	95.5%
Algeria	Zeribet El Oued	2017	97.0%	98.1%	95.6%
Algeria	Zerizer	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Algeria	Zerizer	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Algeria	Zerouala	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.1%
Algeria	Zerouala	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ziama Mansouria	2000	97.5%	98.2%	96.5%
Algeria	Ziama Mansouria	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.6%
Algeria	Zighoud Youcef	2000	98.3%	98.7%	97.8%
Algeria	Zighoud Youcef	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Algeria	Zitouna	2000	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Algeria	Zitouna	2000	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Zitouna	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.8%
Algeria	Zitouna	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Algeria	Zmalet El Emir Abdelkader	2000	91.1%	93.1%	89.1%
Algeria	Zmalet El Emir Abdelkader	2017	91.3%	93.3%	89.3%
Algeria	Zorg	2000	95.7%	96.8%	94.3%
Algeria	Zorg	2017	95.8%	96.9%	94.5%
Algeria	Zouabi	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.3%
Algeria	Zouabi	2017	97.1%	97.9%	96.4%
Algeria	Zoubiria	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.3%
Algeria	Zoubiria	2017	96.4%	97.3%	95.4%
Egypt	'Abdin	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	'Abdin	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	'Ain Schams	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	'Ain Schams	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	'Ataqah	2000	97.7%	99.9%	91.7%
Egypt	'Ataqah	2017	97.0%	99.9%	89.5%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 1	2000	99.5%	100.0%	98.1%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 1	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.5%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 2	2000	99.5%	100.0%	96.8%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 2	2017	99.3%	100.0%	94.7%
Egypt	15 Mayu	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	15 Mayu	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Abnub	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Egypt	Abnub	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Egypt	Abu al-Matamir	2000	92.7%	96.5%	80.3%
Egypt	Abu al-Matamir	2017	91.5%	95.7%	79.1%
Egypt	Abu Hammad	2000	80.5%	84.3%	77.0%
Egypt	Abu Hammad	2017	76.6%	79.9%	73.4%
Egypt	Abu Hummus	2000	93.9%	94.9%	92.7%
Egypt	Abu Hummus	2017	90.1%	91.5%	88.2%
Egypt	Abu Kabir	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Egypt	Abu Kabir	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Egypt	Abu Qurqas	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Egypt	Abu Qurqas	2017	98.3%	98.6%	98.0%
Egypt	Abu Radis	2000	79.0%	100.0%	7.0%
Egypt	Abu Radis	2017	78.7%	100.0%	6.8%
Egypt	Abu Tij	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Abu Tij	2017	98.1%	98.4%	97.7%
Egypt	Abu Tisht	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Egypt	Abu Tisht	2017	97.9%	98.2%	97.6%
Egypt	Abu Zenima	2000	81.8%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Abu Zenima	2017	81.5%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dab'ah	2000	97.1%	99.7%	88.8%
Egypt	Ad-Dab'ah	2017	97.0%	99.6%	89.9%
Egypt	Ad-Darb al-Ahmar	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Darb al-Ahmar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dawahy	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Ad-Dawahy	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Ad-Dilinat	2000	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dilinat	2017	96.2%	96.9%	95.4%
Egypt	Ad-Dukhaylah	2000	98.7%	99.4%	97.4%
Egypt	Ad-Dukhaylah	2017	98.1%	99.1%	96.3%
Egypt	Ad-Duqi	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ad-Duqi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Aja	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Egypt	Aja	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.2%
Egypt	Akhmim	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Egypt	Akhmim	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
Egypt	Al-'Ajuzah	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-'Ajuzah	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-'Amriyah	2000	98.8%	99.6%	97.1%
Egypt	Al-'Amriyah	2017	98.3%	99.3%	96.1%
Egypt	Al-'Arab	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arab	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 1	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.2%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 1	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 2	2000	94.9%	99.8%	79.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 2	2017	92.7%	99.6%	71.1%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 3	2000	97.0%	99.9%	81.2%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 3	2017	96.3%	99.9%	77.9%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 4	2000	84.0%	100.0%	31.4%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 4	2017	82.4%	100.0%	27.9%
Egypt	Al-'Atarin	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-'Atarin	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-'Ayyat	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Egypt	Al-'Ayyat	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Egypt	Al-'Idwah	2000	97.9%	98.5%	96.8%
Egypt	Al-'Idwah	2017	97.1%	97.9%	95.6%
Egypt	Al-'Ubur	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Ubur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-'Umranayah	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-'Umranayah	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-'Usayrat	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Egypt	Al-'Usayrat	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Ahram	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-Ahram	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Egypt	Al-Arb'in	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Arb'in	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-Azbakiyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Azbakiyah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Badari	2000	98.1%	98.5%	97.6%
Egypt	Al-Badari	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Egypt	Al-Badrashayn	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Badrashayn	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Bajur	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Bajur	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Baliyana	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Baliyana	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Egypt	Al-Basatin	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Basatin	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-Burulus	2000	98.9%	100.0%	91.9%
Egypt	Al-Burulus	2017	98.7%	99.9%	91.1%
Egypt	Al-Fashn	2000	98.0%	98.6%	97.2%
Egypt	Al-Fashn	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.4%
Egypt	Al-Fath	2000	99.0%	99.1%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Fath	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.2%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum	2000	96.4%	96.8%	95.9%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum	2017	93.9%	94.5%	93.1%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum City	2000	97.4%	98.5%	95.9%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum City	2017	95.3%	97.2%	93.1%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub	2000	93.1%	95.2%	90.7%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub	2017	93.1%	94.9%	90.7%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub 2	2000	71.8%	78.7%	62.8%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub 2	2017	59.9%	68.5%	50.3%
Egypt	Al-Ghanayim	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Egypt	Al-Ghanayim	2017	98.4%	98.8%	98.0%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah	2000	98.3%	99.9%	90.8%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah	2017	97.6%	99.8%	87.5%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah 2	2000	80.1%	100.0%	27.8%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah 2	2017	78.9%	100.0%	23.1%
Egypt	Al-Hammam	2000	97.7%	99.8%	87.7%
Egypt	Al-Hammam	2017	95.4%	99.8%	71.2%
Egypt	Al-Hamul	2000	90.2%	95.4%	83.7%
Egypt	Al-Hamul	2017	87.1%	92.0%	81.2%
Egypt	Al-Hasanah	2000	78.7%	100.0%	20.1%
Egypt	Al-Hasanah	2017	77.9%	100.0%	18.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Hawamidiyah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Al-Hawamidiyah	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Egypt	Al-Husayniyah	2000	92.4%	97.4%	87.5%
Egypt	Al-Husayniyah	2017	90.6%	96.3%	85.2%
Egypt	Al-Ibrahimiya	2000	96.2%	97.1%	95.1%
Egypt	Al-Ibrahimiya	2017	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2000	99.2%	99.9%	96.5%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.5%
Egypt	Al-Janayin	2000	97.1%	99.9%	90.0%
Egypt	Al-Janayin	2017	96.2%	99.8%	87.2%
Egypt	Al-Jumruk	2000	98.9%	99.7%	97.4%
Egypt	Al-Jumruk	2017	98.5%	99.5%	96.8%
Egypt	Al-Kawtar	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Egypt	Al-Kawtar	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Egypt	Al-Khalifa	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Khalifa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Khankah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Khankah	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Khusus	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Khusus	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Laban	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Laban	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Ma'adi	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Ma'adi	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra	2017	97.9%	98.5%	97.3%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 1	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 1	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.2%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 2	2000	98.9%	99.6%	98.1%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 2	2017	98.6%	99.4%	97.8%
Egypt	Al-Mahmudiyah	2000	79.9%	82.7%	77.2%
Egypt	Al-Mahmudiyah	2017	74.0%	77.5%	71.0%
Egypt	Al-Manakh	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Manakh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Manasrah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Manasrah	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Egypt	Al-Manshah	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Manshah	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.4%
Egypt	Al-Manshiyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Manshiyah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah	2000	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 1	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.2%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 2	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 2	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Egypt	Al-Manzilah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.2%
Egypt	Al-Manzilah	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.9%
Egypt	Al-Maraghah	2000	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Egypt	Al-Maraghah	2017	96.8%	97.6%	95.9%
Egypt	Al-Marj	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Marj	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Minya	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Egypt	Al-Minya	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Minya City	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Al-Minya City	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Al-Muntazah	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Egypt	Al-Muntazah	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Egypt	Al-Muski	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Muski	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Qanatir al-Khayriyah	2000	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Egypt	Al-Qanatir al-Khayriyah	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.4%
Egypt	Al-Qanayat	2000	92.1%	95.3%	88.3%
Egypt	Al-Qanayat	2017	87.8%	92.1%	83.1%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah	2000	97.0%	99.2%	94.7%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah	2017	96.3%	98.8%	94.0%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah ash-Sharqiyah	2000	99.0%	99.8%	96.8%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah ash-Sharqiyah	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.1%
Egypt	Al-Qurayn	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.9%
Egypt	Al-Qurayn	2017	98.7%	99.2%	97.9%
Egypt	Al-Qusayr	2000	92.4%	99.6%	78.2%
Egypt	Al-Qusayr	2017	91.6%	99.4%	76.8%
Egypt	Al-Qusiyah	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Egypt	Al-Qusiyah	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Bahariyah	2000	93.8%	100.0%	37.0%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Bahariyah	2017	94.2%	100.0%	22.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Kharijah	2000	97.2%	99.3%	92.8%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Kharijah	2017	96.4%	99.1%	91.4%
Egypt	Al-Waili	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Waili	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Waqf	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Egypt	Al-Waqf	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.7%
Egypt	Al-Warraaq	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Egypt	Al-Warraaq	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Egypt	Al-Wasta	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.7%
Egypt	Al-Wasta	2017	95.0%	95.8%	94.1%
Egypt	An-Nuzhah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	An-Nuzhah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Ancient Cairo	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ancient Cairo	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Ar-Rahmaniyah	2000	83.5%	86.8%	80.2%
Egypt	Ar-Rahmaniyah	2017	78.0%	81.7%	74.4%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 1	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 1	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 2	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 2	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Egypt	Ar-Riyad	2000	98.5%	99.2%	97.5%
Egypt	Ar-Riyad	2017	98.0%	98.8%	96.6%
Egypt	Armant	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Egypt	Armant	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.2%
Egypt	As-Saff	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Egypt	As-Saff	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.9%
Egypt	As-Sajil	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	As-Sajil	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	As-Salam	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	As-Salam	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	As-Salum	2000	92.1%	99.7%	73.1%
Egypt	As-Salum	2017	90.1%	99.6%	66.2%
Egypt	As-Santah	2000	98.3%	99.1%	97.5%
Egypt	As-Santah	2017	97.4%	98.5%	96.2%
Egypt	As-Sayidah Zaynab	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	As-Sayidah Zaynab	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	As-Sinbillawayn	2000	92.1%	93.5%	90.7%
Egypt	As-Sinbillawayn	2017	87.9%	89.8%	86.0%
Egypt	Ash-Shalatin	2000	81.1%	98.8%	50.5%
Egypt	Ash-Shalatin	2017	80.1%	98.8%	46.4%
Egypt	Ash-Sharabiyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Ash-Sharabiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ash-Sharq	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Ash-Sharq	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Ash-Shruq	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Egypt	Ash-Shruq	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Egypt	Ash-Shuhada	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Egypt	Ash-Shuhada	2017	97.9%	98.4%	97.2%
Egypt	Ashmun	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Egypt	Ashmun	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.6%
Egypt	Aswan	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Egypt	Aswan	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Egypt	Aswan City	2000	96.5%	100.0%	65.1%
Egypt	Aswan City	2017	95.9%	100.0%	60.9%
Egypt	Asyut	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Egypt	Asyut	2017	98.2%	98.5%	97.9%
Egypt	Asyut 1	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.5%
Egypt	Asyut 1	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.8%
Egypt	Asyut 2	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Egypt	Asyut 2	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Egypt	At-Tall al-Kabir	2000	96.8%	99.5%	89.1%
Egypt	At-Tall al-Kabir	2017	95.3%	99.2%	85.8%
Egypt	At-Tebin	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	At-Tebin	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Egypt	At-Tur	2000	92.2%	99.6%	78.7%
Egypt	At-Tur	2017	92.8%	99.5%	80.4%
Egypt	Atfih	2000	97.9%	98.7%	96.9%
Egypt	Atfih	2017	96.6%	97.8%	95.0%
Egypt	Awlad Saqr	2000	96.6%	98.0%	93.2%
Egypt	Awlad Saqr	2017	94.7%	96.7%	90.2%
Egypt	Az-Zahir	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zahir	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Az-Zaytun	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Az-Zaytun	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Az-Zohur	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Az-Zohur	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Bab ash-Sha'riyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Bab ash-Sha'riyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Bab Sharqi	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Bab Sharqi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Badr	2000	99.1%	99.7%	98.2%
Egypt	Badr	2000	97.1%	100.0%	49.2%
Egypt	Badr	2017	98.7%	99.5%	97.3%
Egypt	Badr	2017	97.0%	100.0%	49.0%
Egypt	Banha	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Egypt	Banha	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.3%
Egypt	Bani Mazar	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.6%
Egypt	Bani Mazar	2017	98.5%	98.8%	97.9%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf City	2000	91.5%	95.9%	83.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Bani Suwayf City	2017	92.9%	96.2%	86.9%
Egypt	Bani Ubayd	2000	98.9%	99.6%	97.5%
Egypt	Bani Ubayd	2017	98.1%	99.2%	95.9%
Egypt	Baris Shurtah	2000	78.9%	100.0%	1.2%
Egypt	Baris Shurtah	2017	78.4%	100.0%	1.3%
Egypt	Basyun	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Egypt	Basyun	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Egypt	Biba	2000	97.9%	98.4%	97.4%
Egypt	Biba	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Egypt	Bilbays	2000	98.0%	98.7%	96.8%
Egypt	Bilbays	2017	96.8%	97.7%	95.3%
Egypt	Bilqas	2000	93.9%	97.3%	85.0%
Egypt	Bilqas	2017	91.1%	95.2%	82.3%
Egypt	Bir al-'Abd	2000	86.9%	97.7%	67.6%
Egypt	Bir al-'Abd	2017	83.0%	97.2%	57.2%
Egypt	Birkat as-Sab'	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Egypt	Birkat as-Sab'	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Egypt	Biyala	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.2%
Egypt	Biyala	2017	88.6%	90.9%	86.0%
Egypt	Bulaq	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Bulaq	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Bulaq al-Dakrur	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Bulaq al-Dakrur	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Burj al-'Arab	2000	95.5%	99.6%	85.0%
Egypt	Burj al-'Arab	2017	94.6%	99.4%	83.8%
Egypt	Dahab	2000	76.7%	100.0%	2.0%
Egypt	Dahab	2017	75.4%	100.0%	1.6%
Egypt	Damanhur	2000	92.1%	92.9%	91.3%
Egypt	Damanhur	2017	88.1%	89.1%	87.0%
Egypt	Damietta	2000	88.3%	89.7%	87.5%
Egypt	Damietta	2017	86.5%	88.0%	85.5%
Egypt	Damietta 1	2000	94.7%	95.7%	93.7%
Egypt	Damietta 1	2017	91.3%	92.8%	89.8%
Egypt	Damietta 2	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.2%
Egypt	Damietta 2	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.4%
Egypt	Dar as-Salam	2000	99.0%	99.1%	98.7%
Egypt	Dar as-Salam	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.4%
Egypt	Daraw	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Egypt	Daraw	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.1%
Egypt	Dayr Mawas	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Egypt	Dayr Mawas	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
Egypt	Dayrut	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.2%
Egypt	Dayrut	2017	99.1%	99.2%	98.9%
Egypt	Dikirnis	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Dikirnis	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Dishna	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
Egypt	Dishna	2017	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Egypt	Disuq	2000	97.7%	98.4%	96.8%
Egypt	Disuq	2017	96.3%	97.5%	95.0%
Egypt	Diyarb Najm	2000	93.1%	94.4%	91.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Diyarb Najm	2017	89.5%	91.2%	87.7%
Egypt	Fa'id	2000	99.1%	99.7%	96.4%
Egypt	Fa'id	2017	98.9%	99.6%	95.3%
Egypt	Faisal	2000	94.6%	99.7%	83.8%
Egypt	Faisal	2017	94.3%	99.6%	83.7%
Egypt	Faqus	2000	99.3%	99.8%	97.8%
Egypt	Faqus	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.2%
Egypt	Faraskur	2000	85.5%	87.7%	83.4%
Egypt	Faraskur	2017	79.9%	82.4%	77.5%
Egypt	Farshut	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Egypt	Farshut	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Egypt	Fuwah	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.5%
Egypt	Fuwah	2017	93.5%	94.5%	92.3%
Egypt	Gamsa	2000	76.3%	83.0%	67.2%
Egypt	Gamsa	2017	66.9%	75.3%	56.4%
Egypt	Giza	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Egypt	Giza	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.4%
Egypt	Hada'iq	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Hada'iq al-Qubbah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Hawsh 'Isa	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Egypt	Hawsh 'Isa	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Egypt	Heliopolis	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Heliopolis	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Helwan	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Helwan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Hihya	2000	96.6%	97.3%	95.9%
Egypt	Hihya	2017	95.1%	96.0%	93.9%
Egypt	Ibshaway	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.8%
Egypt	Ibshaway	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.5%
Egypt	Idfu	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Egypt	Idfu	2017	99.1%	99.2%	98.9%
Egypt	Idku	2000	93.9%	95.3%	92.3%
Egypt	Idku	2017	92.3%	94.0%	90.4%
Egypt	Ihnasiya	2000	97.9%	98.3%	97.4%
Egypt	Ihnasiya	2017	96.0%	96.7%	95.3%
Egypt	Imbahah	2000	94.8%	95.8%	93.7%
Egypt	Imbahah	2017	92.4%	93.5%	91.1%
Egypt	Ismailia	2000	98.9%	99.6%	97.3%
Egypt	Ismailia	2017	98.5%	99.3%	96.4%
Egypt	Ismailia 1	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Egypt	Ismailia 1	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Egypt	Ismailia 2	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Ismailia 2	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Egypt	Ismailia 3	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Ismailia 3	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Egypt	Isna	2000	98.3%	98.6%	97.9%
Egypt	Isna	2017	97.8%	98.1%	97.4%
Egypt	Itsa	2000	89.6%	90.9%	88.3%
Egypt	Itsa	2017	84.0%	85.7%	82.3%
Egypt	Ityay al-Barud	2000	96.4%	96.9%	95.9%
Egypt	Ityay al-Barud	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Jirja	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Egypt	Jirja	2017	98.1%	98.4%	97.6%
Egypt	Juhaynah al-Gharbiyah	2000	98.1%	98.4%	97.7%
Egypt	Juhaynah al-Gharbiyah	2017	97.3%	97.7%	96.7%
Egypt	Kafr ad-Dawwar	2000	97.8%	98.1%	97.4%
Egypt	Kafr ad-Dawwar	2017	96.6%	97.0%	96.1%
Egypt	Kafr ash-Shaykh	2000	99.1%	99.5%	97.9%
Egypt	Kafr ash-Shaykh	2017	98.5%	99.2%	97.1%
Egypt	Kafr az-Zayyat	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Egypt	Kafr az-Zayyat	2017	98.1%	98.4%	97.8%
Egypt	Kafr Sa'd	2000	89.0%	90.0%	87.8%
Egypt	Kafr Sa'd	2017	84.0%	85.5%	82.5%
Egypt	Kafr Saqr	2000	96.4%	98.2%	89.8%
Egypt	Kafr Saqr	2017	94.3%	97.3%	84.9%
Egypt	Kafr Shukr	2000	97.5%	98.0%	97.0%
Egypt	Kafr Shukr	2017	95.9%	96.7%	95.2%
Egypt	Karmuz	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Karmuz	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Kawm Hamadah	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Egypt	Kawm Hamadah	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Egypt	Kawm Umbu	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Egypt	Kawm Umbu	2017	99.1%	99.2%	98.9%
Egypt	Kirdasah	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Egypt	Kirdasah	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Egypt	Luxor	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Egypt	Luxor	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Egypt	Maghaghah	2000	99.1%	99.3%	99.0%
Egypt	Maghaghah	2017	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Egypt	Mahalat Dimnah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Mahalat Dimnah	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Mallawi	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.4%
Egypt	Mallawi	2017	97.8%	98.1%	97.5%
Egypt	Mallawi City	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Egypt	Mallawi City	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Egypt	Manfalut	2000	97.8%	98.4%	96.9%
Egypt	Manfalut	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.0%
Egypt	Marina al-'Alamayn as-Siyahiyah	2000	94.8%	100.0%	66.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Marina al-'Alamayn as-Siyahiyah	2017	95.1%	100.0%	65.0%
Egypt	Marsa 'Alam	2000	80.3%	99.7%	41.7%
Egypt	Marsa 'Alam	2017	79.0%	99.7%	34.9%
Egypt	Marsa Matruh	2000	98.7%	99.8%	96.9%
Egypt	Marsa Matruh	2017	98.3%	99.6%	96.1%
Egypt	Mashtul as-Suq	2000	87.0%	89.0%	84.9%
Egypt	Mashtul as-Suq	2017	80.7%	83.2%	78.1%
Egypt	Matay	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.5%
Egypt	Matay	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.2%
Egypt	Minuf	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Minuf	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Minuf City	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Minuf City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Minya al-Qamh	2000	93.5%	94.4%	92.4%
Egypt	Minya al-Qamh	2017	89.7%	91.0%	88.1%
Egypt	Minyat an-Nasr	2000	96.1%	97.4%	94.7%
Egypt	Minyat an-Nasr	2017	96.0%	97.2%	94.5%
Egypt	Mit Ghamr	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.6%
Egypt	Mit Ghamr	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.7%
Egypt	Mit Salsil	2000	86.1%	92.3%	77.0%
Egypt	Mit Salsil	2017	85.2%	91.8%	74.1%
Egypt	Monshat Nasr	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Monshat Nasr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Mubarak - Sharq at-Tafri'tah	2000	85.2%	93.7%	76.9%
Egypt	Mubarak - Sharq at-Tafri'tah	2017	86.5%	94.0%	80.3%
Egypt	Muharam Bik	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Muharam Bik	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Mutubis	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
Egypt	Mutubis	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.4%
Egypt	Nabaruh	2000	98.6%	99.3%	98.0%
Egypt	Nabaruh	2017	97.7%	98.8%	96.6%
Egypt	Naj' Ham-madi	2000	99.1%	99.2%	98.9%
Egypt	Naj' Ham-madi	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.4%
Egypt	Nakhl	2000	74.4%	99.3%	27.2%
Egypt	Nakhl	2017	73.2%	99.1%	23.6%
Egypt	Naqadah	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Egypt	Naqadah	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Egypt	Nasir Bush	2000	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Egypt	Nasir Bush	2017	97.4%	98.1%	96.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Nasr	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Egypt	Nasr	2017	99.0%	99.1%	98.8%
Egypt	Nasr City 1	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Nasr City 1	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	Nasr City 2	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Nasr City 2	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	New Akhmim City	2000	99.5%	100.0%	98.1%
Egypt	New Akhmim City	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.6%
Egypt	New Asyut City	2000	97.2%	99.3%	90.2%
Egypt	New Asyut City	2017	96.7%	99.0%	89.2%
Egypt	New Burj al-'Arab City	2000	94.2%	99.8%	72.1%
Egypt	New Burj al-'Arab City	2017	92.8%	99.8%	66.2%
Egypt	New Cairo 1	2000	99.7%	100.0%	97.4%
Egypt	New Cairo 1	2017	99.5%	100.0%	94.6%
Egypt	New Cairo 2	2000	99.6%	100.0%	94.0%
Egypt	New Cairo 2	2017	99.3%	100.0%	90.5%
Egypt	New Cairo 3	2000	99.6%	100.0%	97.0%
Egypt	New Cairo 3	2017	99.3%	100.0%	92.9%
Egypt	New Damietta City	2000	92.7%	93.8%	91.8%
Egypt	New Damietta City	2017	90.0%	91.4%	88.9%
Egypt	New Minya City	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Egypt	New Minya City	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	New Salhiyah	2000	98.6%	99.5%	95.8%
Egypt	New Salhiyah	2017	98.2%	99.1%	95.7%
Egypt	New Sawhaj City	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Egypt	New Sawhaj City	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Egypt	New Tushka City	2000	75.1%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	New Tushka City	2017	74.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Nuweiba'	2000	74.8%	100.0%	18.5%
Egypt	Nuweiba'	2017	73.9%	100.0%	18.8%
Egypt	Port al-Basal	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Port al-Basal	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Port Alexandria Police Department	2000	98.5%	99.6%	96.5%
Egypt	Port Alexandria Police Department	2017	98.0%	99.4%	95.6%
Egypt	Port Fuad	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Port Fuad	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Egypt	Port Fuad 2	2000	95.6%	98.7%	92.2%
Egypt	Port Fuad 2	2017	96.7%	98.9%	94.5%
Egypt	Port of Damietta Police Department	2000	91.4%	93.2%	88.6%
Egypt	Port of Damietta Police Department	2017	90.0%	92.2%	85.8%
Egypt	Port Sa'id Police Department	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Port Sa'id Police Department	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Port Suez Police Department	2000	99.8%	100.0%	98.4%
Egypt	Port Suez Police Department	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.7%
Egypt	Qaha	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Qaha	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Egypt	Qallin	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.4%
Egypt	Qallin	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.5%
Egypt	Qalyub	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Egypt	Qalyub	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Egypt	Qasr an-Nil	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Qasr an-Nil	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Qift	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Egypt	Qift	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Egypt	Qina	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Egypt	Qina	2017	98.4%	98.7%	98.0%
Egypt	Qina City	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Qina City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Qus	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Egypt	Qus	2017	98.5%	98.7%	98.3%
Egypt	Qutur	2000	99.0%	99.5%	98.3%
Egypt	Qutur	2017	98.5%	99.2%	97.5%
Egypt	Quwaysina	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Quwaysina	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Rafah	2000	96.6%	99.8%	85.9%
Egypt	Rafah	2017	95.8%	99.7%	81.4%
Egypt	Ras Gharib	2000	94.1%	99.9%	81.8%
Egypt	Ras Gharib	2017	93.8%	99.8%	78.8%
Egypt	Ras Sidr	2000	89.5%	100.0%	62.0%
Egypt	Ras Sidr	2017	89.8%	100.0%	59.5%
Egypt	Rosetta	2000	87.4%	93.8%	79.4%
Egypt	Rosetta	2017	85.2%	92.0%	76.5%
Egypt	Rud al-Faraj	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Rud al-Faraj	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Sadat City	2000	96.7%	100.0%	90.2%
Egypt	Sadat City	2017	95.9%	99.9%	85.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Safaja	2000	98.1%	99.9%	91.8%
Egypt	Safaja	2017	98.6%	99.9%	94.9%
Egypt	Sahil Salim	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Egypt	Sahil Salim	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Egypt	Samalut	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Egypt	Samalut	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.7%
Egypt	Samannud	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Egypt	Samannud	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.5%
Egypt	Sant Katrin	2000	77.8%	100.0%	23.9%
Egypt	Sant Katrin	2017	75.7%	100.0%	20.4%
Egypt	Saqultah	2000	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
Egypt	Saqultah	2017	97.8%	98.4%	97.1%
Egypt	Sawhaj	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Egypt	Sawhaj	2017	99.1%	99.2%	98.9%
Egypt	Sawhaj 2	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Egypt	Sawhaj 2	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Egypt	Sharm el-Sheikh	2000	82.7%	99.9%	34.8%
Egypt	Sharm el-Sheikh	2017	84.1%	99.8%	46.1%
Egypt	Sheikh Zawid	2000	89.9%	99.9%	59.5%
Egypt	Sheikh Zawid	2017	88.7%	99.9%	57.4%
Egypt	Sheikh Zayed	2000	99.6%	99.9%	97.9%
Egypt	Sheikh Zayed	2017	99.3%	99.9%	95.8%
Egypt	Shibin al-Kawm	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Egypt	Shibin al-Kawm	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.7%
Egypt	Shibin al-Qanatir	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.6%
Egypt	Shibin al-Qanatir	2017	95.3%	96.2%	94.6%
Egypt	Shirbin	2000	89.9%	91.6%	88.0%
Egypt	Shirbin	2017	85.6%	87.7%	83.2%
Egypt	Shubra	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Shubra	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 1	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 1	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 2	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 2	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Egypt	Shubra Khit	2000	89.2%	91.3%	87.0%
Egypt	Shubra Khit	2017	84.9%	87.6%	82.3%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Dakhlah	2000	98.3%	99.7%	94.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Shurtah al-Dakhlah	2017	98.0%	99.5%	94.1%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Farafirah	2000	96.8%	100.0%	86.1%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Farafirah	2017	95.3%	100.0%	80.8%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Qasimah	2000	78.3%	99.7%	33.9%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Qasimah	2017	77.0%	99.6%	28.0%
Egypt	Shurtah Rumanah	2000	96.2%	100.0%	55.3%
Egypt	Shurtah Rumanah	2017	95.1%	100.0%	43.5%
Egypt	Sidfa	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.4%
Egypt	Sidfa	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Egypt	Sidi Barrani	2000	92.9%	99.7%	77.9%
Egypt	Sidi Barrani	2017	90.4%	99.5%	71.8%
Egypt	Sidi Jabir	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Sidi Jabir	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Sidi Salim	2000	93.5%	95.1%	91.6%
Egypt	Sidi Salim	2017	90.6%	92.6%	87.9%
Egypt	Sinnuris	2000	92.5%	93.4%	91.5%
Egypt	Sinnuris	2017	88.8%	90.0%	87.6%
Egypt	Sirs al-Layyanah	2000	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Egypt	Sirs al-Layyanah	2017	99.3%	99.9%	98.3%
Egypt	Siwa	2000	96.0%	100.0%	85.4%
Egypt	Siwa	2017	95.3%	99.9%	83.8%
Egypt	Sixth of October 1 City	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Sixth of October 1 City	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Egypt	Sixth of October 2 City	2000	99.5%	100.0%	98.6%
Egypt	Sixth of October 2 City	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.5%
Egypt	Suez	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Suez	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.4%
Egypt	Sumusta al-Waqf	2000	98.4%	98.8%	98.1%
Egypt	Sumusta al-Waqf	2017	97.4%	97.9%	96.8%
Egypt	Taba	2000	74.5%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Taba	2017	73.6%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Tahta	2000	97.4%	97.7%	97.1%
Egypt	Tahta	2017	96.5%	96.9%	96.1%
Egypt	Tahta City	2000	98.1%	98.4%	97.8%
Egypt	Tahta City	2017	97.4%	97.7%	96.9%
Egypt	Tala	2000	96.6%	97.2%	95.8%
Egypt	Tala	2017	94.4%	95.4%	93.4%
Egypt	Talkha	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Talkha	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.3%
Egypt	Tamiyah	2000	89.4%	90.3%	88.3%
Egypt	Tamiyah	2017	83.7%	84.9%	82.4%
Egypt	Tanta	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Egypt	Tanta	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Egypt	Tanta 1	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Egypt	Tanta 1	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Egypt	Tanta 2	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Egypt	Tanta 2	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Egypt	Tibah Police Dept.	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Egypt	Tibah Police Dept.	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Egypt	Tima	2000	96.4%	96.8%	95.9%
Egypt	Tima	2017	95.3%	95.9%	94.7%
Egypt	Timay al-Imdid	2000	98.0%	99.7%	87.3%
Egypt	Timay al-Imdid	2017	96.9%	99.5%	81.8%
Egypt	Tukh	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.5%
Egypt	Tukh	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Egypt	Turah	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Egypt	Turah	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.6%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Buhayrah	2000	92.1%	99.6%	85.3%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Buhayrah	2017	93.1%	99.6%	87.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Fayoum	2000	89.7%	91.9%	87.4%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Fayoum	2017	84.5%	87.2%	81.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Iskandariyah	2000	81.3%	100.0%	11.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Iskandariyah	2017	79.9%	100.0%	10.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Jizah	2000	97.9%	98.9%	95.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Jizah	2017	97.3%	98.3%	93.8%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Minya	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.1%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Minya	2017	98.2%	98.7%	97.3%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qahirah	2000	99.4%	100.0%	94.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qahirah	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qalyubiyah	2000	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qalyubiyah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Uqsur	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Uqsur	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Ash Sharqiyah	2000	98.0%	99.7%	95.2%
Egypt	Unorganized in Ash Sharqiyah	2017	97.9%	99.7%	94.3%
Egypt	Unorganized in Aswan	2000	98.5%	99.3%	97.2%
Egypt	Unorganized in Aswan	2017	98.2%	99.1%	96.8%
Egypt	Unorganized in Asyut	2000	97.5%	98.1%	96.6%
Egypt	Unorganized in Asyut	2017	96.6%	97.5%	95.5%
Egypt	Unorganized in Bani Suwayf	2000	95.9%	96.8%	95.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Bani Suwayf	2017	93.2%	94.4%	91.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Qina	2000	98.6%	98.8%	98.4%
Egypt	Unorganized in Qina	2017	98.2%	98.4%	97.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Suhaj	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Egypt	Unorganized in Suhaj	2017	98.2%	98.5%	98.0%
Egypt	Wadi Al-Natron	2000	97.2%	99.9%	94.2%
Egypt	Wadi Al-Natron	2017	98.2%	99.9%	95.6%
Egypt	West Nubariyah	2000	82.0%	100.0%	14.1%
Egypt	West Nubariyah	2017	81.3%	100.0%	11.9%
Egypt	Yusuf as-Sidiq	2000	96.0%	96.9%	94.7%
Egypt	Yusuf as-Sidiq	2017	93.3%	94.9%	90.6%
Egypt	Zamalik	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Zamalik	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Egypt	Zaqaziq	2000	95.3%	96.4%	94.0%
Egypt	Zaqaziq	2017	92.6%	94.0%	91.0%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 1	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Zaqaziq 1	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 2	2000	98.9%	99.5%	98.1%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 2	2017	98.4%	99.2%	97.4%
Egypt	Zarqa	2000	89.4%	91.1%	87.8%
Egypt	Zarqa	2017	87.0%	88.6%	85.6%
Egypt	Zawiyya	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Egypt	Al-Hamra				
Egypt	Zawiyya	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Egypt	Al-Hamra				
Egypt	Zifta	2000	98.8%	99.4%	98.2%
Egypt	Zifta	2017	98.0%	98.9%	97.1%
Iraq	Abu al Khasib	2000	68.9%	81.7%	54.8%
Iraq	Abu al Khasib	2017	98.4%	99.5%	96.7%
Iraq	Abu Ghraib	2000	84.3%	92.1%	74.8%
Iraq	Abu Ghraib	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.0%
Iraq	Ad Diwaniyah	2000	72.9%	82.6%	62.5%
Iraq	Ad Diwaniyah	2017	98.4%	99.3%	97.2%
Iraq	Adhamiya	2000	81.6%	89.5%	71.9%
Iraq	Adhamiya	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Iraq	Afak	2000	71.6%	80.5%	60.0%
Iraq	Afak	2017	98.3%	99.1%	97.1%
Iraq	Ain Al Tamur	2000	75.5%	85.3%	61.8%
Iraq	Ain Al Tamur	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.1%
Iraq	Akre	2000	82.0%	89.9%	72.4%
Iraq	Akre	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Iraq	Al Amarah	2000	68.6%	75.1%	61.5%
Iraq	Al Amarah	2017	98.1%	98.9%	97.0%
Iraq	Al Ba'aj	2000	84.1%	87.8%	80.3%
Iraq	Al Ba'aj	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Iraq	Al Door	2000	77.2%	85.1%	67.6%
Iraq	Al Door	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.6%
Iraq	Al Fallujah	2000	84.8%	89.4%	79.4%
Iraq	Al Fallujah	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Iraq	Al Faw	2000	72.5%	86.7%	57.0%
Iraq	Al Faw	2017	98.7%	99.5%	96.8%
Iraq	Al Haditha	2000	90.2%	94.2%	84.6%
Iraq	Al Haditha	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Iraq	Al Ham-daniyah	2000	81.9%	88.9%	74.2%
Iraq	Al Ham-daniyah	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Iraq	Al Hamza	2000	68.5%	78.4%	57.7%
Iraq	Al Hamza	2017	98.1%	99.0%	96.9%
Iraq	Al Hashimiyah	2000	69.7%	79.9%	58.0%
Iraq	Al Hashimiyah	2017	98.2%	99.1%	96.7%
Iraq	Al Hayy	2000	69.2%	79.6%	57.2%
Iraq	Al Hayy	2017	98.4%	99.2%	96.7%
Iraq	Al Hillah	2000	70.2%	82.6%	56.2%
Iraq	Al Hillah	2017	98.1%	99.4%	96.0%
Iraq	Al Jadwal al Gharbi	2000	72.1%	84.9%	57.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Al Jadwal al Gharbi	2017	98.6%	99.6%	96.8%
Iraq	Al Kahla	2000	69.7%	83.6%	52.7%
Iraq	Al Kahla	2017	98.6%	99.5%	96.4%
Iraq	Al Khalis	2000	76.7%	85.1%	66.4%
Iraq	Al Khalis	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.6%
Iraq	Al Khithir	2000	70.5%	76.2%	63.4%
Iraq	Al Khithir	2017	98.7%	99.2%	97.9%
Iraq	Al Kufa	2000	72.0%	85.7%	57.8%
Iraq	Al Kufa	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.5%
Iraq	Al Kut	2000	69.5%	76.9%	62.2%
Iraq	Al Kut	2017	98.4%	99.1%	97.4%
Iraq	Al Madiana	2000	72.2%	83.6%	60.2%
Iraq	Al Madiana	2017	98.5%	99.5%	97.2%
Iraq	Al Mahawil	2000	66.9%	77.8%	54.6%
Iraq	Al Mahawil	2017	98.2%	99.2%	96.6%
Iraq	Al Manathera	2000	71.5%	83.8%	57.4%
Iraq	Al Manathera	2017	98.7%	99.5%	97.5%
Iraq	Al Miamona	2000	70.6%	80.7%	58.4%
Iraq	Al Miamona	2017	98.5%	99.4%	97.3%
Iraq	Al Mijar al Kabir	2000	65.8%	78.7%	51.0%
Iraq	Al Mijar al Kabir	2017	98.3%	99.2%	96.6%
Iraq	Al Miq-dadiyah	2000	75.9%	84.4%	65.2%
Iraq	Al Miq-dadiyah	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.7%
Iraq	Al Misiab	2000	70.4%	86.4%	52.3%
Iraq	Al Misiab	2017	98.6%	99.7%	96.2%
Iraq	Al Noamania	2000	68.1%	78.6%	54.1%
Iraq	Al Noamania	2017	98.0%	99.0%	96.5%
Iraq	Al Qa'im	2000	92.7%	95.3%	89.2%
Iraq	Al Qa'im	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Iraq	Al Qurnah	2000	73.3%	82.1%	63.9%
Iraq	Al Qurnah	2017	98.6%	99.4%	97.5%
Iraq	Al Shikhan	2000	85.9%	92.6%	75.2%
Iraq	Al Shikhan	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Iraq	Al Shirkat	2000	76.8%	86.4%	63.7%
Iraq	Al Shirkat	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Iraq	Al Zubair	2000	71.1%	78.2%	63.8%
Iraq	Al Zubair	2017	98.6%	99.2%	97.7%
Iraq	Al-Faris	2000	75.9%	86.3%	62.9%
Iraq	Al-Faris	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.6%
Iraq	Al-Mada'in	2000	69.8%	83.9%	53.4%
Iraq	Al-Mada'in	2017	98.6%	99.5%	97.1%
Iraq	Ali al Gharbi	2000	73.2%	80.6%	63.8%
Iraq	Ali al Gharbi	2017	98.9%	99.4%	98.0%
Iraq	Amedi	2000	79.7%	86.0%	72.3%
Iraq	Amedi	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.8%
Iraq	An Nasiriyah	2000	66.7%	74.8%	56.9%
Iraq	An Nasiriyah	2017	98.6%	99.2%	97.8%
Iraq	Anah	2000	91.6%	94.8%	87.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Anah	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Iraq	Ar Ramadi	2000	90.4%	93.4%	86.4%
Iraq	Ar Ramadi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Iraq	Ar Rutbah	2000	85.7%	88.3%	83.1%
Iraq	Ar Rutbah	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Iraq	Arbil	2000	87.7%	91.7%	82.2%
Iraq	Arbil	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Iraq	As Salman	2000	73.2%	79.2%	66.9%
Iraq	As Salman	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.0%
Iraq	As Samawah	2000	55.7%	62.9%	47.6%
Iraq	As Samawah	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.4%
Iraq	As Suwayrah	2000	67.9%	75.4%	59.8%
Iraq	As Suwayrah	2017	98.3%	99.0%	97.3%
Iraq	Ba'qubah	2000	78.3%	86.5%	68.4%
Iraq	Ba'qubah	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Iraq	Badrah	2000	76.6%	81.8%	70.3%
Iraq	Badrah	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.6%
Iraq	Balad	2000	76.7%	88.5%	58.8%
Iraq	Balad	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.1%
Iraq	Balad Ruz	2000	74.2%	84.7%	62.0%
Iraq	Balad Ruz	2017	99.0%	99.5%	98.1%
Iraq	Basrah	2000	78.4%	87.0%	68.1%
Iraq	Basrah	2017	98.9%	99.5%	97.8%
Iraq	Bayji	2000	77.9%	84.7%	69.8%
Iraq	Bayji	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.0%
Iraq	Chamchamal	2000	82.5%	88.0%	76.8%
Iraq	Chamchamal	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Iraq	Chibayish	2000	71.8%	81.4%	60.3%
Iraq	Chibayish	2017	98.7%	99.3%	97.5%
Iraq	Choman	2000	83.8%	90.4%	75.6%
Iraq	Choman	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
Iraq	Dahuk	2000	83.8%	90.2%	75.3%
Iraq	Dahuk	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.8%
Iraq	Daquq	2000	81.8%	89.1%	72.4%
Iraq	Daquq	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Iraq	Darbandokeh	2000	76.0%	86.4%	64.2%
Iraq	Darbandokeh	2017	98.7%	99.4%	97.6%
Iraq	Dibis	2000	85.4%	94.0%	73.1%
Iraq	Dibis	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Iraq	Dukan	2000	71.7%	83.4%	58.1%
Iraq	Dukan	2017	98.9%	99.5%	97.5%
Iraq	Halabja	2000	80.4%	87.4%	72.8%
Iraq	Halabja	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.9%
Iraq	Hatra	2000	79.1%	84.3%	72.7%
Iraq	Hatra	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.0%
Iraq	Haweeja	2000	78.1%	85.5%	69.9%
Iraq	Haweeja	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Iraq	Hit	2000	86.9%	90.6%	82.3%
Iraq	Hit	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Iraq	Kadhimiya	2000	87.4%	94.6%	76.0%
Iraq	Kadhimiya	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Iraq	Kalar	2000	81.8%	89.6%	71.2%
Iraq	Kalar	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Karbala	2000	72.5%	86.0%	58.1%
Iraq	Karbala	2017	98.2%	99.3%	96.1%
Iraq	Khanaqin	2000	79.1%	85.4%	70.9%
Iraq	Khanaqin	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.1%
Iraq	Kifri	2000	79.8%	86.5%	71.8%
Iraq	Kifri	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.9%
Iraq	Kirkuk	2000	83.8%	88.9%	77.4%
Iraq	Kirkuk	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Iraq	Koisanjaq	2000	80.2%	89.1%	68.5%
Iraq	Koisanjaq	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Iraq	Mahmudiya	2000	71.8%	81.4%	60.8%
Iraq	Mahmudiya	2017	98.6%	99.4%	96.9%
Iraq	Makhmur	2000	83.2%	88.7%	76.6%
Iraq	Makhmur	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Iraq	Mergasur	2000	75.0%	83.8%	65.2%
Iraq	Mergasur	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.3%
Iraq	Mosul	2000	80.9%	86.3%	75.1%
Iraq	Mosul	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Iraq	Najaf	2000	70.4%	77.8%	62.1%
Iraq	Najaf	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.2%
Iraq	Penjwin	2000	79.0%	87.4%	67.3%
Iraq	Penjwin	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.6%
Iraq	Pshdar	2000	79.9%	88.5%	69.7%
Iraq	Pshdar	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.8%
Iraq	Qal'at Salih	2000	72.8%	85.7%	57.6%
Iraq	Qal'at Salih	2017	98.8%	99.6%	97.2%
Iraq	Rania	2000	78.4%	87.7%	67.8%
Iraq	Rania	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Iraq	Refai	2000	72.4%	82.0%	62.9%
Iraq	Refai	2017	98.8%	99.4%	97.8%
Iraq	Rumaitha	2000	55.5%	61.7%	48.7%
Iraq	Rumaitha	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.0%
Iraq	Samarra	2000	76.7%	83.6%	67.1%
Iraq	Samarra	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.9%
Iraq	Shamiya	2000	61.5%	74.2%	49.2%
Iraq	Shamiya	2017	97.6%	99.0%	95.7%
Iraq	Shaqlawā	2000	79.2%	85.5%	71.2%
Iraq	Shaqlawā	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Iraq	Sharbazher	2000	71.0%	83.3%	56.8%
Iraq	Sharbazher	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.4%
Iraq	Shatrah	2000	66.2%	78.2%	53.6%
Iraq	Shatrah	2017	98.5%	99.3%	97.0%
Iraq	Shatt Al Arab	2000	67.1%	77.7%	53.7%
Iraq	Shatt Al Arab	2017	98.2%	99.3%	96.1%
Iraq	Shekhan	2000	78.0%	88.2%	65.8%
Iraq	Shekhan	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.4%
Iraq	Simele	2000	87.3%	92.8%	79.2%
Iraq	Simele	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Iraq	Sinjar	2000	83.6%	88.8%	76.5%
Iraq	Sinjar	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Iraq	Soran	2000	78.1%	84.9%	68.9%
Iraq	Soran	2017	99.3%	99.6%	98.5%
Iraq	Sulaymaniya	2000	76.0%	83.6%	68.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Sulaymaniya	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.5%
Iraq	Suq ash Shuyukh	2000	72.9%	82.7%	60.0%
Iraq	Suq ash Shuyukh	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.6%
Iraq	Talafar	2000	84.9%	89.7%	80.3%
Iraq	Talafar	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Iraq	Tikrit	2000	78.2%	85.8%	69.8%
Iraq	Tikrit	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
Iraq	Tilkef	2000	80.8%	89.6%	70.4%
Iraq	Tilkef	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Iraq	Touz Hour-mato	2000	79.7%	86.6%	72.7%
Iraq	Touz Hour-mato	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Iraq	Zakho	2000	87.0%	92.8%	80.2%
Iraq	Zakho	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.2%
Jordan	Aghwar Shamaliyyeh	2000	96.3%	99.4%	85.1%
Jordan	Aghwar Shamaliyyeh	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Jordan	Ajloun	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Jordan	Ajloun	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jordan	Al-Balqa	2000	98.2%	99.6%	95.0%
Jordan	Al-Balqa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Amman	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Jordan	Amman	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Aqaba	2000	78.8%	82.6%	73.7%
Jordan	Aqaba	2017	92.5%	96.6%	88.1%
Jordan	Ar-Ruwayshid	2000	91.8%	95.6%	87.5%
Jordan	Ar-Ruwayshid	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.9%
Jordan	Ardhah	2000	97.9%	99.3%	94.5%
Jordan	Ardhah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jordan	Ayy	2000	98.3%	99.7%	91.7%
Jordan	Ayy	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Azraq	2000	93.2%	97.3%	84.7%
Jordan	Azraq	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.9%
Jordan	Bal'ama	2000	99.3%	99.8%	97.7%
Jordan	Bal'ama	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jordan	Bani Kenanah	2000	99.5%	100.0%	97.9%
Jordan	Bani Kenanah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jordan	Bierain	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.5%
Jordan	Bierain	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jordan	Bsaira	2000	98.6%	99.3%	97.1%
Jordan	Bsaira	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Dair Alla	2000	97.8%	98.7%	96.0%
Jordan	Dair Alla	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Jordan	Dhiban	2000	98.7%	99.7%	95.7%
Jordan	Dhiban	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Faqqoo'	2000	97.7%	99.3%	94.0%
Jordan	Faqqoo'	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Jordan	Ghour El-Mazra'ah	2000	96.9%	98.2%	94.1%
Jordan	Ghour El-Mazra'ah	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Ghour Essafi	2000	98.0%	100.0%	85.3%
Jordan	Ghour Essafi	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Hariema	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Jordan	Hariema	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jordan	Hesa	2000	98.0%	99.2%	95.5%
Jordan	Hesa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Husseiniyyeh	2000	95.4%	98.0%	91.7%
Jordan	Husseiniyyeh	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Jordan	Iel	2000	97.5%	98.8%	95.0%
Jordan	Iel	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Irbid	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.2%
Jordan	Irbid	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jordan	Jarash	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.5%
Jordan	Jarash	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jordan	Jizeh	2000	96.9%	98.2%	94.2%
Jordan	Jizeh	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Jordan	Karak	2000	97.9%	98.6%	96.9%
Jordan	Karak	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jordan	Kofranjah	2000	99.4%	99.7%	98.8%
Jordan	Kofranjah	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jordan	Kora	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Jordan	Kora	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jordan	Ma'an	2000	95.0%	96.8%	92.3%
Jordan	Ma'an	2017	98.9%	99.6%	98.0%
Jordan	Madaba	2000	98.7%	99.2%	97.9%
Jordan	Madaba	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Mafraq	2000	97.7%	98.9%	96.3%
Jordan	Mafraq	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Mazar Janoobi	2000	99.1%	99.6%	97.2%
Jordan	Mazar Janoobi	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Mazar Shamali	2000	98.4%	99.2%	96.1%
Jordan	Mazar Shamali	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Mowaqqar	2000	99.0%	99.8%	96.4%
Jordan	Mowaqqar	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Na'oor	2000	99.4%	99.9%	98.2%
Jordan	Na'oor	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jordan	Qasr	2000	97.9%	98.6%	96.8%
Jordan	Qasr	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Quaira	2000	96.8%	98.6%	94.0%
Jordan	Quaira	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Jordan	Ramtha	2000	96.5%	99.1%	89.4%
Jordan	Ramtha	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Sabha	2000	96.8%	98.3%	94.3%
Jordan	Sabha	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Sahab	2000	98.7%	99.7%	96.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Jordan	Sahab	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Salt	2000	98.5%	99.3%	97.4%
Jordan	Salt	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Sama Serhan	2000	97.3%	99.2%	88.9%
Jordan	Sama Serhan	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Shoabak	2000	98.2%	99.4%	95.2%
Jordan	Shoabak	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Shooneh	2000	94.2%	97.0%	89.1%
Jordan	Janoobiyyeh				
Jordan	Shooneh	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.1%
Jordan	Janoobiyyeh				
Jordan	Tafileh	2000	98.4%	99.2%	96.7%
Jordan	Tafileh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Jordan	Tayybeh	2000	98.5%	99.2%	97.3%
Jordan	Tayybeh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Jordan	Um El-Basatien	2000	96.7%	98.9%	93.1%
Jordan	Um El-Basatien	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Jordan	Wadi Arabah	2000	96.2%	99.4%	87.6%
Jordan	Wadi Arabah	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Jordan	Wadi Essier	2000	99.1%	99.7%	97.8%
Jordan	Wadi Essier	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jordan	Wadi Musa	2000	98.8%	99.6%	96.7%
Jordan	Wadi Musa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.7%
Jordan	Wastiyyeh	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.4%
Jordan	Wastiyyeh	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Jordan	Zarqa	2000	99.1%	99.7%	97.5%
Jordan	Zarqa	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Libya	Al Butnan	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.7%
Libya	Al Butnan	2017	4.6%	6.0%	3.7%
Libya	Al Jabal al Akhdar	2000	4.4%	5.2%	3.7%
Libya	Al Jabal al Akhdar	2017	4.4%	5.3%	3.7%
Libya	Al Jabal al Gharbi	2000	4.0%	5.1%	3.3%
Libya	Al Jabal al Gharbi	2017	4.1%	4.9%	3.2%
Libya	Al Jifarah	2000	2.6%	3.4%	1.9%
Libya	Al Jifarah	2017	2.6%	3.4%	1.9%
Libya	Al Jufrah	2000	5.0%	5.9%	4.2%
Libya	Al Jufrah	2017	5.0%	6.0%	4.2%
Libya	Al Kufrah	2000	4.4%	6.4%	3.4%
Libya	Al Kufrah	2017	4.6%	6.8%	3.5%
Libya	Al Marj	2000	8.5%	10.3%	6.8%
Libya	Al Marj	2017	8.4%	10.3%	6.7%
Libya	Al Marqab	2000	27.7%	33.3%	22.3%
Libya	Al Marqab	2017	27.6%	32.9%	22.3%
Libya	Al Wahat	2000	5.9%	7.0%	4.9%
Libya	Al Wahat	2017	5.9%	6.9%	5.1%
Libya	An Nuqat al Khams	2000	4.6%	5.3%	3.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Libya	An Nuqat al Khams	2017	4.6%	5.4%	3.8%
Libya	Az Zawiyah	2000	2.1%	2.5%	1.7%
Libya	Az Zawiyah	2017	2.1%	2.5%	1.7%
Libya	Benghazi	2000	4.0%	4.5%	3.5%
Libya	Benghazi	2017	4.0%	4.5%	3.5%
Libya	Darnah	2000	5.0%	6.1%	4.2%
Libya	Darnah	2017	5.0%	6.1%	4.2%
Libya	Ghat	2000	23.4%	27.0%	20.3%
Libya	Ghat	2017	23.6%	27.0%	20.6%
Libya	Misratah	2000	4.2%	4.7%	3.7%
Libya	Misratah	2017	4.2%	4.7%	3.7%
Libya	Murzuq	2000	5.9%	10.4%	3.7%
Libya	Murzuq	2017	6.1%	10.8%	3.8%
Libya	Nalut	2000	3.2%	3.8%	2.5%
Libya	Nalut	2017	3.2%	3.9%	2.6%
Libya	Sabha	2000	18.8%	21.5%	16.4%
Libya	Sabha	2017	18.8%	21.7%	16.5%
Libya	Surt	2000	3.3%	4.0%	2.7%
Libya	Surt	2017	3.3%	4.1%	2.7%
Libya	Tripoli	2000	4.5%	5.5%	3.5%
Libya	Tripoli	2017	4.4%	5.5%	3.5%
Libya	Wadi al Hayat	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Libya	Wadi al Hayat	2017	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Libya	Wadi ash Shati'	2000	7.0%	14.3%	4.0%
Libya	Wadi ash Shati'	2017	7.1%	14.1%	4.1%
Morocco	Agadir-Ida ou Tanane	2000	49.7%	54.0%	44.7%
Morocco	Agadir-Ida ou Tanane	2017	48.8%	53.1%	44.3%
Morocco	Al Haouz	2000	27.4%	42.9%	11.8%
Morocco	Al Haouz	2017	27.9%	44.0%	12.0%
Morocco	Al Hoceïma	2000	30.6%	52.7%	14.9%
Morocco	Al Hoceïma	2017	30.2%	52.0%	14.5%
Morocco	Assa-Zag	2000	49.4%	99.1%	1.7%
Morocco	Assa-Zag	2017	49.3%	99.1%	1.6%
Morocco	Azilal	2000	22.6%	41.9%	8.6%
Morocco	Azilal	2017	22.3%	41.5%	8.5%
Morocco	Ben Slimane	2000	54.2%	71.7%	35.3%
Morocco	Ben Slimane	2017	54.8%	71.2%	35.7%
Morocco	Béni Mellal	2000	19.8%	37.9%	7.2%
Morocco	Béni Mellal	2017	19.8%	37.7%	6.9%
Morocco	Berkane	2000	36.5%	81.3%	5.9%
Morocco	Berkane Taourirt	2017	36.4%	80.6%	5.8%
Morocco	Boulemane	2000	37.0%	74.9%	5.8%
Morocco	Boulemane	2017	36.7%	73.7%	5.4%
Morocco	Casablanca	2000	67.8%	72.4%	63.0%
Morocco	Casablanca	2017	65.6%	71.1%	60.3%
Morocco	Chefchaouen	2000	31.2%	56.4%	13.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Morocco	Chefchaouen	2017	31.0%	56.2%	12.7%
Morocco	Chichaoua	2000	23.9%	43.3%	8.3%
Morocco	Chichaoua	2017	23.6%	43.0%	8.0%
Morocco	Chtouka-Aït Baha	2000	16.5%	39.5%	4.3%
Morocco	Chtouka-Aït Baha	2017	16.1%	38.7%	4.1%
Morocco	El Hajeb	2000	28.1%	50.6%	12.9%
Morocco	El Hajeb	2017	28.1%	50.6%	13.4%
Morocco	El Jadida	2000	49.6%	61.0%	35.5%
Morocco	El Jadida	2017	49.0%	60.6%	35.0%
Morocco	El Kelaâ des Sraghna	2000	29.3%	51.8%	11.7%
Morocco	El Kelaâ des Sraghna	2017	28.9%	51.0%	11.6%
Morocco	Errachidia	2000	44.5%	68.5%	27.0%
Morocco	Errachidia	2017	44.0%	67.8%	26.5%
Morocco	Essaouira	2000	35.3%	61.0%	8.6%
Morocco	Essaouira	2017	35.2%	61.4%	8.5%
Morocco	Fahs Anjra	2000	71.3%	79.7%	58.5%
Morocco	Fahs Anjra	2017	72.9%	81.6%	59.1%
Morocco	Fès	2000	71.4%	79.4%	65.0%
Morocco	Fès	2017	72.0%	79.4%	66.3%
Morocco	Figuig	2000	41.6%	77.7%	8.9%
Morocco	Figuig	2017	41.6%	77.8%	8.3%
Morocco	Guelmim	2000	65.2%	86.4%	38.7%
Morocco	Guelmim	2017	66.7%	87.3%	36.1%
Morocco	Ifrane	2000	50.6%	75.7%	25.2%
Morocco	Ifrane	2017	49.5%	75.6%	24.2%
Morocco	Inezgane-Aït Melloul	2000	37.1%	43.7%	32.5%
Morocco	Inezgane-Aït Melloul	2017	35.8%	42.1%	31.2%
Morocco	Jerada	2000	44.0%	77.0%	9.4%
Morocco	Jerada	2017	43.4%	75.0%	9.4%
Morocco	Kénitra	2000	59.1%	72.0%	47.2%
Morocco	Kénitra	2017	58.9%	72.2%	46.9%
Morocco	Khémisset	2000	38.9%	79.1%	9.4%
Morocco	Khémisset	2017	38.6%	79.1%	9.5%
Morocco	Khénifra	2000	51.7%	71.1%	32.8%
Morocco	Khénifra	2017	50.6%	71.0%	30.8%
Morocco	Khouribga	2000	39.2%	55.5%	28.1%
Morocco	Khouribga	2017	39.9%	55.6%	29.8%
Morocco	Laâyoune	2000	40.1%	98.1%	0.5%
Morocco	Laâyoune	2017	39.8%	98.3%	0.6%
Morocco	Larache	2000	54.9%	72.9%	32.7%
Morocco	Larache	2017	54.0%	71.4%	32.3%
Morocco	Marrakech	2000	45.6%	52.6%	40.4%
Morocco	Marrakech	2017	42.3%	49.5%	36.8%
Morocco	Meknès	2000	67.5%	81.3%	49.3%
Morocco	Meknès	2017	66.9%	80.6%	49.5%
Morocco	Mohammedia	2000	58.0%	62.1%	52.4%
Morocco	Mohammedia	2017	58.3%	62.5%	52.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Morocco	Nador	2000	37.3%	57.3%	17.8%
Morocco	Nador	2017	37.1%	58.1%	16.8%
Morocco	Ouarzazate	2000	30.7%	49.0%	13.1%
Morocco	Ouarzazate	2017	30.2%	48.0%	12.8%
Morocco	Oujda Angad	2000	69.3%	74.4%	63.8%
Morocco	Oujda Angad	2017	72.3%	77.2%	66.6%
Morocco	Rabat	2000	87.0%	91.0%	81.9%
Morocco	Rabat	2017	86.5%	90.5%	81.4%
Morocco	Safi	2000	52.4%	64.9%	42.4%
Morocco	Safi	2017	52.5%	64.8%	42.7%
Morocco	Salé	2000	85.8%	93.5%	75.7%
Morocco	Salé	2017	86.0%	93.4%	75.1%
Morocco	Sefrou	2000	33.3%	51.5%	15.0%
Morocco	Sefrou	2017	32.6%	50.7%	14.5%
Morocco	Settat	2000	28.7%	49.5%	12.0%
Morocco	Settat	2017	28.6%	49.7%	12.3%
Morocco	Sidi Kacem	2000	34.2%	47.7%	21.2%
Morocco	Sidi Kacem	2017	34.3%	47.1%	21.4%
Morocco	Skhirate-Témara	2000	49.5%	63.1%	37.7%
Morocco	Skhirate-Témara	2017	48.0%	64.5%	33.4%
Morocco	Tan-Tan	2000	4.7%	18.5%	0.9%
Morocco	Tan-Tan	2017	6.7%	29.2%	0.8%
Morocco	Tanger-Assilah	2000	79.2%	89.7%	64.5%
Morocco	Tanger-Assilah	2017	79.1%	89.9%	61.8%
Morocco	Taounate	2000	16.5%	29.5%	6.0%
Morocco	Taounate	2017	16.2%	28.9%	6.0%
Morocco	Taroudannt	2000	37.6%	54.4%	21.3%
Morocco	Taroudannt	2017	37.1%	54.2%	20.6%
Morocco	Tata	2000	39.5%	78.3%	9.2%
Morocco	Tata	2017	39.1%	77.8%	8.6%
Morocco	Taza	2000	43.8%	59.1%	29.5%
Morocco	Taza	2017	44.3%	59.8%	31.0%
Morocco	Tétouan	2000	18.5%	26.7%	12.1%
Morocco	Tétouan	2017	21.5%	30.3%	12.9%
Morocco	Tiznit	2000	47.7%	75.9%	16.2%
Morocco	Tiznit	2017	48.1%	76.3%	17.3%
Morocco	Zagora	2000	34.7%	63.8%	11.5%
Morocco	Zagora	2017	34.9%	64.6%	11.7%
Morocco	Zouagha-Moulay Yacoub	2000	59.4%	66.3%	54.1%
Morocco	Zouagha-Moulay Yacoub	2017	58.9%	65.4%	53.7%
Sudan	Abu Hamad	2000	27.2%	30.0%	24.4%
Sudan	Abu Hamad	2017	27.1%	31.0%	23.4%
Sudan	Abu Jubaiyah	2000	15.6%	18.9%	12.5%
Sudan	Abu Jubaiyah	2017	16.6%	20.7%	12.8%
Sudan	Abyei	2000	19.3%	22.7%	15.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Abyei	2017	21.1%	25.1%	17.0%
Sudan	Ad Damazin	2000	15.3%	19.8%	11.6%
Sudan	Ad Damazin	2017	15.4%	21.7%	10.5%
Sudan	Ad Damer	2000	24.7%	30.4%	19.1%
Sudan	Ad Damer	2017	22.9%	30.7%	16.5%
Sudan	Ad Dinder	2000	16.7%	21.8%	13.0%
Sudan	Ad Dinder	2017	18.2%	24.1%	13.6%
Sudan	Ad Douiem	2000	20.5%	25.3%	16.0%
Sudan	Ad Douiem	2017	19.0%	25.6%	13.7%
Sudan	Addabah	2000	36.3%	39.3%	33.6%
Sudan	Addabah	2017	35.0%	38.0%	32.1%
Sudan	Al Deain	2000	18.3%	20.8%	15.9%
Sudan	Al Deain	2017	19.8%	22.7%	17.1%
Sudan	Al Fasher	2000	21.0%	23.9%	18.5%
Sudan	Al Fasher	2017	20.2%	24.0%	16.9%
Sudan	Al Faw	2000	15.8%	18.9%	13.3%
Sudan	Al Faw	2017	15.8%	19.3%	13.1%
Sudan	Al Fushqa	2000	15.0%	18.8%	12.0%
Sudan	Al Fushqa	2017	15.6%	20.5%	11.3%
Sudan	Al Gadaref	2000	14.8%	18.9%	11.2%
Sudan	Al Gadaref	2017	15.0%	20.6%	10.7%
Sudan	Al Galabat	2000	18.0%	21.9%	14.6%
Sudan	Al Galabat	2017	19.9%	25.4%	15.7%
Sudan	Al Gash	2000	23.6%	29.8%	18.9%
Sudan	Al Gash	2017	21.9%	28.3%	16.8%
Sudan	Al Geneina	2000	16.0%	18.7%	13.6%
Sudan	Al Geneina	2017	16.6%	20.1%	13.8%
Sudan	Al Gutaina	2000	22.7%	27.6%	18.6%
Sudan	Al Gutaina	2017	21.7%	27.9%	16.0%
Sudan	Al Jabalian	2000	21.6%	29.4%	16.3%
Sudan	Al Jabalian	2017	22.5%	30.5%	16.5%
Sudan	Al Kamlin	2000	25.3%	36.7%	15.8%
Sudan	Al Kamlin	2017	26.1%	37.7%	15.4%
Sudan	Al Kurumik	2000	15.5%	20.8%	10.9%
Sudan	Al Kurumik	2017	16.4%	23.9%	10.3%
Sudan	Al Mahagil	2000	19.9%	27.4%	14.2%
Sudan	Al Mahagil	2017	20.8%	29.4%	13.2%
Sudan	Al Matammah	2000	27.4%	31.9%	23.1%
Sudan	Al Matammah	2017	26.8%	32.2%	22.1%
Sudan	Al Rahd	2000	18.8%	23.1%	15.4%
Sudan	Al Rahd	2017	19.8%	24.7%	15.9%
Sudan	Al Roseires	2000	16.1%	19.4%	12.9%
Sudan	Al Roseires	2017	16.5%	20.6%	12.3%
Sudan	As Salam	2000	20.0%	25.4%	14.9%
Sudan	As Salam	2017	21.3%	29.6%	15.1%
Sudan	Atbara	2000	26.9%	34.2%	19.8%
Sudan	Atbara	2017	26.4%	35.0%	18.8%
Sudan	Bara	2000	24.2%	28.9%	19.6%
Sudan	Bara	2017	23.5%	30.1%	18.8%
Sudan	Baw	2000	17.6%	23.8%	13.2%
Sudan	Baw	2017	17.8%	25.3%	12.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Berber	2000	29.6%	36.2%	23.7%
Sudan	Berber	2017	29.0%	37.8%	21.5%
Sudan	Buram	2000	15.4%	17.6%	13.5%
Sudan	Buram	2017	16.1%	18.5%	13.7%
Sudan	Dilling	2000	16.2%	19.9%	13.0%
Sudan	Dilling	2017	17.0%	21.0%	13.8%
Sudan	Dongola	2000	38.5%	42.1%	35.2%
Sudan	Dongola	2017	37.6%	42.3%	33.6%
Sudan	East al Gazera	2000	25.3%	34.3%	17.5%
Sudan	East al Gazera	2017	24.1%	33.8%	15.7%
Sudan	En Nuhud	2000	18.1%	20.8%	15.9%
Sudan	En Nuhud	2017	19.2%	22.5%	16.6%
Sudan	Geissan	2000	20.8%	26.3%	15.5%
Sudan	Geissan	2017	20.7%	27.1%	14.9%
Sudan	Ghebeish	2000	21.6%	25.6%	17.8%
Sudan	Ghebeish	2017	23.3%	27.9%	18.9%
Sudan	Halayeb	2000	20.3%	23.2%	17.8%
Sudan	Halayeb	2017	18.5%	23.9%	14.6%
Sudan	Hamashkorieb	2000	22.1%	29.0%	17.0%
Sudan	Hamashkorieb	2017	20.1%	27.7%	14.3%
Sudan	Id El Ghanem	2000	16.2%	19.3%	13.0%
Sudan	Id El Ghanem	2017	17.1%	21.0%	13.3%
Sudan	Jebrat al Sheikh	2000	19.2%	22.7%	16.6%
Sudan	Jebrat al Sheikh	2017	18.9%	23.0%	15.8%
Sudan	Kabkabiya	2000	14.7%	17.3%	12.3%
Sudan	Kabkabiya	2017	15.4%	18.1%	12.5%
Sudan	Kadugli	2000	16.3%	20.2%	13.3%
Sudan	Kadugli	2017	17.4%	22.0%	13.7%
Sudan	Karary	2000	42.5%	48.8%	37.0%
Sudan	Karary	2017	39.0%	46.6%	31.9%
Sudan	Kas	2000	16.0%	25.1%	10.5%
Sudan	Kas	2017	16.4%	26.4%	9.8%
Sudan	Kassala	2000	26.2%	30.6%	22.4%
Sudan	Kassala	2017	18.6%	23.1%	15.6%
Sudan	Khartoum Bahri	2000	55.3%	60.8%	50.5%
Sudan	Khartoum Bahri	2017	32.2%	41.7%	25.7%
Sudan	Khartoum Bahri	2000	36.0%	43.5%	30.4%
Sudan	Khartoum Bahri	2017	29.0%	37.8%	21.9%
Sudan	Kosti	2000	23.3%	30.9%	18.2%
Sudan	Kosti	2017	23.1%	31.0%	17.1%
Sudan	Kutum	2000	17.7%	20.4%	15.0%
Sudan	Kutum	2017	18.5%	21.4%	15.6%
Sudan	Lagawa	2000	17.6%	22.2%	14.4%
Sudan	Lagawa	2017	19.1%	25.0%	15.2%
Sudan	Mellit	2000	17.1%	18.9%	15.5%
Sudan	Mellit	2017	17.1%	19.1%	15.2%
Sudan	Merawi	2000	36.3%	39.9%	32.8%
Sudan	Merawi	2017	35.3%	39.1%	31.3%
Sudan	Mukjar	2000	13.8%	16.4%	11.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Mukjar	2017	14.1%	16.9%	11.2%
Sudan	Nahr Atbara	2000	26.2%	34.2%	20.1%
Sudan	Nahr Atbara	2017	25.2%	34.6%	17.9%
Sudan	North al Gazera	2000	21.0%	27.9%	15.5%
Sudan	North al Gazera	2017	21.2%	30.4%	15.4%
Sudan	Nyala	2000	22.0%	25.6%	18.8%
Sudan	Nyala	2000	22.6%	26.8%	18.5%
Sudan	Nyala	2017	20.4%	24.6%	16.6%
Sudan	Nyala	2017	25.2%	29.6%	20.6%
Sudan	Omdurman	2000	28.6%	42.5%	19.1%
Sudan	Omdurman	2017	26.3%	42.3%	15.1%
Sudan	Port Sudan	2000	35.5%	44.4%	26.4%
Sudan	Port Sudan	2017	33.8%	43.0%	23.9%
Sudan	Rashad	2000	15.4%	19.4%	11.7%
Sudan	Rashad	2017	16.5%	22.3%	11.7%
Sudan	Sennar	2000	18.7%	21.9%	15.9%
Sudan	Sennar	2017	20.4%	24.2%	16.5%
Sudan	Seteet	2000	19.7%	25.5%	16.0%
Sudan	Seteet	2017	17.9%	24.2%	13.6%
Sudan	Sharg En Nile	2000	28.9%	33.3%	24.3%
Sudan	Sharg En Nile	2017	21.6%	27.1%	17.7%
Sudan	Sharq al Gazera	2000	23.2%	31.1%	16.3%
Sudan	Sharq al Gazera	2017	21.7%	30.4%	14.6%
Sudan	Sheikan	2000	17.9%	20.7%	15.4%
Sudan	Sheikan	2017	17.9%	21.1%	14.9%
Sudan	Shendi	2000	28.2%	31.7%	24.9%
Sudan	Shendi	2017	25.1%	29.3%	20.7%
Sudan	Singa	2000	17.9%	24.6%	14.0%
Sudan	Singa	2017	19.4%	27.2%	14.8%
Sudan	Sinkat	2000	20.5%	22.8%	18.7%
Sudan	Sinkat	2017	17.8%	20.2%	15.5%
Sudan	South al Gazera	2000	22.9%	30.9%	17.0%
Sudan	South al Gazera	2017	24.6%	33.4%	17.9%
Sudan	South Khartoum	2000	30.9%	38.5%	25.2%
Sudan	South Khartoum	2017	26.2%	36.7%	20.1%
Sudan	Sowdari	2000	16.9%	18.6%	15.3%
Sudan	Sowdari	2017	17.1%	19.1%	15.4%
Sudan	Talodi	2000	14.9%	18.0%	12.3%
Sudan	Talodi	2017	16.1%	19.7%	12.9%
Sudan	Tokar	2000	21.2%	24.4%	18.8%
Sudan	Tokar	2017	19.1%	22.5%	16.5%
Sudan	Tulus	2000	17.6%	27.1%	10.6%
Sudan	Tulus	2017	18.5%	29.7%	10.2%
Sudan	Um Al Gura	2000	22.7%	34.1%	14.8%
Sudan	Um Al Gura	2017	23.9%	36.0%	15.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Um Badda	2000	24.3%	31.1%	18.4%
Sudan	Um Badda	2017	17.2%	25.6%	11.3%
Sudan	Um Kadada	2000	17.6%	19.9%	15.5%
Sudan	Um Kadada	2017	18.2%	20.8%	15.7%
Sudan	Um Rawaba	2000	17.1%	20.3%	14.5%
Sudan	Um Rawaba	2017	18.0%	21.9%	14.8%
Sudan	Wadi Halfa	2000	29.7%	33.8%	26.0%
Sudan	Wadi Halfa	2017	30.3%	35.9%	25.3%
Sudan	Zallangi	2000	14.6%	17.0%	12.5%
Sudan	Zallangi	2017	15.7%	18.6%	12.5%
Syria	'Ayn al-'Arab	2000	93.2%	97.0%	87.0%
Syria	'Ayn al-'Arab	2017	93.4%	96.9%	87.6%
Syria	A'zaz	2000	97.9%	99.2%	94.9%
Syria	A'zaz	2017	97.9%	99.3%	94.8%
Syria	Abu Kamal	2000	98.9%	99.5%	98.1%
Syria	Abu Kamal	2017	98.7%	99.4%	97.7%
Syria	Afrin	2000	99.2%	99.7%	98.0%
Syria	Afrin	2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.0%
Syria	Al Bab	2000	98.3%	99.3%	96.4%
Syria	Al Bab	2017	98.4%	99.4%	96.7%
Syria	Al Qamishli	2000	95.4%	99.7%	84.4%
Syria	Al Qamishli	2017	95.2%	99.6%	84.0%
Syria	Al-Haffah	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Syria	Al-Haffah	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%
Syria	Al-Hasakah	2000	93.1%	99.0%	76.8%
Syria	Al-Hasakah	2017	93.1%	99.0%	78.0%
Syria	Al-Malikiyah	2000	95.9%	99.8%	82.4%
Syria	Al-Malikiyah	2017	95.9%	99.8%	81.5%
Syria	Al-Mukharram	2000	89.6%	94.5%	81.7%
Syria	Al-Mukharram	2017	90.0%	94.8%	82.2%
Syria	Al-Qusayr	2000	98.6%	99.4%	96.9%
Syria	Al-Qusayr	2017	98.6%	99.4%	97.0%
Syria	Al-Qutayfah	2000	98.4%	99.8%	95.0%
Syria	Al-Qutayfah	2017	98.5%	99.8%	95.0%
Syria	An-Nabk	2000	99.0%	100.0%	95.4%
Syria	An-Nabk	2017	99.0%	100.0%	95.6%
Syria	Ar-Raqqah	2000	88.4%	91.4%	85.3%
Syria	Ar-Raqqah	2017	88.7%	91.8%	85.2%
Syria	Ar-Rastan	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.7%
Syria	Ar-Rastan	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.8%
Syria	Arihah	2000	98.6%	99.5%	96.5%
Syria	Arihah	2017	98.6%	99.5%	96.5%
Syria	As-Safirah	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.7%
Syria	As-Safirah	2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.4%
Syria	As-Sanamayn	2000	98.2%	99.2%	96.5%
Syria	As-Sanamayn	2017	98.3%	99.3%	96.7%
Syria	As-Suqaylabiyah	2000	98.2%	99.1%	96.7%
Syria	As-Suqaylabiyah	2017	98.3%	99.2%	96.8%
Syria	As-Suwayda	2000	96.9%	98.6%	94.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Syria	As-Suwayda	2017	97.1%	98.7%	94.2%
Syria	Ash-Shaykh Badr	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.7%
Syria	Ash-Shaykh Badr	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.7%
Syria	At-Tall	2000	99.5%	99.9%	98.3%
Syria	At-Tall	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.3%
Syria	Ath-Thawrah	2000	92.7%	95.9%	89.0%
Syria	Ath-Thawrah	2017	92.9%	96.1%	89.0%
Syria	Baniyas	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Syria	Baniyas	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.6%
Syria	Damascus	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Syria	Damascus	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.9%
Syria	Daraa	2000	98.0%	99.4%	94.8%
Syria	Daraa	2017	98.0%	99.4%	94.9%
Syria	Darayya	2000	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Syria	Darayya	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Syria	Deir ez-Zor	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.9%
Syria	Deir ez-Zor	2017	97.0%	97.9%	96.0%
Syria	Duma	2000	98.5%	99.1%	97.6%
Syria	Duma	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.5%
Syria	Duraykish	2000	99.0%	99.6%	98.1%
Syria	Duraykish	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.1%
Syria	Hama	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.6%
Syria	Hama	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.6%
Syria	Harem	2000	98.9%	99.9%	95.3%
Syria	Harem	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.2%
Syria	Hims	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Syria	Hims	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Syria	Idlib	2000	97.8%	99.7%	92.8%
Syria	Idlib	2017	97.9%	99.7%	92.8%
Syria	Izra'	2000	97.1%	99.5%	90.8%
Syria	Izra'	2017	97.2%	99.5%	90.9%
Syria	Jabal Sam'an	2000	97.1%	98.3%	95.2%
Syria	Jabal Sam'an	2017	97.2%	98.4%	95.3%
Syria	Jableh	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Syria	Jableh	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Syria	Jarabulus	2000	96.0%	98.6%	91.0%
Syria	Jarabulus	2017	96.3%	98.7%	91.7%
Syria	Jisr ash-Shugur	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Syria	Jisr ash-Shugur	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Syria	Latakia	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Syria	Latakia	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Ma'arrat al-Numan	2000	97.7%	99.0%	95.5%
Syria	Ma'arrat al-Numan	2017	97.8%	99.0%	95.7%
Syria	Manbij	2000	98.3%	99.1%	97.0%
Syria	Manbij	2017	98.2%	99.0%	97.0%
Syria	Markaz Rif Dimashq	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Syria	Markaz Rif Dimashq	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Syria	Masyaf	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Syria	Masyaf	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Syria	Mayadin	2000	98.3%	99.2%	96.9%
Syria	Mayadin	2017	98.3%	99.3%	96.4%
Syria	Muhardeh	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Syria	Muhardeh	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Syria	Palmyra	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.3%
Syria	Palmyra	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Syria	Qardaha	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	Qardaha	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Syria	Qatana	2000	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	Qatana	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.4%
Syria	Quneitra	2000	98.6%	99.5%	96.7%
Syria	Quneitra	2017	98.5%	99.5%	96.1%
Syria	Ra's al-'Ayn	2000	83.6%	91.2%	72.8%
Syria	Ra's al-'Ayn	2017	84.0%	91.1%	74.2%
Syria	Safita	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
Syria	Safita	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.8%
Syria	Salamiyah	2000	95.0%	97.5%	90.9%
Syria	Salamiyah	2017	95.4%	97.7%	91.7%
Syria	Salkhad	2000	99.1%	99.8%	97.1%
Syria	Salkhad	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.1%
Syria	Shahba	2000	93.2%	97.2%	87.6%
Syria	Shahba	2017	93.4%	97.1%	88.0%
Syria	Tal Abyad	2000	83.8%	90.6%	74.5%
Syria	Tal Abyad	2017	84.1%	90.3%	75.8%
Syria	Talkalakh	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Syria	Talkalakh	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Syria	Tartus	2000	99.0%	99.7%	97.8%
Syria	Tartus	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.9%
Syria	Yabrud	2000	99.5%	100.0%	98.2%
Syria	Yabrud	2017	99.5%	100.0%	98.0%
Syria	Zabadani	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Syria	Zabadani	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Tunisia	Agareb	2000	85.3%	96.2%	67.5%
Tunisia	Agareb	2017	85.0%	96.0%	67.1%
Tunisia	Aïn Draham	2000	95.6%	97.9%	92.3%
Tunisia	Aïn Draham	2017	95.6%	97.9%	92.2%
Tunisia	Akouada	2000	87.9%	95.9%	73.1%
Tunisia	Akouada	2017	87.4%	95.7%	72.5%
Tunisia	Alaa	2000	75.6%	80.6%	70.1%
Tunisia	Alaa	2017	74.6%	79.8%	69.0%
Tunisia	Amdoun	2000	92.8%	94.6%	90.1%
Tunisia	Amdoun	2017	92.5%	94.4%	89.7%
Tunisia	Ariana Médina	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Ariana Médina	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Ayoun	2000	74.5%	79.3%	67.9%
Tunisia	Ayoun	2017	73.6%	78.6%	66.9%
Tunisia	Bab Bhar	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Bab Bhar	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Bab Souika	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Bab Souika	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Balta Bou Aouane	2000	92.9%	95.4%	90.2%
Tunisia	Balta Bou Aouane	2017	92.7%	95.2%	90.0%
Tunisia	Bardo	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Bardo	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Bargou	2000	91.2%	95.0%	86.2%
Tunisia	Bargou	2017	90.9%	94.8%	85.8%
Tunisia	Béja Nord	2000	93.1%	95.3%	90.1%
Tunisia	Béja Nord	2017	92.9%	95.2%	89.9%
Tunisia	Béja Sud	2000	92.3%	95.3%	88.1%
Tunisia	Béja Sud	2017	92.1%	95.2%	87.9%
Tunisia	Bekalta	2000	86.9%	97.5%	64.9%
Tunisia	Bekalta	2017	88.0%	97.9%	67.3%
Tunisia	Belkhir	2000	95.5%	97.5%	92.1%
Tunisia	Belkhir	2017	95.1%	97.2%	91.6%
Tunisia	Bembla	2000	86.6%	96.8%	68.7%
Tunisia	Bembla	2017	86.3%	96.7%	68.3%
Tunisia	Ben Arous	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Ben Arous	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Ben Guerdane	2000	98.5%	99.6%	96.5%
Tunisia	Ben Guerdane	2017	98.5%	99.5%	96.4%
Tunisia	Beni Hassen	2000	83.9%	95.4%	65.6%
Tunisia	Beni Hassen	2017	83.5%	95.1%	64.9%
Tunisia	Beni Khalled	2000	98.7%	99.3%	98.0%
Tunisia	Beni Khalled	2017	98.4%	99.1%	97.5%
Tunisia	Beni Khedache	2000	98.4%	99.3%	96.4%
Tunisia	Beni Khedache	2017	98.3%	99.2%	96.3%
Tunisia	Beni Khiar	2000	98.3%	99.3%	96.5%
Tunisia	Beni Khiar	2017	98.2%	99.2%	96.4%
Tunisia	Bir Ali Ben Khélifa	2000	78.2%	89.9%	62.4%
Tunisia	Bir Ali Ben Khélifa	2017	77.3%	89.3%	61.4%
Tunisia	Bir El Hfay	2000	71.9%	77.2%	65.8%
Tunisia	Bir El Hfay	2017	70.7%	76.2%	64.6%
Tunisia	Bir Lahmar	2000	98.6%	99.5%	97.2%
Tunisia	Bir Lahmar	2017	98.6%	99.5%	97.1%
Tunisia	Bir Mchergua	2000	98.5%	99.1%	97.4%
Tunisia	Bir Mchergua	2017	98.4%	99.1%	97.4%
Tunisia	Bizerte Nord	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.4%
Tunisia	Bizerte Nord	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.3%
Tunisia	Bizerte Sud	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.3%
Tunisia	Bizerte Sud	2017	99.0%	99.5%	98.2%
Tunisia	Borj El Amri	2000	98.6%	99.4%	97.5%
Tunisia	Borj El Amri	2017	98.5%	99.4%	97.3%
Tunisia	Bou Argoub	2000	98.5%	99.1%	97.5%
Tunisia	Bou Argoub	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Bouarada	2000	94.3%	97.0%	90.7%
Tunisia	Bouarada	2017	94.0%	96.8%	90.4%
Tunisia	Bouficha	2000	97.5%	98.9%	94.8%
Tunisia	Bouficha	2017	97.3%	98.9%	94.5%
Tunisia	Bouhaira	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Bouhaira	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Bouhajla	2000	68.2%	74.5%	61.8%
Tunisia	Bouhajla	2017	68.3%	74.5%	61.9%
Tunisia	Boumerdès	2000	81.8%	94.1%	65.0%
Tunisia	Boumerdès	2017	80.9%	93.6%	63.8%
Tunisia	Boumhel	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Boumhel	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Tunisia	Bourouis	2000	93.9%	96.7%	89.7%
Tunisia	Bourouis	2017	93.6%	96.5%	89.2%
Tunisia	Bousalem	2000	90.3%	93.5%	86.4%
Tunisia	Bousalem	2017	91.2%	94.0%	87.6%
Tunisia	Carthage	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Carthage	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Chebba	2000	88.8%	98.3%	66.2%
Tunisia	Chebba	2017	88.2%	98.1%	64.9%
Tunisia	Chebika	2000	70.0%	77.6%	61.2%
Tunisia	Chebika	2017	68.3%	76.2%	59.4%
Tunisia	Chorbane	2000	77.2%	90.6%	59.3%
Tunisia	Chorbane	2017	76.3%	90.1%	58.2%
Tunisia	Chrarda	2000	72.9%	83.0%	62.4%
Tunisia	Chrarda	2017	72.1%	82.4%	61.6%
Tunisia	Cité El Khadra	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Cité El Khadra	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Dahmani	2000	92.3%	95.4%	88.5%
Tunisia	Dahmani	2017	92.6%	95.5%	89.0%
Tunisia	Dar Chaabane El Fehri	2000	98.3%	99.2%	96.8%
Tunisia	Dar Chaabane El Fehri	2017	98.2%	99.2%	96.6%
Tunisia	Degueche	2000	97.7%	98.8%	96.0%
Tunisia	Degueche	2017	97.5%	98.7%	95.7%
Tunisia	Dhiba	2000	98.0%	99.7%	93.7%
Tunisia	Dhiba	2017	97.9%	99.7%	93.5%
Tunisia	Djerba Ajim	2000	98.7%	99.4%	97.4%
Tunisia	Djerba Ajim	2017	98.6%	99.4%	97.3%
Tunisia	Djerba Midoun	2000	98.5%	99.3%	97.3%
Tunisia	Djerba Midoun	2017	98.4%	99.3%	97.1%
Tunisia	Douar Hicher	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Tunisia	Douar Hicher	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Tunisia	Douz	2000	98.1%	99.0%	96.6%
Tunisia	Douz	2017	98.0%	99.0%	96.4%
Tunisia	El Alia	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.1%
Tunisia	El Alia	2017	98.9%	99.4%	98.1%
Tunisia	El Amra	2000	88.1%	97.9%	69.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	El Amra	2017	87.6%	97.8%	68.7%
Tunisia	El Battan	2000	98.4%	99.3%	97.2%
Tunisia	El Battan	2017	98.3%	99.2%	97.0%
Tunisia	El Ghraiba	2000	88.7%	96.6%	76.0%
Tunisia	El Ghraiba	2017	88.2%	96.4%	75.2%
Tunisia	El Jem	2000	81.3%	94.3%	61.9%
Tunisia	El Jem	2017	81.3%	94.2%	61.7%
Tunisia	El Krib	2000	93.6%	95.6%	91.1%
Tunisia	El Krib	2017	93.2%	95.3%	90.5%
Tunisia	El Menzah	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	El Menzah	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	El Mida	2000	98.6%	99.3%	97.5%
Tunisia	El Mida	2017	98.6%	99.2%	97.5%
Tunisia	El Mourouj	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	El Mourouj	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	El Ouardia	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	El Ouardia	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	El Tahrir	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	El Tahrir	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Enfidha	2000	93.4%	97.5%	87.0%
Tunisia	Enfidha	2017	93.1%	97.4%	86.3%
Tunisia	Es Sers	2000	93.7%	96.5%	89.3%
Tunisia	Es Sers	2017	93.5%	96.4%	89.1%
Tunisia	Ettadhamen	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Tunisia	Ettadhamen	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Tunisia	Ezzahra	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Ezzahra	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2000	75.5%	80.1%	70.6%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2017	74.6%	79.2%	69.7%
Tunisia	Fahs	2000	96.6%	97.9%	95.2%
Tunisia	Fahs	2017	96.4%	97.7%	94.9%
Tunisia	Faouar	2000	98.0%	99.2%	95.8%
Tunisia	Faouar	2017	97.9%	99.1%	95.6%
Tunisia	Feriana	2000	73.1%	78.7%	66.7%
Tunisia	Feriana	2017	71.5%	77.8%	64.7%
Tunisia	Fernana	2000	94.5%	97.0%	91.5%
Tunisia	Fernana	2017	94.4%	96.9%	91.2%
Tunisia	Fouchana	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Fouchana	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Foussana	2000	69.3%	76.3%	60.1%
Tunisia	Foussana	2017	68.4%	75.5%	58.9%
Tunisia	Gaafour	2000	93.6%	96.2%	89.6%
Tunisia	Gaafour	2017	93.3%	96.0%	89.0%
Tunisia	Gabès Médina	2000	97.4%	98.7%	95.5%
Tunisia	Gabès Médina	2017	97.3%	98.6%	95.4%
Tunisia	Gabès Ouest	2000	97.2%	98.7%	95.2%
Tunisia	Gabès Ouest	2017	97.0%	98.6%	94.9%
Tunisia	Gabès Sud	2000	97.5%	98.8%	95.5%
Tunisia	Gabès Sud	2017	97.4%	98.8%	95.3%
Tunisia	Gafsa Nord	2000	94.7%	96.8%	92.1%
Tunisia	Gafsa Nord	2017	94.4%	96.6%	91.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Gafsa Sud	2000	97.1%	98.1%	95.9%
Tunisia	Gafsa Sud	2017	96.9%	98.0%	95.6%
Tunisia	Ghannouch	2000	97.0%	98.6%	94.4%
Tunisia	Ghannouch	2017	96.9%	98.6%	94.1%
Tunisia	Ghar El Melh	2000	99.0%	99.6%	97.8%
Tunisia	Ghar El Melh	2017	99.0%	99.6%	97.7%
Tunisia	Ghardimaou	2000	94.0%	96.4%	91.3%
Tunisia	Ghardimaou	2017	93.9%	96.2%	91.1%
Tunisia	Ghazala	2000	98.6%	99.2%	97.6%
Tunisia	Ghazala	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.5%
Tunisia	Ghomrassen	2000	98.4%	99.5%	96.4%
Tunisia	Ghomrassen	2017	98.3%	99.4%	96.2%
Tunisia	Goubellat	2000	96.1%	97.7%	94.0%
Tunisia	Goubellat	2017	96.0%	97.6%	93.9%
Tunisia	Grombalia	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.4%
Tunisia	Grombalia	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.4%
Tunisia	Guetar	2000	96.9%	98.4%	94.5%
Tunisia	Guetar	2017	96.7%	98.3%	94.4%
Tunisia	Haffouz	2000	70.8%	79.3%	62.3%
Tunisia	Haffouz	2017	69.4%	78.1%	61.1%
Tunisia	Hajeb El Aynoun	2000	71.9%	80.3%	61.8%
Tunisia	Hajeb El Aynoun	2017	70.8%	79.5%	60.7%
Tunisia	Hamma	2000	97.9%	99.0%	96.2%
Tunisia	Hamma	2017	97.8%	98.9%	96.0%
Tunisia	Hammam Chott	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Tunisia	Hammam Chott	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Tunisia	Hammam Ghezaz	2000	99.0%	99.6%	97.6%
Tunisia	Hammam Ghezaz	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.5%
Tunisia	Hammam Lif	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Tunisia	Hammam Lif	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Tunisia	Hammam Sousse	2000	89.3%	97.0%	75.8%
Tunisia	Hammam Sousse	2017	88.9%	96.9%	75.1%
Tunisia	Hammamet	2000	98.4%	99.3%	97.0%
Tunisia	Hammamet	2017	98.4%	99.3%	97.0%
Tunisia	Haouaria	2000	98.9%	99.6%	97.5%
Tunisia	Haouaria	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.4%
Tunisia	Hassi El Ferid	2000	76.2%	82.4%	67.6%
Tunisia	Hassi El Ferid	2017	75.3%	81.4%	66.6%
Tunisia	Hazoua	2000	97.4%	99.3%	93.9%
Tunisia	Hazoua	2017	97.3%	99.2%	93.5%
Tunisia	Hbira	2000	74.6%	86.8%	59.7%
Tunisia	Hbira	2017	73.6%	86.4%	58.4%
Tunisia	Hencha	2000	82.5%	94.7%	62.1%
Tunisia	Hencha	2017	81.8%	94.5%	61.2%
Tunisia	Hergla	2000	90.9%	96.9%	80.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Hergla	2017	91.0%	96.9%	81.0%
Tunisia	Hidra	2000	81.8%	89.5%	71.5%
Tunisia	Hidra	2017	80.6%	88.8%	69.2%
Tunisia	Houmt Souk	2000	98.5%	99.4%	97.2%
Tunisia	Houmt Souk	2017	98.5%	99.4%	97.1%
Tunisia	Hrairia	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Hrairia	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Jammel	2000	84.0%	96.1%	65.4%
Tunisia	Jammel	2017	83.4%	95.9%	64.2%
Tunisia	Jebel Jelloud	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Jebel Jelloud	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Jebeniana	2000	86.7%	97.2%	66.8%
Tunisia	Jebeniana	2017	86.4%	97.1%	65.7%
Tunisia	Jedaida	2000	99.0%	99.6%	98.2%
Tunisia	Jedaida	2017	99.0%	99.6%	98.1%
Tunisia	Jedeliane	2000	82.7%	87.1%	76.9%
Tunisia	Jedeliane	2017	82.0%	86.4%	76.1%
Tunisia	Jelma	2000	73.5%	82.1%	63.7%
Tunisia	Jelma	2017	72.5%	81.1%	62.7%
Tunisia	Jendouba Nord	2000	93.4%	95.1%	91.5%
Tunisia	Jendouba Nord	2017	93.1%	94.9%	91.2%
Tunisia	Jendouba Sud	2000	93.4%	95.3%	91.0%
Tunisia	Jendouba Sud	2017	93.1%	95.1%	90.6%
Tunisia	Jerissa	2000	90.5%	94.7%	85.8%
Tunisia	Jerissa	2017	90.1%	94.4%	85.1%
Tunisia	Joumine	2000	96.6%	98.0%	94.6%
Tunisia	Joumine	2017	96.5%	97.9%	94.4%
Tunisia	Kabaria	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Kabaria	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Kairouan Nord	2000	72.7%	79.7%	65.2%
Tunisia	Kairouan Nord	2017	72.0%	79.1%	64.3%
Tunisia	Kairouan Sud	2000	72.2%	76.5%	67.5%
Tunisia	Kairouan Sud	2017	71.1%	75.4%	66.0%
Tunisia	Kalaa Kebira	2000	85.4%	94.5%	71.8%
Tunisia	Kalaa Kebira	2017	84.7%	94.2%	71.1%
Tunisia	Kalaa Khesba	2000	87.0%	92.1%	80.9%
Tunisia	Kalaa Khesba	2017	86.5%	91.7%	80.3%
Tunisia	Kalaa Sghira	2000	86.5%	95.9%	72.1%
Tunisia	Kalaa Sghira	2017	85.8%	95.6%	70.8%
Tunisia	Kalaat El Andalous	2000	99.4%	99.8%	98.9%
Tunisia	Kalaat El Andalous	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Tunisia	Kalaat Senan	2000	90.2%	95.3%	82.1%
Tunisia	Kalaat Senan	2017	89.8%	95.0%	81.5%
Tunisia	Kasserine Nord	2000	70.7%	76.6%	65.1%
Tunisia	Kasserine Nord	2017	69.8%	75.7%	63.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Kasserine Sud	2000	72.7%	76.8%	68.1%
Tunisia	Kasserine Sud	2017	71.9%	75.8%	67.3%
Tunisia	Kebili Nord	2000	97.8%	98.9%	96.1%
Tunisia	Kebili Nord	2017	97.6%	98.8%	95.9%
Tunisia	Kebili Sud	2000	97.8%	99.1%	96.1%
Tunisia	Kebili Sud	2017	97.7%	99.1%	96.0%
Tunisia	Kef Est	2000	93.6%	95.9%	90.9%
Tunisia	Kef Est	2017	93.4%	95.7%	90.7%
Tunisia	Kef Ouest	2000	94.0%	96.7%	90.0%
Tunisia	Kef Ouest	2017	93.9%	96.6%	89.8%
Tunisia	Kelibia	2000	99.0%	99.6%	97.7%
Tunisia	Kelibia	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.6%
Tunisia	Kerkennah	2000	92.5%	99.1%	79.4%
Tunisia	Kerkennah	2017	92.1%	99.0%	78.2%
Tunisia	Kesra	2000	84.2%	89.7%	76.4%
Tunisia	Kesra	2017	83.8%	89.3%	75.6%
Tunisia	Kondar	2000	82.4%	90.0%	71.0%
Tunisia	Kondar	2017	81.9%	89.7%	70.5%
Tunisia	Korba	2000	98.6%	99.4%	97.2%
Tunisia	Korba	2017	98.5%	99.3%	97.1%
Tunisia	Ksar	2000	97.9%	98.7%	96.9%
Tunisia	Ksar	2017	97.3%	98.3%	96.1%
Tunisia	Ksar Hellal	2000	87.8%	97.6%	68.1%
Tunisia	Ksar Hellal	2017	87.1%	97.5%	66.6%
Tunisia	Ksibet El Mediouni	2000	85.2%	96.8%	64.7%
Tunisia	Ksibet El Mediouni	2017	86.0%	97.1%	66.4%
Tunisia	Ksour	2000	90.8%	94.6%	86.3%
Tunisia	Ksour	2017	90.4%	94.4%	85.8%
Tunisia	Ksour Essef	2000	87.5%	97.1%	64.5%
Tunisia	Ksour Essef	2017	87.0%	97.0%	63.4%
Tunisia	La Goulette	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	La Goulette	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	La Marsa	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Tunisia	La Marsa	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Tunisia	Lake Ichkeul	2000	99.0%	99.5%	98.2%
Tunisia	Lake Ichkeul	2017	99.0%	99.5%	98.2%
Tunisia	Laroussa	2000	93.3%	96.5%	89.2%
Tunisia	Laroussa	2017	93.0%	96.3%	88.7%
Tunisia	M'Hamdia	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Tunisia	M'Hamdia	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.1%
Tunisia	M'Saken	2000	82.9%	94.7%	67.7%
Tunisia	M'Saken	2017	82.6%	94.6%	67.2%
Tunisia	Mahdia	2000	88.1%	97.3%	67.9%
Tunisia	Mahdia	2017	87.2%	97.0%	66.5%
Tunisia	Mahres	2000	90.9%	98.0%	75.8%
Tunisia	Mahres	2017	90.4%	97.9%	74.6%
Tunisia	Majel Be-labbes	2000	86.0%	90.9%	79.7%
Tunisia	Majel Be-labbes	2017	85.7%	90.7%	79.4%
Tunisia	Makthar	2000	89.6%	92.8%	84.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Makthar	2017	89.2%	92.4%	84.2%
Tunisia	Manouba	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Manouba	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Mareth	2000	98.6%	99.3%	97.2%
Tunisia	Mareth	2017	98.5%	99.3%	97.0%
Tunisia	Mateur	2000	98.7%	99.4%	97.6%
Tunisia	Mateur	2017	98.7%	99.3%	97.5%
Tunisia	Matmata	2000	98.0%	99.3%	95.6%
Tunisia	Matmata	2017	97.9%	99.2%	95.4%
Tunisia	Matmata Nouvelle	2000	98.0%	99.2%	96.3%
Tunisia	Matmata Nouvelle	2017	97.9%	99.2%	96.1%
Tunisia	Mazzouna	2000	80.2%	86.8%	70.7%
Tunisia	Mazzouna	2017	79.3%	86.3%	69.4%
Tunisia	Mdhilla	2000	97.8%	98.8%	96.1%
Tunisia	Mdhilla	2017	97.7%	98.8%	96.0%
Tunisia	Médenine Nord	2000	98.8%	99.4%	97.5%
Tunisia	Médenine Nord	2017	98.7%	99.4%	97.3%
Tunisia	Médenine Sud	2000	98.9%	99.6%	97.9%
Tunisia	Médenine Sud	2017	98.9%	99.5%	97.8%
Tunisia	Médina	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Médina	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Mégrine	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Tunisia	Mégrine	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Mejez El Bab	2000	96.5%	97.9%	94.5%
Tunisia	Mejez El Bab	2017	96.4%	97.9%	94.3%
Tunisia	Meknassi	2000	74.3%	80.5%	67.6%
Tunisia	Meknassi	2017	73.7%	79.8%	66.7%
Tunisia	Melloulech	2000	87.3%	97.4%	66.0%
Tunisia	Melloulech	2017	86.7%	97.4%	64.9%
Tunisia	Menzel Bourguiba	2000	99.3%	99.7%	98.8%
Tunisia	Menzel Bourguiba	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.7%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzaiene	2000	83.0%	88.6%	77.4%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzaiene	2017	83.2%	88.7%	77.4%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzelfa	2000	98.8%	99.3%	97.9%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzelfa	2017	98.7%	99.3%	97.8%
Tunisia	Menzel Chaker	2000	79.3%	90.7%	63.4%
Tunisia	Menzel Chaker	2017	78.2%	89.9%	62.1%
Tunisia	Menzel Habib	2000	96.3%	98.1%	93.2%
Tunisia	Menzel Habib	2017	96.0%	97.9%	92.6%
Tunisia	Menzel Jemil	2000	99.0%	99.5%	98.3%
Tunisia	Menzel Jemil	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Menzel Temime	2000	98.9%	99.5%	98.1%
Tunisia	Menzel Temime	2017	98.9%	99.5%	98.0%
Tunisia	Metlaoui	2000	97.1%	98.5%	95.1%
Tunisia	Metlaoui	2017	97.0%	98.4%	94.9%
Tunisia	Metouia	2000	95.9%	98.2%	92.1%
Tunisia	Metouia	2017	95.6%	98.1%	91.7%
Tunisia	Mnihla	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Tunisia	Mnihla	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Tunisia	Moknine	2000	87.8%	97.1%	67.8%
Tunisia	Moknine	2017	87.4%	97.1%	66.9%
Tunisia	Monastir	2000	88.0%	97.1%	70.2%
Tunisia	Monastir	2017	87.6%	97.0%	69.3%
Tunisia	Mornag	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Tunisia	Mornag	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Tunisia	Mornaguia	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.7%
Tunisia	Mornaguia	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.6%
Tunisia	Nabeul	2000	98.4%	99.3%	97.0%
Tunisia	Nabeul	2017	98.3%	99.3%	96.8%
Tunisia	Nadhour	2000	90.9%	94.7%	86.7%
Tunisia	Nadhour	2017	90.4%	94.3%	86.1%
Tunisia	Nasrallah	2000	68.8%	77.2%	59.7%
Tunisia	Nasrallah	2017	67.6%	76.3%	58.5%
Tunisia	Nebeur	2000	93.6%	96.2%	90.9%
Tunisia	Nebeur	2017	93.3%	96.0%	90.5%
Tunisia	Nefta	2000	97.9%	99.1%	95.4%
Tunisia	Nefta	2017	97.7%	99.1%	95.2%
Tunisia	Nefza	2000	96.7%	98.3%	93.9%
Tunisia	Nefza	2017	96.6%	98.2%	93.6%
Tunisia	Nouvelle Médina	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Nouvelle Médina	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Omrane	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Omrane	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Omrane Supérieur	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Omrane Supérieur	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Oued Ellil	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.2%
Tunisia	Oued Ellil	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Tunisia	Oued Mliz	2000	92.7%	95.2%	89.6%
Tunisia	Oued Mliz	2017	92.3%	94.9%	89.0%
Tunisia	Ouerdanine	2000	83.8%	95.9%	67.9%
Tunisia	Ouerdanine	2017	83.3%	95.7%	67.3%
Tunisia	Oueslatia	2000	79.5%	86.7%	70.6%
Tunisia	Oueslatia	2017	78.6%	86.0%	69.5%
Tunisia	Ouled Chamekh	2000	76.9%	88.5%	63.0%
Tunisia	Ouled Chamekh	2017	75.6%	87.6%	61.3%
Tunisia	Ouled Haffouz	2000	70.9%	78.9%	62.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Ouled Haffouz	2017	70.6%	78.5%	62.8%
Tunisia	Oum Larais	2000	95.5%	97.9%	92.6%
Tunisia	Oum Larais	2017	94.9%	97.5%	91.7%
Tunisia	Radès	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Radès	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Raoued	2000	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Tunisia	Raoued	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Tunisia	Ras Jebel	2000	99.1%	99.7%	98.0%
Tunisia	Ras Jebel	2017	99.1%	99.6%	97.9%
Tunisia	Redeyef	2000	96.7%	98.3%	94.5%
Tunisia	Redeyef	2017	96.5%	98.2%	94.2%
Tunisia	Regueb	2000	71.2%	78.9%	63.0%
Tunisia	Regueb	2017	70.1%	77.8%	61.9%
Tunisia	Remada	2000	98.0%	99.5%	95.3%
Tunisia	Remada	2017	98.0%	99.5%	94.7%
Tunisia	Rouhia	2000	82.4%	87.4%	76.8%
Tunisia	Rouhia	2017	81.6%	86.7%	75.8%
Tunisia	Sabalat Ouled Asker	2000	71.6%	78.8%	64.4%
Tunisia	Sabalat Ouled Asker	2017	70.6%	77.8%	63.3%
Tunisia	Sabkhet Sijoumi	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Sabkhet Sijoumi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Sahline	2000	87.0%	96.8%	70.5%
Tunisia	Sahline	2017	86.5%	96.7%	69.5%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ed-daier	2000	90.7%	98.4%	75.8%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ed-daier	2017	90.0%	98.2%	74.5%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ezzit	2000	86.9%	97.4%	68.5%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ezzit	2017	86.3%	97.2%	67.4%
Tunisia	Sakiet Sidi Youssef	2000	93.6%	97.1%	88.4%
Tunisia	Sakiet Sidi Youssef	2017	93.3%	96.9%	87.8%
Tunisia	Samar	2000	98.7%	99.6%	97.0%
Tunisia	Samar	2017	98.7%	99.6%	97.0%
Tunisia	Saouaf	2000	95.1%	97.5%	90.8%
Tunisia	Saouaf	2017	94.9%	97.3%	90.4%
Tunisia	Sayada-Lamta-Bou Hjar	2000	88.9%	97.9%	70.6%
Tunisia	Sayada-Lamta-Bou Hjar	2017	88.3%	97.8%	69.4%
Tunisia	Sbeitla	2000	71.7%	77.4%	65.8%
Tunisia	Sbeitla	2017	70.7%	76.5%	64.7%
Tunisia	Sbiba	2000	75.1%	81.0%	69.6%
Tunisia	Sbiba	2017	74.1%	79.7%	68.7%
Tunisia	Sbikha	2000	78.8%	83.1%	74.1%
Tunisia	Sbikha	2017	78.0%	82.5%	72.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Sebkhat Sidi El Hani	2000	78.4%	90.2%	64.1%
Tunisia	Sebkhat Sidi El Hani	2017	77.5%	89.9%	63.0%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Ari-ana	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Ari-ana	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Tunisia	Sebkhet El Moknine	2000	88.6%	97.7%	68.0%
Tunisia	Sebkhet El Moknine	2017	88.9%	97.8%	68.1%
Tunisia	Sebkhit El Kabla	2000	80.9%	90.0%	68.2%
Tunisia	Sebkhit El Kabla	2017	80.2%	89.6%	67.4%
Tunisia	Sejnane	2000	98.0%	99.2%	95.9%
Tunisia	Sejnane	2017	98.0%	99.1%	95.7%
Tunisia	Sened	2000	93.8%	96.4%	90.0%
Tunisia	Sened	2017	93.2%	96.1%	89.2%
Tunisia	Sfax Médina	2000	92.5%	98.8%	77.4%
Tunisia	Sfax Médina	2017	92.1%	98.7%	76.5%
Tunisia	Sfax Ouest	2000	92.5%	98.8%	77.5%
Tunisia	Sfax Ouest	2017	92.1%	98.7%	76.4%
Tunisia	Sfax Sud	2000	90.0%	98.1%	73.9%
Tunisia	Sfax Sud	2017	89.2%	97.9%	72.5%
Tunisia	Sidi Aich	2000	91.3%	95.8%	85.6%
Tunisia	Sidi Aich	2017	91.0%	95.6%	85.1%
Tunisia	Sidi Ali Ben Aoun	2000	79.5%	83.8%	74.9%
Tunisia	Sidi Ali Ben Aoun	2017	78.8%	83.2%	74.1%
Tunisia	Sidi Alouane	2000	85.1%	96.3%	65.0%
Tunisia	Sidi Alouane	2017	84.5%	96.1%	63.8%
Tunisia	Sidi Bou Ali	2000	88.4%	95.7%	74.6%
Tunisia	Sidi Bou Ali	2017	88.3%	95.5%	74.9%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Est	2000	69.7%	76.4%	61.6%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Est	2017	68.9%	75.8%	60.5%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Ouest	2000	65.1%	71.8%	57.1%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Ouest	2017	63.9%	70.7%	56.0%
Tunisia	Sidi El Béchir	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Sidi El Béchir	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Sidi El Heni	2000	76.2%	87.7%	62.1%
Tunisia	Sidi El Heni	2017	75.2%	87.1%	61.0%
Tunisia	Sidi Hassine	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Sidi Hassine	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Tunisia	Sidi Makhoulouf	2000	98.9%	99.5%	97.7%
Tunisia	Sidi Makhoulouf	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.7%
Tunisia	Sidi Thabet	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Sidi Thabet	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Tunisia	Sijoumi	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Sijoumi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Tunisia	Siliana Nord	2000	93.0%	95.5%	89.7%
Tunisia	Siliana Nord	2017	92.7%	95.3%	89.2%
Tunisia	Siliana Sud	2000	91.3%	94.2%	87.0%
Tunisia	Siliana Sud	2017	91.1%	94.1%	86.7%
Tunisia	Skhira	2000	91.3%	96.7%	81.1%
Tunisia	Skhira	2017	90.9%	96.4%	80.6%
Tunisia	Soliman	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.7%
Tunisia	Soliman	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.8%
Tunisia	Souassi	2000	79.5%	92.3%	63.6%
Tunisia	Souassi	2017	79.0%	92.3%	63.0%
Tunisia	Souk El Ahed	2000	98.0%	99.1%	96.4%
Tunisia	Souk El Ahed	2017	97.9%	99.0%	96.3%
Tunisia	Souk Jedid	2000	69.9%	78.8%	62.1%
Tunisia	Souk Jedid	2017	68.8%	77.6%	60.8%
Tunisia	Soukra	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Soukra	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Sousse	2000	90.4%	97.9%	76.2%
Tunisia	Jaouhara				
Tunisia	Sousse	2017	89.7%	97.6%	74.8%
Tunisia	Jaouhara				
Tunisia	Sousse Méd-ina	2000	90.9%	97.9%	77.3%
Tunisia	Sousse Méd-ina	2017	90.4%	97.7%	76.6%
Tunisia	Sousse Riadh	2000	89.0%	97.4%	73.7%
Tunisia	Sousse Riadh	2017	88.3%	97.2%	72.6%
Tunisia	Sousse Sidi Abdelhamid	2000	91.0%	97.9%	78.4%
Tunisia	Sousse Sidi Abdelhamid	2017	90.4%	97.7%	77.2%
Tunisia	Tabarka	2000	97.1%	98.7%	94.1%
Tunisia	Tabarka	2017	96.9%	98.6%	93.7%
Tunisia	Tajerouine	2000	91.8%	94.8%	88.9%
Tunisia	Tajerouine	2017	91.5%	94.5%	88.5%
Tunisia	Takelsa	2000	99.1%	99.6%	98.2%
Tunisia	Takelsa	2017	99.1%	99.6%	98.1%
Tunisia	Tamaghza	2000	96.6%	98.5%	92.8%
Tunisia	Tamaghza	2017	96.3%	98.4%	92.2%
Tunisia	Tataouine	2000	98.6%	99.5%	96.9%
Tunisia	Nord				
Tunisia	Tataouine Nord	2017	98.5%	99.5%	96.7%
Tunisia	Tataouine Sud	2000	98.4%	99.5%	96.1%
Tunisia	Tataouine Sud	2017	98.3%	99.5%	95.8%
Tunisia	Teboulba	2000	88.1%	97.9%	67.7%
Tunisia	Teboulba	2017	87.5%	97.8%	66.3%
Tunisia	Tebourba	2000	98.5%	99.4%	97.3%
Tunisia	Tebourba	2017	98.4%	99.3%	97.1%
Tunisia	Téboursouk	2000	92.5%	95.5%	88.9%
Tunisia	Téboursouk	2017	92.2%	95.3%	88.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Testour	2000	93.4%	95.9%	90.1%
Tunisia	Testour	2017	93.2%	95.7%	89.8%
Tunisia	Thala	2000	80.9%	85.4%	75.5%
Tunisia	Thala	2017	80.0%	84.7%	74.4%
Tunisia	Thibar	2000	91.9%	94.8%	88.1%
Tunisia	Thibar	2017	91.4%	94.5%	87.4%
Tunisia	Tinja	2000	99.3%	99.6%	98.7%
Tunisia	Tinja	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.5%
Tunisia	Tozeur	2000	97.6%	98.9%	95.9%
Tunisia	Tozeur	2017	97.4%	98.8%	95.6%
Tunisia	Unknown	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Unknown	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
Tunisia	Unknown1	2000	90.5%	98.4%	73.3%
Tunisia	Unknown1	2017	90.0%	98.3%	72.2%
Tunisia	Utique	2000	99.1%	99.6%	98.5%
Tunisia	Utique	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.4%
Tunisia	Zaghouan	2000	98.2%	99.0%	97.0%
Tunisia	Zaghouan	2017	98.1%	98.9%	96.8%
Tunisia	Zarzis	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.5%
Tunisia	Zarzis	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.3%
Tunisia	Zeramdine	2000	81.8%	93.9%	64.8%
Tunisia	Zeramdine	2017	81.4%	94.1%	63.7%
Tunisia	Zriba	2000	97.7%	98.7%	95.8%
Tunisia	Zriba	2017	97.6%	98.7%	95.8%
Yemen	Abs	2000	60.1%	85.5%	29.3%
Yemen	Abs	2017	41.4%	68.2%	19.0%
Yemen	Ad Dahi	2000	62.4%	83.9%	28.2%
Yemen	Ad Dahi	2017	43.2%	72.5%	16.9%
Yemen	Ad Dhale'e	2000	61.8%	75.3%	43.7%
Yemen	Ad Dhale'e	2017	44.4%	57.0%	30.9%
Yemen	Ad Dis	2000	69.0%	88.8%	34.3%
Yemen	Ad Dis	2017	49.2%	75.8%	21.2%
Yemen	Ad Durayhimi	2000	66.5%	84.2%	47.1%
Yemen	Ad Durayhimi	2017	50.7%	66.4%	32.0%
Yemen	Adh Dhli'a'ah	2000	68.5%	85.6%	48.0%
Yemen	Adh Dhli'a'ah	2017	47.3%	68.2%	29.3%
Yemen	Aflah Al Yamman	2000	50.5%	84.7%	10.4%
Yemen	Aflah Al Yamman	2017	37.0%	77.1%	4.7%
Yemen	Aflah Ash Shawm	2000	49.1%	96.0%	2.8%
Yemen	Aflah Ash Shawm	2017	40.0%	94.0%	1.3%
Yemen	Ahwar	2000	64.5%	82.6%	40.4%
Yemen	Ahwar	2017	44.2%	62.4%	24.0%
Yemen	Ain	2000	69.5%	86.1%	45.2%
Yemen	Ain	2017	50.9%	70.4%	31.1%
Yemen	Al Hawtah	2000	15.3%	28.7%	7.0%
Yemen	Al Hawtah	2017	7.2%	14.5%	3.1%
Yemen	Al Mukha	2000	65.4%	86.1%	37.2%
Yemen	Al Mukha	2017	45.6%	68.3%	25.9%
Yemen	Al A'rsh	2000	61.6%	90.8%	15.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al A'rsh	2017	43.3%	83.5%	9.0%
Yemen	Al Abdiyah	2000	63.4%	89.7%	29.5%
Yemen	Al Abdiyah	2017	43.3%	72.1%	18.0%
Yemen	Al Abr	2000	65.2%	86.2%	36.8%
Yemen	Al Abr	2017	44.7%	64.8%	24.9%
Yemen	Al Ashah	2000	70.7%	92.4%	39.2%
Yemen	Al Ashah	2017	50.0%	74.4%	23.4%
Yemen	Al Azariq	2000	55.6%	82.3%	27.3%
Yemen	Al Azariq	2017	37.1%	65.0%	16.2%
Yemen	Al Bayda	2000	70.0%	84.0%	51.2%
Yemen	Al Bayda	2017	50.1%	65.1%	35.2%
Yemen	Al Bayda City	2000	74.1%	98.6%	64.2%
Yemen	Al Bayda City	2017	55.0%	97.2%	42.3%
Yemen	Al Buraiqeh	2000	57.6%	86.0%	28.8%
Yemen	Al Buraiqeh	2017	43.4%	72.5%	21.2%
Yemen	Al Dhaher	2000	70.7%	95.3%	19.4%
Yemen	Al Dhaher	2017	49.4%	88.5%	10.3%
Yemen	Al Dhihar	2000	68.3%	73.1%	63.8%
Yemen	Al Dhihar	2017	47.3%	51.8%	42.6%
Yemen	Al Garrahi	2000	52.9%	72.9%	24.4%
Yemen	Al Garrahi	2017	35.3%	58.3%	15.1%
Yemen	Al Ghaydah	2000	67.7%	82.7%	52.1%
Yemen	Al Ghaydah	2017	47.2%	65.1%	31.9%
Yemen	Al Ghayl	2000	68.3%	97.2%	12.6%
Yemen	Al Ghayl	2017	51.9%	89.8%	4.8%
Yemen	Al Had	2000	59.7%	84.0%	32.3%
Yemen	Al Had	2017	41.0%	66.1%	19.4%
Yemen	Al Hada	2000	64.6%	85.0%	44.4%
Yemen	Al Hada	2017	46.9%	70.2%	29.3%
Yemen	Al Hajjayah	2000	28.4%	56.9%	1.3%
Yemen	Al Hajjayah	2017	19.7%	49.3%	0.5%
Yemen	Al Hali	2000	39.9%	53.3%	25.7%
Yemen	Al Hali	2017	25.6%	39.0%	13.0%
Yemen	Al Hashwah	2000	69.6%	95.0%	31.5%
Yemen	Al Hashwah	2017	47.1%	78.0%	19.2%
Yemen	Al Hawak	2000	39.5%	75.0%	9.7%
Yemen	Al Hawak	2017	26.3%	69.0%	4.8%
Yemen	Al Haymah	2000	63.1%	89.0%	23.2%
Yemen	Ad Dakhiliyah				
Yemen	Al Haymah	2017	44.8%	78.8%	13.8%
Yemen	Ad Dakhiliyah				
Yemen	Al Haymah Al Kharijyah	2000	64.0%	77.4%	50.6%
Yemen	Al Haymah Al Kharijyah	2017	47.7%	56.9%	38.2%
Yemen	Al Hazm	2000	68.8%	89.9%	37.1%
Yemen	Al Hazm	2017	52.0%	77.4%	26.0%
Yemen	Al Humaydat	2000	68.9%	91.2%	40.4%
Yemen	Al Humaydat	2017	52.3%	73.5%	33.9%
Yemen	Al Husha	2000	56.5%	70.7%	38.6%
Yemen	Al Husha	2017	40.0%	55.9%	22.9%
Yemen	Al Husn	2000	68.0%	96.5%	27.1%
Yemen	Al Husn	2017	49.0%	86.3%	14.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Hussein	2000	69.2%	73.3%	65.1%
Yemen	Al Hussein	2017	50.7%	54.7%	46.3%
Yemen	Al Jabin	2000	35.6%	44.9%	25.3%
Yemen	Al Jabin	2017	20.9%	30.1%	12.8%
Yemen	Al Jafariyah	2000	40.0%	59.6%	16.7%
Yemen	Al Jafariyah	2017	25.6%	46.1%	8.5%
Yemen	Al Jamimah	2000	58.1%	89.6%	22.1%
Yemen	Al Jamimah	2017	43.6%	80.1%	14.6%
Yemen	Al Jubah	2000	67.0%	86.7%	43.7%
Yemen	Al Jubah	2017	48.0%	71.3%	29.4%
Yemen	Al Khabt	2000	22.7%	46.2%	5.8%
Yemen	Al Khabt	2017	14.4%	34.2%	2.6%
Yemen	Al Khalq	2000	28.4%	44.6%	13.6%
Yemen	Al Khalq	2017	19.5%	34.0%	8.5%
Yemen	Al Khawkhah	2000	72.0%	94.7%	33.2%
Yemen	Al Khawkhah	2017	50.2%	81.7%	21.6%
Yemen	Al Ma'afer	2000	48.3%	84.0%	11.4%
Yemen	Al Ma'afer	2017	32.1%	67.7%	6.2%
Yemen	Al Madan	2000	70.3%	86.9%	45.7%
Yemen	Al Madan	2017	51.4%	71.9%	31.4%
Yemen	Al Madaribah	2000	68.7%	86.0%	51.2%
Yemen	Wa Al Arah				
Yemen	Al Madaribah	2017	45.0%	61.4%	29.9%
Yemen	Wa Al Arah				
Yemen	Al Maflahy	2000	58.4%	93.2%	18.8%
Yemen	Al Maflahy	2017	42.1%	84.9%	10.3%
Yemen	Al Maghrabah	2000	61.8%	92.7%	16.6%
Yemen	Al Maghrabah	2017	44.2%	83.0%	9.4%
Yemen	Al Ma-habishah	2000	54.8%	91.3%	8.2%
Yemen	Al Ma-habishah	2017	36.5%	80.4%	3.1%
Yemen	Al Mahfad	2000	66.3%	85.3%	44.4%
Yemen	Al Mahfad	2017	45.9%	65.0%	29.5%
Yemen	Al Mahwait	2000	53.7%	61.3%	47.4%
Yemen	Al Mahwait	2017	35.6%	43.0%	30.3%
Yemen	Al Mahwait City	2000	57.5%	62.0%	52.8%
Yemen	Al Mahwait City	2017	37.1%	41.0%	33.4%
Yemen	Al Makhadir	2000	61.8%	89.6%	31.4%
Yemen	Al Makhadir	2017	47.5%	84.4%	15.9%
Yemen	Al Malagim	2000	40.5%	58.4%	24.6%
Yemen	Al Malagim	2017	24.4%	40.8%	14.1%
Yemen	Al Manar	2000	59.8%	92.8%	14.8%
Yemen	Al Manar	2017	42.8%	83.3%	8.8%
Yemen	Al Mansura	2000	74.7%	79.9%	67.9%
Yemen	Al Mansura	2017	55.6%	63.1%	48.4%
Yemen	Al Mansuriyah	2000	52.9%	85.8%	10.9%
Yemen	Al Mansuriyah	2017	32.5%	69.5%	6.6%
Yemen	Al Maqatirah	2000	60.6%	91.7%	20.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Maqatirah	2017	42.4%	77.9%	11.8%
Yemen	Al Marawi'ah	2000	60.8%	78.7%	42.0%
Yemen	Al Marawi'ah	2017	41.8%	61.0%	25.1%
Yemen	Al Mashannah	2000	58.3%	60.8%	56.0%
Yemen	Al Mashannah	2017	37.0%	40.6%	34.0%
Yemen	Al Masilah	2000	67.1%	83.0%	48.5%
Yemen	Al Masilah	2017	47.0%	61.0%	31.5%
Yemen	Al Maslub	2000	64.0%	92.3%	17.5%
Yemen	Al Maslub	2017	41.5%	75.5%	9.5%
Yemen	Al Matammah	2000	47.5%	71.5%	21.5%
Yemen	Al Matammah	2017	30.7%	51.5%	13.4%
Yemen	Al Maton	2000	43.9%	77.1%	7.9%
Yemen	Al Maton	2017	28.1%	65.0%	3.5%
Yemen	Al Mawasit	2000	44.3%	73.4%	20.1%
Yemen	Al Mawasit	2017	27.5%	50.9%	11.9%
Yemen	Al Miftah	2000	44.8%	61.4%	27.5%
Yemen	Al Miftah	2017	23.9%	35.9%	13.2%
Yemen	Al Mighlaf	2000	42.5%	61.9%	23.4%
Yemen	Al Mighlaf	2017	26.0%	43.6%	12.7%
Yemen	Al Milah	2000	65.3%	86.3%	39.3%
Yemen	Al Milah	2017	46.7%	70.8%	24.2%
Yemen	Al Mina	2000	18.6%	26.9%	4.3%
Yemen	Al Mina	2017	17.0%	24.9%	3.1%
Yemen	Al Misrakh	2000	42.3%	55.4%	33.7%
Yemen	Al Misrakh	2017	31.8%	41.7%	24.6%
Yemen	Al Mualla	2000	70.6%	79.9%	62.8%
Yemen	Al Mualla	2017	53.2%	64.1%	42.6%
Yemen	Al Mudhaffar	2000	69.6%	72.5%	66.1%
Yemen	Al Mudhaffar	2017	50.2%	53.3%	47.2%
Yemen	Al Mukalla	2000	68.9%	88.2%	41.7%
Yemen	Al Mukalla	2017	47.4%	72.9%	24.5%
Yemen	Al Mukalla City	2000	63.5%	89.6%	28.9%
Yemen	Al Mukalla City	2017	41.8%	75.3%	15.2%
Yemen	Al Munirah	2000	66.2%	91.1%	35.5%
Yemen	Al Munirah	2017	45.9%	70.7%	24.0%
Yemen	Al Musaymir	2000	66.4%	86.9%	36.5%
Yemen	Al Musaymir	2017	46.7%	73.3%	22.9%
Yemen	Al Qabbaytah	2000	68.2%	81.2%	53.6%
Yemen	Al Qabbaytah	2017	50.9%	66.3%	36.5%
Yemen	Al Qaf	2000	66.7%	75.9%	55.3%
Yemen	Al Qaf	2017	45.1%	55.1%	36.2%
Yemen	Al Qaflah	2000	70.7%	91.5%	37.6%
Yemen	Al Qaflah	2017	50.7%	79.7%	22.3%
Yemen	Al Qafr	2000	63.1%	82.7%	37.1%
Yemen	Al Qafr	2017	43.5%	66.8%	23.7%
Yemen	Al Qahirah	2000	80.1%	83.6%	76.2%
Yemen	Al Qahirah	2017	64.7%	70.0%	58.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Qanawis	2000	54.0%	78.1%	29.1%
Yemen	Al Qanawis	2017	35.1%	56.6%	16.6%
Yemen	Al Qatn	2000	73.0%	91.5%	45.6%
Yemen	Al Qatn	2017	53.2%	78.0%	27.5%
Yemen	Al Quraishyah	2000	52.8%	66.9%	35.3%
Yemen	Al Quraishyah	2017	35.8%	48.8%	21.3%
Yemen	Al Talh	2000	68.2%	84.8%	49.3%
Yemen	Al Talh	2017	47.2%	63.4%	29.6%
Yemen	Al Udayn	2000	64.9%	70.9%	58.6%
Yemen	Al Udayn	2017	45.9%	52.2%	39.6%
Yemen	Al Wade'a	2000	66.8%	86.4%	40.5%
Yemen	Al Wade'a	2017	43.8%	67.4%	24.9%
Yemen	Al Wahdah	2000	74.8%	79.6%	69.0%
Yemen	Al Wahdah	2017	56.0%	62.3%	50.1%
Yemen	Al Wazi'iyah	2000	72.6%	96.0%	27.7%
Yemen	Al Wazi'iyah	2017	46.3%	83.4%	16.3%
Yemen	Alluheyah	2000	61.5%	82.7%	36.5%
Yemen	Alluheyah	2017	43.5%	61.5%	26.7%
Yemen	Amd	2000	68.4%	91.7%	36.6%
Yemen	Amd	2017	47.7%	75.8%	23.5%
Yemen	Amran	2000	68.9%	85.0%	59.5%
Yemen	Amran	2017	54.6%	70.9%	44.2%
Yemen	An Nadirah	2000	74.3%	95.1%	38.0%
Yemen	An Nadirah	2017	55.0%	88.7%	20.4%
Yemen	Anss	2000	54.8%	72.8%	32.9%
Yemen	Anss	2017	38.9%	57.6%	20.2%
Yemen	Ar Radmah	2000	59.7%	94.5%	18.7%
Yemen	Ar Radmah	2017	44.2%	86.1%	9.4%
Yemen	Ar Rawdah	2000	67.0%	85.7%	41.7%
Yemen	Ar Rawdah	2017	46.1%	69.8%	27.1%
Yemen	Ar Raydah	2000	67.3%	86.8%	42.7%
Yemen	Ar Raydah	2017	45.2%	70.3%	23.9%
Yemen	Ar Rujum	2000	42.8%	53.6%	34.5%
Yemen	Ar Rujum	2017	25.0%	31.9%	20.8%
Yemen	Ar Ryashyyah	2000	60.4%	88.4%	28.3%
Yemen	Ar Ryashyyah	2017	38.4%	65.2%	15.1%
Yemen	Arhab	2000	65.3%	83.8%	38.0%
Yemen	Arhab	2017	45.2%	67.0%	22.8%
Yemen	Arma	2000	66.6%	83.7%	44.0%
Yemen	Arma	2017	45.5%	62.0%	27.3%
Yemen	As Sabain	2000	75.9%	79.9%	69.9%
Yemen	As Sabain	2017	57.3%	61.7%	52.1%
Yemen	As Sabrah	2000	68.0%	95.5%	24.3%
Yemen	As Sabrah	2017	49.1%	78.7%	17.2%
Yemen	As Saddah	2000	62.6%	86.3%	27.6%
Yemen	As Saddah	2017	44.1%	76.0%	17.0%
Yemen	As Safra	2000	66.3%	88.9%	38.8%
Yemen	As Safra	2017	47.5%	70.5%	26.2%
Yemen	As Said	2000	67.3%	91.3%	38.9%
Yemen	As Said	2017	46.9%	75.4%	21.6%
Yemen	As Salafiyah	2000	47.3%	67.7%	24.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	As Salafiyah	2017	29.4%	45.9%	13.8%
Yemen	As Salif	2000	67.1%	97.4%	13.2%
Yemen	As Salif	2017	45.9%	87.8%	9.2%
Yemen	As Sawadiyah	2000	53.1%	70.3%	35.9%
Yemen	As Sawadiyah	2017	38.2%	56.8%	25.0%
Yemen	As Sawd	2000	55.1%	93.4%	2.6%
Yemen	As Sawd	2017	33.3%	74.2%	1.3%
Yemen	As Sawm	2000	66.8%	83.5%	45.4%
Yemen	As Sawm	2017	45.3%	64.2%	29.5%
Yemen	As Sawma'ah	2000	62.0%	82.0%	35.2%
Yemen	As Sawma'ah	2017	44.2%	64.0%	23.0%
Yemen	As Sayyani	2000	52.6%	82.6%	27.1%
Yemen	As Sayyani	2017	34.1%	54.1%	18.9%
Yemen	As Silw	2000	18.3%	55.2%	2.7%
Yemen	As Silw	2017	10.5%	34.3%	2.1%
Yemen	As Sudah	2000	71.9%	82.3%	58.5%
Yemen	As Sudah	2017	53.5%	63.6%	43.1%
Yemen	As Sukhnah	2000	55.0%	83.8%	26.4%
Yemen	As Sukhnah	2017	35.8%	64.6%	15.4%
Yemen	Ash Sha'ir	2000	82.6%	92.1%	46.0%
Yemen	Ash Sha'ir	2017	70.3%	86.2%	29.8%
Yemen	Ash Shaghadi- rah	2000	36.7%	51.7%	25.2%
Yemen	Ash Shaghadi- rah	2017	19.7%	29.5%	13.5%
Yemen	Ash Shahil	2000	69.3%	98.4%	9.3%
Yemen	Ash Shahil	2017	51.2%	96.0%	4.4%
Yemen	Ash Shaikh Outhman	2000	82.5%	85.6%	79.1%
Yemen	Ash Shaikh Outhman	2017	56.8%	65.8%	48.5%
Yemen	Ash Shamay- atayn	2000	53.4%	79.6%	25.6%
Yemen	Ash Shamay- atayn	2017	39.5%	70.7%	13.5%
Yemen	Ash Sharyah	2000	73.5%	85.7%	58.8%
Yemen	Ash Sharyah	2017	56.9%	70.5%	44.5%
Yemen	Ash Shihr	2000	77.7%	88.8%	59.2%
Yemen	Ash Shihr	2017	58.6%	73.8%	42.2%
Yemen	Ash Shu'ayb	2000	72.9%	82.9%	56.6%
Yemen	Ash Shu'ayb	2017	56.9%	66.1%	45.3%
Yemen	Aslem	2000	43.9%	65.9%	21.1%
Yemen	Aslem	2017	29.5%	55.1%	11.1%
Yemen	Assafi'yah	2000	86.5%	89.0%	84.0%
Yemen	Assafi'yah	2017	72.9%	76.8%	68.9%
Yemen	At Ta'iziyah	2000	78.1%	89.3%	62.7%
Yemen	At Ta'iziyah	2017	59.2%	74.4%	46.9%
Yemen	At Taffah	2000	61.6%	78.8%	39.7%
Yemen	At Taffah	2017	41.4%	57.6%	24.3%
Yemen	At Tahrir	2000	74.6%	79.9%	68.7%
Yemen	At Tahrir	2017	55.3%	61.6%	49.1%
Yemen	At Tawilah	2000	49.1%	66.5%	32.4%
Yemen	At Tawilah	2017	31.7%	48.3%	18.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	At Tuhayat	2000	59.3%	79.5%	29.3%
Yemen	At Tuhayat	2017	43.5%	67.2%	14.9%
Yemen	Ataq	2000	67.6%	92.3%	27.8%
Yemen	Ataq	2017	47.3%	78.4%	18.2%
Yemen	Ath'thaorah	2000	69.8%	75.9%	63.4%
Yemen	Ath'thaorah	2017	51.5%	57.2%	45.4%
Yemen	Attawahi	2000	51.4%	82.2%	35.9%
Yemen	Attawahi	2017	36.8%	66.3%	25.5%
Yemen	Attyal	2000	66.9%	90.5%	25.3%
Yemen	Attyal	2017	47.7%	79.3%	14.6%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2000	64.9%	91.0%	23.7%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2000	36.9%	77.4%	9.0%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2017	44.1%	76.5%	13.8%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2017	21.8%	54.2%	4.1%
Yemen	Az Zaydiyah	2000	55.3%	78.1%	27.2%
Yemen	Az Zaydiyah	2017	38.4%	63.4%	16.9%
Yemen	Az Zuhrah	2000	56.6%	77.3%	28.6%
Yemen	Az Zuhrah	2017	39.3%	61.3%	15.8%
Yemen	Az'zal	2000	86.7%	89.4%	84.2%
Yemen	Az'zal	2017	73.3%	77.3%	69.1%
Yemen	Ba'dan	2000	69.6%	93.6%	32.9%
Yemen	Ba'dan	2017	54.9%	84.8%	23.9%
Yemen	Bajil	2000	69.5%	87.4%	43.1%
Yemen	Bajil	2017	49.7%	73.2%	30.0%
Yemen	Bakil Al Mir	2000	68.0%	91.0%	37.7%
Yemen	Bakil Al Mir	2017	47.3%	73.1%	20.7%
Yemen	Bani Al Awam	2000	22.1%	26.2%	18.0%
Yemen	Bani Al Awam	2017	11.3%	13.4%	9.3%
Yemen	Bani Al Harith	2000	71.7%	82.8%	58.9%
Yemen	Bani Al Harith	2017	55.5%	69.0%	43.2%
Yemen	Bani Dhabyan	2000	68.2%	87.2%	43.5%
Yemen	Bani Dhabyan	2017	45.5%	63.4%	27.5%
Yemen	Bani Hushaysh	2000	85.6%	94.3%	71.1%
Yemen	Bani Hushaysh	2017	76.4%	87.9%	64.1%
Yemen	Bani Matar	2000	68.0%	87.2%	41.0%
Yemen	Bani Matar	2017	52.4%	74.2%	28.4%
Yemen	Bani Qa'is	2000	55.6%	79.2%	22.8%
Yemen	Bani Qa'is	2017	38.2%	65.2%	13.1%
Yemen	Bani Sa'd	2000	63.0%	86.9%	26.3%
Yemen	Bani Sa'd	2017	45.1%	72.8%	16.1%
Yemen	Bani Suraim	2000	69.1%	95.4%	20.2%
Yemen	Bani Suraim	2017	48.3%	84.8%	11.8%
Yemen	Baqim	2000	84.8%	97.1%	59.6%
Yemen	Baqim	2017	60.9%	83.7%	37.7%
Yemen	Bart Al Anan	2000	62.6%	84.2%	34.7%
Yemen	Bart Al Anan	2017	41.8%	66.2%	18.0%
Yemen	Bayhan	2000	69.1%	89.8%	39.6%
Yemen	Bayhan	2017	51.5%	75.1%	26.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Bayt Al Faqiah	2000	68.3%	85.9%	46.0%
Yemen	Bayt Al Faqiah	2017	47.8%	66.4%	29.5%
Yemen	Bidbadah	2000	72.9%	85.0%	53.8%
Yemen	Bidbadah	2017	54.4%	72.5%	35.9%
Yemen	Bilad Ar Rus	2000	63.6%	91.0%	25.5%
Yemen	Bilad Ar Rus	2017	44.7%	75.0%	13.7%
Yemen	Bilad At Ta'am	2000	53.9%	74.3%	27.2%
Yemen	Bilad At Ta'am	2017	36.6%	60.2%	15.6%
Yemen	Brom Mayfa	2000	64.0%	86.3%	37.9%
Yemen	Brom Mayfa	2017	43.4%	69.2%	21.0%
Yemen	Bura	2000	52.0%	84.8%	7.7%
Yemen	Bura	2017	35.4%	74.9%	4.2%
Yemen	Craiter	2000	79.0%	89.6%	57.7%
Yemen	Craiter	2017	63.9%	79.1%	40.6%
Yemen	Damt	2000	51.3%	62.6%	38.9%
Yemen	Damt	2017	32.6%	41.7%	24.2%
Yemen	Dar Sad	2000	83.1%	87.9%	77.8%
Yemen	Dar Sad	2017	60.5%	69.1%	51.9%
Yemen	Daw'an	2000	68.8%	88.6%	41.5%
Yemen	Daw'an	2017	48.6%	73.8%	26.6%
Yemen	Dawran Aness	2000	66.8%	89.1%	33.2%
Yemen	Dawran Aness	2017	48.1%	74.7%	20.0%
Yemen	Dhamar City	2000	61.7%	75.9%	46.7%
Yemen	Dhamar City	2017	42.2%	59.2%	28.8%
Yemen	Dhar	2000	68.5%	90.8%	39.6%
Yemen	Dhar	2017	47.2%	71.9%	24.2%
Yemen	Dhi As Sufal	2000	79.1%	90.3%	54.9%
Yemen	Dhi As Sufal	2017	54.8%	74.5%	33.3%
Yemen	Dhi Bin	2000	55.9%	75.0%	31.3%
Yemen	Dhi Bin	2017	38.1%	57.3%	17.9%
Yemen	Dhi Na'im	2000	69.6%	91.2%	45.3%
Yemen	Dhi Na'im	2017	52.2%	81.8%	27.5%
Yemen	Dhubab	2000	68.9%	89.7%	42.5%
Yemen	Dhubab	2017	48.0%	70.6%	25.9%
Yemen	Dimnat Khadir	2000	70.1%	91.3%	39.1%
Yemen	Dimnat Khadir	2017	54.7%	82.9%	26.9%
Yemen	Far Al Udayn	2000	61.9%	82.3%	31.7%
Yemen	Far Al Udayn	2017	44.4%	67.3%	20.8%
Yemen	Ghamr	2000	69.6%	96.9%	16.0%
Yemen	Ghamr	2017	48.2%	90.6%	9.2%
Yemen	Ghayl Ba Wazir	2000	69.6%	91.9%	27.8%
Yemen	Ghayl Ba Wazir	2017	49.8%	77.7%	18.4%
Yemen	Ghayl Bin Yamin	2000	68.1%	86.0%	44.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Ghayl Bin Yamin	2017	46.3%	62.0%	27.6%
Yemen	Habban	2000	67.3%	93.2%	37.7%
Yemen	Habban	2017	46.7%	76.5%	19.7%
Yemen	Habil Jabr	2000	68.9%	91.2%	36.2%
Yemen	Habil Jabr	2017	49.0%	78.9%	21.5%
Yemen	Habur Zulaymah	2000	62.5%	92.8%	22.8%
Yemen	Habur Zulaymah	2017	46.5%	85.0%	13.5%
Yemen	Hagr As Sai'ar	2000	67.0%	83.5%	47.8%
Yemen	Hagr As Sai'ar	2017	45.7%	63.3%	29.8%
Yemen	Hajjah	2000	31.4%	63.8%	11.1%
Yemen	Hajjah	2017	17.8%	45.8%	5.2%
Yemen	Hajjah City	2000	52.1%	96.8%	4.8%
Yemen	Hajjah City	2017	32.5%	81.0%	3.2%
Yemen	Hajr	2000	66.4%	85.3%	41.2%
Yemen	Hajr	2017	45.5%	68.1%	25.2%
Yemen	Halimayn	2000	79.8%	94.1%	50.9%
Yemen	Halimayn	2017	64.8%	87.2%	38.6%
Yemen	Hamdan	2000	60.1%	81.3%	36.1%
Yemen	Hamdan	2017	42.9%	65.1%	22.1%
Yemen	Harad	2000	58.9%	82.1%	35.0%
Yemen	Harad	2017	41.0%	62.6%	18.8%
Yemen	Harf Sufyan	2000	63.6%	79.9%	41.9%
Yemen	Harf Sufyan	2017	43.1%	59.0%	27.2%
Yemen	Harib	2000	64.1%	78.7%	47.6%
Yemen	Harib	2017	45.4%	63.4%	28.4%
Yemen	Harib Al Qaramish	2000	63.4%	86.4%	32.2%
Yemen	Harib Al Qaramish	2017	41.9%	67.5%	18.5%
Yemen	Hat	2000	66.8%	75.9%	55.9%
Yemen	Hat	2017	45.3%	55.2%	35.1%
Yemen	Hatib	2000	65.4%	92.8%	27.8%
Yemen	Hatib	2017	44.0%	77.9%	16.2%
Yemen	Hawf	2000	66.0%	88.8%	32.0%
Yemen	Hawf	2017	44.1%	70.1%	20.3%
Yemen	Haydan	2000	65.7%	93.9%	25.0%
Yemen	Haydan	2017	45.2%	79.9%	14.3%
Yemen	Hayfan	2000	36.0%	60.7%	19.0%
Yemen	Hayfan	2017	26.8%	42.6%	15.7%
Yemen	Hayran	2000	70.4%	98.4%	11.8%
Yemen	Hayran	2017	52.0%	95.3%	6.7%
Yemen	Hays	2000	54.3%	87.7%	10.5%
Yemen	Hays	2017	32.7%	64.3%	5.8%
Yemen	Hazm Al Udayn	2000	68.2%	88.4%	35.8%
Yemen	Hazm Al Udayn	2017	47.7%	71.1%	22.3%
Yemen	Hidaybu	2000	63.3%	85.9%	41.4%
Yemen	Hidaybu	2017	43.8%	62.4%	25.9%
Yemen	Hubaysh	2000	66.1%	95.6%	22.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Hubaysh	2017	47.7%	88.0%	14.7%
Yemen	Hufash	2000	35.9%	45.0%	29.2%
Yemen	Hufash	2017	20.7%	25.6%	17.4%
Yemen	Huraidhah	2000	67.6%	93.1%	33.6%
Yemen	Huraidhah	2017	46.1%	72.4%	21.1%
Yemen	Huswain	2000	71.3%	87.1%	54.1%
Yemen	Huswain	2017	53.4%	73.4%	36.5%
Yemen	Huth	2000	69.4%	97.2%	24.7%
Yemen	Huth	2017	49.5%	86.5%	14.9%
Yemen	Ibb	2000	62.4%	80.2%	42.3%
Yemen	Ibb	2017	44.9%	65.5%	27.9%
Yemen	Iyal Surayh	2000	72.3%	91.7%	48.2%
Yemen	Iyal Surayh	2017	52.3%	71.9%	29.5%
Yemen	Jabal Ash	2000	64.7%	89.4%	30.3%
Yemen	Jabal Ash	2017	44.2%	69.8%	20.5%
Yemen	Jabal Habashy	2000	73.8%	91.8%	33.4%
Yemen	Jabal Habashy	2017	61.5%	84.1%	21.8%
Yemen	Jabal Iyal Yazid	2000	71.3%	83.8%	53.6%
Yemen	Jabal Iyal Yazid	2017	55.1%	72.4%	40.9%
Yemen	Jabal Murad	2000	61.6%	87.4%	39.6%
Yemen	Jabal Murad	2017	44.0%	65.8%	24.3%
Yemen	Jabal Ra's	2000	61.9%	88.8%	25.2%
Yemen	Jabal Ra's	2017	42.3%	70.9%	12.9%
Yemen	Jahaf	2000	69.1%	75.7%	62.0%
Yemen	Jahaf	2017	51.1%	57.2%	45.4%
Yemen	Jahran	2000	54.2%	69.2%	34.1%
Yemen	Jahran	2017	33.3%	49.1%	19.5%
Yemen	Jardan	2000	69.6%	85.0%	52.9%
Yemen	Jardan	2017	50.8%	66.7%	34.3%
Yemen	Jayshan	2000	65.2%	90.4%	28.0%
Yemen	Jayshan	2017	43.2%	74.8%	15.7%
Yemen	Jiblah	2000	53.8%	60.3%	49.7%
Yemen	Jiblah	2017	34.1%	39.2%	30.6%
Yemen	Jihanah	2000	68.1%	94.7%	35.3%
Yemen	Jihanah	2017	49.4%	82.7%	20.3%
Yemen	Juban	2000	58.3%	79.9%	35.7%
Yemen	Juban	2017	37.5%	55.2%	21.4%
Yemen	Kamaran	2000	67.0%	97.3%	11.3%
Yemen	Kamaran	2017	45.4%	89.2%	6.0%
Yemen	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	2000	63.9%	76.6%	51.4%
Yemen	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	2017	43.7%	55.3%	32.9%
Yemen	Khamir	2000	70.1%	86.7%	51.1%
Yemen	Khamir	2017	51.2%	65.8%	35.2%
Yemen	Khanfir	2000	69.6%	81.0%	56.4%
Yemen	Khanfir	2017	44.3%	60.6%	30.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Kharab Al Marashi	2000	48.4%	73.5%	20.4%
Yemen	Kharab Al Marashi	2017	30.6%	53.3%	14.0%
Yemen	Kharif	2000	75.8%	92.0%	43.8%
Yemen	Kharif	2017	57.9%	79.1%	29.4%
Yemen	Khayran Al Muharraq	2000	35.9%	52.5%	18.2%
Yemen	Khayran Al Muharraq	2017	23.9%	41.7%	9.1%
Yemen	Khur Maksar	2000	75.2%	80.3%	68.4%
Yemen	Khur Maksar	2017	54.1%	63.2%	45.5%
Yemen	Khwlan	2000	68.4%	81.5%	52.0%
Yemen	Khwlan	2017	47.6%	59.8%	34.6%
Yemen	Kitaf wa Al Boqe'e	2000	69.3%	85.5%	48.8%
Yemen	Kitaf wa Al Boqe'e	2017	47.8%	62.5%	32.5%
Yemen	Ku'aydinah	2000	51.4%	67.9%	28.7%
Yemen	Ku'aydinah	2017	34.8%	50.7%	17.9%
Yemen	Kuhlan Affar	2000	26.7%	47.3%	12.8%
Yemen	Kuhlan Affar	2017	16.1%	30.7%	8.5%
Yemen	Kuhlan Ash Sharaf	2000	31.7%	58.3%	12.1%
Yemen	Kuhlan Ash Sharaf	2017	18.7%	45.5%	5.6%
Yemen	Kushar	2000	39.8%	62.7%	16.2%
Yemen	Kushar	2017	27.0%	51.2%	8.0%
Yemen	Kusmah	2000	34.6%	43.0%	28.1%
Yemen	Kusmah	2017	19.8%	24.3%	15.8%
Yemen	Lawdar	2000	64.8%	78.7%	48.5%
Yemen	Lawdar	2017	42.9%	55.7%	30.4%
Yemen	Ma'ain	2000	68.9%	82.4%	52.0%
Yemen	Ma'ain	2017	51.7%	67.2%	37.6%
Yemen	Mabyan	2000	73.7%	88.6%	61.9%
Yemen	Mabyan	2017	67.5%	80.1%	59.8%
Yemen	Maghirib Ans	2000	57.0%	91.7%	9.3%
Yemen	Maghirib Ans	2017	43.9%	87.3%	4.5%
Yemen	Mahliyah	2000	66.1%	92.6%	30.3%
Yemen	Mahliyah	2017	46.4%	75.2%	18.6%
Yemen	Majz	2000	72.5%	94.2%	41.3%
Yemen	Majz	2017	51.0%	74.8%	25.0%
Yemen	Majzar	2000	60.3%	78.6%	32.1%
Yemen	Majzar	2017	43.3%	65.5%	21.4%
Yemen	Man'ar	2000	64.5%	78.3%	49.0%
Yemen	Man'ar	2017	44.0%	54.0%	34.0%
Yemen	Manakhah	2000	59.7%	67.5%	50.6%
Yemen	Manakhah	2017	40.5%	48.8%	33.3%
Yemen	Maqbanah	2000	63.8%	84.9%	41.6%
Yemen	Maqbanah	2017	44.0%	66.1%	23.5%
Yemen	Marib	2000	61.9%	72.1%	52.7%
Yemen	Marib	2017	51.4%	57.1%	46.1%
Yemen	Marib City	2000	40.4%	42.9%	37.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Marib City	2017	43.5%	45.6%	41.6%
Yemen	Mashra'a Wa Hadnan	2000	71.6%	75.5%	66.6%
Yemen	Mashra'a Wa Hadnan	2017	51.9%	59.4%	47.0%
Yemen	Maswar	2000	35.3%	45.7%	25.3%
Yemen	Maswar	2017	22.6%	30.7%	16.9%
Yemen	Maswarah	2000	65.7%	89.0%	35.6%
Yemen	Maswarah	2017	43.8%	71.1%	21.5%
Yemen	Mawiyah	2000	66.2%	88.0%	38.1%
Yemen	Mawiyah	2017	46.2%	74.6%	19.9%
Yemen	Mawza	2000	63.4%	91.4%	25.8%
Yemen	Mawza	2017	45.5%	75.1%	16.7%
Yemen	Mayfa'a	2000	63.3%	88.3%	32.2%
Yemen	Mayfa'a	2017	45.2%	71.5%	19.2%
Yemen	Mayfa'at Anss	2000	65.8%	87.6%	37.1%
Yemen	Mayfa'at Anss	2017	42.5%	63.7%	20.3%
Yemen	Mazhar	2000	34.2%	47.1%	24.2%
Yemen	Mazhar	2017	17.8%	26.9%	12.4%
Yemen	Medghal	2000	72.0%	95.1%	27.2%
Yemen	Medghal	2017	49.0%	84.7%	14.1%
Yemen	Merkhah Al Ulya	2000	71.1%	90.7%	43.5%
Yemen	Merkhah Al Ulya	2017	52.8%	76.3%	31.0%
Yemen	Merkhah As Sufla	2000	66.2%	82.5%	47.9%
Yemen	Merkhah As Sufla	2017	45.9%	61.9%	31.1%
Yemen	Midi	2000	69.3%	92.4%	28.1%
Yemen	Midi	2017	48.8%	76.0%	20.4%
Yemen	Milhan	2000	45.7%	50.2%	40.9%
Yemen	Milhan	2017	27.7%	31.3%	23.8%
Yemen	Monabbih	2000	71.8%	93.4%	36.6%
Yemen	Monabbih	2017	50.5%	81.6%	21.8%
Yemen	Mudhaykhirah	2000	76.4%	79.1%	74.0%
Yemen	Mudhaykhirah	2017	50.6%	58.9%	47.1%
Yemen	Mudiyah	2000	65.1%	86.2%	33.8%
Yemen	Mudiyah	2017	43.8%	65.3%	21.1%
Yemen	Mukayras	2000	67.2%	92.9%	32.0%
Yemen	Mukayras	2017	48.5%	78.8%	18.3%
Yemen	Mustaba	2000	51.4%	65.6%	34.9%
Yemen	Mustaba	2017	35.1%	50.6%	20.2%
Yemen	Na'man	2000	65.4%	96.2%	24.6%
Yemen	Na'man	2017	44.3%	84.6%	15.8%
Yemen	Najrah	2000	30.1%	36.5%	24.0%
Yemen	Najrah	2017	15.8%	21.7%	11.9%
Yemen	Nati'	2000	65.8%	89.9%	27.6%
Yemen	Nati'	2017	43.6%	70.5%	14.3%
Yemen	Nihm	2000	66.3%	88.3%	40.4%
Yemen	Nihm	2017	46.0%	65.3%	25.8%
Yemen	Nisab	2000	67.3%	86.8%	46.8%
Yemen	Nisab	2017	47.6%	70.7%	30.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Old City	2000	85.3%	87.4%	82.8%
Yemen	Old City	2017	71.2%	74.6%	67.7%
Yemen	Qa'atabah	2000	58.2%	75.8%	34.1%
Yemen	Qa'atabah	2017	40.8%	61.6%	20.0%
Yemen	Qafil Shamer	2000	48.0%	81.3%	6.7%
Yemen	Qafil Shamer	2017	36.6%	77.3%	2.3%
Yemen	Qarah	2000	50.0%	76.5%	21.4%
Yemen	Qarah	2017	31.1%	55.2%	10.4%
Yemen	Qatabir	2000	80.9%	97.9%	39.4%
Yemen	Qatabir	2017	56.4%	86.9%	20.3%
Yemen	Qishn	2000	66.4%	87.5%	41.6%
Yemen	Qishn	2017	47.7%	75.0%	23.6%
Yemen	Qulensya Wa Abd Al Kuri	2000	65.0%	90.0%	32.7%
Yemen	Qulensya Wa Abd Al Kuri	2017	43.7%	69.7%	19.2%
Yemen	Rada'	2000	55.0%	92.3%	12.9%
Yemen	Rada'	2017	39.7%	84.7%	6.0%
Yemen	Radfan	2000	65.8%	90.0%	35.4%
Yemen	Radfan	2017	45.8%	75.9%	21.6%
Yemen	Radman Al Awad	2000	57.2%	91.3%	17.7%
Yemen	Radman Al Awad	2017	40.1%	82.8%	8.7%
Yemen	Raghwan	2000	67.4%	94.7%	24.8%
Yemen	Raghwan	2017	44.8%	80.6%	11.3%
Yemen	Rahabah	2000	67.0%	91.6%	37.1%
Yemen	Rahabah	2017	46.2%	69.8%	22.9%
Yemen	Rajuzah	2000	70.5%	86.8%	48.4%
Yemen	Rajuzah	2017	57.5%	75.2%	40.7%
Yemen	Rakhyah	2000	68.0%	94.3%	29.5%
Yemen	Rakhyah	2017	46.8%	79.5%	15.5%
Yemen	Rasad	2000	64.0%	85.4%	40.6%
Yemen	Rasad	2017	46.9%	69.1%	27.4%
Yemen	Raydah	2000	77.5%	95.6%	50.0%
Yemen	Raydah	2017	57.0%	86.0%	32.3%
Yemen	Razih	2000	69.7%	98.0%	16.7%
Yemen	Razih	2017	48.7%	92.1%	6.8%
Yemen	Rudum	2000	63.0%	77.4%	44.6%
Yemen	Rudum	2017	41.7%	58.7%	25.0%
Yemen	Rumah	2000	67.0%	77.4%	57.5%
Yemen	Rumah	2017	45.8%	56.1%	37.1%
Yemen	Sa'adah	2000	59.8%	97.9%	12.0%
Yemen	Sa'adah	2017	44.4%	90.6%	5.3%
Yemen	Sa'fan	2000	31.0%	39.0%	25.7%
Yemen	Sa'fan	2017	18.6%	25.1%	14.2%
Yemen	Sabah	2000	61.8%	85.7%	37.7%
Yemen	Sabah	2017	42.1%	65.5%	21.9%
Yemen	Sabir Al Mawadim	2000	68.6%	73.1%	64.6%
Yemen	Sabir Al Mawadim	2017	47.9%	53.1%	44.0%
Yemen	Sah	2000	67.7%	87.0%	45.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Sah	2017	45.7%	69.1%	27.5%
Yemen	Sahar	2000	69.2%	93.8%	34.3%
Yemen	Sahar	2017	48.5%	77.4%	19.8%
Yemen	Salh	2000	75.3%	78.8%	72.1%
Yemen	Salh	2017	57.6%	61.3%	54.1%
Yemen	Sama	2000	47.3%	94.6%	3.2%
Yemen	Sama	2017	32.1%	71.3%	1.5%
Yemen	Sanhan	2000	59.3%	79.4%	41.0%
Yemen	Sanhan	2017	43.3%	61.5%	29.1%
Yemen	Saqayn	2000	65.9%	94.4%	28.6%
Yemen	Saqayn	2017	45.1%	80.0%	14.7%
Yemen	Sarar	2000	67.3%	88.1%	44.3%
Yemen	Sarar	2017	49.1%	68.0%	32.1%
Yemen	Sayhut	2000	67.4%	86.0%	47.4%
Yemen	Sayhut	2017	46.2%	66.0%	28.6%
Yemen	Sayun	2000	81.2%	91.1%	67.4%
Yemen	Sayun	2017	68.9%	82.5%	53.6%
Yemen	Shada'a	2000	70.9%	99.3%	6.8%
Yemen	Shada'a	2017	48.2%	95.4%	3.2%
Yemen	Shahan	2000	66.8%	80.0%	51.9%
Yemen	Shahan	2017	45.2%	57.5%	32.7%
Yemen	Shaharah	2000	70.6%	85.1%	42.5%
Yemen	Shaharah	2017	51.7%	73.6%	28.5%
Yemen	Shara'b Ar	2000	77.1%	91.5%	57.5%
Yemen	Rawnah				
Yemen	Shara'b Ar	2017	62.8%	75.9%	49.5%
Yemen	Rawnah				
Yemen	Shara'b As	2000	50.2%	82.8%	36.9%
Yemen	Salam				
Yemen	Shara'b As	2017	36.9%	52.8%	29.8%
Yemen	Salam				
Yemen	Sharas	2000	50.6%	94.7%	9.0%
Yemen	Sharas	2017	34.0%	78.1%	7.0%
Yemen	Shibam	2000	75.6%	90.4%	53.3%
Yemen	Shibam	2017	59.3%	80.0%	35.9%
Yemen	Shibam Kawk- aban	2000	60.2%	94.2%	14.2%
Yemen	Shibam Kawk- aban	2017	44.6%	87.0%	7.4%
Yemen	Shu'aub	2000	91.8%	93.3%	90.4%
Yemen	Shu'aub	2017	83.4%	85.8%	81.0%
Yemen	Sibah	2000	62.8%	89.7%	34.4%
Yemen	Sibah	2017	45.0%	72.0%	18.4%
Yemen	Sirwah	2000	65.4%	83.6%	45.1%
Yemen	Sirwah	2017	47.6%	68.5%	31.6%
Yemen	Suwayr	2000	66.2%	94.8%	31.5%
Yemen	Suwayr	2017	50.4%	85.8%	21.8%
Yemen	Tarim	2000	58.4%	70.3%	45.4%
Yemen	Tarim	2017	44.8%	58.0%	32.5%
Yemen	Thamud	2000	66.8%	76.5%	57.1%
Yemen	Thamud	2017	44.7%	54.2%	34.9%
Yemen	Thula	2000	79.3%	93.0%	63.9%
Yemen	Thula	2017	69.8%	85.1%	58.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Tuban	2000	54.0%	72.2%	37.3%
Yemen	Tuban	2017	36.5%	52.1%	23.9%
Yemen	Tur Al Bahah	2000	69.8%	86.9%	43.8%
Yemen	Tur Al Bahah	2017	49.8%	70.2%	28.9%
Yemen	Usaylan	2000	70.6%	91.4%	34.3%
Yemen	Usaylan	2017	48.3%	75.6%	20.0%
Yemen	Utmah	2000	70.4%	89.7%	43.8%
Yemen	Utmah	2017	52.6%	80.7%	30.0%
Yemen	Wadhrah	2000	65.7%	85.0%	49.4%
Yemen	Wadhrah	2017	53.4%	74.9%	33.1%
Yemen	Wadi Al Ayn	2000	67.1%	88.3%	40.0%
Yemen	Wadi Al Ayn	2017	45.7%	67.6%	25.5%
Yemen	Wald Rabi'	2000	56.4%	72.2%	36.5%
Yemen	Wald Rabi'	2017	37.7%	55.7%	21.4%
Yemen	Washhah	2000	35.6%	54.2%	16.3%
Yemen	Washhah	2017	20.1%	37.6%	7.8%
Yemen	Wusab Al Ali	2000	65.1%	90.3%	29.7%
Yemen	Wusab Al Ali	2017	45.3%	75.1%	18.1%
Yemen	Wusab As Safil	2000	60.1%	79.7%	36.8%
Yemen	Wusab As Safil	2017	42.5%	61.7%	24.8%
Yemen	Yabuth	2000	69.6%	88.2%	44.6%
Yemen	Yabuth	2017	48.2%	67.1%	28.0%
Yemen	Yafa'a	2000	47.2%	69.7%	21.4%
Yemen	Yafa'a	2017	33.7%	59.9%	10.8%
Yemen	Yahr	2000	62.4%	78.6%	42.2%
Yemen	Yahr	2017	43.3%	62.0%	25.9%
Yemen	Yarim	2000	63.8%	82.2%	39.0%
Yemen	Yarim	2017	44.8%	67.1%	23.6%
Yemen	Zabid	2000	48.3%	66.2%	27.7%
Yemen	Zabid	2017	42.0%	55.9%	28.2%
Yemen	Zamakh wa Manwakh	2000	66.9%	75.8%	57.2%
Yemen	Zamakh wa Manwakh	2017	45.4%	53.9%	35.9%
Yemen	Zingibar	2000	56.5%	98.6%	3.5%
Yemen	Zingibar	2017	42.1%	96.8%	1.5%
South Asia					
Bangladesh	Bagerhat	2000	38.3%	45.2%	31.7%
Bangladesh	Bagerhat	2017	72.4%	77.6%	66.2%
Bangladesh	Bandarban	2000	35.1%	42.1%	28.0%
Bangladesh	Bandarban	2017	68.7%	75.8%	61.5%
Bangladesh	Barguna	2000	49.5%	55.7%	42.6%
Bangladesh	Barguna	2017	82.1%	86.7%	76.5%
Bangladesh	Barisal	2000	46.3%	51.0%	41.3%
Bangladesh	Barisal	2017	79.6%	83.3%	75.2%
Bangladesh	Bhola	2000	23.5%	27.3%	20.3%
Bangladesh	Bhola	2017	53.5%	57.6%	49.1%
Bangladesh	Bogra	2000	47.2%	52.4%	42.0%
Bangladesh	Bogra	2017	81.9%	85.8%	77.8%
Bangladesh	Brahamanbaria	2000	42.0%	49.0%	35.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bangladesh	Brahamanbaria	2017	78.7%	84.0%	72.9%
Bangladesh	Chandpur	2000	52.9%	59.6%	45.8%
Bangladesh	Chandpur	2017	85.1%	89.5%	79.5%
Bangladesh	Chittagong	2000	41.6%	44.9%	38.3%
Bangladesh	Chittagong	2017	77.6%	80.3%	74.5%
Bangladesh	Chuadanga	2000	44.0%	52.0%	36.6%
Bangladesh	Chuadanga	2017	78.6%	84.4%	72.5%
Bangladesh	Comilla	2000	46.5%	51.5%	41.3%
Bangladesh	Comilla	2017	80.7%	84.2%	76.4%
Bangladesh	Cox'S Bazar	2000	34.3%	44.1%	26.0%
Bangladesh	Cox'S Bazar	2017	68.5%	76.4%	59.8%
Bangladesh	Dhaka	2000	50.1%	51.8%	48.6%
Bangladesh	Dhaka	2017	84.1%	85.2%	82.8%
Bangladesh	Dinajpur	2000	44.9%	50.0%	40.0%
Bangladesh	Dinajpur	2017	78.1%	82.2%	73.6%
Bangladesh	Faridpur	2000	40.1%	50.6%	31.1%
Bangladesh	Faridpur	2017	75.3%	82.6%	67.2%
Bangladesh	Feni	2000	49.6%	58.7%	40.2%
Bangladesh	Feni	2017	81.9%	87.6%	74.7%
Bangladesh	Gaibandha	2000	33.0%	37.4%	28.3%
Bangladesh	Gaibandha	2017	72.2%	76.9%	67.4%
Bangladesh	Gazipur	2000	52.4%	59.6%	46.5%
Bangladesh	Gazipur	2017	83.1%	87.6%	78.4%
Bangladesh	Gopalganj	2000	41.7%	52.9%	29.9%
Bangladesh	Gopalganj	2017	76.0%	84.5%	65.6%
Bangladesh	Habiganj	2000	34.4%	40.2%	28.3%
Bangladesh	Habiganj	2017	70.6%	75.3%	65.0%
Bangladesh	Jamalpur	2000	38.6%	47.6%	30.5%
Bangladesh	Jamalpur	2017	74.6%	81.7%	67.1%
Bangladesh	Jessore	2000	48.6%	54.5%	43.9%
Bangladesh	Jessore	2017	81.3%	85.0%	77.4%
Bangladesh	Jhalokati	2000	40.8%	47.0%	35.8%
Bangladesh	Jhalokati	2017	78.9%	83.6%	73.4%
Bangladesh	Jhenaidah	2000	39.2%	46.5%	32.2%
Bangladesh	Jhenaidah	2017	77.2%	82.7%	70.6%
Bangladesh	Joypurhat	2000	51.8%	61.6%	42.4%
Bangladesh	Joypurhat	2017	82.9%	89.4%	75.3%
Bangladesh	Khagrachhari	2000	41.4%	52.1%	31.1%
Bangladesh	Khagrachhari	2017	73.2%	82.2%	61.8%
Bangladesh	Khulna	2000	54.1%	58.4%	50.1%
Bangladesh	Khulna	2017	85.6%	88.9%	82.4%
Bangladesh	Kishoreganj	2000	39.7%	48.3%	32.2%
Bangladesh	Kishoreganj	2017	75.1%	81.9%	68.1%
Bangladesh	Kurigram	2000	29.0%	34.2%	24.3%
Bangladesh	Kurigram	2017	67.1%	72.2%	61.5%
Bangladesh	Kushtia	2000	38.8%	45.3%	33.9%
Bangladesh	Kushtia	2017	74.4%	78.6%	69.7%
Bangladesh	Lakshmipur	2000	43.3%	50.9%	35.8%
Bangladesh	Lakshmipur	2017	77.3%	83.1%	70.4%
Bangladesh	Lalmonirhat	2000	40.9%	48.1%	32.5%
Bangladesh	Lalmonirhat	2017	76.5%	81.8%	69.3%
Bangladesh	Madaripur	2000	38.1%	47.3%	30.5%
Bangladesh	Madaripur	2017	75.1%	82.5%	67.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bangladesh	Magura	2000	44.5%	54.1%	35.2%
Bangladesh	Magura	2017	80.6%	87.0%	72.9%
Bangladesh	Manikganj	2000	47.4%	58.3%	37.5%
Bangladesh	Manikganj	2017	80.9%	87.7%	73.1%
Bangladesh	Maulvibazar	2000	53.8%	61.0%	47.6%
Bangladesh	Maulvibazar	2017	83.0%	87.2%	78.4%
Bangladesh	Meherpur	2000	35.2%	45.6%	25.6%
Bangladesh	Meherpur	2017	73.4%	81.7%	64.0%
Bangladesh	Munshiganj	2000	43.4%	54.7%	32.3%
Bangladesh	Munshiganj	2017	77.3%	85.5%	67.2%
Bangladesh	Mymensingh	2000	40.2%	45.8%	34.4%
Bangladesh	Mymensingh	2017	73.3%	78.1%	68.0%
Bangladesh	Naogaon	2000	39.4%	45.0%	33.7%
Bangladesh	Naogaon	2017	75.0%	79.8%	70.6%
Bangladesh	Narail	2000	44.7%	54.6%	35.3%
Bangladesh	Narail	2017	79.3%	86.3%	70.9%
Bangladesh	Narayanganj	2000	35.8%	41.1%	31.1%
Bangladesh	Narayanganj	2017	73.7%	77.5%	68.2%
Bangladesh	Narsingdi	2000	40.5%	48.3%	31.6%
Bangladesh	Narsingdi	2017	73.6%	79.7%	64.9%
Bangladesh	Natore	2000	48.8%	56.4%	40.9%
Bangladesh	Natore	2017	81.7%	86.6%	75.4%
Bangladesh	Nawabganj	2000	38.0%	45.5%	30.1%
Bangladesh	Nawabganj	2017	71.1%	77.8%	63.4%
Bangladesh	Netrakona	2000	28.3%	35.8%	21.4%
Bangladesh	Netrakona	2017	61.7%	68.7%	53.4%
Bangladesh	Nilphamari	2000	37.1%	42.8%	32.3%
Bangladesh	Nilphamari	2017	72.7%	77.8%	67.9%
Bangladesh	Noakhali	2000	45.1%	50.7%	38.0%
Bangladesh	Noakhali	2017	79.8%	84.0%	74.8%
Bangladesh	Pabna	2000	43.5%	49.7%	37.1%
Bangladesh	Pabna	2017	76.1%	80.7%	70.9%
Bangladesh	Panchagarh	2000	29.2%	38.3%	21.4%
Bangladesh	Panchagarh	2017	66.5%	76.3%	57.9%
Bangladesh	Patuakhali	2000	38.4%	43.6%	34.1%
Bangladesh	Patuakhali	2017	72.4%	76.4%	68.2%
Bangladesh	Pirojpur	2000	43.9%	50.7%	37.5%
Bangladesh	Pirojpur	2017	80.2%	84.3%	74.5%
Bangladesh	Rajbari	2000	42.9%	52.5%	32.7%
Bangladesh	Rajbari	2017	79.4%	86.8%	70.1%
Bangladesh	Rajshahi	2000	48.5%	54.2%	43.0%
Bangladesh	Rajshahi	2017	82.2%	85.7%	78.0%
Bangladesh	Rangamati	2000	41.6%	49.5%	33.8%
Bangladesh	Rangamati	2017	73.5%	79.6%	66.1%
Bangladesh	Rangpur	2000	40.7%	46.4%	34.9%
Bangladesh	Rangpur	2017	74.5%	79.1%	69.0%
Bangladesh	Satkhira	2000	48.1%	54.5%	41.9%
Bangladesh	Satkhira	2017	82.2%	86.7%	76.9%
Bangladesh	Shariatpur	2000	37.5%	50.4%	27.1%
Bangladesh	Shariatpur	2017	73.2%	81.3%	63.5%
Bangladesh	Sherpur	2000	33.6%	43.8%	25.0%
Bangladesh	Sherpur	2017	71.3%	80.4%	61.4%
Bangladesh	Sirajganj	2000	46.6%	51.8%	41.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bangladesh	Sirajganj	2017	76.8%	80.9%	71.4%
Bangladesh	Sunamganj	2000	30.0%	35.3%	25.0%
Bangladesh	Sunamganj	2017	63.3%	68.3%	57.9%
Bangladesh	Sylhet	2000	41.3%	45.5%	37.0%
Bangladesh	Sylhet	2017	75.3%	78.8%	71.2%
Bangladesh	Tangail	2000	40.4%	48.6%	33.1%
Bangladesh	Tangail	2017	76.0%	81.9%	70.0%
Bangladesh	Thakurgaon	2000	38.9%	46.9%	31.8%
Bangladesh	Thakurgaon	2017	73.1%	79.5%	66.2%
Bhutan	Athang	2000	40.3%	66.0%	14.7%
Bhutan	Athang	2017	88.2%	99.6%	67.9%
Bhutan	Balam	2000	42.1%	99.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Balam	2017	84.8%	100.0%	18.0%
Bhutan	Bapisa	2000	78.1%	100.0%	19.5%
Bhutan	Bapisa	2017	98.3%	100.0%	77.2%
Bhutan	Bara	2000	48.6%	96.5%	1.9%
Bhutan	Bara	2017	86.7%	100.0%	36.6%
Bhutan	Bardo	2000	45.7%	92.1%	7.8%
Bhutan	Bardo	2017	86.8%	100.0%	49.9%
Bhutan	Bartsham	2000	38.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bartsham	2017	83.5%	100.0%	7.5%
Bhutan	Barzhong	2000	45.8%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Barzhong	2017	90.0%	100.0%	11.0%
Bhutan	Beteni	2000	47.5%	96.7%	2.1%
Bhutan	Beteni	2017	88.2%	100.0%	38.8%
Bhutan	Bhur	2000	29.2%	82.0%	5.4%
Bhutan	Bhur	2017	99.7%	100.0%	94.2%
Bhutan	Bidung	2000	38.4%	98.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bidung	2017	85.1%	100.0%	22.3%
Bhutan	Biru	2000	43.5%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Biru	2017	86.3%	100.0%	13.1%
Bhutan	Bjachho	2000	31.6%	65.7%	1.2%
Bhutan	Bjachho	2017	94.7%	100.0%	72.1%
Bhutan	Bjena	2000	71.7%	99.8%	23.0%
Bhutan	Bjena	2017	94.7%	100.0%	69.8%
Bhutan	Bji	2000	48.9%	78.4%	22.4%
Bhutan	Bji	2017	86.4%	99.9%	60.4%
Bhutan	Bjoka	2000	44.5%	90.2%	6.4%
Bhutan	Bjoka	2017	86.1%	100.0%	46.3%
Bhutan	Bongo	2000	45.9%	83.1%	12.9%
Bhutan	Bongo	2017	88.4%	100.0%	61.7%
Bhutan	Bumdeling	2000	47.3%	79.5%	13.5%
Bhutan	Bumdeling	2017	87.1%	99.8%	61.9%
Bhutan	Chang	2000	46.8%	70.5%	32.8%
Bhutan	Chang	2017	96.9%	100.0%	85.2%
Bhutan	Chapchha	2000	43.1%	92.8%	2.7%
Bhutan	Chapchha	2017	86.0%	100.0%	37.9%
Bhutan	Chargharay	2000	49.5%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chargharay	2017	86.1%	100.0%	16.8%
Bhutan	Chaskhar	2000	34.8%	85.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chaskhar	2017	83.6%	100.0%	16.9%
Bhutan	Chengmari	2000	42.0%	97.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chengmari	2017	88.2%	100.0%	38.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Chhali	2000	22.9%	82.6%	5.2%
Bhutan	Chhali	2017	96.4%	100.0%	83.0%
Bhutan	Chhimung	2000	43.0%	99.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhimung	2017	85.0%	100.0%	27.0%
Bhutan	Chhoekhor	2000	33.8%	63.0%	10.5%
Bhutan	Chhoekhor	2017	86.0%	97.7%	59.0%
Bhutan	Chhubu	2000	34.7%	68.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhubu	2017	94.1%	100.0%	63.6%
Bhutan	Chhume	2000	40.5%	77.2%	9.9%
Bhutan	Chhume	2017	85.7%	100.0%	53.7%
Bhutan	Chhuzagang	2000	43.5%	100.0%	3.8%
Bhutan	Chhuzagang	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.1%
Bhutan	Chokhorling	2000	57.2%	100.0%	9.0%
Bhutan	Chokhorling	2017	90.4%	100.0%	49.2%
Bhutan	Chongshing	2000	49.5%	99.5%	23.9%
Bhutan	Chongshing	2017	94.7%	100.0%	57.8%
Bhutan	Daga	2000	42.9%	85.8%	9.8%
Bhutan	Daga	2017	86.9%	100.0%	49.9%
Bhutan	Dagala	2000	46.1%	84.7%	31.7%
Bhutan	Dagala	2017	80.0%	100.0%	44.6%
Bhutan	Dala	2000	45.3%	95.6%	5.0%
Bhutan	Dala	2017	86.6%	100.0%	44.8%
Bhutan	Dangchhu	2000	32.0%	65.2%	3.6%
Bhutan	Dangchhu	2017	94.3%	100.0%	71.0%
Bhutan	Dechhenling	2000	47.8%	99.0%	2.0%
Bhutan	Dechhenling	2017	85.4%	100.0%	26.0%
Bhutan	Dekiling	2000	54.4%	99.1%	2.6%
Bhutan	Dekiling	2017	93.4%	100.0%	38.0%
Bhutan	Denchhukha	2000	44.7%	99.0%	0.1%
Bhutan	Denchhukha	2017	82.8%	100.0%	11.6%
Bhutan	Deorali	2000	40.2%	96.5%	0.8%
Bhutan	Deorali	2017	85.6%	100.0%	34.9%
Bhutan	Dewathang	2000	44.3%	90.8%	2.1%
Bhutan	Dewathang	2017	88.2%	100.0%	36.6%
Bhutan	Doban	2000	45.4%	87.0%	8.8%
Bhutan	Doban	2017	87.1%	100.0%	56.6%
Bhutan	Doga	2000	46.8%	99.5%	1.4%
Bhutan	Doga	2017	87.2%	100.0%	40.3%
Bhutan	Dopshari	2000	89.8%	100.0%	28.1%
Bhutan	Dopshari	2017	99.5%	100.0%	93.5%
Bhutan	Dorokha	2000	28.7%	81.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dorokha	2017	79.6%	100.0%	24.5%
Bhutan	Dorona	2000	44.6%	84.4%	4.9%
Bhutan	Dorona	2017	90.8%	100.0%	56.8%
Bhutan	Doteng	2000	84.9%	99.7%	59.4%
Bhutan	Doteng	2017	98.0%	100.0%	87.0%
Bhutan	Dragteng	2000	40.0%	67.8%	7.6%
Bhutan	Dragteng	2017	97.6%	100.0%	80.2%
Bhutan	Drametse	2000	51.8%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Drametse	2017	89.7%	100.0%	42.7%
Bhutan	Drepung	2000	30.7%	75.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Drepung	2017	80.6%	100.0%	29.3%
Bhutan	Drugyelgang	2000	45.4%	99.2%	1.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Drugyelgang	2017	95.9%	100.0%	58.8%
Bhutan	Dunglegang	2000	30.2%	75.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dunglegang	2017	91.0%	100.0%	31.0%
Bhutan	Dungmin	2000	53.7%	96.0%	21.3%
Bhutan	Dungmin	2017	90.4%	100.0%	43.4%
Bhutan	Dungna	2000	44.6%	82.2%	6.3%
Bhutan	Dungna	2017	84.6%	100.0%	51.2%
Bhutan	Dungtoe	2000	39.6%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dungtoe	2017	81.5%	100.0%	6.0%
Bhutan	Dzoma	2000	39.5%	84.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dzoma	2017	96.4%	100.0%	43.8%
Bhutan	Gakiling	2000	37.4%	79.1%	5.1%
Bhutan	Gakiling	2017	83.4%	100.0%	50.4%
Bhutan	Gangte	2000	49.6%	99.4%	0.9%
Bhutan	Gangte	2017	89.0%	100.0%	42.8%
Bhutan	Gangzur	2000	46.6%	79.1%	12.4%
Bhutan	Gangzur	2017	89.7%	100.0%	53.9%
Bhutan	Gasetsho Gom	2000	24.3%	99.9%	2.1%
Bhutan	Gasetsho Gom	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.4%
Bhutan	Gasetsho Om	2000	45.5%	90.0%	3.4%
Bhutan	Gasetsho Om	2017	89.4%	100.0%	52.4%
Bhutan	Gelephu	2000	26.5%	75.8%	4.7%
Bhutan	Gelephu	2017	99.6%	100.0%	95.4%
Bhutan	Geling	2000	33.9%	65.9%	9.2%
Bhutan	Geling	2017	92.8%	100.0%	73.2%
Bhutan	Genye	2000	42.2%	99.4%	0.3%
Bhutan	Genye	2017	86.7%	100.0%	37.0%
Bhutan	Gesarling	2000	34.8%	76.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gesarling	2017	93.8%	100.0%	67.8%
Bhutan	Getana	2000	44.6%	85.4%	8.6%
Bhutan	Getana	2017	86.3%	100.0%	56.3%
Bhutan	Goenkhome	2000	49.8%	98.2%	3.2%
Bhutan	Goenkhome	2017	89.7%	100.0%	39.8%
Bhutan	Goenkhatoe	2000	24.9%	51.9%	5.2%
Bhutan	Goenkhatoe	2017	85.9%	100.0%	57.4%
Bhutan	Goenshari	2000	45.1%	98.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Goenshari	2017	83.9%	100.0%	20.0%
Bhutan	Gomdar	2000	44.1%	99.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gomdar	2017	82.5%	100.0%	12.8%
Bhutan	Gongdue	2000	49.0%	92.4%	6.1%
Bhutan	Gongdue	2017	86.1%	100.0%	42.0%
Bhutan	Gosarling	2000	14.9%	54.1%	0.1%
Bhutan	Gosarling	2017	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Bhutan	Gozhi	2000	47.1%	95.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gozhi	2017	89.5%	100.0%	7.6%
Bhutan	Gozhing	2000	27.5%	77.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gozhing	2017	90.4%	100.0%	47.6%
Bhutan	Guma	2000	24.7%	67.7%	1.0%
Bhutan	Guma	2017	91.6%	100.0%	79.4%
Bhutan	Hiley	2000	47.2%	99.0%	1.0%
Bhutan	Hiley	2017	87.2%	100.0%	37.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Hungrel	2000	70.0%	100.0%	0.2%
Bhutan	Hungrel	2017	98.2%	100.0%	67.2%
Bhutan	Jamkhar	2000	45.3%	100.0%	0.1%
Bhutan	Jamkhar	2017	85.4%	100.0%	25.8%
Bhutan	Jangchhubling	2000	49.4%	98.3%	8.4%
Bhutan	Jangchhubling	2017	87.7%	100.0%	44.1%
Bhutan	Jaray	2000	45.7%	93.9%	3.3%
Bhutan	Jaray	2017	87.1%	100.0%	52.9%
Bhutan	Jigmichhoeling	2000	41.9%	74.7%	13.5%
Bhutan	Jigmichhoeling	2017	85.1%	100.0%	54.3%
Bhutan	Jurmey	2000	48.1%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Jurmey	2017	83.8%	100.0%	22.4%
Bhutan	Kabjisa	2000	32.2%	79.8%	2.3%
Bhutan	Kabjisa	2017	74.6%	100.0%	30.5%
Bhutan	Kalidzingkha	2000	19.2%	38.7%	2.4%
Bhutan	Kalidzingkha	2017	92.5%	100.0%	58.6%
Bhutan	Kanglung	2000	64.8%	83.2%	47.3%
Bhutan	Kanglung	2017	96.6%	100.0%	71.5%
Bhutan	Kangpara	2000	38.1%	79.7%	5.1%
Bhutan	Kangpara	2017	80.9%	100.0%	47.9%
Bhutan	Katsho	2000	44.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Katsho	2017	77.5%	100.0%	3.6%
Bhutan	Kawang	2000	50.6%	89.8%	22.7%
Bhutan	Kawang	2017	93.7%	100.0%	72.5%
Bhutan	Kazhi	2000	48.1%	85.5%	11.4%
Bhutan	Kazhi	2017	88.1%	100.0%	54.9%
Bhutan	Kengkhar	2000	46.0%	96.0%	1.6%
Bhutan	Kengkhar	2017	84.1%	100.0%	34.5%
Bhutan	Khaling	2000	40.4%	87.9%	2.6%
Bhutan	Khaling	2017	89.4%	100.0%	55.0%
Bhutan	Khamdang	2000	40.4%	99.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khamdang	2017	87.6%	100.0%	26.2%
Bhutan	Khar	2000	78.4%	100.0%	48.3%
Bhutan	Khar	2017	95.7%	100.0%	69.2%
Bhutan	Khipisa	2000	40.4%	88.8%	2.3%
Bhutan	Khipisa	2017	91.4%	100.0%	52.2%
Bhutan	Khoma	2000	45.4%	82.8%	12.0%
Bhutan	Khoma	2017	87.5%	99.9%	49.7%
Bhutan	Kikorthang	2000	14.6%	38.4%	0.3%
Bhutan	Kikorthang	2017	98.1%	100.0%	76.8%
Bhutan	Korphu	2000	47.6%	93.2%	7.3%
Bhutan	Korphu	2017	86.8%	100.0%	49.4%
Bhutan	Kurtoe	2000	41.6%	70.3%	17.2%
Bhutan	Kurtoe	2017	89.3%	99.7%	73.1%
Bhutan	Lajab	2000	38.9%	96.1%	3.9%
Bhutan	Lajab	2017	93.8%	100.0%	55.1%
Bhutan	Lamgong	2000	80.1%	100.0%	44.8%
Bhutan	Lamgong	2017	94.9%	100.0%	56.3%
Bhutan	Langchhenphu	2000	47.7%	96.6%	3.3%
Bhutan	Langchhenphu	2017	87.9%	100.0%	34.2%
Bhutan	Langthil	2000	44.9%	78.6%	16.1%
Bhutan	Langthil	2017	91.6%	100.0%	73.5%
Bhutan	Lauri	2000	45.6%	90.0%	3.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Lauri	2017	86.3%	100.0%	47.6%
Bhutan	Laya	2000	39.0%	66.9%	16.7%
Bhutan	Laya	2017	85.3%	99.7%	61.9%
Bhutan	Lhamoizingkha	2000	47.9%	97.7%	1.9%
Bhutan	Lhamoizingkha	2017	85.5%	100.0%	35.5%
Bhutan	Lingmukha	2000	70.2%	99.3%	0.9%
Bhutan	Lingmukha	2017	97.0%	100.0%	68.9%
Bhutan	Lingzhi	2000	46.8%	83.1%	13.6%
Bhutan	Lingzhi	2017	87.9%	100.0%	54.2%
Bhutan	Logchina	2000	47.6%	99.8%	5.1%
Bhutan	Logchina	2017	87.2%	100.0%	29.0%
Bhutan	Lumang	2000	46.1%	97.9%	0.6%
Bhutan	Lumang	2017	84.3%	100.0%	34.6%
Bhutan	Lunana	2000	43.6%	62.6%	23.8%
Bhutan	Lunana	2017	87.4%	97.0%	75.0%
Bhutan	Lungnyi	2000	42.1%	80.9%	12.6%
Bhutan	Lungnyi	2017	87.2%	100.0%	46.7%
Bhutan	Martshala	2000	58.7%	96.1%	19.2%
Bhutan	Martshala	2017	89.3%	100.0%	59.2%
Bhutan	Menbi	2000	54.1%	94.4%	41.5%
Bhutan	Menbi	2017	96.0%	100.0%	62.8%
Bhutan	Mendrelgang	2000	44.5%	99.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Mendrelgang	2017	91.9%	100.0%	28.6%
Bhutan	Merak	2000	23.1%	47.1%	3.5%
Bhutan	Merak	2017	83.5%	99.6%	60.5%
Bhutan	Metap	2000	45.3%	94.1%	0.9%
Bhutan	Metap	2017	85.0%	100.0%	34.2%
Bhutan	Metsho	2000	68.1%	93.5%	34.3%
Bhutan	Metsho	2017	91.7%	100.0%	61.7%
Bhutan	Mewang	2000	32.8%	71.8%	5.0%
Bhutan	Mewang	2017	93.5%	100.0%	63.4%
Bhutan	Minjay	2000	42.0%	95.7%	1.3%
Bhutan	Minjay	2017	87.9%	100.0%	31.8%
Bhutan	Mongar	2000	20.8%	61.6%	0.1%
Bhutan	Mongar	2017	89.6%	100.0%	58.4%
Bhutan	Nahi	2000	60.7%	99.9%	15.2%
Bhutan	Nahi	2017	90.6%	100.0%	45.0%
Bhutan	Naja	2000	43.8%	92.2%	2.7%
Bhutan	Naja	2017	82.2%	100.0%	33.4%
Bhutan	Namgyel Chhoeling	2000	45.3%	94.8%	0.7%
Bhutan	Namgyel Chhoeling	2017	85.5%	100.0%	25.7%
Bhutan	Nangkor	2000	48.9%	73.0%	26.6%
Bhutan	Nangkor	2017	92.9%	100.0%	74.4%
Bhutan	Nanong	2000	49.6%	98.6%	1.4%
Bhutan	Nanong	2017	85.8%	100.0%	20.8%
Bhutan	Narang	2000	48.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Narang	2017	88.0%	100.0%	28.6%
Bhutan	Naro	2000	46.9%	91.2%	7.9%
Bhutan	Naro	2017	88.4%	100.0%	51.7%
Bhutan	Ngangla	2000	35.2%	82.3%	1.8%
Bhutan	Ngangla	2017	87.8%	100.0%	45.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Ngatshang	2000	20.5%	64.5%	0.3%
Bhutan	Ngatshang	2017	78.8%	100.0%	17.4%
Bhutan	Nichula	2000	43.2%	84.9%	6.6%
Bhutan	Nichula	2017	88.0%	100.0%	50.1%
Bhutan	Norbugang	2000	46.6%	93.0%	4.0%
Bhutan	Norbugang	2017	85.6%	100.0%	35.8%
Bhutan	Nubi	2000	51.1%	87.8%	16.2%
Bhutan	Nubi	2017	89.4%	100.0%	59.5%
Bhutan	Nyisho	2000	52.4%	97.3%	3.2%
Bhutan	Nyisho	2017	92.4%	100.0%	53.5%
Bhutan	Pagli	2000	47.0%	97.4%	0.1%
Bhutan	Pagli	2017	88.0%	100.0%	42.0%
Bhutan	Pangkhar	2000	38.5%	71.8%	7.8%
Bhutan	Pangkhar	2017	83.8%	100.0%	56.3%
Bhutan	Patakla	2000	33.6%	93.1%	3.1%
Bhutan	Patakla	2017	92.5%	100.0%	57.9%
Bhutan	Pemathang	2000	41.0%	99.0%	9.7%
Bhutan	Pemathang	2017	92.0%	100.0%	51.8%
Bhutan	Phangyuel	2000	79.1%	100.0%	35.4%
Bhutan	Phangyuel	2017	97.1%	100.0%	60.6%
Bhutan	Phobji	2000	46.2%	97.7%	1.6%
Bhutan	Phobji	2017	85.6%	100.0%	32.8%
Bhutan	Phongme	2000	32.4%	76.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phongme	2017	88.4%	100.0%	36.8%
Bhutan	Phuentenchhu	2000	38.8%	95.0%	2.3%
Bhutan	Phuentenchhu	2017	92.5%	100.0%	66.2%
Bhutan	Phuentsholing	2000	62.2%	91.8%	19.9%
Bhutan	Phuentsholing	2017	95.0%	100.0%	43.3%
Bhutan	Phuntsthothang	2000	45.2%	95.8%	0.7%
Bhutan	Phuntsthothang	2017	85.9%	100.0%	37.0%
Bhutan	Radi	2000	13.2%	59.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Radi	2017	95.5%	100.0%	39.4%
Bhutan	Ramjar	2000	46.6%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ramjar	2017	86.0%	100.0%	23.5%
Bhutan	Rangthangling	2000	35.8%	97.1%	0.7%
Bhutan	Rangthangling	2017	96.2%	100.0%	30.7%
Bhutan	Ruepisa	2000	75.0%	95.1%	53.1%
Bhutan	Ruepisa	2017	98.1%	100.0%	89.8%
Bhutan	Sakteng	2000	36.3%	75.7%	5.4%
Bhutan	Sakteng	2017	84.6%	100.0%	49.0%
Bhutan	Saleng	2000	43.3%	86.4%	8.2%
Bhutan	Saleng	2017	75.2%	100.0%	34.7%
Bhutan	Sama	2000	40.1%	87.8%	3.2%
Bhutan	Sama	2017	85.2%	100.0%	37.9%
Bhutan	Samkhar	2000	16.8%	54.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Samkhar	2017	79.1%	100.0%	31.5%
Bhutan	Samphelling	2000	33.9%	92.7%	0.1%
Bhutan	Samphelling	2017	91.2%	100.0%	53.2%
Bhutan	Samrang	2000	43.4%	99.6%	0.2%
Bhutan	Samrang	2017	92.6%	100.0%	58.1%
Bhutan	Samtse	2000	39.7%	87.3%	0.4%
Bhutan	Samtse	2017	91.0%	100.0%	44.1%
Bhutan	Senge	2000	47.7%	94.2%	2.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Senge	2017	87.1%	100.0%	46.6%
Bhutan	Sephu	2000	38.7%	67.1%	11.5%
Bhutan	Sephu	2017	84.7%	98.9%	49.1%
Bhutan	Serthig	2000	48.3%	95.5%	9.7%
Bhutan	Serthig	2017	86.6%	100.0%	42.1%
Bhutan	Shapa	2000	43.9%	97.9%	0.6%
Bhutan	Shapa	2017	94.8%	100.0%	53.3%
Bhutan	Shemjong	2000	16.8%	45.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shemjong	2017	96.8%	100.0%	70.6%
Bhutan	Shengabjimi	2000	49.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shengabjimi	2017	90.2%	100.0%	38.3%
Bhutan	Shermung	2000	42.0%	87.3%	5.5%
Bhutan	Shermung	2017	85.9%	100.0%	49.4%
Bhutan	Sherzhong	2000	43.1%	99.8%	0.4%
Bhutan	Sherzhong	2017	94.8%	100.0%	53.8%
Bhutan	Shingkhari	2000	46.4%	87.3%	6.7%
Bhutan	Shingkhari	2017	85.6%	100.0%	45.5%
Bhutan	Shompangkha	2000	47.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shompangkha	2017	87.5%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shongphu	2000	25.2%	88.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shongphu	2017	83.1%	100.0%	22.5%
Bhutan	Shumer	2000	44.9%	96.3%	1.0%
Bhutan	Shumer	2017	87.1%	100.0%	42.0%
Bhutan	Silambi	2000	48.3%	98.8%	2.0%
Bhutan	Silambi	2017	84.7%	100.0%	31.1%
Bhutan	Sipsu	2000	46.5%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sipsu	2017	86.8%	100.0%	15.6%
Bhutan	Soe	2000	47.1%	97.0%	4.2%
Bhutan	Soe	2017	89.1%	100.0%	50.3%
Bhutan	Sombey	2000	31.7%	60.0%	11.3%
Bhutan	Sombey	2017	82.1%	99.3%	53.7%
Bhutan	Tading	2000	54.4%	99.0%	1.3%
Bhutan	Tading	2017	88.1%	100.0%	18.2%
Bhutan	Taklai	2000	46.3%	99.4%	0.5%
Bhutan	Taklai	2017	90.2%	100.0%	18.7%
Bhutan	Talo	2000	24.3%	80.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Talo	2017	84.4%	100.0%	68.8%
Bhutan	Tang	2000	34.4%	61.8%	12.0%
Bhutan	Tang	2017	89.8%	100.0%	66.3%
Bhutan	Tangsibji	2000	43.6%	77.4%	8.1%
Bhutan	Tangsibji	2017	88.3%	100.0%	55.4%
Bhutan	Tendu	2000	45.4%	98.4%	1.3%
Bhutan	Tendu	2017	85.3%	100.0%	19.2%
Bhutan	Thangrong	2000	36.2%	94.4%	0.1%
Bhutan	Thangrong	2017	82.3%	100.0%	12.9%
Bhutan	Theedtsho	2000	93.2%	100.0%	73.5%
Bhutan	Theedtsho	2017	99.5%	100.0%	95.5%
Bhutan	Thrimshing	2000	46.8%	98.9%	0.8%
Bhutan	Thrimshing	2017	84.1%	100.0%	37.1%
Bhutan	Toepisa	2000	55.3%	87.3%	14.7%
Bhutan	Toepisa	2017	85.7%	100.0%	38.7%
Bhutan	Toetsho	2000	43.5%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Toetsho	2017	85.6%	100.0%	15.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Toewang	2000	43.4%	86.3%	7.5%
Bhutan	Toewang	2017	88.0%	100.0%	54.1%
Bhutan	Tomzhangtshen	2000	44.4%	99.9%	0.7%
Bhutan	Tomzhangtshen	2017	86.7%	100.0%	22.7%
Bhutan	Trashiding	2000	47.3%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Trashiding	2017	89.1%	100.0%	28.2%
Bhutan	Trashiyangtse	2000	38.7%	82.0%	3.2%
Bhutan	Trashiyangtse	2017	89.6%	100.0%	59.6%
Bhutan	Trong	2000	58.6%	87.7%	22.4%
Bhutan	Trong	2017	93.9%	100.0%	77.8%
Bhutan	Tsakaling	2000	41.1%	89.2%	2.5%
Bhutan	Tsakaling	2017	93.6%	100.0%	63.8%
Bhutan	Tsamang	2000	46.7%	92.9%	5.0%
Bhutan	Tsamang	2017	91.1%	100.0%	51.8%
Bhutan	Tsangkha	2000	36.2%	99.5%	5.2%
Bhutan	Tsangkha	2017	99.8%	100.0%	96.4%
Bhutan	Tsendagang	2000	47.0%	98.9%	1.4%
Bhutan	Tsendagang	2017	89.5%	100.0%	50.2%
Bhutan	Tsenkhar	2000	46.5%	95.6%	3.4%
Bhutan	Tsenkhar	2017	92.5%	100.0%	54.0%
Bhutan	Tsento	2000	53.8%	87.8%	15.5%
Bhutan	Tsento	2017	89.5%	100.0%	64.4%
Bhutan	Tseza	2000	44.5%	77.8%	11.9%
Bhutan	Tseza	2017	85.5%	100.0%	55.5%
Bhutan	Tsholingkhor	2000	28.5%	95.8%	6.2%
Bhutan	Tsholingkhor	2017	99.8%	100.0%	95.7%
Bhutan	Tsirangtoe	2000	16.4%	61.4%	6.3%
Bhutan	Tsirangtoe	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.8%
Bhutan	Udzorong	2000	55.2%	100.0%	1.6%
Bhutan	Udzorong	2017	90.5%	100.0%	39.8%
Bhutan	Uesu	2000	41.4%	99.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Uesu	2017	79.7%	100.0%	6.9%
Bhutan	Ugentse	2000	45.6%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ugentse	2017	87.0%	100.0%	27.9%
Bhutan	Umling	2000	45.0%	95.5%	5.6%
Bhutan	Umling	2017	94.1%	100.0%	66.8%
Bhutan	Ura	2000	43.7%	87.3%	7.8%
Bhutan	Ura	2017	87.8%	100.0%	45.1%
Bhutan	Wangchang	2000	42.4%	87.6%	17.6%
Bhutan	Wangchang	2017	96.9%	100.0%	88.8%
Bhutan	Wangphu	2000	44.9%	99.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Wangphu	2017	82.8%	100.0%	10.9%
Bhutan	Yalang	2000	45.8%	99.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yalang	2017	85.0%	100.0%	29.0%
Bhutan	Yangnyer	2000	40.0%	95.6%	0.7%
Bhutan	Yangnyer	2017	86.1%	100.0%	29.8%
Bhutan	Yoeseltse	2000	47.8%	100.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yoeseltse	2017	85.6%	100.0%	4.3%
Bhutan	Yurung	2000	36.8%	99.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yurung	2017	89.0%	100.0%	10.9%
Bhutan	Zobel	2000	47.1%	98.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Zobel	2017	85.3%	100.0%	26.3%
India	Adilabad	2000	21.4%	33.4%	12.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Adilabad	2017	77.5%	86.8%	67.5%
India	Agar Malwa	2000	9.2%	12.2%	6.8%
India	Agar Malwa	2017	63.6%	68.6%	59.4%
India	Agra	2000	12.6%	14.4%	10.3%
India	Agra	2017	78.0%	82.1%	74.6%
India	Ahmadnagar	2000	18.4%	22.6%	14.7%
India	Ahmadnagar	2017	85.0%	88.0%	81.3%
India	Ahmedabad	2000	36.9%	40.7%	34.1%
India	Ahmedabad	2017	97.8%	98.5%	96.8%
India	Aizawl	2000	50.3%	56.4%	44.5%
India	Aizawl	2017	97.1%	98.9%	94.0%
India	Ajmer	2000	16.6%	18.8%	14.7%
India	Ajmer	2017	88.2%	90.1%	85.9%
India	Akola	2000	19.4%	23.5%	15.4%
India	Akola	2017	81.8%	85.6%	77.7%
India	Alappuzha	2000	76.7%	81.4%	70.8%
India	Alappuzha	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
India	Aligarh	2000	10.9%	12.6%	9.6%
India	Aligarh	2017	77.5%	79.3%	75.8%
India	Alipurduar	2000	26.5%	37.1%	17.9%
India	Alipurduar	2017	84.3%	90.9%	74.9%
India	Alirajpur	2000	3.5%	4.6%	2.8%
India	Alirajpur	2017	41.6%	45.3%	38.6%
India	Allahabad	2000	9.1%	13.1%	6.3%
India	Allahabad	2017	70.0%	74.3%	65.0%
India	Almora	2000	31.0%	33.8%	27.9%
India	Almora	2017	92.6%	94.5%	90.7%
India	Alwar	2000	12.5%	14.6%	10.9%
India	Alwar	2017	81.1%	83.0%	78.6%
India	Ambala	2000	37.4%	41.4%	33.5%
India	Ambala	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
India	Ambedkar Nagar	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.5%
India	Ambedkar Nagar	2017	63.9%	66.6%	61.2%
India	Amethi	2000	4.9%	6.2%	3.9%
India	Amethi	2017	57.9%	61.5%	54.6%
India	Amravati	2000	32.5%	36.4%	28.8%
India	Amravati	2017	88.4%	91.1%	85.6%
India	Amreli	2000	32.9%	38.9%	27.5%
India	Amreli	2017	92.2%	95.1%	88.4%
India	Amritsar	2000	26.4%	29.7%	23.5%
India	Amritsar	2017	96.3%	97.7%	94.0%
India	Amroha	2000	11.4%	12.1%	10.8%
India	Amroha	2017	88.1%	89.6%	86.8%
India	Anand	2000	23.9%	26.8%	21.4%
India	Anand	2017	92.6%	95.0%	90.1%
India	Anantapur	2000	19.7%	25.1%	15.4%
India	Anantapur	2017	79.7%	83.3%	75.8%
India	Anantnag	2000	19.1%	22.7%	16.7%
India	Anantnag	2017	96.9%	97.9%	94.9%
India	Angul	2000	15.7%	20.3%	12.2%
India	Angul	2017	74.9%	79.6%	69.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Anjaw	2000	21.9%	28.6%	15.7%
India	Anjaw	2017	86.0%	91.2%	79.1%
India	Anuppur	2000	5.9%	7.9%	4.9%
India	Anuppur	2017	55.0%	60.4%	50.0%
India	Araria	2000	3.4%	4.2%	2.6%
India	Araria	2017	51.9%	55.5%	48.5%
India	Ariyalur	2000	6.7%	10.1%	4.0%
India	Ariyalur	2017	57.4%	60.8%	54.1%
India	Arvalli	2000	12.7%	17.4%	8.6%
India	Arvalli	2017	78.3%	83.3%	72.7%
India	Arwal	2000	2.2%	2.4%	2.1%
India	Arwal	2017	60.4%	62.2%	58.5%
India	Ashoknagar	2000	8.2%	11.7%	5.8%
India	Ashoknagar	2017	63.1%	67.0%	59.2%
India	Auraiya	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.7%
India	Auraiya	2017	71.8%	75.1%	68.8%
India	Aurangabad	2000	5.2%	7.5%	3.8%
India	Aurangabad	2000	17.6%	20.9%	14.6%
India	Aurangabad	2017	66.9%	70.5%	63.5%
India	Aurangabad	2017	87.2%	89.9%	84.2%
India	Azamgarh	2000	7.7%	9.9%	5.6%
India	Azamgarh	2017	66.5%	69.2%	63.5%
India	Badgam	2000	29.3%	32.7%	26.7%
India	Badgam	2017	97.4%	98.4%	95.0%
India	Bagalkot	2000	11.0%	14.9%	8.2%
India	Bagalkot	2017	71.0%	75.4%	66.7%
India	Bageshwar	2000	17.1%	21.6%	14.8%
India	Bageshwar	2017	92.1%	95.4%	88.1%
India	Baghpat	2000	27.1%	30.8%	21.7%
India	Baghpat	2017	95.0%	95.6%	94.5%
India	Bahraich	2000	3.5%	5.3%	2.3%
India	Bahraich	2017	52.3%	56.8%	48.0%
India	Baksa	2000	13.4%	15.3%	12.1%
India	Baksa	2017	88.6%	91.2%	86.2%
India	Balaghat	2000	14.1%	17.9%	10.9%
India	Balaghat	2017	70.7%	74.3%	65.9%
India	Balangir	2000	9.5%	12.4%	6.6%
India	Balangir	2017	62.3%	65.7%	58.3%
India	Baleshwar	2000	10.0%	12.7%	7.8%
India	Baleshwar	2017	81.7%	83.6%	79.3%
India	Ballary	2000	15.0%	18.6%	11.2%
India	Ballary	2017	82.5%	86.8%	77.2%
India	Ballia	2000	9.6%	11.2%	8.5%
India	Ballia	2017	75.9%	79.1%	72.8%
India	Balod	2000	14.4%	18.7%	11.2%
India	Balod	2017	77.2%	82.5%	71.4%
India	Baloda Bazar	2000	26.9%	31.8%	21.6%
India	Baloda Bazar	2017	82.9%	84.7%	80.4%
India	Balrampur	2000	5.6%	8.2%	3.9%
India	Balrampur	2000	15.2%	22.9%	9.8%
India	Balrampur	2017	52.6%	56.4%	48.5%
India	Balrampur	2017	62.3%	71.8%	51.7%
India	Banaskantha	2000	19.4%	23.2%	15.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Banaskantha	2017	81.6%	84.8%	77.7%
India	Banda	2000	7.4%	9.0%	6.0%
India	Banda	2017	65.0%	68.7%	61.0%
India	Bandipore	2000	22.2%	23.5%	20.7%
India	Bandipore	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.3%
India	Bangalore	2000	34.8%	38.5%	30.9%
India	Bangalore	2017	98.0%	98.5%	97.5%
India	Bangalore Rural	2000	28.3%	32.1%	24.1%
India	Bangalore Rural	2017	94.2%	95.3%	92.7%
India	Banka	2000	3.4%	4.3%	2.6%
India	Banka	2017	52.3%	55.0%	49.8%
India	Bankura	2000	14.1%	17.6%	10.7%
India	Bankura	2017	75.8%	79.1%	72.5%
India	Banswara	2000	5.8%	7.0%	5.0%
India	Banswara	2017	52.7%	56.1%	49.8%
India	Barabanki	2000	6.6%	9.5%	4.8%
India	Barabanki	2017	61.7%	65.9%	57.8%
India	Baramulla	2000	27.5%	30.0%	25.1%
India	Baramulla	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.1%
India	Baran	2000	9.5%	13.4%	6.7%
India	Baran	2017	70.0%	75.0%	65.7%
India	Bareilly	2000	22.2%	23.4%	20.8%
India	Bareilly	2017	90.0%	91.0%	88.9%
India	Bargarh	2000	10.0%	14.5%	6.1%
India	Bargarh	2017	71.4%	75.2%	67.1%
India	Barmer	2000	14.7%	19.2%	10.8%
India	Barmer	2017	68.2%	73.1%	63.2%
India	Barnala	2000	36.8%	40.7%	33.3%
India	Barnala	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.2%
India	Barpeta	2000	10.0%	12.0%	9.1%
India	Barpeta	2017	90.9%	91.7%	90.1%
India	Barwani	2000	11.9%	14.6%	8.9%
India	Barwani	2017	60.5%	64.3%	57.4%
India	Bastar	2000	3.4%	7.1%	1.6%
India	Bastar	2017	40.7%	54.3%	33.1%
India	Basti	2000	5.7%	7.5%	4.4%
India	Basti	2017	62.8%	65.4%	60.4%
India	Bathinda	2000	37.6%	41.7%	33.2%
India	Bathinda	2017	96.8%	98.2%	94.8%
India	Begusarai	2000	7.1%	8.1%	6.2%
India	Begusarai	2017	84.5%	86.0%	82.8%
India	Belagavi	2000	19.6%	23.1%	16.0%
India	Belagavi	2017	84.7%	87.2%	81.9%
India	Bemetara	2000	10.9%	15.7%	7.6%
India	Bemetara	2017	73.6%	78.6%	67.6%
India	Betul	2000	15.0%	19.0%	12.1%
India	Betul	2017	71.3%	75.2%	66.5%
India	Bhadradri	2000	27.8%	38.5%	18.5%
India	Bhadradri Kothagudem	2017	79.9%	87.6%	70.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Bhadrak	2000	5.3%	6.8%	4.1%
India	Bhadrak	2017	75.4%	77.6%	73.0%
India	Bhagalpur	2000	4.6%	5.5%	4.0%
India	Bhagalpur	2017	68.6%	71.8%	65.6%
India	Bhandara	2000	25.7%	28.9%	22.7%
India	Bhandara	2017	90.5%	92.4%	88.4%
India	Bharatpur	2000	8.3%	10.1%	6.5%
India	Bharatpur	2017	74.2%	76.6%	72.0%
India	Bharuch	2000	23.5%	29.8%	18.7%
India	Bharuch	2017	90.8%	94.4%	86.0%
India	Bhavnagar	2000	32.4%	37.0%	26.7%
India	Bhavnagar	2017	90.6%	93.1%	87.7%
India	Bhilwara	2000	12.8%	16.6%	9.3%
India	Bhilwara	2017	72.2%	75.6%	68.4%
India	Bhind	2000	10.1%	12.5%	7.8%
India	Bhind	2017	74.4%	77.3%	71.3%
India	Bhiwani	2000	33.1%	37.9%	28.2%
India	Bhiwani	2017	96.4%	97.6%	94.5%
India	Bhojpur	2000	3.8%	4.4%	3.4%
India	Bhojpur	2017	69.4%	71.8%	67.0%
India	Bhopal	2000	22.2%	24.9%	20.2%
India	Bhopal	2017	88.2%	90.3%	85.5%
India	Bid	2000	15.7%	19.2%	12.2%
India	Bid	2017	75.1%	79.2%	70.0%
India	Bidar	2000	12.6%	17.7%	8.5%
India	Bidar	2017	68.1%	73.4%	62.3%
India	Bijapur	2000	6.8%	9.8%	4.3%
India	Bijapur	2017	50.1%	55.9%	43.8%
India	Bijnor	2000	26.5%	31.1%	22.0%
India	Bijnor	2017	92.7%	93.8%	91.6%
India	Bikaner	2000	21.8%	25.1%	18.8%
India	Bikaner	2017	89.1%	91.4%	86.5%
India	Bilaspur	2000	16.5%	20.4%	12.9%
India	Bilaspur	2000	24.7%	25.9%	23.6%
India	Bilaspur	2017	97.0%	97.4%	96.6%
India	Bilaspur	2017	80.8%	84.1%	76.9%
India	Birbhum	2000	11.1%	13.8%	8.4%
India	Birbhum	2017	78.0%	80.5%	75.4%
India	Bishnupur	2000	18.2%	19.0%	17.5%
India	Bishnupur	2017	98.0%	98.1%	97.9%
India	Biswanath	2000	19.6%	26.3%	14.3%
India	Biswanath	2017	89.4%	95.6%	82.3%
India	Bokaro	2000	4.5%	4.7%	4.3%
India	Bokaro	2017	54.7%	56.6%	53.3%
India	Bongaigaon	2000	11.5%	12.3%	10.7%
India	Bongaigaon	2017	90.3%	91.1%	89.5%
India	Botad	2000	26.8%	37.6%	17.9%
India	Botad	2017	89.0%	96.5%	78.6%
India	Boudh	2000	2.7%	4.8%	1.6%
India	Boudh	2017	37.1%	44.5%	31.5%
India	Budaun	2000	8.6%	10.2%	7.1%
India	Budaun	2017	74.0%	76.7%	71.2%
India	Bulandshahr	2000	20.7%	25.2%	16.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Bulandshahr	2017	86.3%	88.4%	83.2%
India	Buldana	2000	15.7%	19.7%	12.1%
India	Buldana	2017	77.8%	81.8%	73.0%
India	Bundi	2000	9.2%	12.8%	6.3%
India	Bundi	2017	68.6%	72.5%	64.4%
India	Burhanpur	2000	12.6%	14.2%	11.0%
India	Burhanpur	2017	79.7%	82.0%	77.4%
India	Buxar	2000	3.7%	4.0%	3.3%
India	Buxar	2017	68.0%	70.5%	65.5%
India	Cachar	2000	24.8%	26.9%	20.9%
India	Cachar	2017	86.9%	88.7%	85.0%
India	Central	2000	63.4%	64.1%	62.7%
India	Central	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.3%
India	Chamba	2000	26.8%	30.4%	23.0%
India	Chamba	2017	90.0%	92.5%	87.8%
India	Chamoli	2000	31.4%	36.1%	26.8%
India	Chamoli	2017	89.2%	91.8%	85.9%
India	Champawat	2000	23.7%	27.5%	18.3%
India	Champawat	2017	92.9%	94.3%	91.2%
India	Champhai	2000	47.5%	50.3%	44.3%
India	Champhai	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
India	Chamrajnagar	2000	19.9%	23.3%	16.2%
India	Chamrajnagar	2017	78.0%	81.5%	74.5%
India	Chandauli	2000	6.2%	7.3%	5.4%
India	Chandauli	2017	74.1%	76.5%	71.8%
India	Chandel	2000	25.7%	33.4%	19.7%
India	Chandel	2017	89.7%	95.7%	82.1%
India	Chandigarh	2000	55.0%	56.6%	53.5%
India	Chandigarh	2017	99.7%	99.7%	99.6%
India	Chandrapur	2000	29.8%	34.3%	25.4%
India	Chandrapur	2017	87.1%	90.6%	83.5%
India	Changlang	2000	23.9%	26.7%	21.0%
India	Changlang	2017	95.4%	96.1%	94.3%
India	Charaideo	2000	35.6%	42.7%	27.1%
India	Charaideo	2017	96.3%	97.4%	93.8%
India	Charkhi Dadri	2000	28.6%	34.4%	23.6%
India	Charkhi Dadri	2017	95.4%	97.7%	91.7%
India	Chatra	2000	3.6%	5.6%	2.2%
India	Chatra	2017	45.3%	48.4%	41.9%
India	Chennai	2000	54.6%	55.6%	53.7%
India	Chennai	2017	99.1%	99.2%	99.0%
India	Chhatarpur	2000	10.3%	12.9%	7.8%
India	Chhatarpur	2017	58.5%	62.4%	54.5%
India	Chhindwara	2000	14.7%	19.3%	11.1%
India	Chhindwara	2017	70.3%	76.3%	64.4%
India	Chhotaudepur	2000	9.0%	12.2%	6.4%
India	Chhotaudepur	2017	66.1%	73.2%	59.6%
India	Chikballapura	2000	16.5%	20.5%	12.7%
India	Chikballapura	2017	89.3%	92.1%	86.4%
India	Chikmagalur	2000	27.4%	34.8%	20.2%
India	Chikmagalur	2017	91.0%	94.0%	86.8%
India	Chirang	2000	8.3%	11.4%	7.1%
India	Chirang	2017	86.0%	88.5%	82.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Chitradurga	2000	18.1%	23.7%	12.5%
India	Chitradurga	2017	84.6%	88.1%	80.2%
India	Chittrakoot	2000	2.0%	3.3%	1.5%
India	Chittrakoot	2017	45.9%	50.3%	41.9%
India	Chittaurgarh	2000	14.3%	16.7%	12.1%
India	Chittaurgarh	2017	74.4%	77.2%	71.3%
India	Chittoor	2000	17.3%	22.1%	13.1%
India	Chittoor	2017	74.5%	78.9%	70.3%
India	Churachandpur	2000	33.0%	36.6%	28.5%
India	Churachandpur	2017	97.3%	98.2%	95.8%
India	Churu	2000	23.5%	28.1%	19.2%
India	Churu	2017	89.6%	92.5%	85.7%
India	Coimbatore	2000	25.3%	29.9%	21.5%
India	Coimbatore	2017	84.9%	89.3%	80.3%
India	Cuddalore	2000	7.2%	8.6%	6.3%
India	Cuddalore	2017	66.0%	70.8%	62.4%
India	Cuttack	2000	9.4%	11.3%	7.5%
India	Cuttack	2017	82.5%	84.7%	79.8%
India	Dadra and Nagar Haveli	2000	10.8%	16.1%	8.5%
India	Dadra and Nagar Haveli	2017	79.8%	83.0%	75.3%
India	Dakshin Dinajpur	2000	14.6%	17.5%	11.9%
India	Dakshin Dinajpur	2017	92.6%	93.9%	90.1%
India	Dakshina Kannada	2000	46.9%	51.7%	42.0%
India	Dakshina Kannada	2017	96.2%	98.0%	93.6%
India	Daman	2000	35.5%	38.8%	31.9%
India	Daman	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.7%
India	Damoh	2000	6.9%	9.5%	4.9%
India	Damoh	2017	61.4%	65.7%	56.6%
India	Dang	2000	1.9%	2.7%	1.5%
India	Dang	2017	51.7%	54.7%	48.8%
India	Dantewada	2000	9.1%	15.0%	6.1%
India	Dantewada	2017	55.7%	66.4%	45.9%
India	Darbhanga	2000	5.2%	6.3%	3.8%
India	Darbhanga	2017	72.2%	74.0%	70.5%
India	Darjiling	2000	22.6%	27.1%	19.5%
India	Darjiling	2017	84.3%	87.6%	80.4%
India	Darrang	2000	13.7%	17.0%	12.2%
India	Darrang	2017	93.3%	94.3%	90.0%
India	Datia	2000	6.7%	8.1%	5.9%
India	Datia	2017	72.0%	74.9%	69.7%
India	Dausa	2000	7.9%	9.4%	6.8%
India	Dausa	2017	79.1%	81.6%	76.9%
India	Davanagere	2000	21.6%	24.8%	18.2%
India	Davanagere	2017	87.9%	89.8%	85.7%
India	Dehradun	2000	32.9%	34.7%	31.2%
India	Dehradun	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.8%
India	Deogarh	2000	3.7%	5.3%	2.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Deogarh	2017	61.0%	65.1%	57.3%
India	Deoghar	2000	6.5%	7.2%	5.7%
India	Deoghar	2017	50.0%	52.9%	47.7%
India	Deoria	2000	4.4%	6.7%	2.9%
India	Deoria	2017	68.4%	70.3%	66.0%
India	Devbhumi	2000	32.3%	44.0%	23.0%
India	Dwarka	2017	82.4%	92.1%	72.8%
India	Dewas	2000	15.3%	19.0%	12.2%
India	Dewas	2017	83.9%	87.1%	80.2%
India	Dhalai	2000	26.2%	30.3%	20.9%
India	Dhalai	2017	93.0%	94.1%	90.5%
India	Dhamtari	2000	16.8%	19.9%	13.1%
India	Dhamtari	2017	86.4%	88.2%	84.2%
India	Dhanbad	2000	5.5%	6.1%	5.1%
India	Dhanbad	2017	67.2%	69.5%	65.1%
India	Dhar	2000	12.3%	15.6%	9.6%
India	Dhar	2017	69.5%	73.3%	65.1%
India	Dharmapuri	2000	7.6%	10.8%	5.1%
India	Dharmapuri	2017	60.6%	65.5%	55.0%
India	Dharwad	2000	15.4%	21.9%	11.5%
India	Dharwad	2017	90.3%	92.9%	86.2%
India	Dhaulpur	2000	7.7%	9.4%	6.2%
India	Dhaulpur	2017	67.0%	69.4%	64.5%
India	Dhemaji	2000	18.4%	20.3%	16.8%
India	Dhemaji	2017	88.1%	90.2%	84.9%
India	Dhenkanal	2000	12.7%	16.1%	9.6%
India	Dhenkanal	2017	75.0%	78.6%	71.3%
India	Dhubri	2000	33.1%	36.2%	29.8%
India	Dhubri	2017	94.8%	95.5%	94.0%
India	Dhule	2000	12.6%	17.3%	9.2%
India	Dhule	2017	71.5%	75.7%	66.5%
India	Dibang Valley	2000	42.2%	53.6%	31.3%
India	Dibang Valley	2017	93.5%	98.2%	85.8%
India	Dibrugarh	2000	27.1%	29.7%	23.6%
India	Dibrugarh	2017	95.3%	95.9%	94.6%
India	Dima Hasao	2000	28.4%	33.2%	23.7%
India	Dima Hasao	2017	89.0%	91.7%	86.0%
India	Dimapur	2000	40.2%	42.4%	38.1%
India	Dimapur	2017	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
India	Dindigul	2000	16.9%	20.4%	13.0%
India	Dindigul	2017	71.6%	75.2%	67.6%
India	Dindori	2000	7.8%	12.4%	4.1%
India	Dindori	2017	37.8%	40.7%	34.9%
India	Diu	2000	19.5%	27.3%	14.2%
India	Diu	2017	97.8%	98.3%	96.4%
India	Doda	2000	9.8%	11.8%	8.2%
India	Doda	2017	86.6%	88.7%	84.3%
India	Dohad	2000	5.8%	7.5%	4.8%
India	Dohad	2017	61.5%	64.5%	58.6%
India	Dumka	2000	7.1%	8.8%	5.1%
India	Dumka	2017	42.5%	46.3%	39.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Dungarpur	2000	8.8%	11.5%	6.6%
India	Dungarpur	2017	71.4%	74.3%	68.2%
India	Durg	2000	15.6%	18.1%	13.8%
India	Durg	2017	89.4%	91.6%	86.8%
India	East	2000	48.4%	49.2%	47.5%
India	East	2017	98.7%	98.8%	98.6%
India	East Garo Hills	2000	35.3%	38.4%	31.9%
India	East Garo Hills	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.5%
India	East Godavari	2000	22.8%	28.0%	18.0%
India	East Godavari	2017	87.7%	90.3%	84.8%
India	East Jaintia Hills	2000	12.0%	16.1%	9.5%
India	East Jaintia Hills	2017	64.0%	75.7%	57.1%
India	East Kameng	2000	13.2%	17.0%	10.1%
India	East Kameng	2017	78.6%	83.7%	72.1%
India	East Khasi Hills	2000	24.8%	27.6%	22.4%
India	East Khasi Hills	2017	95.1%	97.1%	92.5%
India	East Nimar	2000	17.1%	20.9%	13.7%
India	East Nimar	2017	75.0%	78.9%	70.8%
India	East Siang	2000	26.9%	30.3%	23.6%
India	East Siang	2017	97.2%	97.8%	96.2%
India	East Sikkim	2000	63.1%	65.3%	60.7%
India	East Sikkim	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
India	Ernakulam	2000	83.1%	87.8%	76.5%
India	Ernakulam	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.2%
India	Erode	2000	19.3%	24.6%	14.5%
India	Erode	2017	81.5%	84.8%	77.3%
India	Etah	2000	11.8%	13.9%	9.8%
India	Etah	2017	70.3%	71.9%	68.7%
India	Etawah	2000	8.2%	9.8%	6.7%
India	Etawah	2017	75.3%	78.3%	72.6%
India	Faizabad	2000	4.8%	6.3%	3.8%
India	Faizabad	2017	65.0%	67.9%	61.9%
India	Faridabad	2000	38.8%	42.4%	35.6%
India	Faridabad	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
India	Faridkot	2000	42.0%	46.1%	37.9%
India	Faridkot	2017	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
India	Farrukhabad	2000	8.8%	10.0%	7.5%
India	Farrukhabad	2017	76.7%	78.7%	74.8%
India	Fatehabad	2000	44.6%	48.8%	39.7%
India	Fatehabad	2017	97.9%	98.6%	96.0%
India	Fatehgarh Sahib	2000	44.9%	48.8%	41.1%
India	Fatehgarh Sahib	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
India	Fatehpur	2000	9.2%	11.8%	7.1%
India	Fatehpur	2017	68.0%	71.9%	64.8%
India	Fazilka	2000	47.0%	56.2%	33.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Fazilka	2017	95.6%	98.4%	91.5%
India	Firozabad	2000	12.1%	13.2%	11.1%
India	Firozabad	2017	71.7%	73.5%	69.9%
India	Firozpur	2000	38.7%	44.4%	33.1%
India	Firozpur	2017	97.3%	98.6%	95.0%
India	Gadag	2000	10.9%	13.5%	8.4%
India	Gadag	2017	73.7%	77.0%	70.3%
India	Gadchiroli	2000	13.8%	18.3%	10.5%
India	Gadchiroli	2017	63.7%	70.0%	57.6%
India	Gajapati	2000	14.7%	19.3%	10.6%
India	Gajapati	2017	70.4%	74.6%	66.0%
India	Ganderbal	2000	21.3%	22.4%	20.2%
India	Ganderbal	2017	91.5%	93.6%	89.8%
India	Gandhinagar	2000	23.0%	25.6%	20.6%
India	Gandhinagar	2017	93.0%	94.2%	91.8%
India	Ganganagar	2000	31.6%	37.0%	26.7%
India	Ganganagar	2017	90.7%	94.3%	86.0%
India	Ganjam	2000	8.5%	11.6%	5.8%
India	Ganjam	2017	71.9%	76.3%	66.6%
India	Garhwa	2000	2.6%	4.1%	1.8%
India	Garhwa	2017	40.2%	44.9%	36.4%
India	Gariaband	2000	9.6%	15.0%	5.9%
India	Gariaband	2017	61.5%	69.6%	53.3%
India	Gautam Buddha Nagar	2000	30.8%	32.1%	29.6%
India	Gautam Buddha Nagar	2017	96.2%	96.7%	95.8%
India	Gaya	2000	8.8%	11.7%	6.8%
India	Gaya	2017	61.0%	64.8%	57.4%
India	Ghaziabad	2000	29.0%	30.3%	27.7%
India	Ghaziabad	2017	97.4%	97.7%	97.1%
India	Ghazipur	2000	10.8%	14.0%	7.5%
India	Ghazipur	2017	72.6%	74.8%	69.3%
India	Gir Somnath	2000	32.5%	38.3%	26.8%
India	Gir Somnath	2017	92.4%	94.7%	89.7%
India	Giridih	2000	5.4%	7.1%	4.2%
India	Giridih	2017	50.6%	53.9%	47.1%
India	Goalpara	2000	15.1%	16.9%	13.8%
India	Goalpara	2017	94.6%	95.0%	94.1%
India	Godda	2000	3.1%	4.2%	2.4%
India	Godda	2017	52.6%	56.2%	48.8%
India	Golaghat	2000	27.8%	30.7%	25.4%
India	Golaghat	2017	94.5%	95.8%	92.8%
India	Gomati	2000	38.5%	45.7%	32.6%
India	Gomati	2017	93.0%	95.5%	89.7%
India	Gonda	2000	7.2%	9.4%	5.4%
India	Gonda	2017	51.7%	54.9%	48.4%
India	Gondiya	2000	15.5%	19.6%	12.3%
India	Gondiya	2017	83.5%	87.3%	79.3%
India	Gopalganj	2000	4.3%	5.6%	3.2%
India	Gopalganj	2017	69.7%	71.4%	68.0%
India	Gorakhpur	2000	7.1%	8.8%	5.7%
India	Gorakhpur	2017	72.3%	75.1%	69.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Gumla	2000	4.8%	7.4%	3.0%
India	Gumla	2017	51.0%	55.8%	46.3%
India	Guna	2000	11.0%	15.6%	7.8%
India	Guna	2017	63.7%	69.5%	57.7%
India	Guntur	2000	24.9%	29.8%	20.4%
India	Guntur	2017	87.4%	90.3%	83.4%
India	Gurdaspur	2000	36.3%	42.1%	30.9%
India	Gurdaspur	2017	97.2%	98.1%	95.6%
India	Gurugram	2000	36.0%	37.7%	34.1%
India	Gurugram	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.5%
India	Gwalior	2000	14.4%	15.9%	13.1%
India	Gwalior	2017	84.9%	86.4%	83.0%
India	Hailakandi	2000	9.9%	10.7%	9.1%
India	Hailakandi	2017	87.5%	88.6%	86.2%
India	Hamirpur	2000	19.0%	22.1%	15.2%
India	Hamirpur	2000	51.7%	54.6%	49.0%
India	Hamirpur	2017	83.9%	86.0%	81.5%
India	Hamirpur	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
India	Hanumangarh	2000	22.8%	26.6%	19.2%
India	Hanumangarh	2017	90.1%	92.5%	87.5%
India	Haora	2000	29.1%	31.9%	26.5%
India	Haora	2017	97.7%	98.2%	96.5%
India	Hapur	2000	17.1%	19.2%	15.4%
India	Hapur	2017	92.3%	93.7%	91.0%
India	Harda	2000	13.9%	17.2%	11.1%
India	Harda	2017	81.8%	87.2%	77.5%
India	Hardoi	2000	8.5%	12.5%	6.2%
India	Hardoi	2017	68.0%	74.0%	61.6%
India	Hardwar	2000	20.4%	22.2%	19.2%
India	Hardwar	2017	95.2%	96.0%	94.5%
India	Hassan	2000	25.7%	28.9%	21.8%
India	Hassan	2017	86.7%	88.9%	84.1%
India	Hathras	2000	6.8%	7.7%	6.0%
India	Hathras	2017	68.4%	70.3%	66.5%
India	Haveri	2000	15.6%	18.3%	13.1%
India	Haveri	2017	85.3%	88.0%	82.1%
India	Hazaribagh	2000	9.0%	11.2%	7.5%
India	Hazaribagh	2017	56.6%	61.4%	53.1%
India	Hingoli	2000	10.0%	12.8%	7.9%
India	Hingoli	2017	70.7%	75.1%	66.5%
India	Hisar	2000	46.7%	51.6%	41.7%
India	Hisar	2017	97.6%	98.5%	95.8%
India	Hojai	2000	11.4%	12.5%	10.3%
India	Hojai	2017	95.9%	96.4%	95.4%
India	Hoshangabad	2000	13.9%	16.1%	12.0%
India	Hoshangabad	2017	78.4%	81.8%	75.4%
India	Hoshiarpur	2000	29.2%	32.9%	25.1%
India	Hoshiarpur	2017	95.5%	96.8%	93.7%
India	Hugli	2000	27.5%	31.2%	23.0%
India	Hugli	2017	96.3%	97.2%	95.2%
India	Hyderabad	2000	23.6%	24.2%	23.0%
India	Hyderabad	2017	93.4%	94.1%	92.7%
India	Idukki	2000	65.4%	71.2%	59.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Idukki	2017	98.6%	99.5%	96.9%
India	Imphal East	2000	17.3%	17.8%	16.9%
India	Imphal East	2017	97.6%	97.8%	97.5%
India	Imphal West	2000	20.3%	20.9%	19.8%
India	Imphal West	2017	98.4%	98.5%	98.3%
India	Indore	2000	25.3%	27.3%	23.4%
India	Indore	2017	93.8%	95.0%	92.5%
India	Jabalpur	2000	10.8%	12.1%	9.8%
India	Jabalpur	2017	81.4%	83.6%	79.3%
India	Jagatsinghapur	2000	5.7%	8.3%	4.4%
India	Jagatsinghapur	2017	78.5%	82.1%	73.5%
India	Jagitial	2000	18.5%	28.9%	10.0%
India	Jagitial	2017	84.1%	92.1%	74.4%
India	Jaipur	2000	20.6%	22.0%	19.4%
India	Jaipur	2017	90.3%	91.4%	89.3%
India	Jaisalmer	2000	18.9%	23.7%	14.0%
India	Jaisalmer	2017	70.7%	76.0%	64.9%
India	Jajapur	2000	4.6%	5.5%	4.0%
India	Jajapur	2017	78.8%	80.7%	76.6%
India	Jalandhar	2000	49.6%	53.7%	44.4%
India	Jalandhar	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
India	Jalaun	2000	12.8%	15.7%	10.3%
India	Jalaun	2017	78.9%	82.0%	75.3%
India	Jalgaon	2000	20.8%	27.5%	16.0%
India	Jalgaon	2017	80.1%	84.5%	75.2%
India	Jalna	2000	19.0%	22.5%	16.2%
India	Jalna	2017	76.4%	80.3%	72.5%
India	Jalor	2000	12.0%	16.0%	9.2%
India	Jalor	2017	69.7%	73.7%	64.8%
India	Jalpaiguri	2000	29.6%	35.7%	23.7%
India	Jalpaiguri	2017	92.3%	95.1%	88.4%
India	Jammu	2000	12.9%	15.8%	11.1%
India	Jammu	2017	87.2%	89.6%	83.8%
India	Jamnagar	2000	30.6%	41.1%	23.7%
India	Jamnagar	2017	89.9%	95.3%	82.3%
India	Jamtara	2000	6.4%	9.2%	4.6%
India	Jamtara	2017	48.7%	51.5%	45.4%
India	Jamui	2000	4.4%	5.9%	3.3%
India	Jamui	2017	51.5%	55.0%	48.4%
India	Jangoan	2000	25.7%	45.9%	9.6%
India	Jangoan	2017	76.9%	90.7%	61.1%
India	Janjgir-Champa	2000	7.8%	10.9%	6.0%
India	Janjgir-Champa	2017	72.1%	76.7%	66.8%
India	Jashpur	2000	7.8%	9.6%	5.9%
India	Jashpur	2017	49.5%	54.6%	44.6%
India	Jaunpur	2000	7.0%	8.5%	5.6%
India	Jaunpur	2017	68.6%	72.3%	64.8%
India	Jayashankar Bhupalalpal	2000	14.8%	25.8%	6.3%
India	Jayashankar Bhupalalpal	2017	62.6%	76.2%	48.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Jehanabad	2000	4.1%	4.3%	3.9%
India	Jehanabad	2017	68.4%	69.8%	66.9%
India	Jhabua	2000	7.2%	8.7%	5.6%
India	Jhabua	2017	43.1%	46.2%	40.2%
India	Jhajjar	2000	24.3%	26.0%	22.8%
India	Jhajjar	2017	96.2%	97.1%	95.3%
India	Jhalawar	2000	11.8%	16.2%	8.5%
India	Jhalawar	2017	70.2%	74.6%	65.7%
India	Jhansi	2000	13.8%	15.9%	12.1%
India	Jhansi	2017	79.1%	81.3%	76.7%
India	Jhargram	2000	11.7%	20.0%	6.5%
India	Jhargram	2017	67.4%	78.0%	55.6%
India	Jharsuguda	2000	5.7%	6.8%	5.1%
India	Jharsuguda	2017	79.0%	82.6%	75.7%
India	Jhunjhunun	2000	20.8%	25.1%	17.8%
India	Jhunjhunun	2017	92.4%	94.2%	90.4%
India	Jind	2000	34.7%	39.1%	30.2%
India	Jind	2017	94.3%	96.7%	91.3%
India	Jiribam	2000	41.9%	47.1%	36.8%
India	Jiribam	2017	96.9%	97.4%	96.2%
India	Jodhpur	2000	21.3%	24.3%	18.3%
India	Jodhpur	2017	81.7%	84.2%	78.9%
India	Jogulamba Gadwa	2000	22.2%	37.1%	12.3%
India	Jogulamba Gadwa	2017	71.7%	85.3%	56.9%
India	Jorhat	2000	23.5%	26.3%	21.2%
India	Jorhat	2017	85.8%	87.9%	83.6%
India	Junagadh	2000	49.7%	55.4%	43.1%
India	Junagadh	2017	94.6%	96.9%	91.3%
India	Kabeerddham	2000	4.2%	6.3%	3.0%
India	Kabeerddham	2017	62.9%	66.5%	59.4%
India	Kachchh	2000	28.3%	34.4%	23.2%
India	Kachchh	2017	77.7%	82.9%	72.5%
India	Kaimur	2000	3.8%	5.9%	2.7%
India	Kaimur	2017	61.1%	65.7%	57.1%
India	Kaithal	2000	29.6%	32.3%	27.1%
India	Kaithal	2017	97.7%	98.4%	96.5%
India	Kakching	2000	16.7%	18.1%	15.6%
India	Kakching	2017	97.8%	98.0%	97.6%
India	Kalaburgi	2000	9.3%	12.8%	6.4%
India	Kalaburgi	2017	63.4%	69.4%	57.8%
India	Kalahandi	2000	3.2%	5.6%	1.9%
India	Kalahandi	2017	47.0%	53.2%	41.5%
India	Kalimpong	2000	65.4%	79.2%	46.8%
India	Kalimpong	2017	97.5%	99.3%	93.3%
India	Kamareddy	2000	12.6%	18.8%	8.2%
India	Kamareddy	2017	67.9%	75.7%	59.6%
India	Kamjong	2000	58.0%	67.2%	45.5%
India	Kamjong	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.0%
India	Kamle	2000	43.6%	55.2%	29.3%
India	Kamle	2017	94.7%	98.0%	86.9%
India	Kamrup	2000	22.9%	25.3%	20.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Kamrup	2017	94.6%	95.5%	93.1%
India	Kamrup Metropolitan	2000	29.3%	31.5%	27.7%
India	Kamrup Metropolitan	2017	97.7%	98.5%	96.7%
India	Kancheepuram	2000	27.5%	31.0%	23.1%
India	Kancheepuram	2017	82.2%	86.3%	78.1%
India	Kandhamal	2000	8.4%	12.3%	5.5%
India	Kandhamal	2017	48.9%	54.5%	43.6%
India	Kangpokpi	2000	18.8%	19.7%	17.7%
India	Kangpokpi	2017	96.6%	97.1%	96.0%
India	Kangra	2000	32.7%	37.7%	27.5%
India	Kangra	2017	88.3%	91.6%	84.5%
India	Kannauj	2000	4.1%	4.8%	3.6%
India	Kannauj	2017	59.4%	62.1%	57.1%
India	Kanniyakumari	2000	47.9%	53.3%	42.7%
India	Kanniyakumari	2017	97.5%	98.7%	95.5%
India	Kannur	2000	83.1%	87.4%	77.5%
India	Kannur	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.3%
India	Kanpur Dehat	2000	6.1%	10.1%	3.9%
India	Kanpur Dehat	2017	67.2%	71.2%	61.1%
India	Kanpur Nagar	2000	16.8%	18.0%	15.8%
India	Kanpur Nagar	2017	88.1%	89.0%	87.3%
India	Kapurthala	2000	37.2%	41.7%	32.7%
India	Kapurthala	2017	98.1%	98.6%	97.4%
India	Karaikal	2000	8.2%	10.1%	7.4%
India	Karaikal	2017	84.8%	86.7%	82.2%
India	Karauli	2000	4.0%	5.5%	3.0%
India	Karauli	2017	58.3%	61.2%	55.4%
India	Karbi Ang-long	2000	33.9%	38.9%	29.1%
India	Karbi Ang-long	2017	88.2%	90.3%	85.7%
India	Kargil	2000	31.9%	38.0%	26.7%
India	Kargil	2017	92.3%	95.3%	88.8%
India	Karimganj	2000	11.6%	13.7%	10.0%
India	Karimganj	2017	87.8%	88.8%	86.6%
India	Karimnagar	2000	20.7%	29.4%	14.1%
India	Karimnagar	2017	86.2%	92.6%	79.6%
India	Karnal	2000	44.1%	48.8%	39.3%
India	Karnal	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.1%
India	Karur	2000	12.4%	15.4%	10.0%
India	Karur	2017	75.2%	79.9%	72.0%
India	Kasaragod	2000	76.5%	81.5%	69.6%
India	Kasaragod	2017	99.7%	99.9%	98.6%
India	Kasganj	2000	7.7%	8.9%	6.6%
India	Kasganj	2017	65.8%	67.5%	63.9%
India	Kathua	2000	8.3%	10.0%	6.9%
India	Kathua	2017	68.0%	71.3%	64.5%
India	Katihar	2000	5.1%	6.4%	4.2%
India	Katihar	2017	71.2%	73.9%	68.4%
India	Katni	2000	5.2%	6.9%	3.9%
India	Katni	2017	56.8%	61.1%	53.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Kaushambi	2000	10.4%	12.8%	8.7%
India	Kaushambi	2017	70.2%	72.1%	67.7%
India	Kendrapara	2000	6.0%	7.9%	4.3%
India	Kendrapara	2017	70.8%	74.6%	67.3%
India	Kendujhar	2000	8.4%	10.7%	6.0%
India	Kendujhar	2017	60.0%	64.3%	55.6%
India	Khagaria	2000	4.6%	6.1%	3.9%
India	Khagaria	2017	77.0%	78.9%	75.3%
India	Khammam	2000	28.6%	37.9%	21.1%
India	Khammam	2017	82.6%	89.4%	74.5%
India	Khargone	2000	9.2%	11.0%	7.2%
India	Khargone	2017	65.0%	68.9%	61.3%
India	Kheda	2000	16.0%	18.5%	14.0%
India	Kheda	2017	89.4%	90.8%	87.5%
India	Khordha	2000	10.2%	11.2%	9.3%
India	Khordha	2017	84.0%	85.6%	82.5%
India	Khowai	2000	49.8%	55.9%	43.6%
India	Khowai	2017	98.0%	98.8%	96.4%
India	Khunti	2000	3.2%	3.9%	2.6%
India	Khunti	2017	37.0%	40.5%	34.4%
India	Kinnaur	2000	28.9%	34.7%	24.2%
India	Kinnaur	2017	95.1%	97.3%	91.9%
India	Kiphire	2000	46.8%	50.4%	43.0%
India	Kiphire	2017	98.4%	99.1%	96.7%
India	Kishanganj	2000	3.0%	4.2%	2.3%
India	Kishanganj	2017	59.4%	62.4%	56.3%
India	Kishtwar	2000	17.9%	24.8%	12.0%
India	Kishtwar	2017	86.5%	90.2%	81.8%
India	Koch Bihar	2000	22.8%	28.9%	17.9%
India	Koch Bihar	2017	94.9%	97.0%	91.6%
India	Kodagu	2000	37.4%	42.9%	32.4%
India	Kodagu	2017	96.9%	98.2%	94.5%
India	Koderma	2000	3.9%	6.0%	2.7%
India	Koderma	2017	63.8%	67.7%	60.0%
India	Kohima	2000	40.6%	44.0%	37.1%
India	Kohima	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
India	Kokrajhar	2000	15.5%	19.9%	11.8%
India	Kokrajhar	2017	82.2%	85.6%	79.1%
India	Kolar	2000	20.2%	25.5%	15.9%
India	Kolar	2017	89.2%	93.2%	84.3%
India	Kolasib	2000	56.9%	62.0%	52.1%
India	Kolasib	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.6%
India	Kolhapur	2000	23.6%	27.6%	20.5%
India	Kolhapur	2017	90.0%	92.9%	86.4%
India	Kolkata	2000	34.6%	36.4%	32.5%
India	Kolkata	2017	98.1%	98.3%	97.9%
India	Kollam	2000	76.2%	80.9%	71.5%
India	Kollam	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.0%
India	Kondagaon	2000	14.0%	19.9%	9.4%
India	Kondagaon	2017	60.6%	69.8%	52.3%
India	Koppal	2000	14.0%	17.4%	11.1%
India	Koppal	2017	78.6%	83.0%	74.4%
India	Koraput	2000	6.1%	8.7%	3.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Koraput	2017	48.6%	53.5%	43.8%
India	Korba	2000	10.9%	13.0%	9.1%
India	Korba	2017	76.5%	79.5%	73.5%
India	Koriya	2000	8.6%	10.5%	7.0%
India	Koriya	2017	64.4%	67.7%	61.9%
India	Kota	2000	20.2%	22.3%	18.1%
India	Kota	2017	83.9%	85.2%	82.6%
India	Kottayam	2000	74.2%	78.7%	69.8%
India	Kottayam	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.7%
India	Kozhikode	2000	75.2%	79.3%	70.4%
India	Kozhikode	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
India	Kra Daddi	2000	13.3%	21.4%	7.8%
India	Kra Daddi	2017	76.1%	87.0%	62.3%
India	Krishna	2000	27.6%	32.2%	23.8%
India	Krishna	2017	91.0%	93.2%	88.3%
India	Krishnagiri	2000	14.2%	17.0%	12.0%
India	Krishnagiri	2017	67.9%	72.0%	64.0%
India	Kulgam	2000	16.0%	18.5%	13.8%
India	Kulgam	2017	95.4%	97.6%	92.8%
India	Kullu	2000	24.2%	27.3%	21.2%
India	Kullu	2017	89.8%	92.4%	86.6%
India	Kumuram	2000	20.8%	34.3%	8.5%
India	Bheem Asifabad				
India	Kumuram	2017	71.8%	83.4%	59.3%
India	Bheem Asifabad				
India	Kupwara	2000	26.0%	29.7%	22.6%
India	Kupwara	2017	97.4%	98.2%	96.1%
India	Kurnool	2000	23.8%	28.5%	19.6%
India	Kurnool	2017	81.1%	84.7%	77.6%
India	Kurukshetra	2000	50.1%	54.2%	45.9%
India	Kurukshetra	2017	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
India	Kurung	2000	16.2%	23.6%	9.5%
India	Kumey				
India	Kurung	2017	84.9%	89.3%	79.3%
India	Kumey				
India	Kushinagar	2000	6.0%	7.0%	5.2%
India	Kushinagar	2017	70.5%	72.8%	67.5%
India	Lahul & Spiti	2000	29.2%	35.4%	24.2%
India	Lahul & Spiti	2017	87.5%	91.6%	82.9%
India	Lakhimpur	2000	15.8%	19.8%	13.2%
India	Lakhimpur	2017	92.4%	94.4%	90.2%
India	Lakhimpur	2000	12.7%	17.7%	8.7%
India	Kheri				
India	Lakhimpur	2017	70.0%	74.9%	65.4%
India	Kheri				
India	Lakhisarai	2000	8.2%	9.9%	7.1%
India	Lakhisarai	2017	80.1%	81.8%	78.2%
India	Lakshadweep	2000	94.5%	99.8%	78.1%
India	Lakshadweep	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
India	Lalitpur	2000	9.7%	13.0%	6.3%
India	Lalitpur	2017	54.8%	60.3%	49.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Latehar	2000	2.5%	4.5%	1.6%
India	Latehar	2017	37.4%	42.3%	32.1%
India	Latur	2000	16.6%	19.9%	13.7%
India	Latur	2017	80.9%	84.3%	76.8%
India	Lawangtlai	2000	25.0%	28.3%	21.6%
India	Lawangtlai	2017	92.8%	95.1%	89.1%
India	Leh (Ladakh)	2000	29.5%	34.5%	24.3%
India	Leh (Ladakh)	2017	86.3%	89.6%	82.3%
India	Lohardaga	2000	2.1%	2.5%	1.8%
India	Lohardaga	2017	52.0%	54.8%	49.4%
India	Lohit	2000	29.2%	35.1%	23.7%
India	Lohit	2017	95.8%	97.4%	93.7%
India	Longding	2000	18.8%	20.6%	17.3%
India	Longding	2017	93.5%	94.8%	92.0%
India	Longleng	2000	25.9%	27.9%	23.9%
India	Longleng	2017	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
India	Lower Dibang Valley	2000	27.4%	31.1%	23.7%
India	Lower Dibang Valley	2017	97.1%	98.0%	95.6%
India	Lower Siang	2000	45.6%	52.1%	37.0%
India	Lower Siang	2017	96.4%	97.5%	94.2%
India	Lower Subansiri	2000	33.7%	38.2%	28.8%
India	Lower Subansiri	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.1%
India	Lucknow	2000	12.8%	13.9%	11.9%
India	Lucknow	2017	84.3%	85.6%	82.7%
India	Ludhiana	2000	46.5%	50.8%	42.6%
India	Ludhiana	2017	98.9%	99.4%	98.0%
India	Lunglei	2000	43.5%	46.7%	40.5%
India	Lunglei	2017	95.6%	97.0%	93.6%
India	Madhepura	2000	1.7%	1.9%	1.6%
India	Madhepura	2017	54.1%	56.1%	52.1%
India	Madhubani	2000	5.7%	8.0%	4.1%
India	Madhubani	2017	63.2%	66.4%	60.0%
India	Madurai	2000	16.1%	18.7%	13.4%
India	Madurai	2017	85.6%	88.1%	83.1%
India	Maharajganj	2000	6.7%	9.1%	4.5%
India	Maharajganj	2017	66.2%	69.5%	62.7%
India	Mahasamund	2000	9.5%	11.3%	8.0%
India	Mahasamund	2017	61.4%	65.7%	57.3%
India	Mahbubnagar	2000	26.0%	38.7%	14.2%
India	Mahbubnagar	2017	75.3%	84.8%	64.5%
India	Mahe	2000	92.2%	97.6%	84.8%
India	Mahe	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
India	Mahendragarh	2000	25.0%	28.2%	21.8%
India	Mahendragarh	2017	94.9%	95.8%	94.1%
India	Mahesana	2000	24.7%	27.1%	22.3%
India	Mahesana	2017	93.3%	94.5%	91.7%
India	Mahisagar	2000	18.9%	23.7%	14.3%
India	Mahisagar	2017	73.4%	75.9%	70.5%
India	Mahoba	2000	11.1%	12.3%	9.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Mahoba	2017	68.9%	71.5%	66.8%
India	Mahuababad	2000	16.1%	26.1%	9.2%
India	Mahuababad	2017	80.1%	87.9%	70.3%
India	Mainpuri	2000	3.6%	4.3%	2.9%
India	Mainpuri	2017	59.3%	62.3%	56.4%
India	Majuli	2000	18.7%	26.5%	13.1%
India	Majuli	2017	89.8%	94.3%	83.2%
India	Malappuram	2000	81.6%	85.3%	76.9%
India	Malappuram	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
India	Maldah	2000	24.7%	27.8%	21.6%
India	Maldah	2017	93.1%	95.2%	90.6%
India	Malkangiri	2000	5.9%	9.9%	3.0%
India	Malkangiri	2017	51.4%	57.4%	45.7%
India	Mamit	2000	35.8%	40.2%	31.8%
India	Mamit	2017	89.0%	91.5%	86.0%
India	Mancherial	2000	29.2%	40.0%	18.3%
India	Mancherial	2017	83.0%	90.7%	76.4%
India	Mandi	2000	49.1%	52.1%	45.8%
India	Mandi	2017	96.5%	97.5%	95.3%
India	Mandla	2000	6.8%	9.0%	4.5%
India	Mandla	2017	59.1%	62.5%	55.7%
India	Mandsaur	2000	16.9%	19.8%	14.2%
India	Mandsaur	2017	71.0%	73.9%	68.3%
India	Mandya	2000	19.7%	23.2%	16.4%
India	Mandya	2017	89.9%	92.1%	87.3%
India	Mansa	2000	28.1%	30.9%	25.4%
India	Mansa	2017	97.4%	97.7%	96.9%
India	Mathura	2000	10.9%	13.2%	9.3%
India	Mathura	2017	76.6%	80.3%	72.8%
India	Mau	2000	7.5%	9.3%	5.8%
India	Mau	2017	77.1%	78.9%	75.1%
India	Mayurbhanj	2000	5.7%	8.0%	3.9%
India	Mayurbhanj	2017	62.6%	66.7%	58.2%
India	Medak	2000	12.7%	21.1%	6.5%
India	Medak	2017	77.4%	86.7%	66.3%
India	Medchal	2000	21.7%	26.2%	18.6%
India	Malkajgiri	2017	88.9%	92.7%	83.1%
India	Meerut	2000	25.2%	26.3%	24.2%
India	Meerut	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.9%
India	Mewat	2000	11.7%	13.0%	10.7%
India	Mewat	2017	91.9%	92.5%	91.3%
India	Mirzapur	2000	8.2%	10.4%	6.7%
India	Mirzapur	2017	68.3%	71.8%	64.9%
India	Moga	2000	48.1%	53.4%	43.8%
India	Moga	2017	97.7%	99.1%	94.9%
India	Mokokchung	2000	59.6%	62.9%	55.8%
India	Mokokchung	2017	97.9%	98.6%	97.1%
India	Mon	2000	43.5%	47.4%	39.4%
India	Mon	2017	96.8%	97.8%	95.6%
India	Moradabad	2000	25.2%	27.1%	23.8%
India	Moradabad	2017	94.4%	95.5%	93.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Morbi	2000	22.8%	30.0%	16.7%
India	Morbi	2017	82.0%	87.5%	75.3%
India	Morena	2000	8.7%	11.0%	6.8%
India	Morena	2017	65.8%	69.2%	62.4%
India	Morigaon	2000	12.1%	13.7%	11.2%
India	Morigaon	2017	94.2%	95.0%	92.6%
India	Muktsar	2000	33.1%	36.4%	30.2%
India	Muktsar	2017	96.8%	98.4%	94.2%
India	Mumbai City	2000	34.3%	38.6%	31.1%
India	Mumbai City	2017	86.3%	89.6%	82.2%
India	Mumbai Sub-urban	2000	41.1%	42.9%	38.6%
India	Mumbai Sub-urban	2017	94.8%	95.4%	94.1%
India	Mungeli	2000	7.8%	11.3%	5.3%
India	Mungeli	2017	60.4%	65.9%	55.3%
India	Munger	2000	7.3%	8.0%	6.7%
India	Munger	2017	84.6%	86.5%	82.8%
India	Murshidabad	2000	21.0%	24.5%	17.3%
India	Murshidabad	2017	92.5%	94.4%	90.3%
India	Muzaffarnagar	2000	19.7%	21.6%	17.6%
India	Muzaffarnagar	2017	89.4%	91.8%	87.3%
India	Muzaffarpur	2000	5.6%	8.1%	3.9%
India	Muzaffarpur	2017	69.3%	73.1%	65.3%
India	Mysuru	2000	21.0%	26.5%	17.5%
India	Mysuru	2017	87.1%	89.9%	83.3%
India	Nabarangapur	2000	6.0%	9.1%	4.0%
India	Nabarangapur	2017	50.0%	54.6%	45.6%
India	Nadia	2000	44.1%	49.4%	38.4%
India	Nadia	2017	98.4%	99.0%	97.3%
India	Nagaon	2000	22.7%	25.6%	19.9%
India	Nagaon	2017	95.1%	95.7%	94.0%
India	Nagappattinam	2000	9.4%	17.6%	6.0%
India	Nagappattinam	2017	69.3%	77.6%	60.7%
India	Nagarkurnool	2000	18.6%	29.7%	9.9%
India	Nagarkurnool	2017	74.4%	85.4%	63.9%
India	Nagaur	2000	18.8%	22.4%	15.5%
India	Nagaur	2017	83.5%	86.4%	80.6%
India	Nagpur	2000	28.1%	31.1%	25.4%
India	Nagpur	2017	93.5%	95.4%	91.7%
India	Nainital	2000	37.9%	40.6%	35.3%
India	Nainital	2017	97.0%	97.8%	96.1%
India	Nalanda	2000	4.1%	4.8%	3.6%
India	Nalanda	2017	71.5%	73.4%	69.5%
India	Nalbari	2000	16.2%	17.3%	15.3%
India	Nalbari	2017	95.3%	95.9%	94.8%
India	Nalgonda	2000	27.5%	35.0%	20.2%
India	Nalgonda	2017	82.3%	87.1%	76.3%
India	Namakkal	2000	14.7%	19.3%	11.8%
India	Namakkal	2017	83.4%	86.6%	78.4%
India	Namsai	2000	17.5%	20.0%	15.4%
India	Namsai	2017	96.1%	96.7%	94.9%
India	Nanded	2000	17.2%	22.1%	13.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Nanded	2017	76.9%	81.1%	73.2%
India	Nandurbar	2000	8.1%	10.6%	5.6%
India	Nandurbar	2017	64.0%	68.1%	60.1%
India	Narayanpur	2000	4.1%	8.2%	1.7%
India	Narayanpur	2017	45.2%	51.9%	37.9%
India	Narmada	2000	7.9%	10.5%	6.0%
India	Narmada	2017	73.0%	77.4%	69.8%
India	Narsimhapur	2000	14.9%	18.1%	12.6%
India	Narsimhapur	2017	79.1%	81.6%	76.6%
India	Nashik	2000	27.5%	32.1%	23.6%
India	Nashik	2017	85.6%	88.6%	82.1%
India	Navsari	2000	23.8%	29.6%	19.1%
India	Navsari	2017	95.3%	96.7%	92.8%
India	Nawada	2000	5.4%	7.9%	3.6%
India	Nawada	2017	69.5%	72.9%	65.3%
India	Nayagarh	2000	10.2%	12.3%	7.9%
India	Nayagarh	2017	75.4%	77.9%	72.5%
India	Neemuch	2000	16.0%	19.2%	13.4%
India	Neemuch	2017	77.6%	80.1%	74.6%
India	Nellore	2000	21.5%	25.7%	17.4%
India	Nellore	2017	78.7%	82.6%	74.5%
India	New Delhi	2000	56.4%	57.1%	55.8%
India	New Delhi	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.8%
India	Nicobars	2000	71.6%	83.6%	59.7%
India	Nicobars	2017	92.5%	98.5%	84.5%
India	Nirmal	2000	8.4%	15.0%	3.8%
India	Nirmal	2017	57.7%	68.8%	47.4%
India	Niwari	2000	13.8%	17.7%	10.4%
India	Niwari	2017	71.1%	75.4%	66.1%
India	Nizamabad	2000	16.5%	22.7%	10.8%
India	Nizamabad	2017	67.3%	77.5%	58.7%
India	Noney	2000	24.5%	36.4%	16.8%
India	Noney	2017	90.6%	93.9%	86.3%
India	North	2000	62.5%	63.6%	61.3%
India	North	2017	99.6%	99.6%	99.5%
India	North & Middle Andaman	2000	25.4%	35.5%	15.1%
India	North & Middle Andaman	2017	81.7%	87.0%	73.8%
India	North 24 Parganas	2000	33.8%	37.2%	30.2%
India	North 24 Parganas	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.6%
India	North East	2000	56.9%	57.9%	55.7%
India	North East	2017	99.5%	99.5%	99.5%
India	North Garo Hills	2000	17.0%	18.7%	15.4%
India	North Garo Hills	2017	95.2%	95.7%	94.6%
India	North Goa	2000	34.0%	37.5%	30.4%
India	North Goa	2017	95.8%	96.8%	94.4%
India	North Sikkim	2000	75.4%	80.2%	70.2%
India	North Sikkim	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	North Tripura	2000	21.9%	24.8%	19.2%
India	North Tripura	2017	94.9%	95.4%	94.2%
India	North West	2000	52.1%	53.3%	50.7%
India	North West	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
India	Nuapada	2000	4.2%	6.7%	2.6%
India	Nuapada	2017	49.6%	54.1%	44.7%
India	Osmanabad	2000	11.7%	14.6%	9.0%
India	Osmanabad	2017	70.0%	75.0%	64.6%
India	Pakke Kessang	2000	15.8%	23.6%	11.1%
India	Pakke Kessang	2017	77.9%	84.8%	68.3%
India	Pakur	2000	5.1%	6.9%	3.8%
India	Pakur	2017	58.0%	60.6%	55.2%
India	Palakkad	2000	73.1%	78.1%	67.4%
India	Palakkad	2017	98.7%	99.6%	96.9%
India	Palamu	2000	7.8%	9.4%	6.4%
India	Palamu	2017	52.5%	55.5%	49.2%
India	Palghar	2000	32.2%	37.6%	26.3%
India	Palghar	2017	88.5%	92.2%	84.3%
India	Pali	2000	14.6%	18.2%	11.1%
India	Pali	2017	75.8%	80.0%	71.4%
India	Palwal	2000	12.3%	15.0%	11.1%
India	Palwal	2017	94.6%	95.6%	93.2%
India	Panch Mahals	2000	11.9%	14.9%	9.1%
India	Panch Mahals	2017	77.5%	80.6%	74.9%
India	Panchkula	2000	29.3%	30.8%	27.8%
India	Panchkula	2017	97.3%	97.7%	96.9%
India	Panipat	2000	49.2%	51.6%	46.6%
India	Panipat	2017	99.3%	99.4%	99.2%
India	Panna	2000	9.7%	14.4%	6.4%
India	Panna	2017	57.5%	62.6%	53.1%
India	Papum Pare	2000	34.9%	37.7%	32.4%
India	Papum Pare	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.2%
India	Parbhani	2000	12.8%	16.4%	10.1%
India	Parbhani	2017	72.4%	77.5%	67.7%
India	Paschimi Barddhama	2000	15.6%	17.7%	13.9%
India	Paschimi Barddhama	2017	92.1%	93.5%	90.1%
India	Pashchim Champaran	2000	5.0%	7.0%	3.8%
India	Pashchim Champaran	2017	53.5%	57.8%	49.5%
India	Pashchim Medinipur	2000	20.4%	25.5%	15.8%
India	Pashchim Medinipur	2017	89.3%	92.0%	85.9%
India	Pashchimi Singhbhum	2000	4.0%	6.9%	2.8%
India	Pashchimi Singhbhum	2017	48.5%	55.2%	43.3%
India	Patan	2000	21.1%	24.8%	17.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Patan	2017	89.6%	91.4%	87.4%
India	Pathanamthitta	2000	64.9%	69.3%	60.3%
India	Pathanamthitta	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
India	Pathankot	2000	36.3%	43.9%	23.8%
India	Pathankot	2017	92.2%	94.5%	88.8%
India	Patiala	2000	42.3%	46.9%	38.1%
India	Patiala	2017	98.8%	99.2%	97.6%
India	Patna	2000	12.3%	13.1%	11.6%
India	Patna	2017	80.3%	81.4%	79.2%
India	Pauri	2000	39.7%	44.2%	35.3%
India	Garhwal Pauri Garhwal	2017	96.0%	97.3%	94.0%
India	Peddapalli	2000	20.9%	32.9%	11.4%
India	Peddapalli	2017	86.5%	92.8%	78.1%
India	Perambalur	2000	5.8%	6.4%	5.1%
India	Perambalur	2017	67.8%	70.2%	65.7%
India	Peren	2000	30.4%	33.1%	27.7%
India	Peren	2017	95.3%	96.7%	93.9%
India	Phek	2000	63.2%	67.3%	59.0%
India	Phek	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
India	Pherzawl	2000	41.1%	55.7%	29.0%
India	Pherzawl	2017	87.3%	94.9%	76.4%
India	Pilibhit	2000	18.3%	22.4%	14.9%
India	Pilibhit	2017	87.0%	89.2%	84.1%
India	Pithoragarh	2000	23.9%	27.7%	20.5%
India	Pithoragarh	2017	89.1%	91.2%	86.5%
India	Poonch	2000	19.1%	25.2%	14.2%
India	Poonch	2017	89.9%	91.3%	87.7%
India	Porbandar	2000	28.4%	33.1%	23.7%
India	Porbandar	2017	95.2%	96.7%	92.7%
India	Prakasam	2000	22.1%	27.0%	18.5%
India	Prakasam	2017	80.8%	84.5%	76.4%
India	Pratapgarh	2000	8.9%	11.8%	6.4%
India	Pratapgarh	2000	10.2%	12.8%	8.8%
India	Pratapgarh	2017	63.6%	66.6%	60.2%
India	Pratapgarh	2017	54.7%	59.5%	48.9%
India	Puducherry	2000	10.9%	11.5%	10.4%
India	Puducherry	2017	85.3%	86.4%	84.2%
India	Pudukkottai	2000	10.8%	14.6%	8.0%
India	Pudukkottai	2017	64.1%	67.6%	60.2%
India	Pulwama	2000	20.8%	23.1%	18.3%
India	Pulwama	2017	91.5%	94.0%	89.6%
India	Pune	2000	37.4%	40.5%	34.5%
India	Pune	2017	95.3%	96.4%	93.7%
India	Purba Bardhaman	2000	31.7%	38.1%	26.5%
India	Purba Bardhaman	2017	94.4%	96.1%	91.7%
India	Purba Champaran	2000	4.4%	6.0%	3.1%
India	Purba Champaran	2017	59.4%	63.5%	55.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Purba Medinipur	2000	43.1%	47.4%	38.8%
India	Purba Medinipur	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.1%
India	Purbi Singhbhum	2000	13.5%	14.9%	12.4%
India	Purbi Singhbhum	2017	71.4%	74.0%	69.7%
India	Puri	2000	19.6%	23.0%	14.7%
India	Puri	2017	81.6%	83.2%	79.0%
India	Purnia	2000	3.5%	5.4%	2.4%
India	Purnia	2017	62.8%	65.8%	59.2%
India	Puruliya	2000	8.9%	11.7%	6.8%
India	Puruliya	2017	53.3%	57.7%	47.8%
India	Rae Bareli	2000	4.7%	6.5%	3.5%
India	Rae Bareli	2017	57.7%	63.5%	52.7%
India	Raichur	2000	15.4%	20.0%	11.4%
India	Raichur	2017	73.4%	77.8%	69.3%
India	Raigad	2000	30.4%	34.8%	25.3%
India	Raigad	2017	88.6%	91.2%	85.3%
India	Raigarh	2000	11.6%	13.6%	9.5%
India	Raigarh	2017	69.0%	72.7%	65.8%
India	Raipur	2000	15.6%	17.2%	14.3%
India	Raipur	2017	86.3%	87.7%	84.6%
India	Raisen	2000	16.1%	19.3%	13.0%
India	Raisen	2017	77.6%	81.1%	74.0%
India	Rajanna Sircilla	2000	29.9%	43.9%	17.1%
India	Rajanna Sircilla	2017	90.2%	95.1%	78.3%
India	Rajgarh	2000	9.0%	13.0%	6.5%
India	Rajgarh	2017	61.7%	65.5%	57.3%
India	Rajkot	2000	33.5%	37.5%	28.9%
India	Rajkot	2017	92.9%	95.2%	90.5%
India	Rajnandgaon	2000	17.5%	21.3%	13.8%
India	Rajnandgaon	2017	80.7%	83.8%	77.3%
India	Rajouri	2000	13.5%	15.6%	10.8%
India	Rajouri	2017	69.1%	70.8%	67.3%
India	Rajsamand	2000	18.4%	20.4%	16.5%
India	Rajsamand	2017	75.9%	78.5%	73.0%
India	Ramanagara	2000	18.1%	21.1%	15.0%
India	Ramanagara	2017	91.7%	94.3%	88.2%
India	Ramanathapuram	2000	19.2%	25.9%	14.0%
India	Ramanathapuram	2017	65.5%	72.2%	58.5%
India	Ramban	2000	8.0%	8.5%	7.5%
India	Ramban	2017	86.1%	87.6%	84.6%
India	Ramgarh	2000	8.9%	10.0%	8.0%
India	Ramgarh	2017	80.5%	81.4%	79.5%
India	Rampur	2000	22.9%	25.0%	20.9%
India	Rampur	2017	93.3%	95.4%	90.8%
India	Ranchi	2000	11.4%	13.4%	9.9%
India	Ranchi	2017	69.5%	71.3%	67.5%
India	Ranga Reddy	2000	16.5%	20.0%	13.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Ranga Reddy	2017	71.5%	77.3%	66.9%
India	Ratlam	2000	13.2%	15.0%	11.3%
India	Ratlam	2017	66.3%	69.8%	63.2%
India	Ratnagiri	2000	48.5%	54.2%	42.4%
India	Ratnagiri	2017	94.4%	96.3%	91.4%
India	Rayagada	2000	14.6%	18.9%	11.4%
India	Rayagada	2017	63.6%	68.5%	58.5%
India	Reasi	2000	6.8%	8.7%	5.2%
India	Reasi	2017	75.9%	77.8%	73.7%
India	Rewa	2000	7.5%	9.9%	5.8%
India	Rewa	2017	72.3%	75.9%	68.6%
India	Rewari	2000	21.7%	23.9%	19.9%
India	Rewari	2017	97.2%	98.0%	96.1%
India	Ri Bhoi	2000	25.1%	28.7%	22.4%
India	Ri Bhoi	2017	95.0%	96.5%	93.1%
India	Rohtak	2000	26.0%	27.5%	24.6%
India	Rohtak	2017	93.5%	95.8%	92.0%
India	Rohtas	2000	4.9%	6.4%	3.8%
India	Rohtas	2017	68.2%	71.1%	65.4%
India	Rudraprayag	2000	24.5%	28.1%	21.7%
India	Rudraprayag	2017	96.2%	97.3%	94.9%
India	Rupnagar	2000	26.3%	28.5%	24.2%
India	Rupnagar	2017	97.9%	98.2%	97.5%
India	Sabar Kantha	2000	17.6%	25.5%	11.9%
India	Sabar Kantha	2017	82.0%	86.4%	76.5%
India	Sagar	2000	10.7%	14.7%	8.1%
India	Sagar	2017	69.9%	73.7%	65.5%
India	Saharanpur	2000	28.3%	30.8%	25.5%
India	Saharanpur	2017	94.0%	94.7%	93.4%
India	Saharsa	2000	1.6%	1.8%	1.4%
India	Saharsa	2017	52.5%	54.7%	50.3%
India	Sahibganj	2000	4.7%	6.3%	3.9%
India	Sahibganj	2017	69.1%	71.3%	66.9%
India	Sahibzada	2000	45.7%	49.1%	42.5%
India	Ajit Singh Nagar	2017	98.6%	98.8%	98.3%
India	Saiha	2000	25.6%	28.7%	22.9%
India	Saiha	2017	96.1%	97.4%	94.1%
India	Salem	2000	12.4%	14.5%	10.5%
India	Salem	2017	78.5%	80.7%	76.1%
India	Samastipur	2000	4.3%	5.7%	3.1%
India	Samastipur	2017	68.6%	71.7%	65.1%
India	Samba	2000	6.5%	7.3%	6.0%
India	Samba	2017	85.1%	86.1%	83.9%
India	Sambalpur	2000	10.5%	14.6%	7.1%
India	Sambalpur	2017	73.1%	76.5%	69.4%
India	Sambhal	2000	11.4%	14.4%	9.4%
India	Sambhal	2017	80.0%	83.4%	76.7%
India	Sangareddy	2000	30.6%	38.4%	23.0%
India	Sangareddy	2017	86.8%	91.9%	80.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Sangli	2000	30.0%	34.5%	26.2%
India	Sangli	2017	92.3%	94.3%	90.0%
India	Sangrur	2000	52.8%	57.9%	47.3%
India	Sangrur	2017	98.2%	98.9%	97.1%
India	Sant Kabir Nagar	2000	3.9%	4.9%	3.1%
India	Sant Kabir Nagar	2017	69.7%	71.6%	67.7%
India	Sant Ravi Das Nagar	2000	3.1%	3.5%	2.9%
India	Sant Ravi Das Nagar	2017	68.3%	69.7%	66.7%
India	Saraikelela Kharsawan	2000	8.4%	10.2%	7.0%
India	Saraikelela Kharsawan	2017	54.1%	57.8%	50.2%
India	Saran	2000	4.0%	4.7%	3.6%
India	Saran	2017	68.5%	70.3%	66.7%
India	Satara	2000	31.6%	37.2%	26.6%
India	Satara	2017	92.0%	94.7%	88.3%
India	Satna	2000	11.8%	14.5%	9.2%
India	Satna	2017	70.2%	73.3%	66.4%
India	Sawai Madhopur	2000	9.5%	11.3%	7.8%
India	Sawai Madhopur	2017	76.6%	78.4%	74.5%
India	Sehore	2000	17.9%	22.4%	14.2%
India	Sehore	2017	79.8%	83.9%	76.2%
India	Senapati	2000	33.8%	43.0%	25.7%
India	Senapati	2017	95.9%	98.1%	91.8%
India	Seoni	2000	16.8%	20.0%	13.6%
India	Seoni	2017	68.8%	72.9%	65.2%
India	Serchhip	2000	51.7%	54.5%	48.3%
India	Serchhip	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.6%
India	Shahdara	2000	44.1%	44.8%	43.6%
India	Shahdara	2017	98.4%	98.5%	98.3%
India	Shahdol	2000	8.8%	11.8%	6.6%
India	Shahdol	2017	55.3%	60.7%	50.5%
India	Shahid Bhagat Singh Nagar	2000	23.0%	25.5%	20.8%
India	Shahid Bhagat Singh Nagar	2017	98.1%	98.4%	97.7%
India	Shahjahanpur	2000	16.7%	19.3%	14.5%
India	Shahjahanpur	2017	75.6%	78.9%	71.9%
India	Shajapur	2000	16.9%	21.3%	13.3%
India	Shajapur	2017	76.3%	81.2%	71.7%
India	Shamli	2000	34.6%	41.8%	29.4%
India	Shamli	2017	92.6%	95.9%	88.2%
India	Sheikhpura	2000	3.3%	3.6%	3.0%
India	Sheikhpura	2017	74.5%	76.5%	72.4%
India	Sheohar	2000	3.1%	3.4%	2.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Sheohar	2017	67.5%	69.3%	65.5%
India	Sheopur	2000	7.4%	11.2%	4.8%
India	Sheopur	2017	54.8%	60.0%	49.5%
India	Shi Yomi	2000	40.2%	48.1%	33.5%
India	Shi Yomi	2017	94.6%	98.1%	90.1%
India	Shimla	2000	27.4%	30.4%	24.6%
India	Shimla	2017	92.0%	94.1%	89.1%
India	Shivamogga	2000	22.8%	27.8%	18.2%
India	Shivamogga	2017	92.7%	95.1%	89.7%
India	Shivpuri	2000	12.8%	15.6%	10.4%
India	Shivpuri	2017	64.2%	69.3%	58.8%
India	Shravasti	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.5%
India	Shravasti	2017	55.7%	59.0%	52.6%
India	Shupiyan	2000	18.3%	19.4%	17.4%
India	Shupiyan	2017	98.4%	98.6%	98.0%
India	Siang	2000	48.5%	57.2%	40.0%
India	Siang	2017	98.0%	98.9%	96.6%
India	Siddharth Nagar	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.8%
India	Siddharth Nagar	2017	58.6%	61.4%	56.0%
India	Siddipet	2000	32.4%	45.6%	18.3%
India	Siddipet	2017	88.0%	94.2%	79.2%
India	Sidhi	2000	3.5%	5.4%	2.2%
India	Sidhi	2017	43.2%	47.2%	38.9%
India	Sikar	2000	21.5%	24.2%	18.9%
India	Sikar	2017	88.8%	91.2%	86.1%
India	Simdega	2000	1.8%	3.6%	1.0%
India	Simdega	2017	31.7%	38.0%	25.7%
India	Sindhudurg	2000	42.6%	48.2%	36.6%
India	Sindhudurg	2017	94.7%	96.6%	92.1%
India	Singrauli	2000	9.8%	12.5%	7.5%
India	Singrauli	2017	48.7%	53.3%	44.4%
India	Sipahijala	2000	52.9%	58.6%	45.5%
India	Sipahijala	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
India	Sirmaur	2000	31.9%	34.8%	28.8%
India	Sirmaur	2017	94.3%	95.8%	92.6%
India	Sirohi	2000	10.0%	13.1%	7.4%
India	Sirohi	2017	74.7%	78.4%	70.9%
India	Sirsa	2000	45.3%	50.3%	41.2%
India	Sirsa	2017	95.8%	97.6%	93.9%
India	Sitamarhi	2000	3.3%	5.5%	2.4%
India	Sitamarhi	2017	55.8%	59.6%	51.0%
India	Sitapur	2000	8.7%	10.3%	7.5%
India	Sitapur	2017	57.1%	60.3%	54.0%
India	Sivaganga	2000	26.5%	31.5%	21.4%
India	Sivaganga	2017	77.5%	81.4%	73.8%
India	Sivasagar	2000	24.2%	27.0%	21.5%
India	Sivasagar	2017	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
India	Siwan	2000	3.6%	4.8%	3.1%
India	Siwan	2017	70.3%	72.9%	67.1%
India	Solan	2000	24.1%	27.5%	21.5%
India	Solan	2017	96.1%	97.5%	94.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Solapur	2000	15.3%	19.3%	11.8%
India	Solapur	2017	81.1%	85.1%	76.3%
India	Sonbhadra	2000	16.7%	21.0%	13.2%
India	Sonbhadra	2017	67.6%	70.7%	63.8%
India	Sonepur	2000	3.6%	5.7%	2.4%
India	Sonepur	2017	61.9%	66.3%	57.7%
India	Sonipat	2000	43.5%	46.7%	40.4%
India	Sonipat	2017	96.0%	97.4%	94.7%
India	Sonitpur	2000	33.3%	38.5%	27.4%
India	Sonitpur	2017	96.0%	97.3%	93.6%
India	South	2000	46.4%	50.4%	41.6%
India	South	2017	97.1%	97.5%	96.6%
India	South 24 Parganas	2000	32.9%	38.4%	27.5%
India	South 24 Parganas	2017	95.0%	96.8%	92.0%
India	South Andaman	2000	43.2%	50.0%	36.6%
India	South Andaman	2017	97.2%	98.4%	95.4%
India	South East	2000	49.6%	52.2%	47.0%
India	South East	2017	99.2%	99.3%	99.1%
India	South Garo Hills	2000	28.6%	31.6%	26.0%
India	South Garo Hills	2017	92.8%	95.2%	90.1%
India	South Goa	2000	42.2%	45.8%	38.0%
India	South Goa	2017	97.5%	98.6%	95.9%
India	South Salmara Mancachar	2000	16.0%	20.1%	12.6%
India	South Salmara Mancachar	2017	87.4%	89.0%	85.3%
India	South Sikkim	2000	69.7%	72.3%	66.8%
India	South Sikkim	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.4%
India	South Tripura	2000	46.0%	52.8%	37.8%
India	South Tripura	2017	95.9%	98.0%	91.9%
India	South West	2000	62.2%	63.6%	60.8%
India	South West	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
India	South West Garo Hills	2000	8.4%	10.3%	6.9%
India	South West Garo Hills	2017	88.9%	91.1%	86.9%
India	South West Khasi Hills	2000	29.2%	40.7%	21.8%
India	South West Khasi Hills	2017	90.8%	97.2%	78.5%
India	Srikakulam	2000	16.2%	20.5%	12.6%
India	Srikakulam	2017	76.6%	79.6%	72.8%
India	Srinagar	2000	24.5%	26.3%	22.8%
India	Srinagar	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.3%
India	Sukma	2000	5.7%	12.0%	2.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Sukma	2017	38.7%	50.8%	29.5%
India	Sultanpur	2000	6.9%	10.2%	4.7%
India	Sultanpur	2017	70.1%	74.4%	65.0%
India	Sundargarh	2000	7.3%	9.7%	5.5%
India	Sundargarh	2017	70.5%	74.1%	66.9%
India	Supaul	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.8%
India	Supaul	2017	60.6%	62.9%	58.4%
India	Surajpur	2000	10.3%	17.5%	4.8%
India	Surajpur	2017	56.8%	66.0%	46.4%
India	Surat	2000	47.9%	59.4%	27.5%
India	Surat	2017	96.6%	98.6%	85.7%
India	Surendranagar	2000	22.8%	28.7%	17.8%
India	Surendranagar	2017	84.1%	87.9%	79.5%
India	Surguja	2000	17.7%	23.3%	12.9%
India	Surguja	2017	76.8%	81.2%	72.6%
India	Suryapet	2000	19.3%	29.8%	11.6%
India	Suryapet	2017	73.7%	82.0%	65.0%
India	Tamenglong	2000	24.0%	28.2%	19.4%
India	Tamenglong	2017	91.2%	93.7%	87.9%
India	Tapi	2000	19.7%	22.4%	16.6%
India	Tapi	2017	83.3%	85.5%	81.1%
India	Tarn Taran	2000	34.7%	39.3%	30.2%
India	Tarn Taran	2017	98.0%	98.8%	96.0%
India	Tawang	2000	21.3%	29.0%	14.6%
India	Tawang	2017	92.0%	94.6%	87.4%
India	Tehri Garhwal	2000	27.9%	32.3%	24.8%
India	Tehri Garhwal	2017	95.2%	97.0%	93.0%
India	Tengnoupal	2000	28.4%	33.6%	20.3%
India	Tengnoupal	2017	94.0%	96.0%	90.1%
India	Thane	2000	23.9%	27.6%	20.8%
India	Thane	2017	91.2%	92.8%	89.3%
India	Thanjavur	2000	11.9%	15.1%	8.9%
India	Thanjavur	2017	71.3%	74.6%	67.8%
India	The Nilgiris	2000	29.5%	32.5%	26.6%
India	The Nilgiris	2017	81.1%	83.9%	78.5%
India	Theni	2000	13.4%	15.9%	11.1%
India	Theni	2017	78.5%	82.0%	74.7%
India	Thiruvallur	2000	21.6%	24.1%	19.3%
India	Thiruvallur	2017	84.6%	87.9%	81.6%
India	Thiruvananthapuram	2000	74.1%	78.4%	68.6%
India	Thiruvananthapuram	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
India	Thiruvarur	2000	10.3%	11.4%	9.4%
India	Thiruvarur	2017	75.6%	78.0%	73.4%
India	Thoubal	2000	19.2%	20.0%	18.4%
India	Thoubal	2017	98.4%	98.5%	98.2%
India	Thrissur	2000	85.7%	89.7%	77.8%
India	Thrissur	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
India	Tikamgarh	2000	6.5%	8.9%	4.5%
India	Tikamgarh	2017	63.5%	68.4%	59.0%
India	Tinsukia	2000	19.7%	23.0%	16.5%
India	Tinsukia	2017	93.9%	95.5%	91.6%
India	Tirap	2000	21.6%	23.8%	19.7%
India	Tirap	2017	93.0%	94.3%	91.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Tiruchirappalli	2000	11.5%	14.3%	9.0%
India	Tiruchirappalli	2017	77.0%	80.5%	73.2%
India	Tirunelveli	2000	18.9%	22.7%	15.3%
India	Tirunelveli	2017	82.0%	84.9%	78.1%
India	Tiruppur	2000	14.5%	18.6%	11.3%
India	Tiruppur	2017	80.6%	85.3%	75.8%
India	Tiruvannamalai	2000	16.8%	22.3%	12.6%
India	Tiruvannamalai	2017	67.5%	73.3%	61.6%
India	Tonk	2000	14.3%	18.0%	10.6%
India	Tonk	2017	75.6%	79.3%	71.5%
India	Tuensang	2000	28.6%	31.8%	25.6%
India	Tuensang	2017	94.0%	97.1%	91.2%
India	Tumakuru	2000	24.4%	28.7%	20.2%
India	Tumakuru	2017	88.2%	90.6%	85.5%
India	Tuticorin	2000	24.7%	28.7%	21.1%
India	Tuticorin	2017	77.2%	81.5%	72.5%
India	Udaipur	2000	17.3%	20.1%	15.0%
India	Udaipur	2017	72.4%	75.3%	69.1%
India	Udalguri	2000	14.7%	17.6%	12.5%
India	Udalguri	2017	90.3%	92.5%	87.4%
India	Udham Singh Nagar	2000	25.6%	27.5%	24.3%
India	Udham Singh Nagar	2017	93.9%	94.9%	92.9%
India	Udhampur	2000	6.1%	6.9%	5.4%
India	Udhampur	2017	66.4%	68.8%	64.0%
India	Udupi	2000	52.4%	57.8%	46.5%
India	Udupi	2017	98.2%	99.3%	95.9%
India	Ujjain	2000	11.5%	13.6%	9.8%
India	Ujjain	2017	76.8%	79.8%	74.0%
India	Ukhrul	2000	33.0%	37.6%	28.2%
India	Ukhrul	2017	97.4%	98.2%	95.8%
India	Umaria	2000	10.9%	14.6%	8.1%
India	Umaria	2017	57.5%	62.1%	53.4%
India	Una	2000	23.7%	28.0%	21.4%
India	Una	2017	95.0%	96.9%	92.2%
India	Unnao	2000	13.7%	17.0%	10.6%
India	Unnao	2017	73.7%	77.5%	70.0%
India	Unokoti	2000	19.5%	22.6%	16.9%
India	Unokoti	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
India	Upper Siang	2000	34.8%	41.5%	28.6%
India	Upper Siang	2017	95.4%	97.7%	91.7%
India	Upper Subansiri	2000	35.1%	44.1%	26.8%
India	Upper Subansiri	2017	89.1%	94.9%	81.3%
India	Uttar Bastar Kanker	2000	19.3%	25.4%	13.8%
India	Uttar Bastar Kanker	2017	71.6%	77.0%	64.8%
India	Uttar Dinajpur	2000	16.9%	21.3%	13.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Uttar Dina- jpur	2017	87.9%	90.0%	85.1%
India	Uttara Kan- nada	2000	28.2%	34.5%	22.4%
India	Uttara Kan- nada	2017	91.0%	93.9%	86.9%
India	Uttarkashi	2000	19.0%	24.1%	15.1%
India	Uttarkashi	2017	86.1%	89.3%	82.1%
India	Vadodara	2000	26.8%	29.4%	23.7%
India	Vadodara	2017	91.0%	92.1%	89.7%
India	Vaishali	2000	4.3%	4.7%	4.1%
India	Vaishali	2017	77.2%	78.5%	76.0%
India	Valsad	2000	21.1%	25.6%	17.0%
India	Valsad	2017	90.2%	92.6%	86.9%
India	Varanasi	2000	10.9%	11.8%	10.2%
India	Varanasi	2017	85.3%	86.1%	84.4%
India	Vellore	2000	20.9%	24.8%	16.9%
India	Vellore	2017	80.6%	84.4%	76.3%
India	Vidisha	2000	7.7%	9.8%	6.1%
India	Vidisha	2017	70.7%	74.3%	67.0%
India	Vijayapura	2000	16.5%	21.3%	11.9%
India	Vijayapura	2017	72.0%	77.1%	66.6%
India	Vikarabad	2000	28.8%	40.1%	16.9%
India	Vikarabad	2017	78.7%	87.1%	68.7%
India	Viluppuram	2000	18.6%	22.7%	14.0%
India	Viluppuram	2017	71.0%	74.3%	67.7%
India	Virudunagar	2000	13.6%	17.2%	10.5%
India	Virudunagar	2017	74.5%	78.2%	70.4%
India	Visakhapatnam	2000	23.8%	31.2%	17.5%
India	Visakhapatnam	2017	80.6%	84.1%	75.3%
India	Vizianagaram	2000	8.7%	12.7%	6.3%
India	Vizianagaram	2017	60.3%	65.4%	55.4%
India	Wanaparthy	2000	23.8%	40.2%	11.3%
India	Wanaparthy	2017	75.8%	88.0%	60.1%
India	Warangal Ru- ral	2000	22.2%	31.9%	15.2%
India	Warangal Ru- ral	2017	84.6%	91.7%	75.9%
India	Warangal Ur- ban	2000	32.8%	39.1%	25.5%
India	Warangal Ur- ban	2017	91.5%	94.0%	88.5%
India	Wardha	2000	28.5%	35.7%	22.3%
India	Wardha	2017	89.0%	92.8%	84.7%
India	Washim	2000	16.1%	19.3%	12.5%
India	Washim	2017	77.8%	81.3%	74.1%
India	Wayanad	2000	65.1%	68.7%	60.9%
India	Wayanad	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.7%
India	West	2000	62.6%	63.3%	62.0%
India	West	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
India	West Garo Hills	2000	21.1%	24.6%	17.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	West Garo Hills	2017	90.3%	91.7%	88.2%
India	West Go-davari	2000	23.3%	27.5%	18.6%
India	West Go-davari	2017	87.7%	91.0%	84.2%
India	West Jaintia Hills	2000	34.1%	39.9%	29.8%
India	West Jaintia Hills	2017	92.4%	94.6%	88.6%
India	West Kameng	2000	33.8%	38.0%	28.3%
India	West Kameng	2017	90.2%	93.4%	86.3%
India	West Karbi Anglong	2000	14.9%	20.6%	10.4%
India	West Karbi Anglong	2017	83.2%	87.0%	79.1%
India	West Khasi Hills	2000	44.2%	48.0%	40.3%
India	West Khasi Hills	2017	93.4%	95.7%	90.1%
India	West Siang	2000	41.5%	58.7%	25.2%
India	West Siang	2017	89.7%	94.7%	77.7%
India	West Sikkim	2000	76.1%	79.3%	72.3%
India	West Sikkim	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
India	West Tripura	2000	55.0%	58.4%	50.7%
India	West Tripura	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.5%
India	Wokha	2000	40.7%	47.6%	34.7%
India	Wokha	2017	98.0%	98.6%	97.0%
India	Y.S.R.	2000	31.4%	37.6%	26.3%
India	Y.S.R.	2017	87.9%	91.6%	84.0%
India	Yadadri Bhuvanagiri	2000	21.0%	34.2%	10.7%
India	Yadadri Bhuvanagiri	2017	80.6%	90.1%	68.5%
India	Yadgir	2000	17.0%	21.8%	13.2%
India	Yadgir	2017	68.1%	72.7%	63.5%
India	Yamunanagar	2000	36.0%	38.7%	33.2%
India	Yamunanagar	2017	98.0%	98.4%	97.4%
India	Yanam	2000	42.8%	47.0%	34.9%
India	Yanam	2017	93.8%	96.1%	90.9%
India	Yavatmal	2000	19.3%	23.5%	15.0%
India	Yavatmal	2017	77.5%	81.6%	73.5%
India	Zunheboto	2000	57.6%	59.8%	54.7%
India	Zunheboto	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Nepal	Bagmati	2000	24.1%	28.5%	19.9%
Nepal	Bagmati	2017	97.6%	98.2%	96.9%
Nepal	Bheri	2000	24.8%	29.2%	21.1%
Nepal	Bheri	2017	86.6%	89.2%	83.5%
Nepal	Dhaulagiri	2000	34.7%	43.7%	26.6%
Nepal	Dhaulagiri	2017	93.1%	96.5%	88.1%
Nepal	Gandaki	2000	30.1%	37.4%	24.3%
Nepal	Gandaki	2017	94.4%	96.7%	91.4%
Nepal	Janakpur	2000	14.3%	17.7%	11.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nepal	Janakpur	2017	67.5%	72.4%	62.3%
Nepal	Karnali	2000	23.1%	29.8%	16.5%
Nepal	Karnali	2017	85.2%	89.7%	80.8%
Nepal	Koshi	2000	19.9%	25.1%	15.8%
Nepal	Koshi	2017	85.0%	90.9%	77.1%
Nepal	Lumbini	2000	20.5%	25.2%	16.1%
Nepal	Lumbini	2017	75.0%	80.0%	70.4%
Nepal	Mahakali	2000	31.6%	38.0%	25.2%
Nepal	Mahakali	2017	87.0%	91.7%	79.9%
Nepal	Mechi	2000	22.1%	28.3%	17.0%
Nepal	Mechi	2017	88.0%	91.6%	83.9%
Nepal	Narayani	2000	17.4%	21.5%	13.3%
Nepal	Narayani	2017	77.6%	80.9%	74.0%
Nepal	Rapti	2000	29.6%	34.9%	23.9%
Nepal	Rapti	2017	89.2%	92.7%	85.4%
Nepal	Sagarmatha	2000	16.9%	21.2%	12.9%
Nepal	Sagarmatha	2017	67.7%	72.0%	63.1%
Nepal	Seti	2000	28.5%	33.1%	24.5%
Nepal	Seti	2017	87.0%	89.5%	83.2%
Pakistan	Azad Kashmir	2000	79.6%	92.1%	60.8%
Pakistan	Azad Kashmir	2017	79.4%	92.0%	60.6%
Pakistan	Bahawalpur	2000	70.9%	73.9%	67.9%
Pakistan	Bahawalpur	2017	70.7%	73.8%	67.6%
Pakistan	Bannu	2000	62.3%	69.8%	54.4%
Pakistan	Bannu	2017	62.0%	69.4%	54.0%
Pakistan	Dera Ghazi Khan	2000	66.8%	69.8%	63.5%
Pakistan	Dera Ghazi Khan	2017	67.1%	70.1%	63.8%
Pakistan	Dera Ismail Khan	2000	54.3%	60.7%	46.9%
Pakistan	Dera Ismail Khan	2017	54.3%	60.2%	47.9%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 1	2000	73.0%	84.4%	59.9%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 1	2017	73.1%	84.3%	60.0%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 2	2000	66.8%	81.6%	48.1%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 2	2017	66.3%	80.5%	48.2%
Pakistan	Faisalabad	2000	62.8%	67.6%	57.9%
Pakistan	Faisalabad	2017	62.6%	67.6%	57.6%
Pakistan	Gujranwala	2000	84.2%	86.5%	81.7%
Pakistan	Gujranwala	2017	84.1%	86.6%	81.5%
Pakistan	Hazara	2000	83.3%	87.0%	78.9%
Pakistan	Hazara	2017	83.3%	87.0%	78.9%
Pakistan	Hyderabad	2000	45.3%	48.8%	42.3%
Pakistan	Hyderabad	2017	44.6%	48.1%	41.7%
Pakistan	Islamabad	2000	95.2%	96.9%	91.9%
Pakistan	Islamabad	2017	94.7%	96.6%	91.0%
Pakistan	Kalat	2000	62.0%	65.9%	57.9%
Pakistan	Kalat	2017	61.8%	65.5%	57.9%
Pakistan	Karachi	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.4%
Pakistan	Karachi	2017	98.9%	99.4%	98.1%
Pakistan	Kohat	2000	76.8%	81.9%	71.4%
Pakistan	Kohat	2017	76.7%	81.6%	71.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Pakistan	Lahore	2000	76.1%	80.1%	71.0%
Pakistan	Lahore	2017	76.8%	80.8%	71.5%
Pakistan	Larkana	2000	41.3%	46.6%	37.3%
Pakistan	Larkana	2017	41.6%	46.6%	37.5%
Pakistan	Makran	2000	82.4%	87.5%	74.2%
Pakistan	Makran	2017	82.0%	87.1%	73.1%
Pakistan	Malakand	2000	72.1%	75.8%	68.5%
Pakistan	Malakand	2017	71.8%	75.6%	68.1%
Pakistan	Mardan	2000	83.3%	87.4%	78.0%
Pakistan	Mardan	2017	83.4%	87.6%	78.5%
Pakistan	Mirpur Khas	2000	48.0%	52.2%	44.0%
Pakistan	Mirpur Khas	2017	47.8%	51.9%	44.0%
Pakistan	Multan	2000	72.5%	76.0%	69.3%
Pakistan	Multan	2017	72.5%	76.1%	69.3%
Pakistan	Nasirabad	2000	51.1%	59.1%	43.9%
Pakistan	Nasirabad	2017	50.7%	58.4%	43.6%
Pakistan	Northern Areas	2000	89.3%	90.7%	88.0%
Pakistan	Northern Areas	2017	88.9%	90.3%	87.4%
Pakistan	Peshawar	2000	83.6%	87.7%	78.8%
Pakistan	Peshawar	2017	83.6%	87.7%	78.8%
Pakistan	Quetta	2000	80.0%	83.1%	77.1%
Pakistan	Quetta	2017	79.9%	82.9%	76.9%
Pakistan	Rann of Kutch	2000	43.4%	57.8%	29.3%
Pakistan	Rann of Kutch	2017	42.4%	57.5%	28.4%
Pakistan	Rawalpindi	2000	87.7%	90.7%	84.8%
Pakistan	Rawalpindi	2017	87.2%	90.4%	84.0%
Pakistan	Sargodha	2000	73.8%	77.6%	70.6%
Pakistan	Sargodha	2017	73.9%	77.7%	70.5%
Pakistan	Sibi	2000	78.2%	85.3%	70.5%
Pakistan	Sibi	2017	78.0%	85.0%	70.6%
Pakistan	Sukkur	2000	60.1%	63.2%	56.7%
Pakistan	Sukkur	2017	59.8%	62.8%	56.4%
Pakistan	Zhob	2000	75.0%	78.1%	71.9%
Pakistan	Zhob	2017	74.8%	77.8%	71.8%
Southeast Asia, East Asia, and Oceania					
Cambodia	Aek Phnum	2000	15.1%	18.0%	12.8%
Cambodia	Aek Phnum	2017	85.0%	89.6%	79.8%
Cambodia	Andoung Meas	2000	3.1%	8.0%	0.9%
Cambodia	Andoung Meas	2017	40.9%	54.8%	28.3%
Cambodia	Angk Snuol	2000	9.9%	11.4%	8.9%
Cambodia	Angk Snuol	2017	91.0%	91.8%	90.0%
Cambodia	Angkor Borei	2000	9.7%	15.2%	6.1%
Cambodia	Angkor Borei	2017	76.6%	81.3%	70.2%
Cambodia	Angkor Chey	2000	9.4%	11.6%	7.4%
Cambodia	Angkor Chey	2017	87.5%	88.7%	86.0%
Cambodia	Angkor Chum	2000	2.6%	4.1%	1.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Angkor Chum	2017	59.3%	66.7%	50.7%
Cambodia	Angkor Thum	2000	2.1%	5.3%	0.7%
Cambodia	Angkor Thum	2017	55.6%	68.2%	42.9%
Cambodia	Anlong	2000	11.9%	15.1%	9.1%
Cambodia	Veang				
Cambodia	Anlong	2017	75.4%	81.5%	68.3%
Cambodia	Veang				
Cambodia	Aoral	2000	3.6%	8.1%	1.5%
Cambodia	Aoral	2017	40.3%	54.1%	26.9%
Cambodia	Ba Phnum	2000	7.2%	9.8%	5.6%
Cambodia	Ba Phnum	2017	75.5%	77.7%	73.2%
Cambodia	Bakan	2000	3.8%	4.9%	3.0%
Cambodia	Bakan	2017	63.7%	68.2%	59.1%
Cambodia	Ban Lung	2000	46.1%	49.7%	42.4%
Cambodia	Ban Lung	2017	80.4%	84.1%	78.2%
Cambodia	Banan	2000	15.6%	19.2%	13.4%
Cambodia	Banan	2017	85.2%	90.4%	79.2%
Cambodia	Banteay Ampil	2000	5.3%	8.2%	3.4%
Cambodia	Banteay Ampil	2017	64.6%	71.7%	57.0%
Cambodia	Banteay Meas	2000	5.0%	6.3%	4.1%
Cambodia	Banteay Meas	2017	69.5%	72.1%	66.9%
Cambodia	Banteay Srei	2000	3.5%	7.7%	1.5%
Cambodia	Banteay Srei	2017	49.7%	63.3%	38.3%
Cambodia	Bar Kaev	2000	4.6%	9.5%	1.8%
Cambodia	Bar Kaev	2017	52.4%	63.1%	42.2%
Cambodia	Baray	2000	11.7%	14.2%	9.3%
Cambodia	Baray	2017	65.5%	70.0%	61.4%
Cambodia	Baribour	2000	3.2%	6.1%	1.8%
Cambodia	Baribour	2017	66.6%	72.9%	61.2%
Cambodia	Basedth	2000	1.4%	2.1%	1.1%
Cambodia	Basedth	2017	54.4%	57.5%	51.3%
Cambodia	Bat Dambang	2000	25.1%	28.7%	22.1%
Cambodia	Bat Dambang	2017	93.7%	95.6%	91.5%
Cambodia	Batheay	2000	3.2%	4.9%	2.1%
Cambodia	Batheay	2017	63.1%	68.7%	57.9%
Cambodia	Bati	2000	5.8%	6.4%	5.2%
Cambodia	Bati	2017	87.7%	88.7%	86.4%
Cambodia	Bavel	2000	15.9%	20.9%	12.4%
Cambodia	Bavel	2017	83.7%	91.1%	74.9%
Cambodia	Botum Sakor	2000	7.9%	14.5%	3.5%
Cambodia	Botum Sakor	2017	54.8%	67.6%	41.4%
Cambodia	Bourei Cholsar	2000	5.7%	12.0%	2.2%
Cambodia	Bourei Cholsar	2017	69.3%	76.6%	59.9%
Cambodia	Chamkar Leu	2000	18.8%	22.1%	16.1%
Cambodia	Chamkar Leu	2017	80.7%	82.8%	78.7%
Cambodia	Chantrea	2000	8.0%	12.5%	5.9%
Cambodia	Chantrea	2017	69.7%	75.5%	63.7%
Cambodia	Chbar Mon	2000	11.9%	12.8%	10.9%
Cambodia	Chbar Mon	2017	93.5%	94.8%	91.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Cheung Prey	2000	5.5%	7.1%	4.5%
Cambodia	Cheung Prey	2017	71.2%	76.4%	66.9%
Cambodia	Chey Saen	2000	5.2%	16.6%	1.3%
Cambodia	Chey Saen	2017	19.0%	35.7%	6.8%
Cambodia	Chhaeb	2000	5.3%	10.8%	2.0%
Cambodia	Chhaeb	2017	30.3%	45.3%	18.8%
Cambodia	Chhloung	2000	15.5%	19.4%	12.6%
Cambodia	Chhloung	2017	60.0%	67.1%	53.6%
Cambodia	Chhuk	2000	5.2%	7.2%	3.9%
Cambodia	Chhuk	2017	53.1%	61.7%	44.6%
Cambodia	Chi Kraeng	2000	9.5%	11.5%	7.8%
Cambodia	Chi Kraeng	2017	59.6%	66.9%	52.7%
Cambodia	Choam	2000	5.8%	9.1%	3.2%
Cambodia	Khsant Choam	2017	52.6%	65.5%	40.1%
Cambodia	Chol Kiri	2000	1.2%	3.3%	0.6%
Cambodia	Chol Kiri	2017	34.7%	42.7%	28.5%
Cambodia	Chong Kal	2000	6.6%	12.8%	3.0%
Cambodia	Chong Kal	2017	57.3%	68.6%	45.5%
Cambodia	Chum Kiri	2000	3.7%	6.5%	1.9%
Cambodia	Chum Kiri	2017	42.0%	53.5%	30.4%
Cambodia	Dambae	2000	8.7%	12.3%	6.9%
Cambodia	Dambae	2017	41.7%	55.9%	31.2%
Cambodia	Dang Tong	2000	6.6%	7.9%	5.7%
Cambodia	Dang Tong	2017	52.7%	60.1%	46.9%
Cambodia	Dangkao	2000	40.8%	42.2%	39.3%
Cambodia	Dangkao	2017	99.4%	99.4%	99.3%
Cambodia	Doun Kaev	2000	18.5%	20.7%	16.6%
Cambodia	Doun Kaev	2017	94.2%	95.0%	93.5%
Cambodia	Kaeb	2000	8.6%	14.1%	4.0%
Cambodia	Kaeb	2017	79.1%	83.0%	74.7%
Cambodia	Kaev Seima	2000	9.2%	12.8%	6.1%
Cambodia	Kaev Seima	2017	35.1%	42.0%	27.8%
Cambodia	Kamchay	2000	2.9%	4.6%	1.9%
Cambodia	Mear Kamchay	2017	49.8%	59.9%	42.6%
Cambodia	Kampong Bay	2000	14.2%	17.5%	12.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Bay	2017	86.8%	89.1%	84.5%
Cambodia	Kampong Cham	2000	24.7%	28.6%	21.5%
Cambodia	Kampong Cham	2017	97.1%	97.8%	96.6%
Cambodia	Kampong Chhnang	2000	10.2%	11.7%	9.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Chhnang	2017	95.2%	96.1%	94.2%
Cambodia	Kampong Leaeng	2000	5.5%	8.0%	4.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Leaeng	2017	66.6%	74.3%	59.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Kampong Leav	2000	7.9%	9.4%	6.9%
Cambodia	Kampong Leav	2017	84.6%	86.4%	82.9%
Cambodia	Kampong Rou	2000	10.8%	16.7%	7.7%
Cambodia	Kampong Rou	2017	67.9%	74.8%	61.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Seila	2000	6.5%	14.5%	2.3%
Cambodia	Kampong Seila	2017	60.6%	76.5%	41.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2000	9.7%	21.7%	4.2%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2000	10.3%	14.0%	7.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2017	76.9%	83.7%	68.7%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2017	75.6%	79.7%	71.6%
Cambodia	Kampong Svay	2000	20.6%	24.7%	17.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Svay	2017	70.9%	76.2%	64.9%
Cambodia	Kampong Tra-baek	2000	5.5%	6.6%	4.8%
Cambodia	Kampong Tra-baek	2017	68.9%	72.0%	65.9%
Cambodia	Kampong Trach	2000	6.2%	7.7%	5.3%
Cambodia	Kampong Trach	2017	65.2%	70.2%	61.4%
Cambodia	Kampong Tralach	2000	3.4%	4.4%	2.3%
Cambodia	Kampong Tralach	2017	63.8%	66.5%	61.5%
Cambodia	Kampot	2000	11.4%	15.9%	8.5%
Cambodia	Kampot	2017	72.0%	79.7%	64.5%
Cambodia	Kandal Stuong	2000	13.1%	15.2%	11.3%
Cambodia	Kandal Stuong	2017	91.7%	92.6%	90.9%
Cambodia	Kandieng	2000	9.1%	12.0%	7.3%
Cambodia	Kandieng	2017	75.7%	82.5%	68.4%
Cambodia	Kang Meas	2000	5.9%	7.4%	5.1%
Cambodia	Kang Meas	2017	71.0%	76.6%	66.4%
Cambodia	Kanhchriech	2000	3.1%	5.5%	1.8%
Cambodia	Kanhchriech	2017	64.3%	69.1%	59.2%
Cambodia	Kaoh Andaet	2000	4.4%	6.4%	3.5%
Cambodia	Kaoh Andaet	2017	75.2%	79.5%	71.2%
Cambodia	Kaoh Kong	2000	13.9%	23.6%	6.5%
Cambodia	Kaoh Kong	2017	67.0%	79.1%	52.1%
Cambodia	Kaoh Nheak	2000	4.9%	7.6%	2.9%
Cambodia	Kaoh Nheak	2017	28.9%	37.3%	21.2%
Cambodia	Kaoh Soutin	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Kaoh Soutin	2017	75.7%	78.3%	73.1%
Cambodia	Kaoh Thum	2000	9.2%	12.3%	6.7%
Cambodia	Kaoh Thum	2017	83.7%	86.2%	80.1%
Cambodia	Khsach Kandal	2000	18.2%	20.1%	16.5%
Cambodia	Khsach Kandal	2017	94.0%	94.7%	92.9%
Cambodia	Kien Svay	2000	25.5%	28.6%	23.6%
Cambodia	Kien Svay	2017	93.0%	94.6%	91.8%
Cambodia	Kiri Sakor	2000	8.6%	23.9%	2.6%
Cambodia	Kiri Sakor	2017	69.6%	86.3%	51.2%
Cambodia	Kiri Vong	2000	11.5%	13.6%	9.3%
Cambodia	Kiri Vong	2017	76.9%	79.4%	74.6%
Cambodia	Kong Pisei	2000	4.0%	4.8%	3.6%
Cambodia	Kong Pisei	2017	79.8%	82.7%	76.4%
Cambodia	Koun Mom	2000	3.7%	8.4%	1.2%
Cambodia	Koun Mom	2017	33.9%	49.1%	21.6%
Cambodia	Kracheh	2000	7.3%	9.3%	6.0%
Cambodia	Kracheh	2017	69.3%	73.9%	64.4%
Cambodia	Krakor	2000	4.5%	7.4%	2.9%
Cambodia	Krakor	2017	57.8%	64.2%	51.6%
Cambodia	Kralanh	2000	6.0%	11.5%	2.2%
Cambodia	Kralanh	2017	55.5%	61.8%	49.8%
Cambodia	Krouch Chhmar	2000	10.4%	15.6%	7.0%
Cambodia	Krouch Chhmar	2017	76.0%	82.0%	70.0%
Cambodia	Kuleaen	2000	14.4%	21.9%	5.9%
Cambodia	Kuleaen	2017	41.0%	58.1%	26.8%
Cambodia	Leuk Daek	2000	6.7%	8.3%	5.4%
Cambodia	Leuk Daek	2017	67.8%	72.3%	62.3%
Cambodia	Lumphat	2000	3.8%	8.5%	1.8%
Cambodia	Lumphat	2017	22.6%	34.5%	14.0%
Cambodia	Lvea Aem	2000	26.9%	28.5%	25.9%
Cambodia	Lvea Aem	2017	87.1%	88.1%	85.9%
Cambodia	Malai	2000	6.3%	13.1%	2.4%
Cambodia	Malai	2017	67.7%	82.0%	51.6%
Cambodia	Me Sang	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.3%
Cambodia	Me Sang	2017	54.5%	59.6%	50.3%
Cambodia	Mean Chey	2000	70.2%	71.4%	69.0%
Cambodia	Mean Chey	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Cambodia	Memot	2000	6.1%	9.3%	3.7%
Cambodia	Memot	2017	49.2%	60.5%	40.3%
Cambodia	Mittakpheap	2000	33.4%	43.1%	25.0%
Cambodia	Mittakpheap	2017	98.0%	98.7%	97.5%
Cambodia	Mondol Seima	2000	22.9%	29.2%	18.3%
Cambodia	Mondol Seima	2017	80.8%	87.2%	74.0%
Cambodia	Mongkol Borei	2000	14.5%	16.9%	12.2%
Cambodia	Mongkol Borei	2017	92.4%	93.8%	90.4%
Cambodia	Moung Ruessei	2000	12.3%	16.6%	8.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Moung Ruessei	2017	73.2%	79.1%	66.0%
Cambodia	Mukh Kampul	2000	7.1%	8.7%	6.2%
Cambodia	Mukh Kampul	2017	84.2%	86.6%	82.2%
Cambodia	Odongk	2000	4.0%	5.8%	3.1%
Cambodia	Odongk	2017	81.2%	84.7%	75.9%
Cambodia	Ou Chrov	2000	10.0%	15.6%	6.3%
Cambodia	Ou Chrov	2017	84.5%	89.5%	78.8%
Cambodia	Ou Chum	2000	14.6%	19.2%	11.2%
Cambodia	Ou Chum	2017	51.4%	61.3%	42.2%
Cambodia	Ou Reang	2000	15.9%	27.3%	9.0%
Cambodia	Ou Reang	2017	67.8%	80.8%	50.2%
Cambodia	Ou Reang Ov	2000	7.0%	12.9%	3.4%
Cambodia	Ou Reang Ov	2017	70.0%	75.3%	64.2%
Cambodia	Ou Ya Dav	2000	4.2%	8.6%	1.8%
Cambodia	Ou Ya Dav	2017	42.4%	55.1%	30.8%
Cambodia	Pailin	2000	11.4%	16.8%	8.0%
Cambodia	Pailin	2017	74.2%	87.2%	62.1%
Cambodia	Pea Reang	2000	3.2%	5.2%	2.3%
Cambodia	Pea Reang	2017	72.1%	76.0%	67.3%
Cambodia	Peam Chor	2000	3.7%	5.5%	2.6%
Cambodia	Peam Chor	2017	36.5%	46.7%	27.6%
Cambodia	Peam Ro	2000	6.5%	7.8%	5.6%
Cambodia	Peam Ro	2017	77.9%	80.4%	75.5%
Cambodia	Pechr Chenda	2000	7.7%	15.5%	3.4%
Cambodia	Pechr Chenda	2017	40.7%	49.4%	31.1%
Cambodia	Phnom Penh	2000	70.6%	72.0%	69.1%
Cambodia	Phnom Penh	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Cambodia	Phnum Kra- vanh	2000	4.3%	9.5%	2.3%
Cambodia	Phnum Kra- vanh	2017	60.5%	68.6%	52.2%
Cambodia	Phnum Proek	2000	10.7%	17.2%	6.2%
Cambodia	Phnum Proek	2017	72.8%	83.5%	60.9%
Cambodia	Phnum Srok	2000	12.3%	17.7%	7.7%
Cambodia	Phnum Srok	2017	68.2%	77.5%	59.2%
Cambodia	Phnum Sruoch	2000	4.8%	7.4%	3.0%
Cambodia	Phnum Sruoch	2017	55.6%	63.6%	47.5%
Cambodia	Ponhea Kraek	2000	9.3%	12.5%	7.1%
Cambodia	Ponhea Kraek	2017	71.4%	76.2%	66.8%
Cambodia	Ponhea Lueu	2000	13.1%	16.4%	9.6%
Cambodia	Ponhea Lueu	2017	90.4%	91.4%	89.5%
Cambodia	Prasat Bakong	2000	2.4%	3.6%	1.8%
Cambodia	Prasat Bakong	2017	70.7%	76.6%	65.7%
Cambodia	Prasat Balangk	2000	7.3%	13.3%	4.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Prasat Balangk	2017	60.0%	69.5%	50.1%
Cambodia	Prasat Sambour	2000	29.3%	35.0%	24.5%
Cambodia	Prasat Sambour	2017	57.6%	68.1%	46.7%
Cambodia	Preaek Prasab	2000	11.0%	14.2%	9.0%
Cambodia	Preaek Prasab	2017	61.5%	67.6%	55.5%
Cambodia	Preah Netr Preah	2000	3.1%	5.6%	1.8%
Cambodia	Preah Netr Preah	2017	63.2%	70.7%	55.8%
Cambodia	Preah Sdach	2000	2.6%	3.6%	2.0%
Cambodia	Preah Sdach	2017	49.1%	56.7%	42.2%
Cambodia	Prey Chhor	2000	6.8%	9.7%	5.0%
Cambodia	Prey Chhor	2017	78.9%	84.3%	73.3%
Cambodia	Prey Kabbas	2000	6.8%	8.2%	5.6%
Cambodia	Prey Kabbas	2017	87.3%	88.6%	86.1%
Cambodia	Prey Nob	2000	10.4%	13.1%	8.6%
Cambodia	Prey Nob	2017	75.6%	79.5%	71.2%
Cambodia	Prey Veang	2000	5.0%	6.2%	3.9%
Cambodia	Prey Veang	2017	57.6%	62.0%	53.6%
Cambodia	Puok	2000	4.6%	6.8%	3.4%
Cambodia	Puok	2017	75.3%	78.0%	71.2%
Cambodia	Rolea B'ier	2000	9.5%	11.8%	7.8%
Cambodia	Rolea B'ier	2017	79.6%	82.5%	76.2%
Cambodia	Romeas Haek	2000	11.6%	15.3%	9.1%
Cambodia	Romeas Haek	2017	68.2%	74.1%	63.6%
Cambodia	Rotanak Mondol	2000	5.4%	12.0%	2.6%
Cambodia	Rotanak Mondol	2017	60.3%	75.5%	47.7%
Cambodia	Rovieng	2000	5.5%	10.2%	2.2%
Cambodia	Rovieng	2017	43.9%	58.2%	31.7%
Cambodia	Ruessei Kaev	2000	57.1%	58.3%	55.9%
Cambodia	Ruessei Kaev	2017	99.4%	99.5%	99.4%
Cambodia	Rumduol	2000	10.5%	14.0%	7.2%
Cambodia	Rumduol	2017	68.1%	73.1%	63.2%
Cambodia	S'ang	2000	7.3%	8.8%	6.2%
Cambodia	S'ang	2017	88.1%	89.5%	86.5%
Cambodia	Saen Monourom	2000	30.9%	44.5%	18.2%
Cambodia	Saen Monourom	2017	76.2%	83.4%	66.8%
Cambodia	Sala Krau	2000	4.6%	11.9%	1.5%
Cambodia	Sala Krau	2017	56.6%	75.9%	37.1%
Cambodia	Sambour	2000	7.6%	12.0%	4.5%
Cambodia	Sambour	2017	54.8%	63.5%	46.7%
Cambodia	Sameakki Mean Chey	2000	6.1%	8.6%	4.2%
Cambodia	Sameakki Mean Chey	2017	65.5%	70.8%	60.4%
Cambodia	Samlout	2000	7.7%	16.3%	2.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Samlout	2017	58.5%	75.4%	39.9%
Cambodia	Sampov Meas	2000	5.5%	6.5%	4.7%
Cambodia	Sampov Meas	2017	76.5%	78.5%	74.8%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	5.8%	7.5%	4.5%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	4.5%	5.0%	4.1%
Cambodia	Samraong	2017	71.6%	76.7%	66.3%
Cambodia	Samraong	2017	86.8%	87.9%	85.7%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	4.7%	5.5%	4.2%
Cambodia	Tong				
Cambodia	Samraong	2017	76.5%	78.8%	74.7%
Cambodia	Tong				
Cambodia	Sandan	2000	13.9%	20.6%	9.6%
Cambodia	Sandan	2017	50.1%	60.7%	39.0%
Cambodia	Sangkae	2000	14.4%	16.6%	12.9%
Cambodia	Sangkae	2017	91.9%	94.5%	88.3%
Cambodia	Sangkum	2000	4.7%	9.3%	2.1%
Cambodia	Thmei				
Cambodia	Sangkum	2017	45.1%	56.1%	34.7%
Cambodia	Thmei				
Cambodia	Santuk	2000	16.0%	19.2%	12.2%
Cambodia	Santuk	2017	63.6%	70.4%	56.3%
Cambodia	Serei	2000	16.9%	20.2%	14.7%
Cambodia	Saophoan				
Cambodia	Serei	2017	86.8%	88.9%	84.6%
Cambodia	Saophoan				
Cambodia	Sesan	2000	14.4%	20.3%	9.1%
Cambodia	Sesan	2017	44.8%	55.7%	32.6%
Cambodia	Siem Bouk	2000	21.9%	35.9%	12.6%
Cambodia	Siem Bouk	2017	67.9%	80.2%	51.8%
Cambodia	Siem Pang	2000	2.6%	5.9%	0.8%
Cambodia	Siem Pang	2017	20.9%	29.8%	12.8%
Cambodia	Siem Reab	2000	21.3%	22.5%	20.0%
Cambodia	Siem Reab	2017	91.2%	92.5%	90.0%
Cambodia	Sithor Kandal	2000	4.4%	9.0%	2.1%
Cambodia	Sithor Kandal	2017	66.7%	77.3%	56.2%
Cambodia	Smach Mean	2000	14.5%	16.7%	12.9%
Cambodia	Chey				
Cambodia	Smach Mean	2017	96.4%	97.3%	94.6%
Cambodia	Chey				
Cambodia	Snuol	2000	10.3%	16.8%	6.6%
Cambodia	Snuol	2017	49.1%	56.8%	39.5%
Cambodia	Soutr Nikom	2000	13.4%	16.0%	10.8%
Cambodia	Soutr Nikom	2017	60.5%	66.4%	54.2%
Cambodia	Srae Ambel	2000	8.1%	9.8%	6.6%
Cambodia	Srae Ambel	2017	51.1%	60.6%	42.8%
Cambodia	Srei Santhor	2000	9.7%	13.3%	7.3%
Cambodia	Srei Santhor	2017	80.8%	86.1%	76.8%
Cambodia	Srei Snam	2000	5.3%	8.5%	3.0%
Cambodia	Srei Snam	2017	49.8%	60.2%	38.7%
Cambodia	Stoung	2000	7.6%	9.6%	5.7%
Cambodia	Stoung	2017	61.7%	68.0%	55.1%
Cambodia	Stueng hav	2000	8.8%	15.4%	5.7%
Cambodia	Stueng hav	2017	78.7%	89.6%	68.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Stueng Saen	2000	21.2%	24.2%	19.1%
Cambodia	Stueng Saen	2017	82.8%	86.7%	78.8%
Cambodia	Stueng Traeng	2000	28.1%	33.6%	22.6%
Cambodia	Stueng Traeng	2017	85.0%	92.4%	76.6%
Cambodia	Stueng Trang	2000	4.5%	7.2%	2.9%
Cambodia	Stueng Trang	2017	45.2%	54.9%	34.9%
Cambodia	Svay Chek	2000	3.5%	8.2%	1.5%
Cambodia	Svay Chek	2017	56.8%	65.4%	48.4%
Cambodia	Svay Chrum	2000	10.2%	12.6%	8.5%
Cambodia	Svay Chrum	2017	78.1%	81.1%	75.1%
Cambodia	Svay Leu	2000	4.5%	10.7%	1.8%
Cambodia	Svay Leu	2017	47.1%	65.5%	29.5%
Cambodia	Svay Pao	2000	35.6%	37.5%	33.8%
Cambodia	Svay Pao	2017	99.2%	99.2%	99.1%
Cambodia	Svay Rieng	2000	21.9%	24.4%	19.6%
Cambodia	Svay Rieng	2017	98.1%	98.4%	97.9%
Cambodia	Svay Teab	2000	12.3%	16.7%	8.8%
Cambodia	Svay Teab	2017	67.0%	73.6%	59.8%
Cambodia	Ta Khmau	2000	26.5%	28.4%	24.7%
Cambodia	Ta Khmau	2017	98.8%	98.9%	98.6%
Cambodia	Ta Veaeng	2000	3.5%	7.4%	0.9%
Cambodia	Ta Veaeng	2017	22.5%	35.0%	13.1%
Cambodia	Tbaeng Mean chey	2000	18.4%	23.0%	14.5%
Cambodia	Tbaeng Mean chey	2017	67.3%	76.6%	57.4%
Cambodia	Tboung Khmum	2000	8.3%	10.9%	6.8%
Cambodia	Tboung Khmum	2017	72.6%	75.5%	69.5%
Cambodia	Thala Barivat	2000	7.4%	11.5%	4.0%
Cambodia	Thala Barivat	2017	45.8%	54.4%	37.3%
Cambodia	Thma Bang	2000	5.3%	9.8%	2.3%
Cambodia	Thma Bang	2017	42.1%	54.3%	27.0%
Cambodia	Thma Puok	2000	6.3%	8.4%	4.3%
Cambodia	Thma Puok	2017	63.7%	73.2%	54.6%
Cambodia	Thpong	2000	4.7%	8.3%	3.0%
Cambodia	Thpong	2017	47.4%	61.0%	35.3%
Cambodia	Tram Kak	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.6%
Cambodia	Tram Kak	2017	73.3%	76.2%	70.5%
Cambodia	Treang	2000	7.5%	10.8%	5.2%
Cambodia	Treang	2017	84.8%	87.6%	81.2%
Cambodia	Tuek Phos	2000	2.6%	4.0%	1.4%
Cambodia	Tuek Phos	2017	42.7%	53.8%	34.7%
Cambodia	Varin	2000	5.0%	12.0%	1.4%
Cambodia	Varin	2017	44.3%	57.2%	29.3%
Cambodia	Veal Veang	2000	7.7%	15.4%	3.2%
Cambodia	Veal Veang	2017	51.6%	66.8%	34.8%
Cambodia	Veun Sai	2000	3.7%	7.0%	1.5%
Cambodia	Veun Sai	2017	22.3%	31.9%	13.8%
China	Aksu	2000	81.8%	93.1%	65.7%
China	Aksu	2017	83.7%	95.2%	67.0%
China	Altay	2000	80.0%	92.2%	64.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Altay	2017	82.0%	94.0%	65.1%
China	Alxa	2000	85.9%	90.2%	80.2%
China	Alxa	2017	84.8%	89.7%	78.4%
China	Ankang	2000	86.8%	89.4%	83.7%
China	Ankang	2017	87.6%	90.3%	84.3%
China	Anqing	2000	89.0%	91.9%	86.2%
China	Anqing	2017	90.8%	93.2%	88.2%
China	Anshan	2000	85.9%	88.4%	82.9%
China	Anshan	2017	88.0%	90.3%	85.5%
China	Anshun	2000	87.0%	89.1%	84.8%
China	Anshun	2017	87.3%	89.3%	85.0%
China	Anyang	2000	80.8%	83.6%	77.7%
China	Anyang	2017	84.7%	87.1%	81.9%
China	Baicheng	2000	83.9%	88.0%	79.8%
China	Baicheng	2017	87.1%	90.5%	83.5%
China	Baise	2000	86.9%	89.1%	84.5%
China	Baise	2017	85.8%	88.0%	83.2%
China	Baishan	2000	83.2%	87.4%	78.5%
China	Baishan	2017	86.3%	89.9%	82.0%
China	Baiyin	2000	88.1%	89.8%	85.9%
China	Baiyin	2017	86.4%	88.1%	84.1%
China	Baoding	2000	83.7%	86.5%	80.8%
China	Baoding	2017	89.9%	91.7%	88.0%
China	Baoji	2000	87.1%	89.2%	84.5%
China	Baoji	2017	87.7%	89.8%	85.3%
China	Baoshan	2000	87.5%	90.1%	85.0%
China	Baoshan	2017	86.1%	88.9%	83.5%
China	Baotou	2000	78.9%	89.0%	66.5%
China	Baotou	2017	81.8%	92.2%	68.0%
China	Bayin'gholin Mongol	2000	79.7%	91.3%	65.8%
China	Bayin'gholin Mongol	2017	81.5%	93.4%	67.0%
China	Baynnur	2000	76.4%	87.9%	64.0%
China	Baynnur	2017	78.3%	90.9%	65.3%
China	Bazhong	2000	87.0%	89.0%	84.8%
China	Bazhong	2017	87.0%	89.0%	84.8%
China	Beihai	2000	86.5%	89.0%	83.7%
China	Beihai	2017	85.6%	88.2%	82.4%
China	Beijing	2000	83.6%	85.9%	80.9%
China	Beijing	2017	88.3%	90.1%	86.4%
China	Bengbu	2000	89.7%	92.3%	86.5%
China	Bengbu	2017	91.9%	94.1%	89.4%
China	Benxi	2000	86.1%	89.0%	83.2%
China	Benxi	2017	88.1%	90.9%	85.2%
China	Bijie	2000	87.1%	88.5%	85.5%
China	Bijie	2017	87.1%	88.4%	85.6%
China	Binzhou	2000	86.2%	88.4%	83.4%
China	Binzhou	2017	88.0%	89.9%	85.6%
China	Börtala Mon- gol	2000	78.6%	93.1%	59.4%
China	Börtala Mon- gol	2017	81.4%	95.3%	60.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Bozhou	2000	89.5%	92.0%	86.8%
China	Bozhou	2017	91.9%	93.8%	89.5%
China	Cangzhou	2000	85.0%	86.8%	82.4%
China	Cangzhou	2017	89.5%	90.9%	87.6%
China	Central and Western	2000	85.0%	87.9%	82.4%
China	Central and Western	2017	85.0%	88.1%	82.0%
China	Chamdo	2000	87.0%	92.8%	79.8%
China	Chamdo	2017	86.5%	93.4%	78.5%
China	Changchun	2000	84.1%	86.2%	81.6%
China	Changchun	2017	87.0%	88.8%	85.0%
China	Changde	2000	87.7%	90.5%	84.5%
China	Changde	2017	90.2%	92.7%	87.5%
China	Changji Hui	2000	75.8%	90.2%	58.5%
China	Changji Hui	2017	78.7%	92.9%	59.4%
China	Changsha	2000	88.2%	90.6%	85.6%
China	Changsha	2017	90.7%	92.6%	88.4%
China	Changzhi	2000	82.6%	85.0%	80.1%
China	Changzhi	2017	88.1%	89.9%	86.2%
China	Changzhou	2000	87.4%	88.8%	85.9%
China	Changzhou	2017	86.1%	87.6%	84.4%
China	Chaohu	2000	90.9%	93.1%	87.9%
China	Chaohu	2017	93.1%	94.8%	90.4%
China	Chaoyang	2000	80.8%	84.7%	76.4%
China	Chaoyang	2017	84.7%	88.0%	80.8%
China	Chaozhou	2000	86.7%	88.7%	84.9%
China	Chaozhou	2017	86.8%	88.7%	85.0%
China	Chengde	2000	85.1%	89.0%	80.3%
China	Chengde	2017	89.9%	92.4%	86.5%
China	Chengdu	2000	86.8%	88.6%	85.0%
China	Chengdu	2017	86.7%	88.4%	84.9%
China	Chenzhou	2000	88.2%	90.5%	85.9%
China	Chenzhou	2017	90.1%	92.0%	88.0%
China	Chifeng	2000	79.5%	87.4%	71.3%
China	Chifeng	2017	84.2%	91.4%	75.9%
China	Chizhou	2000	89.3%	92.0%	86.1%
China	Chizhou	2017	91.1%	93.5%	88.0%
China	Chongqing	2000	87.4%	88.1%	86.6%
China	Chongqing	2017	86.2%	86.9%	85.4%
China	Chongzuo	2000	87.1%	90.1%	84.1%
China	Chongzuo	2017	85.9%	89.0%	82.9%
China	Chuxiong Yi	2000	87.6%	90.0%	85.2%
China	Chuxiong Yi	2017	86.3%	89.0%	83.6%
China	Chuzhou	2000	87.8%	89.9%	85.5%
China	Chuzhou	2017	89.0%	90.9%	86.9%
China	Dali Bai	2000	87.5%	89.9%	84.8%
China	Dali Bai	2017	86.1%	88.7%	83.2%
China	Dalian	2000	84.7%	87.8%	80.1%
China	Dalian	2017	87.2%	90.0%	83.1%
China	Dandong	2000	87.5%	90.8%	83.8%
China	Dandong	2017	89.2%	92.3%	85.7%
China	Daqing	2000	83.8%	87.4%	79.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Daqing	2017	88.3%	91.2%	84.7%
China	Datong	2000	80.4%	83.0%	76.8%
China	Datong	2017	87.0%	88.9%	84.2%
China	Daxing'anling	2000	81.7%	90.4%	69.4%
China	Daxing'anling	2017	86.5%	94.0%	75.9%
China	Dazhou	2000	87.5%	89.0%	85.7%
China	Dazhou	2017	87.1%	88.7%	85.4%
China	Dehong Dai and Jingpo	2000	87.1%	90.5%	82.8%
China	Dehong Dai and Jingpo	2017	85.8%	89.7%	81.3%
China	Dêqên Tibetan	2000	86.9%	90.7%	82.1%
China	Dêqên Tibetan	2017	85.7%	89.6%	80.9%
China	Deyang	2000	86.8%	89.0%	84.7%
China	Deyang	2017	86.7%	88.7%	84.7%
China	Dezhou	2000	81.6%	84.1%	79.0%
China	Dezhou	2017	85.5%	87.6%	83.3%
China	Dingxi	2000	87.9%	89.5%	86.2%
China	Dingxi	2017	86.2%	87.8%	84.4%
China	Dongguan	2000	85.9%	87.2%	84.4%
China	Dongguan	2017	86.6%	87.9%	85.3%
China	Dongying	2000	85.9%	88.3%	83.0%
China	Dongying	2017	87.5%	89.9%	84.8%
China	Eastern	2000	84.9%	88.1%	82.0%
China	Eastern	2017	85.1%	88.5%	81.9%
China	Enshi Tujia and Miao	2000	87.2%	89.2%	84.9%
China	Enshi Tujia and Miao	2017	86.7%	88.8%	84.3%
China	Ezhou	2000	86.7%	88.8%	84.2%
China	Ezhou	2017	86.5%	88.7%	84.1%
China	Fangchenggang	2000	87.3%	90.4%	83.3%
China	Fangchenggang	2017	85.9%	89.4%	81.8%
China	Foshan	2000	86.3%	87.7%	84.8%
China	Foshan	2017	87.0%	88.3%	85.8%
China	Fushun	2000	85.4%	88.1%	82.2%
China	Fushun	2017	87.8%	90.1%	84.7%
China	Fuxin	2000	83.3%	88.1%	78.7%
China	Fuxin	2017	86.1%	89.9%	81.9%
China	Fuyang	2000	88.6%	91.1%	85.6%
China	Fuyang	2017	91.2%	93.1%	88.5%
China	Fuzhou	2000	87.4%	88.7%	86.2%
China	Fuzhou	2000	87.7%	89.0%	86.3%
China	Fuzhou	2017	85.8%	87.1%	84.4%
China	Fuzhou	2017	86.4%	87.6%	85.0%
China	Gannan Tibetan	2000	87.3%	89.5%	84.8%
China	Gannan Tibetan	2017	85.7%	88.0%	83.1%
China	Ganzhou	2000	87.2%	88.2%	86.1%
China	Ganzhou	2017	86.1%	87.1%	85.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Garzê Tibetan	2000	87.2%	90.3%	83.0%
China	Garzê Tibetan	2017	87.0%	90.3%	82.3%
China	Golog Tibetan	2000	86.1%	91.1%	79.4%
China	Golog Tibetan	2017	85.1%	90.7%	78.5%
China	Guang'an	2000	87.5%	89.1%	85.9%
China	Guang'an	2017	87.0%	88.6%	85.3%
China	Guangyuan	2000	86.7%	89.2%	84.1%
China	Guangyuan	2017	86.5%	89.0%	83.9%
China	Guangzhou	2000	86.4%	87.6%	85.2%
China	Guangzhou	2017	87.1%	88.2%	86.0%
China	Guigang	2000	87.2%	89.3%	85.1%
China	Guigang	2017	85.9%	88.2%	83.6%
China	Guilin	2000	85.3%	87.8%	82.6%
China	Guilin	2017	84.5%	87.1%	81.6%
China	Guiyang	2000	86.7%	88.7%	84.6%
China	Guiyang	2017	86.9%	88.8%	84.8%
China	Guyuan	2000	87.5%	89.6%	84.9%
China	Guyuan	2017	85.6%	87.9%	83.0%
China	Gyêgu Tibetan	2000	86.2%	93.4%	76.6%
China	Gyêgu Tibetan	2017	85.8%	93.7%	74.8%
China	Haibei Tibetan	2000	86.1%	89.8%	82.3%
China	Haibei Tibetan	2017	84.0%	88.0%	79.8%
China	Haidong	2000	87.3%	90.4%	84.1%
China	Haidong	2017	85.6%	89.1%	82.0%
China	Haikou	2000	86.7%	91.0%	81.2%
China	Haikou	2017	87.1%	91.9%	81.2%
China	Hainan	2000	86.5%	91.6%	80.5%
China	Hainan	2017	86.8%	92.4%	79.4%
China	Hainan Tibetan	2000	88.4%	92.3%	83.2%
China	Hainan Tibetan	2017	87.0%	91.8%	81.4%
China	Haixi Mongol and Tibetan	2000	89.5%	94.1%	82.2%
China	Haixi Mongol and Tibetan	2017	88.6%	94.1%	80.5%
China	Hami	2000	79.6%	89.4%	66.6%
China	Hami	2017	80.1%	91.1%	65.0%
China	Handan	2000	83.4%	85.8%	80.6%
China	Handan	2017	88.7%	90.5%	86.7%
China	Hangzhou	2000	87.1%	88.9%	84.9%
China	Hangzhou	2017	86.3%	88.2%	83.9%
China	Hanzhong	2000	87.1%	89.3%	84.5%
China	Hanzhong	2017	87.7%	89.9%	84.8%
China	Harbin	2000	83.9%	86.5%	81.5%
China	Harbin	2017	88.5%	90.5%	86.6%
China	Hebi	2000	77.0%	80.5%	72.8%
China	Hebi	2017	81.0%	84.3%	77.0%
China	Hechi	2000	87.1%	89.2%	84.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Hechi	2017	86.0%	88.1%	83.4%
China	Hefei	2000	91.4%	93.9%	88.3%
China	Hefei	2017	93.9%	95.8%	91.3%
China	Hegang	2000	84.1%	88.2%	78.6%
China	Hegang	2017	88.8%	92.0%	84.5%
China	Heihe	2000	82.8%	87.7%	76.9%
China	Heihe	2017	87.8%	91.4%	83.1%
China	Hengshui	2000	80.8%	84.3%	77.2%
China	Hengshui	2017	87.8%	90.1%	85.3%
China	Hengyang	2000	88.3%	91.1%	85.5%
China	Hengyang	2017	91.2%	93.4%	88.9%
China	Heyuan	2000	86.9%	88.6%	84.6%
China	Heyuan	2017	87.3%	89.1%	84.9%
China	Heze	2000	85.0%	87.0%	82.2%
China	Heze	2017	86.5%	88.4%	84.1%
China	Hezhou	2000	86.0%	88.3%	83.3%
China	Hezhou	2017	85.3%	87.8%	82.0%
China	Hohhot	2000	80.8%	90.7%	68.6%
China	Hohhot	2017	85.5%	93.9%	73.8%
China	Honghe Hani and Yi	2000	87.5%	89.9%	85.0%
China	Honghe Hani and Yi	2017	86.1%	88.7%	83.2%
China	Huai'an	2000	87.2%	88.9%	85.4%
China	Huai'an	2017	86.0%	87.9%	84.1%
China	Huaibei	2000	87.9%	90.6%	84.8%
China	Huaibei	2017	89.7%	92.1%	86.8%
China	Huaihua	2000	87.6%	90.1%	84.4%
China	Huaihua	2017	89.8%	92.0%	87.2%
China	Huainan	2000	91.3%	93.6%	87.5%
China	Huainan	2017	93.8%	95.6%	90.8%
China	Huanggang	2000	86.0%	88.0%	83.7%
China	Huanggang	2017	86.1%	88.0%	83.8%
China	Huangnan Tibetan	2000	86.7%	90.3%	82.1%
China	Huangnan Tibetan	2017	85.2%	89.1%	79.5%
China	Huangshan	2000	87.4%	90.4%	84.0%
China	Huangshan	2017	88.3%	91.2%	84.6%
China	Huangshi	2000	86.9%	88.8%	84.5%
China	Huangshi	2017	86.5%	88.5%	84.1%
China	Huizhou	2000	86.2%	87.8%	84.5%
China	Huizhou	2017	86.9%	88.5%	85.5%
China	Huludao	2000	82.6%	86.3%	78.7%
China	Huludao	2017	86.0%	89.1%	82.4%
China	Hulunbuir	2000	80.7%	89.5%	71.7%
China	Hulunbuir	2017	85.2%	92.7%	76.3%
China	Huzhou	2000	87.0%	88.8%	85.2%
China	Huzhou	2017	86.1%	88.2%	84.0%
China	Ilhas	2000	85.6%	88.1%	83.0%
China	Ilhas	2017	86.1%	88.8%	83.5%
China	Ili Kazakh	2000	78.3%	92.2%	62.6%
China	Ili Kazakh	2017	81.1%	94.7%	62.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Islands	2000	85.4%	87.9%	82.6%
China	Islands	2017	85.7%	88.3%	83.0%
China	Ji'an	2000	87.2%	88.4%	85.8%
China	Ji'an	2017	86.2%	87.6%	84.9%
China	Jiamusi	2000	83.6%	87.2%	78.7%
China	Jiamusi	2017	88.4%	91.1%	84.9%
China	Jiangmen	2000	86.5%	88.4%	84.4%
China	Jiangmen	2017	87.1%	88.9%	85.1%
China	Jiaozuo	2000	83.9%	86.3%	80.8%
China	Jiaozuo	2017	86.7%	88.8%	84.0%
China	Jiaxing	2000	86.9%	88.5%	84.8%
China	Jiaxing	2017	86.2%	87.9%	84.0%
China	Jiayuguan	2000	87.7%	91.1%	83.9%
China	Jiayuguan	2017	85.9%	89.6%	82.0%
China	Jieyang	2000	86.6%	88.4%	84.5%
China	Jieyang	2017	87.3%	88.9%	85.5%
China	Jilin	2000	84.6%	87.0%	82.0%
China	Jilin	2017	87.4%	89.4%	85.1%
China	Jinan	2000	84.5%	86.9%	81.8%
China	Jinan	2017	86.3%	88.7%	83.9%
China	Jinchang	2000	87.7%	90.3%	84.7%
China	Jinchang	2017	85.9%	88.7%	83.0%
China	Jincheng	2000	82.0%	84.7%	79.2%
China	Jincheng	2017	87.3%	89.1%	85.2%
China	Jingdezhen	2000	87.1%	88.7%	85.3%
China	Jingdezhen	2017	86.2%	87.8%	84.4%
China	Jingmen	2000	86.3%	88.8%	83.2%
China	Jingmen	2017	86.0%	88.7%	83.3%
China	Jingzhou	2000	85.7%	88.1%	83.1%
China	Jingzhou	2017	86.2%	88.3%	83.7%
China	Jinhua	2000	87.3%	89.5%	85.1%
China	Jinhua	2017	86.5%	88.7%	84.1%
China	Jining	2000	86.6%	88.5%	84.4%
China	Jining	2017	87.9%	89.6%	85.9%
China	Jinzhong	2000	81.0%	82.9%	78.9%
China	Jinzhong	2017	87.4%	88.7%	85.8%
China	Jinzhou	2000	83.9%	86.9%	80.6%
China	Jinzhou	2017	86.4%	88.9%	83.0%
China	Jiujiang	2000	86.9%	88.0%	85.3%
China	Jiujiang	2017	86.0%	87.2%	84.6%
China	Jiuquan	2000	87.5%	90.6%	84.2%
China	Jiuquan	2017	85.8%	89.2%	82.1%
China	Jixi	2000	84.0%	88.2%	79.0%
China	Jixi	2017	88.6%	91.8%	84.8%
China	Jiyuan shi	2000	83.8%	86.9%	80.5%
China	Jiyuan shi	2017	87.3%	89.8%	84.0%
China	Kaifeng	2000	86.0%	88.4%	83.2%
China	Kaifeng	2017	87.3%	89.6%	84.5%
China	Karamay	2000	76.4%	91.8%	56.5%
China	Karamay	2017	79.3%	94.7%	57.8%
China	Kashgar	2000	85.6%	94.4%	71.0%
China	Kashgar	2017	87.2%	96.0%	73.7%
China	Khotan	2000	86.7%	95.4%	72.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Khotan	2017	87.7%	96.8%	74.1%
China	Kizilsu Kirghiz	2000	88.4%	95.4%	76.7%
China	Kizilsu Kirghiz	2017	89.2%	96.5%	76.4%
China	Kowloon City	2000	84.9%	87.9%	82.3%
China	Kowloon City	2017	85.2%	88.4%	82.3%
China	Kunming	2000	87.6%	89.4%	85.6%
China	Kunming	2017	86.3%	88.0%	84.4%
China	Kwai Tsing	2000	85.4%	87.9%	82.8%
China	Kwai Tsing	2017	85.7%	88.3%	82.9%
China	Kwun Tong	2000	84.9%	88.0%	82.1%
China	Kwun Tong	2017	85.2%	88.4%	82.2%
China	Laibin	2000	87.6%	90.0%	85.0%
China	Laibin	2017	86.3%	89.1%	83.5%
China	Laiwu	2000	86.7%	89.5%	83.2%
China	Laiwu	2017	88.1%	90.9%	84.9%
China	Langfang	2000	82.2%	84.0%	80.1%
China	Langfang	2017	85.8%	87.4%	84.0%
China	Lanzhou	2000	88.4%	89.8%	86.8%
China	Lanzhou	2017	86.7%	88.3%	84.9%
China	Leshan	2000	87.1%	89.4%	84.4%
China	Leshan	2017	86.9%	89.3%	84.3%
China	Lhasa	2000	88.9%	95.7%	76.7%
China	Lhasa	2017	88.8%	96.2%	75.2%
China	Liangshan Yi	2000	87.4%	89.9%	84.7%
China	Liangshan Yi	2017	87.1%	89.5%	84.3%
China	Lianyun gang	2000	87.2%	89.1%	85.4%
China	Lianyun gang	2017	86.2%	88.2%	84.1%
China	Liaocheng	2000	81.9%	84.6%	79.1%
China	Liaocheng	2017	85.3%	87.5%	82.7%
China	Liaoyang	2000	86.2%	88.7%	83.3%
China	Liaoyang	2017	88.3%	90.6%	85.6%
China	Liaoyuan	2000	85.2%	88.5%	81.0%
China	Liaoyuan	2017	87.8%	90.7%	84.1%
China	Lijiang	2000	87.2%	89.9%	84.5%
China	Lijiang	2017	86.1%	89.1%	83.0%
China	Lincang	2000	87.4%	89.7%	84.6%
China	Lincang	2017	86.1%	88.6%	83.2%
China	Linfen	2000	82.0%	84.2%	79.5%
China	Linfen	2017	87.8%	89.3%	86.0%
China	Linxia Hui	2000	87.3%	89.1%	85.6%
China	Linxia Hui	2017	85.6%	87.6%	83.5%
China	Linyi	2000	87.7%	89.5%	85.9%
China	Linyi	2017	88.6%	90.3%	87.0%
China	Lishui	2000	87.6%	89.6%	85.6%
China	Lishui	2017	86.6%	88.9%	84.5%
China	Liupanshui	2000	87.3%	89.0%	85.4%
China	Liupanshui	2017	87.4%	89.2%	85.5%
China	Liuzhou	2000	87.0%	89.3%	84.3%
China	Liuzhou	2017	85.8%	88.2%	83.1%
China	Longnan	2000	87.1%	89.0%	85.0%
China	Longnan	2017	85.5%	87.6%	83.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Longyan	2000	87.7%	89.2%	86.2%
China	Longyan	2017	85.9%	87.7%	84.1%
China	Loudi	2000	87.9%	91.1%	84.5%
China	Loudi	2017	91.2%	93.6%	88.2%
China	Lu'an	2000	89.9%	92.6%	86.8%
China	Lu'an	2017	92.5%	94.5%	90.3%
China	Luliang	2000	83.0%	85.3%	80.3%
China	Luliang	2017	88.4%	89.9%	86.6%
China	Luohe	2000	85.9%	88.4%	83.0%
China	Luohe	2017	87.5%	89.8%	84.8%
China	Luoyang	2000	84.0%	87.2%	81.2%
China	Luoyang	2017	86.2%	88.9%	83.4%
China	Luzhou	2000	87.2%	88.9%	85.6%
China	Luzhou	2017	86.9%	88.8%	85.2%
China	Ma'anshan	2000	87.6%	89.8%	84.6%
China	Ma'anshan	2017	88.5%	90.9%	85.4%
China	Macau	2000	85.7%	87.9%	83.3%
China	Macau	2017	86.2%	88.8%	83.8%
China	Maoming	2000	86.7%	88.6%	84.9%
China	Maoming	2017	87.2%	89.2%	85.4%
China	Meishan	2000	87.1%	89.0%	85.0%
China	Meishan	2017	87.0%	88.9%	85.1%
China	Meizhou	2000	87.3%	89.2%	85.1%
China	Meizhou	2017	87.5%	89.4%	85.6%
China	Mianyang	2000	86.8%	89.1%	84.6%
China	Mianyang	2017	86.7%	89.0%	84.4%
China	Mudanjiang	2000	84.0%	88.1%	78.7%
China	Mudanjiang	2017	88.6%	91.5%	84.7%
China	Nagchu	2000	87.1%	94.4%	74.8%
China	Nagchu	2017	86.9%	95.3%	73.5%
China	Nanchang	2000	87.5%	88.6%	86.1%
China	Nanchang	2017	86.5%	87.6%	85.3%
China	Nanchong	2000	87.3%	89.0%	85.3%
China	Nanchong	2017	87.2%	88.9%	85.4%
China	Nanjing	2000	86.4%	88.1%	84.5%
China	Nanjing	2017	85.5%	87.3%	83.4%
China	Nanning	2000	87.6%	89.9%	85.2%
China	Nanning	2017	86.3%	88.7%	84.0%
China	Nanping	2000	87.6%	89.1%	86.0%
China	Nanping	2017	85.8%	87.4%	84.0%
China	Nantong	2000	87.1%	88.5%	85.4%
China	Nantong	2017	85.9%	87.6%	84.2%
China	Nanyang	2000	86.5%	88.6%	84.1%
China	Nanyang	2017	87.6%	89.7%	85.0%
China	Neijiang	2000	87.3%	89.0%	85.2%
China	Neijiang	2017	87.0%	88.7%	85.0%
China	Neijiang]]	2000	87.2%	88.7%	85.3%
China	Neijiang]]	2017	86.9%	88.5%	84.9%
China	Ngari	2000	90.0%	95.3%	82.7%
China	Ngari	2017	89.7%	95.5%	81.1%
China	Ngawa Tibetan and Qiang	2000	87.2%	89.2%	84.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Ngawa Tibetan and Qiang	2017	86.8%	89.0%	84.9%
China	Ningbo	2000	86.7%	88.8%	84.4%
China	Ningbo	2017	85.8%	88.3%	83.6%
China	Ningde	2000	87.8%	89.3%	86.2%
China	Ningde	2017	85.9%	87.7%	83.9%
China	North	2000	86.0%	88.3%	84.2%
China	North	2017	86.6%	88.5%	84.6%
China	Nujiang Lisu	2000	87.0%	90.6%	82.9%
China	Nujiang Lisu	2017	85.5%	89.5%	81.1%
China	Nyingtri	2000	87.7%	93.4%	79.8%
China	Nyingtri	2017	87.2%	93.8%	77.9%
China	Ordos	2000	82.6%	88.7%	75.5%
China	Ordos	2017	84.7%	90.6%	77.6%
China	Panjin	2000	84.7%	87.6%	80.9%
China	Panjin	2017	87.3%	89.7%	83.6%
China	Panzhuhua	2000	87.6%	90.5%	84.4%
China	Panzhuhua	2017	87.3%	90.6%	83.9%
China	Pingdingshan	2000	86.0%	88.3%	83.1%
China	Pingdingshan	2017	87.4%	89.8%	84.9%
China	Pingliang	2000	87.9%	89.5%	86.1%
China	Pingliang	2017	86.2%	88.1%	84.4%
China	Pingxiang	2000	85.8%	87.5%	83.7%
China	Pingxiang	2017	85.2%	87.0%	83.0%
China	Pu'er	2000	87.3%	90.0%	84.3%
China	Pu'er	2017	85.9%	88.8%	83.0%
China	Putian	2000	87.8%	89.4%	86.1%
China	Putian	2017	85.9%	87.5%	84.1%
China	Puyang	2000	83.4%	85.9%	80.1%
China	Puyang	2017	85.8%	88.3%	82.8%
China	Qiandongnan Miao and Dong	2000	86.4%	88.5%	84.1%
China	Qiandongnan Miao and Dong	2017	86.8%	88.7%	84.7%
China	Qianjiang	2000	85.6%	88.7%	82.3%
China	Qianjiang	2017	85.4%	88.5%	82.1%
China	Qiannan Buyei and Miao	2000	87.0%	88.6%	85.0%
China	Qiannan Buyei and Miao	2017	87.2%	88.8%	85.3%
China	Qianxinan Buyei and Miao	2000	87.3%	89.2%	85.1%
China	Qianxinan Buyei and Miao	2017	87.3%	89.2%	85.0%
China	Qingdao	2000	85.7%	88.2%	82.4%
China	Qingdao	2017	87.4%	89.6%	84.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Qingyang	2000	86.7%	88.7%	84.2%
China	Qingyang	2017	85.1%	87.3%	82.6%
China	Qingyuan	2000	86.8%	88.8%	84.7%
China	Qingyuan	2017	87.5%	89.4%	85.6%
China	Qinhuangdao	2000	87.5%	90.4%	84.3%
China	Qinhuangdao	2017	91.5%	93.7%	89.3%
China	Qinzhou	2000	87.5%	89.8%	85.0%
China	Qinzhou	2017	86.2%	88.5%	83.7%
China	Qiqihar	2000	83.5%	86.9%	79.7%
China	Qiqihar	2017	88.4%	90.9%	85.5%
China	Qitaihe	2000	82.9%	87.6%	77.8%
China	Qitaihe	2017	87.9%	91.5%	83.5%
China	Quanzhou	2000	87.9%	89.1%	86.8%
China	Quanzhou	2017	86.0%	87.4%	84.8%
China	Qujing	2000	87.1%	88.8%	84.9%
China	Qujing	2017	86.1%	87.9%	84.0%
China	Quzhou	2000	87.2%	89.3%	84.8%
China	Quzhou	2017	86.3%	88.5%	83.7%
China	Rizhao	2000	88.0%	90.3%	85.4%
China	Rizhao	2017	88.9%	91.0%	86.2%
China	Sai Kung	2000	85.0%	88.0%	82.3%
China	Sai Kung	2017	85.3%	88.7%	82.2%
China	Sanmenxia	2000	84.5%	87.2%	81.5%
China	Sanmenxia	2017	87.8%	90.4%	85.1%
China	Sanming	2000	87.8%	89.2%	86.2%
China	Sanming	2017	85.9%	87.6%	84.1%
China	Sanya	2000	86.9%	93.6%	79.1%
China	Sanya	2017	87.1%	95.3%	78.4%
China	Sha Tin	2000	85.1%	87.9%	82.6%
China	Sha Tin	2017	85.4%	88.2%	82.7%
China	Sham Shui Po	2000	85.2%	87.9%	82.7%
China	Sham Shui Po	2017	85.5%	88.3%	82.6%
China	Shanghai	2000	87.2%	88.7%	85.6%
China	Shanghai	2017	88.2%	89.5%	86.7%
China	Shangluo	2000	86.0%	88.4%	83.3%
China	Shangluo	2017	87.1%	89.5%	84.3%
China	Shangqiu	2000	86.7%	88.7%	84.3%
China	Shangqiu	2017	88.2%	90.1%	86.2%
China	Shangrao	2000	87.4%	88.6%	86.3%
China	Shangrao	2017	86.3%	87.5%	85.3%
China	Shannan	2000	89.8%	95.7%	79.2%
China	Shannan	2017	89.6%	96.1%	76.8%
China	Shantou	2000	86.6%	88.7%	84.5%
China	Shantou	2017	87.1%	89.0%	85.3%
China	Shanwei	2000	86.3%	88.7%	83.7%
China	Shanwei	2017	86.9%	89.2%	84.2%
China	Shaoguan	2000	86.8%	88.9%	84.7%
China	Shaoguan	2017	87.4%	89.3%	85.5%
China	Shaoxing	2000	87.1%	89.2%	85.1%
China	Shaoxing	2017	86.2%	88.2%	84.1%
China	Shaoyang	2000	87.1%	89.8%	83.9%
China	Shaoyang	2017	90.0%	92.4%	86.9%
China	Shennongjia	2000	87.3%	89.8%	84.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Shennongjia	2017	86.5%	89.4%	83.0%
China	Shenyang	2000	84.6%	87.3%	81.6%
China	Shenyang	2017	87.0%	89.2%	84.2%
China	Shenzhen	2000	86.2%	87.8%	84.9%
China	Shenzhen	2017	86.8%	88.2%	85.5%
China	Shigatse	2000	89.3%	95.1%	79.6%
China	Shigatse	2017	89.1%	95.8%	78.3%
China	Shihezi	2000	73.3%	90.9%	51.5%
China	Shihezi	2017	77.3%	93.7%	53.4%
China	Shijiazhuang	2000	82.1%	85.0%	78.7%
China	Shijiazhuang	2017	89.0%	90.8%	87.0%
China	Shiyan	2000	86.0%	88.6%	82.8%
China	Shiyan	2017	86.1%	88.8%	83.2%
China	Shizuishan	2000	83.5%	90.0%	74.8%
China	Shizuishan	2017	82.5%	90.0%	73.2%
China	Shuangyashan	2000	83.2%	87.8%	77.9%
China	Shuangyashan	2017	88.1%	91.7%	84.2%
China	Shuozhou	2000	81.1%	84.2%	77.6%
China	Shuozhou	2017	87.3%	89.5%	84.8%
China	Siping	2000	84.9%	87.8%	82.2%
China	Siping	2017	87.6%	90.1%	85.2%
China	Songyuan	2000	83.6%	86.8%	80.0%
China	Songyuan	2017	86.9%	89.5%	83.9%
China	Southern	2000	85.1%	88.3%	82.4%
China	Southern	2017	85.2%	88.5%	82.1%
China	Suihua	2000	83.2%	86.6%	79.9%
China	Suihua	2017	88.2%	90.7%	85.6%
China	Suining	2000	87.3%	89.0%	85.3%
China	Suining	2017	87.1%	88.8%	84.9%
China	Suizhou Shi	2000	86.1%	88.4%	83.2%
China	Suizhou Shi	2017	86.0%	88.4%	83.1%
China	Suqian	2000	86.8%	88.4%	85.1%
China	Suqian	2017	85.7%	87.4%	83.6%
China	Suzhou	2000	86.5%	88.6%	84.2%
China	Suzhou	2000	87.1%	88.3%	85.7%
China	Suzhou	2017	86.0%	87.3%	84.6%
China	Suzhou	2017	87.4%	89.3%	85.2%
China	Tacheng	2000	76.9%	90.2%	59.7%
China	Tacheng	2017	79.9%	93.0%	63.7%
China	Tai Po	2000	85.5%	88.0%	83.3%
China	Tai Po	2017	85.9%	88.4%	83.4%
China	Tai'an	2000	86.7%	88.8%	84.0%
China	Tai'an	2017	88.1%	90.3%	85.7%
China	Taiyuan	2000	80.3%	82.6%	77.8%
China	Taiyuan	2017	86.9%	88.5%	85.3%
China	Taizhou	2000	87.5%	89.7%	84.8%
China	Taizhou	2000	87.7%	89.0%	86.2%
China	Taizhou	2017	86.5%	88.9%	83.6%
China	Taizhou	2017	86.4%	87.8%	84.8%
China	Tangshan	2000	87.0%	89.4%	84.6%
China	Tangshan	2017	90.3%	92.0%	88.6%
China	Tianjin	2000	86.6%	87.7%	85.7%
China	Tianjin	2017	86.0%	87.0%	85.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Tianmen	2000	86.2%	88.7%	83.2%
China	Tianmen	2017	85.9%	88.4%	83.0%
China	Tianshui	2000	87.8%	89.3%	86.1%
China	Tianshui	2017	86.1%	87.7%	84.1%
China	Tieling	2000	85.0%	87.2%	82.5%
China	Tieling	2017	87.4%	89.4%	85.1%
China	Tongchuan	2000	85.9%	88.7%	82.8%
China	Tongchuan	2017	86.4%	89.3%	83.2%
China	Tonghua	2000	86.2%	88.9%	83.2%
China	Tonghua	2017	88.6%	90.9%	86.0%
China	Tongliao	2000	80.9%	87.1%	74.2%
China	Tongliao	2017	84.6%	90.2%	78.0%
China	Tongling	2000	91.4%	94.2%	87.8%
China	Tongling	2017	93.6%	95.9%	90.3%
China	Tongren	2000	86.4%	88.4%	84.5%
China	Tongren	2017	86.7%	88.7%	84.6%
China	Tsuen Wan	2000	85.4%	87.9%	83.0%
China	Tsuen Wan	2017	85.8%	88.2%	83.1%
China	Tuen Mun	2000	86.0%	88.2%	83.8%
China	Tuen Mun	2017	86.4%	88.7%	84.0%
China	Turfan	2000	74.8%	90.4%	56.6%
China	Turfan	2017	77.7%	93.2%	56.4%
China	Ulaan Chab	2000	84.4%	90.6%	77.4%
China	Ulaan Chab	2017	88.5%	93.6%	82.4%
China	Ürümqi	2000	75.9%	90.9%	57.1%
China	Ürümqi	2017	78.1%	93.9%	57.1%
China	Wan Chai	2000	85.0%	88.2%	82.2%
China	Wan Chai	2017	85.2%	88.6%	82.2%
China	Weifang	2000	85.2%	87.7%	82.3%
China	Weifang	2017	87.1%	89.4%	84.6%
China	Weihai	2000	87.6%	91.0%	83.8%
China	Weihai	2017	88.8%	92.1%	85.1%
China	Weinan	2000	84.2%	86.6%	81.9%
China	Weinan	2017	86.5%	88.5%	84.4%
China	Wenshan	2000	87.4%	89.8%	84.7%
China	Zhuang and Miao				
China	Wenshan	2017	86.0%	88.7%	83.2%
China	Zhuang and Miao				
China	Wenzhou	2000	87.6%	89.5%	85.7%
China	Wenzhou	2017	86.6%	88.9%	84.6%
China	Wong Tai Sin	2000	85.0%	87.8%	82.4%
China	Wong Tai Sin	2017	85.1%	88.1%	82.3%
China	Wuhai	2000	84.1%	91.1%	75.1%
China	Wuhai	2017	83.5%	91.4%	73.1%
China	Wuhan	2000	86.8%	88.8%	84.5%
China	Wuhan	2017	86.6%	88.4%	84.3%
China	Wuhu	2000	90.0%	92.5%	86.7%
China	Wuhu	2017	91.9%	94.1%	89.3%
China	Wuwei	2000	87.6%	89.8%	84.9%
China	Wuwei	2017	85.9%	88.2%	83.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Wuxi	2000	87.5%	88.7%	86.0%
China	Wuxi	2017	86.2%	87.5%	84.7%
China	Wuzhong	2000	85.7%	90.3%	79.8%
China	Wuzhong	2017	84.3%	89.2%	78.6%
China	Wuzhou	2000	86.6%	89.0%	84.2%
China	Wuzhou	2017	85.8%	88.1%	83.0%
China	Xi'an	2000	86.1%	88.0%	84.1%
China	Xi'an	2017	87.3%	89.2%	85.5%
China	Xiamen	2000	88.0%	89.3%	86.3%
China	Xiamen	2017	86.0%	87.4%	84.4%
China	Xiangfan	2000	86.4%	88.7%	83.8%
China	Xiangfan	2017	86.4%	88.9%	83.9%
China	Xiangtan	2000	88.1%	90.8%	84.4%
China	Xiangtan	2017	91.0%	93.4%	88.0%
China	Xiangxi Tujia and Miao	2000	87.1%	89.9%	84.2%
China	Xiangxi Tujia and Miao	2017	88.4%	91.1%	85.7%
China	Xianning	2000	86.7%	88.9%	84.5%
China	Xianning	2017	86.6%	88.7%	84.5%
China	Xiantao	2000	86.2%	88.7%	83.3%
China	Xiantao	2017	86.0%	88.5%	83.3%
China	Xianyang	2000	86.1%	88.1%	83.7%
China	Xianyang	2017	87.1%	88.9%	84.6%
China	Xiaogan	2000	86.3%	88.3%	84.1%
China	Xiaogan	2017	86.1%	88.0%	84.0%
China	Xilin Gol	2000	82.1%	90.4%	72.0%
China	Xilin Gol	2017	86.2%	93.5%	76.4%
China	Xing'an	2000	83.1%	89.2%	76.1%
China	Xing'an	2017	86.7%	92.0%	80.7%
China	Xingtai	2000	83.2%	86.0%	80.0%
China	Xingtai	2017	89.3%	91.1%	87.0%
China	Xining	2000	88.0%	91.8%	83.5%
China	Xining	2017	86.5%	91.1%	81.2%
China	Xinxiang	2000	81.9%	84.3%	78.8%
China	Xinxiang	2017	84.5%	86.9%	81.7%
China	Xinyang	2000	86.1%	88.8%	82.8%
China	Xinyang	2017	87.8%	90.4%	84.6%
China	Xinyu	2000	87.4%	89.0%	85.8%
China	Xinyu	2017	86.4%	87.8%	84.7%
China	Xinzhou	2000	81.5%	83.7%	78.9%
China	Xinzhou	2017	87.5%	89.0%	85.7%
China	Xishuangbanna Dai	2000	86.5%	90.5%	81.1%
China	Xishuangbanna Dai	2017	85.2%	89.7%	79.7%
China	Xuancheng	2000	88.4%	91.1%	85.6%
China	Xuancheng	2017	89.3%	91.9%	86.5%
China	Xuchang	2000	86.0%	88.4%	83.4%
China	Xuchang	2017	87.4%	89.7%	85.0%
China	Xuzhou	2000	85.8%	87.3%	84.1%
China	Xuzhou	2017	85.2%	86.7%	83.5%
China	Ya'an	2000	87.1%	89.8%	84.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Ya'an	2017	87.0%	89.9%	84.1%
China	Yan'an	2000	81.6%	84.5%	77.9%
China	Yan'an	2017	83.4%	86.7%	79.4%
China	Yanbian Korean	2000	83.6%	88.4%	79.0%
China	Yanbian Korean	2017	86.8%	90.6%	83.1%
China	Yancheng	2000	87.5%	89.3%	85.6%
China	Yancheng	2017	86.2%	88.3%	84.3%
China	Yangjiang	2000	86.4%	88.5%	83.9%
China	Yangjiang	2017	87.1%	89.3%	84.6%
China	Yangquan	2000	79.5%	82.7%	75.6%
China	Yangquan	2017	86.5%	88.9%	83.7%
China	Yangzhou	2000	87.6%	89.2%	86.1%
China	Yangzhou	2017	86.3%	88.1%	84.5%
China	Yantai	2000	85.0%	87.7%	81.5%
China	Yantai	2017	86.9%	89.3%	83.7%
China	Yau Tsim Mong	2000	85.1%	88.1%	82.5%
China	Yau Tsim Mong	2017	85.4%	88.5%	82.5%
China	Yibin	2000	86.9%	89.1%	84.7%
China	Yibin	2017	86.7%	88.8%	84.4%
China	Yichang	2000	86.5%	88.9%	83.9%
China	Yichang	2017	86.4%	88.7%	83.7%
China	Yichun	2000	82.2%	87.1%	77.1%
China	Yichun	2000	87.2%	88.2%	86.1%
China	Yichun	2017	87.5%	91.3%	82.9%
China	Yichun	2017	86.2%	87.2%	85.0%
China	Yinchuan	2000	83.1%	89.6%	75.4%
China	Yinchuan	2017	82.0%	89.4%	74.2%
China	Yingtian	2000	87.3%	89.0%	85.5%
China	Yingtian	2017	86.3%	88.1%	84.4%
China	Yiyang	2000	88.2%	90.9%	85.2%
China	Yiyang	2017	91.2%	93.4%	88.7%
China	Yongzhou	2000	86.8%	89.7%	84.0%
China	Yongzhou	2017	88.9%	91.4%	86.3%
China	Yuen Long	2000	86.1%	88.3%	84.1%
China	Yuen Long	2017	86.7%	88.5%	84.7%
China	Yueyang	2000	87.6%	89.8%	85.0%
China	Yueyang	2017	89.3%	91.6%	86.9%
China	Yulin	2000	81.3%	84.9%	77.0%
China	Yulin	2000	86.7%	88.7%	85.1%
China	Yulin	2017	84.2%	87.4%	80.1%
China	Yulin	2017	85.7%	87.9%	83.9%
China	Yuncheng	2000	83.5%	85.5%	80.9%
China	Yuncheng	2017	88.5%	89.9%	86.7%
China	Yunfu	2000	86.9%	88.8%	85.0%
China	Yunfu	2017	87.4%	89.3%	85.5%
China	Yuxi	2000	87.4%	89.5%	84.9%
China	Yuxi	2017	86.0%	88.3%	83.4%
China	Zaozhuang	2000	86.9%	88.7%	84.7%
China	Zaozhuang	2017	87.7%	89.3%	85.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Zhangjiajie	2000	88.3%	91.3%	84.9%
China	Zhangjiajie	2017	90.4%	93.2%	87.2%
China	Zhangjiakou	2000	84.0%	87.5%	80.1%
China	Zhangjiakou	2017	89.7%	92.1%	86.9%
China	Zhangye	2000	87.5%	90.3%	84.5%
China	Zhangye	2017	85.9%	88.9%	82.3%
China	Zhangzhou	2000	87.4%	88.6%	85.7%
China	Zhangzhou	2017	85.5%	87.0%	83.7%
China	Zhanjiang	2000	86.7%	88.9%	84.4%
China	Zhanjiang	2017	87.2%	89.3%	85.2%
China	Zhaoqing	2000	86.8%	88.6%	85.0%
China	Zhaoqing	2017	87.3%	89.0%	85.4%
China	Zhaotong	2000	86.7%	88.7%	84.6%
China	Zhaotong	2017	86.0%	87.9%	84.0%
China	Zhengzhou	2000	84.5%	87.1%	81.8%
China	Zhengzhou	2017	86.0%	88.4%	83.3%
China	Zhenjiang	2000	87.3%	88.7%	85.7%
China	Zhenjiang	2017	85.9%	87.5%	84.2%
China	Zhongshan	2000	86.1%	87.8%	84.3%
China	Zhongshan	2017	86.8%	88.3%	85.1%
China	Zhongwei	2000	86.4%	90.0%	82.3%
China	Zhongwei	2017	84.7%	88.6%	80.3%
China	Zhoukou	2000	86.1%	88.4%	83.2%
China	Zhoukou	2017	87.9%	89.9%	85.5%
China	Zhoushan	2000	86.4%	90.2%	82.6%
China	Zhoushan	2017	85.6%	89.7%	81.7%
China	Zhuhai	2000	85.8%	88.0%	83.7%
China	Zhuhai	2017	86.3%	88.6%	84.2%
China	Zhumadian	2000	85.8%	88.3%	82.5%
China	Zhumadian	2017	87.3%	89.4%	84.7%
China	Zhuzhou	2000	86.7%	89.0%	84.0%
China	Zhuzhou	2017	88.3%	90.2%	85.8%
China	Zibo	2000	85.3%	87.8%	82.7%
China	Zibo	2017	87.1%	89.6%	84.6%
China	Zigong	2000	87.3%	88.9%	85.3%
China	Zigong	2017	87.2%	88.9%	85.3%
China	Ziyang	2000	87.0%	88.8%	85.1%
China	Ziyang	2017	86.8%	88.4%	85.0%
China	Zunyi	2000	87.1%	88.7%	85.5%
China	Zunyi	2017	87.2%	88.9%	85.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat	2000	62.6%	72.0%	52.9%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat	2017	71.6%	78.5%	63.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat Daya	2000	29.4%	36.5%	23.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat Daya	2017	35.1%	42.3%	28.6%
Indonesia	Aceh Besar	2000	89.9%	94.6%	83.4%
Indonesia	Aceh Besar	2017	91.8%	95.9%	85.5%
Indonesia	Aceh Jaya	2000	72.6%	82.0%	61.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Jaya	2017	77.6%	85.1%	67.9%
Indonesia	Aceh Selatan	2000	67.7%	82.7%	53.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Selatan	2017	71.1%	86.0%	55.5%
Indonesia	Aceh Singkil	2000	60.0%	68.3%	51.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Aceh Singkil	2017	65.3%	72.8%	57.4%
Indonesia	Aceh Tamiang	2000	79.1%	82.6%	75.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Tamiang	2017	81.4%	84.9%	77.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Tengah	2000	59.5%	70.3%	48.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Tengah	2017	64.6%	75.4%	53.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Tenggara	2000	54.7%	66.0%	43.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Tenggara	2017	60.2%	73.1%	48.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Timur	2000	68.7%	74.0%	63.2%
Indonesia	Aceh Timur	2017	73.6%	78.5%	68.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Utara	2000	76.7%	80.9%	72.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Utara	2017	81.6%	85.5%	77.1%
Indonesia	Agam	2000	65.7%	74.4%	57.5%
Indonesia	Agam	2017	72.8%	81.7%	63.8%
Indonesia	Alor	2000	80.9%	95.7%	62.9%
Indonesia	Alor	2017	82.9%	96.6%	66.2%
Indonesia	Ambon	2000	84.3%	92.0%	74.7%
Indonesia	Ambon	2017	90.1%	96.1%	81.1%
Indonesia	Asahan	2000	75.6%	78.7%	72.5%
Indonesia	Asahan	2017	80.0%	83.0%	76.6%
Indonesia	Asmat	2000	68.1%	83.7%	49.9%
Indonesia	Asmat	2017	72.6%	83.6%	61.5%
Indonesia	Badung	2000	95.4%	96.2%	94.5%
Indonesia	Badung	2017	97.6%	98.1%	97.0%
Indonesia	Balangan	2000	53.5%	64.7%	42.4%
Indonesia	Balangan	2017	63.7%	73.4%	53.7%
Indonesia	Balikpapan	2000	80.6%	86.5%	69.3%
Indonesia	Balikpapan	2017	80.2%	86.6%	73.4%
Indonesia	Banda Aceh	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.8%
Indonesia	Banda Aceh	2017	98.2%	98.6%	97.8%
Indonesia	Bandar Lampung	2000	87.5%	88.4%	86.6%
Indonesia	Bandar Lampung	2017	93.3%	93.8%	92.8%
Indonesia	Bandung	2000	59.3%	60.7%	58.1%
Indonesia	Bandung	2017	69.9%	71.3%	68.6%
Indonesia	Bandung Barat	2000	76.4%	78.6%	74.0%
Indonesia	Bandung Barat	2017	82.8%	84.6%	80.6%
Indonesia	Banggai	2000	79.8%	88.3%	69.9%
Indonesia	Banggai	2017	81.8%	89.6%	71.9%
Indonesia	Banggai Kepulauan	2000	67.9%	81.3%	53.3%
Indonesia	Banggai Kepulauan	2017	72.2%	85.8%	58.3%
Indonesia	Bangka	2000	87.4%	93.6%	80.1%
Indonesia	Bangka	2017	91.5%	96.0%	85.8%
Indonesia	Bangka Barat	2000	80.9%	92.8%	67.2%
Indonesia	Bangka Barat	2017	84.7%	95.1%	71.2%
Indonesia	Bangka Selatan	2000	82.7%	95.0%	66.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Bangka Selatan	2017	85.5%	96.7%	70.5%
Indonesia	Bangka Tengah	2000	86.4%	92.7%	77.4%
Indonesia	Bangka Tengah	2017	89.9%	95.6%	81.3%
Indonesia	Bangkalan	2000	71.9%	75.1%	67.7%
Indonesia	Bangkalan	2017	76.1%	79.4%	71.9%
Indonesia	Bangli	2000	91.5%	93.5%	88.3%
Indonesia	Bangli	2017	94.4%	95.7%	92.3%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	53.3%	56.5%	50.1%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	71.8%	76.7%	66.0%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	62.2%	66.0%	57.7%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	81.1%	84.9%	76.0%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	65.8%	73.2%	57.3%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	70.8%	79.3%	62.7%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	74.5%	75.7%	73.4%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	83.4%	84.2%	82.6%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	48.1%	52.6%	43.6%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	58.6%	63.0%	54.6%
Indonesia	Bantaeng	2000	94.0%	97.1%	89.9%
Indonesia	Bantaeng	2017	94.2%	97.3%	90.4%
Indonesia	Bantul	2000	90.8%	91.3%	90.0%
Indonesia	Bantul	2017	94.7%	95.1%	94.3%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2000	74.2%	79.3%	68.4%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2017	78.6%	82.8%	74.3%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2000	78.0%	81.7%	73.9%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2017	85.0%	87.6%	82.4%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2000	84.0%	89.4%	77.4%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2017	88.4%	92.4%	82.8%
Indonesia	Barito Kuala	2000	45.5%	48.4%	43.0%
Indonesia	Barito Kuala	2017	56.0%	59.3%	52.8%
Indonesia	Barito Selatan	2000	59.2%	67.1%	52.0%
Indonesia	Barito Selatan	2017	62.9%	71.0%	55.3%
Indonesia	Barito Timur	2000	74.3%	82.3%	66.4%
Indonesia	Barito Timur	2017	77.2%	85.6%	68.8%
Indonesia	Barito Utara	2000	61.5%	70.0%	51.6%
Indonesia	Barito Utara	2017	66.8%	74.7%	57.0%
Indonesia	Barru	2000	90.0%	93.6%	86.4%
Indonesia	Barru	2017	92.7%	96.1%	88.5%
Indonesia	Batam	2000	89.6%	92.6%	86.2%
Indonesia	Batam	2017	91.7%	94.8%	88.1%
Indonesia	Batang	2000	71.1%	75.3%	67.1%
Indonesia	Batang	2017	77.1%	80.8%	73.3%
Indonesia	Batang Hari	2000	70.2%	75.7%	65.6%
Indonesia	Batang Hari	2017	75.0%	80.0%	70.5%
Indonesia	Batu	2000	88.5%	89.8%	87.1%
Indonesia	Batu	2017	93.5%	94.3%	92.7%
Indonesia	Batu Bara	2000	72.0%	75.3%	68.6%
Indonesia	Batu Bara	2017	77.5%	80.3%	74.5%
Indonesia	Bau-Bau	2000	88.0%	90.3%	84.8%
Indonesia	Bau-Bau	2017	91.4%	93.4%	88.3%
Indonesia	Bekasi	2000	77.8%	79.9%	75.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Bekasi	2017	84.3%	86.0%	82.3%
Indonesia	Belitung	2000	83.3%	94.4%	70.1%
Indonesia	Belitung	2017	85.9%	96.1%	73.6%
Indonesia	Belitung	2000	81.4%	96.1%	62.1%
Indonesia	Timur Belitung	2017	84.0%	97.7%	64.8%
Indonesia	Belu	2000	77.6%	99.8%	47.4%
Indonesia	Belu	2017	79.2%	99.9%	48.9%
Indonesia	Bener Meriah	2000	68.3%	78.2%	57.9%
Indonesia	Bener Meriah	2017	73.5%	82.8%	63.5%
Indonesia	Bengkalis	2000	78.7%	84.1%	72.0%
Indonesia	Bengkalis	2017	80.7%	85.2%	75.6%
Indonesia	Bengkayang	2000	68.5%	96.5%	42.2%
Indonesia	Bengkayang	2017	70.2%	97.1%	45.5%
Indonesia	Bengkulu	2000	90.4%	91.7%	88.9%
Indonesia	Bengkulu	2017	94.6%	95.4%	93.7%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Se- latan	2000	71.2%	84.3%	57.7%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Se- latan	2017	75.8%	88.2%	61.1%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Ten- gah	2000	68.8%	76.8%	60.3%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Ten- gah	2017	73.0%	81.1%	64.7%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Utara	2000	73.5%	82.0%	64.9%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Utara	2017	76.1%	83.6%	67.5%
Indonesia	Berau	2000	74.1%	81.1%	64.7%
Indonesia	Berau	2017	78.7%	85.1%	70.3%
Indonesia	Biak Numfor	2000	77.8%	94.4%	50.1%
Indonesia	Biak Numfor	2017	80.2%	95.4%	54.1%
Indonesia	Bima	2000	76.0%	85.5%	66.4%
Indonesia	Bima	2017	79.1%	88.0%	69.0%
Indonesia	Bintan	2000	75.9%	90.2%	53.8%
Indonesia	Bintan	2017	82.0%	93.8%	63.5%
Indonesia	Bireuen	2000	80.3%	86.5%	73.2%
Indonesia	Bireuen	2017	83.4%	88.9%	76.3%
Indonesia	Bitung	2000	87.4%	90.2%	84.3%
Indonesia	Bitung	2017	92.2%	93.9%	90.1%
Indonesia	Blitar	2000	81.1%	82.9%	79.0%
Indonesia	Blitar	2017	86.9%	88.2%	85.4%
Indonesia	Blora	2000	80.1%	83.1%	76.2%
Indonesia	Blora	2017	84.7%	87.3%	81.3%
Indonesia	Boalemo	2000	84.1%	100.0%	39.9%
Indonesia	Boalemo	2017	84.7%	100.0%	42.3%
Indonesia	Bogor	2000	61.4%	64.6%	58.1%
Indonesia	Bogor	2017	69.7%	72.5%	66.5%
Indonesia	Bojonegoro	2000	78.6%	83.1%	72.8%
Indonesia	Bojonegoro	2017	84.6%	89.0%	78.3%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mon- gondow	2000	84.9%	92.6%	74.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow	2017	88.0%	95.0%	76.5%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Selatan	2000	68.4%	89.6%	44.2%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Selatan	2017	71.4%	92.6%	47.3%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Timur	2000	72.2%	84.3%	55.2%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Timur	2017	76.2%	87.3%	61.3%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Utara	2000	77.8%	91.0%	63.3%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Utara	2017	82.8%	93.3%	69.6%
Indonesia	Bombana	2000	75.2%	88.2%	58.5%
Indonesia	Bombana	2017	80.1%	92.2%	62.4%
Indonesia	Bondowoso	2000	72.4%	81.4%	61.9%
Indonesia	Bondowoso	2017	78.4%	86.0%	69.3%
Indonesia	Bone	2000	84.5%	89.6%	79.1%
Indonesia	Bone	2017	87.6%	91.9%	82.1%
Indonesia	Bone Bolango	2000	65.8%	85.1%	42.8%
Indonesia	Bone Bolango	2017	67.5%	86.4%	44.9%
Indonesia	Bontang	2000	80.5%	91.1%	61.9%
Indonesia	Bontang	2017	87.4%	94.9%	73.1%
Indonesia	Boven Digoel	2000	75.9%	83.9%	64.9%
Indonesia	Boven Digoel	2017	78.8%	85.3%	70.7%
Indonesia	Boyolali	2000	85.8%	87.3%	83.9%
Indonesia	Boyolali	2017	89.9%	90.9%	88.7%
Indonesia	Brebes	2000	80.1%	84.7%	75.3%
Indonesia	Brebes	2017	86.9%	90.1%	83.4%
Indonesia	Bukittinggi	2000	70.5%	73.5%	66.9%
Indonesia	Bukittinggi	2017	80.9%	83.3%	77.5%
Indonesia	Buleleng	2000	92.3%	94.3%	90.1%
Indonesia	Buleleng	2017	95.7%	96.8%	94.2%
Indonesia	Bulukumba	2000	86.4%	91.1%	81.3%
Indonesia	Bulukumba	2017	89.0%	92.8%	84.4%
Indonesia	Bulungan	2000	72.2%	82.4%	59.4%
Indonesia	Bulungan	2017	76.5%	85.5%	66.4%
Indonesia	Bungo	2000	81.7%	90.3%	71.6%
Indonesia	Bungo	2017	85.2%	92.6%	76.7%
Indonesia	Buol	2000	67.8%	77.9%	54.5%
Indonesia	Buol	2017	72.5%	81.7%	60.1%
Indonesia	Buru	2000	68.6%	86.9%	46.8%
Indonesia	Buru	2017	72.0%	90.2%	50.5%
Indonesia	Buru Selatan	2000	73.4%	95.5%	49.6%
Indonesia	Buru Selatan	2017	75.3%	96.6%	51.8%
Indonesia	Buton	2000	83.4%	90.5%	74.1%
Indonesia	Buton	2017	85.4%	92.0%	77.9%
Indonesia	Buton Utara	2000	70.5%	84.4%	55.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Buton Utara	2017	72.9%	87.7%	56.1%
Indonesia	Ciamis	2000	59.2%	61.8%	56.1%
Indonesia	Ciamis	2017	68.0%	70.3%	65.8%
Indonesia	Cianjur	2000	38.0%	40.7%	35.7%
Indonesia	Cianjur	2017	46.6%	49.3%	43.9%
Indonesia	Cilacap	2000	74.1%	77.0%	71.1%
Indonesia	Cilacap	2017	81.3%	83.5%	79.0%
Indonesia	Cilegon	2000	83.9%	85.3%	82.5%
Indonesia	Cilegon	2017	88.3%	89.5%	87.0%
Indonesia	Cimahi	2000	55.6%	56.8%	54.4%
Indonesia	Cimahi	2017	66.5%	67.7%	65.6%
Indonesia	Cirebon	2000	82.0%	83.2%	80.7%
Indonesia	Cirebon	2017	87.8%	88.7%	86.7%
Indonesia	Dairi	2000	64.6%	70.8%	58.9%
Indonesia	Dairi	2017	69.2%	74.5%	63.6%
Indonesia	Danau	2000	75.4%	94.0%	46.0%
Indonesia	Danau	2017	81.7%	96.6%	53.9%
Indonesia	Danau Limboto	2000	97.9%	100.0%	84.1%
Indonesia	Danau Limboto	2017	98.4%	100.0%	87.2%
Indonesia	Deiyai	2000	67.5%	83.2%	49.9%
Indonesia	Deiyai	2017	72.6%	87.8%	54.9%
Indonesia	Deli Serdang	2000	89.7%	91.0%	88.4%
Indonesia	Deli Serdang	2017	93.2%	94.3%	92.0%
Indonesia	Demak	2000	79.4%	82.4%	74.6%
Indonesia	Demak	2017	84.8%	87.2%	81.4%
Indonesia	Denpasar	2000	96.4%	96.9%	95.7%
Indonesia	Denpasar	2017	98.1%	98.4%	97.7%
Indonesia	Depok	2000	89.0%	89.5%	88.4%
Indonesia	Depok	2017	93.1%	93.5%	92.7%
Indonesia	Dharmasraya	2000	83.5%	91.1%	72.6%
Indonesia	Dharmasraya	2017	86.2%	93.7%	74.4%
Indonesia	Dogiyai	2000	70.5%	81.2%	56.1%
Indonesia	Dogiyai	2017	74.7%	84.4%	61.1%
Indonesia	Dompu	2000	79.3%	91.3%	67.5%
Indonesia	Dompu	2017	81.8%	93.2%	70.3%
Indonesia	Donggala	2000	80.2%	91.3%	69.2%
Indonesia	Donggala	2017	83.8%	93.8%	73.0%
Indonesia	Dumai	2000	82.0%	95.0%	64.1%
Indonesia	Dumai	2017	86.6%	96.3%	71.6%
Indonesia	Empat Lawang	2000	50.8%	64.9%	35.0%
Indonesia	Empat Lawang	2017	56.5%	69.8%	39.8%
Indonesia	Ende	2000	80.1%	92.5%	61.5%
Indonesia	Ende	2017	82.3%	93.9%	65.8%
Indonesia	Enrekang	2000	82.2%	86.1%	78.1%
Indonesia	Enrekang	2017	85.1%	89.0%	80.7%
Indonesia	Fakfak	2000	75.4%	84.3%	64.1%
Indonesia	Fakfak	2017	78.9%	86.2%	68.8%
Indonesia	Flores Timur	2000	83.0%	100.0%	54.1%
Indonesia	Flores Timur	2017	84.2%	100.0%	56.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Garut	2000	44.0%	47.0%	41.4%
Indonesia	Garut	2017	53.5%	56.3%	50.8%
Indonesia	Gayo Lues	2000	39.9%	49.8%	31.5%
Indonesia	Gayo Lues	2017	44.9%	55.7%	35.8%
Indonesia	Gianyar	2000	97.6%	98.0%	97.1%
Indonesia	Gianyar	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.5%
Indonesia	Gorontalo	2000	91.3%	99.9%	59.8%
Indonesia	Gorontalo	2017	91.9%	100.0%	60.9%
Indonesia	Gorontalo Utara	2000	79.0%	98.7%	43.8%
Indonesia	Gorontalo Utara	2017	80.7%	99.1%	47.3%
Indonesia	Gowa	2000	87.7%	90.5%	85.0%
Indonesia	Gowa	2017	89.5%	92.3%	86.2%
Indonesia	Gresik	2000	84.1%	85.5%	82.4%
Indonesia	Gresik	2017	89.7%	90.8%	88.2%
Indonesia	Grobogan	2000	82.4%	84.1%	80.4%
Indonesia	Grobogan	2017	87.1%	88.5%	85.3%
Indonesia	Gunung Kidul	2000	81.9%	82.9%	80.9%
Indonesia	Gunung Kidul	2017	86.6%	87.4%	85.8%
Indonesia	Gunung Mas	2000	54.1%	65.8%	39.5%
Indonesia	Gunung Mas	2017	60.8%	71.9%	44.3%
Indonesia	Gunungsitoli	2000	48.9%	72.1%	27.2%
Indonesia	Gunungsitoli	2017	57.9%	80.6%	33.1%
Indonesia	Halmahera Barat	2000	77.7%	88.7%	59.9%
Indonesia	Halmahera Barat	2017	81.4%	91.0%	69.0%
Indonesia	Halmahera Selatan	2000	73.6%	86.3%	59.8%
Indonesia	Halmahera Selatan	2017	75.8%	87.6%	62.7%
Indonesia	Halmahera Tengah	2000	83.4%	93.0%	68.3%
Indonesia	Halmahera Tengah	2017	85.7%	94.7%	71.0%
Indonesia	Halmahera Timur	2000	79.9%	92.1%	65.1%
Indonesia	Halmahera Timur	2017	82.3%	94.6%	65.8%
Indonesia	Halmahera Utara	2000	85.5%	94.3%	71.6%
Indonesia	Halmahera Utara	2017	87.8%	96.1%	75.6%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Selatan	2000	60.2%	67.1%	54.2%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Selatan	2017	66.6%	74.9%	58.5%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Tengah	2000	62.8%	66.4%	59.3%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Tengah	2017	67.1%	71.7%	62.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Utara	2000	58.8%	61.5%	55.5%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Utara	2017	65.8%	68.9%	62.2%
Indonesia	Humbang Hasingdutan	2000	76.2%	90.2%	60.4%
Indonesia	Humbang Hasingdutan	2017	80.1%	92.2%	65.7%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hilir	2000	54.6%	60.9%	47.7%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hilir	2017	60.4%	66.4%	53.8%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hulu	2000	76.2%	80.4%	70.8%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hulu	2017	78.3%	82.6%	72.7%
Indonesia	Indramayu	2000	83.5%	86.6%	79.0%
Indonesia	Indramayu	2017	89.0%	91.3%	85.7%
Indonesia	Intan Jaya	2000	55.9%	71.5%	39.6%
Indonesia	Intan Jaya	2017	58.8%	73.5%	42.6%
Indonesia	Jakarta Barat	2000	93.3%	93.6%	92.9%
Indonesia	Jakarta Barat	2017	96.3%	96.5%	96.1%
Indonesia	Jakarta Pusat	2000	87.0%	87.4%	86.5%
Indonesia	Jakarta Pusat	2017	92.4%	92.7%	92.0%
Indonesia	Jakarta Selatan	2000	91.3%	91.7%	91.0%
Indonesia	Jakarta Selatan	2017	95.2%	95.4%	95.0%
Indonesia	Jakarta Timur	2000	92.9%	93.2%	92.6%
Indonesia	Jakarta Timur	2017	96.1%	96.2%	95.9%
Indonesia	Jakarta Utara	2000	90.7%	91.3%	90.0%
Indonesia	Jakarta Utara	2017	94.7%	95.1%	94.2%
Indonesia	Jambi	2000	91.2%	92.0%	90.4%
Indonesia	Jambi	2017	95.1%	95.6%	94.5%
Indonesia	Jayapura	2000	77.6%	86.7%	65.0%
Indonesia	Jayapura	2017	81.8%	89.6%	69.6%
Indonesia	Jayawijaya	2000	75.8%	89.7%	58.2%
Indonesia	Jayawijaya	2017	79.9%	91.1%	65.0%
Indonesia	Jember	2000	83.8%	87.9%	79.6%
Indonesia	Jember	2017	87.9%	91.1%	84.3%
Indonesia	Jembrana	2000	96.1%	98.3%	90.7%
Indonesia	Jembrana	2017	97.7%	99.0%	93.7%
Indonesia	Jeneponto	2000	80.9%	94.1%	62.5%
Indonesia	Jeneponto	2017	84.8%	95.3%	69.8%
Indonesia	Jepara	2000	82.4%	84.0%	80.6%
Indonesia	Jepara	2017	86.9%	88.2%	85.2%
Indonesia	Jombang	2000	84.2%	87.5%	80.5%
Indonesia	Jombang	2017	88.9%	91.4%	85.8%
Indonesia	Kaimana	2000	68.8%	79.6%	56.7%
Indonesia	Kaimana	2017	72.2%	80.8%	61.6%
Indonesia	Kampar	2000	77.5%	81.7%	72.9%
Indonesia	Kampar	2017	82.1%	85.9%	77.8%
Indonesia	Kapuas	2000	49.3%	56.2%	41.2%
Indonesia	Kapuas	2017	56.3%	63.4%	47.3%
Indonesia	Kapuas Hulu	2000	71.2%	96.1%	46.3%
Indonesia	Kapuas Hulu	2017	72.6%	95.8%	47.2%
Indonesia	Karanganyar	2000	91.9%	92.8%	90.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Karanganyar	2017	95.3%	95.8%	94.7%
Indonesia	Karangasem	2000	79.9%	93.5%	61.1%
Indonesia	Karangasem	2017	85.0%	95.9%	68.9%
Indonesia	Karawang	2000	73.7%	77.5%	70.3%
Indonesia	Karawang	2017	80.6%	83.4%	77.7%
Indonesia	Karimun	2000	77.0%	84.5%	68.0%
Indonesia	Karimun	2017	80.2%	87.8%	71.2%
Indonesia	Karo	2000	69.6%	73.6%	65.8%
Indonesia	Karo	2017	75.4%	79.5%	70.8%
Indonesia	Katingan	2000	57.6%	66.4%	48.1%
Indonesia	Katingan	2017	60.5%	68.7%	52.7%
Indonesia	Kaur	2000	68.8%	92.4%	45.8%
Indonesia	Kaur	2017	74.0%	93.4%	53.0%
Indonesia	Kayong Utara	2000	70.9%	98.0%	38.0%
Indonesia	Kayong Utara	2017	72.5%	98.5%	39.3%
Indonesia	Kebumen	2000	75.3%	78.8%	71.5%
Indonesia	Kebumen	2017	82.7%	85.2%	79.7%
Indonesia	Kediri	2000	82.4%	83.7%	81.0%
Indonesia	Kediri	2017	87.5%	88.6%	86.5%
Indonesia	Keerom	2000	73.5%	83.6%	57.5%
Indonesia	Keerom	2017	77.3%	86.5%	62.3%
Indonesia	Kendal	2000	82.7%	86.4%	78.7%
Indonesia	Kendal	2017	88.7%	91.4%	85.0%
Indonesia	Kendari	2000	88.7%	91.4%	85.9%
Indonesia	Kendari	2017	92.5%	94.6%	89.9%
Indonesia	Kepahiang	2000	78.3%	83.4%	72.5%
Indonesia	Kepahiang	2017	81.6%	85.7%	76.1%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Anambas	2000	27.7%	36.5%	20.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Anambas	2017	31.9%	41.0%	23.6%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Aru	2000	70.3%	89.0%	51.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Aru	2017	72.6%	90.6%	53.1%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Mentawai	2000	69.8%	94.3%	44.9%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Mentawai	2017	71.8%	94.3%	48.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Meranti	2000	65.0%	75.3%	54.1%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Meranti	2017	67.6%	78.6%	56.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sangihe	2000	72.6%	79.2%	63.9%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sangihe	2017	77.2%	84.2%	65.9%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Selayar	2000	82.1%	96.8%	62.8%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Selayar	2017	83.8%	97.6%	63.6%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Seribu	2000	74.9%	99.7%	26.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kepulauan Seribu	2017	79.5%	99.8%	33.1%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sula	2000	76.5%	93.5%	58.8%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sula	2017	79.5%	95.4%	62.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Talaud	2000	44.6%	48.9%	39.5%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Talaud	2017	49.8%	54.4%	44.6%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Yapen	2000	72.2%	90.7%	50.5%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Yapen	2017	75.1%	92.0%	54.9%
Indonesia	Kerinci	2000	63.4%	72.3%	56.2%
Indonesia	Kerinci	2017	68.7%	77.2%	61.4%
Indonesia	Ketapang	2000	70.3%	92.8%	45.7%
Indonesia	Ketapang	2017	71.9%	92.5%	49.5%
Indonesia	Klaten	2000	94.0%	94.9%	93.0%
Indonesia	Klaten	2017	96.4%	97.0%	95.8%
Indonesia	Klungkung	2000	94.6%	97.2%	87.0%
Indonesia	Klungkung	2017	96.6%	98.4%	90.3%
Indonesia	Kolaka	2000	74.3%	79.6%	68.6%
Indonesia	Kolaka	2017	77.4%	83.1%	70.9%
Indonesia	Kolaka Utara	2000	78.1%	98.3%	48.7%
Indonesia	Kolaka Utara	2017	80.5%	98.9%	50.3%
Indonesia	Konawe	2000	75.5%	81.3%	69.1%
Indonesia	Konawe	2017	78.7%	84.1%	72.9%
Indonesia	Konawe Selatan	2000	67.0%	74.0%	59.6%
Indonesia	Konawe Selatan	2017	71.4%	78.0%	63.9%
Indonesia	Konawe Utara	2000	67.9%	80.0%	53.7%
Indonesia	Konawe Utara	2017	69.7%	80.8%	57.2%
Indonesia	Kota Bandung	2000	45.4%	46.3%	44.4%
Indonesia	Kota Bandung	2017	57.2%	58.1%	56.2%
Indonesia	Kota Baru	2000	63.3%	70.4%	56.1%
Indonesia	Kota Baru	2017	67.3%	74.5%	59.4%
Indonesia	Kota Bekasi	2000	92.9%	93.5%	92.4%
Indonesia	Kota Bekasi	2017	95.6%	96.0%	95.3%
Indonesia	Kota Bima	2000	98.8%	100.0%	90.1%
Indonesia	Kota Bima	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.6%
Indonesia	Kota Binjai	2000	89.4%	90.6%	88.3%
Indonesia	Kota Binjai	2017	93.6%	94.4%	92.9%
Indonesia	Kota Blitar	2000	92.5%	93.3%	91.4%
Indonesia	Kota Blitar	2017	96.0%	96.5%	95.5%
Indonesia	Kota Bogor	2000	79.1%	80.0%	78.2%
Indonesia	Kota Bogor	2017	86.9%	87.5%	86.3%
Indonesia	Kota Cirebon	2000	91.8%	92.5%	91.1%
Indonesia	Kota Cirebon	2017	95.4%	95.7%	94.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kota Gorontalo	2000	97.9%	98.9%	96.6%
Indonesia	Kota Gorontalo	2017	98.1%	99.1%	96.8%
Indonesia	Kota Jayapura	2000	83.4%	94.7%	62.9%
Indonesia	Kota Jayapura	2017	89.4%	97.0%	75.2%
Indonesia	Kota Kediri	2000	90.5%	91.2%	89.7%
Indonesia	Kota Kediri	2017	94.1%	94.5%	93.5%
Indonesia	Kota Kupang	2000	87.3%	92.2%	78.7%
Indonesia	Kota Kupang	2017	90.3%	94.5%	82.7%
Indonesia	Kota Madiun	2000	95.2%	95.8%	94.7%
Indonesia	Kota Madiun	2017	97.4%	97.7%	97.1%
Indonesia	Kota Magelang	2000	74.9%	76.2%	73.6%
Indonesia	Kota Magelang	2017	84.1%	85.1%	83.2%
Indonesia	Kota Malang	2000	81.2%	82.1%	80.2%
Indonesia	Kota Malang	2017	88.4%	89.1%	87.8%
Indonesia	Kota Medan	2000	95.8%	96.1%	95.4%
Indonesia	Kota Medan	2017	97.8%	98.0%	97.6%
Indonesia	Kota Mojokerto	2000	92.5%	93.4%	91.6%
Indonesia	Kota Mojokerto	2017	95.8%	96.3%	95.3%
Indonesia	Kota Pasuruan	2000	92.1%	93.2%	91.0%
Indonesia	Kota Pasuruan	2017	95.6%	96.2%	95.0%
Indonesia	Kota Pekalongan	2000	91.7%	92.5%	90.9%
Indonesia	Kota Pekalongan	2017	95.3%	95.8%	94.7%
Indonesia	Kota Pontianak	2000	89.3%	96.1%	77.3%
Indonesia	Kota Pontianak	2017	92.8%	97.8%	81.4%
Indonesia	Kota Probolinggo	2000	89.5%	90.9%	87.9%
Indonesia	Kota Probolinggo	2017	94.1%	94.9%	93.2%
Indonesia	Kota Semarang	2000	94.5%	95.1%	93.7%
Indonesia	Kota Semarang	2017	97.1%	97.4%	96.6%
Indonesia	Kota Serang	2000	92.9%	94.5%	90.9%
Indonesia	Kota Serang	2017	95.6%	96.6%	94.4%
Indonesia	Kota Solok	2000	79.0%	84.1%	73.4%
Indonesia	Kota Solok	2017	87.2%	90.4%	83.4%
Indonesia	Kota Sorong	2000	58.6%	78.7%	37.8%
Indonesia	Kota Sorong	2017	68.8%	86.2%	48.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kota Suk-abumi	2000	45.5%	47.2%	43.9%
Indonesia	Kota Suk-abumi	2017	58.6%	60.2%	57.1%
Indonesia	Kota Tangerang	2000	89.2%	90.0%	88.5%
Indonesia	Kota Tangerang	2017	93.5%	94.1%	93.0%
Indonesia	Kota Tanjung-balai	2000	76.1%	78.3%	72.8%
Indonesia	Kota Tanjung-balai	2017	86.8%	88.2%	84.9%
Indonesia	Kota Tasik-malaya	2000	37.4%	39.8%	35.0%
Indonesia	Kota Tasik-malaya	2017	47.6%	50.4%	45.0%
Indonesia	Kota Tegal	2000	93.6%	94.2%	92.8%
Indonesia	Kota Tegal	2017	96.5%	96.8%	96.1%
Indonesia	Kota Yogyakarta	2000	87.5%	88.3%	86.7%
Indonesia	Kota Yogyakarta	2017	92.7%	93.2%	92.2%
Indonesia	Kotamobagu	2000	90.9%	94.6%	85.9%
Indonesia	Kotamobagu	2017	94.6%	97.0%	91.1%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Barat	2000	75.8%	79.9%	71.1%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Barat	2017	76.9%	81.5%	71.7%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Timur	2000	61.1%	69.4%	51.8%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Timur	2017	64.4%	72.1%	55.2%
Indonesia	Kuantan Singingi	2000	70.3%	77.6%	62.9%
Indonesia	Kuantan Singingi	2017	75.6%	81.7%	69.6%
Indonesia	Kubu Raya	2000	77.4%	89.4%	61.7%
Indonesia	Kubu Raya	2017	80.9%	90.9%	67.1%
Indonesia	Kudus	2000	91.9%	92.9%	90.9%
Indonesia	Kudus	2017	95.3%	95.8%	94.6%
Indonesia	Kulon Progo	2000	87.9%	88.7%	86.9%
Indonesia	Kulon Progo	2017	93.1%	93.8%	92.3%
Indonesia	Kuningan	2000	76.7%	79.0%	73.9%
Indonesia	Kuningan	2017	83.3%	85.1%	81.1%
Indonesia	Kupang	2000	74.8%	84.5%	64.0%
Indonesia	Kupang	2017	78.0%	87.0%	67.6%
Indonesia	Kutai Barat	2000	67.8%	74.5%	60.2%
Indonesia	Kutai Barat	2017	72.7%	78.9%	66.8%
Indonesia	Kutai Kartanegara	2000	73.0%	79.2%	66.5%
Indonesia	Kutai Kartanegara	2017	78.1%	84.0%	72.2%
Indonesia	Kutai Timur	2000	75.1%	81.1%	69.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kutai Timur	2017	78.7%	84.9%	73.7%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu	2000	80.6%	84.8%	75.8%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu	2017	81.7%	86.5%	77.1%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu	2000	75.9%	83.0%	68.7%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Selatan	2017	80.7%	86.6%	73.9%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Utara	2000	75.7%	81.8%	69.4%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Utara	2017	78.4%	85.0%	71.4%
Indonesia	Lahat	2000	62.0%	68.7%	54.0%
Indonesia	Lahat	2017	68.0%	73.5%	61.0%
Indonesia	Lake Toba	2000	83.2%	90.4%	73.3%
Indonesia	Lake Toba	2017	85.2%	91.9%	77.0%
Indonesia	Lamandau	2000	57.3%	69.3%	46.8%
Indonesia	Lamandau	2017	61.6%	71.2%	52.1%
Indonesia	Lamongan	2000	84.5%	86.6%	81.7%
Indonesia	Lamongan	2017	89.5%	91.3%	87.1%
Indonesia	Lampung Barat	2000	65.3%	73.8%	56.5%
Indonesia	Lampung Barat	2017	68.6%	77.2%	58.5%
Indonesia	Lampung Selatan	2000	83.7%	87.2%	79.9%
Indonesia	Lampung Selatan	2017	88.5%	91.6%	84.4%
Indonesia	Lampung Tengah	2000	77.4%	80.1%	73.9%
Indonesia	Lampung Tengah	2017	81.1%	83.8%	77.4%
Indonesia	Lampung Timur	2000	75.8%	78.7%	72.9%
Indonesia	Lampung Timur	2017	79.2%	82.2%	76.2%
Indonesia	Lampung Utara	2000	77.2%	79.8%	74.4%
Indonesia	Lampung Utara	2017	81.1%	83.2%	78.8%
Indonesia	Landak	2000	70.9%	99.0%	42.1%
Indonesia	Landak	2017	72.5%	99.0%	45.1%
Indonesia	Langkat	2000	77.8%	81.2%	74.1%
Indonesia	Langkat	2017	83.3%	85.9%	80.4%
Indonesia	Langsa	2000	88.7%	90.1%	87.2%
Indonesia	Langsa	2017	93.4%	94.2%	92.4%
Indonesia	Lanny Jaya	2000	68.0%	85.8%	48.3%
Indonesia	Lanny Jaya	2017	72.3%	88.6%	53.1%
Indonesia	Lebak	2000	72.9%	80.4%	65.3%
Indonesia	Lebak	2017	78.3%	84.3%	71.9%
Indonesia	Lebong	2000	56.8%	75.0%	38.7%
Indonesia	Lebong	2017	60.1%	78.9%	42.4%
Indonesia	Lembata	2000	73.8%	90.4%	52.5%
Indonesia	Lembata	2017	76.0%	91.6%	55.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Lhokseumawe	2000	80.1%	92.3%	62.1%
Indonesia	Lhokseumawe	2017	81.6%	93.2%	61.5%
Indonesia	Lima Puluh Kota	2000	40.5%	49.7%	32.1%
Indonesia	Lima Puluh Kota	2017	49.0%	59.4%	38.6%
Indonesia	Lingga	2000	61.3%	76.7%	44.2%
Indonesia	Lingga	2017	64.1%	79.0%	49.3%
Indonesia	Lombok Barat	2000	71.2%	75.8%	65.6%
Indonesia	Lombok Barat	2017	77.6%	81.5%	73.1%
Indonesia	Lombok Tengah	2000	67.3%	82.4%	49.6%
Indonesia	Lombok Tengah	2017	72.5%	84.5%	58.1%
Indonesia	Lombok Timur	2000	73.6%	80.8%	66.7%
Indonesia	Lombok Timur	2017	78.7%	85.5%	71.8%
Indonesia	Lombok Utara	2000	74.2%	87.4%	56.7%
Indonesia	Lombok Utara	2017	80.3%	91.1%	63.8%
Indonesia	Lubuklinggau	2000	72.4%	82.4%	60.2%
Indonesia	Lubuklinggau	2017	78.7%	87.6%	68.0%
Indonesia	Lumajang	2000	82.8%	85.8%	79.2%
Indonesia	Lumajang	2017	86.4%	88.6%	83.5%
Indonesia	Luwu	2000	81.0%	88.3%	73.9%
Indonesia	Luwu	2017	85.2%	91.3%	79.2%
Indonesia	Luwu Timur	2000	88.5%	94.6%	75.0%
Indonesia	Luwu Timur	2017	89.2%	94.6%	79.1%
Indonesia	Luwu Utara	2000	72.6%	85.9%	62.1%
Indonesia	Luwu Utara	2017	76.0%	88.8%	64.9%
Indonesia	Madiun	2000	85.7%	87.4%	83.6%
Indonesia	Madiun	2017	90.6%	91.6%	89.4%
Indonesia	Magelang	2000	77.3%	79.2%	75.1%
Indonesia	Magelang	2017	84.5%	85.9%	82.5%
Indonesia	Magetan	2000	85.4%	86.5%	84.2%
Indonesia	Magetan	2017	90.0%	90.7%	89.0%
Indonesia	Majalengka	2000	75.6%	77.8%	72.8%
Indonesia	Majalengka	2017	83.9%	85.4%	82.2%
Indonesia	Majene	2000	81.4%	97.3%	63.3%
Indonesia	Majene	2017	80.7%	98.9%	57.6%
Indonesia	Makassar	2000	97.7%	98.0%	97.2%
Indonesia	Makassar	2017	98.8%	99.0%	98.6%
Indonesia	Malang	2000	81.1%	82.8%	79.4%
Indonesia	Malang	2017	85.5%	86.9%	83.9%
Indonesia	Malinau	2000	70.6%	79.3%	62.1%
Indonesia	Malinau	2017	73.7%	80.9%	67.1%
Indonesia	Maluku Barat Daya	2000	78.8%	92.7%	62.6%
Indonesia	Maluku Barat Daya	2017	80.7%	92.7%	65.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Maluku Tengah	2000	75.6%	87.4%	62.5%
Indonesia	Maluku Tengah	2017	78.4%	89.5%	65.7%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara	2000	63.7%	74.7%	52.8%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara	2017	69.6%	81.4%	58.9%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara Barat	2000	77.0%	88.9%	65.1%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara Barat	2017	79.3%	91.2%	67.4%
Indonesia	Mamasa	2000	66.2%	80.0%	51.7%
Indonesia	Mamasa	2017	71.3%	84.4%	57.2%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Raya	2000	72.1%	85.7%	55.5%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Raya	2017	75.1%	87.6%	60.9%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Tengah	2000	75.1%	91.3%	54.3%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Tengah	2017	78.9%	92.7%	61.1%
Indonesia	Mamuju Utara	2000	76.6%	86.7%	64.0%
Indonesia	Mamuju Utara	2017	77.9%	88.4%	66.0%
Indonesia	Mamuju Utara	2000	81.8%	95.1%	64.2%
Indonesia	Mamuju Utara	2017	85.0%	96.5%	67.9%
Indonesia	Manado	2000	91.0%	92.3%	89.3%
Indonesia	Manado	2017	94.5%	95.6%	93.2%
Indonesia	Mandailing Natal	2000	43.4%	52.3%	33.9%
Indonesia	Mandailing Natal	2017	51.4%	61.0%	41.0%
Indonesia	Manggarai Barat	2000	67.4%	88.7%	41.5%
Indonesia	Manggarai Barat	2017	70.7%	91.2%	45.8%
Indonesia	Manggarai Barat	2000	73.1%	99.2%	44.7%
Indonesia	Manggarai Barat	2017	74.5%	99.4%	47.6%
Indonesia	Manggarai Timur	2000	69.9%	84.5%	53.8%
Indonesia	Manggarai Timur	2017	72.6%	87.1%	55.8%
Indonesia	Manokwari	2000	74.7%	84.9%	62.9%
Indonesia	Manokwari	2017	78.4%	87.4%	67.0%
Indonesia	Mappi	2000	71.6%	82.3%	59.6%
Indonesia	Mappi	2017	74.5%	84.0%	63.3%
Indonesia	Maros	2000	93.1%	96.4%	87.0%
Indonesia	Maros	2017	95.3%	97.5%	90.5%
Indonesia	Mataram	2000	88.7%	89.8%	87.5%
Indonesia	Mataram	2017	93.1%	93.8%	92.3%
Indonesia	Maybrat	2000	71.8%	96.5%	46.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Maybrat	2017	74.3%	97.1%	50.5%
Indonesia	Melawi	2000	67.0%	96.8%	38.3%
Indonesia	Melawi	2017	68.3%	97.5%	41.6%
Indonesia	Merangin	2000	68.7%	75.9%	61.0%
Indonesia	Merangin	2017	73.6%	80.1%	65.7%
Indonesia	Merauke	2000	77.6%	83.5%	71.7%
Indonesia	Merauke	2017	80.4%	84.9%	75.4%
Indonesia	Mesuji	2000	68.4%	76.2%	59.0%
Indonesia	Mesuji	2017	72.6%	79.5%	63.8%
Indonesia	Metro	2000	86.3%	87.8%	84.7%
Indonesia	Metro	2017	90.7%	91.9%	89.6%
Indonesia	Mimika	2000	79.5%	87.3%	70.2%
Indonesia	Mimika	2017	83.0%	89.9%	75.1%
Indonesia	Minahasa	2000	87.7%	90.1%	84.8%
Indonesia	Minahasa	2017	91.5%	93.3%	89.2%
Indonesia	Minahasa Selatan	2000	85.1%	90.1%	79.0%
Indonesia	Minahasa Selatan	2017	89.0%	93.0%	83.6%
Indonesia	Minahasa Tenggara	2000	88.5%	92.9%	82.8%
Indonesia	Minahasa Tenggara	2017	92.1%	95.1%	87.5%
Indonesia	Minahasa Utara	2000	89.3%	92.8%	85.7%
Indonesia	Minahasa Utara	2017	92.4%	95.3%	89.3%
Indonesia	Mojokerto	2000	88.0%	90.1%	85.5%
Indonesia	Mojokerto	2017	91.9%	93.6%	90.0%
Indonesia	Morowali	2000	70.5%	79.1%	62.0%
Indonesia	Morowali	2017	71.9%	80.0%	63.7%
Indonesia	Muara Enim	2000	62.6%	70.1%	54.9%
Indonesia	Muara Enim	2017	70.3%	76.3%	62.7%
Indonesia	Muaro Jambi	2000	63.5%	67.5%	60.2%
Indonesia	Muaro Jambi	2017	72.2%	76.5%	68.3%
Indonesia	Mukomuko	2000	67.5%	80.0%	54.7%
Indonesia	Mukomuko	2017	71.5%	82.9%	60.3%
Indonesia	Muna	2000	68.2%	74.7%	60.5%
Indonesia	Muna	2017	72.0%	78.3%	63.7%
Indonesia	Murung Raya	2000	61.1%	74.5%	47.1%
Indonesia	Murung Raya	2017	64.8%	78.3%	50.6%
Indonesia	Musi	2000	63.4%	70.0%	56.9%
Indonesia	Banyuasin	2017	68.2%	74.9%	61.3%
Indonesia	Banyuasin	2000	61.0%	70.2%	50.6%
Indonesia	Musi Rawas	2017	65.8%	73.9%	55.5%
Indonesia	Nabire	2000	74.2%	83.9%	62.8%
Indonesia	Nabire	2017	78.3%	86.6%	68.4%
Indonesia	Nagan Raya	2000	77.0%	85.0%	68.3%
Indonesia	Nagan Raya	2017	82.2%	88.6%	74.0%
Indonesia	Nagekeo	2000	78.9%	91.0%	64.9%
Indonesia	Nagekeo	2017	79.6%	92.6%	64.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Natuna	2000	60.7%	74.5%	44.8%
Indonesia	Natuna	2017	65.5%	77.5%	51.7%
Indonesia	Nduga	2000	67.1%	84.9%	48.3%
Indonesia	Nduga	2017	71.2%	88.2%	55.5%
Indonesia	Ngada	2000	74.0%	92.3%	54.7%
Indonesia	Ngada	2017	76.3%	93.8%	57.7%
Indonesia	Nganjuk	2000	81.2%	83.5%	78.6%
Indonesia	Nganjuk	2017	86.4%	88.1%	84.6%
Indonesia	Ngawi	2000	79.1%	82.1%	75.8%
Indonesia	Ngawi	2017	84.6%	86.9%	81.7%
Indonesia	Nias	2000	28.3%	36.1%	21.1%
Indonesia	Nias	2017	34.8%	43.6%	27.1%
Indonesia	Nias Barat	2000	23.1%	31.7%	15.1%
Indonesia	Nias Barat	2017	28.5%	38.0%	19.2%
Indonesia	Nias Selatan	2000	46.9%	60.2%	33.8%
Indonesia	Nias Selatan	2017	53.2%	66.0%	38.8%
Indonesia	Nias Utara	2000	48.5%	64.9%	30.9%
Indonesia	Nias Utara	2017	53.1%	69.2%	35.2%
Indonesia	Nunukan	2000	71.8%	82.4%	60.6%
Indonesia	Nunukan	2017	75.5%	85.8%	64.1%
Indonesia	Ogan Ilir	2000	60.0%	66.6%	54.4%
Indonesia	Ogan Ilir	2017	66.0%	72.6%	59.7%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ilir	2000	68.5%	76.0%	60.8%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ilir	2017	72.1%	78.6%	65.7%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu	2000	78.6%	88.5%	65.7%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu	2017	83.0%	91.4%	72.6%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Sela- tan	2000	65.9%	83.6%	44.0%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Sela- tan	2017	70.4%	85.6%	50.7%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Timur	2000	76.9%	85.6%	64.4%
Indonesia	Ogan Komer- ing Ulu Timur	2017	81.4%	88.9%	71.0%
Indonesia	Pacitan	2000	78.4%	79.8%	76.8%
Indonesia	Pacitan	2017	83.3%	84.6%	81.9%
Indonesia	Padang	2000	77.2%	80.6%	72.6%
Indonesia	Padang	2017	84.3%	87.0%	80.8%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas	2000	42.2%	52.1%	33.4%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas	2017	49.5%	59.1%	39.8%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas Utara	2000	63.5%	73.7%	52.0%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas Utara	2017	68.2%	78.3%	57.0%
Indonesia	Padang Pan- jang	2000	57.0%	66.5%	47.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Padang Panjang	2017	69.3%	77.1%	60.7%
Indonesia	Padang Pariaman	2000	55.9%	69.9%	37.8%
Indonesia	Padang Pariaman	2017	66.5%	79.1%	48.1%
Indonesia	Padangsidiropuran	2000	55.5%	71.6%	41.0%
Indonesia	Padangsidiropuran	2017	63.7%	79.2%	48.1%
Indonesia	Pagar Alam	2000	57.3%	67.7%	45.7%
Indonesia	Pagar Alam	2017	63.7%	72.4%	53.4%
Indonesia	Pakpak Barat	2000	70.2%	81.2%	57.9%
Indonesia	Pakpak Barat	2017	75.0%	85.0%	63.7%
Indonesia	Palangka Raya	2000	85.8%	92.7%	74.9%
Indonesia	Palangka Raya	2017	87.6%	95.5%	74.0%
Indonesia	Palembang	2000	82.0%	83.7%	80.0%
Indonesia	Palembang	2017	88.0%	89.5%	85.8%
Indonesia	Palopo	2000	91.2%	95.1%	86.0%
Indonesia	Palopo	2017	94.4%	97.1%	90.6%
Indonesia	Palu	2000	94.7%	95.9%	92.9%
Indonesia	Palu	2017	96.9%	97.7%	95.8%
Indonesia	Pamekasan	2000	75.2%	77.3%	72.5%
Indonesia	Pamekasan	2017	79.0%	81.3%	76.3%
Indonesia	Pandeglang	2000	76.8%	85.5%	65.7%
Indonesia	Pandeglang	2017	79.6%	87.7%	71.0%
Indonesia	Pangkajene Dan Kepulauan	2000	94.5%	96.7%	90.8%
Indonesia	Pangkajene Dan Kepulauan	2017	96.0%	97.8%	93.0%
Indonesia	Pangkalpinang	2000	92.9%	93.8%	92.0%
Indonesia	Pangkalpinang	2017	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
Indonesia	Paniai	2000	64.9%	80.3%	46.9%
Indonesia	Paniai	2017	69.5%	83.3%	52.3%
Indonesia	Parepare	2000	96.2%	97.5%	94.8%
Indonesia	Parepare	2017	98.4%	99.1%	97.6%
Indonesia	Pariaman	2000	75.4%	82.6%	65.5%
Indonesia	Pariaman	2017	85.0%	90.1%	76.2%
Indonesia	Parigi Moutong	2000	78.6%	90.8%	64.7%
Indonesia	Parigi Moutong	2017	81.5%	92.5%	69.3%
Indonesia	Pasaman	2000	69.0%	91.7%	46.0%
Indonesia	Pasaman	2017	72.0%	93.0%	47.7%
Indonesia	Pasaman Barat	2000	64.8%	81.2%	48.6%
Indonesia	Pasaman Barat	2017	67.8%	84.5%	51.8%
Indonesia	Paser	2000	69.2%	77.0%	59.7%
Indonesia	Paser	2017	73.7%	80.8%	65.4%
Indonesia	Pasuruan	2000	80.9%	83.5%	78.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Pasuruan	2017	85.3%	87.6%	82.6%
Indonesia	Pati	2000	81.0%	83.0%	79.5%
Indonesia	Pati	2017	86.4%	88.0%	84.9%
Indonesia	Payakumbuh	2000	69.1%	74.3%	65.2%
Indonesia	Payakumbuh	2017	78.2%	83.5%	74.6%
Indonesia	Pegunungan Bintang	2000	56.6%	69.6%	40.8%
Indonesia	Pegunungan Bintang	2017	60.9%	73.8%	45.6%
Indonesia	Pekalongan	2000	75.4%	84.0%	65.3%
Indonesia	Pekalongan	2017	80.7%	88.3%	70.9%
Indonesia	Pekanbaru	2000	90.4%	96.2%	81.9%
Indonesia	Pekanbaru	2017	93.3%	98.0%	85.5%
Indonesia	Pelalawan	2000	71.9%	78.5%	65.3%
Indonesia	Pelalawan	2017	75.6%	81.8%	70.3%
Indonesia	Pemalang	2000	80.6%	83.3%	77.7%
Indonesia	Pemalang	2017	84.2%	86.7%	81.5%
Indonesia	Pematangsiantar	2000	94.3%	95.1%	93.4%
Indonesia	Pematangsiantar	2017	96.8%	97.2%	96.3%
Indonesia	Penajam Paser Utara	2000	82.0%	91.1%	71.3%
Indonesia	Penajam Paser Utara	2017	84.7%	92.9%	74.7%
Indonesia	Pesawaran	2000	75.6%	81.3%	67.2%
Indonesia	Pesawaran	2017	82.2%	87.4%	73.2%
Indonesia	Pesisir Selatan	2000	74.3%	89.3%	57.8%
Indonesia	Pesisir Selatan	2017	77.3%	90.8%	61.9%
Indonesia	Pidie	2000	86.2%	94.4%	73.5%
Indonesia	Pidie	2017	89.6%	96.1%	78.8%
Indonesia	Pidie Jaya	2000	69.0%	87.9%	50.0%
Indonesia	Pidie Jaya	2017	78.4%	92.1%	62.7%
Indonesia	Pinrang	2000	91.1%	93.9%	87.5%
Indonesia	Pinrang	2017	92.1%	95.4%	87.5%
Indonesia	Pohuwato	2000	74.5%	98.7%	40.8%
Indonesia	Pohuwato	2017	76.1%	99.2%	42.5%
Indonesia	Polewali Mandar	2000	84.7%	92.9%	74.3%
Indonesia	Polewali Mandar	2017	87.7%	95.5%	76.8%
Indonesia	Ponorogo	2000	84.5%	86.7%	82.3%
Indonesia	Ponorogo	2017	89.4%	90.9%	87.9%
Indonesia	Pontianak	2000	75.4%	92.2%	52.1%
Indonesia	Pontianak	2017	78.0%	93.9%	55.2%
Indonesia	Poso	2000	77.0%	83.9%	69.9%
Indonesia	Poso	2017	80.8%	86.9%	74.2%
Indonesia	Prabumulih	2000	86.1%	87.7%	84.3%
Indonesia	Prabumulih	2017	91.1%	92.2%	89.9%
Indonesia	Pringsewu	2000	69.0%	73.0%	65.0%
Indonesia	Pringsewu	2017	78.1%	81.3%	74.6%
Indonesia	Probolinggo	2000	78.4%	85.4%	70.8%
Indonesia	Probolinggo	2017	83.2%	89.5%	75.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Pulang Pisau	2000	56.4%	66.0%	47.1%
Indonesia	Pulang Pisau	2017	61.1%	70.8%	50.6%
Indonesia	Pulau Morotai	2000	72.4%	91.5%	51.0%
Indonesia	Pulau Morotai	2017	74.8%	93.3%	52.5%
Indonesia	Puncak	2000	65.5%	86.3%	44.9%
Indonesia	Puncak	2017	69.8%	88.2%	50.0%
Indonesia	Puncak Jaya	2000	70.4%	89.9%	46.2%
Indonesia	Puncak Jaya	2017	74.1%	91.7%	49.9%
Indonesia	Purbalingga	2000	76.4%	79.6%	73.2%
Indonesia	Purbalingga	2017	82.8%	85.4%	80.0%
Indonesia	Purwakarta	2000	83.2%	85.4%	81.0%
Indonesia	Purwakarta	2017	89.4%	90.8%	87.8%
Indonesia	Purworejo	2000	77.5%	80.5%	74.6%
Indonesia	Purworejo	2017	84.7%	86.8%	82.6%
Indonesia	Raja Ampat	2000	74.0%	85.2%	60.1%
Indonesia	Raja Ampat	2017	76.7%	86.7%	63.0%
Indonesia	Rejang	2000	80.8%	86.6%	74.3%
Indonesia	Lebong				
Indonesia	Rejang	2017	85.2%	90.7%	78.7%
Indonesia	Rejang				
Indonesia	Rembang	2000	87.0%	92.1%	79.8%
Indonesia	Rembang	2017	90.6%	94.4%	85.1%
Indonesia	Rokan Hilir	2000	70.7%	75.8%	66.2%
Indonesia	Rokan Hilir	2017	74.0%	78.9%	69.1%
Indonesia	Rokan Hulu	2000	74.4%	81.4%	66.8%
Indonesia	Rokan Hulu	2017	78.6%	84.7%	71.4%
Indonesia	Rote Ndao	2000	76.4%	100.0%	48.6%
Indonesia	Rote Ndao	2017	77.5%	100.0%	49.1%
Indonesia	Sabang	2000	88.7%	93.2%	82.7%
Indonesia	Sabang	2017	92.0%	95.1%	87.4%
Indonesia	Sabu Raijua	2000	75.5%	93.7%	50.2%
Indonesia	Sabu Raijua	2017	78.0%	95.0%	52.8%
Indonesia	Salatiga	2000	87.8%	88.8%	86.8%
Indonesia	Salatiga	2017	92.5%	93.2%	91.9%
Indonesia	Samarinda	2000	71.6%	78.1%	64.3%
Indonesia	Samarinda	2017	77.9%	85.1%	69.9%
Indonesia	Sambas	2000	79.5%	99.9%	45.3%
Indonesia	Sambas	2017	79.7%	99.9%	46.4%
Indonesia	Samosir	2000	77.5%	90.6%	59.4%
Indonesia	Samosir	2017	81.3%	92.8%	64.9%
Indonesia	Sampang	2000	78.4%	83.3%	72.5%
Indonesia	Sampang	2017	81.9%	85.9%	77.2%
Indonesia	Sanggau	2000	71.9%	98.6%	42.7%
Indonesia	Sanggau	2017	73.4%	98.7%	44.6%
Indonesia	Sarmi	2000	74.1%	89.7%	56.7%
Indonesia	Sarmi	2017	76.3%	90.7%	60.0%
Indonesia	Sarolangun	2000	70.3%	79.2%	61.3%
Indonesia	Sarolangun	2017	74.3%	82.6%	65.4%
Indonesia	Sawahlunto	2000	82.2%	86.6%	77.7%
Indonesia	Sawahlunto	2017	89.2%	92.1%	86.2%
Indonesia	Sekadau	2000	73.0%	100.0%	37.0%
Indonesia	Sekadau	2017	74.3%	100.0%	38.4%
Indonesia	Seluma	2000	73.4%	83.3%	62.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Seluma	2017	76.6%	86.6%	65.4%
Indonesia	Semarang	2000	83.9%	85.4%	82.5%
Indonesia	Semarang	2017	89.6%	90.6%	88.6%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Barat	2000	78.6%	91.2%	64.1%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Barat	2017	80.4%	92.5%	66.8%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Timur	2000	72.1%	94.6%	47.8%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Timur	2017	74.2%	95.6%	50.0%
Indonesia	Serang	2000	84.4%	87.6%	80.7%
Indonesia	Serang	2017	88.4%	90.8%	85.2%
Indonesia	Serdang Bedagai	2000	82.9%	86.8%	78.7%
Indonesia	Serdang Bedagai	2017	87.6%	91.0%	83.4%
Indonesia	Seruyan	2000	64.4%	72.3%	55.2%
Indonesia	Seruyan	2017	66.5%	73.0%	59.0%
Indonesia	Siak	2000	75.6%	83.1%	65.6%
Indonesia	Siak	2017	80.6%	86.3%	72.9%
Indonesia	Siau Tagulandang Biaro	2000	92.4%	96.8%	85.9%
Indonesia	Siau Tagulandang Biaro	2017	92.6%	98.3%	83.7%
Indonesia	Sibolga	2000	53.0%	59.9%	47.1%
Indonesia	Sibolga	2017	65.3%	71.5%	59.4%
Indonesia	Sidenreng Rappang	2000	91.8%	94.0%	89.8%
Indonesia	Sidenreng Rappang	2017	94.1%	96.2%	91.7%
Indonesia	Sidoarjo	2000	93.0%	93.8%	92.1%
Indonesia	Sidoarjo	2017	96.0%	96.5%	95.4%
Indonesia	Sigi	2000	60.0%	73.3%	47.4%
Indonesia	Sigi	2017	67.2%	79.8%	54.7%
Indonesia	Sijunjung	2000	72.8%	87.1%	56.0%
Indonesia	Sijunjung	2017	78.2%	91.5%	61.5%
Indonesia	Sikka	2000	80.5%	96.6%	52.9%
Indonesia	Sikka	2017	82.6%	97.3%	57.7%
Indonesia	Simalungun	2000	78.2%	81.3%	75.1%
Indonesia	Simalungun	2017	82.0%	85.1%	78.9%
Indonesia	Simeulue	2000	70.8%	84.6%	54.5%
Indonesia	Simeulue	2017	75.1%	87.6%	59.0%
Indonesia	Singkawang	2000	85.7%	97.7%	70.1%
Indonesia	Singkawang	2017	88.9%	99.0%	75.1%
Indonesia	Sinjai	2000	74.9%	80.4%	68.1%
Indonesia	Sinjai	2017	80.2%	84.4%	74.2%
Indonesia	Sintang	2000	70.9%	96.2%	43.8%
Indonesia	Sintang	2017	72.2%	96.2%	46.7%
Indonesia	Situbondo	2000	81.2%	87.8%	73.2%
Indonesia	Situbondo	2017	85.3%	91.2%	77.8%
Indonesia	Sleman	2000	92.7%	93.6%	91.6%
Indonesia	Sleman	2017	95.6%	96.3%	95.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Solok	2000	70.9%	82.6%	56.0%
Indonesia	Solok	2017	75.6%	87.9%	60.2%
Indonesia	Solok Selatan	2000	76.2%	89.5%	57.0%
Indonesia	Solok Selatan	2017	80.3%	92.6%	60.6%
Indonesia	Soppeng	2000	89.0%	90.7%	87.1%
Indonesia	Soppeng	2017	91.9%	93.5%	90.1%
Indonesia	Sorong	2000	75.0%	84.7%	64.0%
Indonesia	Sorong	2017	78.5%	87.9%	68.0%
Indonesia	Sorong Selatan	2000	74.1%	95.9%	48.2%
Indonesia	Sorong Selatan	2017	76.2%	96.4%	49.5%
Indonesia	Sragen	2000	86.1%	87.6%	84.7%
Indonesia	Sragen	2017	90.3%	91.3%	89.2%
Indonesia	Subang	2000	75.3%	77.3%	72.9%
Indonesia	Subang	2017	81.5%	83.1%	79.6%
Indonesia	Subulussalam	2000	50.3%	77.1%	24.3%
Indonesia	Subulussalam	2017	51.0%	79.1%	23.7%
Indonesia	Sukabumi	2000	55.6%	59.7%	52.0%
Indonesia	Sukabumi	2017	63.9%	67.7%	60.4%
Indonesia	Sukamara	2000	61.9%	73.4%	51.7%
Indonesia	Sukamara	2017	65.6%	75.1%	56.0%
Indonesia	Sukoharjo	2000	91.1%	91.8%	90.2%
Indonesia	Sukoharjo	2017	94.4%	95.0%	93.9%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat	2000	78.5%	100.0%	36.1%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat	2017	79.6%	100.0%	37.0%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat Daya	2000	76.3%	100.0%	36.6%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat Daya	2017	77.5%	100.0%	38.7%
Indonesia	Sumba Tengah	2000	75.2%	100.0%	43.4%
Indonesia	Sumba Tengah	2017	76.8%	100.0%	43.8%
Indonesia	Sumba Timur	2000	79.2%	99.8%	53.1%
Indonesia	Sumba Timur	2017	80.5%	99.9%	54.4%
Indonesia	Sumbawa	2000	84.0%	90.9%	75.4%
Indonesia	Sumbawa	2017	86.8%	92.7%	78.8%
Indonesia	Sumbawa Barat	2000	83.3%	92.4%	68.9%
Indonesia	Sumbawa Barat	2017	86.9%	94.7%	76.3%
Indonesia	Sumedang	2000	81.1%	83.9%	78.6%
Indonesia	Sumedang	2017	88.4%	90.0%	86.9%
Indonesia	Sumenep	2000	78.2%	81.9%	73.9%
Indonesia	Sumenep	2017	80.8%	84.3%	76.7%
Indonesia	Sungai Penuh	2000	80.3%	85.5%	73.8%
Indonesia	Sungai Penuh	2017	85.4%	89.3%	80.3%
Indonesia	Supiori	2000	61.6%	83.9%	36.7%
Indonesia	Supiori	2017	66.6%	88.0%	42.0%
Indonesia	Surabaya	2000	91.8%	92.5%	91.1%
Indonesia	Surabaya	2017	95.3%	95.7%	94.9%
Indonesia	Surakarta	2000	88.2%	88.8%	87.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Surakarta	2017	93.2%	93.7%	92.8%
Indonesia	Tabalong	2000	82.0%	85.9%	78.3%
Indonesia	Tabalong	2017	85.6%	89.2%	81.8%
Indonesia	Tabanan	2000	90.9%	92.8%	87.6%
Indonesia	Tabanan	2017	94.0%	95.2%	91.9%
Indonesia	Takalar	2000	82.8%	88.9%	76.4%
Indonesia	Takalar	2017	89.8%	94.3%	84.1%
Indonesia	Tambrauw	2000	73.5%	89.3%	54.5%
Indonesia	Tambrauw	2017	76.4%	89.7%	60.2%
Indonesia	Tana Tidung	2000	71.2%	84.3%	54.5%
Indonesia	Tana Tidung	2017	75.6%	87.3%	58.8%
Indonesia	Tana Toraja	2000	65.3%	70.7%	58.7%
Indonesia	Tana Toraja	2017	69.4%	74.0%	63.5%
Indonesia	Tanah Bumbu	2000	62.7%	71.4%	53.3%
Indonesia	Tanah Bumbu	2017	66.3%	74.9%	56.7%
Indonesia	Tanah Datar	2000	61.7%	73.8%	49.9%
Indonesia	Tanah Datar	2017	69.3%	79.3%	58.3%
Indonesia	Tanah Laut	2000	67.3%	72.0%	62.3%
Indonesia	Tanah Laut	2017	71.7%	76.7%	67.2%
Indonesia	Tangerang	2000	77.6%	80.5%	74.4%
Indonesia	Tangerang	2017	84.7%	87.0%	81.7%
Indonesia	Tangerang Selatan	2000	92.7%	93.4%	92.1%
Indonesia	Tangerang Selatan	2017	95.5%	96.0%	95.1%
Indonesia	Tanggamus	2000	65.6%	71.2%	58.3%
Indonesia	Tanggamus	2017	70.2%	76.8%	62.2%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung B	2000	54.6%	60.4%	48.4%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung B	2017	57.0%	63.3%	49.7%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung T	2000	39.0%	48.4%	31.1%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung T	2017	46.3%	54.5%	38.6%
Indonesia	Tanjungpinang	2000	72.2%	93.8%	39.6%
Indonesia	Tanjungpinang	2017	79.5%	96.3%	47.8%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Selatan	2000	43.8%	51.8%	35.6%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Selatan	2017	51.9%	59.5%	43.8%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Tengah	2000	65.7%	73.6%	57.6%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Tengah	2017	71.3%	79.5%	63.3%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Utara	2000	65.7%	75.3%	56.9%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Utara	2017	71.1%	80.3%	62.6%
Indonesia	Tapin	2000	65.9%	70.1%	62.3%
Indonesia	Tapin	2017	69.8%	74.6%	65.3%
Indonesia	Tarakan	2000	98.9%	99.5%	97.7%
Indonesia	Tarakan	2017	99.4%	99.7%	98.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Tasikmalaya	2000	30.7%	33.8%	27.8%
Indonesia	Tasikmalaya	2017	39.4%	42.2%	36.8%
Indonesia	Tebingtinggi	2000	90.4%	91.6%	89.1%
Indonesia	Tebingtinggi	2017	94.6%	95.3%	93.7%
Indonesia	Tebo	2000	73.9%	77.9%	69.6%
Indonesia	Tebo	2017	78.1%	82.0%	73.7%
Indonesia	Tegal	2000	77.1%	81.9%	72.4%
Indonesia	Tegal	2017	82.4%	86.9%	77.9%
Indonesia	Teluk Bintuni	2000	69.9%	79.9%	60.3%
Indonesia	Teluk Bintuni	2017	73.2%	83.9%	62.3%
Indonesia	Teluk Wondama	2000	73.1%	90.8%	50.5%
Indonesia	Teluk Wondama	2017	75.7%	91.4%	56.3%
Indonesia	Temanggung	2000	65.5%	67.7%	62.7%
Indonesia	Temanggung	2017	74.0%	75.8%	71.8%
Indonesia	Ternate	2000	74.5%	86.5%	50.5%
Indonesia	Ternate	2017	81.7%	91.8%	57.0%
Indonesia	Tidore Kepulauan	2000	81.4%	98.5%	55.4%
Indonesia	Tidore Kepulauan	2017	84.7%	98.7%	63.1%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Selatan	2000	74.4%	87.7%	59.0%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Selatan	2017	76.8%	89.2%	61.9%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Utara	2000	76.7%	88.7%	63.5%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Utara	2017	79.3%	90.7%	66.9%
Indonesia	Toba Samosir	2000	77.4%	85.2%	68.6%
Indonesia	Toba Samosir	2017	80.7%	88.7%	72.0%
Indonesia	Tojo Una-Una	2000	84.4%	96.6%	66.2%
Indonesia	Tojo Una-Una	2017	85.9%	95.8%	73.5%
Indonesia	Toli-Toli	2000	70.0%	76.6%	62.4%
Indonesia	Toli-Toli	2017	74.3%	81.6%	65.5%
Indonesia	Tolikara	2000	72.7%	87.5%	52.6%
Indonesia	Tolikara	2017	76.9%	89.8%	59.1%
Indonesia	Tomohon	2000	92.3%	94.3%	89.9%
Indonesia	Tomohon	2017	95.5%	96.7%	94.0%
Indonesia	Toraja Utara	2000	71.0%	74.9%	67.4%
Indonesia	Toraja Utara	2017	76.1%	79.5%	72.2%
Indonesia	Trenggalek	2000	79.6%	83.0%	75.5%
Indonesia	Trenggalek	2017	85.0%	88.0%	81.1%
Indonesia	Tual	2000	80.8%	95.0%	53.6%
Indonesia	Tual	2017	85.8%	97.2%	64.8%
Indonesia	Tuban	2000	80.3%	83.7%	76.1%
Indonesia	Tuban	2017	85.0%	87.9%	80.9%
Indonesia	Tulang Bawang Barat	2000	74.7%	79.1%	70.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Tulang Bawang Barat	2017	79.5%	82.6%	76.4%
Indonesia	Tulangbawang	2000	71.8%	77.7%	64.9%
Indonesia	Tulangbawang	2017	75.9%	80.9%	69.2%
Indonesia	Tulungagung	2000	85.5%	87.5%	83.2%
Indonesia	Tulungagung	2017	90.3%	91.6%	89.0%
Indonesia	Waduk Cirata	2000	87.1%	91.0%	82.8%
Indonesia	Waduk Cirata	2017	92.1%	94.9%	89.0%
Indonesia	Waduk Kedungombo	2000	78.4%	91.4%	58.2%
Indonesia	Waduk Kedungombo	2017	82.2%	92.6%	65.8%
Indonesia	Wajo	2000	81.0%	85.2%	76.0%
Indonesia	Wajo	2017	85.4%	89.3%	80.7%
Indonesia	Wakatobi	2000	78.6%	88.8%	68.3%
Indonesia	Wakatobi	2017	81.7%	91.1%	71.5%
Indonesia	Waropen	2000	68.5%	85.8%	53.0%
Indonesia	Waropen	2017	71.3%	84.5%	58.0%
Indonesia	Way Kanan	2000	76.4%	80.3%	72.5%
Indonesia	Way Kanan	2017	79.9%	83.6%	76.4%
Indonesia	Wonogiri	2000	82.2%	83.8%	80.4%
Indonesia	Wonogiri	2017	86.9%	88.0%	85.4%
Indonesia	Wonosobo	2000	36.3%	38.8%	34.4%
Indonesia	Wonosobo	2017	43.3%	45.4%	41.4%
Indonesia	Yahukimo	2000	68.9%	81.0%	56.1%
Indonesia	Yahukimo	2017	72.6%	83.2%	61.5%
Indonesia	Yalimo	2000	76.3%	92.1%	55.3%
Indonesia	Yalimo	2017	80.6%	94.0%	62.9%
Laos	Atsaphangthong	2000	29.5%	55.5%	11.9%
Laos	Atsaphangthong	2017	77.4%	93.8%	57.5%
Laos	Atsaphone	2000	19.1%	35.5%	6.7%
Laos	Atsaphone	2017	63.8%	78.6%	43.7%
Laos	Bachiangchaleun	2000	13.6%	24.9%	5.9%
Laos	Bachiangchaleun	2017	59.4%	75.5%	43.7%
Laos	Beng	2000	20.2%	41.7%	7.5%
Laos	Beng	2017	64.2%	83.1%	42.4%
Laos	Bolikhanh	2000	22.6%	47.7%	8.2%
Laos	Bolikhanh	2017	68.0%	87.0%	48.0%
Laos	Boon Neua	2000	20.0%	40.1%	6.2%
Laos	Boon Neua	2017	65.9%	85.1%	43.0%
Laos	Boontai	2000	17.8%	32.6%	7.3%
Laos	Boontai	2017	62.9%	77.9%	43.6%
Laos	Botene	2000	33.6%	58.4%	14.9%
Laos	Botene	2017	78.5%	92.6%	58.0%
Laos	Bualapha	2000	18.1%	30.9%	7.7%
Laos	Bualapha	2017	62.7%	76.2%	46.5%
Laos	Champassack	2000	37.8%	49.1%	28.7%
Laos	Champassack	2017	80.6%	90.1%	68.7%
Laos	Champhone	2000	16.0%	27.1%	7.1%
Laos	Champhone	2017	65.6%	78.9%	50.0%
Laos	Chanthabuly	2000	67.9%	72.2%	63.8%
Laos	Chanthabuly	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Chomphet	2000	49.2%	59.9%	32.6%
Laos	Chomphet	2017	82.2%	89.1%	73.6%
Laos	Dakcheung	2000	14.3%	27.6%	6.2%
Laos	Dakcheung	2017	53.7%	70.1%	36.9%
Laos	Feuang	2000	19.9%	41.6%	5.4%
Laos	Feuang	2017	66.9%	87.6%	43.5%
Laos	Hadxaifong	2000	65.4%	82.2%	51.5%
Laos	Hadxaifong	2017	93.6%	99.7%	78.3%
Laos	Hinboon	2000	19.4%	31.9%	10.5%
Laos	Hinboon	2017	65.2%	77.6%	52.0%
Laos	Hinhurp	2000	31.2%	50.4%	13.2%
Laos	Hinhurp	2017	74.6%	89.4%	54.2%
Laos	Hom	2000	15.0%	39.1%	3.7%
Laos	Hom	2017	57.8%	81.1%	28.7%
Laos	Hongsa	2000	35.0%	55.2%	21.2%
Laos	Hongsa	2017	75.5%	87.5%	59.6%
Laos	Hoon	2000	26.8%	43.8%	14.7%
Laos	Hoon	2017	71.4%	87.1%	53.3%
Laos	Houixai	2000	21.5%	36.2%	10.0%
Laos	Houixai	2017	71.4%	86.6%	52.8%
Laos	Huameuang	2000	20.6%	33.6%	9.4%
Laos	Huameuang	2017	62.5%	76.3%	47.5%
Laos	Kaleum	2000	14.5%	28.8%	6.1%
Laos	Kaleum	2017	52.4%	69.1%	35.8%
Laos	Kasy	2000	29.4%	46.5%	14.3%
Laos	Kasy	2017	78.1%	88.7%	62.3%
Laos	Kenethao	2000	31.1%	45.9%	20.3%
Laos	Kenethao	2017	76.3%	88.0%	61.6%
Laos	Keo Oudom	2000	46.3%	73.4%	22.7%
Laos	Keo Oudom	2017	92.3%	97.4%	81.8%
Laos	Kham	2000	17.2%	32.3%	7.7%
Laos	Kham	2017	65.2%	80.3%	46.5%
Laos	Khamkheuth	2000	18.9%	29.9%	10.1%
Laos	Khamkheuth	2017	69.7%	82.7%	56.4%
Laos	Khanthabouly	2000	30.0%	45.8%	14.8%
Laos	Khanthabouly	2017	81.8%	90.7%	71.8%
Laos	Khong	2000	14.1%	24.1%	7.8%
Laos	Khong	2017	67.3%	76.5%	56.1%
Laos	Khongxedone	2000	11.3%	21.2%	5.7%
Laos	Khongxedone	2017	63.9%	81.3%	45.5%
Laos	Khop	2000	25.7%	47.4%	10.6%
Laos	Khop	2017	75.9%	90.3%	51.3%
Laos	Khoune	2000	20.2%	41.1%	6.7%
Laos	Khoune	2017	64.9%	82.7%	44.3%
Laos	Khua	2000	18.2%	31.2%	8.0%
Laos	Khua	2017	67.0%	79.4%	51.8%
Laos	La	2000	28.5%	41.8%	18.2%
Laos	La	2017	63.7%	80.1%	48.7%
Laos	Lakhonepheng	2000	14.7%	27.6%	5.2%
Laos	Lakhonepheng	2017	59.7%	74.5%	44.7%
Laos	Lamarm	2000	15.9%	25.0%	9.8%
Laos	Lamarm	2017	73.8%	83.4%	62.1%
Laos	Lao Ngarm	2000	11.5%	22.5%	3.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Lao Ngarm	2017	54.9%	66.6%	41.6%
Laos	Long	2000	14.9%	29.0%	5.7%
Laos	Long	2017	55.4%	72.4%	39.2%
Laos	Longsane	2000	20.2%	43.0%	3.4%
Laos	Longsane	2017	62.2%	86.4%	33.0%
Laos	Louangphrabang	2000	44.4%	59.2%	27.9%
Laos	Louangphrabang	2017	84.0%	91.2%	75.6%
Laos	Mad	2000	17.0%	33.2%	7.2%
Laos	Mad	2017	62.1%	80.6%	43.0%
Laos	Mahaxay	2000	21.8%	38.9%	9.7%
Laos	Mahaxay	2017	66.6%	80.1%	48.4%
Laos	May	2000	17.9%	30.3%	9.0%
Laos	May	2017	61.7%	74.6%	47.8%
Laos	Mayparkngum	2000	25.6%	47.4%	11.4%
Laos	Mayparkngum	2017	75.6%	91.3%	56.5%
Laos	Meung	2000	16.7%	38.0%	4.5%
Laos	Meung	2017	61.6%	83.5%	39.9%
Laos	Moonlapamok	2000	12.4%	18.9%	6.6%
Laos	Moonlapamok	2017	71.1%	80.2%	59.8%
Laos	Morkmay	2000	19.2%	38.5%	5.4%
Laos	Morkmay	2017	64.3%	84.2%	39.8%
Laos	Muang Et	2000	14.1%	38.3%	1.9%
Laos	Muang Et	2017	53.9%	80.8%	24.7%
Laos	Nakai	2000	18.1%	33.1%	7.9%
Laos	Nakai	2017	61.7%	74.9%	45.4%
Laos	Nalae	2000	20.8%	39.3%	6.3%
Laos	Nalae	2017	63.4%	81.2%	39.6%
Laos	Nam You	2000	31.3%	51.2%	17.6%
Laos	Nam You	2017	82.7%	96.9%	62.6%
Laos	Nambak	2000	16.9%	27.7%	8.3%
Laos	Nambak	2017	61.7%	75.0%	48.2%
Laos	Namor	2000	17.0%	32.4%	7.0%
Laos	Namor	2017	67.1%	81.0%	51.1%
Laos	Namtha	2000	40.1%	51.3%	28.5%
Laos	Namtha	2017	85.7%	91.8%	78.1%
Laos	Nan	2000	38.3%	56.5%	21.1%
Laos	Nan	2017	80.6%	91.2%	66.2%
Laos	Naxaithong	2000	38.8%	56.8%	21.6%
Laos	Naxaithong	2017	88.1%	95.3%	72.6%
Laos	Nga	2000	18.6%	30.8%	8.5%
Laos	Nga	2017	61.4%	74.8%	48.0%
Laos	Ngeun	2000	40.1%	58.2%	23.9%
Laos	Ngeun	2017	81.3%	92.8%	65.3%
Laos	Ngoi	2000	17.0%	29.0%	9.2%
Laos	Ngoi	2017	60.4%	73.7%	45.5%
Laos	Nhommalath	2000	16.1%	32.4%	5.0%
Laos	Nhommalath	2017	57.6%	79.3%	37.1%
Laos	Nhot Ou	2000	15.6%	28.4%	8.1%
Laos	Nhot Ou	2017	59.3%	74.2%	43.6%
Laos	Nong	2000	16.9%	29.3%	6.2%
Laos	Nong	2017	62.4%	79.2%	41.9%
Laos	Nongbok	2000	20.7%	41.5%	5.8%
Laos	Nongbok	2017	77.9%	93.2%	55.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Nonghed	2000	16.0%	28.7%	6.6%
Laos	Nonghed	2017	61.5%	77.0%	45.8%
Laos	Outhoomphone	2000	23.5%	40.6%	9.6%
Laos	Outhoomphone	2017	72.4%	85.1%	57.3%
Laos	Pak Xeng	2000	16.2%	32.9%	5.6%
Laos	Pak Xeng	2017	61.3%	79.2%	42.0%
Laos	Pakbeng	2000	22.5%	39.0%	9.1%
Laos	Pakbeng	2017	68.2%	87.3%	46.7%
Laos	Pakkading	2000	22.2%	36.8%	10.7%
Laos	Pakkading	2017	71.8%	83.9%	55.5%
Laos	Paksane	2000	49.3%	64.8%	36.8%
Laos	Paksane	2017	85.2%	94.8%	74.6%
Laos	Paksong	2000	18.0%	25.7%	11.5%
Laos	Paksong	2017	64.6%	74.2%	53.2%
Laos	Paktha	2000	20.1%	40.1%	7.7%
Laos	Paktha	2017	63.7%	84.7%	39.5%
Laos	Pakxe	2000	35.3%	41.5%	31.0%
Laos	Pakxe	2017	90.2%	95.4%	82.7%
Laos	Park Ou	2000	19.0%	35.9%	6.6%
Laos	Park Ou	2017	67.5%	83.3%	47.8%
Laos	Parklai	2000	27.3%	44.8%	15.5%
Laos	Parklai	2017	75.8%	86.5%	62.6%
Laos	Pathoomphone	2000	20.2%	32.1%	12.0%
Laos	Pathoomphone	2017	68.1%	78.4%	56.5%
Laos	Pek	2000	23.1%	32.8%	15.9%
Laos	Pek	2017	77.7%	86.8%	68.0%
Laos	Pha Oudom	2000	28.3%	38.6%	19.7%
Laos	Pha Oudom	2017	70.9%	82.2%	57.2%
Laos	Phaxay	2000	26.0%	55.8%	6.4%
Laos	Phaxay	2017	70.7%	90.4%	46.7%
Laos	Phiang	2000	25.4%	43.1%	12.2%
Laos	Phiang	2017	75.3%	87.7%	54.3%
Laos	Phine	2000	30.3%	46.6%	17.0%
Laos	Phine	2017	75.2%	85.9%	61.8%
Laos	Phongsaly	2000	31.8%	41.3%	22.3%
Laos	Phongsaly	2017	68.8%	79.8%	58.1%
Laos	Phonhong	2000	38.8%	65.2%	17.9%
Laos	Phonhong	2017	88.8%	97.3%	68.4%
Laos	Phonthong	2000	20.6%	32.0%	12.6%
Laos	Phonthong	2017	73.5%	84.0%	60.0%
Laos	Phonxay	2000	24.4%	40.7%	13.0%
Laos	Phonxay	2017	67.5%	82.5%	51.6%
Laos	Phookood	2000	26.2%	40.5%	15.8%
Laos	Phookood	2017	73.4%	85.8%	60.3%
Laos	Phoukhoun	2000	28.5%	50.0%	11.6%
Laos	Phoukhoun	2017	73.1%	88.4%	53.1%
Laos	Phouvong	2000	14.3%	25.4%	7.8%
Laos	Phouvong	2017	60.0%	75.1%	44.8%
Laos	Phun	2000	19.4%	40.0%	6.1%
Laos	Phun	2017	62.5%	82.0%	41.0%
Laos	Samakkhixay	2000	32.5%	40.5%	27.6%
Laos	Samakkhixay	2017	68.1%	86.8%	54.6%
Laos	Samphanh	2000	18.4%	31.5%	7.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Samphanh	2017	60.2%	75.6%	45.6%
Laos	Samuoi	2000	18.6%	37.7%	6.1%
Laos	Samuoi	2017	73.4%	90.7%	52.7%
Laos	Sanamxay	2000	18.5%	35.0%	7.8%
Laos	Sanamxay	2017	66.4%	82.8%	48.7%
Laos	Sanasomboon	2000	19.9%	31.2%	12.4%
Laos	Sanasomboon	2017	79.1%	87.1%	70.3%
Laos	Sangthong	2000	37.1%	64.7%	14.0%
Laos	Sangthong	2017	79.5%	96.2%	56.9%
Laos	Sanxay	2000	19.5%	36.1%	7.5%
Laos	Sanxay	2017	62.9%	77.9%	41.9%
Laos	Saravane	2000	20.8%	32.3%	11.5%
Laos	Saravane	2017	62.9%	72.8%	51.9%
Laos	Sepone	2000	17.1%	34.4%	5.7%
Laos	Sepone	2017	59.3%	75.5%	40.7%
Laos	Sikhottabong	2000	50.3%	53.8%	46.4%
Laos	Sikhottabong	2017	85.6%	86.4%	84.6%
Laos	Sing	2000	12.2%	39.2%	2.6%
Laos	Sing	2017	50.9%	76.9%	22.4%
Laos	Sisattanak	2000	62.1%	67.2%	57.0%
Laos	Sisattanak	2017	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Laos	Songkhone	2000	26.5%	44.7%	13.3%
Laos	Songkhone	2017	76.1%	87.9%	63.3%
Laos	Sopbao	2000	18.6%	35.4%	6.6%
Laos	Sopbao	2017	65.6%	84.4%	44.7%
Laos	Sukhuma	2000	29.6%	36.1%	23.8%
Laos	Sukhuma	2017	71.7%	82.6%	60.7%
Laos	Ta Oi	2000	14.2%	26.5%	5.8%
Laos	Ta Oi	2017	55.3%	69.3%	37.6%
Laos	Thakhek	2000	33.9%	48.4%	18.6%
Laos	Thakhek	2017	84.0%	92.4%	73.9%
Laos	Thapangthong	2000	22.1%	37.6%	8.6%
Laos	Thapangthong	2017	65.4%	79.9%	47.3%
Laos	Thaphabath	2000	19.7%	39.2%	7.7%
Laos	Thaphabath	2017	68.6%	85.8%	48.9%
Laos	Thaphalanxay	2000	25.5%	49.9%	7.5%
Laos	Thaphalanxay	2017	67.3%	86.4%	43.9%
Laos	Thateng	2000	15.5%	25.6%	8.4%
Laos	Thateng	2017	61.1%	73.0%	51.4%
Laos	Thathom	2000	24.3%	48.3%	5.4%
Laos	Thathom	2017	68.0%	87.3%	39.2%
Laos	Thongmyxay	2000	21.5%	43.6%	10.3%
Laos	Thongmyxay	2017	72.8%	91.1%	54.5%
Laos	Thoulakhom	2000	24.0%	44.1%	12.6%
Laos	Thoulakhom	2017	78.5%	91.0%	64.2%
Laos	Tonpheung	2000	20.3%	42.9%	5.4%
Laos	Tonpheung	2017	66.0%	87.8%	40.1%
Laos	Toomlarn	2000	16.8%	37.3%	2.3%
Laos	Toomlarn	2017	56.1%	75.8%	32.1%
Laos	Vangvieng	2000	28.4%	46.7%	14.8%
Laos	Vangvieng	2017	77.4%	90.8%	62.0%
Laos	Vapy	2000	11.5%	28.7%	1.5%
Laos	Vapy	2017	58.6%	81.4%	29.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Viengkham	2000	13.5%	19.2%	9.6%
Laos	Viengkham	2000	14.8%	24.2%	8.2%
Laos	Viengkham	2017	55.8%	68.9%	43.1%
Laos	Viengkham	2017	45.1%	60.5%	38.1%
Laos	Viengphoukha	2000	21.5%	43.3%	7.0%
Laos	Viengphoukha	2017	65.1%	83.9%	40.5%
Laos	Viengthong	2000	14.5%	24.6%	7.3%
Laos	Viengthong	2000	17.6%	34.8%	6.9%
Laos	Viengthong	2017	56.9%	72.5%	40.0%
Laos	Viengthong	2017	60.0%	77.7%	41.3%
Laos	Viengxay	2000	23.7%	40.7%	11.6%
Laos	Viengxay	2017	70.4%	84.8%	54.6%
Laos	Vilabuly	2000	18.1%	31.5%	6.6%
Laos	Vilabuly	2017	60.6%	77.9%	39.9%
Laos	Xamneua	2000	22.1%	39.3%	11.2%
Laos	Xamneua	2017	72.1%	83.1%	60.5%
Laos	Xamtay	2000	15.6%	23.7%	8.9%
Laos	Xamtay	2017	61.0%	71.6%	49.4%
Laos	Xanakharm	2000	25.1%	44.7%	12.0%
Laos	Xanakharm	2017	67.9%	83.6%	46.8%
Laos	Xay	2000	38.0%	46.5%	30.3%
Laos	Xay	2017	81.3%	89.2%	73.4%
Laos	Xayabury	2000	31.0%	40.7%	21.2%
Laos	Xayabury	2017	76.5%	84.8%	68.7%
Laos	Xaybuathong	2000	20.2%	41.1%	4.5%
Laos	Xaybuathong	2017	61.2%	81.1%	37.6%
Laos	Xaybuly	2000	26.4%	46.4%	9.7%
Laos	Xaybuly	2017	75.5%	87.1%	58.6%
Laos	Xayphoothong	2000	26.1%	50.4%	8.8%
Laos	Xayphoothong	2017	73.8%	92.1%	51.0%
Laos	Xaysetha	2000	21.6%	29.8%	15.2%
Laos	Xaysetha	2000	82.2%	86.9%	77.1%
Laos	Xaysetha	2017	62.8%	73.4%	51.8%
Laos	Xaysetha	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.3%
Laos	Xaysomboun	2000	19.0%	37.8%	8.3%
Laos	Xaysomboun	2017	65.7%	82.9%	42.4%
Laos	Xaythany	2000	48.0%	62.7%	36.6%
Laos	Xaythany	2017	91.9%	97.8%	83.2%
Laos	Xebangfay	2000	15.4%	32.7%	4.6%
Laos	Xebangfay	2017	57.6%	76.8%	35.9%
Laos	Xieng Ngeun	2000	16.6%	34.0%	6.3%
Laos	Xieng Ngeun	2017	58.0%	78.0%	38.2%
Laos	Xienghone	2000	37.3%	59.2%	17.9%
Laos	Xienghone	2017	81.6%	93.4%	65.6%
Laos	Xiengkhor	2000	18.4%	37.1%	5.7%
Laos	Xiengkhor	2017	65.1%	88.0%	38.5%
Laos	Xonbuly	2000	23.5%	42.8%	9.2%
Laos	Xonbuly	2017	69.1%	86.3%	48.4%
Myanmar	Bassein	2000	67.3%	72.0%	62.3%
Myanmar	Bassein	2017	55.5%	60.8%	49.9%
Myanmar	Bawlake	2000	65.7%	72.3%	58.3%
Myanmar	Bawlake	2017	52.5%	60.3%	44.2%
Myanmar	Bhamo	2000	77.4%	81.1%	73.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Myanmar	Bhamo	2017	67.5%	71.8%	63.1%
Myanmar	Buthidaung	2000	41.6%	48.6%	34.5%
Myanmar	Buthidaung	2017	30.3%	36.6%	23.9%
Myanmar	Dawei	2000	65.7%	70.1%	61.7%
Myanmar	Dawei	2017	52.4%	57.2%	48.3%
Myanmar	Hinthada	2000	65.2%	71.4%	59.3%
Myanmar	Hinthada	2017	52.5%	59.1%	45.8%
Myanmar	Hkamti	2000	65.6%	69.4%	61.7%
Myanmar	Hkamti	2017	53.0%	57.0%	48.7%
Myanmar	Hpa-an	2000	63.7%	68.9%	58.0%
Myanmar	Hpa-an	2017	50.7%	56.7%	44.4%
Myanmar	Kalemyo	2000	72.2%	77.0%	65.8%
Myanmar	Kalemyo	2017	59.7%	65.5%	52.0%
Myanmar	Katha	2000	69.5%	72.9%	65.3%
Myanmar	Katha	2017	56.7%	60.7%	52.2%
Myanmar	Kawkareik	2000	62.5%	66.7%	57.4%
Myanmar	Kawkareik	2017	50.4%	54.6%	45.1%
Myanmar	Kawthoung	2000	71.6%	76.1%	66.6%
Myanmar	Kawthoung	2017	59.6%	65.4%	54.1%
Myanmar	Kengtung	2000	70.2%	74.8%	64.6%
Myanmar	Kengtung	2017	58.4%	63.5%	52.6%
Myanmar	Kunlong	2000	71.3%	81.0%	60.0%
Myanmar	Kunlong	2017	59.7%	70.4%	48.4%
Myanmar	Kyaukme	2000	61.5%	64.9%	58.1%
Myanmar	Kyaukme	2017	49.7%	53.3%	46.3%
Myanmar	Kyaukse	2000	75.8%	83.2%	68.1%
Myanmar	Kyaukse	2017	64.6%	73.4%	55.5%
Myanmar	Kyaunkpyu	2000	56.1%	63.7%	48.9%
Myanmar	Kyaunkpyu	2017	42.3%	50.4%	36.3%
Myanmar	Lasho	2000	67.0%	70.3%	63.0%
Myanmar	Lasho	2017	54.4%	58.0%	50.7%
Myanmar	Lauking	2000	64.7%	76.5%	51.6%
Myanmar	Lauking	2017	52.2%	65.5%	38.3%
Myanmar	Loikaw	2000	62.4%	66.5%	57.5%
Myanmar	Loikaw	2017	48.7%	52.2%	44.2%
Myanmar	Loilen	2000	69.2%	72.9%	65.3%
Myanmar	Loilen	2017	56.2%	60.3%	52.3%
Myanmar	Magwe Minbu	2000	69.7%	74.1%	65.4%
Myanmar	Magwe Minbu	2017	58.3%	62.9%	54.0%
Myanmar	Mandalay	2000	87.7%	90.2%	84.8%
Myanmar	Mandalay	2017	80.2%	83.3%	76.7%
Myanmar	Maubin	2000	67.4%	74.4%	60.2%
Myanmar	Maubin	2017	54.7%	61.4%	47.3%
Myanmar	Maungtaw	2000	57.7%	68.1%	46.6%
Myanmar	Maungtaw	2017	45.1%	56.5%	32.8%
Myanmar	Mawlamyine	2000	69.2%	74.1%	63.8%
Myanmar	Mawlamyine	2017	56.9%	61.9%	51.6%
Myanmar	Mawleik	2000	66.7%	73.1%	58.9%
Myanmar	Mawleik	2017	53.8%	61.2%	45.2%
Myanmar	Meiktila	2000	75.7%	80.9%	69.3%
Myanmar	Meiktila	2017	64.1%	70.7%	56.6%
Myanmar	Mergui	2000	65.6%	69.8%	60.9%
Myanmar	Mergui	2017	52.4%	56.7%	48.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Myanmar	Minbu	2000	74.5%	80.0%	67.2%
Myanmar	Minbu	2017	63.6%	69.8%	55.3%
Myanmar	Mindat	2000	64.1%	67.8%	59.8%
Myanmar	Mindat	2017	50.8%	54.8%	46.5%
Myanmar	Mongphat	2000	66.3%	73.0%	59.9%
Myanmar	Mongphat	2017	53.6%	60.6%	47.0%
Myanmar	Mongsat	2000	67.6%	72.1%	62.5%
Myanmar	Mongsat	2017	55.1%	59.6%	50.2%
Myanmar	Monywa	2000	72.0%	77.4%	66.7%
Myanmar	Monywa	2017	59.5%	65.2%	53.8%
Myanmar	Muse	2000	70.8%	76.7%	63.2%
Myanmar	Muse	2017	61.2%	67.3%	54.2%
Myanmar	Myawady	2000	65.3%	73.0%	56.5%
Myanmar	Myawady	2017	52.6%	61.2%	44.3%
Myanmar	Myingyan	2000	77.2%	81.3%	72.1%
Myanmar	Myingyan	2017	66.8%	72.2%	61.3%
Myanmar	Myitkyina	2000	77.3%	79.8%	74.9%
Myanmar	Myitkyina	2017	66.8%	70.2%	63.7%
Myanmar	Myoungmya	2000	66.3%	71.3%	60.0%
Myanmar	Myoungmya	2017	53.5%	59.3%	46.9%
Myanmar	Naypyitaw	2000	86.3%	91.0%	79.3%
Myanmar	Naypyitaw	2017	79.1%	86.5%	69.8%
Myanmar	Pakokku	2000	72.1%	75.9%	67.7%
Myanmar	Pakokku	2017	61.4%	65.4%	57.2%
Myanmar	Palam	2000	69.9%	73.6%	65.6%
Myanmar	Palam	2017	56.8%	61.2%	52.7%
Myanmar	Pegu	2000	65.8%	70.3%	61.2%
Myanmar	Pegu	2017	53.6%	58.4%	48.8%
Myanmar	Pharpon	2000	67.0%	73.4%	61.7%
Myanmar	Pharpon	2017	54.6%	61.2%	47.7%
Myanmar	Putao	2000	68.1%	74.0%	61.1%
Myanmar	Putao	2017	55.9%	62.6%	48.7%
Myanmar	Pyay	2000	65.0%	70.1%	59.8%
Myanmar	Pyay	2017	53.2%	58.6%	48.0%
Myanmar	Pyin-Oo-Lwin	2000	76.0%	82.6%	68.6%
Myanmar	Pyin-Oo-Lwin	2017	65.4%	73.1%	58.1%
Myanmar	Sagaing	2000	76.7%	82.6%	70.0%
Myanmar	Sagaing	2017	67.9%	74.0%	61.1%
Myanmar	Shwebo	2000	71.3%	75.0%	66.9%
Myanmar	Shwebo	2017	58.5%	62.7%	53.7%
Myanmar	Sittwe	2000	48.4%	53.5%	43.6%
Myanmar	Sittwe	2017	36.8%	41.8%	32.2%
Myanmar	Tamu	2000	70.8%	79.9%	60.8%
Myanmar	Tamu	2017	58.3%	69.6%	46.6%
Myanmar	Tarchilaik	2000	67.3%	76.7%	55.8%
Myanmar	Tarchilaik	2017	55.5%	64.9%	45.5%
Myanmar	Taunggye	2000	70.5%	74.7%	66.4%
Myanmar	Taunggye	2017	58.0%	62.9%	53.1%
Myanmar	Taungoo	2000	71.1%	76.2%	66.6%
Myanmar	Taungoo	2017	58.7%	64.2%	53.1%
Myanmar	Thandwe	2000	60.0%	66.1%	52.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Myanmar	Thandwe	2017	46.7%	53.3%	39.7%
Myanmar	Thaton	2000	57.1%	63.4%	49.9%
Myanmar	Thaton	2017	45.2%	51.1%	38.0%
Myanmar	Thayarwady	2000	64.4%	69.9%	58.7%
Myanmar	Thayarwady	2017	52.5%	58.6%	46.5%
Myanmar	Thayetmyo	2000	71.7%	76.6%	65.8%
Myanmar	Thayetmyo	2017	59.8%	65.2%	53.5%
Myanmar	Yamethin	2000	79.3%	82.8%	75.2%
Myanmar	Yamethin	2017	67.1%	70.9%	62.8%
Myanmar	Yangon-E	2000	65.9%	68.3%	63.4%
Myanmar	Yangon-E	2017	55.0%	57.4%	52.8%
Myanmar	Yangon-N	2000	67.5%	71.7%	62.6%
Myanmar	Yangon-N	2017	56.6%	61.4%	51.8%
Myanmar	Yangon-S	2000	71.0%	75.6%	66.4%
Myanmar	Yangon-S	2017	59.4%	64.6%	54.1%
Myanmar	Yangon-W	2000	82.4%	83.5%	81.2%
Myanmar	Yangon-W	2017	72.9%	74.6%	71.3%
Papua New Guinea	Abau	2000	42.4%	53.6%	31.4%
Papua New Guinea	Abau	2017	41.6%	52.7%	30.8%
Papua New Guinea	Aitape-Lumi	2000	47.1%	59.7%	36.3%
Papua New Guinea	Aitape-Lumi	2017	46.4%	59.9%	34.9%
Papua New Guinea	Alotau	2000	44.7%	54.2%	34.6%
Papua New Guinea	Alotau	2017	43.5%	53.0%	33.6%
Papua New Guinea	Ambunti-Dreikikir	2000	46.0%	53.4%	38.2%
Papua New Guinea	Ambunti-Dreikikir	2017	45.1%	52.6%	37.4%
Papua New Guinea	Anglimp-South Waghi	2000	62.7%	73.8%	50.9%
Papua New Guinea	Anglimp-South Waghi	2017	61.8%	73.1%	50.3%
Papua New Guinea	Angoram	2000	47.0%	53.0%	40.8%
Papua New Guinea	Angoram	2017	46.0%	51.9%	39.6%
Papua New Guinea	Bogia	2000	46.4%	58.2%	33.5%
Papua New Guinea	Bogia	2017	45.5%	57.1%	32.7%
Papua New Guinea	Bulolo	2000	51.2%	60.8%	40.9%
Papua New Guinea	Bulolo	2017	50.5%	60.1%	40.0%
Papua New Guinea	Central Bougainville	2000	33.1%	43.8%	20.5%
Papua New Guinea	Central Bougainville	2017	32.3%	42.9%	20.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Chuave	2000	55.2%	74.1%	37.0%
Papua New Guinea	Chuave	2017	53.8%	70.4%	36.8%
Papua New Guinea	Daulo	2000	59.6%	79.5%	38.0%
Papua New Guinea	Daulo	2017	58.4%	78.4%	36.6%
Papua New Guinea	Dei	2000	67.5%	83.4%	50.2%
Papua New Guinea	Dei	2017	66.6%	82.6%	49.7%
Papua New Guinea	Esa'ala	2000	30.6%	43.8%	19.6%
Papua New Guinea	Esa'ala	2017	29.9%	42.9%	19.0%
Papua New Guinea	Finschhafen	2000	51.2%	63.4%	39.1%
Papua New Guinea	Finschhafen	2017	49.9%	62.4%	37.7%
Papua New Guinea	Gazelle	2000	57.5%	68.5%	45.0%
Papua New Guinea	Gazelle	2017	55.9%	66.1%	44.6%
Papua New Guinea	Goilala	2000	52.1%	61.1%	43.5%
Papua New Guinea	Goilala	2017	51.3%	60.1%	42.6%
Papua New Guinea	Goroka	2000	41.7%	54.3%	29.9%
Papua New Guinea	Goroka	2017	42.2%	55.2%	29.4%
Papua New Guinea	Gumine	2000	66.8%	82.2%	48.7%
Papua New Guinea	Gumine	2017	65.9%	81.9%	47.7%
Papua New Guinea	Henganofi	2000	60.8%	79.2%	42.5%
Papua New Guinea	Henganofi	2017	59.7%	78.0%	41.5%
Papua New Guinea	Huon	2000	49.5%	59.6%	39.3%
Papua New Guinea	Huon	2017	49.9%	61.1%	38.2%
Papua New Guinea	Ialibu-Pangia	2000	55.8%	68.8%	40.6%
Papua New Guinea	Ialibu-Pangia	2017	55.0%	68.1%	39.1%
Papua New Guinea	Ijivitari	2000	41.5%	49.1%	36.1%
Papua New Guinea	Ijivitari	2017	41.4%	48.8%	35.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Imbonggu	2000	59.4%	72.5%	46.1%
Papua New Guinea	Imbonggu	2017	58.4%	70.9%	45.2%
Papua New Guinea	Jimi	2000	51.0%	65.5%	37.3%
Papua New Guinea	Jimi	2017	50.1%	64.7%	36.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kabwum	2000	54.0%	67.0%	41.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kabwum	2017	53.0%	65.9%	40.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kagua-Erave	2000	47.5%	60.4%	33.7%
Papua New Guinea	Kagua-Erave	2017	46.6%	60.8%	32.6%
Papua New Guinea	Kainantu	2000	59.8%	76.3%	45.1%
Papua New Guinea	Kainantu	2017	59.0%	76.2%	44.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kairuku-Hiri	2000	38.0%	46.8%	30.2%
Papua New Guinea	Kairuku-Hiri	2017	37.2%	46.1%	29.4%
Papua New Guinea	Kandep	2000	61.9%	77.8%	49.7%
Papua New Guinea	Kandep	2017	60.9%	77.1%	48.7%
Papua New Guinea	Kandrian-Gloucester	2000	40.8%	49.0%	34.1%
Papua New Guinea	Kandrian-Gloucester	2017	39.8%	47.9%	33.3%
Papua New Guinea	Karimui-Nomane	2000	52.5%	66.1%	38.8%
Papua New Guinea	Karimui-Nomane	2017	52.0%	66.2%	37.1%
Papua New Guinea	Kavieng	2000	32.4%	44.2%	21.2%
Papua New Guinea	Kavieng	2017	31.6%	42.4%	20.8%
Papua New Guinea	Kerema	2000	42.9%	53.7%	33.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kerema	2017	42.2%	53.0%	32.9%
Papua New Guinea	Kerowagi	2000	64.8%	80.5%	47.1%
Papua New Guinea	Kerowagi	2017	63.5%	79.8%	45.6%
Papua New Guinea	Kikori	2000	45.7%	51.6%	40.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kikori	2017	44.6%	50.4%	39.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Kiriwina-Goodenough	2000	40.4%	56.1%	25.2%
Papua New Guinea	Kiriwina-Goodenough	2017	37.9%	53.9%	23.1%
Papua New Guinea	Kokopo	2000	52.5%	64.9%	40.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kokopo	2017	51.7%	63.5%	39.6%
Papua New Guinea	Komo-Magarima	2000	56.2%	69.4%	43.5%
Papua New Guinea	Komo-Magarima	2017	54.8%	67.8%	42.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kompiani-Ambum	2000	54.1%	68.4%	38.3%
Papua New Guinea	Kompiani-Ambum	2017	53.1%	67.5%	37.2%
Papua New Guinea	Koroba-Kopiago	2000	49.6%	60.1%	37.0%
Papua New Guinea	Koroba-Kopiago	2017	48.7%	59.5%	35.7%
Papua New Guinea	Kundiawa-Gembogl	2000	65.7%	78.7%	53.1%
Papua New Guinea	Kundiawa-Gembogl	2017	64.3%	77.6%	52.0%
Papua New Guinea	Lae	2000	38.2%	46.1%	30.6%
Papua New Guinea	Lae	2017	37.5%	45.5%	29.7%
Papua New Guinea	Lagaip-Porgera	2000	61.0%	72.0%	50.5%
Papua New Guinea	Lagaip-Porgera	2017	59.5%	70.8%	48.9%
Papua New Guinea	Lufa	2000	60.3%	77.6%	39.8%
Papua New Guinea	Lufa	2017	59.1%	77.2%	37.5%
Papua New Guinea	Madang	2000	41.6%	54.8%	29.9%
Papua New Guinea	Madang	2017	40.2%	54.1%	28.5%
Papua New Guinea	Manus	2000	37.0%	50.4%	24.2%
Papua New Guinea	Manus	2017	35.4%	48.3%	23.4%
Papua New Guinea	Maprik	2000	57.3%	71.9%	40.8%
Papua New Guinea	Maprik	2017	56.2%	70.8%	39.7%
Papua New Guinea	Markham	2000	49.3%	62.8%	36.9%
Papua New Guinea	Markham	2017	48.4%	62.3%	35.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Mendi-Munihu	2000	63.2%	74.1%	51.2%
Papua New Guinea	Mendi-Munihu	2017	61.4%	72.7%	48.8%
Papua New Guinea	Menyamya	2000	53.8%	65.9%	42.8%
Papua New Guinea	Menyamya	2017	52.9%	65.5%	41.1%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Fly	2000	37.5%	44.0%	31.5%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Fly	2017	36.2%	42.8%	29.7%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Ramu	2000	44.4%	52.9%	35.0%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Ramu	2017	43.4%	51.9%	34.4%
Papua New Guinea	Mount Hagen	2000	54.4%	71.1%	38.5%
Papua New Guinea	Mount Hagen	2017	55.6%	71.6%	40.1%
Papua New Guinea	Mul-Baiyer	2000	57.4%	72.2%	42.1%
Papua New Guinea	Mul-Baiyer	2017	57.4%	71.9%	41.5%
Papua New Guinea	Namatanai	2000	37.1%	45.7%	27.5%
Papua New Guinea	Namatanai	2017	36.3%	44.8%	26.9%
Papua New Guinea	National Capital District	2000	29.9%	38.7%	21.7%
Papua New Guinea	National Capital District	2017	28.9%	37.4%	21.0%
Papua New Guinea	Nawae	2000	47.1%	58.6%	35.1%
Papua New Guinea	Nawae	2017	45.4%	57.9%	33.4%
Papua New Guinea	Nipa-Kutubu	2000	48.6%	57.3%	38.8%
Papua New Guinea	Nipa-Kutubu	2017	48.6%	57.7%	37.8%
Papua New Guinea	North Bougainville	2000	31.7%	43.1%	21.2%
Papua New Guinea	North Bougainville	2017	30.2%	41.1%	20.4%
Papua New Guinea	North Fly	2000	68.7%	75.4%	60.2%
Papua New Guinea	North Fly	2017	69.1%	76.1%	60.5%
Papua New Guinea	North Waghi	2000	68.5%	83.5%	48.8%
Papua New Guinea	North Waghi	2017	67.1%	81.9%	47.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Nuku	2000	46.2%	60.8%	33.4%
Papua New Guinea	Nuku	2017	45.1%	59.2%	32.7%
Papua New Guinea	Obura-Wonenara	2000	57.1%	69.8%	43.0%
Papua New Guinea	Obura-Wonenara	2017	56.1%	68.9%	42.4%
Papua New Guinea	Okapa	2000	61.7%	75.2%	46.2%
Papua New Guinea	Okapa	2017	60.6%	73.9%	45.2%
Papua New Guinea	Pomio	2000	48.2%	55.0%	41.2%
Papua New Guinea	Pomio	2017	47.7%	54.4%	40.9%
Papua New Guinea	Rabaul	2000	71.4%	89.5%	45.2%
Papua New Guinea	Rabaul	2017	70.8%	88.7%	45.8%
Papua New Guinea	Rai Coast	2000	51.1%	61.8%	40.7%
Papua New Guinea	Rai Coast	2017	50.2%	60.7%	40.4%
Papua New Guinea	Rigo	2000	44.0%	56.9%	32.9%
Papua New Guinea	Rigo	2017	42.7%	56.3%	31.5%
Papua New Guinea	Samarai-Murua	2000	33.8%	44.6%	25.4%
Papua New Guinea	Samarai-Murua	2017	33.1%	44.1%	24.9%
Papua New Guinea	Sina Sina-Yonggomugl	2000	51.6%	70.3%	35.2%
Papua New Guinea	Sina Sina-Yonggomugl	2017	50.2%	68.9%	34.6%
Papua New Guinea	Sohe	2000	48.2%	56.9%	40.2%
Papua New Guinea	Sohe	2017	47.3%	56.3%	39.0%
Papua New Guinea	South Bougainville	2000	40.0%	49.8%	29.8%
Papua New Guinea	South Bougainville	2017	38.9%	49.1%	29.3%
Papua New Guinea	South Fly	2000	40.3%	46.9%	34.4%
Papua New Guinea	South Fly	2017	39.4%	46.0%	33.4%
Papua New Guinea	Sumkar	2000	45.5%	62.8%	30.1%
Papua New Guinea	Sumkar	2017	44.3%	61.1%	29.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Talasea	2000	42.4%	51.9%	33.0%
Papua New Guinea	Talasea	2017	41.8%	51.7%	32.8%
Papua New Guinea	Tambul-Nebilyer	2000	59.1%	73.2%	44.1%
Papua New Guinea	Tambul-Nebilyer	2017	58.1%	71.9%	42.4%
Papua New Guinea	Tari-Pori	2000	50.6%	66.0%	35.2%
Papua New Guinea	Tari-Pori	2017	49.7%	64.7%	34.8%
Papua New Guinea	Telefomin	2000	60.8%	67.1%	55.2%
Papua New Guinea	Telefomin	2017	61.5%	67.8%	56.1%
Papua New Guinea	Tewae-Siassi	2000	49.3%	61.7%	36.2%
Papua New Guinea	Tewae-Siassi	2017	48.4%	60.8%	35.2%
Papua New Guinea	Unggai-Bena	2000	62.8%	78.4%	47.3%
Papua New Guinea	Unggai-Bena	2017	61.4%	77.1%	46.4%
Papua New Guinea	Usino-Bundi	2000	43.4%	53.6%	35.0%
Papua New Guinea	Usino-Bundi	2017	42.9%	52.3%	34.1%
Papua New Guinea	Vanimo-Green River	2000	46.3%	55.1%	38.1%
Papua New Guinea	Vanimo-Green River	2017	45.9%	55.0%	37.2%
Papua New Guinea	Wabag	2000	59.6%	76.8%	42.3%
Papua New Guinea	Wabag	2017	58.1%	75.4%	41.4%
Papua New Guinea	Wapenamanda	2000	61.4%	77.7%	40.8%
Papua New Guinea	Wapenamanda	2017	60.5%	77.0%	40.5%
Papua New Guinea	Wewak	2000	51.1%	62.8%	40.4%
Papua New Guinea	Wewak	2017	48.7%	60.5%	37.5%
Papua New Guinea	Wosera-Gawi	2000	47.7%	61.5%	34.2%
Papua New Guinea	Wosera-Gawi	2017	46.7%	60.8%	32.6%
Papua New Guinea	Yangoro-Saussia	2000	49.8%	62.6%	35.8%
Papua New Guinea	Yangoro-Saussia	2017	49.1%	61.9%	35.0%
Philippines	Aborlan	2000	75.9%	98.0%	29.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Aborlan	2017	84.7%	99.2%	45.0%
Philippines	Abra de Ilog	2000	77.6%	97.8%	39.8%
Philippines	Abra de Ilog	2017	85.0%	99.0%	51.8%
Philippines	Abucay	2000	75.8%	94.3%	54.9%
Philippines	Abucay	2017	94.5%	98.9%	86.4%
Philippines	Abulug	2000	81.5%	99.9%	24.2%
Philippines	Abulug	2017	81.8%	99.9%	35.2%
Philippines	Abuyog	2000	64.3%	92.6%	29.2%
Philippines	Abuyog	2017	79.5%	98.1%	42.6%
Philippines	Adams	2000	82.0%	100.0%	22.3%
Philippines	Adams	2017	87.1%	100.0%	24.9%
Philippines	Agdangan	2000	76.7%	97.9%	40.9%
Philippines	Agdangan	2017	94.5%	99.5%	76.9%
Philippines	Aglipay	2000	96.3%	99.9%	79.6%
Philippines	Aglipay	2017	97.3%	99.9%	84.9%
Philippines	Agno	2000	78.5%	99.6%	28.4%
Philippines	Agno	2017	85.9%	99.9%	40.9%
Philippines	Agoncillo	2000	82.5%	95.4%	62.6%
Philippines	Agoncillo	2017	96.5%	98.9%	91.1%
Philippines	Agoo	2000	79.4%	99.9%	11.8%
Philippines	Agoo	2017	87.9%	100.0%	28.3%
Philippines	Aguilar	2000	91.3%	98.7%	53.9%
Philippines	Aguilar	2017	93.2%	99.3%	63.6%
Philippines	Aguinaldo	2000	74.7%	98.6%	31.3%
Philippines	Aguinaldo	2017	83.4%	99.4%	46.6%
Philippines	Agutaya	2000	67.8%	95.0%	25.8%
Philippines	Agutaya	2017	76.1%	97.6%	35.0%
Philippines	Ajuy	2000	80.0%	93.9%	53.1%
Philippines	Ajuy	2017	89.2%	97.3%	67.2%
Philippines	Akbar	2000	53.2%	77.1%	33.4%
Philippines	Akbar	2017	73.0%	85.2%	58.3%
Philippines	Al-Barka	2000	31.1%	55.8%	8.3%
Philippines	Al-Barka	2017	51.7%	73.3%	24.6%
Philippines	Alabat	2000	77.0%	99.9%	13.0%
Philippines	Alabat	2017	83.9%	100.0%	24.7%
Philippines	Alabel	2000	83.2%	92.8%	67.1%
Philippines	Alabel	2017	95.3%	98.5%	88.3%
Philippines	Alamada	2000	73.9%	96.2%	36.7%
Philippines	Alamada	2017	82.1%	98.4%	51.4%
Philippines	Alaminos	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.0%
Philippines	Alaminos	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Alaminos City	2000	92.1%	99.0%	73.2%
Philippines	Alaminos City	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.8%
Philippines	Alangalang	2000	70.5%	98.6%	29.0%
Philippines	Alangalang	2017	80.8%	99.0%	46.7%
Philippines	Albuera	2000	77.0%	99.8%	22.7%
Philippines	Albuera	2017	84.6%	99.9%	34.2%
Philippines	Albuquerque	2000	90.9%	99.6%	64.7%
Philippines	Albuquerque	2017	97.3%	99.9%	85.4%
Philippines	Alcala	2000	97.0%	100.0%	83.5%
Philippines	Alcala	2000	96.6%	98.3%	93.9%
Philippines	Alcala	2017	98.6%	100.0%	93.8%
Philippines	Alcala	2017	97.8%	98.9%	96.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Alcantara	2000	67.2%	98.0%	11.6%
Philippines	Alcantara	2000	76.7%	91.3%	61.7%
Philippines	Alcantara	2017	76.9%	99.1%	23.1%
Philippines	Alcantara	2017	88.3%	96.9%	74.9%
Philippines	Alcoy	2000	74.3%	99.9%	13.2%
Philippines	Alcoy	2017	83.5%	100.0%	31.1%
Philippines	Alegria	2000	74.5%	99.6%	26.2%
Philippines	Alegria	2000	76.3%	99.8%	18.9%
Philippines	Alegria	2017	90.4%	99.9%	57.6%
Philippines	Alegria	2017	84.5%	99.9%	31.7%
Philippines	Aleosan	2000	68.2%	92.6%	31.9%
Philippines	Aleosan	2017	75.2%	93.9%	42.4%
Philippines	Alfonso	2000	81.7%	99.8%	24.2%
Philippines	Alfonso	2017	91.7%	100.0%	44.4%
Philippines	Alfonso Cas- taneda	2000	76.2%	98.2%	31.5%
Philippines	Alfonso Cas- taneda	2017	84.2%	99.4%	44.9%
Philippines	Alfonso Lista	2000	86.3%	95.4%	70.1%
Philippines	Alfonso Lista	2017	90.1%	96.6%	77.0%
Philippines	Aliaga	2000	85.2%	99.9%	37.5%
Philippines	Aliaga	2017	94.0%	100.0%	63.2%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	70.3%	94.7%	34.2%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	77.3%	99.8%	21.0%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	90.2%	99.5%	52.8%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	73.2%	93.6%	34.9%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	86.5%	99.9%	33.4%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	94.0%	99.6%	64.6%
Philippines	Alilem	2000	89.6%	99.9%	55.1%
Philippines	Alilem	2017	95.3%	100.0%	78.4%
Philippines	Alimodian	2000	94.5%	100.0%	73.7%
Philippines	Alimodian	2017	96.9%	100.0%	81.7%
Philippines	Alitagtag	2000	94.7%	100.0%	68.4%
Philippines	Alitagtag	2017	98.4%	100.0%	85.9%
Philippines	Allacapan	2000	92.0%	99.8%	66.4%
Philippines	Allacapan	2017	95.0%	99.9%	77.0%
Philippines	Allen	2000	75.0%	92.5%	45.0%
Philippines	Allen	2017	86.9%	97.8%	57.3%
Philippines	Almagro	2000	74.6%	99.9%	10.3%
Philippines	Almagro	2017	84.1%	100.0%	26.6%
Philippines	Almeria	2000	80.8%	91.4%	65.2%
Philippines	Almeria	2017	93.5%	97.9%	81.8%
Philippines	Aloguinsan	2000	81.4%	99.6%	33.3%
Philippines	Aloguinsan	2017	82.9%	99.6%	37.1%
Philippines	Aloran	2000	98.5%	99.8%	94.4%
Philippines	Aloran	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.5%
Philippines	Altavas	2000	87.3%	98.4%	50.4%
Philippines	Altavas	2017	94.4%	99.6%	73.7%
Philippines	Alubijid	2000	90.2%	99.4%	63.4%
Philippines	Alubijid	2017	96.2%	99.9%	84.3%
Philippines	Amadeo	2000	88.4%	99.6%	68.6%
Philippines	Amadeo	2017	97.4%	99.9%	87.1%
Philippines	Ambaguio	2000	79.6%	99.5%	27.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Ambaguio	2017	88.0%	99.9%	43.3%
Philippines	Amlan	2000	93.7%	99.9%	69.9%
Philippines	Amlan	2017	96.5%	100.0%	79.8%
Philippines	Ampatuan	2000	86.4%	93.8%	74.1%
Philippines	Ampatuan	2017	91.0%	97.3%	79.5%
Philippines	Amulung	2000	95.6%	99.4%	82.3%
Philippines	Amulung	2017	95.3%	99.2%	81.7%
Philippines	Anahawan	2000	93.7%	100.0%	66.9%
Philippines	Anahawan	2017	96.8%	100.0%	80.5%
Philippines	Anao	2000	95.7%	99.1%	84.0%
Philippines	Anao	2017	98.6%	99.7%	95.4%
Philippines	Anda	2000	82.3%	99.8%	31.9%
Philippines	Anda	2000	75.8%	99.9%	14.8%
Philippines	Anda	2017	89.1%	99.9%	43.5%
Philippines	Anda	2017	84.7%	100.0%	31.7%
Philippines	Angadanan	2000	86.6%	99.1%	65.7%
Philippines	Angadanan	2017	91.5%	99.5%	73.9%
Philippines	Angat	2000	69.3%	98.2%	20.8%
Philippines	Angat	2017	85.1%	99.7%	49.1%
Philippines	Angeles City	2000	98.5%	99.9%	92.3%
Philippines	Angeles City	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%
Philippines	Angono	2000	99.1%	99.9%	96.6%
Philippines	Angono	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Anilao	2000	96.5%	100.0%	82.7%
Philippines	Anilao	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.4%
Philippines	Anini-Y	2000	79.3%	97.2%	47.1%
Philippines	Anini-Y	2017	89.9%	99.0%	65.7%
Philippines	Antequera	2000	74.9%	99.9%	7.9%
Philippines	Antequera	2017	84.7%	100.0%	25.8%
Philippines	Antipas	2000	80.2%	99.0%	35.6%
Philippines	Antipas	2017	86.7%	99.8%	46.7%
Philippines	Antipolo City	2000	97.2%	99.0%	93.8%
Philippines	Antipolo City	2017	98.8%	99.7%	96.9%
Philippines	Apalit	2000	86.1%	91.8%	82.2%
Philippines	Apalit	2017	94.9%	97.9%	91.7%
Philippines	Aparri	2000	83.4%	99.5%	30.9%
Philippines	Aparri	2017	88.3%	99.8%	43.6%
Philippines	Araceli	2000	68.2%	98.0%	13.9%
Philippines	Araceli	2017	78.7%	99.2%	26.2%
Philippines	Arakan	2000	69.6%	96.0%	31.9%
Philippines	Arakan	2017	78.6%	97.7%	43.2%
Philippines	Arayat	2000	77.4%	99.9%	30.6%
Philippines	Arayat	2017	88.1%	100.0%	48.1%
Philippines	Argao	2000	87.4%	99.5%	57.1%
Philippines	Argao	2017	93.5%	99.9%	73.4%
Philippines	Aringay	2000	90.6%	99.5%	58.9%
Philippines	Aringay	2017	95.7%	99.8%	78.8%
Philippines	Aritao	2000	97.6%	99.7%	92.3%
Philippines	Aritao	2017	98.6%	99.8%	95.3%
Philippines	Aroroy	2000	65.6%	89.4%	32.7%
Philippines	Aroroy	2017	82.9%	96.5%	57.2%
Philippines	Arteche	2000	83.4%	92.8%	69.1%
Philippines	Arteche	2017	92.4%	97.2%	84.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Asingan	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.3%
Philippines	Asingan	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Philippines	Asipulo	2000	64.3%	93.3%	27.6%
Philippines	Asipulo	2017	72.1%	95.2%	35.8%
Philippines	Asturias	2000	46.5%	75.5%	20.8%
Philippines	Asturias	2017	71.0%	89.0%	44.7%
Philippines	Asuncion	2000	96.8%	99.3%	88.7%
Philippines	Asuncion	2017	98.3%	99.6%	92.9%
Philippines	Atimonan	2000	60.4%	86.0%	27.6%
Philippines	Atimonan	2017	85.0%	96.9%	59.9%
Philippines	Atok	2000	93.8%	99.2%	77.6%
Philippines	Atok	2017	97.6%	99.6%	93.4%
Philippines	Aurora	2000	76.4%	90.0%	59.0%
Philippines	Aurora	2000	88.6%	99.4%	49.0%
Philippines	Aurora	2017	78.4%	89.8%	63.9%
Philippines	Aurora	2017	93.4%	99.6%	66.5%
Philippines	Ayungon	2000	77.0%	98.8%	31.9%
Philippines	Ayungon	2017	86.3%	99.5%	49.6%
Philippines	Baao	2000	87.5%	98.5%	45.8%
Philippines	Baao	2017	90.0%	98.4%	56.4%
Philippines	Babatngon	2000	80.0%	99.8%	38.7%
Philippines	Babatngon	2017	88.7%	100.0%	53.2%
Philippines	Bacacay	2000	94.3%	99.1%	77.4%
Philippines	Bacacay	2017	96.7%	99.7%	83.9%
Philippines	Bacarra	2000	99.1%	100.0%	93.9%
Philippines	Bacarra	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.7%
Philippines	Baclayon	2000	77.9%	99.9%	27.2%
Philippines	Baclayon	2017	89.3%	100.0%	46.8%
Philippines	Bacnotan	2000	97.7%	100.0%	85.2%
Philippines	Bacnotan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.5%
Philippines	Baco	2000	97.8%	99.9%	91.1%
Philippines	Baco	2017	98.4%	100.0%	94.2%
Philippines	Bacolod	2000	57.7%	73.4%	45.1%
Philippines	Bacolod	2017	82.2%	90.1%	73.8%
Philippines	Bacolod City	2000	62.4%	68.5%	56.0%
Philippines	Bacolod City	2017	92.1%	93.7%	90.2%
Philippines	Bacolod	2000	81.5%	95.6%	56.5%
Philippines	Kalawi				
Philippines	Bacolod Kalawi	2017	74.1%	93.5%	44.2%
Philippines	Bacolor	2000	94.1%	98.9%	78.9%
Philippines	Bacolor	2017	99.0%	99.9%	94.4%
Philippines	Bacong	2000	97.1%	100.0%	84.8%
Philippines	Bacong	2017	98.6%	100.0%	93.1%
Philippines	Bacoor	2000	86.3%	91.5%	80.8%
Philippines	Bacoor	2017	98.0%	98.7%	96.9%
Philippines	Bacuag	2000	83.2%	99.7%	30.5%
Philippines	Bacuag	2017	94.0%	100.0%	60.1%
Philippines	Bacungan	2000	73.3%	96.9%	36.3%
Philippines	Bacungan	2017	81.6%	97.9%	48.3%
Philippines	Badian	2000	73.9%	99.0%	13.6%
Philippines	Badian	2017	82.9%	99.5%	25.2%
Philippines	Badiangan	2000	94.4%	99.9%	57.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Badiangan	2017	97.1%	100.0%	77.7%
Philippines	Badoc	2000	88.0%	99.9%	45.1%
Philippines	Badoc	2017	93.3%	100.0%	67.2%
Philippines	Bagabag	2000	74.9%	90.2%	60.1%
Philippines	Bagabag	2017	94.0%	97.5%	89.1%
Philippines	Bagac	2000	95.1%	99.8%	79.7%
Philippines	Bagac	2017	98.3%	99.9%	94.0%
Philippines	Bagamanoc	2000	84.8%	99.8%	38.8%
Philippines	Bagamanoc	2017	91.8%	99.9%	52.8%
Philippines	Baganga	2000	81.3%	98.1%	57.3%
Philippines	Baganga	2017	88.7%	98.9%	68.1%
Philippines	Baggao	2000	84.1%	98.2%	55.8%
Philippines	Baggao	2017	88.8%	98.9%	65.3%
Philippines	Bago City	2000	79.2%	89.3%	63.9%
Philippines	Bago City	2017	92.4%	96.4%	86.6%
Philippines	Baguio City	2000	89.3%	93.5%	84.6%
Philippines	Baguio City	2017	98.1%	98.9%	97.1%
Philippines	Bagulin	2000	85.8%	99.1%	45.8%
Philippines	Bagulin	2017	89.4%	99.4%	59.7%
Philippines	Bagumbayan	2000	92.3%	99.2%	74.7%
Philippines	Bagumbayan	2017	94.4%	99.7%	79.7%
Philippines	Bais City	2000	95.3%	99.7%	84.2%
Philippines	Bais City	2017	97.2%	99.9%	89.2%
Philippines	Bakun	2000	91.8%	99.8%	71.5%
Philippines	Bakun	2017	94.3%	99.9%	76.4%
Philippines	Balabac	2000	76.2%	96.8%	49.2%
Philippines	Balabac	2017	83.8%	97.6%	59.9%
Philippines	Balabagan	2000	56.1%	75.7%	40.1%
Philippines	Balabagan	2017	55.5%	72.1%	40.0%
Philippines	Balagtas	2000	98.1%	99.9%	93.6%
Philippines	Balagtas	2017	99.7%	100.0%	99.2%
Philippines	Balamban	2000	49.4%	82.5%	16.7%
Philippines	Balamban	2017	71.0%	93.7%	28.7%
Philippines	Balanga City	2000	95.9%	99.6%	84.4%
Philippines	Balanga City	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.0%
Philippines	Balangiga	2000	91.6%	99.9%	59.3%
Philippines	Balangiga	2017	97.2%	100.0%	78.5%
Philippines	Balangkayan	2000	71.5%	95.1%	31.0%
Philippines	Balangkayan	2017	90.4%	98.6%	68.0%
Philippines	Balaoan	2000	98.7%	100.0%	91.0%
Philippines	Balaoan	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.0%
Philippines	Balasan	2000	56.3%	85.2%	24.7%
Philippines	Balasan	2017	82.7%	95.1%	57.2%
Philippines	Balatan	2000	70.0%	99.6%	7.1%
Philippines	Balatan	2017	81.2%	99.9%	18.3%
Philippines	Balayan	2000	75.0%	99.6%	13.6%
Philippines	Balayan	2017	84.8%	99.9%	35.4%
Philippines	Balbalan	2000	90.5%	98.9%	75.2%
Philippines	Balbalan	2017	94.4%	99.4%	83.6%
Philippines	Baleno	2000	55.5%	90.9%	15.7%
Philippines	Baleno	2017	77.0%	97.5%	35.2%
Philippines	Baler	2000	96.6%	99.8%	80.3%
Philippines	Baler	2017	99.0%	99.9%	93.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Balete	2000	96.7%	100.0%	72.5%
Philippines	Balete	2000	67.4%	85.9%	40.2%
Philippines	Balete	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.0%
Philippines	Balete	2017	91.6%	98.4%	76.0%
Philippines	Baliangao	2000	91.3%	100.0%	53.2%
Philippines	Baliangao	2017	94.7%	100.0%	64.3%
Philippines	Baliguian	2000	72.4%	97.2%	36.4%
Philippines	Baliguian	2017	80.7%	98.1%	52.4%
Philippines	Balilihan	2000	76.3%	97.7%	31.6%
Philippines	Balilihan	2017	87.5%	99.5%	48.9%
Philippines	Balindong	2000	61.0%	75.1%	42.4%
Philippines	Balindong	2017	60.1%	74.3%	42.0%
Philippines	Balingasag	2000	58.5%	88.7%	29.3%
Philippines	Balingasag	2017	83.5%	97.4%	55.4%
Philippines	Balingoan	2000	82.4%	99.9%	25.1%
Philippines	Balingoan	2017	90.2%	100.0%	45.3%
Philippines	Baliuag	2000	88.5%	98.0%	77.8%
Philippines	Baliuag	2017	97.2%	99.5%	93.5%
Philippines	Ballesteros	2000	93.8%	99.9%	78.5%
Philippines	Ballesteros	2017	94.6%	99.9%	80.4%
Philippines	Baloi	2000	81.8%	85.2%	78.2%
Philippines	Baloi	2017	92.9%	94.6%	90.8%
Philippines	Balud	2000	74.6%	98.9%	35.4%
Philippines	Balud	2017	82.9%	99.5%	47.3%
Philippines	Balungao	2000	91.2%	99.2%	68.8%
Philippines	Balungao	2017	92.5%	99.6%	72.0%
Philippines	Bamban	2000	95.6%	100.0%	73.8%
Philippines	Bamban	2017	98.8%	100.0%	93.1%
Philippines	Bambang	2000	96.9%	99.1%	93.1%
Philippines	Bambang	2017	98.2%	99.5%	95.3%
Philippines	Banate	2000	94.3%	99.9%	78.8%
Philippines	Banate	2017	98.3%	100.0%	92.4%
Philippines	Banaue	2000	65.1%	88.0%	26.3%
Philippines	Banaue	2017	74.0%	93.4%	34.5%
Philippines	Banaybanay	2000	92.9%	98.5%	67.8%
Philippines	Banaybanay	2017	95.6%	99.1%	79.5%
Philippines	Banayoyo	2000	97.7%	100.0%	85.1%
Philippines	Banayoyo	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.9%
Philippines	Banga	2000	86.6%	95.9%	66.2%
Philippines	Banga	2000	79.4%	98.5%	42.3%
Philippines	Banga	2017	93.1%	97.6%	79.7%
Philippines	Banga	2017	90.3%	99.3%	66.5%
Philippines	Bangar	2000	99.0%	100.0%	93.6%
Philippines	Bangar	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.8%
Philippines	Bangued	2000	97.1%	98.8%	94.1%
Philippines	Bangued	2017	98.4%	99.4%	96.9%
Philippines	Bangui	2000	83.5%	99.9%	25.1%
Philippines	Bangui	2017	89.5%	99.9%	43.1%
Philippines	Bani	2000	82.0%	99.9%	35.6%
Philippines	Bani	2017	89.4%	100.0%	50.0%
Philippines	Banisilan	2000	72.6%	95.2%	38.2%
Philippines	Banisilan	2017	75.1%	95.2%	43.7%
Philippines	Banna	2000	91.9%	100.0%	57.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Banna	2017	95.7%	100.0%	70.0%
Philippines	Bansalan	2000	84.5%	90.7%	78.7%
Philippines	Bansalan	2017	91.0%	94.3%	87.9%
Philippines	Bansud	2000	87.0%	99.8%	45.3%
Philippines	Bansud	2017	94.5%	99.9%	72.9%
Philippines	Bantay	2000	98.6%	100.0%	86.1%
Philippines	Bantay	2017	99.6%	100.0%	95.8%
Philippines	Bantayan	2000	77.5%	99.6%	32.6%
Philippines	Bantayan	2017	86.5%	99.9%	42.2%
Philippines	Banton	2000	77.4%	100.0%	8.3%
Philippines	Banton	2017	85.7%	100.0%	21.5%
Philippines	Baras	2000	89.9%	100.0%	48.9%
Philippines	Baras	2000	96.1%	100.0%	79.5%
Philippines	Baras	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.0%
Philippines	Baras	2017	93.0%	100.0%	56.5%
Philippines	Barbaza	2000	75.0%	94.8%	22.0%
Philippines	Barbaza	2017	82.7%	95.5%	37.1%
Philippines	Barcelona	2000	57.9%	84.7%	37.4%
Philippines	Barcelona	2017	86.0%	94.2%	73.6%
Philippines	Barili	2000	75.0%	96.5%	43.6%
Philippines	Barili	2017	80.2%	97.6%	48.0%
Philippines	Barira	2000	63.4%	97.0%	16.9%
Philippines	Barira	2017	68.3%	96.6%	24.6%
Philippines	Barlig	2000	69.8%	88.0%	44.5%
Philippines	Barlig	2017	87.6%	95.0%	74.7%
Philippines	Barobo	2000	92.9%	99.2%	72.6%
Philippines	Barobo	2017	98.0%	99.8%	90.4%
Philippines	Barotac Nuevo	2000	97.3%	99.7%	88.4%
Philippines	Barotac Nuevo	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.3%
Philippines	Barotac Viejo	2000	90.1%	99.2%	62.9%
Philippines	Barotac Viejo	2017	94.6%	99.7%	68.5%
Philippines	Baroy	2000	62.0%	69.6%	55.0%
Philippines	Baroy	2017	70.8%	76.0%	65.1%
Philippines	Barugo	2000	97.0%	99.9%	85.4%
Philippines	Barugo	2017	98.6%	100.0%	93.4%
Philippines	Basay	2000	73.0%	99.7%	16.8%
Philippines	Basay	2017	83.7%	99.9%	34.4%
Philippines	Basco	2000	96.9%	100.0%	85.5%
Philippines	Basco	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.9%
Philippines	Basey	2000	50.9%	71.4%	28.4%
Philippines	Basey	2017	78.0%	92.3%	54.3%
Philippines	Basilisa	2000	76.8%	91.8%	54.0%
Philippines	Basilisa	2017	92.2%	97.9%	74.9%
Philippines	Basista	2000	95.7%	100.0%	71.4%
Philippines	Basista	2017	96.9%	100.0%	76.4%
Philippines	Basud	2000	85.4%	96.7%	59.8%
Philippines	Basud	2017	92.1%	99.1%	70.3%
Philippines	Batac City	2000	96.3%	100.0%	84.9%
Philippines	Batac City	2017	98.7%	100.0%	93.2%
Philippines	Batad	2000	51.2%	86.1%	11.6%
Philippines	Batad	2017	75.2%	96.7%	32.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Batan	2000	83.2%	90.2%	71.1%
Philippines	Batan	2017	95.7%	97.4%	92.2%
Philippines	Batangas City	2000	83.6%	99.4%	42.7%
Philippines	Batangas City	2017	92.3%	99.8%	65.6%
Philippines	Bataraza	2000	73.6%	90.2%	51.3%
Philippines	Bataraza	2017	81.0%	91.7%	63.8%
Philippines	Bato	2000	80.3%	99.8%	26.2%
Philippines	Bato	2000	83.8%	98.6%	50.8%
Philippines	Bato	2000	92.7%	100.0%	49.4%
Philippines	Bato	2017	90.0%	99.4%	62.8%
Philippines	Bato	2017	86.4%	99.9%	40.4%
Philippines	Bato	2017	93.4%	100.0%	53.5%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2000	91.2%	100.0%	40.3%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2000	90.1%	99.9%	27.2%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2017	93.0%	100.0%	28.0%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2017	94.3%	100.0%	54.0%
Philippines	Batuan	2000	77.3%	99.8%	18.3%
Philippines	Batuan	2000	75.7%	97.4%	20.8%
Philippines	Batuan	2017	86.4%	100.0%	36.4%
Philippines	Batuan	2017	84.3%	98.9%	42.5%
Philippines	Bauan	2000	94.4%	100.0%	78.2%
Philippines	Bauan	2017	98.0%	100.0%	90.0%
Philippines	Bauang	2000	94.6%	100.0%	68.6%
Philippines	Bauang	2017	97.1%	100.0%	79.8%
Philippines	Bauko	2000	82.7%	94.1%	60.9%
Philippines	Bauko	2017	91.0%	96.2%	77.9%
Philippines	Baungon	2000	90.0%	97.2%	74.4%
Philippines	Baungon	2017	94.8%	98.8%	84.9%
Philippines	Bautista	2000	98.3%	100.0%	90.7%
Philippines	Bautista	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%
Philippines	Bay	2000	93.1%	99.1%	79.8%
Philippines	Bay	2017	93.6%	99.2%	83.5%
Philippines	Bayabas	2000	71.1%	86.0%	50.7%
Philippines	Bayabas	2017	85.6%	93.7%	74.7%
Philippines	Bayambang	2000	99.2%	99.9%	95.7%
Philippines	Bayambang	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.0%
Philippines	Bayang	2000	69.7%	99.9%	13.9%
Philippines	Bayang	2017	79.0%	100.0%	22.7%
Philippines	Bayawan City	2000	72.6%	93.7%	50.7%
Philippines	Bayawan City	2017	86.8%	97.8%	71.4%
Philippines	Baybay City	2000	59.5%	89.6%	22.9%
Philippines	Baybay City	2017	78.1%	96.5%	39.7%
Philippines	Bayog	2000	84.3%	95.9%	57.9%
Philippines	Bayog	2017	85.8%	95.7%	60.1%
Philippines	Bayombong	2000	91.6%	98.9%	70.6%
Philippines	Bayombong	2017	97.2%	99.6%	87.0%
Philippines	Bayugan City	2000	80.8%	90.3%	69.5%
Philippines	Bayugan City	2017	91.1%	95.8%	83.7%
Philippines	Belison	2000	70.1%	97.3%	25.6%
Philippines	Belison	2017	89.4%	99.6%	57.4%
Philippines	Benito Soliven	2000	79.5%	94.5%	56.4%
Philippines	Benito Soliven	2017	83.7%	97.2%	61.1%
Philippines	Besao	2000	62.7%	99.2%	20.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Besao	2017	67.9%	99.2%	28.3%
Philippines	Bien Unido	2000	86.7%	99.9%	47.8%
Philippines	Bien Unido	2017	91.6%	100.0%	59.6%
Philippines	Bilar	2000	74.7%	99.6%	20.7%
Philippines	Bilar	2017	84.4%	99.9%	36.5%
Philippines	Biliran	2000	56.1%	77.1%	33.2%
Philippines	Biliran	2017	86.1%	93.9%	71.8%
Philippines	Binalbagan	2000	74.6%	98.9%	29.7%
Philippines	Binalbagan	2017	83.7%	99.5%	44.4%
Philippines	Binalonan	2000	91.8%	99.7%	65.9%
Philippines	Binalonan	2017	95.3%	99.9%	80.5%
Philippines	Biñan	2000	98.9%	99.8%	97.0%
Philippines	Biñan	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Philippines	Binangonan	2000	98.9%	100.0%	94.4%
Philippines	Binangonan	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Philippines	Bindoy	2000	80.7%	99.5%	27.5%
Philippines	Bindoy	2017	86.0%	99.7%	36.9%
Philippines	Bingawan	2000	79.2%	99.9%	13.7%
Philippines	Bingawan	2017	85.6%	100.0%	20.3%
Philippines	Binidayan	2000	68.6%	99.2%	21.7%
Philippines	Binidayan	2017	76.5%	99.3%	32.7%
Philippines	Binmaley	2000	96.4%	98.9%	87.9%
Philippines	Binmaley	2017	97.3%	99.4%	89.4%
Philippines	Binuangan	2000	91.3%	99.9%	62.0%
Philippines	Binuangan	2017	97.1%	100.0%	81.5%
Philippines	Biri	2000	72.1%	99.8%	8.5%
Philippines	Biri	2017	78.1%	99.7%	18.2%
Philippines	Bislig City	2000	78.2%	91.5%	63.6%
Philippines	Bislig City	2017	93.5%	97.3%	86.6%
Philippines	Boac	2000	65.9%	78.9%	51.6%
Philippines	Boac	2017	86.2%	92.9%	75.2%
Philippines	Bobon	2000	72.5%	99.4%	14.6%
Philippines	Bobon	2017	83.4%	99.8%	25.2%
Philippines	Bocau	2000	98.0%	99.9%	90.2%
Philippines	Bocau	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Philippines	Bogo City	2000	65.3%	92.3%	21.2%
Philippines	Bogo City	2017	81.7%	97.5%	39.3%
Philippines	Bokod	2000	59.3%	92.7%	22.6%
Philippines	Bokod	2017	70.1%	94.6%	36.6%
Philippines	Bolinao	2000	80.4%	99.6%	30.7%
Philippines	Bolinao	2017	87.4%	99.9%	43.3%
Philippines	Boliney	2000	84.6%	99.7%	56.4%
Philippines	Boliney	2017	89.7%	99.9%	61.9%
Philippines	Boljoon	2000	74.3%	99.9%	19.3%
Philippines	Boljoon	2017	84.5%	100.0%	32.1%
Philippines	Bombon	2000	98.6%	99.8%	95.3%
Philippines	Bombon	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.0%
Philippines	Bongabon	2000	88.4%	99.9%	39.8%
Philippines	Bongabon	2017	94.7%	100.0%	64.7%
Philippines	Bongabong	2000	85.9%	99.0%	57.6%
Philippines	Bongabong	2017	93.7%	99.7%	78.4%
Philippines	Bongao	2000	21.9%	31.1%	14.8%
Philippines	Bongao	2017	29.9%	37.3%	22.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Bonifacio	2000	86.0%	95.1%	74.5%
Philippines	Bonifacio	2017	83.9%	93.5%	73.4%
Philippines	Bontoc	2000	68.0%	94.9%	26.0%
Philippines	Bontoc	2000	91.0%	99.0%	66.1%
Philippines	Bontoc	2017	93.6%	99.3%	72.2%
Philippines	Bontoc	2017	85.2%	98.5%	42.6%
Philippines	Borbon	2000	69.2%	99.8%	7.7%
Philippines	Borbon	2017	75.0%	99.6%	15.4%
Philippines	Borongon	2000	83.5%	98.3%	48.7%
Philippines	City Borongon City	2017	91.7%	99.3%	71.4%
Philippines	Boston	2000	85.0%	98.8%	49.6%
Philippines	Boston	2017	90.9%	99.6%	67.6%
Philippines	Botolan	2000	73.9%	97.5%	26.4%
Philippines	Botolan	2017	80.5%	98.6%	32.6%
Philippines	Braulio E. Du- jali	2000	87.0%	99.4%	52.7%
Philippines	Braulio E. Du- jali	2017	91.6%	99.8%	60.3%
Philippines	Brooke's Point	2000	63.2%	84.1%	37.0%
Philippines	Brooke's Point	2017	75.3%	89.7%	49.0%
Philippines	Buadiposo- Buntong	2000	76.7%	100.0%	8.4%
Philippines	Buadiposo- Buntong	2017	83.0%	100.0%	14.1%
Philippines	Bubong	2000	73.9%	98.9%	20.4%
Philippines	Bubong	2017	82.1%	99.5%	34.8%
Philippines	Bucay	2000	96.4%	98.8%	92.9%
Philippines	Bucay	2017	98.6%	99.6%	97.0%
Philippines	Bucloc	2000	82.7%	99.0%	36.9%
Philippines	Bucloc	2017	90.6%	99.7%	52.2%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	69.2%	99.1%	19.5%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	91.4%	95.5%	86.7%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	88.6%	98.7%	72.4%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	75.7%	97.5%	29.9%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	84.4%	95.2%	68.5%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	81.7%	99.6%	34.2%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	97.9%	98.8%	96.6%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	93.9%	98.2%	86.7%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	86.6%	99.2%	47.1%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	93.7%	99.6%	82.2%
Philippines	Bugallon	2000	95.9%	99.9%	68.7%
Philippines	Bugallon	2017	97.2%	99.9%	79.3%
Philippines	Bugasong	2000	91.3%	98.1%	72.8%
Philippines	Bugasong	2017	95.9%	99.0%	87.9%
Philippines	Buguey	2000	79.8%	99.9%	22.4%
Philippines	Buguey	2017	87.9%	100.0%	39.6%
Philippines	Buguias	2000	92.1%	99.5%	74.5%
Philippines	Buguias	2017	94.3%	99.8%	77.2%
Philippines	Buhi	2000	88.5%	98.9%	66.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Buhi	2017	92.0%	99.1%	73.6%
Philippines	Buhi Lake	2000	87.8%	99.1%	63.4%
Philippines	Buhi Lake	2017	90.2%	99.3%	68.0%
Philippines	Bula	2000	69.7%	92.7%	46.5%
Philippines	Bula	2017	85.4%	98.0%	61.3%
Philippines	Bulacan	2000	93.7%	99.2%	84.0%
Philippines	Bulacan	2017	98.9%	99.9%	96.0%
Philippines	Bulalacao	2000	68.2%	92.6%	25.8%
Philippines	Bulalacao	2017	80.8%	96.9%	45.2%
Philippines	Bulan	2000	61.4%	88.5%	24.4%
Philippines	Bulan	2017	72.6%	94.2%	32.4%
Philippines	Buldon	2000	69.7%	95.7%	26.7%
Philippines	Buldon	2017	77.7%	97.2%	39.2%
Philippines	Buluan	2000	72.6%	94.1%	35.5%
Philippines	Buluan	2017	78.4%	94.1%	44.5%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2000	49.3%	84.0%	12.3%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2000	55.2%	99.0%	3.4%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2017	71.8%	99.8%	9.8%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2017	67.8%	89.0%	34.1%
Philippines	Bulusan	2000	58.4%	81.3%	39.9%
Philippines	Bulusan	2017	81.8%	95.2%	61.0%
Philippines	Bumbaran	2000	68.4%	99.2%	9.8%
Philippines	Bumbaran	2017	77.0%	99.2%	24.0%
Philippines	Bunawan	2000	81.7%	98.6%	42.9%
Philippines	Bunawan	2017	88.7%	99.4%	55.8%
Philippines	Burauen	2000	88.9%	99.8%	37.0%
Philippines	Burauen	2017	92.2%	99.9%	54.8%
Philippines	Burdeos	2000	77.4%	98.7%	32.7%
Philippines	Burdeos	2017	85.5%	99.3%	48.4%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	80.4%	100.0%	12.2%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	77.6%	99.6%	29.6%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	79.0%	99.8%	25.1%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	94.0%	99.9%	64.5%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	88.7%	100.0%	36.6%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	89.1%	99.8%	46.5%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	86.7%	99.9%	44.9%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	97.3%	100.0%	80.7%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	84.9%	99.9%	25.3%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	93.8%	100.0%	52.7%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	94.7%	99.9%	74.1%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	89.4%	100.0%	26.4%
Philippines	Buruanga	2000	67.6%	95.3%	35.0%
Philippines	Buruanga	2017	87.7%	99.0%	59.4%
Philippines	Bustos	2000	78.9%	97.6%	45.3%
Philippines	Bustos	2017	93.7%	99.7%	80.2%
Philippines	Busuanga	2000	72.3%	97.1%	40.8%
Philippines	Busuanga	2017	81.6%	98.4%	52.8%
Philippines	Butig	2000	48.2%	82.6%	2.1%
Philippines	Butig	2017	62.2%	87.1%	7.4%
Philippines	Butuan City	2000	82.6%	88.5%	75.7%
Philippines	Butuan City	2017	94.6%	97.1%	91.1%
Philippines	Buug	2000	89.9%	99.1%	60.2%
Philippines	Buug	2017	90.0%	99.0%	60.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Caba	2000	94.6%	100.0%	69.5%
Philippines	Caba	2017	98.2%	100.0%	88.6%
Philippines	Cabadbaran	2000	91.8%	96.7%	79.5%
Philippines	Cabadbaran City	2017	96.1%	98.7%	88.3%
Philippines	Cabagan	2000	84.7%	99.7%	35.2%
Philippines	Cabagan	2017	91.2%	99.8%	56.4%
Philippines	Cabanatuan	2000	87.3%	99.9%	34.1%
Philippines	Cabanatuan City	2017	94.6%	100.0%	62.1%
Philippines	Cabangan	2000	74.7%	84.5%	61.8%
Philippines	Cabangan	2017	91.2%	96.2%	83.9%
Philippines	Cabanglasan	2000	84.0%	99.6%	46.9%
Philippines	Cabanglasan	2017	91.3%	99.9%	63.5%
Philippines	Cabarroguis	2000	93.5%	99.8%	78.6%
Philippines	Cabarroguis	2017	95.3%	99.9%	82.2%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2000	85.1%	100.0%	25.0%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2000	94.0%	99.8%	67.2%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2017	90.3%	100.0%	41.1%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2017	96.5%	100.0%	75.5%
Philippines	Cabiao	2000	93.7%	100.0%	61.5%
Philippines	Cabiao	2017	97.3%	100.0%	78.9%
Philippines	Cabucgayan	2000	84.7%	97.5%	59.8%
Philippines	Cabucgayan	2017	94.7%	99.5%	76.7%
Philippines	Cabugao	2000	92.2%	100.0%	42.9%
Philippines	Cabugao	2017	95.1%	100.0%	59.1%
Philippines	Cabusao	2000	84.5%	98.3%	57.0%
Philippines	Cabusao	2017	86.6%	98.3%	65.1%
Philippines	Cabuyao	2000	99.5%	100.0%	95.8%
Philippines	Cabuyao	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Philippines	Cadiz City	2000	60.5%	84.3%	26.2%
Philippines	Cadiz City	2017	80.0%	93.7%	44.1%
Philippines	Cagayan de Oro City	2000	89.7%	94.7%	82.3%
Philippines	Cagayan de Oro City	2017	97.4%	98.7%	95.1%
Philippines	Cagayancillo	2000	76.5%	99.9%	20.8%
Philippines	Cagayancillo	2017	84.2%	99.9%	34.8%
Philippines	Cagdianao	2000	81.3%	93.5%	63.8%
Philippines	Cagdianao	2017	92.2%	98.1%	79.6%
Philippines	Cagwait	2000	90.9%	98.5%	78.0%
Philippines	Cagwait	2017	93.5%	99.4%	83.9%
Philippines	Caibiran	2000	50.7%	96.0%	5.1%
Philippines	Caibiran	2017	70.8%	97.3%	20.7%
Philippines	Cainta	2000	96.6%	98.6%	93.9%
Philippines	Cainta	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Philippines	Cajidiocan	2000	70.2%	96.4%	28.3%
Philippines	Cajidiocan	2017	80.3%	98.6%	49.3%
Philippines	Calabanga	2000	94.7%	99.8%	78.4%
Philippines	Calabanga	2017	96.1%	99.9%	82.4%
Philippines	Calaca	2000	63.3%	98.9%	12.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Calaca	2017	75.6%	99.7%	23.3%
Philippines	Calamba	2000	96.9%	100.0%	81.6%
Philippines	Calamba	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.9%
Philippines	Calamba City	2000	99.6%	100.0%	95.5%
Philippines	Calamba City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.2%
Philippines	Calanasan	2000	81.6%	97.1%	54.2%
Philippines	Calanasan	2017	86.2%	98.4%	60.8%
Philippines	Calanogas	2000	65.5%	83.0%	45.0%
Philippines	Calanogas	2017	70.2%	86.8%	47.0%
Philippines	Calapan City	2000	96.3%	99.3%	85.0%
Philippines	Calapan City	2017	98.6%	99.8%	90.6%
Philippines	Calape	2000	78.7%	99.2%	28.9%
Philippines	Calape	2017	87.8%	99.8%	45.1%
Philippines	Calasiao	2000	96.6%	98.6%	92.9%
Philippines	Calasiao	2017	98.7%	99.4%	97.0%
Philippines	Calatagan	2000	78.1%	99.7%	29.1%
Philippines	Calatagan	2017	87.0%	99.9%	50.3%
Philippines	Calatrava	2000	68.7%	96.6%	24.0%
Philippines	Calatrava	2000	71.9%	99.9%	9.0%
Philippines	Calatrava	2017	81.2%	98.7%	43.9%
Philippines	Calatrava	2017	82.8%	100.0%	20.7%
Philippines	Calauag	2000	87.6%	97.5%	73.0%
Philippines	Calauag	2017	90.6%	97.6%	79.3%
Philippines	Calauan	2000	84.3%	96.4%	69.7%
Philippines	Calauan	2017	89.4%	97.8%	76.7%
Philippines	Calayan	2000	73.6%	95.0%	38.4%
Philippines	Calayan	2017	82.7%	97.9%	53.0%
Philippines	Calbayog City	2000	43.6%	61.8%	27.0%
Philippines	Calbayog City	2017	71.4%	83.9%	55.2%
Philippines	Calbiga	2000	49.0%	73.9%	23.0%
Philippines	Calbiga	2017	81.5%	93.0%	55.2%
Philippines	Calinog	2000	84.7%	99.9%	27.7%
Philippines	Calinog	2017	90.4%	100.0%	50.3%
Philippines	Calintaan	2000	71.6%	98.1%	22.5%
Philippines	Calintaan	2017	81.7%	99.1%	34.9%
Philippines	Calubian	2000	65.8%	96.8%	22.4%
Philippines	Calubian	2017	76.7%	98.7%	33.6%
Philippines	Calumpit	2000	99.0%	99.9%	96.6%
Philippines	Calumpit	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Philippines	Caluya	2000	75.5%	98.8%	26.2%
Philippines	Caluya	2017	83.6%	99.4%	38.0%
Philippines	Camalaniugan	2000	81.3%	100.0%	20.0%
Philippines	Camalaniugan	2017	88.7%	100.0%	40.3%
Philippines	Camalig	2000	80.2%	88.9%	71.5%
Philippines	Camalig	2017	91.0%	94.4%	86.9%
Philippines	Camaligan	2000	97.6%	99.6%	93.6%
Philippines	Camaligan	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.4%
Philippines	Camiling	2000	90.8%	99.7%	55.0%
Philippines	Camiling	2017	96.7%	99.9%	76.6%
Philippines	Can-Avid	2000	82.6%	94.8%	64.3%
Philippines	Can-Avid	2017	89.3%	97.3%	73.7%
Philippines	Canaman	2000	96.5%	98.4%	92.7%
Philippines	Canaman	2017	98.7%	99.4%	97.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Candaba	2000	71.0%	97.3%	27.8%
Philippines	Candaba	2017	82.5%	98.6%	46.3%
Philippines	Candelaria	2000	93.3%	99.7%	77.9%
Philippines	Candelaria	2000	82.4%	98.9%	41.5%
Philippines	Candelaria	2017	97.1%	99.9%	91.9%
Philippines	Candelaria	2017	92.0%	99.5%	65.2%
Philippines	Candijay	2000	77.8%	99.9%	22.3%
Philippines	Candijay	2017	86.1%	100.0%	38.2%
Philippines	Candon City	2000	95.9%	99.1%	86.5%
Philippines	Candon City	2017	99.1%	99.8%	96.5%
Philippines	Candoni	2000	59.8%	93.6%	13.3%
Philippines	Candoni	2017	68.1%	94.5%	22.4%
Philippines	Canlaon City	2000	66.4%	99.7%	10.6%
Philippines	Canlaon City	2017	76.6%	99.9%	22.7%
Philippines	Cantilan	2000	94.5%	99.8%	71.2%
Philippines	Cantilan	2017	96.5%	99.9%	79.0%
Philippines	Caoayan	2000	95.5%	100.0%	71.8%
Philippines	Caoayan	2017	98.8%	100.0%	91.9%
Philippines	Capalonga	2000	83.2%	99.9%	33.9%
Philippines	Capalonga	2017	88.4%	99.9%	44.7%
Philippines	Capas	2000	93.0%	99.9%	74.2%
Philippines	Capas	2017	97.0%	100.0%	84.0%
Philippines	Capoocan	2000	55.9%	93.3%	14.8%
Philippines	Capoocan	2017	72.0%	97.7%	22.6%
Philippines	Capul	2000	73.8%	99.9%	8.9%
Philippines	Capul	2017	83.0%	100.0%	21.7%
Philippines	Caraga	2000	81.7%	97.9%	53.6%
Philippines	Caraga	2017	86.6%	99.0%	58.8%
Philippines	Caramoan	2000	79.2%	99.4%	32.0%
Philippines	Caramoan	2017	85.5%	99.7%	47.9%
Philippines	Caramoran	2000	76.5%	94.5%	42.7%
Philippines	Caramoran	2017	85.8%	97.5%	58.3%
Philippines	Carasi	2000	74.9%	99.8%	26.0%
Philippines	Carasi	2017	80.7%	99.8%	36.4%
Philippines	Carcar	2000	80.9%	92.8%	62.7%
Philippines	Carcar	2017	89.5%	96.7%	78.3%
Philippines	Cardona	2000	98.0%	100.0%	88.1%
Philippines	Cardona	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.6%
Philippines	Carigara	2000	78.2%	99.3%	33.3%
Philippines	Carigara	2017	86.2%	99.6%	47.5%
Philippines	Carles	2000	72.3%	95.7%	36.2%
Philippines	Carles	2017	83.4%	98.4%	51.4%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	94.2%	99.9%	78.8%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	77.2%	95.9%	45.6%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	77.9%	99.8%	29.0%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	69.9%	99.9%	10.2%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	93.8%	99.7%	76.4%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	56.1%	84.0%	31.4%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	96.5%	100.0%	85.3%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	96.7%	99.9%	87.1%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	86.8%	99.9%	43.0%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	84.4%	97.6%	53.8%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	80.4%	94.5%	63.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Carmen	2017	81.8%	100.0%	24.7%
Philippines	Carmona	2000	94.9%	99.0%	87.4%
Philippines	Carmona	2017	98.8%	99.7%	97.3%
Philippines	Carranglan	2000	64.3%	83.6%	38.1%
Philippines	Carranglan	2017	82.3%	95.0%	61.9%
Philippines	Carrascal	2000	91.6%	99.0%	75.0%
Philippines	Carrascal	2017	95.3%	99.6%	79.9%
Philippines	Casiguran	2000	81.7%	99.7%	21.1%
Philippines	Casiguran	2000	82.5%	99.0%	42.5%
Philippines	Casiguran	2017	91.3%	100.0%	44.9%
Philippines	Casiguran	2017	90.4%	99.6%	59.0%
Philippines	Castilla	2000	76.6%	93.6%	52.4%
Philippines	Castilla	2017	86.0%	97.4%	64.1%
Philippines	Castillejos	2000	92.6%	99.3%	71.4%
Philippines	Castillejos	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.2%
Philippines	Cataingan	2000	76.4%	99.5%	28.2%
Philippines	Cataingan	2017	85.1%	99.9%	41.8%
Philippines	Catanauan	2000	63.1%	97.1%	19.4%
Philippines	Catanauan	2017	79.0%	98.7%	34.8%
Philippines	Catarman	2000	85.6%	94.0%	74.0%
Philippines	Catarman	2000	69.4%	86.8%	49.2%
Philippines	Catarman	2017	89.4%	96.1%	76.7%
Philippines	Catarman	2017	97.7%	99.1%	95.0%
Philippines	Catbalogan City	2000	89.1%	98.9%	61.3%
Philippines	Catbalogan City	2017	95.2%	99.6%	78.1%
Philippines	Cateel	2000	86.7%	96.1%	72.5%
Philippines	Cateel	2017	92.6%	98.5%	82.9%
Philippines	Catigbian	2000	66.8%	89.5%	39.1%
Philippines	Catigbian	2017	88.0%	97.7%	67.3%
Philippines	Catmon	2000	74.3%	99.9%	19.3%
Philippines	Catmon	2017	83.3%	100.0%	36.2%
Philippines	Catubig	2000	66.5%	97.0%	13.3%
Philippines	Catubig	2017	80.1%	99.3%	29.7%
Philippines	Cauayan	2000	72.4%	96.5%	35.5%
Philippines	Cauayan	2017	82.7%	98.7%	49.4%
Philippines	Cauayan City	2000	87.3%	98.5%	60.3%
Philippines	Cauayan City	2017	91.2%	98.8%	75.1%
Philippines	Cavinti	2000	82.0%	98.0%	40.3%
Philippines	Cavinti	2017	93.9%	99.6%	73.9%
Philippines	Cavite City	2000	71.3%	97.3%	52.7%
Philippines	Cavite City	2017	94.7%	99.0%	90.5%
Philippines	Cawayan	2000	70.0%	96.9%	30.3%
Philippines	Cawayan	2017	80.3%	98.7%	45.5%
Philippines	Cebu City	2000	60.6%	64.7%	56.0%
Philippines	Cebu City	2017	89.2%	91.1%	86.7%
Philippines	Cervantes	2000	75.5%	99.5%	29.4%
Philippines	Cervantes	2017	82.0%	99.5%	45.1%
Philippines	Clarín	2000	56.5%	90.5%	21.1%
Philippines	Clarín	2000	35.6%	53.7%	25.7%
Philippines	Clarín	2017	82.6%	97.8%	48.8%
Philippines	Clarín	2017	48.9%	69.1%	31.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Claver	2000	80.3%	98.2%	38.5%
Philippines	Claver	2017	89.1%	99.5%	54.4%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	96.5%	100.0%	80.8%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	71.4%	93.5%	30.3%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	75.7%	98.6%	36.7%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	83.3%	96.2%	48.2%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	84.4%	99.6%	51.1%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	96.5%	99.9%	80.8%
Philippines	Cumbio	2000	55.6%	83.9%	23.2%
Philippines	Cumbio	2017	72.4%	95.3%	30.9%
Philippines	Compostela	2000	49.2%	84.5%	14.6%
Philippines	Compostela	2000	89.3%	99.7%	43.9%
Philippines	Compostela	2017	80.6%	95.6%	50.2%
Philippines	Compostela	2017	93.0%	99.9%	53.0%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	84.4%	100.0%	35.3%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	96.7%	100.0%	86.6%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	71.8%	86.8%	52.7%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	72.2%	99.6%	10.3%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.7%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	81.8%	99.9%	24.1%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	82.8%	93.6%	62.9%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	91.0%	100.0%	49.6%
Philippines	Conner	2000	83.1%	95.6%	64.0%
Philippines	Conner	2017	88.5%	97.0%	74.5%
Philippines	Consolacion	2000	57.6%	66.2%	48.9%
Philippines	Consolacion	2017	90.1%	92.3%	87.5%
Philippines	Corcuera	2000	90.0%	100.0%	46.6%
Philippines	Corcuera	2017	96.7%	100.0%	80.8%
Philippines	Cordoba	2000	51.2%	65.7%	43.1%
Philippines	Cordoba	2017	87.6%	92.5%	81.0%
Philippines	Cordon	2000	98.6%	100.0%	92.3%
Philippines	Cordon	2017	99.1%	100.0%	95.9%
Philippines	Corella	2000	80.5%	99.8%	20.3%
Philippines	Corella	2017	88.2%	100.0%	32.8%
Philippines	Coron	2000	60.9%	90.0%	29.7%
Philippines	Coron	2017	77.5%	96.0%	47.5%
Philippines	Cortes	2000	74.1%	99.5%	20.5%
Philippines	Cortes	2000	77.4%	99.9%	20.5%
Philippines	Cortes	2017	88.4%	99.9%	44.3%
Philippines	Cortes	2017	82.7%	99.9%	32.5%
Philippines	Cotabato City	2000	88.7%	94.7%	77.5%
Philippines	Cotabato City	2017	96.7%	98.3%	92.6%
Philippines	Cuartero	2000	86.4%	97.2%	60.6%
Philippines	Cuartero	2017	91.3%	98.7%	70.0%
Philippines	Cuenca	2000	97.9%	100.0%	84.8%
Philippines	Cuenca	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.8%
Philippines	Culaba	2000	49.3%	86.3%	20.1%
Philippines	Culaba	2017	75.4%	93.9%	50.0%
Philippines	Culasi	2000	63.8%	81.6%	40.1%
Philippines	Culasi	2017	82.1%	91.1%	66.6%
Philippines	Culion	2000	73.5%	95.2%	41.5%
Philippines	Culion	2017	82.5%	97.8%	49.6%
Philippines	Currimao	2000	87.6%	100.0%	43.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Currimao	2017	91.8%	100.0%	55.9%
Philippines	Cuyapo	2000	86.9%	96.1%	77.7%
Philippines	Cuyapo	2017	92.6%	99.0%	84.2%
Philippines	Cuyo	2000	63.9%	98.5%	14.7%
Philippines	Cuyo	2017	69.9%	99.2%	17.2%
Philippines	Daanbantayan	2000	75.0%	99.7%	18.4%
Philippines	Daanbantayan	2017	83.5%	99.9%	29.2%
Philippines	Daet	2000	96.9%	98.9%	89.8%
Philippines	Daet	2017	99.2%	99.7%	97.0%
Philippines	Dagami	2000	95.7%	99.6%	83.6%
Philippines	Dagami	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.9%
Philippines	Dagohoy	2000	80.3%	100.0%	16.0%
Philippines	Dagohoy	2017	88.6%	100.0%	34.8%
Philippines	Daguoman	2000	79.3%	99.9%	13.3%
Philippines	Daguoman	2017	85.9%	100.0%	32.4%
Philippines	Dagupan City	2000	96.6%	99.4%	88.6%
Philippines	Dagupan City	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.5%
Philippines	Dalaguete	2000	76.5%	99.9%	20.1%
Philippines	Dalaguete	2017	86.7%	100.0%	39.3%
Philippines	Damulog	2000	77.7%	99.4%	29.8%
Philippines	Damulog	2017	83.3%	99.8%	34.2%
Philippines	Danao	2000	80.2%	99.8%	25.2%
Philippines	Danao	2017	88.1%	100.0%	40.2%
Philippines	Danao City	2000	57.8%	94.4%	13.5%
Philippines	Danao City	2017	77.0%	98.6%	28.3%
Philippines	Danao Lake	2000	83.3%	100.0%	27.9%
Philippines	Danao Lake	2017	90.6%	100.0%	45.8%
Philippines	Dangcagan	2000	97.4%	99.9%	81.6%
Philippines	Dangcagan	2017	98.5%	100.0%	89.6%
Philippines	Danglas	2000	69.8%	98.8%	16.8%
Philippines	Danglas	2017	83.0%	99.7%	31.3%
Philippines	Dao	2000	64.9%	78.6%	48.2%
Philippines	Dao	2017	86.4%	93.3%	76.4%
Philippines	Dapa	2000	80.2%	99.0%	35.3%
Philippines	Dapa	2017	88.4%	99.7%	45.4%
Philippines	Dapao Lake	2000	64.7%	73.5%	52.5%
Philippines	Dapao Lake	2017	60.6%	74.7%	46.2%
Philippines	Dapitan City	2000	74.9%	97.6%	41.7%
Philippines	Dapitan City	2017	84.9%	99.3%	51.9%
Philippines	Daraga	2000	85.9%	90.2%	80.5%
Philippines	Daraga	2017	93.4%	95.3%	90.6%
Philippines	Daram	2000	37.2%	69.1%	11.1%
Philippines	Daram	2017	62.8%	87.1%	32.5%
Philippines	Dasmariñas	2000	85.7%	92.9%	76.7%
Philippines	Dasmariñas	2017	98.0%	99.0%	96.3%
Philippines	Dasol	2000	81.9%	99.7%	35.7%
Philippines	Dasol	2017	88.4%	99.9%	44.4%
Philippines	Datu Abdul-lah Sanki	2000	62.4%	71.4%	51.5%
Philippines	Datu Abdul-lah Sanki	2017	80.5%	88.0%	69.9%
Philippines	Datu Anggal Midtimbang	2000	14.4%	40.6%	1.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Datu Anggal	2017	18.0%	40.0%	2.7%
Philippines	Midtimbang				
Philippines	Datu Blah T. Sinsuat	2000	81.0%	98.5%	50.6%
Philippines	Datu Blah T. Sinsuat	2017	85.4%	99.0%	62.1%
Philippines	Datu Odin Sinsuat	2000	53.5%	75.8%	35.1%
Philippines	Datu Odin Sinsuat	2017	73.7%	85.3%	62.6%
Philippines	Datu Paglas	2000	33.2%	43.1%	26.3%
Philippines	Datu Paglas	2017	46.2%	62.6%	33.4%
Philippines	Datu Piang	2000	40.8%	91.8%	1.9%
Philippines	Datu Piang	2017	57.1%	96.2%	5.7%
Philippines	Datu Saudi-Ampatuan	2000	42.6%	85.3%	4.5%
Philippines	Datu Saudi-Ampatuan	2017	60.0%	91.7%	16.5%
Philippines	Datu Unsay	2000	60.7%	89.9%	32.0%
Philippines	Datu Unsay	2017	72.9%	93.4%	40.3%
Philippines	Dauin	2000	93.4%	99.9%	75.1%
Philippines	Dauin	2017	96.9%	100.0%	87.2%
Philippines	Daus	2000	75.8%	96.3%	32.2%
Philippines	Daus	2017	93.4%	99.3%	67.2%
Philippines	Davao City	2000	85.0%	87.4%	81.8%
Philippines	Davao City	2017	91.5%	93.2%	89.1%
Philippines	Del Carmen	2000	79.2%	99.9%	21.7%
Philippines	Del Carmen	2017	88.0%	100.0%	33.5%
Philippines	Del Gallego	2000	81.3%	99.7%	32.2%
Philippines	Del Gallego	2017	88.9%	99.8%	48.8%
Philippines	Delfin Albano	2000	80.3%	99.9%	33.5%
Philippines	Delfin Albano	2017	86.8%	99.9%	51.5%
Philippines	Diadi	2000	87.2%	97.2%	67.3%
Philippines	Diadi	2017	91.0%	98.1%	75.7%
Philippines	Diffun	2000	99.1%	99.9%	96.4%
Philippines	Diffun	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.5%
Philippines	Digos City	2000	86.6%	95.1%	72.5%
Philippines	Digos City	2017	92.7%	97.1%	86.6%
Philippines	Dilasag	2000	81.3%	98.8%	41.1%
Philippines	Dilasag	2017	89.3%	99.4%	58.0%
Philippines	Dimasalang	2000	47.2%	86.0%	12.5%
Philippines	Dimasalang	2017	75.0%	96.0%	35.5%
Philippines	Dimataling	2000	75.6%	99.6%	20.5%
Philippines	Dimataling	2017	82.1%	99.8%	36.7%
Philippines	Dimiao	2000	73.9%	99.7%	14.1%
Philippines	Dimiao	2017	84.5%	100.0%	22.0%
Philippines	Dinagat	2000	92.8%	99.4%	79.3%
Philippines	Dinagat	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.8%
Philippines	Dinalungan	2000	75.2%	99.6%	20.3%
Philippines	Dinalungan	2017	84.2%	99.8%	33.7%
Philippines	Dinalupihan	2000	73.8%	96.4%	32.9%
Philippines	Dinalupihan	2017	90.9%	99.2%	58.7%
Philippines	Dinapigue	2000	71.4%	96.6%	22.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Dinapigue	2017	78.7%	98.0%	35.9%
Philippines	Dinas	2000	76.6%	95.8%	40.0%
Philippines	Dinas	2017	83.2%	96.6%	51.8%
Philippines	Dingalan	2000	78.9%	98.7%	33.6%
Philippines	Dingalan	2017	85.4%	99.1%	43.2%
Philippines	Dingle	2000	90.7%	99.9%	51.3%
Philippines	Dingle	2017	96.7%	100.0%	74.2%
Philippines	Dingras	2000	96.8%	100.0%	80.7%
Philippines	Dingras	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.9%
Philippines	Dipaculao	2000	94.4%	99.8%	83.4%
Philippines	Dipaculao	2017	97.5%	99.9%	90.0%
Philippines	Diplahan	2000	89.3%	99.8%	55.9%
Philippines	Diplahan	2017	92.8%	99.9%	64.0%
Philippines	Dipolog City	2000	90.8%	95.3%	83.7%
Philippines	Dipolog City	2017	97.1%	98.6%	93.7%
Philippines	Ditsaan-Ramain	2000	72.2%	100.0%	7.2%
Philippines	Ditsaan-Ramain	2017	76.6%	100.0%	11.4%
Philippines	Divilacan	2000	61.1%	94.4%	14.4%
Philippines	Divilacan	2017	72.9%	98.0%	22.1%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	74.0%	89.8%	51.8%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	79.6%	99.9%	26.7%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	71.1%	100.0%	9.7%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	83.4%	95.6%	63.1%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	76.7%	100.0%	14.8%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	80.0%	99.9%	32.2%
Philippines	Don Carlos	2000	88.6%	97.0%	59.7%
Philippines	Don Carlos	2017	92.5%	97.8%	69.2%
Philippines	Don Marcelino	2000	80.2%	89.8%	65.9%
Philippines	Don Marcelino	2017	85.5%	94.5%	74.5%
Philippines	Don Victoriano Chiongbian	2000	78.1%	99.6%	37.5%
Philippines	Don Victoriano Chiongbian	2017	86.1%	99.8%	53.3%
Philippines	Doña Remedios Trinidad	2000	79.8%	96.4%	54.0%
Philippines	Doña Remedios Trinidad	2017	87.5%	98.0%	67.1%
Philippines	Donsol	2000	86.6%	100.0%	37.5%
Philippines	Donsol	2017	91.5%	100.0%	53.6%
Philippines	Duenas	2000	93.7%	98.8%	84.7%
Philippines	Duenas	2017	96.9%	99.6%	90.2%
Philippines	Duero	2000	85.1%	100.0%	41.6%
Philippines	Duero	2017	93.7%	100.0%	63.6%
Philippines	Dulag	2000	75.6%	93.1%	35.8%
Philippines	Dulag	2017	83.7%	95.6%	49.5%
Philippines	Dumaguete City	2000	98.0%	100.0%	86.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Dumaguete City	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.7%
Philippines	Dumalag	2000	85.1%	98.2%	52.1%
Philippines	Dumalag	2017	92.3%	99.5%	64.8%
Philippines	Dumalinao	2000	82.5%	100.0%	32.8%
Philippines	Dumalinao	2017	89.5%	100.0%	50.8%
Philippines	Dumalneg	2000	79.4%	100.0%	12.3%
Philippines	Dumalneg	2017	86.6%	100.0%	24.0%
Philippines	Dumangas	2000	95.2%	100.0%	65.4%
Philippines	Dumangas	2017	97.7%	100.0%	78.7%
Philippines	Dumanjug	2000	67.0%	99.6%	12.4%
Philippines	Dumanjug	2017	75.7%	99.7%	30.7%
Philippines	Dumaran	2000	72.2%	94.3%	43.1%
Philippines	Dumaran	2017	83.5%	97.9%	58.1%
Philippines	Dumarao	2000	89.7%	99.6%	63.9%
Philippines	Dumarao	2017	93.4%	99.7%	73.0%
Philippines	Dumingag	2000	82.6%	94.5%	63.1%
Philippines	Dumingag	2017	90.4%	96.9%	76.5%
Philippines	Dupax Del Norte	2000	88.4%	99.7%	62.4%
Philippines	Dupax Del Norte	2017	92.4%	99.8%	70.7%
Philippines	Dupax Del Sur	2000	89.7%	99.3%	69.7%
Philippines	Dupax Del Sur	2017	93.3%	99.7%	78.3%
Philippines	Echague	2000	92.6%	99.8%	72.9%
Philippines	Echague	2017	95.1%	99.9%	79.3%
Philippines	El Nido	2000	69.9%	90.2%	41.8%
Philippines	El Nido	2017	84.2%	97.0%	62.3%
Philippines	El Salvador City	2000	95.9%	99.9%	74.9%
Philippines	El Salvador City	2017	98.5%	99.9%	89.5%
Philippines	Enrile	2000	92.4%	100.0%	70.2%
Philippines	Enrile	2017	95.8%	100.0%	83.0%
Philippines	Enrique B. Magalona	2000	63.8%	95.4%	21.8%
Philippines	Enrique B. Magalona	2017	81.8%	98.7%	41.8%
Philippines	Enrique Villanueva	2000	95.0%	100.0%	70.0%
Philippines	Enrique Villanueva	2017	96.4%	100.0%	77.9%
Philippines	Escalante City	2000	40.1%	74.4%	7.6%
Philippines	Escalante City	2017	65.6%	93.1%	21.4%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	77.9%	92.1%	58.5%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	55.0%	82.1%	20.4%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	88.5%	97.3%	65.5%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	76.8%	93.7%	44.7%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	88.3%	97.3%	70.8%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	93.5%	98.6%	80.1%
Philippines	Estancia	2000	48.4%	82.7%	19.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Estancia	2017	81.2%	95.9%	57.6%
Philippines	Famy	2000	92.2%	99.7%	73.0%
Philippines	Famy	2017	95.6%	99.9%	81.7%
Philippines	Ferrol	2000	94.1%	99.8%	73.0%
Philippines	Ferrol	2017	98.6%	100.0%	91.7%
Philippines	Flora	2000	83.1%	97.9%	57.3%
Philippines	Flora	2017	86.4%	98.3%	59.1%
Philippines	Floridablanca	2000	75.0%	95.8%	24.6%
Philippines	Floridablanca	2017	89.9%	99.2%	50.5%
Philippines	Gabaldon	2000	90.5%	99.9%	60.2%
Philippines	Gabaldon	2017	94.6%	100.0%	73.9%
Philippines	Gainza	2000	98.0%	99.5%	93.3%
Philippines	Gainza	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Philippines	Galimuyod	2000	93.0%	99.9%	74.9%
Philippines	Galimuyod	2017	98.7%	100.0%	94.4%
Philippines	Gamay	2000	66.3%	96.5%	16.0%
Philippines	Gamay	2017	78.3%	98.0%	27.2%
Philippines	Gamu	2000	97.2%	100.0%	76.6%
Philippines	Gamu	2017	98.9%	100.0%	91.6%
Philippines	Ganassi	2000	70.3%	86.3%	34.8%
Philippines	Ganassi	2017	65.1%	83.5%	32.0%
Philippines	Gandara	2000	62.3%	91.6%	14.2%
Philippines	Gandara	2017	75.5%	95.6%	28.7%
Philippines	Gapan City	2000	98.5%	100.0%	93.8%
Philippines	Gapan City	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Philippines	Garchitorena	2000	85.3%	99.0%	59.4%
Philippines	Garchitorena	2017	89.4%	99.1%	66.8%
Philippines	Garcia Hernandez	2000	72.1%	99.0%	26.4%
Philippines	Garcia Hernandez	2017	86.6%	99.8%	45.4%
Philippines	Gasan	2000	58.9%	84.9%	29.1%
Philippines	Gasan	2017	86.5%	96.6%	65.6%
Philippines	Gattaran	2000	88.5%	99.3%	58.3%
Philippines	Gattaran	2017	91.8%	99.5%	66.5%
Philippines	Gen. S. K. Pendatun	2000	73.2%	92.9%	29.6%
Philippines	Gen. S. K. Pendatun	2017	78.1%	95.4%	42.2%
Philippines	General Emilio Aguinaldo	2000	86.0%	99.1%	59.7%
Philippines	General Emilio Aguinaldo	2017	95.2%	99.8%	78.5%
Philippines	General Luna	2000	83.1%	97.4%	50.8%
Philippines	General Luna	2000	73.5%	99.8%	17.6%
Philippines	General Luna	2017	94.7%	99.4%	82.1%
Philippines	General Luna	2017	83.6%	100.0%	33.9%
Philippines	General Macarthur	2000	78.7%	99.9%	21.2%
Philippines	General Macarthur	2017	86.5%	100.0%	31.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	General Mamerto Natividad	2000	92.1%	100.0%	67.2%
Philippines	General Mamerto Natividad	2017	97.3%	100.0%	83.4%
Philippines	General Mariano Alvarez	2000	93.9%	98.4%	84.0%
Philippines	General Mariano Alvarez	2017	98.7%	99.7%	96.3%
Philippines	General Nakar	2000	71.8%	92.8%	35.7%
Philippines	General Nakar	2017	82.3%	96.6%	46.9%
Philippines	General Santos City	2000	85.3%	90.8%	79.4%
Philippines	General Santos City	2017	95.0%	97.9%	89.7%
Philippines	General Tinio	2000	93.3%	99.6%	74.9%
Philippines	General Tinio	2017	96.7%	99.8%	86.0%
Philippines	General Trias	2000	90.4%	96.4%	79.8%
Philippines	General Trias	2017	98.7%	99.6%	96.5%
Philippines	Gerona	2000	86.0%	98.4%	62.1%
Philippines	Gerona	2017	96.1%	99.6%	85.0%
Philippines	Gigaquit	2000	72.8%	99.6%	20.9%
Philippines	Gigaquit	2017	85.5%	99.9%	38.1%
Philippines	Gigmoto	2000	91.1%	99.0%	70.4%
Philippines	Gigmoto	2017	92.7%	99.1%	75.6%
Philippines	Ginatilan	2000	77.5%	100.0%	17.9%
Philippines	Ginatilan	2017	86.7%	100.0%	33.3%
Philippines	Gingoog City	2000	77.8%	93.2%	44.7%
Philippines	Gingoog City	2017	89.0%	97.0%	71.5%
Philippines	Giporlos	2000	83.0%	100.0%	29.8%
Philippines	Giporlos	2017	91.9%	100.0%	52.5%
Philippines	Gitagum	2000	90.4%	100.0%	51.8%
Philippines	Gitagum	2017	97.0%	100.0%	80.2%
Philippines	Glan	2000	87.7%	95.5%	75.5%
Philippines	Glan	2017	93.7%	98.2%	86.7%
Philippines	Gloria	2000	77.9%	92.6%	56.7%
Philippines	Gloria	2017	93.1%	98.5%	81.7%
Philippines	Goa	2000	83.5%	99.8%	29.2%
Philippines	Goa	2017	89.2%	99.9%	35.7%
Philippines	Godod	2000	77.9%	99.5%	28.1%
Philippines	Godod	2017	85.8%	99.8%	45.9%
Philippines	Gonzaga	2000	87.5%	99.5%	51.5%
Philippines	Gonzaga	2017	92.0%	99.7%	60.7%
Philippines	Governor Generoso	2000	79.0%	98.3%	40.9%
Philippines	Governor Generoso	2017	86.1%	99.3%	57.3%
Philippines	Gregorio Del Pilar	2000	78.6%	99.9%	20.4%
Philippines	Gregorio Del Pilar	2017	87.4%	100.0%	39.1%
Philippines	Guagua	2000	95.4%	100.0%	76.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Guagua	2017	98.1%	100.0%	85.0%
Philippines	Gubat	2000	84.7%	98.4%	56.9%
Philippines	Gubat	2017	97.0%	99.6%	90.4%
Philippines	Guiguinto	2000	98.9%	100.0%	94.6%
Philippines	Guiguinto	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.3%
Philippines	Guihulngan City	2000	73.4%	98.4%	29.9%
Philippines	Guihulngan City	2017	81.9%	99.1%	43.9%
Philippines	Guimba	2000	72.1%	97.7%	28.7%
Philippines	Guimba	2017	85.7%	99.4%	44.4%
Philippines	Guimbal	2000	88.3%	98.6%	57.7%
Philippines	Guimbal	2017	94.3%	99.3%	74.4%
Philippines	Guinayangan	2000	85.4%	97.3%	47.8%
Philippines	Guinayangan	2017	91.2%	98.7%	63.5%
Philippines	Guindulman	2000	77.7%	99.9%	16.9%
Philippines	Guindulman	2017	86.1%	100.0%	25.0%
Philippines	Guindulungan	2000	22.5%	45.0%	6.7%
Philippines	Guindulungan	2017	30.2%	52.0%	10.6%
Philippines	Guinobatan	2000	89.0%	96.2%	77.2%
Philippines	Guinobatan	2017	92.9%	97.6%	83.9%
Philippines	Guinsiliban	2000	90.9%	99.2%	72.0%
Philippines	Guinsiliban	2017	98.2%	99.9%	91.6%
Philippines	Guipos	2000	79.3%	99.9%	14.0%
Philippines	Guipos	2017	85.4%	100.0%	24.2%
Philippines	Guiuan	2000	64.9%	85.7%	43.2%
Philippines	Guiuan	2017	87.4%	95.7%	74.1%
Philippines	Gumaca	2000	79.3%	99.8%	26.7%
Philippines	Gumaca	2017	88.4%	99.9%	47.3%
Philippines	Gutalac	2000	71.6%	96.8%	27.6%
Philippines	Gutalac	2017	81.8%	99.0%	42.3%
Philippines	Hadji Mohammad Ajul	2000	61.5%	93.7%	18.5%
Philippines	Hadji Mohammad Ajul	2017	76.9%	97.5%	31.9%
Philippines	Hadji Panglima Tahil	2000	80.5%	91.4%	65.7%
Philippines	Hadji Panglima Tahil	2017	81.6%	91.5%	67.2%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2000	91.6%	96.4%	77.6%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2000	78.3%	91.1%	60.6%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2017	96.9%	98.7%	91.9%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2017	94.0%	98.3%	85.7%
Philippines	Hamtic	2000	67.0%	96.9%	17.3%
Philippines	Hamtic	2017	81.8%	99.2%	33.7%
Philippines	Hermosa	2000	66.8%	83.5%	44.8%
Philippines	Hermosa	2017	93.1%	97.7%	80.2%
Philippines	Hernani	2000	76.6%	100.0%	8.5%
Philippines	Hernani	2017	83.4%	100.0%	13.5%
Philippines	Hilongos	2000	79.2%	97.4%	30.5%
Philippines	Hilongos	2017	85.2%	98.7%	42.7%
Philippines	Himamaylan City	2000	64.2%	92.7%	27.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Himamaylan City	2017	74.5%	95.5%	42.1%
Philippines	Hinabangan	2000	51.5%	82.0%	23.0%
Philippines	Hinabangan	2017	74.3%	93.0%	45.1%
Philippines	Hinatuan	2000	53.2%	82.5%	13.2%
Philippines	Hinatuan	2017	70.8%	92.8%	27.2%
Philippines	Hindang	2000	73.1%	99.3%	18.5%
Philippines	Hindang	2017	81.2%	99.4%	28.4%
Philippines	Hingyon	2000	84.7%	97.1%	63.7%
Philippines	Hingyon	2017	86.2%	97.4%	69.0%
Philippines	Hinigaran	2000	62.4%	85.0%	33.2%
Philippines	Hinigaran	2017	80.0%	93.4%	59.4%
Philippines	Hinoba-An	2000	78.1%	99.4%	29.3%
Philippines	Hinoba-An	2017	85.7%	99.6%	39.8%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2000	81.3%	100.0%	3.8%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2000	91.8%	100.0%	58.6%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2017	95.6%	100.0%	68.0%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2017	88.3%	100.0%	15.7%
Philippines	Hinundayan	2000	86.5%	100.0%	30.5%
Philippines	Hinundayan	2017	91.0%	100.0%	39.9%
Philippines	Hungduan	2000	59.8%	92.8%	19.7%
Philippines	Hungduan	2017	63.0%	93.3%	23.5%
Philippines	Iba	2000	80.8%	99.9%	21.8%
Philippines	Iba	2017	87.2%	99.9%	38.2%
Philippines	Ibaan	2000	88.7%	100.0%	48.2%
Philippines	Ibaan	2017	95.9%	100.0%	73.2%
Philippines	Ibajay	2000	73.6%	98.9%	23.7%
Philippines	Ibajay	2017	87.0%	99.8%	53.5%
Philippines	Igbaras	2000	93.4%	99.9%	66.9%
Philippines	Igbaras	2017	98.6%	100.0%	90.2%
Philippines	Iguig	2000	89.9%	100.0%	51.8%
Philippines	Iguig	2017	94.0%	100.0%	61.2%
Philippines	Ilagan	2000	92.3%	99.1%	73.4%
Philippines	Ilagan	2017	95.2%	99.5%	78.3%
Philippines	Iligan City	2000	73.7%	82.2%	65.9%
Philippines	Iligan City	2017	91.7%	94.4%	88.6%
Philippines	Ilog	2000	73.8%	98.4%	25.0%
Philippines	Ilog	2017	82.5%	99.3%	34.4%
Philippines	Iloilo City	2000	69.4%	75.9%	63.5%
Philippines	Iloilo City	2017	92.1%	94.0%	90.2%
Philippines	Imelda	2000	93.8%	98.2%	87.9%
Philippines	Imelda	2017	95.5%	99.1%	89.7%
Philippines	Impasug-Ong	2000	69.4%	95.2%	34.9%
Philippines	Impasug-Ong	2017	83.7%	97.7%	57.6%
Philippines	Imus	2000	90.0%	96.4%	84.2%
Philippines	Imus	2017	98.6%	99.5%	97.7%
Philippines	Inabanga	2000	73.1%	99.6%	9.6%
Philippines	Inabanga	2017	85.0%	99.8%	26.4%
Philippines	Indanan	2000	70.0%	79.2%	59.8%
Philippines	Indanan	2017	80.7%	87.6%	73.5%
Philippines	Indang	2000	93.3%	99.8%	72.7%
Philippines	Indang	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.7%
Philippines	Infanta	2000	78.8%	99.9%	25.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Infanta	2000	79.1%	98.8%	35.0%
Philippines	Infanta	2017	89.0%	99.7%	56.5%
Philippines	Infanta	2017	87.5%	100.0%	39.8%
Philippines	Initao	2000	86.7%	99.3%	44.9%
Philippines	Initao	2017	91.8%	99.5%	61.5%
Philippines	Inopacan	2000	70.8%	98.7%	19.0%
Philippines	Inopacan	2017	79.7%	99.5%	31.5%
Philippines	Ipil	2000	74.7%	86.4%	60.1%
Philippines	Ipil	2017	88.7%	94.8%	79.3%
Philippines	Iriga City	2000	89.7%	99.6%	68.0%
Philippines	Iriga City	2017	93.5%	99.7%	79.6%
Philippines	Irosin	2000	89.2%	93.5%	82.2%
Philippines	Irosin	2017	95.5%	97.5%	92.6%
Philippines	Isabel	2000	58.4%	90.5%	19.5%
Philippines	Isabel	2017	69.8%	93.7%	35.3%
Philippines	Isabela	2000	70.4%	99.5%	14.8%
Philippines	Isabela	2017	79.0%	99.7%	24.1%
Philippines	Isabela City	2000	61.4%	68.5%	54.7%
Philippines	Isabela City	2017	71.2%	77.7%	66.2%
Philippines	Isulan	2000	84.8%	91.3%	74.3%
Philippines	Isulan	2017	91.5%	95.3%	82.9%
Philippines	Itbayat	2000	89.7%	99.9%	54.5%
Philippines	Itbayat	2017	94.8%	99.9%	78.7%
Philippines	Itogon	2000	82.7%	94.6%	58.7%
Philippines	Itogon	2017	90.9%	98.0%	78.5%
Philippines	Ivana	2000	94.7%	100.0%	75.6%
Philippines	Ivana	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.6%
Philippines	Ivisan	2000	96.4%	100.0%	79.0%
Philippines	Ivisan	2017	97.4%	100.0%	80.4%
Philippines	Jabonga	2000	78.4%	98.9%	32.6%
Philippines	Jabonga	2017	88.1%	99.6%	54.5%
Philippines	Jaen	2000	65.0%	89.5%	41.0%
Philippines	Jaen	2017	82.2%	96.9%	58.7%
Philippines	Jagna	2000	86.4%	99.9%	47.8%
Philippines	Jagna	2017	95.4%	100.0%	78.9%
Philippines	Jala-Jala	2000	90.9%	99.9%	50.4%
Philippines	Jala-Jala	2017	97.3%	100.0%	73.2%
Philippines	Jamindan	2000	73.8%	95.6%	34.4%
Philippines	Jamindan	2017	83.4%	98.1%	49.4%
Philippines	Janiuay	2000	96.1%	99.3%	88.5%
Philippines	Janiuay	2017	98.0%	99.8%	93.1%
Philippines	Jaro	2000	60.6%	85.9%	33.0%
Philippines	Jaro	2017	85.7%	96.9%	65.1%
Philippines	Jasaan	2000	60.5%	78.3%	42.4%
Philippines	Jasaan	2017	81.7%	90.7%	71.4%
Philippines	Javier	2000	70.1%	85.6%	50.7%
Philippines	Javier	2017	87.3%	95.3%	70.6%
Philippines	Jetafe	2000	73.6%	97.8%	21.4%
Philippines	Jetafe	2017	84.1%	99.2%	37.6%
Philippines	Jiabong	2000	85.8%	97.9%	54.8%
Philippines	Jiabong	2017	93.8%	99.2%	80.3%
Philippines	Jimalalud	2000	57.3%	86.1%	19.1%
Philippines	Jimalalud	2017	63.0%	87.1%	24.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Jimenez	2000	95.7%	98.4%	87.6%
Philippines	Jimenez	2017	98.4%	99.5%	95.9%
Philippines	Jipapad	2000	83.6%	98.0%	58.5%
Philippines	Jipapad	2017	85.6%	98.1%	58.9%
Philippines	Jolo	2000	83.9%	94.0%	65.5%
Philippines	Jolo	2017	83.2%	94.4%	63.6%
Philippines	Jomalig	2000	76.6%	99.9%	20.4%
Philippines	Jomalig	2017	85.8%	100.0%	37.8%
Philippines	Jones	2000	95.2%	99.9%	84.9%
Philippines	Jones	2017	96.8%	99.9%	87.4%
Philippines	Jordan	2000	76.3%	92.0%	51.6%
Philippines	Jordan	2017	86.1%	97.1%	60.4%
Philippines	Jose Abad Santos	2000	74.1%	92.1%	46.5%
Philippines	Jose Abad Santos	2017	81.2%	94.7%	59.1%
Philippines	Jose Dalman	2000	67.4%	98.3%	16.7%
Philippines	Jose Dalman	2017	75.9%	99.1%	24.7%
Philippines	Jose Pangani-ban	2000	94.5%	99.9%	72.0%
Philippines	Jose Pangani-ban	2017	98.1%	100.0%	90.3%
Philippines	Josefina	2000	80.4%	99.9%	27.0%
Philippines	Josefina	2017	86.0%	99.9%	55.4%
Philippines	Jovellar	2000	99.3%	99.9%	95.4%
Philippines	Jovellar	2017	99.4%	99.9%	96.1%
Philippines	Juban	2000	78.0%	97.0%	31.8%
Philippines	Juban	2017	88.7%	98.8%	47.1%
Philippines	Julita	2000	83.1%	94.3%	52.1%
Philippines	Julita	2017	87.4%	95.8%	64.1%
Philippines	Kabacan	2000	87.3%	95.1%	78.5%
Philippines	Kabacan	2017	94.1%	97.6%	89.3%
Philippines	Kabankalan City	2000	72.6%	94.1%	39.3%
Philippines	Kabankalan City	2017	80.0%	95.0%	48.0%
Philippines	Kabasalan	2000	73.6%	98.7%	19.2%
Philippines	Kabasalan	2017	82.2%	99.5%	28.9%
Philippines	Kabayan	2000	62.9%	89.0%	41.4%
Philippines	Kabayan	2017	80.5%	97.2%	54.8%
Philippines	Kabugao	2000	69.1%	91.8%	38.2%
Philippines	Kabugao	2017	76.0%	94.2%	49.3%
Philippines	Kabuntalan	2000	51.1%	75.6%	16.6%
Philippines	Kabuntalan	2017	66.2%	86.1%	30.1%
Philippines	Kadingilan	2000	70.8%	86.8%	51.7%
Philippines	Kadingilan	2017	75.6%	90.7%	57.0%
Philippines	Kalamansig	2000	83.0%	98.7%	46.1%
Philippines	Kalamansig	2017	88.3%	98.7%	56.4%
Philippines	Kalawit	2000	75.1%	97.5%	35.2%
Philippines	Kalawit	2017	84.2%	98.8%	53.0%
Philippines	Kalayaan	2000	62.5%	87.4%	28.5%
Philippines	Kalayaan	2017	86.0%	97.4%	58.0%
Philippines	Kalibato Lake	2000	31.9%	67.3%	17.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Kalibato Lake	2017	74.9%	87.4%	61.8%
Philippines	Kalibo	2000	68.8%	83.5%	56.1%
Philippines	Kalibo	2017	92.6%	96.3%	87.4%
Philippines	Kalilangan	2000	69.9%	97.2%	27.4%
Philippines	Kalilangan	2017	75.9%	98.0%	32.0%
Philippines	Kalingalan Caluang	2000	3.4%	17.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Kalingalan Caluang	2017	4.7%	20.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Kalookan City	2000	80.4%	84.0%	76.5%
Philippines	Kalookan City	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.6%
Philippines	Kananga	2000	57.5%	97.6%	8.2%
Philippines	Kananga	2017	72.4%	99.1%	20.4%
Philippines	Kapai	2000	68.0%	88.8%	24.7%
Philippines	Kapai	2017	76.0%	88.6%	41.3%
Philippines	Kapalong	2000	90.8%	97.5%	79.2%
Philippines	Kapalong	2017	94.2%	98.6%	86.1%
Philippines	Kapangan	2000	92.3%	100.0%	65.7%
Philippines	Kapangan	2017	95.8%	100.0%	78.8%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2000	90.5%	97.7%	68.4%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2000	65.6%	96.0%	15.2%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2017	72.0%	96.6%	20.1%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2017	96.3%	98.9%	88.0%
Philippines	Kasibu	2000	79.4%	99.6%	35.9%
Philippines	Kasibu	2017	86.6%	99.8%	50.5%
Philippines	Katipunan	2000	83.7%	93.5%	68.9%
Philippines	Katipunan	2017	89.5%	95.4%	76.6%
Philippines	Kauswagan	2000	93.0%	98.0%	84.7%
Philippines	Kauswagan	2017	97.9%	99.2%	95.4%
Philippines	Kawayan	2000	53.6%	78.1%	22.7%
Philippines	Kawayan	2017	82.6%	94.6%	55.2%
Philippines	Kawit	2000	93.3%	99.1%	87.3%
Philippines	Kawit	2017	99.1%	99.8%	98.3%
Philippines	Kayapa	2000	78.0%	97.5%	41.3%
Philippines	Kayapa	2017	85.0%	99.0%	53.4%
Philippines	Kiamba	2000	85.1%	98.7%	51.4%
Philippines	Kiamba	2017	89.5%	98.7%	60.4%
Philippines	Kiangan	2000	79.3%	94.4%	63.4%
Philippines	Kiangan	2017	81.7%	94.5%	70.1%
Philippines	Kibawe	2000	90.8%	98.8%	67.7%
Philippines	Kibawe	2017	93.7%	99.3%	77.9%
Philippines	Kiblawan	2000	91.4%	95.6%	84.5%
Philippines	Kiblawan	2017	96.0%	98.2%	91.8%
Philippines	Kibungan	2000	85.8%	99.9%	39.4%
Philippines	Kibungan	2017	89.2%	100.0%	46.3%
Philippines	Kidapawan City	2000	93.4%	97.4%	86.3%
Philippines	Kidapawan City	2017	95.4%	98.1%	90.5%
Philippines	Kinoguitan	2000	88.8%	99.9%	43.7%
Philippines	Kinoguitan	2017	95.7%	100.0%	73.8%
Philippines	Kitaotao	2000	92.3%	99.8%	72.7%
Philippines	Kitaotao	2017	96.5%	100.0%	82.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Kitcharao	2000	70.1%	99.7%	8.8%
Philippines	Kitcharao	2017	80.1%	99.9%	18.9%
Philippines	Kolambugan	2000	56.4%	97.5%	13.3%
Philippines	Kolambugan	2017	72.3%	99.6%	25.7%
Philippines	Koronadal City	2000	97.2%	99.6%	89.3%
Philippines	Koronadal City	2017	99.1%	99.9%	97.0%
Philippines	Kumalarang	2000	86.8%	98.3%	63.4%
Philippines	Kumalarang	2017	90.8%	98.3%	75.8%
Philippines	La Carlota City	2000	56.8%	86.7%	17.6%
Philippines	La Carlota City	2017	73.9%	93.8%	35.6%
Philippines	La Castellana	2000	35.7%	77.5%	7.6%
Philippines	La Castellana	2017	47.1%	84.9%	19.0%
Philippines	La Libertad	2000	58.8%	87.6%	19.2%
Philippines	La Libertad	2000	87.0%	100.0%	34.2%
Philippines	La Libertad	2017	66.3%	88.8%	28.1%
Philippines	La Libertad	2017	91.9%	100.0%	43.8%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	93.3%	98.2%	84.6%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	82.3%	95.0%	59.2%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	77.0%	97.8%	25.5%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	84.6%	99.4%	45.6%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	98.3%	99.6%	94.2%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	90.8%	97.7%	76.8%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	84.8%	98.7%	39.5%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	87.8%	99.7%	49.4%
Philippines	La Trinidad	2000	92.1%	96.0%	87.1%
Philippines	La Trinidad	2017	98.5%	99.3%	97.5%
Philippines	Laak	2000	92.6%	99.0%	77.8%
Philippines	Laak	2017	95.0%	99.4%	82.7%
Philippines	Labangan	2000	88.8%	94.0%	78.8%
Philippines	Labangan	2017	90.5%	94.2%	84.5%
Philippines	Labason	2000	83.6%	98.1%	48.5%
Philippines	Labason	2017	91.7%	99.3%	68.5%
Philippines	Labo	2000	89.8%	98.8%	66.4%
Philippines	Labo	2017	94.0%	99.4%	77.5%
Philippines	Labrador	2000	85.4%	100.0%	30.3%
Philippines	Labrador	2017	89.9%	100.0%	39.4%
Philippines	Lacub	2000	74.0%	98.3%	29.0%
Philippines	Lacub	2017	81.9%	99.2%	35.0%
Philippines	Lagangilang	2000	89.5%	100.0%	54.4%
Philippines	Lagangilang	2017	94.4%	100.0%	72.2%
Philippines	Lagawe	2000	87.9%	98.2%	73.4%
Philippines	Lagawe	2017	91.4%	99.0%	78.6%
Philippines	Lagayan	2000	77.3%	99.9%	15.0%
Philippines	Lagayan	2017	84.0%	100.0%	22.6%
Philippines	Lagonglong	2000	35.0%	75.0%	12.4%
Philippines	Lagonglong	2017	58.7%	83.8%	30.6%
Philippines	Lagonoy	2000	88.9%	99.4%	68.8%
Philippines	Lagonoy	2017	92.5%	99.7%	75.7%
Philippines	Laguindingan	2000	85.4%	98.4%	61.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Laguindingan	2017	97.1%	99.7%	88.7%
Philippines	Laguna lake	2000	93.5%	96.4%	89.9%
Philippines	Laguna lake	2017	98.4%	99.3%	96.2%
Philippines	Lake Sebu	2000	78.4%	95.1%	47.7%
Philippines	Lake Sebu	2017	82.7%	96.4%	57.0%
Philippines	Lakewood	2000	76.8%	99.2%	23.9%
Philippines	Lakewood	2017	83.6%	99.4%	33.2%
Philippines	Lakewood Lake	2000	75.3%	100.0%	4.6%
Philippines	Lakewood Lake	2017	83.0%	100.0%	17.3%
Philippines	Lal-Lo	2000	83.4%	98.5%	45.0%
Philippines	Lal-Lo	2017	89.8%	99.3%	57.2%
Philippines	Lala	2000	85.2%	94.0%	62.1%
Philippines	Lala	2017	95.4%	97.6%	88.5%
Philippines	Lambayong	2000	49.3%	78.4%	19.7%
Philippines	Lambayong	2017	61.5%	92.1%	24.8%
Philippines	Lambunao	2000	93.4%	99.8%	72.6%
Philippines	Lambunao	2017	96.4%	99.9%	82.6%
Philippines	Lamitan City	2000	75.9%	82.5%	69.1%
Philippines	Lamitan City	2017	87.9%	92.2%	82.6%
Philippines	Lamut	2000	65.1%	96.4%	30.2%
Philippines	Lamut	2017	75.1%	98.1%	47.5%
Philippines	Lanao Lake	2000	66.4%	93.0%	35.4%
Philippines	Lanao Lake	2017	65.1%	93.0%	32.8%
Philippines	Langiden	2000	97.3%	99.7%	87.8%
Philippines	Langiden	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.0%
Philippines	Languyan	2000	60.8%	92.1%	22.8%
Philippines	Languyan	2017	69.5%	94.4%	33.3%
Philippines	Lantapan	2000	78.3%	99.5%	40.7%
Philippines	Lantapan	2017	85.1%	99.8%	51.6%
Philippines	Lantawan	2000	62.5%	78.7%	46.6%
Philippines	Lantawan	2017	75.2%	85.9%	62.8%
Philippines	Lanuza	2000	90.2%	99.5%	63.8%
Philippines	Lanuza	2017	93.5%	99.7%	72.7%
Philippines	Laoac	2000	98.2%	100.0%	85.6%
Philippines	Laoac	2017	99.5%	100.0%	96.5%
Philippines	Laoag City	2000	98.7%	100.0%	91.1%
Philippines	Laoag City	2017	99.6%	100.0%	97.0%
Philippines	Laoang	2000	82.9%	95.5%	62.7%
Philippines	Laoang	2017	90.3%	97.7%	76.5%
Philippines	Lapinig	2000	81.7%	88.4%	73.6%
Philippines	Lapinig	2017	86.9%	91.8%	80.5%
Philippines	Lapu-Lapu City	2000	44.3%	49.0%	38.7%
Philippines	Lapu-Lapu City	2017	81.4%	83.9%	79.2%
Philippines	Lapuyan	2000	75.1%	99.5%	23.7%
Philippines	Lapuyan	2017	84.0%	99.6%	39.5%
Philippines	Larena	2000	99.0%	100.0%	94.8%
Philippines	Larena	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.9%
Philippines	Las Navas	2000	51.8%	77.3%	26.0%
Philippines	Las Navas	2017	70.6%	89.5%	49.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Las Nieves	2000	83.4%	96.9%	56.6%
Philippines	Las Nieves	2017	91.3%	98.3%	74.6%
Philippines	Las Piñas	2000	86.7%	90.7%	82.0%
Philippines	Las Piñas	2017	98.5%	99.0%	97.9%
Philippines	Lasam	2000	86.6%	99.9%	47.4%
Philippines	Lasam	2017	93.0%	100.0%	63.4%
Philippines	Laua-An	2000	96.5%	99.9%	79.9%
Philippines	Laua-An	2017	98.4%	100.0%	89.4%
Philippines	Laur	2000	88.4%	100.0%	43.4%
Philippines	Laur	2017	94.2%	100.0%	60.8%
Philippines	Laurel	2000	78.3%	93.6%	53.9%
Philippines	Laurel	2017	93.3%	98.7%	80.2%
Philippines	Lavezares	2000	67.3%	79.4%	48.6%
Philippines	Lavezares	2017	85.6%	93.1%	70.2%
Philippines	Lawaan	2000	81.8%	99.9%	25.2%
Philippines	Lawaan	2017	90.1%	100.0%	41.2%
Philippines	Lazi	2000	84.3%	95.8%	64.2%
Philippines	Lazi	2017	86.2%	97.3%	69.3%
Philippines	Lebak	2000	72.2%	93.1%	40.2%
Philippines	Lebak	2017	79.8%	95.9%	47.9%
Philippines	Leganes	2000	84.0%	94.8%	66.9%
Philippines	Leganes	2017	95.9%	98.8%	88.2%
Philippines	Legazpi City	2000	90.6%	93.9%	85.2%
Philippines	Legazpi City	2017	97.0%	98.2%	95.5%
Philippines	Lemery	2000	91.0%	100.0%	53.1%
Philippines	Lemery	2000	70.6%	94.6%	46.3%
Philippines	Lemery	2017	95.2%	100.0%	66.3%
Philippines	Lemery	2017	91.6%	98.8%	78.4%
Philippines	Leon	2000	93.7%	100.0%	69.4%
Philippines	Leon	2017	96.5%	100.0%	76.0%
Philippines	Leyte	2000	72.3%	94.6%	33.1%
Philippines	Leyte	2017	80.8%	97.7%	41.9%
Philippines	Lezo	2000	67.2%	90.9%	41.6%
Philippines	Lezo	2017	89.1%	98.2%	71.9%
Philippines	Lian	2000	72.1%	99.6%	17.4%
Philippines	Lian	2017	81.9%	99.9%	26.7%
Philippines	Lianga	2000	72.6%	99.3%	23.4%
Philippines	Lianga	2017	85.2%	99.7%	32.6%
Philippines	Libacao	2000	72.2%	98.8%	26.2%
Philippines	Libacao	2017	82.0%	99.1%	40.1%
Philippines	Libagon	2000	88.9%	99.7%	42.4%
Philippines	Libagon	2017	92.2%	99.9%	56.4%
Philippines	Libertad	2000	84.8%	99.9%	32.9%
Philippines	Libertad	2000	69.2%	99.3%	13.0%
Philippines	Libertad	2017	82.6%	99.8%	30.8%
Philippines	Libertad	2017	93.4%	100.0%	56.6%
Philippines	Libjo	2000	63.8%	82.6%	43.3%
Philippines	Libjo	2017	87.0%	95.2%	74.9%
Philippines	Libmanan	2000	93.2%	98.9%	83.3%
Philippines	Libmanan	2017	96.2%	99.5%	88.4%
Philippines	Libon	2000	75.5%	89.7%	61.5%
Philippines	Libon	2017	86.0%	96.5%	71.1%
Philippines	Libona	2000	81.0%	91.2%	65.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Libona	2017	92.5%	98.0%	81.2%
Philippines	Libungan	2000	90.2%	98.6%	70.4%
Philippines	Libungan	2017	93.9%	98.9%	78.3%
Philippines	Licab	2000	89.8%	100.0%	47.1%
Philippines	Licab	2017	96.6%	100.0%	72.2%
Philippines	Licuan-Baay	2000	86.0%	98.6%	60.8%
Philippines	Licuan-Baay	2017	91.6%	99.0%	75.0%
Philippines	Lidlidda	2000	93.5%	100.0%	59.6%
Philippines	Lidlidda	2017	98.3%	100.0%	86.7%
Philippines	Ligao City	2000	67.1%	88.2%	32.0%
Philippines	Ligao City	2017	79.9%	96.0%	43.1%
Philippines	Lila	2000	59.3%	97.9%	7.0%
Philippines	Lila	2017	79.7%	99.7%	23.5%
Philippines	Liliw	2000	80.2%	95.4%	51.7%
Philippines	Liliw	2017	93.0%	98.5%	83.0%
Philippines	Liloan	2000	56.5%	76.5%	43.0%
Philippines	Liloan	2000	77.5%	99.6%	26.1%
Philippines	Liloan	2017	85.0%	99.8%	40.1%
Philippines	Liloan	2017	89.9%	94.2%	85.2%
Philippines	Liloy	2000	78.6%	91.1%	58.4%
Philippines	Liloy	2017	88.9%	96.8%	72.4%
Philippines	Limasawa	2000	79.3%	100.0%	13.0%
Philippines	Limasawa	2017	86.8%	100.0%	24.1%
Philippines	Limay	2000	75.7%	95.1%	46.9%
Philippines	Limay	2017	89.5%	98.6%	68.6%
Philippines	Linamon	2000	93.5%	99.7%	79.2%
Philippines	Linamon	2017	98.1%	99.8%	94.4%
Philippines	Linapacan	2000	72.6%	97.2%	32.9%
Philippines	Linapacan	2017	81.4%	98.5%	44.5%
Philippines	Lingayen	2000	87.3%	99.9%	37.8%
Philippines	Lingayen	2017	89.0%	99.9%	39.0%
Philippines	Lingig	2000	76.4%	98.2%	31.4%
Philippines	Lingig	2017	86.3%	99.6%	48.3%
Philippines	Lipa City	2000	94.0%	100.0%	62.4%
Philippines	Lipa City	2017	97.9%	100.0%	82.1%
Philippines	Llanera	2000	87.4%	100.0%	43.0%
Philippines	Llanera	2017	94.0%	100.0%	60.4%
Philippines	Llorente	2000	75.6%	98.4%	30.6%
Philippines	Llorente	2017	88.4%	99.6%	53.4%
Philippines	Loay	2000	79.7%	97.9%	47.5%
Philippines	Loay	2017	93.7%	99.6%	77.0%
Philippines	Lobo	2000	78.5%	99.7%	27.6%
Philippines	Lobo	2017	88.0%	99.9%	47.8%
Philippines	Loboc	2000	80.0%	95.6%	62.9%
Philippines	Loboc	2017	95.0%	99.1%	87.5%
Philippines	Looc	2000	80.5%	92.2%	58.7%
Philippines	Looc	2000	72.2%	98.3%	32.1%
Philippines	Looc	2017	82.2%	99.6%	47.6%
Philippines	Looc	2017	92.4%	96.9%	84.6%
Philippines	Loon	2000	76.5%	99.7%	21.5%
Philippines	Loon	2017	86.2%	99.9%	35.7%
Philippines	Lope de Vega	2000	61.2%	93.3%	13.6%
Philippines	Lope de Vega	2017	72.6%	95.9%	21.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Lopez	2000	88.1%	99.7%	52.7%
Philippines	Lopez	2017	92.9%	99.8%	66.5%
Philippines	Lopez Jaena	2000	92.4%	99.5%	69.5%
Philippines	Lopez Jaena	2017	94.6%	99.6%	75.9%
Philippines	Loreto	2000	74.2%	92.7%	45.6%
Philippines	Loreto	2000	64.1%	95.8%	22.3%
Philippines	Loreto	2017	83.8%	96.2%	61.6%
Philippines	Loreto	2017	80.5%	99.0%	44.0%
Philippines	Los Baños	2000	98.4%	99.8%	94.3%
Philippines	Los Baños	2017	98.6%	99.8%	95.2%
Philippines	Luba	2000	97.3%	99.3%	92.3%
Philippines	Luba	2017	98.3%	99.5%	94.1%
Philippines	Lubang	2000	74.9%	99.4%	27.8%
Philippines	Lubang	2017	84.0%	99.9%	47.0%
Philippines	Lubao	2000	92.0%	98.7%	73.3%
Philippines	Lubao	2017	98.2%	99.8%	91.3%
Philippines	Lubuagan	2000	62.4%	89.0%	20.8%
Philippines	Lubuagan	2017	80.6%	94.9%	48.1%
Philippines	Lucban	2000	88.4%	99.9%	37.6%
Philippines	Lucban	2017	95.3%	100.0%	68.7%
Philippines	Lucena City	2000	46.0%	68.4%	31.4%
Philippines	Lucena City	2017	84.5%	90.8%	72.7%
Philippines	Lugait	2000	6.4%	37.6%	1.2%
Philippines	Lugait	2017	6.1%	28.2%	2.1%
Philippines	Lugus	2000	22.6%	48.3%	4.8%
Philippines	Lugus	2017	22.7%	47.2%	4.7%
Philippines	Luisiana	2000	92.1%	100.0%	44.1%
Philippines	Luisiana	2017	97.1%	100.0%	75.2%
Philippines	Lumba-Bayabao	2000	75.4%	95.1%	28.5%
Philippines	Lumba-Bayabao	2017	83.0%	96.5%	40.8%
Philippines	Lumbaca Un-ayan	2000	76.2%	100.0%	4.5%
Philippines	Lumbaca Un-ayan	2017	86.7%	100.0%	14.2%
Philippines	Lumban	2000	67.5%	85.7%	39.3%
Philippines	Lumban	2017	90.5%	97.6%	65.8%
Philippines	Lumbatan	2000	73.0%	99.9%	13.3%
Philippines	Lumbatan	2017	83.0%	100.0%	22.3%
Philippines	Lumbayanague	2000	76.1%	100.0%	5.2%
Philippines	Lumbayanague	2017	83.8%	100.0%	10.2%
Philippines	Luna	2000	86.0%	96.5%	61.7%
Philippines	Luna	2000	99.6%	100.0%	96.7%
Philippines	Luna	2000	83.4%	100.0%	24.9%
Philippines	Luna	2017	88.0%	97.3%	68.1%
Philippines	Luna	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Philippines	Luna	2017	90.3%	100.0%	43.2%
Philippines	Lupao	2000	74.3%	99.6%	19.8%
Philippines	Lupao	2017	84.8%	99.9%	40.8%
Philippines	Lupi	2000	77.4%	98.8%	32.9%
Philippines	Lupi	2017	85.6%	99.6%	45.1%
Philippines	Lupon	2000	83.0%	93.8%	63.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Lupon	2017	85.2%	95.7%	65.0%
Philippines	Lutayan	2000	58.3%	92.2%	19.7%
Philippines	Lutayan	2017	79.2%	98.2%	39.2%
Philippines	Luuk	2000	44.6%	66.3%	11.8%
Philippines	Luuk	2017	39.0%	52.9%	16.0%
Philippines	M'Lang	2000	92.8%	99.6%	74.9%
Philippines	M'Lang	2017	93.1%	99.5%	77.8%
Philippines	Ma-Ayon	2000	82.4%	99.1%	45.1%
Philippines	Ma-Ayon	2017	88.8%	99.6%	56.2%
Philippines	Maasim	2000	76.3%	97.3%	39.3%
Philippines	Maasim	2017	83.3%	98.2%	55.0%
Philippines	Maasin	2000	91.3%	99.4%	60.1%
Philippines	Maasin	2017	95.4%	99.8%	76.3%
Philippines	Maasin City	2000	80.8%	99.3%	44.7%
Philippines	Maasin City	2017	92.6%	99.9%	70.4%
Philippines	Mabalacat	2000	98.2%	99.7%	94.0%
Philippines	Mabalacat	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Philippines	Mabinay	2000	75.0%	98.3%	37.7%
Philippines	Mabinay	2017	81.1%	98.8%	46.6%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	77.4%	95.3%	40.1%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	81.4%	100.0%	23.0%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	90.6%	99.3%	55.0%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	80.2%	97.0%	43.3%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	88.7%	100.0%	43.2%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	91.9%	99.2%	72.6%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	89.6%	98.4%	64.1%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	93.0%	99.6%	63.4%
Philippines	Mabitac	2000	94.3%	99.7%	78.3%
Philippines	Mabitac	2017	97.7%	99.9%	89.5%
Philippines	Mabuhay	2000	75.1%	98.7%	20.3%
Philippines	Mabuhay	2017	78.9%	98.4%	29.9%
Philippines	Macabebe	2000	98.2%	100.0%	85.1%
Philippines	Macabebe	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.8%
Philippines	Macalelon	2000	75.6%	99.8%	12.9%
Philippines	Macalelon	2017	84.4%	100.0%	22.5%
Philippines	Macarthur	2000	72.4%	90.2%	42.7%
Philippines	Macarthur	2017	87.4%	96.5%	62.0%
Philippines	Maco	2000	93.8%	99.7%	71.6%
Philippines	Maco	2017	96.0%	99.8%	79.6%
Philippines	Maconacon	2000	60.7%	98.8%	3.5%
Philippines	Maconacon	2017	72.5%	99.0%	7.2%
Philippines	Macrohon	2000	65.1%	94.0%	29.4%
Philippines	Macrohon	2017	85.4%	98.9%	48.9%
Philippines	Madalag	2000	68.8%	90.5%	36.8%
Philippines	Madalag	2017	82.6%	95.1%	54.9%
Philippines	Madalum	2000	83.9%	89.7%	72.1%
Philippines	Madalum	2017	77.3%	86.0%	62.6%
Philippines	Madamba	2000	75.1%	93.1%	44.9%
Philippines	Madamba	2017	69.0%	90.5%	38.9%
Philippines	Maddela	2000	94.5%	98.6%	82.0%
Philippines	Maddela	2017	96.7%	99.2%	90.8%
Philippines	Madrid	2000	95.7%	99.9%	74.8%
Philippines	Madrid	2017	96.8%	100.0%	79.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Madridejos	2000	78.6%	100.0%	11.8%
Philippines	Madridejos	2017	87.4%	100.0%	28.4%
Philippines	Magalang	2000	97.0%	100.0%	83.7%
Philippines	Magalang	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.9%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	71.8%	99.6%	17.8%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	98.0%	99.6%	93.9%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	73.6%	98.3%	29.2%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	81.3%	99.9%	27.9%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	89.2%	99.7%	55.6%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.3%
Philippines	Magarao	2000	97.8%	98.9%	95.2%
Philippines	Magarao	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.2%
Philippines	Magdalena	2000	85.3%	100.0%	29.6%
Philippines	Magdalena	2017	93.3%	100.0%	58.4%
Philippines	Magdiwang	2000	86.6%	98.3%	59.7%
Philippines	Magdiwang	2017	93.3%	99.5%	71.8%
Philippines	Magpet	2000	81.6%	99.0%	43.4%
Philippines	Magpet	2017	88.9%	99.5%	55.7%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	81.5%	94.4%	63.2%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	64.4%	98.9%	14.4%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	47.5%	91.6%	9.2%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	86.6%	96.2%	67.4%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	55.4%	91.5%	16.1%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	72.6%	97.1%	29.1%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	53.5%	90.7%	20.9%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	92.8%	98.2%	81.0%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	70.1%	99.4%	19.2%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	93.5%	98.8%	77.7%
Philippines	Magsingal	2000	95.5%	100.0%	70.1%
Philippines	Magsingal	2017	97.9%	100.0%	84.1%
Philippines	Maguing	2000	78.3%	99.6%	16.0%
Philippines	Maguing	2017	85.0%	99.6%	26.8%
Philippines	Mahaplag	2000	64.6%	97.3%	17.4%
Philippines	Mahaplag	2017	78.0%	99.4%	29.9%
Philippines	Mahatao	2000	96.4%	100.0%	88.4%
Philippines	Mahatao	2017	99.5%	100.0%	98.4%
Philippines	Mahayag	2000	84.3%	97.5%	57.1%
Philippines	Mahayag	2017	89.9%	98.6%	68.7%
Philippines	Mahinog	2000	94.5%	99.9%	70.2%
Philippines	Mahinog	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.6%
Philippines	Maigo	2000	25.5%	67.6%	3.2%
Philippines	Maigo	2017	46.9%	86.4%	10.4%
Philippines	Maimbung	2000	91.1%	99.4%	70.5%
Philippines	Maimbung	2017	97.6%	99.8%	90.1%
Philippines	Mainit	2000	70.0%	96.1%	37.9%
Philippines	Mainit	2017	88.2%	99.4%	59.3%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2000	71.4%	99.9%	13.0%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2000	81.5%	97.3%	57.3%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2017	95.2%	99.6%	80.2%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2017	82.9%	100.0%	35.1%
Philippines	Maitum	2000	91.4%	99.5%	70.0%
Philippines	Maitum	2017	94.7%	99.7%	78.1%
Philippines	Majayjay	2000	95.8%	99.8%	82.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Majayjay	2017	99.3%	100.0%	97.0%
Philippines	Makati City	2000	95.8%	97.8%	93.8%
Philippines	Makati City	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Philippines	Makato	2000	61.5%	93.3%	26.9%
Philippines	Makato	2017	86.6%	98.5%	62.9%
Philippines	Makilala	2000	96.4%	99.8%	84.8%
Philippines	Makilala	2017	97.8%	99.9%	89.5%
Philippines	Malabang	2000	46.3%	58.4%	34.2%
Philippines	Malabang	2017	38.7%	51.4%	27.6%
Philippines	Malabon	2000	47.2%	53.8%	43.7%
Philippines	Malabon	2017	85.2%	87.6%	82.8%
Philippines	Malabuyoc	2000	77.2%	99.9%	19.4%
Philippines	Malabuyoc	2017	86.5%	100.0%	38.5%
Philippines	Malalag	2000	84.8%	99.3%	46.7%
Philippines	Malalag	2017	91.7%	99.6%	63.1%
Philippines	Malangas	2000	65.3%	95.1%	33.7%
Philippines	Malangas	2017	78.0%	95.9%	51.6%
Philippines	Malapatan	2000	92.5%	99.3%	78.1%
Philippines	Malapatan	2017	94.9%	99.5%	82.9%
Philippines	Malasiqui	2000	90.7%	100.0%	41.1%
Philippines	Malasiqui	2017	94.2%	100.0%	59.9%
Philippines	Malay	2000	88.7%	99.5%	64.4%
Philippines	Malay	2017	96.4%	99.9%	85.1%
Philippines	Malaybalay City	2000	85.2%	97.5%	61.0%
Philippines	Malaybalay City	2017	91.9%	99.1%	73.8%
Philippines	Malibcong	2000	75.8%	99.8%	24.1%
Philippines	Malibcong	2017	83.1%	99.9%	36.1%
Philippines	Malilipot	2000	94.4%	99.1%	84.2%
Philippines	Malilipot	2017	97.7%	99.6%	93.5%
Philippines	Malimono	2000	69.9%	97.8%	27.7%
Philippines	Malimono	2017	83.3%	99.4%	47.2%
Philippines	Malinao	2000	64.7%	97.9%	11.2%
Philippines	Malinao	2000	89.1%	98.7%	70.1%
Philippines	Malinao	2017	73.9%	98.6%	25.7%
Philippines	Malinao	2017	97.2%	99.8%	89.2%
Philippines	Malita	2000	89.1%	97.0%	77.0%
Philippines	Malita	2017	91.8%	97.7%	82.1%
Philippines	Malitbog	2000	75.8%	99.3%	34.3%
Philippines	Malitbog	2000	69.4%	98.9%	17.6%
Philippines	Malitbog	2017	81.7%	99.8%	29.4%
Philippines	Malitbog	2017	84.6%	99.7%	48.7%
Philippines	Mallig	2000	89.1%	95.0%	81.3%
Philippines	Mallig	2017	90.7%	96.2%	84.4%
Philippines	Malolos City	2000	98.0%	100.0%	90.7%
Philippines	Malolos City	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Philippines	Malungon	2000	82.3%	97.9%	55.2%
Philippines	Malungon	2017	89.2%	99.0%	68.5%
Philippines	Maluso	2000	35.3%	61.9%	15.8%
Philippines	Maluso	2017	53.5%	75.8%	28.8%
Philippines	Malvar	2000	99.2%	100.0%	94.5%
Philippines	Malvar	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Mamasapano	2000	24.1%	53.5%	7.2%
Philippines	Mamasapano	2017	46.5%	68.9%	21.8%
Philippines	Mambajao	2000	92.5%	98.4%	83.4%
Philippines	Mambajao	2017	98.6%	99.7%	95.9%
Philippines	Mamburao	2000	84.2%	94.5%	68.6%
Philippines	Mamburao	2017	92.9%	98.0%	83.3%
Philippines	Mambusao	2000	82.5%	93.0%	66.1%
Philippines	Mambusao	2017	93.1%	97.2%	85.6%
Philippines	Manabo	2000	86.2%	96.1%	72.2%
Philippines	Manabo	2017	91.8%	97.8%	82.3%
Philippines	Manaoag	2000	99.3%	99.9%	95.8%
Philippines	Manaoag	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.4%
Philippines	Manapla	2000	57.9%	97.1%	8.8%
Philippines	Manapla	2017	73.1%	99.0%	17.6%
Philippines	Manay	2000	89.3%	99.3%	65.8%
Philippines	Manay	2017	92.2%	99.5%	71.9%
Philippines	Mandaluyong	2000	96.2%	98.0%	94.2%
Philippines	Mandaluyong	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Philippines	Mandaon	2000	78.3%	98.6%	35.4%
Philippines	Mandaon	2017	86.4%	99.6%	51.2%
Philippines	Mandaue City	2000	36.5%	42.8%	31.7%
Philippines	Mandaue City	2017	80.3%	82.6%	78.1%
Philippines	Mangaldan	2000	98.0%	99.2%	95.3%
Philippines	Mangaldan	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.3%
Philippines	Mangatarem	2000	86.0%	95.1%	64.4%
Philippines	Mangatarem	2017	94.5%	98.5%	82.5%
Philippines	Mangudadatu	2000	48.0%	75.1%	13.0%
Philippines	Mangudadatu	2017	70.9%	88.6%	34.7%
Philippines	Manila	2000	79.4%	85.6%	74.1%
Philippines	Manila	2017	96.7%	97.4%	95.9%
Philippines	Manito	2000	74.9%	99.7%	13.2%
Philippines	Manito	2017	84.3%	99.9%	28.5%
Philippines	Manjuyod	2000	88.5%	100.0%	39.4%
Philippines	Manjuyod	2017	93.0%	100.0%	56.1%
Philippines	Mankayan	2000	92.0%	95.8%	85.9%
Philippines	Mankayan	2017	96.9%	98.4%	95.1%
Philippines	Manolo Fortich	2000	83.8%	97.0%	50.7%
Philippines	Manolo Fortich	2017	90.6%	99.1%	69.1%
Philippines	Mansalay	2000	77.5%	97.6%	33.8%
Philippines	Mansalay	2017	87.5%	98.9%	51.8%
Philippines	Manticao	2000	50.9%	83.3%	30.5%
Philippines	Manticao	2017	51.0%	82.1%	33.3%
Philippines	Manukan	2000	62.8%	94.5%	15.1%
Philippines	Manukan	2017	68.7%	94.4%	19.3%
Philippines	Mapanas	2000	78.7%	99.8%	15.9%
Philippines	Mapanas	2017	86.6%	99.8%	37.0%
Philippines	Mapandan	2000	98.7%	99.8%	94.9%
Philippines	Mapandan	2017	99.5%	99.9%	97.9%
Philippines	Mapun	2000	72.2%	99.5%	17.6%
Philippines	Mapun	2017	80.5%	99.8%	25.8%
Philippines	Marabut	2000	71.0%	99.5%	14.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Marabut	2017	80.7%	99.7%	25.6%
Philippines	Maragondon	2000	81.5%	99.0%	37.2%
Philippines	Maragondon	2017	92.6%	99.8%	60.8%
Philippines	Maragusan	2000	89.0%	99.0%	65.4%
Philippines	Maragusan	2017	92.1%	99.5%	73.6%
Philippines	Maramag	2000	83.5%	96.2%	62.7%
Philippines	Maramag	2017	88.7%	97.5%	73.3%
Philippines	Marantao	2000	38.0%	54.7%	22.4%
Philippines	Marantao	2017	44.0%	63.2%	26.5%
Philippines	Marawi City	2000	59.7%	95.2%	10.8%
Philippines	Marawi City	2017	56.8%	93.8%	11.1%
Philippines	Marcos	2000	98.6%	100.0%	93.3%
Philippines	Marcos	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.6%
Philippines	Margosatubig	2000	70.4%	99.6%	13.5%
Philippines	Margosatubig	2017	79.5%	99.8%	24.8%
Philippines	Maria	2000	86.0%	91.4%	77.5%
Philippines	Maria	2017	92.1%	95.1%	87.0%
Philippines	Maria Aurora	2000	96.3%	99.9%	85.8%
Philippines	Maria Aurora	2017	98.3%	100.0%	92.1%
Philippines	Maribojoc	2000	73.0%	99.5%	13.2%
Philippines	Maribojoc	2017	86.6%	99.9%	30.7%
Philippines	Marihatag	2000	83.0%	99.6%	34.1%
Philippines	Marihatag	2017	89.3%	99.7%	51.0%
Philippines	Marikina	2000	99.2%	99.8%	97.2%
Philippines	Marikina	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Philippines	Marilao	2000	93.7%	96.9%	88.0%
Philippines	Marilao	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.5%
Philippines	Maripipi	2000	72.1%	100.0%	8.0%
Philippines	Maripipi	2017	84.4%	100.0%	26.1%
Philippines	Mariveles	2000	86.8%	99.8%	51.8%
Philippines	Mariveles	2017	94.3%	100.0%	73.5%
Philippines	Marogong	2000	74.8%	92.3%	46.1%
Philippines	Marogong	2017	79.7%	93.5%	55.6%
Philippines	Masantol	2000	78.2%	98.2%	38.4%
Philippines	Masantol	2017	92.4%	99.7%	65.4%
Philippines	Masbate City	2000	71.7%	97.4%	15.0%
Philippines	Masbate City	2017	83.6%	99.1%	27.0%
Philippines	Masinloc	2000	80.2%	92.9%	66.6%
Philippines	Masinloc	2017	94.1%	98.6%	84.4%
Philippines	Masiu	2000	83.1%	100.0%	17.3%
Philippines	Masiu	2017	91.6%	100.0%	44.0%
Philippines	Maslog	2000	66.2%	98.8%	18.2%
Philippines	Maslog	2017	75.9%	99.1%	24.5%
Philippines	Mataas Na Kahoy	2000	92.6%	100.0%	32.4%
Philippines	Mataas Na Kahoy	2017	96.1%	100.0%	57.3%
Philippines	Matag-Ob	2000	48.3%	88.0%	8.7%
Philippines	Matag-Ob	2017	65.7%	92.0%	23.6%
Philippines	Matalam	2000	80.9%	98.1%	35.5%
Philippines	Matalam	2017	88.4%	99.3%	49.3%
Philippines	Matalom	2000	83.6%	99.9%	39.9%
Philippines	Matalom	2017	90.8%	100.0%	54.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Matanao	2000	73.4%	79.8%	65.7%
Philippines	Matanao	2017	85.2%	89.2%	79.7%
Philippines	Matanog	2000	77.8%	92.6%	47.3%
Philippines	Matanog	2017	80.9%	94.5%	52.2%
Philippines	Mati City	2000	72.7%	81.8%	63.5%
Philippines	Mati City	2017	84.0%	91.1%	77.4%
Philippines	Matnog	2000	74.3%	88.1%	55.8%
Philippines	Matnog	2017	90.3%	96.4%	80.2%
Philippines	Matuguinao	2000	68.1%	98.4%	18.3%
Philippines	Matuguinao	2017	76.6%	99.2%	34.1%
Philippines	Matungao	2000	87.4%	93.1%	77.3%
Philippines	Matungao	2017	95.5%	98.2%	90.5%
Philippines	Mauban	2000	79.3%	98.5%	30.2%
Philippines	Mauban	2017	88.4%	99.5%	41.1%
Philippines	Mawab	2000	95.2%	100.0%	63.8%
Philippines	Mawab	2017	97.0%	100.0%	74.7%
Philippines	Mayantoc	2000	81.6%	99.6%	29.7%
Philippines	Mayantoc	2017	89.6%	99.9%	46.2%
Philippines	Maydolong	2000	73.7%	98.3%	27.6%
Philippines	Maydolong	2017	85.8%	99.5%	43.4%
Philippines	Mayorga	2000	76.3%	88.8%	54.7%
Philippines	Mayorga	2017	87.1%	94.5%	67.8%
Philippines	Mayoyao	2000	65.9%	94.1%	23.3%
Philippines	Mayoyao	2017	81.0%	97.5%	39.8%
Philippines	Medellin	2000	62.7%	97.2%	8.8%
Philippines	Medellin	2017	76.1%	99.2%	19.4%
Philippines	Medina	2000	74.3%	99.9%	16.5%
Philippines	Medina	2017	84.8%	100.0%	27.0%
Philippines	Mendez	2000	91.3%	99.8%	59.9%
Philippines	Mendez	2017	98.3%	100.0%	88.8%
Philippines	Mercedes	2000	77.5%	90.9%	58.0%
Philippines	Mercedes	2000	90.7%	99.0%	72.7%
Philippines	Mercedes	2017	91.7%	97.0%	81.0%
Philippines	Mercedes	2017	93.9%	99.8%	78.6%
Philippines	Merida	2000	75.3%	99.2%	24.4%
Philippines	Merida	2017	82.4%	99.5%	33.4%
Philippines	Mexico	2000	78.0%	92.6%	64.4%
Philippines	Mexico	2017	93.1%	98.7%	83.7%
Philippines	Meycauayan City	2000	85.7%	90.6%	81.7%
Philippines	Meycauayan City	2017	98.2%	98.8%	97.5%
Philippines	Miagao	2000	95.2%	99.9%	73.8%
Philippines	Miagao	2017	98.0%	100.0%	90.0%
Philippines	Midsalip	2000	81.9%	98.2%	52.1%
Philippines	Midsalip	2017	85.7%	98.2%	65.1%
Philippines	Midsayap	2000	67.7%	82.9%	56.8%
Philippines	Midsayap	2017	77.7%	91.4%	64.5%
Philippines	Milagros	2000	73.0%	93.6%	39.9%
Philippines	Milagros	2017	83.5%	96.5%	58.2%
Philippines	Milaor	2000	96.3%	99.2%	89.8%
Philippines	Milaor	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.9%
Philippines	Mina	2000	96.9%	100.0%	76.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Mina	2017	98.2%	100.0%	89.4%
Philippines	Minalabac	2000	82.2%	98.6%	57.5%
Philippines	Minalabac	2017	91.2%	99.7%	72.1%
Philippines	Minalin	2000	95.3%	98.6%	89.3%
Philippines	Minalin	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.6%
Philippines	Minglanilla	2000	67.9%	78.2%	55.0%
Philippines	Minglanilla	2017	92.1%	95.6%	84.2%
Philippines	Moalboal	2000	64.3%	91.9%	16.0%
Philippines	Moalboal	2017	75.4%	95.5%	28.0%
Philippines	Mobo	2000	87.2%	99.7%	57.2%
Philippines	Mobo	2017	92.9%	99.8%	73.7%
Philippines	Mogpog	2000	72.6%	83.5%	61.2%
Philippines	Mogpog	2017	90.1%	93.7%	85.1%
Philippines	Moises Padilla	2000	44.0%	96.0%	4.7%
Philippines	Moises Padilla	2017	48.1%	97.2%	7.7%
Philippines	Molave	2000	71.1%	95.2%	32.1%
Philippines	Molave	2017	82.0%	96.8%	57.2%
Philippines	Moncada	2000	92.1%	98.4%	70.2%
Philippines	Moncada	2017	96.1%	99.3%	86.4%
Philippines	Mondragon	2000	72.8%	98.4%	21.9%
Philippines	Mondragon	2017	83.5%	99.7%	32.9%
Philippines	Monkayo	2000	79.9%	96.9%	49.1%
Philippines	Monkayo	2017	81.6%	97.5%	55.0%
Philippines	Monreal	2000	53.2%	90.1%	11.5%
Philippines	Monreal	2017	69.9%	95.5%	25.4%
Philippines	Montevista	2000	89.0%	100.0%	46.1%
Philippines	Montevista	2017	92.2%	100.0%	55.1%
Philippines	Morong	2000	98.3%	99.9%	91.9%
Philippines	Morong	2000	82.9%	90.9%	72.1%
Philippines	Morong	2017	95.9%	97.9%	93.0%
Philippines	Morong	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.0%
Philippines	Motiong	2000	80.6%	94.9%	50.8%
Philippines	Motiong	2017	90.5%	97.9%	70.5%
Philippines	Mulanay	2000	69.2%	97.9%	20.0%
Philippines	Mulanay	2017	78.4%	99.2%	29.8%
Philippines	Mulondo	2000	76.7%	100.0%	4.5%
Philippines	Mulondo	2017	84.4%	100.0%	8.1%
Philippines	Munai	2000	60.8%	81.9%	35.7%
Philippines	Munai	2017	52.6%	85.6%	14.4%
Philippines	Muñoz City	2000	92.7%	99.8%	74.5%
Philippines	Muñoz City	2017	97.4%	99.9%	90.1%
Philippines	Muntinlupa	2000	82.9%	90.4%	78.2%
Philippines	Muntinlupa	2017	97.5%	98.5%	96.8%
Philippines	Murcia	2000	57.1%	76.3%	34.7%
Philippines	Murcia	2017	81.1%	92.1%	62.2%
Philippines	Mutia	2000	80.9%	100.0%	26.8%
Philippines	Mutia	2017	87.9%	100.0%	49.3%
Philippines	Naawan	2000	81.0%	97.1%	57.2%
Philippines	Naawan	2017	81.9%	97.1%	59.0%
Philippines	Nabas	2000	83.0%	94.9%	64.2%
Philippines	Nabas	2017	95.0%	99.1%	81.9%
Philippines	Nabua	2000	83.5%	99.7%	37.1%
Philippines	Nabua	2017	90.5%	99.9%	45.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Nabunturan	2000	96.9%	99.6%	85.7%
Philippines	Nabunturan	2017	97.6%	99.7%	87.3%
Philippines	Naga	2000	63.5%	86.7%	32.9%
Philippines	Naga	2017	74.7%	91.2%	46.7%
Philippines	Naga City	2000	97.3%	99.2%	92.9%
Philippines	Naga City	2000	40.5%	59.6%	23.7%
Philippines	Naga City	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.8%
Philippines	Naga City	2017	77.3%	88.6%	63.4%
Philippines	Nagbukel	2000	91.1%	100.0%	58.1%
Philippines	Nagbukel	2017	95.3%	100.0%	79.5%
Philippines	Nagcarlan	2000	44.8%	71.6%	16.0%
Philippines	Nagcarlan	2017	72.3%	90.3%	39.6%
Philippines	Nagtipunan	2000	84.5%	95.9%	63.2%
Philippines	Nagtipunan	2017	89.3%	97.6%	69.5%
Philippines	Naguilian	2000	94.2%	99.8%	64.0%
Philippines	Naguilian	2000	96.4%	99.9%	78.5%
Philippines	Naguilian	2017	98.9%	99.9%	93.8%
Philippines	Naguilian	2017	97.2%	99.9%	78.9%
Philippines	Naic	2000	85.8%	99.7%	46.6%
Philippines	Naic	2017	95.2%	99.9%	74.8%
Philippines	Nampicuan	2000	89.2%	95.7%	77.5%
Philippines	Nampicuan	2017	95.4%	98.6%	87.7%
Philippines	Narra	2000	70.9%	93.6%	38.5%
Philippines	Narra	2017	84.0%	97.8%	52.0%
Philippines	Narvacan	2000	95.1%	100.0%	76.5%
Philippines	Narvacan	2017	97.9%	100.0%	86.2%
Philippines	Nasipit	2000	93.4%	98.9%	80.7%
Philippines	Nasipit	2017	98.0%	99.5%	94.6%
Philippines	Nasugbu	2000	70.9%	98.0%	24.0%
Philippines	Nasugbu	2017	82.5%	99.6%	39.8%
Philippines	Natividad	2000	82.4%	99.9%	35.6%
Philippines	Natividad	2017	92.7%	100.0%	66.6%
Philippines	Natonin	2000	78.9%	97.2%	47.1%
Philippines	Natonin	2017	87.8%	98.9%	67.1%
Philippines	Naujan	2000	89.9%	99.1%	66.8%
Philippines	Naujan	2017	94.8%	99.5%	82.5%
Philippines	Naujan Lake	2000	83.9%	99.9%	38.5%
Philippines	Naujan Lake	2017	92.3%	100.0%	62.1%
Philippines	Naval	2000	74.9%	85.2%	64.5%
Philippines	Naval	2017	93.9%	96.8%	89.3%
Philippines	Navotas	2000	39.3%	48.0%	35.3%
Philippines	Navotas	2017	81.7%	84.8%	78.6%
Philippines	New Bataan	2000	75.8%	92.0%	49.4%
Philippines	New Bataan	2017	84.9%	95.8%	59.7%
Philippines	New Corella	2000	97.3%	99.9%	83.7%
Philippines	New Corella	2017	98.5%	100.0%	90.0%
Philippines	New Lucena	2000	94.9%	100.0%	66.9%
Philippines	New Lucena	2017	96.6%	100.0%	74.8%
Philippines	New Washington	2000	80.1%	86.2%	69.1%
Philippines	New Washington	2017	94.9%	96.7%	92.4%
Philippines	Norala	2000	98.8%	99.7%	96.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Norala	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.4%
Philippines	Northern Kabuntalan	2000	74.5%	85.0%	60.9%
Philippines	Northern Kabuntalan	2017	82.0%	88.9%	74.5%
Philippines	Norzagaray	2000	93.2%	99.9%	69.3%
Philippines	Norzagaray	2017	98.4%	100.0%	93.1%
Philippines	Noveleta	2000	94.9%	99.2%	86.4%
Philippines	Noveleta	2017	99.3%	99.9%	98.3%
Philippines	Nueva Era	2000	87.9%	99.1%	52.9%
Philippines	Nueva Era	2017	92.5%	99.5%	65.8%
Philippines	Nueva Valencia	2000	68.1%	99.0%	12.9%
Philippines	Nueva Valencia	2017	77.3%	99.4%	25.9%
Philippines	Numancia	2000	64.7%	89.0%	37.4%
Philippines	Numancia	2017	90.5%	98.1%	71.2%
Philippines	Nunungan	2000	65.5%	92.8%	29.9%
Philippines	Nunungan	2017	72.2%	95.4%	39.1%
Philippines	Oas	2000	67.7%	84.2%	46.0%
Philippines	Oas	2017	83.1%	94.3%	67.9%
Philippines	Obando	2000	59.6%	65.1%	54.8%
Philippines	Obando	2017	92.6%	94.0%	91.1%
Philippines	Ocampo	2000	75.1%	99.0%	25.4%
Philippines	Ocampo	2017	79.4%	99.2%	30.7%
Philippines	Odiangan	2000	93.2%	99.4%	76.6%
Philippines	Odiangan	2017	98.2%	99.9%	92.4%
Philippines	Old Panamao	2000	2.3%	8.0%	0.3%
Philippines	Old Panamao	2017	2.4%	6.3%	0.5%
Philippines	Olongapo City	2000	96.1%	99.7%	88.0%
Philippines	Olongapo City	2017	98.8%	99.9%	95.5%
Philippines	Olutanga	2000	92.5%	99.5%	77.1%
Philippines	Olutanga	2017	91.8%	99.4%	76.2%
Philippines	Opol	2000	96.1%	99.9%	83.5%
Philippines	Opol	2017	97.8%	99.9%	92.1%
Philippines	Orani	2000	45.3%	74.1%	25.9%
Philippines	Orani	2017	82.6%	95.2%	66.0%
Philippines	Oras	2000	83.6%	99.6%	34.5%
Philippines	Oras	2017	88.8%	99.8%	40.2%
Philippines	Orion	2000	93.9%	99.4%	80.6%
Philippines	Orion	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.7%
Philippines	Ormoc City	2000	67.4%	91.4%	41.5%
Philippines	Ormoc City	2017	86.5%	97.4%	69.3%
Philippines	Oroquieta City	2000	93.9%	99.9%	53.9%
Philippines	Oroquieta City	2017	96.0%	99.9%	66.8%
Philippines	Oslob	2000	73.8%	99.4%	21.6%
Philippines	Oslob	2017	83.9%	99.9%	36.2%
Philippines	Oton	2000	84.0%	92.8%	64.1%
Philippines	Oton	2017	95.9%	98.0%	87.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Ozamis City	2000	71.4%	85.3%	57.3%
Philippines	Ozamis City	2017	85.5%	94.7%	73.8%
Philippines	Padada	2000	90.2%	98.1%	61.2%
Philippines	Padada	2017	95.6%	99.4%	78.2%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2000	91.6%	98.9%	75.0%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2000	73.3%	99.4%	16.2%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2017	96.9%	99.6%	89.8%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2017	84.8%	99.9%	32.4%
Philippines	Padre Garcia	2000	88.8%	100.0%	37.6%
Philippines	Padre Garcia	2017	94.5%	100.0%	56.7%
Philippines	Paete	2000	62.3%	96.2%	14.3%
Philippines	Paete	2017	82.4%	99.1%	37.8%
Philippines	Pagadian City	2000	92.2%	96.5%	84.5%
Philippines	Pagadian City	2017	93.6%	96.9%	87.1%
Philippines	Pagagawan	2000	83.9%	92.9%	72.7%
Philippines	Pagagawan	2017	85.1%	93.6%	75.2%
Philippines	Pagalungan	2000	77.6%	95.6%	49.9%
Philippines	Pagalungan	2017	77.4%	97.7%	45.6%
Philippines	Pagayawan	2000	72.4%	83.4%	58.9%
Philippines	Pagayawan	2017	74.6%	84.6%	62.9%
Philippines	Pagbilao	2000	61.4%	95.6%	14.8%
Philippines	Pagbilao	2017	79.8%	99.1%	30.9%
Philippines	Paglat	2000	84.0%	100.0%	9.0%
Philippines	Paglat	2017	88.6%	100.0%	25.7%
Philippines	Pagsanghan	2000	38.3%	72.3%	7.6%
Philippines	Pagsanghan	2017	63.7%	87.8%	30.5%
Philippines	Pagsanjan	2000	74.5%	99.5%	11.3%
Philippines	Pagsanjan	2017	88.8%	99.9%	26.1%
Philippines	Pagudpud	2000	89.3%	99.3%	63.8%
Philippines	Pagudpud	2017	93.2%	99.5%	75.1%
Philippines	Pakil	2000	74.6%	98.5%	37.2%
Philippines	Pakil	2017	89.8%	99.7%	54.1%
Philippines	Palakpakin Lake	2000	86.8%	97.9%	61.2%
Philippines	Palakpakin Lake	2017	92.8%	98.7%	81.1%
Philippines	Palanan	2000	69.3%	95.1%	28.7%
Philippines	Palanan	2017	78.3%	97.7%	40.9%
Philippines	Palanas	2000	70.0%	99.3%	16.9%
Philippines	Palanas	2017	81.9%	99.8%	31.8%
Philippines	Palapag	2000	91.0%	97.7%	73.7%
Philippines	Palapag	2017	95.0%	98.8%	86.6%
Philippines	Palauig	2000	86.0%	99.6%	39.7%
Philippines	Palauig	2017	91.2%	99.8%	57.1%
Philippines	Palayan City	2000	96.4%	100.0%	80.4%
Philippines	Palayan City	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.1%
Philippines	Palimbang	2000	89.2%	98.5%	73.1%
Philippines	Palimbang	2017	93.1%	99.0%	81.7%
Philippines	Palo	2000	90.5%	98.7%	81.3%
Philippines	Palo	2017	98.5%	99.7%	96.8%
Philippines	Palompon	2000	34.1%	48.0%	24.1%
Philippines	Palompon	2017	68.8%	77.0%	59.8%
Philippines	Paluan	2000	72.9%	96.5%	38.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Paluan	2017	82.0%	98.2%	50.7%
Philippines	Pambujan	2000	71.1%	98.3%	24.2%
Philippines	Pambujan	2017	78.4%	98.4%	35.9%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	95.2%	97.8%	90.3%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	81.9%	99.9%	33.9%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	80.1%	99.1%	36.3%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	88.4%	99.6%	54.6%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	96.4%	98.5%	92.2%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	85.7%	99.9%	43.0%
Philippines	Panabo City	2000	85.9%	97.6%	61.5%
Philippines	Panabo City	2017	91.9%	98.9%	72.4%
Philippines	Panaon	2000	98.8%	99.9%	92.6%
Philippines	Panaon	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.4%
Philippines	Panay	2000	93.8%	100.0%	65.0%
Philippines	Panay	2017	96.3%	100.0%	76.7%
Philippines	Pandag	2000	74.8%	90.5%	37.6%
Philippines	Pandag	2017	81.1%	93.8%	47.6%
Philippines	Pandami	2000	48.7%	60.1%	38.9%
Philippines	Pandami	2017	52.1%	65.7%	38.1%
Philippines	Pandan	2000	69.3%	95.4%	40.2%
Philippines	Pandan	2000	67.2%	87.3%	41.6%
Philippines	Pandan	2017	90.0%	99.2%	71.0%
Philippines	Pandan	2017	84.9%	96.7%	55.9%
Philippines	Pandi	2000	86.4%	96.2%	74.9%
Philippines	Pandi	2017	97.1%	99.5%	91.9%
Philippines	Panganiban	2000	96.0%	99.9%	81.6%
Philippines	Panganiban	2017	97.3%	99.9%	87.3%
Philippines	Pangantucan	2000	63.1%	92.0%	27.9%
Philippines	Pangantucan	2017	70.3%	94.0%	37.8%
Philippines	Pangil	2000	71.9%	99.4%	20.4%
Philippines	Pangil	2017	87.6%	99.9%	41.0%
Philippines	Panglao	2000	71.1%	92.8%	38.5%
Philippines	Panglao	2017	92.2%	99.1%	71.1%
Philippines	Panglima Estino	2000	3.8%	35.1%	0.5%
Philippines	Panglima Estino	2017	4.1%	31.5%	0.6%
Philippines	Panglima Sugala	2000	57.4%	79.4%	31.2%
Philippines	Panglima Sugala	2017	62.5%	82.0%	41.5%
Philippines	Pangutaran	2000	79.1%	99.0%	36.7%
Philippines	Pangutaran	2017	86.1%	99.5%	42.0%
Philippines	Paniqui	2000	83.1%	92.5%	70.0%
Philippines	Paniqui	2017	94.7%	97.9%	88.0%
Philippines	Panitan	2000	92.4%	96.7%	85.8%
Philippines	Panitan	2017	97.1%	98.8%	94.3%
Philippines	Pantabangan	2000	75.0%	98.3%	32.6%
Philippines	Pantabangan	2017	85.3%	99.4%	52.8%
Philippines	Pantao Ragat	2000	34.3%	52.3%	21.6%
Philippines	Pantao Ragat	2017	62.6%	82.7%	45.2%
Philippines	Pantar	2000	39.4%	45.0%	34.3%
Philippines	Pantar	2017	63.2%	70.0%	56.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pantukan	2000	93.6%	99.3%	82.3%
Philippines	Pantukan	2017	95.9%	99.6%	86.5%
Philippines	Panukulan	2000	78.0%	99.4%	29.5%
Philippines	Panukulan	2017	86.0%	99.7%	43.9%
Philippines	Paoay	2000	98.4%	100.0%	91.7%
Philippines	Paoay	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.3%
Philippines	Paoay Lake	2000	99.3%	100.0%	94.0%
Philippines	Paoay Lake	2017	99.9%	100.0%	98.8%
Philippines	Paombong	2000	92.1%	99.9%	66.5%
Philippines	Paombong	2017	98.1%	100.0%	88.5%
Philippines	Paracale	2000	85.7%	98.9%	53.1%
Philippines	Paracale	2017	94.3%	99.5%	75.1%
Philippines	Paracelis	2000	60.7%	79.1%	38.2%
Philippines	Paracelis	2017	75.0%	89.6%	52.8%
Philippines	Parañaque	2000	90.9%	93.4%	88.2%
Philippines	Parañaque	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Philippines	Paranas	2000	65.2%	91.3%	24.4%
Philippines	Paranas	2017	81.3%	96.4%	42.0%
Philippines	Parang	2000	82.2%	91.4%	68.1%
Philippines	Parang	2000	55.1%	75.1%	28.6%
Philippines	Parang	2017	85.0%	92.5%	72.5%
Philippines	Parang	2017	65.7%	86.0%	34.8%
Philippines	Pasacao	2000	94.1%	98.4%	82.9%
Philippines	Pasacao	2017	97.1%	99.4%	90.9%
Philippines	Pasay City	2000	88.5%	92.6%	83.6%
Philippines	Pasay City	2017	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Philippines	Pasig City	2000	95.5%	98.0%	92.5%
Philippines	Pasig City	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Philippines	Pasil	2000	77.4%	99.7%	15.8%
Philippines	Pasil	2017	87.4%	99.9%	33.2%
Philippines	Passi City	2000	87.8%	98.6%	63.0%
Philippines	Passi City	2017	92.2%	99.5%	68.1%
Philippines	Pastrana	2000	85.5%	99.5%	52.4%
Philippines	Pastrana	2017	90.0%	99.9%	66.9%
Philippines	Pasuquin	2000	91.6%	99.9%	65.0%
Philippines	Pasuquin	2017	94.0%	100.0%	67.8%
Philippines	Pata	2000	46.7%	86.6%	3.0%
Philippines	Pata	2017	54.3%	86.0%	6.9%
Philippines	Pateros	2000	94.9%	97.8%	92.2%
Philippines	Pateros	2017	99.4%	99.7%	99.0%
Philippines	Patikul	2000	72.5%	86.1%	53.0%
Philippines	Patikul	2017	75.3%	89.3%	56.0%
Philippines	Patnanungan	2000	76.8%	99.6%	23.7%
Philippines	Patnanungan	2017	85.2%	99.8%	40.1%
Philippines	Patnongon	2000	69.2%	96.1%	30.1%
Philippines	Patnongon	2017	87.2%	99.2%	58.3%
Philippines	Pavia	2000	98.4%	99.9%	92.9%
Philippines	Pavia	2017	99.5%	100.0%	98.3%
Philippines	Payao	2000	87.2%	99.5%	52.8%
Philippines	Payao	2017	89.4%	99.6%	59.6%
Philippines	Peñablanca	2000	93.3%	98.9%	78.9%
Philippines	Peñablanca	2017	96.5%	99.4%	90.2%
Philippines	Peñaranda	2000	97.4%	100.0%	82.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Peñaranda	2017	99.4%	100.0%	95.7%
Philippines	Peñarrubia	2000	97.0%	98.6%	94.3%
Philippines	Peñarrubia	2017	98.5%	99.6%	96.8%
Philippines	Perez	2000	77.5%	100.0%	9.8%
Philippines	Perez	2017	86.3%	100.0%	21.7%
Philippines	Piagapo	2000	18.5%	26.0%	13.1%
Philippines	Piagapo	2017	43.7%	53.4%	33.2%
Philippines	Piat	2000	81.1%	99.9%	29.7%
Philippines	Piat	2017	83.8%	99.9%	37.6%
Philippines	Picong	2000	58.3%	94.6%	14.5%
Philippines	Picong	2017	66.8%	94.0%	27.9%
Philippines	Piddig	2000	90.6%	100.0%	50.2%
Philippines	Piddig	2017	94.1%	100.0%	59.8%
Philippines	Pidigan	2000	94.8%	99.4%	81.2%
Philippines	Pidigan	2017	97.0%	99.7%	90.9%
Philippines	Pigkawayan	2000	94.0%	99.5%	70.7%
Philippines	Pigkawayan	2017	94.1%	99.5%	72.0%
Philippines	Pikit	2000	63.7%	94.0%	20.6%
Philippines	Pikit	2017	71.4%	96.0%	29.0%
Philippines	Pila	2000	70.9%	99.9%	5.4%
Philippines	Pila	2017	82.5%	99.9%	18.5%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	92.5%	100.0%	63.3%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	96.8%	99.9%	85.0%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	76.6%	98.0%	30.9%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	81.1%	98.0%	43.2%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	82.0%	94.7%	66.3%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	74.2%	99.9%	16.2%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	79.8%	100.0%	26.9%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	88.0%	100.0%	42.8%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.1%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	97.2%	100.0%	80.2%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	84.5%	98.9%	43.7%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	88.0%	99.2%	57.0%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	88.8%	97.1%	76.7%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	83.4%	100.0%	30.2%
Philippines	Pili	2000	95.1%	99.1%	84.7%
Philippines	Pili	2017	96.6%	99.5%	89.1%
Philippines	Pililla	2000	95.9%	100.0%	74.3%
Philippines	Pililla	2017	98.8%	100.0%	92.5%
Philippines	Pinabacdao	2000	61.4%	90.8%	29.8%
Philippines	Pinabacdao	2017	85.8%	97.7%	61.9%
Philippines	Pinamalayan	2000	91.9%	99.2%	70.9%
Philippines	Pinamalayan	2017	97.8%	99.8%	92.6%
Philippines	Pinamungahan	2000	46.8%	83.6%	17.7%
Philippines	Pinamungahan	2017	54.1%	87.7%	26.4%
Philippines	Pinan	2000	92.1%	99.8%	72.3%
Philippines	Pinan	2017	95.4%	99.9%	80.3%
Philippines	Pinili	2000	84.1%	100.0%	22.7%
Philippines	Pinili	2017	90.1%	100.0%	40.0%
Philippines	Pintuyan	2000	81.8%	95.0%	51.5%
Philippines	Pintuyan	2017	87.5%	96.9%	61.6%
Philippines	Pinukpuk	2000	70.4%	89.1%	48.7%
Philippines	Pinukpuk	2017	84.5%	96.2%	63.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pio Duran	2000	82.6%	99.8%	23.6%
Philippines	Pio Duran	2017	87.4%	99.8%	36.8%
Philippines	Pio V. Corpuz	2000	63.9%	94.6%	19.3%
Philippines	Pio V. Corpuz	2017	79.1%	97.8%	34.7%
Philippines	Pitogo	2000	76.5%	99.8%	15.7%
Philippines	Pitogo	2000	91.0%	98.3%	77.2%
Philippines	Pitogo	2017	85.9%	100.0%	29.5%
Philippines	Pitogo	2017	92.4%	98.6%	80.9%
Philippines	Placer	2000	90.1%	95.6%	70.1%
Philippines	Placer	2000	60.3%	88.0%	19.6%
Philippines	Placer	2017	74.3%	93.9%	32.7%
Philippines	Placer	2017	97.1%	98.7%	92.6%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	97.2%	100.0%	79.7%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	75.0%	99.4%	17.4%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	98.7%	99.8%	95.8%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	98.7%	100.0%	88.9%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	88.0%	99.8%	33.2%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.5%
Philippines	Pola	2000	84.7%	99.8%	42.5%
Philippines	Pola	2017	92.6%	100.0%	61.5%
Philippines	Polanco	2000	95.2%	99.2%	86.5%
Philippines	Polanco	2017	98.0%	99.6%	94.3%
Philippines	Polangui	2000	86.1%	99.4%	50.5%
Philippines	Polangui	2017	93.7%	99.8%	78.0%
Philippines	Polillo	2000	76.6%	98.4%	32.9%
Philippines	Polillo	2017	85.5%	99.5%	42.5%
Philippines	Polomolok	2000	95.3%	99.9%	76.8%
Philippines	Polomolok	2017	97.3%	99.9%	87.9%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2000	90.8%	99.9%	59.2%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2000	61.7%	93.7%	12.0%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2017	91.3%	99.9%	62.5%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2017	79.4%	98.1%	34.7%
Philippines	Poona	2000	80.8%	100.0%	17.2%
Philippines	Bayabao				
Philippines	Poona	2017	89.9%	100.0%	36.1%
Philippines	Bayabao				
Philippines	Poona Pia-gapo	2000	69.2%	81.7%	50.0%
Philippines	Poona Pia-gapo	2017	83.5%	95.1%	64.1%
Philippines	Porac	2000	90.8%	99.7%	64.9%
Philippines	Porac	2017	96.6%	99.9%	80.8%
Philippines	Poroc	2000	77.3%	100.0%	22.2%
Philippines	Poroc	2017	86.9%	100.0%	39.4%
Philippines	Pototan	2000	97.1%	99.8%	86.8%
Philippines	Pototan	2017	98.5%	99.9%	91.9%
Philippines	Pozzorubio	2000	96.9%	100.0%	78.9%
Philippines	Pozzorubio	2017	98.9%	100.0%	92.7%
Philippines	Pres. Carlos P. Garcia	2000	85.4%	100.0%	36.6%
Philippines	Pres. Carlos P. Garcia	2017	93.2%	100.0%	58.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pres. Manuel A. Roxas	2000	64.3%	89.7%	36.7%
Philippines	Pres. Manuel A. Roxas	2017	62.5%	87.5%	36.9%
Philippines	Presentacion	2000	78.3%	99.8%	27.1%
Philippines	Presentacion	2017	84.4%	100.0%	40.3%
Philippines	President Quirino	2000	77.6%	99.9%	24.1%
Philippines	President Quirino	2017	84.7%	100.0%	40.1%
Philippines	President Roxas	2000	87.6%	98.3%	68.5%
Philippines	President Roxas	2000	78.6%	99.8%	18.6%
Philippines	President Roxas	2017	91.3%	99.0%	75.2%
Philippines	President Roxas	2017	80.3%	99.9%	18.4%
Philippines	Prieto Diaz	2000	70.4%	96.1%	31.0%
Philippines	Prieto Diaz	2017	89.7%	99.1%	59.8%
Philippines	Prosperidad	2000	84.4%	98.7%	45.9%
Philippines	Prosperidad	2017	89.8%	99.3%	56.6%
Philippines	Pualas	2000	69.3%	88.9%	36.7%
Philippines	Pualas	2017	62.8%	86.2%	30.1%
Philippines	Pudtol	2000	83.9%	93.4%	70.9%
Philippines	Pudtol	2017	86.1%	94.3%	72.9%
Philippines	Puerto Galera	2000	75.0%	98.1%	11.3%
Philippines	Puerto Galera	2017	84.4%	99.3%	23.1%
Philippines	Puerto Princesa City	2000	82.4%	88.0%	75.8%
Philippines	Puerto Princesa City	2017	92.9%	95.6%	89.4%
Philippines	Pugo	2000	92.3%	98.1%	72.3%
Philippines	Pugo	2017	96.3%	99.2%	78.8%
Philippines	Pulilan	2000	98.4%	99.6%	95.7%
Philippines	Pulilan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Philippines	Pulupandan	2000	93.3%	100.0%	52.7%
Philippines	Pulupandan	2017	97.5%	100.0%	80.5%
Philippines	Pura	2000	51.6%	80.0%	33.6%
Philippines	Pura	2017	88.1%	94.5%	81.3%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	77.4%	99.9%	21.5%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	89.7%	98.7%	72.3%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	91.7%	97.3%	79.6%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	91.7%	98.6%	79.2%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	80.1%	94.8%	59.7%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	86.4%	99.9%	36.4%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	84.8%	100.0%	38.0%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	88.1%	97.4%	74.7%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	94.7%	98.6%	88.3%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	94.9%	99.2%	87.1%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	92.4%	99.2%	80.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Quezon	2017	94.2%	100.0%	57.8%
Philippines	Quezon City	2000	92.6%	94.6%	90.3%
Philippines	Quezon City	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Philippines	Quinapondan	2000	83.5%	99.9%	30.3%
Philippines	Quinapondan	2017	92.0%	100.0%	48.9%
Philippines	Quirino	2000	95.9%	99.7%	80.8%
Philippines	Quirino	2000	69.4%	99.1%	18.6%
Philippines	Quirino	2017	97.1%	99.8%	88.5%
Philippines	Quirino	2017	77.1%	99.5%	26.4%
Philippines	Ragay	2000	76.5%	99.6%	29.1%
Philippines	Ragay	2017	85.8%	99.8%	43.9%
Philippines	Rajah Buayan	2000	20.5%	50.2%	3.4%
Philippines	Rajah Buayan	2017	49.9%	86.4%	12.6%
Philippines	Ramon	2000	92.2%	99.9%	62.9%
Philippines	Ramon	2017	94.9%	99.9%	70.9%
Philippines	Ramon	2000	54.3%	90.4%	17.8%
Philippines	Magsaysay Ramon	2017	57.5%	87.3%	25.4%
Philippines	Magsaysay Ramos	2000	76.8%	86.3%	64.7%
Philippines	Ramos	2017	93.0%	96.0%	89.0%
Philippines	Rapu-Rapu	2000	79.4%	98.3%	42.2%
Philippines	Rapu-Rapu	2017	86.0%	98.9%	58.9%
Philippines	Real	2000	77.3%	98.7%	37.4%
Philippines	Real	2017	85.5%	99.7%	51.1%
Philippines	Reina Mercedes	2000	93.0%	100.0%	56.7%
Philippines	Reina Mercedes	2017	95.5%	100.0%	61.4%
Philippines	Remedios T. Romualdez	2000	88.8%	94.9%	79.8%
Philippines	Remedios T. Romualdez	2017	95.2%	98.4%	89.4%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	77.6%	94.9%	52.2%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	85.4%	98.0%	55.5%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	94.8%	99.9%	79.8%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	33.5%	67.2%	18.4%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	51.4%	86.0%	24.1%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	82.1%	99.9%	32.2%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	70.8%	99.2%	23.4%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	95.3%	99.9%	80.7%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	90.1%	100.0%	40.9%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	84.9%	97.7%	60.4%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	73.2%	86.9%	59.6%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	78.2%	99.6%	34.1%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	69.6%	93.3%	48.1%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	92.4%	98.8%	72.2%
Philippines	Rodriguez	2000	97.6%	99.9%	90.8%
Philippines	Rodriguez	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.5%
Philippines	Romblon	2000	69.9%	96.2%	20.6%
Philippines	Romblon	2017	86.3%	99.3%	38.9%
Philippines	Ronda	2000	69.1%	99.7%	8.0%
Philippines	Ronda	2017	78.5%	99.9%	21.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Rosales	2000	97.8%	99.6%	92.2%
Philippines	Rosales	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.0%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	62.0%	81.7%	32.5%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	84.2%	96.4%	57.5%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	97.3%	99.9%	89.2%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	85.9%	99.9%	24.9%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	84.6%	99.9%	41.8%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	79.8%	93.9%	54.2%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	92.7%	99.3%	69.1%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	91.1%	100.0%	37.5%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	91.4%	100.0%	53.5%
Philippines	Roseller Lim	2000	81.2%	95.8%	63.0%
Philippines	Roseller Lim	2017	84.9%	97.1%	68.3%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	98.2%	99.0%	97.1%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	75.8%	93.8%	52.8%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	90.4%	99.1%	73.1%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	85.8%	97.5%	67.0%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	98.9%	99.4%	98.1%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	96.6%	99.8%	85.1%
Philippines	Roxas City	2000	98.9%	100.0%	93.5%
Philippines	Roxas City	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.4%
Philippines	Sabangan	2000	72.1%	98.0%	29.1%
Philippines	Sabangan	2017	86.4%	99.1%	58.5%
Philippines	Sablan	2000	84.9%	95.9%	66.3%
Philippines	Sablan	2017	93.5%	99.0%	80.5%
Philippines	Sablayan	2000	63.2%	81.9%	43.5%
Philippines	Sablayan	2017	78.3%	92.6%	59.8%
Philippines	Sabtang	2000	69.0%	99.9%	15.3%
Philippines	Sabtang	2017	81.6%	100.0%	35.8%
Philippines	Sadanga	2000	58.5%	97.5%	7.9%
Philippines	Sadanga	2017	72.9%	99.0%	18.4%
Philippines	Sagada	2000	90.6%	99.3%	71.0%
Philippines	Sagada	2017	97.8%	99.9%	91.4%
Philippines	Sagay	2000	68.2%	85.5%	49.1%
Philippines	Sagay	2017	93.7%	97.6%	86.1%
Philippines	Sagay City	2000	38.4%	60.2%	18.2%
Philippines	Sagay City	2017	67.6%	86.3%	42.6%
Philippines	Sagbayan	2000	68.2%	96.9%	14.5%
Philippines	Sagbayan	2017	83.8%	99.3%	28.7%
Philippines	Sagnay	2000	76.4%	99.6%	21.5%
Philippines	Sagnay	2017	84.3%	99.8%	33.8%
Philippines	Saguday	2000	99.3%	100.0%	93.0%
Philippines	Saguday	2017	99.6%	100.0%	96.5%
Philippines	Saguiaran	2000	63.4%	84.2%	36.5%
Philippines	Saguiaran	2017	56.2%	80.4%	30.4%
Philippines	Saint Bernard	2000	94.1%	99.8%	72.2%
Philippines	Saint Bernard	2017	96.5%	99.9%	80.8%
Philippines	Salay	2000	80.6%	98.4%	49.5%
Philippines	Salay	2017	95.1%	99.7%	79.9%
Philippines	Salcedo	2000	74.1%	94.1%	48.7%
Philippines	Salcedo	2000	75.7%	98.1%	35.1%
Philippines	Salcedo	2017	87.5%	99.6%	51.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Salcedo	2017	93.4%	98.9%	78.6%
Philippines	Sallapadan	2000	93.4%	97.9%	82.8%
Philippines	Sallapadan	2017	98.0%	99.4%	94.6%
Philippines	Salug	2000	80.9%	98.0%	44.3%
Philippines	Salug	2017	86.9%	99.0%	54.6%
Philippines	Salvador	2000	50.9%	88.5%	18.0%
Philippines	Salvador	2017	61.8%	92.0%	28.7%
Philippines	Salvador	2000	57.7%	86.7%	16.1%
Philippines	Benedicto				
Philippines	Salvador	2017	66.1%	87.5%	25.9%
Philippines	Benedicto				
Philippines	Samal	2000	43.5%	78.0%	20.8%
Philippines	Samal	2017	73.9%	93.8%	50.8%
Philippines	Samal City	2000	43.0%	71.1%	21.3%
Philippines	Samal City	2017	55.9%	82.4%	29.5%
Philippines	Samboan	2000	77.7%	99.9%	11.0%
Philippines	Samboan	2017	87.8%	100.0%	35.5%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2000	93.9%	99.9%	77.4%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2017	97.7%	100.0%	89.4%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.5%
Philippines	Lake				
Philippines	Sampaloc	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Philippines	Lake				
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	67.5%	94.0%	15.0%
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	59.5%	91.5%	15.5%
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	90.2%	99.8%	61.4%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	77.9%	96.6%	38.0%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	82.0%	97.7%	33.1%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	93.9%	99.9%	77.6%
Philippines	San Andres	2000	75.6%	99.4%	28.5%
Philippines	San Andres	2000	76.4%	96.6%	30.2%
Philippines	San Andres	2000	97.0%	99.2%	93.7%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	97.6%	99.6%	94.6%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	89.6%	99.2%	46.0%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	83.7%	99.8%	43.4%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	50.5%	98.3%	1.8%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	96.6%	100.0%	72.6%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	80.9%	99.7%	23.4%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	84.3%	99.3%	54.4%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	67.9%	99.8%	5.9%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.2%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	93.0%	99.9%	72.2%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	90.8%	99.9%	45.2%
Philippines	San Benito	2000	81.7%	99.9%	20.6%
Philippines	San Benito	2017	89.4%	100.0%	34.6%
Philippines	San Carlos	2000	91.3%	99.7%	52.9%
Philippines	City				
Philippines	San Carlos	2000	68.0%	94.8%	26.4%
Philippines	City				
Philippines	San Carlos	2017	76.5%	95.8%	36.5%
Philippines	City				
Philippines	San Carlos	2017	94.3%	99.9%	64.6%
Philippines	City				

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Clemente	2000	84.0%	96.4%	66.6%
Philippines	San Clemente	2017	94.6%	99.0%	85.3%
Philippines	San Dionisio	2000	70.1%	99.4%	17.7%
Philippines	San Dionisio	2017	81.3%	99.9%	31.7%
Philippines	San Emilio	2000	93.6%	99.9%	73.2%
Philippines	San Emilio	2017	97.1%	100.0%	86.6%
Philippines	San Enrique	2000	71.6%	99.7%	19.1%
Philippines	San Enrique	2000	87.0%	100.0%	40.1%
Philippines	San Enrique	2017	84.7%	99.9%	36.5%
Philippines	San Enrique	2017	92.7%	100.0%	64.2%
Philippines	San Esteban	2000	90.3%	100.0%	38.0%
Philippines	San Esteban	2017	95.3%	100.0%	64.3%
Philippines	San Fabian	2000	93.1%	99.7%	72.5%
Philippines	San Fabian	2017	96.7%	99.9%	85.4%
Philippines	San Felipe	2000	94.0%	99.3%	80.8%
Philippines	San Felipe	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.9%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	94.1%	99.7%	75.4%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	72.3%	99.9%	9.9%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	59.4%	97.4%	12.1%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	87.7%	98.9%	66.0%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	72.9%	97.5%	31.7%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	80.8%	100.0%	19.5%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	97.7%	99.9%	86.6%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	94.3%	99.7%	77.3%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	69.1%	98.4%	19.5%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	81.7%	98.4%	46.4%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	89.8%	95.2%	78.7%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2000	99.4%	99.9%	97.1%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2017	98.5%	99.3%	96.6%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	91.2%	99.4%	65.7%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	87.7%	100.0%	46.4%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	76.3%	98.0%	32.2%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	77.5%	99.9%	19.1%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	81.0%	99.9%	23.9%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	95.0%	99.8%	78.8%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	83.8%	99.9%	30.6%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	88.5%	100.0%	43.2%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	94.2%	100.0%	62.7%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	84.3%	99.0%	43.0%
Philippines	San Gabriel	2000	87.7%	99.9%	53.1%
Philippines	San Gabriel	2017	91.5%	99.9%	62.0%
Philippines	San Guillermo	2000	78.8%	99.4%	24.4%
Philippines	San Guillermo	2017	85.0%	99.7%	40.9%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2000	98.8%	100.0%	89.9%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2000	79.2%	99.9%	22.2%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2017	88.1%	100.0%	43.9%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2017	99.7%	100.0%	97.8%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	73.5%	99.7%	17.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	89.2%	99.7%	59.1%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	38.5%	63.4%	17.2%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	68.4%	99.0%	16.1%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	98.2%	100.0%	91.4%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	67.3%	96.8%	18.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	88.2%	99.9%	38.7%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	78.0%	98.3%	37.4%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	87.1%	100.0%	44.1%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	72.4%	90.5%	47.9%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	94.1%	100.0%	63.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	84.6%	99.2%	45.9%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	92.7%	99.8%	70.7%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	69.7%	99.1%	19.8%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	82.1%	99.9%	33.1%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	92.8%	99.9%	59.7%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	80.8%	99.3%	37.8%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2000	57.3%	94.3%	5.8%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2000	98.6%	99.7%	95.7%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2017	71.5%	97.3%	14.3%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.3%
Philippines	San Joaquin	2000	87.1%	99.7%	55.8%
Philippines	San Joaquin	2017	93.4%	99.9%	74.6%
Philippines	San Jorge	2000	72.5%	98.8%	23.7%
Philippines	San Jorge	2017	81.2%	99.7%	38.7%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	69.3%	98.1%	14.3%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	87.9%	100.0%	37.6%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	81.9%	100.0%	17.0%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	96.8%	100.0%	81.7%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	69.8%	97.7%	16.7%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	81.2%	99.6%	34.8%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	90.1%	100.0%	36.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	83.7%	96.7%	66.3%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	76.0%	88.3%	60.5%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	92.9%	100.0%	48.6%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.2%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	80.5%	99.5%	26.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	92.5%	100.0%	54.4%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	89.4%	99.8%	58.1%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	90.2%	100.0%	38.8%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	84.0%	99.5%	31.1%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	97.2%	99.4%	92.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	88.3%	95.1%	79.4%
Philippines	San Jose City	2000	93.0%	99.9%	73.7%
Philippines	San Jose City	2017	98.0%	100.0%	91.3%
Philippines	San Jose de Buan	2000	77.8%	99.6%	27.5%
Philippines	San Jose de Buan	2017	84.8%	99.8%	39.7%
Philippines	San Jose del Monte City	2000	95.8%	99.5%	89.8%
Philippines	San Jose del Monte City	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Juan	2000	97.3%	100.0%	81.5%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	85.7%	94.1%	74.7%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	96.6%	98.5%	94.6%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	97.6%	100.0%	80.8%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	97.8%	99.9%	87.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	74.8%	100.0%	5.1%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	89.3%	99.9%	47.2%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	96.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	99.3%	100.0%	95.2%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.3%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	97.0%	98.6%	93.5%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	81.2%	100.0%	13.6%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	95.6%	100.0%	69.8%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.1%
Philippines	San Julian	2000	71.9%	99.7%	16.1%
Philippines	San Julian	2017	81.3%	99.9%	30.4%
Philippines	San Leonardo	2000	94.9%	100.0%	73.7%
Philippines	San Leonardo	2017	98.7%	100.0%	91.1%
Philippines	San Lorenzo	2000	81.8%	91.4%	62.0%
Philippines	San Lorenzo	2017	94.5%	97.9%	84.9%
Philippines	San Lorenzo Ruiz	2000	89.8%	98.5%	73.8%
Philippines	San Lorenzo Ruiz	2017	93.9%	99.5%	77.0%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	81.2%	100.0%	26.1%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	37.5%	77.7%	12.8%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	86.5%	95.1%	71.5%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	90.7%	98.7%	74.2%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	63.1%	91.3%	31.5%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	90.7%	97.5%	80.5%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	91.6%	100.0%	51.3%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	95.0%	99.6%	84.9%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	94.8%	99.8%	67.1%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	87.2%	96.9%	72.6%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	97.9%	99.3%	94.2%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.0%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	90.3%	98.5%	78.5%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	97.8%	99.9%	87.6%
Philippines	San Marcelino	2000	71.1%	94.7%	26.8%
Philippines	San Marcelino	2017	85.8%	98.3%	49.6%
Philippines	San Mariano	2000	76.3%	97.4%	35.1%
Philippines	San Mariano	2017	84.1%	98.7%	45.3%
Philippines	San Mateo	2000	99.5%	100.0%	97.3%
Philippines	San Mateo	2000	96.1%	99.9%	84.5%
Philippines	San Mateo	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Philippines	San Mateo	2017	97.7%	99.9%	89.8%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	83.0%	99.9%	26.8%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	94.3%	99.9%	76.1%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	95.1%	100.0%	78.2%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	86.0%	99.5%	49.2%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	75.3%	99.7%	20.1%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	86.0%	99.9%	39.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	98.1%	100.0%	86.1%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	95.9%	99.9%	82.4%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	90.0%	99.8%	57.5%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	89.4%	100.0%	51.6%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	83.7%	99.8%	36.8%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	92.8%	100.0%	62.9%
Philippines	San Narciso	2000	81.0%	99.6%	32.6%
Philippines	San Narciso	2000	62.0%	87.7%	19.6%
Philippines	San Narciso	2017	92.1%	99.9%	60.1%
Philippines	San Narciso	2017	75.5%	93.3%	36.2%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	79.9%	99.6%	40.5%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	97.3%	100.0%	70.1%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	72.6%	97.0%	44.0%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	98.8%	100.0%	86.4%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	89.6%	99.7%	62.5%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	92.5%	99.3%	75.6%
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	79.7%	99.9%	22.7%
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	86.4%	98.4%	56.0%
Philippines	San Pablo	2017	87.5%	100.0%	38.9%
Philippines	San Pablo	2017	92.7%	99.2%	74.1%
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	95.3%	98.6%	90.2%
Philippines	San Pablo City	2017	96.7%	99.1%	92.4%
Philippines	San Pascual	2000	96.4%	100.0%	83.9%
Philippines	San Pascual	2000	63.8%	90.6%	24.0%
Philippines	San Pascual	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.1%
Philippines	San Pascual	2017	77.8%	96.2%	39.2%
Philippines	San Pedro	2000	99.2%	99.9%	96.5%
Philippines	San Pedro	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Philippines	San Policarpo	2000	80.0%	99.3%	19.6%
Philippines	San Policarpo	2017	86.8%	99.7%	31.5%
Philippines	San Quintin	2000	95.5%	100.0%	81.1%
Philippines	San Quintin	2000	83.1%	99.8%	33.0%
Philippines	San Quintin	2017	98.0%	100.0%	92.1%
Philippines	San Quintin	2017	91.1%	99.9%	52.5%
Philippines	San Rafael	2000	95.6%	99.9%	79.8%
Philippines	San Rafael	2000	80.2%	99.0%	44.0%
Philippines	San Rafael	2017	97.3%	99.9%	84.9%
Philippines	San Rafael	2017	90.5%	99.8%	68.9%
Philippines	San Remigio	2000	71.1%	89.6%	45.1%
Philippines	San Remigio	2000	61.3%	83.3%	41.9%
Philippines	San Remigio	2017	86.6%	96.9%	66.8%
Philippines	San Remigio	2017	79.1%	90.5%	63.6%
Philippines	San Ricardo	2000	75.8%	92.9%	47.9%
Philippines	San Ricardo	2017	85.5%	97.2%	59.2%
Philippines	San Roque	2000	74.5%	99.7%	16.0%
Philippines	San Roque	2017	82.8%	99.9%	31.0%
Philippines	San Sebastian	2000	41.3%	78.7%	12.7%
Philippines	San Sebastian	2017	75.0%	96.4%	40.3%
Philippines	San Simon	2000	49.1%	65.5%	36.7%
Philippines	San Simon	2017	80.3%	90.9%	67.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Teodoro	2000	85.8%	96.3%	69.0%
Philippines	San Teodoro	2017	91.9%	98.4%	79.4%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	79.7%	95.1%	59.2%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	95.7%	100.0%	74.3%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	98.8%	100.0%	90.8%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	74.7%	99.8%	25.5%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	86.4%	97.2%	69.8%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	99.2%	100.0%	92.7%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	97.8%	100.0%	84.5%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	83.1%	99.9%	43.6%
Philippines	Sanchez-Mira	2000	96.3%	99.9%	82.0%
Philippines	Sanchez-Mira	2017	97.9%	100.0%	89.8%
Philippines	Santa	2000	96.3%	100.0%	73.5%
Philippines	Santa	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.7%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2000	79.1%	99.4%	25.3%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2000	52.8%	97.7%	9.3%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2017	70.8%	99.3%	23.4%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2017	86.3%	99.7%	35.2%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2000	95.7%	99.5%	77.5%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2000	95.3%	100.0%	72.4%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2017	97.9%	99.8%	90.0%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2017	97.7%	100.0%	82.7%
Philippines	Santa	2000	98.7%	100.0%	91.5%
Philippines	Catalina				
Philippines	Santa	2000	71.0%	96.9%	26.8%
Philippines	Catalina				
Philippines	Santa	2017	81.1%	98.5%	43.5%
Philippines	Catalina				
Philippines	Santa	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.4%
Philippines	Catalina				
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	93.7%	99.9%	74.3%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	72.1%	99.9%	13.3%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	94.0%	99.4%	80.5%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	89.3%	96.8%	71.9%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	60.7%	85.2%	23.2%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	70.9%	96.8%	38.0%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	97.0%	99.8%	89.1%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	86.5%	100.0%	29.5%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	79.2%	94.6%	41.8%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	97.8%	100.0%	87.5%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	88.1%	98.9%	62.4%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	92.8%	98.7%	78.9%
Philippines	Santa Elena	2000	63.9%	96.5%	12.2%
Philippines	Santa Elena	2017	64.6%	95.7%	17.7%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	76.7%	99.2%	25.7%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	74.9%	98.2%	28.7%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	75.2%	99.9%	27.0%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	56.6%	83.9%	30.7%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	84.0%	100.0%	33.4%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	85.9%	99.7%	47.1%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	84.4%	99.1%	41.0%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	78.6%	95.1%	57.1%
Philippines	Santa Ignacia	2000	83.1%	99.8%	34.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Santa Ignacia	2017	90.5%	100.0%	49.9%
Philippines	Santa Josefa	2000	84.5%	96.7%	53.5%
Philippines	Santa Josefa	2017	93.0%	98.7%	73.6%
Philippines	Santa Lucia	2000	94.2%	99.1%	74.3%
Philippines	Santa Lucia	2017	98.9%	99.8%	93.6%
Philippines	Santa Magdalena	2000	70.9%	84.4%	57.1%
Philippines	Santa Magdalena	2017	90.7%	94.8%	85.9%
Philippines	Santa Marcela	2000	89.0%	99.7%	46.8%
Philippines	Santa Marcela	2017	90.3%	99.7%	51.8%
Philippines	Santa Margarita	2000	46.8%	86.3%	5.2%
Philippines	Santa Margarita	2017	65.3%	94.9%	17.3%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	86.1%	98.5%	61.3%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	94.8%	98.8%	87.7%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	70.0%	99.8%	9.2%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	82.6%	98.5%	53.6%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	99.3%	100.0%	96.0%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	94.0%	100.0%	61.6%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	94.0%	99.9%	75.6%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	87.3%	98.9%	62.9%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	81.3%	100.0%	20.6%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	96.5%	100.0%	70.8%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.9%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	91.7%	99.3%	76.3%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	96.1%	99.9%	82.8%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.6%
Philippines	Santa Monica	2000	81.4%	100.0%	19.4%
Philippines	Santa Monica	2017	89.3%	100.0%	31.0%
Philippines	Santa Praxedes	2000	88.7%	100.0%	37.7%
Philippines	Santa Praxedes	2017	91.7%	100.0%	45.7%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2000	62.2%	95.0%	17.8%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2000	92.4%	100.0%	50.8%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2017	98.6%	100.0%	88.7%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2017	77.5%	98.8%	32.7%
Philippines	Santa Rosa	2000	73.3%	94.5%	31.5%
Philippines	Santa Rosa	2017	87.9%	98.8%	55.6%
Philippines	Santa Rosa City	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.8%
Philippines	Santa Rosa City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2000	87.0%	100.0%	33.6%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2000	80.5%	100.0%	18.0%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2017	95.2%	100.0%	65.2%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2017	87.8%	100.0%	35.6%
Philippines	Santander	2000	84.7%	100.0%	25.3%
Philippines	Santander	2017	92.9%	100.0%	49.5%
Philippines	Santiago	2000	93.6%	100.0%	67.3%
Philippines	Santiago	2000	90.2%	99.1%	56.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Santiago	2017	98.1%	100.0%	86.5%
Philippines	Santiago	2017	96.0%	99.8%	84.2%
Philippines	Santiago City	2000	96.6%	99.3%	89.3%
Philippines	Santiago City	2017	97.6%	99.5%	92.6%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	95.8%	98.4%	89.0%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	97.3%	100.0%	80.4%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	91.1%	100.0%	63.0%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	98.6%	99.4%	97.2%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	98.0%	100.0%	88.9%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.3%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2000	91.3%	99.8%	55.4%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2000	74.7%	100.0%	13.5%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2017	94.0%	99.9%	64.1%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2017	82.8%	100.0%	23.3%
Philippines	Santo Niño	2000	93.2%	99.6%	74.9%
Philippines	Santo Niño	2017	94.2%	99.8%	77.9%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	87.9%	99.2%	52.6%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	89.1%	94.9%	82.5%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	83.6%	100.0%	22.6%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	93.7%	100.0%	65.0%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	78.2%	99.1%	29.4%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	99.3%	100.0%	94.4%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	98.4%	99.2%	97.3%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.9%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	86.8%	99.7%	47.3%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	92.5%	99.7%	58.9%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	89.5%	100.0%	41.7%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	97.5%	100.0%	87.0%
Philippines	Santol	2000	91.7%	100.0%	54.6%
Philippines	Santol	2017	95.5%	100.0%	73.7%
Philippines	Sapa-Sapa	2000	68.8%	96.9%	28.8%
Philippines	Sapa-Sapa	2017	77.3%	97.9%	34.3%
Philippines	Sapad	2000	78.4%	92.2%	61.7%
Philippines	Sapad	2017	88.1%	96.6%	72.3%
Philippines	Sapang Dalaga	2000	94.9%	99.9%	69.9%
Philippines	Sapang Dalaga	2017	97.1%	99.9%	81.5%
Philippines	Sapi-An	2000	68.5%	91.7%	35.0%
Philippines	Sapi-An	2017	86.4%	96.5%	65.0%
Philippines	Sara	2000	79.6%	99.3%	33.8%
Philippines	Sara	2017	86.3%	99.6%	42.0%
Philippines	Sarangani	2000	73.9%	96.8%	35.2%
Philippines	Sarangani	2017	81.2%	97.0%	48.1%
Philippines	Sariaya	2000	65.7%	81.3%	50.0%
Philippines	Sariaya	2017	90.2%	95.5%	82.7%
Philippines	Sarrat	2000	97.6%	100.0%	82.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Sarrat	2017	99.2%	100.0%	94.3%
Philippines	Sasmuan	2000	94.8%	99.9%	85.2%
Philippines	Sasmuan	2017	98.0%	100.0%	89.9%
Philippines	Sebaste	2000	71.1%	98.4%	22.4%
Philippines	Sebaste	2017	81.8%	99.1%	39.6%
Philippines	Sen. Ninoy Aquino	2000	84.2%	95.8%	62.1%
Philippines	Sen. Ninoy Aquino	2017	87.5%	97.5%	68.0%
Philippines	Sergio Osmena Sr.	2000	76.1%	95.9%	41.7%
Philippines	Sergio Osmena Sr.	2017	81.3%	96.5%	49.8%
Philippines	Sevilla	2000	79.5%	99.9%	25.9%
Philippines	Sevilla	2017	91.2%	100.0%	55.7%
Philippines	Shariff Aguak	2000	74.1%	95.4%	47.6%
Philippines	Shariff Aguak	2017	84.2%	98.6%	55.7%
Philippines	Siasi	2000	34.4%	64.5%	9.8%
Philippines	Siasi	2017	39.0%	63.3%	13.2%
Philippines	Siaton	2000	51.2%	70.9%	35.1%
Philippines	Siaton	2017	71.3%	83.5%	57.8%
Philippines	Siay	2000	82.0%	99.9%	30.8%
Philippines	Siay	2017	87.9%	100.0%	38.9%
Philippines	Siayan	2000	75.5%	96.1%	39.6%
Philippines	Siayan	2017	82.6%	97.1%	56.1%
Philippines	Sibagat	2000	72.0%	96.0%	33.3%
Philippines	Sibagat	2017	82.1%	97.5%	43.1%
Philippines	Sibalom	2000	66.6%	83.7%	51.1%
Philippines	Sibalom	2017	88.6%	96.1%	75.0%
Philippines	Sibonga	2000	82.6%	99.8%	26.6%
Philippines	Sibonga	2017	89.9%	99.9%	37.9%
Philippines	Sibuco	2000	76.7%	95.4%	46.7%
Philippines	Sibuco	2017	83.8%	96.9%	57.7%
Philippines	Sibulan	2000	91.2%	99.6%	58.8%
Philippines	Sibulan	2017	97.2%	99.9%	83.1%
Philippines	Sibunag	2000	77.8%	91.1%	58.2%
Philippines	Sibunag	2017	83.5%	94.2%	65.8%
Philippines	Sibutad	2000	50.0%	77.9%	21.1%
Philippines	Sibutad	2017	71.1%	91.5%	42.6%
Philippines	Sibutu	2000	23.6%	42.6%	6.5%
Philippines	Sibutu	2017	35.2%	54.0%	16.0%
Philippines	Sierra Bulones	2000	79.0%	100.0%	18.1%
Philippines	Sierra Bulones	2017	87.0%	100.0%	39.5%
Philippines	Sigay	2000	74.2%	99.6%	14.3%
Philippines	Sigay	2017	85.3%	99.9%	39.0%
Philippines	Sigma	2000	91.3%	97.1%	81.2%
Philippines	Sigma	2017	96.7%	98.9%	92.7%
Philippines	Sikatuna	2000	94.1%	100.0%	61.6%
Philippines	Sikatuna	2017	98.7%	100.0%	90.3%
Philippines	Silago	2000	91.7%	99.9%	61.5%
Philippines	Silago	2017	94.8%	100.0%	73.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Silang	2000	84.3%	95.4%	65.7%
Philippines	Silang	2017	94.9%	98.8%	82.9%
Philippines	Silay City	2000	76.2%	94.4%	53.1%
Philippines	Silay City	2017	92.5%	98.6%	80.8%
Philippines	Silvino Lobos	2000	64.2%	97.2%	17.5%
Philippines	Silvino Lobos	2017	69.6%	97.3%	22.2%
Philippines	Simunul	2000	32.9%	65.6%	7.9%
Philippines	Simunul	2017	44.5%	76.1%	20.4%
Philippines	Sinacaban	2000	79.0%	83.9%	71.7%
Philippines	Sinacaban	2017	79.7%	84.1%	76.5%
Philippines	Sinait	2000	96.1%	99.8%	83.8%
Philippines	Sinait	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.0%
Philippines	Sindangan	2000	75.8%	90.2%	53.6%
Philippines	Sindangan	2017	80.3%	91.4%	60.1%
Philippines	Siniloan	2000	86.1%	99.3%	57.0%
Philippines	Siniloan	2017	93.5%	99.9%	69.3%
Philippines	Siocon	2000	78.7%	98.4%	39.3%
Philippines	Siocon	2017	87.2%	99.0%	55.2%
Philippines	Sipalay City	2000	72.1%	93.0%	47.8%
Philippines	Sipalay City	2017	84.7%	96.4%	65.4%
Philippines	Sipocot	2000	82.8%	96.5%	61.5%
Philippines	Sipocot	2017	86.9%	98.0%	67.5%
Philippines	Siquijor	2000	95.2%	97.2%	92.4%
Philippines	Siquijor	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.6%
Philippines	Sirawai	2000	75.8%	99.5%	16.1%
Philippines	Sirawai	2017	84.4%	99.8%	26.3%
Philippines	Siruma	2000	77.4%	99.9%	25.3%
Philippines	Siruma	2017	85.2%	100.0%	41.6%
Philippines	Sison	2000	89.6%	99.3%	71.1%
Philippines	Sison	2000	88.4%	99.9%	43.5%
Philippines	Sison	2017	97.1%	99.9%	90.0%
Philippines	Sison	2017	93.3%	100.0%	57.1%
Philippines	Sitangkai	2000	46.2%	81.6%	6.6%
Philippines	Sitangkai	2017	54.6%	82.6%	11.9%
Philippines	Socorro	2000	88.9%	99.9%	45.6%
Philippines	Socorro	2000	79.5%	99.9%	19.0%
Philippines	Socorro	2017	86.5%	99.9%	26.6%
Philippines	Socorro	2017	95.1%	100.0%	68.4%
Philippines	Sofronio Espanola	2000	70.5%	95.8%	34.9%
Philippines	Sofronio Espanola	2017	80.3%	97.2%	48.1%
Philippines	Sogod	2000	91.3%	98.2%	72.6%
Philippines	Sogod	2000	73.8%	99.9%	13.0%
Philippines	Sogod	2017	82.4%	100.0%	29.4%
Philippines	Sogod	2017	94.8%	98.9%	77.6%
Philippines	Solana	2000	89.4%	99.9%	56.7%
Philippines	Solana	2017	93.9%	100.0%	69.6%
Philippines	Solano	2000	97.9%	99.5%	94.2%
Philippines	Solano	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.7%
Philippines	Solsona	2000	88.5%	100.0%	45.0%
Philippines	Solsona	2017	93.9%	100.0%	62.0%
Philippines	Sominot	2000	81.1%	99.2%	36.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Sominot	2017	82.5%	99.0%	44.1%
Philippines	Sorsogon City	2000	84.4%	89.5%	78.0%
Philippines	Sorsogon City	2017	94.4%	96.8%	91.1%
Philippines	South Ubian	2000	80.3%	99.7%	25.4%
Philippines	South Ubian	2017	86.2%	99.9%	36.6%
Philippines	South Upi	2000	44.0%	77.7%	13.3%
Philippines	South Upi	2017	46.9%	76.0%	17.3%
Philippines	Sual	2000	86.8%	99.9%	43.3%
Philippines	Sual	2017	93.1%	100.0%	60.9%
Philippines	Subic	2000	95.5%	99.5%	87.1%
Philippines	Subic	2017	98.9%	99.9%	96.5%
Philippines	Sudipen	2000	97.2%	100.0%	80.0%
Philippines	Sudipen	2017	99.2%	100.0%	93.7%
Philippines	Sugbongcogon	2000	89.5%	99.9%	48.7%
Philippines	Sugbongcogon	2017	95.3%	100.0%	76.5%
Philippines	Sugpon	2000	85.7%	99.9%	52.1%
Philippines	Sugpon	2017	90.9%	100.0%	63.3%
Philippines	Sulat	2000	70.3%	98.5%	27.0%
Philippines	Sulat	2017	83.1%	99.5%	44.5%
Philippines	Sulop	2000	92.8%	99.9%	61.6%
Philippines	Sulop	2017	97.3%	100.0%	82.0%
Philippines	Sultan Dumalondong	2000	54.1%	85.8%	17.1%
Philippines	Sultan Dumalondong	2017	66.2%	90.5%	26.7%
Philippines	Sultan Kudarat	2000	80.1%	88.3%	70.3%
Philippines	Sultan Kudarat	2017	94.6%	97.2%	89.0%
Philippines	Sultan Mاستura	2000	64.5%	88.4%	32.7%
Philippines	Sultan Mاستura	2017	78.2%	92.8%	45.5%
Philippines	Sultan Naga Dimaporo	2000	71.3%	91.7%	42.5%
Philippines	Sultan Naga Dimaporo	2017	84.4%	96.2%	64.5%
Philippines	Sultan Sa Barongis	2000	45.0%	91.1%	3.0%
Philippines	Sultan Sa Barongis	2017	59.8%	97.9%	8.5%
Philippines	Sumilao	2000	68.0%	95.8%	28.1%
Philippines	Sumilao	2017	81.7%	97.9%	43.1%
Philippines	Sumisip	2000	32.4%	56.7%	6.8%
Philippines	Sumisip	2017	42.5%	65.1%	16.5%
Philippines	Surallah	2000	83.8%	96.0%	52.6%
Philippines	Surallah	2017	87.5%	96.5%	63.1%
Philippines	Surigao City	2000	95.8%	99.5%	87.6%
Philippines	Surigao City	2017	98.4%	99.9%	95.1%
Philippines	Suyo	2000	84.4%	99.8%	35.7%
Philippines	Suyo	2017	92.1%	99.9%	51.9%
Philippines	T'Boli	2000	70.8%	81.6%	54.0%
Philippines	T'Boli	2017	74.4%	84.9%	58.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Taal	2000	63.7%	96.6%	23.2%
Philippines	Taal	2017	88.1%	99.0%	60.9%
Philippines	Taal lake	2000	91.9%	98.4%	78.9%
Philippines	Taal lake	2017	98.0%	99.7%	91.5%
Philippines	Tabaco City	2000	80.4%	96.4%	54.7%
Philippines	Tabaco City	2017	91.8%	98.8%	77.6%
Philippines	Tabango	2000	73.9%	99.5%	16.7%
Philippines	Tabango	2017	83.7%	99.7%	33.3%
Philippines	Tabina	2000	84.0%	99.8%	42.3%
Philippines	Tabina	2017	89.5%	99.9%	53.4%
Philippines	Tabogon	2000	78.9%	99.8%	26.8%
Philippines	Tabogon	2017	86.6%	99.9%	46.6%
Philippines	Tabontabon	2000	94.9%	98.7%	88.0%
Philippines	Tabontabon	2017	97.9%	99.4%	95.0%
Philippines	Tabuelan	2000	63.5%	96.3%	13.9%
Philippines	Tabuelan	2017	66.8%	95.4%	21.9%
Philippines	Tabuk City	2000	68.2%	79.9%	52.9%
Philippines	Tabuk City	2017	85.7%	92.5%	73.5%
Philippines	Tacloban City	2000	58.2%	66.0%	50.2%
Philippines	Tacloban City	2017	91.1%	93.2%	88.5%
Philippines	Tacurong City	2000	89.0%	99.2%	70.1%
Philippines	Tacurong City	2017	92.2%	99.5%	75.6%
Philippines	Tadian	2000	79.1%	94.9%	53.0%
Philippines	Tadian	2017	72.4%	96.0%	40.2%
Philippines	Taft	2000	70.8%	99.2%	13.6%
Philippines	Taft	2017	81.8%	99.7%	22.1%
Philippines	Tagana-An	2000	76.3%	93.3%	55.1%
Philippines	Tagana-An	2017	88.7%	98.0%	72.2%
Philippines	Tagapul-An	2000	76.7%	100.0%	11.7%
Philippines	Tagapul-An	2017	84.4%	100.0%	21.3%
Philippines	Tagaytay City	2000	85.7%	98.9%	62.6%
Philippines	Tagaytay City	2017	95.5%	99.8%	82.5%
Philippines	Tagbilaran City	2000	76.7%	97.9%	49.5%
Philippines	Tagbilaran City	2017	93.7%	99.5%	81.5%
Philippines	Tagbina	2000	68.1%	94.0%	30.5%
Philippines	Tagbina	2017	82.0%	96.6%	48.0%
Philippines	Tagkawayan	2000	92.7%	98.4%	70.9%
Philippines	Tagkawayan	2017	96.0%	99.4%	77.4%
Philippines	Tago	2000	54.9%	83.0%	24.9%
Philippines	Tago	2017	69.6%	92.4%	43.3%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2000	43.1%	46.7%	38.7%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2000	95.7%	99.8%	85.4%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.6%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2017	73.4%	79.2%	67.3%
Philippines	Tagoloan II	2000	68.4%	96.0%	22.7%
Philippines	Tagoloan II	2017	83.3%	98.6%	48.3%
Philippines	Tagudin	2000	92.3%	100.0%	57.4%
Philippines	Tagudin	2017	97.5%	100.0%	82.3%
Philippines	Taguig	2000	95.4%	98.4%	92.9%
Philippines	Taguig	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.2%
Philippines	Tagum City	2000	97.1%	99.6%	88.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tagum City	2017	98.0%	99.9%	91.5%
Philippines	Talacogon	2000	96.0%	99.0%	90.2%
Philippines	Talacogon	2017	97.7%	99.5%	94.3%
Philippines	Talaingod	2000	74.0%	95.1%	44.2%
Philippines	Talaingod	2017	82.0%	98.2%	50.9%
Philippines	Talakag	2000	70.5%	92.8%	40.4%
Philippines	Talakag	2017	78.2%	94.7%	51.9%
Philippines	Talalora	2000	26.2%	58.7%	7.0%
Philippines	Talalora	2017	56.0%	81.4%	29.1%
Philippines	Talavera	2000	90.0%	99.9%	60.7%
Philippines	Talavera	2017	97.2%	100.0%	86.0%
Philippines	Talayan	2000	22.5%	46.9%	4.8%
Philippines	Talayan	2017	27.6%	49.1%	7.9%
Philippines	Talibon	2000	87.2%	99.9%	43.8%
Philippines	Talibon	2017	92.5%	100.0%	60.0%
Philippines	Talipao	2000	31.8%	52.5%	13.0%
Philippines	Talipao	2017	37.6%	56.4%	14.8%
Philippines	Talisay	2000	88.9%	96.0%	75.1%
Philippines	Talisay	2000	95.8%	99.9%	85.2%
Philippines	Talisay	2017	99.3%	100.0%	97.2%
Philippines	Talisay	2017	97.3%	99.0%	93.9%
Philippines	Talisay City	2000	70.8%	86.2%	51.5%
Philippines	Talisay City	2000	87.9%	92.1%	83.1%
Philippines	Talisay City	2017	97.6%	98.4%	96.7%
Philippines	Talisay City	2017	93.5%	97.4%	87.6%
Philippines	Talisayan	2000	78.3%	100.0%	14.3%
Philippines	Talisayan	2017	85.4%	100.0%	17.0%
Philippines	Talitay	2000	7.8%	33.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Talitay	2017	12.4%	44.9%	1.3%
Philippines	Talugtug	2000	69.1%	99.2%	12.4%
Philippines	Talugtug	2017	80.1%	99.9%	25.9%
Philippines	Talusan	2000	81.5%	94.4%	58.3%
Philippines	Talusan	2017	79.6%	92.6%	58.0%
Philippines	Tambulig	2000	64.8%	91.8%	43.9%
Philippines	Tambulig	2017	67.2%	90.2%	51.7%
Philippines	Tampakan	2000	96.1%	99.7%	84.9%
Philippines	Tampakan	2017	96.5%	99.7%	86.4%
Philippines	Tamparan	2000	81.3%	100.0%	23.0%
Philippines	Tamparan	2017	89.9%	100.0%	42.9%
Philippines	Tampilisan	2000	75.0%	100.0%	17.0%
Philippines	Tampilisan	2017	84.8%	100.0%	29.6%
Philippines	Tanauan	2000	95.6%	99.9%	72.6%
Philippines	Tanauan	2017	98.9%	100.0%	93.3%
Philippines	Tanauan City	2000	99.5%	100.0%	97.5%
Philippines	Tanauan City	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.4%
Philippines	Tanay	2000	87.8%	99.8%	55.0%
Philippines	Tanay	2017	93.1%	99.9%	73.0%
Philippines	Tandag City	2000	58.3%	83.1%	31.2%
Philippines	Tandag City	2017	77.5%	90.9%	59.0%
Philippines	Tandubas	2000	47.1%	79.6%	15.6%
Philippines	Tandubas	2017	64.1%	86.2%	33.2%
Philippines	Tangalan	2000	61.2%	99.4%	8.5%
Philippines	Tangalan	2017	78.8%	99.9%	20.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tangcal	2000	56.9%	95.8%	5.3%
Philippines	Tangcal	2017	58.5%	96.5%	7.5%
Philippines	Tangub City	2000	96.8%	99.5%	91.2%
Philippines	Tangub City	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.8%
Philippines	Tanjay City	2000	84.8%	93.8%	66.6%
Philippines	Tanjay City	2017	92.5%	97.9%	81.3%
Philippines	Tantangan	2000	92.2%	99.2%	74.3%
Philippines	Tantangan	2017	95.5%	99.5%	84.8%
Philippines	Tanudan	2000	59.7%	93.0%	14.0%
Philippines	Tanudan	2017	73.2%	95.6%	25.6%
Philippines	Tanza	2000	92.3%	99.7%	71.2%
Philippines	Tanza	2017	97.1%	100.0%	81.5%
Philippines	Tapaz	2000	84.1%	99.2%	42.0%
Philippines	Tapaz	2017	86.7%	99.4%	49.3%
Philippines	Tapul	2000	66.0%	99.5%	10.4%
Philippines	Tapul	2017	75.5%	99.7%	17.5%
Philippines	Taraka	2000	80.2%	100.0%	3.6%
Philippines	Taraka	2017	86.3%	100.0%	7.6%
Philippines	Tarangnan	2000	66.0%	98.5%	15.6%
Philippines	Tarangnan	2017	78.7%	99.5%	23.7%
Philippines	Tarlac City	2000	97.6%	99.9%	87.3%
Philippines	Tarlac City	2017	99.2%	100.0%	96.1%
Philippines	Tarragona	2000	70.9%	96.2%	41.8%
Philippines	Tarragona	2017	72.2%	96.1%	44.4%
Philippines	Tayabas City	2000	61.2%	94.1%	12.7%
Philippines	Tayabas City	2017	82.5%	99.0%	32.0%
Philippines	Tayasan	2000	71.8%	98.9%	20.0%
Philippines	Tayasan	2017	79.6%	99.2%	31.6%
Philippines	Taysan	2000	79.9%	99.9%	22.7%
Philippines	Taysan	2017	88.9%	100.0%	43.5%
Philippines	Taytay	2000	76.7%	91.8%	58.8%
Philippines	Taytay	2000	95.7%	98.5%	91.8%
Philippines	Taytay	2017	85.7%	96.0%	71.0%
Philippines	Taytay	2017	99.4%	99.8%	99.0%
Philippines	Tayug	2000	95.5%	100.0%	77.9%
Philippines	Tayug	2017	98.9%	100.0%	94.5%
Philippines	Tayum	2000	95.5%	99.8%	81.3%
Philippines	Tayum	2017	96.3%	99.9%	85.4%
Philippines	Teresa	2000	98.6%	99.9%	93.8%
Philippines	Teresa	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.7%
Philippines	Ternate	2000	74.3%	99.8%	17.0%
Philippines	Ternate	2017	85.2%	99.9%	38.8%
Philippines	Tiaong	2000	97.2%	100.0%	88.1%
Philippines	Tiaong	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.4%
Philippines	Tibiao	2000	67.3%	84.8%	41.7%
Philippines	Tibiao	2017	80.7%	91.3%	59.0%
Philippines	Tigaon	2000	77.1%	100.0%	13.6%
Philippines	Tigaon	2017	85.0%	100.0%	20.8%
Philippines	Tigbao	2000	76.9%	99.7%	18.8%
Philippines	Tigbao	2017	84.1%	99.8%	28.4%
Philippines	Tigbauan	2000	90.0%	98.9%	59.3%
Philippines	Tigbauan	2017	96.2%	99.8%	80.1%
Philippines	Tinambac	2000	81.0%	99.4%	36.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tinambac	2017	87.3%	99.8%	50.9%
Philippines	Tineg	2000	73.7%	96.6%	36.4%
Philippines	Tineg	2017	80.9%	97.9%	45.7%
Philippines	Tinglayan	2000	26.4%	45.0%	11.4%
Philippines	Tinglayan	2017	57.5%	73.2%	38.4%
Philippines	Tingloy	2000	79.9%	100.0%	16.0%
Philippines	Tingloy	2017	86.6%	100.0%	24.8%
Philippines	Tinoc	2000	46.6%	86.3%	12.7%
Philippines	Tinoc	2017	60.2%	94.7%	19.1%
Philippines	Tipo-Tipo	2000	35.1%	61.5%	9.5%
Philippines	Tipo-Tipo	2017	54.7%	76.3%	23.8%
Philippines	Titay	2000	74.7%	99.1%	17.8%
Philippines	Titay	2017	83.2%	99.6%	30.4%
Philippines	Tiwi	2000	93.5%	99.5%	71.3%
Philippines	Tiwi	2017	98.0%	99.7%	89.3%
Philippines	Tobias Fornier	2000	78.7%	97.9%	37.5%
Philippines	Tobias Fornier	2017	88.1%	99.4%	49.4%
Philippines	Toboso	2000	36.5%	66.6%	14.6%
Philippines	Toboso	2017	67.6%	85.8%	44.5%
Philippines	Toledo City	2000	43.5%	58.4%	27.3%
Philippines	Toledo City	2017	78.1%	87.3%	60.5%
Philippines	Tolosa	2000	81.3%	99.5%	33.2%
Philippines	Tolosa	2017	88.8%	99.7%	53.8%
Philippines	Tomas Oppus	2000	85.8%	99.9%	39.4%
Philippines	Tomas Oppus	2017	89.9%	100.0%	49.2%
Philippines	Tongkil	2000	79.6%	98.2%	40.5%
Philippines	Tongkil	2017	86.8%	99.1%	52.8%
Philippines	Torrijos	2000	91.6%	98.5%	77.7%
Philippines	Torrijos	2017	95.0%	99.3%	83.5%
Philippines	Trece Martires City	2000	90.7%	99.8%	70.3%
Philippines	Trece Martires City	2017	98.8%	100.0%	95.7%
Philippines	Trento	2000	83.1%	98.8%	43.3%
Philippines	Trento	2017	90.3%	99.5%	64.5%
Philippines	Trinidad	2000	93.9%	100.0%	76.3%
Philippines	Trinidad	2017	97.2%	100.0%	85.7%
Philippines	Tuao	2000	81.0%	99.9%	35.0%
Philippines	Tuao	2017	86.7%	100.0%	42.9%
Philippines	Tuba	2000	94.2%	97.8%	88.6%
Philippines	Tuba	2017	98.2%	99.6%	95.6%
Philippines	Tubajon	2000	54.1%	89.5%	26.2%
Philippines	Tubajon	2017	82.7%	97.3%	60.8%
Philippines	Tubao	2000	80.9%	93.1%	61.2%
Philippines	Tubao	2017	92.6%	97.8%	80.5%
Philippines	Tubaran	2000	84.5%	91.2%	77.9%
Philippines	Tubaran	2017	88.9%	94.2%	82.6%
Philippines	Tubay	2000	89.1%	98.4%	75.7%
Philippines	Tubay	2017	96.7%	99.6%	91.0%
Philippines	Tubigon	2000	66.5%	99.3%	8.6%
Philippines	Tubigon	2017	78.0%	99.8%	14.1%
Philippines	Tublay	2000	95.5%	99.5%	89.6%
Philippines	Tublay	2017	98.1%	99.8%	95.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tubo	2000	85.1%	98.7%	57.6%
Philippines	Tubo	2017	89.3%	99.2%	63.0%
Philippines	Tubod	2000	93.2%	98.8%	78.3%
Philippines	Tubod	2000	66.6%	86.8%	47.1%
Philippines	Tubod	2017	75.4%	90.8%	58.3%
Philippines	Tubod	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.5%
Philippines	Tubungan	2000	89.5%	100.0%	43.4%
Philippines	Tubungan	2017	94.8%	100.0%	59.3%
Philippines	Tuburan	2000	41.9%	73.6%	13.8%
Philippines	Tuburan	2000	70.7%	96.6%	25.8%
Philippines	Tuburan	2017	67.4%	90.5%	31.4%
Philippines	Tuburan	2017	81.1%	98.1%	49.1%
Philippines	Tudela	2000	74.7%	100.0%	18.1%
Philippines	Tudela	2000	25.1%	44.6%	13.2%
Philippines	Tudela	2017	84.7%	100.0%	40.4%
Philippines	Tudela	2017	23.9%	41.8%	14.0%
Philippines	Tugaya	2000	73.4%	89.2%	52.0%
Philippines	Tugaya	2017	67.0%	86.4%	44.7%
Philippines	Tuguegarao City	2000	97.3%	100.0%	87.7%
Philippines	Tuguegarao City	2017	99.2%	100.0%	95.8%
Philippines	Tukuran	2000	75.3%	93.6%	43.6%
Philippines	Tukuran	2017	81.7%	95.7%	53.5%
Philippines	Tulunan	2000	87.2%	96.1%	63.4%
Philippines	Tulunan	2017	89.7%	97.9%	73.5%
Philippines	Tumauini	2000	82.3%	99.7%	32.9%
Philippines	Tumauini	2017	89.7%	99.9%	54.4%
Philippines	Tunga	2000	87.3%	100.0%	41.9%
Philippines	Tunga	2017	93.2%	100.0%	54.6%
Philippines	Tungawan	2000	79.1%	98.4%	39.2%
Philippines	Tungawan	2017	86.5%	99.4%	55.4%
Philippines	Tupi	2000	92.8%	98.8%	77.5%
Philippines	Tupi	2017	95.0%	98.8%	86.3%
Philippines	Tuy	2000	73.6%	99.8%	15.3%
Philippines	Tuy	2017	84.2%	100.0%	25.9%
Philippines	Ubay	2000	86.9%	99.5%	53.2%
Philippines	Ubay	2017	93.8%	99.9%	72.5%
Philippines	Umingan	2000	70.7%	98.8%	26.2%
Philippines	Umingan	2017	79.9%	99.3%	40.0%
Philippines	Ungkaya Pukan	2000	24.1%	45.5%	9.0%
Philippines	Ungkaya Pukan	2017	45.0%	63.8%	24.2%
Philippines	Unisan	2000	76.2%	99.4%	21.7%
Philippines	Unisan	2017	90.1%	99.9%	45.9%
Philippines	Upi	2000	80.2%	96.6%	48.5%
Philippines	Upi	2017	85.5%	97.1%	56.6%
Philippines	Urbiztondo	2000	98.7%	99.8%	95.4%
Philippines	Urbiztondo	2017	99.1%	99.9%	95.9%
Philippines	Urdaneta City	2000	95.7%	100.0%	62.6%
Philippines	Urdaneta City	2017	98.5%	100.0%	87.3%
Philippines	Uson	2000	44.7%	74.4%	18.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Uson	2017	65.0%	85.9%	36.7%
Philippines	Uyugan	2000	96.0%	100.0%	80.1%
Philippines	Uyugan	2017	99.4%	100.0%	96.6%
Philippines	Valderrama	2000	76.6%	94.4%	44.6%
Philippines	Valderrama	2017	86.8%	97.7%	62.1%
Philippines	Valencia	2000	96.6%	99.9%	88.1%
Philippines	Valencia	2000	80.8%	98.4%	44.5%
Philippines	Valencia	2017	98.7%	100.0%	93.4%
Philippines	Valencia	2017	92.4%	99.7%	67.7%
Philippines	Valencia City	2000	85.9%	98.2%	59.9%
Philippines	Valencia City	2017	91.4%	99.3%	74.2%
Philippines	Valenzuela	2000	78.7%	83.5%	75.2%
Philippines	Valenzuela	2017	97.0%	97.7%	96.4%
Philippines	Valladolid	2000	76.0%	99.2%	22.5%
Philippines	Valladolid	2017	86.8%	99.9%	40.3%
Philippines	Vallehermoso	2000	73.2%	98.3%	21.8%
Philippines	Vallehermoso	2017	82.6%	99.3%	37.0%
Philippines	Veruela	2000	87.0%	96.2%	68.9%
Philippines	Veruela	2017	91.9%	97.8%	81.9%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	65.8%	98.5%	14.8%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	77.8%	93.6%	58.9%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	92.9%	99.2%	76.2%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	48.8%	82.4%	19.2%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	97.3%	99.7%	88.3%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	82.0%	95.0%	51.5%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	74.9%	99.0%	32.1%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	94.1%	98.9%	82.0%
Philippines	Victorias City	2000	57.3%	98.4%	6.7%
Philippines	Victorias City	2017	77.4%	99.6%	20.4%
Philippines	Viga	2000	96.0%	99.9%	84.3%
Philippines	Viga	2017	98.0%	100.0%	90.2%
Philippines	Vigan City	2000	99.2%	100.0%	94.7%
Philippines	Vigan City	2017	99.8%	100.0%	98.7%
Philippines	Villaba	2000	50.7%	79.6%	16.8%
Philippines	Villaba	2017	70.5%	87.9%	42.3%
Philippines	Villanueva	2000	92.6%	99.9%	70.1%
Philippines	Villanueva	2017	98.4%	100.0%	91.2%
Philippines	Villareal	2000	55.1%	94.4%	6.4%
Philippines	Villareal	2017	74.3%	98.2%	20.9%
Philippines	Villasis	2000	95.0%	100.0%	72.7%
Philippines	Villasis	2017	97.7%	100.0%	88.5%
Philippines	Villaverde	2000	89.5%	98.8%	65.6%
Philippines	Villaverde	2017	95.1%	99.6%	80.3%
Philippines	Villaviciosa	2000	80.6%	95.3%	55.0%
Philippines	Villaviciosa	2017	82.5%	96.8%	56.9%
Philippines	Vincenzo A. Sagun	2000	71.3%	99.7%	8.6%
Philippines	Vincenzo A. Sagun	2017	80.8%	99.9%	19.2%
Philippines	Vintar	2000	88.6%	99.6%	57.0%
Philippines	Vintar	2017	92.3%	99.7%	71.8%
Philippines	Vinzons	2000	91.9%	97.9%	79.0%
Philippines	Vinzons	2017	96.1%	99.4%	88.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Virac	2000	99.3%	100.0%	96.8%
Philippines	Virac	2017	99.5%	100.0%	97.6%
Philippines	Wao	2000	72.3%	96.3%	32.7%
Philippines	Wao	2017	74.0%	96.0%	34.2%
Philippines	Waterbody	2000	86.0%	97.7%	58.8%
Philippines	Waterbody	2017	92.3%	98.6%	79.7%
Philippines	Zamboanga City	2000	85.6%	90.9%	79.6%
Philippines	Zamboanga City	2017	91.2%	94.4%	86.9%
Philippines	Zamboanguita	2000	78.5%	99.6%	20.5%
Philippines	Zamboanguita	2017	84.6%	99.8%	26.1%
Philippines	Zaragoza	2000	81.6%	96.5%	49.3%
Philippines	Zaragoza	2017	93.8%	99.4%	71.0%
Philippines	Zarraga	2000	95.0%	100.0%	66.7%
Philippines	Zarraga	2017	98.0%	100.0%	87.9%
Philippines	Zumarraga	2000	23.5%	52.4%	6.2%
Philippines	Zumarraga	2017	54.7%	75.2%	29.4%
Sri Lanka	Addalachchenai	2000	84.6%	98.0%	54.0%
Sri Lanka	Addalachchenai	2017	97.9%	99.8%	91.1%
Sri Lanka	Agalawatta	2000	91.7%	98.8%	73.0%
Sri Lanka	Agalawatta	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.1%
Sri Lanka	Akkaraipattu	2000	83.2%	98.1%	52.2%
Sri Lanka	Akkaraipattu	2017	97.6%	99.9%	90.5%
Sri Lanka	Akmeemana	2000	88.1%	98.1%	65.5%
Sri Lanka	Akmeemana	2017	98.4%	99.8%	94.1%
Sri Lanka	Akurana	2000	88.8%	98.3%	63.3%
Sri Lanka	Akurana	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.7%
Sri Lanka	Akuressa	2000	91.4%	98.0%	77.9%
Sri Lanka	Akuressa	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.8%
Sri Lanka	Alawwa	2000	85.6%	97.2%	59.8%
Sri Lanka	Alawwa	2017	98.0%	99.7%	92.1%
Sri Lanka	Alayadiwembu	2000	84.2%	98.2%	53.7%
Sri Lanka	Alayadiwembu	2017	97.7%	99.9%	91.5%
Sri Lanka	Ambagamuwa	2000	91.9%	97.0%	80.9%
Sri Lanka	Ambagamuwa	2017	99.0%	99.7%	97.1%
Sri Lanka	Ambalangoda	2000	90.1%	98.5%	69.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambalangoda	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambalanthota	2000	86.5%	95.9%	70.8%
Sri Lanka	Ambalanthota	2017	98.2%	99.6%	94.8%
Sri Lanka	Ambanganga Korale	2000	84.7%	97.0%	60.9%
Sri Lanka	Ambanganga Korale	2017	97.9%	99.7%	93.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambanpola	2000	93.9%	97.7%	85.7%
Sri Lanka	Ambanpola	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.2%
Sri Lanka	Ampara	2000	85.6%	97.5%	61.0%
Sri Lanka	Ampara	2017	98.0%	99.8%	92.7%
Sri Lanka	Anamaduwa	2000	87.0%	94.3%	72.2%
Sri Lanka	Anamaduwa	2017	98.4%	99.5%	95.8%
Sri Lanka	Angunakolapelessa	2000	86.4%	95.5%	69.2%
Sri Lanka	Angunakolapelessa	2017	98.2%	99.5%	95.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Arachchikattuwa PS	2000	85.2%	97.1%	63.8%
Sri Lanka	Arachchikattuwa PS	2017	97.9%	99.7%	93.6%
Sri Lanka	Aranayaka	2000	86.0%	97.7%	60.6%
Sri Lanka	Aranayaka	2017	98.1%	99.8%	93.6%
Sri Lanka	Athuraliya	2000	91.4%	97.1%	82.0%
Sri Lanka	Athuraliya	2017	98.9%	99.7%	97.1%
Sri Lanka	Attanagalla	2000	88.1%	98.2%	67.7%
Sri Lanka	Attanagalla	2017	98.4%	99.8%	94.6%
Sri Lanka	Ayagama	2000	93.6%	99.1%	81.8%
Sri Lanka	Ayagama	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.1%
Sri Lanka	Badalkumbura	2000	85.4%	96.7%	62.5%
Sri Lanka	Badalkumbura	2017	98.0%	99.7%	93.1%
Sri Lanka	Baddegama	2000	90.8%	97.6%	78.3%
Sri Lanka	Baddegama	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.8%
Sri Lanka	Badulla	2000	85.3%	98.4%	50.6%
Sri Lanka	Badulla	2017	97.9%	99.9%	90.2%
Sri Lanka	Balangoda	2000	87.0%	97.5%	64.0%
Sri Lanka	Balangoda	2017	98.2%	99.7%	92.8%
Sri Lanka	Balapitiya	2000	87.1%	97.7%	64.4%
Sri Lanka	Balapitiya	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.3%
Sri Lanka	Bamunakotuwa	2000	85.4%	98.1%	56.4%
Sri Lanka	Bamunakotuwa	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.0%
Sri Lanka	Bandaragama	2000	87.4%	98.3%	60.9%
Sri Lanka	Bandaragama	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.5%
Sri Lanka	Bandarawela	2000	88.3%	98.2%	65.4%
Sri Lanka	Bandarawela	2017	98.5%	99.9%	94.2%
Sri Lanka	Beliatta	2000	79.1%	95.3%	51.4%
Sri Lanka	Beliatta	2017	96.9%	99.5%	89.7%
Sri Lanka	Bentota	2000	86.7%	97.9%	60.2%
Sri Lanka	Bentota	2017	98.2%	99.8%	92.6%
Sri Lanka	Beruwala	2000	88.1%	98.5%	60.7%
Sri Lanka	Beruwala	2017	98.4%	99.9%	93.8%
Sri Lanka	Bibile	2000	87.2%	97.2%	67.6%
Sri Lanka	Bibile	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.0%
Sri Lanka	Bingiriya	2000	82.7%	96.8%	56.1%
Sri Lanka	Bingiriya	2017	97.4%	99.7%	90.2%
Sri Lanka	Biyagama	2000	97.6%	99.0%	95.1%
Sri Lanka	Biyagama	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Sri Lanka	Bope-Poddala	2000	88.1%	98.7%	64.6%
Sri Lanka	Bope-Poddala	2017	98.5%	99.9%	94.8%
Sri Lanka	Bulathkohupitiya	2000	93.0%	98.1%	84.0%
Sri Lanka	Bulathkohupitiya	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.9%
Sri Lanka	Bulathsinhala	2000	93.5%	98.9%	82.2%
Sri Lanka	Bulathsinhala	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.7%
Sri Lanka	Buttala	2000	83.1%	93.8%	64.2%
Sri Lanka	Buttala	2017	97.6%	99.3%	93.6%
Sri Lanka	Chilaw	2000	82.2%	97.1%	53.1%
Sri Lanka	Chilaw	2017	97.4%	99.7%	91.6%
Sri Lanka	Colombo	2000	96.4%	98.9%	91.7%
Sri Lanka	Colombo	2017	99.6%	99.9%	99.1%
Sri Lanka	Damana	2000	87.2%	97.1%	68.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Damana	2017	98.3%	99.7%	94.6%
Sri Lanka	Dambulla	2000	88.1%	96.9%	66.7%
Sri Lanka	Dambulla	2017	98.4%	99.7%	94.0%
Sri Lanka	Dankotuwa	2000	82.7%	96.4%	55.4%
Sri Lanka	Dankotuwa	2017	97.5%	99.6%	91.7%
Sri Lanka	Dehiattakandiya	2000	84.3%	95.3%	66.7%
Sri Lanka	Dehiattakandiya	2017	97.8%	99.5%	93.5%
Sri Lanka	Dehiovita	2000	90.3%	97.9%	75.9%
Sri Lanka	Dehiovita	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.0%
Sri Lanka	Dehiwala-Mount Lavinia	2000	88.3%	98.1%	62.9%
Sri Lanka	Dehiwala-Mount Lavinia	2017	98.5%	99.9%	94.5%
Sri Lanka	Delft	2000	82.3%	97.0%	53.5%
Sri Lanka	Delft	2017	97.5%	99.7%	90.2%
Sri Lanka	Delthota	2000	90.7%	99.6%	72.8%
Sri Lanka	Delthota	2017	98.9%	100.0%	96.2%
Sri Lanka	Deraniyagala	2000	93.0%	98.7%	77.4%
Sri Lanka	Deraniyagala	2017	99.2%	99.9%	96.9%
Sri Lanka	Devinuwara	2000	77.7%	95.8%	46.0%
Sri Lanka	Devinuwara	2017	96.4%	99.6%	88.0%
Sri Lanka	Dickwella	2000	81.2%	97.0%	45.7%
Sri Lanka	Dickwella	2017	97.1%	99.7%	87.1%
Sri Lanka	Dimbulagala	2000	89.1%	96.8%	77.9%
Sri Lanka	Dimbulagala	2017	98.7%	99.6%	96.6%
Sri Lanka	Divulapitiya	2000	88.2%	97.9%	70.6%
Sri Lanka	Divulapitiya	2017	98.4%	99.8%	95.0%
Sri Lanka	Dodangoda	2000	90.5%	98.2%	76.8%
Sri Lanka	Dodangoda	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.5%
Sri Lanka	Doluwa	2000	80.3%	94.4%	59.1%
Sri Lanka	Doluwa	2017	97.1%	99.4%	92.5%
Sri Lanka	Dompe	2000	91.5%	98.3%	75.8%
Sri Lanka	Dompe	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.3%
Sri Lanka	Eheliyagoda	2000	91.6%	98.0%	79.8%
Sri Lanka	Eheliyagoda	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.0%
Sri Lanka	Ehetuwewa	2000	90.1%	98.2%	72.1%
Sri Lanka	Ehetuwewa	2017	98.7%	99.8%	94.9%
Sri Lanka	Elahera	2000	87.7%	97.2%	69.4%
Sri Lanka	Elahera	2017	98.4%	99.7%	95.4%
Sri Lanka	Elapatha	2000	92.7%	98.2%	80.7%
Sri Lanka	Elapatha	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.1%
Sri Lanka	Ella	2000	88.0%	97.7%	67.1%
Sri Lanka	Ella	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.4%
Sri Lanka	Elpitiya	2000	92.8%	98.5%	79.6%
Sri Lanka	Elpitiya	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.0%
Sri Lanka	Embilipitiya	2000	86.8%	96.9%	68.4%
Sri Lanka	Embilipitiya	2017	98.2%	99.7%	94.2%
Sri Lanka	Eragama	2000	81.9%	97.8%	49.5%
Sri Lanka	Eragama	2017	97.3%	99.8%	88.3%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Pattu	2000	87.4%	97.8%	65.9%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Pattu	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Eravur Town	2000	82.5%	98.7%	46.2%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Town	2017	97.5%	99.9%	89.4%
Sri Lanka	Galenbindunuweva	2000	78.9%	94.4%	55.8%
Sri Lanka	Galenbindunuweva	2017	96.6%	99.5%	90.3%
Sri Lanka	Galewela	2000	87.1%	97.8%	63.9%
Sri Lanka	Galewela	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.9%
Sri Lanka	Galgamuwa	2000	90.5%	97.9%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Galgamuwa	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.3%
Sri Lanka	Galigamuwa	2000	90.1%	96.5%	77.9%
Sri Lanka	Galigamuwa	2017	98.8%	99.6%	96.8%
Sri Lanka	Galle Four Gravets	2000	88.0%	98.5%	64.3%
Sri Lanka	Galle Four Gravets	2017	98.5%	99.9%	94.5%
Sri Lanka	Galnewa	2000	83.1%	95.8%	54.7%
Sri Lanka	Galnewa	2017	97.6%	99.6%	91.2%
Sri Lanka	Gampaha	2000	94.8%	98.8%	84.9%
Sri Lanka	Gampaha	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Sri Lanka	Ganewatta	2000	88.9%	97.9%	73.3%
Sri Lanka	Ganewatta	2017	98.6%	99.8%	95.9%
Sri Lanka	Ganga Ihala Korale	2000	83.2%	97.1%	57.6%
Sri Lanka	Ganga Ihala Korale	2017	97.6%	99.7%	90.9%
Sri Lanka	Giribawa	2000	84.2%	95.8%	55.7%
Sri Lanka	Giribawa	2017	97.6%	99.6%	89.6%
Sri Lanka	Godakawela	2000	90.5%	97.0%	76.2%
Sri Lanka	Godakawela	2017	98.8%	99.7%	96.6%
Sri Lanka	Gomarankadawala	2000	78.3%	93.3%	51.5%
Sri Lanka	Gomarankadawala	2017	96.7%	99.3%	89.7%
Sri Lanka	Habaraduwa	2000	87.4%	97.9%	63.7%
Sri Lanka	Habaraduwa	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.7%
Sri Lanka	Hakmana	2000	61.2%	88.6%	29.7%
Sri Lanka	Hakmana	2017	91.9%	98.5%	76.2%
Sri Lanka	Hali-Ela	2000	88.2%	95.1%	69.2%
Sri Lanka	Hali-Ela	2017	98.5%	99.5%	95.1%
Sri Lanka	Hambantota	2000	86.9%	95.4%	70.6%
Sri Lanka	Hambantota	2017	98.3%	99.6%	95.2%
Sri Lanka	Hanguranketha	2000	90.8%	98.2%	75.7%
Sri Lanka	Hanguranketha	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.1%
Sri Lanka	Hanwella	2000	89.3%	98.0%	68.6%
Sri Lanka	Hanwella	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.9%
Sri Lanka	Haputale	2000	88.7%	98.4%	67.4%
Sri Lanka	Haputale	2017	98.5%	99.9%	94.3%
Sri Lanka	Harispattuwa	2000	90.2%	98.3%	74.7%
Sri Lanka	Harispattuwa	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.3%
Sri Lanka	Hatharaliyadda	2000	84.9%	98.2%	54.4%
Sri Lanka	Hatharaliyadda	2017	97.7%	99.8%	91.2%
Sri Lanka	Hikkaduwa	2000	87.9%	97.9%	64.0%
Sri Lanka	Hikkaduwa	2017	98.4%	99.8%	94.0%
Sri Lanka	Hildummulla	2000	89.0%	97.5%	71.9%
Sri Lanka	Hildummulla	2017	98.5%	99.7%	95.3%
Sri Lanka	Hingurakgoda	2000	90.8%	96.9%	77.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Hingurakgoda	2017	98.9%	99.7%	96.9%
Sri Lanka	Homagama	2000	88.6%	97.1%	72.6%
Sri Lanka	Homagama	2017	98.6%	99.7%	95.8%
Sri Lanka	Horana	2000	88.3%	98.1%	67.9%
Sri Lanka	Horana	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.7%
Sri Lanka	Horowpothana	2000	82.8%	94.0%	61.5%
Sri Lanka	Horowpothana	2017	97.4%	99.3%	92.8%
Sri Lanka	Ibbagamuwa	2000	87.8%	96.8%	70.9%
Sri Lanka	Ibbagamuwa	2017	98.4%	99.7%	94.9%
Sri Lanka	Imaduwa	2000	88.6%	98.4%	65.7%
Sri Lanka	Imaduwa	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.5%
Sri Lanka	Imbulpe	2000	89.6%	98.1%	71.5%
Sri Lanka	Imbulpe	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.1%
Sri Lanka	Ingiriya	2000	88.7%	98.1%	67.0%
Sri Lanka	Ingiriya	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.8%
Sri Lanka	Ipalogama	2000	86.0%	94.5%	71.3%
Sri Lanka	Ipalogama	2017	98.2%	99.4%	95.6%
Sri Lanka	Island South (Velanai)	2000	85.1%	96.4%	65.0%
Sri Lanka	Island South (Velanai)	2017	97.7%	99.6%	92.8%
Sri Lanka	Islands North (Kayts)	2000	84.5%	97.7%	54.4%
Sri Lanka	Islands North (Kayts)	2017	97.8%	99.8%	91.1%
Sri Lanka	Ja-Ela	2000	94.8%	99.3%	80.6%
Sri Lanka	Ja-Ela	2017	99.4%	99.9%	97.5%
Sri Lanka	Jaffna	2000	90.6%	99.3%	68.4%
Sri Lanka	Jaffna	2017	98.8%	100.0%	94.8%
Sri Lanka	K.F.G. & G. Korale	2000	91.0%	95.1%	83.0%
Sri Lanka	K.F.G. & G. Korale	2017	98.8%	99.5%	97.3%
Sri Lanka	Kaduwela	2000	93.9%	97.2%	87.5%
Sri Lanka	Kaduwela	2017	99.3%	99.7%	98.5%
Sri Lanka	Kahatagasdigiliya	2000	79.0%	89.0%	64.9%
Sri Lanka	Kahatagasdigiliya	2017	97.0%	98.6%	93.5%
Sri Lanka	Kahawatta	2000	89.7%	98.9%	64.2%
Sri Lanka	Kahawatta	2017	98.6%	99.9%	93.8%
Sri Lanka	Kalawana	2000	92.6%	98.1%	80.5%
Sri Lanka	Kalawana	2017	99.1%	99.8%	97.2%
Sri Lanka	Kalmunai	2000	83.9%	99.3%	51.6%
Sri Lanka	Kalmunai	2017	97.8%	100.0%	91.1%
Sri Lanka	Kalpitiya	2000	80.1%	93.6%	61.9%
Sri Lanka	Kalpitiya	2017	96.8%	99.2%	91.5%
Sri Lanka	Kalutara	2000	88.7%	97.7%	68.3%
Sri Lanka	Kalutara	2017	98.5%	99.8%	95.1%
Sri Lanka	Kamburupitiya	2000	73.3%	90.8%	45.4%
Sri Lanka	Kamburupitiya	2017	95.3%	99.0%	85.9%
Sri Lanka	Kandaketiya	2000	87.6%	97.7%	64.3%
Sri Lanka	Kandaketiya	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.1%
Sri Lanka	Kandawali	2000	81.9%	95.4%	60.4%
Sri Lanka	Kandawali	2017	97.1%	99.5%	91.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Kantalai	2000	86.2%	96.9%	60.9%
Sri Lanka	Kantalai	2017	98.1%	99.7%	92.5%
Sri Lanka	Karachchi	2000	77.9%	95.0%	51.1%
Sri Lanka	Karachchi	2017	96.5%	99.5%	89.3%
Sri Lanka	Karadeniya	2000	88.6%	98.2%	68.3%
Sri Lanka	Karadeniya	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.6%
Sri Lanka	Karativu	2000	81.3%	96.3%	47.0%
Sri Lanka	Karativu	2017	97.4%	99.7%	90.4%
Sri Lanka	Karuwalagaswewa	2000	81.3%	93.3%	62.7%
Sri Lanka	Karuwalagaswewa	2017	97.2%	99.2%	93.0%
Sri Lanka	Katana	2000	93.0%	97.8%	81.7%
Sri Lanka	Katana	2017	99.2%	99.8%	97.3%
Sri Lanka	Katharagama	2000	89.2%	97.9%	67.3%
Sri Lanka	Katharagama	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.4%
Sri Lanka	Kattankudy	2000	72.3%	96.6%	33.6%
Sri Lanka	Kattankudy	2017	95.4%	99.9%	84.5%
Sri Lanka	Katupotha	2000	85.8%	97.0%	63.8%
Sri Lanka	Katupotha	2017	98.0%	99.7%	92.5%
Sri Lanka	Katuwana	2000	85.7%	96.1%	66.4%
Sri Lanka	Katuwana	2017	98.2%	99.6%	94.3%
Sri Lanka	Kebithigollewa	2000	79.5%	90.0%	64.3%
Sri Lanka	Kebithigollewa	2017	97.0%	98.8%	93.5%
Sri Lanka	Kegalle	2000	90.5%	97.1%	77.1%
Sri Lanka	Kegalle	2017	98.8%	99.7%	96.6%
Sri Lanka	Kekirawa	2000	91.0%	96.8%	81.3%
Sri Lanka	Kekirawa	2017	98.9%	99.7%	97.3%
Sri Lanka	Kelaniya	2000	96.4%	99.5%	89.2%
Sri Lanka	Kelaniya	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
Sri Lanka	Kesbewa	2000	86.4%	97.3%	65.7%
Sri Lanka	Kesbewa	2017	98.2%	99.7%	94.7%
Sri Lanka	Kinniya	2000	77.8%	95.9%	43.7%
Sri Lanka	Kinniya	2017	96.4%	99.6%	88.1%
Sri Lanka	Kiriella	2000	90.6%	97.9%	75.0%
Sri Lanka	Kiriella	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.2%
Sri Lanka	Kirinda-Puhulwella	2000	64.8%	93.4%	28.6%
Sri Lanka	Kirinda-Puhulwella	2017	93.0%	99.3%	76.2%
Sri Lanka	Kobeigane	2000	85.3%	97.7%	54.9%
Sri Lanka	Kobeigane	2017	97.9%	99.8%	89.9%
Sri Lanka	Kolonna	2000	90.0%	98.1%	71.7%
Sri Lanka	Kolonna	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.7%
Sri Lanka	Kolonnawa	2000	97.3%	99.2%	93.2%
Sri Lanka	Kolonnawa	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.2%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu (Valachchenai)	2000	86.6%	97.6%	57.7%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu (Valachchenai)	2017	98.2%	99.8%	93.1%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu North	2000	88.6%	97.3%	72.2%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu North	2017	98.5%	99.7%	95.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu West (Odd-amavadi)	2000	87.2%	98.1%	63.0%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu West (Odd-amavadi)	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.2%
Sri Lanka	Kotapola	2000	87.9%	97.9%	64.3%
Sri Lanka	Kotapola	2017	98.4%	99.8%	93.8%
Sri Lanka	Kotavehera	2000	88.6%	97.1%	69.5%
Sri Lanka	Kotavehera	2017	98.6%	99.7%	95.0%
Sri Lanka	Kothmale	2000	87.4%	97.2%	67.1%
Sri Lanka	Kothmale	2017	98.2%	99.7%	93.9%
Sri Lanka	Kuchchaveli	2000	83.2%	94.9%	61.2%
Sri Lanka	Kuchchaveli	2017	97.6%	99.5%	93.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya East	2000	87.4%	97.0%	66.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya East	2017	98.4%	99.8%	94.3%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya West	2000	89.3%	96.3%	78.6%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya West	2017	98.7%	99.6%	97.1%
Sri Lanka	Kundasale	2000	91.4%	98.8%	74.8%
Sri Lanka	Kundasale	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.6%
Sri Lanka	Kurunegala	2000	91.5%	97.6%	78.8%
Sri Lanka	Kurunegala	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuruvita	2000	91.8%	97.5%	76.5%
Sri Lanka	Kuruvita	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.0%
Sri Lanka	Laggala-Pallegama	2000	88.8%	97.5%	73.3%
Sri Lanka	Laggala-Pallegama	2017	98.5%	99.8%	95.2%
Sri Lanka	Lahugala	2000	85.4%	94.8%	70.1%
Sri Lanka	Lahugala	2017	97.9%	99.5%	93.6%
Sri Lanka	Lankapura	2000	89.5%	97.9%	70.7%
Sri Lanka	Lankapura	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.4%
Sri Lanka	Lunugala	2000	89.3%	98.7%	66.5%
Sri Lanka	Lunugala	2017	98.6%	99.9%	94.4%
Sri Lanka	Lunugamvehera	2000	87.8%	94.5%	77.0%
Sri Lanka	Lunugamvehera	2017	98.5%	99.4%	96.9%
Sri Lanka	Madampe	2000	82.5%	94.3%	58.5%
Sri Lanka	Madampe	2017	97.5%	99.4%	91.8%
Sri Lanka	Madhu	2000	78.6%	91.5%	61.5%
Sri Lanka	Madhu	2017	96.6%	99.1%	91.3%
Sri Lanka	Madulla	2000	87.5%	96.4%	68.1%
Sri Lanka	Madulla	2017	98.3%	99.6%	94.4%
Sri Lanka	Madurawala	2000	93.8%	98.3%	83.8%
Sri Lanka	Madurawala	2017	99.3%	99.8%	97.8%
Sri Lanka	Maha Vlachchiya	2000	79.6%	94.5%	56.6%
Sri Lanka	Maha Vlachchiya	2017	96.7%	99.4%	89.8%
Sri Lanka	Mahakumbukkad	2000	88.0%	97.0%	71.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Mahakumbukkad	2017	98.4%	99.7%	95.3%
Sri Lanka	Mahaoya	2000	87.7%	97.0%	69.5%
Sri Lanka	Mahaoya	2017	98.4%	99.7%	94.8%
Sri Lanka	Mahara	2000	95.7%	99.1%	88.1%
Sri Lanka	Mahara	2017	99.5%	99.9%	98.5%
Sri Lanka	Maharagama	2000	89.2%	97.6%	74.9%
Sri Lanka	Maharagama	2017	98.7%	99.8%	96.6%
Sri Lanka	Mahawa	2000	87.7%	97.1%	70.6%
Sri Lanka	Mahawa	2017	98.4%	99.7%	95.4%
Sri Lanka	Mahawewa	2000	84.6%	93.9%	69.4%
Sri Lanka	Mahawewa	2017	97.9%	99.3%	94.4%
Sri Lanka	Mahiyanganaya	2000	87.8%	95.0%	77.3%
Sri Lanka	Mahiyanganaya	2017	98.4%	99.5%	96.3%
Sri Lanka	Malimbada	2000	86.5%	98.1%	64.4%
Sri Lanka	Malimbada	2017	98.2%	99.8%	94.1%
Sri Lanka	Mallawapitiya	2000	92.3%	97.4%	81.6%
Sri Lanka	Mallawapitiya	2017	99.1%	99.7%	97.6%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai	2000	74.9%	96.1%	37.8%
Sri Lanka	North Manmunai	2017	95.9%	99.6%	84.3%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai Pattu (Araipattai)	2000	64.6%	77.8%	44.8%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai Pattu (Araipattai)	2017	93.8%	96.9%	86.7%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai South and Eruvilpattu	2000	86.6%	98.3%	58.9%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai South and Eruvilpattu	2017	98.2%	99.9%	93.2%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai South-West	2000	74.4%	87.5%	53.0%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai South-West	2017	95.9%	98.2%	91.0%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai West	2000	85.4%	96.5%	64.0%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai West	2017	98.0%	99.6%	93.7%
Sri Lanka	Mannar Town	2000	76.7%	94.8%	47.1%
Sri Lanka	Mannar Town	2017	95.8%	99.4%	85.3%
Sri Lanka	Manthai East	2000	83.4%	93.8%	64.4%
Sri Lanka	Manthai East	2017	97.5%	99.3%	93.3%
Sri Lanka	Manthai West	2000	78.5%	92.0%	58.6%
Sri Lanka	Manthai West	2017	96.4%	99.0%	91.0%
Sri Lanka	Maritimepattu	2000	81.6%	94.4%	58.0%
Sri Lanka	Maritimepattu	2017	97.2%	99.3%	91.0%
Sri Lanka	Maspotha	2000	87.3%	97.3%	67.8%
Sri Lanka	Maspotha	2017	98.2%	99.8%	94.1%
Sri Lanka	Matale	2000	87.5%	96.7%	66.0%
Sri Lanka	Matale	2017	98.4%	99.7%	94.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Matara Four Gravets	2000	81.9%	97.3%	53.0%
Sri Lanka	Matara Four Gravets	2017	97.4%	99.8%	91.7%
Sri Lanka	Mathugama	2000	88.2%	98.7%	69.1%
Sri Lanka	Mathugama	2017	98.4%	99.9%	95.0%
Sri Lanka	Mawanella	2000	82.9%	96.7%	52.8%
Sri Lanka	Mawanella	2017	97.4%	99.7%	90.1%
Sri Lanka	Mawathagama	2000	88.8%	97.5%	68.3%
Sri Lanka	Mawathagama	2017	98.5%	99.7%	94.9%
Sri Lanka	Medadumbara	2000	90.0%	98.5%	68.5%
Sri Lanka	Medadumbara	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.3%
Sri Lanka	Medagama	2000	85.9%	96.9%	64.3%
Sri Lanka	Medagama	2017	98.1%	99.7%	94.2%
Sri Lanka	Medawachchiya	2000	77.7%	92.9%	53.1%
Sri Lanka	Medawachchiya	2017	96.3%	99.2%	88.8%
Sri Lanka	Medirigiriya	2000	84.7%	95.1%	66.2%
Sri Lanka	Medirigiriya	2017	97.7%	99.5%	93.7%
Sri Lanka	Meegahakivula	2000	89.5%	98.5%	67.1%
Sri Lanka	Meegahakivula	2017	98.6%	99.9%	95.2%
Sri Lanka	Mihinhale	2000	77.6%	94.0%	49.2%
Sri Lanka	Mihinhale	2017	96.3%	99.4%	88.0%
Sri Lanka	Millaniya	2000	91.7%	97.8%	80.3%
Sri Lanka	Millaniya	2017	99.0%	99.8%	97.2%
Sri Lanka	Minipe	2000	89.4%	96.7%	75.8%
Sri Lanka	Minipe	2017	98.7%	99.7%	96.1%
Sri Lanka	Minuwangoda	2000	91.9%	98.2%	76.3%
Sri Lanka	Minuwangoda	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.5%
Sri Lanka	Mirigama	2000	84.2%	97.0%	58.8%
Sri Lanka	Mirigama	2017	97.7%	99.7%	91.4%
Sri Lanka	Moneragala	2000	81.0%	92.9%	63.4%
Sri Lanka	Moneragala	2017	97.3%	99.2%	93.3%
Sri Lanka	Moratuwa	2000	86.2%	97.8%	57.4%
Sri Lanka	Moratuwa	2017	98.1%	99.8%	91.8%
Sri Lanka	Morawewa	2000	84.8%	96.6%	60.5%
Sri Lanka	Morawewa	2017	97.8%	99.6%	92.5%
Sri Lanka	Mulatiyana	2000	68.9%	87.0%	48.8%
Sri Lanka	Mulatiyana	2017	94.5%	98.3%	87.2%
Sri Lanka	Mundalama	2000	86.8%	97.9%	63.7%
Sri Lanka	Mundalama	2017	98.3%	99.8%	94.0%
Sri Lanka	Musali	2000	77.3%	93.6%	50.7%
Sri Lanka	Musali	2017	96.4%	99.4%	89.5%
Sri Lanka	Muttur	2000	80.7%	95.0%	50.4%
Sri Lanka	Muttur	2017	97.1%	99.5%	89.4%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha Central	2000	78.6%	90.6%	62.9%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha Central	2017	96.9%	98.9%	92.9%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha East	2000	79.3%	96.2%	49.2%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha East	2017	96.8%	99.6%	90.3%
Sri Lanka	Nachchadoowa	2000	78.3%	95.6%	49.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Nachchadoowa	2017	96.7%	99.6%	89.4%
Sri Lanka	Nagoda	2000	90.5%	97.6%	76.0%
Sri Lanka	Nagoda	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.5%
Sri Lanka	Nallur	2000	92.0%	99.3%	73.2%
Sri Lanka	Nallur	2017	99.0%	100.0%	95.8%
Sri Lanka	Nanaddan	2000	72.6%	91.5%	45.6%
Sri Lanka	Nanaddan	2017	94.3%	99.2%	84.7%
Sri Lanka	Narammala	2000	88.3%	97.9%	67.7%
Sri Lanka	Narammala	2017	98.5%	99.8%	95.3%
Sri Lanka	Nattandiya	2000	83.2%	96.3%	58.4%
Sri Lanka	Nattandiya	2017	97.6%	99.6%	92.4%
Sri Lanka	Naula	2000	84.9%	96.7%	61.5%
Sri Lanka	Naula	2017	97.9%	99.7%	93.6%
Sri Lanka	Navithanveli	2000	86.3%	97.7%	59.6%
Sri Lanka	Navithanveli	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.2%
Sri Lanka	Nawagattegama	2000	84.3%	96.5%	63.3%
Sri Lanka	Nawagattegama	2017	97.9%	99.6%	93.4%
Sri Lanka	Negombo	2000	90.9%	98.3%	75.2%
Sri Lanka	Negombo	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.2%
Sri Lanka	Neluwa	2000	90.7%	98.1%	72.1%
Sri Lanka	Neluwa	2017	98.9%	99.8%	95.6%
Sri Lanka	Nikaweratiya	2000	84.3%	97.2%	59.5%
Sri Lanka	Nikaweratiya	2017	97.8%	99.7%	92.9%
Sri Lanka	Ninthavur	2000	82.6%	97.6%	52.0%
Sri Lanka	Ninthavur	2017	97.6%	99.8%	91.6%
Sri Lanka	Nivithigala	2000	89.4%	98.1%	70.7%
Sri Lanka	Nivithigala	2017	98.6%	99.8%	95.1%
Sri Lanka	Niyagama	2000	92.9%	98.7%	80.7%
Sri Lanka	Niyagama	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.7%
Sri Lanka	Nochchiyagama	2000	82.1%	93.7%	63.3%
Sri Lanka	Nochchiyagama	2017	97.5%	99.3%	93.4%
Sri Lanka	Nuwara Eliya	2000	90.4%	96.4%	79.4%
Sri Lanka	Nuwara Eliya	2017	98.8%	99.6%	96.8%
Sri Lanka	Oddusuddan	2000	79.8%	93.0%	57.8%
Sri Lanka	Oddusuddan	2017	96.7%	99.1%	91.3%
Sri Lanka	Okewela	2000	82.6%	94.3%	63.8%
Sri Lanka	Okewela	2017	97.7%	99.4%	94.3%
Sri Lanka	Opanayaka	2000	90.0%	98.4%	65.7%
Sri Lanka	Opanayaka	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.4%
Sri Lanka	Pachchilapalli	2000	83.3%	97.0%	52.0%
Sri Lanka	Pachchilapalli	2017	97.6%	99.7%	89.7%
Sri Lanka	Padavi Sri Pura	2000	81.6%	94.8%	55.5%
Sri Lanka	Padavi Sri Pura	2017	97.3%	99.5%	90.3%
Sri Lanka	Padaviya	2000	78.7%	92.6%	54.0%
Sri Lanka	Padaviya	2017	96.8%	99.2%	88.3%
Sri Lanka	Padiyathalawa	2000	88.2%	97.4%	63.9%
Sri Lanka	Padiyathalawa	2017	98.5%	99.7%	93.9%
Sri Lanka	Padukka	2000	89.5%	98.0%	69.5%
Sri Lanka	Padukka	2017	98.7%	99.9%	95.1%
Sri Lanka	Palagala	2000	89.3%	97.4%	73.6%
Sri Lanka	Palagala	2017	98.7%	99.7%	95.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Palindanuwara	2000	91.5%	98.7%	73.5%
Sri Lanka	Palindanuwara	2017	99.0%	99.8%	96.1%
Sri Lanka	Pallama	2000	85.7%	97.5%	60.8%
Sri Lanka	Pallama	2017	98.0%	99.8%	92.6%
Sri Lanka	Pallepola	2000	85.7%	95.0%	69.5%
Sri Lanka	Pallepola	2017	98.1%	99.5%	95.3%
Sri Lanka	Palugaswewa	2000	91.4%	98.5%	73.4%
Sri Lanka	Palugaswewa	2017	99.0%	99.9%	95.8%
Sri Lanka	Panadura	2000	86.7%	98.0%	60.3%
Sri Lanka	Panadura	2017	98.2%	99.8%	92.4%
Sri Lanka	Panduwasnuwara	2000	86.9%	96.8%	66.8%
Sri Lanka	Panduwasnuwara	2017	98.2%	99.7%	94.6%
Sri Lanka	Pannala	2000	86.2%	96.1%	68.5%
Sri Lanka	Pannala	2017	98.1%	99.6%	94.9%
Sri Lanka	Panvila	2000	91.6%	98.9%	71.8%
Sri Lanka	Panvila	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.9%
Sri Lanka	Pasbage Korale	2000	89.3%	98.8%	64.8%
Sri Lanka	Pasbage Korale	2017	98.5%	99.9%	93.5%
Sri Lanka	Pasgoda	2000	87.3%	97.4%	68.6%
Sri Lanka	Pasgoda	2017	98.4%	99.7%	94.4%
Sri Lanka	Passara	2000	89.7%	98.5%	70.2%
Sri Lanka	Passara	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.3%
Sri Lanka	Pathadumbara	2000	91.6%	98.4%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Pathadumbara	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.4%
Sri Lanka	Pathahewaheta	2000	93.3%	98.3%	80.7%
Sri Lanka	Pathahewaheta	2017	99.2%	99.9%	97.0%
Sri Lanka	Pelmadulla	2000	90.6%	98.3%	73.3%
Sri Lanka	Pelmadulla	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.3%
Sri Lanka	Pitabeddara	2000	87.1%	98.2%	69.0%
Sri Lanka	Pitabeddara	2017	98.3%	99.8%	93.9%
Sri Lanka	Polgahawela	2000	87.9%	98.1%	67.5%
Sri Lanka	Polgahawela	2017	98.5%	99.8%	95.3%
Sri Lanka	Polpithigama	2000	87.8%	96.8%	73.4%
Sri Lanka	Polpithigama	2017	98.4%	99.7%	95.5%
Sri Lanka	Poojapitiya	2000	87.0%	98.0%	59.6%
Sri Lanka	Poojapitiya	2017	98.2%	99.8%	92.7%
Sri Lanka	Poonakary	2000	82.3%	94.5%	63.9%
Sri Lanka	Poonakary	2017	97.3%	99.4%	92.9%
Sri Lanka	Porativu Pattu	2000	86.5%	97.2%	63.8%
Sri Lanka	Porativu Pattu	2017	98.2%	99.8%	93.9%
Sri Lanka	Pothuvil	2000	83.7%	97.0%	52.4%
Sri Lanka	Pothuvil	2017	97.6%	99.8%	90.0%
Sri Lanka	Puthukudiyiruppu	2000	80.4%	95.1%	54.1%
Sri Lanka	Puthukudiyiruppu	2017	96.8%	99.4%	89.8%
Sri Lanka	Puttalam	2000	82.7%	94.5%	62.7%
Sri Lanka	Puttalam	2017	97.6%	99.4%	92.6%
Sri Lanka	Rajanganaya	2000	83.7%	97.2%	59.6%
Sri Lanka	Rajanganaya	2017	97.7%	99.7%	91.5%
Sri Lanka	Rambewa	2000	75.6%	92.8%	45.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Rambewa	2017	95.7%	99.2%	85.3%
Sri Lanka	Rambukkana	2000	86.4%	95.9%	70.1%
Sri Lanka	Rambukkana	2017	98.2%	99.5%	94.8%
Sri Lanka	Rasnayakapura	2000	87.3%	98.0%	64.9%
Sri Lanka	Rasnayakapura	2017	98.4%	99.8%	94.4%
Sri Lanka	Ratnapura	2000	92.9%	97.6%	84.6%
Sri Lanka	Ratnapura	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Sri Lanka	Rattota	2000	88.0%	98.5%	61.5%
Sri Lanka	Rattota	2017	98.4%	99.9%	93.1%
Sri Lanka	Rideegama	2000	87.2%	97.1%	65.2%
Sri Lanka	Rideegama	2017	98.3%	99.7%	94.6%
Sri Lanka	Rideemaliyadda	2000	89.2%	95.6%	76.6%
Sri Lanka	Rideemaliyadda	2017	98.7%	99.5%	96.5%
Sri Lanka	Ruwanwella	2000	88.2%	98.3%	67.7%
Sri Lanka	Ruwanwella	2017	98.4%	99.8%	94.1%
Sri Lanka	Sainthamarathu	2000	82.7%	97.9%	53.8%
Sri Lanka	Sainthamarathu	2017	97.6%	99.9%	92.2%
Sri Lanka	Samanthurai	2000	81.0%	93.5%	56.0%
Sri Lanka	Samanthurai	2017	97.4%	99.3%	92.2%
Sri Lanka	Seruvila	2000	79.5%	94.1%	57.7%
Sri Lanka	Seruvila	2017	96.9%	99.4%	91.2%
Sri Lanka	Sevanagala	2000	87.0%	97.7%	65.3%
Sri Lanka	Sevanagala	2017	98.2%	99.8%	93.2%
Sri Lanka	Siyambalanduwa	2000	84.9%	95.1%	66.5%
Sri Lanka	Siyambalanduwa	2017	97.8%	99.4%	94.1%
Sri Lanka	Sooriyawewa	2000	88.9%	97.5%	74.4%
Sri Lanka	Sooriyawewa	2017	98.6%	99.8%	96.1%
Sri Lanka	Soranathota	2000	87.6%	98.2%	64.7%
Sri Lanka	Soranathota	2017	98.4%	99.9%	94.1%
Sri Lanka	Sri Jayawar- danapura Kotte	2000	93.2%	96.6%	87.2%
Sri Lanka	Sri Jayawar- danapura Kotte	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.4%
Sri Lanka	Tangalle	2000	81.8%	94.6%	61.9%
Sri Lanka	Tangalle	2017	97.4%	99.4%	92.7%
Sri Lanka	Thalawa	2000	89.8%	96.1%	78.3%
Sri Lanka	Thalawa	2017	98.7%	99.6%	96.3%
Sri Lanka	Thamankaduwa	2000	94.3%	97.6%	87.4%
Sri Lanka	Thamankaduwa	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.4%
Sri Lanka	Thambuttegama	2000	87.3%	97.2%	63.3%
Sri Lanka	Thambuttegama	2017	98.3%	99.7%	92.6%
Sri Lanka	Thampalakamam	2000	85.5%	97.3%	60.9%
Sri Lanka	Thampalakamam	2017	98.0%	99.7%	93.4%
Sri Lanka	Thanamalvila	2000	86.5%	93.9%	73.7%
Sri Lanka	Thanamalvila	2017	98.2%	99.4%	95.4%
Sri Lanka	Thawalama	2000	90.9%	98.2%	75.3%
Sri Lanka	Thawalama	2017	98.9%	99.8%	96.4%
Sri Lanka	Thenmaradchy (Chavakachcheri)	2000	89.2%	97.8%	70.3%
Sri Lanka	Thenmaradchy (Chavakachcheri)	2017	98.6%	99.8%	94.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Thihagoda	2000	80.6%	96.8%	51.0%
Sri Lanka	Thihagoda	2017	97.2%	99.7%	90.1%
Sri Lanka	Thimbirigasyaya	2000	94.0%	98.0%	86.0%
Sri Lanka	Thimbirigasyaya	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.0%
Sri Lanka	Thirappane	2000	84.9%	95.8%	66.0%
Sri Lanka	Thirappane	2017	97.8%	99.6%	93.4%
Sri Lanka	Thirukkovil	2000	85.1%	97.8%	57.2%
Sri Lanka	Thirukkovil	2017	97.9%	99.8%	91.9%
Sri Lanka	Thissamaharama	2000	87.4%	97.4%	68.9%
Sri Lanka	Thissamaharama	2017	98.4%	99.7%	95.0%
Sri Lanka	Thumpane	2000	85.4%	98.4%	53.5%
Sri Lanka	Thumpane	2017	97.9%	99.9%	90.7%
Sri Lanka	Thunukkai	2000	84.1%	92.8%	72.8%
Sri Lanka	Thunukkai	2017	97.5%	99.1%	94.0%
Sri Lanka	Trincomalee	2000	83.5%	97.4%	53.9%
	Town and Gravets				
Sri Lanka	Trincomalee	2017	97.5%	99.7%	88.6%
	Town and Gravets				
Sri Lanka	Udadumbara	2000	90.1%	98.3%	71.8%
Sri Lanka	Udadumbara	2017	98.7%	99.8%	95.8%
Sri Lanka	Udapalatha	2000	80.0%	95.1%	54.1%
Sri Lanka	Udapalatha	2017	97.0%	99.6%	89.9%
Sri Lanka	Udubaddawa	2000	82.6%	96.2%	57.3%
Sri Lanka	Udubaddawa	2017	97.4%	99.6%	91.4%
Sri Lanka	Udunuwara	2000	72.2%	91.2%	48.6%
Sri Lanka	Udunuwara	2017	95.4%	99.1%	87.1%
Sri Lanka	Uhana	2000	86.0%	96.7%	63.4%
Sri Lanka	Uhana	2017	98.0%	99.7%	92.2%
Sri Lanka	Ukuwela	2000	87.7%	98.2%	59.1%
Sri Lanka	Ukuwela	2017	98.4%	99.8%	92.8%
Sri Lanka	Uva	2000	90.0%	97.6%	72.4%
	Paranagama				
Sri Lanka	Uva	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.4%
	Paranagama				
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchi	2000	85.9%	98.4%	60.0%
	South-West				
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchi	2017	98.1%	99.8%	92.8%
	South-West				
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy	2000	82.2%	94.4%	58.2%
	East				
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy	2017	97.2%	99.4%	90.1%
	East				
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy	2000	83.2%	97.8%	48.4%
	North				
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy	2017	97.5%	99.8%	89.3%
	North				
Sri Lanka	Valikamam	2000	96.2%	99.1%	91.0%
	East				
Sri Lanka	Valikamam	2017	99.6%	99.9%	98.8%
	East				

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Valikamam North	2000	89.0%	99.0%	64.1%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam North	2017	98.6%	99.9%	94.6%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South	2000	91.7%	99.0%	72.8%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South	2017	99.0%	99.9%	96.3%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South-West	2000	86.2%	98.3%	57.7%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South-West	2017	98.1%	99.9%	91.9%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam West	2000	83.8%	97.5%	52.8%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam West	2017	97.7%	99.7%	89.4%
Sri Lanka	Vanathavilluwa	2000	82.1%	95.7%	60.0%
Sri Lanka	Vanathavilluwa	2017	97.3%	99.5%	91.4%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya	2000	73.1%	87.8%	51.3%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya	2017	95.6%	98.5%	89.7%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya North	2000	80.7%	94.0%	64.7%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya North	2017	97.0%	99.3%	92.7%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya South	2000	72.3%	93.8%	41.7%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya South	2017	95.0%	99.3%	84.7%
Sri Lanka	Vengalcheddikulam	2000	79.4%	93.8%	55.5%
Sri Lanka	Vengalcheddikulam	2017	96.8%	99.4%	90.5%
Sri Lanka	Verugal	2000	88.2%	97.6%	70.9%
Sri Lanka	Verugal	2017	98.5%	99.8%	95.7%
Sri Lanka	Walallowita	2000	90.2%	97.9%	73.4%
Sri Lanka	Walallowita	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.6%
Sri Lanka	Walapane	2000	91.1%	98.4%	74.7%
Sri Lanka	Walapane	2017	98.9%	99.9%	96.1%
Sri Lanka	Warakapola	2000	87.1%	97.2%	64.8%
Sri Lanka	Warakapola	2017	98.3%	99.7%	94.0%
Sri Lanka	Wariyapola	2000	84.2%	96.7%	58.5%
Sri Lanka	Wariyapola	2017	97.7%	99.7%	91.9%
Sri Lanka	Wattala	2000	94.3%	99.0%	82.4%
Sri Lanka	Wattala	2017	99.3%	99.9%	97.9%
Sri Lanka	Weeraketiya	2000	83.0%	92.4%	73.0%
Sri Lanka	Weeraketiya	2017	97.8%	99.1%	95.7%
Sri Lanka	Weerambugedara	2000	87.2%	98.1%	62.7%
Sri Lanka	Weerambugedara	2017	98.2%	99.8%	93.7%
Sri Lanka	Weligama	2000	84.9%	98.1%	60.8%
Sri Lanka	Weligama	2017	97.9%	99.8%	92.7%
Sri Lanka	Weligepola	2000	90.7%	96.7%	79.0%
Sri Lanka	Weligepola	2017	98.9%	99.6%	97.1%
Sri Lanka	Welikanda	2000	89.3%	97.4%	73.6%
Sri Lanka	Welikanda	2017	98.6%	99.7%	95.5%
Sri Lanka	Welimada	2000	89.3%	97.9%	73.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Welimada	2017	98.6%	99.8%	95.8%
Sri Lanka	Welipitiya	2000	85.8%	98.5%	60.2%
Sri Lanka	Welipitiya	2017	98.0%	99.9%	92.4%
Sri Lanka	Welivitiya-Divithura	2000	94.1%	97.7%	85.1%
Sri Lanka	Welivitiya-Divithura	2017	99.4%	99.8%	98.2%
Sri Lanka	Wellawaya	2000	85.0%	95.8%	66.1%
Sri Lanka	Wellawaya	2017	97.9%	99.6%	93.3%
Sri Lanka	Wennappuwa	2000	83.2%	97.2%	51.3%
Sri Lanka	Wennappuwa	2017	97.6%	99.7%	90.0%
Sri Lanka	Wilgamuwa	2000	85.2%	97.4%	57.8%
Sri Lanka	Wilgamuwa	2017	97.9%	99.8%	91.5%
Sri Lanka	Yakkalamulla	2000	90.1%	98.2%	73.3%
Sri Lanka	Yakkalamulla	2017	98.8%	99.8%	96.2%
Sri Lanka	Yatawatta	2000	87.2%	96.5%	65.8%
Sri Lanka	Yatawatta	2017	98.3%	99.6%	93.9%
Sri Lanka	Yatinuwara	2000	80.7%	95.9%	56.3%
Sri Lanka	Yatinuwara	2017	97.0%	99.6%	90.1%
Sri Lanka	Yatyanthota	2000	91.2%	98.6%	78.1%
Sri Lanka	Yatyanthota	2017	98.9%	99.9%	96.7%
Thailand	Akat Amnuai	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Akat Amnuai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Amphawa	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Amphawa	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Amphoe Muang Ya-sothon	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Amphoe Muang Ya-sothon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Amphoe Sai Mun	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Amphoe Sai Mun	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Ao Luk	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%
Thailand	Ao Luk	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Aranyaprathet	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Aranyaprathet	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	At Samat	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	At Samat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Bacho	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.5%
Thailand	Bacho	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Bamnet Narong	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Bamnet Narong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Ban Bung	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Ban Bung	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Ban Chang	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Ban Chang	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Ban Dan Lan Hoi	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Ban Dan Lan Hoi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Ban Dung	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Ban Dung	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Ban Fang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Ban Fang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Ban Hong	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Ban Hong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Ban Khai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Ban Khai	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Ban Khok	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Ban Khok	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Ban Khwao	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Ban Khwao	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Ban Kruat	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Ban Kruat	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Laem	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Ban Laem	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Ban Lat	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Ban Lat	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Ban Luam	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Ban Luam	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Ban Luang	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Ban Luang	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Ban Mai Chai Pho	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Ban Mai Chai Pho	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Ban Mi	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Ban Mi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Ban Mo	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Ban Mo	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Ban Muang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Ban Muang	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Ban Na	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Ban Na	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Ban Na Doem	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.0%
Thailand	Ban Na Doem	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Ban Na San	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Thailand	Ban Na San	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Ban Phaeng	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Ban Phaeng	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Ban Phaeo	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Ban Phaeo	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Ban Phai	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Ban Phai	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Ban Pho	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Ban Pho	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Ban Phraek	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Ban Phraek	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Ban Phu	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Ban Phu	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Ban Pong	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Ban Pong	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Ban Rai	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Ban Rai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Ban Sang	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Ban Sang	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Ban Ta Khun	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.3%
Thailand	Ban Ta Khun	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Ban Tak	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Ban Tak	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Ban Thaen	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Ban Thaen	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Ban Thi	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Ban Thi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Bang Ban	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Bang Ban	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Bo	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Bang Bo	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Bon	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Bang Bon	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Bang Bua Thong	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Bang Bua Thong	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Bang Kaeo	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.4%
Thailand	Bang Kaeo	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Bang Kapi	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Bang Kapi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Khae	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Bang Khae	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Bang Khan	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.2%
Thailand	Bang Khan	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Bang Khen	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Bang Khen	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Khla	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Bang Khla	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Kho Laem	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Bang Kho Laem	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Khon Ti	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Bang Khon Ti	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Klam	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Thailand	Bang Klam	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Bang Krathum	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Bang Krathum	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Bang Kruai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Bang Kruai	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Lamung	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Bang Lamung	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Len	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Bang Len	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Bang Mun Nak	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Bang Mun Nak	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Bang Na	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Bang Na	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Nam Prieo	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Bang Nam Prieo	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Pa-In	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Bang Pa-In	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Pahan	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Bang Pahan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Pakong	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Bang Pakong	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Phae	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Bang Phae	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Pla Ma	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Bang Pla Ma	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Plad	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Bang Plad	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Plee	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Bang Plee	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Rachan	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Bang Rachan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Bang Rak	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Bang Rak	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Rakam	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Bang Rakam	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Bang Sai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Bang Sai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Saphan	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Thailand	Bang Saphan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Bang Saphan Noi	2000	98.1%	98.7%	97.5%
Thailand	Bang Saphan Noi	2017	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	Bang Su	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.5%
Thailand	Bang Su	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bang Yai	2000	99.6%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Bang Yai	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bangkhuntien	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Bangkhuntien	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bangkok Noi	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Bangkok Noi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bangkok Yai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Bangkok Yai	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Bannang Star	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Thailand	Bannang Star	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.0%
Thailand	Banphot Phisai	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Banphot Phisai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Batong	2000	95.4%	96.8%	93.8%
Thailand	Batong	2017	98.2%	98.9%	97.2%
Thailand	Benchalak	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Benchalak	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Bo Klue	2000	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	Bo Klue	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Bo Phloi	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Bo Phloi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Bo Rai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Bo Rai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Thailand	Bo Thong	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Bo Thong	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Borabu	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Borabu	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Bua Chet	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Bua Chet	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Bua Yai	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Bua Yai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Buang Sam Phan	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Buang Sam Phan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Bung Bun	2000	99.7%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Bung Bun	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Bung Kan	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Bung Kan	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Bung Khong Long	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Bung Khong Long	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Bung Kum	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.5%
Thailand	Bung Kum	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Buntharik	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Thailand	Buntharik	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Cha-Am	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Cha-Am	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Cha-uat	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.2%
Thailand	Cha-uat	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Chae Hom	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Chae Hom	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Chai Badan	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Chai Badan	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Chai Prakarn	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.1%
Thailand	Chai Prakarn	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Chai Wan	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Chai Wan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Chaiburi	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Thailand	Chaiburi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Chaiya	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Thailand	Chaiya	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Chaiyo	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Chaiyo	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Chakkarat	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Chakkarat	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Cham Ni	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Cham Ni	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Chana	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.6%
Thailand	Chana	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Changhan	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Changhan	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Chanuman	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Chanuman	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Chareon Silp	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Chareon Silp	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Chat Trakan	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Chat Trakan	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Chatturat	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Chatturat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Chatuchak	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Chatuchak	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Chaturaphak Phim	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Chaturaphak Phim	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Chawang	2000	96.7%	97.4%	96.1%
Thailand	Chawang	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Chian Yai	2000	96.5%	97.1%	95.6%
Thailand	Chian Yai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Chiang Dao	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Thailand	Chiang Dao	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Chiang Kham	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Chiang Kham	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Chiang Khan	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Chiang Khan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Chiang Khong	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Thailand	Chiang Khong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Chiang Klang	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Chiang Klang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Chiang Muan	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Chiang Muan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Chiang Saen	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Thailand	Chiang Saen	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Chiang Yun	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Chiang Yun	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Cho-I-rong	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Thailand	Cho-I-rong	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Chok Chai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Chok Chai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Chom Bung	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Chom Bung	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Chom Phra	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Chom Phra	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Chon Daen	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Chon Daen	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Chonnabot	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Chonnabot	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Chulaphon	2000	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Thailand	Chulaphon	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Chum Phae	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Chum Phae	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Chum Phuang	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Chum Phuang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Chumphon	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Buri				
Thailand	Chumphon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Chumsaeng	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Chumsaeng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Chun	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Chun	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Damnoen Sad-uak	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Damnoen Sad-uak	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Dan Chang	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Dan Chang	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Dan Khun Thot	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Dan Khun Thot	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Dan Makham Tia	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Dan Makham Tia	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Dan Sai	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Dan Sai	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Den Chai	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Den Chai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Det Udom	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Thailand	Det Udom	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Din Dang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Din Dang	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Doembang Nangbua	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Doembang Nangbua	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Doi Saket	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Doi Saket	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Doi Tao	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Thailand	Doi Tao	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Dok Kham Tai	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Dok Kham Tai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Don Chedi	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Don Chedi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Don Muang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Don Muang	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Don Phut	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Don Phut	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Don Sak	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.1%
Thailand	Don Sak	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Don Tan	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Don Tan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Don Tum	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Don Tum	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Dong Luang	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Dong Luang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Donmotdaeng	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Donmotdaeng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Dusit	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Dusit	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Fak Tha	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Fak Tha	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Fang	2000	98.7%	99.2%	98.3%
Thailand	Fang	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Han Kha	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Han Kha	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Hang Chat	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Hang Chat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Hang Dong	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Hang Dong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Hat Yai	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Thailand	Hat Yai	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Hot	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Hot	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Hua Hin	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Hua Hin	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Hua Sai	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Thailand	Hua Sai	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Hua Taphan	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Hua Taphan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Huai Khot	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Huai Khot	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Huai Kra Chao	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Huai Kra Chao	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Huai Kwang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Huai Kwang	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Huai Mek	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Huai Mek	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Huai Phung	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Huai Phung	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Huai Rat	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Huai Rat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Huai Thalang	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Huai Thalang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Huai Thap Than	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Huai Thap Than	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Huai Yot	2000	96.8%	97.3%	96.3%
Thailand	Huai Yot	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	In Buri	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	In Buri	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Ja-Nae	2000	96.4%	97.2%	95.6%
Thailand	Ja-Nae	2017	98.6%	99.0%	98.1%
Thailand	K. Ban Dan	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Ban Dan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Ban Haet	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	K. Ban Haet	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Ban Kha	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	K. Ban Kha	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	K. Bang Sao Thon	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Bang Sao Thon	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	K. Bua Lai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Bua Lai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Bung Khla	2000	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
Thailand	K. Bung Khla	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Bung Narang	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	K. Bung Narang	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Bung Samakki	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	K. Bung Samakki	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Chang Klang	2000	96.6%	97.2%	96.0%
Thailand	K. Chang Klang	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	K. Chiang Kwan	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Chiang Kwan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Chum Ta Bong	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	K. Chum Ta Bong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Chun Chom	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Chun Chom	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Daen Kong	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Daen Kong	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Doi Lo	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	K. Doi Lo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Doi Luang	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	K. Doi Luang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Don Chan	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Don Chan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Dong Charoen	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	K. Dong Charoen	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Erawan	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Erawan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Fao Rai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	K. Fao Rai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Hat Samran	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Thailand	K. Hat Samran	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Kao Kichakut	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	K. Kao Kichakut	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Khao Chamao	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	K. Khao Chamao	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	K. Khlong Khuan	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Khlong Khuan	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	K. Khok Pho Cha	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Khok Pho Cha	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Ko Chan	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Ko Chan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	K. Ko Chang	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Thailand	K. Ko Chang	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Ko Kut	2000	98.8%	99.3%	98.1%
Thailand	K. Ko Kut	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Ko Sam Pi Nakhon	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	K. Ko Sam Pi Nakhon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Kok Sung	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Kok Sung	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	K. Kong Chai	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Kong Chai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Krong Pi Nung	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Thailand	K. Krong Pi Nung	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Ku Kaeo	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Ku Kaeo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Kut Rang	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Kut Rang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Kwao Si Narin	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Kwao Si Narin	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Lam Tha Men Chai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Lam Tha Men Chai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Lao Sua Kok	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Lao Sua Kok	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Ma Nang	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Thailand	K. Ma Nang	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Mae On	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Mae On	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Mae Poen	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Mae Poen	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Muang Yang	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Muang Yang	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Na Du	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Na Du	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Na Tan	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Na Tan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Na Yai Am	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	K. Na Yai Am	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	K. Na Yia	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Na Yia	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Nam Khun	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Nam Khun	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Nikhom Pattan	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Nikhom Pattan	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Noen Kham	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	K. Noen Kham	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	K. Non Narai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Non Narai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Non Sila	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	K. Non Sila	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Nong Hi	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Nong Hi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Nong Hin	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Nong Hin	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Nong Ma Mong	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	K. Nong Ma Mong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Nong Na Kham	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Nong Na Kham	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Nophi Tam	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Thailand	K. Nophi Tam	2017	98.4%	98.8%	97.9%
Thailand	K. Phanom Dong Rak	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Phanom Dong Rak	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Pho Si Suwan	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Pho Si Suwan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Pho Tak	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	K. Pho Tak	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Phra Thong Kham	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Phra Thong Kham	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Phu Kam Yao	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Phu Kam Yao	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Phu Pieng	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Thailand	K. Phu Pieng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Phu Sang	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	K. Phu Sang	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Prachak Silapakhom	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Prachak Silapakhom	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Rattana Wapi	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	K. Rattana Wapi	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Sa Khrai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Sa Khrai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Sak Lek	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	K. Sak Lek	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Sam Chai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Sam Chai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Sam Roi Yot	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	K. Sam Roi Yot	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	K. Sam Sung	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Sam Sung	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Sap Yai	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	K. Sap Yai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Sawang Weeraw	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Sawang Weeraw	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Sida	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	K. Sida	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	K. Sila Lat	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Sila Lat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Sri Nakarin	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.3%
Thailand	K. Sri Nakarin	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	K. Sri Narong	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Sri Narong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Suk Samran	2000	96.7%	97.6%	95.5%
Thailand	K. Suk Samran	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.3%
Thailand	K. The Pharak	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	K. The Pharak	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Thung Kao Lua	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Thung Kao Lua	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Wang Chao	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	K. Wang Chao	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	K. Wang Sombun	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	K. Wang Sombun	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	K. Wang Yang	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Wang Yang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Wiang Nong Long	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Thailand	K. Wiang Nong Long	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	K. Wieng Chiang	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Wieng Chiang	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	K. Wipawadi	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.9%
Thailand	K. Wipawadi	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Thailand	Ka Bang	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Thailand	Ka Bang	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Thailand	Ka Pho	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.5%
Thailand	Ka Pho	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Kabin Buri	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Kabin Buri	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Kae Dam	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Kae Dam	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Kaeng Khlo	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Kaeng Khlo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Kaeng Khoi	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Kaeng Khoi	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Kaeng Krachan	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Kaeng Krachan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Kaeng Sanam Nang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Kaeng Sanam Nang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Kamalasai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Kamalasai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Kamphaeng Saen	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Kamphaeng Saen	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Kanchanadit	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Thailand	Kanchanadit	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Kang Hang Maeo	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Kang Hang Maeo	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Kantharalak	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Kantharalak	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Kanthararom	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Kanthararom	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Kantharawichai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Kantharawichai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Kantrang	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Thailand	Kantrang	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Kao Cha Kan	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Kao Cha Kan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Kao Lio	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Kao Lio	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Kap Choeng	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Kap Choeng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Kapoe	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Thailand	Kapoe	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.3%
Thailand	Kapong	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Kapong	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
Thailand	Kaset Sombon	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Kaset Sombon	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Kaset Wisai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Kaset Wisai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Kathu	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.7%
Thailand	Kathu	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.8%
Thailand	Khai Bang Rachan	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Khai Bang Rachan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Kham Khuan Kaeo	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Kham Khuan Kaeo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Kham Muang	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Kham Muang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Kham Sakae Saeng	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Kham Sakae Saeng	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Kham Ta Kla	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Kham Ta Kla	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Kham Thala So	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Kham Thala So	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Khamcha-i	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Khamcha-i	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Khan Na Yao	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.5%
Thailand	Khan Na Yao	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Khanom	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Thailand	Khanom	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Thailand	Khanu	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Khanu Woralaksaburi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Khao Chaison	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.5%
Thailand	Khao Chaison	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Khao Kho	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Khao Kho	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Thailand	Khao Phanom	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.5%
Thailand	Khao Phanom	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khao Saming	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Thailand	Khao Saming	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Thailand	Khao Suan Kwang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Khao Suan Kwang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Khao Wong	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Khao Wong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Khao Yoi	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Khao Yoi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Khemarat	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Khemarat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Khian Sa	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Thailand	Khian Sa	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Khiri Mat	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Khiri Mat	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Khiri	2000	96.7%	97.3%	95.8%
Thailand	Ratthanikhom				
Thailand	Khiri	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Ratthanikhom				
Thailand	Khlong Hat	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Khlong Hat	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Khlong Hoi Kong	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Thailand	Khlong Hoi Kong	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Khlong Luang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Khlong Luang	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Khlong Sam Wa	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Khlong Sam Wa	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Khlong San	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Khlong San	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Khlong Thom	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.7%
Thailand	Khlong Thom	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Khlong Toey	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Khlong Toey	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Khlong Yai	2000	98.9%	99.4%	98.2%
Thailand	Khlong Yai	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Thailand	Khlung	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Thailand	Khlung	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Thailand	Kho Wang	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Kho Wang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Khok Charoen	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Khok Charoen	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Khok Pho	2000	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Thailand	Khok Pho	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Khok Sam-rong	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Khok Sam-rong	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Khok Sri Supan	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Khok Sri Supan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Khon Buri	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Khon Buri	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Khon San	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Khon San	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Khon Sawan	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Khon Sawan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Khong	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Khong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Khong Chiam	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Khong Chiam	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Khu Muang	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Khu Muang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Khuan Don	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.2%
Thailand	Khuan Don	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Khuan Ka Long	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Thailand	Khuan Ka Long	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Khuan Khanun	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.4%
Thailand	Khuan Khanun	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.6%
Thailand	Khuan Niang	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Thailand	Khuan Niang	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Khuang Nai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Khuang Nai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Khukhan	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Khukhan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Khun Han	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Khun Han	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Khun Tan	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Khun Tan	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Khun Yuam	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Thailand	Khun Yuam	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Khura Buri	2000	96.8%	97.6%	95.7%
Thailand	Khura Buri	2017	98.9%	99.4%	98.4%
Thailand	Klaeng	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Klaeng	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Klong Khlung	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Klong Khlung	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Klong Lan	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Klong Lan	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Ko Kha	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Ko Kha	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Ko Lanta	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.5%
Thailand	Ko Lanta	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Ko Phangan	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.9%
Thailand	Ko Phangan	2017	98.6%	99.1%	97.9%
Thailand	Ko Samui	2000	97.1%	97.9%	96.2%
Thailand	Ko Samui	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Thailand	Ko Sichang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Ko Sichang	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Ko Yao	2000	97.4%	97.8%	96.8%
Thailand	Ko Yao	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Kong Krailat	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Kong Krailat	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Kong Ra	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.5%
Thailand	Kong Ra	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Kosum Phisai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Kosum Phisai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Kra Buri	2000	96.7%	97.5%	95.6%
Thailand	Kra Buri	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Thailand	Kranuan	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Kranuan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Krasae Sinthu	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Thailand	Krasae Sinthu	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Krasang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Krasang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Krathum Baen	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Krathum Baen	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Krok Phra	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Krok Phra	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Kuchinarai	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Kuchinarai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Kui Buri	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Kui Buri	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Kumphawapi	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Kumphawapi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Kusuman	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Kusuman	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Kut Bak	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Kut Bak	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Kut Chap	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Kut Chap	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Kut Chum	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Kut Chum	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Kut Khao Pun	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Kut Khao Pun	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	La-Un	2000	96.3%	97.3%	95.2%
Thailand	La-Un	2017	98.7%	99.2%	98.1%
Thailand	Laem Ngop	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.7%
Thailand	Laem Ngop	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Thailand	Laem Sing	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Thailand	Laem Sing	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Thailand	Lahan Sai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Lahan Sai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Lak Si	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Lak Si	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Lam Luk Ka	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Lam Luk Ka	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Lam Plai Mat	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Lam Plai Mat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Lam Son Thi	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Lam Son Thi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Lam Thap	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%
Thailand	Lam Thap	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Lamae	2000	96.8%	97.6%	96.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Lamae	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Lamduan	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Lamduan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Lan Krabu	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Lan Krabu	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Lan Sak	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Lan Sak	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Lan Saka	2000	96.2%	96.8%	95.4%
Thailand	Lan Saka	2017	98.5%	98.8%	98.0%
Thailand	Lang Suan	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Thailand	Lang Suan	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.5%
Thailand	Langu	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.4%
Thailand	Langu	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.5%
Thailand	Lao Khwan	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Lao Khwan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Laplae	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Laplae	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Lat Bua Luang	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Lat Bua Luang	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Lat Krabang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Lat Krabang	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Lat Lum Kaeo	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Lat Lum Kaeo	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Lat Phrao	2000	99.6%	99.6%	99.5%
Thailand	Lat Phrao	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Lat Yao	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Lat Yao	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Li	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Li	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Loeng Nok Tha	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Loeng Nok Tha	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Lom Kao	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Lom Kao	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Lom Sak	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Lom Sak	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Long	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Long	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Lu Amnat	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Lu Amnat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Mae Ai	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Thailand	Mae Ai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Mae Chaem	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.7%
Thailand	Mae Chaem	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Mae Chai	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Mae Chai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Mae Chan	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Mae Chan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Mae Charim	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Mae Charim	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Mae Fa Luang	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.7%
Thailand	Mae Fa Luang	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Mae La Noi	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Thailand	Mae La Noi	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Mae Lan	2000	97.1%	97.5%	96.7%
Thailand	Mae Lan	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Mae Lao	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Mae Lao	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Mae Mo	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Mae Mo	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Mae Phrik	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Mae Phrik	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Mae Ramat	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.1%
Thailand	Mae Ramat	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.2%
Thailand	Mae Rim	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Mae Rim	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Mae Sai	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.7%
Thailand	Mae Sai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Mae Sariang	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Thailand	Mae Sariang	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Thailand	Mae Sot	2000	98.6%	99.1%	98.0%
Thailand	Mae Sot	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.2%
Thailand	Mae Suai	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Mae Suai	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Mae Taeng	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.5%
Thailand	Mae Taeng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Mae Wang	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Mae Wang	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Mae Wong	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Mae Wong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Maha Chana Chai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Maha Chana Chai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Maha Rat	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Maha Rat	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Mai Kaen	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.6%
Thailand	Mai Kaen	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Makham	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Makham	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Mancha Khiri	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Mancha Khiri	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Manorom	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Manorom	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Mayo	2000	97.2%	97.6%	96.7%
Thailand	Mayo	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Min Buri	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Min Buri	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Moei Wadi	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Moei Wadi	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Muak Lek	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Muak Lek	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Amnat Charoen	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Amnat Charoen	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Ang Thong	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Muang Ang Thong	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Buri Ram	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Buri Ram	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Chachoengsao	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Chachoengsao	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Muang Chai Nat	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Muang Chai Nat	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Chaiyaphum	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Chaiyaphum	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Chan	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Chan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Chanthaburi	2000	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	Muang Chanthaburi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Mai	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Mai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Rai	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Rai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Chon Buri	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Chon Buri	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Muang Chumphon	2000	97.5%	98.0%	96.8%
Thailand	Muang Chumphon	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Thailand	Muang Kalasin	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Kalasin	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Kan- chanaburi	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Kan- chanaburi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Muang Khon Kaen	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Khon Kaen	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Krabi	2000	97.3%	97.8%	96.8%
Thailand	Muang Krabi	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Lam- pang	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Muang Lam- pang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Lam- phun	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Lam- phun	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Loei	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Muang Loei	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Lop Buri	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Muang Lop Buri	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Mae Hong Son	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Mae Hong Son	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Maha Sarakam	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Maha Sarakam	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Muk- dahan	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Muk- dahan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Nayok	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Nayok	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Pathom	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Pathom	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Phanom	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Phanom	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Ratchasima	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Ratchasima	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Sawan	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Sawan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Si Thammarat	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.7%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Si Thammarat	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Nan	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Nan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Narathiwat	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.7%
Thailand	Muang Narathiwat	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Nong Bua Lam Phu	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Nong Bua Lam Phu	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Nong Khai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Muang Nong Khai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Non-thaburi	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Non-thaburi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Muang Pathum Thani	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Pathum Thani	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Muang Pattani	2000	97.5%	97.9%	97.1%
Thailand	Muang Pattani	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Muang Phangnga	2000	96.7%	97.4%	96.0%
Thailand	Muang Phangnga	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Phatthalung	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.6%
Thailand	Muang Phatthalung	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Phayao	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Phayao	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Phetchabun	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Phetchabun	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Phetchaburi	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Phetchaburi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Muang Phichit	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Phichit	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Phitsanulok	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Phitsanulok	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Phrae	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Muang Phrae	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Phuket	2000	97.6%	98.1%	96.9%
Thailand	Muang Phuket	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	Muang Prachin	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Muang Prachin	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Prachuap Buri	2000	99.3%	99.6%	99.0%
Thailand	Muang Prachuap Khiri Khan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Prachuap Khiri Khan	2000	96.1%	97.1%	95.0%
Thailand	Muang Rangoon	2017	98.8%	99.3%	98.3%
Thailand	Muang Ratchaburi	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Ratchaburi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Muang Rayong	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Rayong	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Muang Roi Et	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Roi Et	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Sa Kaeo	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Muang Sa Kaeo	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Sakon Nakhon	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Sakon Nakhon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Samsip	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Samsip	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Samut Prakan	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Samut Prakan	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sakhon	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sakhon	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Muang Samut Songkhram	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Samut Songkhram	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Muang Saraburi	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Muang Saraburi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Satun	2000	97.1%	97.8%	96.0%
Thailand	Muang Satun	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Si Sa Ket	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Si Sa Ket	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Sing Buri	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Thailand	Muang Sing Buri	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Songkhla	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.4%
Thailand	Muang Songkhla	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Suang	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Suang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Sukhothai	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Muang Sukhothai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Suphanburi	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Muang Suphanburi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Muang Surat Thani	2000	97.2%	97.8%	96.6%
Thailand	Muang Surat Thani	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Surin	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Surin	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Muang Tak	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Tak	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Trang	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.5%
Thailand	Muang Trang	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Muang Trat	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Thailand	Muang Trat	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Ubon Ratchatani	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Ubon Ratchatani	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Muang Udon Thani	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Muang Udon Thani	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Uthai Thani	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Muang Uthai Thani	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Muang Ut-taradit	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Muang Ut-taradit	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Muang Yala	2000	97.1%	97.5%	96.6%
Thailand	Muang Yala	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Mueang Kamphaeng Phet	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Mueang Kamphaeng Phet	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Mueang Pan	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Mueang Pan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Na Bon	2000	96.5%	97.1%	95.9%
Thailand	Na Bon	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Na Chaluai	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Thailand	Na Chaluai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Na Chuak	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Na Chuak	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Na Di	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Na Di	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Na Duang	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Na Duang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Na Dun	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Na Dun	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Na Haeo	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Na Haeo	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Na Kae	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Na Kae	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Na Klang	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Na Klang	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Na Mom	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.1%
Thailand	Na Mom	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Na Mon	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Na Mon	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Na Mun	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Na Mun	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Na Noi	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Na Noi	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Na Pho	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Na Pho	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Na Thawi	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.6%
Thailand	Na Thawi	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Na Thom	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Na Thom	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Na Wa	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Na Wa	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Na Wang	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Na Wang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Na Yong	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.3%
Thailand	Na Yong	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Na Yung	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Na Yung	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Nakhon Chaisi	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Nakhon Chaisi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Nakhon Chaisi	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Thailand	Nakhon Chaisi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Nakhon Thai	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Nakhon Thai	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Nam Kliang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Nam Kliang	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Nam Nao	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Nam Nao	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Nam Pat	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Nam Pat	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Nam Phong	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Nam Phong	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Nam Som	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Nam Som	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Nam Yun	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Thailand	Nam Yun	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Nang Rong	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Nang Rong	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Ngao	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Ngao	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Nikhom Kham Soi	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Nikhom Kham Soi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Nikhom Nam Un	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Nikhom Nam Un	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Noen Maprang	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Noen Maprang	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Noen Sa-Nga	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Noen Sa-Nga	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Non Daeng	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Non Daeng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Non Din Daeng	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Non Din Daeng	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Non Khun	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Non Khun	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Non Sa-at	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Non Sa-at	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Non Sang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Non Sang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Non Sung	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Non Sung	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Non Suwan	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Non Suwan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Non Thai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Non Thai	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Nong Bua	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Nong Bua	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Nong Bua Daeng	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Nong Bua Daeng	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Nong Bua Rawae	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Nong Bua Rawae	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Nong Bunnak	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Nong Bunnak	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Nong Chang	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Nong Chang	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Nong Chik	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Thailand	Nong Chik	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Nong Chok	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Nong Chok	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Nong Don	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Nong Don	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Nong Han	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Nong Han	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Nong Hong	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Nong Hong	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Nong Khae	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Nong Khae	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Nong Khayang	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Nong Khayang	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Nong Ki	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Nong Ki	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Nong Kung Si	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Nong Kung Si	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Nong Muang	2000	99.2%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	Nong Muang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Nong Muang Kai	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Nong Muang Kai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Nong Phai	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Nong Phai	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Nong Phok	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Nong Phok	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Nong Prue	2000	99.2%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Nong Prue	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Nong Rua	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Nong Rua	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Nong Song Hong	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Nong Song Hong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Nong Sua	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Nong Sua	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Nong Sung	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Nong Sung	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Nong Wua So	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Nong Wua So	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Nong Ya Plong	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Nong Ya Plong	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Nong Ya Sai	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Nong Ya Sai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Nong Yai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Nong Yai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Nongkheam	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Nongkheam	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Nua Khlong	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.9%
Thailand	Nua Khlong	2017	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Omkoi	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Omkoi	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Ongkharak	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Ongkharak	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Pa Bon	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.6%
Thailand	Pa Bon	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Pa Daet	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Pa Daet	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Pa Kham	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Pa Kham	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Pa Mok	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Pa Mok	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Pa Payom	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Thailand	Pa Payom	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Pa Sang	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Pa Sang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Pa Tiu	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Pa Tiu	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Pai	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Thailand	Pai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Pak Chom	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Pak Chom	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Pak Chong	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Pak Chong	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Pak Khat	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Pak Khat	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Pak Kret	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Pak Kret	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Pak Phanang	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.6%
Thailand	Pak Phanang	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Pak Phayun	2000	97.1%	97.6%	96.5%
Thailand	Pak Phayun	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Pak Phli	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Pak Phli	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Pak Tho	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Pak Tho	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Pak Thong Chai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Pak Thong Chai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Palian	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Thailand	Palian	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Panare	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Thailand	Panare	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Pang Ma Pha	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.3%
Thailand	Pang Ma Pha	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Thailand	Pang Sila Thong	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Pang Sila Thong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Panom Phrai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Panom Phrai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Pathiu	2000	97.8%	98.3%	97.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Pathiu	2017	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Pathum Rat	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Pathum Rat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Pathum Rat-wongsa	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Pathum Rat-wongsa	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Pathum Wan	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Pathum Wan	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Pha Khao	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Pha Khao	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phachi	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Phachi	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Phaisali	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Phaisali	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Phak Hai	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Phak Hai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Phakdi	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Chumphol Phakdi	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phan	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Phan	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Phan Thong	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Phan Thong	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Phana	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Phana	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phanat Nikhom	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Phanat Nikhom	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Phang Khon	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Phang Khon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phanna Nikhom	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Phanna Nikhom	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phanom	2000	96.6%	97.2%	95.7%
Thailand	Phanom	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.2%
Thailand	Phanom Sarakham	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Phanom Sarakham	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Phanom Thuan	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Phanom Thuan	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Phasi Charoen	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Phasi Charoen	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Phato	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.5%
Thailand	Phato	2017	98.6%	99.2%	98.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Phatthana Nikhom	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Phatthana Nikhom	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Phaya Mengrai	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Phaya Mengrai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Phaya Thai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Phaya Thai	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Phayakhaphum Phisai	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Phayakhaphum Phisai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phayu	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Phayu	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phayuha Khiri	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	Phayuha Khiri	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Phen	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Phen	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phi Pun	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.1%
Thailand	Phi Pun	2017	98.5%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Phibun Mangsahan	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Phibun Mangsahan	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Phibun Rak	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Phibun Rak	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phichai	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Phichai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phimai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Phimai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Phlapplachai	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Phlapplachai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Pho Chai	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Pho Chai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Pho Prathap Chan	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Pho Prathap Chan	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Pho Si	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Pho Si	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Pho Thale	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Pho Thale	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Pho Thong	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Pho Thong	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Phon	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Phon	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Phon Charoen	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Phon Charoen	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Phon Na Kaeo	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Phon Na Kaeo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phon Phisai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Phon Phisai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Phon Sai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Phon Sai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Phon Sawan	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Thailand	Phon Sawan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Phon Thong	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Phon Thong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phop Phra	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.3%
Thailand	Phop Phra	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Photharam	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Photharam	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Si Ayutthaya				
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Si Ayutthaya				
Thailand	Phra Phrom	2000	96.4%	97.1%	95.7%
Thailand	Phra Phrom	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Phra Phuttha-	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	bat				
Thailand	Phra Phuttha-	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	bat				
Thailand	Phra Pra	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Daeng				
Thailand	Phra Pra	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Daeng				
Thailand	Phra Samut	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Jadee				
Thailand	Phra Samut	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Jadee				
Thailand	Phra Yun	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Phra Yun	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Phrai Bung	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Phrai Bung	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phran Kratai	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Phran Kratai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phrao	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Phrao	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Phrasat	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Phrasat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Phrom Buri	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Phrom Buri	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Phrom Phi-	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	ram				
Thailand	Phrom Phi-	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	ram				
Thailand	Phrommakhiri	2000	96.2%	96.9%	95.5%
Thailand	Phrommakhiri	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Thailand	Phu Khieo	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Phu Khieo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Phu Kradung	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Phu Kradung	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Phu Luang	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Phu Luang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phu Phan	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Phu Phan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Phu Rua	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.0%
Thailand	Phu Rua	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Phu Sing	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Phu Sing	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phu Wiang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Phu Wiang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Phunphin	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.4%
Thailand	Phunphin	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Phupa Man	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Phupa Man	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Phuttha Mon Thon	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Phuttha Mon Thon	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Phutthaisong	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Phutthaisong	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Pla Pak	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.2%
Thailand	Pla Pak	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Plaeng Yao	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Plaeng Yao	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Plai Phraya	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.0%
Thailand	Plai Phraya	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Pluak Daeng	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Pluak Daeng	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Pom Pram Sattru	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Pom Pram Sattru	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Pong	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Pong	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Pong Nam Ron	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Pong Nam Ron	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Pra Thai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Pra Thai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Prachantakham	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Prachantakham	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Prakanong	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Prakanong	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Prakhon Chai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Prakhon Chai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Thailand	Pran Buri	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Pran Buri	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Prang Ku	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Prang Ku	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Prasaeng	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Prasaeng	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Prawet	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.5%
Thailand	Prawet	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Pua	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	Pua	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Puai Noi	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Puai Noi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Raman	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.5%
Thailand	Raman	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Rangae	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.5%
Thailand	Rangae	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.5%
Thailand	Ranot	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Thailand	Ranot	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Rasada	2000	96.6%	97.2%	96.1%
Thailand	Rasada	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Rasi Salai	2000	99.7%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Rasi Salai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Rat Burana	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Rat Burana	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Ratchasan	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Ratchasan	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Ratchathewi	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Ratchathewi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Rattana Buri	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Rattana Buri	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Rattaphum	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.5%
Thailand	Rattaphum	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Renu Nakhon	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Renu Nakhon	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Ron Phi Pun	2000	96.4%	97.0%	95.6%
Thailand	Ron Phi Pun	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Rong Kham	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Rong Kham	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Rong Kwang	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Rong Kwang	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Ruso	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Thailand	Ruso	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Saba Yoi	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.4%
Thailand	Saba Yoi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Sadao	2000	97.0%	97.6%	96.3%
Thailand	Sadao	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Sahatsakhan	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Sahatsakhan	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Sai Buri	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.5%
Thailand	Sai Buri	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Sai Mai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Sai Mai	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Sai Ngam	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Sai Ngam	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Sai Noi	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Sai Noi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Sai Thong Watthana	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Sai Thong Watthana	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Sai Yok	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.7%
Thailand	Sai Yok	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.6%
Thailand	Sam Chuk	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Sam Chuk	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Sam Khok	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Sam Khok	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Sam Ngam	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Sam Ngam	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Sam Ngao	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Sam Ngao	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Sam Phran	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Sam Phran	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Samko	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Samko	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Samoeng	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Samoeng	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Samphantawong	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Samphantawong	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Samrong	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Samrong	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Samrong	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Thap Samrong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	San Kam- phaeng	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	San Kam- phaeng	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	San Pa Tong	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	San Pa Tong	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	San Sai	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	San Sai	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Sanam Chaikhet	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Sanam Chaikhet	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Sangkha	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Sangkha	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Sangkhla Buri	2000	98.8%	99.3%	98.1%
Thailand	Sangkhla Buri	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Sankha Buri	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Sankha Buri	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Sanom	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Sanom	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Sanphaya	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Sanphaya	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Santi Suk	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Santi Suk	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Sao Hai	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Sao Hai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Saphan Sung	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.5%
Thailand	Saphan Sung	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Saraphi	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Saraphi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Sathing Phra	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.5%
Thailand	Sathing Phra	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Sathorn	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Sathorn	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Sattahip	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Sattahip	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Satuk	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Satuk	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Sawaengha	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Sawaengha	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Sawang Arom	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Sawang Arom	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Sawang Daen Din	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Sawang Daen Din	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Sawankhalok	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Sawankhalok	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Sawi	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.3%
Thailand	Sawi	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Seka	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Seka	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Selaphum	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Selaphum	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Sena	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Sena	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Senangkhanikhon	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Senangkhanikhon	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Si Banphot	2000	96.8%	97.3%	96.2%
Thailand	Si Banphot	2017	98.7%	99.0%	98.4%
Thailand	Si Bun Ruang	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Si Bun Ruang	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Si Chiang Mai	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Si Chiang Mai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Si Chomphu	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Si Chomphu	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Si Mahosot	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Si Mahosot	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Si Muang Mai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Si Muang Mai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Si Nakhon	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Si Nakhon	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Si Prachan	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Si Prachan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Si Racha	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Si Racha	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Si Rin Ton	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Si Rin Ton	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Si Sakhon	2000	96.6%	97.2%	95.9%
Thailand	Si Sakhon	2017	98.6%	98.9%	98.1%
Thailand	Si Sam Rong	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Si Sam Rong	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Si Satchanalai	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Si Satchanalai	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Si Sawat	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	Si Sawat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Si Somdet	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Si Somdet	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Si Songkhram	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Si Songkhram	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Si That	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Si That	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Si Thep	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Si Thep	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Si Wilai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Si Wilai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Sichon	2000	96.8%	97.4%	95.9%
Thailand	Sichon	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Sikao	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.3%
Thailand	Sikao	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Sikhiu	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Sikhiu	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Sikhoraphum	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Sikhoraphum	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Singha Nakhon	2000	97.1%	97.7%	96.5%
Thailand	Singha Nakhon	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	So Phisai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	So Phisai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Soem Ngam	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Soem Ngam	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Soeng Sang	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Soeng Sang	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Somdet	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Somdet	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Song	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Song	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Song Dao	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Song Dao	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Song Kwae	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Song Kwae	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Song Phi Nong	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Song Phi Nong	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.3%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.5%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Sop Moei	2000	98.9%	99.4%	98.4%
Thailand	Sop Moei	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.2%
Thailand	Sop Prap	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Sop Prap	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Soydow	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Soydow	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Sra Both	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Sra Both	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Sri Mahar Pho	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Sri Mahar Pho	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Sri Ratana	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Sri Ratana	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Su-ngai Ko Lok	2000	96.5%	97.4%	95.7%
Thailand	Su-ngai Ko Lok	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.2%
Thailand	Suan Luang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Suan Luang	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Suan Phung	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Suan Phung	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Sukhirin	2000	95.4%	96.5%	94.2%
Thailand	Sukhirin	2017	98.3%	98.9%	97.6%
Thailand	Sung Men	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.7%
Thailand	Sung Men	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Sung Noen	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Sung Noen	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Sungai Padi	2000	96.4%	97.3%	95.7%
Thailand	Sungai Padi	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.2%
Thailand	Suwan Khuha	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Suwan Khuha	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Suwannaphum	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Suwannaphum	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Ta Phraya	2000	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Ta Phraya	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Tak Bai	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.8%
Thailand	Tak Bai	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.7%
Thailand	Tak Fa	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	Tak Fa	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Takhli	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Takhli	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Takua Pa	2000	96.6%	97.4%	95.5%
Thailand	Takua Pa	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.3%
Thailand	Takua Thung	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.2%
Thailand	Takua Thung	2017	99.0%	99.4%	98.7%
Thailand	Taling Chan	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Taling Chan	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Tamot	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Thailand	Tamot	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Tan Sum	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Tan Sum	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Tao Ngoi	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Tao Ngoi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Taphan Hin	2000	98.7%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Taphan Hin	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Tha Bo	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Tha Bo	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Tha Chana	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Thailand	Tha Chana	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2000	97.0%	97.7%	96.2%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Tha Khantho	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Tha Khantho	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Tha Li	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Tha Li	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Tha Luang	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Tha Luang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Tha Mai	2000	99.3%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	Tha Mai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Tha Maka	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Tha Maka	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Tha Muang	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Tha Muang	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Tha Phae	2000	97.0%	97.8%	96.3%
Thailand	Tha Phae	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Thailand	Tha Pla	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Tha Pla	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Tha Rua	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Tha Rua	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Tha Sae	2000	97.4%	98.0%	96.6%
Thailand	Tha Sae	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Thailand	Tha Sala	2000	96.5%	97.2%	95.7%
Thailand	Tha Sala	2017	98.8%	99.2%	98.4%
Thailand	Tha Song Yang	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.1%
Thailand	Tha Song Yang	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.1%
Thailand	Tha Ta Kieb	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Tha Ta Kieb	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Tha Tako	2000	99.1%	99.3%	98.9%
Thailand	Tha Tako	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Tha Tum	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Tha Tum	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Tha Uthen	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.1%
Thailand	Tha Uthen	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.3%
Thailand	Tha Wang Pha	2000	99.1%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Tha Wang Pha	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Tha Wung	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Tha Wung	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Tha Yang	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Tha Yang	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Thai Charoen	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Thai Charoen	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Thai Muang	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Thailand	Thai Muang	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.5%
Thailand	Thalang	2000	97.4%	97.9%	96.7%
Thailand	Thalang	2017	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	Tham Phan-nara	2000	96.8%	97.4%	96.2%
Thailand	Tham Phan-nara	2017	98.9%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Than To	2000	96.1%	96.9%	95.2%
Thailand	Than To	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.7%
Thailand	Thanyaburi	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Thanyaburi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Thap Khlo	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Thap Khlo	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Thap Put	2000	96.7%	97.3%	96.0%
Thailand	Thap Put	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Thap Sakae	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.8%
Thailand	Thap Sakae	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%
Thailand	Thap Than	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Thailand	Thap Than	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	That Phanom	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	That Phanom	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Thawatchaburi	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Thawatchaburi	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Thawi Wat-tana	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Thawi Wat-tana	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Thailand	Thep Sathit	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Thep Sathit	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Thepha	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.8%
Thailand	Thepha	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.8%
Thailand	Thoen	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Thoen	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Thoeng	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Thoeng	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Thon Buri	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Thon Buri	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Thong Pha Phum	2000	99.0%	99.4%	98.5%
Thailand	Thong Pha Phum	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Thong Saen Khan	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Thong Saen Khan	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Thung Chang	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.9%
Thailand	Thung Chang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Thung Fon	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Thung Fon	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Thung Hua Chang	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Thung Hua Chang	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Thung Saliam	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Thung Saliam	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Thung Si Udom	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.2%
Thailand	Thung Si Udom	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Thung Song	2000	96.6%	97.1%	96.0%
Thailand	Thung Song	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Thung Tako	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.0%
Thailand	Thung Tako	2017	98.9%	99.3%	98.5%
Thailand	Thung Wa	2000	96.9%	97.6%	96.2%
Thailand	Thung Wa	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Thung Yai	2000	96.9%	97.4%	96.3%
Thailand	Thung Yai	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Thung Yang Daeng	2000	97.0%	97.4%	96.5%
Thailand	Thung Yang Daeng	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Thungkru	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Thungkru	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Trakan Phutphon	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Trakan Phutphon	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Tron	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Tron	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	U Thong	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	U Thong	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Ubol Ratana	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Ubol Ratana	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Umphang	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.8%
Thailand	Umphang	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Uthai	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Uthai	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Uthumphon Phisai	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Uthumphon Phisai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Wachira Barami	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Wachira Barami	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Waeng	2000	95.6%	96.7%	94.2%
Thailand	Waeng	2017	98.5%	99.1%	97.8%
Thailand	Waeng Noi	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Waeng Noi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Waeng Yai	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Waeng Yai	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Wan Yai	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Wan Yai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Wang Chan	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Wang Chan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Wang Chin	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Wang Chin	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Wang Hin	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Wang Hin	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Wang Muang	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Wang Muang	2017	99.8%	99.8%	99.7%
Thailand	Wang Nam Yen	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Wang Nam Yen	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Wang Noi	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Wang Noi	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Wang Nua	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Wang Nua	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Wang Num Khiaw	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Wang Num Khiaw	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Wang Pong	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Thailand	Wang Pong	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Wang Sai Phun	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.3%
Thailand	Wang Sai Phun	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Wang Sam Mo	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Wang Sam Mo	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Wang Saphung	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Wang Saphung	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Wang Thong	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Thailand	Wang Thong	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Wang Thonglang	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Wang Thonglang	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Wang Wiset	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Thailand	Wang Wiset	2017	98.8%	99.1%	98.4%
Thailand	Wanon Niwat	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Wanon Niwat	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Wapi Pathum	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Wapi Pathum	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Warin Chamrap	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Warin Chamrap	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Waritchaphum	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Waritchaphum	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Wat Bot	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Wat Bot	2017	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Wat Phleng	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Thailand	Wat Phleng	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Wat Sing	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Thailand	Wat Sing	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Wattana	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Wattana	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Watthana Nakhon	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Watthana Nakhon	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Wiang Chai	2000	98.9%	99.2%	98.5%
Thailand	Wiang Chai	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Wiang Haeng	2000	98.9%	99.3%	98.4%
Thailand	Wiang Haeng	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Thailand	Wiang Kao	2000	99.5%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Wiang Kao	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Wiang Pa Pao	2000	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Wiang Pa Pao	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2000	96.9%	97.5%	96.3%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.4%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2017	99.0%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Wichian Buri	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Wichian Buri	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Wieng Kaen	2000	99.2%	99.4%	98.9%
Thailand	Wieng Kaen	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Wihan Daeng	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Wihan Daeng	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Wiset Chai Chan	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.2%
Thailand	Wiset Chai Chan	2017	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Thailand	Yaha	2000	96.7%	97.2%	96.1%
Thailand	Yaha	2017	98.7%	99.1%	98.3%
Thailand	Yan Ta Khao	2000	97.0%	97.5%	96.4%
Thailand	Yan Ta Khao	2017	98.9%	99.2%	98.6%
Thailand	Yang Chum Noi	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.5%
Thailand	Yang Chum Noi	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Thailand	Yang Si Surat	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.4%
Thailand	Yang Si Surat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Yang Talat	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Yang Talat	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.5%
Thailand	Yannawa	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.4%
Thailand	Yannawa	2017	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Thailand	Yarang	2000	97.2%	97.6%	96.8%
Thailand	Yarang	2017	99.1%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Yaring	2000	97.3%	97.7%	96.7%
Thailand	Yaring	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Thailand	Yi-ngo	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.7%
Thailand	Yi-ngo	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Timor-Leste	Aileu	2000	40.0%	42.5%	37.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Aileu	2017	79.7%	82.4%	76.9%
Timor-Leste	Ainaro	2000	29.8%	33.3%	26.5%
Timor-Leste	Ainaro	2017	55.9%	59.3%	52.5%
Timor-Leste	Alas	2000	34.7%	45.2%	23.9%
Timor-Leste	Alas	2017	62.2%	73.0%	49.1%
Timor-Leste	Atabai	2000	24.8%	37.8%	15.6%
Timor-Leste	Atabai	2017	50.0%	63.2%	36.8%
Timor-Leste	Atauro	2000	46.1%	59.3%	31.5%
Timor-Leste	Atauro	2017	75.3%	85.3%	63.6%
Timor-Leste	Atsabe	2000	27.2%	30.2%	24.4%
Timor-Leste	Atsabe	2017	56.3%	60.4%	52.6%
Timor-Leste	Baguia	2000	26.9%	33.5%	21.8%
Timor-Leste	Baguia	2017	59.8%	63.8%	55.2%
Timor-Leste	Balibó	2000	17.4%	23.2%	13.5%
Timor-Leste	Balibó	2017	46.0%	53.6%	37.6%
Timor-Leste	Barique	2000	39.0%	49.4%	28.7%
Timor-Leste	Barique	2017	59.5%	69.5%	49.4%
Timor-Leste	Baucau	2000	38.1%	40.3%	36.3%
Timor-Leste	Baucau	2017	75.3%	77.0%	73.3%
Timor-Leste	Bazar Tete	2000	47.5%	50.1%	44.9%
Timor-Leste	Bazar Tete	2017	73.1%	75.2%	71.1%
Timor-Leste	Bobonaro	2000	26.7%	29.2%	24.4%
Timor-Leste	Bobonaro	2017	53.7%	57.6%	49.9%
Timor-Leste	Cailaco	2000	28.5%	33.8%	23.4%
Timor-Leste	Cailaco	2017	57.4%	63.2%	51.9%
Timor-Leste	Dili Barat	2000	73.4%	74.9%	71.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Dili Barat	2017	90.1%	91.0%	89.1%
Timor-Leste	Dili Timur	2000	59.3%	61.3%	57.3%
Timor-Leste	Dili Timur	2017	83.2%	84.9%	81.7%
Timor-Leste	Ermera	2000	45.4%	48.2%	42.7%
Timor-Leste	Ermera	2017	80.6%	82.3%	78.7%
Timor-Leste	Fato Berliu	2000	36.3%	45.2%	28.5%
Timor-Leste	Fato Berliu	2017	64.7%	73.0%	56.3%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Fulic	2000	16.9%	21.0%	13.2%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Fulic	2017	29.6%	38.2%	22.6%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Mean	2000	33.9%	53.8%	16.9%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Mean	2017	61.7%	81.9%	38.5%
Timor-Leste	Fohorem	2000	24.5%	32.4%	18.6%
Timor-Leste	Fohorem	2017	33.8%	39.9%	27.7%
Timor-Leste	Hato Bulico	2000	26.4%	28.9%	24.1%
Timor-Leste	Hato Bulico	2017	51.3%	55.1%	47.1%
Timor-Leste	Hato Hudo	2000	23.8%	29.4%	19.6%
Timor-Leste	Hato Hudo	2017	45.5%	54.6%	35.9%
Timor-Leste	Hatólia	2000	25.2%	31.4%	19.2%
Timor-Leste	Hatólia	2017	56.8%	59.7%	52.6%
Timor-Leste	Iliomar	2000	18.3%	25.2%	12.9%
Timor-Leste	Iliomar	2017	32.7%	40.8%	25.4%
Timor-Leste	Laclo	2000	26.7%	34.9%	20.0%
Timor-Leste	Laclo	2017	57.8%	68.2%	48.5%
Timor-Leste	Lachubar	2000	23.5%	28.3%	19.5%
Timor-Leste	Lachubar	2017	47.1%	52.4%	42.7%
Timor-Leste	Lachuta	2000	30.7%	42.6%	21.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Lacluta	2017	59.2%	72.0%	46.8%
Timor-Leste	Laga	2000	12.2%	17.9%	8.5%
Timor-Leste	Laga	2017	41.6%	50.0%	33.8%
Timor-Leste	Laleia	2000	34.3%	54.4%	18.7%
Timor-Leste	Laleia	2017	59.9%	77.8%	42.2%
Timor-Leste	Lau Lara	2000	39.1%	42.7%	35.7%
Timor-Leste	Lau Lara	2017	76.2%	79.9%	71.9%
Timor-Leste	Lautém	2000	18.6%	22.9%	14.7%
Timor-Leste	Lautém	2017	44.7%	51.7%	37.9%
Timor-Leste	Lequidoe	2000	32.9%	41.2%	26.4%
Timor-Leste	Lequidoe	2017	72.0%	79.1%	63.3%
Timor-Leste	Letefoho	2000	31.5%	33.9%	29.4%
Timor-Leste	Letefoho	2017	72.1%	74.4%	69.8%
Timor-Leste	Liquiçá	2000	40.2%	43.0%	37.4%
Timor-Leste	Liquiçá	2017	74.3%	76.5%	72.3%
Timor-Leste	Lolotoi	2000	24.5%	30.8%	18.7%
Timor-Leste	Lolotoi	2017	47.8%	53.5%	41.1%
Timor-Leste	Los Palos	2000	32.3%	35.8%	28.9%
Timor-Leste	Los Palos	2017	67.9%	71.5%	63.9%
Timor-Leste	Luro	2000	6.1%	9.6%	3.5%
Timor-Leste	Luro	2017	20.7%	28.0%	14.5%
Timor-Leste	Maliana	2000	29.1%	34.1%	26.0%
Timor-Leste	Maliana	2017	63.2%	67.7%	59.4%
Timor-Leste	Manatuto	2000	44.3%	49.9%	38.6%
Timor-Leste	Manatuto	2017	79.5%	82.7%	75.7%
Timor-Leste	Mape	2000	10.9%	12.9%	9.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Mape	2017	23.6%	27.5%	20.3%
Timor-Leste	Maubara	2000	23.9%	27.9%	20.4%
Timor-Leste	Maubara	2017	51.6%	55.7%	48.4%
Timor-Leste	Maubisse	2000	16.0%	18.6%	13.8%
Timor-Leste	Maubisse	2017	50.2%	53.7%	46.5%
Timor-Leste	Metinaro	2000	29.8%	50.1%	14.2%
Timor-Leste	Metinaro	2017	58.3%	79.8%	37.3%
Timor-Leste	Nitibe	2000	20.1%	26.4%	13.0%
Timor-Leste	Nitibe	2017	46.7%	54.9%	36.6%
Timor-Leste	Oe Silo	2000	13.6%	16.2%	11.5%
Timor-Leste	Oe Silo	2017	43.4%	47.2%	39.4%
Timor-Leste	Ossu	2000	21.3%	28.3%	14.6%
Timor-Leste	Ossu	2017	45.3%	56.2%	31.7%
Timor-Leste	Pante Macassar	2000	29.1%	31.3%	26.7%
Timor-Leste	Pante Macassar	2017	67.5%	69.8%	65.2%
Timor-Leste	Passabe	2000	8.1%	12.3%	5.7%
Timor-Leste	Passabe	2017	34.9%	43.3%	27.9%
Timor-Leste	Quelicai	2000	15.6%	19.6%	11.7%
Timor-Leste	Quelicai	2017	46.3%	49.7%	42.8%
Timor-Leste	Railaco	2000	41.6%	46.2%	37.6%
Timor-Leste	Railaco	2017	79.7%	83.5%	75.0%
Timor-Leste	Remexio	2000	29.4%	33.4%	25.7%
Timor-Leste	Remexio	2017	71.7%	74.5%	67.7%
Timor-Leste	Same	2000	27.8%	32.3%	24.1%
Timor-Leste	Same	2017	59.1%	63.0%	55.7%
Timor-Leste	Soibada	2000	29.4%	46.7%	17.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Soibada	2017	53.2%	69.0%	36.3%
Timor-Leste	Suai Kota	2000	33.4%	36.7%	30.7%
Timor-Leste	Suai Kota	2017	60.0%	63.2%	56.5%
Timor-Leste	Tilomar	2000	40.8%	51.4%	31.6%
Timor-Leste	Tilomar	2017	63.1%	70.7%	53.4%
Timor-Leste	Turiscail	2000	18.9%	24.4%	14.3%
Timor-Leste	Turiscail	2017	54.6%	61.8%	47.2%
Timor-Leste	Tutuala	2000	21.9%	37.6%	10.9%
Timor-Leste	Tutuala	2017	48.3%	66.5%	28.5%
Timor-Leste	Uato Carbau	2000	45.2%	51.9%	37.5%
Timor-Leste	Uato Carbau	2017	68.0%	75.4%	60.3%
Timor-Leste	Uatolari	2000	21.0%	27.0%	15.7%
Timor-Leste	Uatolari	2017	43.3%	53.5%	35.0%
Timor-Leste	Vemassee	2000	37.0%	46.6%	29.2%
Timor-Leste	Vemassee	2017	64.3%	72.4%	55.7%
Timor-Leste	Venilale	2000	18.4%	20.6%	16.1%
Timor-Leste	Venilale	2017	57.6%	61.8%	53.4%
Timor-Leste	Viqueque	2000	30.5%	37.2%	25.0%
Timor-Leste	Viqueque	2017	52.0%	58.7%	45.0%
Vietnam	A Lưởi	2000	23.5%	63.7%	5.2%
Vietnam	A Lưởi	2017	75.6%	94.6%	46.5%
Vietnam	An Biên	2000	10.3%	26.2%	1.4%
Vietnam	An Biên	2017	69.7%	86.1%	43.9%
Vietnam	An Dương	2000	46.3%	63.7%	22.8%
Vietnam	An Dương	2017	98.3%	99.5%	94.7%
Vietnam	An Khê	2000	14.8%	42.1%	4.1%
Vietnam	An Khê	2017	83.3%	93.3%	63.7%
Vietnam	An Lão	2000	27.4%	66.5%	3.7%
Vietnam	An Lão	2000	55.8%	81.9%	27.7%
Vietnam	An Lão	2017	97.1%	99.7%	87.5%
Vietnam	An Lão	2017	80.6%	96.9%	49.4%
Vietnam	An Minh	2000	6.2%	17.8%	1.2%
Vietnam	An Minh	2017	61.6%	81.6%	38.4%
Vietnam	An Nhơn	2000	12.1%	31.6%	3.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	An Nhơn	2017	75.7%	91.2%	48.3%
Vietnam	An Phú	2000	8.1%	29.5%	0.6%
Vietnam	An Phú	2017	67.1%	91.0%	32.3%
Vietnam	Ấn Thi	2000	52.4%	84.9%	26.5%
Vietnam	Ấn Thi	2017	93.1%	99.7%	71.3%
Vietnam	Anh Sơn	2000	26.8%	53.2%	8.5%
Vietnam	Anh Sơn	2017	84.9%	95.9%	65.7%
Vietnam	Ayun Pa	2000	16.0%	33.4%	5.2%
Vietnam	Ayun Pa	2017	85.3%	97.0%	68.3%
Vietnam	Ba Bể	2000	16.8%	38.0%	5.0%
Vietnam	Ba Bể	2017	69.2%	86.8%	50.2%
Vietnam	Ba Chẽ	2000	30.9%	70.2%	5.9%
Vietnam	Ba Chẽ	2017	81.4%	97.1%	55.9%
Vietnam	Ba Đình	2000	75.9%	88.2%	57.5%
Vietnam	Ba Đình	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Vietnam	Ba Đồn	2000	27.4%	51.8%	7.8%
Vietnam	Ba Đồn	2017	88.3%	97.9%	62.5%
Vietnam	Bà Rịa	2000	30.4%	53.5%	11.4%
Vietnam	Bà Rịa	2017	95.1%	99.5%	83.6%
Vietnam	Bá Thước	2000	27.7%	66.6%	6.6%
Vietnam	Bá Thước	2017	81.2%	96.2%	61.6%
Vietnam	Ba Tơ	2000	16.7%	34.8%	5.0%
Vietnam	Ba Tơ	2017	74.4%	90.0%	56.4%
Vietnam	Ba Tri	2000	8.9%	29.5%	1.3%
Vietnam	Ba Tri	2017	76.7%	93.2%	50.3%
Vietnam	Ba Vì	2000	64.6%	87.6%	36.0%
Vietnam	Ba Vì	2017	96.2%	99.5%	85.4%
Vietnam	Bác Ái	2000	11.8%	27.0%	2.9%
Vietnam	Bác Ái	2017	67.6%	83.4%	49.3%
Vietnam	Bắc Bình	2000	16.8%	30.8%	7.5%
Vietnam	Bắc Bình	2017	81.1%	91.5%	64.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Giang	2000	20.1%	37.5%	11.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Giang	2017	90.8%	96.2%	83.7%
Vietnam	Bắc Hà	2000	7.8%	26.4%	1.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Hà	2017	57.2%	82.7%	28.0%
Vietnam	Bạc Liêu	2000	32.0%	77.9%	5.0%
Vietnam	Bạc Liêu	2017	90.9%	99.7%	67.9%
Vietnam	Bắc Mê	2000	22.4%	64.5%	2.8%
Vietnam	Bắc Mê	2017	73.3%	94.2%	50.4%
Vietnam	Bắc Ninh	2000	77.5%	95.0%	41.0%
Vietnam	Bắc Ninh	2017	98.8%	99.9%	91.3%
Vietnam	Bắc Quang	2000	22.1%	54.1%	5.3%
Vietnam	Bắc Quang	2017	75.2%	91.5%	53.7%
Vietnam	Bắc Sơn	2000	40.5%	69.8%	14.9%
Vietnam	Bắc Sơn	2017	89.3%	97.7%	76.0%
Vietnam	Bắc Tân Uyên	2000	36.9%	62.8%	15.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Tân Uyên	2017	87.1%	97.5%	68.9%
Vietnam	Bắc Trà My	2000	25.9%	59.5%	4.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Trà My	2017	79.8%	94.0%	53.4%
Vietnam	Bắc Từ Liêm	2000	57.4%	89.9%	20.0%
Vietnam	Bắc Từ Liêm	2017	98.6%	100.0%	92.9%
Vietnam	Bắc Yên	2000	15.1%	29.5%	5.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Bắc Yên	2017	70.3%	82.7%	53.5%
Vietnam	Bạch Thông	2000	41.7%	71.6%	14.8%
Vietnam	Bạch Thông	2017	85.9%	97.1%	68.4%
Vietnam	Bảo Lạc	2000	23.8%	57.7%	4.4%
Vietnam	Bảo Lạc	2017	76.4%	91.7%	54.9%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2000	35.5%	53.6%	22.1%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2000	23.1%	51.5%	5.6%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2017	87.9%	93.4%	79.8%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2017	75.6%	91.4%	55.1%
Vietnam	Bảo Lộc	2000	57.5%	77.8%	35.8%
Vietnam	Bảo Lộc	2017	96.7%	99.3%	92.5%
Vietnam	Bảo Thắng	2000	7.8%	20.1%	1.5%
Vietnam	Bảo Thắng	2017	61.7%	82.4%	38.6%
Vietnam	Bảo Yên	2000	8.2%	18.3%	2.3%
Vietnam	Bảo Yên	2017	64.5%	80.9%	46.8%
Vietnam	Bát Xát	2000	39.8%	55.1%	28.8%
Vietnam	Bát Xát	2017	80.2%	90.9%	66.2%
Vietnam	Bàu Bàng	2000	29.6%	57.2%	8.3%
Vietnam	Bàu Bàng	2017	90.0%	98.3%	72.0%
Vietnam	Bến Cát	2000	34.3%	68.5%	11.2%
Vietnam	Bến Cát	2017	95.2%	99.5%	83.9%
Vietnam	Bến Cầu	2000	10.2%	23.1%	3.0%
Vietnam	Bến Cầu	2017	79.1%	91.0%	61.4%
Vietnam	Bến Lức	2000	11.3%	26.2%	3.1%
Vietnam	Bến Lức	2017	85.6%	95.0%	67.3%
Vietnam	Bến Tre	2000	6.3%	27.0%	1.0%
Vietnam	Bến Tre	2017	81.8%	96.1%	46.7%
Vietnam	Biên Hòa	2000	37.6%	47.1%	28.0%
Vietnam	Biên Hòa	2017	98.7%	99.5%	96.9%
Vietnam	Bím Sơn	2000	44.8%	91.8%	3.8%
Vietnam	Bím Sơn	2017	92.9%	100.0%	66.2%
Vietnam	Bình Chánh	2000	60.9%	70.6%	50.3%
Vietnam	Bình Chánh	2017	98.1%	99.3%	95.4%
Vietnam	Bình Đại	2000	11.9%	35.2%	2.5%
Vietnam	Bình Đại	2017	78.2%	92.7%	50.3%
Vietnam	Bình Gia	2000	40.2%	74.2%	14.7%
Vietnam	Bình Gia	2017	85.0%	97.7%	66.8%
Vietnam	Bình Giang	2000	44.5%	79.0%	7.3%
Vietnam	Bình Giang	2017	93.1%	99.7%	71.6%
Vietnam	Bình Liêu	2000	21.9%	60.4%	3.2%
Vietnam	Bình Liêu	2017	75.4%	94.4%	43.9%
Vietnam	Bình Long	2000	29.7%	64.0%	6.3%
Vietnam	Bình Long	2017	88.5%	96.6%	65.6%
Vietnam	Bình Lục	2000	31.9%	70.8%	7.2%
Vietnam	Bình Lục	2017	86.6%	98.5%	60.7%
Vietnam	Bình Minh	2000	41.8%	61.8%	21.6%
Vietnam	Bình Minh	2017	89.5%	97.5%	75.0%
Vietnam	Bình Sơn	2000	31.7%	70.8%	7.9%
Vietnam	Bình Sơn	2017	86.7%	98.2%	65.9%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2000	23.2%	39.3%	15.5%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2000	40.4%	57.0%	25.7%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2017	79.3%	92.8%	56.6%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Bình Thạnh	2000	62.0%	74.7%	45.3%
Vietnam	Bình Thạnh	2017	99.7%	99.8%	99.6%
Vietnam	Bình Thủy	2000	54.2%	76.8%	30.4%
Vietnam	Bình Thủy	2017	98.4%	99.8%	94.6%
Vietnam	Bình Xuyên	2000	36.6%	58.5%	17.4%
Vietnam	Bình Xuyên	2017	95.8%	99.3%	86.3%
Vietnam	Bố Trạch	2000	25.9%	55.5%	7.8%
Vietnam	Bố Trạch	2017	81.5%	94.0%	60.1%
Vietnam	Bù Đăng	2000	19.0%	42.6%	6.4%
Vietnam	Bù Đăng	2017	80.9%	93.0%	61.4%
Vietnam	Bù Đốp	2000	10.4%	25.3%	2.3%
Vietnam	Bù Đốp	2017	73.1%	91.4%	45.8%
Vietnam	Bù Gia Mập	2000	21.6%	45.5%	7.0%
Vietnam	Bù Gia Mập	2017	82.2%	93.8%	66.7%
Vietnam	Buôn Đôn	2000	13.5%	23.8%	5.0%
Vietnam	Buôn Đôn	2017	72.3%	82.9%	54.8%
Vietnam	Buôn Ma Thuột	2000	36.8%	47.0%	27.4%
Vietnam	Buôn Ma Thuột	2017	94.4%	96.5%	91.5%
Vietnam	Cà Mau	2000	24.5%	55.3%	8.5%
Vietnam	Cà Mau	2017	91.1%	97.1%	79.7%
Vietnam	Cái Bè	2000	5.8%	15.3%	1.4%
Vietnam	Cái Bè	2017	71.8%	84.3%	55.9%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy	2000	5.4%	16.4%	0.7%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy	2017	63.2%	81.7%	43.1%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy (Thị xã)	2000	3.3%	9.8%	1.1%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy (Thị xã)	2017	76.7%	86.3%	61.6%
Vietnam	Cái Nước	2000	19.0%	41.1%	5.4%
Vietnam	Cái Nước	2017	81.3%	95.9%	59.4%
Vietnam	Cái Răng	2000	50.7%	59.9%	37.9%
Vietnam	Cái Răng	2017	95.4%	97.3%	92.8%
Vietnam	Cẩm Giàng	2000	55.8%	83.4%	21.8%
Vietnam	Cẩm Giàng	2017	96.1%	99.6%	87.1%
Vietnam	Cẩm Khê	2000	31.2%	55.7%	13.0%
Vietnam	Cẩm Khê	2017	85.0%	95.4%	70.3%
Vietnam	Cam Lâm	2000	12.6%	30.0%	3.3%
Vietnam	Cam Lâm	2017	79.7%	93.0%	60.2%
Vietnam	Cẩm Lệ	2000	40.3%	53.6%	25.0%
Vietnam	Cẩm Lệ	2017	89.0%	97.7%	72.7%
Vietnam	Cam Lộ	2000	23.4%	47.8%	6.8%
Vietnam	Cam Lộ	2017	82.7%	95.0%	64.5%
Vietnam	Cẩm Mỹ	2000	17.2%	40.8%	4.5%
Vietnam	Cẩm Mỹ	2017	85.2%	97.6%	67.7%
Vietnam	Cẩm Phả	2000	52.5%	76.8%	25.0%
Vietnam	Cẩm Phả	2017	94.5%	99.1%	84.1%
Vietnam	Cam Ranh	2000	19.6%	39.7%	5.5%
Vietnam	Cam Ranh	2017	85.8%	95.7%	69.3%
Vietnam	Cẩm Thủy	2000	42.9%	73.4%	15.6%
Vietnam	Cẩm Thủy	2017	92.0%	98.6%	80.1%
Vietnam	Cẩm Xuyên	2000	24.0%	59.7%	5.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Cẩm Xuyên	2017	82.3%	96.3%	63.5%
Vietnam	Cần Đước	2000	13.2%	37.6%	2.5%
Vietnam	Cần Đước	2017	80.1%	94.0%	58.5%
Vietnam	Cần Giờ	2000	20.9%	41.7%	6.3%
Vietnam	Cần Giờ	2017	82.1%	95.3%	65.9%
Vietnam	Cần Giuộc	2000	10.6%	32.1%	2.1%
Vietnam	Cần Giuộc	2017	82.0%	96.7%	54.2%
Vietnam	Can Lộc	2000	26.3%	51.3%	6.8%
Vietnam	Can Lộc	2017	84.5%	95.3%	63.9%
Vietnam	Càng Long	2000	2.9%	9.4%	0.6%
Vietnam	Càng Long	2017	47.7%	64.4%	29.6%
Vietnam	Cao Bằng	2000	20.3%	39.3%	5.6%
Vietnam	Cao Bằng	2017	78.6%	93.6%	59.0%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2000	21.1%	41.3%	5.5%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2017	85.0%	94.6%	70.1%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2000	17.4%	43.5%	4.1%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh (Thành phố)	2017	91.5%	95.9%	79.6%
Vietnam	Cao Lộc	2000	42.9%	69.0%	19.9%
Vietnam	Cao Lộc	2017	92.9%	98.3%	83.9%
Vietnam	Cao Phong	2000	47.8%	81.5%	14.2%
Vietnam	Cao Phong	2017	90.8%	98.8%	70.2%
Vietnam	Cát Hải	2000	46.3%	83.9%	14.1%
Vietnam	Cát Hải	2017	90.5%	99.4%	73.4%
Vietnam	Cát Tiên	2000	26.1%	64.2%	5.1%
Vietnam	Cát Tiên	2017	85.0%	96.5%	60.5%
Vietnam	Cầu Giấy	2000	76.8%	90.9%	58.8%
Vietnam	Cầu Giấy	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.5%
Vietnam	Cầu Kè	2000	7.7%	31.6%	0.7%
Vietnam	Cầu Kè	2017	69.5%	94.3%	38.4%
Vietnam	Cầu Ngang	2000	5.3%	14.4%	1.4%
Vietnam	Cầu Ngang	2017	74.6%	88.7%	56.6%
Vietnam	Châu Đốc	2000	4.7%	15.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Châu Đốc	2017	77.6%	94.8%	44.0%
Vietnam	Châu Đức	2000	17.1%	36.8%	5.5%
Vietnam	Châu Đức	2017	82.5%	96.3%	61.1%
Vietnam	Châu Phú	2000	10.5%	31.9%	1.9%
Vietnam	Châu Phú	2017	72.9%	90.8%	45.8%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	10.6%	26.4%	2.4%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	5.1%	18.0%	1.0%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	8.7%	32.0%	0.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	13.4%	37.0%	1.8%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	11.2%	31.8%	2.3%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	8.3%	29.9%	1.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	5.4%	18.5%	0.6%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	17.1%	39.3%	5.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	8.1%	22.5%	1.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	15.4%	38.1%	3.8%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	79.6%	94.7%	52.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	76.5%	91.4%	53.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	75.5%	93.3%	53.6%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	69.6%	86.2%	45.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	66.2%	91.4%	35.0%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	64.9%	84.8%	37.9%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	85.4%	96.6%	62.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	81.1%	97.3%	49.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	75.4%	90.7%	54.9%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	68.3%	90.4%	36.2%
Vietnam	Châu Thành A	2000	6.6%	18.8%	0.8%
Vietnam	Châu Thành A	2017	67.8%	88.7%	42.9%
Vietnam	Chi Lăng	2000	23.6%	57.4%	4.9%
Vietnam	Chi Lăng	2017	78.6%	95.8%	46.6%
Vietnam	Chí Linh	2000	61.4%	91.1%	21.7%
Vietnam	Chí Linh	2017	96.8%	99.8%	85.6%
Vietnam	Chiêm Hóa	2000	12.7%	28.1%	3.0%
Vietnam	Chiêm Hóa	2017	67.7%	83.1%	47.8%
Vietnam	Chợ Đồn	2000	25.0%	53.6%	4.7%
Vietnam	Chợ Đồn	2017	73.5%	91.8%	48.6%
Vietnam	Chợ Gạo	2000	10.6%	27.4%	3.0%
Vietnam	Chợ Gạo	2017	81.9%	92.2%	61.6%
Vietnam	Chợ Lách	2000	12.8%	40.1%	1.1%
Vietnam	Chợ Lách	2017	73.9%	95.3%	38.8%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2000	24.1%	57.4%	3.9%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2000	14.3%	28.5%	5.6%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2017	84.6%	95.1%	68.9%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2017	76.5%	94.7%	52.6%
Vietnam	Chơn Thành	2000	21.0%	49.9%	4.7%
Vietnam	Chơn Thành	2017	82.6%	97.2%	60.8%
Vietnam	Chư Păh	2000	11.6%	24.8%	4.3%
Vietnam	Chư Păh	2017	70.4%	83.1%	53.7%
Vietnam	Chư Prông	2000	19.8%	39.0%	7.5%
Vietnam	Chư Prông	2017	80.5%	90.7%	66.9%
Vietnam	Chư Pưh	2000	16.1%	40.9%	3.6%
Vietnam	Chư Pưh	2017	78.3%	92.3%	54.4%
Vietnam	Chư Sê	2000	12.7%	25.8%	5.3%
Vietnam	Chư Sê	2017	81.2%	91.7%	67.8%
Vietnam	Chương Mỹ	2000	37.5%	71.0%	14.4%
Vietnam	Chương Mỹ	2017	89.5%	98.7%	70.7%
Vietnam	Cờ Đỏ	2000	6.9%	22.5%	0.6%
Vietnam	Cờ Đỏ	2017	64.4%	88.4%	31.7%
Vietnam	Cô Tô	2000	24.0%	75.2%	1.5%
Vietnam	Cô Tô	2017	77.8%	98.2%	38.5%
Vietnam	Con Cuông	2000	21.7%	42.0%	8.0%
Vietnam	Con Cuông	2017	72.1%	86.1%	55.0%
Vietnam	Củ Chi	2000	33.6%	57.6%	17.7%
Vietnam	Củ Chi	2017	93.2%	98.3%	84.3%
Vietnam	Cư Jút	2000	11.6%	25.5%	4.6%
Vietnam	Cư Jút	2017	79.2%	92.4%	60.4%
Vietnam	Cư Kuin	2000	6.8%	14.9%	2.8%
Vietnam	Cư Kuin	2017	55.6%	69.6%	35.5%
Vietnam	Cù Lao Dung	2000	9.9%	32.3%	1.1%
Vietnam	Cù Lao Dung	2017	70.0%	91.7%	43.2%
Vietnam	Cư M'gar	2000	22.5%	35.2%	12.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Cư M'gar	2017	82.5%	90.5%	71.1%
Vietnam	Cửa Lò	2000	41.2%	94.5%	1.9%
Vietnam	Cửa Lò	2017	89.8%	99.9%	48.2%
Vietnam	Đà Bắc	2000	37.9%	66.7%	14.1%
Vietnam	Đà Bắc	2017	86.3%	97.1%	70.1%
Vietnam	Đạ Huoai	2000	16.7%	42.9%	3.6%
Vietnam	Đạ Huoai	2017	80.7%	95.2%	54.9%
Vietnam	Đạ Krông	2000	26.3%	54.1%	7.0%
Vietnam	Đạ Krông	2017	80.3%	94.7%	64.3%
Vietnam	Đà Lạt	2000	35.0%	43.9%	27.3%
Vietnam	Đà Lạt	2017	91.8%	94.9%	87.5%
Vietnam	Đạ Tềh	2000	17.7%	28.6%	8.3%
Vietnam	Đạ Tềh	2017	82.2%	90.9%	68.8%
Vietnam	Đại Lộc	2000	18.2%	33.8%	6.6%
Vietnam	Đại Lộc	2017	84.8%	95.1%	66.2%
Vietnam	Đại Từ	2000	43.4%	69.2%	18.9%
Vietnam	Đại Từ	2017	88.9%	97.6%	72.2%
Vietnam	Đắk Đoa	2000	18.7%	33.8%	9.2%
Vietnam	Đắk Đoa	2017	79.1%	89.3%	66.2%
Vietnam	Đắk Glei	2000	16.7%	43.1%	3.8%
Vietnam	Đắk Glei	2017	67.1%	83.5%	44.6%
Vietnam	Đắk Glong	2000	14.8%	32.3%	5.0%
Vietnam	Đắk Glong	2017	71.3%	85.7%	54.4%
Vietnam	Đắk Hà	2000	14.7%	31.2%	4.3%
Vietnam	Đắk Hà	2017	65.6%	81.6%	45.4%
Vietnam	Đắk Mil	2000	12.3%	24.2%	4.8%
Vietnam	Đắk Mil	2017	83.5%	92.5%	68.7%
Vietnam	Đắk Pơ	2000	27.3%	48.2%	12.5%
Vietnam	Đắk Pơ	2017	87.4%	95.9%	70.9%
Vietnam	Đắk R'Lấp	2000	18.4%	40.4%	5.9%
Vietnam	Đắk R'Lấp	2017	84.6%	95.0%	68.0%
Vietnam	Đắk Song	2000	10.8%	30.5%	2.9%
Vietnam	Đắk Song	2017	68.4%	83.4%	50.1%
Vietnam	Đắk Tô	2000	21.7%	48.9%	6.3%
Vietnam	Đắk Tô	2017	81.1%	92.2%	63.2%
Vietnam	Đầm Dơi	2000	11.8%	23.0%	3.2%
Vietnam	Đầm Dơi	2017	73.1%	86.8%	51.8%
Vietnam	Đầm Hà	2000	17.9%	52.4%	2.1%
Vietnam	Đầm Hà	2017	76.3%	96.3%	40.9%
Vietnam	Đam Rông	2000	15.6%	41.6%	2.6%
Vietnam	Đam Rông	2017	72.6%	91.3%	47.1%
Vietnam	Đan Phượng	2000	47.2%	75.1%	20.4%
Vietnam	Đan Phượng	2017	98.0%	99.8%	92.9%
Vietnam	Đất Đỏ	2000	15.7%	47.0%	2.1%
Vietnam	Đất Đỏ	2017	80.3%	97.5%	43.9%
Vietnam	Dầu Tiếng	2000	21.6%	43.3%	8.4%
Vietnam	Dầu Tiếng	2017	87.5%	97.3%	74.3%
Vietnam	Dĩ An	2000	63.4%	76.5%	47.1%
Vietnam	Dĩ An	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.5%
Vietnam	Di Linh	2000	19.7%	34.7%	10.0%
Vietnam	Di Linh	2017	82.6%	90.1%	72.9%
Vietnam	Điện Bàn	2000	27.5%	47.2%	13.1%
Vietnam	Điện Bàn	2017	94.8%	98.9%	85.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Điện Biên	2000	30.5%	61.9%	7.9%
Vietnam	Điện Biên	2017	82.3%	95.1%	59.5%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Đông	2000	16.1%	34.6%	4.5%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Đông	2017	68.6%	82.7%	50.5%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Phủ	2000	35.7%	80.4%	6.6%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Phủ	2017	91.8%	98.8%	81.8%
Vietnam	Diễn Châu	2000	23.4%	61.2%	6.0%
Vietnam	Diễn Châu	2017	82.8%	95.9%	59.6%
Vietnam	Diên Khánh	2000	24.7%	50.2%	5.9%
Vietnam	Diên Khánh	2017	86.9%	98.3%	61.3%
Vietnam	Định Hóa	2000	29.4%	54.8%	14.3%
Vietnam	Định Hóa	2017	84.3%	93.9%	68.1%
Vietnam	Đình Lập	2000	28.6%	58.8%	7.6%
Vietnam	Đình Lập	2017	78.8%	93.6%	57.5%
Vietnam	Định Quán	2000	15.9%	30.8%	5.4%
Vietnam	Định Quán	2017	83.9%	94.7%	66.3%
Vietnam	Đô Lương	2000	32.8%	64.2%	11.9%
Vietnam	Đô Lương	2017	88.5%	95.1%	76.4%
Vietnam	Đồ Sơn	2000	18.3%	41.3%	4.8%
Vietnam	Đồ Sơn	2017	89.8%	99.0%	68.9%
Vietnam	Đoan Hùng	2000	48.8%	84.7%	15.1%
Vietnam	Đoan Hùng	2017	91.8%	99.5%	70.5%
Vietnam	Đơn Dương	2000	37.2%	59.2%	15.1%
Vietnam	Đơn Dương	2017	92.2%	96.6%	85.1%
Vietnam	Đông Anh	2000	52.4%	75.2%	24.3%
Vietnam	Đông Anh	2017	95.6%	99.1%	87.1%
Vietnam	Đống Đa	2000	75.1%	87.2%	56.6%
Vietnam	Đống Đa	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Vietnam	Đông Giang	2000	21.5%	53.8%	4.6%
Vietnam	Đông Giang	2017	76.3%	93.7%	55.5%
Vietnam	Đông Hà	2000	60.6%	90.5%	24.9%
Vietnam	Đông Hà	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.8%
Vietnam	Đông Hải	2000	12.7%	30.9%	2.8%
Vietnam	Đông Hải	2017	78.2%	93.8%	60.6%
Vietnam	Đông Hòa	2000	20.6%	58.6%	3.1%
Vietnam	Đông Hòa	2017	85.4%	97.6%	61.2%
Vietnam	Đông Hưng	2000	48.8%	80.3%	20.9%
Vietnam	Đông Hưng	2017	94.7%	99.5%	84.9%
Vietnam	Đồng Hỷ	2000	73.8%	94.1%	40.1%
Vietnam	Đồng Hỷ	2017	96.6%	99.7%	85.3%
Vietnam	Đồng Phú	2000	18.9%	36.2%	7.8%
Vietnam	Đồng Phú	2017	83.3%	93.3%	69.6%
Vietnam	Đông Sơn	2000	26.9%	51.5%	9.0%
Vietnam	Đông Sơn	2017	82.6%	97.9%	55.8%
Vietnam	Đông Triều	2000	67.1%	95.3%	28.3%
Vietnam	Đông Triều	2017	96.0%	99.8%	84.1%
Vietnam	Đồng Văn	2000	34.6%	77.9%	8.7%
Vietnam	Đồng Văn	2017	82.8%	96.3%	56.3%
Vietnam	Đồng Xoài	2000	22.7%	58.3%	2.6%
Vietnam	Đồng Xoài	2017	87.7%	98.9%	56.0%
Vietnam	Đồng Xuân	2000	13.9%	32.1%	4.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Đồng Xuân	2017	76.5%	90.8%	59.6%
Vietnam	Đức Cơ	2000	13.6%	29.8%	4.3%
Vietnam	Đức Cơ	2017	74.8%	87.0%	57.7%
Vietnam	Đức Hòa	2000	14.5%	34.7%	4.8%
Vietnam	Đức Hòa	2017	82.0%	94.1%	62.5%
Vietnam	Đức Huệ	2000	11.5%	41.9%	2.0%
Vietnam	Đức Huệ	2017	76.3%	93.9%	46.8%
Vietnam	Đức Linh	2000	13.1%	28.4%	4.7%
Vietnam	Đức Linh	2017	85.5%	93.7%	73.6%
Vietnam	Đức Phổ	2000	25.5%	52.0%	8.0%
Vietnam	Đức Phổ	2017	85.0%	97.6%	65.7%
Vietnam	Đức Thọ	2000	19.8%	51.2%	4.4%
Vietnam	Đức Thọ	2017	84.8%	95.6%	64.2%
Vietnam	Đức Trọng	2000	25.7%	37.5%	12.6%
Vietnam	Đức Trọng	2017	77.5%	84.8%	68.4%
Vietnam	Dương Kinh	2000	70.5%	92.9%	43.9%
Vietnam	Dương Kinh	2017	98.3%	99.9%	89.2%
Vietnam	Dương Minh Châu	2000	18.2%	35.7%	6.7%
Vietnam	Dương Minh Châu	2017	84.1%	94.5%	68.7%
Vietnam	Duy Tiên	2000	43.7%	71.0%	17.4%
Vietnam	Duy Tiên	2017	93.9%	98.6%	83.2%
Vietnam	Duy Xuyên	2000	31.0%	53.7%	12.3%
Vietnam	Duy Xuyên	2017	93.0%	98.2%	81.4%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải	2000	9.6%	28.5%	1.2%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải	2017	66.2%	86.3%	35.6%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải (Thị xã)	2000	14.6%	42.7%	1.2%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải (Thị xã)	2017	74.1%	97.4%	35.2%
Vietnam	Ea H'leo	2000	10.4%	23.4%	3.7%
Vietnam	Ea H'leo	2017	71.0%	83.4%	54.3%
Vietnam	Ea Kar	2000	30.5%	47.8%	14.8%
Vietnam	Ea Kar	2017	83.5%	91.5%	71.8%
Vietnam	Ea Súp	2000	12.0%	27.4%	2.8%
Vietnam	Ea Súp	2017	68.7%	83.4%	49.5%
Vietnam	Gia Bình	2000	44.3%	69.0%	21.6%
Vietnam	Gia Bình	2017	95.6%	99.4%	85.1%
Vietnam	Gia Lâm	2000	75.6%	93.7%	51.3%
Vietnam	Gia Lâm	2017	99.4%	100.0%	97.0%
Vietnam	Gia Lộc	2000	73.0%	95.0%	32.7%
Vietnam	Gia Lộc	2017	97.4%	99.9%	85.7%
Vietnam	Gia Nghĩa	2000	17.9%	36.0%	8.7%
Vietnam	Gia Nghĩa	2017	84.1%	93.7%	64.5%
Vietnam	Giá Rai	2000	9.3%	23.1%	2.2%
Vietnam	Giá Rai	2017	76.8%	91.2%	57.2%
Vietnam	Gia Viễn	2000	62.2%	88.1%	30.9%
Vietnam	Gia Viễn	2017	96.5%	99.7%	85.8%
Vietnam	Giang Thành	2000	15.9%	49.3%	2.1%
Vietnam	Giang Thành	2017	70.1%	92.5%	42.1%
Vietnam	Giao Thủy	2000	70.3%	92.6%	34.4%
Vietnam	Giao Thủy	2017	98.2%	99.9%	91.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Gio Linh	2000	36.7%	73.8%	10.3%
Vietnam	Gio Linh	2017	90.1%	98.7%	69.5%
Vietnam	Giồng Riềng	2000	8.2%	21.5%	1.8%
Vietnam	Giồng Riềng	2017	68.3%	87.0%	46.3%
Vietnam	Giồng Trôm	2000	4.4%	14.8%	0.8%
Vietnam	Giồng Trôm	2017	70.1%	85.0%	45.6%
Vietnam	Gò Công	2000	23.7%	40.7%	11.4%
Vietnam	Gò Công	2017	85.9%	95.7%	67.8%
Vietnam	Gò Công Đông	2000	27.0%	55.5%	9.0%
Vietnam	Gò Công Đông	2017	86.6%	97.1%	66.7%
Vietnam	Gò Công Tây	2000	25.8%	48.3%	9.9%
Vietnam	Gò Công Tây	2017	89.0%	98.0%	64.7%
Vietnam	Gò Dầu	2000	10.2%	28.3%	2.9%
Vietnam	Gò Dầu	2017	76.7%	90.5%	56.1%
Vietnam	Gò Quao	2000	6.0%	16.0%	1.1%
Vietnam	Gò Quao	2017	63.9%	81.8%	38.2%
Vietnam	Gò Vấp	2000	80.6%	93.4%	61.2%
Vietnam	Gò Vấp	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Vietnam	Hà Đông	2000	56.6%	82.8%	36.5%
Vietnam	Hà Đông	2017	95.6%	99.8%	85.4%
Vietnam	Hà Giang	2000	17.5%	51.1%	2.5%
Vietnam	Hà Giang	2017	81.1%	98.3%	46.4%
Vietnam	Hạ Hoà	2000	52.6%	82.6%	19.8%
Vietnam	Hạ Hoà	2017	92.8%	99.1%	77.7%
Vietnam	Hạ Lang	2000	24.8%	64.6%	4.5%
Vietnam	Hạ Lang	2017	77.0%	95.9%	51.6%
Vietnam	Hạ Long	2000	60.0%	90.7%	25.1%
Vietnam	Hạ Long	2017	95.8%	99.7%	83.6%
Vietnam	Hà Quảng	2000	22.3%	62.1%	3.4%
Vietnam	Hà Quảng	2017	73.7%	95.7%	43.0%
Vietnam	Hà Tiên	2000	19.2%	69.5%	1.5%
Vietnam	Hà Tiên	2017	81.1%	98.4%	42.1%
Vietnam	Hà Tĩnh	2000	10.9%	20.0%	6.4%
Vietnam	Hà Tĩnh	2017	93.4%	96.5%	88.4%
Vietnam	Hà Trung	2000	43.4%	78.0%	15.7%
Vietnam	Hà Trung	2017	92.1%	98.9%	73.8%
Vietnam	Hải An	2000	76.4%	98.9%	43.5%
Vietnam	Hải An	2017	99.0%	100.0%	94.2%
Vietnam	Hai Bà Trưng	2000	66.0%	89.8%	47.0%
Vietnam	Hai Bà Trưng	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Vietnam	Hải Châu	2000	66.4%	81.9%	44.1%
Vietnam	Hải Châu	2017	98.1%	99.4%	95.2%
Vietnam	Hải Dương	2000	74.4%	96.2%	37.1%
Vietnam	Hải Dương	2017	99.6%	100.0%	98.5%
Vietnam	Hải Hà	2000	20.4%	68.2%	2.6%
Vietnam	Hải Hà	2017	75.7%	96.5%	43.3%
Vietnam	Hải Hậu	2000	72.1%	96.2%	32.1%
Vietnam	Hải Hậu	2017	97.8%	100.0%	88.6%
Vietnam	Hải Lăng	2000	27.3%	63.6%	5.1%
Vietnam	Hải Lăng	2017	87.3%	98.7%	65.6%
Vietnam	Hàm Tân	2000	19.6%	42.5%	5.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Hàm Tân	2017	82.7%	95.0%	63.2%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Bắc	2000	19.6%	39.1%	7.5%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Bắc	2017	84.4%	93.6%	70.2%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Nam	2000	18.1%	33.6%	7.2%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Nam	2017	83.5%	93.9%	70.5%
Vietnam	Hàm Yên	2000	11.6%	26.7%	2.4%
Vietnam	Hàm Yên	2017	63.1%	79.5%	39.7%
Vietnam	Hậu Lộc	2000	64.5%	89.5%	28.4%
Vietnam	Hậu Lộc	2017	96.6%	99.5%	87.8%
Vietnam	Hiệp Đức	2000	20.9%	54.1%	2.7%
Vietnam	Hiệp Đức	2017	77.9%	95.0%	47.9%
Vietnam	Hiệp Hòa	2000	31.6%	53.0%	12.4%
Vietnam	Hiệp Hòa	2017	80.2%	88.7%	66.7%
Vietnam	Hoà An	2000	19.4%	35.5%	7.9%
Vietnam	Hoà An	2017	76.5%	89.7%	60.8%
Vietnam	Hoà Bình	2000	21.0%	51.6%	4.3%
Vietnam	Hoà Bình	2017	84.1%	97.0%	62.5%
Vietnam	Hòa Bình	2000	38.2%	57.0%	21.0%
Vietnam	Hòa Bình	2017	94.3%	98.8%	85.6%
Vietnam	Hoa Lư	2000	41.7%	78.7%	14.7%
Vietnam	Hoa Lư	2017	95.6%	99.4%	84.9%
Vietnam	Hòa Thành	2000	42.3%	58.8%	19.2%
Vietnam	Hòa Thành	2017	96.1%	98.1%	91.9%
Vietnam	Hòa Vang	2000	36.8%	62.6%	13.5%
Vietnam	Hòa Vang	2017	89.5%	97.9%	74.8%
Vietnam	Hoài Ân	2000	20.9%	52.4%	4.1%
Vietnam	Hoài Ân	2017	79.4%	95.5%	51.7%
Vietnam	Hoài Đức	2000	46.3%	73.4%	19.3%
Vietnam	Hoài Đức	2017	97.9%	99.7%	91.8%
Vietnam	Hoài Nhơn	2000	31.0%	71.3%	6.8%
Vietnam	Hoài Nhơn	2017	87.7%	97.5%	67.5%
Vietnam	Hoàn Kiếm	2000	64.2%	89.3%	42.7%
Vietnam	Hoàn Kiếm	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Vietnam	Hoàng Hóa	2000	64.2%	88.2%	31.0%
Vietnam	Hoàng Hóa	2017	96.0%	99.5%	86.6%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2000	65.9%	88.8%	37.7%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2000	21.1%	49.1%	5.5%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2017	82.7%	95.8%	63.3%
Vietnam	Hoàng Su Phì	2000	16.8%	44.1%	3.3%
Vietnam	Hoàng Su Phì	2017	68.7%	89.3%	43.9%
Vietnam	Hoành Bồ	2000	44.4%	75.8%	13.8%
Vietnam	Hoành Bồ	2017	90.0%	98.2%	72.3%
Vietnam	Hóc Môn	2000	32.5%	48.2%	21.4%
Vietnam	Hóc Môn	2017	98.0%	99.3%	95.2%
Vietnam	Hội An	2000	22.4%	64.6%	2.2%
Vietnam	Hội An	2017	88.4%	99.3%	60.1%
Vietnam	Hòn Đất	2000	10.6%	26.9%	2.3%
Vietnam	Hòn Đất	2017	71.0%	86.7%	52.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Hớn Quản	2000	26.2%	48.8%	9.2%
Vietnam	Hớn Quản	2017	85.2%	96.1%	69.4%
Vietnam	Hồng Bàng	2000	76.8%	95.1%	51.8%
Vietnam	Hồng Bàng	2017	99.7%	100.0%	98.3%
Vietnam	Hồng Dân	2000	8.8%	21.1%	1.8%
Vietnam	Hồng Dân	2017	66.8%	82.0%	46.8%
Vietnam	Hồng Lĩnh	2000	25.5%	68.7%	2.0%
Vietnam	Hồng Lĩnh	2017	86.4%	99.6%	47.2%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự	2000	4.2%	12.9%	1.1%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự	2017	76.9%	88.0%	60.7%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự (Thị xã)	2000	6.1%	25.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự (Thị xã)	2017	76.1%	96.5%	32.4%
Vietnam	Huế	2000	20.6%	29.2%	12.9%
Vietnam	Huế	2017	96.3%	97.6%	94.7%
Vietnam	Hưng Hà	2000	68.9%	94.3%	33.5%
Vietnam	Hưng Hà	2017	95.4%	99.8%	83.4%
Vietnam	Hưng Nguyên	2000	28.0%	48.9%	11.8%
Vietnam	Hưng Nguyên	2017	91.8%	98.5%	78.8%
Vietnam	Hưng Yên	2000	77.2%	96.7%	31.2%
Vietnam	Hưng Yên	2017	99.1%	99.9%	96.3%
Vietnam	Hướng Hóa	2000	23.9%	49.5%	6.4%
Vietnam	Hướng Hóa	2017	77.3%	91.6%	56.8%
Vietnam	Hương Khê	2000	26.6%	55.5%	8.1%
Vietnam	Hương Khê	2017	82.3%	94.6%	58.9%
Vietnam	Hương Sơn	2000	22.7%	55.9%	5.8%
Vietnam	Hương Sơn	2017	80.4%	93.9%	62.2%
Vietnam	Hương Thủy	2000	23.1%	36.7%	11.0%
Vietnam	Hương Thủy	2017	89.1%	96.8%	75.4%
Vietnam	Hương Trà	2000	18.7%	31.4%	8.4%
Vietnam	Hương Trà	2017	77.3%	87.7%	67.2%
Vietnam	Hữu Lũng	2000	29.1%	55.3%	10.8%
Vietnam	Hữu Lũng	2017	81.7%	94.2%	61.5%
Vietnam	Ia Grai	2000	15.3%	28.7%	6.8%
Vietnam	Ia Grai	2017	84.7%	92.8%	73.7%
Vietnam	Ia H' Drai	2000	19.0%	49.0%	4.2%
Vietnam	Ia H' Drai	2017	74.5%	92.0%	55.8%
Vietnam	Ia Pa	2000	11.0%	31.9%	2.7%
Vietnam	Ia Pa	2017	71.3%	87.3%	47.5%
Vietnam	KBang	2000	14.8%	27.1%	5.5%
Vietnam	KBang	2017	72.4%	86.1%	56.5%
Vietnam	Kế Sách	2000	9.3%	30.9%	1.6%
Vietnam	Kế Sách	2017	72.9%	93.1%	47.7%
Vietnam	Khánh Sơn	2000	4.9%	16.1%	0.6%
Vietnam	Khánh Sơn	2017	59.3%	84.6%	30.5%
Vietnam	Khánh Vĩnh	2000	14.1%	41.3%	2.4%
Vietnam	Khánh Vĩnh	2017	68.6%	87.5%	46.3%
Vietnam	Khoái Châu	2000	48.1%	78.0%	19.1%
Vietnam	Khoái Châu	2017	97.1%	99.6%	89.8%
Vietnam	Kiến An	2000	62.5%	84.9%	39.0%
Vietnam	Kiến An	2017	99.4%	99.9%	98.1%
Vietnam	Kiên Hải	2000	15.2%	55.4%	0.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Kiên Hải	2017	73.0%	97.9%	27.4%
Vietnam	Kiên Lương	2000	21.5%	59.1%	4.1%
Vietnam	Kiên Lương	2017	81.3%	96.4%	53.6%
Vietnam	Kiến Thủy	2000	44.1%	76.6%	16.0%
Vietnam	Kiến Thủy	2017	94.9%	99.7%	82.4%
Vietnam	Kiến Tường	2000	7.8%	28.5%	0.5%
Vietnam	Kiến Tường	2017	69.8%	94.2%	29.4%
Vietnam	Kiến Xương	2000	47.9%	79.9%	20.9%
Vietnam	Kiến Xương	2017	95.0%	99.4%	78.2%
Vietnam	Kim Bảng	2000	42.8%	86.4%	9.4%
Vietnam	Kim Bảng	2017	90.0%	99.5%	66.4%
Vietnam	Kim Bôi	2000	24.2%	49.2%	8.8%
Vietnam	Kim Bôi	2017	81.7%	94.7%	63.5%
Vietnam	Kim Động	2000	56.6%	91.7%	20.9%
Vietnam	Kim Động	2017	95.8%	99.8%	80.0%
Vietnam	Kim Sơn	2000	73.0%	95.5%	38.9%
Vietnam	Kim Sơn	2017	98.3%	100.0%	91.7%
Vietnam	Kim Thành	2000	74.5%	95.2%	39.7%
Vietnam	Kim Thành	2017	97.9%	99.8%	90.4%
Vietnam	Kinh Môn	2000	72.0%	96.8%	28.9%
Vietnam	Kinh Môn	2017	97.3%	99.9%	80.1%
Vietnam	Kon Plông	2000	19.3%	40.2%	6.4%
Vietnam	Kon Plông	2017	73.2%	89.7%	52.6%
Vietnam	Kon Rẫy	2000	18.9%	57.6%	4.7%
Vietnam	Kon Rẫy	2017	72.2%	89.6%	48.1%
Vietnam	Kon Tum	2000	6.8%	13.6%	2.9%
Vietnam	Kon Tum	2017	82.3%	89.1%	71.8%
Vietnam	Kông Chro	2000	13.4%	33.0%	3.4%
Vietnam	Kông Chro	2017	72.1%	87.0%	47.2%
Vietnam	Krông A Na	2000	14.1%	32.0%	4.2%
Vietnam	Krông A Na	2017	83.5%	93.3%	69.0%
Vietnam	Krông Bông	2000	14.3%	32.8%	4.5%
Vietnam	Krông Bông	2017	74.8%	87.7%	56.9%
Vietnam	Krông Búk	2000	20.0%	43.7%	4.4%
Vietnam	Krông Búk	2017	80.5%	95.0%	57.2%
Vietnam	Krông Năng	2000	6.4%	14.9%	2.2%
Vietnam	Krông Năng	2017	54.7%	72.8%	34.1%
Vietnam	Krông Nô	2000	30.9%	55.6%	11.5%
Vietnam	Krông Nô	2017	83.1%	93.8%	66.3%
Vietnam	Krông Pa	2000	10.8%	34.0%	2.3%
Vietnam	Krông Pa	2017	63.2%	82.6%	43.7%
Vietnam	Krông Pắc	2000	19.8%	34.9%	11.2%
Vietnam	Krông Pắc	2017	80.7%	90.4%	69.9%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh	2000	21.6%	45.3%	6.5%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh	2017	78.0%	92.4%	57.8%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh (Thị xã)	2000	25.8%	77.0%	2.6%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh (Thị xã)	2017	80.6%	98.8%	48.4%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2000	37.6%	68.5%	13.2%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2000	13.5%	34.3%	3.7%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2017	68.6%	84.8%	51.8%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2017	90.4%	98.5%	70.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	La Gi	2000	18.1%	53.2%	2.2%
Vietnam	La Gi	2017	87.0%	98.9%	59.5%
Vietnam	Lạc Dương	2000	23.3%	46.1%	8.9%
Vietnam	Lạc Dương	2017	74.8%	86.4%	60.4%
Vietnam	Lạc Sơn	2000	21.3%	45.1%	6.5%
Vietnam	Lạc Sơn	2017	81.1%	94.7%	58.8%
Vietnam	Lạc Thủy	2000	30.5%	52.1%	16.5%
Vietnam	Lạc Thủy	2017	86.3%	96.6%	69.6%
Vietnam	Lai Châu	2000	9.9%	48.1%	0.4%
Vietnam	Lai Châu	2017	66.0%	97.4%	17.9%
Vietnam	Lai Vung	2000	10.2%	31.8%	2.6%
Vietnam	Lai Vung	2017	78.2%	89.9%	56.1%
Vietnam	Lắk	2000	12.9%	27.5%	5.4%
Vietnam	Lắk	2017	74.5%	88.2%	57.5%
Vietnam	Lâm Bình	2000	19.4%	48.6%	3.2%
Vietnam	Lâm Bình	2017	69.6%	89.3%	42.9%
Vietnam	Lâm Hà	2000	16.0%	29.1%	6.7%
Vietnam	Lâm Hà	2017	71.0%	81.2%	58.4%
Vietnam	Lâm Thao	2000	49.6%	82.7%	20.3%
Vietnam	Lâm Thao	2017	94.9%	99.5%	85.3%
Vietnam	Lang Chánh	2000	24.5%	65.2%	3.0%
Vietnam	Lang Chánh	2017	77.2%	95.7%	49.1%
Vietnam	Lạng Giang	2000	69.5%	86.3%	41.4%
Vietnam	Lạng Giang	2017	97.8%	99.5%	90.7%
Vietnam	Lạng Sơn	2000	47.8%	78.7%	16.7%
Vietnam	Lạng Sơn	2017	98.2%	99.6%	93.6%
Vietnam	Lào Cai	2000	20.9%	35.1%	13.4%
Vietnam	Lào Cai	2017	83.7%	92.6%	73.1%
Vietnam	Lập Thạch	2000	38.9%	84.5%	6.1%
Vietnam	Lập Thạch	2017	87.5%	98.9%	59.9%
Vietnam	Lấp Vò	2000	10.5%	23.0%	3.9%
Vietnam	Lấp Vò	2017	80.3%	91.6%	63.7%
Vietnam	Lê Chân	2000	87.2%	99.0%	62.2%
Vietnam	Lê Chân	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.8%
Vietnam	Lệ Thủy	2000	29.0%	60.7%	9.3%
Vietnam	Lệ Thủy	2017	84.5%	95.7%	66.5%
Vietnam	Liên Chiểu	2000	43.6%	81.0%	24.3%
Vietnam	Liên Chiểu	2017	97.5%	99.8%	91.6%
Vietnam	Lộc Bình	2000	49.8%	76.9%	21.5%
Vietnam	Lộc Bình	2017	92.4%	98.2%	79.0%
Vietnam	Lộc Hà	2000	31.6%	54.8%	12.8%
Vietnam	Lộc Hà	2017	87.0%	94.5%	75.0%
Vietnam	Lộc Ninh	2000	16.5%	37.0%	5.1%
Vietnam	Lộc Ninh	2017	78.6%	92.0%	57.1%
Vietnam	Long Biên	2000	82.8%	95.4%	61.0%
Vietnam	Long Biên	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.7%
Vietnam	Long Điền	2000	13.8%	30.1%	6.4%
Vietnam	Long Điền	2017	91.7%	95.8%	77.7%
Vietnam	Long Hồ	2000	9.1%	26.9%	2.3%
Vietnam	Long Hồ	2017	76.9%	89.3%	55.6%
Vietnam	Long Khánh	2000	32.4%	69.5%	10.0%
Vietnam	Long Khánh	2017	93.2%	99.5%	78.0%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ	2000	11.8%	29.0%	1.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Long Mỹ	2017	64.7%	81.0%	42.2%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ (Thị xã)	2000	17.7%	41.1%	3.5%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ (Thị xã)	2017	86.4%	95.8%	68.9%
Vietnam	Long Phú	2000	12.8%	32.3%	5.4%
Vietnam	Long Phú	2017	74.3%	93.4%	44.5%
Vietnam	Long Thành	2000	43.1%	67.9%	20.9%
Vietnam	Long Thành	2017	93.3%	99.0%	77.6%
Vietnam	Long Xuyên	2000	42.2%	63.0%	18.9%
Vietnam	Long Xuyên	2017	96.6%	98.7%	91.9%
Vietnam	Lục Nam	2000	41.6%	68.3%	15.9%
Vietnam	Lục Nam	2017	91.0%	97.8%	74.1%
Vietnam	Lục Ngạn	2000	33.7%	58.3%	15.3%
Vietnam	Lục Ngạn	2017	84.0%	94.4%	68.0%
Vietnam	Lục Yên	2000	23.3%	50.9%	5.8%
Vietnam	Lục Yên	2017	76.9%	93.4%	54.2%
Vietnam	Lương Sơn	2000	46.6%	72.5%	19.2%
Vietnam	Lương Sơn	2017	90.3%	98.5%	73.8%
Vietnam	Lương Tài	2000	49.6%	68.2%	19.3%
Vietnam	Lương Tài	2017	95.0%	99.4%	84.6%
Vietnam	Lý Nhân	2000	43.4%	73.7%	16.2%
Vietnam	Lý Nhân	2017	90.6%	98.7%	71.3%
Vietnam	Lý Sơn	2000	28.5%	95.1%	0.8%
Vietnam	Lý Sơn	2017	81.0%	100.0%	26.7%
Vietnam	M'Đrắk	2000	12.3%	37.1%	3.5%
Vietnam	M'Đrắk	2017	69.7%	87.1%	48.9%
Vietnam	Mai Châu	2000	38.6%	69.4%	11.7%
Vietnam	Mai Châu	2017	86.8%	96.9%	70.3%
Vietnam	Mai Sơn	2000	14.9%	28.1%	6.5%
Vietnam	Mai Sơn	2017	68.1%	82.0%	53.4%
Vietnam	Mang Thít	2000	6.6%	21.5%	0.5%
Vietnam	Mang Thít	2017	65.0%	84.6%	34.2%
Vietnam	Mang Yang	2000	11.6%	29.6%	2.9%
Vietnam	Mang Yang	2017	60.2%	79.1%	39.7%
Vietnam	Mê Linh	2000	29.7%	48.9%	13.5%
Vietnam	Mê Linh	2017	80.6%	93.0%	65.0%
Vietnam	Mèo Vạc	2000	18.5%	51.0%	4.6%
Vietnam	Mèo Vạc	2017	74.8%	95.0%	51.4%
Vietnam	Minh Hóa	2000	22.0%	44.2%	7.0%
Vietnam	Minh Hóa	2017	76.0%	90.1%	58.3%
Vietnam	Minh Long	2000	39.2%	88.2%	7.8%
Vietnam	Minh Long	2017	86.6%	99.6%	58.2%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Bắc	2000	23.8%	43.5%	5.8%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Bắc	2017	84.1%	96.7%	59.7%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Nam	2000	28.9%	43.7%	16.6%
Vietnam	Mô Cày Nam	2017	87.1%	95.1%	75.9%
Vietnam	Mộ Đức	2000	27.4%	59.1%	5.0%
Vietnam	Mộ Đức	2017	89.6%	98.6%	62.7%
Vietnam	Mộc Châu	2000	24.7%	40.0%	11.3%
Vietnam	Mộc Châu	2017	75.8%	88.3%	61.6%
Vietnam	Mộc Hóa	2000	7.8%	24.4%	0.9%
Vietnam	Mộc Hóa	2017	66.9%	94.6%	34.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Móng Cái	2000	35.6%	67.3%	12.4%
Vietnam	Móng Cái	2017	89.8%	96.6%	80.0%
Vietnam	Mù Căng Chải	2000	5.8%	15.6%	1.5%
Vietnam	Mù Căng Chải	2017	53.4%	73.1%	35.0%
Vietnam	Mường Ảng	2000	25.8%	72.8%	2.5%
Vietnam	Mường Ảng	2017	78.6%	97.2%	46.2%
Vietnam	Mường Chà	2000	21.5%	48.8%	5.0%
Vietnam	Mường Chà	2017	73.6%	91.0%	52.7%
Vietnam	Mường Khương	2000	6.9%	20.4%	1.1%
Vietnam	Mường Khương	2017	56.7%	77.0%	36.1%
Vietnam	Mường La	2000	13.6%	27.9%	4.6%
Vietnam	Mường La	2017	61.9%	77.1%	42.9%
Vietnam	Mường Lát	2000	25.4%	65.4%	5.2%
Vietnam	Mường Lát	2017	76.9%	95.3%	51.8%
Vietnam	Mường Nhé	2000	26.8%	53.6%	6.8%
Vietnam	Mường Nhé	2017	67.2%	87.3%	42.9%
Vietnam	Mường Tè	2000	22.7%	49.6%	7.2%
Vietnam	Mường Tè	2017	66.2%	82.8%	46.0%
Vietnam	Mỹ Đức	2000	29.0%	66.5%	7.3%
Vietnam	Mỹ Đức	2017	85.0%	96.9%	59.4%
Vietnam	Mỹ Hòa	2000	12.3%	26.8%	4.7%
Vietnam	Mỹ Hòa	2017	87.8%	97.4%	64.2%
Vietnam	Mỹ Lộc	2000	49.5%	86.6%	14.9%
Vietnam	Mỹ Lộc	2017	92.4%	98.9%	73.1%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tho	2000	23.2%	41.4%	8.4%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tho	2017	95.1%	98.9%	86.3%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tú	2000	8.0%	23.7%	0.9%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tú	2017	69.7%	90.5%	38.3%
Vietnam	Mỹ Xuyên	2000	6.5%	21.2%	1.0%
Vietnam	Mỹ Xuyên	2017	66.5%	85.2%	39.6%
Vietnam	Nà Hang	2000	12.1%	33.3%	2.5%
Vietnam	Nà Hang	2017	48.4%	62.1%	30.3%
Vietnam	Na Rì	2000	31.2%	70.1%	8.3%
Vietnam	Na Rì	2017	81.2%	96.0%	60.5%
Vietnam	Năm Căn	2000	16.9%	49.2%	3.2%
Vietnam	Năm Căn	2017	76.0%	92.9%	47.8%
Vietnam	Nam Đàn	2000	27.6%	56.0%	9.8%
Vietnam	Nam Đàn	2017	84.7%	95.8%	60.4%
Vietnam	Nam Định	2000	68.4%	96.8%	32.8%
Vietnam	Nam Định	2017	99.1%	100.0%	94.4%
Vietnam	Nam Đông	2000	21.3%	60.8%	3.2%
Vietnam	Nam Đông	2017	74.7%	95.0%	45.5%
Vietnam	Nam Giang	2000	23.4%	51.4%	6.2%
Vietnam	Nam Giang	2017	74.7%	89.5%	56.1%
Vietnam	Nậm Nhùn	2000	19.0%	42.2%	5.1%
Vietnam	Nậm Nhùn	2017	67.7%	83.2%	48.3%
Vietnam	Nậm Pồ	2000	29.3%	63.6%	7.7%
Vietnam	Nậm Pồ	2017	76.0%	92.3%	53.4%
Vietnam	Nam Sách	2000	57.8%	81.3%	27.2%
Vietnam	Nam Sách	2017	98.0%	99.8%	92.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Nam Trà My	2000	24.1%	63.1%	3.9%
Vietnam	Nam Trà My	2017	74.2%	93.0%	46.3%
Vietnam	Nam Trực	2000	68.2%	93.5%	31.8%
Vietnam	Nam Trực	2017	97.8%	99.9%	88.3%
Vietnam	Nam Từ Liêm	2000	67.9%	88.7%	40.7%
Vietnam	Nam Từ Liêm	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Vietnam	Ngã Bảy	2000	5.5%	35.3%	0.2%
Vietnam	Ngã Bảy	2017	69.6%	94.8%	25.8%
Vietnam	Ngã Năm	2000	9.4%	34.6%	0.8%
Vietnam	Ngã Năm	2017	73.3%	95.3%	36.4%
Vietnam	Nga Sơn	2000	49.3%	73.5%	25.9%
Vietnam	Nga Sơn	2017	96.3%	99.3%	89.3%
Vietnam	Ngân Sơn	2000	32.4%	77.4%	4.5%
Vietnam	Ngân Sơn	2017	80.5%	97.8%	50.9%
Vietnam	Nghi Lộc	2000	35.1%	72.5%	12.6%
Vietnam	Nghi Lộc	2017	86.0%	97.5%	65.3%
Vietnam	Nghi Xuân	2000	43.3%	76.5%	18.8%
Vietnam	Nghi Xuân	2017	94.5%	99.2%	83.6%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Đàn	2000	19.0%	38.3%	6.5%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Đàn	2017	79.7%	91.3%	59.8%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hành	2000	64.3%	91.0%	35.1%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hành	2017	95.1%	99.7%	83.7%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hưng	2000	74.5%	94.0%	47.9%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hưng	2017	98.3%	99.9%	92.0%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Lộ	2000	11.6%	20.5%	5.8%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Lộ	2017	65.2%	79.0%	48.5%
Vietnam	Ngô Quyền	2000	89.2%	99.8%	60.6%
Vietnam	Ngô Quyền	2017	100.0%	100.0%	99.9%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hiến	2000	11.1%	26.1%	2.1%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hiến	2017	64.8%	80.3%	45.2%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hồi	2000	15.9%	34.9%	3.8%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hồi	2017	76.1%	91.6%	52.7%
Vietnam	Ngọc Lặc	2000	31.7%	66.9%	5.7%
Vietnam	Ngọc Lặc	2017	83.0%	95.7%	56.0%
Vietnam	Ngũ Hành Sơn	2000	60.8%	85.5%	36.8%
Vietnam	Ngũ Hành Sơn	2017	98.5%	100.0%	91.9%
Vietnam	Nguyễn Bình	2000	22.3%	54.8%	4.3%
Vietnam	Nguyễn Bình	2017	74.3%	93.0%	49.3%
Vietnam	Nhà Bè	2000	66.8%	85.7%	49.3%
Vietnam	Nhà Bè	2017	97.0%	99.8%	85.8%
Vietnam	Nha Trang	2000	26.2%	43.3%	15.2%
Vietnam	Nha Trang	2017	91.6%	97.7%	78.8%
Vietnam	Nho Quan	2000	66.1%	91.9%	31.4%
Vietnam	Nho Quan	2017	96.5%	99.7%	85.2%
Vietnam	Nhơn Trạch	2000	24.4%	46.8%	8.3%
Vietnam	Nhơn Trạch	2017	89.4%	98.1%	72.4%
Vietnam	Như Thanh	2000	25.0%	55.2%	7.5%
Vietnam	Như Thanh	2017	82.4%	96.3%	64.1%
Vietnam	Như Xuân	2000	25.0%	65.7%	3.7%
Vietnam	Như Xuân	2017	78.0%	95.8%	52.7%
Vietnam	Ninh Bình	2000	51.3%	88.6%	17.5%
Vietnam	Ninh Bình	2017	98.9%	100.0%	95.1%
Vietnam	Ninh Giang	2000	46.7%	72.7%	18.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Ninh Giang	2017	95.3%	99.3%	84.9%
Vietnam	Ninh Hải	2000	19.3%	44.2%	5.5%
Vietnam	Ninh Hải	2017	82.7%	95.3%	63.3%
Vietnam	Ninh Hòa	2000	13.2%	31.7%	3.3%
Vietnam	Ninh Hòa	2017	77.7%	91.8%	57.0%
Vietnam	Ninh Kiều	2000	81.7%	92.1%	63.9%
Vietnam	Ninh Kiều	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.2%
Vietnam	Ninh Phước	2000	20.9%	38.0%	9.5%
Vietnam	Ninh Phước	2017	89.7%	97.3%	76.1%
Vietnam	Ninh Sơn	2000	13.2%	28.6%	4.0%
Vietnam	Ninh Sơn	2017	79.6%	91.7%	62.9%
Vietnam	Nông Cống	2000	34.4%	62.0%	10.6%
Vietnam	Nông Cống	2017	89.6%	97.6%	74.5%
Vietnam	Nông Sơn	2000	25.2%	67.6%	3.6%
Vietnam	Nông Sơn	2017	78.9%	97.2%	48.7%
Vietnam	Núi Thành	2000	20.2%	42.1%	7.8%
Vietnam	Núi Thành	2017	87.1%	96.9%	69.5%
Vietnam	Ô Môn	2000	6.4%	25.8%	0.4%
Vietnam	Ô Môn	2017	63.9%	84.0%	36.6%
Vietnam	Pác Nặm	2000	25.5%	64.3%	4.7%
Vietnam	Pác Nặm	2017	77.1%	94.8%	52.6%
Vietnam	Phan Rang-Tháp Chàm	2000	31.5%	58.0%	10.3%
Vietnam	Phan Rang-Tháp Chàm	2017	92.7%	99.5%	70.5%
Vietnam	Phan Thiết	2000	25.3%	49.7%	11.2%
Vietnam	Phan Thiết	2017	88.1%	97.8%	73.0%
Vietnam	Phổ Yên	2000	44.4%	63.9%	26.2%
Vietnam	Phổ Yên	2017	90.2%	97.0%	80.5%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2000	10.4%	33.8%	0.9%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2000	27.0%	58.7%	7.9%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2017	81.9%	94.7%	66.3%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2017	69.7%	86.5%	39.9%
Vietnam	Phong Thổ	2000	14.2%	44.6%	1.7%
Vietnam	Phong Thổ	2017	67.3%	88.0%	42.6%
Vietnam	Phú Bình	2000	46.7%	62.3%	29.4%
Vietnam	Phú Bình	2017	88.2%	93.5%	79.7%
Vietnam	Phù Cát	2000	19.5%	49.3%	5.1%
Vietnam	Phù Cát	2017	79.5%	93.2%	60.5%
Vietnam	Phù Cừ	2000	80.1%	97.4%	44.1%
Vietnam	Phù Cừ	2017	98.2%	99.9%	90.0%
Vietnam	Phú Giáo	2000	19.5%	42.4%	7.3%
Vietnam	Phú Giáo	2017	86.2%	96.9%	68.4%
Vietnam	Phú Hoà	2000	13.0%	28.6%	4.2%
Vietnam	Phú Hoà	2017	87.1%	95.2%	72.5%
Vietnam	Phú Lộc	2000	19.4%	41.8%	6.5%
Vietnam	Phú Lộc	2017	81.2%	92.6%	65.5%
Vietnam	Phú Lương	2000	49.8%	82.2%	16.4%
Vietnam	Phú Lương	2017	89.5%	98.6%	70.3%
Vietnam	Phủ Lý	2000	40.4%	90.1%	4.6%
Vietnam	Phủ Lý	2017	86.3%	99.7%	53.7%
Vietnam	Phù Mỹ	2000	18.3%	40.2%	5.0%
Vietnam	Phù Mỹ	2017	81.3%	94.8%	62.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Phú Nhuận	2000	72.8%	89.9%	49.5%
Vietnam	Phú Nhuận	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.8%
Vietnam	Phú Ninh	2000	26.9%	58.0%	6.2%
Vietnam	Phú Ninh	2017	89.5%	98.8%	68.9%
Vietnam	Phù Ninh	2000	59.8%	80.5%	30.5%
Vietnam	Phù Ninh	2017	97.0%	99.2%	90.2%
Vietnam	Phú Quý	2000	15.6%	81.1%	0.2%
Vietnam	Phú Quý	2017	77.2%	99.7%	17.4%
Vietnam	Phú Quốc	2000	12.0%	37.7%	1.9%
Vietnam	Phú Quốc	2017	66.7%	89.2%	42.2%
Vietnam	Phú Riềng	2000	18.8%	42.9%	5.1%
Vietnam	Phú Riềng	2017	82.5%	94.4%	63.4%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2000	20.6%	55.5%	3.7%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2000	7.4%	22.7%	1.1%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2017	80.5%	96.4%	55.7%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2017	65.3%	84.4%	43.8%
Vietnam	Phú Thiện	2000	9.7%	25.1%	2.7%
Vietnam	Phú Thiện	2017	69.7%	85.3%	48.1%
Vietnam	Phú Thọ	2000	38.5%	58.4%	21.0%
Vietnam	Phú Thọ	2017	94.7%	96.7%	91.8%
Vietnam	Phú Vang	2000	29.6%	57.8%	8.6%
Vietnam	Phú Vang	2017	88.4%	98.4%	70.7%
Vietnam	Phú Xuyên	2000	33.6%	61.5%	12.7%
Vietnam	Phú Xuyên	2017	90.1%	97.7%	72.0%
Vietnam	Phù Yên	2000	14.1%	30.9%	3.6%
Vietnam	Phù Yên	2017	70.0%	87.5%	47.1%
Vietnam	Phục Hoà	2000	19.4%	61.7%	1.7%
Vietnam	Phục Hoà	2017	74.5%	93.5%	37.8%
Vietnam	Phước Thọ	2000	14.0%	26.2%	6.8%
Vietnam	Phước Thọ	2017	67.8%	77.5%	53.7%
Vietnam	Phước Yên	2000	23.6%	55.4%	6.5%
Vietnam	Phước Yên	2017	88.0%	97.6%	59.3%
Vietnam	Phụng Hiệp	2000	4.1%	11.2%	0.7%
Vietnam	Phụng Hiệp	2017	61.2%	80.0%	37.2%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2000	10.4%	27.7%	1.7%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2000	38.7%	81.8%	6.4%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2017	88.5%	99.7%	49.9%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2017	74.6%	91.7%	49.8%
Vietnam	Phước Sơn	2000	23.9%	61.8%	4.4%
Vietnam	Phước Sơn	2017	74.5%	92.8%	50.0%
Vietnam	Pleiku	2000	23.9%	38.6%	13.6%
Vietnam	Pleiku	2017	96.2%	98.5%	90.3%
Vietnam	Quận 1	2000	45.0%	59.4%	31.1%
Vietnam	Quận 1	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.2%
Vietnam	Quận 10	2000	32.2%	42.8%	23.6%
Vietnam	Quận 10	2017	99.1%	99.5%	98.6%
Vietnam	Quận 11	2000	20.0%	29.5%	14.2%
Vietnam	Quận 11	2017	98.6%	99.3%	97.8%
Vietnam	Quận 12	2000	66.3%	79.5%	43.9%
Vietnam	Quận 12	2017	99.2%	99.8%	98.0%
Vietnam	Quận 2	2000	55.5%	71.3%	33.8%
Vietnam	Quận 2	2017	99.5%	99.8%	98.8%
Vietnam	Quận 3	2000	42.4%	57.3%	28.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Quận 3	2017	99.5%	99.8%	99.1%
Vietnam	Quận 4	2000	45.1%	60.3%	31.5%
Vietnam	Quận 4	2017	99.6%	99.8%	99.1%
Vietnam	Quận 5	2000	36.1%	46.9%	27.1%
Vietnam	Quận 5	2017	99.2%	99.6%	98.8%
Vietnam	Quận 6	2000	55.7%	63.5%	43.8%
Vietnam	Quận 6	2017	99.3%	99.7%	99.0%
Vietnam	Quận 7	2000	65.5%	83.1%	48.4%
Vietnam	Quận 7	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Vietnam	Quận 8	2000	76.7%	85.0%	63.1%
Vietnam	Quận 8	2017	99.7%	99.9%	99.1%
Vietnam	Quận 9	2000	57.1%	76.8%	34.1%
Vietnam	Quận 9	2017	98.8%	99.8%	95.3%
Vietnam	Quản Bạ	2000	21.4%	62.9%	1.8%
Vietnam	Quản Bạ	2017	73.6%	95.4%	41.3%
Vietnam	Quan Hóa	2000	26.8%	53.1%	10.3%
Vietnam	Quan Hóa	2017	80.5%	93.1%	63.7%
Vietnam	Quan Sơn	2000	20.5%	48.7%	4.1%
Vietnam	Quan Sơn	2017	68.4%	85.5%	48.3%
Vietnam	Quang Bình	2000	15.1%	41.8%	2.7%
Vietnam	Quang Bình	2017	69.9%	87.3%	46.3%
Vietnam	Quảng Điền	2000	21.7%	44.2%	7.7%
Vietnam	Quảng Điền	2017	89.7%	97.1%	73.1%
Vietnam	Quảng Ngãi	2000	53.0%	71.5%	34.3%
Vietnam	Quảng Ngãi	2017	96.2%	99.4%	87.1%
Vietnam	Quảng Ninh	2000	17.8%	33.5%	6.2%
Vietnam	Quảng Ninh	2017	82.8%	91.6%	69.2%
Vietnam	Quảng Trạch	2000	28.2%	58.1%	9.4%
Vietnam	Quảng Trạch	2017	86.4%	96.2%	68.4%
Vietnam	Quảng Trị	2000	30.9%	82.8%	3.4%
Vietnam	Quảng Trị	2017	90.4%	99.6%	53.0%
Vietnam	Quảng Uyên	2000	18.7%	52.3%	2.0%
Vietnam	Quảng Uyên	2017	76.0%	94.5%	48.5%
Vietnam	Quảng Xương	2000	63.3%	91.5%	24.8%
Vietnam	Quảng Xương	2017	95.4%	99.7%	81.5%
Vietnam	Quảng Yên	2000	46.7%	90.1%	9.7%
Vietnam	Quảng Yên	2017	89.4%	99.5%	56.4%
Vietnam	Quế Phong	2000	19.8%	40.5%	6.6%
Vietnam	Quế Phong	2017	73.4%	88.9%	56.9%
Vietnam	Quế Sơn	2000	16.2%	32.7%	5.8%
Vietnam	Quế Sơn	2017	86.2%	96.0%	71.3%
Vietnam	Quế Võ	2000	47.3%	73.9%	23.8%
Vietnam	Quế Võ	2017	95.8%	99.4%	83.7%
Vietnam	Qui Nhơn	2000	33.5%	58.8%	14.6%
Vietnam	Qui Nhơn	2017	95.5%	98.8%	89.7%
Vietnam	Quốc Oai	2000	45.9%	71.6%	17.5%
Vietnam	Quốc Oai	2017	93.8%	99.2%	77.5%
Vietnam	Quỳ Châu	2000	19.5%	47.1%	5.3%
Vietnam	Quỳ Châu	2017	75.2%	90.4%	54.3%
Vietnam	Quỳ Hợp	2000	16.6%	43.8%	3.8%
Vietnam	Quỳ Hợp	2017	71.0%	88.1%	50.6%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Lưu	2000	23.9%	52.4%	8.0%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Lưu	2017	84.4%	94.9%	65.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Quỳnh Nhai	2000	13.7%	39.5%	2.3%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Nhai	2017	65.9%	87.6%	42.5%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Phụ	2000	37.5%	58.2%	18.7%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Phụ	2017	92.4%	98.9%	79.4%
Vietnam	Rạch Giá	2000	8.7%	15.4%	3.8%
Vietnam	Rạch Giá	2017	81.5%	89.0%	74.1%
Vietnam	Sa Đéc	2000	27.0%	58.3%	4.3%
Vietnam	Sa Đéc	2017	93.1%	99.5%	74.0%
Vietnam	Sa Pa	2000	7.2%	21.6%	1.5%
Vietnam	Sa Pa	2017	61.5%	81.6%	35.8%
Vietnam	Sa Thầy	2000	13.7%	31.1%	3.4%
Vietnam	Sa Thầy	2017	73.7%	90.4%	55.2%
Vietnam	Sầm Sơn	2000	37.1%	65.7%	11.7%
Vietnam	Sầm Sơn	2017	96.4%	99.7%	86.0%
Vietnam	Si Ma Cai	2000	6.5%	23.4%	1.0%
Vietnam	Si Ma Cai	2017	52.3%	79.1%	23.5%
Vietnam	Sìn Hồ	2000	14.8%	32.5%	3.7%
Vietnam	Sìn Hồ	2017	68.7%	81.9%	52.1%
Vietnam	Sóc Sơn	2000	41.6%	63.8%	15.8%
Vietnam	Sóc Sơn	2017	89.6%	94.9%	76.1%
Vietnam	Sóc Trăng	2000	12.5%	18.4%	8.5%
Vietnam	Sóc Trăng	2017	89.0%	92.4%	84.3%
Vietnam	Sơn Động	2000	34.7%	75.1%	8.4%
Vietnam	Sơn Động	2017	83.4%	97.7%	60.1%
Vietnam	Sơn Dương	2000	22.8%	44.8%	8.6%
Vietnam	Sơn Dương	2017	74.7%	87.2%	59.3%
Vietnam	Sơn Hà	2000	18.5%	42.1%	4.8%
Vietnam	Sơn Hà	2017	73.1%	89.6%	49.6%
Vietnam	Sơn Hòa	2000	16.6%	45.3%	4.1%
Vietnam	Sơn Hòa	2017	74.5%	90.3%	56.3%
Vietnam	Sơn La	2000	29.1%	70.6%	5.2%
Vietnam	Sơn La	2017	87.0%	97.9%	62.4%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2000	15.1%	52.7%	1.6%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2000	41.2%	74.4%	8.0%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2017	91.4%	98.0%	68.0%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2017	72.4%	94.6%	35.6%
Vietnam	Sơn Tĩnh	2000	24.7%	56.0%	6.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Tĩnh	2017	86.9%	97.2%	69.0%
Vietnam	Sơn Trà	2000	67.9%	87.2%	44.4%
Vietnam	Sơn Trà	2017	99.1%	100.0%	96.7%
Vietnam	Sông Cầu	2000	19.5%	49.9%	4.2%
Vietnam	Sông Cầu	2017	79.9%	96.9%	54.5%
Vietnam	Sông Công	2000	76.2%	95.1%	44.1%
Vietnam	Sông Công	2017	98.8%	99.9%	94.5%
Vietnam	Sông Hình	2000	13.0%	33.2%	3.0%
Vietnam	Sông Hình	2017	61.9%	80.7%	41.8%
Vietnam	Sông Lô	2000	46.4%	77.8%	17.4%
Vietnam	Sông Lô	2017	89.5%	99.4%	69.5%
Vietnam	Sông Mã	2000	21.6%	46.8%	6.3%
Vietnam	Sông Mã	2017	76.0%	89.8%	57.6%
Vietnam	Sốp Cộp	2000	18.1%	40.6%	5.4%
Vietnam	Sốp Cộp	2017	73.3%	90.9%	50.5%
Vietnam	Tam Bình	2000	11.3%	30.0%	2.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Tam Bình	2017	72.2%	89.5%	45.1%
Vietnam	Tam Đảo	2000	34.4%	77.6%	4.2%
Vietnam	Tam Đảo	2017	83.7%	99.0%	54.5%
Vietnam	Tam Điệp	2000	51.3%	94.8%	7.1%
Vietnam	Tam Điệp	2017	92.9%	99.9%	66.3%
Vietnam	Tam Dương	2000	44.1%	92.9%	8.4%
Vietnam	Tam Dương	2017	92.1%	99.9%	60.1%
Vietnam	Tam Đường	2000	9.5%	36.7%	1.1%
Vietnam	Tam Đường	2017	62.1%	84.9%	34.7%
Vietnam	Tam Kỳ	2000	42.9%	57.6%	30.2%
Vietnam	Tam Kỳ	2017	98.3%	99.4%	96.2%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2000	42.2%	69.2%	20.4%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2000	9.5%	26.0%	1.7%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2017	94.5%	99.3%	83.3%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2017	69.2%	85.3%	45.5%
Vietnam	Tân An	2000	18.0%	43.6%	4.4%
Vietnam	Tân An	2017	91.7%	98.4%	78.4%
Vietnam	Tân Biên	2000	18.3%	37.3%	6.3%
Vietnam	Tân Biên	2017	82.5%	93.4%	66.8%
Vietnam	Tân Bình	2000	65.8%	74.2%	52.2%
Vietnam	Tân Bình	2017	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2000	5.2%	19.7%	1.1%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2000	14.3%	33.2%	5.0%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2017	71.0%	83.8%	47.3%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2017	75.8%	92.0%	56.2%
Vietnam	Tân Hiệp	2000	8.5%	26.4%	1.6%
Vietnam	Tân Hiệp	2017	73.5%	92.2%	45.4%
Vietnam	Tân Hồng	2000	11.9%	36.5%	1.8%
Vietnam	Tân Hồng	2017	73.4%	93.2%	43.0%
Vietnam	Tân Hưng	2000	7.5%	21.6%	1.8%
Vietnam	Tân Hưng	2017	68.3%	87.7%	45.5%
Vietnam	Tân Kỳ	2000	27.2%	60.1%	6.8%
Vietnam	Tân Kỳ	2017	83.5%	96.7%	60.6%
Vietnam	Tân Lạc	2000	28.5%	60.5%	7.9%
Vietnam	Tân Lạc	2017	83.2%	96.2%	60.2%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2000	37.0%	45.1%	29.2%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2000	29.3%	45.4%	17.4%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2017	99.0%	99.5%	98.5%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2017	89.2%	95.6%	80.3%
Vietnam	Tân Phú Đông	2000	14.6%	36.8%	3.1%
Vietnam	Tân Phú Đông	2017	84.4%	96.8%	60.2%
Vietnam	Tân Phước	2000	7.7%	22.5%	1.3%
Vietnam	Tân Phước	2017	74.0%	91.3%	48.7%
Vietnam	Tân Sơn	2000	36.2%	73.5%	7.9%
Vietnam	Tân Sơn	2017	84.9%	97.8%	60.5%
Vietnam	Tân Thành	2000	30.9%	57.5%	12.5%
Vietnam	Tân Thành	2017	92.3%	98.9%	77.7%
Vietnam	Tân Thạnh	2000	6.8%	21.4%	1.0%
Vietnam	Tân Thạnh	2017	63.7%	86.8%	37.3%
Vietnam	Tân Trụ	2000	6.1%	17.9%	1.5%
Vietnam	Tân Trụ	2017	80.8%	94.8%	54.6%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2000	8.0%	29.8%	1.0%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2000	33.9%	58.6%	15.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2017	54.2%	76.2%	27.2%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2017	92.5%	99.3%	73.9%
Vietnam	Tân Yên	2000	70.0%	90.0%	34.3%
Vietnam	Tân Yên	2017	98.3%	99.8%	91.6%
Vietnam	Tánh Linh	2000	18.4%	46.2%	4.9%
Vietnam	Tánh Linh	2017	78.8%	93.3%	56.0%
Vietnam	Tây Giang	2000	19.3%	55.7%	2.6%
Vietnam	Tây Giang	2017	68.2%	91.3%	40.6%
Vietnam	Tây Hồ	2000	85.7%	97.5%	67.1%
Vietnam	Tây Hồ	2017	99.9%	100.0%	99.6%
Vietnam	Tây Hoà	2000	19.8%	49.2%	5.2%
Vietnam	Tây Hoà	2017	78.2%	93.7%	54.0%
Vietnam	Tây Ninh	2000	16.4%	33.6%	5.6%
Vietnam	Tây Ninh	2017	86.2%	95.9%	70.7%
Vietnam	Tây Sơn	2000	19.0%	38.0%	7.1%
Vietnam	Tây Sơn	2017	85.9%	95.1%	72.6%
Vietnam	Tây Trà	2000	20.9%	66.7%	1.7%
Vietnam	Tây Trà	2017	75.6%	97.0%	36.7%
Vietnam	Thạch An	2000	27.3%	69.1%	5.6%
Vietnam	Thạch An	2017	76.2%	96.7%	48.8%
Vietnam	Thạch Hà	2000	22.3%	38.7%	10.2%
Vietnam	Thạch Hà	2017	89.1%	95.5%	81.3%
Vietnam	Thạch Thành	2000	41.0%	71.7%	12.2%
Vietnam	Thạch Thành	2017	87.2%	96.3%	67.5%
Vietnam	Thạch Thất	2000	31.0%	50.7%	13.1%
Vietnam	Thạch Thất	2017	89.2%	93.7%	77.7%
Vietnam	Thái Bình	2000	46.8%	79.9%	19.3%
Vietnam	Thái Bình	2017	98.5%	99.8%	94.5%
Vietnam	Thái Hoà	2000	14.3%	35.5%	4.5%
Vietnam	Thái Hoà	2017	79.3%	92.2%	57.4%
Vietnam	Thái Nguyên	2000	32.1%	43.4%	22.3%
Vietnam	Thái Nguyên	2017	92.3%	94.4%	88.9%
Vietnam	Thái Thụy	2000	33.5%	60.5%	12.8%
Vietnam	Thái Thụy	2017	91.5%	98.7%	77.7%
Vietnam	Than Uyên	2000	4.4%	12.1%	0.8%
Vietnam	Than Uyên	2017	52.3%	71.3%	31.7%
Vietnam	Thăng Bình	2000	20.5%	49.0%	5.5%
Vietnam	Thăng Bình	2017	84.2%	97.8%	60.1%
Vietnam	Thanh Ba	2000	41.1%	63.5%	25.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Ba	2017	96.7%	98.7%	92.1%
Vietnam	Thanh Bình	2000	11.9%	30.9%	2.6%
Vietnam	Thanh Bình	2017	76.0%	92.3%	53.6%
Vietnam	Thanh Chương	2000	34.4%	54.3%	18.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Chương	2017	87.4%	94.8%	77.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Hà	2000	74.6%	97.8%	29.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Hà	2017	97.7%	100.0%	86.9%
Vietnam	Thanh Hóa	2000	46.3%	70.5%	28.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Hóa	2017	94.9%	99.0%	87.7%
Vietnam	Thạnh Hóa	2000	9.3%	28.5%	1.1%
Vietnam	Thạnh Hóa	2017	70.6%	90.8%	39.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Khê	2000	65.4%	83.3%	44.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Thanh Khê	2017	99.2%	99.7%	98.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Liêm	2000	52.3%	83.9%	21.9%
Vietnam	Thanh Liêm	2017	91.9%	99.4%	75.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Miện	2000	75.4%	95.4%	42.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Miện	2017	98.7%	99.9%	94.4%
Vietnam	Thanh Oai	2000	27.0%	47.9%	11.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Oai	2017	88.1%	97.9%	67.9%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Bắc Kạn	2000	21.5%	46.7%	6.1%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Bắc Kạn	2017	85.8%	98.0%	60.5%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Đồng Hới	2000	25.9%	67.1%	3.8%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Đồng Hới	2017	85.6%	99.4%	50.7%
Vietnam	Thạch Phú	2000	11.1%	33.7%	1.5%
Vietnam	Thạch Phú	2017	78.0%	94.1%	50.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Sơn	2000	44.0%	70.9%	22.1%
Vietnam	Thanh Sơn	2017	91.4%	97.3%	80.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Thủy	2000	67.7%	92.0%	40.4%
Vietnam	Thanh Thủy	2017	96.5%	99.6%	87.4%
Vietnam	Thanh Trì	2000	48.9%	75.6%	29.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Trì	2017	93.5%	99.7%	81.0%
Vietnam	Thạch Trì	2000	5.5%	20.4%	0.7%
Vietnam	Thạch Trì	2017	66.7%	89.3%	36.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Xuân	2000	61.5%	79.3%	44.8%
Vietnam	Thanh Xuân	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.3%
Vietnam	Tháp Mười	2000	8.1%	23.9%	1.6%
Vietnam	Tháp Mười	2017	70.4%	88.7%	45.7%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Buôn Hồ	2000	22.1%	44.4%	8.9%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Buôn Hồ	2017	81.1%	90.4%	68.1%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Mường Lay	2000	16.2%	38.3%	4.1%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Mường Lay	2017	85.8%	95.2%	69.2%
Vietnam	Thiệu Hóa	2000	31.6%	55.3%	15.1%
Vietnam	Thiệu Hóa	2017	78.9%	92.7%	57.8%
Vietnam	Thọ Xuân	2000	34.3%	61.9%	14.2%
Vietnam	Thọ Xuân	2017	80.9%	92.6%	61.4%
Vietnam	Thoại Sơn	2000	7.9%	21.1%	2.2%
Vietnam	Thoại Sơn	2017	73.2%	90.7%	53.5%
Vietnam	Thới Bình	2000	15.8%	40.0%	3.7%
Vietnam	Thới Bình	2017	78.5%	93.2%	59.1%
Vietnam	Thới Lai	2000	4.3%	12.9%	0.5%
Vietnam	Thới Lai	2017	63.6%	81.4%	38.9%
Vietnam	Thống Nhất	2000	17.6%	47.8%	3.6%
Vietnam	Thống Nhất	2017	83.3%	97.4%	57.2%
Vietnam	Thông Nông	2000	23.3%	50.7%	6.8%
Vietnam	Thông Nông	2017	74.5%	88.8%	51.9%
Vietnam	Thốt Nốt	2000	7.4%	34.2%	0.9%
Vietnam	Thốt Nốt	2017	72.5%	91.3%	43.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Thủ Dầu Một	2000	23.4%	34.0%	15.4%
Vietnam	Thủ Dầu Một	2017	93.5%	98.6%	81.6%
Vietnam	Thủ Đức	2000	46.5%	61.4%	33.0%
Vietnam	Thủ Đức	2017	99.3%	99.8%	98.8%
Vietnam	Thủ Thừa	2000	4.8%	14.0%	1.1%
Vietnam	Thủ Thừa	2017	72.1%	84.2%	54.5%
Vietnam	Thuận An	2000	44.8%	61.1%	29.9%
Vietnam	Thuận An	2017	98.6%	99.5%	97.2%
Vietnam	Thuận Bắc	2000	18.6%	50.6%	3.5%
Vietnam	Thuận Bắc	2017	79.3%	95.6%	55.4%
Vietnam	Thuận Châu	2000	16.3%	34.9%	4.3%
Vietnam	Thuận Châu	2017	70.4%	85.1%	52.7%
Vietnam	Thuận Nam	2000	10.9%	27.4%	2.3%
Vietnam	Thuận Nam	2017	70.1%	90.7%	41.7%
Vietnam	Thuận Thành	2000	31.0%	64.6%	8.8%
Vietnam	Thuận Thành	2017	93.5%	99.3%	75.4%
Vietnam	Thường Tín	2000	22.5%	36.0%	12.4%
Vietnam	Thường Tín	2017	92.2%	95.1%	87.4%
Vietnam	Thường Xuân	2000	31.2%	54.3%	15.5%
Vietnam	Thường Xuân	2017	77.8%	92.7%	60.8%
Vietnam	Thủy Nguyên	2000	69.5%	93.7%	41.2%
Vietnam	Thủy Nguyên	2017	97.1%	99.9%	86.7%
Vietnam	Tiên Du	2000	73.6%	92.8%	34.7%
Vietnam	Tiên Du	2017	98.4%	99.8%	91.4%
Vietnam	Tiền Hải	2000	35.6%	73.2%	11.3%
Vietnam	Tiền Hải	2017	91.9%	99.3%	65.3%
Vietnam	Tiền Lãng	2000	36.9%	67.7%	12.2%
Vietnam	Tiền Lãng	2017	92.3%	98.9%	68.1%
Vietnam	Tiền Lữ	2000	80.4%	98.9%	39.7%
Vietnam	Tiền Lữ	2017	98.5%	100.0%	92.4%
Vietnam	Tiền Phước	2000	22.6%	59.1%	3.2%
Vietnam	Tiền Phước	2017	79.3%	95.4%	46.7%
Vietnam	Tiền Yên	2000	36.5%	64.2%	12.7%
Vietnam	Tiền Yên	2017	89.9%	97.4%	76.7%
Vietnam	Tiểu Cần	2000	2.6%	8.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Tiểu Cần	2017	65.0%	82.3%	39.6%
Vietnam	Tịnh Biên	2000	18.3%	40.8%	5.6%
Vietnam	Tịnh Biên	2017	83.8%	96.3%	60.9%
Vietnam	Tĩnh Gia	2000	27.6%	58.1%	8.5%
Vietnam	Tĩnh Gia	2017	83.7%	96.1%	61.0%
Vietnam	Trà Bồng	2000	26.0%	71.2%	3.1%
Vietnam	Trà Bồng	2017	79.4%	97.5%	49.2%
Vietnam	Trà Cú	2000	3.8%	13.1%	0.5%
Vietnam	Trà Cú	2017	51.5%	77.9%	25.6%
Vietnam	Trà Lĩnh	2000	10.0%	23.5%	1.7%
Vietnam	Trà Lĩnh	2017	60.5%	77.0%	39.3%
Vietnam	Trà Ôn	2000	13.0%	27.8%	3.0%
Vietnam	Trà Ôn	2017	75.5%	90.0%	53.1%
Vietnam	Trà Vinh	2000	3.3%	13.5%	0.8%
Vietnam	Trà Vinh	2017	67.1%	86.3%	45.2%
Vietnam	Trạm Tấu	2000	12.3%	33.8%	2.2%
Vietnam	Trạm Tấu	2017	64.5%	85.0%	37.5%
Vietnam	Trần Đề	2000	15.5%	41.2%	2.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Trần Đề	2017	76.2%	94.2%	49.4%
Vietnam	Trần Văn Thời	2000	15.7%	33.2%	5.4%
Vietnam	Trần Văn Thời	2017	79.3%	92.4%	64.1%
Vietnam	Trần Yên	2000	40.6%	66.5%	19.9%
Vietnam	Trần Yên	2017	89.5%	96.7%	78.0%
Vietnam	Trảng Bàng	2000	10.9%	26.4%	3.6%
Vietnam	Trảng Bàng	2017	79.8%	91.8%	62.1%
Vietnam	Trảng Bom	2000	38.5%	54.8%	25.4%
Vietnam	Trảng Bom	2017	96.3%	99.1%	89.4%
Vietnam	Trảng Định	2000	34.9%	65.5%	12.2%
Vietnam	Trảng Định	2017	87.6%	96.8%	77.3%
Vietnam	Tri Tôn	2000	8.1%	24.4%	1.4%
Vietnam	Tri Tôn	2017	68.1%	88.0%	42.7%
Vietnam	Triệu Phong	2000	26.8%	52.6%	11.6%
Vietnam	Triệu Phong	2017	91.3%	98.5%	75.8%
Vietnam	Triệu Sơn	2000	23.7%	44.6%	9.5%
Vietnam	Triệu Sơn	2017	77.1%	88.9%	61.2%
Vietnam	Trực Ninh	2000	77.0%	97.8%	36.6%
Vietnam	Trực Ninh	2017	98.3%	100.0%	89.5%
Vietnam	Trùng Khánh	2000	25.6%	65.2%	3.2%
Vietnam	Trùng Khánh	2017	76.3%	97.3%	36.8%
Vietnam	Tứ Kỳ	2000	62.2%	90.8%	26.9%
Vietnam	Tứ Kỳ	2017	95.9%	99.8%	82.8%
Vietnam	Tu Mơ Rông	2000	10.6%	26.0%	2.2%
Vietnam	Tu Mơ Rông	2017	62.0%	79.6%	38.8%
Vietnam	Tư Nghĩa	2000	68.7%	85.0%	48.2%
Vietnam	Tư Nghĩa	2017	97.3%	99.7%	90.3%
Vietnam	Từ Sơn	2000	78.6%	98.8%	37.2%
Vietnam	Từ Sơn	2017	99.0%	100.0%	93.8%
Vietnam	Tủa Chùa	2000	15.4%	49.7%	2.5%
Vietnam	Tủa Chùa	2017	66.2%	90.2%	37.9%
Vietnam	Tuần Giáo	2000	15.0%	40.9%	3.5%
Vietnam	Tuần Giáo	2017	68.6%	84.5%	46.7%
Vietnam	Tương Dương	2000	15.9%	30.2%	6.1%
Vietnam	Tương Dương	2017	67.7%	81.2%	50.7%
Vietnam	Tuy An	2000	10.4%	23.3%	2.4%
Vietnam	Tuy An	2017	81.7%	92.8%	64.5%
Vietnam	Tuy Đức	2000	13.5%	31.3%	3.6%
Vietnam	Tuy Đức	2017	74.0%	88.3%	55.3%
Vietnam	Tuy Hoà	2000	23.7%	43.7%	8.9%
Vietnam	Tuy Hoà	2017	93.9%	96.7%	85.3%
Vietnam	Tuy Phong	2000	12.0%	23.8%	4.7%
Vietnam	Tuy Phong	2017	79.7%	92.4%	64.8%
Vietnam	Tuy Phước	2000	19.8%	45.2%	5.4%
Vietnam	Tuy Phước	2017	89.6%	98.3%	71.4%
Vietnam	Tuyên Hóa	2000	26.6%	52.8%	7.0%
Vietnam	Tuyên Hóa	2017	79.9%	94.1%	59.5%
Vietnam	Tuyên Quang	2000	2.7%	9.9%	0.3%
Vietnam	Tuyên Quang	2017	32.4%	50.0%	13.8%
Vietnam	U Minh	2000	15.1%	34.2%	3.7%
Vietnam	U Minh	2017	75.1%	90.4%	54.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	U Minh Thượng	2000	7.0%	22.9%	1.0%
Vietnam	U Minh Thượng	2017	67.0%	86.0%	33.2%
Vietnam	Ứng Hòa	2000	28.5%	57.3%	9.6%
Vietnam	Ứng Hòa	2017	85.6%	95.4%	69.2%
Vietnam	Uông Bí	2000	54.6%	88.4%	19.2%
Vietnam	Uông Bí	2017	95.2%	99.5%	86.2%
Vietnam	Văn Bàn	2000	12.5%	29.7%	2.7%
Vietnam	Văn Bàn	2017	62.4%	76.0%	46.4%
Vietnam	Vân Canh	2000	22.6%	52.3%	4.5%
Vietnam	Vân Canh	2017	78.2%	93.9%	54.9%
Vietnam	Văn Chấn	2000	19.2%	33.9%	9.6%
Vietnam	Văn Chấn	2017	73.5%	83.5%	61.8%
Vietnam	Vân Đồn	2000	46.0%	72.5%	19.4%
Vietnam	Vân Đồn	2017	88.8%	97.1%	76.0%
Vietnam	Văn Giang	2000	43.2%	65.8%	21.2%
Vietnam	Văn Giang	2017	96.1%	99.5%	86.9%
Vietnam	Vân Hồ	2000	24.5%	51.1%	6.5%
Vietnam	Vân Hồ	2017	77.2%	92.9%	56.7%
Vietnam	Văn Lâm	2000	27.9%	56.5%	6.9%
Vietnam	Văn Lâm	2017	93.9%	98.7%	76.3%
Vietnam	Văn Lăng	2000	34.4%	79.7%	5.6%
Vietnam	Văn Lăng	2017	83.1%	98.7%	53.4%
Vietnam	Vạn Ninh	2000	15.7%	37.5%	3.6%
Vietnam	Vạn Ninh	2017	82.4%	94.8%	60.4%
Vietnam	Văn Quan	2000	23.2%	54.3%	5.6%
Vietnam	Văn Quan	2017	77.9%	94.0%	50.0%
Vietnam	Văn Yên	2000	30.1%	63.7%	8.6%
Vietnam	Văn Yên	2017	79.2%	93.2%	61.3%
Vietnam	Vị Thanh	2000	6.8%	26.0%	1.1%
Vietnam	Vị Thanh	2017	75.6%	92.4%	49.2%
Vietnam	Vị Thủy	2000	8.3%	24.4%	1.3%
Vietnam	Vị Thủy	2017	70.8%	91.3%	41.4%
Vietnam	Vị Xuyên	2000	18.8%	34.0%	7.7%
Vietnam	Vị Xuyên	2017	77.1%	86.5%	64.5%
Vietnam	Việt Trì	2000	61.2%	86.3%	27.5%
Vietnam	Việt Trì	2017	96.5%	99.3%	89.2%
Vietnam	Việt Yên	2000	44.6%	61.9%	29.6%
Vietnam	Việt Yên	2017	94.6%	98.1%	88.8%
Vietnam	Vinh	2000	55.9%	76.1%	34.2%
Vietnam	Vinh	2017	97.7%	99.6%	94.3%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Bảo	2000	36.9%	84.4%	6.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Bảo	2017	88.7%	99.4%	58.7%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Châu	2000	14.6%	30.1%	5.0%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Châu	2017	83.3%	94.0%	68.3%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Cửu	2000	38.7%	54.6%	25.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Cửu	2017	91.2%	97.4%	80.3%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Hưng	2000	6.6%	18.6%	1.1%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Hưng	2017	71.5%	88.2%	49.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Linh	2000	33.2%	68.5%	10.1%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Linh	2017	88.6%	97.3%	70.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lộc	2000	30.8%	55.8%	12.0%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lộc	2017	88.3%	97.6%	70.3%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lợi	2000	19.9%	41.5%	5.9%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lợi	2017	83.1%	94.1%	63.3%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Long	2000	6.5%	15.6%	2.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Long	2017	76.1%	89.9%	58.5%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2000	18.9%	48.8%	3.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2000	9.2%	26.0%	2.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2017	72.1%	92.4%	43.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2017	76.3%	93.4%	49.9%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thuận	2000	12.3%	33.4%	1.9%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thuận	2017	73.1%	94.0%	37.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Tường	2000	44.9%	75.7%	20.0%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Tường	2017	96.4%	99.3%	88.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Yên	2000	54.1%	83.9%	20.7%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Yên	2017	98.9%	99.9%	95.5%
Vietnam	Võ Nhai	2000	45.0%	79.1%	14.3%
Vietnam	Võ Nhai	2017	87.4%	97.9%	66.6%
Vietnam	Vụ Bản	2000	42.5%	71.9%	16.8%
Vietnam	Vụ Bản	2017	94.7%	99.4%	81.0%
Vietnam	Vũ Quang	2000	24.4%	61.7%	4.1%
Vietnam	Vũ Quang	2017	79.7%	95.4%	56.9%
Vietnam	Vũ Thư	2000	39.6%	62.6%	19.6%
Vietnam	Vũ Thư	2017	92.4%	96.9%	84.4%
Vietnam	Vũng Liêm	2000	9.8%	27.7%	1.7%
Vietnam	Vũng Liêm	2017	72.6%	88.8%	47.2%
Vietnam	Vũng Tàu	2000	35.3%	55.3%	19.1%
Vietnam	Vũng Tàu	2017	97.4%	99.0%	93.5%
Vietnam	Xín Mần	2000	15.6%	36.7%	3.2%
Vietnam	Xín Mần	2017	65.4%	86.1%	39.5%
Vietnam	Xuân Lộc	2000	27.6%	46.5%	12.7%
Vietnam	Xuân Lộc	2017	90.0%	97.3%	77.9%
Vietnam	Xuân Trường	2000	62.3%	92.3%	21.3%
Vietnam	Xuân Trường	2017	97.3%	99.9%	87.2%
Vietnam	Xuyên Mộc	2000	18.0%	36.3%	6.4%
Vietnam	Xuyên Mộc	2017	85.0%	95.1%	67.9%
Vietnam	Ý Yên	2000	47.4%	68.7%	26.2%
Vietnam	Ý Yên	2017	96.6%	99.6%	88.9%
Vietnam	Yên Bái	2000	64.5%	94.9%	24.9%
Vietnam	Yên Bái	2017	98.0%	99.9%	90.2%
Vietnam	Yên Bình	2000	41.9%	64.9%	16.4%
Vietnam	Yên Bình	2017	86.0%	95.3%	71.9%
Vietnam	Yên Châu	2000	23.4%	55.2%	5.5%
Vietnam	Yên Châu	2017	78.8%	93.7%	59.7%
Vietnam	Yên Định	2000	36.9%	69.4%	11.5%
Vietnam	Yên Định	2017	87.4%	98.3%	62.1%
Vietnam	Yên Dũng	2000	24.1%	43.0%	10.0%
Vietnam	Yên Dũng	2017	90.4%	97.5%	72.5%
Vietnam	Yên Khánh	2000	73.0%	96.3%	37.0%
Vietnam	Yên Khánh	2017	98.6%	99.9%	92.1%
Vietnam	Yên Lạc	2000	28.5%	44.1%	16.6%
Vietnam	Yên Lạc	2017	89.5%	93.6%	82.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Yên Lập	2000	29.1%	65.1%	7.2%
Vietnam	Yên Lập	2017	81.1%	96.6%	57.7%
Vietnam	Yên Minh	2000	27.7%	54.3%	8.1%
Vietnam	Yên Minh	2017	80.5%	92.8%	60.5%
Vietnam	Yên Mô	2000	62.0%	93.9%	21.3%
Vietnam	Yên Mô	2017	96.2%	99.9%	80.8%
Vietnam	Yên Mỹ	2000	25.9%	49.4%	9.7%
Vietnam	Yên Mỹ	2017	93.5%	98.7%	75.7%
Vietnam	Yên Phong	2000	75.6%	97.6%	32.1%
Vietnam	Yên Phong	2017	98.8%	100.0%	94.0%
Vietnam	Yên Sơn	2000	11.5%	24.2%	4.8%
Vietnam	Yên Sơn	2017	59.4%	74.0%	44.2%
Vietnam	Yên Thành	2000	29.1%	52.1%	10.1%
Vietnam	Yên Thành	2017	81.5%	94.8%	57.8%
Vietnam	Yên Thế	2000	52.3%	74.2%	26.3%
Vietnam	Yên Thế	2017	93.9%	98.3%	83.5%
Vietnam	Yên Thủy	2000	58.4%	86.0%	25.9%
Vietnam	Yên Thủy	2017	90.9%	98.5%	73.7%
Sub-Saharan Africa					
Angola	Alto Cauale	2000	32.7%	38.7%	28.0%
Angola	Alto Cauale	2017	38.9%	44.8%	34.2%
Angola	Alto Zambeze	2000	17.8%	23.5%	13.8%
Angola	Alto Zambeze	2017	21.9%	27.5%	17.9%
Angola	Ambaca	2000	35.0%	39.6%	30.6%
Angola	Ambaca	2017	40.0%	44.6%	35.8%
Angola	Amboim	2000	21.1%	24.0%	18.5%
Angola	Amboim	2017	24.5%	27.2%	22.0%
Angola	Ambriz	2000	38.7%	47.1%	31.7%
Angola	Ambriz	2017	42.5%	50.9%	34.8%
Angola	Ambuila	2000	23.0%	28.8%	18.3%
Angola	Ambuila	2017	27.1%	32.6%	22.9%
Angola	Andulo	2000	21.7%	24.7%	18.3%
Angola	Andulo	2017	25.5%	29.1%	22.2%
Angola	Baía Farta	2000	37.8%	43.6%	32.1%
Angola	Baía Farta	2017	43.0%	49.3%	37.0%
Angola	Bailundo	2000	24.0%	28.7%	19.1%
Angola	Bailundo	2017	27.3%	32.2%	22.3%
Angola	Balombo	2000	31.6%	38.6%	24.9%
Angola	Balombo	2017	36.5%	43.5%	29.8%
Angola	Banga	2000	40.1%	47.1%	32.0%
Angola	Banga	2017	43.6%	49.9%	36.1%
Angola	Belize	2000	25.0%	33.8%	18.0%
Angola	Belize	2017	29.5%	39.2%	22.5%
Angola	Bembe	2000	26.9%	31.7%	22.5%
Angola	Bembe	2017	31.7%	37.6%	27.0%
Angola	Benguela	2000	33.5%	36.3%	30.7%
Angola	Benguela	2017	40.4%	44.0%	37.0%
Angola	Bibala	2000	31.0%	34.6%	27.6%
Angola	Bibala	2017	34.2%	38.2%	30.7%
Angola	Bocoio	2000	32.1%	37.5%	27.2%
Angola	Bocoio	2017	37.2%	42.2%	32.5%
Angola	Bolongongo	2000	37.1%	43.6%	30.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Bolongongo	2017	40.4%	46.8%	33.8%
Angola	Buco Zau	2000	28.8%	35.7%	23.2%
Angola	Buco Zau	2017	34.0%	40.3%	28.6%
Angola	Buengas	2000	27.0%	32.3%	22.9%
Angola	Buengas	2017	31.8%	37.5%	27.2%
Angola	Bula Atumba	2000	31.9%	38.3%	26.4%
Angola	Bula Atumba	2017	34.6%	41.1%	29.0%
Angola	Bungo	2000	22.8%	28.1%	18.3%
Angola	Bungo	2017	28.1%	32.9%	23.7%
Angola	Caála	2000	25.3%	28.4%	22.6%
Angola	Caála	2017	28.1%	30.9%	25.4%
Angola	Cabinda	2000	30.0%	34.4%	26.1%
Angola	Cabinda	2017	37.1%	42.3%	32.0%
Angola	Cacolo	2000	18.3%	21.2%	15.8%
Angola	Cacolo	2017	20.0%	22.9%	17.1%
Angola	Caconda	2000	37.4%	41.2%	33.4%
Angola	Caconda	2017	38.6%	42.6%	34.5%
Angola	Cacuaco	2000	55.0%	58.9%	51.3%
Angola	Cacuaco	2017	64.0%	67.2%	60.6%
Angola	Cacuzo	2000	33.7%	38.2%	29.9%
Angola	Cacuzo	2017	40.3%	44.9%	36.1%
Angola	Cahama	2000	18.3%	23.7%	14.4%
Angola	Cahama	2017	17.7%	22.3%	14.4%
Angola	Caiambambo	2000	38.6%	45.0%	33.0%
Angola	Caiambambo	2017	44.6%	50.6%	38.8%
Angola	Calai	2000	16.4%	19.7%	13.2%
Angola	Calai	2017	19.1%	23.4%	15.1%
Angola	Calandula	2000	37.9%	41.5%	34.2%
Angola	Calandula	2017	45.6%	49.8%	41.3%
Angola	Caluquembe	2000	36.6%	40.3%	32.9%
Angola	Caluquembe	2017	38.5%	42.4%	34.6%
Angola	Camacuio	2000	36.4%	40.0%	32.6%
Angola	Camacuio	2017	40.3%	43.8%	36.1%
Angola	Camacupa	2000	19.2%	22.1%	16.3%
Angola	Camacupa	2017	24.0%	27.8%	20.9%
Angola	Camanongue	2000	14.9%	18.2%	12.0%
Angola	Camanongue	2017	18.4%	21.7%	15.1%
Angola	Cambambe	2000	38.3%	44.0%	33.2%
Angola	Cambambe	2017	43.6%	49.9%	37.2%
Angola	Cambulo	2000	19.6%	23.4%	16.0%
Angola	Cambulo	2017	20.9%	24.9%	17.2%
Angola	Cambundi-Catembo	2000	30.2%	36.8%	24.8%
Angola	Cambundi-Catembo	2017	37.0%	43.2%	30.9%
Angola	Cameia	2000	14.2%	17.4%	12.0%
Angola	Cameia	2017	18.1%	21.1%	15.6%
Angola	Cangandala	2000	29.6%	35.0%	24.8%
Angola	Cangandala	2017	37.6%	43.6%	31.9%
Angola	Caombo	2000	38.2%	45.3%	32.1%
Angola	Caombo	2017	45.9%	52.7%	39.8%
Angola	Capenda	2000	19.0%	21.9%	16.4%
Angola	Capenda	2017	20.0%	23.0%	17.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Cassongue	2000	27.6%	31.7%	23.8%
Angola	Cassongue	2017	29.6%	33.7%	25.7%
Angola	Catabola	2000	18.5%	21.3%	15.6%
Angola	Catabola	2017	23.4%	26.6%	19.9%
Angola	Catchiungo	2000	26.0%	30.4%	22.2%
Angola	Catchiungo	2017	27.9%	32.1%	24.1%
Angola	Caungula	2000	18.6%	22.3%	15.3%
Angola	Caungula	2017	19.6%	23.2%	16.2%
Angola	Cazenga	2000	89.1%	90.1%	88.0%
Angola	Cazenga	2017	89.1%	90.1%	88.0%
Angola	Cazengo	2000	42.2%	47.0%	37.7%
Angola	Cazengo	2017	49.1%	53.4%	44.9%
Angola	Chibia	2000	35.4%	40.1%	31.0%
Angola	Chibia	2017	36.2%	40.9%	32.1%
Angola	Chicomba	2000	37.8%	42.2%	33.2%
Angola	Chicomba	2017	37.9%	42.2%	33.3%
Angola	Chinguar	2000	20.3%	24.0%	17.5%
Angola	Chinguar	2017	24.1%	28.2%	21.3%
Angola	Chipindo	2000	35.0%	41.3%	29.2%
Angola	Chipindo	2017	36.0%	42.3%	30.9%
Angola	Chitato	2000	17.7%	21.0%	15.1%
Angola	Chitato	2017	19.7%	23.4%	16.6%
Angola	Chitembo	2000	21.4%	26.1%	17.7%
Angola	Chitembo	2017	24.9%	29.7%	20.8%
Angola	Chongoroi	2000	36.2%	42.9%	30.6%
Angola	Chongoroi	2017	41.0%	47.9%	35.5%
Angola	Conda	2000	26.0%	30.7%	22.2%
Angola	Conda	2017	27.5%	32.1%	23.6%
Angola	Cuaba Nzogo	2000	35.9%	41.3%	30.5%
Angola	Cuaba Nzogo	2017	44.0%	49.7%	38.1%
Angola	Cuanger	2000	18.0%	24.9%	12.9%
Angola	Cuanger	2017	19.7%	26.0%	14.3%
Angola	Cuango	2000	18.7%	21.3%	16.2%
Angola	Cuango	2017	21.4%	24.1%	18.7%
Angola	Cuanhama	2000	16.6%	20.2%	13.4%
Angola	Cuanhama	2017	17.7%	22.3%	13.6%
Angola	Cubal	2000	37.0%	41.4%	32.7%
Angola	Cubal	2017	42.4%	46.3%	37.9%
Angola	Cuchi	2000	18.4%	23.3%	14.6%
Angola	Cuchi	2017	20.6%	25.0%	16.7%
Angola	Cuemba	2000	21.5%	26.3%	17.6%
Angola	Cuemba	2017	26.2%	31.8%	22.0%
Angola	Cuilo	2000	18.7%	24.2%	14.3%
Angola	Cuilo	2017	19.6%	24.6%	15.3%
Angola	Cuimba	2000	23.3%	28.0%	19.2%
Angola	Cuimba	2017	28.7%	33.7%	24.4%
Angola	Cuito Cuanavale	2000	17.9%	23.0%	14.0%
Angola	Cuito Cuanavale	2017	20.8%	26.4%	16.2%
Angola	Cunda-dia-Baza	2000	28.4%	34.2%	23.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Cunda-dia-Baza	2017	32.9%	39.0%	27.9%
Angola	Cunhinga	2000	18.3%	22.6%	14.4%
Angola	Cunhinga	2017	22.9%	27.7%	18.9%
Angola	Curoca	2000	16.8%	22.0%	12.4%
Angola	Curoca	2017	16.7%	21.1%	13.1%
Angola	Cuvelai	2000	19.4%	24.4%	15.6%
Angola	Cuvelai	2017	18.9%	23.9%	15.2%
Angola	Dala	2000	18.8%	21.6%	16.0%
Angola	Dala	2017	20.5%	23.0%	18.0%
Angola	Damba	2000	28.0%	33.6%	23.4%
Angola	Damba	2017	32.8%	38.3%	28.0%
Angola	Dande	2000	42.7%	47.0%	37.6%
Angola	Dande	2017	50.5%	55.8%	44.6%
Angola	Dembos	2000	32.6%	38.3%	27.5%
Angola	Dembos	2017	34.6%	40.7%	29.5%
Angola	Dirico	2000	19.0%	24.7%	13.6%
Angola	Dirico	2017	22.1%	29.0%	16.2%
Angola	Ebo	2000	24.5%	28.5%	20.6%
Angola	Ebo	2017	26.0%	30.2%	22.6%
Angola	Ekunha	2000	22.4%	26.9%	18.6%
Angola	Ekunha	2017	26.1%	30.9%	22.3%
Angola	Gambos	2000	33.9%	41.3%	26.6%
Angola	Gambos	2017	32.8%	39.2%	26.6%
Angola	Ganda	2000	33.8%	38.9%	29.7%
Angola	Ganda	2017	38.9%	43.2%	34.5%
Angola	Golungo Alto	2000	42.7%	50.4%	34.9%
Angola	Golungo Alto	2017	47.8%	54.7%	40.8%
Angola	Huambo	2000	27.8%	30.7%	25.1%
Angola	Huambo	2017	33.3%	35.9%	30.5%
Angola	Humpata	2000	21.1%	25.6%	17.0%
Angola	Humpata	2017	25.7%	29.8%	22.4%
Angola	Icolo e Bengo	2000	39.9%	44.5%	35.0%
Angola	Icolo e Bengo	2017	45.3%	50.7%	40.0%
Angola	Ingombota	2000	91.3%	92.9%	89.5%
Angola	Ingombota	2017	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Angola	Jamba	2000	35.0%	42.4%	27.6%
Angola	Jamba	2017	35.5%	42.0%	28.6%
Angola	Kilamba	2000	87.1%	88.4%	85.7%
Angola	Kiaxi				
Angola	Kilamba	2017	87.5%	88.8%	86.2%
Angola	Kiaxi				
Angola	Kuito	2000	17.6%	19.7%	15.4%
Angola	Kuito	2017	23.0%	25.5%	20.6%
Angola	Kuvango	2000	32.5%	37.8%	27.5%
Angola	Kuvango	2017	34.1%	39.7%	28.9%
Angola	Landana	2000	32.5%	38.4%	25.9%
Angola	Landana	2017	38.2%	44.7%	31.9%
Angola	Léua	2000	14.0%	17.0%	11.2%
Angola	Léua	2017	18.2%	21.6%	14.7%
Angola	Libolo	2000	30.5%	35.2%	25.5%
Angola	Libolo	2017	33.3%	37.9%	28.8%
Angola	Lobito	2000	30.5%	33.5%	27.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Lobito	2017	37.7%	40.8%	34.9%
Angola	Londuimbale	2000	26.9%	30.7%	23.1%
Angola	Londuimbale	2017	29.7%	33.7%	25.9%
Angola	Longonjo	2000	24.3%	28.6%	20.4%
Angola	Longonjo	2017	27.9%	32.0%	24.3%
Angola	Luau	2000	15.9%	20.5%	12.4%
Angola	Luau	2017	19.7%	24.4%	15.3%
Angola	Lubalo	2000	17.8%	21.8%	14.2%
Angola	Lubalo	2017	18.7%	22.8%	15.3%
Angola	Lubango	2000	28.9%	34.0%	25.0%
Angola	Lubango	2017	30.8%	33.8%	28.0%
Angola	Lucala	2000	39.9%	47.5%	33.5%
Angola	Lucala	2017	44.7%	51.8%	38.4%
Angola	Lucano	2000	15.0%	18.4%	11.9%
Angola	Lucano	2017	19.1%	22.7%	15.6%
Angola	Lucapa	2000	17.9%	21.7%	15.0%
Angola	Lucapa	2017	19.8%	23.5%	16.7%
Angola	Luchazes	2000	15.4%	18.1%	12.7%
Angola	Luchazes	2017	19.5%	23.1%	16.2%
Angola	Lumbala-Nguimbo	2000	19.1%	26.4%	13.5%
Angola	Lumbala-Nguimbo	2017	23.1%	31.6%	16.3%
Angola	Luquembo	2000	29.8%	34.7%	24.7%
Angola	Luquembo	2017	36.1%	41.9%	30.3%
Angola	M'Banza Congo	2000	23.5%	27.3%	19.8%
Angola	M'Banza Congo	2017	30.9%	35.6%	26.8%
Angola	Maianga	2000	89.6%	91.1%	87.8%
Angola	Maianga	2017	90.7%	92.1%	89.0%
Angola	Malanje	2000	30.0%	32.8%	27.3%
Angola	Malanje	2017	41.1%	44.5%	37.9%
Angola	Maquela do Zombo	2000	27.1%	30.9%	23.2%
Angola	Maquela do Zombo	2017	32.7%	37.0%	28.0%
Angola	Marimba	2000	30.0%	36.0%	24.4%
Angola	Marimba	2017	35.6%	42.0%	29.2%
Angola	Massango	2000	30.8%	36.2%	25.8%
Angola	Massango	2017	35.8%	41.7%	29.8%
Angola	Matala	2000	37.2%	42.7%	32.5%
Angola	Matala	2017	38.0%	43.0%	33.3%
Angola	Mavinga	2000	16.5%	21.3%	12.2%
Angola	Mavinga	2017	19.5%	25.5%	14.2%
Angola	Menongue	2000	15.5%	17.9%	13.1%
Angola	Menongue	2017	19.5%	22.7%	16.2%
Angola	Milunga	2000	27.4%	33.1%	21.9%
Angola	Milunga	2017	32.9%	40.5%	27.2%
Angola	Moxico	2000	14.9%	16.7%	13.3%
Angola	Moxico	2017	19.7%	21.8%	17.5%
Angola	Mucaba	2000	25.5%	29.4%	21.7%
Angola	Mucaba	2017	30.8%	34.9%	26.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Mucari	2000	33.7%	39.3%	28.7%
Angola	Mucari	2017	42.7%	48.9%	36.7%
Angola	Muconda	2000	18.6%	21.3%	16.5%
Angola	Muconda	2017	20.4%	23.0%	17.9%
Angola	Mungo	2000	22.5%	27.9%	17.7%
Angola	Mungo	2017	25.6%	30.7%	20.8%
Angola	Mussende	2000	28.3%	33.1%	23.6%
Angola	Mussende	2017	32.0%	37.1%	27.5%
Angola	Muxima	2000	36.9%	42.5%	31.2%
Angola	Muxima	2017	41.0%	47.0%	35.0%
Angola	N'Zeto	2000	25.6%	31.4%	20.7%
Angola	N'Zeto	2017	31.5%	38.3%	25.1%
Angola	Namakunde	2000	18.6%	22.3%	15.5%
Angola	Namakunde	2017	17.4%	21.1%	14.3%
Angola	Nambuanguongo	2000	28.4%	31.4%	24.7%
Angola	Nambuanguongo	2017	31.0%	34.1%	27.3%
Angola	Namibe	2000	38.1%	42.3%	34.2%
Angola	Namibe	2017	43.4%	47.9%	38.8%
Angola	Nancova	2000	16.8%	22.9%	12.3%
Angola	Nancova	2017	19.5%	26.1%	14.1%
Angola	Negage	2000	22.3%	25.1%	19.5%
Angola	Negage	2017	29.4%	33.0%	26.0%
Angola	Ngonguembo	2000	39.0%	45.2%	33.1%
Angola	Ngonguembo	2017	42.3%	48.2%	36.4%
Angola	Nharea	2000	21.2%	27.7%	16.3%
Angola	Nharea	2017	26.5%	32.5%	21.2%
Angola	Noqui	2000	24.6%	32.0%	18.0%
Angola	Noqui	2017	30.0%	37.2%	23.1%
Angola	Ombadja	2000	14.2%	17.9%	11.6%
Angola	Ombadja	2017	13.6%	17.2%	11.0%
Angola	Pango	2000	31.6%	36.7%	27.1%
Angola	Aluquém				
Angola	Pango	2017	33.9%	39.2%	29.5%
Angola	Aluquém				
Angola	Porto Am-boim	2000	31.9%	37.1%	26.4%
Angola	Porto Am-boim	2017	34.5%	39.4%	29.9%
Angola	Puri	2000	29.6%	32.7%	26.6%
Angola	Puri	2017	34.4%	37.9%	31.2%
Angola	Quela	2000	34.1%	39.3%	29.7%
Angola	Quela	2017	39.9%	44.5%	35.4%
Angola	Quibala	2000	26.2%	30.1%	22.3%
Angola	Quibala	2017	27.8%	31.8%	23.9%
Angola	Quiculungo	2000	41.2%	48.8%	34.0%
Angola	Quiculungo	2017	45.1%	52.0%	38.5%
Angola	Quilenda	2000	20.7%	24.9%	16.9%
Angola	Quilenda	2017	22.9%	26.9%	19.0%
Angola	Quilengues	2000	38.2%	43.1%	33.4%
Angola	Quilengues	2017	40.0%	45.2%	35.5%
Angola	Quimbele	2000	27.7%	32.8%	22.5%
Angola	Quimbele	2017	32.7%	38.5%	27.3%
Angola	Quirima	2000	27.6%	33.8%	22.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Quirima	2017	33.2%	39.7%	27.3%
Angola	Quitexe	2000	24.3%	29.6%	19.5%
Angola	Quitexe	2017	29.2%	34.4%	24.2%
Angola	Rangel	2000	92.6%	93.6%	91.5%
Angola	Rangel	2017	93.2%	94.1%	92.1%
Angola	Rivungo	2000	18.0%	22.5%	13.9%
Angola	Rivungo	2017	20.9%	26.4%	16.3%
Angola	Samba	2000	66.8%	73.6%	60.2%
Angola	Samba	2017	71.7%	77.6%	65.7%
Angola	Samba Cajú	2000	40.0%	45.5%	33.9%
Angola	Samba Cajú	2017	45.1%	50.4%	39.0%
Angola	Sambizanga	2000	90.0%	92.0%	87.9%
Angola	Sambizanga	2017	90.3%	92.2%	88.5%
Angola	Sanza Pombo	2000	31.3%	39.4%	24.3%
Angola	Sanza Pombo	2017	36.6%	44.8%	29.5%
Angola	Saurimo	2000	17.3%	19.9%	15.1%
Angola	Saurimo	2017	19.4%	22.0%	17.2%
Angola	Seles	2000	28.2%	31.9%	25.0%
Angola	Seles	2017	30.1%	33.6%	27.0%
Angola	Songo	2000	27.0%	30.1%	23.8%
Angola	Songo	2017	32.3%	35.5%	29.1%
Angola	Soyo	2000	23.3%	26.9%	19.9%
Angola	Soyo	2017	31.0%	35.8%	25.4%
Angola	Sumbe	2000	27.9%	33.3%	23.0%
Angola	Sumbe	2017	27.6%	32.1%	23.2%
Angola	Tchicala-Tcholoanga	2000	28.6%	33.5%	24.6%
Angola	Tchicala-Tcholoanga	2017	30.7%	35.4%	26.8%
Angola	Tchindjenje	2000	30.2%	37.6%	23.9%
Angola	Tchindjenje	2017	34.3%	41.2%	28.0%
Angola	Tchipungo	2000	35.0%	41.1%	29.6%
Angola	Tchipungo	2017	35.2%	41.1%	30.4%
Angola	Tomboco	2000	22.4%	27.0%	18.9%
Angola	Tomboco	2017	28.4%	33.2%	23.8%
Angola	Tombwa	2000	40.1%	46.1%	35.1%
Angola	Tombwa	2017	45.8%	51.1%	40.1%
Angola	Uíge	2000	20.3%	23.0%	17.9%
Angola	Uíge	2017	29.1%	32.4%	26.1%
Angola	Ukuma	2000	26.2%	31.2%	21.9%
Angola	Ukuma	2017	29.5%	34.8%	25.2%
Angola	Viana	2000	58.5%	64.4%	52.5%
Angola	Viana	2017	72.5%	77.0%	68.2%
Angola	Virei	2000	35.4%	42.2%	28.5%
Angola	Virei	2017	36.8%	43.2%	30.4%
Angola	Waku Kungo	2000	26.8%	31.7%	22.2%
Angola	Waku Kungo	2017	28.0%	32.6%	23.8%
Angola	Xá Muteba	2000	21.1%	23.7%	18.9%
Angola	Xá Muteba	2017	23.6%	26.3%	21.2%
Benin	Abomey	2000	13.1%	15.4%	11.5%
Benin	Abomey	2017	44.7%	47.0%	42.8%
Benin	Abomey-Calavi	2000	27.7%	29.1%	26.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Benin	Abomey-Calavi	2017	62.8%	64.0%	61.6%
Benin	Adja-Ouèrè	2000	3.8%	5.3%	3.2%
Benin	Adja-Ouèrè	2017	17.8%	20.8%	15.9%
Benin	Adjarra	2000	15.9%	17.4%	14.6%
Benin	Adjarra	2017	54.2%	56.5%	51.8%
Benin	Adjohoun	2000	2.4%	4.4%	1.7%
Benin	Adjohoun	2017	10.9%	14.5%	8.8%
Benin	Agbangnizoun	2000	3.6%	5.4%	2.8%
Benin	Agbangnizoun	2017	18.1%	24.9%	15.2%
Benin	Aguégués	2000	10.7%	12.1%	9.1%
Benin	Aguégués	2017	38.2%	40.2%	36.3%
Benin	Akpro-Missérété	2000	9.6%	10.3%	8.8%
Benin	Akpro-Missérété	2017	42.5%	43.6%	41.3%
Benin	Allada	2000	8.5%	11.5%	7.1%
Benin	Allada	2017	30.1%	34.7%	27.3%
Benin	Aplahoué	2000	4.0%	7.6%	2.7%
Benin	Aplahoué	2017	15.0%	20.2%	12.2%
Benin	Athiémé	2000	7.3%	8.0%	6.6%
Benin	Athiémé	2017	26.9%	28.7%	25.3%
Benin	Avrankou	2000	11.4%	12.3%	10.5%
Benin	Avrankou	2017	43.5%	44.9%	42.2%
Benin	Banikoara	2000	6.2%	9.6%	3.7%
Benin	Banikoara	2017	14.8%	20.1%	10.4%
Benin	Bantè	2000	9.4%	14.8%	5.6%
Benin	Bantè	2017	23.6%	32.0%	16.9%
Benin	Bassila	2000	7.7%	12.3%	4.5%
Benin	Bassila	2017	20.3%	26.8%	15.4%
Benin	Bembéréké	2000	7.5%	11.6%	4.3%
Benin	Bembéréké	2017	20.5%	27.7%	15.2%
Benin	Bohicon	2000	10.3%	11.4%	9.4%
Benin	Bohicon	2017	37.8%	41.0%	35.7%
Benin	Bonou	2000	3.0%	5.8%	1.4%
Benin	Bonou	2017	14.4%	19.2%	10.6%
Benin	Bopa	2000	3.2%	6.3%	1.9%
Benin	Bopa	2017	13.2%	16.5%	10.4%
Benin	Boukoumbé	2000	4.8%	12.2%	1.5%
Benin	Boukoumbé	2017	12.6%	23.2%	6.6%
Benin	Cobly	2000	3.3%	8.8%	0.8%
Benin	Cobly	2017	10.1%	19.6%	4.6%
Benin	Comè	2000	2.6%	3.0%	2.2%
Benin	Comè	2017	15.1%	17.1%	13.2%
Benin	Copargo	2000	13.7%	20.6%	8.5%
Benin	Copargo	2017	24.9%	32.2%	18.3%
Benin	Cotonou	2000	44.8%	47.3%	42.3%
Benin	Cotonou	2017	85.5%	86.7%	84.2%
Benin	Covè	2000	11.2%	15.7%	8.6%
Benin	Covè	2017	32.0%	39.4%	27.2%
Benin	Dangbo	2000	2.1%	2.3%	1.9%
Benin	Dangbo	2017	9.9%	11.2%	8.9%
Benin	Dassa-Zoumè	2000	8.4%	11.8%	5.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Benin	Dassa-Zoumè	2017	19.9%	25.9%	14.8%
Benin	Djakotomey	2000	3.0%	3.4%	2.6%
Benin	Djakotomey	2017	14.1%	15.6%	12.8%
Benin	Djidja	2000	4.9%	7.8%	3.2%
Benin	Djidja	2017	16.4%	21.6%	12.7%
Benin	Djougou	2000	12.5%	17.6%	8.7%
Benin	Djougou	2017	25.6%	31.8%	20.9%
Benin	Dogbo	2000	5.3%	7.3%	4.5%
Benin	Dogbo	2017	23.3%	26.5%	21.0%
Benin	Glazoué	2000	16.3%	21.3%	13.6%
Benin	Glazoué	2017	32.6%	40.2%	28.0%
Benin	Gogounou	2000	7.3%	12.3%	3.4%
Benin	Gogounou	2017	16.4%	22.7%	11.0%
Benin	Grand-Popo	2000	10.3%	13.5%	7.1%
Benin	Grand-Popo	2017	22.4%	27.2%	18.6%
Benin	Houéyogbé	2000	5.5%	6.0%	4.9%
Benin	Houéyogbé	2017	26.9%	28.7%	25.1%
Benin	Ifangni	2000	4.8%	5.6%	4.0%
Benin	Ifangni	2017	22.2%	24.8%	19.8%
Benin	Kalalé	2000	6.9%	11.4%	4.1%
Benin	Kalalé	2017	16.6%	24.1%	11.0%
Benin	Kandi	2000	6.2%	11.4%	3.0%
Benin	Kandi	2017	17.7%	24.7%	11.3%
Benin	Karimama	2000	2.4%	4.6%	1.0%
Benin	Karimama	2017	7.4%	11.4%	4.0%
Benin	Kérou	2000	6.6%	10.9%	3.5%
Benin	Kérou	2017	18.5%	24.8%	12.7%
Benin	Kétou	2000	4.6%	8.4%	2.3%
Benin	Kétou	2017	14.0%	19.8%	9.1%
Benin	Klouékanmè	2000	3.3%	4.2%	2.8%
Benin	Klouékanmè	2017	16.5%	18.7%	14.9%
Benin	Kouandé	2000	8.5%	11.7%	5.8%
Benin	Kouandé	2017	16.7%	22.2%	11.9%
Benin	Kpomassè	2000	6.2%	8.5%	4.6%
Benin	Kpomassè	2017	24.6%	29.0%	20.9%
Benin	Lalo	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.1%
Benin	Lalo	2017	7.6%	9.7%	6.2%
Benin	Malanville	2000	3.8%	6.5%	2.0%
Benin	Malanville	2017	12.7%	18.1%	8.6%
Benin	Matéri	2000	10.0%	15.7%	5.6%
Benin	Matéri	2017	19.1%	27.7%	13.4%
Benin	N'Dali	2000	5.6%	9.6%	2.8%
Benin	N'Dali	2017	15.6%	22.7%	9.8%
Benin	Natitingou	2000	11.1%	15.8%	7.9%
Benin	Natitingou	2017	21.8%	28.1%	16.8%
Benin	Nikki	2000	4.6%	8.8%	1.9%
Benin	Nikki	2017	13.7%	20.7%	9.0%
Benin	Ouaké	2000	8.3%	14.3%	4.3%
Benin	Ouaké	2017	24.5%	34.4%	16.3%
Benin	Ouèssè	2000	6.4%	11.6%	3.0%
Benin	Ouèssè	2017	17.6%	25.6%	11.6%
Benin	Ouidah	2000	20.7%	30.1%	11.6%
Benin	Ouidah	2017	39.2%	44.2%	34.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Benin	Ouinhi	2000	2.5%	6.1%	1.0%
Benin	Ouinhi	2017	10.0%	15.8%	5.9%
Benin	Parakou	2000	23.6%	30.0%	19.6%
Benin	Parakou	2017	49.5%	55.9%	43.7%
Benin	Péhunco	2000	4.9%	10.3%	1.4%
Benin	Péhunco	2017	13.8%	22.7%	7.1%
Benin	Pèrèrè	2000	5.2%	9.6%	1.6%
Benin	Pèrèrè	2017	15.1%	22.8%	7.8%
Benin	Pobè	2000	2.7%	7.7%	1.5%
Benin	Pobè	2017	11.3%	18.1%	8.0%
Benin	Porto-Novo	2000	14.3%	15.6%	13.1%
Benin	Porto-Novo	2017	54.3%	56.3%	52.4%
Benin	Sakété	2000	20.2%	23.4%	18.6%
Benin	Sakété	2017	40.3%	45.3%	37.2%
Benin	Savalou	2000	9.1%	13.3%	6.0%
Benin	Savalou	2017	20.7%	26.8%	15.6%
Benin	Savè	2000	9.8%	15.5%	4.7%
Benin	Savè	2017	23.2%	31.0%	15.9%
Benin	Segbana	2000	6.7%	10.5%	3.3%
Benin	Segbana	2017	17.6%	23.4%	11.0%
Benin	Sèmè-Kpodji	2000	13.3%	16.6%	11.0%
Benin	Sèmè-Kpodji	2017	46.0%	49.8%	42.4%
Benin	Sinendé	2000	7.7%	13.3%	3.9%
Benin	Sinendé	2017	18.9%	26.4%	12.1%
Benin	Sô-Ava	2000	35.2%	37.2%	33.1%
Benin	Sô-Ava	2017	65.4%	66.3%	64.3%
Benin	Tanguiéta	2000	3.7%	7.3%	1.7%
Benin	Tanguiéta	2017	10.9%	16.9%	6.8%
Benin	Tchaourou	2000	10.7%	14.7%	7.9%
Benin	Tchaourou	2017	21.9%	27.6%	17.5%
Benin	Toffo	2000	4.7%	7.9%	3.1%
Benin	Toffo	2017	18.3%	23.7%	14.0%
Benin	Tori-Bossito	2000	7.0%	9.8%	5.9%
Benin	Tori-Bossito	2017	27.2%	31.0%	24.7%
Benin	Toucountouna	2000	3.2%	9.3%	0.3%
Benin	Toucountouna	2017	8.9%	20.4%	1.8%
Benin	Toviklin	2000	4.5%	4.9%	4.0%
Benin	Toviklin	2017	21.9%	23.2%	20.2%
Benin	Za-Kpota	2000	9.1%	14.3%	7.0%
Benin	Za-Kpota	2017	30.8%	38.0%	26.2%
Benin	Zagnanado	2000	5.9%	11.5%	3.8%
Benin	Zagnanado	2017	21.2%	29.1%	16.3%
Benin	Zè	2000	4.9%	7.9%	3.7%
Benin	Zè	2017	20.4%	23.6%	17.9%
Benin	Zogbodomey	2000	13.0%	16.8%	9.9%
Benin	Zogbodomey	2017	29.5%	36.2%	24.7%
Botswana	Barolong	2000	54.3%	63.7%	42.5%
Botswana	Barolong	2017	53.6%	61.6%	43.5%
Botswana	Bobonong	2000	38.9%	44.9%	33.6%
Botswana	Bobonong	2017	48.8%	55.4%	41.8%
Botswana	Chobe	2000	37.4%	44.1%	30.8%
Botswana	Chobe	2017	47.2%	53.7%	40.1%
Botswana	Francistown	2000	61.7%	64.3%	59.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Botswana	Francistown	2017	74.8%	76.8%	72.8%
Botswana	Gaborone	2000	53.9%	57.0%	51.4%
Botswana	Gaborone	2017	71.4%	74.0%	68.9%
Botswana	Gemsbok	2000	25.9%	35.0%	17.5%
Botswana	Gemsbok	2017	31.7%	41.6%	22.6%
Botswana	Ghanzi	2000	33.2%	38.7%	27.9%
Botswana	Ghanzi	2017	38.5%	43.5%	33.1%
Botswana	Hukunsti	2000	29.4%	35.0%	23.9%
Botswana	Hukunsti	2017	36.6%	42.0%	31.4%
Botswana	Jwaneng	2000	40.9%	46.0%	37.4%
Botswana	Jwaneng	2017	79.2%	82.0%	76.1%
Botswana	Kgatleng	2000	46.4%	51.0%	41.3%
Botswana	Kgatleng	2017	52.7%	57.0%	48.8%
Botswana	Kweneng	2000	41.8%	48.2%	36.4%
Botswana	Kweneng North	2017	47.8%	54.2%	41.8%
Botswana	Kweneng South	2000	46.8%	50.5%	43.4%
Botswana	Kweneng South	2017	52.3%	55.7%	48.9%
Botswana	Lethlakane	2000	39.0%	45.3%	33.3%
Botswana	Lethlakane	2017	50.0%	56.9%	44.3%
Botswana	Lobatse	2000	49.2%	53.1%	45.0%
Botswana	Lobatse	2017	66.4%	70.3%	61.3%
Botswana	Machaneng	2000	40.6%	48.8%	32.8%
Botswana	Machaneng	2017	46.2%	53.8%	38.0%
Botswana	Mahalapye	2000	41.1%	49.0%	34.3%
Botswana	Mahalapye	2017	46.6%	54.5%	40.3%
Botswana	Masungu	2000	58.5%	63.6%	53.5%
Botswana	Masungu	2017	64.4%	68.9%	59.3%
Botswana	Ngamiland East	2000	36.9%	42.1%	32.3%
Botswana	Ngamiland East	2017	44.6%	50.1%	40.2%
Botswana	Ngamiland West	2000	32.5%	39.6%	26.4%
Botswana	Ngamiland West	2017	37.6%	43.7%	31.8%
Botswana	Ngwaketse Central	2000	36.5%	46.5%	28.5%
Botswana	Ngwaketse Central	2017	43.4%	52.3%	36.0%
Botswana	Ngwaketse North	2000	40.5%	48.6%	34.6%
Botswana	Ngwaketse North	2017	51.8%	61.1%	43.9%
Botswana	Ngwaketse South	2000	43.2%	52.6%	35.0%
Botswana	Ngwaketse South	2017	47.1%	55.1%	38.8%
Botswana	Palapye	2000	48.7%	55.2%	42.3%
Botswana	Palapye	2017	57.2%	63.0%	51.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Botswana	Selibe Phikwe	2000	48.5%	51.8%	45.6%
Botswana	Selibe Phikwe	2017	71.6%	74.6%	68.5%
Botswana	Serowe	2000	42.2%	47.0%	37.6%
Botswana	Serowe	2017	49.9%	54.1%	45.0%
Botswana	South East	2000	52.4%	57.4%	47.4%
Botswana	South East	2017	63.4%	69.4%	57.6%
Botswana	Sowa	2000	36.4%	43.2%	30.6%
Botswana	Sowa	2017	79.9%	84.1%	75.2%
Botswana	Tshabong	2000	33.2%	38.4%	28.8%
Botswana	Tshabong	2017	40.0%	44.9%	35.5%
Botswana	Tuli	2000	39.9%	52.1%	29.3%
Botswana	Tuli	2017	49.7%	60.4%	38.1%
Botswana	Tutume	2000	38.8%	43.4%	34.1%
Botswana	Tutume	2017	45.9%	50.7%	41.4%
Burkina Faso	Balé	2000	23.5%	30.8%	18.0%
Burkina Faso	Balé	2017	31.5%	39.0%	24.8%
Burkina Faso	Bam	2000	22.4%	28.4%	17.0%
Burkina Faso	Bam	2017	31.7%	38.1%	25.6%
Burkina Faso	Banwa	2000	23.1%	30.2%	17.0%
Burkina Faso	Banwa	2017	31.4%	39.3%	24.7%
Burkina Faso	Bazèga	2000	22.1%	27.9%	17.6%
Burkina Faso	Bazèga	2017	29.4%	35.2%	24.3%
Burkina Faso	Bougouriba	2000	23.4%	30.8%	16.0%
Burkina Faso	Bougouriba	2017	28.3%	37.2%	21.1%
Burkina Faso	Boulgou	2000	19.3%	24.0%	15.8%
Burkina Faso	Boulgou	2017	24.6%	29.7%	20.4%
Burkina Faso	Boulkiemdé	2000	22.5%	27.5%	18.3%
Burkina Faso	Boulkiemdé	2017	29.6%	34.7%	24.9%
Burkina Faso	Comoé	2000	31.7%	36.2%	27.6%
Burkina Faso	Comoé	2017	36.3%	40.4%	32.8%
Burkina Faso	Ganzourgou	2000	20.4%	25.0%	15.9%
Burkina Faso	Ganzourgou	2017	27.6%	32.2%	22.9%
Burkina Faso	Gnagna	2000	14.9%	19.2%	11.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Gnagna	2017	20.8%	25.8%	16.7%
Burkina Faso	Gourma	2000	16.8%	21.5%	13.0%
Burkina Faso	Gourma	2017	24.4%	29.4%	20.0%
Burkina Faso	Houet	2000	36.5%	42.3%	31.4%
Burkina Faso	Houet	2017	51.4%	55.9%	46.8%
Burkina Faso	Ioba	2000	17.9%	23.5%	11.7%
Burkina Faso	Ioba	2017	25.9%	32.6%	18.8%
Burkina Faso	Kadiogo	2000	59.4%	62.1%	57.0%
Burkina Faso	Kadiogo	2017	78.9%	81.4%	76.5%
Burkina Faso	KénéDougou	2000	25.2%	31.7%	20.0%
Burkina Faso	KénéDougou	2017	34.0%	40.8%	28.5%
Burkina Faso	Komandjoari	2000	13.6%	19.6%	8.6%
Burkina Faso	Komandjoari	2017	18.8%	25.7%	12.9%
Burkina Faso	Kompienga	2000	17.3%	26.1%	10.1%
Burkina Faso	Kompienga	2017	21.7%	29.3%	14.8%
Burkina Faso	Kossi	2000	22.3%	27.1%	17.5%
Burkina Faso	Kossi	2017	29.2%	34.7%	23.6%
Burkina Faso	Koupléogo	2000	16.8%	23.4%	10.5%
Burkina Faso	Koupléogo	2017	22.3%	30.0%	15.2%
Burkina Faso	Kouritenga	2000	24.6%	29.8%	20.4%
Burkina Faso	Kouritenga	2017	34.1%	39.4%	29.6%
Burkina Faso	Kourwéogo	2000	20.7%	28.4%	14.3%
Burkina Faso	Kourwéogo	2017	28.5%	37.0%	20.8%
Burkina Faso	Léraba	2000	23.1%	31.4%	15.8%
Burkina Faso	Léraba	2017	30.8%	39.7%	22.5%
Burkina Faso	Loroum	2000	21.2%	28.8%	14.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Loroum	2017	28.5%	37.2%	20.5%
Burkina Faso	Mouhoun	2000	27.0%	32.2%	21.4%
Burkina Faso	Mouhoun	2017	36.1%	41.6%	29.9%
Burkina Faso	Nahouri	2000	16.2%	22.8%	11.1%
Burkina Faso	Nahouri	2017	24.2%	31.4%	18.4%
Burkina Faso	Namentenga	2000	18.5%	23.6%	13.9%
Burkina Faso	Namentenga	2017	25.7%	31.7%	20.3%
Burkina Faso	Nayala	2000	23.2%	30.2%	16.3%
Burkina Faso	Nayala	2017	32.6%	40.2%	25.7%
Burkina Faso	Noumbiel	2000	7.6%	12.1%	4.2%
Burkina Faso	Noumbiel	2017	11.5%	17.0%	7.3%
Burkina Faso	Oubritenga	2000	29.3%	35.3%	24.4%
Burkina Faso	Oubritenga	2017	38.2%	44.1%	32.8%
Burkina Faso	Oudalan	2000	9.2%	14.3%	6.2%
Burkina Faso	Oudalan	2017	13.4%	20.3%	9.5%
Burkina Faso	Passoré	2000	26.0%	33.2%	20.7%
Burkina Faso	Passoré	2017	33.7%	41.4%	27.6%
Burkina Faso	Poni	2000	22.1%	26.9%	17.9%
Burkina Faso	Poni	2017	28.2%	33.0%	23.9%
Burkina Faso	Sanguié	2000	19.4%	23.8%	14.7%
Burkina Faso	Sanguié	2017	27.0%	31.6%	21.6%
Burkina Faso	Sanmatenga	2000	26.1%	30.3%	22.2%
Burkina Faso	Sanmatenga	2017	35.1%	39.5%	30.5%
Burkina Faso	Séno	2000	18.4%	23.0%	14.1%
Burkina Faso	Séno	2017	25.1%	29.9%	20.4%
Burkina Faso	Sissili	2000	24.4%	31.3%	19.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Sissili	2017	32.5%	39.9%	26.4%
Burkina Faso	Soum	2000	20.0%	24.2%	16.0%
Burkina Faso	Soum	2017	25.8%	30.4%	21.3%
Burkina Faso	Sourou	2000	26.6%	32.8%	20.9%
Burkina Faso	Sourou	2017	32.7%	39.8%	26.9%
Burkina Faso	Tapoa	2000	13.2%	16.9%	10.1%
Burkina Faso	Tapoa	2017	17.7%	21.8%	14.5%
Burkina Faso	Tuy	2000	26.9%	34.3%	20.7%
Burkina Faso	Tuy	2017	34.8%	43.2%	27.8%
Burkina Faso	Yagha	2000	18.5%	24.2%	13.7%
Burkina Faso	Yagha	2017	24.9%	31.4%	19.5%
Burkina Faso	Yatenga	2000	25.1%	30.4%	20.0%
Burkina Faso	Yatenga	2017	34.9%	40.5%	29.8%
Burkina Faso	Ziro	2000	19.9%	26.4%	14.5%
Burkina Faso	Ziro	2017	25.8%	32.2%	19.5%
Burkina Faso	Zondoma	2000	27.1%	36.1%	19.4%
Burkina Faso	Zondoma	2017	36.8%	45.1%	28.3%
Burkina Faso	Zoundwéogo	2000	14.0%	18.2%	10.2%
Burkina Faso	Zoundwéogo	2017	19.6%	25.0%	15.0%
Burundi	Bisoro	2000	85.2%	88.5%	81.0%
Burundi	Bisoro	2017	91.7%	93.7%	89.1%
Burundi	Bubanza	2000	34.1%	37.3%	31.9%
Burundi	Bubanza	2017	50.9%	54.0%	48.7%
Burundi	Bugabira	2000	20.9%	28.2%	15.6%
Burundi	Bugabira	2017	33.5%	40.9%	27.1%
Burundi	Buganda	2000	67.9%	73.1%	61.8%
Burundi	Buganda	2017	77.3%	81.5%	71.3%
Burundi	Bugarama	2000	38.2%	53.6%	24.8%
Burundi	Bugarama	2017	49.8%	62.9%	35.7%
Burundi	Bugendana	2000	29.5%	32.3%	26.7%
Burundi	Bugendana	2017	42.4%	45.2%	39.2%
Burundi	Bugenyuzi	2000	63.9%	71.5%	55.4%
Burundi	Bugenyuzi	2017	74.9%	81.4%	66.1%
Burundi	Buhiga	2000	72.2%	74.4%	70.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Buhiga	2017	82.9%	84.4%	81.2%
Burundi	Buhinyuza	2000	22.7%	34.3%	14.7%
Burundi	Buhinyuza	2017	31.3%	42.8%	21.7%
Burundi	Bukemba	2000	74.0%	76.4%	71.2%
Burundi	Bukemba	2017	83.1%	84.9%	81.1%
Burundi	Bukeye	2000	55.8%	60.5%	52.4%
Burundi	Bukeye	2017	66.1%	70.2%	62.5%
Burundi	Bukinanyana	2000	36.4%	53.2%	20.7%
Burundi	Bukinanyana	2017	47.3%	63.4%	29.7%
Burundi	Bukirasazi	2000	24.2%	48.6%	11.0%
Burundi	Bukirasazi	2017	35.1%	58.8%	17.5%
Burundi	Burambi	2000	51.6%	59.0%	45.0%
Burundi	Burambi	2017	62.5%	70.1%	54.7%
Burundi	Buraza	2000	22.6%	29.5%	16.0%
Burundi	Buraza	2017	33.5%	42.9%	24.4%
Burundi	Bururi	2000	60.8%	63.7%	58.0%
Burundi	Bururi	2017	72.3%	75.4%	69.2%
Burundi	Busiga	2000	26.0%	30.6%	23.5%
Burundi	Busiga	2017	40.3%	45.0%	37.2%
Burundi	Busoni	2000	30.0%	41.5%	19.6%
Burundi	Busoni	2017	40.9%	52.3%	29.6%
Burundi	Butaganzwa1	2000	33.8%	42.2%	28.1%
Burundi	Butaganzwa1	2017	49.8%	56.9%	43.9%
Burundi	Butaganzwa2	2000	35.0%	42.2%	29.4%
Burundi	Butaganzwa2	2017	44.5%	52.0%	37.8%
Burundi	Buterere	2000	76.8%	78.5%	75.1%
Burundi	Buterere	2017	87.5%	88.4%	86.4%
Burundi	Butezi	2000	51.2%	62.1%	38.4%
Burundi	Butezi	2017	60.7%	71.2%	48.5%
Burundi	Butihinda	2000	31.0%	35.0%	28.1%
Burundi	Butihinda	2017	46.5%	50.4%	43.2%
Burundi	Buyengero	2000	58.1%	69.9%	51.3%
Burundi	Buyengero	2017	68.7%	79.9%	61.7%
Burundi	Buyenze	2000	81.6%	83.3%	80.1%
Burundi	Buyenze	2017	90.9%	91.8%	90.1%
Burundi	Bwambarangwe	2000	15.8%	26.9%	10.6%
Burundi	Bwambarangwe	2017	23.9%	32.9%	17.9%
Burundi	Bweru	2000	47.9%	60.6%	34.5%
Burundi	Bweru	2017	60.0%	71.3%	46.6%
Burundi	Bwiza	2000	82.7%	84.1%	81.4%
Burundi	Bwiza	2017	91.5%	92.3%	90.8%
Burundi	Cankuzo	2000	39.5%	47.6%	32.3%
Burundi	Cankuzo	2017	51.8%	60.1%	44.2%
Burundi	Cendajuru	2000	37.7%	52.5%	26.0%
Burundi	Cendajuru	2017	50.9%	64.7%	37.3%
Burundi	Cibitoke	2000	81.9%	83.2%	80.7%
Burundi	Cibitoke	2017	91.2%	91.8%	90.6%
Burundi	Gahombo	2000	33.7%	37.2%	30.2%
Burundi	Gahombo	2017	48.3%	51.8%	44.7%
Burundi	Gashikanwa	2000	26.4%	37.3%	18.1%
Burundi	Gashikanwa	2017	38.3%	48.4%	28.7%
Burundi	Gashoho	2000	17.2%	22.8%	11.9%
Burundi	Gashoho	2017	27.1%	33.5%	20.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Gasorwe	2000	26.7%	29.4%	24.1%
Burundi	Gasorwe	2017	40.2%	43.8%	37.1%
Burundi	Gatara	2000	30.8%	32.9%	29.1%
Burundi	Gatara	2017	47.5%	49.8%	45.5%
Burundi	Gihanga	2000	57.3%	65.7%	50.1%
Burundi	Gihanga	2017	67.4%	75.1%	59.4%
Burundi	Giharo	2000	43.4%	53.8%	35.4%
Burundi	Giharo	2017	55.6%	65.6%	46.4%
Burundi	Giheta	2000	42.9%	45.9%	39.6%
Burundi	Giheta	2017	58.2%	61.2%	54.9%
Burundi	Gihogazi	2000	57.4%	62.5%	53.3%
Burundi	Gihogazi	2017	67.0%	72.1%	62.8%
Burundi	Gihosha	2000	85.2%	86.4%	83.9%
Burundi	Gihosha	2017	93.2%	93.8%	92.5%
Burundi	Gisagara	2000	36.2%	55.1%	20.7%
Burundi	Gisagara	2017	48.5%	66.1%	31.1%
Burundi	Gishubi	2000	23.5%	33.3%	17.6%
Burundi	Gishubi	2017	35.3%	45.9%	27.4%
Burundi	Gisozi	2000	80.1%	82.7%	76.1%
Burundi	Gisozi	2017	87.8%	89.3%	85.5%
Burundi	Gisuru	2000	44.3%	51.9%	36.8%
Burundi	Gisuru	2017	58.1%	64.5%	50.7%
Burundi	Gitanga	2000	55.7%	68.4%	42.3%
Burundi	Gitanga	2017	67.6%	78.4%	55.2%
Burundi	Gitaramuka	2000	47.5%	50.4%	44.8%
Burundi	Gitaramuka	2017	60.7%	63.7%	57.9%
Burundi	Gitega	2000	62.3%	64.7%	60.0%
Burundi	Gitega	2017	74.0%	76.1%	72.3%
Burundi	Giteranyi	2000	24.6%	40.0%	13.4%
Burundi	Giteranyi	2017	36.3%	52.4%	22.5%
Burundi	Gitobe	2000	9.6%	22.5%	4.8%
Burundi	Gitobe	2017	14.7%	28.9%	7.5%
Burundi	Isale	2000	61.0%	63.0%	58.9%
Burundi	Isale	2017	76.8%	78.2%	75.3%
Burundi	Itaba	2000	17.7%	31.4%	8.3%
Burundi	Itaba	2017	23.6%	41.3%	12.8%
Burundi	Kabarore	2000	25.8%	40.4%	17.1%
Burundi	Kabarore	2017	40.0%	56.3%	28.0%
Burundi	Kabezi	2000	23.4%	28.6%	18.5%
Burundi	Kabezi	2017	39.5%	45.4%	32.7%
Burundi	Kamenge	2000	85.5%	86.8%	84.0%
Burundi	Kamenge	2017	93.4%	94.0%	92.6%
Burundi	Kanyosha1	2000	62.3%	64.5%	60.0%
Burundi	Kanyosha1	2017	79.2%	80.6%	77.7%
Burundi	Kanyosha2	2000	70.7%	74.4%	66.4%
Burundi	Kanyosha2	2017	81.1%	85.0%	76.4%
Burundi	Kayanza	2000	31.7%	33.6%	30.1%
Burundi	Kayanza	2017	50.2%	52.0%	48.5%
Burundi	Kayogoro	2000	51.4%	65.8%	35.6%
Burundi	Kayogoro	2017	64.5%	76.3%	49.1%
Burundi	Kayokwe	2000	61.8%	64.2%	59.5%
Burundi	Kayokwe	2017	76.4%	78.1%	74.4%
Burundi	Kibago	2000	61.6%	76.9%	41.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Kibago	2017	72.3%	86.5%	52.8%
Burundi	Kigamba	2000	40.4%	56.4%	26.1%
Burundi	Kigamba	2017	51.0%	67.8%	34.1%
Burundi	Kiganda	2000	51.1%	53.4%	49.0%
Burundi	Kiganda	2017	66.4%	68.4%	64.2%
Burundi	Kinama	2000	73.8%	75.3%	72.1%
Burundi	Kinama	2017	85.3%	86.5%	84.1%
Burundi	Kinindo	2000	87.0%	88.4%	85.6%
Burundi	Kinindo	2017	94.2%	94.9%	93.4%
Burundi	Kinyinya	2000	29.0%	39.4%	20.2%
Burundi	Kinyinya	2017	40.4%	51.6%	30.1%
Burundi	Kiremba	2000	7.6%	20.1%	2.2%
Burundi	Kiremba	2017	11.4%	25.2%	3.6%
Burundi	Kirundo	2000	18.8%	22.4%	15.6%
Burundi	Kirundo	2017	31.2%	36.2%	27.0%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	85.1%	86.2%	83.7%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	41.3%	70.1%	17.8%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	57.0%	64.8%	49.8%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	54.9%	66.1%	43.4%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	70.9%	77.0%	63.5%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	93.0%	93.6%	92.2%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	68.9%	80.4%	55.2%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	53.4%	80.0%	27.7%
Burundi	Mabanda	2000	69.5%	76.3%	62.4%
Burundi	Mabanda	2017	80.7%	85.5%	75.1%
Burundi	Mabayi	2000	43.9%	55.4%	35.9%
Burundi	Mabayi	2017	51.5%	64.1%	40.9%
Burundi	Makamba	2000	53.4%	56.9%	49.9%
Burundi	Makamba	2017	67.6%	70.5%	64.6%
Burundi	Makebuko	2000	10.2%	17.4%	5.4%
Burundi	Makebuko	2017	15.1%	22.8%	8.7%
Burundi	Marangara	2000	15.7%	26.8%	4.7%
Burundi	Marangara	2017	23.4%	36.3%	8.6%
Burundi	Matana	2000	83.9%	90.1%	78.1%
Burundi	Matana	2017	88.4%	94.4%	83.4%
Burundi	Matongo	2000	24.2%	42.9%	12.0%
Burundi	Matongo	2017	35.9%	53.2%	20.7%
Burundi	Mbuye	2000	32.8%	36.2%	29.7%
Burundi	Mbuye	2017	46.4%	50.5%	42.5%
Burundi	Mishiha	2000	46.1%	55.0%	38.1%
Burundi	Mishiha	2017	58.7%	66.0%	51.0%
Burundi	Mpanda	2000	56.2%	59.1%	53.1%
Burundi	Mpanda	2017	72.3%	75.1%	69.5%
Burundi	Mpinga-Kayove	2000	40.0%	54.5%	31.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Mpinga-Kayove	2017	53.3%	66.7%	44.1%
Burundi	Mubimbi	2000	51.2%	53.5%	48.7%
Burundi	Mubimbi	2017	64.4%	66.4%	62.0%
Burundi	Mugamba	2000	70.7%	78.7%	64.4%
Burundi	Mugamba	2017	76.5%	84.3%	69.5%
Burundi	Mugina	2000	47.1%	53.6%	40.3%
Burundi	Mugina	2017	56.2%	62.3%	49.1%
Burundi	Mugongomanga	2000	60.5%	65.9%	57.0%
Burundi	Mugongomanga	2017	70.4%	76.2%	66.3%
Burundi	Muhanga	2000	23.8%	41.5%	16.2%
Burundi	Muhanga	2017	34.6%	54.5%	24.7%
Burundi	Muhuta	2000	46.0%	53.1%	40.5%
Burundi	Muhuta	2017	60.3%	67.2%	53.6%
Burundi	Mukike	2000	50.0%	55.7%	44.6%
Burundi	Mukike	2017	61.9%	67.9%	56.3%
Burundi	Muramvya	2000	60.4%	62.3%	58.8%
Burundi	Muramvya	2017	73.3%	74.7%	71.8%
Burundi	Muruta	2000	11.8%	13.9%	9.7%
Burundi	Muruta	2017	21.4%	24.6%	18.7%
Burundi	Murwi	2000	45.5%	51.4%	41.5%
Burundi	Murwi	2017	54.4%	60.9%	49.4%
Burundi	Musaga	2000	81.6%	83.0%	80.0%
Burundi	Musaga	2017	91.4%	92.1%	90.6%
Burundi	Musigati	2000	21.4%	25.5%	19.0%
Burundi	Musigati	2017	34.1%	37.7%	31.2%
Burundi	Musongati	2000	28.9%	38.6%	22.1%
Burundi	Musongati	2017	40.8%	51.2%	32.4%
Burundi	Mutaho	2000	30.4%	37.1%	24.5%
Burundi	Mutaho	2017	37.9%	45.5%	30.6%
Burundi	Mutambu	2000	29.7%	34.9%	26.0%
Burundi	Mutambu	2017	42.6%	49.0%	37.7%
Burundi	Mutimbuzi	2000	57.9%	67.1%	48.2%
Burundi	Mutimbuzi	2017	70.6%	77.5%	62.3%
Burundi	Mutumba	2000	58.5%	64.9%	52.1%
Burundi	Mutumba	2017	70.4%	76.2%	64.3%
Burundi	Muyinga	2000	43.1%	59.4%	30.3%
Burundi	Muyinga	2017	57.6%	71.9%	43.8%
Burundi	Mwakiro	2000	30.5%	44.8%	20.6%
Burundi	Mwakiro	2017	41.8%	55.3%	30.1%
Burundi	Mwumba	2000	12.8%	17.6%	9.4%
Burundi	Mwumba	2017	25.4%	29.4%	21.4%
Burundi	Ndava	2000	49.7%	53.0%	46.0%
Burundi	Ndava	2017	65.9%	68.4%	63.2%
Burundi	Ngagara	2000	80.5%	82.2%	79.1%
Burundi	Ngagara	2017	90.4%	91.3%	89.5%
Burundi	Ngozi	2000	22.9%	24.5%	21.2%
Burundi	Ngozi	2017	40.6%	43.1%	38.3%
Burundi	Ntega	2000	20.2%	29.5%	14.2%
Burundi	Ntega	2017	31.4%	40.8%	23.5%
Burundi	Nyabihanga	2000	50.4%	53.1%	47.6%
Burundi	Nyabihanga	2017	66.1%	68.4%	63.7%
Burundi	Nyabikere	2000	70.9%	87.4%	46.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Nyabikere	2017	79.8%	93.6%	55.9%
Burundi	Nyabiraba	2000	59.6%	64.6%	55.2%
Burundi	Nyabiraba	2017	73.5%	77.9%	69.7%
Burundi	Nyabitsinda	2000	32.6%	45.3%	22.4%
Burundi	Nyabitsinda	2017	42.6%	54.9%	31.0%
Burundi	Nyakabiga	2000	85.0%	86.3%	83.9%
Burundi	Nyakabiga	2017	92.8%	93.5%	92.2%
Burundi	Nyamurenza	2000	11.3%	23.9%	5.9%
Burundi	Nyamurenza	2017	16.5%	35.0%	9.2%
Burundi	Nyanrusange	2000	43.1%	50.4%	37.4%
Burundi	Nyanrusange	2017	59.1%	65.8%	52.7%
Burundi	Nyanza-Lac	2000	56.1%	66.7%	46.4%
Burundi	Nyanza-Lac	2017	64.5%	76.2%	53.3%
Burundi	Rango	2000	39.3%	43.7%	34.6%
Burundi	Rango	2017	54.8%	59.3%	49.6%
Burundi	Roherero	2000	87.6%	88.8%	86.3%
Burundi	Roherero	2017	94.2%	94.8%	93.6%
Burundi	Rugazi	2000	62.4%	64.0%	60.5%
Burundi	Rugazi	2017	70.6%	72.0%	69.0%
Burundi	Rugombo	2000	36.5%	44.0%	28.8%
Burundi	Rugombo	2017	49.4%	57.4%	41.5%
Burundi	Ruhororo	2000	15.1%	19.0%	11.5%
Burundi	Ruhororo	2017	24.7%	29.3%	20.1%
Burundi	Rumonge	2000	49.8%	58.2%	41.8%
Burundi	Rumonge	2017	65.7%	73.5%	56.1%
Burundi	Rusaka	2000	44.3%	46.5%	42.1%
Burundi	Rusaka	2017	58.5%	60.8%	56.1%
Burundi	Rutana	2000	53.0%	58.1%	46.8%
Burundi	Rutana	2017	65.4%	70.4%	60.4%
Burundi	Rutegama	2000	28.5%	30.3%	26.3%
Burundi	Rutegama	2017	44.3%	46.5%	41.6%
Burundi	Rutovu	2000	67.9%	75.6%	57.3%
Burundi	Rutovu	2017	77.0%	83.0%	67.7%
Burundi	Ruyigi	2000	52.8%	65.8%	42.3%
Burundi	Ruyigi	2017	66.2%	77.3%	54.8%
Burundi	Ryansoro	2000	61.3%	83.6%	37.9%
Burundi	Ryansoro	2017	70.9%	90.3%	46.7%
Burundi	Shombo	2000	81.4%	91.8%	67.1%
Burundi	Shombo	2017	87.8%	95.8%	74.0%
Burundi	Songa	2000	64.2%	73.6%	54.8%
Burundi	Songa	2017	74.6%	83.0%	63.8%
Burundi	Tangara	2000	11.5%	18.6%	6.2%
Burundi	Tangara	2017	16.9%	24.3%	10.3%
Burundi	Vugizo	2000	51.1%	57.7%	46.4%
Burundi	Vugizo	2017	60.9%	67.7%	54.5%
Burundi	Vumbi	2000	11.9%	17.5%	7.4%
Burundi	Vumbi	2017	16.7%	21.9%	12.6%
Burundi	Vyanda	2000	69.1%	75.8%	61.6%
Burundi	Vyanda	2017	77.6%	84.2%	69.6%
Cameroon	Bamboutos	2000	40.9%	44.7%	37.5%
Cameroon	Bamboutos	2017	48.0%	53.1%	43.0%
Cameroon	Bénoué	2000	31.6%	37.3%	26.5%
Cameroon	Bénoué	2017	45.3%	50.9%	39.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cameroon	Boumba et Ngoko	2000	34.0%	40.7%	27.7%
Cameroon	Boumba et Ngoko	2017	45.7%	51.8%	39.0%
Cameroon	Boyo	2000	31.8%	41.9%	21.7%
Cameroon	Boyo	2017	43.8%	55.9%	32.6%
Cameroon	Bui	2000	31.3%	39.6%	25.7%
Cameroon	Bui	2017	40.0%	48.6%	33.1%
Cameroon	Diamaré	2000	32.6%	39.7%	26.8%
Cameroon	Diamaré	2017	42.4%	50.2%	35.5%
Cameroon	Dja et Lobo	2000	35.0%	42.0%	29.1%
Cameroon	Dja et Lobo	2017	47.7%	54.0%	41.9%
Cameroon	Djerem	2000	35.5%	42.0%	29.4%
Cameroon	Djerem	2017	47.7%	53.8%	41.9%
Cameroon	Donga Mantung	2000	37.9%	47.6%	29.0%
Cameroon	Donga Mantung	2017	49.5%	59.3%	40.3%
Cameroon	Fako	2000	53.6%	58.5%	48.8%
Cameroon	Fako	2017	68.0%	72.4%	62.9%
Cameroon	Faro	2000	32.8%	46.3%	23.1%
Cameroon	Faro	2017	44.7%	59.7%	31.3%
Cameroon	Faro et Déo	2000	33.3%	41.3%	25.3%
Cameroon	Faro et Déo	2017	45.3%	55.1%	35.6%
Cameroon	Haut Nkam	2000	36.8%	52.0%	24.5%
Cameroon	Haut Nkam	2017	50.4%	64.1%	37.5%
Cameroon	Haut Nyong	2000	30.9%	36.2%	25.9%
Cameroon	Haut Nyong	2017	45.1%	50.3%	40.1%
Cameroon	Haute Sanaga	2000	34.9%	44.7%	26.0%
Cameroon	Haute Sanaga	2017	48.3%	58.1%	39.4%
Cameroon	Hauts Plateaux	2000	48.4%	54.2%	42.0%
Cameroon	Hauts Plateaux	2017	61.3%	65.5%	55.7%
Cameroon	Kadey	2000	34.4%	43.1%	26.5%
Cameroon	Kadey	2017	46.2%	54.7%	37.9%
Cameroon	Koung Khi	2000	44.4%	52.3%	38.3%
Cameroon	Koung Khi	2017	57.4%	66.3%	49.2%
Cameroon	Koupé Manengouba	2000	34.4%	43.1%	26.9%
Cameroon	Koupé Manengouba	2017	46.0%	56.1%	36.2%
Cameroon	Lebialem	2000	21.5%	31.3%	14.3%
Cameroon	Lebialem	2017	35.0%	43.6%	26.6%
Cameroon	Lekié	2000	37.4%	42.9%	32.1%
Cameroon	Lekié	2017	51.8%	58.3%	45.5%
Cameroon	Logone et Chari	2000	34.4%	42.1%	27.8%
Cameroon	Logone et Chari	2017	44.0%	51.7%	37.2%
Cameroon	Lom Djerem	2000	37.0%	41.5%	32.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cameroon	Lom et Djerem	2017	49.2%	54.7%	43.6%
Cameroon	Manyu	2000	38.1%	47.1%	29.5%
Cameroon	Manyu	2017	52.2%	61.1%	43.4%
Cameroon	Mayo Banyo	2000	28.9%	36.6%	21.7%
Cameroon	Mayo Banyo	2017	43.5%	51.4%	35.4%
Cameroon	Mayo Danay	2000	20.8%	29.0%	13.5%
Cameroon	Mayo Danay	2017	29.9%	39.1%	20.9%
Cameroon	Mayo Kani	2000	24.9%	35.5%	16.6%
Cameroon	Mayo Kani	2017	35.5%	46.5%	25.8%
Cameroon	Mayo Louti	2000	24.3%	30.4%	19.3%
Cameroon	Mayo Louti	2017	34.7%	42.4%	29.3%
Cameroon	Mayo Rey	2000	34.1%	39.6%	28.6%
Cameroon	Mayo Rey	2017	45.0%	49.9%	39.6%
Cameroon	Mayo Sava	2000	35.2%	43.3%	26.3%
Cameroon	Mayo Sava	2017	47.1%	55.9%	37.9%
Cameroon	Mayo Tsanaga	2000	29.2%	37.7%	22.3%
Cameroon	Mayo Tsanaga	2017	40.7%	49.7%	33.3%
Cameroon	Mbam et In-oubou	2000	43.2%	52.1%	33.7%
Cameroon	Mbam et In-oubou	2017	57.1%	65.7%	48.0%
Cameroon	Mbam et Kim	2000	32.9%	40.1%	27.4%
Cameroon	Mbam et Kim	2017	46.0%	53.2%	40.6%
Cameroon	Mbéré	2000	34.3%	41.9%	27.5%
Cameroon	Mbéré	2017	45.5%	53.0%	37.1%
Cameroon	Mefou et Afamba	2000	48.5%	54.7%	43.0%
Cameroon	Mefou et Afamba	2017	68.7%	73.6%	63.8%
Cameroon	Mefou et Akono	2000	38.4%	48.2%	30.3%
Cameroon	Mefou et Akono	2017	56.0%	64.2%	48.7%
Cameroon	Meme	2000	36.3%	42.9%	30.8%
Cameroon	Meme	2017	51.4%	56.9%	46.3%
Cameroon	Menchum	2000	39.1%	50.1%	29.3%
Cameroon	Menchum	2017	51.6%	62.7%	40.3%
Cameroon	Menoua	2000	44.9%	51.3%	38.8%
Cameroon	Menoua	2017	56.3%	63.2%	49.5%
Cameroon	Mezam	2000	36.1%	39.8%	32.0%
Cameroon	Mezam	2017	44.7%	48.4%	40.8%
Cameroon	Mfoundi	2000	47.8%	50.2%	45.6%
Cameroon	Mfoundi	2017	68.0%	69.7%	66.3%
Cameroon	Mifi	2000	41.6%	46.8%	38.4%
Cameroon	Mifi	2017	55.6%	61.9%	50.3%
Cameroon	Momo	2000	29.5%	38.5%	22.3%
Cameroon	Momo	2017	43.6%	53.4%	35.7%
Cameroon	Moungo	2000	52.5%	58.1%	46.9%
Cameroon	Moungo	2017	70.1%	75.5%	65.3%
Cameroon	Mvila	2000	29.1%	36.1%	22.2%
Cameroon	Mvila	2017	42.6%	51.5%	33.2%
Cameroon	Ndé	2000	39.5%	55.4%	27.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cameroon	Ndé	2017	52.7%	69.2%	38.8%
Cameroon	Ndian	2000	35.4%	45.2%	26.6%
Cameroon	Ndian	2017	51.3%	61.1%	42.2%
Cameroon	Ngo Ketunjia	2000	30.3%	34.8%	26.4%
Cameroon	Ngo Ketunjia	2017	47.7%	53.3%	41.5%
Cameroon	Nkam	2000	22.9%	32.1%	15.9%
Cameroon	Nkam	2017	41.1%	59.7%	27.5%
Cameroon	Noun	2000	40.6%	48.5%	33.1%
Cameroon	Noun	2017	53.3%	60.2%	46.2%
Cameroon	Nyong et Kélé	2000	36.9%	44.7%	29.6%
Cameroon	Nyong et Kélé	2017	53.5%	61.3%	45.6%
Cameroon	Nyong et Mfoumou	2000	31.3%	39.0%	23.7%
Cameroon	Nyong et Mfoumou	2017	43.8%	51.4%	36.1%
Cameroon	Nyong et So'o	2000	45.5%	58.4%	33.8%
Cameroon	Nyong et So'o	2017	59.0%	69.6%	47.8%
Cameroon	Océan	2000	39.0%	47.8%	30.1%
Cameroon	Océan	2017	55.1%	62.2%	47.6%
Cameroon	Sanaga Maritime	2000	32.7%	42.3%	25.1%
Cameroon	Sanaga Maritime	2017	44.5%	54.0%	36.4%
Cameroon	Vallée du Ntem	2000	35.4%	44.8%	27.6%
Cameroon	Vallée du Ntem	2017	47.3%	54.9%	39.2%
Cameroon	Vina	2000	34.7%	41.1%	28.4%
Cameroon	Vina	2017	51.2%	56.7%	45.2%
Cameroon	Wouri	2000	46.9%	50.0%	43.9%
Cameroon	Wouri	2017	70.8%	73.9%	68.0%
Central African Republic	Alindao	2000	39.7%	52.5%	28.5%
Central African Republic	Alindao	2017	27.2%	39.3%	17.3%
Central African Republic	Baboua	2000	33.5%	42.1%	26.0%
Central African Republic	Baboua	2017	22.1%	28.7%	16.5%
Central African Republic	Bakala	2000	53.0%	67.9%	39.2%
Central African Republic	Bakala	2017	40.6%	58.3%	24.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Bakouma	2000	42.6%	54.6%	31.8%
Central African Republic	Bakouma	2017	29.5%	40.8%	21.0%
Central African Republic	Bambari	2000	38.1%	46.4%	29.7%
Central African Republic	Bambari	2017	25.5%	32.9%	18.1%
Central African Republic	Bambio	2000	30.9%	44.4%	18.6%
Central African Republic	Bambio	2017	20.0%	34.1%	10.1%
Central African Republic	Bamingui	2000	54.0%	63.4%	43.1%
Central African Republic	Bamingui	2017	40.4%	49.5%	31.3%
Central African Republic	Bangassou	2000	40.9%	52.7%	30.0%
Central African Republic	Bangassou	2017	27.8%	38.4%	18.5%
Central African Republic	Bangui	2000	61.1%	77.5%	41.5%
Central African Republic	Bangui	2017	43.4%	65.2%	24.9%
Central African Republic	Baoro	2000	33.1%	40.8%	25.0%
Central African Republic	Baoro	2017	22.1%	29.0%	15.2%
Central African Republic	Batangafou	2000	42.1%	47.1%	36.5%
Central African Republic	Batangafou	2017	29.5%	34.5%	24.4%
Central African Republic	Berbérati	2000	37.8%	48.0%	27.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Berbérati	2017	27.7%	38.0%	19.3%
Central African Republic	Bimbo	2000	48.0%	53.4%	41.7%
Central African Republic	Bimbo	2017	32.0%	37.2%	26.4%
Central African Republic	Birao	2000	44.4%	50.7%	37.7%
Central African Republic	Birao	2017	32.1%	38.2%	26.4%
Central African Republic	Boali	2000	30.3%	48.4%	16.0%
Central African Republic	Boali	2017	20.1%	37.5%	9.2%
Central African Republic	Bocaranga	2000	36.4%	45.8%	28.8%
Central African Republic	Bocaranga	2017	24.6%	34.1%	18.5%
Central African Republic	Boda	2000	35.2%	45.5%	24.4%
Central African Republic	Boda	2017	23.6%	32.9%	14.5%
Central African Republic	Bossangoa	2000	46.4%	54.2%	39.1%
Central African Republic	Bossangoa	2017	33.3%	41.1%	27.1%
Central African Republic	Bouar	2000	37.1%	49.6%	26.6%
Central African Republic	Bouar	2017	24.6%	34.4%	17.4%
Central African Republic	Bouca	2000	52.9%	61.6%	43.3%
Central African Republic	Bouca	2017	39.4%	49.3%	30.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Bozoum	2000	36.4%	46.3%	28.3%
Central African Republic	Bozoum	2017	23.4%	33.1%	16.9%
Central African Republic	Bria	2000	45.9%	55.2%	36.7%
Central African Republic	Bria	2017	32.1%	40.4%	24.7%
Central African Republic	Carnot	2000	37.0%	44.9%	29.6%
Central African Republic	Carnot	2017	25.0%	32.1%	19.0%
Central African Republic	Damara	2000	38.8%	49.5%	28.5%
Central African Republic	Damara	2017	26.7%	36.9%	18.6%
Central African Republic	Dékoa	2000	49.6%	62.7%	36.6%
Central African Republic	Dékoa	2017	35.9%	48.4%	24.5%
Central African Republic	Djemah	2000	35.4%	50.9%	23.3%
Central African Republic	Djemah	2017	22.8%	35.4%	14.8%
Central African Republic	Gambo-Ouango	2000	49.1%	64.4%	35.7%
Central African Republic	Gambo-Ouango	2017	34.2%	48.6%	22.8%
Central African Republic	Gamboula	2000	25.9%	33.0%	19.6%
Central African Republic	Gamboula	2017	15.9%	20.9%	10.8%
Central African Republic	Grimari	2000	44.8%	56.9%	35.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Grimari	2017	32.3%	43.0%	24.0%
Central African Republic	Ippy	2000	42.8%	55.5%	32.0%
Central African Republic	Ippy	2017	29.3%	40.9%	20.8%
Central African Republic	Kabo	2000	46.5%	59.3%	34.2%
Central African Republic	Kabo	2017	31.9%	44.8%	20.7%
Central African Republic	Kaga-Bandoro	2000	55.8%	67.3%	42.8%
Central African Republic	Kaga-Bandoro	2017	43.0%	54.2%	31.2%
Central African Republic	Kembé	2000	49.9%	62.4%	36.7%
Central African Republic	Kembé	2017	37.2%	49.9%	24.4%
Central African Republic	Kouango	2000	48.1%	58.4%	38.9%
Central African Republic	Kouango	2017	34.3%	44.8%	25.5%
Central African Republic	M'Baïki	2000	30.2%	39.4%	22.0%
Central African Republic	M'Baïki	2017	19.5%	26.0%	13.4%
Central African Republic	Markounda	2000	50.5%	61.6%	39.1%
Central African Republic	Markounda	2017	37.8%	49.2%	28.6%
Central African Republic	Mbrès	2000	50.1%	65.1%	33.3%
Central African Republic	Mbrès	2017	36.4%	53.3%	21.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Mingala	2000	42.5%	56.2%	27.6%
Central African Republic	Mingala	2017	29.8%	41.5%	18.1%
Central African Republic	Mobaye	2000	48.6%	62.5%	34.5%
Central African Republic	Mobaye	2017	35.5%	51.1%	22.0%
Central African Republic	Mongoumba	2000	28.8%	50.4%	12.4%
Central African Republic	Mongoumba	2017	18.2%	35.9%	6.5%
Central African Republic	Ndélé	2000	46.1%	51.1%	40.7%
Central African Republic	Ndélé	2017	33.0%	38.7%	27.6%
Central African Republic	Nola	2000	22.2%	30.5%	15.8%
Central African Republic	Nola	2017	14.0%	20.4%	9.4%
Central African Republic	Obo	2000	41.3%	52.5%	30.0%
Central African Republic	Obo	2017	28.3%	40.4%	17.9%
Central African Republic	Ouadda	2000	31.9%	42.1%	22.7%
Central African Republic	Ouadda	2017	20.7%	30.2%	13.2%
Central African Republic	Ouanda Djallé	2000	35.6%	55.9%	22.9%
Central African Republic	Ouanda Djallé	2017	24.6%	47.9%	13.0%
Central African Republic	Paoua	2000	39.9%	49.0%	31.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Paoua	2017	28.4%	37.0%	20.8%
Central African Republic	Rafaï	2000	44.9%	55.1%	34.2%
Central African Republic	Rafaï	2017	33.0%	45.3%	21.7%
Central African Republic	Sibut	2000	41.4%	52.4%	32.3%
Central African Republic	Sibut	2017	29.3%	40.3%	22.3%
Central African Republic	Yalinga	2000	31.1%	41.6%	22.4%
Central African Republic	Yalinga	2017	20.9%	30.7%	13.4%
Central African Republic	Yaloké	2000	34.7%	43.1%	27.1%
Central African Republic	Yaloké	2017	22.9%	32.1%	16.6%
Central African Republic	Zémio	2000	42.0%	59.0%	26.3%
Central African Republic	Zémio	2017	29.1%	43.3%	18.0%
Chad	Aboudeïa	2000	17.3%	21.7%	13.4%
Chad	Aboudeïa	2017	6.6%	8.7%	4.6%
Chad	Assoungaha	2000	17.2%	21.5%	12.7%
Chad	Assoungaha	2017	6.1%	8.2%	4.2%
Chad	Baguirmi	2000	19.9%	23.4%	16.3%
Chad	Baguirmi	2017	8.0%	9.9%	6.2%
Chad	Barh Azoum	2000	15.8%	18.9%	12.9%
Chad	Barh Azoum	2017	5.9%	7.5%	4.6%
Chad	Barh El Gazel	2000	17.9%	21.2%	15.1%
Chad	Barh El Gazel	2017	6.6%	7.9%	5.3%
Chad	Barh Köh	2000	23.1%	27.9%	19.4%
Chad	Barh Köh	2017	10.9%	14.0%	8.5%
Chad	Barh Sara	2000	18.3%	24.2%	14.7%
Chad	Barh Sara	2017	6.8%	9.7%	4.8%
Chad	Barh Signaka	2000	22.2%	28.3%	16.6%
Chad	Barh Signaka	2017	10.1%	13.7%	7.3%
Chad	Batha Est	2000	21.0%	25.2%	16.9%
Chad	Batha Est	2017	8.3%	10.5%	6.3%
Chad	Batha Oues	2000	21.1%	24.6%	17.7%
Chad	Batha Oues	2017	9.0%	10.7%	7.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Chad	Béré	2000	18.5%	29.9%	9.5%
Chad	Béré	2017	7.0%	12.8%	3.2%
Chad	Biltine	2000	14.1%	17.2%	11.5%
Chad	Biltine	2017	4.9%	6.4%	3.7%
Chad	Bitkine	2000	18.2%	22.9%	14.2%
Chad	Bitkine	2017	7.0%	9.5%	5.2%
Chad	Borkou	2000	14.7%	16.8%	12.7%
Chad	Borkou	2017	5.6%	6.5%	4.8%
Chad	Dababa	2000	18.0%	22.2%	14.4%
Chad	Dababa	2017	6.9%	9.1%	5.3%
Chad	Dagana	2000	19.5%	25.2%	15.0%
Chad	Dagana	2017	7.6%	10.6%	5.5%
Chad	Dar Tama	2000	12.8%	16.6%	9.8%
Chad	Dar Tama	2017	4.4%	6.3%	3.0%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2000	15.8%	20.4%	11.9%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2000	18.2%	26.2%	12.2%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2017	6.0%	8.2%	4.0%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2017	7.0%	11.6%	4.0%
Chad	Dodjé	2000	16.5%	24.1%	10.4%
Chad	Dodjé	2017	6.2%	10.3%	3.4%
Chad	Ennedi Est	2000	12.0%	14.3%	9.9%
Chad	Ennedi Est	2017	4.3%	5.3%	3.4%
Chad	Ennedi Ouest	2000	10.6%	12.6%	9.1%
Chad	Ennedi Ouest	2017	3.7%	4.5%	3.1%
Chad	Fitri	2000	17.5%	20.2%	14.9%
Chad	Fitri	2017	6.7%	8.1%	5.3%
Chad	Grande Sido	2000	17.4%	23.6%	11.9%
Chad	Grande Sido	2017	6.4%	10.0%	3.9%
Chad	Guéra	2000	15.3%	17.5%	12.8%
Chad	Guéra	2017	5.6%	6.7%	4.5%
Chad	Haraze Al Biar	2000	27.7%	33.1%	21.9%
Chad	Haraze Al Biar	2017	14.4%	18.6%	10.9%
Chad	Haraze Mangueigne	2000	12.8%	15.8%	10.4%
Chad	Haraze Mangueigne	2017	4.6%	5.8%	3.4%
Chad	Kabbia	2000	17.3%	22.9%	11.6%
Chad	Kabbia	2017	7.4%	10.4%	4.8%
Chad	Kanem	2000	17.3%	21.0%	13.6%
Chad	Kanem	2017	6.5%	8.4%	4.8%
Chad	Kobé	2000	16.1%	20.0%	12.7%
Chad	Kobé	2017	6.2%	8.0%	4.7%
Chad	Lac Iro	2000	19.2%	23.5%	15.1%
Chad	Lac Iro	2017	7.3%	9.4%	5.3%
Chad	Lac Léré	2000	17.1%	22.6%	12.6%
Chad	Lac Léré	2017	7.6%	10.4%	5.3%
Chad	Lac Wey	2000	18.7%	23.9%	14.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Chad	Lac Wey	2017	8.7%	11.5%	6.5%
Chad	Lanya	2000	23.1%	30.3%	16.4%
Chad	Lanya	2017	10.8%	14.8%	7.0%
Chad	Loug Chari	2000	20.2%	24.2%	16.5%
Chad	Loug Chari	2017	8.3%	10.5%	6.6%
Chad	Mamdi	2000	18.2%	22.5%	14.0%
Chad	Mamdi	2017	6.8%	9.0%	4.8%
Chad	Mandoul Occidental	2000	23.8%	32.1%	16.4%
Chad	Mandoul Occidental	2017	9.5%	14.4%	5.6%
Chad	Mandoul Oriental	2000	18.6%	23.5%	14.6%
Chad	Mandoul Oriental	2017	7.3%	9.6%	5.2%
Chad	Mangalmé	2000	21.2%	26.3%	16.3%
Chad	Mangalmé	2017	8.3%	11.1%	6.2%
Chad	Mayo-Boneye	2000	20.5%	25.0%	16.3%
Chad	Mayo-Boneye	2017	8.5%	11.4%	6.2%
Chad	Mayo-Dallah	2000	20.9%	25.1%	17.2%
Chad	Mayo-Dallah	2017	8.4%	11.0%	6.5%
Chad	Mont Illi	2000	17.8%	24.6%	12.5%
Chad	Mont Illi	2017	8.1%	11.8%	4.9%
Chad	Monts de Lam	2000	17.1%	22.1%	12.3%
Chad	Monts de Lam	2017	6.4%	9.1%	4.0%
Chad	N'Djamena	2000	75.2%	80.6%	69.2%
Chad	N'Djamena	2000	45.1%	51.4%	39.3%
Chad	N'Djamena	2017	53.8%	59.0%	48.9%
Chad	N'Djamena	2017	26.9%	32.4%	23.0%
Chad	Ngourkosso	2000	29.3%	38.8%	20.6%
Chad	Ngourkosso	2017	14.9%	22.1%	8.8%
Chad	Nokou	2000	19.3%	23.0%	15.9%
Chad	Nokou	2017	7.2%	8.9%	5.7%
Chad	Nya Pendé	2000	20.3%	25.8%	15.5%
Chad	Nya Pendé	2017	8.9%	12.3%	6.2%
Chad	Ouara	2000	20.3%	24.5%	17.0%
Chad	Ouara	2017	8.3%	10.4%	6.7%
Chad	Pendé	2000	20.7%	25.6%	16.5%
Chad	Pendé	2017	9.2%	12.1%	6.9%
Chad	Sila	2000	16.8%	20.0%	14.1%
Chad	Sila	2017	6.9%	8.8%	5.4%
Chad	Tandjilé Est	2000	19.3%	24.0%	15.3%
Chad	Tandjilé Est	2017	7.4%	10.2%	5.4%
Chad	Tandjilé Ouest	2000	21.0%	27.5%	16.1%
Chad	Tandjilé Ouest	2017	9.7%	12.9%	7.1%
Chad	Tibesti	2000	4.8%	5.9%	3.8%
Chad	Tibesti	2017	1.8%	2.3%	1.5%
Chad	Wayi	2000	17.3%	23.4%	12.1%
Chad	Wayi	2017	6.4%	9.4%	3.9%
Comoros	Mwali	2000	57.7%	60.8%	54.3%
Comoros	Mwali	2017	56.7%	60.0%	53.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Comoros	Njazidja	2000	28.9%	35.2%	24.0%
Comoros	Njazidja	2017	29.2%	34.9%	24.8%
Comoros	Nzwani	2000	48.6%	52.4%	45.0%
Comoros	Nzwani	2017	47.9%	51.5%	44.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan	2000	53.3%	56.3%	49.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan	2017	88.3%	89.9%	86.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Agnéby-Tiassa	2000	20.0%	27.0%	13.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Agnéby-Tiassa	2017	42.8%	52.1%	34.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bafing	2000	13.0%	18.5%	8.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bafing	2017	31.8%	39.4%	23.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bagoué	2000	26.3%	32.5%	20.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bagoué	2017	46.6%	53.9%	39.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bélier	2000	16.3%	23.2%	10.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bélier	2017	38.1%	46.8%	28.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béré	2000	14.9%	20.4%	10.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béré	2017	34.4%	42.9%	27.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bounkani	2000	15.7%	22.0%	11.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bounkani	2017	35.6%	44.3%	27.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Cavally	2000	16.5%	23.4%	11.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Cavally	2017	38.1%	46.4%	30.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Folon	2000	16.4%	24.1%	10.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Folon	2017	36.0%	45.7%	26.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbeke	2000	36.4%	40.5%	32.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbeke	2017	58.5%	63.8%	53.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbôkle	2000	18.8%	25.7%	12.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbôkle	2017	43.0%	51.1%	34.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gôh	2000	14.8%	21.8%	9.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gôh	2017	37.4%	46.3%	28.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Côte d'Ivoire	Gontougo	2000	19.0%	23.7%	14.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gontougo	2017	40.8%	47.1%	34.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Grands Ponts	2000	23.8%	35.3%	14.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Grands Ponts	2017	46.8%	59.5%	33.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Guémon	2000	15.6%	21.2%	10.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Guémon	2017	36.8%	45.3%	28.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Hambol	2000	21.3%	26.2%	16.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Hambol	2017	42.8%	49.7%	36.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Haut-Sassandra	2000	15.0%	19.1%	11.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Haut-Sassandra	2017	36.5%	42.3%	30.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Iffou	2000	18.3%	26.9%	11.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Iffou	2017	38.9%	49.0%	28.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Indénié-Djuablin	2000	20.1%	24.9%	15.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Indénié-Djuablin	2017	49.0%	54.7%	42.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Kabadougou	2000	19.5%	25.9%	14.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Kabadougou	2017	37.7%	44.6%	31.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	La Mé	2000	24.8%	33.4%	18.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	La Mé	2017	49.5%	58.4%	39.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Lôh-Djiboua	2000	15.5%	22.8%	10.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Lôh-Djiboua	2017	36.9%	45.9%	28.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Marahoué	2000	17.5%	23.4%	12.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Marahoué	2017	42.1%	49.5%	34.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Moronou	2000	17.3%	26.0%	10.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Moronou	2017	39.9%	51.5%	28.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	N'zi	2000	20.4%	31.4%	12.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	N'zi	2017	42.1%	54.6%	32.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Côte d'Ivoire	Nawa	2000	17.3%	22.7%	12.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Nawa	2017	41.3%	48.5%	33.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Poro	2000	21.5%	26.4%	17.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Poro	2017	46.9%	52.0%	41.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	San-Pédro	2000	21.0%	26.3%	16.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	San-Pédro	2017	46.6%	53.2%	39.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Sud Comoé	2000	21.5%	30.2%	15.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Sud Comoé	2017	46.3%	56.4%	37.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tchologo	2000	26.7%	32.8%	21.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tchologo	2017	47.3%	54.7%	40.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tonkpi	2000	18.7%	23.9%	14.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tonkpi	2017	41.9%	48.5%	35.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Worodougou	2000	17.2%	22.7%	12.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Worodougou	2017	39.1%	47.1%	31.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Yamoussoukro	2000	37.8%	48.0%	28.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Yamoussoukro	2017	65.0%	74.1%	53.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aba	2000	40.8%	79.0%	12.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aba	2017	37.6%	77.1%	10.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi	2000	43.6%	53.9%	33.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi	2017	42.1%	51.7%	32.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi (ville)	2000	71.1%	83.7%	56.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi (ville)	2017	66.7%	79.4%	52.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ango	2000	37.7%	47.8%	27.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ango	2017	35.2%	48.7%	23.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ariwara	2000	38.4%	49.6%	27.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ariwara	2017	23.9%	44.9%	14.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru	2000	42.0%	53.7%	31.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru	2017	39.2%	49.4%	29.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru (ville)	2000	71.1%	90.9%	34.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru (ville)	2017	57.4%	86.1%	26.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bafwasende	2000	22.3%	32.5%	15.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bafwasende	2017	20.7%	30.4%	14.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bagata	2000	36.8%	46.0%	28.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bagata	2017	34.9%	44.0%	26.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bambesa	2000	31.8%	44.1%	19.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bambesa	2017	32.8%	43.6%	22.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Banalia	2000	30.2%	42.6%	19.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Banalia	2017	27.9%	39.8%	17.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bandundu	2000	14.7%	19.2%	11.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bandundu	2017	13.2%	18.3%	10.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bangu	2000	54.6%	98.8%	3.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bangu	2017	52.3%	98.4%	3.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Baraka	2000	37.7%	53.9%	23.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Baraka	2017	18.2%	29.0%	10.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu	2000	28.7%	40.6%	18.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu	2017	26.3%	37.2%	16.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu (ville)	2000	31.2%	75.3%	5.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu (ville)	2017	27.0%	70.7%	4.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko	2000	40.7%	50.5%	31.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko	2017	38.1%	47.5%	29.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko (ville)	2000	62.5%	72.9%	51.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko (ville)	2017	57.2%	67.8%	47.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Befale	2000	44.9%	56.3%	33.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Befale	2017	42.0%	52.4%	30.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bena-Dibele	2000	33.4%	94.3%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bena-Dibele	2017	30.8%	93.0%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Beni	2000	66.3%	76.2%	57.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Beni	2017	62.8%	71.8%	53.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bikoro	2000	33.6%	44.9%	22.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bikoro	2017	30.4%	41.9%	20.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende	2000	45.9%	58.7%	35.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende	2017	42.7%	54.8%	33.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende (ville)	2000	30.3%	48.3%	16.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende (ville)	2017	26.1%	42.1%	13.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bokungu	2000	34.0%	44.2%	25.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bokungu	2017	31.4%	41.9%	22.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo	2000	39.9%	57.0%	21.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo	2017	36.8%	52.4%	19.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo (ville)	2000	40.5%	92.3%	2.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo (ville)	2017	37.6%	91.3%	2.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolomba	2000	32.5%	44.5%	23.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolomba	2017	30.4%	41.6%	22.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boma	2000	38.4%	51.2%	26.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boma	2017	37.1%	49.8%	26.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bomongo	2000	35.6%	43.3%	28.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bomongo	2017	32.5%	41.8%	25.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo	2000	38.8%	49.8%	28.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo	2017	37.5%	48.8%	26.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo (ville)	2000	28.6%	88.7%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo (ville)	2017	29.7%	88.5%	1.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bongandanga	2000	32.1%	41.6%	24.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bongandanga	2017	29.1%	37.7%	22.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bosobolo	2000	33.5%	44.3%	21.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bosobolo	2017	31.1%	41.0%	20.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Budjala	2000	36.5%	47.7%	26.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Budjala	2017	33.5%	44.3%	23.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukama	2000	46.2%	56.7%	37.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukama	2017	43.9%	53.4%	35.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukavu	2000	28.7%	31.0%	26.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukavu	2017	41.7%	44.6%	39.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu	2000	45.3%	54.8%	35.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu	2017	42.6%	52.0%	33.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu (ville)	2000	12.9%	23.3%	6.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu (ville)	2017	13.0%	26.7%	6.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba	2000	36.8%	45.2%	27.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba	2017	33.6%	41.6%	25.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba (ville)	2000	38.1%	57.2%	20.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba (ville)	2017	32.0%	50.1%	17.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bunia	2000	36.2%	43.6%	29.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bunia	2017	33.3%	40.2%	27.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Businga	2000	27.7%	36.0%	19.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Businga	2017	24.4%	32.0%	17.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta	2000	39.8%	52.9%	26.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta	2017	36.7%	49.4%	24.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta (ville)	2000	62.9%	81.1%	42.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta (ville)	2017	46.7%	68.4%	26.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Butembo	2000	61.9%	72.3%	50.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Butembo	2017	57.1%	68.0%	46.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dekese	2000	27.9%	38.8%	19.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dekese	2017	25.6%	36.8%	17.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Demba	2000	28.3%	38.6%	17.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Demba	2017	25.4%	35.5%	16.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya	2000	36.2%	48.9%	25.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya	2017	33.2%	45.7%	23.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya-Lubwe	2000	51.5%	89.7%	10.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya-Lubwe	2017	46.7%	86.9%	9.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dilolo	2000	41.4%	52.5%	30.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dilolo	2017	39.3%	48.2%	30.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dimbelenge	2000	34.6%	48.7%	20.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dimbelenge	2017	31.1%	43.9%	18.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dingila	2000	24.1%	92.3%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dingila	2017	22.2%	90.2%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djolu	2000	47.6%	60.2%	35.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djolu	2017	44.5%	57.1%	33.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djugu	2000	41.7%	53.8%	30.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djugu	2017	40.7%	52.2%	30.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu	2000	40.5%	49.9%	31.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu	2017	38.1%	46.8%	29.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu (ville)	2000	35.8%	91.4%	1.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu (ville)	2017	37.8%	89.7%	3.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Faradje	2000	36.6%	47.7%	25.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Faradje	2017	34.4%	46.1%	24.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Feshi	2000	38.9%	48.5%	29.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Feshi	2017	35.3%	44.9%	25.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Fizi	2000	43.9%	53.5%	35.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Fizi	2017	39.7%	48.8%	31.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gbadolite	2000	21.6%	31.8%	12.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gbadolite	2017	19.7%	29.8%	11.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena	2000	39.4%	49.7%	28.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena	2017	36.6%	45.5%	26.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena (ville)	2000	40.4%	66.2%	18.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena (ville)	2017	35.9%	61.3%	16.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Goma	2000	53.5%	57.6%	48.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Goma	2017	37.4%	42.3%	32.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu	2000	41.0%	51.0%	32.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu	2017	37.6%	46.8%	29.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu (ville)	2000	40.7%	96.5%	1.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu (ville)	2017	37.8%	95.3%	1.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa	2000	42.9%	50.8%	33.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa	2017	40.0%	48.1%	30.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa (ville)	2000	45.5%	71.4%	22.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa (ville)	2017	41.2%	66.6%	18.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idjwi	2000	31.0%	64.5%	10.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idjwi	2017	27.4%	56.3%	9.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ikela	2000	28.7%	43.2%	17.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ikela	2017	26.0%	39.9%	15.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo	2000	30.9%	38.1%	22.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo	2017	29.1%	36.9%	20.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo (ville)	2000	41.0%	62.9%	19.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo (ville)	2017	36.6%	59.6%	15.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingbokolo	2000	38.8%	98.3%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingbokolo	2017	37.9%	98.1%	1.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingende	2000	39.6%	51.8%	28.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingende	2017	35.8%	48.1%	24.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inkisi	2000	20.4%	71.0%	1.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inkisi	2017	20.2%	65.8%	2.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo	2000	34.6%	47.4%	26.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo	2017	31.8%	43.5%	23.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo (ville)	2000	46.9%	69.6%	27.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo (ville)	2017	41.3%	63.6%	24.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Irumu	2000	42.1%	51.7%	33.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Irumu	2017	39.9%	49.3%	30.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi	2000	31.1%	44.5%	20.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi	2017	29.3%	41.2%	19.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi (ville)	2000	22.0%	89.2%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi (ville)	2017	26.2%	87.5%	1.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isiro	2000	54.9%	77.4%	33.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isiro	2017	50.4%	72.9%	29.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabalo	2000	55.1%	65.4%	44.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabalo	2017	52.6%	63.3%	42.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabambare	2000	41.5%	51.6%	32.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabambare	2017	38.5%	48.8%	29.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabare	2000	25.3%	35.4%	16.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabare	2017	23.1%	33.1%	16.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabeya-Kamwanga	2000	34.9%	55.4%	18.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabeya-Kamwanga	2017	31.4%	50.4%	16.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda	2000	49.0%	60.3%	37.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda	2017	46.5%	57.6%	36.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda (ville)	2000	27.4%	35.4%	19.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda (ville)	2017	28.9%	37.3%	21.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabongo	2000	48.7%	60.7%	37.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabongo	2017	46.4%	56.6%	36.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba	2000	38.8%	47.8%	29.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba	2017	36.5%	45.8%	27.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba (ville)	2000	13.7%	51.5%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba (ville)	2017	12.6%	49.0%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kailo	2000	38.2%	47.2%	30.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kailo	2017	35.1%	43.7%	27.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalehe	2000	35.0%	49.8%	21.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalehe	2017	32.3%	47.9%	18.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie	2000	45.8%	58.5%	33.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie	2017	43.1%	55.5%	32.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie (ville)	2000	32.6%	57.7%	12.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie (ville)	2017	31.2%	54.3%	12.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalima	2000	12.0%	35.5%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalima	2017	11.4%	35.1%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kambove	2000	44.3%	59.1%	30.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kambove	2017	42.7%	55.2%	30.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamiji	2000	37.8%	67.4%	13.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamiji	2017	35.4%	63.8%	12.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina	2000	42.1%	49.9%	34.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina	2017	41.1%	48.2%	33.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina (ville)	2000	58.4%	71.3%	44.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina (ville)	2017	60.9%	71.9%	48.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamituga	2000	52.2%	77.1%	24.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamituga	2017	35.7%	61.9%	14.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamonia	2000	30.2%	39.0%	23.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamonia	2017	28.2%	36.6%	22.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kananga	2000	23.7%	36.7%	15.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kananga	2017	20.7%	34.9%	12.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaniama	2000	38.2%	52.2%	26.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaniama	2017	36.6%	49.2%	24.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaoze	2000	38.4%	97.9%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaoze	2017	40.3%	97.6%	2.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kapanga	2000	36.9%	47.9%	26.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kapanga	2017	34.1%	44.1%	24.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasaji	2000	32.6%	91.9%	0.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasaji	2017	36.3%	91.4%	2.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu	2000	39.5%	58.3%	23.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu	2017	40.5%	59.4%	25.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu (ville)	2000	39.8%	94.8%	2.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu (ville)	2017	53.4%	96.8%	9.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasenga	2000	45.5%	56.7%	34.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasenga	2017	42.4%	51.5%	33.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo	2000	40.7%	50.4%	30.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo	2017	38.4%	46.8%	29.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo (ville)	2000	46.9%	58.8%	35.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo (ville)	2017	42.2%	53.1%	31.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda	2000	42.2%	50.6%	34.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda	2017	39.2%	47.9%	31.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda (ville)	2000	1.3%	6.5%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda (ville)	2017	1.9%	9.4%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katako-Kombe	2000	29.5%	37.6%	22.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katako-Kombe	2017	26.8%	34.3%	20.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katanda	2000	35.1%	46.6%	24.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katanda	2017	32.2%	44.0%	22.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kazumba	2000	42.4%	51.6%	33.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kazumba	2017	37.9%	47.5%	29.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge	2000	41.1%	51.0%	32.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge	2017	39.3%	49.0%	30.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge (ville)	2000	62.0%	75.0%	42.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge (ville)	2017	45.1%	60.0%	26.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kibombo	2000	33.0%	41.8%	25.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kibombo	2017	30.5%	38.8%	22.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kikwit	2000	56.8%	64.8%	50.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kikwit	2017	54.0%	61.5%	47.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kimvula	2000	35.2%	52.0%	20.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kimvula	2017	32.2%	47.2%	20.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kindu	2000	51.9%	58.2%	44.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kindu	2017	41.6%	47.8%	35.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kinshasa	2000	41.8%	43.8%	40.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kinshasa	2017	58.9%	60.7%	57.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi	2000	37.9%	51.1%	26.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi	2017	43.3%	57.3%	31.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi (ville)	2000	44.4%	53.9%	37.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi (ville)	2017	43.8%	52.8%	35.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kiri	2000	45.4%	60.5%	31.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kiri	2017	43.1%	57.5%	28.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kisangani	2000	52.1%	65.3%	40.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kisangani	2017	50.3%	61.9%	40.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kole	2000	28.7%	36.7%	21.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kole	2017	26.2%	33.8%	19.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kolwezi	2000	51.3%	58.3%	43.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kolwezi	2017	40.9%	49.5%	33.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo	2000	47.7%	58.5%	34.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo	2017	46.2%	56.5%	34.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo (ville)	2000	39.5%	61.7%	19.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo (ville)	2017	40.3%	63.3%	16.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kungu	2000	40.8%	53.1%	28.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kungu	2017	37.9%	49.3%	26.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kutu	2000	39.3%	47.1%	30.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kutu	2017	36.4%	44.0%	27.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kwamouth	2000	45.3%	53.7%	36.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kwamouth	2017	42.9%	53.9%	33.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Libenge	2000	39.8%	51.6%	30.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Libenge	2017	36.6%	47.7%	27.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Likasi	2000	65.2%	74.7%	51.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Likasi	2017	61.2%	70.3%	49.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala	2000	38.1%	47.5%	29.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala	2017	34.8%	43.9%	26.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala (ville)	2000	32.2%	38.0%	26.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala (ville)	2017	27.1%	32.5%	22.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja	2000	27.6%	39.3%	17.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja	2017	24.5%	35.9%	15.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja (ville)	2000	34.4%	42.9%	27.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja (ville)	2017	28.9%	37.0%	22.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lomela	2000	26.4%	32.3%	20.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lomela	2017	23.9%	29.4%	18.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao	2000	39.7%	48.5%	29.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao	2017	37.1%	45.0%	28.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao (ville)	2000	22.7%	77.5%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao (ville)	2017	23.4%	72.6%	1.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubefu	2000	35.1%	47.2%	25.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubefu	2017	32.0%	43.3%	22.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubero	2000	53.4%	61.9%	45.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubero	2017	48.5%	58.0%	40.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubudi	2000	45.5%	60.0%	34.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubudi	2017	43.6%	56.1%	32.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubumbashi	2000	47.5%	55.3%	39.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubumbashi	2017	56.0%	62.2%	50.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu	2000	34.3%	43.5%	27.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu	2017	30.2%	38.8%	23.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu (ville)	2000	94.7%	97.8%	87.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu (ville)	2017	92.8%	97.0%	83.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo	2000	35.4%	48.0%	22.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo	2017	32.5%	45.6%	21.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo (ville)	2000	38.3%	61.0%	20.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo (ville)	2017	33.1%	56.4%	17.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luilu	2000	38.2%	47.5%	30.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luilu	2017	35.8%	44.4%	28.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luiza	2000	33.6%	43.1%	25.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luiza	2017	31.5%	40.2%	23.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukalaba	2000	31.2%	48.1%	19.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukalaba	2017	24.1%	38.4%	13.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukolela	2000	39.6%	57.9%	23.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukolela	2017	35.9%	52.3%	22.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula	2000	39.3%	57.2%	23.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula	2017	36.7%	52.7%	23.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula (ville)	2000	16.3%	37.2%	4.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula (ville)	2017	17.2%	37.6%	6.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luozi	2000	47.3%	63.4%	31.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luozi	2017	44.9%	60.9%	29.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lupatapata	2000	52.5%	58.8%	46.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lupatapata	2017	48.6%	54.9%	42.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo	2000	40.7%	54.6%	28.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo	2017	36.6%	51.1%	24.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo (ville)	2000	44.8%	72.3%	18.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo (ville)	2017	41.8%	69.4%	17.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Madimba	2000	36.7%	52.2%	24.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Madimba	2017	33.1%	47.4%	21.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi	2000	48.8%	61.3%	36.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi	2017	45.7%	58.3%	33.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi (ville)	2000	46.5%	85.1%	12.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi (ville)	2017	44.4%	83.4%	13.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Makanza	2000	34.1%	48.3%	23.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Makanza	2017	30.8%	45.6%	19.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Malemba-Nkulu	2000	47.7%	59.6%	36.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Malemba-Nkulu	2017	46.0%	57.6%	34.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mambasa	2000	25.2%	34.1%	17.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mambasa	2017	23.1%	31.7%	15.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mangai	2000	75.5%	87.0%	62.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mangai	2017	70.4%	83.1%	56.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono	2000	46.6%	55.9%	37.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono	2017	45.2%	54.4%	37.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono (ville)	2000	29.0%	49.6%	13.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono (ville)	2017	28.7%	47.8%	13.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba	2000	40.3%	49.8%	31.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba	2017	37.8%	47.3%	28.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba (ville)	2000	54.3%	68.6%	41.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba (ville)	2017	47.7%	62.5%	35.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masisi	2000	33.1%	53.8%	15.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masisi	2017	29.7%	50.0%	13.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Matadi	2000	49.6%	63.1%	37.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Matadi	2017	52.7%	63.6%	42.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbandaka	2000	44.0%	64.5%	25.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbandaka	2017	38.5%	59.0%	21.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu	2000	42.5%	54.3%	31.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu	2017	41.0%	51.9%	30.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu (ville)	2000	37.5%	48.2%	28.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu (ville)	2017	50.7%	61.7%	41.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbuji-Mayi	2000	55.6%	57.5%	53.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbuji-Mayi	2017	53.0%	55.0%	50.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi	2000	45.4%	66.9%	26.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi	2017	40.6%	62.3%	24.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi (ville)	2000	78.7%	86.7%	67.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi (ville)	2017	74.5%	83.4%	63.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mitwaba	2000	47.5%	58.7%	37.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mitwaba	2017	44.2%	54.1%	34.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda	2000	49.9%	64.0%	35.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda	2017	47.9%	61.4%	33.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda (ville)	2000	54.2%	97.1%	6.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda (ville)	2017	54.0%	96.4%	10.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moba	2000	49.4%	62.4%	36.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moba	2017	46.9%	59.4%	34.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo	2000	46.3%	62.1%	30.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo	2017	43.4%	58.9%	28.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo (ville)	2000	50.1%	97.2%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo (ville)	2017	47.5%	96.6%	1.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mongwalu	2000	31.9%	73.8%	5.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mongwalu	2017	28.0%	68.6%	5.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Monkoto	2000	45.7%	57.1%	34.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Monkoto	2017	42.8%	53.9%	32.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mushie	2000	42.0%	54.9%	30.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mushie	2017	38.7%	51.7%	27.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mutshatsha	2000	50.7%	62.6%	39.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mutshatsha	2017	47.3%	58.2%	37.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mweka	2000	38.5%	46.1%	30.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mweka	2017	34.4%	42.8%	26.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwene-Ditu	2000	21.3%	32.9%	13.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwene-Ditu	2017	17.8%	28.4%	11.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwenga	2000	32.2%	45.1%	22.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwenga	2017	29.1%	40.5%	20.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Namoya	2000	38.5%	94.5%	1.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Namoya	2017	34.3%	93.1%	1.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika	2000	35.8%	49.5%	23.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika	2017	32.4%	44.3%	21.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika (ville)	2000	25.2%	36.5%	16.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika (ville)	2017	22.4%	32.1%	15.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Niangara	2000	37.9%	50.6%	25.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Niangara	2017	35.4%	48.2%	23.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nioki	2000	46.3%	81.2%	16.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nioki	2017	42.8%	79.5%	13.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyiragongo	2000	46.3%	68.3%	22.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyiragongo	2017	39.1%	60.2%	18.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyunzu	2000	47.8%	59.9%	35.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyunzu	2017	45.5%	58.2%	34.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oicha	2000	37.6%	49.6%	29.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oicha	2017	37.3%	47.7%	29.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oïcha (ville)	2000	89.1%	94.8%	80.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oïcha (ville)	2017	86.7%	93.6%	77.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Opala	2000	28.6%	38.8%	19.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Opala	2017	26.7%	37.0%	18.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oshwe	2000	36.6%	45.1%	28.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oshwe	2017	34.0%	43.2%	25.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pangi	2000	30.0%	40.7%	21.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pangi	2017	27.3%	37.4%	19.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Poko	2000	31.2%	46.7%	21.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Poko	2017	28.6%	43.1%	19.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Popokabaka	2000	41.8%	54.7%	29.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Popokabaka	2017	36.9%	50.0%	24.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia	2000	35.9%	47.4%	26.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia	2017	33.6%	45.6%	23.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia (ville)	2000	49.6%	69.0%	29.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia (ville)	2017	43.4%	63.2%	25.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pweto	2000	45.0%	56.1%	34.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pweto	2017	43.1%	53.6%	33.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rungu	2000	41.0%	53.8%	29.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rungu	2017	37.1%	48.6%	26.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru	2000	34.5%	45.4%	25.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru	2017	31.0%	42.6%	21.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru (ville)	2000	18.5%	30.8%	11.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru (ville)	2017	16.6%	27.2%	10.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sakania	2000	40.8%	58.2%	26.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sakania	2017	38.5%	54.3%	27.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sandoa	2000	45.4%	55.9%	34.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sandoa	2017	42.8%	52.6%	31.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Seke-Banza	2000	41.8%	57.5%	27.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Seke-Banza	2017	40.0%	56.1%	25.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda	2000	34.7%	44.7%	26.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda	2017	32.2%	41.8%	24.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda (ville)	2000	59.0%	86.4%	25.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda (ville)	2017	53.6%	83.9%	20.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Songololo	2000	50.6%	63.2%	38.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Songololo	2017	48.4%	61.5%	36.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela	2000	42.1%	54.9%	27.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela	2017	42.2%	54.9%	29.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela (ville)	2000	36.7%	49.6%	21.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela (ville)	2017	46.7%	55.6%	36.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshikapa	2000	19.0%	27.1%	11.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshikapa	2017	16.8%	25.7%	10.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge	2000	33.4%	53.5%	17.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge	2017	31.0%	51.9%	15.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge (ville)	2000	40.0%	96.5%	1.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge (ville)	2017	38.4%	95.7%	2.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshimbulu	2000	34.3%	40.4%	29.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshimbulu	2017	30.4%	35.4%	25.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ubundu	2000	26.3%	35.3%	18.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ubundu	2017	24.0%	33.0%	16.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira	2000	37.0%	47.1%	29.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira	2017	35.4%	45.1%	26.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira (ville)	2000	47.6%	52.1%	43.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira (ville)	2017	45.2%	49.4%	40.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walikale	2000	29.2%	38.4%	21.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walikale	2017	25.3%	35.2%	17.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walungu	2000	30.6%	47.0%	16.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walungu	2017	27.6%	43.6%	15.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba	2000	36.3%	52.9%	23.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba	2017	33.4%	48.8%	21.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba (ville)	2000	37.4%	75.8%	9.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba (ville)	2017	34.6%	73.1%	9.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa	2000	40.4%	49.0%	31.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa	2017	39.2%	46.5%	31.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa (ville)	2000	32.9%	38.2%	26.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa (ville)	2017	30.0%	35.7%	23.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yahuma	2000	37.4%	48.5%	28.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yahuma	2017	34.5%	45.0%	26.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yakoma	2000	35.5%	48.9%	24.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yakoma	2017	32.2%	44.0%	22.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yangambi	2000	32.7%	93.0%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yangambi	2017	31.2%	90.8%	0.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yumbi	2000	34.5%	62.0%	12.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yumbi	2017	31.1%	59.5%	9.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Zongo	2000	28.7%	66.4%	9.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Zongo	2017	26.3%	61.2%	8.7%
Eritrea	Adi Keyih	2000	3.4%	4.3%	2.6%
Eritrea	Adi Keyih	2017	3.4%	4.3%	2.6%
Eritrea	Adi Kwala	2000	2.5%	3.5%	1.8%
Eritrea	Adi Kwala	2017	2.5%	3.4%	1.8%
Eritrea	Adi Teklezan	2000	7.1%	8.6%	5.5%
Eritrea	Adi Teklezan	2017	7.1%	8.6%	5.6%
Eritrea	Afabet	2000	9.0%	10.7%	7.6%
Eritrea	Afabet	2017	9.2%	10.9%	7.8%
Eritrea	Akordat	2000	6.0%	7.8%	4.5%
Eritrea	Akordat	2017	6.0%	7.7%	4.5%
Eritrea	Areta'	2000	13.5%	15.7%	11.4%
Eritrea	Areta'	2017	13.6%	15.9%	11.5%
Eritrea	Areza	2000	3.2%	4.0%	2.5%
Eritrea	Areza	2017	3.2%	4.0%	2.5%
Eritrea	Asmara City	2000	8.2%	11.1%	6.6%
Eritrea	Asmara City	2017	8.2%	11.2%	6.6%
Eritrea	Asmat	2000	5.8%	7.0%	4.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Eritrea	Asmat	2017	5.8%	6.9%	4.9%
Eritrea	Barentu	2000	5.7%	7.3%	4.0%
Eritrea	Barentu	2017	5.7%	7.3%	4.1%
Eritrea	Berikh	2000	8.1%	13.7%	6.1%
Eritrea	Berikh	2017	8.0%	13.0%	6.2%
Eritrea	Central So. Red-Sea	2000	13.4%	16.0%	11.3%
Eritrea	Central So. Red-Sea	2017	13.4%	16.0%	11.2%
Eritrea	Dahlak	2000	11.6%	17.0%	7.0%
Eritrea	Dahlak	2017	11.6%	16.6%	7.0%
Eritrea	Dekemehare	2000	3.5%	4.4%	2.8%
Eritrea	Dekemehare	2017	3.6%	4.4%	2.8%
Eritrea	Dghe	2000	6.1%	7.8%	4.7%
Eritrea	Dghe	2017	6.0%	7.9%	4.7%
Eritrea	Dibarwa	2000	3.8%	4.8%	3.1%
Eritrea	Dibarwa	2017	3.9%	4.9%	3.1%
Eritrea	Elabered	2000	6.5%	8.0%	5.5%
Eritrea	Elabered	2017	6.5%	7.9%	5.5%
Eritrea	Foro	2000	8.5%	10.1%	7.1%
Eritrea	Foro	2017	8.8%	10.4%	7.3%
Eritrea	Forto	2000	6.2%	8.4%	4.4%
Eritrea	Forto	2017	6.2%	8.4%	4.4%
Eritrea	Ghala Nefhi	2000	6.1%	7.5%	5.0%
Eritrea	Ghala Nefhi	2017	6.1%	7.2%	5.0%
Eritrea	Ghelaelo'	2000	9.1%	11.5%	7.3%
Eritrea	Ghelaelo'	2017	9.0%	11.5%	7.3%
Eritrea	Gheleb	2000	6.7%	8.1%	5.4%
Eritrea	Gheleb	2017	6.7%	8.2%	5.4%
Eritrea	Ghida'e	2000	9.2%	10.7%	7.7%
Eritrea	Ghida'e	2017	9.4%	10.9%	7.8%
Eritrea	Gogne	2000	6.0%	7.4%	4.7%
Eritrea	Gogne	2017	6.0%	7.3%	4.7%
Eritrea	Habero	2000	6.9%	8.5%	5.8%
Eritrea	Habero	2017	6.9%	8.6%	5.8%
Eritrea	Hagaz	2000	6.0%	7.4%	4.9%
Eritrea	Hagaz	2017	5.9%	7.2%	4.8%
Eritrea	Halhal	2000	6.1%	7.4%	5.1%
Eritrea	Halhal	2017	6.0%	7.3%	5.0%
Eritrea	Haykota	2000	5.9%	7.7%	4.3%
Eritrea	Haykota	2017	5.9%	7.8%	4.3%
Eritrea	Karora	2000	8.8%	10.4%	7.2%
Eritrea	Karora	2017	8.8%	10.4%	7.2%
Eritrea	Keren	2000	6.6%	8.6%	5.3%
Eritrea	Keren	2017	6.6%	8.8%	5.3%
Eritrea	Kerke Bet	2000	5.9%	7.4%	4.8%
Eritrea	Kerke Bet	2017	5.9%	7.4%	4.8%
Eritrea	Kudo Bu'er	2000	2.5%	3.3%	2.0%
Eritrea	Kudo Bu'er	2017	2.5%	3.3%	1.9%
Eritrea	La'Elay Gash	2000	5.9%	7.6%	4.4%
Eritrea	La'Elay Gash	2017	5.9%	7.5%	4.5%
Eritrea	Logo Anseba	2000	5.9%	6.8%	5.1%
Eritrea	Logo Anseba	2017	5.9%	6.7%	5.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Eritrea	Mansura	2000	6.2%	7.5%	5.1%
Eritrea	Mansura	2017	6.2%	7.5%	5.1%
Eritrea	May Mine	2000	3.0%	4.0%	2.3%
Eritrea	May Mine	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.3%
Eritrea	Mendefera	2000	2.7%	3.5%	2.1%
Eritrea	Mendefera	2017	2.7%	3.6%	2.1%
Eritrea	Mitswa'e City	2000	11.9%	15.4%	8.9%
Eritrea	Mitswa'e City	2017	11.9%	15.4%	8.9%
Eritrea	Mogolo	2000	5.9%	7.6%	4.5%
Eritrea	Mogolo	2017	5.9%	7.6%	4.6%
Eritrea	Nakfa	2000	7.4%	9.3%	5.9%
Eritrea	Nakfa	2017	7.4%	9.3%	5.9%
Eritrea	Omhajer	2000	6.0%	7.8%	4.6%
Eritrea	Omhajer	2017	6.0%	7.8%	4.6%
Eritrea	Segeneyiti	2000	3.3%	4.1%	2.5%
Eritrea	Segeneyiti	2017	3.3%	4.1%	2.6%
Eritrea	Sel'a	2000	6.5%	7.9%	5.4%
Eritrea	Sel'a	2017	6.5%	7.9%	5.3%
Eritrea	Senafe	2000	3.1%	4.1%	2.3%
Eritrea	Senafe	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.2%
Eritrea	Serejeka	2000	8.3%	9.9%	6.9%
Eritrea	Serejeka	2017	8.3%	9.8%	6.8%
Eritrea	Sheib	2000	10.0%	12.1%	8.4%
Eritrea	Sheib	2017	10.1%	12.3%	8.5%
Eritrea	Shemboko	2000	5.5%	6.5%	4.6%
Eritrea	Shemboko	2017	5.5%	6.5%	4.6%
Eritrea	So. Southern Red-Sea	2000	13.3%	16.0%	11.7%
Eritrea	So. Southern Red-Sea	2017	13.3%	15.9%	11.6%
Eritrea	Teseneye	2000	6.8%	15.4%	4.0%
Eritrea	Teseneye	2017	6.8%	15.3%	4.0%
Eritrea	Tsorena	2000	2.7%	3.7%	1.9%
Eritrea	Tsorena	2017	2.7%	3.7%	1.9%
Ethiopia	Addis Abeba	2000	25.1%	25.6%	24.5%
Ethiopia	Addis Abeba	2017	59.1%	59.8%	58.5%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 1	2000	10.4%	12.3%	8.5%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 1	2017	19.9%	22.5%	17.3%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 2	2000	10.5%	13.9%	7.6%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 2	2017	19.0%	23.3%	14.1%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 3	2000	5.3%	6.9%	4.0%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 3	2017	10.8%	13.3%	8.6%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 4	2000	7.2%	10.2%	4.9%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 4	2017	14.3%	18.7%	10.3%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 5	2000	3.9%	5.4%	2.7%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 5	2017	9.0%	11.7%	6.8%
Ethiopia	Afder	2000	14.6%	16.8%	12.4%
Ethiopia	Afder	2017	26.0%	29.0%	22.8%
Ethiopia	Agew Awi	2000	4.6%	7.1%	3.0%
Ethiopia	Agew Awi	2017	10.4%	14.4%	7.4%
Ethiopia	Agnuak	2000	5.6%	6.5%	4.8%
Ethiopia	Agnuak	2017	14.4%	16.1%	12.8%
Ethiopia	Alaba	2000	3.6%	5.2%	2.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Alaba	2017	8.8%	12.4%	6.3%
Ethiopia	Alle	2000	5.5%	14.0%	1.7%
Ethiopia	Alle	2017	13.0%	27.4%	4.8%
Ethiopia	Amaro	2000	5.3%	13.1%	1.5%
Ethiopia	Amaro	2017	12.2%	25.8%	4.5%
Ethiopia	Argoba	2000	1.9%	4.1%	0.8%
Ethiopia	Argoba	2017	4.9%	9.0%	2.3%
Ethiopia	Arsi	2000	4.9%	6.5%	3.7%
Ethiopia	Arsi	2017	11.0%	13.3%	9.1%
Ethiopia	Asosa	2000	3.0%	3.7%	2.6%
Ethiopia	Asosa	2017	7.6%	8.7%	6.8%
Ethiopia	Bahir Dar Special Zone	2000	8.6%	11.5%	6.6%
Ethiopia	Bahir Dar Special Zone	2017	23.7%	30.0%	18.7%
Ethiopia	Bale	2000	5.0%	6.4%	3.8%
Ethiopia	Bale	2017	10.8%	13.2%	8.8%
Ethiopia	Basketo	2000	1.1%	3.0%	0.4%
Ethiopia	Basketo	2017	3.1%	7.1%	1.3%
Ethiopia	Bench Maji	2000	4.8%	6.7%	3.4%
Ethiopia	Bench Maji	2017	10.2%	12.4%	8.1%
Ethiopia	Borena	2000	4.6%	6.8%	3.2%
Ethiopia	Borena	2017	10.3%	13.7%	7.8%
Ethiopia	Burji	2000	6.2%	16.1%	1.9%
Ethiopia	Burji	2017	14.3%	28.5%	5.7%
Ethiopia	Dawro	2000	6.5%	10.1%	4.1%
Ethiopia	Dawro	2017	14.4%	19.5%	10.5%
Ethiopia	Debub Gondar	2000	4.7%	6.2%	3.7%
Ethiopia	Debub Gondar	2017	10.3%	12.4%	8.6%
Ethiopia	Debub Mirab Shewa	2000	7.1%	9.0%	5.7%
Ethiopia	Debub Mirab Shewa	2017	15.8%	18.6%	13.4%
Ethiopia	Debub Omo	2000	3.0%	4.4%	2.1%
Ethiopia	Debub Omo	2017	7.4%	9.6%	5.6%
Ethiopia	Debub Wollo	2000	4.9%	6.2%	3.9%
Ethiopia	Debub Wollo	2017	11.7%	13.7%	10.0%
Ethiopia	Debubawi	2000	7.4%	8.5%	6.3%
Ethiopia	Debubawi	2017	21.9%	23.6%	20.3%
Ethiopia	Derashe	2000	1.0%	2.8%	0.3%
Ethiopia	Derashe	2017	3.2%	7.4%	1.3%
Ethiopia	Dire Dawa	2000	20.5%	21.5%	19.6%
Ethiopia	Dire Dawa	2017	43.5%	44.7%	42.3%
Ethiopia	Doolo	2000	22.0%	26.5%	17.9%
Ethiopia	Doolo	2017	37.0%	42.4%	32.0%
Ethiopia	Fafan	2000	9.6%	11.8%	7.8%
Ethiopia	Fafan	2017	23.5%	26.9%	20.5%
Ethiopia	Gamo Gofa	2000	5.6%	6.8%	4.5%
Ethiopia	Gamo Gofa	2017	12.3%	14.4%	10.6%
Ethiopia	Gedeo	2000	6.9%	11.1%	4.1%
Ethiopia	Gedeo	2017	15.2%	20.8%	10.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Guji	2000	4.6%	6.2%	3.2%
Ethiopia	Guji	2017	10.0%	12.6%	7.9%
Ethiopia	Gurage	2000	8.6%	10.2%	7.4%
Ethiopia	Gurage	2017	17.6%	20.0%	15.7%
Ethiopia	Hadiya	2000	7.1%	8.3%	6.0%
Ethiopia	Hadiya	2017	13.4%	15.0%	12.0%
Ethiopia	Hareri	2000	10.4%	10.9%	10.0%
Ethiopia	Hareri	2017	25.3%	26.0%	24.5%
Ethiopia	Horo Guduru	2000	3.3%	5.7%	2.0%
Ethiopia	Horo Guduru	2017	8.3%	12.1%	5.6%
Ethiopia	Ilubabor	2000	3.2%	4.8%	2.2%
Ethiopia	Ilubabor	2017	7.8%	9.9%	6.1%
Ethiopia	Jarar	2000	17.1%	20.6%	13.7%
Ethiopia	Jarar	2017	30.3%	34.8%	25.6%
Ethiopia	Jimma	2000	5.7%	7.3%	4.6%
Ethiopia	Jimma	2017	12.3%	14.6%	10.7%
Ethiopia	Keffa	2000	4.6%	6.6%	3.2%
Ethiopia	Keffa	2017	10.2%	13.3%	7.8%
Ethiopia	Kelem	2000	5.6%	9.1%	3.0%
Ethiopia	Wellega	2017	11.8%	16.4%	7.9%
Ethiopia	Kemashi	2000	3.2%	4.7%	2.1%
Ethiopia	Kemashi	2017	7.0%	9.5%	5.1%
Ethiopia	Kembata	2000	3.1%	3.7%	2.6%
Ethiopia	Tembaro	2017	8.7%	10.1%	7.4%
Ethiopia	Konso	2000	8.4%	14.1%	4.3%
Ethiopia	Konso	2017	19.0%	28.1%	12.0%
Ethiopia	Konta	2000	4.4%	9.6%	1.8%
Ethiopia	Konta	2017	9.6%	17.1%	4.6%
Ethiopia	Korahe	2000	16.6%	19.3%	14.0%
Ethiopia	Korahe	2017	30.3%	33.9%	26.7%
Ethiopia	Liben	2000	10.5%	12.5%	8.6%
Ethiopia	Liben	2017	20.4%	23.4%	17.6%
Ethiopia	Majang	2000	5.5%	6.6%	4.6%
Ethiopia	Majang	2017	12.8%	14.5%	11.3%
Ethiopia	Mehakelegnaw	2000	5.7%	7.1%	4.7%
Ethiopia	Mehakelegnaw	2017	18.5%	20.7%	16.3%
Ethiopia	Metekel	2000	4.4%	5.3%	3.8%
Ethiopia	Metekel	2017	9.4%	10.8%	8.4%
Ethiopia	Mi'irabawi	2000	5.8%	8.6%	3.8%
Ethiopia	Mi'irabawi	2017	14.4%	18.6%	10.3%
Ethiopia	Mirab Arsi	2000	4.9%	6.2%	3.9%
Ethiopia	Mirab Arsi	2017	11.3%	13.5%	9.6%
Ethiopia	Mirab Gojjam	2000	6.4%	8.0%	5.1%
Ethiopia	Mirab Gojjam	2017	14.1%	16.5%	11.9%
Ethiopia	Mirab	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.4%
Ethiopia	Hararghe	2017	10.5%	12.7%	8.6%
Ethiopia	Mirab Shewa	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Mirab Shewa	2017	11.7%	13.8%	9.7%
Ethiopia	Mirab Welega	2000	5.4%	7.6%	3.6%
Ethiopia	Mirab Welega	2017	12.1%	15.3%	9.4%
Ethiopia	Misraq Goj-jam	2000	5.9%	8.3%	4.1%
Ethiopia	Misraq Goj-jam	2017	12.8%	16.5%	10.0%
Ethiopia	Misraq Harerge	2000	6.2%	7.3%	5.3%
Ethiopia	Misraq Harerge	2017	11.9%	13.5%	10.6%
Ethiopia	Misraq Shewa	2000	10.9%	12.6%	9.5%
Ethiopia	Misraq Shewa	2017	25.6%	27.9%	23.3%
Ethiopia	Misraq Wellega	2000	5.5%	8.1%	3.7%
Ethiopia	Misraq Wellega	2017	12.2%	15.9%	9.2%
Ethiopia	Misraqawi	2000	9.6%	11.6%	8.0%
Ethiopia	Misraqawi	2017	23.4%	26.0%	20.9%
Ethiopia	Nogob	2000	15.1%	18.7%	11.6%
Ethiopia	Nogob	2017	27.1%	31.8%	22.2%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2000	8.1%	10.7%	5.9%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2000	4.9%	6.2%	3.8%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2017	11.4%	13.3%	9.7%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2017	16.8%	20.1%	13.6%
Ethiopia	Nuer	2000	2.1%	3.2%	1.4%
Ethiopia	Nuer	2017	5.1%	7.1%	3.7%
Ethiopia	Oromia	2000	3.4%	6.6%	2.0%
Ethiopia	Oromia	2017	8.1%	12.8%	5.7%
Ethiopia	Semen Gondar	2000	5.2%	6.7%	4.1%
Ethiopia	Semen Gondar	2017	11.7%	14.0%	9.8%
Ethiopia	Semen Wello	2000	4.2%	5.9%	2.9%
Ethiopia	Semen Wello	2017	9.6%	12.3%	7.2%
Ethiopia	Semien Mi'irabaw	2000	7.2%	9.1%	5.8%
Ethiopia	Semien Mi'irabaw	2017	17.5%	20.2%	15.2%
Ethiopia	Shabelle	2000	17.4%	20.4%	14.6%
Ethiopia	Shabelle	2017	30.6%	34.5%	26.8%
Ethiopia	Sheka	2000	7.3%	12.4%	3.5%
Ethiopia	Sheka	2017	15.3%	22.6%	8.9%
Ethiopia	Sidama	2000	6.9%	8.2%	5.9%
Ethiopia	Sidama	2017	14.8%	16.8%	13.2%
Ethiopia	Silti	2000	4.7%	6.7%	3.4%
Ethiopia	Silti	2017	10.5%	12.8%	8.6%
Ethiopia	Siti	2000	10.9%	13.1%	9.1%
Ethiopia	Siti	2017	21.3%	24.4%	18.4%
Ethiopia	Wag Himra	2000	3.2%	4.9%	2.1%
Ethiopia	Wag Himra	2017	7.6%	9.9%	5.4%
Ethiopia	Wolayita	2000	8.7%	11.0%	7.6%
Ethiopia	Wolayita	2017	17.8%	20.7%	15.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Yem	2000	3.2%	5.3%	2.1%
Ethiopia	Yem	2017	8.5%	12.8%	6.2%
Gabon	Abanga-Bigné	2000	32.3%	42.3%	23.9%
Gabon	Abanga-Bigné	2017	29.6%	40.0%	20.5%
Gabon	Basse Banio	2000	32.6%	43.6%	23.8%
Gabon	Basse Banio	2017	25.5%	35.9%	17.5%
Gabon	Bendjé	2000	34.1%	41.9%	26.2%
Gabon	Bendjé	2017	32.0%	41.2%	23.7%
Gabon	Boumi-lowetsi	2000	31.8%	43.6%	22.0%
Gabon	Boumi-lowetsi	2017	23.6%	33.7%	15.1%
Gabon	Dola	2000	28.9%	40.7%	18.5%
Gabon	Dola	2017	24.4%	33.7%	15.5%
Gabon	Douigny	2000	31.6%	48.5%	17.0%
Gabon	Douigny	2017	28.9%	45.9%	14.7%
Gabon	Douya Onoye	2000	35.3%	47.8%	23.2%
Gabon	Douya Onoye	2017	31.5%	42.8%	21.2%
Gabon	Étimboué	2000	29.1%	38.4%	21.9%
Gabon	Étimboué	2017	26.1%	34.8%	19.3%
Gabon	Haut-Como	2000	26.2%	45.8%	11.8%
Gabon	Haut-Como	2017	25.0%	42.1%	12.4%
Gabon	Haut-Ntem	2000	25.0%	32.1%	18.0%
Gabon	Haut-Ntem	2017	24.2%	31.5%	17.8%
Gabon	Haute-Banio	2000	31.5%	57.1%	13.9%
Gabon	Haute-Banio	2017	25.8%	46.1%	9.4%
Gabon	Ivindo	2000	28.3%	35.4%	22.1%
Gabon	Ivindo	2017	24.2%	30.5%	18.0%
Gabon	Komo	2000	29.8%	44.8%	18.9%
Gabon	Komo	2017	28.9%	41.8%	19.5%
Gabon	Komo-Mondah	2000	61.9%	67.4%	55.6%
Gabon	Komo-Mondah	2017	70.8%	74.9%	66.9%
Gabon	Léboumbi-Leyou	2000	27.8%	38.3%	16.7%
Gabon	Léboumbi-Leyou	2017	27.2%	38.8%	16.5%
Gabon	Léconi-Djoué	2000	34.2%	46.3%	22.2%
Gabon	Léconi-Djoué	2017	25.0%	36.2%	15.3%
Gabon	Lékoko	2000	34.1%	48.4%	21.1%
Gabon	Lékoko	2017	30.3%	43.1%	19.5%
Gabon	Lolo Bouenguidi	2000	30.3%	39.1%	21.4%
Gabon	Lolo Bouenguidi	2017	26.5%	33.8%	19.2%
Gabon	Lombo-Bouenguidi	2000	33.7%	44.3%	24.0%
Gabon	Lombo-Bouenguidi	2017	27.0%	38.8%	17.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Gabon	Lopé	2000	39.4%	50.6%	30.0%
Gabon	Lopé	2017	30.9%	40.6%	21.8%
Gabon	Louetsi-Wano	2000	23.3%	40.7%	9.3%
Gabon	Louetsi-Wano	2017	20.4%	39.1%	7.2%
Gabon	Mougoutsi	2000	29.7%	38.4%	22.2%
Gabon	Mougoutsi	2017	23.8%	31.9%	17.8%
Gabon	Mouloudnou	2000	36.6%	45.2%	29.0%
Gabon	Mouloudnou	2017	29.8%	37.2%	23.6%
Gabon	Mpassa	2000	30.6%	40.3%	21.2%
Gabon	Mpassa	2017	32.9%	42.9%	25.4%
Gabon	Mvoung	2000	37.1%	50.5%	25.3%
Gabon	Mvoung	2017	27.9%	39.2%	17.2%
Gabon	Ndolou	2000	31.8%	44.3%	19.9%
Gabon	Ndolou	2017	29.3%	42.3%	18.0%
Gabon	Ndougou	2000	28.4%	39.0%	19.5%
Gabon	Ndougou	2017	26.9%	36.2%	18.7%
Gabon	Noya	2000	30.5%	44.9%	18.6%
Gabon	Noya	2017	31.3%	44.8%	20.7%
Gabon	Ntem	2000	24.8%	38.0%	14.6%
Gabon	Ntem	2017	20.7%	33.3%	12.4%
Gabon	Ogooué et des Lacs	2000	31.3%	42.4%	22.8%
Gabon	Ogooué et des Lacs	2017	29.4%	38.0%	22.1%
Gabon	Ogoulou	2000	29.3%	40.3%	21.0%
Gabon	Ogoulou	2017	25.3%	33.8%	17.4%
Gabon	Okano	2000	33.6%	42.0%	23.7%
Gabon	Okano	2017	30.3%	38.8%	22.8%
Gabon	Plateaux	2000	30.7%	42.0%	19.6%
Gabon	Plateaux	2017	26.9%	39.5%	16.6%
Gabon	Sébé-Brikolo	2000	34.4%	45.5%	24.3%
Gabon	Sébé-Brikolo	2017	28.6%	38.1%	20.2%
Gabon	Tsamba Mangotsi	2000	33.4%	43.3%	25.7%
Gabon	Tsamba Mangotsi	2017	27.0%	36.1%	19.1%
Gabon	Woleu	2000	30.8%	40.2%	22.4%
Gabon	Woleu	2017	24.6%	32.3%	17.6%
Gabon	Zadié	2000	23.5%	31.3%	16.8%
Gabon	Zadié	2017	20.8%	28.3%	14.7%
Ghana	Abura-Asebu-Kwamankese	2000	52.3%	62.7%	40.6%
Ghana	Abura-Asebu-Kwamankese	2017	66.3%	74.8%	56.0%
Ghana	Accra	2000	43.1%	46.8%	40.3%
Ghana	Accra	2017	77.4%	78.8%	76.0%
Ghana	Adaklu	2000	38.8%	51.1%	26.4%
Ghana	Anyigbe				
Ghana	Adaklu	2017	47.6%	60.0%	35.1%
Ghana	Anyigbe				
Ghana	Adansi North	2000	71.9%	80.1%	62.9%
Ghana	Adansi North	2017	81.1%	87.1%	73.2%
Ghana	Adansi South	2000	47.3%	63.7%	31.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Adansi South	2017	58.1%	73.6%	43.5%
Ghana	Afigya Sekyere	2000	44.3%	56.1%	34.1%
Ghana	Afigya Sekyere	2017	53.7%	64.9%	43.6%
Ghana	Afram Plains	2000	29.7%	38.8%	21.0%
Ghana	Afram Plains	2017	38.2%	47.9%	28.3%
Ghana	Agona	2000	52.3%	60.9%	42.5%
Ghana	Agona	2017	63.8%	71.8%	54.4%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano North	2000	42.4%	60.7%	26.7%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano North	2017	53.3%	71.2%	36.6%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano South	2000	46.4%	63.7%	29.2%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano South	2017	55.0%	71.5%	37.4%
Ghana	Ahanta West	2000	47.0%	61.1%	32.3%
Ghana	Ahanta West	2017	73.7%	82.0%	65.4%
Ghana	Ajumako-Enyan-Esiam	2000	46.3%	57.9%	35.1%
Ghana	Ajumako-Enyan-Esiam	2017	60.8%	70.0%	50.9%
Ghana	Akatsi	2000	35.6%	48.0%	27.0%
Ghana	Akatsi	2017	46.2%	57.0%	35.9%
Ghana	Akwapim North	2000	41.7%	53.1%	31.1%
Ghana	Akwapim North	2017	58.0%	67.3%	48.3%
Ghana	Akwapim South	2000	49.4%	65.3%	34.2%
Ghana	Akwapim South	2017	63.3%	76.6%	48.8%
Ghana	Amansie Central	2000	53.4%	66.8%	41.4%
Ghana	Amansie Central	2017	64.5%	75.3%	53.6%
Ghana	Amansie East	2000	50.5%	69.5%	30.6%
Ghana	Amansie East	2017	62.0%	77.9%	45.5%
Ghana	Amansie West	2000	41.9%	57.8%	26.7%
Ghana	Amansie West	2017	51.6%	66.8%	36.1%
Ghana	Aowin-Suaman	2000	43.0%	52.6%	31.0%
Ghana	Aowin-Suaman	2017	54.5%	64.6%	43.7%
Ghana	Asante Akim North	2000	42.3%	53.9%	30.3%
Ghana	Asante Akim North	2017	50.8%	62.4%	37.9%
Ghana	Asante Akim South	2000	30.8%	47.8%	15.1%
Ghana	Asante Akim South	2017	40.8%	56.2%	24.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Asikuma Odoben Brakwa	2000	38.9%	55.5%	24.5%
Ghana	Asikuma Odoben Brakwa	2017	53.1%	67.1%	37.1%
Ghana	Assin North	2000	56.0%	68.7%	42.4%
Ghana	Assin North	2017	66.2%	77.9%	53.4%
Ghana	Assin South	2000	59.1%	71.7%	45.9%
Ghana	Assin South	2017	67.9%	78.3%	55.4%
Ghana	Asunafo North	2000	48.5%	63.2%	33.1%
Ghana	Asunafo North	2017	57.0%	71.3%	42.9%
Ghana	Asunafo South	2000	52.5%	68.3%	35.6%
Ghana	Asunafo South	2017	62.5%	77.5%	44.6%
Ghana	Asuogyaman	2000	44.4%	60.0%	30.0%
Ghana	Asuogyaman	2017	62.4%	77.2%	46.9%
Ghana	Asutifi	2000	38.8%	51.2%	28.0%
Ghana	Asutifi	2017	51.7%	63.3%	39.4%
Ghana	Atebubu-Amantin	2000	35.6%	48.0%	23.7%
Ghana	Atebubu-Amantin	2017	48.9%	60.6%	36.8%
Ghana	Atiwa	2000	50.0%	71.4%	33.5%
Ghana	Atiwa	2017	61.9%	81.3%	44.1%
Ghana	Atwima	2000	55.3%	63.9%	46.6%
Ghana	Atwima	2017	72.1%	76.7%	66.4%
Ghana	Atwima Mponua	2000	44.0%	56.6%	31.2%
Ghana	Atwima Mponua	2017	52.9%	66.7%	38.9%
Ghana	Awutu Efutu Senya	2000	47.0%	55.5%	40.0%
Ghana	Awutu Efutu Senya	2017	65.0%	71.4%	58.8%
Ghana	Bawku Municipal	2000	20.0%	26.5%	14.0%
Ghana	Bawku Municipal	2017	24.5%	31.0%	18.1%
Ghana	Bawku West	2000	9.8%	15.6%	5.5%
Ghana	Bawku West	2017	14.4%	21.0%	9.3%
Ghana	Berekum	2000	56.6%	69.4%	45.8%
Ghana	Berekum	2017	65.9%	77.9%	53.3%
Ghana	Bia	2000	35.8%	51.3%	23.1%
Ghana	Bia	2017	45.1%	60.2%	31.0%
Ghana	Bibiani Anhwiaso Bekwai	2000	51.9%	65.7%	40.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Bibiani	2017	63.0%	74.7%	51.6%
	Anhwiaso				
	Bekwai				
Ghana	Birim North	2000	38.5%	53.9%	26.1%
Ghana	Birim North	2017	48.1%	62.8%	33.6%
Ghana	Birim South	2000	38.9%	47.5%	30.6%
Ghana	Birim South	2017	50.4%	59.7%	41.7%
Ghana	Bole	2000	30.6%	40.6%	21.5%
Ghana	Bole	2017	39.0%	50.5%	28.7%
Ghana	Bolgatanga	2000	12.8%	15.8%	9.5%
Ghana	Bolgatanga	2017	20.7%	23.7%	16.9%
Ghana	Bongo	2000	2.3%	5.8%	1.2%
Ghana	Bongo	2017	6.3%	10.8%	3.8%
Ghana	Bosomtwe	2000	65.0%	73.4%	55.7%
	Kwanwoma				
Ghana	Bosomtwe	2017	78.7%	84.4%	71.9%
	Kwanwoma				
Ghana	Builsa	2000	11.8%	20.1%	5.9%
Ghana	Builsa	2017	17.5%	26.4%	10.0%
Ghana	Bunkpurugu	2000	12.0%	19.6%	5.7%
	Yunyoo				
Ghana	Bunkpurugu	2017	17.4%	27.0%	10.6%
	Yunyoo				
Ghana	Cape Coast	2000	32.3%	37.8%	27.8%
Ghana	Cape Coast	2017	64.2%	70.2%	58.0%
Ghana	Central Gonja	2000	22.8%	30.4%	15.5%
Ghana	Central Gonja	2017	30.1%	38.4%	22.1%
Ghana	Dangbe East	2000	47.1%	60.7%	32.3%
Ghana	Dangbe East	2017	58.3%	73.1%	46.6%
Ghana	Dangbe West	2000	20.1%	36.3%	8.3%
Ghana	Dangbe West	2017	31.0%	49.2%	17.6%
Ghana	Dormaa	2000	49.6%	59.7%	38.7%
Ghana	Dormaa	2017	61.3%	70.8%	50.7%
Ghana	East Akim	2000	48.2%	66.4%	28.6%
Ghana	East Akim	2017	62.2%	77.2%	45.0%
Ghana	East Gonja	2000	22.5%	29.3%	16.5%
Ghana	East Gonja	2017	30.0%	37.4%	23.7%
Ghana	East Mam-	2000	15.0%	30.8%	5.3%
	prusi				
Ghana	East Mam-	2017	21.0%	37.3%	10.5%
	prusi				
Ghana	Ejisu-	2000	50.7%	61.0%	38.1%
	Juabeng				
Ghana	Ejisu-	2017	66.9%	77.7%	52.6%
	Juabeng				
Ghana	Ejura Sekye-	2000	29.2%	47.5%	17.0%
	dumase				
Ghana	Ejura Sekye-	2017	38.0%	55.2%	23.2%
	dumase				
Ghana	Fanteakwa	2000	55.9%	68.6%	42.6%
Ghana	Fanteakwa	2017	67.3%	79.7%	54.4%
Ghana	Ga East	2000	57.8%	61.4%	54.3%
Ghana	Ga East	2017	72.8%	75.6%	70.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Ga West	2000	52.6%	60.7%	45.3%
Ghana	Ga West	2017	72.5%	79.0%	65.8%
Ghana	Garu Tempane	2000	18.6%	36.7%	8.5%
Ghana	Garu Tempane	2017	23.7%	43.0%	11.8%
Ghana	Gomoa	2000	41.9%	54.4%	29.8%
Ghana	Gomoa	2017	61.6%	71.6%	48.6%
Ghana	Gushiegu	2000	16.7%	25.0%	9.0%
Ghana	Gushiegu	2017	24.2%	35.1%	14.0%
Ghana	Ho	2000	37.0%	48.3%	27.1%
Ghana	Ho	2017	54.0%	64.9%	44.7%
Ghana	Hohoe	2000	40.5%	49.0%	32.7%
Ghana	Hohoe	2017	55.4%	63.1%	45.8%
Ghana	Jaman North	2000	43.1%	62.4%	24.5%
Ghana	Jaman North	2017	51.5%	69.7%	32.6%
Ghana	Jaman South	2000	49.3%	67.5%	33.5%
Ghana	Jaman South	2017	59.2%	75.0%	42.2%
Ghana	Jasikan	2000	32.5%	45.6%	20.0%
Ghana	Jasikan	2017	43.8%	56.3%	29.5%
Ghana	Jirapa Lam-bussie	2000	14.8%	20.8%	9.5%
Ghana	Jirapa Lam-bussie	2017	25.1%	31.6%	18.9%
Ghana	Jomoro	2000	46.6%	58.3%	35.3%
Ghana	Jomoro	2017	62.8%	73.6%	51.5%
Ghana	Juabeso	2000	36.6%	49.5%	23.5%
Ghana	Juabeso	2017	46.1%	58.8%	32.1%
Ghana	Kadjebi	2000	40.7%	55.9%	26.4%
Ghana	Kadjebi	2017	50.5%	65.4%	36.5%
Ghana	Karaga	2000	17.9%	27.5%	9.4%
Ghana	Karaga	2017	25.1%	36.2%	15.7%
Ghana	Kassena Nankana	2000	17.2%	23.1%	12.4%
Ghana	Kassena Nankana	2017	22.9%	29.7%	17.5%
Ghana	Keta	2000	26.2%	44.8%	14.0%
Ghana	Keta	2017	40.3%	60.9%	26.4%
Ghana	Ketu	2000	37.2%	48.7%	28.2%
Ghana	Ketu	2017	53.5%	62.8%	45.1%
Ghana	Kintampo North	2000	24.1%	33.9%	16.1%
Ghana	Kintampo North	2017	34.7%	45.3%	25.7%
Ghana	Kintampo South	2000	26.5%	36.5%	16.1%
Ghana	Kintampo South	2017	33.6%	44.2%	22.4%
Ghana	Komenda-Edina-Eguafo-Abirem	2000	33.8%	41.9%	26.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Komenda-Edina-Eguafo-Abirem	2017	60.0%	67.5%	51.8%
Ghana	Kpandu	2000	36.8%	61.2%	15.2%
Ghana	Kpandu	2017	45.8%	67.3%	23.1%
Ghana	Krachi	2000	27.7%	42.8%	15.0%
Ghana	Krachi	2017	36.3%	50.7%	22.1%
Ghana	Krachi East	2000	31.2%	47.8%	18.4%
Ghana	Krachi East	2017	41.0%	57.0%	27.6%
Ghana	Kumasi	2000	54.4%	56.9%	52.3%
Ghana	Kumasi	2017	78.8%	79.9%	77.8%
Ghana	Kwabibirem	2000	47.3%	61.9%	36.6%
Ghana	Kwabibirem	2017	59.2%	71.7%	49.0%
Ghana	Kwabre	2000	58.8%	62.8%	54.6%
Ghana	Kwabre	2017	72.3%	75.9%	69.0%
Ghana	Kwahu South	2000	35.3%	49.2%	22.3%
Ghana	Kwahu South	2017	44.7%	59.3%	30.0%
Ghana	Kwahu West	2000	44.2%	58.3%	32.0%
Ghana	Kwahu West	2017	54.8%	69.3%	40.4%
Ghana	Lawra	2000	22.6%	28.6%	16.2%
Ghana	Lawra	2017	33.0%	39.4%	26.8%
Ghana	Lower Denkyira	2000	49.5%	65.8%	34.5%
Ghana	Lower Denkyira	2017	59.3%	74.6%	45.3%
Ghana	Manya Krobo	2000	49.3%	65.7%	32.7%
Ghana	Manya Krobo	2017	57.6%	74.2%	39.0%
Ghana	Mfantsiman	2000	53.5%	61.2%	44.2%
Ghana	Mfantsiman	2017	67.3%	73.0%	59.6%
Ghana	Mpohor	2000	49.6%	61.0%	38.1%
Ghana	Wassa East	2017	61.8%	71.0%	52.3%
Ghana	Nadowli	2000	13.7%	21.6%	8.0%
Ghana	Nadowli	2017	19.3%	28.9%	12.5%
Ghana	Nanumba	2000	23.3%	35.0%	13.8%
Ghana	North Nanumba	2017	30.7%	42.9%	20.1%
Ghana	South Nanumba	2000	26.9%	37.0%	16.6%
Ghana	South Nanumba	2017	34.1%	44.4%	23.6%
Ghana	New Juaben	2000	34.5%	48.4%	23.0%
Ghana	New Juaben	2017	59.1%	70.4%	45.9%
Ghana	Nkoranza	2000	37.1%	49.8%	26.0%
Ghana	Nkoranza	2017	47.5%	59.4%	36.2%
Ghana	Nkwanta	2000	25.9%	34.6%	16.6%
Ghana	Nkwanta	2017	34.2%	43.5%	24.1%
Ghana	North Tongu	2000	33.2%	45.3%	19.9%
Ghana	North Tongu	2017	49.3%	61.8%	37.3%
Ghana	Nzema East	2000	45.6%	59.5%	31.3%
Ghana	Nzema East	2017	58.1%	71.3%	44.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Obuasi Municipal	2000	39.8%	69.0%	16.3%
Ghana	Obuasi Municipal	2017	55.7%	80.2%	31.1%
Ghana	Offinso	2000	45.3%	57.5%	34.1%
Ghana	Offinso	2017	53.7%	64.3%	42.4%
Ghana	Pru	2000	31.3%	44.0%	20.6%
Ghana	Pru	2017	41.0%	54.9%	28.8%
Ghana	Saboba Chereponi	2000	16.8%	26.4%	8.7%
Ghana	Saboba Chereponi	2017	23.7%	34.8%	14.6%
Ghana	Savelugu Nanton	2000	15.7%	27.5%	8.0%
Ghana	Savelugu Nanton	2017	22.3%	35.4%	13.3%
Ghana	Sawa-Tuna-Kalba	2000	21.7%	31.8%	14.2%
Ghana	Sawa-Tuna-Kalba	2017	28.8%	39.8%	20.1%
Ghana	Sefwi Wiawso	2000	43.3%	54.7%	32.5%
Ghana	Sefwi Wiawso	2017	53.4%	65.6%	42.7%
Ghana	Sekyere East	2000	37.4%	46.2%	28.5%
Ghana	Sekyere East	2017	47.4%	56.4%	37.3%
Ghana	Sekyere West	2000	39.9%	56.0%	25.3%
Ghana	Sekyere West	2017	52.5%	66.7%	38.7%
Ghana	Sene	2000	29.8%	38.4%	22.5%
Ghana	Sene	2017	38.2%	46.7%	30.0%
Ghana	Shama Ahanta East	2000	58.2%	65.5%	50.9%
Ghana	Shama Ahanta East	2017	81.2%	85.1%	77.4%
Ghana	Sissala East	2000	11.7%	19.6%	5.8%
Ghana	Sissala East	2017	17.5%	26.5%	9.8%
Ghana	Sissala West	2000	13.0%	20.8%	7.5%
Ghana	Sissala West	2017	18.6%	27.0%	11.7%
Ghana	South Dayi	2000	34.3%	65.1%	15.8%
Ghana	South Dayi	2017	42.8%	71.5%	21.1%
Ghana	South Tongu	2000	32.9%	52.2%	18.7%
Ghana	South Tongu	2017	47.7%	66.6%	30.9%
Ghana	Suhum Kraboa Coaltar	2000	47.3%	58.6%	37.7%
Ghana	Suhum Kraboa Coaltar	2017	59.6%	67.9%	51.8%
Ghana	Sunyani	2000	54.3%	64.3%	45.3%
Ghana	Sunyani	2017	68.1%	76.7%	59.5%
Ghana	Tain	2000	32.0%	40.4%	25.0%
Ghana	Tain	2017	41.3%	50.2%	33.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Talensi Nabdam	2000	7.4%	14.8%	2.8%
Ghana	Talensi Nabdam	2017	11.1%	20.2%	4.9%
Ghana	Tamale	2000	27.2%	32.5%	22.6%
Ghana	Tamale	2017	46.5%	51.4%	42.1%
Ghana	Tano North	2000	35.4%	61.4%	12.6%
Ghana	Tano North	2017	47.7%	73.1%	21.2%
Ghana	Tano South	2000	45.0%	66.4%	25.1%
Ghana	Tano South	2017	56.5%	75.8%	35.4%
Ghana	Techiman	2000	48.8%	61.7%	36.7%
Ghana	Techiman	2017	60.1%	73.1%	48.5%
Ghana	Tema	2000	25.4%	28.7%	21.8%
Ghana	Tema	2017	71.5%	74.9%	68.5%
Ghana	Tolon-Kumbungu	2000	14.9%	25.9%	7.0%
Ghana	Tolon-Kumbungu	2017	22.6%	34.1%	13.3%
Ghana	Upper Denkyira	2000	32.1%	47.0%	20.3%
Ghana	Upper Denkyira	2017	46.6%	61.1%	32.9%
Ghana	Wa	2000	24.9%	33.2%	18.5%
Ghana	Wa	2017	34.7%	43.1%	27.5%
Ghana	Wa East	2000	14.9%	25.5%	6.5%
Ghana	Wa East	2017	21.2%	32.1%	11.3%
Ghana	Wa West	2000	19.8%	31.3%	10.5%
Ghana	Wa West	2017	28.5%	40.8%	18.2%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi East	2000	43.3%	58.7%	29.3%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi East	2017	54.3%	68.3%	39.3%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi West	2000	44.1%	57.6%	31.2%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi West	2017	54.1%	67.5%	41.1%
Ghana	Wassa West	2000	43.5%	55.1%	29.4%
Ghana	Wassa West	2017	61.0%	70.1%	48.9%
Ghana	West Akim	2000	29.4%	36.5%	23.8%
Ghana	West Akim	2017	40.1%	48.0%	32.2%
Ghana	West Gonja	2000	19.1%	26.3%	13.1%
Ghana	West Gonja	2017	24.9%	32.2%	18.4%
Ghana	West Mamprusi	2000	15.8%	23.2%	9.5%
Ghana	West Mamprusi	2017	23.8%	31.7%	16.6%
Ghana	Yendi	2000	20.5%	28.7%	13.2%
Ghana	Yendi	2017	28.8%	37.8%	20.9%
Ghana	Yilo Krobo	2000	48.3%	62.6%	36.4%
Ghana	Yilo Krobo	2017	58.0%	70.5%	46.0%
Ghana	Zabzugu Tatale	2000	20.1%	31.5%	10.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Zabzugu	2017	27.1%	40.8%	16.1%
	Tatale				
Guinea	Beyla	2000	13.0%	18.7%	8.0%
Guinea	Beyla	2017	36.8%	45.7%	27.4%
Guinea	Boffa	2000	16.1%	23.8%	9.3%
Guinea	Boffa	2017	47.0%	57.8%	35.7%
Guinea	Boké	2000	11.8%	17.6%	7.2%
Guinea	Boké	2017	44.8%	52.7%	37.8%
Guinea	Conakry	2000	50.0%	53.6%	46.9%
Guinea	Conakry	2017	93.9%	95.5%	92.2%
Guinea	Coyah	2000	29.3%	42.1%	19.1%
Guinea	Coyah	2017	64.7%	79.9%	51.8%
Guinea	Dabola	2000	8.9%	13.5%	4.8%
Guinea	Dabola	2017	33.2%	41.4%	25.6%
Guinea	Dalaba	2000	9.5%	14.6%	5.1%
Guinea	Dalaba	2017	33.9%	43.9%	24.4%
Guinea	Dinguiraye	2000	6.3%	10.1%	3.4%
Guinea	Dinguiraye	2017	22.9%	29.6%	17.0%
Guinea	Dubrêka	2000	14.0%	22.6%	7.4%
Guinea	Dubrêka	2017	50.1%	61.4%	38.8%
Guinea	Faranah	2000	14.3%	18.8%	10.3%
Guinea	Faranah	2017	42.7%	49.9%	36.6%
Guinea	Forécariah	2000	18.4%	25.7%	11.6%
Guinea	Forécariah	2017	55.3%	64.7%	44.9%
Guinea	Fria	2000	22.9%	35.1%	15.7%
Guinea	Fria	2017	63.3%	74.1%	50.8%
Guinea	Gaoual	2000	11.3%	16.5%	7.2%
Guinea	Gaoual	2017	36.1%	45.2%	28.5%
Guinea	Guéckédou	2000	15.8%	23.4%	9.7%
Guinea	Guéckédou	2017	42.7%	51.9%	35.0%
Guinea	Kankan	2000	23.8%	28.4%	19.9%
Guinea	Kankan	2017	50.6%	57.7%	42.5%
Guinea	Kérouané	2000	13.5%	21.6%	7.4%
Guinea	Kérouané	2017	41.3%	51.9%	31.1%
Guinea	Kindia	2000	24.1%	29.5%	19.5%
Guinea	Kindia	2017	53.4%	59.9%	47.4%
Guinea	Kissidougou	2000	19.6%	28.0%	13.3%
Guinea	Kissidougou	2017	52.5%	60.6%	44.6%
Guinea	Koubia	2000	9.0%	17.5%	3.6%
Guinea	Koubia	2017	33.5%	47.9%	22.0%
Guinea	Koundara	2000	7.9%	16.2%	3.9%
Guinea	Koundara	2017	29.8%	41.1%	21.0%
Guinea	Kouroussa	2000	13.5%	21.1%	8.8%
Guinea	Kouroussa	2017	39.4%	51.2%	30.5%
Guinea	Labé	2000	28.6%	34.5%	23.8%
Guinea	Labé	2017	66.2%	72.9%	60.3%
Guinea	Lélouma	2000	11.8%	18.5%	6.3%
Guinea	Lélouma	2017	42.8%	53.7%	32.9%
Guinea	Lola	2000	10.6%	18.0%	5.3%
Guinea	Lola	2017	36.1%	47.9%	25.6%
Guinea	Macenta	2000	11.2%	17.9%	6.7%
Guinea	Macenta	2017	38.4%	48.3%	29.2%
Guinea	Mali	2000	8.4%	14.1%	4.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea	Mali	2017	30.2%	39.1%	21.9%
Guinea	Mamou	2000	14.5%	19.3%	10.9%
Guinea	Mamou	2017	41.5%	47.7%	35.5%
Guinea	Mandiana	2000	8.7%	13.0%	5.1%
Guinea	Mandiana	2017	30.4%	37.3%	23.1%
Guinea	Nzérékoré	2000	14.5%	22.3%	9.0%
Guinea	Nzérékoré	2017	47.6%	56.8%	38.8%
Guinea	Pita	2000	10.3%	15.5%	6.8%
Guinea	Pita	2017	41.0%	49.1%	33.6%
Guinea	Siguiiri	2000	10.0%	13.6%	6.8%
Guinea	Siguiiri	2017	34.0%	41.8%	27.7%
Guinea	Télimélé	2000	11.2%	15.5%	6.9%
Guinea	Télimélé	2017	39.7%	47.1%	31.7%
Guinea	Tougué	2000	8.6%	14.1%	4.3%
Guinea	Tougué	2017	30.9%	39.9%	21.6%
Guinea	Yamou	2000	12.2%	19.3%	5.2%
Guinea	Yamou	2017	36.9%	48.4%	23.0%
Guinea-	Bafata	2000	46.8%	55.7%	37.9%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bafata	2017	52.2%	60.5%	44.4%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bambadinca	2000	45.2%	55.0%	36.2%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bambadinca	2017	51.1%	59.8%	42.1%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bedanda	2000	41.2%	47.6%	34.2%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bedanda	2017	48.4%	54.8%	41.1%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bigene	2000	40.6%	49.8%	33.4%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bigene	2017	47.3%	56.5%	40.1%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bissau	2000	72.1%	82.1%	61.3%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bissau	2017	73.1%	80.5%	63.6%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bissora	2000	39.4%	49.3%	31.0%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bissora	2017	45.1%	54.3%	36.2%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Boe	2000	47.9%	57.7%	38.3%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Boe	2017	54.8%	64.1%	44.8%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bolama	2000	42.5%	55.1%	31.4%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bolama	2017	48.9%	59.7%	37.7%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Buba	2000	42.5%	51.1%	35.0%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Buba	2017	49.6%	57.3%	42.3%
Bissau					

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea-Bissau	Bubaque	2000	39.3%	46.3%	32.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Bubaque	2017	46.1%	53.5%	39.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Bula	2000	41.0%	54.2%	30.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Bula	2017	46.9%	55.7%	37.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacheu	2000	38.6%	46.6%	30.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacheu	2017	45.6%	54.4%	37.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacine	2000	42.1%	51.2%	33.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacine	2017	49.6%	58.7%	40.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Caio	2000	37.5%	47.7%	28.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Caio	2017	44.4%	55.3%	34.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Canghungo	2000	39.5%	46.7%	32.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Canghungo	2017	46.5%	54.1%	39.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Caravela	2000	39.2%	48.7%	29.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Caravela	2017	45.9%	54.8%	36.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Catio	2000	39.9%	47.0%	31.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Catio	2017	47.2%	55.1%	37.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Contuboel	2000	45.4%	52.6%	37.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Contuboel	2017	51.7%	58.8%	44.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Empada	2000	41.2%	48.6%	34.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Empada	2017	48.4%	55.8%	41.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Farim	2000	40.9%	49.8%	33.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Farim	2017	47.0%	55.4%	39.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Fulacunda	2000	42.9%	51.9%	34.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Fulacunda	2017	49.6%	58.0%	41.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Gabu	2000	47.3%	54.2%	39.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Gabu	2017	52.8%	59.6%	46.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea-Bissau	Galomaro	2000	45.2%	55.7%	37.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Galomaro	2017	51.1%	58.7%	43.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Gamamundo	2000	45.2%	53.6%	37.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Gamamundo	2017	51.2%	58.1%	44.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansaba	2000	41.4%	50.3%	33.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansaba	2017	47.8%	56.0%	40.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansoa	2000	40.5%	49.8%	33.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansoa	2017	46.1%	54.6%	39.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Nhacra	2000	44.8%	55.2%	35.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Nhacra	2017	51.7%	61.1%	42.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Piche	2000	46.2%	53.9%	40.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Piche	2017	52.0%	58.2%	47.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Pirada	2000	44.8%	53.0%	38.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Pirada	2017	51.1%	58.2%	44.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Prabis	2000	51.0%	67.4%	39.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Prabis	2017	55.3%	68.9%	44.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Quebo	2000	45.4%	53.5%	39.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Quebo	2017	52.6%	61.0%	46.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Quinhamel	2000	40.3%	50.2%	32.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Quinhamel	2017	46.7%	55.5%	39.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Safim	2000	57.3%	78.2%	43.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Safim	2017	66.9%	80.0%	53.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Sao Domingos	2000	39.9%	48.4%	32.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Sao Domingos	2017	45.2%	54.7%	36.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Sonaco	2000	45.9%	54.6%	37.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Sonaco	2017	51.7%	59.3%	44.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea-Bissau	Tite	2000	42.3%	50.3%	34.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Tite	2017	48.9%	57.0%	40.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Xitole	2000	45.4%	53.2%	38.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Xitole	2017	52.2%	58.7%	45.1%
Kenya	805	2000	40.8%	50.0%	33.4%
Kenya	805	2017	41.0%	50.7%	33.9%
Kenya	Ainabkoi	2000	49.6%	57.2%	42.2%
Kenya	Ainabkoi	2017	48.8%	56.4%	41.3%
Kenya	Ainamoi	2000	52.7%	57.2%	48.4%
Kenya	Ainamoi	2017	51.5%	56.1%	47.3%
Kenya	Aldai	2000	41.4%	47.9%	35.7%
Kenya	Aldai	2017	40.6%	47.5%	34.5%
Kenya	Alego Usonga	2000	68.1%	72.2%	60.7%
Kenya	Alego Usonga	2017	67.0%	71.0%	60.1%
Kenya	Awendo	2000	35.8%	39.7%	32.3%
Kenya	Awendo	2017	35.7%	39.6%	32.4%
Kenya	Bahati	2000	59.1%	65.1%	52.9%
Kenya	Bahati	2017	58.2%	64.5%	51.8%
Kenya	Balambala	2000	35.4%	51.6%	21.3%
Kenya	Balambala	2017	35.2%	52.8%	21.0%
Kenya	Banissa	2000	30.1%	51.1%	13.3%
Kenya	Banissa	2017	29.8%	50.4%	13.7%
Kenya	Baringo Central	2000	57.1%	67.7%	41.9%
Kenya	Baringo Central	2017	57.2%	67.9%	41.9%
Kenya	Baringo North	2000	35.6%	45.6%	28.1%
Kenya	Baringo North	2017	35.6%	45.9%	27.5%
Kenya	Baringo South	2000	19.4%	29.5%	10.4%
Kenya	Baringo South	2017	19.8%	28.9%	11.1%
Kenya	Belgut	2000	61.7%	64.8%	58.4%
Kenya	Belgut	2017	61.0%	64.0%	57.6%
Kenya	Bobasi	2000	52.3%	54.7%	50.2%
Kenya	Bobasi	2017	52.7%	55.1%	50.6%
Kenya	Bomachoge Borabu	2000	44.7%	49.6%	40.5%
Kenya	Bomachoge Borabu	2017	44.6%	49.4%	40.5%
Kenya	Bomachoge Chache	2000	51.0%	54.8%	47.2%
Kenya	Bomachoge Chache	2017	51.1%	54.8%	47.3%
Kenya	Bomet Central	2000	62.0%	67.2%	56.7%
Kenya	Bomet Central	2017	61.9%	67.1%	56.6%
Kenya	Bomet East	2000	43.5%	54.2%	31.2%
Kenya	Bomet East	2017	43.6%	54.3%	31.4%
Kenya	Bonchari	2000	62.1%	65.0%	58.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Bonchari	2017	61.4%	64.2%	58.1%
Kenya	Bondo	2000	38.4%	47.6%	33.6%
Kenya	Bondo	2017	39.3%	47.4%	34.8%
Kenya	Borabu	2000	57.9%	60.2%	55.1%
Kenya	Borabu	2017	57.7%	60.2%	54.9%
Kenya	Budalangi	2000	43.5%	50.6%	36.7%
Kenya	Budalangi	2017	43.1%	50.0%	36.4%
Kenya	Bumula	2000	51.6%	54.2%	48.9%
Kenya	Bumula	2017	51.7%	54.3%	48.9%
Kenya	Bura	2000	30.3%	39.0%	22.2%
Kenya	Bura	2017	29.9%	37.8%	22.5%
Kenya	Bureti	2000	46.5%	51.5%	41.7%
Kenya	Bureti	2017	45.9%	50.8%	40.9%
Kenya	Butere	2000	54.4%	56.8%	52.0%
Kenya	Butere	2017	54.0%	56.2%	51.5%
Kenya	Butula	2000	52.5%	59.2%	44.3%
Kenya	Butula	2017	52.1%	58.6%	44.4%
Kenya	Buuri	2000	54.8%	63.6%	46.0%
Kenya	Buuri	2017	54.3%	63.6%	45.1%
Kenya	Central Imenti	2000	58.9%	62.3%	54.8%
Kenya	Central Imenti	2017	58.5%	61.8%	54.5%
Kenya	Changamwe	2000	49.7%	53.8%	45.8%
Kenya	Changamwe	2017	47.4%	51.1%	43.6%
Kenya	Chepalungu	2000	47.1%	57.0%	38.5%
Kenya	Chepalungu	2017	47.6%	57.3%	38.9%
Kenya	Cherangany	2000	29.0%	32.4%	25.5%
Kenya	Cherangany	2017	29.7%	33.6%	26.1%
Kenya	Chesumei	2000	50.1%	60.3%	43.4%
Kenya	Chesumei	2017	49.8%	59.8%	43.4%
Kenya	Chuka/Igambang	2000	51.8%	59.1%	44.8%
Kenya	Chuka/Igambang	2017	51.2%	58.4%	44.1%
Kenya	Daadab	2000	42.2%	76.0%	15.3%
Kenya	Daadab	2017	42.1%	78.2%	14.4%
Kenya	Dagoretti North	2000	58.9%	60.4%	57.4%
Kenya	Dagoretti North	2017	55.4%	56.9%	53.7%
Kenya	Dagoretti South	2000	58.4%	61.2%	55.8%
Kenya	Dagoretti South	2017	56.4%	59.3%	53.9%
Kenya	Eldama Ravine	2000	54.4%	64.5%	43.6%
Kenya	Eldama Ravine	2017	54.0%	64.5%	43.1%
Kenya	Eldas	2000	39.7%	60.6%	19.3%
Kenya	Eldas	2017	38.7%	59.1%	17.6%
Kenya	Embakasi Central	2000	67.8%	69.7%	65.9%
Kenya	Embakasi Central	2017	63.8%	65.8%	61.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Embakasi East	2000	57.0%	59.5%	54.7%
Kenya	Embakasi East	2017	53.2%	55.6%	50.9%
Kenya	Embakasi North	2000	69.3%	70.9%	67.8%
Kenya	Embakasi North	2017	65.6%	67.2%	64.1%
Kenya	Embakasi South	2000	48.3%	51.2%	45.2%
Kenya	Embakasi South	2017	44.0%	46.9%	41.2%
Kenya	Embakasi West	2000	69.4%	71.1%	67.5%
Kenya	Embakasi West	2017	65.3%	67.1%	63.3%
Kenya	Emgwen	2000	33.4%	45.9%	20.3%
Kenya	Emgwen	2017	32.6%	44.8%	19.6%
Kenya	Emuhaya	2000	64.2%	67.3%	61.2%
Kenya	Emuhaya	2017	64.2%	67.1%	61.1%
Kenya	Emurua	2000	35.2%	47.0%	27.1%
Kenya	Dikirr				
Kenya	Emurua Dikirr	2017	35.6%	46.8%	27.3%
Kenya	Endebess	2000	60.9%	73.5%	50.5%
Kenya	Endebess	2017	61.6%	73.7%	50.9%
Kenya	Fafi	2000	38.0%	65.6%	14.2%
Kenya	Fafi	2017	37.1%	65.7%	13.8%
Kenya	Funyula	2000	47.5%	54.2%	42.9%
Kenya	Funyula	2017	47.2%	54.0%	42.6%
Kenya	Galole	2000	33.5%	44.4%	20.2%
Kenya	Galole	2017	32.8%	44.1%	19.8%
Kenya	Ganze	2000	30.9%	41.7%	22.0%
Kenya	Ganze	2017	31.4%	42.0%	22.7%
Kenya	Garissa Township	2000	57.7%	61.4%	53.9%
Kenya	Garissa Township	2017	58.7%	62.1%	55.2%
Kenya	Garsen	2000	26.6%	36.9%	17.7%
Kenya	Garsen	2017	26.5%	37.5%	17.6%
Kenya	Gatanga	2000	55.4%	59.0%	51.9%
Kenya	Gatanga	2017	54.7%	58.3%	51.4%
Kenya	Gatundu North	2000	66.8%	69.8%	63.7%
Kenya	Gatundu North	2017	65.9%	69.0%	62.7%
Kenya	Gatundu South	2000	64.9%	67.7%	62.2%
Kenya	Gatundu South	2017	64.4%	67.4%	61.7%
Kenya	Gem	2000	55.6%	57.7%	53.6%
Kenya	Gem	2017	55.6%	57.8%	53.6%
Kenya	Gichugu	2000	47.2%	52.5%	42.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Gichugu	2017	46.6%	51.8%	41.6%
Kenya	Gilgil	2000	69.6%	84.2%	56.5%
Kenya	Gilgil	2017	68.7%	84.0%	56.4%
Kenya	Githunguri	2000	61.3%	64.3%	58.4%
Kenya	Githunguri	2017	61.2%	64.0%	58.3%
Kenya	Hamisi	2000	60.9%	64.0%	58.2%
Kenya	Hamisi	2017	61.1%	63.6%	58.4%
Kenya	Homa Bay Town	2000	33.0%	39.6%	29.3%
Kenya	Homa Bay Town	2017	31.8%	37.8%	28.3%
Kenya	Igembe Central	2000	59.4%	66.1%	52.8%
Kenya	Igembe Central	2017	59.1%	65.7%	52.5%
Kenya	Igembe North	2000	57.2%	68.9%	49.6%
Kenya	Igembe North	2017	57.3%	67.9%	49.4%
Kenya	Igembe South	2000	47.2%	52.6%	42.9%
Kenya	Igembe South	2000	42.6%	48.2%	38.2%
Kenya	Igembe South	2017	46.7%	51.8%	42.5%
Kenya	Igembe South	2017	42.7%	48.6%	38.0%
Kenya	Ijara	2000	25.9%	39.6%	13.9%
Kenya	Ijara	2017	26.8%	40.4%	14.6%
Kenya	Ikolomani	2000	65.1%	67.8%	62.4%
Kenya	Ikolomani	2017	65.0%	67.6%	62.4%
Kenya	Isiolo North	2000	35.2%	41.6%	29.1%
Kenya	Isiolo North	2017	34.6%	41.0%	27.8%
Kenya	Isiolo South	2000	33.9%	45.5%	21.5%
Kenya	Isiolo South	2017	33.3%	43.9%	22.2%
Kenya	Jomvu	2000	48.6%	51.8%	45.7%
Kenya	Jomvu	2017	46.4%	49.4%	43.5%
Kenya	Juja	2000	69.1%	71.3%	67.3%
Kenya	Juja	2017	68.3%	70.6%	66.4%
Kenya	Kabete	2000	52.8%	56.7%	48.4%
Kenya	Kabete	2017	52.3%	56.1%	48.0%
Kenya	Kabondo	2000	43.0%	47.3%	39.1%
Kenya	Kasipul Kabondo	2017	43.0%	47.3%	39.0%
Kenya	Kabuchai	2000	59.2%	61.7%	56.9%
Kenya	Kabuchai	2017	59.3%	61.8%	57.1%
Kenya	Kacheliba	2000	31.0%	47.7%	15.7%
Kenya	Kacheliba	2017	30.9%	48.1%	15.2%
Kenya	Kaiti	2000	49.4%	64.0%	30.4%
Kenya	Kaiti	2017	49.0%	63.8%	30.0%
Kenya	Kajiado Central	2000	37.4%	54.6%	22.0%
Kenya	Kajiado Central	2017	37.2%	53.8%	21.5%
Kenya	Kajiado East	2000	51.7%	61.6%	40.5%
Kenya	Kajiado East	2017	50.8%	60.9%	39.6%
Kenya	Kajiado North	2000	53.2%	57.5%	48.9%
Kenya	Kajiado North	2017	53.9%	58.2%	49.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kajiado South	2000	46.1%	64.9%	29.8%
Kenya	Kajiado South	2017	45.5%	64.0%	29.7%
Kenya	Kajiado West	2000	36.7%	50.2%	24.5%
Kenya	Kajiado West	2017	36.8%	50.4%	24.8%
Kenya	Kaloleni	2000	44.9%	48.7%	41.0%
Kenya	Kaloleni	2017	44.6%	48.1%	40.7%
Kenya	Kamukunji	2000	77.4%	78.3%	76.4%
Kenya	Kamukunji	2017	73.8%	74.8%	72.8%
Kenya	Kandara	2000	57.1%	59.4%	54.5%
Kenya	Kandara	2017	57.2%	59.6%	54.5%
Kenya	Kanduyi	2000	58.5%	63.3%	52.5%
Kenya	Kanduyi	2017	57.9%	62.8%	52.0%
Kenya	Kangema	2000	52.6%	57.0%	49.3%
Kenya	Kangema	2017	52.7%	57.1%	49.4%
Kenya	Kangundo	2000	50.7%	61.7%	40.6%
Kenya	Kangundo	2017	50.8%	61.6%	40.9%
Kenya	Kapenguria	2000	42.6%	51.6%	35.5%
Kenya	Kapenguria	2017	42.7%	52.2%	35.7%
Kenya	Kapseret	2000	59.3%	63.2%	54.6%
Kenya	Kapseret	2017	56.6%	60.7%	51.4%
Kenya	Karachuonyo	2000	49.3%	55.6%	40.7%
Kenya	Karachuonyo	2017	48.9%	55.4%	40.5%
Kenya	Kasarani	2000	64.2%	67.0%	61.0%
Kenya	Kasarani	2017	61.8%	64.9%	58.3%
Kenya	Kasipul	2000	53.6%	57.4%	49.9%
Kenya	Kasipul	2017	53.8%	57.7%	50.0%
Kenya	Kathiani	2000	60.5%	65.5%	54.9%
Kenya	Kathiani	2017	60.3%	65.4%	54.5%
Kenya	Keiyo North	2000	66.5%	70.1%	62.0%
Kenya	Keiyo North	2017	64.9%	68.6%	60.2%
Kenya	Keiyo South	2000	67.6%	70.4%	64.6%
Kenya	Keiyo South	2017	67.2%	70.3%	64.1%
Kenya	Kesses	2000	41.6%	49.0%	34.9%
Kenya	Kesses	2017	41.1%	48.7%	34.5%
Kenya	Khwisero	2000	64.6%	67.0%	62.0%
Kenya	Khwisero	2017	64.5%	67.0%	61.7%
Kenya	Kiambaa	2000	56.9%	58.7%	55.4%
Kenya	Kiambaa	2017	55.2%	56.9%	53.7%
Kenya	Kiambu	2000	54.4%	57.1%	51.7%
Kenya	Kiambu	2017	51.1%	53.5%	48.7%
Kenya	Kibra	2000	46.2%	48.5%	44.4%
Kenya	Kibra	2017	42.8%	45.1%	41.1%
Kenya	Kibwezi East	2000	61.8%	80.1%	42.4%
Kenya	Kibwezi East	2017	63.1%	81.0%	43.2%
Kenya	Kibwezi West	2000	51.6%	66.3%	38.0%
Kenya	Kibwezi West	2017	51.5%	65.6%	37.4%
Kenya	Kieni	2000	40.7%	50.2%	32.6%
Kenya	Kieni	2017	41.3%	51.0%	32.9%
Kenya	Kigumo	2000	60.5%	62.8%	58.0%
Kenya	Kigumo	2017	61.3%	63.7%	58.8%
Kenya	Kiharu	2000	64.0%	65.8%	61.9%
Kenya	Kiharu	2017	63.7%	65.7%	61.6%
Kenya	Kikuyu	2000	59.9%	63.3%	56.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kikuyu	2017	59.5%	62.9%	55.9%
Kenya	Kilgoris	2000	25.8%	31.4%	21.5%
Kenya	Kilgoris	2017	25.3%	31.6%	20.7%
Kenya	Kilifi North	2000	36.4%	40.9%	31.6%
Kenya	Kilifi North	2017	35.8%	40.3%	30.7%
Kenya	Kilifi South	2000	50.6%	54.2%	46.7%
Kenya	Kilifi South	2017	49.2%	52.9%	45.2%
Kenya	Kilome	2000	49.7%	66.0%	34.8%
Kenya	Kilome	2017	49.2%	65.8%	34.0%
Kenya	Kimilili	2000	51.1%	53.9%	48.5%
Kenya	Kimilili	2017	51.1%	54.0%	48.5%
Kenya	Kiminini	2000	65.0%	67.6%	62.0%
Kenya	Kiminini	2017	64.1%	66.8%	60.9%
Kenya	Kinango	2000	27.9%	38.3%	19.7%
Kenya	Kinango	2017	27.9%	38.1%	19.8%
Kenya	Kinangop	2000	41.3%	45.2%	38.7%
Kenya	Kinangop	2017	41.1%	45.1%	38.6%
Kenya	Kipipiri	2000	37.5%	58.7%	18.4%
Kenya	Kipipiri	2017	37.1%	58.0%	18.5%
Kenya	Kipkelion East	2000	44.8%	50.2%	38.5%
Kenya	Kipkelion East	2017	44.9%	50.4%	38.7%
Kenya	Kipkelion West	2000	57.6%	64.7%	48.5%
Kenya	Kipkelion West	2017	57.4%	64.6%	48.6%
Kenya	Kirinyaga Central	2000	56.5%	59.8%	53.9%
Kenya	Kirinyaga Central	2017	56.0%	59.3%	53.5%
Kenya	Kisauni	2000	58.4%	60.5%	55.8%
Kenya	Kisauni	2017	55.9%	58.0%	53.4%
Kenya	Kisumu Central	2000	59.8%	62.9%	57.1%
Kenya	Kisumu Central	2017	58.7%	61.8%	56.0%
Kenya	Kisumu East	2000	48.9%	52.0%	46.2%
Kenya	Kisumu East	2017	48.4%	51.4%	45.8%
Kenya	Kisumu West	2000	47.8%	50.8%	45.1%
Kenya	Kisumu West	2017	47.0%	49.9%	44.5%
Kenya	Kitui Central	2000	57.7%	61.8%	52.9%
Kenya	Kitui Central	2017	57.7%	61.8%	53.0%
Kenya	Kitui East	2000	48.3%	61.0%	36.2%
Kenya	Kitui East	2017	47.9%	60.4%	36.1%
Kenya	Kitui Rural	2000	46.2%	58.7%	36.2%
Kenya	Kitui Rural	2017	46.2%	58.7%	36.4%
Kenya	Kitui South	2000	41.3%	54.0%	28.3%
Kenya	Kitui South	2017	40.9%	53.7%	28.2%
Kenya	Kitui West	2000	64.9%	70.0%	59.0%
Kenya	Kitui West	2017	64.5%	69.7%	58.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kitutu Chache North	2000	50.3%	54.0%	47.1%
Kenya	Kitutu Chache North	2017	50.4%	53.8%	47.0%
Kenya	Kitutu Chache South	2000	51.8%	54.6%	49.6%
Kenya	Kitutu Chache South	2017	52.2%	55.1%	49.8%
Kenya	Kitutu Masaba	2000	44.0%	46.5%	41.6%
Kenya	Kitutu Masaba	2017	43.9%	46.4%	41.4%
Kenya	Konoin	2000	32.8%	37.6%	28.7%
Kenya	Konoin	2017	32.2%	36.8%	28.2%
Kenya	Kuresoi North	2000	37.6%	49.1%	26.2%
Kenya	Kuresoi North	2017	38.1%	50.1%	26.5%
Kenya	Kuresoi South	2000	31.9%	58.6%	14.7%
Kenya	Kuresoi South	2017	31.9%	58.4%	14.5%
Kenya	Kuria East	2000	31.3%	36.0%	28.0%
Kenya	Kuria East	2017	30.8%	35.1%	27.6%
Kenya	Kuria West	2000	26.4%	33.5%	21.3%
Kenya	Kuria West	2017	25.8%	32.8%	20.9%
Kenya	Kwanza	2000	76.8%	81.5%	72.2%
Kenya	Kwanza	2017	75.9%	80.7%	71.4%
Kenya	Lafey	2000	40.5%	58.9%	22.7%
Kenya	Lafey	2017	40.3%	59.1%	22.3%
Kenya	Lagdera	2000	30.0%	42.3%	19.1%
Kenya	Lagdera	2017	31.5%	42.2%	19.8%
Kenya	Laikipia East	2000	45.4%	55.1%	35.3%
Kenya	Laikipia East	2017	45.2%	54.8%	35.7%
Kenya	Laikipia North	2000	39.9%	52.6%	27.2%
Kenya	Laikipia North	2017	39.8%	52.3%	27.1%
Kenya	Laikipia West	2000	48.3%	55.0%	42.5%
Kenya	Laikipia West	2017	47.9%	54.9%	42.0%
Kenya	Laisamis	2000	28.0%	36.6%	20.5%
Kenya	Laisamis	2017	29.2%	38.5%	21.8%
Kenya	Lamu East	2000	53.7%	70.5%	40.0%
Kenya	Lamu East	2017	49.6%	69.5%	34.6%
Kenya	Lamu West	2000	50.0%	55.9%	43.7%
Kenya	Lamu West	2017	48.4%	54.4%	42.2%
Kenya	Langata	2000	51.0%	52.9%	49.3%
Kenya	Langata	2017	48.0%	49.8%	46.3%
Kenya	Lari	2000	47.6%	51.8%	44.1%
Kenya	Lari	2017	47.7%	51.9%	44.3%
Kenya	Likoni	2000	41.9%	44.4%	39.0%
Kenya	Likoni	2017	40.4%	43.0%	37.4%
Kenya	Likuyani	2000	69.7%	76.0%	63.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Likuyani	2017	70.1%	76.3%	63.9%
Kenya	Limuru	2000	69.6%	72.2%	67.2%
Kenya	Limuru	2017	68.6%	71.4%	66.0%
Kenya	Loima	2000	38.5%	52.0%	25.7%
Kenya	Loima	2017	38.3%	51.7%	25.3%
Kenya	Luanda	2000	65.3%	67.6%	63.1%
Kenya	Luanda	2017	65.2%	67.6%	63.1%
Kenya	Lugari	2000	50.3%	53.4%	47.3%
Kenya	Lugari	2000	61.6%	67.9%	53.8%
Kenya	Lugari	2017	61.2%	68.0%	52.8%
Kenya	Lugari	2017	50.5%	53.4%	47.5%
Kenya	Lungalunga	2000	24.6%	35.7%	16.1%
Kenya	Lungalunga	2017	23.9%	35.0%	15.4%
Kenya	Lurambi	2000	66.1%	68.3%	63.9%
Kenya	Lurambi	2017	65.5%	67.6%	63.4%
Kenya	Maara	2000	59.6%	63.5%	55.3%
Kenya	Maara	2017	59.0%	63.3%	55.0%
Kenya	Machakos Town	2000	53.5%	64.2%	45.6%
Kenya	Machakos Town	2017	52.4%	63.2%	44.4%
Kenya	Magarini	2000	32.3%	39.7%	24.8%
Kenya	Magarini	2017	30.2%	37.3%	22.6%
Kenya	Makadara	2000	62.7%	64.0%	61.2%
Kenya	Makadara	2017	58.7%	60.0%	57.3%
Kenya	Makueni	2000	53.6%	67.0%	40.9%
Kenya	Makueni	2017	53.3%	66.8%	40.7%
Kenya	Malava	2000	78.2%	80.4%	75.9%
Kenya	Malava	2017	78.0%	80.2%	75.7%
Kenya	Malindi	2000	38.2%	42.5%	34.5%
Kenya	Malindi	2017	36.2%	40.7%	32.6%
Kenya	Mandera East	2000	46.6%	57.2%	36.1%
Kenya	Mandera East	2017	45.3%	55.6%	35.1%
Kenya	Mandera North	2000	39.0%	50.5%	27.9%
Kenya	Mandera North	2017	40.0%	51.6%	28.2%
Kenya	Mandera South	2000	33.4%	44.9%	23.5%
Kenya	Mandera South	2017	33.7%	44.6%	24.0%
Kenya	Mandera West	2000	41.7%	64.1%	23.7%
Kenya	Mandera West	2017	41.4%	62.4%	25.6%
Kenya	Manyatta	2000	58.4%	61.6%	55.7%
Kenya	Manyatta	2017	58.1%	61.5%	55.3%
Kenya	Maragwa	2000	59.1%	62.6%	54.8%
Kenya	Maragwa	2017	59.6%	63.2%	55.6%
Kenya	Marakwet East	2000	19.0%	29.1%	12.1%
Kenya	Marakwet East	2017	19.1%	29.5%	12.2%
Kenya	Marakwet West	2000	36.1%	42.2%	30.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Marakwet West	2017	36.1%	42.6%	30.3%
Kenya	Masinga	2000	45.9%	62.7%	30.7%
Kenya	Masinga	2017	45.9%	63.1%	30.6%
Kenya	Matayos	2000	59.8%	63.7%	56.0%
Kenya	Matayos	2017	60.0%	64.0%	56.3%
Kenya	Mathare	2000	76.5%	77.5%	75.6%
Kenya	Mathare	2017	72.9%	74.0%	71.9%
Kenya	Mathioya	2000	56.2%	62.0%	51.3%
Kenya	Mathioya	2017	56.6%	62.4%	51.7%
Kenya	Mathira	2000	54.8%	57.9%	51.5%
Kenya	Mathira	2017	53.8%	56.8%	50.6%
Kenya	Matuga	2000	40.5%	46.7%	33.7%
Kenya	Matuga	2017	40.1%	46.6%	32.9%
Kenya	Matungu	2000	55.0%	58.3%	51.7%
Kenya	Matungu	2017	54.9%	58.1%	51.5%
Kenya	Matungulu	2000	50.2%	54.8%	45.2%
Kenya	Matungulu	2017	50.5%	55.0%	45.4%
Kenya	Mavoko	2000	66.5%	74.4%	60.1%
Kenya	Mavoko	2017	63.3%	71.2%	57.1%
Kenya	Mbeere North	2000	77.8%	81.2%	74.3%
Kenya	Mbeere North	2017	77.8%	81.3%	74.1%
Kenya	Mbeere South	2000	66.4%	74.1%	59.2%
Kenya	Mbeere South	2017	65.9%	73.7%	58.6%
Kenya	Mbita	2000	19.4%	24.3%	15.9%
Kenya	Mbita	2017	19.7%	24.7%	15.8%
Kenya	Mbooni	2000	30.7%	40.3%	23.0%
Kenya	Mbooni	2017	30.6%	40.1%	22.8%
Kenya	Mogotio	2000	37.5%	47.1%	30.7%
Kenya	Mogotio	2017	37.1%	46.6%	30.5%
Kenya	Moiben	2000	53.9%	62.4%	47.8%
Kenya	Moiben	2017	53.7%	61.8%	47.9%
Kenya	Molo	2000	31.4%	44.5%	21.5%
Kenya	Molo	2017	30.9%	44.0%	20.9%
Kenya	Mosop	2000	68.4%	74.0%	57.9%
Kenya	Mosop	2017	67.7%	73.2%	57.3%
Kenya	Moyale	2000	34.0%	40.6%	28.3%
Kenya	Moyale	2017	34.9%	42.2%	28.1%
Kenya	Msambweni	2000	32.6%	39.2%	26.9%
Kenya	Msambweni	2017	33.0%	39.8%	27.1%
Kenya	Mt. Elgon	2000	60.2%	63.6%	57.2%
Kenya	Mt. Elgon	2017	59.9%	63.5%	56.8%
Kenya	Muhoroni	2000	43.4%	56.5%	33.8%
Kenya	Muhoroni	2017	42.8%	55.7%	33.4%
Kenya	Mukurweini	2000	58.3%	61.9%	55.1%
Kenya	Mukurweini	2017	58.2%	61.8%	55.0%
Kenya	Mumias East	2000	61.2%	63.5%	58.8%
Kenya	Mumias East	2017	61.0%	63.3%	58.8%
Kenya	Mumias West	2000	61.0%	63.7%	58.3%
Kenya	Mumias West	2017	61.0%	63.5%	58.1%
Kenya	Mvita	2000	49.5%	51.3%	47.9%
Kenya	Mvita	2017	47.2%	48.8%	45.5%
Kenya	Mwala	2000	41.7%	65.2%	24.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Mwala	2017	41.6%	65.3%	23.9%
Kenya	Mwatate	2000	55.9%	62.2%	49.2%
Kenya	Mwatate	2017	55.5%	61.7%	48.8%
Kenya	Mwea	2000	56.2%	59.2%	53.0%
Kenya	Mwea	2017	55.8%	58.7%	52.9%
Kenya	Mwingi Central	2000	43.2%	55.9%	28.8%
Kenya	Mwingi Central	2017	43.3%	56.2%	28.4%
Kenya	Mwingi North	2000	39.2%	49.9%	28.9%
Kenya	Mwingi North	2017	39.0%	50.2%	28.9%
Kenya	Mwingi West	2000	47.9%	58.4%	39.5%
Kenya	Mwingi West	2017	47.2%	57.8%	38.8%
Kenya	Naivasha	2000	43.1%	58.1%	30.7%
Kenya	Naivasha	2017	42.5%	56.8%	30.5%
Kenya	Nakuru Town East	2000	56.0%	62.2%	51.1%
Kenya	Nakuru Town East	2017	55.0%	61.4%	50.2%
Kenya	Nakuru Town West	2000	34.2%	45.3%	27.4%
Kenya	Nakuru Town West	2017	32.4%	44.0%	25.5%
Kenya	Nambale	2000	61.1%	63.6%	58.6%
Kenya	Nambale	2017	60.6%	63.2%	58.1%
Kenya	Nandi Hills	2000	32.6%	42.0%	25.8%
Kenya	Nandi Hills	2017	32.8%	42.2%	25.9%
Kenya	Narok East	2000	49.5%	73.9%	27.7%
Kenya	Narok East	2017	47.8%	70.9%	26.2%
Kenya	Narok North	2000	32.4%	46.6%	19.9%
Kenya	Narok North	2017	31.4%	45.5%	19.0%
Kenya	Narok South	2000	38.3%	54.0%	23.5%
Kenya	Narok South	2017	37.9%	54.1%	23.0%
Kenya	Narok West	2000	37.8%	53.5%	25.4%
Kenya	Narok West	2017	37.9%	53.6%	24.9%
Kenya	Navakholo	2000	58.6%	62.1%	53.8%
Kenya	Navakholo	2017	58.5%	62.0%	53.9%
Kenya	Ndaragwa	2000	58.3%	71.5%	47.4%
Kenya	Ndaragwa	2017	59.3%	70.9%	49.2%
Kenya	Ndhiwa	2000	22.7%	24.6%	20.9%
Kenya	Ndhiwa	2017	23.0%	24.9%	21.2%
Kenya	Ndia	2000	56.2%	59.1%	53.1%
Kenya	Ndia	2017	55.7%	58.6%	52.5%
Kenya	Njoro	2000	36.1%	56.9%	24.6%
Kenya	Njoro	2017	36.1%	55.7%	24.6%
Kenya	North Horr	2000	30.7%	37.7%	23.5%
Kenya	North Horr	2017	30.7%	37.8%	23.8%
Kenya	North Imenti	2000	63.6%	67.5%	59.8%
Kenya	North Imenti	2017	63.5%	67.3%	59.6%
Kenya	North Mugirango	2000	56.7%	59.7%	52.7%
Kenya	North Mugirango	2017	56.6%	59.7%	52.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Nyakach	2000	40.0%	43.6%	37.0%
Kenya	Nyakach	2017	39.7%	43.2%	36.8%
Kenya	Nyali	2000	54.3%	58.6%	50.5%
Kenya	Nyali	2017	51.1%	55.5%	47.4%
Kenya	Nyando	2000	33.4%	36.9%	30.1%
Kenya	Nyando	2017	33.0%	36.4%	29.7%
Kenya	Nyaribari	2000	65.9%	68.5%	63.5%
Kenya	Chache				
Kenya	Nyaribari	2017	65.4%	68.1%	63.1%
Kenya	Chache				
Kenya	Nyaribari	2000	51.9%	55.4%	48.1%
Kenya	Masaba				
Kenya	Nyaribari	2017	52.0%	55.5%	48.1%
Kenya	Masaba				
Kenya	Nyatike	2000	20.9%	35.5%	11.4%
Kenya	Nyatike	2017	21.2%	35.5%	11.7%
Kenya	Nyeri Town	2000	56.8%	60.1%	53.5%
Kenya	Nyeri Town	2017	56.8%	60.2%	53.5%
Kenya	Ol Jorok	2000	25.3%	31.3%	21.6%
Kenya	Ol Jorok	2017	25.6%	31.5%	21.8%
Kenya	Ol Kalou	2000	48.8%	54.4%	43.8%
Kenya	Ol Kalou	2017	48.8%	55.0%	43.3%
Kenya	Othaya	2000	55.8%	60.8%	51.3%
Kenya	Othaya	2017	55.4%	60.6%	50.7%
Kenya	Pokot South	2000	44.8%	58.8%	32.8%
Kenya	Pokot South	2017	44.7%	58.2%	32.7%
Kenya	Rabai	2000	56.1%	64.9%	49.0%
Kenya	Rabai	2017	55.8%	64.3%	48.7%
Kenya	Rangwe	2000	28.1%	32.5%	24.4%
Kenya	Rangwe	2017	28.1%	32.6%	24.6%
Kenya	Rarieda	2000	41.6%	46.8%	36.0%
Kenya	Rarieda	2017	41.1%	46.6%	35.4%
Kenya	Rongai	2000	59.9%	72.0%	49.9%
Kenya	Rongai	2017	58.3%	70.8%	47.4%
Kenya	Rongo	2000	33.7%	37.4%	30.9%
Kenya	Rongo	2017	33.8%	37.6%	31.2%
Kenya	Roysambu	2000	69.0%	70.9%	67.2%
Kenya	Roysambu	2017	65.6%	67.4%	63.7%
Kenya	Ruaraka	2000	74.2%	75.4%	73.0%
Kenya	Ruaraka	2017	70.8%	72.0%	69.5%
Kenya	Ruiru	2000	61.5%	63.9%	59.1%
Kenya	Ruiru	2017	59.2%	61.5%	56.9%
Kenya	Runyenjes	2000	63.8%	67.0%	60.5%
Kenya	Runyenjes	2017	63.7%	66.9%	60.3%
Kenya	Sabatia	2000	65.6%	68.0%	63.0%
Kenya	Sabatia	2017	65.6%	68.0%	63.1%
Kenya	Saboti	2000	63.3%	66.4%	60.2%
Kenya	Saboti	2017	64.1%	67.0%	61.0%
Kenya	Saku	2000	34.3%	46.7%	23.9%
Kenya	Saku	2017	33.9%	46.1%	23.7%
Kenya	Samburu East	2000	32.7%	44.2%	20.6%
Kenya	Samburu East	2017	32.1%	43.7%	20.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Samburu North	2000	30.0%	41.1%	21.0%
Kenya	Samburu North	2017	29.5%	40.4%	20.4%
Kenya	Samburu West	2000	26.6%	37.1%	17.7%
Kenya	Samburu West	2017	26.3%	37.0%	17.4%
Kenya	Seme	2000	45.3%	52.3%	41.0%
Kenya	Seme	2017	44.9%	52.1%	40.7%
Kenya	Shinyalu	2000	67.2%	70.3%	63.5%
Kenya	Shinyalu	2017	67.3%	70.4%	63.3%
Kenya	Sigor	2000	38.9%	53.2%	26.0%
Kenya	Sigor	2017	37.3%	51.1%	24.5%
Kenya	Sigowet/Soin	2000	37.3%	43.2%	31.7%
Kenya	Sigowet/Soin	2017	37.5%	43.8%	31.7%
Kenya	Sirisia	2000	65.0%	67.8%	62.0%
Kenya	Sirisia	2017	65.4%	68.2%	62.3%
Kenya	Sotik	2000	61.3%	63.9%	58.7%
Kenya	Sotik	2017	61.1%	63.6%	58.6%
Kenya	South Imenti	2000	64.4%	68.3%	60.0%
Kenya	South Imenti	2017	64.2%	68.2%	59.7%
Kenya	South Mugi-rango	2000	39.1%	42.0%	36.5%
Kenya	South Mugi-rango	2017	38.8%	41.7%	36.1%
Kenya	Soy	2000	35.1%	37.4%	33.0%
Kenya	Soy	2017	35.0%	37.3%	32.9%
Kenya	Starehe	2000	64.3%	65.5%	63.2%
Kenya	Starehe	2017	60.4%	61.5%	59.3%
Kenya	Suba	2000	16.9%	28.4%	9.0%
Kenya	Suba	2017	17.4%	29.7%	9.1%
Kenya	Subukia	2000	29.2%	48.1%	21.6%
Kenya	Subukia	2017	28.5%	47.7%	20.9%
Kenya	Suna East	2000	41.7%	44.7%	38.6%
Kenya	Suna East	2017	41.6%	44.8%	38.4%
Kenya	Suna West	2000	25.9%	29.7%	22.4%
Kenya	Suna West	2017	25.8%	29.7%	22.3%
Kenya	Tarbaj	2000	36.5%	49.9%	23.8%
Kenya	Tarbaj	2017	36.9%	49.8%	25.0%
Kenya	Taveta	2000	61.4%	69.9%	52.8%
Kenya	Taveta	2017	61.9%	70.8%	52.7%
Kenya	Teso North	2000	62.4%	66.0%	58.9%
Kenya	Teso North	2017	62.6%	66.1%	59.1%
Kenya	Teso South	2000	46.7%	54.1%	42.8%
Kenya	Teso South	2017	46.5%	54.1%	42.6%
Kenya	Tetu	2000	55.8%	59.4%	52.5%
Kenya	Tetu	2017	55.5%	59.1%	52.2%
Kenya	Tharaka	2000	37.5%	48.0%	28.5%
Kenya	Tharaka	2017	37.3%	47.6%	28.5%
Kenya	Thika Town	2000	56.3%	61.6%	49.6%
Kenya	Thika Town	2017	53.5%	58.2%	47.2%
Kenya	Tiaty	2000	31.6%	45.3%	17.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Tiaty	2017	30.6%	43.7%	17.2%
Kenya	Tigania East	2000	52.2%	59.9%	44.8%
Kenya	Tigania East	2017	52.0%	60.0%	44.5%
Kenya	Tigania West	2000	38.1%	42.3%	34.2%
Kenya	Tigania West	2017	38.1%	42.4%	34.2%
Kenya	Tinderet	2000	33.0%	45.9%	22.1%
Kenya	Tinderet	2017	32.5%	45.0%	21.6%
Kenya	Tongaren	2000	49.1%	51.2%	47.4%
Kenya	Tongaren	2017	48.8%	50.8%	47.1%
Kenya	Turbo	2000	51.6%	54.6%	48.8%
Kenya	Turbo	2017	51.2%	54.2%	48.2%
Kenya	Turkana Central	2000	44.4%	53.7%	35.3%
Kenya	Turkana Central	2017	44.1%	54.3%	34.5%
Kenya	Turkana East	2000	31.2%	41.5%	21.0%
Kenya	Turkana East	2017	31.5%	41.7%	20.7%
Kenya	Turkana North	2000	30.6%	42.7%	19.2%
Kenya	Turkana North	2017	30.4%	42.1%	19.3%
Kenya	Turkana South	2000	36.1%	51.7%	23.0%
Kenya	Turkana South	2017	36.3%	52.0%	22.2%
Kenya	Turkana West	2000	29.2%	43.8%	18.4%
Kenya	Turkana West	2017	30.1%	44.0%	19.5%
Kenya	Ugenya	2000	45.5%	52.2%	38.6%
Kenya	Ugenya	2017	45.1%	51.8%	38.4%
Kenya	Ugunja	2000	58.6%	61.4%	55.5%
Kenya	Ugunja	2017	58.3%	61.1%	55.3%
Kenya	unknown 1	2000	44.0%	70.0%	19.9%
Kenya	unknown 1	2017	44.1%	72.7%	19.7%
Kenya	unknown 2	2000	28.4%	47.7%	14.5%
Kenya	unknown 2	2017	28.6%	46.6%	15.4%
Kenya	unknown 4	2000	54.9%	73.2%	36.4%
Kenya	unknown 4	2017	53.6%	72.0%	35.2%
Kenya	unknown 5	2000	31.2%	40.3%	24.8%
Kenya	unknown 5	2017	29.3%	37.6%	23.0%
Kenya	unknown 6	2000	37.1%	77.0%	11.3%
Kenya	unknown 6	2017	36.2%	74.7%	11.4%
Kenya	unknown 7	2000	64.8%	86.9%	35.0%
Kenya	unknown 7	2017	60.8%	82.5%	35.7%
Kenya	Uriri	2000	38.4%	44.1%	33.7%
Kenya	Uriri	2017	38.3%	43.7%	33.7%
Kenya	Vihiga	2000	62.5%	65.0%	59.4%
Kenya	Vihiga	2017	62.7%	65.2%	59.5%
Kenya	Voi	2000	49.4%	57.6%	42.5%
Kenya	Voi	2017	50.1%	59.5%	42.3%
Kenya	Wajir East	2000	19.4%	25.3%	12.8%
Kenya	Wajir East	2017	18.9%	25.2%	13.0%
Kenya	Wajir North	2000	40.7%	63.7%	20.7%
Kenya	Wajir North	2017	40.4%	57.4%	25.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Wajir South	2000	34.9%	46.0%	24.5%
Kenya	Wajir South	2017	36.2%	46.3%	27.2%
Kenya	Wajir West	2000	29.3%	40.9%	18.8%
Kenya	Wajir West	2017	31.0%	42.6%	21.2%
Kenya	Webute West	2000	56.6%	62.6%	46.7%
Kenya	Webute West	2017	56.9%	63.2%	46.7%
Kenya	Webuye East	2000	57.5%	59.5%	55.3%
Kenya	Webuye East	2017	57.7%	59.7%	55.4%
Kenya	West Mugi-rango	2000	50.2%	52.0%	48.5%
Kenya	West Mugi-rango	2017	51.0%	52.8%	49.1%
Kenya	Westlands	2000	62.1%	63.4%	60.7%
Kenya	Westlands	2017	59.8%	61.1%	58.5%
Kenya	Wundanyi	2000	69.0%	76.8%	59.8%
Kenya	Wundanyi	2017	68.0%	76.6%	59.3%
Kenya	Yatta	2000	53.4%	61.8%	46.3%
Kenya	Yatta	2017	53.9%	62.5%	46.5%
Lesotho	Berea	2000	48.9%	51.0%	47.1%
Lesotho	Berea	2017	27.8%	29.6%	26.2%
Lesotho	Butha-Buthe	2000	44.4%	47.6%	41.5%
Lesotho	Butha-Buthe	2017	24.6%	27.4%	22.3%
Lesotho	Leribe	2000	47.3%	49.9%	45.1%
Lesotho	Leribe	2017	26.6%	28.9%	24.7%
Lesotho	Mafeteng	2000	38.5%	41.4%	35.5%
Lesotho	Mafeteng	2017	21.3%	24.1%	19.1%
Lesotho	Maseru	2000	48.1%	50.4%	46.0%
Lesotho	Maseru	2017	28.1%	30.0%	26.5%
Lesotho	Mohale's Hoek	2000	35.6%	37.9%	33.3%
Lesotho	Mohale's Hoek	2017	20.4%	22.3%	18.5%
Lesotho	Mokhotlong	2000	21.0%	25.8%	17.1%
Lesotho	Mokhotlong	2017	11.5%	15.9%	8.8%
Lesotho	Qacha's Nek	2000	22.4%	26.5%	19.4%
Lesotho	Qacha's Nek	2017	11.8%	14.2%	9.9%
Lesotho	Quthing	2000	34.0%	37.5%	31.0%
Lesotho	Quthing	2017	20.0%	22.5%	18.0%
Lesotho	Thaba-Tseka	2000	27.1%	31.2%	23.1%
Lesotho	Thaba-Tseka	2017	16.4%	19.4%	13.7%
Liberia	Barrobo	2000	10.1%	20.2%	4.5%
Liberia	Barrobo	2017	25.6%	39.4%	14.7%
Liberia	Belleh	2000	11.1%	18.7%	4.8%
Liberia	Belleh	2017	26.5%	36.7%	15.5%
Liberia	Bokomu	2000	6.8%	14.5%	2.1%
Liberia	Bokomu	2017	20.3%	33.2%	10.4%
Liberia	Bopolu	2000	11.9%	18.4%	6.3%
Liberia	Bopolu	2017	30.1%	41.8%	20.9%
Liberia	Buah	2000	10.5%	28.9%	1.5%
Liberia	Buah	2017	25.7%	52.0%	7.4%
Liberia	Butaw	2000	10.5%	18.6%	4.3%
Liberia	Butaw	2017	23.8%	36.6%	13.3%
Liberia	Careysburg	2000	7.1%	16.9%	3.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Liberia	Careysburg	2017	30.5%	44.6%	22.3%
Liberia	Commnwealth	2000	14.0%	40.8%	1.6%
Liberia	Commnwealth	2017	33.3%	64.6%	7.7%
Liberia	District # 1	2000	8.8%	18.1%	2.9%
Liberia	District # 1	2017	22.3%	35.5%	10.5%
Liberia	District # 2	2000	11.4%	21.2%	4.4%
Liberia	District # 2	2017	25.7%	40.2%	13.6%
Liberia	District # 3	2000	25.2%	31.2%	20.5%
Liberia	District # 3	2017	51.3%	58.4%	44.2%
Liberia	District # 4	2000	14.9%	25.0%	6.6%
Liberia	District # 4	2017	31.9%	46.6%	17.5%
Liberia	Dugbe River	2000	8.4%	25.5%	1.3%
Liberia	Dugbe River	2017	21.7%	50.7%	7.0%
Liberia	Firestone	2000	26.4%	35.3%	21.8%
Liberia	Firestone	2017	44.7%	55.4%	37.1%
Liberia	Foya	2000	12.1%	24.5%	6.3%
Liberia	Foya	2017	26.5%	42.8%	14.2%
Liberia	Fuamah	2000	11.0%	22.1%	3.2%
Liberia	Fuamah	2017	23.4%	40.6%	10.1%
Liberia	Garwula	2000	13.3%	23.4%	7.2%
Liberia	Garwula	2017	28.6%	42.1%	18.2%
Liberia	Gbarma	2000	6.1%	10.5%	3.4%
Liberia	Gbarma	2017	14.5%	22.4%	8.7%
Liberia	Gbarzon	2000	12.1%	19.3%	7.4%
Liberia	Gbarzon	2017	27.5%	37.0%	19.0%
Liberia	Gbeapo	2000	12.0%	18.9%	7.5%
Liberia	Gbeapo	2017	27.4%	38.5%	19.5%
Liberia	Gbehlageh	2000	7.0%	14.0%	2.6%
Liberia	Gbehlageh	2017	19.0%	30.1%	9.8%
Liberia	Gibi	2000	9.4%	21.2%	1.9%
Liberia	Gibi	2017	22.8%	39.8%	8.8%
Liberia	Golakonneh	2000	13.3%	20.1%	8.5%
Liberia	Golakonneh	2017	29.1%	39.4%	19.9%
Liberia	Greater Mon- rovia	2000	30.3%	32.0%	28.6%
Liberia	Greater Mon- rovia	2017	64.7%	66.5%	62.9%
Liberia	Greenville	2000	4.9%	8.9%	2.8%
Liberia	Greenville	2017	23.6%	34.7%	16.8%
Liberia	Jaedae	2000	11.3%	21.1%	5.0%
Liberia	Jaedepo	2017	27.4%	42.8%	16.6%
Liberia	Jorquelleh	2000	19.1%	30.2%	11.6%
Liberia	Jorquelleh	2017	40.2%	54.5%	29.5%
Liberia	Juarzon	2000	8.2%	16.1%	2.7%
Liberia	Juarzon	2017	20.4%	33.0%	9.9%
Liberia	Kakata	2000	12.4%	19.4%	7.0%
Liberia	Kakata	2017	31.2%	39.6%	24.5%
Liberia	Klay	2000	8.6%	13.1%	4.9%
Liberia	Klay	2017	26.1%	34.2%	19.6%
Liberia	Kokoyah	2000	11.5%	26.6%	2.8%
Liberia	Kokoyah	2017	26.7%	49.2%	10.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Liberia	Kolahun	2000	11.2%	18.8%	5.8%
Liberia	Kolahun	2017	27.0%	37.5%	17.8%
Liberia	Kongba	2000	10.8%	19.3%	3.9%
Liberia	Kongba	2017	24.8%	38.0%	14.2%
Liberia	Konobo	2000	13.0%	18.9%	8.1%
Liberia	Konobo	2017	27.2%	37.6%	19.4%
Liberia	Kpayan	2000	12.8%	27.6%	4.2%
Liberia	Kpayan	2017	29.8%	50.3%	14.0%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2000	12.2%	35.6%	0.6%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2000	7.6%	16.8%	2.2%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2017	26.5%	59.4%	4.3%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2017	20.5%	34.9%	9.6%
Liberia	Mambah-Kaba	2000	26.5%	40.6%	18.1%
Liberia	Mambah-Kaba	2017	44.1%	62.0%	31.4%
Liberia	Mecca	2000	10.5%	28.2%	0.7%
Liberia	Mecca	2017	26.6%	54.4%	4.7%
Liberia	Morweh	2000	11.0%	20.6%	4.4%
Liberia	Morweh	2017	24.4%	37.4%	12.6%
Liberia	Owensgrove	2000	6.4%	18.9%	1.1%
Liberia	Owensgrove	2017	17.1%	35.6%	5.2%
Liberia	Panta-Kpa	2000	9.7%	21.4%	2.1%
Liberia	Panta-Kpa	2017	24.8%	40.8%	9.7%
Liberia	Pleebo/Sodeken	2000	13.7%	18.1%	10.5%
Liberia	Pleebo/Sodeken	2017	32.7%	39.0%	28.1%
Liberia	Porkpa	2000	19.1%	31.6%	10.2%
Liberia	Porkpa	2017	42.7%	57.5%	29.1%
Liberia	Pyneston	2000	11.3%	23.8%	3.7%
Liberia	Pyneston	2017	26.3%	42.8%	13.2%
Liberia	Saclepea	2000	12.6%	21.0%	6.4%
Liberia	Saclepea	2017	32.0%	43.7%	21.9%
Liberia	Salala	2000	11.7%	27.8%	2.7%
Liberia	Salala	2017	30.6%	53.0%	13.4%
Liberia	Salayea	2000	10.2%	21.9%	3.4%
Liberia	Salayea	2017	27.4%	44.4%	14.4%
Liberia	Sanayea	2000	8.0%	17.7%	1.7%
Liberia	Sanayea	2017	20.8%	36.3%	9.0%
Liberia	Sanniqueleh-Mahn	2000	10.8%	17.1%	5.8%
Liberia	Sanniqueleh-Mahn	2017	29.0%	39.6%	20.6%
Liberia	Sasstown 180606	2000	9.0%	19.4%	2.7%
Liberia	Sasstown 180606	2017	22.8%	38.5%	10.5%
Liberia	St Paul River	2000	26.5%	31.1%	23.5%
Liberia	St Paul River	2017	55.0%	61.5%	50.6%
Liberia	Stjohnriver	2000	15.3%	28.4%	6.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Liberia	Stjohnriver	2017	32.8%	50.3%	17.4%
Liberia	Suakoko	2000	9.8%	18.6%	4.6%
Liberia	Suakoko	2017	28.3%	41.8%	17.6%
Liberia	Tappita	2000	14.0%	22.7%	6.6%
Liberia	Tappita	2017	31.3%	44.3%	19.4%
Liberia	Tchien	2000	8.0%	14.2%	3.1%
Liberia	Tchien	2017	25.3%	35.3%	15.9%
Liberia	Tewor	2000	7.7%	22.8%	1.1%
Liberia	Tewor	2017	22.2%	43.1%	7.4%
Liberia	Timbo	2000	11.0%	17.6%	6.0%
Liberia	Timbo	2017	29.2%	38.3%	20.8%
Liberia	Todee	2000	14.0%	20.2%	9.8%
Liberia	Todee	2017	28.2%	36.4%	20.8%
Liberia	Upperkrucoast	2000	15.8%	29.7%	7.8%
Liberia	Upperkrucoast	2017	32.9%	48.9%	20.3%
Liberia	Voinjama	2000	15.6%	24.9%	10.0%
Liberia	Voinjama	2017	32.4%	46.0%	23.2%
Liberia	Webbo	2000	13.4%	22.2%	7.3%
Liberia	Webbo	2017	28.7%	40.0%	19.2%
Liberia	Yarwein-Mehnsohnne	2000	11.0%	24.2%	3.0%
Liberia	Yarwein-Mehnsohnne	2017	25.7%	42.8%	10.7%
Liberia	Zoegeh	2000	9.3%	17.5%	3.5%
Liberia	Zoegeh	2017	22.4%	36.4%	11.0%
Liberia	Zorzor	2000	10.2%	19.4%	4.7%
Liberia	Zorzor	2017	26.1%	41.9%	15.0%
Liberia	Zota	2000	9.2%	24.1%	1.0%
Liberia	Zota	2017	22.9%	45.6%	5.4%
Madagascar	Alaotra-Mangoro	2000	4.0%	5.7%	2.9%
Madagascar	Alaotra-Mangoro	2017	11.9%	15.2%	9.4%
Madagascar	Amoron'i mania	2000	3.6%	5.1%	2.4%
Madagascar	Amoron'i mania	2017	16.6%	21.4%	13.0%
Madagascar	Analamanga	2000	26.7%	29.8%	24.0%
Madagascar	Analamanga	2017	42.4%	45.7%	39.3%
Madagascar	Analanjirifo	2000	4.2%	6.2%	3.1%
Madagascar	Analanjirifo	2017	9.8%	12.7%	7.5%
Madagascar	Androy	2000	1.9%	2.7%	1.2%
Madagascar	Androy	2017	6.0%	7.8%	4.6%
Madagascar	Anosy	2000	2.6%	3.9%	1.8%
Madagascar	Anosy	2017	9.6%	12.5%	7.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Madagascar	Atsimo-Andrefana	2000	4.4%	6.7%	3.2%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Andrefana	2017	14.4%	17.7%	11.7%
Madagascar	Atsinana	2000	2.7%	3.9%	1.9%
Madagascar	Atsinana	2017	8.3%	11.4%	6.1%
Madagascar	Atsinanana	2000	6.6%	9.0%	4.8%
Madagascar	Atsinanana	2017	11.8%	18.7%	8.1%
Madagascar	Betsiboka	2000	3.1%	4.2%	2.3%
Madagascar	Betsiboka	2017	9.7%	12.7%	7.3%
Madagascar	Boeny	2000	9.6%	11.6%	7.0%
Madagascar	Boeny	2017	12.7%	16.1%	10.1%
Madagascar	Bongolava	2000	3.2%	5.8%	2.0%
Madagascar	Bongolava	2017	10.2%	13.9%	7.3%
Madagascar	Diana	2000	7.9%	9.8%	6.5%
Madagascar	Diana	2017	13.8%	17.0%	11.1%
Madagascar	Haute matsiatra	2000	4.3%	8.5%	2.4%
Madagascar	Haute matsiatra	2017	17.5%	24.5%	11.5%
Madagascar	Ihorombe	2000	4.1%	6.9%	2.3%
Madagascar	Ihorombe	2017	10.4%	13.3%	7.8%
Madagascar	Itasy	2000	5.0%	6.8%	3.4%
Madagascar	Itasy	2017	18.8%	23.6%	15.4%
Madagascar	Melaky	2000	3.5%	4.3%	2.8%
Madagascar	Melaky	2017	11.1%	13.7%	8.3%
Madagascar	Menabe	2000	5.7%	7.5%	4.4%
Madagascar	Menabe	2017	12.4%	15.7%	9.9%
Madagascar	Sava	2000	4.9%	6.2%	3.9%
Madagascar	Sava	2017	12.1%	14.6%	9.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Madagascar	Sofia	2000	3.2%	4.0%	2.4%
Madagascar	Sofia	2017	11.7%	14.2%	9.5%
Madagascar	Vakinankaratra	2000	4.8%	7.2%	3.4%
Madagascar	Vakinankaratra	2017	14.2%	21.3%	9.8%
Madagascar	Vatovavy Fitovinany	2000	3.8%	5.1%	2.7%
Madagascar	Vatovavy Fitovinany	2017	9.7%	12.0%	7.1%
Malawi	Balaka	2000	37.6%	38.6%	36.5%
Malawi	Balaka	2017	46.5%	47.7%	45.2%
Malawi	Blantyre	2000	40.0%	40.4%	39.6%
Malawi	Blantyre	2017	48.6%	49.3%	47.7%
Malawi	Chikwawa	2000	29.6%	30.7%	28.6%
Malawi	Chikwawa	2017	37.6%	38.8%	36.3%
Malawi	Chiradzulu	2000	39.5%	40.6%	38.8%
Malawi	Chiradzulu	2017	48.6%	49.8%	47.5%
Malawi	Chitipa	2000	40.2%	41.5%	39.1%
Malawi	Chitipa	2017	49.4%	50.9%	48.0%
Malawi	Dedza	2000	37.8%	38.5%	37.1%
Malawi	Dedza	2017	46.6%	47.7%	45.5%
Malawi	Dowa	2000	36.8%	37.7%	36.0%
Malawi	Dowa	2017	45.7%	46.9%	44.6%
Malawi	Karonga	2000	36.1%	37.7%	35.1%
Malawi	Karonga	2017	44.7%	46.2%	43.2%
Malawi	Kasungu	2000	36.5%	37.3%	35.8%
Malawi	Kasungu	2017	45.5%	46.6%	44.3%
Malawi	Likoma	2000	43.6%	49.7%	37.1%
Malawi	Likoma	2017	52.6%	59.3%	45.4%
Malawi	Lilongwe	2000	37.8%	38.3%	37.4%
Malawi	Lilongwe	2017	46.5%	47.4%	45.7%
Malawi	Machinga	2000	36.6%	37.4%	35.9%
Malawi	Machinga	2017	45.4%	46.5%	44.2%
Malawi	Mangochi	2000	38.4%	39.2%	37.6%
Malawi	Mangochi	2017	47.3%	48.4%	46.1%
Malawi	Mchinji	2000	35.6%	36.7%	34.7%
Malawi	Mchinji	2017	44.3%	45.6%	43.0%
Malawi	Mulanje	2000	38.3%	39.0%	37.5%
Malawi	Mulanje	2017	47.1%	48.2%	46.0%
Malawi	Mwanza	2000	37.4%	38.7%	35.8%
Malawi	Mwanza	2017	46.3%	47.9%	44.6%
Malawi	Mzimba	2000	37.7%	38.3%	37.1%
Malawi	Mzimba	2017	46.6%	47.5%	45.5%
Malawi	Neno	2000	36.8%	38.1%	35.4%
Malawi	Neno	2017	45.5%	47.0%	44.0%
Malawi	Nkhata Bay	2000	39.5%	41.9%	37.6%
Malawi	Nkhata Bay	2017	48.0%	49.9%	46.3%
Malawi	Nkhotakota	2000	36.1%	37.3%	35.1%
Malawi	Nkhotakota	2017	44.6%	45.9%	43.2%
Malawi	Nsanje	2000	28.0%	29.1%	26.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Malawi	Nsanje	2017	35.9%	37.1%	34.6%
Malawi	Ntcheu	2000	38.4%	39.3%	37.5%
Malawi	Ntcheu	2017	47.4%	48.5%	46.0%
Malawi	Ntchisi	2000	38.7%	40.0%	37.5%
Malawi	Ntchisi	2017	47.7%	49.1%	46.4%
Malawi	Phalombe	2000	34.7%	35.7%	33.7%
Malawi	Phalombe	2017	43.7%	44.9%	42.3%
Malawi	Rumphi	2000	39.8%	41.2%	38.6%
Malawi	Rumphi	2017	48.7%	50.2%	47.3%
Malawi	Salima	2000	34.3%	35.1%	33.5%
Malawi	Salima	2017	42.8%	43.8%	41.7%
Malawi	Thyolo	2000	38.9%	39.6%	38.3%
Malawi	Thyolo	2017	47.9%	49.0%	47.0%
Malawi	Zomba	2000	38.7%	39.4%	38.0%
Malawi	Zomba	2017	47.6%	48.7%	46.6%
Mali	Abeïbara	2000	24.3%	30.1%	18.2%
Mali	Abeïbara	2017	33.7%	40.5%	27.7%
Mali	Ansongo	2000	27.7%	33.4%	21.8%
Mali	Ansongo	2017	39.1%	45.2%	32.7%
Mali	Bafoulabé	2000	37.3%	44.7%	30.2%
Mali	Bafoulabé	2017	47.8%	54.7%	41.4%
Mali	Bamako	2000	72.5%	72.9%	72.1%
Mali	Bamako	2017	87.6%	88.0%	87.2%
Mali	Banamba	2000	42.2%	49.7%	34.8%
Mali	Banamba	2017	51.3%	58.5%	43.7%
Mali	Bandiagara	2000	24.7%	29.0%	21.2%
Mali	Bandiagara	2017	29.3%	34.1%	25.2%
Mali	Bankass	2000	28.3%	34.9%	22.9%
Mali	Bankass	2017	35.5%	41.9%	30.0%
Mali	Barouéli	2000	37.6%	44.5%	30.2%
Mali	Barouéli	2017	48.6%	56.0%	40.7%
Mali	Bla	2000	41.0%	48.3%	34.3%
Mali	Bla	2017	51.2%	58.0%	44.3%
Mali	Bougouni	2000	38.0%	43.1%	33.1%
Mali	Bougouni	2017	46.2%	51.2%	41.5%
Mali	Bourem	2000	25.7%	31.9%	20.0%
Mali	Bourem	2017	36.2%	41.3%	31.3%
Mali	Diéma	2000	34.2%	41.1%	26.8%
Mali	Diéma	2017	43.7%	50.5%	36.7%
Mali	Dioïla	2000	35.6%	40.0%	31.0%
Mali	Dioïla	2017	42.8%	47.2%	38.5%
Mali	Diré	2000	36.9%	48.2%	26.2%
Mali	Diré	2017	48.6%	58.3%	39.1%
Mali	Djenné	2000	32.8%	39.8%	26.4%
Mali	Djenné	2017	41.0%	46.4%	36.0%
Mali	Douentza	2000	32.2%	37.4%	26.2%
Mali	Douentza	2017	40.4%	45.8%	34.0%
Mali	Gao	2000	60.0%	63.7%	56.7%
Mali	Gao	2017	63.9%	67.7%	60.5%
Mali	Goundam	2000	26.6%	32.4%	21.7%
Mali	Goundam	2017	40.7%	45.9%	35.5%
Mali	Gourma-Rharous	2000	31.1%	36.2%	26.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mali	Gourma-Rharous	2017	41.1%	46.6%	36.4%
Mali	Kadiolo	2000	41.0%	49.5%	33.5%
Mali	Kadiolo	2017	48.9%	58.7%	39.4%
Mali	Kangaba	2000	37.3%	48.3%	28.2%
Mali	Kangaba	2017	46.1%	56.6%	36.6%
Mali	Kati	2000	48.7%	52.1%	46.0%
Mali	Kati	2017	68.2%	70.8%	65.5%
Mali	Kayes	2000	43.8%	48.6%	39.4%
Mali	Kayes	2017	55.3%	59.8%	51.0%
Mali	Kéniéba	2000	35.3%	41.1%	30.0%
Mali	Kéniéba	2017	42.8%	48.0%	36.8%
Mali	Kidal	2000	38.1%	44.4%	32.7%
Mali	Kidal	2017	45.8%	53.3%	40.0%
Mali	Kita	2000	36.9%	41.5%	32.8%
Mali	Kita	2017	44.2%	48.3%	40.2%
Mali	Kolokani	2000	36.3%	43.4%	29.7%
Mali	Kolokani	2017	46.8%	53.7%	39.9%
Mali	Kolondiéba	2000	36.8%	45.3%	29.4%
Mali	Kolondiéba	2017	44.8%	52.7%	37.1%
Mali	Koro	2000	30.2%	35.0%	25.3%
Mali	Koro	2017	37.8%	42.9%	32.7%
Mali	Koulikoro	2000	33.2%	38.5%	28.1%
Mali	Koulikoro	2017	43.6%	48.8%	38.4%
Mali	Koutiala	2000	40.2%	45.9%	34.6%
Mali	Koutiala	2017	53.7%	58.6%	48.8%
Mali	Macina	2000	34.1%	41.5%	28.1%
Mali	Macina	2017	46.9%	53.8%	40.4%
Mali	Ménaka	2000	27.9%	33.7%	22.6%
Mali	Ménaka	2017	37.6%	44.1%	32.3%
Mali	Mopti	2000	50.6%	55.1%	46.4%
Mali	Mopti	2017	61.6%	65.3%	57.9%
Mali	Nara	2000	29.6%	36.8%	24.4%
Mali	Nara	2017	37.6%	44.2%	32.8%
Mali	Niafunké	2000	30.9%	37.4%	24.9%
Mali	Niafunké	2017	40.2%	46.8%	33.6%
Mali	Niono	2000	37.4%	41.6%	33.4%
Mali	Niono	2017	48.4%	53.3%	43.7%
Mali	Nioro	2000	38.8%	46.1%	32.5%
Mali	Nioro	2017	48.2%	54.3%	42.9%
Mali	San	2000	37.7%	44.5%	31.0%
Mali	San	2017	47.1%	53.8%	40.3%
Mali	Ségou	2000	40.4%	43.9%	36.4%
Mali	Ségou	2017	53.3%	56.9%	49.0%
Mali	Sikasso	2000	41.7%	46.6%	37.7%
Mali	Sikasso	2017	56.2%	60.1%	52.6%
Mali	Ténenkou	2000	32.3%	39.2%	26.2%
Mali	Ténenkou	2017	42.2%	48.1%	36.2%
Mali	Tessalit	2000	26.0%	30.6%	21.4%
Mali	Tessalit	2017	37.7%	44.4%	31.7%
Mali	Tin-Essako	2000	19.5%	29.8%	11.9%
Mali	Tin-Essako	2017	27.6%	37.4%	19.8%
Mali	Tombouctou	2000	24.2%	27.8%	20.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mali	Tombouctou	2017	34.6%	38.3%	31.0%
Mali	Tominian	2000	24.1%	31.4%	18.1%
Mali	Tominian	2017	32.9%	40.4%	26.4%
Mali	Yanfolila	2000	37.6%	44.3%	31.0%
Mali	Yanfolila	2017	49.0%	55.1%	42.4%
Mali	Yélimané	2000	33.5%	41.8%	26.7%
Mali	Yélimané	2017	40.0%	48.3%	33.0%
Mali	Yorosso	2000	32.6%	42.0%	25.3%
Mali	Yorosso	2017	40.1%	48.3%	32.4%
Mali	Youwarou	2000	36.4%	45.7%	28.4%
Mali	Youwarou	2017	45.1%	54.1%	36.3%
Mauritania	Aïoun	2000	28.2%	37.3%	20.7%
Mauritania	Aïoun	2017	48.4%	55.5%	41.3%
Mauritania	Akjoujt	2000	44.2%	52.8%	37.3%
Mauritania	Akjoujt	2017	66.5%	72.7%	59.7%
Mauritania	Aleg	2000	30.6%	37.3%	25.1%
Mauritania	Aleg	2017	52.1%	59.1%	46.1%
Mauritania	Amourj	2000	20.7%	29.4%	13.5%
Mauritania	Amourj	2017	26.9%	33.4%	20.5%
Mauritania	Aoujeft	2000	38.4%	49.9%	29.5%
Mauritania	Aoujeft	2017	52.2%	60.1%	43.5%
Mauritania	Atar	2000	37.3%	48.9%	25.8%
Mauritania	Atar	2017	66.5%	75.8%	54.9%
Mauritania	Bababé	2000	19.2%	33.3%	9.7%
Mauritania	Bababé	2017	44.2%	62.0%	28.8%
Mauritania	Barkéol	2000	26.4%	37.5%	17.6%
Mauritania	Barkéol	2017	31.4%	41.7%	23.6%
Mauritania	Bassikounou	2000	20.9%	29.7%	13.0%
Mauritania	Bassikounou	2017	37.5%	51.5%	25.7%
Mauritania	Bir Moghreïn	2000	33.1%	40.2%	26.6%
Mauritania	Bir Moghreïn	2017	53.5%	61.9%	44.0%
Mauritania	Boghé	2000	35.7%	48.4%	25.1%
Mauritania	Boghé	2017	56.0%	66.1%	45.3%
Mauritania	Boumdeïd	2000	22.4%	36.3%	13.2%
Mauritania	Boumdeïd	2017	44.4%	56.6%	31.5%
Mauritania	Boutilimit	2000	43.7%	51.9%	35.5%
Mauritania	Boutilimit	2017	54.3%	61.8%	45.0%
Mauritania	Chinguetti	2000	30.5%	37.7%	25.0%
Mauritania	Chinguetti	2017	53.2%	58.8%	47.2%
Mauritania	Djiguenni	2000	19.3%	28.9%	12.6%
Mauritania	Djiguenni	2017	30.5%	38.5%	23.3%
Mauritania	F'Dérik	2000	68.6%	85.5%	43.2%
Mauritania	F'Dérik	2017	49.6%	80.5%	18.0%
Mauritania	Guérou	2000	38.1%	57.3%	21.4%
Mauritania	Guérou	2017	55.5%	73.3%	37.4%
Mauritania	Kaédi	2000	25.4%	35.9%	16.8%
Mauritania	Kaédi	2017	28.6%	37.7%	20.9%
Mauritania	Kankossa	2000	17.3%	23.8%	11.7%
Mauritania	Kankossa	2017	33.3%	40.3%	25.3%
Mauritania	Keur-Macène	2000	49.3%	59.0%	39.8%
Mauritania	Keur-Macène	2017	61.0%	71.1%	50.2%
Mauritania	Kiffa	2000	25.2%	34.5%	16.8%
Mauritania	Kiffa	2017	38.8%	47.9%	29.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mauritania	Kobenni	2000	19.6%	28.3%	12.9%
Mauritania	Kobenni	2017	25.5%	33.2%	19.0%
Mauritania	M'Bagne	2000	20.6%	28.7%	14.0%
Mauritania	M'Bagne	2017	29.7%	35.4%	25.3%
Mauritania	M'Bout	2000	23.9%	34.8%	15.6%
Mauritania	M'Bout	2017	31.2%	40.8%	22.8%
Mauritania	Maghama	2000	26.4%	39.4%	15.9%
Mauritania	Maghama	2017	40.6%	55.6%	29.9%
Mauritania	Magta-Lahjar	2000	31.3%	42.1%	20.9%
Mauritania	Magta-Lahjar	2017	51.8%	62.2%	42.7%
Mauritania	Méderdra	2000	34.8%	44.6%	23.5%
Mauritania	Méderdra	2017	56.8%	66.7%	44.1%
Mauritania	Monguel	2000	18.6%	29.2%	11.9%
Mauritania	Monguel	2017	19.5%	28.9%	12.8%
Mauritania	Moudjéria	2000	38.3%	45.1%	32.1%
Mauritania	Moudjéria	2017	49.5%	57.0%	41.8%
Mauritania	Néma	2000	20.8%	26.3%	15.9%
Mauritania	Néma	2017	34.3%	40.2%	28.8%
Mauritania	Nouadhibou	2000	64.4%	85.0%	44.3%
Mauritania	Nouadhibou	2017	78.3%	93.1%	55.5%
Mauritania	Nouakchott	2000	77.2%	78.2%	75.9%
Mauritania	Nouakchott	2017	91.1%	91.7%	90.5%
Mauritania	Ouad-Naga	2000	38.0%	53.1%	25.6%
Mauritania	Ouad-Naga	2017	58.8%	71.1%	47.3%
Mauritania	Ouadane	2000	32.7%	39.6%	25.7%
Mauritania	Ouadane	2017	42.5%	48.8%	36.8%
Mauritania	Ould Yengé	2000	12.9%	19.8%	7.1%
Mauritania	Ould Yengé	2017	38.5%	51.2%	26.9%
Mauritania	R'Kiz	2000	37.5%	50.5%	25.1%
Mauritania	R'Kiz	2017	50.5%	61.5%	38.9%
Mauritania	Rosso	2000	62.1%	75.9%	48.1%
Mauritania	Rosso	2017	69.5%	82.1%	52.1%
Mauritania	Sélibaby	2000	12.0%	18.2%	7.5%
Mauritania	Sélibaby	2017	24.1%	31.1%	17.5%
Mauritania	Tamchakett	2000	24.3%	33.4%	15.8%
Mauritania	Tamchakett	2017	34.4%	44.3%	23.1%
Mauritania	Tichitt	2000	21.9%	27.9%	17.0%
Mauritania	Tichitt	2017	40.3%	47.8%	33.8%
Mauritania	Tidjikja	2000	31.3%	40.1%	23.8%
Mauritania	Tidjikja	2017	47.5%	56.0%	39.0%
Mauritania	Timbédra	2000	23.1%	30.9%	16.2%
Mauritania	Timbédra	2017	34.8%	42.8%	27.7%
Mauritania	Tintane	2000	24.1%	32.7%	17.9%
Mauritania	Tintane	2017	38.3%	46.4%	30.5%
Mauritania	Zouérate	2000	86.5%	89.3%	82.5%
Mauritania	Zouérate	2017	91.0%	95.9%	79.6%
Mozambique	Alto Molocue	2000	11.9%	16.0%	8.4%
Mozambique	Alto Molocue	2017	17.7%	22.8%	13.4%
Mozambique	Ancuabe	2000	19.1%	23.7%	15.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Ancuabe	2017	27.4%	33.0%	22.9%
Mozambique	Angoche	2000	9.8%	13.7%	6.9%
Mozambique	Angoche	2017	14.4%	19.4%	10.6%
Mozambique	Angónia	2000	22.9%	27.3%	18.8%
Mozambique	Angónia	2017	32.6%	38.3%	27.3%
Mozambique	Balama	2000	14.4%	19.7%	10.5%
Mozambique	Balama	2017	21.8%	27.7%	16.6%
Mozambique	Barue	2000	15.9%	19.8%	12.7%
Mozambique	Barue	2017	23.7%	29.2%	18.4%
Mozambique	Bilene	2000	23.2%	28.0%	19.6%
Mozambique	Bilene	2017	32.8%	38.4%	28.8%
Mozambique	Boane	2000	42.4%	46.8%	38.1%
Mozambique	Boane	2017	54.3%	58.7%	50.1%
Mozambique	Buzi	2000	16.0%	19.7%	12.7%
Mozambique	Buzi	2017	23.3%	27.9%	19.3%
Mozambique	Cahora Bassa	2000	12.4%	16.0%	9.2%
Mozambique	Cahora Bassa	2017	17.1%	21.9%	12.4%
Mozambique	Caia	2000	12.7%	16.6%	9.0%
Mozambique	Caia	2017	21.4%	27.0%	16.5%
Mozambique	Changara	2000	18.4%	22.9%	15.1%
Mozambique	Changara	2017	27.7%	33.2%	22.9%
Mozambique	Chemba	2000	11.5%	16.8%	7.8%
Mozambique	Chemba	2017	17.2%	24.2%	12.1%
Mozambique	Cheringoma	2000	13.9%	18.0%	10.2%
Mozambique	Cheringoma	2017	20.3%	25.4%	15.6%
Mozambique	Chibabava	2000	11.6%	15.4%	8.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Chibabava	2017	17.5%	22.6%	13.2%
Mozambique	Chibuto	2000	25.0%	29.5%	21.1%
Mozambique	Chibuto	2017	34.5%	40.1%	29.7%
Mozambique	Chicualacuala	2000	14.4%	18.1%	11.6%
Mozambique	Chicualacuala	2017	21.0%	25.5%	17.2%
Mozambique	Chifunde	2000	18.5%	24.2%	14.6%
Mozambique	Chifunde	2017	27.1%	33.3%	21.9%
Mozambique	Chigubo	2000	12.5%	15.2%	10.1%
Mozambique	Chigubo	2017	18.6%	22.4%	15.3%
Mozambique	Chinde	2000	10.1%	13.4%	7.3%
Mozambique	Chinde	2017	16.4%	20.2%	12.9%
Mozambique	Chiúre	2000	17.5%	21.7%	14.1%
Mozambique	Chiúre	2017	25.1%	30.6%	21.0%
Mozambique	Chiuta	2000	13.3%	17.1%	10.5%
Mozambique	Chiuta	2017	20.0%	24.5%	16.0%
Mozambique	Chókwè	2000	32.8%	37.2%	28.2%
Mozambique	Chókwè	2017	43.6%	48.6%	38.3%
Mozambique	Cidade de Matola	2000	43.9%	45.8%	42.3%
Mozambique	Cidade de Matola	2017	55.7%	57.3%	53.9%
Mozambique	Cuamba	2000	24.9%	30.5%	20.1%
Mozambique	Cuamba	2017	35.2%	41.8%	29.3%
Mozambique	Dondo	2000	30.2%	33.1%	27.4%
Mozambique	Dondo	2017	39.3%	43.4%	35.8%
Mozambique	Erati	2000	16.2%	20.2%	12.5%
Mozambique	Erati	2017	24.1%	29.7%	19.5%
Mozambique	Funhalouro	2000	11.3%	14.3%	8.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Funhalouro	2017	17.2%	21.6%	13.8%
Mozambique	Gile	2000	9.7%	12.8%	7.4%
Mozambique	Gile	2017	14.5%	18.3%	10.9%
Mozambique	Gondola	2000	22.5%	25.5%	19.9%
Mozambique	Gondola	2017	30.7%	34.9%	27.1%
Mozambique	Gorongosa	2000	12.3%	15.6%	9.8%
Mozambique	Gorongosa	2017	20.2%	24.3%	17.0%
Mozambique	Govuro	2000	14.3%	20.3%	9.6%
Mozambique	Govuro	2017	21.2%	28.1%	15.4%
Mozambique	Guijá	2000	23.9%	29.6%	18.3%
Mozambique	Guijá	2017	32.6%	38.6%	25.7%
Mozambique	Guro	2000	11.8%	15.8%	8.7%
Mozambique	Guro	2017	17.6%	22.7%	13.2%
Mozambique	Gurue	2000	11.3%	14.5%	8.6%
Mozambique	Gurue	2017	17.4%	21.6%	13.3%
Mozambique	Homoine	2000	24.3%	28.7%	20.5%
Mozambique	Homoine	2017	32.4%	37.5%	27.3%
Mozambique	Ile	2000	10.5%	14.5%	7.8%
Mozambique	Ile	2017	15.5%	20.3%	11.9%
Mozambique	Inharrime	2000	17.6%	23.7%	12.7%
Mozambique	Inharrime	2017	25.4%	33.0%	18.7%
Mozambique	Inhassoro	2000	16.3%	23.9%	10.7%
Mozambique	Inhassoro	2017	23.7%	31.9%	16.4%
Mozambique	Inhassunge	2000	5.8%	9.2%	3.3%
Mozambique	Inhassunge	2017	9.5%	14.5%	5.5%
Mozambique	Jangamo	2000	24.4%	30.2%	19.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Jangamo	2017	33.3%	40.3%	28.0%
Mozambique	Lago	2000	18.9%	24.4%	13.9%
Mozambique	Lago	2017	27.1%	34.3%	20.8%
Mozambique	Lalaua	2000	15.8%	21.0%	11.5%
Mozambique	Lalaua	2017	23.0%	30.0%	17.3%
Mozambique	Lichinga	2000	25.5%	29.8%	21.8%
Mozambique	Lichinga	2017	35.7%	40.7%	30.8%
Mozambique	Lugela	2000	9.9%	13.7%	7.1%
Mozambique	Lugela	2017	14.9%	19.7%	10.9%
Mozambique	Mabalane	2000	16.4%	22.9%	12.1%
Mozambique	Mabalane	2017	23.5%	30.8%	17.7%
Mozambique	Mabote	2000	12.2%	14.9%	9.3%
Mozambique	Mabote	2017	18.1%	21.9%	14.4%
Mozambique	Macanga	2000	18.4%	23.5%	13.4%
Mozambique	Macanga	2017	27.1%	33.6%	20.4%
Mozambique	Machanga	2000	12.5%	19.4%	8.4%
Mozambique	Machanga	2017	18.8%	26.7%	13.7%
Mozambique	Machaze	2000	11.6%	14.0%	9.3%
Mozambique	Machaze	2017	17.6%	20.9%	14.6%
Mozambique	Macomia	2000	12.0%	16.0%	8.4%
Mozambique	Macomia	2017	16.9%	22.0%	12.5%
Mozambique	Macossa	2000	12.7%	16.9%	9.5%
Mozambique	Macossa	2017	19.0%	24.4%	14.0%
Mozambique	Maganja da Costa	2000	8.2%	11.0%	6.0%
Mozambique	Maganja da Costa	2017	12.9%	16.4%	9.9%
Mozambique	Magoe	2000	12.4%	15.7%	9.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Magoe	2017	18.8%	23.5%	15.2%
Mozambique	Magude	2000	25.3%	31.9%	19.2%
Mozambique	Magude	2017	32.5%	39.2%	25.3%
Mozambique	Majune	2000	19.5%	24.6%	14.3%
Mozambique	Majune	2017	27.8%	34.0%	21.6%
Mozambique	Malema	2000	15.5%	19.9%	11.6%
Mozambique	Malema	2017	22.9%	29.7%	17.0%
Mozambique	Mandimba	2000	20.5%	26.6%	14.8%
Mozambique	Mandimba	2017	29.6%	36.5%	22.7%
Mozambique	Mandlakazi	2000	21.5%	26.3%	17.5%
Mozambique	Mandlakazi	2017	30.6%	36.2%	25.5%
Mozambique	Manhiça	2000	23.4%	27.6%	18.8%
Mozambique	Manhiça	2017	33.0%	37.8%	27.6%
Mozambique	Manica	2000	22.9%	27.5%	19.1%
Mozambique	Manica	2017	30.5%	36.3%	25.8%
Mozambique	Maputo	2000	40.3%	41.5%	39.2%
Mozambique	Maputo	2017	54.4%	55.4%	53.4%
Mozambique	Maravia	2000	14.0%	17.0%	11.1%
Mozambique	Maravia	2017	20.8%	25.3%	16.9%
Mozambique	Maringue	2000	8.9%	12.1%	6.5%
Mozambique	Maringue	2017	13.8%	18.5%	10.3%
Mozambique	Marracuene	2000	30.3%	33.4%	27.8%
Mozambique	Marracuene	2017	41.6%	45.6%	38.2%
Mozambique	Marromeu	2000	18.7%	23.6%	14.9%
Mozambique	Marromeu	2017	30.6%	36.3%	25.4%
Mozambique	Marrupa	2000	18.2%	22.9%	14.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Marrupa	2017	27.2%	33.1%	21.8%
Mozambique	Massangena	2000	11.6%	16.8%	7.7%
Mozambique	Massangena	2017	17.5%	24.6%	12.3%
Mozambique	Massinga	2000	24.2%	29.1%	19.6%
Mozambique	Massinga	2017	31.5%	37.2%	26.3%
Mozambique	Massingir	2000	15.5%	20.7%	11.8%
Mozambique	Massingir	2017	22.5%	28.7%	17.5%
Mozambique	Matutuíne	2000	17.5%	21.5%	13.7%
Mozambique	Matutuíne	2017	25.4%	31.4%	20.2%
Mozambique	Maúa	2000	18.0%	23.2%	13.2%
Mozambique	Maúa	2017	26.1%	31.7%	20.8%
Mozambique	Mavago	2000	19.0%	25.9%	14.7%
Mozambique	Mavago	2017	27.2%	35.3%	21.2%
Mozambique	Mecanhelas	2000	19.9%	24.2%	16.4%
Mozambique	Mecanhelas	2017	28.0%	33.5%	23.0%
Mozambique	Meconta	2000	15.7%	19.8%	12.1%
Mozambique	Meconta	2017	23.3%	28.6%	18.5%
Mozambique	Mecuburi	2000	15.6%	19.8%	11.6%
Mozambique	Mecuburi	2017	22.7%	28.1%	17.7%
Mozambique	Mecufi	2000	12.0%	20.1%	6.5%
Mozambique	Mecufi	2017	17.4%	27.5%	10.0%
Mozambique	Mecula	2000	16.4%	21.4%	12.6%
Mozambique	Mecula	2017	23.7%	29.6%	19.1%
Mozambique	Meluco	2000	13.9%	18.4%	10.3%
Mozambique	Meluco	2017	20.4%	26.8%	15.4%
Mozambique	Memba	2000	12.7%	17.9%	9.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Memba	2017	19.5%	25.1%	14.8%
Mozambique	Metarica	2000	17.9%	23.8%	12.5%
Mozambique	Metarica	2017	25.9%	33.5%	18.5%
Mozambique	Milange	2000	12.4%	15.2%	10.1%
Mozambique	Milange	2017	18.6%	22.6%	15.1%
Mozambique	Moamba	2000	22.1%	29.0%	16.9%
Mozambique	Moamba	2017	32.6%	39.9%	26.4%
Mozambique	Moatize	2000	20.5%	24.2%	17.4%
Mozambique	Moatize	2017	27.8%	33.0%	23.2%
Mozambique	Mocimboa da Praia	2000	15.6%	20.3%	11.7%
Mozambique	Mocimboa da Praia	2017	22.7%	28.9%	17.3%
Mozambique	Mocuba	2000	13.6%	16.5%	11.2%
Mozambique	Mocuba	2017	19.6%	22.9%	16.3%
Mozambique	Mogovolas	2000	10.7%	14.1%	8.2%
Mozambique	Mogovolas	2017	16.2%	20.9%	12.9%
Mozambique	Moma	2000	8.1%	10.3%	6.2%
Mozambique	Moma	2017	12.5%	15.5%	9.6%
Mozambique	Monapo	2000	17.3%	24.7%	12.5%
Mozambique	Monapo	2017	25.8%	34.9%	18.8%
Mozambique	Mongincual	2000	11.9%	15.5%	8.7%
Mozambique	Mongincual	2017	17.5%	24.3%	12.7%
Mozambique	Montepuez	2000	17.7%	21.3%	14.8%
Mozambique	Montepuez	2017	26.3%	31.0%	22.4%
Mozambique	Mopeia	2000	13.0%	18.2%	8.8%
Mozambique	Mopeia	2017	19.8%	26.1%	13.9%
Mozambique	Morrumbala	2000	9.8%	12.1%	7.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Morrumbala	2017	14.8%	18.2%	12.1%
Mozambique	Morrumbene	2000	19.5%	24.6%	14.5%
Mozambique	Morrumbene	2017	27.7%	34.0%	21.8%
Mozambique	Mossuril	2000	13.9%	17.3%	10.7%
Mozambique	Mossuril	2017	22.5%	27.3%	17.7%
Mozambique	Mossurize	2000	16.9%	21.4%	13.8%
Mozambique	Mossurize	2017	23.9%	29.1%	19.8%
Mozambique	Muanza	2000	10.7%	14.1%	8.0%
Mozambique	Muanza	2017	17.2%	21.6%	13.1%
Mozambique	Muecate	2000	13.8%	19.0%	9.9%
Mozambique	Muecate	2017	20.6%	27.6%	15.3%
Mozambique	Mueda	2000	14.1%	18.3%	10.9%
Mozambique	Mueda	2017	21.4%	26.7%	17.2%
Mozambique	Muembe	2000	21.4%	29.3%	15.1%
Mozambique	Muembe	2017	30.6%	39.7%	22.8%
Mozambique	Muidumbe	2000	17.1%	23.0%	12.3%
Mozambique	Muidumbe	2017	24.8%	32.3%	18.6%
Mozambique	Murupula	2000	11.8%	16.8%	8.5%
Mozambique	Murupula	2017	18.2%	24.7%	13.2%
Mozambique	Mutarara	2000	10.8%	13.9%	7.6%
Mozambique	Mutarara	2017	16.4%	19.6%	12.9%
Mozambique	N'gauma	2000	21.7%	29.1%	14.8%
Mozambique	N'gauma	2017	30.9%	38.8%	21.8%
Mozambique	Nacala Velha	2000	40.7%	45.8%	35.7%
Mozambique	Nacala Velha	2017	51.3%	57.3%	45.7%
Mozambique	Nacaroa	2000	15.1%	21.2%	10.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Nacaroa	2017	22.4%	29.4%	16.4%
Mozambique	Namaacha	2000	25.0%	33.4%	17.3%
Mozambique	Namaacha	2017	33.9%	43.1%	24.9%
Mozambique	Namacurra	2000	7.5%	11.4%	4.4%
Mozambique	Namacurra	2017	12.4%	18.8%	7.1%
Mozambique	Namarroi	2000	9.9%	13.7%	6.3%
Mozambique	Namarroi	2017	14.8%	19.2%	10.1%
Mozambique	Nampula	2000	33.6%	37.3%	30.5%
Mozambique	Nampula	2017	44.1%	48.8%	40.1%
Mozambique	Namuno	2000	13.5%	18.1%	10.0%
Mozambique	Namuno	2017	19.8%	25.4%	15.3%
Mozambique	Nangade	2000	14.2%	19.0%	10.2%
Mozambique	Nangade	2017	20.7%	27.5%	15.3%
Mozambique	Nhamatanda	2000	11.7%	15.1%	8.7%
Mozambique	Nhamatanda	2017	18.6%	23.6%	14.3%
Mozambique	Nicoadala	2000	12.7%	16.0%	10.0%
Mozambique	Nicoadala	2017	21.0%	25.5%	17.0%
Mozambique	Nipepe	2000	15.5%	21.6%	10.6%
Mozambique	Nipepe	2017	22.7%	30.0%	16.4%
Mozambique	Palma	2000	11.9%	16.0%	8.6%
Mozambique	Palma	2017	17.6%	24.8%	12.0%
Mozambique	Panda	2000	11.8%	16.5%	8.4%
Mozambique	Panda	2017	17.6%	23.6%	13.3%
Mozambique	Pebane	2000	8.2%	11.6%	6.1%
Mozambique	Pebane	2017	12.7%	17.0%	9.6%
Mozambique	Pemba	2000	26.6%	29.8%	22.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Pemba	2017	38.0%	42.8%	33.2%
Mozambique	Quissanga	2000	15.8%	24.3%	10.1%
Mozambique	Quissanga	2017	23.3%	34.5%	15.7%
Mozambique	Ribaue	2000	15.5%	20.4%	12.2%
Mozambique	Ribaue	2017	23.0%	28.1%	18.4%
Mozambique	Sanga	2000	20.9%	28.0%	15.5%
Mozambique	Sanga	2017	29.3%	37.0%	22.8%
Mozambique	Sussundenga	2000	15.5%	20.2%	11.5%
Mozambique	Sussundenga	2017	22.8%	29.1%	17.4%
Mozambique	Tambara	2000	11.1%	16.2%	7.1%
Mozambique	Tambara	2017	16.3%	22.8%	10.6%
Mozambique	Tsangano	2000	21.6%	28.1%	15.9%
Mozambique	Tsangano	2017	30.6%	38.4%	23.6%
Mozambique	Vilanculos	2000	17.9%	22.0%	14.5%
Mozambique	Vilanculos	2017	26.4%	31.7%	21.7%
Mozambique	Xai-Xai	2000	29.4%	33.3%	25.4%
Mozambique	Xai-Xai	2017	40.6%	45.1%	35.7%
Mozambique	Zavala	2000	18.7%	25.7%	13.3%
Mozambique	Zavala	2017	27.0%	36.2%	20.1%
Mozambique	Zumbu	2000	13.1%	16.3%	10.4%
Mozambique	Zumbu	2017	20.4%	24.6%	15.5%
Namibia	Aminius	2000	21.3%	26.8%	16.3%
Namibia	Aminius	2017	47.8%	56.5%	39.3%
Namibia	Anamulenge	2000	8.3%	15.2%	5.0%
Namibia	Anamulenge	2017	16.1%	25.8%	11.0%
Namibia	Arandis	2000	67.3%	83.0%	52.6%
Namibia	Arandis	2017	88.8%	94.1%	77.1%
Namibia	Berseba	2000	39.8%	46.1%	33.4%
Namibia	Berseba	2017	68.5%	73.6%	62.8%
Namibia	Daures	2000	28.4%	39.4%	19.9%
Namibia	Daures	2017	58.8%	70.3%	47.0%
Namibia	Eenhana	2000	23.2%	32.0%	16.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Eenhana	2017	41.2%	54.1%	30.9%
Namibia	Elim	2000	20.2%	29.0%	14.1%
Namibia	Elim	2017	30.4%	43.7%	20.2%
Namibia	Endola	2000	3.9%	6.7%	2.5%
Namibia	Endola	2017	6.2%	11.9%	3.9%
Namibia	Engela	2000	6.3%	10.4%	4.1%
Namibia	Engela	2017	18.4%	28.2%	12.3%
Namibia	Engodi	2000	16.2%	22.8%	10.4%
Namibia	Engodi	2017	33.2%	41.8%	24.0%
Namibia	Epembe	2000	14.9%	23.3%	8.7%
Namibia	Epembe	2017	30.8%	43.6%	18.3%
Namibia	Epukiro	2000	19.0%	26.3%	12.7%
Namibia	Epukiro	2017	42.8%	53.2%	34.4%
Namibia	Epupa	2000	18.7%	23.3%	14.5%
Namibia	Epupa	2017	38.2%	45.7%	32.2%
Namibia	Etayi	2000	12.1%	19.7%	6.8%
Namibia	Etayi	2017	24.3%	38.2%	14.6%
Namibia	Gibeon	2000	35.2%	43.8%	25.8%
Namibia	Gibeon	2017	70.2%	75.2%	61.6%
Namibia	Gobabis	2000	13.3%	14.6%	12.1%
Namibia	Gobabis	2017	48.1%	51.7%	44.1%
Namibia	Grootfontein	2000	31.4%	36.4%	27.0%
Namibia	Grootfontein	2017	72.5%	76.2%	69.2%
Namibia	Guinas	2000	26.8%	37.9%	20.2%
Namibia	Guinas	2017	49.2%	63.0%	38.0%
Namibia	Kabe	2000	13.7%	21.2%	8.4%
Namibia	Kabe	2017	26.4%	37.6%	16.9%
Namibia	Kahenge	2000	11.5%	17.2%	7.9%
Namibia	Kahenge	2017	26.6%	36.9%	19.0%
Namibia	Kalahari	2000	27.0%	33.9%	19.9%
Namibia	Kalahari	2017	54.1%	62.1%	45.2%
Namibia	Kamanjab	2000	22.9%	30.1%	16.7%
Namibia	Kamanjab	2017	48.9%	60.9%	38.2%
Namibia	Kapako	2000	10.1%	15.5%	6.0%
Namibia	Kapako	2017	17.8%	24.9%	11.2%
Namibia	Karas	2000	27.5%	33.8%	22.3%
Namibia	Karas	2017	66.1%	71.6%	60.2%
Namibia	Karibib	2000	25.8%	32.2%	21.0%
Namibia	Karibib	2017	62.6%	67.7%	56.9%
Namibia	Katima	2000	19.8%	22.9%	16.9%
Namibia	Muliro Rural				
Namibia	Katima	2017	30.5%	35.6%	26.4%
Namibia	Muliro Rural				
Namibia	Katima	2000	5.6%	6.1%	4.6%
Namibia	Muliro Urban				
Namibia	Katima	2017	17.4%	21.5%	14.4%
Namibia	Muliro Urban				
Namibia	Katutura Central	2000	52.6%	55.1%	50.3%
Namibia	Katutura Central	2017	94.4%	94.9%	93.8%
Namibia	Katutura East	2000	52.6%	55.1%	50.3%
Namibia	Katutura East	2017	94.4%	94.9%	93.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Rural	2000	26.1%	33.9%	21.3%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Rural	2017	62.9%	70.8%	53.6%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Urban	2000	27.8%	31.5%	24.8%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Urban	2017	83.6%	85.5%	81.2%
Namibia	Khomasdalen North	2000	52.2%	54.5%	49.5%
Namibia	Khomasdalen North	2017	93.4%	94.0%	92.6%
Namibia	Khorixas	2000	18.9%	23.3%	14.7%
Namibia	Khorixas	2017	39.7%	45.5%	34.5%
Namibia	Kongola	2000	8.1%	12.2%	5.1%
Namibia	Kongola	2017	20.5%	29.2%	13.9%
Namibia	Linyandi	2000	12.2%	20.8%	5.5%
Namibia	Linyandi	2017	25.8%	37.7%	15.0%
Namibia	Luderitz	2000	54.9%	59.0%	51.8%
Namibia	Luderitz	2017	86.9%	90.5%	83.1%
Namibia	Mariental Rural	2000	23.0%	26.8%	19.9%
Namibia	Mariental Rural	2017	69.8%	74.1%	65.4%
Namibia	Mariental Urban	2000	26.0%	31.5%	21.5%
Namibia	Mariental Urban	2017	67.2%	73.2%	60.5%
Namibia	Mashare	2000	15.3%	26.6%	8.8%
Namibia	Mashare	2017	30.3%	46.8%	17.9%
Namibia	Moses Garoeb	2000	33.7%	35.7%	31.8%
Namibia	Moses Garoeb	2017	86.4%	89.1%	83.7%
Namibia	Mpungu	2000	12.6%	19.8%	7.1%
Namibia	Mpungu	2017	29.9%	42.1%	20.4%
Namibia	Mukwe	2000	10.0%	17.8%	5.6%
Namibia	Mukwe	2017	24.0%	34.8%	14.1%
Namibia	Ndiyona	2000	10.2%	16.2%	5.8%
Namibia	Ndiyona	2017	23.1%	34.2%	14.9%
Namibia	Ogongo	2000	26.5%	37.8%	18.3%
Namibia	Ogongo	2017	37.4%	49.7%	25.3%
Namibia	Ohangwena	2000	8.1%	18.1%	4.6%
Namibia	Ohangwena	2017	16.8%	40.6%	7.6%
Namibia	Okahandja	2000	19.0%	21.6%	16.7%
Namibia	Okahandja	2017	71.3%	74.7%	67.8%
Namibia	Okahao	2000	21.4%	29.0%	15.9%
Namibia	Okahao	2017	33.2%	46.8%	25.0%
Namibia	Okakarara	2000	25.5%	32.4%	20.0%
Namibia	Okakarara	2017	53.2%	61.3%	46.2%
Namibia	Okaku	2000	10.4%	13.4%	8.9%
Namibia	Okaku	2017	16.3%	23.6%	12.0%
Namibia	Okalongo	2000	10.3%	19.5%	5.0%
Namibia	Okalongo	2017	19.0%	31.6%	9.8%
Namibia	Okankolo	2000	15.5%	23.4%	8.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Okankolo	2017	34.2%	46.3%	23.6%
Namibia	Okatana	2000	25.6%	31.9%	18.0%
Namibia	Okatana	2017	42.5%	49.4%	35.7%
Namibia	Okatyali	2000	23.2%	47.6%	8.2%
Namibia	Okatyali	2017	41.0%	69.7%	16.1%
Namibia	Okongo	2000	15.8%	22.8%	9.9%
Namibia	Okongo	2017	31.2%	42.9%	22.2%
Namibia	Olukonda	2000	34.1%	42.8%	23.8%
Namibia	Olukonda	2017	66.7%	75.2%	56.9%
Namibia	Omaruru	2000	27.4%	31.8%	23.3%
Namibia	Omaruru	2017	74.4%	79.0%	70.3%
Namibia	Omatako	2000	27.7%	42.2%	21.3%
Namibia	Omatako	2017	61.0%	71.5%	49.5%
Namibia	Ompundja	2000	18.2%	36.2%	8.6%
Namibia	Ompundja	2017	32.5%	55.7%	14.5%
Namibia	Omulonga	2000	10.1%	17.2%	4.7%
Namibia	Omulonga	2017	16.8%	28.1%	9.6%
Namibia	Omundaungilo	2000	15.4%	27.4%	5.5%
Namibia	Omundaungilo	2017	28.4%	47.3%	13.7%
Namibia	Omuntele	2000	12.2%	19.1%	7.6%
Namibia	Omuntele	2017	24.2%	37.2%	15.3%
Namibia	Omuthiyagwipuna	2000	12.6%	19.7%	7.3%
Namibia	Omuthiyagwipuna	2017	24.1%	37.9%	14.5%
Namibia	Onayena	2000	28.4%	33.6%	23.9%
Namibia	Onayena	2017	38.2%	45.5%	32.2%
Namibia	Ondangwa	2000	31.7%	38.5%	27.8%
Namibia	Ondangwa	2017	54.3%	58.4%	49.0%
Namibia	Ondobe	2000	11.2%	19.9%	5.9%
Namibia	Ondobe	2017	19.0%	29.1%	11.5%
Namibia	Onesi	2000	20.0%	31.2%	10.6%
Namibia	Onesi	2017	35.7%	50.1%	21.1%
Namibia	Ongenga	2000	16.9%	24.8%	11.4%
Namibia	Ongenga	2017	31.6%	41.1%	23.4%
Namibia	Ongwediva	2000	32.2%	34.8%	29.5%
Namibia	Ongwediva	2017	66.3%	68.3%	64.2%
Namibia	Oniipa	2000	23.4%	26.7%	20.4%
Namibia	Oniipa	2017	40.0%	44.0%	36.1%
Namibia	Onyaanya	2000	12.9%	19.1%	8.0%
Namibia	Onyaanya	2017	21.8%	29.8%	14.3%
Namibia	Opuwo	2000	19.3%	24.4%	14.5%
Namibia	Opuwo	2017	40.4%	47.1%	33.5%
Namibia	Oranjemund	2000	42.2%	54.3%	33.0%
Namibia	Oranjemund	2017	80.0%	90.1%	69.8%
Namibia	Oshakati East	2000	35.7%	38.5%	33.6%
Namibia	Oshakati East	2017	61.0%	65.6%	57.0%
Namibia	Oshakati West	2000	45.1%	49.7%	41.3%
Namibia	Oshakati West	2017	70.9%	76.1%	66.7%
Namibia	Oshikango	2000	9.3%	14.5%	6.7%
Namibia	Oshikango	2017	21.0%	38.6%	12.5%
Namibia	Oshikuku	2000	13.8%	26.2%	6.1%
Namibia	Oshikuku	2017	23.7%	39.5%	10.6%
Namibia	Otamanzi	2000	19.8%	29.4%	12.4%
Namibia	Otamanzi	2017	33.2%	46.1%	20.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Otavi	2000	31.1%	35.9%	26.8%
Namibia	Otavi	2017	68.7%	73.9%	64.4%
Namibia	Otjinene	2000	22.1%	28.1%	17.1%
Namibia	Otjinene	2017	48.0%	56.8%	40.3%
Namibia	Otjiwarongo	2000	57.0%	59.8%	51.9%
Namibia	Otjiwarongo	2017	81.1%	83.5%	78.9%
Namibia	Otjombinde	2000	20.8%	29.6%	15.0%
Namibia	Otjombinde	2017	44.1%	55.1%	33.8%
Namibia	Outapi	2000	15.8%	21.6%	11.9%
Namibia	Outapi	2017	30.3%	40.1%	23.0%
Namibia	Outjo	2000	25.4%	32.6%	19.1%
Namibia	Outjo	2017	56.8%	63.9%	50.3%
Namibia	Rehoboth	2000	18.5%	20.3%	16.6%
Namibia	Rehoboth East	2017	71.9%	75.8%	68.1%
Namibia	Rehoboth Rural	2000	36.7%	47.2%	27.2%
Namibia	Rehoboth Rural	2017	67.3%	76.5%	57.6%
Namibia	Rehoboth West	2000	42.0%	46.1%	37.9%
Namibia	Rehoboth West	2017	89.5%	91.7%	87.6%
Namibia	Ruacana	2000	12.0%	19.3%	6.8%
Namibia	Ruacana	2017	24.5%	35.9%	14.8%
Namibia	Rundu Rural East	2000	9.1%	13.1%	6.1%
Namibia	Rundu Rural East	2017	17.9%	23.5%	12.9%
Namibia	Rundu Rural West	2000	13.7%	17.0%	11.8%
Namibia	Rundu Rural West	2017	30.5%	33.9%	27.3%
Namibia	Rundu Urban	2000	13.8%	15.4%	12.4%
Namibia	Rundu Urban	2017	33.0%	35.5%	30.2%
Namibia	Sesfontein	2000	23.6%	31.2%	16.2%
Namibia	Sesfontein	2017	46.3%	55.8%	37.2%
Namibia	Sibinda	2000	10.6%	20.1%	4.8%
Namibia	Sibinda	2017	23.3%	37.3%	12.3%
Namibia	Soweto	2000	51.1%	53.5%	48.8%
Namibia	Soweto	2017	93.8%	94.3%	93.3%
Namibia	Steinhausen	2000	24.1%	30.9%	19.5%
Namibia	Steinhausen	2017	53.8%	62.5%	45.5%
Namibia	Swakopmund	2000	51.0%	58.4%	43.8%
Namibia	Swakopmund	2017	82.4%	85.2%	78.8%
Namibia	Tobias	2000	45.9%	48.0%	44.1%
Namibia	Tobias Hainyeko	2017	91.9%	92.6%	91.1%
Namibia	Tsandi	2000	14.1%	21.6%	9.0%
Namibia	Tsandi	2017	25.9%	38.1%	16.0%
Namibia	Tsumeb	2000	62.1%	71.1%	47.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Tsumeb	2017	93.5%	95.0%	91.4%
Namibia	Tsumkwe	2000	20.0%	30.4%	12.7%
Namibia	Tsumkwe	2017	40.8%	54.0%	29.9%
Namibia	Uukwiyu	2000	29.6%	44.2%	18.6%
Namibia	Uukwiyu	2017	57.1%	69.1%	41.4%
Namibia	Uuvudhiya	2000	16.6%	29.7%	7.9%
Namibia	Uuvudhiya	2017	32.1%	50.1%	16.7%
Namibia	Walvisbay Rural	2000	64.3%	69.4%	57.1%
Namibia	Walvisbay Rural	2017	95.7%	96.8%	93.9%
Namibia	Walvisbay Urban	2000	48.0%	50.7%	45.1%
Namibia	Walvisbay Urban	2017	94.2%	94.7%	93.5%
Namibia	Wanaheda	2000	33.8%	36.5%	30.8%
Namibia	Wanaheda	2017	89.3%	90.5%	87.8%
Namibia	Windhoek East	2000	48.9%	56.0%	44.1%
Namibia	Windhoek East	2017	87.1%	95.7%	83.0%
Namibia	Windhoek Rural	2000	43.7%	47.3%	40.5%
Namibia	Windhoek Rural	2017	78.3%	82.4%	74.7%
Namibia	Windhoek West	2000	63.1%	65.9%	60.2%
Namibia	Windhoek West	2017	96.8%	97.2%	96.3%
Niger	Aguié	2000	19.7%	25.6%	15.3%
Niger	Aguié	2017	35.5%	42.3%	28.3%
Niger	Arlit	2000	39.1%	42.9%	35.8%
Niger	Arlit	2017	70.2%	72.6%	67.5%
Niger	Bilma	2000	51.0%	53.9%	48.3%
Niger	Bilma	2017	83.6%	85.2%	82.1%
Niger	Bkonni	2000	22.7%	29.0%	17.1%
Niger	Bkonni	2017	44.0%	49.0%	37.8%
Niger	Boboye	2000	9.9%	13.2%	6.8%
Niger	Boboye	2017	22.5%	27.8%	17.6%
Niger	Bouza	2000	10.1%	13.7%	7.5%
Niger	Bouza	2017	25.3%	29.8%	21.4%
Niger	Dakoro	2000	16.5%	20.4%	13.3%
Niger	Dakoro	2017	33.5%	37.8%	29.5%
Niger	Diffa	2000	9.3%	11.8%	7.3%
Niger	Diffa	2017	37.4%	41.5%	32.9%
Niger	Dogon-Doutchi	2000	11.7%	14.8%	8.8%
Niger	Dogon-Doutchi	2017	25.8%	29.9%	21.3%
Niger	Dosso	2000	10.4%	13.9%	8.0%
Niger	Dosso	2017	23.2%	28.1%	19.3%
Niger	Filingué	2000	7.0%	9.1%	5.4%
Niger	Filingué	2017	21.9%	25.0%	19.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Niger	Gaya	2000	13.5%	18.2%	10.0%
Niger	Gaya	2017	27.5%	33.8%	22.1%
Niger	Gouré	2000	10.2%	11.9%	8.5%
Niger	Gouré	2017	28.4%	31.6%	25.4%
Niger	Groumdji	2000	31.6%	38.0%	26.0%
Niger	Groumdji	2017	47.9%	54.3%	42.1%
Niger	Illéla	2000	9.2%	12.0%	7.2%
Niger	Illéla	2017	24.2%	28.6%	20.5%
Niger	Keita	2000	9.7%	12.7%	7.3%
Niger	Keita	2017	25.6%	30.1%	21.4%
Niger	Kollo	2000	7.2%	9.2%	5.6%
Niger	Kollo	2017	18.0%	20.9%	15.4%
Niger	Loga	2000	7.2%	10.6%	5.0%
Niger	Loga	2017	18.8%	23.7%	14.9%
Niger	Madaoua	2000	12.6%	15.9%	9.4%
Niger	Madaoua	2017	27.2%	32.2%	23.1%
Niger	Madarounfa	2000	24.3%	30.4%	19.5%
Niger	Madarounfa	2017	46.6%	52.6%	40.7%
Niger	Magaria	2000	12.8%	16.1%	10.2%
Niger	Magaria	2017	28.4%	33.2%	24.8%
Niger	Mainé-Soroa	2000	9.5%	11.4%	7.8%
Niger	Mainé-Soroa	2017	34.5%	37.7%	31.4%
Niger	Matameye	2000	13.7%	19.7%	9.0%
Niger	Matameye	2017	29.6%	37.3%	23.0%
Niger	Mayahi	2000	14.0%	19.9%	10.0%
Niger	Mayahi	2017	30.4%	36.8%	24.7%
Niger	Mirriah	2000	11.0%	13.7%	9.0%
Niger	Mirriah	2017	26.2%	29.9%	22.8%
Niger	N'Guigmi	2000	14.4%	17.0%	12.0%
Niger	N'Guigmi	2017	48.8%	52.9%	45.1%
Niger	Niamey	2000	10.0%	10.8%	9.4%
Niger	Niamey	2017	26.4%	27.8%	25.0%
Niger	Ouallam	2000	6.2%	7.9%	4.7%
Niger	Ouallam	2017	23.3%	26.5%	20.1%
Niger	Say	2000	9.3%	11.7%	7.2%
Niger	Say	2017	21.5%	25.1%	18.1%
Niger	Tahoua	2000	11.7%	14.8%	9.6%
Niger	Tahoua	2017	28.0%	32.6%	24.5%
Niger	Tanout	2000	13.0%	16.3%	10.6%
Niger	Tanout	2017	31.9%	35.9%	28.5%
Niger	Tchighozerine	2000	31.6%	34.2%	28.8%
Niger	Tchighozerine	2017	63.7%	66.1%	61.3%
Niger	Tchin-Tabarade	2000	10.8%	12.5%	9.0%
Niger	Tchin-Tabarade	2017	31.8%	35.0%	28.2%
Niger	Téra	2000	6.9%	9.0%	5.4%
Niger	Téra	2017	20.3%	23.2%	17.8%
Niger	Tessaoua	2000	20.9%	25.8%	16.3%
Niger	Tessaoua	2017	36.2%	41.4%	30.9%
Niger	Tillabéry	2000	7.3%	9.9%	5.3%
Niger	Tillabéry	2017	24.6%	28.7%	21.0%
Nigeria	Aba North	2000	97.7%	98.0%	97.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Aba North	2017	66.4%	68.7%	64.2%
Nigeria	Aba South	2000	97.8%	98.2%	97.4%
Nigeria	Aba South	2017	61.4%	65.1%	57.6%
Nigeria	Abadam	2000	77.3%	89.8%	57.6%
Nigeria	Abadam	2017	29.7%	48.9%	15.5%
Nigeria	Abaji	2000	79.7%	87.7%	69.1%
Nigeria	Abaji	2017	47.3%	58.3%	38.3%
Nigeria	Abak	2000	97.3%	98.6%	94.3%
Nigeria	Abak	2017	59.5%	69.2%	49.7%
Nigeria	Abakalik	2000	71.8%	77.3%	65.6%
Nigeria	Abakalik	2017	17.1%	21.7%	13.5%
Nigeria	Abeokuta South	2000	94.3%	96.0%	92.4%
Nigeria	Abeokuta South	2017	62.3%	64.9%	59.8%
Nigeria	AbeokutaNorth	2000	93.7%	98.8%	83.7%
Nigeria	AbeokutaNorth	2017	69.9%	83.9%	54.3%
Nigeria	Abi	2000	63.2%	73.3%	52.4%
Nigeria	Abi	2017	11.9%	25.0%	6.8%
Nigeria	Aboh-Mba	2000	97.0%	98.4%	95.3%
Nigeria	Aboh-Mba	2017	69.4%	75.8%	65.1%
Nigeria	Abua/Odu	2000	71.2%	88.2%	53.2%
Nigeria	Abua/Odu	2017	34.3%	50.0%	23.9%
Nigeria	AbujaMun	2000	94.8%	96.5%	92.6%
Nigeria	AbujaMun	2017	69.0%	72.3%	65.6%
Nigeria	Adavi	2000	84.4%	90.3%	77.0%
Nigeria	Adavi	2017	27.9%	37.2%	20.1%
Nigeria	Ado	2000	80.4%	91.4%	63.8%
Nigeria	Ado	2017	27.4%	43.2%	13.2%
Nigeria	Ado-Ekiti	2000	98.0%	98.4%	97.5%
Nigeria	Ado-Ekiti	2017	77.4%	81.2%	72.3%
Nigeria	AdoOdo/Ota	2000	90.8%	94.0%	84.3%
Nigeria	AdoOdo/Ota	2017	53.0%	60.7%	45.5%
Nigeria	Afijio	2000	69.0%	79.8%	57.9%
Nigeria	Afijio	2017	30.8%	42.6%	21.2%
Nigeria	Afikpo	2000	34.3%	52.8%	16.0%
Nigeria	Afikpo	2017	4.1%	14.4%	0.8%
Nigeria	AfikpoSo	2000	47.1%	64.2%	29.4%
Nigeria	AfikpoSo	2017	9.3%	17.1%	4.2%
Nigeria	Agai	2000	72.5%	85.2%	53.7%
Nigeria	Agai	2017	28.5%	41.9%	16.5%
Nigeria	Agatu	2000	77.3%	92.8%	49.6%
Nigeria	Agatu	2017	32.6%	57.0%	13.2%
Nigeria	Agege	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Nigeria	Agege	2017	98.4%	98.9%	97.6%
Nigeria	Aguata	2000	98.7%	99.2%	97.5%
Nigeria	Aguata	2017	73.2%	81.8%	62.5%
Nigeria	Agwara	2000	85.2%	94.9%	70.1%
Nigeria	Agwara	2017	40.3%	59.1%	23.8%
Nigeria	Ahizu-Mb	2000	99.1%	99.2%	98.9%
Nigeria	Ahizu-Mb	2017	74.7%	77.3%	71.9%
Nigeria	Ahoda East	2000	82.8%	87.6%	78.8%
Nigeria	Ahoda East	2017	57.4%	62.9%	49.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ahoada West	2000	59.9%	69.8%	50.1%
Nigeria	Ahoada West	2017	12.6%	17.6%	8.9%
Nigeria	Ajaokuta	2000	78.1%	88.6%	66.7%
Nigeria	Ajaokuta	2017	30.7%	43.5%	19.3%
Nigeria	Ajeromi/Ifelodun	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.8%
Nigeria	Ajeromi/Ifelodun	2017	95.5%	96.3%	94.5%
Nigeria	Ajingi	2000	87.0%	93.6%	77.7%
Nigeria	Ajingi	2017	36.6%	46.6%	28.4%
Nigeria	Akamkpa	2000	79.6%	87.2%	70.0%
Nigeria	Akamkpa	2017	37.8%	50.7%	25.7%
Nigeria	Akinyele	2000	79.7%	86.4%	72.1%
Nigeria	Akinyele	2017	45.0%	53.5%	38.3%
Nigeria	Akko	2000	90.8%	93.7%	86.8%
Nigeria	Akko	2017	43.2%	49.4%	38.3%
Nigeria	Akoko North-East	2000	83.3%	92.5%	71.8%
Nigeria	Akoko North-East	2017	32.4%	48.5%	20.3%
Nigeria	Akoko South-East	2000	86.2%	93.9%	70.9%
Nigeria	Akoko South-East	2017	32.8%	46.6%	20.6%
Nigeria	Akoko South-West	2000	86.8%	94.0%	72.9%
Nigeria	Akoko South-West	2017	42.0%	54.7%	32.4%
Nigeria	Akoko-Ed	2000	78.7%	88.8%	64.0%
Nigeria	Akoko-Ed	2017	36.7%	51.6%	24.3%
Nigeria	AkokoNorthWest	2000	79.6%	91.6%	65.8%
Nigeria	AkokoNorthWest	2017	32.9%	47.5%	21.3%
Nigeria	Akpabuyo	2000	86.0%	92.4%	77.1%
Nigeria	Akpabuyo	2017	47.5%	59.6%	37.0%
Nigeria	Akukutor	2000	69.6%	87.7%	46.6%
Nigeria	Akukutor	2017	33.2%	54.2%	15.0%
Nigeria	Akure North	2000	78.7%	84.0%	73.4%
Nigeria	Akure North	2017	49.1%	56.4%	40.6%
Nigeria	Akure South	2000	93.8%	96.4%	90.4%
Nigeria	Akure South	2017	66.4%	70.2%	62.2%
Nigeria	Akwanga	2000	89.6%	95.0%	81.7%
Nigeria	Akwanga	2017	34.7%	49.8%	21.8%
Nigeria	Albasu	2000	88.1%	96.0%	73.9%
Nigeria	Albasu	2017	36.0%	59.1%	15.7%
Nigeria	Aleiro	2000	91.8%	97.1%	81.5%
Nigeria	Aleiro	2017	36.9%	54.2%	24.5%
Nigeria	Alimosho	2000	98.8%	99.1%	98.5%
Nigeria	Alimosho	2017	90.0%	91.2%	88.6%
Nigeria	Alkaleri	2000	80.5%	88.0%	69.8%
Nigeria	Alkaleri	2017	33.7%	44.2%	24.8%
Nigeria	Amuwo Odofin	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Nigeria	Amuwo Odofin	2017	96.3%	97.2%	94.2%
Nigeria	Anambra East	2000	89.0%	92.5%	85.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Anambra East	2017	65.7%	70.5%	61.2%
Nigeria	Anambra West	2000	78.7%	92.4%	54.6%
Nigeria	Anambra West	2017	43.6%	68.3%	19.8%
Nigeria	Anaocha	2000	99.3%	99.5%	99.1%
Nigeria	Anaocha	2017	81.1%	84.2%	77.9%
Nigeria	Andoni/O	2000	57.8%	69.9%	45.4%
Nigeria	Andoni/O	2017	35.1%	45.8%	25.1%
Nigeria	Aninri	2000	69.3%	80.9%	57.1%
Nigeria	Aninri	2017	20.5%	26.9%	14.2%
Nigeria	AniochaN	2000	97.6%	98.5%	95.4%
Nigeria	AniochaN	2017	69.4%	76.0%	61.9%
Nigeria	AniochaS	2000	92.1%	97.3%	77.5%
Nigeria	AniochaS	2017	45.7%	65.7%	29.4%
Nigeria	Anka	2000	86.2%	93.4%	75.2%
Nigeria	Anka	2017	35.7%	49.8%	23.8%
Nigeria	Ankpa	2000	78.6%	86.4%	68.5%
Nigeria	Ankpa	2017	26.4%	35.3%	19.7%
Nigeria	Apa	2000	80.5%	94.1%	57.8%
Nigeria	Apa	2017	32.4%	57.1%	14.4%
Nigeria	Apapa	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.7%
Nigeria	Apapa	2017	96.8%	97.5%	95.8%
Nigeria	Ardo-Kola	2000	82.3%	87.5%	74.6%
Nigeria	Ardo-Kola	2017	34.6%	42.2%	27.4%
Nigeria	Arewa	2000	79.5%	86.9%	70.7%
Nigeria	Arewa	2017	30.6%	41.8%	21.0%
Nigeria	Argungu	2000	90.9%	96.3%	82.6%
Nigeria	Argungu	2017	50.8%	65.5%	38.3%
Nigeria	Arochukw	2000	85.7%	93.0%	75.4%
Nigeria	Arochukw	2017	53.8%	64.9%	42.9%
Nigeria	Asa	2000	72.7%	79.8%	65.2%
Nigeria	Asa	2017	34.1%	39.5%	29.4%
Nigeria	Asari-To	2000	78.7%	89.6%	58.6%
Nigeria	Asari-To	2017	23.2%	38.4%	16.7%
Nigeria	Askira/U	2000	87.1%	95.2%	75.0%
Nigeria	Askira/U	2017	43.0%	57.0%	29.8%
Nigeria	Atakumosa East	2000	93.7%	95.7%	89.5%
Nigeria	Atakumosa East	2017	50.5%	59.3%	43.8%
Nigeria	Atakumosa West	2000	80.5%	90.4%	68.4%
Nigeria	Atakumosa West	2017	31.3%	43.8%	21.0%
Nigeria	Atiba	2000	68.7%	81.4%	52.7%
Nigeria	Atiba	2017	28.1%	42.1%	17.2%
Nigeria	Atisbo	2000	73.5%	85.4%	58.7%
Nigeria	Atisbo	2017	24.8%	36.2%	13.7%
Nigeria	Augie	2000	85.1%	90.9%	76.8%
Nigeria	Augie	2017	41.5%	50.7%	32.4%
Nigeria	Auyo	2000	91.9%	98.5%	76.5%
Nigeria	Auyo	2017	48.0%	71.9%	29.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Awe	2000	69.6%	79.6%	58.7%
Nigeria	Awe	2017	24.2%	33.8%	15.8%
Nigeria	Awgu	2000	75.6%	82.4%	69.9%
Nigeria	Awgu	2017	37.7%	41.1%	34.0%
Nigeria	AwkaNort	2000	93.4%	99.4%	78.7%
Nigeria	AwkaNort	2017	71.7%	90.0%	49.1%
Nigeria	AwkaSout	2000	99.2%	99.6%	98.4%
Nigeria	AwkaSout	2017	82.9%	88.9%	73.6%
Nigeria	Ayamelum	2000	87.8%	95.3%	76.3%
Nigeria	Ayamelum	2017	34.5%	50.0%	20.9%
Nigeria	Ayedaade	2000	88.2%	95.5%	77.5%
Nigeria	Ayedaade	2017	40.9%	53.9%	29.2%
Nigeria	Ayedire	2000	93.0%	98.0%	82.3%
Nigeria	Ayedire	2017	47.5%	65.1%	30.2%
Nigeria	Babura	2000	89.9%	97.2%	78.1%
Nigeria	Babura	2017	45.3%	62.6%	29.0%
Nigeria	Badagary	2000	92.2%	97.1%	84.3%
Nigeria	Badagary	2017	58.6%	72.4%	44.5%
Nigeria	Bade	2000	84.3%	93.8%	70.6%
Nigeria	Bade	2017	28.7%	47.4%	14.8%
Nigeria	Bagudo	2000	74.6%	82.1%	65.0%
Nigeria	Bagudo	2017	28.1%	38.7%	19.1%
Nigeria	Bagwai	2000	91.5%	97.8%	73.2%
Nigeria	Bagwai	2017	43.9%	64.0%	24.8%
Nigeria	Bakassi	2000	76.4%	98.4%	39.0%
Nigeria	Bakassi	2017	27.8%	71.9%	5.7%
Nigeria	Bakori	2000	86.8%	96.3%	68.9%
Nigeria	Bakori	2017	39.1%	61.4%	19.9%
Nigeria	Bakura	2000	90.5%	94.9%	83.7%
Nigeria	Bakura	2017	40.3%	47.5%	33.4%
Nigeria	Balanga	2000	80.0%	90.0%	67.8%
Nigeria	Balanga	2017	29.4%	45.0%	16.7%
Nigeria	Bali	2000	77.2%	84.0%	69.5%
Nigeria	Bali	2017	27.5%	37.8%	20.0%
Nigeria	Bama	2000	83.3%	92.1%	72.5%
Nigeria	Bama	2017	37.1%	51.8%	24.4%
Nigeria	Barkin Ladi	2000	85.2%	92.3%	74.7%
Nigeria	Barkin Ladi	2017	33.5%	47.7%	24.1%
Nigeria	Baruten	2000	71.7%	78.7%	64.2%
Nigeria	Baruten	2017	27.0%	35.6%	18.9%
Nigeria	Bassa	2000	71.9%	82.6%	58.8%
Nigeria	Bassa	2000	85.8%	91.9%	78.4%
Nigeria	Bassa	2017	49.4%	60.7%	39.5%
Nigeria	Bassa	2017	23.5%	35.7%	12.4%
Nigeria	Batagarawa	2000	90.5%	94.4%	84.9%
Nigeria	Batagarawa	2017	42.9%	52.7%	36.0%
Nigeria	Batsari	2000	80.9%	91.0%	66.5%
Nigeria	Batsari	2017	39.2%	57.3%	22.9%
Nigeria	Bauchi	2000	88.7%	93.3%	82.7%
Nigeria	Bauchi	2017	50.5%	58.6%	43.2%
Nigeria	Baure	2000	84.9%	92.4%	74.8%
Nigeria	Baure	2017	23.8%	38.1%	14.1%
Nigeria	Bayo	2000	83.1%	96.8%	60.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Bayo	2017	37.5%	68.2%	15.5%
Nigeria	Bebeji	2000	93.6%	98.5%	83.9%
Nigeria	Bebeji	2017	55.0%	72.4%	39.2%
Nigeria	Bekwarra	2000	90.1%	93.8%	83.5%
Nigeria	Bekwarra	2017	29.3%	36.9%	21.6%
Nigeria	Bende	2000	96.2%	98.2%	91.0%
Nigeria	Bende	2017	65.0%	74.6%	53.6%
Nigeria	Biase	2000	79.6%	91.7%	64.9%
Nigeria	Biase	2017	30.3%	46.4%	17.8%
Nigeria	Bichi	2000	96.6%	98.3%	91.4%
Nigeria	Bichi	2017	55.1%	65.9%	42.3%
Nigeria	Bida	2000	98.5%	98.9%	97.8%
Nigeria	Bida	2017	74.7%	78.4%	67.8%
Nigeria	Billiri	2000	92.1%	96.4%	83.7%
Nigeria	Billiri	2017	45.3%	56.1%	35.2%
Nigeria	Bindawa	2000	91.3%	96.9%	75.7%
Nigeria	Bindawa	2017	33.9%	52.9%	19.5%
Nigeria	Binji	2000	88.4%	96.4%	71.0%
Nigeria	Binji	2017	38.1%	55.1%	23.1%
Nigeria	Biriniwa	2000	81.9%	92.1%	68.8%
Nigeria	Biriniwa	2017	31.7%	47.0%	18.4%
Nigeria	Birnin-G	2000	84.6%	91.0%	76.3%
Nigeria	Birnin-G	2017	42.1%	53.4%	31.2%
Nigeria	Birnin-Magaji/Kiyaw	2000	83.1%	94.3%	70.2%
Nigeria	Birnin-Magaji/Kiyaw	2017	33.6%	53.4%	18.9%
Nigeria	BirninKe	2000	86.8%	90.5%	81.5%
Nigeria	BirninKe	2017	41.9%	48.3%	35.7%
Nigeria	BirninKu	2000	90.1%	96.6%	80.9%
Nigeria	BirninKu	2017	52.0%	67.1%	39.4%
Nigeria	Biu	2000	85.0%	93.2%	74.2%
Nigeria	Biu	2017	38.0%	51.6%	25.5%
Nigeria	Bodinga	2000	58.9%	68.9%	55.0%
Nigeria	Bodinga	2017	38.3%	53.6%	30.0%
Nigeria	Bogoro	2000	84.0%	96.4%	66.9%
Nigeria	Bogoro	2017	39.0%	62.9%	20.7%
Nigeria	Boki	2000	85.4%	93.2%	74.6%
Nigeria	Boki	2017	48.7%	62.6%	34.0%
Nigeria	Bokkos	2000	71.5%	88.9%	49.2%
Nigeria	Bokkos	2017	24.2%	43.9%	10.4%
Nigeria	Boluwaduro	2000	95.0%	96.2%	93.6%
Nigeria	Boluwaduro	2017	39.6%	45.3%	34.7%
Nigeria	Bomadi	2000	75.9%	87.6%	55.3%
Nigeria	Bomadi	2017	41.2%	60.6%	19.3%
Nigeria	Bonny	2000	72.2%	85.7%	53.9%
Nigeria	Bonny	2017	42.2%	53.4%	30.1%
Nigeria	Borgu	2000	80.1%	86.6%	72.1%
Nigeria	Borgu	2017	33.6%	44.9%	25.2%
Nigeria	Boripe	2000	65.8%	73.8%	60.6%
Nigeria	Boripe	2017	30.0%	36.6%	25.6%
Nigeria	Borsari	2000	80.9%	89.3%	68.7%
Nigeria	Borsari	2017	31.4%	40.3%	22.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Bosso	2000	91.3%	95.6%	84.7%
Nigeria	Bosso	2017	55.9%	66.4%	43.6%
Nigeria	Brass	2000	54.8%	68.8%	38.2%
Nigeria	Brass	2017	16.0%	25.4%	8.9%
Nigeria	Buji	2000	89.2%	98.0%	70.9%
Nigeria	Buji	2017	40.8%	68.3%	19.4%
Nigeria	Bukkuyum	2000	79.6%	89.1%	67.6%
Nigeria	Bukkuyum	2017	29.5%	43.4%	15.6%
Nigeria	Bungudu	2000	86.2%	93.0%	70.6%
Nigeria	Bungudu	2017	40.6%	53.7%	28.9%
Nigeria	Bunkure	2000	93.1%	98.6%	82.2%
Nigeria	Bunkure	2017	55.5%	75.8%	39.3%
Nigeria	Bunza	2000	82.7%	92.0%	67.0%
Nigeria	Bunza	2017	34.9%	51.6%	18.8%
Nigeria	Buruku	2000	83.9%	93.2%	68.8%
Nigeria	Buruku	2017	38.8%	55.5%	23.5%
Nigeria	Burutu	2000	63.9%	76.8%	49.9%
Nigeria	Burutu	2017	27.2%	39.9%	16.6%
Nigeria	Bwari	2000	92.6%	96.7%	86.8%
Nigeria	Bwari	2017	70.5%	75.5%	65.8%
Nigeria	Calabar	2000	95.2%	98.8%	89.1%
Nigeria	Calabar	2017	75.1%	82.6%	66.6%
Nigeria	Calabar South	2000	88.1%	94.3%	76.4%
Nigeria	Calabar South	2017	26.1%	41.8%	16.3%
Nigeria	Chanchaga	2000	98.2%	98.8%	97.1%
Nigeria	Chanchaga	2017	69.8%	75.3%	62.2%
Nigeria	Charanchi	2000	79.5%	93.1%	56.6%
Nigeria	Charanchi	2017	25.8%	47.0%	9.0%
Nigeria	Chibok	2000	82.8%	94.7%	60.7%
Nigeria	Chibok	2017	35.2%	58.0%	13.2%
Nigeria	Chikun	2000	90.7%	94.7%	85.6%
Nigeria	Chikun	2017	60.9%	70.6%	51.2%
Nigeria	Dala	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.8%
Nigeria	Dala	2017	73.7%	75.7%	72.0%
Nigeria	Damaturu	2000	93.0%	96.9%	86.2%
Nigeria	Damaturu	2017	67.2%	75.4%	58.1%
Nigeria	Damban	2000	90.1%	96.9%	77.4%
Nigeria	Damban	2017	50.7%	68.2%	34.6%
Nigeria	Dambatta	2000	91.1%	95.6%	84.1%
Nigeria	Dambatta	2017	42.4%	52.3%	32.4%
Nigeria	Damboa	2000	83.8%	91.3%	71.5%
Nigeria	Damboa	2017	38.3%	51.4%	27.6%
Nigeria	Dandi	2000	84.0%	91.9%	74.2%
Nigeria	Dandi	2017	37.3%	48.6%	27.1%
Nigeria	Dandume	2000	86.1%	94.9%	73.8%
Nigeria	Dandume	2017	29.4%	42.4%	17.9%
Nigeria	Dange-Shuni	2000	89.6%	96.7%	80.8%
Nigeria	Dange-Shuni	2017	46.9%	60.7%	35.5%
Nigeria	Danja	2000	87.4%	95.9%	70.6%
Nigeria	Danja	2017	39.8%	61.9%	20.1%
Nigeria	Danko	2000	74.0%	82.6%	59.8%
	Wasagu				

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Danko Wasagu	2017	25.8%	36.5%	17.3%
Nigeria	Danmusa	2000	86.3%	95.9%	68.6%
Nigeria	Danmusa	2017	36.8%	62.6%	17.9%
Nigeria	Darazo	2000	85.3%	94.4%	71.8%
Nigeria	Darazo	2017	41.7%	60.1%	24.0%
Nigeria	Dass	2000	83.6%	98.2%	48.3%
Nigeria	Dass	2017	40.8%	78.2%	9.8%
Nigeria	Daura	2000	92.7%	97.4%	86.0%
Nigeria	Daura	2017	41.1%	50.9%	33.3%
Nigeria	DawakinK	2000	94.6%	97.8%	87.6%
Nigeria	DawakinK	2017	47.2%	59.7%	37.0%
Nigeria	DawakinT	2000	97.9%	98.5%	96.6%
Nigeria	DawakinT	2017	66.5%	71.0%	61.9%
Nigeria	Degema	2000	80.0%	90.6%	67.5%
Nigeria	Degema	2017	43.9%	56.5%	32.5%
Nigeria	Dekina	2000	75.7%	83.2%	66.1%
Nigeria	Dekina	2017	31.8%	40.2%	23.3%
Nigeria	Demsa	2000	94.3%	97.3%	90.9%
Nigeria	Demsa	2017	65.3%	71.7%	58.1%
Nigeria	Dikwa	2000	83.0%	95.5%	66.0%
Nigeria	Dikwa	2017	35.5%	59.0%	16.4%
Nigeria	Doguwa	2000	85.9%	96.6%	65.0%
Nigeria	Doguwa	2017	45.5%	66.3%	25.1%
Nigeria	Doma	2000	84.5%	92.2%	74.2%
Nigeria	Doma	2017	39.9%	51.0%	30.2%
Nigeria	Donga	2000	78.1%	88.2%	64.1%
Nigeria	Donga	2017	33.8%	47.5%	21.3%
Nigeria	Dukku	2000	85.9%	93.0%	77.6%
Nigeria	Dukku	2017	36.0%	47.1%	26.2%
Nigeria	Dunukofia	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.4%
Nigeria	Dunukofia	2017	95.0%	97.7%	91.0%
Nigeria	Dutse	2000	87.9%	95.3%	79.5%
Nigeria	Dutse	2017	46.9%	61.1%	33.9%
Nigeria	Dutsi	2000	92.9%	96.6%	87.1%
Nigeria	Dutsi	2017	63.0%	71.0%	52.8%
Nigeria	Dutsin-M	2000	92.4%	97.0%	82.9%
Nigeria	Dutsin-M	2017	42.0%	56.5%	29.2%
Nigeria	Eastern Obolo	2000	63.7%	77.9%	48.9%
Nigeria	Eastern Obolo	2017	18.2%	40.2%	9.7%
Nigeria	Ebonyi	2000	56.1%	64.3%	49.8%
Nigeria	Ebonyi	2017	12.0%	19.6%	8.6%
Nigeria	Edati	2000	86.5%	95.6%	72.1%
Nigeria	Edati	2017	51.1%	68.8%	35.9%
Nigeria	Ede North	2000	90.6%	92.4%	88.5%
Nigeria	Ede North	2017	38.3%	43.0%	34.8%
Nigeria	Ede South	2000	89.3%	93.9%	80.6%
Nigeria	Ede South	2017	36.0%	45.9%	26.5%
Nigeria	Edu	2000	75.9%	84.0%	66.2%
Nigeria	Edu	2017	32.9%	41.2%	24.9%
Nigeria	Efon	2000	96.8%	97.5%	96.0%
Nigeria	Efon	2017	48.7%	54.0%	44.3%
Nigeria	EgbadoNorth	2000	80.3%	89.3%	66.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	EgbadoNorth	2017	39.2%	55.4%	26.6%
Nigeria	EgbadoSouth	2000	92.7%	97.5%	82.8%
Nigeria	EgbadoSouth	2017	51.7%	70.8%	38.0%
Nigeria	Egbeda	2000	95.9%	97.2%	93.8%
Nigeria	Egbeda	2017	58.1%	62.2%	54.7%
Nigeria	Egbedore	2000	94.3%	96.1%	91.4%
Nigeria	Egbedore	2017	45.9%	50.7%	42.4%
Nigeria	Egor	2000	89.8%	95.4%	84.2%
Nigeria	Egor	2017	79.3%	82.5%	75.5%
Nigeria	Ehime-Mb	2000	98.1%	99.7%	91.2%
Nigeria	Ehime-Mb	2017	78.2%	90.4%	61.8%
Nigeria	Ejigbo	2000	91.8%	93.4%	89.8%
Nigeria	Ejigbo	2017	45.7%	51.3%	40.5%
Nigeria	Ekeremor	2000	44.9%	58.8%	32.1%
Nigeria	Ekeremor	2017	17.3%	26.4%	10.6%
Nigeria	Eket	2000	98.5%	99.1%	96.8%
Nigeria	Eket	2017	72.9%	80.1%	64.3%
Nigeria	Ekiti	2000	78.3%	85.7%	69.4%
Nigeria	Ekiti	2017	29.6%	43.2%	17.9%
Nigeria	EkitiEas	2000	88.5%	93.8%	81.9%
Nigeria	EkitiEas	2017	30.3%	40.8%	21.2%
Nigeria	EkitiSouth-West	2000	78.7%	88.9%	67.5%
Nigeria	EkitiSouth-West	2017	24.6%	33.3%	19.2%
Nigeria	EkitiWest	2000	95.2%	96.8%	93.2%
Nigeria	EkitiWest	2017	50.8%	56.6%	43.2%
Nigeria	Ekwusigo	2000	94.4%	97.5%	88.5%
Nigeria	Ekwusigo	2017	44.5%	53.9%	35.7%
Nigeria	Eleme	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.7%
Nigeria	Eleme	2017	82.5%	85.5%	78.7%
Nigeria	Emuoha	2000	88.4%	94.9%	80.8%
Nigeria	Emuoha	2017	59.2%	67.6%	50.0%
Nigeria	Emure/Ise/Orun	2000	74.8%	87.5%	60.0%
Nigeria	Emure/Ise/Orun	2017	20.4%	39.9%	8.8%
Nigeria	Enugu East	2000	95.8%	98.6%	89.6%
Nigeria	Enugu East	2017	61.6%	73.0%	50.7%
Nigeria	Enugu North	2000	93.6%	94.6%	91.8%
Nigeria	Enugu North	2017	56.3%	59.1%	53.4%
Nigeria	EnuguSou	2000	83.3%	87.6%	78.8%
Nigeria	EnuguSou	2017	35.6%	39.0%	32.4%
Nigeria	Epe	2000	94.5%	96.9%	90.7%
Nigeria	Epe	2017	62.7%	69.6%	54.9%
Nigeria	EsanCent	2000	97.4%	99.2%	91.2%
Nigeria	EsanCent	2017	62.8%	75.4%	51.1%
Nigeria	EsanNort	2000	95.6%	98.7%	89.2%
Nigeria	EsanNort	2017	61.5%	69.4%	55.1%
Nigeria	EsanSout	2000	89.7%	96.9%	80.1%
Nigeria	EsanSout	2017	51.1%	64.9%	40.0%
Nigeria	EsanWest	2000	94.0%	99.3%	79.1%
Nigeria	EsanWest	2017	64.9%	83.4%	43.8%
Nigeria	Ese-Odo	2000	88.1%	95.8%	76.5%
Nigeria	Ese-Odo	2017	45.1%	57.5%	35.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Esit Eket	2000	89.3%	98.7%	69.4%
Nigeria	Esit Eket	2017	47.4%	73.4%	28.3%
Nigeria	Essien-U	2000	80.3%	92.9%	66.3%
Nigeria	Essien-U	2017	51.8%	68.0%	38.7%
Nigeria	Etche	2000	88.0%	90.0%	86.1%
Nigeria	Etche	2017	61.4%	65.3%	57.5%
Nigeria	Ethiope West	2000	90.7%	95.4%	82.8%
Nigeria	Ethiope West	2017	39.5%	50.3%	27.8%
Nigeria	EthiopeE	2000	83.5%	91.7%	74.9%
Nigeria	EthiopeE	2017	44.5%	55.9%	34.6%
Nigeria	Eti-Osa	2000	98.1%	99.1%	94.1%
Nigeria	Eti-Osa	2017	77.9%	83.9%	70.8%
Nigeria	EtimEkpo	2000	93.3%	99.7%	73.7%
Nigeria	EtimEkpo	2017	62.0%	88.2%	38.2%
Nigeria	Etinan	2000	98.7%	99.4%	96.0%
Nigeria	Etinan	2017	72.7%	83.3%	53.1%
Nigeria	Etsako Central	2000	84.0%	95.3%	67.8%
Nigeria	Etsako Central	2017	39.5%	58.3%	23.6%
Nigeria	EtsakoEa	2000	84.7%	92.2%	72.2%
Nigeria	EtsakoEa	2017	43.9%	58.4%	29.5%
Nigeria	EtsakoWe	2000	94.4%	97.8%	88.7%
Nigeria	EtsakoWe	2017	57.1%	71.3%	43.0%
Nigeria	Etung	2000	83.9%	95.6%	65.2%
Nigeria	Etung	2017	37.4%	58.7%	17.0%
Nigeria	Ewekoro	2000	86.1%	96.4%	61.5%
Nigeria	Ewekoro	2017	54.0%	72.0%	35.0%
Nigeria	Ezeagu	2000	68.9%	79.8%	54.5%
Nigeria	Ezeagu	2017	25.5%	38.0%	17.1%
Nigeria	Ezinihit	2000	99.1%	99.3%	99.0%
Nigeria	Ezinihit	2017	79.7%	82.1%	76.9%
Nigeria	Ezza North	2000	46.0%	55.2%	30.9%
Nigeria	Ezza North	2017	8.1%	19.0%	2.8%
Nigeria	Ezza South	2000	83.6%	89.8%	69.6%
Nigeria	Ezza South	2017	25.7%	41.3%	14.7%
Nigeria	Fagge	2000	99.1%	99.2%	98.9%
Nigeria	Fagge	2017	72.0%	73.8%	69.7%
Nigeria	Fakai	2000	77.0%	88.9%	61.7%
Nigeria	Fakai	2017	29.1%	46.7%	14.7%
Nigeria	Faskari	2000	83.7%	93.8%	69.8%
Nigeria	Faskari	2017	32.5%	50.6%	16.8%
Nigeria	Fika	2000	80.1%	89.4%	68.5%
Nigeria	Fika	2017	32.5%	48.5%	20.4%
Nigeria	Fufore	2000	80.7%	88.5%	69.8%
Nigeria	Fufore	2017	30.6%	41.1%	20.3%
Nigeria	Funakaye	2000	93.1%	96.8%	87.2%
Nigeria	Funakaye	2017	45.9%	58.7%	35.4%
Nigeria	Fune	2000	81.4%	90.3%	67.5%
Nigeria	Fune	2017	31.9%	45.3%	17.8%
Nigeria	Funtua	2000	82.6%	94.7%	69.7%
Nigeria	Funtua	2017	31.0%	45.9%	21.8%
Nigeria	Gabasawa	2000	93.6%	98.1%	83.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Gabasawa	2017	53.7%	66.7%	41.1%
Nigeria	Gada	2000	85.8%	93.0%	78.5%
Nigeria	Gada	2017	36.9%	50.2%	27.1%
Nigeria	Gagarawa	2000	81.6%	93.1%	62.6%
Nigeria	Gagarawa	2017	35.5%	60.6%	16.1%
Nigeria	Gamawa	2000	76.6%	87.7%	61.6%
Nigeria	Gamawa	2017	28.4%	42.6%	15.0%
Nigeria	Gamjuwa	2000	78.6%	88.2%	65.2%
Nigeria	Gamjuwa	2017	34.1%	46.4%	21.0%
Nigeria	Ganye	2000	82.0%	93.2%	64.4%
Nigeria	Ganye	2017	32.1%	50.1%	17.8%
Nigeria	Garki	2000	85.5%	94.7%	73.7%
Nigeria	Garki	2017	38.0%	54.7%	23.5%
Nigeria	Garko	2000	71.6%	82.3%	58.7%
Nigeria	Garko	2017	23.0%	36.8%	13.6%
Nigeria	Garum Mal-lam	2000	93.7%	99.1%	77.8%
Nigeria	Garum Mal-lam	2017	60.6%	77.4%	43.6%
Nigeria	Gashaka	2000	74.9%	82.8%	65.5%
Nigeria	Gashaka	2017	27.2%	36.3%	18.9%
Nigeria	Gassol	2000	70.8%	81.2%	61.8%
Nigeria	Gassol	2017	23.9%	32.8%	17.0%
Nigeria	Gaya	2000	96.6%	97.7%	95.0%
Nigeria	Gaya	2017	51.1%	57.6%	44.4%
Nigeria	Gbako	2000	86.6%	93.3%	78.1%
Nigeria	Gbako	2017	44.2%	56.5%	33.2%
Nigeria	Gboko	2000	88.7%	93.2%	82.7%
Nigeria	Gboko	2017	38.8%	45.5%	31.7%
Nigeria	Gboyin	2000	90.7%	94.5%	84.5%
Nigeria	Gboyin	2017	54.0%	63.6%	43.2%
Nigeria	Geidam	2000	75.3%	83.8%	64.9%
Nigeria	Geidam	2017	28.4%	38.7%	19.8%
Nigeria	Gezawa	2000	97.2%	97.7%	96.4%
Nigeria	Gezawa	2017	54.2%	57.3%	51.6%
Nigeria	Giade	2000	84.0%	95.6%	65.5%
Nigeria	Giade	2017	41.9%	62.7%	24.7%
Nigeria	Girie	2000	87.4%	94.6%	76.2%
Nigeria	Girie	2017	39.2%	56.4%	23.8%
Nigeria	Giwa	2000	75.5%	82.5%	65.0%
Nigeria	Giwa	2017	35.6%	47.3%	25.8%
Nigeria	Gokana	2000	90.3%	94.5%	85.0%
Nigeria	Gokana	2017	62.5%	68.4%	52.9%
Nigeria	Gombe	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Nigeria	Gombe	2017	65.7%	69.7%	60.9%
Nigeria	Gombi	2000	87.6%	95.3%	74.8%
Nigeria	Gombi	2017	37.3%	56.1%	22.2%
Nigeria	Goronyo	2000	87.0%	94.4%	71.7%
Nigeria	Goronyo	2017	42.7%	57.2%	29.2%
Nigeria	Gubio	2000	84.7%	92.8%	71.5%
Nigeria	Gubio	2017	35.7%	51.9%	21.7%
Nigeria	Gudu	2000	78.6%	87.9%	68.1%
Nigeria	Gudu	2017	29.5%	40.3%	18.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Gujba	2000	82.6%	93.3%	67.6%
Nigeria	Gujba	2017	39.1%	55.5%	24.3%
Nigeria	Gulani	2000	81.4%	92.2%	67.4%
Nigeria	Gulani	2017	31.4%	49.5%	17.1%
Nigeria	Guma	2000	78.3%	88.7%	65.4%
Nigeria	Guma	2017	37.6%	51.6%	24.6%
Nigeria	Gumel	2000	96.9%	98.7%	92.6%
Nigeria	Gumel	2017	57.4%	69.7%	45.2%
Nigeria	Gummi	2000	85.1%	91.4%	75.9%
Nigeria	Gummi	2017	29.1%	39.2%	20.2%
Nigeria	Gurara	2000	89.9%	94.7%	82.9%
Nigeria	Gurara	2017	55.9%	65.0%	47.0%
Nigeria	Guri	2000	78.9%	90.6%	62.0%
Nigeria	Guri	2017	32.0%	50.9%	17.3%
Nigeria	Gusau	2000	91.1%	94.6%	86.3%
Nigeria	Gusau	2017	51.8%	59.3%	44.3%
Nigeria	Guyuk	2000	79.3%	93.6%	53.9%
Nigeria	Guyuk	2017	29.7%	59.5%	9.4%
Nigeria	Guzamala	2000	79.9%	90.7%	65.8%
Nigeria	Guzamala	2017	33.0%	48.7%	18.6%
Nigeria	Gwadabaw	2000	93.9%	98.5%	84.1%
Nigeria	Gwadabaw	2017	63.1%	75.5%	51.2%
Nigeria	Gwagwala	2000	77.5%	83.7%	68.8%
Nigeria	Gwagwala	2017	51.6%	58.1%	46.5%
Nigeria	Gwale	2000	99.1%	99.2%	99.0%
Nigeria	Gwale	2017	75.0%	76.6%	73.3%
Nigeria	Gwandu	2000	88.1%	94.3%	76.7%
Nigeria	Gwandu	2017	36.0%	50.6%	23.8%
Nigeria	Gwaram	2000	84.5%	92.9%	67.6%
Nigeria	Gwaram	2017	37.4%	57.5%	18.0%
Nigeria	Gwarzo	2000	95.9%	98.7%	88.9%
Nigeria	Gwarzo	2017	55.7%	69.1%	41.1%
Nigeria	Gwer East	2000	79.5%	88.8%	67.0%
Nigeria	Gwer East	2017	34.5%	46.2%	23.5%
Nigeria	GwerWest	2000	76.5%	93.0%	52.0%
Nigeria	GwerWest	2017	35.3%	62.6%	14.9%
Nigeria	Gwiwa	2000	75.5%	87.7%	61.3%
Nigeria	Gwiwa	2017	34.1%	44.1%	24.6%
Nigeria	Gwoza	2000	87.9%	95.8%	75.4%
Nigeria	Gwoza	2017	42.9%	64.9%	23.8%
Nigeria	Hadejia	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.2%
Nigeria	Hadejia	2017	61.5%	65.5%	55.9%
Nigeria	Hawul	2000	88.3%	95.2%	77.0%
Nigeria	Hawul	2017	43.0%	57.7%	27.0%
Nigeria	Hong	2000	83.8%	92.1%	72.1%
Nigeria	Hong	2017	41.8%	52.1%	29.6%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth	2000	97.5%	97.8%	97.1%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth	2017	58.6%	60.7%	56.5%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-East	2000	95.5%	95.9%	95.1%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-East	2017	49.3%	50.6%	48.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-West	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.3%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-West	2017	65.4%	67.8%	62.7%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-East	2000	97.4%	97.7%	97.0%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-East	2017	64.6%	67.3%	62.0%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-West	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-West	2017	82.8%	84.8%	81.0%
Nigeria	Ibaji	2000	72.5%	87.5%	53.4%
Nigeria	Ibaji	2017	27.2%	43.7%	13.2%
Nigeria	Ibarapa Central	2000	71.0%	88.5%	47.4%
Nigeria	Ibarapa Central	2017	28.7%	52.0%	12.4%
Nigeria	Ibarapa East	2000	76.6%	87.6%	61.2%
Nigeria	Ibarapa East	2017	29.6%	48.7%	16.0%
Nigeria	Ibarapa North	2000	68.3%	82.4%	51.5%
Nigeria	Ibarapa North	2017	21.1%	35.3%	11.4%
Nigeria	Ibeju/Lekki	2000	86.6%	92.9%	73.4%
Nigeria	Ibeju/Lekki	2017	45.9%	62.7%	28.2%
Nigeria	Ibendo	2000	81.2%	92.9%	65.1%
Nigeria	Ibendo	2017	44.2%	61.4%	32.0%
Nigeria	Ibesikpo Asutan	2000	96.4%	98.5%	90.8%
Nigeria	Ibesikpo Asutan	2017	46.2%	63.1%	34.4%
Nigeria	Ibi	2000	77.1%	87.1%	66.6%
Nigeria	Ibi	2017	29.7%	41.1%	21.1%
Nigeria	Ibiono Ibom	2000	91.3%	98.7%	74.9%
Nigeria	Ibiono Ibom	2017	48.6%	74.6%	29.2%
Nigeria	Idah	2000	92.2%	94.9%	89.0%
Nigeria	Idah	2017	38.9%	45.6%	33.2%
Nigeria	Idanre	2000	83.4%	92.5%	71.8%
Nigeria	Idanre	2017	44.2%	58.8%	31.5%
Nigeria	Ideato South	2000	97.3%	97.9%	96.3%
Nigeria	Ideato South	2017	59.7%	64.5%	55.4%
Nigeria	IdeatoNo	2000	97.9%	98.6%	95.6%
Nigeria	IdeatoNo	2017	71.6%	77.0%	64.2%
Nigeria	Idemili North	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.2%
Nigeria	Idemili North	2017	83.4%	87.8%	77.9%
Nigeria	Idemili South	2000	98.0%	98.9%	96.1%
Nigeria	Idemili South	2017	76.4%	79.2%	73.2%
Nigeria	Ido	2000	90.3%	94.5%	84.5%
Nigeria	Ido	2017	58.3%	64.9%	52.8%
Nigeria	Ido/Osi	2000	87.2%	92.1%	76.4%
Nigeria	Ido/Osi	2017	27.5%	41.5%	20.4%
Nigeria	Ifako/Ijaye	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.8%
Nigeria	Ifako/Ijaye	2017	88.9%	90.1%	87.6%
Nigeria	Ife East	2000	89.7%	95.4%	79.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ife East	2017	46.0%	58.0%	33.6%
Nigeria	Ife North	2000	90.7%	95.1%	83.8%
Nigeria	Ife North	2017	36.2%	44.6%	28.4%
Nigeria	Ife South	2000	81.0%	89.2%	70.8%
Nigeria	Ife South	2017	36.5%	47.9%	27.6%
Nigeria	IfeCentral	2000	97.9%	98.6%	95.6%
Nigeria	IfeCentral	2017	60.8%	65.5%	56.4%
Nigeria	Ifedayo	2000	92.3%	96.6%	84.2%
Nigeria	Ifedayo	2017	36.2%	49.1%	24.1%
Nigeria	Ifedore	2000	75.6%	88.7%	60.9%
Nigeria	Ifedore	2017	17.1%	27.7%	10.6%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2000	73.5%	83.3%	62.7%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2017	47.7%	54.0%	42.2%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2017	30.2%	41.0%	19.7%
Nigeria	Ifo	2000	94.7%	98.9%	85.0%
Nigeria	Ifo	2017	69.8%	80.5%	58.3%
Nigeria	Igabi	2000	92.6%	96.5%	86.6%
Nigeria	Igabi	2017	57.0%	65.3%	48.4%
Nigeria	Igalamela-Odolu	2000	82.4%	89.5%	72.9%
Nigeria	Igalamela-Odolu	2017	39.6%	48.1%	31.9%
Nigeria	Igbo-Eti	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.2%
Nigeria	Igbo-Eti	2017	38.5%	45.2%	31.6%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze North	2000	88.4%	90.6%	85.2%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze North	2017	22.8%	27.9%	19.6%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze South	2000	93.3%	94.6%	91.5%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze South	2017	35.7%	42.2%	30.8%
Nigeria	Igueben	2000	96.4%	99.2%	89.5%
Nigeria	Igueben	2017	69.7%	81.7%	57.3%
Nigeria	Ihiala	2000	91.0%	93.6%	87.5%
Nigeria	Ihiala	2017	28.5%	37.2%	21.8%
Nigeria	Ihitte/U	2000	99.2%	99.5%	98.5%
Nigeria	Ihitte/U	2017	79.0%	82.1%	75.5%
Nigeria	Ijebu North-East	2000	97.4%	98.6%	95.5%
Nigeria	Ijebu North-East	2017	70.7%	76.2%	64.4%
Nigeria	IjebuEast	2000	88.5%	94.3%	80.9%
Nigeria	IjebuEast	2017	54.0%	65.6%	42.9%
Nigeria	IjebuNorth	2000	96.0%	98.3%	91.9%
Nigeria	IjebuNorth	2017	65.8%	73.9%	57.2%
Nigeria	IjebuOde	2000	97.6%	98.9%	93.7%
Nigeria	IjebuOde	2017	76.9%	81.9%	69.8%
Nigeria	Ijero	2000	92.5%	94.7%	87.7%
Nigeria	Ijero	2017	42.3%	51.0%	30.9%
Nigeria	Ijumu	2000	59.4%	74.0%	41.4%
Nigeria	Ijumu	2017	25.3%	42.7%	13.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ika	2000	92.7%	96.6%	87.2%
Nigeria	Ika	2017	53.9%	63.8%	44.0%
Nigeria	IkaNorth	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.0%
Nigeria	IkaNorth	2017	82.1%	85.9%	77.5%
Nigeria	Ikara	2000	87.4%	97.8%	65.4%
Nigeria	Ikara	2017	43.8%	68.2%	21.4%
Nigeria	IkaSouth	2000	93.5%	99.2%	80.5%
Nigeria	IkaSouth	2017	58.7%	83.3%	36.2%
Nigeria	Ikeduru	2000	98.3%	98.6%	98.0%
Nigeria	Ikeduru	2017	66.3%	69.7%	62.2%
Nigeria	Ikeja	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.8%
Nigeria	Ikeja	2017	96.9%	97.7%	96.1%
Nigeria	Ikenne	2000	93.1%	95.0%	90.5%
Nigeria	Ikenne	2017	52.2%	55.6%	48.9%
Nigeria	Ikere	2000	92.5%	96.9%	85.4%
Nigeria	Ikere	2017	46.0%	54.2%	39.1%
Nigeria	Ikole	2000	80.8%	91.3%	63.6%
Nigeria	Ikole	2017	32.5%	48.9%	18.4%
Nigeria	Ikom	2000	77.5%	89.6%	59.3%
Nigeria	Ikom	2017	38.1%	59.5%	20.3%
Nigeria	Ikono	2000	98.2%	99.6%	94.3%
Nigeria	Ikono	2017	78.2%	87.7%	68.5%
Nigeria	Ikorodu	2000	94.2%	96.4%	91.0%
Nigeria	Ikorodu	2017	76.5%	80.5%	71.3%
Nigeria	Ikot-Aba	2000	93.8%	97.0%	89.5%
Nigeria	Ikot-Aba	2017	69.0%	75.0%	60.7%
Nigeria	Ikot-Ekp	2000	99.4%	99.6%	99.0%
Nigeria	Ikot-Ekp	2017	82.0%	86.6%	76.6%
Nigeria	Ikpoba-Okha	2000	94.6%	97.8%	89.1%
Nigeria	Ikpoba-Okha	2017	71.9%	79.6%	63.4%
Nigeria	Ikwerre	2000	97.7%	98.8%	94.2%
Nigeria	Ikwerre	2017	79.3%	85.6%	71.3%
Nigeria	Ikwo	2000	77.1%	85.0%	67.1%
Nigeria	Ikwo	2017	14.7%	23.1%	10.9%
Nigeria	Ikwuano	2000	98.3%	99.5%	95.1%
Nigeria	Ikwuano	2017	78.6%	87.7%	64.5%
Nigeria	Ila	2000	89.6%	93.7%	83.1%
Nigeria	Ila	2017	29.6%	36.3%	23.4%
Nigeria	IlajeEseodo	2000	67.0%	78.8%	54.3%
Nigeria	IlajeEseodo	2017	21.6%	34.3%	10.8%
Nigeria	Ilejemeje	2000	90.4%	92.8%	86.5%
Nigeria	Ilejemeje	2017	33.4%	41.8%	25.6%
Nigeria	IleOluji/Okeigbo	2000	69.2%	83.0%	57.8%
Nigeria	IleOluji/Okeigbo	2017	23.1%	27.1%	19.6%
Nigeria	Ilesha East	2000	92.8%	98.1%	82.0%
Nigeria	Ilesha East	2017	59.1%	74.0%	41.9%
Nigeria	Ilesha West	2000	96.4%	98.9%	92.0%
Nigeria	Ilesha West	2017	70.9%	84.6%	53.2%
Nigeria	Illela	2000	90.5%	96.3%	80.6%
Nigeria	Illela	2017	38.4%	53.1%	25.9%
Nigeria	Ilorin East	2000	94.5%	98.1%	89.0%
Nigeria	Ilorin East	2017	63.7%	70.5%	58.2%
Nigeria	Ilorin South	2000	94.8%	99.1%	79.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ilorin South	2017	55.4%	74.1%	41.2%
Nigeria	IlorinWe	2000	92.3%	93.6%	90.8%
Nigeria	IlorinWe	2017	51.9%	54.3%	50.0%
Nigeria	Imeko-Afon	2000	72.3%	88.5%	49.8%
Nigeria	Imeko-Afon	2017	27.6%	47.1%	13.5%
Nigeria	Ingawa	2000	86.1%	95.7%	71.4%
Nigeria	Ingawa	2017	34.3%	55.6%	17.9%
Nigeria	Ini	2000	80.6%	94.2%	59.7%
Nigeria	Ini	2017	40.4%	65.5%	23.2%
Nigeria	Ipokia	2000	83.3%	94.7%	66.2%
Nigeria	Ipokia	2017	39.1%	59.4%	20.4%
Nigeria	Irele	2000	85.1%	94.1%	73.0%
Nigeria	Irele	2017	49.3%	64.4%	37.1%
Nigeria	Irepo	2000	74.4%	85.9%	59.6%
Nigeria	Irepo	2017	20.7%	31.3%	12.8%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2000	95.0%	96.3%	93.2%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2000	90.1%	95.8%	81.1%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2017	41.0%	46.1%	37.7%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2017	48.7%	60.7%	37.8%
Nigeria	Irepodun/Ifelodun	2000	94.2%	96.9%	88.2%
Nigeria	Irepodun/Ifelodun	2017	53.2%	60.9%	44.8%
Nigeria	Irewole	2000	92.8%	96.6%	82.9%
Nigeria	Irewole	2017	42.1%	55.1%	31.9%
Nigeria	Isa	2000	89.3%	94.4%	82.7%
Nigeria	Isa	2017	51.9%	61.3%	42.1%
Nigeria	Ise/Orun	2000	83.7%	94.8%	67.7%
Nigeria	Ise/Orun	2017	33.8%	51.6%	20.6%
Nigeria	Iseyin	2000	70.5%	82.9%	55.1%
Nigeria	Iseyin	2017	25.9%	40.7%	14.2%
Nigeria	Ishielu	2000	59.7%	72.0%	45.1%
Nigeria	Ishielu	2017	13.0%	24.0%	6.8%
Nigeria	Isi-Uzo	2000	78.1%	89.8%	63.5%
Nigeria	Isi-Uzo	2017	24.9%	39.6%	15.3%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa	2000	98.8%	99.2%	98.1%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa	2017	76.4%	81.1%	70.3%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa	2000	95.1%	97.6%	91.5%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa	2017	61.9%	67.4%	56.1%
Nigeria	IsialaMb	2000	97.5%	99.7%	85.9%
Nigeria	IsialaMb	2017	76.9%	89.5%	58.4%
Nigeria	Isin	2000	86.6%	94.7%	72.0%
Nigeria	Isin	2017	45.6%	59.9%	34.2%
Nigeria	Isokan	2000	83.8%	94.2%	65.0%
Nigeria	Isokan	2017	29.4%	48.1%	14.9%
Nigeria	IsokoNor	2000	90.8%	93.8%	85.9%
Nigeria	IsokoNor	2017	55.8%	63.0%	46.9%
Nigeria	IsokoSou	2000	82.3%	91.3%	72.1%
Nigeria	IsokoSou	2017	50.0%	58.6%	42.0%
Nigeria	Isu	2000	98.2%	98.6%	97.9%
Nigeria	Isu	2017	59.6%	63.2%	55.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Isuikwua	2000	94.4%	98.3%	84.0%
Nigeria	Isuikwua	2017	57.9%	73.5%	40.9%
Nigeria	Itas/Gad	2000	83.2%	94.2%	64.2%
Nigeria	Itas/Gad	2017	37.5%	55.8%	19.2%
Nigeria	Itesiwaju	2000	77.0%	87.4%	60.8%
Nigeria	Itesiwaju	2017	30.6%	46.4%	16.8%
Nigeria	Itu	2000	96.8%	97.9%	95.1%
Nigeria	Itu	2017	65.8%	72.3%	59.8%
Nigeria	Ivo	2000	80.9%	93.4%	60.0%
Nigeria	Ivo	2017	29.5%	50.9%	12.0%
Nigeria	Iwajowa	2000	64.6%	78.6%	48.3%
Nigeria	Iwajowa	2017	21.7%	36.1%	12.4%
Nigeria	Iwo	2000	78.8%	86.9%	68.7%
Nigeria	Iwo	2017	54.6%	68.6%	41.6%
Nigeria	Izzi	2000	73.8%	87.0%	56.4%
Nigeria	Izzi	2017	22.1%	39.3%	11.0%
Nigeria	Jaba	2000	90.4%	95.9%	79.1%
Nigeria	Jaba	2017	46.1%	57.4%	36.4%
Nigeria	Jada	2000	76.8%	89.7%	60.0%
Nigeria	Jada	2017	31.0%	52.4%	14.9%
Nigeria	Jahun	2000	87.1%	95.8%	73.2%
Nigeria	Jahun	2017	38.7%	57.4%	21.4%
Nigeria	Jakusko	2000	79.0%	87.5%	67.5%
Nigeria	Jakusko	2017	26.9%	37.8%	17.8%
Nigeria	Jalingo	2000	94.0%	97.4%	85.7%
Nigeria	Jalingo	2017	46.8%	58.5%	37.4%
Nigeria	Jama'are	2000	75.7%	93.1%	46.6%
Nigeria	Jama'are	2017	29.4%	56.7%	9.8%
Nigeria	Jega	2000	87.9%	94.8%	76.4%
Nigeria	Jega	2017	32.6%	48.3%	20.3%
Nigeria	Jema'a	2000	89.6%	96.1%	79.7%
Nigeria	Jema'a	2017	47.9%	64.9%	32.2%
Nigeria	Jere	2000	93.6%	98.9%	83.8%
Nigeria	Jere	2017	65.4%	81.7%	48.9%
Nigeria	Jibia	2000	90.2%	96.9%	80.5%
Nigeria	Jibia	2017	41.8%	54.8%	27.8%
Nigeria	Jos East	2000	81.3%	94.7%	62.4%
Nigeria	Jos East	2017	28.8%	48.7%	14.5%
Nigeria	Jos North	2000	95.3%	96.9%	93.2%
Nigeria	Jos North	2017	58.9%	62.8%	55.2%
Nigeria	Jos South	2000	95.1%	97.3%	91.1%
Nigeria	Jos South	2017	62.0%	70.1%	53.1%
Nigeria	Kabba/Bu	2000	81.2%	89.7%	71.0%
Nigeria	Kabba/Bu	2017	39.4%	51.2%	29.0%
Nigeria	Kabo	2000	99.0%	99.5%	97.5%
Nigeria	Kabo	2017	76.6%	82.4%	67.7%
Nigeria	Kachia	2000	87.8%	93.7%	79.7%
Nigeria	Kachia	2017	46.1%	56.7%	36.6%
Nigeria	Kaduna North	2000	98.2%	99.3%	93.8%
Nigeria	Kaduna North	2017	74.7%	80.1%	68.7%
Nigeria	Kaduna South	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Nigeria	Kaduna South	2017	83.8%	86.4%	79.3%
Nigeria	KafinHau	2000	87.7%	96.9%	72.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	KafinHau	2017	43.2%	60.3%	28.6%
Nigeria	Kafur	2000	85.7%	94.0%	72.9%
Nigeria	Kafur	2017	39.2%	54.2%	25.0%
Nigeria	Kaga	2000	81.6%	94.3%	66.5%
Nigeria	Kaga	2017	37.1%	60.5%	21.9%
Nigeria	Kagarko	2000	85.3%	93.3%	74.6%
Nigeria	Kagarko	2017	39.6%	51.5%	30.1%
Nigeria	Kaiama	2000	72.5%	81.6%	60.1%
Nigeria	Kaiama	2017	31.3%	41.2%	21.2%
Nigeria	Kaita	2000	81.6%	93.0%	64.8%
Nigeria	Kaita	2017	38.4%	59.5%	21.1%
Nigeria	Kajola	2000	73.0%	85.3%	58.7%
Nigeria	Kajola	2017	25.2%	34.7%	16.5%
Nigeria	Kajuru	2000	82.8%	92.7%	69.9%
Nigeria	Kajuru	2017	39.5%	53.4%	27.1%
Nigeria	Kala/Balge	2000	83.3%	94.7%	67.1%
Nigeria	Kala/Balge	2017	35.9%	56.0%	17.1%
Nigeria	Kalgo	2000	81.7%	95.7%	59.8%
Nigeria	Kalgo	2017	36.7%	61.7%	16.5%
Nigeria	Kaltungo	2000	78.1%	90.4%	56.2%
Nigeria	Kaltungo	2017	25.3%	43.7%	9.6%
Nigeria	Kanam	2000	77.5%	89.6%	57.8%
Nigeria	Kanam	2017	28.3%	43.5%	15.5%
Nigeria	Kankara	2000	88.9%	96.3%	74.7%
Nigeria	Kankara	2017	44.1%	62.8%	24.0%
Nigeria	Kanke	2000	71.2%	81.6%	58.0%
Nigeria	Kanke	2017	27.7%	38.9%	19.3%
Nigeria	Kankiya	2000	79.8%	88.6%	66.8%
Nigeria	Kankiya	2017	25.6%	40.4%	13.6%
Nigeria	Kano	2000	99.2%	99.3%	99.1%
Nigeria	Kano	2017	78.4%	80.8%	76.2%
Nigeria	Karasuwa	2000	80.4%	89.2%	68.8%
Nigeria	Karasuwa	2017	29.6%	38.6%	22.1%
Nigeria	Karaye	2000	94.1%	98.9%	81.8%
Nigeria	Karaye	2017	55.5%	74.3%	37.6%
Nigeria	Karim-La	2000	74.2%	80.7%	67.1%
Nigeria	Karim-La	2017	25.8%	33.9%	17.9%
Nigeria	Karu	2000	86.4%	91.8%	80.1%
Nigeria	Karu	2017	56.0%	67.0%	47.0%
Nigeria	Katagum	2000	88.8%	95.0%	79.6%
Nigeria	Katagum	2017	50.8%	61.5%	40.3%
Nigeria	Katcha	2000	76.4%	88.6%	58.0%
Nigeria	Katcha	2017	26.0%	45.7%	12.9%
Nigeria	Katsina (Benue)	2000	81.4%	90.8%	69.6%
Nigeria	Katsina (Benue)	2017	31.5%	44.2%	20.4%
Nigeria	Katsina (K)	2000	98.4%	98.7%	98.1%
Nigeria	Katsina (K)	2017	66.1%	69.5%	63.0%
Nigeria	Kaugama	2000	86.3%	96.1%	69.8%
Nigeria	Kaugama	2017	39.3%	59.0%	20.7%
Nigeria	Kaura	2000	91.9%	97.1%	82.6%
Nigeria	Kaura	2017	40.4%	52.6%	30.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Kaura-Na	2000	93.4%	97.1%	87.7%
Nigeria	Kaura-Na	2017	48.9%	63.8%	35.7%
Nigeria	Kauru	2000	81.9%	91.2%	68.3%
Nigeria	Kauru	2017	35.6%	50.0%	21.3%
Nigeria	Kazaure	2000	93.9%	97.2%	87.1%
Nigeria	Kazaure	2017	43.5%	57.0%	29.8%
Nigeria	Keana	2000	83.8%	92.9%	71.4%
Nigeria	Keana	2017	46.2%	59.8%	34.0%
Nigeria	Kebbe	2000	85.8%	92.5%	77.0%
Nigeria	Kebbe	2017	36.7%	48.5%	23.7%
Nigeria	Keffi	2000	93.9%	97.7%	85.5%
Nigeria	Keffi	2017	44.0%	61.4%	30.5%
Nigeria	Khana	2000	89.3%	92.3%	82.0%
Nigeria	Khana	2017	48.8%	54.1%	42.0%
Nigeria	Kibiya	2000	83.3%	91.8%	71.9%
Nigeria	Kibiya	2017	39.9%	50.5%	31.5%
Nigeria	Kirfi	2000	83.4%	92.8%	70.7%
Nigeria	Kirfi	2017	40.6%	57.3%	26.0%
Nigeria	KiriKasa	2000	86.6%	91.8%	76.6%
Nigeria	KiriKasa	2017	45.7%	61.3%	28.9%
Nigeria	Kiru	2000	94.1%	98.7%	82.9%
Nigeria	Kiru	2017	58.4%	78.1%	37.1%
Nigeria	Kiyawa	2000	87.8%	96.5%	71.2%
Nigeria	Kiyawa	2017	48.1%	72.0%	23.1%
Nigeria	Koko/Bes	2000	84.0%	91.9%	74.1%
Nigeria	Koko/Bes	2017	33.1%	44.8%	22.1%
Nigeria	Kokona	2000	83.9%	91.2%	75.4%
Nigeria	Kokona	2017	38.8%	51.4%	27.0%
Nigeria	Kolokuma/Opoku	2000	49.6%	58.9%	39.1%
Nigeria	Kolokuma/Opoku	2017	28.9%	36.4%	24.2%
Nigeria	Konduga	2000	93.7%	95.9%	90.4%
Nigeria	Konduga	2017	56.5%	62.3%	51.3%
Nigeria	Konshish	2000	81.7%	92.9%	64.8%
Nigeria	Konshish	2017	34.5%	53.1%	20.2%
Nigeria	Kontogur	2000	79.5%	86.4%	71.3%
Nigeria	Kontogur	2017	34.7%	49.1%	23.9%
Nigeria	Kosofe	2000	99.4%	99.5%	99.3%
Nigeria	Kosofe	2017	89.9%	91.1%	88.6%
Nigeria	Kotonkar	2000	69.9%	81.4%	56.6%
Nigeria	Kotonkar	2017	25.7%	38.4%	15.7%
Nigeria	Kubau	2000	89.5%	95.1%	81.7%
Nigeria	Kubau	2017	41.3%	52.0%	31.5%
Nigeria	Kudan	2000	91.5%	98.3%	77.2%
Nigeria	Kudan	2017	52.0%	78.0%	27.9%
Nigeria	Kuje	2000	67.1%	79.1%	53.9%
Nigeria	Kuje	2017	24.3%	32.9%	17.0%
Nigeria	Kukawa	2000	82.9%	95.1%	63.2%
Nigeria	Kukawa	2017	38.2%	58.5%	19.1%
Nigeria	Kumbotso	2000	98.5%	98.6%	98.3%
Nigeria	Kumbotso	2017	70.6%	72.1%	69.2%
Nigeria	Kunchi	2000	94.6%	97.6%	88.5%
Nigeria	Kunchi	2017	48.4%	61.4%	38.2%
Nigeria	Kura	2000	98.7%	99.4%	96.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Kura	2017	77.6%	82.7%	71.8%
Nigeria	Kurfi	2000	78.3%	88.5%	62.6%
Nigeria	Kurfi	2017	28.5%	48.4%	11.5%
Nigeria	Kurmi	2000	81.0%	89.6%	70.5%
Nigeria	Kurmi	2017	36.1%	47.6%	25.0%
Nigeria	Kusada	2000	84.2%	93.0%	71.0%
Nigeria	Kusada	2017	40.0%	50.8%	31.6%
Nigeria	Kwali	2000	70.3%	77.5%	63.4%
Nigeria	Kwali	2017	29.7%	35.5%	25.4%
Nigeria	Kwami	2000	89.8%	95.6%	81.4%
Nigeria	Kwami	2017	41.8%	53.6%	32.9%
Nigeria	Kwande	2000	70.4%	80.3%	59.2%
Nigeria	Kwande	2017	29.6%	38.9%	22.2%
Nigeria	Kware	2000	94.1%	96.7%	88.7%
Nigeria	Kware	2017	44.2%	52.1%	36.6%
Nigeria	Kwaya Kusar	2000	88.8%	97.4%	69.9%
Nigeria	Kwaya Kusar	2017	39.0%	63.5%	17.6%
Nigeria	Lafia	2000	88.1%	91.5%	84.3%
Nigeria	Lafia	2017	31.5%	36.9%	26.0%
Nigeria	Lagelu	2000	90.1%	92.8%	86.6%
Nigeria	Lagelu	2017	53.8%	58.3%	49.5%
Nigeria	LagosIsland	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.5%
Nigeria	LagosIsland	2017	86.9%	88.5%	85.3%
Nigeria	Lake Chad	2000	82.5%	90.4%	69.4%
Nigeria	Lake Chad	2017	35.1%	49.2%	22.4%
Nigeria	Lamurde	2000	86.6%	94.8%	76.2%
Nigeria	Lamurde	2017	37.5%	54.0%	23.7%
Nigeria	Langtang North	2000	73.7%	81.1%	66.2%
Nigeria	Langtang North	2017	15.1%	22.1%	10.3%
Nigeria	Langtang South	2000	67.7%	83.2%	47.9%
Nigeria	Langtang South	2017	18.3%	34.1%	7.1%
Nigeria	Lapai	2000	75.9%	84.6%	65.2%
Nigeria	Lapai	2017	35.2%	46.7%	23.8%
Nigeria	Lau	2000	79.4%	89.5%	64.3%
Nigeria	Lau	2017	28.9%	44.7%	15.7%
Nigeria	Lavun	2000	84.8%	90.8%	76.8%
Nigeria	Lavun	2017	38.6%	47.5%	31.8%
Nigeria	Lere	2000	90.8%	96.8%	82.6%
Nigeria	Lere	2017	49.2%	64.1%	36.5%
Nigeria	Logo	2000	75.8%	88.2%	58.1%
Nigeria	Logo	2017	30.5%	49.5%	16.9%
Nigeria	Lokoja	2000	83.8%	89.7%	74.9%
Nigeria	Lokoja	2017	41.5%	51.1%	31.2%
Nigeria	Machina	2000	74.0%	88.1%	56.0%
Nigeria	Machina	2017	22.2%	40.1%	8.6%
Nigeria	Madagali	2000	91.4%	98.1%	78.1%
Nigeria	Madagali	2017	43.8%	65.8%	24.9%
Nigeria	Madobi	2000	98.3%	98.8%	97.5%
Nigeria	Madobi	2017	74.0%	76.3%	71.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Mafa	2000	81.4%	92.5%	65.5%
Nigeria	Mafa	2017	35.4%	53.3%	22.1%
Nigeria	Magama	2000	74.3%	84.1%	61.4%
Nigeria	Magama	2017	30.0%	42.9%	18.5%
Nigeria	Magumeri	2000	80.9%	90.3%	70.0%
Nigeria	Magumeri	2017	36.2%	51.5%	23.5%
Nigeria	Mai'Adua	2000	84.7%	91.6%	76.6%
Nigeria	Mai'Adua	2017	25.6%	35.1%	18.4%
Nigeria	Maidugur	2000	99.5%	99.8%	98.9%
Nigeria	Maidugur	2017	89.0%	92.2%	85.4%
Nigeria	Maigatari	2000	75.1%	84.9%	57.6%
Nigeria	Maigatari	2017	29.9%	47.2%	15.7%
Nigeria	Maiha	2000	89.6%	97.8%	73.3%
Nigeria	Maiha	2017	47.7%	70.7%	25.8%
Nigeria	Mainland	2000	99.7%	99.8%	99.7%
Nigeria	Mainland	2017	94.7%	95.4%	93.9%
Nigeria	Maiyama	2000	81.0%	91.2%	65.7%
Nigeria	Maiyama	2017	28.9%	45.6%	15.9%
Nigeria	Makarfi	2000	93.4%	98.0%	83.6%
Nigeria	Makarfi	2017	47.6%	65.3%	32.3%
Nigeria	Makoda	2000	93.5%	99.4%	77.7%
Nigeria	Makoda	2017	62.0%	81.3%	43.4%
Nigeria	Makurdi	2000	89.3%	96.2%	77.0%
Nigeria	Makurdi	2017	49.8%	64.9%	36.3%
Nigeria	MalamMad	2000	95.6%	98.1%	89.1%
Nigeria	MalamMad	2017	58.4%	70.9%	48.2%
Nigeria	Malumfashi	2000	84.7%	90.2%	76.3%
Nigeria	Malumfashi	2017	39.5%	53.8%	25.0%
Nigeria	Mangu	2000	75.8%	85.7%	65.7%
Nigeria	Mangu	2017	30.7%	40.7%	22.4%
Nigeria	Mani	2000	73.0%	82.9%	59.8%
Nigeria	Mani	2017	29.1%	42.5%	19.3%
Nigeria	Maradun	2000	85.9%	94.3%	74.4%
Nigeria	Maradun	2017	39.9%	53.9%	27.1%
Nigeria	Mariga	2000	81.2%	88.9%	71.5%
Nigeria	Mariga	2017	35.8%	46.3%	25.6%
Nigeria	Marte	2000	85.6%	94.6%	71.1%
Nigeria	Marte	2017	40.1%	58.1%	21.2%
Nigeria	Maru	2000	79.3%	86.1%	71.9%
Nigeria	Maru	2017	30.3%	40.2%	21.1%
Nigeria	Mashegu	2000	77.2%	84.3%	68.7%
Nigeria	Mashegu	2017	29.6%	38.4%	22.5%
Nigeria	Mashi	2000	71.8%	86.4%	56.6%
Nigeria	Mashi	2017	24.5%	37.2%	12.3%
Nigeria	Matazu	2000	85.9%	95.4%	70.7%
Nigeria	Matazu	2017	35.3%	55.9%	19.4%
Nigeria	Mayo-Bel	2000	81.1%	90.8%	69.4%
Nigeria	Mayo-Bel	2017	31.3%	48.0%	17.3%
Nigeria	Mbaitoli	2000	96.9%	97.9%	95.4%
Nigeria	Mbaitoli	2017	67.7%	70.6%	65.2%
Nigeria	Mbo	2000	67.1%	85.3%	43.1%
Nigeria	Mbo	2017	20.1%	38.2%	8.3%
Nigeria	Michika	2000	91.9%	97.7%	82.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Michika	2017	54.4%	69.4%	39.4%
Nigeria	Miga	2000	86.3%	96.9%	69.9%
Nigeria	Miga	2017	33.7%	55.8%	16.9%
Nigeria	Mikang	2000	58.5%	74.5%	38.2%
Nigeria	Mikang	2017	16.7%	34.4%	5.9%
Nigeria	Minjibir	2000	95.1%	97.1%	86.1%
Nigeria	Minjibir	2017	46.8%	52.9%	40.3%
Nigeria	Misau	2000	90.9%	96.0%	83.2%
Nigeria	Misau	2017	53.6%	61.9%	43.7%
Nigeria	Mkpat Enin	2000	99.0%	99.4%	97.8%
Nigeria	Mkpat Enin	2017	80.8%	87.1%	73.5%
Nigeria	Moba	2000	86.8%	91.6%	78.5%
Nigeria	Moba	2017	25.6%	33.2%	19.4%
Nigeria	Mobbar	2000	82.3%	92.3%	69.7%
Nigeria	Mobbar	2017	35.8%	52.1%	24.2%
Nigeria	Mokwa	2000	81.7%	88.7%	73.8%
Nigeria	Mokwa	2017	37.6%	47.9%	29.3%
Nigeria	Monguno	2000	83.6%	93.8%	69.4%
Nigeria	Monguno	2017	37.2%	55.6%	21.4%
Nigeria	Mopa-Muro	2000	80.1%	89.5%	66.1%
Nigeria	Mopa-Muro	2017	23.7%	34.0%	14.9%
Nigeria	Moro	2000	81.2%	89.3%	71.7%
Nigeria	Moro	2017	41.0%	49.7%	33.4%
Nigeria	Mubi North	2000	86.3%	93.5%	74.8%
Nigeria	Mubi North	2017	38.3%	50.3%	29.8%
Nigeria	Mubi South	2000	83.9%	95.7%	55.1%
Nigeria	Mubi South	2017	31.7%	61.0%	12.6%
Nigeria	Musawa	2000	65.5%	75.9%	56.3%
Nigeria	Musawa	2017	30.1%	43.8%	16.4%
Nigeria	Mushin	2000	99.6%	99.7%	99.6%
Nigeria	Mushin	2017	92.8%	93.7%	91.6%
Nigeria	Muya	2000	75.8%	85.9%	60.6%
Nigeria	Muya	2017	32.2%	45.5%	19.9%
Nigeria	Nafada	2000	77.8%	85.5%	67.4%
Nigeria	Nafada	2017	25.5%	38.5%	14.7%
Nigeria	Nangere	2000	84.1%	90.4%	75.0%
Nigeria	Nangere	2017	27.0%	37.7%	19.2%
Nigeria	Nasarawa	2000	77.9%	84.3%	69.3%
Nigeria	Nasarawa	2017	32.4%	40.0%	25.4%
Nigeria	Nassaraw	2000	98.6%	98.7%	98.4%
Nigeria	Nassaraw	2017	62.8%	64.8%	60.9%
Nigeria	Nassarawa	2000	77.1%	85.4%	67.8%
Nigeria	Egon				
Nigeria	Nassarawa	2017	20.3%	29.6%	13.7%
Nigeria	Egon				
Nigeria	Ndokwa East	2000	84.9%	94.1%	73.2%
Nigeria	Ndokwa East	2017	45.6%	62.3%	29.7%
Nigeria	Ndokwa West	2000	86.1%	94.0%	75.6%
Nigeria	Ndokwa West	2017	45.3%	60.3%	32.4%
Nigeria	Nembe	2000	52.9%	67.6%	36.7%
Nigeria	Nembe	2017	24.2%	38.3%	14.0%
Nigeria	Ngala	2000	87.6%	95.5%	74.4%
Nigeria	Ngala	2017	42.1%	59.1%	25.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Nganzai	2000	75.3%	89.2%	56.4%
Nigeria	Nganzai	2017	30.8%	47.6%	13.6%
Nigeria	Ngaski	2000	79.0%	90.1%	62.9%
Nigeria	Ngaski	2017	29.4%	44.0%	16.8%
Nigeria	Ngor-Okp	2000	91.4%	95.2%	87.6%
Nigeria	Ngor-Okp	2017	59.2%	62.9%	55.9%
Nigeria	Nguru	2000	80.5%	84.5%	75.7%
Nigeria	Nguru	2017	18.6%	24.3%	13.7%
Nigeria	Ningi	2000	78.2%	86.3%	68.4%
Nigeria	Ningi	2017	30.4%	41.2%	21.3%
Nigeria	Njaba	2000	98.7%	98.9%	98.5%
Nigeria	Njaba	2017	67.7%	70.3%	65.1%
Nigeria	Njikoka	2000	99.5%	99.8%	99.0%
Nigeria	Njikoka	2017	88.9%	93.8%	82.3%
Nigeria	Nkanu East	2000	78.2%	86.7%	68.1%
Nigeria	Nkanu East	2017	28.8%	40.1%	19.3%
Nigeria	Nkanu West	2000	78.6%	83.8%	73.5%
Nigeria	Nkanu West	2017	24.5%	28.2%	21.0%
Nigeria	Nkwerre	2000	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%
Nigeria	Nkwerre	2017	79.7%	81.9%	77.3%
Nigeria	NnewiNort	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Nigeria	NnewiNort	2017	75.2%	77.5%	72.4%
Nigeria	NnewiSou	2000	98.0%	98.7%	96.5%
Nigeria	NnewiSou	2017	66.1%	73.3%	57.2%
Nigeria	Nsit Atai	2000	93.6%	99.8%	68.3%
Nigeria	Nsit Atai	2017	60.1%	92.2%	26.1%
Nigeria	Nsit Ibom	2000	98.3%	99.0%	95.8%
Nigeria	Nsit Ibom	2017	62.7%	74.9%	44.6%
Nigeria	Nsit Ubium	2000	99.1%	99.5%	97.7%
Nigeria	Nsit Ubium	2017	80.1%	85.4%	72.0%
Nigeria	Nsukka	2000	85.5%	90.9%	77.5%
Nigeria	Nsukka	2017	30.3%	37.8%	25.3%
Nigeria	Numan	2000	91.7%	97.0%	83.6%
Nigeria	Numan	2017	43.3%	57.7%	31.4%
Nigeria	Nwangele	2000	99.1%	99.4%	97.8%
Nigeria	Nwangele	2017	79.4%	83.5%	73.9%
Nigeria	Obafemi-Owode	2000	84.1%	89.9%	76.8%
Nigeria	Obafemi-Owode	2017	52.4%	61.3%	44.7%
Nigeria	Obanliku	2000	94.0%	98.1%	86.2%
Nigeria	Obanliku	2017	62.0%	70.5%	54.1%
Nigeria	Obi	2000	78.5%	94.3%	53.7%
Nigeria	Obi	2000	88.0%	93.2%	82.3%
Nigeria	Obi	2017	43.0%	50.6%	35.5%
Nigeria	Obi	2017	23.8%	47.4%	9.5%
Nigeria	Obio/Akp	2000	87.9%	90.3%	86.0%
Nigeria	Obio/Akp	2017	63.8%	66.9%	60.4%
Nigeria	Obokun	2000	85.6%	90.8%	79.5%
Nigeria	Obokun	2017	42.7%	51.0%	35.7%
Nigeria	Oboma Ngwa	2000	98.1%	98.4%	97.6%
Nigeria	Oboma Ngwa	2017	66.6%	69.8%	62.8%
Nigeria	Obot Akara	2000	96.5%	99.2%	84.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Obot Akara	2017	58.6%	81.0%	27.9%
Nigeria	Obowo	2000	99.0%	99.2%	98.7%
Nigeria	Obowo	2017	72.7%	75.9%	69.3%
Nigeria	Obubra	2000	74.8%	86.4%	60.3%
Nigeria	Obubra	2017	22.0%	34.7%	12.7%
Nigeria	Obudu	2000	87.5%	95.7%	77.0%
Nigeria	Obudu	2017	49.5%	61.3%	37.0%
Nigeria	Odeda	2000	86.4%	93.9%	74.3%
Nigeria	Odeda	2017	50.8%	64.3%	37.5%
Nigeria	Odigbo	2000	87.5%	95.8%	76.5%
Nigeria	Odigbo	2017	49.3%	70.0%	31.6%
Nigeria	Odo0tin	2000	92.6%	96.3%	87.1%
Nigeria	Odo0tin	2017	56.6%	66.3%	47.9%
Nigeria	Odogbolu	2000	96.4%	99.1%	89.1%
Nigeria	Odogbolu	2017	71.9%	83.8%	59.1%
Nigeria	Odukpani	2000	80.0%	90.7%	66.4%
Nigeria	Odukpani	2017	30.8%	47.5%	18.5%
Nigeria	Offa	2000	93.7%	95.5%	91.7%
Nigeria	Offa	2017	46.9%	51.9%	43.0%
Nigeria	Ofu	2000	80.6%	91.3%	64.0%
Nigeria	Ofu	2017	33.6%	50.1%	19.7%
Nigeria	Ogba/Egbe	2000	97.4%	99.0%	93.9%
Nigeria	Ogba/Egbe	2017	75.0%	83.0%	66.9%
Nigeria	Ogbadibo	2000	80.4%	93.7%	56.9%
Nigeria	Ogbadibo	2017	27.4%	49.2%	10.4%
Nigeria	Ogbaru	2000	87.4%	98.0%	67.3%
Nigeria	Ogbaru	2017	49.6%	76.0%	21.9%
Nigeria	Ogbia	2000	52.2%	65.2%	40.7%
Nigeria	Ogbia	2017	27.0%	33.8%	21.4%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho North	2000	93.5%	96.7%	86.7%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho North	2017	46.3%	58.1%	37.2%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho South	2000	93.7%	95.7%	90.9%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho South	2017	40.2%	46.2%	33.9%
Nigeria	Ogo-Oluw	2000	87.2%	94.1%	78.3%
Nigeria	Ogo-Oluw	2017	50.4%	63.9%	40.8%
Nigeria	Ogoja	2000	78.8%	88.9%	62.1%
Nigeria	Ogoja	2017	30.6%	46.8%	18.4%
Nigeria	Ogori/Magongo	2000	79.7%	86.5%	71.5%
Nigeria	Ogori/Magongo	2017	22.6%	29.8%	17.0%
Nigeria	Ogu/Bolo	2000	48.0%	67.3%	35.2%
Nigeria	Ogu/Bolo	2017	15.4%	23.9%	11.4%
Nigeria	OgunWaterside	2000	87.0%	95.6%	73.8%
Nigeria	OgunWaterside	2017	52.2%	66.7%	36.6%
Nigeria	Oguta	2000	89.5%	98.0%	69.3%
Nigeria	Oguta	2017	42.1%	68.1%	17.5%
Nigeria	Ohafia Abia	2000	85.9%	92.5%	78.0%
Nigeria	Ohafia Abia	2017	37.5%	48.3%	29.7%
Nigeria	Ohaji/Eg	2000	88.2%	96.0%	77.4%
Nigeria	Ohaji/Eg	2017	52.9%	72.3%	37.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ohaozara	2000	78.2%	87.7%	56.4%
Nigeria	Ohaozara	2017	30.4%	47.3%	13.3%
Nigeria	Ohaukwu	2000	65.3%	74.2%	57.1%
Nigeria	Ohaukwu	2017	15.9%	20.4%	11.5%
Nigeria	Ohimini	2000	80.9%	94.2%	58.8%
Nigeria	Ohimini	2017	45.0%	64.6%	25.7%
Nigeria	Oji-River	2000	87.9%	96.0%	68.4%
Nigeria	Oji-River	2017	43.6%	61.5%	26.8%
Nigeria	Ojo	2000	97.9%	98.8%	96.4%
Nigeria	Ojo	2017	76.4%	81.0%	72.0%
Nigeria	Oju	2000	78.4%	94.0%	56.8%
Nigeria	Oju	2017	31.9%	61.2%	13.9%
Nigeria	Oke-Ero	2000	85.7%	93.7%	73.2%
Nigeria	Oke-Ero	2017	38.9%	55.4%	25.9%
Nigeria	Okehi	2000	81.2%	88.9%	73.1%
Nigeria	Okehi	2017	32.4%	40.2%	26.8%
Nigeria	Okene	2000	85.2%	92.9%	69.5%
Nigeria	Okene	2017	29.4%	44.9%	18.8%
Nigeria	Okigwe	2000	95.0%	98.4%	84.4%
Nigeria	Okigwe	2017	60.9%	74.2%	45.5%
Nigeria	Okitipupa	2000	93.4%	97.5%	87.7%
Nigeria	Okitipupa	2017	59.4%	68.7%	49.0%
Nigeria	Okobo	2000	95.4%	97.3%	92.2%
Nigeria	Okobo	2017	47.4%	54.0%	41.7%
Nigeria	Okpe	2000	85.6%	96.5%	66.2%
Nigeria	Okpe	2017	54.2%	74.6%	35.5%
Nigeria	Okpokwu	2000	77.2%	92.9%	55.4%
Nigeria	Okpokwu	2017	28.2%	52.4%	12.1%
Nigeria	Okrika	2000	65.5%	79.1%	50.7%
Nigeria	Okrika	2017	35.0%	51.3%	21.4%
Nigeria	Ola-Oluwa	2000	77.4%	81.7%	74.5%
Nigeria	Ola-Oluwa	2017	41.8%	49.0%	33.5%
Nigeria	Olamabor	2000	83.4%	91.7%	72.4%
Nigeria	Olamabor	2017	33.5%	45.3%	22.0%
Nigeria	Olorunda	2000	88.6%	91.9%	85.6%
Nigeria	Olorunda	2017	57.8%	63.8%	53.9%
Nigeria	Olorunsogo	2000	76.1%	85.0%	63.1%
Nigeria	Olorunsogo	2017	30.0%	49.0%	12.7%
Nigeria	Oluyole	2000	91.9%	95.2%	86.8%
Nigeria	Oluyole	2017	67.8%	74.0%	61.9%
Nigeria	Omala	2000	78.4%	89.8%	63.4%
Nigeria	Omala	2017	26.0%	40.3%	14.3%
Nigeria	Omumma	2000	79.4%	84.8%	75.3%
Nigeria	Omumma	2017	67.6%	71.3%	63.9%
Nigeria	Ona-Ara	2000	89.5%	94.1%	84.5%
Nigeria	Ona-Ara	2017	50.9%	55.2%	47.3%
Nigeria	Ondo East	2000	84.6%	91.2%	75.0%
Nigeria	Ondo East	2017	39.6%	52.4%	29.1%
Nigeria	Ondo West	2000	85.9%	93.3%	77.0%
Nigeria	Ondo West	2017	34.7%	45.6%	26.7%
Nigeria	Onicha	2000	75.8%	89.9%	58.8%
Nigeria	Onicha	2017	26.8%	44.8%	16.5%
Nigeria	Onitsha North	2000	99.8%	99.9%	99.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Onitsha North	2017	94.8%	97.1%	91.7%
Nigeria	Onitsha South	2000	97.5%	99.9%	91.0%
Nigeria	Onitsha South	2017	95.4%	99.4%	87.3%
Nigeria	Onna	2000	99.1%	99.8%	96.7%
Nigeria	Onna	2017	85.6%	94.3%	68.1%
Nigeria	Opobo/Nkoro	2000	70.8%	81.7%	64.2%
Nigeria	Opobo/Nkoro	2017	45.0%	52.6%	35.1%
Nigeria	Oredo Edo	2000	97.0%	99.1%	91.4%
Nigeria	Oredo Edo	2017	80.7%	88.1%	73.2%
Nigeria	Orelope	2000	73.9%	86.2%	58.0%
Nigeria	Orelope	2017	23.7%	35.0%	14.8%
Nigeria	Orhionmw	2000	86.0%	93.8%	75.4%
Nigeria	Orhionmw	2017	49.0%	63.7%	34.3%
Nigeria	Ori-Ire	2000	73.7%	86.0%	60.2%
Nigeria	Ori-Ire	2017	28.7%	43.9%	15.5%
Nigeria	Oriade	2000	80.4%	90.8%	69.3%
Nigeria	Oriade	2017	38.1%	46.2%	31.7%
Nigeria	Orlu	2000	99.2%	99.3%	99.1%
Nigeria	Orlu	2017	77.3%	79.8%	73.8%
Nigeria	Orolo	2000	92.4%	95.0%	89.9%
Nigeria	Orolo	2017	43.9%	47.7%	40.2%
Nigeria	Oron	2000	94.3%	97.4%	89.9%
Nigeria	Oron	2017	52.3%	59.8%	45.6%
Nigeria	Orsu	2000	98.2%	99.3%	92.9%
Nigeria	Orsu	2017	74.6%	83.8%	58.5%
Nigeria	Oru East	2000	98.6%	99.1%	96.0%
Nigeria	Oru East	2017	71.7%	76.1%	67.4%
Nigeria	Oru West	2000	96.9%	98.9%	86.3%
Nigeria	Oru West	2017	56.7%	74.7%	37.8%
Nigeria	Oruk-Ana	2000	97.1%	98.9%	90.9%
Nigeria	Oruk-Ana	2017	63.7%	74.8%	53.7%
Nigeria	OrumbaNo	2000	96.4%	98.4%	92.3%
Nigeria	OrumbaNo	2017	62.4%	69.7%	55.7%
Nigeria	OrumbaSo	2000	94.8%	98.3%	87.1%
Nigeria	OrumbaSo	2017	62.7%	76.0%	45.9%
Nigeria	Ose	2000	74.3%	88.1%	57.0%
Nigeria	Ose	2017	31.5%	48.2%	17.7%
Nigeria	Oshimili	2000	94.5%	98.4%	85.5%
Nigeria	Oshimili North	2017	63.5%	79.4%	47.2%
Nigeria	Oshimili South	2000	90.8%	96.2%	85.4%
Nigeria	Oshimili South	2017	76.0%	84.4%	68.7%
Nigeria	Oshodi/Isolo	2000	99.9%	99.9%	99.9%
Nigeria	Oshodi/Isolo	2017	97.5%	98.1%	96.8%
Nigeria	Osisoma Ngwa	2000	98.6%	98.9%	98.2%
Nigeria	Osisoma Ngwa	2017	73.1%	77.5%	68.1%
Nigeria	Osogbo	2000	81.4%	85.4%	75.3%
Nigeria	Osogbo	2017	49.1%	64.0%	38.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Oturkpo	2000	80.1%	92.4%	62.0%
Nigeria	Oturkpo	2017	36.8%	56.5%	19.4%
Nigeria	OviaNort	2000	81.0%	87.8%	71.5%
Nigeria	OviaNort	2017	49.8%	59.3%	40.9%
Nigeria	OviaSouth-West	2000	89.2%	95.6%	80.6%
Nigeria	OviaSouth-West	2017	55.0%	67.7%	43.0%
Nigeria	Owan East	2000	87.8%	97.0%	73.6%
Nigeria	Owan East	2017	47.6%	68.3%	29.0%
Nigeria	OwanWest	2000	88.5%	97.6%	68.4%
Nigeria	OwanWest	2017	47.4%	66.0%	32.3%
Nigeria	Owerri Municipal	2000	98.9%	99.1%	98.7%
Nigeria	Owerri Municipal	2017	76.6%	79.1%	73.3%
Nigeria	Owerri North	2000	97.7%	98.1%	97.2%
Nigeria	Owerri North	2017	68.3%	70.9%	65.7%
Nigeria	Owerri West	2000	95.6%	97.4%	92.8%
Nigeria	Owerri West	2017	60.6%	64.4%	57.4%
Nigeria	Owo	2000	84.5%	93.1%	71.9%
Nigeria	Owo	2017	33.3%	49.6%	20.9%
Nigeria	Oye	2000	88.7%	95.5%	73.1%
Nigeria	Oye	2017	42.2%	60.4%	29.5%
Nigeria	Oyi	2000	99.1%	99.5%	98.4%
Nigeria	Oyi	2017	84.2%	87.9%	79.9%
Nigeria	Oyigbo	2000	71.0%	77.2%	63.1%
Nigeria	Oyigbo	2017	61.8%	72.5%	50.2%
Nigeria	Oyo East	2000	90.3%	95.6%	82.6%
Nigeria	Oyo East	2017	44.2%	52.0%	36.9%
Nigeria	Oyo West	2000	88.5%	92.8%	80.8%
Nigeria	Oyo West	2017	40.7%	48.3%	33.9%
Nigeria	Oyun	2000	82.2%	89.3%	75.6%
Nigeria	Oyun	2017	38.8%	45.7%	33.3%
Nigeria	Paikoro	2000	83.8%	91.3%	71.8%
Nigeria	Paikoro	2017	30.7%	42.5%	19.2%
Nigeria	Pankshin	2000	66.9%	80.3%	51.4%
Nigeria	Pankshin	2017	18.5%	29.3%	10.2%
Nigeria	Patani	2000	67.9%	80.3%	50.3%
Nigeria	Patani	2017	36.7%	51.5%	24.6%
Nigeria	Pategi	2000	70.0%	82.3%	57.7%
Nigeria	Pategi	2017	21.9%	31.6%	13.5%
Nigeria	Port Harcourt	2000	92.7%	95.0%	89.4%
Nigeria	Port Harcourt	2017	60.3%	65.9%	52.5%
Nigeria	Potiskum	2000	91.6%	96.3%	81.6%
Nigeria	Potiskum	2017	40.3%	53.6%	29.2%
Nigeria	Qua'anpa	2000	71.0%	83.2%	54.3%
Nigeria	Qua'anpa	2017	25.6%	36.9%	16.4%
Nigeria	Rabah	2000	87.0%	93.7%	76.8%
Nigeria	Rabah	2017	39.0%	52.9%	27.7%
Nigeria	Rafi	2000	86.0%	93.6%	76.4%
Nigeria	Rafi	2017	43.9%	57.3%	31.5%
Nigeria	Rano	2000	89.2%	97.4%	75.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Rano	2017	57.1%	74.6%	39.8%
Nigeria	Remo-North	2000	96.1%	98.8%	85.6%
Nigeria	Remo-North	2017	63.6%	77.0%	49.2%
Nigeria	Rijau	2000	86.6%	93.7%	77.8%
Nigeria	Rijau	2017	47.3%	58.3%	34.2%
Nigeria	Rimi	2000	85.7%	92.5%	75.4%
Nigeria	Rimi	2017	33.9%	44.2%	25.7%
Nigeria	RiminGad	2000	96.9%	99.2%	86.5%
Nigeria	RiminGad	2017	60.2%	75.3%	40.1%
Nigeria	Ringim	2000	87.1%	95.0%	75.3%
Nigeria	Ringim	2017	36.2%	48.7%	24.8%
Nigeria	Riyom	2000	88.0%	96.4%	72.1%
Nigeria	Riyom	2017	45.3%	61.3%	32.5%
Nigeria	Rogo	2000	91.6%	97.9%	79.7%
Nigeria	Rogo	2017	47.2%	66.8%	30.8%
Nigeria	Roni	2000	88.7%	98.1%	69.7%
Nigeria	Roni	2017	49.8%	70.5%	29.8%
Nigeria	Sabon Birni	2000	92.3%	96.4%	85.4%
Nigeria	Sabon Birni	2017	52.8%	63.9%	41.6%
Nigeria	Sabon-Ga	2000	96.0%	98.1%	92.1%
Nigeria	Sabon-Ga	2017	62.4%	69.9%	56.0%
Nigeria	Sabuwa	2000	83.8%	95.8%	63.8%
Nigeria	Sabuwa	2017	30.6%	52.4%	11.7%
Nigeria	Safana	2000	86.1%	95.1%	73.0%
Nigeria	Safana	2017	34.9%	53.8%	20.0%
Nigeria	Sagbama	2000	42.1%	54.1%	30.3%
Nigeria	Sagbama	2017	27.1%	36.3%	20.2%
Nigeria	Sakaba	2000	67.9%	85.6%	43.8%
Nigeria	Sakaba	2017	22.9%	41.8%	8.6%
Nigeria	Saki East	2000	74.1%	87.2%	57.6%
Nigeria	Saki East	2017	24.8%	39.9%	15.3%
Nigeria	Saki West	2000	73.8%	83.9%	59.3%
Nigeria	Saki West	2017	28.1%	40.6%	16.6%
Nigeria	Sandamu	2000	79.1%	92.5%	60.7%
Nigeria	Sandamu	2017	25.8%	32.2%	19.9%
Nigeria	Sanga	2000	91.6%	97.5%	81.1%
Nigeria	Sanga	2017	52.8%	67.4%	37.4%
Nigeria	Sapele	2000	92.7%	97.5%	80.1%
Nigeria	Sapele	2017	55.6%	71.4%	43.2%
Nigeria	Sardauna	2000	82.3%	89.6%	73.2%
Nigeria	Sardauna	2017	29.2%	37.4%	21.2%
Nigeria	Shagamu	2000	78.3%	87.8%	67.1%
Nigeria	Shagamu	2017	63.2%	74.3%	47.7%
Nigeria	Shagari	2000	90.5%	96.5%	82.2%
Nigeria	Shagari	2017	46.5%	60.2%	34.1%
Nigeria	Shanga	2000	76.9%	88.4%	62.0%
Nigeria	Shanga	2017	28.3%	44.7%	13.1%
Nigeria	Shani	2000	82.1%	96.1%	57.7%
Nigeria	Shani	2017	35.2%	62.8%	13.8%
Nigeria	Shanono	2000	91.9%	98.6%	78.1%
Nigeria	Shanono	2017	50.9%	72.9%	28.4%
Nigeria	Shelleng	2000	82.2%	94.2%	65.5%
Nigeria	Shelleng	2017	33.4%	52.9%	18.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Shendam	2000	71.4%	82.0%	57.9%
Nigeria	Shendam	2017	26.2%	40.6%	14.7%
Nigeria	Shinkafi	2000	94.3%	97.8%	85.6%
Nigeria	Shinkafi	2017	49.5%	60.5%	37.3%
Nigeria	Shira	2000	85.2%	91.9%	74.5%
Nigeria	Shira	2017	30.4%	39.6%	22.0%
Nigeria	Shiroro	2000	81.9%	90.1%	70.6%
Nigeria	Shiroro	2017	38.5%	51.9%	28.2%
Nigeria	Shomgom	2000	81.2%	88.4%	71.6%
Nigeria	Shomgom	2017	32.8%	44.5%	23.8%
Nigeria	Shomolu	2000	99.5%	99.6%	99.3%
Nigeria	Shomolu	2017	90.5%	91.7%	89.2%
Nigeria	Silame	2000	70.9%	88.6%	46.9%
Nigeria	Silame	2017	26.6%	49.6%	10.5%
Nigeria	Soba	2000	88.0%	95.5%	76.4%
Nigeria	Soba	2017	40.4%	57.7%	26.7%
Nigeria	Sokoto North	2000	98.8%	99.0%	98.7%
Nigeria	Sokoto North	2017	66.6%	69.0%	64.5%
Nigeria	Sokoto South	2000	98.1%	98.3%	97.8%
Nigeria	Sokoto South	2017	57.3%	59.3%	54.9%
Nigeria	Song	2000	85.4%	92.6%	76.5%
Nigeria	Song	2017	36.5%	48.8%	24.7%
Nigeria	Southern Ijaw	2000	37.0%	47.9%	25.9%
Nigeria	Southern Ijaw	2017	17.2%	25.5%	10.3%
Nigeria	Sule-Tan	2000	84.3%	93.4%	68.2%
Nigeria	Sule-Tan	2017	33.4%	50.7%	21.7%
Nigeria	Suleja	2000	99.0%	99.3%	98.5%
Nigeria	Suleja	2017	81.7%	84.9%	77.6%
Nigeria	Sumaila	2000	81.4%	90.4%	67.0%
Nigeria	Sumaila	2017	28.3%	44.3%	13.5%
Nigeria	Suru	2000	75.2%	87.0%	60.2%
Nigeria	Suru	2017	24.2%	40.7%	12.2%
Nigeria	Surulere	2000	99.8%	99.8%	99.8%
Nigeria	Surulere	2000	74.7%	87.7%	58.6%
Nigeria	Surulere	2017	41.5%	54.5%	26.0%
Nigeria	Surulere	2017	95.2%	95.9%	94.5%
Nigeria	Tafa	2000	92.8%	95.4%	90.6%
Nigeria	Tafa	2017	50.8%	53.2%	48.8%
Nigeria	Tafawa-B	2000	76.5%	88.1%	63.5%
Nigeria	Tafawa-B	2017	31.5%	48.1%	17.6%
Nigeria	Tai	2000	84.3%	87.1%	80.6%
Nigeria	Tai	2017	33.0%	36.7%	29.9%
Nigeria	Takai	2000	85.2%	91.2%	76.4%
Nigeria	Takai	2017	36.8%	47.6%	26.7%
Nigeria	Takum	2000	66.6%	82.2%	50.2%
Nigeria	Takum	2017	25.8%	41.2%	12.3%
Nigeria	Talata-Mafara	2000	90.6%	97.7%	76.4%
Nigeria	Talata-Mafara	2017	41.2%	61.9%	26.2%
Nigeria	Tambawal	2000	90.4%	96.2%	80.5%
Nigeria	Tambawal	2017	44.0%	56.6%	32.8%
Nigeria	Tangazar	2000	82.1%	90.9%	70.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Tangazar	2017	32.9%	46.1%	22.2%
Nigeria	Tarauni	2000	98.5%	98.7%	98.4%
Nigeria	Tarauni	2017	62.2%	63.6%	60.6%
Nigeria	Tarka	2000	88.0%	96.4%	72.8%
Nigeria	Tarka	2017	37.0%	55.0%	19.5%
Nigeria	Tarmuwa	2000	79.4%	87.3%	66.5%
Nigeria	Tarmuwa	2017	28.3%	41.0%	16.4%
Nigeria	Taura	2000	86.4%	94.7%	74.9%
Nigeria	Taura	2017	35.5%	53.7%	19.8%
Nigeria	Teungo	2000	81.4%	90.1%	68.6%
Nigeria	Teungo	2017	36.4%	49.8%	24.8%
Nigeria	Tofa	2000	99.2%	99.3%	99.1%
Nigeria	Tofa	2017	79.0%	81.5%	76.9%
Nigeria	Toro	2000	84.5%	91.0%	76.6%
Nigeria	Toro	2017	43.9%	54.9%	34.3%
Nigeria	Toto	2000	77.2%	89.8%	60.7%
Nigeria	Toto	2017	27.7%	46.1%	15.4%
Nigeria	Tsafe	2000	75.1%	84.2%	65.1%
Nigeria	Tsafe	2017	38.0%	48.3%	27.4%
Nigeria	Tsanyawa	2000	88.0%	96.5%	71.9%
Nigeria	Tsanyawa	2017	34.5%	55.2%	18.5%
Nigeria	Tundun Wada	2000	91.7%	96.8%	83.7%
Nigeria	Tundun Wada	2017	48.5%	64.1%	34.8%
Nigeria	Tureta	2000	77.8%	89.4%	61.7%
Nigeria	Tureta	2017	22.0%	36.1%	10.8%
Nigeria	Udenu	2000	96.0%	96.7%	95.1%
Nigeria	Udenu	2017	56.7%	59.9%	52.9%
Nigeria	Udi	2000	84.2%	88.6%	79.4%
Nigeria	Udi	2017	30.4%	36.6%	24.7%
Nigeria	Udu	2000	94.3%	95.4%	93.1%
Nigeria	Udu	2017	54.8%	59.7%	49.7%
Nigeria	Udung Uko	2000	93.6%	96.2%	90.2%
Nigeria	Udung Uko	2017	46.5%	53.0%	40.5%
Nigeria	Ughelli North	2000	80.6%	85.7%	74.3%
Nigeria	Ughelli North	2017	48.2%	54.0%	43.7%
Nigeria	Ughelli South	2000	88.1%	93.2%	80.5%
Nigeria	Ughelli South	2017	56.5%	66.3%	46.4%
Nigeria	Ugwunagbo	2000	98.5%	98.8%	98.1%
Nigeria	Ugwunagbo	2017	65.8%	71.2%	61.5%
Nigeria	Uhunmwonde	2000	90.9%	96.0%	84.5%
Nigeria	Uhunmwonde	2017	55.6%	66.1%	46.2%
Nigeria	Ukanafun	2000	96.7%	99.2%	89.5%
Nigeria	Ukanafun	2017	65.3%	78.4%	49.2%
Nigeria	Ukum	2000	85.2%	93.3%	74.9%
Nigeria	Ukum	2017	32.6%	47.2%	20.0%
Nigeria	Ukwa East	2000	94.0%	99.2%	80.6%
Nigeria	Ukwa East	2017	55.8%	80.1%	30.3%
Nigeria	Ukwa West	2000	95.4%	96.0%	94.2%
Nigeria	Ukwa West	2017	60.3%	65.5%	55.0%
Nigeria	Ukwuani	2000	90.9%	96.4%	80.3%
Nigeria	Ukwuani	2017	54.1%	70.4%	37.3%
Nigeria	Umu-Nneochi	2000	92.5%	96.4%	84.5%
Nigeria	Umu-Nneochi	2017	50.8%	59.5%	41.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Umuahia North	2000	97.7%	98.2%	97.2%
Nigeria	Umuahia North	2017	64.1%	67.5%	60.7%
Nigeria	Umuahia South	2000	97.3%	98.2%	96.0%
Nigeria	Umuahia South	2017	58.8%	65.6%	52.8%
Nigeria	Ungogo	2000	98.5%	98.6%	98.3%
Nigeria	Ungogo	2017	68.0%	69.3%	66.6%
Nigeria	Unuimo	2000	96.4%	98.2%	88.9%
Nigeria	Unuimo	2017	63.8%	74.2%	50.0%
Nigeria	Uruan	2000	93.6%	99.2%	77.6%
Nigeria	Uruan	2017	57.8%	82.1%	36.6%
Nigeria	UrueOffo	2000	91.5%	99.6%	62.4%
Nigeria	UrueOffo	2017	52.5%	87.5%	21.9%
Nigeria	Ushongo	2000	66.6%	80.2%	53.4%
Nigeria	Ushongo	2017	24.3%	38.1%	14.3%
Nigeria	Ussa	2000	74.0%	86.7%	57.3%
Nigeria	Ussa	2017	21.6%	35.9%	11.6%
Nigeria	Uvwie	2000	92.8%	96.1%	87.2%
Nigeria	Uvwie	2017	75.3%	81.0%	67.4%
Nigeria	Uyo	2000	99.2%	99.4%	99.0%
Nigeria	Uyo	2017	80.6%	82.9%	78.4%
Nigeria	Uzo-Uwani	2000	78.7%	89.8%	58.8%
Nigeria	Uzo-Uwani	2017	30.1%	48.3%	14.0%
Nigeria	Vandeiky	2000	86.5%	94.4%	71.8%
Nigeria	Vandeiky	2017	41.8%	63.5%	22.0%
Nigeria	Wamakko	2000	89.8%	94.0%	85.9%
Nigeria	Wamakko	2017	42.8%	51.3%	38.4%
Nigeria	Wamba	2000	78.4%	89.8%	63.4%
Nigeria	Wamba	2017	29.5%	42.5%	17.1%
Nigeria	Warawa	2000	96.6%	97.8%	94.1%
Nigeria	Warawa	2017	53.9%	58.8%	49.2%
Nigeria	Warji	2000	90.8%	96.8%	79.4%
Nigeria	Warji	2017	54.4%	71.7%	34.8%
Nigeria	Warri North	2000	73.3%	88.4%	56.7%
Nigeria	Warri North	2017	35.8%	55.0%	19.0%
Nigeria	Warri South	2000	83.2%	92.7%	74.3%
Nigeria	Warri South	2017	70.5%	78.9%	64.2%
Nigeria	Warri South-West	2000	69.4%	83.7%	55.4%
Nigeria	Warri South-West	2017	34.8%	50.6%	21.7%
Nigeria	Wase	2000	70.6%	80.3%	55.7%
Nigeria	Wase	2017	24.4%	34.0%	15.2%
Nigeria	Wudil	2000	90.4%	93.7%	84.9%
Nigeria	Wudil	2017	38.6%	46.3%	31.8%
Nigeria	Wukari	2000	77.0%	85.3%	67.7%
Nigeria	Wukari	2017	25.7%	34.1%	18.3%
Nigeria	Wurno	2000	93.7%	98.2%	83.2%
Nigeria	Wurno	2017	60.6%	73.9%	48.1%
Nigeria	Wushishi	2000	76.7%	92.0%	55.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Wushishi	2017	34.7%	54.9%	17.6%
Nigeria	Yabo	2000	93.2%	95.2%	89.6%
Nigeria	Yabo	2017	51.9%	59.4%	42.5%
Nigeria	Yagba East	2000	84.5%	92.6%	73.3%
Nigeria	Yagba East	2017	34.0%	48.2%	22.7%
Nigeria	Yagba West	2000	82.3%	90.9%	74.5%
Nigeria	Yagba West	2017	40.2%	49.5%	32.0%
Nigeria	Yakurr	2000	94.8%	97.8%	88.6%
Nigeria	Yakurr	2017	67.9%	77.5%	55.5%
Nigeria	Yala Cross	2000	80.8%	89.5%	67.9%
Nigeria	Yala Cross	2017	29.6%	45.1%	17.0%
Nigeria	Yamaltu	2000	91.3%	96.2%	83.5%
Nigeria	Yamaltu	2017	46.2%	58.7%	35.0%
Nigeria	Yankwashi	2000	93.8%	96.7%	88.8%
Nigeria	Yankwashi	2017	37.9%	49.7%	26.3%
Nigeria	Yauri	2000	86.8%	95.5%	74.2%
Nigeria	Yauri	2017	31.9%	52.7%	17.5%
Nigeria	Yenegoa	2000	79.9%	84.7%	75.8%
Nigeria	Yenegoa	2017	46.9%	51.0%	43.4%
Nigeria	Yola North	2000	94.2%	95.6%	90.9%
Nigeria	Yola North	2017	37.6%	44.9%	32.1%
Nigeria	Yola South	2000	92.0%	97.2%	81.4%
Nigeria	Yola South	2017	57.6%	72.9%	42.3%
Nigeria	Yorro	2000	82.9%	92.9%	70.2%
Nigeria	Yorro	2017	36.5%	53.1%	24.2%
Nigeria	Yunusari	2000	76.7%	87.0%	65.3%
Nigeria	Yunusari	2017	25.6%	38.9%	16.5%
Nigeria	Yusufari	2000	71.3%	81.6%	60.6%
Nigeria	Yusufari	2017	25.6%	34.8%	17.3%
Nigeria	Zaki	2000	80.0%	91.4%	60.9%
Nigeria	Zaki	2017	30.7%	47.5%	12.8%
Nigeria	Zango	2000	91.4%	95.3%	83.2%
Nigeria	Zango	2017	33.0%	45.3%	22.9%
Nigeria	ZangonKa	2000	90.5%	96.4%	82.3%
Nigeria	ZangonKa	2017	52.1%	65.5%	39.2%
Nigeria	Zaria	2000	91.3%	93.2%	89.4%
Nigeria	Zaria	2017	47.3%	53.2%	41.3%
Nigeria	Zing	2000	86.7%	94.0%	74.5%
Nigeria	Zing	2017	39.3%	50.4%	30.8%
Nigeria	Zurmi	2000	88.9%	94.5%	81.0%
Nigeria	Zurmi	2017	37.6%	48.6%	26.4%
Nigeria	Zuru	2000	58.8%	77.6%	39.8%
Nigeria	Zuru	2017	20.7%	34.1%	9.3%
Republic of Congo	Abala	2000	69.1%	74.2%	63.7%
Republic of Congo	Abala	2017	28.8%	33.7%	24.4%
Republic of Congo	Bambama	2000	67.5%	75.6%	58.6%
Republic of Congo	Bambama	2017	26.3%	34.0%	19.3%
Republic of Congo	Boko	2000	71.0%	79.3%	62.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Boko	2017	31.9%	47.6%	19.9%
Republic of Congo	Boko-Songho	2000	68.2%	78.4%	56.3%
Republic of Congo	Boko-Songho	2017	29.1%	38.9%	19.6%
Republic of Congo	Boundji	2000	69.8%	77.5%	61.1%
Republic of Congo	Boundji	2017	28.4%	35.7%	21.7%
Republic of Congo	Brazzaville	2000	73.7%	84.9%	57.2%
Republic of Congo	Brazzaville	2017	44.9%	68.2%	23.2%
Republic of Congo	Divénié	2000	68.1%	74.7%	60.3%
Republic of Congo	Divénié	2017	27.2%	34.0%	20.6%
Republic of Congo	Djambala	2000	66.1%	70.7%	61.3%
Republic of Congo	Djambala	2017	26.5%	31.1%	22.8%
Republic of Congo	Dongou	2000	71.4%	75.1%	67.6%
Republic of Congo	Dongou	2017	30.2%	33.7%	26.6%
Republic of Congo	Epéna	2000	67.5%	72.0%	63.6%
Republic of Congo	Epéna	2017	27.3%	31.1%	24.0%
Republic of Congo	Ewo	2000	69.0%	75.6%	63.0%
Republic of Congo	Ewo	2017	28.9%	35.2%	23.4%
Republic of Congo	Gamboma	2000	71.3%	76.3%	66.4%
Republic of Congo	Gamboma	2017	31.4%	37.8%	25.5%
Republic of Congo	Impfondo	2000	69.4%	75.9%	63.1%
Republic of Congo	Impfondo	2017	29.4%	35.8%	23.4%
Republic of Congo	Kakamoeka	2000	78.8%	86.5%	71.2%
Republic of Congo	Kakamoeka	2017	40.6%	50.7%	31.6%
Republic of Congo	Kéllé	2000	69.7%	75.7%	62.8%
Republic of Congo	Kéllé	2017	28.6%	34.3%	23.0%
Republic of Congo	Kibangou	2000	73.2%	80.4%	64.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Kibangou	2017	32.9%	41.6%	25.2%
Republic of Congo	Kimongo	2000	74.8%	83.3%	64.1%
Republic of Congo	Kimongo	2017	33.5%	44.4%	22.9%
Republic of Congo	Kindamba	2000	67.6%	73.7%	61.3%
Republic of Congo	Kindamba	2017	27.0%	32.0%	21.7%
Republic of Congo	Kinkala	2000	69.1%	77.9%	58.6%
Republic of Congo	Kinkala	2017	28.9%	44.6%	17.6%
Republic of Congo	Komono	2000	70.3%	77.7%	63.6%
Republic of Congo	Komono	2017	28.9%	36.4%	21.8%
Republic of Congo	Lékana	2000	61.3%	68.4%	53.5%
Republic of Congo	Lékana	2017	21.3%	26.8%	16.0%
Republic of Congo	Loandjili	2000	66.7%	73.9%	57.5%
Republic of Congo	Loandjili	2017	52.9%	62.4%	44.0%
Republic of Congo	Loudima	2000	75.6%	83.6%	66.8%
Republic of Congo	Loudima	2017	36.2%	45.5%	27.8%
Republic of Congo	Loukoléla	2000	66.5%	72.6%	59.4%
Republic of Congo	Loukoléla	2017	27.8%	33.9%	22.4%
Republic of Congo	Louvakou (Loubomo)	2000	74.5%	81.7%	68.3%
Republic of Congo	Louvakou (Loubomo)	2017	35.7%	42.7%	28.8%
Republic of Congo	Madingo-Kayes	2000	79.3%	85.8%	72.2%
Republic of Congo	Madingo-Kayes	2017	42.1%	51.4%	33.5%
Republic of Congo	Madingou	2000	76.6%	88.0%	65.8%
Republic of Congo	Madingou	2017	36.1%	50.0%	24.6%
Republic of Congo	Makoua	2000	67.5%	73.3%	61.1%
Republic of Congo	Makoua	2017	27.0%	32.2%	22.1%
Republic of Congo	Mayama	2000	68.8%	74.6%	62.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Mayama	2017	28.2%	34.7%	23.0%
Republic of Congo	Mayoko	2000	66.8%	73.9%	58.3%
Republic of Congo	Mayoko	2017	27.5%	33.6%	20.9%
Republic of Congo	Mbomo	2000	65.8%	71.9%	59.7%
Republic of Congo	Mbomo	2017	26.1%	31.3%	20.7%
Republic of Congo	Mfouati	2000	78.0%	90.2%	64.0%
Republic of Congo	Mfouati	2017	35.0%	54.8%	20.9%
Republic of Congo	Mindouli	2000	71.4%	80.4%	62.2%
Republic of Congo	Mindouli	2017	31.0%	41.8%	21.3%
Republic of Congo	Mossaka	2000	67.4%	72.0%	62.4%
Republic of Congo	Mossaka	2017	28.2%	32.8%	23.7%
Republic of Congo	Mossendjo	2000	71.9%	77.8%	64.3%
Republic of Congo	Mossendjo	2017	31.7%	38.9%	25.1%
Republic of Congo	Mouyondzi	2000	71.2%	77.4%	63.8%
Republic of Congo	Mouyondzi	2017	31.1%	37.9%	25.0%
Republic of Congo	Mvouti	2000	76.3%	82.5%	67.8%
Republic of Congo	Mvouti	2017	38.6%	47.0%	29.7%
Republic of Congo	Ngabé	2000	71.6%	77.5%	65.9%
Republic of Congo	Ngabé	2017	32.3%	42.8%	23.6%
Republic of Congo	Ngamaba	2000	73.6%	87.5%	53.1%
Republic of Congo	Ngamaba	2017	46.6%	71.2%	22.9%
Republic of Congo	Nkayi District	2000	80.3%	88.5%	71.3%
Republic of Congo	Nkayi District	2017	44.4%	57.0%	32.9%
Republic of Congo	Okoyo	2000	67.6%	75.6%	59.3%
Republic of Congo	Okoyo	2017	27.1%	34.7%	20.5%
Republic of Congo	Ouessou	2000	66.4%	70.2%	61.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Ouessou	2017	27.0%	30.4%	23.2%
Republic of Congo	Owando	2000	70.8%	76.2%	65.0%
Republic of Congo	Owando	2017	30.2%	36.0%	25.4%
Republic of Congo	Pointe Noire	2000	67.1%	76.4%	49.0%
Republic of Congo	Pointe Noire	2017	53.7%	72.6%	32.8%
Republic of Congo	Sembé	2000	68.4%	74.7%	61.2%
Republic of Congo	Sembé	2017	26.0%	32.4%	20.1%
Republic of Congo	Sibiti	2000	70.1%	75.7%	63.2%
Republic of Congo	Sibiti	2017	29.9%	35.5%	24.3%
Republic of Congo	Souanké	2000	66.5%	72.0%	61.3%
Republic of Congo	Souanké	2017	25.1%	29.5%	20.8%
Republic of Congo	Zanaga	2000	67.5%	73.8%	59.9%
Republic of Congo	Zanaga	2017	26.4%	32.5%	20.2%
Rwanda	Bugesera	2000	75.5%	76.8%	74.0%
Rwanda	Bugesera	2017	88.0%	88.9%	87.0%
Rwanda	Burera	2000	75.6%	76.7%	74.5%
Rwanda	Burera	2017	88.2%	89.0%	87.4%
Rwanda	Gakenke	2000	77.0%	78.1%	75.8%
Rwanda	Gakenke	2017	88.9%	89.8%	88.1%
Rwanda	Gasabo	2000	71.8%	72.5%	71.0%
Rwanda	Gasabo	2017	85.6%	86.3%	84.9%
Rwanda	Gatsibo	2000	75.2%	76.4%	74.0%
Rwanda	Gatsibo	2017	88.0%	89.0%	87.1%
Rwanda	Gicumbi	2000	75.7%	76.6%	74.7%
Rwanda	Gicumbi	2017	88.4%	89.1%	87.6%
Rwanda	Gisagara	2000	75.4%	76.6%	74.3%
Rwanda	Gisagara	2017	87.9%	88.6%	87.0%
Rwanda	Huye	2000	74.4%	75.5%	73.3%
Rwanda	Huye	2017	87.4%	88.2%	86.6%
Rwanda	Kamonyi	2000	75.7%	76.9%	74.5%
Rwanda	Kamonyi	2017	88.1%	89.2%	87.2%
Rwanda	Karongi	2000	76.3%	77.5%	75.1%
Rwanda	Karongi	2017	88.5%	89.2%	87.8%
Rwanda	Kayonza	2000	75.0%	76.5%	73.6%
Rwanda	Kayonza	2017	87.9%	88.8%	86.9%
Rwanda	Kicukiro	2000	74.2%	74.8%	73.5%
Rwanda	Kicukiro	2017	88.0%	88.7%	87.3%
Rwanda	Kirehe	2000	75.9%	77.4%	74.6%
Rwanda	Kirehe	2017	88.2%	89.1%	87.3%
Rwanda	Muhanga	2000	76.1%	77.3%	74.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Rwanda	Muhanga	2017	88.5%	89.4%	87.5%
Rwanda	Musanze	2000	75.8%	76.8%	74.7%
Rwanda	Musanze	2017	88.4%	89.3%	87.5%
Rwanda	Ngoma	2000	75.7%	76.8%	74.6%
Rwanda	Ngoma	2017	88.1%	88.9%	87.2%
Rwanda	Ngororero	2000	75.6%	76.8%	74.4%
Rwanda	Ngororero	2017	88.1%	89.1%	87.2%
Rwanda	Nyabihu	2000	75.6%	77.1%	74.2%
Rwanda	Nyabihu	2017	88.5%	89.4%	87.6%
Rwanda	Nyagatare	2000	75.3%	76.4%	74.2%
Rwanda	Nyagatare	2017	87.7%	88.5%	86.7%
Rwanda	Nyamagabe	2000	75.1%	76.1%	74.1%
Rwanda	Nyamagabe	2017	87.7%	88.4%	86.9%
Rwanda	Nyamasheke	2000	76.5%	77.6%	75.5%
Rwanda	Nyamasheke	2017	88.6%	89.4%	87.8%
Rwanda	Nyanza	2000	74.7%	75.9%	73.3%
Rwanda	Nyanza	2017	87.6%	88.4%	86.6%
Rwanda	Nyarugenge	2000	72.9%	73.6%	72.3%
Rwanda	Nyarugenge	2017	86.8%	87.5%	86.0%
Rwanda	Nyaruguru	2000	75.5%	76.7%	74.3%
Rwanda	Nyaruguru	2017	88.2%	89.1%	87.2%
Rwanda	Rubavu	2000	74.5%	75.3%	73.6%
Rwanda	Rubavu	2017	87.4%	88.0%	86.7%
Rwanda	Ruhango	2000	75.9%	76.9%	74.8%
Rwanda	Ruhango	2017	88.4%	89.1%	87.5%
Rwanda	Rulindo	2000	76.2%	77.2%	75.0%
Rwanda	Rulindo	2017	88.4%	89.1%	87.5%
Rwanda	Rusizi	2000	76.8%	77.9%	75.9%
Rwanda	Rusizi	2017	88.8%	89.6%	88.0%
Rwanda	Rutsiro	2000	75.2%	76.6%	73.7%
Rwanda	Rutsiro	2017	88.1%	88.9%	87.1%
Rwanda	Rwamagana	2000	75.7%	77.0%	74.6%
Rwanda	Rwamagana	2017	88.3%	89.2%	87.4%
Senegal	Bakel	2000	43.3%	51.8%	35.5%
Senegal	Bakel	2017	63.1%	70.8%	55.1%
Senegal	Bambey	2000	40.5%	48.7%	33.0%
Senegal	Bambey	2017	62.5%	68.9%	55.3%
Senegal	Bignona	2000	54.8%	60.8%	49.1%
Senegal	Bignona	2017	73.3%	78.8%	68.0%
Senegal	Birkilane	2000	24.8%	29.6%	21.5%
Senegal	Birkilane	2017	44.4%	49.4%	39.7%
Senegal	Boukiling	2000	48.2%	54.4%	41.8%
Senegal	Boukiling	2017	68.7%	74.4%	62.5%
Senegal	Dagana	2000	56.1%	63.4%	48.3%
Senegal	Dagana	2017	73.2%	79.6%	65.6%
Senegal	Dakar	2000	91.0%	93.2%	88.2%
Senegal	Dakar	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.9%
Senegal	Diourbel	2000	43.1%	48.0%	38.7%
Senegal	Diourbel	2017	60.8%	66.2%	54.8%
Senegal	Fatick	2000	43.6%	46.9%	40.4%
Senegal	Fatick	2017	59.7%	62.8%	57.4%
Senegal	Foundiougne	2000	49.5%	57.3%	41.4%
Senegal	Foundiougne	2017	68.3%	76.4%	59.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Senegal	Gossas	2000	56.0%	64.3%	46.1%
Senegal	Gossas	2017	72.4%	78.6%	64.6%
Senegal	Goudiry	2000	37.8%	44.7%	30.9%
Senegal	Goudiry	2017	56.2%	63.4%	48.8%
Senegal	Goudomp	2000	48.9%	54.5%	44.4%
Senegal	Goudomp	2017	70.3%	75.5%	65.4%
Senegal	Guédiawaye	2000	94.3%	95.6%	92.4%
Senegal	Guédiawaye	2017	98.5%	98.9%	97.6%
Senegal	Guinguinéo	2000	51.8%	57.5%	46.6%
Senegal	Guinguinéo	2017	69.7%	74.9%	64.2%
Senegal	Kaffrine	2000	41.1%	45.6%	36.8%
Senegal	Kaffrine	2017	60.2%	65.5%	54.7%
Senegal	Kanel	2000	38.8%	44.4%	33.6%
Senegal	Kanel	2017	59.2%	64.2%	53.9%
Senegal	Kaolack	2000	46.8%	48.6%	45.2%
Senegal	Kaolack	2017	63.6%	66.0%	61.7%
Senegal	Kébémér	2000	49.5%	57.1%	42.8%
Senegal	Kébémér	2017	71.1%	77.5%	65.0%
Senegal	Kédougou	2000	41.1%	47.8%	33.8%
Senegal	Kédougou	2017	61.5%	67.8%	54.6%
Senegal	Kolda	2000	47.0%	53.6%	40.3%
Senegal	Kolda	2017	66.3%	73.0%	59.6%
Senegal	Koungheul	2000	37.7%	44.7%	32.1%
Senegal	Koungheul	2017	57.4%	63.7%	51.3%
Senegal	Koupentoum	2000	35.4%	42.6%	27.2%
Senegal	Koupentoum	2017	55.8%	62.1%	46.2%
Senegal	Linguère	2000	43.9%	50.2%	37.7%
Senegal	Linguère	2017	62.5%	68.1%	56.6%
Senegal	Louga	2000	49.9%	56.2%	43.5%
Senegal	Louga	2017	70.1%	75.3%	64.7%
Senegal	Malème	2000	48.3%	59.9%	37.9%
Senegal	Hodar				
Senegal	Malème	2017	66.1%	76.3%	55.7%
Senegal	Hodar				
Senegal	Matam	2000	46.3%	51.8%	39.9%
Senegal	Matam	2017	68.9%	73.9%	63.3%
Senegal	Mbacké	2000	74.6%	79.5%	69.6%
Senegal	Mbacké	2017	85.5%	89.9%	80.7%
Senegal	Mbour	2000	61.7%	68.7%	54.1%
Senegal	Mbour	2017	81.2%	85.5%	76.2%
Senegal	Médina Yoro Foula	2000	44.0%	53.0%	35.5%
Senegal	Médina Yoro Foula	2017	63.5%	72.1%	55.7%
Senegal	Nioro du Rip	2000	50.4%	54.7%	45.7%
Senegal	Nioro du Rip	2017	71.1%	75.0%	66.7%
Senegal	Oussouye	2000	38.4%	54.1%	25.8%
Senegal	Oussouye	2017	61.8%	72.8%	48.7%
Senegal	Pikine	2000	93.2%	94.6%	90.6%
Senegal	Pikine	2017	98.3%	98.8%	97.1%
Senegal	Podor	2000	44.1%	48.1%	40.0%
Senegal	Podor	2017	62.2%	66.2%	58.0%
Senegal	Ranéro Ferlo	2000	29.9%	36.6%	22.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Senegal	Ranérou Ferlo	2017	46.9%	54.2%	39.4%
Senegal	Rufisque	2000	86.6%	88.3%	84.9%
Senegal	Rufisque	2017	95.6%	96.3%	94.9%
Senegal	Saint-Louis	2000	74.6%	78.1%	71.1%
Senegal	Saint-Louis	2017	85.8%	89.2%	82.3%
Senegal	Salémata	2000	19.3%	26.6%	11.9%
Senegal	Salémata	2017	33.7%	43.2%	23.7%
Senegal	Saraya	2000	39.4%	48.1%	31.4%
Senegal	Saraya	2017	57.6%	65.6%	48.9%
Senegal	Sédhiou	2000	55.3%	60.1%	50.3%
Senegal	Sédhiou	2017	74.6%	78.7%	69.9%
Senegal	Tambacounda	2000	46.6%	52.7%	40.0%
Senegal	Tambacounda	2017	65.6%	71.2%	59.1%
Senegal	Thiès	2000	66.8%	70.6%	62.6%
Senegal	Thiès	2017	80.4%	84.0%	76.1%
Senegal	Tivaouane	2000	64.7%	69.9%	59.4%
Senegal	Tivaouane	2017	80.0%	83.8%	75.9%
Senegal	Vélingara	2000	49.5%	56.0%	42.5%
Senegal	Vélingara	2017	68.9%	74.8%	62.6%
Senegal	Ziguinchor	2000	60.7%	69.6%	50.9%
Senegal	Ziguinchor	2017	75.7%	84.0%	65.4%
Sierra Leone	Bo	2000	45.3%	48.4%	42.4%
Sierra Leone	Bo	2017	44.9%	48.0%	42.0%
Sierra Leone	Bombali	2000	39.5%	44.4%	35.0%
Sierra Leone	Bombali	2017	38.7%	43.7%	34.5%
Sierra Leone	Bonthe	2000	22.5%	28.1%	17.5%
Sierra Leone	Bonthe	2017	22.2%	27.6%	17.4%
Sierra Leone	Kailahun	2000	31.0%	36.6%	26.6%
Sierra Leone	Kailahun	2017	30.5%	35.7%	26.3%
Sierra Leone	Kambia	2000	40.1%	46.0%	34.8%
Sierra Leone	Kambia	2017	39.5%	45.1%	34.3%
Sierra Leone	Kenema	2000	50.0%	53.0%	47.6%
Sierra Leone	Kenema	2017	49.6%	52.6%	46.9%
Sierra Leone	Koinadugu	2000	30.5%	35.2%	25.5%
Sierra Leone	Koinadugu	2017	30.4%	35.3%	25.3%
Sierra Leone	Kono	2000	38.8%	43.6%	34.1%
Sierra Leone	Kono	2017	37.2%	41.9%	32.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sierra Leone	Moyamba	2000	26.7%	31.4%	22.4%
Sierra Leone	Moyamba	2017	26.1%	31.0%	21.9%
Sierra Leone	Port Loko	2000	34.8%	38.6%	31.2%
Sierra Leone	Port Loko	2017	34.6%	38.5%	31.1%
Sierra Leone	Pujehun	2000	30.1%	36.3%	24.0%
Sierra Leone	Pujehun	2017	30.0%	36.2%	24.0%
Sierra Leone	Tonkolili	2000	40.3%	45.8%	35.5%
Sierra Leone	Tonkolili	2017	39.1%	44.3%	34.4%
Sierra Leone	Western Rural	2000	60.4%	62.8%	58.3%
Sierra Leone	Western Rural	2017	59.0%	61.3%	56.4%
Sierra Leone	Western Urban	2000	63.1%	64.6%	61.7%
Sierra Leone	Western Urban	2017	63.5%	65.1%	62.2%
Somalia	Aadan	2000	19.8%	29.4%	13.1%
Somalia	Aadan	2017	20.3%	35.1%	11.0%
Somalia	Afgooye	2000	45.2%	52.7%	38.8%
Somalia	Afgooye	2017	43.0%	57.5%	30.8%
Somalia	Afmadow	2000	18.0%	24.8%	13.1%
Somalia	Afmadow	2017	18.7%	31.9%	10.9%
Somalia	Baar-Dheere	2000	19.8%	26.4%	14.1%
Somalia	Baar-Dheere	2017	20.2%	31.5%	12.2%
Somalia	Badhaadhe	2000	24.2%	34.8%	15.3%
Somalia	Badhaadhe	2017	24.8%	43.4%	13.3%
Somalia	Badhan	2000	40.3%	50.5%	31.3%
Somalia	Badhan	2017	40.5%	53.2%	29.9%
Somalia	Baki	2000	33.7%	45.1%	24.2%
Somalia	Baki	2017	34.3%	48.2%	21.5%
Somalia	Balcad	2000	38.9%	48.5%	29.3%
Somalia	Balcad	2017	39.4%	55.3%	25.9%
Somalia	Bander-Beyla	2000	45.4%	57.4%	32.9%
Somalia	Bander-Beyla	2017	45.5%	64.0%	30.8%
Somalia	Baraawe	2000	32.0%	44.2%	21.2%
Somalia	Baraawe	2017	32.4%	49.6%	20.4%
Somalia	Baydhabo	2000	16.9%	23.3%	11.6%
Somalia	Baydhabo	2017	17.0%	25.6%	10.9%
Somalia	Beled Weyn	2000	28.3%	35.1%	22.0%
Somalia	Beled Weyn	2017	28.6%	41.0%	19.1%
Somalia	Beled Xaawo	2000	20.6%	28.8%	13.2%
Somalia	Beled Xaawo	2017	21.4%	32.0%	12.6%
Somalia	Berbera	2000	44.7%	57.2%	33.3%
Somalia	Berbera	2017	44.3%	62.1%	29.6%
Somalia	Boorama	2000	30.3%	38.9%	22.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Somalia	Boorama	2017	31.1%	46.3%	20.5%
Somalia	Bosaaso	2000	50.6%	63.5%	39.5%
Somalia	Bosaaso	2017	50.5%	65.7%	37.0%
Somalia	Bu'aale	2000	11.5%	16.9%	7.7%
Somalia	Bu'aale	2017	11.9%	22.4%	5.7%
Somalia	Burao	2000	15.6%	19.9%	11.6%
Somalia	Burao	2017	15.9%	24.3%	9.4%
Somalia	Burtinle	2000	30.9%	41.9%	20.1%
Somalia	Burtinle	2017	31.5%	46.7%	19.5%
Somalia	Buuhoodle	2000	19.1%	28.0%	12.7%
Somalia	Buuhoodle	2017	19.3%	30.4%	11.6%
Somalia	Buulo Burdo	2000	28.9%	36.3%	21.6%
Somalia	Buulo Burdo	2017	29.1%	40.8%	18.7%
Somalia	Buur Xakaba	2000	22.3%	29.0%	16.4%
Somalia	Buur Xakaba	2017	22.6%	33.9%	14.0%
Somalia	Caabudwaaq	2000	22.8%	30.6%	16.4%
Somalia	Caabudwaaq	2017	23.7%	36.5%	13.5%
Somalia	Cadaado	2000	20.8%	29.4%	13.3%
Somalia	Cadaado	2017	21.0%	33.8%	11.2%
Somalia	Cadale	2000	23.4%	34.1%	15.2%
Somalia	Cadale	2017	23.7%	38.1%	14.3%
Somalia	Calawla	2000	56.9%	68.5%	45.5%
Somalia	Calawla	2017	56.8%	74.2%	41.8%
Somalia	Caynabo	2000	24.3%	32.9%	16.9%
Somalia	Caynabo	2017	24.6%	36.3%	15.5%
Somalia	Ceel Barde	2000	16.4%	24.8%	9.7%
Somalia	Ceel Barde	2017	16.5%	27.2%	9.7%
Somalia	Ceel Buur	2000	19.2%	24.9%	13.7%
Somalia	Ceel Buur	2017	19.5%	29.5%	12.2%
Somalia	Ceel Dheer	2000	19.2%	26.2%	13.5%
Somalia	Ceel Dheer	2017	20.0%	32.4%	12.0%
Somalia	Ceel Waaq	2000	19.4%	28.7%	11.7%
Somalia	Ceel Waaq	2017	19.4%	31.7%	11.9%
Somalia	Ceel-Afwein	2000	37.8%	47.9%	28.8%
Somalia	Ceel-Afwein	2017	38.0%	52.1%	25.0%
Somalia	Ceerigaabo	2000	36.5%	44.0%	29.1%
Somalia	Ceerigaabo	2017	36.7%	49.4%	25.9%
Somalia	Dhuusamareeb	2000	19.0%	25.8%	12.9%
Somalia	Dhuusamareeb	2017	19.2%	33.0%	11.7%
Somalia	Diinsoor	2000	20.4%	27.4%	14.7%
Somalia	Diinsoor	2017	20.7%	33.6%	12.7%
Somalia	Dolow	2000	23.6%	36.9%	15.1%
Somalia	Dolow	2017	24.7%	39.7%	13.2%
Somalia	Eyl	2000	32.8%	42.9%	24.2%
Somalia	Eyl	2017	33.0%	47.8%	21.4%
Somalia	Gaalkacayo	2000	40.6%	49.7%	32.1%
Somalia	Gaalkacayo	2017	41.2%	58.9%	27.9%
Somalia	Gabiley	2000	53.5%	62.5%	45.0%
Somalia	Gabiley	2017	53.3%	68.2%	39.2%
Somalia	Garbahaaray	2000	22.0%	30.3%	14.3%
Somalia	Garbahaaray	2017	22.2%	32.4%	13.7%
Somalia	Garoowe	2000	26.0%	36.9%	17.8%
Somalia	Garoowe	2017	26.3%	42.2%	15.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Somalia	Goldogob	2000	41.9%	60.3%	26.5%
Somalia	Goldogob	2017	42.6%	65.3%	24.4%
Somalia	Hargeysa	2000	51.3%	58.0%	44.6%
Somalia	Hargeysa	2017	51.6%	67.1%	38.5%
Somalia	Hobyo	2000	43.0%	51.3%	35.8%
Somalia	Hobyo	2017	43.5%	62.0%	30.9%
Somalia	Iskushuban	2000	51.3%	61.8%	41.2%
Somalia	Iskushuban	2017	51.6%	69.5%	36.1%
Somalia	Jalalaqsi	2000	29.0%	41.2%	19.7%
Somalia	Jalalaqsi	2017	29.1%	43.5%	17.1%
Somalia	Jamaame	2000	17.4%	23.9%	11.8%
Somalia	Jamaame	2017	17.9%	31.9%	10.3%
Somalia	Jariiban	2000	43.2%	53.7%	34.1%
Somalia	Jariiban	2017	43.7%	61.9%	29.4%
Somalia	Jawhar	2000	22.8%	32.0%	15.7%
Somalia	Jawhar	2017	23.3%	38.5%	14.1%
Somalia	Jilib	2000	13.5%	19.9%	8.5%
Somalia	Jilib	2017	13.8%	23.1%	7.2%
Somalia	Kismaayo	2000	20.1%	28.6%	13.7%
Somalia	Kismaayo	2017	20.6%	34.2%	12.6%
Somalia	Kuntuwaaray	2000	30.8%	40.8%	22.1%
Somalia	Kuntuwaaray	2017	31.6%	51.2%	18.8%
Somalia	Lascaanod	2000	23.2%	30.4%	16.2%
Somalia	Lascaanod	2017	23.5%	34.3%	13.7%
Somalia	Lughaya	2000	29.7%	40.7%	21.3%
Somalia	Lughaya	2017	30.1%	47.2%	18.8%
Somalia	Luuk	2000	21.1%	29.4%	13.4%
Somalia	Luuk	2017	21.4%	32.8%	12.3%
Somalia	Marka	2000	37.6%	49.3%	27.4%
Somalia	Marka	2017	37.0%	51.5%	24.5%
Somalia	Mogadisho	2000	86.5%	90.2%	82.7%
Somalia	Mogadisho	2017	86.6%	95.4%	76.7%
Somalia	Oodweyne	2000	21.2%	27.7%	15.3%
Somalia	Oodweyne	2017	21.4%	34.4%	12.5%
Somalia	Qandala	2000	53.4%	65.5%	41.1%
Somalia	Qandala	2017	53.4%	71.8%	38.2%
Somalia	Qansax Dheere	2000	20.3%	28.5%	12.9%
Somalia	Qansax Dheere	2017	20.7%	33.1%	11.7%
Somalia	Qardho	2000	46.0%	57.4%	36.2%
Somalia	Qardho	2017	46.2%	65.8%	32.6%
Somalia	Qoryooley	2000	34.9%	46.1%	25.1%
Somalia	Qoryooley	2017	35.3%	52.5%	23.0%
Somalia	Rab Dhuure	2000	13.8%	20.7%	8.7%
Somalia	Rab Dhuure	2017	14.1%	25.4%	7.8%
Somalia	Saakow	2000	14.4%	20.5%	9.6%
Somalia	Saakow	2017	14.6%	23.1%	8.7%
Somalia	Sablale	2000	29.7%	39.7%	20.3%
Somalia	Sablale	2017	30.4%	46.6%	18.8%
Somalia	Sheekh	2000	23.3%	33.1%	15.1%
Somalia	Sheekh	2017	23.0%	36.8%	12.8%
Somalia	Taleex	2000	25.5%	34.7%	17.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Somalia	Taleex	2017	25.9%	39.3%	17.2%
Somalia	Tiyeeglow	2000	16.0%	24.4%	10.7%
Somalia	Tiyeeglow	2017	16.2%	25.1%	9.6%
Somalia	Wajid	2000	14.9%	22.9%	8.8%
Somalia	Wajid	2017	15.1%	25.4%	8.4%
Somalia	Wanla Weyn	2000	34.0%	44.9%	24.4%
Somalia	Wanla Weyn	2017	34.5%	49.9%	23.0%
Somalia	Xarardheere	2000	44.5%	55.8%	34.6%
Somalia	Xarardheere	2017	44.9%	66.6%	29.6%
Somalia	Xudun	2000	26.6%	35.7%	18.4%
Somalia	Xudun	2017	26.9%	41.5%	16.9%
Somalia	Xudur	2000	13.5%	19.1%	8.7%
Somalia	Xudur	2017	13.6%	20.3%	8.2%
Somalia	Zeylac	2000	29.3%	39.6%	20.4%
Somalia	Zeylac	2017	29.7%	42.6%	18.3%
South Africa	Alfred Nzo	2000	47.6%	50.0%	45.2%
South Africa	Alfred Nzo	2017	77.3%	79.2%	75.3%
South Africa	Amajuba	2000	49.0%	55.6%	42.0%
South Africa	Amajuba	2017	73.7%	79.1%	67.2%
South Africa	Amathole	2000	50.3%	53.4%	47.4%
South Africa	Amathole	2017	77.1%	79.1%	74.6%
South Africa	Bojanala	2000	54.3%	56.9%	52.1%
South Africa	Bojanala	2017	78.3%	79.9%	76.6%
South Africa	Buffalo City	2000	55.9%	59.5%	52.2%
South Africa	Buffalo City	2017	82.5%	84.2%	80.3%
South Africa	Cacadu	2000	62.1%	66.9%	57.3%
South Africa	Cacadu	2017	79.3%	82.6%	75.9%
South Africa	Cape Winelands	2000	90.9%	92.1%	89.6%
South Africa	Cape Winelands	2017	94.8%	95.6%	94.0%
South Africa	Capricorn	2000	41.5%	44.9%	38.2%
South Africa	Capricorn	2017	67.7%	70.3%	65.1%
South Africa	Central Karoo	2000	86.6%	90.5%	81.3%
South Africa	Central Karoo	2017	93.2%	95.2%	90.3%
South Africa	Chris Hani	2000	53.1%	55.4%	50.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Chris Hani	2017	79.0%	80.6%	77.2%
South Africa	City of Cape Town	2000	84.9%	86.3%	83.2%
South Africa	City of Cape Town	2017	89.4%	90.5%	88.2%
South Africa	City of Johannesburg	2000	82.8%	86.7%	77.0%
South Africa	City of Johannesburg	2017	89.6%	92.9%	84.2%
South Africa	City of Tshwane	2000	77.4%	85.4%	69.0%
South Africa	City of Tshwane	2017	86.9%	92.7%	79.3%
South Africa	Dr Kenneth Kaunda	2000	65.8%	68.7%	63.0%
South Africa	Dr Kenneth Kaunda	2017	83.1%	85.1%	81.0%
South Africa	Dr Ruth Segomotsi Mompati	2000	50.0%	53.1%	46.6%
South Africa	Dr Ruth Segomotsi Mompati	2017	74.9%	77.3%	71.8%
South Africa	Eden	2000	85.7%	89.5%	80.9%
South Africa	Eden	2017	92.9%	94.9%	89.6%
South Africa	Ehlanzeni	2000	43.2%	46.1%	40.3%
South Africa	Ehlanzeni	2017	70.4%	72.5%	67.7%
South Africa	Ekurhuleni	2000	79.9%	84.9%	73.2%
South Africa	Ekurhuleni	2017	88.9%	92.5%	84.3%
South Africa	eThekweni	2000	70.5%	73.0%	68.2%
South Africa	eThekweni	2017	89.0%	91.2%	86.2%
South Africa	Fezile Dabi	2000	71.6%	73.3%	69.8%
South Africa	Fezile Dabi	2017	84.6%	86.0%	82.7%
South Africa	Frances Baard	2000	75.3%	76.3%	74.0%
South Africa	Frances Baard	2017	88.0%	89.0%	86.9%
South Africa	Gert Sibande	2000	52.1%	56.5%	48.3%
South Africa	Gert Sibande	2017	75.2%	79.0%	70.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	iLembe	2000	51.3%	57.2%	46.6%
South Africa	iLembe	2017	75.0%	81.2%	69.2%
South Africa	Joe Gqabi	2000	52.4%	57.2%	47.5%
South Africa	Joe Gqabi	2017	76.0%	79.7%	71.7%
South Africa	John Taolo Gaetsewe	2000	52.0%	55.3%	49.6%
South Africa	John Taolo Gaetsewe	2017	78.0%	80.0%	76.0%
South Africa	Lejweleputswa	2000	69.0%	70.7%	66.9%
South Africa	Lejweleputswa	2017	81.1%	82.5%	79.6%
South Africa	Mangaung	2000	67.7%	68.6%	66.9%
South Africa	Mangaung	2017	83.4%	84.4%	82.5%
South Africa	Mopani	2000	35.5%	38.6%	32.9%
South Africa	Mopani	2017	68.3%	70.7%	66.2%
South Africa	Namakwa	2000	65.7%	71.2%	60.9%
South Africa	Namakwa	2017	83.5%	87.1%	79.3%
South Africa	Nelson Mandela Bay	2000	60.2%	63.0%	58.1%
South Africa	Nelson Mandela Bay	2017	79.1%	81.7%	76.1%
South Africa	Ngaka Modiri Molema	2000	50.5%	52.4%	48.2%
South Africa	Ngaka Modiri Molema	2017	74.4%	76.1%	72.5%
South Africa	Nkangala	2000	47.7%	50.9%	45.1%
South Africa	Nkangala	2017	71.7%	75.0%	68.6%
South Africa	O.R.Tambo	2000	45.6%	47.2%	43.8%
South Africa	O.R.Tambo	2017	76.6%	78.0%	75.1%
South Africa	Overberg	2000	81.5%	86.7%	73.0%
South Africa	Overberg	2017	89.2%	92.8%	81.2%
South Africa	Pixley ka Seme	2000	67.4%	70.8%	64.1%
South Africa	Pixley ka Seme	2017	82.9%	85.1%	80.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Sedibeng	2000	77.5%	82.3%	72.7%
South Africa	Sedibeng	2017	86.2%	90.1%	82.0%
South Africa	Sekhukhune	2000	40.4%	44.0%	37.3%
South Africa	Sekhukhune	2017	69.5%	72.8%	66.5%
South Africa	Sisonke	2000	47.6%	54.2%	42.2%
South Africa	Sisonke	2017	75.6%	80.3%	71.0%
South Africa	Siyanda	2000	73.7%	77.2%	70.4%
South Africa	Siyanda	2017	85.3%	87.7%	83.0%
South Africa	Thabo Mofutsanyane	2000	61.0%	63.3%	58.4%
South Africa	Thabo Mofutsanyane	2017	80.1%	81.5%	77.9%
South Africa	Ugu	2000	49.7%	55.3%	44.6%
South Africa	Ugu	2017	74.8%	79.9%	70.0%
South Africa	Umgungundlovu	2000	46.3%	53.5%	40.2%
South Africa	Umgungundlovu	2017	70.9%	76.2%	64.9%
South Africa	Umkhanyakude	2000	53.5%	58.9%	48.5%
South Africa	Umkhanyakude	2017	76.6%	80.5%	72.9%
South Africa	Umzinyathi	2000	54.6%	59.5%	50.1%
South Africa	Umzinyathi	2017	78.3%	82.1%	74.6%
South Africa	Uthukela	2000	50.5%	56.5%	44.3%
South Africa	Uthukela	2017	76.4%	81.1%	71.2%
South Africa	Uthungulu	2000	58.8%	62.7%	54.2%
South Africa	Uthungulu	2017	80.7%	83.8%	77.2%
South Africa	Vhembe	2000	38.5%	42.9%	34.5%
South Africa	Vhembe	2017	67.8%	71.2%	64.4%
South Africa	Waterberg	2000	44.3%	47.2%	40.9%
South Africa	Waterberg	2017	70.6%	72.7%	68.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	West Coast	2000	85.3%	89.2%	80.7%
South Africa	West Coast	2017	91.0%	93.6%	87.1%
South Africa	West Rand	2000	80.0%	86.0%	72.3%
South Africa	West Rand	2017	91.2%	95.2%	86.3%
South Africa	Xhariep	2000	71.9%	75.7%	67.5%
South Africa	Xhariep	2017	84.6%	87.0%	81.3%
South Africa	Zululand	2000	51.5%	56.6%	47.2%
South Africa	Zululand	2017	76.8%	80.2%	73.2%
South Sudan	Akobo	2000	28.4%	33.7%	23.9%
South Sudan	Akobo	2017	6.9%	8.1%	5.7%
South Sudan	Al Leiri	2000	25.6%	37.8%	17.3%
South Sudan	Al Leiri	2017	4.6%	6.5%	3.2%
South Sudan	Al Mabien	2000	28.1%	37.5%	22.1%
South Sudan	Al Mabien	2017	8.0%	10.8%	5.9%
South Sudan	Al Mayom	2000	12.2%	20.1%	6.8%
South Sudan	Al Mayom	2017	2.2%	4.0%	1.1%
South Sudan	Al Renk	2000	22.2%	25.8%	18.7%
South Sudan	Al Renk	2017	7.0%	8.8%	5.7%
South Sudan	Aliab	2000	34.8%	42.2%	27.9%
South Sudan	Aliab	2017	7.1%	8.7%	5.7%
South Sudan	Amatonge	2000	30.3%	33.8%	27.2%
South Sudan	Amatonge	2017	9.3%	10.9%	8.3%
South Sudan	Aryat	2000	8.5%	11.7%	6.0%
South Sudan	Aryat	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
South Sudan	Aweil	2000	10.4%	12.9%	8.3%
South Sudan	Aweil	2017	2.2%	2.6%	1.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Sudan	Ayod	2000	29.1%	35.2%	23.9%
South Sudan	Ayod	2017	7.1%	8.5%	5.9%
South Sudan	Bahr al Jabal	2000	51.8%	55.8%	48.2%
South Sudan	Bahr al Jabal	2017	18.4%	20.2%	16.6%
South Sudan	Baleit	2000	28.9%	34.1%	24.4%
South Sudan	Baleit	2017	8.2%	9.9%	6.7%
South Sudan	Bor	2000	30.8%	37.1%	24.5%
South Sudan	Bor	2017	7.4%	9.1%	5.9%
South Sudan	Fam al Zaraf	2000	27.9%	34.5%	22.6%
South Sudan	Fam al Zaraf	2017	6.7%	8.4%	5.3%
South Sudan	Faring	2000	21.7%	34.1%	11.5%
South Sudan	Faring	2017	5.8%	11.6%	2.3%
South Sudan	Fashooda	2000	28.4%	33.7%	23.9%
South Sudan	Fashooda	2017	8.9%	11.1%	7.0%
South Sudan	Gogrial	2000	11.5%	15.4%	8.7%
South Sudan	Gogrial	2017	2.0%	2.5%	1.6%
South Sudan	Kajo Kaii	2000	54.1%	59.2%	49.3%
South Sudan	Kajo Kaii	2017	21.6%	24.2%	19.2%
South Sudan	Kapoeta	2000	31.9%	35.6%	27.9%
South Sudan	Kapoeta	2017	9.8%	11.0%	8.6%
South Sudan	Magwi	2000	34.1%	38.8%	29.9%
South Sudan	Magwi	2017	10.7%	12.5%	9.3%
South Sudan	Malek	2000	9.6%	13.4%	6.8%
South Sudan	Malek	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
South Sudan	Malut	2000	26.9%	31.5%	22.7%
South Sudan	Malut	2017	8.2%	10.2%	6.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Sudan	Mayot	2000	29.6%	33.7%	25.7%
South Sudan	Mayot	2017	8.9%	10.5%	7.5%
South Sudan	Meridi	2000	59.5%	62.6%	56.1%
South Sudan	Meridi	2017	26.4%	28.9%	23.7%
South Sudan	Mundri	2000	56.7%	60.6%	53.0%
South Sudan	Mundri	2017	24.0%	26.6%	21.6%
South Sudan	Nahr Atiem	2000	29.1%	33.6%	24.7%
South Sudan	Nahr Atiem	2017	7.7%	9.1%	6.5%
South Sudan	Nahr Lol	2000	8.9%	12.7%	6.2%
South Sudan	Nahr Lol	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
South Sudan	Nahr Yei	2000	53.5%	57.2%	49.6%
South Sudan	Nahr Yei	2017	20.9%	22.7%	19.0%
South Sudan	Pibor	2000	28.9%	33.8%	24.4%
South Sudan	Pibor	2017	7.6%	9.0%	6.3%
South Sudan	Rabkona	2000	18.7%	30.9%	10.9%
South Sudan	Rabkona	2017	4.0%	7.2%	2.1%
South Sudan	Raja	2000	36.7%	41.4%	32.2%
South Sudan	Raja	2017	12.3%	14.7%	10.2%
South Sudan	Rumbek	2000	36.4%	43.7%	29.0%
South Sudan	Rumbek	2017	4.9%	5.6%	4.2%
South Sudan	Shobet	2000	30.4%	36.9%	23.7%
South Sudan	Shobet	2017	3.7%	4.6%	3.0%
South Sudan	Shokodom	2000	31.4%	35.1%	27.7%
South Sudan	Shokodom	2017	9.5%	11.0%	8.1%
South Sudan	Sobat	2000	29.1%	32.5%	25.9%
South Sudan	Sobat	2017	8.8%	10.0%	7.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Sudan	Terkaka	2000	50.2%	54.6%	45.8%
South Sudan	Terkaka	2017	18.2%	20.7%	16.3%
South Sudan	Tombura	2000	58.5%	62.1%	54.9%
South Sudan	Tombura	2017	25.7%	28.6%	23.0%
South Sudan	Tonga	2000	25.4%	28.7%	22.2%
South Sudan	Tonga	2017	6.5%	7.5%	5.6%
South Sudan	Tonj	2000	18.3%	23.2%	14.5%
South Sudan	Tonj	2017	3.8%	4.5%	3.2%
South Sudan	Wanjuk	2000	8.6%	11.2%	6.5%
South Sudan	Wanjuk	2017	1.6%	2.0%	1.2%
South Sudan	Warab	2000	18.7%	23.6%	15.0%
South Sudan	Warab	2017	3.8%	4.4%	3.2%
South Sudan	Wat	2000	29.9%	35.6%	24.8%
South Sudan	Wat	2017	7.4%	9.0%	6.0%
South Sudan	Wau	2000	44.5%	48.2%	41.3%
South Sudan	Wau	2017	14.1%	15.4%	12.9%
South Sudan	Yambio	2000	60.2%	63.8%	56.4%
South Sudan	Yambio	2017	27.0%	29.8%	24.5%
South Sudan	Yerol	2000	32.0%	40.1%	25.8%
South Sudan	Yerol	2017	5.0%	6.0%	4.2%
Swaziland	Dvokodvweni	2000	51.7%	63.2%	41.1%
Swaziland	Dvokodvweni	2017	82.3%	88.9%	74.5%
Swaziland	Ekukhanyeni	2000	59.9%	72.2%	48.8%
Swaziland	Ekukhanyeni	2017	86.9%	92.7%	79.5%
Swaziland	Gege	2000	59.2%	72.7%	44.4%
Swaziland	Gege	2017	86.1%	92.6%	76.7%
Swaziland	Hhukwini	2000	54.1%	69.6%	36.7%
Swaziland	Hhukwini	2017	83.8%	91.8%	71.6%
Swaziland	Hlane	2000	52.1%	64.5%	40.8%
Swaziland	Hlane	2017	82.6%	90.0%	73.8%
Swaziland	Hosea	2000	56.3%	68.5%	43.8%
Swaziland	Hosea	2017	85.0%	91.2%	77.5%
Swaziland	Kubuta	2000	58.0%	74.0%	40.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Swaziland	Kubuta	2017	85.9%	93.3%	75.1%
Swaziland	Kwaluseni	2000	55.0%	67.1%	40.9%
Swaziland	Kwaluseni	2017	85.4%	91.2%	76.6%
Swaziland	Lamgabhi	2000	58.4%	77.1%	41.2%
Swaziland	Lamgabhi	2017	86.2%	94.6%	75.3%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2000	49.6%	71.4%	28.3%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2017	80.5%	92.4%	63.1%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2000	46.8%	63.2%	30.0%
Swaziland	Lomdzala				
Swaziland	Lobamba	2017	78.4%	89.1%	62.9%
Swaziland	Lomdzala				
Swaziland	Lomahasha	2000	48.1%	61.5%	34.3%
Swaziland	Lomahasha	2017	79.9%	88.1%	68.5%
Swaziland	Lubuli	2000	46.0%	59.3%	32.5%
Swaziland	Lubuli	2017	77.8%	86.6%	66.9%
Swaziland	Ludzeludze	2000	56.2%	72.1%	37.8%
Swaziland	Ludzeludze	2017	85.1%	92.7%	72.2%
Swaziland	Lugongolweni	2000	51.7%	65.0%	39.1%
Swaziland	Lugongolweni	2017	82.0%	89.5%	73.0%
Swaziland	Madlangempisi	2000	55.8%	70.5%	40.9%
Swaziland	Madlangempisi	2017	84.6%	92.0%	73.8%
Swaziland	Mafutseni	2000	56.2%	74.0%	37.9%
Swaziland	Mafutseni	2017	85.0%	93.2%	72.4%
Swaziland	Mahlangatja	2000	57.9%	71.5%	44.6%
Swaziland	Mahlangatja	2017	85.7%	92.3%	78.0%
Swaziland	Mangcongco	2000	62.1%	76.1%	46.3%
Swaziland	Mangcongco	2017	87.6%	93.6%	78.9%
Swaziland	Manzini	2000	64.4%	74.3%	53.0%
Swaziland	North				
Swaziland	Manzini	2017	89.9%	93.6%	83.8%
Swaziland	North				
Swaziland	Manzini	2000	59.4%	73.8%	48.1%
Swaziland	South				
Swaziland	Manzini	2017	87.8%	93.4%	81.6%
Swaziland	South				
Swaziland	Maseyisini	2000	60.0%	76.7%	44.1%
Swaziland	Maseyisini	2017	86.4%	94.0%	75.4%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni	2000	50.8%	66.8%	36.9%
Swaziland	North				
Swaziland	Matsanjeni	2017	80.9%	89.8%	70.6%
Swaziland	North				
Swaziland	Matsanjeni	2000	49.9%	64.8%	36.2%
Swaziland	South				
Swaziland	Matsanjeni	2017	80.0%	88.7%	69.5%
Swaziland	South				
Swaziland	Mayiwane	2000	57.9%	74.9%	42.6%
Swaziland	Mayiwane	2017	85.9%	93.5%	76.2%
Swaziland	Mbabane East	2000	53.1%	61.1%	45.2%
Swaziland	Mbabane East	2017	82.4%	86.7%	77.1%
Swaziland	Mbabane	2000	56.8%	73.1%	39.3%
Swaziland	West				
Swaziland	Mbabane	2017	84.5%	92.4%	71.3%
Swaziland	West				

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Swaziland	Mbangweni	2000	59.0%	73.8%	46.2%
Swaziland	Mbangweni	2017	85.6%	92.8%	76.7%
Swaziland	Mhlambanyatsi	2000	58.4%	73.1%	45.2%
Swaziland	Mhlambanyatsi	2017	86.0%	92.8%	77.7%
Swaziland	Mhlangatane	2000	54.8%	69.8%	37.7%
Swaziland	Mhlangatane	2017	84.1%	91.5%	72.5%
Swaziland	Mhlume	2000	43.1%	54.9%	32.6%
Swaziland	Mhlume	2017	76.0%	84.2%	65.5%
Swaziland	Mkhiweni	2000	57.1%	69.7%	45.0%
Swaziland	Mkhiweni	2017	85.5%	91.7%	78.1%
Swaziland	Motjane	2000	58.2%	71.8%	44.9%
Swaziland	Motjane	2017	86.1%	92.6%	78.2%
Swaziland	Mphalaleni	2000	56.3%	69.5%	43.5%
Swaziland	Mphalaleni	2017	85.0%	91.5%	77.4%
Swaziland	Mpholonjeni	2000	49.8%	60.9%	37.5%
Swaziland	Mpholonjeni	2017	80.7%	87.4%	71.0%
Swaziland	Mthongwaneni	2000	58.1%	73.1%	44.1%
Swaziland	Mthongwaneni	2017	86.1%	93.2%	76.5%
Swaziland	Mtsambama	2000	60.7%	76.8%	43.4%
Swaziland	Mtsambama	2017	86.7%	93.8%	75.6%
Swaziland	Ndzingeni	2000	56.4%	69.1%	43.7%
Swaziland	Ndzingeni	2017	85.4%	91.2%	78.3%
Swaziland	Ngudzeni	2000	58.3%	74.2%	42.0%
Swaziland	Ngudzeni	2017	86.0%	93.1%	76.2%
Swaziland	Ngwenpisi	2000	56.6%	68.2%	44.8%
Swaziland	Ngwenpisi	2017	84.7%	91.0%	77.1%
Swaziland	Nhlambeni	2000	58.3%	68.9%	47.1%
Swaziland	Nhlambeni	2017	86.8%	91.6%	80.7%
Swaziland	Nkhaba	2000	57.3%	69.4%	44.4%
Swaziland	Nkhaba	2017	85.3%	91.3%	77.1%
Swaziland	Nkilongo	2000	42.4%	53.3%	30.8%
Swaziland	Nkilongo	2017	74.8%	84.0%	63.1%
Swaziland	Nkwene	2000	60.1%	74.4%	44.0%
Swaziland	Nkwene	2017	86.7%	93.3%	77.3%
Swaziland	Ntfonjeni	2000	58.2%	72.2%	40.8%
Swaziland	Ntfonjeni	2017	85.9%	92.7%	74.6%
Swaziland	Ntondozi	2000	54.7%	68.8%	39.0%
Swaziland	Ntondozi	2017	83.9%	91.5%	74.0%
Swaziland	Pigg's Peak	2000	58.6%	71.6%	44.5%
Swaziland	Pigg's Peak	2017	86.2%	92.5%	77.8%
Swaziland	Sandleni	2000	60.5%	77.3%	42.1%
Swaziland	Sandleni	2017	87.0%	94.4%	76.1%
Swaziland	Shiselweni	2000	56.1%	70.2%	41.3%
Swaziland	Shiselweni	2017	84.2%	91.7%	74.6%
Swaziland	Sigwe	2000	52.3%	67.9%	37.4%
Swaziland	Sigwe	2017	82.2%	91.0%	70.3%
Swaziland	Siphofaneni	2000	53.1%	66.7%	40.0%
Swaziland	Siphofaneni	2017	82.9%	90.5%	73.2%
Swaziland	Sithobela	2000	52.9%	64.0%	39.9%
Swaziland	Sithobela	2017	82.8%	89.4%	72.3%
Swaziland	Somntongo	2000	49.6%	65.4%	33.7%
Swaziland	Somntongo	2017	80.0%	89.6%	67.5%
Swaziland	Timpisini	2000	57.6%	74.6%	35.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Swaziland	Timpisini	2017	85.9%	93.6%	71.6%
Swaziland	Zombodze	2000	61.4%	76.1%	43.1%
Swaziland	Zombodze	2017	87.2%	93.8%	76.1%
Tanzania	Arusha	2000	56.1%	64.0%	48.2%
Tanzania	Arusha	2017	39.8%	47.9%	32.8%
Tanzania	Arusha Urban	2000	61.6%	67.1%	55.1%
Tanzania	Arusha Urban	2017	41.8%	48.8%	35.4%
Tanzania	Babati	2000	46.2%	55.1%	38.4%
Tanzania	Babati	2017	32.8%	41.1%	26.1%
Tanzania	Babati Urban	2000	56.5%	64.9%	49.5%
Tanzania	Babati Urban	2017	39.4%	47.2%	32.9%
Tanzania	Bagamoyo	2000	50.7%	56.2%	45.0%
Tanzania	Bagamoyo	2017	37.3%	42.8%	31.1%
Tanzania	Bahi	2000	46.0%	54.1%	37.9%
Tanzania	Bahi	2017	33.9%	41.5%	27.0%
Tanzania	Bariadi	2000	36.6%	44.8%	28.8%
Tanzania	Bariadi	2017	25.2%	32.5%	19.1%
Tanzania	Biharamulo	2000	36.5%	46.0%	28.5%
Tanzania	Biharamulo	2017	25.6%	33.8%	18.9%
Tanzania	Buhigwe	2000	39.0%	48.9%	29.2%
Tanzania	Buhigwe	2017	27.5%	35.9%	18.8%
Tanzania	Bukoba Rural	2000	39.3%	49.1%	29.7%
Tanzania	Bukoba Rural	2017	27.1%	35.8%	19.1%
Tanzania	Bukoba Urban	2000	49.6%	56.3%	44.3%
Tanzania	Bukoba Urban	2017	36.4%	40.7%	32.4%
Tanzania	Bukombe	2000	46.8%	52.8%	41.2%
Tanzania	Bukombe	2017	34.0%	39.3%	29.1%
Tanzania	Bunda	2000	41.5%	49.3%	34.2%
Tanzania	Bunda	2017	29.9%	37.2%	23.3%
Tanzania	Busega	2000	40.4%	52.1%	29.4%
Tanzania	Busega	2017	29.1%	39.5%	19.9%
Tanzania	Butiama	2000	42.6%	51.4%	33.6%
Tanzania	Butiama	2017	29.2%	37.1%	21.6%
Tanzania	Chake	2000	57.6%	59.2%	55.8%
Tanzania	Chake	2017	41.2%	42.9%	39.4%
Tanzania	Chamwino	2000	43.9%	50.9%	37.2%
Tanzania	Chamwino	2017	31.2%	37.7%	25.2%
Tanzania	Chato	2000	38.2%	44.6%	31.3%
Tanzania	Chato	2017	26.7%	32.4%	21.0%
Tanzania	Chemba	2000	47.6%	56.2%	40.3%
Tanzania	Chemba	2017	34.3%	41.7%	27.4%
Tanzania	Chunya	2000	40.5%	48.0%	33.8%
Tanzania	Chunya	2017	28.1%	33.9%	22.4%
Tanzania	Dodoma	2000	50.6%	57.6%	44.1%
Tanzania	Dodoma Urban	2017	35.1%	42.1%	28.2%
Tanzania	Gairo	2000	50.4%	61.8%	38.7%
Tanzania	Gairo	2017	37.8%	48.3%	27.6%
Tanzania	Geita	2000	32.3%	38.4%	25.7%
Tanzania	Geita	2017	22.4%	27.5%	17.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Hai	2000	59.5%	68.8%	49.3%
Tanzania	Hai	2017	44.4%	54.2%	34.6%
Tanzania	Hanang	2000	45.4%	54.5%	37.1%
Tanzania	Hanang	2017	32.0%	39.6%	24.7%
Tanzania	Handeni	2000	41.0%	50.2%	32.1%
Tanzania	Handeni	2017	28.5%	36.9%	21.6%
Tanzania	Handeni	2000	48.5%	63.4%	34.6%
Tanzania	Township Authority				
Tanzania	Handeni	2017	33.0%	46.7%	20.3%
Tanzania	Township Authority				
Tanzania	Igunga	2000	40.2%	46.8%	34.3%
Tanzania	Igunga	2017	28.7%	34.5%	23.7%
Tanzania	Ikungi	2000	42.5%	50.6%	33.5%
Tanzania	Ikungi	2017	30.2%	37.1%	22.3%
Tanzania	Ilala	2000	69.7%	71.3%	68.1%
Tanzania	Ilala	2017	50.8%	52.5%	49.1%
Tanzania	Ileje	2000	45.2%	56.3%	33.5%
Tanzania	Ileje	2017	32.9%	44.0%	22.5%
Tanzania	Ilemela	2000	59.0%	63.4%	54.3%
Tanzania	Ilemela	2017	44.0%	48.7%	40.0%
Tanzania	Iramba	2000	43.1%	51.0%	34.6%
Tanzania	Iramba	2017	30.6%	37.7%	23.4%
Tanzania	Iringa Rural	2000	44.8%	51.9%	37.8%
Tanzania	Iringa Rural	2017	31.7%	38.2%	25.6%
Tanzania	Iringa Urban	2000	53.9%	65.0%	43.6%
Tanzania	Iringa Urban	2017	36.1%	46.1%	28.8%
Tanzania	Itilima	2000	32.8%	42.2%	22.9%
Tanzania	Itilima	2017	22.6%	31.6%	13.8%
Tanzania	Kahama	2000	39.2%	45.7%	33.2%
Tanzania	Kahama	2017	27.6%	33.6%	22.1%
Tanzania	Kahama	2000	54.3%	64.5%	45.7%
Tanzania	Township Authority				
Tanzania	Kahama	2017	41.0%	51.3%	31.9%
Tanzania	Township Authority				
Tanzania	Kakonko	2000	44.5%	55.5%	34.0%
Tanzania	Kakonko	2017	31.2%	40.8%	22.4%
Tanzania	Kalambo	2000	43.2%	50.3%	34.3%
Tanzania	Kalambo	2017	31.6%	38.1%	23.8%
Tanzania	Kaliua	2000	42.5%	50.2%	36.1%
Tanzania	Kaliua	2017	30.5%	37.0%	25.0%
Tanzania	Karagwe	2000	49.6%	57.7%	41.6%
Tanzania	Karagwe	2017	37.7%	45.8%	30.7%
Tanzania	Karatu	2000	46.2%	54.3%	38.2%
Tanzania	Karatu	2017	31.6%	40.9%	24.6%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'A'	2000	56.1%	58.4%	53.5%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'A'	2017	38.8%	41.5%	36.0%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'B'	2000	57.5%	63.1%	52.8%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'B'	2017	38.5%	44.0%	34.8%
Tanzania	Kasulu	2000	40.4%	49.4%	31.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Kasulu	2017	28.2%	37.2%	20.5%
Tanzania	Kasulu Township Authority	2000	41.5%	50.3%	33.1%
Tanzania	Kasulu Township Authority	2017	27.7%	35.0%	21.3%
Tanzania	Kati	2000	69.2%	71.9%	66.5%
Tanzania	Kati	2017	51.6%	54.7%	48.6%
Tanzania	Kibaha	2000	60.4%	72.8%	44.6%
Tanzania	Kibaha	2017	47.9%	62.5%	33.8%
Tanzania	Kibaha Urban	2000	62.7%	69.6%	54.4%
Tanzania	Kibaha Urban	2017	48.1%	55.7%	40.2%
Tanzania	Kibondo	2000	48.7%	56.0%	40.8%
Tanzania	Kibondo	2017	36.7%	43.6%	29.6%
Tanzania	Kigoma Rural	2000	41.9%	51.6%	32.6%
Tanzania	Kigoma Rural	2017	28.4%	37.6%	20.4%
Tanzania	Kigoma Urban	2000	68.2%	71.9%	64.3%
Tanzania	Kigoma Urban	2017	49.6%	53.8%	45.5%
Tanzania	Kilindi	2000	41.2%	49.2%	33.3%
Tanzania	Kilindi	2017	29.3%	37.4%	22.0%
Tanzania	Kilolo	2000	45.5%	54.3%	38.6%
Tanzania	Kilolo	2017	33.1%	41.1%	26.8%
Tanzania	Kilombero	2000	48.8%	55.5%	42.4%
Tanzania	Kilombero	2017	36.4%	42.3%	29.8%
Tanzania	Kilosa	2000	48.1%	54.7%	40.8%
Tanzania	Kilosa	2017	35.2%	41.8%	28.6%
Tanzania	Kilwa	2000	41.9%	48.5%	35.3%
Tanzania	Kilwa	2017	29.9%	36.0%	23.9%
Tanzania	Kinondoni	2000	75.1%	76.4%	73.6%
Tanzania	Kinondoni	2017	58.2%	59.8%	56.5%
Tanzania	Kisarawe	2000	43.6%	55.0%	35.1%
Tanzania	Kisarawe	2017	30.6%	41.0%	23.8%
Tanzania	Kishapu	2000	41.6%	50.2%	33.3%
Tanzania	Kishapu	2017	29.6%	37.6%	21.9%
Tanzania	Kiteto	2000	46.9%	54.3%	39.8%
Tanzania	Kiteto	2017	34.3%	41.0%	27.6%
Tanzania	Kondoa	2000	42.5%	50.5%	33.4%
Tanzania	Kondoa	2017	29.9%	37.3%	22.0%
Tanzania	Kongwa	2000	43.8%	52.9%	35.6%
Tanzania	Kongwa	2017	31.0%	39.3%	23.2%
Tanzania	Korogwe	2000	52.9%	62.9%	41.5%
Tanzania	Korogwe	2017	38.9%	49.0%	27.8%
Tanzania	Korogwe Township Authority	2000	52.8%	64.2%	41.2%
Tanzania	Korogwe Township Authority	2017	35.0%	46.0%	24.7%
Tanzania	Kusini	2000	76.2%	84.0%	66.4%
Tanzania	Kusini	2017	61.6%	71.1%	51.6%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Kwimba	2000	34.5%	42.8%	26.1%
Tanzania	Kwimba	2017	23.6%	31.2%	17.2%
Tanzania	Kyela	2000	62.0%	71.2%	50.8%
Tanzania	Kyela	2017	49.3%	58.9%	38.7%
Tanzania	Kyerwa	2000	32.1%	41.9%	23.1%
Tanzania	Kyerwa	2017	21.6%	30.6%	14.3%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2000	48.9%	85.4%	12.4%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2000	45.6%	69.4%	26.6%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2017	37.0%	77.4%	6.2%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2017	33.3%	59.3%	15.1%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2000	43.8%	81.3%	10.3%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2000	48.9%	69.9%	28.3%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2017	30.0%	64.9%	6.0%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2017	33.3%	53.3%	16.8%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	41.3%	69.1%	16.1%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	43.3%	72.8%	17.2%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	39.7%	57.1%	23.2%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	29.0%	59.2%	8.1%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	32.6%	64.3%	7.9%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	28.1%	44.8%	15.2%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	44.5%	57.0%	31.3%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	39.2%	58.3%	23.8%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	49.8%	59.3%	39.6%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	33.7%	45.8%	22.7%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	29.6%	42.7%	19.0%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	35.9%	45.1%	26.2%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	47.9%	52.4%	43.8%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	27.4%	47.4%	13.4%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	29.8%	38.0%	21.1%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	47.7%	56.5%	39.4%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	17.1%	32.9%	8.1%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	19.4%	26.5%	12.1%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	35.8%	39.7%	32.6%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	33.2%	41.1%	25.7%
Tanzania	Lindi Rural	2000	47.0%	53.9%	39.4%
Tanzania	Lindi Rural	2017	33.6%	40.2%	26.9%
Tanzania	Lindi Urban	2000	52.5%	63.3%	42.3%
Tanzania	Lindi Urban	2017	37.2%	47.6%	28.3%
Tanzania	Liwale	2000	41.4%	49.2%	34.9%
Tanzania	Liwale	2017	27.9%	34.4%	22.5%
Tanzania	Longido	2000	46.4%	54.7%	38.4%
Tanzania	Longido	2017	33.6%	41.1%	26.5%
Tanzania	Ludewa	2000	39.9%	49.9%	31.8%
Tanzania	Ludewa	2017	28.1%	36.9%	21.3%
Tanzania	Lushoto	2000	53.0%	61.2%	45.3%
Tanzania	Lushoto	2017	38.3%	46.0%	31.2%
Tanzania	Mafia	2000	46.5%	67.4%	28.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Mafia	2017	33.6%	52.2%	17.4%
Tanzania	Mafinga Township Authority	2000	64.4%	75.1%	52.9%
Tanzania	Mafinga Township Authority	2017	48.2%	59.9%	37.3%
Tanzania	Magharibi	2000	74.7%	75.8%	73.4%
Tanzania	Magharibi	2017	57.9%	59.6%	56.3%
Tanzania	Magu	2000	32.1%	40.8%	23.9%
Tanzania	Magu	2017	22.0%	30.1%	15.5%
Tanzania	Makambako Township Authority	2000	64.0%	75.5%	51.7%
Tanzania	Makambako Township Authority	2017	49.5%	62.5%	37.4%
Tanzania	Makete	2000	43.1%	52.3%	33.9%
Tanzania	Makete	2017	29.4%	38.1%	21.7%
Tanzania	Manyoni	2000	47.6%	53.6%	42.1%
Tanzania	Manyoni	2017	34.7%	40.6%	29.8%
Tanzania	Masasi	2000	42.6%	50.7%	35.0%
Tanzania	Masasi	2017	29.7%	36.9%	23.0%
Tanzania	Masasi Township Authority	2000	40.7%	49.0%	34.0%
Tanzania	Masasi Township Authority	2017	28.9%	34.6%	23.6%
Tanzania	Maswa	2000	42.2%	52.0%	33.8%
Tanzania	Maswa	2017	29.9%	39.3%	22.3%
Tanzania	Mbarali	2000	40.6%	48.5%	33.5%
Tanzania	Mbarali	2017	28.2%	35.2%	22.2%
Tanzania	Mbeya Rural	2000	47.6%	56.7%	39.4%
Tanzania	Mbeya Rural	2017	33.3%	41.3%	26.7%
Tanzania	Mbeya Urban	2000	50.3%	58.9%	42.5%
Tanzania	Mbeya Urban	2017	32.9%	40.3%	26.7%
Tanzania	Mbinga	2000	48.2%	56.7%	38.7%
Tanzania	Mbinga	2017	35.2%	43.2%	27.3%
Tanzania	Mbogwe	2000	40.6%	49.2%	31.4%
Tanzania	Mbogwe	2017	28.3%	36.3%	21.1%
Tanzania	Mbozi	2000	44.4%	53.2%	36.0%
Tanzania	Mbozi	2017	30.8%	38.8%	23.3%
Tanzania	Mbulu	2000	48.2%	56.7%	39.1%
Tanzania	Mbulu	2017	34.5%	44.2%	25.4%
Tanzania	Meatu	2000	39.3%	46.5%	31.6%
Tanzania	Meatu	2017	27.7%	34.0%	21.4%
Tanzania	Meru	2000	54.3%	63.2%	45.2%
Tanzania	Meru	2017	37.7%	46.4%	29.5%
Tanzania	Micheweni	2000	31.1%	33.7%	28.7%
Tanzania	Micheweni	2017	17.5%	19.8%	15.8%
Tanzania	Missenyi	2000	41.3%	51.0%	32.8%
Tanzania	Missenyi	2017	29.9%	39.1%	22.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Misungwi	2000	38.9%	48.2%	30.8%
Tanzania	Misungwi	2017	28.0%	36.2%	20.5%
Tanzania	Mjini	2000	70.9%	72.7%	69.1%
Tanzania	Mjini	2017	54.2%	56.3%	52.2%
Tanzania	Mkalama	2000	50.6%	61.1%	40.0%
Tanzania	Mkalama	2017	37.6%	47.9%	28.3%
Tanzania	Mkinga	2000	42.3%	56.9%	28.7%
Tanzania	Mkinga	2017	30.2%	43.6%	19.1%
Tanzania	Mkoani	2000	45.3%	48.1%	42.5%
Tanzania	Mkoani	2017	29.4%	31.9%	27.0%
Tanzania	Mkuranga	2000	51.4%	59.7%	44.0%
Tanzania	Mkuranga	2017	37.9%	44.5%	31.9%
Tanzania	Mlele	2000	44.6%	50.4%	38.0%
Tanzania	Mlele	2017	32.7%	38.3%	27.0%
Tanzania	Momba	2000	44.5%	54.0%	36.7%
Tanzania	Momba	2017	32.0%	40.3%	25.5%
Tanzania	Monduli	2000	41.4%	49.4%	33.8%
Tanzania	Monduli	2017	29.4%	36.1%	22.8%
Tanzania	Morogoro Rural	2000	51.1%	59.2%	42.7%
Tanzania	Morogoro Rural	2017	40.3%	47.4%	33.2%
Tanzania	Morogoro Urban	2000	66.2%	68.9%	62.6%
Tanzania	Morogoro Urban	2017	48.0%	50.8%	44.6%
Tanzania	Moshi Rural	2000	62.8%	68.7%	55.6%
Tanzania	Moshi Rural	2017	48.0%	54.0%	41.8%
Tanzania	Moshi Urban	2000	69.6%	74.6%	62.0%
Tanzania	Moshi Urban	2017	56.2%	61.1%	50.6%
Tanzania	Mpanda	2000	41.6%	46.8%	35.6%
Tanzania	Mpanda	2017	29.6%	33.9%	24.9%
Tanzania	Mpanda Urban	2000	43.4%	50.0%	37.5%
Tanzania	Mpanda Urban	2017	26.4%	31.8%	22.2%
Tanzania	Mpwapwa	2000	42.2%	51.1%	34.1%
Tanzania	Mpwapwa	2017	30.0%	38.0%	23.3%
Tanzania	Mtwara Rural	2000	38.5%	45.3%	31.7%
Tanzania	Mtwara Rural	2017	25.9%	31.7%	20.0%
Tanzania	Mtwara Urban	2000	65.6%	68.3%	63.1%
Tanzania	Mtwara Urban	2017	46.5%	49.5%	43.6%
Tanzania	Mufindi	2000	43.5%	50.9%	37.2%
Tanzania	Mufindi	2017	30.7%	38.2%	24.5%
Tanzania	Muheza	2000	56.5%	65.9%	46.7%
Tanzania	Muheza	2017	41.9%	51.7%	33.0%
Tanzania	Muleba	2000	43.9%	51.6%	36.6%
Tanzania	Muleba	2017	32.5%	39.7%	25.7%
Tanzania	Musoma Rural	2000	41.5%	56.3%	28.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Musoma Rural	2017	28.6%	42.2%	17.2%
Tanzania	Musoma Urban	2000	66.4%	70.7%	61.7%
Tanzania	Musoma Urban	2017	46.7%	51.4%	41.8%
Tanzania	Mvomero	2000	47.5%	53.6%	41.0%
Tanzania	Mvomero	2017	34.7%	40.6%	29.3%
Tanzania	Mwanga	2000	58.3%	66.8%	48.7%
Tanzania	Mwanga	2017	42.6%	51.7%	32.8%
Tanzania	Nachingwea	2000	48.7%	55.7%	42.3%
Tanzania	Nachingwea	2017	33.8%	40.3%	28.1%
Tanzania	Namtumbo	2000	39.9%	47.7%	32.2%
Tanzania	Namtumbo	2017	27.3%	34.9%	21.0%
Tanzania	Nanyumbu	2000	41.5%	50.3%	33.3%
Tanzania	Nanyumbu	2017	28.8%	36.8%	21.7%
Tanzania	Newala	2000	36.3%	44.4%	28.8%
Tanzania	Newala	2017	23.6%	30.4%	17.9%
Tanzania	Ngara	2000	34.8%	43.8%	25.9%
Tanzania	Ngara	2017	23.3%	31.2%	16.1%
Tanzania	Ngorongoro	2000	47.2%	53.8%	41.1%
Tanzania	Ngorongoro	2017	34.5%	40.9%	28.6%
Tanzania	Njombe	2000	40.2%	52.0%	28.7%
Tanzania	Njombe	2017	27.9%	39.7%	18.0%
Tanzania	Njombe Urban	2000	57.5%	65.7%	49.9%
Tanzania	Njombe Urban	2017	43.4%	51.9%	35.9%
Tanzania	Nkasi	2000	44.3%	49.9%	39.0%
Tanzania	Nkasi	2017	31.8%	37.0%	27.2%
Tanzania	Nyamagana	2000	59.7%	63.2%	55.6%
Tanzania	Nyamagana	2017	43.7%	48.2%	38.9%
Tanzania	Nyang'wale	2000	44.2%	55.4%	33.2%
Tanzania	Nyang'wale	2017	30.8%	41.6%	21.1%
Tanzania	Nyasa	2000	43.3%	53.4%	35.8%
Tanzania	Nyasa	2017	30.2%	39.2%	23.0%
Tanzania	Nzega	2000	42.7%	48.5%	37.2%
Tanzania	Nzega	2017	30.5%	35.6%	25.4%
Tanzania	Pangani	2000	48.2%	57.7%	38.5%
Tanzania	Pangani	2017	36.0%	45.5%	27.5%
Tanzania	Rombo	2000	48.9%	56.8%	41.1%
Tanzania	Rombo	2017	32.5%	39.3%	26.8%
Tanzania	Rorya	2000	37.1%	48.0%	25.6%
Tanzania	Rorya	2017	25.1%	34.4%	16.1%
Tanzania	Ruangwa	2000	41.0%	47.5%	34.0%
Tanzania	Ruangwa	2017	28.5%	34.1%	22.7%
Tanzania	Rufiji	2000	44.9%	51.4%	38.2%
Tanzania	Rufiji	2017	32.2%	38.6%	26.3%
Tanzania	Rungwe	2000	43.8%	50.8%	34.2%
Tanzania	Rungwe	2017	29.8%	36.8%	21.8%
Tanzania	Same	2000	56.4%	65.0%	46.9%
Tanzania	Same	2017	42.9%	52.0%	33.9%
Tanzania	Sengerema	2000	38.7%	46.2%	31.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Sengerema	2017	26.6%	33.1%	20.4%
Tanzania	Serengeti	2000	47.5%	56.1%	38.6%
Tanzania	Serengeti	2017	35.1%	43.6%	27.1%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Rural	2000	36.2%	44.6%	27.9%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Rural	2017	25.1%	32.8%	18.7%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Urban	2000	50.9%	59.9%	41.8%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Urban	2017	34.7%	43.6%	26.4%
Tanzania	Siha	2000	52.4%	69.6%	35.9%
Tanzania	Siha	2017	36.7%	53.6%	22.5%
Tanzania	Sikonge	2000	45.1%	52.0%	37.4%
Tanzania	Sikonge	2017	32.2%	38.0%	25.3%
Tanzania	Simanjiro	2000	46.0%	52.7%	39.8%
Tanzania	Simanjiro	2017	32.2%	38.1%	27.0%
Tanzania	Singida Rural	2000	42.4%	53.8%	32.3%
Tanzania	Singida Rural	2017	30.1%	41.0%	21.2%
Tanzania	Singida Urban	2000	58.5%	71.6%	45.3%
Tanzania	Singida Urban	2017	42.5%	56.6%	30.5%
Tanzania	Songea Rural	2000	38.9%	47.7%	32.0%
Tanzania	Songea Rural	2017	26.5%	33.9%	20.9%
Tanzania	Songea Urban	2000	69.5%	74.7%	64.6%
Tanzania	Songea Urban	2017	54.2%	59.8%	49.1%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Rural	2000	42.1%	50.3%	33.6%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Rural	2017	29.7%	36.8%	23.2%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Urban	2000	54.2%	63.1%	46.5%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Urban	2017	39.8%	48.3%	33.5%
Tanzania	Tabora Urban	2000	56.7%	62.5%	51.1%
Tanzania	Tabora Urban	2017	40.4%	46.0%	34.9%
Tanzania	Tandahimba	2000	44.3%	52.0%	37.2%
Tanzania	Tandahimba	2017	30.6%	39.0%	24.0%
Tanzania	Tanga	2000	66.9%	72.0%	62.1%
Tanzania	Tanga	2017	50.6%	56.0%	46.2%
Tanzania	Tarime	2000	45.9%	52.6%	38.2%
Tanzania	Tarime	2017	32.7%	39.5%	25.6%
Tanzania	Temeke	2000	69.6%	71.4%	68.0%
Tanzania	Temeke	2017	51.1%	52.9%	49.2%
Tanzania	Tunduma	2000	67.0%	89.1%	27.8%
Tanzania	Tunduma	2017	53.1%	83.9%	14.8%
Tanzania	Tunduru	2000	40.4%	47.2%	34.1%
Tanzania	Tunduru	2017	28.4%	34.2%	23.1%
Tanzania	Ukerewe	2000	44.4%	54.1%	36.7%
Tanzania	Ukerewe	2017	30.2%	38.7%	23.2%
Tanzania	Ulanga	2000	42.2%	50.8%	35.5%
Tanzania	Ulanga	2017	29.3%	36.4%	23.6%
Tanzania	Urambo	2000	45.8%	55.0%	37.0%
Tanzania	Urambo	2017	31.9%	39.8%	25.1%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Uvinza	2000	43.4%	50.8%	36.5%
Tanzania	Uvinza	2017	31.2%	38.0%	25.2%
Tanzania	Uyui	2000	42.0%	47.8%	36.2%
Tanzania	Uyui	2017	29.4%	34.7%	24.1%
Tanzania	Wanging'ombe	2000	42.9%	52.0%	33.8%
Tanzania	Wanging'ombe	2017	30.8%	40.2%	22.4%
Tanzania	Wete	2000	45.4%	47.4%	43.6%
Tanzania	Wete	2017	29.5%	31.1%	28.0%
Togo	Amou	2000	9.7%	16.7%	4.3%
Togo	Amou	2017	13.1%	22.8%	6.0%
Togo	Assoli	2000	7.3%	16.7%	2.1%
Togo	Assoli	2017	10.5%	22.9%	3.8%
Togo	Bassar	2000	9.8%	15.7%	5.2%
Togo	Bassar	2017	12.6%	19.8%	7.1%
Togo	Bimah	2000	6.3%	16.8%	1.4%
Togo	Bimah	2017	8.1%	19.3%	2.0%
Togo	Doufelgou	2000	7.9%	15.2%	3.1%
Togo	Doufelgou	2017	11.1%	19.1%	5.4%
Togo	Golfe (incl Lomé)	2000	59.2%	63.7%	53.6%
Togo	Golfe (incl Lomé)	2017	70.8%	74.4%	66.9%
Togo	Haho	2000	14.6%	23.6%	7.5%
Togo	Haho	2017	18.8%	28.1%	10.7%
Togo	Kéran	2000	8.0%	15.7%	3.0%
Togo	Kéran	2017	10.8%	20.1%	4.5%
Togo	Kloto	2000	16.9%	24.8%	9.9%
Togo	Kloto	2017	23.2%	32.4%	14.7%
Togo	Kozah	2000	12.6%	22.2%	5.3%
Togo	Kozah	2017	19.0%	29.8%	10.1%
Togo	Lacs	2000	25.7%	39.4%	15.3%
Togo	Lacs	2017	33.2%	48.7%	21.0%
Togo	Ogou	2000	10.4%	15.9%	6.1%
Togo	Ogou	2017	13.7%	19.8%	8.7%
Togo	Oti	2000	11.9%	20.9%	5.7%
Togo	Oti	2017	15.1%	25.7%	8.1%
Togo	Sotouboua	2000	15.0%	37.9%	5.9%
Togo	Sotouboua	2017	19.4%	45.7%	7.9%
Togo	Tchamba (Nyala)	2000	12.7%	19.3%	7.8%
Togo	Tchamba (Nyala)	2017	16.8%	25.2%	10.2%
Togo	Tchaudjo	2000	23.2%	32.1%	16.2%
Togo	Tchaudjo	2017	28.6%	38.4%	20.4%
Togo	Tône	2000	16.3%	21.2%	12.4%
Togo	Tône	2017	19.5%	25.5%	14.9%
Togo	Vo	2000	16.7%	27.7%	8.1%
Togo	Vo	2017	21.0%	33.3%	10.7%
Togo	Wawa	2000	8.5%	16.9%	3.3%
Togo	Wawa	2017	11.2%	21.1%	4.7%
Togo	Yoto	2000	19.4%	29.2%	10.5%
Togo	Yoto	2017	24.6%	36.3%	13.7%
Togo	Zio	2000	31.2%	38.6%	23.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Togo	Zio	2017	44.7%	52.7%	36.1%
Uganda	Agago	2000	48.1%	59.4%	37.7%
Uganda	Agago	2017	71.9%	81.5%	61.4%
Uganda	Agule	2000	65.4%	80.2%	46.5%
Uganda	Agule	2017	85.1%	93.3%	71.8%
Uganda	Amuria	2000	55.8%	67.1%	45.0%
Uganda	Amuria	2017	76.5%	84.2%	67.3%
Uganda	Apac Municipality	2000	73.1%	86.1%	59.4%
Uganda	Apac Municipality	2017	89.7%	96.3%	79.9%
Uganda	Aringa	2000	50.1%	58.6%	41.9%
Uganda	Aringa	2017	73.2%	79.4%	65.8%
Uganda	Arua Municipality	2000	86.2%	88.1%	84.1%
Uganda	Arua Municipality	2017	96.7%	97.2%	96.2%
Uganda	Aruu	2000	52.8%	63.5%	42.4%
Uganda	Aruu	2017	74.5%	83.9%	65.5%
Uganda	Aswa	2000	58.2%	66.9%	50.7%
Uganda	Aswa	2017	79.6%	86.1%	73.1%
Uganda	Ayivu	2000	58.2%	64.4%	53.8%
Uganda	Ayivu	2017	73.9%	80.1%	67.9%
Uganda	Bamunanika	2000	66.4%	76.8%	54.4%
Uganda	Bamunanika	2017	86.5%	92.5%	78.9%
Uganda	Bbaale	2000	57.9%	70.6%	41.7%
Uganda	Bbaale	2017	78.3%	88.1%	64.1%
Uganda	Bokora	2000	20.2%	26.3%	14.8%
Uganda	Bokora	2017	37.7%	44.5%	30.0%
Uganda	Bubulo East	2000	68.2%	71.0%	64.5%
Uganda	Bubulo East	2017	89.4%	90.8%	87.4%
Uganda	Bubulo West	2000	71.1%	75.6%	65.8%
Uganda	Bubulo West	2017	89.9%	91.8%	86.7%
Uganda	Budadiri	2000	58.3%	63.8%	53.6%
Uganda	Budadiri	2017	81.3%	85.5%	77.1%
Uganda	Budaka	2000	48.1%	66.8%	28.1%
Uganda	Budaka	2017	73.1%	85.0%	54.9%
Uganda	Budiope	2000	45.8%	53.4%	39.1%
Uganda	Budiope	2017	71.6%	77.8%	65.1%
Uganda	Bufumbira	2000	74.9%	80.2%	68.1%
Uganda	Bufumbira	2017	90.8%	93.8%	86.5%
Uganda	Bugabula	2000	55.0%	62.0%	47.9%
Uganda	Bugabula	2017	78.7%	84.1%	72.5%
Uganda	Bugahya	2000	67.2%	77.0%	52.4%
Uganda	Bugahya	2017	85.6%	91.7%	75.5%
Uganda	Bugangaizi	2000	58.1%	70.2%	45.4%
Uganda	Bugangaizi	2017	80.1%	88.6%	70.4%
Uganda	Bughendera	2000	69.5%	79.7%	57.5%
Uganda	Bughendera	2017	87.3%	93.1%	78.4%
Uganda	Bugiri Municipality	2000	86.9%	92.7%	78.5%
Uganda	Bugiri Municipality	2017	96.9%	98.4%	94.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Bugweri	2000	66.7%	72.4%	59.4%
Uganda	Bugweri	2017	87.7%	90.9%	83.1%
Uganda	Buhaguzi	2000	59.8%	69.6%	48.0%
Uganda	Buhaguzi	2017	80.7%	87.6%	71.9%
Uganda	Buhweju	2000	76.8%	86.0%	64.6%
Uganda	Buhweju	2017	91.5%	96.2%	84.7%
Uganda	Buikwe	2000	78.2%	87.7%	65.5%
Uganda	Buikwe	2017	91.2%	96.3%	82.9%
Uganda	Bujenje	2000	64.1%	77.1%	46.6%
Uganda	Bujenje	2017	84.2%	92.7%	71.6%
Uganda	Bujumba	2000	65.3%	80.9%	45.2%
Uganda	Bujumba	2017	83.7%	93.5%	68.4%
Uganda	Bukanga	2000	70.2%	83.8%	55.5%
Uganda	Bukanga	2017	86.4%	93.8%	76.2%
Uganda	Bukedea	2000	51.1%	60.4%	41.3%
Uganda	Bukedea	2017	74.8%	81.9%	65.7%
Uganda	Bukomansimbi	2000	84.5%	88.8%	79.4%
Uganda	Bukomansimbi	2017	95.5%	97.1%	92.7%
Uganda	Bukonzo	2000	74.5%	82.9%	63.4%
Uganda	Bukonzo	2017	88.7%	94.0%	80.6%
Uganda	Bukooli	2000	57.1%	69.9%	41.0%
Uganda	Bukooli	2017	81.4%	89.7%	68.9%
Uganda	Bukooli North	2000	67.1%	76.4%	56.8%
Uganda	Bukooli North	2017	86.0%	91.5%	78.1%
Uganda	Bukoto	2000	63.9%	70.3%	56.0%
Uganda	Bukoto	2000	61.2%	70.5%	51.2%
Uganda	Bukoto	2017	86.0%	89.3%	81.4%
Uganda	Bukoto	2017	82.7%	89.2%	73.8%
Uganda	Bulambuli	2000	56.3%	68.6%	43.0%
Uganda	Bulambuli	2017	79.8%	88.8%	69.4%
Uganda	Bulamogi	2000	67.6%	74.2%	59.9%
Uganda	Bulamogi	2017	88.7%	92.3%	83.2%
Uganda	Buliisa	2000	58.5%	72.5%	44.1%
Uganda	Buliisa	2017	78.7%	87.8%	68.3%
Uganda	Bungokho	2000	52.1%	57.1%	46.3%
Uganda	Bungokho	2017	81.0%	84.2%	76.3%
Uganda	Bunya	2000	63.5%	73.4%	52.9%
Uganda	Bunya	2017	84.7%	91.2%	76.4%
Uganda	Bunyangabu	2000	81.3%	88.7%	71.6%
Uganda	Bunyangabu	2017	93.1%	96.8%	86.2%
Uganda	Bunyaruguru	2000	74.1%	81.1%	64.3%
Uganda	Bunyaruguru	2017	87.9%	92.9%	81.5%
Uganda	Bunyole	2000	59.6%	71.4%	48.8%
Uganda	Bunyole	2017	82.9%	89.5%	73.7%
Uganda	Burahya	2000	78.2%	85.0%	69.5%
Uganda	Burahya	2017	91.7%	95.2%	86.0%
Uganda	Buruli	2000	60.7%	71.0%	50.6%
Uganda	Buruli	2000	57.3%	71.2%	42.4%
Uganda	Buruli	2017	80.2%	86.8%	72.2%
Uganda	Buruli	2017	78.2%	87.0%	65.9%
Uganda	Bushenyi-Ishaka Municipality	2000	86.9%	89.6%	83.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Bushenyi-Ishaka Municipality	2017	96.7%	97.7%	95.4%
Uganda	Busia Municipality	2000	72.6%	87.0%	51.6%
Uganda	Busia Municipality	2017	92.1%	96.8%	83.6%
Uganda	Busiki	2000	62.9%	72.3%	52.7%
Uganda	Busiki	2017	85.4%	90.6%	78.4%
Uganda	Busiro	2000	76.2%	80.6%	71.7%
Uganda	Busiro	2017	91.8%	93.9%	89.1%
Uganda	Busongora	2000	65.8%	73.3%	57.7%
Uganda	Busongora	2017	82.4%	88.2%	74.7%
Uganda	Busujju	2000	76.1%	85.3%	64.3%
Uganda	Busujju	2017	91.0%	95.1%	84.0%
Uganda	Butambala	2000	64.6%	75.0%	52.8%
Uganda	Butambala	2017	85.3%	91.8%	76.8%
Uganda	Butebo	2000	54.1%	67.0%	41.0%
Uganda	Butebo	2017	78.6%	87.2%	67.0%
Uganda	Butembe	2000	75.4%	82.7%	67.6%
Uganda	Butembe	2017	92.9%	95.9%	87.4%
Uganda	Buvuma Island	2000	52.1%	70.4%	35.5%
Uganda	Buvuma Island	2017	74.8%	86.7%	59.6%
Uganda	Buwekula	2000	59.8%	72.1%	45.9%
Uganda	Buwekula	2017	80.8%	88.0%	71.9%
Uganda	Buyaga	2000	66.9%	77.3%	56.1%
Uganda	Buyaga	2017	86.4%	92.3%	79.3%
Uganda	Buyanja	2000	67.0%	78.4%	55.9%
Uganda	Buyanja	2017	84.1%	92.0%	75.0%
Uganda	Buzaaya	2000	72.9%	78.7%	64.7%
Uganda	Buzaaya	2017	91.6%	94.0%	85.5%
Uganda	Bwamba	2000	66.6%	76.5%	58.5%
Uganda	Bwamba	2017	86.9%	93.5%	78.8%
Uganda	Chekwii	2000	25.3%	36.5%	15.6%
Uganda	Chekwii	2017	47.6%	59.4%	35.1%
Uganda	Chua	2000	54.0%	61.8%	46.2%
Uganda	Chua	2017	73.7%	80.6%	65.4%
Uganda	Dodoth	2000	26.3%	32.7%	20.0%
Uganda	Dodoth	2017	48.2%	54.8%	40.8%
Uganda	Dokolo	2000	55.6%	68.6%	42.6%
Uganda	Dokolo	2017	78.8%	88.4%	69.2%
Uganda	East Moyo	2000	51.7%	60.8%	44.3%
Uganda	East Moyo	2017	73.8%	80.3%	66.3%
Uganda	Entebbe Municipality	2000	61.9%	73.9%	44.7%
Uganda	Entebbe Municipality	2017	87.0%	92.5%	72.2%
Uganda	Erute	2000	59.2%	65.4%	53.2%
Uganda	Erute	2017	82.9%	87.2%	77.5%
Uganda	Fort Portal Municipality	2000	86.1%	89.0%	82.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Fort Portal Municipality	2017	96.7%	97.5%	95.7%
Uganda	Gomba	2000	60.6%	71.7%	47.3%
Uganda	Gomba	2017	79.8%	87.2%	69.9%
Uganda	Gulu Municipality	2000	75.6%	78.3%	72.7%
Uganda	Gulu Municipality	2017	93.4%	94.4%	92.1%
Uganda	Hoima Municipality	2000	91.5%	94.4%	87.3%
Uganda	Hoima Municipality	2017	97.8%	98.6%	96.3%
Uganda	Ibanda	2000	77.6%	85.5%	68.5%
Uganda	Ibanda	2017	92.3%	96.2%	86.9%
Uganda	Ibanda Municipality	2000	77.6%	87.9%	66.4%
Uganda	Ibanda Municipality	2017	90.0%	96.8%	81.3%
Uganda	Iganga Municipality	2000	68.8%	72.8%	64.1%
Uganda	Iganga Municipality	2017	91.5%	93.0%	89.6%
Uganda	Igara	2000	80.4%	85.9%	74.5%
Uganda	Igara	2017	92.6%	95.8%	88.4%
Uganda	Iki-Iki	2000	48.5%	55.1%	42.4%
Uganda	Iki-Iki	2017	73.0%	79.0%	65.8%
Uganda	Isingiro	2000	69.9%	77.5%	61.5%
Uganda	Isingiro	2017	87.1%	91.6%	80.9%
Uganda	Jie	2000	24.1%	32.6%	15.7%
Uganda	Jie	2017	42.0%	51.7%	32.4%
Uganda	Jinja Municipality	2000	77.2%	80.1%	73.8%
Uganda	Jinja Municipality	2017	94.1%	95.2%	92.8%
Uganda	Jonam	2000	65.3%	77.1%	54.5%
Uganda	Jonam	2017	83.1%	90.8%	73.5%
Uganda	Kabale Municipality	2000	85.9%	88.4%	82.9%
Uganda	Kabale Municipality	2017	96.8%	97.5%	96.1%
Uganda	Kaberamaido	2000	62.2%	79.5%	40.9%
Uganda	Kaberamaido	2017	81.5%	93.3%	63.9%
Uganda	Kabula	2000	70.3%	79.0%	61.4%
Uganda	Kabula	2017	88.9%	93.1%	82.1%
Uganda	Kagoma	2000	62.4%	66.4%	58.5%
Uganda	Kagoma	2017	84.8%	89.3%	81.5%
Uganda	Kajara	2000	86.0%	94.2%	70.7%
Uganda	Kajara	2017	95.5%	98.6%	87.3%
Uganda	Kakuuto	2000	68.5%	92.2%	37.1%
Uganda	Kakuuto North	2017	86.0%	98.2%	57.2%
Uganda	Kalaki	2000	46.1%	66.2%	29.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Kalaki	2017	67.9%	83.3%	49.3%
Uganda	Kalungu	2000	70.9%	78.7%	61.4%
Uganda	Kalungu	2017	89.6%	93.9%	82.5%
Uganda	Kamuli Municipality	2000	70.6%	84.1%	55.0%
Uganda	Kamuli Municipality	2017	91.2%	96.3%	81.1%
Uganda	Kapchorwa Municipality	2000	56.6%	66.2%	47.1%
Uganda	Kapchorwa Municipality	2017	81.9%	87.7%	74.6%
Uganda	Kapelebyong	2000	47.4%	63.0%	30.6%
Uganda	Kapelebyong	2017	68.3%	81.5%	52.2%
Uganda	Kasambya	2000	63.3%	73.8%	51.4%
Uganda	Kasambya	2017	84.5%	91.1%	74.8%
Uganda	Kasese Municipality	2000	68.8%	79.3%	56.5%
Uganda	Kasese Municipality	2017	87.7%	93.4%	79.5%
Uganda	Kashari	2000	88.4%	94.3%	79.5%
Uganda	Kashari	2017	95.8%	98.5%	90.5%
Uganda	Kasilo	2000	47.5%	62.0%	32.7%
Uganda	Kasilo	2017	72.4%	82.2%	60.1%
Uganda	Kassanda	2000	66.5%	75.0%	57.3%
Uganda	Kassanda	2017	85.2%	91.0%	77.6%
Uganda	Katerera	2000	83.6%	91.3%	75.0%
Uganda	Katerera	2017	93.9%	97.8%	88.4%
Uganda	Katikamu	2000	72.6%	77.9%	66.1%
Uganda	Katikamu	2017	89.4%	93.1%	84.4%
Uganda	Katuuto East	2000	67.4%	84.6%	43.6%
Uganda	Katuuto East	2017	86.2%	94.6%	70.9%
Uganda	Katuuto West	2000	61.3%	77.5%	44.2%
Uganda	Katuuto West	2017	82.5%	92.8%	69.0%
Uganda	Kazo	2000	65.5%	78.4%	50.8%
Uganda	Kazo	2017	84.2%	92.1%	72.9%
Uganda	Kcca	2000	73.5%	74.5%	72.5%
Uganda	Kcca	2017	92.9%	93.4%	92.5%
Uganda	Kibale	2000	66.9%	77.3%	53.8%
Uganda	Kibale	2017	83.4%	89.4%	74.3%
Uganda	Kibanda	2000	60.6%	69.0%	52.3%
Uganda	Kibanda	2017	80.8%	86.8%	74.8%
Uganda	Kiboga	2000	62.9%	74.2%	50.2%
Uganda	Kiboga	2000	61.2%	73.8%	45.3%
Uganda	Kiboga	2017	83.4%	90.2%	72.3%
Uganda	Kiboga	2017	83.6%	91.0%	75.4%
Uganda	Kibuku	2000	48.4%	65.6%	28.9%
Uganda	Kibuku	2017	72.4%	85.3%	55.1%
Uganda	Kigulu	2000	64.6%	67.7%	61.4%
Uganda	Kigulu	2017	83.4%	86.0%	80.7%
Uganda	Kilak	2000	48.9%	57.0%	41.0%
Uganda	Kilak	2017	71.9%	79.0%	64.2%
Uganda	Kinkiizi	2000	73.3%	80.4%	64.8%
Uganda	Kinkiizi	2017	88.3%	92.9%	82.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Kioga	2000	56.1%	72.9%	41.7%
Uganda	Kioga	2017	79.4%	89.7%	67.4%
Uganda	Kira Municipality	2000	80.5%	84.6%	76.0%
Uganda	Kira Municipality	2017	95.3%	96.6%	93.8%
Uganda	Kisoro Municipality	2000	87.5%	89.8%	84.7%
Uganda	Kisoro Municipality	2017	97.0%	97.6%	96.4%
Uganda	Kitagwenda	2000	71.4%	81.1%	61.3%
Uganda	Kitagwenda	2017	88.6%	94.1%	81.1%
Uganda	Kitgum Municipality	2000	88.4%	91.1%	84.7%
Uganda	Kitgum Municipality	2017	96.6%	97.6%	95.5%
Uganda	Koboko	2000	54.2%	66.4%	39.8%
Uganda	Koboko	2017	78.1%	86.6%	67.3%
Uganda	Koboko Municipality	2000	78.5%	89.3%	58.7%
Uganda	Koboko Municipality	2017	94.1%	97.4%	85.4%
Uganda	Kole	2000	55.5%	64.0%	46.0%
Uganda	Kole	2017	81.8%	87.5%	74.2%
Uganda	Kongasis	2000	36.2%	49.6%	22.3%
Uganda	Kongasis	2017	60.6%	73.3%	43.7%
Uganda	Kooki	2000	59.8%	70.9%	47.7%
Uganda	Kooki	2017	82.2%	89.2%	72.3%
Uganda	Kotido Municipality	2000	5.8%	10.8%	2.5%
Uganda	Kotido Municipality	2017	15.5%	22.0%	9.5%
Uganda	Kumi	2000	60.5%	67.5%	51.4%
Uganda	Kumi	2017	80.1%	85.7%	73.0%
Uganda	Kumi Municipality	2000	78.0%	88.1%	68.9%
Uganda	Kumi Municipality	2017	89.9%	96.7%	78.5%
Uganda	Kwania	2000	56.3%	65.1%	47.5%
Uganda	Kwania	2017	77.6%	84.7%	69.7%
Uganda	Kween	2000	41.9%	55.7%	27.0%
Uganda	Kween	2017	68.1%	78.2%	53.4%
Uganda	Kyadondo	2000	81.0%	85.6%	76.4%
Uganda	Kyadondo	2017	95.1%	96.4%	93.8%
Uganda	Kyaka	2000	65.0%	75.0%	52.8%
Uganda	Kyaka	2017	84.3%	91.2%	74.5%
Uganda	Kyamuswa	2000	69.1%	80.8%	53.6%
Uganda	Kyamuswa	2017	86.5%	93.4%	76.2%
Uganda	Kyotera	2000	74.0%	82.4%	64.2%
Uganda	Kyotera	2017	90.1%	94.5%	83.7%
Uganda	Labwor	2000	37.6%	51.0%	24.0%
Uganda	Labwor	2017	62.3%	74.6%	49.2%
Uganda	Lamwo	2000	49.5%	58.7%	40.2%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Lamwo	2017	69.4%	76.9%	60.5%
Uganda	Lira Municipality	2000	80.0%	82.5%	77.5%
Uganda	Lira Municipality	2017	95.3%	95.9%	94.7%
Uganda	Lugazi Municipality	2000	72.4%	79.5%	64.9%
Uganda	Lugazi Municipality	2017	91.2%	94.5%	86.1%
Uganda	Luuka	2000	59.8%	63.4%	55.8%
Uganda	Luuka	2017	82.2%	84.7%	80.1%
Uganda	Lwemiyaga	2000	63.9%	83.0%	40.7%
Uganda	Lwemiyaga	2017	82.6%	92.9%	66.1%
Uganda	Madi Okollo	2000	43.6%	55.2%	32.4%
Uganda	Madi Okollo	2017	66.8%	77.2%	54.8%
Uganda	Makindye Ssabagabo Municipality	2000	75.8%	78.1%	72.8%
Uganda	Makindye Ssabagabo Municipality	2017	93.6%	94.4%	92.7%
Uganda	Manjiya	2000	56.5%	62.5%	48.7%
Uganda	Manjiya	2017	80.2%	84.7%	74.6%
Uganda	Maracha	2000	42.6%	47.2%	38.0%
Uganda	Maracha	2017	70.2%	75.6%	64.7%
Uganda	Maruzi	2000	67.2%	76.4%	56.3%
Uganda	Maruzi	2017	85.6%	91.0%	78.5%
Uganda	Masaka Municipality	2000	68.4%	75.1%	60.1%
Uganda	Masaka Municipality	2017	90.5%	93.3%	86.2%
Uganda	Masindi Municipality	2000	57.4%	64.4%	49.7%
Uganda	Masindi Municipality	2017	85.5%	89.2%	79.6%
Uganda	Matheniko	2000	12.1%	17.5%	8.6%
Uganda	Matheniko	2017	28.3%	36.6%	22.1%
Uganda	Mawogola	2000	60.7%	70.1%	49.0%
Uganda	Mawogola	2017	82.9%	88.1%	74.2%
Uganda	Mawokota	2000	67.8%	76.7%	57.4%
Uganda	Mawokota	2017	86.5%	91.2%	80.1%
Uganda	Mbale Municipality	2000	44.2%	46.9%	41.6%
Uganda	Mbale Municipality	2017	78.7%	80.8%	76.5%
Uganda	Mbarara Municipality	2000	79.8%	85.2%	73.0%
Uganda	Mbarara Municipality	2017	94.1%	96.3%	90.2%
Uganda	Mityana	2000	60.8%	71.3%	47.9%
Uganda	Mityana	2017	83.8%	89.6%	75.5%
Uganda	Mityana Municipality	2000	71.7%	86.5%	55.9%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Mityana Municipality	2017	90.1%	96.4%	77.7%
Uganda	Moroto	2000	58.3%	68.0%	48.5%
Uganda	Moroto	2017	78.3%	85.2%	70.9%
Uganda	Moroto Municipality	2000	31.3%	42.1%	21.8%
Uganda	Moroto Municipality	2017	67.9%	77.2%	57.2%
Uganda	Mubende Municipality	2000	71.6%	78.9%	65.1%
Uganda	Mubende Municipality	2017	87.3%	93.0%	79.0%
Uganda	Mukono	2000	73.5%	79.2%	66.5%
Uganda	Mukono	2017	90.6%	93.8%	86.0%
Uganda	Mukono Municipality	2000	78.4%	81.0%	75.2%
Uganda	Mukono Municipality	2017	94.5%	95.8%	93.0%
Uganda	Mwenge	2000	72.1%	79.6%	62.3%
Uganda	Mwenge	2017	87.6%	92.4%	80.7%
Uganda	Nakaseke	2000	67.8%	79.3%	56.1%
Uganda	Nakaseke	2017	84.9%	91.2%	76.1%
Uganda	Nakifuma	2000	68.1%	74.9%	60.5%
Uganda	Nakifuma	2017	88.0%	91.2%	82.7%
Uganda	Nansana Municipality	2000	79.6%	82.6%	75.2%
Uganda	Nansana Municipality	2017	94.6%	95.9%	92.6%
Uganda	Ndorwa	2000	76.0%	84.6%	66.5%
Uganda	Ndorwa	2017	90.7%	95.9%	84.1%
Uganda	Nebbi Municipality	2000	37.5%	49.2%	28.7%
Uganda	Nebbi Municipality	2017	70.5%	81.2%	59.6%
Uganda	Ngora	2000	47.2%	65.7%	29.9%
Uganda	Ngora	2017	72.8%	85.0%	56.9%
Uganda	Njeru Municipality	2000	63.5%	67.7%	60.0%
Uganda	Njeru Municipality	2017	86.7%	89.6%	83.6%
Uganda	Ntenjeru	2000	73.1%	77.6%	67.6%
Uganda	Ntenjeru	2017	91.7%	93.8%	88.0%
Uganda	Ntoroko	2000	59.4%	70.6%	46.9%
Uganda	Ntoroko	2017	78.8%	87.6%	68.4%
Uganda	Ntungamo Municipality	2000	76.4%	84.3%	67.4%
Uganda	Ntungamo Municipality	2017	93.7%	97.0%	89.6%
Uganda	Nwoya	2000	57.3%	65.7%	48.6%
Uganda	Nwoya	2017	76.8%	83.9%	69.1%
Uganda	Nyabushozi	2000	73.0%	81.5%	64.5%
Uganda	Nyabushozi	2017	88.3%	93.3%	82.2%
Uganda	Obongi	2000	51.9%	68.2%	34.3%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Obongi	2017	70.4%	82.9%	54.1%
Uganda	Okoro	2000	53.2%	61.9%	44.5%
Uganda	Okoro	2017	76.0%	82.7%	68.3%
Uganda	Omoro	2000	55.7%	68.4%	41.9%
Uganda	Omoro	2017	78.1%	86.2%	68.9%
Uganda	Otuke	2000	44.0%	58.2%	30.5%
Uganda	Otuke	2017	66.8%	77.6%	54.9%
Uganda	Oyam	2000	59.1%	65.4%	52.4%
Uganda	Oyam	2017	81.7%	86.1%	76.3%
Uganda	Padyere	2000	55.7%	71.1%	38.6%
Uganda	Padyere	2017	77.4%	87.3%	63.7%
Uganda	Pallisa	2000	53.1%	65.4%	41.3%
Uganda	Pallisa	2017	79.0%	86.9%	67.7%
Uganda	Pian	2000	18.3%	31.3%	9.7%
Uganda	Pian	2017	35.5%	53.2%	20.8%
Uganda	Pokot	2000	15.1%	23.4%	7.7%
Uganda	Pokot	2017	32.1%	44.2%	20.6%
Uganda	Rubabo	2000	86.6%	94.1%	74.4%
Uganda	Rubabo	2017	95.3%	98.5%	86.9%
Uganda	Rubanda	2000	82.5%	90.3%	71.9%
Uganda	Rubanda	2017	94.6%	97.5%	88.2%
Uganda	Ruhaama	2000	77.1%	84.4%	68.2%
Uganda	Ruhaama	2017	91.0%	95.1%	84.8%
Uganda	Ruhinda	2000	83.8%	88.3%	78.5%
Uganda	Ruhinda	2017	94.9%	97.1%	91.2%
Uganda	Rujumbura	2000	56.4%	67.9%	45.8%
Uganda	Rujumbura	2017	79.3%	87.6%	70.2%
Uganda	Rukiga	2000	80.2%	91.3%	65.0%
Uganda	Rukiga	2017	92.5%	97.8%	84.4%
Uganda	Rukungiri Municipality	2000	68.8%	85.0%	53.0%
Uganda	Rukungiri Municipality	2017	85.6%	96.2%	71.4%
Uganda	Rushenyi	2000	80.0%	92.4%	61.3%
Uganda	Rushenyi	2017	92.1%	98.1%	80.8%
Uganda	Rwampara	2000	68.2%	81.4%	53.9%
Uganda	Rwampara	2017	86.6%	94.3%	75.9%
Uganda	Samia-Bugwe	2000	66.5%	75.0%	57.0%
Uganda	Samia-Bugwe	2017	87.2%	92.3%	79.8%
Uganda	Serere	2000	48.4%	63.1%	33.8%
Uganda	Serere	2017	72.9%	85.4%	60.5%
Uganda	Sheema	2000	90.4%	94.6%	83.8%
Uganda	Sheema	2017	97.2%	98.6%	93.7%
Uganda	Sheema Municipality	2000	91.4%	94.6%	87.3%
Uganda	Sheema Municipality	2017	97.0%	98.5%	94.7%
Uganda	Soroti	2000	53.4%	63.5%	45.0%
Uganda	Soroti	2017	75.1%	81.9%	66.9%
Uganda	Soroti Municipality	2000	69.2%	77.6%	58.2%
Uganda	Soroti Municipality	2017	90.6%	93.6%	83.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Terego	2000	40.6%	47.3%	32.3%
Uganda	Terego	2017	68.0%	73.8%	60.7%
Uganda	Tingey	2000	67.4%	76.5%	58.6%
Uganda	Tingey	2017	86.7%	92.0%	80.0%
Uganda	Toroma	2000	54.0%	71.0%	34.5%
Uganda	Toroma	2017	76.6%	87.6%	61.7%
Uganda	Tororo	2000	64.7%	74.2%	53.3%
Uganda	Tororo	2017	85.3%	91.0%	74.6%
Uganda	Tororo Municipality	2000	37.0%	41.7%	32.2%
Uganda	Tororo Municipality	2017	71.9%	76.5%	67.0%
Uganda	Usuk	2000	37.2%	51.0%	26.3%
Uganda	Usuk	2017	59.8%	70.9%	47.5%
Uganda	Vurra	2000	54.2%	69.0%	39.9%
Uganda	Vurra	2017	75.4%	85.0%	62.8%
Uganda	West Budama	2000	55.4%	63.2%	47.1%
Uganda	West Budama	2017	80.7%	85.5%	74.4%
Uganda	West Moyo	2000	55.1%	69.4%	38.1%
Uganda	West Moyo	2017	77.6%	87.6%	63.1%
Zambia	Chadiza	2000	21.6%	29.1%	16.1%
Zambia	Chadiza	2017	33.1%	41.2%	26.1%
Zambia	Chama	2000	21.0%	25.4%	17.4%
Zambia	Chama	2017	34.2%	39.7%	29.2%
Zambia	Chavuma	2000	17.4%	22.3%	13.6%
Zambia	Chavuma	2017	25.6%	31.8%	20.4%
Zambia	Chibombo	2000	33.2%	36.9%	29.7%
Zambia	Chibombo	2017	50.6%	54.3%	46.8%
Zambia	Chiengi	2000	43.4%	50.6%	36.9%
Zambia	Chiengi	2017	61.2%	67.2%	54.8%
Zambia	Chililabombwe	2000	46.1%	60.4%	33.5%
Zambia	Chililabombwe	2017	55.8%	66.2%	45.7%
Zambia	Chilubi	2000	17.0%	23.0%	12.1%
Zambia	Chilubi	2017	26.4%	34.6%	20.1%
Zambia	Chingola	2000	30.7%	42.1%	21.4%
Zambia	Chingola	2017	39.0%	51.2%	29.8%
Zambia	Chinsali	2000	24.6%	28.4%	21.0%
Zambia	Chinsali	2017	38.9%	43.1%	34.8%
Zambia	Chipata	2000	26.5%	31.4%	21.7%
Zambia	Chipata	2017	41.0%	46.2%	35.9%
Zambia	Choma	2000	23.7%	29.7%	18.2%
Zambia	Choma	2017	37.8%	43.8%	32.0%
Zambia	Chongwe	2000	28.3%	33.3%	24.0%
Zambia	Chongwe	2017	43.0%	49.7%	36.7%
Zambia	Gwembe	2000	19.3%	27.6%	12.5%
Zambia	Gwembe	2017	30.2%	41.4%	20.8%
Zambia	Isoka	2000	24.6%	29.0%	20.4%
Zambia	Isoka	2017	38.8%	43.9%	34.0%
Zambia	Itezhi-Tezhi	2000	17.1%	23.5%	12.2%
Zambia	Itezhi-Tezhi	2017	27.6%	35.7%	21.4%
Zambia	Kabompo	2000	19.8%	24.0%	16.2%
Zambia	Kabompo	2017	29.5%	34.1%	25.1%
Zambia	Kabwe	2000	28.8%	33.5%	24.4%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Kabwe	2017	41.5%	46.3%	36.3%
Zambia	Kafue	2000	35.3%	40.5%	30.4%
Zambia	Kafue	2017	52.0%	56.3%	47.7%
Zambia	Kalabo	2000	15.8%	19.7%	12.5%
Zambia	Kalabo	2017	26.1%	31.1%	21.6%
Zambia	Kalomo	2000	23.1%	27.9%	18.4%
Zambia	Kalomo	2017	35.0%	40.1%	29.2%
Zambia	Kalulushi	2000	29.8%	39.4%	22.6%
Zambia	Kalulushi	2017	40.8%	50.3%	32.3%
Zambia	Kaoma	2000	20.3%	23.6%	17.2%
Zambia	Kaoma	2017	32.8%	36.6%	29.2%
Zambia	Kapiri Mposhi	2000	28.4%	32.6%	24.4%
Zambia	Kapiri Mposhi	2017	42.5%	47.1%	37.6%
Zambia	Kaputa	2000	28.3%	33.6%	23.2%
Zambia	Kaputa	2017	42.7%	48.9%	36.5%
Zambia	Kasama	2000	22.8%	27.7%	18.7%
Zambia	Kasama	2017	38.1%	44.0%	32.4%
Zambia	Kasempa	2000	23.3%	27.2%	19.9%
Zambia	Kasempa	2017	36.2%	40.4%	32.0%
Zambia	Katete	2000	24.6%	30.2%	19.7%
Zambia	Katete	2017	39.1%	45.1%	33.4%
Zambia	Kawambwa	2000	39.7%	45.3%	35.1%
Zambia	Kawambwa	2017	55.5%	60.8%	50.6%
Zambia	Kazungula	2000	20.5%	25.3%	16.6%
Zambia	Kazungula	2017	31.3%	37.3%	26.1%
Zambia	Kitwe	2000	24.0%	26.8%	21.0%
Zambia	Kitwe	2017	34.0%	36.8%	31.1%
Zambia	Livingstone	2000	57.4%	63.2%	51.3%
Zambia	Livingstone	2017	69.4%	74.1%	65.0%
Zambia	Luangwa	2000	22.2%	29.9%	15.1%
Zambia	Luangwa	2017	35.0%	44.6%	25.9%
Zambia	Luanshya	2000	13.9%	20.4%	9.5%
Zambia	Luanshya	2017	21.7%	28.7%	17.4%
Zambia	Lufwanyama	2000	17.5%	24.4%	12.7%
Zambia	Lufwanyama	2017	28.2%	36.2%	21.3%
Zambia	Lukulu	2000	18.8%	22.8%	15.1%
Zambia	Lukulu	2017	29.8%	35.5%	25.6%
Zambia	Lundazi	2000	21.9%	26.4%	18.3%
Zambia	Lundazi	2017	34.3%	39.9%	30.0%
Zambia	Lusaka	2000	30.1%	31.6%	28.8%
Zambia	Lusaka	2017	47.7%	49.0%	46.4%
Zambia	Luwingu	2000	19.0%	24.0%	15.2%
Zambia	Luwingu	2017	30.2%	36.2%	24.7%
Zambia	Mambwe	2000	21.8%	29.3%	15.3%
Zambia	Mambwe	2017	34.8%	44.2%	26.3%
Zambia	Mansa	2000	21.8%	27.3%	17.2%
Zambia	Mansa	2017	30.7%	35.5%	25.8%
Zambia	Masaiti	2000	20.3%	27.0%	14.6%
Zambia	Masaiti	2017	32.9%	40.1%	25.7%
Zambia	Mazabuka	2000	27.3%	32.5%	22.5%
Zambia	Mazabuka	2017	39.3%	45.6%	33.4%
Zambia	Mbala	2000	24.1%	30.0%	19.0%
Zambia	Mbala	2017	37.0%	43.2%	30.7%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Milenge	2000	17.9%	23.6%	13.5%
Zambia	Milenge	2017	27.1%	36.4%	19.4%
Zambia	Mkushi	2000	28.2%	33.3%	23.2%
Zambia	Mkushi	2017	43.4%	49.6%	37.5%
Zambia	Mongu	2000	16.6%	20.3%	12.9%
Zambia	Mongu	2017	25.9%	29.9%	21.8%
Zambia	Monze	2000	31.7%	36.8%	27.6%
Zambia	Monze	2017	47.1%	52.4%	41.7%
Zambia	Mpika	2000	20.7%	23.2%	18.3%
Zambia	Mpika	2017	32.5%	35.6%	29.5%
Zambia	MPongwe	2000	21.7%	28.1%	16.2%
Zambia	MPongwe	2017	33.9%	42.2%	26.9%
Zambia	Mporokoso	2000	26.5%	31.4%	21.9%
Zambia	Mporokoso	2017	38.9%	44.9%	33.2%
Zambia	Mpulungu	2000	23.2%	28.4%	18.9%
Zambia	Mpulungu	2017	36.2%	41.6%	30.9%
Zambia	Mufulira	2000	19.5%	23.9%	14.4%
Zambia	Mufulira	2017	28.5%	33.8%	22.3%
Zambia	Mufumbwe	2000	23.3%	27.1%	19.4%
Zambia	Mufumbwe	2017	35.8%	40.5%	31.0%
Zambia	Mumbwa	2000	22.5%	27.8%	17.6%
Zambia	Mumbwa	2017	35.1%	41.7%	28.7%
Zambia	Mungwi	2000	19.3%	24.1%	14.5%
Zambia	Mungwi	2017	30.1%	36.7%	23.9%
Zambia	Mwense	2000	22.4%	28.6%	17.6%
Zambia	Mwense	2017	35.1%	42.5%	28.9%
Zambia	Mwinilunga	2000	22.3%	26.8%	18.9%
Zambia	Mwinilunga	2017	34.0%	38.9%	29.8%
Zambia	Nakonde	2000	35.0%	39.6%	30.4%
Zambia	Nakonde	2017	51.9%	56.7%	47.4%
Zambia	Namwala	2000	19.2%	23.0%	15.7%
Zambia	Namwala	2017	31.0%	36.2%	26.4%
Zambia	Nchelenge	2000	36.7%	44.4%	29.6%
Zambia	Nchelenge	2017	54.3%	62.8%	46.4%
Zambia	Ndola	2000	31.5%	35.0%	27.9%
Zambia	Ndola	2017	39.4%	43.1%	35.4%
Zambia	Nyimba	2000	21.5%	25.8%	17.7%
Zambia	Nyimba	2017	35.6%	42.2%	30.1%
Zambia	Petauke	2000	26.8%	33.1%	21.2%
Zambia	Petauke	2017	41.6%	48.2%	35.3%
Zambia	Samfya	2000	17.4%	21.9%	13.6%
Zambia	Samfya	2017	27.5%	32.8%	22.4%
Zambia	Senanga	2000	12.0%	14.9%	8.9%
Zambia	Senanga	2017	18.6%	22.9%	14.2%
Zambia	Serenje	2000	31.8%	35.6%	27.5%
Zambia	Serenje	2017	46.1%	51.1%	40.9%
Zambia	Sesheke	2000	16.2%	19.3%	13.6%
Zambia	Sesheke	2017	25.0%	29.1%	21.6%
Zambia	Shangombo	2000	16.3%	20.6%	12.6%
Zambia	Shangombo	2017	26.4%	33.0%	20.6%
Zambia	Siavonga	2000	21.4%	26.8%	16.2%
Zambia	Siavonga	2017	35.2%	41.3%	27.9%
Zambia	Sinazongwe	2000	22.4%	30.3%	16.0%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Sinazongwe	2017	33.5%	42.4%	26.3%
Zambia	Solwezi	2000	23.7%	28.5%	19.9%
Zambia	Solwezi	2017	36.4%	42.0%	31.5%
Zambia	Zambezi	2000	19.7%	23.9%	16.6%
Zambia	Zambezi	2017	30.9%	36.3%	26.3%
Zimbabwe	Beitbridge	2000	33.9%	38.3%	29.3%
Zimbabwe	Beitbridge	2017	44.5%	48.9%	39.8%
Zimbabwe	Bikita	2000	37.2%	43.2%	30.9%
Zimbabwe	Bikita	2017	47.0%	53.7%	40.8%
Zimbabwe	Bindura	2000	52.8%	58.3%	47.0%
Zimbabwe	Bindura	2017	68.6%	74.1%	62.8%
Zimbabwe	Binga	2000	14.3%	18.2%	10.9%
Zimbabwe	Binga	2017	20.0%	24.6%	15.8%
Zimbabwe	Bubi	2000	35.2%	42.4%	28.9%
Zimbabwe	Bubi	2017	46.9%	54.1%	39.8%
Zimbabwe	Buhera	2000	39.3%	46.1%	33.1%
Zimbabwe	Buhera	2017	49.9%	57.1%	44.0%
Zimbabwe	Bulawayo	2000	92.4%	94.5%	89.7%
Zimbabwe	Bulawayo	2017	99.0%	99.3%	98.6%
Zimbabwe	Bulilima	2000	31.9%	38.3%	26.2%
Zimbabwe	(North)				
Zimbabwe	Bulilima	2017	40.8%	48.0%	34.2%
Zimbabwe	(North)				
Zimbabwe	Centenary	2000	31.6%	40.2%	23.8%
Zimbabwe	Centenary	2017	42.1%	51.6%	33.9%
Zimbabwe	Chegutu	2000	58.7%	65.1%	52.3%
Zimbabwe	Chegutu	2017	72.6%	78.3%	66.7%
Zimbabwe	Chikomba	2000	46.7%	54.4%	38.3%
Zimbabwe	Chikomba	2017	59.1%	66.6%	50.3%
Zimbabwe	Chimanimani	2000	54.3%	62.9%	46.3%
Zimbabwe	Chimanimani	2017	63.8%	71.8%	55.8%
Zimbabwe	Chipinge	2000	41.7%	47.4%	35.8%
Zimbabwe	Chipinge	2017	55.0%	60.1%	49.4%
Zimbabwe	Chiredzi	2000	35.5%	39.1%	31.9%
Zimbabwe	Chiredzi	2017	45.4%	49.0%	41.6%
Zimbabwe	Chirumhanzu	2000	44.5%	54.5%	34.2%
Zimbabwe	Chirumhanzu	2017	57.1%	66.6%	46.5%
Zimbabwe	Chivi	2000	39.1%	46.5%	32.3%
Zimbabwe	Chivi	2017	49.3%	56.4%	42.1%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe North	2000	21.7%	27.3%	16.6%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe North	2017	29.5%	35.5%	23.5%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe South	2000	29.1%	33.6%	24.8%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe South	2017	38.0%	43.3%	33.2%
Zimbabwe	Goromonzi	2000	62.2%	68.8%	56.1%
Zimbabwe	Goromonzi	2017	77.6%	82.9%	71.7%
Zimbabwe	Guruve	2000	35.2%	41.1%	28.7%
Zimbabwe	Guruve	2017	44.7%	50.6%	38.5%
Zimbabwe	Gutu	2000	40.0%	45.7%	34.0%
Zimbabwe	Gutu	2017	51.0%	57.1%	44.6%
Zimbabwe	Gwanda	2000	44.4%	51.9%	37.3%
Zimbabwe	Gwanda	2017	58.0%	63.6%	52.2%
Zimbabwe	Gweru	2000	73.5%	77.8%	68.3%
Zimbabwe	Gweru	2017	83.2%	85.9%	79.5%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zimbabwe	Harare	2000	89.3%	90.9%	87.3%
Zimbabwe	Harare	2017	97.1%	97.7%	96.4%
Zimbabwe	Hurungwe	2000	32.0%	35.8%	28.4%
Zimbabwe	Hurungwe	2017	43.5%	47.2%	39.9%
Zimbabwe	Hwange	2000	49.3%	53.8%	44.0%
Zimbabwe	Hwange	2017	59.5%	62.9%	56.1%
Zimbabwe	Insiza	2000	36.7%	43.3%	30.5%
Zimbabwe	Insiza	2017	48.0%	55.1%	40.5%
Zimbabwe	Kadoma	2000	40.1%	47.0%	34.2%
Zimbabwe	Kadoma	2017	55.3%	63.0%	48.7%
Zimbabwe	Kariba	2000	30.7%	42.4%	21.0%
Zimbabwe	Kariba	2017	46.8%	53.6%	37.8%
Zimbabwe	Kwekwe	2000	54.3%	59.8%	47.8%
Zimbabwe	Kwekwe	2017	68.5%	72.5%	64.0%
Zimbabwe	Lupane	2000	20.5%	25.2%	15.8%
Zimbabwe	Lupane	2017	29.3%	34.7%	23.4%
Zimbabwe	Makonde	2000	48.2%	53.7%	42.8%
Zimbabwe	Makonde	2017	60.6%	65.2%	55.5%
Zimbabwe	Makoni	2000	52.5%	58.9%	44.9%
Zimbabwe	Makoni	2017	64.6%	69.9%	57.9%
Zimbabwe	Mangwe	2000	37.8%	44.3%	31.5%
Zimbabwe	(South)				
Zimbabwe	Mangwe (South)	2017	50.1%	57.3%	44.1%
Zimbabwe	Marondera	2000	71.0%	75.8%	65.4%
Zimbabwe	Marondera	2017	83.6%	87.6%	78.1%
Zimbabwe	Masvingo	2000	55.3%	60.5%	49.5%
Zimbabwe	Masvingo	2017	66.5%	70.6%	61.8%
Zimbabwe	Matobo	2000	54.5%	60.6%	47.2%
Zimbabwe	Matobo	2017	64.4%	69.5%	59.7%
Zimbabwe	Mazowe	2000	61.4%	66.8%	56.5%
Zimbabwe	Mazowe	2017	73.3%	78.5%	68.7%
Zimbabwe	Mberengwa	2000	35.1%	42.1%	28.5%
Zimbabwe	Mberengwa	2017	46.3%	53.6%	39.1%
Zimbabwe	Mount Darwin	2000	37.8%	45.5%	30.9%
Zimbabwe	Mount Darwin	2017	46.5%	53.6%	39.9%
Zimbabwe	Mudzi	2000	36.8%	43.4%	30.5%
Zimbabwe	Mudzi	2017	45.9%	52.7%	39.2%
Zimbabwe	Murehwa	2000	51.0%	58.5%	42.2%
Zimbabwe	Murehwa	2017	61.9%	69.1%	54.0%
Zimbabwe	Mutare	2000	59.1%	63.5%	55.0%
Zimbabwe	Mutare	2017	74.8%	78.8%	70.9%
Zimbabwe	Mutasa	2000	57.0%	66.1%	48.4%
Zimbabwe	Mutasa	2017	67.5%	74.5%	61.1%
Zimbabwe	Mutoko	2000	50.9%	58.1%	43.0%
Zimbabwe	Mutoko	2017	60.3%	66.9%	52.8%
Zimbabwe	Mwenezi	2000	30.6%	36.3%	26.4%
Zimbabwe	Mwenezi	2017	41.1%	46.5%	36.2%
Zimbabwe	Nkayi	2000	24.0%	29.7%	18.9%
Zimbabwe	Nkayi	2017	33.4%	40.0%	27.3%
Zimbabwe	Nyanga	2000	58.9%	64.6%	52.8%

Improved Sanitation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Ad- ministrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zimbabwe	Nyanga	2017	66.8%	71.8%	60.2%
Zimbabwe	Rushinga	2000	32.0%	40.0%	25.1%
Zimbabwe	Rushinga	2017	42.0%	51.1%	33.3%
Zimbabwe	Seke	2000	59.4%	69.0%	51.9%
Zimbabwe	Seke	2017	72.9%	79.7%	67.3%
Zimbabwe	Shamva	2000	34.8%	42.1%	27.1%
Zimbabwe	Shamva	2017	51.3%	59.7%	42.8%
Zimbabwe	Shurugwi	2000	53.5%	61.5%	44.7%
Zimbabwe	Shurugwi	2017	66.7%	73.9%	58.1%
Zimbabwe	Tsholotsho	2000	24.4%	30.2%	19.5%
Zimbabwe	Tsholotsho	2017	33.4%	40.3%	27.3%
Zimbabwe	Umguzo	2000	65.1%	71.0%	57.8%
Zimbabwe	Umguzo	2017	74.9%	79.6%	69.8%
Zimbabwe	UMP	2000	38.6%	47.3%	30.8%
Zimbabwe	UMP	2017	48.7%	57.1%	40.4%
Zimbabwe	Umzingwane	2000	42.5%	50.8%	34.1%
Zimbabwe	Umzingwane	2017	54.5%	63.7%	45.1%
Zimbabwe	Wedza	2000	50.1%	59.8%	40.8%
Zimbabwe	Wedza	2017	60.7%	69.4%	51.5%
Zimbabwe	Zaka	2000	30.2%	37.4%	22.2%
Zimbabwe	Zaka	2017	39.5%	48.1%	30.3%
Zimbabwe	Zvimba	2000	62.3%	67.0%	57.3%
Zimbabwe	Zvimba	2017	73.7%	78.1%	69.6%
Zimbabwe	Zvishavane	2000	51.4%	62.4%	40.6%
Zimbabwe	Zvishavane	2017	67.2%	75.2%	56.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Country

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central Europe, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia				
Kyrgyzstan	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.4%
Kyrgyzstan	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Mongolia	2000	24.0%	24.8%	23.2%
Mongolia	2017	13.9%	14.9%	13.1%
Tajikistan	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Tajikistan	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Turkmenistan	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Turkmenistan	2017	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Uzbekistan	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Latin America and Caribbean				
Bolivia	2000	34.8%	35.2%	34.4%
Bolivia	2017	19.9%	20.2%	19.6%
Brazil	2000	10.2%	10.4%	10.1%
Brazil	2017	8.4%	8.6%	8.1%
Colombia	2000	9.5%	9.9%	9.0%
Colombia	2017	16.7%	17.3%	16.2%
Costa Rica	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Costa Rica	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	2000	8.5%	14.8%	5.0%
Dominican Republic	2017	2.9%	6.0%	1.5%
Ecuador	2000	21.2%	22.0%	20.3%
Ecuador	2017	21.0%	21.7%	20.1%
El Salvador	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.4%
El Salvador	2017	4.3%	5.3%	3.4%
Guatemala	2000	15.2%	16.7%	13.9%
Guatemala	2017	7.3%	8.1%	6.5%
Guyana	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Guyana	2017	4.0%	4.7%	3.5%
Haiti	2000	39.2%	40.2%	38.1%
Haiti	2017	30.7%	31.5%	29.9%
Honduras	2000	17.4%	19.0%	15.8%
Honduras	2017	14.9%	15.8%	14.1%
Mexico	2000	9.9%	10.3%	9.5%
Mexico	2017	10.1%	10.5%	9.6%
Nicaragua	2000	21.1%	22.7%	19.5%
Nicaragua	2017	9.9%	11.2%	8.7%
Panama	2000	14.4%	16.4%	12.6%
Panama	2017	15.0%	17.0%	13.1%
Paraguay	2000	1.5%	1.8%	1.3%
Paraguay	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.7%
Peru	2000	25.2%	25.8%	24.7%
Peru	2017	9.4%	9.7%	9.1%
North Africa and Middle East				
Afghanistan	2000	2.7%	3.7%	1.8%
Afghanistan	2017	14.1%	16.1%	12.2%
Algeria	2000	2.1%	2.1%	1.9%
Algeria	2017	2.1%	2.2%	2.0%
Egypt	2000	1.9%	2.1%	1.8%
Egypt	2017	2.3%	2.4%	2.2%
Iraq	2000	9.3%	10.6%	8.2%
Iraq	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Jordan	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Jordan	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Libya	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Country (continued)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Morocco	2000	28.7%	31.2%	26.2%
Morocco	2017	28.5%	30.9%	26.1%
Sudan	2000	45.8%	47.1%	44.6%
Sudan	2017	52.8%	54.2%	51.4%
Syria	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Syria	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Tunisia	2000	7.4%	9.8%	5.8%
Tunisia	2017	7.5%	10.3%	5.7%
Yemen	2000	16.8%	18.8%	15.1%
Yemen	2017	30.1%	32.7%	28.0%
South Asia				
Bangladesh	2000	18.0%	18.9%	17.0%
Bangladesh	2017	8.4%	9.1%	7.7%
Bhutan	2000	0.9%	1.6%	0.4%
Bhutan	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.3%
India	2000	72.6%	72.8%	72.4%
India	2017	18.3%	18.5%	18.1%
Nepal	2000	69.3%	71.4%	67.2%
Nepal	2017	15.8%	16.9%	14.5%
Pakistan	2000	20.5%	21.4%	19.6%
Pakistan	2017	20.5%	21.3%	19.6%
Southeast Asia, East Asia, and Oceania				
Cambodia	2000	79.3%	79.8%	78.9%
Cambodia	2017	25.8%	26.6%	25.1%
China	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	2000	15.0%	15.4%	14.7%
Indonesia	2017	14.1%	14.4%	13.7%
Laos	2000	68.1%	69.9%	65.6%
Laos	2017	25.3%	27.8%	22.9%
Myanmar	2000	14.2%	14.9%	13.5%
Myanmar	2017	17.5%	18.4%	16.7%
Papua New Guinea	2000	16.0%	17.9%	14.4%
Papua New Guinea	2017	16.1%	18.0%	14.4%
Philippines	2000	17.0%	18.3%	15.9%
Philippines	2017	7.8%	8.5%	7.1%
Sri Lanka	2000	7.2%	9.1%	5.5%
Sri Lanka	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Thailand	2000	0.9%	1.0%	0.8%
Thailand	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Timor-Leste	2000	54.7%	55.7%	53.8%
Timor-Leste	2017	29.0%	29.8%	28.1%
Vietnam	2000	20.4%	22.1%	19.1%
Vietnam	2017	4.9%	5.5%	4.4%
Sub-Saharan Africa				
Angola	2000	39.2%	40.0%	38.6%
Angola	2017	26.4%	27.1%	25.6%
Benin	2000	68.3%	69.1%	67.5%
Benin	2017	61.0%	61.8%	60.3%
Botswana	2000	21.2%	22.9%	19.5%
Botswana	2017	26.5%	28.3%	24.7%
Burkina Faso	2000	71.1%	72.3%	70.0%
Burkina Faso	2017	55.2%	56.4%	53.9%
Burundi	2000	2.6%	2.9%	2.3%
Burundi	2017	4.9%	5.4%	4.4%
Cameroon	2000	4.8%	5.4%	4.2%
Cameroon	2017	2.1%	2.5%	1.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Country (continued)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	2000	23.0%	24.5%	21.6%
Central African Republic	2017	29.2%	30.9%	27.3%
Chad	2000	63.8%	65.1%	62.5%
Chad	2017	74.4%	75.4%	73.3%
Comoros	2000	7.7%	9.2%	6.9%
Comoros	2017	7.6%	8.8%	6.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	2000	37.6%	40.1%	35.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	2017	35.0%	37.0%	32.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	2000	9.4%	10.0%	8.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	2017	19.0%	20.1%	17.9%
Eritrea	2000	73.1%	75.6%	70.0%
Eritrea	2017	73.2%	75.6%	70.1%
Ethiopia	2000	74.4%	75.1%	73.8%
Ethiopia	2017	27.0%	27.6%	26.3%
Gabon	2000	1.6%	2.7%	0.9%
Gabon	2017	19.1%	22.1%	16.1%
Ghana	2000	32.5%	34.1%	30.9%
Ghana	2017	33.8%	35.2%	32.7%
Guinea	2000	30.7%	32.7%	28.4%
Guinea	2017	15.4%	17.1%	13.8%
Guinea-Bissau	2000	33.0%	36.9%	29.4%
Guinea-Bissau	2017	23.0%	26.7%	20.1%
Kenya	2000	22.5%	23.1%	21.9%
Kenya	2017	12.2%	13.0%	11.4%
Lesotho	2000	43.2%	44.4%	42.0%
Lesotho	2017	37.1%	38.4%	35.6%
Liberia	2000	61.5%	63.3%	59.5%
Liberia	2017	50.2%	52.2%	48.2%
Madagascar	2000	59.7%	62.2%	57.5%
Madagascar	2017	47.4%	49.5%	45.5%
Malawi	2000	16.4%	16.8%	16.1%
Malawi	2017	9.5%	9.8%	9.2%
Mali	2000	23.1%	24.1%	22.1%
Mali	2017	12.1%	12.8%	11.4%
Mauritania	2000	42.7%	44.5%	41.0%
Mauritania	2017	36.5%	38.1%	34.8%
Mozambique	2000	56.7%	57.8%	55.6%
Mozambique	2017	33.6%	34.9%	32.1%
Namibia	2000	57.0%	58.1%	55.7%
Namibia	2017	45.4%	46.5%	44.2%
Niger	2000	74.1%	75.2%	73.0%
Niger	2017	55.7%	56.9%	54.6%
Nigeria	2000	3.0%	3.2%	2.8%
Nigeria	2017	32.7%	33.4%	32.0%
Republic of the Congo	2000	4.0%	4.8%	3.4%
Republic of the Congo	2017	17.5%	19.2%	16.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Country (*continued*)

Country	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Rwanda	2000	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%
Rwanda	2017	1.9%	2.0%	1.7%
Senegal	2000	26.6%	27.7%	25.5%
Senegal	2017	16.6%	17.5%	15.7%
Sierra Leone	2000	18.3%	19.6%	17.0%
Sierra Leone	2017	23.3%	24.4%	22.2%
Somalia	2000	55.7%	61.3%	50.2%
Somalia	2017	55.6%	65.6%	42.1%
South Africa	2000	15.7%	16.2%	15.2%
South Africa	2017	1.9%	2.1%	1.8%
South Sudan	2000	52.9%	55.0%	50.9%
South Sudan	2017	71.4%	72.4%	70.4%
Swaziland	2000	26.0%	33.1%	19.5%
Swaziland	2017	9.8%	13.1%	6.8%
Tanzania	2000	4.5%	4.8%	4.2%
Tanzania	2017	11.3%	11.8%	10.8%
Togo	2000	66.9%	69.8%	63.7%
Togo	2017	56.6%	59.3%	53.3%
Uganda	2000	14.2%	14.9%	13.3%
Uganda	2017	9.5%	10.4%	8.6%
Zambia	2000	14.2%	15.2%	13.2%
Zambia	2017	22.3%	23.6%	21.1%
Zimbabwe	2000	41.9%	43.1%	40.6%
Zimbabwe	2017	27.3%	28.4%	26.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central Europe, Eastern Europe, and Central Asia					
Kyrgyzstan	Alai	2000	1.2%	3.5%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Nookat	2000	1.1%	3.9%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Chong-Alay	2000	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Djety-Oguz	2000	2.3%	5.6%	0.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Jungal	2000	1.7%	5.3%	0.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Suzak	2000	2.2%	6.4%	0.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Bazar-Korgon	2000	1.4%	5.1%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Ton	2000	1.9%	5.8%	0.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Moskovsky	2000	2.9%	9.0%	0.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Song-Kol	2000	0.6%	5.5%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kadamjai	2000	0.7%	2.2%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Togus-Toro	2000	2.8%	7.9%	0.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Aksyi	2000	1.6%	5.0%	0.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Talaa	2000	4.0%	9.5%	1.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Kuldja	2000	3.3%	9.8%	0.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Panfilov	2000	3.9%	14.6%	0.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Naryn	2000	2.1%	6.0%	0.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Lailak	2000	1.2%	3.9%	0.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl	2000	2.7%	6.1%	0.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Suu	2000	1.9%	5.0%	0.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Ala-Buka	2000	0.6%	3.7%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Suu	2000	3.7%	7.0%	1.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Kemin	2000	2.9%	7.8%	0.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Ata	2000	1.6%	4.7%	0.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Talas	2000	1.2%	4.0%	0.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Chui	2000	1.5%	5.0%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Tüp	2000	2.2%	10.0%	0.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Toktogul	2000	3.8%	9.2%	0.8%
Kyrgyzstan	Aravan	2000	1.9%	8.6%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Osh	2000	1.8%	3.0%	0.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Buura	2000	1.5%	6.7%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	At-Bashi	2000	1.7%	3.8%	0.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Sokoluk	2000	2.8%	6.8%	0.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Manas	2000	2.2%	10.1%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kochkor	2000	1.8%	4.8%	0.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Chatkal	2000	0.9%	3.5%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Nooken	2000	3.9%	9.7%	0.3%
Kyrgyzstan	Alamüdün	2000	1.6%	3.8%	0.6%
Kyrgyzstan	Uzgen	2000	2.2%	8.2%	0.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Biškeek	2000	1.8%	3.0%	0.9%
Kyrgyzstan	Jaiyl	2000	4.3%	15.9%	0.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Batken	2000	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Bakai-Ata	2000	1.3%	3.8%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl (lake)	2000	3.3%	11.8%	0.5%
Kyrgyzstan	Ala-Buka	2017	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kemin	2017	1.1%	4.2%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Talaa	2017	1.6%	4.4%	0.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl	2017	0.9%	2.9%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Uzgen	2017	3.2%	5.9%	0.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Alai	2017	0.4%	1.6%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Osh	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Bazar-Korgon	2017	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Aravan	2017	0.3%	2.4%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kadamjai	2017	1.2%	3.3%	0.4%
Kyrgyzstan	Sokoluk	2017	0.9%	2.8%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Naryn	2017	0.7%	2.3%	0.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Jungal	2017	0.8%	2.8%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Aksyi	2017	0.5%	1.9%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Kuldja	2017	0.9%	4.4%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Nooken	2017	8.2%	10.0%	4.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kyrgyzstan	Song-Kol	2017	0.6%	4.4%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Buura	2017	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Tüp	2017	1.1%	4.8%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Alamüdüñ	2017	0.3%	1.6%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Biškeek	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Moskovsky	2017	0.7%	3.5%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Toktogul	2017	1.2%	4.3%	0.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Djety-Oguz	2017	0.6%	1.9%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Lailak	2017	0.5%	1.5%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Ata	2017	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Ak-Suu	2017	0.6%	2.4%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Kara-Suu	2017	0.8%	2.1%	0.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Manas	2017	0.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Nookat	2017	0.4%	1.7%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Togus-Toro	2017	1.0%	3.5%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Batken	2017	0.6%	1.6%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Jaiyl	2017	1.0%	4.3%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Ysyk-Köl (lake)	2017	1.4%	6.8%	0.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Chui	2017	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Suzak	2017	0.4%	1.6%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Bakai-Ata	2017	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Kochkor	2017	0.7%	2.2%	0.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Talas	2017	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	At-Bashi	2017	2.0%	3.8%	0.7%
Kyrgyzstan	Chatkal	2017	0.3%	1.6%	0.0%
Kyrgyzstan	Ton	2017	0.9%	3.9%	0.2%
Kyrgyzstan	Panfilov	2017	1.3%	5.6%	0.1%
Kyrgyzstan	Chong-Alay	2017	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2000	35.6%	49.1%	25.4%
Mongolia	Dalanzadgad	2000	21.1%	25.0%	17.2%
Mongolia	Binder	2000	38.3%	44.0%	31.1%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2000	38.5%	58.3%	19.6%
Mongolia	Govi-Ugtaal	2000	49.6%	61.3%	37.7%
Mongolia	Tünel	2000	2.5%	6.1%	0.8%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2000	33.8%	45.7%	24.6%
Mongolia	Bogd	2000	63.9%	70.0%	57.9%
Mongolia	Dörgön	2000	53.2%	62.1%	44.4%
Mongolia	Uulbayan	2000	53.2%	59.7%	45.6%
Mongolia	Batshireet	2000	24.4%	34.0%	16.5%
Mongolia	Ulaankhus	2000	34.5%	45.6%	25.3%
Mongolia	Arkhusht	2000	37.5%	55.7%	20.0%
Mongolia	Büregkhangai	2000	30.3%	41.0%	19.8%
Mongolia	Zag	2000	62.1%	71.7%	53.1%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2000	53.7%	64.1%	41.6%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	8.4%	17.9%	2.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaanhairhan	2000	53.0%	63.6%	41.7%
Mongolia	Khashaat	2000	30.8%	39.4%	22.7%
Mongolia	Delüün	2000	24.3%	32.5%	17.6%
Mongolia	Deren	2000	44.1%	52.2%	35.7%
Mongolia	Bayan-Agt	2000	13.8%	21.4%	8.5%
Mongolia	Sagil	2000	53.2%	61.8%	43.7%
Mongolia	Möngönmorit	2000	37.9%	48.2%	28.1%
Mongolia	Tsogttsetsii	2000	39.6%	46.7%	31.9%
Mongolia	Baruun	2000	63.7%	72.1%	56.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ulaan				
Mongolia	Sevrei	2000	50.6%	59.3%	40.2%
Mongolia	Khairkhandulaan	2000	54.0%	63.4%	44.1%
Mongolia	Zavkhan	2000	56.5%	63.8%	48.3%
Mongolia	Saikhan	2000	20.6%	33.6%	10.6%
Mongolia	Bayankhangai	2000	31.6%	51.6%	13.4%
Mongolia	Biger	2000	56.8%	65.7%	47.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Yeröö	2000	16.2%	26.0%	9.7%
Mongolia	Sharyngol	2000	10.0%	16.7%	5.2%
Mongolia	Khölönbuir	2000	42.0%	51.0%	31.9%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2000	28.6%	34.1%	23.0%
Mongolia	Khatgal	2000	2.9%	9.2%	0.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	53.0%	61.5%	45.2%
Mongolia	Khalkhgol	2000	30.3%	44.6%	20.4%
Mongolia	Tariat	2000	31.0%	40.2%	24.0%
Mongolia	Sümer	2000	37.0%	52.1%	24.3%
Mongolia	Ölgii (city)	2000	19.8%	23.8%	16.6%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	62.3%	68.9%	52.3%
Mongolia	Öndör-Ulaan	2000	24.7%	32.3%	17.9%
Mongolia	Bat-Ölzii	2000	55.7%	64.7%	47.6%
Mongolia	Bayanchandmani	2000	26.3%	39.5%	15.8%
Mongolia	Delgerkhangai	2000	54.9%	61.6%	48.0%
Mongolia	Altanshiree	2000	29.2%	35.5%	22.3%
Mongolia	Bayankhongor	2000	43.5%	46.0%	41.0%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2000	58.5%	66.5%	48.1%
Mongolia	Khairkhan	2000	12.5%	20.1%	7.4%
Mongolia	Tüvshinshiree	2000	55.6%	63.1%	46.1%
Mongolia	Tsagaankhairkhan	2000	44.7%	65.5%	23.7%
Mongolia	Chandmani-Öndör	2000	3.5%	7.3%	1.5%
Mongolia	Sharga	2000	55.8%	65.9%	45.4%
Mongolia	Nariinteel	2000	57.1%	65.8%	46.4%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	19.3%	28.9%	11.2%
Mongolia	Delgertsogt	2000	41.7%	54.3%	30.6%
Mongolia	Adaatsag	2000	48.2%	58.4%	36.5%
Mongolia	Mönkhkhairkhan	2000	61.3%	72.9%	43.9%
Mongolia	Airag	2000	50.6%	56.5%	44.0%
Mongolia	Nömrög	2000	37.5%	48.3%	26.4%
Mongolia	Mandal-Ovoo	2000	41.8%	52.8%	30.7%
Mongolia	Erdenetsogt	2000	58.8%	65.7%	51.5%
Mongolia	Bogd	2000	58.0%	67.0%	48.1%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2000	19.9%	24.1%	16.2%
Mongolia	Delgerekh	2000	47.5%	58.6%	34.6%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	34.3%	46.3%	24.0%
Mongolia	Delger	2000	50.3%	60.1%	41.4%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2000	4.1%	7.9%	2.0%
Mongolia	Choibalsan	2000	48.6%	56.6%	39.0%
Mongolia	Duut	2000	65.6%	75.0%	55.4%
Mongolia	Bayanbulag	2000	62.3%	72.1%	51.4%
Mongolia	Buutsagaan	2000	56.7%	65.5%	47.0%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2000	57.6%	63.6%	51.7%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2000	60.0%	68.5%	49.0%
Mongolia	Nomgon	2000	55.5%	64.6%	45.3%
Mongolia	Asgat	2000	56.5%	63.4%	48.7%
Mongolia	Bürentogtokh	2000	4.8%	9.4%	2.1%
Mongolia	Erdenekhairkhan	2000	52.2%	62.1%	41.8%
Mongolia	Jinst	2000	55.5%	64.4%	46.3%
Mongolia	Bayantes	2000	31.0%	40.0%	23.2%
Mongolia	Khyargas	2000	54.2%	63.1%	44.8%
Mongolia	Khuld	2000	54.5%	62.2%	46.7%
Mongolia	Ulaangom	2000	44.6%	49.6%	39.8%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2000	44.9%	55.3%	34.6%
Mongolia	Ömnögovi	2000	61.4%	68.6%	53.3%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	37.5%	42.3%	32.9%
Mongolia	Khangai	2000	40.5%	52.2%	29.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	18.6%	28.8%	10.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	56.6%	65.6%	46.2%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2000	22.3%	26.0%	18.4%
Mongolia	Tonkhil	2000	58.4%	65.2%	51.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	42.8%	51.2%	35.0%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	14.7%	29.8%	6.1%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2000	53.5%	61.6%	43.7%
Mongolia	Züünkhangai	2000	53.1%	60.8%	44.3%
Mongolia	Shine-Ider	2000	7.2%	16.9%	2.6%
Mongolia	Arvaikheer	2000	52.1%	57.2%	46.7%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2000	54.3%	64.5%	44.5%
Mongolia	Naranbulag	2000	62.3%	69.8%	54.9%
Mongolia	Davst	2000	46.3%	56.4%	35.8%
Mongolia	Ikhkheth	2000	48.3%	57.6%	37.3%
Mongolia	Sant	2000	14.4%	26.3%	6.6%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2000	11.6%	17.7%	7.0%
Mongolia	Dalanjargalan	2000	37.9%	46.0%	28.8%
Mongolia	Jargaltkhaan	2000	33.0%	43.4%	22.6%
Mongolia	Altantsögts	2000	45.6%	58.3%	30.6%
Mongolia	Telmen	2000	29.5%	39.8%	21.0%
Mongolia	Bayandun	2000	24.9%	32.1%	18.9%
Mongolia	Tseel	2000	35.2%	48.2%	21.5%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2000	51.0%	62.2%	39.6%
Mongolia	Dadal	2000	16.1%	22.9%	10.4%
Mongolia	Tsogt	2000	60.0%	66.5%	53.4%
Mongolia	Otgon	2000	56.4%	65.3%	47.1%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	54.0%	63.6%	40.5%
Mongolia	Matad	2000	50.5%	57.4%	41.8%
Mongolia	Türgen	2000	58.6%	70.7%	44.0%
Mongolia	Teshig	2000	19.6%	27.4%	13.1%
Mongolia	Ulaanbadrakh	2000	55.3%	68.1%	37.9%
Mongolia	Erdenemandal	2000	18.9%	24.8%	13.7%
Mongolia	Bayanzürkh	2000	10.3%	15.0%	6.8%
Mongolia	Tes	2000	52.1%	60.2%	43.0%
Mongolia	Santmargats	2000	40.6%	51.6%	29.1%
Mongolia	Darvi	2000	58.9%	66.8%	50.1%
Mongolia	Songino	2000	44.9%	53.0%	35.5%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	46.5%	62.4%	28.6%
Mongolia	Lün	2000	32.5%	54.5%	16.2%
Mongolia	Zamyn-Üüd	2000	34.5%	45.8%	23.9%
Mongolia	Galut	2000	54.3%	62.3%	46.1%
Mongolia	Aldarkhaan	2000	19.0%	25.0%	13.2%
Mongolia	Büird	2000	48.3%	59.0%	37.3%
Mongolia	Sümer	2000	34.4%	42.2%	26.1%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	44.1%	53.8%	34.0%
Mongolia	Orkhontuul	2000	18.6%	28.4%	9.7%
Mongolia	Tolbo	2000	23.9%	30.9%	16.9%
Mongolia	Öndörshil	2000	59.9%	67.4%	50.6%
Mongolia	Üyench	2000	57.4%	65.1%	48.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2000	54.4%	62.6%	44.0%
Mongolia	Yaruu	2000	50.1%	61.0%	37.9%
Mongolia	Naran	2000	53.3%	63.0%	43.1%
Mongolia	Tsagaanchuluut	2000	34.8%	46.8%	24.6%
Mongolia	Tes	2000	33.1%	43.7%	23.6%
Mongolia	Sagsai	2000	31.5%	39.1%	24.1%
Mongolia	Taragt	2000	49.0%	55.3%	41.9%
Mongolia	Bayantal	2000	33.7%	55.4%	12.9%
Mongolia	Mandal	2000	23.1%	33.6%	15.5%
Mongolia	Batnorov	2000	19.6%	25.7%	14.2%
Mongolia	Chuluunkhoroot	2000	27.6%	40.3%	16.0%
Mongolia	Malchin	2000	59.5%	68.9%	50.0%
Mongolia	Bayangovi	2000	61.4%	70.6%	51.4%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Uul	2000	15.6%	23.3%	9.3%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2000	23.6%	31.6%	16.3%
Mongolia	Zereg	2000	68.2%	77.9%	57.1%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	31.1%	36.9%	26.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Khüder	2000	23.5%	36.6%	12.1%
Mongolia	Arbulag	2000	6.8%	10.7%	4.2%
Mongolia	Dashbalbar	2000	21.3%	29.6%	12.9%
Mongolia	Ölgii	2000	58.0%	67.8%	46.9%
Mongolia	Khövsgöl	2000	54.1%	63.2%	44.9%
Mongolia	Myangad	2000	62.0%	71.7%	51.0%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2000	21.7%	38.0%	12.6%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2000	23.6%	33.1%	15.6%
Mongolia	Taishir	2000	50.2%	61.7%	38.1%
Mongolia	Khovd	2000	15.3%	21.1%	10.9%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2000	17.4%	23.5%	12.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	62.9%	71.1%	52.7%
Mongolia	Erdenetsagaan	2000	47.6%	52.7%	42.0%
Mongolia	Bayan	2000	31.4%	52.9%	14.6%
Mongolia	Sükhbaatar	2000	44.3%	55.4%	27.6%
Mongolia	Ögii nuur	2000	19.8%	31.4%	12.3%
Mongolia	Saikhan-Ovoo	2000	56.0%	65.2%	47.2%
Mongolia	Javkhlant	2000	10.2%	20.8%	3.3%
Mongolia	Züünbüren	2000	12.9%	26.5%	5.3%
Mongolia	Ikh-Tamir	2000	25.8%	32.7%	19.6%
Mongolia	Altai	2000	58.3%	65.2%	50.8%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	15.9%	23.2%	10.5%
Mongolia	Bayankhairkhan	2000	30.5%	43.0%	19.2%
Mongolia	Dörvöljin	2000	48.8%	58.0%	40.1%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2000	47.1%	58.6%	35.6%
Mongolia	Bayantsogt	2000	29.8%	42.2%	17.4%
Mongolia	Khujirt	2000	49.4%	68.1%	32.2%
Mongolia	Khürmen	2000	53.4%	63.7%	40.6%
Mongolia	Bornuur	2000	46.3%	60.3%	28.5%
Mongolia	Ulan Bator	2000	7.1%	9.0%	5.7%
Mongolia	Khalzan	2000	56.1%	64.2%	47.4%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2000	2.8%	6.9%	0.9%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	30.5%	42.0%	20.5%
Mongolia	Bayantümen	2000	41.6%	51.5%	31.8%
Mongolia	Shilüüstei	2000	44.4%	58.3%	31.1%
Mongolia	Argalant	2000	32.4%	45.5%	19.2%
Mongolia	Shiveegovi	2000	34.6%	46.0%	23.2%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2000	41.5%	51.1%	32.5%
Mongolia	Tsetsen-Uul	2000	44.7%	54.7%	33.8%
Mongolia	Erdenebüren	2000	57.1%	66.5%	47.0%
Mongolia	Khan khongor	2000	43.7%	50.7%	36.1%
Mongolia	Tsenkher	2000	34.1%	44.4%	24.1%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	12.7%	21.2%	6.8%
Mongolia	Tüshig	2000	19.8%	43.5%	7.7%
Mongolia	Tsogt-Ovoo	2000	49.3%	57.2%	40.1%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	9.0%	17.2%	4.4%
Mongolia	Alag-Erdene	2000	3.8%	6.8%	1.7%
Mongolia	Bömbögör	2000	57.0%	65.0%	48.5%
Mongolia	Batsumber	2000	43.6%	55.8%	32.7%
Mongolia	Ider	2000	38.9%	47.8%	30.7%
Mongolia	Khutag-Öndör	2000	12.3%	20.0%	7.1%
Mongolia	Urgamal	2000	57.6%	64.9%	49.4%
Mongolia	Bayankhutag	2000	17.5%	22.8%	12.1%
Mongolia	Chuluut	2000	34.9%	42.9%	27.4%
Mongolia	Khangal	2000	19.6%	34.8%	8.5%
Mongolia	Khushaat	2000	17.9%	32.2%	7.8%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2000	44.7%	57.3%	29.2%
Mongolia	Zaamar	2000	32.9%	43.0%	23.6%
Mongolia	Khotont	2000	29.3%	39.4%	19.9%
Mongolia	Gurvanzagal	2000	33.5%	44.7%	20.3%
Mongolia	Tsengel	2000	47.4%	59.8%	34.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Nogoonuur	2000	33.7%	44.0%	23.5%
Mongolia	Khanbogd	2000	33.4%	41.0%	26.3%
Mongolia	Ugtaal	2000	29.8%	41.6%	19.3%
Mongolia	Tsenkhermandal	2000	22.4%	29.9%	14.9%
Mongolia	Norovlin	2000	22.2%	32.5%	13.1%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	57.0%	64.7%	48.9%
Mongolia	Tsetseg	2000	67.5%	75.7%	59.5%
Mongolia	Luus	2000	48.5%	56.4%	37.7%
Mongolia	Bayanlig	2000	55.2%	64.0%	44.3%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	38.8%	51.0%	27.4%
Mongolia	Bayandalai	2000	52.4%	64.9%	38.8%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Üür	2000	12.1%	19.5%	6.1%
Mongolia	Khaliun	2000	59.4%	65.9%	51.9%
Mongolia	Jargalan	2000	57.4%	67.7%	48.9%
Mongolia	Erdenedalai	2000	46.9%	53.2%	39.6%
Mongolia	Mörön	2000	31.4%	42.1%	21.9%
Mongolia	Khishig-Öndör	2000	26.3%	37.9%	16.2%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	40.1%	50.0%	30.1%
Mongolia	Buyant	2000	21.3%	28.3%	16.0%
Mongolia	Galshar	2000	45.4%	54.0%	34.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Ovoo	2000	33.2%	42.8%	23.1%
Mongolia	Möst	2000	63.0%	74.0%	47.6%
Mongolia	Tüdevtei	2000	36.5%	51.0%	24.1%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2000	38.3%	52.0%	23.8%
Mongolia	Mörön	2000	2.7%	4.5%	1.4%
Mongolia	Tümentsogt	2000	43.7%	53.5%	33.7%
Mongolia	Khökh morit	2000	54.5%	61.7%	46.3%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2000	37.1%	46.9%	28.0%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2000	16.7%	22.1%	12.1%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2000	8.7%	12.8%	5.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2000	31.0%	41.9%	20.3%
Mongolia	Tsagaandelger	2000	42.6%	52.2%	30.3%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	60.1%	70.2%	50.6%
Mongolia	Mandakh	2000	62.4%	69.2%	54.2%
Mongolia	Uyanga	2000	64.3%	71.7%	56.4%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2000	6.3%	12.6%	2.8%
Mongolia	Saykhan	2000	10.8%	15.2%	7.3%
Mongolia	Örgön	2000	23.9%	31.6%	17.6%
Mongolia	Dashinchilen	2000	27.7%	41.8%	13.6%
Mongolia	Yesönbulag	2000	35.9%	43.0%	29.8%
Mongolia	Sainshand	2000	35.5%	42.1%	29.1%
Mongolia	Battsengel	2000	21.7%	34.2%	12.1%
Mongolia	Khüreemarl	2000	61.2%	70.3%	52.1%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2000	2.9%	4.4%	1.5%
Mongolia	Bayan-Önjüül	2000	42.6%	53.3%	29.3%
Mongolia	Khongor	2000	6.7%	12.1%	3.5%
Mongolia	Selenge	2000	9.9%	14.7%	6.7%
Mongolia	Baatsagaan	2000	54.1%	61.8%	45.4%
Mongolia	Dariganga	2000	58.6%	65.8%	50.9%
Mongolia	Guchin-Us	2000	63.7%	71.3%	55.1%
Mongolia	Sant	2000	61.0%	69.4%	51.6%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2000	5.8%	10.3%	2.8%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2000	17.8%	25.2%	11.7%
Mongolia	Baruunbüren	2000	11.0%	22.5%	4.3%
Mongolia	Khatanbulag	2000	57.3%	62.8%	51.2%
Mongolia	Yesönzüil	2000	57.0%	67.5%	45.6%
Mongolia	Erdenesant	2000	35.8%	44.8%	28.5%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	27.1%	35.7%	18.8%
Mongolia	Tsakhir	2000	41.5%	49.8%	33.4%
Mongolia	Khankh	2000	17.9%	25.6%	10.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Darvi	2000	60.6%	68.2%	53.2%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2000	2.9%	7.1%	1.1%
Mongolia	Gurvan tes	2000	52.1%	61.9%	41.9%
Mongolia	Bugat	2000	11.7%	15.6%	8.6%
Mongolia	Mankhan	2000	56.0%	65.6%	42.8%
Mongolia	Erdene	2000	65.4%	71.5%	57.4%
Mongolia	Büren	2000	45.6%	58.6%	31.0%
Mongolia	Züüngovi	2000	54.1%	62.4%	45.1%
Mongolia	Tseel	2000	64.0%	70.1%	57.5%
Mongolia	Bökhmörön	2000	53.7%	63.5%	42.0%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2000	39.6%	50.0%	29.2%
Mongolia	Shaamar	2000	8.9%	16.8%	3.6%
Mongolia	Ulaan-Uul	2000	6.5%	10.6%	3.6%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2000	27.8%	43.5%	13.5%
Mongolia	Shinejinst	2000	57.5%	66.0%	46.5%
Mongolia	Renchinlkhümbe	2000	7.5%	13.9%	3.9%
Mongolia	Asgat	2000	35.7%	46.8%	24.5%
Mongolia	Züünbayan-Ulaan	2000	57.1%	67.1%	46.4%
Mongolia	Öndörshireet	2000	38.7%	52.3%	26.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	64.4%	72.0%	55.6%
Mongolia	Galt	2000	17.0%	27.1%	10.2%
Mongolia	Tüvshrüilekh	2000	30.0%	41.3%	20.1%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2000	64.3%	71.4%	56.2%
Mongolia	Ömnödelger	2000	30.5%	36.3%	24.2%
Mongolia	Khovd	2000	53.1%	64.4%	40.6%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2000	11.0%	19.7%	5.0%
Mongolia	Airag	2000	52.1%	58.6%	45.0%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2000	35.9%	47.2%	25.5%
Mongolia	Ongon	2000	55.7%	62.1%	48.0%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2000	53.2%	62.3%	43.4%
Mongolia	Buyant	2000	42.7%	53.1%	33.6%
Mongolia	Saintsagaan	2000	41.5%	47.5%	35.2%
Mongolia	Mogod	2000	36.7%	49.9%	24.8%
Mongolia	Bayan-Adarga	2000	20.4%	30.4%	12.3%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2000	51.4%	58.6%	43.3%
Mongolia	Baruuntutuun	2000	45.2%	57.3%	33.2%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	13.0%	24.5%	5.4%
Mongolia	Tömörbulag	2000	13.5%	18.7%	9.3%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2000	21.6%	27.9%	13.7%
Mongolia	Kharkhorin	2000	38.0%	47.0%	31.2%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2000	54.9%	63.5%	47.3%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2000	44.4%	53.9%	33.5%
Mongolia	Saikhandulaan	2000	57.2%	63.9%	49.4%
Mongolia	Noyon	2000	56.1%	67.1%	40.8%
Mongolia	Zavkhanmandal	2000	51.8%	63.0%	40.2%
Mongolia	Gurvansaikhan	2000	49.2%	56.7%	40.8%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2000	50.2%	58.0%	41.4%
Mongolia	Manlai	2000	50.2%	59.3%	41.2%
Mongolia	Bayanmönkh	2000	29.6%	40.7%	19.3%
Mongolia	Öndörkhantai	2000	56.0%	68.4%	41.2%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2000	56.7%	62.9%	49.2%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2017	19.8%	31.8%	12.2%
Mongolia	Delgerekh	2017	33.7%	44.9%	24.9%
Mongolia	Sharga	2017	37.4%	51.2%	25.7%
Mongolia	Tsogttsetsii	2017	29.8%	37.9%	22.7%
Mongolia	Mandal-Ovoo	2017	32.2%	46.4%	20.5%
Mongolia	Tsetsen-Uul	2017	34.9%	43.9%	26.9%
Mongolia	Erdenebüren	2017	42.6%	54.8%	31.1%
Mongolia	Uyanga	2017	45.0%	55.7%	35.2%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2017	26.9%	35.9%	19.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Buutsagaan	2017	36.2%	47.1%	25.8%
Mongolia	Lün	2017	18.5%	36.6%	6.6%
Mongolia	Govi-Ugtaal	2017	35.3%	45.8%	25.9%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	17.4%	25.1%	10.7%
Mongolia	Zavkhanmandal	2017	35.1%	49.2%	22.5%
Mongolia	Ulaankhus	2017	32.5%	42.4%	24.3%
Mongolia	Delüün	2017	26.6%	33.8%	19.8%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2017	33.8%	47.5%	19.6%
Mongolia	Telmen	2017	29.7%	42.3%	19.4%
Mongolia	Tolbo	2017	24.2%	32.7%	16.3%
Mongolia	Ömnögovi	2017	48.1%	58.7%	37.2%
Mongolia	Arkhus	2017	23.2%	39.6%	11.4%
Mongolia	Yeröö	2017	13.8%	21.7%	7.5%
Mongolia	Tüshig	2017	13.0%	29.7%	5.1%
Mongolia	Ölgii (city)	2017	23.4%	29.2%	18.4%
Mongolia	Batshireet	2017	19.4%	25.9%	13.2%
Mongolia	Davst	2017	32.8%	40.8%	24.0%
Mongolia	Sagil	2017	37.9%	47.5%	28.0%
Mongolia	Deren	2017	28.3%	37.0%	20.5%
Mongolia	Bayangovi	2017	43.1%	56.7%	31.1%
Mongolia	Malchin	2017	43.8%	55.9%	35.1%
Mongolia	Saikhan-Ovoo	2017	40.4%	51.4%	30.1%
Mongolia	Delger	2017	36.4%	45.5%	29.1%
Mongolia	Ölgii	2017	39.6%	51.2%	28.8%
Mongolia	Alag-Erdene	2017	4.3%	8.4%	2.0%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2017	14.4%	23.6%	7.9%
Mongolia	Bayantes	2017	23.5%	33.0%	15.9%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	7.2%	16.0%	2.3%
Mongolia	Tseel	2017	24.1%	36.4%	14.2%
Mongolia	Dörgön	2017	37.9%	49.5%	28.8%
Mongolia	Bayanchandmani	2017	14.0%	22.0%	8.0%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	38.4%	45.9%	31.1%
Mongolia	Biger	2017	39.1%	50.1%	28.9%
Mongolia	Sevrei	2017	40.7%	47.7%	34.2%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2017	39.9%	54.5%	25.8%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2017	12.9%	16.3%	9.6%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	37.6%	52.9%	23.6%
Mongolia	Yesönbulag	2017	24.3%	28.7%	20.5%
Mongolia	Bayan-Adarga	2017	16.7%	23.6%	10.2%
Mongolia	Shine-Ider	2017	8.9%	19.9%	3.3%
Mongolia	Baruun Bayan-Ulaan	2017	44.3%	56.2%	33.5%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2017	37.9%	47.5%	28.1%
Mongolia	Khölönbuir	2017	25.7%	32.9%	19.1%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	38.9%	49.0%	30.1%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	29.4%	35.7%	23.2%
Mongolia	Ikhkhet	2017	36.6%	46.8%	28.1%
Mongolia	Nogoonuur	2017	30.6%	39.2%	21.6%
Mongolia	Naranbulag	2017	43.7%	55.1%	33.4%
Mongolia	Bayandun	2017	21.9%	28.4%	16.1%
Mongolia	Chandmani-Öndör	2017	4.9%	9.7%	2.1%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2017	39.0%	52.1%	25.5%
Mongolia	Züünbüren	2017	9.7%	18.1%	4.9%
Mongolia	Khankh	2017	18.3%	30.3%	9.5%
Mongolia	Mörön	2017	25.6%	36.0%	15.8%
Mongolia	Khüder	2017	18.9%	29.1%	10.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaanhairhan	2017	39.2%	51.7%	29.5%
Mongolia	Bayankhutag	2017	11.5%	15.0%	8.5%
Mongolia	Shaamar	2017	6.9%	13.9%	3.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	36.6%	49.5%	26.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Javkhlant	2017	7.4%	14.0%	2.9%
Mongolia	Ugtaal	2017	25.9%	36.6%	18.1%
Mongolia	Bayankhairkhan	2017	26.4%	36.4%	17.7%
Mongolia	Galshar	2017	36.8%	44.9%	28.8%
Mongolia	Ulaanbadrakh	2017	41.9%	57.8%	27.8%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2017	29.5%	39.9%	21.0%
Mongolia	Songino	2017	36.0%	47.8%	26.5%
Mongolia	Aldarkhaan	2017	14.6%	17.7%	11.8%
Mongolia	Gurvan tes	2017	42.1%	51.5%	34.0%
Mongolia	Erdenekhairkhan	2017	39.4%	47.4%	31.7%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	45.3%	54.5%	35.3%
Mongolia	Tes	2017	23.8%	43.5%	11.0%
Mongolia	Tsagaanchuluut	2017	34.2%	47.1%	23.7%
Mongolia	Buyant	2017	14.7%	18.0%	11.5%
Mongolia	Tsengel	2017	40.1%	50.9%	30.1%
Mongolia	Gurvanzagal	2017	25.0%	32.0%	17.3%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2017	19.3%	30.3%	12.0%
Mongolia	Choibalsan	2017	35.0%	46.1%	24.4%
Mongolia	Tögrög	2017	37.2%	48.0%	27.6%
Mongolia	Bayankhangai	2017	18.3%	35.6%	7.7%
Mongolia	Orkhontuul	2017	13.8%	20.9%	7.9%
Mongolia	Khongor	2017	4.1%	7.5%	2.4%
Mongolia	Züünkhangai	2017	40.1%	53.7%	28.1%
Mongolia	Bayandelger	2017	39.8%	47.7%	32.0%
Mongolia	Khaliun	2017	40.7%	48.2%	32.9%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2017	5.4%	7.5%	3.6%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	34.0%	41.4%	27.5%
Mongolia	Sainshand	2017	28.5%	34.3%	22.8%
Mongolia	Tsagaankhairkhan	2017	38.2%	58.5%	20.9%
Mongolia	Khatgal	2017	2.8%	8.0%	0.5%
Mongolia	Tünel	2017	3.4%	7.3%	1.2%
Mongolia	Airag	2017	30.3%	38.7%	23.8%
Mongolia	Santmargats	2017	30.6%	41.4%	22.2%
Mongolia	Altai	2017	43.5%	48.5%	38.9%
Mongolia	Bürd	2017	27.8%	42.4%	16.2%
Mongolia	Sümer	2017	27.4%	44.1%	16.4%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2017	6.1%	11.4%	2.9%
Mongolia	Selenge	2017	7.4%	12.5%	4.7%
Mongolia	Mönkhkhairkhan	2017	45.5%	65.4%	25.2%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	25.5%	34.6%	18.2%
Mongolia	Otgon	2017	44.7%	60.0%	31.2%
Mongolia	Binder	2017	33.8%	40.0%	26.7%
Mongolia	Üyench	2017	44.2%	53.4%	35.1%
Mongolia	Taragt	2017	31.1%	36.7%	25.0%
Mongolia	Bayantümen	2017	27.4%	36.4%	19.1%
Mongolia	Khalzan	2017	39.1%	49.0%	29.5%
Mongolia	Erdenetsagaan	2017	35.8%	42.6%	30.0%
Mongolia	Tsogt-Ovoo	2017	35.3%	45.8%	25.9%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2017	1.6%	2.5%	0.9%
Mongolia	Dashinchilen	2017	17.8%	34.0%	8.6%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	26.2%	37.0%	16.9%
Mongolia	Dadal	2017	17.4%	23.0%	12.3%
Mongolia	Sant	2017	20.9%	39.3%	7.0%
Mongolia	Norovlin	2017	18.0%	27.7%	10.0%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	36.5%	41.8%	30.5%
Mongolia	Mandal	2017	22.0%	30.7%	15.6%
Mongolia	Nomgon	2017	43.1%	49.4%	36.6%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2017	27.3%	35.9%	19.2%
Mongolia	Sümer	2017	26.0%	33.8%	18.3%
Mongolia	Sharyngol	2017	5.5%	9.5%	2.9%
Mongolia	Khutag-Öndör	2017	9.5%	15.5%	5.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Luus	2017	29.8%	38.7%	21.3%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	21.7%	32.9%	13.9%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Uul	2017	18.4%	25.4%	11.4%
Mongolia	Urgamal	2017	40.3%	49.9%	30.3%
Mongolia	Bat-Ölzii	2017	36.5%	46.2%	27.4%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	10.0%	19.4%	5.1%
Mongolia	Khushaat	2017	15.0%	25.1%	7.2%
Mongolia	Tsenkhermandal	2017	17.5%	24.6%	11.4%
Mongolia	Duut	2017	49.0%	66.4%	30.5%
Mongolia	Öndör-Ulaan	2017	23.4%	30.9%	16.2%
Mongolia	Khalkhgoi	2017	19.4%	32.7%	13.0%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	16.7%	27.0%	10.1%
Mongolia	Shinejinst	2017	44.3%	50.1%	37.4%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	40.5%	47.2%	34.3%
Mongolia	Jinst	2017	38.4%	49.8%	28.4%
Mongolia	Bogd	2017	44.4%	52.0%	36.7%
Mongolia	Batsumber	2017	25.2%	32.5%	18.2%
Mongolia	Khujirt	2017	30.6%	44.5%	21.6%
Mongolia	Khürmen	2017	42.7%	50.2%	35.3%
Mongolia	Delgertsogt	2017	25.7%	36.6%	16.3%
Mongolia	Bayan-Önjüül	2017	27.8%	37.5%	19.8%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2017	6.1%	10.8%	3.3%
Mongolia	Bürentogtokh	2017	5.3%	10.1%	2.3%
Mongolia	Bayangol	2017	7.9%	14.6%	4.2%
Mongolia	Baatsagaan	2017	38.6%	47.4%	30.8%
Mongolia	Büregkhangai	2017	20.3%	28.7%	12.4%
Mongolia	Tseel	2017	43.9%	52.5%	35.2%
Mongolia	Erdenebulgan	2017	18.1%	23.4%	13.8%
Mongolia	Yaruu	2017	40.9%	54.7%	28.7%
Mongolia	Galuu	2017	43.5%	53.9%	35.3%
Mongolia	Airag	2017	34.3%	41.3%	28.2%
Mongolia	Dashbalbar	2017	18.4%	24.3%	11.8%
Mongolia	Ider	2017	34.8%	43.1%	26.9%
Mongolia	Dörvöljin	2017	35.1%	49.0%	24.4%
Mongolia	Tüdevtei	2017	30.6%	43.7%	19.6%
Mongolia	Kherlen	2017	19.9%	25.8%	14.8%
Mongolia	Teshig	2017	15.0%	20.8%	10.5%
Mongolia	Battsengel	2017	19.7%	31.5%	10.7%
Mongolia	Tonkhil	2017	41.1%	50.5%	32.0%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2017	18.2%	28.0%	11.5%
Mongolia	Matad	2017	38.0%	47.3%	29.0%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Ovoo	2017	26.6%	34.4%	20.0%
Mongolia	Nömrög	2017	34.3%	47.9%	23.0%
Mongolia	Bayanbulag	2017	44.9%	59.4%	31.0%
Mongolia	Khanbogd	2017	27.8%	37.8%	19.7%
Mongolia	Delgerkhangai	2017	39.4%	48.9%	30.8%
Mongolia	Möst	2017	46.7%	61.6%	32.7%
Mongolia	Tsenkher	2017	26.4%	37.3%	17.5%
Mongolia	Altanshiree	2017	26.8%	33.7%	20.0%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	41.0%	48.5%	32.2%
Mongolia	Saikhan	2017	15.1%	24.3%	8.0%
Mongolia	Bömbögör	2017	41.1%	51.4%	32.5%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2017	41.0%	49.9%	32.3%
Mongolia	Shilüüstei	2017	37.5%	48.1%	26.0%
Mongolia	Sant	2017	41.4%	52.7%	32.3%
Mongolia	Saykhan	2017	8.2%	12.5%	5.4%
Mongolia	Darkhan	2017	25.3%	38.4%	16.0%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2017	27.1%	50.7%	12.0%
Mongolia	Erdenetsogt	2017	43.8%	51.2%	36.4%
Mongolia	Erdenesant	2017	17.1%	26.5%	11.6%
Mongolia	Adaatsag	2017	31.6%	42.4%	23.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Bogd	2017	40.0%	49.2%	31.8%
Mongolia	Batnorov	2017	14.4%	19.9%	10.4%
Mongolia	Khairkhandulaan	2017	31.6%	43.7%	21.9%
Mongolia	Ikh-Tamir	2017	21.9%	28.2%	16.3%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	22.0%	28.0%	17.7%
Mongolia	Tümentsogt	2017	30.2%	40.6%	20.4%
Mongolia	Khuld	2017	34.2%	42.3%	27.3%
Mongolia	Bayankhongor	2017	29.0%	31.3%	27.0%
Mongolia	Galt	2017	18.0%	28.2%	10.5%
Mongolia	Khyargas	2017	36.0%	45.1%	27.2%
Mongolia	Khökh morit	2017	36.8%	48.0%	26.1%
Mongolia	Bökhmörön	2017	40.3%	50.1%	30.5%
Mongolia	Gurvanbulag	2017	46.9%	57.3%	37.3%
Mongolia	Khovd	2017	19.8%	26.6%	14.1%
Mongolia	Öndörshireet	2017	24.3%	35.5%	15.7%
Mongolia	Bayan	2017	17.7%	41.9%	6.0%
Mongolia	Darvi	2017	39.6%	53.6%	25.8%
Mongolia	Asgat	2017	38.7%	47.6%	30.6%
Mongolia	Nariinteel	2017	37.4%	48.1%	27.5%
Mongolia	Ulan Bator	2017	5.1%	6.5%	4.1%
Mongolia	Ögii nuur	2017	18.8%	33.0%	9.3%
Mongolia	Khan khongor	2017	35.6%	42.3%	28.5%
Mongolia	Tüvshinshiree	2017	39.9%	49.3%	30.6%
Mongolia	Tes	2017	36.8%	45.5%	29.5%
Mongolia	Tsogt	2017	39.7%	47.8%	31.5%
Mongolia	Altanbulag	2017	31.0%	47.7%	17.4%
Mongolia	Myangad	2017	41.8%	52.7%	30.2%
Mongolia	Dariganga	2017	41.7%	51.7%	31.1%
Mongolia	Khövsgöl	2017	40.7%	49.4%	33.3%
Mongolia	Bayandalai	2017	43.1%	54.2%	33.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	45.6%	58.2%	32.8%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öndör	2017	16.9%	22.3%	11.0%
Mongolia	Ulaan-Uul	2017	8.8%	13.4%	5.2%
Mongolia	Züünbayan-Ulaan	2017	36.6%	48.0%	26.6%
Mongolia	Khatanbulag	2017	39.4%	44.6%	34.7%
Mongolia	Erdenemandal	2017	19.2%	26.6%	13.1%
Mongolia	Yesönzüil	2017	37.4%	49.6%	26.4%
Mongolia	Tsagaandelger	2017	28.3%	37.5%	20.7%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2017	19.2%	25.8%	13.6%
Mongolia	Sagsai	2017	31.4%	40.0%	23.7%
Mongolia	Bayan-Agt	2017	11.6%	16.3%	7.7%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	14.3%	18.9%	10.6%
Mongolia	Khangal	2017	12.2%	23.7%	5.0%
Mongolia	Khishig-Öndör	2017	17.6%	31.5%	8.9%
Mongolia	Tosontsengel	2017	4.0%	9.2%	1.2%
Mongolia	Dalanjargalan	2017	25.4%	33.2%	18.6%
Mongolia	Örgön	2017	16.1%	22.3%	11.8%
Mongolia	Bayannuur	2017	38.0%	51.1%	26.9%
Mongolia	Baruunbüren	2017	8.0%	13.7%	4.2%
Mongolia	Bayantsagaan	2017	28.8%	38.5%	20.8%
Mongolia	Taishir	2017	36.8%	46.9%	26.2%
Mongolia	Ömnödelger	2017	26.2%	33.3%	19.9%
Mongolia	Jargalan	2017	40.3%	50.3%	31.3%
Mongolia	Mandakh	2017	44.6%	52.6%	37.4%
Mongolia	Erdene	2017	58.7%	63.3%	53.2%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	14.8%	25.9%	7.3%
Mongolia	Delgerkhaan	2017	35.9%	49.6%	23.5%
Mongolia	Dalanzadgad	2017	15.7%	20.3%	11.3%
Mongolia	Türgen	2017	40.5%	54.9%	25.5%
Mongolia	Tariat	2017	28.5%	37.7%	21.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	30.7%	39.3%	22.8%
Mongolia	Jargaltkhaan	2017	21.1%	34.3%	11.2%
Mongolia	Mankhan	2017	39.8%	48.8%	30.8%
Mongolia	Möngönmorit	2017	26.0%	34.5%	19.7%
Mongolia	Sikhbaatar	2017	42.2%	52.4%	28.4%
Mongolia	Bayanzürkh	2017	13.0%	19.9%	8.0%
Mongolia	Bayanlig	2017	38.6%	51.8%	27.3%
Mongolia	Bayantsogt	2017	18.3%	31.3%	10.6%
Mongolia	Züüngovi	2017	39.5%	52.5%	27.5%
Mongolia	Ulaangom	2017	27.2%	34.0%	21.3%
Mongolia	Bornuur	2017	25.2%	37.8%	16.8%
Mongolia	Asgat	2017	29.0%	41.5%	17.8%
Mongolia	Manlai	2017	41.0%	51.0%	30.7%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	9.5%	18.7%	4.1%
Mongolia	Khüreemarl	2017	43.8%	56.1%	33.2%
Mongolia	Chuluut	2017	32.4%	43.0%	23.5%
Mongolia	Jargalant	2017	26.1%	30.0%	22.7%
Mongolia	Tsagaan-Üür	2017	11.3%	20.3%	6.0%
Mongolia	Kharkhorin	2017	21.4%	27.2%	16.2%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2017	35.7%	43.9%	27.1%
Mongolia	Bayantal	2017	20.2%	41.5%	7.8%
Mongolia	Orkhon	2017	12.2%	16.2%	8.7%
Mongolia	Renchinlkhümbe	2017	7.8%	16.1%	3.8%
Mongolia	Zavkhan	2017	38.3%	45.1%	31.4%
Mongolia	Zereg	2017	47.0%	61.1%	36.0%
Mongolia	Shiveegovi	2017	21.1%	33.3%	12.0%
Mongolia	Khashaat	2017	23.9%	35.0%	16.0%
Mongolia	Baruuntutuun	2017	34.7%	47.6%	21.9%
Mongolia	Bayanmönkh	2017	25.9%	35.6%	17.2%
Mongolia	Khotont	2017	21.0%	30.5%	13.0%
Mongolia	Bayan-Uul	2017	18.3%	25.9%	11.9%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	40.2%	50.7%	29.9%
Mongolia	Tarialan	2017	5.4%	8.7%	2.9%
Mongolia	Altantsögts	2017	36.0%	48.3%	23.0%
Mongolia	Darvi	2017	41.9%	53.6%	33.1%
Mongolia	Bayan-Ovoo	2017	23.3%	32.4%	15.1%
Mongolia	Büren	2017	31.9%	45.1%	21.8%
Mongolia	Tsetserleg	2017	17.5%	24.7%	11.8%
Mongolia	Naran	2017	39.1%	50.4%	30.3%
Mongolia	Zaamar	2017	23.7%	33.4%	15.5%
Mongolia	Ongon	2017	39.7%	47.4%	31.3%
Mongolia	Bulgan	2017	44.1%	52.7%	36.0%
Mongolia	Arvaikheer	2017	34.4%	40.8%	26.5%
Mongolia	Uulbayan	2017	41.0%	47.8%	34.4%
Mongolia	Argalant	2017	20.8%	32.6%	10.9%
Mongolia	Tsakhir	2017	36.1%	43.1%	27.5%
Mongolia	Ikh-Uul	2017	3.3%	8.4%	1.0%
Mongolia	Arbulag	2017	7.2%	11.8%	4.0%
Mongolia	Saintsagaan	2017	26.3%	34.6%	19.8%
Mongolia	Tsetseg	2017	50.0%	65.7%	34.2%
Mongolia	Saikhandulaan	2017	40.1%	45.8%	34.8%
Mongolia	Erdenedalai	2017	30.5%	35.7%	25.1%
Mongolia	Tüvshrüülekh	2017	22.2%	33.0%	14.0%
Mongolia	Rashaant	2017	29.4%	52.7%	12.8%
Mongolia	Zamyn-Üüd	2017	18.8%	27.9%	10.7%
Mongolia	Bayan-Öндөр	2017	50.7%	59.9%	41.8%
Mongolia	Buyant	2017	38.4%	51.4%	29.2%
Mongolia	Öндөрkhangai	2017	42.3%	57.3%	28.2%
Mongolia	Bugat	2017	6.2%	11.9%	2.7%
Mongolia	Chuluunkhoroot	2017	21.5%	32.5%	13.0%
Mongolia	Khangai	2017	34.7%	47.5%	23.0%
Mongolia	Zag	2017	43.7%	56.7%	31.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mongolia	Khovd	2017	38.7%	49.8%	28.4%
Mongolia	Guchin-Us	2017	42.4%	55.6%	30.2%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	19.6%	28.4%	11.6%
Mongolia	Chandmani	2017	40.6%	48.8%	32.0%
Mongolia	Ölziit	2017	23.0%	30.9%	15.7%
Mongolia	Tsagaannuur	2017	31.8%	48.6%	17.8%
Mongolia	Noyon	2017	45.9%	55.9%	34.9%
Mongolia	Tömörbulag	2017	15.2%	20.6%	10.3%
Mongolia	Mogod	2017	26.2%	47.4%	12.0%
Mongolia	Khairkhan	2017	12.9%	24.1%	6.3%
Mongolia	Mörön	2017	2.5%	4.9%	1.1%
Mongolia	Öndörshil	2017	40.6%	51.2%	31.8%
Mongolia	Sergelen	2017	28.5%	37.0%	20.4%
Mongolia	Gurvansaikhan	2017	32.9%	39.2%	26.7%
Mongolia	Bayanjargalan	2017	33.8%	43.0%	25.6%
Tajikistan	Danghara	2000	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Shughnon	2000	1.8%	3.3%	0.8%
Tajikistan	Qabodiyon	2000	0.7%	3.6%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Jilikul	2000	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Roshtqala	2000	2.1%	4.7%	0.6%
Tajikistan	Asht	2000	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Shahriston	2000	0.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Sovet	2000	0.6%	3.4%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Nosir Khusrav	2000	1.8%	11.9%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Nurobod	2000	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Vanj	2000	4.0%	8.8%	1.5%
Tajikistan	Shurobod	2000	1.6%	7.6%	0.1%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Ghafurov	2000	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Qumsangir	2000	0.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Roghun	2000	0.6%	4.2%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Kulob	2000	2.1%	5.2%	0.9%
Tajikistan	Khovaling	2000	0.5%	2.0%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Rasht	2000	0.8%	2.3%	0.1%
Tajikistan	Spitamen	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Vose	2000	0.9%	2.8%	0.1%
Tajikistan	Ayni	2000	0.4%	1.3%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Istaravshan	2000	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Panj	2000	0.3%	2.8%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Sarband	2000	0.5%	3.6%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Kuhistoni	2000	0.6%	2.4%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Mastchoh				
Tajikistan	Darvoz	2000	3.0%	8.4%	0.7%
Tajikistan	Tavildara	2000	1.9%	5.0%	0.2%
Tajikistan	Fayzobod	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Jirgatal	2000	0.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Isfara	2000	0.2%	1.8%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Tursunzoda	2000	4.0%	6.9%	2.1%
Tajikistan	Moskva	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Shahrituz	2000	0.6%	3.7%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Vakhsh	2000	1.0%	3.9%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Pandjakent	2000	0.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Rushon	2000	4.2%	8.8%	1.5%
Tajikistan	Tojikobod	2000	0.7%	4.1%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Jabor Rasulov	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Zafarobod	2000	0.3%	3.6%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Kolkhozobod	2000	0.9%	4.4%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Murghob	2000	3.1%	4.8%	1.7%
Tajikistan	Yovon	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Varzob	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Shahrinav	2000	10.4%	14.2%	6.4%
Tajikistan	Norak	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tajikistan	Ishkoshim	2000	4.6%	9.2%	1.6%
Tajikistan	Bokhtar	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Ghonchi	2000	0.2%	2.1%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Baljuvon	2000	0.9%	5.3%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Khuroson	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Farkhor	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Konibodom	2000	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Vahdat	2000	0.4%	1.4%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Jomi	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Matchin	2000	0.3%	2.0%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Muminobod	2000	0.8%	2.8%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Hissor	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Danghara	2017	0.8%	3.7%	0.1%
Tajikistan	Shahrituz	2017	3.0%	6.1%	1.1%
Tajikistan	Vose	2017	0.6%	1.4%	0.3%
Tajikistan	Jilikul	2017	1.8%	4.6%	0.6%
Tajikistan	Shughnon	2017	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%
Tajikistan	Tavildara	2017	1.8%	4.0%	0.5%
Tajikistan	Pandjakent	2017	1.0%	2.8%	0.3%
Tajikistan	Qumsangir	2017	1.0%	4.0%	0.2%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Tajikistan	Jabor Rasulov	2017	0.5%	1.3%	0.2%
Tajikistan	Asht	2017	1.7%	5.0%	0.4%
Tajikistan	Shahriston	2017	2.8%	9.6%	0.7%
Tajikistan	Nosir Khusrav	2017	1.9%	10.1%	0.1%
Tajikistan	Sovet	2017	0.7%	2.6%	0.1%
Tajikistan	Ghafurov	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.3%
Tajikistan	Fayzobod	2017	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Kuhistoni	2017	1.8%	5.0%	0.5%
Tajikistan	Mastchoh				
Tajikistan	Vanj	2017	2.5%	4.4%	1.4%
Tajikistan	Muminobod	2017	0.9%	2.6%	0.2%
Tajikistan	Rasht	2017	0.9%	2.4%	0.2%
Tajikistan	Darvoz	2017	2.2%	7.1%	0.5%
Tajikistan	Vahdat	2017	0.6%	1.4%	0.2%
Tajikistan	Norak	2017	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%
Tajikistan	Nurobod	2017	0.7%	3.2%	0.1%
Tajikistan	Spitamen	2017	0.7%	2.6%	0.2%
Tajikistan	Ishkoshim	2017	3.0%	8.9%	0.7%
Tajikistan	Bokhtar	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Tajikistan	Rudaki	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Tajikistan	Tojikobod	2017	0.9%	3.4%	0.1%
Tajikistan	Rushon	2017	2.4%	5.4%	0.9%
Tajikistan	Kulob	2017	0.5%	1.2%	0.3%
Tajikistan	Roshtqala	2017	2.0%	4.3%	0.7%
Tajikistan	Khovaling	2017	1.1%	3.4%	0.2%
Tajikistan	Panj	2017	1.0%	3.7%	0.3%
Tajikistan	Ayni	2017	1.5%	4.0%	0.3%
Tajikistan	Shurobod	2017	0.8%	2.6%	0.2%
Tajikistan	Istaravshan	2017	0.7%	2.8%	0.2%
Tajikistan	Tursunzoda	2017	2.0%	3.0%	1.3%
Tajikistan	Roghun	2017	0.5%	2.1%	0.1%
Tajikistan	Zafarobod	2017	1.7%	10.7%	0.2%
Tajikistan	Konibodom	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.3%
Tajikistan	Kolkhozobod	2017	1.0%	3.2%	0.3%
Tajikistan	Matchin	2017	1.1%	3.2%	0.4%
Tajikistan	Qabodiyon	2017	4.7%	8.3%	1.7%
Tajikistan	Jirgatol	2017	4.0%	9.7%	1.0%
Tajikistan	Hissor	2017	2.4%	3.2%	1.8%
Tajikistan	Yovon	2017	1.0%	3.5%	0.3%
Tajikistan	Shahrinav	2017	2.9%	4.0%	2.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tajikistan	Isfara	2017	1.8%	4.8%	0.5%
Tajikistan	Baljuvon	2017	1.6%	6.2%	0.1%
Tajikistan	Farkhor	2017	1.4%	3.6%	0.6%
Tajikistan	Jomi	2017	0.6%	1.5%	0.3%
Tajikistan	Khuroson	2017	2.4%	5.5%	0.7%
Tajikistan	Vakhsh	2017	1.2%	2.9%	0.4%
Tajikistan	Ghonchi	2017	2.1%	5.1%	0.6%
Tajikistan	Moskva	2017	2.2%	4.1%	1.3%
Tajikistan	Varzob	2017	0.8%	1.8%	0.4%
Tajikistan	Sarband	2017	0.9%	2.8%	0.3%
Tajikistan	Murg'ob	2017	7.1%	10.1%	4.6%
Turkmenistan	Balkan	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Turkmenistan	Tashauz	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Turkmenistan	Ahal	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Turkmenistan	Mary	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Turkmenistan	Ashgabat	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Turkmenistan	Chardzhou	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Turkmenistan	Balkan	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Turkmenistan	Tashauz	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Turkmenistan	Ashgabat	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Turkmenistan	Ahal	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Turkmenistan	Mary	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Turkmenistan	Chardzhou	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Uzbekistan	Oqoltin	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Farg'ona	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Yangiobod	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Guliston	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jayli	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Termiz	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qo'ng'iro't	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Payariq	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Mirzaobod	2000	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Uzbekistan	Buloqboshi	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	O'zbekiston	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Shahrisabz	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Paxtachi	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Zangiota	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Uzbekistan	Namangan	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Muzrabot	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Sharof Rashidov	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Ulug'nor	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Peshku	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Buvayda	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shrabot	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qorovulbozor	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Jarqo'rg'on	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Dang'ara	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Jizzax	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Uzbekistan	Xiva	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Do'stlik	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Kogon	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qanliko'l	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Bo'ka	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yakkabog'	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Muborak	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Hazorasp	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Narpay	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qorao'zak	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Jomboy	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qiziltepa	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yagiqo'rg'on	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Kitob	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Amudaryo	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yangiariq	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Pastdarg'om	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Asaka	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Kosonsoy	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Buxoro	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Bulung'ur	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Mingbuloq	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Zomin	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Bog'ot	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Shofirkon	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shko'pir	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Parkent	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Nishon	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Karmana	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Ellikqala	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yozyovon	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	O'rtachirchiq	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Xovos	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Pop	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Urgut	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qamashi	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jaobod	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Xatirchi	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Yangiyo'l	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Zarbdor	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Chimboy	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Gurlan	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Kattaqo'rg'on	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Chortoq	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Koson	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Arnasoy	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Angor	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Shahrixon	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Bo'stonliq	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Uzbekistan	Uchko'prik	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Xonqa	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Uchquduq	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Nurota	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Kasbi	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Zafarobod	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Paxtakor	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Qorako'l	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Andijon	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Uzbekistan	Usmon	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yusupov				
Uzbekistan	Boyovut	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Uzun	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Qibray	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Sirdaryo	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	To'raqo'rg'on	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qiziriq	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Beshariq	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Uychi	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	To'rtko'l	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Chust	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Mo'ynoq	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Sherobod	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Oqqo'rg'on	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Baxmal	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Nurobod	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Chiroqchi	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Forish	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Toshkent	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Uzbekistan	Shumanay	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Baliqchi	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	So'x	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Bandixon	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Sariosiyo	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Beruniy	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Oqdaryo	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Quva	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qo'rg'ontepa	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Bo'zsuv	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Bekobod	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Toyloq	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Jondor	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Sayxunobod	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Taxtako'pir	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Bog'dod	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Romitan	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	G'allaorol	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Oltinsoy	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Sho'rchi	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yuqorichirchiq	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Uzbekistan	Qarshi	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	G'uzor	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Piskent	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Uzbekistan	Oltinko'l	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	G'ijduvon	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Vobkent	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Shovot	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Rishton	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Ohangaron	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Samarqand	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Paxtaobod	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Dehqonobod	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Tashkent City	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Uzbekistan	Oltiariq	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Mirzacho'l	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Kegeyli	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Qumqo'rg'on	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Konimex	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yangibozor	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Boysun	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Furqat	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Uchqo'rg'on	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Toshloq	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Urganch	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Quyichirchiq	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Navbahor	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Denov	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Jalolquduq	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Oxunboboev	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Norin	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Nukus	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Izboskan	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Tomdi	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Ishtixon	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Olot	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Chinoz	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Uzbekistan	Aral Sea	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Marhamat	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Do'stlik	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Amudaryo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yagiqo'rg'on	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Mirzacho'l	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Narpay	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Muborak	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Farg'ona	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Hazorasp	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Xiva	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Paxtachi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qorovulbozor	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Pastdarg'om	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qiziltepa	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Kogon	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Uychi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shko'pir	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Uchqo'rg'on	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yangiyo'l	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	So'x	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Bulung'ur	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yangiobod	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Bog'ot	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Baxmal	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Samarqand	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qarshi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Payariq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Uchquduq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Chiroqchi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qorako'l	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Quva	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Usmon	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yusupov				
Uzbekistan	Buloqboshi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Mo'ynoq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Jarqo'rg'on	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Namangan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Toshkent	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Baliqchi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Paxtaobod	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Gurlan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Pop	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Chimboy	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Shahrisabz	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Jomboy	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Sirdaryo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Asaka	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Arnasoy	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Zarbdor	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yangiariq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Nurota	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Xonqa	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Tashkent City	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Toyloq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qamashi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Xovos	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Forish	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Andijon	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Ulug'nor	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Urgut	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Romitan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Beruniy	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Zomin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Ishtixon	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Furqat	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Bo'ka	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Mingbuloq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Kitob	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Xatirchi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Koson	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Dang'ara	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Paxtakor	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qibray	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Jizzax	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	To'raqo'rg'on	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Beshariq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	To'rtko'l	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Zafarobod	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Bo'stonliq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Taxtako'pir	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Kasbi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Uchko'prik	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Shofirkon	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qorao'zak	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Jondor	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Toshloq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	G'allaorol	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Shumanay	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jaobod	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Norin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Navbahor	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Oltinko'l	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Rishton	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yakkabog'	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Oltinsoy	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Chortoq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	O'rtachirchiq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qo'shrabot	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Sayxunobod	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Urganch	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	O'zbekiston	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Shovot	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Sherobod	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qo'rg'ontepa	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qanliko'l	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qo'ng'iro't	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Oxunboboev	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Karmana	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Buvayda	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yangibozor	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Shahrixon	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Kosonsoy	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Oqqo'rg'on	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uzbekistan	Quyichirchiq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Ellikqala	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qiziriq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Marhamat	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Jalolquduq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Xo'jayli	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Bekobod	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Buxoro	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	G'uzor	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Termiz	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Parkent	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Oqoltin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Mirzaobod	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Nurobod	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Dehqonobod	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Piskent	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Konimex	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Bo'zsuv	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Kattaqo'rg'on	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Zangiota	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Aral Sea	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Vobkent	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Denov	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Olot	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Sariosiyo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Peshku	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Sharof Rashidov	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Muzrabot	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Uzun	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Boyovut	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Nukus	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Oqdaryo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Angor	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yozyovon	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Tomdi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Kegeyli	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Izboskan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Boysun	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Guliston	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Bandixon	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Qumqo'rg'on	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Chinoz	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	G'ijduvon	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Bog'dod	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Chust	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Nishon	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Sho'rchi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Ohangaron	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Yuqorichirchiq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Uzbekistan	Oltiariq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Latin America and Caribbean					
Bolivia	Saucarí	2000	61.5%	63.7%	59.4%
Bolivia	Esteban Arce	2000	38.8%	41.0%	36.6%
Bolivia	Aniceto Arce	2000	28.9%	32.5%	24.5%
Bolivia	Antonio Quijarro	2000	67.0%	68.9%	64.7%
Bolivia	Muñecas	2000	39.0%	42.7%	35.3%
Bolivia	Atahuallpa	2000	61.3%	64.4%	58.1%
Bolivia	Manco Kapac	2000	40.9%	45.1%	36.2%
Bolivia	Modesto Omiste	2000	63.4%	66.0%	60.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	José María Avilés	2000	33.3%	35.4%	30.9%
Bolivia	Nor Chichas	2000	66.6%	68.7%	64.6%
Bolivia	Bautista Saavedra	2000	37.2%	41.1%	32.9%
Bolivia	Tomás Frías	2000	67.8%	69.3%	66.4%
Bolivia	Los Andes	2000	41.7%	44.0%	39.3%
Bolivia	Larecaja	2000	40.8%	43.7%	37.9%
Bolivia	Carrasco	2000	32.8%	34.9%	30.6%
Bolivia	Ignacio Warnes	2000	12.4%	14.0%	10.9%
Bolivia	Mamoré	2000	13.7%	18.1%	10.2%
Bolivia	Poopó	2000	63.2%	65.4%	61.1%
Bolivia	Marbán	2000	13.7%	16.2%	11.3%
Bolivia	Tapacarí	2000	39.8%	42.9%	36.8%
Bolivia	Daniel Campos	2000	65.4%	69.4%	61.6%
Bolivia	Ichilo	2000	15.1%	17.2%	13.0%
Bolivia	Litoral	2000	60.9%	64.4%	57.2%
Bolivia	Charcas	2000	65.0%	67.1%	63.1%
Bolivia	Sajama	2000	56.5%	59.9%	52.9%
Bolivia	José María Linares	2000	66.4%	68.1%	64.7%
Bolivia	Omasuyos	2000	38.4%	41.0%	35.7%
Bolivia	Rafael Bustillo	2000	66.5%	67.9%	65.0%
Bolivia	Hernando Siles	2000	55.5%	58.0%	52.7%
Bolivia	Gualberto Villaruel	2000	50.4%	53.5%	46.6%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	60.7%	62.0%	59.3%
Bolivia	Chiquitos	2000	12.5%	14.5%	10.7%
Bolivia	Sud Cinti	2000	53.0%	55.3%	50.5%
Bolivia	Sud Yungas	2000	37.0%	39.4%	34.5%
Bolivia	Quillacollo	2000	34.7%	35.9%	33.5%
Bolivia	Obispo Santistevan	2000	11.7%	13.4%	10.3%
Bolivia	Sud Chichas	2000	66.8%	68.9%	64.6%
Bolivia	Eustaquio Méndez	2000	33.5%	35.8%	31.0%
Bolivia	Cordillera	2000	17.4%	19.8%	15.1%
Bolivia	Sara	2000	12.4%	14.2%	10.8%
Bolivia	Mizque	2000	39.6%	42.5%	36.9%
Bolivia	Madre de Dios	2000	22.7%	24.9%	20.5%
Bolivia	Capinota	2000	38.0%	40.6%	35.4%
Bolivia	Pacajes	2000	41.6%	45.3%	38.0%
Bolivia	Punata	2000	31.7%	33.9%	29.0%
Bolivia	Vaca Díez	2000	14.8%	16.1%	13.6%
Bolivia	Nor Yungas	2000	36.4%	38.9%	33.5%
Bolivia	Eduardo Avaroa	2000	63.7%	65.6%	61.8%
Bolivia	Vallegrande	2000	19.5%	23.6%	16.2%
Bolivia	Pantaleón Dalance	2000	62.7%	65.0%	60.8%
Bolivia	Eliodoro Camacho	2000	37.2%	41.2%	33.2%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	29.2%	30.3%	28.0%
Bolivia	Ñufflo de Chávez	2000	12.5%	14.7%	10.8%
Bolivia	Aroma	2000	40.7%	43.4%	37.7%
Bolivia	Moxos	2000	14.9%	17.1%	12.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Federico Román	2000	24.2%	26.9%	21.7%
Bolivia	Franz Tamayo	2000	37.5%	42.3%	33.0%
Bolivia	Chayanta	2000	66.4%	67.7%	64.8%
Bolivia	Belisario Boeto	2000	49.5%	53.7%	45.3%
Bolivia	Bernardino Bilbao	2000	58.9%	61.8%	55.9%
Bolivia	Nor Cinti	2000	60.4%	62.1%	58.7%
Bolivia	Manuripi	2000	24.8%	27.4%	22.6%
Bolivia	Nor Lipez	2000	66.8%	70.6%	63.1%
Bolivia	Jaime Zudáñez	2000	58.3%	60.3%	56.4%
Bolivia	Juana Azurd- uay de Padilla	2000	60.3%	62.9%	58.1%
Bolivia	Oropeza	2000	58.6%	59.8%	57.6%
Bolivia	Sud Lipez	2000	67.7%	72.6%	62.0%
Bolivia	Abel Iturralde	2000	30.3%	36.0%	25.4%
Bolivia	Ayopaya	2000	37.1%	40.6%	34.1%
Bolivia	Carangas	2000	60.1%	62.5%	57.5%
Bolivia	Loayza	2000	41.2%	44.4%	37.8%
Bolivia	Manuel María Caballero	2000	18.0%	21.6%	14.4%
Bolivia	Alonso de Ibáñez	2000	63.7%	66.0%	61.4%
Bolivia	Florida	2000	12.1%	14.9%	9.5%
Bolivia	Andrés Ibáñez	2000	12.0%	12.7%	11.3%
Bolivia	Burnet O'Connor	2000	31.1%	34.3%	28.1%
Bolivia	Luis Calvo	2000	42.9%	45.5%	40.3%
Bolivia	Inquisivi	2000	43.9%	46.6%	40.9%
Bolivia	Ladislao Cabr- era	2000	62.8%	65.9%	59.7%
Bolivia	Cornelio Saavedra	2000	65.5%	67.0%	64.0%
Bolivia	José Miguel de Velasco	2000	12.8%	15.2%	10.6%
Bolivia	Tomina	2000	58.5%	60.5%	55.9%
Bolivia	Pedro Domingo Murillo	2000	37.6%	38.8%	36.2%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	13.5%	15.7%	11.5%
Bolivia	José Ballivián	2000	15.6%	17.7%	13.8%
Bolivia	Cercado	2000	35.5%	36.6%	34.5%
Bolivia	Germán Jordán	2000	32.6%	34.8%	30.6%
Bolivia	Narciso Campero	2000	35.1%	38.2%	32.0%
Bolivia	Arque	2000	45.0%	47.7%	42.3%
Bolivia	Gran Chaco	2000	27.7%	29.7%	25.5%
Bolivia	Ingavi	2000	39.3%	41.3%	37.2%
Bolivia	Chapare	2000	35.3%	36.5%	34.1%
Bolivia	Yamparáez	2000	60.4%	62.5%	58.3%
Bolivia	Arani	2000	34.2%	36.4%	31.9%
Bolivia	Yacuma	2000	14.5%	17.4%	11.7%
Bolivia	Tapacarí	2017	22.3%	24.8%	20.0%
Bolivia	Cordillera	2017	8.2%	9.5%	7.0%
Bolivia	José María Avilés	2017	17.2%	18.8%	15.6%
Bolivia	Ichilo	2017	7.2%	8.3%	6.0%
Bolivia	Marbán	2017	6.4%	7.6%	5.2%
Bolivia	Eustaquio Méndez	2017	17.7%	19.4%	16.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Poopó	2017	44.9%	47.3%	42.5%
Bolivia	Ignacio Warnes	2017	5.4%	6.3%	4.6%
Bolivia	Manco Kapac	2017	22.0%	25.7%	18.0%
Bolivia	Tomás Frías	2017	49.4%	51.1%	47.8%
Bolivia	Larecaja	2017	23.7%	26.1%	21.5%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	42.4%	44.0%	40.9%
Bolivia	Obispo Santistevan	2017	5.2%	6.0%	4.4%
Bolivia	Pacajes	2017	24.3%	27.4%	21.6%
Bolivia	Antonio Quijarro	2017	49.6%	51.8%	47.0%
Bolivia	José María Linares	2017	48.9%	50.9%	46.7%
Bolivia	Manuripi	2017	14.3%	16.0%	12.9%
Bolivia	Quillacollo	2017	19.0%	20.0%	18.1%
Bolivia	Gualberto Villaruel	2017	31.8%	34.7%	28.5%
Bolivia	Vallegrande	2017	9.5%	11.8%	7.6%
Bolivia	Bautista Saavedra	2017	20.6%	24.1%	17.3%
Bolivia	Carrasco	2017	17.1%	18.6%	15.8%
Bolivia	Franz Tamayo	2017	21.1%	24.8%	17.5%
Bolivia	Ñuflo de Chávez	2017	5.6%	6.6%	4.8%
Bolivia	Chayanta	2017	48.8%	50.5%	46.9%
Bolivia	Eduardo Avaroa	2017	45.8%	48.0%	43.6%
Bolivia	Sara	2017	5.5%	6.5%	4.7%
Bolivia	Sud Chichas	2017	49.4%	51.9%	46.9%
Bolivia	Ingavi	2017	21.6%	23.1%	20.2%
Bolivia	Federico Román	2017	13.5%	15.2%	12.1%
Bolivia	Vaca Díez	2017	7.5%	8.2%	6.8%
Bolivia	Omasuyos	2017	21.7%	24.0%	19.7%
Bolivia	Mizque	2017	22.8%	25.0%	20.7%
Bolivia	Narciso Campero	2017	19.2%	21.3%	17.1%
Bolivia	Muñecas	2017	21.8%	24.7%	18.9%
Bolivia	Nor Yungas	2017	20.0%	22.1%	18.1%
Bolivia	Nor Lípez	2017	49.5%	54.3%	45.1%
Bolivia	Saucarí	2017	43.4%	45.8%	41.0%
Bolivia	Mamoré	2017	6.8%	9.1%	4.8%
Bolivia	Alonso de Ibáñez	2017	45.6%	48.1%	43.0%
Bolivia	Punata	2017	17.1%	18.9%	15.3%
Bolivia	Capinota	2017	21.7%	23.7%	20.0%
Bolivia	Charcas	2017	47.4%	49.7%	45.3%
Bolivia	Atahuallpa	2017	43.2%	46.5%	40.1%
Bolivia	Eliodoro Camacho	2017	20.7%	23.8%	17.6%
Bolivia	Jaime Zudáñez	2017	39.7%	41.8%	37.4%
Bolivia	Carangas	2017	42.1%	44.6%	39.6%
Bolivia	Sajama	2017	38.7%	42.0%	35.2%
Bolivia	Los Andes	2017	23.9%	25.8%	22.1%
Bolivia	Loayza	2017	23.7%	26.5%	21.1%
Bolivia	Arani	2017	18.3%	20.0%	16.8%
Bolivia	Belisario Boeto	2017	31.4%	35.4%	27.9%
Bolivia	Luis Calvo	2017	25.8%	28.0%	23.5%
Bolivia	Sud Yungas	2017	21.2%	23.4%	19.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bolivia	Pedro Domingo Murillo	2017	20.5%	21.4%	19.5%
Bolivia	Aniceto Arce	2017	14.5%	17.1%	11.9%
Bolivia	José Miguel de Velasco	2017	5.7%	6.8%	4.5%
Bolivia	Sud Cinti	2017	34.6%	36.8%	31.9%
Bolivia	Sud LÍpez	2017	50.7%	56.8%	44.3%
Bolivia	Oropeza	2017	39.5%	40.7%	38.3%
Bolivia	Moxos	2017	7.7%	8.8%	6.7%
Bolivia	Ayopaya	2017	20.8%	23.6%	18.5%
Bolivia	Madre de Dios	2017	13.1%	14.8%	11.7%
Bolivia	Pantaleón Dalance	2017	44.6%	46.9%	42.6%
Bolivia	Burnet O'Connor	2017	16.3%	18.4%	14.3%
Bolivia	Tomina	2017	40.1%	42.3%	37.6%
Bolivia	Inquisivi	2017	26.1%	28.5%	23.6%
Bolivia	Hernando Siles	2017	36.9%	39.8%	33.9%
Bolivia	Manuel María Caballero	2017	8.6%	10.6%	6.7%
Bolivia	Daniel Campos	2017	47.2%	52.2%	42.6%
Bolivia	Bernardino Bilbao	2017	41.2%	44.3%	37.9%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	6.7%	8.0%	5.7%
Bolivia	Chapare	2017	19.0%	19.9%	18.0%
Bolivia	Ladislao Cabrera	2017	44.7%	48.3%	41.2%
Bolivia	Litoral	2017	42.9%	46.6%	39.5%
Bolivia	Nor Cinti	2017	41.9%	43.9%	39.6%
Bolivia	Chiquitos	2017	5.6%	6.6%	4.8%
Bolivia	Gran Chaco	2017	13.9%	14.9%	12.8%
Bolivia	Juana Azurduy de Padilla	2017	41.8%	44.6%	39.3%
Bolivia	Modesto Omiste	2017	45.7%	48.7%	42.6%
Bolivia	Germán Jordán	2017	17.4%	19.1%	16.0%
Bolivia	Andrés Ibáñez	2017	5.1%	5.4%	4.8%
Bolivia	Abel Iturralde	2017	16.5%	20.6%	13.5%
Bolivia	Florida	2017	5.4%	7.0%	4.1%
Bolivia	Yamparáez	2017	41.9%	44.1%	39.6%
Bolivia	Nor Chichas	2017	49.3%	51.6%	46.8%
Bolivia	Yacuma	2017	7.3%	8.7%	5.9%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	14.2%	15.0%	13.4%
Bolivia	Cornelio Saavedra	2017	47.8%	49.7%	46.1%
Bolivia	Aroma	2017	23.3%	25.5%	20.8%
Bolivia	Rafael Bustillo	2017	48.7%	50.7%	46.9%
Bolivia	José Ballivián	2017	7.8%	9.0%	6.8%
Bolivia	Cercado	2017	19.0%	19.8%	18.2%
Bolivia	Arque	2017	27.3%	29.8%	25.1%
Bolivia	Esteban Arce	2017	20.1%	21.6%	18.5%
Brazil	Cândido Sales	2000	22.3%	25.6%	18.9%
Brazil	Paragominas	2000	12.9%	15.8%	10.0%
Brazil	Luís Domingues	2000	24.5%	29.9%	19.6%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Milagres	2000	20.3%	23.9%	17.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lago Verde	2000	43.9%	48.7%	38.8%
Brazil	Conde	2000	23.6%	28.0%	19.6%
Brazil	Porto Real do Colégio	2000	19.9%	22.4%	17.4%
Brazil	Aragominas	2000	21.2%	24.6%	18.1%
Brazil	Rondonópolis	2000	7.5%	9.7%	5.8%
Brazil	Muricilândia	2000	22.3%	25.6%	19.0%
Brazil	Santa Lucia	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.1%
Brazil	Igarapé Grande	2000	43.4%	48.7%	38.2%
Brazil	Palmácia	2000	16.8%	18.7%	14.9%
Brazil	Heitorai	2000	6.2%	7.5%	4.9%
Brazil	Materlândia	2000	9.7%	12.5%	7.4%
Brazil	Descanso	2000	3.4%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Senhor do Bonfim	2000	23.7%	27.2%	20.0%
Brazil	João Lisboa	2000	35.6%	40.4%	31.5%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2000	7.9%	10.0%	6.0%
Brazil	Mossoró	2000	13.5%	15.7%	11.5%
Brazil	Damianópolis	2000	10.2%	13.3%	7.5%
Brazil	São Domingos do Capim	2000	10.7%	12.7%	8.9%
Brazil	Duas Estradas	2000	17.4%	20.0%	14.7%
Brazil	Poção	2000	14.8%	17.2%	12.5%
Brazil	Pracinha	2000	1.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Maurilândia do Tocantins	2000	34.2%	38.9%	29.8%
Brazil	Cândido Godói	2000	4.4%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Tocantins	2000	27.9%	33.7%	22.6%
Brazil	Formoso	2000	7.2%	9.2%	5.5%
Brazil	Marianópolis do Tocantins	2000	19.9%	25.4%	15.7%
Brazil	Chopininho	2000	5.0%	6.4%	3.5%
Brazil	Itapiúna	2000	20.6%	23.6%	18.1%
Brazil	Vila Valério	2000	7.5%	9.5%	5.8%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Piriá	2000	14.2%	17.2%	11.2%
Brazil	Rondon do Pará	2000	17.0%	20.9%	13.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Nova	2000	10.0%	12.1%	7.7%
Brazil	Cerquillo	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Brazil	Lagoa de São Francisco	2000	33.9%	39.7%	27.8%
Brazil	Icapuí	2000	18.1%	22.0%	14.2%
Brazil	Nova Resende	2000	9.0%	11.1%	7.3%
Brazil	Mirabela	2000	10.0%	13.3%	7.1%
Brazil	Bocaiúva	2000	10.7%	13.4%	8.3%
Brazil	São José da Tapera	2000	21.8%	24.4%	18.8%
Brazil	Fronteiras	2000	26.9%	31.6%	22.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Suaçuí	2000	10.8%	13.6%	8.1%
Brazil	Coronel Freitas	2000	3.5%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Vila Pavão	2000	10.3%	12.7%	8.1%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu do Piauí	2000	38.1%	43.5%	33.0%
Brazil	Marcolândia	2000	27.0%	31.6%	22.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Três Rios	2000	5.7%	7.1%	4.6%
Brazil	Juru	2000	17.5%	20.3%	15.0%
Brazil	São Pedro da Aldeia	2000	2.1%	3.0%	1.4%
Brazil	São Paulo	2000	1.1%	1.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Sul	2000	3.1%	4.5%	2.0%
Brazil	Cruz Alta	2000	3.9%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Forquilha	2000	2.6%	3.8%	1.7%
Brazil	Boituva	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Brazil	Cristópolis	2000	24.4%	29.0%	19.7%
Brazil	Ibicuitinga	2000	21.8%	24.7%	18.8%
Brazil	Mercês	2000	11.6%	13.9%	9.5%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2000	26.9%	30.8%	23.6%
Brazil	Santa Tereza	2000	5.6%	7.1%	4.3%
Brazil	Foz do Iguaçu	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.1%
Brazil	Agudos	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Misso Velha	2000	19.4%	21.5%	17.2%
Brazil	Monte Sião	2000	4.9%	6.4%	3.8%
Brazil	Indaial	2000	2.6%	3.6%	2.0%
Brazil	Campanha	2000	10.1%	12.6%	8.1%
Brazil	Cumari	2000	11.5%	13.8%	9.3%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2000	21.9%	25.0%	18.2%
Brazil	Rio dos Bois	2000	25.2%	29.3%	21.3%
Brazil	Firminópolis	2000	6.2%	7.9%	4.8%
Brazil	Brauna	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Arari	2000	9.9%	12.8%	7.5%
Brazil	Cravolândia	2000	21.1%	24.6%	18.2%
Brazil	Pastos Bons	2000	43.7%	50.2%	36.4%
Brazil	Peritoró	2000	46.4%	52.5%	40.4%
Brazil	Itapeçerica	2000	9.3%	11.1%	7.8%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Campos	2000	18.2%	20.3%	16.1%
Brazil	Cândido Rodrigues	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Nova Aliança	2000	1.9%	2.7%	1.2%
Brazil	Monte das Gameleiras	2000	13.2%	15.5%	10.7%
Brazil	Amparo de São Francisco	2000	20.4%	23.2%	17.4%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	18.1%	20.8%	15.5%
Brazil	Guimarães	2000	42.2%	48.2%	35.9%
Brazil	São Gonçalo	2000	1.8%	2.2%	1.4%
Brazil	Ponte Branca	2000	7.1%	9.7%	4.7%
Brazil	Botelhos	2000	9.1%	11.1%	7.3%
Brazil	Cromínia	2000	6.8%	8.2%	5.6%
Brazil	Jacinto Machado	2000	3.0%	4.2%	2.1%
Brazil	Monte Azul	2000	15.2%	18.9%	11.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Galho	2000	11.1%	13.2%	9.0%
Brazil	Mata Roma	2000	45.5%	51.4%	39.3%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Brazil	Periquito	2000	12.9%	15.5%	10.7%
Brazil	São Mateus do Sul	2000	4.1%	5.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	10.4%	13.0%	8.1%
Brazil	Governador Nunes Freire	2000	29.0%	34.1%	24.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Godofredo Viana	2000	26.2%	31.7%	21.1%
Brazil	Edéia	2000	6.3%	7.7%	5.1%
Brazil	Bilac	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Eldorado do Sul	2000	5.0%	5.6%	4.4%
Brazil	Mutum	2000	10.2%	12.4%	8.0%
Brazil	Irauçuba	2000	20.8%	23.6%	18.0%
Brazil	São João do Caiuá	2000	4.0%	5.6%	2.8%
Brazil	Vila Lângaro	2000	4.6%	5.7%	3.5%
Brazil	Óbidos	2000	11.9%	15.7%	8.7%
Brazil	Pirapora do Bom Jesus	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%
Brazil	Lajeado Novo	2000	37.3%	43.3%	32.0%
Brazil	Paranaíta	2000	7.3%	10.6%	4.9%
Brazil	São José de Mipibu	2000	13.0%	14.7%	11.3%
Brazil	Muriaé	2000	7.5%	9.3%	6.0%
Brazil	Catu	2000	23.2%	25.7%	20.8%
Brazil	Viana	2000	7.9%	9.0%	6.9%
Brazil	Itapoá	2000	4.7%	6.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Capitão	2000	4.3%	5.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Itabirinha de Mantena	2000	10.7%	12.7%	8.8%
Brazil	Milagres	2000	21.0%	23.7%	18.6%
Brazil	Guamiranga	2000	4.9%	6.6%	3.7%
Brazil	Mucajaí	2000	8.1%	10.3%	6.1%
Brazil	Joca Marques	2000	41.8%	46.6%	36.6%
Brazil	Pará de Minas	2000	9.5%	11.3%	7.9%
Brazil	Dirceu Arcoverde	2000	32.2%	38.4%	26.2%
Brazil	Tabaporã	2000	8.3%	11.3%	5.8%
Brazil	Palmas	2000	25.1%	28.0%	22.6%
Brazil	Paranapuã	2000	2.7%	3.9%	1.7%
Brazil	Nova Palmeira	2000	16.1%	19.5%	13.4%
Brazil	Taipas do Tocantins	2000	24.6%	29.5%	20.6%
Brazil	Erechim	2000	3.2%	4.3%	2.4%
Brazil	Sairé	2000	17.1%	19.3%	15.3%
Brazil	Buritis	2000	7.7%	10.4%	5.2%
Brazil	Pradópolis	2000	2.4%	3.5%	1.5%
Brazil	Ribeirãozinho	2000	7.2%	10.0%	4.9%
Brazil	Mirandiba	2000	19.2%	22.6%	16.3%
Brazil	Charrua	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2000	5.4%	6.9%	4.2%
Brazil	Salto do Jacuí	2000	4.3%	5.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Ermo	2000	3.2%	4.5%	2.3%
Brazil	Guimaraná	2000	10.6%	13.2%	8.1%
Brazil	Recife	2000	18.6%	19.5%	17.8%
Brazil	Bertioga	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Brazil	Ibiara	2000	17.1%	19.9%	14.4%
Brazil	Itapirapuã	2000	6.9%	9.1%	5.1%
Brazil	Salvador	2000	24.1%	25.2%	22.8%
Brazil	Canudos	2000	23.8%	28.2%	19.5%
Brazil	São Tomé	2000	4.7%	5.8%	3.7%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Minas	2000	15.4%	19.1%	11.6%
Brazil	Juripiranga	2000	18.0%	20.2%	16.2%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guamá	2000	11.0%	12.9%	9.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barcelona	2000	14.0%	16.9%	11.5%
Brazil	Monsenhor Gil	2000	41.4%	47.6%	35.1%
Brazil	São Vendelino	2000	5.6%	6.6%	4.7%
Brazil	Goiatuba	2000	6.7%	8.3%	5.2%
Brazil	Primavera do Leste	2000	6.4%	8.9%	4.6%
Brazil	Imperatriz	2000	34.2%	38.7%	30.3%
Brazil	Irani	2000	2.3%	3.3%	1.6%
Brazil	Ibituruna	2000	12.1%	14.6%	9.6%
Brazil	Rio Novo	2000	12.1%	14.6%	9.8%
Brazil	Pedro Afonso	2000	27.8%	33.0%	23.2%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	4.1%	5.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Pedro Teixeira	2000	9.0%	11.3%	7.1%
Brazil	Lagoa do Piauí	2000	42.4%	47.7%	36.1%
Brazil	Cansanção	2000	26.4%	29.9%	22.9%
Brazil	Pitimbu	2000	19.4%	21.9%	17.1%
Brazil	Miguel Alves	2000	42.6%	47.7%	37.8%
Brazil	Coração de Maria	2000	25.4%	27.9%	23.3%
Brazil	Texeira Soares	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.6%
Brazil	Ijaci	2000	11.8%	14.3%	9.8%
Brazil	Moraújo	2000	24.3%	27.3%	21.5%
Brazil	Barra Mansa	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Brazil	Óleo	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Quixabá	2000	17.0%	19.5%	14.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Herval	2000	3.6%	4.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Barreiros	2000	16.4%	18.8%	14.2%
Brazil	Angical do Piauí	2000	41.6%	48.8%	34.7%
Brazil	Lajinha	2000	8.9%	11.3%	6.9%
Brazil	Cornélio Procópio	2000	3.9%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Patos do Piauí	2000	35.3%	40.9%	29.5%
Brazil	Gonçalves Dias	2000	45.0%	50.9%	39.4%
Brazil	Três Lagoas	2000	4.4%	6.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Milagres do Maranhão	2000	44.4%	50.2%	39.7%
Brazil	Rolante	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Peixoto de Azevedo	2000	8.5%	11.0%	6.2%
Brazil	Jurema	2000	17.1%	19.0%	15.1%
Brazil	Humberto Campos	2000	45.3%	52.3%	38.7%
Brazil	Palmares	2000	17.8%	19.7%	15.9%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis de Minas	2000	7.5%	11.2%	5.0%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.6%
Brazil	São José da Coroa Grande	2000	18.6%	21.5%	15.9%
Brazil	Paulista	2000	17.4%	20.1%	14.5%
Brazil	Marcelino Vieira	2000	15.1%	18.3%	12.5%
Brazil	Gavião	2000	30.0%	34.3%	26.1%
Brazil	Florestópolis	2000	4.0%	5.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Guarabira	2000	18.6%	21.1%	16.0%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	10.8%	12.0%	9.8%
Brazil	Chorrochó	2000	21.0%	24.6%	17.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mãe d'Água	2000	16.2%	19.0%	14.0%
Brazil	Italva	2000	3.3%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Sátiro Dias	2000	25.0%	29.1%	22.0%
Brazil	Bom despacho	2000	11.5%	13.7%	9.2%
Brazil	Candeal	2000	24.9%	28.5%	21.6%
Brazil	Lago do Junco	2000	43.9%	49.3%	38.6%
Brazil	São João da Barra	2000	3.5%	5.2%	2.3%
Brazil	Mogi Mirim	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Brazil	Paulista	2000	18.5%	19.8%	17.3%
Brazil	Candiota	2000	5.0%	7.1%	3.2%
Brazil	Patrocínio Paulista	2000	4.8%	6.2%	3.6%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2000	16.1%	18.7%	13.6%
Brazil	Caratinga	2000	8.3%	10.1%	6.7%
Brazil	Parnarama	2000	47.4%	52.9%	42.4%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2000	2.5%	3.8%	1.6%
Brazil	Inhaúma	2000	11.2%	13.6%	9.2%
Brazil	Tiradentes do Sul	2000	4.9%	6.5%	3.5%
Brazil	Conceição do Almeida	2000	25.2%	27.6%	22.8%
Brazil	Primeira Cruz	2000	45.2%	52.7%	38.5%
Brazil	Correia Pinto	2000	2.1%	3.1%	1.3%
Brazil	Campos do Jordão	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Salinópolis	2000	10.7%	13.2%	8.3%
Brazil	Lagoa do Itaenga	2000	16.2%	17.6%	14.8%
Brazil	Isaías Coelho	2000	37.3%	43.2%	31.1%
Brazil	Sananduva	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Estação	2000	5.1%	5.8%	4.4%
Brazil	Vera	2000	8.0%	10.4%	5.8%
Brazil	Reserva do Cabaçal	2000	7.2%	9.9%	5.1%
Brazil	Camamu	2000	23.8%	27.0%	20.3%
Brazil	Itanhomi	2000	7.3%	8.4%	6.1%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Norte	2000	18.1%	21.0%	15.4%
Brazil	Quixabá	2000	18.9%	21.8%	15.8%
Brazil	Tangará	2000	14.7%	17.9%	12.1%
Brazil	Faxinalzinho	2000	3.7%	5.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Paraipaba	2000	18.5%	21.9%	15.6%
Brazil	Criciúma	2000	2.8%	4.1%	1.9%
Brazil	Nova Ipixuna	2000	10.6%	12.8%	8.9%
Brazil	Canavieiras	2000	23.4%	28.1%	19.3%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio Negro	2000	26.6%	31.3%	22.6%
Brazil	Aliança	2000	19.2%	20.8%	17.5%
Brazil	Francisco Ayres	2000	44.1%	51.7%	37.7%
Brazil	Tijucas do Sul	2000	3.3%	4.1%	2.6%
Brazil	Paramoti	2000	21.2%	24.2%	18.3%
Brazil	Iporã	2000	4.4%	5.5%	3.3%
Brazil	Pindorama do Tocantins	2000	26.2%	31.6%	20.7%
Brazil	Pedro Laurentino	2000	40.0%	46.8%	33.0%
Brazil	Bonfim	2000	11.9%	14.2%	9.5%
Brazil	Carmo do Paranaíba	2000	9.0%	11.6%	6.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Irati	2000	4.0%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2000	16.3%	19.2%	13.5%
Brazil	Nazaré do Piauí	2000	44.1%	51.2%	36.8%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2000	4.9%	6.0%	3.7%
Brazil	Siriri	2000	14.4%	16.6%	12.3%
Brazil	Macaíba	2000	13.1%	14.7%	11.6%
Brazil	Imaruí	2000	3.0%	4.3%	2.0%
Brazil	Itaitinga	2000	20.0%	21.6%	18.4%
Brazil	Goioerê	2000	3.7%	4.8%	2.8%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2000	11.0%	13.6%	8.8%
Brazil	Riachão	2000	35.4%	42.7%	29.1%
Brazil	Senador José Porfírio	2000	10.8%	14.2%	7.9%
Brazil	Severiano Melo	2000	16.0%	19.5%	12.6%
Brazil	Eugênio de Castro	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Piqueroibi	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Brazil	Guaraci	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.2%
Brazil	Satuba	2000	18.2%	19.9%	16.4%
Brazil	Desterro	2000	16.9%	19.9%	14.3%
Brazil	Cananéia	2000	2.7%	4.0%	1.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Leverger	2000	7.9%	9.3%	6.7%
Brazil	Tabapuã	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	Alvorada do Gurguéia	2000	40.3%	49.5%	32.2%
Brazil	Leopoldo de Bulhões	2000	6.1%	6.9%	5.3%
Brazil	Itarumã	2000	20.4%	24.2%	17.3%
Brazil	Santana do Livramento	2000	4.1%	5.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Alfredo Marconde	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Guarani de Goiás	2000	5.6%	7.0%	4.3%
Brazil	Buquim	2000	14.3%	16.6%	12.1%
Brazil	Macau	2000	14.7%	18.8%	11.1%
Brazil	Mutunópolis	2000	7.6%	9.8%	5.6%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2000	32.8%	38.5%	27.9%
Brazil	Cerro Branco	2000	4.8%	5.9%	3.6%
Brazil	Palmópolis	2000	6.9%	8.7%	5.4%
Brazil	Abatiá	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.1%
Brazil	Angical	2000	26.9%	31.4%	22.5%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu de Goiás	2000	7.0%	8.8%	5.2%
Brazil	Sambaíba	2000	43.3%	51.4%	35.6%
Brazil	Abdon Batista	2000	3.2%	4.6%	2.1%
Brazil	São Joaquim da Barra	2000	3.0%	4.3%	2.0%
Brazil	Ibipeba	2000	26.7%	30.5%	22.9%
Brazil	São José da Laje	2000	14.3%	16.3%	12.3%
Brazil	Unistalda	2000	4.3%	6.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Guiratinga	2000	5.8%	8.5%	4.0%
Brazil	Céu Azul	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.1%
Brazil	Lontras	2000	2.4%	3.5%	1.6%
Brazil	São João do Araguaia	2000	15.3%	17.4%	13.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Catolândia	2000	23.8%	28.5%	19.6%
Brazil	Paqueta	2000	38.2%	43.9%	32.6%
Brazil	Capit6lio	2000	11.3%	14.9%	8.7%
Brazil	Santa Carmem	2000	7.7%	10.3%	5.7%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Minas	2000	4.4%	6.1%	3.0%
Brazil	Bel6m de Maria	2000	18.8%	20.6%	17.0%
Brazil	Luisburgo	2000	7.1%	8.8%	5.6%
Brazil	Arari	2000	43.6%	48.6%	38.5%
Brazil	Jos6 de Freitas	2000	39.6%	44.2%	34.8%
Brazil	Imaculada	2000	16.6%	19.2%	14.1%
Brazil	Atilio Vivacqua	2000	6.9%	8.8%	5.5%
Brazil	Antonina do Norte	2000	21.6%	25.3%	18.3%
Brazil	Tenente Portela	2000	4.0%	5.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Dion6sio Cerqueira	2000	3.1%	4.3%	2.1%
Brazil	Natividade	2000	24.0%	28.5%	19.7%
Brazil	Florianopolis	2000	2.3%	3.3%	1.5%
Brazil	6guas Vermelhas	2000	14.9%	18.4%	11.8%
Brazil	Jos6 Boiteux	2000	2.5%	3.6%	1.7%
Brazil	Cordeir6polis	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Brazil	S6o Bento do Tocantins	2000	26.8%	30.6%	22.9%
Brazil	Patroc6nio do Muria6	2000	7.5%	9.7%	5.9%
Brazil	Casa Nova	2000	25.5%	29.3%	22.0%
Brazil	Ip6	2000	23.9%	26.6%	21.1%
Brazil	S6o Martinho da Serra	2000	4.4%	5.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Nova Independ6ncia	2000	2.7%	4.0%	1.7%
Brazil	Jacara6	2000	15.3%	17.9%	13.0%
Brazil	Itamonte	2000	4.9%	6.5%	3.5%
Brazil	Serra Talhada	2000	18.5%	21.2%	16.0%
Brazil	Cabeceira Grande	2000	5.9%	7.7%	4.5%
Brazil	Araguainha	2000	6.4%	9.0%	4.3%
Brazil	Vila Alta	2000	4.4%	5.9%	3.2%
Brazil	Nova Floresta	2000	14.0%	16.6%	11.6%
Brazil	Godoy Moreira	2000	5.2%	6.6%	4.0%
Brazil	Serop6dica	2000	2.6%	3.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Condor	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.4%
Brazil	S6o Jos6 dos Campos	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Brazil	Ita6cu	2000	5.7%	6.9%	4.6%
Brazil	Santana da Boa Vista	2000	4.6%	6.3%	3.3%
Brazil	Dois Lajeados	2000	4.8%	6.2%	3.7%
Brazil	Palhano	2000	21.1%	24.7%	18.3%
Brazil	Rur6polis	2000	10.4%	14.1%	7.4%
Brazil	Portalegre	2000	11.7%	14.6%	9.2%
Brazil	Dom Expedito Lopes	2000	36.2%	41.7%	30.3%
Brazil	Pedras de Fogo	2000	17.6%	19.8%	15.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Anajas	2000	9.1%	11.6%	7.1%
Brazil	Tupirama	2000	24.2%	28.4%	20.1%
Brazil	Arneiroz	2000	22.6%	26.5%	19.3%
Brazil	Branquinha	2000	16.5%	18.8%	14.4%
Brazil	Goianápolis	2000	5.5%	6.3%	4.7%
Brazil	Aimorés	2000	10.7%	13.1%	8.1%
Brazil	Nuporanga	2000	2.5%	3.5%	1.6%
Brazil	Iati	2000	18.9%	21.5%	16.6%
Brazil	Demerval	2000	43.4%	48.8%	37.7%
Brazil	Lobão				
Brazil	São Francisco de Itabapoana	2000	4.8%	6.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Retirolândia	2000	26.3%	29.7%	23.1%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2000	22.5%	26.6%	18.3%
Brazil	Santa Amélia	2000	5.0%	6.4%	3.8%
Brazil	Amargosa	2000	23.0%	26.0%	19.9%
Brazil	Cássia	2000	8.5%	11.0%	6.3%
Brazil	Buritizal	2000	5.8%	7.7%	4.3%
Brazil	Itaúna do Sul	2000	3.4%	4.8%	2.4%
Brazil	Terenos	2000	3.4%	4.4%	2.5%
Brazil	Jeceaba	2000	11.7%	14.2%	9.5%
Brazil	Cotegipe	2000	26.2%	30.8%	21.6%
Brazil	Gaurama	2000	3.9%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Morros	2000	44.8%	50.0%	40.1%
Brazil	Amaral Ferrador	2000	4.8%	6.4%	3.6%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Lourdes	2000	33.0%	38.6%	26.8%
Brazil	Trairão	2000	11.3%	16.8%	7.7%
Brazil	Amontada	2000	21.3%	24.3%	18.4%
Brazil	Novo Jardim	2000	26.1%	32.1%	20.7%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2000	17.7%	20.9%	14.2%
Brazil	Serrinha dos Pintos	2000	11.0%	13.7%	8.6%
Brazil	Buenópolis	2000	11.4%	15.0%	8.1%
Brazil	Bias Fortes	2000	9.0%	11.1%	7.0%
Brazil	Guaíra	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.2%
Brazil	Curral Velho	2000	17.1%	19.9%	14.8%
Brazil	Umbauba	2000	15.0%	17.5%	12.4%
Brazil	Cruz das Almas	2000	24.1%	26.6%	21.6%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2000	8.6%	10.5%	6.8%
Brazil	Imbituba	2000	2.6%	3.9%	1.6%
Brazil	Tabaí	2000	5.3%	6.6%	4.4%
Brazil	Ipameri	2000	8.0%	10.3%	6.4%
Brazil	Magalhães de Almeida	2000	39.1%	44.2%	34.2%
Brazil	Redenção	2000	18.7%	21.0%	16.6%
Brazil	Pacaraima	2000	4.8%	8.0%	2.7%
Brazil	Terezinha	2000	16.0%	18.1%	13.9%
Brazil	Altamira do Maranhão	2000	42.8%	47.9%	37.8%
Brazil	Jardim	2000	17.9%	20.5%	15.5%
Brazil	Gentil	2000	4.1%	5.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Guaratinga	2000	20.1%	23.4%	17.0%
Brazil	Tiradentes	2000	11.4%	13.9%	9.0%
Brazil	Riacho	2000	20.2%	22.7%	18.0%
Brazil	Maurilândia	2000	6.4%	8.4%	4.9%
Brazil	Iaciara	2000	11.0%	13.7%	8.2%
Brazil	Piracuruca	2000	37.1%	41.9%	31.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Touros	2000	13.1%	17.3%	9.9%
Brazil	Ipaumirim	2000	20.5%	23.5%	17.6%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Serra de São Bento	2000	13.9%	16.3%	11.8%
Brazil	Ivaté	2000	4.9%	5.8%	3.9%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2000	19.6%	22.4%	16.8%
Brazil	Jucati	2000	17.4%	19.2%	15.4%
Brazil	Acari	2000	14.1%	17.2%	11.5%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte do Norte	2000	8.0%	11.1%	5.0%
Brazil	Mirinzal	2000	41.1%	47.2%	34.4%
Brazil	Cardeal da Silva	2000	24.0%	27.6%	20.3%
Brazil	Paço do Lumiar	2000	44.2%	48.1%	40.4%
Brazil	Miranorte	2000	24.5%	28.0%	20.6%
Brazil	Buri	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Porteiras	2000	18.6%	21.2%	16.4%
Brazil	Serra do Mel	2000	11.8%	14.3%	9.5%
Brazil	Pedra Branca do Amapari	2000	5.1%	7.5%	2.9%
Brazil	Tanguá	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Brazil	Medina	2000	10.5%	13.8%	7.7%
Brazil	Canela	2000	3.3%	4.3%	2.6%
Brazil	Iaçu	2000	29.7%	34.4%	25.6%
Brazil	Embu	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Ipupiara	2000	24.4%	29.1%	19.8%
Brazil	Tamboril	2000	21.0%	23.9%	17.9%
Brazil	Coronel João Sá	2000	20.9%	24.8%	17.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2000	15.1%	17.5%	12.6%
Brazil	Pilar	2000	19.2%	21.3%	17.4%
Brazil	Marajá do Sena	2000	44.5%	50.4%	38.6%
Brazil	São José do Rio Claro	2000	7.6%	10.1%	5.3%
Brazil	Gaspar	2000	2.5%	3.4%	1.8%
Brazil	Arambaré	2000	4.4%	6.0%	3.1%
Brazil	Navegantes	2000	2.6%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Manaíra	2000	15.2%	17.7%	12.7%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2000	21.4%	23.8%	19.1%
Brazil	Nova Monte Verde	2000	8.0%	11.3%	5.2%
Brazil	Biquinhas	2000	12.3%	16.3%	8.5%
Brazil	Ouricuri	2000	20.5%	23.7%	17.5%
Brazil	Lagoa Alegre	2000	41.1%	46.7%	35.4%
Brazil	Amaturá	2000	16.0%	22.5%	11.0%
Brazil	Nova Castilho	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.1%
Brazil	Horizonte	2000	20.7%	22.7%	18.6%
Brazil	Caraá	2000	3.8%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Coronel domingos Soares	2000	3.6%	4.7%	2.4%
Brazil	Campinas	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.2%
Brazil	Canápolis	2000	6.9%	8.8%	5.1%
Brazil	Nova Xavantina	2000	6.9%	10.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Morro Cabeça No Tempo	2000	32.7%	40.7%	25.6%
Brazil	Jaguaruna	2000	2.7%	4.0%	1.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Clementina	2000	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Itaperuçu	2000	4.3%	5.2%	3.4%
Brazil	Placas	2000	10.8%	14.0%	7.8%
Brazil	Jericó	2000	16.4%	19.4%	13.4%
Brazil	Argirita	2000	8.6%	10.5%	6.5%
Brazil	Governador Celso Ramos	2000	2.7%	3.7%	1.7%
Brazil	Cachoeira Paulista	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Fazenda Rio Grande	2000	3.9%	4.5%	3.3%
Brazil	Nova Itaberaba	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Pinhal	2000	4.1%	5.4%	3.1%
Brazil	Cocos	2000	17.8%	22.1%	13.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	41.3%	46.2%	36.8%
Brazil	Tejuçuoca	2000	20.2%	23.2%	17.3%
Brazil	Palminópolis	2000	6.0%	7.5%	4.7%
Brazil	Eunápolis	2000	22.9%	26.3%	20.0%
Brazil	Inhumas	2000	5.6%	6.6%	4.6%
Brazil	Caconde	2000	8.4%	10.5%	6.6%
Brazil	Três Barras do Paraná	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Primavera	2000	11.5%	14.0%	9.2%
Brazil	Parnaíba	2000	34.5%	39.1%	29.5%
Brazil	Gramado	2000	3.5%	4.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Nova Trento	2000	2.3%	3.3%	1.6%
Brazil	Sirinhaém	2000	18.9%	21.3%	16.6%
Brazil	Conceição do Jacuípe	2000	23.7%	26.2%	21.5%
Brazil	Divinópolis de Goiás	2000	14.3%	18.4%	10.4%
Brazil	São Domingos do Araguaia	2000	14.1%	16.5%	11.6%
Brazil	Nova Marilândia	2000	7.6%	9.6%	5.5%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2000	15.8%	18.7%	13.0%
Brazil	Campestre	2000	8.3%	10.5%	6.5%
Brazil	Bras Pires	2000	13.0%	15.1%	10.4%
Brazil	Araruama	2000	1.9%	2.7%	1.3%
Brazil	Governador Edison Lobão	2000	35.8%	40.8%	31.3%
Brazil	Gravataí	2000	4.6%	5.3%	4.1%
Brazil	Soure	2000	8.8%	11.1%	6.8%
Brazil	Pacajá	2000	10.3%	13.4%	7.8%
Brazil	Oeiras do Pará	2000	10.2%	12.2%	8.2%
Brazil	Irecê	2000	23.9%	27.0%	21.2%
Brazil	Trairi	2000	20.1%	23.6%	17.3%
Brazil	São Félix Xingu	2000	12.9%	16.8%	9.5%
Brazil	Caetanópolis	2000	10.3%	12.7%	7.8%
Brazil	Congonhinhas	2000	4.9%	6.3%	3.8%
Brazil	São Bentinho	2000	19.3%	22.2%	16.3%
Brazil	Janiópolis	2000	4.2%	5.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Mombaça	2000	20.7%	24.1%	17.7%
Brazil	Salto Grande	2000	2.3%	3.2%	1.6%
Brazil	Mates do Norte	2000	43.8%	49.4%	38.4%
Brazil	São Pedro da Cipa	2000	7.8%	10.1%	5.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Canhoba	2000	19.0%	22.0%	16.5%
Brazil	Candeias	2000	10.7%	13.3%	8.5%
Brazil	Itaipulândia	2000	4.8%	6.3%	3.5%
Brazil	Tapes	2000	4.3%	5.8%	3.1%
Brazil	Descalvado	2000	2.0%	3.0%	1.4%
Brazil	Ribeirão	2000	17.0%	18.8%	15.1%
Brazil	Angicos	2000	11.8%	14.8%	9.3%
Brazil	Araripe	2000	21.6%	24.6%	18.6%
Brazil	Dona Inês	2000	17.0%	19.5%	14.7%
Brazil	Japira	2000	5.1%	6.7%	3.6%
Brazil	Riacho Frio	2000	33.8%	41.5%	26.3%
Brazil	Altinho	2000	20.9%	23.0%	18.7%
Brazil	Matipó	2000	9.7%	11.6%	7.6%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Araguaia	2000	21.3%	24.3%	17.8%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	18.6%	21.5%	15.8%
Brazil	Uruguaiana	2000	4.6%	6.5%	2.8%
Brazil	Gentio do Ouro	2000	21.4%	25.3%	17.7%
Brazil	Hugo Napoleão	2000	40.6%	46.9%	34.1%
Brazil	Ibicaré	2000	3.2%	4.5%	2.2%
Brazil	Fênix	2000	5.7%	7.1%	4.6%
Brazil	Diorama	2000	6.5%	8.5%	4.7%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Valos	2000	4.7%	6.1%	3.5%
Brazil	Japurá	2000	3.9%	5.0%	3.0%
Brazil	Verdejante	2000	19.5%	22.2%	16.9%
Brazil	Valencia	2000	3.3%	4.4%	2.5%
Brazil	Nova Era	2000	10.5%	12.7%	8.6%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso de Itararé	2000	2.6%	3.9%	1.7%
Brazil	Figueira	2000	5.5%	7.2%	4.2%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha Paulista	2000	4.1%	5.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Itabuna	2000	23.8%	26.7%	21.3%
Brazil	Baixo Guandu	2000	9.9%	12.0%	7.5%
Brazil	Pilar de Goiás	2000	5.7%	7.2%	4.4%
Brazil	São Francisco do Maranhão	2000	45.2%	51.6%	39.5%
Brazil	Chapada do Norte	2000	11.6%	15.0%	8.8%
Brazil	São Domingos do Cariri	2000	21.3%	24.5%	18.2%
Brazil	Jardim Alegre	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.3%
Brazil	Vertente do Lério	2000	21.6%	23.9%	19.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Lisboa	2000	41.3%	47.8%	35.0%
Brazil	Guarani das Missões	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Muniz Freire	2000	7.0%	8.7%	5.4%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2000	3.1%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Fartura	2000	2.5%	3.7%	1.6%
Brazil	Fortuna	2000	47.7%	53.9%	41.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Boa Vista	2000	10.1%	12.4%	8.1%
Brazil	Coronel Vivida	2000	4.7%	6.2%	3.3%
Brazil	Buritama	2000	1.6%	2.5%	0.9%
Brazil	Ibirapuã	2000	17.7%	21.1%	14.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Moju	2000	9.9%	11.4%	8.6%
Brazil	Tracunhaém	2000	18.6%	20.2%	17.0%
Brazil	Vigia	2000	10.6%	12.7%	8.8%
Brazil	Piencó	2000	19.0%	22.5%	15.5%
Brazil	Terra Nova do Norte	2000	7.7%	10.5%	5.3%
Brazil	Desterro de Malta	2000	15.5%	18.3%	12.9%
Brazil	Salesópolis	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Adamantina	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Brazil	Olimpio Noronha	2000	8.1%	10.3%	6.3%
Brazil	Jordão	2000	23.1%	28.9%	17.6%
Brazil	Itaueira	2000	42.4%	52.0%	34.3%
Brazil	Chaveslandia	2000	6.9%	9.1%	5.1%
Brazil	Moreno	2000	18.7%	19.9%	17.4%
Brazil	Ibirataia	2000	22.5%	26.1%	19.9%
Brazil	São Antonio de Sudoeste	2000	4.2%	5.5%	3.0%
Brazil	Santo Inácio do Piauí	2000	41.2%	47.8%	34.4%
Brazil	Herveiras	2000	3.9%	4.9%	2.9%
Brazil	Cambuquira	2000	11.4%	14.0%	9.2%
Brazil	Riachão do Bacamarte	2000	16.2%	18.7%	14.0%
Brazil	Fernandópolis	2000	2.1%	3.0%	1.2%
Brazil	Cianorte	2000	4.3%	5.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Sete de Setembro	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.2%
Brazil	Ipojuca	2000	17.9%	19.7%	16.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Alto	2000	2.7%	3.8%	1.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aracanguá	2000	2.2%	3.0%	1.6%
Brazil	Pacujá	2000	24.7%	28.0%	21.6%
Brazil	Queimada Nova	2000	29.4%	35.7%	24.1%
Brazil	Rubiácea	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Trindade do Sul	2000	3.7%	4.8%	2.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Tocantins	2000	25.1%	29.5%	20.6%
Brazil	Cajamar	2000	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Porto Nacional	2000	26.5%	29.9%	23.7%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	5.0%	5.9%	4.1%
Brazil	Riachão do Poço	2000	19.4%	21.8%	17.1%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Turvo	2000	1.6%	2.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Salidao	2000	18.6%	21.3%	16.1%
Brazil	Governador Eugênio Barros	2000	46.9%	52.6%	41.3%
Brazil	Sonora	2000	5.3%	7.6%	3.5%
Brazil	Serra do Salitre	2000	9.3%	11.9%	7.3%
Brazil	Capela do Alto Alegre	2000	27.3%	31.2%	23.8%
Brazil	Morada Nova de Minas	2000	11.7%	16.2%	8.1%
Brazil	São Félix do Xingu	2000	9.7%	12.7%	7.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rodrigues Alves	2000	19.0%	23.2%	15.5%
Brazil	Hulha Negra	2000	4.9%	6.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Aral Moreira	2000	2.9%	4.2%	1.7%
Brazil	Biritiba Mirim	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Brazil	Jucás	2000	22.3%	25.2%	19.7%
Brazil	Luiz Alves	2000	2.3%	3.0%	1.5%
Brazil	Cruz Machado	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Seabra	2000	21.5%	24.9%	17.8%
Brazil	Paraúna	2000	6.0%	7.6%	4.4%
Brazil	São Domingos do Maranhão	2000	45.3%	51.7%	39.1%
Brazil	Centralina	2000	6.3%	7.9%	4.7%
Brazil	Dário Meira	2000	22.3%	26.2%	19.2%
Brazil	Mucambo	2000	25.4%	28.5%	22.5%
Brazil	Caarapó	2000	2.9%	3.8%	1.9%
Brazil	Humaitá	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.2%
Brazil	Itaruma	2000	6.1%	8.1%	4.6%
Brazil	Miranda do Norte	2000	43.5%	49.5%	37.8%
Brazil	Tapurah	2000	7.5%	9.5%	5.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Ramos	2000	16.0%	19.7%	12.2%
Brazil	Itaguaçu da Bahia	2000	27.1%	32.6%	21.9%
Brazil	Viçosa do Ceará	2000	23.9%	26.9%	21.0%
Brazil	São José de Ribamar	2000	43.5%	47.1%	40.0%
Brazil	Claro dos Poções	2000	10.8%	13.9%	8.1%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Piauí	2000	35.4%	42.8%	27.8%
Brazil	Formigueiro	2000	4.6%	6.1%	3.4%
Brazil	Ampére	2000	4.0%	5.4%	3.0%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	17.5%	19.6%	15.2%
Brazil	Vila Flor	2000	14.7%	17.6%	12.0%
Brazil	Serra do Ramalho	2000	24.2%	28.4%	20.0%
Brazil	Itamarandiba	2000	7.2%	9.0%	5.7%
Brazil	Joaquim Pires	2000	38.1%	43.0%	33.3%
Brazil	Bicas	2000	6.7%	8.5%	5.3%
Brazil	Ricaho dos Cavalos	2000	16.6%	19.5%	13.7%
Brazil	Itambaraca	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Tabira	2000	19.7%	22.7%	17.2%
Brazil	São Félix do Piauí	2000	40.7%	48.0%	33.2%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2000	23.6%	28.1%	19.5%
Brazil	Catas Altas	2000	11.4%	13.7%	9.3%
Brazil	São Luiz do Paraitinga	2000	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Guaraqueçaba	2000	5.1%	6.9%	3.6%
Brazil	Pedra	2000	18.4%	20.6%	15.9%
Brazil	Madeiro	2000	42.5%	47.4%	37.2%
Brazil	São Luis Gonzaga do Maranhao	2000	45.5%	50.7%	40.2%
Brazil	Coimbra	2000	9.6%	11.7%	7.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Verdelândia	2000	12.2%	15.5%	9.1%
Brazil	Kaloré	2000	4.9%	6.0%	3.8%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Piauí	2000	40.9%	47.5%	34.9%
Brazil	Quebrangulo	2000	17.4%	19.6%	15.4%
Brazil	Abreu e Lima	2000	19.6%	20.7%	18.3%
Brazil	Meleiro	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	Dumont	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Amparo	2000	9.7%	11.7%	8.1%
Brazil	Laranjal	2000	5.0%	6.7%	3.7%
Brazil	Novo Repartimento	2000	9.6%	12.0%	7.3%
Brazil	Itacaré	2000	24.5%	28.0%	21.0%
Brazil	Faxinal	2000	3.9%	5.0%	3.0%
Brazil	Paulo Jacinto	2000	17.8%	20.1%	15.7%
Brazil	Abadiânia	2000	6.6%	7.6%	5.6%
Brazil	Contendas do Sincorá	2000	28.8%	33.3%	24.7%
Brazil	São Mateus do Maranhão	2000	45.0%	50.9%	39.3%
Brazil	Rio Grande do Piauí	2000	41.1%	50.7%	31.7%
Brazil	Grão Mogol	2000	9.4%	12.4%	6.9%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2000	9.2%	11.4%	7.1%
Brazil	Ibiquera	2000	24.2%	28.9%	19.8%
Brazil	Ipatinga	2000	12.5%	14.6%	10.7%
Brazil	Vicente Dutra	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.2%
Brazil	Abreulândia	2000	21.7%	26.1%	17.5%
Brazil	Piranhas	2000	6.9%	9.7%	4.9%
Brazil	São João do Carú	2000	33.8%	40.1%	27.5%
Brazil	Grupiara	2000	12.9%	15.6%	10.2%
Brazil	Piedade	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Brazil	Várzea	2000	14.0%	15.8%	12.2%
Brazil	Vicência	2000	18.4%	20.2%	16.5%
Brazil	Pedro Leopoldo	2000	11.1%	12.5%	9.7%
Brazil	Cascavel	2000	3.7%	4.5%	2.9%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	11.1%	13.5%	8.9%
Brazil	Igrejinha	2000	4.3%	5.1%	3.4%
Brazil	Recursolândia	2000	31.8%	39.0%	24.6%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2000	15.6%	19.0%	12.5%
Brazil	Novo Planalto	2000	10.8%	13.9%	8.2%
Brazil	Sud Mennucci	2000	2.1%	3.1%	1.2%
Brazil	Quirinópolis	2000	7.0%	9.3%	5.2%
Brazil	Bernardino de Campos	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Brazil	Conceição da Aparecida	2000	10.8%	13.0%	8.8%
Brazil	Sampaio	2000	30.1%	34.1%	26.1%
Brazil	Novo Oriente	2000	25.3%	29.3%	21.4%
Brazil	Venha-Ver	2000	14.2%	16.8%	11.9%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso de Goiás	2000	5.7%	7.4%	4.0%
Brazil	Ijuí	2000	4.3%	5.3%	3.2%
Brazil	Jandaia	2000	6.1%	7.4%	4.6%
Brazil	Paulo Lopez	2000	2.6%	4.1%	1.6%
Brazil	Elias Fausto	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.4%
Brazil	Iretama	2000	4.3%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Porto de Pedras	2000	19.0%	21.7%	16.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José dos Quatro Marcos	2000	7.1%	9.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Campanário	2000	10.8%	13.7%	8.2%
Brazil	São Miguel de Touros	2000	13.4%	18.0%	10.2%
Brazil	Itabirito	2000	10.0%	11.6%	8.7%
Brazil	Joaquim Távora	2000	4.4%	6.1%	3.0%
Brazil	Goiandira	2000	10.7%	12.9%	8.7%
Brazil	Pingo d'Água	2000	12.8%	15.4%	10.3%
Brazil	Anajatuba	2000	44.9%	50.7%	39.4%
Brazil	Pedras Grandes	2000	2.9%	4.1%	2.0%
Brazil	Água Doce do Norte	2000	9.2%	11.7%	7.1%
Brazil	Ferraz de Vascon	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Doutor Ulysses	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.5%
Brazil	Castro	2000	3.9%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	São José dos Ramos	2000	21.2%	23.7%	19.0%
Brazil	Loanda	2000	3.0%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	São João Piau	2000	39.8%	47.4%	32.9%
Brazil	Saloá	2000	16.2%	18.5%	14.1%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Ivaí	2000	2.8%	3.7%	2.0%
Brazil	Regeneração	2000	41.5%	49.1%	34.5%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	12.7%	15.6%	10.1%
Brazil	Francisco Alves	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.2%
Brazil	Rio Quente	2000	7.4%	9.0%	5.9%
Brazil	Brumadinho	2000	11.9%	13.5%	10.2%
Brazil	Montividiu do Norte	2000	11.1%	13.8%	8.5%
Brazil	Portel	2000	11.0%	13.9%	8.4%
Brazil	Guidoval	2000	11.1%	13.2%	9.2%
Brazil	Anta Gorda	2000	4.5%	5.9%	3.4%
Brazil	São José de Caiana	2000	17.2%	20.3%	14.5%
Brazil	Juranda	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.4%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	15.3%	18.1%	12.4%
Brazil	Capim Grosso	2000	25.7%	29.0%	22.5%
Brazil	Abaré	2000	19.4%	22.6%	16.2%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2000	43.6%	48.4%	38.2%
Brazil	Conceição do Mato Dentro	2000	10.4%	13.1%	7.5%
Brazil	São Miguel Taipu	2000	20.6%	22.9%	18.4%
Brazil	Pedro Velho	2000	15.6%	17.9%	13.2%
Brazil	Sagrada Família	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Santana	2000	15.1%	18.2%	12.3%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	14.5%	17.3%	11.9%
Brazil	Cajobi	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	Monte do Carmo	2000	26.0%	30.3%	22.1%
Brazil	Matinha	2000	42.9%	48.4%	38.6%
Brazil	Itapetinga	2000	22.3%	25.4%	19.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itaiópolis	2000	2.3%	3.2%	1.6%
Brazil	Castilho	2000	3.4%	4.9%	2.2%
Brazil	São Valério da Natividade	2000	24.1%	28.7%	19.7%
Brazil	Ribeira do Piauí	2000	42.9%	51.0%	34.9%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Brazil	Lagoas de Velhos	2000	14.4%	17.5%	11.9%
Brazil	Carlos Gomes	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Morro Grande	2000	2.8%	3.9%	1.9%
Brazil	Piçarras	2000	2.7%	3.7%	1.8%
Brazil	General Salgado	2000	1.6%	2.5%	0.9%
Brazil	Nazarezinho	2000	17.2%	20.2%	14.2%
Brazil	Itapicuru	2000	20.9%	23.6%	18.3%
Brazil	Primavera	2000	14.1%	15.7%	12.4%
Brazil	Milhã	2000	20.4%	23.7%	17.2%
Brazil	Aiuruoca	2000	5.2%	6.7%	3.8%
Brazil	Israelândia	2000	7.2%	9.1%	5.2%
Brazil	Dom Pedro de Alcântara	2000	4.1%	5.9%	2.9%
Brazil	Santana do Itararé	2000	4.1%	5.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Cacoal	2000	7.5%	9.1%	5.9%
Brazil	Glorinha	2000	4.4%	5.3%	3.5%
Brazil	Fazenda Nova	2000	6.7%	8.4%	5.0%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2000	2.7%	3.7%	2.0%
Brazil	Getulina	2000	1.3%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Umirim	2000	18.8%	21.2%	16.2%
Brazil	Careiro da Várzea	2000	17.6%	19.9%	15.4%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara de Goiás	2000	6.8%	8.0%	5.7%
Brazil	Campos Gerais	2000	11.7%	14.2%	9.4%
Brazil	Conceição dos Ouros	2000	8.2%	10.0%	6.5%
Brazil	Sapé	2000	16.8%	19.1%	14.6%
Brazil	Santana de Mangueira	2000	17.0%	19.8%	14.7%
Brazil	Quipapá	2000	16.7%	18.3%	14.7%
Brazil	Ananindeua	2000	10.5%	11.4%	9.6%
Brazil	São José do Belmonte	2000	14.5%	18.2%	11.3%
Brazil	Gramado dos Loureiros	2000	3.8%	4.9%	2.8%
Brazil	Dona Emma	2000	2.4%	3.5%	1.6%
Brazil	Vitoria	2000	7.4%	8.7%	6.3%
Brazil	Bon Jesus dos Perdoes	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Brazil	São Miguel do Anta	2000	9.2%	11.2%	7.3%
Brazil	Lucena	2000	16.7%	19.7%	14.2%
Brazil	Cascalho Rico	2000	10.9%	13.4%	8.6%
Brazil	Salgado de São Félix	2000	22.0%	24.6%	19.8%
Brazil	Rio Largo	2000	16.0%	18.0%	14.2%
Brazil	Floresta Azul	2000	24.1%	27.5%	20.5%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Araguaia	2000	16.8%	19.5%	14.1%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	16.4%	19.1%	14.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alta Floresta d'Oeste	2000	7.3%	9.8%	5.6%
Brazil	Guaçara	2000	1.5%	2.5%	0.9%
Brazil	São Bento do Trairí	2000	15.6%	18.5%	13.0%
Brazil	Itarantim	2000	20.0%	24.2%	16.5%
Brazil	Santa Filomena do Maranhão	2000	6.4%	8.5%	4.7%
Brazil	Planalto da Serra	2000	8.1%	11.6%	5.5%
Brazil	Iraquara	2000	22.6%	26.2%	19.0%
Brazil	Cedral	2000	2.2%	3.1%	1.4%
Brazil	Mirassolândia	2000	2.6%	3.8%	1.7%
Brazil	São José do Sabugi	2000	14.5%	17.5%	12.0%
Brazil	Nova Mutum	2000	7.3%	9.5%	5.1%
Brazil	Caetés	2000	16.1%	18.1%	14.2%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	12.4%	14.6%	10.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz dos Milagres	2000	40.2%	48.3%	33.0%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Goiás	2000	5.9%	7.4%	4.5%
Brazil	Sucupira do Norte	2000	43.5%	50.2%	36.0%
Brazil	Congonhal	2000	11.0%	13.7%	9.0%
Brazil	Serra da Raiz	2000	17.2%	19.7%	14.5%
Brazil	Mandaguaçu	2000	4.5%	5.6%	3.4%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Nazaré	2000	40.6%	45.6%	34.7%
Brazil	Ipaporanga	2000	25.3%	29.3%	21.6%
Brazil	Esperança do Sul	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.2%
Brazil	Mâncio Lima	2000	18.8%	23.2%	14.8%
Brazil	Maripá de Minas	2000	7.4%	9.3%	5.7%
Brazil	Fátima	2000	23.6%	27.3%	20.7%
Brazil	Sucupira do Riachão	2000	45.3%	52.8%	38.8%
Brazil	Congonhas do Norte	2000	7.0%	9.5%	5.0%
Brazil	Serra Grande	2000	17.4%	20.3%	14.8%
Brazil	São Miguel Tapuio	2000	32.4%	38.5%	26.5%
Brazil	Crateús	2000	24.9%	28.6%	21.7%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2000	18.1%	19.6%	16.5%
Brazil	Ponta Alta	2000	2.3%	3.4%	1.4%
Brazil	Guapiaçu	2000	1.7%	2.9%	1.0%
Brazil	Itiúba	2000	26.2%	29.8%	22.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Araguaia	2000	5.3%	7.4%	3.6%
Brazil	Tasso Fragoso	2000	38.6%	47.4%	30.4%
Brazil	Congonhas	2000	10.2%	12.1%	8.2%
Brazil	Serra Redonda	2000	18.7%	21.0%	16.7%
Brazil	Mandirituba	2000	4.0%	4.8%	3.2%
Brazil	São Pedro do Piauí	2000	38.5%	45.2%	32.6%
Brazil	Apuarema	2000	21.9%	25.1%	19.4%
Brazil	Camacho	2000	9.4%	11.9%	7.5%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2000	2.9%	4.0%	2.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nanuque	2000	13.2%	16.5%	10.6%
Brazil	Marizópolis	2000	17.9%	21.0%	14.9%
Brazil	Santa Adélia	2000	1.8%	2.9%	1.1%
Brazil	Timbiras	2000	44.8%	51.2%	39.1%
Brazil	São Bento	2000	16.3%	19.5%	13.5%
Brazil	Santana do deserto	2000	6.7%	8.5%	5.2%
Brazil	Encantado	2000	5.1%	6.2%	3.9%
Brazil	Rio Verde	2000	5.9%	7.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Guaíba	2000	4.8%	5.8%	4.1%
Brazil	Orleaes	2000	3.0%	4.3%	2.0%
Brazil	São José do Povo	2000	7.7%	10.4%	5.8%
Brazil	Mulungu	2000	12.0%	14.1%	10.2%
Brazil	São José de Espinharas	2000	17.1%	19.9%	14.2%
Brazil	Padre Bernardo	2000	5.0%	6.0%	3.9%
Brazil	Ibiaçá	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Mangueirinha	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.0%
Brazil	Rio Formoso	2000	18.7%	21.1%	16.4%
Brazil	Poço das Trincheiras	2000	20.2%	22.8%	17.4%
Brazil	Bacuri	2000	36.2%	42.6%	29.6%
Brazil	Natal	2000	12.5%	14.2%	10.8%
Brazil	Santo Augusto	2000	3.3%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Charqueada	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Brazil	Arês	2000	11.4%	13.4%	9.4%
Brazil	Santa Tereza de Goiás	2000	7.6%	10.0%	5.7%
Brazil	Trizidela do Vale	2000	45.4%	50.5%	39.6%
Brazil	Conselheiro Pena	2000	9.5%	12.1%	7.2%
Brazil	Sobrado	2000	19.8%	22.1%	17.7%
Brazil	Mambai	2000	10.5%	13.6%	7.6%
Brazil	Sebastião Leal	2000	41.1%	49.7%	32.9%
Brazil	Caparaó	2000	6.8%	8.6%	5.1%
Brazil	Terra Santa	2000	13.2%	17.1%	10.3%
Brazil	Parari	2000	19.2%	22.2%	16.1%
Brazil	Porto União	2000	3.7%	4.8%	2.6%
Brazil	Guará	2000	3.5%	4.9%	2.5%
Brazil	Ametista do Sul	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.1%
Brazil	Iuiú	2000	20.4%	24.3%	15.7%
Brazil	São João das Missões	2000	13.4%	17.2%	9.6%
Brazil	Aperibé	2000	3.0%	4.2%	2.1%
Brazil	Consolação	2000	4.9%	6.5%	3.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão Pires	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Ourém	2000	10.8%	12.8%	9.0%
Brazil	Luis Gomes	2000	14.2%	17.0%	11.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Tauá	2000	11.0%	12.7%	9.7%
Brazil	Firmino Alves	2000	22.9%	26.6%	19.5%
Brazil	Cunhataí	2000	3.3%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Salitre	2000	23.9%	27.3%	20.4%
Brazil	Miguel Leão	2000	41.3%	47.8%	35.3%
Brazil	São Bento do Norte	2000	14.8%	20.1%	10.9%
Brazil	Laranjeiras do Sul	2000	4.0%	5.3%	2.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João da Fronteira	2000	32.9%	37.6%	28.3%
Brazil	Moema	2000	11.4%	13.9%	9.0%
Brazil	São José do Mantimento	2000	10.3%	12.8%	8.1%
Brazil	Entre-Ijuís	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.4%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Gatos	2000	18.2%	20.0%	16.2%
Brazil	Mossâmedes	2000	6.1%	7.9%	4.7%
Brazil	Barra de Santa Rosa	2000	17.4%	20.5%	14.8%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2000	3.9%	5.5%	2.7%
Brazil	Campo Azul	2000	10.5%	13.9%	7.5%
Brazil	Jataizinho	2000	4.5%	5.5%	3.6%
Brazil	Jacaraci	2000	20.9%	24.3%	17.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Goiás	2000	5.9%	6.6%	5.1%
Brazil	Marzagão	2000	9.3%	11.2%	7.4%
Brazil	Açu	2000	12.2%	15.0%	9.7%
Brazil	Capelinha	2000	8.5%	11.4%	6.2%
Brazil	Tracuateua	2000	12.2%	14.6%	10.3%
Brazil	Patos	2000	18.8%	21.7%	16.1%
Brazil	Guaporema	2000	4.1%	5.2%	3.2%
Brazil	Salgueiro	2000	19.7%	22.4%	17.2%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2000	3.6%	4.8%	2.6%
Brazil	Esperantina	2000	38.5%	43.6%	33.0%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2000	15.4%	18.1%	13.0%
Brazil	Cedro do Abaeté	2000	11.6%	14.8%	8.7%
Brazil	Lidianópolis	2000	4.9%	6.1%	3.7%
Brazil	Colinas	2000	5.6%	6.9%	4.4%
Brazil	Capão Bonito	2000	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Santana do Acaraú	2000	21.1%	23.6%	18.3%
Brazil	Guaramirim	2000	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Brazil	Ribamar Fiquene	2000	35.4%	39.9%	30.7%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Claro	2000	11.1%	13.6%	8.9%
Brazil	Abadia de Goiás	2000	6.2%	7.1%	5.5%
Brazil	São Fernando	2000	14.7%	17.3%	12.1%
Brazil	Vitória do Jari	2000	7.0%	9.9%	4.5%
Brazil	Caldas	2000	6.7%	8.5%	5.4%
Brazil	São João do Ivaí	2000	5.4%	6.6%	4.2%
Brazil	São João do Tigre	2000	17.3%	20.6%	15.0%
Brazil	Tutoia	2000	42.6%	48.4%	36.9%
Brazil	Araguaína	2000	25.2%	28.3%	22.4%
Brazil	Xexéu	2000	17.8%	19.9%	15.9%
Brazil	Serrania	2000	11.0%	13.9%	7.9%
Brazil	Itaipava do Grajaú	2000	46.3%	52.6%	39.4%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Norte	2000	9.5%	13.4%	6.5%
Brazil	Wanderlândia	2000	26.1%	29.7%	22.8%
Brazil	Gandu	2000	23.1%	26.4%	20.4%
Brazil	São Carlos	2000	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aquidauana	2000	3.3%	4.7%	2.0%
Brazil	Ararendá	2000	24.3%	27.9%	20.9%
Brazil	Capim Branco	2000	10.3%	12.0%	8.7%
Brazil	Tucumã	2000	9.1%	11.9%	6.4%
Brazil	Cocal dos Alves	2000	33.2%	38.0%	28.4%
Brazil	Fartura do Piauí	2000	32.9%	39.2%	26.7%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora dos Remédios	2000	41.1%	46.5%	35.9%
Brazil	São Miguel	2000	15.8%	18.6%	13.5%
Brazil	Jari	2000	4.3%	5.8%	3.2%
Brazil	Tarumirim	2000	10.0%	12.3%	7.9%
Brazil	Joaçaba	2000	2.8%	3.9%	2.0%
Brazil	Paraíso do Tocantins	2000	23.5%	27.1%	19.9%
Brazil	Jaguaripe	2000	25.4%	28.1%	22.9%
Brazil	São João d'Aliança	2000	6.3%	8.0%	4.8%
Brazil	Urbano Santos	2000	43.0%	49.1%	37.0%
Brazil	Pentecoste	2000	20.2%	22.7%	17.5%
Brazil	Alto Alegre dos Parecis	2000	7.5%	10.1%	5.5%
Brazil	Muaná	2000	10.7%	13.1%	8.7%
Brazil	Capinópolis	2000	6.6%	8.3%	4.7%
Brazil	São Francisco do Piauí	2000	43.5%	50.7%	36.0%
Brazil	Pedra Lavadra	2000	17.4%	20.7%	14.9%
Brazil	Doverlândia	2000	6.4%	8.8%	4.4%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Piauí	2000	42.6%	48.9%	35.2%
Brazil	Apiacás	2000	8.2%	12.4%	5.3%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Tocantins	2000	29.1%	33.1%	25.4%
Brazil	São João da Paraúna	2000	5.8%	7.6%	4.3%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Goiás	2000	45.9%	53.1%	40.1%
Brazil	Aurora	2000	21.5%	24.2%	18.8%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	4.7%	5.9%	3.6%
Brazil	Redenção	2000	10.1%	12.4%	7.8%
Brazil	Gavião Peixoto	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Santo Amaro do Maranhão	2000	44.7%	52.3%	38.2%
Brazil	Rancho Queimado	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Brazil	Guarujá	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Brazil	Mongeiro	2000	20.4%	23.1%	17.9%
Brazil	Paraná	2000	20.0%	24.4%	16.2%
Brazil	Flórida	2000	4.9%	6.3%	3.6%
Brazil	Porteiro	2000	7.5%	9.4%	6.0%
Brazil	São Luiz Gonzaga	2000	4.3%	5.8%	3.0%
Brazil	Várzea da Palma	2000	12.1%	15.3%	8.6%
Brazil	São José de Piranhas	2000	17.4%	20.4%	14.8%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Três Cantos	2000	4.9%	6.4%	3.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caraguatatuba	2000	1.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Arauaá	2000	15.7%	18.0%	13.2%
Brazil	Papanduva	2000	2.6%	3.7%	1.8%
Brazil	Engenheiro Beltrão	2000	5.3%	6.6%	3.9%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	19.5%	22.1%	16.7%
Brazil	Uruará	2000	9.7%	12.9%	6.6%
Brazil	Pedro Régis	2000	16.2%	19.1%	13.9%
Brazil	Guaratuba	2000	5.0%	6.5%	3.8%
Brazil	Novo Santo Antônio	2000	39.6%	45.8%	33.1%
Brazil	São João da Canabrava	2000	37.2%	42.3%	32.2%
Brazil	Solânea	2000	15.5%	17.6%	13.1%
Brazil	Marechal Cândido Rondon	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Pontes Gestral	2000	3.5%	5.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Malacacheta	2000	9.2%	11.8%	6.7%
Brazil	Tacaratu	2000	20.2%	23.1%	17.4%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2000	12.8%	15.5%	10.3%
Brazil	Marquinho	2000	4.6%	6.2%	3.3%
Brazil	Valença do Piauí	2000	38.7%	45.5%	32.9%
Brazil	Camargo	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.6%
Brazil	Dona Francisca	2000	4.8%	6.2%	3.6%
Brazil	São José do Campestre	2000	16.0%	18.7%	13.6%
Brazil	Ibirubá	2000	4.1%	5.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Manhuaçu	2000	7.6%	9.4%	6.3%
Brazil	Rorainópolis	2000	7.7%	11.1%	5.2%
Brazil	Ivolândia	2000	6.3%	8.1%	4.6%
Brazil	Marumbi	2000	4.8%	5.9%	3.8%
Brazil	São João do Cariri	2000	20.5%	23.6%	17.4%
Brazil	Lagoão	2000	3.9%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Olho d'Água Grande	2000	21.3%	23.9%	18.7%
Brazil	Rio do Sul	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%
Brazil	Herculândia	2000	1.6%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	João Dourado	2000	24.5%	27.7%	21.2%
Brazil	Caputira	2000	8.2%	10.2%	6.6%
Brazil	Viseu	2000	17.3%	20.3%	14.4%
Brazil	Passos Maia	2000	2.6%	3.9%	1.8%
Brazil	Franco da Rocha	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos	2000	4.4%	5.0%	3.8%
Brazil	Cerro Largo	2000	4.7%	6.1%	3.4%
Brazil	Itapeva	2000	10.7%	13.4%	8.6%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Crentes	2000	35.9%	42.3%	29.1%
Brazil	Rio dos Cedros	2000	2.5%	3.4%	1.9%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	16.3%	19.4%	13.7%
Brazil	Juazeiro	2000	19.5%	21.3%	17.5%
Brazil	Tanque Novo	2000	22.6%	26.4%	18.7%
Brazil	Senador Rui Palmeira	2000	20.5%	23.2%	17.5%
Brazil	Várzea Branca	2000	34.3%	40.9%	27.5%
Brazil	Matinhos	2000	5.0%	6.8%	3.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Sebastião do Caí	2000	5.5%	6.2%	4.6%
Brazil	Jaguaruana	2000	20.1%	23.0%	17.6%
Brazil	Marilac	2000	11.8%	14.5%	9.2%
Brazil	Presidente Getúlio	2000	2.6%	3.6%	1.7%
Brazil	Rubelita	2000	12.8%	16.3%	9.7%
Brazil	Jaguaquara	2000	19.8%	22.6%	17.0%
Brazil	Formosa da Serra Negra	2000	38.8%	46.4%	31.8%
Brazil	Calçoene	2000	4.6%	8.0%	2.4%
Brazil	Mato Rico	2000	4.4%	5.8%	3.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2000	14.0%	16.8%	11.8%
Brazil	Laguna	2000	2.9%	4.3%	1.8%
Brazil	Cosmópolis	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Brazil	Irati	2000	3.7%	5.0%	2.7%
Brazil	Guanambi	2000	24.3%	28.1%	21.1%
Brazil	Serranópolis	2000	5.4%	7.3%	3.9%
Brazil	Damião	2000	16.2%	19.0%	13.8%
Brazil	Abaiara	2000	20.2%	22.8%	17.9%
Brazil	Conceição das Pedras	2000	9.0%	11.6%	6.9%
Brazil	Garopaba	2000	2.6%	4.2%	1.6%
Brazil	Cabrália Paulista	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Ibiporã	2000	4.5%	5.6%	3.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Cambucá	2000	19.7%	21.9%	17.7%
Brazil	Paes Landim	2000	42.1%	49.2%	34.1%
Brazil	Senador Georgino Avelino	2000	12.8%	15.3%	10.5%
Brazil	Medianeira	2000	3.9%	5.1%	2.7%
Brazil	Beruri	2000	16.2%	20.3%	12.3%
Brazil	Cosmorama	2000	2.1%	3.1%	1.4%
Brazil	Potengi	2000	21.5%	24.4%	18.8%
Brazil	Simolândia	2000	9.6%	12.2%	7.1%
Brazil	Couto de Magalhães	2000	10.2%	13.3%	7.4%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2000	37.7%	43.1%	32.2%
Brazil	Cássia dos Coqueiros	2000	3.9%	5.2%	2.8%
Brazil	Pedreiras	2000	45.4%	50.4%	39.4%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Norte	2000	20.1%	21.9%	18.2%
Brazil	Sítio d'Abadia	2000	9.2%	12.3%	6.7%
Brazil	Crisólita	2000	13.7%	17.7%	10.6%
Brazil	Mirador	2000	4.0%	5.1%	3.0%
Brazil	Maçambara	2000	4.7%	6.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Romelândia	2000	3.6%	4.9%	2.7%
Brazil	Natuba	2000	18.0%	20.2%	16.2%
Brazil	América dourada	2000	27.6%	31.4%	24.3%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Iguaçu	2000	5.2%	6.6%	3.8%
Brazil	Mocajuba	2000	10.7%	13.3%	8.6%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Gurguéia	2000	32.7%	41.0%	24.9%
Brazil	Ingá	2000	20.2%	23.1%	17.9%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2000	17.8%	20.3%	15.3%
Brazil	Ibirama	2000	2.8%	4.1%	2.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Laje	2000	23.9%	26.7%	21.0%
Brazil	Teresina de Goiás	2000	8.8%	11.4%	6.8%
Brazil	Cristália	2000	10.0%	13.8%	6.9%
Brazil	Pouso Alto	2000	6.9%	8.7%	5.4%
Brazil	Joselândia	2000	45.6%	51.2%	40.1%
Brazil	Montanhas	2000	16.2%	18.8%	13.9%
Brazil	Inhacor	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Lajedao	2000	14.4%	17.7%	11.1%
Brazil	Quiterianópolis	2000	25.0%	29.4%	21.2%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2000	7.5%	9.0%	5.9%
Brazil	Pacaembu	2000	1.4%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Manoel Viana	2000	4.2%	5.9%	2.9%
Brazil	Senador Alexandre Costa	2000	44.7%	50.8%	38.4%
Brazil	Apuiarés	2000	21.2%	24.5%	18.2%
Brazil	Acorizal	2000	8.6%	10.5%	6.8%
Brazil	Esteio	2000	4.5%	5.0%	4.0%
Brazil	Caçapava	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Brazil	Cristais Paulista	2000	4.5%	6.0%	3.3%
Brazil	Palmares Paulista	2000	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%
Brazil	Pugmil	2000	25.0%	29.3%	21.1%
Brazil	Teotônio Vilela	2000	20.5%	22.9%	18.2%
Brazil	Crucilândia	2000	11.6%	14.2%	9.2%
Brazil	Munhoz de Melo	2000	4.9%	6.1%	3.8%
Brazil	Maratá	2000	4.8%	5.9%	3.9%
Brazil	Lupionópolis	2000	4.0%	5.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Iepê	2000	3.0%	4.2%	2.1%
Brazil	Lamarão	2000	24.1%	27.7%	21.3%
Brazil	Lagoa Vermelha	2000	3.5%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Rio do Oeste	2000	2.6%	3.8%	1.7%
Brazil	Honório Serpa	2000	4.3%	5.9%	2.8%
Brazil	Augusto Corrêa	2000	14.9%	17.8%	12.4%
Brazil	Igaracu	2000	18.8%	20.1%	17.3%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Paruá	2000	33.2%	39.1%	28.3%
Brazil	Bujari	2000	21.1%	24.1%	18.1%
Brazil	Lajes Pintadas	2000	13.7%	16.5%	11.2%
Brazil	Cruzília	2000	6.1%	8.0%	4.6%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2000	40.0%	47.3%	33.2%
Brazil	Antônio Martins	2000	12.3%	15.2%	10.0%
Brazil	Caravelas	2000	21.3%	24.9%	18.3%
Brazil	Imbituva	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	São João do Belmonte	2000	19.4%	22.4%	16.8%
Brazil	Piratuba	2000	3.6%	4.9%	2.6%
Brazil	Serrinha	2000	14.7%	17.3%	12.4%
Brazil	Estrela	2000	5.2%	6.4%	4.3%
Brazil	Mariana Pimentel	2000	4.5%	5.6%	3.5%
Brazil	Zacarias	2000	1.5%	2.5%	0.9%
Brazil	Igaratá	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lençóis	2000	23.8%	28.3%	19.7%
Brazil	Uirapuru	2000	7.6%	10.0%	5.5%
Brazil	Holambra	2000	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Brazil	Planalto Alegre	2000	3.4%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Bugre	2000	12.0%	14.5%	10.0%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Progresso	2000	3.5%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	São João	2000	17.1%	18.8%	15.6%
Brazil	Licínio de Almeida	2000	22.0%	25.7%	18.6%
Brazil	Uruaçu	2000	5.7%	7.2%	4.4%
Brazil	Curvelo	2000	10.6%	13.4%	8.0%
Brazil	Nova Cantu	2000	4.8%	6.5%	3.6%
Brazil	Guararema	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Cubatão	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Brazil	Doutor Ricardo	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.7%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	13.4%	17.5%	10.0%
Brazil	Amparo do Serra	2000	12.0%	14.5%	9.8%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	8.4%	11.1%	6.2%
Brazil	Santaluz	2000	27.9%	31.3%	24.7%
Brazil	Mata	2000	4.6%	6.1%	3.4%
Brazil	Santiago do Sul	2000	3.9%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Jequitaí	2000	12.9%	16.6%	9.5%
Brazil	Mandaguari	2000	4.1%	4.9%	3.2%
Brazil	Pariquera-Açu	2000	3.1%	4.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Estiva Gerbi	2000	3.3%	4.3%	2.4%
Brazil	Bonito de Minas	2000	11.3%	15.2%	8.2%
Brazil	Mato Castelhano	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.3%
Brazil	Cunha	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Macarani	2000	21.2%	25.0%	17.9%
Brazil	Valparaíso de Goiás	2000	4.0%	4.6%	3.5%
Brazil	Delfinópolis	2000	10.5%	13.0%	7.9%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2000	4.0%	5.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Carmésia	2000	9.8%	12.4%	7.3%
Brazil	Alvorada do Norte	2000	9.4%	12.0%	6.9%
Brazil	São Bento do Sul	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.5%
Brazil	Indiana	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Brazil	Macaúbas	2000	24.5%	27.9%	21.3%
Brazil	Itaqui	2000	3.4%	4.9%	2.0%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%
Brazil	Bragança Paulista	2000	2.3%	3.0%	1.6%
Brazil	Luzerna	2000	3.0%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	São Bernardino	2000	3.3%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Novo destino	2000	6.4%	7.9%	5.1%
Brazil	Macururé	2000	20.7%	25.1%	16.5%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2000	12.2%	13.8%	10.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Bom Jesus	2000	24.8%	30.1%	20.3%
Brazil	Paulistânia	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Wagner	2000	23.3%	27.4%	19.1%
Brazil	Minas do Leão	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.6%
Brazil	São Bonifácio	2000	1.6%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	Conceicao do Almeida	2000	25.5%	28.0%	22.8%
Brazil	Paulo de Faria	2000	5.6%	7.7%	3.6%
Brazil	Garuva	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Embu-Guaçu	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2000	3.6%	5.0%	2.5%
Brazil	Júlio de Castilhos	2000	4.0%	5.4%	3.0%
Brazil	Maetinga	2000	25.1%	28.9%	21.4%
Brazil	Russas	2000	18.7%	21.4%	16.2%
Brazil	Nerópolis	2000	6.0%	6.9%	5.1%
Brazil	Ivorá	2000	4.5%	5.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Abaetetuba	2000	10.3%	12.0%	8.8%
Brazil	Queimadas	2000	19.2%	21.5%	17.1%
Brazil	Nova Lima	2000	9.4%	10.3%	8.5%
Brazil	Pavussu	2000	40.2%	48.6%	30.9%
Brazil	Vila Propício	2000	6.1%	7.5%	4.8%
Brazil	Diamantina	2000	7.5%	9.6%	5.3%
Brazil	Nova Santa Bárbara	2000	4.9%	6.2%	3.9%
Brazil	Colinas do Sul	2000	7.7%	10.3%	5.6%
Brazil	Monte Alegre dos Campos	2000	3.7%	5.0%	2.6%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2000	28.4%	33.7%	23.0%
Brazil	Josenópolis	2000	11.5%	14.6%	8.7%
Brazil	Diogo de Vasconcelos	2000	13.1%	16.0%	10.6%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rosa	2000	4.3%	5.4%	3.2%
Brazil	Marapanim	2000	9.8%	11.7%	8.0%
Brazil	Carmo de Minas	2000	8.6%	10.7%	7.0%
Brazil	Arenópolis	2000	8.0%	10.1%	5.9%
Brazil	Ipiguá	2000	2.6%	3.6%	1.7%
Brazil	Malhada de Pedras	2000	27.7%	31.4%	24.1%
Brazil	Dionísio	2000	11.8%	14.4%	9.4%
Brazil	Sigefredo Pacheco	2000	37.6%	42.7%	32.0%
Brazil	Lagoa Seca	2000	17.0%	18.8%	14.8%
Brazil	Divinolândia	2000	4.8%	6.2%	3.5%
Brazil	Divinésia	2000	11.5%	13.5%	9.5%
Brazil	Bocaina	2000	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Monte Santo do Tocantins	2000	23.6%	27.5%	19.5%
Brazil	Anagé	2000	29.2%	33.1%	25.8%
Brazil	Prainha	2000	11.4%	14.4%	8.5%
Brazil	Iracema	2000	7.9%	10.7%	5.5%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2000	3.0%	4.1%	2.0%
Brazil	Caiuá	2000	2.7%	4.0%	1.9%
Brazil	Simões	2000	26.5%	31.4%	22.6%
Brazil	Quixelô	2000	20.9%	23.6%	18.2%
Brazil	Piacatu	2000	1.5%	2.6%	0.9%
Brazil	Lagamar	2000	10.7%	13.7%	8.0%
Brazil	Guarantã	2000	1.7%	2.8%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2000	6.7%	8.9%	5.0%
Brazil	Corguinho	2000	3.7%	5.0%	2.5%
Brazil	Morro Redondo	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.4%
Brazil	São João do Sul	2000	3.9%	5.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Irapuã	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Maracás	2000	22.3%	26.1%	18.4%
Brazil	Divinolândia de Minas	2000	6.9%	8.9%	5.3%
Brazil	Ouro Verde do Oeste	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.2%
Brazil	Campos de Júlio	2000	7.3%	10.7%	4.5%
Brazil	Lagoa	2000	15.2%	18.2%	12.3%
Brazil	Três Palmeiras	2000	3.9%	4.9%	2.9%
Brazil	Guararapes	2000	2.7%	3.5%	2.0%
Brazil	Alto Parnaíba	2000	36.6%	44.9%	28.0%
Brazil	Itaara	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.2%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2000	13.2%	16.1%	10.5%
Brazil	Ipirá	2000	3.9%	5.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Acarapé	2000	20.4%	22.7%	18.1%
Brazil	Horizontina	2000	4.0%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Santo Expedito	2000	1.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Palmas	2000	2.6%	3.8%	1.7%
Brazil	Muçum	2000	6.1%	7.6%	4.7%
Brazil	Marques de Souza	2000	4.9%	6.0%	4.0%
Brazil	Itaí	2000	1.6%	2.5%	0.9%
Brazil	Marcionílio Souza	2000	30.5%	35.6%	26.2%
Brazil	Abadia dos Dourados	2000	11.4%	14.2%	8.7%
Brazil	Palmeira	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.7%
Brazil	Florianópolis	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Peixoto	2000	4.1%	5.3%	3.1%
Brazil	Erebango	2000	4.1%	5.3%	3.1%
Brazil	Dourado	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira da Prata	2000	11.6%	14.1%	9.5%
Brazil	Divisópolis	2000	11.7%	14.1%	9.3%
Brazil	Palmital	2000	4.2%	5.7%	3.0%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	18.7%	20.7%	17.0%
Brazil	Rosário	2000	45.0%	49.9%	40.1%
Brazil	Carmópolis de Minas	2000	10.8%	13.3%	8.8%
Brazil	Riacho de Santo Antônio	2000	20.2%	22.7%	18.0%
Brazil	Mata de São João	2000	23.7%	26.1%	21.5%
Brazil	Manari	2000	17.8%	20.7%	15.0%
Brazil	João Costa	2000	39.0%	46.7%	31.5%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2000	5.8%	7.0%	4.5%
Brazil	Guaraniãçu	2000	4.3%	5.7%	3.1%
Brazil	Água Boa	2000	7.2%	10.6%	4.8%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis do Piauí	2000	34.0%	40.4%	27.0%
Brazil	Amparo	2000	2.0%	2.5%	1.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Dracena	2000	1.5%	2.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Ibotirama	2000	25.0%	29.9%	20.7%
Brazil	São Benedito	2000	17.7%	20.2%	15.4%
Brazil	São Martinho	2000	2.5%	3.8%	1.7%
Brazil	Itaóca	2000	4.6%	6.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Medeiros Neto	2000	18.2%	21.5%	14.8%
Brazil	Dom Joaquim	2000	11.4%	14.2%	8.7%
Brazil	Paranacity	2000	3.5%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Surubim	2000	20.2%	22.6%	18.0%
Brazil	Araripina	2000	24.5%	27.9%	21.5%
Brazil	São Miguel da Boa Vista	2000	3.7%	4.9%	2.7%
Brazil	Juti	2000	3.7%	4.9%	2.5%
Brazil	Miguel Calmon	2000	20.8%	24.0%	17.9%
Brazil	Dom Silvério	2000	11.7%	14.2%	9.6%
Brazil	Livramento	2000	17.8%	20.8%	15.0%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2000	20.5%	23.7%	17.3%
Brazil	urea	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.4%
Brazil	Itatuba	2000	22.5%	25.2%	20.1%
Brazil	Pequizeiro	2000	21.4%	25.0%	17.6%
Brazil	Teresina	2000	42.1%	44.8%	39.2%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2000	2.3%	3.3%	1.4%
Brazil	Taquarana	2000	18.6%	20.7%	16.8%
Brazil	Guatambú	2000	3.5%	4.5%	2.6%
Brazil	Mirangaba	2000	22.0%	25.1%	18.7%
Brazil	Dona Eusébia	2000	11.5%	13.5%	9.3%
Brazil	Paranavaí	2000	3.7%	4.8%	2.8%
Brazil	Jequié	2000	25.0%	27.8%	21.7%
Brazil	São Luís de Montes Belos	2000	5.9%	7.4%	4.5%
Brazil	Viana	2000	43.0%	48.4%	38.5%
Brazil	Marco	2000	21.0%	23.6%	18.4%
Brazil	Vale Real	2000	5.0%	5.8%	4.2%
Brazil	Inaciolândia	2000	7.4%	9.4%	5.5%
Brazil	Lima Campos	2000	45.4%	50.7%	39.5%
Brazil	Nova Bréscia	2000	4.3%	5.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Vermelho Novo	2000	9.1%	11.1%	7.1%
Brazil	Glória	2000	23.8%	27.1%	20.5%
Brazil	Dores de Guanhães	2000	11.1%	13.7%	8.8%
Brazil	Umarizal	2000	14.5%	18.0%	11.3%
Brazil	Dom Basílio	2000	27.7%	31.6%	24.2%
Brazil	Capitão Enéas	2000	12.1%	15.0%	9.9%
Brazil	Seara	2000	3.3%	4.6%	2.3%
Brazil	Itapirapuã Paulista	2000	4.5%	6.0%	3.1%
Brazil	Morpará	2000	26.5%	30.8%	21.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Capibaribe	2000	20.7%	23.1%	18.8%
Brazil	Paula Freitas	2000	4.0%	5.3%	2.8%
Brazil	Anísio de Abreu	2000	35.2%	42.3%	27.7%
Brazil	Nova Tebas	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.7%
Brazil	Cajuru	2000	3.6%	4.9%	2.5%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2000	17.2%	20.2%	14.5%
Brazil	Itapejara d'Oeste	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.4%
Brazil	Cerro Grande	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Porto	2000	43.2%	49.0%	37.6%
Brazil	Frei Rogério	2000	2.6%	3.7%	1.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Brasília	2000	19.3%	24.3%	15.0%
Brazil	Siderópolis	2000	2.9%	4.2%	2.0%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2000	3.0%	4.4%	2.0%
Brazil	Mortugaba	2000	18.0%	21.7%	14.2%
Brazil	Doresópolis	2000	11.7%	14.9%	8.8%
Brazil	Loreto	2000	43.4%	51.3%	35.4%
Brazil	Santo Afonso	2000	7.3%	9.3%	5.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2000	21.1%	24.6%	17.9%
Brazil	Campinápolis	2000	7.3%	11.0%	4.8%
Brazil	Morrinhos do Sul	2000	3.9%	5.5%	2.7%
Brazil	Mucugê	2000	19.0%	22.5%	15.9%
Brazil	Douradoquara	2000	12.5%	15.4%	9.6%
Brazil	Perobal	2000	4.2%	5.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Nova Palma	2000	4.5%	5.1%	3.9%
Brazil	Sul Brazil	2000	3.6%	4.8%	2.6%
Brazil	Itapura	2000	4.2%	5.9%	2.7%
Brazil	São João da Baliza	2000	7.4%	11.7%	4.5%
Brazil	Garruchos	2000	4.9%	7.1%	3.0%
Brazil	Eldorado dos Carajás	2000	12.1%	14.7%	9.4%
Brazil	Nova Petrópolis	2000	4.0%	5.0%	3.2%
Brazil	Campina do Monte Alegre	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Ilhéus	2000	23.5%	26.7%	20.8%
Brazil	Matelândia	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Anita	2000	3.0%	4.3%	1.9%
Brazil	Garibaldi	2000	4.1%	5.5%	3.0%
Brazil	Pérola	2000	4.1%	5.5%	3.0%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2000	21.4%	23.8%	19.0%
Brazil	Tangará	2000	3.2%	4.3%	2.1%
Brazil	Itararé	2000	2.9%	4.0%	1.8%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	1.6%	2.6%	0.9%
Brazil	Piên	2000	3.0%	3.9%	2.3%
Brazil	Nova Ramada	2000	4.3%	5.6%	3.3%
Brazil	Tigrinhos	2000	3.2%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Itariri	2000	2.0%	3.0%	1.2%
Brazil	São José do Cerrito	2000	2.6%	3.7%	1.7%
Brazil	Ibaretama	2000	22.3%	25.7%	19.5%
Brazil	Carira	2000	16.4%	19.1%	13.4%
Brazil	Divisa Nova	2000	13.4%	17.1%	10.6%
Brazil	Itaúna	2000	10.0%	11.9%	8.3%
Brazil	Tijucas	2000	2.7%	3.7%	1.8%
Brazil	Cristianópolis	2000	6.6%	8.0%	5.2%
Brazil	Wall Ferraz	2000	41.6%	48.2%	35.0%
Brazil	Engenheiro Navarro	2000	10.5%	13.8%	8.1%
Brazil	Araguanã	2000	20.4%	23.5%	17.3%
Brazil	Melgaço	2000	10.8%	13.9%	8.5%
Brazil	Tapiratiba	2000	7.4%	9.5%	5.6%
Brazil	Novo Barreiro	2000	4.7%	6.1%	3.5%
Brazil	Timbó Grande	2000	2.2%	3.2%	1.4%
Brazil	Itirapina	2000	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Brazil	Mutuípe	2000	23.0%	26.5%	19.8%
Brazil	Lagoa Salgada	2000	13.9%	15.8%	11.9%
Brazil	Pinhão	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.1%
Brazil	Novo Cabrais	2000	5.0%	6.3%	3.9%
Brazil	Coronel Martins	2000	3.9%	5.2%	2.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nazaré	2000	25.0%	27.8%	22.4%
Brazil	Jussiapé	2000	25.9%	30.7%	21.8%
Brazil	Mateiros	2000	31.9%	39.0%	24.2%
Brazil	Mercedes	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.4%
Brazil	Oiapoque	2000	5.5%	9.6%	2.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Tocantins	2000	22.5%	25.9%	19.1%
Brazil	Ibirama	2000	2.8%	4.0%	1.9%
Brazil	Campo Formoso	2000	22.8%	26.0%	19.5%
Brazil	Curitiba	2000	4.2%	4.7%	3.7%
Brazil	Novo Machado	2000	4.7%	6.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Treze de Maio	2000	2.9%	4.2%	2.0%
Brazil	Itu	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Brazil	Nordestina	2000	27.3%	31.2%	24.0%
Brazil	Espera Feliz	2000	5.9%	7.5%	4.2%
Brazil	Altair	2000	3.1%	4.4%	1.9%
Brazil	Paranapoema	2000	4.0%	5.5%	2.7%
Brazil	Matias Olímpio	2000	40.7%	45.9%	35.1%
Brazil	Aroazes	2000	38.3%	45.0%	31.0%
Brazil	Diadema	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Espinosa	2000	17.4%	21.1%	14.0%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2000	4.7%	5.8%	3.6%
Brazil	Osório	2000	4.7%	6.1%	3.5%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2000	15.5%	17.1%	13.9%
Brazil	Catanduvas	2000	4.1%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.5%
Brazil	Ibirajuba	2000	20.3%	22.8%	18.2%
Brazil	Planaltina do Paraná	2000	3.6%	4.7%	2.6%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Oeste	2000	3.6%	4.5%	2.6%
Brazil	Tubarão	2000	2.5%	3.8%	1.7%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2000	3.2%	4.6%	2.1%
Brazil	Nova Ibiá	2000	22.7%	26.1%	20.1%
Brazil	Estiva	2000	7.2%	9.4%	5.6%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	4.4%	5.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Tobias Barreto	2000	18.0%	20.8%	15.6%
Brazil	Palmares do Sul	2000	4.5%	6.1%	3.2%
Brazil	Tunápolis	2000	3.7%	5.0%	2.6%
Brazil	Jabuticabal	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.1%
Brazil	Nova Itarana	2000	21.1%	24.6%	17.4%
Brazil	Estrela dalva	2000	6.1%	7.9%	4.5%
Brazil	Ponta Grossa	2000	4.0%	5.0%	3.1%
Brazil	Palmeira das Missões	2000	4.1%	5.5%	3.0%
Brazil	Turvo	2000	2.7%	3.8%	1.8%
Brazil	Jacareí	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.0%
Brazil	Nova Redenção	2000	28.1%	32.5%	23.4%
Brazil	Estrela do Indaiá	2000	11.5%	14.2%	8.5%
Brazil	Pontal do Paraná	2000	6.0%	7.9%	4.5%
Brazil	Taquarussu	2000	4.3%	5.7%	3.0%
Brazil	União do Oeste	2000	3.5%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Jaci	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Soure	2000	25.5%	28.8%	22.4%
Brazil	Jacarezinho	2000	3.0%	4.1%	2.1%
Brazil	Porecatu	2000	4.0%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Panambi	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.1%
Brazil	Urubici	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Brazil	Jacupiranga	2000	3.2%	4.6%	1.9%
Brazil	Catigua	2000	2.1%	3.1%	1.2%
Brazil	Porto Feliz	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	1.1%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Turvânia	2000	6.2%	7.7%	4.9%
Brazil	São João de Meriti	2000	2.0%	2.2%	1.6%
Brazil	Nova Aliança do Ivaí	2000	4.1%	5.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Passa e Fica	2000	16.1%	18.7%	13.8%
Brazil	Poço de José de Moura	2000	19.5%	22.7%	16.3%
Brazil	Cajuri	2000	10.0%	12.1%	8.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Pará	2000	9.9%	11.8%	8.2%
Brazil	Parnaguá	2000	32.0%	39.4%	25.0%
Brazil	Paraí	2000	4.3%	5.7%	3.2%
Brazil	Cuparaque	2000	7.8%	9.9%	5.9%
Brazil	Águas Mornas	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.2%
Brazil	Pindoba	2000	18.6%	20.8%	16.4%
Brazil	Canarana	2000	27.3%	31.3%	23.8%
Brazil	Jambeiro	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Brazil	Olindina	2000	25.4%	29.1%	22.2%
Brazil	Fama	2000	13.0%	15.9%	10.5%
Brazil	Jaguariaíva	2000	4.2%	5.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Pareci Novo	2000	5.5%	6.4%	4.6%
Brazil	Vargem Bonita	2000	2.5%	3.5%	1.7%
Brazil	Jandira	2000	1.1%	1.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Oliveria dos Brejinhos	2000	25.7%	30.0%	21.9%
Brazil	Faria Lemos	2000	8.0%	9.8%	6.1%
Brazil	Prado Ferreira	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.3%
Brazil	Parobé	2000	4.5%	5.3%	3.8%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	2.9%	4.2%	1.9%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2000	2.7%	3.7%	1.9%
Brazil	Ouriçangas	2000	24.4%	27.5%	21.1%
Brazil	Felício dos Santos	2000	9.9%	12.8%	7.5%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sudoeste	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.4%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2000	7.4%	10.4%	5.1%
Brazil	Jandaia do Sul	2000	4.9%	5.9%	3.9%
Brazil	Tupanatinga	2000	17.7%	20.1%	15.1%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2000	38.1%	46.8%	30.0%
Brazil	Ourolândia	2000	26.2%	30.2%	22.2%
Brazil	Felisberto Caldeira	2000	10.2%	13.4%	7.2%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2000	4.8%	6.0%	3.7%
Brazil	Jequitibá	2000	12.2%	14.7%	9.6%
Brazil	Videira	2000	2.6%	3.6%	1.7%
Brazil	Jaú	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Palmas de Monte Alto	2000	24.3%	28.0%	20.1%
Brazil	Felisburgo	2000	12.0%	15.6%	8.8%
Brazil	Primeiro de Maio	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.2%
Brazil	Piranhas	2000	20.7%	24.0%	17.7%
Brazil	Vitor Meireles	2000	2.4%	3.6%	1.5%
Brazil	Jeriquara	2000	4.1%	5.7%	3.0%
Brazil	Icó	2000	20.8%	23.4%	18.2%
Brazil	Campestre	2000	18.7%	21.1%	16.4%
Brazil	Afonso Cunha	2000	46.9%	52.7%	40.9%
Brazil	Witmarsum	2000	2.5%	3.6%	1.6%
Brazil	Amarante do Maranhão	2000	39.5%	45.1%	33.5%
Brazil	Paramirim	2000	26.2%	30.8%	21.9%
Brazil	Quarto Centenário	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Panelas	2000	16.7%	18.6%	14.8%
Brazil	Serra do Navio	2000	4.9%	7.4%	2.7%
Brazil	João Ramalho	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Brazil	Paratinga	2000	26.0%	30.2%	21.8%
Brazil	Ferros	2000	10.9%	13.4%	8.8%
Brazil	Quatiguá	2000	4.4%	6.1%	3.0%
Brazil	Inajá	2000	20.7%	23.8%	17.2%
Brazil	Lavras da Mangabeira	2000	22.1%	24.7%	19.5%
Brazil	Morro da Fumaça	2000	3.4%	4.7%	2.3%
Brazil	Itutinga	2000	11.4%	14.0%	9.1%
Brazil	São Pedro de Alcântara	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	Monsenhor Tabosa	2000	15.6%	18.0%	13.2%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Sul	2000	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Itajá	2000	6.1%	7.9%	4.5%
Brazil	São Domingos de Pombal	2000	19.2%	22.3%	15.8%
Brazil	Pau Brazil	2000	21.8%	25.7%	18.4%
Brazil	Santarém	2000	11.2%	13.2%	9.2%
Brazil	Borba	2000	16.2%	20.7%	11.9%
Brazil	Picada Café	2000	5.0%	5.8%	4.2%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2000	18.1%	20.0%	16.0%
Brazil	Jumirim	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Brazil	Iguatu	2000	21.0%	23.7%	18.5%
Brazil	Vila Velha	2000	7.7%	8.9%	6.4%
Brazil	Umari	2000	20.0%	22.9%	17.0%
Brazil	Governador Archer	2000	44.3%	50.4%	38.5%
Brazil	Vicentina	2000	3.5%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Nova Ubiratã	2000	7.8%	10.6%	5.7%
Brazil	Anamá	2000	15.4%	19.7%	11.5%
Brazil	Querência do Norte	2000	3.5%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Andaraí	2000	24.9%	28.6%	20.9%
Brazil	Junqueirópolis	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Pedrao	2000	24.8%	27.9%	21.9%
Brazil	Fortaleza de Minas	2000	8.3%	10.6%	6.5%
Brazil	Quinta do Sol	2000	5.2%	6.6%	3.9%
Brazil	Ingazeira	2000	20.4%	23.3%	17.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Eliseu Martins	2000	41.2%	50.5%	31.9%
Brazil	CambuÍ	2000	5.8%	7.7%	4.4%
Brazil	Quitandinha	2000	3.8%	4.7%	3.0%
Brazil	Mataraca	2000	14.7%	17.8%	12.2%
Brazil	Novo Itacolomi	2000	5.0%	6.0%	3.9%
Brazil	Francisco Badaró	2000	10.6%	13.4%	8.0%
Brazil	Ipanema	2000	11.1%	13.5%	8.8%
Brazil	Centenário	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Manoel Vitorino	2000	27.9%	32.0%	23.8%
Brazil	Maria Helena	2000	4.1%	5.1%	3.1%
Brazil	Alagoa	2000	2.7%	3.8%	1.8%
Brazil	Itaeté	2000	29.6%	34.0%	25.1%
Brazil	Uruburetama	2000	16.2%	18.1%	13.9%
Brazil	Piratini	2000	4.4%	5.9%	3.1%
Brazil	Alvarães	2000	13.7%	19.1%	9.9%
Brazil	Laranjal Paulista	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Brazil	Ourizona	2000	5.0%	6.2%	3.9%
Brazil	Francisco Sá	2000	11.7%	14.0%	9.5%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre	2000	4.9%	6.2%	3.7%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	3.6%	4.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Lavínia	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Pindobaçu	2000	22.8%	26.0%	19.1%
Brazil	Paial	2000	4.2%	5.6%	3.0%
Brazil	Emas	2000	18.9%	22.3%	15.7%
Brazil	Passira	2000	20.8%	22.9%	18.7%
Brazil	Landri Sales	2000	42.9%	50.8%	34.1%
Brazil	São João do Rio do Peixe	2000	18.8%	22.0%	16.0%
Brazil	David Canabarro	2000	4.1%	5.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2000	10.1%	12.8%	7.4%
Brazil	São Braz do Piauí	2000	34.8%	41.5%	27.7%
Brazil	Itaberá	2000	2.6%	3.8%	1.6%
Brazil	Maraú	2000	24.1%	27.5%	21.1%
Brazil	Nova Crixás	2000	7.8%	10.1%	5.8%
Brazil	Ponte Preta	2000	4.1%	5.3%	3.1%
Brazil	Lençóis Paulista	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Piripá	2000	22.5%	26.4%	18.5%
Brazil	Amaralina	2000	7.3%	9.5%	5.7%
Brazil	Candiba	2000	24.1%	28.0%	20.9%
Brazil	Tangará da Serra	2000	6.8%	8.9%	5.2%
Brazil	Piritiba	2000	21.0%	24.1%	17.7%
Brazil	Fronteira dos Vales	2000	13.9%	18.0%	10.2%
Brazil	Reserva	2000	4.2%	5.5%	3.0%
Brazil	Porto Alegre	2000	4.7%	5.3%	4.2%
Brazil	Lindóia	2000	3.8%	5.2%	2.8%
Brazil	Planaltino	2000	24.2%	28.2%	20.3%
Brazil	Palhoça	2000	2.0%	3.1%	1.4%
Brazil	Nova Timboteua	2000	10.7%	12.6%	9.1%
Brazil	Itagimirim	2000	21.4%	25.1%	18.0%
Brazil	Esperança	2000	15.0%	17.1%	13.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2000	16.9%	19.7%	14.4%
Brazil	Ipubi	2000	21.7%	24.6%	18.9%
Brazil	Mariluz	2000	3.5%	4.5%	2.6%
Brazil	Tamboril do Piauí	2000	39.4%	47.6%	31.0%
Brazil	Lorena	2000	3.9%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Poções	2000	21.0%	24.4%	17.8%
Brazil	Frutal	2000	6.2%	8.7%	4.4%
Brazil	Rio Azul	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Bela Vista	2000	3.4%	5.4%	1.9%
Brazil	Lourdes	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.0%
Brazil	Pojuca	2000	23.6%	26.5%	21.1%
Brazil	Funilândia	2000	12.4%	14.7%	10.1%
Brazil	Rio Bom	2000	4.8%	5.9%	3.7%
Brazil	Porto Xavier	2000	4.5%	6.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Louveira	2000	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Ponto Novo	2000	27.6%	31.3%	24.4%
Brazil	Galiléia	2000	10.7%	13.1%	8.3%
Brazil	Paranaguá	2000	5.7%	7.2%	4.4%
Brazil	Duartina	2000	1.3%	2.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Novo Mundo	2000	8.4%	11.2%	5.9%
Brazil	Ichu	2000	25.8%	29.7%	22.2%
Brazil	Castelo	2000	9.0%	11.0%	7.5%
Brazil	Dom Viçoso	2000	7.5%	9.4%	6.0%
Brazil	Treze Tílias	2000	3.0%	4.3%	2.0%
Brazil	Ipirá	2000	24.6%	27.9%	21.4%
Brazil	Fagundes	2000	22.8%	25.5%	20.5%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Sul	2000	4.4%	5.4%	3.5%
Brazil	Progresso	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Luís Antônio	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Brazil	Palmitos	2000	3.9%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Flórida	2000	1.5%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Paulista	2000	3.8%	4.9%	2.8%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Paraíso	2000	3.8%	4.9%	2.8%
Brazil	Itajuípe	2000	24.5%	27.2%	21.5%
Brazil	Abaíra	2000	23.7%	28.1%	19.9%
Brazil	Luisiania	2000	1.6%	2.6%	0.9%
Brazil	Albertina	2000	5.0%	6.4%	3.8%
Brazil	Goianá	2000	11.7%	14.0%	9.5%
Brazil	Rolândia	2000	4.0%	4.9%	3.2%
Brazil	Putinga	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.3%
Brazil	Monte Santo	2000	24.8%	28.2%	21.2%
Brazil	Presidente Jânio Quadros	2000	24.3%	27.9%	20.6%
Brazil	Dianópolis	2000	25.2%	30.4%	20.8%
Brazil	Augusto Severo	2000	12.6%	15.8%	9.8%
Brazil	Quaraí	2000	4.9%	7.4%	3.0%
Brazil	Edealina	2000	7.1%	8.8%	5.8%
Brazil	Itumbiara	2000	7.4%	8.9%	5.9%
Brazil	Dores do Indaiá	2000	10.1%	12.7%	7.5%
Brazil	Abaeté	2000	11.9%	15.3%	9.0%
Brazil	Aveiro	2000	12.1%	16.0%	9.3%
Brazil	Herval d'Oeste	2000	2.8%	3.8%	1.9%
Brazil	Casa Grande	2000	11.3%	13.5%	9.2%
Brazil	Acrelândia	2000	17.0%	20.9%	13.4%
Brazil	Colombo	2000	4.3%	4.9%	3.7%
Brazil	Itaíba	2000	19.7%	22.6%	16.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Comendador Gomes	2000	7.7%	10.8%	5.3%
Brazil	Acaraú	2000	20.2%	23.4%	17.4%
Brazil	Redentora	2000	4.0%	5.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Macedonia	2000	2.7%	4.0%	1.6%
Brazil	Quixabeira	2000	24.6%	27.7%	21.3%
Brazil	Salgado Filho	2000	4.1%	5.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Areia de Baraúnas	2000	17.3%	20.3%	14.5%
Brazil	Relvado	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.5%
Brazil	Magda	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Montes Altos	2000	35.7%	42.0%	30.8%
Brazil	Torixoréu	2000	7.3%	10.3%	5.2%
Brazil	Salto do Itararé	2000	3.9%	5.4%	2.6%
Brazil	Restinga Seca	2000	4.9%	6.3%	3.8%
Brazil	Monte Horebe	2000	16.3%	19.2%	13.8%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2000	24.8%	28.0%	21.6%
Brazil	Andirá	2000	4.3%	5.6%	3.1%
Brazil	Rio dos índios	2000	3.8%	4.9%	2.8%
Brazil	Mulungu do Morro	2000	23.0%	27.0%	19.5%
Brazil	Alvorada de Minas	2000	11.1%	14.2%	8.3%
Brazil	Itanagra	2000	25.0%	28.5%	21.8%
Brazil	Rio Grande	2000	4.8%	6.3%	3.6%
Brazil	Manduri	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Brazil	Riachão das Neves	2000	27.7%	33.1%	23.3%
Brazil	Elísio Medrado	2000	23.3%	25.8%	20.5%
Brazil	Arcoverde	2000	16.7%	19.0%	14.6%
Brazil	Itambaracá	2000	20.5%	22.4%	18.6%
Brazil	Cambé	2000	4.3%	5.2%	3.5%
Brazil	Martins	2000	10.3%	12.9%	8.0%
Brazil	União do Sul	2000	8.1%	11.5%	5.2%
Brazil	Engenheiro Caldas	2000	11.1%	13.4%	9.1%
Brazil	Taciba	2000	2.3%	3.2%	1.5%
Brazil	Vargem Alta	2000	5.2%	6.5%	4.2%
Brazil	Taperoá	2000	24.2%	27.7%	21.1%
Brazil	Foz do Jordão	2000	4.8%	6.3%	3.5%
Brazil	Petrolina	2000	21.9%	24.1%	19.8%
Brazil	Muquém de São Francisco	2000	24.9%	29.3%	20.6%
Brazil	Roteiro	2000	19.4%	22.3%	16.9%
Brazil	Roca Sales	2000	6.0%	7.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Maracaí	2000	2.5%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	Ribeira do Amparo	2000	23.6%	26.8%	20.7%
Brazil	Guarani	2000	12.5%	14.7%	10.3%
Brazil	Casa Branca	2000	2.5%	3.5%	1.7%
Brazil	São Salvador do Tocantins	2000	19.5%	24.0%	15.8%
Brazil	Marapoama	2000	2.0%	3.0%	1.2%
Brazil	Ribeira do Pombal	2000	24.2%	27.4%	21.1%
Brazil	Guarará	2000	7.1%	8.9%	5.6%
Brazil	Cambuci	2000	3.3%	4.2%	2.4%
Brazil	Imbé	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Largo	2000	23.6%	27.5%	20.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guarda-Mor	2000	9.7%	12.5%	6.9%
Brazil	Itapetim	2000	15.3%	18.0%	13.1%
Brazil	Cardoso Moreira	2000	3.4%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Francinópolis	2000	40.7%	48.1%	33.1%
Brazil	Sumidouro	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.4%
Brazil	Telha	2000	19.3%	21.9%	16.6%
Brazil	Bataguassu	2000	4.4%	6.3%	2.9%
Brazil	São Mamede	2000	15.0%	17.9%	12.3%
Brazil	Lobato	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Rondinha	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.5%
Brazil	Imigrante	2000	4.9%	6.2%	3.9%
Brazil	Rio do Antônio	2000	26.7%	29.7%	23.1%
Brazil	Poços de Caldas	2000	4.3%	5.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Rio da Conceição	2000	27.7%	34.0%	22.8%
Brazil	Roque Gonzales	2000	4.7%	6.3%	3.4%
Brazil	Itapé	2000	25.3%	28.6%	22.4%
Brazil	Rio do Pires	2000	24.6%	28.6%	20.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Oeste	2000	3.8%	4.9%	3.0%
Brazil	Campo Florido	2000	9.2%	12.0%	6.8%
Brazil	Faina	2000	6.4%	8.3%	4.6%
Brazil	Novo Progresso	2000	9.2%	13.5%	5.9%
Brazil	Francisco Beltrão	2000	4.0%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Oriximiná	2000	11.4%	15.0%	8.2%
Brazil	Mauá	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Brazil	Rodelas	2000	19.8%	24.4%	16.3%
Brazil	Rubiataba	2000	5.0%	6.2%	3.7%
Brazil	Santa Mônica	2000	4.8%	5.9%	3.6%
Brazil	São Luiz	2000	7.0%	10.9%	4.5%
Brazil	Saldanha Maranhão	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.4%
Brazil	Mendonça	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Paim Filho	2000	4.0%	5.3%	2.8%
Brazil	Nova Iorque	2000	46.6%	53.7%	39.1%
Brazil	Anitápolis	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Salinas da Margarida	2000	26.3%	28.9%	23.6%
Brazil	Iaçú	2000	10.3%	12.4%	8.5%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Itaipu	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.3%
Brazil	Barreirinha	2000	14.0%	17.4%	10.8%
Brazil	Santana do Ipanema	2000	20.1%	22.8%	17.2%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2000	12.3%	14.1%	10.5%
Brazil	União Paulista	2000	1.7%	2.8%	1.0%
Brazil	Itaiçaba	2000	21.3%	25.0%	18.2%
Brazil	Salvador do Sul	2000	3.8%	4.6%	3.1%
Brazil	Miguelópolis	2000	5.6%	7.4%	4.0%
Brazil	Carmópolis	2000	16.4%	18.6%	14.4%
Brazil	Ibiá	2000	10.7%	14.2%	8.1%
Brazil	Itapitanga	2000	21.2%	24.6%	18.4%
Brazil	Senador La Rocque	2000	35.9%	40.5%	31.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mineiros do Tietê	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Gurjão	2000	20.0%	23.6%	16.7%
Brazil	Ibiaí	2000	11.4%	14.6%	8.5%
Brazil	Contenda	2000	4.0%	4.7%	3.2%
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	19.2%	24.0%	15.4%
Brazil	Itaquitinga	2000	18.8%	20.8%	17.1%
Brazil	Alvorada	2000	4.6%	5.1%	4.1%
Brazil	Santa Cruz Cabralia	2000	25.3%	29.3%	21.0%
Brazil	Ibiracatu	2000	10.2%	13.3%	7.5%
Brazil	Nova Viçosa	2000	17.7%	20.9%	14.7%
Brazil	Eugenópolis	2000	6.8%	8.7%	5.1%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Goiás	2000	7.1%	8.7%	5.7%
Brazil	Serrano do Maranhão	2000	39.1%	44.9%	32.6%
Brazil	Cônego Marinho	2000	11.4%	15.1%	8.7%
Brazil	Urupema	2000	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%
Brazil	Maranhãozinho	2000	30.6%	36.2%	26.2%
Brazil	São José do Xingu	2000	8.5%	12.8%	5.8%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	5.1%	5.7%	4.6%
Brazil	Iraí	2000	4.4%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Alegre	2000	9.3%	11.2%	7.6%
Brazil	Mirante do Parapanema	2000	2.7%	3.7%	1.8%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2000	23.3%	26.6%	19.8%
Brazil	Ibitiúra de Minas	2000	6.6%	8.3%	5.0%
Brazil	Itapema	2000	2.5%	3.4%	1.6%
Brazil	Ourilândia do Norte	2000	9.2%	12.0%	6.9%
Brazil	Sucupira	2000	22.5%	27.2%	18.8%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Vitória	2000	22.7%	27.5%	18.6%
Brazil	Porto Vitória	2000	4.1%	5.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Normandia	2000	8.1%	12.3%	4.7%
Brazil	Olinda Nova do Maranhão	2000	43.0%	48.3%	38.2%
Brazil	Santa Rosa	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.5%
Brazil	Mirassol	2000	2.1%	2.9%	1.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Cássia	2000	27.0%	32.8%	22.1%
Brazil	Icaraí de Minas	2000	10.3%	13.4%	7.6%
Brazil	Caseiros	2000	3.9%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Castanheira	2000	8.7%	12.0%	5.9%
Brazil	Mococa	2000	5.5%	7.1%	4.0%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2000	25.1%	28.5%	22.0%
Brazil	Igarapé	2000	9.8%	11.4%	8.3%
Brazil	Santa Vitória do Palmar	2000	4.7%	8.0%	2.4%
Brazil	São Brás	2000	20.8%	23.6%	17.9%
Brazil	Itiruçu	2000	20.9%	24.0%	17.6%
Brazil	Igaratinga	2000	10.8%	13.0%	9.3%
Brazil	São João do Triunfo	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.4%
Brazil	Itapajé	2000	15.4%	17.4%	13.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Flores de Goiás	2000	9.3%	11.7%	7.2%
Brazil	Passo do Sobrado	2000	5.1%	6.3%	4.2%
Brazil	Grandes Rios	2000	4.8%	6.0%	3.6%
Brazil	São João	2000	4.7%	5.9%	3.4%
Brazil	Astorga	2000	4.6%	5.7%	3.5%
Brazil	Santanópolis	2000	24.7%	27.5%	21.6%
Brazil	São Jorge d'Oeste	2000	5.3%	6.7%	4.0%
Brazil	Jaqueira	2000	16.8%	18.7%	15.1%
Brazil	Mombaça	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2000	25.2%	28.0%	22.9%
Brazil	Ilicínea	2000	10.5%	13.4%	8.2%
Brazil	São Jorge do Ivaí	2000	5.1%	6.5%	3.9%
Brazil	Joanópolis	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Brazil	Monções	2000	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Oliveira de Fátima	2000	25.2%	29.5%	21.1%
Brazil	Imbé de Minas	2000	8.8%	11.0%	7.0%
Brazil	São Jorge do Patrocínio	2000	4.5%	5.9%	3.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Patrulha	2000	4.0%	5.1%	3.1%
Brazil	Mongaguá	2000	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Santo Estêvão	2000	26.2%	29.3%	23.6%
Brazil	Carinhanha	2000	22.8%	27.1%	18.5%
Brazil	Araguacema	2000	18.7%	22.8%	14.8%
Brazil	Itatiba do Sul	2000	3.9%	5.2%	2.8%
Brazil	Elesbão Veloso	2000	40.2%	46.3%	33.3%
Brazil	Torrinha	2000	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Brazil	Guarinos	2000	6.4%	8.2%	5.0%
Brazil	Itupeva	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Brazil	Alambari	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	10.1%	12.6%	7.8%
Brazil	Terra Alta	2000	10.5%	12.3%	8.7%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	27.8%	31.6%	24.4%
Brazil	Aparecida doeste	2000	2.4%	3.5%	1.4%
Brazil	São José dos Pinhais	2000	4.2%	4.8%	3.7%
Brazil	Itabaianinha	2000	16.5%	19.0%	14.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Planalto	2000	4.8%	6.0%	3.7%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2000	2.0%	3.1%	1.2%
Brazil	São Felipe	2000	23.2%	25.8%	20.8%
Brazil	Ingaí	2000	11.4%	13.7%	9.1%
Brazil	Caxias do Sul	2000	3.3%	3.9%	2.7%
Brazil	Monte Azul Paulista	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.1%
Brazil	São Félix do Coribe	2000	22.6%	27.6%	18.3%
Brazil	Inhapim	2000	9.3%	11.4%	7.4%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Abaeté	2000	10.3%	13.8%	7.3%
Brazil	Santo Cristo	2000	4.4%	5.8%	3.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Rio Abaixo	2000	11.2%	13.2%	9.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Félix	2000	24.7%	27.2%	22.3%
Brazil	São Miguel do Iguaçu	2000	4.6%	6.1%	3.2%
Brazil	São João da Lagoa	2000	9.6%	12.3%	7.3%
Brazil	Santo Expedito do Sul	2000	4.3%	5.6%	3.1%
Brazil	Monte Mor	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Conde	2000	25.1%	27.9%	22.9%
Brazil	Inimutaba	2000	11.9%	15.0%	9.1%
Brazil	Porto Walter	2000	19.3%	24.1%	14.7%
Brazil	São Pedro do Iguaçu	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	São Borja	2000	4.6%	6.6%	3.1%
Brazil	Monteiro Lobato	2000	2.5%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2000	26.0%	29.5%	22.5%
Brazil	Parambu	2000	24.2%	29.0%	20.1%
Brazil	Piatã	2000	18.3%	21.6%	14.7%
Brazil	Alexânia	2000	6.3%	7.5%	5.2%
Brazil	Ramilândia	2000	4.4%	5.8%	3.2%
Brazil	São Gonçalo dos Campos	2000	24.3%	26.4%	22.1%
Brazil	Peruíbe	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	Serrita	2000	19.5%	22.0%	17.1%
Brazil	Iomerê	2000	2.8%	4.0%	1.8%
Brazil	Brejo Alegre	2000	1.5%	2.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Palmeirante	2000	12.8%	16.1%	9.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Amoreira	2000	4.3%	5.4%	3.4%
Brazil	Pindaí	2000	23.1%	26.6%	19.5%
Brazil	Motuca	2000	1.3%	2.2%	0.7%
Brazil	São José do Jacuípe	2000	28.0%	31.6%	24.7%
Brazil	Tartarugalzinho	2000	5.6%	8.3%	3.5%
Brazil	Feira Grande	2000	20.8%	22.9%	18.7%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2000	4.1%	5.5%	2.8%
Brazil	Pontes e Lacerda	2000	8.4%	11.9%	5.8%
Brazil	Botumirim	2000	9.3%	12.3%	6.4%
Brazil	Parauapebas	2000	9.8%	12.1%	8.0%
Brazil	Itacoatiara	2000	14.9%	18.3%	12.0%
Brazil	Água Doce do Maranhão	2000	41.2%	46.7%	35.8%
Brazil	Nantes	2000	2.7%	3.7%	1.8%
Brazil	Rebouças	2000	4.3%	5.4%	3.1%
Brazil	Mostardas	2000	4.3%	6.3%	2.7%
Brazil	São José do Cedro	2000	3.3%	4.6%	2.2%
Brazil	Piraí do Norte	2000	23.5%	26.8%	20.5%
Brazil	Frei Inocêncio	2000	12.1%	14.8%	9.8%
Brazil	Renascença	2000	4.2%	5.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2000	17.8%	21.0%	15.1%
Brazil	Mateira	2000	6.9%	9.1%	4.9%
Brazil	Capetinga	2000	6.2%	8.1%	4.6%
Brazil	Natividade da Serra	2000	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Belágua	2000	44.2%	50.5%	38.0%
Brazil	Sengés	2000	4.0%	5.4%	2.7%
Brazil	Andorinha	2000	25.3%	29.1%	21.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nazaré Paulista	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.1%
Brazil	Itatira	2000	16.4%	19.4%	14.1%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2000	3.6%	4.7%	2.6%
Brazil	São José das Missões	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Neves Paulista	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2000	11.3%	14.5%	8.8%
Brazil	Penaforte	2000	20.5%	23.2%	17.9%
Brazil	Matrinchã	2000	7.0%	9.3%	5.1%
Brazil	Paulo Ramos	2000	43.8%	49.4%	38.4%
Brazil	Andradas	2000	6.0%	7.6%	4.6%
Brazil	Nhandeara	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.1%
Brazil	Cordislândia	2000	9.8%	12.5%	7.4%
Brazil	Porto Mauá	2000	4.8%	6.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Bujaru	2000	11.6%	13.3%	10.1%
Brazil	São José do Hortêncio	2000	5.4%	6.2%	4.4%
Brazil	Nipoã	2000	1.8%	2.9%	1.1%
Brazil	Sebastião Laranjeiras	2000	21.5%	25.5%	17.6%
Brazil	Siqueira Campos	2000	4.3%	5.8%	2.9%
Brazil	Brotas	2000	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	São José do Inhacorá	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.8%
Brazil	Ataléia	2000	5.1%	6.4%	3.8%
Brazil	Itaipé	2000	9.9%	12.4%	8.0%
Brazil	Sulina	2000	5.2%	6.7%	3.8%
Brazil	Buenos Aires	2000	18.6%	20.4%	16.9%
Brazil	Jaguaretama	2000	22.4%	25.7%	19.2%
Brazil	Quilombo	2000	3.7%	5.0%	2.7%
Brazil	Amambai	2000	2.9%	4.3%	1.7%
Brazil	São José dos Ausentes	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Nova Canaã Paulista	2000	2.5%	3.8%	1.6%
Brazil	São José do Rio Preto	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.2%
Brazil	Coroaci	2000	10.3%	13.5%	7.9%
Brazil	Tavares	2000	16.6%	19.3%	14.2%
Brazil	São Leopoldo	2000	4.5%	5.1%	4.0%
Brazil	Serra dourada	2000	25.3%	29.8%	20.8%
Brazil	Itamarati de Minas	2000	8.3%	10.9%	5.8%
Brazil	Tapejara	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.2%
Brazil	Cerro Grande do Sul	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.3%
Brazil	Fraiburgo	2000	2.0%	2.8%	1.2%
Brazil	Itambacuri	2000	10.9%	12.5%	9.4%
Brazil	Tapira	2000	3.7%	4.8%	2.8%
Brazil	Balneário Gaivota	2000	4.3%	6.1%	3.0%
Brazil	Erval Grande	2000	3.5%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Serrinha	2000	24.3%	27.6%	21.7%
Brazil	Caturama	2000	26.4%	30.6%	22.5%
Brazil	Araguanã	2000	37.2%	43.3%	31.6%
Brazil	Lupercio	2000	1.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Guarulhos	2000	1.1%	1.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Pindoretama	2000	20.3%	22.4%	17.7%
Brazil	Roncador	2000	4.3%	5.7%	3.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Coronel Fabriciano	2000	11.8%	13.7%	10.0%
Brazil	Piçarra	2000	15.6%	18.5%	12.7%
Brazil	Simões Filho	2000	23.5%	25.0%	22.2%
Brazil	Volta Grande	2000	6.6%	8.4%	4.8%
Brazil	Quevedos	2000	4.4%	5.8%	3.2%
Brazil	Macatuba	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Gouvea	2000	7.3%	9.7%	5.6%
Brazil	Itanhandu	2000	3.9%	5.1%	2.8%
Brazil	Vila Nova dos Martiros	2000	22.1%	25.4%	18.9%
Brazil	Abelardo Luz	2000	3.4%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	São Miguel das Misses	2000	4.5%	5.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Nova Odessa	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Brazil	Sítio do Quinto	2000	23.5%	26.8%	20.0%
Brazil	Fernando de Noronha	2000	18.7%	38.8%	7.3%
Brazil	Jitaúna	2000	23.6%	26.6%	20.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Passa Quatro	2000	6.6%	8.0%	5.3%
Brazil	Vitória do Mearim	2000	44.4%	49.2%	38.8%
Brazil	Coronel Pacheco	2000	10.2%	12.2%	8.4%
Brazil	Uiraúna	2000	15.5%	18.4%	13.0%
Brazil	Alta Floresta	2000	8.0%	11.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Souto Soares	2000	23.7%	27.9%	20.2%
Brazil	Itapagipe	2000	14.2%	18.0%	11.0%
Brazil	São Pedro da Serra	2000	3.5%	4.3%	2.8%
Brazil	Tabocas do Brejo Velho	2000	24.7%	29.1%	20.1%
Brazil	Picuí	2000	15.5%	18.7%	13.0%
Brazil	Mairiporã	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Brazil	São Pedro do Butiá	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.3%
Brazil	Ocaçu	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Tanhaçu	2000	29.2%	33.8%	25.2%
Brazil	Galvão	2000	3.8%	5.2%	2.8%
Brazil	Tomazina	2000	5.0%	6.7%	3.5%
Brazil	São Pedro do Sul	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Itamarati	2000	16.5%	22.4%	10.6%
Brazil	Córrego Danta	2000	10.9%	14.0%	8.2%
Brazil	Itatiaiuçu	2000	6.4%	7.5%	5.5%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Piauí	2000	32.4%	37.4%	27.7%
Brazil	Muniz Ferreira	2000	24.5%	27.2%	21.9%
Brazil	São João da Ponta	2000	10.3%	12.2%	8.4%
Brazil	Hortolândia	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.1%
Brazil	Nova Roma do Sul	2000	4.6%	5.6%	3.8%
Brazil	Aquidabã	2000	15.3%	18.0%	13.1%
Brazil	Vieirópolis	2000	15.8%	19.0%	13.1%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2000	20.6%	23.6%	17.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Tuneiras do Oeste	2000	4.3%	5.3%	3.2%
Brazil	São Valentim do Sul	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Iacanga	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	24.4%	28.7%	20.5%
Brazil	Água Clara	2000	4.1%	6.2%	2.6%
Brazil	Orindiúva	2000	4.6%	6.6%	2.9%
Brazil	Pilões	2000	17.4%	19.7%	14.9%
Brazil	Itinga	2000	13.8%	17.4%	10.6%
Brazil	Peixe	2000	24.0%	28.8%	20.5%
Brazil	Alvorada do Sul	2000	4.2%	5.6%	3.1%
Brazil	Córrego Novo	2000	11.1%	13.3%	8.9%
Brazil	Abre Campo	2000	8.7%	10.7%	6.8%
Brazil	Itueta	2000	10.0%	12.6%	7.5%
Brazil	Ubiratã	2000	4.9%	6.2%	3.6%
Brazil	Osasco	2000	1.2%	1.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Teofilândia	2000	25.0%	28.3%	21.7%
Brazil	Ituiutaba	2000	6.8%	8.9%	4.7%
Brazil	Umuarama	2000	3.3%	4.2%	2.5%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2000	21.2%	24.4%	18.3%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2000	13.9%	15.7%	12.2%
Brazil	Lafaiete Coutinho	2000	24.8%	28.2%	21.2%
Brazil	União da Vitória	2000	3.7%	4.9%	2.7%
Brazil	Sapucaia do Sul	2000	4.4%	5.0%	3.9%
Brazil	Oswaldo Cruz	2000	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2000	24.6%	27.3%	22.1%
Brazil	Iturama	2000	4.1%	6.0%	2.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Real	2000	27.3%	30.9%	23.8%
Brazil	Taquaral de Goiás	2000	5.6%	6.8%	4.5%
Brazil	Cristais	2000	12.0%	15.0%	9.5%
Brazil	Tremedal	2000	24.3%	27.7%	20.8%
Brazil	Uraí	2000	4.9%	6.0%	3.8%
Brazil	Santana	2000	5.7%	6.9%	4.7%
Brazil	Salete	2000	2.4%	3.6%	1.5%
Brazil	Seberi	2000	4.0%	5.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Cipó	2000	23.9%	27.3%	20.8%
Brazil	Tucano	2000	25.4%	28.7%	22.3%
Brazil	Jati	2000	20.4%	23.5%	18.0%
Brazil	Campos	2000	2.4%	3.3%	1.6%
Brazil	Gouvelândia	2000	7.7%	10.0%	5.9%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2000	23.3%	26.7%	19.9%
Brazil	Heliodora	2000	10.9%	13.7%	8.7%
Brazil	Ibitinga	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Jacinto	2000	18.9%	23.0%	15.2%
Brazil	Ventania	2000	4.2%	5.8%	3.1%
Brazil	Apiáí	2000	2.0%	3.0%	1.2%
Brazil	Poço de Pedras	2000	42.5%	47.9%	37.4%
Brazil	Itaporanga dajuda	2000	13.9%	15.9%	11.7%
Brazil	Ubaíra	2000	23.4%	26.7%	20.5%
Brazil	Salto Veloso	2000	2.6%	3.9%	1.6%
Brazil	Ibiúna	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Brazil	Palmeirais	2000	45.9%	52.2%	40.2%
Brazil	Serra Negra do Norte	2000	16.0%	18.9%	13.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Boca do Acre	2000	17.7%	23.2%	13.2%
Brazil	Ubaitaba	2000	24.4%	27.2%	21.2%
Brazil	Lebon Régis	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Senador Salgado Filho	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Ubatã	2000	22.7%	25.7%	20.0%
Brazil	Jaguaraçu	2000	10.6%	12.5%	8.9%
Brazil	Coaraci	2000	21.1%	24.1%	18.2%
Brazil	Belford Roxo	2000	2.0%	2.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Corumbiara	2000	8.4%	11.6%	5.8%
Brazil	Guapó	2000	6.7%	7.7%	5.7%
Brazil	Junco do Maranhão	2000	24.8%	30.2%	20.2%
Brazil	Serafina Corrêa	2000	3.9%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Umburanas	2000	25.3%	29.7%	20.7%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Paraíso	2000	4.8%	6.2%	3.7%
Brazil	Itaquara	2000	21.5%	24.6%	18.5%
Brazil	Igaraçu do Tietê	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	Lapão	2000	26.2%	29.7%	22.9%
Brazil	Sério	2000	3.7%	4.7%	2.9%
Brazil	Panorama	2000	3.7%	5.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Una	2000	23.8%	27.6%	20.4%
Brazil	Janaúba	2000	9.6%	12.3%	7.2%
Brazil	Xambrê	2000	4.2%	5.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2000	2.5%	3.7%	1.6%
Brazil	Chiapeta	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.3%
Brazil	Aracati	2000	19.3%	23.2%	16.8%
Brazil	Urandi	2000	20.5%	23.9%	17.3%
Brazil	Januária	2000	12.2%	15.5%	9.6%
Brazil	Nova América da Colina	2000	4.7%	5.8%	3.7%
Brazil	Álvares Florence	2000	2.4%	3.5%	1.4%
Brazil	Paraibuna	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Sul	2000	4.1%	5.8%	2.9%
Brazil	Japaraíba	2000	11.6%	14.3%	9.2%
Brazil	Atalaia do Norte	2000	16.2%	21.0%	12.6%
Brazil	Curral de dentro	2000	9.5%	12.5%	7.0%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2000	4.5%	5.6%	3.5%
Brazil	Ribeirão Cascalheira	2000	8.4%	12.7%	5.7%
Brazil	Severiano de Almeida	2000	4.1%	5.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Paranaparema	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Arapoema	2000	19.8%	23.3%	16.5%
Brazil	Silveira Martins	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.5%
Brazil	Brejo Santo	2000	19.5%	22.0%	17.3%
Brazil	Valente	2000	26.2%	29.6%	22.9%
Brazil	Jenipapo de Minas	2000	12.4%	15.8%	9.2%
Brazil	Ibicuí	2000	21.4%	25.0%	18.9%
Brazil	Quixeré	2000	18.1%	21.1%	15.4%
Brazil	Datas	2000	6.9%	9.3%	5.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jequeri	2000	11.3%	13.5%	9.0%
Brazil	Grão Pará	2000	2.7%	3.9%	1.7%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2000	1.3%	2.2%	0.7%
Brazil	Pium	2000	21.0%	24.9%	17.5%
Brazil	Macajuba	2000	22.4%	26.3%	19.0%
Brazil	União dos Palmares	2000	16.2%	18.6%	14.3%
Brazil	Olho d'Água	2000	17.8%	21.2%	14.8%
Brazil	Parisi	2000	2.2%	3.3%	1.3%
Brazil	Varzedo	2000	24.1%	26.5%	21.0%
Brazil	Jequitinhonha	2000	16.0%	20.2%	12.2%
Brazil	Tapejara	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.5%
Brazil	Angelândia	2000	7.9%	10.4%	5.8%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	26.0%	28.7%	23.9%
Brazil	Jesuânia	2000	9.1%	11.5%	7.3%
Brazil	Varjao	2000	7.1%	8.6%	5.9%
Brazil	Delta	2000	9.3%	11.6%	7.2%
Brazil	Santo Ângelo	2000	4.3%	5.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Jaicós	2000	35.0%	41.0%	29.4%
Brazil	Maximiliano de Almeida	2000	4.1%	5.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Chuvisca	2000	4.2%	5.7%	3.1%
Brazil	Vitória da Conquista	2000	23.3%	26.0%	20.9%
Brazil	Alfredo Wagner	2000	1.5%	2.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Anapuros	2000	43.8%	49.8%	37.7%
Brazil	Nova Londrina	2000	3.1%	4.4%	2.2%
Brazil	João Monlevade	2000	8.6%	10.5%	7.0%
Brazil	Taquari	2000	4.9%	6.0%	3.9%
Brazil	João Pinheiro	2000	8.8%	12.2%	6.3%
Brazil	Wenceslau Guimarães	2000	23.3%	26.3%	20.6%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Paraibano	2000	41.5%	47.5%	34.8%
Brazil	Macieira	2000	2.5%	3.7%	1.5%
Brazil	Pederneiras	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Xique-Xique	2000	26.6%	31.6%	21.6%
Brazil	Joaquim Felício	2000	11.4%	15.2%	8.0%
Brazil	Tavares	2000	4.8%	7.3%	3.1%
Brazil	Pedra Bela	2000	2.3%	3.1%	1.5%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2000	2.9%	4.1%	1.9%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2000	3.8%	5.1%	2.7%
Brazil	Limoeiro	2000	18.6%	20.4%	16.8%
Brazil	Jardim do Mulato	2000	41.3%	47.8%	35.2%
Brazil	Pau dos Ferros	2000	16.2%	19.2%	13.4%
Brazil	Terra de Areia	2000	4.4%	5.9%	3.1%
Brazil	Campo do Brito	2000	15.1%	17.2%	13.4%
Brazil	Guabiruba	2000	2.7%	3.7%	1.9%
Brazil	Caieiras	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Afrânio	2000	25.5%	31.0%	20.4%
Brazil	Mairi	2000	20.4%	23.6%	17.1%
Brazil	Pedrinhas Paulista	2000	3.8%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Juatuba	2000	11.4%	13.1%	9.9%
Brazil	Toropi	2000	4.8%	6.3%	3.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pedro de Toledo	2000	2.1%	3.1%	1.3%
Brazil	Juiz de Fora	2000	7.4%	8.8%	6.1%
Brazil	Torres	2000	3.8%	5.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Rio Maria	2000	11.6%	14.7%	9.0%
Brazil	Juramento	2000	9.9%	13.0%	7.5%
Brazil	Tramandaí	2000	4.2%	5.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Juruáia	2000	9.4%	11.8%	7.4%
Brazil	Macaparana	2000	18.0%	20.2%	16.1%
Brazil	Jatobá do Piauí	2000	38.9%	44.0%	33.7%
Brazil	Pedra Grande	2000	14.0%	18.3%	10.2%
Brazil	Contagem	2000	10.4%	11.2%	9.6%
Brazil	Marechal Thaumaturgo	2000	19.0%	24.8%	13.9%
Brazil	Florinópolis	2000	2.1%	3.2%	1.4%
Brazil	Ladainha	2000	9.6%	12.3%	7.4%
Brazil	São João do Oeste	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.7%
Brazil	Iracemópolis	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%
Brazil	Mansidão	2000	28.6%	35.4%	22.6%
Brazil	São Francisco de Paula	2000	2.8%	3.6%	2.1%
Brazil	Três Coroas	2000	4.3%	5.3%	3.4%
Brazil	Madalena	2000	19.6%	22.6%	17.3%
Brazil	Água Branca	2000	40.8%	46.7%	34.2%
Brazil	Pilar do Sul	2000	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Patos	2000	11.6%	14.9%	8.6%
Brazil	Três Forquilhas	2000	4.1%	5.5%	2.8%
Brazil	Sertânia	2000	19.5%	22.1%	17.0%
Brazil	Lagoa dourada	2000	10.4%	12.7%	8.2%
Brazil	Doutor Carmargo	2000	5.5%	6.9%	4.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Passé	2000	23.6%	25.9%	21.6%
Brazil	Altinópolis	2000	3.3%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Pontão	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Leme	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.3%
Brazil	Pinhal	2000	5.4%	6.8%	4.1%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2000	21.3%	24.0%	19.0%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2000	2.7%	3.6%	1.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Santa	2000	10.9%	12.4%	9.3%
Brazil	Bernardo do Mearim	2000	44.6%	49.4%	39.5%
Brazil	Maracanaú	2000	19.0%	20.2%	17.7%
Brazil	Lambari	2000	9.3%	11.6%	7.5%
Brazil	Maravilha	2000	3.2%	4.2%	2.3%
Brazil	Araruna	2000	13.6%	15.7%	11.3%
Brazil	Lamim	2000	11.7%	14.0%	9.6%
Brazil	Laranjal	2000	8.5%	10.4%	6.6%
Brazil	Lastro	2000	15.7%	18.9%	13.0%
Brazil	Tupanciretã	2000	3.9%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Palotina	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.2%
Brazil	Pedro Avelino	2000	13.5%	16.5%	10.3%
Brazil	Itacarambi	2000	11.3%	13.3%	9.7%
Brazil	Sertanópolis	2000	5.0%	6.3%	3.8%
Brazil	Itanhaém	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Aracagi	2000	18.8%	21.5%	16.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Dom Cavati	2000	9.3%	11.5%	7.5%
Brazil	Paraíso do Norte	2000	4.2%	5.5%	3.3%
Brazil	Leandro Ferreira	2000	12.3%	14.7%	10.0%
Brazil	Cabrobó	2000	18.2%	21.2%	15.3%
Brazil	Pirangi	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.1%
Brazil	Leme do Prado	2000	11.3%	14.8%	8.3%
Brazil	Maranguape	2000	19.3%	21.0%	17.8%
Brazil	Leopoldina	2000	9.2%	11.1%	7.1%
Brazil	Coronel João Pessoa	2000	15.5%	18.2%	13.2%
Brazil	União da Serra	2000	4.8%	6.0%	3.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Minas	2000	9.1%	10.9%	7.2%
Brazil	Afonso Bezerra	2000	12.8%	15.3%	10.2%
Brazil	Barra do Quaraí	2000	5.0%	8.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Piratininga	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Lima Duarte	2000	6.4%	8.3%	4.8%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2000	21.1%	23.7%	18.4%
Brazil	Vargem Grande	2000	44.4%	49.8%	39.2%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%
Brazil	Limeira do Oeste	2000	5.9%	8.1%	4.0%
Brazil	Barra Velha	2000	2.6%	3.5%	1.7%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	1.6%	2.7%	0.9%
Brazil	Lontra	2000	9.8%	12.9%	7.3%
Brazil	Japoatã	2000	16.3%	18.7%	13.9%
Brazil	Vacaria	2000	3.0%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Platina	2000	2.4%	3.6%	1.6%
Brazil	Carmolândia	2000	23.6%	27.1%	20.4%
Brazil	Vale do Sol	2000	5.1%	6.3%	3.9%
Brazil	Itapevi	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Brazil	Mirante	2000	29.5%	33.9%	25.0%
Brazil	Dores de Campos	2000	11.6%	14.1%	9.2%
Brazil	Cachoeiras de Macacu	2000	2.3%	3.1%	1.7%
Brazil	Luminárias	2000	10.7%	13.1%	8.3%
Brazil	Schroeder	2000	3.7%	4.6%	2.8%
Brazil	Rio das Antas	2000	3.0%	4.1%	1.9%
Brazil	Pompéia	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Luz	2000	10.9%	13.9%	8.6%
Brazil	Pongaí	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.0%
Brazil	Amorinópolis	2000	6.2%	8.2%	4.5%
Brazil	Machacalis	2000	15.7%	19.7%	12.1%
Brazil	Venâncio Aires	2000	5.1%	6.2%	4.2%
Brazil	Pontal	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Brazil	Pendências	2000	12.2%	15.0%	9.4%
Brazil	Constantina	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.4%
Brazil	Pontalinda	2000	2.0%	3.2%	1.3%
Brazil	Madre de deus de Minas	2000	10.5%	13.5%	7.8%
Brazil	Araioses	2000	38.5%	43.3%	33.4%
Brazil	Veranópolis	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Vespasiano Correa	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.5%
Brazil	Populina	2000	3.9%	5.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2000	20.3%	23.5%	17.2%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso	2000	7.6%	9.8%	5.7%
Brazil	Sooretama	2000	7.5%	9.4%	5.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2000	8.8%	10.7%	6.7%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Pará	2000	11.5%	13.0%	10.2%
Brazil	Novo Gama	2000	4.2%	4.8%	3.6%
Brazil	Jutaí	2000	15.3%	21.8%	10.2%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Oeste	2000	4.8%	6.3%	3.5%
Brazil	Manhumirim	2000	8.8%	10.8%	6.9%
Brazil	Victor Graeff	2000	5.0%	6.5%	3.8%
Brazil	Durandé	2000	9.0%	11.2%	7.0%
Brazil	Pérola d'Oeste	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.4%
Brazil	Acauã	2000	28.1%	33.6%	23.0%
Brazil	Vila Flores	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.3%
Brazil	Taió	2000	2.8%	4.1%	1.8%
Brazil	Itaquaquecetuba	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Mar de Espanha	2000	6.5%	8.4%	5.0%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2000	6.1%	7.7%	4.7%
Brazil	Maravilhas	2000	10.6%	13.6%	8.5%
Brazil	Nova Prata	2000	4.5%	5.4%	3.6%
Brazil	Massapê	2000	20.9%	23.1%	18.8%
Brazil	Maria da Fé	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.4%
Brazil	Eldorado	2000	4.9%	6.5%	3.3%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Sul	2000	4.5%	6.2%	3.1%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Brazil	Mariana	2000	10.8%	13.1%	9.0%
Brazil	Alfenas	2000	10.8%	13.1%	8.6%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Prata	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Pratânia	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Amapora	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.7%
Brazil	Nazaré da Mata	2000	18.9%	20.7%	17.4%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Piauí	2000	37.5%	43.4%	31.1%
Brazil	Canaã dos Carajás	2000	9.4%	11.7%	7.5%
Brazil	Vista Gaúcha	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2000	1.7%	2.5%	1.1%
Brazil	Baião	2000	10.8%	13.3%	8.7%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2000	4.7%	5.3%	4.2%
Brazil	Riachinho	2000	25.8%	29.3%	22.2%
Brazil	Agrolândia	2000	2.6%	3.8%	1.7%
Brazil	Xangri-lá	2000	4.1%	5.6%	2.9%
Brazil	Angra dos Reis	2000	1.7%	2.5%	1.2%
Brazil	Marmelópolis	2000	2.4%	3.2%	1.7%
Brazil	Meruoca	2000	12.5%	14.3%	11.0%
Brazil	Presidente Venceslau	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Brazil	Martinho Campos	2000	12.3%	15.0%	9.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Entre Rios de Minas	2000	10.8%	13.1%	8.7%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Pará	2000	10.6%	12.1%	9.2%
Brazil	Areial	2000	17.0%	19.1%	14.9%
Brazil	Quadra	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Malta	2000	17.9%	20.6%	15.4%
Brazil	Quatá	2000	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Brazil	Antonina	2000	6.4%	7.9%	4.8%
Brazil	Queiroz	2000	1.7%	2.7%	0.9%
Brazil	Mateus Leme	2000	10.6%	12.2%	9.1%
Brazil	Pio XII	2000	43.6%	48.5%	38.2%
Brazil	Arapiraca	2000	19.4%	21.3%	17.6%
Brazil	Itumirim	2000	11.7%	14.2%	9.4%
Brazil	Piraquara	2000	4.5%	5.2%	3.8%
Brazil	Matias Barbosa	2000	7.2%	9.0%	5.9%
Brazil	Lagarto	2000	15.3%	17.7%	13.2%
Brazil	Rafard	2000	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Brazil	Coribe	2000	21.0%	25.4%	16.2%
Brazil	Carrasco Bonito	2000	26.3%	30.1%	22.8%
Brazil	Rancharia	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Brazil	Redenção da Serra	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	Mato Verde	2000	14.0%	17.8%	10.4%
Brazil	Caeté	2000	10.5%	12.1%	9.0%
Brazil	Nova Canaã	2000	21.8%	24.9%	18.8%
Brazil	Mamanguape	2000	15.4%	17.7%	13.3%
Brazil	Reginópolis	2000	1.6%	2.6%	0.9%
Brazil	Aracaju	2000	15.3%	17.0%	13.7%
Brazil	Registro	2000	2.7%	3.9%	1.6%
Brazil	Medeiros	2000	10.3%	13.6%	7.6%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	2.9%	4.0%	2.0%
Brazil	Cotiporã	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Içara	2000	3.0%	4.2%	1.9%
Brazil	Ribeirão Branco	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Ribeirão Corrente	2000	3.6%	5.0%	2.5%
Brazil	Mesquita	2000	12.0%	14.8%	10.1%
Brazil	Aliança do Tocantins	2000	24.9%	29.2%	20.6%
Brazil	Minduri	2000	7.6%	10.0%	5.8%
Brazil	Água Santa	2000	4.3%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão Grande	2000	1.3%	2.2%	0.7%
Brazil	Barra da Choça	2000	24.5%	27.6%	21.6%
Brazil	Miradouro	2000	8.4%	10.6%	6.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão Preto	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Brazil	Miraí	2000	9.0%	11.0%	7.1%
Brazil	Porto do Mangue	2000	14.4%	18.2%	11.2%
Brazil	Estrela do Sul	2000	9.9%	12.5%	7.6%
Brazil	Costa Marques	2000	8.7%	12.5%	5.4%
Brazil	Rifaina	2000	8.6%	11.3%	6.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Benjamin Constant	2000	14.2%	17.8%	10.7%
Brazil	Rio Sono	2000	28.3%	33.4%	23.7%
Brazil	Rincão	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2000	37.3%	41.7%	32.5%
Brazil	Porto Amazonas	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.8%
Brazil	Dom Inocêncio	2000	33.0%	39.5%	26.9%
Brazil	Miraíma	2000	21.3%	24.1%	18.5%
Brazil	Jaguariúna	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.6%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	21.7%	25.8%	18.3%
Brazil	Ewbank da Câmara	2000	10.2%	12.5%	8.4%
Brazil	Porto Barreiro	2000	4.9%	6.3%	3.5%
Brazil	Marcação	2000	17.1%	20.0%	14.7%
Brazil	Antonio Prado de Minas	2000	6.7%	8.6%	5.0%
Brazil	Riolândia	2000	4.6%	6.8%	2.9%
Brazil	Capanema	2000	11.3%	13.7%	9.4%
Brazil	Riversul	2000	3.3%	4.8%	2.2%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	14.3%	16.9%	12.0%
Brazil	Boqueirao dos Cochos	2000	18.1%	21.5%	15.2%
Brazil	Rosana	2000	4.1%	5.7%	2.9%
Brazil	Monte Carmelo	2000	8.8%	10.9%	6.8%
Brazil	Barracão	2000	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Roseira	2000	3.2%	4.2%	2.3%
Brazil	Arraias	2000	16.7%	20.8%	13.5%
Brazil	Monte Formoso	2000	10.1%	13.5%	7.2%
Brazil	Monte Santo de Minas	2000	5.2%	6.6%	3.8%
Brazil	Itatiaia	2000	4.2%	5.5%	3.0%
Brazil	Rubinéia	2000	3.7%	5.2%	2.4%
Brazil	Itaguari	2000	6.2%	7.5%	5.0%
Brazil	Sabino	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Montes Claros	2000	9.7%	12.0%	7.6%
Brazil	Santana do Araguaia	2000	12.2%	16.4%	8.9%
Brazil	Pranchita	2000	4.3%	5.6%	3.1%
Brazil	Sales Oliveira	2000	2.9%	4.2%	1.9%
Brazil	Pimenta Bueno	2000	7.8%	9.9%	6.1%
Brazil	Palmeirina	2000	16.7%	19.0%	14.8%
Brazil	Lagoa do Barro do Piauí	2000	31.1%	37.3%	25.3%
Brazil	Pureza	2000	12.3%	15.3%	9.9%
Brazil	Morro do Pilar	2000	11.2%	13.8%	8.3%
Brazil	Salmourão	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Munhoz	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.2%
Brazil	Canas	2000	3.6%	4.8%	2.6%
Brazil	Sandolândia	2000	17.1%	22.2%	13.5%
Brazil	Salto do Pirapora	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Brazil	Itaguaru	2000	5.8%	7.1%	4.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paverama	2000	4.8%	6.0%	4.0%
Brazil	Nacip Raydan	2000	10.5%	12.9%	8.1%
Brazil	Sandovalina	2000	3.0%	4.1%	2.1%
Brazil	Chácara	2000	7.6%	9.3%	6.3%
Brazil	Santa Albertina	2000	3.8%	5.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Natalândia	2000	7.7%	10.7%	5.2%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara d'Oeste	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Brazil	Natércia	2000	8.6%	10.6%	6.6%
Brazil	Imbuia	2000	1.3%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Nazareno	2000	11.6%	14.4%	9.1%
Brazil	Fervedouro	2000	6.8%	8.7%	5.3%
Brazil	Ninheira	2000	17.5%	21.4%	14.3%
Brazil	Xaxim	2000	2.5%	3.4%	1.8%
Brazil	Júlio Mesquita	2000	1.6%	2.5%	0.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Esperança	2000	3.9%	5.3%	2.8%
Brazil	Nova Belém	2000	7.7%	10.1%	5.7%
Brazil	Santa Cruz das Palmeiras	2000	3.2%	4.4%	2.2%
Brazil	Zortéa	2000	3.4%	4.6%	2.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Rio Pardo	2000	2.0%	3.1%	1.3%
Brazil	Santa Ernestina	2000	1.4%	2.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Nova Módica	2000	8.7%	11.5%	6.4%
Brazil	Itaguaçu	2000	7.4%	9.2%	5.5%
Brazil	Nova Ponte	2000	10.1%	12.8%	7.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus das Selvas	2000	36.2%	41.8%	30.4%
Brazil	Santa Gertrudes	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Brazil	Nova Porteirinha	2000	9.6%	12.4%	7.1%
Brazil	Glória de Dourados	2000	3.2%	4.4%	2.2%
Brazil	Nova Serrana	2000	9.9%	12.1%	7.9%
Brazil	Itapaci	2000	6.1%	7.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Matões	2000	46.6%	52.7%	40.6%
Brazil	Fortuna de Minas	2000	11.7%	14.0%	9.6%
Brazil	Santa Mercedes	2000	3.0%	4.4%	1.9%
Brazil	Novo Cruzeiro	2000	8.2%	10.8%	6.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Oeste	2000	3.3%	4.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Novo Oriente de Minas	2000	11.1%	14.5%	8.7%
Brazil	Boqueirão	2000	22.4%	25.4%	19.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Passa Quatro	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.6%
Brazil	Soledade	2000	19.8%	22.7%	17.0%
Brazil	Santa Salete	2000	2.4%	3.7%	1.5%
Brazil	Olhos-d'Água	2000	12.0%	15.7%	8.8%
Brazil	Santana de Parnaíba	2000	1.3%	1.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Oliveira Fortes	2000	9.2%	11.1%	7.6%
Brazil	Santo Anastácio	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Oliveira	2000	10.7%	13.5%	8.5%
Brazil	Franciscópolis	2000	9.8%	12.8%	7.3%
Brazil	São Caetano de Odivelas	2000	10.3%	12.3%	8.4%
Brazil	Onça de Pitangui	2000	11.1%	13.7%	9.1%
Brazil	Matinhas	2000	17.1%	19.1%	15.0%
Brazil	Lavrinhas	2000	5.1%	6.8%	3.8%
Brazil	Pintadas	2000	25.6%	29.4%	22.0%
Brazil	Brejetuba	2000	5.8%	7.5%	4.5%
Brazil	Orizânia	2000	7.2%	8.9%	5.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Descoberto	2000	5.1%	6.0%	4.4%
Brazil	Jerumenha	2000	45.7%	53.7%	37.1%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	8.6%	10.6%	7.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Pinhal	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Brazil	Ouro Fino	2000	7.6%	9.5%	5.9%
Brazil	Curaçá	2000	20.3%	23.8%	17.4%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2000	21.1%	23.8%	18.3%
Brazil	Itapuranga	2000	6.0%	7.4%	4.8%
Brazil	Mirador	2000	44.6%	50.7%	38.0%
Brazil	Limeira	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Brazil	São Bento do Sapucaí	2000	3.7%	4.9%	2.7%
Brazil	Padre Paraíso	2000	9.9%	12.8%	7.2%
Brazil	Nioaque	2000	3.2%	4.6%	2.1%
Brazil	São Bernardo do Campo	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Pai Pedro	2000	13.6%	17.3%	10.9%
Brazil	Floresta	2000	5.7%	7.0%	4.5%
Brazil	Paineiras	2000	12.3%	16.2%	8.9%
Brazil	Luís Correia	2000	34.8%	39.1%	30.1%
Brazil	Pains	2000	11.3%	13.9%	8.8%
Brazil	Acajutiba	2000	24.2%	27.8%	20.8%
Brazil	São João da Boa Vista	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.4%
Brazil	Aratuba	2000	14.7%	17.2%	12.7%
Brazil	Manaquiri	2000	17.2%	20.1%	14.2%
Brazil	São João das Duas Ponte	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	São João de Iracema	2000	1.7%	2.7%	1.1%
Brazil	Papagaios	2000	10.2%	13.3%	7.9%
Brazil	São João do Pau d'Alho	2000	2.9%	4.3%	1.8%
Brazil	Paracatu	2000	7.0%	9.6%	5.0%
Brazil	Luzilândia	2000	38.8%	43.3%	33.9%
Brazil	Rio Bonito do Iguaçu	2000	5.0%	6.5%	3.6%
Brazil	Araguaiana	2000	7.5%	10.0%	5.5%
Brazil	Pouso Novo	2000	4.5%	5.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Governador Luiz Rocha	2000	48.5%	54.3%	42.5%
Brazil	Capivari	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Brazil	São José do Rio Pardo	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Penha	2000	8.8%	11.2%	6.8%
Brazil	Cuiaba	2000	8.2%	9.3%	7.2%
Brazil	Passa Quatro	2000	3.4%	4.4%	2.5%
Brazil	Aracitaba	2000	11.4%	13.8%	9.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Passa Tempo	2000	11.0%	13.7%	8.5%
Brazil	Castanhal	2000	10.1%	11.5%	8.6%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Serra	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Brazil	Anápolis	2000	5.9%	6.8%	5.1%
Brazil	Borborema	2000	15.7%	17.7%	13.4%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	10.3%	12.7%	8.0%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2000	3.0%	3.9%	2.2%
Brazil	São Manuel	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Passos	2000	9.3%	11.4%	7.3%
Brazil	Calumbi	2000	17.6%	20.4%	15.0%
Brazil	Arame	2000	43.7%	50.3%	37.2%
Brazil	Patis	2000	10.9%	14.1%	7.7%
Brazil	Dilermano de Aguiar	2000	5.0%	6.4%	3.6%
Brazil	Ipumirim	2000	3.2%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Arraial do Cabo	2000	2.0%	3.1%	1.3%
Brazil	São Pedro do Turvo	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.3%
Brazil	Caraúbas	2000	20.0%	23.1%	17.3%
Brazil	São Pedro	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Lutécia	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.1%
Brazil	Presidente Tancredo Neves	2000	23.1%	26.7%	19.9%
Brazil	Governador Newton Bello	2000	36.4%	41.2%	30.8%
Brazil	Novo São Joaquim	2000	7.5%	10.9%	5.2%
Brazil	Tesouro	2000	7.1%	10.1%	4.6%
Brazil	Campina Verde	2000	6.5%	9.1%	4.3%
Brazil	São Francisco do Pará	2000	10.9%	12.5%	9.3%
Brazil	Queimadas	2000	28.2%	31.8%	25.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2000	1.3%	2.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Pavão	2000	13.4%	17.5%	10.7%
Brazil	São Simão	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Brazil	Peçanha	2000	8.2%	10.2%	6.2%
Brazil	São Vicente	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Brazil	Pedra Azul	2000	13.3%	16.7%	10.1%
Brazil	Floresta do Piauí	2000	39.7%	46.2%	32.3%
Brazil	Pedra Bonita	2000	6.9%	8.6%	5.3%
Brazil	Iraceminha	2000	3.7%	4.8%	2.7%
Brazil	Carapicuíba	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Brazil	São Félix do Tocantins	2000	32.4%	40.0%	24.0%
Brazil	Pedra do Indaiá	2000	10.4%	12.8%	8.3%
Brazil	Dom Macedo Costa	2000	24.9%	27.6%	22.3%
Brazil	Nova Russas	2000	22.7%	26.4%	19.6%
Brazil	Rafael Jambeiro	2000	26.6%	29.6%	23.4%
Brazil	Costa Rica	2000	4.3%	6.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2000	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Brazil	Pedrinópolis	2000	10.4%	13.4%	8.0%
Brazil	Sete Barras	2000	3.2%	4.5%	1.9%
Brazil	Mairinque	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Brazil	Severínia	2000	2.2%	3.2%	1.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Silveiras	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	Pequeri	2000	7.2%	9.2%	5.6%
Brazil	Socorro	2000	3.5%	4.7%	2.6%
Brazil	Bannach	2000	9.5%	12.6%	6.9%
Brazil	Aparecida	2000	18.4%	21.5%	15.5%
Brazil	Guapé	2000	11.8%	14.6%	9.5%
Brazil	Perdizes	2000	10.4%	13.4%	7.9%
Brazil	Cardoso	2000	3.5%	5.1%	2.1%
Brazil	São Miguel do Tocantins	2000	34.2%	38.3%	30.4%
Brazil	Perdões	2000	11.5%	14.1%	9.4%
Brazil	Cariré	2000	23.2%	26.2%	20.4%
Brazil	Suzano	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Pescador	2000	9.2%	12.1%	7.0%
Brazil	Piau	2000	12.1%	14.4%	10.0%
Brazil	Aroeiras	2000	22.6%	25.1%	20.1%
Brazil	Campo Belo	2000	11.4%	13.8%	9.0%
Brazil	Taboão da Serra	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Abel Figueiredo	2000	16.7%	20.6%	13.5%
Brazil	Monteiro	2000	18.5%	21.1%	15.8%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2000	24.8%	29.0%	20.9%
Brazil	Guaranesia	2000	7.9%	10.1%	6.0%
Brazil	Marcos Parente	2000	43.9%	52.2%	35.8%
Brazil	Taiúva	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%
Brazil	Denise	2000	8.2%	10.3%	6.2%
Brazil	Tambaú	2000	2.7%	3.8%	1.9%
Brazil	Tanabi	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	Cantá	2000	8.1%	9.9%	6.4%
Brazil	Rodeio Bonito	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.5%
Brazil	Pirajuba	2000	8.1%	11.0%	5.9%
Brazil	Piranga	2000	12.4%	14.9%	10.2%
Brazil	Taquaral	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	Piranguçu	2000	5.0%	6.3%	3.9%
Brazil	Taquaritinga	2000	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2000	1.5%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Taquarituba	2000	2.0%	3.3%	1.2%
Brazil	Pirapetinga	2000	5.5%	7.4%	4.0%
Brazil	Pirauba	2000	10.8%	12.7%	8.9%
Brazil	Tarumã	2000	2.6%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Massapê do Piauí	2000	34.5%	40.7%	28.7%
Brazil	Santana do Matos	2000	12.6%	15.7%	9.8%
Brazil	Dom Feliciano	2000	4.1%	5.4%	3.2%
Brazil	Irineópolis	2000	3.5%	4.8%	2.4%
Brazil	Campo Limpo Paulista	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2000	3.4%	4.8%	2.2%
Brazil	Blumenau	2000	2.5%	3.3%	1.8%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2000	24.5%	27.8%	21.4%
Brazil	Tietê	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.2%
Brazil	Pompéu	2000	10.0%	12.6%	7.7%
Brazil	Timburi	2000	2.6%	3.7%	1.7%
Brazil	Casserengue	2000	17.6%	20.0%	15.2%
Brazil	Torre de Pedra	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Grajaú	2000	43.8%	51.5%	36.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2000	7.2%	9.4%	5.4%
Brazil	Pombos	2000	15.8%	17.7%	13.9%
Brazil	Colorado do Oeste	2000	7.6%	10.5%	5.3%
Brazil	Porteirinha	2000	12.5%	16.1%	9.8%
Brazil	Tremembé	2000	2.8%	3.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Dom Pedrito	2000	3.8%	5.3%	2.6%
Brazil	Tuiuti	2000	2.4%	3.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Pouso Alegre	2000	9.6%	11.6%	7.8%
Brazil	Tupã	2000	1.3%	2.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Tupi Paulista	2000	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Pacajús	2000	20.5%	22.6%	18.2%
Brazil	Jaupaci	2000	7.2%	9.2%	5.3%
Brazil	Bandeirantes do Tocantins	2000	22.7%	26.8%	19.0%
Brazil	Vila Rica	2000	11.1%	15.4%	7.6%
Brazil	Campos Altos	2000	10.3%	13.6%	7.6%
Brazil	Arabutã	2000	3.6%	4.9%	2.6%
Brazil	Pratinha	2000	8.0%	11.0%	6.0%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2000	2.8%	4.1%	1.9%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2000	13.0%	15.4%	10.5%
Brazil	Álvaro de Carvalho	2000	1.5%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	12.3%	15.8%	9.1%
Brazil	Uchoa	2000	2.2%	3.2%	1.4%
Brazil	Caraíbas	2000	28.4%	32.4%	24.4%
Brazil	Prudente de Moraes	2000	11.2%	13.2%	9.2%
Brazil	Urupês	2000	2.0%	3.0%	1.2%
Brazil	Quartel Geral	2000	11.4%	14.5%	8.9%
Brazil	Santo Antonio da Platina	2000	4.0%	5.4%	2.8%
Brazil	Queluzita	2000	11.5%	13.8%	9.7%
Brazil	Raposos	2000	10.1%	11.4%	8.8%
Brazil	Valinhos	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Brazil	Raul Soares	2000	12.2%	14.4%	9.5%
Brazil	Valparaíso	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Recreio	2000	7.6%	9.5%	5.9%
Brazil	Vargem Grande do Sul	2000	3.3%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Adrianópolis	2000	5.1%	6.9%	3.4%
Brazil	Vargem Grande Paulista	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Brazil	Resende Costa	2000	8.7%	11.0%	6.6%
Brazil	Itajaí	2000	2.3%	3.2%	1.6%
Brazil	Várzea Paulista	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Brazil	Sítio Novo do Tocantins	2000	31.8%	35.6%	28.1%
Brazil	Seridó	2000	18.5%	21.3%	15.6%
Brazil	Mallet	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.1%
Brazil	São Luis do Piauí	2000	36.0%	41.8%	30.4%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Cunhãs	2000	42.9%	47.9%	36.9%
Brazil	Santana do Mundaú	2000	16.3%	18.6%	14.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Candeias do Jamari	2000	8.6%	10.6%	6.9%
Brazil	Ferreira Gomes	2000	5.5%	7.5%	3.9%
Brazil	Vitória Brasil	2000	2.3%	3.3%	1.4%
Brazil	Rio Acima	2000	11.9%	13.6%	10.3%
Brazil	Milton Brandão	2000	34.0%	39.7%	29.3%
Brazil	Rio Casca	2000	13.6%	16.2%	10.9%
Brazil	Votuporanga	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Doutor Maurício Cardoso	2000	4.7%	6.1%	3.4%
Brazil	São Carlos do Ivaí	2000	4.7%	5.9%	3.6%
Brazil	Rio doce	2000	14.6%	17.4%	12.1%
Brazil	Arenópolis	2000	6.7%	9.3%	4.8%
Brazil	Rio Manso	2000	11.4%	13.8%	9.6%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2000	9.9%	13.4%	7.3%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2000	11.0%	14.1%	8.3%
Brazil	Rio Pardo de Minas	2000	11.9%	14.4%	9.8%
Brazil	Rio Pomba	2000	12.8%	15.1%	10.5%
Brazil	Canaã	2000	9.1%	11.1%	6.8%
Brazil	Rio Vermelho	2000	10.3%	13.1%	7.9%
Brazil	Ritópolis	2000	10.6%	13.4%	8.2%
Brazil	Goioxim	2000	4.1%	5.6%	3.0%
Brazil	Rodeiro	2000	11.9%	13.9%	10.0%
Brazil	Romaria	2000	8.4%	10.5%	6.2%
Brazil	Rosário da Limeira	2000	7.9%	9.7%	6.4%
Brazil	Rubim	2000	16.9%	20.9%	13.0%
Brazil	Colinas do Tocantins	2000	23.6%	27.5%	19.2%
Brazil	Pomerode	2000	2.8%	3.7%	2.1%
Brazil	Mogi das Cruzes	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Brazil	Salto da Divisa	2000	19.2%	23.1%	15.7%
Brazil	San Antonio do Itambe	2000	10.3%	13.5%	7.9%
Brazil	San Antonio do Rio Abai	2000	12.0%	14.9%	9.1%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Leste	2000	10.9%	13.3%	8.8%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Monte Verde	2000	8.4%	9.9%	7.3%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Tugúrio	2000	5.7%	7.5%	4.3%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	10.0%	12.0%	8.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Minas	2000	9.7%	12.0%	7.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Salinas	2000	10.3%	13.6%	7.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Escalvado	2000	14.7%	17.3%	12.2%
Brazil	Santa Efigênia de Minas	2000	7.9%	9.8%	6.0%
Brazil	São Francisco do Oeste	2000	16.9%	20.5%	13.7%
Brazil	Santa Juliana	2000	9.9%	12.7%	7.5%
Brazil	Santa Margarida	2000	7.5%	9.4%	5.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Maria de Itabira	2000	10.5%	13.0%	8.6%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Salto	2000	15.2%	18.7%	12.2%
Brazil	Feira da Mata	2000	20.5%	24.5%	16.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Jacutinga	2000	5.3%	7.1%	3.7%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Minas	2000	7.7%	9.7%	6.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Ibitipoca	2000	9.9%	11.7%	8.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Sapucaí	2000	7.4%	9.3%	5.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Jesus	2000	23.6%	26.0%	21.0%
Brazil	Olivedos	2000	19.7%	22.8%	16.8%
Brazil	Guairaçá	2000	3.3%	4.7%	2.4%
Brazil	Santana da Vargem	2000	11.4%	13.8%	9.1%
Brazil	Santana de Cataguases	2000	9.2%	11.3%	7.3%
Brazil	Santana de Pirapama	2000	11.8%	14.8%	8.9%
Brazil	Jaguaré	2000	8.4%	10.7%	6.3%
Brazil	Santana do Garambéu	2000	8.8%	11.4%	6.6%
Brazil	São José da Boa Vista	2000	4.6%	6.2%	3.2%
Brazil	Santana do Manhuaçu	2000	9.5%	11.9%	7.5%
Brazil	Cesário Lange	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Cândido Mota	2000	2.5%	3.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Guaraçai	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.1%
Brazil	Domingos Martins	2000	4.3%	5.2%	3.5%
Brazil	Cabo Frio	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.3%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Goiás	2000	5.7%	6.9%	4.7%
Brazil	Timon	2000	43.3%	46.2%	39.9%
Brazil	Conselheiro Lafaiete	2000	10.1%	11.9%	8.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Retiro	2000	10.4%	12.8%	8.4%
Brazil	Ouro Velho	2000	18.6%	21.6%	15.9%
Brazil	Santos Dumont	2000	9.3%	11.2%	7.6%
Brazil	São Bento Abade	2000	11.3%	13.8%	8.8%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Purus	2000	20.1%	26.2%	14.9%
Brazil	São Domingos das Dores	2000	8.2%	10.3%	6.5%
Brazil	São Domingos do Prata	2000	9.8%	11.9%	8.0%
Brazil	São Félix de Minas	2000	8.0%	10.7%	6.1%
Brazil	São Manoel do Paraná	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.5%
Brazil	São Francisco de Sales	2000	5.1%	7.4%	3.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Glória	2000	7.3%	9.2%	5.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Tocantínia	2000	26.8%	30.4%	22.8%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Baixo	2000	9.5%	12.0%	7.1%
Brazil	São Geraldo	2000	11.4%	13.5%	9.3%
Brazil	Manoel Ribas	2000	4.1%	5.4%	3.0%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Pará	2000	12.6%	14.8%	10.4%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Sapucaí	2000	12.0%	14.8%	9.6%
Brazil	São Gotardo	2000	10.3%	13.8%	7.7%
Brazil	São João Batista do Glória	2000	11.3%	14.0%	8.7%
Brazil	Caibaté	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.3%
Brazil	São João da Mata	2000	9.7%	12.2%	7.7%
Brazil	São João da Ponte	2000	10.8%	14.2%	8.2%
Brazil	Engenho Velho	2000	4.4%	5.5%	3.3%
Brazil	São João do Manhuaçu	2000	7.5%	9.1%	6.0%
Brazil	São João do Manteninha	2000	9.4%	11.8%	7.2%
Brazil	São João do Oriente	2000	11.1%	13.6%	9.1%
Brazil	Tocantinópolis	2000	34.2%	38.3%	30.1%
Brazil	Colméia	2000	22.3%	26.4%	18.1%
Brazil	Ipaba	2000	12.5%	14.7%	10.5%
Brazil	São Pedro do Ivaí	2000	5.2%	6.6%	4.1%
Brazil	São Joaquim de Bicas	2000	10.4%	11.9%	8.9%
Brazil	São Domingos do Sul	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Nísia Floresta	2000	13.4%	15.6%	11.5%
Brazil	São José da Safira	2000	11.9%	14.6%	9.0%
Brazil	Antas	2000	23.0%	26.6%	19.8%
Brazil	Ciríaco	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.0%
Brazil	Olaria	2000	5.7%	7.9%	4.2%
Brazil	Assis Brazil	2000	17.4%	24.3%	11.7%
Brazil	São José do Jacuri	2000	11.2%	14.0%	8.7%
Brazil	São Lourenço	2000	8.3%	10.4%	6.9%
Brazil	São Pedro da União	2000	8.7%	11.0%	6.7%
Brazil	São Pedro do Suaçuí	2000	11.5%	14.4%	8.9%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Ferros	2000	11.5%	13.6%	9.0%
Brazil	São Roque de Minas	2000	10.4%	13.8%	7.9%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Bela Vista	2000	11.0%	13.3%	9.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Anta	2000	8.6%	10.8%	6.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Maranhão	2000	11.1%	14.2%	8.5%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Oeste	2000	11.5%	13.9%	9.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Paraíso	2000	5.9%	7.4%	4.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Preto	2000	11.6%	14.3%	9.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Verde	2000	7.2%	9.1%	5.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Vargem Alegre	2000	7.1%	8.9%	5.7%
Brazil	São Thomé das Letras	2000	8.0%	9.9%	6.1%
Brazil	São Tiago	2000	10.1%	12.4%	7.9%
Brazil	São Tomás de Aquino	2000	5.6%	7.1%	4.1%
Brazil	Turilândia	2000	36.6%	41.8%	30.8%
Brazil	Coração de Jesus	2000	11.2%	13.4%	8.9%
Brazil	Tupiratins	2000	28.7%	33.9%	23.7%
Brazil	Itaquiraí	2000	4.7%	6.3%	3.1%
Brazil	Formosa do Rio Preto	2000	28.1%	34.2%	21.1%
Brazil	Adustina	2000	20.6%	23.8%	18.1%
Brazil	Colatina	2000	8.7%	10.5%	7.1%
Brazil	São João do Polésine	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.4%
Brazil	Senhora de Oliveira	2000	11.3%	13.7%	9.2%
Brazil	Senhora do Porto	2000	9.7%	11.9%	7.6%
Brazil	Senhora dos Remédios	2000	11.2%	13.5%	9.0%
Brazil	Sericita	2000	8.0%	10.1%	6.0%
Brazil	Seritinga	2000	6.0%	7.9%	4.4%
Brazil	Anhanguera	2000	11.7%	14.1%	9.3%
Brazil	Serra da Saudad	2000	11.5%	14.4%	8.8%
Brazil	Serra dos Aimorés	2000	15.0%	18.6%	12.3%
Brazil	Serranópolis do Iguaçu	2000	4.8%	6.0%	3.3%
Brazil	Serranópolis de Minas	2000	11.8%	14.2%	9.3%
Brazil	Colômbia	2000	5.5%	7.5%	3.7%
Brazil	Serro	2000	9.1%	12.0%	6.9%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Brazil	Setubinha	2000	8.4%	10.9%	6.2%
Brazil	Silveirânia	2000	11.7%	14.2%	9.6%
Brazil	Simão Pereira	2000	6.9%	8.7%	5.5%
Brazil	Simonésia	2000	7.9%	10.0%	6.4%
Brazil	Soledade de Minas	2000	9.0%	11.2%	7.5%
Brazil	Tabuleiro	2000	12.7%	14.8%	10.4%
Brazil	Taiobeiras	2000	11.8%	15.3%	8.7%
Brazil	Taparuba	2000	10.0%	12.3%	7.6%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2000	10.6%	13.7%	8.0%
Brazil	Taquaraçu de Minas	2000	10.9%	13.0%	9.3%
Brazil	Teixeiras	2000	11.4%	13.7%	9.1%
Brazil	Conchal	2000	2.5%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	Xambioá	2000	19.9%	23.0%	16.7%
Brazil	Combinado	2000	18.8%	23.2%	15.3%
Brazil	Tiros	2000	10.0%	13.2%	7.5%
Brazil	Tocantins	2000	10.5%	12.4%	8.8%
Brazil	Tocos do Moji	2000	6.5%	8.3%	5.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Toledo	2000	2.1%	3.0%	1.5%
Brazil	Brasnorte	2000	8.2%	12.0%	5.4%
Brazil	Tucuruí	2000	10.5%	13.1%	8.3%
Brazil	Goianésia	2000	5.4%	6.8%	4.3%
Brazil	Três Pontas	2000	10.5%	12.9%	8.6%
Brazil	Tumiritinga	2000	11.6%	14.2%	9.3%
Brazil	Tupaciguara	2000	7.3%	9.1%	5.8%
Brazil	Turmalina	2000	11.2%	14.9%	8.2%
Brazil	Turvolândia	2000	12.1%	15.0%	9.8%
Brazil	Ceará-Mirim	2000	12.6%	15.0%	10.5%
Brazil	Tamboara	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.4%
Brazil	Uberaba	2000	8.4%	10.2%	6.6%
Brazil	Uberlândia	2000	8.7%	10.2%	7.4%
Brazil	Umburatiba	2000	16.7%	20.7%	13.1%
Brazil	Pereiro	2000	16.5%	19.4%	14.1%
Brazil	Mimoso de Goiás	2000	5.4%	6.9%	4.0%
Brazil	Pedro do Rosário	2000	39.8%	44.5%	34.8%
Brazil	Capitão Andrade	2000	12.8%	16.3%	9.4%
Brazil	Ulianópolis	2000	15.2%	19.2%	12.4%
Brazil	Acopiara	2000	20.4%	23.8%	17.7%
Brazil	Vargem Bonita	2000	10.7%	14.0%	7.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Baixa Verde	2000	14.5%	17.0%	12.1%
Brazil	Novo Oriente do Piauí	2000	40.1%	46.3%	34.0%
Brazil	São Pedro	2000	13.9%	16.4%	11.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2000	20.6%	23.2%	18.5%
Brazil	Varzelândia	2000	10.4%	13.3%	7.8%
Brazil	Veredinha	2000	10.9%	14.5%	7.8%
Brazil	Veríssimo	2000	9.9%	12.9%	7.4%
Brazil	Buriti de Goiás	2000	6.4%	8.1%	4.7%
Brazil	Assunção	2000	17.4%	20.2%	14.7%
Brazil	Minaçu	2000	10.9%	14.0%	8.3%
Brazil	Penalva	2000	42.1%	47.0%	37.4%
Brazil	Virgem da Lapa	2000	12.7%	16.3%	9.8%
Brazil	Virgínia	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.3%
Brazil	Apucarana	2000	3.9%	4.8%	3.2%
Brazil	Virgolândia	2000	10.6%	13.2%	8.1%
Brazil	Visconde do Rio Branco	2000	11.3%	13.2%	9.2%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Oeste	2000	3.8%	4.7%	2.9%
Brazil	Bodoquena	2000	2.8%	4.5%	1.6%
Brazil	Jupiaí	2000	17.5%	19.5%	15.6%
Brazil	Ilha Grande	2000	38.0%	43.0%	32.6%
Brazil	Epitaciolândia	2000	19.7%	25.2%	15.2%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	17.7%	22.0%	14.3%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2000	4.0%	5.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Gongogi	2000	23.9%	27.2%	21.1%
Brazil	Piquet Carneiro	2000	20.2%	23.4%	17.2%
Brazil	Mineiros	2000	5.3%	7.5%	3.7%
Brazil	Peri-Mirim	2000	42.9%	47.7%	37.9%
Brazil	Barra d'Alcântara	2000	39.8%	46.1%	33.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Carepebus	2000	2.3%	3.5%	1.5%
Brazil	Goianira	2000	6.1%	7.0%	5.3%
Brazil	Bodó	2000	9.8%	12.3%	7.6%
Brazil	Pires Ferreira	2000	25.1%	28.4%	22.0%
Brazil	Moiporá	2000	6.3%	8.1%	4.7%
Brazil	Toledo	2000	3.7%	4.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Ibaiti	2000	4.2%	5.6%	3.0%
Brazil	Aratiba	2000	4.0%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Olho d'água do Piauí	2000	40.3%	45.9%	34.0%
Brazil	Esmeralda	2000	3.3%	4.7%	2.2%
Brazil	Lages	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Espigão d'Oeste	2000	7.7%	10.0%	5.8%
Brazil	Guajeru	2000	25.5%	29.5%	21.7%
Brazil	Bagé	2000	10.8%	13.7%	8.6%
Brazil	Lajedão	2000	5.1%	6.3%	4.2%
Brazil	Rio Fortuna	2000	2.5%	3.7%	1.7%
Brazil	Jucuruçu	2000	18.2%	21.9%	14.8%
Brazil	Araquari	2000	2.9%	3.7%	2.1%
Brazil	Novo Airão	2000	15.2%	19.7%	11.3%
Brazil	Belmonte	2000	24.7%	29.5%	20.4%
Brazil	Alvinlândia	2000	1.6%	2.5%	0.9%
Brazil	Divina Pastora	2000	15.7%	17.9%	13.8%
Brazil	Chapada	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.4%
Brazil	Teixeira de Freitas	2000	21.4%	24.5%	18.2%
Brazil	Aurora do Tocantins	2000	19.4%	23.8%	15.7%
Brazil	Araújo	2000	11.7%	13.8%	9.4%
Brazil	Cachoeira dos índios	2000	18.4%	21.2%	15.5%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Minas	2000	11.4%	13.7%	9.6%
Brazil	Carandaí	2000	9.6%	11.5%	7.8%
Brazil	Ataléia	2000	16.8%	18.8%	15.0%
Brazil	Juarez Távora	2000	20.0%	22.5%	17.5%
Brazil	Balsa Nova	2000	4.1%	5.0%	3.2%
Brazil	Pajeú do Piauí	2000	41.2%	50.0%	32.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Buricá	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.7%
Brazil	Laurentino	2000	2.5%	3.7%	1.7%
Brazil	Uniflor	2000	4.9%	6.2%	3.6%
Brazil	Charqueadas	2000	4.1%	4.9%	3.2%
Brazil	Assunção do Piauí	2000	28.3%	33.8%	22.5%
Brazil	Cabreúva	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Brazil	Montividiu	2000	5.9%	7.6%	4.3%
Brazil	Cerro Corá	2000	10.5%	12.9%	8.2%
Brazil	Iguaraçu	2000	4.9%	6.2%	3.8%
Brazil	São Benedito do Sul	2000	16.8%	18.6%	14.8%
Brazil	Palmeira do Piauí	2000	39.7%	48.7%	31.2%
Brazil	Alfredo Chaves	2000	5.5%	6.8%	4.5%
Brazil	Sede Nova	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.1%
Brazil	Bueno	2000	3.5%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Brandão				
Brazil	Uauá	2000	22.0%	26.2%	18.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Amarante	2000	44.0%	51.4%	36.8%
Brazil	Palestina	2000	3.3%	4.7%	2.2%
Brazil	Antônio Prado	2000	4.4%	5.5%	3.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira Grande	2000	43.7%	49.0%	38.5%
Brazil	Jaraguari	2000	3.1%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Palmital	2000	2.9%	4.2%	2.1%
Brazil	Uiramutã	2000	6.3%	11.1%	3.0%
Brazil	Junco do Seridó	2000	16.7%	19.5%	14.3%
Brazil	Vitorino	2000	3.3%	4.5%	2.3%
Brazil	Autazes	2000	16.0%	19.7%	12.8%
Brazil	Carnaubeira da Penha	2000	18.3%	21.5%	15.2%
Brazil	Campo Alegre do Fidalgo	2000	35.1%	41.5%	28.4%
Brazil	Lindóia do Sul	2000	3.0%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Cruzeiro	2000	5.0%	6.6%	3.8%
Brazil	Florânia	2000	12.0%	15.1%	9.5%
Brazil	Ibicoara	2000	18.3%	22.2%	14.8%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Norte	2000	16.8%	18.6%	15.1%
Brazil	Alto Feliz	2000	4.5%	5.2%	3.7%
Brazil	Alagoa Grande	2000	19.3%	21.7%	16.7%
Brazil	Pombal	2000	18.5%	21.2%	16.1%
Brazil	Inácio Martins	2000	3.5%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Adelândia	2000	6.2%	7.8%	4.9%
Brazil	Cristalândia	2000	21.8%	26.2%	17.7%
Brazil	Sinimbu	2000	4.3%	5.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Parapuã	2000	1.4%	2.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Várzea da Roça	2000	22.9%	26.4%	19.4%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2000	44.1%	49.3%	38.6%
Brazil	Carlos Chagas	2000	14.2%	18.3%	10.8%
Brazil	Prata	2000	18.4%	21.3%	15.5%
Brazil	Inajá	2000	3.8%	5.2%	2.7%
Brazil	Urutaí	2000	8.0%	10.3%	6.3%
Brazil	Delfim Moreira	2000	2.3%	3.2%	1.7%
Brazil	Conceição da Feira	2000	25.5%	28.1%	23.0%
Brazil	Várzea Nova	2000	21.7%	25.6%	18.1%
Brazil	Biritinga	2000	24.9%	28.3%	21.6%
Brazil	Caucaia	2000	21.0%	22.3%	19.7%
Brazil	Assaré	2000	20.7%	23.4%	17.7%
Brazil	Taboleiro Grande	2000	16.4%	19.8%	12.9%
Brazil	Cajapió	2000	44.5%	49.7%	39.3%
Brazil	Patu	2000	10.9%	13.5%	8.5%
Brazil	Arceburgo	2000	7.3%	9.4%	5.5%
Brazil	Taquara	2000	5.0%	6.1%	4.1%
Brazil	Curuá	2000	12.2%	15.2%	9.2%
Brazil	Conceição da Barra	2000	11.3%	14.1%	8.8%
Brazil	Cacimba de dentro	2000	15.5%	17.9%	13.2%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Mata	2000	19.1%	20.2%	18.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paulistana	2000	29.3%	35.0%	24.3%
Brazil	Taipu	2000	12.5%	15.1%	10.4%
Brazil	Taquaruçu do Sul	2000	3.9%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Jordânia	2000	17.5%	21.0%	14.1%
Brazil	Iporá	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.1%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2000	15.0%	17.0%	13.2%
Brazil	Artur Nogueira	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora da Glória	2000	14.6%	17.2%	12.1%
Brazil	Mafra	2000	2.9%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Dirce Reis	2000	2.1%	3.1%	1.3%
Brazil	Ibirapitanga	2000	22.5%	25.3%	19.9%
Brazil	Saboeiro	2000	22.9%	26.5%	19.7%
Brazil	Niquelândia	2000	6.3%	8.4%	4.8%
Brazil	Cabixi	2000	9.0%	12.5%	5.9%
Brazil	Alpercata	2000	11.5%	13.6%	9.7%
Brazil	Pauini	2000	16.6%	23.0%	10.8%
Brazil	Nova América	2000	5.4%	6.8%	4.0%
Brazil	Raposa	2000	44.0%	48.7%	39.0%
Brazil	São Pedro do Paraná	2000	3.9%	5.4%	2.8%
Brazil	Iracema do Oeste	2000	4.0%	5.1%	3.1%
Brazil	Pedro Li	2000	30.7%	36.6%	25.5%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Tocantins	2000	26.2%	31.7%	21.5%
Brazil	Conceição do Coité	2000	25.6%	28.7%	22.6%
Brazil	Major Vieira	2000	2.8%	3.9%	1.9%
Brazil	Dobrada	2000	1.6%	2.5%	0.9%
Brazil	Baía da Traição	2000	17.2%	20.8%	14.3%
Brazil	Cacimbas	2000	15.9%	18.7%	13.5%
Brazil	Arapongas	2000	4.2%	5.0%	3.3%
Brazil	Baixa Grande	2000	22.2%	25.4%	18.7%
Brazil	Lagoa Formosa	2000	10.8%	13.4%	8.3%
Brazil	Iraí de Minas	2000	9.7%	12.1%	7.2%
Brazil	João Alfredo	2000	19.2%	21.2%	17.4%
Brazil	Natividade	2000	6.3%	8.1%	4.7%
Brazil	Belo Jardim	2000	17.4%	19.5%	15.3%
Brazil	Bom Princípio	2000	5.7%	6.7%	4.8%
Brazil	Barras	2000	39.5%	44.6%	34.7%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2000	20.5%	23.3%	18.1%
Brazil	Anapu	2000	10.2%	14.1%	7.6%
Brazil	Carmo	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Mascote	2000	23.6%	27.4%	19.4%
Brazil	Ibititá	2000	25.8%	29.4%	22.2%
Brazil	Santana do Cariri	2000	18.4%	21.2%	15.4%
Brazil	Nova Glória	2000	6.0%	7.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	13.5%	15.9%	11.4%
Brazil	Itaguajé	2000	3.9%	5.3%	2.7%
Brazil	Canutama	2000	13.2%	18.1%	9.2%
Brazil	Belém do Piauí	2000	33.0%	38.4%	28.1%
Brazil	Nicolau Vergueiro	2000	4.8%	6.2%	3.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pirajui	2000	1.9%	2.7%	1.3%
Brazil	Colorado	2000	4.8%	6.0%	3.6%
Brazil	Matina	2000	25.3%	29.5%	21.3%
Brazil	Arroio do Meio	2000	5.7%	7.2%	4.6%
Brazil	Presidente Figueiredo	2000	13.0%	17.0%	9.9%
Brazil	Chaval	2000	31.2%	36.0%	26.6%
Brazil	Carneirinho	2000	5.5%	7.7%	3.8%
Brazil	Governador Jorge Teixeira	2000	7.7%	9.9%	5.9%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2000	8.5%	10.5%	6.5%
Brazil	Campestre do Maranhão	2000	31.3%	36.1%	26.4%
Brazil	Araranguá	2000	3.0%	4.1%	2.0%
Brazil	Areado	2000	11.3%	14.0%	9.1%
Brazil	Enéas Marques	2000	4.4%	5.5%	3.2%
Brazil	Maraial	2000	16.8%	18.8%	15.1%
Brazil	Nova Roma	2000	11.2%	13.9%	8.6%
Brazil	Estância	2000	14.8%	17.2%	12.2%
Brazil	Piripiri	2000	33.8%	39.5%	28.7%
Brazil	Triunfo Potiguar	2000	12.2%	15.1%	9.3%
Brazil	Águas Lindas de Goiás	2000	3.9%	4.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Matos Costa	2000	2.6%	3.9%	1.8%
Brazil	Condeúba	2000	22.3%	26.4%	18.4%
Brazil	Luislândia	2000	10.4%	13.9%	7.6%
Brazil	Cariacica	2000	7.5%	8.6%	6.5%
Brazil	Ajuricaba	2000	4.7%	6.1%	3.6%
Brazil	Itambé	2000	5.4%	6.7%	4.3%
Brazil	Tacaimbó	2000	19.3%	21.5%	17.3%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Piauí	2000	46.4%	54.7%	39.3%
Brazil	Acaiaca	2000	13.6%	16.4%	11.1%
Brazil	Novo Brazil	2000	6.5%	8.4%	4.8%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	41.6%	46.3%	36.7%
Brazil	Upanema	2000	12.7%	16.0%	10.0%
Brazil	Garibaldi	2000	3.7%	4.7%	3.0%
Brazil	Indiara	2000	6.6%	8.1%	5.1%
Brazil	Porangaba	2000	1.6%	2.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Manga	2000	15.3%	19.7%	11.4%
Brazil	Ibateguara	2000	15.3%	17.2%	13.6%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	2.8%	4.3%	1.6%
Brazil	Aldeias Altas	2000	48.2%	53.2%	42.0%
Brazil	Tamandaré	2000	18.0%	20.5%	15.6%
Brazil	Prata do Piauí	2000	41.7%	49.8%	33.9%
Brazil	Modelo	2000	3.3%	4.3%	2.4%
Brazil	Eldorado	2000	3.2%	4.6%	1.9%
Brazil	Barra do Garças	2000	6.9%	9.2%	5.0%
Brazil	Afuá	2000	6.9%	8.5%	5.5%
Brazil	Canavieira	2000	44.1%	52.8%	34.5%
Brazil	Tambe	2000	16.8%	18.6%	14.9%
Brazil	Inhambupe	2000	25.0%	28.5%	21.9%
Brazil	Vista Alegre	2000	4.0%	5.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Orizona	2000	7.4%	9.1%	5.9%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria do Maranhão	2000	43.1%	48.0%	38.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cassiterita	2000	11.9%	14.8%	9.2%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	19.5%	22.6%	16.5%
Brazil	Vitória das Misses	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.4%
Brazil	Senador Guiomard	2000	20.5%	23.3%	18.1%
Brazil	Monte Carlo	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Pinhalão	2000	5.2%	7.0%	3.8%
Brazil	Ipecaetá	2000	26.4%	29.7%	23.1%
Brazil	Sobral	2000	20.7%	22.7%	18.4%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Goiás	2000	6.0%	7.0%	5.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2000	45.0%	50.4%	39.6%
Brazil	Promissão	2000	1.4%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	17.2%	20.2%	14.6%
Brazil	Alagoa Nova	2000	16.3%	18.2%	14.2%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Rio Negro	2000	14.7%	22.0%	8.6%
Brazil	Chorozinho	2000	22.3%	24.6%	19.6%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2000	2.5%	3.6%	1.6%
Brazil	Embaúba	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.1%
Brazil	Coronel Bicaco	2000	4.1%	5.4%	3.0%
Brazil	Aguai	2000	2.9%	3.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Mathias Lobato	2000	12.1%	14.7%	9.8%
Brazil	Esmeraldas	2000	10.6%	12.2%	9.3%
Brazil	Quitana	2000	1.5%	2.5%	0.9%
Brazil	Catas Altas da Noruega	2000	12.0%	14.3%	9.9%
Brazil	Antônio Gonçalves	2000	21.6%	25.4%	18.2%
Brazil	Faro	2000	14.6%	18.4%	11.4%
Brazil	Cajazeirinhas	2000	19.0%	22.0%	15.8%
Brazil	Getúlio Vargas	2000	3.8%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Cedro	2000	17.6%	20.3%	14.8%
Brazil	Tabuleiro do Norte	2000	19.5%	22.5%	16.7%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Leão	2000	3.9%	5.0%	3.0%
Brazil	Urupá	2000	8.5%	10.7%	6.8%
Brazil	Giruí	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Almirante Tamandaré	2000	4.3%	5.0%	3.6%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2000	18.6%	21.6%	15.8%
Brazil	Araguaçu	2000	13.3%	17.4%	10.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Lopes	2000	45.9%	51.4%	39.9%
Brazil	Catuji	2000	10.2%	13.2%	7.6%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2000	17.7%	20.9%	15.3%
Brazil	Jabuti	2000	5.2%	7.0%	3.7%
Brazil	Toritama	2000	18.9%	21.0%	17.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão dos índios	2000	1.7%	2.5%	1.1%
Brazil	Bacabal	2000	45.1%	51.0%	40.2%
Brazil	Maragogi	2000	19.3%	22.2%	16.0%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2000	2.7%	3.5%	2.0%
Brazil	Montanha	2000	10.9%	13.9%	8.4%
Brazil	Caldas Novas	2000	8.0%	10.0%	6.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Capinzal do Norte	2000	46.2%	51.4%	40.7%
Brazil	Nova Erechim	2000	3.7%	4.8%	2.7%
Brazil	Correntina	2000	21.5%	27.5%	17.3%
Brazil	Rinópolis	2000	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Brazil	Iramaia	2000	28.9%	33.6%	24.4%
Brazil	Tauá	2000	22.8%	26.9%	19.7%
Brazil	Palmelo	2000	7.0%	8.7%	5.6%
Brazil	Monsenhor Paulo	2000	11.4%	13.9%	9.5%
Brazil	Apiúna	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	Rio das Pedras	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Brazil	Montalvânia	2000	16.8%	20.4%	13.0%
Brazil	Trindade	2000	20.6%	23.7%	17.5%
Brazil	Rio Grande da Serra	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Brazil	Álvares Machado	2000	1.7%	2.5%	1.1%
Brazil	Gramado Xavier	2000	3.9%	5.0%	3.0%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu	2000	1.9%	2.2%	1.6%
Brazil	Belterra	2000	10.6%	13.1%	8.6%
Brazil	Caçador	2000	2.6%	3.8%	1.7%
Brazil	Pedra Mole	2000	17.2%	19.8%	14.2%
Brazil	Bananeiras	2000	16.1%	18.1%	13.8%
Brazil	Esperantina	2000	18.8%	22.1%	15.7%
Brazil	Arapoti	2000	4.3%	5.9%	3.2%
Brazil	Tianguá	2000	17.7%	20.2%	15.5%
Brazil	Panamá	2000	8.3%	10.2%	6.7%
Brazil	Montezuma	2000	12.9%	16.3%	9.5%
Brazil	Central de Minas	2000	8.9%	11.5%	6.7%
Brazil	Santo André	2000	18.9%	21.8%	15.7%
Brazil	Algodão de Jandaíra	2000	19.0%	21.6%	16.2%
Brazil	Betânia	2000	18.7%	21.7%	15.8%
Brazil	Maravilha	2000	20.4%	23.6%	17.4%
Brazil	São Gabriel de Cahoeira	2000	14.6%	22.5%	8.7%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	18.9%	22.8%	15.5%
Brazil	Barreiras do Piauí	2000	34.8%	43.8%	25.7%
Brazil	Casimiro de Abreu	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.4%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	5.0%	6.4%	3.6%
Brazil	Salto	2000	1.4%	1.8%	0.9%
Brazil	Brejinho	2000	12.9%	14.8%	10.8%
Brazil	Itabela	2000	24.0%	27.4%	20.8%
Brazil	Tururu	2000	19.6%	22.0%	17.0%
Brazil	Perolândia	2000	5.3%	7.5%	3.8%
Brazil	Pedro Osório	2000	5.0%	6.6%	3.6%
Brazil	Arroio do Sal	2000	4.8%	6.5%	3.2%
Brazil	Guamaré	2000	14.7%	18.9%	11.1%
Brazil	Braga	2000	4.1%	5.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Estrela do Oeste	2000	2.2%	3.2%	1.4%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Oeste	2000	3.9%	5.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Quatro Barras	2000	4.3%	5.1%	3.6%
Brazil	Alenquer	2000	12.1%	15.0%	9.3%
Brazil	Pelotas	2000	4.3%	5.3%	3.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Armazém	2000	3.0%	4.4%	2.1%
Brazil	Santana do Piauí	2000	37.5%	43.0%	32.2%
Brazil	Capistrano	2000	17.5%	19.9%	15.2%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2000	16.1%	18.3%	14.0%
Brazil	Otacílio Costa	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2000	15.0%	17.7%	12.4%
Brazil	Marechal deodoro	2000	18.3%	20.8%	16.1%
Brazil	Babaçulândia	2000	29.5%	33.8%	25.5%
Brazil	Crato	2000	18.2%	20.3%	16.2%
Brazil	Carutapera	2000	21.7%	26.7%	17.3%
Brazil	Naviraí	2000	4.0%	5.3%	2.8%
Brazil	Piracanjuba	2000	6.3%	7.8%	5.1%
Brazil	São João Batista	2000	44.0%	49.5%	39.2%
Brazil	Alecrim	2000	4.6%	6.3%	3.2%
Brazil	Campina Grande	2000	16.6%	18.5%	14.8%
Brazil	Alcinópolis	2000	5.3%	7.3%	3.4%
Brazil	Carmo do Cajuru	2000	12.3%	14.7%	10.4%
Brazil	Remígio	2000	16.2%	18.5%	14.1%
Brazil	Calçado	2000	17.7%	19.7%	15.8%
Brazil	Fernando Prestes	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Ielmo Maranhão	2000	13.0%	15.2%	10.8%
Brazil	Vilhena	2000	6.8%	9.2%	4.8%
Brazil	Avanhandava	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Santo André	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Alvorada d'Oeste	2000	8.2%	10.0%	6.6%
Brazil	Itagibá	2000	22.1%	26.2%	19.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Posse	2000	4.8%	6.5%	3.5%
Brazil	Cacimbinhas	2000	20.7%	23.6%	18.0%
Brazil	Chiador	2000	7.0%	8.7%	5.5%
Brazil	Jesuítas	2000	3.7%	4.7%	2.8%
Brazil	Vitória de Santo Antão	2000	18.0%	19.5%	16.2%
Brazil	Painel	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Ferno	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Itagi	2000	21.5%	24.9%	18.6%
Brazil	Portao	2000	5.2%	5.9%	4.5%
Brazil	Padre Carvalho	2000	11.6%	15.2%	8.5%
Brazil	Pracuúba	2000	5.2%	7.8%	3.1%
Brazil	Bonfim	2000	7.9%	10.7%	5.2%
Brazil	Cidade Gaúcha	2000	3.3%	4.3%	2.5%
Brazil	Paiva	2000	12.2%	14.7%	10.0%
Brazil	Alpestre	2000	4.1%	5.6%	3.1%
Brazil	Tarauacá	2000	18.2%	22.5%	14.1%
Brazil	Ipanguaçu	2000	12.1%	15.0%	9.7%
Brazil	Butiá	2000	4.7%	6.1%	3.7%
Brazil	Agronômica	2000	2.3%	3.3%	1.5%
Brazil	Coité do Nóia	2000	19.3%	21.4%	17.6%
Brazil	Pontalina	2000	6.5%	8.1%	5.2%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2000	20.0%	23.7%	16.3%
Brazil	Ibarama	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.2%
Brazil	Aripuanã	2000	9.0%	12.1%	6.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Floreal	2000	1.6%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	Paraopeba	2000	10.1%	12.6%	7.8%
Brazil	Itaju do Colônia	2000	22.9%	26.2%	19.4%
Brazil	Porangatu	2000	8.5%	10.7%	6.6%
Brazil	Aporá	2000	24.8%	28.6%	21.7%
Brazil	Cláudio	2000	11.4%	14.0%	9.3%
Brazil	Águas da Prata	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Brejões	2000	20.5%	23.6%	17.3%
Brazil	Cruz	2000	21.1%	24.3%	17.7%
Brazil	Baixio	2000	20.6%	23.8%	17.9%
Brazil	Cedral	2000	42.4%	48.8%	35.1%
Brazil	Baependi	2000	7.5%	9.4%	6.1%
Brazil	Aquiraz	2000	20.9%	22.7%	19.0%
Brazil	Gonçalves	2000	3.3%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Florínia	2000	4.1%	5.4%	3.0%
Brazil	Patrocínio	2000	9.4%	11.6%	7.3%
Brazil	São Roque	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Brazil	Monção	2000	42.4%	47.3%	37.7%
Brazil	Bacabeira	2000	45.3%	49.9%	40.8%
Brazil	Almas	2000	25.7%	30.6%	20.7%
Brazil	Caracol	2000	3.7%	5.7%	1.9%
Brazil	Alegrete	2000	4.0%	5.5%	2.7%
Brazil	Passo de Torres	2000	4.6%	6.7%	3.1%
Brazil	Francisco Morato	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Brazil	Serrana	2000	2.5%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	Alfredo Vasconcelos	2000	8.4%	10.3%	6.6%
Brazil	Professor Jamil	2000	7.3%	8.8%	5.9%
Brazil	São Pedro da Água Branca	2000	18.2%	21.6%	14.8%
Brazil	Comercinho	2000	11.4%	15.0%	8.4%
Brazil	São João da Serra	2000	40.4%	47.8%	32.7%
Brazil	Pancas	2000	8.0%	10.0%	6.1%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Goiás	2000	8.6%	11.0%	6.7%
Brazil	Benevides	2000	11.4%	12.9%	10.1%
Brazil	Perdigão	2000	11.0%	13.2%	9.0%
Brazil	Baraúna	2000	16.4%	19.2%	13.7%
Brazil	Piedade de Caratinga	2000	7.0%	8.9%	5.5%
Brazil	Castelo do Piauí	2000	36.5%	43.1%	30.1%
Brazil	Piedade do Ponte Nova	2000	12.6%	15.0%	10.0%
Brazil	Brejo de Areia	2000	42.6%	48.4%	36.5%
Brazil	Taguaí	2000	2.6%	3.8%	1.7%
Brazil	Piedade dos Gerais	2000	11.7%	14.3%	9.2%
Brazil	Taiapu	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Bezerros	2000	18.9%	21.2%	17.0%
Brazil	Poço Verde	2000	19.5%	23.0%	16.9%
Brazil	Gália	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Piracema	2000	11.9%	14.8%	9.3%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Brazil	Rianópolis	2000	6.4%	7.7%	5.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Raimundo do Doca Bezerra	2000	44.7%	51.0%	38.7%
Brazil	Comendador Levy Gasparian	2000	6.3%	7.9%	5.0%
Brazil	Penha	2000	3.2%	4.4%	2.2%
Brazil	Mulungu	2000	19.6%	22.5%	17.2%
Brazil	Itapebi	2000	21.5%	25.2%	17.6%
Brazil	Ererê	2000	17.9%	21.3%	14.9%
Brazil	Arroio do Tigre	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.2%
Brazil	Centro do Guilherme	2000	27.5%	33.0%	22.8%
Brazil	Juruena	2000	8.9%	12.8%	5.8%
Brazil	Peritiba	2000	3.7%	4.9%	2.7%
Brazil	Gastão Vidigal	2000	1.8%	2.9%	1.0%
Brazil	BambuÍ	2000	9.7%	12.5%	7.1%
Brazil	Itapuã do Oeste	2000	8.5%	11.0%	6.2%
Brazil	Ponto Chique	2000	11.1%	15.1%	8.0%
Brazil	Ponto dos Volantes	2000	10.5%	13.6%	8.0%
Brazil	Trabiju	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Pinheiral	2000	2.5%	3.2%	1.9%
Brazil	Américo de Campos	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Brazil	Frei Paulo	2000	15.1%	17.6%	13.0%
Brazil	Porto da Folha	2000	18.8%	21.7%	15.9%
Brazil	Goianorte	2000	22.1%	26.2%	18.0%
Brazil	Sanclerlândia	2000	6.4%	8.2%	5.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Umbuzeiro	2000	19.6%	22.6%	17.3%
Brazil	Presidente Kubitschek	2000	6.9%	9.6%	5.1%
Brazil	Maraã	2000	15.5%	20.6%	10.7%
Brazil	Itá	2000	4.0%	5.5%	2.8%
Brazil	Apodi	2000	15.4%	18.7%	12.5%
Brazil	Eusébio	2000	20.6%	22.0%	19.1%
Brazil	Centro Novo do Maranhão	2000	23.6%	27.6%	19.6%
Brazil	Paranaíba	2000	5.6%	7.7%	3.7%
Brazil	Reduto	2000	9.0%	11.0%	7.2%
Brazil	Custódia	2000	16.1%	18.7%	13.4%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha	2000	23.6%	27.3%	20.0%
Brazil	Pacoti	2000	12.9%	14.9%	11.3%
Brazil	Joviânia	2000	6.8%	8.5%	5.2%
Brazil	Bananal	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Brazil	Propriá	2000	18.5%	20.9%	15.9%
Brazil	Rio Espera	2000	11.8%	14.1%	9.8%
Brazil	Guaimbê	2000	1.5%	2.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Itatim	2000	24.8%	28.4%	21.4%
Brazil	Farias Brito	2000	20.7%	23.4%	17.9%
Brazil	Afogados da Ingazeira	2000	17.9%	20.5%	15.1%
Brazil	Guaira	2000	3.6%	5.2%	2.4%
Brazil	Paranhos	2000	4.1%	6.1%	2.4%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2000	6.5%	7.9%	5.2%
Brazil	Xapuri	2000	21.3%	26.9%	17.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Colônia Leopoldina	2000	17.4%	19.3%	15.4%
Brazil	Catolé do Rocha	2000	14.9%	18.2%	12.2%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2000	13.5%	17.4%	10.3%
Brazil	Eirunepé	2000	15.2%	20.6%	10.5%
Brazil	Barão de Antonina	2000	3.6%	5.2%	2.4%
Brazil	Riachão do Dantas	2000	15.7%	17.9%	13.5%
Brazil	Tailândia	2000	9.1%	11.6%	7.2%
Brazil	Antônio Almeida	2000	43.1%	51.7%	34.7%
Brazil	Itororó	2000	22.5%	25.6%	19.3%
Brazil	Banabuiú	2000	22.3%	25.3%	19.5%
Brazil	Santana do Jacaré	2000	11.5%	14.0%	9.3%
Brazil	Agricolândia	2000	40.5%	47.1%	34.4%
Brazil	Ponte Serrada	2000	2.0%	3.0%	1.4%
Brazil	Feira de Santana	2000	23.7%	25.5%	22.0%
Brazil	Paracuru	2000	20.2%	23.6%	17.0%
Brazil	Mairipotaba	2000	7.0%	8.4%	5.8%
Brazil	Anori	2000	16.1%	21.0%	12.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Monte	2000	15.3%	19.4%	12.1%
Brazil	Aporé	2000	5.5%	7.4%	4.0%
Brazil	Sebastião Barros	2000	29.8%	36.2%	23.0%
Brazil	Pedro Gomes	2000	5.5%	7.8%	3.7%
Brazil	Porto Belo	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.6%
Brazil	Guaraci	2000	3.9%	5.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Itaituba	2000	11.0%	14.8%	8.2%
Brazil	Ivoti	2000	4.8%	5.5%	4.1%
Brazil	Campo Novo do Parecis	2000	7.1%	10.2%	4.8%
Brazil	Alto Caparaó	2000	3.9%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	São João Evangelista	2000	9.2%	11.4%	7.3%
Brazil	São João Nepomuceno	2000	10.8%	13.2%	8.8%
Brazil	São José da Barra	2000	12.4%	15.7%	9.8%
Brazil	Morro Agudo	2000	3.2%	4.5%	2.2%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2000	21.0%	25.9%	16.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Barra	2000	6.6%	8.5%	5.1%
Brazil	Tuntum	2000	44.1%	50.0%	37.9%
Brazil	Caatiba	2000	26.9%	30.3%	23.6%
Brazil	Fortaleza	2000	20.0%	21.1%	19.0%
Brazil	Catalão	2000	9.7%	11.6%	7.9%
Brazil	Araruna	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.4%
Brazil	Luciára	2000	16.5%	22.4%	11.9%
Brazil	Angelina	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Marialva	2000	4.4%	5.3%	3.5%
Brazil	Simplício Mendes	2000	38.9%	45.9%	31.8%
Brazil	Itupiranga	2000	10.5%	12.8%	8.5%
Brazil	Jaguarão	2000	4.8%	7.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Campo Bonito	2000	4.3%	5.6%	3.1%
Brazil	Guadalupe	2000	45.5%	53.8%	37.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Colina	2000	2.7%	3.8%	1.7%
Brazil	Quatis	2000	3.8%	4.8%	2.8%
Brazil	Sousa	2000	16.8%	19.9%	14.3%
Brazil	Marilândia do Sul	2000	4.8%	5.9%	3.7%
Brazil	Socorro do Piauí	2000	41.8%	50.1%	33.7%
Brazil	Paulino Neves	2000	44.4%	52.1%	37.8%
Brazil	Capela	2000	13.5%	15.6%	11.6%
Brazil	Caçara do Rio do Vento	2000	13.7%	16.3%	11.3%
Brazil	Itacajá	2000	27.8%	33.4%	22.4%
Brazil	Boa Ventura de São Roque	2000	4.2%	5.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Flores	2000	21.5%	24.6%	18.6%
Brazil	Presidente Nereu	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Brazil	Guaratinguetá	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.1%
Brazil	Silvianópolis	2000	11.6%	14.3%	9.4%
Brazil	Jaguarari	2000	23.8%	27.3%	20.8%
Brazil	São Francisco de Goiás	2000	6.4%	8.0%	5.1%
Brazil	Sobralia	2000	11.5%	13.8%	9.3%
Brazil	Barra de Santo Antônio	2000	20.5%	23.3%	17.7%
Brazil	Tacima	2000	17.1%	19.5%	15.0%
Brazil	Coelho Neto	2000	41.6%	47.8%	36.5%
Brazil	Porto Murтинho	2000	4.7%	7.8%	2.6%
Brazil	Marcelândia	2000	7.8%	11.0%	5.1%
Brazil	Princesa	2000	3.5%	4.8%	2.3%
Brazil	Guareí	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Condado	2000	18.6%	21.6%	15.8%
Brazil	Barra do Ouro	2000	31.8%	37.8%	26.7%
Brazil	Maringá	2000	4.8%	5.8%	3.8%
Brazil	Tanque do Piauí	2000	38.9%	46.2%	32.1%
Brazil	Três Marias	2000	10.1%	14.4%	6.8%
Brazil	Guariba	2000	2.2%	3.4%	1.3%
Brazil	Camagua	2000	3.9%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Olho d'Água do Casado	2000	18.9%	21.9%	16.1%
Brazil	Coromandel	2000	10.0%	13.3%	7.4%
Brazil	Frecheirinha	2000	24.0%	26.6%	21.3%
Brazil	Itabi	2000	17.3%	20.4%	14.6%
Brazil	Viçosa	2000	10.7%	12.8%	8.6%
Brazil	Vieiras	2000	6.5%	8.2%	5.0%
Brazil	Victorino Freire	2000	43.2%	47.6%	37.4%
Brazil	Tenório	2000	17.0%	20.2%	14.3%
Brazil	Marmeleiro	2000	4.1%	5.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Uruçuí	2000	41.8%	50.1%	33.6%
Brazil	Wenceslau Braz	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Barcelos	2000	13.3%	18.5%	8.4%
Brazil	Rio do Campo	2000	2.4%	3.5%	1.5%
Brazil	Guatapará	2000	2.2%	3.2%	1.4%
Brazil	Jiquiriçá	2000	23.6%	27.7%	20.1%
Brazil	São Miguel do Araguaia	2000	9.5%	12.6%	7.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itaubal	2000	6.5%	8.8%	4.7%
Brazil	Capivari de Baixo	2000	2.4%	3.6%	1.6%
Brazil	Guzolândia	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.1%
Brazil	Salgado	2000	13.6%	16.0%	11.4%
Brazil	Caculé	2000	24.0%	27.7%	20.1%
Brazil	Além Paraíba	2000	7.1%	8.7%	5.4%
Brazil	Ceres	2000	6.4%	7.7%	5.0%
Brazil	Vera Mendes	2000	36.8%	42.5%	30.7%
Brazil	São Vicente	2000	10.7%	13.5%	8.5%
Brazil	Lajeado do Bugre	2000	4.3%	5.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Alcobaca	2000	23.7%	28.5%	19.5%
Brazil	Barroso	2000	9.7%	11.8%	7.7%
Brazil	Envira	2000	16.4%	20.7%	11.7%
Brazil	São Simão	2000	6.9%	9.1%	4.9%
Brazil	Araças	2000	24.3%	27.4%	21.6%
Brazil	Olímpia	2000	2.7%	4.0%	1.7%
Brazil	Conceição do Canindé	2000	36.2%	42.2%	30.2%
Brazil	Resende	2000	3.5%	4.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Barbalha	2000	17.9%	19.9%	16.1%
Brazil	Lavras do Sul	2000	3.7%	5.3%	2.6%
Brazil	Rio Negrinho	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.5%
Brazil	Dores do Rio Preto	2000	5.0%	6.4%	3.7%
Brazil	Córrego Fundo	2000	11.0%	13.6%	8.5%
Brazil	Buritirana	2000	36.5%	42.5%	30.9%
Brazil	Mauá da Serra	2000	3.7%	4.7%	2.8%
Brazil	Plácido de Castro	2000	18.9%	23.5%	15.3%
Brazil	Rio Rufino	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Aguiarnópolis	2000	33.4%	38.0%	29.3%
Brazil	Silvânia	2000	6.4%	7.6%	5.4%
Brazil	Cezarina	2000	6.9%	8.3%	5.6%
Brazil	Coroatá	2000	46.3%	52.2%	40.8%
Brazil	Chapadão do Sul	2000	4.0%	5.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Pilõezinhos	2000	17.9%	20.1%	15.3%
Brazil	Icaraíma	2000	4.2%	5.7%	3.1%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	14.8%	17.1%	12.6%
Brazil	Campo Verde	2000	6.6%	8.6%	5.0%
Brazil	Alto Jequitibá	2000	8.3%	10.1%	6.5%
Brazil	Rio Bonito	2000	1.9%	2.6%	1.2%
Brazil	Ibirá	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Pirapemas	2000	42.3%	47.9%	35.9%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	10.8%	12.8%	9.2%
Brazil	Jaboticatubas	2000	10.9%	13.0%	8.8%
Brazil	Missal	2000	4.7%	6.2%	3.4%
Brazil	Querência	2000	8.9%	12.8%	5.8%
Brazil	Araucária	2000	4.1%	4.6%	3.4%
Brazil	Bom Conselho	2000	14.8%	16.9%	12.9%
Brazil	Cururupu	2000	40.3%	47.4%	33.1%
Brazil	Rio Verde de Mato Grosso	2000	4.0%	6.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Morretes	2000	7.4%	8.9%	5.9%
Brazil	Junqueiro	2000	20.1%	22.4%	18.1%
Brazil	Maquiné	2000	4.4%	5.8%	3.2%
Brazil	Sangão	2000	3.2%	4.7%	2.1%
Brazil	Lajedo do Tabocal	2000	21.4%	24.7%	18.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Trindade	2000	5.7%	6.5%	4.9%
Brazil	Cândido de Abreu	2000	5.0%	6.4%	3.7%
Brazil	Corrente	2000	31.4%	39.4%	24.3%
Brazil	Caicó	2000	15.3%	20.4%	11.1%
Brazil	João Câmara	2000	11.8%	14.6%	9.3%
Brazil	Cruzeiro da Fortaleza	2000	11.1%	13.7%	8.6%
Brazil	Estrela Velha	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	3.6%	4.9%	2.4%
Brazil	Ji-Paraná	2000	8.1%	10.2%	6.6%
Brazil	Palestina	2000	22.5%	25.1%	19.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Sul	2000	3.9%	5.1%	2.7%
Brazil	Ascurra	2000	2.6%	3.7%	1.8%
Brazil	Analandia	2000	1.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Brazil	General Maynard	2000	16.7%	19.0%	14.8%
Brazil	Nortelândia	2000	8.0%	10.1%	6.0%
Brazil	Guaraíta	2000	11.6%	14.7%	8.6%
Brazil	Careçu	2000	11.6%	14.3%	9.5%
Brazil	Mariano Moro	2000	4.1%	5.6%	2.9%
Brazil	Gameleira	2000	17.1%	19.1%	15.3%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	2.5%	3.6%	1.6%
Brazil	Aiuaba	2000	24.0%	27.7%	20.4%
Brazil	Barueri	2000	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Norte	2000	6.3%	8.1%	4.9%
Brazil	Soledade	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Douradina	2000	3.4%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Fagundes Varela	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.5%
Brazil	Santo Amaro da Imperatriz	2000	2.2%	3.3%	1.5%
Brazil	Indaiatuba	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Brazil	Groaíras	2000	22.3%	25.4%	19.4%
Brazil	Cocalzinho de Goiás	2000	5.3%	6.3%	4.4%
Brazil	Dom Pedro	2000	42.8%	49.0%	37.0%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Livramento	2000	7.8%	9.3%	6.5%
Brazil	Belo Oriente	2000	12.4%	14.9%	10.3%
Brazil	Nova Laranjeiras	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.3%
Brazil	Indiaporã	2000	3.5%	5.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2000	4.6%	6.1%	3.3%
Brazil	Garanhuns	2000	15.3%	17.0%	13.6%
Brazil	Inúbia Paulista	2000	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Madre de deus	2000	23.7%	26.2%	21.3%
Brazil	Vicentinópolis	2000	6.6%	8.1%	5.1%
Brazil	Desterro de Entre Rios	2000	10.0%	12.3%	7.7%
Brazil	Alcântara	2000	45.4%	49.5%	41.1%
Brazil	Lagoa do Tocantins	2000	26.7%	32.1%	21.6%
Brazil	Vila Boa	2000	8.7%	11.2%	6.8%
Brazil	Desterro do Melo	2000	10.7%	13.3%	8.7%
Brazil	Axixá	2000	44.1%	49.2%	39.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bataiporã	2000	3.9%	5.4%	2.7%
Brazil	Montauri	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	São Cristóvão do Sul	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Iperó	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Brazil	Maiquinique	2000	20.2%	23.9%	16.9%
Brazil	Aparecida do Taboado	2000	3.7%	5.3%	2.3%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	3.9%	5.2%	2.8%
Brazil	Ipeúna	2000	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
Brazil	Aracatu	2000	26.8%	30.5%	22.8%
Brazil	Barreira	2000	21.0%	23.4%	18.4%
Brazil	Capanema	2000	4.7%	6.1%	3.5%
Brazil	Glória do Goitá	2000	17.8%	19.2%	16.2%
Brazil	Montenegro	2000	4.9%	5.7%	4.1%
Brazil	Fundão	2000	8.2%	9.8%	6.9%
Brazil	Chapecó	2000	2.6%	3.4%	1.8%
Brazil	Mormaço	2000	4.8%	6.2%	3.5%
Brazil	São João do Itaperiú	2000	2.8%	3.7%	1.9%
Brazil	Ipuã	2000	4.2%	5.9%	2.9%
Brazil	Divino das Laranjeiras	2000	9.7%	12.1%	7.4%
Brazil	Ortigueira	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.4%
Brazil	Pariconha	2000	20.1%	23.0%	17.2%
Brazil	Divino	2000	7.0%	8.9%	5.3%
Brazil	Guaraciaba do Norte	2000	17.8%	20.1%	15.5%
Brazil	Córrego do Ouro	2000	6.2%	8.0%	4.6%
Brazil	Sapezal	2000	5.0%	7.6%	2.8%
Brazil	Morro Reuter	2000	3.9%	4.6%	3.4%
Brazil	São Joaquim	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	4.3%	5.3%	3.4%
Brazil	Pindorama	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Currais	2000	39.0%	48.1%	30.4%
Brazil	Divisa Alegre	2000	16.4%	20.0%	13.2%
Brazil	Braganga	2000	12.7%	15.1%	10.7%
Brazil	Cocal do Sul	2000	2.9%	4.1%	2.0%
Brazil	Bauru	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Muitos Capões	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.7%
Brazil	Araújos	2000	4.8%	5.7%	3.9%
Brazil	Camacan	2000	23.5%	26.9%	19.9%
Brazil	Guaramiranga	2000	11.1%	13.1%	9.6%
Brazil	Dom Bosco	2000	8.0%	11.4%	5.3%
Brazil	Tupandi	2000	4.5%	5.4%	3.7%
Brazil	Andira	2000	3.6%	4.7%	2.6%
Brazil	Duas Barras	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.3%
Brazil	Nonoai	2000	3.7%	4.9%	2.8%
Brazil	Carambeí	2000	3.9%	4.9%	2.9%
Brazil	Arvorezinha	2000	3.7%	4.7%	2.8%
Brazil	Itapecerica da Serra	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Brazil	Machadinho	2000	8.6%	11.3%	6.1%
Brazil	Nova Araçá	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.1%
Brazil	Dom Eliseu	2000	19.3%	23.4%	15.7%
Brazil	Americana	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Brazil	Milagres	2000	21.9%	25.1%	18.7%
Brazil	Água Fria de Goiás	2000	5.0%	6.3%	3.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Passo de Camaragibe	2000	19.9%	22.8%	17.4%
Brazil	Gracho Cardoso	2000	15.8%	18.8%	13.2%
Brazil	Itapeva	2000	1.6%	2.5%	0.9%
Brazil	Bernardo Sayão	2000	21.6%	25.8%	18.1%
Brazil	Nova Boa Vista	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Saudades	2000	3.8%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Medicilândia	2000	10.7%	14.7%	7.5%
Brazil	Itapira	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Brazil	Lagoa de Pedras	2000	14.1%	16.1%	12.0%
Brazil	Cordilheira Alta	2000	3.1%	4.1%	2.3%
Brazil	André da Rocha	2000	4.3%	5.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Peabiru	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.5%
Brazil	Iguaí	2000	22.6%	26.2%	19.4%
Brazil	Itapuí	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Viamão	2000	4.2%	4.8%	3.6%
Brazil	Nova Lacerda	2000	9.5%	13.8%	6.2%
Brazil	Betim	2000	11.1%	12.1%	10.0%
Brazil	Melgaco	2000	10.4%	13.2%	7.7%
Brazil	Mantena	2000	8.7%	10.8%	6.9%
Brazil	Coqueiros do Sul	2000	4.6%	5.7%	3.5%
Brazil	Craíbas	2000	21.3%	23.8%	18.7%
Brazil	Guajará	2000	17.8%	22.1%	14.1%
Brazil	Penedo	2000	17.8%	20.5%	15.4%
Brazil	Araci	2000	26.5%	29.7%	23.4%
Brazil	Pinhais	2000	4.2%	4.8%	3.7%
Brazil	Barro	2000	17.6%	20.3%	15.2%
Brazil	Timbé do Sul	2000	3.4%	4.8%	2.3%
Brazil	Itatinga	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Muritiba	2000	25.1%	27.5%	22.7%
Brazil	Entre Folhas	2000	9.9%	12.4%	7.9%
Brazil	Anaurilândia	2000	4.5%	6.3%	3.1%
Brazil	Aragoiânia	2000	6.5%	7.5%	5.6%
Brazil	Barão de Grajaú	2000	43.3%	50.0%	36.9%
Brazil	Timbó	2000	2.9%	3.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Itirapuã	2000	5.2%	6.7%	3.9%
Brazil	Espigão Alto do Iguaçu	2000	4.9%	6.5%	3.5%
Brazil	Coronel Sapucaia	2000	3.2%	4.7%	1.7%
Brazil	CanaBrava do Norte	2000	10.3%	14.8%	6.8%
Brazil	Piaçabuçu	2000	22.3%	26.2%	18.9%
Brazil	Alvarenga	2000	8.3%	10.6%	6.2%
Brazil	Pitanga	2000	3.6%	4.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Nogueiras	2000	36.3%	43.4%	28.9%
Brazil	Brazil Novo	2000	10.3%	13.9%	7.4%
Brazil	Matozinhos	2000	11.0%	12.8%	9.4%
Brazil	Avelino Lopes	2000	30.4%	38.1%	23.9%
Brazil	Bayeux	2000	15.0%	16.6%	13.6%
Brazil	Trombudo Central	2000	2.7%	4.0%	1.8%
Brazil	Ituverava	2000	4.7%	6.4%	3.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2000	28.3%	32.2%	24.7%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Dourado	2000	10.5%	13.0%	8.6%
Brazil	Alto Boa Vista	2000	10.3%	14.6%	6.9%
Brazil	Bonito	2000	15.1%	16.7%	13.6%
Brazil	Beneditinos	2000	41.8%	48.5%	35.0%
Brazil	Duque de Caxias	2000	2.1%	2.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Canguaretama	2000	14.7%	17.2%	12.2%
Brazil	Augusto Pestana	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.4%
Brazil	Ministro Andreazza	2000	7.9%	10.0%	6.2%
Brazil	Aurora	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	Angatuba	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Pantano Grande	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Açucena	2000	10.2%	12.5%	8.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2000	27.8%	33.0%	22.9%
Brazil	Corupá	2000	3.9%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Cutias	2000	6.1%	8.7%	4.0%
Brazil	Cêro Azul	2000	6.0%	7.5%	4.3%
Brazil	Passa Sete	2000	3.9%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Porto Acre	2000	20.1%	23.6%	17.1%
Brazil	Amapá	2000	5.2%	8.2%	2.8%
Brazil	Água Azul do Norte	2000	10.9%	14.1%	8.6%
Brazil	Palmeiras	2000	21.5%	25.6%	17.5%
Brazil	Prudentópolis	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.6%
Brazil	Delmiro Gouveia	2000	22.6%	25.3%	20.0%
Brazil	Fernandes Tourinho	2000	11.4%	13.8%	9.4%
Brazil	Humaitá	2000	12.1%	16.2%	8.7%
Brazil	Aramari	2000	24.9%	27.7%	22.2%
Brazil	Pejuçara	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.4%
Brazil	Xavantina	2000	2.9%	4.1%	2.0%
Brazil	José Bonifácio	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2000	18.8%	21.4%	16.2%
Brazil	Crisópolis	2000	23.6%	27.3%	20.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Conceição	2000	2.1%	3.1%	1.4%
Brazil	Guarapari	2000	7.4%	9.1%	6.0%
Brazil	Araguapaz	2000	6.6%	9.2%	4.8%
Brazil	Barra do Corda	2000	44.5%	50.7%	38.3%
Brazil	Corumbá	2000	5.2%	7.3%	3.6%
Brazil	Canarana	2000	7.4%	11.5%	4.6%
Brazil	Pinheirinho do Vale	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Juquiá	2000	2.6%	4.0%	1.6%
Brazil	Pedro Alexandre	2000	19.6%	23.4%	16.8%
Brazil	Altamira do Paran	2000	5.2%	6.8%	3.9%
Brazil	Pinheiro Machado	2000	4.3%	6.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2000	20.1%	22.4%	17.9%
Brazil	Brejo Grande do Araguaia	2000	21.3%	24.5%	18.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Harmonia	2000	5.5%	6.5%	4.5%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2000	2.5%	3.5%	1.6%
Brazil	Lagoinha	2000	0.9%	1.5%	0.6%
Brazil	Francisco Dummon	2000	13.0%	16.4%	10.0%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre d'Oeste	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Candeias	2000	25.2%	27.5%	23.0%
Brazil	Brejão	2000	16.0%	17.9%	14.2%
Brazil	Bertólmia	2000	42.5%	51.8%	33.3%
Brazil	Realeza	2000	4.9%	6.3%	3.8%
Brazil	Poço das Antas	2000	4.8%	5.9%	3.8%
Brazil	Água Preta	2000	17.3%	19.2%	15.4%
Brazil	Caraúbas	2000	13.4%	16.7%	10.9%
Brazil	Capela de Santana	2000	4.9%	6.6%	3.4%
Brazil	Baje	2000	4.5%	5.9%	3.1%
Brazil	Mosquito	2000	31.3%	35.4%	27.5%
Brazil	Mirante da Serra	2000	8.0%	10.3%	5.9%
Brazil	Santos	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Brazil	Balneário Arroio do Silva	2000	2.4%	3.3%	1.6%
Brazil	Anhemi	2000	1.6%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	Indiaroba	2000	19.1%	22.1%	15.4%
Brazil	Brasilândia do Tocantins	2000	24.0%	28.3%	19.7%
Brazil	Alegrete do Piauí	2000	30.8%	35.4%	25.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Pinhal	2000	4.9%	6.4%	3.8%
Brazil	Gameleiras	2000	15.8%	19.9%	12.3%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Ivaí	2000	4.7%	6.1%	3.3%
Brazil	Presidente Lucena	2000	5.1%	6.0%	4.3%
Brazil	Lucianópolis	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Potiraguá	2000	22.4%	26.8%	18.7%
Brazil	Glaucilândia	2000	11.1%	14.2%	8.7%
Brazil	Clevelândia	2000	3.4%	4.8%	2.4%
Brazil	Prado	2000	25.0%	29.6%	20.7%
Brazil	Goiabeira	2000	11.4%	14.5%	8.9%
Brazil	Protásio Alves	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Laje do Muriaé	2000	5.5%	7.1%	4.2%
Brazil	Dois Riachos	2000	20.6%	23.5%	17.8%
Brazil	Alexandria	2000	14.5%	17.4%	11.9%
Brazil	Ipixuna	2000	16.2%	20.9%	11.6%
Brazil	Arataca	2000	22.9%	26.4%	19.9%
Brazil	Baturité	2000	17.6%	20.1%	15.7%
Brazil	Malhada dos Bois	2000	16.1%	18.5%	13.7%
Brazil	Frei Martinho	2000	13.7%	16.5%	11.5%
Brazil	Quinze de Novembro	2000	4.9%	6.4%	3.6%
Brazil	Macaubal	2000	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Guia Branca	2000	8.1%	10.1%	6.4%
Brazil	Governador Valadares	2000	10.7%	12.4%	9.0%
Brazil	Pedra do Anta	2000	11.4%	13.8%	9.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Araporã	2000	6.9%	8.5%	5.4%
Brazil	Barreirinhas	2000	44.3%	51.6%	38.0%
Brazil	Itambé	2000	28.4%	32.2%	25.2%
Brazil	Remanso	2000	28.9%	34.6%	24.3%
Brazil	Guanhães	2000	8.1%	10.2%	6.3%
Brazil	Sorocaba	2000	1.2%	1.5%	0.8%
Brazil	Manicore	2000	14.4%	19.2%	10.5%
Brazil	Ariquemes	2000	7.7%	9.6%	6.1%
Brazil	Breu Branco	2000	10.8%	13.3%	8.7%
Brazil	Colorado	2000	2.7%	3.6%	1.9%
Brazil	Santa Cecília do Pavão	2000	4.9%	6.3%	3.9%
Brazil	Belém	2000	17.8%	20.4%	15.4%
Brazil	Arapu	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.4%
Brazil	Itajá	2000	12.6%	15.5%	10.0%
Brazil	Riozinho	2000	3.7%	4.8%	2.7%
Brazil	Belo Monte	2000	22.2%	25.2%	19.2%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2000	24.3%	28.5%	20.8%
Brazil	Santa Fé	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Arraial	2000	43.4%	51.2%	36.6%
Brazil	Betânia do Piauí	2000	26.2%	31.0%	21.5%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	4.9%	6.5%	3.5%
Brazil	Itaparica	2000	27.0%	29.5%	24.6%
Brazil	Guapimirim	2000	2.9%	3.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Graça Aranha	2000	46.0%	52.2%	40.1%
Brazil	Carnaúba dos Dantas	2000	13.3%	16.6%	11.0%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	3.8%	5.2%	2.7%
Brazil	Balneário Pinhal	2000	4.3%	6.0%	3.0%
Brazil	Baía Formosa	2000	15.2%	18.4%	12.3%
Brazil	Maxaranguape	2000	13.2%	16.7%	10.3%
Brazil	Rio de Contas	2000	25.1%	29.4%	21.6%
Brazil	Balneário Barra do Sul	2000	4.0%	5.5%	2.7%
Brazil	Marinópolis	2000	2.3%	3.4%	1.4%
Brazil	Água Doce	2000	2.6%	3.6%	1.7%
Brazil	Nova Rosalândia	2000	24.8%	29.4%	20.8%
Brazil	Santa Lúcia	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.6%
Brazil	Orós	2000	22.8%	25.6%	19.8%
Brazil	Brejinho de Nazaré	2000	26.6%	30.5%	22.8%
Brazil	Araricá	2000	5.2%	6.1%	4.5%
Brazil	Rosário do Sul	2000	3.8%	5.3%	2.7%
Brazil	Aguiar	2000	17.7%	21.0%	14.8%
Brazil	Rio Real	2000	21.5%	24.7%	18.4%
Brazil	Gurinhata	2000	6.3%	8.5%	4.3%
Brazil	Águas de Lindóia	2000	3.4%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	São José do Piauí	2000	37.2%	43.1%	32.0%
Brazil	Meridiano	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	Alumínio	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Brazil	Mesópolis	2000	3.6%	5.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Ibertioga	2000	7.9%	9.9%	6.0%
Brazil	Ipê	2000	4.1%	5.2%	3.2%
Brazil	Cumbe	2000	14.9%	17.8%	12.4%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2000	24.2%	27.2%	21.3%
Brazil	Barra dos Coqueiros	2000	17.5%	19.3%	15.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Augustinópolis	2000	27.0%	30.7%	23.5%
Brazil	Araguatins	2000	25.6%	28.8%	22.6%
Brazil	Santa Brígida	2000	22.3%	26.0%	18.7%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Caiuá	2000	3.9%	5.5%	2.6%
Brazil	Brejo	2000	44.5%	50.1%	39.1%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Sul	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.3%
Brazil	Mira Estrela	2000	3.8%	5.8%	2.4%
Brazil	Irlanduba	2000	16.6%	18.5%	14.7%
Brazil	Cocal de Telha	2000	39.4%	44.6%	33.5%
Brazil	Jaçanã	2000	13.7%	16.2%	11.5%
Brazil	Santo Inácio	2000	4.0%	5.5%	2.9%
Brazil	Beberibe	2000	20.8%	24.1%	17.6%
Brazil	Mirandópolis	2000	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Ibirité	2000	10.0%	10.9%	9.1%
Brazil	Votorantim	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Brazil	Ibatiba	2000	6.3%	8.0%	4.8%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Maranhão	2000	43.1%	48.7%	38.4%
Brazil	São Jerônimo da Serra	2000	4.3%	5.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Corbélia	2000	4.5%	5.6%	3.4%
Brazil	Coxim	2000	4.9%	7.0%	3.4%
Brazil	Botucatu	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Piúma	2000	6.2%	7.9%	4.7%
Brazil	Breves	2000	9.1%	11.2%	7.3%
Brazil	Icatu	2000	45.8%	50.7%	41.6%
Brazil	Poconé	2000	7.5%	9.8%	5.8%
Brazil	Bernardino Batista	2000	16.8%	19.8%	14.2%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Minas	2000	10.0%	13.9%	7.0%
Brazil	Santiago	2000	3.9%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Boa Hora	2000	39.6%	44.7%	34.4%
Brazil	Iguaba Grande	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.3%
Brazil	Carnaubais	2000	12.3%	15.3%	9.8%
Brazil	Inconfidentes	2000	7.7%	9.7%	6.0%
Brazil	Uarini	2000	14.6%	19.6%	10.4%
Brazil	Balneário Camboriú	2000	3.4%	4.7%	2.4%
Brazil	Buriti do Tocantins	2000	23.0%	26.4%	19.5%
Brazil	Extremoz	2000	13.3%	15.4%	11.4%
Brazil	Rio Crespo	2000	8.0%	10.5%	6.3%
Brazil	Moita Bonita	2000	14.9%	17.3%	12.8%
Brazil	São João do Pacuí	2000	10.2%	13.5%	7.5%
Brazil	São José da Lapa	2000	10.6%	11.9%	9.4%
Brazil	Varre-Sai	2000	5.6%	7.2%	4.1%
Brazil	São José da Vitória	2000	23.2%	26.5%	20.0%
Brazil	Ipiaçu	2000	7.1%	9.1%	5.0%
Brazil	Jaramataia	2000	21.7%	24.6%	19.0%
Brazil	Sapopema	2000	5.2%	6.7%	4.0%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2000	25.5%	28.3%	22.8%
Brazil	Exu	2000	18.3%	20.8%	15.7%
Brazil	Batalha	2000	37.8%	42.4%	32.8%
Brazil	Vassouras	2000	2.7%	3.4%	2.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caapiranga	2000	15.8%	20.3%	12.3%
Brazil	Sapeaçu	2000	25.4%	28.0%	22.6%
Brazil	Itabira	2000	8.6%	10.2%	7.2%
Brazil	Saudade do Iguaçu	2000	5.0%	6.4%	3.7%
Brazil	Aruanã	2000	7.4%	9.9%	5.5%
Brazil	Palmeirópolis	2000	27.3%	32.2%	23.5%
Brazil	Saubara	2000	26.3%	29.0%	23.8%
Brazil	Chapada dos Guimarães	2000	7.3%	9.5%	5.9%
Brazil	Itapororoca	2000	17.1%	19.4%	14.7%
Brazil	Guaribas	2000	33.2%	40.5%	26.3%
Brazil	Olho-d'Água do Borges	2000	13.7%	16.7%	10.9%
Brazil	Itaguara	2000	8.1%	10.4%	5.4%
Brazil	Boa Ventura	2000	17.7%	20.6%	15.2%
Brazil	Nova Campina	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Bocaina	2000	37.2%	42.4%	31.9%
Brazil	Itapecuru	2000	42.5%	48.5%	36.8%
Brazil	Mirim	2000	1.9%	2.4%	1.5%
Brazil	Itaboraí	2000	10.6%	13.2%	8.4%
Brazil	Brasilândia de Minas	2000	11.2%	13.2%	9.4%
Brazil	Peixe Boi	2000	4.2%	5.1%	3.3%
Brazil	Barão do Triunfo	2000	5.3%	6.9%	4.1%
Brazil	Americano do Brazil	2000	9.0%	11.8%	6.3%
Brazil	Nova Mamoré	2000	25.6%	28.9%	22.2%
Brazil	Serra Preta	2000	20.1%	22.4%	17.2%
Brazil	Cascavel	2000	3.0%	4.5%	2.0%
Brazil	Nova Granada	2000	9.8%	12.6%	7.6%
Brazil	Itambé do Mato Dentro	2000	8.1%	9.9%	6.5%
Brazil	João Neiva	2000	3.3%	4.2%	2.6%
Brazil	São Marcos	2000	2.6%	3.8%	1.6%
Brazil	Nova Guataporanga	2000	6.0%	7.4%	4.6%
Brazil	São Luiz do Norte	2000	11.5%	14.2%	9.2%
Brazil	Itamogi	2000	4.9%	6.3%	3.8%
Brazil	Terra Boa	2000	9.1%	12.0%	6.6%
Brazil	Brasília de Minas	2000	10.4%	14.2%	7.7%
Brazil	Arapuá	2000	3.5%	5.0%	2.3%
Brazil	Terra Rica	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	São Martinho	2000	1.7%	2.8%	1.0%
Brazil	Nova Luzitânia	2000	14.1%	16.9%	11.6%
Brazil	Paraná	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Coroados	2000	5.0%	6.4%	3.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista da Aparecida	2000	26.6%	30.8%	22.4%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande do Piauí	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.3%
Brazil	Maricá	2000	24.5%	28.7%	20.6%
Brazil	Central	2000	21.9%	25.6%	18.8%
Brazil	Jaguaribe	2000	26.2%	29.8%	22.6%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2000	3.3%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Areias	2000			

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Feliz Deserto	2000	23.5%	27.3%	20.0%
Brazil	Aurelino Leal	2000	25.1%	28.1%	21.8%
Brazil	Campo Mourão	2000	4.3%	5.4%	3.2%
Brazil	Boa Viagem	2000	18.9%	21.3%	16.7%
Brazil	Tanquinho	2000	25.2%	28.2%	22.0%
Brazil	Itaú de Minas	2000	10.8%	13.1%	8.9%
Brazil	Tunas do Paraná	2000	4.1%	5.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Amparo	2000	18.3%	21.2%	15.5%
Brazil	Braúnas	2000	3.1%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Ponta de Pedras	2000	11.2%	13.2%	9.4%
Brazil	Onda Verde	2000	2.8%	4.1%	1.9%
Brazil	Aurilândia	2000	6.6%	8.5%	5.0%
Brazil	João Pessoa	2000	16.1%	17.8%	14.3%
Brazil	Benedito Leite	2000	42.8%	52.1%	34.3%
Brazil	Oriente	2000	1.5%	2.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Areia	2000	16.1%	18.2%	14.0%
Brazil	Itaverava	2000	10.6%	12.7%	8.9%
Brazil	Parazinho	2000	13.2%	17.2%	9.8%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos do Buriti	2000	3.4%	4.5%	2.3%
Brazil	São Valentim	2000	3.4%	4.4%	2.6%
Brazil	Cláudia	2000	8.3%	11.2%	5.8%
Brazil	Andrelândia	2000	7.0%	9.2%	5.0%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Arari	2000	10.8%	12.8%	9.1%
Brazil	Cajueiro	2000	19.2%	21.6%	16.9%
Brazil	Teolândia	2000	23.3%	26.5%	20.2%
Brazil	Buíque	2000	18.1%	20.4%	15.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	38.4%	46.6%	30.8%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2000	2.4%	3.0%	1.9%
Brazil	Ourinhos	2000	2.4%	3.3%	1.6%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2000	2.2%	3.4%	1.4%
Brazil	Venceslau Bras	2000	4.2%	5.5%	2.8%
Brazil	Ouroeste	2000	3.2%	4.6%	2.0%
Brazil	Bandeirante	2000	3.6%	5.0%	2.5%
Brazil	Porto de Moz	2000	10.7%	14.0%	7.7%
Brazil	Baraúna	2000	14.3%	17.0%	12.0%
Brazil	Juazeirinho	2000	18.0%	21.0%	15.0%
Brazil	Curiúva	2000	4.7%	6.1%	3.4%
Brazil	Campos Lindos	2000	35.4%	42.2%	28.9%
Brazil	Belmiro Braga	2000	7.0%	8.8%	5.5%
Brazil	Verê	2000	5.2%	6.5%	3.8%
Brazil	Novo Aripuanã	2000	14.9%	20.7%	9.9%
Brazil	Belo Campo	2000	25.7%	29.1%	22.2%
Brazil	Ibiassucê	2000	25.0%	28.5%	21.7%
Brazil	Catunda	2000	20.3%	23.2%	17.2%
Brazil	Sentinela do Sul	2000	4.3%	5.6%	3.3%
Brazil	Jijoca de Jericoacoara	2000	22.6%	26.4%	18.5%
Brazil	Palmeira do Oeste	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	Jaíba	2000	13.0%	16.2%	10.0%
Brazil	Virmond	2000	4.8%	6.4%	3.5%
Brazil	Maraú	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	General Carneiro	2000	7.4%	10.3%	5.3%
Brazil	Jampruca	2000	11.0%	14.1%	8.8%
Brazil	Sertão Santana	2000	4.4%	5.5%	3.3%
Brazil	Piraquê	2000	24.4%	28.0%	21.2%
Brazil	Sertão	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.3%
Brazil	Traipu	2000	21.3%	23.7%	18.8%
Brazil	Flexeiras	2000	17.4%	19.6%	15.4%
Brazil	Japurá	2000	16.8%	24.2%	12.0%
Brazil	Baianópolis	2000	24.3%	29.0%	19.4%
Brazil	Valença	2000	23.9%	27.1%	20.9%
Brazil	Iconha	2000	6.4%	7.8%	5.1%
Brazil	Campo Novo de Rondônia	2000	7.2%	9.7%	5.2%
Brazil	Avelinópolis	2000	6.3%	7.4%	5.1%
Brazil	Bequimão	2000	43.6%	48.7%	38.4%
Brazil	Antônio Cardoso	2000	26.5%	29.0%	23.9%
Brazil	Lagoa da Canoa	2000	20.3%	22.7%	18.3%
Brazil	Cocalinho	2000	8.6%	11.2%	6.5%
Brazil	Quatipuru	2000	11.9%	14.6%	9.7%
Brazil	Diamante do Sul	2000	4.9%	6.5%	3.4%
Brazil	Tapera	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.2%
Brazil	Lajedo	2000	17.9%	20.1%	15.9%
Brazil	Agudos do Sul	2000	3.1%	4.0%	2.4%
Brazil	Joáima	2000	14.2%	18.2%	10.5%
Brazil	Paulínia	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.3%
Brazil	Joanésia	2000	11.1%	13.8%	9.2%
Brazil	Gravataí	2000	2.7%	3.8%	1.8%
Brazil	Aiquara	2000	24.0%	27.2%	21.0%
Brazil	Bom Princípio do Piauí	2000	34.6%	39.9%	29.8%
Brazil	Coronel Ezequiel	2000	13.5%	16.1%	11.2%
Brazil	São Cristóvão	2000	15.3%	17.0%	13.5%
Brazil	Barra do Guarita	2000	4.3%	5.8%	3.1%
Brazil	Francisco Dantas	2000	13.8%	16.7%	10.9%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte do Oeste	2000	8.2%	10.1%	6.4%
Brazil	Dois Vizinhos	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.3%
Brazil	Araçariguama	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.6%
Brazil	José Gonçalves de Minas	2000	11.2%	14.9%	8.0%
Brazil	Japaratuba	2000	15.3%	17.6%	13.1%
Brazil	Pedregulho	2000	4.3%	5.7%	3.1%
Brazil	Cidreira	2000	4.9%	6.6%	3.4%
Brazil	Cariri do Tocantins	2000	22.7%	26.7%	18.9%
Brazil	Pedreira	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Brazil	Penápolis	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Alcântaras	2000	13.2%	15.3%	11.5%
Brazil	Travesseiro	2000	5.1%	6.3%	4.1%
Brazil	Pereiras	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Juvenília	2000	18.2%	22.2%	14.5%
Brazil	Três Arroios	2000	3.9%	5.1%	2.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Três Cachoeiras	2000	4.5%	6.3%	3.1%
Brazil	Jateí	2000	3.7%	5.0%	2.5%
Brazil	Guarantã do Norte	2000	8.0%	11.2%	5.4%
Brazil	Lagoa da Prata	2000	11.1%	13.8%	8.8%
Brazil	Girau do Ponciano	2000	19.7%	22.1%	17.7%
Brazil	Cabo Verde	2000	9.2%	11.2%	7.1%
Brazil	Juruá	2000	15.7%	21.2%	10.7%
Brazil	Paiçandu	2000	4.9%	5.9%	3.8%
Brazil	Campo Largo do Piauí	2000	41.6%	46.8%	36.7%
Brazil	Três Passos	2000	3.8%	4.8%	2.9%
Brazil	Baliza	2000	7.2%	10.1%	5.2%
Brazil	Seringueiras	2000	8.0%	11.4%	5.1%
Brazil	Braço do Norte	2000	2.9%	4.3%	2.0%
Brazil	Aruja	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2000	33.4%	39.5%	27.8%
Brazil	Piquete	2000	4.9%	6.4%	3.6%
Brazil	Dourados	2000	2.9%	3.9%	2.0%
Brazil	Hidrolina	2000	6.0%	7.5%	4.6%
Brazil	Salto do Céu	2000	7.5%	10.1%	5.3%
Brazil	Colíder	2000	7.2%	9.7%	5.1%
Brazil	Tupanci do Sul	2000	3.7%	4.9%	2.5%
Brazil	Piracicaba	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Brazil	Selvíria	2000	4.4%	6.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Lavras	2000	10.3%	12.6%	8.5%
Brazil	Tuparendi	2000	4.1%	5.4%	3.1%
Brazil	Pirajuí	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.0%
Brazil	Praia Norte	2000	31.5%	34.9%	27.8%
Brazil	Ubiretama	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.4%
Brazil	Liberdade	2000	3.9%	5.4%	2.7%
Brazil	Ouro Preto do Oeste	2000	7.4%	9.4%	5.8%
Brazil	Araçatuba	2000	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Brazil	Galinhos	2000	15.0%	19.2%	11.3%
Brazil	Poá	2000	1.1%	1.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Anchieta	2000	7.5%	9.3%	5.9%
Brazil	Vanini	2000	4.3%	5.7%	3.2%
Brazil	Frederico Westphalen	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Machado	2000	12.2%	15.0%	9.9%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	4.9%	6.0%	3.9%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu	2000	21.0%	24.1%	18.1%
Brazil	Paulo Frontin	2000	4.1%	5.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Mamonas	2000	15.9%	19.6%	12.2%
Brazil	Viadutos	2000	4.3%	5.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Chupinguaia	2000	8.5%	11.1%	6.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Itabapoana	2000	5.5%	7.2%	4.1%
Brazil	Marilândia	2000	6.6%	8.5%	4.9%
Brazil	Banzaê	2000	24.4%	28.4%	21.1%
Brazil	Potim	2000	3.4%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Gurupi	2000	21.4%	25.9%	17.5%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2000	7.8%	9.2%	6.5%
Brazil	Vila Maria	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bom Retiro do Sul	2000	5.4%	6.7%	4.4%
Brazil	Comodoro	2000	7.5%	10.5%	5.2%
Brazil	Esperança Nova	2000	4.5%	6.0%	3.2%
Brazil	Presidente Alves	2000	1.6%	2.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Mário Campos	2000	10.4%	11.7%	9.1%
Brazil	Coronel Barros	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.6%
Brazil	Bonito de Santa Fé	2000	16.5%	19.2%	14.0%
Brazil	Presidente Epitácio	2000	2.9%	4.4%	1.9%
Brazil	Marliéria	2000	12.1%	14.1%	10.2%
Brazil	Cachoerinha	2000	20.4%	22.9%	18.5%
Brazil	Presidente Prudente	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Piauí	2000	40.1%	45.6%	34.3%
Brazil	Itaperuna	2000	5.5%	6.8%	4.3%
Brazil	Martins Soares	2000	7.6%	9.6%	5.9%
Brazil	Mata Verde	2000	14.7%	17.8%	11.6%
Brazil	Barra do Ribeiro	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.4%
Brazil	Boca da Mata	2000	18.8%	21.3%	16.9%
Brazil	Araçoiaba da Serra	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Brazil	Matias Cardoso	2000	15.4%	19.7%	11.2%
Brazil	Regente Feijó	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Brazil	Canto do Buriti	2000	40.9%	48.9%	32.8%
Brazil	Orobó	2000	18.0%	19.7%	16.2%
Brazil	Mendes Pimentel	2000	9.6%	12.4%	7.5%
Brazil	Angélica	2000	3.8%	5.2%	2.7%
Brazil	Dueré	2000	24.1%	28.1%	19.9%
Brazil	Aparecida de Goiânia	2000	5.8%	6.4%	5.1%
Brazil	Água Comprida	2000	7.7%	10.0%	5.8%
Brazil	Minas Novas	2000	9.9%	13.2%	7.2%
Brazil	Igaci	2000	19.8%	22.0%	17.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria das Barreiras	2000	12.0%	15.1%	9.3%
Brazil	Lábrea	2000	13.3%	18.4%	9.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Içá	2000	15.5%	20.9%	11.0%
Brazil	Canindé	2000	19.7%	22.8%	17.1%
Brazil	Coxilha	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.4%
Brazil	Moeda	2000	11.5%	14.0%	9.5%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Goiás	2000	6.0%	7.1%	5.0%
Brazil	Monjolos	2000	11.4%	15.0%	8.1%
Brazil	Itaberaí	2000	6.0%	7.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Alto Garças	2000	5.2%	7.4%	3.7%
Brazil	Confresa	2000	9.8%	14.1%	6.9%
Brazil	Cafeara	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Minas	2000	8.2%	10.7%	6.3%
Brazil	Cristinápolis	2000	19.0%	21.9%	16.0%
Brazil	Crissiumal	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.2%
Brazil	Auriflama	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Brazileira	2000	36.5%	41.6%	30.7%
Brazil	Currais Novos	2000	11.1%	13.4%	9.0%
Brazil	Sagres	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Mari	2000	19.5%	22.3%	17.1%
Brazil	São José de Ubá	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.3%
Brazil	Morro da Garça	2000	11.6%	15.0%	8.3%
Brazil	Água Boa	2000	10.9%	14.1%	8.4%
Brazil	Aramina	2000	7.0%	8.9%	5.3%
Brazil	Laranjeiras	2000	14.1%	15.8%	12.5%
Brazil	Saltinho	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Brazil	Mucurici	2000	12.7%	15.8%	9.9%
Brazil	Tuparetama	2000	19.8%	22.6%	17.2%
Brazil	Santarém Novo	2000	10.7%	12.8%	8.7%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2000	4.2%	5.2%	3.1%
Brazil	Fernandes Pinheiro	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Naque	2000	13.4%	16.1%	11.0%
Brazil	Rafael Fernandes	2000	16.0%	18.9%	13.3%
Brazil	Santa Branca	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Brazil	Vale do Paraíso	2000	8.7%	11.0%	6.7%
Brazil	Nepomuceno	2000	11.7%	14.4%	9.6%
Brazil	Igreja Nova	2000	19.7%	22.2%	17.3%
Brazil	Manacapuru	2000	15.7%	18.5%	12.7%
Brazil	Barra da Estiva	2000	21.6%	25.7%	18.4%
Brazil	Água Nova	2000	15.7%	18.5%	13.2%
Brazil	Paranatama	2000	15.6%	17.7%	13.5%
Brazil	Lagoa do Sítio	2000	36.6%	43.0%	31.1%
Brazil	Rafael Godeiro	2000	12.9%	16.2%	10.2%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Goiás	2000	7.2%	10.1%	5.1%
Brazil	Cotriguaçu	2000	8.8%	12.1%	5.8%
Brazil	Morada Nova	2000	21.6%	24.1%	19.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Serra	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Nova União	2000	10.0%	11.9%	8.3%
Brazil	Sinop	2000	7.2%	9.1%	5.5%
Brazil	Alcantil	2000	19.1%	21.7%	16.9%
Brazil	Capitão Poço	2000	11.1%	13.3%	9.2%
Brazil	Jardim Olinda	2000	3.9%	5.3%	2.6%
Brazil	Flor da Serra do Sul	2000	3.5%	4.6%	2.3%
Brazil	Condado	2000	18.3%	20.3%	16.4%
Brazil	Aloândia	2000	7.4%	9.1%	5.8%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2000	4.9%	6.1%	4.1%
Brazil	Brejo do Piauí	2000	40.1%	48.2%	32.1%
Brazil	Japeri	2000	2.7%	3.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Tocantins	2000	24.4%	28.3%	20.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santana da Ponte Pensa	2000	2.7%	4.1%	1.7%
Brazil	Doutor Severiano	2000	16.4%	19.6%	13.8%
Brazil	Calmon	2000	2.0%	3.0%	1.3%
Brazil	Barra Funda	2000	4.7%	5.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Canapi	2000	20.3%	23.2%	17.3%
Brazil	Pimenteiras do Oeste	2000	9.0%	12.7%	6.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Alegria	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.1%
Brazil	Oratórios	2000	12.2%	14.6%	9.8%
Brazil	Alto Paraná	2000	3.8%	4.9%	2.9%
Brazil	Amapá do Maranhão	2000	24.6%	29.6%	20.6%
Brazil	Maçambará	2000	14.9%	17.1%	12.8%
Brazil	Centenário	2000	30.3%	37.5%	23.9%
Brazil	Curitibanos	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	Santópolis do Aguapeí	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Campinaçu	2000	6.8%	9.1%	4.9%
Brazil	Caxias	2000	47.1%	52.1%	41.3%
Brazil	Anguera	2000	26.4%	29.4%	22.8%
Brazil	Paudalho	2000	18.8%	20.0%	17.3%
Brazil	Águas Belas	2000	20.2%	23.2%	17.5%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2000	14.0%	16.7%	11.4%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	2.2%	3.4%	1.4%
Brazil	Inhapi	2000	20.2%	23.3%	17.6%
Brazil	Palma	2000	13.2%	16.0%	10.5%
Brazil	Barra do Mendes	2000	26.0%	30.2%	22.4%
Brazil	Caridade	2000	20.4%	23.0%	17.6%
Brazil	Maturéia	2000	12.7%	15.3%	10.5%
Brazil	Itapemirim	2000	7.3%	9.2%	5.7%
Brazil	São José da Bela Vista	2000	2.9%	4.0%	2.0%
Brazil	Bom Lugar	2000	43.6%	49.4%	38.3%
Brazil	Dezesseis de Novembro	2000	4.5%	6.0%	3.2%
Brazil	São José do Barreiro	2000	2.0%	2.8%	1.4%
Brazil	Ipuaçu	2000	3.5%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Passa Vinte	2000	3.8%	5.2%	2.7%
Brazil	Caroebe	2000	7.8%	12.3%	4.4%
Brazil	Buriti dos Lopes	2000	34.9%	39.7%	30.4%
Brazil	Patos de Minas	2000	9.6%	12.0%	7.6%
Brazil	Ibiraiaras	2000	4.1%	5.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Divino de São Lourenço	2000	6.1%	7.6%	4.6%
Brazil	Barracão	2000	3.7%	5.0%	2.4%
Brazil	Porto Velho	2000	8.3%	9.8%	6.9%
Brazil	Biguaçu	2000	2.2%	3.2%	1.4%
Brazil	Arapeí	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2000	2.5%	3.3%	1.9%
Brazil	Chapada da Natividade	2000	24.3%	29.3%	20.0%
Brazil	Barra dos Bugre	2000	8.3%	10.7%	6.2%
Brazil	Sebastianópolis do Sul	2000	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Serra Negra	2000	2.4%	3.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Brejolândia	2000	26.0%	30.6%	21.0%
Brazil	Deputado Irapuan Pinheiro	2000	20.3%	23.7%	17.0%
Brazil	Alegria	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.6%
Brazil	Central do Maranhão	2000	41.9%	47.5%	35.9%
Brazil	Brejo Grande	2000	20.9%	24.8%	17.3%
Brazil	Barra do Rocha	2000	22.9%	26.0%	20.2%
Brazil	Suzanópolis	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Itarana	2000	6.3%	7.8%	4.7%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis	2000	8.9%	11.7%	6.6%
Brazil	Antonio Olinto	2000	4.1%	5.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Buritis	2000	7.3%	9.5%	4.8%
Brazil	Iguatemi	2000	4.2%	5.7%	2.8%
Brazil	Pimenta	2000	11.7%	15.0%	9.0%
Brazil	Araçuaí	2000	12.6%	15.7%	9.7%
Brazil	Chaves	2000	8.2%	10.8%	6.1%
Brazil	Brejo do Cruz	2000	15.6%	18.9%	12.7%
Brazil	Bituruna	2000	3.9%	5.3%	2.8%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2000	40.1%	49.0%	31.9%
Brazil	Camaragibe	2000	18.0%	19.1%	17.1%
Brazil	Amaraji	2000	14.0%	15.8%	12.3%
Brazil	Macaé	2000	2.1%	3.0%	1.4%
Brazil	Equador	2000	16.6%	19.5%	14.2%
Brazil	Tatuí	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Barros Cassal	2000	4.1%	5.2%	3.1%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2000	8.6%	10.7%	7.1%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2000	2.9%	4.2%	1.9%
Brazil	Malhador	2000	14.8%	17.1%	12.9%
Brazil	Nova Colinas	2000	37.6%	44.6%	30.2%
Brazil	Águas de Chapecó	2000	4.2%	5.6%	3.1%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	10.5%	12.7%	8.4%
Brazil	Cujubim	2000	8.5%	11.7%	6.5%
Brazil	Poté	2000	9.0%	11.4%	6.7%
Brazil	Bálsamo	2000	2.1%	3.1%	1.3%
Brazil	Érico Cardoso	2000	21.6%	25.7%	18.1%
Brazil	Pratópolis	2000	8.3%	10.7%	6.5%
Brazil	Águas de Santa Bárbara	2000	1.5%	2.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Ubatuba	2000	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Jacuípe	2000	18.3%	20.7%	16.1%
Brazil	Caririaçú	2000	18.8%	21.0%	16.6%
Brazil	Uru	2000	1.8%	2.9%	1.0%
Brazil	Pinheiros	2000	10.3%	12.7%	8.2%
Brazil	Campos Verdes	2000	7.0%	9.0%	5.0%
Brazil	Iúna	2000	7.2%	8.8%	5.7%
Brazil	Bonópolis	2000	5.9%	6.8%	5.1%
Brazil	Bandeira	2000	15.9%	19.6%	12.3%
Brazil	Inocência	2000	5.1%	7.3%	3.5%
Brazil	Diamantino	2000	7.5%	9.5%	5.3%
Brazil	Ressaquinha	2000	8.6%	10.5%	6.8%
Brazil	Araguari	2000	7.1%	8.6%	5.6%
Brazil	Colares	2000	10.7%	12.7%	9.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Brejo dos Santos	2000	14.5%	17.8%	11.8%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2000	12.0%	15.6%	9.3%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Iguaçu	2000	5.0%	6.4%	3.7%
Brazil	Camocim de São Félix	2000	15.9%	17.7%	14.2%
Brazil	Rio do Prado	2000	13.9%	17.5%	10.3%
Brazil	Confins	2000	11.1%	12.6%	9.9%
Brazil	Espírito Santo	2000	13.7%	15.8%	11.5%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant do Sul	2000	3.6%	4.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Rio Preto	2000	5.0%	7.1%	3.8%
Brazil	Bocaina do Sul	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	Rochedo de Minas	2000	9.4%	11.6%	7.4%
Brazil	Araras	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Brazil	Maruim	2000	15.9%	18.0%	14.2%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Verde	2000	6.2%	7.4%	4.9%
Brazil	Chapadão do Céu	2000	46.3%	51.8%	40.8%
Brazil	Salinas	2000	12.8%	16.3%	9.7%
Brazil	Lambari d'Oeste	2000	7.6%	10.0%	5.5%
Brazil	Dormentes	2000	23.6%	27.7%	19.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Caldas	2000	6.9%	8.9%	5.4%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2000	20.1%	23.2%	17.0%
Brazil	Maués	2000	15.6%	16.7%	14.6%
Brazil	Santa Rita Itueto	2000	8.1%	10.5%	5.9%
Brazil	Cariús	2000	21.4%	24.4%	18.7%
Brazil	Santa Vitória	2000	7.1%	9.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Buriti Bravo	2000	47.1%	52.9%	40.8%
Brazil	Talismã	2000	16.6%	20.4%	13.4%
Brazil	Santana do Riacho	2000	8.6%	10.8%	6.4%
Brazil	Dom Aquino	2000	8.0%	10.3%	6.1%
Brazil	Arantina	2000	4.6%	6.3%	3.2%
Brazil	Santo Hipólito	2000	12.1%	15.5%	8.6%
Brazil	Cidelândia	2000	26.7%	30.8%	22.7%
Brazil	Caaporã	2000	18.5%	20.6%	16.2%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.1%
Brazil	São Francisco de Oliveira	2000	10.9%	13.4%	8.9%
Brazil	Camutanga	2000	17.8%	20.1%	15.9%
Brazil	Cajazeiras do Piauí	2000	43.8%	51.2%	36.4%
Brazil	Magé	2000	2.7%	3.2%	2.2%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	11.0%	14.5%	8.4%
Brazil	Campina Grande do Sul	2000	4.7%	5.6%	3.9%
Brazil	Escada	2000	16.4%	18.0%	14.9%
Brazil	Bento Gonçalves	2000	4.1%	5.0%	3.4%
Brazil	Bom Jardim da Serra	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São João del Rei	2000	9.8%	12.3%	7.6%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2000	15.4%	17.5%	13.6%
Brazil	Chavantes	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.3%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2000	16.7%	20.7%	13.5%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	18.2%	21.3%	15.3%
Brazil	Turiaçu	2000	34.1%	40.0%	28.0%
Brazil	Nhamundá	2000	14.5%	18.3%	11.2%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2000	27.1%	30.9%	23.6%
Brazil	Carnaubal	2000	21.9%	24.8%	19.1%
Brazil	Sarandi	2000	4.5%	5.5%	3.7%
Brazil	Buriti Alegre	2000	8.2%	10.0%	6.5%
Brazil	Buriti	2000	44.5%	49.8%	38.7%
Brazil	Sem-Peixe	2000	13.2%	16.0%	10.7%
Brazil	Feliz Natal	2000	7.6%	10.3%	5.2%
Brazil	Araponga	2000	6.2%	8.0%	4.7%
Brazil	Ribeirópolis	2000	14.8%	17.2%	12.8%
Brazil	Concórdia do Pará	2000	17.2%	20.8%	14.0%
Brazil	Cabaceiras	2000	22.0%	25.4%	18.9%
Brazil	Agudo	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Serranos	2000	6.3%	8.2%	4.7%
Brazil	Cajueiro da Praia	2000	33.2%	38.4%	28.1%
Brazil	Felipe Guerra	2000	14.8%	18.0%	12.2%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Misses	2000	4.0%	5.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Rolim de Moura	2000	7.7%	9.6%	6.2%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Oeste	2000	3.1%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Arealva	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Sergipe	2000	16.8%	19.8%	13.9%
Brazil	Teófilo Otoni	2000	10.1%	12.4%	7.8%
Brazil	Três Corações	2000	10.7%	13.4%	8.5%
Brazil	Ubá	2000	11.8%	13.7%	10.0%
Brazil	Ubaí	2000	10.3%	13.6%	7.6%
Brazil	Almenara	2000	17.1%	20.9%	13.2%
Brazil	Anadia	2000	18.8%	20.9%	16.8%
Brazil	Unai	2000	7.1%	9.2%	5.1%
Brazil	Joaquim Gomes	2000	17.9%	19.9%	15.7%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Norte	2000	16.0%	20.2%	12.7%
Brazil	Barro Preto	2000	24.4%	27.2%	21.6%
Brazil	Varjão de Minas	2000	9.1%	12.4%	6.5%
Brazil	Buriticupu	2000	37.7%	44.2%	31.7%
Brazil	Ivinhema	2000	3.5%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Figueirópolis d'Oeste	2000	7.8%	10.5%	5.8%
Brazil	Virginópolis	2000	9.1%	11.6%	7.1%
Brazil	Barra Longa	2000	13.7%	16.3%	11.2%
Brazil	Cumarú do Norte	2000	9.7%	13.2%	6.9%
Brazil	Manoel Urbano	2000	19.6%	25.2%	14.3%
Brazil	Erval Seco	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.2%
Brazil	Belém de São Francisco	2000	20.8%	24.7%	17.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jupia	2000	3.6%	5.0%	2.5%
Brazil	Ferreiros	2000	17.5%	19.6%	15.8%
Brazil	Colônia do Piauí	2000	42.6%	49.7%	35.7%
Brazil	Capoeiras	2000	16.3%	18.1%	14.3%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Missões	2000	4.1%	5.4%	3.0%
Brazil	Oeiras	2000	42.3%	48.1%	35.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	3.3%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Lacerdópolis	2000	3.6%	4.9%	2.6%
Brazil	Muribeca	2000	15.2%	17.6%	12.8%
Brazil	Conceição do Tocantins	2000	22.5%	27.2%	18.1%
Brazil	General Sampaio	2000	20.4%	23.5%	17.0%
Brazil	Santa Leopoldina	2000	6.3%	7.5%	5.2%
Brazil	Corumbataí	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Goiás	2000	13.9%	18.0%	10.9%
Brazil	Batalha	2000	22.2%	25.0%	19.4%
Brazil	Porto Grande	2000	4.8%	6.6%	3.3%
Brazil	Jundiá	2000	19.1%	21.6%	16.8%
Brazil	Padre Marcos	2000	31.9%	37.0%	26.8%
Brazil	Senador Elói de Souza	2000	14.2%	16.8%	12.0%
Brazil	Catarina	2000	20.5%	24.5%	17.5%
Brazil	Laranja da Terra	2000	8.2%	10.1%	6.2%
Brazil	Buritinópolis	2000	10.8%	13.6%	8.0%
Brazil	Montes Claros de Goiás	2000	7.1%	9.3%	5.1%
Brazil	Japorã	2000	4.9%	6.5%	3.3%
Brazil	Caranaíba	2000	10.8%	12.9%	8.8%
Brazil	Xinguara	2000	9.7%	12.3%	7.8%
Brazil	Gaúcha do Norte	2000	8.6%	12.3%	5.5%
Brazil	Bacurituba	2000	44.3%	49.0%	39.6%
Brazil	Curionópolis	2000	9.0%	11.4%	7.1%
Brazil	Lajeado Grande	2000	3.5%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Riqueza	2000	4.0%	5.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2000	4.0%	5.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Bocaiúva do Sul	2000	4.4%	5.4%	3.4%
Brazil	Barra de Guabira	2000	14.4%	16.2%	12.7%
Brazil	Fernando Pedroza	2000	12.8%	15.7%	10.0%
Brazil	Cotia	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Brazil	Heliópolis	2000	24.3%	27.7%	21.4%
Brazil	Amélia Rodrigues	2000	24.2%	26.7%	21.9%
Brazil	Macapa	2000	6.1%	7.3%	5.0%
Brazil	Neópolis	2000	18.0%	20.6%	15.3%
Brazil	Carangola	2000	8.2%	10.2%	6.3%
Brazil	Pirpirituba	2000	18.1%	20.7%	15.6%
Brazil	Couto Magalhães	2000	19.2%	22.8%	15.5%
Brazil	Laranjal do Jari	2000	6.8%	9.6%	4.2%
Brazil	Santa Teresa	2000	5.1%	6.4%	3.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Bento do Una	2000	17.4%	19.2%	15.5%
Brazil	Nobres	2000	8.6%	10.8%	6.0%
Brazil	Três Ranchos	2000	13.3%	16.0%	10.6%
Brazil	Coxixola	2000	19.2%	22.1%	16.5%
Brazil	Água Fria	2000	23.9%	26.7%	21.0%
Brazil	Cordeiro	2000	2.3%	3.2%	1.5%
Brazil	Morro Agudo de Goiás	2000	5.3%	6.9%	3.9%
Brazil	Linhares	2000	7.3%	9.2%	5.5%
Brazil	Cabeceiras	2000	6.4%	8.6%	4.8%
Brazil	Santana do São Francisco	2000	18.5%	21.1%	15.7%
Brazil	Leoberto Leal	2000	1.5%	2.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Curralinho	2000	10.8%	13.4%	8.6%
Brazil	Cacimba de Areia	2000	18.4%	21.2%	15.9%
Brazil	Miguel Pereira	2000	1.5%	1.8%	1.2%
Brazil	Sena Madureira	2000	20.0%	26.2%	15.8%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2000	35.8%	40.4%	31.7%
Brazil	Cedro de São João	2000	18.1%	20.6%	15.7%
Brazil	Barra de São Francisco	2000	8.1%	10.1%	6.4%
Brazil	São Francisco do Guaporé	2000	8.1%	10.8%	6.1%
Brazil	Bombinhas	2000	2.6%	3.7%	1.7%
Brazil	Ariranha	2000	1.7%	2.8%	1.0%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora Aparecido	2000	15.8%	18.6%	13.2%
Brazil	Candói	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Ilha Solteira	2000	3.9%	5.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Livramento do Brumado	2000	26.0%	30.0%	22.3%
Brazil	Várzea do Poço	2000	22.0%	25.1%	18.7%
Brazil	Cafarnaum	2000	26.2%	30.5%	22.8%
Brazil	Parintins	2000	12.9%	15.6%	10.3%
Brazil	São Gabriel da Palha	2000	8.1%	10.1%	6.1%
Brazil	Mantenópolis	2000	7.0%	9.1%	5.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira Alta	2000	6.0%	8.0%	4.5%
Brazil	Jardim	2000	3.1%	4.7%	1.9%
Brazil	Glória d'Oeste	2000	7.7%	10.1%	5.5%
Brazil	Ibipitanga	2000	26.5%	30.7%	22.6%
Brazil	Nazário	2000	6.1%	7.5%	4.9%
Brazil	Puxinanã	2000	16.9%	18.9%	14.8%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso do Sul	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.4%
Brazil	Chapadão do Lageado	2000	2.0%	3.2%	1.2%
Brazil	Bastos	2000	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Altaneira	2000	19.6%	22.3%	16.5%
Brazil	Miracema	2000	5.0%	6.5%	3.8%
Brazil	São Carlos	2000	4.0%	5.4%	3.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	2.8%	3.9%	1.9%
Brazil	Carmo da Mata	2000	11.6%	14.3%	9.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Miguel do Guaporé	2000	8.1%	10.4%	6.2%
Brazil	São José do Calçado	2000	5.6%	7.2%	4.3%
Brazil	Camapuã	2000	3.8%	5.3%	2.6%
Brazil	Belo Vale	2000	12.2%	14.6%	10.1%
Brazil	Tenente Ananias	2000	14.0%	16.9%	11.4%
Brazil	Curimatá	2000	34.0%	41.2%	27.4%
Brazil	Fazenda Vilanova	2000	4.9%	6.1%	4.0%
Brazil	Limoeiro de Anadia	2000	19.4%	21.5%	17.6%
Brazil	Boa Nova	2000	23.0%	26.6%	19.6%
Brazil	Marataízes	2000	6.9%	9.1%	5.1%
Brazil	Tenente Laurentino Cruz	2000	10.4%	13.2%	8.1%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Goiás	2000	5.5%	7.2%	4.2%
Brazil	Anastácio	2000	3.3%	4.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Arcos	2000	11.1%	13.7%	8.6%
Brazil	Curuçá	2000	9.8%	11.9%	7.8%
Brazil	Picos	2000	36.9%	42.1%	31.5%
Brazil	Caruaru	2000	19.2%	21.0%	17.6%
Brazil	Cuité	2000	15.2%	17.7%	12.6%
Brazil	Frutuoso Gomes	2000	13.7%	16.5%	11.1%
Brazil	Junco	2000	12.5%	15.7%	9.9%
Brazil	Campo Real	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.2%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	16.6%	19.0%	14.0%
Brazil	Tibau	2000	16.4%	19.9%	12.9%
Brazil	Darcinópolis	2000	28.1%	32.5%	24.3%
Brazil	Paripueira	2000	19.4%	22.0%	16.9%
Brazil	Apiacá	2000	6.4%	8.3%	5.0%
Brazil	Cametá	2000	10.7%	12.8%	8.9%
Brazil	Lassance	2000	12.1%	16.0%	8.6%
Brazil	Timbaúba dos Batistas	2000	15.4%	18.1%	12.9%
Brazil	Nova Canaã do Norte	2000	7.9%	10.5%	5.5%
Brazil	Fontoura Xavier	2000	3.9%	5.1%	3.0%
Brazil	Alto Araguaia	2000	5.4%	7.5%	3.6%
Brazil	Marema	2000	4.0%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Guarujá do Sul	2000	3.3%	4.6%	2.2%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Tupim	2000	29.7%	34.5%	25.8%
Brazil	Altamira	2000	10.0%	13.4%	7.6%
Brazil	Marechal Floriano	2000	4.1%	5.0%	3.2%
Brazil	Lagoa de Anta	2000	15.7%	18.2%	13.5%
Brazil	Campos Borges	2000	4.5%	5.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Concórdia	2000	2.9%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Brazil	Caçara	2000	17.3%	19.7%	14.8%
Brazil	Borrazópolis	2000	4.8%	6.0%	3.6%
Brazil	Casinhas	2000	21.5%	24.0%	19.3%
Brazil	Campo Maior	2000	39.6%	45.2%	34.0%
Brazil	Nilópolis	2000	1.8%	2.1%	1.5%
Brazil	Bom Progresso	2000	4.0%	5.3%	3.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bertópolis	2000	16.4%	19.8%	12.7%
Brazil	Teixeirópolis	2000	8.7%	10.9%	7.0%
Brazil	Braço do Trombudo	2000	2.6%	3.7%	1.6%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2000	38.3%	42.9%	33.2%
Brazil	Aspásia	2000	2.8%	4.3%	1.8%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Lourdes	2000	18.8%	21.8%	16.3%
Brazil	Carlópolis	2000	3.9%	5.3%	2.7%
Brazil	Gravatá	2000	14.9%	16.9%	13.2%
Brazil	São Fidélis	2000	3.1%	4.2%	2.1%
Brazil	Candelária	2000	5.4%	6.8%	4.2%
Brazil	Major Isidoro	2000	21.0%	23.7%	18.4%
Brazil	Mirim doce	2000	2.7%	4.0%	1.6%
Brazil	Echaporã	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Fernando Falcão	2000	44.4%	51.8%	37.1%
Brazil	Sidrolândia	2000	3.0%	4.1%	2.1%
Brazil	Caçu	2000	6.3%	8.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Cândido Mendes	2000	28.4%	33.9%	23.4%
Brazil	Laguna Carapã	2000	3.0%	4.1%	1.9%
Brazil	Indiavaí	2000	7.9%	10.3%	5.7%
Brazil	Pilões	2000	15.3%	18.8%	12.5%
Brazil	Cajazeiras	2000	16.5%	19.3%	13.8%
Brazil	Braganey	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.6%
Brazil	Catende	2000	17.5%	19.4%	15.8%
Brazil	Niterói	2000	1.8%	2.2%	1.5%
Brazil	Goianinha	2000	11.8%	13.8%	10.0%
Brazil	Theobroma	2000	8.4%	10.6%	6.4%
Brazil	Senador Sá	2000	20.5%	23.3%	17.8%
Brazil	Antônio João	2000	2.4%	3.9%	1.4%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Socorro	2000	14.5%	16.1%	13.0%
Brazil	Divinópolis do Tocantins	2000	22.2%	26.4%	18.2%
Brazil	Ivaí	2000	4.7%	6.1%	3.4%
Brazil	Taquaritinga do Norte	2000	18.2%	20.6%	16.3%
Brazil	Cataguases	2000	9.4%	11.3%	7.4%
Brazil	Ivaiporã	2000	4.6%	5.7%	3.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Serra	2000	29.0%	33.2%	24.6%
Brazil	Coari	2000	13.4%	19.3%	9.0%
Brazil	Anchieta	2000	3.2%	4.5%	2.2%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Toldo	2000	3.0%	4.2%	2.1%
Brazil	Ouvidor	2000	11.9%	14.3%	9.7%
Brazil	Itaúba	2000	8.2%	11.0%	6.0%
Brazil	Aricanduva	2000	8.4%	11.2%	6.0%
Brazil	Ibiapina	2000	17.3%	19.9%	15.0%
Brazil	Venda Nova do Imigrante	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.6%
Brazil	Crixás	2000	6.3%	8.2%	4.6%
Brazil	Brasilândia do Sul	2000	4.1%	5.4%	3.1%
Brazil	Nova Maringá	2000	7.9%	10.4%	5.4%
Brazil	Governador Dix-Sept Rosad	2000	13.2%	16.4%	10.8%
Brazil	Canindé de São Francisco	2000	21.8%	25.0%	18.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Brusque	2000	2.6%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Atibaia	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Sul	2000	2.4%	3.5%	1.6%
Brazil	Canápolis	2000	23.0%	27.5%	18.5%
Brazil	Emilianópolis	2000	1.6%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	Boninal	2000	20.2%	23.5%	16.7%
Brazil	São Benedito do Rio Preto	2000	43.8%	49.7%	37.8%
Brazil	Coreaú	2000	24.4%	27.3%	21.8%
Brazil	Miranda	2000	3.4%	5.3%	2.1%
Brazil	Engenheiro Coelho	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Brazil	Arinos	2000	8.5%	11.6%	5.3%
Brazil	Floresta do Araguaia	2000	14.0%	17.0%	11.0%
Brazil	São Bento	2000	43.0%	47.4%	38.5%
Brazil	Caxambu	2000	9.3%	11.6%	7.6%
Brazil	Santana dos Garrotes	2000	16.9%	20.1%	14.1%
Brazil	Alpinópolis	2000	10.4%	13.0%	8.2%
Brazil	Jaguapitã	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.5%
Brazil	Birigui	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Piauí	2000	41.3%	47.5%	35.1%
Brazil	Chã de Alegria	2000	19.4%	20.8%	17.8%
Brazil	Capitão de Campos	2000	37.2%	42.6%	31.1%
Brazil	Novo Triunfo	2000	22.3%	26.0%	18.7%
Brazil	Groaíras	2000	15.8%	19.2%	12.7%
Brazil	Bossoroca	2000	4.5%	6.1%	3.0%
Brazil	Vale do Anari	2000	8.4%	10.7%	6.3%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2000	11.1%	14.0%	8.8%
Brazil	Irará	2000	24.6%	27.3%	21.7%
Brazil	São Domingos do Azeitão	2000	43.7%	52.8%	35.4%
Brazil	Canoas	2000	4.7%	5.2%	4.2%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2000	2.7%	3.9%	1.8%
Brazil	Monsenhor Hipólito	2000	33.3%	38.2%	28.1%
Brazil	Caldazinha	2000	6.7%	7.7%	5.7%
Brazil	Carolina	2000	32.5%	37.7%	27.7%
Brazil	Jaciara	2000	7.9%	10.1%	5.9%
Brazil	Astolfo Dutra	2000	12.2%	14.1%	10.1%
Brazil	Camalaú	2000	19.4%	23.0%	16.8%
Brazil	Alto Piquiri	2000	4.0%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	São Félix de Balsas	2000	45.4%	53.8%	37.1%
Brazil	Capitão Gervásio Oliveira	2000	34.7%	41.2%	28.3%
Brazil	Paracambi	2000	2.5%	3.0%	2.0%
Brazil	Xanxerê	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.8%
Brazil	Venturosa	2000	19.7%	22.3%	17.0%
Brazil	Cristal	2000	4.9%	6.5%	3.7%
Brazil	Itaberaba	2000	27.7%	31.4%	24.4%
Brazil	Petrolina de Goiás	2000	6.1%	7.2%	4.9%
Brazil	São Francisco do Brejão	2000	31.5%	36.0%	27.0%
Brazil	Chale	2000	9.9%	12.3%	8.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Fátima	2000	22.0%	26.7%	18.2%
Brazil	Guaporé	2000	4.0%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Américo Brasiliense	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2000	12.3%	15.2%	9.8%
Brazil	São Paulo de Oliveira	2000	15.8%	21.1%	11.3%
Brazil	Boquira	2000	24.5%	29.0%	20.5%
Brazil	Pinhal	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.4%
Brazil	Campestre de Goiás	2000	6.8%	8.0%	5.6%
Brazil	Jangada	2000	8.3%	10.4%	6.5%
Brazil	Altos	2000	39.3%	44.2%	34.6%
Brazil	Goianésia do Pará	2000	9.6%	11.8%	7.6%
Brazil	Chapada Gaucha	2000	8.9%	12.8%	6.0%
Brazil	Cafezal do Sul	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.1%
Brazil	Pirapó	2000	4.8%	6.8%	3.2%
Brazil	Caracol	2000	33.4%	40.3%	26.9%
Brazil	Paraíba do Sul	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.5%
Brazil	Assaí	2000	4.9%	6.1%	3.9%
Brazil	Brochier	2000	4.9%	6.0%	4.0%
Brazil	Ponta Porã	2000	2.3%	3.5%	1.4%
Brazil	Barra do Pirai	2000	3.0%	3.7%	2.3%
Brazil	Figueirópolis	2000	30.6%	35.6%	26.0%
Brazil	Pirenópolis	2000	6.6%	8.0%	5.4%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2000	33.6%	39.4%	27.5%
Brazil	Maribondo	2000	19.3%	21.7%	17.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Uatumã	2000	13.5%	16.9%	10.0%
Brazil	Botuporã	2000	24.7%	28.9%	20.8%
Brazil	Croatá	2000	24.1%	27.6%	20.8%
Brazil	Muqui	2000	6.7%	8.6%	5.5%
Brazil	Jauru	2000	7.3%	10.3%	5.1%
Brazil	Augusto de Lima	2000	11.8%	15.1%	8.1%
Brazil	Gurupá	2000	9.6%	12.6%	7.1%
Brazil	Capim	2000	17.7%	20.0%	15.4%
Brazil	Califórnia	2000	4.3%	5.3%	3.3%
Brazil	Correntes	2000	16.7%	18.9%	14.7%
Brazil	Claraval	2000	5.7%	7.4%	4.2%
Brazil	Parati	2000	1.7%	2.7%	1.1%
Brazil	Camboriú	2000	2.3%	3.2%	1.6%
Brazil	Avare	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Brazil	Pirambu	2000	18.1%	21.0%	15.5%
Brazil	Codajás	2000	13.8%	18.8%	9.5%
Brazil	Palmeira	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Mata Grande	2000	18.2%	21.1%	15.6%
Brazil	Dias d'vila	2000	23.9%	25.9%	22.0%
Brazil	Silves	2000	16.1%	20.6%	12.5%
Brazil	Campinorte	2000	6.3%	8.1%	4.9%
Brazil	Capivari do Sul	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Juara	2000	8.1%	11.3%	5.1%
Brazil	Igarapé-Açu	2000	10.5%	12.4%	8.9%
Brazil	Cambará	2000	3.2%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Cortes	2000	14.2%	16.0%	12.3%
Brazil	Caridade do Piauí	2000	30.8%	36.5%	25.8%
Brazil	Ipeueira	2000	16.7%	19.8%	13.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São José de Princesa	2000	13.7%	16.0%	11.5%
Brazil	Águas Frias	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Caçapava do Sul	2000	3.4%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Bady Bassitt	2000	2.1%	2.9%	1.3%
Brazil	Poço Redondo	2000	19.0%	21.8%	16.3%
Brazil	Itamari	2000	22.6%	26.1%	20.0%
Brazil	Formoso do Araguaia	2000	24.2%	28.6%	20.1%
Brazil	Sarutaiá	2000	2.1%	3.2%	1.4%
Brazil	Matriz de Camaragibe	2000	19.6%	22.0%	17.4%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2000	15.8%	20.0%	12.0%
Brazil	Alterosa	2000	10.3%	12.8%	8.2%
Brazil	Leópolis	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Carlinda	2000	8.3%	11.4%	5.6%
Brazil	Salto do Londa	2000	4.8%	6.0%	3.6%
Brazil	Baldim	2000	11.3%	13.6%	8.8%
Brazil	Igarapé-Miri	2000	10.4%	12.0%	8.8%
Brazil	Carrapateira	2000	16.7%	19.7%	13.8%
Brazil	Congo	2000	19.5%	22.7%	17.0%
Brazil	Cumarú	2000	21.4%	23.8%	19.0%
Brazil	Gabriel Monteiro	2000	1.4%	2.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Angico	2000	26.7%	30.6%	23.4%
Brazil	Itanhém	2000	16.8%	20.1%	13.3%
Brazil	Cacequi	2000	4.6%	6.4%	3.1%
Brazil	Campo Belo do Sul	2000	2.8%	4.1%	1.8%
Brazil	Balbinos	2000	1.7%	2.7%	0.9%
Brazil	Ilópolis	2000	3.7%	4.7%	2.8%
Brazil	Iracema	2000	17.7%	21.0%	14.4%
Brazil	Bom Repouso	2000	2.9%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Jaraguá	2000	6.1%	7.6%	5.0%
Brazil	Messias	2000	16.3%	18.2%	14.4%
Brazil	Garça	2000	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Caçara do Norte	2000	13.0%	15.8%	10.6%
Brazil	São Roberto	2000	44.6%	50.8%	38.6%
Brazil	Conceição de Ipanema	2000	9.8%	12.3%	7.9%
Brazil	Pedro Canário	2000	13.2%	16.2%	10.6%
Brazil	Campos Belos	2000	14.7%	18.6%	11.5%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte do Sul	2000	4.0%	5.5%	2.7%
Brazil	Inhangapi	2000	11.0%	12.7%	9.5%
Brazil	Araputanga	2000	7.9%	10.3%	5.7%
Brazil	Itaú	2000	16.2%	19.6%	12.7%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Sul	2000	3.5%	4.6%	2.7%
Brazil	Gurinhém	2000	20.4%	23.1%	18.1%
Brazil	Campo Erê	2000	2.8%	4.0%	1.9%
Brazil	Satubinha	2000	43.4%	48.1%	38.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião de Lagoa de Roça	2000	16.7%	18.6%	14.5%
Brazil	Luiziânia	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.7%
Brazil	Prados	2000	11.6%	14.1%	9.2%
Brazil	Turiúba	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Novo Acordo	2000	28.9%	34.3%	23.4%
Brazil	Minador do Negrão	2000	20.0%	22.5%	17.5%
Brazil	Lunardelli	2000	4.8%	6.0%	3.7%
Brazil	São Juliao	2000	30.8%	36.1%	25.4%
Brazil	Presidente Olegário	2000	9.4%	12.2%	7.1%
Brazil	Silvanópolis	2000	26.4%	30.8%	22.1%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2000	18.4%	19.8%	16.9%
Brazil	Juscimeira	2000	7.2%	9.4%	5.3%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Sul	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.4%
Brazil	Catingueira	2000	18.5%	21.7%	15.8%
Brazil	Glicério	2000	1.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Campina da Lagoa	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.4%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Sul	2000	4.7%	5.9%	3.8%
Brazil	Piraí	2000	2.4%	3.0%	1.8%
Brazil	Ibiraci	2000	5.5%	7.1%	4.1%
Brazil	Campos Novos	2000	2.7%	3.8%	1.7%
Brazil	Goiatins	2000	30.9%	36.4%	26.0%
Brazil	São Miguel da Baixa Grande	2000	40.3%	47.1%	33.3%
Brazil	Tonantins	2000	16.2%	22.5%	10.9%
Brazil	Buerarema	2000	23.1%	26.2%	20.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2000	22.5%	25.7%	19.2%
Brazil	Anahy	2000	4.9%	6.2%	3.7%
Brazil	Sabarará	2000	10.6%	11.7%	9.8%
Brazil	Cerqueira César	2000	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Bandiera do Sul	2000	9.2%	11.3%	7.4%
Brazil	Irituia	2000	11.3%	13.3%	9.2%
Brazil	Santana	2000	24.0%	28.5%	19.9%
Brazil	Campina do Simão	2000	4.0%	5.4%	3.0%
Brazil	Porciúncula	2000	7.8%	9.8%	5.9%
Brazil	Cacique doble	2000	4.1%	5.4%	2.8%
Brazil	Canelinha	2000	2.3%	3.1%	1.5%
Brazil	Catuípe	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.2%
Brazil	Guapiara	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.1%
Brazil	Serraria	2000	16.8%	19.1%	14.2%
Brazil	Murici	2000	16.7%	18.7%	14.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio das Missões	2000	4.0%	5.5%	2.6%
Brazil	Buritirama	2000	27.5%	33.8%	21.9%
Brazil	Forquilha	2000	20.3%	22.8%	17.5%
Brazil	Ituaçu	2000	25.8%	30.1%	22.3%
Brazil	Afonso Cláudio	2000	7.2%	9.1%	5.6%
Brazil	Ponto Belo	2000	12.2%	15.5%	9.4%
Brazil	Castelândia	2000	7.0%	9.0%	5.3%
Brazil	Lucas do Rio Verde	2000	7.3%	9.6%	5.5%
Brazil	Jataúba	2000	17.6%	20.6%	15.4%
Brazil	Barão de Cocais	2000	9.5%	11.3%	7.8%
Brazil	Ituberá	2000	24.2%	28.1%	20.7%
Brazil	Caturité	2000	20.1%	23.0%	17.2%
Brazil	Capela	2000	17.9%	20.0%	15.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Porto Real	2000	3.9%	4.9%	2.9%
Brazil	Canoinhas	2000	3.1%	3.9%	2.2%
Brazil	Santa Terezi- inha de Goiás	2000	7.2%	9.4%	5.5%
Brazil	Barbosa	2000	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Arco-íris	2000	1.6%	2.6%	0.9%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2000	26.5%	30.7%	22.6%
Brazil	Guarani do Oeste	2000	2.9%	4.0%	1.8%
Brazil	Feijó	2000	17.1%	21.4%	13.1%
Brazil	Capixaba	2000	20.5%	26.2%	15.7%
Brazil	Novo Lino	2000	17.9%	20.1%	15.9%
Brazil	Urucará	2000	15.4%	19.5%	11.8%
Brazil	Morungaba	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.4%
Brazil	São Luís do Quitunde	2000	18.4%	20.7%	16.1%
Brazil	Codó	2000	46.4%	52.0%	40.6%
Brazil	São Mateus	2000	9.1%	11.3%	7.1%
Brazil	Sossêgo	2000	17.8%	21.0%	15.2%
Brazil	Conceição	2000	17.2%	19.7%	14.7%
Brazil	Jacobina	2000	22.7%	25.7%	19.2%
Brazil	Coivaras	2000	39.9%	45.8%	34.1%
Brazil	Januário Cicco	2000	14.2%	16.8%	11.9%
Brazil	Conceicao Macabu	2000	2.1%	3.0%	1.4%
Brazil	Caiçara	2000	4.0%	5.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Jaguari	2000	4.5%	6.1%	3.2%
Brazil	Belém	2000	17.9%	19.9%	16.1%
Brazil	São Jorge	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.0%
Brazil	Cordisburgo	2000	9.3%	11.6%	7.1%
Brazil	Arroio dos Ratos	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.7%
Brazil	Sussuapara	2000	37.7%	42.0%	32.6%
Brazil	Jaquirana	2000	3.0%	4.3%	2.0%
Brazil	Urucurituba	2000	14.9%	19.0%	11.8%
Brazil	Jaru	2000	7.7%	9.6%	6.1%
Brazil	Fortim	2000	20.3%	24.3%	17.1%
Brazil	Tutóia	2000	42.9%	49.9%	36.7%
Brazil	Arvoredo	2000	3.3%	4.5%	2.3%
Brazil	Rio Bananal	2000	7.3%	9.5%	5.6%
Brazil	Caturai	2000	6.1%	7.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Barbacena	2000	7.6%	9.3%	6.0%
Brazil	Jacareacanga	2000	11.5%	15.6%	7.4%
Brazil	Taperoá	2000	17.8%	20.8%	15.2%
Brazil	São José do Ouro	2000	3.6%	4.9%	2.4%
Brazil	Jóia	2000	4.5%	5.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Mazagão	2000	6.7%	8.4%	5.0%
Brazil	Capinzal	2000	3.2%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Rosário do Catete	2000	16.3%	18.5%	14.5%
Brazil	Itaguatins	2000	35.1%	39.6%	30.8%
Brazil	Serra	2000	7.2%	8.5%	6.1%
Brazil	Vargem Grande do Rio Pardo	2000	13.2%	17.0%	10.0%
Brazil	Cachoeira	2000	24.2%	26.7%	21.8%
Brazil	União	2000	43.0%	47.9%	38.1%
Brazil	Rio Novo do Sul	2000	6.7%	8.2%	5.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jeremoabo	2000	22.1%	25.1%	19.3%
Brazil	Colinas	2000	45.8%	51.5%	40.0%
Brazil	Ribas do Rio Pardo	2000	3.8%	5.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2000	9.3%	13.3%	5.8%
Brazil	Porto Esperidião	2000	7.7%	10.6%	5.5%
Brazil	Lagoa Mirim	2000	5.8%	8.3%	3.6%
Brazil	Conde	2000	16.3%	18.4%	14.5%
Brazil	Campo Magro	2000	4.8%	5.4%	4.2%
Brazil	Quissamã	2000	2.4%	3.7%	1.5%
Brazil	Jardim-Piranhas	2000	13.7%	16.7%	11.2%
Brazil	Triunfo	2000	18.7%	21.4%	15.6%
Brazil	Barra do Chapéu	2000	2.8%	4.1%	1.8%
Brazil	Itapiratins	2000	28.7%	33.8%	23.8%
Brazil	Jatobá	2000	45.9%	52.0%	40.1%
Brazil	Porto Estrela	2000	8.1%	10.5%	6.1%
Brazil	Brasópolis	2000	7.8%	9.7%	6.1%
Brazil	Coronel Macedo	2000	2.5%	3.8%	1.5%
Brazil	Adolfo	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Umbuzeiro	2000	21.3%	23.8%	19.0%
Brazil	Matupá	2000	7.5%	9.8%	5.4%
Brazil	Elói Mendes	2000	11.9%	14.4%	10.0%
Brazil	Flores	2000	17.5%	20.1%	14.8%
Brazil	Jardim de Angicos	2000	13.3%	16.4%	10.8%
Brazil	Senador Canedo	2000	6.8%	7.7%	6.0%
Brazil	Cambará do Sul	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.3%
Brazil	Ibitirama	2000	6.4%	7.8%	4.8%
Brazil	Catanduvás	2000	2.5%	3.5%	1.7%
Brazil	Barra do Turvo	2000	3.4%	4.9%	2.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Itanhý	2000	16.9%	19.6%	13.9%
Brazil	Cruzmaltina	2000	4.9%	6.0%	3.7%
Brazil	Itaporã do Tocantins	2000	22.5%	26.5%	18.6%
Brazil	Zabelê	2000	19.3%	22.3%	16.9%
Brazil	Olivença	2000	21.4%	24.5%	18.5%
Brazil	Iacri	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Alto Santo	2000	20.1%	23.3%	16.9%
Brazil	Graça	2000	20.2%	22.6%	17.8%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Jetibá	2000	3.6%	4.5%	2.7%
Brazil	Lindolfo Collor	2000	5.2%	5.9%	4.4%
Brazil	Mirassol d'Oeste	2000	6.8%	8.8%	5.0%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Ajuru	2000	10.9%	13.3%	8.8%
Brazil	Mendes	2000	1.7%	2.1%	1.2%
Brazil	Coremas	2000	18.6%	21.7%	15.1%
Brazil	Campo	2000	4.5%	5.3%	3.8%
Brazil	Floresta	2000	20.9%	24.5%	17.4%
Brazil	Coronel José Dias	2000	35.3%	41.8%	29.1%
Brazil	Parelhas	2000	15.7%	19.1%	12.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campestre da Serra	2000	4.3%	5.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Caxambu do Sul	2000	3.9%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Barretos	2000	2.7%	3.7%	1.7%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	15.2%	17.5%	13.0%
Brazil	Machadinho	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.7%
Brazil	Jaú do Tocantins	2000	16.2%	20.1%	12.8%
Brazil	Baro	2000	3.8%	4.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Estância Velha	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Mampituba	2000	3.6%	5.2%	2.5%
Brazil	Saltinho	2000	3.2%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Caetanos	2000	30.2%	34.4%	25.7%
Brazil	Cristiano Ottoni	2000	10.9%	12.9%	9.0%
Brazil	Chapadinha	2000	4.5%	6.1%	3.1%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2000	12.3%	13.8%	10.7%
Brazil	Cristina	2000	5.7%	7.2%	4.4%
Brazil	Magalhães Barata	2000	9.8%	12.1%	7.9%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Piauí	2000	37.6%	45.0%	30.5%
Brazil	Icém	2000	3.9%	5.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Frei Miguelinho	2000	20.5%	22.7%	18.6%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Brazil	Campina das Missões	2000	4.6%	6.1%	3.4%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Graças	2000	4.3%	5.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Juarina	2000	20.0%	23.7%	16.4%
Brazil	Marcionilio Dias	2000	4.5%	5.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Caetité	2000	21.6%	24.7%	18.6%
Brazil	Paraguaçu Paulista	2000	1.7%	2.5%	1.1%
Brazil	Granjeiro	2000	19.3%	21.7%	16.7%
Brazil	São Domingos do Norte	2000	7.4%	9.2%	5.6%
Brazil	Cidade Ocidental	2000	4.8%	5.5%	4.1%
Brazil	Rochedo	2000	3.8%	5.1%	2.7%
Brazil	Belo Horizonte	2000	9.9%	10.6%	9.1%
Brazil	Barrolândia	2000	23.8%	27.1%	20.3%
Brazil	Marabá	2000	11.9%	13.9%	10.3%
Brazil	Cruz do Espírito Santo	2000	17.7%	19.6%	15.7%
Brazil	Cristalândia do Piauí	2000	30.3%	37.8%	23.4%
Brazil	Uruana	2000	5.6%	6.8%	4.6%
Brazil	Passabém	2000	14.3%	16.5%	12.0%
Brazil	Cerro Negro	2000	2.9%	4.2%	1.8%
Brazil	Santo Amaro das Brotas	2000	17.1%	19.1%	15.4%
Brazil	Lagoa da Confusão	2000	20.7%	25.7%	16.5%
Brazil	Alagoinhas	2000	22.9%	25.7%	20.4%
Brazil	Palmeira dos Índios	2000	18.1%	20.3%	16.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caseara	2000	17.4%	22.6%	12.9%
Brazil	Mato Leitão	2000	5.3%	6.5%	4.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Pardo	2000	4.3%	6.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Piriá	2000	19.2%	23.2%	15.9%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Pindaré	2000	39.4%	44.7%	33.6%
Brazil	Cubati	2000	18.3%	21.6%	15.7%
Brazil	Vianópolis	2000	6.3%	7.6%	5.0%
Brazil	Descoberto	2000	10.4%	12.4%	8.3%
Brazil	Rio das Ostras	2000	1.9%	2.7%	1.3%
Brazil	José da Penha	2000	14.8%	17.6%	12.3%
Brazil	Campo Bom	2000	4.6%	5.2%	4.1%
Brazil	Miraguaí	2000	4.0%	5.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2000	8.2%	10.7%	5.8%
Brazil	Buritzeiro	2000	11.4%	15.1%	8.7%
Brazil	Ipauçu	2000	2.2%	3.3%	1.5%
Brazil	Pão de Açúcar	2000	22.0%	24.6%	19.2%
Brazil	Cairu	2000	25.4%	29.2%	22.1%
Brazil	Guaiúba	2000	19.5%	21.4%	17.5%
Brazil	Duque Baccelar	2000	45.3%	50.4%	39.3%
Brazil	São Gabriel do Oeste	2000	2.9%	4.2%	1.8%
Brazil	Nova Bandeirantes	2000	8.3%	11.8%	5.2%
Brazil	Crixás do Tocantins	2000	25.0%	29.1%	21.0%
Brazil	Cuité de Mamanguape	2000	18.7%	21.1%	16.0%
Brazil	São Francisco do Sul	2000	4.0%	5.6%	2.8%
Brazil	Rio de Janeiro	2000	1.6%	1.8%	1.3%
Brazil	Jucurutu	2000	12.8%	16.6%	10.0%
Brazil	Campo Novo	2000	3.4%	4.4%	2.5%
Brazil	Aragarças	2000	6.8%	9.1%	4.9%
Brazil	Alto Taquari	2000	4.3%	6.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Feliz	2000	5.3%	6.1%	4.4%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande	2000	24.6%	27.9%	21.5%
Brazil	São Romão	2000	10.4%	13.7%	7.8%
Brazil	Esperantinópolis	2000	44.6%	50.2%	39.7%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia	2000	7.8%	10.8%	5.5%
Brazil	Berilo	2000	12.3%	15.9%	9.3%
Brazil	Marituba	2000	10.7%	11.7%	9.6%
Brazil	Irapuru	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Tibau do Sul	2000	13.0%	15.5%	10.6%
Brazil	Alto Rio Novo	2000	6.8%	9.0%	5.1%
Brazil	Capitão Leônidas Marques	2000	5.3%	6.8%	4.0%
Brazil	Goianá	2000	18.5%	20.5%	16.6%
Brazil	Ibitiara	2000	23.1%	27.5%	19.4%
Brazil	Aguanil	2000	11.5%	14.1%	9.1%
Brazil	Alto do Rodrigues	2000	11.4%	14.0%	8.7%
Brazil	Tucunduva	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.5%
Brazil	Itajobi	2000	2.0%	3.1%	1.2%
Brazil	Muliterno	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.8%
Brazil	São Roque do Canaã	2000	6.9%	8.5%	5.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Corumbá de Goiás	2000	5.7%	6.8%	4.6%
Brazil	Estreito	2000	32.9%	37.8%	28.8%
Brazil	Pio IX	2000	27.3%	31.7%	23.0%
Brazil	Berizal	2000	14.8%	19.1%	11.5%
Brazil	Me do Rio	2000	10.5%	12.8%	8.2%
Brazil	Cajati	2000	3.3%	4.7%	1.9%
Brazil	Campo Redondo	2000	12.9%	15.5%	10.5%
Brazil	Granito	2000	19.0%	21.8%	16.1%
Brazil	Curral Novo do Piauí	2000	27.6%	32.7%	23.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Pádua	2000	4.7%	6.3%	3.5%
Brazil	Nova Alvorada	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.5%
Brazil	Pirapozinho	2000	2.1%	2.9%	1.4%
Brazil	Bebedouro	2000	2.1%	3.0%	1.3%
Brazil	São Miguel do Aleixo	2000	15.8%	18.8%	13.2%
Brazil	Lizarda	2000	30.7%	38.0%	24.3%
Brazil	Andradina	2000	2.5%	3.8%	1.6%
Brazil	Camaçari	2000	23.7%	25.8%	21.8%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2000	22.5%	26.0%	19.5%
Brazil	Alhandra	2000	18.2%	20.2%	16.1%
Brazil	Nova Guarita	2000	8.2%	11.3%	5.2%
Brazil	Pato Bragado	2000	4.7%	6.3%	3.4%
Brazil	Curral de Cima	2000	16.6%	19.2%	14.3%
Brazil	Salvaterra	2000	9.4%	11.5%	7.5%
Brazil	Curralinhos	2000	42.7%	49.1%	37.2%
Brazil	Nova Candelária	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.6%
Brazil	Altônia	2000	4.1%	5.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Simão Dias	2000	16.8%	19.2%	14.3%
Brazil	Serra Alta	2000	3.3%	4.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Luzinópolis	2000	27.7%	31.8%	24.0%
Brazil	Itápolis	2000	1.5%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Dores do Turvo	2000	11.4%	13.3%	9.3%
Brazil	Nova Hartz	2000	4.6%	5.5%	3.9%
Brazil	Quijingue	2000	27.3%	31.2%	23.3%
Brazil	Sabáudia	2000	4.7%	5.8%	3.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Lapa	2000	25.3%	29.1%	21.3%
Brazil	Cristalina	2000	5.4%	7.0%	4.2%
Brazil	Pedralva	2000	8.5%	10.5%	6.8%
Brazil	Porto Ferreira	2000	1.9%	2.7%	1.3%
Brazil	Potirendaba	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	Ecoporanga	2000	11.3%	14.4%	8.5%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2000	20.8%	23.8%	18.0%
Brazil	Floriano	2000	43.1%	49.6%	36.7%
Brazil	Silva Jardim	2000	2.4%	3.3%	1.6%
Brazil	Mondaí	2000	4.3%	5.7%	3.2%
Brazil	Pinhal de São Bento	2000	4.4%	5.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Ibimirim	2000	19.1%	22.0%	16.0%
Brazil	Tarabai	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Brazil	Pirai do Sul	2000	3.3%	4.3%	2.4%
Brazil	Itobi	2000	3.4%	4.7%	2.4%
Brazil	Tacuru	2000	4.4%	6.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Maracaçumé	2000	27.6%	33.0%	23.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Novo Tiradentes	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.4%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Pará	2000	12.7%	15.3%	10.5%
Brazil	Matutina	2000	10.3%	14.2%	8.0%
Brazil	Farol	2000	4.3%	5.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Borborema	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Palestina de Goiás	2000	6.4%	8.6%	4.5%
Brazil	Caiana	2000	6.2%	7.8%	4.4%
Brazil	Esplanada	2000	23.6%	26.8%	20.2%
Brazil	Irajuba	2000	22.2%	25.8%	18.2%
Brazil	Centenário do Sul	2000	4.2%	5.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2000	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Brazil	Canguçu	2000	3.7%	4.8%	2.6%
Brazil	Tomar do Geru	2000	18.6%	21.4%	15.7%
Brazil	Miracema do Tocantins	2000	26.5%	30.4%	22.8%
Brazil	Porto Rico	2000	4.1%	5.6%	2.9%
Brazil	Jaboatão dos Guararapes	2000	18.4%	19.3%	17.4%
Brazil	Trajano de Moraes	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	Faxinal dos Guedes	2000	2.6%	3.6%	1.8%
Brazil	Diamante	2000	17.7%	20.3%	15.1%
Brazil	Iguaraci	2000	18.3%	21.0%	15.7%
Brazil	Domingos Mourão	2000	34.8%	40.1%	29.1%
Brazil	Lajes	2000	12.9%	16.2%	10.2%
Brazil	Riacho das Almas	2000	20.8%	22.7%	19.0%
Brazil	Santa Luz	2000	38.3%	46.3%	30.8%
Brazil	Muzambinho	2000	8.9%	11.2%	6.9%
Brazil	Bocaina de Minas	2000	2.2%	3.1%	1.5%
Brazil	Barao de Cote-gipe	2000	3.6%	4.7%	2.8%
Brazil	Itapipoca	2000	18.4%	20.8%	16.0%
Brazil	São José do Vale do Rio Preto	2000	2.7%	3.7%	1.9%
Brazil	Lucrécia	2000	14.4%	17.4%	11.7%
Brazil	Capão da Canoa	2000	4.9%	5.6%	4.3%
Brazil	Cunha Porã	2000	2.8%	3.6%	2.0%
Brazil	Quatro Pontes	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.2%
Brazil	Formiga	2000	9.8%	12.2%	7.6%
Brazil	Quedas do Iguaçu	2000	5.0%	6.7%	3.6%
Brazil	Formoso	2000	8.8%	11.8%	6.3%
Brazil	Janduí	2000	13.3%	16.6%	10.3%
Brazil	Tufilândia	2000	41.6%	47.1%	36.0%
Brazil	São Francisco	2000	15.5%	18.5%	12.9%
Brazil	Pilão Arcado	2000	29.0%	34.2%	24.0%
Brazil	Porto Calvo	2000	18.2%	20.8%	15.9%
Brazil	Independência	2000	21.2%	25.0%	17.8%
Brazil	Castro Alves	2000	23.9%	26.4%	21.4%
Brazil	Engenheiro Paulo de Front	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bofete	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Carauari	2000	14.2%	20.9%	8.9%
Brazil	Cipotânea	2000	12.5%	14.8%	10.1%
Brazil	Apicum-Açu	2000	36.0%	43.4%	28.3%
Brazil	Planalto	2000	21.2%	24.3%	18.4%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2000	8.1%	9.1%	7.0%
Brazil	Porto Vera Cruz	2000	5.0%	6.9%	3.4%
Brazil	Rio do Fogo	2000	13.1%	16.6%	9.9%
Brazil	Armação dos Búzios	2000	2.5%	3.6%	1.6%
Brazil	São José dos Basílios	2000	44.4%	50.2%	38.4%
Brazil	Urucânia	2000	11.7%	14.2%	9.4%
Brazil	Itacuruba	2000	21.3%	26.0%	17.3%
Brazil	Major Sales	2000	14.4%	17.4%	11.8%
Brazil	Encanto	2000	16.7%	19.9%	13.9%
Brazil	Águas Formosas	2000	13.1%	16.9%	9.6%
Brazil	Portelândia	2000	5.2%	7.3%	3.4%
Brazil	Paulistas	2000	9.9%	12.3%	8.0%
Brazil	Montadas	2000	16.5%	18.5%	14.3%
Brazil	São Nicolau	2000	4.7%	6.7%	3.1%
Brazil	Serra Azul	2000	3.6%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Nazaré	2000	28.9%	32.9%	25.1%
Brazil	Pedras de Maria da Cruz	2000	12.3%	16.2%	9.5%
Brazil	São José do Bonfim	2000	17.7%	20.7%	15.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2000	13.5%	15.9%	11.6%
Brazil	Nova Andradina	2000	3.7%	5.1%	2.5%
Brazil	Gado Bravo	2000	22.9%	25.5%	20.1%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2000	13.1%	15.7%	10.6%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2000	2.3%	3.2%	1.6%
Brazil	Riachão do Jacuípe	2000	27.5%	31.2%	23.8%
Brazil	Carazinho	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Monte Castelo	2000	3.6%	5.0%	2.6%
Brazil	Doutor Pedrinho	2000	2.0%	2.8%	1.3%
Brazil	Marabá Paulista	2000	2.6%	3.8%	1.7%
Brazil	Pintópolis	2000	9.9%	13.0%	6.7%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2000	6.9%	9.5%	5.2%
Brazil	Paranatinga	2000	8.6%	12.4%	5.2%
Brazil	Barro Duro	2000	40.3%	46.3%	33.9%
Brazil	Encruzilhada	2000	19.2%	22.2%	15.9%
Brazil	Ocara	2000	20.2%	23.1%	17.8%
Brazil	Nina Rodrigues	2000	44.8%	50.8%	39.5%
Brazil	São João de Pirabas	2000	11.0%	13.5%	9.0%
Brazil	Taquarivaí	2000	2.0%	3.2%	1.2%
Brazil	Pirapora	2000	10.4%	14.0%	7.8%
Brazil	Marília	2000	1.4%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Monte Negro	2000	7.0%	9.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Guaxupé	2000	7.2%	9.2%	5.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Carlos Barbosa	2000	3.7%	4.6%	3.1%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2000	3.8%	5.1%	2.8%
Brazil	Boracéia	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Anhumas	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.4%
Brazil	Pocrane	2000	10.8%	13.0%	8.2%
Brazil	Araraquara	2000	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Jataí	2000	5.6%	7.4%	4.0%
Brazil	Ponte Nova	2000	12.2%	14.6%	9.7%
Brazil	Chapada de Areia	2000	23.6%	27.9%	19.5%
Brazil	Matão	2000	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%
Brazil	Santa Mariana	2000	3.9%	5.2%	2.8%
Brazil	Três Fronteiras	2000	3.1%	4.5%	1.9%
Brazil	Conselheiro Mayrinck	2000	5.2%	6.9%	3.7%
Brazil	Itapissuma	2000	19.7%	21.4%	17.9%
Brazil	Prata	2000	8.8%	11.8%	6.3%
Brazil	Turmalina	2000	2.8%	4.1%	1.7%
Brazil	Segredo	2000	4.3%	5.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Moreira Sales	2000	4.2%	5.2%	3.1%
Brazil	Salvador das Missões	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.4%
Brazil	Catanduva	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Jesópolis	2000	6.3%	7.8%	5.1%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Maranhão	2000	35.5%	41.3%	30.6%
Brazil	Francisco Macêdo	2000	29.8%	34.7%	25.1%
Brazil	Teresópolis	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2000	13.3%	15.1%	11.8%
Brazil	Vargem	2000	2.6%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Casca	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Eral Velho	2000	3.1%	4.3%	2.1%
Brazil	Brasília	2000	1.8%	2.1%	1.6%
Brazil	Almadina	2000	22.9%	26.2%	19.8%
Brazil	Vinhedo	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Brazil	Riacho dos Machados	2000	9.8%	12.6%	7.1%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Sul	2000	4.7%	5.8%	3.7%
Brazil	Campos Verdes de Goiás	2000	12.1%	14.7%	9.9%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2000	21.2%	25.0%	18.2%
Brazil	Macuco	2000	2.6%	3.6%	1.7%
Brazil	Cabeceiras do Piauí	2000	39.9%	45.4%	34.9%
Brazil	Mamborê	2000	4.3%	5.7%	3.2%
Brazil	Utinga	2000	23.6%	27.7%	19.6%
Brazil	Japonvar	2000	9.5%	12.5%	6.7%
Brazil	Lago da Pedra	2000	43.6%	48.5%	38.1%
Brazil	Francisco Santos	2000	35.1%	40.9%	29.7%
Brazil	Monteirópolis	2000	22.2%	25.2%	19.3%
Brazil	Rio das Flores	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Jacobina do Piauí	2000	33.3%	38.6%	28.0%
Brazil	Alto Bela Vista	2000	4.4%	5.8%	3.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sabinópolis	2000	9.0%	11.2%	7.1%
Brazil	Taguatinga	2000	22.2%	26.9%	18.5%
Brazil	Mogi Guaçu	2000	2.5%	3.2%	1.9%
Brazil	Iguatama	2000	12.1%	15.1%	9.4%
Brazil	Itapuca	2000	4.0%	5.1%	3.1%
Brazil	Joaquim Nabuco	2000	15.7%	17.7%	13.9%
Brazil	Luziânia	2000	6.2%	7.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Flor do Sertão	2000	3.9%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Santa Rosa da Serra	2000	10.4%	13.2%	7.7%
Brazil	Conquista	2000	9.6%	12.2%	7.4%
Brazil	Santana do Paraíso	2000	13.4%	15.5%	11.4%
Brazil	Formosa	2000	5.8%	7.3%	4.8%
Brazil	Igarapé do Meio	2000	44.0%	49.3%	38.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Jacinto	2000	12.5%	14.6%	10.7%
Brazil	Monte Alto	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Conceição do Araguaia	2000	11.1%	13.2%	9.1%
Brazil	São Brás do Suaçuí	2000	11.7%	14.2%	9.4%
Brazil	Geminiano	2000	37.0%	41.7%	32.2%
Brazil	Jaborá	2000	3.2%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Cassilândia	2000	5.3%	7.1%	3.9%
Brazil	Jaboticaba	2000	4.2%	5.4%	3.2%
Brazil	Jatobá	2000	23.4%	26.7%	20.0%
Brazil	Gilbués	2000	34.9%	43.0%	26.8%
Brazil	Capela Nova	2000	11.8%	14.2%	9.7%
Brazil	Tomé-Açu	2000	10.6%	13.0%	8.9%
Brazil	Guapirama	2000	5.0%	6.7%	3.5%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2000	21.7%	23.8%	19.6%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2000	19.5%	21.5%	17.6%
Brazil	Belém	2000	10.6%	11.6%	9.9%
Brazil	Coqueiral	2000	11.7%	14.3%	9.3%
Brazil	Murutinga do Sul	2000	2.1%	3.2%	1.3%
Brazil	São Miguel das Matas	2000	24.0%	26.5%	20.9%
Brazil	Ipuiúna	2000	7.3%	9.2%	5.6%
Brazil	São Jerônimo	2000	4.0%	4.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Corumbataí do Sul	2000	4.7%	5.9%	3.7%
Brazil	Bela Cruz	2000	20.9%	24.0%	18.5%
Brazil	São João da Urtiga	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Nova Cruz	2000	16.8%	19.1%	14.5%
Brazil	Cerrito	2000	4.9%	6.5%	3.6%
Brazil	Ibiraçu	2000	8.3%	10.2%	6.7%
Brazil	Formosa do Sul	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.7%
Brazil	Brodosqui	2000	3.4%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Serra Azul de Minas	2000	10.0%	13.3%	7.7%
Brazil	Deodápolis	2000	3.5%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Piracaia	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Brazil	Canhotinho	2000	16.7%	18.7%	14.7%
Brazil	Saúde	2000	23.0%	26.8%	19.4%
Brazil	Itacarambira	2000	11.6%	15.0%	8.6%
Brazil	Sertaneja	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cabaceiras do Paraguaçu	2000	26.1%	29.0%	23.6%
Brazil	São José do Herval	2000	4.2%	5.5%	3.2%
Brazil	Turvo	2000	3.9%	5.1%	3.0%
Brazil	Volta Redonda	2000	2.2%	2.8%	1.6%
Brazil	Timóteo	2000	11.7%	13.8%	9.9%
Brazil	Itaocara	2000	2.8%	3.9%	1.9%
Brazil	Corinto	2000	9.1%	11.7%	6.9%
Brazil	Sento Sé	2000	26.6%	31.4%	21.8%
Brazil	Itajubá	2000	6.6%	8.0%	5.4%
Brazil	Tamarana	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.7%
Brazil	Porto dos Gaúchos	2000	8.4%	11.2%	5.3%
Brazil	São Paulo do Potengi	2000	13.2%	15.8%	10.9%
Brazil	Conchas	2000	1.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Nova Europa	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	Varginha	2000	10.3%	12.4%	8.5%
Brazil	Aparecida	2000	2.5%	3.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Maripá	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.2%
Brazil	Teixeira	2000	16.8%	19.7%	14.5%
Brazil	Telêmaco Borba	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.4%
Brazil	Jaguaribara	2000	21.6%	25.1%	18.2%
Brazil	Serrolândia	2000	22.5%	25.3%	19.3%
Brazil	Açailândia	2000	30.2%	34.6%	25.7%
Brazil	Itamaraju	2000	24.2%	27.6%	20.7%
Brazil	Sítio do Mato	2000	25.8%	29.9%	21.4%
Brazil	Manoel Emídio	2000	42.1%	51.8%	33.2%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos das Missões	2000	4.0%	5.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Maranhão	2000	44.1%	50.1%	38.5%
Brazil	Novais	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Tibaji	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.6%
Brazil	Ervál	2000	4.8%	6.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Governador Mangabeira	2000	24.8%	27.3%	22.6%
Brazil	Campos Sales	2000	24.3%	27.8%	20.8%
Brazil	Ibiam	2000	2.8%	4.0%	1.9%
Brazil	Inhuma	2000	38.0%	44.2%	32.8%
Brazil	Paraú	2000	12.7%	15.8%	9.5%
Brazil	Rio Brilhante	2000	3.3%	4.6%	2.3%
Brazil	São João da Varjota	2000	39.5%	45.1%	33.9%
Brazil	Goiás	2000	6.0%	7.7%	4.7%
Brazil	Jenipapo dos Vieiras	2000	44.9%	51.8%	37.1%
Brazil	Poxoréo	2000	7.5%	10.1%	5.5%
Brazil	São Sepé	2000	4.7%	6.4%	3.5%
Brazil	Lagoa do Carro	2000	14.6%	16.0%	13.2%
Brazil	Tupãssi	2000	4.3%	5.3%	3.4%
Brazil	São Valério do Sul	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Tanque d'Arca	2000	17.9%	20.0%	16.0%
Brazil	Ângulo	2000	5.3%	6.5%	4.0%
Brazil	Cícero Dantas	2000	22.4%	25.4%	19.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2000	25.3%	28.0%	22.6%
Brazil	Júlio Borges	2000	30.9%	37.6%	24.0%
Brazil	Poço Dantas	2000	15.0%	17.6%	12.5%
Brazil	Carnaíba	2000	18.0%	20.7%	15.4%
Brazil	Sapiranga	2000	4.4%	5.1%	3.8%
Brazil	Oscar Bressane	2000	2.0%	3.0%	1.3%
Brazil	Bom Retiro	2000	1.3%	2.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Sarandi	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.5%
Brazil	Restinga	2000	3.5%	4.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Nova União	2000	8.0%	10.1%	6.1%
Brazil	Ubarana	2000	1.6%	2.5%	0.9%
Brazil	Jacuí	2000	8.2%	10.3%	6.4%
Brazil	Lagoa do Ouro	2000	16.8%	19.0%	14.7%
Brazil	Vera Cruz do Oeste	2000	4.0%	5.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Acará	2000	10.4%	12.0%	9.1%
Brazil	Selbach	2000	4.9%	6.2%	3.6%
Brazil	Urânia	2000	2.0%	3.1%	1.3%
Brazil	Quixada	2000	18.5%	20.9%	16.0%
Brazil	Porto Franco	2000	32.7%	37.3%	28.7%
Brazil	Caravahópolis	2000	12.3%	15.1%	10.0%
Brazil	Uibaí	2000	26.5%	30.2%	23.1%
Brazil	Aracruz	2000	7.6%	9.2%	6.1%
Brazil	Cruzália	2000	3.3%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Araxá	2000	8.3%	10.5%	6.2%
Brazil	Diamante d'Oeste	2000	4.3%	5.7%	3.2%
Brazil	Carbonita	2000	11.8%	15.6%	8.4%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	2.0%	3.1%	1.3%
Brazil	Uruçuca	2000	24.4%	27.4%	21.6%
Brazil	Quixeramobim	2000	20.8%	24.2%	18.1%
Brazil	São Bernardo	2000	42.2%	46.8%	36.5%
Brazil	Iguape	2000	2.6%	3.8%	1.6%
Brazil	Diamante do Norte	2000	3.9%	5.5%	2.8%
Brazil	Chuí	2000	6.0%	10.5%	2.9%
Brazil	Pardinho	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.5%
Brazil	Alto Horizonte	2000	7.0%	9.1%	5.2%
Brazil	Nova Esperança	2000	5.0%	6.1%	3.8%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2000	13.0%	15.7%	10.4%
Brazil	Chã Preta	2000	16.3%	18.8%	14.2%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2000	14.6%	17.5%	11.9%
Brazil	Felixlândia	2000	10.4%	14.1%	7.4%
Brazil	Paulicéia	2000	3.9%	5.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Vereda	2000	19.2%	22.6%	15.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2000	19.0%	22.0%	15.9%
Brazil	Caiabu	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Brasabrantas	2000	6.1%	7.1%	5.2%
Brazil	Faxinal do Soturno	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Lago dos Rodrigues	2000	44.1%	49.6%	38.7%
Brazil	Lagoa de dentro	2000	17.3%	20.3%	14.6%
Brazil	Pedranópolis	2000	2.5%	3.7%	1.5%
Brazil	José Raydan	2000	10.8%	14.4%	7.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Fonte Boa	2000	15.7%	22.7%	10.5%
Brazil	Monte Belo do Sul	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.7%
Brazil	Major Gercino	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Brazil	Pereira Barreto	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.0%
Brazil	Douradina	2000	3.9%	5.0%	3.0%
Brazil	Balsas	2000	39.6%	46.8%	33.3%
Brazil	Canitar	2000	2.2%	3.1%	1.5%
Brazil	Cajari	2000	43.8%	48.9%	38.7%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2000	5.9%	6.7%	5.1%
Brazil	Lagoa do Mato	2000	46.5%	52.8%	39.8%
Brazil	Rosário Oeste	2000	8.1%	9.9%	6.0%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2000	20.1%	22.1%	18.2%
Brazil	Flores da Cunha	2000	4.1%	4.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Machados	2000	16.3%	18.2%	14.3%
Brazil	Camocim	2000	26.5%	30.9%	22.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria Madalena	2000	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Brazil	Ouro Preto	2000	8.0%	9.7%	6.6%
Brazil	Senador Modestino Gonçalves	2000	10.2%	13.3%	7.3%
Brazil	Capão Alto	2000	2.5%	3.7%	1.6%
Brazil	Reserva do Iguaçú	2000	4.5%	5.9%	3.2%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Dores	2000	14.3%	16.8%	12.1%
Brazil	Tunas	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande do Maranhão	2000	44.7%	50.8%	38.2%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Oeste	2000	3.2%	4.4%	2.2%
Brazil	Piracununga	2000	2.6%	3.7%	1.8%
Brazil	São Joaquim do Monte	2000	16.7%	18.3%	15.1%
Brazil	Mar Vermelho	2000	17.2%	19.2%	15.3%
Brazil	Turuçu	2000	5.1%	6.6%	3.7%
Brazil	Cuitegi	2000	18.9%	21.6%	16.4%
Brazil	Bonfim do Piauí	2000	35.5%	42.3%	28.6%
Brazil	Tombos	2000	8.1%	9.9%	6.2%
Brazil	Paraisópolis	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Oeste	2000	2.5%	3.5%	1.7%
Brazil	Carrancas	2000	8.4%	10.7%	6.5%
Brazil	Nova Bassano	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.4%
Brazil	Uruana de Minas	2000	7.7%	10.8%	5.2%
Brazil	Axixá do Tocantins	2000	29.9%	33.9%	26.2%
Brazil	Dulcinópolis	2000	2.5%	3.6%	1.6%
Brazil	Angelim	2000	16.5%	18.6%	14.6%
Brazil	Poloni	2000	1.8%	2.9%	1.1%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2000	15.7%	20.5%	11.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Pajes	2000	12.0%	15.0%	8.8%
Brazil	Logradouro	2000	17.1%	19.5%	14.9%
Brazil	Formosa do Oeste	2000	3.9%	5.0%	2.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pesqueira	2000	17.7%	19.9%	15.5%
Brazil	Coronel Murta	2000	13.7%	17.4%	10.5%
Brazil	Posse	2000	9.7%	12.4%	6.9%
Brazil	Sombrio	2000	4.0%	5.8%	2.9%
Brazil	Mucuri	2000	16.1%	19.2%	13.4%
Brazil	Senador Pompeu	2000	20.6%	23.9%	17.5%
Brazil	Brunópolis	2000	2.6%	3.9%	1.7%
Brazil	São Miguel do Fidalgo	2000	43.1%	50.1%	34.6%
Brazil	Poço Branco	2000	12.4%	15.2%	10.1%
Brazil	Castanheiras	2000	8.6%	10.5%	6.9%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2000	13.2%	15.3%	11.5%
Brazil	Barra do Jacaré	2000	3.9%	4.9%	2.8%
Brazil	Cordeiros	2000	19.8%	24.6%	15.7%
Brazil	Elisiário	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	Iporã do Oeste	2000	3.5%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Capela do Alto	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Tocantins	2000	28.3%	33.4%	23.7%
Brazil	Cruzeta	2000	13.8%	16.9%	11.3%
Brazil	Tapauá	2000	15.0%	21.0%	9.2%
Brazil	Brotas de Macaúbas	2000	22.7%	27.1%	19.4%
Brazil	Olinda	2000	18.1%	19.2%	17.0%
Brazil	Piui	2000	10.1%	12.9%	7.8%
Brazil	Parecis	2000	8.0%	10.9%	5.8%
Brazil	Queluz	2000	4.2%	5.7%	3.1%
Brazil	Iporá	2000	6.4%	8.1%	4.4%
Brazil	Nova Friburgo	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Brazil	Porto Firme	2000	12.7%	15.2%	10.4%
Brazil	Ivatuva	2000	3.9%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Jurema	2000	34.7%	41.7%	27.6%
Brazil	Ariranha do Ivaí	2000	4.8%	6.2%	3.5%
Brazil	Granja	2000	26.6%	29.8%	23.6%
Brazil	Terezópolis de Goiás	2000	6.3%	7.2%	5.4%
Brazil	Brasilândia	2000	4.3%	6.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Barra	2000	27.2%	31.3%	22.7%
Brazil	Tarrafas	2000	21.7%	25.0%	18.4%
Brazil	Ribeira	2000	4.9%	6.5%	3.4%
Brazil	Miravânia	2000	13.7%	17.4%	9.7%
Brazil	Ilhota	2000	2.5%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	Campos Novos Paulista	2000	2.0%	3.1%	1.2%
Brazil	Irupi	2000	6.5%	8.1%	5.0%
Brazil	Cáceres	2000	7.8%	10.0%	5.7%
Brazil	Fatima do Sul	2000	3.1%	4.1%	2.1%
Brazil	Jales	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Mozarlândia	2000	6.7%	9.0%	4.7%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2000	46.0%	51.7%	40.7%
Brazil	Jussara	2000	6.3%	8.1%	4.7%
Brazil	Bariri	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	Varjota	2000	23.0%	26.2%	20.0%
Brazil	Barra do Rio Azul	2000	3.8%	5.1%	2.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Vidal Ramos	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Jarinu	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Brazil	Sales	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Cristal do Sul	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.4%
Brazil	Derrubadas	2000	4.0%	5.5%	2.9%
Brazil	Apuí	2000	12.6%	19.5%	7.5%
Brazil	São José da Lagoa Tapada	2000	17.7%	21.0%	14.7%
Brazil	Passo Fundo	2000	3.9%	5.0%	3.0%
Brazil	Brejo da Madre de deus	2000	19.7%	21.9%	18.0%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2000	3.5%	4.8%	2.4%
Brazil	Presidente Sarney	2000	39.4%	44.6%	33.9%
Brazil	Carmo da Cachoeira	2000	10.9%	13.5%	8.6%
Brazil	Ituporanga	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.1%
Brazil	Ubajara	2000	19.9%	22.5%	17.6%
Brazil	Sapezal	2000	6.8%	10.4%	4.3%
Brazil	Camanducaia	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Brazil	São João do Sabugi	2000	17.4%	20.6%	14.4%
Brazil	Encruzilhada do Sul	2000	3.6%	4.9%	2.8%
Brazil	Jundiá	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Teutônia	2000	4.9%	6.1%	4.0%
Brazil	Cocal	2000	32.7%	38.0%	28.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Grama	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.1%
Brazil	Aracai	2000	11.3%	14.0%	8.7%
Brazil	Juquitiba	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Milagres	2000	36.3%	41.6%	30.9%
Brazil	Bela Vista da Caroba	2000	4.6%	6.1%	3.4%
Brazil	Ouro	2000	3.3%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis	2000	4.9%	6.7%	3.6%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Alto	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.1%
Brazil	Arandu	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	Floraí	2000	4.9%	6.1%	3.7%
Brazil	Sapucaí-Mirim	2000	3.0%	3.9%	2.1%
Brazil	Jaraguá do Sul	2000	3.0%	3.9%	2.3%
Brazil	Senador Firmino	2000	12.5%	14.7%	10.4%
Brazil	Senador José Bento	2000	9.4%	11.6%	7.5%
Brazil	Rialma	2000	6.4%	7.6%	5.0%
Brazil	São João do Soter	2000	48.5%	54.8%	42.5%
Brazil	São Caetano do Sul	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Sete Lagoas	2000	9.1%	10.8%	7.3%
Brazil	Ribeirão Claro	2000	3.2%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Passagem Franca do Piauí	2000	41.2%	47.7%	34.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jundiá do Sul	2000	5.0%	6.5%	3.8%
Brazil	Flora Rica	2000	1.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus de Goiás	2000	6.6%	8.5%	5.1%
Brazil	Sanharó	2000	17.8%	19.9%	15.6%
Brazil	Alto Longá	2000	40.2%	47.1%	34.1%
Brazil	Joinville	2000	2.9%	3.6%	2.2%
Brazil	União de Minas	2000	5.6%	7.8%	3.9%
Brazil	Barcarena	2000	10.6%	12.1%	9.4%
Brazil	Flores do Piauí	2000	40.8%	50.5%	33.0%
Brazil	Rodolfo Fernandes	2000	16.4%	19.8%	12.9%
Brazil	São Miguel Arcanjo	2000	1.0%	1.7%	0.6%
Brazil	Itinga do Maranhão	2000	23.0%	27.3%	19.0%
Brazil	Brumado	2000	27.7%	31.2%	24.3%
Brazil	Ibirapuitã	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.4%
Brazil	Franca	2000	3.5%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Sarapuá	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Almino Afonso	2000	13.2%	15.9%	10.7%
Brazil	Pedra dourada	2000	5.9%	7.4%	4.4%
Brazil	São Tomé	2000	14.6%	17.7%	12.0%
Brazil	Santa Luzia d'Oeste	2000	7.9%	10.0%	6.1%
Brazil	Águas de São Pedro	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão Vermelho	2000	12.7%	15.6%	10.6%
Brazil	Lauro de Freitas	2000	24.0%	25.7%	22.3%
Brazil	Jacaré dos Homens	2000	22.3%	25.2%	19.4%
Brazil	Juína	2000	7.7%	11.0%	5.0%
Brazil	Sumaré	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.0%
Brazil	Carneiros	2000	20.5%	23.0%	17.5%
Brazil	Rio Pardo	2000	4.6%	5.6%	3.5%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2000	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Piedade do Rio Grande	2000	9.5%	12.1%	7.1%
Brazil	São João do Arraial	2000	39.6%	44.8%	34.5%
Brazil	Liberato Salzano	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.5%
Brazil	Campo do Meio	2000	12.3%	15.1%	9.9%
Brazil	Espumoso	2000	4.8%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Pitangui	2000	10.0%	12.4%	7.9%
Brazil	Ervália	2000	6.8%	8.4%	5.2%
Brazil	Taubaté	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Brazil	Bento Fernandes	2000	13.4%	16.1%	10.8%
Brazil	Tejupa	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Poço Fundo	2000	11.6%	14.4%	9.3%
Brazil	Caxingó	2000	37.2%	42.9%	31.9%
Brazil	Independência	2000	4.4%	5.4%	3.5%
Brazil	Conceição do Para	2000	12.7%	15.3%	10.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barra de Santana	2000	22.6%	25.3%	19.8%
Brazil	Lauro Muller	2000	2.9%	4.1%	1.9%
Brazil	Cravinhos	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.1%
Brazil	Conceição do Castelo	2000	5.9%	7.5%	4.6%
Brazil	Ubirajara	2000	1.5%	2.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Lajedinho	2000	23.3%	27.2%	19.3%
Brazil	Ribeiro Gonçalves	2000	42.5%	51.1%	34.2%
Brazil	Jacundá	2000	10.8%	13.8%	8.4%
Brazil	Pocinhos	2000	18.3%	21.1%	15.5%
Brazil	Mara Rosa	2000	6.5%	8.6%	5.0%
Brazil	Pinheiro Preto	2000	3.1%	4.2%	2.1%
Brazil	Resplendor	2000	10.3%	13.1%	7.9%
Brazil	Miracatu	2000	2.3%	3.5%	1.4%
Brazil	Riachinho	2000	8.6%	11.9%	6.0%
Brazil	Viradouro	2000	2.8%	4.0%	1.9%
Brazil	Turvelândia	2000	6.8%	8.7%	5.3%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2000	38.3%	44.5%	32.1%
Brazil	Monte Belo	2000	10.8%	13.6%	8.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Sul	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.3%
Brazil	Senador Amaral	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%
Brazil	Pindaré-Mirim	2000	42.5%	47.2%	37.2%
Brazil	João Dias	2000	13.9%	17.1%	11.2%
Brazil	Itacurubi	2000	4.7%	6.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Pinheiro	2000	41.2%	46.1%	36.2%
Brazil	Sítio dos Mor-eiras	2000	18.9%	21.5%	16.1%
Brazil	Garrafão do Norte	2000	12.9%	15.4%	10.1%
Brazil	Palmeirândia	2000	42.5%	47.0%	37.8%
Brazil	Gurupi	2000	22.7%	26.2%	19.1%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.6%
Brazil	Cabo	2000	17.0%	18.4%	15.8%
Brazil	Itaporã	2000	3.2%	4.3%	2.2%
Brazil	Santana dos Montes	2000	11.7%	13.8%	9.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Amparo	2000	11.2%	13.1%	9.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aventureiro	2000	8.3%	9.9%	7.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Grama	2000	6.9%	8.5%	5.2%
Brazil	Presidente Vargas	2000	44.3%	49.7%	38.3%
Brazil	São Geraldo da Piedade	2000	11.7%	14.1%	9.3%
Brazil	Pé de Serra	2000	25.7%	29.2%	22.1%
Brazil	Cavalcante	2000	8.4%	10.9%	6.4%
Brazil	Paty do Alferes	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.4%
Brazil	Coluna	2000	10.4%	12.8%	8.1%
Brazil	São Rafael	2000	12.8%	16.2%	9.7%
Brazil	São João Batista	2000	2.8%	3.9%	1.9%
Brazil	Iporanga	2000	3.9%	5.6%	2.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Passagem Franca	2000	45.1%	51.2%	39.3%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2000	13.9%	16.7%	11.1%
Brazil	São José da Varginha	2000	11.4%	13.7%	9.4%
Brazil	Murici dos Portelas	2000	38.3%	43.7%	33.5%
Brazil	São José do Goiabal	2000	13.1%	16.2%	10.3%
Brazil	São Paulo das Missões	2000	4.5%	6.1%	3.3%
Brazil	Igarapava	2000	7.8%	9.9%	6.0%
Brazil	São José do Seridó	2000	14.1%	17.7%	11.4%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Sul	2000	3.9%	5.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Maracajá	2000	2.8%	4.0%	1.9%
Brazil	Juruti	2000	12.6%	15.7%	9.7%
Brazil	Senador Cortes	2000	5.4%	6.9%	4.1%
Brazil	Vitória do Xingu	2000	10.7%	15.1%	7.7%
Brazil	Pilar	2000	21.6%	23.9%	19.4%
Brazil	Pires do Rio	2000	7.3%	9.2%	5.9%
Brazil	Guaçuí	2000	7.0%	8.6%	5.4%
Brazil	Itaju	2000	1.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Jussari	2000	23.2%	27.4%	19.8%
Brazil	Tapira	2000	7.2%	9.5%	5.1%
Brazil	Caracarái	2000	8.3%	11.8%	5.6%
Brazil	Guarapuava	2000	3.8%	4.9%	2.9%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2000	38.5%	45.2%	31.8%
Brazil	Ubaporanga	2000	7.5%	9.5%	5.9%
Brazil	Vargem Alegre	2000	10.9%	13.5%	8.9%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2000	10.6%	11.7%	9.6%
Brazil	Conceição do Rio Verde	2000	10.6%	13.3%	8.7%
Brazil	Igrapiúna	2000	24.0%	27.5%	21.0%
Brazil	Cabedelo	2000	17.0%	19.5%	14.9%
Brazil	Paraíso	2000	3.5%	4.9%	2.3%
Brazil	Imbaú	2000	4.5%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Barão de Melgaço	2000	7.5%	9.7%	5.6%
Brazil	Porto Rico do Maranhão	2000	41.0%	48.3%	33.6%
Brazil	Novo Alegre	2000	17.2%	21.3%	13.7%
Brazil	Urussanga	2000	3.3%	4.6%	2.3%
Brazil	Coronel Xavier Chaves	2000	11.6%	14.0%	9.3%
Brazil	Poranga	2000	23.3%	27.3%	19.6%
Brazil	Ibema	2000	4.0%	5.2%	2.8%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Boa Vista	2000	20.4%	24.1%	17.5%
Brazil	Córrego do Bom Jesus	2000	4.3%	5.8%	3.2%
Brazil	Belmonte	2000	3.5%	4.8%	2.4%
Brazil	Iaras	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Farroupilha	2000	3.3%	4.0%	2.7%
Brazil	Campinas do Piauí	2000	39.5%	45.9%	32.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Rodeio	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	Ibaté	2000	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Jardim do Seridó	2000	13.1%	16.2%	10.7%
Brazil	Potiretama	2000	17.7%	21.0%	14.5%
Brazil	Miraselva	2000	4.1%	5.4%	3.1%
Brazil	Timbaúba	2000	17.0%	19.1%	15.3%
Brazil	Carvalhos	2000	4.2%	5.6%	3.0%
Brazil	Pinhal Grande	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Iguatu	2000	4.8%	6.2%	3.7%
Brazil	Itainópolis	2000	38.2%	43.5%	32.2%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2000	26.7%	30.8%	22.7%
Brazil	Dois Córregos	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Tefé	2000	15.3%	20.6%	11.0%
Brazil	São Caitano	2000	19.3%	21.4%	17.4%
Brazil	Trombas	2000	8.4%	10.8%	6.6%
Brazil	São Domingos	2000	14.4%	16.6%	12.4%
Brazil	Cedro	2000	20.7%	23.6%	18.2%
Brazil	Lajedão	2000	26.6%	30.5%	22.9%
Brazil	Arroio Grande	2000	5.2%	7.2%	3.5%
Brazil	Ibicaraí	2000	24.7%	28.0%	21.5%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria	2000	19.9%	22.6%	17.0%
Brazil	Três de Maio	2000	4.5%	5.6%	3.5%
Brazil	Arara	2000	15.4%	17.7%	13.1%
Brazil	Serra Branca	2000	19.0%	21.9%	16.4%
Brazil	Paraíso do Sul	2000	4.7%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Guaraciama	2000	10.3%	13.2%	7.6%
Brazil	Frei Lagonegro	2000	9.9%	12.6%	7.6%
Brazil	Alexania	2000	6.1%	7.3%	5.1%
Brazil	Careiro	2000	16.8%	19.4%	14.0%
Brazil	Ilhabela	2000	1.3%	2.2%	0.7%
Brazil	Fronteira	2000	3.7%	5.3%	2.4%
Brazil	Várzea Alegre	2000	19.9%	22.1%	17.3%
Brazil	Araçu	2000	6.1%	7.3%	4.9%
Brazil	Orlandia	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.5%
Brazil	Areal	2000	3.4%	4.4%	2.5%
Brazil	Reriutaba	2000	23.1%	26.1%	19.9%
Brazil	Ipiranga	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.5%
Brazil	São Desidério	2000	22.9%	27.7%	18.8%
Brazil	Guajará-Mirim	2000	9.6%	13.4%	6.5%
Brazil	Anicuns	2000	5.5%	6.7%	4.4%
Brazil	Botuverá	2000	2.5%	3.5%	1.6%
Brazil	Paulo Afonso	2000	22.8%	26.3%	19.9%
Brazil	Pato Branco	2000	3.5%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	São João do Jaguaribe	2000	20.9%	23.8%	17.9%
Brazil	Ataleia	2000	10.7%	13.8%	8.2%
Brazil	Rosário do Ivaí	2000	5.0%	6.4%	3.6%
Brazil	Ipixuna do Pará	2000	11.3%	13.6%	9.4%
Brazil	Itaobim	2000	10.6%	13.3%	8.1%
Brazil	Almerim	2000	8.3%	11.1%	5.6%
Brazil	Pindamonhangaba	2000	2.6%	3.5%	1.9%
Brazil	Aurora do Pará	2000	10.6%	12.6%	8.6%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Oeste	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paraíso	2000	1.7%	2.6%	0.9%
Brazil	Primavera de Rondonia	2000	8.4%	10.6%	6.4%
Brazil	Assis	2000	2.0%	2.8%	1.3%
Brazil	Lavandeira	2000	18.8%	23.1%	15.2%
Brazil	São José	2000	2.2%	3.3%	1.4%
Brazil	Planaltina	2000	3.7%	4.6%	3.0%
Brazil	São João dos Patos	2000	44.5%	51.4%	37.9%
Brazil	Gararu	2000	20.2%	22.9%	17.5%
Brazil	Guabiju	2000	4.1%	5.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Solonópole	2000	21.7%	25.4%	18.5%
Brazil	Nilo Peçanha	2000	24.4%	27.5%	21.5%
Brazil	Campo do Tenente	2000	3.6%	4.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2000	18.5%	20.2%	16.7%
Brazil	Atalanta	2000	2.2%	3.2%	1.4%
Brazil	São João do Belm	2000	17.6%	20.2%	15.2%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2000	5.5%	6.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Rio Tinto	2000	15.7%	18.1%	13.4%
Brazil	Conceição do Lago-Açu	2000	42.7%	48.2%	37.5%
Brazil	Palmeiras de Goiás	2000	6.6%	7.8%	5.3%
Brazil	Catuti	2000	14.2%	18.1%	11.0%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Piauí	2000	33.8%	40.1%	27.9%
Brazil	Novorizonte	2000	10.8%	13.9%	8.0%
Brazil	Nova Pádua	2000	4.7%	5.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Choró	2000	19.3%	22.1%	16.7%
Brazil	Agrestina	2000	20.6%	22.7%	18.7%
Brazil	Ilha das Flores	2000	19.9%	23.6%	16.6%
Brazil	São Luiz do Curu	2000	18.9%	21.5%	16.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Vitória	2000	21.0%	24.6%	17.5%
Brazil	Água Limpa	2000	9.2%	11.0%	7.3%
Brazil	Ronda Alta	2000	4.0%	5.2%	3.1%
Brazil	Vargeão	2000	3.0%	4.2%	2.1%
Brazil	Redenção do Gurguéia	2000	37.0%	47.1%	28.5%
Brazil	General Câmara	2000	4.9%	6.0%	4.0%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Piauí	2000	38.5%	44.4%	32.7%
Brazil	Barreiras	2000	22.5%	26.5%	19.1%
Brazil	Chã Grande	2000	13.7%	15.5%	11.8%
Brazil	Santana do Maranhão	2000	43.6%	49.1%	37.5%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2000	20.3%	23.4%	17.2%
Brazil	Paula Cândido	2000	10.3%	12.4%	8.4%
Brazil	Cerejeiras	2000	8.3%	11.8%	5.6%
Brazil	Vertentes	2000	16.0%	18.2%	14.3%
Brazil	Itiquira	2000	5.5%	7.6%	3.9%
Brazil	Ananás	2000	24.8%	28.5%	21.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão das Neves	2000	10.5%	11.6%	9.5%
Brazil	Extrema	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Brazil	Frei Gaspar	2000	9.5%	12.0%	7.3%
Brazil	Narandiba	2000	2.6%	3.6%	1.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Conceição das Alagoas	2000	8.2%	10.4%	6.4%
Brazil	Alagoinha do Piauí	2000	32.5%	37.7%	27.4%
Brazil	Sumé	2000	18.5%	21.5%	15.8%
Brazil	Fortaleza do Tabocão	2000	25.2%	29.3%	21.1%
Brazil	Piranguinho	2000	8.9%	10.9%	7.3%
Brazil	Palma Sola	2000	3.1%	4.3%	2.1%
Brazil	Uruoca	2000	22.6%	25.7%	20.0%
Brazil	Barbosa Ferraz	2000	5.4%	6.8%	4.3%
Brazil	Queimados	2000	2.5%	2.9%	2.1%
Brazil	Cristino Castro	2000	39.0%	47.8%	30.3%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Sul	2000	2.7%	3.6%	1.9%
Brazil	Indaiabira	2000	12.9%	16.3%	10.3%
Brazil	São José do Peixe	2000	43.5%	51.9%	35.3%
Brazil	Arroio Trinta	2000	2.4%	3.5%	1.5%
Brazil	Acreúna	2000	6.7%	8.6%	5.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Palma	2000	4.1%	5.2%	3.1%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Sul	2000	4.8%	6.2%	3.5%
Brazil	Florestal	2000	10.9%	12.6%	9.1%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2000	24.8%	28.2%	21.9%
Brazil	Igarorã	2000	23.7%	28.1%	19.9%
Brazil	Pequi	2000	10.6%	13.2%	8.5%
Brazil	São Patrício	2000	5.9%	7.2%	4.6%
Brazil	Goiania	2000	6.0%	6.5%	5.3%
Brazil	Alvinópolis	2000	11.3%	13.7%	9.5%
Brazil	Valentim Gentil	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	Pouso Redondo	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.5%
Brazil	Batatais	2000	3.3%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Estrela de Alagoas	2000	19.5%	22.0%	17.0%
Brazil	Belém do Brejo do Cruz	2000	14.5%	18.0%	11.6%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2000	8.3%	11.1%	6.3%
Brazil	Celso Ramos	2000	3.5%	5.0%	2.4%
Brazil	Caldas Brandão	2000	20.4%	23.0%	18.2%
Brazil	Pinhão	2000	16.9%	19.8%	14.0%
Brazil	Bodocó	2000	20.4%	23.1%	17.6%
Brazil	São Raimundo das Mangabeiras	2000	42.2%	51.1%	34.7%
Brazil	Marilena	2000	3.7%	5.2%	2.6%
Brazil	Tapiramutá	2000	20.1%	23.4%	16.7%
Brazil	Nova Alvorada do Sul	2000	3.2%	4.5%	2.3%
Brazil	Porto Lucena	2000	4.9%	6.8%	3.4%
Brazil	Maceió (capital)	2000	18.6%	20.7%	17.0%
Brazil	Vale Verde	2000	4.8%	5.9%	3.9%
Brazil	Zé Doca	2000	35.7%	40.4%	30.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Maracanã	2000	10.1%	12.2%	8.3%
Brazil	Planura	2000	6.2%	8.5%	4.3%
Brazil	Paraguaçu	2000	10.4%	12.5%	8.4%
Brazil	Japi	2000	17.1%	20.0%	14.2%
Brazil	Itapetininga	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Brazil	Guiricema	2000	10.5%	12.5%	8.5%
Brazil	Avaí	2000	1.7%	2.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Nova Venécia	2000	9.7%	12.1%	7.9%
Brazil	Coqueiro Seco	2000	20.4%	22.5%	18.6%
Brazil	São Vicente de Minas	2000	8.5%	11.1%	6.4%
Brazil	Gonzaga	2000	7.7%	9.7%	5.8%
Brazil	Palmitinhos	2000	4.1%	5.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2000	24.2%	26.9%	21.4%
Brazil	Caém	2000	24.5%	27.7%	21.2%
Brazil	Caraúbas do Piauí	2000	36.7%	43.0%	31.1%
Brazil	Novo Hamburgo	2000	4.6%	5.2%	4.0%
Brazil	Sardoá	2000	7.8%	9.8%	5.9%
Brazil	Divinópolis	2000	11.1%	13.3%	9.2%
Brazil	São José dos Cordeiros	2000	18.3%	21.5%	15.6%
Brazil	Lindoeste	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.4%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2000	4.1%	5.2%	3.1%
Brazil	Itapiranga	2000	9.0%	11.4%	7.4%
Brazil	Nova Prata do Iguaçu	2000	4.5%	5.9%	3.4%
Brazil	Lins	2000	1.3%	2.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Londrina	2000	4.1%	5.0%	3.4%
Brazil	Cupira	2000	19.7%	21.6%	17.6%
Brazil	Ernestina	2000	4.9%	6.3%	3.8%
Brazil	Campo Grande do Piauí	2000	33.1%	38.6%	28.1%
Brazil	Amajari	2000	8.0%	12.2%	4.8%
Brazil	Barão de Monte Alto	2000	6.4%	8.2%	4.9%
Brazil	Bento de Abreu	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Benedito	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.8%
Brazil	Novo Jerônimo Monteiro	2000	8.6%	10.6%	7.1%
Brazil	Rondon	2000	4.3%	5.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Rio Preto da Eva	2000	16.3%	19.5%	13.3%
Brazil	Riacho da Cruz	2000	15.6%	19.1%	12.3%
Brazil	Antônio Dias	2000	11.4%	13.6%	9.5%
Brazil	Borebi	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Palestina do Pará	2000	22.6%	26.1%	19.4%
Brazil	Carpina	2000	15.4%	16.7%	14.0%
Brazil	São Ludgero	2000	2.9%	4.2%	1.9%
Brazil	Sarzedo	2000	11.0%	12.2%	9.8%
Brazil	Alto Rio doce	2000	11.7%	14.2%	9.7%
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2000	14.9%	17.1%	12.6%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2000	19.5%	22.7%	16.5%
Brazil	Carai	2000	9.1%	11.6%	6.7%
Brazil	Assis Chateaubri	2000	3.9%	5.0%	3.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sacramento	2000	7.5%	10.0%	5.5%
Brazil	Colônia do Gurguéia	2000	41.9%	51.2%	32.6%
Brazil	Borda da Mata	2000	8.3%	10.2%	6.6%
Brazil	Fruta de Leite	2000	10.6%	13.8%	7.6%
Brazil	Alto Paraguai	2000	8.1%	9.9%	5.9%
Brazil	São Raimundo Nonato	2000	33.8%	39.3%	27.1%
Brazil	Buriti dos Montes	2000	29.5%	35.0%	24.0%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sul	2000	4.4%	6.0%	3.0%
Brazil	Lucélia	2000	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Brazil	Malhada	2000	21.8%	25.9%	17.9%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio doce	2000	6.2%	8.1%	4.5%
Brazil	Lagoinha do Piauí	2000	40.5%	46.7%	34.3%
Brazil	Saquarema	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.2%
Brazil	Coruripe	2000	21.3%	24.7%	17.9%
Brazil	Caibi	2000	4.1%	5.3%	2.9%
Brazil	São José do Alegre	2000	9.1%	11.2%	7.2%
Brazil	Areiópolis	2000	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Feira Nova do Maranhão	2000	35.7%	41.9%	28.6%
Brazil	Treviso	2000	2.6%	3.7%	1.7%
Brazil	Maragogipe	2000	25.9%	28.4%	23.5%
Brazil	Linha Nova	2000	4.5%	5.2%	3.7%
Brazil	Sete Quedas	2000	4.6%	6.4%	2.8%
Brazil	Pontal do Araguaia	2000	6.9%	9.3%	4.9%
Brazil	Paripiranga	2000	16.4%	18.9%	13.9%
Brazil	Corumbaíba	2000	8.9%	10.9%	7.1%
Brazil	Guia Lopes da Laguna	2000	3.2%	4.9%	2.0%
Brazil	Cacaulândia	2000	7.8%	10.0%	5.8%
Brazil	Capão do Leão	2000	4.2%	5.7%	3.0%
Brazil	São Luis	2000	43.8%	46.9%	40.8%
Brazil	Mimoso do Sul	2000	6.2%	8.0%	4.8%
Brazil	Urucuaia	2000	9.3%	12.4%	6.2%
Brazil	Barroquinha	2000	30.5%	35.6%	26.1%
Brazil	Barrinha	2000	2.2%	3.3%	1.4%
Brazil	Cantanhede	2000	44.3%	50.2%	38.9%
Brazil	São Felipe d'Oeste	2000	7.8%	10.3%	5.8%
Brazil	Campinas do Sul	2000	4.3%	5.4%	3.2%
Brazil	São José das Palmeiras	2000	4.6%	5.9%	3.4%
Brazil	Maracaju	2000	3.0%	4.1%	2.0%
Brazil	Manfrinópolis	2000	4.1%	5.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Ipiaú	2000	22.6%	25.7%	19.7%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia d'Oeste	2000	7.8%	10.1%	6.0%
Brazil	Cambira	2000	4.8%	5.8%	3.9%
Brazil	Brejinho	2000	14.1%	16.6%	12.0%
Brazil	Cachoeiro de Itapemirim	2000	7.9%	9.6%	6.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Minas	2000	9.2%	11.9%	6.8%
Brazil	Porto Seguro	2000	25.4%	29.3%	21.3%
Brazil	Caiapônia	2000	5.8%	7.8%	3.9%
Brazil	Itatiba	2000	1.7%	2.1%	1.2%
Brazil	Petrópolis	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Brazil	Borá	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Babaçulândia	2017	36.4%	41.7%	32.1%
Brazil	Ubatuba	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Teixeiras	2017	6.8%	8.5%	5.2%
Brazil	São João da Lagoa	2017	5.7%	7.7%	4.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Pará	2017	7.8%	9.6%	6.1%
Brazil	Ibirapitanga	2017	18.2%	21.3%	15.5%
Brazil	Teófilo Otoni	2017	6.1%	7.8%	4.4%
Brazil	Jaciara	2017	8.4%	11.0%	6.1%
Brazil	Tiros	2017	6.2%	8.8%	4.3%
Brazil	São Geraldo	2017	7.0%	8.6%	5.5%
Brazil	São Gotardo	2017	5.9%	8.6%	4.0%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Sapucaí	2017	7.3%	9.5%	5.7%
Brazil	Casimiro de Abreu	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Brazil	Rodeiro	2017	7.4%	8.9%	5.8%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2017	6.3%	8.5%	4.2%
Brazil	Iporã	2017	3.7%	5.0%	2.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Anta	2017	4.3%	5.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Varzelândia	2017	6.6%	8.9%	4.7%
Brazil	Socorro	2017	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Brazil	Piau	2017	7.6%	9.6%	6.1%
Brazil	Campina Grande do Sul	2017	3.7%	4.6%	2.9%
Brazil	Rochedo de Minas	2017	5.5%	7.0%	4.1%
Brazil	Periquito	2017	8.1%	10.3%	6.3%
Brazil	Rio Pomba	2017	8.2%	10.2%	6.5%
Brazil	Dormentes	2017	21.1%	25.6%	16.4%
Brazil	Pedrinópolis	2017	6.4%	8.7%	4.6%
Brazil	Zacarias	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	União Paulista	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Suzanópolis	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Escalvado	2017	9.5%	11.4%	7.7%
Brazil	Barreira	2017	19.9%	22.7%	17.1%
Brazil	Cabixi	2017	8.9%	12.9%	5.6%
Brazil	Parintins	2017	10.2%	12.8%	7.9%
Brazil	Cumbe	2017	11.4%	13.8%	9.2%
Brazil	Álvaro de Carvalho	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Iretama	2017	3.6%	4.9%	2.5%
Brazil	Auriflama	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Recreio	2017	4.2%	5.6%	3.0%
Brazil	Baraúna	2017	15.5%	18.6%	12.6%
Brazil	Nova Mutum	2017	7.0%	9.5%	4.5%
Brazil	Suzano	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Baixa Grande do Ribeiro	2017	31.1%	40.6%	22.6%
Brazil	Monteirópolis	2017	22.0%	25.5%	18.5%
Brazil	Piaçabuçu	2017	22.0%	26.8%	17.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Araguapaz	2017	7.2%	10.5%	4.7%
Brazil	Eral	2017	3.7%	5.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Açu	2017	9.8%	12.1%	7.5%
Brazil	Godofredo Viana	2017	23.4%	29.9%	17.6%
Brazil	Valinhos	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Brazil	San Antonio do Rio Abai	2017	7.5%	9.8%	5.4%
Brazil	Laguna Carapã	2017	3.1%	4.6%	1.8%
Brazil	São Roque de Minas	2017	6.1%	8.6%	4.3%
Brazil	Barra de Santa Rosa	2017	15.3%	18.2%	12.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Salinas	2017	6.1%	8.5%	4.1%
Brazil	Japorã	2017	5.1%	7.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Ipanguaçu	2017	9.8%	12.3%	7.5%
Brazil	Amarante do Maranhão	2017	35.2%	42.1%	28.9%
Brazil	Tocos do Moji	2017	3.3%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Santana da Vargem	2017	6.9%	8.9%	5.4%
Brazil	Pimenta	2017	6.0%	8.2%	4.3%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Purus	2017	16.7%	22.4%	11.0%
Brazil	Várzea da Palma	2017	6.3%	8.6%	4.1%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora da Glória	2017	11.0%	13.4%	9.1%
Brazil	Papanduva	2017	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Volta Grande	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Augustinópolis	2017	29.3%	33.5%	24.9%
Brazil	Santana do Garambéu	2017	5.1%	6.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Anastácio	2017	3.5%	5.5%	2.0%
Brazil	Pedro Leopoldo	2017	6.6%	7.7%	5.6%
Brazil	Breu Branco	2017	9.9%	12.4%	7.7%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	13.7%	17.6%	9.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Retiro	2017	5.9%	7.7%	4.5%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Ferros	2017	7.0%	8.9%	5.3%
Brazil	Tapiratiba	2017	4.0%	5.4%	2.7%
Brazil	Barra de Santo Antônio	2017	22.7%	26.5%	19.2%
Brazil	Taiobeiras	2017	7.3%	9.8%	5.1%
Brazil	Congonhinhas	2017	3.7%	5.0%	2.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Buricá	2017	3.4%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Uberaba	2017	4.6%	5.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Água Fria de Goiás	2017	4.8%	6.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Serra dos Aimorés	2017	10.7%	13.9%	8.4%
Brazil	Bayeux	2017	13.1%	14.4%	11.7%
Brazil	Turiúba	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Montanhas	2017	14.0%	16.8%	11.8%
Brazil	Pratinha	2017	4.5%	6.8%	3.1%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2017	8.1%	10.0%	6.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caraíbas	2017	24.1%	28.2%	19.8%
Brazil	Valparaíso	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Ubajara	2017	18.6%	21.3%	15.9%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2017	2.4%	3.7%	1.5%
Brazil	Corupá	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.6%
Brazil	Caravelas	2017	17.5%	21.4%	14.2%
Brazil	Corbélia	2017	3.7%	4.8%	2.6%
Brazil	Jaboatão dos Guararapes	2017	16.7%	17.8%	15.6%
Brazil	São Vicente	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Careiro	2017	13.1%	16.0%	10.2%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Minas	2017	5.7%	7.6%	4.1%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Itabira	2017	6.3%	8.0%	4.9%
Brazil	Santana de Pirapama	2017	7.1%	9.7%	5.2%
Brazil	São Brás	2017	18.1%	21.1%	15.4%
Brazil	Lucas do Rio Verde	2017	7.5%	10.0%	5.6%
Brazil	Natal	2017	10.8%	12.1%	9.4%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2017	1.4%	2.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Formoso	2017	7.7%	10.3%	5.7%
Brazil	São João do Oriente	2017	6.8%	8.4%	5.1%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2017	34.2%	40.3%	29.2%
Brazil	Aldeias Altas	2017	39.2%	44.9%	32.8%
Brazil	Castro Alves	2017	19.4%	22.4%	16.6%
Brazil	Imperatriz	2017	34.0%	38.2%	30.0%
Brazil	Monte das Gameleiras	2017	11.2%	13.6%	9.1%
Brazil	Barro	2017	16.2%	19.1%	13.6%
Brazil	Itabirito	2017	5.9%	7.2%	4.9%
Brazil	Timóteo	2017	7.1%	8.8%	5.9%
Brazil	Santa Vitória	2017	5.9%	7.9%	3.9%
Brazil	Brasnorte	2017	8.5%	13.0%	5.2%
Brazil	Nazaré Paulista	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Brazil	Barão do Triunfo	2017	3.1%	3.8%	2.3%
Brazil	Vargem Bonita	2017	6.2%	8.7%	4.4%
Brazil	Igarapé-Açu	2017	9.4%	11.2%	7.8%
Brazil	Assunção	2017	16.1%	19.7%	12.8%
Brazil	Araúá	2017	11.2%	13.5%	9.2%
Brazil	Vieiras	2017	3.6%	4.7%	2.6%
Brazil	Alexandria	2017	12.8%	15.8%	10.1%
Brazil	Ipú	2017	22.8%	26.2%	19.7%
Brazil	Getulina	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Brazil	Almas	2017	31.7%	38.2%	24.9%
Brazil	Reduto	2017	5.1%	6.5%	3.8%
Brazil	Tijucas do Sul	2017	2.2%	2.8%	1.6%
Brazil	Jericó	2017	14.8%	18.0%	11.7%
Brazil	Ibaretama	2017	21.4%	25.2%	18.2%
Brazil	Poço Verde	2017	14.9%	17.9%	12.5%
Brazil	Itaparica	2017	22.8%	25.4%	20.2%
Brazil	Aurora do Tocantins	2017	21.4%	26.5%	16.6%
Brazil	Cláudia	2017	8.6%	12.2%	6.0%
Brazil	Itapetim	2017	13.2%	16.1%	10.8%
Brazil	Itaúba	2017	8.3%	11.4%	5.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barra de Guabira	2017	12.8%	14.8%	11.1%
Brazil	Guimaranes	2017	6.4%	8.5%	4.6%
Brazil	Nova União	2017	7.7%	9.7%	5.8%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Itaueira	2017	29.7%	39.5%	22.0%
Brazil	Ibatiba	2017	3.6%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Rio Espera	2017	7.3%	8.9%	5.7%
Brazil	Senhora de Oliveira	2017	6.9%	8.5%	5.3%
Brazil	Seritinga	2017	3.1%	4.3%	2.1%
Brazil	Aiuaba	2017	21.5%	24.9%	17.7%
Brazil	Cardeal da Silva	2017	20.0%	23.9%	16.3%
Brazil	Colônia Leopoldina	2017	17.8%	20.3%	15.1%
Brazil	Orindiúva	2017	2.2%	3.2%	1.3%
Brazil	Boa Hora	2017	29.0%	34.6%	24.0%
Brazil	Mendes	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Brazil	São José da Laje	2017	16.2%	18.5%	13.9%
Brazil	Barao de Cotingipe	2017	2.4%	3.2%	1.7%
Brazil	Porteirinha	2017	7.7%	10.3%	5.7%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Iguaçu	2017	4.2%	5.7%	2.9%
Brazil	Alambari	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	São Pedro da Aldeia	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Itanhomi	2017	4.1%	4.8%	3.2%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	15.3%	18.1%	12.6%
Brazil	Adustina	2017	16.0%	19.0%	13.5%
Brazil	Salto do Céu	2017	7.8%	11.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Joaquim Nabuco	2017	14.8%	16.8%	13.0%
Brazil	Colorado	2017	3.5%	4.7%	2.6%
Brazil	Salinópolis	2017	9.9%	12.9%	7.5%
Brazil	Torrinha	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Brazil	Araçatuba	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Anchieta	2017	4.5%	5.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Verdelândia	2017	7.5%	10.0%	5.3%
Brazil	Rio Novo do Sul	2017	4.0%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Virgolândia	2017	6.3%	8.3%	4.6%
Brazil	São Simão	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Contenda	2017	2.9%	3.6%	2.1%
Brazil	Resende Costa	2017	5.0%	6.8%	3.7%
Brazil	Ciríaco	2017	2.9%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Manhuaçu	2017	3.8%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Porto Nacional	2017	34.0%	38.6%	29.7%
Brazil	Canaã dos Carajás	2017	8.3%	10.7%	6.4%
Brazil	Barra Mansa	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Brazil	Alagoa Nova	2017	15.4%	17.4%	13.2%
Brazil	Candiota	2017	3.8%	5.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Brumadinho	2017	7.2%	8.5%	5.9%
Brazil	Juruena	2017	9.0%	13.4%	5.7%
Brazil	Lagarto	2017	10.8%	12.7%	9.0%
Brazil	Cerro Corá	2017	8.9%	11.3%	6.8%
Brazil	Tupi Paulista	2017	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Angélica	2017	3.8%	5.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Bom Conselho	2017	14.6%	17.1%	12.5%
Brazil	Tunápolis	2017	2.5%	3.7%	1.6%
Brazil	Duque de Caxias	2017	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Barra da Choça	2017	20.5%	23.3%	17.3%
Brazil	Contendas do Sincorá	2017	24.2%	29.0%	19.6%
Brazil	Chiapeta	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.3%
Brazil	Tomar do Geru	2017	13.7%	16.1%	11.5%
Brazil	Atalaia do Norte	2017	12.7%	17.1%	8.6%
Brazil	Brazileira	2017	28.3%	34.1%	22.7%
Brazil	Caldas	2017	3.5%	4.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Betânia	2017	16.8%	19.8%	13.8%
Brazil	Biritiba Mirim	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Brazil	Juru	2017	16.2%	19.3%	13.4%
Brazil	Trabiju	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Barra Velha	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Brazil	Fervedouro	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.6%
Brazil	São Domingos do Prata	2017	5.6%	7.1%	4.4%
Brazil	Pilar de Goiás	2017	6.0%	7.8%	4.4%
Brazil	Gaspar	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Brazil	Cotriguaçu	2017	8.5%	12.4%	5.4%
Brazil	Rondonópolis	2017	7.9%	9.9%	5.9%
Brazil	Biquinhas	2017	7.6%	11.2%	5.0%
Brazil	Ielmo Marinho	2017	10.8%	12.9%	9.0%
Brazil	Alvorada d'Oeste	2017	7.9%	9.7%	6.2%
Brazil	João Alfredo	2017	17.8%	20.0%	15.4%
Brazil	Ipirá	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.7%
Brazil	Reserva do Iguaçu	2017	3.4%	4.7%	2.3%
Brazil	Fatura do Piauí	2017	25.5%	31.7%	19.7%
Brazil	Codajás	2017	10.2%	15.0%	6.3%
Brazil	Ipaumirim	2017	19.6%	23.0%	16.1%
Brazil	Americana	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Brazil	Galinhos	2017	13.2%	17.7%	9.1%
Brazil	Vale Real	2017	3.8%	4.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Dom Macedo Costa	2017	20.6%	23.5%	18.1%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Araguaia	2017	17.1%	20.3%	13.8%
Brazil	Sorocaba	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Brazil	Sumaré	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Brazil	Cariré	2017	22.1%	25.6%	19.3%
Brazil	Petrolina	2017	18.7%	20.7%	16.5%
Brazil	Sonora	2017	5.7%	8.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Pingo d'Água	2017	8.1%	10.2%	6.2%
Brazil	Belém de Maria	2017	18.5%	20.7%	16.5%
Brazil	Tapiraí	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Brazil	Chaves	2017	7.5%	10.1%	5.1%
Brazil	Nina Rodrigues	2017	37.8%	44.3%	31.3%
Brazil	Pirapetinga	2017	2.8%	4.3%	1.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pirauba	2017	6.5%	8.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2017	8.4%	10.5%	6.6%
Brazil	Angical do Piauí	2017	30.0%	37.4%	23.6%
Brazil	Pombos	2017	14.0%	16.1%	12.1%
Brazil	Alto Boa Vista	2017	10.7%	15.9%	6.4%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2017	8.9%	10.3%	7.6%
Brazil	Urupês	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Bonópolis	2017	6.4%	7.7%	5.3%
Brazil	Campos Novos	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Vitória Brasil	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Brazil	Votorantim	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Brazil	Votuporanga	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Sucupira	2017	29.4%	34.7%	24.6%
Brazil	Bocaina do Sul	2017	0.8%	1.4%	0.4%
Brazil	Palhano	2017	20.2%	23.6%	17.0%
Brazil	Santa Efigênia de Minas	2017	4.3%	5.7%	3.2%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	6.3%	7.2%	5.5%
Brazil	Cerquilho	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Ibitipoca	2017	5.7%	7.2%	4.4%
Brazil	Santa Rita Itueto	2017	4.8%	6.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Santana do deserto	2017	3.7%	4.8%	2.7%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Tocantins	2017	33.6%	39.3%	28.1%
Brazil	Santo Hipólito	2017	7.4%	10.0%	5.1%
Brazil	São Francisco de Oliveira	2017	6.5%	8.6%	4.9%
Brazil	Mambaí	2017	9.6%	13.3%	6.5%
Brazil	Sairé	2017	15.6%	17.7%	13.7%
Brazil	São João da Ponte	2017	6.5%	8.8%	4.4%
Brazil	Jacinto Machado	2017	1.7%	2.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Tauá	2017	10.1%	11.8%	8.4%
Brazil	Capixaba	2017	17.9%	23.6%	13.2%
Brazil	Clementina	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Maranhão	2017	6.8%	9.1%	4.8%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Paraíso	2017	2.9%	4.1%	2.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Verde	2017	3.9%	5.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Buriti	2017	34.7%	41.0%	29.4%
Brazil	Colatina	2017	5.3%	6.7%	4.1%
Brazil	Senhora dos Remédios	2017	6.8%	8.8%	5.2%
Brazil	Cabaceiras	2017	20.7%	24.9%	16.8%
Brazil	Chapada dos Guimarães	2017	7.7%	9.9%	5.8%
Brazil	Silveirânia	2017	7.3%	9.1%	5.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Oeste	2017	2.0%	2.7%	1.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Conchal	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Brazil	Catu	2017	19.1%	21.8%	16.8%
Brazil	Tumiritinga	2017	7.2%	8.9%	5.5%
Brazil	Ubá	2017	7.2%	8.8%	5.8%
Brazil	Conchas	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	São Pedro	2017	11.3%	13.6%	9.3%
Brazil	Veríssimo	2017	5.7%	7.7%	3.9%
Brazil	Jacaraú	2017	13.5%	16.0%	11.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Pará	2017	9.6%	11.4%	8.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Pavão	2017	8.8%	12.2%	6.4%
Brazil	Jupiá	2017	2.3%	3.4%	1.5%
Brazil	Capitólio	2017	6.9%	9.5%	5.1%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	2.0%	3.0%	1.4%
Brazil	Bodó	2017	8.2%	10.5%	6.1%
Brazil	Conceição do Tocantins	2017	28.5%	34.7%	22.8%
Brazil	Picuí	2017	14.3%	17.6%	11.7%
Brazil	Aratiba	2017	2.6%	3.6%	1.8%
Brazil	Piedade de Caratinga	2017	3.2%	4.3%	2.4%
Brazil	Tanabi	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Pinheiro	2017	35.6%	40.7%	30.1%
Brazil	Caranaíba	2017	6.5%	8.2%	5.1%
Brazil	Carandaí	2017	5.6%	7.2%	4.4%
Brazil	Pajeú do Piauí	2017	28.9%	38.1%	21.3%
Brazil	Ubaí	2017	6.3%	8.8%	4.4%
Brazil	Itaguaí	2017	1.0%	1.2%	0.7%
Brazil	Amélia Rodrigues	2017	19.9%	22.8%	17.5%
Brazil	Castilho	2017	2.2%	3.3%	1.3%
Brazil	Estância Velha	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Lauro Muller	2017	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2017	7.9%	10.1%	6.0%
Brazil	Acorizal	2017	9.2%	11.9%	7.1%
Brazil	Novo Aripuanã	2017	11.6%	17.0%	7.1%
Brazil	Porto Rico do Maranhão	2017	36.1%	45.3%	28.4%
Brazil	Poço de José de Moura	2017	18.1%	21.7%	14.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Sul	2017	2.7%	3.9%	1.7%
Brazil	São João do Belmonte	2017	17.9%	21.1%	14.9%
Brazil	Cruzeiro	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.7%
Brazil	São João	2017	15.6%	17.4%	14.1%
Brazil	Lontras	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Quixeré	2017	16.7%	19.4%	14.1%
Brazil	Prata	2017	17.0%	20.3%	13.7%
Brazil	Alagoinhas	2017	18.9%	21.8%	16.6%
Brazil	Fagundes Varela	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Cunha	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Ibipeba	2017	22.8%	26.6%	19.0%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2017	3.8%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Jardim	2017	2.7%	4.5%	1.5%
Brazil	Paulistânia	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2017	3.0%	4.5%	1.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Queimadas	2017	17.2%	19.8%	15.0%
Brazil	Tangará	2017	12.0%	14.9%	9.6%
Brazil	Dirce Reis	2017	1.0%	1.7%	0.6%
Brazil	Careiro da Várzea	2017	13.9%	16.5%	11.7%
Brazil	Ibirapuã	2017	13.3%	16.2%	10.7%
Brazil	Iracema do Oeste	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Pedro Li	2017	24.9%	31.1%	20.0%
Brazil	Dobrada	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Carmo do Paranaíba	2017	5.1%	7.2%	3.5%
Brazil	Nazaré do Piauí	2017	31.0%	38.3%	24.6%
Brazil	Acarapé	2017	19.2%	21.9%	16.5%
Brazil	Ribamar Fiquene	2017	37.1%	43.4%	31.2%
Brazil	Braço do Norte	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Anapu	2017	9.2%	13.2%	6.1%
Brazil	Darcinópolis	2017	33.6%	38.6%	28.8%
Brazil	Paulo Ramos	2017	37.1%	43.1%	30.8%
Brazil	Arroio do Meio	2017	4.3%	5.4%	3.4%
Brazil	São Benedito	2017	16.7%	19.2%	14.3%
Brazil	Riacho	2017	18.8%	21.6%	16.3%
Brazil	Surubim	2017	18.4%	20.9%	16.1%
Brazil	Pedreiras	2017	38.4%	43.8%	32.7%
Brazil	Ampére	2017	2.9%	4.0%	2.0%
Brazil	Nova Roma	2017	12.3%	15.5%	9.1%
Brazil	Itambaraca	2017	2.9%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Piripiri	2017	25.5%	30.4%	20.7%
Brazil	Matos Costa	2017	1.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Buritcupu	2017	33.4%	40.5%	26.7%
Brazil	Umarizal	2017	12.5%	15.7%	9.8%
Brazil	Dianópolis	2017	29.4%	35.1%	23.8%
Brazil	Novo Santo Antônio	2017	29.1%	36.0%	22.9%
Brazil	Casa Grande	2017	6.9%	8.7%	5.3%
Brazil	Porto	2017	32.7%	38.6%	27.2%
Brazil	Upanema	2017	10.4%	13.1%	8.1%
Brazil	Garibaldi	2017	2.6%	3.4%	2.0%
Brazil	Salgado de São Félix	2017	20.7%	23.5%	17.9%
Brazil	Itaperçu	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.6%
Brazil	Prata do Piauí	2017	30.0%	38.8%	22.2%
Brazil	Argirita	2017	4.9%	6.3%	3.4%
Brazil	Novo Planalto	2017	12.3%	16.1%	8.9%
Brazil	Tambe	2017	14.8%	16.8%	12.7%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Leverger	2017	8.1%	9.8%	6.4%
Brazil	Piedade do Ponte Nova	2017	7.8%	9.8%	6.2%
Brazil	Orizona	2017	7.9%	10.3%	5.9%
Brazil	Cassiterita	2017	7.4%	9.8%	5.6%
Brazil	Dores do Rio Preto	2017	2.6%	3.6%	1.8%
Brazil	Senador Guiomard	2017	17.7%	20.4%	15.3%
Brazil	Água Doce do Norte	2017	5.6%	7.8%	3.9%
Brazil	Chã Preta	2017	18.0%	21.1%	15.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Rio Negro	2017	10.8%	17.9%	5.9%
Brazil	Terezinha	2017	15.9%	18.6%	13.7%
Brazil	João Lisboa	2017	34.8%	39.3%	30.3%
Brazil	Montividiu do Norte	2017	12.7%	16.6%	9.4%
Brazil	Ouvidor	2017	10.3%	13.0%	7.9%
Brazil	Riacho Frio	2017	26.1%	33.9%	18.8%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2017	16.9%	20.7%	13.9%
Brazil	Toritama	2017	16.7%	19.1%	14.7%
Brazil	Glorinha	2017	3.2%	3.9%	2.5%
Brazil	Navegantes	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Brazil	Coreaú	2017	22.8%	26.0%	19.9%
Brazil	Nova Itaberaba	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Ibicoara	2017	13.9%	17.6%	10.4%
Brazil	Santarém	2017	15.1%	18.0%	12.5%
Brazil	Jaguariaíva	2017	3.0%	4.3%	2.0%
Brazil	Esperantina	2017	19.7%	24.3%	16.0%
Brazil	Tianguá	2017	15.8%	18.1%	13.6%
Brazil	Água Azul do Norte	2017	9.9%	12.6%	7.6%
Brazil	Buquim	2017	10.2%	12.2%	8.3%
Brazil	Gravataí	2017	3.4%	3.9%	2.9%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	14.5%	17.8%	11.2%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Brazil	Trairi	2017	19.5%	22.9%	16.3%
Brazil	Janiópolis	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Afonso Cunha	2017	37.9%	44.3%	31.0%
Brazil	São Félix de Balsas	2017	36.2%	46.7%	26.8%
Brazil	Chácara	2017	4.2%	5.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Arroio do Sal	2017	2.8%	4.1%	1.7%
Brazil	Avai	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Brazil	São Domingos de Pombal	2017	17.9%	21.6%	14.5%
Brazil	Otacílio Costa	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Brazil	Marechal deodoro	2017	20.7%	23.5%	18.0%
Brazil	São Francisco do Maranhão	2017	33.0%	40.0%	26.9%
Brazil	Crato	2017	17.3%	19.4%	15.5%
Brazil	Muniz Freire	2017	4.1%	5.4%	3.0%
Brazil	Jangada	2017	8.8%	11.8%	6.9%
Brazil	Umirim	2017	17.5%	20.5%	14.8%
Brazil	Alecrim	2017	3.5%	4.8%	2.2%
Brazil	Caracol	2017	24.2%	30.6%	17.9%
Brazil	Piranhas	2017	7.7%	10.9%	5.3%
Brazil	Chaveslandia	2017	5.8%	7.8%	3.9%
Brazil	Avanhandava	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Figueirópolis	2017	36.0%	41.8%	30.5%
Brazil	Chiador	2017	3.8%	4.9%	2.9%
Brazil	Jesuítas	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.2%
Brazil	Maribondo	2017	22.9%	26.0%	20.1%
Brazil	Horizontalina	2017	2.8%	3.9%	1.9%
Brazil	Painel	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Brazil	Ferno	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Piripá	2017	17.4%	21.4%	14.2%
Brazil	Anhembi	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Nioaque	2017	3.3%	5.1%	1.9%
Brazil	Xexéu	2017	17.7%	19.9%	15.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Félix do Piauí	2017	29.5%	37.5%	22.0%
Brazil	Palhoça	2017	0.9%	1.5%	0.6%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis do Piauí	2017	26.4%	32.7%	19.2%
Brazil	Coité do Nóia	2017	21.7%	24.5%	19.5%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Ramos	2017	12.7%	16.7%	9.3%
Brazil	São José de Caiana	2017	15.6%	19.4%	12.5%
Brazil	Itaju do Colônia	2017	18.1%	21.3%	14.8%
Brazil	Cruz	2017	20.0%	23.8%	16.2%
Brazil	Flórida	2017	3.9%	5.2%	2.7%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Piauí	2017	29.4%	35.9%	23.5%
Brazil	Arraial do Cabo	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio doce	2017	6.6%	9.0%	4.6%
Brazil	Caçapava do Sul	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	Ibirapuitã	2017	3.3%	4.3%	2.4%
Brazil	Gouvea	2017	3.9%	5.6%	2.8%
Brazil	Barra dos Bugre	2017	8.7%	11.3%	6.5%
Brazil	São João da Fronteira	2017	28.3%	33.7%	22.9%
Brazil	Caraá	2017	2.7%	3.7%	1.9%
Brazil	Ibirubá	2017	2.9%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Matriz de Camaragibe	2017	20.6%	23.1%	17.7%
Brazil	Igrejinha	2017	3.1%	3.9%	2.4%
Brazil	Itanagra	2017	21.0%	24.8%	17.5%
Brazil	Cambé	2017	3.2%	4.0%	2.6%
Brazil	Cumarú	2017	20.2%	22.7%	17.7%
Brazil	São José dos Cordeiros	2017	17.0%	20.4%	13.7%
Brazil	Anajatuba	2017	36.7%	42.7%	31.1%
Brazil	Conceição das Pedras	2017	4.8%	6.6%	3.5%
Brazil	Messias	2017	17.9%	20.1%	15.5%
Brazil	Garça	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Arroio do Tigre	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Itapé	2017	20.7%	23.7%	17.8%
Brazil	Rio Verde	2017	6.2%	7.9%	4.7%
Brazil	Arroio Trinta	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Caxingó	2017	28.7%	34.9%	23.9%
Brazil	Itapetinga	2017	17.8%	21.0%	14.6%
Brazil	Satubinha	2017	36.9%	42.3%	31.2%
Brazil	Goianorte	2017	27.2%	32.6%	22.5%
Brazil	Inhacor	2017	3.2%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Carlos Gomes	2017	3.0%	4.1%	2.1%
Brazil	General Salgado	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Itapicuru	2017	16.1%	19.1%	13.7%
Brazil	Senador Alexandre Costa	2017	36.9%	43.8%	29.9%
Brazil	Santo Antônio	2017	12.5%	14.8%	10.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Juliao	2017	25.6%	31.4%	20.5%
Brazil	Eusébio	2017	19.2%	21.3%	17.3%
Brazil	Guimarães	2017	37.2%	45.2%	29.7%
Brazil	Sapé	2017	14.6%	16.9%	12.5%
Brazil	Campina da Lagoa	2017	3.8%	5.3%	2.7%
Brazil	Joviânia	2017	7.1%	9.1%	5.2%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	3.5%	4.0%	3.0%
Brazil	Ferreira Gomes	2017	4.4%	6.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Propriá	2017	15.3%	17.8%	12.8%
Brazil	Planalto Alegre	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.5%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Goiás	2017	6.2%	8.0%	4.6%
Brazil	Mandaguaçu	2017	3.6%	4.5%	2.7%
Brazil	Colinas do Tocantins	2017	29.4%	34.3%	24.1%
Brazil	Pomerode	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Brazil	Paranhos	2017	4.3%	7.2%	2.1%
Brazil	Serra Grande	2017	15.9%	19.3%	12.9%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2017	16.7%	19.1%	14.8%
Brazil	Ponta Alta	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Brazil	Cocal dos Alves	2017	27.1%	32.6%	22.2%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2017	11.3%	15.1%	8.2%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Araguaia	2017	5.5%	8.4%	3.4%
Brazil	Congonhas	2017	5.9%	7.4%	4.4%
Brazil	São Pedro do Piauí	2017	27.3%	33.4%	21.7%
Brazil	Itaqui	2017	2.2%	3.4%	1.2%
Brazil	Riachão do Dantas	2017	11.3%	13.2%	9.5%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2017	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%
Brazil	Antônio Almeida	2017	32.1%	41.3%	24.8%
Brazil	Timbiras	2017	36.7%	43.8%	30.4%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Piauí	2017	30.2%	36.7%	23.0%
Brazil	Murici	2017	18.0%	20.3%	15.7%
Brazil	Domingos Martins	2017	2.4%	3.2%	1.9%
Brazil	Dom Aquino	2017	8.6%	11.5%	6.1%
Brazil	Castelândia	2017	7.2%	10.0%	5.0%
Brazil	Sebastião Barros	2017	23.3%	30.7%	16.6%
Brazil	Bacuri	2017	31.4%	38.8%	24.1%
Brazil	Porto Belo	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Brazil	Santa Tereza de Goiás	2017	8.4%	11.2%	6.2%
Brazil	Cocal	2017	26.2%	32.0%	21.7%
Brazil	Ivoti	2017	3.6%	4.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Janduís	2017	11.2%	14.0%	8.6%
Brazil	Porto União	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.6%
Brazil	Ametista do Sul	2017	2.9%	3.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Marechal Cândido Rondon	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	São José da Barra	2017	7.7%	10.2%	5.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campina Grande	2017	14.8%	16.7%	12.9%
Brazil	Alcinópolis	2017	6.0%	9.0%	3.2%
Brazil	Ipanema	2017	7.0%	9.0%	5.2%
Brazil	Uruará	2017	12.2%	16.4%	8.8%
Brazil	Maria Helena	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.3%
Brazil	Simões	2017	21.9%	27.6%	17.9%
Brazil	Fortaleza	2017	19.4%	20.6%	18.3%
Brazil	São Luís do Quitunde	2017	19.4%	22.2%	16.5%
Brazil	Codó	2017	38.3%	45.7%	32.1%
Brazil	Campo Bonito	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.3%
Brazil	Quatis	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Brazil	Coração de Jesus	2017	6.7%	8.3%	5.1%
Brazil	Sousa	2017	15.2%	18.3%	12.5%
Brazil	Socorro do Piauí	2017	29.7%	38.6%	22.2%
Brazil	Guararema	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Serranópolis de Minas	2017	6.7%	8.5%	5.1%
Brazil	Jaquirana	2017	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Brazil	Urucurituba	2017	11.4%	15.2%	8.5%
Brazil	Simão Pereira	2017	3.8%	5.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Fortim	2017	19.8%	23.6%	16.6%
Brazil	Mariluz	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Coelho Neto	2017	30.9%	37.1%	25.6%
Brazil	Marcelândia	2017	7.9%	11.5%	4.9%
Brazil	Guareí	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Jacareacanga	2017	10.0%	15.0%	6.2%
Brazil	Jaguaripe	2017	21.3%	24.0%	18.9%
Brazil	Condado	2017	17.5%	21.1%	14.5%
Brazil	Guariba	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Capinzal	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%
Brazil	Júlio de Castilhos	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.7%
Brazil	Amajari	2017	6.0%	9.2%	3.4%
Brazil	Rio das Antas	2017	1.7%	2.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Rio do Campo	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Lagoa Vermelha	2017	2.2%	3.2%	1.5%
Brazil	Jitaúna	2017	19.2%	22.2%	16.4%
Brazil	Lagoão	2017	2.9%	3.6%	2.0%
Brazil	Coronel Xavier Chaves	2017	7.2%	9.3%	5.5%
Brazil	Juazeiro	2017	14.8%	16.6%	13.0%
Brazil	União do Sul	2017	8.4%	12.6%	5.3%
Brazil	Araquari	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Brazil	Wall Ferraz	2017	29.8%	36.8%	23.9%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	20.0%	24.2%	16.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Cambucá	2017	17.8%	20.6%	15.3%
Brazil	Araujos	2017	6.9%	8.5%	5.1%
Brazil	Silvânia	2017	6.8%	8.3%	5.6%
Brazil	Riqueza	2017	2.7%	3.7%	1.9%
Brazil	Chapadão do Sul	2017	4.0%	6.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Icaraíma	2017	3.7%	5.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Cristais	2017	6.8%	8.8%	5.0%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	9.6%	11.7%	7.8%
Brazil	Laje	2017	19.7%	22.5%	16.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Apuiarés	2017	20.1%	23.7%	16.2%
Brazil	Palmeirais	2017	33.5%	40.1%	27.4%
Brazil	Serra Negra do Norte	2017	14.1%	16.6%	11.4%
Brazil	Pindorama do Tocantins	2017	32.5%	39.6%	25.0%
Brazil	Anagé	2017	24.7%	28.3%	21.3%
Brazil	Maraú	2017	3.2%	4.1%	2.3%
Brazil	Igaratá	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Brazil	Nova Cantu	2017	4.1%	5.7%	2.8%
Brazil	Cubatão	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Brazil	Mato Castelhano	2017	3.1%	4.0%	2.3%
Brazil	Indaiatuba	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Iperó	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Brazil	Cariri do Tocantins	2017	29.7%	34.3%	24.7%
Brazil	Marapanim	2017	8.9%	11.0%	6.9%
Brazil	Manoel Victorino	2017	23.6%	27.8%	19.7%
Brazil	Ortigueira	2017	3.6%	4.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Iracemápolis	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Brazil	Conceição	2017	15.5%	18.6%	12.9%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2017	2.2%	3.1%	1.5%
Brazil	Divisa Nova	2017	8.7%	11.2%	6.2%
Brazil	Apiacá	2017	3.4%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Riacho de Santo Antônio	2017	18.3%	21.1%	15.8%
Brazil	Andira	2017	2.1%	3.0%	1.5%
Brazil	Matina	2017	20.9%	25.2%	17.4%
Brazil	Ichu	2017	21.0%	25.0%	17.9%
Brazil	Mirante	2017	25.1%	29.3%	20.8%
Brazil	Engenheiro Beltrão	2017	4.5%	5.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Herval d'Oeste	2017	1.9%	2.7%	1.2%
Brazil	Vespasiano Correa	2017	3.3%	4.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Camamu	2017	20.0%	23.8%	16.7%
Brazil	Mortugaba	2017	13.1%	16.9%	10.0%
Brazil	Horizonte	2017	17.7%	19.8%	15.7%
Brazil	Peabiru	2017	3.8%	5.0%	2.7%
Brazil	Fernando Falção	2017	37.8%	46.9%	28.5%
Brazil	Sidrolândia	2017	3.0%	4.3%	1.9%
Brazil	Nova Lacerda	2017	9.9%	15.0%	6.3%
Brazil	Douradoquara	2017	9.7%	12.5%	7.0%
Brazil	Betim	2017	6.7%	7.6%	5.9%
Brazil	Aliança	2017	17.5%	19.8%	15.5%
Brazil	Curral Velho	2017	15.5%	18.6%	12.8%
Brazil	Acauã	2017	24.0%	30.1%	18.6%
Brazil	Ilhéus	2017	19.1%	21.9%	16.5%
Brazil	Mulungu do Morro	2017	18.4%	22.7%	15.3%
Brazil	Pérola	2017	3.5%	4.9%	2.4%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2017	19.5%	22.7%	16.7%
Brazil	Venha-Ver	2017	13.0%	15.5%	10.7%
Brazil	Nova Ramada	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.3%
Brazil	Alvorada do Gurguéia	2017	28.8%	37.3%	20.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Lourdes	2017	24.8%	30.8%	19.5%
Brazil	Vargem Alta	2017	3.0%	3.9%	2.2%
Brazil	Cristianópolis	2017	6.9%	8.8%	4.9%
Brazil	Formosa da Serra Negra	2017	34.3%	42.8%	26.1%
Brazil	Engenheiro Navarro	2017	6.2%	8.2%	4.5%
Brazil	Nova Marilândia	2017	8.0%	10.6%	5.3%
Brazil	Bias Fortes	2017	5.3%	6.8%	3.8%
Brazil	Melgaço	2017	9.7%	12.9%	7.3%
Brazil	Entre Folhas	2017	5.8%	7.6%	4.5%
Brazil	Damião	2017	14.3%	17.3%	11.9%
Brazil	Castro	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.2%
Brazil	Itirapina	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Dirceu Arcoverde	2017	25.9%	32.2%	20.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Salgada	2017	11.5%	13.4%	9.6%
Brazil	Novo Cabrais	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.7%
Brazil	Timbó	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Brazil	Coronel Martins	2017	2.6%	3.6%	1.7%
Brazil	Bertioga	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Arapiraca	2017	20.3%	22.4%	18.1%
Brazil	Esmeraldas	2017	6.2%	7.3%	5.3%
Brazil	Campo Formoso	2017	18.6%	21.7%	15.3%
Brazil	Morro da Fumaça	2017	1.7%	2.7%	1.1%
Brazil	Cedro	2017	15.6%	18.7%	12.6%
Brazil	Mamanguape	2017	14.3%	16.7%	12.2%
Brazil	Desterro de Malta	2017	13.9%	16.6%	11.2%
Brazil	Ibirajuba	2017	19.7%	22.6%	17.1%
Brazil	Dom Expedito Lopes	2017	25.7%	31.5%	21.0%
Brazil	São João da Barra	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Tubarão	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Brazil	Canela	2017	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Brazil	Nova Ibiá	2017	18.7%	22.2%	16.2%
Brazil	Correia Pinto	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Bilac	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Tobias Barreto	2017	13.4%	15.9%	11.1%
Brazil	Mesquita	2017	7.4%	9.5%	5.9%
Brazil	Jabuticabal	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Estrela dalva	2017	3.2%	4.6%	2.2%
Brazil	Pilar	2017	22.5%	25.2%	20.4%
Brazil	Bacabal	2017	38.1%	44.1%	32.9%
Brazil	Cacoal	2017	6.9%	8.6%	5.4%
Brazil	Turvo	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Ibicuitinga	2017	21.2%	24.9%	18.0%
Brazil	Nova Redenção	2017	24.0%	28.8%	19.1%
Brazil	Viana	2017	5.0%	5.9%	4.2%
Brazil	Cromínia	2017	7.5%	9.4%	5.9%
Brazil	Fortuna	2017	39.2%	45.8%	32.2%
Brazil	Taquarussu	2017	3.9%	5.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Nova Monte Verde	2017	8.0%	12.3%	4.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Capinzal do Norte	2017	39.1%	45.5%	32.4%
Brazil	Iracema	2017	5.4%	7.4%	3.5%
Brazil	Eugenópolis	2017	3.8%	5.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Igaracu	2017	16.6%	18.3%	14.9%
Brazil	Dom Inocêncio	2017	26.6%	33.4%	20.4%
Brazil	São João de Meriti	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Lajes Pintadas	2017	12.1%	14.9%	9.5%
Brazil	São Bento	2017	37.2%	43.8%	31.5%
Brazil	Canguçu	2017	2.7%	3.7%	1.9%
Brazil	Birigui	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Paráí	2017	3.0%	4.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Belterra	2017	9.5%	12.1%	7.2%
Brazil	Vila Pavão	2017	6.7%	8.8%	4.8%
Brazil	Cumari	2017	10.1%	12.8%	7.8%
Brazil	Pareci Novo	2017	4.1%	4.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Terenos	2017	3.7%	5.1%	2.5%
Brazil	Oliveria dos Brejinhos	2017	21.0%	25.1%	17.0%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	6.1%	8.0%	4.6%
Brazil	Vargem	2017	1.6%	2.5%	0.9%
Brazil	Santo André	2017	18.2%	22.2%	14.7%
Brazil	Felisberto Caldeira	2017	6.1%	8.6%	3.9%
Brazil	Presidente Castelo Branco	2017	3.9%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Criciúma	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Brazil	Passo do Sobrado	2017	3.8%	4.9%	3.0%
Brazil	Umbauba	2017	10.6%	12.8%	8.6%
Brazil	Miranorte	2017	31.4%	36.7%	26.3%
Brazil	Felisburgo	2017	7.8%	10.9%	5.2%
Brazil	Primeiro de Maio	2017	3.0%	4.2%	2.0%
Brazil	Aparecida do Rio Negro	2017	33.4%	39.2%	27.7%
Brazil	Canavieiras	2017	21.2%	26.2%	16.9%
Brazil	Icó	2017	19.7%	22.6%	16.3%
Brazil	Damianópolis	2017	9.5%	13.0%	6.2%
Brazil	Gonçalves Dias	2017	38.0%	44.5%	31.6%
Brazil	Três Lagoas	2017	3.3%	4.9%	2.0%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2017	8.3%	10.7%	6.1%
Brazil	Muaná	2017	9.8%	11.9%	7.9%
Brazil	Guaíba	2017	3.5%	4.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Cândido Mota	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Brazil	São José do Vale do Rio Preto	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.8%
Brazil	Lucrécia	2017	12.5%	15.4%	9.9%
Brazil	Barroquinha	2017	26.2%	31.6%	21.8%
Brazil	Capão da Canoa	2017	3.6%	4.2%	3.1%
Brazil	Quatro Barras	2017	3.3%	4.1%	2.6%
Brazil	Cunha Porã	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Brazil	Monte do Carmo	2017	32.9%	39.3%	27.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pau Brasil	2017	16.9%	20.6%	13.8%
Brazil	Poço das Trincheiras	2017	21.0%	24.2%	17.9%
Brazil	Candeal	2017	20.4%	23.9%	17.2%
Brazil	Iguatu	2017	20.3%	23.1%	17.4%
Brazil	Umari	2017	19.2%	22.2%	15.5%
Brazil	Anamã	2017	11.4%	15.4%	7.7%
Brazil	Bocaiúva	2017	6.3%	8.3%	4.8%
Brazil	Cândido Rodrigues	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Piriá	2017	12.5%	15.9%	9.5%
Brazil	Junqueirópolis	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Duas Estradas	2017	15.5%	18.1%	12.8%
Brazil	Quinta do Sol	2017	4.5%	5.9%	3.2%
Brazil	Chopinzinho	2017	3.8%	5.2%	2.6%
Brazil	Pinheirinho do Vale	2017	3.0%	4.3%	2.2%
Brazil	Ingazeira	2017	19.4%	22.4%	16.4%
Brazil	Eliseu Martins	2017	29.1%	39.1%	21.1%
Brazil	Fortuna de Minas	2017	7.1%	9.0%	5.5%
Brazil	Nova América	2017	5.6%	7.7%	3.9%
Brazil	Ramilândia	2017	3.6%	5.0%	2.5%
Brazil	Marechal Thaumaturgo	2017	15.5%	21.8%	10.9%
Brazil	Fernando Prestes	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Alvarães	2017	10.0%	14.6%	6.6%
Brazil	Vitoria	2017	4.4%	5.2%	3.6%
Brazil	Diorama	2017	7.0%	10.0%	4.7%
Brazil	Governador Edison Lobão	2017	36.6%	42.4%	31.5%
Brazil	Nova Xavantina	2017	7.0%	10.4%	4.5%
Brazil	Bom despacho	2017	6.9%	8.8%	5.2%
Brazil	Nova Ipixuna	2017	9.5%	12.0%	7.7%
Brazil	Ipojuca	2017	16.9%	19.1%	14.7%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Alto	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.8%
Brazil	Macaíba	2017	10.8%	12.5%	9.6%
Brazil	Capela de Santana	2017	3.3%	4.7%	2.1%
Brazil	Frei Inocêncio	2017	7.5%	9.6%	5.8%
Brazil	Curitibanos	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Bofete	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Mosquito	2017	35.9%	41.6%	30.3%
Brazil	Porto de Pedras	2017	18.9%	21.7%	16.3%
Brazil	Frei Lagonegro	2017	5.6%	7.8%	4.0%
Brazil	Ipaporanga	2017	23.1%	27.7%	19.3%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte do Norte	2017	8.2%	12.3%	4.8%
Brazil	Lindóia	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Brazil	Ipubi	2017	19.7%	22.7%	16.5%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2017	3.0%	3.8%	2.2%
Brazil	Macau	2017	12.6%	16.0%	9.2%
Brazil	Capitão	2017	3.1%	3.9%	2.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Boituva	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Muricilândia	2017	27.2%	31.6%	22.4%
Brazil	Rio Bom	2017	4.0%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Porto Real do Colégio	2017	17.7%	20.4%	15.4%
Brazil	Florestópolis	2017	2.8%	3.9%	2.0%
Brazil	Rio Bonito do Iguaçu	2017	4.0%	5.3%	2.7%
Brazil	Novo Mundo	2017	8.5%	11.6%	5.6%
Brazil	Gameleiras	2017	11.0%	14.3%	8.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Penha	2017	4.9%	6.7%	3.6%
Brazil	Novo Progresso	2017	8.3%	12.7%	4.8%
Brazil	Fagundes	2017	21.7%	24.5%	18.9%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Sul	2017	3.6%	4.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Nova Venécia	2017	6.2%	8.1%	4.7%
Brazil	Flórida Paulista	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Abaíra	2017	19.7%	24.1%	15.9%
Brazil	Luisiania	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.3%
Brazil	Dois Riachos	2017	21.3%	24.8%	18.0%
Brazil	Quebrangulo	2017	19.5%	22.8%	17.0%
Brazil	Gonçalves	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Brazil	Cansanção	2017	21.6%	25.5%	18.1%
Brazil	Patrocínio do Muriaé	2017	4.2%	5.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Quaraí	2017	3.6%	5.9%	2.1%
Brazil	Lutécia	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Edealina	2017	8.0%	10.2%	6.1%
Brazil	Aveiro	2017	10.5%	14.2%	7.6%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Amparo	2017	5.5%	6.9%	4.4%
Brazil	Macatuba	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Brazil	Novo Repartimento	2017	8.5%	10.9%	6.1%
Brazil	Montadas	2017	14.9%	17.0%	12.5%
Brazil	Frei Martinho	2017	12.2%	14.9%	9.8%
Brazil	Colombo	2017	3.3%	4.0%	2.7%
Brazil	Macedonia	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Grão Mogol	2017	5.4%	7.5%	3.6%
Brazil	Dona Emma	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Bon Jesus dos Perdoes	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Jordão	2017	19.9%	26.0%	14.2%
Brazil	Costa Rica	2017	4.7%	7.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Rio Largo	2017	17.1%	19.0%	15.2%
Brazil	Campo Azul	2017	6.3%	9.2%	4.3%
Brazil	Edéia	2017	6.8%	8.7%	5.2%
Brazil	Governador Nunes Freire	2017	26.2%	31.8%	21.4%
Brazil	Paranaíta	2017	7.3%	11.0%	4.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Galho	2017	6.8%	8.3%	5.3%
Brazil	Óbidos	2017	10.6%	14.2%	7.5%
Brazil	Santa Amélia	2017	3.6%	4.7%	2.6%
Brazil	Gado Bravo	2017	21.3%	24.3%	18.3%
Brazil	Manduri	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Colorado	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.2%
Brazil	Riachão das Neves	2017	22.8%	28.7%	18.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itambaracá	2017	19.7%	22.3%	17.5%
Brazil	Floriano	2017	30.1%	36.8%	24.1%
Brazil	Belém	2017	14.5%	16.8%	12.0%
Brazil	Belo Monte	2017	20.6%	24.0%	17.2%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2017	20.5%	24.3%	16.7%
Brazil	Guaranesia	2017	4.2%	5.6%	3.0%
Brazil	Roteiro	2017	23.6%	27.5%	20.3%
Brazil	Capela do Alto Alegre	2017	22.5%	26.7%	19.0%
Brazil	Cantá	2017	6.2%	7.8%	5.0%
Brazil	Guarabira	2017	16.9%	19.7%	14.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Largo	2017	20.4%	24.0%	17.2%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Ivaí	2017	2.1%	3.0%	1.3%
Brazil	Mulungu	2017	17.9%	20.9%	15.1%
Brazil	Oiapoque	2017	4.5%	8.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Anta Gorda	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.5%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Norte	2017	23.4%	25.5%	21.2%
Brazil	Capim Grosso	2017	21.0%	24.1%	17.6%
Brazil	Irauçuba	2017	19.1%	22.3%	16.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Oeste	2017	3.0%	3.8%	2.2%
Brazil	Grajaú	2017	36.2%	44.5%	28.1%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	6.4%	7.9%	5.0%
Brazil	Santa Mariana	2017	3.0%	4.3%	2.0%
Brazil	Oriximiná	2017	9.7%	13.5%	6.8%
Brazil	Sagrada Família	2017	3.2%	4.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Gurinhém	2017	18.6%	21.6%	15.8%
Brazil	Gurinhata	2017	4.3%	6.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Saldanha Maranhão	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Tanguá	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Oeste	2017	2.7%	3.6%	1.8%
Brazil	Nova Iorque	2017	36.4%	43.5%	28.4%
Brazil	Salvador	2017	19.5%	20.8%	18.2%
Brazil	Ibertioga	2017	4.5%	6.0%	3.0%
Brazil	Santana do Itararé	2017	2.6%	3.9%	1.6%
Brazil	Itaíçaba	2017	20.3%	23.5%	17.2%
Brazil	Fazenda Nova	2017	7.4%	9.7%	5.3%
Brazil	Caririaçu	2017	18.4%	20.7%	15.5%
Brazil	Peixoto de Azevedo	2017	8.7%	11.2%	6.4%
Brazil	Bonfim	2017	7.1%	9.1%	5.5%
Brazil	Ourém	2017	9.5%	11.6%	7.8%
Brazil	Mineiros do Tietê	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Brazil	Gurjão	2017	19.2%	23.3%	15.5%
Brazil	Ibiaí	2017	7.0%	10.0%	4.8%
Brazil	São Antonio de Sudoeste	2017	3.1%	4.3%	2.0%
Brazil	Itaitinga	2017	19.4%	21.1%	17.5%
Brazil	Firminópolis	2017	6.8%	8.7%	5.0%
Brazil	Humberto Campos	2017	39.0%	47.3%	31.4%
Brazil	Planalto da Serra	2017	8.5%	11.8%	5.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bonfinópolis de Minas	2017	4.8%	7.4%	2.7%
Brazil	Ourlândia do Norte	2017	8.0%	10.6%	5.7%
Brazil	Mirassolândia	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Ibiara	2017	15.7%	18.8%	13.0%
Brazil	Ibituruna	2017	7.6%	9.4%	5.7%
Brazil	Francisco Santos	2017	27.4%	33.6%	22.4%
Brazil	Trajano de Morais	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Icaraí de Minas	2017	6.3%	9.2%	4.4%
Brazil	Mogi das Cruzes	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Santaluz	2017	23.2%	26.7%	19.8%
Brazil	Itapajé	2017	14.9%	17.2%	12.7%
Brazil	Breves	2017	7.9%	10.0%	6.0%
Brazil	Icatu	2017	40.0%	46.1%	34.4%
Brazil	Poconé	2017	7.9%	10.5%	5.6%
Brazil	Bonito de Minas	2017	7.6%	10.7%	5.5%
Brazil	Pacajá	2017	9.4%	12.4%	6.8%
Brazil	Imaculada	2017	14.9%	17.8%	12.2%
Brazil	Ijaci	2017	7.3%	9.5%	5.8%
Brazil	Cornélio Procopio	2017	2.6%	3.6%	1.9%
Brazil	São Jorge d'Oeste	2017	4.2%	5.7%	3.1%
Brazil	Três Rios	2017	3.0%	3.9%	2.3%
Brazil	Mossoró	2017	10.9%	12.7%	9.2%
Brazil	Bragança Paulista	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Brazil	Oliveira de Fátima	2017	32.5%	38.8%	26.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão	2017	16.1%	18.4%	14.0%
Brazil	Mongaguá	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Carinhanha	2017	18.3%	22.7%	14.6%
Brazil	São José da Boa Vista	2017	3.2%	4.5%	1.9%
Brazil	Cabo Frio	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Goiás	2017	6.1%	7.6%	4.7%
Brazil	Afonso Cláudio	2017	4.3%	5.8%	3.1%
Brazil	Ingá	2017	18.4%	21.1%	16.0%
Brazil	Itabaianinha	2017	11.9%	14.2%	10.1%
Brazil	Valencia	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Brazil	Ingá	2017	7.0%	8.8%	5.3%
Brazil	Caxias do Sul	2017	2.3%	2.8%	1.8%
Brazil	Florianópolis	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Brazil	Brauna	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Palmas	2017	31.9%	35.4%	28.9%
Brazil	São José da Tapera	2017	21.5%	24.4%	18.3%
Brazil	Caparaó	2017	3.8%	5.0%	2.6%
Brazil	Casa Nova	2017	22.2%	26.2%	18.5%
Brazil	Parari	2017	18.7%	22.6%	15.0%
Brazil	Itapiúna	2017	19.7%	23.0%	16.8%
Brazil	Igarapé Grande	2017	36.8%	43.0%	31.5%
Brazil	Ponte Branca	2017	7.8%	10.9%	4.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Borja	2017	3.3%	5.1%	2.1%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2017	19.1%	21.9%	16.5%
Brazil	Coronel Vivida	2017	3.5%	4.9%	2.3%
Brazil	Alexânia	2017	6.8%	8.6%	5.5%
Brazil	Centenário	2017	2.9%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Florinópolis	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Brazil	Brejo Alegre	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Palmeirante	2017	15.0%	19.1%	11.0%
Brazil	Ipatinga	2017	7.1%	8.5%	5.9%
Brazil	Jaguaruna	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	São Francisco de Paula	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.3%
Brazil	São José do Jacuípe	2017	23.4%	27.3%	20.2%
Brazil	Goianópolis	2017	5.6%	6.7%	4.6%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2017	2.8%	4.0%	1.9%
Brazil	Itupiranga	2017	9.4%	11.6%	7.4%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2017	14.7%	17.7%	11.5%
Brazil	Alto Parnaíba	2017	33.5%	43.1%	23.4%
Brazil	Sarandi	2017	3.6%	4.6%	2.8%
Brazil	Guadalupe	2017	33.3%	41.6%	25.9%
Brazil	Vassouras	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Brazil	Ibiraçu	2017	5.3%	6.9%	4.0%
Brazil	Paulino Neves	2017	37.0%	46.3%	29.7%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Campos	2017	19.7%	22.7%	16.9%
Brazil	Salidao	2017	16.7%	19.4%	13.9%
Brazil	Catolândia	2017	19.7%	24.9%	15.5%
Brazil	Deodópolis	2017	3.5%	5.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Itatira	2017	15.5%	19.0%	13.0%
Brazil	Saubara	2017	21.9%	24.8%	19.2%
Brazil	Goiandira	2017	9.7%	12.3%	7.3%
Brazil	Itaipava do Grajaú	2017	39.3%	46.7%	31.6%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Norte	2017	9.2%	13.9%	5.9%
Brazil	Bras Pires	2017	8.1%	10.0%	6.3%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2017	10.3%	13.7%	7.4%
Brazil	Aquidauana	2017	3.5%	5.4%	2.0%
Brazil	Cruz Machado	2017	3.2%	4.3%	2.2%
Brazil	Guaribas	2017	23.7%	31.7%	17.5%
Brazil	Cerro Branco	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Itaguara	2017	4.5%	6.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Forquilha	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Paraíso do Tocantins	2017	29.9%	34.6%	25.8%
Brazil	Nova Aliança	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	São Miguel dos Milagres	2017	21.4%	25.4%	18.0%
Brazil	Sulina	2017	4.2%	5.6%	2.9%
Brazil	Buenos Aires	2017	17.0%	19.4%	14.9%
Brazil	Jaguaretama	2017	21.7%	25.4%	18.0%
Brazil	Itatuba	2017	20.8%	23.9%	18.1%
Brazil	São Leopoldo	2017	3.2%	3.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Jucati	2017	16.1%	18.3%	14.1%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	12.7%	15.4%	10.3%
Brazil	Tapejara	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Cerro Grande do Sul	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Fraiburgo	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Buri	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Paraná	2017	25.4%	31.2%	20.0%
Brazil	São Luiz Gonzaga	2017	3.3%	4.5%	2.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião	2017	22.5%	25.6%	19.6%
Brazil	Itambé do Mato Dentro	2017	5.8%	7.8%	4.1%
Brazil	Cachoeirinha	2017	31.1%	35.9%	26.0%
Brazil	Ribas do Rio Pardo	2017	4.1%	6.1%	2.3%
Brazil	Piçarra	2017	15.9%	19.7%	12.3%
Brazil	São Martinho da Serra	2017	3.3%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Simões Filho	2017	19.5%	21.2%	17.9%
Brazil	Itamonte	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.7%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Oeste	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Bodoquena	2017	3.2%	5.7%	1.6%
Brazil	Jupiaá	2017	16.3%	18.6%	14.2%
Brazil	Paraná	2017	12.5%	15.1%	9.9%
Brazil	Itanhandu	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.3%
Brazil	Cerro Grande	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Frei Rogério	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Buritama	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Pau d'Arco	2017	19.1%	23.0%	14.5%
Brazil	Texeira Soares	2017	3.6%	4.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Vigia	2017	9.8%	11.9%	7.9%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2017	28.5%	35.7%	22.1%
Brazil	Anajas	2017	8.3%	10.8%	6.1%
Brazil	Placas	2017	9.6%	13.0%	6.9%
Brazil	Branquinha	2017	17.7%	20.1%	15.3%
Brazil	Jurema	2017	15.9%	18.3%	13.6%
Brazil	Inhuma	2017	27.8%	34.2%	23.0%
Brazil	Paraú	2017	10.4%	13.0%	7.7%
Brazil	Ocaçu	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Brazil	Cerro Largo	2017	3.5%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Itapeva	2017	6.3%	8.0%	4.8%
Brazil	Galvão	2017	2.5%	3.6%	1.7%
Brazil	Buritizal	2017	3.0%	4.1%	2.0%
Brazil	Itamarati	2017	12.5%	19.2%	7.0%
Brazil	Tanque Novo	2017	17.9%	21.5%	14.4%
Brazil	Jaguaruana	2017	19.0%	21.8%	15.9%
Brazil	Amparo	2017	16.9%	20.1%	13.7%
Brazil	Ponta de Pedras	2017	10.2%	12.2%	8.4%
Brazil	Taperoá	2017	19.4%	22.6%	16.6%
Brazil	Água Clara	2017	4.5%	7.2%	2.4%
Brazil	Parazinho	2017	10.9%	14.5%	7.8%
Brazil	Montes Claros de Goiás	2017	7.7%	10.9%	5.5%
Brazil	Chapada	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Garopaba	2017	1.2%	2.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Cabrália Paulista	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Alvorada do Sul	2017	2.9%	4.0%	1.9%
Brazil	Cícero Dantas	2017	18.3%	21.4%	15.5%
Brazil	Ubiratã	2017	4.2%	5.6%	3.1%
Brazil	Jardim	2017	16.4%	19.1%	13.9%
Brazil	Goiatuba	2017	6.5%	8.0%	5.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Primavera do Leste	2017	6.4%	9.0%	4.3%
Brazil	Itumirim	2017	7.2%	9.1%	5.6%
Brazil	Curitiba	2017	3.2%	3.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Serra de São Bento	2017	11.7%	14.2%	9.6%
Brazil	Lagoa do Itaenga	2017	13.5%	15.2%	11.8%
Brazil	Maçambará	2017	3.5%	5.1%	2.4%
Brazil	Garuva	2017	3.1%	4.4%	2.2%
Brazil	Pequizeiro	2017	25.7%	30.2%	20.9%
Brazil	Itutinga	2017	7.0%	9.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Uraí	2017	3.6%	4.7%	2.6%
Brazil	Seberi	2017	2.8%	3.9%	1.9%
Brazil	Cipó	2017	18.9%	22.5%	15.7%
Brazil	Jati	2017	19.2%	22.4%	16.3%
Brazil	Missal	2017	3.9%	5.5%	2.7%
Brazil	Joselândia	2017	38.5%	45.4%	31.9%
Brazil	Querência	2017	8.1%	12.6%	4.6%
Brazil	Bueno Brandão	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Brazil	Porto de Moz	2017	9.0%	12.2%	6.1%
Brazil	Ventania	2017	3.2%	4.7%	2.2%
Brazil	Amarante	2017	31.8%	39.6%	25.0%
Brazil	Pacaembu	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Charrua	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2017	2.7%	3.6%	1.9%
Brazil	Antônio Prado	2017	3.2%	4.0%	2.4%
Brazil	Caçapava	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Brazil	Cristais Paulista	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.5%
Brazil	Teotônio Vilela	2017	23.5%	26.3%	20.6%
Brazil	Vila Alta	2017	4.0%	5.6%	2.7%
Brazil	Coaraci	2017	16.7%	19.7%	14.0%
Brazil	Belford Roxo	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Corumbiara	2017	8.0%	11.2%	5.4%
Brazil	Guapó	2017	7.5%	8.7%	6.0%
Brazil	Junco do Maranhão	2017	22.2%	27.5%	17.4%
Brazil	Reserva do Cabaçal	2017	7.4%	10.8%	4.9%
Brazil	Buenópolis	2017	6.9%	10.0%	4.6%
Brazil	Prainha	2017	10.2%	13.6%	7.2%
Brazil	Diamante d'Oeste	2017	3.6%	4.9%	2.4%
Brazil	Quixelô	2017	20.7%	23.7%	17.7%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Gatos	2017	17.9%	20.0%	15.5%
Brazil	Janaúba	2017	5.5%	7.5%	3.8%
Brazil	Passa e Fica	2017	13.5%	16.0%	11.3%
Brazil	Antônio Martins	2017	11.6%	15.0%	9.3%
Brazil	Governador Celso Ramos	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Brazil	Cachoeira Paulista	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Brazil	Nova América da Colina	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Álvares Florence	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Traipu	2017	19.9%	22.9%	17.1%
Brazil	Japaraíba	2017	6.8%	8.8%	5.3%
Brazil	Cocos	2017	12.8%	16.3%	9.1%
Brazil	Sete de Setembro	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Cedro de São João	2017	14.7%	17.1%	12.4%
Brazil	Alto Feliz	2017	3.3%	3.9%	2.6%
Brazil	Ribeirão Cascalheira	2017	8.8%	13.4%	5.3%
Brazil	Bugre	2017	7.4%	9.5%	5.9%
Brazil	Primavera	2017	10.4%	12.6%	8.5%
Brazil	Jeceaba	2017	7.0%	9.0%	5.3%
Brazil	Juripiranga	2017	16.2%	18.5%	13.9%
Brazil	Paranapuã	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Cristalândia do Piauí	2017	24.3%	31.5%	17.7%
Brazil	Abadia dos Dourados	2017	8.2%	10.8%	5.8%
Brazil	Campo Novo de Rondônia	2017	6.8%	9.3%	4.8%
Brazil	Grão Pará	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Jequitaiá	2017	8.0%	10.9%	5.4%
Brazil	União dos Palmares	2017	17.2%	19.5%	14.8%
Brazil	Pariquera-Açu	2017	1.5%	2.4%	0.8%
Brazil	Conceição da Feira	2017	20.8%	23.5%	18.5%
Brazil	Várzea Nova	2017	17.0%	21.1%	13.8%
Brazil	Jucás	2017	21.6%	25.2%	18.8%
Brazil	Guarani de Goiás	2017	5.8%	7.9%	4.0%
Brazil	Ribeirãozinho	2017	7.8%	11.1%	5.0%
Brazil	Buritis	2017	6.2%	8.8%	4.0%
Brazil	Mucajá	2017	6.2%	8.0%	4.6%
Brazil	Patrocínio Paulista	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.6%
Brazil	Jesuânia	2017	5.2%	6.9%	4.0%
Brazil	Jaicós	2017	27.6%	33.9%	22.3%
Brazil	Tapes	2017	3.1%	4.4%	2.1%
Brazil	Chувиска	2017	3.2%	4.6%	2.2%
Brazil	Vitória da Conquista	2017	18.9%	21.2%	16.6%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Bom Jesus	2017	28.6%	34.6%	22.7%
Brazil	Minas do Leão	2017	3.5%	4.5%	2.6%
Brazil	João Pinheiro	2017	5.4%	7.7%	3.6%
Brazil	Guarinos	2017	6.9%	9.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Redenção	2017	8.9%	11.2%	6.6%
Brazil	Joaquim Felício	2017	7.0%	10.0%	4.6%
Brazil	Abaetetuba	2017	8.5%	10.3%	7.0%
Brazil	Tenente Portela	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Limoeiro	2017	16.7%	18.8%	14.5%
Brazil	Jardim do Mulato	2017	29.7%	36.5%	23.5%
Brazil	Pau dos Ferros	2017	14.4%	17.5%	11.7%
Brazil	Artur Nogueira	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campo do Brito	2017	11.2%	12.9%	9.6%
Brazil	Faxinalzinho	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	Caieiras	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Ponte Alta do Norte	2017	35.6%	42.5%	28.0%
Brazil	Ipeúna	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Tiradentes do Sul	2017	3.5%	5.0%	2.3%
Brazil	Conceição do Almeida	2017	20.8%	23.6%	18.4%
Brazil	Juatuba	2017	6.9%	8.1%	5.8%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Norte	2017	16.4%	19.4%	13.9%
Brazil	Aragominas	2017	25.2%	29.4%	21.2%
Brazil	Lago Verde	2017	35.6%	42.5%	29.7%
Brazil	Juiz de Fora	2017	4.1%	5.2%	3.2%
Brazil	Fazenda Vilanova	2017	3.7%	4.6%	2.9%
Brazil	Macaparana	2017	16.8%	19.5%	14.5%
Brazil	Jatobá do Piauí	2017	28.9%	34.5%	23.6%
Brazil	Pedra Grande	2017	11.6%	15.4%	8.0%
Brazil	Três Arroios	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Ladainha	2017	5.7%	7.6%	4.1%
Brazil	Caiuá	2017	1.6%	2.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Tocantins	2017	32.3%	39.0%	25.6%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Goiás	2017	5.7%	7.8%	4.1%
Brazil	Conceição do Coité	2017	19.4%	22.5%	16.8%
Brazil	Rosário Oeste	2017	8.4%	11.0%	6.2%
Brazil	Rondon do Pará	2017	16.0%	20.1%	12.4%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2017	17.0%	18.8%	15.3%
Brazil	Lagoa	2017	13.7%	16.4%	10.6%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2017	10.9%	13.8%	8.2%
Brazil	Guaramirim	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Brazil	Baliza	2017	7.7%	10.9%	5.2%
Brazil	Piquerobi	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Lajinha	2017	5.4%	7.2%	3.8%
Brazil	Conceição do Jacuípe	2017	19.3%	22.0%	17.1%
Brazil	Vitória do Jari	2017	5.8%	8.6%	3.3%
Brazil	Lambari	2017	5.3%	7.1%	4.0%
Brazil	Hidrolina	2017	6.4%	8.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande do Maranhão	2017	37.5%	44.8%	30.5%
Brazil	Manari	2017	17.2%	20.8%	14.1%
Brazil	João Costa	2017	28.8%	37.7%	21.7%
Brazil	Pirajuí	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Abatiá	2017	2.8%	3.7%	2.0%
Brazil	Praia Norte	2017	34.7%	39.0%	30.5%
Brazil	Angical	2017	22.1%	27.2%	18.2%
Brazil	Ubiretama	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Lajeado Novo	2017	36.3%	43.9%	30.2%
Brazil	Santa Carmem	2017	8.0%	10.5%	5.5%
Brazil	Araripe	2017	19.5%	22.9%	16.1%
Brazil	Unistalda	2017	3.2%	4.8%	1.9%
Brazil	Livramento	2017	16.2%	19.4%	12.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Enéas Marques	2017	3.3%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	urea	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Maraial	2017	16.8%	19.0%	14.4%
Brazil	Joaquim Pires	2017	28.7%	33.5%	24.0%
Brazil	Pedro Velho	2017	13.4%	15.9%	11.1%
Brazil	Uruguaiana	2017	3.3%	5.0%	2.0%
Brazil	Condor	2017	3.3%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Lontra	2017	5.7%	7.9%	3.8%
Brazil	Guatambú	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.5%
Brazil	Cajobi	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2017	30.3%	35.7%	25.0%
Brazil	Saudades	2017	2.5%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	Condeúba	2017	17.5%	22.3%	13.6%
Brazil	Marco	2017	19.9%	22.8%	16.8%
Brazil	Inaciolândia	2017	6.8%	9.0%	4.8%
Brazil	Lima Campos	2017	38.3%	44.4%	32.6%
Brazil	Abreu e Lima	2017	16.5%	18.0%	15.0%
Brazil	Frederico Westphalen	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.7%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	35.4%	40.6%	30.0%
Brazil	Cajuru	2017	1.6%	2.4%	1.1%
Brazil	Pugmil	2017	32.5%	38.5%	27.8%
Brazil	Pontes Gestral	2017	1.6%	2.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Tacaratu	2017	19.1%	22.5%	16.2%
Brazil	Malacacheta	2017	5.3%	7.1%	3.5%
Brazil	Major Isidoro	2017	21.8%	25.0%	18.6%
Brazil	Indiara	2017	7.3%	9.4%	5.3%
Brazil	Loreto	2017	34.1%	44.3%	26.0%
Brazil	Santo Afonso	2017	7.6%	10.1%	5.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2017	7.7%	10.1%	5.6%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Pará	2017	10.6%	12.0%	9.2%
Brazil	Porto Feliz	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Paruá	2017	29.5%	35.6%	23.7%
Brazil	Lucena	2017	15.1%	18.5%	12.4%
Brazil	Jutaí	2017	11.6%	16.9%	7.3%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Oeste	2017	4.2%	5.7%	3.0%
Brazil	Moreno	2017	17.3%	18.9%	15.7%
Brazil	José de Freitas	2017	28.1%	32.8%	23.7%
Brazil	Durandé	2017	5.3%	6.7%	3.8%
Brazil	Eldorado dos Carajás	2017	11.1%	13.9%	8.4%
Brazil	Massapê	2017	19.7%	22.5%	17.1%
Brazil	Pradópolis	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Brazil	Luis Domingues	2017	20.9%	26.5%	15.5%
Brazil	Caconde	2017	4.7%	6.1%	3.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Arari	2017	9.1%	12.5%	6.4%
Brazil	Marilac	2017	7.4%	9.6%	5.4%
Brazil	Esperança Nova	2017	3.9%	5.5%	2.7%
Brazil	Vista Alegre	2017	2.7%	3.7%	2.0%
Brazil	Nazaré da Mata	2017	17.2%	19.5%	15.5%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Piauí	2017	28.6%	35.3%	22.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mâncio Lima	2017	15.6%	19.3%	11.7%
Brazil	Ibicaré	2017	1.9%	2.7%	1.2%
Brazil	Muritiba	2017	20.6%	22.9%	18.4%
Brazil	Angra dos Reis	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Brazil	Magalhães de Almeida	2017	29.7%	35.6%	24.7%
Brazil	São Félix Xingu	2017	14.1%	18.8%	10.0%
Brazil	Caetanópolis	2017	6.0%	7.7%	4.4%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Pará	2017	9.5%	10.8%	8.1%
Brazil	Malta	2017	16.6%	20.1%	13.8%
Brazil	Espigão Alto do Iguaçu	2017	3.9%	5.2%	2.7%
Brazil	Gentil	2017	2.9%	3.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Antonina	2017	5.2%	6.6%	3.7%
Brazil	Mathias Lobato	2017	7.5%	9.4%	5.8%
Brazil	Ibirama	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Campo Limpo Paulista	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Rio da Conceição	2017	33.0%	40.5%	26.4%
Brazil	Barreiros	2017	14.8%	17.0%	12.4%
Brazil	Milagres	2017	20.2%	22.9%	17.5%
Brazil	Matipó	2017	5.7%	7.1%	4.3%
Brazil	Acari	2017	12.1%	14.7%	9.7%
Brazil	Caeté	2017	6.2%	7.4%	5.0%
Brazil	Santa Luzia do Pará	2017	11.4%	14.0%	9.3%
Brazil	Matozinhos	2017	6.6%	7.8%	5.4%
Brazil	Nova Friburgo	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Brazil	Trombudo Central	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	3.6%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Pedra Branca do Amapará	2017	3.9%	6.2%	2.0%
Brazil	Portalegre	2017	10.5%	13.4%	8.2%
Brazil	Lagoas de Velhos	2017	11.7%	14.3%	9.4%
Brazil	Ribeirão Bonito	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Içara	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Campos do Jordão	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Maurilândia do Tocantins	2017	37.0%	44.0%	31.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Lopes	2017	39.0%	45.1%	32.1%
Brazil	Canguaretama	2017	12.7%	15.1%	10.3%
Brazil	Mirabela	2017	5.9%	8.3%	3.9%
Brazil	Manaira	2017	13.2%	15.6%	10.4%
Brazil	Ouricuri	2017	18.4%	21.9%	15.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Alegre	2017	29.9%	35.9%	24.5%
Brazil	Tracunhaém	2017	15.5%	17.6%	13.8%
Brazil	Rio Grande do Piauí	2017	28.7%	38.5%	20.4%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant	2017	10.5%	13.8%	7.4%
Brazil	Rio Sono	2017	34.9%	41.2%	28.4%
Brazil	Rincão	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Moema	2017	6.7%	8.7%	5.0%
Brazil	Rinópolis	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Miraíma	2017	20.5%	24.2%	17.6%
Brazil	São José do Xingu	2017	8.4%	12.9%	5.5%
Brazil	Cajuri	2017	5.9%	7.4%	4.4%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Pará	2017	8.7%	10.4%	7.1%
Brazil	Alta Floresta d'Oeste	2017	6.7%	9.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Marcação	2017	15.6%	18.7%	13.0%
Brazil	Fazenda Rio Grande	2017	2.8%	3.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Palmares	2017	17.1%	19.1%	14.9%
Brazil	Amargosa	2017	18.9%	21.8%	15.9%
Brazil	Monte Carmelo	2017	5.5%	7.3%	4.0%
Brazil	Imaruí	2017	1.5%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Cananéia	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Sampaio	2017	32.8%	37.1%	28.3%
Brazil	Caetés	2017	14.8%	17.0%	12.5%
Brazil	Cotegipe	2017	21.2%	25.7%	17.1%
Brazil	Prado Ferreira	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Agudos	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Brazil	Parobé	2017	3.3%	3.9%	2.6%
Brazil	São José dos Quatro Marcos	2017	7.3%	9.9%	5.1%
Brazil	Santana do Araguaia	2017	11.6%	15.6%	8.3%
Brazil	Montezuma	2017	8.4%	11.1%	5.8%
Brazil	Jandaia do Sul	2017	4.0%	5.1%	3.1%
Brazil	Palmeirina	2017	17.3%	19.7%	14.8%
Brazil	Lagoa do Barro do Piauí	2017	24.9%	31.8%	18.8%
Brazil	Antonina do Norte	2017	19.9%	23.5%	16.5%
Brazil	Imbituba	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Canas	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Brazil	Sandolândia	2017	21.1%	28.0%	16.2%
Brazil	Cravolândia	2017	16.8%	20.1%	14.1%
Brazil	Mombaça	2017	20.1%	23.6%	17.0%
Brazil	Vila Valério	2017	4.5%	6.0%	3.3%
Brazil	São Pedro da Cipa	2017	8.4%	11.0%	6.0%
Brazil	Camacho	2017	5.4%	7.1%	4.1%
Brazil	Abdon Batista	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.1%
Brazil	Marizópolis	2017	16.7%	19.8%	13.4%
Brazil	Fernandes Pinheiro	2017	3.6%	4.7%	2.6%
Brazil	Panelas	2017	15.4%	17.7%	13.5%
Brazil	Lagoa do Piauí	2017	30.6%	36.4%	24.8%
Brazil	Imbuia	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Araguaia	2017	25.5%	30.0%	20.7%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Oeste	2017	2.5%	3.9%	1.4%
Brazil	Crisópolis	2017	19.5%	23.4%	16.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Capistrano	2017	16.6%	19.4%	14.0%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2017	17.1%	19.3%	14.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Rio Pardo	2017	0.9%	1.5%	0.6%
Brazil	Figueira	2017	4.4%	6.1%	3.0%
Brazil	Baixo Guandu	2017	6.0%	7.7%	4.2%
Brazil	Cruz Alta	2017	2.8%	3.9%	2.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus das Selvas	2017	32.0%	38.1%	26.1%
Brazil	Indaial	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Brazil	Jardim Alegre	2017	4.0%	5.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Brazil	Cristópolis	2017	19.4%	24.4%	14.5%
Brazil	Amparo de São Francisco	2017	17.4%	20.2%	14.7%
Brazil	Itapaci	2017	6.6%	8.4%	5.0%
Brazil	Sinop	2017	7.1%	9.1%	5.3%
Brazil	Cambuí	2017	2.8%	3.9%	1.9%
Brazil	Flor da Serra do Sul	2017	2.3%	3.3%	1.5%
Brazil	Aloândia	2017	8.1%	10.4%	6.1%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	3.5%	4.4%	2.8%
Brazil	Itaeté	2017	25.4%	30.6%	20.5%
Brazil	Abreulândia	2017	27.6%	33.4%	21.9%
Brazil	Olimpio Noronha	2017	4.4%	6.0%	3.2%
Brazil	Cruz das Almas	2017	19.3%	21.8%	17.0%
Brazil	Moraújo	2017	22.6%	25.9%	19.7%
Brazil	Itapirapuã	2017	7.7%	10.4%	5.5%
Brazil	Oliveira	2017	6.4%	8.4%	4.8%
Brazil	Itagibá	2017	17.4%	20.7%	14.6%
Brazil	Cianorte	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Alto Paraná	2017	2.9%	3.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Passira	2017	19.2%	21.7%	16.7%
Brazil	Landri Sales	2017	31.5%	39.5%	22.9%
Brazil	Riacho de Santana	2017	13.8%	16.5%	11.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Pinhal	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Brazil	Capão Bonito	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Tocantins	2017	32.0%	38.1%	25.9%
Brazil	Botuporã	2017	20.3%	23.9%	16.7%
Brazil	Santópolis do Aguapeí	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Brazil	Morrinhos	2017	20.1%	23.3%	16.9%
Brazil	Limeira	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Brazil	Tangará da Serra	2017	6.8%	9.3%	4.8%
Brazil	Campanário	2017	6.6%	8.9%	4.6%
Brazil	São Domingos do Araguaia	2017	13.6%	16.8%	10.5%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2017	14.3%	17.5%	11.4%
Brazil	Floresta	2017	4.9%	6.1%	3.6%
Brazil	Paudalho	2017	16.9%	18.6%	15.3%
Brazil	Capim	2017	16.1%	18.5%	13.8%
Brazil	Derrubadas	2017	2.8%	4.0%	2.0%
Brazil	Iporã do Oeste	2017	2.3%	3.3%	1.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Tereza do Tocantins	2017	35.6%	41.9%	30.0%
Brazil	São João da Boa Vista	2017	2.1%	2.7%	1.4%
Brazil	Manaquiri	2017	13.5%	16.6%	10.6%
Brazil	Mucambo	2017	24.3%	27.8%	21.1%
Brazil	Itaruma	2017	6.3%	9.0%	4.4%
Brazil	Miranda do Norte	2017	36.8%	43.8%	30.2%
Brazil	Tapurah	2017	7.7%	9.7%	5.7%
Brazil	Campanha	2017	5.9%	7.8%	4.5%
Brazil	Pará de Minas	2017	5.5%	6.8%	4.2%
Brazil	São Joaquim da Barra	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Claro dos Poções	2017	6.5%	9.0%	4.5%
Brazil	Bom Lugar	2017	36.9%	44.0%	31.2%
Brazil	Capivari	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Brazil	Castelo	2017	5.6%	7.2%	4.3%
Brazil	Mulungu	2017	10.2%	12.4%	8.5%
Brazil	São José dos Campos	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Brazil	Itauçu	2017	6.0%	7.4%	4.7%
Brazil	São Félix do Xingu	2017	8.5%	11.7%	5.9%
Brazil	São Luiz do Paraitinga	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Brazil	Mongeiro	2017	20.1%	23.0%	17.0%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Paraíso	2017	2.6%	3.6%	1.7%
Brazil	Pedra	2017	17.0%	19.3%	14.2%
Brazil	Madeiro	2017	32.7%	38.7%	27.2%
Brazil	Águas Vermelhas	2017	10.1%	12.5%	7.7%
Brazil	Dilermano de Aguiar	2017	3.7%	4.8%	2.6%
Brazil	São Paulo	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Ipumirim	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.3%
Brazil	Caragatatuba	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	São Bento do Tocantins	2017	31.0%	35.9%	26.2%
Brazil	Florínia	2017	2.6%	3.7%	1.7%
Brazil	Dom Basílio	2017	23.4%	27.6%	19.6%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	18.4%	21.8%	15.4%
Brazil	Itumbiara	2017	6.4%	8.1%	5.0%
Brazil	Monção	2017	36.5%	42.1%	31.4%
Brazil	Tesouro	2017	7.3%	11.2%	4.7%
Brazil	Campina Verde	2017	3.8%	5.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Paulistas	2017	5.8%	7.7%	4.3%
Brazil	Queimadas	2017	23.4%	26.9%	19.7%
Brazil	Malhada dos Bois	2017	12.8%	15.1%	10.6%
Brazil	Formosa do Oeste	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.6%
Brazil	Pesqueira	2017	16.0%	18.5%	13.7%
Brazil	Manoel Emídio	2017	29.9%	39.7%	21.4%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2017	10.4%	13.0%	8.2%
Brazil	Sarapuí	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Marcelino Vieira	2017	13.4%	16.3%	10.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Serra Azul	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.8%
Brazil	Nova Russas	2017	21.2%	25.0%	17.9%
Brazil	Ivolândia	2017	6.9%	9.4%	4.8%
Brazil	Montes Altos	2017	34.6%	42.6%	28.2%
Brazil	Torixoréu	2017	8.0%	11.2%	5.5%
Brazil	Sete Barras	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Carlinda	2017	8.5%	11.9%	5.6%
Brazil	Pedro Teixeira	2017	5.3%	6.9%	3.9%
Brazil	Foz do Iguaçu	2017	3.5%	4.8%	2.4%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2017	18.2%	21.8%	14.8%
Brazil	Marcolândia	2017	22.7%	27.9%	18.8%
Brazil	Jacaré dos Homens	2017	22.1%	25.9%	18.3%
Brazil	Aparecida	2017	17.3%	21.1%	14.1%
Brazil	Sud Mennucci	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Irani	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Cardoso	2017	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	São Miguel do Tocantins	2017	34.7%	39.2%	30.5%
Brazil	São José do Sabugi	2017	12.8%	15.6%	9.9%
Brazil	Lidianópolis	2017	4.1%	5.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Novo Oriente	2017	22.4%	27.0%	18.5%
Brazil	Morros	2017	38.6%	44.8%	32.7%
Brazil	Campo Belo	2017	6.9%	8.8%	5.0%
Brazil	São João da Ponta	2017	9.4%	11.4%	7.5%
Brazil	Monteiro	2017	17.0%	20.1%	14.1%
Brazil	Amapora	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.0%
Brazil	Foz do Jordão	2017	3.8%	5.1%	2.6%
Brazil	Taiacu	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Marcos Parente	2017	32.4%	40.9%	24.0%
Brazil	Casa Branca	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Brazil	São Salvador do Tocantins	2017	24.9%	30.9%	19.5%
Brazil	Pirajuba	2017	4.2%	6.1%	2.8%
Brazil	Encruzilhada	2017	14.5%	17.3%	11.5%
Brazil	Ocara	2017	19.4%	22.4%	16.8%
Brazil	Taquaritinga	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Várzea Grande	2017	8.4%	9.6%	7.3%
Brazil	Amaraji	2017	12.6%	14.8%	10.9%
Brazil	Francisco Alves	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.6%
Brazil	Poção	2017	12.7%	15.0%	10.2%
Brazil	Massapê do Piauí	2017	27.9%	34.4%	22.0%
Brazil	Santana do Matos	2017	10.5%	13.4%	7.8%
Brazil	Campos Belos	2017	16.1%	21.1%	11.8%
Brazil	Irineópolis	2017	2.2%	3.2%	1.4%
Brazil	Cássia dos Coqueiros	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Tocantins	2017	24.4%	28.5%	20.5%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2017	20.5%	23.9%	17.3%
Brazil	Orós	2017	22.2%	25.3%	18.9%
Brazil	Vera	2017	8.3%	11.3%	5.7%
Brazil	Campo Florido	2017	5.0%	6.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Natuba	2017	16.6%	19.2%	14.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Francisco Beltrão	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Pouso Alegre	2017	5.4%	6.9%	4.1%
Brazil	São Valério da Natividade	2017	31.4%	37.1%	25.7%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Pacajús	2017	19.1%	21.8%	16.7%
Brazil	Jaupaci	2017	8.0%	10.7%	5.7%
Brazil	Bandeirantes do Tocantins	2017	29.0%	34.3%	23.8%
Brazil	Vila Rica	2017	10.8%	15.4%	6.9%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guamá	2017	9.9%	11.9%	8.2%
Brazil	Nazarezinho	2017	16.0%	19.0%	12.8%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2017	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Miguel Alves	2017	31.8%	37.6%	27.0%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	7.6%	10.6%	5.5%
Brazil	Dom Pedro de Alcântara	2017	2.6%	3.8%	1.6%
Brazil	Maraã	2017	12.1%	17.3%	7.5%
Brazil	Itá	2017	2.6%	3.6%	1.7%
Brazil	Apodi	2017	13.3%	16.7%	10.8%
Brazil	Silvanópolis	2017	33.5%	39.7%	28.3%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2017	17.7%	19.6%	15.9%
Brazil	Jesúpolis	2017	7.0%	9.0%	5.4%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Maranhão	2017	31.2%	37.9%	25.1%
Brazil	Campos Gerais	2017	7.2%	9.2%	5.5%
Brazil	Nova Floresta	2017	12.9%	15.3%	10.2%
Brazil	Godoy Moreira	2017	4.5%	5.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Quipapá	2017	16.5%	18.8%	14.3%
Brazil	São Bento do Norte	2017	12.4%	17.3%	8.4%
Brazil	Dona Francisca	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Vargem	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.7%
Brazil	Sítio Novo do Tocantins	2017	34.9%	39.6%	30.3%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha	2017	19.1%	22.2%	15.8%
Brazil	Pacoti	2017	12.5%	14.5%	10.8%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Cunhãs	2017	36.4%	42.6%	30.4%
Brazil	Santana do Mundaú	2017	17.5%	20.2%	15.0%
Brazil	Candeias do Jamari	2017	8.0%	10.0%	6.3%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	15.0%	17.8%	12.2%
Brazil	Goioerê	2017	2.9%	3.9%	2.1%
Brazil	Quixabá	2017	15.5%	18.3%	12.9%
Brazil	Milton Brandão	2017	27.3%	33.2%	22.2%
Brazil	São Bento do Trairi	2017	13.8%	16.4%	11.0%
Brazil	Doutor Maurício Cardoso	2017	3.4%	4.8%	2.3%
Brazil	Macuco	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itapema	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Brazil	Cedral	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Rio Manso	2017	6.8%	8.6%	5.4%
Brazil	Rio Novo	2017	7.6%	9.5%	5.8%
Brazil	Eunápolis	2017	18.4%	21.7%	15.9%
Brazil	Pacujá	2017	24.0%	27.6%	20.5%
Brazil	Normandia	2017	6.1%	9.7%	3.6%
Brazil	Olinda Nova do Maranhão	2017	37.0%	43.7%	31.1%
Brazil	Canaã	2017	5.2%	6.8%	3.6%
Brazil	Senador José Porfírio	2017	9.7%	13.5%	6.8%
Brazil	Nova Palmeira	2017	15.0%	18.4%	11.9%
Brazil	Goioxim	2017	3.2%	4.6%	2.2%
Brazil	Recife	2017	16.9%	17.9%	15.9%
Brazil	Monsenhor Gil	2017	29.8%	36.3%	24.2%
Brazil	São Fernando	2017	12.4%	15.0%	9.9%
Brazil	Doutor Ricardo	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Salto da Divisa	2017	14.1%	17.8%	10.8%
Brazil	Fátima	2017	19.0%	22.7%	16.2%
Brazil	San Antonio do Itambe	2017	6.0%	8.5%	4.3%
Brazil	Leopoldo de Bulhões	2017	6.6%	7.8%	5.4%
Brazil	Paço do Lumiar	2017	38.0%	42.3%	34.2%
Brazil	Canápolis	2017	4.9%	6.8%	3.4%
Brazil	Soure	2017	7.9%	10.2%	5.8%
Brazil	Olho d'Água	2017	17.6%	21.1%	14.2%
Brazil	Grandes Rios	2017	4.1%	5.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Campina do Simão	2017	3.1%	4.4%	2.1%
Brazil	Alfredo Marcondes	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	São Francisco do Oeste	2017	15.2%	18.6%	11.9%
Brazil	Santa Helena de Minas	2017	10.8%	14.1%	7.6%
Brazil	Eldorado do Sul	2017	3.7%	4.2%	3.2%
Brazil	Itapoá	2017	2.9%	4.0%	1.9%
Brazil	Taipas do Tocantins	2017	30.9%	36.7%	24.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Suaçuí	2017	6.5%	8.6%	4.7%
Brazil	Palmácia	2017	16.3%	18.5%	14.3%
Brazil	Canhoba	2017	15.9%	18.8%	13.7%
Brazil	Candeias	2017	6.4%	8.4%	4.8%
Brazil	Tailândia	2017	7.8%	10.3%	6.1%
Brazil	Olivedos	2017	18.6%	22.0%	15.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Novo destino	2017	7.1%	9.0%	5.4%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Piauí	2017	27.8%	36.0%	19.7%
Brazil	Jaguaré	2017	5.1%	6.9%	3.6%
Brazil	Santana dos Montes	2017	7.2%	8.9%	5.6%
Brazil	Paracuru	2017	19.1%	22.8%	15.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mairipotaba	2017	7.8%	9.9%	6.1%
Brazil	Paraibano	2017	32.3%	38.2%	25.4%
Brazil	Terra Alta	2017	9.6%	11.7%	8.0%
Brazil	Santos Dumont	2017	5.5%	7.1%	4.2%
Brazil	Guaíra	2017	4.0%	5.4%	2.8%
Brazil	Rio Formoso	2017	18.0%	20.4%	15.5%
Brazil	Morro Cabeça	2017	24.2%	31.9%	16.7%
Brazil	No Tempo				
Brazil	São João do Sabugi	2017	15.6%	19.0%	12.8%
Brazil	Encruzilhada do Sul	2017	2.6%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Jaborá	2017	1.9%	2.7%	1.2%
Brazil	Charqueada	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Tocantínia	2017	34.0%	39.6%	29.5%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2017	22.7%	26.5%	19.1%
Brazil	Paraipaba	2017	17.1%	20.7%	14.3%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Abaeté	2017	6.1%	9.0%	4.0%
Brazil	Parnarama	2017	37.6%	43.8%	31.7%
Brazil	Santo Cristo	2017	3.2%	4.3%	2.1%
Brazil	São Félix	2017	20.0%	22.7%	17.6%
Brazil	Guamiranga	2017	4.1%	5.7%	2.8%
Brazil	Rio Crespo	2017	7.6%	10.0%	5.6%
Brazil	São João do Manhuaçu	2017	4.2%	5.4%	3.2%
Brazil	São João do Manteninha	2017	5.7%	7.7%	4.0%
Brazil	Firmino Alves	2017	18.4%	22.2%	15.5%
Brazil	Parambu	2017	20.5%	25.1%	16.3%
Brazil	Nísia Floresta	2017	11.6%	13.7%	9.8%
Brazil	Assis Brazil	2017	13.7%	19.5%	8.7%
Brazil	São José do Campestre	2017	13.2%	16.3%	10.9%
Brazil	São José do Mantimento	2017	6.3%	8.4%	4.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Anta	2017	5.3%	6.8%	3.9%
Brazil	Jacutinga	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.1%
Brazil	São Pedro do Suaçuí	2017	7.0%	9.4%	5.2%
Brazil	Tupirama	2017	31.2%	36.5%	26.0%
Brazil	Arneiroz	2017	20.8%	24.6%	17.1%
Brazil	Paramoti	2017	19.9%	23.1%	16.8%
Brazil	Jaramataia	2017	22.0%	25.4%	18.8%
Brazil	Patos	2017	17.6%	20.9%	15.0%
Brazil	Salgueiro	2017	18.3%	21.6%	15.2%
Brazil	São Thomé das Letras	2017	4.5%	5.9%	3.2%
Brazil	São José do Seridó	2017	11.7%	14.8%	9.3%
Brazil	São Tomás de Aquino	2017	2.8%	3.8%	1.9%
Brazil	Entre Rios do Sul	2017	2.6%	3.3%	1.8%
Brazil	Colina	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Brazil	Tupiratins	2017	36.4%	42.7%	29.4%
Brazil	Formosa do Rio Preto	2017	22.6%	29.4%	15.9%
Brazil	Mateira	2017	6.3%	8.8%	4.1%
Brazil	Abadia de Goiás	2017	5.9%	6.9%	4.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Paulista	2017	15.6%	18.7%	12.5%
Brazil	Jaguaquara	2017	15.8%	18.5%	13.2%
Brazil	São Miguel de Touros	2017	11.1%	15.1%	8.1%
Brazil	Serra do Salitre	2017	5.4%	7.3%	3.8%
Brazil	Erebango	2017	2.7%	3.8%	1.9%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.6%
Brazil	Serro	2017	5.2%	7.3%	3.7%
Brazil	Arari	2017	36.4%	42.7%	30.0%
Brazil	Gandu	2017	19.0%	22.4%	16.4%
Brazil	Penaforte	2017	19.2%	22.4%	15.9%
Brazil	Matrinchã	2017	7.8%	11.0%	5.4%
Brazil	Capim Branco	2017	6.0%	7.2%	4.9%
Brazil	Tucumã	2017	7.9%	10.6%	5.3%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2017	15.7%	18.9%	12.7%
Brazil	Guaranaçu	2017	3.4%	4.7%	2.3%
Brazil	Saloá	2017	15.4%	18.2%	13.1%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora dos Remédios	2017	30.6%	36.5%	25.5%
Brazil	São Miguel	2017	14.8%	17.3%	12.4%
Brazil	Caarapó	2017	2.8%	4.1%	1.7%
Brazil	Erechim	2017	2.0%	2.8%	1.4%
Brazil	Tarumirim	2017	6.1%	7.6%	4.6%
Brazil	Joaçaba	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.0%
Brazil	Brotas	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Xambioá	2017	22.0%	25.4%	18.1%
Brazil	Tiradentes	2017	7.1%	9.2%	5.4%
Brazil	Gavião	2017	25.3%	29.8%	21.1%
Brazil	Pentecoste	2017	18.7%	21.9%	15.8%
Brazil	Maurilândia	2017	6.7%	9.0%	4.9%
Brazil	Toledo	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Brazil	Capinópolis	2017	5.0%	6.9%	3.4%
Brazil	Tucuruí	2017	9.4%	12.0%	7.2%
Brazil	Pedra Lavadra	2017	16.4%	19.5%	13.5%
Brazil	Turmalina	2017	6.7%	9.4%	4.5%
Brazil	Anadia	2017	21.1%	23.7%	18.7%
Brazil	Joinvile	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Brazil	Gentio do Ouro	2017	17.1%	20.9%	13.5%
Brazil	Mimoso de Goiás	2017	5.4%	7.2%	3.8%
Brazil	Capitão Andrade	2017	8.0%	10.8%	5.3%
Brazil	Pedras de Fogo	2017	15.9%	18.2%	13.8%
Brazil	Guaraqueçaba	2017	3.5%	5.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Baixa Verde	2017	12.6%	15.1%	10.0%
Brazil	Novo Oriente do Piauí	2017	29.1%	35.7%	23.2%
Brazil	Varjão de Minas	2017	5.3%	7.8%	3.7%
Brazil	Erval Grande	2017	2.2%	3.1%	1.5%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Três Cantos	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.7%
Brazil	Vermelho Novo	2017	5.2%	6.7%	3.9%
Brazil	Glória	2017	21.8%	25.7%	18.0%
Brazil	Pindoretama	2017	19.7%	22.1%	17.0%
Brazil	Penalva	2017	36.4%	43.0%	31.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Uruará	2017	8.4%	11.9%	5.7%
Brazil	Pedro Régis	2017	14.4%	17.1%	12.0%
Brazil	Guaratuba	2017	3.4%	4.8%	2.4%
Brazil	Wenceslau Braz	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Brazil	Eral Seco	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Gongogi	2017	20.3%	23.4%	17.1%
Brazil	Piquet	2017	19.7%	22.9%	16.2%
Brazil	Carneiro				
Brazil	Maricá	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Brazil	Barra d'Alcântara	2017	28.6%	34.9%	22.1%
Brazil	Honório Serpa	2017	3.0%	4.4%	1.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2017	18.9%	22.4%	15.2%
Brazil	Carepebus	2017	1.0%	1.7%	0.6%
Brazil	Santa Luzia d'Oeste	2017	7.4%	9.6%	5.6%
Brazil	Uiraúna	2017	14.0%	16.7%	11.5%
Brazil	Marumbi	2017	4.0%	5.1%	3.0%
Brazil	Areias	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Brazil	Olho d'Água Grande	2017	20.0%	23.2%	17.2%
Brazil	Pires Ferreira	2017	24.3%	28.3%	20.9%
Brazil	Moiporá	2017	6.9%	9.3%	5.0%
Brazil	Peritoró	2017	39.0%	46.6%	33.0%
Brazil	Viseu	2017	15.4%	18.8%	12.5%
Brazil	Zé Doca	2017	30.6%	36.2%	25.1%
Brazil	Olho d'água do Piauí	2017	28.9%	35.2%	22.5%
Brazil	Esmeralda	2017	2.0%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	Lages	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Espigão d'Oeste	2017	7.3%	9.5%	5.3%
Brazil	Guajeru	2017	21.1%	25.0%	17.1%
Brazil	Vitória do Xingu	2017	9.0%	13.0%	5.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Boa Vista	2017	18.4%	22.6%	15.2%
Brazil	Calçoene	2017	3.8%	7.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Esperança do Sul	2017	3.2%	4.4%	2.2%
Brazil	Guanambi	2017	19.9%	23.3%	16.7%
Brazil	Córrego Fundo	2017	6.6%	8.6%	4.8%
Brazil	Buritirana	2017	34.7%	41.6%	28.2%
Brazil	Xinguara	2017	8.5%	11.3%	6.6%
Brazil	Pilões	2017	16.1%	18.6%	13.6%
Brazil	Ibiporã	2017	3.4%	4.2%	2.6%
Brazil	Paes Landim	2017	30.0%	37.8%	22.4%
Brazil	Senador Georgino Avelino	2017	10.9%	13.4%	8.8%
Brazil	Beruri	2017	12.3%	16.2%	8.7%
Brazil	Cosmorama	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Carnaíba	2017	16.6%	19.7%	13.8%
Brazil	Pilõezinhos	2017	16.4%	19.0%	13.8%
Brazil	Fernando Pedroza	2017	10.7%	13.5%	8.1%
Brazil	Sítio d'Abadia	2017	8.1%	11.4%	5.4%
Brazil	Estação	2017	3.4%	4.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Laurentino	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Heliópolis	2017	19.8%	23.4%	16.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Areiópolis	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Brazil	Montividiu	2017	6.2%	8.2%	4.4%
Brazil	Pirapemas	2017	35.3%	42.0%	27.9%
Brazil	Iguaraçu	2017	4.0%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	São Benedito do Sul	2017	16.7%	19.2%	14.6%
Brazil	Palmeira do Piauí	2017	29.1%	38.3%	21.3%
Brazil	Serra do Mel	2017	9.9%	12.4%	7.7%
Brazil	Cristália	2017	5.8%	8.6%	3.6%
Brazil	Barra de Santana	2017	20.7%	23.9%	17.6%
Brazil	Mampituba	2017	2.2%	3.4%	1.4%
Brazil	Cravinhos	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Iaçu	2017	24.9%	29.9%	20.7%
Brazil	Quiterianópolis	2017	21.7%	26.0%	17.4%
Brazil	Cristiano Ottoni	2017	6.7%	8.4%	5.1%
Brazil	Poção de Pedras	2017	35.4%	42.1%	30.1%
Brazil	Iguatu	2017	4.0%	5.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Boca do Acre	2017	14.3%	19.2%	10.1%
Brazil	Esteio	2017	3.2%	3.7%	2.8%
Brazil	Lebon Régis	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Belo Campo	2017	20.9%	24.3%	17.4%
Brazil	Amaturá	2017	12.2%	17.9%	7.6%
Brazil	Paquetá	2017	27.6%	33.8%	22.4%
Brazil	Serrinha dos Pintos	2017	9.5%	12.2%	7.3%
Brazil	Jaraguari	2017	3.2%	4.6%	2.0%
Brazil	Estrela Velha	2017	3.5%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Junco do Seridó	2017	15.3%	18.4%	12.2%
Brazil	Turvânia	2017	6.8%	8.7%	5.2%
Brazil	Carbonita	2017	7.2%	9.8%	4.7%
Brazil	Imbituva	2017	3.7%	5.2%	2.7%
Brazil	Serrinha	2017	12.1%	14.5%	10.0%
Brazil	Campo Alegre do Fidalgo	2017	27.0%	34.1%	19.6%
Brazil	Estrela	2017	3.8%	4.7%	3.0%
Brazil	Turvelândia	2017	7.3%	9.6%	5.6%
Brazil	Sena Madureira	2017	16.4%	21.8%	12.2%
Brazil	Florânia	2017	10.0%	12.7%	7.7%
Brazil	Lençóis	2017	20.5%	24.6%	16.9%
Brazil	Careaçu	2017	7.0%	9.1%	5.4%
Brazil	Pombal	2017	17.4%	20.1%	14.9%
Brazil	Inácio Martins	2017	2.6%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Adelândia	2017	6.9%	8.8%	5.2%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora Aparecida	2017	11.8%	14.1%	9.7%
Brazil	Parnaíba	2017	26.9%	31.2%	22.3%
Brazil	Severiano Melo	2017	14.2%	17.5%	11.1%
Brazil	Eugênio de Castro	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Ilha Solteira	2017	2.3%	3.8%	1.4%
Brazil	Ibicuí	2017	16.7%	20.4%	14.2%
Brazil	Uruana	2017	5.9%	7.6%	4.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	9.0%	12.2%	6.3%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	37.4%	44.0%	31.7%
Brazil	Barueri	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Inajá	2017	2.9%	4.0%	2.0%
Brazil	Alto Horizonte	2017	7.6%	10.2%	5.3%
Brazil	Antônio Cardoso	2017	21.9%	24.5%	19.3%
Brazil	Luiz Alves	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Brazil	Lagoa da Canoa	2017	21.5%	24.1%	19.0%
Brazil	Cafarnaum	2017	21.4%	25.7%	17.7%
Brazil	Macarani	2017	16.8%	20.1%	13.7%
Brazil	Mutunópolis	2017	8.3%	11.0%	6.0%
Brazil	Presidente Médici	2017	29.2%	35.4%	23.8%
Brazil	Carmésia	2017	5.8%	7.6%	4.1%
Brazil	Assaré	2017	19.1%	22.1%	15.7%
Brazil	São José da Coroa Grande	2017	19.6%	23.1%	16.2%
Brazil	Taboleiro Grande	2017	14.5%	17.9%	11.1%
Brazil	Cachoeira Alta	2017	5.9%	8.4%	4.2%
Brazil	Cajapió	2017	38.2%	44.1%	32.0%
Brazil	Farroupilha	2017	2.3%	2.8%	1.8%
Brazil	Descalvado	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Cubati	2017	17.5%	21.0%	14.4%
Brazil	Arceburgo	2017	3.9%	5.2%	2.7%
Brazil	Curuá	2017	11.0%	13.9%	8.1%
Brazil	Taquara	2017	3.6%	4.5%	2.9%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	20.0%	22.9%	17.4%
Brazil	Italva	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Brazil	Conceicao do Almeida	2017	21.1%	24.0%	18.6%
Brazil	Paulo de Faria	2017	2.8%	4.1%	1.7%
Brazil	Lavras da Mangabeira	2017	21.6%	24.6%	18.4%
Brazil	Faxinal do Soturno	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Alcântara	2017	40.6%	45.8%	35.1%
Brazil	Macieira	2017	1.4%	2.3%	0.8%
Brazil	São Carlos	2017	2.6%	3.6%	1.8%
Brazil	Diadema	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%
Brazil	Ibiquera	2017	19.7%	24.7%	15.4%
Brazil	Russas	2017	17.1%	19.8%	14.4%
Brazil	Axixá	2017	37.5%	43.3%	32.4%
Brazil	Presidente Vargas	2017	37.5%	43.4%	30.5%
Brazil	Carmo da Mata	2017	6.9%	9.2%	5.3%
Brazil	Iporá	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2017	13.4%	15.5%	11.1%
Brazil	Pavussu	2017	28.2%	37.1%	19.7%
Brazil	Diamantina	2017	4.1%	5.8%	2.8%
Brazil	Nova Santa Bárbara	2017	3.9%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Colinas do Sul	2017	8.8%	11.8%	6.1%
Brazil	Mafra	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Brazil	Camapuã	2017	4.1%	6.5%	2.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Afrânio	2017	21.9%	27.5%	16.9%
Brazil	Saboeiro	2017	21.5%	25.3%	18.1%
Brazil	Niquelândia	2017	6.3%	8.8%	4.4%
Brazil	Primeira Cruz	2017	38.6%	48.1%	30.4%
Brazil	Carmo de Minas	2017	4.8%	6.5%	3.7%
Brazil	Quixabá	2017	17.7%	21.2%	14.7%
Brazil	Serra Talhada	2017	16.7%	19.6%	13.8%
Brazil	Pedro Laurentino	2017	28.9%	36.6%	21.9%
Brazil	Araguainha	2017	6.8%	9.9%	4.4%
Brazil	Montenegro	2017	3.6%	4.4%	2.9%
Brazil	São João Batista	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Divinolândia	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.6%
Brazil	Alpercata	2017	7.1%	8.7%	5.7%
Brazil	Salitre	2017	21.0%	24.7%	17.4%
Brazil	Boa Nova	2017	18.9%	22.4%	15.8%
Brazil	Raposa	2017	38.1%	43.7%	32.6%
Brazil	Carmo do Cajuru	2017	7.4%	9.2%	6.1%
Brazil	Remígio	2017	15.2%	17.5%	12.9%
Brazil	Serrita	2017	18.3%	21.3%	15.3%
Brazil	Belém	2017	9.5%	10.4%	8.6%
Brazil	Tenente Laurentino Cruz	2017	8.7%	11.2%	6.6%
Brazil	São João do Oeste	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.7%
Brazil	Feliz	2017	4.0%	4.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Jateí	2017	3.7%	5.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Guarantã do Norte	2017	8.0%	11.0%	5.4%
Brazil	Ibirataia	2017	18.1%	21.5%	15.4%
Brazil	Aimorés	2017	6.6%	8.5%	4.8%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2017	10.7%	13.9%	7.9%
Brazil	Baía da Traição	2017	16.0%	19.6%	12.8%
Brazil	Irati	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Sertânia	2017	18.5%	21.6%	15.7%
Brazil	Picos	2017	26.5%	31.3%	21.6%
Brazil	Frutuoso Gomes	2017	11.9%	14.8%	9.5%
Brazil	Santana do Acaraú	2017	19.9%	23.2%	17.0%
Brazil	Palmas	2017	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Riachão do Poço	2017	17.1%	19.9%	14.2%
Brazil	São José do Cerrito	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Sirinhaém	2017	18.3%	20.8%	15.5%
Brazil	Barras	2017	28.7%	34.2%	24.5%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Dores	2017	10.8%	12.8%	8.8%
Brazil	Floriano	2017	3.3%	4.3%	2.2%
Brazil	Peixoto	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.6%
Brazil	Muitos Capões	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Brazil	Maravilha	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Ibititá	2017	21.4%	24.7%	18.1%
Brazil	Santana do Cariri	2017	16.7%	19.8%	13.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Nova Glória	2017	6.4%	8.2%	4.9%
Brazil	Carmópolis de Minas	2017	6.3%	8.4%	5.0%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	11.0%	13.1%	9.1%
Brazil	Itaguajé	2017	2.8%	4.0%	1.9%
Brazil	Sítio dos Mor-eiras	2017	17.4%	20.5%	14.5%
Brazil	Timbaúba dos Batistas	2017	13.3%	16.0%	10.8%
Brazil	Fontoura Xavier	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Dracena	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Brazil	Presidente Figueiredo	2017	10.0%	13.4%	7.1%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Tupim	2017	25.4%	30.6%	21.0%
Brazil	Ibotirama	2017	20.3%	25.2%	16.3%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçú de Goiás	2017	7.6%	9.9%	5.3%
Brazil	Sambaíba	2017	35.0%	45.1%	25.9%
Brazil	Medeiros Neto	2017	13.6%	17.0%	10.7%
Brazil	Governador Jorge Teixeira	2017	7.5%	9.7%	5.5%
Brazil	Itaipulândia	2017	4.0%	5.6%	2.7%
Brazil	Touros	2017	11.1%	15.1%	8.2%
Brazil	Areado	2017	6.8%	8.7%	5.2%
Brazil	Duartina	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Dom Eliseu	2017	16.8%	20.7%	13.0%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2017	20.1%	22.8%	17.4%
Brazil	Milagres	2017	17.5%	21.0%	14.3%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Goiás	2017	38.3%	46.2%	31.2%
Brazil	Ricaho dos Cavalos	2017	14.8%	18.0%	12.1%
Brazil	Estância	2017	10.5%	12.3%	8.5%
Brazil	Tabira	2017	18.6%	21.6%	15.8%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Valos	2017	3.5%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Axixá do Tocantins	2017	33.5%	38.2%	29.2%
Brazil	Bom Pro-gresso	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Braço do Trombudo	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Porto Alegre do Piauí	2017	35.5%	44.9%	27.5%
Brazil	Acaiaca	2017	8.6%	10.6%	6.8%
Brazil	Seara	2017	2.1%	3.0%	1.4%
Brazil	Meleiro	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Brazil	Anísio de Abreu	2017	26.1%	32.5%	19.8%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2017	15.5%	18.8%	12.4%
Brazil	Itapejara d'Oeste	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Brasileia	2017	16.3%	20.9%	12.7%
Brazil	Paulo Jacinto	2017	20.5%	23.8%	17.6%
Brazil	Itaporanga	2017	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Mirim doce	2017	1.4%	2.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Iguaí	2017	18.7%	22.2%	15.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Itabapoana	2017	2.8%	3.9%	2.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Novo Gama	2017	3.8%	4.5%	3.2%
Brazil	Cascalho Rico	2017	7.8%	10.1%	5.7%
Brazil	Caçu	2017	6.5%	9.2%	4.6%
Brazil	Cândido Mendes	2017	26.5%	32.6%	20.5%
Brazil	Tamandaré	2017	16.7%	19.2%	14.2%
Brazil	Indiavaí	2017	8.4%	11.4%	5.7%
Brazil	Várzea	2017	11.8%	13.5%	10.3%
Brazil	São João da Baliza	2017	5.6%	8.9%	3.1%
Brazil	Cascavel	2017	2.8%	3.5%	2.0%
Brazil	Pérola d'Oeste	2017	3.5%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Modelo	2017	2.1%	2.9%	1.4%
Brazil	Cajazeiras	2017	15.2%	18.0%	12.1%
Brazil	Afuá	2017	6.2%	8.0%	4.6%
Brazil	Areia Branca	2017	13.6%	17.4%	10.7%
Brazil	Braganey	2017	4.0%	5.4%	2.8%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	35.8%	41.2%	30.1%
Brazil	Catende	2017	16.7%	18.8%	14.8%
Brazil	Canavieira	2017	31.6%	41.6%	23.1%
Brazil	Itaúna do Sul	2017	2.7%	3.9%	1.8%
Brazil	Queimada Nova	2017	24.1%	31.3%	18.4%
Brazil	Antônio João	2017	2.4%	4.2%	1.2%
Brazil	General Câmara	2017	3.6%	4.6%	2.8%
Brazil	Monte Carlo	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Elisiário	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Ipecaetá	2017	21.8%	24.8%	18.4%
Brazil	Sobral	2017	19.0%	21.4%	16.4%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Goiás	2017	6.4%	7.8%	5.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2017	38.3%	44.2%	33.2%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	15.6%	18.5%	12.9%
Brazil	Pacaraima	2017	3.4%	6.0%	1.9%
Brazil	Ivaiporã	2017	3.8%	4.9%	2.7%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	11.3%	14.3%	8.7%
Brazil	Chorozinho	2017	21.0%	24.1%	18.2%
Brazil	Nazaré	2017	20.8%	23.7%	17.8%
Brazil	Telha	2017	16.1%	18.7%	13.5%
Brazil	Coronel Sapucaia	2017	3.2%	5.3%	1.4%
Brazil	Coari	2017	9.7%	15.6%	5.7%
Brazil	Solonópole	2017	21.1%	24.9%	17.5%
Brazil	Maracaju	2017	3.0%	4.6%	1.9%
Brazil	Santana do Maranhão	2017	35.2%	41.8%	28.1%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	13.5%	16.5%	10.5%
Brazil	Ivaté	2017	4.1%	5.1%	3.1%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2017	18.7%	22.2%	15.8%
Brazil	Altair	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Getúlio Vargas	2017	2.5%	3.6%	1.8%
Brazil	Brasilândia do Sul	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Embu-Guaçu	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Aurora	2017	20.9%	24.0%	18.1%
Brazil	Espinosa	2017	12.6%	15.8%	9.5%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2017	3.6%	4.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Padre Bernardo	2017	5.0%	6.3%	3.6%
Brazil	Catanduvas	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Canindé de São Francisco	2017	19.6%	23.1%	16.5%
Brazil	Brusque	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Brazil	Embu	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Ipupiara	2017	20.1%	24.8%	15.3%
Brazil	Coronel João Sá	2017	16.3%	19.9%	13.1%
Brazil	Palmares do Sul	2017	3.2%	4.5%	2.2%
Brazil	Catuji	2017	6.1%	8.0%	4.2%
Brazil	Jabuti	2017	3.8%	5.4%	2.5%
Brazil	Ribeiro Gonçalves	2017	33.7%	43.0%	25.8%
Brazil	Maragogi	2017	19.2%	22.5%	15.9%
Brazil	Abadiânia	2017	7.4%	9.0%	5.9%
Brazil	Santana de Mangueira	2017	15.4%	18.1%	12.6%
Brazil	Moju	2017	8.7%	10.4%	7.4%
Brazil	Gramado dos Loureiros	2017	2.5%	3.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Aurora	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.5%
Brazil	Nova Erechim	2017	2.4%	3.2%	1.6%
Brazil	Engenheiro Coelho	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Agrestina	2017	20.2%	22.6%	18.0%
Brazil	Iramaia	2017	24.5%	29.6%	19.9%
Brazil	Tauá	2017	20.9%	25.2%	17.5%
Brazil	Palmelo	2017	7.2%	9.6%	5.3%
Brazil	Caxambu	2017	5.3%	7.1%	4.1%
Brazil	Santana dos Garrotes	2017	15.6%	18.5%	12.5%
Brazil	Cafeara	2017	3.2%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Capitão de Campos	2017	27.3%	31.9%	21.9%
Brazil	Nova Iguaçu	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Pinhal	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.3%
Brazil	Lagoa de São Francisco	2017	27.2%	33.4%	21.1%
Brazil	Iraquara	2017	18.5%	22.4%	14.9%
Brazil	Tejuçuoca	2017	19.4%	23.1%	15.9%
Brazil	São Bernardo	2017	32.8%	38.1%	27.3%
Brazil	Caçador	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	10.4%	12.5%	8.3%
Brazil	Vargem Bonita	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Brazil	Pedra Mole	2017	12.7%	15.4%	10.1%
Brazil	Gramado	2017	2.5%	3.2%	1.9%
Brazil	Bananeiras	2017	14.5%	16.6%	12.0%
Brazil	Nova Trento	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Turvo	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Irará	2017	20.7%	23.5%	17.8%
Brazil	São Domingos do Azeitão	2017	32.3%	41.6%	23.3%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	5.8%	8.4%	3.9%
Brazil	Morada Nova de Minas	2017	7.1%	10.3%	4.5%
Brazil	Amapá	2017	4.3%	7.2%	1.8%
Brazil	Salesópolis	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2017	32.9%	42.1%	24.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Gabriel de Cahoeira	2017	11.4%	17.5%	6.6%
Brazil	Estiva Gerbi	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Brazil	Irecê	2017	19.3%	22.4%	16.3%
Brazil	Jaú	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Paraúna	2017	6.4%	8.4%	4.6%
Brazil	Centralina	2017	4.6%	6.3%	3.1%
Brazil	São Bentinho	2017	18.3%	21.9%	15.0%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	4.9%	6.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Brejinho	2017	10.7%	12.6%	9.0%
Brazil	Camalaú	2017	18.3%	21.9%	15.3%
Brazil	Areal	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Brazil	Capitão Gervásio Oliveira	2017	27.0%	34.3%	20.0%
Brazil	São Bento	2017	14.2%	17.3%	11.3%
Brazil	Venturosa	2017	18.7%	21.4%	15.4%
Brazil	Guamaré	2017	12.8%	17.0%	9.1%
Brazil	Braga	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Orleaes	2017	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
Brazil	Estrela do Oeste	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Itaberaba	2017	23.0%	26.9%	19.2%
Brazil	Petrolina de Goiás	2017	6.6%	8.3%	5.1%
Brazil	São Francisco do Brejão	2017	30.3%	35.2%	25.7%
Brazil	Fátima	2017	28.6%	33.9%	23.1%
Brazil	Verdejante	2017	17.6%	20.8%	14.5%
Brazil	Euclides da Cunha Paulista	2017	3.2%	4.7%	1.9%
Brazil	Itabuna	2017	19.2%	22.0%	16.9%
Brazil	Governador Archer	2017	37.7%	44.8%	31.1%
Brazil	Vicentina	2017	3.6%	4.9%	2.2%
Brazil	Chapada do Norte	2017	6.9%	9.6%	4.8%
Brazil	São Domingos do Cariri	2017	20.2%	24.0%	16.5%
Brazil	Querência do Norte	2017	2.9%	4.2%	1.8%
Brazil	Vertente do Lério	2017	20.1%	23.1%	17.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Lisboa	2017	29.8%	36.8%	23.7%
Brazil	Pinhal	2017	3.2%	4.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Guarani das Missões	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Fortaleza de Minas	2017	4.5%	6.2%	3.2%
Brazil	Carutapera	2017	19.5%	24.4%	14.8%
Brazil	Naviraí	2017	4.0%	5.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Itacaré	2017	20.2%	23.8%	17.2%
Brazil	Piracanjuba	2017	6.6%	8.6%	5.1%
Brazil	Goianésia do Pará	2017	8.3%	10.3%	6.5%
Brazil	Jardim Olinda	2017	2.8%	4.0%	1.7%
Brazil	Cafezal do Sul	2017	3.5%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Harmonia	2017	4.2%	5.0%	3.3%
Brazil	Condado	2017	16.4%	18.8%	14.4%
Brazil	Paraíba do Sul	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Iomerê	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.0%
Brazil	Uruburetama	2017	15.8%	18.5%	13.7%
Brazil	São João do Carú	2017	30.0%	36.6%	23.5%
Brazil	São João do Cariri	2017	19.6%	23.5%	16.2%
Brazil	Vicência	2017	16.7%	18.9%	14.8%
Brazil	Santo Inácio do Piauí	2017	29.4%	36.8%	22.9%
Brazil	Herveiras	2017	2.8%	3.7%	2.0%
Brazil	Paial	2017	2.8%	3.9%	1.9%
Brazil	Pinhão	2017	12.6%	15.2%	10.1%
Brazil	Fernandópolis	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Cacimbinhas	2017	21.7%	25.2%	18.3%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2017	32.8%	39.9%	26.4%
Brazil	São João do Rio do Peixe	2017	17.7%	21.0%	14.8%
Brazil	Pontão	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Vitória de Santo Antão	2017	16.0%	17.7%	14.2%
Brazil	São Braz do Piauí	2017	25.5%	32.2%	19.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Uatumã	2017	9.9%	13.0%	7.0%
Brazil	Mirador	2017	37.1%	44.0%	29.7%
Brazil	São João do Tigre	2017	15.5%	18.6%	13.0%
Brazil	Joaquim Távora	2017	2.9%	4.2%	1.8%
Brazil	Augusto de Lima	2017	7.2%	10.0%	4.6%
Brazil	Hulha Negra	2017	3.7%	5.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Gurupá	2017	8.5%	11.8%	5.9%
Brazil	Aral Moreira	2017	2.9%	4.8%	1.4%
Brazil	Itagimirim	2017	16.9%	20.8%	13.4%
Brazil	Alegrete do Piauí	2017	25.6%	30.8%	20.8%
Brazil	Correntes	2017	18.0%	20.7%	15.4%
Brazil	Água Boa	2017	7.4%	10.9%	4.7%
Brazil	Tarauacá	2017	14.7%	19.1%	11.1%
Brazil	Humaitá	2017	2.9%	3.9%	2.1%
Brazil	Butiá	2017	3.5%	4.5%	2.7%
Brazil	Agronômica	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Brazil	Camboriú	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	Itaguaçu da Bahia	2017	23.0%	28.4%	18.0%
Brazil	Avare	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Brazil	Pontalina	2017	6.9%	8.8%	5.2%
Brazil	São José de Ribamar	2017	37.2%	41.3%	33.7%
Brazil	Porto Xavier	2017	3.3%	5.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Filadélfia	2017	25.2%	30.3%	20.6%
Brazil	São Francisco do Piauí	2017	30.5%	38.4%	23.6%
Brazil	Galiléia	2017	6.6%	8.5%	4.9%
Brazil	Ibarama	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Pouso Novo	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Floreal	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Aripuanã	2017	8.6%	12.1%	5.5%
Brazil	Rio Branco do Ivaí	2017	3.9%	5.2%	2.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	América dourada	2017	22.9%	27.1%	19.5%
Brazil	Mata Grande	2017	18.1%	21.4%	15.1%
Brazil	São José de Espinharas	2017	15.6%	18.5%	12.7%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	3.8%	5.1%	2.8%
Brazil	Brejões	2017	16.4%	20.0%	13.2%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Gurguéia	2017	25.9%	34.7%	18.3%
Brazil	Baixio	2017	19.8%	23.2%	16.0%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2017	1.9%	2.7%	1.3%
Brazil	Itajuípe	2017	20.4%	23.1%	17.5%
Brazil	Porteiro	2017	8.4%	10.8%	6.4%
Brazil	São Luis Gonzaga do Maranhao	2017	38.5%	45.0%	33.3%
Brazil	Coimbra	2017	5.6%	7.0%	4.3%
Brazil	São José de Pيرانhas	2017	16.1%	19.3%	13.3%
Brazil	Kaloré	2017	4.1%	5.3%	3.1%
Brazil	Natividade	2017	30.7%	37.9%	24.3%
Brazil	Aquiraz	2017	20.1%	22.2%	17.9%
Brazil	Divino de São Lourenço	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Roncador	2017	3.5%	4.8%	2.4%
Brazil	Cambará	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Brazil	Caridade do Piauí	2017	25.6%	32.1%	19.7%
Brazil	Paty do Alferes	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Brazil	Abaeté	2017	7.3%	10.0%	5.3%
Brazil	Laranjal	2017	4.2%	6.0%	2.9%
Brazil	São João da Canabrava	2017	28.5%	34.1%	23.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Grama	2017	1.9%	2.7%	1.4%
Brazil	Paraíso	2017	2.4%	3.6%	1.4%
Brazil	Franca	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Brazil	Rosário do Ivaí	2017	4.2%	5.6%	2.9%
Brazil	São Mateus do Maranhão	2017	37.8%	44.8%	31.3%
Brazil	Comendador Gomes	2017	4.3%	6.2%	2.7%
Brazil	Laranjeiras do Sul	2017	3.0%	4.1%	2.0%
Brazil	Quixabeira	2017	20.4%	23.5%	17.1%
Brazil	Campinópolis	2017	7.3%	11.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Passo de Torres	2017	2.8%	4.2%	1.7%
Brazil	Águas de São Pedro	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Itambé	2017	24.7%	28.2%	21.2%
Brazil	Salto do Itararé	2017	2.3%	3.5%	1.5%
Brazil	Alterosa	2017	6.1%	7.7%	4.7%
Brazil	Restinga Seca	2017	3.6%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Monte Horebe	2017	14.6%	17.6%	11.9%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	7.2%	9.4%	5.3%
Brazil	São João da Serra	2017	29.7%	37.9%	22.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Andirá	2017	2.6%	3.7%	1.8%
Brazil	Pancas	2017	4.8%	6.4%	3.4%
Brazil	Passos Maia	2017	1.6%	2.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Quirinópolis	2017	6.5%	8.9%	4.7%
Brazil	Baldim	2017	6.8%	8.7%	5.0%
Brazil	Conceição da Aparecida	2017	6.4%	8.5%	5.0%
Brazil	Rio Grande	2017	3.6%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Barra do Rocha	2017	19.0%	21.9%	16.3%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso de Goiás	2017	5.6%	8.0%	3.8%
Brazil	Ijuí	2017	3.1%	4.0%	2.2%
Brazil	Paulo Lopez	2017	1.2%	2.2%	0.7%
Brazil	Itajá	2017	10.2%	12.7%	7.8%
Brazil	São Raimundo das Mangabeiras	2017	34.4%	44.7%	26.2%
Brazil	Conceição das Alagoas	2017	4.4%	5.9%	3.1%
Brazil	São João do Arraial	2017	29.6%	35.6%	24.6%
Brazil	Bezerros	2017	17.3%	19.6%	15.1%
Brazil	Pedras Grandes	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Fortaleza do Tabocão	2017	32.5%	38.5%	26.6%
Brazil	São Raimundo do Doca Bezerra	2017	37.4%	44.4%	30.0%
Brazil	São José dos Ramos	2017	19.4%	22.0%	16.9%
Brazil	Comendador Levy Gasparian	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.7%
Brazil	São João Piauí	2017	29.5%	37.8%	22.7%
Brazil	Imbé	2017	3.2%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Guarda-Mor	2017	7.4%	10.0%	5.1%
Brazil	Brotas de Macaúbas	2017	18.2%	22.9%	14.1%
Brazil	Itapebi	2017	16.6%	20.4%	12.8%
Brazil	Rio Quente	2017	7.2%	9.1%	5.4%
Brazil	Bataguassu	2017	3.6%	5.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Piui	2017	5.9%	8.1%	4.3%
Brazil	Lobato	2017	3.4%	4.7%	2.4%
Brazil	Centro do Guilherme	2017	24.6%	30.3%	19.5%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte do Sul	2017	3.9%	6.0%	2.2%
Brazil	Imigrante	2017	3.7%	4.7%	2.9%
Brazil	Peritiba	2017	2.3%	3.4%	1.6%
Brazil	Gastão Vidigal	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Brazil	Itapuã do Oeste	2017	7.9%	10.5%	5.6%
Brazil	Rio do Pires	2017	20.5%	24.4%	16.8%
Brazil	São Vicente Ferrer	2017	37.6%	43.9%	31.8%
Brazil	Conceição do Mato Dentro	2017	6.2%	8.2%	4.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Miguel Taipu	2017	19.0%	21.6%	16.8%
Brazil	Cupira	2017	19.8%	22.2%	17.1%
Brazil	Colorado do Oeste	2017	7.4%	10.0%	4.9%
Brazil	Araputanga	2017	7.2%	9.6%	4.8%
Brazil	Petrolândia	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Brazil	Gavião	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Peixoto				
Brazil	Cachoeira do Sul	2017	2.5%	3.2%	1.8%
Brazil	Rubiataba	2017	5.1%	6.7%	3.6%
Brazil	Frei Paulo	2017	11.1%	13.3%	9.4%
Brazil	Bálsamo	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Conceição do Para	2017	7.9%	10.0%	6.1%
Brazil	São José do Piauí	2017	27.8%	33.9%	22.9%
Brazil	Heliadora	2017	6.5%	8.5%	4.9%
Brazil	Piçarras	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Brazil	Iaçu	2017	6.2%	7.7%	4.8%
Brazil	Sanclerlândia	2017	7.2%	9.7%	5.3%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Umbuzeiro	2017	18.8%	22.2%	16.1%
Brazil	Lunardelli	2017	4.1%	5.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Presidente Kubitschek	2017	3.7%	5.3%	2.5%
Brazil	Ipê	2017	2.8%	3.7%	2.0%
Brazil	Miguelópolis	2017	2.7%	3.7%	1.8%
Brazil	Carmópolis	2017	12.7%	14.9%	10.9%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara de Goiás	2017	7.7%	9.3%	6.1%
Brazil	Senador La Rocque	2017	35.0%	39.7%	30.3%
Brazil	Conceição dos Ouros	2017	4.4%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Lupionópolis	2017	2.8%	3.9%	2.0%
Brazil	Ipixuna do Pará	2017	10.0%	12.4%	8.1%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Piauí	2017	26.6%	32.8%	20.7%
Brazil	Catingueira	2017	18.0%	21.7%	14.5%
Brazil	Pinheiro Preto	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Augusto Corrêa	2017	13.5%	16.2%	11.0%
Brazil	Cônego Maranhão	2017	7.4%	10.2%	5.6%
Brazil	Seridó	2017	17.6%	20.7%	14.5%
Brazil	São Luis do Piauí	2017	27.7%	33.7%	21.8%
Brazil	Iraí	2017	3.0%	4.1%	2.1%
Brazil	Piratuba	2017	2.2%	3.3%	1.6%
Brazil	Alegre	2017	5.8%	7.4%	4.4%
Brazil	Bananal	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Itarantim	2017	15.0%	18.6%	11.7%
Brazil	Santa Filomena do Maranhão	2017	7.0%	9.7%	4.9%
Brazil	Confins	2017	6.7%	7.9%	5.6%
Brazil	Serra Branca	2017	18.0%	21.3%	14.8%
Brazil	São Miguel da Baixa Grande	2017	29.0%	36.4%	21.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mamborê	2017	3.6%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Itaara	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Guaimbê	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Brazil	Itatim	2017	20.0%	23.9%	16.6%
Brazil	Mirassol	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Congonhal	2017	6.5%	8.2%	4.9%
Brazil	Serra da Raiz	2017	15.5%	18.1%	12.7%
Brazil	Farias Brito	2017	19.7%	23.0%	16.6%
Brazil	São Miguel do Fidalgo	2017	30.5%	38.2%	22.2%
Brazil	Piúma	2017	3.6%	4.9%	2.4%
Brazil	Afogados da Ingazeira	2017	16.3%	19.1%	13.5%
Brazil	Guaíra	2017	1.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Bandiera do Sul	2017	5.2%	6.8%	4.0%
Brazil	Irituia	2017	9.9%	11.8%	7.8%
Brazil	São Miguel Tapuio	2017	25.5%	31.5%	19.6%
Brazil	Catolé do Rocha	2017	13.2%	16.4%	10.6%
Brazil	Itapuca	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Guapiçu	2017	0.8%	1.4%	0.4%
Brazil	Astorga	2017	3.6%	4.8%	2.7%
Brazil	Itiúba	2017	21.7%	25.2%	17.5%
Brazil	Tasso Fragoso	2017	32.8%	41.6%	23.5%
Brazil	Eirunepé	2017	11.3%	16.5%	7.3%
Brazil	Serra Redonda	2017	17.7%	20.4%	15.3%
Brazil	Mandirituba	2017	2.8%	3.6%	2.2%
Brazil	Canelinha	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	Barão de Antonina	2017	2.1%	3.3%	1.3%
Brazil	Santo Ângelo	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.3%
Brazil	Itororó	2017	17.9%	21.7%	15.0%
Brazil	São Jorge do Patrocínio	2017	4.0%	5.6%	2.7%
Brazil	Conquista	2017	5.1%	6.9%	3.6%
Brazil	Serraria	2017	15.6%	18.0%	13.2%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Amarante	2017	10.2%	11.4%	8.9%
Brazil	Agricolândia	2017	29.2%	35.9%	22.9%
Brazil	Talismã	2017	20.6%	25.6%	16.2%
Brazil	Feira de Santana	2017	19.1%	21.0%	17.1%
Brazil	Forquilha	2017	18.9%	22.4%	16.0%
Brazil	Ituaçu	2017	21.0%	24.6%	17.5%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2017	16.0%	18.7%	13.4%
Brazil	Mangueirinha	2017	3.2%	4.5%	2.0%
Brazil	Cidelândia	2017	26.8%	31.2%	22.9%
Brazil	Pedro Gomes	2017	6.2%	9.5%	3.8%
Brazil	Ivorá	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Barão de Cocais	2017	5.3%	6.6%	4.2%
Brazil	Guaraci	2017	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Trizidela do Vale	2017	38.4%	44.1%	32.7%
Brazil	Sobrado	2017	17.9%	20.5%	15.6%
Brazil	Manoel Ribas	2017	3.3%	4.6%	2.3%
Brazil	Sebastião Leal	2017	29.7%	37.8%	22.4%
Brazil	Escada	2017	15.2%	16.8%	13.5%
Brazil	Porto Real	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bento Gonçalves	2017	2.9%	3.8%	2.3%
Brazil	Terra Santa	2017	11.3%	15.5%	8.5%
Brazil	Alto Caparaó	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.4%
Brazil	Sigefredo Pacheco	2017	28.2%	34.0%	22.6%
Brazil	Monteiro Lobato	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Brazil	São Joaquim de Bicas	2017	6.1%	7.3%	5.1%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2017	17.3%	22.0%	13.2%
Brazil	Tuntum	2017	36.6%	42.9%	30.7%
Brazil	Rorainópolis	2017	5.0%	7.5%	3.4%
Brazil	Entre-Ijuís	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Guarantã	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Brazil	Jacaraci	2017	16.5%	20.0%	13.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Goiás	2017	6.3%	7.4%	5.3%
Brazil	Jaguarão	2017	4.2%	6.3%	2.6%
Brazil	Água Doce do Maranhão	2017	33.3%	40.3%	27.6%
Brazil	Guararapes	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Brazil	Altinópolis	2017	1.4%	2.1%	1.0%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Descoberto	2017	4.9%	5.8%	4.0%
Brazil	Turilândia	2017	32.4%	38.0%	25.9%
Brazil	Januário Cicco	2017	11.6%	13.7%	9.6%
Brazil	Marilândia do Sul	2017	3.9%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Conceicao Macabu	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Brazil	Senador Modestino Gonçalves	2017	6.0%	8.4%	3.9%
Brazil	Capetinga	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Presidente Getúlio	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Caçara do Rio do Vento	2017	11.4%	13.9%	9.1%
Brazil	Sengés	2017	2.6%	3.9%	1.7%
Brazil	Tutoia	2017	34.8%	42.3%	28.3%
Brazil	Andorinha	2017	21.4%	25.6%	17.1%
Brazil	Sussuapara	2017	28.2%	33.4%	23.4%
Brazil	Olho d'Água das Flores	2017	21.7%	25.1%	18.1%
Brazil	Presidente Nereu	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Jaguarari	2017	20.2%	23.7%	17.2%
Brazil	São Francisco de Goiás	2017	7.1%	9.2%	5.5%
Brazil	Tutóia	2017	35.5%	43.8%	28.8%
Brazil	Rio Bananal	2017	4.4%	6.0%	3.1%
Brazil	Tamboril do Piauí	2017	27.9%	36.2%	20.1%
Brazil	Amparo	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Brazil	Jari	2017	3.2%	4.6%	2.2%
Brazil	Princesa	2017	2.3%	3.4%	1.5%
Brazil	Gararu	2017	17.5%	20.5%	14.6%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Sergipe	2017	13.5%	16.1%	10.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Urbano Santos	2017	35.5%	42.7%	29.0%
Brazil	Maringá	2017	3.8%	4.7%	2.9%
Brazil	Queimados	2017	1.1%	1.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Quilombo	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.6%
Brazil	Japi	2017	14.8%	17.5%	11.9%
Brazil	Camagua	2017	2.8%	3.9%	1.9%
Brazil	Jandaíra	2017	15.6%	18.6%	12.5%
Brazil	São João da Paraúna	2017	6.3%	8.0%	4.6%
Brazil	Itamarandiba	2017	3.9%	5.1%	2.8%
Brazil	Coroaci	2017	6.2%	8.6%	4.4%
Brazil	Rosário do Catete	2017	12.5%	14.6%	11.0%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2017	2.4%	3.5%	1.6%
Brazil	Teresina	2017	29.8%	32.4%	27.5%
Brazil	Itamarati de Minas	2017	4.6%	6.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Mirangaba	2017	17.6%	20.6%	14.6%
Brazil	Pedro do Rosário	2017	34.7%	40.2%	28.9%
Brazil	Guarujá	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Jequié	2017	20.6%	23.7%	17.5%
Brazil	Viana	2017	37.1%	43.6%	32.2%
Brazil	Cachoeira	2017	19.2%	21.9%	16.9%
Brazil	Cascavel	2017	19.3%	22.1%	16.1%
Brazil	Frecheirinha	2017	21.8%	25.1%	19.0%
Brazil	Araguanã	2017	32.5%	39.4%	26.6%
Brazil	Guarulhos	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	São Luiz do Norte	2017	6.4%	8.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Victorino Freire	2017	36.7%	42.5%	30.5%
Brazil	Tenório	2017	15.8%	19.0%	12.5%
Brazil	Uruçuí	2017	31.3%	39.9%	23.2%
Brazil	Lagoa Mirim	2017	4.3%	6.2%	2.8%
Brazil	Conde	2017	14.5%	16.4%	12.9%
Brazil	Guatapará	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	São Miguel do Araguaia	2017	10.6%	14.4%	7.3%
Brazil	Vila Nova dos Martírios	2017	23.2%	26.8%	19.3%
Brazil	Abelardo Luz	2017	2.1%	3.1%	1.5%
Brazil	Marquinho	2017	3.7%	5.5%	2.6%
Brazil	Valença do Piauí	2017	28.7%	35.4%	23.3%
Brazil	Sítio do Quinto	2017	19.3%	22.9%	15.8%
Brazil	Camargo	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Rio do Oeste	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Guzolandia	2017	0.8%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Oeiras	2017	29.5%	36.1%	23.1%
Brazil	Vitória do Mearim	2017	37.5%	43.6%	31.6%
Brazil	Coronel Pacheco	2017	6.2%	7.9%	4.9%
Brazil	Goianira	2017	6.6%	7.8%	5.5%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Itapagipe	2017	8.8%	11.5%	6.3%
Brazil	Muribeca	2017	11.8%	14.1%	9.8%
Brazil	Herculândia	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	João Dourado	2017	21.2%	24.9%	17.9%
Brazil	Adolfo	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Além Paraíba	2017	3.8%	4.9%	2.7%
Brazil	Vera Mendes	2017	28.2%	34.8%	22.0%
Brazil	Conceição do Lago-Açu	2017	35.5%	42.0%	30.1%
Brazil	Rio dos Cedros	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Brazil	Holambra	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Brazil	Envira	2017	13.1%	17.5%	8.8%
Brazil	São Simão	2017	6.1%	8.4%	3.9%
Brazil	Córrego Danta	2017	6.4%	9.0%	4.4%
Brazil	Matinhos	2017	3.5%	5.0%	2.4%
Brazil	Campo Mourão	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Jucuruçu	2017	13.9%	17.1%	10.8%
Brazil	Aquidabã	2017	12.0%	14.5%	10.1%
Brazil	Cambará do Sul	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Brazil	Mato Rico	2017	3.6%	5.0%	2.5%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2017	22.4%	26.5%	19.1%
Brazil	Lavras do Sul	2017	2.7%	3.9%	1.7%
Brazil	Iacanga	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Itaporã do Tocantins	2017	28.3%	33.0%	23.3%
Brazil	Tupãssi	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Zabelê	2017	18.3%	21.4%	15.6%
Brazil	Rio Rufino	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Bacurituba	2017	38.3%	44.3%	33.0%
Brazil	Córrego Novo	2017	6.8%	8.5%	5.1%
Brazil	Medianeira	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.0%
Brazil	Lindolfo Colador	2017	3.9%	4.5%	3.2%
Brazil	Jussiapé	2017	21.7%	26.6%	17.5%
Brazil	Simolândia	2017	9.4%	12.7%	6.5%
Brazil	Mercedes	2017	4.0%	5.4%	2.7%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Minas	2017	6.9%	8.5%	5.5%
Brazil	Campinas do Piauí	2017	28.7%	35.7%	22.1%
Brazil	Cajueiro	2017	19.0%	21.8%	16.4%
Brazil	União da Vitória	2017	2.5%	3.6%	1.6%
Brazil	Floresta	2017	19.3%	23.4%	15.7%
Brazil	Alto Jequitibá	2017	4.8%	6.1%	3.6%
Brazil	Ibirá	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Lagoa Real	2017	23.0%	27.1%	19.2%
Brazil	Taquaral de Goiás	2017	5.8%	7.2%	4.4%
Brazil	Ourinhos	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Brazil	Santana	2017	4.6%	5.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Saete	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Ibirarema	2017	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Teresina de Goiás	2017	9.7%	12.7%	6.9%
Brazil	Jaboticatubas	2017	6.6%	8.1%	5.2%
Brazil	Campos	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	Sede Nova	2017	2.9%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Lajedao	2017	10.3%	13.4%	7.5%
Brazil	Apiáí	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Moreira Sales	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Manoel Viana	2017	3.0%	4.3%	1.9%
Brazil	Salto Veloso	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Cururupu	2017	34.9%	43.5%	27.6%
Brazil	São Bento do Una	2017	15.5%	18.4%	13.4%
Brazil	Acará	2017	9.4%	11.1%	7.7%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2017	10.4%	11.7%	9.2%
Brazil	Morretes	2017	6.3%	7.8%	4.8%
Brazil	Lajedo do Tabocal	2017	17.1%	20.4%	14.0%
Brazil	Crucilândia	2017	6.9%	8.9%	5.4%
Brazil	Maratá	2017	3.6%	4.6%	2.9%
Brazil	Santa Cecília	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Lamarão	2017	19.8%	23.4%	16.8%
Brazil	Campina das Missões	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Cruzeiro da Fortaleza	2017	6.7%	8.8%	4.9%
Brazil	Arroio Grande	2017	3.8%	5.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Igarauçu do Tietê	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Brazil	Sério	2017	2.7%	3.5%	2.1%
Brazil	Cruzília	2017	3.2%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Marcionilio Dias	2017	3.0%	4.1%	2.1%
Brazil	Palestina	2017	22.0%	25.6%	18.4%
Brazil	Aracati	2017	18.2%	21.7%	15.1%
Brazil	Analandia	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Santa Rosa do Sul	2017	2.4%	3.5%	1.5%
Brazil	General Maynard	2017	13.0%	15.3%	11.3%
Brazil	Flexeiras	2017	18.8%	21.4%	15.9%
Brazil	Curral de dentro	2017	5.5%	7.8%	3.7%
Brazil	Nova Aurora	2017	3.7%	4.8%	2.7%
Brazil	Mariano Moro	2017	2.6%	3.8%	1.8%
Brazil	Uruaçu	2017	6.0%	7.9%	4.4%
Brazil	Curvelo	2017	6.3%	8.5%	4.5%
Brazil	Gameleira	2017	16.3%	18.6%	14.4%
Brazil	Cristalândia	2017	27.8%	34.3%	21.3%
Brazil	Datas	2017	3.7%	5.3%	2.5%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sudoeste	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Jequeri	2017	6.8%	8.5%	5.2%
Brazil	Mata	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Santiago do Sul	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Norte	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Macaçuba	2017	18.3%	22.0%	15.2%
Brazil	Urutaí	2017	8.1%	10.9%	5.8%
Brazil	Delfim Moreira	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Brazil	Nova Esperança	2017	4.0%	5.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Palmeira dos índios	2017	19.8%	22.8%	17.5%
Brazil	Santo Amaro da Imperatriz	2017	1.0%	1.7%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Jequitibá	2017	7.5%	9.8%	5.6%
Brazil	Parisi	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.5%
Brazil	Valparaíso de Goiás	2017	3.6%	4.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Delfinópolis	2017	6.1%	8.1%	4.4%
Brazil	Biritinga	2017	20.3%	24.1%	16.6%
Brazil	São Bento do Sul	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Brazil	Indiana	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Delta	2017	4.0%	5.4%	2.8%
Brazil	Maximiliano de Almeida	2017	2.6%	3.7%	1.8%
Brazil	São Bernardino	2017	2.1%	3.0%	1.4%
Brazil	Paulínia	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Brazil	Macururé	2017	18.4%	23.6%	14.1%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	3.6%	5.0%	2.5%
Brazil	Nova Londrina	2017	2.3%	3.4%	1.5%
Brazil	São Bonifácio	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Brazil	Madre de deus	2017	19.1%	21.7%	16.4%
Brazil	Vicentinópolis	2017	7.0%	9.0%	5.0%
Brazil	Nova Olímpia	2017	3.1%	4.4%	2.1%
Brazil	Miraguai	2017	2.8%	3.8%	1.9%
Brazil	Maetinga	2017	20.4%	24.1%	16.9%
Brazil	Vila Boa	2017	9.5%	12.8%	6.9%
Brazil	Maiquinique	2017	15.4%	18.9%	11.9%
Brazil	Terra de Areia	2017	2.9%	4.1%	1.9%
Brazil	Cidreira	2017	3.5%	4.9%	2.5%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	2.6%	3.6%	1.8%
Brazil	Crixás do Tocantins	2017	32.6%	38.8%	27.0%
Brazil	Pedreira	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Brazil	Diogo de Vasconcelos	2017	8.2%	10.2%	6.4%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rosa	2017	3.6%	4.7%	2.6%
Brazil	Arenópolis	2017	8.4%	10.8%	5.8%
Brazil	Malhada de Pedras	2017	23.4%	27.5%	19.7%
Brazil	Dionísio	2017	8.0%	10.1%	6.2%
Brazil	Penápolis	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Juramento	2017	5.8%	7.7%	4.0%
Brazil	Major Gercino	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Malhada	2017	17.2%	21.4%	13.6%
Brazil	Divinésia	2017	7.1%	8.8%	5.7%
Brazil	Novo Itacolomi	2017	4.2%	5.4%	3.1%
Brazil	Mormaço	2017	3.6%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	São João do Itaperiú	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Brazil	Ipuã	2017	1.9%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	Alto Taquari	2017	4.4%	7.2%	2.6%
Brazil	Divino das Laranjeiras	2017	5.9%	7.8%	4.2%
Brazil	Balsas	2017	32.7%	40.1%	25.9%
Brazil	Alagoa	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Brazil	Morrinhos do Sul	2017	2.5%	3.7%	1.6%
Brazil	Três Cachoeiras	2017	2.9%	4.2%	1.8%
Brazil	Pariconha	2017	18.9%	22.2%	16.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mansidão	2017	22.5%	29.4%	16.3%
Brazil	Divino	2017	3.8%	5.1%	2.7%
Brazil	Ourizona	2017	4.1%	5.2%	3.1%
Brazil	Morro Redondo	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	São João do Sul	2017	2.3%	3.4%	1.4%
Brazil	Divinolândia de Minas	2017	3.7%	5.0%	2.7%
Brazil	Campos de Júlio	2017	7.2%	11.2%	4.2%
Brazil	Morro Reuter	2017	2.9%	3.4%	2.4%
Brazil	São Joaquim	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Caruaru	2017	17.4%	19.3%	15.7%
Brazil	Mostardas	2017	3.0%	4.8%	1.8%
Brazil	São José do Cedro	2017	2.2%	3.2%	1.4%
Brazil	Marau	2017	20.2%	23.7%	17.2%
Brazil	Divisa Alegre	2017	11.5%	14.5%	8.7%
Brazil	Seringueiras	2017	7.5%	11.1%	4.5%
Brazil	Itaí	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Barra de São Miguel	2017	18.7%	21.9%	15.7%
Brazil	Palmeira	2017	3.7%	4.8%	2.7%
Brazil	Lavandeira	2017	20.9%	26.1%	16.6%
Brazil	Araruna	2017	11.6%	13.8%	9.5%
Brazil	Divisópolis	2017	7.2%	8.9%	5.5%
Brazil	Palmital	2017	3.4%	4.9%	2.3%
Brazil	Muliterno	2017	2.6%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Oeste	2017	2.0%	2.9%	1.3%
Brazil	Mata de São João	2017	19.1%	21.5%	16.8%
Brazil	Dom Bosco	2017	5.3%	7.8%	3.1%
Brazil	Belém do Piauí	2017	27.1%	33.4%	21.5%
Brazil	Nicolau Vergueiro	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.7%
Brazil	Duas Barras	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Brazil	Paraíso do Norte	2017	3.4%	4.8%	2.6%
Brazil	Campo Redondo	2017	11.7%	14.5%	9.3%
Brazil	São Martinho	2017	1.2%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Itaóca	2017	3.0%	4.7%	1.8%
Brazil	Leme do Prado	2017	6.7%	9.5%	4.7%
Brazil	Dom Joaquim	2017	7.1%	9.3%	5.0%
Brazil	Paranacity	2017	2.6%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Araripina	2017	21.6%	25.6%	18.6%
Brazil	São Miguel da Boa Vista	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Itapeçerica da Serra	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Miguel Calmon	2017	16.7%	20.0%	13.8%
Brazil	Dom Silvério	2017	7.1%	8.9%	5.6%
Brazil	Paranaguá	2017	4.2%	5.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Itapetininga	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Brazil	Dom Viçoso	2017	4.1%	5.4%	3.1%
Brazil	Paranapoema	2017	2.9%	4.3%	1.9%
Brazil	Aroazes	2017	28.4%	35.9%	21.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itapeva	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Glaucilândia	2017	6.6%	8.6%	4.8%
Brazil	Bernardo Sayão	2017	25.7%	30.5%	21.2%
Brazil	Luisburgo	2017	4.0%	5.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Itapevi	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Nova Guarita	2017	8.4%	11.9%	5.2%
Brazil	Luislândia	2017	6.3%	8.6%	4.3%
Brazil	Cachoeiras de Macacu	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Brazil	Medicilândia	2017	9.4%	13.3%	6.4%
Brazil	Itapira	2017	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Brazil	Monte Santo	2017	20.6%	24.8%	17.2%
Brazil	Pato Branco	2017	2.3%	3.3%	1.5%
Brazil	Pongáí	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Morpará	2017	21.7%	26.3%	17.2%
Brazil	Acrelândia	2017	14.7%	18.6%	11.2%
Brazil	Veranópolis	2017	3.3%	4.2%	2.5%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu	2017	16.7%	19.7%	13.9%
Brazil	André da Rocha	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Paulo Frontin	2017	2.9%	4.0%	1.9%
Brazil	Nova Hartz	2017	3.4%	4.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2017	18.3%	22.0%	15.3%
Brazil	Doresópolis	2017	7.1%	9.8%	5.0%
Brazil	Cristalina	2017	4.5%	6.3%	3.4%
Brazil	Itapuí	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Viamão	2017	3.0%	3.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Perobal	2017	3.5%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Nova Palma	2017	3.3%	3.9%	2.8%
Brazil	Itapura	2017	2.9%	4.5%	1.7%
Brazil	Garruchos	2017	3.6%	5.7%	2.2%
Brazil	Mantena	2017	5.2%	7.0%	3.8%
Brazil	Nova Petrópolis	2017	2.9%	3.6%	2.2%
Brazil	Taió	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Itaquaquecetuba	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	São Francisco de Itabapoana	2017	2.4%	3.7%	1.5%
Brazil	Anita Garibaldi	2017	1.7%	2.8%	1.0%
Brazil	Ecoporanga	2017	7.4%	9.9%	4.9%
Brazil	Cândido Godói	2017	3.3%	4.2%	2.3%
Brazil	Craíbas	2017	22.6%	25.6%	19.5%
Brazil	Siriri	2017	10.8%	12.8%	9.2%
Brazil	Itararé	2017	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Goianinha	2017	9.5%	11.2%	7.8%
Brazil	Tigrinhos	2017	2.0%	2.7%	1.3%
Brazil	Muniz Ferreira	2017	20.4%	23.2%	17.5%
Brazil	Engenheiro Caldas	2017	6.8%	8.6%	5.4%
Brazil	Nova Roma do Sul	2017	3.4%	4.2%	2.6%
Brazil	Itatiba	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Brazil	Muquém de São Francisco	2017	20.2%	24.7%	15.9%
Brazil	Presidente Bernardes	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Brazil	Araguanã	2017	23.2%	27.0%	19.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pinhalão	2017	3.9%	5.5%	2.6%
Brazil	Novo Barreiro	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Aragoiânia	2017	7.0%	8.3%	5.8%
Brazil	Entre Rios de Minas	2017	6.4%	8.4%	4.9%
Brazil	Pinhão	2017	3.3%	4.6%	2.2%
Brazil	Quatá	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Itirapuã	2017	2.5%	3.4%	1.8%
Brazil	Materlândia	2017	5.6%	7.6%	4.0%
Brazil	Mateiros	2017	33.5%	42.9%	23.7%
Brazil	Novo Hamburgo	2017	3.3%	3.8%	2.8%
Brazil	Treviso	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Brazil	Cana Brava do Norte	2017	10.4%	15.5%	6.6%
Brazil	Quitana	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Piraquara	2017	3.5%	4.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Angicos	2017	9.6%	12.2%	7.4%
Brazil	Alvarenga	2017	4.7%	6.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Nova Canaã	2017	17.9%	21.3%	14.7%
Brazil	Regente Feijó	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Ipirá	2017	20.1%	24.1%	16.9%
Brazil	Avelino Lopes	2017	22.5%	30.9%	16.2%
Brazil	Osório	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Ituverava	2017	2.2%	3.2%	1.4%
Brazil	Nova Fátima	2017	23.2%	26.8%	19.4%
Brazil	Espírito Santo do Dourado	2017	6.2%	7.8%	4.7%
Brazil	Planaltina do Paraná	2017	2.8%	3.8%	1.8%
Brazil	Medina	2017	6.2%	8.5%	4.0%
Brazil	Paim Filho	2017	2.6%	3.7%	1.8%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	13.8%	15.7%	12.3%
Brazil	Jaborandi	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Estiva	2017	3.7%	5.0%	2.6%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	3.4%	4.7%	2.4%
Brazil	Água Comprida	2017	4.0%	5.4%	2.8%
Brazil	Milhã	2017	19.9%	23.7%	16.2%
Brazil	Nova Itarana	2017	16.8%	20.5%	13.2%
Brazil	Ponta Grossa	2017	3.1%	3.9%	2.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão dos índios	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Estrela do Indaiá	2017	6.7%	9.0%	4.6%
Brazil	Pontal do Paraná	2017	4.3%	6.2%	3.1%
Brazil	Palmitinhos	2017	2.8%	3.7%	2.0%
Brazil	União do Oeste	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.6%
Brazil	Jaci	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Jacarezinho	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Brazil	Ministro Andreazza	2017	7.6%	10.0%	5.9%
Brazil	Desterro	2017	15.0%	17.9%	12.4%
Brazil	Campos Novos Paulista	2017	0.9%	1.6%	0.5%
Brazil	Angatuba	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Porto Amazonas	2017	3.8%	4.9%	2.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pantano Grande	2017	3.5%	4.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Urupema	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Brazil	Itaberaí	2017	6.4%	7.9%	4.6%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	17.2%	21.5%	13.7%
Brazil	Apiúna	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.8%
Brazil	Ewbank da Câmara	2017	6.2%	7.9%	4.8%
Brazil	Jaguapitã	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Miracema do Tocantins	2017	33.8%	39.0%	29.5%
Brazil	Águas Mornas	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Brazil	Extrema	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Olindina	2017	20.2%	23.8%	17.0%
Brazil	Porto Vitória	2017	2.7%	3.8%	1.8%
Brazil	Arraias	2017	19.6%	24.4%	15.3%
Brazil	Monte Formoso	2017	6.1%	8.1%	4.0%
Brazil	Jandira	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Faria Lemos	2017	4.5%	5.9%	3.3%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2017	9.9%	12.7%	7.5%
Brazil	Sabino	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Jardinópolis	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	Ouriçangas	2017	20.5%	23.8%	17.3%
Brazil	Felício dos Santos	2017	5.9%	8.3%	4.0%
Brazil	Pranchita	2017	3.2%	4.4%	2.1%
Brazil	Algodão de Jandaíra	2017	17.1%	20.5%	14.2%
Brazil	Fênix	2017	5.0%	6.6%	3.8%
Brazil	Maravilha	2017	21.2%	24.7%	17.7%
Brazil	Ourolândia	2017	21.5%	25.8%	17.6%
Brazil	Morro da Garça	2017	7.0%	9.6%	4.6%
Brazil	Canoas	2017	3.4%	3.8%	3.0%
Brazil	Pureza	2017	10.3%	13.1%	8.0%
Brazil	Videira	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Munhoz	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Palmas de Monte Alto	2017	20.0%	23.8%	16.3%
Brazil	Saltinho	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Passo Fundo	2017	2.7%	3.5%	2.0%
Brazil	Vitor Meireles	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Jeriquara	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.3%
Brazil	Palmeiras	2017	17.5%	21.0%	13.9%
Brazil	Paverama	2017	3.6%	4.5%	2.8%
Brazil	Joanópolis	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Paramirim	2017	21.9%	26.1%	17.7%
Brazil	Quarto Centenário	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.6%
Brazil	Pedro Osório	2017	3.7%	5.0%	2.6%
Brazil	Serra do Navio	2017	3.8%	6.0%	1.8%
Brazil	Paratinga	2017	21.6%	25.6%	17.7%
Brazil	Ferros	2017	6.6%	8.4%	5.0%
Brazil	Paripiranga	2017	12.3%	14.3%	10.3%
Brazil	Nepomuceno	2017	7.2%	9.1%	5.6%
Brazil	Alenquer	2017	10.8%	13.7%	8.1%
Brazil	Pelotas	2017	3.1%	3.9%	2.3%
Brazil	Júlio Mesquita	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Guarapari	2017	4.5%	6.0%	3.3%
Brazil	Borba	2017	12.7%	17.5%	8.3%
Brazil	Quatro Pontes	2017	3.5%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Zortéa	2017	2.1%	3.0%	1.3%
Brazil	Jumirim	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Paulo Afonso	2017	20.2%	23.4%	17.3%
Brazil	Vila Velha	2017	4.7%	5.7%	3.7%
Brazil	Itaguaçu	2017	4.4%	5.9%	3.0%
Brazil	Nova Ubiratã	2017	8.2%	11.1%	5.5%
Brazil	Pé de Serra	2017	20.4%	24.3%	16.8%
Brazil	Santa Gertrudes	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Brazil	Nova Porteirinha	2017	5.5%	7.5%	3.8%
Brazil	Andaraí	2017	21.2%	25.2%	17.6%
Brazil	Glória de Dourados	2017	3.2%	4.6%	2.0%
Brazil	Pedrao	2017	20.7%	24.1%	17.9%
Brazil	Nova Resende	2017	5.2%	6.6%	3.9%
Brazil	Juquiá	2017	1.1%	1.9%	0.6%
Brazil	Altamira do Paran	2017	4.5%	6.0%	3.0%
Brazil	Quitandinha	2017	2.6%	3.5%	1.9%
Brazil	Luís Gomes	2017	12.8%	15.3%	10.5%
Brazil	Capão do Leão	2017	2.8%	3.9%	1.8%
Brazil	Cunhataí	2017	2.1%	2.9%	1.4%
Brazil	Bocaina	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Brazil	Monte Santo do Tocantins	2017	30.8%	36.6%	25.5%
Brazil	Novorizonte	2017	6.5%	9.0%	4.5%
Brazil	Caçado	2017	16.5%	18.6%	14.2%
Brazil	Santa Salete	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Japeri	2017	1.2%	1.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Independência	2017	20.7%	24.2%	17.4%
Brazil	Laranjal Paulista	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Francisco Sá	2017	7.1%	8.9%	5.6%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	2.3%	3.2%	1.6%
Brazil	Barra Funda	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Pindobaçu	2017	18.8%	22.0%	15.6%
Brazil	Franciscópolis	2017	5.7%	7.6%	3.9%
Brazil	Emas	2017	18.5%	22.6%	15.0%
Brazil	Lavrinhas	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Brejetuba	2017	3.3%	4.5%	2.3%
Brazil	Rebouças	2017	3.2%	4.3%	2.2%
Brazil	Esperantina	2017	28.5%	33.9%	23.2%
Brazil	David Canabarro	2017	2.9%	3.9%	2.0%
Brazil	Pirai do Norte	2017	19.5%	22.4%	16.8%
Brazil	Ouro Fino	2017	4.0%	5.3%	2.8%
Brazil	Ponte Preta	2017	2.8%	3.6%	2.0%
Brazil	Santo Expedito	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Amaralina	2017	8.0%	10.4%	5.8%
Brazil	Carauari	2017	10.3%	16.0%	6.0%
Brazil	Balneário Arroio do Silva	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Brazil	Piritiba	2017	17.2%	20.6%	14.0%
Brazil	Divinópolis de Goiás	2017	14.8%	19.2%	10.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Governador Eugênio Barros	2017	39.2%	45.6%	33.0%
Brazil	Porto Alegre	2017	3.4%	3.9%	3.0%
Brazil	Planaltino	2017	20.1%	24.3%	16.4%
Brazil	Brasilândia do Tocantins	2017	30.9%	36.2%	25.5%
Brazil	Acajutiba	2017	19.4%	23.5%	16.0%
Brazil	Poções	2017	16.5%	19.7%	13.6%
Brazil	Rio Azul	2017	3.5%	4.8%	2.4%
Brazil	Palmópolis	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.7%
Brazil	Bela Vista	2017	3.6%	6.2%	1.8%
Brazil	Pojuca	2017	19.4%	22.0%	17.0%
Brazil	Funilândia	2017	7.7%	9.6%	6.0%
Brazil	Maturéia	2017	10.4%	13.1%	8.1%
Brazil	Ponto Novo	2017	23.2%	26.8%	19.5%
Brazil	Paraguaçu	2017	6.2%	7.9%	4.8%
Brazil	Luzilândia	2017	28.9%	33.9%	24.3%
Brazil	São José do Barreiro	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Brazil	Governador Luiz Rocha	2017	37.5%	44.0%	30.4%
Brazil	São José do Rio Pardo	2017	2.2%	3.1%	1.5%
Brazil	Ipuaçu	2017	2.2%	3.2%	1.5%
Brazil	Presidente Lucena	2017	3.9%	4.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Passa Quatro	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Brazil	Pereiro	2017	15.6%	18.2%	13.0%
Brazil	Terra Nova do Norte	2017	7.9%	11.0%	5.0%
Brazil	Luís Antônio	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Goiabeira	2017	7.2%	9.6%	5.2%
Brazil	Goianá	2017	7.3%	9.3%	5.7%
Brazil	Putinga	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.3%
Brazil	Presidente Jânio Quadros	2017	19.3%	23.4%	15.8%
Brazil	São Pedro do Turvo	2017	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%
Brazil	Caraúbas	2017	18.2%	21.8%	15.2%
Brazil	Patrocínio	2017	5.5%	7.2%	4.1%
Brazil	Presidente Tancredo Neves	2017	19.0%	22.2%	16.0%
Brazil	Governador Newton Bello	2017	31.9%	37.5%	26.6%
Brazil	Novo São Joaquim	2017	7.8%	11.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Rondon	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Quevedos	2017	3.2%	4.6%	2.2%
Brazil	Bacabeira	2017	39.1%	44.4%	33.5%
Brazil	Águas Frias	2017	2.5%	3.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Baturité	2017	16.8%	19.4%	14.7%
Brazil	Arapeí	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Bady Bassitt	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Quinze de Novembro	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.6%
Brazil	Floresta do Piauí	2017	28.7%	35.6%	21.9%
Brazil	Seropédica	2017	1.1%	1.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Redentora	2017	2.8%	3.8%	1.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Félix do Tocantins	2017	34.9%	44.7%	24.2%
Brazil	Rafael Jambeiro	2017	21.9%	25.3%	18.5%
Brazil	Alfredo Vasconcelos	2017	4.8%	6.3%	3.7%
Brazil	Sertãozinho	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Brejolândia	2017	21.4%	26.5%	16.1%
Brazil	Mairinque	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Guanhães	2017	5.2%	6.9%	3.9%
Brazil	Silveiras	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Brazil	Rio dos índios	2017	2.5%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	Alvorada de Minas	2017	6.7%	9.0%	4.7%
Brazil	Guapé	2017	7.3%	9.3%	5.5%
Brazil	Perdizes	2017	6.3%	8.5%	4.4%
Brazil	Perdões	2017	7.0%	9.3%	5.5%
Brazil	Igarapé-Miri	2017	9.3%	11.0%	7.7%
Brazil	Pedro Afonso	2017	35.4%	41.1%	29.4%
Brazil	Arcoverde	2017	15.0%	17.4%	12.7%
Brazil	Silva Jardim	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	Martins	2017	9.0%	11.5%	7.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Monte Castelo	2017	3.0%	4.2%	1.8%
Brazil	Riozinho	2017	2.6%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Santa Fé	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.6%
Brazil	Arraial	2017	30.7%	38.5%	24.2%
Brazil	Roca Sales	2017	4.4%	5.6%	3.4%
Brazil	Maracáí	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Brazil	Ribeira do Amparo	2017	18.9%	22.6%	16.2%
Brazil	Guarani	2017	7.9%	9.8%	6.3%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	4.2%	5.7%	2.8%
Brazil	Piracema	2017	7.2%	9.3%	5.4%
Brazil	Rodeio Bonito	2017	3.2%	4.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Marapoama	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Ribeira do Pombal	2017	19.7%	23.2%	16.6%
Brazil	Cambuci	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Brazil	Oeiras do Pará	2017	9.0%	11.1%	7.2%
Brazil	Mariópolis	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Balneário Pinhal	2017	3.0%	4.3%	2.0%
Brazil	Taquarivaí	2017	0.9%	1.6%	0.5%
Brazil	Pirapora	2017	6.1%	8.3%	4.2%
Brazil	Francinópolis	2017	29.3%	36.2%	22.4%
Brazil	Sumidouro	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Brazil	Marinópolis	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Guidoval	2017	6.7%	8.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Itabaiana	2017	10.1%	11.6%	8.7%
Brazil	Roque Gonzales	2017	3.5%	4.9%	2.4%
Brazil	Malhador	2017	11.0%	12.7%	9.4%
Brazil	Torre de Pedra	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Ponto Chique	2017	6.8%	9.9%	4.5%
Brazil	Pedra Preta	2017	7.7%	9.9%	5.6%
Brazil	Ponto dos Volantes	2017	6.2%	8.2%	4.4%
Brazil	Guiricema	2017	6.2%	7.6%	4.8%
Brazil	Tremembé	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Porto Firme	2017	7.9%	9.8%	6.1%
Brazil	Rodelas	2017	17.6%	23.0%	13.7%
Brazil	Águas de Lindóia	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Brazil	São Luiz	2017	5.2%	8.1%	3.2%
Brazil	Ruy Barbosa	2017	19.1%	22.8%	15.9%
Brazil	Francisco Ayres	2017	31.3%	38.7%	25.5%
Brazil	Érico Cardoso	2017	17.6%	21.0%	14.1%
Brazil	Prata	2017	5.3%	7.2%	3.7%
Brazil	Turmalina	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Pratápolis	2017	4.5%	6.2%	3.2%
Brazil	Arabutã	2017	2.3%	3.2%	1.5%
Brazil	Ubarana	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Itaipu	2017	3.7%	5.0%	2.5%
Brazil	Barreirinha	2017	11.1%	13.9%	8.3%
Brazil	Alumínio	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Santana do Ipanema	2017	20.6%	23.7%	17.8%
Brazil	Tefé	2017	11.4%	16.0%	7.3%
Brazil	Presidente Olegário	2017	5.8%	8.1%	4.2%
Brazil	Prudente de Moraes	2017	6.7%	8.1%	5.3%
Brazil	Salvador do Sul	2017	2.7%	3.5%	2.1%
Brazil	Quartel Geral	2017	6.9%	9.3%	5.0%
Brazil	Sananduva	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Araguatins	2017	28.9%	33.0%	25.4%
Brazil	Santa Brígida	2017	19.7%	23.7%	16.3%
Brazil	Alvorada	2017	24.5%	29.9%	18.6%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Caiuá	2017	3.0%	4.4%	1.9%
Brazil	Estrela de Alagoas	2017	20.9%	23.9%	18.2%
Brazil	Ibiracatu	2017	6.1%	8.2%	4.3%
Brazil	Santo Antonio do Paraíso	2017	3.6%	4.8%	2.7%
Brazil	Ibirité	2017	5.8%	6.5%	5.1%
Brazil	Mirante do Paranapanema	2017	1.7%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Ibitiúra de Minas	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	São Carlos do Ivaí	2017	3.8%	5.1%	2.8%
Brazil	Arenópolis	2017	7.3%	10.6%	5.0%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2017	3.6%	4.6%	2.7%
Brazil	Quixeramobim	2017	19.9%	23.5%	16.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria da Vitória	2017	18.5%	23.1%	14.9%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2017	6.7%	8.8%	4.8%
Brazil	São Jerônimo da Serra	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.5%
Brazil	Coxim	2017	5.6%	8.7%	3.5%
Brazil	Rio Preto	2017	2.6%	3.8%	1.8%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Cássia	2017	21.0%	27.6%	15.7%
Brazil	São João do Caiuá	2017	3.1%	4.4%	2.1%
Brazil	Santa Tereza	2017	4.3%	5.5%	3.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Amontada	2017	20.9%	24.3%	18.1%
Brazil	Novo Jardim	2017	30.5%	36.8%	24.0%
Brazil	Igarapé	2017	5.7%	6.9%	4.7%
Brazil	Amparo do Serra	2017	7.3%	9.1%	5.6%
Brazil	Chapadão do Céu	2017	37.9%	44.6%	32.0%
Brazil	Santa Vitória do Palmar	2017	3.4%	5.6%	1.7%
Brazil	Taguatinga	2017	24.8%	30.9%	20.2%
Brazil	Igaratinga	2017	6.4%	8.1%	5.2%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Monte Verde	2017	4.8%	5.9%	3.9%
Brazil	Mogi Guaçu	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Brazil	Santana	2017	19.6%	24.2%	15.5%
Brazil	Iguatama	2017	7.4%	9.8%	5.4%
Brazil	Santa Fé de Minas	2017	6.1%	8.8%	4.0%
Brazil	Santa Juliana	2017	5.9%	8.1%	4.2%
Brazil	Santa Margarida	2017	4.2%	5.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Jaqueira	2017	16.5%	18.9%	14.5%
Brazil	Mombaça	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Santo Amaro	2017	20.7%	23.4%	18.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Minas	2017	4.3%	5.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Luziânia	2017	6.1%	7.3%	5.1%
Brazil	Guairaçá	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Patrulha	2017	2.8%	3.6%	2.0%
Brazil	Inconfidentes	2017	4.0%	5.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Santana do Jacaré	2017	7.0%	9.2%	5.3%
Brazil	Santana do Manhuaçu	2017	5.7%	7.3%	4.2%
Brazil	Itatiba do Sul	2017	2.5%	3.6%	1.7%
Brazil	Buritirama	2017	21.4%	28.0%	15.8%
Brazil	Monte Alto	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	22.7%	26.5%	18.9%
Brazil	Aparecida doeste	2017	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Coronel domingos Soares	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.5%
Brazil	São Domingos das Dores	2017	4.6%	5.9%	3.5%
Brazil	São Felipe	2017	18.8%	21.8%	16.1%
Brazil	Buriti do Tocantins	2017	25.0%	29.0%	21.1%
Brazil	São Manoel do Paraná	2017	3.6%	5.0%	2.7%
Brazil	São Francisco de Sales	2017	2.7%	4.2%	1.6%
Brazil	Monte Azul Paulista	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	São Mateus do Sul	2017	2.9%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Extremoz	2017	11.7%	13.7%	9.9%
Brazil	Fonte Boa	2017	12.0%	18.3%	7.4%
Brazil	São Gonçalo do Rio Abaixo	2017	6.8%	8.3%	5.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Aracatu	2017	22.0%	25.9%	18.4%
Brazil	São Miguel do Iguaçu	2017	3.7%	5.1%	2.5%
Brazil	São João da Mata	2017	5.6%	7.1%	4.2%
Brazil	São Francisco do Conde	2017	20.4%	23.2%	17.8%
Brazil	Botelhos	2017	5.2%	6.5%	4.0%
Brazil	Tocantinópolis	2017	37.0%	42.3%	31.7%
Brazil	São João do Paraíso	2017	11.7%	14.8%	9.0%
Brazil	Ipaba	2017	7.8%	9.5%	6.2%
Brazil	Jatobá	2017	21.9%	25.5%	18.1%
Brazil	São Gonçalo dos Campos	2017	19.6%	21.7%	17.5%
Brazil	São Pedro do Paraná	2017	3.3%	4.7%	2.1%
Brazil	Campo Alegre	2017	21.2%	23.9%	18.9%
Brazil	São José da Vitória	2017	18.8%	21.9%	16.0%
Brazil	São Lourenço	2017	4.7%	6.4%	3.7%
Brazil	Rancho Alegre d'Oeste	2017	3.8%	5.0%	2.8%
Brazil	São Pedro da União	2017	4.9%	6.6%	3.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Amoreira	2017	3.1%	4.3%	2.4%
Brazil	Motuca	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Feira Grande	2017	22.0%	24.8%	19.4%
Brazil	Ipiaçu	2017	5.9%	7.9%	3.9%
Brazil	Pontes e Lacerda	2017	8.8%	12.5%	5.8%
Brazil	Botumirim	2017	5.3%	7.8%	3.5%
Brazil	Parauapebas	2017	8.7%	10.8%	6.9%
Brazil	Carnaubal	2017	20.0%	23.4%	17.0%
Brazil	Nantes	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Passé	2017	17.4%	19.7%	15.5%
Brazil	Iraí de Minas	2017	6.0%	8.1%	4.1%
Brazil	Bela Cruz	2017	19.9%	22.8%	16.9%
Brazil	Batalha	2017	28.2%	32.6%	23.3%
Brazil	Itabira	2017	4.8%	5.9%	3.8%
Brazil	Saudade do Iguaçu	2017	4.0%	5.3%	2.6%
Brazil	Capela	2017	10.1%	12.1%	8.4%
Brazil	Natividade da Serra	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Brazil	Trairão	2017	9.9%	14.8%	6.5%
Brazil	Sericita	2017	4.5%	5.8%	3.2%
Brazil	Guaraci	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Serra da Saudad	2017	6.7%	8.8%	4.9%
Brazil	Araguaína	2017	30.4%	34.2%	26.9%
Brazil	Serranópolis do Iguaçu	2017	3.9%	5.2%	2.6%
Brazil	São José das Missões	2017	3.3%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Saúde	2017	19.3%	22.9%	16.2%
Brazil	Sertaneja	2017	3.0%	4.1%	2.1%
Brazil	Seabra	2017	16.9%	20.8%	13.8%
Brazil	São José do Herval	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Sertanópolis	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.7%
Brazil	Bujaru	2017	10.6%	12.5%	8.8%
Brazil	São José do Hortêncio	2017	4.1%	4.8%	3.4%
Brazil	Nipoã	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Sebastião Laranjeiras	2017	17.0%	20.7%	13.3%
Brazil	Barbacena	2017	4.2%	5.6%	3.1%
Brazil	Ataléia	2017	4.1%	5.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Combinado	2017	21.2%	26.7%	16.9%
Brazil	Itaipé	2017	5.7%	7.4%	4.3%
Brazil	Tocantins	2017	6.3%	7.8%	5.0%
Brazil	Barra do Ouro	2017	38.5%	45.6%	31.7%
Brazil	São José do Ouro	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.5%
Brazil	Goianésia	2017	5.5%	7.1%	4.1%
Brazil	Itajubá	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.7%
Brazil	Amambai	2017	2.9%	4.6%	1.6%
Brazil	São José dos Ausentes	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Nova Canaã Paulista	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Tamboara	2017	3.5%	4.7%	2.6%
Brazil	Ubaporanga	2017	4.1%	5.3%	3.1%
Brazil	Tavares	2017	15.1%	18.1%	12.6%
Brazil	Serra dourada	2017	20.8%	25.9%	16.2%
Brazil	Gracho Cardoso	2017	12.4%	15.2%	10.1%
Brazil	Japoatã	2017	13.3%	15.4%	11.2%
Brazil	Hugo Napoleão	2017	29.0%	36.0%	22.6%
Brazil	Americano do Brazil	2017	4.4%	6.0%	3.1%
Brazil	Tapira	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Balneário Gaivota	2017	2.6%	3.8%	1.6%
Brazil	Coromandel	2017	6.6%	9.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Serrinha	2017	19.7%	22.9%	16.8%
Brazil	União	2017	31.6%	37.0%	26.6%
Brazil	Veredinha	2017	6.5%	9.0%	4.3%
Brazil	José Boiteux	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Nova Guataporanga	2017	1.5%	2.5%	0.8%
Brazil	Açailândia	2017	28.4%	32.9%	24.2%
Brazil	Nova Independência	2017	1.5%	2.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Cumarú do Norte	2017	8.7%	12.2%	6.0%
Brazil	Terra Rica	2017	2.7%	4.0%	1.6%
Brazil	Nova Luzitânia	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	São Miguel das Misses	2017	3.3%	4.6%	2.2%
Brazil	Nova Odessa	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Brazil	Mineiros	2017	5.4%	8.2%	3.3%
Brazil	Coronel Murta	2017	8.5%	11.5%	6.0%
Brazil	Fernando de Noronha	2017	18.6%	40.7%	7.2%
Brazil	Satuba	2017	20.7%	22.6%	18.6%
Brazil	Central	2017	20.1%	24.2%	16.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Miguel do Passa Quatro	2017	6.9%	8.7%	5.4%
Brazil	Jaguaribe	2017	21.0%	24.8%	17.5%
Brazil	São Tomé	2017	12.4%	15.7%	9.7%
Brazil	Tibaji	2017	4.0%	5.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Lacerdópolis	2017	2.1%	3.1%	1.4%
Brazil	São Pedro da Serra	2017	2.5%	3.2%	1.9%
Brazil	Rio do Sul	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	3.2%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Caputira	2017	4.7%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	São Patrício	2017	6.4%	8.3%	4.7%
Brazil	Feliz Deserto	2017	24.9%	30.2%	20.6%
Brazil	Tanhaçu	2017	24.4%	28.9%	20.7%
Brazil	Tomazina	2017	3.5%	5.0%	2.2%
Brazil	São Pedro do Sul	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Óleo	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Olímpia	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Brazil	Itaú de Minas	2017	6.3%	8.0%	5.0%
Brazil	Tunas do Paraná	2017	3.1%	4.3%	2.0%
Brazil	São Sepé	2017	3.4%	4.8%	2.3%
Brazil	Belmonte	2017	20.5%	25.1%	16.6%
Brazil	Tuneiras do Oeste	2017	3.5%	4.5%	2.6%
Brazil	São Valentim do Sul	2017	3.3%	4.5%	2.3%
Brazil	Oriente	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Areia	2017	15.2%	17.4%	12.8%
Brazil	Itaverava	2017	6.3%	8.0%	5.0%
Brazil	Buritinópolis	2017	10.7%	14.3%	7.4%
Brazil	São Valentim	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.6%
Brazil	Teixeira de Freitas	2017	17.0%	19.9%	14.4%
Brazil	Peixe	2017	31.3%	37.1%	26.5%
Brazil	Tanque d'Arca	2017	20.8%	23.7%	18.1%
Brazil	Orlandia	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Brazil	Itueta	2017	6.1%	8.1%	4.3%
Brazil	Osasco	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Ituiutaba	2017	4.6%	6.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Umuarama	2017	2.7%	3.5%	1.9%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2017	19.8%	23.4%	15.9%
Brazil	Limoeiro do Ajuru	2017	9.7%	12.0%	7.8%
Brazil	Balsa Nova	2017	3.0%	3.8%	2.3%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	12.7%	15.1%	10.2%
Brazil	Coremas	2017	17.6%	21.2%	13.7%
Brazil	Sapucaia do Sul	2017	3.2%	3.6%	2.7%
Brazil	Isaías Coelho	2017	28.2%	34.2%	21.4%
Brazil	Terra Nova	2017	20.3%	23.1%	17.7%
Brazil	Romelândia	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.6%
Brazil	Altinho	2017	20.1%	22.7%	17.7%
Brazil	Bom Retiro	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Tremedal	2017	19.4%	22.5%	16.1%
Brazil	Jaú do Tocantins	2017	20.2%	25.7%	15.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alfredo Chaves	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.4%
Brazil	Ouroeste	2017	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	Uauá	2017	18.2%	22.5%	14.3%
Brazil	Bandeirante	2017	2.4%	3.6%	1.6%
Brazil	Jacinto	2017	13.6%	17.3%	10.6%
Brazil	Baraúna	2017	12.1%	14.7%	10.0%
Brazil	Itaporanga da-Juda	2017	9.9%	11.6%	8.1%
Brazil	Rio Verde de Mato Grosso	2017	4.3%	6.8%	2.6%
Brazil	Nobres	2017	9.2%	12.0%	6.2%
Brazil	Ubaitaba	2017	19.3%	22.2%	16.3%
Brazil	Belmiro Braga	2017	3.8%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Junqueiro	2017	22.6%	25.4%	20.1%
Brazil	Senador Salgado Filho	2017	3.3%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Palmares Paulista	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Brazil	Jaguaraçu	2017	7.2%	9.1%	5.7%
Brazil	Morro Agudo de Goiás	2017	5.4%	7.6%	3.8%
Brazil	Sentinela do Sul	2017	3.2%	4.3%	2.4%
Brazil	Jijoca de Jericoacoara	2017	21.2%	25.4%	16.9%
Brazil	Jaíba	2017	8.3%	11.2%	6.2%
Brazil	Palmital	2017	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Umburanas	2017	20.8%	25.7%	16.7%
Brazil	Vitorino	2017	2.1%	3.0%	1.4%
Brazil	Curralinho	2017	9.8%	12.1%	7.5%
Brazil	Una	2017	19.2%	23.0%	15.7%
Brazil	Mossâmedes	2017	6.7%	8.7%	4.8%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2017	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Xambrê	2017	3.6%	5.0%	2.5%
Brazil	Sertão Santana	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Urandi	2017	15.7%	19.0%	12.6%
Brazil	Piraquê	2017	30.1%	34.8%	25.7%
Brazil	Miguel Pereira	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Brazil	Paraibuna	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Brazil	Uruçuca	2017	20.1%	23.3%	17.7%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2017	35.8%	40.5%	31.6%
Brazil	Rochedo	2017	4.2%	6.1%	2.7%
Brazil	Juazeiro do Norte	2017	15.7%	17.7%	14.0%
Brazil	Rosana	2017	3.4%	4.9%	2.3%
Brazil	Guaraíta	2017	12.0%	15.4%	8.5%
Brazil	Alagoa Grande	2017	17.8%	20.4%	15.0%
Brazil	Arapoema	2017	22.7%	27.5%	18.3%
Brazil	Valença	2017	19.7%	23.1%	16.9%
Brazil	Licínio de Almeida	2017	17.6%	20.9%	14.2%
Brazil	Silveira Martins	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.5%
Brazil	Brejo Santo	2017	18.2%	21.0%	16.0%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2017	21.1%	25.3%	17.4%
Brazil	Várzea da Roça	2017	18.9%	22.6%	15.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cerro Negro	2017	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	Pardinho	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Brazil	Várzea do Poço	2017	18.0%	21.3%	14.6%
Brazil	Pium	2017	25.1%	30.3%	21.1%
Brazil	Douradina	2017	3.6%	5.1%	2.4%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2017	10.7%	13.2%	8.3%
Brazil	Morro do Pilar	2017	6.8%	9.1%	4.7%
Brazil	Barreiras do Piauí	2017	28.9%	38.8%	19.4%
Brazil	Salmourão	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Brazil	Jequitinhonha	2017	10.7%	13.8%	7.7%
Brazil	Lago do Junco	2017	37.1%	43.3%	31.2%
Brazil	Angelândia	2017	4.3%	6.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Cocalzinho de Goiás	2017	5.5%	6.7%	4.3%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	21.6%	24.4%	19.0%
Brazil	Diamante do Sul	2017	4.0%	5.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Lajedo	2017	16.7%	19.1%	14.4%
Brazil	Agudos do Sul	2017	2.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	18.1%	21.4%	14.6%
Brazil	Patu	2017	9.2%	11.6%	7.1%
Brazil	Glória d'Oeste	2017	8.2%	11.1%	5.8%
Brazil	Alfredo Wagner	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Caiabu	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Brazil	Cabo	2017	15.4%	16.9%	13.8%
Brazil	João Monlevade	2017	4.8%	6.0%	3.8%
Brazil	Wenceslau Guimarães	2017	18.6%	22.0%	16.3%
Brazil	Miracema	2017	2.6%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Barra do Guarita	2017	3.0%	4.3%	2.1%
Brazil	Pedra Bela	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte do Oeste	2017	7.8%	10.0%	6.1%
Brazil	Jordânia	2017	12.8%	16.1%	9.8%
Brazil	José Gonçalves de Minas	2017	6.7%	9.6%	4.4%
Brazil	Aparecida do Taboado	2017	2.5%	4.0%	1.3%
Brazil	Vila Propício	2017	6.5%	8.2%	4.8%
Brazil	São José do Calçado	2017	3.0%	4.1%	2.1%
Brazil	Guabiruba	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Brazil	Nova Ponte	2017	6.1%	8.6%	4.4%
Brazil	Nova Bandeirantes	2017	7.6%	11.1%	4.3%
Brazil	Josenópolis	2017	7.2%	10.0%	5.3%
Brazil	Formoso	2017	7.6%	10.8%	4.7%
Brazil	Pedro de Toledo	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Heitorai	2017	6.7%	8.6%	5.1%
Brazil	Torres	2017	2.2%	3.4%	1.3%
Brazil	Tenente Ananias	2017	12.3%	15.2%	9.4%
Brazil	Curimatá	2017	26.0%	33.7%	19.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Iporanga	2017	2.4%	3.8%	1.3%
Brazil	Juruáia	2017	4.6%	6.1%	3.4%
Brazil	Pereiras	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Juvenília	2017	13.8%	17.5%	10.5%
Brazil	Cedro	2017	20.1%	23.3%	17.3%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2017	2.2%	3.2%	1.4%
Brazil	Caatiba	2017	23.7%	27.3%	20.5%
Brazil	Piacatu	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Major Vieira	2017	1.6%	2.4%	1.1%
Brazil	Madalena	2017	18.8%	22.9%	15.7%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	29.2%	35.3%	22.5%
Brazil	Girau do Ponciano	2017	20.0%	22.6%	17.7%
Brazil	Lagoa dos Patos	2017	7.2%	9.7%	4.9%
Brazil	Lagoa do Mato	2017	35.0%	42.6%	27.4%
Brazil	Três Forquilhas	2017	2.8%	3.9%	1.8%
Brazil	Juruá	2017	11.0%	16.3%	6.6%
Brazil	Lagoa dourada	2017	6.2%	8.0%	4.7%
Brazil	Doutor Carmargo	2017	3.9%	5.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Jerumenha	2017	33.2%	43.7%	24.8%
Brazil	Colinas	2017	4.2%	5.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Nova Crixás	2017	8.3%	11.1%	5.9%
Brazil	Cajamar	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Brazil	Campo Real	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.3%
Brazil	Bernardo do Mearim	2017	37.8%	43.5%	32.3%
Brazil	Cocal do Sul	2017	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Piquete	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Tunas	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Lamim	2017	7.2%	9.0%	5.5%
Brazil	Araruama	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Tupanciretã	2017	2.9%	3.9%	2.0%
Brazil	Lassance	2017	7.3%	10.4%	4.9%
Brazil	Pedro Avelino	2017	10.8%	13.6%	7.9%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	13.0%	15.7%	10.0%
Brazil	Lavras	2017	6.2%	7.9%	4.8%
Brazil	Barbosa Ferraz	2017	4.7%	6.1%	3.5%
Brazil	Leandro Ferreira	2017	7.6%	9.3%	5.7%
Brazil	Cabrobó	2017	16.6%	20.1%	13.6%
Brazil	Turuçu	2017	3.9%	5.3%	2.7%
Brazil	Carambeí	2017	3.0%	3.9%	2.1%
Brazil	Maranguape	2017	18.5%	20.4%	16.7%
Brazil	Iaciara	2017	11.8%	15.4%	8.4%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Minas	2017	5.0%	6.4%	3.7%
Brazil	Pirapozinho	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Brazil	Afonso Bezerra	2017	10.5%	12.8%	8.0%
Brazil	Barra do Quaraí	2017	3.6%	6.4%	1.5%
Brazil	Piratininga	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Lima Duarte	2017	3.5%	4.7%	2.4%
Brazil	Limeira do Oeste	2017	4.3%	6.3%	2.7%
Brazil	Pitangueiras	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Carrancas	2017	4.9%	6.6%	3.5%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Castanhal	2017	8.9%	10.5%	7.6%
Brazil	Vacaria	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Brazil	Platina	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Carmolândia	2017	29.6%	33.9%	25.0%
Brazil	Poá	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Dulcinópolis	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Bertópolis	2017	11.9%	15.4%	8.7%
Brazil	Cariacica	2017	4.4%	5.1%	3.7%
Brazil	Luz	2017	6.4%	8.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Logradouro	2017	14.6%	16.7%	12.5%
Brazil	Currálinhos	2017	31.4%	38.2%	25.9%
Brazil	Amorinópolis	2017	6.7%	9.4%	4.6%
Brazil	Machado	2017	7.6%	9.7%	5.8%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	3.5%	4.5%	2.7%
Brazil	Igrapiúna	2017	20.1%	23.3%	16.8%
Brazil	Novo Brazil	2017	7.3%	9.6%	5.2%
Brazil	Araioses	2017	30.6%	36.1%	25.5%
Brazil	Peçanha	2017	4.6%	6.0%	3.4%
Brazil	Chapada da Natividade	2017	31.4%	38.3%	24.8%
Brazil	Dores do Turvo	2017	7.0%	8.6%	5.5%
Brazil	Siderópolis	2017	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Viadutos	2017	2.8%	3.9%	2.1%
Brazil	Manga	2017	10.6%	14.2%	7.6%
Brazil	Ibateguara	2017	16.0%	18.4%	13.7%
Brazil	Bonito	2017	2.8%	4.5%	1.4%
Brazil	Porto Ferreira	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Banzaê	2017	20.1%	24.2%	17.0%
Brazil	Manhumirim	2017	5.2%	6.7%	3.8%
Brazil	Sul Brazil	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.6%
Brazil	Mucuri	2017	12.0%	14.9%	9.6%
Brazil	Potim	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Brazil	Ibiam	2017	1.6%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Campina do Monte Alegre	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Recursolândia	2017	36.2%	44.9%	27.3%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2017	6.5%	8.5%	4.6%
Brazil	Maravilhas	2017	6.3%	8.5%	4.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Gurupi	2017	18.4%	23.0%	14.4%
Brazil	Boa Vista	2017	5.9%	7.0%	4.9%
Brazil	Vila Maria	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Eldorado	2017	4.9%	6.9%	2.9%
Brazil	Marianópolis do Tocantins	2017	24.1%	30.4%	18.4%
Brazil	Praia Grande	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Mariana	2017	6.5%	8.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Alfenas	2017	6.5%	8.2%	4.9%
Brazil	Presidente Alves	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Baião	2017	9.8%	12.6%	7.7%
Brazil	Vitória das Misses	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Agrolândia	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Marliéria	2017	8.0%	9.9%	6.5%
Brazil	Xangri-lá	2017	2.8%	3.8%	1.9%
Brazil	Marmelópolis	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Brazil	Meruoca	2017	12.0%	13.8%	10.4%
Brazil	Promissão	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Martins Soares	2017	4.2%	5.6%	3.1%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Serra	2017	24.8%	28.9%	20.2%
Brazil	Altamira do Maranhão	2017	36.6%	42.5%	30.2%
Brazil	Barra do Ribeiro	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.5%
Brazil	Pitangui	2017	5.8%	7.5%	4.3%
Brazil	Boca da Mata	2017	22.0%	25.1%	19.2%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Toldo	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Brazil	Nilo Peçanha	2017	20.3%	23.5%	17.5%
Brazil	Coribe	2017	16.9%	21.8%	12.7%
Brazil	Carrasco Bonito	2017	28.9%	32.8%	25.0%
Brazil	Rancharia	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Canto do Buriti	2017	28.6%	36.3%	20.8%
Brazil	Itupeva	2017	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Brazil	Reginópolis	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Orobó	2017	16.5%	18.5%	14.5%
Brazil	Urupá	2017	8.2%	10.0%	6.4%
Brazil	Cotiporã	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Mendes Pimentel	2017	5.8%	8.1%	4.2%
Brazil	Morro Grande	2017	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Rio dos Bois	2017	32.5%	37.8%	27.2%
Brazil	Mercês	2017	7.2%	9.2%	5.5%
Brazil	Araguaçu	2017	15.9%	21.1%	11.7%
Brazil	Ribeirão Corrente	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Brazil	Aliança do Tocantins	2017	32.5%	38.7%	27.3%
Brazil	Igaci	2017	22.1%	24.9%	19.7%
Brazil	Canápolis	2017	18.6%	23.1%	14.4%
Brazil	Arambaré	2017	3.2%	4.4%	2.0%
Brazil	Emilianópolis	2017	0.8%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Faxinal	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Ribeirao Preto	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Brazil	Porto do Mangue	2017	12.3%	15.5%	9.2%
Brazil	Nova Soure	2017	20.6%	23.9%	17.2%
Brazil	Estrela do Sul	2017	6.5%	8.5%	4.6%
Brazil	Rifaina	2017	4.8%	6.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Caldas Novas	2017	7.9%	10.1%	6.0%
Brazil	Bela Vista de Goiás	2017	6.2%	7.7%	5.0%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	32.1%	36.6%	27.7%
Brazil	Itiquira	2017	5.8%	8.3%	3.8%
Brazil	Correntina	2017	18.2%	25.5%	13.8%
Brazil	Monjolos	2017	6.9%	9.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Floresta do Araguaia	2017	13.5%	16.9%	10.0%
Brazil	Montalvânia	2017	12.6%	16.1%	9.3%
Brazil	Porto Barreiro	2017	3.9%	5.3%	2.6%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Piaui	2017	29.7%	35.7%	23.8%
Brazil	Capanema	2017	10.2%	12.4%	8.5%
Brazil	Monte Azul	2017	10.3%	13.5%	7.5%
Brazil	Arara	2017	13.9%	16.2%	11.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Crissiumal	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.2%
Brazil	Roseira	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Brazil	Barracão	2017	2.3%	3.5%	1.5%
Brazil	Jussara	2017	7.3%	9.7%	5.1%
Brazil	Ariranha	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Itatiaia	2017	2.0%	2.7%	1.4%
Brazil	Monte Santo de Minas	2017	2.5%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	Araras	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Brazil	Montes Claros	2017	5.6%	6.9%	4.3%
Brazil	Barra do Rio Azul	2017	2.5%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	Sales Oliveira	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Brazil	Tupanatinga	2017	17.0%	20.1%	14.2%
Brazil	Pimenta Bueno	2017	7.2%	9.5%	5.5%
Brazil	Lajes	2017	11.0%	14.1%	8.2%
Brazil	Belmonte	2017	2.3%	3.4%	1.6%
Brazil	Água Boa	2017	6.6%	8.7%	4.6%
Brazil	Flores de Goiás	2017	10.0%	13.0%	7.5%
Brazil	Laranjeiras	2017	10.3%	11.8%	9.1%
Brazil	Riacho das Almas	2017	19.2%	21.7%	17.0%
Brazil	São João	2017	3.5%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Mucurici	2017	8.7%	11.6%	6.2%
Brazil	Salto do Pirapora	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Mutum	2017	6.4%	8.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Itaguaru	2017	6.2%	7.7%	4.6%
Brazil	Mates do Norte	2017	37.0%	44.0%	31.3%
Brazil	Nacip Raydan	2017	6.3%	8.4%	4.6%
Brazil	Sandovalina	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%
Brazil	Alto Piquiri	2017	3.3%	4.5%	2.3%
Brazil	Santa Adélia	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Natalândia	2017	5.5%	8.1%	3.2%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara d'Oeste	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Brazil	Aramari	2017	21.2%	24.6%	18.3%
Brazil	Céu Azul	2017	3.3%	4.6%	2.3%
Brazil	Natércia	2017	4.8%	6.2%	3.6%
Brazil	Nazareno	2017	7.2%	9.5%	5.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Conceição	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Ninheira	2017	12.6%	15.6%	10.0%
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Esperança	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.2%
Brazil	Adamantina	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Santarém	2017	10.0%	12.1%	8.0%
Brazil	Nova Era	2017	6.2%	7.9%	4.8%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2017	13.9%	16.4%	11.6%
Brazil	Guaporé	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Davinópolis	2017	10.4%	13.7%	7.7%
Brazil	Cassilândia	2017	5.5%	7.9%	3.7%
Brazil	São Paulo de Olivença	2017	11.9%	16.0%	7.9%
Brazil	Boquira	2017	19.7%	24.3%	16.0%
Brazil	Teutônia	2017	3.6%	4.6%	2.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Tocantins	2017	34.4%	41.1%	27.8%
Brazil	Canarana	2017	7.3%	11.5%	4.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Bom Jardim da Serra	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Brazil	Campestre de Goiás	2017	7.7%	9.4%	6.1%
Brazil	Nova União	2017	5.8%	7.2%	4.6%
Brazil	Santa Mercedes	2017	1.9%	3.0%	1.1%
Brazil	Capitão Poço	2017	9.7%	12.0%	7.9%
Brazil	Novo Cruzeiro	2017	4.5%	6.2%	3.0%
Brazil	Mataraca	2017	11.3%	13.7%	9.1%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Passa Quatro	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio dos Milagres	2017	27.9%	33.5%	22.5%
Brazil	Bela Vista da Caroba	2017	3.5%	4.8%	2.4%
Brazil	Riacho da Cruz	2017	13.7%	17.3%	10.5%
Brazil	Olaria	2017	3.0%	4.4%	2.1%
Brazil	Ouro	2017	2.0%	2.7%	1.3%
Brazil	Assaí	2017	3.7%	4.7%	2.8%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Tocantins	2017	31.6%	37.5%	26.4%
Brazil	Rodrigues Alves	2017	15.7%	19.2%	12.7%
Brazil	Brejão	2017	15.7%	18.0%	13.7%
Brazil	Oliveira Fortes	2017	5.4%	7.1%	4.3%
Brazil	Jataizinho	2017	3.4%	4.3%	2.6%
Brazil	Santo André	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Realeza	2017	3.9%	5.4%	2.8%
Brazil	Orizânia	2017	4.0%	5.3%	2.8%
Brazil	Frei Gaspar	2017	5.6%	7.4%	4.0%
Brazil	Leme	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	4.9%	6.2%	3.7%
Brazil	Baje	2017	3.4%	4.7%	2.3%
Brazil	Renascença	2017	3.0%	4.1%	2.0%
Brazil	Senador José Bento	2017	5.2%	6.9%	3.9%
Brazil	Curaçá	2017	18.2%	22.3%	15.1%
Brazil	Croata	2017	22.0%	25.8%	18.6%
Brazil	Santos	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Padre Carvalho	2017	7.0%	9.8%	4.7%
Brazil	Bonfim	2017	6.2%	8.7%	4.1%
Brazil	Padre Paraíso	2017	5.8%	7.9%	3.9%
Brazil	Fronteira dos Vales	2017	9.3%	12.7%	6.3%
Brazil	São Bernardo do Campo	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Anguera	2017	21.8%	24.9%	18.2%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2017	11.5%	14.0%	9.0%
Brazil	Pains	2017	6.8%	9.0%	5.0%
Brazil	Paiva	2017	7.8%	10.0%	6.2%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Pinhal	2017	3.5%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Lorena	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Brazil	São João das Duas Pontes	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Frutal	2017	3.0%	4.6%	1.9%
Brazil	Flora Rica	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Viçosa do Ceará	2017	21.3%	24.6%	18.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pirambu	2017	14.6%	17.6%	12.1%
Brazil	Juranda	2017	3.9%	5.2%	2.8%
Brazil	Paulista	2017	17.0%	18.7%	15.5%
Brazil	Paraisópolis	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.6%
Brazil	Alto Longá	2017	28.9%	36.2%	23.0%
Brazil	Palmeira	2017	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%
Brazil	Paraopeba	2017	5.9%	7.6%	4.1%
Brazil	Aporá	2017	20.2%	24.3%	17.0%
Brazil	Aracitaba	2017	7.2%	9.2%	5.7%
Brazil	Umburatiba	2017	12.1%	16.1%	8.8%
Brazil	Nova Castilho	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Brazil	Passa Tempo	2017	6.6%	8.5%	4.9%
Brazil	Campestre	2017	5.2%	6.8%	3.9%
Brazil	Palmitos	2017	2.3%	3.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Passabém	2017	6.1%	7.9%	4.4%
Brazil	Passos	2017	5.3%	6.9%	3.9%
Brazil	Calumbi	2017	15.7%	18.9%	13.0%
Brazil	Patis	2017	6.5%	9.1%	4.3%
Brazil	Rolândia	2017	2.9%	3.6%	2.3%
Brazil	São Miguel	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Brazil	Arcanjo				
Brazil	Ibiraíaras	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Colinas	2017	37.7%	44.6%	30.3%
Brazil	Virgem da Lapa	2017	7.8%	10.6%	5.6%
Brazil	Virgínia	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Brazil	Cortes	2017	12.9%	14.8%	11.1%
Brazil	Paula Cândido	2017	6.1%	7.6%	4.8%
Brazil	Biguaçu	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Coroados	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Pedra Azul	2017	8.6%	11.1%	6.1%
Brazil	Almino Afonso	2017	11.5%	14.2%	9.2%
Brazil	São José do Belmonte	2017	12.2%	15.4%	9.3%
Brazil	Sabáudia	2017	3.7%	4.5%	2.8%
Brazil	Alegrete	2017	2.8%	3.9%	1.9%
Brazil	Pedra do Indaiá	2017	6.0%	7.8%	4.6%
Brazil	Serra Negra	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Brazil	Serrana	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	Magda	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Pedralva	2017	4.7%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Grupiara	2017	10.0%	12.6%	7.4%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2017	12.5%	16.6%	8.7%
Brazil	Comercinho	2017	6.9%	9.5%	4.6%
Brazil	Severínia	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Leópolis	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.3%
Brazil	Pequeri	2017	4.0%	5.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Bannach	2017	8.4%	11.6%	5.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz	2017	12.8%	15.1%	10.6%
Brazil	Perdigão	2017	6.3%	8.0%	4.9%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos	2017	3.2%	3.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Brejo Grande	2017	19.5%	24.4%	15.7%
Brazil	São Pedro dos Crentes	2017	33.8%	41.7%	25.7%
Brazil	Barroso	2017	5.7%	7.3%	4.4%
Brazil	Pescador	2017	5.5%	7.6%	3.9%
Brazil	Tabapuã	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Aroeiras	2017	21.0%	23.9%	18.4%
Brazil	Tabatinga	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Taboão da Serra	2017	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%
Brazil	Bonfinópolis	2017	9.8%	13.3%	6.8%
Brazil	Taciba	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Brazil	Antonio Olinto	2017	2.8%	3.8%	1.9%
Brazil	Taguaí	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Piedade dos Gerais	2017	7.1%	9.1%	5.3%
Brazil	Campo Belo do Sul	2017	1.6%	2.5%	0.9%
Brazil	Taiúva	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Denise	2017	8.6%	11.1%	6.2%
Brazil	Tambaú	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Brazil	Pintópolis	2017	6.2%	8.5%	3.9%
Brazil	Araçuaí	2017	7.5%	9.9%	5.4%
Brazil	Gália	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Irati	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Guapimirim	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Barro Duro	2017	28.8%	35.0%	22.4%
Brazil	Taquaral	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Piranguçu	2017	2.5%	3.3%	1.9%
Brazil	Bituruna	2017	2.7%	3.8%	1.8%
Brazil	Piranguinho	2017	5.0%	6.3%	3.8%
Brazil	Taquarituba	2017	1.0%	1.7%	0.6%
Brazil	Campo do Meio	2017	7.7%	9.7%	5.8%
Brazil	Tapauá	2017	11.4%	17.5%	6.7%
Brazil	Cardoso Moreira	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.0%
Brazil	Tarumã	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Brazil	Cachoeira dos índios	2017	17.2%	20.3%	14.1%
Brazil	Tatuí	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Taubaté	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Brazil	Dom Feliciano	2017	3.1%	4.3%	2.2%
Brazil	Tejupa	2017	0.8%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Ataléia	2017	18.6%	20.8%	16.6%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2017	2.4%	3.6%	1.5%
Brazil	Poço Fundo	2017	7.1%	9.0%	5.4%
Brazil	Terra Roxa	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Bambuí	2017	5.5%	7.6%	3.7%
Brazil	Inhangapi	2017	10.0%	11.8%	8.4%
Brazil	Brejinho de Nazaré	2017	34.4%	40.3%	29.3%
Brazil	Cambira	2017	4.0%	4.9%	3.1%
Brazil	São José do Peixe	2017	30.7%	39.3%	23.0%
Brazil	Aguiar	2017	16.3%	19.6%	13.0%
Brazil	Rio Real	2017	15.1%	17.8%	12.5%
Brazil	Cujubim	2017	8.0%	11.3%	5.6%
Brazil	Poté	2017	5.1%	6.7%	3.6%
Brazil	Tuiuti	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Brazil	Itaiópolis	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Brazil	Tupã	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Pouso Alto	2017	3.7%	5.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Porto da Folha	2017	15.9%	18.7%	13.0%
Brazil	Prados	2017	7.2%	9.5%	5.5%
Brazil	Mendonça	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Saltinho	2017	2.0%	2.9%	1.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Araucária	2017	2.9%	3.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Ermo	2017	1.7%	2.5%	1.1%
Brazil	Borborema	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Novo Acordo	2017	35.6%	42.7%	28.8%
Brazil	Ubirajara	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Jacuípe	2017	18.2%	21.2%	15.7%
Brazil	Uchoa	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Conceição do Rio Verde	2017	5.6%	7.5%	4.3%
Brazil	Palestina	2017	1.5%	2.1%	0.9%
Brazil	Urânia	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Catanduva	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.2%
Brazil	Uru	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Queluzita	2017	7.1%	9.0%	5.5%
Brazil	Valentim Gentil	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Raposos	2017	6.0%	6.9%	5.0%
Brazil	Iúna	2017	4.1%	5.3%	3.0%
Brazil	Raul Soares	2017	7.6%	9.4%	5.7%
Brazil	Juscimeira	2017	7.4%	10.0%	5.4%
Brazil	Brejo	2017	35.0%	41.3%	29.1%
Brazil	Vargem Grande do Sul	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Brazil	Miguel Leão	2017	29.9%	37.2%	24.3%
Brazil	Alvorada	2017	3.4%	3.8%	2.9%
Brazil	Santa Cruz Cabralia	2017	21.3%	25.1%	17.2%
Brazil	Resplendor	2017	6.4%	8.5%	4.4%
Brazil	Várzea Paulista	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Ressaquinha	2017	5.0%	6.4%	3.7%
Brazil	Vinhedo	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Brazil	Colares	2017	9.9%	11.9%	8.0%
Brazil	Mallet	2017	3.2%	4.4%	2.0%
Brazil	Ribeirão Vermelho	2017	7.9%	10.1%	6.4%
Brazil	Mirandópolis	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Brazil	Rio Acima	2017	7.2%	8.6%	6.0%
Brazil	Rio Casca	2017	8.6%	10.7%	6.9%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Herval	2017	2.6%	3.2%	2.1%
Brazil	Rio do Prado	2017	9.5%	12.7%	6.5%
Brazil	Cabeceiras do Piauí	2017	28.9%	34.5%	23.8%
Brazil	Rio doce	2017	9.3%	11.5%	7.4%
Brazil	Espírito Santo	2017	11.5%	13.7%	9.7%
Brazil	Boa Vista do Sul	2017	3.1%	4.0%	2.4%
Brazil	Uirapuru	2017	8.2%	11.1%	5.6%
Brazil	Rio Paranaíba	2017	5.7%	8.0%	3.9%
Brazil	Rio Pardo de Minas	2017	7.2%	9.1%	5.7%
Brazil	Japurá	2017	13.3%	20.3%	8.6%
Brazil	Rio Vermelho	2017	6.0%	8.0%	4.2%
Brazil	Tonantins	2017	12.5%	17.8%	8.4%
Brazil	Ritópolis	2017	6.5%	8.5%	4.8%
Brazil	Rosário da Limeira	2017	4.4%	5.8%	3.5%
Brazil	Maruim	2017	12.0%	13.8%	10.6%
Brazil	Rubim	2017	12.1%	15.9%	8.9%
Brazil	São João do Ivaí	2017	4.7%	6.0%	3.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Lambari d'Oeste	2017	7.9%	11.0%	5.4%
Brazil	Itiruçu	2017	16.9%	20.1%	14.2%
Brazil	Xapuri	2017	18.3%	23.3%	14.5%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Leste	2017	6.7%	8.7%	5.2%
Brazil	Congonhas do Norte	2017	3.8%	5.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Tugúrio	2017	2.9%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2017	5.7%	7.2%	4.4%
Brazil	Aramina	2017	3.6%	4.9%	2.6%
Brazil	Crateús	2017	22.6%	26.5%	19.2%
Brazil	Cristal do Sul	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Sobralia	2017	7.1%	8.8%	5.5%
Brazil	Santana do Livramento	2017	3.0%	4.4%	1.9%
Brazil	Porciúncula	2017	4.4%	5.7%	3.2%
Brazil	Santanópolis	2017	20.7%	23.9%	17.5%
Brazil	Santa Maria do Salto	2017	10.6%	13.8%	8.1%
Brazil	Santiago	2017	2.9%	4.2%	2.0%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Caldas	2017	3.6%	5.0%	2.6%
Brazil	Santa Rita de Jacutinga	2017	2.7%	3.8%	1.9%
Brazil	Apuarema	2017	18.0%	21.1%	15.3%
Brazil	São Jorge do Ivaí	2017	4.3%	5.6%	3.1%
Brazil	Barreiras	2017	18.7%	22.3%	15.4%
Brazil	Santa Rosa da Serra	2017	6.1%	8.2%	4.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Jesus	2017	19.1%	21.7%	16.7%
Brazil	Carnaubais	2017	10.0%	12.6%	7.4%
Brazil	Santana de Cataguases	2017	5.3%	6.9%	4.0%
Brazil	Banabuiú	2017	21.5%	25.5%	18.1%
Brazil	Manfrinópolis	2017	3.0%	4.1%	1.9%
Brazil	São Raimundo Nonato	2017	25.0%	31.1%	19.5%
Brazil	Santana do Riacho	2017	4.8%	6.6%	3.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aventureiro	2017	4.9%	6.0%	4.0%
Brazil	Anori	2017	12.1%	16.4%	8.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Monte	2017	11.0%	14.5%	8.3%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	6.0%	7.8%	4.3%
Brazil	Ouro Velho	2017	17.3%	20.4%	14.1%
Brazil	São Bento Abade	2017	5.6%	7.6%	4.1%
Brazil	São Brás do Suaçuí	2017	7.1%	9.0%	5.4%
Brazil	Caaporã	2017	17.3%	19.8%	14.4%
Brazil	Monte Aprazível	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Brazil	São Félix de Minas	2017	4.6%	6.4%	3.3%
Brazil	Itaituba	2017	9.2%	13.2%	6.4%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	6.9%	9.7%	4.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Capela	2017	19.8%	22.5%	17.2%
Brazil	São Geraldo do Baixo	2017	5.8%	7.7%	4.0%
Brazil	Duque Baccelar	2017	34.9%	41.0%	29.0%
Brazil	José Raydan	2017	6.3%	8.8%	4.0%
Brazil	Jundiá	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Monte Alegre dos Campos	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.6%
Brazil	São João Batista do Glória	2017	6.8%	9.0%	5.1%
Brazil	Caibaté	2017	3.5%	4.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Guará	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.0%
Brazil	São João das Missões	2017	9.1%	12.3%	6.5%
Brazil	São João del Rei	2017	5.7%	7.6%	4.2%
Brazil	Engenho Velho	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.2%
Brazil	Moita Bonita	2017	11.1%	12.9%	9.3%
Brazil	Solânea	2017	14.0%	16.3%	11.9%
Brazil	São Pedro do Iguaçu	2017	3.6%	5.0%	2.5%
Brazil	São João Evangelista	2017	5.2%	6.8%	3.9%
Brazil	São João Nepomuceno	2017	6.6%	8.2%	5.0%
Brazil	São Pedro do Ivaí	2017	4.5%	5.7%	3.4%
Brazil	Mara Rosa	2017	7.1%	9.6%	5.1%
Brazil	São José da Lapa	2017	6.3%	7.3%	5.4%
Brazil	São José do Alegre	2017	5.1%	6.4%	3.8%
Brazil	Novo Lino	2017	18.0%	20.7%	15.4%
Brazil	São José do Goiabal	2017	8.4%	10.8%	6.3%
Brazil	São José do Jacuri	2017	6.7%	9.1%	4.9%
Brazil	São Francisco de Assis	2017	3.7%	5.1%	2.6%
Brazil	Brejo do Piauí	2017	28.3%	36.8%	21.5%
Brazil	Brochier	2017	3.7%	4.7%	2.9%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande	2017	20.6%	24.4%	17.4%
Brazil	Presidente Kennedy	2017	3.7%	5.3%	2.6%
Brazil	São Romão	2017	6.5%	9.1%	4.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Bela Vista	2017	6.5%	8.1%	5.1%
Brazil	Ponta Porã	2017	2.2%	3.6%	1.2%
Brazil	Marzagão	2017	9.3%	11.9%	7.1%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Oeste	2017	6.8%	8.6%	5.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Rio Preto	2017	7.1%	9.3%	5.1%
Brazil	Barro Alto	2017	22.5%	26.6%	18.9%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Vargem Alegre	2017	3.9%	5.0%	3.0%
Brazil	Bodocó	2017	18.9%	21.9%	15.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Tiago	2017	6.0%	7.8%	4.4%
Brazil	São Jerônimo	2017	2.8%	3.5%	2.2%
Brazil	São Vicente de Minas	2017	4.8%	6.7%	3.4%
Brazil	Jerônimo Monteiro	2017	5.1%	6.7%	4.0%
Brazil	Sardoá	2017	4.4%	5.8%	3.1%
Brazil	Sapucaí-Mirim	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Brazil	Itaquiraí	2017	4.7%	6.6%	2.9%
Brazil	Senador Cortes	2017	2.8%	3.6%	2.0%
Brazil	Senador Firmino	2017	7.1%	8.8%	5.6%
Brazil	Bragança	2017	11.1%	13.5%	9.2%
Brazil	Junco	2017	10.7%	13.6%	8.2%
Brazil	Senhora do Porto	2017	5.7%	7.7%	4.0%
Brazil	Ribeirópolis	2017	11.0%	13.0%	9.3%
Brazil	Itacajá	2017	34.5%	41.2%	26.9%
Brazil	Anhangüera	2017	10.1%	13.0%	7.7%
Brazil	Pimenteiras	2017	26.5%	32.8%	21.1%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Nazaré	2017	29.5%	35.2%	23.7%
Brazil	São Jorge	2017	2.9%	3.9%	2.1%
Brazil	Serrania	2017	6.5%	8.9%	4.5%
Brazil	Serranos	2017	3.3%	4.6%	2.3%
Brazil	Cajueiro da Praia	2017	27.5%	32.7%	21.9%
Brazil	Sete Lagoas	2017	5.1%	6.4%	3.9%
Brazil	Setubinha	2017	4.7%	6.5%	3.2%
Brazil	Silvianópolis	2017	7.0%	9.0%	5.5%
Brazil	Felipe Guerra	2017	12.6%	15.9%	10.3%
Brazil	Simonésia	2017	4.5%	6.0%	3.4%
Brazil	Soledade de Minas	2017	5.2%	6.9%	4.0%
Brazil	Tabuleiro	2017	8.1%	10.0%	6.3%
Brazil	Rolim de Moura	2017	7.2%	9.2%	5.8%
Brazil	Taparuba	2017	6.1%	7.9%	4.3%
Brazil	Volta Redonda	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Brazil	Taquaraçu de Minas	2017	6.6%	8.0%	5.4%
Brazil	Arealva	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Boa Ventura	2017	16.5%	19.5%	13.5%
Brazil	Siqueira Campos	2017	2.8%	4.1%	1.7%
Brazil	Nonoai	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.6%
Brazil	São José do Inhacorá	2017	3.5%	4.7%	2.6%
Brazil	São João d'Aliança	2017	5.9%	7.7%	4.2%
Brazil	Senhor do Bonfim	2017	19.5%	23.0%	16.5%
Brazil	Marechal Floriano	2017	2.3%	3.0%	1.6%
Brazil	Tombos	2017	4.5%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Três Corações	2017	6.4%	8.2%	4.9%
Brazil	Três Marias	2017	5.9%	8.8%	3.6%
Brazil	Três Pontas	2017	6.2%	8.1%	4.8%
Brazil	Guarapuava	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Tupaciguara	2017	5.2%	6.7%	3.8%
Brazil	Turvolandia	2017	7.4%	9.7%	5.6%
Brazil	Ernestina	2017	3.6%	4.7%	2.8%
Brazil	Almenara	2017	11.9%	15.3%	8.7%
Brazil	Vargem Grande	2017	37.6%	43.9%	31.7%
Brazil	São José dos Basílios	2017	37.5%	44.4%	30.4%
Brazil	Borrazópolis	2017	4.1%	5.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Passo de Camaragibe	2017	21.2%	24.7%	18.2%
Brazil	Joaquim Gomes	2017	19.1%	21.8%	16.2%
Brazil	Ulianópolis	2017	13.0%	16.6%	10.1%
Brazil	Nova Olinda do Norte	2017	12.5%	16.7%	9.3%
Brazil	Vargem Grande do Rio Pardo	2017	8.5%	11.3%	6.0%
Brazil	Varginha	2017	6.0%	7.6%	4.7%
Brazil	Barro Preto	2017	20.2%	22.6%	17.3%
Brazil	Olho d'Água do Casado	2017	17.6%	21.4%	14.6%
Brazil	Maripá	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Nova Granada	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Brazil	Itabi	2017	14.4%	17.3%	11.9%
Brazil	Buriti de Goiás	2017	7.1%	9.4%	5.1%
Brazil	Vespasiano	2017	5.8%	6.7%	5.1%
Brazil	Viçosa	2017	6.3%	7.8%	4.9%
Brazil	Vale Verde	2017	3.6%	4.5%	2.7%
Brazil	Apucarana	2017	3.1%	3.9%	2.4%
Brazil	Virginópolis	2017	5.3%	7.2%	3.9%
Brazil	Visconde do Rio Branco	2017	6.8%	8.3%	5.4%
Brazil	Jacundá	2017	9.7%	13.2%	7.4%
Brazil	Manoel Urbano	2017	14.6%	20.1%	10.3%
Brazil	Belém de São Francisco	2017	20.2%	25.2%	16.3%
Brazil	Cabedelo	2017	15.5%	17.9%	13.4%
Brazil	Epitaciolândia	2017	16.9%	21.9%	12.8%
Brazil	Itápolis	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Serra Alta	2017	2.1%	2.9%	1.4%
Brazil	Colônia do Piauí	2017	30.1%	37.3%	23.2%
Brazil	Caldeirão Grande do Piauí	2017	22.7%	27.1%	18.7%
Brazil	Capoeiras	2017	14.7%	16.9%	12.4%
Brazil	Quissamã	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%
Brazil	Populina	2017	2.2%	3.5%	1.3%
Brazil	Piancó	2017	18.4%	22.6%	14.3%
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Maranhão	2017	36.5%	43.3%	30.9%
Brazil	Itapiratins	2017	36.5%	42.6%	29.7%
Brazil	Novais	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Itaobim	2017	6.5%	8.6%	4.7%
Brazil	Bujari	2017	18.0%	21.3%	14.9%
Brazil	Porto Estrela	2017	8.5%	11.1%	6.1%
Brazil	Coronel Macedo	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Souto Soares	2017	19.2%	23.4%	15.6%
Brazil	Caculé	2017	19.6%	23.0%	15.9%
Brazil	Victor Graeff	2017	3.7%	4.8%	2.8%
Brazil	Coqueiro Seco	2017	23.8%	26.3%	21.5%
Brazil	Santa Leopoldina	2017	3.8%	4.7%	2.9%
Brazil	Ibaiti	2017	3.0%	4.3%	2.0%
Brazil	Santa Filomena	2017	19.5%	23.3%	15.7%
Brazil	São Vicente	2017	8.9%	11.4%	6.9%
Brazil	Niterói	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%
Brazil	Bernardino de Campos	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Tangará	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Porto Grande	2017	3.7%	5.8%	2.3%
Brazil	Caraí	2017	5.2%	6.9%	3.7%
Brazil	Jundiá	2017	19.4%	22.5%	16.7%
Brazil	Ibema	2017	3.1%	4.3%	2.0%
Brazil	Senador Canedo	2017	7.4%	8.5%	6.4%
Brazil	Novo Airão	2017	10.2%	14.4%	7.0%
Brazil	Vieirópolis	2017	14.4%	17.2%	11.6%
Brazil	Alvinlândia	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Barra do Turvo	2017	2.1%	3.2%	1.2%
Brazil	Cosmópolis	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Brazil	Divina Pastora	2017	11.9%	13.7%	10.2%
Brazil	Bernardino Batista	2017	15.8%	18.9%	13.0%
Brazil	Gaúcha do Norte	2017	9.0%	13.7%	5.5%
Brazil	Mar Vermelho	2017	19.8%	23.0%	16.9%
Brazil	Mata Verde	2017	10.3%	13.2%	7.7%
Brazil	Potengi	2017	17.9%	20.9%	15.0%
Brazil	Pio XII	2017	36.9%	42.5%	30.7%
Brazil	Portel	2017	10.0%	13.2%	7.3%
Brazil	Teolândia	2017	18.2%	21.1%	15.7%
Brazil	Campo	2017	3.5%	4.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Cotia	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Jardim do Seridó	2017	11.2%	14.0%	8.9%
Brazil	Campestre da Serra	2017	3.0%	3.9%	2.1%
Brazil	Macapa	2017	4.9%	6.2%	3.8%
Brazil	Neópolis	2017	15.6%	18.3%	13.2%
Brazil	Carangola	2017	4.7%	6.1%	3.4%
Brazil	Taquarana	2017	21.4%	24.1%	19.1%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.7%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Leão	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Tucano	2017	20.6%	23.9%	17.4%
Brazil	Baro	2017	2.8%	3.4%	2.2%
Brazil	Giruá	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.3%
Brazil	Granja	2017	23.8%	27.2%	20.7%
Brazil	Terezópolis de Goiás	2017	6.8%	8.1%	5.7%
Brazil	Caratinga	2017	4.7%	5.8%	3.7%
Brazil	Pitimbu	2017	18.2%	21.2%	15.4%
Brazil	Jacuí	2017	4.5%	6.0%	3.2%
Brazil	Campos Lindos	2017	37.2%	46.0%	27.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Itainópolis	2017	28.9%	35.1%	22.7%
Brazil	Selbach	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.6%
Brazil	Três Ranchos	2017	11.0%	13.7%	8.4%
Brazil	Marajá do Sena	2017	37.6%	44.3%	30.2%
Brazil	Verê	2017	4.0%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Ibiassucê	2017	22.0%	25.4%	18.5%
Brazil	Quixada	2017	17.3%	20.3%	14.9%
Brazil	Trindade	2017	5.8%	6.9%	4.9%
Brazil	Frei Miguelinho	2017	18.9%	21.4%	16.2%
Brazil	Caravahópolis	2017	7.6%	10.0%	5.9%
Brazil	Linhares	2017	4.3%	5.7%	2.9%
Brazil	Aracruz	2017	4.5%	5.8%	3.4%
Brazil	Cacimba de Areia	2017	17.1%	20.3%	14.5%
Brazil	Ji-Paraná	2017	7.6%	9.6%	6.2%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	0.9%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Ascurra	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.9%
Brazil	Carnaubeira da Penha	2017	16.3%	19.8%	13.0%
Brazil	Cuparaque	2017	4.6%	6.5%	3.2%
Brazil	São Domingos do Norte	2017	4.4%	5.7%	3.1%
Brazil	Lindóia do Sul	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Brazil	Mozarlândia	2017	6.9%	9.8%	4.7%
Brazil	Vale do Anari	2017	7.8%	10.5%	5.6%
Brazil	Benjamin Constant do Sul	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.6%
Brazil	Cruz do Espírito Santo	2017	15.8%	17.8%	13.8%
Brazil	Iguape	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%
Brazil	Candói	2017	3.5%	5.0%	2.4%
Brazil	Buerarema	2017	18.8%	21.8%	16.1%
Brazil	Diamante do Norte	2017	3.1%	4.6%	2.0%
Brazil	Campinas do Sul	2017	2.9%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Passabém	2017	12.0%	14.3%	10.0%
Brazil	Parapuã	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Santo Amaro das Brotas	2017	13.3%	15.0%	11.7%
Brazil	Carlos Chagas	2017	9.5%	12.7%	6.8%
Brazil	Ilhabela	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.2%
Brazil	Vidal Ramos	2017	0.8%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Soledade	2017	2.8%	3.7%	2.0%
Brazil	São Roque do Canaã	2017	4.1%	5.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Estreito	2017	36.0%	41.6%	30.4%
Brazil	Tabaí	2017	4.0%	5.0%	3.1%
Brazil	Groaíras	2017	21.1%	24.5%	17.7%
Brazil	Caldazinha	2017	7.5%	8.8%	6.2%
Brazil	Redenção	2017	17.2%	19.3%	14.9%
Brazil	Caucaia	2017	20.6%	22.2%	19.0%
Brazil	Quatipuru	2017	11.0%	13.7%	8.7%
Brazil	Princesa Isabel	2017	14.4%	17.2%	11.8%
Brazil	Mantenópolis	2017	3.9%	5.6%	2.8%
Brazil	Belo Oriente	2017	7.6%	9.6%	6.1%
Brazil	Nova Laranjeiras	2017	3.8%	5.1%	2.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alto Alegre do Pindaré	2017	34.3%	40.3%	28.9%
Brazil	Maracanã	2017	9.2%	11.5%	7.3%
Brazil	Indiaporã	2017	1.9%	2.9%	1.1%
Brazil	Ibipitanga	2017	22.1%	26.6%	18.4%
Brazil	Paracambi	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Xanxerê	2017	1.4%	2.1%	1.0%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Mata	2017	16.9%	18.5%	15.6%
Brazil	Paulistana	2017	23.8%	29.7%	18.7%
Brazil	Taipu	2017	10.4%	13.0%	8.3%
Brazil	Altaneira	2017	18.3%	21.7%	15.4%
Brazil	Lagoa do Tocantins	2017	32.3%	39.1%	25.7%
Brazil	Rio Branco	2017	8.7%	12.0%	5.8%
Brazil	Manacapuru	2017	12.0%	14.7%	9.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Pão de Açúcar	2017	20.9%	24.0%	17.8%
Brazil	São Miguel do Guaporé	2017	7.6%	10.2%	5.7%
Brazil	Botuverá	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.8%
Brazil	Araçariguama	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Pedranópolis	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%
Brazil	Japaratuba	2017	11.8%	14.0%	10.2%
Brazil	Pedregulho	2017	2.1%	2.9%	1.4%
Brazil	Lagoa do Sítio	2017	27.8%	33.9%	22.3%
Brazil	Pinhal Grande	2017	3.2%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Corumbá	2017	6.0%	8.7%	3.8%
Brazil	São Gabriel do Oeste	2017	2.9%	4.7%	1.6%
Brazil	Santa Fé do Sul	2017	1.8%	2.9%	1.0%
Brazil	Belo Vale	2017	7.4%	9.4%	5.8%
Brazil	Mairi	2017	16.4%	19.7%	13.1%
Brazil	Pedrinhas Paulista	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.6%
Brazil	Itapirapuã Paulista	2017	3.2%	4.6%	2.0%
Brazil	Cuité de Mamanguape	2017	17.0%	19.6%	13.9%
Brazil	Ipiguá	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Brazil	Monte Belo do Sul	2017	3.6%	4.6%	2.7%
Brazil	Capanema	2017	3.7%	5.1%	2.6%
Brazil	Nova Tebas	2017	4.0%	5.4%	2.8%
Brazil	Rio de Janeiro	2017	0.7%	0.8%	0.5%
Brazil	Pauini	2017	13.6%	19.5%	7.9%
Brazil	Aragarças	2017	7.2%	10.1%	4.9%
Brazil	Lajedão	2017	34.0%	39.0%	29.5%
Brazil	Marataízes	2017	3.9%	5.4%	2.6%
Brazil	Peruíbe	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Morungaba	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Brazil	Candeias	2017	20.8%	23.2%	18.4%
Brazil	Canitar	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	Cajari	2017	37.6%	43.7%	32.2%
Brazil	Doutor Severiano	2017	15.4%	18.4%	12.5%
Brazil	Corguinho	2017	4.0%	6.2%	2.6%
Brazil	Riachão	2017	34.7%	43.1%	27.1%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia	2017	8.2%	11.8%	5.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cacimbas	2017	14.0%	16.9%	11.7%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso	2017	3.5%	4.4%	2.7%
Brazil	Tibau do Sul	2017	11.2%	13.6%	8.9%
Brazil	Maracajá	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Caçara	2017	2.7%	3.7%	1.9%
Brazil	Cerrito	2017	3.7%	5.0%	2.6%
Brazil	Lagoa Santa	2017	5.9%	7.2%	4.9%
Brazil	Muçum	2017	4.7%	6.0%	3.6%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	3.7%	4.5%	3.0%
Brazil	Tibau	2017	14.8%	18.7%	11.2%
Brazil	Maracanaú	2017	18.4%	20.0%	16.7%
Brazil	Carmo	2017	2.2%	3.1%	1.5%
Brazil	São José	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Antônio Carlos	2017	5.0%	6.3%	3.7%
Brazil	Anaurilândia	2017	3.9%	5.9%	2.5%
Brazil	Presidente Prudente	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Nova Canaã do Norte	2017	8.0%	11.0%	5.4%
Brazil	Tupandi	2017	3.4%	4.1%	2.7%
Brazil	Alto Araguaia	2017	5.6%	8.3%	3.5%
Brazil	Itanhaém	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Aracagi	2017	16.9%	19.7%	14.6%
Brazil	Marema	2017	2.6%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Cajati	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Chaval	2017	26.4%	31.1%	22.1%
Brazil	Carneirinho	2017	3.9%	5.8%	2.4%
Brazil	Curral Novo do Piauí	2017	23.3%	28.6%	18.8%
Brazil	São João do Pau d'Alho	2017	1.7%	2.8%	1.0%
Brazil	Guiratinga	2017	5.7%	8.1%	3.9%
Brazil	Massaranduba	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	Nova Araçá	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.2%
Brazil	São Miguel do Oeste	2017	1.5%	2.2%	1.1%
Brazil	Lizarda	2017	32.9%	40.9%	23.7%
Brazil	Caçara	2017	15.1%	17.5%	12.6%
Brazil	Atalanta	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Brazil	Peixe Boi	2017	10.1%	12.0%	8.3%
Brazil	Águas da Prata	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.6%
Brazil	Silves	2017	12.6%	16.7%	9.1%
Brazil	Potiraguá	2017	17.6%	21.8%	14.1%
Brazil	Triunfo Potiguar	2017	10.0%	12.6%	7.2%
Brazil	Águas Lindas de Goiás	2017	3.1%	3.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Nilópolis	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%
Brazil	Nova Boa Vista	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	34.0%	39.3%	28.7%
Brazil	Itambé	2017	4.7%	5.9%	3.6%
Brazil	Tacaimbó	2017	17.9%	20.9%	15.7%
Brazil	Patos de Minas	2017	5.8%	7.7%	4.4%
Brazil	Ivinhema	2017	3.4%	5.1%	2.2%
Brazil	São Fidélis	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Brazil	Lagoa de Pedras	2017	11.6%	13.7%	9.8%
Brazil	Aiuruoca	2017	2.6%	3.8%	1.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Dumont	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Brazil	Dores do Indaiá	2017	5.7%	7.8%	3.9%
Brazil	Altônia	2017	3.6%	4.9%	2.4%
Brazil	Simão Dias	2017	12.6%	14.8%	10.6%
Brazil	Pontalinda	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Luzinópolis	2017	32.4%	37.6%	27.2%
Brazil	Itaubal	2017	5.4%	7.7%	3.5%
Brazil	Sítio do Mato	2017	21.5%	25.9%	17.0%
Brazil	Itamari	2017	18.5%	21.8%	15.7%
Brazil	Caracol	2017	4.1%	7.3%	1.8%
Brazil	Formoso do Araguaia	2017	31.2%	37.0%	25.6%
Brazil	Echaporã	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Araporã	2017	5.5%	7.1%	4.1%
Brazil	Sombrio	2017	2.3%	3.5%	1.5%
Brazil	Mucugê	2017	14.8%	18.4%	11.7%
Brazil	Francisco Morato	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Nazaré	2017	33.5%	38.9%	28.9%
Brazil	Melgaco	2017	9.4%	12.6%	6.4%
Brazil	Pedras de Maria da Cruz	2017	7.8%	10.6%	5.8%
Brazil	Deputado Irapuan Pinheiro	2017	19.9%	23.7%	16.2%
Brazil	Eldorado	2017	1.7%	2.6%	0.9%
Brazil	Demerval Lobão	2017	31.4%	36.8%	26.1%
Brazil	Senador Pompeu	2017	19.9%	23.6%	16.1%
Brazil	Vila Lângaro	2017	3.3%	4.2%	2.5%
Brazil	Pracinha	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Brazil	Cássia	2017	4.7%	6.3%	3.3%
Brazil	Ariquemes	2017	7.1%	8.9%	5.6%
Brazil	Matupá	2017	7.4%	10.1%	5.2%
Brazil	Inhumas	2017	5.9%	7.2%	4.7%
Brazil	Alto Alegre	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.3%
Brazil	Bom Retiro do Sul	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.7%
Brazil	Gaurama	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Araci	2017	21.6%	25.0%	19.1%
Brazil	Senador Sá	2017	19.3%	22.9%	16.1%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Socorro	2017	10.6%	11.8%	9.4%
Brazil	Santa Quitéria do Maranhão	2017	34.0%	39.5%	29.1%
Brazil	Tijucas	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Brazil	Poço Branco	2017	10.2%	13.2%	8.1%
Brazil	Ivaí	2017	3.9%	5.3%	2.6%
Brazil	Redenção do Gurguéia	2017	27.9%	38.1%	20.5%
Brazil	Castanheiras	2017	8.3%	10.3%	6.6%
Brazil	Vera Cruz	2017	10.5%	12.1%	9.0%
Brazil	Itatinga	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Brazil	Guaçuí	2017	4.0%	5.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Santana da Boa Vista	2017	3.5%	4.9%	2.4%
Brazil	Nova Timboteua	2017	9.6%	11.4%	7.8%
Brazil	Sales	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Camaragibe	2017	16.1%	17.6%	15.0%
Brazil	Areial	2017	15.6%	17.8%	13.1%
Brazil	Regeneração	2017	29.9%	37.1%	22.9%
Brazil	Quadra	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Embaúba	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Alto Paraguai	2017	8.5%	11.0%	6.0%
Brazil	Cantanhede	2017	37.6%	44.5%	32.0%
Brazil	Queluz	2017	2.0%	2.8%	1.3%
Brazil	Araçoiaba da Serra	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Brazil	Aricanduva	2017	4.6%	6.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Matias Barbosa	2017	4.0%	5.0%	3.1%
Brazil	Faro	2017	12.6%	16.1%	9.2%
Brazil	Ibiapina	2017	16.0%	18.7%	13.5%
Brazil	Cajazeirinhas	2017	18.1%	21.4%	14.7%
Brazil	Fortaleza dos Nogueiras	2017	31.4%	39.1%	23.0%
Brazil	Novo Tiradentes	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Treze Tílias	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Brazil Novo	2017	9.2%	12.4%	6.4%
Brazil	Bicas	2017	3.6%	4.8%	2.8%
Brazil	Nova Maringá	2017	8.3%	11.7%	5.3%
Brazil	Mocajuba	2017	9.6%	12.0%	7.6%
Brazil	Tabuleiro do Norte	2017	18.2%	21.5%	15.5%
Brazil	Santo Amaro do Maranhão	2017	37.7%	46.5%	30.3%
Brazil	Catas Altas	2017	6.7%	8.6%	5.2%
Brazil	Timbaúba	2017	15.2%	17.7%	13.1%
Brazil	Jurema	2017	25.5%	31.3%	19.4%
Brazil	Cantagalo	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Brazil	Gouvelândia	2017	7.6%	9.9%	5.5%
Brazil	Venceslau Bras	2017	2.8%	4.2%	1.7%
Brazil	Pacatuba	2017	15.8%	19.3%	13.0%
Brazil	Beneditinos	2017	28.7%	35.3%	22.6%
Brazil	Aparecida de Goiânia	2017	6.0%	6.9%	5.1%
Brazil	Ribeirão do Sul	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Inhaúma	2017	6.7%	8.5%	5.2%
Brazil	Brumado	2017	23.2%	27.4%	19.7%
Brazil	Minduri	2017	4.3%	5.7%	3.1%
Brazil	Jacaré	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Brazil	Irajuba	2017	18.0%	22.0%	14.4%
Brazil	Boninal	2017	15.6%	19.1%	12.5%
Brazil	São Benedito do Rio Preto	2017	36.4%	43.6%	30.2%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2017	2.6%	3.6%	1.8%
Brazil	Catuti	2017	9.4%	12.6%	7.0%
Brazil	Coxilha	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.3%
Brazil	Porecatu	2017	2.7%	3.7%	1.8%
Brazil	Centenário do Sul	2017	3.0%	4.1%	2.1%
Brazil	Nova Viçosa	2017	13.5%	16.8%	10.7%
Brazil	Arinos	2017	6.1%	9.0%	3.7%
Brazil	Açucena	2017	6.1%	7.6%	4.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2017	35.3%	41.1%	28.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Almerim	2017	6.7%	9.4%	4.2%
Brazil	Alpinópolis	2017	6.1%	8.1%	4.5%
Brazil	Confresa	2017	9.5%	13.6%	6.5%
Brazil	Trindade	2017	17.8%	21.6%	14.9%
Brazil	Urussanga	2017	1.7%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Gramado	2017	2.9%	3.9%	2.1%
Brazil	Xavier				
Brazil	Novo Triunfo	2017	18.7%	22.3%	15.8%
Brazil	Riolândia	2017	2.3%	3.7%	1.4%
Brazil	Goiatins	2017	36.8%	43.6%	30.1%
Brazil	Nortelândia	2017	8.4%	10.9%	5.9%
Brazil	Palminópolis	2017	6.5%	8.3%	4.9%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Maranhão	2017	36.6%	42.4%	31.3%
Brazil	Cedro do Abaeté	2017	7.0%	9.6%	4.9%
Brazil	Marabá	2017	10.8%	12.8%	8.9%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha do Progresso	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz dos Milagres	2017	29.5%	38.2%	22.7%
Brazil	Rubiácea	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Sucupira do Norte	2017	35.3%	42.6%	26.8%
Brazil	Lençóis Paulista	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Brazil	Currais Novos	2017	9.3%	11.8%	7.5%
Brazil	Arapoti	2017	2.5%	3.6%	1.6%
Brazil	Mata Roma	2017	36.9%	44.0%	30.3%
Brazil	Alagoinha do Piauí	2017	26.6%	32.0%	21.5%
Brazil	Central de Minas	2017	5.3%	7.3%	3.7%
Brazil	Mari	2017	17.6%	20.5%	15.1%
Brazil	Iguaraci	2017	16.8%	19.8%	14.2%
Brazil	São José de Ubá	2017	2.1%	2.7%	1.5%
Brazil	Mandaguari	2017	3.2%	4.0%	2.4%
Brazil	Ferraz de Vascon	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%
Brazil	Porto Lucena	2017	3.6%	5.0%	2.4%
Brazil	Califórnia	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.5%
Brazil	Caseara	2017	18.8%	24.6%	14.1%
Brazil	Mogi Mirim	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Brazil	Carolina	2017	36.7%	43.0%	30.4%
Brazil	Piranhas	2017	18.8%	22.6%	15.7%
Brazil	Cacique doble	2017	2.7%	3.6%	1.8%
Brazil	Guabiju	2017	2.9%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Novo Horizonte	2017	2.2%	3.1%	1.5%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2017	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Brazil	Santarém Novo	2017	9.8%	12.2%	7.9%
Brazil	Cafelândia	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Chã Grande	2017	11.6%	13.5%	9.6%
Brazil	Perolândia	2017	5.6%	8.1%	3.5%
Brazil	Joanésia	2017	6.7%	8.8%	5.2%
Brazil	Japira	2017	3.8%	5.4%	2.5%
Brazil	Dona Inês	2017	14.9%	17.3%	12.7%
Brazil	Humaitá	2017	9.9%	13.2%	6.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cristal	2017	3.7%	5.2%	2.6%
Brazil	Quatiguá	2017	2.8%	4.2%	1.7%
Brazil	Alagoinha	2017	17.2%	19.8%	14.1%
Brazil	Caibi	2017	2.7%	3.8%	1.9%
Brazil	Guajará-Mirim	2017	8.6%	12.5%	5.5%
Brazil	Xavantina	2017	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Brazil	Pedrinhas	2017	10.6%	12.6%	8.8%
Brazil	Chale	2017	6.1%	8.0%	4.4%
Brazil	Aporé	2017	5.8%	8.4%	4.0%
Brazil	Japurá	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Santana do Piauí	2017	27.7%	33.1%	22.8%
Brazil	Américo Brasiliense	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Brazil	Picada Café	2017	3.8%	4.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Boa Esperança	2017	3.5%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Nova Lima	2017	5.5%	6.2%	4.8%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2017	11.3%	13.7%	9.2%
Brazil	Conselheiro Pena	2017	5.7%	7.6%	4.1%
Brazil	Inhapim	2017	4.9%	6.3%	3.8%
Brazil	Rafael Godeiro	2017	11.3%	14.2%	8.9%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Goiás	2017	8.0%	11.5%	5.6%
Brazil	Paranatama	2017	14.3%	16.8%	12.1%
Brazil	Mato Grosso	2017	9.2%	13.3%	5.4%
Brazil	Barracão	2017	2.3%	3.3%	1.4%
Brazil	Portelândia	2017	5.2%	7.4%	3.4%
Brazil	Campo Novo do Parecis	2017	7.2%	10.7%	4.7%
Brazil	Canoinhas	2017	1.9%	2.7%	1.3%
Brazil	Ouro Verde	2017	2.0%	2.9%	1.3%
Brazil	Fartura	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Alvinópolis	2017	6.7%	8.3%	5.4%
Brazil	São João Batista	2017	37.7%	44.0%	31.8%
Brazil	Chapada Gaúcha	2017	6.0%	9.7%	3.7%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	14.2%	17.4%	11.2%
Brazil	Brejo Grande do Araguaia	2017	23.0%	27.4%	19.3%
Brazil	Vertentes	2017	13.5%	15.9%	11.4%
Brazil	Francisco Badaró	2017	6.3%	8.1%	4.3%
Brazil	Parnamirim	2017	18.3%	21.9%	15.2%
Brazil	Lagoinha	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Brazil	Pirapó	2017	3.6%	5.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Salgadinho	2017	20.4%	22.8%	17.6%
Brazil	Francisco Dumon	2017	8.0%	10.7%	5.5%
Brazil	Pilão Arcado	2017	22.5%	27.3%	17.8%
Brazil	Piratini	2017	3.3%	4.6%	2.3%
Brazil	Lagamar	2017	7.4%	10.1%	5.1%
Brazil	Itarumã	2017	19.8%	24.1%	16.3%
Brazil	Santo Anastácio	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Barra do Pirai	2017	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Brazil	Pimenteiras do Oeste	2017	8.7%	12.7%	5.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Caetano de Odivelas	2017	9.4%	11.6%	7.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Alegria	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Pirenópolis	2017	7.5%	9.3%	5.8%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Posse	2017	2.3%	3.2%	1.5%
Brazil	Caraúbas	2017	11.2%	14.0%	8.9%
Brazil	Centenário	2017	35.6%	44.4%	27.0%
Brazil	São João da Urtiga	2017	2.8%	3.8%	1.9%
Brazil	Castelo do Piauí	2017	28.0%	35.0%	21.1%
Brazil	Belém	2017	20.7%	23.4%	18.3%
Brazil	Alto do Rodrigues	2017	9.1%	11.3%	6.7%
Brazil	Belágua	2017	37.4%	44.9%	30.4%
Brazil	Caxias	2017	37.2%	43.4%	31.0%
Brazil	São Bento do Sapucaí	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.1%
Brazil	Indiaroba	2017	14.3%	17.5%	11.1%
Brazil	Jauru	2017	7.6%	11.0%	5.1%
Brazil	Marilena	2017	3.0%	4.3%	1.8%
Brazil	Bom Jardim de Minas	2017	2.2%	3.3%	1.4%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos do Buriti	2017	3.6%	5.3%	2.2%
Brazil	Paranatinga	2017	8.5%	12.7%	5.3%
Brazil	Abaiara	2017	19.4%	21.9%	16.8%
Brazil	São João dos Patos	2017	34.6%	40.9%	27.7%
Brazil	Capela do Alto	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Alpestre	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Jundiá do Sul	2017	3.5%	4.8%	2.4%
Brazil	Aratuba	2017	14.1%	16.4%	11.9%
Brazil	Dário Meira	2017	18.1%	21.9%	14.9%
Brazil	Altamira	2017	8.8%	12.5%	6.2%
Brazil	Lourdes	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Cuitegi	2017	17.1%	20.0%	14.5%
Brazil	São Domingos do Capim	2017	9.5%	11.7%	7.6%
Brazil	Itaocara	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Itapemirim	2017	4.3%	5.8%	3.0%
Brazil	Louveira	2017	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Brazil	Cândido Sales	2017	17.4%	20.8%	14.5%
Brazil	São José da Bela Vista	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Brazil	Cacaulândia	2017	7.6%	10.0%	5.6%
Brazil	Lucélia	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Brasilândia de Minas	2017	6.3%	8.2%	4.8%
Brazil	Armação dos Búzios	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Brazil	Serra do Ramalho	2017	20.1%	24.4%	16.2%
Brazil	São José do Rio Preto	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Itaguatins	2017	37.7%	43.7%	32.4%
Brazil	Uberlândia	2017	5.4%	6.5%	4.4%
Brazil	Ibiacá	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Flores do Piauí	2017	28.5%	38.6%	21.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Campinorte	2017	6.8%	9.0%	4.9%
Brazil	Caroebe	2017	5.9%	9.3%	3.1%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso de Itararé	2017	1.5%	2.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Laje do Muriaé	2017	2.9%	3.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Arame	2017	37.6%	46.1%	30.0%
Brazil	Lupercio	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Cavalcante	2017	9.3%	12.1%	6.8%
Brazil	Dores de Guanhões	2017	6.7%	8.9%	4.9%
Brazil	Pompéia	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Minador do Negrão	2017	21.0%	24.4%	18.0%
Brazil	Mesópolis	2017	2.0%	3.1%	1.2%
Brazil	Lagoa do Ouro	2017	17.9%	20.8%	15.5%
Brazil	São Luis	2017	37.8%	40.8%	34.6%
Brazil	Ipueira	2017	15.2%	18.5%	11.9%
Brazil	São José de Princesa	2017	11.8%	14.2%	9.3%
Brazil	Porto Velho	2017	7.4%	8.9%	6.1%
Brazil	São Roque	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Madre de deus de Minas	2017	6.3%	8.6%	4.4%
Brazil	Campo Magro	2017	3.9%	4.5%	3.3%
Brazil	Jardim-Piranhas	2017	11.4%	14.2%	9.0%
Brazil	Triunfo	2017	17.7%	20.9%	14.3%
Brazil	Itaíba	2017	20.0%	23.7%	16.7%
Brazil	Guia Branca	2017	4.9%	6.6%	3.5%
Brazil	Acaraú	2017	19.3%	22.6%	16.3%
Brazil	Carapicuíba	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Barra do Chapéu	2017	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Missões	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Barreirinhas	2017	36.3%	45.1%	29.0%
Brazil	Areia de Baraúnas	2017	15.7%	18.8%	12.8%
Brazil	Marilândia	2017	3.9%	5.2%	2.8%
Brazil	São Luiz do Curu	2017	17.4%	20.5%	14.6%
Brazil	Relvado	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.5%
Brazil	Ibiraci	2017	2.8%	3.8%	1.9%
Brazil	Brasópolis	2017	4.8%	6.4%	3.3%
Brazil	São Paulo das Missões	2017	3.3%	4.5%	2.3%
Brazil	Governador Mangabeira	2017	20.3%	22.7%	18.1%
Brazil	Ipueiras	2017	23.2%	27.1%	19.7%
Brazil	Remanso	2017	23.7%	28.6%	18.6%
Brazil	Campo Alegre de Goiás	2017	7.7%	10.6%	5.3%
Brazil	Benevides	2017	10.5%	11.8%	9.3%
Brazil	Franco da Rocha	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Nova Andradina	2017	3.4%	5.0%	2.0%
Brazil	Matelândia	2017	3.5%	4.7%	2.4%
Brazil	Juína	2017	7.5%	11.2%	4.5%
Brazil	Alcobaca	2017	19.8%	24.8%	15.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Coronel Freitas	2017	2.5%	3.4%	1.8%
Brazil	Nova Prata	2017	3.2%	4.0%	2.6%
Brazil	Juruti	2017	10.5%	13.6%	7.7%
Brazil	Carrapateira	2017	15.3%	18.6%	12.4%
Brazil	Elísio Medrado	2017	19.1%	22.0%	16.2%
Brazil	Santa Cecília do Pavão	2017	3.8%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Brazil	Arapu	2017	3.9%	5.3%	2.8%
Brazil	Itanhém	2017	12.5%	15.7%	9.5%
Brazil	Cacequi	2017	3.3%	4.9%	2.2%
Brazil	Nova Olinda	2017	31.5%	36.8%	26.2%
Brazil	Iguatemi	2017	4.2%	6.0%	2.5%
Brazil	Brejinho	2017	12.0%	14.8%	9.5%
Brazil	Ilópolis	2017	2.6%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Iracema	2017	16.4%	19.6%	12.9%
Brazil	Passagem Franca do Piauí	2017	29.5%	36.0%	23.2%
Brazil	Guaratinguetá	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Brazil	Loanda	2017	2.3%	3.3%	1.4%
Brazil	Rolante	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Caracaraí	2017	6.3%	8.9%	4.2%
Brazil	Caiçara do Norte	2017	10.6%	13.4%	8.3%
Brazil	Santa Maria de Jetibá	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.4%
Brazil	Pedro Canário	2017	9.0%	11.7%	6.6%
Brazil	Balneário Barra do Sul	2017	1.9%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2017	28.9%	34.7%	23.0%
Brazil	Água Doce	2017	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Nova Rosalândia	2017	32.2%	38.8%	27.0%
Brazil	Rio do Antônio	2017	22.4%	26.1%	18.7%
Brazil	Blumenau	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Brazil	Santa Lúcia	2017	3.9%	5.2%	2.8%
Brazil	Araraquara	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Brazil	Pocrane	2017	6.8%	8.8%	4.9%
Brazil	Nova Colinas	2017	33.8%	41.5%	26.1%
Brazil	Casserengue	2017	16.0%	18.7%	13.4%
Brazil	Águas de Chapecó	2017	2.7%	3.7%	2.0%
Brazil	Faina	2017	6.9%	9.9%	4.9%
Brazil	Matão	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Brazil	Sarandi	2017	3.3%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Matias Olímpio	2017	30.5%	36.2%	25.2%
Brazil	Américo de Campos	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Brazil	Campo Erê	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.1%
Brazil	Santa Mônica	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.3%
Brazil	Itapissuma	2017	18.4%	20.5%	16.4%
Brazil	Luiziânia	2017	4.0%	5.3%	2.8%
Brazil	Ribeira do Piauí	2017	30.3%	38.8%	22.7%
Brazil	Ibitinga	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Caetanos	2017	25.8%	30.4%	21.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Águas de Santa Bárbara	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Anitápolis	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Salinas da Margarida	2017	22.0%	24.8%	18.8%
Brazil	Meridiano	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Primavera	2017	12.3%	14.3%	10.7%
Brazil	Telêmaco Borba	2017	3.7%	5.1%	2.6%
Brazil	Jeremoabo	2017	17.8%	20.5%	15.2%
Brazil	Vera Cruz do Oeste	2017	3.2%	4.5%	2.2%
Brazil	Cristina	2017	2.9%	3.9%	2.1%
Brazil	Magalhães Barata	2017	8.8%	11.2%	6.9%
Brazil	Maquiné	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.1%
Brazil	Pinheiros	2017	6.2%	8.4%	4.4%
Brazil	Campos Verdes	2017	7.6%	10.4%	5.1%
Brazil	Centro Novo do Maranhão	2017	20.8%	24.8%	16.6%
Brazil	São Sebastião da Boa Vista	2017	9.0%	11.0%	7.1%
Brazil	Itaquitinga	2017	17.3%	19.9%	15.3%
Brazil	Adrianópolis	2017	3.6%	5.4%	2.2%
Brazil	Vargem Grande Paulista	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Glicério	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Iranduba	2017	13.0%	14.9%	11.0%
Brazil	Cocal de Telha	2017	29.2%	34.4%	23.6%
Brazil	Piraí	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Brazil	Jaçanã	2017	12.6%	14.9%	10.2%
Brazil	Almadina	2017	18.7%	21.9%	15.6%
Brazil	Alta Floresta	2017	8.0%	11.3%	4.8%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Sul	2017	3.4%	4.3%	2.6%
Brazil	Beberibe	2017	20.1%	23.2%	16.5%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	16.8%	20.2%	13.8%
Brazil	Guaiçara	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Brazil	Boa Esperança do Iguaçu	2017	4.0%	5.4%	2.8%
Brazil	Camocim de São Félix	2017	14.2%	16.1%	12.2%
Brazil	Sertão	2017	3.0%	4.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Sítio Novo	2017	35.3%	43.0%	27.9%
Brazil	Mariana Pimentel	2017	3.5%	4.3%	2.6%
Brazil	Canarana	2017	22.6%	27.0%	19.1%
Brazil	Barrolândia	2017	31.2%	36.0%	26.5%
Brazil	Fama	2017	8.2%	10.2%	6.3%
Brazil	Belo Horizonte	2017	5.8%	6.3%	5.2%
Brazil	Bombinhas	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Santa Rosa	2017	3.0%	3.9%	2.1%
Brazil	Paranaparema	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Lago da Pedra	2017	36.7%	42.7%	30.6%
Brazil	Castanheira	2017	8.8%	12.7%	5.4%
Brazil	Caseiros	2017	2.6%	3.6%	1.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Anahy	2017	4.2%	5.6%	3.1%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Verde	2017	6.8%	8.7%	5.2%
Brazil	Sabará	2017	6.4%	7.2%	5.6%
Brazil	Salinas	2017	7.8%	10.5%	5.6%
Brazil	Sacramento	2017	4.1%	5.7%	2.9%
Brazil	São João do Triunfo	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Timbé do Sul	2017	2.0%	2.9%	1.2%
Brazil	Porteiras	2017	17.4%	20.1%	14.9%
Brazil	Lagoa do Carro	2017	11.6%	13.2%	10.0%
Brazil	Cocalinho	2017	9.2%	12.5%	6.7%
Brazil	Monsenhor Hipólito	2017	26.5%	31.7%	21.2%
Brazil	São Gabriel da Palha	2017	4.9%	6.6%	3.4%
Brazil	Porto Murtinho	2017	5.3%	10.0%	2.4%
Brazil	Dom Pedro	2017	35.5%	42.9%	28.8%
Brazil	Fronteiras	2017	22.9%	27.8%	18.3%
Brazil	Ilicínea	2017	6.3%	8.1%	4.5%
Brazil	Feira da Mata	2017	16.4%	20.3%	12.7%
Brazil	Catuípe	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Gurupi	2017	28.9%	33.0%	24.8%
Brazil	Guapiara	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Monções	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Flor do Sertão	2017	2.6%	3.6%	1.8%
Brazil	Cariús	2017	20.8%	23.9%	17.8%
Brazil	Santo Estêvão	2017	21.5%	24.5%	18.8%
Brazil	Brasabrantés	2017	6.6%	8.1%	5.4%
Brazil	Buriti Bravo	2017	38.4%	44.9%	31.2%
Brazil	Araguacema	2017	20.7%	26.1%	15.8%
Brazil	Uarini	2017	11.3%	16.4%	7.3%
Brazil	Ponte Serrada	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Brazil	Guaraçai	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.5%
Brazil	Borda da Mata	2017	4.5%	5.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Palestina do Pará	2017	24.7%	29.1%	20.7%
Brazil	Timon	2017	31.3%	34.3%	28.2%
Brazil	São José dos Pinhais	2017	3.1%	3.7%	2.6%
Brazil	Lagoa de dentro	2017	15.3%	17.9%	12.8%
Brazil	Atilio Vivacqua	2017	3.9%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Geminiano	2017	27.7%	33.1%	23.1%
Brazil	São Cristóvão do Sul	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Coruripe	2017	23.0%	27.4%	18.8%
Brazil	Arês	2017	9.1%	11.0%	7.3%
Brazil	Caturité	2017	18.0%	21.2%	14.8%
Brazil	São Geraldo da Piedade	2017	7.2%	9.2%	5.3%
Brazil	Quedas do Iguaçu	2017	4.1%	5.3%	2.8%
Brazil	Nova Módica	2017	5.0%	6.9%	3.5%
Brazil	Ubaira	2017	19.1%	22.3%	16.2%
Brazil	Palestina de Goiás	2017	7.0%	9.9%	4.5%
Brazil	Ibiúna	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Barra Longa	2017	8.7%	10.6%	6.8%
Brazil	Arapuá	2017	6.1%	8.7%	4.1%
Brazil	Barbosa	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Riachuelo	2017	11.5%	13.3%	9.9%
Brazil	Paragominas	2017	10.3%	12.9%	7.9%
Brazil	Tufilândia	2017	35.7%	40.9%	29.9%
Brazil	Chavantes	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Brazil	Jaboticaba	2017	2.9%	3.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Cerejeiras	2017	8.0%	11.2%	5.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus do Tocantins	2017	13.2%	16.2%	10.6%
Brazil	Santo Antônio da Barra	2017	7.3%	9.8%	5.2%
Brazil	Passabém	2017	16.9%	20.3%	14.1%
Brazil	Soledade	2017	19.0%	22.3%	15.5%
Brazil	Antas	2017	19.1%	22.5%	16.2%
Brazil	Murici dos Portelas	2017	29.3%	35.0%	24.9%
Brazil	Guapirama	2017	3.5%	4.9%	2.2%
Brazil	Pedra dourada	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Porto Calvo	2017	17.8%	20.7%	15.0%
Brazil	Olhos-d'Água	2017	7.4%	10.4%	5.0%
Brazil	Catalão	2017	8.1%	10.3%	6.1%
Brazil	Araruna	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.7%
Brazil	São Mateus	2017	5.6%	7.3%	4.0%
Brazil	São Tomé	2017	3.9%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Coqueiral	2017	7.2%	9.2%	5.3%
Brazil	Barão de Monte Alto	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Marialva	2017	3.5%	4.4%	2.7%
Brazil	Sapopema	2017	4.3%	5.7%	3.1%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2017	21.3%	24.7%	18.0%
Brazil	Corumbataí do Sul	2017	4.0%	5.1%	2.9%
Brazil	Exu	2017	16.5%	19.5%	13.7%
Brazil	Jacobina	2017	18.7%	21.6%	15.4%
Brazil	Buriti Alegre	2017	7.8%	10.1%	5.9%
Brazil	Nova Cruz	2017	14.1%	16.3%	12.0%
Brazil	Sapeaçu	2017	21.0%	24.1%	18.5%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Piauí	2017	26.4%	32.4%	21.5%
Brazil	Carmo do Rio Claro	2017	6.9%	8.7%	5.2%
Brazil	Bariri	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Itabirinha de Mantena	2017	6.5%	8.2%	5.0%
Brazil	Aruja	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Bauru	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Tucunduva	2017	3.5%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Serra Azul de Minas	2017	5.7%	8.2%	4.0%
Brazil	Agudo	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Colômbia	2017	2.6%	3.9%	1.7%
Brazil	Laranja da Terra	2017	5.0%	6.6%	3.6%
Brazil	Guaramiranga	2017	10.2%	12.5%	8.8%
Brazil	Rosário	2017	38.6%	44.2%	33.1%
Brazil	Lastro	2017	14.0%	17.4%	10.9%
Brazil	Mauá da Serra	2017	2.9%	3.9%	2.1%
Brazil	Arvoredo	2017	2.0%	3.0%	1.4%
Brazil	Tacima	2017	14.6%	16.9%	12.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Caturai	2017	6.7%	8.1%	5.4%
Brazil	Maceió (capital)	2017	20.9%	23.1%	18.7%
Brazil	Alto Santo	2017	19.2%	22.5%	16.0%
Brazil	Tuparendi	2017	3.0%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Tapira	2017	3.9%	5.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Palma	2017	8.9%	11.2%	6.6%
Brazil	Descanso	2017	2.2%	3.2%	1.5%
Brazil	Papagaios	2017	6.0%	8.1%	4.3%
Brazil	Granito	2017	17.2%	20.4%	14.2%
Brazil	Conde	2017	19.0%	23.7%	14.8%
Brazil	Pirangi	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Campo do Tenente	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Tanque do Piauí	2017	27.5%	34.5%	21.4%
Brazil	Mazagão	2017	5.6%	7.3%	4.0%
Brazil	Jóia	2017	3.3%	4.5%	2.3%
Brazil	Itaboraí	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Brazil	Dezesseis de Novembro	2017	3.3%	4.6%	2.2%
Brazil	São Paulo do Potengi	2017	10.5%	12.7%	8.5%
Brazil	Ceará-Mirim	2017	10.7%	13.1%	8.9%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Brazil	Ouro Preto do Oeste	2017	7.0%	8.7%	5.3%
Brazil	Lucianópolis	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Cláudio	2017	6.8%	8.8%	5.4%
Brazil	Rancho Queimado	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	União de Minas	2017	3.8%	5.7%	2.4%
Brazil	Nova Mamoré	2017	8.1%	11.0%	5.4%
Brazil	São Luís de Montes Belos	2017	6.2%	7.9%	4.5%
Brazil	Capivari do Sul	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Aparecida	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Brazil	Teixeira	2017	15.3%	18.5%	13.0%
Brazil	Poloni	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	João Neiva	2017	5.2%	6.7%	3.9%
Brazil	Caturama	2017	22.2%	26.4%	17.8%
Brazil	Jaguaribara	2017	21.0%	24.8%	17.2%
Brazil	Carlópolis	2017	2.3%	3.4%	1.5%
Brazil	São Marcos	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.6%
Brazil	Salvaterra	2017	8.5%	10.7%	6.5%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora de Lourdes	2017	16.1%	19.0%	13.6%
Brazil	Vanini	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Salvador das Missões	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Joca Marques	2017	32.0%	37.8%	26.7%
Brazil	Barcelos	2017	9.2%	13.8%	5.7%
Brazil	Constantina	2017	3.2%	4.1%	2.2%
Brazil	São João do Jaguaribe	2017	19.9%	23.5%	17.0%
Brazil	Ilha Grande	2017	30.8%	36.0%	25.1%
Brazil	Catunda	2017	19.4%	23.0%	16.1%
Brazil	Jiquiriçá	2017	20.3%	24.5%	16.5%
Brazil	Boa Vista da Aparecida	2017	4.0%	5.4%	2.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Poço Redondo	2017	16.3%	19.3%	13.8%
Brazil	Pedra Bonita	2017	3.8%	5.0%	2.7%
Brazil	Peri-Mirim	2017	37.1%	43.2%	31.4%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2017	24.3%	28.7%	20.2%
Brazil	Cruzália	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.3%
Brazil	Salgado Filho	2017	2.9%	3.9%	1.9%
Brazil	Nova Pádua	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Ilha das Flores	2017	18.0%	22.1%	14.4%
Brazil	Professor Jamil	2017	8.3%	10.3%	6.5%
Brazil	Canudos	2017	20.5%	25.2%	16.1%
Brazil	São Pedro da Água Branca	2017	18.6%	22.8%	15.1%
Brazil	Nuporanga	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Tabocas do Brejo Velho	2017	20.0%	24.6%	15.3%
Brazil	Ceres	2017	7.1%	8.9%	5.4%
Brazil	Potirendaba	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Rio Brilhante	2017	3.5%	5.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Coração de Maria	2017	21.2%	23.9%	19.0%
Brazil	Lajeado do Bugre	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.4%
Brazil	Utinga	2017	19.5%	23.2%	15.7%
Brazil	Araças	2017	20.6%	23.6%	17.7%
Brazil	Três Barras do Paraná	2017	3.5%	4.9%	2.4%
Brazil	Campo Grande	2017	21.2%	24.4%	18.6%
Brazil	Presidente Du- tra	2017	36.6%	43.2%	30.3%
Brazil	Conceição do Canindé	2017	27.9%	33.8%	21.2%
Brazil	Resende	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Brazil	Barbalha	2017	16.9%	19.0%	14.9%
Brazil	Córrego do Bom Jesus	2017	2.0%	2.8%	1.3%
Brazil	Onda Verde	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Brazil	Senador Elói de Souza	2017	11.6%	13.9%	9.5%
Brazil	Aurilândia	2017	7.4%	9.4%	5.3%
Brazil	Benedito	2017	31.9%	40.6%	23.3%
Brazil	Leite Santa Luzia do Itanhy	2017	12.3%	14.8%	9.9%
Brazil	Rio Negrinho	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2017	3.2%	3.6%	2.8%
Brazil	São Joaquin do Monte	2017	15.4%	17.4%	13.8%
Brazil	Camacan	2017	19.1%	22.7%	15.9%
Brazil	Barra do Jacaré	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.6%
Brazil	Presidente Epitácio	2017	1.9%	3.1%	1.1%
Brazil	Corumbá de Goiás	2017	6.0%	7.8%	4.6%
Brazil	Itinga	2017	8.5%	11.4%	6.2%
Brazil	Turvo	2017	3.1%	4.3%	2.2%
Brazil	Barão de Gra- jaú	2017	30.8%	37.8%	25.4%
Brazil	Varzedo	2017	19.6%	22.5%	16.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Graça	2017	19.5%	22.5%	16.9%
Brazil	Baía Formosa	2017	13.5%	16.8%	10.7%
Brazil	Couto de Magalhães	2017	6.1%	8.8%	4.2%
Brazil	Mirassol d'Oeste	2017	6.9%	9.3%	4.8%
Brazil	Coronel Bicaco	2017	2.9%	3.9%	2.0%
Brazil	Oscar Bresane	2017	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%
Brazil	Juarez Távora	2017	18.5%	21.2%	15.6%
Brazil	Anhumas	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Brazil	Lafaiete Coutinho	2017	20.7%	24.4%	17.4%
Brazil	Campo Verde	2017	6.7%	9.0%	4.8%
Brazil	Buíque	2017	17.4%	20.0%	14.8%
Brazil	Coronel José Dias	2017	27.4%	34.3%	21.0%
Brazil	Rio Bonito	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Charqueadas	2017	2.9%	3.6%	2.2%
Brazil	Assunção do Piauí	2017	23.2%	28.8%	17.3%
Brazil	São José do Povo	2017	8.3%	11.2%	6.1%
Brazil	Água Branca	2017	16.8%	20.2%	14.0%
Brazil	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	11.5%	13.1%	9.6%
Brazil	Machadinho	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.6%
Brazil	Couto Magalhães	2017	20.8%	25.2%	16.2%
Brazil	Pirpirituba	2017	16.3%	18.8%	13.8%
Brazil	Laranjal do Jari	2017	5.6%	8.4%	3.0%
Brazil	Medeiros	2017	6.1%	8.6%	4.2%
Brazil	Montauri	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Ouro Branco	2017	21.0%	24.8%	17.1%
Brazil	Almirante Tamandaré	2017	3.3%	3.9%	2.8%
Brazil	Conceição do Castelo	2017	3.4%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Santa Teresa	2017	3.0%	3.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Chapadinha	2017	4.9%	7.1%	3.1%
Brazil	Barcelona	2017	11.5%	14.3%	9.1%
Brazil	Tamboril	2017	19.8%	23.1%	16.7%
Brazil	Nova Candelária	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Barra	2017	21.9%	26.2%	17.8%
Brazil	São José do Rio Claro	2017	7.8%	11.0%	5.3%
Brazil	Caiana	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.3%
Brazil	Sangão	2017	1.6%	2.6%	1.0%
Brazil	Água Fria	2017	19.9%	23.2%	16.6%
Brazil	Cândido de Abreu	2017	4.3%	5.9%	3.0%
Brazil	Corrente	2017	24.9%	33.8%	17.5%
Brazil	Caicó	2017	13.0%	18.5%	9.0%
Brazil	João Câmara	2017	9.6%	12.2%	7.5%
Brazil	Iepê	2017	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Brazil	Virmond	2017	3.9%	5.5%	2.7%
Brazil	Serafina Corréa	2017	2.7%	3.6%	1.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santana do São Francisco	2017	16.3%	19.3%	13.8%
Brazil	Jampruca	2017	6.8%	9.2%	5.1%
Brazil	São José do Divino	2017	4.8%	6.8%	3.3%
Brazil	Panorama	2017	2.5%	4.0%	1.4%
Brazil	Eral Velho	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Brazil	Vicente Dutra	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Três Coroas	2017	3.1%	4.0%	2.4%
Brazil	Caldas Brandão	2017	18.6%	21.6%	15.8%
Brazil	Paraguaçu Paulista	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Parnaguá	2017	22.8%	30.3%	16.3%
Brazil	Januária	2017	7.9%	10.5%	6.0%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Minas	2017	5.5%	7.7%	4.0%
Brazil	Cidade Ocidental	2017	4.4%	5.2%	3.7%
Brazil	Santa Luzia	2017	18.9%	22.3%	15.5%
Brazil	Riversul	2017	2.1%	3.4%	1.3%
Brazil	Alto Rio Novo	2017	3.9%	5.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Icapuí	2017	16.4%	20.5%	12.4%
Brazil	Cristinápolis	2017	14.3%	16.7%	11.8%
Brazil	São Francisco do Guaporé	2017	7.8%	10.7%	5.6%
Brazil	Baianópolis	2017	19.9%	25.0%	15.0%
Brazil	Primavera de Rondônia	2017	8.1%	10.7%	5.9%
Brazil	Narandiba	2017	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
Brazil	Trindade do Sul	2017	2.5%	3.2%	1.7%
Brazil	Misso Velha	2017	18.6%	20.9%	16.5%
Brazil	Jenipapo de Minas	2017	7.4%	10.1%	5.2%
Brazil	Jacobina do Piauí	2017	27.0%	33.1%	21.2%
Brazil	Iconha	2017	3.8%	5.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Livramento do Brumado	2017	20.8%	24.6%	17.5%
Brazil	Panamá	2017	8.2%	10.1%	6.3%
Brazil	Avelinópolis	2017	6.9%	8.5%	5.3%
Brazil	Sobradinho	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.2%
Brazil	Lagoa da Confusão	2017	26.7%	34.1%	20.5%
Brazil	Passa Sete	2017	2.8%	3.7%	2.1%
Brazil	Bom Jardim	2017	17.7%	19.9%	15.5%
Brazil	Santa Isabel	2017	7.3%	9.1%	5.5%
Brazil	Apicum-Açu	2017	31.9%	41.2%	23.4%
Brazil	Rianópolis	2017	7.0%	8.7%	5.3%
Brazil	Cametá	2017	9.5%	11.5%	7.7%
Brazil	Selvíria	2017	3.5%	5.2%	2.0%
Brazil	Pio IX	2017	22.9%	27.3%	18.8%
Brazil	Planaltina	2017	3.1%	4.0%	2.4%
Brazil	Muriae	2017	4.1%	5.3%	3.2%
Brazil	Porto Mauá	2017	3.5%	4.9%	2.2%
Brazil	Me do Rio	2017	9.1%	11.4%	7.1%
Brazil	Alvorada do Norte	2017	9.1%	12.3%	6.4%
Brazil	Macaúbas	2017	19.9%	23.2%	17.2%
Brazil	Paulicéia	2017	2.6%	4.1%	1.5%
Brazil	Vereda	2017	15.1%	18.6%	12.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Joáima	2017	9.3%	12.5%	6.4%
Brazil	Luzerna	2017	1.7%	2.5%	1.1%
Brazil	Bocaina de Minas	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Brazil	Imbé de Minas	2017	5.1%	6.6%	3.9%
Brazil	Naque	2017	8.4%	10.6%	6.5%
Brazil	Nazário	2017	6.6%	8.3%	5.1%
Brazil	Coronel Ezequiel	2017	12.4%	15.2%	10.2%
Brazil	Bastos	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	São Cristóvão	2017	11.2%	12.7%	9.8%
Brazil	Carpina	2017	12.6%	14.3%	11.0%
Brazil	Lago dos Rodrigues	2017	37.2%	44.1%	31.5%
Brazil	Taquaruçu do Sul	2017	2.7%	3.6%	1.9%
Brazil	Buritizeiro	2017	6.9%	9.6%	4.9%
Brazil	Boa Esperanca do Sul	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Brazil	Barra da Estiva	2017	17.4%	20.9%	13.8%
Brazil	Sapezal	2017	6.7%	11.0%	4.0%
Brazil	Nova Prata do Iguaçu	2017	3.5%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Cairu	2017	21.1%	24.8%	17.4%
Brazil	Santa Cruz das Palmeiras	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Brazil	Barra Bonita	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.8%
Brazil	Dores de Campos	2017	7.2%	9.2%	5.5%
Brazil	Guaiúba	2017	18.7%	21.0%	16.3%
Brazil	Ituberá	2017	20.3%	23.5%	16.8%
Brazil	Santa Ernestina	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Magé	2017	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%
Brazil	Cordeirópolis	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Schroeder	2017	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Brazil	Ajuricaba	2017	3.5%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Toropi	2017	3.6%	4.9%	2.6%
Brazil	Santa Lucia	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Morada Nova	2017	20.6%	23.9%	17.8%
Brazil	Rio Maria	2017	10.7%	14.0%	7.9%
Brazil	Bento de Abreu	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Lagoa Seca	2017	15.9%	17.8%	13.9%
Brazil	Douradina	2017	3.2%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Travesseiro	2017	3.8%	4.9%	2.9%
Brazil	Lagoinha do Piauí	2017	29.0%	35.3%	22.4%
Brazil	Belém do Brejo do Cruz	2017	12.3%	15.5%	9.6%
Brazil	Guarani do Oeste	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Brazil	Contagem	2017	6.1%	6.8%	5.6%
Brazil	Barão de Melgaço	2017	8.1%	10.7%	5.5%
Brazil	Cáceres	2017	8.0%	10.7%	5.7%
Brazil	Sarutaiá	2017	1.0%	1.7%	0.6%
Brazil	Novo Alegre	2017	19.1%	23.9%	14.7%
Brazil	Guaraciaba do Norte	2017	16.4%	18.9%	13.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Calmon	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Brazil	Córrego do Ouro	2017	6.7%	8.8%	4.9%
Brazil	Esperantinópolis	2017	37.7%	44.5%	31.5%
Brazil	Sapezal	2017	5.4%	8.7%	2.9%
Brazil	Curuçá	2017	8.9%	11.0%	6.9%
Brazil	Ouro Verde do Oeste	2017	3.5%	4.8%	2.4%
Brazil	Pindamonhangaba	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Brazil	Água Preta	2017	16.7%	18.8%	14.5%
Brazil	Manicore	2017	11.4%	15.6%	7.6%
Brazil	Paiçandu	2017	4.0%	5.0%	3.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Aracanguá	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Brazil	Sarzedo	2017	6.6%	7.5%	5.8%
Brazil	Lagoa Grande	2017	7.0%	9.3%	4.8%
Brazil	Japonvar	2017	5.5%	7.7%	3.7%
Brazil	Ouro Preto	2017	4.5%	5.7%	3.5%
Brazil	Araponga	2017	3.2%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	13.2%	15.6%	11.0%
Brazil	Ouro Verde de Minas	2017	5.5%	7.5%	3.8%
Brazil	Candiba	2017	19.9%	23.6%	16.2%
Brazil	Portao	2017	3.8%	4.4%	3.2%
Brazil	Araújos	2017	3.8%	4.5%	3.0%
Brazil	Dourados	2017	2.8%	4.0%	1.8%
Brazil	São João do Soter	2017	41.0%	48.1%	33.9%
Brazil	Reserva	2017	3.4%	4.7%	2.3%
Brazil	Paripueira	2017	21.1%	24.6%	17.9%
Brazil	Jarinu	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Brazil	João Pessoa	2017	14.2%	15.8%	12.7%
Brazil	Tapiramutá	2017	16.6%	20.3%	13.0%
Brazil	Paineiras	2017	7.6%	10.8%	5.2%
Brazil	Brejo do Cruz	2017	13.5%	17.1%	10.8%
Brazil	Timbó Grande	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Ibimirim	2017	17.8%	21.3%	14.4%
Brazil	Aguiarnópolis	2017	37.7%	43.2%	32.1%
Brazil	Dom Cavati	2017	5.4%	7.0%	4.1%
Brazil	Olho-d'Água do Borges	2017	11.8%	14.8%	9.3%
Brazil	Guarujá do Sul	2017	2.2%	3.2%	1.4%
Brazil	Teodoro Sampaio	2017	21.1%	24.2%	18.3%
Brazil	Monte Negro	2017	6.6%	8.6%	4.8%
Brazil	Caridade	2017	19.2%	22.1%	16.4%
Brazil	Palma Sola	2017	2.0%	2.9%	1.3%
Brazil	Porto Vera Cruz	2017	3.8%	5.4%	2.4%
Brazil	São João de Iracema	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Arvorezinha	2017	2.6%	3.5%	1.9%
Brazil	Nova Alvorada	2017	3.3%	4.3%	2.5%
Brazil	Bom Jesus de Goiás	2017	6.2%	8.1%	4.5%
Brazil	Formigueiro	2017	3.3%	4.6%	2.3%
Brazil	São Miguel do Aleixo	2017	11.8%	14.4%	9.7%
Brazil	Araranguá	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Tamarana	2017	3.9%	5.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Sanharó	2017	16.0%	18.6%	13.9%
Brazil	Andradina	2017	1.4%	2.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Nova Santa Rita	2017	28.5%	36.0%	21.8%
Brazil	Maracaçumé	2017	25.2%	30.6%	20.3%
Brazil	Dias d'vila	2017	19.4%	21.4%	17.1%
Brazil	Cuiaba	2017	8.5%	9.7%	7.5%
Brazil	Mato Verde	2017	9.1%	12.4%	6.6%
Brazil	Pinheiral	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Brazil	Serra	2017	4.2%	5.2%	3.4%
Brazil	Dona Eusébia	2017	7.0%	8.6%	5.3%
Brazil	Paranaíba	2017	2.8%	3.9%	2.0%
Brazil	Corumbáiba	2017	8.0%	10.2%	5.9%
Brazil	Alhandra	2017	16.7%	18.9%	14.5%
Brazil	Sete Quedas	2017	4.7%	7.4%	2.5%
Brazil	Serra Preta	2017	20.9%	24.6%	17.4%
Brazil	São Sebastião de Lagoa de Roça	2017	15.7%	17.6%	13.6%
Brazil	Pato Bragado	2017	4.1%	5.5%	2.9%
Brazil	Buriti dos Lopes	2017	26.7%	31.4%	22.1%
Brazil	Curral de Cima	2017	14.7%	17.4%	12.4%
Brazil	Cachoeira de Pajes	2017	7.4%	9.8%	5.1%
Brazil	Encanto	2017	15.3%	18.6%	12.5%
Brazil	Juazeirinho	2017	16.9%	20.4%	13.4%
Brazil	Curiúva	2017	3.7%	5.2%	2.6%
Brazil	Igaporã	2017	19.1%	23.5%	16.0%
Brazil	Dueré	2017	31.6%	37.0%	26.1%
Brazil	Goiania	2017	6.2%	7.1%	5.4%
Brazil	Arataca	2017	18.6%	21.8%	15.5%
Brazil	Santa Cruz do Capibaribe	2017	18.9%	21.3%	16.3%
Brazil	Pontal	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Nova Esperança do Sul	2017	3.2%	4.8%	2.2%
Brazil	Santo Antonio da Platina	2017	2.5%	3.6%	1.7%
Brazil	São Martinho	2017	3.1%	4.3%	2.2%
Brazil	Ferreiros	2017	15.6%	17.8%	13.6%
Brazil	Cruzeiro do Sul	2017	14.6%	17.8%	11.7%
Brazil	Miraí	2017	5.2%	6.5%	4.0%
Brazil	Bandeira	2017	11.3%	14.4%	8.4%
Brazil	Cabeceiras	2017	5.3%	7.6%	3.6%
Brazil	Alto Paraíso	2017	7.1%	9.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Iraceminha	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.7%
Brazil	Sebastianópolis do Sul	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Choró	2017	18.2%	21.6%	15.3%
Brazil	Urubici	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Chupinguaia	2017	8.1%	11.0%	5.4%
Brazil	Aratuípe	2017	20.1%	23.1%	17.3%
Brazil	Ibicaraí	2017	20.2%	23.6%	17.1%
Brazil	Riacho dos Machados	2017	5.7%	7.7%	3.9%
Brazil	Jatobá	2017	37.9%	45.3%	30.8%
Brazil	Rio das Pedras	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Coqueiros do Sul	2017	3.3%	4.3%	2.4%
Brazil	Iati	2017	18.8%	21.6%	15.9%
Brazil	Vila Flores	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.3%
Brazil	General Sampaio	2017	19.4%	23.4%	15.9%
Brazil	Lagoa Nova	2017	8.3%	10.5%	6.4%
Brazil	Retirolândia	2017	21.3%	24.8%	18.1%
Brazil	Mar de Espanha	2017	3.5%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Arapongas	2017	3.2%	3.9%	2.6%
Brazil	Boqueirao dos Cochos	2017	16.8%	20.6%	13.6%
Brazil	Monte Belo	2017	6.4%	8.2%	4.9%
Brazil	Jambeiro	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Cutias	2017	5.0%	8.0%	2.9%
Brazil	Piên	2017	1.9%	2.4%	1.3%
Brazil	Jaraguá do Sul	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Brazil	Sem-Peixe	2017	8.3%	10.5%	6.5%
Brazil	Bom Princípio	2017	4.3%	5.2%	3.6%
Brazil	Carira	2017	12.4%	15.0%	9.7%
Brazil	Pinhais	2017	3.2%	3.8%	2.7%
Brazil	Inhambupe	2017	20.5%	24.2%	17.1%
Brazil	Mário Campos	2017	6.1%	7.0%	5.2%
Brazil	Padre Marcos	2017	26.4%	31.8%	21.3%
Brazil	Vista Gaúcha	2017	3.1%	4.4%	2.2%
Brazil	Coronel Barros	2017	3.6%	4.8%	2.6%
Brazil	Bonito de Santa Fé	2017	14.8%	18.1%	12.3%
Brazil	Pinhal de São Bento	2017	3.3%	4.5%	2.2%
Brazil	Colíder	2017	7.2%	9.8%	4.7%
Brazil	Dois Lajeados	2017	3.6%	4.8%	2.7%
Brazil	Porto Acre	2017	17.2%	21.0%	14.1%
Brazil	Neves Paulista	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Estrela do Norte	2017	7.6%	10.5%	5.6%
Brazil	São Carlos	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Brazil	Esperança	2017	13.6%	15.8%	11.5%
Brazil	Planalto	2017	16.7%	19.8%	14.0%
Brazil	Cidade Gaúcha	2017	2.5%	3.4%	1.8%
Brazil	Apuí	2017	10.0%	15.9%	5.3%
Brazil	Fruta de Leite	2017	6.3%	8.6%	4.3%
Brazil	São Domingos do Maranhão	2017	37.5%	44.5%	30.3%
Brazil	São Valério do Sul	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.3%
Brazil	Ângulo	2017	4.3%	5.5%	3.2%
Brazil	Salto Grande	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Brazil	Maxaranguape	2017	11.4%	14.7%	8.6%
Brazil	Piraí do Sul	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.6%
Brazil	Bento Fernandes	2017	11.0%	13.6%	8.8%
Brazil	Ipiaú	2017	17.7%	20.6%	14.8%
Brazil	Itobi	2017	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Brazil	Linha Nova	2017	3.4%	4.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Catas Altas da Noruega	2017	7.4%	9.3%	5.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Novo Machado	2017	3.5%	4.9%	2.2%
Brazil	Rafard	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Venda Nova do Imigrante	2017	2.6%	3.5%	1.9%
Brazil	Crixás	2017	6.6%	9.2%	4.4%
Brazil	Vale do Paraíso	2017	8.3%	10.5%	6.3%
Brazil	Ponte Nova	2017	7.4%	9.2%	5.6%
Brazil	Cabreúva	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Grama	2017	3.8%	4.9%	2.7%
Brazil	Igreja Nova	2017	19.0%	22.0%	16.8%
Brazil	Santana	2017	13.6%	16.8%	10.6%
Brazil	Itaú	2017	14.3%	17.8%	10.9%
Brazil	Independência	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.4%
Brazil	Conselheiro Mayrinck	2017	3.8%	5.3%	2.5%
Brazil	Registro	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Ivatuva	2017	3.3%	4.6%	2.2%
Brazil	Ariranha do Ivaí	2017	4.1%	5.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Jataúba	2017	15.4%	18.3%	12.8%
Brazil	Restinga	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Brazil	Barra do Corda	2017	36.9%	45.3%	30.3%
Brazil	Campos Altos	2017	6.1%	8.7%	4.0%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha	2017	17.1%	23.4%	11.8%
Brazil	Rio Tinto	2017	13.7%	16.1%	11.6%
Brazil	Nova Bréscia	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.4%
Brazil	Gravatá	2017	12.9%	14.9%	11.1%
Brazil	Lajedinho	2017	19.3%	23.4%	15.3%
Brazil	Israelândia	2017	8.1%	10.7%	5.6%
Brazil	Água Santa	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.2%
Brazil	Lábrea	2017	11.0%	15.8%	6.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão Grande	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Pedro Alexandre	2017	16.0%	19.8%	13.2%
Brazil	Alcantil	2017	16.8%	19.9%	14.1%
Brazil	Canindé	2017	17.0%	20.6%	14.4%
Brazil	Irupi	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Moeda	2017	6.8%	8.7%	5.3%
Brazil	Miranda	2017	3.7%	6.2%	1.9%
Brazil	Capela Nova	2017	7.3%	9.3%	5.7%
Brazil	Salgado	2017	9.7%	11.8%	8.0%
Brazil	Ananás	2017	29.2%	34.0%	24.2%
Brazil	Sooretama	2017	4.5%	6.0%	3.1%
Brazil	Juarina	2017	22.2%	27.1%	17.8%
Brazil	Autazes	2017	12.3%	15.8%	9.3%
Brazil	Viradouro	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Brazil	Floresta Azul	2017	19.6%	22.9%	16.4%
Brazil	Sapucaia	2017	11.3%	15.2%	8.4%
Brazil	Rio Grande da Serra	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Brazil	Antonio Prado de Minas	2017	3.7%	4.7%	2.6%
Brazil	Lauro de Freitas	2017	19.2%	21.1%	17.5%
Brazil	Jales	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Umuzeiro	2017	19.9%	23.0%	17.3%
Brazil	Salto	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Benedito	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Brazil	Novo				
Brazil	Floraf	2017	3.9%	5.3%	2.9%
Brazil	Camocim	2017	22.0%	26.1%	18.2%
Brazil	Vargeão	2017	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Brazil	Severiano de Almeida	2017	2.6%	3.6%	1.8%
Brazil	São João da Varjota	2017	28.1%	33.8%	22.5%
Brazil	Senador Rui Palmeira	2017	20.7%	24.0%	17.5%
Brazil	Feliz Natal	2017	6.9%	9.5%	4.2%
Brazil	Pinhalzinho	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Brazil	Itaguari	2017	6.7%	8.4%	5.1%
Brazil	Diamante	2017	16.4%	19.6%	13.5%
Brazil	Cêro Azul	2017	4.7%	6.2%	3.3%
Brazil	João Dias	2017	12.3%	15.4%	9.9%
Brazil	Cerqueira César	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Domingos Mourão	2017	30.0%	35.7%	24.2%
Brazil	Sumé	2017	17.3%	20.6%	14.0%
Brazil	Cruzmalina	2017	4.1%	5.4%	3.1%
Brazil	Sucupira do Riachão	2017	34.8%	42.6%	27.6%
Brazil	Taquaritinga do Norte	2017	16.4%	18.6%	14.2%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Piauí	2017	27.7%	34.1%	23.2%
Brazil	Piranga	2017	7.2%	9.2%	5.5%
Brazil	Santa Inês	2017	2.7%	4.0%	1.8%
Brazil	Nhandeara	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Pirajui	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Brazil	São José da Lagoa Tapada	2017	16.6%	19.9%	13.2%
Brazil	Barra do Mendes	2017	21.3%	25.5%	17.3%
Brazil	Tapejara	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.3%
Brazil	Penha	2017	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Buriti dos Montes	2017	24.4%	30.5%	19.1%
Brazil	Prudentópolis	2017	4.0%	5.3%	2.7%
Brazil	Segredo	2017	3.2%	4.1%	2.3%
Brazil	Delmiro Gouveia	2017	21.4%	24.6%	18.5%
Brazil	Witmarsum	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%
Brazil	Nanuque	2017	8.9%	11.6%	6.7%
Brazil	Tururu	2017	17.9%	20.8%	15.4%
Brazil	Fernandes Tourinho	2017	7.1%	9.0%	5.6%
Brazil	Gravataí	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Rafael Fernandes	2017	14.2%	17.3%	11.5%
Brazil	Presidente Sarney	2017	34.9%	40.4%	28.7%
Brazil	Encantado	2017	3.8%	4.9%	2.9%
Brazil	São João do Araguaia	2017	15.1%	18.0%	12.5%
Brazil	José Bonifácio	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Elesbão Veloso	2017	27.8%	34.2%	21.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Tacuru	2017	4.7%	7.1%	2.7%
Brazil	Potiretama	2017	16.3%	19.7%	12.9%
Brazil	Monsenhor Tabosa	2017	14.4%	17.0%	12.0%
Brazil	Ponto Belo	2017	8.4%	11.4%	5.8%
Brazil	Indianópolis	2017	5.7%	7.4%	4.0%
Brazil	Conceição do Araguaia	2017	10.0%	12.4%	7.9%
Brazil	Tavares	2017	3.5%	5.7%	2.1%
Brazil	Florestal	2017	5.6%	6.8%	4.5%
Brazil	Vale do Sol	2017	3.8%	4.7%	2.8%
Brazil	Matutina	2017	5.9%	8.5%	4.2%
Brazil	Água Nova	2017	14.0%	17.0%	11.6%
Brazil	São João do Belm	2017	16.0%	18.4%	13.7%
Brazil	Baependi	2017	4.1%	5.6%	3.1%
Brazil	São Félix do Coribe	2017	18.3%	22.7%	14.3%
Brazil	Atibaia	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Ribeirão Branco	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Aspásia	2017	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Albertina	2017	2.4%	3.2%	1.7%
Brazil	Onça de Pitangui	2017	6.6%	8.4%	5.2%
Brazil	Oratórios	2017	7.4%	9.3%	5.7%
Brazil	Carvalhos	2017	2.0%	2.9%	1.4%
Brazil	Santo Expedito do Sul	2017	2.9%	3.8%	2.0%
Brazil	Monte Mor	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Arco-íris	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.3%
Brazil	Consolação	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.7%
Brazil	São Rafael	2017	10.5%	13.3%	7.8%
Brazil	Altos	2017	27.9%	32.7%	23.3%
Brazil	Ataleia	2017	6.6%	8.9%	4.7%
Brazil	Pinheiro Machado	2017	3.3%	4.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Paranaíba	2017	4.8%	7.2%	2.8%
Brazil	Uibaí	2017	22.6%	26.5%	18.9%
Brazil	Piatã	2017	14.2%	17.4%	11.0%
Brazil	Tomé-Açu	2017	9.5%	11.8%	7.3%
Brazil	São José da Safira	2017	7.4%	9.5%	5.1%
Brazil	São José da Varginha	2017	6.9%	8.6%	5.4%
Brazil	São Nicolau	2017	3.5%	5.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Casca	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.4%
Brazil	Bom Jesus da Lapa	2017	19.5%	23.4%	15.9%
Brazil	Jacupiranga	2017	1.7%	2.6%	0.9%
Brazil	Diamantino	2017	7.7%	10.0%	5.3%
Brazil	Monte Alegre	2017	10.7%	12.2%	9.2%
Brazil	Santana de Parnaíba	2017	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Brazil	Jaguariúna	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Brazil	Maranhãozinho	2017	27.2%	33.2%	22.0%
Brazil	Vilhena	2017	6.2%	8.3%	4.3%
Brazil	Bertólnia	2017	30.4%	39.0%	21.9%
Brazil	Tabaporã	2017	8.6%	12.0%	5.7%
Brazil	Cambuquira	2017	7.0%	8.9%	5.4%
Brazil	Canapi	2017	20.6%	24.6%	17.2%
Brazil	Itacoatiara	2017	11.4%	14.1%	8.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Cachoeira do Arari	2017	9.9%	12.0%	8.2%
Brazil	Groaíras	2017	13.8%	17.4%	10.9%
Brazil	Bossoroca	2017	3.4%	4.8%	2.2%
Brazil	Coivaras	2017	28.6%	34.5%	23.1%
Brazil	Dois Córregos	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Brazil	Carneiros	2017	20.7%	23.5%	17.5%
Brazil	Belo Jardim	2017	15.5%	18.1%	13.3%
Brazil	Jandaia	2017	6.5%	8.4%	4.7%
Brazil	Assis	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.3%
Brazil	Chateaubri				
Brazil	Jaguari	2017	3.3%	4.7%	2.3%
Brazil	Concórdia do Pará	2017	17.4%	21.2%	13.5%
Brazil	Marcionílio Souza	2017	26.6%	32.4%	22.0%
Brazil	Campinaçu	2017	7.6%	10.6%	5.4%
Brazil	Cipotânea	2017	7.9%	9.9%	6.1%
Brazil	Pracuúba	2017	4.3%	7.4%	2.1%
Brazil	Boa Ventura de São Roque	2017	3.4%	4.8%	2.4%
Brazil	Betânia do Piauí	2017	22.9%	28.1%	17.9%
Brazil	Bequimão	2017	37.9%	44.1%	31.9%
Brazil	Cordisburgo	2017	5.4%	7.0%	3.9%
Brazil	Campinas	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Brazil	Cachoeira da Prata	2017	7.1%	9.1%	5.5%
Brazil	Riachinho	2017	30.7%	35.1%	25.8%
Brazil	Itapororoca	2017	15.2%	17.9%	12.8%
Brazil	Fronteira	2017	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Brazil	Jaraguá	2017	6.6%	8.5%	5.1%
Brazil	Palotina	2017	3.6%	4.8%	2.6%
Brazil	Martinho Campos	2017	7.6%	9.9%	5.8%
Brazil	Cruzeta	2017	11.6%	14.6%	9.0%
Brazil	Cataguases	2017	5.4%	6.8%	4.1%
Brazil	Caraúbas do Piauí	2017	28.1%	34.5%	23.2%
Brazil	Nova Alvorada do Sul	2017	3.3%	5.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Barra do Garças	2017	7.2%	9.8%	4.9%
Brazil	Carlos Barbosa	2017	2.7%	3.4%	2.1%
Brazil	Paracatu	2017	4.9%	7.0%	3.3%
Brazil	Taperoá	2017	16.5%	20.0%	13.5%
Brazil	Corinto	2017	5.3%	7.1%	3.9%
Brazil	Bocaina	2017	28.4%	33.7%	23.0%
Brazil	Sento Sé	2017	22.7%	27.7%	18.0%
Brazil	Doverlândia	2017	7.7%	10.9%	5.1%
Brazil	Machadinho	2017	8.0%	11.1%	5.6%
Brazil	Guia Lopes da Laguna	2017	3.3%	5.4%	1.8%
Brazil	Porto Seguro	2017	21.6%	25.2%	18.0%
Brazil	Formosa	2017	5.4%	7.0%	4.3%
Brazil	Londrina	2017	3.0%	3.7%	2.4%
Brazil	Porangatu	2017	9.0%	11.5%	6.6%
Brazil	Indaiabira	2017	8.3%	11.1%	6.1%
Brazil	Chapadão do Lageado	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Miraselva	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Mirinzal	2017	35.8%	43.3%	29.1%
Brazil	Progresso	2017	3.1%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Borborema	2017	14.3%	16.5%	11.9%
Brazil	Anápolis	2017	6.3%	7.5%	5.2%
Brazil	Passa Vinte	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Brazil	Farol	2017	3.5%	4.9%	2.5%
Brazil	Bataiporã	2017	3.5%	5.1%	2.1%
Brazil	Cajazeiras do Piauí	2017	31.0%	38.5%	23.9%
Brazil	Santo Augusto	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.5%
Brazil	Luminárias	2017	6.4%	8.3%	4.7%
Brazil	Salto do Jacuí	2017	3.2%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	São Francisco do Glória	2017	4.0%	5.2%	2.8%
Brazil	Teixeirópolis	2017	8.5%	10.9%	6.6%
Brazil	Rodolfo Fernandes	2017	14.8%	18.0%	11.5%
Brazil	São Pedro do Butiá	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Pardo	2017	4.0%	6.5%	2.3%
Brazil	Três Palmeiras	2017	2.6%	3.4%	1.8%
Brazil	Brasília de Minas	2017	5.3%	7.4%	3.5%
Brazil	Marmeleiro	2017	2.9%	4.0%	2.0%
Brazil	Brasilândia	2017	3.3%	5.3%	2.0%
Brazil	Pendências	2017	10.0%	12.7%	7.4%
Brazil	Gonzaga	2017	4.3%	5.7%	3.2%
Brazil	Cordilheira Alta	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.3%
Brazil	Ubatã	2017	18.3%	21.3%	15.6%
Brazil	Cordeiro	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	São Gabriel	2017	21.6%	25.1%	17.9%
Brazil	Campo Novo	2017	2.8%	3.8%	1.9%
Brazil	Imbaú	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.6%
Brazil	Mamonas	2017	11.0%	14.2%	8.0%
Brazil	Uiramutã	2017	4.7%	8.3%	2.2%
Brazil	Rio Preto da Eva	2017	12.9%	16.2%	9.7%
Brazil	Pedra do Anta	2017	6.9%	8.7%	5.2%
Brazil	Araguari	2017	4.5%	5.7%	3.4%
Brazil	Nova Aliança do Ivaí	2017	3.3%	4.5%	2.3%
Brazil	Santana da Ponte Pensa	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Santo Inácio	2017	2.8%	4.1%	2.0%
Brazil	Campos Verdes de Goiás	2017	7.4%	9.4%	5.7%
Brazil	São José do Bonfim	2017	16.5%	19.9%	14.1%
Brazil	Alegria	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.5%
Brazil	Salto do Lon-dra	2017	3.7%	5.0%	2.6%
Brazil	Campos Sales	2017	21.5%	25.1%	18.1%
Brazil	Mairiporã	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Brazil	Japaratinga	2017	20.4%	23.7%	16.7%
Brazil	Patos do Piauí	2017	27.9%	34.1%	21.8%
Brazil	Pindorama	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Lagoa Formosa	2017	6.4%	8.8%	4.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Porto Rico	2017	3.5%	5.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Guaraciaba	2017	8.2%	10.2%	6.3%
Brazil	Natividade	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Capitão Leônidas Marques	2017	4.3%	5.9%	3.1%
Brazil	Vila Nova do Sul	2017	3.3%	4.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Senador Amara	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Aguanil	2017	7.0%	8.9%	5.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria Madalena	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Angico	2017	31.7%	36.3%	27.1%
Brazil	Doutor Pedrinho	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Borá	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Buritis	2017	6.8%	9.1%	4.6%
Brazil	Marabá Paulista	2017	1.6%	2.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Piedade do Rio Grande	2017	5.6%	7.5%	3.8%
Brazil	Lindoeste	2017	3.7%	5.0%	2.6%
Brazil	Chuí	2017	4.6%	7.8%	2.2%
Brazil	Piracaia	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Itapiranga	2017	6.9%	9.1%	5.3%
Brazil	Laguna	2017	1.4%	2.3%	0.8%
Brazil	Pai Pedro	2017	8.8%	11.8%	6.6%
Brazil	Serranópolis	2017	5.9%	8.4%	3.8%
Brazil	Tupanci do Sul	2017	2.3%	3.2%	1.5%
Brazil	Laranjal	2017	4.8%	6.2%	3.6%
Brazil	Graça Aranha	2017	38.5%	44.8%	31.8%
Brazil	Boa Vista das Misses	2017	2.8%	3.7%	2.0%
Brazil	Olivença	2017	21.9%	25.2%	18.3%
Brazil	Itacarambi	2017	6.8%	8.4%	5.5%
Brazil	Cordislândia	2017	5.7%	7.7%	4.1%
Brazil	São Ludgero	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.9%
Brazil	Macaé	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Andrelândia	2017	3.8%	5.4%	2.6%
Brazil	Ipuiúna	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Jussari	2017	18.8%	22.5%	15.3%
Brazil	Berilo	2017	7.5%	10.2%	5.0%
Brazil	Simplício Mendes	2017	28.3%	35.4%	21.2%
Brazil	Mimoso do Sul	2017	3.4%	4.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Planura	2017	3.0%	4.3%	2.0%
Brazil	Santa Isabel do Oeste	2017	3.7%	5.0%	2.7%
Brazil	Caiapônia	2017	6.2%	8.7%	4.0%
Brazil	Poços de Caldas	2017	2.1%	2.8%	1.4%
Brazil	Ibaté	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Rodeio	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Brazil	Jataí	2017	5.9%	8.1%	3.9%
Brazil	Tietê	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Pompéu	2017	5.8%	7.9%	4.2%
Brazil	Timburi	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Apiacás	2017	8.0%	12.2%	4.7%
Brazil	Monte Alegre do Sul	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	São Felipe d'Oeste	2017	7.4%	10.1%	5.6%
Brazil	Iporá	2017	6.9%	9.1%	4.7%
Brazil	Bom Jesus	2017	28.2%	36.5%	20.9%
Brazil	Balneário Camboriú	2017	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Brazil	Barretos	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Caxambu do Sul	2017	2.6%	3.6%	1.8%
Brazil	Francisco Dantas	2017	12.3%	15.1%	9.8%
Brazil	Mauá	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Governador Dix-Sept Rosad	2017	11.0%	13.9%	9.0%
Brazil	Três Fronteiras	2017	1.8%	2.7%	1.0%
Brazil	Dois Vizinhos	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Major Sales	2017	12.8%	15.5%	10.3%
Brazil	São Manuel	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Brazil	Cedral	2017	37.7%	46.9%	29.9%
Brazil	Juara	2017	8.1%	11.6%	4.8%
Brazil	Nova Veneza	2017	5.7%	7.1%	4.6%
Brazil	Formiga	2017	5.7%	7.5%	4.3%
Brazil	Angelim	2017	15.9%	18.2%	13.5%
Brazil	Camutanga	2017	15.9%	18.1%	13.8%
Brazil	Berizal	2017	10.0%	13.0%	7.3%
Brazil	Cabo Verde	2017	5.2%	6.4%	3.9%
Brazil	Curionópolis	2017	7.9%	10.1%	6.1%
Brazil	Tarabai	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Brazil	Guaporema	2017	3.3%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Minaçu	2017	12.6%	16.1%	9.1%
Brazil	Mirandiba	2017	17.3%	20.6%	14.0%
Brazil	São Francisco do Sul	2017	2.3%	3.4%	1.5%
Brazil	Palmeira das Missões	2017	2.9%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Bela Vista do Piauí	2017	27.7%	34.9%	20.3%
Brazil	Nova Serrana	2017	5.7%	7.2%	4.3%
Brazil	Porto Walter	2017	16.0%	20.0%	12.2%
Brazil	Inimutaba	2017	7.3%	9.7%	5.2%
Brazil	Tarrafas	2017	20.5%	23.9%	17.2%
Brazil	Porto Franco	2017	34.3%	39.7%	28.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Içá	2017	11.9%	16.5%	8.1%
Brazil	Gilbués	2017	28.1%	37.0%	19.9%
Brazil	Cachoeira Grande	2017	37.0%	43.6%	31.6%
Brazil	Ipiranga do Sul	2017	3.1%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	São Domingos	2017	10.4%	12.2%	8.8%
Brazil	Porangaba	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Capivari de Baixo	2017	1.0%	1.7%	0.6%
Brazil	Francisco Macêdo	2017	25.0%	30.2%	20.4%
Brazil	Teresópolis	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Brazil	Celso Ramos	2017	2.1%	3.2%	1.3%
Brazil	Santa Cruz de Goiás	2017	7.4%	9.5%	5.4%
Brazil	Fatima do Sul	2017	3.1%	4.4%	1.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Cruz da Vitória	2017	16.5%	19.9%	13.5%
Brazil	Lagoa da Prata	2017	6.5%	8.5%	4.8%
Brazil	Caetité	2017	17.1%	20.4%	14.3%
Brazil	Brejo dos Santos	2017	12.9%	16.4%	10.1%
Brazil	Murutinga do Sul	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%
Brazil	Álvares Machado	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Dionísio Cerqueira	2017	2.1%	3.1%	1.3%
Brazil	Ararendá	2017	22.5%	26.4%	18.9%
Brazil	Pilões	2017	13.5%	17.1%	10.6%
Brazil	Equador	2017	15.2%	18.2%	12.2%
Brazil	Amapá do Maranhão	2017	22.4%	27.9%	17.9%
Brazil	Pindoba	2017	22.0%	25.1%	19.2%
Brazil	Flores da Cunha	2017	2.9%	3.6%	2.3%
Brazil	Presidente Juscelino	2017	11.7%	14.1%	9.6%
Brazil	Aurelino Leal	2017	20.5%	23.6%	17.4%
Brazil	Barra de São Francisco	2017	4.8%	6.5%	3.5%
Brazil	Elói Mendes	2017	7.3%	9.2%	5.9%
Brazil	Chorrochó	2017	19.3%	24.0%	15.2%
Brazil	Monte Alegre de Goiás	2017	15.6%	20.2%	11.6%
Brazil	Pedra Branca	2017	16.7%	20.1%	13.8%
Brazil	Botucatu	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Brazil	Santa Teresinha	2017	20.4%	24.1%	17.1%
Brazil	Itacurubi	2017	3.5%	5.1%	2.1%
Brazil	Sagres	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Alto Bela Vista	2017	2.8%	4.0%	2.0%
Brazil	Pires do Rio	2017	7.4%	10.0%	5.4%
Brazil	Arroio dos Ratos	2017	3.4%	4.3%	2.7%
Brazil	Balbinos	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Brazil	Catanduvas	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Brazil	Mascote	2017	19.1%	22.9%	15.2%
Brazil	Piracicaba	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Águas Belas	2017	20.4%	23.8%	17.4%
Brazil	Luís Correia	2017	27.8%	32.6%	23.5%
Brazil	Doutor Ulysses	2017	3.7%	5.1%	2.5%
Brazil	Canutama	2017	10.9%	15.4%	7.1%
Brazil	Bom Repouso	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Brazil	Plácido de Castro	2017	16.4%	21.2%	13.0%
Brazil	Liberato Salzano	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Água Limpa	2017	9.0%	11.4%	6.7%
Brazil	Caém	2017	20.8%	24.3%	17.5%
Brazil	Pequi	2017	6.3%	8.1%	4.8%
Brazil	Iaras	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Teofilândia	2017	20.3%	23.5%	17.1%
Brazil	Maués	2017	11.8%	12.8%	10.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Rita do Sapucaí	2017	4.2%	5.5%	2.8%
Brazil	Iguaba Grande	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Itabela	2017	19.7%	23.4%	16.4%
Brazil	Aiquara	2017	19.7%	23.3%	16.7%
Brazil	Garanhuns	2017	14.0%	15.9%	11.9%
Brazil	João Ramalho	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Bom Princípio do Piauí	2017	27.3%	32.6%	22.9%
Brazil	Pontal do Araguaia	2017	7.3%	10.0%	5.0%
Brazil	Redenção da Serra	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Palma	2017	2.9%	3.8%	2.1%
Brazil	Pederneiras	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Itajá	2017	6.2%	8.6%	4.1%
Brazil	Nerópolis	2017	6.5%	7.8%	5.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Planalto	2017	3.5%	4.5%	2.6%
Brazil	Itambacuri	2017	6.6%	8.0%	5.3%
Brazil	Aracaju	2017	11.3%	12.7%	10.1%
Brazil	Vargem Alegre	2017	6.6%	8.4%	5.2%
Brazil	Protásio Alves	2017	3.2%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Presidente Dutra	2017	20.7%	24.1%	17.5%
Brazil	Araçu	2017	6.5%	8.1%	5.1%
Brazil	Parati	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Toledo	2017	2.8%	3.7%	2.0%
Brazil	Santa Luz	2017	27.9%	36.3%	20.1%
Brazil	Central do Maranhão	2017	36.5%	43.4%	30.1%
Brazil	Augusto Severo	2017	10.3%	13.1%	7.9%
Brazil	Itamaraju	2017	19.0%	22.8%	15.2%
Brazil	Candelária	2017	4.0%	5.1%	3.0%
Brazil	Iuiú	2017	15.6%	19.8%	11.9%
Brazil	Machacalis	2017	11.1%	14.9%	7.9%
Brazil	Paula Freitas	2017	2.7%	3.7%	1.8%
Brazil	Ribeirão Pires	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Miradouro	2017	4.8%	6.2%	3.6%
Brazil	Limoeiro de Anadia	2017	21.8%	24.1%	19.3%
Brazil	Batatais	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Brazil	Ananindeua	2017	9.2%	10.1%	8.3%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora das Graças	2017	3.2%	4.4%	2.2%
Brazil	Dois Irmãos das Missões	2017	2.8%	3.7%	1.9%
Brazil	Miravânia	2017	9.6%	13.2%	6.6%
Brazil	Panambi	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.2%
Brazil	Maripá de Minas	2017	4.1%	5.4%	3.0%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.6%
Brazil	Lapão	2017	21.6%	25.2%	18.3%
Brazil	Riachinho	2017	5.3%	7.9%	3.1%
Brazil	Araxá	2017	4.6%	6.3%	3.3%
Brazil	Tartarugalzinho	2017	4.5%	7.2%	2.4%
Brazil	Pastos Bons	2017	35.0%	42.3%	27.2%
Brazil	São Francisco	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Granjeiro	2017	18.9%	21.6%	15.9%
Brazil	Maracás	2017	18.0%	22.6%	14.5%
Brazil	Lavínia	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Nhamundá	2017	12.3%	15.9%	9.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira do Piriá	2017	16.5%	20.3%	13.1%
Brazil	Capelinha	2017	4.7%	6.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Maçambara	2017	11.1%	13.0%	9.4%
Brazil	Paraíso do Sul	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Três Passos	2017	2.6%	3.4%	1.9%
Brazil	Arandu	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Paraíso	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Brazil	Machados	2017	14.9%	17.1%	12.7%
Brazil	Campo Largo do Piauí	2017	31.4%	36.6%	26.9%
Brazil	Ibitiara	2017	18.3%	22.3%	14.9%
Brazil	Currais	2017	28.8%	37.3%	21.1%
Brazil	Comodoro	2017	7.3%	10.3%	4.7%
Brazil	Palmeirópolis	2017	35.2%	40.7%	30.5%
Brazil	Mirante da Serra	2017	7.7%	10.2%	5.4%
Brazil	Itagi	2017	17.6%	21.1%	14.8%
Brazil	Aruanã	2017	8.1%	11.5%	5.8%
Brazil	Itapuranga	2017	6.5%	8.7%	4.7%
Brazil	Divinópolis do Tocantins	2017	28.3%	33.8%	23.2%
Brazil	Catarina	2017	19.2%	23.3%	16.1%
Brazil	Sabinópolis	2017	5.1%	6.9%	3.7%
Brazil	Santa Maria	2017	11.5%	13.8%	9.5%
Brazil	Itaúna	2017	5.8%	7.2%	4.8%
Brazil	Casinhas	2017	20.2%	23.0%	17.5%
Brazil	Itajobi	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Brazil	Mangaratiba	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Brazil	Jaru	2017	7.2%	9.1%	5.6%
Brazil	Cachoerinha	2017	19.5%	22.9%	17.1%
Brazil	Inhapi	2017	20.3%	23.9%	17.1%
Brazil	Ipameri	2017	7.6%	10.0%	5.7%
Brazil	Andradas	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Presidente Venceslau	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Brazil	Mutuípe	2017	18.9%	22.0%	15.7%
Brazil	Sossêgo	2017	16.9%	20.0%	13.9%
Brazil	Garrafão do Norte	2017	11.3%	14.0%	8.8%
Brazil	Júlio Borges	2017	24.0%	31.6%	17.3%
Brazil	Barros Cassal	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Aguai	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Brazil	União da Serra	2017	3.6%	4.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Concórdia	2017	1.7%	2.5%	1.2%
Brazil	Itapecuru	2017	35.2%	41.2%	29.6%
Brazil	Mirim				
Brazil	Araguaiana	2017	8.2%	11.4%	5.7%
Brazil	Cachoeiro de Itapemirim	2017	4.6%	6.0%	3.5%
Brazil	Rio das Ostras	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Taquari	2017	3.6%	4.5%	2.8%
Brazil	Pitanga	2017	2.8%	3.9%	2.0%
Brazil	Rosário do Sul	2017	2.7%	3.9%	1.8%
Brazil	Anicuns	2017	5.6%	7.2%	4.2%
Brazil	São Pedro de Alcântara	2017	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Acreúna	2017	7.4%	9.8%	5.6%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara do Sul	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.2%
Brazil	Barcarena	2017	9.5%	10.8%	8.3%
Brazil	Ribeira	2017	3.5%	5.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Santa Rita	2017	13.6%	15.0%	12.1%
Brazil	Matinha	2017	37.0%	43.6%	31.6%
Brazil	Nova Belém	2017	4.5%	6.5%	3.0%
Brazil	Feira Nova do Maranhão	2017	34.1%	42.1%	25.4%
Brazil	Urucuiá	2017	5.7%	8.5%	3.5%
Brazil	São Lourenço do Sul	2017	3.6%	5.1%	2.4%
Brazil	Igarapava	2017	4.1%	5.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2017	5.9%	6.9%	4.9%
Brazil	Ribeirão das Neves	2017	6.0%	7.0%	5.4%
Brazil	Cordeiros	2017	14.8%	19.4%	11.5%
Brazil	Riachão do Bacamarte	2017	13.9%	16.2%	11.7%
Brazil	São João de Pirabas	2017	10.2%	12.9%	8.2%
Brazil	São Miguel das Matas	2017	19.7%	22.3%	16.9%
Brazil	Astolfo Dutra	2017	7.5%	9.2%	5.9%
Brazil	Porto Esperidião	2017	8.1%	11.5%	5.6%
Brazil	Coluna	2017	6.0%	8.1%	4.3%
Brazil	Venâncio Aires	2017	3.4%	4.3%	2.7%
Brazil	Minas Novas	2017	5.7%	7.9%	3.8%
Brazil	Santa Terezinha de Goiás	2017	7.8%	10.7%	5.7%
Brazil	Glória do Goitá	2017	15.6%	17.4%	13.9%
Brazil	Matões	2017	37.0%	44.1%	30.4%
Brazil	Itapitanga	2017	16.6%	19.9%	13.6%
Brazil	Esplanada	2017	19.0%	22.3%	15.6%
Brazil	Palmeiras de Goiás	2017	7.3%	9.0%	5.7%
Brazil	Pereira Barreto	2017	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Macaubal	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Governador Valadares	2017	6.3%	7.8%	5.2%
Brazil	Costa Marques	2017	8.1%	12.0%	4.9%
Brazil	Morro Agudo	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Montanha	2017	7.1%	9.6%	5.0%
Brazil	Quijingue	2017	22.6%	26.2%	18.4%
Brazil	Batalha	2017	21.9%	25.6%	18.5%
Brazil	Amaral Ferrador	2017	3.7%	5.3%	2.6%
Brazil	Ipauçu	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Brazil	Dom Pedrito	2017	2.2%	3.2%	1.4%
Brazil	Santa Clara do Sul	2017	3.5%	4.3%	2.7%
Brazil	Leoberto Leal	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Custódia	2017	14.0%	16.9%	11.3%
Brazil	Monsenhor Paulo	2017	7.0%	8.9%	5.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Alto Garças	2017	5.1%	7.6%	3.2%
Brazil	Guarará	2017	3.9%	5.1%	3.0%
Brazil	Piracununga	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	Congo	2017	17.8%	21.3%	15.0%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Alto	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Pilar do Sul	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Brazil	Espumoso	2017	3.6%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Itapeçerica	2017	5.2%	6.4%	4.3%
Brazil	Baixa Grande	2017	18.3%	22.4%	15.0%
Brazil	Cuité	2017	13.9%	16.4%	11.4%
Brazil	Maria da Fé	2017	2.3%	3.0%	1.6%
Brazil	Corumbataí	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Mundo Novo	2017	17.1%	20.4%	14.0%
Brazil	Arantina	2017	2.3%	3.4%	1.4%
Brazil	Goianá	2017	17.2%	19.5%	14.8%
Brazil	Pinhal	2017	2.6%	3.4%	1.8%
Brazil	Pilar	2017	19.9%	22.6%	17.3%
Brazil	Ibitirama	2017	3.6%	4.6%	2.6%
Brazil	Poxoréo	2017	7.5%	10.2%	5.5%
Brazil	Braúnas	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Brazil	Santa Helena	2017	18.7%	22.2%	15.0%
Brazil	Bagé	2017	9.8%	12.8%	7.4%
Brazil	Piedade	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Nova Bassano	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	Campo Maior	2017	28.4%	34.4%	22.9%
Brazil	Armazém	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Camanducaia	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Ribeirão Claro	2017	1.7%	2.7%	1.2%
Brazil	Itaju	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Itaperuna	2017	2.8%	3.6%	2.1%
Brazil	Urucânia	2017	7.1%	9.2%	5.6%
Brazil	Borebi	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Catigua	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Sapiranga	2017	3.2%	3.8%	2.7%
Brazil	Bandeirantes	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.2%
Brazil	Chã de Alegria	2017	17.7%	19.9%	15.9%
Brazil	Claraval	2017	2.9%	4.0%	2.0%
Brazil	Turiagu	2017	31.0%	37.4%	24.7%
Brazil	Iacri	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Abre Campo	2017	5.0%	6.4%	3.7%
Brazil	Varjao	2017	8.1%	10.3%	6.4%
Brazil	Tapera	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.3%
Brazil	São Mamede	2017	13.4%	16.1%	10.6%
Brazil	Anchieta	2017	2.0%	3.0%	1.4%
Brazil	Palmeirândia	2017	36.8%	43.5%	31.2%
Brazil	Rondinha	2017	3.2%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Campo Grande do Piauí	2017	26.3%	32.3%	21.0%
Brazil	Mira Estrela	2017	2.0%	3.0%	1.2%
Brazil	Santa Albertina	2017	2.2%	3.5%	1.3%
Brazil	Crisólita	2017	9.1%	12.0%	6.6%
Brazil	Porto dos Gaúchos	2017	8.8%	12.9%	5.4%
Brazil	Bom Sucesso do Sul	2017	3.5%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Santa Branca	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Brazil	Espera Feliz	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Parelhas	2017	14.3%	17.9%	11.3%
Brazil	Cabaceiras do Paraguaçu	2017	21.6%	24.7%	19.2%
Brazil	Itacarambira	2017	7.4%	10.2%	5.4%
Brazil	Vista Alegre do Prata	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.5%
Brazil	Abaré	2017	18.5%	22.1%	14.7%
Brazil	São José das Palmeiras	2017	3.9%	5.3%	2.7%
Brazil	Ilhota	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Brazil	General Carneiro	2017	7.8%	11.0%	5.6%
Brazil	Saquarema	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Acopiara	2017	20.2%	23.4%	17.5%
Brazil	Nova Europa	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Brazil	Carnaúba dos Dantas	2017	11.6%	14.4%	9.3%
Brazil	Ipixuna	2017	12.7%	17.6%	8.9%
Brazil	São José de Mipibu	2017	11.0%	12.5%	9.6%
Brazil	Lins	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Boqueirão do Piauí	2017	29.4%	35.4%	24.2%
Brazil	Três de Maio	2017	3.3%	4.3%	2.5%
Brazil	Angelina	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Tracuateua	2017	11.2%	13.5%	9.1%
Brazil	Morro do Chapéu do Piauí	2017	28.4%	34.2%	23.0%
Brazil	Figueirópolis d'Oeste	2017	8.3%	11.7%	5.7%
Brazil	Itamogi	2017	7.0%	9.0%	5.3%
Brazil	Barra dos Coqueiros	2017	13.6%	15.1%	12.0%
Brazil	Cabeceira Grande	2017	4.9%	6.6%	3.5%
Brazil	Aracai	2017	6.8%	8.6%	4.9%
Brazil	Colméia	2017	28.1%	33.2%	22.8%
Brazil	Augusto Pestana	2017	3.4%	4.7%	2.5%
Brazil	Boqueirão	2017	20.6%	24.2%	17.0%
Brazil	Palmeira do Oeste	2017	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%
Brazil	Trombas	2017	9.1%	12.4%	6.6%
Brazil	Varre-Sai	2017	3.0%	3.9%	2.1%
Brazil	Itaquara	2017	17.5%	20.7%	14.6%
Brazil	Miracatu	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.5%
Brazil	Pouso Redondo	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Brazil	Conselheiro Lafaiete	2017	5.9%	7.5%	4.7%
Brazil	Hidrolândia	2017	21.8%	25.8%	18.4%
Brazil	Itajaí	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Brazil	Desterro do Melo	2017	6.5%	8.4%	4.9%
Brazil	Brasília	2017	1.4%	1.6%	1.2%
Brazil	Pindaí	2017	18.5%	22.4%	15.3%
Brazil	Maragogipe	2017	21.0%	24.1%	18.6%
Brazil	Aurora do Pará	2017	9.3%	11.3%	7.2%
Brazil	Irapuru	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Divinópolis	2017	6.5%	7.9%	5.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Quitéria	2017	18.9%	22.3%	15.5%
Brazil	Irapuã	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Brazil	Mãe d'Água	2017	14.8%	17.9%	12.1%
Brazil	Marques de Souza	2017	3.7%	4.7%	2.8%
Brazil	Brejo da Madre deus	2017	18.0%	20.2%	16.1%
Brazil	Olinda	2017	16.4%	17.8%	15.1%
Brazil	Pintadas	2017	20.9%	25.1%	17.3%
Brazil	Muqui	2017	3.9%	5.4%	2.9%
Brazil	Goiás	2017	6.4%	8.6%	4.7%
Brazil	Guaraciama	2017	6.0%	7.9%	4.2%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Jacinto	2017	8.1%	9.8%	6.6%
Brazil	Clevelândia	2017	2.2%	3.3%	1.4%
Brazil	Rubinéia	2017	2.3%	3.6%	1.3%
Brazil	Alexania	2017	6.6%	8.2%	5.2%
Brazil	Faxinal dos Guedes	2017	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Brazil	São Lourenço da Serra	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Brazil	Canhotinho	2017	16.4%	18.5%	14.0%
Brazil	Lagoa de Anta	2017	13.1%	15.4%	10.9%
Brazil	Alto Alegre dos Parecis	2017	6.4%	8.8%	4.5%
Brazil	Iturama	2017	2.3%	3.5%	1.4%
Brazil	Luciára	2017	18.8%	26.9%	13.0%
Brazil	Descoberto	2017	6.2%	7.9%	4.7%
Brazil	Prado	2017	20.8%	25.3%	16.7%
Brazil	Xaxim	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Brazil	São Caetano do Sul	2017	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%
Brazil	Felixlândia	2017	6.1%	9.1%	4.1%
Brazil	Pejuçara	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Brazil	Desterro de Entre Rios	2017	5.9%	7.9%	4.4%
Brazil	São Domingos do Sul	2017	3.2%	4.3%	2.3%
Brazil	Campestre do Maranhão	2017	31.3%	37.2%	25.5%
Brazil	Milagres do Maranhão	2017	35.3%	42.2%	29.9%
Brazil	Nova Brasilândia d'Oeste	2017	7.4%	9.6%	5.6%
Brazil	Reriutaba	2017	22.1%	25.8%	18.9%
Brazil	Arcos	2017	6.6%	8.5%	4.9%
Brazil	Mateus Leme	2017	6.3%	7.6%	5.3%
Brazil	Nordestina	2017	22.2%	26.2%	18.1%
Brazil	Araricá	2017	3.9%	4.7%	3.3%
Brazil	Coronel Fabriciano	2017	7.1%	8.6%	5.8%
Brazil	Capitão Enéas	2017	7.5%	9.5%	5.8%
Brazil	Boa Viagem	2017	18.0%	20.8%	15.5%
Brazil	Alcântaras	2017	12.5%	14.4%	10.5%
Brazil	Ipiranga	2017	3.8%	5.0%	2.6%
Brazil	Matias Cardoso	2017	10.7%	14.6%	7.5%
Brazil	Vila Flor	2017	12.9%	15.8%	10.3%
Brazil	Itu	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Serrano do Maranhão	2017	34.1%	41.1%	26.8%
Brazil	Rio Claro	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Brazil	Santa Rita do Oeste	2017	1.9%	3.0%	1.1%
Brazil	Posse	2017	9.3%	12.8%	6.5%
Brazil	Inocência	2017	5.3%	8.4%	3.1%
Brazil	Várzea Alegre	2017	18.8%	21.4%	15.9%
Brazil	Campo Bom	2017	3.4%	3.9%	2.8%
Brazil	Coxixola	2017	17.8%	21.1%	14.7%
Brazil	Xique-Xique	2017	21.3%	26.3%	16.9%
Brazil	Poço das Antas	2017	3.6%	4.6%	2.8%
Brazil	Itinga do Maranhão	2017	20.4%	24.9%	15.9%
Brazil	Wanderlândia	2017	32.7%	36.9%	28.9%
Brazil	Conceição de Ipanema	2017	6.0%	8.0%	4.5%
Brazil	Engenheiro Paulo de Front	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Brazil	Hortolândia	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Brazil	Terra Boa	2017	4.2%	5.6%	3.0%
Brazil	Guaxupé	2017	3.8%	5.1%	2.7%
Brazil	Parecis	2017	7.6%	10.3%	5.2%
Brazil	Santa Maria das Barreiras	2017	11.1%	14.3%	8.3%
Brazil	Ibiá	2017	6.4%	9.2%	4.6%
Brazil	São João do Pacuí	2017	6.1%	8.6%	4.3%
Brazil	Tramandaí	2017	2.9%	4.0%	2.1%
Brazil	Uruoca	2017	20.9%	24.2%	18.0%
Brazil	Varjota	2017	21.7%	25.7%	18.6%
Brazil	Flores	2017	15.7%	18.5%	12.9%
Brazil	Marituba	2017	9.6%	10.6%	8.4%
Brazil	Igarapé do Meio	2017	37.2%	43.5%	31.3%
Brazil	Poranga	2017	20.4%	24.4%	16.8%
Brazil	Caapiranga	2017	11.8%	16.1%	8.1%
Brazil	Santo Antônio das Missões	2017	2.9%	4.2%	1.8%
Brazil	São Desidério	2017	20.4%	25.3%	15.9%
Brazil	Uruana de Minas	2017	5.5%	8.2%	3.4%
Brazil	Rurópolis	2017	8.9%	12.2%	6.4%
Brazil	Rialma	2017	7.0%	8.8%	5.3%
Brazil	Barrinha	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Brazil	Abel Figueiredo	2017	16.7%	20.8%	13.2%
Brazil	Matinhas	2017	16.2%	18.2%	14.1%
Brazil	Araçoiaba	2017	19.1%	21.6%	16.6%
Brazil	Itaberá	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Rio Pardo	2017	3.3%	4.1%	2.4%
Brazil	Capão Alto	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	São João do Polêsine	2017	3.1%	4.0%	2.2%
Brazil	Campestre	2017	18.7%	21.6%	15.9%
Brazil	Muzambinho	2017	5.0%	6.3%	3.6%
Brazil	José da Penha	2017	13.2%	15.8%	10.5%
Brazil	Guaratinga	2017	15.6%	18.6%	12.6%
Brazil	Chapada de Areia	2017	30.8%	37.6%	25.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Pocinhos	2017	16.2%	19.3%	13.3%
Brazil	Itariri	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Poço Dantas	2017	13.7%	16.3%	11.2%
Brazil	Inajá	2017	20.2%	24.3%	16.4%
Brazil	Carmo da Cachoeira	2017	6.6%	8.5%	5.0%
Brazil	Cacimba de dentro	2017	13.6%	16.1%	11.5%
Brazil	Sátiro Dias	2017	20.4%	24.4%	16.8%
Brazil	Cristino Castro	2017	28.3%	38.1%	20.8%
Brazil	Itaporã	2017	3.3%	4.7%	2.1%
Brazil	Entre Rios	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.6%
Brazil	Boracéia	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Brazil	Serrolândia	2017	18.5%	21.6%	15.3%
Brazil	Novo Oriente de Minas	2017	6.8%	9.4%	4.9%
Brazil	Pirapora do Bom Jesus	2017	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Brazil	Liberdade	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%
Brazil	Nova Campina	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.5%
Brazil	Itacuruba	2017	20.1%	25.2%	16.0%
Brazil	Camaçari	2017	18.8%	21.0%	16.9%
Brazil	Unaí	2017	5.5%	7.5%	3.8%
Brazil	Cezarina	2017	7.8%	9.7%	6.0%
Brazil	Nossa Senhora do Livramento	2017	8.3%	10.0%	6.8%
Brazil	Uniflor	2017	3.9%	5.2%	2.8%
Brazil	Chapecó	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Brazil	Tanquinho	2017	20.8%	24.0%	17.4%
Brazil	Águas Formosas	2017	8.5%	11.9%	5.8%
Brazil	Vianópolis	2017	6.7%	8.3%	5.1%
Brazil	Puxinanã	2017	15.3%	17.3%	13.0%
Brazil	São Sebastião do Caí	2017	3.8%	4.5%	3.1%
Brazil	Santana do Paraíso	2017	8.2%	9.9%	6.9%
Brazil	Pratânia	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Brazil	Munhoz de Melo	2017	3.9%	5.0%	2.8%
Brazil	Fundão	2017	5.2%	6.5%	4.1%
Brazil	São Pedro	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Brazil	Bocaiúva do Sul	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.5%
Brazil	Santo Antônio do Amparo	2017	6.9%	8.3%	5.6%
Brazil	Piracuruca	2017	29.0%	34.6%	23.6%
Brazil	Queiroz	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Brazil	Aperibé	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Brazil	Itapipoca	2017	18.1%	20.6%	15.8%
Brazil	Itatiaiuçu	2017	3.5%	4.2%	2.9%
Brazil	Ituporanga	2017	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%
Brazil	Juquitiba	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Brazil	Sinimbu	2017	3.2%	4.1%	2.4%
Brazil	Ervália	2017	3.6%	4.7%	2.7%
Brazil	Guajará	2017	15.3%	19.4%	12.2%
Brazil	Petrópolis	2017	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Brazil	Lajedão	2017	3.8%	4.6%	2.9%
Brazil	Marília	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Santa Maria da Serra	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Mondaí	2017	2.9%	4.0%	2.0%
Brazil	Antônio Dias	2017	6.9%	8.5%	5.5%
Brazil	São Vendelino	2017	4.3%	5.1%	3.4%
Brazil	Mato Leitão	2017	4.0%	5.0%	3.1%
Brazil	Cesário Lange	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Bonfim do Piauí	2017	26.8%	33.1%	20.7%
Brazil	Gabriel Monteiro	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Antônio Gonçalves	2017	17.6%	21.0%	14.7%
Brazil	Inúbia Paulista	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.3%
Brazil	Rio do Fogo	2017	11.3%	14.8%	8.4%
Brazil	Jenipapo dos Vieiras	2017	38.2%	46.2%	29.7%
Brazil	Treze de Maio	2017	1.5%	2.4%	0.9%
Brazil	Ererê	2017	16.8%	20.4%	13.5%
Brazil	São Caitano	2017	17.5%	20.2%	15.4%
Brazil	Monte Castelo	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Brazil	Elias Fausto	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Brazil	Coroatá	2017	38.4%	46.0%	32.3%
Brazil	Rio Negro	2017	4.4%	6.8%	2.5%
Brazil	Rubelita	2017	7.9%	10.8%	5.7%
Brazil	Rio Fortuna	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Brazil	Santa Bárbara	2017	20.1%	23.0%	16.9%
Brazil	Martinópolis	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Brazil	Conceição da Barra	2017	7.3%	9.7%	5.4%
Brazil	Mirador	2017	3.2%	4.4%	2.2%
Brazil	Romaria	2017	5.1%	6.9%	3.6%
Brazil	Ronda Alta	2017	2.8%	3.6%	2.0%
Brazil	Penedo	2017	16.1%	18.8%	13.6%
Brazil	Assis	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Brazil	Lajeado Grande	2017	2.2%	3.1%	1.5%
Brazil	Pindaré-Mirim	2017	36.6%	41.6%	31.7%
Brazil	Várzea Branca	2017	25.9%	32.3%	20.3%
Brazil	Alto Rio doce	2017	7.2%	9.2%	5.6%
Brazil	Itarana	2017	3.6%	4.9%	2.6%
Brazil	Jucurutu	2017	10.1%	13.0%	7.5%
Brazil	Monte Sião	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.6%
Brazil	Feijó	2017	13.6%	17.7%	10.2%
Brazil	Tuparetama	2017	18.6%	22.0%	15.3%
Brazil	Oswaldo Cruz	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Brazil	Passagem Franca	2017	36.2%	43.9%	29.8%
Brazil	Brunópolis	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Brazil	Bebedouro	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Brazil	Theobroma	2017	8.0%	10.0%	5.9%
Brazil	São Roberto	2017	37.4%	44.1%	30.0%
Brazil	Rio das Flores	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.5%
Brazil	Riachão do Jacuipe	2017	22.4%	26.5%	18.5%
Brazil	Campos Borges	2017	3.3%	4.6%	2.3%
Brazil	Cachoeira dourada	2017	7.6%	9.8%	5.6%
Brazil	Mococa	2017	2.7%	3.7%	1.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Brazil	Anapuros	2017	34.8%	42.1%	28.7%
Brazil	Wagner	2017	19.5%	23.4%	15.8%
Brazil	Jardim de Angicos	2017	10.8%	13.6%	8.5%
Brazil	Colônia do Gurguéia	2017	29.7%	39.6%	20.9%
Brazil	Valente	2017	21.2%	24.7%	17.8%
Brazil	Coronel João Pessoa	2017	14.4%	17.0%	12.0%
Brazil	Brejo de Areia	2017	36.2%	43.4%	28.8%
Brazil	Carazinho	2017	3.3%	4.1%	2.5%
Brazil	Brodosqui	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Brazil	Leopoldina	2017	5.3%	6.7%	3.9%
Brazil	Feira Nova	2017	16.6%	18.8%	14.4%
Brazil	Icém	2017	1.7%	2.5%	1.1%
Brazil	Formosa do Sul	2017	2.5%	3.4%	1.7%
Brazil	Juti	2017	3.9%	5.5%	2.4%
Brazil	Santo Antônio de Pádua	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.6%
Brazil	Rio de Contas	2017	21.0%	25.0%	17.4%
Colombia	Marsella	2000	2.2%	5.3%	0.8%
Colombia	Briceño	2000	16.5%	22.6%	11.0%
Colombia	Ubaque	2000	6.3%	11.5%	2.5%
Colombia	Barbosa	2000	12.5%	17.9%	8.1%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	12.4%	21.7%	6.6%
Colombia	Supatá	2000	10.7%	19.0%	5.0%
Colombia	Pacoa	2000	15.4%	20.1%	10.6%
Colombia	Muzo	2000	9.9%	16.9%	4.2%
Colombia	Cicuco	2000	12.0%	14.9%	9.2%
Colombia	Fredonia	2000	11.2%	19.0%	5.7%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	4.3%	9.1%	1.4%
Colombia	San Juan de Betulia	2000	18.2%	25.2%	12.2%
Colombia	El Charco	2000	3.9%	5.5%	2.7%
Colombia	Contadero	2000	5.3%	7.4%	3.4%
Colombia	Silvia	2000	9.4%	11.4%	7.4%
Colombia	Tibasosa	2000	13.8%	17.5%	10.2%
Colombia	Cúitiva	2000	13.5%	21.6%	7.3%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	5.7%	12.1%	1.5%
Colombia	Puerto Rondón	2000	2.7%	5.0%	1.3%
Colombia	Marulanda	2000	2.7%	5.5%	0.8%
Colombia	Mutatá	2000	19.9%	32.9%	10.5%
Colombia	Puerto Asís	2000	6.7%	9.8%	4.4%
Colombia	Villamaría	2000	3.3%	5.9%	1.5%
Colombia	San Roque	2000	10.4%	17.2%	6.2%
Colombia	Cómbita	2000	16.0%	19.6%	12.7%
Colombia	Aquitania	2000	10.6%	16.2%	6.0%
Colombia	Socotá	2000	10.4%	17.1%	5.3%
Colombia	Guapotá	2000	12.0%	20.0%	5.6%
Colombia	Fómeque	2000	8.9%	16.6%	4.4%
Colombia	Giraldo	2000	8.6%	16.6%	3.6%
Colombia	Turmequé	2000	14.5%	22.3%	7.4%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2000	7.1%	14.1%	3.0%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	5.0%	6.8%	3.6%
Colombia	San Luis	2000	11.8%	21.8%	4.9%
Colombia	Duitama	2000	12.9%	15.7%	10.5%
Colombia	Puerto Nariño	2000	9.8%	14.0%	6.0%
Colombia	Chitagá	2000	8.3%	14.5%	4.0%
Colombia	Venadillo	2000	15.6%	21.4%	10.2%
Colombia	Ariguaní	2000	17.7%	22.1%	13.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Lloró	2000	49.5%	57.7%	39.1%
Colombia	Pasca	2000	9.7%	16.8%	5.3%
Colombia	San Pedro	2000	1.9%	3.3%	0.8%
Colombia	Candelaria	2000	1.9%	3.0%	1.1%
Colombia	La Salina	2000	5.6%	14.0%	1.6%
Colombia	Los Santos	2000	14.8%	24.8%	7.6%
Colombia	Iquira	2000	13.1%	18.8%	8.6%
Colombia	Palmar	2000	13.1%	23.9%	4.8%
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	8.8%	15.2%	4.5%
Colombia	Santa María	2000	12.4%	20.6%	5.7%
Colombia	Soacha	2000	14.0%	17.9%	10.2%
Colombia	Aipe	2000	10.5%	16.3%	6.5%
Colombia	Chiquinquirá	2000	10.9%	14.4%	8.2%
Colombia	Simití	2000	13.3%	19.3%	8.1%
Colombia	San Pedro de los Milagros	2000	16.2%	24.0%	9.5%
Colombia	Yacopí	2000	9.6%	16.1%	4.4%
Colombia	Turbo	2000	17.6%	22.4%	12.8%
Colombia	Villa Caro	2000	10.1%	17.4%	4.1%
Colombia	Rondón	2000	16.7%	25.7%	8.6%
Colombia	Sutamarchán	2000	16.3%	20.9%	11.9%
Colombia	Sipí	2000	32.8%	46.0%	20.8%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2000	11.1%	17.5%	6.1%
Colombia	Tesalia	2000	14.3%	22.0%	7.9%
Colombia	El Carmen de Bolívar	2000	14.1%	18.3%	10.3%
Colombia	San Fernando	2000	12.0%	17.7%	7.2%
Colombia	Santana	2000	15.5%	24.3%	8.1%
Colombia	Restrepo	2000	2.5%	5.7%	0.6%
Colombia	Gámeza	2000	15.0%	19.1%	11.4%
Colombia	El Carmen de Viboral	2000	11.8%	18.5%	7.7%
Colombia	Chivatá	2000	14.1%	18.5%	10.5%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2000	3.3%	4.5%	2.5%
Colombia	Campo de la Cruz	2000	10.0%	17.6%	4.6%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Cabal	2000	4.5%	9.3%	1.7%
Colombia	Yondó	2000	11.9%	19.3%	6.0%
Colombia	Palmar de Varela	2000	5.7%	9.6%	2.8%
Colombia	Cravo Norte	2000	3.8%	8.4%	1.3%
Colombia	Colombia	2000	6.4%	12.8%	3.4%
Colombia	Puerto Libertador	2000	13.0%	18.8%	8.1%
Colombia	San Alberto	2000	21.2%	29.8%	13.0%
Colombia	Pensilvania	2000	4.5%	8.4%	2.0%
Colombia	Sopetrán	2000	14.1%	21.5%	8.3%
Colombia	Hobo	2000	19.2%	28.6%	11.1%
Colombia	Siachoque	2000	13.2%	19.5%	8.4%
Colombia	Olaya Herrera	2000	3.8%	5.5%	2.8%
Colombia	Segovia	2000	12.4%	18.8%	7.7%
Colombia	Ataco	2000	15.8%	23.3%	9.6%
Colombia	Agua de Dios	2000	14.7%	22.0%	8.5%
Colombia	Tuluá	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Osos	2000	12.3%	20.5%	5.7%
Colombia	Turbaná	2000	11.4%	14.6%	8.0%
Colombia	Sapuyes	2000	3.7%	5.6%	2.1%
Colombia	San Benito Abad	2000	17.6%	24.0%	12.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Santa Isabel	2000	9.3%	15.1%	5.3%
Colombia	Tenerife	2000	16.1%	22.7%	10.5%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	9.7%	15.7%	4.7%
Colombia	San Joaquín	2000	10.9%	17.6%	5.3%
Colombia	Maceo	2000	11.3%	21.0%	4.3%
Colombia	Ponedera	2000	7.1%	11.4%	3.8%
Colombia	Manatí	2000	6.2%	9.5%	3.7%
Colombia	Albán	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.2%
Colombia	Paime	2000	10.8%	21.8%	3.6%
Colombia	Santa Rita	2000	3.3%	5.7%	2.0%
Colombia	San Martín	2000	23.5%	33.8%	14.2%
Colombia	Tota	2000	13.1%	18.2%	8.7%
Colombia	Susacón	2000	7.7%	13.7%	3.1%
Colombia	Venecia	2000	7.7%	15.3%	2.3%
Colombia	Tinjacá	2000	15.2%	21.1%	9.4%
Colombia	Yolombó	2000	10.7%	16.2%	5.3%
Colombia	Tocaima	2000	12.9%	20.5%	5.8%
Colombia	Paipa	2000	12.6%	20.6%	7.1%
Colombia	Cimitarra	2000	11.3%	16.9%	7.4%
Colombia	Valdivia	2000	12.9%	22.6%	6.8%
Colombia	Taminango	2000	3.3%	5.2%	1.8%
Colombia	Tunja	2000	10.7%	12.3%	9.1%
Colombia	Maripí	2000	13.0%	18.1%	8.0%
Colombia	Cuaspué	2000	4.7%	6.4%	3.5%
Colombia	Gualmatán	2000	3.7%	5.5%	2.4%
Colombia	Roldanillo	2000	2.7%	5.8%	1.0%
Colombia	Túquerres	2000	2.8%	4.1%	1.8%
Colombia	Cerrito	2000	7.5%	14.7%	2.1%
Colombia	Río de Oro	2000	18.2%	25.5%	12.4%
Colombia	Pamplona	2000	9.1%	13.0%	5.9%
Colombia	Girón	2000	12.2%	16.0%	9.0%
Colombia	Mongua	2000	10.9%	15.9%	7.5%
Colombia	Caldas	2000	15.8%	19.8%	12.0%
Colombia	Sincé	2000	15.5%	19.2%	12.1%
Colombia	Toro	2000	3.1%	6.8%	1.0%
Colombia	Recetor	2000	5.9%	13.7%	1.7%
Colombia	San Martín	2000	3.5%	5.6%	2.0%
Colombia	Monguí	2000	11.8%	16.2%	8.2%
Colombia	San José del Fragua	2000	11.6%	16.7%	7.2%
Colombia	Carmen de Carupa	2000	9.4%	15.2%	4.1%
Colombia	La Esperanza	2000	17.6%	27.4%	9.9%
Colombia	Copacabana	2000	17.4%	25.8%	10.9%
Colombia	Madrid	2000	11.3%	14.5%	8.6%
Colombia	Planadas	2000	10.2%	15.3%	6.2%
Colombia	Mompós	2000	11.4%	16.8%	7.1%
Colombia	Carurú	2000	15.1%	21.9%	9.3%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2000	5.9%	12.3%	2.0%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2000	10.5%	19.7%	3.9%
Colombia	San Juan de Pasto	2000	3.0%	3.7%	2.5%
Colombia	Los Córdoba	2000	12.5%	19.9%	6.8%
Colombia	Murillo	2000	10.8%	19.1%	4.6%
Colombia	La Florida	2000	2.8%	4.6%	1.5%
Colombia	Francisco Pizarro	2000	3.6%	6.6%	1.6%
Colombia	Peñol	2000	10.6%	18.8%	5.1%
Colombia	Santiago	2000	3.4%	6.8%	1.6%
Colombia	Sativanorte	2000	9.4%	15.6%	5.0%
Colombia	Rivera	2000	11.7%	18.6%	6.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Chiscas	2000	9.3%	14.8%	5.3%
Colombia	Santo Domingo de Silos	2000	5.1%	9.6%	2.1%
Colombia	Remolino	2000	9.4%	14.9%	5.5%
Colombia	San Miguel	2000	16.3%	25.7%	8.3%
Colombia	San Juan Nepomuceno	2000	12.4%	16.1%	9.3%
Colombia	Uramita	2000	11.3%	17.6%	6.3%
Colombia	Busbanza	2000	16.2%	22.4%	11.5%
Colombia	Jordán	2000	13.3%	22.5%	6.1%
Colombia	Necoclí	2000	14.1%	20.3%	8.4%
Colombia	San Juanito	2000	5.7%	11.0%	2.6%
Colombia	Valencia	2000	14.3%	19.5%	10.5%
Colombia	Calamar	2000	11.5%	17.1%	6.0%
Colombia	Santa Lucía	2000	9.8%	16.1%	4.4%
Colombia	Firavitoba	2000	13.6%	20.7%	8.0%
Colombia	San Carlos de Guaroa	2000	3.7%	7.2%	1.4%
Colombia	Marquetalia	2000	6.0%	11.8%	2.5%
Colombia	Florián	2000	12.9%	21.8%	6.5%
Colombia	Girardot	2000	10.1%	15.1%	6.4%
Colombia	Chita	2000	7.6%	15.7%	2.8%
Colombia	Sácama	2000	3.2%	7.7%	0.8%
Colombia	Rioblanco	2000	10.6%	17.5%	5.9%
Colombia	Pinillos	2000	12.4%	16.5%	9.1%
Colombia	Silvania	2000	10.2%	15.4%	6.2%
Colombia	Toguí	2000	15.2%	19.3%	11.3%
Colombia	Puerto Guzmán	2000	8.4%	12.9%	5.0%
Colombia	Barrancas	2000	28.7%	34.3%	23.2%
Colombia	La Merced	2000	2.3%	4.6%	0.9%
Colombia	Aratoca	2000	13.0%	23.8%	5.6%
Colombia	El Tablón de Gomez	2000	4.8%	6.8%	2.9%
Colombia	Charalá	2000	10.1%	16.3%	5.1%
Colombia	Belén	2000	3.1%	4.3%	2.1%
Colombia	Nocaima	2000	13.7%	20.9%	8.1%
Colombia	Jesús María	2000	10.7%	17.8%	5.4%
Colombia	Socorro	2000	13.6%	22.4%	6.4%
Colombia	Miraflores	2000	15.0%	24.1%	8.5%
Colombia	Tangua	2000	4.1%	6.8%	2.2%
Colombia	Nariño	2000	7.4%	14.1%	2.7%
Colombia	Gramalote	2000	13.7%	22.7%	6.5%
Colombia	Guaca	2000	10.6%	18.4%	4.9%
Colombia	San Estanislao de Kostka	2000	9.2%	13.5%	6.0%
Colombia	Pital	2000	16.1%	23.1%	10.2%
Colombia	Tena	2000	12.9%	21.4%	6.9%
Colombia	El Cerrito	2000	1.8%	3.2%	0.9%
Colombia	Sincelejo	2000	18.6%	20.8%	16.5%
Colombia	San Agustín	2000	6.8%	10.3%	4.3%
Colombia	San Calixto	2000	11.9%	18.8%	6.7%
Colombia	Matanza	2000	11.0%	18.0%	5.7%
Colombia	San Sebastian de Mariquita	2000	10.4%	15.5%	6.6%
Colombia	Santa Rosalía	2000	2.2%	3.5%	1.0%
Colombia	Cabuyaro	2000	4.1%	8.9%	1.5%
Colombia	Suaza	2000	10.2%	14.8%	5.9%
Colombia	Dosquebradas	2000	2.3%	4.3%	1.2%
Colombia	Trinidad	2000	1.9%	4.5%	0.6%
Colombia	Armenia	2000	13.0%	23.5%	5.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Apartadó	2000	12.3%	16.4%	8.4%
Colombia	Cartagena de Indias	2000	11.5%	13.4%	9.8%
Colombia	Chinú	2000	15.2%	18.9%	11.5%
Colombia	La Ceja	2000	10.5%	18.5%	5.7%
Colombia	Subachoque	2000	8.1%	13.7%	4.4%
Colombia	El Dovio	2000	5.9%	14.9%	1.7%
Colombia	Saravena	2000	2.8%	4.7%	1.4%
Colombia	Timbío	2000	11.7%	14.8%	9.0%
Colombia	Coyaima	2000	16.6%	24.5%	9.2%
Colombia	Puerto Nare	2000	11.6%	18.7%	6.2%
Colombia	Lebrija	2000	12.6%	19.5%	6.9%
Colombia	Enciso	2000	9.8%	14.2%	6.3%
Colombia	Anserma	2000	2.7%	5.6%	0.9%
Colombia	Anapoima	2000	11.8%	19.7%	6.0%
Colombia	Morroa	2000	18.9%	22.8%	15.2%
Colombia	Villeta	2000	14.3%	20.4%	8.9%
Colombia	San Carlos	2000	16.7%	23.5%	11.2%
Colombia	San Pedro de Cartago	2000	3.1%	4.4%	2.2%
Colombia	San Antonio del Tequendama	2000	10.4%	17.1%	5.7%
Colombia	Filandia	2000	2.1%	4.8%	0.5%
Colombia	Páramo	2000	13.1%	19.7%	7.5%
Colombia	Mahates	2000	9.8%	13.7%	6.7%
Colombia	Panqueba	2000	14.5%	19.5%	10.2%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	10.9%	16.1%	7.0%
Colombia	Güepsa	2000	15.9%	26.0%	8.7%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	14.1%	22.3%	7.0%
Colombia	La Virginia	2000	1.3%	4.1%	0.2%
Colombia	Puerto Gaitán	2000	4.5%	8.9%	2.1%
Colombia	Betania	2000	15.0%	25.3%	7.5%
Colombia	Cáchira	2000	12.5%	20.0%	6.5%
Colombia	Rosas	2000	10.8%	14.7%	8.2%
Colombia	Concordia	2000	12.0%	18.0%	7.2%
Colombia	Icononzo	2000	11.0%	18.3%	5.7%
Colombia	Zetaquirá	2000	17.2%	27.0%	9.2%
Colombia	El Colegio	2000	10.3%	17.7%	4.9%
Colombia	San Jacinto	2000	12.0%	16.5%	8.5%
Colombia	Tolú	2000	17.3%	25.7%	10.7%
Colombia	Sibundoy	2000	3.1%	5.3%	1.6%
Colombia	Facatativá	2000	7.7%	10.1%	5.7%
Colombia	Filadelfia	2000	5.7%	10.9%	2.5%
Colombia	Bagadó	2000	36.1%	45.8%	27.8%
Colombia	Caramanta	2000	3.4%	7.6%	1.3%
Colombia	El Santuario	2000	8.3%	12.9%	4.6%
Colombia	Medellín	2000	10.9%	12.5%	9.7%
Colombia	Arauca	2000	2.6%	4.5%	1.3%
Colombia	La Dorada	2000	9.1%	14.4%	5.2%
Colombia	Bucaramanga	2000	12.4%	14.6%	10.7%
Colombia	Teruel	2000	11.5%	19.1%	6.4%
Colombia	Chimá	2000	10.8%	22.0%	4.2%
Colombia	Topaipí	2000	9.0%	17.9%	3.2%
Colombia	Villa de San Diego de Ubaté	2000	10.2%	13.6%	7.2%
Colombia	Arjona	2000	10.3%	14.3%	6.5%
Colombia	Guavatá	2000	11.1%	15.6%	7.5%
Colombia	San José de la Montaña	2000	9.7%	18.0%	3.7%
Colombia	Circasia	2000	2.5%	5.1%	0.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Campamento	2000	12.4%	17.9%	7.9%
Colombia	Villavicencio	2000	3.7%	4.7%	2.7%
Colombia	Tenza	2000	19.7%	27.8%	12.9%
Colombia	San Pablo	2000	12.3%	18.8%	7.4%
Colombia	Chivolo	2000	16.9%	22.8%	11.4%
Colombia	Santa Fe de Antioquia	2000	11.9%	16.4%	8.0%
Colombia	Potosí	2000	3.9%	5.2%	2.6%
Colombia	Manzanares	2000	7.3%	14.2%	2.7%
Colombia	Carmen de Apicalá	2000	13.4%	19.2%	8.9%
Colombia	Jenesano	2000	17.0%	22.9%	11.9%
Colombia	Urrao	2000	11.8%	17.7%	7.3%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	11.5%	18.2%	6.1%
Colombia	Valledupar	2000	27.2%	29.8%	24.4%
Colombia	Paya	2000	4.4%	10.9%	1.4%
Colombia	Apulo	2000	13.1%	22.5%	5.8%
Colombia	Vélez	2000	11.6%	16.6%	7.4%
Colombia	Tibirita	2000	19.9%	30.6%	11.3%
Colombia	Florencia	2000	13.9%	16.4%	11.6%
Colombia	Castilla la Nueva	2000	3.0%	5.6%	1.3%
Colombia	California	2000	9.0%	19.4%	2.1%
Colombia	Mapiripán	2000	6.9%	10.8%	3.9%
Colombia	Urumita	2000	33.9%	41.5%	27.0%
Colombia	Santuario	2000	2.1%	5.6%	0.5%
Colombia	Morales	2000	11.0%	13.9%	8.0%
Colombia	San Pablo de Borbur	2000	13.7%	18.8%	8.0%
Colombia	Jamundí	2000	3.7%	5.3%	2.1%
Colombia	Motavita	2000	12.9%	19.5%	7.4%
Colombia	Acandí	2000	43.5%	55.1%	32.2%
Colombia	La Paz	2000	28.1%	33.8%	22.7%
Colombia	Ramiriquí	2000	15.1%	23.3%	8.9%
Colombia	Izá	2000	14.3%	23.5%	7.4%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	4.0%	10.4%	0.7%
Colombia	Cepitá	2000	14.0%	25.4%	5.8%
Colombia	Labranzagrande	2000	6.5%	12.3%	2.9%
Colombia	Hacarí	2000	12.5%	22.5%	6.4%
Colombia	Mogotes	2000	11.8%	21.4%	5.7%
Colombia	Jericó	2000	10.1%	17.7%	4.5%
Colombia	Macanal	2000	17.6%	25.0%	12.4%
Colombia	Andalucía	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.8%
Colombia	Bojacá	2000	11.5%	15.6%	7.9%
Colombia	Sibaté	2000	11.3%	14.8%	8.4%
Colombia	Curillo	2000	12.4%	18.1%	7.3%
Colombia	Cartagena del Chairá	2000	13.3%	17.1%	10.0%
Colombia	Cogua	2000	9.4%	14.4%	5.6%
Colombia	Armero	2000	17.4%	29.1%	9.1%
Colombia	Gámbita	2000	13.1%	21.4%	6.7%
Colombia	Chocontá	2000	11.9%	18.8%	6.6%
Colombia	Soracá	2000	13.0%	19.4%	8.1%
Colombia	La Tebaida	2000	2.6%	7.1%	0.6%
Colombia	Carolina del Príncipe	2000	13.2%	25.8%	4.0%
Colombia	Usiacurí	2000	2.8%	5.6%	1.3%
Colombia	Toledo	2000	11.4%	18.0%	6.4%
Colombia	Hispania	2000	13.2%	25.4%	5.5%
Colombia	Salgar	2000	13.0%	18.2%	8.6%
Colombia	Rovira	2000	17.6%	28.2%	9.6%
Colombia	Tubará	2000	4.5%	9.1%	1.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Choachí	2000	4.1%	7.0%	1.7%
Colombia	Leticia	2000	8.4%	10.5%	6.1%
Colombia	Gachalá	2000	11.6%	19.2%	5.2%
Colombia	Convención	2000	15.3%	22.5%	10.0%
Colombia	Quipile	2000	11.7%	20.1%	4.9%
Colombia	Villa del Rosario	2000	10.6%	13.9%	7.4%
Colombia	El Copey	2000	19.4%	26.8%	12.0%
Colombia	Bosconia	2000	23.5%	33.8%	15.1%
Colombia	Amalfi	2000	12.7%	21.4%	6.9%
Colombia	Polonuevo	2000	2.9%	4.0%	1.9%
Colombia	Suaita	2000	14.1%	21.7%	8.3%
Colombia	Dagua	2000	1.8%	4.5%	0.7%
Colombia	Paratebueno	2000	6.6%	15.0%	2.1%
Colombia	Arboledas	2000	13.0%	22.7%	5.6%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2000	10.2%	19.6%	3.7%
Colombia	Cantagallo	2000	12.2%	19.9%	6.6%
Colombia	Pueblo Rico	2000	13.5%	23.8%	6.0%
Colombia	Itagüí	2000	13.8%	16.3%	11.4%
Colombia	Purificación	2000	13.1%	17.8%	9.4%
Colombia	Sasaima	2000	11.5%	17.8%	6.7%
Colombia	Yavaraté	2000	14.2%	23.1%	7.3%
Colombia	Neira	2000	3.3%	5.8%	1.4%
Colombia	Tipacoque	2000	12.0%	21.9%	5.2%
Colombia	Sabanagrande	2000	4.2%	7.4%	2.1%
Colombia	Mesetas	2000	3.8%	7.1%	1.5%
Colombia	Bajo Baudó	2000	47.2%	56.4%	39.2%
Colombia	Concepción	2000	10.6%	16.6%	5.7%
Colombia	San Carlos	2000	13.3%	21.5%	6.2%
Colombia	Morelia	2000	12.6%	19.8%	7.3%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	11.7%	20.8%	5.8%
Colombia	La Uribe	2000	4.3%	8.2%	2.1%
Colombia	Heliconia	2000	14.0%	24.7%	6.6%
Colombia	Margarita	2000	14.2%	20.6%	8.6%
Colombia	Herveo	2000	5.7%	11.3%	2.0%
Colombia	Granada	2000	3.2%	5.2%	1.9%
Colombia	La Vega	2000	8.8%	10.9%	6.9%
Colombia	Paz de Ariporo	2000	2.1%	4.0%	1.0%
Colombia	Simacota	2000	11.4%	19.4%	6.1%
Colombia	Viracachá	2000	14.9%	23.5%	7.8%
Colombia	Aguada	2000	12.7%	21.9%	5.8%
Colombia	Pitalito	2000	10.6%	13.1%	8.5%
Colombia	La Chorrera	2000	10.3%	13.9%	6.8%
Colombia	Los Andes	2000	2.7%	3.9%	1.8%
Colombia	La Calera	2000	9.6%	12.2%	7.5%
Colombia	Monterrey	2000	3.3%	7.6%	1.1%
Colombia	Arboletes	2000	11.5%	18.3%	6.7%
Colombia	Mosquera	2000	4.2%	8.5%	1.7%
Colombia	Trujillo	2000	4.0%	9.5%	1.0%
Colombia	Granada	2000	11.4%	19.4%	5.7%
Colombia	Belalcázar	2000	1.6%	4.4%	0.4%
Colombia	Valle de San Juan	2000	17.4%	32.4%	7.8%
Colombia	Falán	2000	13.5%	20.8%	8.5%
Colombia	El Castillo	2000	3.7%	7.0%	1.7%
Colombia	Capitanejo	2000	16.2%	24.7%	9.3%
Colombia	Sutatenza	2000	21.0%	29.4%	13.6%
Colombia	Aracataca	2000	15.0%	17.8%	12.5%
Colombia	Alcalá	2000	2.0%	4.6%	0.6%
Colombia	Vigía del Fuerte	2000	32.0%	45.2%	19.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Gachantivá	2000	15.4%	24.9%	7.0%
Colombia	La Jagua de Ibirico	2000	24.4%	33.5%	16.5%
Colombia	Pácora	2000	2.2%	5.2%	0.7%
Colombia	Risaralda	2000	1.2%	2.2%	0.6%
Colombia	Bituima	2000	11.1%	21.0%	4.7%
Colombia	La Playa de Belén	2000	11.7%	20.5%	6.0%
Colombia	Caloto	2000	8.5%	10.5%	7.1%
Colombia	Manta	2000	16.4%	27.5%	7.7%
Colombia	Inzá	2000	9.0%	11.5%	6.4%
Colombia	Gutiérrez	2000	4.5%	9.9%	1.4%
Colombia	San José de Cúcuta	2000	11.6%	13.8%	9.7%
Colombia	Salamina	2000	1.8%	4.0%	0.5%
Colombia	Pereira	2000	2.0%	3.3%	1.1%
Colombia	Galán	2000	10.6%	22.1%	3.8%
Colombia	Guática	2000	3.8%	8.2%	1.2%
Colombia	Paz de Río	2000	15.0%	25.1%	6.8%
Colombia	Toledo	2000	11.5%	20.8%	4.8%
Colombia	Chachagüí	2000	2.8%	5.3%	1.3%
Colombia	Gómez Plata	2000	13.3%	25.4%	5.7%
Colombia	Chinchiná	2000	5.3%	10.5%	1.8%
Colombia	Campoalegre	2000	13.9%	19.6%	9.2%
Colombia	La Celia	2000	3.0%	7.6%	0.5%
Colombia	Magüí	2000	3.2%	4.7%	2.1%
Colombia	Guacarí	2000	1.4%	2.6%	0.6%
Colombia	San Gil	2000	12.8%	17.0%	9.1%
Colombia	Támesis	2000	7.2%	12.7%	3.3%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	12.3%	18.9%	7.1%
Colombia	Nátaga	2000	13.5%	20.3%	8.1%
Colombia	Sáchica	2000	15.4%	21.6%	9.7%
Colombia	Remedios	2000	11.5%	17.9%	6.2%
Colombia	Buenos Aires	2000	8.3%	11.5%	5.2%
Colombia	Purísima	2000	13.1%	20.1%	8.0%
Colombia	Colosó	2000	15.8%	24.4%	9.1%
Colombia	Belmira	2000	12.8%	21.8%	6.1%
Colombia	Sopó	2000	13.3%	16.6%	9.6%
Colombia	San Vicente	2000	10.9%	18.4%	5.4%
Colombia	El Espino	2000	16.6%	21.1%	12.0%
Colombia	Fortul	2000	2.8%	5.4%	1.4%
Colombia	Olaya	2000	12.0%	17.3%	7.2%
Colombia	Anolaima	2000	11.3%	19.1%	5.8%
Colombia	Anzoátegui	2000	9.1%	13.9%	5.2%
Colombia	Ráquira	2000	16.1%	22.7%	9.7%
Colombia	Ansermanuevo	2000	3.3%	6.9%	0.9%
Colombia	La Guadalupe	2000	8.9%	18.5%	2.7%
Colombia	Roncesvalles	2000	9.9%	18.8%	3.7%
Colombia	Tocancipá	2000	13.0%	17.7%	8.4%
Colombia	Roberto Payán	2000	3.5%	5.3%	2.1%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	1.4%	3.0%	0.5%
Colombia	Aldana	2000	4.7%	6.3%	3.4%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	14.0%	22.5%	6.6%
Colombia	Calarcá	2000	3.3%	5.8%	1.8%
Colombia	Zaragoza	2000	12.7%	18.8%	8.5%
Colombia	Guachetá	2000	12.2%	19.3%	6.3%
Colombia	Molagavita	2000	14.2%	24.2%	6.3%
Colombia	Guapí	2000	6.5%	9.6%	4.3%
Colombia	Puerto Lleras	2000	4.3%	8.4%	1.5%
Colombia	El Carmen de Chucurí	2000	11.1%	18.6%	6.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Aguachica	2000	24.0%	28.5%	19.6%
Colombia	Villagómez	2000	10.7%	20.0%	4.8%
Colombia	Tibacuy	2000	13.5%	22.1%	7.7%
Colombia	Alto Baudó	2000	48.7%	53.9%	43.0%
Colombia	La Capilla	2000	18.9%	27.2%	12.0%
Colombia	Armenia	2000	1.7%	2.7%	1.1%
Colombia	San Juan de Arama	2000	3.5%	6.8%	1.4%
Colombia	Nilo	2000	14.1%	21.5%	8.6%
Colombia	Zipacón	2000	11.9%	19.0%	7.0%
Colombia	Manizales	2000	3.0%	4.2%	2.0%
Colombia	Zapatoca	2000	10.9%	22.0%	3.6%
Colombia	Calamar	2000	10.4%	15.3%	6.4%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	4.0%	6.3%	2.2%
Colombia	Miraflores	2000	10.9%	15.1%	7.3%
Colombia	Los Patios	2000	14.5%	23.1%	6.6%
Colombia	Floridablanca	2000	12.2%	14.3%	9.9%
Colombia	San Andrés de Sotavento	2000	14.2%	18.0%	11.0%
Colombia	Palestina	2000	6.2%	11.9%	2.4%
Colombia	Pesca	2000	12.7%	18.3%	8.1%
Colombia	Chimichagua	2000	18.6%	24.5%	13.3%
Colombia	San José del Guaviare	2000	10.9%	12.8%	9.2%
Colombia	Prado	2000	10.8%	16.2%	5.8%
Colombia	Pedraza	2000	15.0%	20.8%	9.6%
Colombia	Natagaima	2000	14.4%	19.1%	10.4%
Colombia	Chaparral	2000	16.6%	21.9%	11.6%
Colombia	Curumaní	2000	24.9%	32.4%	17.9%
Colombia	Villarrica	2000	8.0%	17.1%	2.4%
Colombia	Villa de Leyva	2000	18.0%	27.0%	10.5%
Colombia	Piedras	2000	11.8%	18.9%	5.7%
Colombia	Cisneros	2000	11.2%	24.2%	3.3%
Colombia	Génova	2000	4.4%	9.7%	1.0%
Colombia	Padilla	2000	9.4%	10.8%	8.0%
Colombia	Manaure	2000	26.9%	39.8%	15.6%
Colombia	Vegachí	2000	11.7%	21.9%	4.0%
Colombia	Tuta	2000	13.3%	22.7%	6.9%
Colombia	Santo Domingo	2000	12.6%	23.4%	5.5%
Colombia	Marmato	2000	1.6%	3.2%	0.7%
Colombia	Barbacoas	2000	4.1%	5.7%	2.7%
Colombia	Caldonó	2000	10.4%	14.2%	7.8%
Colombia	San Luis de Gaceno	2000	15.6%	31.6%	5.8%
Colombia	Don Matías	2000	11.6%	15.8%	8.0%
Colombia	San Bernardo del Viento	2000	12.6%	20.9%	6.1%
Colombia	Tarazá	2000	12.4%	17.8%	8.0%
Colombia	Carepa	2000	12.4%	18.0%	7.9%
Colombia	Sotará	2000	12.0%	14.7%	9.8%
Colombia	San Luis de Cubarral	2000	3.6%	6.7%	1.8%
Colombia	El Doncello	2000	12.7%	16.2%	9.8%
Colombia	Repelón	2000	6.1%	9.6%	3.0%
Colombia	Uribia	2000	34.3%	39.6%	29.3%
Colombia	Palmas del Socorro	2000	13.0%	23.1%	5.4%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2000	11.5%	14.7%	8.5%
Colombia	Lenguazaque	2000	10.6%	14.9%	6.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Utica	2000	9.7%	17.1%	4.6%
Colombia	Buriticá	2000	9.1%	17.1%	4.1%
Colombia	Guarandá	2000	17.4%	26.4%	9.9%
Colombia	Mosquera	2000	11.2%	14.1%	8.9%
Colombia	Puerto Carreño	2000	2.5%	3.6%	1.5%
Colombia	Santafé de Bogotá	2000	4.4%	5.7%	3.3%
Colombia	Lourdes	2000	13.2%	21.0%	7.1%
Colombia	Chinavita	2000	16.3%	22.7%	10.1%
Colombia	San Pablo	2000	4.3%	6.4%	2.7%
Colombia	Briceño	2000	13.9%	24.1%	6.4%
Colombia	Sonsón	2000	8.0%	12.0%	5.1%
Colombia	Puerto López	2000	4.0%	6.7%	1.8%
Colombia	Fusagasugá	2000	11.5%	15.2%	8.2%
Colombia	Tello	2000	4.4%	8.9%	1.8%
Colombia	El Guacamayo	2000	11.3%	20.2%	5.3%
Colombia	Onzaga	2000	10.0%	16.1%	5.2%
Colombia	Aranzazú	2000	3.3%	7.4%	0.8%
Colombia	Tarquí	2000	15.3%	22.3%	9.1%
Colombia	La Cumbre	2000	1.4%	3.3%	0.4%
Colombia	Montenegro	2000	2.0%	4.8%	0.7%
Colombia	Elías	2000	14.5%	21.4%	9.0%
Colombia	Vista Hermosa	2000	3.9%	7.1%	1.9%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	13.5%	26.7%	5.5%
Colombia	Somondoco	2000	17.7%	27.5%	10.2%
Colombia	Salamina	2000	10.7%	15.6%	6.5%
Colombia	Ocamonte	2000	11.0%	20.0%	5.1%
Colombia	Toluviejo	2000	16.7%	23.6%	10.9%
Colombia	El Peñon	2000	10.2%	19.1%	3.8%
Colombia	Zarzal	2000	2.0%	3.9%	0.9%
Colombia	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	14.5%	19.7%	10.0%
Colombia	Puerto Tejada	2000	5.3%	7.0%	3.9%
Colombia	Palestina	2000	13.7%	22.5%	8.1%
Colombia	El Banco	2000	13.6%	20.0%	8.5%
Colombia	Encino	2000	9.1%	16.4%	3.7%
Colombia	Cumbitara	2000	2.7%	4.7%	1.2%
Colombia	Almaguer	2000	10.8%	13.9%	7.9%
Colombia	Mitú	2000	15.8%	19.0%	12.3%
Colombia	Iles	2000	5.1%	7.3%	3.3%
Colombia	Cerinza	2000	9.3%	16.6%	4.1%
Colombia	Guadalajara de Buga	2000	1.6%	2.7%	0.8%
Colombia	Guamal	2000	15.6%	20.6%	10.3%
Colombia	Barichara	2000	12.8%	23.3%	6.0%
Colombia	Riosucio	2000	41.0%	47.3%	33.5%
Colombia	Villagarzón	2000	4.9%	9.2%	2.1%
Colombia	Suratá	2000	11.3%	22.7%	2.9%
Colombia	Arcabuco	2000	12.3%	20.0%	6.0%
Colombia	Planeta Rica	2000	12.8%	16.2%	9.7%
Colombia	Pivijay	2000	15.0%	21.2%	10.3%
Colombia	Nuquí	2000	39.0%	51.7%	28.3%
Colombia	Quetame	2000	7.5%	14.4%	2.9%
Colombia	Fonseca	2000	25.5%	35.1%	17.7%
Colombia	Galeras	2000	18.7%	24.6%	13.8%
Colombia	Obando	2000	2.1%	4.4%	0.8%
Colombia	Santa Rosa del Sur	2000	11.9%	16.6%	8.5%
Colombia	Solano	2000	12.8%	16.0%	10.0%
Colombia	Altamira	2000	13.2%	18.5%	9.1%
Colombia	Pupiales	2000	4.3%	5.7%	3.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Soplaviento	2000	8.7%	12.3%	5.4%
Colombia	Imués	2000	4.1%	5.7%	3.0%
Colombia	Betulia	2000	11.7%	19.3%	5.4%
Colombia	Pandi	2000	10.8%	19.0%	4.7%
Colombia	Juan de Acosta	2000	4.4%	10.3%	1.4%
Colombia	Cachipay	2000	12.5%	20.6%	6.2%
Colombia	Guachucal	2000	3.3%	4.9%	2.0%
Colombia	Villahermosa	2000	11.0%	15.1%	7.4%
Colombia	Puerto Caicedo	2000	5.6%	9.6%	2.9%
Colombia	Tarso	2000	10.9%	20.5%	4.5%
Colombia	Sampués	2000	21.5%	26.0%	17.3%
Colombia	Mercaderes	2000	6.4%	8.8%	4.5%
Colombia	Jardín	2000	9.4%	15.8%	4.5%
Colombia	Funza	2000	7.5%	11.5%	4.6%
Colombia	Umbita	2000	14.7%	23.0%	8.5%
Colombia	Pelaya	2000	24.0%	32.3%	16.9%
Colombia	El Águila	2000	4.2%	10.6%	1.1%
Colombia	San José de Miranda	2000	12.3%	19.2%	7.3%
Colombia	Bugalagrande	2000	2.0%	4.0%	0.8%
Colombia	Chivor	2000	16.8%	30.9%	7.3%
Colombia	Rionegro	2000	13.4%	17.4%	9.9%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	7.9%	11.3%	5.5%
Colombia	Ocaña	2000	13.1%	17.9%	9.5%
Colombia	Toca	2000	12.1%	18.7%	6.7%
Colombia	Astrea	2000	18.6%	28.6%	10.6%
Colombia	Cumaribo	2000	2.3%	3.3%	1.6%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2000	17.0%	20.9%	13.8%
Colombia	Hatillo de Loba	2000	12.4%	17.8%	7.5%
Colombia	Ebéjico	2000	13.4%	22.7%	5.8%
Colombia	Támara	2000	3.2%	7.8%	0.9%
Colombia	Santa Helena del Opón	2000	11.5%	23.5%	3.9%
Colombia	Caicedo	2000	11.7%	21.0%	4.5%
Colombia	Orito	2000	5.9%	8.6%	3.7%
Colombia	Cañasgordas	2000	9.9%	17.9%	4.8%
Colombia	Cereté	2000	14.8%	16.9%	12.6%
Colombia	Achí	2000	14.8%	21.3%	9.0%
Colombia	Tauramena	2000	1.8%	3.8%	0.7%
Colombia	Garagoa	2000	15.7%	18.8%	12.5%
Colombia	Malambo	2000	3.1%	4.3%	2.2%
Colombia	Sesquilé	2000	13.2%	22.8%	6.0%
Colombia	Gama	2000	12.3%	23.0%	5.1%
Colombia	Sora	2000	14.8%	21.2%	9.6%
Colombia	Coello	2000	12.8%	19.9%	6.4%
Colombia	San Diego	2000	22.4%	30.3%	15.2%
Colombia	Unguía	2000	46.5%	54.2%	37.9%
Colombia	Sabana de Torres	2000	12.9%	24.2%	5.6%
Colombia	Flandes	2000	10.1%	15.0%	6.1%
Colombia	Turbaco	2000	10.2%	12.3%	8.1%
Colombia	Baranoa	2000	3.5%	5.8%	1.9%
Colombia	Pachavita	2000	17.8%	23.7%	12.3%
Colombia	Puerto Escondido	2000	12.0%	17.8%	7.3%
Colombia	Balboa	2000	2.4%	6.2%	0.5%
Colombia	Colón	2000	4.4%	6.4%	2.8%
Colombia	Cucaita	2000	10.9%	15.3%	6.7%
Colombia	Balboa	2000	7.2%	9.5%	5.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Santo Tomás	2000	5.0%	8.4%	2.5%
Colombia	Viterbo	2000	1.2%	3.3%	0.3%
Colombia	San Vicente del Caguán	2000	11.6%	14.1%	9.2%
Colombia	Durania	2000	15.3%	24.5%	7.7%
Colombia	Taraira	2000	12.2%	21.9%	5.7%
Colombia	Sotaquirá	2000	12.4%	22.9%	5.1%
Colombia	Sutatausa	2000	9.4%	17.2%	4.4%
Colombia	Papunahua	2000	13.9%	20.5%	8.8%
Colombia	Fosca	2000	8.5%	15.9%	3.7%
Colombia	Jambaló	2000	8.2%	11.8%	5.5%
Colombia	La Estrella	2000	14.5%	18.7%	10.8%
Colombia	El Paso	2000	23.0%	33.0%	15.2%
Colombia	Chíquiza	2000	16.0%	24.8%	8.9%
Colombia	Canalete	2000	12.8%	18.1%	8.4%
Colombia	Puerto Concordia	2000	9.0%	13.4%	5.4%
Colombia	Isnos	2000	10.1%	14.0%	7.0%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	9.6%	16.7%	4.1%
Colombia	El Dorado	2000	3.3%	7.3%	1.2%
Colombia	Quípama	2000	10.4%	19.0%	3.8%
Colombia	Hato	2000	10.8%	20.3%	3.7%
Colombia	Cucunubá	2000	10.1%	15.6%	6.1%
Colombia	Cacahual	2000	8.6%	12.7%	5.4%
Colombia	Abriaquí	2000	9.8%	19.2%	3.2%
Colombia	La Llanada	2000	2.7%	4.1%	1.6%
Colombia	Chipatá	2000	12.8%	20.4%	6.5%
Colombia	Chipaque	2000	8.8%	15.4%	3.9%
Colombia	San Sebastián de Buenavista	2000	14.3%	19.8%	9.7%
Colombia	Lérida	2000	16.6%	25.8%	10.5%
Colombia	San Pedro	2000	16.3%	22.8%	10.5%
Colombia	Yarumal	2000	10.6%	15.2%	6.9%
Colombia	Villavieja	2000	8.2%	18.3%	3.5%
Colombia	Otanche	2000	12.2%	21.5%	5.6%
Colombia	López de Micay	2000	8.0%	12.2%	4.7%
Colombia	Moñitos	2000	11.9%	18.6%	7.0%
Colombia	Puerto Triunfo	2000	12.8%	19.9%	7.4%
Colombia	Nimaima	2000	11.9%	18.2%	7.0%
Colombia	Honda	2000	13.7%	23.3%	5.9%
Colombia	Riofrío	2000	3.8%	8.8%	1.2%
Colombia	Guatavita	2000	14.9%	24.3%	6.6%
Colombia	Suan	2000	11.3%	18.7%	4.7%
Colombia	Arboleda	2000	3.5%	5.3%	1.9%
Colombia	Chalán	2000	17.6%	28.3%	8.6%
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	9.3%	18.2%	3.7%
Colombia	Socha	2000	12.7%	21.2%	6.9%
Colombia	Puracé	2000	9.1%	13.7%	5.7%
Colombia	San Lorenzo	2000	3.2%	5.9%	1.7%
Colombia	Piedecuesta	2000	16.3%	20.4%	13.0%
Colombia	Guarne	2000	15.5%	21.5%	10.8%
Colombia	Montelíbano	2000	13.9%	17.3%	10.9%
Colombia	Líbano	2000	11.5%	15.7%	7.6%
Colombia	San Bernardino de Sahagún	2000	13.5%	17.4%	9.9%
Colombia	Palermo	2000	17.0%	24.2%	10.6%
Colombia	Buenavista	2000	15.0%	23.1%	8.4%
Colombia	Caparrapí	2000	7.9%	11.7%	4.8%
Colombia	Providencia	2000	3.5%	4.7%	2.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Contratación	2000	11.1%	21.2%	4.0%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2000	12.5%	15.6%	9.1%
Colombia	Quinchía	2000	5.8%	10.0%	3.0%
Colombia	Ibagué	2000	16.1%	18.6%	13.8%
Colombia	San Benito	2000	14.6%	25.0%	7.5%
Colombia	Sativasur	2000	12.4%	20.9%	6.6%
Colombia	Montebello	2000	12.0%	18.1%	6.9%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	10.2%	15.8%	5.9%
Colombia	Ayapel	2000	14.0%	17.7%	10.3%
Colombia	Chaguaní	2000	14.8%	27.1%	6.2%
Colombia	La Plata	2000	10.1%	13.1%	7.1%
Colombia	Montecristo	2000	14.7%	20.5%	10.0%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2000	8.0%	11.6%	5.2%
Colombia	Santander de Quilichao	2000	8.8%	10.8%	7.1%
Colombia	Albania	2000	13.7%	20.9%	7.7%
Colombia	San Francisco	2000	3.2%	5.2%	1.6%
Colombia	Pulí	2000	13.9%	27.9%	4.2%
Colombia	Fresno	2000	10.4%	17.4%	5.4%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2000	12.5%	20.5%	6.9%
Colombia	Soledad	2000	3.1%	3.5%	2.6%
Colombia	Valle de San José	2000	12.7%	21.0%	6.9%
Colombia	Ginebra	2000	1.6%	3.3%	0.6%
Colombia	San Pelayo	2000	14.8%	19.3%	10.1%
Colombia	San Eduardo	2000	17.4%	28.0%	8.0%
Colombia	El Cocuy	2000	10.7%	18.8%	5.5%
Colombia	Momil	2000	13.7%	22.0%	7.8%
Colombia	Teorama	2000	13.3%	17.7%	9.7%
Colombia	Junín	2000	11.5%	21.1%	5.2%
Colombia	Santa Sofía	2000	13.1%	20.4%	6.6%
Colombia	Murindó	2000	26.1%	43.3%	11.9%
Colombia	Maní	2000	2.3%	5.2%	0.7%
Colombia	Coromoro	2000	9.5%	16.7%	4.7%
Colombia	Pequé	2000	10.7%	17.5%	5.4%
Colombia	Abejorral	2000	9.5%	15.8%	4.8%
Colombia	La Pedrera	2000	10.5%	15.0%	6.9%
Colombia	Pauna	2000	15.3%	21.4%	10.0%
Colombia	Saboyá	2000	11.9%	16.9%	7.4%
Colombia	La Gloria	2000	18.4%	29.0%	9.5%
Colombia	Bucarasica	2000	9.4%	15.5%	4.3%
Colombia	Gigante	2000	13.6%	22.6%	6.3%
Colombia	Quebradanegra	2000	11.0%	18.1%	6.4%
Colombia	Chía	2000	12.3%	15.6%	9.3%
Colombia	Vergara	2000	10.6%	16.8%	5.1%
Colombia	Acevedo	2000	12.2%	19.4%	6.7%
Colombia	El Playón	2000	13.0%	22.4%	5.4%
Colombia	Montería	2000	15.1%	17.0%	13.2%
Colombia	Cumaral	2000	4.5%	9.1%	2.3%
Colombia	Covarachía	2000	14.0%	22.2%	8.3%
Colombia	Río Viejo	2000	14.4%	20.9%	8.0%
Colombia	Caimito	2000	15.2%	24.2%	8.4%
Colombia	Entreríos	2000	13.7%	24.5%	5.6%
Colombia	La Vega	2000	11.1%	18.5%	5.9%
Colombia	Mutiscua	2000	7.4%	13.1%	3.2%
Colombia	Barrancabermeja	2000	10.0%	13.5%	7.2%
Colombia	Pisba	2000	9.6%	19.7%	3.2%
Colombia	Guayabetal	2000	6.3%	13.9%	2.2%
Colombia	Santa María	2000	18.7%	35.5%	7.4%
Colombia	El Cairo	2000	11.0%	22.4%	4.0%
Colombia	Cucutilla	2000	11.1%	20.5%	3.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Caucasia	2000	11.1%	16.0%	7.3%
Colombia	Riosucio	2000	6.6%	11.2%	3.2%
Colombia	Tamalameque	2000	18.2%	26.5%	10.7%
Colombia	Boyacá	2000	13.3%	19.6%	8.4%
Colombia	Yumbo	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Colombia	Nemocón	2000	10.5%	15.6%	6.2%
Colombia	Venecia	2000	10.9%	19.1%	5.6%
Colombia	Corinto	2000	7.8%	11.1%	4.8%
Colombia	Santa Cruz de Lorica	2000	11.5%	14.4%	8.9%
Colombia	Tausa	2000	8.3%	16.2%	3.2%
Colombia	Pailitas	2000	22.2%	35.0%	13.0%
Colombia	La Mesa	2000	11.9%	18.6%	7.1%
Colombia	Samacá	2000	11.9%	16.3%	8.4%
Colombia	Aguazul	2000	1.6%	3.5%	0.6%
Colombia	San Mateo	2000	14.7%	21.6%	9.6%
Colombia	Agrado	2000	16.9%	25.0%	10.0%
Colombia	Paicol	2000	12.6%	19.0%	7.1%
Colombia	Girardota	2000	16.2%	25.2%	9.2%
Colombia	Andes	2000	12.2%	18.0%	8.0%
Colombia	Pinchote	2000	12.7%	16.1%	9.3%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2000	3.8%	5.4%	2.4%
Colombia	Cubará	2000	9.0%	20.9%	3.5%
Colombia	Gamarra	2000	17.7%	27.3%	9.0%
Colombia	Manaure	2000	26.5%	31.7%	21.9%
Colombia	Melgar	2000	11.0%	16.6%	6.3%
Colombia	Samaná	2000	3.8%	6.4%	2.0%
Colombia	Quibdó	2000	47.2%	50.8%	44.0%
Colombia	Bahía Solano	2000	43.2%	53.3%	32.3%
Colombia	Florencia	2000	5.9%	8.6%	3.8%
Colombia	Guacamayas	2000	14.6%	19.7%	9.8%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	13.7%	23.1%	6.9%
Colombia	San Miguel de Sema	2000	13.1%	17.9%	8.7%
Colombia	Ortega	2000	16.6%	23.3%	11.4%
Colombia	El Carmen de Atrato	2000	35.1%	42.9%	27.7%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2000	9.0%	11.8%	6.6%
Colombia	Puente Nacional	2000	11.4%	17.6%	6.2%
Colombia	Alvarado	2000	11.7%	19.5%	5.1%
Colombia	Samaniego	2000	3.9%	5.2%	2.7%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2000	5.1%	8.5%	2.7%
Colombia	Nariño	2000	10.7%	18.0%	5.6%
Colombia	Tibaná	2000	17.0%	24.7%	10.6%
Colombia	Tadó	2000	51.3%	55.9%	46.6%
Colombia	Bojayá	2000	44.6%	53.7%	34.6%
Colombia	Guayatá	2000	17.1%	26.6%	8.4%
Colombia	Pajarito	2000	5.7%	13.3%	1.7%
Colombia	Campohermoso	2000	18.8%	27.9%	11.3%
Colombia	Beltrán	2000	15.3%	27.4%	6.4%
Colombia	Guamal	2000	3.5%	5.9%	1.9%
Colombia	Caldas	2000	13.5%	20.4%	7.6%
Colombia	San José de Pare	2000	15.2%	20.6%	10.6%
Colombia	Ciénaga de Oro	2000	13.6%	18.0%	10.4%
Colombia	Santa Catalina	2000	8.1%	12.5%	4.8%
Colombia	Amagá	2000	10.1%	14.8%	6.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	5.5%	15.4%	1.2%
Colombia	Yotoco	2000	3.0%	6.4%	0.9%
Colombia	Istmina	2000	51.3%	57.2%	44.9%
Colombia	San Juan del Cesar	2000	28.8%	36.2%	21.5%
Colombia	Charta	2000	9.4%	17.3%	4.2%
Colombia	Consacá	2000	5.7%	8.6%	3.4%
Colombia	El Calvario	2000	5.5%	10.8%	2.2%
Colombia	Agustín Codazzi	2000	22.7%	27.4%	18.6%
Colombia	Chigorodó	2000	14.3%	20.6%	9.7%
Colombia	El Encanto	2000	10.3%	14.2%	6.8%
Colombia	Nuevo Colón	2000	16.5%	22.8%	11.0%
Colombia	Fuente de Oro	2000	3.3%	6.5%	1.5%
Colombia	El Cantón del San Pablo	2000	50.0%	56.7%	42.4%
Colombia	Barranquilla	2000	3.4%	3.9%	2.9%
Colombia	Puerto Wilches	2000	12.0%	19.6%	6.2%
Colombia	Baraya	2000	8.9%	19.1%	2.8%
Colombia	Popayán	2000	10.5%	11.6%	9.4%
Colombia	San Vicente de Chucurí	2000	11.3%	19.1%	6.4%
Colombia	El Tambo	2000	3.2%	5.1%	1.8%
Colombia	Barranca de Upía	2000	3.7%	7.8%	1.0%
Colombia	Málaga	2000	8.0%	11.9%	4.9%
Colombia	Talaigua Nuevo	2000	11.3%	16.6%	6.0%
Colombia	Tibú	2000	13.4%	19.4%	8.5%
Colombia	Cáqueza	2000	10.8%	17.4%	6.2%
Colombia	Cumbal	2000	3.3%	4.9%	2.2%
Colombia	Yalí	2000	11.5%	23.2%	4.0%
Colombia	Tasco	2000	14.2%	21.9%	8.5%
Colombia	Angostura	2000	12.2%	20.7%	6.2%
Colombia	Buenaventura	2000	2.6%	3.7%	1.6%
Colombia	Guicán	2000	10.2%	17.2%	5.1%
Colombia	Gachancipá	2000	13.6%	19.1%	8.4%
Colombia	Albán	2000	10.7%	18.8%	5.6%
Colombia	Chiriguaná	2000	20.5%	29.5%	13.6%
Colombia	Espinal	2000	13.3%	16.5%	10.4%
Colombia	Marinilla	2000	10.5%	14.1%	7.7%
Colombia	San Juan de Urabá	2000	10.9%	17.6%	6.0%
Colombia	San Juan de Río Seco	2000	14.5%	26.7%	6.0%
Colombia	Palmira	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.9%
Colombia	Leiva	2000	4.6%	6.7%	2.9%
Colombia	La Peña	2000	9.2%	16.0%	4.4%
Colombia	Neiva	2000	12.2%	14.4%	10.6%
Colombia	Mallama	2000	2.8%	4.7%	1.5%
Colombia	La Argentina	2000	6.8%	11.2%	3.3%
Colombia	Tumaco	2000	3.2%	4.5%	2.0%
Colombia	Chinácota	2000	10.0%	16.8%	4.4%
Colombia	Sevilla	2000	2.1%	3.9%	0.9%
Colombia	Chitaraque	2000	14.8%	24.7%	7.9%
Colombia	Ventaquemada	2000	11.8%	18.2%	7.3%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2000	3.9%	7.0%	2.0%
Colombia	Pradera	2000	2.0%	3.3%	1.1%
Colombia	Suárez	2000	5.7%	9.1%	3.4%
Colombia	Páez	2000	9.7%	14.4%	6.4%
Colombia	San Miguel de Mocoa	2000	5.5%	8.8%	2.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Suárez	2000	13.7%	18.0%	10.3%
Colombia	La Macarena	2000	6.2%	10.0%	3.6%
Colombia	Córdoba	2000	14.1%	22.4%	7.7%
Colombia	Calima	2000	3.4%	7.2%	1.0%
Colombia	Ipiales	2000	4.1%	5.3%	3.0%
Colombia	Sogamoso	2000	10.1%	15.1%	6.6%
Colombia	Guateque	2000	21.9%	31.8%	13.4%
Colombia	La Paz	2000	12.4%	21.4%	6.1%
Colombia	Guamo	2000	15.3%	23.0%	9.5%
Colombia	Santiago de Cali	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.9%
Colombia	Puerto Leguízamo	2000	9.6%	15.1%	5.4%
Colombia	Pacho	2000	10.3%	18.7%	4.9%
Colombia	Titiribí	2000	11.4%	19.8%	5.0%
Colombia	San Antero	2000	11.5%	18.4%	7.1%
Colombia	Beteitiva	2000	14.0%	22.6%	6.8%
Colombia	Vianí	2000	11.9%	22.3%	5.3%
Colombia	Cajicá	2000	11.4%	14.1%	8.9%
Colombia	Santa Ana	2000	14.4%	18.6%	11.0%
Colombia	El Retorno	2000	10.7%	14.1%	7.6%
Colombia	Policarpa	2000	3.7%	5.7%	2.1%
Colombia	San Antonio	2000	15.4%	22.2%	9.4%
Colombia	Linares	2000	4.8%	7.3%	3.0%
Colombia	Concepción	2000	11.9%	21.4%	5.1%
Colombia	Guasca	2000	15.4%	24.9%	7.5%
Colombia	Liborina	2000	9.4%	17.8%	4.0%
Colombia	Sardinata	2000	10.6%	17.8%	6.1%
Colombia	Zambrano	2000	14.0%	22.0%	7.1%
Colombia	Envigado	2000	12.0%	14.7%	9.7%
Colombia	Une	2000	7.9%	14.8%	3.3%
Colombia	Bello	2000	17.1%	21.2%	14.1%
Colombia	El Paujíl	2000	14.1%	19.3%	10.3%
Colombia	Lejanías	2000	3.5%	6.5%	1.6%
Colombia	San Jerónimo	2000	15.9%	23.8%	9.2%
Colombia	Santa Marta (Dist. Esp.)	2000	18.1%	21.1%	15.0%
Colombia	María la Baja	2000	13.6%	18.3%	9.5%
Colombia	Versalles	2000	6.4%	16.8%	1.6%
Colombia	Arauquita	2000	2.9%	5.9%	1.2%
Colombia	Carcasí	2000	11.4%	19.7%	5.8%
Colombia	Floresta	2000	11.5%	14.6%	8.5%
Colombia	Salazar de las Palmas	2000	11.8%	20.0%	5.4%
Colombia	Santa Cruz	2000	3.0%	4.7%	1.8%
Colombia	Pueblo Viejo	2000	16.3%	22.4%	11.0%
Colombia	Aguadas	2000	5.0%	11.0%	1.6%
Colombia	Fundación	2000	16.3%	20.2%	13.0%
Colombia	Barranco Minas	2000	7.6%	9.7%	5.6%
Colombia	Sucre	2000	9.6%	14.8%	5.4%
Colombia	San Zenón	2000	12.9%	19.2%	7.8%
Colombia	Moniquirá	2000	13.0%	17.2%	9.2%
Colombia	El Piñón	2000	14.7%	18.7%	10.8%
Colombia	Albania	2000	13.0%	21.7%	7.1%
Colombia	Garzón	2000	13.6%	16.8%	10.6%
Colombia	Pana Pana	2000	8.7%	12.8%	5.4%
Colombia	La Cruz	2000	2.7%	4.4%	1.4%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2000	13.2%	18.0%	9.4%
Colombia	Villapinzón	2000	12.4%	20.9%	5.6%
Colombia	Ulloa	2000	2.1%	4.8%	0.7%
Colombia	Yopal	2000	1.6%	3.1%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Herrán	2000	7.5%	16.4%	2.3%
Colombia	Milán	2000	13.4%	18.7%	8.7%
Colombia	Juradó	2000	35.9%	50.5%	22.0%
Colombia	Pore	2000	1.8%	4.5%	0.4%
Colombia	Luruaco	2000	4.7%	9.1%	1.8%
Colombia	Nunchía	2000	1.9%	4.7%	0.4%
Colombia	Ospina	2000	3.4%	4.5%	2.2%
Colombia	Dolores	2000	8.8%	17.4%	3.1%
Colombia	Buesaco	2000	3.1%	5.0%	1.6%
Colombia	Fúquene	2000	10.5%	16.2%	6.0%
Colombia	Patía	2000	9.8%	12.5%	7.7%
Colombia	San Jose de Ocune	2000	2.9%	3.8%	2.0%
Colombia	La Sierra	2000	10.6%	13.6%	8.0%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	14.3%	24.9%	6.9%
Colombia	Morales	2000	13.6%	17.8%	9.8%
Colombia	El Tarra	2000	13.3%	22.0%	6.6%
Colombia	Guatapé	2000	12.9%	20.9%	5.9%
Colombia	Puerto Inírida	2000	8.1%	9.8%	6.4%
Colombia	Los Palmitos	2000	19.5%	24.7%	14.2%
Colombia	Jericó	2000	9.8%	14.9%	5.4%
Colombia	El Carmen	2000	17.0%	26.2%	9.4%
Colombia	Pueblorrico	2000	11.4%	21.8%	4.8%
Colombia	Timaná	2000	13.2%	16.9%	10.4%
Colombia	Topagá	2000	12.6%	15.4%	10.1%
Colombia	Zipaquirá	2000	10.1%	13.9%	6.9%
Colombia	La Montañita	2000	16.6%	20.6%	13.1%
Colombia	Hato Corozal	2000	2.4%	5.0%	1.0%
Colombia	Cartago	2000	1.7%	2.8%	0.9%
Colombia	Oiba	2000	11.8%	17.1%	6.7%
Colombia	Cáceres	2000	12.7%	19.8%	7.6%
Colombia	San Pedro de Urabá	2000	11.3%	16.9%	6.6%
Colombia	El Tambo	2000	8.5%	11.2%	6.4%
Colombia	Colón	2000	3.4%	5.9%	1.8%
Colombia	Viotá	2000	11.6%	20.5%	5.5%
Colombia	González	2000	13.8%	25.8%	5.7%
Colombia	Condoto	2000	49.3%	56.9%	41.4%
Colombia	Barranco de Loba	2000	11.8%	15.7%	8.8%
Colombia	Oporapa	2000	12.2%	18.5%	6.0%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2000	12.0%	18.1%	6.8%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2000	3.7%	5.4%	2.3%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2000	13.3%	21.0%	6.9%
Colombia	Florida	2000	5.0%	8.1%	3.1%
Colombia	Simijaca	2000	14.0%	21.3%	7.5%
Colombia	Curití	2000	12.1%	19.7%	5.8%
Colombia	Guaitarilla	2000	3.9%	5.1%	3.0%
Colombia	Páez	2000	15.5%	27.2%	7.1%
Colombia	El Peñon	2000	9.3%	15.6%	4.5%
Colombia	San Onofre	2000	15.9%	21.9%	11.3%
Colombia	Machetá	2000	14.6%	22.5%	7.2%
Colombia	Yaguará	2000	16.8%	28.4%	7.3%
Colombia	La Victoria	2000	1.9%	4.0%	0.7%
Colombia	Dabeiba	2000	12.0%	17.8%	6.2%
Colombia	Puerto Salgar	2000	9.6%	15.7%	5.4%
Colombia	Guayabal de Siquima	2000	11.5%	21.0%	5.6%
Colombia	Caicedonia	2000	3.2%	7.8%	0.7%
Colombia	Macaravita	2000	15.4%	24.5%	8.4%
Colombia	Nechí	2000	12.5%	20.7%	6.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Sucre	2000	14.9%	24.6%	7.8%
Colombia	Tabio	2000	8.9%	14.3%	4.8%
Colombia	Novita	2000	50.5%	59.9%	41.1%
Colombia	El Rosario	2000	3.8%	6.3%	2.0%
Colombia	Puerres	2000	5.2%	7.6%	3.5%
Colombia	Cabrera	2000	4.8%	11.1%	1.4%
Colombia	Oicatá	2000	16.2%	18.9%	13.3%
Colombia	Tame	2000	2.6%	4.2%	1.4%
Colombia	El Guamo	2000	13.9%	20.9%	8.0%
Colombia	La Uvita	2000	13.8%	21.0%	8.0%
Colombia	Cajibío	2000	13.0%	16.5%	10.2%
Colombia	La Primavera	2000	2.5%	3.7%	1.6%
Colombia	Puerto Parra	2000	11.0%	19.4%	4.8%
Colombia	Corozal	2000	18.7%	23.0%	14.3%
Colombia	Susa	2000	10.8%	17.5%	5.0%
Colombia	Ancuyá	2000	4.4%	5.8%	3.4%
Colombia	Totoró	2000	11.5%	14.5%	8.5%
Colombia	Alpujarra	2000	11.4%	20.0%	4.8%
Colombia	Suesca	2000	11.6%	19.2%	6.2%
Colombia	Piendamó	2000	12.1%	14.7%	9.7%
Colombia	Caracolí	2000	10.5%	18.7%	4.5%
Colombia	San Felipe	2000	8.5%	16.4%	3.6%
Colombia	Confinés	2000	12.0%	20.9%	5.8%
Colombia	Puerto Boy- acá	2000	13.0%	18.1%	8.6%
Colombia	La Belleza	2000	10.5%	17.1%	5.6%
Colombia	Puerto Berrío	2000	11.3%	16.2%	7.0%
Colombia	Arbeláez	2000	12.0%	19.6%	6.4%
Colombia	Cerro de San Antonio	2000	13.7%	19.8%	7.5%
Colombia	Ovejas	2000	15.0%	21.9%	8.7%
Colombia	Barbosa	2000	11.6%	16.3%	7.2%
Colombia	Galapa	2000	4.2%	6.6%	2.7%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2000	16.9%	27.2%	9.1%
Colombia	Candelaria	2000	7.4%	13.1%	3.7%
Colombia	Cajamarca	2000	12.6%	18.6%	8.0%
Colombia	Orocúe	2000	2.6%	6.1%	0.8%
Colombia	Pijao	2000	5.1%	12.7%	1.1%
Colombia	Landázuri	2000	11.8%	18.0%	7.3%
Colombia	Almeida	2000	15.1%	23.8%	9.6%
Colombia	Salento	2000	4.4%	9.3%	1.7%
Colombia	La Victoria	2000	9.7%	19.3%	2.8%
Colombia	Soatá	2000	8.6%	16.5%	3.3%
Colombia	Cabrera	2000	13.4%	23.6%	6.1%
Colombia	Piojó	2000	5.2%	11.0%	1.7%
Colombia	Chimá	2000	13.3%	19.7%	8.3%
Colombia	Funes	2000	6.5%	9.9%	3.7%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	31.9%	39.7%	24.9%
Colombia	Miranda	2000	8.1%	12.2%	5.5%
Colombia	San Luis de Palenque	2000	2.2%	5.3%	0.5%
Colombia	Chámeza	2000	9.3%	17.4%	3.4%
Colombia	Ubalá	2000	13.9%	25.4%	5.4%
Colombia	Acacías	2000	3.8%	5.7%	2.2%
Colombia	Mistrato	2000	7.5%	14.6%	2.7%
Colombia	Altos del Rosario	2000	11.3%	15.8%	7.5%
Colombia	San Marcos	2000	16.0%	20.9%	11.8%
Colombia	San Antonio de Palmito	2000	15.3%	22.7%	9.4%
Colombia	San Rafael	2000	12.8%	20.9%	6.5%
Colombia	Cunday	2000	10.7%	18.3%	5.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Belén	2000	11.3%	21.1%	4.1%
Colombia	Alejandría	2000	11.9%	21.6%	4.7%
Colombia	Vijes	2000	1.7%	3.4%	0.5%
Colombia	Majagual	2000	16.6%	23.2%	11.2%
Colombia	Victoria	2000	4.5%	7.1%	2.5%
Colombia	Berbeo	2000	17.8%	28.8%	7.9%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2000	5.2%	9.4%	2.5%
Colombia	Anzá	2000	13.1%	22.2%	5.5%
Colombia	Ituango	2000	11.6%	17.4%	6.2%
Colombia	San José del Palmar	2000	16.2%	27.5%	8.4%
Colombia	Apía	2000	1.6%	4.3%	0.5%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2000	9.1%	12.4%	6.5%
Colombia	Labateca	2000	10.2%	15.1%	6.7%
Colombia	Mirití-Paraná	2000	11.8%	18.1%	6.8%
Colombia	Jerusalén	2000	13.8%	24.7%	5.3%
Colombia	Toribío	2000	8.8%	11.7%	6.4%
Colombia	Guataquí	2000	13.0%	25.1%	5.2%
Colombia	Pamplonita	2000	10.9%	14.6%	8.0%
Colombia	Sabaneta	2000	13.7%	16.7%	10.8%
Colombia	Belén de Umbria	2000	1.1%	2.2%	0.5%
Colombia	Valle del Guamuez	2000	5.9%	8.9%	3.5%
Colombia	Riohacha	2000	29.3%	33.0%	25.7%
Colombia	Rionegro	2000	13.6%	18.9%	9.4%
Colombia	Guaduas	2000	11.3%	19.4%	6.5%
Colombia	Bolívar	2000	9.4%	11.7%	7.2%
Colombia	Casabianca	2000	10.7%	17.7%	5.2%
Colombia	Sandoná	2000	5.1%	7.6%	3.1%
Colombia	Santiago	2000	15.5%	26.9%	6.4%
Colombia	Cota	2000	6.7%	9.6%	4.6%
Colombia	Cocorná	2000	11.6%	20.8%	5.2%
Colombia	Frontino	2000	12.2%	20.4%	6.2%
Colombia	Vetas	2000	8.1%	16.4%	2.0%
Colombia	Ragonvalia	2000	9.5%	18.8%	3.3%
Colombia	Coper	2000	12.5%	21.9%	5.6%
Colombia	Saladoblanco	2000	9.1%	14.8%	4.9%
Colombia	Boavita	2000	15.0%	23.3%	8.0%
Colombia	Ambalema	2000	16.4%	24.9%	9.1%
Colombia	Gachetá	2000	15.5%	29.9%	6.0%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2000	8.5%	12.7%	5.6%
Colombia	La Palma	2000	7.6%	14.9%	3.1%
Colombia	Quimbaya	2000	1.6%	4.2%	0.5%
Colombia	Tuningua	2000	16.6%	26.0%	9.2%
Colombia	Nobsa	2000	8.8%	10.9%	6.9%
Colombia	Villanueva	2000	7.2%	11.8%	3.9%
Colombia	Belén de los Andaquies	2000	12.0%	17.3%	8.1%
Colombia	Tona	2000	9.1%	13.5%	4.9%
Colombia	Tierralta	2000	12.7%	16.2%	9.4%
Colombia	Maicao	2000	29.3%	33.6%	24.8%
Colombia	Medina	2000	10.4%	16.1%	5.6%
Colombia	Anorí	2000	13.6%	24.5%	6.7%
Colombia	El Zulia	2000	12.5%	19.3%	6.8%
Colombia	Becerril	2000	21.6%	32.0%	12.8%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2000	13.9%	23.7%	7.0%
Colombia	Abrego	2000	15.5%	27.9%	7.0%
Colombia	Retiro	2000	12.6%	16.7%	9.0%
Colombia	Plato	2000	15.8%	21.2%	11.9%
Colombia	Tarapacá	2000	10.5%	13.9%	7.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Saldaña	2000	18.4%	26.2%	12.4%
Colombia	Bochalema	2000	13.3%	20.4%	7.4%
Colombia	Cácota	2000	9.8%	16.0%	5.2%
Colombia	Algeciras	2000	12.5%	19.3%	7.5%
Colombia	San Sebastián	2000	5.8%	8.5%	3.8%
Colombia	Timbiquí	2000	7.6%	11.2%	4.9%
Colombia	Corrales	2000	16.3%	19.5%	13.5%
Colombia	Tutazá	2000	9.7%	19.0%	3.5%
Colombia	El Bagre	2000	12.9%	18.4%	8.0%
Colombia	La Tola	2000	3.5%	4.8%	2.3%
Colombia	San Martín de Loba	2000	11.7%	18.0%	6.7%
Colombia	Angelópolis	2000	14.0%	21.4%	8.4%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2000	1.9%	4.1%	0.6%
Colombia	Betulia	2000	11.3%	20.5%	5.0%
Colombia	Argelia	2000	6.2%	14.2%	1.8%
Colombia	El Molino	2000	20.9%	36.9%	9.0%
Colombia	San Luís	2000	16.4%	25.9%	9.2%
Colombia	Yacuanquer	2000	5.2%	8.8%	2.7%
Colombia	Tenjo	2000	8.8%	13.7%	5.4%
Colombia	El Litoral del San Juan	2000	41.4%	48.3%	34.8%
Colombia	Restrepo	2000	4.2%	5.7%	3.2%
Colombia	Supía	2000	3.4%	6.3%	1.6%
Colombia	Socorro	2017	16.4%	28.1%	7.9%
Colombia	Marulanda	2017	10.6%	22.0%	3.4%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2017	14.4%	28.3%	6.0%
Colombia	Cerrito	2017	12.5%	25.9%	3.4%
Colombia	Colón	2017	20.4%	28.5%	13.3%
Colombia	Barbosa	2017	17.0%	24.3%	11.5%
Colombia	Jamundí	2017	12.1%	17.8%	7.1%
Colombia	Toledo	2017	13.9%	22.4%	8.2%
Colombia	Iquira	2017	27.9%	38.8%	18.3%
Colombia	Muzo	2017	17.4%	29.1%	8.1%
Colombia	Sibundoy	2017	6.7%	10.2%	3.7%
Colombia	Tesalia	2017	28.1%	41.9%	14.3%
Colombia	Nocaima	2017	17.0%	26.6%	10.8%
Colombia	Soracá	2017	21.9%	30.9%	13.7%
Colombia	Soplaviento	2017	25.9%	35.2%	18.0%
Colombia	Los Santos	2017	18.2%	35.3%	9.0%
Colombia	Uramita	2017	15.4%	23.1%	9.5%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	12.5%	21.3%	6.2%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Osos	2017	17.4%	29.2%	8.4%
Colombia	Támesis	2017	11.5%	19.3%	5.9%
Colombia	Charta	2017	12.5%	22.6%	5.2%
Colombia	Campo de la Cruz	2017	26.1%	46.9%	10.9%
Colombia	Villamaría	2017	6.3%	10.5%	3.1%
Colombia	Curumaní	2017	31.7%	40.3%	24.0%
Colombia	Granada	2017	6.5%	8.8%	4.8%
Colombia	Icononzo	2017	18.5%	30.0%	9.2%
Colombia	Morelia	2017	21.9%	33.3%	13.1%
Colombia	Izá	2017	25.3%	46.5%	11.2%
Colombia	Garagoa	2017	25.2%	28.7%	22.4%
Colombia	Tinjacá	2017	24.3%	33.6%	16.6%
Colombia	San Marcos	2017	29.5%	38.8%	21.4%
Colombia	Cartagena del Chairá	2017	21.7%	25.7%	18.4%
Colombia	Villa de San Diego de Ubaté	2017	16.8%	21.8%	13.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Zetaquirá	2017	27.8%	43.7%	14.9%
Colombia	San Juan Nepomuceno	2017	31.6%	37.3%	26.3%
Colombia	Villa Caro	2017	15.4%	27.5%	6.4%
Colombia	La Guadalupe	2017	18.4%	32.2%	8.5%
Colombia	Tocancipá	2017	17.9%	25.6%	11.3%
Colombia	Motavita	2017	26.1%	40.5%	15.5%
Colombia	La Salina	2017	8.5%	20.0%	2.4%
Colombia	Santana	2017	22.2%	35.5%	12.3%
Colombia	Enciso	2017	12.2%	17.1%	8.4%
Colombia	Sotará	2017	54.9%	61.8%	47.1%
Colombia	Necoclí	2017	17.8%	25.7%	11.3%
Colombia	Tarazá	2017	17.2%	23.7%	11.7%
Colombia	El Carmen de Viboral	2017	13.6%	20.5%	8.5%
Colombia	Copacabana	2017	19.7%	30.7%	11.2%
Colombia	Venecia	2017	13.3%	22.8%	6.3%
Colombia	Sipí	2017	43.9%	61.7%	27.7%
Colombia	Mosquera	2017	24.9%	42.5%	11.5%
Colombia	San Pablo de Borbur	2017	22.5%	32.8%	14.5%
Colombia	Quibdó	2017	54.9%	57.6%	51.6%
Colombia	Pasca	2017	21.3%	35.8%	10.8%
Colombia	Taminango	2017	18.4%	31.6%	9.9%
Colombia	Chivor	2017	26.7%	54.0%	10.1%
Colombia	La Mesa	2017	14.6%	22.0%	8.9%
Colombia	Supía	2017	8.0%	14.8%	3.9%
Colombia	Aipe	2017	17.5%	28.7%	10.2%
Colombia	Coello	2017	17.6%	30.0%	8.2%
Colombia	Valparaíso	2017	9.3%	19.9%	3.5%
Colombia	Tunja	2017	18.2%	20.7%	15.9%
Colombia	Usiacurí	2017	11.8%	22.8%	5.5%
Colombia	Vélez	2017	15.9%	22.6%	10.8%
Colombia	Herveo	2017	14.3%	27.8%	5.0%
Colombia	San Gil	2017	15.4%	20.9%	11.4%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	12.4%	25.4%	4.4%
Colombia	Barrancas	2017	39.8%	46.3%	33.8%
Colombia	Yavaté	2017	16.7%	23.9%	9.8%
Colombia	Cimitarra	2017	14.6%	19.7%	9.9%
Colombia	Timbío	2017	54.3%	63.8%	44.4%
Colombia	Palestina	2017	38.0%	53.2%	24.8%
Colombia	Imués	2017	28.1%	35.3%	21.6%
Colombia	Fredonia	2017	14.2%	23.0%	7.7%
Colombia	San Sebastian de Mariquita	2017	17.9%	25.5%	11.4%
Colombia	La Paz	2017	37.3%	45.3%	30.2%
Colombia	Polonuevo	2017	11.7%	15.7%	8.1%
Colombia	Margarita	2017	34.0%	48.8%	19.7%
Colombia	Planadas	2017	23.6%	34.7%	15.0%
Colombia	Yondó	2017	16.0%	26.2%	8.2%
Colombia	Teorama	2017	16.1%	20.0%	12.3%
Colombia	Santiago	2017	9.1%	16.6%	4.8%
Colombia	Alto Baudó	2017	57.5%	63.1%	52.3%
Colombia	Tibasosa	2017	22.7%	29.6%	15.7%
Colombia	Páramo	2017	17.1%	26.2%	10.1%
Colombia	Briceño	2017	16.8%	30.7%	7.6%
Colombia	San Martín	2017	32.2%	45.4%	19.8%
Colombia	Roncesvalles	2017	18.5%	34.0%	6.8%
Colombia	Lloró	2017	55.0%	66.7%	44.0%
Colombia	Maripí	2017	21.0%	29.5%	13.4%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	14.5%	24.4%	6.7%
Colombia	Sincé	2017	26.8%	32.4%	21.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	23.4%	38.5%	11.8%
Colombia	Zapatoca	2017	15.4%	32.7%	4.6%
Colombia	San Joaquín	2017	15.3%	25.7%	7.9%
Colombia	Santa María	2017	31.5%	49.9%	14.4%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	4.1%	8.7%	1.5%
Colombia	Trujillo	2017	10.1%	22.7%	3.5%
Colombia	Rioblanco	2017	17.8%	26.7%	10.0%
Colombia	Río de Oro	2017	30.6%	39.0%	23.9%
Colombia	Carurú	2017	16.7%	22.4%	11.8%
Colombia	Guacamayas	2017	27.6%	35.7%	20.4%
Colombia	Nátaga	2017	33.8%	47.0%	21.8%
Colombia	Totoró	2017	46.5%	55.8%	36.7%
Colombia	Candelaria	2017	4.1%	6.6%	2.3%
Colombia	Olaya Herrera	2017	23.4%	29.6%	17.9%
Colombia	Puerto Asís	2017	6.7%	9.8%	4.3%
Colombia	San Pedro de Cartago	2017	23.1%	30.6%	17.4%
Colombia	San Roque	2017	13.4%	20.4%	7.8%
Colombia	Subachoque	2017	11.7%	20.6%	6.1%
Colombia	Agrado	2017	34.9%	50.1%	19.6%
Colombia	Apartadó	2017	16.2%	20.8%	11.7%
Colombia	Venadillo	2017	21.5%	29.6%	14.0%
Colombia	Morales	2017	51.7%	59.3%	42.4%
Colombia	Ponedera	2017	20.0%	34.3%	10.1%
Colombia	San José de la Montaña	2017	14.7%	27.4%	5.8%
Colombia	Jordán	2017	16.6%	29.5%	7.5%
Colombia	Monterrey	2017	3.6%	7.5%	1.3%
Colombia	Quetame	2017	13.3%	29.0%	4.9%
Colombia	Rosas	2017	42.8%	51.0%	35.0%
Colombia	El Tablón de Gomez	2017	19.4%	26.3%	13.4%
Colombia	Providencia	2017	27.3%	33.4%	21.0%
Colombia	Carepa	2017	16.3%	23.8%	10.4%
Colombia	Anzoátegui	2017	18.1%	26.9%	10.4%
Colombia	Araucita	2017	3.4%	6.3%	1.7%
Colombia	Peñol	2017	12.6%	22.3%	6.1%
Colombia	Rondón	2017	28.9%	43.5%	16.3%
Colombia	Tolú	2017	28.2%	39.6%	16.7%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2017	20.9%	24.3%	17.1%
Colombia	Momil	2017	30.5%	49.6%	15.9%
Colombia	Cicuco	2017	30.1%	36.2%	24.8%
Colombia	Betania	2017	20.5%	37.3%	10.2%
Colombia	Fómeque	2017	14.6%	26.4%	7.6%
Colombia	Betulia	2017	14.4%	24.6%	6.9%
Colombia	Puerto Carreño	2017	10.8%	13.6%	8.3%
Colombia	Santo Domingo de Silos	2017	9.1%	16.8%	4.0%
Colombia	La Ceja	2017	11.8%	20.9%	5.9%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	14.9%	27.6%	6.7%
Colombia	Topaipí	2017	14.2%	27.4%	5.9%
Colombia	Milán	2017	21.7%	27.7%	15.6%
Colombia	Dagua	2017	4.8%	9.9%	1.9%
Colombia	Puerto Nare	2017	17.4%	30.0%	8.3%
Colombia	Nilo	2017	22.2%	34.2%	12.8%
Colombia	Campamento	2017	14.4%	20.8%	9.9%
Colombia	Sapuyes	2017	29.7%	44.2%	18.0%
Colombia	Bituima	2017	16.6%	34.7%	6.9%
Colombia	Miraflores	2017	12.4%	16.3%	9.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Itagüí	2017	17.8%	20.9%	15.2%
Colombia	San Juan de Pasto	2017	20.3%	22.8%	17.7%
Colombia	San Pablo	2017	24.2%	37.1%	14.5%
Colombia	Cuaspad	2017	35.1%	41.3%	29.1%
Colombia	Circasia	2017	2.8%	5.9%	1.1%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	15.8%	23.0%	10.2%
Colombia	Jenesano	2017	27.5%	34.9%	20.8%
Colombia	El Dovio	2017	13.3%	32.0%	4.1%
Colombia	Fosca	2017	15.9%	30.7%	6.3%
Colombia	Colombia	2017	15.0%	24.5%	8.8%
Colombia	Molagavita	2017	16.2%	28.8%	7.4%
Colombia	Bajo Baudó	2017	55.0%	65.3%	44.9%
Colombia	San Sebastián de Buenavista	2017	29.4%	39.5%	20.1%
Colombia	Gachalá	2017	17.8%	31.6%	7.4%
Colombia	Mapiripán	2017	10.1%	14.9%	6.6%
Colombia	Busbanza	2017	27.7%	37.7%	20.7%
Colombia	Montería	2017	30.4%	33.1%	27.9%
Colombia	Ciénaga de Oro	2017	32.4%	41.4%	24.7%
Colombia	Cómbita	2017	21.2%	25.9%	17.0%
Colombia	Sutamarchán	2017	26.0%	31.5%	20.7%
Colombia	Giraldo	2017	12.2%	21.7%	5.4%
Colombia	La Vega	2017	35.3%	41.5%	29.7%
Colombia	Tabio	2017	13.6%	22.8%	7.2%
Colombia	Tenerife	2017	33.8%	48.9%	21.5%
Colombia	Mosquera	2017	12.8%	17.2%	9.8%
Colombia	Caldonó	2017	41.6%	51.2%	31.9%
Colombia	Medellín	2017	13.5%	15.0%	12.0%
Colombia	Puerto Gaitán	2017	9.6%	16.2%	6.0%
Colombia	Pitalito	2017	20.4%	22.9%	17.7%
Colombia	San Carlos	2017	16.8%	29.5%	8.5%
Colombia	Envigado	2017	15.6%	18.8%	12.9%
Colombia	Madrid	2017	16.0%	19.4%	12.9%
Colombia	Anolaima	2017	16.1%	27.3%	8.6%
Colombia	Guamal	2017	7.5%	12.0%	4.3%
Colombia	Sopó	2017	19.9%	25.8%	14.7%
Colombia	Armero	2017	25.0%	42.9%	12.7%
Colombia	Caldas	2017	19.9%	24.3%	15.5%
Colombia	Ataco	2017	22.4%	33.8%	13.8%
Colombia	Repelón	2017	20.1%	31.8%	10.5%
Colombia	Jesús María	2017	15.4%	25.3%	7.9%
Colombia	Tubará	2017	19.3%	37.6%	8.0%
Colombia	Güepsa	2017	21.5%	33.1%	11.4%
Colombia	Valledupar	2017	34.7%	37.8%	31.4%
Colombia	Chinú	2017	33.9%	41.6%	26.7%
Colombia	San Lorenzo	2017	20.2%	37.4%	10.0%
Colombia	Turbaco	2017	29.9%	35.0%	25.2%
Colombia	Apulo	2017	18.7%	32.9%	8.3%
Colombia	Buriticá	2017	12.3%	21.2%	5.9%
Colombia	Bojacá	2017	17.8%	24.8%	12.6%
Colombia	Becerril	2017	31.8%	42.6%	22.8%
Colombia	Útica	2017	13.2%	22.5%	6.1%
Colombia	Urrao	2017	16.1%	23.0%	10.6%
Colombia	Castilla la Nueva	2017	6.0%	10.4%	3.0%
Colombia	Cáchira	2017	15.4%	24.8%	8.5%
Colombia	La Capilla	2017	33.6%	45.6%	22.7%
Colombia	San Fernando	2017	31.7%	40.8%	22.7%
Colombia	Pácora	2017	5.2%	11.6%	2.0%
Colombia	Guavatá	2017	14.9%	19.9%	10.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	La Playa de Belén	2017	15.3%	25.2%	8.1%
Colombia	Gachantivá	2017	23.8%	39.6%	10.6%
Colombia	Sonsón	2017	13.5%	18.8%	8.9%
Colombia	Paratebueno	2017	9.9%	22.8%	3.7%
Colombia	Manizales	2017	5.5%	7.3%	3.9%
Colombia	Santiago	2017	22.7%	41.8%	9.2%
Colombia	Chivolo	2017	32.6%	43.8%	22.0%
Colombia	El Guacamayo	2017	15.8%	29.2%	7.3%
Colombia	La Cruz	2017	14.1%	21.9%	7.8%
Colombia	Cepitá	2017	14.7%	27.3%	6.4%
Colombia	San Alberto	2017	26.4%	36.4%	16.9%
Colombia	Galán	2017	15.5%	31.5%	5.8%
Colombia	La Dorada	2017	17.2%	26.5%	10.0%
Colombia	Coloso	2017	27.6%	43.7%	15.3%
Colombia	Pivijay	2017	31.4%	45.6%	19.8%
Colombia	San Antonio	2017	23.9%	33.0%	16.1%
Colombia	Restrepo	2017	5.6%	12.9%	1.3%
Colombia	Cerro de San Antonio	2017	32.2%	51.1%	17.8%
Colombia	Campoalegre	2017	24.0%	35.1%	15.7%
Colombia	Agua de Dios	2017	23.3%	35.2%	13.7%
Colombia	Chimichagua	2017	25.2%	34.0%	18.5%
Colombia	Togú	2017	24.9%	32.5%	18.1%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	28.5%	41.6%	17.9%
Colombia	Covarachía	2017	17.3%	26.8%	10.7%
Colombia	Turmequé	2017	25.9%	40.7%	12.9%
Colombia	Padilla	2017	37.4%	40.8%	34.7%
Colombia	Pailitas	2017	27.8%	43.3%	16.0%
Colombia	Puerto Nariño	2017	14.8%	20.1%	10.2%
Colombia	Dosquebradas	2017	3.3%	6.2%	1.7%
Colombia	La Chorrera	2017	14.8%	19.2%	11.7%
Colombia	Sotaquirá	2017	22.9%	43.3%	8.3%
Colombia	Timaná	2017	24.6%	29.6%	20.4%
Colombia	Recetor	2017	6.9%	16.7%	1.9%
Colombia	Suratá	2017	16.1%	33.4%	4.0%
Colombia	Guachetá	2017	21.1%	33.9%	10.5%
Colombia	Tibirita	2017	31.8%	49.3%	18.7%
Colombia	Santa Isabel	2017	22.1%	33.7%	11.7%
Colombia	Chachagüí	2017	15.0%	29.3%	7.3%
Colombia	La Celia	2017	7.6%	21.9%	1.4%
Colombia	Abrego	2017	18.9%	35.0%	9.3%
Colombia	Campohermoso	2017	27.2%	38.7%	17.7%
Colombia	Cogua	2017	14.7%	22.6%	9.3%
Colombia	Belén	2017	18.0%	24.4%	12.8%
Colombia	Chocontá	2017	19.9%	29.8%	13.0%
Colombia	Galeras	2017	33.9%	43.7%	26.1%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Cabal	2017	7.8%	16.7%	3.2%
Colombia	Roberto Payán	2017	25.7%	33.5%	18.4%
Colombia	Tangua	2017	27.5%	43.9%	15.1%
Colombia	Riofrío	2017	9.5%	22.8%	3.0%
Colombia	María la Baja	2017	35.6%	45.0%	27.8%
Colombia	Santa Marta (Dist. Esp.)	2017	32.1%	37.4%	26.8%
Colombia	Pereira	2017	2.9%	4.9%	1.7%
Colombia	Nuevo Colón	2017	28.1%	37.2%	20.2%
Colombia	Guarandá	2017	33.1%	51.1%	17.6%
Colombia	Buenos Aires	2017	37.8%	51.9%	24.8%
Colombia	Paz de Río	2017	24.3%	42.3%	10.9%
Colombia	Calarcá	2017	2.6%	4.5%	1.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Pelaya	2017	32.6%	45.1%	23.1%
Colombia	La Jagua de Ibirico	2017	31.5%	43.2%	20.3%
Colombia	Risaralda	2017	2.8%	5.0%	1.5%
Colombia	Mompós	2017	30.3%	43.9%	19.0%
Colombia	Suan	2017	28.9%	50.5%	12.7%
Colombia	Santa Rosalía	2017	8.7%	11.9%	5.5%
Colombia	Titiribí	2017	14.2%	25.6%	5.9%
Colombia	San Miguel de Sema	2017	20.2%	26.5%	13.7%
Colombia	San José de Cúcuta	2017	15.6%	17.9%	13.4%
Colombia	San Benito	2017	19.1%	31.0%	9.9%
Colombia	El Carmen de Atrato	2017	42.3%	52.4%	33.1%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	22.8%	34.6%	12.7%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	18.7%	32.3%	9.0%
Colombia	Nunchía	2017	2.3%	5.6%	0.8%
Colombia	Montebello	2017	16.1%	22.4%	9.7%
Colombia	San Calixto	2017	15.8%	23.8%	9.2%
Colombia	Chinchiná	2017	8.9%	19.1%	3.0%
Colombia	Pauna	2017	24.5%	34.1%	16.6%
Colombia	Andalucía	2017	2.8%	4.2%	1.7%
Colombia	Gómez Plata	2017	16.6%	31.9%	6.8%
Colombia	Mesetas	2017	7.5%	12.4%	4.2%
Colombia	Cáqueza	2017	13.6%	23.0%	7.9%
Colombia	Mitú	2017	17.2%	19.9%	14.8%
Colombia	San Antonio del Tequendama	2017	15.5%	24.9%	8.4%
Colombia	La Florida	2017	20.3%	33.5%	11.3%
Colombia	Vegachí	2017	15.0%	30.1%	5.6%
Colombia	Panqueba	2017	24.9%	32.4%	18.8%
Colombia	Santo Domingo	2017	16.4%	30.2%	6.3%
Colombia	Santa Ana	2017	29.1%	37.3%	21.6%
Colombia	La Pedrera	2017	15.2%	20.1%	11.1%
Colombia	Papunahua	2017	16.5%	22.9%	11.7%
Colombia	Barbacoas	2017	25.9%	34.5%	18.8%
Colombia	Leticia	2017	13.5%	17.1%	10.3%
Colombia	San Bernardo del Viento	2017	29.7%	48.1%	14.4%
Colombia	Concordia	2017	14.6%	21.4%	9.3%
Colombia	San Vicente	2017	13.9%	23.2%	7.0%
Colombia	Puerto Escondido	2017	30.2%	44.2%	18.0%
Colombia	Cravo Norte	2017	8.1%	13.5%	4.0%
Colombia	El Carmen de Bolívar	2017	31.5%	38.0%	25.1%
Colombia	Corinto	2017	24.0%	32.7%	17.1%
Colombia	Santa Cruz de Lorica	2017	29.8%	36.5%	24.0%
Colombia	Simití	2017	29.7%	39.5%	19.6%
Colombia	Tota	2017	26.8%	35.2%	20.0%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	2.2%	4.0%	0.9%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2017	16.9%	24.5%	10.7%
Colombia	La Belleza	2017	15.2%	25.2%	8.2%
Colombia	Villagarzón	2017	4.9%	9.5%	2.1%
Colombia	Macanal	2017	30.6%	43.4%	20.8%
Colombia	Cartagena de Indias	2017	32.1%	35.1%	29.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Pinchote	2017	15.7%	19.7%	12.5%
Colombia	Saravena	2017	3.0%	4.3%	1.9%
Colombia	Socha	2017	20.8%	37.9%	10.1%
Colombia	San Mateo	2017	25.8%	36.1%	17.1%
Colombia	Alcalá	2017	2.7%	6.5%	0.8%
Colombia	Toro	2017	6.1%	12.5%	2.1%
Colombia	Tello	2017	7.5%	14.7%	3.1%
Colombia	Dabeiba	2017	15.9%	23.0%	10.2%
Colombia	Suaita	2017	20.7%	31.2%	12.3%
Colombia	Zipacón	2017	17.7%	28.2%	10.3%
Colombia	San Andrés de Cuerquia	2017	14.6%	25.8%	5.4%
Colombia	Timbiquí	2017	33.6%	41.2%	26.9%
Colombia	Isnos	2017	22.4%	30.4%	16.3%
Colombia	Francisco Pizarro	2017	25.0%	40.4%	13.5%
Colombia	Manta	2017	27.5%	45.3%	13.1%
Colombia	La Cumbre	2017	3.6%	7.4%	1.3%
Colombia	Ventaquemada	2017	22.1%	32.7%	14.4%
Colombia	Maceo	2017	14.9%	27.4%	5.6%
Colombia	Palestina	2017	11.3%	22.2%	4.6%
Colombia	Mongua	2017	22.4%	29.9%	16.1%
Colombia	Remedios	2017	15.3%	24.6%	9.4%
Colombia	Ocamonte	2017	14.9%	26.4%	6.4%
Colombia	Abejorral	2017	13.9%	23.1%	7.2%
Colombia	La Macarena	2017	9.3%	12.9%	6.0%
Colombia	Natagaima	2017	21.8%	28.5%	16.4%
Colombia	Paipa	2017	22.6%	37.9%	11.4%
Colombia	Chaparral	2017	23.1%	30.0%	16.8%
Colombia	Toledo	2017	14.9%	27.3%	7.0%
Colombia	Magüí	2017	22.5%	31.3%	15.4%
Colombia	Lebrija	2017	15.2%	24.4%	7.5%
Colombia	Villa de Leyva	2017	27.1%	41.6%	14.6%
Colombia	San Juanito	2017	11.2%	19.6%	5.7%
Colombia	San Pedro	2017	3.9%	7.1%	1.7%
Colombia	Bucaramanga	2017	13.2%	15.0%	11.4%
Colombia	Roldanillo	2017	6.1%	12.0%	2.1%
Colombia	Entreríos	2017	19.1%	34.7%	7.9%
Colombia	San Carlos de Guaroa	2017	7.5%	13.4%	4.2%
Colombia	Túquerres	2017	24.6%	35.2%	16.4%
Colombia	Guamal	2017	32.4%	43.5%	22.3%
Colombia	Arauca	2017	3.2%	4.7%	2.1%
Colombia	Zarzal	2017	3.4%	6.1%	1.7%
Colombia	Mirití-Paraná	2017	16.1%	21.4%	10.7%
Colombia	Barbosa	2017	13.8%	19.3%	9.1%
Colombia	Espinal	2017	21.8%	25.2%	18.2%
Colombia	El Calvario	2017	10.6%	19.8%	4.3%
Colombia	Arcabuco	2017	24.6%	40.3%	11.3%
Colombia	Nuquí	2017	45.6%	59.5%	32.5%
Colombia	Gámeza	2017	28.2%	33.5%	23.3%
Colombia	San Luis de Gaceno	2017	19.7%	40.1%	6.8%
Colombia	Bagadó	2017	41.1%	52.6%	31.1%
Colombia	Gachetá	2017	24.7%	50.4%	9.1%
Colombia	Sibaté	2017	16.6%	20.6%	13.3%
Colombia	Balboa	2017	5.1%	14.3%	1.0%
Colombia	Santa Rosa del Sur	2017	29.9%	36.7%	23.2%
Colombia	Carolina del Príncipe	2017	17.3%	36.7%	5.8%
Colombia	Yumbo	2017	2.3%	3.3%	1.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Salento	2017	5.0%	10.8%	2.0%
Colombia	Hispania	2017	16.2%	32.3%	6.5%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	30.2%	49.5%	15.4%
Colombia	Charalá	2017	13.9%	21.4%	7.6%
Colombia	San Jacinto	2017	29.1%	38.1%	20.1%
Colombia	San Pedro de los Milagros	2017	20.3%	32.3%	11.4%
Colombia	Cachipay	2017	16.3%	27.8%	8.4%
Colombia	Aguazul	2017	1.7%	3.3%	0.8%
Colombia	Planeta Rica	2017	31.7%	42.3%	21.9%
Colombia	El Cerrito	2017	3.9%	6.9%	2.0%
Colombia	El Castillo	2017	7.0%	11.6%	3.7%
Colombia	Convención	2017	18.5%	25.0%	12.9%
Colombia	Ituango	2017	17.5%	29.0%	10.1%
Colombia	Morales	2017	28.8%	36.0%	21.3%
Colombia	Tarso	2017	13.4%	24.3%	5.7%
Colombia	Quipile	2017	16.3%	28.1%	6.7%
Colombia	Santa Rosa de Viterbo	2017	21.5%	27.0%	16.7%
Colombia	El Carmen de Chucurí	2017	14.1%	22.5%	7.8%
Colombia	Aldana	2017	39.2%	49.4%	30.9%
Colombia	Sabanagrande	2017	14.2%	25.1%	6.7%
Colombia	Lourdes	2017	19.8%	31.1%	11.4%
Colombia	Amalfi	2017	15.8%	26.4%	8.1%
Colombia	El Tambo	2017	20.3%	30.6%	12.1%
Colombia	Chita	2017	13.6%	27.6%	4.9%
Colombia	Tibacuy	2017	20.7%	36.4%	10.6%
Colombia	Caloto	2017	36.7%	42.2%	31.6%
Colombia	Los Patios	2017	13.3%	22.9%	5.7%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	40.7%	50.4%	32.2%
Colombia	Chinácota	2017	12.6%	19.9%	5.8%
Colombia	Cabuyaro	2017	6.7%	13.2%	3.1%
Colombia	Novita	2017	59.9%	71.3%	48.1%
Colombia	Sasaima	2017	15.7%	23.3%	8.9%
Colombia	Abriaquí	2017	15.2%	29.9%	5.1%
Colombia	San Rafael	2017	15.3%	24.0%	8.4%
Colombia	Pinillos	2017	34.2%	43.7%	24.0%
Colombia	Pedraza	2017	32.6%	45.1%	21.4%
Colombia	Concepción	2017	14.4%	22.6%	7.9%
Colombia	Curillo	2017	19.9%	29.3%	11.1%
Colombia	Salamina	2017	25.5%	36.0%	15.6%
Colombia	Saboyá	2017	21.2%	30.9%	12.9%
Colombia	Quebradanegra	2017	16.1%	25.1%	9.7%
Colombia	Sincelejo	2017	30.5%	32.0%	28.8%
Colombia	Puerto Tejada	2017	17.4%	22.2%	13.8%
Colombia	Hato Corozal	2017	3.7%	6.1%	1.8%
Colombia	San Miguel	2017	18.9%	29.8%	9.9%
Colombia	Ancuyá	2017	33.3%	39.2%	27.9%
Colombia	Piedras	2017	15.1%	27.3%	6.2%
Colombia	Génova	2017	6.7%	16.2%	1.7%
Colombia	Malambo	2017	11.6%	15.5%	8.6%
Colombia	Sesquilé	2017	20.0%	33.2%	10.2%
Colombia	Cumbitara	2017	23.4%	40.4%	11.2%
Colombia	Ramiriquí	2017	24.7%	38.6%	14.2%
Colombia	Albán	2017	22.7%	26.7%	18.9%
Colombia	Almaguer	2017	42.6%	51.8%	32.7%
Colombia	Barichara	2017	15.4%	29.1%	6.8%
Colombia	Cerinza	2017	21.2%	37.6%	8.9%
Colombia	Buenavista	2017	4.6%	11.7%	0.8%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	13.0%	23.2%	5.7%
Colombia	Pueblorrico	2017	14.7%	27.2%	6.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Viracachá	2017	24.1%	39.3%	13.5%
Colombia	Piedecuesta	2017	20.1%	24.4%	16.2%
Colombia	Barrancabermeja	2017	12.5%	17.0%	9.3%
Colombia	El Tarra	2017	18.2%	30.7%	9.0%
Colombia	Tena	2017	17.3%	29.5%	9.8%
Colombia	La Calera	2017	15.7%	19.4%	12.3%
Colombia	Cucutilla	2017	16.2%	29.3%	5.8%
Colombia	Pachavita	2017	29.4%	38.3%	21.1%
Colombia	Arboletes	2017	20.3%	33.3%	11.9%
Colombia	Olaya	2017	13.7%	18.4%	8.5%
Colombia	Contratación	2017	15.3%	30.0%	5.8%
Colombia	Juan de Acosta	2017	19.1%	39.1%	6.1%
Colombia	Obando	2017	2.7%	5.4%	1.1%
Colombia	El Doncello	2017	21.5%	25.4%	18.0%
Colombia	Palmas del Socorro	2017	16.7%	30.6%	6.8%
Colombia	Samacá	2017	21.7%	28.2%	15.6%
Colombia	San Jerónimo	2017	13.2%	21.4%	7.6%
Colombia	Puerto Caicedo	2017	5.5%	9.1%	2.8%
Colombia	El Santuario	2017	9.6%	14.9%	5.3%
Colombia	Capitanejo	2017	17.9%	27.5%	10.8%
Colombia	San Felipe	2017	20.4%	33.5%	11.0%
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	14.3%	21.3%	9.1%
Colombia	Filadelfia	2017	12.4%	22.8%	5.0%
Colombia	Oicatá	2017	22.8%	26.8%	19.5%
Colombia	Vigía del Fuerte	2017	36.1%	52.6%	21.6%
Colombia	Onzaga	2017	16.1%	26.5%	8.7%
Colombia	Tenza	2017	34.1%	44.5%	24.1%
Colombia	Condoto	2017	53.2%	63.2%	42.6%
Colombia	Aranzazú	2017	9.5%	19.0%	2.4%
Colombia	Potosí	2017	23.6%	31.4%	15.2%
Colombia	Chiquinquirá	2017	17.6%	21.5%	14.3%
Colombia	Girardot	2017	14.1%	21.4%	8.9%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2017	38.6%	44.3%	32.9%
Colombia	Hatillo de Loba	2017	29.5%	44.4%	17.0%
Colombia	Yalí	2017	14.8%	30.0%	5.1%
Colombia	Ebéjico	2017	14.8%	25.4%	6.3%
Colombia	Sopetrán	2017	15.4%	23.7%	9.3%
Colombia	Miranda	2017	23.6%	33.4%	15.8%
Colombia	Guática	2017	8.7%	20.1%	3.0%
Colombia	Zipaquirá	2017	15.7%	21.0%	11.4%
Colombia	Caicedo	2017	16.4%	30.8%	6.3%
Colombia	La Merced	2017	5.9%	10.3%	2.6%
Colombia	La Sierra	2017	39.4%	45.7%	33.0%
Colombia	Belén	2017	21.7%	43.7%	7.5%
Colombia	El Banco	2017	26.2%	38.0%	16.2%
Colombia	Yarumal	2017	14.1%	19.8%	9.7%
Colombia	California	2017	14.8%	35.7%	3.8%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	12.6%	19.5%	7.1%
Colombia	Santuario	2017	4.9%	13.4%	1.0%
Colombia	Anapoima	2017	15.6%	27.0%	8.3%
Colombia	Tausa	2017	15.4%	31.2%	6.2%
Colombia	Turbaná	2017	30.3%	36.5%	23.9%
Colombia	Valencia	2017	31.8%	42.2%	23.1%
Colombia	Calamar	2017	29.6%	43.2%	14.7%
Colombia	Iles	2017	36.8%	50.3%	23.3%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2017	15.5%	21.9%	9.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Mutató	2017	25.2%	41.7%	13.2%
Colombia	Labranzagrande	2017	11.0%	18.9%	5.6%
Colombia	Choachí	2017	7.1%	12.7%	2.9%
Colombia	Piendamó	2017	47.9%	54.3%	41.3%
Colombia	Tarapacá	2017	15.1%	19.6%	11.1%
Colombia	Tadó	2017	56.0%	60.9%	51.0%
Colombia	Purísima	2017	29.3%	46.6%	15.9%
Colombia	Liborina	2017	11.8%	22.0%	5.1%
Colombia	Aguadas	2017	9.6%	19.9%	3.3%
Colombia	Beltrán	2017	21.7%	41.0%	9.1%
Colombia	Cubará	2017	10.5%	23.4%	3.8%
Colombia	Fortul	2017	3.3%	5.6%	1.8%
Colombia	Vetas	2017	13.6%	30.7%	3.4%
Colombia	Palermo	2017	32.8%	47.3%	18.8%
Colombia	Granada	2017	13.5%	22.3%	7.1%
Colombia	Ráquira	2017	26.6%	36.8%	16.9%
Colombia	Pandi	2017	17.4%	30.7%	7.7%
Colombia	Neiva	2017	22.7%	25.5%	20.1%
Colombia	El Cocuy	2017	20.6%	34.4%	10.2%
Colombia	Puerto Salgar	2017	17.2%	27.9%	10.4%
Colombia	Puerto Berrío	2017	15.0%	21.2%	9.8%
Colombia	Valle de San Juan	2017	23.7%	46.5%	9.8%
Colombia	Sativasur	2017	21.7%	35.2%	10.4%
Colombia	Guachucal	2017	29.0%	43.8%	17.5%
Colombia	San Vicente del Caguán	2017	19.8%	22.2%	17.7%
Colombia	Pital	2017	34.5%	47.5%	20.9%
Colombia	Jardín	2017	15.3%	25.4%	6.8%
Colombia	La Plata	2017	24.8%	32.2%	17.5%
Colombia	Andes	2017	17.8%	25.5%	11.7%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2017	16.6%	23.4%	11.5%
Colombia	Puerto Lleras	2017	8.0%	13.7%	4.3%
Colombia	Cajamarca	2017	16.9%	25.2%	10.4%
Colombia	Coyaima	2017	25.1%	39.4%	13.9%
Colombia	Chíquiza	2017	26.8%	43.3%	14.6%
Colombia	Zambrano	2017	30.6%	48.6%	15.3%
Colombia	Florencia	2017	28.8%	38.3%	19.5%
Colombia	Anzá	2017	15.7%	27.8%	6.5%
Colombia	Armenia	2017	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	28.1%	47.6%	13.5%
Colombia	San Pelayo	2017	36.5%	47.5%	25.7%
Colombia	Sevilla	2017	3.5%	6.3%	1.6%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2017	13.4%	17.1%	10.3%
Colombia	Maní	2017	3.5%	6.9%	1.7%
Colombia	Chipatá	2017	17.6%	27.4%	9.1%
Colombia	Florián	2017	18.2%	31.9%	9.2%
Colombia	La Llanada	2017	21.5%	32.0%	13.5%
Colombia	Gamarra	2017	24.4%	38.5%	12.3%
Colombia	Toluviejo	2017	26.6%	38.7%	16.5%
Colombia	La Gloria	2017	25.2%	40.8%	13.1%
Colombia	Albania	2017	23.5%	37.1%	13.3%
Colombia	San Juan de Urabá	2017	16.5%	25.0%	9.6%
Colombia	Guayatá	2017	29.2%	52.6%	12.9%
Colombia	El Peñon	2017	14.0%	27.4%	5.9%
Colombia	Machetá	2017	24.8%	36.6%	13.8%
Colombia	Puerto Triunfo	2017	19.9%	29.1%	12.2%
Colombia	Manatí	2017	16.4%	24.8%	10.6%
Colombia	Encino	2017	19.2%	32.8%	8.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	32.4%	42.7%	22.3%
Colombia	Morroa	2017	29.5%	35.5%	24.1%
Colombia	Paime	2017	17.1%	34.8%	5.8%
Colombia	Facatativá	2017	12.1%	15.3%	9.4%
Colombia	Medina	2017	12.0%	20.4%	6.9%
Colombia	Policarpa	2017	24.4%	34.5%	15.7%
Colombia	San Miguel de Mocoa	2017	7.5%	10.9%	4.6%
Colombia	Pisba	2017	13.4%	26.9%	5.3%
Colombia	Paya	2017	5.0%	12.3%	1.7%
Colombia	La Virginia	2017	2.3%	6.9%	0.4%
Colombia	Líbano	2017	21.3%	27.8%	15.0%
Colombia	Baranoa	2017	14.6%	24.1%	8.4%
Colombia	Santa María	2017	24.0%	47.0%	8.9%
Colombia	Riosucio	2017	14.4%	23.2%	6.7%
Colombia	Une	2017	17.3%	32.4%	7.2%
Colombia	Belmira	2017	18.2%	33.1%	8.3%
Colombia	Oiba	2017	15.7%	22.4%	9.9%
Colombia	El Tambo	2017	38.3%	46.8%	30.6%
Colombia	La Tebaida	2017	3.1%	8.1%	0.7%
Colombia	La Esperanza	2017	21.1%	32.0%	11.6%
Colombia	Guataquí	2017	18.9%	37.8%	7.5%
Colombia	Alpujarra	2017	21.4%	32.7%	11.0%
Colombia	Chiriguaná	2017	26.0%	37.0%	17.7%
Colombia	Anorí	2017	15.7%	25.8%	8.0%
Colombia	Cácota	2017	15.1%	24.5%	8.0%
Colombia	Ayapel	2017	28.8%	36.0%	22.3%
Colombia	Chaguaní	2017	20.4%	39.9%	8.2%
Colombia	Santander de Quilichao	2017	36.9%	41.5%	32.8%
Colombia	Villahermosa	2017	23.5%	31.7%	16.3%
Colombia	Durania	2017	21.2%	31.4%	11.3%
Colombia	Pijao	2017	6.6%	17.2%	1.4%
Colombia	Florencia	2017	23.7%	26.1%	21.5%
Colombia	Baraya	2017	17.1%	32.2%	5.9%
Colombia	Popayán	2017	43.9%	46.7%	40.5%
Colombia	Cajibío	2017	55.6%	65.1%	46.3%
Colombia	Bahía Solano	2017	51.9%	63.2%	38.5%
Colombia	San Juan de Arama	2017	7.3%	12.7%	3.9%
Colombia	San José de Miranda	2017	16.0%	24.3%	9.5%
Colombia	Villavicencio	2017	6.5%	7.9%	5.4%
Colombia	Matanza	2017	14.6%	23.9%	7.9%
Colombia	Pensilvania	2017	11.4%	21.7%	5.2%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	21.8%	34.3%	12.6%
Colombia	Junín	2017	21.1%	42.1%	9.0%
Colombia	San Andrés de Sotavento	2017	33.3%	42.0%	25.7%
Colombia	Vista Hermosa	2017	7.3%	11.3%	4.6%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	16.2%	34.0%	6.9%
Colombia	Alvarado	2017	15.9%	27.4%	6.1%
Colombia	Somondoco	2017	31.1%	48.6%	18.5%
Colombia	Santa Helena del Opón	2017	15.0%	31.1%	5.4%
Colombia	Coromoro	2017	16.2%	28.4%	8.3%
Colombia	Floresta	2017	20.5%	26.2%	15.8%
Colombia	Tibaná	2017	28.4%	39.9%	17.8%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	23.9%	38.2%	13.2%
Colombia	Apía	2017	3.7%	9.8%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Orito	2017	6.4%	9.0%	4.2%
Colombia	Jericó	2017	14.1%	22.9%	6.8%
Colombia	Prado	2017	17.8%	28.3%	8.9%
Colombia	San José de Pare	2017	21.1%	26.7%	15.5%
Colombia	Caldas	2017	26.0%	40.7%	15.3%
Colombia	Urumita	2017	45.7%	57.6%	34.3%
Colombia	Guacarí	2017	2.7%	5.0%	1.3%
Colombia	Santa Catalina	2017	27.9%	40.3%	17.5%
Colombia	Anserma	2017	6.4%	13.5%	2.4%
Colombia	Ortega	2017	23.6%	32.3%	15.9%
Colombia	Ubaque	2017	10.8%	20.3%	4.6%
Colombia	Soacha	2017	21.3%	26.5%	16.0%
Colombia	Girón	2017	14.0%	18.2%	10.1%
Colombia	San Luis	2017	14.5%	25.5%	7.1%
Colombia	Jericó	2017	16.7%	26.6%	9.4%
Colombia	El Cantón del San Pablo	2017	56.6%	64.2%	48.6%
Colombia	Agustín Codazzi	2017	30.3%	35.8%	25.4%
Colombia	Manauare	2017	34.2%	53.1%	17.1%
Colombia	Topagá	2017	23.4%	26.9%	20.3%
Colombia	Rovira	2017	26.2%	43.4%	14.4%
Colombia	Fuente de Oro	2017	6.8%	12.1%	3.4%
Colombia	Tuta	2017	22.9%	39.8%	11.1%
Colombia	Guarne	2017	19.5%	26.9%	13.2%
Colombia	Viotá	2017	17.4%	34.1%	7.6%
Colombia	San Vicente de Chucurí	2017	14.5%	25.9%	9.0%
Colombia	San José del Fragua	2017	23.7%	32.0%	17.5%
Colombia	El Espino	2017	24.0%	30.6%	18.8%
Colombia	Chía	2017	14.6%	17.7%	11.2%
Colombia	Cáceres	2017	18.6%	28.5%	10.9%
Colombia	San Juan de Río Seco	2017	20.0%	38.3%	7.6%
Colombia	Barranca de Upía	2017	5.0%	9.7%	2.0%
Colombia	Gámbita	2017	24.7%	41.6%	10.4%
Colombia	Balboa	2017	39.5%	52.6%	28.1%
Colombia	Solano	2017	18.4%	22.4%	15.2%
Colombia	Caparrapí	2017	12.7%	18.7%	8.1%
Colombia	Altamira	2017	25.2%	36.1%	16.7%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2017	17.5%	33.5%	6.5%
Colombia	Belalcázar	2017	3.4%	8.3%	0.7%
Colombia	Miraflores	2017	25.9%	39.8%	15.2%
Colombia	Tutazá	2017	19.8%	39.9%	6.7%
Colombia	Tasco	2017	26.4%	39.3%	15.3%
Colombia	Albán	2017	15.5%	27.0%	8.7%
Colombia	Tenjo	2017	11.3%	18.5%	6.7%
Colombia	Taraira	2017	16.7%	25.9%	10.0%
Colombia	Guapí	2017	35.5%	46.8%	23.9%
Colombia	Saladoblanco	2017	23.0%	35.6%	12.8%
Colombia	Landázuri	2017	14.3%	21.7%	8.9%
Colombia	Lenguazaque	2017	18.0%	25.5%	10.9%
Colombia	Fundación	2017	31.3%	37.5%	25.7%
Colombia	Pulí	2017	18.9%	37.5%	5.1%
Colombia	Leiva	2017	29.9%	43.8%	18.7%
Colombia	Arjona	2017	27.0%	37.2%	18.1%
Colombia	Santa Rita	2017	12.6%	16.5%	9.7%
Colombia	Puerto López	2017	7.3%	10.5%	4.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	La Peña	2017	12.8%	21.6%	6.3%
Colombia	Fúquene	2017	17.3%	27.1%	9.9%
Colombia	Fusagasugá	2017	17.1%	21.1%	13.9%
Colombia	San Eduardo	2017	25.1%	38.4%	13.0%
Colombia	Pacho	2017	15.1%	28.9%	7.5%
Colombia	Aratoca	2017	15.6%	31.8%	5.7%
Colombia	Caimito	2017	25.2%	40.4%	14.2%
Colombia	Toca	2017	23.4%	38.3%	12.7%
Colombia	Astrea	2017	28.4%	43.0%	16.4%
Colombia	El Litoral del San Juan	2017	51.5%	57.0%	45.7%
Colombia	Chitaraque	2017	24.8%	40.3%	13.1%
Colombia	El Guamo	2017	34.2%	49.6%	21.0%
Colombia	Herrán	2017	9.6%	21.7%	3.0%
Colombia	Samaniego	2017	24.4%	29.0%	20.2%
Colombia	Nariño	2017	15.1%	25.8%	6.9%
Colombia	Lérida	2017	22.9%	37.6%	14.5%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	37.3%	43.9%	30.3%
Colombia	Pueblo Viejo	2017	39.9%	54.7%	25.7%
Colombia	San José del Guaviare	2017	12.2%	13.7%	10.7%
Colombia	Honda	2017	22.6%	40.6%	9.9%
Colombia	Heliconia	2017	16.8%	30.8%	7.6%
Colombia	Cumalar	2017	7.4%	14.3%	3.7%
Colombia	Cisneros	2017	13.9%	28.6%	4.3%
Colombia	Chitagá	2017	12.3%	21.7%	5.8%
Colombia	Istmina	2017	56.7%	62.1%	50.3%
Colombia	Santa Lucía	2017	26.4%	44.2%	11.7%
Colombia	Guadalajara de Buga	2017	3.5%	5.6%	2.0%
Colombia	Sora	2017	25.2%	39.9%	15.8%
Colombia	Chigorodó	2017	18.2%	24.1%	12.9%
Colombia	Sabana de Torres	2017	16.2%	30.5%	7.4%
Colombia	Bochalema	2017	17.9%	25.1%	10.9%
Colombia	Cartago	2017	2.9%	4.7%	1.5%
Colombia	Puracé	2017	42.6%	58.4%	28.5%
Colombia	Riosucio	2017	48.3%	55.8%	41.6%
Colombia	La Uvita	2017	22.5%	34.6%	13.2%
Colombia	Bojayá	2017	51.0%	60.9%	41.4%
Colombia	Don Matías	2017	17.5%	23.6%	12.9%
Colombia	Pajarito	2017	7.1%	17.4%	1.9%
Colombia	Dolores	2017	17.2%	36.0%	6.3%
Colombia	El Cairo	2017	21.5%	41.6%	7.0%
Colombia	Bucarasica	2017	13.5%	22.2%	6.5%
Colombia	Monguí	2017	22.9%	31.6%	15.2%
Colombia	Cucaita	2017	15.4%	23.3%	9.7%
Colombia	San Luis de Cubarral	2017	7.9%	13.1%	4.4%
Colombia	Cereté	2017	35.1%	39.9%	30.4%
Colombia	Los Córdoba	2017	28.9%	44.9%	15.8%
Colombia	San Sebastián	2017	24.5%	33.7%	16.5%
Colombia	Carcasí	2017	16.3%	28.2%	8.2%
Colombia	Sabanalarga	2017	13.3%	19.3%	8.5%
Colombia	Victoria	2017	10.0%	16.5%	5.8%
Colombia	Retiro	2017	15.3%	20.8%	10.5%
Colombia	Girardota	2017	18.3%	29.0%	10.1%
Colombia	Colón	2017	8.4%	13.2%	4.3%
Colombia	Pacoa	2017	17.1%	21.5%	13.0%
Colombia	Jambaló	2017	38.6%	48.2%	27.0%
Colombia	San Martín	2017	7.1%	10.2%	4.6%
Colombia	Concepción	2017	15.9%	27.9%	7.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Pablo	2017	19.1%	28.3%	11.3%
Colombia	Umbita	2017	28.6%	44.2%	15.3%
Colombia	Pioj3	2017	21.8%	41.5%	7.3%
Colombia	Puerto Santander	2017	16.3%	27.0%	9.3%
Colombia	Armenia	2017	13.9%	28.2%	4.5%
Colombia	Siachoque	2017	26.1%	37.3%	17.4%
Colombia	Tarqu3	2017	34.8%	50.2%	22.9%
Colombia	Tumaco	2017	21.6%	29.7%	15.6%
Colombia	Valpara3so	2017	20.9%	25.8%	16.6%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	41.4%	54.5%	31.5%
Colombia	San Estanislao de Kostka	2017	28.8%	38.6%	20.8%
Colombia	Cumaribo	2017	10.2%	12.6%	8.2%
Colombia	Hato	2017	15.8%	30.2%	4.9%
Colombia	Ulloa	2017	3.0%	6.8%	1.0%
Colombia	Su3rez	2017	19.5%	25.6%	14.6%
Colombia	San Cayetano	2017	26.3%	42.9%	14.0%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2017	21.0%	34.0%	11.6%
Colombia	Sutatenza	2017	33.8%	46.5%	22.5%
Colombia	Calima	2017	7.9%	17.2%	2.6%
Colombia	La Uribe	2017	9.6%	14.8%	5.9%
Colombia	Guatavita	2017	25.8%	41.3%	11.7%
Colombia	Tauramena	2017	2.4%	4.6%	1.1%
Colombia	Ginebra	2017	3.5%	7.8%	1.3%
Colombia	Acand3	2017	51.6%	63.7%	39.9%
Colombia	Villeta	2017	17.7%	24.6%	12.3%
Colombia	San Antero	2017	26.7%	39.6%	16.8%
Colombia	Simacota	2017	14.8%	25.1%	7.8%
Colombia	El Encanto	2017	15.4%	20.0%	11.3%
Colombia	Tocaima	2017	18.8%	32.4%	9.1%
Colombia	Pore	2017	2.2%	5.1%	0.6%
Colombia	Casabianca	2017	22.0%	36.2%	10.9%
Colombia	Vian3	2017	17.4%	36.4%	7.2%
Colombia	Tulu3	2017	2.5%	3.8%	1.5%
Colombia	Cabrera	2017	11.4%	25.8%	3.0%
Colombia	Puerres	2017	31.3%	42.2%	21.1%
Colombia	San Pedro	2017	28.8%	39.7%	18.7%
Colombia	Yaguara	2017	30.2%	54.3%	11.5%
Colombia	El Pi3n3n	2017	30.6%	40.4%	23.4%
Colombia	Neira	2017	9.0%	15.9%	3.9%
Colombia	Guateque	2017	33.3%	47.0%	20.7%
Colombia	Fonseca	2017	32.7%	44.4%	21.8%
Colombia	Ansermanuevo	2017	8.2%	17.5%	2.7%
Colombia	Nimaima	2017	15.7%	22.6%	10.1%
Colombia	Pupiales	2017	34.0%	42.1%	26.3%
Colombia	Silvia	2017	41.7%	47.4%	36.2%
Colombia	Coper	2017	20.3%	36.5%	9.0%
Colombia	Paicol	2017	24.3%	36.5%	14.7%
Colombia	Buenaventura	2017	5.1%	6.9%	3.6%
Colombia	Viterbo	2017	2.8%	7.9%	0.6%
Colombia	Berbeo	2017	19.3%	34.1%	8.0%
Colombia	Versalles	2017	14.3%	35.5%	3.8%
Colombia	Mutiscua	2017	14.1%	25.5%	6.4%
Colombia	T3mara	2017	3.6%	8.2%	1.4%
Colombia	Guatap3	2017	15.0%	22.8%	7.6%
Colombia	Funza	2017	8.5%	13.2%	5.2%
Colombia	Palmira	2017	2.8%	4.0%	1.9%
Colombia	S3cama	2017	4.4%	10.3%	1.5%
Colombia	San Agust3n	2017	20.7%	29.9%	13.4%
Colombia	Garz3n	2017	29.2%	33.3%	25.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Belén de Umbría	2017	2.7%	4.9%	1.3%
Colombia	Barranco Minas	2017	19.8%	23.3%	16.7%
Colombia	Calamar	2017	12.1%	16.0%	8.7%
Colombia	Río Viejo	2017	32.4%	48.1%	17.8%
Colombia	Ocaña	2017	16.4%	23.3%	11.4%
Colombia	Ibagué	2017	21.6%	24.7%	19.3%
Colombia	Pradera	2017	4.9%	7.7%	2.6%
Colombia	Riohacha	2017	46.0%	51.4%	41.6%
Colombia	El Peñon	2017	13.7%	22.1%	7.8%
Colombia	Puente Nacional	2017	15.0%	25.0%	8.3%
Colombia	Chivatá	2017	26.8%	35.8%	19.1%
Colombia	Cacahual	2017	20.9%	28.2%	15.1%
Colombia	Salazar de las Palmas	2017	17.7%	31.3%	8.4%
Colombia	Villavieja	2017	11.3%	25.4%	4.9%
Colombia	Villa del Rosario	2017	13.1%	15.9%	9.9%
Colombia	Florida	2017	13.6%	20.3%	9.1%
Colombia	Vergara	2017	16.3%	26.5%	8.5%
Colombia	Cañasgordas	2017	13.8%	22.7%	7.8%
Colombia	Achí	2017	31.0%	42.9%	20.0%
Colombia	Acevedo	2017	27.3%	42.4%	14.6%
Colombia	Villarrica	2017	14.1%	32.4%	4.2%
Colombia	Hobo	2017	35.9%	55.8%	19.6%
Colombia	Arboleda	2017	23.2%	36.1%	13.3%
Colombia	Vijes	2017	4.1%	8.4%	1.5%
Colombia	La Primavera	2017	10.4%	13.1%	8.2%
Colombia	Guaitarilla	2017	23.1%	26.4%	19.9%
Colombia	Hacarí	2017	17.2%	30.6%	8.1%
Colombia	Pequé	2017	15.3%	24.9%	7.3%
Colombia	Sáchica	2017	25.3%	35.7%	16.9%
Colombia	Aguada	2017	16.8%	28.3%	8.6%
Colombia	Puerto Guzmán	2017	11.1%	17.4%	7.3%
Colombia	La Victoria	2017	17.8%	35.2%	6.1%
Colombia	Páez	2017	20.6%	34.7%	10.0%
Colombia	Montelíbano	2017	30.6%	35.4%	25.6%
Colombia	Sardinata	2017	14.2%	23.6%	8.3%
Colombia	Quinchía	2017	13.3%	22.8%	6.9%
Colombia	San Bernardo	2017	19.6%	26.5%	14.1%
Colombia	Samaná	2017	7.6%	12.4%	3.9%
Colombia	El Playón	2017	15.2%	26.4%	5.9%
Colombia	Marsella	2017	4.2%	9.7%	1.5%
Colombia	Briceño	2017	27.1%	39.9%	18.0%
Colombia	Linares	2017	30.3%	42.6%	20.9%
Colombia	Santo Tomás	2017	16.8%	28.3%	8.1%
Colombia	Guicán	2017	15.8%	27.8%	8.1%
Colombia	Puerto Wilches	2017	19.2%	32.1%	10.1%
Colombia	Oporapa	2017	25.5%	39.3%	13.9%
Colombia	Salamina	2017	6.1%	14.1%	1.6%
Colombia	La Palma	2017	12.4%	22.9%	4.9%
Colombia	Mercaderes	2017	30.0%	38.1%	22.4%
Colombia	Marinilla	2017	13.0%	17.2%	9.9%
Colombia	Boavita	2017	22.0%	36.1%	11.1%
Colombia	Chiscas	2017	16.2%	26.2%	9.7%
Colombia	Corozal	2017	30.7%	38.4%	23.4%
Colombia	Otanche	2017	20.4%	36.2%	10.0%
Colombia	Almeida	2017	29.3%	44.5%	18.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Moniquirá	2017	18.8%	25.2%	13.5%
Colombia	Puerto Leguízamo	2017	12.7%	19.3%	7.7%
Colombia	Sogamoso	2017	17.1%	26.0%	10.6%
Colombia	Soledad	2017	11.5%	12.9%	10.2%
Colombia	Talaigua Nuevo	2017	28.5%	44.7%	15.7%
Colombia	Nechí	2017	20.1%	32.1%	10.8%
Colombia	La Paz	2017	16.9%	30.0%	8.7%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	6.7%	19.5%	1.5%
Colombia	Amagá	2017	11.6%	17.4%	7.0%
Colombia	Floridablanca	2017	15.0%	17.1%	12.8%
Colombia	Simijaca	2017	24.8%	37.6%	13.7%
Colombia	Tame	2017	3.1%	5.2%	2.0%
Colombia	Murindó	2017	29.7%	51.9%	13.1%
Colombia	Juradó	2017	41.7%	59.1%	23.6%
Colombia	Santa Cruz	2017	21.4%	33.2%	12.5%
Colombia	Remolino	2017	24.5%	36.9%	13.9%
Colombia	Sabaneta	2017	17.7%	21.5%	14.4%
Colombia	Rivera	2017	21.6%	37.7%	11.0%
Colombia	Sucre	2017	13.8%	23.5%	7.9%
Colombia	La Victoria	2017	3.0%	6.3%	1.0%
Colombia	Santiago de Cali	2017	2.5%	3.1%	2.0%
Colombia	Tamalameque	2017	28.8%	43.3%	17.1%
Colombia	San Zenón	2017	27.5%	38.5%	17.4%
Colombia	Canalete	2017	27.4%	38.1%	18.1%
Colombia	Ariguaní	2017	31.2%	38.8%	24.8%
Colombia	El Charco	2017	26.3%	35.3%	19.0%
Colombia	Gama	2017	20.7%	41.3%	7.4%
Colombia	Firavitoba	2017	23.4%	38.4%	11.9%
Colombia	Consacá	2017	27.1%	41.5%	15.1%
Colombia	Cota	2017	6.6%	9.8%	4.5%
Colombia	Yolombó	2017	13.7%	20.6%	7.3%
Colombia	Unguía	2017	54.0%	62.7%	44.8%
Colombia	Mahates	2017	27.9%	37.9%	19.1%
Colombia	Pesca	2017	24.3%	34.0%	15.7%
Colombia	Marmato	2017	3.8%	7.6%	1.9%
Colombia	Ricaurte	2017	21.5%	28.6%	14.7%
Colombia	Ovejas	2017	26.5%	38.8%	15.7%
Colombia	Valle del Guamuez	2017	6.2%	10.0%	3.8%
Colombia	Buesaco	2017	16.3%	25.6%	9.1%
Colombia	Málaga	2017	10.8%	15.5%	6.9%
Colombia	Nemocón	2017	15.7%	23.7%	9.2%
Colombia	López de Micay	2017	32.3%	43.7%	21.8%
Colombia	Susa	2017	18.1%	30.1%	8.7%
Colombia	Albania	2017	19.9%	34.3%	9.8%
Colombia	Fresno	2017	18.5%	30.4%	9.5%
Colombia	Puerto Concordia	2017	9.2%	13.7%	6.0%
Colombia	Sucre	2017	28.9%	51.0%	15.2%
Colombia	Aquitania	2017	20.5%	32.7%	11.8%
Colombia	Barranco de Loba	2017	32.6%	39.3%	26.1%
Colombia	San Juan de Betulia	2017	29.0%	41.3%	18.2%
Colombia	Gachancipá	2017	21.5%	30.7%	12.7%
Colombia	Maicao	2017	39.3%	44.6%	34.8%
Colombia	Salgar	2017	15.5%	21.4%	11.0%
Colombia	Cúitiva	2017	25.0%	43.9%	12.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Santa Bárbara	2017	27.4%	42.3%	15.4%
Colombia	Ubalá	2017	22.4%	42.4%	7.7%
Colombia	Guaduas	2017	16.6%	28.2%	9.1%
Colombia	Ambalema	2017	22.6%	35.9%	12.0%
Colombia	Santafé de Bogotá	2017	5.6%	7.4%	4.3%
Colombia	Sativanorte	2017	18.6%	31.9%	9.8%
Colombia	El Retorno	2017	12.0%	15.8%	8.5%
Colombia	Guayabal de Siquima	2017	15.8%	31.4%	7.5%
Colombia	San Jose de Ocune	2017	11.0%	13.2%	8.9%
Colombia	Tipacoque	2017	18.8%	33.2%	8.3%
Colombia	Galapa	2017	13.7%	22.5%	8.2%
Colombia	Gualmatán	2017	33.0%	43.7%	21.8%
Colombia	Confines	2017	16.3%	29.2%	7.6%
Colombia	Yotoco	2017	7.0%	16.1%	2.2%
Colombia	El Zulia	2017	17.3%	26.8%	9.1%
Colombia	Saldaña	2017	29.9%	41.6%	19.2%
Colombia	El Rosario	2017	25.9%	37.8%	15.4%
Colombia	Purificación	2017	20.3%	25.1%	16.7%
Colombia	Chámeza	2017	10.7%	23.1%	3.7%
Colombia	Suárez	2017	29.1%	42.6%	18.7%
Colombia	Guasca	2017	28.6%	44.8%	13.8%
Colombia	Chimá	2017	35.1%	49.8%	20.5%
Colombia	Sampués	2017	42.7%	49.2%	37.0%
Colombia	Los Andes	2017	22.3%	30.2%	15.8%
Colombia	Manaure	2017	36.8%	44.2%	30.3%
Colombia	Gigante	2017	26.7%	46.7%	12.8%
Colombia	El Paujíl	2017	23.6%	30.9%	17.6%
Colombia	Soatá	2017	18.6%	34.1%	7.4%
Colombia	Moñitos	2017	27.2%	42.5%	15.2%
Colombia	Funes	2017	31.4%	45.5%	19.2%
Colombia	Quimbaya	2017	2.0%	5.1%	0.6%
Colombia	San Carlos	2017	35.0%	47.6%	23.2%
Colombia	Segovia	2017	17.2%	26.2%	11.1%
Colombia	Palmar	2017	15.7%	30.1%	5.4%
Colombia	Yopal	2017	1.3%	2.3%	0.7%
Colombia	Luruaco	2017	19.7%	38.7%	7.2%
Colombia	La Unión de Sucre	2017	2.9%	5.4%	1.1%
Colombia	Los Palmitos	2017	30.4%	37.2%	23.8%
Colombia	Falán	2017	21.0%	33.0%	12.3%
Colombia	Mistrato	2017	11.7%	24.0%	4.4%
Colombia	Arbeláez	2017	19.5%	30.7%	10.9%
Colombia	Zaragoza	2017	16.0%	22.5%	11.1%
Colombia	Tona	2017	12.7%	19.3%	6.8%
Colombia	Montecristo	2017	32.5%	46.3%	21.9%
Colombia	Caramanta	2017	8.4%	18.4%	3.1%
Colombia	El Copey	2017	29.5%	41.5%	16.2%
Colombia	Jerusalén	2017	19.5%	38.5%	7.5%
Colombia	San Onofre	2017	29.5%	38.4%	22.8%
Colombia	Guamo	2017	22.3%	36.0%	13.1%
Colombia	Caucasia	2017	17.2%	23.7%	12.0%
Colombia	Angelópolis	2017	18.6%	29.8%	10.7%
Colombia	Orocué	2017	5.3%	9.2%	2.5%
Colombia	El Águila	2017	9.7%	25.4%	2.4%
Colombia	Rionegro	2017	16.3%	20.5%	12.2%
Colombia	La Tola	2017	22.5%	29.2%	17.3%
Colombia	Toribío	2017	39.2%	44.7%	32.9%
Colombia	Cucunubá	2017	16.5%	24.4%	10.7%
Colombia	Ciénaga	2017	24.2%	41.4%	12.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Candelaria	2017	20.3%	36.8%	9.5%
Colombia	El Carmen	2017	20.8%	33.0%	12.2%
Colombia	Caicedonia	2017	4.5%	10.6%	1.1%
Colombia	Altos del Rosario	2017	31.9%	44.3%	20.8%
Colombia	Algeciras	2017	27.1%	40.9%	17.4%
Colombia	Trinidad	2017	3.4%	6.4%	1.6%
Colombia	Acacías	2017	6.8%	9.3%	5.0%
Colombia	Bosconia	2017	32.2%	46.0%	20.1%
Colombia	Pana Pana	2017	20.3%	26.7%	15.2%
Colombia	Cajicá	2017	16.3%	20.1%	13.1%
Colombia	Valdivia	2017	15.0%	26.1%	7.5%
Colombia	Puerto Colombia	2017	21.2%	26.8%	16.2%
Colombia	San Pedro de Urabá	2017	20.3%	31.0%	12.3%
Colombia	Patía	2017	38.8%	44.8%	33.3%
Colombia	La Argentina	2017	22.7%	35.5%	13.5%
Colombia	Puerto Rondón	2017	3.2%	5.2%	2.0%
Colombia	San Diego	2017	28.6%	39.5%	19.0%
Colombia	Paz de Ariporo	2017	4.2%	6.0%	2.7%
Colombia	Yacopí	2017	16.6%	27.5%	8.0%
Colombia	Páez	2017	31.8%	42.4%	21.4%
Colombia	Majagual	2017	30.6%	40.0%	21.8%
Colombia	Chalán	2017	28.3%	46.8%	12.9%
Colombia	Flandes	2017	14.1%	21.2%	8.3%
Colombia	Caracolí	2017	14.3%	26.7%	6.1%
Colombia	Córdoba	2017	29.9%	46.7%	17.4%
Colombia	El Paso	2017	27.6%	39.8%	18.1%
Colombia	Ospina	2017	27.1%	34.8%	20.2%
Colombia	Aracataca	2017	30.9%	35.5%	27.9%
Colombia	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	33.2%	46.1%	23.8%
Colombia	San Francisco	2017	5.5%	8.5%	2.9%
Colombia	Teruel	2017	23.3%	37.1%	13.0%
Colombia	Bugalagrande	2017	3.8%	7.0%	1.7%
Colombia	Pamplonita	2017	14.2%	18.5%	10.5%
Colombia	Plato	2017	32.8%	42.9%	25.4%
Colombia	San Luis de Palenque	2017	3.8%	7.6%	1.5%
Colombia	Yacuanquer	2017	27.5%	43.9%	13.0%
Colombia	La Montañita	2017	30.2%	36.8%	24.2%
Colombia	San Benito Abad	2017	30.5%	40.1%	22.0%
Colombia	Elías	2017	25.6%	37.9%	15.4%
Colombia	Contadero	2017	36.4%	48.4%	25.4%
Colombia	Palmar de Varela	2017	17.9%	30.8%	8.3%
Colombia	Carmen de Apicalá	2017	19.7%	28.9%	13.0%
Colombia	Chinavita	2017	26.6%	37.7%	16.2%
Colombia	Ipiales	2017	23.9%	30.7%	17.7%
Colombia	Susacón	2017	16.7%	30.0%	6.8%
Colombia	Quípama	2017	18.6%	34.4%	7.2%
Colombia	Alejandría	2017	14.9%	27.3%	5.9%
Colombia	Rionegro	2017	16.3%	23.2%	11.6%
Colombia	Macaravita	2017	21.4%	34.7%	10.6%
Colombia	Puerto Rico	2017	7.7%	11.8%	4.5%
Colombia	San José del Palmar	2017	28.2%	45.9%	15.1%
Colombia	Gutiérrez	2017	12.9%	29.3%	4.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	Cocorná	2017	12.9%	23.9%	6.2%
Colombia	Santa Sofía	2017	21.8%	36.4%	10.8%
Colombia	San Bernardino de Sahagún	2017	31.5%	42.0%	23.3%
Colombia	Carmen de Carupa	2017	18.2%	29.3%	8.3%
Colombia	Turbo	2017	22.0%	28.3%	15.7%
Colombia	Tunungua	2017	24.3%	41.3%	12.7%
Colombia	Aguachica	2017	31.4%	36.0%	27.4%
Colombia	Suesca	2017	15.8%	26.6%	8.1%
Colombia	La Estrella	2017	19.1%	25.3%	13.7%
Colombia	Bolívar	2017	17.7%	32.8%	7.7%
Colombia	Beteitiva	2017	24.6%	40.5%	11.5%
Colombia	Guayabetal	2017	11.3%	27.0%	4.7%
Colombia	Santa Rosa	2017	28.0%	34.0%	21.1%
Colombia	Melgar	2017	16.8%	25.6%	9.6%
Colombia	Chipaque	2017	15.8%	29.2%	6.1%
Colombia	Duitama	2017	21.8%	25.1%	18.8%
Colombia	Betulia	2017	15.2%	27.6%	6.5%
Colombia	Sutatausa	2017	15.8%	29.5%	7.0%
Colombia	Guadalupe	2017	16.2%	23.9%	10.5%
Colombia	Arboledas	2017	19.1%	35.2%	8.2%
Colombia	Ragonvalia	2017	12.3%	26.2%	4.4%
Colombia	Tierralta	2017	28.5%	36.8%	20.6%
Colombia	San Antonio de Palmito	2017	28.9%	42.4%	16.9%
Colombia	Villapinzón	2017	23.7%	39.9%	10.1%
Colombia	Socotá	2017	18.3%	30.1%	9.7%
Colombia	Cunday	2017	16.5%	27.1%	8.2%
Colombia	Pueblo Rico	2017	19.9%	37.7%	8.8%
Colombia	Belén de los Andaquies	2017	23.1%	30.9%	16.8%
Colombia	Silvania	2017	16.1%	24.4%	10.7%
Colombia	Venecia	2017	14.7%	31.6%	5.0%
Colombia	Cabrera	2017	15.6%	27.8%	6.9%
Colombia	Marquetalia	2017	12.3%	24.3%	5.3%
Colombia	El Bagre	2017	19.3%	26.9%	13.5%
Colombia	Villagómez	2017	16.5%	28.6%	7.6%
Colombia	Chimá	2017	15.0%	30.8%	5.3%
Colombia	Lejanías	2017	6.7%	11.3%	3.8%
Colombia	Corrales	2017	28.1%	32.2%	24.7%
Colombia	Angostura	2017	15.8%	26.6%	7.7%
Colombia	Santa Fe de Antioquia	2017	14.4%	19.6%	9.9%
Colombia	Labateca	2017	14.8%	20.9%	10.3%
Colombia	Guaca	2017	15.3%	26.0%	6.9%
Colombia	Supatá	2017	15.3%	29.7%	6.7%
Colombia	Curití	2017	15.5%	26.4%	6.8%
Colombia	Frontino	2017	15.9%	26.8%	7.9%
Colombia	Valle de San José	2017	16.3%	25.4%	8.7%
Colombia	González	2017	17.6%	33.0%	6.9%
Colombia	San Luís	2017	21.3%	36.2%	11.3%
Colombia	Suaza	2017	20.2%	31.4%	11.7%
Colombia	Villanueva	2017	15.7%	27.1%	8.0%
Colombia	El Molino	2017	26.6%	47.6%	9.7%
Colombia	Mallama	2017	21.5%	33.8%	11.7%
Colombia	San Martín de Loba	2017	26.8%	44.6%	16.2%
Colombia	Puerto Parra	2017	13.8%	24.7%	6.8%
Colombia	Nobsa	2017	14.9%	18.6%	12.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Colombia	San Juan del Cesar	2017	37.9%	45.8%	30.0%
Colombia	Filandia	2017	2.6%	6.1%	0.7%
Colombia	Uribia	2017	47.1%	51.8%	42.4%
Colombia	Inzá	2017	34.4%	46.1%	24.8%
Colombia	Puerto Boyacá	2017	21.0%	27.6%	14.3%
Colombia	Guapotá	2017	15.5%	25.7%	7.2%
Colombia	Pamplona	2017	12.3%	16.7%	8.5%
Colombia	Puerto Libertador	2017	29.8%	40.5%	22.7%
Colombia	Boyacá	2017	21.9%	32.0%	14.8%
Colombia	Bello	2017	19.0%	23.7%	15.1%
Colombia	Nariño	2017	13.6%	26.8%	5.5%
Colombia	Manzanares	2017	16.2%	33.0%	6.3%
Colombia	Sandoná	2017	26.1%	39.8%	14.5%
Colombia	Murillo	2017	25.4%	45.5%	10.4%
Colombia	Cumbal	2017	22.8%	31.4%	16.3%
Colombia	El Dorado	2017	6.8%	14.3%	3.0%
Colombia	Montenegro	2017	2.3%	5.5%	0.7%
Colombia	Barranquilla	2017	12.9%	14.9%	11.1%
Colombia	Restrepo	2017	7.5%	9.5%	5.9%
Colombia	Puerto Inírida	2017	22.0%	24.2%	19.9%
Colombia	Gramalote	2017	20.0%	34.3%	10.0%
Colombia	Mogotes	2017	15.7%	27.4%	7.3%
Colombia	La Vega	2017	14.7%	25.5%	7.7%
Colombia	El Colegio	2017	14.1%	23.1%	7.0%
Colombia	Tibú	2017	18.4%	24.8%	13.1%
Colombia	Cantagallo	2017	21.6%	36.3%	10.8%
Colombia	Argelia	2017	14.4%	32.0%	4.1%
Costa Rica	Turrubares	2000	1.1%	5.7%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Puntarenas	2000	0.7%	1.7%	0.2%
Costa Rica	La Cruz	2000	2.4%	8.0%	0.3%
Costa Rica	Sarapiquí	2000	1.2%	3.7%	0.2%
Costa Rica	Belén	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Cañas	2000	0.4%	1.7%	0.0%
Costa Rica	León Cortés	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Costa Rica	La Unión	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Costa Rica	San Rafael	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Alajuela	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Goicoechea	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Costa Rica	San Ramón	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.2%
Costa Rica	Talamanca	2000	0.5%	1.9%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Tarrazú	2000	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Santa Bárbara	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Los Chiles	2000	0.8%	3.0%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Matina	2000	1.1%	4.0%	0.2%
Costa Rica	Coto Brus	2000	0.6%	2.2%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Mora	2000	1.7%	6.0%	0.2%
Costa Rica	San Carlos	2000	0.5%	1.8%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Pérez Zeledón	2000	0.5%	1.4%	0.1%
Costa Rica	San Isidro	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Santo Domingo	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Santa Cruz	2000	0.9%	2.7%	0.2%
Costa Rica	Heredia	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Desamparados	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Nicoya	2000	0.7%	2.1%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Hojancha	2000	0.9%	4.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Aguirre	2000	0.7%	3.2%	0.0%
Costa Rica	San José	2000	2.7%	3.5%	2.1%
Costa Rica	Guácimo	2000	2.0%	6.6%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Costa Rica	Flores	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Alvarado	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Tibás	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Costa Rica	San Pablo	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Curridabat	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Costa Rica	Montes de Oca	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.2%
Costa Rica	Grecia	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Cartago	2000	0.4%	1.6%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Alajuelita	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Costa Rica	Montes de Oro	2000	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Atenas	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Corredores	2000	0.7%	3.0%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Golfito	2000	1.4%	4.2%	0.3%
Costa Rica	Barva	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Osa	2000	0.9%	4.5%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Naranjo	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Valverde Vega	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Vásquez de Coronado	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Moravia	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Bagaces	2000	1.3%	5.4%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Siquirres	2000	0.7%	2.8%	0.1%
Costa Rica	San Mateo	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Palmares	2000	0.9%	1.6%	0.3%
Costa Rica	Liberia	2000	0.4%	1.4%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Tilarán	2000	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Nandayure	2000	1.0%	4.3%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Acosta	2000	0.5%	1.8%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Carrillo	2000	0.5%	1.9%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Abangares	2000	1.1%	3.3%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Poás	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Paraíso	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Limón	2000	0.7%	3.6%	0.2%
Costa Rica	Jiménez	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Oreamuno	2000	1.3%	4.0%	0.3%
Costa Rica	Esparza	2000	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Garabito	2000	1.0%	6.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Turrialba	2000	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Aserrí	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Escazú	2000	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%
Costa Rica	Parrita	2000	0.6%	2.3%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Orotina	2000	0.4%	1.7%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Alfaro Ruiz	2000	0.5%	3.2%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Puriscal	2000	0.7%	2.6%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Guatuso	2000	1.1%	5.0%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Pococí	2000	0.9%	3.2%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Buenos Aires	2000	0.8%	2.4%	0.1%
Costa Rica	El Guarco	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Dota	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Upala	2000	1.1%	3.1%	0.2%
Costa Rica	Santa Ana	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Costa Rica	Santa Bárbara	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Acosta	2017	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Costa Rica	La Unión	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Vásquez de Coronado	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Sarapiquí	2017	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Dota	2017	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Coto Brus	2017	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Goicoechea	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Costa Rica	Palmares	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Flores	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Golfito	2017	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Santo Domingo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	La Cruz	2017	0.5%	2.6%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Alajuelita	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Heredia	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Matina	2017	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Guácimo	2017	0.4%	1.8%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Limón	2017	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Tibás	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Puntarenas	2017	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	León Cortés	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Pérez Zeledón	2017	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Garabito	2017	0.3%	2.3%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Grecia	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Carrillo	2017	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Costa Rica	San Carlos	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Turrubares	2017	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Belén	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Cañas	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Montes de Oro	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Santa Cruz	2017	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Cartago	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Tarrazú	2017	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Costa Rica	San Isidro	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Desamparados	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Valverde Vega	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Tilarán	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Costa Rica	San Ramón	2017	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Liberia	2017	0.4%	2.9%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Alvarado	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	San Pablo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Puriscal	2017	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Alajuela	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Mora	2017	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Paraíso	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Atenas	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Aserrí	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Naranjo	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Alfaro Ruiz	2017	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Aguirre	2017	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Costa Rica	San Rafael	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	San José	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Costa Rica	Corredores	2017	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Osa	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Barva	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Siquirres	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Poás	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	El Guarco	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Moravia	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Escazú	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Santa Ana	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Upala	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Oreamuno	2017	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Costa Rica	San Mateo	2017	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Orotina	2017	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Parrita	2017	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Montes de Oca	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Costa Rica	Curridabat	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Esparza	2017	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Pococí	2017	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Bagaces	2017	0.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Turrialba	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Guatuso	2017	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Hojancha	2017	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Talamanca	2017	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Jiménez	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Abangares	2017	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Nandayure	2017	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Nicoya	2017	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Buenos Aires	2017	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Costa Rica	Los Chiles	2017	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sosua	2000	9.9%	36.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Restauración	2000	9.0%	42.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Banica	2000	5.9%	25.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Quisqueña	2000	4.9%	27.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	La Romana	2000	4.2%	22.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Duvergé	2000	11.9%	35.4%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Cabrera	2000	6.5%	26.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Cristobal	2000	9.9%	43.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Monte Plata	2000	12.0%	35.6%	0.9%
Dominican Republic	Partido	2000	3.7%	21.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Jaquimeyes	2000	10.0%	59.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz del Seybo	2000	7.2%	15.5%	1.3%
Dominican Republic	Distrito Nacional	2000	6.8%	28.3%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Guayacanes	2000	10.3%	44.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sánchez	2000	9.6%	35.8%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	La Laguna de Nisibón	2000	9.0%	39.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Jimaní	2000	9.9%	32.8%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Cambita	2000	2.6%	14.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Garabito	2000	5.0%	30.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Imbert	2000	5.0%	30.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Padre Las Casas	2000	11.0%	32.0%	1.1%
Dominican Republic	Enriquillo	2000	11.6%	60.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Farfan	2000	9.1%	37.9%	0.7%
Dominican Republic	Villa Rivas	2000	10.8%	37.6%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Yamasá	2000	12.7%	46.3%	0.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Fantino	2000	9.1%	49.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Guerra	2000	8.8%	36.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Nagua	2000	4.4%	20.2%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Hermosa	2000	0.8%	3.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Jaragua	2000	11.6%	54.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Rancho Arriba	2000	10.2%	47.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Gregorio de Yaguatae	2000	8.4%	50.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Nigua	2000	6.4%	43.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San José de Las Matas	2000	9.1%	26.3%	1.5%
Dominican Republic	Los Alcarrizos	2000	2.6%	24.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Bohechio	2000	10.5%	44.6%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Castañuela	2000	10.1%	25.6%	0.8%
Dominican Republic	Cevicos	2000	10.5%	31.8%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Los Llanos	2000	9.8%	25.8%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Nizao	2000	9.6%	60.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Bisonó	2000	6.2%	47.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Puñal	2000	6.6%	48.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Ignacio de Sabaneta	2000	9.8%	43.8%	0.5%
Dominican Republic	Jarabacoa	2000	8.1%	44.7%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Montellano	2000	9.3%	63.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Comendador	2000	3.1%	14.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Jima Abajo	2000	11.3%	57.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Rio San Juan	2000	10.1%	52.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Altagracia	2000	7.3%	34.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Fernando de Monte Cristi	2000	11.2%	39.0%	0.5%
Dominican Republic	Bajos de Haina	2000	2.6%	28.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Cayetano Germosén	2000	9.7%	70.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana de la Mar	2000	10.4%	44.6%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Dajabón	2000	7.0%	39.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Iglesia	2000	9.3%	56.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Larga	2000	8.8%	29.3%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Altamira	2000	6.7%	33.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Concepción de la Vega	2000	7.9%	21.1%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	La Cienaga	2000	11.4%	51.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Gaspar Hernández	2000	8.8%	33.1%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Charcas	2000	9.6%	35.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Laguna Salada	2000	9.5%	42.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Vázquez	2000	11.7%	44.0%	1.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Gonzalez	2000	1.5%	7.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Loma de Cabrera	2000	2.8%	15.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Juan de la Maguana	2000	9.8%	26.1%	2.1%
Dominican Republic	Boca Chica	2000	10.5%	53.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Licey al Medio	2000	5.7%	62.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Hato Mayor del Rey	2000	11.7%	32.2%	2.0%
Dominican Republic	El Pino	2000	7.6%	38.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Janico	2000	9.8%	30.2%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Luperon	2000	10.6%	40.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Vallejuelo	2000	10.5%	50.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Guaranas	2000	7.1%	37.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Hondo Valle	2000	11.1%	52.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz de Barahona	2000	10.6%	52.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Miches	2000	11.8%	51.4%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Santa Cruz	2000	4.0%	20.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	La Isabela	2000	11.9%	52.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Juan Santiago	2000	10.7%	50.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Azua de Compostela	2000	5.7%	48.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Cacaos	2000	10.2%	51.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Piedra Blanca	2000	10.8%	36.9%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Tenares	2000	6.3%	32.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Pedro de Macorís	2000	10.1%	53.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Salcedo	2000	3.0%	21.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Yegua	2000	3.8%	24.6%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Bonao	2000	7.5%	20.8%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Peralta	2000	10.7%	53.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Felipe de Puerto Plata	2000	9.7%	41.8%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Este	2000	14.2%	61.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Palenque	2000	9.0%	51.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San José de Ocoa	2000	9.4%	41.0%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Polo	2000	8.8%	48.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Guayabal	2000	15.8%	48.3%	0.4%
Dominican Republic	Juan de Herrera	2000	14.4%	37.8%	2.7%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Brand	2000	9.8%	53.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Santiago de los Caballeros	2000	2.5%	8.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Arenoso	2000	10.7%	53.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Moca	2000	14.6%	54.6%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Mella	2000	12.3%	45.7%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Galvan	2000	16.8%	38.4%	2.6%
Dominican Republic	La Descubierta	2000	13.0%	58.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Pueblo Viejo	2000	6.8%	31.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Pedernales	2000	11.5%	25.3%	2.1%
Dominican Republic	Guaymate	2000	12.1%	45.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Fundación	2000	8.6%	69.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Pepillo Salcedo	2000	8.6%	42.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Bayaguana	2000	12.6%	43.1%	0.9%
Dominican Republic	Hostos	2000	9.2%	49.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Neyba	2000	29.1%	68.5%	2.2%
Dominican Republic	El Valle	2000	11.6%	64.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Pimentel	2000	9.1%	56.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Cotuí	2000	12.5%	34.2%	0.7%
Dominican Republic	Maimón	2000	4.2%	29.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Castillo	2000	7.6%	45.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Boyá	2000	9.7%	45.6%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	El Llano	2000	3.9%	23.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tabara Arriba	2000	6.4%	35.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	La Mata	2000	16.0%	47.6%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Vicente Noble	2000	9.2%	53.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Paraiso	2000	9.6%	49.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Estebania	2000	8.5%	38.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Santa Bárbara de Samaná	2000	8.0%	25.8%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Jamao al Norte	2000	9.2%	40.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Yayas de Viajama	2000	7.6%	29.6%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Santana	2000	9.4%	26.8%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Cabral	2000	6.3%	57.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Rios	2000	11.6%	58.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Hidalgos	2000	8.1%	62.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Rafael del Yuma	2000	9.7%	29.9%	0.5%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Oeste	2000	1.4%	20.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	El Peñón	2000	5.3%	40.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Consuelo	2000	8.8%	51.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Constanza	2000	7.1%	29.2%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Ramón Santana	2000	11.2%	49.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Postrer Rio	2000	11.8%	48.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	El Factor	2000	9.7%	58.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Mao	2000	8.1%	31.2%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Tamayo	2000	9.4%	49.9%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Esperanza	2000	8.5%	41.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Guayubín	2000	11.7%	35.7%	0.8%
Dominican Republic	Las Terrenas	2000	15.2%	61.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Salvaleón de Higüey	2000	4.8%	11.5%	0.7%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tapia	2000	8.0%	47.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Cristóbal	2000	3.9%	21.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Tamboril	2000	7.5%	55.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Guananico	2000	11.3%	49.6%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Las Salinas	2000	10.0%	53.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Almácigos	2000	9.8%	46.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Esperalvillo	2000	25.9%	73.3%	0.6%
Dominican Republic	El Cercado	2000	11.5%	47.9%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Monción	2000	10.6%	57.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Francisco de Macorís	2000	2.8%	10.3%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Norte	2000	25.3%	46.3%	8.9%
Dominican Republic	Baní	2000	6.5%	23.3%	0.7%
Dominican Republic	La Romana	2017	1.0%	9.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Castañuela	2017	1.5%	10.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Cristobal	2017	2.9%	21.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Gregorio de Yaguatae	2017	2.7%	18.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Jamao al Norte	2017	4.6%	29.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Imbert	2017	1.6%	10.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Monte Plata	2017	3.1%	10.6%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Pedernales	2017	4.8%	17.5%	0.5%
Dominican Republic	Licey al Medio	2017	4.4%	46.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Dajabón	2017	2.6%	21.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Jarabacoa	2017	2.7%	16.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Fundación	2017	2.0%	19.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Janico	2017	4.4%	24.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Banica	2017	2.9%	17.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Guaranas	2017	2.9%	29.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Distrito Nacional	2017	1.0%	8.7%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Bonao	2017	1.4%	6.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Bisonó	2017	1.6%	17.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Cacaos	2017	4.4%	25.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz del Seybo	2017	2.6%	8.7%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Puñal	2017	3.2%	31.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Farfan	2017	4.4%	29.0%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Jimaní	2017	3.8%	24.1%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Nizao	2017	3.2%	23.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Gaspar Hernández	2017	3.5%	20.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Juan de la Maguana	2017	3.3%	8.9%	0.5%
Dominican Republic	Guananico	2017	2.2%	17.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Cevicos	2017	3.7%	15.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Cabrera	2017	3.2%	22.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sosua	2017	3.4%	17.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Nagua	2017	0.9%	4.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Enriquillo	2017	5.0%	42.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Gonzalez	2017	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Matas de Santa Cruz	2017	7.4%	53.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana de la Mar	2017	3.6%	28.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Rancho Arriba	2017	3.6%	23.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Vázquez	2017	2.5%	22.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Partido	2017	1.5%	14.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Santa Bárbara de Samaná	2017	2.9%	15.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Duvergé	2017	4.9%	19.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San José de Las Matas	2017	4.1%	18.4%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Villa Rivas	2017	4.8%	26.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Pepillo Salcedo	2017	3.0%	22.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Charcas	2017	4.0%	22.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Fernando de Monte Cristi	2017	3.8%	18.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Altagracia	2017	3.8%	18.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Loma de Cabrera	2017	1.2%	10.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Cayetano Germosén	2017	7.5%	64.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Galvan	2017	4.5%	16.8%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Juan Santiago	2017	4.3%	29.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Jaragua	2017	4.2%	34.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	La Descubierta	2017	3.4%	22.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Vallejuelo	2017	3.9%	25.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Pedro de Macorís	2017	2.4%	14.6%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Padre Las Casas	2017	4.0%	15.7%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Los Llanos	2017	3.8%	15.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Felipe de Puerto Plata	2017	2.1%	10.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Hidalgos	2017	2.9%	26.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Laguna Salada	2017	2.9%	21.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Estebania	2017	2.7%	16.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Juan de Herrera	2017	4.1%	10.9%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Rio San Juan	2017	4.3%	32.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Montellano	2017	1.8%	19.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Larga	2017	1.0%	5.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Piedra Blanca	2017	1.9%	10.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Altamira	2017	1.9%	13.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Santa Cruz de Barahona	2017	2.2%	16.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Consuelo	2017	1.4%	11.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Yegua	2017	1.1%	9.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Rios	2017	4.5%	36.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Luperon	2017	4.7%	23.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Guerra	2017	3.3%	19.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Concepción de la Vega	2017	3.9%	15.2%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Comendador	2017	1.1%	5.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Yamasá	2017	6.7%	30.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	La Cienaga	2017	4.4%	32.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Moca	2017	12.6%	41.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Brand	2017	7.4%	43.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tabara Arriba	2017	3.3%	22.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Postrer Rio	2017	4.6%	36.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sánchez	2017	3.0%	18.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Boyá	2017	3.6%	26.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	La Mata	2017	6.8%	28.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Azua de Compostela	2017	0.6%	4.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	El Factor	2017	2.9%	26.3%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Hato Mayor del Rey	2017	4.4%	17.4%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Jima Abajo	2017	4.5%	36.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Nigua	2017	1.8%	19.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	El Pino	2017	2.8%	19.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Almácigos	2017	3.5%	26.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	El Peñón	2017	0.5%	6.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Bayaguana	2017	5.0%	22.3%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	El Llano	2017	1.9%	16.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Boca Chica	2017	4.2%	36.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Hondo Valle	2017	5.8%	36.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Paraiso	2017	4.1%	25.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Norte	2017	5.7%	19.7%	0.3%
Dominican Republic	Cotuí	2017	3.8%	16.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Tamboril	2017	3.2%	21.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Guayabal	2017	5.8%	28.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	El Cercado	2017	4.8%	31.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Tapia	2017	3.4%	22.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Quisquella	2017	1.1%	8.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Fantino	2017	2.7%	21.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Miches	2017	4.1%	27.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Hostos	2017	4.2%	35.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Pedro Santana	2017	3.7%	15.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Maimón	2017	1.0%	8.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Esperanza	2017	1.9%	12.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Constanza	2017	1.1%	5.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Pimentel	2017	4.5%	39.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Bajos de Haina	2017	0.4%	3.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Salcedo	2017	1.2%	9.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Villa Hermosa	2017	0.6%	3.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	La Laguna de Nisibón	2017	2.6%	18.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San José de Ocoa	2017	2.6%	14.3%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	San Ignacio de Sabaneta	2017	4.2%	23.0%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Las Salinas	2017	2.5%	18.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Tenares	2017	2.7%	19.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Los Alcarrizos	2017	2.5%	19.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Restauración	2017	3.8%	26.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Ramón Santana	2017	3.9%	25.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Monción	2017	5.0%	38.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Bohechio	2017	4.1%	25.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Arenoso	2017	4.2%	25.5%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Esperalvillo	2017	5.7%	31.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Guaymate	2017	5.5%	29.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Vicente Noble	2017	3.6%	23.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Guayacanes	2017	4.2%	29.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Guayubín	2017	6.6%	27.7%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Las Yayas de Viajama	2017	3.3%	17.2%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Cabral	2017	1.5%	10.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Cristóbal	2017	2.7%	12.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	San Francisco de Macorís	2017	1.5%	6.1%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Baní	2017	1.8%	10.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Pueblo Viejo	2017	1.8%	11.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Este	2017	4.3%	29.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Santo Domingo Oeste	2017	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Tamayo	2017	3.9%	24.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Salvaleón de Higüey	2017	1.9%	5.7%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	Jaquimeyes	2017	2.5%	17.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Mella	2017	4.6%	24.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Iglesia	2017	3.2%	33.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Neyba	2017	4.4%	23.0%	0.1%
Dominican Republic	Mao	2017	2.6%	19.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Peralta	2017	3.3%	22.8%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Dominican Republic	Cambita	2017	1.1%	5.9%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Garabito	2017	3.6%	12.6%	0.2%
Dominican Republic	San Rafael del Yuma	2017	7.0%	42.3%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Las Terrenas	2017	0.5%	3.0%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Santiago de los Caballeros	2017	4.6%	30.8%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	La Isabela	2017	3.9%	31.6%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	El Valle	2017	3.1%	29.2%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Sabana Grande de Palenque	2017	3.0%	25.4%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Castillo	2017	3.9%	26.7%	0.0%
Dominican Republic	Polo	2017	36.3%	39.7%	33.4%
Ecuador	Yantzaza	2000	49.8%	52.3%	46.0%
Ecuador	Sigchos	2000	1.5%	2.6%	1.0%
Ecuador	La Troncal	2000	70.1%	74.3%	54.7%
Ecuador	Chinchi	2000	61.3%	67.8%	50.9%
Ecuador	Loreto	2000	28.5%	34.7%	13.6%
Ecuador	Gualaceo	2000	21.2%	23.6%	16.8%
Ecuador	Buena Fé	2000	19.7%	19.9%	18.2%
Ecuador	Chunchi	2000	15.8%	19.0%	3.0%
Ecuador	Atahualpa	2000	48.4%	50.5%	40.1%
Ecuador	Tiwintza	2000	26.5%	31.8%	22.1%
Ecuador	Pucará	2000	41.2%	47.4%	34.0%
Ecuador	Santa Elena	2000	17.5%	19.9%	15.7%
Ecuador	Urbina Jado	2000	90.7%	95.9%	64.2%
Ecuador	San Miguel de Urcuquí	2000	19.1%	21.6%	16.4%
Ecuador	Guaranda	2000	24.5%	31.4%	14.5%
Ecuador	Gonzanamá	2000	32.3%	33.4%	30.7%
Ecuador	Babahoyo	2000	12.4%	18.5%	0.4%
Ecuador	Quinsaloma	2000	7.9%	18.8%	6.4%
Ecuador	Jaramijó	2000	41.6%	45.4%	41.1%
Ecuador	Samborondón	2000	18.4%	20.4%	15.0%
Ecuador	Pallatanga	2000	57.9%	60.3%	54.7%
Ecuador	Riobamba	2000	35.8%	38.1%	33.7%
Ecuador	Sigsig	2000	43.3%	48.0%	37.4%
Ecuador	Rumiñahui	2000	72.8%	77.1%	59.7%
Ecuador	Sucúa	2000	16.7%	16.8%	15.7%
Ecuador	San Jacinto de Yaguachi	2000	0.7%	2.1%	0.0%
Ecuador	Pujilí	2000	5.6%	6.8%	4.2%
Ecuador	Cañar	2000	17.2%	18.1%	12.9%
Ecuador	Taisha	2000	4.9%	5.2%	4.6%
Ecuador	Jipijapa	2000	22.3%	25.1%	20.6%
Ecuador	General Antonio Elizalde	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	El Carmen	2000	59.6%	63.6%	54.1%
Ecuador	Quijos	2000	24.7%	29.7%	20.3%
Ecuador	Chaguarpamba	2000	20.4%	26.9%	12.7%
Ecuador	Alfredo Baquerizo Moreno	2000	16.7%	27.5%	8.9%
Ecuador	Limón Indanza	2000	44.1%	45.6%	42.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Lago Agrio	2000	28.0%	29.0%	27.1%
Ecuador	Orellana	2000	72.5%	73.2%	71.4%
Ecuador	El Tambo	2000	4.6%	4.7%	2.5%
Ecuador	Puerto López	2000	26.9%	29.5%	23.5%
Ecuador	Chone	2000	60.5%	63.3%	57.4%
Ecuador	Pedro Vicente Maldonado	2000	24.2%	31.9%	17.3%
Ecuador	Naranjito	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Eloy Alfaro	2000	16.0%	18.6%	12.4%
Ecuador	Pichincha	2000	82.7%	90.3%	72.7%
Ecuador	Chilla	2000	31.4%	32.7%	28.2%
Ecuador	Santa Rosa	2000	2.9%	8.9%	0.4%
Ecuador	Déleg	2000	21.2%	22.9%	18.9%
Ecuador	Mejía	2000	1.7%	1.9%	1.5%
Ecuador	Putumayo	2000	55.1%	62.9%	33.5%
Ecuador	Colimes	2000	56.5%	65.6%	47.4%
Ecuador	Quevedo	2000	10.0%	13.3%	2.8%
Ecuador	Cumanda	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Gualaquiza	2000	29.0%	30.1%	27.5%
Ecuador	El Triunfo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Playas	2000	0.6%	2.9%	0.0%
Ecuador	Calvas	2000	4.1%	6.7%	1.2%
Ecuador	Pindal	2000	81.1%	87.9%	26.2%
Ecuador	Mera	2000	69.5%	69.8%	68.3%
Ecuador	Nobol	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Archidona	2000	26.7%	36.4%	20.4%
Ecuador	Cayambe	2000	22.7%	27.8%	19.6%
Ecuador	Arenillas	2000	0.7%	4.3%	0.0%
Ecuador	Paján	2000	52.3%	57.7%	45.1%
Ecuador	Arajuno	2000	16.4%	26.9%	7.8%
Ecuador	Salinas	2000	36.8%	38.2%	27.7%
Ecuador	Pueblo Viejo	2000	6.5%	8.2%	6.4%
Ecuador	Esmeraldas	2000	2.2%	2.3%	2.0%
Ecuador	Pedernales	2000	14.5%	36.4%	2.8%
Ecuador	Lomas de Saragatillo	2000	76.2%	76.4%	74.8%
Ecuador	Balzar	2000	81.7%	85.7%	63.3%
Ecuador	Paltas	2000	29.9%	39.0%	18.5%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Pelileo	2000	3.8%	3.8%	3.8%
Ecuador	Ventanas	2000	4.9%	4.9%	4.9%
Ecuador	Valencia	2000	47.8%	52.7%	35.4%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2000	20.4%	20.5%	20.4%
Ecuador	San Lorenzo	2000	44.0%	48.7%	37.6%
Ecuador	Guachapala	2000	0.6%	1.5%	0.0%
Ecuador	Echeandía	2000	14.2%	14.2%	14.2%
Ecuador	Tulcán	2000	18.0%	20.3%	12.7%
Ecuador	La Concordia	2000	77.0%	80.7%	62.5%
Ecuador	Aguarico	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Ecuador	El Empalme	2000	32.8%	49.8%	11.8%
Ecuador	Logroño	2000	16.1%	21.4%	15.1%
Ecuador	Penipe	2000	0.8%	7.6%	0.0%
Ecuador	Portovelo	2000	24.7%	25.7%	20.0%
Ecuador	Machala	2000	0.6%	1.1%	0.2%
Ecuador	Sucre	2000	67.6%	70.5%	66.6%
Ecuador	Montúfar	2000	12.0%	14.1%	7.4%
Ecuador	Morona	2000	35.9%	36.2%	35.4%
Ecuador	Quito	2000	14.9%	16.6%	12.8%
Ecuador	Nangaritza	2000	70.2%	72.1%	67.8%
Ecuador	Santa Clara	2000	71.5%	75.4%	69.4%
Ecuador	Yacuambi	2000	26.4%	30.5%	22.5%
Ecuador	Santa Ana	2000	54.1%	57.7%	46.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Balao	2000	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%
Ecuador	Cevallos	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Pedro Moncayo	2000	42.8%	63.4%	19.5%
Ecuador	Ibarra	2000	31.3%	35.5%	18.1%
Ecuador	Puerto Quito	2000	60.3%	70.3%	46.8%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Huaca	2000	50.2%	53.6%	41.9%
Ecuador	Montalvo	2000	45.8%	46.1%	45.8%
Ecuador	Palanda	2000	41.3%	44.3%	33.8%
Ecuador	Daule	2000	3.0%	3.9%	2.3%
Ecuador	San Miguel de los Bancos	2000	53.1%	56.4%	48.3%
Ecuador	Salcedo	2000	4.0%	6.1%	3.6%
Ecuador	Atacames	2000	12.1%	12.3%	10.5%
Ecuador	Zaruma	2000	11.3%	12.2%	9.7%
Ecuador	San Juan Bosco	2000	27.9%	29.9%	27.4%
Ecuador	Mocache	2000	8.1%	8.8%	8.0%
Ecuador	Baños de Agua Santa	2000	3.7%	4.8%	2.8%
Ecuador	Cascales	2000	36.6%	43.8%	35.4%
Ecuador	Palora	2000	50.2%	53.4%	45.2%
Ecuador	El Chaco	2000	19.0%	19.4%	18.2%
Ecuador	Santa Lucia	2000	22.7%	22.7%	22.6%
Ecuador	Quinindé	2000	27.6%	33.4%	22.1%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2000	99.7%	100.0%	98.2%
Ecuador	Santo Domingo	2000	38.4%	42.4%	29.1%
Ecuador	Piñas	2000	24.6%	27.9%	21.4%
Ecuador	Flavio Alfaro	2000	67.0%	92.0%	40.0%
Ecuador	Baba	2000	0.8%	0.9%	0.8%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2000	10.4%	20.5%	8.5%
Ecuador	Isabela	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Centinela del Cóndor	2000	48.6%	49.6%	47.3%
Ecuador	Catamayo	2000	11.5%	15.7%	9.8%
Ecuador	Cuyabeno	2000	34.1%	41.7%	26.5%
Ecuador	Santa Isabel	2000	8.3%	9.4%	6.4%
Ecuador	Pastaza	2000	81.8%	82.0%	81.4%
Ecuador	Espíndola	2000	18.1%	29.6%	5.0%
Ecuador	El Guabo	2000	7.9%	9.6%	6.6%
Ecuador	El Pangui	2000	65.7%	70.2%	57.8%
Ecuador	Balsas	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Azogues	2000	29.0%	32.8%	21.2%
Ecuador	La Maná	2000	11.6%	13.3%	4.5%
Ecuador	Muisne	2000	15.5%	23.2%	5.6%
Ecuador	Mira	2000	55.0%	55.1%	54.8%
Ecuador	Pedro Carbo	2000	49.6%	81.6%	36.4%
Ecuador	Quero	2000	24.4%	24.6%	22.5%
Ecuador	Paquisha	2000	83.2%	83.7%	76.6%
Ecuador	Palestina	2000	35.4%	39.7%	28.0%
Ecuador	Saraguro	2000	22.8%	25.8%	19.1%
Ecuador	Zapotillo	2000	90.8%	99.5%	28.8%
Ecuador	Marcabelí	2000	4.1%	22.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2000	31.7%	38.5%	31.3%
Ecuador	Guano	2000	45.3%	50.1%	40.8%
Ecuador	Guayaquil	2000	12.3%	14.8%	10.2%
Ecuador	La Joya de los Sachas	2000	59.4%	64.5%	55.7%
Ecuador	Paute	2000	11.3%	15.2%	6.6%
Ecuador	24 De Mayo	2000	42.3%	42.8%	35.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Otavalo	2000	34.2%	43.9%	13.3%
Ecuador	Santa Cruz	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Río Verde	2000	19.5%	23.5%	7.2%
Ecuador	Sevilla de Oro	2000	15.5%	26.2%	1.3%
Ecuador	San Cristóbal	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Pimampiro	2000	20.5%	24.4%	13.6%
Ecuador	Sucumbíos	2000	48.4%	53.8%	36.0%
Ecuador	Milagro	2000	2.3%	4.1%	0.9%
Ecuador	Cuenca	2000	4.4%	5.3%	3.3%
Ecuador	Biblián	2000	7.0%	7.7%	6.2%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2000	18.4%	19.6%	15.6%
Ecuador	Huaquillas	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Coronel Marcelino Maridueña				
Ecuador	Antonio Ante	2000	27.7%	42.2%	2.9%
Ecuador	Alausí	2000	49.0%	53.8%	45.7%
Ecuador	Tisaleo	2000	7.3%	22.0%	6.0%
Ecuador	Palenque	2000	24.5%	27.0%	19.9%
Ecuador	Montecristi	2000	72.4%	72.9%	71.8%
Ecuador	Jama	2000	0.8%	4.6%	0.0%
Ecuador	Puyango	2000	31.7%	47.1%	4.4%
Ecuador	Carlos Julio Arosemena Tola	2000	66.4%	71.2%	59.8%
Ecuador	Espejo	2000	20.6%	26.3%	19.4%
Ecuador	Portoviejo	2000	40.6%	42.5%	38.9%
Ecuador	Urdaneta	2000	55.5%	63.2%	51.7%
Ecuador	Sozoranga	2000	35.1%	58.6%	9.2%
Ecuador	Chordeleg	2000	12.0%	12.2%	11.5%
Ecuador	Naranjal	2000	25.3%	27.4%	19.1%
Ecuador	La Libertad	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Ecuador	San Miguel	2000	7.8%	9.7%	6.6%
Ecuador	Chillanes	2000	13.1%	13.1%	13.0%
Ecuador	Loja	2000	17.2%	27.1%	11.0%
Ecuador	Pasaje	2000	8.1%	12.3%	4.2%
Ecuador	Junín	2000	23.4%	24.2%	23.2%
Ecuador	Guamote	2000	32.3%	40.9%	25.1%
Ecuador	Mocha	2000	15.1%	16.5%	12.1%
Ecuador	Rocafuerte	2000	55.5%	55.6%	53.8%
Ecuador	Santiago de Pillaro	2000	35.5%	47.9%	24.0%
Ecuador	Camilo Ponce Enriquez	2000	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
Ecuador	Suscal	2000	48.2%	58.7%	41.9%
Ecuador	Isidro Ayora	2000	32.5%	35.0%	28.9%
Ecuador	Celica	2000	56.4%	74.6%	33.3%
Ecuador	Zamora	2000	38.0%	41.3%	35.6%
Ecuador	Pablo Sexto	2000	37.3%	42.1%	34.3%
Ecuador	Tena	2000	31.7%	56.2%	23.8%
Ecuador	Caluma	2000	25.1%	25.4%	23.9%
Ecuador	Durán	2000	1.6%	3.1%	0.6%
Ecuador	San Vicente	2000	58.4%	67.3%	54.9%
Ecuador	Tosagua	2000	95.2%	96.4%	90.7%
Ecuador	El Pan	2000	17.2%	18.3%	8.3%
Ecuador	Oña	2000	2.9%	4.4%	0.7%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2000	23.6%	28.5%	18.6%
Ecuador	Latacunga	2000	16.0%	18.1%	13.3%
Ecuador	Colta	2000	16.4%	21.1%	15.2%
Ecuador	Ambato	2000	2.5%	3.7%	1.4%
Ecuador	Mejía	2000	90.2%	90.3%	88.8%
Ecuador	Huamboya	2000	78.9%	79.0%	76.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Las Lajas	2000	23.9%	80.4%	0.2%
Ecuador	Patate	2000	3.2%	4.8%	2.4%
Ecuador	Manta	2000	38.2%	38.5%	38.1%
Ecuador	Nabón	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Ecuador	Gonzalo Pizarro	2000	69.0%	71.9%	66.7%
Ecuador	Chambo	2000	25.8%	28.0%	21.7%
Ecuador	Chimbo	2000	18.8%	28.2%	9.8%
Ecuador	Macará	2000	32.6%	40.9%	15.8%
Ecuador	San Fernando	2000	22.6%	27.2%	14.7%
Ecuador	Las Naves	2000	16.2%	16.2%	16.2%
Ecuador	Girón	2000	5.5%	10.0%	3.4%
Ecuador	Vinces	2000	2.5%	3.5%	2.3%
Ecuador	Pangua	2000	16.2%	17.7%	12.2%
Ecuador	Shushufindi	2000	42.8%	48.2%	38.9%
Ecuador	Cotacachi	2000	49.9%	55.5%	43.0%
Ecuador	Simon Bolivar	2000	4.9%	8.7%	1.5%
Ecuador	Santiago	2000	43.6%	44.9%	40.7%
Ecuador	Guaranda	2017	18.5%	21.8%	15.0%
Ecuador	Chunchi	2017	19.5%	19.7%	18.1%
Ecuador	Chordeleg	2017	10.4%	13.0%	9.8%
Ecuador	Carlos Julio Arosemena Tola	2017	76.4%	82.1%	64.9%
Ecuador	Caluma	2017	22.6%	22.7%	22.0%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2017	30.0%	44.6%	29.0%
Ecuador	Jipijapa	2017	22.2%	23.2%	20.7%
Ecuador	Santa Clara	2017	81.2%	83.1%	78.9%
Ecuador	Catamayo	2017	10.7%	14.2%	8.7%
Ecuador	Jaramijó	2017	48.4%	57.0%	39.9%
Ecuador	Babahoyo	2017	28.3%	29.4%	27.1%
Ecuador	Taisha	2017	6.1%	6.3%	6.0%
Ecuador	Penipe	2017	0.9%	7.9%	0.0%
Ecuador	El Triunfo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Calvas	2017	4.2%	6.7%	1.2%
Ecuador	Piñas	2017	21.8%	25.0%	18.8%
Ecuador	Naranjito	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Esmeraldas	2017	1.9%	2.1%	1.8%
Ecuador	Muisne	2017	15.8%	24.9%	5.2%
Ecuador	Arenillas	2017	0.7%	4.3%	0.0%
Ecuador	Aguarico	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Ecuador	La Maná	2017	10.9%	12.4%	4.5%
Ecuador	Mira	2017	48.6%	48.7%	48.5%
Ecuador	Chone	2017	63.9%	66.7%	60.7%
Ecuador	Putumayo	2017	54.2%	62.2%	32.5%
Ecuador	Pueblo Viejo	2017	7.1%	9.2%	7.0%
Ecuador	Mocache	2017	6.3%	6.8%	5.9%
Ecuador	Milagro	2017	1.8%	3.3%	0.7%
Ecuador	Paján	2017	55.2%	59.7%	49.8%
Ecuador	La Concordia	2017	83.5%	85.4%	61.0%
Ecuador	Atahualpa	2017	13.5%	15.2%	6.8%
Ecuador	El Pan	2017	18.0%	19.5%	8.3%
Ecuador	Santo Domingo	2017	41.6%	49.0%	33.7%
Ecuador	Tulcán	2017	17.7%	19.4%	14.0%
Ecuador	Mera	2017	73.0%	75.1%	71.7%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2017	21.1%	24.9%	20.6%
Ecuador	San Lorenzo	2017	41.5%	44.4%	37.3%
Ecuador	Samborondón	2017	14.3%	16.3%	11.4%
Ecuador	Espejo	2017	16.1%	21.0%	15.0%
Ecuador	Machala	2017	0.9%	1.6%	0.4%
Ecuador	Salinas	2017	35.0%	35.8%	34.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Zapotillo	2017	90.4%	99.5%	27.7%
Ecuador	Chambo	2017	27.7%	30.3%	22.9%
Ecuador	Pedernales	2017	13.0%	38.7%	3.0%
Ecuador	Espíndola	2017	17.0%	26.7%	5.7%
Ecuador	Santa Rosa	2017	2.4%	7.5%	0.3%
Ecuador	Cañar	2017	15.6%	16.4%	13.0%
Ecuador	Limón In-danza	2017	42.3%	43.7%	41.2%
Ecuador	Santa Ana	2017	56.1%	60.7%	53.0%
Ecuador	Saraguro	2017	22.8%	26.0%	18.5%
Ecuador	Yantzaza	2017	34.1%	36.7%	31.2%
Ecuador	Quilanga	2017	7.3%	16.9%	5.7%
Ecuador	Montúfar	2017	11.3%	13.0%	7.4%
Ecuador	Daule	2017	3.1%	4.3%	2.2%
Ecuador	Rocafuerte	2017	55.6%	55.7%	55.0%
Ecuador	Gualaquiza	2017	27.7%	28.8%	27.0%
Ecuador	Pallatanga	2017	58.1%	61.4%	55.3%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2017	99.8%	100.0%	99.1%
Ecuador	Celica	2017	61.2%	79.4%	34.6%
Ecuador	Rumiñahui	2017	74.2%	78.4%	63.4%
Ecuador	El Empalme	2017	30.1%	44.4%	11.3%
Ecuador	Nangaritza	2017	73.4%	75.1%	71.0%
Ecuador	Sucumbíos	2017	52.0%	56.3%	40.2%
Ecuador	General Antonio Elizalde	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Riobamba	2017	28.4%	30.9%	25.9%
Ecuador	Morona	2017	33.1%	44.4%	31.0%
Ecuador	Pedro Moncayo	2017	45.1%	64.1%	18.3%
Ecuador	Gonzanamá	2017	24.3%	32.0%	10.6%
Ecuador	Déleg	2017	13.5%	14.6%	12.2%
Ecuador	Jama	2017	0.9%	3.4%	0.1%
Ecuador	Ibarra	2017	29.0%	32.6%	14.5%
Ecuador	Mejía	2017	91.2%	91.2%	89.9%
Ecuador	Yacuambi	2017	34.4%	38.0%	30.6%
Ecuador	La Troncal	2017	1.7%	2.5%	1.4%
Ecuador	Cevallos	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	El Guabo	2017	8.5%	10.9%	6.7%
Ecuador	Chaguarpamba	2017	23.7%	30.1%	14.7%
Ecuador	San Pedro de Huaca	2017	40.4%	43.2%	33.6%
Ecuador	Palora	2017	51.8%	66.0%	48.4%
Ecuador	Quinsaloma	2017	15.0%	23.4%	1.3%
Ecuador	Olmedo	2017	15.0%	26.7%	8.6%
Ecuador	Tiwintza	2017	54.7%	57.0%	44.6%
Ecuador	Playas	2017	0.6%	2.5%	0.0%
Ecuador	Lago Agrio	2017	28.9%	30.3%	27.9%
Ecuador	Sucre	2017	68.3%	71.0%	67.0%
Ecuador	Marcabelí	2017	3.6%	19.5%	0.0%
Ecuador	Archidona	2017	25.2%	34.3%	18.4%
Ecuador	Cascales	2017	44.2%	50.1%	42.9%
Ecuador	Pastaza	2017	82.1%	82.3%	81.8%
Ecuador	Huaquillas	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Pucará	2017	24.9%	27.8%	20.6%
Ecuador	Montecristi	2017	82.4%	91.0%	74.3%
Ecuador	Palestina	2017	34.1%	36.8%	28.3%
Ecuador	El Carmen	2017	53.3%	57.9%	47.4%
Ecuador	Loja	2017	18.4%	43.9%	9.0%
Ecuador	Montalvo	2017	43.8%	44.1%	43.7%
Ecuador	Pedro Carbo	2017	57.2%	87.3%	37.5%
Ecuador	Río Verde	2017	19.4%	22.1%	7.8%
Ecuador	Ambato	2017	2.8%	3.9%	1.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Pedro Vicente Maldonado	2017	23.4%	29.7%	17.4%
Ecuador	San Cristóbal	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Eloy Alfaro	2017	16.7%	19.3%	12.8%
Ecuador	Ventanas	2017	6.1%	6.1%	6.1%
Ecuador	San Jacinto de Yaguachi	2017	0.8%	2.1%	0.0%
Ecuador	Santa Lucia	2017	18.4%	19.9%	18.2%
Ecuador	Buena Fé	2017	21.2%	23.0%	18.1%
Ecuador	Quero	2017	23.0%	23.2%	20.9%
Ecuador	Paltas	2017	31.4%	41.2%	19.6%
Ecuador	Pablo Sexto	2017	46.2%	49.9%	42.8%
Ecuador	Alausí	2017	49.1%	54.5%	46.2%
Ecuador	Logroño	2017	13.2%	17.8%	11.7%
Ecuador	Zaruma	2017	10.5%	11.1%	9.1%
Ecuador	Orellana	2017	75.9%	76.8%	74.7%
Ecuador	Baba	2017	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%
Ecuador	Santa Cruz	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Manta	2017	43.4%	49.0%	38.1%
Ecuador	Oña	2017	2.1%	2.9%	0.8%
Ecuador	Sucúa	2017	10.5%	10.6%	10.0%
Ecuador	Urbina Jado	2017	19.4%	21.6%	15.2%
Ecuador	Santiago de Pillaro	2017	36.5%	48.6%	23.8%
Ecuador	Santa Isabel	2017	8.8%	10.1%	6.7%
Ecuador	Loreto	2017	54.7%	60.6%	42.3%
Ecuador	Valencia	2017	41.4%	46.5%	29.8%
Ecuador	Naranjal	2017	33.5%	35.6%	27.2%
Ecuador	Urdaneta	2017	50.9%	59.0%	49.6%
Ecuador	San Miguel de los Bancos	2017	48.8%	51.9%	46.0%
Ecuador	Puerto López	2017	21.3%	27.8%	15.1%
Ecuador	La Joya de los Sachas	2017	60.9%	67.6%	56.7%
Ecuador	Antonio Ante	2017	36.8%	46.2%	2.5%
Ecuador	Salcedo	2017	4.8%	7.3%	4.3%
Ecuador	Balao	2017	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%
Ecuador	Cuyabeno	2017	30.7%	36.8%	24.6%
Ecuador	Puerto Quito	2017	57.6%	70.4%	43.9%
Ecuador	Pujilí	2017	6.4%	7.2%	5.1%
Ecuador	Pimampiro	2017	17.6%	20.7%	11.0%
Ecuador	Colimes	2017	56.2%	63.2%	50.3%
Ecuador	Otavalo	2017	28.0%	37.6%	7.5%
Ecuador	Baños de Agua Santa	2017	3.6%	4.9%	2.2%
Ecuador	Palenque	2017	22.0%	23.2%	19.1%
Ecuador	Suscal	2017	62.1%	63.4%	54.5%
Ecuador	Guamote	2017	31.4%	38.5%	25.0%
Ecuador	Paute	2017	10.6%	13.5%	5.9%
Ecuador	El Pangui	2017	71.7%	74.5%	65.2%
Ecuador	San Miguel de Urcuquí	2017	91.6%	95.6%	61.9%
Ecuador	Pindal	2017	80.5%	87.2%	25.4%
Ecuador	Arajuno	2017	17.0%	29.5%	8.0%
Ecuador	Cayambe	2017	22.1%	27.5%	18.4%
Ecuador	Azogues	2017	33.4%	34.2%	32.1%
Ecuador	Sigchos	2017	48.3%	49.5%	43.9%
Ecuador	Quinindé	2017	26.8%	32.4%	21.2%
Ecuador	Lomas de Saragatillo	2017	75.3%	75.3%	75.3%
Ecuador	Junín	2017	20.9%	34.9%	18.6%
Ecuador	Nobol	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	San Pedro de Pelileo	2017	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%
Ecuador	Flavio Alfaro	2017	65.8%	91.5%	38.1%
Ecuador	Vinces	2017	3.3%	4.1%	3.0%
Ecuador	Guano	2017	45.2%	49.6%	39.9%
Ecuador	Huamboya	2017	79.3%	82.2%	78.8%
Ecuador	24 De Mayo	2017	41.3%	41.6%	41.1%
Ecuador	Quijos	2017	25.2%	28.7%	21.2%
Ecuador	La Libertad	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Ecuador	Echeandía	2017	16.3%	16.3%	16.3%
Ecuador	Sevilla de Oro	2017	14.0%	21.3%	1.5%
Ecuador	San Fernando	2017	22.9%	54.4%	13.6%
Ecuador	Quito	2017	15.5%	17.1%	12.9%
Ecuador	Alfredo Baquerizo Moreno	2017	16.2%	28.1%	8.4%
Ecuador	Atacames	2017	10.8%	11.0%	9.7%
Ecuador	Mocha	2017	13.7%	15.3%	10.5%
Ecuador	Gonzalo Pizarro	2017	72.2%	73.9%	70.9%
Ecuador	Isidro Ayora	2017	38.7%	40.1%	32.9%
Ecuador	Santa Elena	2017	41.2%	46.6%	34.2%
Ecuador	Chillanes	2017	12.9%	12.9%	12.9%
Ecuador	Patate	2017	3.4%	4.9%	2.6%
Ecuador	Puyango	2017	31.3%	46.9%	4.1%
Ecuador	Paquisha	2017	85.5%	85.8%	81.8%
Ecuador	Pichincha	2017	83.1%	89.9%	76.5%
Ecuador	Camilo Ponce Enriquez	2017	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%
Ecuador	El Chaco	2017	15.0%	15.2%	14.5%
Ecuador	Mejía	2017	1.5%	1.8%	1.3%
Ecuador	Tisaleo	2017	6.6%	27.0%	4.9%
Ecuador	Simon Bolivar	2017	4.6%	8.6%	1.3%
Ecuador	Saquisilí	2017	28.7%	33.4%	21.9%
Ecuador	Palanda	2017	50.6%	53.9%	45.7%
Ecuador	Coronel Marcelino Maridueña	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Latacunga	2017	17.0%	19.1%	14.3%
Ecuador	Pangua	2017	15.0%	16.1%	11.7%
Ecuador	Chimbo	2017	30.4%	35.0%	21.5%
Ecuador	Cotacachi	2017	50.1%	55.2%	43.6%
Ecuador	Portoviejo	2017	32.7%	34.4%	31.2%
Ecuador	Sozoranga	2017	37.9%	61.2%	10.2%
Ecuador	Quevedo	2017	9.5%	11.9%	3.5%
Ecuador	Cumanda	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Shushufindi	2017	42.0%	46.5%	37.5%
Ecuador	Isabela	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Tosagua	2017	96.0%	96.8%	95.9%
Ecuador	San Juan Bosco	2017	27.0%	28.6%	26.4%
Ecuador	Durán	2017	1.1%	2.1%	0.4%
Ecuador	Cuenca	2017	4.0%	4.9%	2.9%
Ecuador	Balzar	2017	79.0%	82.5%	55.0%
Ecuador	Bolívar	2017	17.2%	17.6%	15.4%
Ecuador	Santiago	2017	35.3%	36.4%	32.6%
Ecuador	Zamora	2017	33.2%	35.3%	31.6%
Ecuador	Centinela del Cóndor	2017	47.6%	48.9%	46.7%
Ecuador	Balsas	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Ecuador	Colta	2017	17.5%	22.0%	16.3%
Ecuador	Gualaceo	2017	24.4%	29.3%	11.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ecuador	Chinchipec	2017	75.3%	79.1%	50.7%
Ecuador	Las Lajas	2017	23.2%	79.5%	0.2%
Ecuador	Guayaquil	2017	9.0%	10.5%	7.7%
Ecuador	Nabón	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Ecuador	San Vicente	2017	65.3%	75.8%	59.7%
Ecuador	Biblián	2017	5.4%	6.0%	4.7%
Ecuador	San Miguel	2017	12.0%	12.8%	11.3%
Ecuador	Sigsig	2017	44.2%	49.6%	37.8%
Ecuador	Tena	2017	37.4%	65.6%	26.5%
Ecuador	Portovelo	2017	22.8%	34.9%	20.8%
Ecuador	Chilla	2017	34.5%	38.3%	31.5%
Ecuador	Las Naves	2017	13.5%	13.5%	13.5%
Ecuador	Guachapala	2017	0.7%	1.4%	0.0%
Ecuador	Macará	2017	34.1%	42.1%	17.2%
Ecuador	El Tambo	2017	4.1%	4.2%	2.9%
Ecuador	Girón	2017	4.7%	11.8%	2.7%
Ecuador	Pasaje	2017	7.3%	10.9%	3.8%
El Salvador	Nahuizalco	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
El Salvador	San José	2000	13.7%	35.5%	3.2%
El Salvador	San Miguel de Mercedes	2000	1.5%	6.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Luis La Herradura	2000	14.2%	38.6%	1.8%
El Salvador	San Francisco Chinameca	2000	1.6%	5.4%	0.1%
El Salvador	Tenancingo	2000	6.1%	21.9%	0.4%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Analquito	2000	3.7%	7.7%	0.7%
El Salvador	Jutiapa	2000	10.3%	29.3%	1.6%
El Salvador	Metapán	2000	8.0%	22.6%	1.9%
El Salvador	Santa María	2000	3.6%	8.6%	0.6%
El Salvador	Guatajiagua	2000	12.6%	33.1%	1.9%
El Salvador	Delgado	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Zacatecoluca	2000	7.3%	24.7%	0.9%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	4.7%	12.7%	0.3%
El Salvador	San José Guayabal	2000	2.7%	7.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nahulingo	2000	2.1%	8.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	8.9%	18.8%	3.7%
El Salvador	Sesori	2000	15.0%	33.5%	3.8%
El Salvador	San Pedro Nonualco	2000	3.6%	10.3%	0.1%
El Salvador	Cuscatancingo	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
El Salvador	La Laguna	2000	7.8%	24.1%	0.2%
El Salvador	Santa María Ostuma	2000	2.9%	7.6%	0.6%
El Salvador	San Ramón	2000	2.1%	2.9%	1.7%
El Salvador	Candelaria	2000	2.0%	4.2%	0.8%
El Salvador	Nueva Trinidad	2000	4.4%	18.6%	0.1%
El Salvador	Jayaque	2000	2.1%	8.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Delicias de Concepción	2000	2.7%	8.0%	0.1%
El Salvador	San José Cancasque	2000	6.6%	22.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Citalá	2000	12.3%	37.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Guacotecti	2000	12.2%	38.5%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Antonio Masahuat	2000	4.1%	10.2%	0.5%
El Salvador	Sensuntepeque	2000	9.0%	19.7%	2.0%
El Salvador	San Jorge	2000	4.0%	17.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Dulce Nombre de María	2000	5.0%	14.6%	0.6%
El Salvador	Santa Catarina Masahuat	2000	2.1%	4.3%	1.4%
El Salvador	San Gerardo	2000	9.2%	34.5%	0.0%
El Salvador	Olocuilta	2000	1.6%	5.0%	0.1%
El Salvador	Lago de Llopango	2000	2.3%	7.5%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2000	4.7%	22.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	Jocoaitique	2000	6.4%	28.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nombre de Jesús	2000	7.7%	33.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Juayúa	2000	0.5%	1.1%	0.1%
El Salvador	Torola	2000	5.9%	26.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Ilopango	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nejapa	2000	1.2%	1.9%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Bartolomé Perulapía	2000	1.2%	4.2%	0.1%
El Salvador	La Libertad	2000	3.8%	10.4%	0.8%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2000	2.6%	5.1%	0.1%
El Salvador	Carolina	2000	5.9%	29.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Azacualpa	2000	3.7%	13.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa Clara	2000	11.3%	23.3%	3.1%
El Salvador	Rosario de Mora	2000	4.2%	9.6%	1.5%
El Salvador	Mercedes Umaña	2000	4.1%	11.7%	0.6%
El Salvador	San José Villanueva	2000	3.9%	9.0%	1.2%
El Salvador	La Unión	2000	10.5%	25.7%	2.7%
El Salvador	San Juan Talpa	2000	2.9%	9.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Matías	2000	4.8%	14.5%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Rafael Cedros	2000	4.8%	7.7%	3.2%
El Salvador	San Antonio	2000	6.5%	35.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Triunfo	2000	5.0%	14.2%	0.3%
El Salvador	San Antonio de la Cruz	2000	7.2%	31.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Marcos	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
El Salvador	San Francisco Lempa	2000	4.7%	18.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	La Reina	2000	9.9%	21.3%	1.9%
El Salvador	Verapaz	2000	3.4%	10.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Ciudad Barrios	2000	8.9%	33.3%	0.4%
El Salvador	Guadalupe	2000	4.8%	13.5%	0.1%
El Salvador	Conchagua	2000	11.0%	23.9%	3.6%
El Salvador	Lago de Coatepeque	2000	3.2%	10.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2000	3.2%	6.4%	0.3%
El Salvador	Apopa	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
El Salvador	Tecoluca	2000	9.9%	18.7%	3.3%
El Salvador	Acajutla	2000	5.5%	12.2%	1.6%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2000	11.0%	34.8%	0.1%
El Salvador	El Congo	2000	2.2%	7.8%	0.2%
El Salvador	Chalchuapa	2000	1.3%	3.7%	0.2%
El Salvador	Tapalhuaca	2000	2.5%	10.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Perquín	2000	3.4%	15.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Pedro Masahuat	2000	5.9%	13.1%	1.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Zaragoza	2000	3.7%	9.9%	1.0%
El Salvador	Nuevo Edén de San Juan	2000	8.0%	24.2%	0.6%
El Salvador	Chirilagua	2000	7.6%	17.1%	1.8%
El Salvador	San Ignacio	2000	16.6%	53.7%	1.2%
El Salvador	San Pedro Puxtla	2000	8.0%	11.3%	3.0%
El Salvador	San Isidro Labrador	2000	4.8%	12.1%	0.6%
El Salvador	Ereguayquín	2000	5.0%	22.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Panchimalco	2000	6.5%	15.7%	1.7%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2000	4.9%	11.6%	1.2%
El Salvador	Sacacoyo	2000	3.4%	21.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Vicente	2000	6.0%	14.6%	1.4%
El Salvador	Colón	2000	1.8%	7.5%	0.1%
El Salvador	Joateca	2000	8.1%	30.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Cuyultitán	2000	1.1%	3.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Uluazapa	2000	8.3%	27.4%	0.9%
El Salvador	Ilobasco	2000	7.4%	16.2%	1.9%
El Salvador	Tejutepeque	2000	10.6%	34.3%	0.4%
El Salvador	San Cayetano	2000	9.2%	35.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Istepeque				
El Salvador	Yayantique	2000	9.1%	29.8%	1.2%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2000	6.5%	31.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Jicalapa	2000	9.4%	27.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Apastepeque	2000	7.8%	24.4%	1.1%
El Salvador	Lolotique	2000	3.4%	10.8%	0.2%
El Salvador	Potonico	2000	5.1%	18.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Miguel	2000	3.3%	8.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Tepezontes				
El Salvador	Cacaopera	2000	9.2%	25.2%	1.1%
El Salvador	Soyapango	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Ojos de Agua	2000	5.6%	21.1%	0.4%
El Salvador	San Dionisio	2000	5.0%	15.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Gualococti	2000	15.0%	30.7%	0.9%
El Salvador	El Paraíso	2000	5.7%	20.2%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Antonio del Monte	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Juan Tepezontes	2000	4.1%	15.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Mejicanos	2000	0.5%	0.9%	0.2%
El Salvador	Quelepa	2000	2.4%	12.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santiago de la Frontera	2000	7.7%	23.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Francisco Javier	2000	6.8%	23.3%	0.3%
El Salvador	San Luis Talpa	2000	5.0%	10.3%	1.9%
El Salvador	Ciudad Arce	2000	1.0%	3.2%	0.1%
El Salvador	Monte San Juan	2000	4.1%	7.0%	2.5%
El Salvador	San Juan Nonualco	2000	4.6%	12.6%	0.9%
El Salvador	Anamorós	2000	17.7%	43.8%	2.3%
El Salvador	Santiago Texacuangos	2000	0.6%	2.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Sebastián	2000	4.0%	11.7%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Antonio Los Ranchos	2000	5.4%	14.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Huizúcar	2000	6.7%	16.4%	2.4%
El Salvador	San Francisco Morazán	2000	6.0%	16.1%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Tejutla	2000	8.8%	18.4%	2.7%
El Salvador	El Porvenir	2000	2.0%	10.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Jiquilisco	2000	7.7%	15.1%	2.3%
El Salvador	El Tránsito	2000	5.9%	21.6%	0.4%
El Salvador	El Sauce	2000	20.5%	45.8%	5.3%
El Salvador	San Luis de la Reina	2000	11.5%	37.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Refugio	2000	0.3%	2.2%	0.1%
El Salvador	Sensembra	2000	11.7%	35.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Comacarán	2000	8.5%	25.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2000	5.2%	15.6%	0.3%
El Salvador	Chilanga	2000	10.1%	31.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nueva Granada	2000	7.3%	16.6%	1.3%
El Salvador	Lolotiquillo	2000	10.9%	32.6%	0.1%
El Salvador	Lago de Guija	2000	7.7%	32.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz Michapa	2000	2.6%	4.2%	1.8%
El Salvador	San Simón	2000	10.7%	24.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Jucuapa	2000	3.2%	10.5%	0.2%
El Salvador	Concepción de Oriente	2000	17.1%	46.8%	2.4%
El Salvador	Tepetitán	2000	7.0%	24.0%	0.1%
El Salvador	Suchitoto	2000	5.6%	13.1%	1.4%
El Salvador	San Francisco Gotera	2000	7.2%	23.2%	0.1%
El Salvador	Lislique	2000	9.1%	32.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Concepción Batres	2000	6.5%	23.0%	0.6%
El Salvador	Coatepeque	2000	2.1%	6.4%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Emigdio	2000	3.7%	10.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	Turín	2000	31.2%	55.8%	10.0%
El Salvador	Tamanique	2000	6.5%	18.3%	0.9%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2000	12.5%	44.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	Jucuarán	2000	5.3%	13.7%	1.0%
El Salvador	Chalatenango	2000	2.0%	5.9%	0.3%
El Salvador	Cojutepeque	2000	3.3%	3.7%	3.1%
El Salvador	Oratorio de Concepción	2000	2.9%	14.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Agua Caliente	2000	9.7%	28.3%	1.5%
El Salvador	Antiguo Cuscatlán	2000	6.0%	8.5%	4.2%
El Salvador	Sonsonate	2000	5.8%	14.5%	1.0%
El Salvador	Bolívar	2000	10.0%	28.0%	1.5%
El Salvador	San Martín	2000	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Izalco	2000	0.9%	2.3%	0.2%
El Salvador	Tonacatepeque	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Paraíso de Osorio	2000	3.6%	9.4%	0.4%
El Salvador	Osicala	2000	10.5%	32.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Ildefonso	2000	10.2%	30.5%	2.1%
El Salvador	Teotepeque	2000	9.2%	23.9%	1.9%
El Salvador	Chapeltique	2000	8.4%	25.9%	1.0%
El Salvador	Santiago Nonualco	2000	6.3%	13.4%	1.5%
El Salvador	San Antonio Pajonal	2000	8.5%	30.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Chiltiupán	2000	9.8%	22.2%	1.6%
El Salvador	Mercedes La Ceiba	2000	3.2%	11.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	Arcatao	2000	4.8%	20.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa Ana	2000	1.7%	4.2%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Nuevo Cuscatlán	2000	8.9%	22.3%	2.5%
El Salvador	Las Vueltas	2000	3.8%	12.4%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Francisco Menéndez	2000	9.1%	17.9%	2.7%
El Salvador	Estanzuelas	2000	6.9%	18.3%	1.1%
El Salvador	Guazapa	2000	2.0%	5.7%	0.1%
El Salvador	Masahuat	2000	6.6%	23.3%	0.1%
El Salvador	Concepción Quezaltepeque	2000	1.0%	4.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	13.6%	48.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	Yucuaiquín	2000	11.2%	27.3%	1.2%
El Salvador	San Esteban Catarina	2000	7.1%	21.8%	0.7%
El Salvador	Ayutuxtepeque	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
El Salvador	Yamabal	2000	9.6%	25.9%	1.2%
El Salvador	Polorós	2000	13.3%	34.3%	1.1%
El Salvador	Nueva San Salvador	2000	2.4%	5.3%	0.5%
El Salvador	Usulután	2000	3.3%	6.8%	0.9%
El Salvador	Cinquera	2000	10.3%	31.7%	1.0%
El Salvador	Moncagua	2000	2.1%	7.3%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Rafael Obrajuelo	2000	2.4%	8.5%	0.1%
El Salvador	La Palma	2000	10.5%	33.7%	0.9%
El Salvador	Pasaquina	2000	16.9%	32.7%	7.7%
El Salvador	San Alejo	2000	12.1%	26.9%	3.9%
El Salvador	Meanguera del Golfo	2000	9.5%	31.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2000	8.0%	20.3%	0.9%
El Salvador	Alegría	2000	1.4%	4.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	Comasagua	2000	3.7%	8.9%	0.4%
El Salvador	Santiago de María	2000	0.9%	3.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	Aguilares	2000	1.8%	5.2%	0.2%
El Salvador	Candelaria de la Frontera	2000	5.4%	14.3%	0.5%
El Salvador	Berlín	2000	3.5%	8.9%	1.0%
El Salvador	El Paisnal	2000	4.1%	9.7%	0.8%
El Salvador	Corinto	2000	4.9%	31.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Armenia	2000	0.7%	1.9%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Julián	2000	3.2%	8.4%	0.5%
El Salvador	Nueva Concepción	2000	7.3%	16.5%	1.9%
El Salvador	Sonzacate	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Agustín	2000	6.3%	20.9%	0.9%
El Salvador	Victoria	2000	7.4%	28.1%	0.2%
El Salvador	Salcoatitán	2000	1.5%	5.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Pablo Tacachico	2000	4.6%	17.3%	0.3%
El Salvador	California	2000	3.8%	16.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Dolores	2000	7.5%	25.1%	0.5%
El Salvador	Tepecoyo	2000	1.4%	5.9%	0.2%
El Salvador	Texistepeque	2000	6.2%	17.0%	0.6%
El Salvador	Jocoro	2000	9.7%	31.0%	1.2%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2000	1.5%	6.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nueva Esparta	2000	11.5%	27.9%	0.7%
El Salvador	San Carlos	2000	7.5%	23.5%	0.3%
El Salvador	Opico	2000	2.0%	4.9%	0.5%
El Salvador	Jujutla	2000	11.4%	28.3%	2.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Puerto El Triunfo	2000	11.2%	36.2%	0.2%
El Salvador	Intipucá	2000	13.6%	35.3%	2.1%
El Salvador	Santa Isabel	2000	9.1%	24.1%	1.4%
El Salvador	Ishuatán				
El Salvador	Santa Rosa Guachipilín	2000	7.7%	25.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque	2000	1.4%	4.2%	0.2%
El Salvador	Cuisnahuat	2000	11.4%	24.6%	2.5%
El Salvador	Comalapa	2000	6.0%	16.7%	0.8%
El Salvador	Tacuba	2000	6.4%	13.3%	2.6%
El Salvador	Caluco	2000	2.6%	5.8%	0.2%
El Salvador	Yoloaiquín	2000	6.3%	18.7%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Luis del Carmen	2000	6.1%	21.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	Apaneca	2000	4.0%	9.2%	1.0%
El Salvador	Nueva Guadalupe	2000	2.2%	8.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Guaymango	2000	10.8%	20.6%	4.0%
El Salvador	Ozatlán	2000	4.6%	17.0%	0.3%
El Salvador	Meanguera	2000	6.7%	22.5%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Cristóbal	2000	2.9%	7.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2000	15.8%	30.2%	3.8%
El Salvador	Santo Tomás	2000	0.5%	1.3%	0.3%
El Salvador	Ahuachapán	2000	12.5%	23.9%	4.2%
El Salvador	Atiquizaya	2000	13.0%	22.0%	5.1%
El Salvador	El Carrizal	2000	7.3%	27.0%	0.6%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2000	4.6%	5.3%	4.1%
El Salvador	Santa Rita	2000	3.2%	11.8%	0.6%
El Salvador	San Pedro Perulapán	2000	2.1%	5.1%	0.7%
El Salvador	San Buenaventura	2000	3.5%	12.6%	0.4%
El Salvador	San Miguel	2000	3.1%	6.6%	0.8%
El Salvador	Embalse Cerro Grande	2000	5.0%	13.0%	1.2%
El Salvador	Jerusalén	2000	3.4%	9.2%	0.8%
El Salvador	Talnique	2000	2.4%	5.3%	0.9%
El Salvador	San Salvador	2000	2.3%	2.9%	1.8%
El Salvador	El Divisadero	2000	6.2%	19.5%	0.1%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2000	8.3%	21.5%	0.5%
El Salvador	San José Las Flores	2000	4.1%	13.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Sebastián Salitrillo	2000	1.1%	6.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Chinameca	2000	1.8%	4.9%	0.2%
El Salvador	Arambala	2000	3.3%	21.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Concepción de Ataco	2000	4.9%	13.6%	1.0%
El Salvador	Tecapán	2000	4.5%	19.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa Elena	2000	3.1%	9.4%	0.1%
El Salvador	Sociedad	2000	9.3%	23.9%	1.2%
El Salvador	Apaneca	2017	4.3%	10.0%	1.0%
El Salvador	San Buenaventura	2017	3.6%	12.2%	0.5%
El Salvador	El Paraíso	2017	5.9%	21.4%	0.1%
El Salvador	Tonacatepeque	2017	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	Lislique	2017	9.7%	32.5%	0.0%
El Salvador	Guatajiagua	2017	13.1%	34.5%	2.1%
El Salvador	San Pedro Nonualco	2017	3.7%	10.9%	0.1%
El Salvador	Candelaria	2017	2.0%	4.4%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Marcos	2017	1.7%	2.8%	1.0%
El Salvador	Verapaz	2017	4.0%	12.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Tejutepeque	2017	11.3%	37.1%	0.5%
El Salvador	Jiquilisco	2017	8.2%	16.0%	2.5%
El Salvador	Santa Catarina Masahuat	2017	2.3%	4.5%	1.7%
El Salvador	San Juan Talpa	2017	2.8%	9.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santiago de la Frontera	2017	8.0%	24.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	Opico	2017	1.8%	4.4%	0.4%
El Salvador	Guacotecti	2017	12.7%	39.8%	0.4%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	13.5%	47.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Izalco	2017	0.9%	2.1%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Miguel Tepezontes	2017	3.1%	8.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Panchimalco	2017	7.5%	18.1%	1.9%
El Salvador	San Vicente	2017	5.8%	13.5%	1.5%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa de Lima	2017	9.5%	20.3%	4.2%
El Salvador	Concepción de Oriente	2017	17.7%	47.4%	2.6%
El Salvador	Santa María	2017	3.7%	9.1%	0.6%
El Salvador	Azacualpa	2017	3.4%	13.5%	0.0%
El Salvador	Joateca	2017	8.7%	33.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Gerardo	2017	9.7%	36.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	San José Guayabal	2017	2.7%	8.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Ignacio	2017	16.9%	54.5%	1.2%
El Salvador	San José Villanueva	2017	4.2%	10.2%	1.4%
El Salvador	Ilopango	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Miguel	2017	3.2%	7.0%	0.9%
El Salvador	Sacacoyo	2017	3.3%	22.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nueva Trinidad	2017	4.5%	18.5%	0.0%
El Salvador	Tenancingo	2017	6.4%	22.7%	0.4%
El Salvador	Chalatenango	2017	2.3%	6.5%	0.4%
El Salvador	Chilanga	2017	10.6%	33.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Colón	2017	1.9%	7.3%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Luis de la Reina	2017	11.2%	36.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Potonico	2017	5.1%	19.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Zacatecoluca	2017	7.8%	24.5%	1.1%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	5.0%	13.2%	0.4%
El Salvador	San Pedro Puxtla	2017	8.3%	11.7%	3.3%
El Salvador	San Francisco Morazán	2017	6.7%	17.1%	1.2%
El Salvador	Ilobasco	2017	7.5%	16.3%	2.0%
El Salvador	Masahuat	2017	7.1%	24.1%	0.1%
El Salvador	Delicias de Concepción	2017	3.1%	8.9%	0.1%
El Salvador	Guadalupe	2017	5.2%	13.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Matías	2017	5.1%	16.6%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Julián	2017	3.4%	9.3%	0.5%
El Salvador	San Agustín	2017	6.7%	22.6%	0.8%
El Salvador	Lago de Llopango	2017	2.3%	7.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	Tecoluca	2017	10.3%	19.3%	3.3%
El Salvador	San Rafael Cedros	2017	5.1%	7.8%	3.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Acajutla	2017	5.7%	13.9%	1.6%
El Salvador	Zaragoza	2017	5.1%	13.9%	1.2%
El Salvador	Santa Clara	2017	12.0%	25.0%	3.5%
El Salvador	Ayutuxtepeque	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz	2017	2.6%	4.5%	1.7%
El Salvador	Michapa				
El Salvador	San Antonio de la Cruz	2017	6.4%	25.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Dionisio	2017	5.2%	16.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	Estanzuelas	2017	7.3%	19.1%	1.3%
El Salvador	El Triunfo	2017	5.2%	15.1%	0.3%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2017	2.5%	8.7%	0.1%
	Obrajuelo				
El Salvador	El Tránsito	2017	6.2%	22.4%	0.5%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2017	3.0%	5.6%	0.1%
El Salvador	Berlín	2017	3.6%	8.9%	1.1%
El Salvador	San Esteban	2017	7.6%	22.6%	0.7%
	Catarina				
El Salvador	Ojos de Agua	2017	6.0%	22.0%	0.4%
El Salvador	Tapalhuaca	2017	2.6%	10.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio	2017	4.2%	10.4%	0.6%
	Masahuat				
El Salvador	Mejicanos	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Francisco	2017	1.6%	5.7%	0.1%
	Chinameca				
El Salvador	Jayaque	2017	2.4%	9.4%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Juan Tepezontes	2017	4.1%	14.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Ereguayquín	2017	5.1%	22.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Ciudad Arce	2017	0.9%	2.7%	0.1%
El Salvador	La Libertad	2017	3.6%	10.7%	0.7%
El Salvador	Santa Cruz	2017	4.0%	8.2%	0.8%
	Analquito				
El Salvador	La Laguna	2017	8.2%	24.5%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Martín	2017	0.4%	1.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2017	6.8%	32.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Sensembra	2017	11.9%	35.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Francisco	2017	7.6%	24.4%	0.1%
	Gotera				
El Salvador	Quelepa	2017	2.5%	13.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	Sonzacate	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Carlos	2017	8.0%	24.2%	0.4%
El Salvador	Cuscatancingo	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Antonio del Monte	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
El Salvador	Lago de Guija	2017	8.4%	29.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Francisco Javier	2017	7.4%	24.4%	0.3%
El Salvador	Chirilagua	2017	8.2%	18.5%	1.9%
El Salvador	San Antonio	2017	6.9%	35.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Anamorós	2017	18.7%	45.8%	2.4%
El Salvador	Victoria	2017	8.0%	30.0%	0.3%
El Salvador	San Antonio	2017	5.9%	16.2%	0.0%
	Los Ranchos				
El Salvador	Soyapango	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Cacaopera	2017	9.8%	26.7%	1.1%
El Salvador	Santiago Texacuangos	2017	0.7%	2.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Apopa	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Sebastián	2017	4.3%	12.5%	0.2%
El Salvador	Jocoro	2017	10.2%	32.7%	1.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Santiago de María	2017	1.0%	4.0%	0.1%
El Salvador	Concepción Quezaltepeque	2017	1.3%	5.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Olocuilta	2017	1.6%	5.0%	0.1%
El Salvador	Tejutla	2017	8.7%	21.1%	2.3%
El Salvador	Juayúa	2017	0.5%	1.2%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2017	11.6%	36.1%	0.2%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2017	4.9%	5.6%	4.3%
El Salvador	Delgado	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Rosario de Mora	2017	4.5%	9.6%	1.9%
El Salvador	El Congo	2017	2.1%	6.9%	0.2%
El Salvador	Teotepeque	2017	9.6%	25.2%	2.0%
El Salvador	California	2017	4.1%	17.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nueva Guadalupe	2017	2.4%	9.0%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nuevo Edén de San Juan	2017	8.5%	24.0%	0.8%
El Salvador	Lolotiquillo	2017	11.4%	33.9%	0.1%
El Salvador	La Palma	2017	10.4%	32.7%	0.8%
El Salvador	Lolotique	2017	3.4%	11.3%	0.3%
El Salvador	Cojutepeque	2017	3.5%	3.8%	3.1%
El Salvador	Yoloaiquín	2017	6.7%	19.5%	0.2%
El Salvador	Agua Caliente	2017	10.4%	30.5%	1.5%
El Salvador	Huizúcar	2017	7.7%	20.0%	2.5%
El Salvador	Santa Ana	2017	1.8%	4.6%	0.4%
El Salvador	Antiguo Cuscatlán	2017	6.4%	9.3%	4.3%
El Salvador	Citalá	2017	12.7%	37.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Francisco Menéndez	2017	9.4%	18.4%	2.7%
El Salvador	La Unión	2017	11.4%	29.4%	2.8%
El Salvador	San Bartolomé Perulapía	2017	1.3%	4.5%	0.2%
El Salvador	Jocoaitique	2017	6.5%	26.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Lago de Coatepeque	2017	3.5%	11.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Tepecoyo	2017	1.5%	6.1%	0.2%
El Salvador	Nejapa	2017	1.5%	2.4%	0.6%
El Salvador	San Jorge	2017	4.2%	17.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santiago Nonualco	2017	6.4%	13.6%	1.6%
El Salvador	Nahulingo	2017	2.1%	8.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Salvador	2017	2.4%	3.0%	1.9%
El Salvador	Salcoatitán	2017	1.5%	5.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santa María Ostuma	2017	3.0%	8.2%	0.6%
El Salvador	Metapán	2017	8.3%	22.0%	2.1%
El Salvador	Santa Isabel Ishuatán	2017	9.8%	24.9%	1.5%
El Salvador	Nuevo Cuscatlán	2017	9.4%	23.4%	2.6%
El Salvador	El Carrizal	2017	7.4%	26.9%	0.6%
El Salvador	San Francisco Lempa	2017	4.8%	20.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	Meanguera del Golfo	2017	9.7%	34.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Isidro Labrador	2017	4.0%	14.1%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Sonsonate	2017	5.8%	14.2%	1.0%
El Salvador	Aguilares	2017	1.7%	5.0%	0.2%
El Salvador	San Isidro	2017	13.5%	47.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2017	17.2%	32.2%	4.3%
El Salvador	Uluazapa	2017	8.6%	28.0%	1.0%
El Salvador	Cuyultitán	2017	1.0%	3.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Juan Nonualco	2017	5.1%	12.9%	1.2%
El Salvador	Suchitoto	2017	6.0%	13.9%	1.5%
El Salvador	San Simón	2017	12.3%	27.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Refugio	2017	0.4%	2.3%	0.2%
El Salvador	El Porvenir	2017	1.9%	9.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	La Reina	2017	10.5%	22.7%	2.0%
El Salvador	San Antonio Pajonal	2017	8.9%	30.5%	0.1%
El Salvador	Moncagua	2017	2.0%	5.9%	0.1%
El Salvador	Chinameca	2017	1.9%	5.5%	0.3%
El Salvador	San Pablo Tacachico	2017	4.8%	18.7%	0.4%
El Salvador	Yamabal	2017	10.1%	26.8%	1.6%
El Salvador	El Paisnal	2017	4.1%	9.9%	0.9%
El Salvador	Jutiapa	2017	11.0%	30.0%	1.9%
El Salvador	Oratorio de Concepción	2017	3.0%	15.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Chapeltique	2017	8.9%	27.9%	1.0%
El Salvador	Perquín	2017	4.4%	21.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santo Domingo	2017	4.8%	10.5%	1.5%
El Salvador	Guazapa	2017	2.0%	5.8%	0.2%
El Salvador	Armenia	2017	0.7%	1.9%	0.2%
El Salvador	Gualococti	2017	15.9%	30.7%	1.3%
El Salvador	San Ramón	2017	2.0%	2.8%	1.5%
El Salvador	Yayantique	2017	9.3%	29.5%	1.0%
El Salvador	San José	2017	13.9%	35.4%	3.3%
El Salvador	Ozatlán	2017	4.6%	17.8%	0.3%
El Salvador	Intipucá	2017	13.3%	34.6%	2.3%
El Salvador	San Pedro Masahuat	2017	6.1%	13.6%	1.6%
El Salvador	Sesori	2017	15.7%	34.1%	3.9%
El Salvador	Puerto El Triunfo	2017	11.8%	38.1%	0.3%
El Salvador	Santa Rita	2017	3.4%	13.0%	0.5%
El Salvador	Mercedes Umaña	2017	4.4%	12.5%	0.6%
El Salvador	Ahuachapán	2017	14.3%	26.5%	4.7%
El Salvador	Usulután	2017	3.5%	7.3%	0.9%
El Salvador	Dulce Nombre de María	2017	4.9%	13.6%	0.7%
El Salvador	San Cristóbal	2017	3.1%	7.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Santo Tomás	2017	0.6%	1.5%	0.3%
El Salvador	Tamanique	2017	6.4%	19.4%	0.8%
El Salvador	Bolívar	2017	10.4%	29.2%	1.6%
El Salvador	Jucuapa	2017	3.3%	10.5%	0.2%
El Salvador	Pasaquina	2017	17.5%	33.9%	7.7%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2017	5.4%	15.5%	0.4%
El Salvador	Comasagua	2017	3.7%	9.1%	0.6%
El Salvador	San Luis La Herradura	2017	14.6%	38.3%	2.0%
El Salvador	Paraíso de Osorio	2017	3.6%	9.4%	0.5%
El Salvador	Ciudad Barrios	2017	8.7%	35.1%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	San Cayetano Istepeque	2017	8.9%	34.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	San José Canasque	2017	6.8%	23.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Carolina	2017	6.0%	29.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Ildefonso	2017	10.8%	31.6%	2.3%
El Salvador	San José Las Flores	2017	3.2%	10.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Embalse Cerro Grande	2017	5.6%	12.5%	1.2%
El Salvador	Jucuarán	2017	5.4%	14.6%	1.1%
El Salvador	Chalchuapa	2017	1.4%	3.9%	0.2%
El Salvador	Quezaltepeque	2017	1.3%	3.8%	0.1%
El Salvador	Monte San Juan	2017	4.5%	8.1%	2.5%
El Salvador	Jujutla	2017	11.9%	27.9%	3.4%
El Salvador	Nueva San Salvador	2017	2.8%	6.4%	0.6%
El Salvador	Sensuntepeque	2017	9.5%	19.7%	2.4%
El Salvador	Dolores	2017	8.2%	28.0%	0.4%
El Salvador	Las Vueltas	2017	4.0%	12.1%	0.5%
El Salvador	Nueva Esparta	2017	12.9%	29.9%	1.0%
El Salvador	Cinquera	2017	11.2%	35.1%	1.0%
El Salvador	Candelaria de la Frontera	2017	5.5%	13.8%	0.5%
El Salvador	Yucuaiquín	2017	11.8%	28.4%	1.3%
El Salvador	San Alejo	2017	12.9%	28.6%	4.0%
El Salvador	El Sauce	2017	21.2%	47.7%	5.6%
El Salvador	Tepetitán	2017	7.0%	23.8%	0.1%
El Salvador	Osicala	2017	10.9%	34.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	El Rosario	2017	8.7%	22.2%	0.5%
El Salvador	Tacuba	2017	7.1%	13.8%	3.4%
El Salvador	Tecapán	2017	4.8%	20.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Concepción Batres	2017	6.8%	23.5%	0.7%
El Salvador	Sociedad	2017	10.0%	25.9%	1.2%
El Salvador	Cuisnahuat	2017	12.4%	24.8%	2.3%
El Salvador	Apastepeque	2017	8.3%	24.4%	1.3%
El Salvador	Polorós	2017	14.1%	34.8%	1.2%
El Salvador	Mercedes La Ceiba	2017	3.4%	11.6%	0.2%
El Salvador	Conchagua	2017	11.5%	24.6%	4.0%
El Salvador	San Sebastián Salitrillo	2017	1.1%	6.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	Jerusalén	2017	3.6%	9.6%	0.9%
El Salvador	Nueva Concepción	2017	7.6%	17.0%	2.1%
El Salvador	San Luis del Carmen	2017	6.6%	25.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Miguel de Mercedes	2017	1.6%	6.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Turín	2017	32.5%	57.2%	10.6%
El Salvador	San Pedro Perulapán	2017	2.2%	5.5%	0.7%
El Salvador	Meanguera	2017	7.2%	22.7%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nahuizalco	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
El Salvador	San Rafael	2017	5.0%	23.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Atiquizaya	2017	14.4%	24.0%	5.7%
El Salvador	San Emigdio	2017	3.9%	11.3%	0.0%
El Salvador	Corinto	2017	5.3%	33.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Texistepeque	2017	6.5%	18.9%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
El Salvador	Caluco	2017	2.5%	5.9%	0.2%
El Salvador	Santa Elena	2017	3.3%	9.7%	0.1%
El Salvador	Arcatao	2017	5.0%	21.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Talnique	2017	2.7%	5.4%	0.9%
El Salvador	Guaymango	2017	11.6%	21.5%	4.4%
El Salvador	San Lorenzo	2017	3.2%	6.6%	0.4%
El Salvador	Santa Rosa	2017	8.1%	26.6%	0.0%
El Salvador	Guachipilín				
El Salvador	El Divisadero	2017	6.6%	21.2%	0.1%
El Salvador	San Fernando	2017	1.5%	6.4%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nombre de Jesús	2017	8.1%	32.9%	0.0%
El Salvador	Torola	2017	5.2%	27.1%	0.0%
El Salvador	Comacarán	2017	8.8%	25.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Alegría	2017	1.5%	4.3%	0.2%
El Salvador	Concepción de Ataco	2017	5.1%	14.0%	1.0%
El Salvador	Jicalapa	2017	9.8%	27.8%	0.0%
El Salvador	Nueva Granada	2017	7.6%	17.5%	1.3%
El Salvador	Coatepeque	2017	2.0%	6.2%	0.1%
El Salvador	Arambala	2017	3.8%	21.2%	0.0%
El Salvador	San Luis Talpa	2017	5.5%	10.3%	2.4%
El Salvador	Comalapa	2017	6.3%	17.8%	0.8%
El Salvador	Chiltiupán	2017	9.8%	23.0%	1.6%
El Salvador	El Carmen	2017	8.3%	20.8%	0.9%
Guatemala	Soloma	2000	4.9%	15.3%	1.0%
Guatemala	Sacapulas	2000	23.0%	41.8%	8.1%
Guatemala	Champerico	2000	8.3%	19.7%	1.8%
Guatemala	San Andrés Sajcabajá	2000	15.8%	41.6%	3.1%
Guatemala	Comalapa	2000	5.4%	9.2%	3.6%
Guatemala	Nuevo San Carlos	2000	12.9%	19.0%	5.4%
Guatemala	San Antonio Ilotenango	2000	21.9%	38.5%	8.6%
Guatemala	Dolores	2000	13.3%	25.0%	5.9%
Guatemala	Nentón	2000	16.1%	35.7%	3.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 5	2000	26.2%	31.5%	21.2%
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2000	7.9%	10.1%	5.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 9	2000	56.9%	69.9%	43.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 22	2000	23.5%	28.6%	16.7%
Guatemala	Colotenango	2000	12.6%	38.5%	1.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 25	2000	13.8%	34.3%	3.9%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Naranjo	2000	6.7%	9.8%	4.2%
Guatemala	San Raymundo	2000	13.0%	38.5%	1.2%
Guatemala	La Unión	2000	24.7%	40.1%	11.3%
Guatemala	Chicaman	2000	14.3%	31.0%	3.1%
Guatemala	Atescatempa	2000	6.7%	17.4%	3.2%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Milpas Altas	2000	11.0%	26.6%	2.3%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2000	12.1%	28.1%	2.6%
Guatemala	Tucurú	2000	8.9%	21.1%	2.6%
Guatemala	Chajul	2000	15.4%	37.7%	2.2%
Guatemala	Livingston	2000	20.0%	34.6%	8.8%
Guatemala	Flores Costa Cuca	2000	2.3%	5.9%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Jocotán	2000	42.8%	58.0%	21.3%
Guatemala	El Tejar	2000	23.9%	36.5%	11.0%
Guatemala	Melchor de Mencos	2000	24.0%	42.7%	6.3%
Guatemala	El Estor	2000	19.1%	38.4%	5.0%
Guatemala	Santa Ana Huista	2000	13.4%	42.5%	1.6%
Guatemala	Ocosingo	2000	5.0%	24.9%	0.5%
Guatemala	Chisec	2000	13.4%	29.6%	2.5%
Guatemala	Zunil	2000	4.4%	25.1%	0.2%
Guatemala	El Quetzal	2000	6.7%	20.1%	1.3%
Guatemala	Cabañas	2000	10.8%	22.8%	3.4%
Guatemala	Santa Ana	2000	19.7%	35.6%	7.1%
Guatemala	Monjas	2000	27.1%	37.9%	16.0%
Guatemala	Amatitlán	2000	5.3%	10.3%	2.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 3	2000	25.1%	29.9%	19.9%
Guatemala	Cuilapa	2000	17.5%	29.0%	8.4%
Guatemala	San Andrés Xecul	2000	13.1%	25.1%	6.4%
Guatemala	Palencia	2000	15.4%	29.7%	6.2%
Guatemala	San Lucas Tolimán	2000	0.5%	2.0%	0.0%
Guatemala	Nahualá	2000	2.4%	4.5%	1.2%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Verapaz	2000	5.2%	25.5%	0.2%
Guatemala	Mazatenango	2000	16.5%	27.2%	9.3%
Guatemala	Salamá	2000	14.5%	21.4%	9.0%
Guatemala	San Miguel Sigüilá	2000	1.8%	4.0%	0.7%
Guatemala	Cantel	2000	1.4%	5.2%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Juan Cotzal	2000	9.1%	31.0%	1.6%
Guatemala	Acatenango	2000	13.6%	45.6%	1.2%
Guatemala	Retalhuleu	2000	4.8%	8.4%	2.6%
Guatemala	Comitancillo	2000	6.4%	13.4%	2.8%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	1.0%	3.7%	0.1%
Guatemala	Quetzaltenango	2000	5.1%	10.4%	1.9%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Jocotenango	2000	27.1%	60.6%	1.9%
Guatemala	Pajapita	2000	6.7%	20.7%	0.8%
Guatemala	Sansare	2000	17.4%	29.4%	7.2%
Guatemala	Zunilito	2000	1.5%	5.6%	0.6%
Guatemala	Tamahú	2000	20.4%	52.3%	3.3%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía La Reforma	2000	34.2%	59.7%	9.7%
Guatemala	Concepción Tutuapa	2000	7.5%	22.7%	1.4%
Guatemala	Nuevo Progreso	2000	5.8%	22.4%	0.4%
Guatemala	Pastores	2000	25.6%	32.0%	18.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 10	2000	55.0%	67.3%	42.3%
Guatemala	Fray Bartolomé de las Casas	2000	21.0%	42.9%	4.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 7	2000	21.3%	27.8%	13.9%
Guatemala	Zapotitlán	2000	8.4%	39.7%	0.1%
Guatemala	San José Pinula	2000	15.0%	32.8%	3.6%
Guatemala	Momostenango	2000	9.6%	17.7%	3.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 16	2000	18.7%	35.2%	6.5%
Guatemala	San Carlos Alzatate	2000	9.8%	31.2%	3.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Cuilco	2000	21.5%	40.9%	6.5%
Guatemala	Santa María Chiquimula	2000	20.5%	35.1%	7.8%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Huehuetenango	2000	2.5%	5.8%	0.7%
Guatemala	San Miguel Ixtahuacán	2000	8.9%	31.0%	1.4%
Guatemala	Esquipulas	2000	32.9%	50.6%	15.9%
Guatemala	Estanzuela	2000	9.0%	14.1%	4.1%
Guatemala	San Pablo	2000	3.8%	11.3%	0.8%
Guatemala	San Marcos La Laguna	2000	13.1%	15.7%	10.1%
Guatemala	Ixcán	2000	18.2%	43.9%	2.5%
Guatemala	Villa Canales	2000	13.9%	21.0%	8.5%
Guatemala	San Pedro Ayampuc	2000	13.9%	28.3%	4.6%
Guatemala	Jalpatagua	2000	20.6%	41.4%	6.5%
Guatemala	La Esperanza	2000	1.3%	2.2%	0.8%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2000	1.4%	9.7%	0.1%
Guatemala	NA	2000	18.9%	31.5%	7.4%
Guatemala	Santa María de Jesús	2000	2.7%	8.0%	0.4%
Guatemala	San José Chacayá	2000	4.4%	12.2%	1.1%
Guatemala	Santo Tomás La Unión	2000	8.9%	17.2%	3.9%
Guatemala	Flores	2000	26.5%	39.0%	14.6%
Guatemala	Uspantán	2000	11.3%	28.3%	1.2%
Guatemala	Ixchiguan	2000	6.9%	13.4%	2.7%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Palopó	2000	2.2%	6.7%	0.4%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Barahona	2000	15.8%	42.8%	1.9%
Guatemala	San Miguel Panán	2000	58.9%	78.1%	33.1%
Guatemala	Sayaxché	2000	22.2%	36.5%	10.1%
Guatemala	San Gabriel	2000	13.9%	26.5%	5.3%
Guatemala	Puerto Barrios	2000	14.9%	26.3%	6.2%
Guatemala	Coatepeque	2000	10.3%	18.8%	4.5%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2000	2.2%	5.4%	0.8%
Guatemala	Jocotenango	2000	7.0%	11.2%	4.0%
Guatemala	Esquipulas Palo Gordo	2000	1.3%	4.2%	0.2%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2000	16.1%	44.6%	2.3%
Guatemala	El Asintal	2000	2.2%	3.6%	1.2%
Guatemala	Tejutla	2000	11.9%	24.7%	4.6%
Guatemala	Camotán	2000	37.5%	57.3%	18.7%
Guatemala	Sibilia	2000	7.3%	13.5%	3.2%
Guatemala	Todos Santos Cuchumatán	2000	11.0%	43.1%	0.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 1	2000	17.8%	22.4%	13.6%
Guatemala	Cuyotenango	2000	37.2%	47.5%	27.1%
Guatemala	Morazán	2000	6.9%	17.1%	1.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 12	2000	28.8%	36.8%	21.0%
Guatemala	San Martín Zapotitlán	2000	29.9%	62.7%	8.9%
Guatemala	Chicacao	2000	18.0%	28.1%	8.4%
Guatemala	Santa Clara La Laguna	2000	17.5%	33.1%	6.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San José Poaquil	2000	10.9%	45.9%	0.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 17	2000	10.7%	17.8%	5.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 11	2000	31.6%	42.4%	21.1%
Guatemala	Guazacapán	2000	23.9%	40.0%	9.0%
Guatemala	Jacaltenango	2000	17.0%	43.8%	3.5%
Guatemala	Huitán	2000	8.4%	16.2%	3.1%
Guatemala	San Andrés	2000	29.9%	47.5%	10.3%
Guatemala	San José El Idolo	2000	36.0%	75.1%	4.9%
Guatemala	San Juan Ixcocoy	2000	6.9%	31.1%	0.5%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	20.2%	30.4%	11.0%
Guatemala	El Jícara	2000	7.4%	17.8%	2.2%
Guatemala	NA	2000	6.2%	10.9%	3.7%
Guatemala	San Andrés Semetabaj	2000	1.0%	2.7%	0.3%
Guatemala	Alotenango	2000	4.6%	22.6%	0.1%
Guatemala	Tajumulco	2000	4.4%	13.5%	0.7%
Guatemala	San Vicente Pacaya	2000	11.9%	35.8%	1.3%
Guatemala	San Marcos	2000	5.2%	16.2%	1.0%
Guatemala	Sanarate	2000	11.2%	20.4%	4.7%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2000	11.8%	34.0%	1.9%
Guatemala	San Rafael La Independencia	2000	3.5%	21.0%	0.2%
Guatemala	El Progreso	2000	27.8%	49.8%	11.5%
Guatemala	Jerez	2000	7.8%	42.4%	0.1%
Guatemala	Canillá	2000	15.2%	53.9%	0.6%
Guatemala	Barberena	2000	13.8%	31.2%	4.6%
Guatemala	El Adelanto	2000	14.9%	45.1%	2.3%
Guatemala	Los Amates	2000	19.8%	33.7%	8.2%
Guatemala	El Palmar	2000	15.2%	42.7%	1.4%
Guatemala	Huité	2000	7.3%	12.8%	3.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 24	2000	11.5%	29.8%	3.0%
Guatemala	Chinautla	2000	8.5%	13.2%	6.4%
Guatemala	Teculután	2000	20.7%	38.3%	7.8%
Guatemala	Santa Rosa de Lima	2000	6.6%	19.2%	1.5%
Guatemala	Sololá	2000	3.8%	8.4%	1.3%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Balanyá	2000	8.6%	15.0%	6.0%
Guatemala	Almolonga	2000	1.8%	12.0%	0.1%
Guatemala	Churranchito	2000	18.8%	56.5%	0.8%
Guatemala	Casillas	2000	4.8%	16.4%	0.7%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz La Laguna	2000	4.4%	8.2%	1.9%
Guatemala	Río Hondo	2000	19.0%	38.4%	6.5%
Guatemala	Tacaná	2000	3.7%	11.6%	0.4%
Guatemala	San Sebastián	2000	12.4%	18.8%	7.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 13	2000	59.6%	71.7%	48.1%
Guatemala	San Sibinal	2000	5.1%	30.4%	0.0%
Guatemala	Chiché	2000	14.5%	28.6%	7.0%
Guatemala	San José Acatempa	2000	25.7%	50.9%	8.3%
Guatemala	Totonicapán	2000	12.2%	21.6%	6.8%
Guatemala	Concepción Chiquirichapa	2000	4.2%	9.9%	1.4%
Guatemala	San Juan Tecuaco	2000	29.9%	60.1%	7.4%
Guatemala	San Rafael Petzal	2000	4.4%	9.4%	1.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santa María Ixhuitán	2000	19.9%	37.1%	8.2%
Guatemala	Escuintla	2000	18.3%	29.6%	9.2%
Guatemala	San Carlos Sija	2000	9.9%	20.4%	2.5%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Verapaz	2000	12.1%	38.6%	0.4%
Guatemala	San Agustín Acasaguastlán	2000	5.5%	12.6%	2.0%
Guatemala	Villa Nueva	2000	18.0%	24.7%	10.6%
Guatemala	Sipacapa	2000	15.4%	46.5%	0.9%
Guatemala	Colomba	2000	4.9%	11.4%	1.8%
Guatemala	San Luis	2000	32.6%	53.4%	13.8%
Guatemala	Mixco	2000	21.3%	26.6%	16.0%
Guatemala	San Pedro Jocopilas	2000	19.8%	33.8%	8.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 8	2000	54.3%	66.5%	41.9%
Guatemala	San Pablo Jocopilas	2000	6.8%	10.2%	4.3%
Guatemala	Jutiapa	2000	19.6%	25.9%	14.0%
Guatemala	El Rodeo	2000	1.7%	7.1%	0.2%
Guatemala	Poptún	2000	21.0%	35.5%	11.4%
Guatemala	San Juan La Laguna	2000	21.2%	44.2%	4.8%
Guatemala	San Antonio Aguas Calientes	2000	10.2%	23.2%	3.5%
Guatemala	Huehuetenango	2000	21.9%	45.2%	6.5%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2000	30.8%	50.3%	15.4%
Guatemala	Siquinalá	2000	12.9%	29.5%	3.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 19	2000	18.8%	28.2%	8.3%
Guatemala	San Mateo Ixtatán	2000	31.5%	61.8%	4.9%
Guatemala	San Rafael Las Flores	2000	10.8%	27.7%	4.2%
Guatemala	San Gaspar Ixchil	2000	22.4%	39.6%	8.1%
Guatemala	Patzún	2000	1.3%	3.8%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Andrés Villa Seca	2000	18.9%	33.6%	9.1%
Guatemala	El Tumbador	2000	2.1%	8.4%	0.2%
Guatemala	Quezada	2000	15.9%	42.7%	2.9%
Guatemala	Zacapa	2000	18.1%	30.5%	7.8%
Guatemala	San Jacinto	2000	10.5%	20.1%	4.5%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Cotzumalguapa	2000	19.4%	40.0%	6.0%
Guatemala	San Bartolo	2000	5.4%	12.8%	1.1%
Guatemala	Panajachel	2000	2.3%	6.9%	0.4%
Guatemala	San Andrés Itzapa	2000	21.4%	29.8%	9.2%
Guatemala	Tactic	2000	6.0%	14.6%	1.4%
Guatemala	San Ildefonso Ixtahuacán	2000	22.2%	43.2%	7.3%
Guatemala	Chiquimulilla	2000	22.6%	39.0%	9.5%
Guatemala	San Manuel Chaparrón	2000	51.3%	77.7%	24.3%
Guatemala	Quezaltepeque	2000	23.7%	37.0%	14.7%
Guatemala	San Diego	2000	25.9%	46.6%	10.2%
Guatemala	Rabinal	2000	5.8%	10.9%	2.7%
Guatemala	Santa Apolonia	2000	6.3%	19.7%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Pedro La Laguna	2000	7.7%	12.3%	4.0%
Guatemala	Santiago Atitlán	2000	1.2%	4.0%	0.3%
Guatemala	La Gomera	2000	20.1%	41.3%	6.6%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz El Chol	2000	11.3%	35.3%	1.0%
Guatemala	San Antonio Suchitepéquez	2000	30.5%	38.2%	17.1%
Guatemala	Catarina	2000	2.8%	8.1%	0.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 6	2000	8.4%	12.5%	5.6%
Guatemala	Chinique	2000	20.7%	52.7%	4.1%
Guatemala	Cobán	2000	13.8%	25.8%	5.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 2	2000	23.6%	26.9%	20.5%
Guatemala	Tiquisate	2000	32.5%	49.6%	18.2%
Guatemala	Malacatán	2000	2.0%	10.2%	0.4%
Guatemala	Cubulco	2000	8.5%	19.0%	2.5%
Guatemala	Conguaco	2000	23.9%	46.1%	10.5%
Guatemala	Patzicía	2000	9.5%	26.8%	1.4%
Guatemala	Ayutla	2000	3.3%	15.4%	0.2%
Guatemala	Chimaltenango	2000	12.8%	19.7%	6.2%
Guatemala	Chiantla	2000	9.2%	27.5%	2.2%
Guatemala	Agua Blanca	2000	39.4%	70.7%	17.9%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2000	21.8%	32.8%	9.6%
Guatemala	San Antonio Palopó	2000	0.9%	3.8%	0.0%
Guatemala	San José	2000	21.7%	50.1%	1.5%
Guatemala	Santa Eulalia	2000	10.2%	28.6%	1.7%
Guatemala	Parramos	2000	52.4%	72.9%	13.2%
Guatemala	San Antonio Sacatepéquez	2000	2.4%	8.1%	0.4%
Guatemala	Pachalúm	2000	10.8%	34.7%	1.1%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Acasaguastlán	2000	4.0%	15.0%	0.9%
Guatemala	Purulhá	2000	6.8%	17.0%	1.8%
Guatemala	Senahú	2000	19.7%	37.6%	10.1%
Guatemala	Asunción Mita	2000	31.6%	53.6%	13.6%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Barillas	2000	18.0%	39.3%	4.9%
Guatemala	Comapa	2000	14.1%	41.9%	2.8%
Guatemala	Palestina de Los Altos	2000	4.6%	10.8%	1.8%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Suchitepéquez	2000	15.8%	27.4%	6.3%
Guatemala	San Bernardino	2000	5.0%	6.9%	3.4%
Guatemala	Patulul	2000	7.6%	17.5%	3.0%
Guatemala	San Juan Bautista	2000	7.1%	13.9%	2.3%
Guatemala	Mataquesuintla	2000	5.7%	11.0%	2.2%
Guatemala	Cajolá	2000	4.5%	9.5%	1.8%
Guatemala	Ipala	2000	34.8%	69.0%	10.3%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Utatlán	2000	1.5%	2.5%	0.9%
Guatemala	Pochuta	2000	4.0%	17.7%	0.2%
Guatemala	Nueva Santa Rosa	2000	4.6%	7.6%	2.6%
Guatemala	San Juan Sacatepéquez	2000	8.6%	17.3%	3.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Miguel Dueñas	2000	5.1%	13.1%	0.7%
Guatemala	Taxisco	2000	27.8%	44.6%	11.8%
Guatemala	San Juan Chamelco	2000	7.2%	14.3%	3.2%
Guatemala	Chichicastenango	2000	12.8%	21.6%	6.5%
Guatemala	Masagua	2000	35.2%	56.8%	16.9%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2000	25.2%	37.7%	15.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 4	2000	54.0%	66.0%	41.7%
Guatemala	Zacualpa	2000	15.3%	30.2%	5.8%
Guatemala	San Martín Sacatepéquez	2000	9.4%	23.4%	2.5%
Guatemala	Granados	2000	11.0%	25.5%	3.4%
Guatemala	Ostuncalco	2000	4.6%	14.4%	1.0%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Ixtahuacan	2000	5.9%	15.0%	1.8%
Guatemala	Nueva Concepción	2000	33.6%	54.2%	18.5%
Guatemala	San José del Golfo	2000	36.3%	63.5%	12.3%
Guatemala	Aguacatán	2000	15.5%	36.2%	2.7%
Guatemala	Tecpán	2000	3.0%	8.7%	0.8%
Guatemala	Guatemala San Lucas Sacatepéquez	2000	28.3%	48.5%	14.8%
Guatemala	Palín	2000	8.7%	33.6%	1.5%
Guatemala	Río Blanco	2000	1.3%	2.8%	0.6%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Mita	2000	47.7%	68.4%	26.0%
Guatemala	San Rafael Pie de la Cuesta	2000	1.9%	4.2%	0.7%
Guatemala	Guanagazapa	2000	16.2%	38.4%	4.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 14	2000	62.0%	75.1%	43.5%
Guatemala	Oratorio	2000	39.7%	60.9%	19.3%
Guatemala	Nebaj	2000	10.7%	28.9%	1.0%
Guatemala	Olintepeque	2000	7.2%	13.9%	3.3%
Guatemala	San Francisco El Alto	2000	17.9%	21.6%	13.4%
Guatemala	Antigua	2000	6.0%	8.7%	4.0%
Guatemala	Guatemala Morales	2000	19.1%	28.6%	10.4%
Guatemala	San Jerónimo	2000	10.5%	19.2%	4.7%
Guatemala	Santiago Chimaltenango	2000	14.5%	53.6%	0.4%
Guatemala	Chiquimula	2000	25.3%	32.5%	18.8%
Guatemala	Malacatancito	2000	9.7%	17.3%	3.7%
Guatemala	Olopa	2000	26.8%	41.0%	13.6%
Guatemala	San Juan Atitán	2000	4.2%	19.5%	0.0%
Guatemala	Moyuta	2000	24.8%	50.4%	6.7%
Guatemala	Génova	2000	1.5%	7.6%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Juan Ermita	2000	28.0%	38.6%	17.2%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2000	14.3%	29.9%	3.7%
Guatemala	Sumpango	2000	16.1%	30.2%	6.7%
Guatemala	La Reforma	2000	5.1%	26.1%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2000	4.4%	10.3%	1.5%
Guatemala	Zaragoza	2000	9.1%	13.2%	5.7%
Guatemala	San Sebastián Coatán	2000	19.3%	49.1%	3.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	ZONA 18	2000	12.2%	20.3%	6.2%
Guatemala	San José	2000	19.6%	36.7%	5.2%
Guatemala	Salcajá	2000	29.0%	36.8%	17.8%
Guatemala	Usumatlán	2000	7.6%	13.8%	4.0%
Guatemala	San Cristobal	2000	1.0%	5.0%	0.1%
	Cucho				
Guatemala	Pasaco	2000	39.3%	75.3%	8.2%
Guatemala	Guastatoya	2000	11.7%	19.8%	4.3%
Guatemala	Jalapa	2000	20.4%	27.4%	15.1%
Guatemala	Yepocapa	2000	8.3%	36.0%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Pedro	2000	10.3%	20.0%	4.0%
	Carchá				
Guatemala	Cunén	2000	8.1%	28.5%	0.5%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2000	12.9%	30.3%	2.9%
Guatemala	Samayac	2000	8.6%	11.3%	6.5%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo	2000	14.3%	29.4%	5.7%
	Xenacoj				
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	13.2%	40.6%	0.9%
	Huista				
Guatemala	San José La	2000	6.9%	14.0%	2.6%
	Arada				
Guatemala	Gualán	2000	14.2%	24.1%	6.8%
Guatemala	Tectitán	2000	12.2%	36.1%	0.9%
Guatemala	San Pablo La	2000	14.3%	17.3%	10.9%
	Laguna				
Guatemala	Santa Cruz	2000	16.1%	25.7%	7.8%
	del Quiché				
Guatemala	San Mateo	2000	2.6%	7.4%	0.8%
Guatemala	San Martín	2000	8.7%	25.2%	1.5%
	Jilotepeque				
Guatemala	Chahal	2000	17.5%	41.7%	2.6%
Guatemala	Petapa	2000	4.3%	8.8%	1.7%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina	2000	13.1%	27.4%	7.3%
	Pinula				
Guatemala	Yupiltepeque	2000	12.2%	22.1%	5.9%
Guatemala	Río Bravo	2000	20.8%	38.6%	7.5%
Guatemala	Concepción	2000	19.9%	39.1%	7.9%
	Las Minas				
Guatemala	San Francisco	2000	3.1%	6.4%	1.3%
	La Unión				
Guatemala	San Luis	2000	19.7%	34.4%	9.5%
Guatemala	Fraijanes	2000	3.7%	18.6%	0.3%
Guatemala	San Luis	2000	49.3%	69.8%	26.9%
	Jilotepeque				
Guatemala	San Francisco	2000	6.6%	25.2%	0.5%
Guatemala	Santiago	2000	6.9%	20.5%	1.9%
	Sacatepéquez				
Guatemala	San Antonio	2000	12.4%	23.4%	4.9%
	La Paz				
Guatemala	Magdalena	2000	19.5%	27.3%	11.8%
	Milpas Altas				
Guatemala	Panzós	2000	12.5%	32.0%	2.1%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal	2000	42.9%	49.2%	31.2%
	Totonicapán				
Guatemala	Ciudad Vieja	2000	2.2%	7.6%	0.4%
Guatemala	Iztapa	2000	24.4%	54.7%	6.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 15	2000	31.4%	44.2%	22.3%
Guatemala	Santa María	2000	21.6%	53.8%	3.5%
	Visitación				
Guatemala	Santa María	2000	12.3%	51.0%	0.2%
	Cahabón				

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Muluá	2000	17.8%	25.1%	8.9%
Guatemala	San Miguel Chicaj	2000	7.2%	12.6%	3.6%
Guatemala	Lanquín	2000	10.5%	36.4%	0.5%
Guatemala	San Pedro Pinula	2000	35.6%	52.6%	19.9%
Guatemala	Cabricán	2000	9.3%	24.7%	1.8%
Guatemala	Joyabaj	2000	12.3%	30.0%	2.9%
Guatemala	San Felipe	2000	13.8%	24.8%	6.3%
Guatemala	San Miguel Acatán	2000	15.9%	25.8%	7.6%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Milpas Altas	2000	38.4%	50.7%	24.3%
Guatemala	Patzité	2000	9.9%	19.7%	4.2%
Guatemala	San Benito	2000	20.1%	37.2%	8.6%
Guatemala	San Antonio Huista	2000	24.7%	47.9%	7.2%
Guatemala	San José Ojetenam	2000	5.2%	26.5%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Pedro Necta	2000	15.9%	62.0%	0.8%
Guatemala	Nentón	2017	14.4%	33.8%	1.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 5	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Guatemala	Santa Ana Huista	2017	6.7%	27.2%	0.3%
Guatemala	San Andrés Sajcabajá	2017	10.3%	33.0%	1.5%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2017	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Guatemala	Malacatancito	2017	6.8%	14.4%	2.1%
Guatemala	Champerico	2017	15.0%	27.8%	4.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 22	2017	1.6%	2.8%	0.9%
Guatemala	San Gaspar Ixchil	2017	18.2%	27.7%	9.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 4	2017	1.2%	2.2%	0.7%
Guatemala	Amatitlán	2017	0.6%	1.6%	0.2%
Guatemala	Concepción Chiquirichapa	2017	3.6%	8.6%	0.8%
Guatemala	Sansare	2017	28.0%	37.9%	14.8%
Guatemala	El Tejar	2017	3.2%	4.5%	2.1%
Guatemala	Guanagazapa	2017	10.1%	29.8%	1.1%
Guatemala	Almolonga	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Guatemala	Momostenango	2017	7.6%	15.1%	2.3%
Guatemala	Quezada	2017	9.8%	28.9%	2.4%
Guatemala	San Antonio Palopó	2017	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Guatemala	El Quetzal	2017	4.3%	16.4%	0.6%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2017	15.7%	24.8%	7.7%
Guatemala	San Vicente Pacaya	2017	6.9%	30.4%	0.3%
Guatemala	Chicaman	2017	8.9%	23.8%	1.0%
Guatemala	Iztapa	2017	18.6%	53.5%	1.7%
Guatemala	Teculután	2017	2.4%	12.5%	0.3%
Guatemala	San Ildefonso Ixtahuacán	2017	14.8%	34.3%	3.6%
Guatemala	Patzicía	2017	6.5%	21.0%	0.6%
Guatemala	Camotán	2017	21.9%	38.5%	10.9%
Guatemala	ZONA 11	2017	0.9%	1.8%	0.4%
Guatemala	Tamahú	2017	6.0%	23.9%	0.8%
Guatemala	Acatenango	2017	5.5%	23.4%	0.6%
Guatemala	Huitán	2017	4.0%	7.2%	1.9%
Guatemala	Catarina	2017	0.5%	1.6%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	San Jacinto	2017	5.3%	7.8%	3.4%
Guatemala	Zunil	2017	1.5%	8.0%	0.1%
Guatemala	San José Chacayá	2017	1.7%	5.6%	0.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 7	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Guatemala	Melchor de Mencos	2017	13.5%	28.1%	2.6%
Guatemala	San Andrés Itzapa	2017	25.1%	37.0%	12.5%
Guatemala	San Francisco La Unión	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.8%
Guatemala	Santiago Chimaltenango	2017	12.4%	50.4%	0.1%
Guatemala	Zunilito	2017	3.3%	7.5%	1.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 16	2017	0.5%	1.4%	0.1%
Guatemala	La Unión	2017	15.1%	27.3%	6.2%
Guatemala	Huité	2017	9.9%	17.0%	5.4%
Guatemala	El Jícaro	2017	2.9%	7.6%	0.8%
Guatemala	Ayutla	2017	1.4%	8.6%	0.1%
Guatemala	Tajumulco	2017	2.7%	8.3%	0.5%
Guatemala	San Marcos	2017	2.8%	10.0%	0.6%
Guatemala	Sanarate	2017	4.5%	10.2%	1.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 3	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Guatemala	Cabañas	2017	7.3%	13.3%	3.9%
Guatemala	Concepción Huista	2017	5.6%	28.1%	0.3%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2017	11.2%	23.2%	3.6%
Guatemala	San Pablo	2017	2.1%	7.0%	0.4%
Guatemala	Palestina de Los Altos	2017	2.3%	7.9%	0.7%
Guatemala	Tiquisate	2017	17.1%	32.8%	4.6%
Guatemala	Patulul	2017	7.5%	19.1%	1.9%
Guatemala	San Agustín Acasaguastlán	2017	1.2%	3.6%	0.4%
Guatemala	El Adelanto	2017	10.8%	37.6%	1.5%
Guatemala	Sololá	2017	2.5%	6.0%	1.0%
Guatemala	Cuyotenango	2017	17.2%	28.0%	9.0%
Guatemala	San Bartolo	2017	8.3%	18.1%	1.8%
Guatemala	Chinautla	2017	7.2%	13.7%	3.4%
Guatemala	San José Ojetenam	2017	4.7%	28.4%	0.3%
Guatemala	Zapotitlán	2017	5.2%	28.8%	0.1%
Guatemala	Pasaco	2017	28.5%	62.4%	5.0%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Balanyá	2017	1.6%	4.9%	0.6%
Guatemala	San Martín Zapotitlán	2017	3.4%	9.0%	0.8%
Guatemala	Santa Clara La Laguna	2017	16.9%	30.8%	4.2%
Guatemala	Pachalúm	2017	5.1%	21.2%	0.7%
Guatemala	San José Acatempa	2017	13.0%	28.7%	3.5%
Guatemala	ZONA 8	2017	1.2%	2.2%	0.7%
Guatemala	Pajapita	2017	5.7%	20.1%	0.3%
Guatemala	San Pedro Carchá	2017	8.5%	20.0%	2.6%
Guatemala	Jerez	2017	2.7%	17.6%	0.0%
Guatemala	El Rodeo	2017	0.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Guatemala	Patzité	2017	6.3%	11.6%	3.6%
Guatemala	Uspantán	2017	6.7%	20.6%	0.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 19	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Huehuetenango	2017	15.7%	44.1%	1.4%
Guatemala	Chisec	2017	10.5%	26.4%	1.3%
Guatemala	Sacapulas	2017	14.9%	33.2%	4.1%
Guatemala	San Miguel Sigüilá	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Guatemala	San José	2017	12.0%	30.9%	0.3%
Guatemala	Colotenango	2017	7.7%	29.5%	1.8%
Guatemala	Santa María de Jesús	2017	0.5%	2.2%	0.0%
Guatemala	San Luis	2017	34.6%	56.4%	14.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 12	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Guatemala	Villa Nueva	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Guatemala	San Jerónimo	2017	7.0%	17.5%	2.1%
Guatemala	San José	2017	6.8%	22.2%	1.0%
Guatemala	Sayaxché	2017	14.2%	26.1%	4.7%
Guatemala	Río Hondo	2017	9.1%	24.4%	1.4%
Guatemala	San Francisco Zapotitlán	2017	4.4%	7.6%	2.2%
Guatemala	Comitancillo	2017	7.9%	11.4%	4.7%
Guatemala	Chinique	2017	15.4%	43.4%	3.4%
Guatemala	Tejutla	2017	7.9%	15.2%	4.3%
Guatemala	Tectitán	2017	10.5%	32.3%	0.7%
Guatemala	ZONA 6	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 10	2017	1.3%	2.3%	0.7%
Guatemala	San Juan Ermita	2017	22.1%	25.4%	19.0%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2017	12.4%	18.3%	8.9%
Guatemala	San Lorenzo	2017	8.9%	28.0%	0.8%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Naranjo	2017	7.4%	9.6%	5.8%
Guatemala	Flores	2017	15.9%	25.3%	7.8%
Guatemala	Pastores	2017	6.9%	9.4%	4.9%
Guatemala	Nueva Santa Rosa	2017	2.5%	3.1%	1.9%
Guatemala	San Rafael Las Flores	2017	5.1%	19.8%	1.3%
Guatemala	Mixco	2017	2.6%	4.5%	1.5%
Guatemala	Canillá	2017	11.3%	51.2%	0.1%
Guatemala	Cuilapa	2017	12.7%	19.0%	5.7%
Guatemala	San Juan Tecuaco	2017	10.6%	24.6%	2.0%
Guatemala	Patzún	2017	1.5%	4.5%	0.3%
Guatemala	San Antonio Huista	2017	14.4%	34.1%	4.6%
Guatemala	Villa Canales	2017	2.3%	6.5%	0.5%
Guatemala	Santa María Chiquimula	2017	9.1%	19.0%	3.1%
Guatemala	San Bernardino	2017	7.4%	8.5%	6.4%
Guatemala	Antigua Guatemala	2017	0.8%	1.6%	0.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 9	2017	1.2%	2.2%	0.6%
Guatemala	Chajul	2017	7.6%	23.4%	0.5%
Guatemala	San Miguel Panán	2017	18.3%	30.6%	7.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 13	2017	2.0%	4.5%	1.0%
Guatemala	San Manuel Chaparrón	2017	20.5%	41.6%	5.6%
Guatemala	San Juan Bautista	2017	1.9%	2.9%	1.1%
Guatemala	Flores Costa Cuca	2017	0.9%	2.2%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Dolores	2017	8.8%	19.5%	2.4%
Guatemala	San José Pinula	2017	6.0%	20.1%	0.4%
Guatemala	San Andrés Semetabaj	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Antonio Suchitepéquez	2017	16.6%	30.7%	7.8%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz El Chol	2017	11.2%	40.6%	1.3%
Guatemala	Taxisco	2017	12.8%	25.7%	4.3%
Guatemala	Moyuta	2017	22.3%	51.3%	5.0%
Guatemala	San Luis	2017	13.4%	27.0%	4.6%
Guatemala	Jalpatagua	2017	13.9%	30.3%	5.5%
Guatemala	Jacaltenango	2017	9.1%	27.5%	0.7%
Guatemala	Barberena	2017	2.0%	5.4%	0.6%
Guatemala	Guazacapán	2017	7.6%	19.6%	2.3%
Guatemala	Jutiapa	2017	10.0%	13.5%	7.8%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Ixtahuacán	2017	8.2%	17.3%	3.1%
Guatemala	Cubulco	2017	8.6%	15.9%	3.6%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Palopó	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Guatemala	La Esperanza	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Guatemala	Ocos	2017	3.3%	21.8%	0.2%
Guatemala	ZONA 1	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Guatemala	Alotenango	2017	1.4%	6.8%	0.0%
Guatemala	Cantel	2017	0.5%	1.2%	0.1%
Guatemala	Yepocapa	2017	2.5%	11.9%	0.2%
Guatemala	Chiquimulilla	2017	14.5%	32.5%	4.5%
Guatemala	Atescatempa	2017	24.3%	38.2%	16.7%
Guatemala	San Mateo Ixtatán	2017	22.0%	52.2%	2.4%
Guatemala	San Diego	2017	14.5%	33.2%	4.8%
Guatemala	Chicacao	2017	9.7%	19.8%	3.7%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Jocotenango	2017	20.3%	61.2%	0.6%
Guatemala	El Asintal	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Guatemala	Santo Tomás La Unión	2017	7.9%	13.3%	5.3%
Guatemala	Fray Bartolomé de las Casas	2017	17.7%	39.7%	3.6%
Guatemala	San Marcos La Laguna	2017	2.2%	2.6%	1.8%
Guatemala	ZONA 2	2017	2.7%	3.4%	2.1%
Guatemala	La Democracia	2017	10.6%	24.9%	2.9%
Guatemala	Livingston	2017	16.8%	30.4%	6.6%
Guatemala	Morazán	2017	8.0%	15.8%	2.2%
Guatemala	Chimaltenango	2017	2.7%	3.6%	2.1%
Guatemala	Río Blanco	2017	1.0%	1.8%	0.5%
Guatemala	San Benito	2017	6.8%	15.9%	2.2%
Guatemala	Palín	2017	3.5%	19.3%	0.2%
Guatemala	Pochuta	2017	3.3%	14.6%	0.5%
Guatemala	San Lucas Tolimán	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Guatemala	San Rafael Pie de la Cuesta	2017	3.9%	7.2%	2.1%
Guatemala	San Carlos Sija	2017	6.7%	13.9%	2.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Colomba	2017	4.7%	10.5%	2.0%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal	2017	0.9%	5.2%	0.1%
Guatemala	Acasaguastlán				
Guatemala	Santa Cruz	2017	3.6%	5.9%	1.8%
Guatemala	Muluá				
Guatemala	Olintepeque	2017	1.6%	2.7%	0.8%
Guatemala	San Sebastián	2017	3.7%	5.2%	2.3%
Guatemala	Huehuetenango				
Guatemala	El Progreso	2017	13.3%	29.5%	4.6%
Guatemala	Los Amates	2017	10.6%	23.2%	3.3%
Guatemala	NA	2017	14.3%	26.6%	4.4%
Guatemala	Nueva Concepción	2017	16.7%	30.2%	7.7%
Guatemala	Zacapa	2017	8.4%	14.3%	3.9%
Guatemala	Concepción	2017	5.9%	17.4%	1.0%
Guatemala	Tutuapa				
Guatemala	Totonicapán	2017	4.5%	8.1%	3.0%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía	2017	12.9%	31.9%	2.9%
Guatemala	Cotzumalguapa				
Guatemala	Poptún	2017	8.9%	17.5%	3.2%
Guatemala	Concepción	2017	1.1%	2.9%	0.3%
Guatemala	Salamá	2017	7.6%	13.3%	4.1%
Guatemala	Cajolá	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	4.4%	11.8%	1.5%
Guatemala	Quetzaltenango	2017	0.7%	2.2%	0.1%
Guatemala	Samayac	2017	10.5%	12.9%	8.1%
Guatemala	San Sebastián	2017	8.7%	32.8%	0.5%
Guatemala	Coatán				
Guatemala	Zaragoza	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.7%
Guatemala	San Juan	2017	2.9%	7.6%	1.0%
Guatemala	Sacatepéquez				
Guatemala	Chichicastenango	2017	6.0%	11.7%	2.3%
Guatemala	Masagua	2017	21.6%	50.4%	5.2%
Guatemala	Chuarrancho	2017	14.0%	48.8%	0.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 17	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%
Guatemala	Purulhá	2017	3.9%	11.9%	0.8%
Guatemala	Ixchiguan	2017	3.5%	8.0%	1.2%
Guatemala	Santa Rosa de	2017	1.8%	8.6%	0.3%
Guatemala	Lima				
Guatemala	Casillas	2017	1.6%	6.9%	0.2%
Guatemala	Puerto Barrios	2017	12.3%	24.1%	4.7%
Guatemala	San Gabriel	2017	7.6%	15.0%	3.2%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía	2017	23.2%	46.9%	9.2%
Guatemala	La Reforma				
Guatemala	Santiago	2017	0.7%	1.6%	0.2%
Guatemala	Sacatepéquez				
Guatemala	San Juan	2017	5.1%	21.6%	0.5%
Guatemala	Cotzal				
Guatemala	San Andrés	2017	2.4%	3.7%	1.6%
Guatemala	Xecul				
Guatemala	Guastatoya	2017	7.3%	10.9%	3.5%
Guatemala	San Martín	2017	11.9%	31.0%	2.7%
Guatemala	Sacatepéquez				
Guatemala	Jocotenango	2017	5.2%	10.0%	2.4%
Guatemala	San Rafael	2017	8.9%	21.0%	3.3%
Guatemala	Petzal				
Guatemala	Mazatenango	2017	8.7%	15.6%	4.3%
Guatemala	San Pedro	2017	13.5%	59.6%	0.3%
Guatemala	Necta				

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Rabinal	2017	2.5%	4.1%	1.6%
Guatemala	San Miguel Ixtahuacán	2017	5.4%	22.8%	0.5%
Guatemala	Usulután	2017	1.0%	4.9%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Pedro La Laguna	2017	4.5%	8.3%	2.7%
Guatemala	Zacualpa	2017	9.1%	19.4%	4.0%
Guatemala	Esquipulas Palo Gordo	2017	0.6%	1.6%	0.1%
Guatemala	Palencia	2017	14.8%	28.8%	4.7%
Guatemala	Nahualá	2017	2.6%	4.4%	1.9%
Guatemala	San Carlos Alzatate	2017	6.6%	21.0%	1.5%
Guatemala	Esquipulas	2017	19.5%	38.9%	5.8%
Guatemala	Coatepeque	2017	6.7%	16.3%	1.8%
Guatemala	Ixcán	2017	13.0%	37.0%	1.2%
Guatemala	Ipala	2017	19.8%	53.1%	3.1%
Guatemala	Tactic	2017	7.7%	16.6%	2.8%
Guatemala	Santa Apolonia	2017	3.2%	12.7%	0.5%
Guatemala	Nuevo Progreso	2017	2.9%	12.7%	0.1%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz del Quiché	2017	2.8%	4.0%	2.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 18	2017	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
Guatemala	Petapa	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Pedro Pinula	2017	33.0%	52.2%	18.1%
Guatemala	Chiché	2017	8.9%	20.9%	4.6%
Guatemala	San Mateo	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Guatemala	El Tumbador	2017	1.2%	5.9%	0.2%
Guatemala	Santa Bárbara	2017	10.8%	35.9%	2.0%
Guatemala	San Pedro Ayampuc	2017	8.9%	18.9%	3.2%
Guatemala	El Estor	2017	11.8%	29.6%	2.2%
Guatemala	Aguacatán	2017	12.3%	35.8%	1.6%
Guatemala	La Libertad	2017	16.6%	45.9%	4.7%
Guatemala	Siquinalá	2017	2.6%	10.2%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Pablo Jocopilas	2017	20.8%	25.5%	15.8%
Guatemala	San José Poaquil	2017	8.3%	50.3%	0.1%
Guatemala	Santa María Ixhuatán	2017	20.3%	34.3%	9.5%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Verapaz	2017	1.1%	5.9%	0.1%
Guatemala	La Reforma	2017	3.0%	18.4%	0.0%
Guatemala	San Juan Atitán	2017	4.7%	23.1%	0.2%
Guatemala	Santa Eulalia	2017	5.2%	20.1%	0.2%
Guatemala	Sibilia	2017	3.2%	6.8%	1.1%
Guatemala	Concepción Las Minas	2017	8.1%	23.8%	0.6%
Guatemala	La Gomera	2017	11.7%	30.4%	1.7%
Guatemala	Tacaná	2017	1.9%	6.6%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Cristobal Cucho	2017	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Guatemala	San Martín Jilotepeque	2017	6.8%	23.0%	1.0%
Guatemala	San Miguel Chicaj	2017	4.6%	7.7%	2.8%
Guatemala	Yupiltepeque	2017	7.4%	14.7%	4.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Suchitepequez	2017	8.2%	17.1%	3.7%
Guatemala	Cunén	2017	5.6%	20.4%	0.3%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz Barillas	2017	12.5%	28.0%	2.2%
Guatemala	San Andrés Villa Seca	2017	9.7%	25.1%	3.2%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.1%
Guatemala	San José del Golfo	2017	22.0%	49.1%	3.9%
Guatemala	San Juan La Laguna	2017	24.3%	44.9%	5.4%
Guatemala	Sumpango	2017	1.8%	2.9%	1.0%
Guatemala	Santo Domingo Xenacoj	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Barahona	2017	6.4%	20.2%	0.7%
Guatemala	El Palmar	2017	6.7%	24.6%	0.4%
Guatemala	ZONA 15	2017	1.2%	4.6%	0.4%
Guatemala	San Juan Chamelco	2017	4.3%	8.3%	1.7%
Guatemala	San Miguel Dueñas	2017	3.8%	7.1%	1.4%
Guatemala	San Pedro Jocopilas	2017	16.0%	28.3%	5.5%
Guatemala	San Pedro Sacatepéquez	2017	2.9%	7.4%	0.5%
Guatemala	Santa María Visitación	2017	28.9%	56.7%	5.7%
Guatemala	Asunción Mita	2017	23.3%	47.6%	6.4%
Guatemala	Lanquín	2017	11.9%	39.4%	0.8%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Pinula	2017	1.6%	8.7%	0.3%
Guatemala	Chiquimula	2017	19.6%	22.9%	16.7%
Guatemala	San José La Arada	2017	4.1%	8.5%	1.7%
Guatemala	Tecpán Guatemala	2017	2.0%	5.8%	0.7%
Guatemala	Santa Cruz La Laguna	2017	1.8%	3.3%	0.8%
Guatemala	Ostuncalco	2017	4.6%	13.7%	1.2%
Guatemala	Granados	2017	6.1%	15.3%	2.0%
Guatemala	Chiantla	2017	4.6%	21.0%	0.4%
Guatemala	Retalhuleu	2017	1.8%	4.1%	0.6%
Guatemala	Estanzuela	2017	2.8%	5.7%	1.4%
Guatemala	San Felipe	2017	4.6%	12.6%	1.7%
Guatemala	San Antonio Ilotenango	2017	2.8%	4.6%	1.5%
Guatemala	Santiago Atitlán	2017	2.4%	4.9%	1.5%
Guatemala	Nuevo San Carlos	2017	4.1%	6.9%	2.6%
Guatemala	Panajachel	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%
Guatemala	Chahal	2017	11.1%	38.6%	1.3%
Guatemala	Olopa	2017	26.4%	35.1%	18.0%
Guatemala	San Andrés	2017	16.7%	31.0%	4.5%
Guatemala	Comapa	2017	9.1%	28.3%	2.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Nebaj	2017	6.5%	21.7%	0.5%
Guatemala	Quezaltepeque	2017	3.7%	5.4%	2.6%
Guatemala	San Antonio Sacatepéquez	2017	0.7%	2.8%	0.1%
Guatemala	San José El Idolo	2017	17.2%	51.3%	1.2%
Guatemala	Génova	2017	0.6%	3.2%	0.1%
Guatemala	San Juan Ixcay	2017	4.3%	23.7%	0.2%
Guatemala	Malacatán	2017	1.3%	4.6%	0.3%
Guatemala	San Sibinal	2017	3.1%	21.2%	0.0%
Guatemala	Comalapa	2017	1.3%	3.1%	0.7%
Guatemala	Conguaco	2017	28.6%	50.7%	14.1%
Guatemala	Morales	2017	14.2%	21.5%	9.1%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Utatlán	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%
Guatemala	Agua Blanca	2017	16.9%	46.0%	3.0%
Guatemala	Cabricán	2017	5.6%	18.5%	1.8%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Totonicapán	2017	14.0%	17.2%	10.9%
Guatemala	NA	2017	2.1%	3.4%	1.5%
Guatemala	Santa Ana	2017	10.5%	22.3%	2.8%
Guatemala	San Cristóbal Verapaz	2017	7.2%	27.6%	0.2%
Guatemala	Río Bravo	2017	6.4%	13.6%	2.2%
Guatemala	San Raymundo	2017	6.0%	21.8%	0.2%
Guatemala	Todos Santos Cuchumatán	2017	9.4%	45.3%	0.2%
Guatemala	Santa Lucía Milpas Altas	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.7%
Guatemala	Soloma	2017	3.1%	10.7%	0.8%
Guatemala	Senahú	2017	15.4%	30.3%	6.1%
Guatemala	ZONA 25	2017	7.0%	15.6%	2.5%
Guatemala	Tucurú	2017	4.5%	14.1%	0.8%
Guatemala	Fraijanes	2017	1.1%	6.4%	0.2%
Guatemala	Mataquesuintla	2017	4.3%	7.3%	2.4%
Guatemala	San Rafael La Independencia	2017	1.6%	11.4%	0.0%
Guatemala	San Sebastián	2017	2.8%	4.4%	1.6%
Guatemala	ZONA 24	2017	1.5%	4.4%	0.3%
Guatemala	San Francisco El Alto	2017	4.1%	5.3%	2.8%
Guatemala	San Antonio La Paz	2017	7.2%	15.3%	1.8%
Guatemala	San Lucas Sacatepéquez	2017	5.3%	12.0%	1.9%
Guatemala	Sipacapa	2017	9.7%	37.6%	1.2%
Guatemala	Cuilco	2017	22.3%	44.6%	7.4%
Guatemala	Ciudad Vieja	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Guatemala	Jocotán	2017	26.1%	37.4%	17.3%
Guatemala	Magdalena Milpas Altas	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Guatemala	Salcajá	2017	8.6%	10.7%	6.6%
Guatemala	Oratorio	2017	22.4%	43.4%	9.6%
Guatemala	Parramos	2017	38.1%	58.6%	17.0%
Guatemala	Monjas	2017	11.0%	19.9%	5.3%
Guatemala	Gualán	2017	9.0%	18.2%	3.2%
Guatemala	San Luis Jilotepeque	2017	31.6%	47.1%	17.6%
Guatemala	San Pablo La Laguna	2017	2.2%	2.7%	1.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guatemala	Cobán	2017	5.5%	13.1%	1.4%
Guatemala	Santa Catarina Mita	2017	12.4%	26.9%	2.2%
Guatemala	San Antonio Aguas Calientes	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Guatemala	Joyabaj	2017	11.0%	25.1%	3.3%
Guatemala	San Bartolomé Milpas Altas	2017	4.9%	11.9%	1.6%
Guatemala	Santa María Cahabón	2017	8.7%	40.4%	0.1%
Guatemala	Pueblo Nuevo Viñas	2017	6.6%	19.4%	1.0%
Guatemala	San Francisco Escuintla	2017	3.7%	16.0%	0.2%
Guatemala	San Miguel Acatán	2017	4.5%	10.8%	1.4%
Guatemala	Jalapa	2017	6.9%	15.9%	1.5%
Guatemala	Panzós	2017	11.4%	16.2%	7.3%
Guatemala	ZONA 14	2017	7.4%	24.5%	0.8%
Guyana	Berbice River Settlements	2000	2.5%	6.8%	0.9%
Guyana	Cane Grove Land Development Scheme	2000	2.2%	6.1%	0.5%
Guyana	Kopanang, Waipa, Kene-pai	2000	0.6%	2.4%	0.1%
Guyana	Marudi	2000	5.3%	19.0%	0.2%
Guyana	Corriverton	2000	2.6%	8.5%	0.3%
Guyana	Riverstown / Annandale	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Guyana	Parika / Mora	2000	0.6%	2.2%	0.1%
Guyana	Vereeniging / Unity	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Guyana	Herstelling / Little Diamond	2000	0.5%	1.7%	0.1%
Guyana	Borlam (No.37) / Kintyre	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Guyana	Supernaam River, Bethany and Mashabo Villages	2000	1.9%	8.3%	0.2%
Guyana	Waramadan	2000	2.1%	6.8%	0.4%
Guyana	Makouria River	2000	4.6%	14.9%	0.8%
Guyana	Rest of Region 10	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Guyana	Rose Hall	2000	2.2%	5.2%	0.7%
Guyana	New Amsterdam	2000	1.0%	3.0%	0.2%
Guyana	No. 38 / Ordnance Fortlands	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Guyana	Woodley Park / Bath	2000	0.5%	0.9%	0.2%
Guyana	Rest of Region 8	2000	0.4%	2.4%	0.0%
			3.6%	6.1%	1.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Abary / Mahaicony	2000	0.4%	2.5%	0.0%
Guyana	Agatash	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Guyana	Rest of Region 1	2000	2.3%	5.3%	0.8%
Guyana	Whim / Bloomfield	2000	4.1%	9.6%	1.1%
Guyana	Maripari River + Kurukabaru	2000	2.7%	9.4%	0.5%
Guyana	Coomaka Lands	2000	0.4%	1.5%	0.1%
Guyana	Ituni	2000	1.9%	8.3%	0.1%
Guyana	Kwakwani	2000	0.6%	4.2%	0.0%
Guyana	St. Francis Mission	2000	2.9%	9.0%	0.3%
Guyana	Diamond / Golden Grove	2000	1.0%	3.0%	0.4%
Guyana	Farm / Woodlands	2000	0.6%	2.3%	0.1%
Guyana	Amsterdam (Demerara River) / Vriesland	2000	0.8%	2.9%	0.3%
Guyana	Aishalton - Karaudanawa, Achiwib	2000	1.9%	5.5%	0.4%
Guyana	Anna Regina	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Guyana	Mabura Hills	2000	2.6%	7.6%	0.6%
Guyana	East Bank Berbice	2000	0.9%	1.7%	0.4%
Guyana	Foulis / Buxton	2000	0.6%	2.1%	0.1%
Guyana	Mabaruma / Kumaka / Hosororo	2000	0.9%	2.8%	0.3%
Guyana	Monkey Mountain	2000	3.5%	16.2%	0.0%
Guyana	Demerara Conservancy	2000	1.9%	7.7%	0.2%
Guyana	John / Port Mourant	2000	1.7%	5.5%	0.3%
Guyana	Te Huist Coverden / Soesdyke	2000	2.1%	9.7%	0.1%
Guyana	Enfield / New Doe Park	2000	1.5%	6.7%	0.0%
Guyana	Uitvlugt / Tuschen	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Guyana	Charity / Urasara	2000	1.4%	4.6%	0.2%
Guyana	Tarlogie / Maida	2000	0.7%	1.8%	0.2%
Guyana	West bank Berbice	2000	0.6%	2.1%	0.1%
Guyana	Black Bush Polder land Development Scheme	2000	2.2%	13.3%	0.2%
Guyana	Klein Pouderoyen / Best	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Leguan (Essequibo Islands)	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Guyana	Enmore / Hope	2000	0.8%	2.9%	0.1%
Guyana	Kamarang	2000	1.8%	4.6%	0.3%
Guyana	Jackson Creek / Crabwood Creek	2000	0.5%	3.2%	0.0%
Guyana	Plaisance / Industry	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Guyana	Hogstye / Lancaster	2000	3.3%	7.9%	1.0%
Guyana	Chenapau River	2000	4.5%	22.2%	0.0%
Guyana	Joppa / Macedonia	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Guyana	City of Georgetown	2000	1.6%	2.6%	0.8%
Guyana	Jawalla, Kubenang River	2000	1.1%	5.8%	0.1%
Guyana	Meer Zorgen / Malgre Tout	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Guyana	Ireng / Sawariwau (Including St. Ignatius)	2000	1.1%	3.2%	0.3%
Guyana	Chance / Hamlet	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Guyana	Nismes / La Grange	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Guyana	Arau	2000	3.5%	14.8%	0.1%
Guyana	Rising Sun / Profit	2000	1.1%	3.3%	0.3%
Guyana	Bonasika / Boerasirie	2000	0.7%	2.6%	0.1%
Guyana	Sparta / Bonasika and Rest of Essequibo Islands	2000	2.0%	9.8%	0.1%
Guyana	Karambaru to Kukui River + Phillipi	2000	1.0%	3.8%	0.1%
Guyana	Toka - Jakaretinga	2000	2.6%	7.6%	0.5%
Guyana	Good Hope / Pomona	2000	2.0%	7.0%	0.3%
Guyana	Fyrish / Gibraltar	2000	0.8%	6.1%	0.0%
Guyana	Blankenburg / Hague	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Guyana	La Bonne Intention / Better Hope	2000	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%
Guyana	No.74 Village / No.52 Village	2000	0.5%	2.2%	0.1%
Guyana	Lower West Demerara	2000	1.9%	8.5%	0.0%
Guyana	Gelderland / No. 3	2000	0.4%	1.5%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Wakenaam (Essequibo Islands)	2000	1.1%	4.7%	0.0%
Guyana	Canals Polder	2000	0.7%	2.0%	0.2%
Guyana	Cornelia Ida / Stewartville	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Guyana	Paramakatoi	2000	0.9%	5.3%	0.1%
Guyana	Madhia + Kurubrong River + Mona Falls	2000	2.5%	5.9%	0.6%
Guyana	Bartica	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Guyana	Soesdyke-Linden highway (including Timehri)	2000	2.3%	9.8%	0.1%
Guyana	Cane Field / Enterprise	2000	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Guyana	Linden	2000	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Guyana	Yarong Paru - Good Hope	2000	4.8%	12.1%	0.9%
Guyana	Bush Lot / Adventure	2000	1.6%	4.9%	0.3%
Guyana	Yakarinta - Wowetta, Surama	2000	3.1%	6.5%	0.8%
Guyana	Waini	2000	2.1%	4.7%	0.7%
Guyana	Naarstigheid / Union	2000	1.0%	5.0%	0.1%
Guyana	Corentyne River	2000	1.2%	3.5%	0.2%
Guyana	Rest of Region 9	2000	2.4%	4.5%	1.2%
Guyana	Bel Air / Woodlands	2000	0.4%	2.0%	0.0%
Guyana	No.51 Village / Good Hope	2000	0.7%	3.0%	0.1%
Guyana	Good Hope / Hydronie	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Guyana	Matthews Ridge / Arakaka (Matakai) / Port Kaituma	2000	2.3%	9.1%	0.2%
Guyana	Hampshire / Kilcoy	2000	0.8%	2.2%	0.2%
Guyana	Nouvelle Flanders / La Jalousie	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Guyana	Eccles / Ramsburg	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Guyana	Vergenoegen / Greenwich Park	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Guyana	Canal No. 2 (part) + The Belle + Little Alliance	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Guyana	Mocha / Arcadia	2000	0.6%	1.9%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Sand Creek - Dadanawa, Catunarib, Sawariwau	2000	1.5%	4.0%	0.3%
Guyana	Good Success / Caledonia	2000	0.9%	2.6%	0.3%
Guyana	Rosignol / Zeelust	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Guyana	St. Cuthberts / Orange Nassau (Mahaica River)	2000	1.2%	4.0%	0.2%
Guyana	La Reconnaissance / Mon Repos	2000	1.5%	5.7%	0.3%
Guyana	Zorg-en-Vlygt / Aberdeen	2000	0.6%	1.9%	0.1%
Guyana	Paradise / Evergreen (including Somerset and Berks)	2000	1.7%	7.1%	0.0%
Guyana	Rest of Region 7	2000	2.7%	5.7%	1.6%
Guyana	Patentia / Toevlugt	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Guyana	Barima / Amakura	2000	2.3%	4.7%	0.9%
Guyana	Triumph / Beterverwagting	2000	1.1%	4.0%	0.3%
Guyana	Tempe / Seafield	2000	0.9%	4.8%	0.0%
Guyana	Grove / Haslington	2000	0.4%	1.2%	0.1%
Guyana	Paruima	2000	6.8%	14.9%	1.9%
Guyana	Kaibarupai	2000	7.5%	27.1%	0.6%
Guyana	Madhia + Kurubrong River + Mona Falls	2017	14.6%	22.8%	8.0%
Guyana	Triumph / Beterverwagting	2017	1.9%	3.3%	1.3%
Guyana	Waramadan	2017	18.8%	41.2%	6.5%
Guyana	Black Bush Polder land Development Scheme	2017	3.0%	10.6%	0.9%
Guyana	Blankenburg / Hague	2017	2.6%	3.5%	1.8%
Guyana	Gelderland / No. 3	2017	0.6%	1.7%	0.3%
Guyana	Enmore / Hope	2017	1.5%	4.8%	0.3%
Guyana	Vereeniging / Unity	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%
Guyana	Coomaka Lands	2017	10.0%	18.7%	3.5%
Guyana	Rising Sun / Profit	2017	1.9%	3.7%	0.9%
Guyana	Agatash	2017	8.4%	16.0%	3.8%
Guyana	Lower West Demerara	2017	8.7%	26.1%	1.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Canal No. 2 (part) + The Belle + Little Alliance	2017	1.5%	2.2%	0.7%
Guyana	Linden	2017	8.1%	12.5%	4.3%
Guyana	Rest of Region 9	2017	12.3%	16.9%	8.4%
Guyana	Kamarang	2017	15.4%	25.5%	7.9%
Guyana	Rest of Region 8	2017	19.1%	24.6%	13.6%
Guyana	Kopanang, Waipa, Kene-pai	2017	12.5%	27.1%	3.2%
Guyana	Wakenaam (Essequibo Islands)	2017	11.7%	28.8%	3.0%
Guyana	Diamond / Golden Grove	2017	5.7%	9.5%	3.5%
Guyana	Jackson Creek / Crabwood Creek	2017	3.2%	18.2%	0.1%
Guyana	Monkey Mountain	2017	21.1%	43.1%	5.1%
Guyana	Leguan (Essequibo Islands)	2017	9.6%	31.7%	0.8%
Guyana	Borlam (No.37) / Kintyre	2017	1.8%	6.1%	0.5%
Guyana	Zorg-en-Vlygt / Aberdeen	2017	6.0%	12.7%	2.5%
Guyana	Enfield / New Doe Park	2017	2.0%	6.0%	0.4%
Guyana	Soesdyke-Linden highway (including Timehri)	2017	2.8%	7.9%	0.7%
Guyana	Amsterdam (Demerara River) / Vriesland	2017	9.0%	12.9%	5.5%
Guyana	Hampshire / Kilcoy	2017	5.9%	10.7%	2.9%
Guyana	Riverstown / Annandale	2017	4.8%	6.9%	3.1%
Guyana	Whim / Bloomfield	2017	2.8%	7.5%	0.8%
Guyana	Good Hope / Pomona	2017	7.6%	16.1%	3.2%
Guyana	Cane Grove Land Development Scheme	2017	0.7%	2.2%	0.2%
Guyana	Farm / Woodlands	2017	0.7%	2.6%	0.1%
Guyana	Anna Regina	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Guyana	La Bonne Intention / Better Hope	2017	1.7%	2.5%	1.3%
Guyana	Foulis / Buxton	2017	1.1%	3.3%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Rest of Region 10	2017	9.9%	15.9%	5.8%
Guyana	Paruima	2017	16.5%	28.0%	7.9%
Guyana	West bank	2017	1.2%	2.9%	0.4%
Guyana	Berbice				
Guyana	Woodley Park / Bath	2017	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Guyana	Arau	2017	13.4%	37.2%	1.4%
Guyana	Mabaruma / Kumaka / Hosororo	2017	11.5%	23.9%	5.4%
Guyana	East Bank Berbice	2017	6.8%	9.5%	4.5%
Guyana	Ituni	2017	8.2%	23.5%	1.6%
Guyana	Nouvelle Flanders / La Jalousie	2017	2.7%	4.2%	1.7%
Guyana	Corentyne River	2017	4.2%	6.8%	2.2%
Guyana	Corriverton	2017	2.8%	11.3%	0.1%
Guyana	John / Port Mourant	2017	6.9%	14.0%	3.0%
Guyana	Te Huist Coverden / Soesdyke	2017	0.5%	2.0%	0.1%
Guyana	Fyrish / Gibraltar	2017	0.8%	4.5%	0.1%
Guyana	Klein Pouderoyen / Best	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Guyana	Mabura Hills	2017	11.0%	20.9%	4.2%
Guyana	Paradise / Evergreen (including Somerset and Berks)	2017	5.4%	12.8%	1.5%
Guyana	La Reconnaissance / Mon Repos	2017	2.6%	6.0%	1.0%
Guyana	Kwakwani	2017	5.1%	13.8%	1.8%
Guyana	Rest of Region 7	2017	13.8%	17.7%	10.4%
Guyana	Makouria River	2017	9.7%	18.7%	4.2%
Guyana	Bonasika / Boerasirie	2017	4.2%	7.7%	2.1%
Guyana	Joppa / Macedonia	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Guyana	Meer Zorgen / Malgre Tout	2017	2.0%	2.5%	1.6%
Guyana	Herstelling / Little Diamond	2017	3.8%	4.9%	2.9%
Guyana	Parika / Mora	2017	3.0%	6.6%	1.1%
Guyana	Rest of Region 1	2017	11.0%	17.7%	6.2%
Guyana	Karambaru to Kukui River + Phillipi	2017	15.7%	27.0%	7.8%
Guyana	Good Hope / Hydronie	2017	1.3%	2.4%	0.6%
Guyana	Demerara Conservancy	2017	4.3%	12.1%	1.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Berbice River Settlements	2017	9.1%	17.5%	4.0%
Guyana	Cornelia Ida / Stewartville	2017	3.3%	4.3%	2.3%
Guyana	Kaibarupai	2017	20.3%	50.4%	4.8%
Guyana	Chance / Hamlet	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.2%
Guyana	Sand Creek - Dadanawa, Catunarib, Sawariwau	2017	10.5%	18.8%	5.3%
Guyana	Toka - Jakaretinga	2017	11.5%	21.8%	4.0%
Guyana	Rose Hall	2017	6.4%	12.2%	3.0%
Guyana	Good Success	2017	5.2%	8.8%	2.8%
Guyana	/ Caledonia				
Guyana	Paramakatoi	2017	33.8%	50.0%	17.4%
Guyana	Vergenoegen	2017	2.3%	3.8%	1.4%
	/ Greenwich Park				
Guyana	Uitvlugt / Tuschen	2017	4.1%	5.3%	3.1%
Guyana	Charity / Urasara	2017	6.0%	12.7%	2.3%
Guyana	Cane Field / Enterprise	2017	3.3%	9.1%	0.7%
Guyana	Yarong Paru - Good Hope	2017	15.5%	27.9%	6.3%
Guyana	Bartica	2017	9.5%	18.5%	4.1%
Guyana	Waini	2017	9.3%	14.4%	5.0%
Guyana	Eccles / Ramsburg	2017	2.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Guyana	St. Francis Mission	2017	9.5%	23.4%	2.2%
Guyana	Naarstigheid / Union	2017	0.6%	2.7%	0.0%
Guyana	Abary / Mahaicony	2017	1.4%	9.8%	0.0%
Guyana	Tempe / Seafield	2017	0.5%	2.8%	0.0%
Guyana	Sparta / Bonasika and Rest of Essequibo Islands	2017	10.5%	26.8%	2.6%
Guyana	Matthews Ridge / Arakaka (Matakai) / Port Kaituma	2017	11.7%	25.8%	4.9%
Guyana	Yakarinta - Wowetta, Surama	2017	12.2%	20.3%	6.5%
Guyana	Mocha / Arcadia	2017	4.5%	8.9%	2.0%
Guyana	Grove / Haslington	2017	0.7%	1.6%	0.2%
Guyana	Chenapau River	2017	24.1%	59.1%	5.1%
Guyana	New Amsterdam	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Guyana	Barima / Amakura	2017	10.0%	14.5%	6.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guyana	Tarlogie / Maida	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Guyana	Nismes / La Grange	2017	2.4%	2.9%	1.9%
Guyana	Hogstye / Lancaster	2017	1.3%	2.7%	0.5%
Guyana	Bel Air / Woodlands	2017	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Guyana	Supernaam River, Bethany and Mashabo Villages	2017	8.3%	31.9%	2.1%
Guyana	No. 38 / Ordnance Fortlands	2017	2.0%	3.9%	1.1%
Guyana	Jawalla, Kubenang River	2017	16.2%	36.8%	4.0%
Guyana	St. Cuthberts / Orange Nassau (Mahaica River)	2017	2.3%	6.5%	0.7%
Guyana	Canals Polder	2017	2.3%	3.6%	1.5%
Guyana	Bush Lot / Adventure	2017	0.5%	1.2%	0.1%
Guyana	City of Georgetown	2017	1.2%	1.4%	0.9%
Guyana	No.51 Village / Good Hope	2017	1.1%	2.8%	0.4%
Guyana	No.74 Village / No.52 Village	2017	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
Guyana	Aishalton - Karaudanawa, Achiwib	2017	13.6%	23.1%	6.9%
Guyana	Ireng / Sawariwau (Including St. Ignatius)	2017	9.4%	15.2%	5.3%
Guyana	Marudi	2017	14.0%	28.4%	4.9%
Guyana	Plaisance / Industry	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Guyana	Maripari River + Kurukabaru	2017	18.7%	32.8%	8.2%
Guyana	Patentia / Toevlugt	2017	3.6%	4.4%	2.7%
Guyana	Rosignol / Zeelust	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Haiti	Grande-Rivière du Nord	2000	63.0%	66.0%	60.3%
Haiti	Borgne	2000	28.5%	33.4%	24.1%
Haiti	Corail	2000	61.6%	67.3%	55.6%
Haiti	l'Anse-à-Veau	2000	57.7%	59.6%	55.7%
Haiti	Ouanaminthe	2000	15.3%	17.6%	13.6%
Haiti	Léogâne	2000	32.8%	35.9%	29.7%
Haiti	Dessalines	2000	38.8%	43.3%	33.9%
Haiti	les Côteaux	2000	66.7%	73.6%	59.6%
Haiti	Gros-Morne	2000	34.3%	41.0%	27.9%
Haiti	Jacmel	2000	30.9%	34.9%	27.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Haiti	Bainet	2000	38.0%	45.9%	31.1%
Haiti	Port-de-Paix	2000	40.0%	45.0%	35.8%
Haiti	Vallières	2000	23.1%	28.5%	20.2%
Haiti	Miragoâne	2000	47.4%	50.7%	43.9%
Haiti	Lascahobas	2000	55.3%	61.9%	46.9%
Haiti	Plaisance	2000	64.0%	70.5%	57.5%
Haiti	l'Acul-du-Nord	2000	51.2%	54.5%	47.7%
Haiti	Jérémie	2000	56.9%	60.4%	52.8%
Haiti	La Gonâve	2000	46.7%	60.9%	33.8%
Haiti	l'Arcahaie	2000	52.6%	62.4%	42.9%
Haiti	Anse d'Hainault	2000	59.0%	65.2%	52.1%
Haiti	Saint-Marc	2000	41.4%	46.7%	35.8%
Haiti	le Trou-du-Nord	2000	46.3%	48.8%	43.4%
Haiti	Aquin	2000	39.4%	44.9%	34.5%
Haiti	Port-au-Prince	2000	31.8%	33.1%	30.4%
Haiti	Croix-des-Bouquets	2000	42.7%	47.3%	38.2%
Haiti	Belle-Anse	2000	46.3%	56.7%	37.4%
Haiti	les Gonaïves	2000	35.6%	40.3%	30.4%
Haiti	Mirebalais	2000	41.7%	49.0%	35.6%
Haiti	Marmelade	2000	37.9%	42.9%	32.6%
Haiti	les Cayes	2000	30.2%	33.4%	27.2%
Haiti	Môle Saint-Nicolas	2000	30.9%	36.3%	25.7%
Haiti	les Chardonnières	2000	66.8%	78.4%	55.7%
Haiti	le Limbé	2000	48.7%	52.3%	45.5%
Haiti	Hinche	2000	38.2%	44.0%	33.1%
Haiti	Port-Salut	2000	36.7%	45.4%	29.0%
Haiti	le Cap-Haïtien	2000	46.6%	50.5%	42.2%
Haiti	Fort-Liberté	2000	25.6%	32.3%	20.9%
Haiti	Saint-Raphaël	2000	52.9%	61.9%	45.2%
Haiti	Cerca La Source	2000	43.2%	53.0%	33.4%
Haiti	Saint-Louis du Nord	2000	48.6%	51.8%	45.4%
Haiti	Dessalines	2017	36.9%	40.9%	32.1%
Haiti	Hinche	2017	40.5%	45.5%	36.4%
Haiti	Anse d'Hainault	2017	65.0%	69.8%	58.5%
Haiti	Bainet	2017	38.7%	47.0%	31.5%
Haiti	l'Anse-à-Veau	2017	53.7%	55.2%	52.2%
Haiti	Borgne	2017	29.0%	33.6%	25.0%
Haiti	Marmelade	2017	39.8%	44.9%	33.6%
Haiti	Belle-Anse	2017	44.2%	55.1%	35.7%
Haiti	le Trou-du-Nord	2017	42.1%	44.6%	39.8%
Haiti	Saint-Raphaël	2017	48.0%	59.6%	37.8%
Haiti	Vallières	2017	27.4%	32.7%	24.4%
Haiti	Croix-des-Bouquets	2017	30.9%	34.9%	26.7%
Haiti	Fort-Liberté	2017	26.2%	31.1%	22.0%
Haiti	le Limbé	2017	40.5%	43.9%	37.2%
Haiti	Corail	2017	51.5%	57.3%	46.3%
Haiti	Léogâne	2017	18.6%	22.1%	16.3%
Haiti	La Gonâve	2017	49.7%	69.2%	29.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Haiti	Plaisance	2017	62.7%	67.3%	58.5%
Haiti	Ouanaminthe	2017	15.3%	17.5%	13.5%
Haiti	Port-de-Paix	2017	33.1%	37.1%	29.4%
Haiti	les Gonaïves	2017	31.9%	35.5%	27.8%
Haiti	les Côteaux	2017	56.5%	63.7%	49.7%
Haiti	le Cap-Haïtien	2017	24.7%	26.6%	22.6%
Haiti	Saint-Marc	2017	31.6%	36.1%	27.3%
Haiti	l'Acul-du-Nord	2017	33.3%	35.5%	31.2%
Haiti	les Chardonnières	2017	58.8%	70.4%	48.5%
Haiti	Cerca La Source	2017	46.3%	55.7%	35.8%
Haiti	Aquin	2017	34.4%	39.3%	30.3%
Haiti	Lascahobas	2017	53.5%	59.5%	47.1%
Haiti	Grande-Rivière du Nord	2017	60.8%	63.2%	58.3%
Haiti	l'Arcahaie	2017	36.1%	44.7%	25.8%
Haiti	Jérémie	2017	55.5%	60.0%	50.3%
Haiti	Jacmel	2017	23.6%	26.5%	21.3%
Haiti	Miragoâne	2017	45.9%	48.2%	43.6%
Haiti	Saint-Louis du Nord	2017	49.6%	52.8%	45.1%
Haiti	Gros-Morne	2017	34.8%	44.0%	26.4%
Haiti	les Cayes	2017	25.3%	27.8%	23.2%
Haiti	Port-au-Prince	2017	15.2%	15.9%	14.5%
Haiti	Port-Salut	2017	39.2%	47.4%	32.3%
Haiti	Môle Saint-Nicolas	2017	30.3%	34.9%	25.8%
Haiti	Mirebalais	2017	35.0%	40.1%	30.7%
Honduras	San Marcos de la Sierra	2000	18.9%	31.0%	11.7%
Honduras	San Antonio	2000	15.5%	23.4%	9.5%
Honduras	Belen	2000	13.9%	23.9%	6.9%
Honduras	San Sebastian	2000	27.4%	38.4%	17.7%
Honduras	Lepaterique	2000	13.5%	25.2%	5.5%
Honduras	Talanga	2000	11.4%	19.0%	5.9%
Honduras	Belén Gualcho	2000	13.6%	17.4%	10.5%
Honduras	Dolores Merendon	2000	27.7%	42.8%	13.7%
Honduras	San Sebastián	2000	9.4%	15.7%	4.9%
Honduras	Soledad	2000	12.1%	20.8%	6.5%
Honduras	Cabañas	2000	14.8%	30.0%	4.9%
Honduras	La Lima	2000	15.8%	20.9%	10.5%
Honduras	Curarén	2000	23.8%	36.7%	13.1%
Honduras	Quimistán	2000	21.3%	28.8%	14.2%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2000	11.5%	20.0%	6.4%
Honduras	Yoro	2000	21.1%	26.8%	15.3%
Honduras	Salamá	2000	19.8%	36.4%	9.0%
Honduras	Aguaqueterique	2000	18.8%	34.6%	7.4%
Honduras	Alubarén	2000	14.5%	19.4%	9.7%
Honduras	Yauyupe	2000	17.6%	39.7%	2.8%
Honduras	Iriona	2000	23.0%	33.7%	14.2%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2000	29.2%	40.3%	19.0%
Honduras	Juticalpa	2000	23.7%	31.8%	16.8%
Honduras	Chinacla	2000	5.2%	8.8%	3.1%
Honduras	Ojo de Agua	2000	12.3%	19.6%	6.9%
Honduras	Erandique	2000	34.9%	45.8%	25.7%
Honduras	Masaguara	2000	18.4%	24.3%	13.3%
Honduras	Balfate	2000	16.3%	24.9%	9.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Manuel	2000	19.4%	26.1%	13.6%
Honduras	Mercedes	2000	28.6%	41.2%	17.3%
Honduras	Potrerrillos	2000	12.7%	23.7%	5.3%
Honduras	Danlí	2000	16.8%	21.4%	12.7%
Honduras	Langue	2000	16.2%	21.2%	12.4%
Honduras	Brus Laguna	2000	25.1%	33.9%	17.4%
Honduras	Marale	2000	15.7%	31.3%	5.1%
Honduras	Santa Cruz de Yojoa	2000	17.0%	24.7%	11.0%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2000	21.3%	45.0%	5.2%
Honduras	Talgua	2000	14.5%	18.9%	10.5%
Honduras	Campamento	2000	15.6%	26.9%	7.8%
Honduras	La Iguala	2000	26.0%	38.8%	12.9%
Honduras	Gualcince	2000	18.6%	27.9%	12.4%
Honduras	San José	2000	10.7%	14.1%	7.9%
Honduras	Namasigüe	2000	15.5%	21.8%	10.0%
Honduras	Alauca	2000	7.4%	14.8%	3.1%
Honduras	Liure	2000	10.5%	22.7%	3.7%
Honduras	San Andrés	2000	34.3%	46.7%	24.6%
Honduras	Pimienta	2000	18.6%	36.1%	6.3%
Honduras	San José	2000	17.0%	31.8%	6.8%
Honduras	La Paz	2000	22.8%	27.8%	17.6%
Honduras	Las Lajas	2000	14.6%	31.6%	5.0%
Honduras	Minas de Oro	2000	15.9%	29.3%	7.1%
Honduras	Yuscarán	2000	16.5%	31.9%	5.7%
Honduras	Santa Ana de Yusguare	2000	7.9%	11.9%	5.1%
Honduras	San Francisco de la Paz	2000	17.0%	26.5%	9.5%
Honduras	La Jigua	2000	19.4%	23.8%	15.3%
Honduras	Concordia	2000	22.1%	38.5%	7.7%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	12.6%	18.7%	7.9%
Honduras	Alianza	2000	17.9%	29.8%	10.3%
Honduras	Villanueva	2000	16.4%	21.5%	11.4%
Honduras	San Marcos	2000	30.6%	38.9%	20.5%
Honduras	San Vicente Centenario	2000	59.5%	73.8%	44.0%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Aguán	2000	23.4%	46.8%	12.0%
Honduras	Las Flores	2000	26.9%	35.4%	18.5%
Honduras	Marcovia	2000	11.2%	17.4%	6.1%
Honduras	Texiguat	2000	16.7%	28.7%	7.7%
Honduras	San José	2000	10.4%	21.5%	3.6%
Honduras	Trujillo	2000	17.2%	22.0%	11.6%
Honduras	Patuca	2000	20.3%	33.0%	10.2%
Honduras	Arenal	2000	18.9%	36.5%	7.1%
Honduras	San Juan de Opoa	2000	14.2%	21.1%	8.4%
Honduras	Limón	2000	19.4%	31.2%	10.2%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2000	19.3%	33.3%	9.9%
Honduras	San Francisco de Ojuera	2000	25.5%	43.5%	11.3%
Honduras	Naranjito	2000	23.3%	37.3%	11.5%
Honduras	San Antonio	2000	12.4%	36.5%	1.3%
Honduras	Sensenti	2000	20.0%	26.2%	14.6%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2000	16.8%	35.7%	5.8%
Honduras	Ramón Villeda Morales	2000	26.0%	40.6%	15.6%
Honduras	Opatoro	2000	21.6%	33.4%	12.0%
Honduras	Roatán	2000	17.6%	26.0%	12.7%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2000	21.7%	31.7%	12.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Juan de Flores	2000	12.3%	23.2%	5.4%
Honduras	Esquíás	2000	22.1%	35.2%	9.0%
Honduras	Dolores	2000	18.1%	26.3%	11.5%
Honduras	Copán Ruinas	2000	27.3%	40.0%	17.2%
Honduras	Cabañas	2000	11.6%	23.1%	4.0%
Honduras	Ahuas	2000	25.5%	40.6%	12.4%
Honduras	Veracruz	2000	8.9%	12.1%	6.0%
Honduras	Florida	2000	16.7%	29.6%	8.5%
Honduras	Victoria	2000	23.8%	35.7%	14.3%
Honduras	Guaimaca	2000	21.4%	31.0%	13.2%
Honduras	Oropolí	2000	13.3%	31.2%	2.8%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2000	16.8%	30.3%	7.3%
Honduras	Vado Ancho	2000	24.4%	50.6%	6.1%
Honduras	Ajuterique	2000	33.1%	45.4%	20.4%
Honduras	Taulabe	2000	16.9%	32.0%	7.0%
Honduras	San Buenaventura	2000	14.3%	28.1%	4.2%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Copán	2000	16.3%	21.2%	12.2%
Honduras	Nueva Arcadia	2000	27.1%	35.9%	20.0%
Honduras	Yorito	2000	15.5%	29.2%	5.8%
Honduras	Catacamas	2000	16.9%	21.5%	13.0%
Honduras	El Negrito	2000	23.0%	30.8%	15.5%
Honduras	Duyure	2000	13.4%	33.0%	3.6%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	20.1%	32.3%	10.4%
Honduras	Valle de Ángeles	2000	6.3%	10.9%	3.1%
Honduras	El Rosario	2000	25.4%	43.5%	10.0%
Honduras	Jano	2000	20.6%	37.1%	8.9%
Honduras	La Libertad	2000	22.6%	38.5%	11.3%
Honduras	Mapulaca	2000	28.0%	39.6%	15.6%
Honduras	Guayape	2000	20.7%	38.7%	8.0%
Honduras	Comayagua	2000	31.2%	35.8%	26.6%
Honduras	San Lucas	2000	13.1%	23.8%	5.8%
Honduras	La Libertad	2000	20.0%	25.8%	14.5%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2000	24.8%	34.5%	15.8%
Honduras	Las Vegas	2000	14.5%	30.5%	3.6%
Honduras	Santiago de Puringla	2000	18.5%	27.2%	11.5%
Honduras	El Progreso	2000	12.5%	16.9%	8.9%
Honduras	Orica	2000	19.9%	30.1%	12.1%
Honduras	San Pedro de Tutule	2000	7.3%	10.8%	4.9%
Honduras	Olanchito	2000	14.2%	19.6%	9.8%
Honduras	Trojes	2000	18.4%	25.5%	12.3%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2000	12.6%	20.3%	7.5%
Honduras	Trinidad	2000	14.6%	22.8%	7.8%
Honduras	San Lorenzo	2000	17.4%	24.0%	12.1%
Honduras	Trinidad de Copán	2000	26.3%	38.0%	16.6%
Honduras	La Labor	2000	26.0%	32.2%	20.2%
Honduras	Yocón	2000	16.7%	27.2%	9.4%
Honduras	Guarizama	2000	23.3%	45.3%	7.0%
Honduras	Amapala	2000	19.5%	27.2%	12.8%
Honduras	Morazán	2000	22.9%	30.6%	15.0%
Honduras	El Triunfo	2000	12.6%	20.6%	6.6%
Honduras	Fraternidad	2000	29.8%	39.5%	21.3%
Honduras	Caridad	2000	10.3%	19.9%	4.3%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	20.5%	28.4%	14.4%
Honduras	Guajiquiro	2000	15.2%	24.5%	7.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Guarita	2000	37.7%	56.4%	22.0%
Honduras	Arada	2000	41.3%	57.2%	26.6%
Honduras	Goascorán	2000	28.5%	35.9%	20.2%
Honduras	La Virtud	2000	45.4%	55.4%	34.5%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	24.8%	29.2%	19.7%
Honduras	San José de Comayagua	2000	15.1%	33.1%	5.6%
Honduras	Camasca	2000	15.2%	20.6%	11.7%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2000	31.0%	44.1%	16.4%
Honduras	San Juan Guarita	2000	20.9%	50.9%	3.9%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2000	17.3%	28.5%	8.6%
Honduras	Concepción de María	2000	5.4%	10.6%	2.6%
Honduras	Sinuapa	2000	26.1%	35.0%	18.9%
Honduras	Siguatepeque	2000	28.7%	34.3%	21.7%
Honduras	Gualaco	2000	20.7%	33.2%	12.9%
Honduras	Manto	2000	21.4%	34.7%	11.0%
Honduras	Tatumbula	2000	30.5%	50.7%	12.8%
Honduras	Yamaranguila	2000	8.2%	12.0%	5.0%
Honduras	San Francisco de Coray	2000	15.3%	21.2%	10.7%
Honduras	La Trinidad	2000	22.6%	36.2%	11.7%
Honduras	Sabanagrande	2000	21.1%	38.4%	8.1%
Honduras	Orocuina	2000	7.3%	11.6%	4.1%
Honduras	Lamaní	2000	13.3%	24.6%	5.5%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2000	13.2%	25.0%	6.7%
Honduras	San Pedro Zapaca	2000	15.4%	26.4%	5.9%
Honduras	San Marcos de Colón	2000	20.7%	33.8%	11.2%
Honduras	San Pedro Sula	2000	14.9%	17.3%	12.3%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre	2000	6.9%	12.8%	3.9%
Honduras	Jocón	2000	28.5%	42.9%	16.0%
Honduras	San Marcos	2000	13.3%	20.5%	7.8%
Honduras	Puerto Lem-pira	2000	26.3%	32.8%	19.9%
Honduras	San José del Potrero	2000	18.9%	38.9%	6.5%
Honduras	Juan Francisco Bulnes	2000	30.2%	43.0%	17.9%
Honduras	Cane	2000	19.5%	24.7%	13.6%
Honduras	Gracias	2000	18.9%	26.5%	13.3%
Honduras	San Marcos de Caiquín	2000	21.1%	44.5%	7.0%
Honduras	Yarula	2000	6.3%	11.4%	3.0%
Honduras	Nacaome	2000	17.0%	21.2%	13.9%
Honduras	San Juan	2000	18.7%	46.7%	2.8%
Honduras	Distrito Central	2000	12.0%	15.1%	9.4%
Honduras	Santa Cruz	2000	22.0%	40.9%	9.8%
Honduras	Sonaguera	2000	26.2%	31.4%	21.3%
Honduras	Concepción del Norte	2000	18.0%	36.9%	4.8%
Honduras	San Antonio de Oriente	2000	15.1%	21.2%	8.8%
Honduras	Reitoca	2000	14.8%	23.6%	8.1%
Honduras	Tambla	2000	34.0%	59.9%	13.6%
Honduras	San Francisco del Valle	2000	32.4%	38.0%	26.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Luis	2000	22.5%	33.1%	12.6%
Honduras	Azacualpa	2000	15.0%	23.9%	8.1%
Honduras	Chinda	2000	17.5%	33.9%	6.2%
Honduras	El Rosario	2000	24.0%	38.3%	11.2%
Honduras	San Pedro	2000	15.3%	28.7%	7.3%
Honduras	Marcala	2000	9.0%	13.0%	6.1%
Honduras	Lepaera	2000	20.5%	27.6%	13.9%
Honduras	San Isidro	2000	9.4%	21.2%	3.5%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2000	19.1%	29.6%	12.2%
Honduras	Santa Maria del Real	2000	15.0%	22.4%	8.6%
Honduras	Wampusirpi	2000	18.4%	33.2%	7.9%
Honduras	Utila	2000	20.5%	35.6%	9.2%
Honduras	Intibucá	2000	16.2%	21.2%	11.4%
Honduras	Aramecina	2000	25.1%	41.1%	12.4%
Honduras	Villa de San Francisco	2000	14.9%	28.5%	6.2%
Honduras	Ceguaca	2000	20.4%	31.1%	12.4%
Honduras	Apacilagua	2000	14.2%	22.1%	8.1%
Honduras	San Esteban	2000	22.0%	33.1%	11.9%
Honduras	Choluteca	2000	12.6%	17.1%	8.7%
Honduras	Tomalá	2000	35.3%	63.3%	12.7%
Honduras	El Corpus	2000	8.8%	14.6%	5.2%
Honduras	Meámbar	2000	19.0%	32.6%	8.0%
Honduras	Concepción del Sur	2000	5.2%	12.3%	1.4%
Honduras	Bonito Oriental	2000	17.2%	24.3%	11.4%
Honduras	Tocoa	2000	21.4%	28.1%	15.5%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre de Culmí	2000	22.5%	32.4%	14.1%
Honduras	Cedros	2000	15.5%	23.6%	8.4%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2000	14.2%	29.9%	4.5%
Honduras	Sabá	2000	20.8%	28.9%	14.0%
Honduras	Ojojona	2000	22.6%	35.7%	12.7%
Honduras	Puerto Cortés	2000	14.4%	22.3%	9.2%
Honduras	Concepción	2000	24.9%	36.5%	16.1%
Honduras	Ilama	2000	20.2%	36.1%	7.1%
Honduras	Santa Elena	2000	7.3%	12.0%	4.3%
Honduras	Piraera	2000	14.5%	24.4%	8.2%
Honduras	Omoa	2000	20.4%	31.1%	11.4%
Honduras	Nueva Armenia	2000	18.2%	32.0%	6.8%
Honduras	Arizona	2000	18.3%	29.8%	9.7%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2000	20.9%	35.7%	9.7%
Honduras	San Antonio de Cortés	2000	25.0%	39.2%	13.1%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	36.2%	47.0%	24.8%
Honduras	Guanaja	2000	26.1%	40.0%	16.5%
Honduras	Nuevo Celilac	2000	22.1%	36.5%	12.4%
Honduras	San Isidro	2000	23.5%	42.2%	11.9%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2000	24.8%	35.2%	17.3%
Honduras	La Unión	2000	18.0%	28.9%	9.9%
Honduras	Guata	2000	20.6%	29.4%	13.1%
Honduras	Esquipulas del Norte	2000	21.8%	36.6%	11.7%
Honduras	Candelaria	2000	5.6%	10.2%	3.0%
Honduras	Potrерillos	2000	19.5%	32.5%	9.2%
Honduras	Tela	2000	22.5%	28.0%	17.2%
Honduras	Cucuyagua	2000	27.7%	38.0%	17.7%
Honduras	Morolica	2000	14.3%	24.5%	6.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2000	4.4%	8.8%	1.8%
Honduras	San José de Colinas	2000	22.2%	38.1%	11.3%
Honduras	San Fernando	2000	11.6%	16.6%	7.8%
Honduras	Pespire	2000	14.0%	22.2%	7.8%
Honduras	Jutiapa	2000	17.7%	30.4%	8.0%
Honduras	Teupasenti	2000	17.0%	24.9%	11.3%
Honduras	La Esperanza	2000	12.5%	26.5%	3.6%
Honduras	La Venta	2000	24.7%	44.1%	11.0%
Honduras	Jacaleapa	2000	18.8%	28.4%	11.2%
Honduras	Lejamaní	2000	23.3%	35.4%	14.6%
Honduras	Colomoncagua	2000	20.0%	27.1%	13.0%
Honduras	Gualala	2000	23.0%	43.7%	8.0%
Honduras	José Santos Guardiola	2000	12.3%	16.9%	9.0%
Honduras	Humuya	2000	5.4%	13.3%	2.1%
Honduras	Jesús de Otoro	2000	15.0%	19.4%	10.5%
Honduras	El Nispero	2000	27.9%	43.7%	18.2%
Honduras	San Francisco de Opalaca	2000	34.0%	47.5%	22.0%
Honduras	Macuelizo	2000	19.2%	27.7%	12.3%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	32.5%	55.1%	13.7%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2000	17.3%	26.3%	9.7%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	16.8%	26.2%	10.5%
Honduras	Esparta	2000	15.7%	24.7%	8.5%
Honduras	San Matías	2000	23.9%	38.2%	12.2%
Honduras	Silca	2000	17.2%	31.4%	7.8%
Honduras	San Rafael	2000	20.8%	38.9%	6.7%
Honduras	La Encarnación	2000	10.9%	15.6%	7.4%
Honduras	San Francisco de Becerra	2000	11.3%	20.8%	5.2%
Honduras	San Francisco Morocelí	2000	14.1%	23.1%	7.3%
Honduras	Morocelí	2000	16.5%	28.0%	9.0%
Honduras	La Ceiba	2000	16.0%	20.8%	11.8%
Honduras	Lucerna	2000	20.8%	28.8%	13.0%
Honduras	La Masica	2000	10.6%	16.1%	6.5%
Honduras	Protección	2000	17.2%	27.4%	9.6%
Honduras	Atima	2000	19.7%	34.0%	10.8%
Honduras	Corquín	2000	13.2%	18.8%	8.2%
Honduras	Mercedes de Oriente	2000	22.2%	52.4%	3.6%
Honduras	Virginia	2000	2.7%	6.6%	0.5%
Honduras	Santa María	2000	13.0%	19.8%	7.6%
Honduras	Guinope	2000	15.6%	35.3%	3.7%
Honduras	Maraita	2000	15.5%	30.2%	5.7%
Honduras	Vallecillo	2000	24.6%	45.4%	7.4%
Honduras	Valladolid	2000	11.6%	23.1%	4.1%
Honduras	La Campa	2000	15.4%	26.3%	8.2%
Honduras	San Agustín	2000	26.9%	45.2%	11.7%
Honduras	San Juan	2000	9.3%	15.7%	4.8%
Honduras	Magdalena	2000	25.4%	32.6%	18.5%
Honduras	Santa Bárbara	2000	26.2%	35.3%	18.8%
Honduras	Mangulile	2000	18.5%	30.4%	8.5%
Honduras	Ocotepeque	2000	17.7%	22.7%	13.8%
Honduras	San Ignacio	2000	14.6%	27.0%	5.9%
Honduras	San Luis	2000	20.7%	37.8%	9.7%
Honduras	Choloma	2000	16.9%	21.9%	12.6%
Honduras	Nueva Frontera	2000	13.5%	23.7%	6.3%
Honduras	Lauterique	2000	15.6%	25.4%	7.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Santa Rita	2000	35.6%	53.3%	21.3%
Honduras	San Francisco de Yojoa	2000	13.8%	29.7%	3.2%
Honduras	Pettoa	2000	22.1%	33.1%	13.8%
Honduras	San Antonio del Norte	2000	20.5%	37.4%	8.3%
Honduras	Villa de San Antonio	2000	20.0%	29.1%	13.5%
Honduras	San Jorge	2000	17.2%	34.2%	6.4%
Honduras	Cololaca	2000	39.9%	51.1%	28.3%
Honduras	Sulaco	2000	16.2%	29.4%	8.1%
Honduras	San Francisco	2000	38.7%	48.1%	29.8%
Honduras	San Manuel Colohete	2000	16.7%	30.0%	8.0%
Honduras	Talgua	2017	29.9%	34.6%	25.6%
Honduras	La Jigua	2017	8.9%	11.5%	6.8%
Honduras	Dolores Merendon	2017	31.7%	44.3%	19.2%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	22.2%	33.0%	11.5%
Honduras	San Isidro	2017	25.2%	41.9%	13.4%
Honduras	Utila	2017	9.5%	21.6%	2.9%
Honduras	Alauca	2017	14.6%	23.2%	8.0%
Honduras	La Labor	2017	31.6%	35.7%	27.5%
Honduras	La Lima	2017	4.4%	7.2%	2.2%
Honduras	Yuscarán	2017	18.1%	36.7%	5.3%
Honduras	Jocón	2017	30.8%	44.2%	16.4%
Honduras	Concepción del Norte	2017	19.8%	41.0%	5.4%
Honduras	Victoria	2017	28.7%	39.4%	17.0%
Honduras	Talanga	2017	15.0%	23.2%	8.7%
Honduras	Santa Ana de Yusguare	2017	14.3%	18.6%	9.9%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2017	33.7%	54.6%	12.1%
Honduras	Atima	2017	42.6%	49.8%	36.0%
Honduras	Caridad	2017	27.8%	38.5%	16.9%
Honduras	Catacamas	2017	18.4%	22.9%	14.1%
Honduras	San José de Colinas	2017	26.5%	44.1%	12.6%
Honduras	Roatán	2017	12.4%	16.9%	7.7%
Honduras	San Juan	2017	23.7%	52.8%	3.3%
Honduras	Juticalpa	2017	14.2%	20.2%	10.3%
Honduras	Copán Ruinas	2017	32.9%	45.5%	24.0%
Honduras	San Pedro	2017	16.4%	28.0%	5.3%
Honduras	San Vicente Centenario	2017	39.1%	60.5%	20.1%
Honduras	Amapala	2017	24.6%	32.7%	16.5%
Honduras	Nacaome	2017	18.9%	21.9%	16.4%
Honduras	Cabañas	2017	29.6%	47.3%	13.5%
Honduras	Wampusirpi	2017	31.7%	46.6%	18.4%
Honduras	Quimistán	2017	16.8%	24.9%	9.3%
Honduras	Florida	2017	21.1%	33.0%	12.4%
Honduras	Tomalá	2017	38.4%	65.2%	13.5%
Honduras	Guata	2017	28.9%	38.3%	20.5%
Honduras	Marale	2017	19.4%	34.6%	7.7%
Honduras	Ojo de Agua	2017	7.3%	13.2%	3.4%
Honduras	Campamento	2017	21.8%	33.5%	10.7%
Honduras	Guajiquiro	2017	26.3%	35.0%	18.0%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	59.1%	65.6%	51.4%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	38.8%	59.6%	13.2%
Honduras	Veracruz	2017	24.7%	28.8%	20.5%
Honduras	San José	2017	18.4%	23.9%	14.3%
Honduras	Gracias	2017	21.5%	27.5%	16.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Maraita	2017	18.0%	33.3%	6.9%
Honduras	Opatoro	2017	21.8%	32.2%	11.9%
Honduras	Texiguat	2017	28.4%	40.6%	16.7%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2017	3.6%	7.5%	1.3%
Honduras	Villa de San Francisco	2017	5.2%	12.7%	1.0%
Honduras	Trinidad	2017	23.3%	30.1%	17.2%
Honduras	San Luis	2017	17.1%	29.6%	8.7%
Honduras	Liure	2017	23.7%	37.0%	13.6%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2017	13.1%	32.7%	1.5%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2017	30.7%	44.3%	20.4%
Honduras	Goascorán	2017	34.1%	41.3%	26.9%
Honduras	Chinacla	2017	15.6%	22.7%	9.3%
Honduras	Danlí	2017	17.0%	21.2%	13.7%
Honduras	Limón	2017	12.1%	22.2%	5.2%
Honduras	Jano	2017	26.1%	43.6%	9.3%
Honduras	Ajuterique	2017	16.4%	27.9%	8.2%
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Aguán	2017	19.9%	41.3%	6.4%
Honduras	Gualcince	2017	16.7%	25.2%	10.5%
Honduras	Cane	2017	9.2%	11.9%	6.5%
Honduras	San Jorge	2017	28.7%	45.2%	16.1%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	35.4%	46.8%	26.2%
Honduras	San Juan Guarita	2017	27.1%	59.8%	2.5%
Honduras	Las Flores	2017	33.3%	41.4%	26.0%
Honduras	Omoa	2017	19.9%	28.6%	11.6%
Honduras	Guaimaca	2017	16.8%	24.0%	10.0%
Honduras	San Esteban	2017	24.8%	38.2%	13.8%
Honduras	Sonaguera	2017	11.0%	14.5%	8.0%
Honduras	San Antonio de Cortés	2017	23.3%	38.5%	11.3%
Honduras	Olanchito	2017	9.7%	13.9%	6.7%
Honduras	Ceguaca	2017	22.7%	32.4%	14.8%
Honduras	San Miguelito	2017	33.9%	43.4%	25.6%
Honduras	San Antonio	2017	22.9%	53.0%	3.1%
Honduras	Concepción de Maria	2017	13.2%	19.2%	8.8%
Honduras	El Triunfo	2017	23.1%	31.2%	15.1%
Honduras	Ramón Villeda Morales	2017	43.4%	55.1%	32.4%
Honduras	San Pedro Sula	2017	2.9%	4.0%	2.1%
Honduras	San Pedro de Tutule	2017	21.5%	29.4%	14.3%
Honduras	Santa Elena	2017	22.2%	26.7%	17.6%
Honduras	Sinuapa	2017	10.8%	14.1%	8.2%
Honduras	San Marcos de Caiquín	2017	34.8%	53.8%	18.5%
Honduras	Salamá	2017	23.5%	41.6%	11.6%
Honduras	San Francisco de la Paz	2017	16.3%	27.2%	7.8%
Honduras	San Marcos de la Sierra	2017	38.6%	49.0%	29.1%
Honduras	Orica	2017	25.6%	35.9%	15.9%
Honduras	La Virtud	2017	21.4%	28.6%	14.2%
Honduras	La Venta	2017	34.7%	54.7%	16.5%
Honduras	San Antonio de Oriente	2017	10.2%	15.0%	7.0%
Honduras	San Juan de Flores	2017	10.2%	22.3%	2.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Santa Rosa de Copán	2017	19.5%	25.1%	14.4%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2017	11.8%	17.5%	7.2%
Honduras	San Isidro	2017	33.4%	50.9%	17.6%
Honduras	Ojojona	2017	21.1%	34.8%	11.2%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	18.9%	27.7%	11.1%
Honduras	Gualaco	2017	23.8%	35.1%	14.2%
Honduras	Dolores	2017	42.5%	50.6%	34.8%
Honduras	Ilama	2017	22.4%	41.5%	10.0%
Honduras	Tatumbla	2017	29.3%	46.4%	14.0%
Honduras	Naranjito	2017	31.3%	44.9%	18.9%
Honduras	Corquín	2017	16.1%	22.8%	10.2%
Honduras	La Trinidad	2017	14.5%	33.1%	3.4%
Honduras	Brus Laguna	2017	34.9%	44.3%	25.2%
Honduras	Guarita	2017	36.0%	53.7%	17.2%
Honduras	Aramecina	2017	20.6%	37.1%	9.6%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2017	20.1%	34.3%	10.3%
Honduras	Erandique	2017	41.6%	50.7%	30.9%
Honduras	Aguaqueterique	2017	24.4%	41.6%	11.1%
Honduras	Vallecillo	2017	27.9%	49.8%	7.6%
Honduras	Nueva Arca-dia	2017	13.9%	19.9%	9.7%
Honduras	Trojes	2017	25.6%	32.4%	18.6%
Honduras	Santa Bárbara	2017	10.9%	19.6%	6.9%
Honduras	Trinidad de Copán	2017	18.9%	29.7%	11.6%
Honduras	Belen	2017	22.9%	33.4%	14.8%
Honduras	Guinope	2017	20.1%	39.8%	5.6%
Honduras	Belén Gualcho	2017	28.2%	32.2%	24.3%
Honduras	Esquías	2017	19.6%	33.8%	7.0%
Honduras	La Libertad	2017	41.7%	56.8%	27.4%
Honduras	Ahuas	2017	38.7%	54.8%	23.4%
Honduras	El Rosario	2017	37.5%	54.6%	20.1%
Honduras	San Lucas	2017	24.4%	35.7%	14.9%
Honduras	Curarén	2017	30.3%	46.0%	17.0%
Honduras	Arada	2017	42.9%	63.3%	23.3%
Honduras	Minas de Oro	2017	19.8%	32.9%	8.9%
Honduras	El Corpus	2017	22.5%	29.3%	16.2%
Honduras	Alianza	2017	21.5%	33.6%	12.8%
Honduras	Pimienta	2017	11.6%	28.5%	3.0%
Honduras	Las Vegas	2017	4.2%	13.1%	1.3%
Honduras	San Ignacio	2017	14.7%	28.9%	5.2%
Honduras	Mercedes de Oriente	2017	25.1%	55.9%	4.5%
Honduras	San Luis	2017	20.7%	37.0%	10.3%
Honduras	Dolores	2017	30.7%	38.1%	24.5%
Honduras	Yorito	2017	18.4%	34.5%	5.8%
Honduras	Namasigue	2017	31.8%	41.0%	23.4%
Honduras	Morazán	2017	15.9%	22.8%	8.2%
Honduras	San José del Potrero	2017	21.0%	41.1%	6.0%
Honduras	Nueva Fron-tera	2017	28.0%	39.5%	16.8%
Honduras	Piraera	2017	26.5%	42.2%	14.4%
Honduras	Lucerna	2017	25.3%	31.4%	20.3%
Honduras	Vado Ancho	2017	41.2%	62.2%	20.4%
Honduras	Santa Fé	2017	19.1%	42.7%	5.3%
Honduras	Trujillo	2017	11.8%	16.7%	8.1%
Honduras	Mercedes	2017	18.7%	27.5%	11.5%
Honduras	Potrerosillos	2017	19.5%	32.2%	8.9%
Honduras	San Pedro Za- capa	2017	14.7%	29.3%	4.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Santa Ana	2017	18.6%	28.4%	9.8%
Honduras	Duyure	2017	27.4%	47.7%	7.7%
Honduras	Tocoa	2017	9.1%	12.8%	6.5%
Honduras	Tela	2017	12.3%	17.2%	8.3%
Honduras	Puerto Cortés	2017	3.5%	6.0%	1.7%
Honduras	San Marcos de Colón	2017	15.7%	25.5%	7.9%
Honduras	Yocón	2017	21.1%	29.6%	13.6%
Honduras	San Francisco del Valle	2017	29.7%	33.0%	26.0%
Honduras	Langue	2017	25.1%	30.2%	20.2%
Honduras	Arenal	2017	21.6%	39.3%	7.1%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2017	18.3%	33.4%	7.0%
Honduras	Guayape	2017	27.5%	45.8%	11.2%
Honduras	Comayagua	2017	15.1%	18.1%	12.5%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2017	26.8%	38.1%	16.5%
Honduras	La Paz	2017	16.1%	19.9%	13.2%
Honduras	Distrito Central	2017	8.8%	11.1%	7.1%
Honduras	Cabañas	2017	21.5%	34.1%	10.8%
Honduras	Santa Cruz de Yojoa	2017	8.5%	14.7%	4.0%
Honduras	Villanueva	2017	9.0%	12.3%	6.2%
Honduras	Santiago de Puringla	2017	33.6%	38.5%	28.7%
Honduras	San José	2017	20.7%	34.1%	10.7%
Honduras	Petosa	2017	18.0%	28.9%	8.7%
Honduras	Yauyupe	2017	12.9%	33.1%	0.9%
Honduras	El Progreso	2017	5.0%	7.9%	3.1%
Honduras	San Francisco de Ojuera	2017	32.3%	51.1%	14.1%
Honduras	San Marcos	2017	12.7%	20.5%	8.3%
Honduras	Colomoncagua	2017	38.7%	45.6%	32.2%
Honduras	Concepción del Sur	2017	2.7%	7.1%	0.4%
Honduras	Silca	2017	15.9%	29.0%	6.8%
Honduras	Manto	2017	22.9%	38.1%	10.3%
Honduras	El Negrito	2017	11.2%	18.1%	6.8%
Honduras	Morolica	2017	21.9%	35.1%	11.4%
Honduras	San Lorenzo	2017	30.8%	38.0%	23.4%
Honduras	La Unión	2017	22.6%	35.4%	11.9%
Honduras	Valladolid	2017	20.3%	32.2%	9.4%
Honduras	Fraternidad	2017	39.9%	48.0%	32.1%
Honduras	Nueva Armeria	2017	18.2%	32.3%	6.2%
Honduras	Santa Maria del Real	2017	14.4%	21.2%	9.3%
Honduras	Yarula	2017	20.8%	28.4%	14.7%
Honduras	San Antonio	2017	26.3%	34.3%	18.7%
Honduras	Guarizama	2017	23.9%	44.6%	5.5%
Honduras	Mapulaca	2017	20.3%	29.6%	12.5%
Honduras	Guanaja	2017	9.5%	16.3%	4.3%
Honduras	Cedros	2017	18.3%	29.0%	8.5%
Honduras	Magdalena	2017	41.4%	46.2%	36.4%
Honduras	San Juan de Opoa	2017	23.6%	28.7%	18.3%
Honduras	Marcovia	2017	20.8%	28.5%	12.5%
Honduras	Puerto Lempira	2017	34.6%	41.4%	27.2%
Honduras	Santa Lucía	2017	0.4%	1.6%	0.1%
Honduras	La Masica	2017	7.2%	11.1%	4.4%
Honduras	Jutiapa	2017	17.1%	29.2%	7.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	San Francisco	2017	41.5%	49.8%	33.6%
Honduras	Alubarén	2017	32.7%	37.9%	27.3%
Honduras	Balfate	2017	15.8%	24.9%	8.8%
Honduras	Yoro	2017	23.8%	32.3%	17.4%
Honduras	Choloma	2017	5.7%	7.6%	4.0%
Honduras	Candelaria	2017	11.5%	19.5%	5.2%
Honduras	San Francisco de Opalaca	2017	48.3%	59.7%	37.2%
Honduras	Esquipulas del Norte	2017	27.6%	42.4%	15.1%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre	2017	21.2%	27.5%	15.8%
Honduras	La Encarnación	2017	24.5%	28.0%	21.0%
Honduras	Potrerosillos	2017	9.0%	21.0%	2.7%
Honduras	San Antonio de Flores	2017	26.0%	43.0%	14.6%
Honduras	San Nicolás	2017	20.0%	24.9%	15.7%
Honduras	El Rosario	2017	12.2%	24.1%	3.7%
Honduras	Siguatopeque	2017	10.9%	13.5%	8.8%
Honduras	San Francisco de Coray	2017	37.9%	45.8%	29.6%
Honduras	Intibucá	2017	21.2%	25.2%	17.4%
Honduras	Teupasenti	2017	14.6%	22.2%	9.0%
Honduras	Santa María	2017	14.7%	18.3%	11.8%
Honduras	La Ceiba	2017	4.3%	6.2%	2.5%
Honduras	Juan Francisco Bulnes	2017	30.7%	43.0%	16.6%
Honduras	Lepaterique	2017	22.4%	34.9%	11.4%
Honduras	Apacilagua	2017	18.9%	28.1%	12.0%
Honduras	San José	2017	55.8%	68.5%	40.7%
Honduras	Patuca	2017	26.7%	40.8%	13.9%
Honduras	Villa de San Antonio	2017	17.0%	25.9%	10.7%
Honduras	Reitoca	2017	21.1%	34.7%	11.0%
Honduras	Concepción	2017	32.6%	36.6%	29.0%
Honduras	Camasca	2017	29.9%	34.6%	24.2%
Honduras	San Manuel	2017	8.8%	13.0%	5.8%
Honduras	Soledad	2017	23.2%	34.1%	12.7%
Honduras	San Francisco	2017	5.3%	9.8%	2.3%
Honduras	Lamaní	2017	14.0%	26.9%	5.3%
Honduras	Esparta	2017	13.3%	22.4%	7.0%
Honduras	San Francisco de Becerra	2017	19.6%	29.5%	10.4%
Honduras	Lepaera	2017	40.1%	46.3%	33.6%
Honduras	San Marcos	2017	8.0%	14.5%	3.3%
Honduras	Jacaleapa	2017	15.2%	23.9%	8.9%
Honduras	Sabanagrande	2017	24.8%	44.8%	10.2%
Honduras	Oropolí	2017	19.9%	43.4%	5.2%
Honduras	Choluteca	2017	13.8%	17.9%	9.8%
Honduras	Yamaranguila	2017	17.2%	25.4%	11.1%
Honduras	Virginia	2017	3.4%	10.1%	0.3%
Honduras	Tambla	2017	37.2%	63.9%	8.4%
Honduras	Santa Cruz	2017	33.5%	53.7%	15.4%
Honduras	Azacualpa	2017	20.6%	28.2%	12.5%
Honduras	Marcala	2017	17.9%	23.9%	13.0%
Honduras	San Fernando	2017	23.1%	30.2%	17.3%
Honduras	Sabá	2017	10.8%	18.0%	5.7%
Honduras	La Libertad	2017	11.5%	15.6%	7.5%
Honduras	Ocotepeque	2017	9.1%	12.3%	6.7%
Honduras	Sensenti	2017	25.5%	32.7%	20.3%
Honduras	San Matías	2017	15.4%	27.5%	4.6%
Honduras	San Rafael	2017	40.1%	62.8%	9.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Honduras	Orocuina	2017	16.2%	22.0%	11.2%
Honduras	Jesús de Otoro	2017	21.9%	27.8%	16.7%
Honduras	El Nispero	2017	49.8%	63.8%	36.2%
Honduras	La Iguala	2017	47.1%	58.8%	31.8%
Honduras	Las Lajas	2017	10.3%	24.4%	2.5%
Honduras	San Juan	2017	16.3%	23.8%	11.2%
Honduras	Chinda	2017	20.4%	38.8%	6.2%
Honduras	Iriona	2017	29.5%	40.1%	19.5%
Honduras	Macuelizo	2017	13.2%	21.8%	6.3%
Honduras	Mangulile	2017	23.1%	39.3%	10.7%
Honduras	San José de Comayagua	2017	13.5%	31.0%	4.2%
Honduras	Sulaco	2017	18.3%	31.9%	8.7%
Honduras	Masaguara	2017	32.9%	39.1%	27.3%
Honduras	José Santos Guardiola	2017	21.1%	29.6%	13.5%
Honduras	Pespire	2017	21.5%	29.6%	15.7%
Honduras	Santa Ana	2017	21.7%	29.8%	14.8%
Honduras	San Sebastian	2017	32.5%	44.6%	21.5%
Honduras	Lejamaní	2017	18.7%	32.8%	9.6%
Honduras	Valle de Ángeles	2017	1.2%	3.0%	0.3%
Honduras	La Campa	2017	29.8%	41.5%	20.0%
Honduras	Bonito Oriental	2017	14.4%	21.1%	8.5%
Honduras	San Manuel Colohete	2017	35.3%	47.6%	22.9%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	46.4%	59.3%	32.4%
Honduras	Cololaca	2017	18.7%	30.2%	10.3%
Honduras	Lauterique	2017	34.4%	42.9%	25.4%
Honduras	San Jerónimo	2017	35.0%	47.1%	26.6%
Honduras	San Buenaventura	2017	20.9%	41.4%	6.1%
Honduras	Taulabe	2017	13.7%	27.0%	4.5%
Honduras	Protección	2017	21.1%	34.3%	12.6%
Honduras	Arizona	2017	18.3%	29.0%	10.3%
Honduras	Concordia	2017	24.3%	41.8%	9.2%
Honduras	San Sebastián	2017	13.6%	20.4%	7.3%
Honduras	San Agustín	2017	35.3%	53.8%	17.8%
Honduras	Cucuyagua	2017	18.8%	27.1%	11.4%
Honduras	El Porvenir	2017	17.9%	30.1%	8.1%
Honduras	Nuevo Celilac	2017	24.8%	38.9%	11.9%
Honduras	La Esperanza	2017	6.2%	16.7%	1.3%
Honduras	El Paraíso	2017	21.8%	29.9%	13.6%
Honduras	Humuya	2017	13.9%	28.0%	4.7%
Honduras	Dulce Nombre de Culmí	2017	27.5%	39.5%	18.6%
Honduras	Meámbar	2017	23.7%	40.4%	9.5%
Honduras	San Andrés	2017	32.9%	42.7%	23.0%
Honduras	Morocelí	2017	14.5%	23.2%	7.5%
Honduras	San Francisco de Yojoa	2017	5.7%	19.2%	0.3%
Honduras	Gualala	2017	17.6%	41.1%	6.1%
Honduras	Santa Rita	2017	10.5%	19.7%	4.7%
Honduras	San Antonio del Norte	2017	28.9%	46.4%	13.6%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tuxtepec	2000	14.3%	24.6%	5.9%
Mexico	Reynosa	2000	1.4%	3.3%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Andres Cholula	2000	7.9%	8.4%	7.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixtlahuaca	2000	16.0%	16.8%	15.2%
Mexico	Solosuchiapa	2000	22.0%	26.0%	17.4%
Mexico	Totolapa	2000	24.7%	35.9%	14.0%
Mexico	Villanueva	2000	24.9%	48.8%	8.4%
Mexico	Tlahuiltepa	2000	15.4%	27.4%	6.3%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	10.1%	16.7%	6.1%
Mexico	San Agustin Etna	2000	3.6%	4.0%	3.3%
Mexico	Tetiz	2000	61.8%	68.8%	54.2%
Mexico	Texhuacan	2000	7.3%	8.1%	6.6%
Mexico	Tlacolula De Matamoros	2000	13.9%	15.2%	13.1%
Mexico	Ocoyucan	2000	20.2%	23.4%	17.9%
Mexico	Tixcacalcupul	2000	36.5%	57.5%	15.6%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecuanipan	2000	29.2%	30.1%	28.3%
Mexico	Praxedis G. Guerrero	2000	2.5%	8.4%	0.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tehuantepec	2000	15.5%	33.1%	5.0%
Mexico	Pajacuaran	2000	8.0%	13.9%	5.3%
Mexico	Santiago Textitlan	2000	3.4%	6.0%	1.7%
Mexico	Agua Prieta	2000	2.6%	6.9%	1.0%
Mexico	Panaba	2000	11.8%	24.1%	3.3%
Mexico	Lopez	2000	14.2%	35.5%	2.6%
Mexico	Salina Cruz	2000	9.7%	18.5%	4.4%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2000	46.8%	48.5%	44.9%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	3.8%	7.2%	2.1%
Mexico	Bacerac	2000	7.6%	21.4%	1.0%
Mexico	San Lucas Ojitlan	2000	19.8%	28.6%	13.4%
Mexico	San Dionisio Del Mar	2000	30.8%	53.0%	13.5%
Mexico	Choix	2000	15.4%	31.0%	5.4%
Mexico	Tatahuicapan De Juarez	2000	45.5%	69.0%	29.1%
Mexico	Nopala De Villagran	2000	41.8%	62.7%	20.0%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	10.0%	35.3%	0.4%
Mexico	San Vicente Coatlan	2000	39.9%	43.9%	36.5%
Mexico	San Juan Huactzinco	2000	7.5%	7.7%	7.2%
Mexico	Indaparapeo	2000	10.8%	14.5%	8.2%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla	2000	13.9%	15.4%	12.2%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tlapacoyan	2000	18.3%	20.6%	16.4%
Mexico	Mixtla	2000	18.8%	19.6%	18.1%
Mexico	Otatitlan	2000	12.8%	18.4%	8.4%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Miahuatlan	2000	24.7%	27.2%	22.6%
Mexico	San Melchor Betaza	2000	52.3%	54.7%	50.0%
Mexico	Tlanchinol	2000	14.6%	25.3%	7.0%
Mexico	Nadadores	2000	2.9%	6.2%	1.0%
Mexico	Jalcomulco	2000	22.0%	29.5%	15.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tlacolulan	2000	7.2%	8.5%	6.2%
Mexico	Terrenate	2000	28.6%	33.2%	23.0%
Mexico	El Tule	2000	36.6%	55.0%	20.2%
Mexico	Calera	2000	7.7%	14.3%	4.5%
Mexico	San Felipe Orizatlan	2000	19.4%	33.7%	10.1%
Mexico	Tlapacoya	2000	12.2%	13.1%	11.3%
Mexico	Altamira	2000	2.1%	6.3%	0.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yodohino	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Mexico	Puruandiro	2000	16.4%	31.9%	4.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Tecomatlan	2000	22.1%	24.1%	20.4%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlachichilco	2000	15.3%	17.5%	13.1%
Mexico	Ixtacamaxtitlan	2000	10.8%	18.7%	5.1%
Mexico	San Simon Zahuatlán	2000	38.7%	40.0%	37.5%
Mexico	San Ciró De Acosta	2000	11.9%	26.1%	4.0%
Mexico	San Juan Atenco	2000	4.9%	6.5%	3.8%
Mexico	Isidro Fabela	2000	15.8%	18.7%	13.5%
Mexico	San Antonio La Isla	2000	4.2%	4.5%	4.0%
Mexico	Xochicoatlan	2000	8.1%	11.4%	5.4%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	12.7%	29.6%	3.4%
Mexico	Miahuatlan De Porfirio Diaz	2000	18.6%	20.5%	16.7%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintepec	2000	42.0%	55.8%	31.0%
Mexico	Santa Isabel	2000	11.1%	24.6%	3.5%
Mexico	Yutanduchi De Guerrero	2000	3.3%	4.4%	2.3%
Mexico	San Nicolas Hidalgo	2000	18.9%	20.4%	17.3%
Mexico	Tulancingo De Bravo	2000	11.1%	12.5%	9.8%
Mexico	Pungarabato	2000	22.3%	31.9%	13.4%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotepec	2000	37.8%	46.6%	28.4%
Mexico	Xalpatlahuac	2000	60.3%	74.9%	43.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Yucunama	2000	14.2%	16.1%	12.6%
Mexico	San Juan Ozolotepec	2000	14.1%	19.7%	10.1%
Mexico	Paraiso	2000	7.1%	18.4%	1.5%
Mexico	Esperanza	2000	14.9%	17.8%	12.4%
Mexico	Tlapa De Comonfort	2000	31.7%	43.1%	21.0%
Mexico	Xicotepc	2000	8.0%	11.1%	5.9%
Mexico	Bochil	2000	15.1%	21.2%	8.0%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2000	10.7%	11.2%	10.2%
Mexico	Chicoloapan	2000	0.7%	0.8%	0.6%
Mexico	Naupan	2000	17.6%	19.3%	15.6%
Mexico	Santa Ines Ahuatempan	2000	22.0%	27.4%	16.7%
Mexico	Tepetlaoxtoc	2000	5.8%	8.9%	4.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Zitacuaro	2000	13.7%	20.3%	8.6%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	3.6%	9.9%	0.9%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
Mexico	Tlalixcoyan	2000	25.3%	44.1%	9.3%
Mexico	Bella Vista	2000	9.4%	17.1%	4.0%
Mexico	El Oro	2000	53.5%	59.4%	44.1%
Mexico	Encarnacion De Diaz	2000	15.8%	38.3%	3.7%
Mexico	Ezequiel Montes	2000	23.7%	39.7%	11.5%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2000	20.2%	36.8%	7.2%
Mexico	Villagran	2000	7.2%	14.5%	3.3%
Mexico	Xalapa	2000	2.1%	2.5%	1.7%
Mexico	Tocatlan	2000	21.6%	22.5%	20.5%
Mexico	Coatecas Altas	2000	31.2%	36.0%	27.3%
Mexico	Ajuchitlan	2000	36.9%	68.3%	10.8%
Mexico	Del Progreso				
Mexico	Mazatan	2000	16.9%	33.1%	5.5%
Mexico	Huimilpan	2000	34.6%	56.9%	16.7%
Mexico	San Joaquin	2000	40.7%	68.1%	13.2%
Mexico	San Juan Del Estado	2000	3.2%	3.7%	2.7%
Mexico	San Marcos	2000	7.9%	21.6%	2.1%
Mexico	Dzitas	2000	13.9%	21.6%	9.4%
Mexico	Tzitzio	2000	32.0%	58.1%	11.6%
Mexico	Victoria	2000	52.4%	73.5%	31.4%
Mexico	Acuamanala De Miguel Hidalgo	2000	11.8%	12.4%	11.3%
Mexico	Tlazazalca	2000	10.0%	15.2%	6.2%
Mexico	Tepechitlan	2000	22.7%	36.9%	10.9%
Mexico	Santiago Tillo	2000	5.1%	5.8%	4.4%
Mexico	San Javier	2000	6.7%	12.0%	2.8%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	2.9%	7.4%	0.7%
Mexico	Tierra Nueva	2000	30.0%	52.5%	11.7%
Mexico	Yaxe	2000	31.9%	34.7%	29.9%
Mexico	Jocotepec	2000	7.4%	19.3%	1.5%
Mexico	Tianguismanalco	2000	23.9%	25.1%	22.7%
Mexico	Huitiupan	2000	12.3%	21.0%	6.9%
Mexico	Huanimaro	2000	28.7%	40.3%	18.3%
Mexico	Villa De Etna	2000	4.1%	4.5%	3.7%
Mexico	Monte Escobedo	2000	42.8%	73.0%	13.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Suchixtepec	2000	22.6%	24.0%	21.1%
Mexico	Santiago Tulantepec De Lugo Guerrero	2000	14.5%	19.3%	10.5%
Mexico	Peto	2000	33.5%	51.0%	13.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacamama	2000	56.3%	60.2%	52.6%
Mexico	Santiago Texcalcingo	2000	11.3%	12.2%	10.5%
Mexico	San Jorge Nuchita	2000	23.8%	25.8%	21.7%
Mexico	Tonala	2000	1.4%	3.3%	0.8%
Mexico	San Juan Yatzena	2000	19.0%	21.0%	17.0%
Mexico	Tixtla De Guerrero	2000	30.0%	43.1%	17.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tancitaro	2000	11.7%	22.9%	4.6%
Mexico	Tequisquiapan	2000	20.1%	43.7%	5.4%
Mexico	Olinala	2000	38.6%	59.2%	18.2%
Mexico	Izucar De Matamoros	2000	11.7%	14.3%	9.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guienagati	2000	39.0%	47.7%	30.5%
Mexico	Armadillo De Los Infante	2000	23.4%	43.5%	8.1%
Mexico	Teotlalco	2000	25.4%	36.4%	16.3%
Mexico	Coscomatepec	2000	13.2%	15.2%	11.7%
Mexico	Sierra Mojada	2000	20.9%	40.4%	6.4%
Mexico	Nonoava	2000	49.5%	67.4%	34.3%
Mexico	Chicontepec	2000	5.2%	13.1%	1.7%
Mexico	San Buenaventura	2000	1.7%	2.8%	1.1%
Mexico	Tamalin	2000	13.2%	29.6%	4.7%
Mexico	Opodepe	2000	9.5%	22.1%	2.3%
Mexico	Petatlan	2000	28.3%	67.5%	4.5%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	18.5%	45.1%	3.3%
Mexico	Etchojoa	2000	7.3%	18.0%	2.6%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Lo De Soto	2000	54.2%	60.3%	46.4%
Mexico	Anahuac	2000	1.3%	3.4%	0.3%
Mexico	Simojovel	2000	12.8%	22.9%	6.4%
Mexico	Chignahuapan	2000	14.1%	29.6%	5.2%
Mexico	San Felipe Usila	2000	4.3%	7.3%	2.8%
Mexico	Huimanguillo	2000	18.8%	39.5%	5.4%
Mexico	Xilitla	2000	4.5%	9.5%	2.0%
Mexico	Cerro Azul	2000	3.5%	5.4%	2.1%
Mexico	Xochitepec	2000	10.3%	11.3%	9.5%
Mexico	Chenalho	2000	47.1%	60.5%	33.6%
Mexico	San Andres Cabecera Nueva	2000	32.1%	50.5%	20.1%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	8.0%	17.1%	2.9%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	8.2%	41.7%	0.3%
Mexico	Tecomatlan	2000	27.1%	36.3%	19.5%
Mexico	Santiago Ihuitlan Plumas	2000	27.4%	29.3%	25.1%
Mexico	Chicoasen	2000	20.8%	27.2%	15.2%
Mexico	Santiago Huaucilla	2000	11.1%	15.4%	7.9%
Mexico	Comondu	2000	3.9%	9.3%	1.6%
Mexico	Santiago Tilantongo	2000	8.6%	10.9%	6.6%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Alto	2000	12.9%	26.8%	4.9%
Mexico	Timilpan	2000	44.1%	56.7%	29.7%
Mexico	Guachinango	2000	22.0%	44.6%	5.4%
Mexico	General Francisco R. Murguia	2000	30.6%	50.1%	13.2%
Mexico	Iturbide	2000	17.9%	39.0%	4.3%
Mexico	San Andres Tepetlapa	2000	15.4%	16.8%	14.2%
Mexico	Maguarichi	2000	31.5%	49.0%	17.8%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Quilehtla	2000	9.0%	9.4%	8.6%
Mexico	Xicohtzinco	2000	6.2%	6.4%	5.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Mezquital Del Oro	2000	39.6%	57.0%	24.4%
Mexico	Juan R. Escudero	2000	35.6%	55.6%	17.7%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tavela	2000	23.3%	32.2%	15.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yavesia	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Mexico	Parras	2000	12.2%	19.4%	6.4%
Mexico	Zirandaro	2000	38.9%	63.9%	17.4%
Mexico	Cosio	2000	15.1%	25.4%	8.0%
Mexico	Tangamandapio	2000	7.6%	15.6%	3.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ayometla	2000	6.8%	7.1%	6.5%
Mexico	Zacatelco	2000	7.6%	7.8%	7.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Jocotipac	2000	2.5%	3.3%	1.9%
Mexico	Cruillas	2000	10.8%	30.6%	1.5%
Mexico	Juan C. Bonilla	2000	13.9%	14.5%	13.4%
Mexico	Tlalchapa	2000	33.0%	63.2%	10.2%
Mexico	Union Juarez	2000	9.1%	14.3%	5.4%
Mexico	Balancan	2000	19.8%	38.3%	6.2%
Mexico	Caxhuacan	2000	50.0%	51.7%	48.6%
Mexico	Mascota	2000	17.7%	60.5%	1.4%
Mexico	Soltepec	2000	6.5%	8.4%	4.4%
Mexico	San Carlos	2000	12.7%	32.6%	3.1%
Mexico	Ejutla	2000	12.7%	27.1%	4.2%
Mexico	Alfajayucan	2000	40.7%	59.1%	22.0%
Mexico	San Luis Amatlan	2000	33.1%	48.2%	19.7%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	7.2%	17.7%	1.6%
Mexico	Tonaya	2000	10.8%	18.8%	4.9%
Mexico	Chinameca	2000	21.4%	29.4%	15.8%
Mexico	San Marcial Ozolotepec	2000	27.5%	29.0%	25.8%
Mexico	San Antonio Sinicahua	2000	16.3%	18.4%	14.6%
Mexico	Tolcayuca	2000	9.4%	12.4%	6.8%
Mexico	Concepcion Del Oro	2000	10.8%	17.8%	6.0%
Mexico	San Pablo Tlajaltepec	2000	15.8%	17.1%	14.5%
Mexico	Villa Corona	2000	3.9%	10.5%	1.2%
Mexico	Tarimbaro	2000	11.1%	19.6%	6.2%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	5.4%	15.6%	1.4%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	17.8%	40.3%	4.7%
Mexico	Kopoma	2000	23.7%	27.1%	21.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Amuzgos	2000	44.9%	50.0%	39.7%
Mexico	Valle De Chalco Solidaridad	2000	1.4%	1.4%	1.3%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2000	32.5%	55.3%	14.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Yosonotu	2000	8.9%	11.3%	7.3%
Mexico	Tuxpam	2000	3.8%	8.8%	1.7%
Mexico	Nochistlan De Mejia	2000	14.0%	23.7%	6.2%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	29.7%	39.1%	22.6%
Mexico	Jacala De Ledezma	2000	19.0%	28.8%	10.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ozolotepec	2000	17.1%	21.1%	14.1%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2000	1.3%	1.4%	1.3%
Mexico	Atzitzintla	2000	8.3%	9.6%	7.0%
Mexico	Cuautitlan	2000	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%
Mexico	San Martin Lachila	2000	7.4%	8.1%	6.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Coyotepec	2000	3.1%	3.4%	2.9%
Mexico	Sotuta	2000	45.4%	59.5%	32.1%
Mexico	Zacapala	2000	41.5%	49.3%	33.2%
Mexico	Banderilla	2000	1.7%	1.9%	1.5%
Mexico	Canada Morelos	2000	26.7%	35.5%	19.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonaltepec	2000	51.2%	53.9%	48.4%
Mexico	La Trinidad Vista Hermosa	2000	8.8%	10.2%	7.6%
Mexico	Los Cabos	2000	5.9%	19.6%	0.7%
Mexico	Cardonal	2000	35.3%	54.1%	19.5%
Mexico	Axapusco	2000	14.6%	20.2%	11.3%
Mexico	Tonayan	2000	7.6%	8.3%	6.9%
Mexico	Hocaba	2000	61.6%	62.6%	60.6%
Mexico	Texcalyacac	2000	1.8%	1.9%	1.7%
Mexico	San Lucas Tecopilco	2000	16.9%	18.7%	15.5%
Mexico	Metlatonoc	2000	76.0%	88.1%	62.8%
Mexico	La Piedad	2000	6.4%	11.0%	3.6%
Mexico	General Heliodoro Castillo	2000	42.9%	70.3%	18.9%
Mexico	Sain Alto	2000	35.8%	61.2%	11.6%
Mexico	Chicomuselo	2000	25.3%	48.8%	6.3%
Mexico	La Concordia	2000	27.6%	57.5%	9.7%
Mexico	Temoaya	2000	39.5%	48.3%	30.6%
Mexico	Cocula	2000	7.4%	17.3%	2.0%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla	2000	16.9%	20.4%	14.2%
Mexico	Comala	2000	3.8%	7.5%	1.7%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	27.1%	32.6%	20.9%
Mexico	Puerto Peñasco	2000	3.6%	10.3%	1.1%
Mexico	San Martin Totoltepec	2000	23.9%	26.2%	21.7%
Mexico	Turicato	2000	23.1%	47.1%	6.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yucuhiti	2000	7.5%	8.8%	6.4%
Mexico	Villa De Guadalupe	2000	14.5%	32.8%	3.3%
Mexico	Campeche	2000	7.5%	18.9%	2.2%
Mexico	Dr. Belisario Dominguez	2000	18.7%	48.1%	2.4%
Mexico	Buenaventura	2000	5.4%	12.7%	1.9%
Mexico	Chapantongo	2000	46.7%	66.3%	26.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Mar	2000	42.2%	66.8%	15.9%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tacache De Mina	2000	22.0%	24.3%	19.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Castillo De Teayo	2000	4.8%	10.3%	2.0%
Mexico	Yuriria	2000	19.2%	35.9%	6.7%
Mexico	Coahuayutla	2000	69.5%	86.2%	49.7%
Mexico	De Jose Maria Izazaga	2000	4.8%	5.6%	3.8%
Mexico	Sahuayo	2000	4.2%	8.7%	2.1%
Mexico	San Julian	2000	14.2%	17.5%	11.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Yolox	2000	16.5%	19.7%	13.5%
Mexico	Epazoyucan	2000	86.6%	90.3%	81.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Cortijo	2000	37.6%	59.9%	16.9%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	6.5%	7.2%	5.9%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amatlan	2000	32.2%	44.9%	20.3%
Mexico	Villa Sola De Vega	2000	6.7%	8.2%	5.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Texcatitlan	2000	0.8%	0.9%	0.8%
Mexico	La Paz	2000	21.9%	24.0%	20.0%
Mexico	San Pedro El Alto	2000	26.6%	29.2%	24.7%
Mexico	Xochitlan De Vicente Suarez	2000	23.0%	24.2%	22.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Roayaga	2000	3.3%	6.8%	1.6%
Mexico	Torreon	2000	28.8%	53.3%	8.4%
Mexico	Jose Maria Morelos	2000	7.2%	12.7%	3.3%
Mexico	Ixtapa	2000	27.5%	58.0%	9.1%
Mexico	Chemax	2000	21.3%	43.0%	8.5%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	34.6%	39.1%	30.0%
Mexico	Chapab	2000	28.5%	51.4%	12.2%
Mexico	General Panfilo Natera	2000	5.7%	6.2%	5.2%
Mexico	Nazareno Etna	2000	12.3%	20.6%	5.6%
Mexico	Amatenango De La Frontera	2000	16.7%	38.2%	4.3%
Mexico	Cusihuiachi	2000	4.5%	4.7%	4.3%
Mexico	San Martin Texmelucan	2000	22.7%	43.4%	9.7%
Mexico	Tabasco	2000	6.3%	12.5%	3.4%
Mexico	Tantoyuca	2000	16.9%	18.1%	15.8%
Mexico	Santiago Zochila	2000	3.0%	3.9%	2.3%
Mexico	Milpa Alta	2000	10.7%	11.7%	9.8%
Mexico	San Cristobal Lachirioag	2000	5.9%	6.6%	5.4%
Mexico	San Andres Huayapam	2000	32.8%	42.9%	24.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Ixitlan	2000	25.6%	30.5%	20.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Coxcaltepec	2000	15.2%	37.0%	3.2%
Mexico	Cantaras Gran Morelos	2000	25.5%	26.4%	24.6%
Mexico	Coxquihui	2000			

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Espinal	2000	21.2%	25.6%	18.3%
Mexico	Sunuapa	2000	31.4%	39.3%	25.1%
Mexico	Huitzilac	2000	6.4%	9.8%	4.2%
Mexico	Cuitlahuac	2000	17.8%	23.9%	12.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Lachigolo	2000	7.7%	8.6%	6.9%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlacotepec	2000	12.5%	13.4%	11.6%
Mexico	San Francisco De Los Romo	2000	4.4%	12.4%	1.4%
Mexico	San Bartolome Yucuaene	2000	10.9%	12.7%	9.6%
Mexico	Amatitan	2000	4.9%	8.4%	3.0%
Mexico	Tecolotlan	2000	18.6%	35.0%	8.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Mixtequilla	2000	17.3%	22.4%	14.4%
Mexico	Mama Hopelchen	2000	47.8%	49.4%	46.1%
Mexico	Hopelchen	2000	35.0%	56.3%	18.8%
Mexico	Acambay	2000	46.8%	63.4%	30.7%
Mexico	Arizpe	2000	10.4%	29.7%	1.7%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco De Cuauhtemoc	2000	20.8%	21.7%	19.8%
Mexico	San Juan Lachigalla	2000	36.3%	41.1%	31.6%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	20.3%	35.8%	8.2%
Mexico	Ticul	2000	17.8%	21.2%	15.1%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Guelache	2000	8.4%	9.3%	7.8%
Mexico	Soledad Etla	2000	6.0%	6.5%	5.6%
Mexico	Tlacoachistlahuac	2000	58.2%	78.9%	35.5%
Mexico	San Juan Achiutla	2000	15.8%	17.0%	14.7%
Mexico	Calpan	2000	21.6%	22.6%	20.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Nuxaa	2000	32.6%	35.6%	29.2%
Mexico	Playas De Rosarito	2000	3.1%	9.0%	0.6%
Mexico	Tuzantla	2000	41.5%	62.1%	18.7%
Mexico	Socoltenango	2000	26.4%	43.5%	12.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Ahuehuetitlan	2000	12.1%	13.8%	10.4%
Mexico	Zapotlan El Grande	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Mexico	Coatzacoalcos	2000	4.8%	16.8%	0.4%
Mexico	Tacotalpa	2000	24.0%	44.5%	7.2%
Mexico	Mesones Hidalgo	2000	48.0%	65.2%	32.7%
Mexico	Coquimatlan	2000	3.5%	10.9%	0.4%
Mexico	Kaua	2000	57.9%	62.2%	52.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Armenta	2000	83.8%	91.3%	69.7%
Mexico	Ixhuatan	2000	13.1%	19.5%	8.9%
Mexico	Atizapan De Zaragoza	2000	1.1%	1.2%	1.1%
Mexico	Tlacotepec Plumas	2000	23.8%	25.3%	22.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Mateo Peñasco	2000	10.8%	11.7%	10.0%
Mexico	Totolapan	2000	12.7%	13.4%	12.1%
Mexico	Mier	2000	0.5%	1.5%	0.1%
Mexico	Tlachichilco	2000	15.6%	23.4%	9.4%
Mexico	Antiguo Morelos	2000	13.1%	24.2%	6.2%
Mexico	San Francisco Teopan	2000	9.0%	10.8%	7.4%
Mexico	Valle Hermoso	2000	1.8%	5.0%	0.3%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Amatlan	2000	9.2%	9.9%	8.4%
Mexico	Teloloapan	2000	42.8%	59.8%	30.4%
Mexico	Zinacantepec	2000	29.9%	44.5%	17.8%
Mexico	Amatitlan	2000	28.9%	42.7%	16.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tepuxtepec	2000	29.4%	32.5%	26.9%
Mexico	San Pablo Coatlan	2000	4.6%	6.4%	3.4%
Mexico	Camocuautla	2000	12.1%	12.7%	11.6%
Mexico	Ahuehuetitla	2000	29.7%	37.9%	22.9%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	2.7%	4.2%	1.7%
Mexico	Apodaca	2000	0.7%	1.6%	0.3%
Mexico	Comalcalco	2000	16.6%	39.1%	3.2%
Mexico	San Andres Teotitlan	2000	6.7%	11.0%	4.1%
Mexico	Cuautempan	2000	13.2%	15.8%	10.9%
Mexico	Villa Talea De Castro	2000	31.4%	33.0%	29.9%
Mexico	Putla Villa De Guerrero	2000	26.6%	34.6%	19.9%
Mexico	Huixquilucan	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.6%
Mexico	Canelas	2000	42.7%	69.0%	18.6%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Atlatlahuca	2000	8.5%	14.6%	3.9%
Mexico	Juan N. Mendez	2000	29.0%	42.7%	17.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ixcatlan	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guelace	2000	6.9%	7.8%	6.1%
Mexico	Tonanitla	2000	4.9%	5.4%	4.3%
Mexico	Zapopan	2000	2.6%	8.8%	0.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Santa Flor	2000	9.2%	10.2%	8.2%
Mexico	Llera	2000	9.9%	22.7%	3.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiane	2000	9.0%	9.7%	8.2%
Mexico	Acala	2000	14.7%	27.8%	7.9%
Mexico	Santiago Tepetlapa	2000	15.7%	17.2%	14.1%
Mexico	Dzoncauich	2000	30.5%	39.3%	22.6%
Mexico	Otaez	2000	43.5%	64.0%	25.2%
Mexico	Janos	2000	4.6%	12.8%	1.3%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	29.5%	32.6%	26.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Puerto	2000	27.9%	53.7%	9.3%
Mexico	Tetlatlahuca	2000	8.6%	8.9%	8.3%
Mexico	Tenosique	2000	22.1%	48.0%	3.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	4.2%	15.7%	0.3%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2000	5.3%	10.6%	2.3%
Mexico	San Andres Zabache	2000	7.6%	8.3%	6.9%
Mexico	Chiquilistlan	2000	20.7%	35.8%	8.9%
Mexico	Nicolas Flores	2000	24.6%	36.3%	14.3%
Mexico	Tlaquiltenango	2000	12.1%	23.4%	5.1%
Mexico	Huejuquilla El Alto	2000	44.3%	63.5%	23.7%
Mexico	Xochistlahuaca	2000	54.1%	71.3%	37.5%
Mexico	Chapultepec	2000	6.1%	6.5%	5.6%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2000	28.5%	54.8%	10.2%
Mexico	San Diego De Alejandria	2000	11.0%	29.4%	4.3%
Mexico	Uruachi	2000	30.9%	48.9%	17.4%
Mexico	Chamula	2000	25.0%	31.9%	20.2%
Mexico	Acatic	2000	20.1%	38.8%	8.5%
Mexico	Jalapa	2000	8.9%	23.7%	1.5%
Mexico	Tekax	2000	30.3%	42.8%	19.8%
Mexico	Totoltepec De Guerrero	2000	31.9%	37.3%	26.8%
Mexico	Chontla	2000	10.9%	17.0%	7.2%
Mexico	Coyuca De Catalan	2000	36.7%	54.3%	19.1%
Mexico	Gustavo Diaz Ordaz	2000	1.6%	7.2%	0.2%
Mexico	Tula	2000	6.4%	13.8%	2.0%
Mexico	Villa Tejupam De La Union	2000	32.6%	37.3%	28.4%
Mexico	Tezoatlan De Segura Y Luna	2000	16.6%	27.5%	7.9%
Mexico	Coneto De Comonfort	2000	41.7%	66.0%	16.8%
Mexico	Tlalixtac De Cabrera	2000	4.5%	4.7%	4.3%
Mexico	Tenango Del Aire	2000	2.5%	2.8%	2.3%
Mexico	San Agustin Metzquitlan	2000	16.9%	30.5%	7.5%
Mexico	San Juan Guichicovi	2000	24.6%	38.1%	13.7%
Mexico	Xaloztoc	2000	22.2%	24.3%	20.1%
Mexico	Matlapa	2000	7.0%	9.4%	5.3%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	2.5%	6.8%	0.6%
Mexico	Tepeyanco	2000	7.4%	7.7%	7.1%
Mexico	Naucalpan De Juarez	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.8%
Mexico	Ocuilan	2000	19.8%	33.9%	10.1%
Mexico	Jalostotitlan	2000	5.7%	11.9%	1.9%
Mexico	San Nicolas Tolentino	2000	26.0%	52.6%	8.3%
Mexico	Zapotiltic	2000	3.6%	6.5%	1.6%
Mexico	Tlapanala	2000	30.0%	35.0%	25.0%
Mexico	La Pe	2000	31.8%	33.6%	29.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Petapa	2000	18.2%	33.6%	9.5%
Mexico	Villa Gonzalez Ortega	2000	24.8%	46.1%	8.7%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2000	27.3%	40.7%	15.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Pueblo Viejo	2000	2.1%	2.9%	1.4%
Mexico	Tezoyuca	2000	3.6%	4.1%	3.2%
Mexico	Nejapa De Madero	2000	13.1%	27.6%	5.0%
Mexico	Tatatila	2000	11.4%	13.3%	10.1%
Mexico	San Juan Petlapa	2000	7.2%	10.2%	4.9%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	5.1%	13.8%	1.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Yaneri	2000	12.4%	13.5%	11.2%
Mexico	San Pablo Macuiltian-guis	2000	30.7%	46.7%	18.8%
Mexico	El Mante	2000	4.0%	9.9%	1.2%
Mexico	Tubutama	2000	11.9%	43.0%	1.7%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2000	17.1%	32.1%	6.5%
Mexico	Yecuatla	2000	16.9%	19.1%	14.7%
Mexico	Topia	2000	44.8%	80.2%	10.7%
Mexico	Santa Ana Yareni	2000	2.4%	3.0%	1.9%
Mexico	Platon Sanchez	2000	8.2%	13.0%	5.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Oro	2000	7.3%	9.1%	6.2%
Mexico	Tlaquilpa	2000	12.2%	12.7%	11.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Apostol	2000	29.1%	30.1%	28.3%
Mexico	Jilotzingo	2000	9.3%	13.7%	6.7%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2000	3.6%	6.9%	1.5%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%
Mexico	Atil	2000	5.3%	8.9%	3.0%
Mexico	San Agustin Atenango	2000	14.6%	15.9%	12.9%
Mexico	San Juan Tabaa	2000	29.9%	31.5%	28.7%
Mexico	Toluca	2000	15.3%	23.3%	9.2%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
Mexico	Ixtepec	2000	37.4%	38.4%	36.4%
Mexico	Cantamayec	2000	38.0%	55.4%	20.9%
Mexico	San Juan Tamazola	2000	21.1%	26.8%	16.4%
Mexico	El Grullo	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.2%
Mexico	San Mateo Nejapam	2000	20.4%	21.8%	19.2%
Mexico	Juchitlan	2000	16.5%	37.5%	4.7%
Mexico	Piedras Negras	2000	1.7%	4.4%	0.5%
Mexico	Huauteppec	2000	7.9%	8.5%	7.3%
Mexico	San Simon Almolongas	2000	34.0%	36.2%	31.5%
Mexico	Sayula	2000	2.4%	6.5%	0.9%
Mexico	Nealtican	2000	9.5%	10.2%	8.8%
Mexico	Tacambaro	2000	10.0%	20.5%	3.8%
Mexico	Tuzamapan De Galeana	2000	33.1%	34.1%	32.1%
Mexico	Dr. Coss	2000	3.7%	10.0%	0.8%
Mexico	San Felipe Jalapa De Diaz	2000	11.5%	14.3%	9.1%
Mexico	Santiago Lalopa	2000	27.7%	29.7%	25.6%
Mexico	Pichucalco	2000	20.8%	39.8%	6.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Mateo Rio Hondo	2000	17.9%	24.5%	13.6%
Mexico	Cucurpe	2000	14.4%	56.9%	0.5%
Mexico	Santiago El Pinar	2000	57.5%	59.9%	54.8%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Mejia	2000	37.6%	40.7%	33.9%
Mexico	Puente Nacional	2000	16.9%	36.4%	4.5%
Mexico	Zitlaltepec De Trinidad Sanchez Santos	2000	6.5%	7.1%	5.9%
Mexico	Juarez Hidalgo	2000	10.1%	13.0%	8.1%
Mexico	Cuauhtepc De Hinojosa	2000	24.1%	37.0%	13.6%
Mexico	El Espinal	2000	5.3%	6.6%	4.3%
Mexico	San Gabriel Chilac	2000	9.8%	12.3%	7.7%
Mexico	Chiconcuac	2000	2.4%	2.6%	2.3%
Mexico	Santiago Nilttepec	2000	21.4%	41.9%	7.2%
Mexico	Bejucal De Ocampo	2000	10.1%	15.1%	7.4%
Mexico	Hueytlalpan	2000	29.8%	30.6%	29.1%
Mexico	Huatlatlauca	2000	38.3%	44.3%	34.7%
Mexico	Reforma De Pineda	2000	15.7%	18.7%	12.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Del Valle	2000	26.9%	27.9%	26.0%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Tamazulapan	2000	29.1%	31.8%	25.8%
Mexico	San Fernando	2000	26.9%	39.7%	17.0%
Mexico	San Ignacio	2000	26.1%	47.0%	10.9%
Mexico	Cosolapa	2000	25.0%	31.9%	18.9%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	2.3%	3.2%	1.5%
Mexico	Huehuetan	2000	26.0%	37.6%	18.1%
Mexico	Zimatlan De Alvarez	2000	13.0%	14.7%	11.9%
Mexico	Benjamin Hill	2000	3.0%	8.7%	0.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Soyaltepec	2000	28.3%	41.9%	16.7%
Mexico	Villa Purificacion	2000	31.1%	61.0%	5.6%
Mexico	El Salto	2000	2.3%	3.6%	1.6%
Mexico	Trincheras	2000	9.5%	21.4%	2.0%
Mexico	Concepcion Papalo	2000	11.1%	14.8%	8.7%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	9.3%	17.4%	4.3%
Mexico	Tarandacuao	2000	20.0%	32.4%	11.2%
Mexico	Iztapalapa	2000	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%
Mexico	Progreso De Obregon	2000	12.9%	15.6%	10.9%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2000	23.1%	30.6%	17.8%
Mexico	Allende	2000	1.0%	2.6%	0.4%
Mexico	Ciudad Valles	2000	9.7%	18.1%	4.5%
Mexico	Bachiniva	2000	6.3%	18.5%	1.0%
Mexico	Churumuco	2000	48.8%	73.9%	20.0%
Mexico	Tizayuca	2000	7.2%	8.5%	5.9%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	4.8%	12.8%	1.3%
Mexico	Sultepec	2000	52.7%	70.2%	31.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Comitan De Dominguez	2000	11.5%	18.2%	6.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocotepc	2000	26.8%	29.9%	23.9%
Mexico	Ahuacuotzingo	2000	46.1%	67.4%	24.5%
Mexico	Xicotencatl	2000	8.3%	16.0%	3.9%
Mexico	Mayapan	2000	46.3%	48.8%	44.0%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	13.9%	18.4%	9.4%
Mexico	San Mateo Del Mar	2000	60.2%	85.3%	22.1%
Mexico	Mazatepec	2000	14.9%	19.6%	11.3%
Mexico	Atlangatepec	2000	18.0%	23.6%	13.7%
Mexico	Tula De Al- lende	2000	14.7%	24.2%	7.6%
Mexico	Valle De Bravo	2000	29.2%	40.9%	18.1%
Mexico	Donato Guerra	2000	51.0%	63.4%	38.7%
Mexico	Santa Cata- rina Loxicha	2000	7.3%	9.2%	5.7%
Mexico	San Juan Teita	2000	5.6%	6.4%	4.9%
Mexico	Nuevo Ideal	2000	20.1%	37.1%	6.6%
Mexico	Martir De Cuilapan	2000	33.6%	60.0%	9.8%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	2.5%	7.2%	0.6%
Mexico	Atolinga	2000	35.5%	52.4%	18.1%
Mexico	Bahia De Ban- deras	2000	12.4%	28.4%	2.7%
Mexico	Nuevo Casas Grandes	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.3%
Mexico	Misantla	2000	11.2%	20.2%	4.0%
Mexico	Compostela	2000	15.9%	43.9%	1.1%
Mexico	Joquicingo	2000	6.4%	7.2%	5.7%
Mexico	Quiroga	2000	15.2%	21.5%	8.5%
Mexico	Vetagrande	2000	16.0%	21.9%	10.9%
Mexico	Brisenas	2000	4.6%	5.2%	3.9%
Mexico	Conkal	2000	47.8%	53.5%	41.9%
Mexico	Huandacareo	2000	9.9%	13.3%	7.6%
Mexico	Texcoco	2000	2.8%	3.3%	2.5%
Mexico	Progreso	2000	3.2%	8.3%	0.9%
Mexico	Santa Cata- rina Tayata	2000	14.0%	15.1%	13.0%
Mexico	Mineral Del Chico	2000	34.6%	40.3%	27.8%
Mexico	San Antonio	2000	13.4%	18.0%	10.7%
Mexico	Juchitan De Zaragoza	2000	11.6%	15.1%	9.4%
Mexico	Cienega De Flores	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yanhuitlan	2000	6.1%	7.5%	4.9%
Mexico	Tasquillo	2000	30.8%	42.7%	16.8%
Mexico	Xoxocotla	2000	24.2%	25.2%	23.2%
Mexico	Ixcaquixtla	2000	14.4%	18.5%	10.0%
Mexico	Vicente Guer- rero	2000	26.6%	33.2%	22.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Teposcolula	2000	11.6%	13.3%	10.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nativitas	2000	28.6%	31.6%	25.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Coacoatzintla	2000	6.5%	6.9%	6.0%
Mexico	Honey	2000	28.1%	33.2%	22.8%
Mexico	San Jose Del Progreso	2000	44.3%	51.6%	37.1%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2000	21.2%	43.9%	5.1%
Mexico	Cuernavaca	2000	3.2%	4.8%	2.3%
Mexico	Tultepec	2000	1.2%	1.3%	1.1%
Mexico	Tamazula De Gordiano	2000	16.2%	30.3%	5.5%
Mexico	San Martin Peras	2000	64.0%	69.8%	56.4%
Mexico	Axutla	2000	19.2%	26.2%	13.4%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Tepejillo	2000	19.6%	29.6%	12.8%
Mexico	Jolalpan	2000	41.6%	58.3%	25.0%
Mexico	Jamapa	2000	23.7%	36.5%	14.7%
Mexico	Miahuatlan	2000	5.2%	5.6%	4.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocopetatillo	2000	5.2%	5.7%	4.6%
Mexico	Copanatoyac	2000	61.5%	73.4%	48.4%
Mexico	Tlahuapan	2000	5.0%	7.9%	2.9%
Mexico	Tamazulapam Del Espiritu Santo	2000	25.9%	27.1%	24.7%
Mexico	San Miguel El Alto	2000	9.7%	18.9%	4.3%
Mexico	Atengo	2000	32.6%	49.6%	14.9%
Mexico	Tixmehuac	2000	48.8%	58.3%	38.0%
Mexico	Susupuato	2000	39.7%	52.6%	27.8%
Mexico	Suchiapa	2000	15.3%	23.0%	9.1%
Mexico	Zacapu	2000	3.9%	6.7%	1.7%
Mexico	Villa Union	2000	8.2%	14.8%	3.5%
Mexico	Coacalco De Berriozabal	2000	1.6%	1.7%	1.4%
Mexico	Mocorito	2000	20.4%	36.8%	7.3%
Mexico	Ixcatepec	2000	5.8%	10.3%	3.2%
Mexico	Huitziltepec	2000	21.2%	24.2%	18.3%
Mexico	Calkini	2000	40.4%	60.7%	20.3%
Mexico	Noria De Angeles	2000	26.5%	44.6%	12.9%
Mexico	Concepcion Buenavista	2000	51.1%	57.7%	44.7%
Mexico	Guadalajara	2000	0.8%	2.3%	0.3%
Mexico	Omealca	2000	27.3%	37.6%	17.8%
Mexico	Tlahuelilpan	2000	8.6%	9.1%	8.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Huamelula	2000	45.5%	70.6%	23.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlalixtac	2000	21.3%	23.1%	19.4%
Mexico	San Luis Acatlan	2000	48.8%	74.5%	23.2%
Mexico	Villa De Chilapa De Diaz	2000	4.2%	5.0%	3.4%
Mexico	Soconusco	2000	9.8%	15.4%	5.5%
Mexico	San Lucas Zoquiapam	2000	7.3%	7.9%	6.7%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Coatlan	2000	15.0%	28.7%	6.8%
Mexico	Los Aldamas	2000	3.7%	12.7%	0.5%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiquitan	2000	24.2%	25.6%	22.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Moctezuma	2000	27.3%	51.0%	11.7%
Mexico	San Juan Numi	2000	4.8%	8.5%	2.9%
Mexico	Cajeme	2000	2.1%	4.3%	1.0%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ticua	2000	15.8%	16.8%	14.9%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Del Camino	2000	2.4%	2.6%	2.2%
Mexico	Santa Elena	2000	45.9%	58.6%	30.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2000	14.2%	29.9%	3.3%
Mexico	Ayahualulco	2000	7.9%	12.5%	4.8%
Mexico	Zihuateutla	2000	17.0%	19.9%	14.6%
Mexico	Del Nayar	2000	49.3%	68.6%	25.0%
Mexico	Azoyu	2000	45.6%	76.8%	16.5%
Mexico	Yaxkukul	2000	52.5%	54.2%	50.6%
Mexico	Quintana Roo	2000	8.6%	11.0%	6.4%
Mexico	Juan Aldama	2000	16.3%	24.9%	8.3%
Mexico	Temax	2000	30.6%	44.7%	20.6%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Sosola	2000	20.4%	27.7%	14.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Tequixtepec	2000	18.9%	27.8%	13.7%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Ocotepec	2000	17.4%	19.7%	15.2%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Del Rio	2000	2.1%	4.4%	0.9%
Mexico	Cuzama	2000	42.9%	46.3%	39.6%
Mexico	Atoyac	2000	19.5%	24.5%	15.5%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotlan	2000	29.0%	29.6%	28.4%
Mexico	Zacazonapan	2000	25.2%	29.0%	21.3%
Mexico	San Juan Lachao	2000	21.2%	31.8%	12.9%
Mexico	Armeria	2000	5.1%	14.7%	1.0%
Mexico	Perote	2000	6.1%	9.8%	3.1%
Mexico	Soyalo	2000	5.1%	7.5%	3.1%
Mexico	Coyutla	2000	16.1%	24.9%	10.5%
Mexico	Chiautzingo	2000	13.1%	14.3%	11.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Zegache	2000	20.1%	20.8%	19.5%
Mexico	Zapotlan De Juarez	2000	11.0%	12.2%	9.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Nopala	2000	29.7%	32.4%	27.1%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2000	23.1%	30.5%	16.6%
Mexico	Salvador Escalante	2000	3.8%	8.2%	1.6%
Mexico	Chinampa De Gorostiza	2000	5.0%	7.9%	3.3%
Mexico	Kanasin	2000	21.4%	25.7%	17.4%
Mexico	Cuautitlan De Garcia Barragan	2000	18.9%	41.1%	4.7%
Mexico	Hidalgo Del Parral	2000	5.2%	12.1%	2.1%
Mexico	Arriaga	2000	14.3%	32.6%	4.2%
Mexico	Guadalupe Etna	2000	4.3%	4.7%	3.9%
Mexico	Santiago Zocatepec	2000	7.2%	10.1%	4.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chapa De Mota	2000	46.1%	57.8%	31.4%
Mexico	Allende	2000	18.7%	51.1%	2.3%
Mexico	Teuchitlan	2000	3.7%	10.0%	0.7%
Mexico	Banamichi	2000	3.7%	5.2%	2.7%
Mexico	San Antonio De La Cal	2000	1.8%	1.9%	1.7%
Mexico	Totatiche	2000	36.5%	53.9%	21.9%
Mexico	Arteaga	2000	36.3%	69.3%	13.9%
Mexico	San Andres Nuxino	2000	22.4%	24.7%	20.3%
Mexico	San Bartolo Yautepec	2000	11.7%	28.1%	3.1%
Mexico	Tingambato	2000	3.7%	7.6%	1.6%
Mexico	Papantla	2000	7.0%	13.2%	3.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Taviचे	2000	73.0%	76.9%	69.0%
Mexico	Gral. Trevino	2000	4.8%	7.3%	3.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Ixhuatan	2000	35.6%	41.5%	29.1%
Mexico	Zapotlanejo	2000	9.6%	18.1%	4.2%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2000	9.8%	12.8%	8.0%
Mexico	Leon	2000	5.6%	15.8%	1.0%
Mexico	Tinum	2000	29.5%	55.4%	14.5%
Mexico	Ixhuacan De Los Reyes	2000	6.4%	8.4%	4.6%
Mexico	Tlahuac	2000	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%
Mexico	Tezontepec De Aldama	2000	23.8%	26.1%	21.6%
Mexico	Xalatlaco	2000	7.8%	8.3%	7.3%
Mexico	Cuyamecalco Villa De Zaragoza	2000	40.2%	45.2%	34.5%
Mexico	Petlalcingo	2000	19.5%	26.0%	14.4%
Mexico	Lerdo	2000	6.8%	14.1%	3.0%
Mexico	San Pablo Cuatro Venados	2000	8.0%	9.4%	6.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Nopalucan	2000	11.4%	11.9%	10.9%
Mexico	Cihuatlan	2000	14.9%	46.2%	1.0%
Mexico	Tres Valles	2000	24.3%	49.9%	5.5%
Mexico	Nacori Chico	2000	7.0%	23.7%	0.8%
Mexico	Cosamaloapan De Carpio	2000	7.7%	14.3%	3.5%
Mexico	Tlajomulco De Zuniga	2000	4.3%	10.3%	1.7%
Mexico	San Luis Potosi	2000	4.8%	9.2%	2.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Cajonos	2000	17.9%	19.2%	16.5%
Mexico	Canadas De Obregon	2000	23.1%	43.2%	9.3%
Mexico	Guevea De Humboldt	2000	33.7%	47.2%	22.4%
Mexico	Santiago Tamazola	2000	27.1%	28.2%	25.9%
Mexico	Cosala	2000	33.6%	48.1%	21.0%
Mexico	Alcozauca De Guerrero	2000	67.3%	78.1%	52.6%
Mexico	Escuintla	2000	23.3%	38.7%	11.3%
Mexico	Aquixtla	2000	8.8%	14.0%	4.8%
Mexico	Temamatla	2000	3.8%	4.2%	3.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Yauhquemecan	2000	8.6%	9.0%	8.3%
Mexico	Ayoquezco De Aldama	2000	12.4%	13.4%	11.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Alotepec	2000	28.0%	30.6%	25.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Apazco	2000	9.2%	11.9%	7.4%
Mexico	Carmen	2000	3.2%	4.1%	2.4%
Mexico	Guelatao De Juarez	2000	3.3%	4.4%	2.5%
Mexico	Yajalon	2000	18.0%	32.3%	8.4%
Mexico	San Martin Zacatepec	2000	24.7%	26.8%	22.8%
Mexico	Satevo	2000	21.5%	47.9%	8.6%
Mexico	San Juan Chicomezuchil	2000	2.2%	2.7%	1.9%
Mexico	Culiacan	2000	6.6%	12.0%	3.4%
Mexico	Charapan	2000	7.7%	14.9%	2.9%
Mexico	San Juan Chilteca	2000	18.1%	19.1%	17.0%
Mexico	Saltabarranca	2000	22.9%	30.4%	16.0%
Mexico	Julimes	2000	6.6%	13.5%	3.2%
Mexico	Madero	2000	20.4%	40.0%	7.1%
Mexico	Santiago Miahuatlan	2000	12.3%	15.6%	9.4%
Mexico	San Jose Chipapa	2000	10.7%	12.1%	9.2%
Mexico	Tanquian De Escobedo	2000	10.3%	14.4%	7.1%
Mexico	Tamasopo	2000	17.7%	38.3%	5.5%
Mexico	Munoz De Domingo Arenas	2000	12.3%	16.8%	8.9%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	3.1%	7.6%	0.5%
Mexico	Susticacan	2000	25.9%	41.3%	12.6%
Mexico	Sahuaripa	2000	4.8%	16.2%	1.1%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Tablas	2000	50.1%	64.5%	36.5%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Lagos	2000	7.6%	18.3%	2.2%
Mexico	Atlatlahucan	2000	12.8%	13.4%	12.2%
Mexico	San Antonino Castillo Velasco	2000	17.0%	18.1%	15.9%
Mexico	San Jose Miahuatlan	2000	7.3%	10.3%	5.3%
Mexico	Temascalcingo	2000	49.7%	64.4%	34.8%
Mexico	Villa Aldama	2000	13.7%	16.5%	11.4%
Mexico	Huatabampo	2000	11.2%	28.9%	1.4%
Mexico	Coyoacan	2000	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%
Mexico	Mazapil	2000	28.7%	41.3%	18.0%
Mexico	Reforma	2000	7.8%	19.3%	2.7%
Mexico	Ascension	2000	1.3%	3.1%	0.5%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	16.5%	40.5%	3.0%
Mexico	Hermenegildo Galeana	2000	28.5%	29.5%	27.7%
Mexico	Canitas De Felipe Pescador	2000	27.5%	43.4%	12.1%
Mexico	Pachuca De Soto	2000	3.7%	5.9%	2.5%
Mexico	Nava	2000	4.3%	16.4%	0.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yolotepec	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Fresnillo	2000	15.1%	29.9%	5.5%
Mexico	Coronado	2000	21.2%	56.3%	2.2%
Mexico	Tepache	2000	5.6%	8.2%	3.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ixcatlan	2000	11.3%	17.1%	7.5%
Mexico	Soledad De Doblado	2000	24.1%	35.4%	15.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jalapa Del Marques	2000	24.5%	63.7%	3.4%
Mexico	Ameca	2000	11.4%	26.0%	3.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Ayutla	2000	23.9%	27.3%	21.4%
Mexico	Opichen	2000	14.3%	18.1%	10.8%
Mexico	Cerralvo	2000	2.5%	4.7%	1.1%
Mexico	Jonuta	2000	14.2%	32.4%	3.2%
Mexico	San Martin Hidalgo	2000	10.3%	27.2%	2.5%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Benito Juarez	2000	22.0%	29.7%	14.8%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	22.6%	45.4%	7.8%
Mexico	Panindicuario	2000	25.0%	38.7%	15.4%
Mexico	Berriozabal	2000	19.0%	30.9%	9.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Nuxano	2000	9.4%	10.2%	8.7%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	32.5%	61.8%	11.4%
Mexico	Axochiapan	2000	20.7%	28.2%	13.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nduayaco	2000	28.6%	30.9%	26.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ozolotepec	2000	21.8%	27.9%	17.5%
Mexico	Ciudad Madero	2000	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Atzompa	2000	6.7%	7.0%	6.4%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Sola	2000	46.5%	63.4%	29.1%
Mexico	Zacapoaxtla	2000	11.1%	12.2%	10.2%
Mexico	Acapulco De Juarez	2000	19.5%	44.1%	5.2%
Mexico	Martinez De La Torre	2000	5.2%	17.8%	1.1%
Mexico	Tenamaxtlan	2000	15.0%	30.5%	5.3%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2000	2.5%	4.7%	1.2%
Mexico	Chietla	2000	14.4%	19.1%	10.7%
Mexico	Sochiapa	2000	22.1%	23.7%	20.4%
Mexico	Acayucan	2000	9.2%	18.1%	3.3%
Mexico	San Mateo Etlatongo	2000	9.6%	10.2%	9.0%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2000	3.9%	6.4%	2.3%
Mexico	Copainala	2000	18.5%	32.7%	9.5%
Mexico	Autlan De Navarro	2000	5.1%	11.3%	1.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Juquila	2000	33.6%	38.7%	29.2%
Mexico	Natividad	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Mexico	Bacum	2000	5.3%	12.1%	1.6%
Mexico	Ojinaga	2000	5.6%	14.9%	1.5%
Mexico	San Andres Tenejapan	2000	21.3%	21.9%	20.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huichapan	2000	35.0%	54.8%	14.4%
Mexico	Jaltocan	2000	23.2%	31.6%	16.0%
Mexico	San Jacinto Tlacotepec	2000	1.5%	2.5%	0.7%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	9.1%	24.5%	2.6%
Mexico	Cuquio	2000	26.4%	46.8%	13.3%
Mexico	Villa De La Paz	2000	5.5%	8.6%	3.5%
Mexico	Cotija	2000	5.7%	9.5%	3.1%
Mexico	Huehuetlan	2000	3.5%	5.9%	2.3%
Mexico	Tlalmanalco	2000	1.2%	1.4%	1.1%
Mexico	Chalchicomula De Sesma	2000	3.3%	5.2%	2.0%
Mexico	San Antonino El Alto	2000	13.5%	21.0%	9.6%
Mexico	Techaluta De Montenegro	2000	8.2%	11.5%	6.4%
Mexico	Villa Corzo	2000	26.8%	50.2%	8.5%
Mexico	Saric	2000	12.4%	44.3%	0.2%
Mexico	Lampazos De Naranjo	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Mexico	Mazatecochco De Jose Maria Morelos	2000	12.1%	12.6%	11.5%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Silacayoapilla	2000	15.6%	17.5%	13.8%
Mexico	Manlio Fabio Altamirano	2000	17.0%	25.5%	9.8%
Mexico	Santa Ana Maya	2000	12.0%	25.9%	3.8%
Mexico	La Trinitaria	2000	14.0%	32.7%	3.2%
Mexico	Atlamajalcingo Del Monte	2000	65.0%	73.1%	56.1%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Ranchos	2000	13.5%	14.3%	12.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir	2000	26.3%	26.9%	25.6%
Mexico	Lerma	2000	6.9%	7.5%	6.4%
Mexico	Sabanilla	2000	6.8%	18.8%	2.0%
Mexico	Tepatitlan De Morelos	2000	8.3%	20.4%	2.0%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Palmas	2000	30.7%	36.9%	24.8%
Mexico	Ixtapaluca	2000	1.7%	1.9%	1.6%
Mexico	Tumbala	2000	26.6%	43.9%	12.5%
Mexico	Huazalingo	2000	39.7%	50.9%	30.4%
Mexico	Santiago Atitlan	2000	7.3%	9.0%	5.9%
Mexico	Ayutla De Los Libres	2000	51.9%	70.7%	30.9%
Mexico	Osumacinta	2000	16.2%	20.3%	13.8%
Mexico	Domingo Arenas	2000	25.2%	26.6%	23.7%
Mexico	Tuxcueca	2000	13.8%	34.5%	2.5%
Mexico	Alaquines	2000	11.6%	23.4%	5.1%
Mexico	Hecelchakan	2000	37.1%	53.9%	23.4%
Mexico	El Plateado De Joaquin Amaro	2000	33.6%	57.5%	13.4%
Mexico	Atoyac	2000	8.6%	14.7%	5.5%
Mexico	La Magdalena Contreras	2000	1.0%	1.1%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Xicotlan	2000	34.7%	46.8%	21.8%
Mexico	Uxpanapa	2000	17.4%	38.9%	4.9%
Mexico	Purisima Del Rincon	2000	11.1%	21.3%	5.1%
Mexico	Victoria	2000	2.9%	8.0%	0.8%
Mexico	Cosautlan De Carvajal	2000	11.4%	12.3%	10.5%
Mexico	San Juan Sayultepec	2000	8.1%	8.8%	7.5%
Mexico	Juchique De Ferrer	2000	26.4%	34.3%	19.8%
Mexico	Tequila	2000	20.8%	21.5%	20.3%
Mexico	Toliman	2000	46.3%	62.5%	29.5%
Mexico	Nezahualcoyotl	2000	1.5%	1.6%	1.4%
Mexico	Guanacevi	2000	29.8%	53.0%	10.7%
Mexico	Coatzingo	2000	33.2%	38.7%	28.4%
Mexico	Temapache	2000	5.4%	14.3%	1.3%
Mexico	Florencio Villarreal	2000	45.6%	65.8%	27.5%
Mexico	Oxchuc	2000	30.8%	43.6%	19.3%
Mexico	Felipe Carrillo Puerto	2000	29.5%	45.8%	12.3%
Mexico	Amatenango Del Valle	2000	39.3%	48.8%	30.5%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2000	15.0%	21.2%	9.8%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xitla	2000	10.5%	11.3%	9.8%
Mexico	Tancoco	2000	6.8%	11.2%	4.2%
Mexico	Eduardo Neri	2000	29.0%	61.8%	6.6%
Mexico	Isla Mujeres	2000	9.0%	47.7%	0.1%
Mexico	Teocaltiche	2000	16.9%	37.2%	5.9%
Mexico	La Antigua	2000	6.1%	30.8%	0.7%
Mexico	Molango De Escamilla	2000	6.1%	10.3%	3.4%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2000	3.4%	3.7%	3.2%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	3.7%	4.7%	3.0%
Mexico	Magdalena Apasco	2000	4.0%	4.5%	3.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tomaltepec	2000	20.8%	21.9%	19.7%
Mexico	Tenango Del Valle	2000	17.8%	23.7%	12.2%
Mexico	Acuitzio	2000	8.9%	14.2%	5.4%
Mexico	Igualapa	2000	59.0%	70.4%	45.9%
Mexico	Papalotla De Xicohtencatl	2000	8.5%	8.8%	8.2%
Mexico	Epatlan	2000	30.3%	33.9%	26.7%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	9.8%	19.8%	4.1%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tlacotepec	2000	14.5%	25.9%	7.4%
Mexico	Concordia	2000	20.1%	38.1%	6.9%
Mexico	Ixmatalahuacan	2000	19.8%	31.1%	10.5%
Mexico	Espita	2000	5.3%	10.9%	2.3%
Mexico	Santiago Suchilquitongo	2000	15.9%	16.6%	15.1%
Mexico	Maxcanu	2000	22.9%	37.5%	11.2%
Mexico	San Gregorio Atzompa	2000	22.0%	22.6%	21.4%
Mexico	Chalcatongo De Hidalgo	2000	12.5%	14.4%	11.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan De Los Cues	2000	23.6%	32.5%	16.7%
Mexico	Zinapécuaro	2000	13.1%	27.1%	3.2%
Mexico	Ojuelos De Jalisco	2000	42.2%	68.5%	15.8%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Grande	2000	36.4%	54.1%	23.0%
Mexico	Santiago Tetepec	2000	36.2%	50.5%	26.7%
Mexico	Almoleya	2000	12.7%	23.2%	6.9%
Mexico	Gral. Zuazua	2000	2.2%	4.3%	1.0%
Mexico	Amozoc	2000	10.1%	10.9%	9.3%
Mexico	Acajete	2000	21.9%	25.3%	19.4%
Mexico	San Jose Estancia Grande	2000	56.7%	63.4%	50.5%
Mexico	Moroleon	2000	5.7%	8.5%	3.8%
Mexico	Marquelia	2000	35.7%	78.3%	2.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Camotlan	2000	34.2%	36.5%	31.3%
Mexico	Sitio De Xitlapahua	2000	23.0%	25.2%	21.0%
Mexico	Metapa	2000	19.1%	22.4%	16.0%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	27.3%	47.0%	14.2%
Mexico	Omitlan De Juarez	2000	26.7%	32.5%	22.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Quiérolani	2000	73.0%	82.3%	63.1%
Mexico	San Andres Lagunas	2000	5.3%	6.6%	4.3%
Mexico	Ometepec	2000	50.2%	65.1%	36.0%
Mexico	Santiago Yucuyachi	2000	29.2%	31.0%	27.4%
Mexico	Luis Moya	2000	9.7%	14.9%	5.8%
Mexico	Luvianos	2000	28.1%	65.8%	2.9%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	4.7%	12.8%	1.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Sola	2000	42.9%	64.8%	21.1%
Mexico	San Sebastian Nicananduta	2000	4.4%	5.4%	3.5%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2000	3.6%	4.8%	2.6%
Mexico	Analco	2000	48.1%	52.4%	43.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacotepec	2000	48.1%	52.4%	43.9%
Mexico	Akil	2000	29.6%	31.2%	28.0%
Mexico	Ixtlan Del Rio	2000	6.0%	11.3%	2.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xoxocotlan	2000	3.4%	3.6%	3.3%
Mexico	Gral. Teran	2000	2.4%	6.7%	0.7%
Mexico	Ignacio De La Llave	2000	26.7%	45.0%	11.2%
Mexico	Xalisco	2000	3.4%	6.7%	1.6%
Mexico	Rodeo	2000	22.1%	40.6%	9.4%
Mexico	Nicolas Ruiz	2000	29.4%	70.7%	2.5%
Mexico	Atenco	2000	6.6%	7.1%	6.2%
Mexico	Colipa	2000	30.9%	39.4%	21.3%
Mexico	Villa De Tututepec De Melchor Ocampo	2000	17.2%	40.9%	3.4%
Mexico	Rafael Lara Grajales	2000	2.4%	2.8%	1.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Yahualica	2000	21.3%	26.5%	17.4%
Mexico	El Barrio De La Soledad	2000	15.2%	30.4%	7.4%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Grande	2000	29.4%	34.5%	24.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ipalapa	2000	21.8%	26.0%	18.0%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan De Flores Magon	2000	4.5%	4.9%	4.0%
Mexico	Villa De Arriaga	2000	35.8%	67.4%	8.6%
Mexico	Guachochi	2000	30.3%	46.3%	17.6%
Mexico	Frontera	2000	2.8%	5.5%	1.3%
Mexico	San Vicente Nunu	2000	20.4%	22.8%	18.2%
Mexico	Tlachichuca	2000	3.2%	5.4%	1.9%
Mexico	Merida	2000	20.2%	29.0%	12.7%
Mexico	Villa De Alende	2000	52.8%	61.5%	44.7%
Mexico	San Bartolome Loxicha	2000	7.6%	9.9%	5.7%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Mazaltepec	2000	25.8%	26.9%	24.6%
Mexico	Villa De Tezontepec	2000	7.1%	9.1%	5.6%
Mexico	Manuel Doblado	2000	28.3%	46.5%	12.2%
Mexico	Chumatlan	2000	24.7%	25.9%	23.6%
Mexico	Asuncion Cacalotepec	2000	34.6%	38.1%	31.0%
Mexico	Dzilam De Bravo	2000	36.5%	91.5%	0.6%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	35.8%	49.5%	21.5%
Mexico	Villa De Alvarez	2000	0.6%	1.3%	0.3%
Mexico	San Luis Rio Colorado	2000	2.3%	4.4%	1.0%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Nopala	2000	27.0%	33.8%	21.3%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Papalo	2000	4.0%	5.7%	2.8%
Mexico	Villa Del Carbon	2000	32.1%	41.4%	23.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Cuicatlan	2000	13.5%	22.1%	6.1%
Mexico	Atzacan	2000	4.4%	5.8%	3.4%
Mexico	Ecatzingo	2000	13.6%	14.4%	12.9%
Mexico	Tlacuilotepec	2000	12.8%	19.2%	8.6%
Mexico	Ixtapangajoya	2000	23.2%	34.8%	14.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Papalo	2000	13.6%	15.5%	11.5%
Mexico	San Esteban Atatlahuca	2000	17.6%	20.2%	15.4%
Mexico	Apulco	2000	27.9%	51.3%	9.3%
Mexico	San Felipe	2000	12.6%	49.8%	0.3%
Mexico	Calnali	2000	19.3%	27.6%	12.8%
Mexico	Tochtepec	2000	23.5%	28.2%	17.9%
Mexico	Gomez Palacio	2000	4.3%	8.3%	2.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro	2000	6.7%	14.1%	1.6%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	12.1%	22.2%	5.5%
Mexico	Apetatitlan	2000	7.2%	7.5%	6.8%
Mexico	De Antonio Carvajal	2000	19.7%	25.5%	14.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Yeloixtlahuaca	2000	1.8%	2.0%	1.6%
Mexico	Chalco	2000	13.7%	27.3%	4.2%
Mexico	Amatlan De Canas	2000	19.0%	33.9%	6.6%
Mexico	La Libertad	2000	23.7%	26.4%	21.3%
Mexico	Teotongo	2000	14.0%	28.6%	5.0%
Mexico	Tila	2000	68.1%	77.4%	54.0%
Mexico	Sitala	2000	9.7%	10.7%	8.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Teopoxco	2000	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Rio	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Mexico	Gral. Escobedo	2000	28.2%	38.4%	19.2%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlatlauquitepec	2000	13.0%	43.4%	0.8%
Mexico	Escuinapa	2000	31.2%	39.7%	24.6%
Mexico	San Bartolo Tutotepec	2000	30.9%	58.1%	9.5%
Mexico	Catazaja	2000	10.5%	23.6%	2.8%
Mexico	Miquihuana	2000	6.8%	8.2%	5.6%
Mexico	Totontepec	2000	25.5%	41.2%	14.0%
Mexico	Villa De Morelos	2000	3.2%	6.3%	1.5%
Mexico	San Lucas	2000	4.9%	5.3%	4.5%
Mexico	El Arrenal	2000	60.1%	64.4%	55.1%
Mexico	Rojas De Cuauhtemoc	2000	3.6%	4.9%	2.7%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	4.8%	5.9%	4.1%
Mexico	Miguel Hidalgo	2000	19.4%	32.3%	8.1%
Mexico	Cuautla	2000	4.2%	10.9%	1.1%
Mexico	Tlacotalpan	2000	4.3%	7.4%	2.7%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan De Los Membrillos	2000	4.3%	7.4%	2.7%
Mexico	Gutierrez Zamora	2000	19.3%	21.0%	17.8%
Mexico	San Mateo Tlapiltepec	2000	15.3%	30.0%	5.7%
Mexico	Jalpa De Mendez	2000	11.1%	29.4%	1.5%
Mexico	San Pedro De La Cueva	2000	12.4%	24.3%	5.3%
Mexico	Apozol	2000	29.4%	49.5%	13.8%
Mexico	Ostuacan	2000	27.3%	32.9%	22.7%
Mexico	Metepac	2000	38.8%	69.2%	11.8%
Mexico	Atenango Del Rio	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.2%
Mexico	Lamadrid	2000	15.7%	16.7%	14.8%
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	13.6%	28.6%	3.7%
Mexico	Nautla	2000			

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Juventino Rosas	2000	12.2%	23.1%	5.5%
Mexico	Nombre De Dios	2000	17.2%	42.0%	3.6%
Mexico	Ixtenco	2000	23.0%	24.4%	21.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Achiutla	2000	35.5%	37.4%	33.5%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla De Baz	2000	1.9%	2.0%	1.9%
Mexico	Vista Hermosa	2000	6.2%	7.7%	5.2%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Amilpas	2000	2.4%	2.6%	2.2%
Mexico	Nocupetaro	2000	38.4%	61.1%	17.3%
Mexico	Imuris	2000	3.1%	7.1%	0.9%
Mexico	Amacuzac	2000	16.8%	20.7%	13.3%
Mexico	Aquila	2000	46.0%	72.8%	22.4%
Mexico	San Juan Quiotepec	2000	16.2%	24.6%	9.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Chindua	2000	9.1%	9.9%	8.3%
Mexico	Tlatlaya	2000	41.8%	62.4%	20.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Penoles	2000	43.2%	49.2%	36.7%
Mexico	Teopisca	2000	19.9%	25.6%	14.9%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2000	37.1%	50.3%	23.6%
Mexico	Chiautempan	2000	7.8%	8.0%	7.5%
Mexico	Xochiatipan	2000	8.6%	11.3%	6.9%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2000	4.9%	11.1%	1.6%
Mexico	Oxkutzcab	2000	34.2%	40.9%	29.2%
Mexico	San Diego La Mesa Tochimiltzingo	2000	48.6%	55.7%	40.9%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2000	26.4%	46.2%	11.6%
Mexico	Tlapehuala	2000	32.2%	60.0%	10.5%
Mexico	Tepehuacan De Guerrero	2000	7.4%	14.7%	2.9%
Mexico	Acaponeta	2000	15.3%	27.2%	7.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Coatlan	2000	18.7%	21.1%	16.7%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2000	8.0%	9.1%	7.1%
Mexico	Chiapa De Corzo	2000	11.4%	21.6%	4.2%
Mexico	Tlahualilo	2000	6.2%	16.2%	1.1%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Sureste	2000	7.6%	8.7%	6.6%
Mexico	San Juan Cieneguilla	2000	27.8%	37.8%	19.7%
Mexico	Mecatlan	2000	34.5%	37.0%	32.6%
Mexico	Monterrey	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%
Mexico	Periban	2000	3.7%	7.6%	1.5%
Mexico	Huaniqueo	2000	24.3%	39.1%	12.2%
Mexico	Gonzalez	2000	5.6%	14.0%	1.4%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2000	20.0%	64.2%	2.9%
Mexico	Tecamac	2000	4.6%	4.8%	4.3%
Mexico	Inde	2000	26.8%	50.9%	10.6%
Mexico	Tecuala	2000	9.6%	21.8%	2.0%
Mexico	El Carmen Tequexquitla	2000	23.0%	26.8%	19.3%
Mexico	Valerio Trujano	2000	11.7%	15.6%	8.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Ixcatlan	2000	7.2%	9.3%	5.7%
Mexico	Manzanillo	2000	6.5%	20.5%	0.9%
Mexico	Nahuatzen	2000	6.1%	10.3%	3.8%
Mexico	Cenotillo	2000	8.8%	17.6%	3.8%
Mexico	Coronango	2000	11.0%	11.3%	10.7%
Mexico	Texistepec	2000	9.2%	20.5%	3.1%
Mexico	Tinguindin	2000	3.5%	7.9%	1.4%
Mexico	Tamuin	2000	7.3%	18.9%	1.2%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2000	21.0%	41.5%	9.2%
Mexico	Tonatico	2000	12.5%	20.1%	8.2%
Mexico	San Juanito De Escobedo	2000	6.9%	12.4%	3.9%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintla	2000	11.2%	25.0%	3.0%
Mexico	Huhi	2000	59.4%	62.6%	55.9%
Mexico	Villagran	2000	13.7%	29.3%	4.4%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Monteverde	2000	18.7%	23.2%	15.1%
Mexico	Chiautla	2000	3.6%	4.1%	3.2%
Mexico	Zinacatepec	2000	12.6%	13.6%	11.8%
Mexico	Tzimol	2000	21.6%	33.6%	13.6%
Mexico	Tlaltenango De Sanchez Roman	2000	16.2%	26.8%	7.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Logueche	2000	8.4%	9.1%	7.5%
Mexico	Navolato	2000	12.9%	28.0%	3.2%
Mexico	Pantelho	2000	45.4%	58.3%	35.1%
Mexico	Valle De Guadalupe	2000	10.9%	21.3%	5.0%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco	2000	11.9%	14.5%	10.0%
Mexico	Landero Y Coss	2000	4.4%	4.8%	4.0%
Mexico	Numaran	2000	18.5%	32.9%	9.5%
Mexico	Rio Grande	2000	18.7%	33.1%	7.7%
Mexico	Marcos Castellanos	2000	11.2%	17.2%	6.4%
Mexico	Suchil	2000	20.3%	53.1%	2.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Tenango	2000	32.4%	62.8%	9.6%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2000	8.0%	10.5%	6.3%
Mexico	Canatlan	2000	28.9%	46.4%	12.6%
Mexico	Yahualica De Gonzalez Gallo	2000	19.0%	37.4%	6.6%
Mexico	Larrainzar	2000	28.2%	34.3%	23.7%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	31.3%	47.6%	17.2%
Mexico	San Juan Dixi	2000	5.7%	6.8%	4.7%
Mexico	Teapa	2000	16.8%	27.2%	8.3%
Mexico	Azcapotzalco	2000	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%
Mexico	Teotitlan Del Valle	2000	24.5%	25.8%	23.1%
Mexico	San Lucas Camotlan	2000	51.9%	59.6%	42.9%
Mexico	Mapastepec	2000	18.8%	32.0%	7.4%
Mexico	San Agustin Loxicha	2000	20.3%	29.4%	14.7%
Mexico	Tepakan	2000	25.4%	29.8%	21.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huamantla	2000	17.9%	20.6%	15.8%
Mexico	Calakmul	2000	14.9%	26.2%	7.1%
Mexico	Santiago Ixtayutla	2000	12.6%	18.4%	7.5%
Mexico	El Marques	2000	27.0%	47.7%	10.1%
Mexico	Cuautlancingo	2000	13.3%	14.2%	12.2%
Mexico	Magdalena Tlacotepec	2000	21.5%	27.9%	17.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ingenio	2000	18.3%	31.2%	7.5%
Mexico	Cutzamala De Pinzon	2000	30.1%	51.1%	13.2%
Mexico	Cuaxomulco	2000	18.4%	19.2%	17.5%
Mexico	Dzemul	2000	25.3%	28.1%	23.0%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Minas	2000	45.9%	47.6%	44.6%
Mexico	Soledad Atzompa	2000	20.5%	21.7%	19.3%
Mexico	San Baltazar Loxicha	2000	5.0%	6.5%	4.0%
Mexico	Chilpancingo De Los Bravo	2000	12.0%	20.6%	4.9%
Mexico	Xochitlan Todos Santos	2000	45.1%	53.1%	36.3%
Mexico	Aporo	2000	22.8%	25.1%	20.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria De Los Angeles	2000	33.1%	48.0%	18.9%
Mexico	Tilapa	2000	17.4%	19.5%	15.3%
Mexico	Mixtla De Altamirano	2000	11.3%	12.2%	10.6%
Mexico	Tarimoro	2000	22.7%	40.3%	8.0%
Mexico	Yaonahuac	2000	14.1%	15.0%	13.3%
Mexico	General Plutarco Elias Calles	2000	6.0%	12.9%	2.3%
Mexico	San Juan Atzompa	2000	47.2%	50.8%	43.6%
Mexico	Taretan	2000	7.9%	12.2%	5.1%
Mexico	Mexicaltzingo	2000	4.1%	4.7%	3.7%
Mexico	Atoyac De Alvarez	2000	30.9%	54.0%	11.8%
Mexico	Villafloras	2000	19.2%	45.4%	3.0%
Mexico	Moloacan	2000	16.4%	34.0%	5.9%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Coixtlahuaca	2000	12.1%	13.5%	10.8%
Mexico	Cananea	2000	1.4%	2.7%	0.7%
Mexico	Yanga	2000	13.1%	15.2%	11.1%
Mexico	Puerto Vallarta	2000	6.2%	19.3%	1.5%
Mexico	Copala	2000	42.1%	70.9%	14.3%
Mexico	Otumba	2000	21.3%	23.8%	19.4%
Mexico	Cuayuca De Andrade	2000	47.8%	58.9%	37.0%
Mexico	Tamazunchale	2000	8.8%	14.3%	4.7%
Mexico	San Martin De Las Piramides	2000	10.9%	11.4%	10.3%
Mexico	Yobain	2000	50.0%	64.8%	31.6%
Mexico	Candelaria	2000	23.1%	38.0%	11.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cosoleacaque	2000	11.4%	18.0%	8.0%
Mexico	Juan Rodriguez Clara	2000	18.0%	35.4%	7.2%
Mexico	Marques De Comillas	2000	43.4%	64.5%	22.6%
Mexico	San Martin Tilcajete	2000	17.4%	18.1%	16.5%
Mexico	Chalchihuitan	2000	32.4%	41.4%	26.7%
Mexico	Marin	2000	4.1%	5.1%	3.3%
Mexico	Jerez	2000	14.4%	26.0%	6.1%
Mexico	Cojumatlan	2000	9.2%	13.7%	5.5%
Mexico	De Regules	2000	10.1%	14.1%	7.6%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2000	10.1%	14.1%	7.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Petapa	2000	18.6%	27.7%	12.4%
Mexico	Xichu	2000	58.7%	78.2%	37.8%
Mexico	Tetipac	2000	43.5%	52.5%	31.9%
Mexico	Arcelia	2000	32.8%	57.5%	11.6%
Mexico	Huixtan	2000	26.2%	38.6%	15.6%
Mexico	Santa Catalina Quieri	2000	11.6%	13.5%	10.1%
Mexico	Madera	2000	7.8%	15.7%	3.7%
Mexico	Teteles De Avila Castillo	2000	10.8%	11.5%	9.9%
Mexico	Frontera Hidalgo	2000	14.8%	21.4%	10.6%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	19.8%	20.4%	19.2%
Mexico	Zinacantan	2000	36.8%	47.1%	28.8%
Mexico	Apaxco	2000	11.7%	12.7%	10.7%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2000	7.5%	11.2%	5.0%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2000	19.9%	40.1%	5.5%
Mexico	Timucuy	2000	43.9%	48.7%	39.4%
Mexico	Zentla	2000	32.1%	38.8%	25.0%
Mexico	Asientos	2000	18.6%	37.5%	5.4%
Mexico	Nogales	2000	1.6%	4.5%	0.4%
Mexico	Tlalpan	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Mexico	San Jacinto Amilpas	2000	4.4%	4.6%	4.2%
Mexico	Tenampulco	2000	25.1%	31.5%	19.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tepantlali	2000	14.7%	16.0%	13.3%
Mexico	San Felipe Teotlalcingo	2000	6.0%	6.5%	5.5%
Mexico	Huitzucu De Los Figueroa	2000	19.6%	45.1%	5.1%
Mexico	Citlaltepetl	2000	20.5%	25.0%	16.7%
Mexico	San Sebastian Del Oeste	2000	24.0%	54.9%	4.7%
Mexico	Mazapa De Madero	2000	9.7%	14.2%	6.6%
Mexico	Tampacan	2000	7.5%	9.9%	5.6%
Mexico	Hueytamalco	2000	13.9%	22.1%	7.6%
Mexico	San Andres Huaxpaltepec	2000	59.6%	66.7%	52.3%
Mexico	Acatlan De Juarez	2000	2.2%	4.8%	1.0%
Mexico	Paracuaro	2000	19.7%	38.5%	6.3%
Mexico	Cortazar	2000	9.0%	12.3%	6.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	La Union De Isidoro Montes De Oca	2000	35.8%	63.8%	11.8%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2000	6.5%	10.1%	3.7%
Mexico	Abala	2000	37.6%	49.0%	26.3%
Mexico	San Nicolas Buenos Aires	2000	7.6%	11.6%	4.1%
Mexico	Mulege	2000	5.1%	9.4%	2.4%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2000	41.5%	59.5%	22.1%
Mexico	Jose Sixto Verduzco	2000	18.4%	31.8%	8.6%
Mexico	Arroyo Seco	2000	28.0%	50.8%	14.1%
Mexico	Santo Tomas	2000	40.7%	58.3%	25.5%
Mexico	Huachinera	2000	8.9%	28.5%	1.9%
Mexico	Huixtla	2000	15.0%	21.1%	9.4%
Mexico	Divisaderos	2000	5.7%	17.6%	1.0%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Garza	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Mexico	San Mateo Yoloxochitlan	2000	4.2%	4.5%	3.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Juchatengo	2000	24.1%	29.9%	18.1%
Mexico	Nanacamilpa De Mariano Arista	2000	3.5%	5.2%	2.7%
Mexico	Tlatlauquitepec	2000	9.9%	12.0%	8.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zoquitlan	2000	27.5%	39.4%	18.2%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2000	5.7%	12.9%	1.8%
Mexico	Tepango De Rodriguez	2000	9.5%	10.0%	9.0%
Mexico	Candelaria Loxicha	2000	29.4%	35.3%	25.3%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2000	17.8%	22.5%	14.2%
Mexico	Mariano Escobedo	2000	2.8%	3.1%	2.5%
Mexico	Angamacutiro	2000	23.5%	35.8%	14.1%
Mexico	Santiago Astata	2000	47.8%	56.8%	38.8%
Mexico	Tepatlxaco De Hidalgo	2000	26.2%	29.6%	22.9%
Mexico	Zoquiapan	2000	35.9%	36.9%	35.0%
Mexico	Huautla De Jimenez	2000	6.5%	7.8%	5.6%
Mexico	Jitotol	2000	13.8%	19.5%	9.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Cholula	2000	12.6%	13.0%	12.3%
Mexico	Acteopan	2000	56.6%	58.0%	55.3%
Mexico	Chilcuautla	2000	25.6%	34.4%	18.0%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2000	4.0%	9.1%	1.4%
Mexico	Salvatierra	2000	14.6%	29.6%	5.5%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2000	21.4%	23.7%	19.1%
Mexico	Tecoautla	2000	38.5%	56.2%	21.0%
Mexico	Tijuana	2000	4.0%	13.0%	0.5%
Mexico	Penjamillo	2000	16.2%	28.8%	6.3%
Mexico	Santiago Choapam	2000	10.1%	20.0%	5.5%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tlaxcala	2000	11.0%	11.4%	10.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huehuetla	2000	23.7%	34.1%	15.5%
Mexico	Cabo Corrientes	2000	30.5%	62.7%	6.1%
Mexico	Texcaltitlan	2000	45.5%	53.3%	38.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temascalapa	2000	18.6%	20.5%	16.9%
Mexico	Angostura	2000	12.7%	36.8%	1.0%
Mexico	San Miguel De Allende	2000	28.0%	49.2%	9.3%
Mexico	Nuevo Parangaricutiro	2000	2.0%	4.5%	0.8%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	13.0%	21.2%	7.3%
Mexico	Saltillo	2000	3.2%	5.8%	1.7%
Mexico	Ayutla	2000	17.6%	33.8%	7.1%
Mexico	Matias Romero Avendano	2000	15.8%	32.2%	4.6%
Mexico	Ocozocoautla De Espinosa	2000	25.5%	41.9%	11.5%
Mexico	San Felipe	2000	37.8%	58.6%	15.9%
Mexico	Amecameca	2000	2.9%	3.1%	2.7%
Mexico	Atlatlala	2000	7.9%	8.4%	7.5%
Mexico	Caborca	2000	1.7%	3.7%	0.8%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Zenzontepec	2000	3.5%	6.0%	1.8%
Mexico	Tixpehual	2000	22.1%	24.4%	20.2%
Mexico	Los Herreras	2000	3.5%	7.5%	1.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Xoxtla	2000	11.3%	11.8%	10.8%
Mexico	Union De San Antonio	2000	18.4%	39.9%	5.7%
Mexico	Apazapan	2000	16.8%	31.5%	7.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Huilotepec	2000	41.2%	44.5%	37.8%
Mexico	Santiago Lachiguiri	2000	37.5%	57.3%	18.9%
Mexico	Calchualco	2000	7.7%	9.0%	6.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Totolapilla	2000	8.7%	13.5%	5.0%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2000	37.5%	41.1%	33.2%
Mexico	Poncitlan	2000	8.8%	20.0%	2.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huatulco	2000	12.7%	37.0%	1.8%
Mexico	Las Vigas De Ramirez	2000	8.0%	8.8%	7.3%
Mexico	Tizimin	2000	28.6%	48.3%	13.2%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2000	6.0%	14.1%	1.4%
Mexico	Lafragua	2000	3.3%	6.0%	1.7%
Mexico	La Yesca	2000	27.0%	47.7%	10.7%
Mexico	Queretaro	2000	8.2%	17.3%	2.8%
Mexico	Ahumada	2000	2.6%	4.7%	1.5%
Mexico	Chiconamel	2000	20.2%	28.3%	15.0%
Mexico	Zinaparo	2000	13.3%	18.7%	8.8%
Mexico	Cohetzala	2000	48.4%	63.3%	34.6%
Mexico	Tepexi De Rodriguez	2000	37.4%	46.3%	28.8%
Mexico	Santiago Pinotepa Nacional	2000	45.1%	58.3%	33.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Miguel Quetzaltepec	2000	27.9%	31.4%	24.4%
Mexico	El Naranjo	2000	9.0%	17.7%	4.6%
Mexico	Tahdziu	2000	54.4%	72.7%	31.6%
Mexico	Mainero	2000	11.5%	18.6%	6.0%
Mexico	Ixtacomitan	2000	17.0%	23.5%	12.1%
Mexico	Baviacora	2000	7.1%	19.4%	2.1%
Mexico	Tecoman	2000	4.0%	9.2%	1.2%
Mexico	Acanceh	2000	38.1%	44.0%	32.4%
Mexico	Carrillo Puerto	2000	50.3%	63.8%	35.8%
Mexico	Ahualulco De Mercado	2000	2.2%	5.5%	0.8%
Mexico	Momax	2000	18.6%	30.0%	9.3%
Mexico	Jose Joaquin De Herrera	2000	44.2%	85.0%	4.4%
Mexico	Ursulo Galvan	2000	3.8%	10.8%	1.4%
Mexico	Atzitzihuacan	2000	34.0%	36.3%	32.1%
Mexico	Villa Juarez	2000	6.6%	15.8%	1.4%
Mexico	Ixtapan Del Oro	2000	46.4%	54.5%	37.8%
Mexico	Hueyopxtla	2000	27.9%	42.1%	16.8%
Mexico	Santiago Yaitepec	2000	39.3%	42.8%	36.4%
Mexico	Tehuipango	2000	44.8%	48.6%	41.9%
Mexico	San Sebastian Coatlan	2000	6.3%	11.8%	3.0%
Mexico	Sayula De Aleman	2000	12.2%	22.1%	4.8%
Mexico	Cumpas	2000	7.4%	19.9%	1.2%
Mexico	Los Ramones	2000	5.6%	16.1%	1.0%
Mexico	Tapalpa	2000	15.5%	28.4%	5.2%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tacahua	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Mexico	Villa De Arista	2000	23.3%	36.7%	11.1%
Mexico	Nacozari De Garcia	2000	8.4%	35.2%	0.1%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	12.5%	19.2%	7.8%
Mexico	General Enrique Estrada	2000	9.7%	17.0%	5.3%
Mexico	Francisco Z. Mena	2000	7.8%	16.3%	2.8%
Mexico	Macuspana	2000	16.9%	30.6%	5.8%
Mexico	Buctzotz	2000	47.5%	66.0%	29.8%
Mexico	Jojutla	2000	4.9%	7.3%	3.9%
Mexico	San Jose Iturbide	2000	31.4%	53.1%	13.0%
Mexico	Bacadehuachi	2000	5.5%	14.9%	1.0%
Mexico	Xochiltepec	2000	25.2%	27.7%	22.7%
Mexico	Aquismon	2000	10.8%	21.0%	4.8%
Mexico	Acateno	2000	19.3%	28.0%	12.8%
Mexico	Cueramaro	2000	24.2%	41.6%	10.6%
Mexico	Mexticacan	2000	17.5%	24.6%	11.9%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	33.9%	52.4%	16.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Teojomulco	2000	19.6%	25.7%	15.2%
Mexico	San Jose Del Rincon	2000	44.9%	70.4%	20.1%
Mexico	Izamal	2000	40.4%	51.5%	30.0%
Mexico	Zozocolco De Hidalgo	2000	31.4%	32.2%	30.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Juarez	2000	2.4%	4.6%	1.4%
Mexico	San Juan Atepec	2000	8.2%	10.0%	6.7%
Mexico	Maravilla	2000	18.4%	36.2%	7.8%
Mexico	Tenejapa	2000	36.2%	41.7%	30.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Tlapancingo	2000	25.2%	26.8%	23.8%
Mexico	Tecali De Herrera	2000	11.9%	21.8%	5.9%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2000	1.3%	3.3%	0.4%
Mexico	Sabinas Hidalgo	2000	47.3%	48.9%	45.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonalá	2000	37.8%	70.4%	12.6%
Mexico	Elota	2000	4.6%	10.8%	1.2%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	10.8%	31.9%	1.3%
Mexico	Dr. Gonzalez	2000	29.5%	55.7%	7.6%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	25.4%	26.4%	24.3%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Jalieza	2000	12.5%	21.8%	5.7%
Mexico	Salamanca	2000	41.2%	52.3%	30.4%
Mexico	Coroneo	2000	27.3%	36.5%	19.7%
Mexico	Tenejapa	2000	72.6%	74.3%	70.7%
Mexico	Kinchil	2000	20.7%	21.6%	19.7%
Mexico	San Jose Teacalco	2000	18.8%	21.1%	16.6%
Mexico	Chiapilla	2000	8.2%	12.3%	5.9%
Mexico	Altotonga	2000	21.8%	37.0%	10.6%
Mexico	Siltepec	2000	6.0%	6.4%	5.7%
Mexico	Camerino Z. Mendoza	2000	39.9%	60.9%	18.1%
Mexico	Villa De Ramos	2000	10.5%	11.3%	9.8%
Mexico	Monjas	2000	2.9%	9.6%	0.6%
Mexico	Candela	2000	7.6%	18.2%	2.7%
Mexico	Lolotla	2000	51.3%	53.2%	49.8%
Mexico	San Agustin Amatengo	2000	12.7%	25.4%	4.8%
Mexico	Miguel Auza	2000	10.5%	11.7%	9.0%
Mexico	Acatzingo	2000	4.0%	15.1%	0.3%
Mexico	Villaldama	2000	8.4%	8.9%	7.9%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2000	20.9%	28.2%	14.0%
Mexico	Valladolid	2000	22.8%	24.2%	21.4%
Mexico	San Martin Toxpalan	2000	5.7%	7.5%	4.0%
Mexico	Tehuacan	2000	23.0%	23.8%	22.0%
Mexico	Tapalapa	2000	11.9%	19.1%	7.9%
Mexico	Rincon De Romos	2000	27.8%	56.9%	5.7%
Mexico	Quiriego	2000	12.5%	28.4%	2.9%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan Del Rio	2000	3.9%	4.2%	3.5%
Mexico	Jaltenco	2000	24.3%	50.4%	4.1%
Mexico	El Llano	2000	26.6%	40.7%	16.9%
Mexico	Atexcal	2000	4.5%	8.1%	2.4%
Mexico	Santiago Yosondua	2000	9.2%	12.1%	7.2%
Mexico	Tlacojalpan	2000	52.5%	66.3%	39.3%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2000			

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Nauzontla	2000	23.2%	26.1%	20.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tecomavaca	2000	22.4%	32.0%	15.2%
Mexico	San Pablo Del Monte	2000	12.1%	14.3%	10.5%
Mexico	Villa Victoria	2000	62.6%	79.1%	45.1%
Mexico	San Felipe Tejalapam	2000	12.6%	13.4%	11.9%
Mexico	Manuel Benavides	2000	14.4%	34.2%	4.2%
Mexico	Tepetitla De Lardizabal	2000	5.6%	5.9%	5.4%
Mexico	Tlanepantla	2000	18.8%	19.5%	18.2%
Mexico	Mochitlan	2000	28.6%	61.7%	6.4%
Mexico	Asuncion	2000	9.7%	10.7%	8.7%
Mexico	Nochixtlan				
Mexico	San Juan Mazatlan	2000	15.8%	37.3%	4.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlahuitoltepec	2000	22.3%	23.5%	21.2%
Mexico	Ciudad Ixteppec	2000	3.8%	4.5%	3.1%
Mexico	Juchipila	2000	4.4%	7.6%	2.8%
Mexico	San Salvador Huixcolotla	2000	17.9%	18.6%	17.3%
Mexico	Tonala	2000	15.5%	36.7%	2.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Xadani	2000	20.7%	27.5%	14.2%
Mexico	Motul	2000	49.9%	51.4%	48.4%
Mexico	Zautla	2000	12.0%	19.9%	6.6%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2000	11.7%	16.9%	8.4%
Mexico	Atemajac De Brizuela	2000	13.6%	20.4%	8.7%
Mexico	Ures	2000	5.6%	12.5%	2.1%
Mexico	Villamar	2000	8.1%	14.3%	4.2%
Mexico	Cuyoaco	2000	11.6%	18.9%	6.7%
Mexico	Nicolas Bravo	2000	42.6%	46.7%	38.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Jicayan	2000	55.7%	57.0%	54.4%
Mexico	Acapetahua	2000	23.1%	42.5%	8.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zacatepec	2000	36.0%	48.5%	23.6%
Mexico	Trinidad Garcia De La Cadena	2000	24.8%	43.2%	11.2%
Mexico	Piaxtla	2000	29.0%	39.3%	21.5%
Mexico	Kantunil	2000	63.2%	72.9%	49.6%
Mexico	Tekit	2000	42.9%	44.7%	41.4%
Mexico	Tuxcacuesco	2000	24.3%	43.1%	11.6%
Mexico	Amatepec	2000	43.1%	65.1%	19.6%
Mexico	La Barca	2000	6.7%	15.3%	2.8%
Mexico	Mixquiahuala De Juarez	2000	14.0%	15.0%	13.1%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	25.6%	33.3%	18.4%
Mexico	Tiquicheo De Nicolas Romero	2000	30.7%	59.3%	12.3%
Mexico	Centla	2000	20.1%	46.4%	3.2%
Mexico	La Cruz	2000	10.6%	23.9%	4.0%
Mexico	Hidalgotitlan	2000	13.1%	30.2%	2.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tavihe	2000	74.1%	79.7%	66.7%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlaltelulco	2000	6.2%	6.4%	6.0%
Mexico	Tixkokob	2000	27.8%	32.4%	23.6%
Mexico	Zoquitlan	2000	15.6%	23.5%	10.3%
Mexico	Tepeaca	2000	25.8%	29.4%	23.0%
Mexico	Jamay	2000	3.2%	5.9%	1.6%
Mexico	Cienega De Zimatlan	2000	9.8%	10.4%	9.2%
Mexico	Vega De Alatorre	2000	19.2%	35.9%	8.0%
Mexico	Tangancicuaro	2000	6.3%	12.2%	3.2%
Mexico	Chacaltianguis	2000	12.0%	23.8%	4.1%
Mexico	Tepemaxalco	2000	70.4%	71.9%	68.6%
Mexico	Sudzal	2000	51.6%	78.4%	20.8%
Mexico	Aguascalientes	2000	2.7%	7.6%	0.7%
Mexico	Amacueca	2000	3.5%	4.6%	2.8%
Mexico	Albino Zertuche	2000	38.2%	50.2%	25.5%
Mexico	Chapulhuacan	2000	8.1%	14.6%	3.8%
Mexico	Villa De Reyes	2000	35.9%	60.6%	14.3%
Mexico	Coahuatlan	2000	13.7%	15.9%	12.0%
Mexico	Tepeojuma	2000	23.5%	26.0%	21.5%
Mexico	Polotitlan	2000	32.7%	45.3%	20.2%
Mexico	Bolanos	2000	38.8%	76.1%	8.1%
Mexico	San Miguel El Grande	2000	18.4%	21.3%	16.2%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Suchitepec	2000	19.3%	21.9%	17.4%
Mexico	Cuetzala Del Progreso	2000	47.4%	68.9%	29.6%
Mexico	San Lucas Quiavini	2000	31.5%	32.7%	30.3%
Mexico	Chinipas	2000	29.8%	50.2%	13.8%
Mexico	San Jose De Gracia	2000	22.2%	51.4%	6.9%
Mexico	Coyame Del Sotol	2000	15.9%	34.8%	5.5%
Mexico	Zontecomatlan De Lopez Y Fuentes	2000	20.7%	28.7%	15.1%
Mexico	Viesca	2000	14.0%	28.3%	4.0%
Mexico	Ahuatlan	2000	57.9%	70.8%	44.2%
Mexico	Castanos	2000	2.3%	3.8%	1.2%
Mexico	Fresnillo De Trujano	2000	43.8%	48.4%	39.3%
Mexico	San Juan Ihualtepec	2000	31.5%	34.6%	28.6%
Mexico	La Perla	2000	6.0%	7.1%	5.3%
Mexico	Carmen	2000	7.1%	14.7%	3.1%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Axocomanitla	2000	7.7%	8.0%	7.4%
Mexico	San Marcos Arteaga	2000	13.4%	14.8%	12.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	1.3%	3.1%	0.4%
Mexico	Panuco	2000	31.1%	52.1%	14.9%
Mexico	Carbo	2000	9.2%	18.4%	3.9%
Mexico	San Pablo Huitzo	2000	13.1%	13.8%	12.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Coatepec	2000	7.5%	9.2%	6.0%
Mexico	Chahuities	2000	14.7%	24.1%	7.9%
Mexico	Acatlan	2000	3.7%	4.1%	3.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tlaltempan	2000	27.2%	29.5%	25.2%
Mexico	Santiago Tapextla	2000	74.4%	83.9%	62.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo	2000	24.2%	38.9%	12.4%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2000	13.9%	17.4%	10.4%
Mexico	Celaya	2000	5.5%	12.0%	1.7%
Mexico	Matachi	2000	7.9%	15.4%	3.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Aloapam	2000	4.2%	5.2%	3.4%
Mexico	Ramos Arizpe	2000	5.3%	8.8%	2.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 22 -	2000	18.8%	38.6%	8.8%
Mexico	Jimenez Del Teul	2000	39.5%	84.9%	6.5%
Mexico	Pahuatlan	2000	19.8%	21.2%	18.2%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	1.7%	1.9%	1.6%
Mexico	Santiago Tuxtla	2000	25.7%	44.6%	10.1%
Mexico	Guemez	2000	6.9%	17.2%	2.1%
Mexico	El Higo	2000	2.6%	5.3%	1.1%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cuaunecuiltla	2000	6.7%	7.2%	6.1%
Mexico	Pinotepa De Don Luis	2000	66.3%	67.6%	64.7%
Mexico	San Andres Solaga	2000	20.1%	21.5%	18.8%
Mexico	Cuajinicuilapa	2000	53.1%	70.2%	34.8%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Cholula	2000	31.7%	33.0%	30.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chilchotla	2000	9.4%	14.4%	6.1%
Mexico	Temascalapa	2000	23.2%	24.6%	22.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Totolapan	2000	55.5%	77.1%	30.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temascaltepec	2000	19.6%	21.6%	17.9%
Mexico	San Salvador	2000	18.6%	25.4%	13.9%
Mexico	Amealco De Bonfil	2000	37.5%	60.1%	17.2%
Mexico	Atizapan	2000	5.6%	6.0%	5.3%
Mexico	San Juan De Guadalupe	2000	26.2%	42.8%	12.0%
Mexico	Pihuamo	2000	11.8%	26.6%	3.4%
Mexico	Apizaco	2000	8.9%	9.2%	8.5%
Mexico	San Diego De La Union	2000	45.3%	72.3%	17.3%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2000	7.7%	14.8%	4.3%
Mexico	Huejutla De Reyes	2000	17.8%	24.7%	12.7%
Mexico	San Bartolome Zoogocho	2000	18.4%	19.6%	17.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cerro De San Pedro	2000	4.7%	10.4%	1.7%
Mexico	San Sebastian Teitipac	2000	32.0%	33.0%	30.9%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tutla	2000	2.3%	2.5%	2.2%
Mexico	Villa Comaltitlan	2000	20.3%	39.4%	9.1%
Mexico	Copandaro	2000	9.9%	12.4%	8.1%
Mexico	Cuautla	2000	27.3%	52.2%	6.5%
Mexico	Bokoba	2000	46.4%	54.4%	39.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ecatepec	2000	31.6%	48.7%	15.7%
Mexico	Tala	2000	2.8%	6.4%	1.1%
Mexico	Santa Barbara	2000	8.8%	14.4%	4.9%
Mexico	Cuautitlan Izcalli	2000	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%
Mexico	Panotla	2000	11.7%	12.3%	11.2%
Mexico	Oaxaca De Juarez	2000	3.4%	3.6%	3.2%
Mexico	Acajete	2000	11.8%	13.0%	10.6%
Mexico	Constancia Del Rosario	2000	24.2%	32.8%	16.0%
Mexico	Cacahoatan	2000	5.3%	10.1%	3.1%
Mexico	San Cristobal De La Barranca	2000	28.3%	56.9%	7.9%
Mexico	San Mateo Sindihui	2000	5.1%	8.0%	3.5%
Mexico	Ucu	2000	45.3%	49.0%	41.7%
Mexico	Paso De Ovejas	2000	10.8%	21.7%	4.3%
Mexico	Jimenez	2000	15.7%	26.6%	8.0%
Mexico	Ahualulco	2000	38.9%	62.4%	19.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tlatayapam	2000	13.9%	14.9%	12.9%
Mexico	Calpulalpan	2000	2.3%	4.0%	1.3%
Mexico	Xonacatlan	2000	9.1%	10.7%	7.9%
Mexico	Ensenada	2000	2.6%	6.1%	1.1%
Mexico	San Francisco De Conchos	2000	16.6%	45.5%	2.7%
Mexico	Temascaltepec	2000	44.4%	67.8%	20.9%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	23.8%	26.5%	21.5%
Mexico	Tlaxcala	2000	5.0%	5.2%	4.8%
Mexico	San Pablo ETLA	2000	4.1%	4.3%	3.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Xagacia	2000	23.1%	25.3%	20.9%
Mexico	San Martin Chalchicautla	2000	17.4%	31.0%	10.5%
Mexico	San Bernardo	2000	40.4%	65.3%	15.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jaltianguis	2000	2.8%	3.4%	2.2%
Mexico	Tamazula	2000	47.7%	64.6%	28.7%
Mexico	San Pablo Huixtepec	2000	24.1%	25.1%	23.2%
Mexico	Calotmul	2000	6.8%	12.0%	3.2%
Mexico	La Independencia	2000	10.4%	25.9%	2.5%
Mexico	Xochihuetlan	2000	30.4%	40.5%	21.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Penjamo	2000	24.9%	50.7%	8.9%
Mexico	Tianguistengo	2000	15.0%	24.0%	8.6%
Mexico	Bacanora	2000	9.0%	26.8%	1.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Lachixio	2000	8.6%	10.1%	7.3%
Mexico	Atzalan	2000	7.6%	15.4%	3.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2000	45.0%	66.4%	23.7%
Mexico	Tunkas	2000	22.2%	30.1%	15.3%
Mexico	Mazatan	2000	7.8%	19.5%	2.8%
Mexico	Rosamorada	2000	18.1%	37.8%	6.0%
Mexico	Altepexi	2000	14.8%	16.5%	12.8%
Mexico	Silacayoapam	2000	18.3%	22.0%	15.6%
Mexico	Magdalena Jaltepec	2000	22.3%	25.9%	19.1%
Mexico	Nanchital De Lazaro Cardenas Del Rio	2000	3.4%	3.7%	3.1%
Mexico	Tequila	2000	13.7%	35.1%	2.8%
Mexico	Juchitan	2000	39.4%	70.8%	11.9%
Mexico	Quechultenango	2000	44.2%	65.4%	23.1%
Mexico	Tanetze De Zaragoza	2000	30.5%	32.1%	29.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Atenco	2000	7.4%	8.5%	6.6%
Mexico	Higueras	2000	7.5%	10.2%	5.5%
Mexico	Otzoloapan	2000	37.6%	49.2%	27.2%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tlacoahuaya	2000	20.4%	21.5%	19.3%
Mexico	La Reforma	2000	38.1%	48.2%	28.3%
Mexico	El Salvador	2000	17.3%	36.8%	4.3%
Mexico	Chinantla	2000	40.6%	46.4%	35.0%
Mexico	Hueyapan	2000	14.6%	17.3%	12.7%
Mexico	San Fernando	2000	6.9%	12.7%	3.4%
Mexico	Apatzingan	2000	13.2%	23.4%	6.5%
Mexico	Jesus Carranza	2000	18.5%	38.2%	3.9%
Mexico	San Miguel De Horcasitas	2000	12.0%	27.5%	1.5%
Mexico	Zacoalco De Torres	2000	7.0%	13.8%	3.2%
Mexico	Fortin	2000	6.3%	7.2%	5.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Lachatao	2000	6.7%	13.0%	3.0%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Mendez	2000	27.4%	28.0%	26.7%
Mexico	Progreso	2000	9.2%	18.9%	3.4%
Mexico	Poza Rica De Hidalgo	2000	1.7%	2.8%	1.2%
Mexico	Cuauhtepec	2000	51.3%	68.9%	34.6%
Mexico	Mapimi	2000	11.7%	18.7%	6.3%
Mexico	Santiago Jocotepec	2000	3.4%	10.4%	0.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Tulancingo	2000	14.7%	16.7%	12.6%
Mexico	Zamora	2000	3.8%	6.5%	1.7%
Mexico	Tepeji Del Rio De Ocampo	2000	15.0%	23.3%	8.7%
Mexico	Cocotitlan	2000	5.6%	6.1%	5.1%
Mexico	Mexicali	2000	1.3%	2.8%	0.5%
Mexico	Chicxulub Pueblo	2000	33.9%	35.0%	32.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Nuevo Laredo	2000	2.9%	11.8%	0.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Jaltepetongo	2000	3.7%	4.3%	3.2%
Mexico	Naranjos Amatlan	2000	3.5%	4.4%	2.6%
Mexico	Jonacatepec	2000	17.6%	22.8%	14.0%
Mexico	Matehuala	2000	3.6%	6.6%	2.2%
Mexico	Delicias	2000	4.2%	9.7%	1.6%
Mexico	Tejupilco	2000	34.0%	62.2%	8.4%
Mexico	Animas Trujano	2000	2.6%	2.8%	2.4%
Mexico	Tepanco De Lopez	2000	16.2%	28.3%	8.9%
Mexico	San Blas	2000	15.6%	38.9%	2.1%
Mexico	Ahome	2000	4.9%	10.9%	1.0%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan De Madero	2000	9.4%	18.2%	3.8%
Mexico	Gustavo A. Madero	2000	2.0%	2.1%	1.9%
Mexico	Othon P. Blanco	2000	7.2%	12.8%	3.5%
Mexico	Santiago Amoltepec	2000	3.5%	5.8%	1.8%
Mexico	Santiago Apoala	2000	13.0%	14.1%	12.0%
Mexico	Aramberri	2000	13.4%	28.5%	3.3%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Valle Nacional	2000	6.7%	12.8%	3.1%
Mexico	Chilchota	2000	13.4%	17.2%	9.9%
Mexico	Santiago Tenango	2000	28.2%	31.9%	24.6%
Mexico	Aljojuca	2000	6.7%	8.6%	5.4%
Mexico	Santa Magdalena Jicotlan	2000	6.4%	7.8%	5.2%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	3.0%	7.1%	0.9%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlaxiaca	2000	27.3%	38.7%	18.4%
Mexico	Santiago Ayuquililla	2000	54.8%	58.3%	50.4%
Mexico	Chignautla	2000	6.2%	7.7%	5.2%
Mexico	Salto De Agua	2000	42.1%	74.5%	16.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Molinos	2000	12.1%	13.1%	11.2%
Mexico	Tlapacoyan	2000	7.0%	16.8%	2.8%
Mexico	Santiago Chazumba	2000	10.1%	13.6%	7.2%
Mexico	Cunduacan	2000	15.1%	33.6%	3.1%
Mexico	Pacula	2000	39.4%	63.8%	19.5%
Mexico	Riva Palacio	2000	12.3%	26.7%	4.4%
Mexico	Ocotepc	2000	9.1%	11.8%	6.8%
Mexico	Ignacio Zaragoza	2000	1.3%	3.7%	0.3%
Mexico	Tecpan De Galeana	2000	25.6%	52.6%	6.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Papalutla	2000	30.9%	31.8%	29.9%
Mexico	San Jose Lachiguiri	2000	12.7%	14.3%	11.2%
Mexico	Pitiquito	2000	5.4%	10.3%	2.7%
Mexico	Santiago Del Rio	2000	24.2%	27.3%	21.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	La Huerta	2000	15.5%	41.6%	1.1%
Mexico	Tlilapan	2000	14.6%	15.0%	14.2%
Mexico	Ayapango	2000	3.0%	3.3%	2.7%
Mexico	Contepec	2000	30.3%	47.1%	16.5%
Mexico	Guadalcazar	2000	14.2%	28.0%	4.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Quiatoni	2000	13.0%	22.4%	7.3%
Mexico	Telchac Pueblo	2000	32.8%	35.5%	30.4%
Mexico	Cheran	2000	7.5%	10.9%	4.8%
Mexico	Tulcingo	2000	32.7%	44.2%	21.0%
Mexico	Huehuetoca	2000	4.2%	5.7%	3.0%
Mexico	Etzatlán	2000	5.5%	10.6%	3.0%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2000	8.3%	10.0%	6.9%
Mexico	Hualahuises	2000	3.5%	5.4%	2.2%
Mexico	San Juan Coatzacoapam	2000	7.4%	8.3%	6.5%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2000	22.5%	23.2%	21.9%
Mexico	San Simon De Guerrero	2000	50.8%	59.1%	42.2%
Mexico	Cuilapam De Guerrero	2000	8.5%	9.1%	8.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tonameca	2000	18.1%	41.0%	5.0%
Mexico	Tenango De Doria	2000	28.3%	35.0%	22.9%
Mexico	Epitacio Huerta	2000	34.7%	53.3%	21.1%
Mexico	Tantima	2000	10.8%	17.9%	6.1%
Mexico	Atoyatempan	2000	19.1%	20.4%	17.7%
Mexico	Casas	2000	16.3%	37.7%	4.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Tidaá	2000	7.3%	8.2%	6.5%
Mexico	San Andres Paxtlan	2000	15.8%	17.0%	14.6%
Mexico	Axtla De Terrazas	2000	5.2%	7.1%	3.8%
Mexico	Maravatio	2000	23.2%	39.6%	11.7%
Mexico	Zimapan	2000	35.2%	54.2%	17.8%
Mexico	San Vicente Lachixio	2000	13.4%	15.2%	12.0%
Mexico	Cacalchen	2000	49.0%	52.7%	45.1%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlacotaltepec	2000	5.7%	6.6%	5.0%
Mexico	Muzquiz	2000	1.8%	3.4%	1.0%
Mexico	Coatzacoatlán	2000	4.3%	7.2%	2.3%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Xiloxotlán	2000	7.1%	7.4%	6.9%
Mexico	Chalchihuites	2000	27.8%	47.0%	12.3%
Mexico	Medellin	2000	8.4%	15.3%	5.3%
Mexico	Hueyapan De Ocampo	2000	22.1%	43.9%	8.1%
Mexico	Tetela Del Volcan	2000	18.5%	20.0%	17.2%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Ocotlan	2000	35.2%	36.1%	34.4%
Mexico	Oteapan	2000	27.7%	28.4%	26.9%
Mexico	Jala	2000	8.9%	17.4%	4.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Chapulapa	2000	25.4%	28.3%	22.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chumayel	2000	63.2%	64.1%	62.1%
Mexico	Sucila	2000	4.5%	8.2%	1.8%
Mexico	Agua Blanca De Iturbide	2000	28.2%	36.7%	22.7%
Mexico	Zacatecas	2000	16.5%	23.7%	11.6%
Mexico	Ixil	2000	5.4%	9.3%	3.7%
Mexico	Cuautinchan	2000	30.8%	33.2%	27.2%
Mexico	Magdalena Tequisistlan	2000	28.6%	55.3%	9.0%
Mexico	Ziracuaretiro	2000	4.6%	6.3%	3.0%
Mexico	La Mision	2000	9.6%	16.8%	4.2%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amoltepec	2000	20.5%	21.6%	19.5%
Mexico	Aguililla	2000	20.2%	48.4%	2.6%
Mexico	Zacatlan	2000	13.1%	18.1%	9.1%
Mexico	Xaltocan	2000	12.3%	13.0%	11.7%
Mexico	Pantepec	2000	32.1%	39.0%	22.8%
Mexico	Chimaltitan	2000	41.7%	68.6%	13.9%
Mexico	Cedral	2000	8.1%	15.3%	2.5%
Mexico	Bavispe	2000	8.2%	34.8%	0.3%
Mexico	Toliman	2000	25.1%	44.4%	9.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chimalapa	2000	19.5%	39.7%	5.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Colotepec	2000	17.8%	36.4%	6.7%
Mexico	Mendez	2000	6.9%	15.8%	2.8%
Mexico	Jalacingo	2000	4.7%	8.3%	2.9%
Mexico	San Sebastian Rio Hondo	2000	6.6%	8.4%	5.0%
Mexico	Veracruz	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tecomaxtlahuaca	2000	20.4%	30.5%	13.4%
Mexico	Teziutlan	2000	4.3%	5.0%	3.6%
Mexico	Morelia	2000	5.1%	10.0%	2.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Yotao	2000	31.7%	33.3%	30.2%
Mexico	Pantepec	2000	15.9%	24.4%	9.4%
Mexico	Zacualpan De Amilpas	2000	31.4%	32.1%	30.7%
Mexico	Quimixtlan	2000	3.5%	4.5%	2.8%
Mexico	Yaxcaba	2000	47.2%	66.5%	29.9%
Mexico	Santa Ines De Zaragoza	2000	24.8%	29.2%	20.7%
Mexico	Zacatepec De Hidalgo	2000	3.7%	4.1%	3.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Jaltepetongo	2000	16.3%	17.8%	15.1%
Mexico	Atlahuilco	2000	15.9%	17.0%	14.9%
Mexico	Villa De Tamazulapam Del Progreso	2000	5.1%	6.0%	4.4%
Mexico	Vallecillo	2000	8.2%	32.4%	0.6%
Mexico	Atlixco	2000	13.9%	19.0%	9.1%
Mexico	Caltepec	2000	20.5%	34.4%	11.4%
Mexico	Tecpatan	2000	25.4%	43.0%	10.7%
Mexico	Xico	2000	6.8%	9.3%	5.2%
Mexico	Santiago Nacaltepec	2000	4.7%	8.5%	2.3%
Mexico	Villa De Zaachila	2000	9.1%	9.5%	8.8%
Mexico	Colotlan	2000	14.6%	19.4%	11.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Villa Diaz Ordaz	2000	22.4%	26.5%	18.5%
Mexico	Chilchotla	2000	2.3%	2.9%	1.9%
Mexico	Cardenas	2000	4.3%	8.6%	2.2%
Mexico	Tzintzuntzan	2000	9.9%	13.7%	6.5%
Mexico	Venado	2000	10.7%	21.5%	4.8%
Mexico	Guanajuato	2000	15.3%	30.5%	5.5%
Mexico	San Baltazar Yatzachi El Baje	2000	19.8%	21.2%	18.4%
Mexico	Chigmecatitlan	2000	33.1%	37.3%	29.0%
Mexico	Teolocholco	2000	11.6%	12.1%	11.1%
Mexico	Xochimilco	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.3%
Mexico	Nopaltepec	2000	21.9%	27.8%	18.4%
Mexico	Arivechi	2000	10.2%	28.8%	1.2%
Mexico	La Paz	2000	2.0%	4.0%	1.0%
Mexico	Tapachula	2000	9.1%	18.4%	3.8%
Mexico	Camargo	2000	7.3%	14.6%	3.2%
Mexico	Martires De Tacubaya	2000	37.3%	40.3%	34.1%
Mexico	Tepic	2000	4.2%	11.2%	1.3%
Mexico	Penamiller	2000	40.1%	64.4%	19.9%
Mexico	Filomeno Mata	2000	52.0%	53.1%	50.8%
Mexico	Atlixac	2000	46.4%	68.4%	22.6%
Mexico	San Pablo Yaganiza	2000	12.7%	13.7%	11.6%
Mexico	Puebla	2000	7.3%	7.9%	6.5%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	23.8%	38.2%	12.2%
Mexico	Ocotepc	2000	27.8%	32.7%	23.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Nundaco	2000	9.8%	12.1%	7.8%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecoatl	2000	6.5%	6.9%	6.1%
Mexico	Casimiro Castillo	2000	9.3%	20.4%	2.8%
Mexico	San Gabriel	2000	12.6%	25.0%	3.6%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2000	10.4%	14.7%	7.1%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Huajuapam De Leon	2000	6.6%	7.6%	5.6%
Mexico	San Sebastian Ixcapa	2000	35.3%	42.1%	28.7%
Mexico	Teotitlan De Flores Magon	2000	16.8%	17.9%	15.5%
Mexico	Hoctun	2000	62.0%	63.9%	59.8%
Mexico	Bustamante	2000	2.0%	3.8%	0.8%
Mexico	Sacramento	2000	2.2%	4.1%	1.1%
Mexico	Cansahcab	2000	43.9%	47.0%	41.4%
Mexico	Gral. Zaragoza	2000	18.2%	37.7%	5.5%
Mexico	Tekanto	2000	38.5%	40.3%	36.7%
Mexico	San Luis De La Paz	2000	32.7%	51.0%	13.7%
Mexico	Coalcoman De Vazquez Palares	2000	25.6%	52.5%	7.6%
Mexico	Nacajuca	2000	11.6%	26.8%	2.8%
Mexico	Arteaga	2000	11.4%	28.4%	3.7%
Mexico	Zongolica	2000	24.9%	30.6%	17.5%
Mexico	Pinos	2000	30.3%	51.9%	12.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Jamiltepec	2000	46.2%	58.1%	34.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Rincon	2000	9.6%	20.4%	3.9%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 08 -	2000	16.6%	23.1%	11.9%
Mexico	Frontera Comalapa	2000	14.2%	31.7%	3.1%
Mexico	Ario	2000	10.2%	19.5%	4.1%
Mexico	Coyomeapan	2000	16.5%	19.9%	13.4%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	7.6%	16.2%	2.8%
Mexico	Ixpantepec Nieves	2000	28.3%	32.1%	25.1%
Mexico	Mariscal De Juarez	2000	35.4%	41.5%	28.8%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	1.2%	3.5%	0.4%
Mexico	Tenochtitlan	2000	10.5%	13.0%	8.1%
Mexico	Union De Tula	2000	5.6%	13.4%	1.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yosoyua	2000	10.5%	11.5%	9.8%
Mexico	San Juan Cotzocon	2000	18.1%	42.0%	3.8%
Mexico	San Rafael	2000	10.5%	38.4%	0.9%
Mexico	Ozumba	2000	6.2%	6.5%	5.9%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2000	1.5%	2.1%	0.9%
Mexico	Jiutepec	2000	3.0%	4.3%	2.0%
Mexico	Tlalnahuayocan	2000	5.7%	6.2%	5.0%
Mexico	Calimaya	2000	12.6%	23.9%	5.7%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	11.5%	26.9%	3.6%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Albarradas	2000	17.7%	22.3%	13.9%
Mexico	Tuxtilla	2000	3.4%	5.1%	2.1%
Mexico	Altar	2000	6.3%	12.3%	3.7%
Mexico	Jerecuaro	2000	40.0%	63.0%	21.9%
Mexico	Juanacatlan	2000	4.5%	10.8%	1.7%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2000	15.5%	19.1%	12.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatitlan	2000	38.6%	41.5%	36.1%
Mexico	Huitzilan De Serdan	2000	26.1%	29.9%	23.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2000	41.9%	44.1%	39.1%
Mexico	Rio Lagartos	2000	8.5%	23.0%	2.5%
Mexico	Zapotlan Del Rey	2000	9.8%	19.6%	2.8%
Mexico	Atacomulco	2000	32.0%	48.0%	16.8%
Mexico	Teotihuacan	2000	8.7%	9.6%	7.7%
Mexico	Jacona	2000	2.2%	3.2%	1.5%
Mexico	Santiago Camotlan	2000	3.1%	5.1%	2.0%
Mexico	Cadereyta De Montes	2000	37.9%	60.5%	17.2%
Mexico	Papalotla	2000	3.8%	4.1%	3.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Chicahua	2000	15.8%	17.4%	14.3%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Texmelucan	2000	22.0%	27.0%	17.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Garza Garcia	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Clara	2000	27.0%	50.1%	10.2%
Mexico	Oluta	2000	4.2%	5.1%	3.6%
Mexico	Santiago Papasquiario	2000	23.4%	43.9%	10.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria De La Paz	2000	24.1%	67.8%	1.1%
Mexico	Calvillo	2000	10.3%	21.9%	4.1%
Mexico	Villa Pesqueira	2000	11.3%	25.4%	4.8%
Mexico	Mixtlan	2000	29.2%	59.4%	6.9%
Mexico	Santiago Huajolotitlan	2000	26.4%	28.3%	24.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Comitancillo	2000	9.6%	10.9%	8.5%
Mexico	Galeana	2000	4.2%	12.4%	0.6%
Mexico	Actopan	2000	17.1%	37.2%	4.5%
Mexico	Corregidora	2000	9.4%	15.1%	4.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Huehuetlan	2000	7.2%	7.9%	6.7%
Mexico	Churintzio	2000	8.4%	15.3%	3.9%
Mexico	San Salvador El Seco	2000	3.7%	4.4%	3.0%
Mexico	Sinaloa	2000	14.1%	27.1%	5.4%
Mexico	Tanhuato	2000	9.1%	13.3%	6.4%
Mexico	Sacalum	2000	19.1%	28.4%	11.4%
Mexico	Valle De Santiago	2000	22.3%	39.9%	9.1%
Mexico	Tapilula	2000	6.1%	7.4%	5.0%
Mexico	Camargo	2000	4.4%	16.0%	0.5%
Mexico	Aculco	2000	51.9%	70.7%	33.0%
Mexico	Tzompantepec	2000	17.2%	17.8%	16.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Tapanatepec	2000	20.8%	41.2%	7.1%
Mexico	Union Hidalgo	2000	9.5%	23.4%	3.5%
Mexico	Tlaola	2000	8.1%	11.0%	6.0%
Mexico	Chapulco	2000	16.0%	19.3%	13.4%
Mexico	Ixtacuixtla De Mariano Matamoros	2000	8.0%	9.1%	7.2%
Mexico	San Juan Tepeuxila	2000	11.9%	13.7%	9.4%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2000	2.5%	3.0%	2.2%
Mexico	Totutla	2000	29.7%	31.1%	28.2%
Mexico	San Martin De Bolanos	2000	32.7%	62.3%	8.2%
Mexico	Chila	2000	42.4%	46.6%	37.6%
Mexico	Mazatlan Villa De Flores	2000	31.7%	35.2%	28.3%
Mexico	Soto La Marina	2000	6.4%	13.1%	2.7%
Mexico	Atempan	2000	7.1%	7.6%	6.6%
Mexico	San Juan Yaee	2000	30.6%	32.6%	28.4%
Mexico	Santiago Maravatio	2000	18.0%	20.6%	15.8%
Mexico	Huanusco	2000	27.8%	52.5%	8.4%
Mexico	Chapultenango	2000	13.3%	19.8%	9.0%
Mexico	Samahil	2000	71.9%	78.4%	64.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Zanatepec	2000	19.9%	43.2%	5.2%
Mexico	Loreto	2000	5.3%	15.6%	1.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Guaymas	2000	4.8%	10.0%	1.9%
Mexico	Tetla De La Solidaridad	2000	10.7%	12.8%	8.5%
Mexico	Ahuazotepec	2000	18.9%	22.9%	15.5%
Mexico	Tepetitlan	2000	31.9%	41.7%	24.0%
Mexico	San Lorenzo	2000	45.8%	47.1%	44.3%
Mexico	Santa Ana Cuauhtemoc	2000	10.0%	11.1%	8.9%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco	2000	6.2%	15.0%	1.7%
Mexico	Chilon	2000	43.5%	62.7%	24.6%
Mexico	El Arrenal	2000	25.6%	34.1%	17.7%
Mexico	Agualeguas	2000	7.1%	23.0%	0.6%
Mexico	Huajicori	2000	28.3%	53.7%	8.2%
Mexico	Benemerito De Las Americas	2000	24.2%	66.3%	3.7%
Mexico	Soyopa	2000	15.6%	40.5%	1.4%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	13.4%	30.4%	4.1%
Mexico	El Porvenir	2000	16.7%	21.1%	12.6%
Mexico	San Juan Cancuc	2000	62.6%	72.3%	50.6%
Mexico	Huetamo	2000	24.6%	41.4%	11.1%
Mexico	General Cepeda	2000	17.9%	37.8%	5.2%
Mexico	Las Choapas	2000	21.3%	33.2%	11.8%
Mexico	Jiquilpan	2000	6.5%	9.6%	4.8%
Mexico	Pajapan	2000	45.6%	72.2%	19.8%
Mexico	Tancanhuitz	2000	7.0%	12.5%	4.5%
Mexico	Ocoyoacac	2000	6.5%	6.9%	6.0%
Mexico	Trancoso	2000	16.7%	30.1%	7.1%
Mexico	Sanahcat	2000	71.0%	72.5%	69.6%
Mexico	San Lucas	2000	41.6%	50.5%	32.6%
Mexico	Chiconquiaco	2000	11.0%	15.7%	7.2%
Mexico	Apan	2000	7.0%	10.8%	4.7%
Mexico	Puente De Ixtla	2000	9.1%	15.8%	6.2%
Mexico	Santo Domingo De Morelos	2000	16.7%	28.8%	9.0%
Mexico	Zempoala	2000	16.7%	28.4%	8.6%
Mexico	Villa Garcia	2000	17.4%	33.8%	6.4%
Mexico	Iztacalco	2000	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%
Mexico	San Baltazar Chichicapam	2000	28.4%	30.0%	26.7%
Mexico	Pedro Escobedo	2000	24.3%	42.6%	10.6%
Mexico	Teul De Gonzalez Ortega	2000	23.6%	45.0%	10.3%
Mexico	Guadalupe De Ramirez	2000	28.1%	30.1%	26.1%
Mexico	Taxco De Alarcon	2000	24.0%	32.5%	16.5%
Mexico	Atlequizayan	2000	36.9%	37.9%	35.9%
Mexico	San Antonio Huitepec	2000	27.8%	34.5%	23.8%
Mexico	Jopala	2000	32.5%	36.5%	30.1%
Mexico	Otzolotepec	2000	22.1%	28.1%	15.9%
Mexico	Olintla	2000	26.0%	26.9%	25.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yalina	2000	20.7%	22.8%	18.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Panixtlahuaca	2000	37.2%	39.9%	34.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jungapeo	2000	27.8%	39.0%	17.4%
Mexico	Mixistlan De La Reforma	2000	17.4%	18.7%	16.0%
Mexico	Linares	2000	4.6%	8.5%	2.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Topiltepec	2000	7.4%	8.3%	6.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Peras	2000	28.0%	33.5%	22.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jacatepec	2000	8.2%	14.3%	4.2%
Mexico	Ilamatlan	2000	23.1%	34.8%	15.9%
Mexico	Dzidzantun	2000	27.0%	31.5%	21.8%
Mexico	Francisco Leon	2000	37.9%	50.0%	26.9%
Mexico	Molcaxac	2000	23.3%	31.8%	17.4%
Mexico	Santa Ana Ateixtlahuaca	2000	5.3%	6.0%	4.6%
Mexico	Uman	2000	34.0%	46.2%	22.8%
Mexico	Balleza	2000	39.0%	56.9%	23.7%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Vadillo	2000	22.0%	35.8%	9.7%
Mexico	Zitlala	2000	42.6%	60.9%	25.2%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Zacualpan	2000	8.0%	8.2%	7.7%
Mexico	Tepetongo	2000	34.3%	56.1%	15.9%
Mexico	Santiago Sochiapa	2000	17.4%	46.9%	2.4%
Mexico	Irimbo	2000	19.2%	29.2%	11.0%
Mexico	San Bartolome Quialana	2000	30.2%	31.2%	29.3%
Mexico	San Juan Quiahije	2000	73.3%	84.7%	60.4%
Mexico	Nazas	2000	20.6%	43.0%	4.9%
Mexico	Seye	2000	53.9%	62.6%	42.3%
Mexico	Chihuahua	2000	2.0%	3.5%	1.1%
Mexico	Tekal De Venegas	2000	47.9%	57.8%	37.2%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo Solistahuacan	2000	15.5%	24.0%	10.3%
Mexico	Almoloya Del Rio	2000	2.6%	2.8%	2.5%
Mexico	Angangueo	2000	18.9%	23.5%	15.4%
Mexico	Santiago Tlazoyaltepec	2000	13.6%	15.5%	12.1%
Mexico	Naco	2000	3.2%	7.6%	0.8%
Mexico	China	2000	2.3%	4.9%	0.8%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	2.6%	4.8%	1.4%
Mexico	Jaral Del Progreso	2000	11.8%	22.6%	5.7%
Mexico	Asuncion Tlacolulita	2000	19.9%	33.7%	8.6%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	13.9%	32.0%	3.2%
Mexico	Coapilla	2000	29.6%	41.1%	14.5%
Mexico	Chankom	2000	80.3%	90.1%	67.1%
Mexico	Colon	2000	37.0%	62.8%	15.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Cajonos	2000	24.2%	26.5%	21.7%
Mexico	Naolinco	2000	13.0%	15.3%	11.0%
Mexico	Temoac	2000	23.3%	24.0%	22.5%
Mexico	Huasca De Ocampo	2000	49.3%	60.4%	38.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Ayotoxco De Guerrero	2000	19.9%	25.4%	15.2%
Mexico	Vanegas	2000	17.9%	33.5%	7.8%
Mexico	Tepelmeme	2000	27.2%	41.5%	15.7%
	Villa De Morelos				
Mexico	Rioverde	2000	8.5%	15.9%	3.7%
Mexico	Cotaxtla	2000	34.7%	58.1%	15.4%
Mexico	Sinanche	2000	38.2%	43.1%	33.4%
Mexico	Atzala	2000	15.7%	17.5%	13.9%
Mexico	Bocoyna	2000	16.0%	25.7%	8.7%
Mexico	San Cristobal Suchixtlahuaca	2000	7.8%	10.1%	6.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Ejutla	2000	4.0%	4.6%	3.5%
Mexico	Teabo	2000	68.3%	71.9%	64.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rosario	2000	6.6%	7.6%	5.7%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Chico	2000	29.5%	38.4%	22.3%
Mexico	San Francisco Telixtlahuaca	2000	12.0%	14.0%	10.5%
Mexico	Baca	2000	52.3%	53.9%	50.8%
Mexico	San Jose Ayuquila	2000	50.2%	52.2%	48.3%
Mexico	Maltrata	2000	7.2%	7.8%	6.7%
Mexico	San Andres Tuxtla	2000	11.2%	22.0%	6.0%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	4.8%	5.4%	4.1%
Mexico	Caracuaro	2000	32.5%	56.0%	9.1%
Mexico	Romita	2000	22.1%	39.2%	8.3%
Mexico	Malinalco	2000	20.4%	30.8%	12.4%
Mexico	Miacatlan	2000	20.4%	26.2%	15.1%
Mexico	La Manzanilla De La Paz	2000	7.6%	13.5%	3.3%
Mexico	Tototlan	2000	8.0%	18.8%	1.9%
Mexico	Cerritos	2000	3.8%	8.6%	1.2%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2000	25.6%	41.8%	12.6%
Mexico	Colima	2000	1.1%	2.4%	0.5%
Mexico	Tepexco	2000	37.2%	41.5%	32.9%
Mexico	San Juan Comaltepec	2000	4.4%	7.5%	3.0%
Mexico	Talpa De Alende	2000	18.6%	57.6%	2.2%
Mexico	Huamuxtitlan	2000	17.7%	24.3%	11.7%
Mexico	Tlaxcoapan	2000	8.3%	8.8%	7.7%
Mexico	Acatepec	2000	53.2%	75.0%	26.7%
Mexico	San Martin De Los Cansecos	2000	41.8%	42.8%	40.8%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	21.6%	36.3%	10.8%
Mexico	Sombrerete	2000	29.9%	52.2%	12.3%
Mexico	Dr. Arroyo	2000	16.0%	30.3%	7.0%
Mexico	Yogana	2000	64.0%	66.8%	60.7%
Mexico	Granados	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Mexico	Ixcateopan De Cuauhtemoc	2000	42.5%	58.4%	29.5%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	2.3%	4.2%	1.1%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	21.4%	37.4%	10.2%
Mexico	Padilla	2000	4.2%	11.4%	1.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Teoloyucan	2000	2.5%	2.7%	2.3%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	12.2%	24.2%	6.3%
	Itundujia				
Mexico	Irapuato	2000	10.6%	20.3%	3.9%
Mexico	Aconchi	2000	5.2%	6.8%	3.9%
Mexico	Paras	2000	4.3%	13.4%	0.5%
Mexico	Acacoyagua	2000	18.7%	27.4%	11.9%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del	2000	13.5%	16.8%	10.5%
	Cafe				
Mexico	Tlalpujahua	2000	42.7%	52.8%	32.8%
Mexico	Atenguillo	2000	24.1%	47.1%	6.0%
Mexico	Solidaridad	2000	20.8%	33.5%	10.2%
Mexico	Cocula	2000	34.2%	57.7%	13.2%
Mexico	Santiago Xan-	2000	15.5%	24.0%	9.3%
	ica				
Mexico	San Francisco	2000	8.6%	10.9%	7.0%
	Ozolotepec				
Mexico	Santiago	2000	2.3%	2.9%	1.8%
	Xiacui				
Mexico	Guadalupe Y	2000	31.5%	43.8%	19.9%
	Calvo				
Mexico	Juarez	2000	19.4%	27.0%	12.1%
Mexico	Nuevo Zoquia-	2000	4.9%	5.9%	3.9%
	pam				
Mexico	Durango	2000	7.1%	15.9%	2.0%
Mexico	Huatusco	2000	10.5%	11.5%	9.7%
Mexico	Huaquechula	2000	28.5%	39.4%	19.9%
Mexico	Emiliano Zap-	2000	6.9%	7.5%	6.3%
	ata				
Mexico	Zapotitlan	2000	20.5%	29.9%	15.0%
Mexico	Mineral Del	2000	11.5%	12.7%	10.6%
	Monte				
Mexico	Magdalena Pe-	2000	20.7%	22.0%	19.4%
	nasco				
Mexico	Emiliano Zap-	2000	7.7%	16.9%	1.9%
	ata				
Mexico	Querendaro	2000	12.6%	29.0%	3.3%
Mexico	Tlayacapan	2000	15.5%	16.0%	14.9%
Mexico	Santo	2000	13.5%	20.4%	8.2%
	Domingo				
	Chihuitan				
Mexico	Amanalco	2000	51.2%	65.7%	35.7%
Mexico	Magdalena	2000	4.3%	8.7%	1.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria	2000	3.7%	4.0%	3.5%
	Del Tule				
Mexico	Comonfort	2000	19.5%	34.1%	8.9%
Mexico	Tuxtla Gutier-	2000	5.5%	11.5%	1.9%
	rez				
Mexico	Muxupip	2000	47.7%	49.1%	46.3%
Mexico	Cordoba	2000	7.3%	8.0%	6.8%
Mexico	Santiago Juxt-	2000	25.9%	34.7%	17.4%
	lahuaca				
Mexico	Ixtlan	2000	9.3%	15.1%	5.7%
Mexico	San Pedro	2000	2.7%	3.6%	2.0%
	Teozacoalco				
Mexico	Jiquipilas	2000	23.2%	50.2%	2.5%
Mexico	Telchac	2000	15.4%	53.0%	2.0%
	Puerto				
Mexico	Tenabo	2000	60.3%	73.1%	46.4%
Mexico	Ocuituco	2000	16.8%	17.6%	16.0%
Mexico	Santiago Mi-	2000	31.7%	45.8%	19.3%
	nas				

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Badiraguato	2000	41.8%	58.4%	24.0%
Mexico	Teocuitatlan	2000	14.7%	28.1%	7.1%
Mexico	De Corona				
Mexico	General Felipe Angeles	2000	5.8%	8.5%	3.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huazolotitlan	2000	47.3%	62.2%	33.5%
Mexico	Cazones	2000	4.5%	12.8%	1.4%
Mexico	Halacho	2000	51.9%	69.3%	33.0%
Mexico	Guasave	2000	10.6%	25.3%	3.2%
Mexico	Asuncion	2000	27.7%	28.3%	27.1%
Mexico	Ocotlan				
Mexico	Camaron De Tejada	2000	36.6%	53.4%	23.3%
Mexico	San Agustin De Las Juntas	2000	2.0%	2.1%	1.9%
Mexico	Calihuala	2000	35.5%	37.8%	33.4%
Mexico	Almoleya De Juarez	2000	50.0%	64.4%	34.3%
Mexico	Santiago Nuyoo	2000	6.9%	7.9%	6.0%
Mexico	Galeana	2000	12.5%	23.3%	5.3%
Mexico	Huauchinango	2000	7.2%	8.4%	6.2%
Mexico	Tumbiscatio	2000	36.6%	62.9%	11.9%
Mexico	Cuencame	2000	22.9%	33.9%	14.0%
Mexico	Tepeapulco	2000	4.6%	7.8%	3.1%
Mexico	Las Rosas	2000	28.7%	41.9%	16.4%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Lagunas	2000	37.5%	40.3%	34.9%
Mexico	San Francisco Tetlanohcan	2000	12.6%	13.1%	12.1%
Mexico	Carichi	2000	36.8%	53.8%	17.1%
Mexico	Zacualtipan De Angeles	2000	12.7%	18.7%	8.6%
Mexico	Dzilam Gonzalez	2000	39.9%	70.1%	9.0%
Mexico	Tepezala	2000	15.8%	27.1%	8.4%
Mexico	Villa De Cos	2000	34.9%	52.4%	18.3%
Mexico	Tlaquepaque	2000	1.5%	5.3%	0.6%
Mexico	Jilotlan De Los Dolores	2000	28.6%	55.2%	7.7%
Mexico	Tamiahua	2000	10.3%	25.2%	2.4%
Mexico	Temozon	2000	28.8%	46.8%	13.6%
Mexico	Rio Blanco	2000	3.1%	3.2%	2.9%
Mexico	San Ignacio Rio Muerto	2000	7.9%	22.3%	1.5%
Mexico	Altamirano	2000	20.2%	39.3%	6.0%
Mexico	Ixtlan De Juarez	2000	5.4%	7.0%	4.5%
Mexico	Bustamante	2000	18.8%	38.2%	5.7%
Mexico	Alpoyeca	2000	18.3%	25.6%	12.5%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Victoria	2000	12.4%	14.0%	10.9%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	7.0%	17.9%	1.7%
Mexico	Ayala	2000	10.6%	16.8%	6.1%
Mexico	San Andres Dinicuiti	2000	8.4%	9.1%	7.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Pochutla	2000	16.7%	38.8%	5.8%
Mexico	Jonotla	2000	33.9%	34.7%	33.0%
Mexico	Xochiapulco	2000	10.1%	11.1%	9.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santo Domingo Albarradas	2000	11.0%	17.6%	7.4%
Mexico	Acula	2000	28.9%	43.5%	15.8%
Mexico	San Jose Tenango	2000	10.1%	13.8%	7.7%
Mexico	Silao	2000	15.4%	29.9%	5.4%
Mexico	Singuilucan	2000	18.9%	30.9%	8.9%
Mexico	Acultzingo	2000	15.5%	17.8%	13.8%
Mexico	Metztitlan	2000	19.9%	33.4%	8.4%
Mexico	Tepoztlan	2000	7.0%	9.6%	4.6%
Mexico	Texcatepec	2000	22.6%	31.6%	15.9%
Mexico	San Juan Guelavia	2000	20.1%	20.9%	19.4%
Mexico	Tempoal	2000	8.6%	16.3%	3.3%
Mexico	Pluma Hidalgo	2000	30.4%	33.3%	28.1%
Mexico	Champoton	2000	22.0%	51.6%	5.0%
Mexico	Suaqui Grande	2000	3.0%	4.5%	2.0%
Mexico	Erongaricuario	2000	5.7%	10.4%	3.1%
Mexico	Trinidad Zachila	2000	2.0%	2.2%	1.8%
Mexico	Magdalena Mixtepec	2000	18.4%	21.6%	15.6%
Mexico	Ixtapan De La Sal	2000	17.7%	22.2%	14.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2000	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Mexico	Allende	2000	1.8%	3.8%	0.6%
Mexico	Chiconcuaula	2000	18.7%	21.5%	15.8%
Mexico	Gral. Simon Bolivar	2000	28.9%	48.0%	14.1%
Mexico	Aldama	2000	6.4%	15.6%	1.9%
Mexico	Huautla	2000	4.7%	9.9%	2.4%
Mexico	Jiquipilco	2000	43.0%	53.0%	32.8%
Mexico	Magdalena Teitipac	2000	16.6%	17.5%	15.9%
Mexico	Apaxtla	2000	36.7%	58.2%	14.9%
Mexico	Rayon	2000	6.7%	7.2%	6.3%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2000	8.5%	19.5%	2.1%
Mexico	Teya	2000	22.2%	24.5%	20.1%
Mexico	Tepecoacuilco De Trujano	2000	26.1%	49.4%	8.7%
Mexico	San Martin Itunyoso	2000	32.1%	39.5%	25.5%
Mexico	Magdalena Yodocono De Porfirio Diaz	2000	12.5%	13.4%	11.4%
Mexico	Onavas	2000	18.6%	37.7%	4.1%
Mexico	San Damian Texoloc	2000	12.3%	12.8%	11.8%
Mexico	Soteapan	2000	57.6%	69.3%	44.2%
Mexico	Cozumel	2000	1.8%	4.3%	0.7%
Mexico	Escobedo	2000	4.0%	11.6%	0.7%
Mexico	San Antonino Monte Verde	2000	5.3%	6.8%	4.1%
Mexico	Magdalena Ocotlan	2000	34.6%	36.6%	32.6%
Mexico	Nopalucan	2000	6.3%	7.5%	5.3%
Mexico	San Andres Ixtlahuaca	2000	16.7%	17.7%	15.8%
Mexico	Jalpa	2000	13.7%	24.3%	6.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huejotzingo	2000	18.5%	19.3%	17.7%
Mexico	Tecolutla	2000	8.3%	26.1%	1.0%
Mexico	Isla	2000	13.7%	28.2%	4.9%
Mexico	Chimalhuacan	2000	2.0%	2.1%	2.0%
Mexico	Meoqui	2000	3.8%	7.7%	1.8%
Mexico	Xiutetelco	2000	5.8%	9.2%	4.1%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	24.3%	26.7%	22.1%
Mexico	Huiloapan	2000	8.7%	9.2%	8.3%
Mexico	Leonardo Bravo	2000	30.1%	53.9%	10.5%
Mexico	Mexquitic De Carmona	2000	29.1%	47.4%	13.5%
Mexico	Miguel Aleman	2000	2.3%	6.4%	0.7%
Mexico	Landa De Matamoros	2000	18.6%	33.4%	5.7%
Mexico	Taniche	2000	28.9%	30.2%	27.6%
Mexico	Quitupan	2000	20.6%	38.0%	7.5%
Mexico	Mococho	2000	14.8%	15.8%	13.7%
Mexico	Chinicuila	2000	33.2%	57.3%	10.5%
Mexico	Magdalena Zahuatlan	2000	16.4%	18.3%	14.7%
Mexico	Xocchel	2000	66.8%	68.4%	64.9%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	8.4%	30.1%	0.7%
Mexico	Coyuca De Benitez	2000	29.8%	63.1%	5.0%
Mexico	Reyes Etla	2000	4.3%	4.8%	3.9%
Mexico	Iliatenco	2000	53.6%	89.7%	11.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ixtepeji	2000	8.5%	9.5%	7.5%
Mexico	Coatlan Del Rio	2000	28.5%	36.0%	22.1%
Mexico	Tecate	2000	2.2%	5.7%	0.6%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2000	8.7%	14.6%	5.0%
Mexico	Coatepec Harinas	2000	31.8%	42.5%	21.2%
Mexico	Tecalitlan	2000	14.9%	63.9%	1.5%
Mexico	Cuichapa	2000	14.7%	16.0%	13.6%
Mexico	Jalpan De Serra	2000	33.9%	61.0%	8.4%
Mexico	San Andres Duraznal	2000	5.4%	8.0%	3.8%
Mexico	San Luis Del Cordero	2000	17.4%	31.4%	7.0%
Mexico	Juarez	2000	18.7%	37.5%	6.2%
Mexico	Naranjal	2000	25.8%	26.9%	24.8%
Mexico	Las Margaritas	2000	11.8%	24.3%	4.3%
Mexico	Namiquipa	2000	8.7%	21.0%	1.5%
Mexico	San Felipe Tepatlan	2000	11.5%	12.3%	10.7%
Mexico	Atlapexco	2000	24.5%	28.8%	20.8%
Mexico	Rio Bravo	2000	2.3%	6.9%	0.7%
Mexico	Matamoros	2000	7.4%	18.3%	1.7%
Mexico	Tepehuanes	2000	23.2%	38.9%	11.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Cahuacua	2000	2.3%	4.4%	1.1%
Mexico	Ruiz	2000	17.8%	36.3%	4.2%
Mexico	Yecapixtla	2000	8.1%	8.4%	7.8%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2000	20.1%	45.2%	3.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chanal	2000	52.9%	74.2%	32.5%
Mexico	Tlaxihtaquilla	2000	21.8%	26.6%	18.0%
	De Maldonado				
Mexico	Altzayanca	2000	16.4%	22.9%	10.8%
Mexico	Tezonapa	2000	22.4%	33.3%	15.3%
Mexico	Bacoachi	2000	6.1%	17.2%	1.4%
Mexico	Catemaco	2000	11.1%	21.4%	4.8%
Mexico	Mezquital	2000	17.3%	28.7%	8.2%
Mexico	San Marcos	2000	42.1%	63.0%	20.9%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	4.4%	4.7%	4.0%
	Acatepec				
Mexico	Navojoa	2000	6.6%	12.6%	2.9%
Mexico	Libres	2000	9.2%	12.3%	6.9%
Mexico	Xayacatlan	2000	30.3%	34.6%	25.8%
	De Bravo				
Mexico	San Andres	2000	15.6%	16.4%	14.7%
	Zautla				
Mexico	Chichiquila	2000	9.4%	10.4%	8.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Anicano	2000	24.1%	28.1%	20.0%
Mexico	Soyaniquilpan	2000	33.8%	46.4%	22.8%
	De Juarez				
Mexico	San Pablo	2000	5.6%	8.3%	3.5%
	Villa De Mitla				
Mexico	San Juan Cacahuatepec	2000	42.9%	49.2%	36.8%
Mexico	Santiago	2000	32.5%	34.9%	30.0%
	Cacaloxtepec				
Mexico	Ixtaczoquitlan	2000	9.9%	10.7%	9.3%
Mexico	Tampamolón	2000	12.0%	17.0%	9.1%
	Corona				
Mexico	Pabellón De	2000	5.6%	10.3%	2.5%
	Arteaga				
Mexico	Acuna	2000	2.5%	6.5%	0.9%
Mexico	Salvador	2000	8.1%	16.8%	2.9%
	Alvarado				
Mexico	Ecatepec De	2000	3.9%	4.1%	3.7%
	Morelos				
Mexico	San Miguel	2000	49.4%	51.2%	47.7%
	Tilquiapam				
Mexico	Buenavista De	2000	12.6%	27.4%	5.7%
	Cuellar				
Mexico	Ciudad Fernandez	2000	6.7%	13.8%	2.9%
Mexico	San Miguel	2000	18.1%	51.2%	2.4%
	Chimalapa				
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2000	30.0%	54.8%	11.9%
Mexico	Santa Ines	2000	24.7%	25.7%	23.6%
	Yatzeche				
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2000	6.9%	7.8%	6.0%
	Tayata				
Mexico	San Francisco	2000	20.9%	45.5%	5.7%
	De Borja				
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla De	2000	24.5%	25.2%	23.9%
	Madero				
Mexico	Chiautla	2000	20.7%	32.1%	10.8%
Mexico	La Grandeza	2000	4.8%	5.8%	3.9%
Mexico	Uayma	2000	49.1%	61.4%	38.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	21.8%	47.7%	3.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tepalcingo	2000	22.6%	32.7%	14.2%
Mexico	Coahuayana	2000	17.3%	32.9%	6.6%
Mexico	Tlaltizapan	2000	11.5%	21.5%	5.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Mechoacanic	2000	77.5%	84.4%	69.4%
Mexico	Mitontic	2000	32.6%	38.9%	27.0%
Mexico	San Juan Yucuita	2000	2.7%	3.3%	2.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria La Asuncion	2000	8.9%	10.0%	8.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Pinas	2000	18.5%	25.7%	13.1%
Mexico	Tenampa	2000	41.5%	44.7%	38.1%
Mexico	Tecoanapa	2000	39.9%	59.0%	22.3%
Mexico	Amixtlan	2000	7.9%	8.4%	7.4%
Mexico	San Bartolome Ayautla	2000	23.3%	27.7%	19.4%
Mexico	Actopan	2000	12.0%	18.2%	7.1%
Mexico	San Juan Teposcolula	2000	48.0%	56.6%	40.3%
Mexico	Nicolas Romero	2000	8.8%	11.2%	6.6%
Mexico	San Jose Chiltepec	2000	13.1%	22.1%	7.3%
Mexico	Almoleya De Alquisiras	2000	40.5%	50.2%	29.8%
Mexico	Pisaflores	2000	7.3%	12.0%	4.0%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	12.3%	22.7%	6.0%
Mexico	Ocosingo	2000	35.5%	50.2%	23.8%
Mexico	San Felipe De Jesus	2000	3.0%	4.1%	2.1%
Mexico	Coeneo	2000	13.9%	24.7%	5.7%
Mexico	Oquitoa	2000	6.4%	8.2%	4.5%
Mexico	Chocaman	2000	7.7%	8.8%	6.8%
Mexico	Palizada	2000	9.0%	30.3%	1.6%
Mexico	Amamaxac De Guerrero	2000	10.3%	10.8%	9.9%
Mexico	Temosachi	2000	21.0%	39.7%	8.7%
Mexico	Mugica	2000	16.9%	26.9%	9.6%
Mexico	Lerdo De Tejada	2000	13.4%	17.3%	10.4%
Mexico	Palmillas	2000	6.6%	17.8%	2.3%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	16.7%	24.2%	11.2%
Mexico	Acolman	2000	5.4%	5.9%	5.0%
Mexico	San Juan De Sabinas	2000	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Mexico	Suma	2000	58.7%	61.7%	55.1%
Mexico	Mecayapan	2000	59.1%	67.9%	49.8%
Mexico	Zongozotla	2000	16.1%	16.8%	15.5%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2000	12.6%	48.0%	0.3%
Mexico	Senguio	2000	29.2%	39.3%	19.4%
Mexico	Ayotlan	2000	14.5%	37.3%	2.3%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	34.5%	55.8%	19.2%
Mexico	Muna	2000	41.0%	47.3%	35.5%
Mexico	Tequixquiac	2000	17.2%	20.2%	14.6%
Mexico	Tepetlan	2000	21.1%	28.0%	14.7%
Mexico	Ozuluama De Mascarenas	2000	11.0%	25.7%	3.3%
Mexico	Mani	2000	58.9%	61.1%	56.9%
Mexico	Empalme	2000	3.2%	9.6%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huehuetla	2000	38.1%	39.2%	37.1%
Mexico	Los Reyes De Juarez	2000	22.7%	23.7%	21.7%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2000	8.9%	10.8%	7.2%
Mexico	Cintalapa	2000	24.6%	48.3%	6.5%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Tlaxi- aco	2000	12.5%	15.0%	10.6%
Mexico	Ciudad Del Maiz	2000	12.1%	23.6%	4.7%
Mexico	Doctor Mora	2000	43.4%	61.1%	25.6%
Mexico	Escarcega	2000	15.5%	24.1%	8.6%
Mexico	Dzan	2000	29.5%	31.1%	27.9%
Mexico	Gabriel Zamora	2000	14.0%	23.7%	6.3%
Mexico	Yecora	2000	7.9%	21.7%	1.5%
Mexico	Cohuecan	2000	39.1%	40.0%	38.1%
Mexico	Atotonilco De Tula	2000	9.0%	10.6%	7.9%
Mexico	Tampico Alto	2000	10.1%	25.1%	2.3%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Villa Alta	2000	20.7%	23.9%	17.4%
Mexico	San Felipe Del Progreso	2000	48.3%	70.0%	26.0%
Mexico	Zumpango	2000	8.5%	11.7%	5.9%
Mexico	El Fuerte	2000	9.5%	18.0%	3.7%
Mexico	General Bravo	2000	3.3%	14.4%	0.2%
Mexico	San Pedro La- gunillas	2000	13.3%	34.3%	2.2%
Mexico	Las Minas	2000	15.5%	16.9%	14.4%
Mexico	San Gabriel Mixtepec	2000	25.0%	40.4%	15.0%
Mexico	Jaumave	2000	9.5%	26.1%	1.8%
Mexico	Teopantlan	2000	14.9%	22.3%	10.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Quiechapa	2000	5.8%	8.1%	4.2%
Mexico	San Andres Sinaxtla	2000	3.0%	3.4%	2.6%
Mexico	San Cristobal De Las Casas	2000	13.5%	17.1%	10.9%
Mexico	Yautepec	2000	10.5%	18.0%	5.6%
Mexico	Ixtlahuaca	2000	43.6%	57.7%	30.4%
Mexico	Santiago De Anaya	2000	30.9%	43.4%	19.6%
Mexico	Fronteras	2000	5.6%	11.1%	2.9%
Mexico	Charcas	2000	12.1%	24.3%	5.4%
Mexico	Centro	2000	5.9%	16.5%	1.4%
Mexico	Abasolo	2000	26.2%	40.5%	15.8%
Mexico	Metepc	2000	4.5%	6.8%	3.4%
Mexico	Genaro Cod- ina	2000	50.9%	71.1%	31.0%
Mexico	Penon Blanco	2000	26.2%	50.4%	9.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Piedras	2000	3.2%	4.9%	2.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zaniza	2000	13.5%	14.9%	12.3%
Mexico	Rayones	2000	16.1%	33.5%	5.6%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Xayacatlan	2000	35.6%	39.0%	32.4%
Mexico	Mazatlan	2000	6.2%	17.4%	1.1%
Mexico	Playa Vicente	2000	14.8%	36.6%	3.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Comaltepec	2000	20.6%	34.0%	11.0%
Mexico	Quecholac	2000	10.5%	16.5%	7.1%
Mexico	La Compania	2000	26.1%	28.3%	24.1%
Mexico	Santiago Apostol	2000	22.8%	23.6%	22.1%
Mexico	Juan Galindo	2000	5.0%	6.2%	4.2%
Mexico	Comapa	2000	34.7%	50.6%	22.1%
Mexico	San Bartolo Coyotepec	2000	14.9%	15.7%	14.2%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Yucuna	2000	52.2%	54.3%	50.3%
Mexico	Tlanalapa	2000	11.9%	20.5%	5.9%
Mexico	Abejones	2000	13.8%	16.3%	11.7%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2000	2.2%	5.0%	0.9%
Mexico	Hueyotlipan	2000	17.3%	22.9%	13.3%
Mexico	Rafael Delgado	2000	14.6%	15.0%	14.2%
Mexico	Montecristo De Guerrero	2000	31.7%	56.8%	11.4%
Mexico	Tlaltenango	2000	13.3%	13.8%	12.9%
Mexico	Degollado	2000	15.1%	22.9%	10.6%
Mexico	Cardenas	2000	15.1%	42.4%	1.8%
Mexico	San Salvador El Verde	2000	6.5%	7.2%	5.6%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2000	18.9%	38.3%	4.7%
Mexico	Cuetzalan Del Progreso	2000	26.7%	29.7%	24.1%
Mexico	Huejotitan	2000	42.4%	67.9%	13.8%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Cuixtla	2000	17.7%	19.2%	16.2%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2000	15.8%	29.3%	5.9%
Mexico	San Matias Tlalancaleca	2000	4.5%	5.3%	3.9%
Mexico	Cualac	2000	31.4%	42.9%	19.8%
Mexico	La Colorada	2000	14.1%	26.9%	4.5%
Mexico	Santa Apolonia Teacalco	2000	9.5%	9.9%	9.1%
Mexico	Soledad De Graciano Sanchez	2000	2.3%	4.0%	1.2%
Mexico	San Dimas	2000	25.6%	45.8%	10.7%
Mexico	Aguila	2000	20.3%	21.4%	19.3%
Mexico	Tampico	2000	1.5%	3.4%	0.9%
Mexico	San Andres Yaa	2000	34.0%	36.1%	32.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatlan	2000	7.1%	17.5%	2.1%
Mexico	Tepotzotlan	2000	4.9%	6.0%	4.2%
Mexico	Mina	2000	3.9%	7.2%	1.6%
Mexico	Alto Lucero De Gutierrez Barrios	2000	23.8%	46.7%	5.9%
Mexico	Patzcuaro	2000	3.7%	5.6%	2.5%
Mexico	Nuevo Morelos	2000	15.2%	25.7%	11.0%
Mexico	Tecamachalco	2000	10.2%	11.9%	8.5%
Mexico	Acatlan De Perez Figueroa	2000	23.4%	39.6%	10.1%
Mexico	Tetepango	2000	23.0%	28.2%	18.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago	2000	4.1%	11.7%	0.8%
Mexico	Apaseo El Grande	2000	8.0%	19.6%	2.4%
Mexico	Ecuandureo	2000	11.2%	32.2%	3.6%
Mexico	Tepetlixpa	2000	6.1%	6.5%	5.8%
Mexico	Copalillo	2000	40.6%	58.8%	26.0%
Mexico	San Antonio	2000	32.3%	37.9%	26.3%
Canada	Ojocaliente	2000	11.0%	21.8%	3.7%
Mexico	Tekom	2000	28.6%	47.5%	15.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Mixtepec	2000	18.1%	20.5%	16.3%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Mixes	2000	13.5%	19.0%	9.8%
Mexico	San Jose Del Penasco	2000	16.0%	17.2%	15.0%
Mexico	Cochoapa El Grande	2000	79.4%	92.4%	61.3%
Mexico	Ocotlan De Morelos	2000	25.5%	26.1%	25.0%
Mexico	Astacinga	2000	17.0%	17.7%	16.1%
Mexico	Motuzintla	2000	17.8%	28.5%	8.9%
Mexico	Ayotzintepc	2000	2.4%	4.7%	1.1%
Mexico	Suchiate	2000	11.1%	36.1%	1.1%
Mexico	Chila De La Sal	2000	26.9%	31.7%	22.9%
Mexico	Pedro Ascencio Alquisiras	2000	46.5%	60.8%	33.6%
Mexico	Orizaba	2000	2.0%	2.1%	1.9%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2000	17.0%	32.1%	7.7%
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Bravo	2000	26.2%	29.3%	23.4%
Mexico	Aquiles Serdan	2000	9.6%	16.7%	5.1%
Mexico	Temixco	2000	7.5%	8.2%	6.8%
Mexico	Juchitepec	2000	4.7%	5.6%	4.0%
Mexico	Huasabas	2000	2.9%	4.2%	2.0%
Mexico	El Oro	2000	22.4%	38.9%	10.4%
Mexico	Santiago Matatlan	2000	17.1%	19.2%	15.7%
Mexico	Lagos De Moreno	2000	13.7%	29.0%	4.7%
Mexico	Hunucma	2000	52.9%	60.4%	44.5%
Mexico	Mineral De La Reforma	2000	3.2%	4.2%	2.4%
Mexico	Alvarado	2000	11.6%	39.4%	2.0%
Mexico	San Raymundo Jalpan	2000	3.7%	4.0%	3.4%
Mexico	Yehualtepec	2000	19.3%	25.9%	14.9%
Mexico	San Martin Huamelulpam	2000	8.3%	9.2%	7.4%
Mexico	Tianguistenco	2000	6.2%	6.5%	5.8%
Mexico	Montemorelos	2000	5.0%	9.4%	2.2%
Mexico	Angel Albino Corzo	2000	24.7%	46.4%	9.7%
Mexico	Tuxtla Chico	2000	16.0%	20.6%	11.5%
Mexico	Monclova	2000	2.0%	3.5%	1.1%
Mexico	Huepac	2000	3.5%	5.0%	2.4%
Mexico	Tuzantan	2000	16.7%	23.3%	10.1%
Mexico	Santiago Miltepec	2000	31.3%	37.1%	26.3%
Mexico	Chacsinkin	2000	46.3%	55.7%	34.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Ines Del Monte	2000	25.7%	28.3%	23.3%
Mexico	Atitalaquia	2000	10.6%	11.3%	9.9%
Mexico	Catorce	2000	15.7%	34.4%	4.9%
Mexico	Cuncunul	2000	41.4%	51.5%	32.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Sochiapam	2000	15.5%	17.8%	12.8%
Mexico	Buenavista	2000	13.1%	30.7%	3.5%
Mexico	Espanita	2000	12.1%	14.4%	9.9%
Mexico	Yurecuaro	2000	7.8%	13.9%	4.8%
Mexico	Pinal De Amoles	2000	45.6%	65.3%	25.1%
Mexico	Valle De Juarez	2000	9.0%	13.1%	5.7%
Mexico	Jaltipan	2000	13.8%	14.9%	12.8%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2000	12.0%	24.5%	3.8%
Mexico	San Mateo Cajonos	2000	16.8%	18.3%	15.3%
Mexico	Arandas	2000	15.6%	36.1%	2.9%
Mexico	Tataltepec De Valdes	2000	18.8%	30.0%	10.3%
Mexico	Santiago Yolomecatl	2000	14.7%	16.6%	12.7%
Mexico	San Antonio Tepetlapa	2000	65.8%	71.3%	59.3%
Mexico	Jantetelco	2000	21.9%	25.3%	19.5%
Mexico	Tizapan El Alto	2000	9.7%	20.1%	4.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Mixtepec	2000	16.7%	17.9%	15.8%
Mexico	Santiago Llano Grande	2000	68.5%	71.1%	65.1%
Mexico	Rosario	2000	23.9%	50.3%	6.3%
Mexico	Panuco De Coronado	2000	33.1%	62.9%	7.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Del Gallo	2000	25.1%	50.5%	3.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Totolapa	2000	30.1%	48.5%	16.6%
Mexico	Tecoh	2000	63.2%	69.9%	55.3%
Mexico	Tlacoapa	2000	57.0%	75.1%	37.6%
Mexico	Santiago Nejapilla	2000	13.2%	14.1%	12.0%
Mexico	Alpatlahuac	2000	8.3%	10.2%	7.0%
Mexico	Teocelo	2000	13.0%	14.9%	11.3%
Mexico	Tultitlan	2000	1.1%	1.2%	1.1%
Mexico	Burgos	2000	7.5%	18.5%	2.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Zapotilla	2000	17.9%	35.0%	7.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tataltepec	2000	16.4%	19.2%	13.5%
Mexico	Mazamitla	2000	12.6%	23.9%	6.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2000	42.5%	43.6%	41.3%
Mexico	San Juan Lalana	2000	6.4%	14.4%	2.5%
Mexico	Loma Bonita	2000	16.4%	32.0%	5.8%
Mexico	San Bartolo Soyaltepec	2000	9.2%	11.3%	7.2%
Mexico	Paracho	2000	10.6%	14.4%	8.2%
Mexico	Hostotipaquillo	2000	15.6%	31.8%	3.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Carlos A. Carrillo	2000	10.7%	19.1%	5.9%
Mexico	Tlaltetela	2000	17.1%	21.4%	14.0%
Mexico	Asuncion Cuyotepeji	2000	35.4%	39.2%	32.1%
Mexico	Chiquihuitlan De Benito Juarez	2000	10.8%	11.7%	9.9%
Mexico	Nuevo Urecho	2000	14.5%	24.9%	6.5%
Mexico	Palenque	2000	37.3%	63.2%	15.5%
Mexico	Tochimilco	2000	13.4%	16.6%	10.7%
Mexico	Chucandiro	2000	25.8%	31.8%	19.9%
Mexico	Guerrero	2000	5.9%	14.3%	1.1%
Mexico	Chalma	2000	9.2%	12.5%	6.5%
Mexico	Huejucar	2000	23.5%	35.1%	13.3%
Mexico	Oriental	2000	11.1%	13.7%	8.6%
Mexico	Cuajimalpa De Morelos	2000	1.1%	1.2%	0.9%
Mexico	Angel R. Cabada	2000	19.7%	32.4%	9.5%
Mexico	Palmar De Bravo	2000	16.0%	28.4%	8.8%
Mexico	Nativitas	2000	12.3%	13.0%	11.7%
Mexico	El Limon	2000	6.3%	13.3%	2.7%
Mexico	Chapala	2000	5.2%	12.5%	1.1%
Mexico	Dolores Hidalgo De La Independenc	2000	33.8%	52.2%	15.8%
Mexico	Charo	2000	12.4%	21.5%	5.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Teutila	2000	13.6%	16.1%	11.2%
Mexico	Amatlan De Los Reyes	2000	19.0%	20.5%	17.6%
Mexico	Garcia	2000	4.3%	13.5%	0.3%
Mexico	Rafael Lucio	2000	6.2%	6.7%	5.8%
Mexico	Tocumbo	2000	5.0%	7.7%	3.3%
Mexico	Nogales	2000	6.8%	7.3%	6.2%
Mexico	Chochola	2000	28.3%	35.2%	22.8%
Mexico	Tehuacan	2000	4.0%	9.8%	1.4%
Mexico	Acaxochitlan	2000	19.8%	23.3%	16.7%
Mexico	Amatan	2000	18.0%	26.0%	10.8%
Mexico	Uriangato	2000	6.0%	7.5%	4.9%
Mexico	Jalpan	2000	16.3%	29.0%	8.0%
Mexico	Valparaiso	2000	40.0%	59.3%	19.9%
Mexico	Boca Del Rio	2000	2.1%	3.1%	1.4%
Mexico	Ocampo	2000	22.4%	41.2%	7.7%
Mexico	Tzacacab	2000	51.3%	70.3%	22.9%
Mexico	San Juan Lajarcia	2000	19.6%	25.7%	14.4%
Mexico	Cadereyta Jimenez	2000	1.7%	3.7%	0.7%
Mexico	Santiago Laxopa	2000	17.0%	19.6%	14.2%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Jayacatlan	2000	9.4%	12.5%	7.2%
Mexico	Agua Dulce	2000	4.3%	9.9%	1.5%
Mexico	Nextlalpan	2000	5.4%	6.6%	4.2%
Mexico	Mezquitic	2000	55.6%	78.5%	32.0%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2000	20.4%	23.0%	18.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Iguala De La Independencia	2000	8.1%	14.2%	3.4%
Mexico	Sanctorum De Lazaro Cardenas	2000	5.7%	7.0%	4.7%
Mexico	Malinaltepec	2000	55.9%	77.5%	34.3%
Mexico	Moris	2000	23.3%	48.7%	4.6%
Mexico	Ajalpan	2000	21.3%	31.6%	13.5%
Mexico	Moyahua De Estrada	2000	22.3%	48.4%	5.3%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2000	15.8%	29.6%	7.2%
Mexico	Tahmek	2000	69.0%	70.8%	67.4%
Mexico	Teococuilco De Marcos Perez	2000	2.4%	3.0%	1.9%
Mexico	Tehuiztingo	2000	46.1%	61.8%	30.7%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Vijanos	2000	36.5%	38.3%	34.7%
Mexico	Apaseo El Alto	2000	23.0%	39.8%	8.9%
Mexico	Huayacocotla	2000	15.5%	27.5%	6.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Yucucaco	2000	13.1%	14.8%	11.4%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2000	27.1%	28.1%	26.2%
Mexico	Tetecala	2000	15.4%	17.7%	12.9%
Mexico	Cuitzeo	2000	11.5%	23.2%	6.9%
Mexico	Pesqueria	2000	3.9%	8.4%	2.0%
Mexico	Atarjea	2000	48.4%	65.7%	31.8%
Mexico	Coicoyan De Las Flores	2000	66.3%	81.7%	51.4%
Mexico	Batopilas	2000	59.1%	77.8%	39.5%
Mexico	Ocotlan	2000	3.1%	6.1%	1.3%
Mexico	Concepcion De Buenos Aires	2000	7.2%	12.9%	3.3%
Mexico	Mazapiltepec De Juarez	2000	2.2%	3.1%	1.6%
Mexico	Salinas	2000	24.6%	49.2%	7.5%
Mexico	Chikindzonot	2000	74.5%	88.1%	59.1%
Mexico	Valle De Zaragoza	2000	30.1%	59.0%	8.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Huautla	2000	14.6%	16.3%	13.0%
Mexico	Huiramba	2000	6.9%	8.9%	5.7%
Mexico	Santiago Nundiche	2000	4.1%	5.4%	3.2%
Mexico	Chilapa De Alvarez	2000	41.4%	68.1%	16.7%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	6.0%	9.2%	4.1%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2000	17.4%	29.2%	7.5%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	18.4%	34.5%	8.4%
Mexico	Guazapares	2000	32.9%	60.4%	13.5%
Mexico	Loreto	2000	13.2%	22.4%	6.5%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2000	27.8%	49.7%	12.3%
Mexico	Zumpahuacan	2000	35.6%	50.7%	22.5%
Mexico	Tepalcatepec	2000	13.9%	34.2%	2.7%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Ejutla De Crespo	2000	20.1%	21.6%	18.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Hermosillo	2000	2.1%	3.2%	1.4%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cacaotepec	2000	6.1%	6.4%	5.8%
Mexico	Homun	2000	58.5%	60.1%	56.6%
Mexico	Asuncion Ixtaltepec	2000	9.9%	26.0%	2.6%
Mexico	Pilcaya	2000	32.0%	42.0%	21.1%
Mexico	San Antonio Nahuatlapan	2000	26.9%	37.0%	17.8%
Mexico	Capulalpam De Mendez	2000	4.1%	4.6%	3.8%
Mexico	San Juan Teitipac	2000	34.8%	35.8%	33.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rio	2000	34.4%	61.5%	9.2%
Mexico	Ebano	2000	6.0%	18.4%	1.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chachoapam	2000	5.6%	6.4%	4.9%
Mexico	Contla De Juan Cuamatzi	2000	10.9%	11.2%	10.5%
Mexico	Ixhuatlancillo	2000	1.7%	1.9%	1.5%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2000	12.1%	13.2%	11.0%
Mexico	Ixmiquilpan	2000	22.8%	34.7%	12.3%
Mexico	Celestun	2000	20.4%	66.0%	0.2%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2000	10.8%	17.3%	6.9%
Mexico	San Juan Colorado	2000	54.2%	55.4%	52.8%
Mexico	Chavinda	2000	7.0%	13.5%	3.0%
Mexico	Panuco	2000	3.6%	9.4%	0.8%
Mexico	Salinas Victoria	2000	4.1%	7.6%	1.9%
Mexico	Acambaro	2000	9.8%	18.6%	3.5%
Mexico	Capulhuac	2000	10.2%	10.9%	9.6%
Mexico	San Agustin Yatareni	2000	2.8%	3.0%	2.6%
Mexico	Alamos	2000	28.0%	44.9%	12.8%
Mexico	Totolac	2000	6.2%	6.5%	5.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Sola	2000	35.0%	39.5%	30.2%
Mexico	Cosoltepec	2000	37.7%	42.6%	33.3%
Mexico	Uruapan	2000	3.1%	6.1%	1.3%
Mexico	San Vicente Tancuayalab	2000	4.6%	9.9%	1.3%
Mexico	Paso Del Macho	2000	32.3%	45.5%	21.0%
Mexico	Pijijiapan	2000	19.9%	42.4%	7.0%
Mexico	Morelos	2000	40.7%	54.7%	27.2%
Mexico	Mier Y Noriega	2000	22.8%	41.2%	8.6%
Mexico	San Agustin Chayuco	2000	65.8%	70.5%	59.3%
Mexico	El Bosque	2000	18.1%	21.1%	15.4%
Mexico	Rosales	2000	5.8%	9.3%	3.8%
Mexico	Poanas	2000	12.2%	22.3%	4.6%
Mexico	Tzicatlacoyan	2000	31.3%	39.7%	24.4%
Mexico	Ajacuba	2000	22.9%	29.5%	17.2%
Mexico	Coetzala	2000	23.2%	24.6%	21.8%
Mexico	Purepero	2000	3.7%	6.2%	2.4%
Mexico	La Huacana	2000	29.9%	58.9%	7.6%
Mexico	Santiago Laolalaga	2000	27.1%	33.9%	22.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Saucillo	2000	7.8%	19.3%	1.9%
Mexico	Santa Gertrudis	2000	17.6%	18.7%	16.6%
Mexico	Tanlajas	2000	12.1%	22.6%	6.1%
Mexico	Urique	2000	40.2%	66.1%	20.1%
Mexico	San Sebastian Abasolo	2000	16.5%	17.6%	15.5%
Mexico	Tonila	2000	5.6%	13.3%	2.2%
Mexico	Ixcamilpa De Guerrero	2000	46.7%	59.0%	35.2%
Mexico	Cuatrocienegas	2000	2.3%	4.6%	1.0%
Mexico	Jocotitlan	2000	29.1%	43.5%	15.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Tequixtepec	2000	19.9%	24.2%	16.1%
Mexico	Sabinas	2000	1.5%	4.1%	0.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2000	36.2%	50.4%	23.2%
Mexico	Santiago Yaveo	2000	21.8%	44.8%	7.4%
Mexico	Tetela De Ocampo	2000	12.8%	18.0%	8.2%
Mexico	San Jose Independencia	2000	26.6%	30.9%	22.7%
Mexico	Chichimila	2000	16.7%	33.1%	5.3%
Mexico	General Canuto A. Neri	2000	34.5%	54.5%	15.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guienagati	2017	39.5%	50.3%	29.2%
Mexico	Nopala De Villagran	2017	41.4%	61.5%	20.5%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla De Madero	2017	24.9%	25.6%	24.3%
Mexico	Tianguismanalco	2017	19.5%	20.4%	18.4%
Mexico	Texcalyacac	2017	1.8%	1.9%	1.7%
Mexico	Juan R. Escudero	2017	33.8%	55.0%	16.4%
Mexico	Poncitlan	2017	9.3%	23.0%	2.4%
Mexico	Xochicoatlan	2017	8.4%	12.0%	5.6%
Mexico	San Juanito De Escobedo	2017	6.9%	13.4%	3.3%
Mexico	Zapotiltic	2017	3.3%	6.1%	1.5%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Roayaga	2017	22.4%	24.3%	20.6%
Mexico	San Agustin Metzquititlan	2017	16.5%	28.9%	7.6%
Mexico	Dr. Belisario Dominguez	2017	17.9%	46.3%	2.4%
Mexico	Hueytlalpan	2017	27.5%	28.3%	26.7%
Mexico	La Pe	2017	32.1%	34.1%	29.6%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Garza	2017	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%
Mexico	San Juan Numi	2017	4.9%	8.4%	3.1%
Mexico	Naucalpan De Juarez	2017	2.0%	2.7%	1.7%
Mexico	Yaxkukul	2017	48.7%	50.4%	47.0%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amatlan	2017	6.7%	7.4%	6.1%
Mexico	Telchac Pueblo	2017	30.6%	33.4%	28.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Mazatan	2017	16.5%	34.3%	5.2%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	0.9%	1.0%	0.9%
Mexico	Huandacareo	2017	9.3%	12.0%	7.6%
Mexico	Choix	2017	15.8%	32.3%	5.3%
Mexico	Cusihuiachi	2017	18.3%	41.9%	4.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Alotepec	2017	28.4%	31.2%	25.8%
Mexico	San Juan Cieneguilla	2017	27.8%	37.8%	19.6%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	28.4%	31.0%	25.4%
Mexico	Ocuilan	2017	19.8%	33.4%	10.5%
Mexico	Tlanepantla	2017	18.4%	19.1%	17.8%
Mexico	Temascaltepec	2017	45.3%	66.5%	23.8%
Mexico	San Cirilo De Acosta	2017	12.2%	27.0%	4.1%
Mexico	San Andres Tepetlapa	2017	19.9%	21.2%	18.8%
Mexico	Isidro Fabela	2017	15.9%	18.1%	14.2%
Mexico	Tarandacua	2017	20.1%	31.5%	11.4%
Mexico	Mixtla	2017	16.6%	17.2%	15.9%
Mexico	Villagran	2017	6.6%	13.4%	3.1%
Mexico	Buenaventura	2017	5.5%	13.6%	2.0%
Mexico	Villa De Reyes	2017	35.4%	59.3%	13.8%
Mexico	Atenango Del Rio	2017	38.8%	69.7%	11.3%
Mexico	La Concordia	2017	26.8%	56.8%	9.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Yolo	2017	15.1%	18.7%	12.1%
Mexico	Espinal	2017	19.4%	24.2%	16.2%
Mexico	Ayutla De Los Libres	2017	52.0%	71.1%	31.8%
Mexico	Praxedis G. Guerrero	2017	2.6%	8.3%	0.3%
Mexico	Salina Cruz	2017	9.0%	15.3%	5.0%
Mexico	San Andres Huayapam	2017	6.6%	7.2%	6.0%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	24.2%	46.3%	10.9%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Quilehtla	2017	8.3%	8.7%	8.0%
Mexico	Tejupilco	2017	34.4%	64.6%	7.7%
Mexico	Villa Del Carbon	2017	31.4%	40.0%	24.1%
Mexico	Tequila	2017	20.8%	21.5%	20.3%
Mexico	Huitiupan	2017	13.0%	21.7%	7.7%
Mexico	Xalapa	2017	2.0%	2.5%	1.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Suchixtepec	2017	23.2%	24.7%	21.6%
Mexico	Tangamandapio	2017	7.5%	16.4%	3.4%
Mexico	Zinapecuaro	2017	13.1%	28.4%	3.3%
Mexico	Tlapa De Comonfort	2017	26.6%	36.5%	17.5%
Mexico	Tatahuicapan De Juarez	2017	47.5%	68.9%	32.6%
Mexico	Atizapan De Zaragoza	2017	1.1%	1.2%	1.1%
Mexico	Colotlan	2017	14.1%	19.3%	10.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ticua	2017	16.1%	17.0%	15.1%
Mexico	Guasave	2017	10.7%	25.0%	3.0%
Mexico	Axutla	2017	18.8%	25.4%	13.1%
Mexico	Baviacora	2017	7.9%	18.9%	1.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Totolapa	2017	29.4%	39.9%	19.2%
Mexico	Cotaxtla	2017	34.1%	56.0%	16.3%
Mexico	Cuautitlan	2017	0.7%	0.8%	0.6%
Mexico	Escuinapa	2017	13.8%	46.0%	0.7%
Mexico	Viesca	2017	14.4%	29.9%	4.4%
Mexico	Amatenango De La Frontera	2017	12.7%	22.4%	5.5%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Albarradas	2017	18.3%	22.6%	14.5%
Mexico	Camargo	2017	7.0%	12.6%	3.5%
Mexico	Zacatelco	2017	7.3%	7.5%	7.0%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Grande	2017	36.3%	52.1%	24.2%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Silacayoapilla	2017	12.8%	14.4%	11.3%
Mexico	Techaluta De Montenegro	2017	8.0%	11.3%	6.3%
Mexico	Santiago Ihuitlan Plumas	2017	30.8%	33.0%	28.5%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlacotepec	2017	13.8%	14.7%	12.9%
Mexico	Ejutla	2017	11.9%	24.8%	3.7%
Mexico	Teloloapan	2017	42.3%	59.6%	29.3%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2017	28.2%	54.4%	9.8%
Mexico	Xicohtzinco	2017	6.0%	6.2%	5.8%
Mexico	Pajacuaran	2017	7.7%	13.2%	5.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiane	2017	8.0%	8.6%	7.4%
Mexico	Jimenez Del Teul	2017	39.2%	84.2%	7.2%
Mexico	Ecatzingo	2017	13.9%	15.3%	12.7%
Mexico	San Martin Peras	2017	64.0%	70.2%	56.3%
Mexico	Almoleya	2017	12.8%	23.3%	6.1%
Mexico	Tamazula De Gordiano	2017	15.4%	28.7%	5.3%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.7%
Mexico	Analco	2017	25.6%	47.2%	10.0%
Mexico	Santa Clara	2017	25.6%	47.2%	10.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Nuxano	2017	9.6%	10.4%	8.9%
Mexico	Cuauhtepc De Hinojosa	2017	24.4%	38.3%	13.1%
Mexico	Puerto Peñasco	2017	3.3%	8.6%	1.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Topiltepec	2017	7.9%	8.7%	7.0%
Mexico	Cuauhtepc	2017	51.3%	68.0%	35.1%
Mexico	Teocaltiche	2017	16.1%	36.3%	5.8%
Mexico	Tlalixtac De Cabrera	2017	7.1%	7.6%	6.6%
Mexico	Chenalho	2017	47.5%	60.3%	34.2%
Mexico	Tlalixcoyan	2017	25.0%	45.0%	9.1%
Mexico	Cerro Azul	2017	3.6%	5.5%	2.1%
Mexico	General Francisco R. Murguía	2017	31.1%	49.6%	14.8%
Mexico	Mesones Hidalgo	2017	48.0%	60.3%	35.4%
Mexico	Teotlalco	2017	26.1%	37.5%	17.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Julian	2017	3.6%	6.0%	2.0%
Mexico	Huimilpan	2017	34.3%	57.3%	16.1%
Mexico	Monjas	2017	9.7%	10.4%	8.9%
Mexico	Temamatla	2017	3.3%	3.6%	3.0%
Mexico	El Salto	2017	2.2%	3.6%	1.5%
Mexico	San Lucas Camotlan	2017	53.1%	61.1%	43.8%
Mexico	Yauhquemecan	2017	8.1%	8.4%	7.7%
Mexico	Huazalingo	2017	40.5%	51.7%	30.8%
Mexico	Actopan	2017	16.7%	36.9%	4.4%
Mexico	Tetlatlahuca	2017	8.6%	8.9%	8.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Loxicha	2017	8.9%	13.1%	6.0%
Mexico	Etchojoa	2017	7.6%	18.0%	2.5%
Mexico	Tonatico	2017	11.7%	19.2%	7.6%
Mexico	El Espinal	2017	5.9%	7.8%	4.7%
Mexico	Cihuatlan	2017	14.7%	46.7%	0.9%
Mexico	Totolapan	2017	13.3%	14.0%	12.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Teopan	2017	12.7%	14.5%	10.9%
Mexico	Atlatlahucan	2017	12.7%	13.3%	12.1%
Mexico	Tuzantla	2017	41.4%	59.2%	20.4%
Mexico	Tezontepec De Aldama	2017	22.7%	24.8%	20.2%
Mexico	Cotija	2017	5.7%	9.2%	3.0%
Mexico	San Luis Amatlan	2017	27.4%	37.3%	18.3%
Mexico	Topia	2017	44.4%	78.9%	12.5%
Mexico	Tlahuac	2017	1.1%	1.2%	1.1%
Mexico	Tamalin	2017	13.7%	29.7%	4.7%
Mexico	Tecomatlan	2017	27.0%	35.9%	19.4%
Mexico	Coquimatlan	2017	3.7%	11.9%	0.6%
Mexico	Teteles De Avila Castillo	2017	9.9%	10.6%	9.1%
Mexico	Ayoquezco De Aldama	2017	14.0%	15.0%	13.0%
Mexico	Santiago Tepehuala	2017	15.8%	17.2%	14.2%
Mexico	San Mateo Etlatongo	2017	11.4%	12.1%	10.7%
Mexico	La Trinidad Vista Hermosa	2017	8.9%	10.4%	7.7%
Mexico	Ostuacan	2017	29.3%	48.0%	14.7%
Mexico	Marcos Castellanos	2017	10.9%	17.3%	6.0%
Mexico	Citlaltepeltl	2017	17.7%	21.1%	14.7%
Mexico	Tlapanala	2017	29.1%	33.9%	24.5%
Mexico	Pungarabato	2017	21.6%	31.6%	12.8%
Mexico	Huixtla	2017	14.5%	20.4%	8.8%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2017	20.2%	36.9%	6.8%
Mexico	General Panfilo Natera	2017	29.0%	49.2%	12.8%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	34.2%	51.2%	16.6%
Mexico	Atenco	2017	5.2%	5.6%	4.9%
Mexico	San Martin Texmelucan	2017	4.8%	5.1%	4.6%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Coatlan	2017	15.6%	28.8%	7.3%
Mexico	Metlatonoc	2017	77.5%	88.6%	63.9%
Mexico	San Pablo Tlajaltepec	2017	15.4%	16.7%	14.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tenosique	2017	21.9%	49.3%	3.7%
Mexico	Mazatan	2017	6.6%	13.1%	3.3%
Mexico	Zapotlan El Grande	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Mexico	San Diego De La Union	2017	44.5%	72.4%	15.3%
Mexico	Tezoyuca	2017	3.8%	4.4%	3.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Pochutla	2017	17.9%	35.0%	7.3%
Mexico	Coxquihui	2017	24.8%	25.7%	23.9%
Mexico	Donato Guerra	2017	51.0%	63.6%	38.4%
Mexico	Tlacolula De Matamoros	2017	16.1%	17.2%	15.2%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecuanipan	2017	26.0%	26.8%	25.2%
Mexico	Villa Corzo	2017	26.3%	50.5%	8.5%
Mexico	Putla Villa De Guerrero	2017	26.8%	34.4%	20.6%
Mexico	Xochitlan De Vicente Suarez	2017	26.9%	29.3%	25.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zacatepec	2017	36.4%	49.5%	24.2%
Mexico	Villa Aldama	2017	14.0%	16.6%	12.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Yotao	2017	32.1%	33.7%	30.4%
Mexico	Ascension	2017	1.2%	3.0%	0.5%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2017	44.0%	46.1%	41.8%
Mexico	Hocaba	2017	60.9%	61.9%	59.9%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Tamazulapan	2017	29.6%	32.4%	26.3%
Mexico	Mezquitlan Del Oro	2017	36.9%	58.5%	17.2%
Mexico	Reforma De Pineda	2017	15.3%	18.1%	12.5%
Mexico	Villa Purificacion	2017	30.3%	59.5%	5.3%
Mexico	Noria De Angeles	2017	25.5%	46.4%	11.5%
Mexico	Amozoc	2017	10.8%	12.2%	9.4%
Mexico	Aconchi	2017	4.8%	6.2%	3.6%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Sureste	2017	7.8%	9.2%	6.6%
Mexico	Opodepe	2017	9.4%	24.2%	1.9%
Mexico	Zitlaltepec De Trinidad Sanchez Santos	2017	8.2%	8.9%	7.5%
Mexico	Progreso De Obregon	2017	12.2%	14.7%	10.1%
Mexico	Genaro Codina	2017	48.5%	69.8%	27.4%
Mexico	Villa Sola De Vega	2017	32.8%	45.7%	20.6%
Mexico	Xilitla	2017	4.5%	9.3%	2.0%
Mexico	Bahia De Banderas	2017	12.4%	30.4%	2.5%
Mexico	San Juan Achiutla	2017	12.7%	14.1%	11.5%
Mexico	Jocotepec	2017	6.9%	15.3%	2.1%
Mexico	Los Aldamas	2017	3.8%	12.1%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Xalpatlahuac	2017	62.2%	75.9%	46.1%
Mexico	Tamasopo	2017	17.0%	37.1%	4.8%
Mexico	San Sebastian Nicananduta	2017	6.1%	7.4%	5.1%
Mexico	Gral. Zuazua	2017	2.0%	3.1%	1.2%
Mexico	Contepec	2017	29.5%	47.2%	15.2%
Mexico	Xicoteppec	2017	7.8%	10.6%	5.9%
Mexico	San Juan Del Estado	2017	2.6%	3.2%	2.1%
Mexico	El Grullo	2017	1.5%	2.2%	1.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Ixitlan	2017	21.1%	24.7%	17.7%
Mexico	Cheran	2017	7.7%	11.3%	4.9%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Sola	2017	45.8%	67.5%	23.9%
Mexico	Acuamanala De Miguel Hidalgo	2017	10.9%	11.4%	10.4%
Mexico	Villa Victoria	2017	62.5%	79.6%	44.9%
Mexico	Tlacotepec Plumas	2017	25.1%	26.6%	23.6%
Mexico	Gral. Teran	2017	2.3%	5.3%	0.6%
Mexico	Amatitan	2017	6.5%	12.1%	3.0%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2017	21.0%	42.1%	5.5%
Mexico	San Martin De Las Piramides	2017	9.1%	9.6%	8.7%
Mexico	Yanga	2017	13.3%	15.3%	11.3%
Mexico	Coahuayutla De Jose Maria Izazaga	2017	69.6%	86.1%	50.6%
Mexico	San Vicente Coatlan	2017	40.5%	44.5%	37.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Yaneri	2017	9.7%	10.8%	8.6%
Mexico	Apaxco	2017	12.0%	13.5%	10.6%
Mexico	Teapa	2017	15.7%	25.6%	8.3%
Mexico	Tonaya	2017	10.0%	17.2%	4.5%
Mexico	Ixcatepec	2017	5.9%	10.1%	3.3%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	2.8%	4.2%	1.7%
Mexico	Los Ramones	2017	5.6%	15.9%	0.9%
Mexico	San Antonio	2017	13.7%	17.5%	11.4%
Mexico	Marquelia	2017	37.1%	78.9%	3.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Juquila	2017	32.3%	37.7%	27.9%
Mexico	Coronado	2017	20.6%	54.5%	2.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yavesia	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%
Mexico	Fresnillo	2017	14.0%	24.8%	5.4%
Mexico	Nochistlan De Mejia	2017	13.7%	23.3%	6.0%
Mexico	Arroyo Seco	2017	28.1%	51.4%	13.7%
Mexico	Tepanco De Lopez	2017	15.2%	25.4%	8.5%
Mexico	San Juan Guichicovi	2017	24.3%	35.8%	14.8%
Mexico	Jojutla	2017	4.7%	7.4%	3.6%
Mexico	Cuautlancingo	2017	11.9%	12.7%	11.0%
Mexico	Compostela	2017	15.7%	43.2%	0.9%
Mexico	San Simon Almolongas	2017	36.0%	38.2%	33.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixcatlan	2017	7.5%	9.8%	6.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Villaflores	2017	18.9%	45.9%	3.1%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2017	6.1%	10.1%	3.6%
Mexico	Suchiapa	2017	15.6%	26.0%	7.9%
Mexico	Matachi	2017	8.2%	15.9%	3.1%
Mexico	Corregidora	2017	9.4%	14.4%	5.1%
Mexico	El Mante	2017	4.2%	12.2%	1.0%
Mexico	Chontla	2017	10.2%	15.0%	7.1%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	9.6%	17.9%	4.0%
Mexico	Arizpe	2017	8.9%	23.4%	1.9%
Mexico	Platon Sanchez	2017	8.7%	13.7%	5.9%
Mexico	Tlachichuca	2017	3.4%	6.1%	2.0%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	30.1%	39.7%	22.5%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tlaxcala	2017	10.8%	11.2%	10.4%
Mexico	Martir De Cuilapan	2017	33.6%	58.7%	10.9%
Mexico	Papantla	2017	7.0%	13.4%	2.9%
Mexico	Pichucalco	2017	20.3%	40.1%	5.8%
Mexico	Cuayuca De Andrade	2017	46.6%	57.3%	35.0%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	35.4%	50.7%	18.9%
Mexico	Kinchil	2017	72.1%	74.4%	69.7%
Mexico	Calera	2017	7.8%	14.9%	4.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacamama	2017	54.4%	59.1%	49.8%
Mexico	Teotitlan Del Valle	2017	24.9%	26.3%	23.5%
Mexico	Arivechi	2017	10.2%	29.2%	1.3%
Mexico	San Miguel De Allende	2017	27.7%	46.2%	9.4%
Mexico	Camocuautla	2017	13.5%	14.1%	12.8%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	37.3%	60.7%	16.1%
Mexico	San Antonio La Isla	2017	3.1%	3.3%	2.9%
Mexico	San Antonio De La Cal	2017	1.7%	1.8%	1.6%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Lachatao	2017	7.4%	14.9%	3.1%
Mexico	Cutzamala De Pinzon	2017	30.1%	51.0%	13.0%
Mexico	San Javier	2017	6.3%	10.7%	2.7%
Mexico	Xonacatlan	2017	7.6%	9.1%	6.6%
Mexico	Aquila	2017	20.2%	21.2%	19.3%
Mexico	Valle Hermoso	2017	1.6%	3.9%	0.4%
Mexico	Chalco	2017	1.7%	1.8%	1.6%
Mexico	Villa De Tututepec De Melchor Ocampo	2017	17.3%	41.5%	3.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Ixtlahuaca	2017	17.3%	18.3%	16.3%
Mexico	Huitziltepec	2017	20.7%	23.1%	18.4%
Mexico	Juanacatlan	2017	4.2%	9.4%	1.8%
Mexico	Huejotzingo	2017	18.4%	19.3%	17.6%
Mexico	Jalpa De Mendez	2017	14.4%	28.8%	5.3%
Mexico	Victoria	2017	52.9%	72.6%	33.2%
Mexico	San Blas Atempa	2017	21.9%	39.2%	10.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cuautempan	2017	13.4%	15.9%	11.4%
Mexico	Coatzintla	2017	3.9%	6.8%	2.0%
Mexico	Buctzotz	2017	46.7%	66.7%	25.0%
Mexico	Reynosa	2017	1.4%	3.3%	0.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Chicahua	2017	16.3%	17.9%	14.8%
Mexico	San Juan Chicomezuchil	2017	2.0%	2.5%	1.7%
Mexico	Jilotzingo	2017	8.6%	12.2%	6.3%
Mexico	Coyuca De Catalan	2017	36.0%	52.1%	18.6%
Mexico	Leon	2017	5.6%	16.9%	1.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ozolotepec	2017	17.3%	20.4%	14.7%
Mexico	San Jose Teacalco	2017	19.2%	20.0%	18.3%
Mexico	Iturbide	2017	18.0%	38.7%	4.4%
Mexico	Soledad Atzompa	2017	26.1%	27.1%	25.1%
Mexico	Tabasco	2017	22.3%	42.5%	9.2%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Amatlan	2017	8.6%	9.3%	7.9%
Mexico	Sahuayo	2017	4.5%	5.2%	3.7%
Mexico	San Pablo Macuiltian-guis	2017	33.2%	48.1%	21.7%
Mexico	Berriozabal	2017	19.2%	30.3%	12.3%
Mexico	Texcaltitlan	2017	44.9%	52.8%	38.1%
Mexico	Chochola	2017	34.0%	45.7%	23.7%
Mexico	Zinacantepec	2017	29.9%	43.1%	18.9%
Mexico	San Pablo Cuatro Venados	2017	9.5%	10.6%	8.3%
Mexico	Paraiso	2017	6.9%	18.5%	1.3%
Mexico	Tamiahua	2017	10.4%	24.8%	2.5%
Mexico	Simojovel	2017	12.7%	23.4%	6.2%
Mexico	Valladolid	2017	21.2%	28.5%	14.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yucuhiti	2017	8.0%	9.2%	6.9%
Mexico	Magdalena Tlacotepec	2017	21.1%	40.3%	7.6%
Mexico	Molango De Escamilla	2017	5.5%	8.7%	3.3%
Mexico	Zontecomatlan De Lopez Y Fuentes	2017	20.6%	29.2%	15.2%
Mexico	Zapopan	2017	2.9%	9.8%	0.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Nuxaa	2017	32.7%	35.9%	29.7%
Mexico	Siltepec	2017	21.3%	35.5%	10.4%
Mexico	Tinum	2017	30.0%	55.4%	15.1%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	22.8%	45.1%	8.0%
Mexico	Otumba	2017	20.3%	22.8%	18.2%
Mexico	Angostura	2017	12.9%	36.6%	0.8%
Mexico	Mixtlan	2017	28.5%	59.4%	6.3%
Mexico	Apatzingan	2017	13.4%	24.2%	5.8%
Mexico	Magdalena Penasco	2017	24.3%	25.9%	22.9%
Mexico	Amacueca	2017	3.5%	4.8%	2.7%
Mexico	Juarez Hidalgo	2017	10.3%	14.2%	7.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Quintana Roo	2017	8.9%	11.6%	6.7%
Mexico	Ciudad Madero	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista De Soto	2017	53.7%	60.2%	45.0%
Mexico	Isla Mujeres	2017	7.3%	37.3%	0.1%
Mexico	Jacala De Ledezma	2017	19.5%	28.6%	11.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Guelace	2017	6.0%	6.9%	5.1%
Mexico	Chiautla	2017	3.9%	4.6%	3.3%
Mexico	Coatepec	2017	16.1%	17.1%	15.2%
Mexico	Tetipac	2017	44.6%	54.4%	32.2%
Mexico	Ocoatepec	2017	9.4%	12.3%	7.0%
Mexico	Manuel Doblado	2017	27.6%	45.7%	12.1%
Mexico	Atlequizayan	2017	37.0%	38.0%	36.0%
Mexico	San Gregorio Atzompa	2017	18.4%	18.9%	17.8%
Mexico	Soltepec	2017	6.8%	8.9%	4.5%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2017	40.6%	57.3%	22.2%
Mexico	Nicolas Bravo	2017	38.2%	41.8%	34.6%
Mexico	Tanquian De Escobedo	2017	10.3%	14.4%	6.8%
Mexico	Parras	2017	10.7%	17.9%	4.8%
Mexico	Cajeme	2017	2.2%	4.6%	0.9%
Mexico	Xico	2017	6.8%	9.9%	4.7%
Mexico	San Francisco De Conchos	2017	15.5%	38.8%	3.2%
Mexico	Animas Trujano	2017	2.6%	2.9%	2.4%
Mexico	General Plutarco Elias Calles	2017	6.0%	13.3%	2.2%
Mexico	Chapab	2017	39.7%	45.0%	34.9%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	27.3%	41.3%	16.0%
Mexico	La Antigua	2017	5.1%	23.1%	0.7%
Mexico	Concepcion Del Oro	2017	10.9%	18.3%	6.1%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	2.3%	6.5%	0.6%
Mexico	Paracuaro	2017	19.5%	36.3%	6.4%
Mexico	San Martin Hidalgo	2017	9.9%	26.7%	2.3%
Mexico	Cucurpe	2017	14.2%	58.4%	0.6%
Mexico	Atzalan	2017	7.5%	15.0%	3.7%
Mexico	Ixtacomitan	2017	16.6%	22.1%	11.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tomaltepec	2017	21.9%	23.1%	20.8%
Mexico	Santiago Tetepec	2017	37.4%	51.2%	27.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Yucunama	2017	14.5%	16.4%	12.8%
Mexico	Juchitan	2017	40.2%	59.7%	22.1%
Mexico	Taretan	2017	7.4%	11.9%	4.7%
Mexico	Apazapan	2017	16.1%	30.7%	7.4%
Mexico	Cienega De Zimatlan	2017	9.6%	10.3%	9.0%
Mexico	Papalotla	2017	3.6%	3.9%	3.4%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Guelache	2017	8.9%	9.8%	8.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Dzilam De Bravo	2017	35.6%	90.7%	0.6%
Mexico	Chiapa Corzo	2017	10.5%	21.6%	3.3%
Mexico	Atil	2017	5.1%	8.7%	2.7%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	26.6%	47.8%	9.9%
Mexico	Chacaltianguis	2017	13.4%	27.0%	4.3%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	5.2%	15.0%	1.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Del Monte	2017	11.6%	13.2%	10.4%
Mexico	Zapotitlan	2017	19.9%	29.4%	13.9%
Mexico	Lolotla	2017	7.6%	17.8%	2.9%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	13.3%	17.6%	9.0%
Mexico	Janos	2017	4.7%	13.4%	1.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temascaltepec	2017	17.9%	19.5%	16.5%
Mexico	Timucuy	2017	44.4%	49.2%	39.8%
Mexico	Ocoyucan	2017	22.6%	24.6%	20.8%
Mexico	Silao	2017	15.4%	30.0%	5.2%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Tablas	2017	50.5%	65.6%	35.6%
Mexico	Copandaro	2017	10.0%	13.4%	7.8%
Mexico	Tasquillo	2017	30.3%	42.5%	15.9%
Mexico	San Martin Zacatepec	2017	27.1%	29.0%	25.5%
Mexico	San Lucas Quiavini	2017	34.7%	36.1%	33.4%
Mexico	Xalisco	2017	3.3%	6.5%	1.5%
Mexico	Campeche	2017	7.6%	18.9%	1.9%
Mexico	Victoria	2017	2.7%	6.3%	0.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ixcatlan	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Mexico	Playas De Rosarito	2017	3.1%	9.3%	0.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tonameca	2017	17.9%	38.9%	5.4%
Mexico	San Lucas Tecopilco	2017	16.4%	18.2%	15.0%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2017	31.4%	53.5%	14.0%
Mexico	Xochitepec	2017	10.1%	11.1%	9.3%
Mexico	Tlapacoaya	2017	12.8%	13.8%	11.9%
Mexico	Zirandaro	2017	38.6%	62.8%	16.9%
Mexico	Jose Sixto Verduzco	2017	18.1%	31.4%	8.4%
Mexico	Madero	2017	20.1%	40.0%	6.8%
Mexico	Acayucan	2017	9.2%	17.2%	3.6%
Mexico	Carrillo Puerto	2017	49.5%	62.7%	35.9%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Nopala	2017	27.3%	35.0%	21.0%
Mexico	Cocula	2017	6.9%	15.0%	2.2%
Mexico	Acuitzio	2017	9.0%	14.4%	5.6%
Mexico	Tepache	2017	5.7%	8.5%	3.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tlatayapam	2017	14.0%	15.0%	13.0%
Mexico	Tizimin	2017	28.9%	47.5%	13.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yolotepec	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chapultenango	2017	12.8%	20.9%	7.6%
Mexico	Santo Tomas	2017	40.1%	59.7%	24.2%
Mexico	Ayahualulco	2017	7.8%	12.8%	4.7%
Mexico	Azoyu	2017	45.1%	75.9%	15.9%
Mexico	Tehuipango	2017	46.3%	49.8%	43.6%
Mexico	Xochiltepec	2017	23.6%	25.9%	21.4%
Mexico	Villa Tejupam	2017	31.4%	35.1%	28.3%
Mexico	De La Union				
Mexico	Ignacio De La Llave	2017	25.9%	42.5%	11.5%
Mexico	Copala	2017	42.3%	70.0%	14.4%
Mexico	San Jose Mi-ahuatlan	2017	7.5%	10.2%	5.9%
Mexico	Camerino Z. Mendoza	2017	6.0%	6.3%	5.7%
Mexico	Chichiquila	2017	10.1%	11.6%	9.0%
Mexico	Santa Ana Cuauhtemoc	2017	10.4%	11.6%	9.3%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Mazaltepec	2017	21.8%	22.9%	20.5%
Mexico	Altotonga	2017	7.8%	11.7%	5.5%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocotlan	2017	31.7%	32.4%	31.1%
Mexico	Comondu	2017	3.9%	8.9%	1.7%
Mexico	Naolinco	2017	12.0%	14.1%	10.2%
Mexico	Tapachula	2017	8.6%	16.4%	3.9%
Mexico	Santiago Atitlan	2017	7.3%	9.0%	5.9%
Mexico	Tuxcueca	2017	13.5%	34.8%	2.5%
Mexico	Tzitzio	2017	31.9%	57.9%	11.0%
Mexico	Lagos De Moreno	2017	13.6%	28.6%	4.7%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	23.8%	39.3%	13.3%
Mexico	Casimiro Castillo	2017	9.0%	19.0%	2.8%
Mexico	El Oro	2017	52.9%	58.6%	44.3%
Mexico	San Cristobal Suchixtlahuaca	2017	6.1%	8.2%	4.4%
Mexico	Juan C. Bonilla	2017	13.0%	13.5%	12.4%
Mexico	Xochistlahuaca	2017	55.6%	70.6%	40.3%
Mexico	Riva Palacio	2017	12.4%	27.2%	4.3%
Mexico	Martinez De La Torre	2017	5.1%	17.6%	1.1%
Mexico	Tixmehuac	2017	48.5%	61.1%	34.7%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tlachahuaya	2017	18.1%	19.1%	17.1%
Mexico	Chapa De Mota	2017	43.2%	55.6%	28.5%
Mexico	San Marcos	2017	6.9%	11.9%	3.4%
Mexico	Villa Pesqueira	2017	11.2%	24.5%	4.5%
Mexico	Coatzingo	2017	30.2%	35.8%	25.5%
Mexico	Tula De Alende	2017	13.7%	22.9%	7.3%
Mexico	San Juan Chilteca	2017	17.8%	18.9%	16.7%
Mexico	Hopelchen	2017	35.1%	55.2%	18.7%
Mexico	Tepatlatxco	2017	12.0%	14.5%	10.1%
Mexico	Mazatepec	2017	14.4%	19.1%	10.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cosio	2017	14.6%	24.9%	7.6%
Mexico	Felipe Carrillo Puerto	2017	28.8%	43.9%	13.8%
Mexico	Asuncion Nochixtlan	2017	7.8%	8.6%	7.1%
Mexico	San Mateo Peñasco	2017	10.8%	11.9%	10.0%
Mexico	San Lucas Zoquiapam	2017	7.5%	8.1%	6.9%
Mexico	Divisaderos	2017	5.5%	17.2%	1.0%
Mexico	Tenango Del Aire	2017	2.4%	2.6%	2.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Taviche	2017	73.4%	77.7%	69.3%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	4.4%	5.4%	3.6%
Mexico	Atolinga	2017	32.7%	49.7%	16.5%
Mexico	Alfajayucan	2017	39.2%	57.6%	20.9%
Mexico	Manzanillo	2017	6.8%	20.1%	1.0%
Mexico	San Nicolas Hidalgo	2017	19.3%	20.8%	17.6%
Mexico	Santiago El Pinar	2017	58.2%	60.5%	55.7%
Mexico	Armadillo De Los Infante	2017	23.6%	44.1%	8.8%
Mexico	Teotitlan De Flores Magon	2017	14.9%	16.0%	13.8%
Mexico	Nuevo Parangaricutiro	2017	1.9%	3.6%	0.8%
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Grande	2017	28.1%	34.7%	21.9%
Mexico	Gral. Simon Bolivar	2017	29.2%	49.2%	13.4%
Mexico	Huachinera	2017	9.6%	28.1%	1.1%
Mexico	Mineral Del Chico	2017	30.6%	34.8%	26.0%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Del Camino	2017	2.3%	2.5%	2.2%
Mexico	Zimapan	2017	34.5%	53.8%	18.3%
Mexico	Saric	2017	12.4%	45.0%	0.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tutla	2017	2.3%	2.4%	2.1%
Mexico	Tixtla De Guerrero	2017	29.8%	43.3%	17.0%
Mexico	Chocaman	2017	7.7%	8.7%	6.8%
Mexico	Tixcacalcupul	2017	37.6%	58.0%	16.7%
Mexico	San Nicolas De Los Ranchos	2017	13.6%	14.6%	12.7%
Mexico	Zinacatepec	2017	17.1%	20.5%	13.8%
Mexico	Nautla	2017	13.6%	29.3%	3.4%
Mexico	Chigmecatitlan	2017	33.9%	37.1%	30.6%
Mexico	Oteapan	2017	25.1%	25.7%	24.4%
Mexico	Tamazunchale	2017	9.3%	14.9%	4.8%
Mexico	Tecolotlan	2017	17.9%	32.4%	8.4%
Mexico	Escuintla	2017	23.1%	38.5%	11.0%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlaltelulco	2017	6.0%	6.2%	5.8%
Mexico	Otaez	2017	44.3%	65.4%	25.4%
Mexico	San Martin Tilcayete	2017	17.0%	17.7%	16.1%
Mexico	Seye	2017	57.0%	59.0%	54.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jose Joaquin De Herrera	2017	43.9%	84.8%	5.0%
Mexico	Marin	2017	4.0%	5.6%	3.1%
Mexico	San Antonio Acutla	2017	21.6%	24.0%	19.2%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	2.5%	4.8%	1.4%
Mexico	Cuajinicuilapa	2017	53.5%	71.2%	35.1%
Mexico	Capulhuac	2017	8.9%	9.4%	8.4%
Mexico	Juchique De Ferrer	2017	26.8%	35.2%	19.8%
Mexico	Cuautitlan De Garcia Barragan	2017	18.5%	37.6%	4.6%
Mexico	Mapastepec	2017	18.7%	32.2%	6.9%
Mexico	Luis Moya	2017	9.6%	15.6%	5.4%
Mexico	Gral. Zaragoza	2017	18.1%	38.3%	5.1%
Mexico	San Agustin Loxicha	2017	21.3%	31.9%	14.6%
Mexico	Mendez	2017	7.2%	15.5%	2.9%
Mexico	Ocoyoacac	2017	5.7%	6.1%	5.2%
Mexico	Cualac	2017	31.9%	44.0%	19.9%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	4.7%	11.0%	1.8%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2017	10.5%	11.0%	10.1%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	8.0%	17.1%	1.6%
Mexico	Calakmul	2017	14.9%	25.7%	7.1%
Mexico	San Jose Iturbide	2017	30.9%	50.2%	13.2%
Mexico	Uruachi	2017	31.0%	50.1%	17.9%
Mexico	Coyoacan	2017	1.1%	1.2%	1.1%
Mexico	Bocoyna	2017	16.2%	25.8%	9.0%
Mexico	Morelia	2017	5.0%	8.9%	2.1%
Mexico	Huatabampo	2017	11.4%	29.0%	1.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Ingenio	2017	18.2%	35.2%	5.1%
Mexico	Pueblo Viejo	2017	2.1%	3.0%	1.4%
Mexico	Cuatla	2017	4.7%	5.9%	4.0%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	2.5%	7.9%	0.5%
Mexico	Huimanguillo	2017	18.7%	40.3%	5.4%
Mexico	Xalatlaco	2017	7.8%	8.5%	7.2%
Mexico	Cacahoatan	2017	5.2%	9.6%	3.0%
Mexico	Cuyamecalco	2017	30.9%	34.4%	26.8%
Mexico	Villa De Zaragoza	2017	25.5%	54.4%	6.8%
Mexico	San Nicolas Tolentino	2017	37.2%	59.1%	16.5%
Mexico	Apaxtla	2017	13.1%	20.1%	9.5%
Mexico	San Antonino El Alto	2017	35.2%	43.6%	27.2%
Mexico	San Francisco Tlapancingo	2017	16.9%	28.5%	8.9%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	31.3%	35.1%	27.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ipalapa	2017	21.3%	22.5%	20.3%
Mexico	San Marcos Arteaga	2017	1.5%	3.3%	0.8%
Mexico	Tonala	2017	13.3%	14.6%	12.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Cajonos	2017			

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Llera	2017	10.1%	23.4%	3.9%
Mexico	Villa De Tezontepec	2017	6.6%	8.2%	5.2%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Benito Juarez	2017	22.4%	30.8%	15.2%
Mexico	Doctor Mora	2017	42.4%	60.1%	24.5%
Mexico	Tenejapa	2017	29.4%	40.1%	20.7%
Mexico	Tecoman	2017	4.1%	9.5%	1.3%
Mexico	Santiago Sochiapa	2017	17.1%	49.0%	2.1%
Mexico	Coroneo	2017	40.7%	52.9%	28.6%
Mexico	Acala	2017	14.3%	24.3%	7.7%
Mexico	Chumayel	2017	62.0%	63.0%	60.9%
Mexico	Santa Magdalena Jicotlan	2017	7.7%	9.3%	6.3%
Mexico	Valle De Guadalupe	2017	10.5%	20.7%	4.5%
Mexico	Osumacinta	2017	15.7%	19.9%	13.2%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2017	14.7%	17.6%	11.9%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	1.5%	1.6%	1.4%
Mexico	Tehuacan	2017	6.3%	8.4%	4.5%
Mexico	Meoqui	2017	3.8%	7.6%	1.8%
Mexico	Tepetlan	2017	19.8%	28.2%	12.4%
Mexico	Jamapa	2017	22.9%	36.7%	13.0%
Mexico	Ocoatepec	2017	27.1%	31.1%	23.8%
Mexico	Tamazulapam Del Espiritu Santo	2017	25.3%	26.5%	24.3%
Mexico	Metapa	2017	20.1%	24.0%	16.2%
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2017	4.1%	9.1%	1.4%
Mexico	El Limon	2017	5.9%	11.7%	3.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Garza Garcia	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Mexico	Villa Union	2017	10.1%	18.9%	3.7%
Mexico	Julimes	2017	6.6%	13.8%	3.0%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2017	8.2%	9.4%	7.2%
Mexico	Tlacojalpan	2017	9.0%	11.6%	7.2%
Mexico	Zinacantan	2017	34.5%	44.9%	26.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Comitancillo	2017	7.9%	9.1%	6.8%
Mexico	Tila	2017	14.0%	28.2%	5.3%
Mexico	Bacum	2017	5.5%	12.3%	1.7%
Mexico	Tubutama	2017	11.8%	40.3%	1.3%
Mexico	San Felipe Tejalapam	2017	12.6%	13.4%	11.9%
Mexico	San Felipe	2017	37.2%	57.6%	16.0%
Mexico	Mochitlan	2017	28.9%	61.7%	6.8%
Mexico	San Juan Mazatlan	2017	16.0%	37.1%	5.0%
Mexico	Catazaja	2017	30.6%	57.8%	9.6%
Mexico	Nicolas Ruiz	2017	29.6%	72.9%	2.4%
Mexico	Quimixtlan	2017	3.4%	4.7%	2.6%
Mexico	Kopoma	2017	23.6%	29.6%	19.4%
Mexico	Tepechitlan	2017	22.6%	41.0%	9.4%
Mexico	Jaltocan	2017	22.3%	31.8%	14.4%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.3%
Mexico	Teopantlan	2017	19.5%	29.7%	11.9%
Mexico	Amanalco	2017	51.3%	65.7%	37.0%
Mexico	Villa Comaltitlan	2017	20.3%	39.3%	8.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Mazapil	2017	29.2%	41.7%	17.8%
Mexico	Izucar De Matamoros	2017	10.4%	12.6%	8.8%
Mexico	Ruiz	2017	18.6%	36.3%	4.3%
Mexico	Atemajac De Brizuela	2017	12.9%	19.1%	8.4%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2017	26.3%	47.8%	10.3%
Mexico	San Vicente Lachixio	2017	13.5%	15.0%	12.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria De Los Angeles	2017	32.2%	47.3%	17.9%
Mexico	Villamar	2017	8.0%	14.1%	4.1%
Mexico	Villa Diaz Ordaz	2017	25.0%	28.8%	21.3%
Mexico	Manlio Fabio Altamirano	2017	16.6%	24.3%	10.0%
Mexico	San Blas	2017	15.1%	37.6%	2.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Jicayan	2017	53.2%	54.4%	51.8%
Mexico	Lamadrid	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Yosonotú	2017	9.2%	11.7%	7.5%
Mexico	Ixmatalahuacán	2017	16.9%	26.2%	9.3%
Mexico	Salamanca	2017	12.1%	21.8%	5.7%
Mexico	Uriangato	2017	5.5%	6.4%	4.8%
Mexico	Tepango De Rodriguez	2017	10.0%	10.4%	9.4%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Del Rio	2017	2.3%	4.7%	0.9%
Mexico	Chiapilla	2017	21.7%	26.5%	17.5%
Mexico	Puerto Vallarta	2017	5.7%	15.7%	1.5%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2017	17.8%	23.0%	14.1%
Mexico	Numaran	2017	18.3%	29.7%	10.4%
Mexico	San Martin Lachila	2017	7.6%	8.3%	7.0%
Mexico	Benjamin Hill	2017	2.2%	5.9%	0.8%
Mexico	Candela	2017	2.4%	5.8%	0.9%
Mexico	Santiago Tenango	2017	29.2%	32.7%	26.0%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	23.4%	48.2%	6.7%
Mexico	Nazas	2017	19.9%	40.3%	5.2%
Mexico	Tlacuilotepec	2017	12.9%	19.9%	8.4%
Mexico	Santa Ines Ahuatempan	2017	21.1%	26.4%	15.4%
Mexico	Santiago Ayuquillilla	2017	50.2%	57.7%	42.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Papalo	2017	13.0%	14.8%	10.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Tecomatlan	2017	22.5%	24.4%	20.7%
Mexico	Santa Ana Yareni	2017	2.5%	3.2%	2.0%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2017	23.6%	31.2%	17.8%
Mexico	Chiautempan	2017	7.0%	7.2%	6.7%
Mexico	San Martin Toxpalan	2017	22.9%	24.8%	21.2%
Mexico	Canatlan	2017	28.1%	44.7%	12.8%
Mexico	Gomez Palacio	2017	4.2%	7.9%	2.1%
Mexico	Atexcal	2017	27.2%	41.4%	17.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria De La Paz	2017	24.0%	66.8%	1.2%
Mexico	San Jose Lachiguiri	2017	13.2%	15.6%	11.0%
Mexico	Azcapotzalco	2017	1.0%	1.1%	1.0%
Mexico	Mazatlan	2017	6.1%	15.5%	1.4%
Mexico	Huatusco	2017	10.4%	11.3%	9.6%
Mexico	Mocorito	2017	20.4%	37.4%	7.1%
Mexico	Toluca	2017	15.5%	24.4%	9.1%
Mexico	Zacapu	2017	3.8%	6.3%	1.9%
Mexico	Amatenango Del Valle	2017	39.7%	50.2%	30.0%
Mexico	Chapulhuacan	2017	8.2%	14.7%	4.2%
Mexico	San Bartolo Tutotepec	2017	32.4%	40.2%	26.2%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	3.8%	7.5%	2.0%
Mexico	Tanhuato	2017	8.8%	14.4%	5.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Ixhuatan	2017	32.3%	38.3%	27.9%
Mexico	Villa Garcia	2017	17.1%	33.4%	6.1%
Mexico	Tuzamapan De Galeana	2017	33.7%	34.7%	32.7%
Mexico	San Mateo Pinas	2017	20.4%	31.0%	13.0%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2017	1.3%	1.3%	1.2%
Mexico	Yuriria	2017	19.7%	38.9%	5.8%
Mexico	Ures	2017	5.4%	12.2%	2.1%
Mexico	Naranjos Amatlan	2017	4.0%	5.1%	3.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Tlapiltepec	2017	18.4%	20.0%	16.8%
Mexico	Yahualica	2017	22.5%	27.9%	18.4%
Mexico	Brisenas	2017	4.3%	4.9%	3.7%
Mexico	Igualapa	2017	59.6%	70.4%	47.3%
Mexico	Mixtla De Altamirano	2017	12.8%	13.7%	12.1%
Mexico	Chalchicomula De Sesma	2017	3.1%	5.1%	1.7%
Mexico	Carmen	2017	7.2%	14.9%	3.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	1.1%	3.0%	0.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2017	13.8%	28.8%	3.5%
Mexico	Huanusco	2017	27.2%	51.6%	7.8%
Mexico	Halacho	2017	51.6%	67.5%	33.9%
Mexico	Venado	2017	10.7%	20.7%	4.7%
Mexico	Mainero	2017	11.7%	19.8%	6.0%
Mexico	Penjamo	2017	23.7%	47.9%	8.4%
Mexico	Rafael Delgado	2017	16.6%	16.9%	16.2%
Mexico	Tekit	2017	43.6%	46.2%	40.7%
Mexico	Tetela Del Volcan	2017	17.0%	19.1%	15.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Teposcolula	2017	10.6%	12.2%	9.4%
Mexico	Temax	2017	29.5%	42.6%	20.7%
Mexico	Huehuetan	2017	25.4%	37.1%	17.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tlaltempan	2017	25.7%	27.8%	23.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tepeyahualco	2017	6.5%	15.6%	2.0%
Mexico	Tunkas	2017	22.2%	29.9%	15.0%
Mexico	Tuxtilla	2017	3.0%	4.4%	1.8%
Mexico	Aquixtla	2017	8.6%	13.6%	4.8%
Mexico	Acatic	2017	19.6%	38.1%	7.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nativitas	2017	25.7%	28.1%	23.6%
Mexico	Pantelho	2017	45.3%	57.3%	35.2%
Mexico	Nonoava	2017	48.6%	67.3%	34.4%
Mexico	Filomeno Mata	2017	48.7%	49.8%	47.6%
Mexico	Puruandiro	2017	15.4%	27.6%	5.6%
Mexico	Moroleon	2017	5.6%	7.7%	4.1%
Mexico	Iztapalapa	2017	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
Mexico	Xichu	2017	58.9%	78.8%	38.0%
Mexico	Santiago Chazumba	2017	10.1%	14.5%	7.1%
Mexico	Teopisca	2017	18.3%	23.4%	14.1%
Mexico	San Gabriel	2017	12.1%	25.1%	2.9%
Mexico	Arcelia	2017	32.8%	57.6%	11.8%
Mexico	Ziracuaretiro	2017	4.6%	6.4%	3.0%
Mexico	Tapalapa	2017	23.1%	23.9%	22.1%
Mexico	Chinampa De Gorostiza	2017	4.8%	7.8%	3.2%
Mexico	La Reforma	2017	32.6%	43.0%	24.2%
Mexico	La Huerta	2017	15.4%	41.9%	1.0%
Mexico	Ayotoxco De Guerrero	2017	20.3%	26.4%	15.0%
Mexico	Tepemaxalco	2017	67.6%	69.1%	65.8%
Mexico	Tolimán	2017	24.8%	43.6%	9.2%
Mexico	Minatitlán	2017	7.5%	10.8%	5.0%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	13.9%	20.7%	9.2%
Mexico	Santiago Yucuyachi	2017	34.3%	36.5%	32.0%
Mexico	Arriaga	2017	14.0%	31.3%	4.0%
Mexico	Sinanche	2017	37.5%	42.7%	32.5%
Mexico	Tepetitla De Lardizabal	2017	5.5%	5.8%	5.3%
Mexico	Tlacolulan	2017	7.5%	8.9%	6.4%
Mexico	Totatiche	2017	35.6%	53.7%	20.5%
Mexico	Tapilula	2017	6.3%	8.1%	4.9%
Mexico	Hunucma	2017	52.8%	59.9%	44.5%
Mexico	Teabo	2017	67.1%	72.4%	62.8%
Mexico	Pantepec	2017	16.0%	24.3%	9.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Tulancingo	2017	13.6%	15.0%	12.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Xoxtla	2017	11.5%	12.1%	10.8%
Mexico	San Felipe Usila	2017	3.9%	7.7%	2.2%
Mexico	Ajuchitlan Del Progreso	2017	37.1%	67.8%	11.4%
Mexico	Sotuta	2017	45.9%	59.1%	32.4%
Mexico	Villa De Zachila	2017	10.0%	10.5%	9.6%
Mexico	San Francisco Cahuacua	2017	2.5%	4.8%	1.3%
Mexico	Santiago Maravatio	2017	17.2%	21.2%	14.8%
Mexico	Santiago Minas	2017	33.7%	47.6%	20.9%
Mexico	General Felipe Angeles	2017	4.9%	7.2%	3.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Ahualulco	2017	38.8%	61.8%	19.2%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	24.6%	40.8%	11.5%
Mexico	Guachochi	2017	30.3%	45.6%	17.7%
Mexico	Anahuac	2017	1.5%	3.4%	0.3%
Mexico	Santa Elena	2017	44.3%	58.1%	27.2%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista	2017	8.6%	18.3%	3.0%
Mexico	Atatlahuca				
Mexico	Tlaxcala	2017	4.9%	5.1%	4.7%
Mexico	San Luis Potosi	2017	4.8%	10.6%	2.1%
Mexico	Solosuchiapa	2017	21.0%	25.3%	16.6%
Mexico	Temosachi	2017	20.9%	37.0%	9.2%
Mexico	Santa Ana Ateixtlahuaca	2017	6.6%	7.7%	5.7%
Mexico	San Pablo Huixtepec	2017	24.7%	25.7%	23.8%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Jalieza	2017	26.6%	27.6%	25.5%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Coixtlahuaca	2017	12.5%	14.2%	10.9%
Mexico	Cuernavaca	2017	3.2%	4.6%	2.4%
Mexico	Asuncion Cacalotepec	2017	34.0%	36.9%	31.2%
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Juventino Rosas	2017	10.8%	21.4%	4.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Lachixio	2017	9.5%	11.0%	8.2%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Ocotepec	2017	19.7%	22.8%	16.8%
Mexico	General Heliodoro Castillo	2017	42.9%	70.8%	18.2%
Mexico	Celaya	2017	5.2%	11.0%	1.7%
Mexico	Naupan	2017	17.5%	19.4%	15.5%
Mexico	Magdalena Jaltepec	2017	22.8%	26.6%	19.5%
Mexico	Cojumatlan De Regules	2017	9.2%	14.3%	5.5%
Mexico	Zacapoaxtla	2017	11.1%	12.2%	10.2%
Mexico	San Pablo Yaganiza	2017	12.4%	13.5%	11.4%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2017	10.9%	16.1%	7.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Penoles	2017	37.4%	42.7%	32.4%
Mexico	Pacula	2017	40.2%	63.8%	19.3%
Mexico	Coxcatlan	2017	9.0%	11.0%	7.4%
Mexico	Chiautzingo	2017	11.6%	12.6%	10.6%
Mexico	Calnali	2017	18.7%	26.9%	12.0%
Mexico	Purisima Del Rincon	2017	11.7%	24.0%	4.6%
Mexico	Tlalpujahua	2017	42.6%	53.3%	32.3%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	19.1%	26.0%	12.6%
Mexico	Atizapan	2017	4.0%	4.3%	3.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Yeloixtlahuaca	2017	22.6%	28.5%	17.5%
Mexico	Apizaco	2017	8.0%	8.3%	7.7%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tacache De Mina	2017	22.9%	25.8%	19.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Acaponeta	2017	16.1%	28.4%	7.4%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	8.3%	27.5%	0.8%
Mexico	Xocchel	2017	65.2%	66.6%	63.5%
Mexico	Concepcion Buenavista	2017	48.4%	55.2%	41.2%
Mexico	Tuxpan	2017	7.4%	13.2%	4.4%
Mexico	Ojinaga	2017	5.3%	12.6%	1.8%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintepec	2017	42.7%	54.6%	32.7%
Mexico	Indaparapeo	2017	10.9%	16.2%	7.3%
Mexico	Agua Prieta	2017	2.4%	6.8%	0.9%
Mexico	Macuspana	2017	16.5%	30.1%	5.2%
Mexico	Periban	2017	3.2%	5.7%	1.4%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Lagos	2017	7.3%	15.0%	2.4%
Mexico	San Bartolo Yautepec	2017	11.7%	28.5%	3.2%
Mexico	La Paz	2017	0.8%	0.9%	0.8%
Mexico	Tlahuelilpan	2017	8.0%	8.6%	7.4%
Mexico	Nealtican	2017	9.4%	10.1%	8.7%
Mexico	Tenango De Doria	2017	28.9%	35.5%	23.6%
Mexico	San Luis Acatlan	2017	49.5%	75.2%	24.3%
Mexico	San Baltazar Chichicapam	2017	29.6%	31.6%	27.9%
Mexico	Misantla	2017	10.9%	18.9%	4.2%
Mexico	San Carlos	2017	12.9%	30.7%	3.9%
Mexico	Yaxcaba	2017	47.6%	67.9%	29.7%
Mexico	Joquicingo	2017	6.8%	7.7%	5.9%
Mexico	San Dionisio Del Mar	2017	30.9%	52.2%	13.8%
Mexico	Villa De Tamazulapam	2017	6.2%	7.4%	5.3%
Mexico	Del Progreso Maravilla	2017	20.0%	40.0%	8.1%
Mexico	Tenejapa	2017	42.4%	49.8%	34.8%
Mexico	Xochitlan Todos Santos	2017	42.4%	49.8%	34.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Jaltepetongo	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Mexico	Conkal	2017	46.2%	54.5%	38.3%
Mexico	Puente Nacional	2017	16.1%	34.4%	4.7%
Mexico	Ezequiel Montes	2017	24.5%	43.8%	10.7%
Mexico	Apozol	2017	11.7%	23.5%	4.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yosoyua	2017	10.4%	11.4%	9.6%
Mexico	Atlamajalcingo Del Monte	2017	65.4%	74.4%	55.2%
Mexico	Bella Vista	2017	9.6%	17.2%	4.0%
Mexico	San Pedro Jocotipac	2017	4.6%	5.9%	3.5%
Mexico	Santa Isabel	2017	10.9%	25.1%	3.1%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	1.5%	2.4%	0.8%
Mexico	Yehualtepec	2017	18.8%	26.3%	14.2%
Mexico	Magdalena Yodocono De Porfirio Diaz	2017	12.8%	13.8%	11.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Achiutla	2017	38.8%	40.8%	36.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Jeronimo Sosola	2017	20.4%	26.6%	15.6%
Mexico	Sabanilla	2017	7.0%	19.3%	2.1%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla De Baz	2017	1.8%	1.9%	1.8%
Mexico	Coacoatzintla	2017	6.2%	6.6%	5.8%
Mexico	Mezquitic	2017	54.8%	77.2%	31.4%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Palmas	2017	30.4%	36.3%	24.9%
Mexico	Senguio	2017	28.8%	40.5%	18.5%
Mexico	Villa De Alvarez	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Mexico	Amacuzac	2017	16.5%	20.1%	13.1%
Mexico	El Arenal	2017	24.5%	33.2%	16.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatitlan	2017	39.8%	42.9%	36.8%
Mexico	Jerez	2017	13.8%	25.3%	5.9%
Mexico	El Plateado De Joaquin Amaro	2017	33.1%	57.2%	13.3%
Mexico	Yajalon	2017	15.2%	26.9%	7.2%
Mexico	Santiago Camotlan	2017	3.5%	5.2%	2.3%
Mexico	Cadereyta De Montes	2017	37.4%	59.6%	16.8%
Mexico	Penjamillo	2017	16.1%	29.5%	6.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Quiegolani	2017	71.2%	79.6%	62.3%
Mexico	Jamay	2017	2.9%	4.9%	1.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Mar	2017	36.0%	55.9%	15.8%
Mexico	Ayotzintepec	2017	2.3%	4.3%	1.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Totolapan	2017	54.9%	74.1%	33.3%
Mexico	Chapantongo	2017	46.8%	67.2%	27.4%
Mexico	Tlapehuala	2017	32.0%	61.3%	9.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Ocotepec	2017	30.0%	33.0%	27.1%
Mexico	Tlahualilo	2017	6.3%	16.2%	1.1%
Mexico	Sitala	2017	69.5%	78.2%	57.0%
Mexico	Gran Morelos	2017	14.8%	35.9%	4.1%
Mexico	Tancoco	2017	5.7%	8.3%	4.0%
Mexico	Tlalnepantla	2017	14.5%	16.3%	12.6%
Mexico	Puente De Ixtla	2017	9.0%	14.6%	6.7%
Mexico	Jose Azueta	2017	19.7%	61.6%	2.9%
Mexico	Caborca	2017	1.7%	3.6%	0.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Tapanatepec	2017	20.8%	39.3%	9.1%
Mexico	Huaniqueo	2017	24.1%	37.8%	12.6%
Mexico	Mitontic	2017	32.2%	37.5%	27.3%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Zenzontepec	2017	3.4%	6.0%	1.7%
Mexico	Tonayan	2017	7.9%	8.7%	7.2%
Mexico	Cuautitlan Izcalli	2017	1.2%	1.3%	1.1%
Mexico	Cuaxomulco	2017	15.7%	16.3%	14.9%
Mexico	Epitacio Huerta	2017	34.3%	52.8%	20.3%
Mexico	Chemax	2017	27.7%	58.3%	9.2%
Mexico	Coyame Del Sotol	2017	15.0%	28.5%	7.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Mateo Rio Hondo	2017	18.1%	23.7%	14.1%
Mexico	Socoltenango	2017	25.8%	43.9%	11.1%
Mexico	Bacerac	2017	7.5%	22.3%	0.8%
Mexico	San Juan Atenco	2017	4.8%	6.3%	3.9%
Mexico	Jalostotitlan	2017	5.7%	12.4%	2.4%
Mexico	Nava	2017	5.2%	19.3%	0.4%
Mexico	Pachuca De Soto	2017	4.1%	5.9%	3.0%
Mexico	Santiago Miltepec	2017	29.4%	31.7%	27.3%
Mexico	La Perla	2017	7.9%	8.9%	7.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Axocomanitla	2017	7.3%	7.6%	7.1%
Mexico	Union De Tula	2017	5.6%	13.5%	1.9%
Mexico	Delicias	2017	3.8%	8.0%	1.7%
Mexico	Talpa De Alende	2017	19.5%	54.9%	2.8%
Mexico	Ahumada	2017	2.7%	5.0%	1.4%
Mexico	Cohetzala	2017	47.4%	65.4%	30.2%
Mexico	Muzquiz	2017	1.7%	2.6%	1.2%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Xiloxotla	2017	6.7%	7.0%	6.5%
Mexico	Apodaca	2017	0.8%	1.8%	0.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Ayutla	2017	24.3%	27.5%	22.2%
Mexico	Granados	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Mexico	San Juan Yucuita	2017	2.8%	3.4%	2.3%
Mexico	Tepotzotlan	2017	5.5%	6.9%	4.6%
Mexico	Cerralvo	2017	2.6%	6.3%	0.8%
Mexico	San Juan De Los Cues	2017	25.2%	34.9%	17.6%
Mexico	San Fernando	2017	26.3%	43.5%	13.7%
Mexico	Xayacatlan De Bravo	2017	29.3%	33.6%	24.7%
Mexico	La Cruz	2017	10.4%	22.0%	3.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Soyaltepec	2017	28.5%	41.8%	17.2%
Mexico	Cosolapa	2017	25.1%	31.8%	19.2%
Mexico	Alcozauca De Guerrero	2017	66.3%	77.7%	52.7%
Mexico	Dzitas	2017	13.5%	22.0%	8.1%
Mexico	Acanceh	2017	38.5%	43.9%	33.1%
Mexico	Atlixac	2017	46.7%	67.7%	23.1%
Mexico	Ticul	2017	18.0%	21.4%	15.1%
Mexico	San Martin Totoltepec	2017	23.3%	25.4%	21.1%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2017	37.7%	38.7%	36.7%
Mexico	Jocotitlan	2017	29.2%	45.1%	15.3%
Mexico	Balancan	2017	19.5%	37.1%	6.3%
Mexico	Yahualica De Gonzalez Gallo	2017	18.5%	37.7%	6.0%
Mexico	Asuncion Tlacolulita	2017	20.8%	40.0%	7.1%
Mexico	Tecpan De Galeana	2017	25.7%	51.7%	7.4%
Mexico	Vega De Alatorre	2017	18.6%	35.8%	7.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Sebastian Ixcapa	2017	34.2%	37.7%	30.7%
Mexico	Pitiquito	2017	5.3%	10.5%	2.9%
Mexico	El Salvador	2017	16.5%	36.3%	3.5%
Mexico	San Andres Dinicuiti	2017	11.8%	12.8%	10.8%
Mexico	Pihuamo	2017	12.4%	31.5%	1.9%
Mexico	Santiago Yosondua	2017	5.2%	8.9%	2.5%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	7.1%	17.0%	2.2%
Mexico	General Enrique Estrada	2017	9.8%	20.1%	4.7%
Mexico	Guadalupe Etna	2017	4.0%	4.4%	3.7%
Mexico	Churintzio	2017	8.0%	14.5%	3.8%
Mexico	Tezoatlan De Segura Y Luna	2017	15.7%	26.1%	8.2%
Mexico	Ixtlan Del Rio	2017	6.5%	12.4%	3.0%
Mexico	Ocozacoautla De Espinosa	2017	24.9%	43.5%	11.1%
Mexico	Huehuetoca	2017	4.8%	6.6%	3.3%
Mexico	Erongaricuario	2017	5.4%	9.1%	3.2%
Mexico	Miguel Hidalgo	2017	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%
Mexico	Coatepec Harinas	2017	31.9%	43.3%	20.9%
Mexico	Metepac	2017	4.3%	6.4%	3.3%
Mexico	Iztacalco	2017	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%
Mexico	Nuevo Casas Grandes	2017	2.0%	3.1%	1.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo De Morelos	2017	16.0%	24.1%	11.0%
Mexico	San Juan Guelavia	2017	19.5%	20.2%	18.8%
Mexico	Caracuaro	2017	31.8%	54.7%	8.5%
Mexico	Dzemul	2017	18.3%	20.7%	16.7%
Mexico	Cuquio	2017	25.9%	45.4%	12.6%
Mexico	Maltrata	2017	7.7%	8.3%	7.2%
Mexico	Zacatepec De Hidalgo	2017	3.8%	4.2%	3.5%
Mexico	Texhuacan	2017	7.6%	8.7%	6.8%
Mexico	Ixtlahuaca	2017	42.2%	56.0%	29.5%
Mexico	Gutierrez Zamora	2017	4.0%	7.7%	2.1%
Mexico	Epatlan	2017	30.4%	34.1%	26.7%
Mexico	Abala	2017	38.3%	49.9%	27.1%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2017	6.0%	14.4%	1.5%
Mexico	Villa De Arriaga	2017	35.6%	66.5%	9.2%
Mexico	Lerdo	2017	7.0%	15.4%	2.8%
Mexico	Tecali De Herrera	2017	24.8%	26.5%	23.3%
Mexico	Huixquilucan	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.5%
Mexico	Cienega De Flores	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.4%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tlacotepec	2017	14.9%	25.7%	8.0%
Mexico	Maguarichi	2017	31.4%	51.6%	16.5%
Mexico	Cosamaloapan De Carpio	2017	7.9%	15.0%	3.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Montecristo De Guerrero	2017	30.8%	55.2%	11.3%
Mexico	Tamazula	2017	47.5%	64.8%	28.6%
Mexico	Jaltipan	2017	20.0%	21.6%	18.4%
Mexico	Teya	2017	17.7%	19.1%	16.5%
Mexico	Bacanora	2017	8.9%	26.5%	1.2%
Mexico	Moloacan	2017	16.3%	33.7%	5.8%
Mexico	Castillo De Teayo	2017	5.0%	11.6%	1.7%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	5.0%	12.9%	1.7%
Mexico	Axochiapan	2017	20.0%	26.2%	14.2%
Mexico	San Andres Zabache	2017	7.8%	8.5%	7.1%
Mexico	Huajicori	2017	27.5%	49.6%	9.5%
Mexico	Zoquitlan	2017	15.3%	22.9%	10.2%
Mexico	Pinotepa De Don Luis	2017	70.8%	72.4%	68.9%
Mexico	Silacayoapam	2017	15.9%	19.1%	13.7%
Mexico	Los Reyes De Juarez	2017	21.6%	22.7%	20.4%
Mexico	Guelatao De Juarez	2017	3.2%	4.3%	2.4%
Mexico	Salto De Agua	2017	41.9%	73.5%	16.4%
Mexico	Santiago Yaitepec	2017	39.5%	42.8%	36.7%
Mexico	Tecoautla	2017	38.3%	55.7%	20.7%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	4.9%	10.8%	1.7%
Mexico	Atengo	2017	32.7%	49.1%	15.0%
Mexico	Huaquechula	2017	26.9%	37.8%	19.0%
Mexico	Chietla	2017	14.3%	18.4%	10.9%
Mexico	Hoctun	2017	62.5%	64.5%	60.3%
Mexico	La Libertad	2017	17.7%	31.8%	5.7%
Mexico	Cabo Corrientes	2017	30.1%	62.9%	5.7%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Jayacatlan	2017	10.3%	12.6%	8.3%
Mexico	Acatlan De Perez Figueroa	2017	23.5%	40.0%	9.9%
Mexico	Teococuilco De Marcos Perez	2017	2.8%	3.4%	2.3%
Mexico	Guadalajara	2017	1.0%	2.8%	0.3%
Mexico	Chicoloapan	2017	0.8%	0.9%	0.7%
Mexico	San Jacinto Amilpas	2017	4.2%	4.4%	4.0%
Mexico	Zacoalco De Torres	2017	6.8%	13.3%	3.0%
Mexico	Atzala	2017	14.8%	16.5%	13.1%
Mexico	Totontepec Villa De Morelos	2017	5.4%	6.7%	4.3%
Mexico	Otzolotepec	2017	21.8%	27.4%	15.7%
Mexico	Union Hidalgo	2017	9.2%	23.3%	3.2%
Mexico	Allende	2017	1.7%	3.6%	0.7%
Mexico	Valerio Trujano	2017	11.7%	16.4%	8.5%
Mexico	Villa De Chilapa De Diaz	2017	8.5%	10.1%	7.0%
Mexico	Tekax	2017	31.2%	41.2%	21.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Valle De Chalco Solidaridad	2017	1.4%	1.5%	1.3%
Mexico	Tulancingo De Bravo	2017	9.9%	11.1%	8.9%
Mexico	Lopez	2017	12.7%	31.1%	2.7%
Mexico	Tocatlan	2017	21.0%	21.9%	20.0%
Mexico	Mixistlan De La Reforma	2017	18.7%	20.1%	17.3%
Mexico	Almoloya De Alquisiras	2017	39.6%	50.5%	28.3%
Mexico	Sain Alto	2017	34.5%	60.6%	11.8%
Mexico	Tlacotepec De Mejia	2017	37.4%	40.4%	33.6%
Mexico	Tototlan	2017	8.0%	18.7%	2.0%
Mexico	Tlalmanalco	2017	1.4%	1.6%	1.2%
Mexico	Zihuateutla	2017	17.4%	20.9%	14.7%
Mexico	Tinguindin	2017	3.6%	7.9%	1.4%
Mexico	Santa Ana Maya	2017	11.3%	22.9%	4.0%
Mexico	Del Nayar	2017	48.9%	67.5%	28.4%
Mexico	San Mateo Yolochochitlan	2017	5.1%	5.4%	4.7%
Mexico	Coahuayana	2017	17.0%	32.7%	6.4%
Mexico	Samahil	2017	71.9%	79.2%	63.6%
Mexico	Yutanduchi De Guerrero	2017	2.0%	2.9%	1.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir	2017	27.3%	28.0%	26.7%
Mexico	Jiutepec	2017	2.7%	3.8%	2.0%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2017	5.7%	12.4%	1.8%
Mexico	Honey	2017	28.5%	33.4%	23.7%
Mexico	San Juan Petlapa	2017	7.9%	11.6%	5.2%
Mexico	Zacazonapan	2017	24.4%	28.1%	20.8%
Mexico	Tlaltetela	2017	16.1%	20.0%	13.3%
Mexico	Soyaniquilpan De Juarez	2017	33.3%	47.0%	22.1%
Mexico	Tumbala	2017	25.9%	42.3%	12.2%
Mexico	San Bartolome Quialana	2017	30.6%	31.6%	29.7%
Mexico	Agua Blanca De Iturbide	2017	28.6%	36.5%	23.0%
Mexico	Jerecuaro	2017	39.9%	62.3%	21.9%
Mexico	Altepexi	2017	14.3%	16.6%	11.6%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	34.5%	56.4%	17.9%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo Solistahuacan	2017	14.4%	22.6%	9.1%
Mexico	Tepeaca	2017	25.2%	29.1%	22.3%
Mexico	Los Reyes	2017	10.0%	10.6%	9.4%
Mexico	Larrainzar	2017	28.4%	34.3%	24.0%
Mexico	Teotihuacan	2017	8.8%	9.8%	7.8%
Mexico	Chapultepec	2017	2.8%	2.9%	2.6%
Mexico	Churumuco	2017	49.4%	74.0%	23.5%
Mexico	Jaral Del Progreso	2017	11.7%	21.3%	6.0%
Mexico	Antiguo Morelos	2017	13.2%	23.9%	6.5%
Mexico	San Pedro	2017	6.8%	14.8%	1.6%
Mexico	Tangancicuaro	2017	6.2%	12.2%	3.0%
Mexico	Cedral	2017	8.2%	15.7%	2.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Reyes Etna	2017	3.9%	4.3%	3.4%
Mexico	Saltabarranca	2017	21.2%	27.4%	15.6%
Mexico	San Juan Tabaa	2017	32.0%	33.6%	30.6%
Mexico	Nezahualcoyotl	2017	1.3%	1.3%	1.2%
Mexico	Milpa Alta	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.7%
Mexico	Ayapango	2017	2.3%	2.6%	2.1%
Mexico	Ayutla	2017	18.5%	39.7%	5.6%
Mexico	Albino Zertuche	2017	36.9%	46.5%	27.6%
Mexico	Tenampulco	2017	24.7%	29.6%	20.0%
Mexico	Huitzuc De Los Figueroa	2017	19.6%	45.8%	4.7%
Mexico	Coneto De Comonfort	2017	40.9%	65.8%	15.6%
Mexico	San Felipe Teotlalcingo	2017	6.4%	7.0%	5.8%
Mexico	Hualahuises	2017	3.5%	5.4%	2.1%
Mexico	Gral. Trevino	2017	4.7%	7.2%	2.8%
Mexico	Tecamac	2017	4.4%	4.8%	4.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlalixtac	2017	21.7%	23.5%	19.8%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	60.7%	65.6%	55.1%
Mexico	Tampacan	2017	8.0%	11.0%	5.7%
Mexico	San Cristobal De La Barranca	2017	29.5%	58.4%	8.6%
Mexico	Villa De La Paz	2017	5.3%	7.4%	3.6%
Mexico	Atzitzintla	2017	8.2%	9.8%	6.7%
Mexico	Hermenegildo Galeana	2017	32.2%	33.2%	31.3%
Mexico	Colima	2017	1.1%	2.6%	0.5%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	7.5%	18.6%	1.8%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tepuxtepec	2017	29.6%	32.1%	27.7%
Mexico	Francisco I. Madero	2017	11.3%	15.3%	8.9%
Mexico	Mazatlan Villa De Flores	2017	32.1%	34.5%	30.0%
Mexico	Ocuituco	2017	15.8%	16.6%	15.0%
Mexico	Tenochtitlan	2017	10.5%	13.7%	7.9%
Mexico	Nacori Chico	2017	7.3%	23.3%	0.9%
Mexico	Moyahua De Estrada	2017	22.4%	48.6%	5.1%
Mexico	Zinaparo	2017	13.5%	17.0%	10.2%
Mexico	Villa Talea De Castro	2017	31.4%	33.0%	30.0%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	20.7%	23.2%	18.6%
Mexico	Tlajomulco De Zuniga	2017	4.7%	10.3%	1.7%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Monteverde	2017	18.6%	24.9%	14.1%
Mexico	El Naranjo	2017	9.1%	19.0%	4.5%
Mexico	Villa Gonzalez Ortega	2017	24.2%	46.1%	8.3%
Mexico	Ciudad Valles	2017	9.7%	19.2%	4.1%
Mexico	San Carlos Yautepec	2017	27.0%	40.1%	14.4%
Mexico	San Lorenzo	2017	46.4%	47.8%	45.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jala	2017	8.3%	16.6%	4.0%
Mexico	Padilla	2017	4.6%	12.2%	1.2%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Zacualpan	2017	7.7%	7.9%	7.4%
Mexico	Nopaltepec	2017	20.5%	27.7%	16.2%
Mexico	Landero Y Coss	2017	4.1%	4.5%	3.8%
Mexico	Tultepec	2017	1.1%	1.2%	1.0%
Mexico	Angamacutiro	2017	23.2%	34.8%	14.2%
Mexico	Jalapa	2017	8.7%	23.6%	1.5%
Mexico	San Juan Lachao	2017	21.1%	33.8%	12.3%
Mexico	Concepcion Papalo	2017	13.2%	17.5%	9.9%
Mexico	Esperanza	2017	15.1%	18.0%	12.7%
Mexico	Momax	2017	18.3%	31.1%	8.6%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	7.9%	18.1%	2.6%
Mexico	Altamira	2017	2.3%	6.8%	0.5%
Mexico	General Bravo	2017	3.4%	15.3%	0.2%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	1.6%	3.2%	0.7%
Mexico	San Andres Solaga	2017	21.9%	23.2%	20.5%
Mexico	Acteopan	2017	53.3%	54.3%	52.1%
Mexico	Naco	2017	3.2%	7.3%	0.8%
Mexico	Santiago	2017	4.0%	10.7%	0.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chilchotla	2017	10.1%	14.8%	7.0%
Mexico	Ciudad Fernandez	2017	6.1%	11.1%	2.9%
Mexico	Kanasin	2017	20.7%	24.3%	17.2%
Mexico	San Miguel Chimalapa	2017	17.8%	47.4%	3.1%
Mexico	San Juan Dixi	2017	5.6%	6.6%	4.6%
Mexico	Tepehuacan De Guerrero	2017	7.4%	14.1%	3.0%
Mexico	Alpoyeca	2017	19.8%	28.7%	12.8%
Mexico	Bavispe	2017	8.2%	31.4%	0.4%
Mexico	Amealco De Bonfil	2017	36.9%	59.6%	17.2%
Mexico	Copainala	2017	18.7%	31.0%	9.1%
Mexico	Xicotencatl	2017	9.3%	18.3%	4.1%
Mexico	Ixtepec	2017	37.9%	38.9%	36.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Cortijo	2017	88.2%	92.0%	82.6%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2017	15.2%	22.5%	9.9%
Mexico	Progreso	2017	6.2%	16.3%	2.5%
Mexico	Coacomán De Vazquez Palares	2017	25.4%	51.5%	7.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ecatepec	2017	31.2%	49.8%	15.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Telixtlahuaca	2017	10.3%	11.7%	9.2%
Mexico	Juchipila	2017	4.3%	7.3%	2.8%
Mexico	Santo Tomas Hueyotlipan	2017	22.7%	23.3%	22.0%
Mexico	Jalpan De Serra	2017	32.3%	62.1%	7.2%
Mexico	Bacadehuachi	2017	5.5%	14.9%	1.0%
Mexico	Cuetzala Del Progreso	2017	47.4%	69.9%	29.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Huehuetlan El Chico	2017	28.1%	37.9%	21.0%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 08 -	2017	16.8%	23.0%	12.0%
Mexico	Constancia Del Rosario	2017	23.2%	31.6%	15.2%
Mexico	Mexticacan	2017	16.7%	24.4%	11.1%
Mexico	Cortazar	2017	8.7%	11.7%	6.8%
Mexico	Villanueva	2017	24.3%	48.3%	8.2%
Mexico	Panaba	2017	10.6%	22.8%	4.0%
Mexico	San Mateo Sindihui	2017	5.1%	5.9%	4.2%
Mexico	San Juan Ihualtepec	2017	31.3%	34.2%	28.4%
Mexico	Caltepec	2017	21.0%	38.3%	10.8%
Mexico	Cerritos	2017	3.9%	9.4%	1.1%
Mexico	Linares	2017	4.6%	8.8%	2.1%
Mexico	Paso De Ovejas	2017	10.7%	19.2%	4.8%
Mexico	Texistepec	2017	8.1%	18.1%	2.4%
Mexico	Calpulalpan	2017	2.1%	3.9%	1.0%
Mexico	Alvaro Obregon	2017	11.8%	22.2%	5.5%
Mexico	Ensenada	2017	2.5%	5.9%	1.1%
Mexico	Canada Morelos	2017	27.5%	36.5%	20.4%
Mexico	Juan Aldama	2017	16.9%	30.0%	6.8%
Mexico	Sombrerete	2017	29.4%	51.9%	11.5%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Vijanos	2017	37.8%	39.7%	35.9%
Mexico	La Independencia	2017	10.1%	26.4%	2.5%
Mexico	Ahome	2017	4.7%	10.5%	1.1%
Mexico	Piactla	2017	29.7%	40.2%	22.0%
Mexico	Tianguistengo	2017	15.0%	22.8%	8.8%
Mexico	Panindicuaro	2017	24.7%	37.9%	15.0%
Mexico	Dzoncauich	2017	28.2%	31.4%	25.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Yucucaco	2017	14.8%	16.5%	13.0%
Mexico	Epazoyucan	2017	13.9%	17.2%	11.0%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Taviche	2017	74.7%	76.5%	72.9%
Mexico	Ixtapangajoya	2017	22.8%	32.9%	14.7%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Tepejillo	2017	18.8%	28.8%	11.9%
Mexico	Chimalhuacan	2017	2.0%	2.1%	2.0%
Mexico	Chalchihuitan	2017	34.4%	43.1%	28.9%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Nundaco	2017	10.8%	13.0%	8.7%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan	2017	17.5%	28.4%	7.8%
Mexico	Omitlan De Juarez	2017	29.3%	35.4%	25.2%
Mexico	Jaltenco	2017	3.4%	3.6%	3.1%
Mexico	Cumpas	2017	7.2%	19.6%	1.4%
Mexico	Magdalena Zahuatlan	2017	18.7%	21.0%	16.6%
Mexico	Tierra Blanca	2017	52.8%	66.8%	39.3%
Mexico	Playa Vicente	2017	15.4%	37.7%	3.4%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	20.2%	20.8%	19.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Bochil	2017	14.5%	20.1%	8.6%
Mexico	Sacalum	2017	18.9%	26.2%	12.3%
Mexico	Tlalpan	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tepantlali	2017	15.7%	17.1%	14.3%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	3.4%	9.1%	0.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Texcatitlan	2017	8.0%	11.3%	5.6%
Mexico	Atotonilco El Alto	2017	12.7%	25.8%	4.4%
Mexico	Chignahuapan	2017	14.4%	30.8%	5.3%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Chihuitan	2017	12.4%	16.5%	8.8%
Mexico	Calpan	2017	20.7%	21.7%	19.7%
Mexico	San Antonino Castillo Velasco	2017	16.8%	17.9%	15.7%
Mexico	Jose Maria Morelos	2017	28.8%	51.4%	9.0%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	7.7%	18.0%	2.5%
Mexico	Jilotepec	2017	3.0%	3.2%	2.8%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	15.0%	20.0%	10.7%
Mexico	Reforma	2017	7.4%	18.1%	2.5%
Mexico	Canitas De Felipe Pescador	2017	26.9%	42.8%	11.3%
Mexico	Chinameca	2017	18.7%	24.0%	14.6%
Mexico	Colipa	2017	31.1%	41.9%	19.4%
Mexico	Jiquipilco	2017	42.1%	52.4%	31.7%
Mexico	Tantoyuca	2017	6.5%	13.8%	3.3%
Mexico	Vetagrande	2017	13.5%	18.6%	9.2%
Mexico	San Nicolas Buenos Aires	2017	7.9%	12.3%	4.3%
Mexico	San Pedro De La Cueva	2017	10.8%	26.7%	2.4%
Mexico	Huamuxtitlan	2017	18.1%	24.9%	11.8%
Mexico	La Trinitaria	2017	13.9%	32.3%	3.0%
Mexico	Encarnacion De Diaz	2017	15.5%	37.5%	3.5%
Mexico	Coeneo	2017	13.5%	24.7%	5.9%
Mexico	Ixcaquixtla	2017	12.1%	17.0%	7.5%
Mexico	San Juan Ozolotepec	2017	15.4%	21.0%	10.7%
Mexico	Amatepec	2017	43.1%	65.0%	20.1%
Mexico	Espita	2017	5.1%	10.0%	2.6%
Mexico	Ixtenco	2017	11.6%	12.4%	10.8%
Mexico	Zongozotla	2017	16.9%	17.8%	16.1%
Mexico	Tepeyanco	2017	7.1%	7.4%	6.8%
Mexico	Cozumel	2017	2.3%	8.2%	0.8%
Mexico	Imuris	2017	3.3%	8.3%	1.0%
Mexico	Aljojuca	2017	6.8%	8.7%	5.3%
Mexico	San Agustin Tlaxiaca	2017	26.8%	37.9%	18.2%
Mexico	Jalpa	2017	12.2%	20.5%	6.6%
Mexico	Temoaya	2017	40.3%	48.9%	31.1%
Mexico	Catorce	2017	16.0%	33.7%	5.1%
Mexico	Centro	2017	5.7%	16.0%	1.2%
Mexico	Jitotol	2017	13.7%	19.2%	9.6%
Mexico	San Juan Quiotepec	2017	16.6%	24.9%	9.8%
Mexico	Rio Lagartos	2017	10.3%	33.3%	1.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Cholula	2017	12.4%	12.7%	12.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Rio Blanco	2017	2.1%	2.2%	2.0%
Mexico	Soledad Etla	2017	5.9%	6.3%	5.5%
Mexico	Satevo	2017	21.1%	45.7%	7.5%
Mexico	Santiago Choapam	2017	10.5%	19.8%	5.2%
Mexico	San Juan De Guadalupe	2017	26.0%	42.7%	11.2%
Mexico	San Juan Sayultepec	2017	8.1%	8.8%	7.4%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	6.2%	6.6%	5.7%
Mexico	Santiago Del Rio	2017	24.8%	27.7%	21.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Coatlan	2017	19.5%	22.6%	17.2%
Mexico	Vanegas	2017	17.8%	32.6%	8.2%
Mexico	Chicoasen	2017	20.3%	25.6%	15.7%
Mexico	Saltillo	2017	3.0%	5.8%	1.6%
Mexico	Cuichapa	2017	12.6%	13.8%	11.5%
Mexico	San Pedro Coxcaltepec	2017	26.9%	30.1%	23.9%
Mexico	Cantaros				
Mexico	Tlalchapa	2017	33.3%	61.7%	11.3%
Mexico	Mecatlan	2017	34.2%	35.9%	32.9%
Mexico	Polotitlan	2017	32.4%	45.3%	19.2%
Mexico	Comonfort	2017	19.0%	32.7%	8.7%
Mexico	Gonzalez	2017	5.9%	15.4%	1.4%
Mexico	Cueramaro	2017	22.2%	38.1%	9.6%
Mexico	Hueytamalco	2017	13.6%	21.3%	7.7%
Mexico	Jopala	2017	33.8%	36.9%	31.8%
Mexico	Huanimaro	2017	28.7%	39.6%	18.4%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlachichilco	2017	17.1%	19.7%	14.3%
Mexico	El Tule	2017	35.7%	51.4%	20.5%
Mexico	Tuzantan	2017	16.1%	22.8%	9.8%
Mexico	San Juan Evangelista	2017	18.9%	38.4%	4.8%
Mexico	Santiago Matatlan	2017	21.1%	23.1%	19.5%
Mexico	San Jose Chiltepec	2017	13.3%	22.0%	7.4%
Mexico	Santa Ines Yatzeche	2017	25.2%	26.2%	24.1%
Mexico	Cazones	2017	4.7%	13.0%	1.4%
Mexico	Asuncion Ocotlan	2017	28.0%	28.6%	27.4%
Mexico	Cuitlahuac	2017	16.3%	21.9%	11.8%
Mexico	San Rafael	2017	10.6%	37.8%	1.0%
Mexico	San Juan Yatzeche	2017	13.4%	15.4%	11.9%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Miahuatlan	2017	24.7%	27.4%	22.3%
Mexico	Montemorelos	2017	4.7%	8.6%	2.3%
Mexico	Xaloztoc	2017	22.5%	25.2%	19.8%
Mexico	Tzimol	2017	21.5%	32.2%	14.1%
Mexico	Tuxpam	2017	4.3%	11.1%	1.6%
Mexico	Ahuazotepec	2017	19.6%	21.8%	17.5%
Mexico	San Francisco Logueche	2017	7.4%	8.3%	6.4%
Mexico	Tumbiscatio	2017	36.6%	63.6%	11.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Santa Flor	2017	10.6%	11.4%	9.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santiago Tamazola	2017	32.7%	33.8%	31.4%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	2.3%	3.3%	1.4%
Mexico	Tolcayuca	2017	8.3%	11.8%	6.1%
Mexico	Las Rosas	2017	28.6%	42.1%	15.5%
Mexico	Ramos Arizpe	2017	5.2%	9.6%	2.3%
Mexico	Yobain	2017	48.5%	60.2%	34.1%
Mexico	Zimatlan De Alvarez	2017	13.0%	14.5%	12.0%
Mexico	Asuncion Cuyotepeji	2017	35.8%	39.3%	32.6%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Papalo	2017	4.5%	6.6%	3.0%
Mexico	Tepeyahualco De Cuauhtemoc	2017	21.0%	21.9%	20.0%
Mexico	Carmen	2017	5.3%	6.9%	3.8%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	18.6%	32.7%	8.0%
Mexico	Alvarado	2017	12.1%	42.4%	2.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Camotlan	2017	35.0%	37.4%	32.0%
Mexico	Salvatierra	2017	14.0%	28.1%	5.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Chachoapam	2017	5.7%	6.5%	5.0%
Mexico	Miguel Aleman	2017	2.1%	5.7%	0.8%
Mexico	Juan N. Mendez	2017	32.8%	46.1%	20.2%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	11.3%	18.3%	6.1%
Mexico	Chankom	2017	79.2%	89.5%	65.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Cajonos	2017	20.5%	22.3%	18.7%
Mexico	Angel Albino Corzo	2017	25.3%	45.3%	9.6%
Mexico	Villa De Arista	2017	23.4%	37.4%	11.3%
Mexico	Dzan	2017	30.0%	31.7%	28.4%
Mexico	Gabriel Zamora	2017	14.0%	24.6%	6.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Colotepec	2017	17.7%	34.5%	7.1%
Mexico	Ahuehuetitla	2017	33.1%	37.9%	29.2%
Mexico	San Cristobal Lachirioag	2017	9.5%	10.4%	8.6%
Mexico	Santiago Zcatepec	2017	7.6%	10.7%	5.2%
Mexico	Galeana	2017	5.4%	27.1%	0.3%
Mexico	Guadalcazar	2017	14.3%	27.9%	5.1%
Mexico	Banamichi	2017	3.6%	5.8%	2.2%
Mexico	Ixtapa	2017	6.8%	11.7%	3.5%
Mexico	Temascalcingo	2017	49.1%	64.9%	32.9%
Mexico	Bokoba	2017	44.3%	49.4%	39.6%
Mexico	Pinos	2017	30.1%	51.0%	13.2%
Mexico	Cerro De San Pedro	2017	4.9%	10.9%	1.8%
Mexico	Magdalena	2017	4.5%	9.6%	2.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Del Rincon	2017	9.4%	20.3%	3.7%
Mexico	Chiconquiaco	2017	10.7%	15.8%	6.7%
Mexico	Tingambato	2017	3.6%	7.1%	1.7%
Mexico	San Jacinto Tlacotepec	2017	1.5%	2.7%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Coatzacoapam	2017	8.3%	9.6%	7.1%
Mexico	Tantima	2017	10.9%	19.4%	5.6%
Mexico	Ahuatlan	2017	57.4%	70.6%	43.1%
Mexico	Tonala	2017	16.3%	40.3%	1.8%
Mexico	Jalcomulco	2017	20.9%	24.7%	17.7%
Mexico	San Marcial Ozolotepec	2017	26.4%	28.0%	24.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Huazolotitlan	2017	46.0%	62.2%	30.5%
Mexico	Tecpatan	2017	25.2%	44.8%	10.5%
Mexico	Coronango	2017	9.7%	10.0%	9.4%
Mexico	Tilapa	2017	16.8%	18.8%	14.8%
Mexico	Eloxochitlan De Flores Magon	2017	4.8%	5.2%	4.3%
Mexico	Lagunillas	2017	17.5%	33.0%	7.2%
Mexico	Canelas	2017	41.9%	69.1%	17.7%
Mexico	Trinidad Garcia De La Cadena	2017	19.9%	28.9%	11.1%
Mexico	Paso Del Macho	2017	32.2%	46.2%	20.1%
Mexico	Penon Blanco	2017	26.3%	54.6%	7.9%
Mexico	Calihuala	2017	37.9%	41.3%	35.0%
Mexico	Chumatlan	2017	24.0%	25.5%	22.7%
Mexico	Uman	2017	34.9%	44.9%	25.5%
Mexico	San Martin Itunyoso	2017	34.1%	42.7%	27.1%
Mexico	San Francisco Tetlanohcan	2017	10.8%	11.2%	10.4%
Mexico	Santa Maria Mixtequilla	2017	18.9%	24.9%	14.4%
Mexico	Centla	2017	19.5%	48.4%	3.1%
Mexico	Cosoleacaque	2017	11.0%	15.9%	8.6%
Mexico	Juchitepec	2017	4.4%	5.3%	3.8%
Mexico	Trincheras	2017	9.6%	22.2%	2.1%
Mexico	San Bartolo Coyotepec	2017	15.8%	16.6%	15.0%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	13.0%	30.0%	4.0%
Mexico	Tehuacan	2017	3.9%	9.8%	1.4%
Mexico	Marques De Comillas	2017	42.8%	63.3%	22.0%
Mexico	Almoloya Del Rio	2017	2.3%	2.4%	2.2%
Mexico	Nanchital De Lazaro Cardenas Del Rio	2017	3.3%	3.5%	3.0%
Mexico	Sitio De Xitlapahua	2017	22.6%	24.9%	20.6%
Mexico	Tizayuca	2017	6.5%	7.6%	5.5%
Mexico	Tlachichilco	2017	16.1%	24.3%	10.1%
Mexico	Tetepango	2017	22.3%	27.7%	18.2%
Mexico	San Juan Lalana	2017	6.7%	14.3%	2.5%
Mexico	Susupuato	2017	39.1%	52.6%	26.0%
Mexico	Bustamante	2017	2.0%	3.8%	0.9%
Mexico	Monclova	2017	2.0%	3.9%	0.9%
Mexico	San Fernando	2017	6.9%	12.3%	3.2%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ixtepeji	2017	7.8%	8.8%	6.9%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2017	4.2%	7.3%	2.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Miguel De Horcasitas	2017	14.0%	25.0%	5.7%
Mexico	Asientos	2017	17.9%	36.1%	5.2%
Mexico	Teotongo	2017	24.2%	26.7%	21.7%
Mexico	San Mateo Nejapam	2017	20.7%	22.1%	19.4%
Mexico	Gral. Escobedo	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Mexico	Juchitlan	2017	17.0%	32.7%	5.9%
Mexico	Timilpan	2017	44.6%	58.6%	30.6%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	12.6%	26.6%	3.9%
Mexico	Nuevo Ideal	2017	19.6%	35.5%	6.4%
Mexico	Zacualpan De Amilpas	2017	29.8%	30.5%	29.2%
Mexico	Pluma Hidalgo	2017	30.5%	35.7%	25.1%
Mexico	Baca	2017	50.8%	52.4%	49.3%
Mexico	San Lucas	2017	25.1%	41.2%	12.9%
Mexico	Zapotlanejo	2017	10.1%	19.6%	4.2%
Mexico	Acateno	2017	18.7%	31.4%	9.8%
Mexico	Ixhuacan De Los Reyes	2017	6.6%	9.0%	4.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Petapa	2017	18.3%	32.6%	9.6%
Mexico	Nazareno Etla	2017	5.9%	6.4%	5.5%
Mexico	Tizapan El Alto	2017	8.9%	17.3%	4.3%
Mexico	Rio Bravo	2017	2.6%	8.8%	0.6%
Mexico	Tepehuanes	2017	23.4%	37.3%	12.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Yalina	2017	18.4%	20.7%	16.5%
Mexico	Zautla	2017	13.1%	20.5%	8.2%
Mexico	Jungapeo	2017	26.1%	39.2%	13.8%
Mexico	Cenotillo	2017	8.5%	13.6%	4.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Tayata	2017	13.1%	14.1%	12.1%
Mexico	Tenango Del Valle	2017	17.0%	22.8%	11.8%
Mexico	Acapetahua	2017	22.9%	41.7%	8.4%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tlacoatzintepec	2017	6.2%	7.1%	5.4%
Mexico	Metepac	2017	27.3%	33.3%	22.4%
Mexico	Altzayanca	2017	16.3%	22.4%	11.0%
Mexico	Cardonal	2017	35.1%	54.3%	20.7%
Mexico	Yogana	2017	67.5%	71.7%	62.7%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	2.4%	4.5%	1.3%
Mexico	Guevea De Humboldt	2017	32.4%	42.2%	22.8%
Mexico	Tlatlauquitepec	2017	9.7%	11.4%	8.5%
Mexico	Cuzama	2017	43.4%	46.3%	40.0%
Mexico	Mama	2017	49.2%	50.7%	47.6%
Mexico	Villa De Ramos	2017	39.1%	60.5%	18.1%
Mexico	Guadalupe	2017	3.4%	9.1%	0.6%
Mexico	Santa Ana Del Valle	2017	27.3%	28.3%	26.4%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	0.7%	0.8%	0.7%
Mexico	San Agustin Amatengo	2017	58.3%	60.3%	56.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Aquila	2017	45.4%	72.5%	21.1%
Mexico	Tixkokob	2017	28.9%	31.6%	26.5%
Mexico	Tepic	2017	4.2%	11.1%	1.2%
Mexico	Tecolutla	2017	8.5%	26.6%	1.0%
Mexico	Villa De Cos	2017	34.5%	51.8%	17.6%
Mexico	Tlanalapa	2017	12.3%	22.5%	5.4%
Mexico	Santiago	2017	34.7%	37.4%	32.1%
Mexico	Cacaloxtepec				
Mexico	Tampamolón	2017	12.5%	15.8%	10.1%
Mexico	Corona				
Mexico	Leonardo	2017	29.9%	53.7%	9.9%
Mexico	Bravo				
Mexico	China	2017	2.4%	6.4%	0.8%
Mexico	Acuna	2017	2.6%	7.1%	0.9%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	19.0%	21.4%	17.0%
Mexico	Mixtepec				
Mexico	San Francisco	2017	7.4%	9.4%	6.0%
Mexico	Del Oro				
Mexico	Tequila	2017	13.2%	31.7%	3.3%
Mexico	El Porvenir	2017	15.8%	19.4%	12.3%
Mexico	Culiacan	2017	6.4%	11.1%	3.7%
Mexico	Ignacio	2017	1.4%	4.0%	0.4%
Mexico	Zaragoza				
Mexico	Tochtepec	2017	22.6%	25.7%	18.9%
Mexico	San Pedro	2017	5.5%	6.1%	5.0%
Mexico	Ocopetatillo				
Mexico	Tuxtla Chico	2017	15.8%	20.4%	11.8%
Mexico	Apetatitlan	2017	7.8%	8.2%	7.4%
Mexico	De Antonio				
Mexico	Carvajal				
Mexico	Chimaltitan	2017	41.7%	67.6%	14.2%
Mexico	Buenavista De	2017	12.2%	18.6%	6.3%
Mexico	Cuellar				
Mexico	Luvianos	2017	27.7%	63.6%	2.6%
Mexico	San Agustin	2017	9.3%	10.6%	8.2%
Mexico	Atenango				
Mexico	Allende	2017	18.3%	51.0%	2.2%
Mexico	Santiago	2017	9.1%	13.0%	6.6%
Mexico	Huaucilla				
Mexico	San Miguel	2017	29.8%	55.3%	9.6%
Mexico	Del Puerto				
Mexico	Tepelmeme	2017	29.2%	45.5%	15.2%
Mexico	Villa De				
Mexico	Morelos				
Mexico	Teuchitlan	2017	3.5%	9.7%	0.8%
Mexico	Tlayacapan	2017	16.0%	16.6%	15.5%
Mexico	Tepoztlan	2017	7.3%	9.9%	4.9%
Mexico	Omealca	2017	28.4%	41.1%	17.1%
Mexico	El Marques	2017	26.9%	48.5%	10.2%
Mexico	Etzatlan	2017	5.1%	9.8%	2.9%
Mexico	Camargo	2017	5.0%	20.9%	0.4%
Mexico	Singuilucan	2017	18.7%	31.4%	8.7%
Mexico	Ciudad Ixtepec	2017	3.8%	4.4%	3.1%
Mexico	Chinipas	2017	29.7%	49.7%	14.1%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	46.4%	48.0%	45.2%
Mexico	Minas				
Mexico	Amatlan De	2017	20.1%	23.0%	17.6%
Mexico	Los Reyes				
Mexico	Coscomatepec	2017	12.9%	14.8%	11.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria	2017	13.0%	37.7%	2.0%
Mexico	Huatulco				

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Jesus Maria	2017	19.8%	44.7%	3.1%
Mexico	Chacsinkin	2017	46.3%	50.8%	42.0%
Mexico	Maravatio	2017	22.8%	38.5%	11.2%
Mexico	Huautla	2017	4.8%	10.1%	2.4%
Mexico	Tepexco	2017	37.4%	41.8%	33.2%
Mexico	Badiraguato	2017	41.0%	58.1%	23.3%
Mexico	Santiago Nacaltepec	2017	4.4%	7.7%	2.1%
Mexico	Santa Ana Nopalucan	2017	11.2%	11.6%	10.7%
Mexico	Tlalixtaquilla De Maldonado	2017	22.9%	28.8%	18.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Peras	2017	27.7%	34.7%	21.0%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	5.2%	5.6%	4.9%
Mexico	Santiago Nejapilla	2017	14.0%	15.0%	12.7%
Mexico	Bejucal De Ocampo	2017	10.1%	14.5%	7.6%
Mexico	Mexicaltzingo	2017	4.2%	4.7%	3.8%
Mexico	Tepexi De Rodriguez	2017	38.1%	46.9%	28.3%
Mexico	Dr. Gonzalez	2017	9.9%	28.8%	1.1%
Mexico	Concordia	2017	20.6%	36.5%	7.9%
Mexico	San Miguel Quetzaltepec	2017	27.6%	31.3%	23.8%
Mexico	Loreto	2017	5.0%	14.5%	1.6%
Mexico	Allende	2017	0.9%	2.0%	0.4%
Mexico	Cosala	2017	33.1%	49.8%	18.7%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	16.1%	25.1%	9.6%
Mexico	San Pedro Y San Pablo Tequixtepec	2017	19.9%	27.9%	14.5%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	31.9%	58.9%	10.7%
Mexico	Santiago Tapextla	2017	75.0%	83.5%	64.7%
Mexico	Panuco De Coronado	2017	32.9%	61.4%	7.2%
Mexico	Zacatecas	2017	13.3%	19.4%	10.0%
Mexico	Santiago Tulantepec De Lugo	2017	14.5%	19.7%	10.3%
Mexico	Guerrero Mineral De La Reforma	2017	3.3%	4.9%	2.3%
Mexico	Hueyotlipan	2017	16.7%	22.2%	12.7%
Mexico	Jilotlan De Los Dolores	2017	28.7%	54.9%	8.4%
Mexico	San Miguel Tenango	2017	33.1%	63.7%	9.1%
Mexico	Huiloapan	2017	7.9%	8.4%	7.4%
Mexico	Huetamo	2017	24.8%	38.6%	11.4%
Mexico	Santa Isabel Cholula	2017	30.5%	31.9%	28.6%
Mexico	Rosario	2017	13.7%	31.0%	2.8%
Mexico	Tetela De Ocampo	2017	12.9%	17.9%	8.5%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Victoria	2017	13.0%	14.5%	11.6%
Mexico	San Miguel Tilquiapam	2017	51.3%	52.8%	49.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Chimalapa	2017	18.9%	41.3%	5.2%
Mexico	Tancanhuitz	2017	7.8%	12.2%	5.6%
Mexico	Santiago Yolomecatl	2017	14.4%	16.2%	12.5%
Mexico	Atlangatepec	2017	18.0%	22.8%	14.4%
Mexico	San Jose Chiapa	2017	10.3%	12.1%	8.3%
Mexico	Xochiapulco	2017	10.1%	11.1%	9.2%
Mexico	Suaqui Grande	2017	3.0%	4.4%	2.0%
Mexico	Tecalitlan	2017	14.6%	62.4%	1.6%
Mexico	Aculco	2017	52.0%	70.5%	33.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rosario	2017	7.2%	8.1%	6.2%
Mexico	San Sebastian Tecomaxtlahuaca	2017	19.2%	27.9%	12.6%
Mexico	Zempoala	2017	17.1%	30.7%	8.3%
Mexico	Dr. Coss	2017	3.6%	8.1%	0.8%
Mexico	Acajete	2017	11.3%	13.1%	10.0%
Mexico	Moctezuma	2017	3.3%	6.3%	1.5%
Mexico	Tlacotalpan	2017	19.2%	31.8%	7.8%
Mexico	Panotla	2017	11.2%	11.7%	10.6%
Mexico	Villa De Etlá	2017	3.8%	4.1%	3.4%
Mexico	Nahuatzen	2017	6.3%	10.2%	4.2%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan De Los Membrillos	2017	3.7%	9.2%	1.1%
Mexico	Quiroga	2017	21.2%	28.6%	13.2%
Mexico	Chicomuselo	2017	25.0%	47.5%	5.8%
Mexico	San Vicente Nunu	2017	15.1%	17.2%	13.3%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jacatepec	2017	8.2%	14.0%	4.3%
Mexico	San Jose Del Penasco	2017	18.4%	19.6%	17.2%
Mexico	Santiago Nilttepec	2017	20.4%	41.0%	6.9%
Mexico	Cananea	2017	1.5%	3.7%	0.7%
Mexico	Cuencame	2017	22.7%	35.1%	13.3%
Mexico	Soteapan	2017	58.0%	70.4%	44.0%
Mexico	Tepetitlan	2017	26.9%	35.8%	20.3%
Mexico	Nopalucan	2017	6.2%	7.5%	5.1%
Mexico	San Luis Rio Colorado	2017	2.3%	4.5%	1.0%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2017	13.9%	18.3%	9.8%
Mexico	Hidalgotitlan	2017	13.1%	27.8%	2.2%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tavela	2017	24.0%	32.9%	16.6%
Mexico	Atzacan	2017	3.8%	5.0%	3.0%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Cuicatlan	2017	11.2%	18.0%	6.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ozolotepec	2017	22.0%	25.7%	18.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Nduayaco	2017	25.2%	27.1%	23.5%
Mexico	Concepcion De Buenos Aires	2017	6.9%	12.6%	3.1%
Mexico	San Juan Lachigalla	2017	38.0%	44.4%	31.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Angangueo	2017	19.1%	23.5%	15.6%
Mexico	San Esteban Atlatlahuca	2017	16.9%	19.0%	15.2%
Mexico	Santos Reyes Yucuna	2017	49.3%	51.0%	47.7%
Mexico	Chihuahua	2017	2.1%	3.7%	1.2%
Mexico	Villa De Guadalupe	2017	14.6%	33.0%	2.9%
Mexico	Santa Ana Zegache	2017	18.6%	19.2%	18.0%
Mexico	Sayula De Aleman	2017	11.6%	22.0%	4.1%
Mexico	Tlaltenango	2017	13.7%	14.2%	13.2%
Mexico	Tampico Alto	2017	9.8%	24.2%	2.4%
Mexico	Chinantla	2017	42.7%	47.4%	38.3%
Mexico	Cuetzalan Del Progreso	2017	26.9%	29.8%	24.2%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tacahua	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Mexico	Natividad	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Mexico	Guanacevi	2017	30.4%	52.1%	11.3%
Mexico	Jesus Caranza	2017	18.7%	38.2%	4.4%
Mexico	Nogales	2017	1.6%	5.3%	0.3%
Mexico	Fortin	2017	5.7%	6.7%	5.0%
Mexico	San Luis De La Paz	2017	33.3%	55.6%	9.6%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Mendez	2017	26.5%	27.2%	25.8%
Mexico	Monterrey	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Mexico	San Pedro El Alto	2017	23.4%	26.8%	20.9%
Mexico	La Grandeza	2017	5.3%	6.1%	4.6%
Mexico	San Sebastian Del Oeste	2017	24.1%	53.9%	4.6%
Mexico	Valle De Santiago	2017	21.8%	39.1%	8.2%
Mexico	Tempoal	2017	8.8%	16.8%	3.2%
Mexico	Santa Barbara	2017	8.0%	12.2%	5.1%
Mexico	Gomez Farias	2017	9.2%	24.3%	2.5%
Mexico	Tenampa	2017	40.6%	43.3%	37.6%
Mexico	Tlaola	2017	8.3%	11.2%	6.3%
Mexico	Coyomeapan	2017	17.7%	21.5%	14.4%
Mexico	Cocotitlan	2017	5.4%	5.9%	4.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Totolapilla	2017	9.2%	14.3%	5.1%
Mexico	Castanos	2017	2.5%	4.0%	1.4%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	7.2%	18.8%	1.6%
Mexico	Izamal	2017	41.1%	52.0%	30.4%
Mexico	Rafael Lara Grajales	2017	2.4%	2.8%	1.9%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Quiquitani	2017	25.3%	26.8%	24.0%
Mexico	Aldama	2017	6.6%	17.3%	1.7%
Mexico	Cuyoaco	2017	11.4%	19.0%	6.7%
Mexico	El Barrio De La Soledad	2017	14.1%	25.4%	8.4%
Mexico	Teocuitatlan De Corona	2017	14.1%	27.3%	6.7%
Mexico	Mulege	2017	5.0%	8.2%	2.5%
Mexico	Chiconcuac	2017	1.7%	1.8%	1.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Jalapa Del Marques	2017	24.1%	63.0%	3.5%
Mexico	Melchor Ocampo	2017	29.0%	54.8%	5.7%
Mexico	Tlacoachistlahuaca	2017	58.1%	78.7%	34.8%
Mexico	Canadas De Obregon	2017	22.5%	43.9%	8.5%
Mexico	San Juan Huactzinco	2017	7.1%	7.4%	6.9%
Mexico	Dr. Arroyo	2017	15.8%	31.8%	6.6%
Mexico	Nombre De Dios	2017	17.4%	42.1%	3.4%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Zapotilla	2017	18.6%	35.4%	8.2%
Mexico	Nejapa De Madero	2017	13.9%	28.6%	5.6%
Mexico	Ojuelos De Jalisco	2017	41.5%	67.4%	15.0%
Mexico	Aramberri	2017	13.6%	29.1%	3.3%
Mexico	Nocupetaro	2017	39.9%	60.4%	19.7%
Mexico	Mariano Escobedo	2017	2.6%	2.8%	2.3%
Mexico	Tepatlaxco De Hidalgo	2017	23.3%	25.9%	20.9%
Mexico	Rosamorada	2017	17.8%	37.7%	5.9%
Mexico	San Nicolas	2017	15.7%	31.3%	6.7%
Mexico	San Juan Cacahuatlaxco	2017	41.5%	46.9%	36.6%
Mexico	Muna	2017	40.3%	46.3%	34.9%
Mexico	Coyutla	2017	15.0%	21.9%	9.9%
Mexico	Pabellon De Arteaga	2017	4.4%	8.5%	2.0%
Mexico	Yurecuaro	2017	8.4%	17.5%	4.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Molinos	2017	12.4%	13.3%	11.5%
Mexico	Zacualpan	2017	37.5%	50.4%	24.8%
Mexico	Sayula	2017	2.2%	3.9%	1.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Cuixtla	2017	16.9%	18.2%	15.5%
Mexico	Nuevo Zoquiapam	2017	4.2%	5.0%	3.5%
Mexico	Frontera Hidalgo	2017	14.2%	21.9%	9.8%
Mexico	Santiago Papasquiario	2017	23.5%	37.0%	12.7%
Mexico	Santiago Comaltepec	2017	19.9%	31.8%	11.2%
Mexico	Calvillo	2017	10.3%	22.1%	3.9%
Mexico	Comitan De Dominguez	2017	11.8%	19.6%	5.8%
Mexico	Arandas	2017	14.9%	33.3%	3.0%
Mexico	Florencio Villarreal	2017	45.7%	63.9%	30.5%
Mexico	Oxchuc	2017	32.0%	45.7%	18.8%
Mexico	Santa Cruz	2017	9.0%	29.4%	0.4%
Mexico	Manuel Benavides	2017	13.8%	33.9%	3.6%
Mexico	San Andres Nuxino	2017	21.3%	23.6%	19.3%
Mexico	San Juan Teita	2017	6.2%	6.9%	5.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Mazapa De Madero	2017	10.2%	14.5%	7.3%
Mexico	Pedro Escobedo	2017	23.6%	43.1%	8.9%
Mexico	San Simon De Guerrero	2017	50.7%	59.0%	42.5%
Mexico	Huichapan	2017	34.7%	54.8%	15.3%
Mexico	Muxupip	2017	51.5%	52.7%	50.3%
Mexico	El Arenal	2017	2.8%	5.0%	1.5%
Mexico	Magdalena Mixtepec	2017	18.8%	22.2%	15.6%
Mexico	Jiquipilas	2017	23.9%	51.3%	2.7%
Mexico	Trinidad Zachila	2017	1.7%	1.9%	1.6%
Mexico	Huayacocotla	2017	15.9%	27.1%	7.1%
Mexico	Miacatlan	2017	19.7%	26.1%	14.2%
Mexico	Atlahuilco	2017	17.4%	18.3%	16.5%
Mexico	Chicxulub Pueblo	2017	34.6%	35.7%	33.5%
Mexico	San Juan Atepec	2017	7.9%	9.7%	6.4%
Mexico	Tarimoro	2017	22.2%	39.1%	8.4%
Mexico	Venustiano Carranza	2017	8.2%	14.1%	4.2%
Mexico	Soto La Marina	2017	6.6%	13.2%	2.7%
Mexico	Queretaro	2017	8.1%	17.4%	2.8%
Mexico	Tancitaro	2017	11.0%	21.1%	4.1%
Mexico	Camaron De Tejeda	2017	37.1%	53.1%	24.0%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	21.3%	34.7%	10.6%
Mexico	San Agustin De Las Juntas	2017	2.5%	2.7%	2.4%
Mexico	Atoyac De Alvarez	2017	31.4%	55.8%	11.4%
Mexico	Los Cabos	2017	5.6%	18.2%	0.7%
Mexico	Opichen	2017	13.7%	17.2%	10.8%
Mexico	Santiago Nuyoo	2017	7.9%	8.8%	7.1%
Mexico	Guaymas	2017	4.4%	8.0%	2.3%
Mexico	Hueyapan De Ocampo	2017	22.2%	44.0%	8.2%
Mexico	Tecoanapa	2017	39.8%	59.1%	22.5%
Mexico	Jonuta	2017	14.2%	32.2%	3.0%
Mexico	Zapotitlan De Vadillo	2017	21.6%	36.4%	8.8%
Mexico	Nextlalpan	2017	5.0%	6.4%	3.8%
Mexico	San Andres Sinaxtla	2017	3.0%	3.4%	2.5%
Mexico	Teoloyucan	2017	3.0%	3.2%	2.7%
Mexico	Santiago Apoala	2017	14.4%	15.5%	13.5%
Mexico	Armeria	2017	4.9%	13.4%	1.0%
Mexico	San Antonio Sinicahua	2017	16.8%	18.7%	15.2%
Mexico	San Jose Estancia Grande	2017	57.4%	64.3%	51.0%
Mexico	Cuapiaxtla	2017	17.1%	19.5%	15.1%
Mexico	Miahuatlan	2017	5.3%	5.6%	4.9%
Mexico	Comalcalco	2017	16.1%	37.4%	3.2%
Mexico	Acajete	2017	20.2%	23.2%	18.1%
Mexico	Acapulco De Juarez	2017	19.6%	43.8%	4.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Turicato	2017	23.1%	46.8%	6.7%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cuaunecuiltla	2017	7.1%	7.8%	6.5%
Mexico	Tekal De Venegas	2017	48.3%	58.1%	37.6%
Mexico	Las Choapas	2017	21.3%	32.4%	11.5%
Mexico	Tahmek	2017	77.0%	78.4%	75.4%
Mexico	San Andres Lagunas	2017	5.2%	6.6%	4.2%
Mexico	Charapan	2017	7.1%	12.8%	3.1%
Mexico	Ixtlan De Juarez	2017	5.3%	6.6%	4.5%
Mexico	Temascalapa	2017	23.9%	25.9%	22.2%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	30.5%	46.5%	15.7%
Mexico	Temoac	2017	23.3%	24.0%	22.6%
Mexico	Quecholac	2017	10.7%	18.3%	6.6%
Mexico	Santa Maria Temascalapa	2017	12.4%	13.6%	11.5%
Mexico	Santiago Miahuatlan	2017	12.6%	16.4%	9.6%
Mexico	Kaua	2017	57.0%	63.0%	50.6%
Mexico	Cuncunul	2017	41.9%	51.5%	33.6%
Mexico	Soconusco	2017	8.0%	10.9%	5.6%
Mexico	San Sebastian Teitipac	2017	31.3%	32.4%	30.3%
Mexico	Texcatepec	2017	24.3%	33.2%	17.2%
Mexico	Tepalcingo	2017	22.1%	32.1%	13.9%
Mexico	Cuatla	2017	27.4%	51.8%	6.2%
Mexico	Acultzingo	2017	15.7%	17.8%	14.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Ejutla	2017	9.5%	10.0%	8.9%
Mexico	Huauतेpec	2017	7.9%	8.4%	7.4%
Mexico	Atlautla	2017	9.1%	9.6%	8.7%
Mexico	La Magdalena Tlatlauquitepec	2017	28.1%	39.5%	18.1%
Mexico	Miquihuana	2017	10.6%	23.0%	3.2%
Mexico	Tala	2017	2.7%	6.3%	0.9%
Mexico	Namiquipa	2017	8.8%	21.6%	1.7%
Mexico	San Pedro Huilotepec	2017	38.9%	41.3%	36.8%
Mexico	San Andres Huaxpaltepec	2017	61.2%	66.4%	55.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Huamelula	2017	45.6%	67.9%	23.1%
Mexico	Coatecas Altas	2017	31.5%	35.6%	28.1%
Mexico	Tetiz	2017	60.9%	65.9%	55.4%
Mexico	Petlalingo	2017	21.1%	27.9%	15.7%
Mexico	Motul	2017	50.0%	51.4%	48.6%
Mexico	Matamoros	2017	1.2%	4.0%	0.3%
Mexico	Tamuin	2017	7.0%	16.5%	1.3%
Mexico	Zozocolco De Hidalgo	2017	30.7%	31.5%	29.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Ixcatlan	2017	10.4%	15.3%	7.2%
Mexico	Caxhuacan	2017	50.7%	52.5%	49.3%
Mexico	Yaonahuac	2017	13.7%	14.5%	12.7%
Mexico	Santa Maria Jaltianguis	2017	2.7%	3.3%	2.2%
Mexico	Molcaxac	2017	23.7%	33.1%	17.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Damian Texoloc	2017	12.4%	12.9%	11.9%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Tlaxiaco	2017	12.4%	14.9%	10.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Zanatepec	2017	19.5%	43.5%	5.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria La Asuncion	2017	9.2%	10.3%	8.2%
Mexico	Teolocho	2017	10.0%	10.4%	9.6%
Mexico	San Baltazar Yatzachi El Bajo	2017	20.0%	21.5%	18.5%
Mexico	Balleza	2017	38.8%	56.0%	23.1%
Mexico	Tepecoacuilco De Trujano	2017	26.4%	50.6%	7.9%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Valle Nacional	2017	6.9%	12.9%	3.1%
Mexico	Libres	2017	9.3%	12.2%	7.6%
Mexico	Zacualtipan De Angeles	2017	12.4%	18.7%	8.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Chapulapa	2017	25.9%	28.9%	22.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Aloapam	2017	7.2%	8.4%	6.2%
Mexico	Isla Puebla	2017	13.0%	27.2%	4.6%
Mexico	Chiquilistlan	2017	7.6%	8.3%	6.8%
Mexico	Ixil	2017	19.3%	36.4%	7.7%
Mexico	La Magdalena Contreras	2017	5.1%	8.0%	3.8%
Mexico	La Magdalena Contreras	2017	1.0%	1.1%	1.0%
Mexico	Tlaquiltenango	2017	11.9%	24.0%	4.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Petapa	2017	18.9%	31.1%	11.6%
Mexico	Atenguillo	2017	23.3%	48.4%	6.1%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan Del Rio	2017	11.8%	26.8%	2.5%
Mexico	Minatitlan	2017	12.2%	26.2%	3.4%
Mexico	Colon	2017	36.2%	60.6%	14.4%
Mexico	Huasca De Ocampo	2017	49.5%	59.6%	39.3%
Mexico	San Juan De Sabinas	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Mexico	Toliman	2017	44.7%	62.6%	26.0%
Mexico	Sudzal	2017	51.3%	77.2%	21.7%
Mexico	Santiago Huajolotitlan	2017	28.5%	30.6%	26.2%
Mexico	Autlan De Navarro	2017	4.8%	10.5%	1.8%
Mexico	San Mateo Del Mar	2017	61.1%	83.6%	30.2%
Mexico	Guadalupe Victoria	2017	19.5%	39.3%	5.2%
Mexico	Tekanto	2017	36.4%	38.1%	34.8%
Mexico	Rayon	2017	30.6%	37.7%	22.6%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	18.4%	45.1%	3.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Teopoxco	2017	9.9%	10.9%	8.9%
Mexico	Terrenate	2017	29.3%	34.3%	24.1%
Mexico	Apan	2017	8.6%	15.3%	4.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Eduardo Neri	2017	28.5%	61.8%	6.2%
Mexico	Tomatlan	2017	8.2%	10.0%	6.7%
Mexico	Tzompantepec	2017	15.0%	15.6%	14.5%
Mexico	Tecate	2017	2.1%	5.7%	0.5%
Mexico	Tampico	2017	1.4%	3.6%	0.8%
Mexico	San Jorge Nuchita	2017	27.9%	30.6%	25.2%
Mexico	Tacambaro	2017	9.7%	19.9%	3.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Mechoacan	2017	77.8%	79.1%	76.3%
Mexico	Yecora	2017	7.9%	19.9%	1.7%
Mexico	San Juan Tepeuxila	2017	5.8%	6.6%	4.8%
Mexico	Ixtlan	2017	9.2%	12.7%	7.5%
Mexico	Santa Maria Xadani	2017	27.0%	39.8%	14.7%
Mexico	Tocumbo	2017	5.2%	8.4%	3.2%
Mexico	Fresnillo De Trujano	2017	46.0%	50.8%	41.1%
Mexico	Jonacatepec	2017	17.0%	22.0%	13.4%
Mexico	Huehuetlan	2017	3.7%	5.6%	2.5%
Mexico	San Bartolome Ayautla	2017	23.5%	28.0%	19.6%
Mexico	Chanal	2017	50.1%	72.6%	26.4%
Mexico	San Pablo Coatlan	2017	5.0%	7.0%	3.7%
Mexico	Aporo	2017	25.2%	26.6%	23.4%
Mexico	Lampazos De Naranjo	2017	1.4%	2.5%	0.8%
Mexico	Tlaxcoapan	2017	7.2%	7.8%	6.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Panixtlahuaca	2017	37.4%	40.1%	34.8%
Mexico	Mazatecochco De Jose Maria Morelos	2017	11.6%	12.1%	11.1%
Mexico	Acatepec	2017	51.8%	73.6%	26.7%
Mexico	Sabinas Hidalgo	2017	1.3%	2.8%	0.4%
Mexico	San Gabriel Chilac	2017	9.8%	12.1%	7.9%
Mexico	Dzidzantun	2017	29.0%	35.4%	22.8%
Mexico	Mascota	2017	17.5%	60.5%	1.3%
Mexico	San Melchor Betaza	2017	57.8%	60.1%	55.6%
Mexico	Tierra Nueva	2017	29.0%	48.7%	14.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zoquitlan	2017	27.7%	38.8%	18.9%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	16.1%	23.2%	10.8%
Mexico	Vista Hermosa	2017	6.0%	7.5%	5.0%
Mexico	Tlalnelhuayocan	2017	5.8%	6.3%	5.2%
Mexico	San Ignacio	2017	25.7%	48.4%	10.5%
Mexico	Magdalena Ocotlan	2017	35.0%	37.4%	32.8%
Mexico	Altar	2017	5.8%	8.6%	4.5%
Mexico	Zapotitlan Laganas	2017	40.1%	43.8%	36.6%
Mexico	Zitlala	2017	42.7%	60.5%	25.6%
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	25.8%	35.4%	17.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Oro	2017	39.1%	67.1%	14.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 22 -	2017	19.6%	35.0%	11.0%
Mexico	Comapa	2017	34.6%	49.7%	22.4%
Mexico	Tlacoapa	2017	57.7%	73.6%	41.2%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Tecoatl	2017	6.4%	6.8%	6.0%
Mexico	Empalme	2017	3.2%	9.5%	1.1%
Mexico	Tzucacab	2017	50.8%	70.3%	21.8%
Mexico	Urique	2017	40.4%	65.7%	21.1%
Mexico	Salvador Alvarado	2017	8.0%	16.2%	3.0%
Mexico	Tijuana	2017	4.0%	13.7%	0.4%
Mexico	Durango	2017	7.2%	16.8%	2.1%
Mexico	San Salvador	2017	17.5%	22.9%	13.6%
Mexico	Chapala	2017	4.4%	11.1%	0.9%
Mexico	Cansahcab	2017	43.9%	47.2%	41.1%
Mexico	Tlilapan	2017	16.3%	16.7%	15.9%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Tayata	2017	7.2%	8.1%	6.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Quiatoni	2017	14.2%	24.9%	8.1%
Mexico	Chamula	2017	24.1%	30.2%	19.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Tule	2017	4.6%	5.1%	4.2%
Mexico	Tepeojuma	2017	21.7%	24.7%	19.2%
Mexico	Poza Rica De Hidalgo	2017	1.8%	3.3%	1.1%
Mexico	Las Minas	2017	15.6%	16.8%	14.5%
Mexico	Arteaga	2017	11.0%	27.0%	3.5%
Mexico	Cordoba	2017	6.8%	7.7%	6.1%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Suchitepec	2017	22.5%	24.9%	20.6%
Mexico	Calchahualco	2017	7.6%	8.9%	6.4%
Mexico	Coyuca De Benitez	2017	29.3%	62.0%	5.0%
Mexico	Santa Ines Del Monte	2017	25.6%	27.3%	23.8%
Mexico	San Francisco Jaltepetongo	2017	15.2%	16.6%	14.0%
Mexico	San Miguel Mixtepec	2017	18.0%	19.3%	16.9%
Mexico	Huasabas	2017	2.8%	3.9%	1.8%
Mexico	El Oro	2017	22.4%	40.1%	9.5%
Mexico	Atlixco	2017	13.7%	18.2%	9.9%
Mexico	San Jose Del Rincon	2017	45.0%	70.6%	20.3%
Mexico	Actopan	2017	11.5%	17.3%	6.9%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	3.0%	5.9%	1.4%
Mexico	Tres Valles	2017	23.8%	48.4%	5.5%
Mexico	San Juan Yaee	2017	29.6%	31.5%	27.5%
Mexico	Juchitan De Zaragoza	2017	10.5%	13.6%	8.4%
Mexico	Guachinango	2017	22.8%	46.9%	6.0%
Mexico	Xoxocotla	2017	30.1%	31.4%	28.9%
Mexico	San Juan Cotzocon	2017	18.0%	42.6%	4.0%
Mexico	Kantunil	2017	65.0%	78.1%	48.3%
Mexico	Medellin	2017	10.6%	20.9%	6.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Galeana	2017	12.5%	23.7%	5.5%
Mexico	Santiago	2017	48.7%	58.8%	41.6%
	Pinotepa Nacional				
Mexico	Tahdziu	2017	55.1%	70.7%	34.8%
Mexico	Acolman	2017	4.9%	5.5%	4.4%
Mexico	Escobedo	2017	4.1%	11.9%	0.6%
Mexico	San Antonino Monte Verde	2017	6.2%	7.9%	4.9%
Mexico	Tlaltenango De Sanchez Roman	2017	15.9%	26.4%	7.1%
Mexico	Maxcanu	2017	22.5%	36.6%	11.2%
Mexico	San Pedro Martir Quiechapa Santo Domingo	2017	9.0%	12.6%	6.2%
Mexico	Carlos A. Carrillo	2017	24.6%	39.5%	12.2%
Mexico	Aquiles Serdan	2017	12.2%	17.7%	8.4%
Mexico	Santiago Astata	2017	11.0%	18.9%	5.2%
Mexico	Petatlan	2017	45.4%	57.8%	35.3%
Mexico	San Joaquin	2017	29.1%	66.5%	5.2%
Mexico	Sierra Mojada	2017	39.2%	61.9%	17.4%
Mexico	Tequixquiac	2017	19.7%	34.3%	8.7%
Mexico	Santiago Tilantongo	2017	16.3%	19.8%	13.4%
Mexico	Suchil	2017	9.0%	10.8%	7.4%
Mexico	Xiutetelco	2017	19.9%	52.8%	2.3%
Mexico	Atacomulco	2017	5.1%	7.8%	3.7%
Mexico	Tlahuapan	2017	31.6%	48.0%	16.6%
Mexico	Rayones	2017	5.0%	7.2%	3.2%
Mexico	Sultepec	2017	15.9%	35.3%	5.9%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Hajuapan De Leon	2017	52.5%	70.5%	29.6%
Mexico	Bustamante	2017	6.9%	8.2%	5.8%
Mexico	Boca Del Rio	2017	18.7%	36.8%	5.8%
Mexico	Oxkutzcab	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.7%
Mexico	Tapalpa	2017	35.1%	41.5%	29.9%
Mexico	Chila De La Sal	2017	14.8%	26.8%	5.4%
Mexico	Angel R. Cabada	2017	28.0%	31.8%	24.3%
Mexico	Atotonilco De Tula	2017	8.7%	10.3%	7.5%
Mexico	Santiago Yaveo	2017	21.5%	41.5%	7.5%
Mexico	Totoltepec De Guerrero	2017	32.4%	38.1%	26.9%
Mexico	Jonotla	2017	33.0%	33.8%	32.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tecomavaca	2017	25.3%	33.3%	17.8%
Mexico	Coatzacoalcos	2017	4.2%	16.0%	0.3%
Mexico	Trancoso	2017	16.3%	29.5%	6.8%
Mexico	Gustavo Diaz Ordaz	2017	1.7%	8.9%	0.1%
Mexico	Susticacan	2017	26.0%	42.4%	12.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tulcingo	2017	31.1%	42.5%	21.6%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	9.6%	16.8%	5.1%
Mexico	Uayma	2017	51.3%	64.6%	39.0%
Mexico	Arteaga	2017	36.5%	69.1%	15.0%
Mexico	San Andres Tenejapan	2017	22.3%	22.9%	21.7%
Mexico	Zongolica	2017	23.6%	28.6%	17.1%
Mexico	Tecuala	2017	9.1%	21.5%	1.8%
Mexico	Frontera Comalapa	2017	14.3%	31.8%	3.1%
Mexico	Zamora	2017	3.9%	7.2%	1.9%
Mexico	Cuitzeo	2017	11.5%	20.7%	7.5%
Mexico	Orizaba	2017	1.8%	1.9%	1.7%
Mexico	Ecuandureo	2017	10.6%	28.2%	3.6%
Mexico	San Simon Zahuatlan	2017	39.7%	41.1%	38.4%
Mexico	Ucu	2017	46.9%	50.3%	44.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Teojomulco	2017	19.7%	29.1%	13.5%
Mexico	Yecapixtla	2017	9.0%	9.3%	8.7%
Mexico	Magdalena Apasco	2017	3.1%	3.7%	2.7%
Mexico	Casas	2017	16.4%	37.0%	4.3%
Mexico	Matehuala	2017	3.9%	6.6%	2.2%
Mexico	La Yesca	2017	27.3%	47.5%	10.8%
Mexico	Cacalchen	2017	49.4%	53.0%	45.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Lachigolo	2017	6.4%	7.3%	5.6%
Mexico	Otatitlan	2017	12.6%	18.3%	8.3%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2017	13.9%	15.2%	12.7%
Mexico	San Agustin Yatareni	2017	3.0%	3.2%	2.8%
Mexico	Jalpan	2017	16.2%	27.5%	8.5%
Mexico	San Gabriel Mixtepec	2017	25.3%	42.8%	13.4%
Mexico	Contla De Juan Cuamatzi	2017	9.7%	10.1%	9.4%
Mexico	Palmillas	2017	6.5%	16.4%	2.4%
Mexico	Lerdo De Tejada	2017	9.9%	13.2%	7.7%
Mexico	Almoloya De Juarez	2017	49.2%	63.3%	34.0%
Mexico	Ixcateopan De Cuauhtemoc	2017	41.7%	59.1%	27.8%
Mexico	Tepeapulco	2017	4.9%	8.3%	3.1%
Mexico	Navolato	2017	12.6%	27.1%	3.2%
Mexico	Mixquiahuala De Juarez	2017	14.1%	15.1%	13.1%
Mexico	Tatatila	2017	11.3%	12.9%	10.2%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Itundujia	2017	12.6%	23.1%	6.5%
Mexico	Miguel Auza	2017	15.2%	31.5%	3.6%
Mexico	Santa Ana Tlapacoyan	2017	19.5%	21.8%	17.4%
Mexico	Acatzingo	2017	10.9%	12.2%	9.4%
Mexico	Tlapacoyan	2017	6.7%	13.7%	3.0%
Mexico	Pinal De Amoles	2017	45.1%	65.0%	24.5%
Mexico	Xicotlan	2017	34.7%	46.9%	20.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Apostol	2017	29.6%	30.6%	28.7%
Mexico	Guazapares	2017	33.3%	59.1%	13.8%
Mexico	Paracho	2017	10.8%	14.6%	8.4%
Mexico	San Bartolo Soyaltepec	2017	9.7%	11.7%	7.8%
Mexico	Cohuecan	2017	39.1%	40.1%	38.1%
Mexico	San Mateo Cajonos	2017	16.8%	18.3%	15.3%
Mexico	La Compania	2017	25.5%	27.7%	23.5%
Mexico	Coacalco De Berriozabal	2017	1.5%	1.6%	1.3%
Mexico	Zentla	2017	32.0%	38.9%	24.8%
Mexico	Mineral Del Monte	2017	11.1%	12.3%	10.3%
Mexico	Coatlan Del Rio	2017	26.9%	34.1%	20.0%
Mexico	San Juan Tamazola	2017	23.3%	28.4%	19.1%
Mexico	Sahuaripa	2017	4.7%	16.4%	1.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Sochiapam	2017	16.2%	18.4%	13.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	21.9%	49.5%	4.5%
Mexico	Comala	2017	3.8%	7.7%	1.6%
Mexico	Teul De Gonzalez Ortega	2017	23.9%	47.2%	10.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Lagunillas	2017	13.7%	37.7%	2.0%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xoxocotlan	2017	4.0%	4.2%	3.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tlahuitoltepec	2017	23.7%	25.0%	22.5%
Mexico	Guadalupe De Ramirez	2017	21.0%	22.4%	19.5%
Mexico	Matlapa	2017	6.5%	8.6%	5.1%
Mexico	Coyotepec	2017	3.3%	4.2%	2.6%
Mexico	San Juan Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2017	33.9%	37.3%	30.1%
Mexico	Chilpancingo De Los Bravo	2017	12.1%	21.2%	4.5%
Mexico	Mariscala De Juarez	2017	35.9%	43.0%	28.5%
Mexico	Chila	2017	46.8%	50.8%	41.4%
Mexico	Lafragua	2017	3.5%	7.2%	1.8%
Mexico	Papalotla De Xicohtencatl	2017	8.3%	8.6%	8.0%
Mexico	Atempan	2017	7.2%	7.6%	6.8%
Mexico	Sunuapa	2017	31.0%	41.1%	22.9%
Mexico	Tzintzuntzan	2017	9.2%	12.9%	5.8%
Mexico	Cardenas	2017	5.0%	8.3%	3.2%
Mexico	San Juan Bautista Tuxtepec	2017	14.4%	27.4%	5.4%
Mexico	Apaseo El Alto	2017	22.2%	38.4%	9.0%
Mexico	Catemaco	2017	10.6%	20.7%	4.6%
Mexico	Mezquitlan	2017	15.3%	26.6%	7.4%
Mexico	Onavas	2017	15.0%	32.3%	4.0%
Mexico	San Bernardo	2017	40.8%	65.4%	18.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Acatlan	2017	4.1%	4.4%	3.8%
Mexico	Tepatitlan De Morelos	2017	8.1%	20.2%	1.8%
Mexico	San Jose Del Progreso	2017	45.7%	53.6%	37.9%
Mexico	Atoyac	2017	19.2%	24.2%	15.3%
Mexico	Santa Lucia Ocotlan	2017	37.2%	38.1%	36.4%
Mexico	Chalcatongo De Hidalgo	2017	11.8%	13.6%	10.4%
Mexico	Carichi	2017	37.0%	54.1%	17.6%
Mexico	Tula	2017	6.9%	15.0%	2.1%
Mexico	Monte Escobedo	2017	42.1%	73.1%	12.4%
Mexico	Huautla De Jimenez	2017	6.2%	7.8%	5.3%
Mexico	Totolac	2017	6.3%	6.5%	6.0%
Mexico	San Francisco Chindua	2017	8.8%	9.6%	8.0%
Mexico	Solidaridad	2017	20.6%	31.6%	10.9%
Mexico	Villa Juarez	2017	6.9%	17.6%	1.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Mixtepec - Distr. 26 -	2017	42.8%	45.5%	39.8%
Mexico	Mexquitic De Carmona	2017	28.6%	46.2%	13.7%
Mexico	General Cepeda	2017	18.2%	32.3%	8.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Nopala	2017	31.3%	33.7%	28.8%
Mexico	Huehuetla	2017	24.8%	35.1%	16.8%
Mexico	San Francisco De Los Romo	2017	4.1%	12.1%	1.2%
Mexico	Oluta	2017	3.9%	4.8%	3.2%
Mexico	San Diego La Mesa Tochimiltzingo	2017	40.4%	47.2%	33.4%
Mexico	Ajacuba	2017	22.3%	28.0%	17.6%
Mexico	Acambaro	2017	9.8%	19.1%	3.6%
Mexico	Nauzontla	2017	23.8%	26.6%	21.0%
Mexico	Temapache	2017	5.2%	14.0%	1.2%
Mexico	Cuauhtemoc	2017	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	13.0%	20.5%	7.6%
Mexico	Ixhuatan	2017	12.3%	18.3%	8.1%
Mexico	Acambay	2017	45.8%	64.0%	30.2%
Mexico	San Francisco Huehuetlan	2017	7.4%	8.1%	6.8%
Mexico	Tlazazalca	2017	9.8%	14.8%	6.0%
Mexico	Mani	2017	58.3%	60.6%	56.0%
Mexico	Santiago Ixtayutla	2017	11.1%	17.2%	6.4%
Mexico	Zitacuaro	2017	13.0%	18.9%	8.3%
Mexico	Buenavista	2017	13.7%	33.5%	2.8%
Mexico	Nacajuca	2017	11.1%	27.7%	2.0%
Mexico	Tuxtla Gutierrez	2017	5.5%	11.7%	1.9%
Mexico	Mapimi	2017	11.9%	18.1%	6.8%
Mexico	Rojas De Cuauhtemoc	2017	7.5%	8.4%	6.8%
Mexico	Chapulco	2017	15.6%	19.0%	13.0%
Mexico	San Jose Ayuquila	2017	53.8%	55.7%	51.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Cadereyta Jimenez	2017	2.1%	4.6%	0.7%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	25.8%	40.3%	15.3%
Mexico	Ixpantepec Nieves	2017	34.1%	38.3%	30.5%
Mexico	Yautepec	2017	9.9%	17.0%	5.4%
Mexico	Vallecillo	2017	8.1%	31.3%	0.6%
Mexico	Telchac Puerto	2017	15.4%	57.7%	0.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Tidaa	2017	7.9%	8.9%	7.1%
Mexico	San Juan Teposcolula	2017	47.3%	53.3%	42.0%
Mexico	Zumpango	2017	8.7%	12.3%	5.8%
Mexico	Progreso	2017	3.2%	8.7%	0.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Totolapa	2017	32.0%	47.1%	19.4%
Mexico	Vicente Guerrero	2017	27.7%	32.4%	23.9%
Mexico	Bacoachi	2017	4.3%	7.5%	2.1%
Mexico	Huauchinango	2017	7.2%	8.1%	6.1%
Mexico	Oquitoa	2017	6.1%	7.9%	4.3%
Mexico	Santiago Nundiche	2017	4.6%	6.3%	3.5%
Mexico	Gustavo A. Madero	2017	1.8%	1.9%	1.7%
Mexico	San Pablo Huitzo	2017	10.1%	10.7%	9.5%
Mexico	Santiago Amoltepec	2017	3.6%	6.2%	1.9%
Mexico	Chahuities	2017	16.9%	23.5%	12.1%
Mexico	Lerma	2017	6.6%	7.3%	6.1%
Mexico	Tiquicheo De Nicolas Romero	2017	30.8%	59.0%	12.3%
Mexico	Chilon	2017	43.9%	64.4%	24.5%
Mexico	Peto	2017	35.8%	57.2%	13.6%
Mexico	San Andres Cabecera Nueva	2017	33.9%	51.6%	20.8%
Mexico	Santa Maria Apazco	2017	10.3%	13.1%	8.4%
Mexico	Santiago Texitlan	2017	3.9%	7.4%	1.9%
Mexico	Ajalpan	2017	22.1%	29.8%	14.7%
Mexico	Iguala De La Independencia	2017	7.4%	14.0%	2.9%
Mexico	San Dimas	2017	25.6%	46.0%	10.8%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	6.0%	14.2%	1.0%
Mexico	Moris	2017	23.5%	48.4%	5.0%
Mexico	Zapotlan Del Rey	2017	9.9%	20.2%	2.8%
Mexico	Homun	2017	59.2%	60.7%	57.4%
Mexico	Rincon De Romos	2017	13.0%	21.3%	8.7%
Mexico	Santiago Xiacui	2017	3.1%	3.8%	2.4%
Mexico	Mina	2017	5.7%	9.9%	2.8%
Mexico	Escarcega	2017	15.5%	23.7%	8.5%
Mexico	Pantepec	2017	31.8%	38.9%	22.6%
Mexico	Palmar De Bravo	2017	15.9%	26.3%	9.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Ayala	2017	10.7%	17.3%	6.1%
Mexico	Huepac	2017	3.3%	4.5%	2.4%
Mexico	Chinicuila	2017	33.4%	54.2%	12.9%
Mexico	Sochiapa	2017	18.7%	19.6%	17.7%
Mexico	Pilcaya	2017	32.8%	42.8%	20.6%
Mexico	Valle De Bravo	2017	28.0%	39.7%	16.6%
Mexico	Sinaloa	2017	14.3%	27.4%	5.5%
Mexico	San Jose Tenango	2017	11.2%	14.6%	8.8%
Mexico	Piedras Negras	2017	1.8%	5.1%	0.5%
Mexico	Huamantla	2017	16.5%	19.5%	14.4%
Mexico	Amecameca	2017	2.8%	3.0%	2.6%
Mexico	Acatlan De Juarez	2017	2.1%	3.6%	1.0%
Mexico	El Carmen Tequexquitla	2017	22.8%	26.8%	18.9%
Mexico	San Miguel El Grande	2017	19.0%	21.1%	17.3%
Mexico	Romita	2017	22.0%	39.2%	8.0%
Mexico	Chiconcuautla	2017	19.0%	21.8%	16.2%
Mexico	Ario	2017	10.1%	19.9%	3.1%
Mexico	La Piedad	2017	6.5%	12.9%	2.7%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tehuantepec	2017	15.4%	32.2%	4.9%
Mexico	Las Vigas De Ramirez	2017	8.2%	9.1%	7.5%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	2.9%	3.9%	2.2%
Mexico	Copalillo	2017	40.8%	58.9%	24.6%
Mexico	Nuevo Urecho	2017	13.9%	24.1%	6.6%
Mexico	Charcas	2017	11.2%	19.6%	5.4%
Mexico	San Cristobal De Las Casas	2017	13.2%	16.6%	10.6%
Mexico	San Andres Paxtlan	2017	16.1%	17.2%	14.9%
Mexico	Axtla De Terrazas	2017	5.4%	7.1%	4.1%
Mexico	La Colorada	2017	14.2%	26.5%	5.6%
Mexico	Nuevo Laredo	2017	3.0%	12.2%	0.3%
Mexico	Cruillas	2017	10.8%	30.0%	1.5%
Mexico	Huitzilac	2017	6.0%	9.2%	3.9%
Mexico	Villagran	2017	14.0%	30.5%	4.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yanhuitlan	2017	6.0%	7.6%	4.7%
Mexico	San Martin Huamelulpam	2017	8.4%	9.3%	7.5%
Mexico	Valle De Zaragoza	2017	29.2%	57.4%	8.5%
Mexico	Navojoa	2017	6.8%	13.2%	2.9%
Mexico	Guanajuato	2017	14.9%	30.4%	5.0%
Mexico	Santiago Suchilquitongo	2017	15.1%	15.8%	14.4%
Mexico	Tetla De La Solidaridad	2017	10.6%	12.2%	8.8%
Mexico	Nativitas	2017	11.9%	12.5%	11.3%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	11.7%	28.1%	3.8%
Mexico	Chilchota	2017	14.1%	18.1%	10.3%
Mexico	Irimbo	2017	17.6%	26.5%	10.1%
Mexico	Zacapala	2017	43.9%	52.9%	33.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Chicontepec	2017	5.3%	12.8%	1.7%
Mexico	San Pablo Villa De Mitla	2017	4.8%	6.8%	3.5%
Mexico	San Miguel Amatlan	2017	6.7%	16.0%	2.1%
Mexico	Penamiller	2017	40.2%	64.6%	19.6%
Mexico	Nicolas Flores	2017	24.8%	36.8%	14.2%
Mexico	Apulco	2017	26.8%	52.9%	7.0%
Mexico	Ixtapan Del Oro	2017	44.9%	55.0%	34.7%
Mexico	San Felipe Jalapa De Diaz	2017	12.0%	14.8%	9.8%
Mexico	Tepalcatepec	2017	15.5%	37.0%	3.1%
Mexico	San Matias Tlalancaleca	2017	5.2%	6.0%	4.3%
Mexico	Sacramento	2017	1.9%	3.4%	0.9%
Mexico	Salinas Victoria	2017	3.8%	7.5%	1.7%
Mexico	San Ildefonso Villa Alta	2017	17.7%	20.6%	15.2%
Mexico	Aguascalientes	2017	2.5%	6.9%	0.7%
Mexico	Amatlan De Canas	2017	13.2%	26.7%	3.9%
Mexico	Iliatenco	2017	55.3%	88.1%	15.9%
Mexico	Santa Maria Sola	2017	35.0%	39.5%	30.2%
Mexico	Rioverde	2017	8.4%	16.1%	3.7%
Mexico	Matias Romero Avendano	2017	16.4%	32.4%	4.3%
Mexico	Villa Corona	2017	4.0%	11.0%	1.1%
Mexico	Teziutlan	2017	4.4%	5.3%	3.5%
Mexico	San Andres Duraznal	2017	5.0%	6.7%	3.9%
Mexico	Metztitlan	2017	19.3%	32.5%	8.3%
Mexico	Santa Apolonia Teacalco	2017	9.1%	9.5%	8.7%
Mexico	El Fuerte	2017	9.7%	18.2%	3.8%
Mexico	Coahuatlan	2017	14.9%	17.1%	13.2%
Mexico	Querendaro	2017	12.4%	29.0%	3.1%
Mexico	Yaxe	2017	32.9%	36.0%	30.7%
Mexico	San Luis Del Cordero	2017	18.2%	42.9%	4.7%
Mexico	Naranjal	2017	26.3%	27.4%	25.4%
Mexico	Inde	2017	26.4%	51.0%	10.4%
Mexico	Cuilapam De Guerrero	2017	10.0%	10.7%	9.4%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2017	10.4%	13.9%	8.2%
Mexico	Jantetelco	2017	21.8%	25.4%	19.5%
Mexico	La Union De Isidoro Montes De Oca	2017	34.5%	62.4%	11.6%
Mexico	San Martin De Bolanos	2017	32.5%	62.6%	8.1%
Mexico	San Salvador Huixcolotla	2017	16.3%	17.1%	15.7%
Mexico	La Manzanilla De La Paz	2017	7.6%	12.0%	4.0%
Mexico	Batopilas	2017	58.1%	77.6%	38.3%
Mexico	Ixhuatlancillo	2017	1.9%	2.1%	1.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Amixtlan	2017	8.1%	8.6%	7.6%
Mexico	San Juan Comaltepec	2017	5.1%	7.1%	4.0%
Mexico	San Vicente Tancuayalab	2017	4.9%	10.7%	1.4%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	5.5%	6.4%	4.7%
Mexico	Santiago Ixcuintla	2017	11.1%	25.0%	2.9%
Mexico	San Juan Atzompa	2017	47.8%	51.6%	44.0%
Mexico	Tlahuiltepa	2017	16.0%	28.0%	6.8%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	2.7%	6.4%	0.8%
Mexico	La Huacana	2017	29.7%	59.0%	7.6%
Mexico	Patzcuaro	2017	3.3%	5.2%	2.2%
Mexico	Tuxcacuesco	2017	24.4%	44.1%	11.3%
Mexico	Oriental	2017	11.0%	15.6%	7.6%
Mexico	Nanacamilpa De Mariano Arista	2017	3.4%	4.9%	2.6%
Mexico	Othon P. Blanco	2017	6.8%	11.7%	3.4%
Mexico	San Antonio Tepetlapa	2017	72.1%	77.5%	64.7%
Mexico	Tepetzintla	2017	11.2%	17.1%	7.0%
Mexico	Tehuiztzingo	2017	42.5%	57.9%	27.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Ahuehuetitlan	2017	13.9%	15.9%	12.0%
Mexico	Rafael Lucio	2017	6.1%	6.6%	5.7%
Mexico	La Paz	2017	2.1%	4.5%	1.0%
Mexico	Tarimbaro	2017	10.9%	17.7%	7.0%
Mexico	Paras	2017	4.1%	11.6%	0.9%
Mexico	Huitzilan De Serdan	2017	26.9%	30.4%	24.3%
Mexico	Tlatlaya	2017	41.5%	62.2%	20.5%
Mexico	Alaquines	2017	12.0%	25.1%	4.8%
Mexico	Chignautla	2017	4.9%	5.9%	4.3%
Mexico	Tekom	2017	31.4%	50.7%	17.9%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan Del Cafe	2017	13.3%	16.6%	10.3%
Mexico	Acacoyagua	2017	17.8%	25.4%	11.7%
Mexico	Ojocaliente	2017	11.0%	22.6%	3.5%
Mexico	Chucandiro	2017	26.3%	34.5%	19.1%
Mexico	Tultitlan	2017	1.1%	1.1%	1.0%
Mexico	San Jeronimo Xayacatlan	2017	36.2%	39.0%	33.2%
Mexico	Chilcuautila	2017	22.8%	30.9%	15.6%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Papalutla	2017	30.9%	31.8%	30.0%
Mexico	Zapotlan De Juarez	2017	9.5%	10.6%	8.5%
Mexico	Ometepec	2017	49.7%	64.3%	35.2%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	4.6%	5.5%	3.9%
Mexico	San Antonio Huitepec	2017	27.2%	33.8%	23.1%
Mexico	Cuatrocieneegas	2017	2.3%	4.5%	1.1%
Mexico	Apaseo El Grande	2017	7.5%	17.1%	2.4%
Mexico	Mococha	2017	14.7%	15.7%	13.6%
Mexico	Tataltepec De Valdes	2017	19.1%	29.9%	10.9%
Mexico	Hidalgo Del Parral	2017	4.1%	8.4%	1.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Munoz De Domingo Arenas	2017	12.2%	16.9%	8.9%
Mexico	San Lucas Ojitlan	2017	20.9%	30.6%	14.4%
Mexico	Veracruz	2017	1.6%	2.5%	1.1%
Mexico	Mazapiltepec	2017	2.7%	3.5%	2.0%
Mexico	De Juarez	2017	10.1%	16.3%	6.1%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	10.1%	16.3%	6.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Del Rio	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Mexico	Tranchinol	2017	14.9%	26.2%	6.8%
Mexico	San Salvador El Seco	2017	4.3%	5.1%	3.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Albarradas	2017	12.1%	19.5%	7.6%
Mexico	San Buenaventura	2017	1.7%	2.7%	1.1%
Mexico	San Sebastian Rio Hondo	2017	6.7%	8.5%	5.2%
Mexico	Teocelo	2017	14.1%	16.3%	12.1%
Mexico	Huatlatlauca	2017	37.7%	44.5%	34.0%
Mexico	Oaxaca De Juarez	2017	3.8%	4.0%	3.5%
Mexico	Rodeo	2017	21.5%	38.4%	10.0%
Mexico	San Marcos	2017	43.2%	61.3%	25.7%
Mexico	San Sebastian Coatlan	2017	6.5%	11.3%	3.1%
Mexico	Otzoloapan	2017	38.4%	52.0%	25.6%
Mexico	Tenabo	2017	54.9%	76.3%	30.7%
Mexico	Malinalco	2017	20.4%	31.9%	11.4%
Mexico	Santiago De Anaya	2017	30.8%	45.1%	18.9%
Mexico	Mecayapan	2017	59.0%	68.4%	49.1%
Mexico	Dolores Hidalgo Cuna De La Independenc	2017	33.5%	51.9%	15.8%
Mexico	Fronteras	2017	5.4%	10.9%	2.8%
Mexico	Santiago Laol-laga	2017	22.2%	28.0%	17.4%
Mexico	Villaldama	2017	3.5%	12.1%	0.4%
Mexico	Ursulo Galvan	2017	3.6%	10.9%	1.3%
Mexico	Ocosingo	2017	35.5%	50.3%	23.3%
Mexico	San Pedro Amuzgos	2017	41.6%	46.3%	36.8%
Mexico	Ocotlan De Morelos	2017	25.9%	26.5%	25.3%
Mexico	La Mision	2017	9.5%	16.4%	4.5%
Mexico	San Antonio Nanahuatipam	2017	24.1%	30.4%	18.4%
Mexico	Xaltocan	2017	11.6%	12.2%	11.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Tataltepec	2017	13.4%	15.3%	11.2%
Mexico	Calimaya	2017	11.4%	20.0%	5.8%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Amilpas	2017	2.3%	2.5%	2.1%
Mexico	Perote	2017	5.9%	9.5%	3.1%
Mexico	Candelaria	2017	23.6%	38.8%	11.2%
Mexico	Atzitzihuacan	2017	32.8%	36.0%	29.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Pedro Del Gallo	2017	23.0%	48.6%	5.1%
Mexico	San Felipe	2017	12.4%	48.8%	0.3%
Mexico	Asuncion Ixtaltepec	2017	9.8%	26.4%	2.5%
Mexico	Panuco	2017	3.5%	9.5%	0.9%
Mexico	San Andres Yaa	2017	44.2%	46.3%	42.2%
Mexico	Bachiniva	2017	6.1%	17.6%	1.1%
Mexico	Tecamachalco	2017	9.8%	11.3%	8.4%
Mexico	Loma Bonita	2017	16.1%	32.0%	5.6%
Mexico	Cosautlan De Carvajal	2017	12.6%	13.5%	11.7%
Mexico	Santiago Lachiguiri	2017	37.6%	57.6%	18.3%
Mexico	Zaragoza	2017	26.2%	27.2%	25.3%
Mexico	Mexicali	2017	1.3%	2.9%	0.5%
Mexico	San Andres Zautla	2017	16.6%	17.5%	15.7%
Mexico	Ahuacuotzingo	2017	46.6%	66.1%	27.3%
Mexico	Higueras	2017	8.4%	12.3%	5.6%
Mexico	Tacotalpa	2017	24.2%	44.3%	8.1%
Mexico	Mayapan	2017	46.3%	48.6%	44.0%
Mexico	San Felipe Del Progreso	2017	48.2%	70.3%	25.7%
Mexico	San Francisco Sola	2017	43.7%	66.1%	20.8%
Mexico	Sanahcat	2017	70.0%	71.6%	68.6%
Mexico	Tzicatlacoyan	2017	31.6%	39.3%	25.5%
Mexico	Tepetlaoxtoc	2017	6.2%	9.2%	4.4%
Mexico	Akil	2017	29.4%	30.8%	27.8%
Mexico	Abasolo	2017	3.0%	8.1%	0.6%
Mexico	Jalacingo	2017	4.1%	6.5%	2.7%
Mexico	Miahuatlan De Porfirio Diaz	2017	17.9%	19.5%	16.3%
Mexico	Santiago Tillo	2017	3.7%	4.3%	3.1%
Mexico	Huejuquilla El Alto	2017	42.3%	68.0%	18.6%
Mexico	Ameca	2017	10.7%	23.8%	3.3%
Mexico	Calotmul	2017	7.1%	12.8%	3.4%
Mexico	San Francisco Ozolotepec	2017	8.7%	11.3%	7.0%
Mexico	Copanatoyac	2017	62.5%	75.4%	46.6%
Mexico	Ozumba	2017	6.3%	6.7%	6.0%
Mexico	Torreon	2017	3.0%	6.7%	1.6%
Mexico	Bolanos	2017	39.3%	73.8%	8.3%
Mexico	Las Margaritas	2017	11.8%	23.3%	4.4%
Mexico	San Andres Tuxtla	2017	11.2%	20.8%	5.9%
Mexico	San Sebastian Abasolo	2017	14.5%	15.6%	13.6%
Mexico	San Baltazar Loxicha	2017	5.2%	6.7%	4.2%
Mexico	Pesqueria	2017	3.3%	7.4%	1.5%
Mexico	Nicolas Romero	2017	10.0%	13.0%	7.5%
Mexico	Chavinda	2017	7.0%	14.1%	2.7%
Mexico	Pisaflores	2017	7.5%	12.2%	4.1%
Mexico	San Pedro Teutila	2017	15.6%	19.2%	12.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Agualeguas	2017	7.1%	22.9%	0.7%
Mexico	Jolalpan	2017	42.0%	58.2%	24.7%
Mexico	Magdalena Teitipac	2017	16.5%	17.3%	15.7%
Mexico	San Martin Chalchicuatla	2017	16.4%	28.6%	9.6%
Mexico	Nuevo Morelos	2017	15.0%	23.1%	10.9%
Mexico	Chilapa De Alvarez	2017	41.0%	67.5%	16.1%
Mexico	Purepero	2017	3.9%	6.6%	2.5%
Mexico	San Andres Cholula	2017	7.5%	7.9%	7.1%
Mexico	Ixhuatlan De Madero	2017	9.5%	18.1%	3.9%
Mexico	Landa De Matamoros	2017	18.6%	33.0%	6.1%
Mexico	Tianguistenco	2017	5.6%	5.9%	5.2%
Mexico	San Bartolome Loxicha	2017	8.7%	12.1%	6.0%
Mexico	San Diego De Alejandria	2017	10.6%	25.7%	5.0%
Mexico	Coatepec	2017	7.9%	9.5%	6.5%
Mexico	Taxco De Alarcon	2017	21.8%	29.9%	14.8%
Mexico	Cosoltepec	2017	37.0%	43.3%	31.3%
Mexico	Valparaiso	2017	39.4%	58.2%	19.3%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Acatepec	2017	4.9%	5.3%	4.6%
Mexico	Sucila	2017	4.6%	8.5%	1.9%
Mexico	San Andres Ixtlahuaca	2017	17.9%	19.0%	16.8%
Mexico	Santiago Apostol	2017	21.8%	22.5%	21.0%
Mexico	Juan Rodriguez Clara	2017	18.0%	36.1%	6.7%
Mexico	Totutla	2017	28.4%	29.5%	27.1%
Mexico	Tepakan	2017	22.8%	24.4%	21.1%
Mexico	Capulalpam De Mendez	2017	2.5%	2.9%	2.2%
Mexico	Espanita	2017	9.7%	11.5%	8.1%
Mexico	Rio Grande	2017	18.7%	33.3%	7.7%
Mexico	Soyalo	2017	5.1%	7.5%	3.1%
Mexico	Acaxochitlan	2017	20.1%	23.9%	16.6%
Mexico	Cocula	2017	33.8%	56.7%	13.1%
Mexico	Cochoapa El Grande	2017	81.1%	92.6%	62.5%
Mexico	Mier	2017	0.8%	2.7%	0.1%
Mexico	San Ignacio Rio Muerto	2017	8.2%	22.9%	1.8%
Mexico	Xochiatipan	2017	8.5%	11.7%	6.5%
Mexico	La Barca	2017	6.2%	13.6%	2.8%
Mexico	Coapilla	2017	27.5%	37.5%	14.7%
Mexico	El Llano	2017	23.9%	51.6%	3.5%
Mexico	Axapusco	2017	14.0%	19.2%	11.1%
Mexico	San Miguel Tequixtepec	2017	20.9%	25.6%	17.2%
Mexico	Soledad De Graciano Sanchez	2017	2.1%	3.7%	1.2%
Mexico	Atoyatempan	2017	18.8%	20.1%	17.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Calkini	2017	40.3%	60.4%	19.9%
Mexico	San Francisco De Borja	2017	20.6%	46.3%	5.6%
Mexico	Ixtapaluca	2017	1.6%	1.9%	1.5%
Mexico	Santiago Texcalcingo	2017	11.8%	12.7%	11.0%
Mexico	San Lucas	2017	40.5%	48.3%	32.6%
Mexico	San Bartolome Zoogocho	2017	18.3%	19.5%	17.1%
Mexico	Santa Cruz Xitla	2017	11.1%	11.8%	10.5%
Mexico	Chiautla	2017	19.6%	29.7%	11.0%
Mexico	Nogales	2017	4.5%	4.8%	4.2%
Mexico	Tezonapa	2017	22.6%	33.7%	15.3%
Mexico	Astacinga	2017	16.6%	17.3%	15.8%
Mexico	San Felipe De Jesus	2017	2.8%	4.1%	1.9%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Yodohino	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Mexico	Ecatepec De Morelos	2017	3.5%	3.7%	3.3%
Mexico	Jaumave	2017	9.5%	27.4%	1.9%
Mexico	San Pedro Atoyac	2017	45.5%	46.7%	44.4%
Mexico	Amatitlan	2017	27.1%	39.7%	16.4%
Mexico	Palenque	2017	36.6%	60.5%	17.2%
Mexico	Santiago Llano Grande	2017	67.0%	69.3%	63.8%
Mexico	Chiquihuitlan De Benito Juarez	2017	11.2%	12.1%	10.3%
Mexico	Malinaltepec	2017	56.6%	77.8%	35.6%
Mexico	Nacozari De Garcia	2017	8.3%	32.3%	0.4%
Mexico	Salinas	2017	23.6%	41.8%	10.4%
Mexico	Banderilla	2017	1.5%	1.6%	1.4%
Mexico	Hidalgo	2017	4.3%	15.2%	0.3%
Mexico	Panuco	2017	30.3%	47.7%	14.9%
Mexico	Chilchotla	2017	2.7%	3.8%	2.0%
Mexico	Francisco Z. Mena	2017	7.9%	16.1%	3.0%
Mexico	Guemez	2017	6.9%	16.1%	2.1%
Mexico	Chalchihuites	2017	27.5%	46.0%	12.8%
Mexico	Tlaltizapan	2017	10.9%	19.7%	5.6%
Mexico	Alamos	2017	26.1%	41.9%	12.2%
Mexico	Casas Grandes	2017	2.4%	4.5%	1.2%
Mexico	San Bartolome Yucane	2017	13.0%	15.1%	11.6%
Mexico	Tlaquilpa	2017	12.9%	13.3%	12.3%
Mexico	Huejotitan	2017	43.3%	66.6%	16.7%
Mexico	Santiago Jamiltepec	2017	45.9%	57.7%	33.9%
Mexico	Santiago Lalopa	2017	25.7%	28.2%	23.3%
Mexico	Tlaxco	2017	15.8%	29.9%	5.6%
Mexico	Coetzala	2017	23.5%	24.8%	22.1%
Mexico	San Pablo Anicano	2017	23.2%	26.3%	19.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tepezala	2017	14.9%	25.9%	8.1%
Mexico	Pahuatlan	2017	18.6%	20.4%	17.2%
Mexico	Guerrero	2017	7.5%	37.9%	0.3%
Mexico	Benemerito De Las Americas	2017	24.8%	61.8%	4.6%
Mexico	Union Juarez	2017	9.0%	14.0%	5.4%
Mexico	Chiconamel	2017	20.4%	29.3%	14.8%
Mexico	San Juan Juquila Mixes	2017	14.8%	21.0%	10.2%
Mexico	Huhi	2017	59.0%	62.4%	55.4%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	17.8%	39.7%	4.6%
Mexico	General Canuto A. Neri	2017	35.1%	53.7%	17.8%
Mexico	Tanlajas	2017	11.9%	22.2%	6.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Zaniza	2017	12.8%	14.5%	11.4%
Mexico	San Pedro Juchatengo	2017	24.2%	30.7%	17.9%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	4.3%	9.1%	1.7%
Mexico	Hueyapan	2017	14.4%	15.8%	13.1%
Mexico	Aguililla	2017	20.3%	49.3%	2.5%
Mexico	Ciudad Del Maiz	2017	12.2%	24.2%	4.7%
Mexico	Jiquilpan	2017	6.3%	9.3%	4.6%
Mexico	Zacatlan	2017	13.2%	18.0%	9.3%
Mexico	Santa Catalina Quieri	2017	11.5%	13.3%	10.2%
Mexico	Temixco	2017	7.5%	8.3%	6.9%
Mexico	Olintla	2017	26.9%	27.9%	26.1%
Mexico	Lazaro Cardenas	2017	29.8%	55.1%	11.6%
Mexico	Cantamayec	2017	39.2%	56.2%	21.1%
Mexico	San Agustin Etna	2017	2.9%	3.2%	2.6%
Mexico	San Andres Teotilalpam	2017	7.2%	11.4%	4.4%
Mexico	Ozuluama De Mascarenas	2017	11.1%	26.0%	3.2%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Cacaotepec	2017	5.1%	5.4%	4.9%
Mexico	Huiramba	2017	7.7%	10.2%	6.0%
Mexico	Carbo	2017	8.9%	17.8%	4.0%
Mexico	Ocampo	2017	21.9%	41.0%	7.0%
Mexico	Pajapan	2017	43.8%	70.0%	17.8%
Mexico	Celestun	2017	20.3%	66.5%	0.3%
Mexico	Santiago Juxtahuaca	2017	28.7%	36.2%	21.0%
Mexico	Tetecala	2017	16.8%	19.7%	13.4%
Mexico	Mugica	2017	14.9%	24.1%	8.4%
Mexico	Agua Dulce	2017	4.1%	10.7%	1.1%
Mexico	Xochimilco	2017	1.3%	1.8%	1.1%
Mexico	Ocotlan	2017	2.9%	5.2%	1.5%
Mexico	Ixtacuixtla De Mariano Matamoros	2017	7.9%	8.9%	7.1%
Mexico	Ixtlahuacan	2017	17.6%	35.3%	5.6%
Mexico	Pedro Ascencio Alquisiras	2017	46.3%	60.6%	33.2%
Mexico	Santiago Laxopa	2017	15.0%	17.3%	12.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Ayotlan	2017	13.6%	33.3%	2.6%
Mexico	Santiago Zochila	2017	15.5%	16.6%	14.3%
Mexico	Soyopa	2017	15.7%	40.2%	1.5%
Mexico	Hecelchakan	2017	36.7%	52.9%	21.0%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonala	2017	47.9%	49.4%	46.3%
Mexico	San Dionisio Ocoatepec	2017	34.2%	38.8%	29.2%
Mexico	Elota	2017	39.8%	67.7%	15.4%
Mexico	Xochihuehuetlan	2017	30.7%	40.3%	21.5%
Mexico	San Felipe Orizatlan	2017	20.2%	31.1%	11.6%
Mexico	Olinala	2017	38.8%	59.9%	18.0%
Mexico	Tenancingo	2017	10.1%	12.9%	7.9%
Mexico	Suma	2017	67.1%	70.5%	63.3%
Mexico	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	16.4%	30.9%	7.6%
Mexico	San Mateo Atenco	2017	7.4%	8.8%	6.5%
Mexico	Charo	2017	12.5%	22.4%	5.1%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	12.6%	50.7%	0.3%
Mexico	Chikindzonot	2017	75.0%	90.0%	50.2%
Mexico	Yecuatla	2017	16.0%	18.0%	14.2%
Mexico	Champtoton	2017	22.5%	52.4%	5.1%
Mexico	Santa Ana	2017	5.7%	13.3%	1.8%
Mexico	Ixtacamaxtitlan	2017	10.5%	17.5%	5.1%
Mexico	Sanctorum De Lazaro Cardenas	2017	5.1%	6.4%	4.2%
Mexico	Santiago Tuxtla	2017	25.0%	43.3%	8.6%
Mexico	Dzilam Gonzalez	2017	39.3%	69.5%	8.8%
Mexico	Ahuacatlan	2017	8.1%	17.9%	2.2%
Mexico	San Jose Independencia	2017	26.8%	31.2%	22.6%
Mexico	Quechultenango	2017	43.0%	66.6%	21.3%
Mexico	Taniche	2017	25.6%	26.6%	24.7%
Mexico	Abejones	2017	10.8%	12.3%	9.4%
Mexico	Sabinas	2017	1.5%	4.1%	0.4%
Mexico	Quitupan	2017	19.6%	37.2%	7.4%
Mexico	Tepetlixpa	2017	6.3%	6.7%	5.9%
Mexico	Tonila	2017	5.5%	13.6%	2.2%
Mexico	Santa Ines De Zaragoza	2017	25.8%	30.5%	21.6%
Mexico	Candelaria Loxicha	2017	29.6%	36.2%	24.8%
Mexico	Heroica Ciudad De Ejutla De Crespo	2017	18.2%	19.2%	17.1%
Mexico	Texcoco	2017	2.1%	2.5%	1.8%
Mexico	San Pedro Teozacoalco	2017	2.6%	3.5%	1.9%
Mexico	Atitalaquia	2017	8.9%	9.9%	8.1%
Mexico	Santa Maria Coyotepec	2017	3.7%	4.0%	3.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Armenta	2017	81.3%	86.2%	74.7%
Mexico	San Miguel Tlacotepec	2017	46.1%	49.7%	42.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	San Juan Quiahije	2017	72.3%	83.1%	60.1%
Mexico	Soledad De Doblado	2017	22.0%	32.8%	13.7%
Mexico	Madera	2017	8.2%	15.6%	3.9%
Mexico	Burgos	2017	7.4%	17.2%	2.4%
Mexico	Chalma	2017	9.7%	13.0%	6.9%
Mexico	El Higo	2017	2.6%	5.1%	1.2%
Mexico	Cuajimalpa De Morelos	2017	1.1%	1.2%	0.9%
Mexico	Tepeji Del Rio De Ocampo	2017	13.9%	21.6%	7.8%
Mexico	Salvador Escalante	2017	4.0%	8.4%	1.6%
Mexico	Garcia	2017	6.4%	22.8%	0.4%
Mexico	Mazamitla	2017	12.0%	20.0%	8.1%
Mexico	Tepetongo	2017	33.7%	54.7%	14.1%
Mexico	San Felipe Tepatlan	2017	12.8%	13.7%	11.9%
Mexico	San Juan Teitipac	2017	35.3%	36.3%	34.3%
Mexico	Zumpahuacan	2017	34.2%	48.6%	21.2%
Mexico	Ixcamilpa De Guerrero	2017	47.2%	59.4%	36.1%
Mexico	Acula	2017	28.7%	40.3%	18.2%
Mexico	Tochimilco	2017	15.1%	18.2%	12.1%
Mexico	Irapuato	2017	10.2%	19.6%	3.9%
Mexico	Amaxac De Guerrero	2017	9.7%	10.1%	9.3%
Mexico	Villa De Alende	2017	52.0%	61.5%	43.1%
Mexico	Cuautinchan	2017	33.1%	35.2%	29.5%
Mexico	Jacona	2017	2.1%	3.0%	1.4%
Mexico	Motuzintla	2017	18.4%	29.7%	9.1%
Mexico	Tecoh	2017	62.5%	68.6%	54.7%
Mexico	Merida	2017	21.1%	30.0%	12.8%
Mexico	San Pablo Etla	2017	4.0%	4.2%	3.7%
Mexico	Hostotipaquillo	2017	15.4%	31.5%	3.7%
Mexico	Loreto	2017	13.0%	22.4%	6.3%
Mexico	Alto Lucero De Gutierrez Barrios	2017	22.5%	44.2%	5.9%
Mexico	Atarjea	2017	48.1%	66.5%	30.8%
Mexico	Jimenez	2017	4.8%	12.6%	1.3%
Mexico	Atlapexco	2017	25.5%	29.6%	21.7%
Mexico	Alpatlahuac	2017	7.1%	9.2%	5.7%
Mexico	Juan Galindo	2017	5.3%	6.3%	4.7%
Mexico	Domingo Arenas	2017	24.6%	26.0%	23.3%
Mexico	San Lorenzo Texmelucan	2017	22.2%	28.5%	17.0%
Mexico	Santa Maria Atzompa	2017	6.2%	6.5%	5.9%
Mexico	Huejutla De Reyes	2017	17.6%	23.5%	13.1%
Mexico	Ahualulco De Mercado	2017	2.2%	5.0%	0.9%
Mexico	San Miguel El Alto	2017	10.0%	21.6%	4.0%
Mexico	San Cristobal Amoltepec	2017	19.8%	20.9%	18.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Tequisquiapan	2017	19.3%	41.9%	5.2%
Mexico	Hermosillo	2017	2.1%	3.1%	1.3%
Mexico	San Miguel Piedras	2017	3.2%	4.9%	2.4%
Mexico	Santiago Xanica	2017	16.1%	24.1%	10.0%
Mexico	Atoyac	2017	7.8%	11.9%	5.4%
Mexico	Coicoyan De Las Flores	2017	65.7%	81.5%	49.7%
Mexico	San Agustin Chayuco	2017	62.5%	68.6%	55.8%
Mexico	Altamirano	2017	20.5%	40.0%	6.2%
Mexico	Mier Y Noriega	2017	23.2%	42.9%	8.3%
Mexico	Tonanitla	2017	4.7%	5.2%	4.3%
Mexico	Santa Gertrudis	2017	18.2%	19.3%	17.2%
Mexico	Benito Juarez	2017	28.2%	47.5%	11.9%
Mexico	Nadadores	2017	2.8%	6.1%	1.0%
Mexico	Zoquiapan	2017	34.8%	35.8%	33.9%
Mexico	Frontera	2017	2.6%	5.6%	1.2%
Mexico	Santa Maria Del Rio	2017	34.5%	61.7%	8.9%
Mexico	San Bernardo Mixtepec	2017	21.9%	24.7%	19.8%
Mexico	San Miguel Huautla	2017	15.5%	17.3%	13.9%
Mexico	Saucillo	2017	7.7%	19.2%	2.0%
Mexico	Santiago Jocotepec	2017	3.4%	10.0%	0.8%
Mexico	Emiliano Zapata	2017	22.5%	24.5%	20.6%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Xagacia	2017	22.4%	24.5%	20.3%
Mexico	Santa Catarina Ayometla	2017	6.6%	6.9%	6.4%
Mexico	Cardenas	2017	15.1%	42.7%	1.8%
Mexico	Cunduacan	2017	14.7%	32.7%	3.0%
Mexico	San Juan Cancuc	2017	64.1%	73.6%	52.8%
Mexico	Uruapan	2017	3.0%	5.4%	1.6%
Mexico	San Juan Colorado	2017	49.4%	50.5%	48.2%
Mexico	Hueypoxtla	2017	23.0%	32.2%	16.0%
Mexico	Poanas	2017	12.1%	23.4%	4.3%
Mexico	Suchiate	2017	10.6%	35.6%	1.2%
Mexico	Tixpehual	2017	23.3%	25.8%	21.0%
Mexico	Palizada	2017	9.1%	31.9%	1.7%
Mexico	Huejucar	2017	22.8%	34.5%	13.0%
Mexico	El Bosque	2017	18.7%	21.6%	15.9%
Mexico	Francisco Leon	2017	37.6%	51.0%	25.5%
Mexico	Tlaquepaque	2017	1.5%	5.0%	0.6%
Mexico	Rosales	2017	5.5%	9.7%	3.6%
Mexico	Los Herreras	2017	3.4%	7.2%	1.1%
Mexico	Villa Hidalgo	2017	11.7%	20.0%	5.6%
Mexico	San Salvador El Verde	2017	6.7%	7.4%	6.0%
Mexico	Ilamatlan	2017	23.7%	34.5%	16.2%
Mexico	San Antonio Canada	2017	35.9%	40.9%	30.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mexico	Degollado	2017	14.0%	24.5%	8.9%
Mexico	Santa Cruz De Bravo	2017	23.7%	26.8%	21.2%
Mexico	Martires De Tacubaya	2017	37.3%	40.6%	33.8%
Mexico	San Jose De Gracia	2017	21.4%	48.6%	7.3%
Mexico	Uxpanapa	2017	17.9%	40.5%	5.0%
Mexico	Morelos	2017	40.5%	54.4%	26.7%
Mexico	Ixtapan De La Sal	2017	17.5%	21.0%	14.7%
Mexico	Amatan	2017	18.7%	27.3%	11.0%
Mexico	Pijijiapan	2017	20.0%	42.4%	7.1%
Mexico	San Juan Lajarcia	2017	19.7%	26.4%	14.1%
Mexico	San Martin De Los Cansecos	2017	42.9%	43.9%	41.9%
Mexico	Union De San Antonio	2017	18.3%	39.6%	6.0%
Mexico	Ixtaczoquitlan	2017	9.6%	10.5%	8.7%
Mexico	Magdalena Tequisistlan	2017	27.8%	54.8%	8.4%
Mexico	Huixtan	2017	26.8%	40.1%	15.6%
Mexico	Aquismon	2017	11.1%	20.1%	5.2%
Mexico	Villa Guerrero	2017	23.6%	29.9%	18.0%
Mexico	Ixmiquilpan	2017	20.3%	31.4%	10.6%
Mexico	San Raymundo Jalpan	2017	3.7%	4.0%	3.4%
Mexico	Santo Domingo Tonaltepec	2017	50.6%	53.1%	48.1%
Mexico	Ebano	2017	6.1%	17.6%	1.2%
Mexico	Santiago Tlazoyaltepec	2017	15.5%	18.0%	13.4%
Mexico	Quiriego	2017	27.6%	58.0%	5.4%
Mexico	Tanetze De Zaragoza	2017	24.7%	26.2%	23.3%
Mexico	Juarez	2017	19.0%	37.2%	6.2%
Mexico	Guadalupe Y Calvo	2017	31.6%	44.3%	19.8%
Mexico	Cintalapa	2017	24.3%	48.1%	6.2%
Mexico	Valle De Juarez	2017	8.6%	11.8%	5.8%
Mexico	Chichimila	2017	19.1%	39.1%	5.8%
Mexico	San Juan Del Rio	2017	9.0%	11.7%	7.1%
Mexico	Tenamaxtlan	2017	15.7%	35.3%	4.6%
Mexico	Temozon	2017	29.3%	48.1%	13.7%
Mexico	Santa Catarina	2017	36.9%	49.7%	24.0%
Nicaragua	Macuelizo	2000	22.9%	34.1%	11.5%
Nicaragua	Corinto	2000	23.7%	37.5%	10.8%
Nicaragua	Rancho Grande	2000	33.2%	49.2%	20.6%
Nicaragua	Acoyapa	2000	31.7%	47.8%	17.6%
Nicaragua	Posoltega	2000	16.8%	22.7%	11.4%
Nicaragua	Telica	2000	13.8%	21.4%	7.8%
Nicaragua	Somotillo	2000	23.5%	33.7%	14.4%
Nicaragua	Río Blanco	2000	33.9%	43.0%	25.1%
Nicaragua	San Pedro de Lóvago	2000	27.2%	36.4%	16.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Matiguás	2000	26.0%	37.2%	16.8%
Nicaragua	Nagarote	2000	19.2%	32.0%	9.0%
Nicaragua	Las Sabanas	2000	27.1%	36.3%	18.7%
Nicaragua	Belén	2000	18.2%	26.5%	10.7%
Nicaragua	Potosí	2000	15.3%	23.8%	9.4%
Nicaragua	Morrito	2000	36.5%	53.7%	23.5%
Nicaragua	La Paz de Carazo	2000	6.4%	10.0%	4.0%
Nicaragua	Granada	2000	16.0%	22.5%	10.5%
Nicaragua	Condega	2000	17.5%	26.5%	10.3%
Nicaragua	Mateare	2000	13.3%	23.3%	5.7%
Nicaragua	Catarina	2000	11.4%	14.6%	8.4%
Nicaragua	Villa Carlos Fonseca	2000	16.8%	28.3%	8.6%
Nicaragua	Juigalpa	2000	29.9%	36.5%	22.7%
Nicaragua	Santa Lucía	2000	47.5%	60.6%	33.3%
Nicaragua	Sébaco	2000	25.4%	36.7%	15.3%
Nicaragua	San Sebastián de Yalí	2000	25.9%	37.0%	16.5%
Nicaragua	La Trinidad	2000	24.2%	34.1%	15.8%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Norte	2000	28.6%	42.6%	17.9%
Nicaragua	Telpaneca	2000	16.9%	25.1%	9.9%
Nicaragua	Tipitapa	2000	15.4%	26.7%	7.7%
Nicaragua	Bluefields	2000	35.8%	44.4%	28.9%
Nicaragua	Santa Rosa del Peñón	2000	45.9%	58.5%	32.8%
Nicaragua	Nueva Guinea	2000	37.0%	47.7%	26.1%
Nicaragua	Matagalpa	2000	18.7%	28.1%	11.1%
Nicaragua	San Isidro	2000	20.3%	31.2%	12.5%
Nicaragua	Santo Tomás	2000	29.4%	43.7%	16.6%
Nicaragua	Moyogalpa	2000	31.1%	40.2%	21.4%
Nicaragua	Wiwilí	2000	43.8%	51.6%	35.4%
Nicaragua	Tola	2000	22.5%	29.4%	15.8%
Nicaragua	Masatepe	2000	19.5%	25.4%	11.0%
Nicaragua	La Libertad	2000	35.4%	51.7%	22.4%
Nicaragua	San Jorge	2000	5.3%	9.5%	2.5%
Nicaragua	Quezalguaque	2000	12.9%	19.5%	6.6%
Nicaragua	Prinzapolka	2000	46.1%	54.7%	37.5%
Nicaragua	Jalapa	2000	24.5%	37.5%	13.4%
Nicaragua	Estelí	2000	20.5%	27.6%	13.4%
Nicaragua	Diriomo	2000	6.2%	9.0%	4.2%
Nicaragua	Teustepe	2000	42.0%	53.8%	30.1%
Nicaragua	Tuma-La Dalia	2000	30.2%	44.4%	18.2%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Darío	2000	25.9%	38.2%	16.4%
Nicaragua	Santa María de Pantasma	2000	40.4%	54.1%	28.7%
Nicaragua	Esquipulas	2000	43.4%	58.3%	27.5%
Nicaragua	San Carlos	2000	31.7%	40.5%	23.9%
Nicaragua	Jinotepe	2000	13.7%	19.6%	8.6%
Nicaragua	El Viejo	2000	23.8%	35.9%	13.4%
Nicaragua	El Rosario	2000	10.4%	16.1%	5.4%
Nicaragua	Villa Sandino	2000	31.8%	43.2%	20.2%
Nicaragua	Bocana de Paiwas	2000	27.8%	38.9%	17.7%
Nicaragua	San Lorenzo	2000	29.2%	43.3%	16.4%
Nicaragua	Dipilto	2000	21.1%	33.1%	11.5%
Nicaragua	Totogalpa	2000	17.3%	22.8%	12.3%
Nicaragua	El Cuá	2000	38.7%	47.6%	30.9%
Nicaragua	Rosita	2000	41.8%	51.1%	32.7%
Nicaragua	San Lucas	2000	24.8%	33.1%	14.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Boaco	2000	31.6%	46.5%	17.7%
Nicaragua	Muy Muy	2000	25.7%	42.2%	13.4%
Nicaragua	Lago de Nicaragua	2000	26.0%	34.2%	17.6%
Nicaragua	Waslala	2000	36.8%	48.1%	24.7%
Nicaragua	Tisma	2000	15.6%	26.0%	8.4%
Nicaragua	Kukra Hill	2000	42.6%	60.3%	24.6%
Nicaragua	La Cruz de Río Grande	2000	41.6%	48.2%	35.3%
Nicaragua	Camoapa	2000	28.4%	44.3%	17.4%
Nicaragua	Santa María	2000	15.0%	23.8%	8.0%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Limay	2000	28.3%	38.6%	17.3%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Oriente	2000	11.6%	15.9%	7.4%
Nicaragua	Diriamba	2000	17.0%	23.2%	12.3%
Nicaragua	La Conquista	2000	14.9%	18.4%	11.3%
Nicaragua	Chinandega	2000	18.0%	28.5%	10.4%
Nicaragua	Quilalí	2000	31.4%	46.7%	19.0%
Nicaragua	Larreynaga-Malpaisillo	2000	18.0%	29.6%	9.7%
Nicaragua	Lago de Managua	2000	7.2%	14.9%	2.1%
Nicaragua	Ticuantepe	2000	9.3%	15.6%	4.3%
Nicaragua	Siuna	2000	42.1%	50.4%	34.8%
Nicaragua	La Concordia	2000	16.2%	26.0%	8.2%
Nicaragua	León	2000	19.5%	27.9%	12.5%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Antigua	2000	12.8%	22.2%	5.7%
Nicaragua	Managua	2000	5.6%	9.3%	3.4%
Nicaragua	Nindirí	2000	8.2%	12.5%	5.1%
Nicaragua	Waspán	2000	46.9%	53.9%	39.1%
Nicaragua	Diriá	2000	6.7%	10.4%	4.0%
Nicaragua	Murra	2000	36.1%	49.8%	22.0%
Nicaragua	San Fernando	2000	19.9%	33.6%	10.2%
Nicaragua	San Ramón	2000	21.0%	33.1%	9.3%
Nicaragua	Nandaime	2000	19.5%	29.9%	11.8%
Nicaragua	San José de Cusmapa	2000	42.4%	51.7%	33.7%
Nicaragua	Altagracia	2000	28.7%	42.7%	15.0%
Nicaragua	Muelle de los Bueyes	2000	33.1%	45.0%	21.7%
Nicaragua	San José de los Remates	2000	44.1%	57.5%	31.8%
Nicaragua	San Miguelito	2000	30.7%	43.2%	19.4%
Nicaragua	San Nicolás	2000	30.9%	43.9%	18.8%
Nicaragua	El Rama	2000	40.4%	46.8%	33.0%
Nicaragua	Ocotal	2000	12.8%	16.3%	9.5%
Nicaragua	El Almendro	2000	34.3%	46.1%	23.1%
Nicaragua	Bonanza	2000	40.8%	52.1%	27.8%
Nicaragua	Puerto Morazán	2000	20.1%	31.7%	9.0%
Nicaragua	Masaya	2000	11.1%	15.5%	7.4%
Nicaragua	El Sauce	2000	25.6%	35.6%	16.0%
Nicaragua	Niquinohomo	2000	8.9%	11.8%	6.3%
Nicaragua	Chichigalpa	2000	16.7%	27.1%	9.0%
Nicaragua	San Marcos	2000	15.4%	20.7%	10.5%
Nicaragua	San Francisco del Norte	2000	31.9%	43.0%	20.7%
Nicaragua	Nandasmo	2000	14.8%	20.9%	9.4%
Nicaragua	Laguna de Perlas	2000	47.1%	56.9%	35.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Comalapa	2000	32.3%	45.4%	21.8%
Nicaragua	La Paz Centro	2000	19.4%	31.2%	10.4%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Sur	2000	22.0%	36.0%	11.5%
Nicaragua	San Francisco Libre	2000	24.8%	38.0%	13.0%
Nicaragua	Achuapa	2000	30.8%	45.7%	19.5%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Río Coco	2000	22.5%	32.5%	14.3%
Nicaragua	Buenos Aires	2000	9.4%	16.2%	3.2%
Nicaragua	Pueblo Nuevo	2000	19.4%	27.6%	12.0%
Nicaragua	Dolores	2000	12.6%	18.4%	7.6%
Nicaragua	San Pedro del Norte	2000	16.4%	22.9%	11.7%
Nicaragua	El Realejo	2000	15.9%	26.2%	8.5%
Nicaragua	Puerto Cabezas	2000	43.3%	51.8%	34.5%
Nicaragua	Santo Domingo	2000	31.1%	45.7%	17.8%
Nicaragua	San Dionisio	2000	31.0%	42.3%	20.7%
Nicaragua	Palacagüina	2000	17.9%	24.1%	11.8%
Nicaragua	Yalagüina	2000	17.4%	23.2%	12.2%
Nicaragua	Rivas	2000	5.5%	8.3%	3.0%
Nicaragua	Villanueva	2000	24.1%	36.4%	13.0%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Sandino	2000	22.4%	33.9%	13.3%
Nicaragua	Jinotega	2000	27.2%	40.5%	15.5%
Nicaragua	Terrabona	2000	32.5%	47.0%	18.8%
Nicaragua	Mozonte	2000	18.6%	27.8%	11.1%
Nicaragua	La Concepción	2000	16.8%	21.9%	12.1%
Nicaragua	Cinco Pinos	2000	13.8%	21.1%	8.8%
Nicaragua	El Jicaral	2000	33.6%	48.8%	19.0%
Nicaragua	Somoto	2000	23.1%	33.5%	13.8%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Norte	2000	54.4%	67.6%	41.5%
Nicaragua	Santa Rosa del Peñón	2017	25.0%	37.5%	14.1%
Nicaragua	Macuelizo	2017	9.7%	17.2%	3.5%
Nicaragua	Bluefields	2017	20.1%	26.2%	15.2%
Nicaragua	Nueva Guinea	2017	18.7%	27.4%	11.7%
Nicaragua	Bocana de Paiwas	2017	13.2%	20.9%	7.4%
Nicaragua	San José de los Remates	2017	23.0%	35.8%	9.9%
Nicaragua	San Isidro	2017	6.7%	13.7%	1.7%
Nicaragua	Somotillo	2017	9.8%	18.7%	4.1%
Nicaragua	Corinto	2017	8.4%	20.3%	1.3%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Darío	2017	11.3%	18.7%	5.6%
Nicaragua	Granada	2017	5.2%	9.0%	1.8%
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Norte	2017	12.3%	22.5%	4.9%
Nicaragua	La Conquista	2017	6.0%	8.3%	4.0%
Nicaragua	Ocotal	2017	4.7%	7.3%	1.7%
Nicaragua	Palacagüina	2017	7.1%	11.6%	3.5%
Nicaragua	Telica	2017	5.4%	10.5%	1.8%
Nicaragua	Moyogalpa	2017	9.9%	20.5%	1.2%
Nicaragua	Telpaneca	2017	6.3%	11.4%	2.3%
Nicaragua	Ticuantepe	2017	1.9%	4.5%	0.2%
Nicaragua	Muy Muy	2017	10.6%	22.9%	2.7%
Nicaragua	Jalapa	2017	9.5%	18.6%	3.5%
Nicaragua	Rivas	2017	1.7%	3.4%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Yalagüina	2017	8.0%	11.5%	5.4%
Nicaragua	La Libertad	2017	18.1%	32.5%	7.0%
Nicaragua	Tuma-La Dalia	2017	15.3%	26.5%	6.5%
Nicaragua	Waslala	2017	17.7%	27.1%	10.4%
Nicaragua	La Paz de Carazo	2017	2.6%	4.4%	1.5%
Nicaragua	San Lucas	2017	9.5%	14.5%	4.4%
Nicaragua	Masatepe	2017	7.2%	13.4%	3.0%
Nicaragua	Esquipulas	2017	22.4%	40.2%	5.8%
Nicaragua	Dipilto	2017	8.5%	15.0%	3.5%
Nicaragua	La Trinidad	2017	8.9%	17.1%	2.7%
Nicaragua	Diriamba	2017	6.1%	9.3%	3.7%
Nicaragua	Chichigalpa	2017	6.2%	12.9%	2.1%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Limay	2017	12.0%	18.8%	5.9%
Nicaragua	Santo Tomás	2017	14.7%	25.2%	6.0%
Nicaragua	Nindirí	2017	2.4%	4.8%	0.9%
Nicaragua	Acoyapa	2017	15.2%	25.9%	6.7%
Nicaragua	Quezalguaque	2017	4.7%	9.3%	1.6%
Nicaragua	Puerto Morazán	2017	8.1%	15.8%	3.1%
Nicaragua	Quilalí	2017	14.6%	27.0%	5.6%
Nicaragua	Larreynaga-Malpaisillo	2017	7.1%	13.3%	2.8%
Nicaragua	San Fernando	2017	8.1%	17.6%	2.6%
Nicaragua	Matagalpa	2017	6.5%	13.9%	1.8%
Nicaragua	Santa Lucía	2017	24.7%	40.7%	8.2%
Nicaragua	Bonanza	2017	20.7%	31.0%	12.5%
Nicaragua	Tola	2017	9.8%	14.7%	5.6%
Nicaragua	León	2017	5.9%	11.4%	2.5%
Nicaragua	El Sauce	2017	10.8%	17.5%	5.0%
Nicaragua	Managua	2017	1.5%	3.2%	0.5%
Nicaragua	Belén	2017	8.2%	14.4%	4.1%
Nicaragua	Estelí	2017	6.7%	10.6%	2.8%
Nicaragua	Lago de Nicaragua	2017	11.0%	17.3%	5.7%
Nicaragua	El Viejo	2017	10.4%	18.9%	4.9%
Nicaragua	Lago de Managua	2017	2.5%	6.1%	0.6%
Nicaragua	Camoapa	2017	12.1%	23.4%	5.1%
Nicaragua	Teustepe	2017	21.1%	33.3%	10.6%
Nicaragua	Catarina	2017	4.6%	6.3%	2.6%
Nicaragua	San Carlos	2017	15.7%	21.8%	10.8%
Nicaragua	Tisma	2017	6.0%	12.6%	2.2%
Nicaragua	La Concepción	2017	5.7%	10.1%	1.9%
Nicaragua	Villa Sandino	2017	15.2%	23.9%	7.4%
Nicaragua	El Rama	2017	20.4%	26.5%	14.8%
Nicaragua	Juigalpa	2017	13.4%	19.0%	6.7%
Nicaragua	Rosita	2017	22.4%	28.6%	16.5%
Nicaragua	El Almendro	2017	16.2%	25.6%	7.6%
Nicaragua	Diriá	2017	2.6%	4.0%	1.3%
Nicaragua	Nandasmo	2017	6.0%	10.0%	3.3%
Nicaragua	Rancho Grande	2017	14.6%	26.2%	6.8%
Nicaragua	San Sebastián de Yalí	2017	9.7%	17.8%	2.2%
Nicaragua	Las Sabanas	2017	12.7%	20.1%	6.3%
Nicaragua	San Lorenzo	2017	12.9%	24.4%	5.1%
Nicaragua	Buenos Aires	2017	1.9%	5.4%	0.5%
Nicaragua	San José de Cusmapa	2017	22.8%	33.1%	11.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	Villanueva	2017	10.3%	18.3%	4.3%
Nicaragua	San Juan de Oriente	2017	4.7%	7.1%	2.2%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Río Coco	2017	8.7%	14.8%	3.2%
Nicaragua	San Nicolás	2017	13.8%	23.9%	6.1%
Nicaragua	Tipitapa	2017	6.1%	12.5%	2.2%
Nicaragua	Boaco	2017	14.1%	25.8%	5.2%
Nicaragua	Diriomo	2017	2.1%	3.4%	1.4%
Nicaragua	Wiwilí	2017	23.5%	30.4%	16.7%
Nicaragua	Cinco Pinos	2017	5.1%	9.4%	2.0%
Nicaragua	Dolores	2017	4.1%	7.5%	1.6%
Nicaragua	San Jorge	2017	1.0%	2.2%	0.1%
Nicaragua	Posoltega	2017	6.9%	11.2%	2.2%
Nicaragua	Chinandega	2017	6.3%	13.5%	2.0%
Nicaragua	Totogalpa	2017	7.7%	11.6%	4.1%
Nicaragua	El Jicaral	2017	15.6%	27.8%	6.0%
Nicaragua	Santa María de Pantasma	2017	20.1%	31.8%	8.7%
Nicaragua	Waspán	2017	25.8%	31.3%	20.5%
Nicaragua	Condega	2017	6.9%	13.3%	2.6%
Nicaragua	Río Blanco	2017	16.0%	23.6%	10.5%
Nicaragua	La Concordia	2017	6.7%	13.4%	2.3%
Nicaragua	Sébaco	2017	7.1%	13.8%	2.4%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Sandino	2017	8.9%	16.5%	3.1%
Nicaragua	La Paz Centro	2017	6.2%	13.5%	2.8%
Nicaragua	Matiguás	2017	11.3%	18.3%	5.9%
Nicaragua	Muelle de los Bueyes	2017	15.9%	24.9%	9.4%
Nicaragua	Mozonte	2017	7.3%	12.7%	2.9%
Nicaragua	Potosí	2017	6.3%	12.9%	3.1%
Nicaragua	San Francisco Libre	2017	10.9%	22.8%	3.7%
Nicaragua	San Pedro de Lóvago	2017	11.7%	20.9%	5.5%
Nicaragua	San Juan del Norte	2017	30.3%	43.1%	19.1%
Nicaragua	Morrito	2017	18.0%	29.3%	8.5%
Nicaragua	Achuapa	2017	14.4%	25.8%	6.1%
Nicaragua	Santa María	2017	5.8%	10.5%	2.1%
Nicaragua	San Pedro del Norte	2017	6.7%	10.3%	4.0%
Nicaragua	Siuna	2017	21.5%	27.4%	15.7%
Nicaragua	Comalapa	2017	15.0%	24.4%	7.4%
Nicaragua	Nandaime	2017	7.6%	13.6%	3.6%
Nicaragua	San Ramón	2017	7.8%	14.4%	2.4%
Nicaragua	Mateare	2017	4.6%	10.5%	1.1%
Nicaragua	Kukra Hill	2017	22.1%	36.1%	11.4%
Nicaragua	La Cruz de Río Grande	2017	22.1%	27.7%	17.3%
Nicaragua	Laguna de Perlas	2017	25.7%	35.1%	17.1%
Nicaragua	Somoto	2017	10.0%	16.4%	4.9%
Nicaragua	Jinotepe	2017	4.9%	8.6%	1.3%
Nicaragua	Ciudad Antigua	2017	4.6%	10.1%	1.5%
Nicaragua	El Cuá	2017	19.6%	26.6%	13.3%
Nicaragua	Puerto Cabezas	2017	23.2%	30.0%	16.9%
Nicaragua	San Dionisio	2017	14.4%	24.2%	7.0%
Nicaragua	Niquinohomo	2017	3.6%	5.1%	2.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nicaragua	San Rafael del Sur	2017	8.5%	16.7%	3.1%
Nicaragua	Prinzapolka	2017	26.2%	33.7%	19.2%
Nicaragua	San Francisco del Norte	2017	14.2%	24.9%	3.3%
Nicaragua	Villa Carlos Fonseca	2017	6.0%	12.9%	2.1%
Nicaragua	Masaya	2017	3.6%	6.2%	2.0%
Nicaragua	San Marcos	2017	5.3%	9.1%	1.5%
Nicaragua	Jinotega	2017	11.9%	21.7%	4.6%
Nicaragua	San Miguelito	2017	14.4%	24.7%	7.1%
Nicaragua	Nagarote	2017	6.8%	15.1%	1.4%
Nicaragua	Santo Domingo	2017	14.9%	28.6%	5.9%
Nicaragua	El Rosario	2017	3.8%	7.3%	1.3%
Nicaragua	Altagracia	2017	11.0%	25.0%	2.6%
Nicaragua	El Realejo	2017	6.2%	14.1%	2.0%
Nicaragua	Terrabona	2017	15.1%	28.6%	5.1%
Nicaragua	Murra	2017	18.0%	29.4%	7.7%
Nicaragua	Pueblo Nuevo	2017	7.5%	12.8%	2.5%
Panama	Atalaya	2000	20.4%	31.1%	10.2%
Panama	Kankintú	2000	81.2%	86.1%	73.7%
Panama	Natá	2000	9.0%	14.4%	4.7%
Panama	Las Minas	2000	17.0%	28.8%	7.8%
Panama	Mironó	2000	69.1%	80.0%	57.0%
Panama	Boquete	2000	22.5%	41.8%	8.5%
Panama	Gualaca	2000	38.4%	53.0%	23.7%
Panama	Antón	2000	10.8%	18.3%	5.8%
Panama	Taboga	2000	14.1%	37.2%	0.7%
Panama	Río de Jesús	2000	20.2%	33.2%	7.7%
Panama	Besiko	2000	71.5%	80.8%	59.8%
Panama	Aguadulce	2000	9.8%	16.5%	4.5%
Panama	Chagres	2000	10.9%	27.4%	0.4%
Panama	Cémaco	2000	37.7%	47.0%	28.6%
Panama	San Miguelito	2000	8.6%	12.9%	5.0%
Panama	Calobre	2000	17.1%	29.0%	8.9%
Panama	San Félix	2000	35.8%	52.6%	19.5%
Panama	Santa Isabel	2000	16.1%	37.4%	1.7%
Panama	Chitré	2000	8.5%	13.8%	4.5%
Panama	Arraiján	2000	7.9%	15.5%	2.4%
Panama	San Carlos	2000	11.2%	23.4%	3.5%
Panama	Changuinola	2000	44.6%	58.2%	30.5%
Panama	Chepigana	2000	36.4%	45.6%	27.4%
Panama	Macaracas	2000	9.5%	22.7%	1.9%
Panama	Sambú	2000	34.2%	53.9%	16.6%
Panama	Kusapín	2000	71.0%	85.3%	51.3%
Panama	Pinogana	2000	39.9%	48.6%	31.2%
Panama	Remedios	2000	30.6%	42.5%	18.7%
Panama	Cañazas	2000	32.5%	45.1%	20.4%
Panama	Balboa	2000	23.0%	53.4%	1.0%
Panama	Nole Duima	2000	65.2%	73.9%	56.8%
Panama	Guararé	2000	7.9%	13.9%	3.4%
Panama	Bocas del Toro	2000	52.3%	76.1%	25.4%
Panama	Penonomé	2000	9.8%	15.8%	5.3%
Panama	Kuna Yala	2000	33.4%	48.2%	19.6%
Panama	Portobelo	2000	10.9%	28.9%	1.2%
Panama	Colón	2000	5.2%	10.0%	2.4%
Panama	Boquerón	2000	3.9%	11.4%	0.7%
Panama	Lago Bayano	2000	19.9%	41.7%	5.1%
Panama	Chiriquí Grande	2000	70.7%	78.7%	60.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Barú	2000	10.1%	22.8%	1.9%
Panama	Pesé	2000	9.3%	16.9%	3.2%
Panama	Parita	2000	9.9%	16.8%	4.6%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2000	4.5%	8.9%	1.5%
Panama	Santa María	2000	12.6%	24.0%	3.2%
Panama	Renacimiento	2000	7.4%	21.7%	0.6%
Panama	Tonosí	2000	13.9%	28.9%	4.5%
Panama	San Francisco	2000	19.1%	33.7%	6.7%
Panama	Las Tablas	2000	7.6%	15.1%	2.0%
Panama	David	2000	6.9%	12.1%	3.5%
Panama	Alanje	2000	5.4%	11.6%	1.2%
Panama	La Pintada	2000	11.0%	20.3%	5.0%
Panama	Bugaba	2000	4.7%	9.9%	2.1%
Panama	Pedasí	2000	14.2%	37.4%	0.6%
Panama	Olá	2000	12.2%	24.1%	3.7%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2000	7.0%	21.6%	0.8%
Panama	Santa Fe	2000	24.2%	34.8%	14.9%
Panama	Lago Alajuela	2000	9.0%	20.0%	2.4%
Panama	Chame	2000	8.6%	18.7%	2.0%
Panama	Tolé	2000	38.4%	52.7%	23.9%
Panama	Ñürüm	2000	59.5%	68.6%	50.9%
Panama	Capira	2000	8.3%	16.2%	2.8%
Panama	Santiago	2000	21.4%	27.8%	15.3%
Panama	Chepo	2000	16.5%	29.8%	8.0%
Panama	Montijo	2000	20.1%	30.5%	11.7%
Panama	Soná	2000	19.3%	29.4%	10.0%
Panama	San Lorenzo	2000	35.0%	54.5%	16.8%
Panama	Pocrí	2000	10.1%	27.7%	0.6%
Panama	La Chorrera	2000	6.9%	13.6%	2.5%
Panama	Ocú	2000	14.8%	24.4%	6.9%
Panama	Dolega	2000	7.7%	15.0%	2.5%
Panama	La Mesa	2000	21.8%	34.6%	12.3%
Panama	Los Santos	2000	8.1%	13.1%	4.0%
Panama	Las Palmas	2000	25.5%	39.5%	12.8%
Panama	Donoso	2000	14.6%	27.2%	4.9%
Panama	Los Pozos	2000	12.4%	25.9%	2.9%
Panama	Müna	2000	74.1%	81.0%	66.6%
Panama	Chimán	2000	16.9%	39.7%	2.5%
Panama	Panamá	2000	9.2%	13.6%	5.2%
Panama	Antón	2017	10.4%	17.9%	5.4%
Panama	Changuinola	2017	44.5%	57.9%	30.4%
Panama	Gualaca	2017	39.1%	53.4%	25.5%
Panama	La Pintada	2017	10.5%	19.5%	4.7%
Panama	Tolé	2017	38.8%	53.0%	25.4%
Panama	Remedios	2017	30.4%	41.7%	18.5%
Panama	Santa Isabel	2017	15.6%	37.1%	1.6%
Panama	Atalaya	2017	20.1%	30.3%	10.3%
Panama	Portobelo	2017	10.5%	27.6%	1.1%
Panama	Lago Bayano	2017	19.0%	39.9%	4.5%
Panama	Montijo	2017	19.4%	29.7%	11.2%
Panama	Mironó	2017	68.5%	79.6%	56.3%
Panama	Balboa	2017	22.4%	52.6%	1.1%
Panama	Chitré	2017	8.1%	13.2%	4.3%
Panama	Nole Duima	2017	64.9%	73.5%	56.6%
Panama	Renacimiento	2017	7.0%	20.4%	0.6%
Panama	Santiago	2017	20.8%	27.0%	14.8%
Panama	Cañazas	2017	32.4%	44.8%	20.5%
Panama	Aguadulce	2017	9.4%	16.2%	4.3%
Panama	Ñürüm	2017	60.7%	69.5%	51.8%
Panama	Las Minas	2017	16.5%	28.1%	7.5%
Panama	Calobre	2017	16.6%	28.0%	8.7%
Panama	San Carlos	2017	10.9%	23.1%	3.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Panama	Cémaco	2017	36.5%	45.6%	27.7%
Panama	Bocas del Toro	2017	52.3%	76.2%	25.3%
Panama	Chagres	2017	10.6%	27.0%	0.4%
Panama	Kankintú	2017	80.6%	85.6%	72.9%
Panama	Parita	2017	9.5%	16.2%	4.4%
Panama	La Mesa	2017	21.1%	33.6%	11.8%
Panama	Boquerón	2017	3.7%	10.9%	0.7%
Panama	Macaracas	2017	9.2%	21.9%	1.8%
Panama	Río de Jesús	2017	19.5%	32.1%	7.5%
Panama	David	2017	6.6%	11.5%	3.3%
Panama	Barú	2017	10.0%	22.3%	1.9%
Panama	San Miguelito	2017	8.3%	12.5%	4.9%
Panama	Kuna Yala	2017	33.3%	48.3%	19.4%
Panama	Guararé	2017	7.5%	13.3%	3.1%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2017	4.3%	8.6%	1.5%
Panama	Arraiján	2017	7.6%	15.0%	2.3%
Panama	Los Pozos	2017	11.8%	25.1%	2.7%
Panama	Sambú	2017	33.6%	52.5%	16.3%
Panama	Pocrí	2017	9.7%	26.6%	0.6%
Panama	Müna	2017	73.9%	80.9%	66.5%
Panama	Los Santos	2017	7.8%	12.5%	3.8%
Panama	San Francisco	2017	18.5%	32.9%	6.5%
Panama	Chepigana	2017	35.5%	44.8%	26.8%
Panama	Santa María	2017	12.3%	23.5%	3.0%
Panama	San Félix	2017	34.7%	51.5%	18.6%
Panama	Bugaba	2017	4.5%	9.4%	2.0%
Panama	Chame	2017	8.4%	18.8%	1.9%
Panama	Colón	2017	4.9%	9.5%	2.3%
Panama	Pedasí	2017	13.7%	36.7%	0.6%
Panama	Lago Gatún	2017	6.9%	21.2%	0.8%
Panama	Boquete	2017	22.3%	41.4%	8.6%
Panama	Chepo	2017	15.9%	27.9%	8.0%
Panama	Natá	2017	8.6%	13.8%	4.5%
Panama	Pinogana	2017	38.7%	47.7%	30.3%
Panama	Olá	2017	11.6%	23.1%	3.5%
Panama	Ocú	2017	14.2%	23.6%	6.6%
Panama	Pesé	2017	9.2%	16.8%	3.1%
Panama	Soná	2017	18.6%	28.6%	9.6%
Panama	Alanje	2017	5.2%	11.0%	1.2%
Panama	Lago Alajuela	2017	8.0%	17.7%	2.1%
Panama	Las Tablas	2017	7.2%	14.4%	1.9%
Panama	Taboga	2017	13.7%	36.7%	0.8%
Panama	Chiriquí Grande	2017	70.0%	77.9%	59.6%
Panama	San Lorenzo	2017	35.7%	55.1%	17.9%
Panama	Capira	2017	8.0%	15.6%	2.6%
Panama	Penonomé	2017	9.4%	15.2%	5.1%
Panama	Las Palmas	2017	25.2%	38.9%	12.8%
Panama	Panamá	2017	8.8%	12.9%	4.8%
Panama	La Chorrera	2017	6.6%	13.1%	2.4%
Panama	Besiko	2017	70.9%	80.4%	58.9%
Panama	Chimán	2017	16.7%	39.1%	2.6%
Panama	Tonosí	2017	13.4%	28.2%	4.4%
Panama	Dolega	2017	7.7%	15.0%	2.5%
Panama	Santa Fe	2017	23.6%	33.9%	14.4%
Panama	Donoso	2017	14.0%	26.2%	4.7%
Panama	Kusapín	2017	70.7%	85.1%	50.8%
Paraguay	Capitán Mauricio José Troche	2000	0.7%	1.7%	0.2%
Paraguay	Itanara	2000	1.2%	2.4%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Piribebuy	2000	0.9%	1.8%	0.4%
Paraguay	Carayaó	2000	0.7%	1.4%	0.3%
Paraguay	Sapucaí	2000	1.8%	3.8%	0.7%
Paraguay	Villeta	2000	1.6%	3.4%	0.6%
Paraguay	Juan de Mena	2000	1.2%	2.3%	0.6%
Paraguay	Jose A. Fassardi	2000	1.0%	1.9%	0.5%
Paraguay	Unión	2000	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%
Paraguay	Nueva Italia	2000	1.7%	4.4%	0.5%
Paraguay	Nueva Londres	2000	1.4%	2.5%	0.8%
Paraguay	Villalbín	2000	1.5%	3.0%	0.6%
Paraguay	Santa Elena	2000	1.5%	4.1%	0.4%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Mbutuy	2000	0.9%	1.8%	0.3%
Paraguay	Yatyty	2000	1.4%	3.1%	0.7%
Paraguay	San Juan Nepomuceno	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.1%
Paraguay	Fuerte Olimpo	2000	6.4%	9.3%	4.1%
Paraguay	San Lorenzo	2000	0.9%	1.5%	0.6%
Paraguay	Yhú	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Paraguay	La Victoria	2000	3.5%	5.3%	2.3%
Paraguay	Ypejhú	2000	1.1%	1.8%	0.5%
Paraguay	Itacurubí de la Cordillera	2000	1.4%	3.5%	0.4%
Paraguay	San Patricio	2000	2.3%	3.3%	1.6%
Paraguay	Mariscal Francisco Solano López	2000	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%
Paraguay	General Isidoro Resquín	2000	1.4%	2.3%	0.9%
Paraguay	Villa San Isidro Curuguaty	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Paraguay	Asunción	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.4%
Paraguay	Pedro Juan Caballero	2000	2.2%	2.8%	1.5%
Paraguay	Doctor Cecilio Báez	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Paraguay	San Alberto	2000	1.1%	2.4%	0.5%
Paraguay	Escobar	2000	1.2%	2.5%	0.5%
Paraguay	Villa Elisa	2000	2.6%	8.1%	0.7%
Paraguay	Itá	2000	0.6%	1.3%	0.2%
Paraguay	San Estanislao	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Paraguay	Alto Verá	2000	1.0%	1.8%	0.6%
Paraguay	Guarambaré	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Paraguay	Valenzuela	2000	1.5%	3.0%	0.5%
Paraguay	Tacuaras	2000	0.9%	1.7%	0.5%
Paraguay	Quiíndy	2000	1.0%	1.7%	0.5%
Paraguay	General José Eduvigis Díaz	2000	0.9%	1.7%	0.5%
Paraguay	Carlos Antonio López	2000	1.3%	2.5%	0.7%
Paraguay	Lambaré	2000	2.7%	5.7%	1.2%
Paraguay	Mbaracayú	2000	1.4%	2.5%	0.5%
Paraguay	Los Cedrales	2000	1.1%	2.2%	0.4%
Paraguay	Emboscada (Caazapa)	2000	1.4%	3.0%	0.5%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Paraguay	Carapeguá	2000	1.5%	2.7%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Mayor Julio D. Otaño	2000	1.3%	2.9%	0.5%
Paraguay	Mbuyapey	2000	1.8%	3.5%	0.9%
Paraguay	Itaiguá	2000	1.2%	2.5%	0.4%
Paraguay	Itacurubí del Rosario	2000	1.1%	2.0%	0.6%
Paraguay	Mayor José J. Martínez	2000	1.0%	2.5%	0.3%
Paraguay	San Pablo	2000	1.2%	2.2%	0.6%
Paraguay	Pilar	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Paraguay	Pozo Colorado	2000	3.6%	4.7%	2.6%
Paraguay	General Elizardo Aquino	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Paraguay	Yguazú	2000	1.6%	3.2%	0.6%
Paraguay	Desmochados	2000	1.2%	2.5%	0.5%
Paraguay	Tacuati	2000	0.9%	1.5%	0.6%
Paraguay	Isla Pucú	2000	1.2%	2.0%	0.6%
Paraguay	Loreto	2000	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Paraguay	Tobatí	2000	1.8%	3.7%	0.7%
Paraguay	Laureles	2000	1.2%	2.3%	0.6%
Paraguay	Caaguazú	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Paraguay	General Artigas	2000	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%
Paraguay	Félix Perez Cardozo	2000	1.6%	3.4%	0.4%
Paraguay	Santa María	2000	1.9%	4.0%	0.9%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan Manuel Frutos	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Paraguay	Fernando de la Mora	2000	1.4%	2.4%	0.9%
Paraguay	Areguá	2000	7.3%	12.9%	4.0%
Paraguay	Pirapó	2000	1.1%	2.2%	0.4%
Paraguay	Ypacaraí	2000	1.9%	4.8%	0.7%
Paraguay	Ybytí	2000	1.3%	2.4%	0.6%
Paraguay	San Salvador	2000	1.3%	3.0%	0.4%
Paraguay	Nueva Germania	2000	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Paraguay	Villa del Rosario	2000	1.4%	2.6%	0.8%
Paraguay	San Joaquín	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Paraguay	Hohenau	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.5%
Paraguay	Guazú Cuá	2000	1.1%	1.9%	0.6%
Paraguay	3 de Febrero	2000	0.7%	1.6%	0.3%
Paraguay	Caazapá	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.2%
Paraguay	San Rafael del Paraná	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Paraguay	Paso de Patria	2000	1.4%	3.5%	0.3%
Paraguay	Yaguarón	2000	1.5%	4.0%	0.6%
Paraguay	Carmen del Paraná	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	Emboscada	2000	2.3%	5.1%	0.7%
Paraguay	Capitán Miranda	2000	1.6%	3.0%	0.8%
Paraguay	Pirayú	2000	1.1%	2.2%	0.4%
Paraguay	Alberdi	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Paraguay	Mayor Pablo Lagerenza	2000	8.2%	11.4%	5.4%
Paraguay	Coronel Bogado	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Paraguay	San Lázaro	2000	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	General Bernardino Caballero	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Paraguay	Eusebio Ayala	2000	1.4%	2.6%	0.8%
Paraguay	Altos	2000	1.5%	2.6%	0.8%
Paraguay	Abaiá	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Paraguay	Tomás Romero Pereira	2000	1.3%	2.3%	0.6%
Paraguay	Antequera	2000	1.3%	2.7%	0.5%
Paraguay	Belén	2000	1.6%	2.9%	0.7%
Paraguay	Loma Grande	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Paraguay	Nueva Alborada	2000	2.4%	4.2%	1.0%
Paraguay	Doctor Moisés S. Bertoni	2000	1.8%	3.5%	0.8%
Paraguay	Domingo Martínez de Irala	2000	1.4%	3.1%	0.5%
Paraguay	Cerrito	2000	1.4%	3.2%	0.6%
Paraguay	San José Obrero	2000	1.2%	3.0%	0.5%
Paraguay	La Colmena	2000	0.8%	1.6%	0.4%
Paraguay	Encarnación	2000	1.4%	2.3%	0.9%
Paraguay	Natalio	2000	1.2%	2.6%	0.6%
Paraguay	Tabaí	2000	1.5%	2.4%	0.8%
Paraguay	Capiatá	2000	1.0%	1.9%	0.6%
Paraguay	San Miguel	2000	1.4%	2.5%	0.8%
Paraguay	Itakyry	2000	1.1%	1.9%	0.6%
Paraguay	Yataity del Norte	2000	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%
Paraguay	Mariscal José Félix Estigarribia	2000	3.5%	6.5%	1.8%
Paraguay	Capitán Bado	2000	1.5%	2.5%	0.9%
Paraguay	Paraguarí	2000	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Paraguay	Coronel Oviedo	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Paraguay	Simón Bolívar	2000	0.8%	1.5%	0.5%
Paraguay	General Francisco C. Alvarez	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Paraguay	La Pastora	2000	1.5%	3.2%	0.5%
Paraguay	Hernandarias	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
Paraguay	San Carlos	2000	1.1%	2.0%	0.5%
Paraguay	Independencia	2000	1.0%	1.8%	0.5%
Paraguay	Tebicuarymí	2000	1.4%	3.5%	0.4%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2000	1.6%	2.6%	0.9%
Paraguay	Nueva Colombia	2000	1.6%	3.6%	0.5%
Paraguay	Yby Yau	2000	1.0%	1.7%	0.6%
Paraguay	Villa Florida	2000	1.4%	3.9%	0.4%
Paraguay	Iturbe	2000	1.5%	3.5%	0.5%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista del Ñeembucu	2000	1.0%	2.0%	0.5%
Paraguay	Arroyos y Esteros	2000	1.2%	2.5%	0.4%
Paraguay	Caacupé	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Paraguay	Juan Emilio O'Leary	2000	1.4%	2.8%	0.6%
Paraguay	San Antonio	2000	3.2%	9.1%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Natalicio Talavera	2000	0.7%	1.9%	0.2%
Paraguay	Cambyreta	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.5%
Paraguay	José Domingo Ocampos	2000	1.3%	3.1%	0.4%
Paraguay	Minga Guazú	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Paraguay	Horqueta	2000	0.9%	1.4%	0.7%
Paraguay	Mariano Roque Alonso	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Paraguay	Borja	2000	1.5%	2.9%	0.6%
Paraguay	Coronel Martínez	2000	1.2%	2.6%	0.4%
Paraguay	Ypané	2000	3.6%	7.2%	1.4%
Paraguay	Villa Franca	2000	0.8%	1.5%	0.4%
Paraguay	Villarrica	2000	1.2%	2.7%	0.4%
Paraguay	San Bernardino	2000	2.6%	6.1%	0.9%
Paraguay	Trinidad	2000	0.7%	1.7%	0.3%
Paraguay	Itapé	2000	0.9%	1.8%	0.4%
Paraguay	Raúl Arsenio Oviedo	2000	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Paraguay	General Higinio Morínigo	2000	1.7%	3.8%	0.6%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Yhaguy	2000	1.3%	2.9%	0.5%
Paraguay	Atyrá	2000	1.9%	2.7%	1.3%
Paraguay	Water body	2000	3.0%	7.1%	0.8%
Paraguay	Quyquyhó	2000	1.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Paraguay	Yataity del Guairá	2000	1.4%	3.3%	0.4%
Paraguay	San Cosme y Damián	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Paraguay	Villa Oliva	2000	1.3%	2.3%	0.6%
Paraguay	San Roque González de Santa Cruz	2000	1.9%	3.4%	1.0%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2000	1.2%	2.6%	0.5%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan León Mallorquín	2000	1.0%	1.7%	0.5%
Paraguay	Yuty	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.1%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2000	1.3%	3.1%	0.4%
Paraguay	Ñacunday	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.7%
Paraguay	Obligado	2000	1.2%	1.9%	0.6%
Paraguay	Ciudad del Este	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Paraguay	Isla Umbú	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Paraguay	Maciel	2000	2.1%	4.2%	0.8%
Paraguay	25 de Diciembre	2000	1.5%	2.4%	0.8%
Paraguay	Presidente Franco	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Monday	2000	1.4%	2.3%	0.7%
Paraguay	Juan Augusto Saldívar	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Paraguay	Santa Rita	2000	1.1%	2.1%	0.5%
Paraguay	Ybycui	2000	1.8%	3.8%	0.8%
Paraguay	R. I. 3 Corrales	2000	0.8%	1.4%	0.4%
Paraguay	Caapucú	2000	1.4%	2.4%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Caraguatay	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.7%
Paraguay	Salto del Guairá	2000	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%
Paraguay	General Delgado	2000	1.2%	2.2%	0.6%
Paraguay	Luque	2000	3.0%	4.3%	2.0%
Paraguay	Edelira	2000	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Paraguay	Choré	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Paraguay	Doctor Botrell	2000	0.9%	2.1%	0.3%
Paraguay	Ñemby	2000	1.9%	4.0%	0.9%
Paraguay	José Leandro Oviedo	2000	1.3%	2.5%	0.6%
Paraguay	La Paz	2000	1.0%	1.9%	0.5%
Paraguay	Yabebyry	2000	1.9%	3.4%	1.0%
Paraguay	Concepción	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Paraguay	Doctor J. Eulogio Estigarribia	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Guairá	2000	1.0%	2.4%	0.3%
Paraguay	Villa Hayes	2000	3.5%	5.0%	2.3%
Paraguay	Acahay	2000	1.2%	2.7%	0.4%
Paraguay	San Ignacio	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Paraguay	Primero de Marzo	2000	1.1%	2.0%	0.5%
Paraguay	San Juan del Paraná	2000	1.5%	3.7%	0.4%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2000	3.6%	5.0%	2.5%
Paraguay	Ñumí	2000	1.2%	2.8%	0.4%
Paraguay	Minga Porá	2000	1.1%	2.2%	0.5%
Paraguay	Repatriación	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Paraguay	Lima	2000	1.3%	2.2%	0.7%
Paraguay	San Cristóbal	2000	1.5%	2.5%	0.7%
Paraguay	Humaitá	2000	1.2%	2.5%	0.4%
Paraguay	Benjamín Aceval	2000	2.6%	4.1%	1.6%
Paraguay	Villa Ygatimí	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Paraguay	Ayolas	2000	1.8%	3.3%	1.0%
Paraguay	Fulgencio Yegros	2000	2.6%	5.4%	1.0%
Paraguay	Capitán Meza	2000	1.0%	1.8%	0.6%
Paraguay	San José de los Arroyos	2000	1.2%	2.2%	0.6%
Paraguay	Doctor Pedro P. Peña	2000	4.3%	5.7%	3.1%
Paraguay	Jesús	2000	0.6%	1.3%	0.3%
Paraguay	Corpus Christi	2000	1.2%	2.0%	0.6%
Paraguay	Naranjal	2000	1.1%	2.1%	0.6%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Paraná	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Paraguay	Santiago	2000	2.6%	4.3%	1.5%
Paraguay	Fram	2000	1.0%	1.8%	0.5%
Paraguay	Puerto Pinasco	2000	3.0%	4.4%	2.0%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista de las Misiones	2000	2.0%	3.6%	1.1%
Paraguay	Limpio	2000	1.7%	3.8%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	San Pedro del Ycuamandyú	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Paraguay	Villeta	2017	0.6%	1.6%	0.2%
Paraguay	Mbaracayú	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	Alberdi	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Paraguay	General Elizardo Aquino	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Paraguay	Fuerte Olimpo	2017	2.6%	3.9%	1.3%
Paraguay	Coronel Bogado	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Paraguay	Santa Elena	2017	0.8%	1.9%	0.2%
Paraguay	San Salvador	2017	0.7%	1.6%	0.1%
Paraguay	Isla Pucú	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.5%
Paraguay	Mariscal Francisco Solano López	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Paraguay	Tacuaras	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Paraguay	General José Eduvigis Díaz	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%
Paraguay	Nueva Germania	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Paraguay	Jose A. Fassardi	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	Asunción	2017	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%
Paraguay	General Artigas	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Paraguay	Itacurubí de la Cordillera	2017	1.0%	2.2%	0.3%
Paraguay	Sapucaí	2017	1.1%	2.1%	0.5%
Paraguay	Eusebio Ayala	2017	1.2%	2.0%	0.6%
Paraguay	Carlos Antonio López	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.2%
Paraguay	Nueva Italia	2017	0.7%	2.3%	0.1%
Paraguay	San Alberto	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Paraguay	General Isidoro Resquín	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Paraguay	Domingo Martínez de Irala	2017	0.8%	1.6%	0.3%
Paraguay	San Estanislao	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Paraguay	Piribebuy	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.3%
Paraguay	Pilar	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Paraguay	25 de Diciembre	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.3%
Paraguay	Mbuyapey	2017	1.0%	1.9%	0.4%
Paraguay	José Leandro Oviedo	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Paraguay	Choré	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Paraguay	Capitán Mauricio José Troche	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.1%
Paraguay	San Lorenzo	2017	0.9%	1.5%	0.6%
Paraguay	Pedro Juan Caballero	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Paraguay	Doctor Cecilio Báez	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Paraguay	Unión	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Paraguay	Pozo Colorado	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Isla Umbú	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Paraguay	La Victoria	2017	1.7%	2.7%	1.0%
Paraguay	Villa San Isidro Cu-ruguaty	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Paraguay	San Joaquín	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Paraguay	Lambaré	2017	1.7%	3.6%	0.6%
Paraguay	Mariscal José Félix Estigarribia	2017	1.4%	3.3%	0.6%
Paraguay	Alto Verá	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	Yataity del Norte	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2017	0.8%	1.5%	0.4%
Paraguay	Nueva Colombia	2017	1.5%	3.0%	0.5%
Paraguay	Villalbín	2017	0.8%	1.7%	0.3%
Paraguay	Escobar	2017	0.9%	1.8%	0.4%
Paraguay	Félix Perez Cardozo	2017	0.6%	1.6%	0.1%
Paraguay	Cerrito	2017	0.8%	1.8%	0.3%
Paraguay	San Rafael del Paraná	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan Manuel Frutos	2017	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%
Paraguay	Juan de Mena	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.2%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Mbutuy	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.1%
Paraguay	Tabaí	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.5%
Paraguay	Villa Elisa	2017	2.5%	6.0%	0.8%
Paraguay	Itacurubí del Rosario	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	Mayor Julio D. Otaño	2017	0.8%	1.7%	0.2%
Paraguay	Loreto	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Paraguay	Benjamín Aceval	2017	1.9%	3.0%	1.1%
Paraguay	Independencia	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	Ypacaráí	2017	1.1%	2.8%	0.3%
Paraguay	General Francisco C. Alvarez	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Paraguay	Abaí	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Paraguay	Minga Guazú	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Paraguay	Villa Oliva	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.2%
Paraguay	Tobatí	2017	1.3%	2.5%	0.4%
Paraguay	Loma Grande	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
Paraguay	Nueva Londres	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	Hernandarias	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Paraguay	Doctor Moisés S. Bertoni	2017	1.1%	2.3%	0.4%
Paraguay	Emboscada	2017	1.4%	3.6%	0.3%
Paraguay	San Antonio	2017	3.3%	7.3%	1.2%
Paraguay	San Miguel	2017	0.8%	1.4%	0.4%
Paraguay	San Lázaro	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	R. I. 3 Corrales	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Paraguay	Villa Florida	2017	0.7%	2.3%	0.1%
Paraguay	La Pastora	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Paraguay	Carapeguá	2017	1.0%	1.7%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Villa Ygatimí	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.3%
Paraguay	Mayor José J. Martínez	2017	0.5%	1.3%	0.1%
Paraguay	Capitán Bado	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Paraguay	Itanara	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Paraguay	Guarambaré	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Paraguay	San Roque	2017	1.5%	2.2%	0.8%
	González de Santa Cruz				
Paraguay	Horqueta	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Paraguay	Santa María	2017	0.8%	1.8%	0.4%
Paraguay	Hohenau	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.2%
Paraguay	San Patricio	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Paraguay	Capitán Miranda	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista del Ñeembucu	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%
Paraguay	Villa Hayes	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Paraguay	Guazú Cuá	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Paraguay	San José Obrero	2017	0.7%	1.4%	0.2%
Paraguay	Juan Augusto Saldívar	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Paraguay	Tacuati	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Paraguay	Quyquyhó	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Paraguay	Itakyry	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	Acahay	2017	1.0%	2.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	Iturbe	2017	0.8%	2.0%	0.2%
Paraguay	Caacupé	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Paraguay	General Bernardino Caballero	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.5%
Paraguay	Villa del Rosario	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.3%
Paraguay	Altos	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Paraguay	Cambyreta	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Paraguay	Yatyty	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.2%
Paraguay	Capitán Meza	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Paraguay	Salto del Guairá	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%
Paraguay	Yguazú	2017	0.9%	1.8%	0.4%
Paraguay	Belén	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Paraguay	Concepción	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Paraguay	Ypané	2017	2.9%	4.8%	1.5%
Paraguay	Paso de Patria	2017	0.6%	2.0%	0.1%
Paraguay	San Juan Nepomuceno	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Paraguay	Itapé	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Paraguay	Arroyos y Esteros	2017	0.6%	1.4%	0.2%
Paraguay	Atyrá	2017	1.5%	2.1%	0.9%
Paraguay	San Ignacio	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Paraguay	Water body	2017	1.6%	3.8%	0.2%
Paraguay	Presidente Franco	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%
Paraguay	Minga Porá	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.2%
Paraguay	General Delgado	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Paraguay	San Pablo	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Paraguay	La Paz	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%
Paraguay	Jesús	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Doctor Pedro P. Peña	2017	2.6%	3.9%	1.7%
Paraguay	Tomás Romero Pereira	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.2%
Paraguay	Coronel Martínez	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Paraguay	Laureles	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.3%
Paraguay	Caapucú	2017	0.8%	1.4%	0.3%
Paraguay	Caaguazú	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%
Paraguay	General Higinio Morínigo	2017	1.1%	2.1%	0.5%
Paraguay	Santa Rita	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%
Paraguay	San José de los Arroyos	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Paraguay	Yataity del Guairá	2017	0.6%	1.4%	0.1%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Paraná	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Paraguay	Carayaó	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Paraguay	Luque	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Paraguay	Quiindy	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	Mariano Roque Alonso	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Paraguay	Desmochados	2017	0.6%	1.4%	0.2%
Paraguay	Coronel Oviedo	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Paraguay	San Bernardino	2017	1.2%	2.9%	0.3%
Paraguay	Ñacunday	2017	0.8%	1.5%	0.3%
Paraguay	Yuty	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Paraguay	Natalicio Talavera	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Paraguay	Yabebyry	2017	1.0%	1.7%	0.4%
Paraguay	Yby Yaú	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Paraguay	Doctor Juan León Mallorquín	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Paraguay	Areguá	2017	3.7%	5.8%	1.9%
Paraguay	3 de Febrero	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%
Paraguay	La Colmena	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.3%
Paraguay	Encarnación	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Paraguay	San Juan Bautista de las Misiones	2017	1.4%	2.8%	0.6%
Paraguay	Doctor Botrell	2017	0.5%	1.2%	0.1%
Paraguay	Itauguá	2017	0.9%	1.7%	0.2%
Paraguay	Ybytí	2017	0.8%	1.6%	0.4%
Paraguay	Mayor Pablo Lagerenza	2017	2.0%	3.2%	1.1%
Paraguay	José Domingo Ocampos	2017	0.8%	1.7%	0.2%
Paraguay	Lima	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	San Cristóbal	2017	0.8%	1.5%	0.4%
Paraguay	San Pedro del Ycuamandyú	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Paraguay	San Juan del Paraná	2017	0.9%	1.9%	0.3%
Paraguay	Yhú	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Paraguay	Santiago	2017	1.3%	2.4%	0.7%
Paraguay	Borja	2017	0.7%	1.6%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Obligado	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Paraguay	Bella Vista	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.2%
Paraguay	Edelira	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Yhaguy	2017	0.7%	1.4%	0.2%
Paraguay	Naranjal	2017	0.5%	1.2%	0.2%
Paraguay	Itá	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Paraguay	Doctor J. Eulogio Estigarribia	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Paraguay	Primer de Marzo	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.2%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.2%
Paraguay	Tebicuarymí	2017	0.6%	1.7%	0.1%
Paraguay	Raúl Arsenio Oviedo	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Paraguay	Humaitá	2017	0.5%	1.3%	0.2%
Paraguay	Fernando de la Mora	2017	0.8%	1.5%	0.4%
Paraguay	Repatriación	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Paraguay	Capiatá	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.3%
Paraguay	San Carlos	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%
Paraguay	Ypejhú	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Paraguay	Antequera	2017	0.7%	1.6%	0.2%
Paraguay	Ciudad del Este	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Paraguay	Paraguarí	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Paraguay	Trinidad	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Paraguay	Nueva Alborada	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.3%
Paraguay	Ybycui	2017	1.4%	2.8%	0.5%
Paraguay	Simón Bolívar	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
Paraguay	Valenzuela	2017	1.0%	2.2%	0.3%
Paraguay	Maciel	2017	1.1%	2.3%	0.3%
Paraguay	Pirayú	2017	0.8%	1.6%	0.4%
Paraguay	Corpus Christi	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Paraguay	Los Cedrales	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Paraguay	Yaguarón	2017	1.0%	2.1%	0.5%
Paraguay	Santa Rosa del Monday	2017	0.8%	1.4%	0.3%
Paraguay	Caraguatay	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Paraguay	Limpio	2017	1.0%	2.4%	0.3%
Paraguay	Villa Franca	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Paraguay	San Cosme y Damián	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.3%
Paraguay	Caazapá	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Paraguay	Pirapó	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.2%
Paraguay	Natalio	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%
Paraguay	General Eugenio A. Garay	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Paraguay	Carmen del Paraná	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Paraguay	Puerto Pinasco	2017	1.8%	2.9%	1.1%
Paraguay	Mbocayaty del Guairá	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Paraguay	Ayolas	2017	0.9%	1.7%	0.4%
Paraguay	Ñemby	2017	2.2%	4.0%	1.1%
Paraguay	Juan Emilio O'Leary	2017	0.9%	1.7%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Paraguay	Fulgencio Yegros	2017	1.8%	3.7%	0.7%
Paraguay	Villarrica	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Paraguay	Fram	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Paraguay	Ñumí	2017	0.7%	1.6%	0.1%
Paraguay	Emboscada (Caazapa)	2017	0.8%	1.7%	0.3%
Peru	Huaraz	2000	38.5%	43.9%	33.6%
Peru	Corongo	2000	37.4%	53.0%	25.0%
Peru	Huanta	2000	46.2%	51.2%	41.1%
Peru	Bolognesi	2000	49.2%	58.7%	39.2%
Peru	Tacna	2000	22.4%	25.0%	19.8%
Peru	Ascope	2000	33.0%	41.6%	23.5%
Peru	Padre Abad	2000	30.5%	35.7%	25.2%
Peru	Ucayali	2000	33.8%	38.3%	30.0%
Peru	Calca	2000	43.7%	55.1%	32.3%
Peru	Hualgayoc	2000	33.8%	42.2%	25.4%
Peru	Huamalíes	2000	46.5%	52.0%	42.5%
Peru	Santa	2000	22.7%	28.1%	18.2%
Peru	La Convención	2000	33.1%	37.7%	29.2%
Peru	Arequipa	2000	10.0%	11.1%	9.1%
Peru	Moho	2000	47.6%	62.8%	34.2%
Peru	Canchis	2000	40.7%	46.3%	34.2%
Peru	Parinacochas	2000	46.0%	53.5%	37.2%
Peru	Yungay	2000	45.5%	52.7%	36.7%
Peru	Aija	2000	43.3%	59.0%	27.5%
Peru	Aymaraes	2000	47.4%	55.8%	40.1%
Peru	Contumazá	2000	33.9%	45.5%	23.1%
Peru	Daniel Alcides Carrión	2000	56.6%	61.6%	49.9%
Peru	Mariscal Nieto	2000	34.5%	38.7%	30.4%
Peru	Paruro	2000	38.0%	47.3%	29.5%
Peru	Satipo	2000	30.4%	34.7%	26.2%
Peru	San Martín	2000	15.1%	17.6%	12.5%
Peru	Rodríguez de Mendoza	2000	30.9%	36.8%	25.7%
Peru	Sullana	2000	31.2%	34.9%	27.6%
Peru	Paita	2000	34.1%	45.0%	22.5%
Peru	Huamanga	2000	29.0%	30.7%	27.3%
Peru	Chiclayo	2000	21.7%	23.7%	19.6%
Peru	Ambo	2000	56.7%	63.8%	49.5%
Peru	Ilo	2000	9.2%	13.3%	5.7%
Peru	Tahuamanu	2000	33.8%	40.4%	27.8%
Peru	Lucanas	2000	47.6%	53.6%	42.0%
Peru	Huaral	2000	20.5%	26.0%	15.8%
Peru	Chucuító	2000	46.3%	51.1%	40.6%
Peru	Pallasca	2000	36.0%	45.8%	26.5%
Peru	Urubamba	2000	49.7%	57.1%	41.9%
Peru	Callao	2000	7.5%	15.3%	2.9%
Peru	Castrovirreyna	2000	54.1%	61.4%	47.2%
Peru	San Antonio de Putina	2000	47.7%	56.6%	38.8%
Peru	Abancay	2000	31.8%	39.5%	25.8%
Peru	Tarma	2000	39.9%	48.8%	31.6%
Peru	Huarmey	2000	39.7%	51.7%	28.1%
Peru	Mariscal Cáceres	2000	28.6%	36.9%	21.6%
Peru	Loreto	2000	33.2%	37.6%	28.9%
Peru	Viru	2000	30.1%	41.1%	20.1%
Peru	Cajabamba	2000	38.3%	47.3%	28.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Mariscal Ramón Castilla	2000	37.4%	41.5%	33.4%
Peru	Barranca	2000	21.6%	27.6%	16.3%
Peru	Cangallo	2000	35.5%	43.9%	27.3%
Peru	Piura	2000	38.1%	40.3%	35.7%
Peru	Cañete	2000	22.0%	26.8%	18.6%
Peru	Requena	2000	34.0%	38.6%	29.7%
Peru	Yarowilca	2000	51.7%	58.4%	45.0%
Peru	Acomayo	2000	53.5%	64.0%	41.8%
Peru	Carlos Fermin Fitzcarrald	2000	49.2%	61.9%	37.3%
Peru	Yauyos	2000	49.5%	58.2%	42.0%
Peru	Coronel Portillo	2000	20.7%	23.4%	18.6%
Peru	Huacaybamba	2000	38.6%	51.8%	27.6%
Peru	Antabamba	2000	54.6%	62.7%	45.5%
Peru	Huancabamba	2000	44.4%	53.3%	36.3%
Peru	Ocos	2000	50.4%	64.3%	39.6%
Peru	Bellavista	2000	30.3%	37.5%	24.1%
Peru	Anta	2000	54.0%	59.7%	47.0%
Peru	Yunguyo	2000	45.5%	52.5%	38.7%
Peru	Andahuaylas	2000	32.5%	35.0%	30.1%
Peru	Vilcas Huamán	2000	42.9%	51.5%	35.5%
Peru	Contralmirante Villar	2000	41.3%	53.4%	31.5%
Peru	Cusco	2000	29.7%	31.8%	27.3%
Peru	Melgar	2000	40.2%	46.2%	33.6%
Peru	San Miguel	2000	33.1%	43.0%	25.4%
Peru	Huarochiri	2000	35.3%	45.7%	28.6%
Peru	Castilla	2000	35.0%	43.1%	27.2%
Peru	San Román	2000	30.0%	35.2%	25.0%
Peru	Celendín	2000	31.9%	40.1%	24.5%
Peru	Chepén	2000	29.6%	38.7%	20.8%
Peru	Canas	2000	42.5%	52.4%	32.5%
Peru	Dos de Mayo	2000	48.3%	55.9%	41.6%
Peru	Morropón	2000	40.0%	45.2%	34.8%
Peru	Utcubamba	2000	30.0%	34.4%	25.4%
Peru	Bolívar	2000	39.2%	51.8%	27.0%
Peru	Pacasmayo	2000	35.0%	41.6%	28.3%
Peru	Tarata	2000	48.3%	59.3%	37.4%
Peru	Asunción	2000	55.1%	68.8%	40.3%
Peru	Otuzco	2000	45.4%	54.9%	36.7%
Peru	Acobamba	2000	59.8%	63.5%	55.3%
Peru	Huaura	2000	28.9%	32.8%	25.4%
Peru	Atalaya	2000	39.5%	43.6%	35.4%
Peru	Pasco	2000	51.9%	54.7%	49.1%
Peru	Moyobamba	2000	17.5%	20.7%	14.9%
Peru	Lambayeque	2000	27.8%	32.5%	23.5%
Peru	Huancayo	2000	32.9%	35.2%	30.8%
Peru	Cotabambas	2000	49.2%	56.1%	41.3%
Peru	Churcampa	2000	69.7%	73.4%	65.2%
Peru	San Marcos	2000	34.3%	44.2%	26.1%
Peru	Lago Titicaca	2000	35.6%	41.4%	29.4%
Peru	Lauricocha	2000	47.0%	54.3%	38.9%
Peru	Canta	2000	50.6%	59.0%	40.1%
Peru	Camaná	2000	29.8%	40.8%	18.8%
Peru	Sandia	2000	42.2%	48.3%	36.2%
Peru	Chanchamayo	2000	33.8%	39.3%	27.9%
Peru	Huancavelica	2000	60.3%	64.0%	56.6%
Peru	Chumbivilcas	2000	45.4%	51.2%	38.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Paucar del Sara Sara	2000	43.7%	53.6%	34.2%
Peru	Huancane	2000	43.8%	50.9%	36.9%
Peru	Purús	2000	36.1%	46.8%	26.8%
Peru	Lamas	2000	35.5%	40.2%	31.1%
Peru	Luya	2000	27.0%	32.6%	22.2%
Peru	Ayabaca	2000	43.3%	51.1%	36.8%
Peru	Lima	2000	8.2%	9.0%	7.5%
Peru	Ferreñafe	2000	33.6%	41.9%	25.0%
Peru	Nazca	2000	23.5%	31.6%	17.0%
Peru	San Ignacio	2000	37.5%	44.9%	29.8%
Peru	Caylloma	2000	39.7%	46.1%	33.1%
Peru	Zarumilla	2000	42.8%	50.0%	35.2%
Peru	Jauja	2000	48.0%	52.6%	43.5%
Peru	Lampa	2000	43.1%	49.8%	36.4%
Peru	San Pablo	2000	35.8%	44.9%	27.7%
Peru	La Unión	2000	36.6%	48.5%	27.7%
Peru	Marañón	2000	43.2%	50.6%	36.9%
Peru	Picota	2000	22.6%	28.8%	16.8%
Peru	Talara	2000	28.7%	36.7%	22.9%
Peru	Huallaga	2000	17.8%	23.3%	13.0%
Peru	Angaraes	2000	46.2%	51.1%	41.1%
Peru	Paucartambo	2000	49.2%	57.2%	40.1%
Peru	Sihuas	2000	44.0%	61.1%	29.2%
Peru	Pisco	2000	39.8%	46.3%	32.7%
Peru	Chota	2000	30.9%	35.4%	26.1%
Peru	Condesuyos	2000	38.9%	48.5%	30.5%
Peru	Jaén	2000	30.7%	34.9%	26.6%
Peru	Cajamarca	2000	32.9%	39.7%	26.3%
Peru	Santiago de Chuco	2000	42.6%	52.9%	32.9%
Peru	Chachapoyas	2000	31.1%	37.8%	24.6%
Peru	Candarave	2000	39.0%	50.6%	27.6%
Peru	Casma	2000	33.9%	42.2%	27.1%
Peru	Chincha	2000	36.5%	38.7%	34.2%
Peru	Condorcanqui	2000	40.7%	45.2%	36.1%
Peru	Tayacaja	2000	49.8%	54.6%	45.5%
Peru	Victor Fajardo	2000	39.0%	48.7%	30.0%
Peru	Grau	2000	52.5%	61.4%	44.6%
Peru	Gran Chimú	2000	34.2%	48.9%	22.2%
Peru	Carhuaz	2000	48.0%	57.5%	39.1%
Peru	Puerto Inca	2000	33.5%	39.3%	27.9%
Peru	Sechura	2000	48.0%	57.4%	37.5%
Peru	Yauli	2000	37.7%	47.7%	28.4%
Peru	Islay	2000	28.2%	39.8%	19.2%
Peru	Manu	2000	45.7%	51.7%	39.3%
Peru	Bagua	2000	30.7%	34.6%	27.1%
Peru	Rioja	2000	17.6%	20.7%	14.9%
Peru	Quispicanchi	2000	46.2%	53.8%	38.6%
Peru	Concepción	2000	39.2%	49.1%	30.8%
Peru	El Dorado	2000	23.7%	31.2%	17.1%
Peru	Bongará	2000	30.2%	37.3%	23.4%
Peru	Pachitea	2000	50.4%	57.2%	43.4%
Peru	Tambopata	2000	25.0%	27.2%	22.6%
Peru	Huanca Sancos	2000	38.7%	47.7%	31.1%
Peru	Chincheros	2000	31.6%	36.4%	27.0%
Peru	Jorge Basadre	2000	39.3%	55.5%	24.6%
Peru	Huenuco	2000	34.7%	38.2%	30.8%
Peru	Sánchez Carrión	2000	36.5%	44.1%	29.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Mariscal Luzuriaga	2000	51.3%	61.8%	40.7%
Peru	Huari	2000	52.8%	61.1%	43.4%
Peru	Ica	2000	26.2%	29.2%	23.0%
Peru	Leoncio Prado	2000	26.0%	31.3%	21.3%
Peru	Trujillo	2000	12.6%	14.1%	11.1%
Peru	Tocache	2000	30.5%	36.9%	25.2%
Peru	Huaytara	2000	54.7%	61.6%	47.9%
Peru	Antonio Raymondi	2000	47.9%	63.5%	35.0%
Peru	Tumbes	2000	35.6%	38.0%	33.5%
Peru	Palpa	2000	27.0%	35.6%	19.5%
Peru	Oxapampa	2000	32.3%	35.8%	28.6%
Peru	Recuay	2000	46.4%	63.4%	30.4%
Peru	Junín	2000	33.8%	41.0%	27.8%
Peru	Carabaya	2000	42.5%	48.9%	36.7%
Peru	Azángaro	2000	38.5%	44.3%	32.7%
Peru	Santa Cruz	2000	45.8%	58.5%	34.7%
Peru	Alto Amazonas	2000	35.7%	39.0%	32.3%
Peru	Pataz	2000	45.7%	55.2%	36.7%
Peru	Oyon	2000	39.8%	53.2%	27.4%
Peru	Puno	2000	32.9%	38.2%	27.9%
Peru	General Sánchez Cerro	2000	38.2%	43.0%	33.4%
Peru	La Mar	2000	38.5%	43.6%	33.0%
Peru	Pomabamba	2000	46.7%	59.2%	36.6%
Peru	Caravelí	2000	35.6%	42.9%	29.6%
Peru	Sucre	2000	44.9%	54.6%	34.5%
Peru	Cajatambo	2000	48.1%	63.4%	33.9%
Peru	Huaylas	2000	42.7%	49.6%	35.1%
Peru	Cutervo	2000	33.1%	40.0%	27.4%
Peru	Julcan	2000	47.0%	59.7%	35.2%
Peru	Espinar	2000	43.1%	54.9%	35.9%
Peru	Chupaca	2000	42.1%	45.4%	38.5%
Peru	El Collao	2000	45.8%	50.9%	41.0%
Peru	Maynas	2000	30.8%	39.4%	24.9%
Peru	Antabamba	2017	32.2%	40.6%	23.8%
Peru	Churcampa	2017	37.4%	40.9%	34.1%
Peru	Huaraz	2017	11.1%	14.2%	8.7%
Peru	La Convención	2017	15.6%	19.8%	12.2%
Peru	Huancabamba	2017	21.2%	27.8%	15.7%
Peru	Otuzco	2017	21.9%	29.9%	15.2%
Peru	Huanta	2017	18.2%	21.4%	15.5%
Peru	Condesuyos	2017	19.0%	28.0%	12.0%
Peru	San Marcos	2017	11.8%	18.2%	7.6%
Peru	Abancay	2017	9.4%	12.5%	7.0%
Peru	Contumazá	2017	15.3%	24.1%	8.5%
Peru	Callao	2017	1.0%	3.2%	0.4%
Peru	Ocros	2017	26.9%	41.6%	15.7%
Peru	Arequipa	2017	1.9%	2.4%	1.5%
Peru	San Antonio de Putina	2017	30.3%	38.4%	22.6%
Peru	Leoncio Prado	2017	21.7%	28.0%	16.4%
Peru	Ascope	2017	13.1%	19.6%	7.4%
Peru	Bongará	2017	13.9%	18.4%	10.3%
Peru	Atalaya	2017	20.1%	22.5%	17.6%
Peru	Victor Fajardo	2017	15.1%	21.2%	10.2%
Peru	Mariscal Nieto	2017	10.9%	13.3%	8.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Hualgayoc	2017	14.1%	21.4%	8.3%
Peru	Huamalíes	2017	21.4%	26.4%	17.2%
Peru	Santa	2017	6.3%	8.3%	4.5%
Peru	Contralmirante Villar	2017	20.2%	29.7%	12.5%
Peru	Parinacochas	2017	21.6%	27.7%	15.1%
Peru	Padre Abad	2017	16.7%	22.1%	12.3%
Peru	Urubamba	2017	22.3%	30.7%	16.0%
Peru	Lago Titicaca	2017	15.7%	19.5%	12.4%
Peru	Ilo	2017	1.8%	4.3%	0.8%
Peru	Lambayeque	2017	9.6%	12.9%	7.3%
Peru	Tarma	2017	18.9%	26.6%	13.0%
Peru	Sullana	2017	8.0%	9.9%	6.3%
Peru	Canchis	2017	16.1%	19.8%	12.2%
Peru	Calca	2017	25.1%	34.4%	16.3%
Peru	Camaná	2017	12.5%	20.5%	7.0%
Peru	Corongo	2017	17.5%	30.1%	8.1%
Peru	Rioja	2017	6.2%	8.0%	4.7%
Peru	Lucanas	2017	26.1%	30.7%	21.6%
Peru	Mariscal Ramón Castilla	2017	27.7%	32.1%	23.7%
Peru	San Miguel	2017	12.5%	19.3%	8.0%
Peru	Canta	2017	22.4%	29.1%	16.0%
Peru	Vilcas Huamán	2017	19.0%	26.4%	13.0%
Peru	Andahuaylas	2017	9.0%	10.3%	7.8%
Peru	Celendín	2017	11.2%	16.0%	7.2%
Peru	San Román	2017	6.7%	8.4%	5.2%
Peru	Aija	2017	20.1%	35.3%	9.2%
Peru	Lamas	2017	13.5%	16.6%	11.3%
Peru	La Unión	2017	16.6%	25.7%	10.7%
Peru	Moyobamba	2017	5.2%	6.8%	4.1%
Peru	Caylloma	2017	17.2%	22.1%	12.5%
Peru	Piura	2017	14.2%	15.7%	12.7%
Peru	Canas	2017	21.2%	28.6%	14.2%
Peru	Dos de Mayo	2017	20.0%	27.3%	15.2%
Peru	Chanchamayo	2017	14.9%	18.9%	11.0%
Peru	Paruro	2017	22.2%	30.3%	15.4%
Peru	Asunción	2017	25.9%	39.6%	14.8%
Peru	Lampa	2017	22.8%	30.2%	16.9%
Peru	Jauja	2017	21.8%	25.7%	18.3%
Peru	Acomayo	2017	33.2%	44.3%	23.6%
Peru	Castrovirreyna	2017	30.2%	37.3%	24.3%
Peru	Pallasca	2017	17.8%	25.8%	10.0%
Peru	Sánchez Carrión	2017	15.4%	21.8%	10.0%
Peru	Moho	2017	24.2%	37.1%	15.2%
Peru	Jorge Basadre	2017	18.5%	29.6%	10.9%
Peru	Yunguyo	2017	16.3%	20.5%	12.7%
Peru	Antonio Raymondi	2017	30.1%	44.3%	17.8%
Peru	Huaura	2017	10.8%	12.8%	8.8%
Peru	Chucuító	2017	29.0%	35.0%	23.3%
Peru	Tahuamanu	2017	13.6%	17.8%	9.8%
Peru	Daniel Alcides Carrión	2017	35.4%	39.4%	31.6%
Peru	Tayacaja	2017	22.9%	27.1%	19.3%
Peru	San Pablo	2017	10.9%	16.6%	6.7%
Peru	Picota	2017	7.7%	11.4%	5.0%
Peru	El Dorado	2017	9.1%	15.3%	5.5%
Peru	Santiago de Chuco	2017	23.2%	32.7%	14.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Cotabambas	2017	26.5%	36.5%	19.3%
Peru	Palpa	2017	7.9%	12.7%	4.2%
Peru	Barranca	2017	7.4%	9.9%	5.2%
Peru	General Sánchez Cerro	2017	15.9%	19.8%	12.9%
Peru	Carhuaz	2017	20.4%	27.4%	14.8%
Peru	Huacaybamba	2017	19.0%	28.9%	10.7%
Peru	Puerto Inca	2017	18.3%	23.0%	14.4%
Peru	Paita	2017	10.7%	16.8%	6.0%
Peru	Cañete	2017	10.3%	15.3%	7.3%
Peru	Loreto	2017	21.6%	24.6%	18.7%
Peru	San Martín	2017	3.8%	4.9%	3.1%
Peru	Huari	2017	29.0%	39.4%	19.4%
Peru	San Ignacio	2017	17.4%	22.8%	12.7%
Peru	Bagua	2017	11.5%	14.0%	9.4%
Peru	Zarumilla	2017	12.5%	16.4%	9.6%
Peru	Anta	2017	31.8%	40.0%	22.7%
Peru	Lauricocha	2017	23.1%	30.1%	16.4%
Peru	Utcubamba	2017	9.7%	12.1%	7.6%
Peru	Requena	2017	23.0%	26.7%	19.7%
Peru	Oxapampa	2017	21.2%	24.5%	18.3%
Peru	Bolívar	2017	19.5%	29.9%	10.9%
Peru	Ambo	2017	30.5%	37.2%	24.9%
Peru	Cajabamba	2017	16.9%	25.6%	10.4%
Peru	Purús	2017	23.1%	31.2%	17.4%
Peru	Ferreñafe	2017	14.0%	19.7%	9.1%
Peru	Julcan	2017	21.5%	31.1%	14.7%
Peru	Castilla	2017	12.2%	18.5%	8.1%
Peru	Trujillo	2017	1.8%	2.1%	1.5%
Peru	Huancayo	2017	9.4%	10.5%	8.5%
Peru	Concepción	2017	15.2%	22.3%	10.6%
Peru	Carlos Fermin Fitzcarrald	2017	27.4%	40.7%	17.9%
Peru	Satipo	2017	14.0%	17.0%	11.3%
Peru	Chepén	2017	16.6%	24.7%	7.5%
Peru	Ayabaca	2017	22.4%	29.1%	16.7%
Peru	Bellavista	2017	12.4%	18.0%	8.4%
Peru	Chincha	2017	11.1%	12.5%	9.6%
Peru	Mariscal Luzuriaga	2017	26.0%	33.9%	18.6%
Peru	Bolognesi	2017	27.3%	38.1%	18.8%
Peru	Cajamarca	2017	10.3%	16.1%	6.5%
Peru	Marañón	2017	21.9%	27.8%	17.4%
Peru	Tarata	2017	23.8%	33.7%	15.6%
Peru	Sandia	2017	24.5%	30.7%	19.4%
Peru	Huarmey	2017	18.4%	29.0%	10.3%
Peru	Ucayali	2017	22.2%	25.1%	19.6%
Peru	Viru	2017	11.2%	23.9%	5.6%
Peru	Huaral	2017	12.4%	17.7%	8.5%
Peru	Pomabamba	2017	22.9%	31.9%	16.3%
Peru	Candarave	2017	19.4%	28.2%	11.7%
Peru	Junín	2017	16.4%	21.8%	11.7%
Peru	Chupaca	2017	11.1%	13.2%	9.1%
Peru	Pisco	2017	15.1%	22.3%	9.2%
Peru	Jaén	2017	12.1%	14.9%	9.6%
Peru	Azángaro	2017	16.7%	21.6%	13.4%
Peru	Mariscal Cáceres	2017	10.4%	14.3%	7.7%
Peru	Pataz	2017	23.5%	31.0%	17.4%
Peru	Angaraes	2017	19.6%	24.1%	16.5%
Peru	Aymaraes	2017	25.5%	32.4%	19.7%
Peru	Paucar del Sara Sara	2017	19.6%	26.3%	12.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Huancavelica	2017	30.4%	33.5%	27.2%
Peru	Pacasmayo	2017	12.4%	16.4%	9.0%
Peru	Maynas	2017	22.9%	31.5%	17.1%
Peru	Chota	2017	11.0%	14.3%	8.7%
Peru	Yarowilca	2017	23.2%	27.6%	19.0%
Peru	Huenuco	2017	11.4%	13.8%	9.2%
Peru	Yauli	2017	18.3%	24.8%	12.6%
Peru	Huamanga	2017	7.7%	8.8%	6.7%
Peru	Yungay	2017	20.9%	26.8%	14.4%
Peru	Huanca Sancos	2017	17.3%	24.2%	11.6%
Peru	Morropón	2017	16.9%	21.2%	13.4%
Peru	Pachitea	2017	26.8%	31.7%	21.8%
Peru	Pasco	2017	33.7%	36.3%	30.7%
Peru	Huaytara	2017	35.5%	42.7%	28.6%
Peru	Sihuas	2017	22.1%	38.8%	11.0%
Peru	Alto Amazonas	2017	22.3%	24.7%	20.0%
Peru	Sechura	2017	22.8%	30.9%	15.9%
Peru	Chincheros	2017	11.5%	14.5%	8.9%
Peru	Tumbes	2017	7.9%	8.6%	7.2%
Peru	Melgar	2017	18.3%	23.4%	13.5%
Peru	Manu	2017	34.6%	40.9%	29.3%
Peru	Cajatambo	2017	28.6%	42.7%	17.1%
Peru	Lima	2017	1.4%	1.6%	1.2%
Peru	Paucartambo	2017	28.8%	39.2%	18.6%
Peru	Chiclayo	2017	4.7%	5.4%	4.1%
Peru	Carabaya	2017	23.1%	29.0%	18.1%
Peru	Quispicanchi	2017	23.6%	30.9%	17.4%
Peru	Huancane	2017	19.6%	24.7%	14.6%
Peru	Talara	2017	11.7%	17.2%	8.1%
Peru	Gran Chimú	2017	15.5%	27.7%	7.5%
Peru	Tocache	2017	15.4%	19.8%	12.2%
Peru	Coronel Portillo	2017	7.3%	9.0%	6.2%
Peru	Casma	2017	13.2%	18.5%	9.2%
Peru	El Collao	2017	19.3%	22.6%	16.2%
Peru	Cangallo	2017	13.8%	19.0%	9.8%
Peru	Nazca	2017	8.6%	12.9%	5.7%
Peru	Huarochiri	2017	19.4%	26.1%	13.9%
Peru	Chumbivilcas	2017	23.7%	29.4%	18.9%
Peru	Acobamba	2017	26.9%	29.5%	24.6%
Peru	Tambopata	2017	8.8%	9.9%	7.7%
Peru	Recuay	2017	25.8%	41.2%	14.7%
Peru	Caravelí	2017	15.0%	20.6%	10.9%
Peru	Huallaga	2017	7.2%	10.4%	4.7%
Peru	Islay	2017	11.6%	19.0%	5.6%
Peru	Espinar	2017	25.3%	32.3%	19.3%
Peru	Condorcanqui	2017	23.3%	26.8%	19.6%
Peru	Tacna	2017	7.5%	9.3%	5.6%
Peru	Rodríguez de Mendoza	2017	15.4%	20.3%	11.5%
Peru	Cusco	2017	7.4%	8.0%	6.7%
Peru	Yauyos	2017	27.8%	35.8%	20.9%
Peru	Huaylas	2017	17.5%	22.4%	13.2%
Peru	Sucre	2017	24.4%	34.7%	16.2%
Peru	Grau	2017	27.8%	35.2%	20.8%
Peru	Chachapoyas	2017	9.9%	14.9%	6.3%
Peru	Ica	2017	6.1%	7.4%	4.9%
Peru	Puno	2017	12.5%	16.8%	9.5%
Peru	Oyon	2017	21.3%	32.6%	12.4%
Peru	Santa Cruz	2017	22.1%	31.5%	14.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Peru	Luya	2017	10.4%	14.0%	7.8%
Peru	Cutervo	2017	13.7%	17.8%	10.1%
Peru	La Mar	2017	16.4%	19.8%	13.3%
North Africa and Middle East					
Afghanistan	Baharak	2000	1.1%	5.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Surobi	2000	0.5%	2.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Fayzabad	2000	1.0%	3.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Kijran	2000	2.0%	7.4%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Kamdesb	2000	1.6%	6.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Musayi	2000	0.6%	5.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chaparhar	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Tulak	2000	1.9%	5.8%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Shahrak	2000	1.6%	4.3%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Lal Pur	2000	1.3%	8.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ragh	2000	1.2%	7.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Omna	2000	1.6%	9.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Jabalussaraj	2000	0.3%	2.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qalandar	2000	1.7%	14.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Asad abad	2000	0.7%	3.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Ghazni	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Baghlani Ja- did	2000	1.8%	9.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Zinda Jan	2000	1.6%	6.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Reg	2000	3.2%	5.7%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Khuram Wa Sarbagh	2000	1.2%	4.7%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Kang	2000	0.8%	4.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Wolmamay	2000	3.1%	8.4%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Nawa	2000	2.5%	8.9%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Naw	2000	0.8%	5.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Marwara	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chahar Asyab	2000	2.2%	10.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nad Ali	2000	1.2%	5.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Dur Baba	2000	1.3%	12.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shahri Buzurg	2000	0.7%	4.4%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Andkhoy	2000	1.4%	6.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Bughran	2000	1.5%	4.8%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Khogyani	2000	0.8%	5.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Day Kundi	2000	1.7%	4.3%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Lashkargah	2000	0.6%	3.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Zaranj	2000	0.5%	4.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shekh Ali	2000	1.8%	11.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ali abad	2000	0.7%	4.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Zebak	2000	2.0%	9.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Ghorak	2000	1.3%	5.8%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Taywara	2000	2.3%	6.6%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Bala Buluk	2000	0.7%	3.2%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Jawand	2000	1.6%	3.6%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2000	1.3%	9.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Gayan	2000	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chaharikar	2000	0.3%	2.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Jalrez	2000	3.8%	10.7%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Ab Kamari	2000	1.2%	6.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Narang	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Badil	2000	1.2%	8.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sabari	2000	1.2%	8.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Alingar	2000	1.2%	5.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Muhmand	2000	1.3%	12.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dara	2000	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dand	2000	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Patan	2000	1.9%	6.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Gulran	2000	1.9%	6.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Shwak	2000	1.2%	9.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Mahmud Raqi	2000	0.6%	2.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Musa Qala	2000	1.6%	6.8%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Qaram Qol	2000	0.8%	4.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nika	2000	1.2%	12.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kishindih	2000	3.4%	10.0%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Mingajik	2000	0.8%	4.4%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Panjsher	2000	0.3%	1.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Hisarak	2000	0.7%	4.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Baraki Barak	2000	7.4%	17.9%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Nijrab	2000	3.4%	15.7%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Ajristan	2000	1.5%	6.5%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Jaji	2000	0.9%	5.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sayid Abad	2000	0.8%	4.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ghorband	2000	1.5%	10.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Marmul	2000	4.0%	18.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Pachir Wa Agam	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Malistan	2000	1.4%	5.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Anar Dara	2000	1.4%	3.7%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khost (Matun)	2000	0.7%	4.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khawaja Du Koh	2000	1.3%	5.2%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Guzara	2000	1.1%	6.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bilchiragh	2000	1.9%	7.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Sultan	2000	1.6%	8.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Achin	2000	1.7%	12.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Alishing	2000	32.8%	55.7%	8.0%
Afghanistan	Ziluk	2000	0.8%	7.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dihdadi	2000	0.9%	8.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Salang	2000	1.5%	4.5%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Sangcharak	2000	0.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shorabak	2000	1.6%	5.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Daman	2000	0.8%	3.7%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Qarqin	2000	1.8%	9.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sar Hawza	2000	4.0%	16.4%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Sayyad	2000	1.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chal	2000	1.9%	10.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Gurbuz	2000	2.8%	12.2%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Andarab	2000	3.5%	12.0%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Chawkay	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Maruf	2000	1.7%	5.7%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Chakhansur	2000	1.3%	4.2%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Istalif	2000	1.0%	10.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Lija Mangal	2000	0.3%	2.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Mardyan	2000	1.5%	9.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khas Uruzgan	2000	0.7%	3.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Tere Zayi	2000	1.0%	9.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sharan	2000	24.3%	30.5%	18.0%
Afghanistan	Ishkamish	2000	1.2%	8.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Alasay	2000	2.0%	12.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Lal Wa Sarjangan	2000	2.0%	5.9%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Nesh	2000	1.9%	9.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Hisa-i-Awali	2000	7.2%	20.5%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Bihsud	2000	1.4%	7.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Pusht Rod	2000	1.4%	7.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Shamul	2000	2.4%	25.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bargi Matal	2000	6.0%	15.2%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Shinwari	2000	1.2%	10.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Daychopan	2000	0.9%	4.0%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Khakrez	2000	1.2%	6.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Kah	2000	1.3%	4.7%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Pashtun	2000	0.6%	5.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Zarghun	2000	1.5%	9.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Almar	2000	1.5%	9.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kandahar	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	City	2000	1.2%	8.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Maydan	2000	1.2%	8.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shahr	2000	0.7%	5.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sarobi	2000	0.7%	5.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shahjoy	2000	1.1%	6.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shortepa	2000	2.7%	13.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Nadir	2000	2.1%	11.5%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Shah Kot	2000	2.1%	11.5%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Washer	2000	1.4%	4.7%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Mihtarlam	2000	1.1%	3.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Charkint	2000	1.9%	9.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Ghar	2000	1.4%	6.2%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Jaji Maydan	2000	1.8%	10.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Darzab	2000	1.4%	7.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2000	0.8%	3.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Obe	2000	1.4%	11.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Azro	2000	1.2%	6.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khwahan	2000	1.8%	6.4%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Taluqan	2000	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khas Kunar	2000	0.4%	3.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Muhammad Agha	2000	0.6%	2.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kuran Wa	2000	1.8%	7.1%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Munjan Balkh	2000	0.4%	2.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shinkay	2000	1.4%	6.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Wakhan	2000	2.7%	6.3%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Awali	2000	0.7%	4.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Panjsher	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Mirbacha Kot	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kalakan	2000	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chapa Dara	2000	1.3%	10.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shirin Tagab	2000	1.6%	6.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2000	0.4%	1.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dara-I-Nur	2000	4.1%	19.3%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Mando Zayi	2000	4.5%	23.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Tagab	2000	0.9%	6.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bagram	2000	0.3%	2.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Waras	2000	1.2%	4.5%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Ab Band	2000	1.8%	7.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Garmser	2000	2.5%	8.0%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2000	1.1%	5.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qadis	2000	1.7%	5.7%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Aybak	2000	1.3%	5.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chamkani	2000	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khash Rod	2000	1.6%	5.9%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Charkh	2000	8.6%	14.3%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Musa Khel	2000	1.6%	9.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shibar	2000	4.0%	12.7%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Nawa-i-Barak Zayi	2000	4.4%	15.2%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Dangam	2000	2.1%	12.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Murghab	2000	1.0%	3.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Balkhab	2000	1.2%	4.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Ghoryan	2000	1.6%	4.6%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Spera	2000	0.8%	4.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bak	2000	2.9%	27.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shah Wali Kot	2000	2.1%	8.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Shahidi Hasas	2000	1.1%	5.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Nuristan	2000	1.1%	4.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Nahri Shahi	2000	0.8%	3.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Khost Wa Firing	2000	2.6%	8.4%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Bangi	2000	1.4%	8.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bati Kot	2000	1.1%	10.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Char Dara	2000	0.4%	2.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shighnan	2000	1.7%	6.2%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Kuhsan	2000	1.1%	3.9%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Pech	2000	0.6%	6.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khan Abad	2000	0.7%	4.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chora	2000	1.1%	4.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Gomal	2000	2.3%	6.8%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2000	1.5%	5.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Bamyan City	2000	2.2%	8.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Giro	2000	6.2%	17.5%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Nahrin	2000	2.7%	10.8%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Khwaja Sabz Posh	2000	0.4%	2.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Char Burjak	2000	2.2%	4.2%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Chak	2000	0.9%	4.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Daymirdad	2000	2.1%	7.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Kunduz	2000	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Gardez	2000	0.6%	3.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qarghayi	2000	0.7%	3.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Zarghun Shahr	2000	7.2%	14.5%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Jadran	2000	1.9%	9.5%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Sholgara	2000	4.3%	15.4%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Shamul zayi	2000	2.6%	8.2%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Sherzad	2000	0.9%	5.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kishim	2000	0.7%	3.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Jurm	2000	1.2%	3.8%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Nazyan	2000	1.1%	7.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Darwaz	2000	1.8%	8.0%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Kohband	2000	1.1%	6.7%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Ishkashim	2000	1.3%	5.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Puli Alam	2000	8.7%	15.7%	4.6%
Afghanistan	Shindand	2000	0.6%	2.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Farsi	2000	0.6%	2.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Burka	2000	1.4%	10.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kama	2000	0.5%	3.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Duwum	2000	1.2%	6.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Panjsher Dila	2000	1.2%	5.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Jani Khel	2000	1.1%	5.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dih Bala	2000	0.8%	6.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Dihyak	2000	4.2%	13.3%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Rustaq	2000	1.2%	5.0%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Atghar	2000	2.5%	11.7%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Panjab	2000	2.7%	8.3%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Baghlan City	2000	2.3%	10.5%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Aqcha	2000	1.8%	6.7%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Jalal abad	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sozma Qala	2000	1.9%	11.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Mandol	2000	2.5%	8.9%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2000	2.3%	11.2%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Fayz abad	2000	0.8%	2.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nali	2000	1.3%	6.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nirkh	2000	1.3%	6.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2000	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sangin	2000	1.2%	10.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Hirat City	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Wama	2000	2.3%	7.5%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Dawlat Shah	2000	4.6%	17.0%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Bar Kunar	2000	1.2%	5.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Waygal	2000	3.1%	13.9%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Arghistan	2000	2.0%	6.3%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Kushki Kuhna	2000	0.9%	3.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Surkh Rod	2000	0.6%	4.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Mata Khan	2000	19.1%	31.4%	12.4%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Kot	2000	0.9%	4.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nahri Sarraj	2000	0.7%	4.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bakwa	2000	1.3%	6.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Chishti Sharif	2000	1.2%	6.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Pasaband	2000	1.8%	4.4%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Gul dara	2000	0.8%	6.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shibirghan	2000	2.3%	9.4%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Shakar Dara	2000	0.3%	2.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khulm	2000	1.5%	6.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Qaysar	2000	1.7%	9.5%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Sirkanay	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Char Bolak	2000	0.7%	4.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chah Ab	2000	0.8%	5.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Karukh	2000	0.9%	5.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Jaghuri	2000	0.6%	3.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kuz Kunar	2000	4.6%	17.8%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Maymana	2000	2.1%	11.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kaldar	2000	2.5%	16.2%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Dihrawud	2000	1.1%	5.5%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Kajaki	2000	1.1%	5.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kohi Safi	2000	1.2%	5.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Purchaman	2000	1.4%	3.7%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Warsaj	2000	2.1%	7.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Khan Char Bagh	2000	1.5%	8.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Royi Du Ab	2000	1.7%	6.9%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Gizab	2000	2.3%	6.2%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Tirin Kot	2000	1.1%	6.9%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Nurgal	2000	1.4%	9.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Markazi Bih-sud	2000	1.3%	5.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Puli Khumri	2000	9.3%	31.9%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Yangi Qala	2000	1.4%	5.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Zurmat	2000	0.7%	3.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sar-i-Pul City	2000	0.5%	1.9%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Jaghatu	2000	1.0%	5.0%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Paghman	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Gulistan	2000	1.3%	4.1%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Khaki Safed	2000	0.8%	3.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shahristan	2000	2.0%	6.3%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Farkhar	2000	1.6%	8.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Reg	2000	2.1%	7.4%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Urgun	2000	0.3%	2.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kalafgan	2000	0.7%	4.3%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Chaghcharan	2000	1.7%	3.6%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Mazar-i-Sharif	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khaki Jabar	2000	1.4%	7.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Saghar	2000	2.2%	6.8%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Tani	2000	5.4%	25.1%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Yakawlang	2000	1.7%	5.0%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Dahana-I-Ghori	2000	2.9%	11.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Imam	2000	0.6%	3.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Zana Khan	2000	1.8%	13.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ghormach	2000	0.9%	5.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Andar	2000	3.7%	10.6%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Surkhi Parsa	2000	1.2%	6.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Qalat	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Maywand	2000	1.9%	7.5%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Shinwar	2000	1.5%	10.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Shib Koh	2000	1.5%	8.0%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Burmul	2000	0.9%	4.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Farah City	2000	0.5%	2.4%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Wazakhwa	2000	2.4%	9.0%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Tala Wa Barfak	2000	3.2%	10.8%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Mizan	2000	1.3%	6.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Sayid Karam	2000	1.3%	4.8%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Suf	2000	2.1%	6.9%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Dih Sabz	2000	31.2%	48.1%	9.9%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2000	1.3%	6.8%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Tarnak Wa Jaldak	2000	1.3%	6.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Chimtal	2000	1.8%	7.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Disho	2000	3.4%	7.6%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Kushk	2000	1.5%	7.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Khinjan	2000	2.9%	15.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Panjwayi	2000	1.5%	5.7%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Naw Zad	2000	1.1%	3.7%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Spin Boldak	2000	1.4%	5.1%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Zal	2000	0.8%	3.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Bagrami	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Darqad	2000	1.9%	8.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Gelan	2000	1.5%	9.4%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kahmard	2000	3.9%	10.6%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Goshta	2000	1.1%	7.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Injil	2000	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Khushi	2000	2.3%	11.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Kabul City	2000	4.2%	6.2%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Kohistanat	2000	1.2%	3.5%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Dashti Archi	2000	0.8%	6.1%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2000	1.2%	6.2%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Rodat	2000	1.0%	6.3%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Adraskan	2000	1.3%	3.6%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Doshi	2000	3.5%	13.9%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Khamyab	2000	2.5%	11.6%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Nawur	2000	1.7%	5.4%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Lash Wa	2000	1.7%	4.4%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Juwayn				
Afghanistan	Darزاب	2017	13.5%	35.7%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2017	13.0%	24.8%	5.1%
Afghanistan	Surobi	2017	8.9%	22.8%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Dahana-I-Ghori	2017	16.6%	41.6%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Khwahan	2017	17.9%	33.2%	5.8%
Afghanistan	Kishim	2017	9.6%	17.7%	3.9%
Afghanistan	Shighnan	2017	19.0%	32.5%	8.0%
Afghanistan	Kijran	2017	21.6%	37.4%	8.6%
Afghanistan	Musayi	2017	1.7%	6.7%	0.0%
Afghanistan	Ishkashim	2017	16.9%	36.3%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Puli Alam	2017	24.1%	36.3%	14.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Shahrak	2017	22.7%	32.1%	14.5%
Afghanistan	Jabalussaraj	2017	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Ragh	2017	13.7%	28.1%	4.0%
Afghanistan	Mirbacha Kot	2017	2.8%	6.1%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Shirin Tagab	2017	10.1%	22.1%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Asad abad	2017	25.5%	38.6%	14.7%
Afghanistan	Dila	2017	20.3%	32.5%	9.6%
Afghanistan	Reg	2017	24.2%	31.0%	16.9%
Afghanistan	Khuram Wa Sarbagh	2017	13.5%	26.6%	4.0%
Afghanistan	Jani Khel	2017	6.2%	21.0%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Dih Bala	2017	12.6%	40.9%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Sherzad	2017	11.7%	36.4%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Wolmamay	2017	22.7%	38.0%	9.3%
Afghanistan	Sar-i-Pul City	2017	8.6%	17.0%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Chahar Asyab	2017	3.0%	9.6%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Panjwayi	2017	19.0%	37.7%	8.5%
Afghanistan	Dur Baba	2017	13.9%	49.2%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Darqad	2017	14.5%	47.4%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Shekh Ali	2017	8.9%	27.1%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2017	13.5%	31.3%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Tere Zayi	2017	13.1%	38.9%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Zebak	2017	14.7%	38.0%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Ghorak	2017	24.3%	47.3%	8.1%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Duwum	2017	8.8%	27.5%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Panjsher Spera	2017	17.3%	39.1%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Nesh	2017	21.9%	47.7%	6.6%
Afghanistan	Bala Buluk	2017	14.9%	33.8%	5.4%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2017	14.0%	37.8%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Sangin	2017	15.6%	42.0%	4.0%
Afghanistan	Khost Wa Firing	2017	23.1%	37.1%	11.5%
Afghanistan	Wama	2017	13.1%	28.1%	4.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Chaharikar	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Daychopan	2017	15.3%	26.5%	6.1%
Afghanistan	Narang Wa Badil	2017	18.5%	31.3%	9.3%
Afghanistan	Waygal	2017	14.3%	33.7%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Kalafgan	2017	9.5%	27.3%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Mizan	2017	16.8%	48.2%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Arghistan	2017	20.2%	34.1%	9.0%
Afghanistan	Kushki Kuhna	2017	15.1%	28.5%	5.5%
Afghanistan	Dawlat abad	2017	11.5%	23.4%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Rodat	2017	12.2%	29.8%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Mata Khan	2017	22.3%	40.5%	10.4%
Afghanistan	Gulran	2017	21.0%	30.6%	12.0%
Afghanistan	Dand Wa Patan	2017	4.5%	17.6%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Mahmud Raqi	2017	4.5%	12.6%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Nika	2017	19.7%	60.1%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Nirkh	2017	42.7%	59.4%	24.4%
Afghanistan	Kishindih	2017	19.7%	34.8%	9.2%
Afghanistan	Adraskan	2017	19.9%	29.7%	11.8%
Afghanistan	Dih Sabz	2017	12.3%	32.8%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Shamul zayi	2017	22.9%	40.9%	10.1%
Afghanistan	Yakawlang	2017	17.6%	32.1%	8.8%
Afghanistan	Baraki Barak	2017	19.8%	27.6%	15.6%
Afghanistan	Jaji	2017	5.8%	20.4%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Char Bolak	2017	23.6%	43.3%	8.6%
Afghanistan	Kohband	2017	3.0%	9.3%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Anar Dara	2017	18.9%	27.2%	10.4%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2017	2.6%	8.8%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Burka	2017	15.1%	41.1%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Guzara	2017	10.8%	30.0%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Bilchiragh	2017	15.5%	30.6%	5.9%
Afghanistan	Kohi Safi	2017	7.8%	19.0%	1.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Kama	2017	12.2%	27.1%	6.0%
Afghanistan	Daymirdad	2017	11.9%	26.9%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Salang	2017	3.6%	9.0%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Shorabak	2017	20.1%	36.3%	10.3%
Afghanistan	Royi Du Ab	2017	13.8%	27.9%	4.2%
Afghanistan	Dihyak	2017	14.2%	35.2%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Daman	2017	19.6%	45.5%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Qaysar	2017	13.4%	30.3%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Atghar	2017	21.3%	48.2%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Sirkanay	2017	15.3%	32.3%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Gurbuz	2017	9.8%	38.5%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Maruf	2017	24.3%	39.7%	12.2%
Afghanistan	Sozma Qala	2017	15.3%	40.1%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Istalif	2017	9.3%	37.9%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Farkhar	2017	12.3%	34.3%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Mardyan	2017	11.7%	27.7%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Naw Zad	2017	18.7%	30.3%	8.5%
Afghanistan	Sharan	2017	15.6%	28.9%	6.5%
Afghanistan	Ishkamish	2017	11.1%	30.5%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Balkhab	2017	14.0%	25.8%	5.4%
Afghanistan	Shahidi Hasas	2017	18.6%	30.9%	7.7%
Afghanistan	Hisa-i-Awali	2017	16.6%	36.8%	3.8%
Afghanistan	Bihsud	2017	16.8%	67.2%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Shamul	2017	16.8%	67.2%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Tirin Kot	2017	15.9%	28.1%	7.1%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Imam	2017	4.7%	12.8%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Markazi Bih-	2017	17.7%	33.4%	6.8%
Afghanistan	sud	2017	17.7%	33.4%	6.8%
Afghanistan	Chimtal	2017	24.7%	45.2%	10.5%
Afghanistan	Maydan	2017	34.4%	60.3%	16.1%
Afghanistan	Shahr	2017	11.1%	27.4%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Andar	2017	11.1%	27.4%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Almar	2017	14.2%	40.0%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Qalat	2017	8.4%	18.1%	2.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Kabul City	2017	5.8%	15.5%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Shahristan	2017	19.7%	33.1%	10.0%
Afghanistan	Shahjoy	2017	13.6%	31.3%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Kot	2017	6.8%	22.9%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Burmul	2017	17.7%	33.5%	7.6%
Afghanistan	Tani	2017	11.3%	27.5%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Charkint	2017	11.7%	25.2%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Sayid Karam	2017	13.8%	33.3%	3.4%
Afghanistan	Qaram Qol	2017	11.0%	33.6%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Jaji Maydan	2017	23.5%	50.2%	6.2%
Afghanistan	Chaghcharan	2017	23.1%	30.8%	15.6%
Afghanistan	Khushi	2017	9.6%	30.2%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Khulm	2017	16.6%	33.0%	6.0%
Afghanistan	Rustaq	2017	10.8%	23.3%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Char Dara	2017	13.3%	33.4%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Ajristan	2017	13.2%	27.5%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Taluqan	2017	4.7%	9.5%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Jurm	2017	13.5%	27.0%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Kamdesh	2017	16.5%	34.9%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Darwaz	2017	20.9%	36.3%	9.8%
Afghanistan	Malistan	2017	8.6%	21.2%	1.8%
Afghanistan	Tulak	2017	23.6%	37.9%	10.8%
Afghanistan	Wakhan	2017	23.4%	34.7%	14.4%
Afghanistan	Kajaki	2017	19.7%	45.5%	4.7%
Afghanistan	Farsi	2017	16.9%	31.2%	6.8%
Afghanistan	Hisa-I-Awali	2017	3.7%	12.1%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Panjsher	2017	12.7%	44.4%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Qalandar	2017	12.7%	44.4%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Arghandab	2017	21.6%	41.9%	8.7%
Afghanistan	Pasaband	2017	23.0%	33.6%	13.6%
Afghanistan	Khan Char	2017	9.5%	21.5%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Bagh	2017	10.4%	39.2%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Tagab	2017	10.4%	39.2%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Gizab	2017	19.7%	32.4%	10.8%
Afghanistan	Waras	2017	19.5%	32.2%	9.0%
Afghanistan	Wazakhwa	2017	19.5%	39.4%	7.3%
Afghanistan	Bati Kot	2017	13.6%	58.3%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Naw	2017	13.5%	40.2%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Ab Band	2017	11.2%	27.6%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Qarqin	2017	19.3%	37.5%	5.6%
Afghanistan	Zurmat	2017	6.4%	15.5%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Paghman	2017	12.1%	46.3%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Aybak	2017	8.8%	18.2%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Khaki Safed	2017	16.1%	34.2%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Charkh	2017	19.7%	31.2%	13.6%
Afghanistan	Murghab	2017	10.6%	20.0%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Shibar	2017	17.6%	40.5%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Ghoryan	2017	16.6%	27.6%	9.2%
Afghanistan	Chishti Sharif	2017	17.5%	33.8%	5.2%
Afghanistan	Mazar-i-Sharif	2017	46.1%	51.6%	37.9%
Afghanistan	Khaki Jabar	2017	12.5%	34.9%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Nahri Shahi	2017	50.1%	58.8%	41.2%
Afghanistan	Shinwar	2017	12.5%	43.8%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Khinjan	2017	15.7%	45.1%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Jalrez	2017	27.4%	45.4%	9.8%
Afghanistan	Yangi Qala	2017	9.2%	20.2%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Ghormach	2017	13.0%	33.8%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Karukh	2017	20.3%	38.9%	8.2%
Afghanistan	Pashtun Zarghun Sabari	2017	15.2%	31.7%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Suf	2017	5.8%	22.0%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Suf	2017	15.1%	26.7%	6.5%
Afghanistan	Giro	2017	15.5%	38.2%	3.2%
Afghanistan	Zana Khan	2017	14.8%	49.0%	0.7%
Afghanistan	Maywand	2017	15.3%	29.4%	5.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Khwaja Sabz Posh Washer	2017	4.6%	11.0%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Char Burjak	2017	23.3%	31.1%	16.8%
Afghanistan	Bak	2017	15.3%	45.8%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Qarghayi	2017	6.4%	12.9%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Sholgara	2017	24.1%	42.1%	9.2%
Afghanistan	Panjsher	2017	4.0%	10.2%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Kang	2017	13.8%	40.3%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Nurgal	2017	21.0%	53.7%	3.9%
Afghanistan	Baharak	2017	13.4%	25.7%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Fayzabad	2017	14.0%	26.6%	6.5%
Afghanistan	Kushk	2017	17.1%	33.1%	6.2%
Afghanistan	Disho	2017	29.2%	39.2%	20.4%
Afghanistan	Kaldar	2017	26.4%	59.5%	7.0%
Afghanistan	Ghorband	2017	20.9%	48.2%	4.1%
Afghanistan	Dihrawud	2017	18.8%	41.7%	7.2%
Afghanistan	Lal Pur	2017	14.8%	49.7%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Balkh	2017	39.5%	56.9%	19.4%
Afghanistan	Omna	2017	37.4%	60.2%	17.7%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Du Koh Kalakan	2017	12.9%	26.0%	5.1%
Afghanistan	Hazrati Sultan	2017	13.9%	31.6%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Ali abad	2017	14.1%	31.4%	3.6%
Afghanistan	Chapa Dara	2017	12.4%	38.0%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Gul dara	2017	8.8%	29.1%	0.4%
Afghanistan	Ghazni	2017	4.6%	17.8%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Ziluk	2017	27.6%	61.9%	10.3%
Afghanistan	Farah City	2017	14.3%	31.3%	6.0%
Afghanistan	Hirat City	2017	2.1%	3.5%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Pusht Rod	2017	13.0%	29.6%	4.0%
Afghanistan	Gayan	2017	27.4%	51.6%	10.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Nawa	2017	19.4%	33.6%	7.7%
Afghanistan	Puli Khumri	2017	11.4%	40.2%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Baghlan City	2017	11.8%	32.1%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Nad Ali	2017	15.4%	26.3%	7.9%
Afghanistan	Sar Hawza	2017	13.7%	32.6%	2.7%
Afghanistan	Jaghatau	2017	9.1%	18.5%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Chamkani	2017	4.2%	16.4%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Khash Rod	2017	16.5%	26.0%	8.7%
Afghanistan	Shahri Buzurg	2017	11.5%	26.2%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Andkhoy	2017	9.2%	23.0%	2.2%
Afghanistan	Khogyani	2017	13.7%	44.8%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Bughran	2017	22.6%	35.8%	11.2%
Afghanistan	Lashkargah	2017	14.5%	35.2%	5.6%
Afghanistan	Mandol	2017	15.5%	31.6%	5.9%
Afghanistan	Dashti Archi	2017	9.7%	28.7%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Khas Uruzgan	2017	14.4%	27.1%	6.2%
Afghanistan	Nawa-i-Barak Zayi	2017	10.6%	27.5%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Nahri Sarraj	2017	12.4%	25.1%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Musa Qala	2017	18.9%	32.2%	6.4%
Afghanistan	Taywara	2017	22.3%	34.3%	11.6%
Afghanistan	Shah Wali Kot	2017	20.5%	39.3%	9.2%
Afghanistan	Nawur	2017	14.3%	23.6%	7.4%
Afghanistan	Lal Wa Sarjangan	2017	20.9%	32.9%	11.1%
Afghanistan	Shibirghan	2017	10.3%	22.7%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Zarghun	2017	20.6%	33.8%	11.7%
Afghanistan	Shahr Obe	2017	12.7%	24.8%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Hisarak	2017	13.2%	39.0%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Panjab	2017	19.2%	32.6%	8.5%
Afghanistan	Garmser	2017	19.5%	29.1%	12.8%
Afghanistan	Dawlat Shah	2017	13.8%	33.5%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Kahmard	2017	19.0%	33.3%	7.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Saghar	2017	23.4%	36.5%	11.0%
Afghanistan	Surkh Rod	2017	9.1%	16.6%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Alingar	2017	9.7%	29.9%	1.1%
Afghanistan	Muhmand Dara	2017	12.1%	39.5%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Gomal	2017	20.8%	32.2%	11.2%
Afghanistan	Doshi	2017	15.8%	35.8%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Gulistan	2017	18.7%	29.0%	10.6%
Afghanistan	Bamyan City	2017	14.2%	29.5%	5.6%
Afghanistan	Nahrin	2017	22.6%	45.4%	7.8%
Afghanistan	Purchaman	2017	21.2%	30.4%	13.4%
Afghanistan	Shortepa	2017	21.3%	43.9%	7.1%
Afghanistan	Kohistanat	2017	16.2%	26.5%	8.7%
Afghanistan	Nali	2017	11.3%	28.1%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Khwaja Ghar	2017	10.7%	25.0%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Kunduz	2017	24.5%	45.6%	8.5%
Afghanistan	Bagram	2017	1.2%	3.1%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Sangcharak	2017	8.1%	18.5%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Jadran	2017	16.6%	41.2%	3.3%
Afghanistan	Chah Ab	2017	9.3%	24.9%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Khakrez	2017	20.6%	40.4%	5.4%
Afghanistan	Khas Kunar	2017	32.5%	59.9%	10.7%
Afghanistan	Muhammad Agha	2017	11.8%	29.8%	2.0%
Afghanistan	Sayid Abad	2017	19.9%	42.9%	5.7%
Afghanistan	Maymana	2017	9.1%	32.8%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Chaparhar	2017	9.8%	24.7%	2.6%
Afghanistan	Shib Koh	2017	23.2%	43.4%	8.8%
Afghanistan	Shindand	2017	13.7%	33.1%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Shinkay	2017	17.9%	36.6%	4.9%
Afghanistan	Zaranj	2017	7.1%	34.1%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Bagrami	2017	1.7%	6.2%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Tarnak Wa Jaldak	2017	16.2%	36.4%	3.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Dangam	2017	35.5%	66.1%	10.6%
Afghanistan	Nadir Shah Kot	2017	8.2%	23.2%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Mihtarlam	2017	5.9%	19.3%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Warsaj	2017	11.1%	23.2%	3.7%
Afghanistan	Baghlani Jaidid	2017	16.0%	41.3%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Nuristan	2017	9.6%	21.0%	2.1%
Afghanistan	Zinda Jan	2017	19.9%	38.7%	7.7%
Afghanistan	Qadis	2017	13.4%	25.4%	5.0%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Afghanistan	Shakar Dara	2017	5.9%	21.6%	0.8%
Afghanistan	Nijrab	2017	7.5%	20.4%	1.6%
Afghanistan	Chawkay	2017	42.1%	58.0%	26.1%
Afghanistan	Chal	2017	13.7%	41.5%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Andarab	2017	22.3%	38.1%	10.4%
Afghanistan	Jalal abad	2017	4.2%	6.0%	2.9%
Afghanistan	Chakhansur	2017	18.8%	28.5%	12.1%
Afghanistan	Kohistan	2017	19.8%	37.4%	8.6%
Afghanistan	Muqur	2017	10.8%	27.6%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Lija Mangal	2017	5.9%	19.5%	0.6%
Afghanistan	Gardez	2017	7.0%	18.5%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Reg	2017	20.4%	30.9%	11.1%
Afghanistan	Shwak	2017	8.2%	28.3%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Jawand	2017	18.7%	26.2%	12.6%
Afghanistan	Bakwa	2017	30.7%	46.6%	19.8%
Afghanistan	Fayz abad	2017	11.7%	22.2%	4.6%
Afghanistan	Alasay	2017	10.7%	44.8%	0.3%
Afghanistan	Mingajik	2017	15.9%	33.3%	4.2%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Kah	2017	18.4%	28.8%	8.6%
Afghanistan	Khan Abad	2017	27.7%	50.5%	5.7%
Afghanistan	Surkhi Parsa	2017	8.8%	22.7%	1.4%
Afghanistan	Bargi Matal	2017	15.8%	30.7%	4.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Shinwari	2017	7.5%	25.5%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Musa Khel	2017	8.7%	28.6%	0.9%
Afghanistan	Day Kundi	2017	17.4%	25.5%	9.9%
Afghanistan	Lash Wa	2017	24.5%	34.7%	15.4%
Afghanistan	Juwayn	2017	9.0%	20.7%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Jaghuri	2017	9.0%	20.7%	2.5%
Afghanistan	Chak	2017	13.4%	29.1%	2.8%
Afghanistan	Chora	2017	17.6%	30.7%	6.6%
Afghanistan	Sarobi	2017	20.9%	45.5%	6.4%
Afghanistan	Qala-I-Zal	2017	15.5%	32.0%	4.7%
Afghanistan	Kuhsan	2017	13.5%	27.7%	4.8%
Afghanistan	Sayyad	2017	7.4%	18.1%	1.5%
Afghanistan	Injil	2017	20.3%	33.5%	9.5%
Afghanistan	Khamyab	2017	18.3%	44.2%	4.3%
Afghanistan	Mando Zayi	2017	2.2%	9.8%	0.5%
Afghanistan	Azro	2017	10.8%	28.5%	1.3%
Afghanistan	Marwara	2017	19.7%	42.1%	7.2%
Afghanistan	Tala Wa Bar-fak	2017	20.0%	33.9%	8.7%
Afghanistan	Qarabagh	2017	14.1%	29.2%	4.4%
Afghanistan	Pachir Wa	2017	16.1%	49.9%	1.0%
Afghanistan	Agam	2017	11.1%	28.8%	2.3%
Afghanistan	(Matun)	2017	11.1%	28.8%	2.3%
Afghanistan	Dihdadi	2017	10.9%	23.7%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Ab Kamari	2017	15.1%	34.4%	3.8%
Afghanistan	Gelan	2017	17.5%	39.6%	3.5%
Afghanistan	Dara-i-Pech	2017	16.4%	39.5%	3.0%
Afghanistan	Kuz Kunar	2017	12.8%	29.1%	3.9%
Afghanistan	Alishing	2017	15.7%	49.0%	2.4%
Afghanistan	Bar Kunar	2017	20.8%	38.3%	7.4%
Afghanistan	Dara-I-Nur	2017	25.9%	57.1%	6.5%
Afghanistan	Kandahar City	2017	19.8%	33.6%	10.9%
Afghanistan	Nazyan	2017	15.0%	65.9%	0.2%
Afghanistan	Bangi	2017	10.1%	24.4%	1.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Afghanistan	Spin Boldak	2017	20.4%	40.3%	8.4%
Afghanistan	Achin	2017	14.8%	47.0%	1.2%
Afghanistan	Urgun	2017	7.4%	19.2%	1.9%
Afghanistan	Aqcha	2017	9.9%	23.8%	3.1%
Afghanistan	Goshta	2017	18.2%	53.6%	1.7%
Afghanistan	Kuran Wa	2017	15.0%	30.4%	5.3%
Afghanistan	Munjan				
Afghanistan	Marmul	2017	51.0%	69.9%	35.1%
Algeria	Hammam Melouane	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Oultene	2000	6.7%	8.0%	5.4%
Algeria	Babar	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Charouine	2000	1.8%	3.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Bordj Okhriss	2000	3.9%	5.1%	3.0%
Algeria	Bouskene	2000	2.4%	3.1%	1.7%
Algeria	Ain El Kebira	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Ouzzelaguen	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Assela	2000	6.3%	8.3%	4.5%
Algeria	Souidania	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Tessala-El-Merdja	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Abalissa	2000	1.9%	3.4%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Tolba	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Frenda	2000	5.9%	7.1%	4.8%
Algeria	Oued Berkeche				
Algeria	Kouas	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Djendel	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Sidi Khelifa	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Algeria	Medjaz Amar	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Maafa	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.4%
Algeria	Ain Kihel	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Azzaba	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Bekkouche Lakhdar				
Algeria	Bousfer	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Mansourah	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Oued Essalem	2000	3.3%	4.0%	2.4%
Algeria	Hanchir Toumghani				
Algeria	Ramka	2000	3.2%	4.3%	2.4%
Algeria	Kerkera	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Hammadi	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Bir Haddada	2000	1.9%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Biskra	2000	1.7%	2.1%	1.3%
Algeria	Yahia Beniguecha	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Sidi Daoud	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Bouchekouf	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Maala	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Bouda	2000	1.8%	3.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Algeria	Maaouia	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Algeria	Ouled Daid	2000	2.4%	3.2%	1.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2000	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Charchar	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Boutaleb	2000	3.2%	4.1%	2.5%
Algeria	Ain Tarek	2000	3.0%	3.9%	2.3%
Algeria	Zorg	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Haddada	2000	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Algeria	Boudouaou El Bahri	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Merine	2000	3.8%	5.1%	2.7%
Algeria	Beni Chaib	2000	4.9%	6.0%	4.0%
Algeria	Cheraia	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Moulay Slissen	2000	2.1%	2.9%	1.4%
Algeria	Taourga	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	El Hadjar	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Souk Naamane	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Bou Hamdane	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Lazrou	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Mansoura	2000	3.3%	4.3%	2.5%
Algeria	Ait Toudert	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Ben M'Hidi	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Akfadou	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Tabia	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Hammam Soukhna	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	El Mezeraa	2000	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
Algeria	Dar Yaghouracene	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Sig	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Boughezoul	2000	4.6%	6.0%	3.6%
Algeria	M'Daourouche	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	Hassaine Beni Yahi	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Dirrah	2000	4.8%	6.2%	3.8%
Algeria	Souafia	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Draa El Mizan	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Beni Ouarsous	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	El Djazia	2000	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Had Echalla	2000	3.5%	4.4%	2.7%
Algeria	Larbaa-Nath-Irathen	2000	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	N'Gaous	2000	2.3%	3.1%	1.7%
Algeria	Smaoun	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Algeria	Djebala El Khemissi	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Boufatis	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Algeria	Boukais	2000	2.4%	3.9%	1.4%
Algeria	Ain Tindamine	2000	2.5%	3.6%	1.8%
Algeria	Chellal	2000	6.8%	8.2%	5.5%
Algeria	Hounet	2000	2.6%	3.4%	1.9%
Algeria	El Madher	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Maatkas	2000	1.4%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Sendjas	2000	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Algeria	Sidi Marouf	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Sidi Chahmi	2000	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bouati Mahmoud	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Ferraguig	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Tissemsilt	2000	6.0%	7.1%	5.2%
Algeria	Berriche	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Algeria	Taleb Larbi	2000	1.6%	2.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Beni Ikhlef	2000	1.8%	3.1%	0.8%
Algeria	Bir-El-Arch	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	El Guettana	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	El Asnam	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Mila	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Takhemaret	2000	4.9%	5.7%	3.9%
Algeria	Bordj Bou Arreridj	2000	3.1%	3.7%	2.5%
Algeria	Oued Sebaa	2000	2.9%	4.3%	2.0%
Algeria	Hattatba	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Souk Oufella	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Bakhti	2000	5.6%	6.7%	4.4%
Algeria	Bou Henni	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Ouled Ben Abdelkader	2000	2.5%	3.3%	1.9%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2000	2.4%	3.1%	1.7%
Algeria	Stidia	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Oum Touyour	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Benyahia Abderrahmane	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Algeria	Ouled Zaoui	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Boussif Ouled Askeur	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Okba	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain El Berd	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Tazgait	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Ben Freha	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Algeria	Bouhanifia	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Seriana	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Timezrit	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Hoceinia	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Guiga	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.6%
Algeria	Mezdour	2000	3.9%	5.1%	2.9%
Algeria	Guertoufa	2000	5.4%	6.1%	4.5%
Algeria	Aghni-Goughrane	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Birtouta	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Ghassoul	2000	6.1%	8.0%	4.7%
Algeria	Souagui	2000	2.8%	3.7%	2.0%
Algeria	Ouled Hedadj	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Oued Harbil	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Bouteldja	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Ain Rekada	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Ait Khelili	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Zaccar	2000	7.0%	8.5%	5.8%
Algeria	Hamadia	2000	5.9%	7.0%	5.0%
Algeria	Oued Zitoun	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Zitouna	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Oued El Abtal	2000	2.9%	3.9%	2.1%
Algeria	Tighenif	2000	2.2%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	Aoulef	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Kechra	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Mecheria	2000	6.2%	7.9%	4.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Illizi	2000	1.7%	3.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Mohammadia	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Kebira	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Beni Merad	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abdeldjebar	2000	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Algeria	Tamelaht	2000	5.0%	6.0%	4.1%
Algeria	Bir Chouhada	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Sidi Boubekour	2000	3.6%	4.4%	2.8%
Algeria	Beni Zmenzer	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Tit	2000	1.8%	2.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Ouled Sellem	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Beni Snous	2000	1.9%	2.7%	1.2%
Algeria	Ifigha	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Benaceur	2000	1.7%	2.5%	1.0%
Algeria	Meftaha	2000	3.3%	4.4%	2.3%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Oum El Assel	2000	1.8%	3.3%	0.9%
Algeria	Aghlal	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Kada	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Tesmart	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Ouled Bessem	2000	5.4%	6.5%	4.6%
Algeria	Ouled Khoudir	2000	1.9%	3.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain Chouhada	2000	7.0%	8.9%	5.3%
Algeria	Oued Rhiou	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Khezzara	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Algeria	Ait Bouadou	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Seddouk	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Oued Seguen	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Algeria	Zemmouri	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Draa-Kebila	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Boumedfaa	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Ouled Madhi	2000	6.6%	7.9%	5.4%
Algeria	Boulhilat	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Boualem	2000	6.9%	9.5%	4.9%
Algeria	Bousselam	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Ouarizane	2000	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Beni Bouat-tab	2000	3.0%	4.0%	2.4%
Algeria	Hasnaoua	2000	2.4%	3.1%	1.9%
Algeria	Menaceur	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Abou El Hassen	2000	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain Ben Beida	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Bouaiche	2000	5.6%	6.8%	4.3%
Algeria	Sidi Medjahed	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Algeria	Benyacoub	2000	7.1%	8.8%	5.4%
Algeria	El Malabiodh	2000	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Biya	2000	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain-Sebt	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Algeria	Sidi Boutouchent	2000	4.9%	5.9%	4.0%
Algeria	Lakhdaria	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Chetouane Belaila	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.2%
Algeria	Raml Souk	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Algeria	Sidi Boussaid	2000	2.6%	3.3%	2.0%
Algeria	El Hassi	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Lichana	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Boumegueur	2000	2.4%	3.2%	1.8%
Algeria	Assi Youcef	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Belkheir	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Tolga	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.7%
Algeria	Draa Smar	2000	2.0%	2.8%	1.5%
Algeria	Ouled Hellal	2000	3.0%	4.1%	2.1%
Algeria	Ouled Mimoun	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Akbil	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.2%
Algeria	Oued Chorfa	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Had Sahary	2000	6.9%	8.6%	5.5%
Algeria	Guidjel	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Bordj Menaiel	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Chouab	2000	3.3%	4.7%	2.4%
Algeria	Ouled Gacem	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Irdjen	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Imsouhal	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Bourouai Belhadeh	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Beni Mester	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Igli	2000	1.7%	3.3%	0.9%
Algeria	Ghessira	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.1%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Tircine	2000	6.1%	7.4%	4.9%
Algeria	El Bouni	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Bedjene	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Chrea	2000	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	El Ghedir	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Oued Fragha	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Safsaf	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Remchi	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Talkhamt	2000	1.9%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Djeniane	2000	5.3%	7.4%	3.7%
Algeria	Bourzeg				
Algeria	El Amiria	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Mellal	2000	4.2%	5.1%	3.3%
Algeria	Freha	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Hassi Bounif	2000	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Algeria	Staoueli	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Khams Djouamaa	2000	2.4%	3.1%	1.7%
Algeria	Benzouh	2000	7.2%	8.8%	5.8%
Algeria	Amernas	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain Zouit	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Bensekrane	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Misserghin	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.9%
Algeria	Khelil	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.5%
Algeria	Sefiane	2000	2.4%	3.3%	1.8%
Algeria	Gouraya	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Algeria	Boughar	2000	3.0%	4.1%	2.0%
Algeria	Collo	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Bin El Ouiden	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Honaine	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Algeria	Ben Allal	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Dar Ben Abdelah	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Hammam Boughrara	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Ain Adden	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2000	2.2%	3.0%	1.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Ouri-ache Tadmaya	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Bouchakroune	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.5%
Algeria	Theniet El Had	2000	4.8%	5.7%	3.9%
Algeria	Ben Srouf	2000	6.0%	7.6%	4.7%
Algeria	El Keurt	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.4%
Algeria	Saneg	2000	3.4%	4.6%	2.4%
Algeria	Medjaz Sfa	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Rouissat	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Tighanimine	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.0%
Algeria	El Ghrous	2000	2.2%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	Oumache	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Ksar El Sbihi	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Bou Ismail	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Echatt	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	El Eulma	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Sebdou	2000	1.9%	2.6%	1.2%
Algeria	Safsaf El Ouesra	2000	1.5%	2.4%	0.9%
Algeria	Ait R'Zine	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Haraoua	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Si El Mahd-joub	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Algeria	El Borma	2000	1.8%	2.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Bellaa	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Sidi Mezghiche	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Ouled Slimane	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.3%
Algeria	Hammam N'Bail	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Nezla	2000	2.0%	2.8%	1.4%
Algeria	Illilten	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Bou Zedjar	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Mihoub	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Treat	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Merahna	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	El Gor	2000	2.2%	3.2%	1.5%
Algeria	El Ouata	2000	1.8%	3.1%	0.8%
Algeria	Bir El Hammam	2000	4.0%	5.7%	2.9%
Algeria	Zerdeza	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Sidi Brahim	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Maabed	2000	7.1%	8.4%	6.0%
Algeria	Salah Bouchaour	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Khirane	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Abdellah	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Soumaa	2000	5.8%	7.0%	4.7%
Algeria	Mechraa Safa	2000	4.7%	5.7%	3.8%
Algeria	Douera	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%
Algeria	Ouled Maalah	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Ouled Said	2000	1.9%	3.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Naama	2000	6.2%	8.0%	4.5%
Algeria	Ouldja Boulbalout	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Aissaouia	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Ouled Si Slimane	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sebaine	2000	5.9%	6.9%	5.0%
Algeria	Bordj Badji Mokhtar	2000	1.1%	2.0%	0.6%
Algeria	Mechraa Houari Boumediene	2000	2.0%	3.5%	1.1%
Algeria	Timiaouine	2000	2.0%	4.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Idjeur	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Sebt	2000	4.7%	5.8%	3.7%
Algeria	Akabli	2000	1.7%	2.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Medrissa	2000	6.3%	7.7%	5.1%
Algeria	El Feidh	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.8%
Algeria	Bordj Bounaama	2000	4.8%	5.7%	3.9%
Algeria	M'Sila	2000	6.6%	7.7%	5.6%
Algeria	Seraidi	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Ibn Ziad	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Tadmit	2000	7.0%	8.5%	5.6%
Algeria	El Ançar	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Tianet	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.6%
Algeria	Slim	2000	6.9%	8.5%	5.6%
Algeria	Ouled Moussa	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Taher	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Ain Tedles	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Haizer	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Maarif	2000	6.7%	8.1%	5.3%
Algeria	Djillali Ben Ammar	2000	4.2%	5.2%	3.3%
Algeria	Hadj Mechri	2000	7.2%	9.7%	5.2%
Algeria	El Adjiba	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Algeria	El Ancer	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	El Kheither	2000	6.1%	7.9%	4.9%
Algeria	Ouled Driss	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Serdj-El-Ghoul	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.2%
Algeria	Tadjemout	2000	7.1%	8.8%	5.8%
Algeria	Tifra	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Hadjret En-nous	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Beni Oulbane	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Ouled Kihel	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	El Kouif	2000	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Ouezra	2000	2.1%	2.9%	1.5%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelmoumene	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Tamridjet	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Taghit	2000	1.9%	3.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Roua	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Tamza	2000	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Dhayet Bend-hahoua	2000	4.0%	5.2%	2.9%
Algeria	Fouka	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Layoune	2000	5.6%	6.8%	4.7%
Algeria	El Ouitaya	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.4%
Algeria	Khemis El Khechna	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Foum Toub	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Tipaza	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Algeria	Sigous	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Medea	2000	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	4.3%	5.2%	3.6%
Algeria	Froha	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.6%
Algeria	Ain Azel	2000	2.2%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	Sebseb	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.6%
Algeria	Ksar El Boukhari	2000	3.2%	4.3%	2.2%
Algeria	Ouled Si Ahmed	2000	2.4%	3.2%	1.8%
Algeria	Ksar Hirane	2000	6.9%	8.6%	5.5%
Algeria	Roubia	2000	3.0%	3.9%	2.1%
Algeria	Oued El Kheir	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Derrag	2000	4.0%	5.3%	3.1%
Algeria	El Mokrani El Madjen	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2000	6.4%	8.0%	5.0%
Algeria	Taghlimet	2000	2.5%	3.4%	1.8%
Algeria	El Milia	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Sali	2000	1.7%	2.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Hammam Bouhadjar	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Naciria	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Ourlal	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.5%
Algeria	Kheneg	2000	7.0%	8.8%	5.7%
Algeria	Oued Djemaa	2000	2.5%	3.3%	1.9%
Algeria	Metlili	2000	2.4%	3.2%	1.7%
Algeria	Boukhadra	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Bouhlou	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	El Abadia	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Tala Hamza	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	El Marsa	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2000	2.2%	3.0%	1.7%
Algeria	M'Chedallah	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Sidi Moussa	2000	1.4%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Djidiouia	2000	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Meftah	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Reguiba	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Douaouda	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Thleth Douair	2000	3.0%	4.1%	2.2%
Algeria	Djamora	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Elayadi Barbes	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Algeria	Oum El Bouaghi	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	El Harmilia	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Berhoum	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.6%
Algeria	Khadra	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Algeria	Djerma	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Trifaoui	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Sebgag	2000	7.4%	8.9%	5.7%
Algeria	Hamraia	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	El Bayadh	2000	6.0%	7.4%	4.7%
Algeria	Tidda	2000	4.6%	5.6%	3.7%
Algeria	Berrihane	2000	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Ouled Addi Guebala	2000	5.0%	6.0%	4.1%
Algeria	Khoubana	2000	6.4%	7.9%	5.0%
Algeria	Sfisef	2000	1.9%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Mihoub	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Kheir Oued Adjoul	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Benian	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Darguina	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Algeria	Deux Bassins	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	El Bordj	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Algeria	Tenira	2000	2.2%	3.0%	1.6%
Algeria	Bordj Ze-moura	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Khraicia	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Maghnia	2000	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Teniet El Abed	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Oued Chaaba	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Ouled Fadhel	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Tichy	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Drea	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Algeria	El Mechira	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Bou Hachana	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Tagourait	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Dellys	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Bounouh	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Megarine	2000	1.9%	2.7%	1.4%
Algeria	Isser	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Ahnif	2000	2.0%	2.8%	1.3%
Algeria	Iflissen	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Guerrouma	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Nedroma	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Azzefoun	2000	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Algeria	Amirat Arres	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Bouzina	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	El Kharrouba	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Temouchent	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Chehaima	2000	6.4%	7.7%	5.2%
Algeria	Khenchela	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Taibet	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Oued Gous-sine	2000	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Algeria	Chetouane	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	N'Goussa	2000	1.7%	2.5%	1.2%
Algeria	El Hadjadj	2000	2.6%	3.5%	2.0%
Algeria	Ain Nehala	2000	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Kalaa	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Lahmar	2000	2.5%	3.8%	1.5%
Algeria	Bou Saada	2000	7.1%	8.3%	6.1%
Algeria	Kasdir	2000	3.8%	5.3%	2.6%
Algeria	Moudjebara	2000	6.9%	8.2%	5.8%
Algeria	Larhat	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Algeria	Ben Chicao	2000	2.3%	3.1%	1.7%
Algeria	Ait Naoual Mezada	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Yakourene	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Ait Aissa Mimoun	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ameur	2000	7.3%	9.0%	5.9%
Algeria	Terraguelt	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Ahl El Ksar	2000	2.3%	3.2%	1.6%
Algeria	Berrahal	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Meridja	2000	2.3%	3.9%	1.2%
Algeria	Bouhmama	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Bouzeghaia	2000	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Beni-Tamou	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Ouinet	2000	3.8%	5.1%	2.7%
Algeria	Kef El Ahmar	2000	5.8%	7.7%	4.3%
Algeria	Belimour	2000	3.1%	3.9%	2.5%
Algeria	Frikat	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Hamri	2000	7.1%	8.6%	5.9%
Algeria	Mogheul	2000	2.8%	4.7%	1.7%
Algeria	M'Tarfa	2000	6.3%	7.4%	5.4%
Algeria	Bir El Djir	2000	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Algeria	Beni Mezline	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Terrai Bainnane	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Makhda	2000	3.6%	4.5%	2.8%
Algeria	Tagdemt	2000	5.5%	6.3%	4.7%
Algeria	Beni-Mellikeche	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Khatouti Sed Eldjir	2000	6.8%	8.4%	5.4%
Algeria	Mizrana	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Babor	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Boudjebaa El Bordj	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Bir Bouhouche	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Marsat El Hadjadj	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelli	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Ouled Fayet	2000	1.6%	1.9%	1.2%
Algeria	Bir El Ater	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
Algeria	Barika	2000	2.6%	3.3%	1.9%
Algeria	Redjem Demouche	2000	3.1%	4.6%	2.1%
Algeria	Zaouia El Abidia	2000	1.9%	2.6%	1.3%
Algeria	Moussadek	2000	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Zbarbar El Isseri	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Chabet El Aneur	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Guelma	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Ouled Tebben	2000	3.3%	4.1%	2.4%
Algeria	Khemissa	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Hadjera Zerga	2000	5.6%	7.0%	4.4%
Algeria	Guernini	2000	7.1%	8.7%	5.5%
Algeria	Dahmouni	2000	5.8%	6.8%	5.1%
Algeria	Ghardaia	2000	2.6%	3.4%	1.9%
Algeria	M'Naguer	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.3%
Algeria	Ain Naga	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Algeria	Oulhaca El Gheraba	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Algeria	Sidi Akkacha	2000	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%
Algeria	Sidi Yacoub	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Beni Ilmane	2000	5.3%	6.9%	3.8%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	El Hamadia	2000	3.5%	4.2%	2.8%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed Benaouda	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Algeria	Ait Oumalou	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Touila	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Hassi Khalifa	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Oued El Ma	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Tazrouk	2000	1.8%	3.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Benyoub	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.4%
Algeria	Dechmia	2000	2.7%	3.6%	2.1%
Algeria	Tafraout	2000	3.5%	4.7%	2.4%
Algeria	Brida	2000	7.5%	9.7%	5.4%
Algeria	El Assafia	2000	6.9%	8.3%	5.9%
Algeria	Damous	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.7%
Algeria	El Haouch	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Labioud Medjadja	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Oued Nini	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Djinet	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Zmalet El Emir Abdelkader	2000	7.0%	8.9%	5.4%
Algeria	El Beidha	2000	7.8%	10.4%	5.8%
Algeria	Ain Arnat	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Boukhenifis	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain El Orak	2000	6.1%	7.8%	4.5%
Algeria	Ouillen	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Tarik Ibn-Ziad	2000	3.5%	4.5%	2.7%
Algeria	Temacine	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Algeria	Oued El Djemmaa	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2000	7.0%	8.4%	5.7%
Algeria	Ramdane Djamel	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Kendiria	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Beni-Douala	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Ksour	2000	3.8%	4.6%	3.0%
Algeria	Lazharia	2000	4.0%	5.0%	3.2%
Algeria	Tilatou	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Ain Yagout	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Breira	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Algeria	Hammamet	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Ouled Antar	2000	3.0%	4.0%	2.2%
Algeria	Tadjenanet	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Nador	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain Bouziane	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Beni Bechir	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	El Hamma	2000	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Morsot	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Oued Taria	2000	3.1%	3.8%	2.3%
Algeria	Sabra	2000	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Touta	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Algeria	El Haouaita	2000	7.2%	9.6%	5.0%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Bous-sidi	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Sidi Embarek	2000	2.4%	3.0%	1.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ladjel	2000	6.7%	8.5%	5.2%
Algeria	Tamantit	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Houari Boumediene	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Algeria	Ain El Arbaa	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Tafreg	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Oued El Barad	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Seggana	2000	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Algeria	Oued Tlelat	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Aoun	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	El Attaf	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Tafraoui	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Tinedbar	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Algeria	Beni Abbes	2000	1.7%	3.4%	0.9%
Algeria	Djebabra	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Bordj Omar Driss	2000	1.7%	3.2%	0.7%
Algeria	Charef	2000	7.4%	9.0%	5.9%
Algeria	Bordj Emir Khaled	2000	2.3%	3.1%	1.6%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelaziz	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Chelia	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Sidi Kada	2000	2.6%	3.3%	2.0%
Algeria	Kouinine	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Tamtert	2000	1.8%	2.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Chebaita Mokhtar	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Doui Thabet	2000	4.8%	5.8%	3.9%
Algeria	Ain Boudinar	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Boumediene	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Oued M'Zi	2000	7.3%	9.1%	5.8%
Algeria	Besbes	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Yebka	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	El Eulma	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain Ferah	2000	3.7%	4.7%	2.8%
Algeria	El Meridj	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Algeria	Ain Safra	2000	6.1%	7.7%	4.8%
Algeria	Maamora	2000	6.0%	7.6%	4.8%
Algeria	Tamokra	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Taghzout	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	El Meniaa	2000	2.1%	3.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Ain Zarit	2000	6.1%	7.2%	5.0%
Algeria	Ghazaouet	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Boudjima	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Zekri	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Sougueur	2000	6.2%	7.3%	5.3%
Algeria	Sidi Bel Abbes	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Bourkika	2000	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Beni Mileuk	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Laghouat	2000	7.1%	8.4%	6.0%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	4.8%	6.0%	4.0%
Algeria	Tinerkouk	2000	2.1%	3.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain Madhi	2000	7.2%	9.7%	5.2%
Algeria	Ain Nouissy	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Said	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Hassi El Ghella	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Megheraoua	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Les Eucalyptus	2000	1.4%	1.7%	1.1%
Algeria	Ras El Oued	2000	2.8%	3.5%	2.1%
Algeria	Oued Sebbah	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain Tallout	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Melbou	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	Ahmed Rachedi	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Sehailia	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Algeria	Timizart	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	El Houidjbet	2000	1.5%	2.3%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Bouchekif	2000	5.8%	6.7%	5.0%
Algeria	Boucherahil	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Beni Yenni	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Baghai	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Colla	2000	2.2%	2.8%	1.5%
Algeria	Merouana	2000	1.9%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Hamadi Krouma	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	Ouyoun El As-safir	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Ouenza	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Melaab	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.3%
Algeria	Hamri	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Youb	2000	3.4%	4.5%	2.5%
Algeria	Magra	2000	4.3%	5.3%	3.5%
Algeria	Zeralda	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Foggaret Az-zouia	2000	1.4%	2.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Beni Bahdel	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.0%
Algeria	Ammi Moussa	2000	2.7%	3.6%	2.0%
Algeria	Stah Guentis	2000	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
Algeria	Tlemcen	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Ouled Bouachra	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	Tebesbest	2000	1.9%	2.7%	1.4%
Algeria	Djebilet Rosfa	2000	6.2%	7.8%	5.0%
Algeria	Oran	2000	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Algeria	El Menaouer	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Algeria	El Guelb El Kebir	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	El Oumaria	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Algeria	Beni-Mouhli	2000	1.4%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Sidi Lazreg	2000	2.7%	3.4%	2.0%
Algeria	Sebbaa Chioukh	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Ben Choud	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Ain Khadra	2000	4.8%	6.1%	3.7%
Algeria	Fellaoucene	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Hassani Abdelkrim	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjel	2000	7.0%	9.2%	5.1%
Algeria	Ain Fezza	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Chemora	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Berrouaghia	2000	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Algeria	Cheraga	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Touggourt	2000	2.1%	2.9%	1.5%
Algeria	Draa-Ben-Khedda	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Beni K'Sila	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.5%
Algeria	Meghila	2000	5.0%	6.0%	4.1%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2000	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Tenes	2000	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%
Algeria	Bir Ould Khe-lifa	2000	1.9%	2.7%	1.3%
Algeria	Oued Fodda	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Bou Caid	2000	4.4%	5.4%	3.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Rouina	2000	1.9%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Zighoud Youcef	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Algeria	Bordj Sebbat	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Ait-Chaffaa	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Bouinan	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	El Oued	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Chaiba	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Hassi El Euch	2000	6.8%	8.5%	5.6%
Algeria	Rogassa	2000	5.9%	7.5%	4.4%
Algeria	Telaa	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Zemmoura	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Bazer-Sakra	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Ourmes	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Bab El Assa	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Algeria	El Matmor	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.6%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2000	1.8%	2.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Bordj Tahar	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Chir	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Ferdjioua	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Algeria	Bouhadjar	2000	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Oum Laadham	2000	3.5%	4.9%	2.4%
Algeria	M'Cif	2000	5.2%	6.8%	3.8%
Algeria	Oudjana	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Khemis Miliana	2000	1.9%	2.6%	1.3%
Algeria	Ouled Yahia Khadrouche	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	El Mehara	2000	6.0%	7.5%	4.6%
Algeria	Roknia	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Tiout	2000	6.2%	7.9%	4.7%
Algeria	Kheng Maoun	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Aomar	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	El Braya	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Algeria	Taouala	2000	7.3%	9.7%	5.1%
Algeria	El Messaid	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Bordj Ben Az-zouz	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.6%
Algeria	Ouled Abbes	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Yellel	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Erraguene	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Ain Semara	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Algeria	Guenzet Tassameurt	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Djema Beni Habibi	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Zeghaia	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Timoudi	2000	1.8%	3.2%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Merouane	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Derradji Bous-selah	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Beni Smiel	2000	1.9%	2.6%	1.3%
Algeria	Sidi Bouzid	2000	7.5%	9.4%	6.0%
Algeria	Sidi Khelil	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Ouled Maaraf	2000	4.4%	6.1%	3.3%
Algeria	Ain Thrid	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Mansoura	2000	2.0%	3.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Djar Kasentina	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%
Algeria	Ghilassa	2000	3.7%	4.7%	3.0%
Algeria	El M'Hir	2000	3.5%	4.5%	2.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ksar Kaddour	2000	2.1%	3.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Ras Ain Amirouche	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain Frass	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Algeria	Ksabi	2000	1.8%	3.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Tacheta Zegagha	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2000	5.5%	6.5%	4.7%
Algeria	Ait Laaziz	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Si Abdelghani	2000	6.1%	7.3%	5.2%
Algeria	Tamezguida	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	M'Liliha	2000	6.9%	8.5%	5.7%
Algeria	Ouled Riyah	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Algeria	Bougara	2000	5.8%	7.0%	4.9%
Algeria	Djelfa	2000	7.5%	8.6%	6.4%
Algeria	El Ghicha	2000	7.6%	9.4%	5.9%
Algeria	Talmine	2000	1.8%	3.4%	0.9%
Algeria	Chellala	2000	6.3%	8.2%	4.6%
Algeria	Zeribet El Oued	2000	1.3%	2.1%	0.7%
Algeria	Boukram	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Abid	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2000	7.3%	9.9%	5.2%
Algeria	Ouacif	2000	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Es Senia	2000	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%
Algeria	Cheraga	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Tachouda	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Medroussa	2000	5.8%	6.8%	4.9%
Algeria	Foughala	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	Dar Chioukh	2000	7.0%	8.4%	5.7%
Algeria	Bitam	2000	2.5%	3.2%	1.9%
Algeria	Tizi	2000	1.9%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Chlef	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Sidi Saada	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	El Gaada	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	El Hassania	2000	4.0%	5.1%	3.1%
Algeria	Taoudmout	2000	5.0%	6.7%	3.7%
Algeria	Mezghrane	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Sour El Ghouzlane	2000	2.9%	3.8%	2.2%
Algeria	Sidi Djilali	2000	2.3%	3.4%	1.5%
Algeria	Amieur	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Medjana	2000	2.7%	3.4%	2.1%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2000	5.5%	6.6%	4.3%
Algeria	Tadjena	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Gdyel	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.9%
Algeria	Kheiredine	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Bethioua	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Gharrous	2000	4.7%	5.9%	3.6%
Algeria	Magtaa Douz	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Sed Rahal	2000	6.7%	8.3%	5.2%
Algeria	Chaabet El Ham	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Ain Ouksir	2000	5.0%	6.7%	3.8%
Algeria	Hassi Delaa	2000	5.8%	7.5%	4.3%
Algeria	Ain Fettah	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Yabous	2000	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Boukhlifa	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Algeria	Tizi Mahdi	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Algeria	Tizi N'Bechar	2000	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Oued Zenati	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	El Aioun	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Ouedja	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Tizi N'Tleta	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Safel El Ouiden	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Mohamed Boudiaf	2000	6.0%	7.8%	4.5%
Algeria	Terny Beni Hediel	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Sidi Lahcene	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Boumia	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Bebouche	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Guorriguer	2000	1.5%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Mascara	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.6%
Algeria	Boghni	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Akerrou	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Algeria	Sidi Lantri	2000	4.9%	6.1%	4.1%
Algeria	Aghbalou	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Hadjout	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Algeria	Maacem	2000	5.4%	6.7%	4.4%
Algeria	Chahbounia	2000	5.7%	7.1%	4.3%
Algeria	Oued El Al-enda	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Beni Khellad	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.6%
Algeria	Ben Azzouz	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Ain-Legradj	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Sidi Hadjeres	2000	6.7%	8.5%	5.1%
Algeria	Chefia	2000	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Sedrata	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Taxlent	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Kherrata	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Tadjrouna	2000	7.1%	9.8%	4.9%
Algeria	Ain Tine	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Sidi Zahar	2000	3.0%	3.9%	2.1%
Algeria	El Maine	2000	2.8%	3.6%	2.0%
Algeria	Emjez Ed-chich	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Boussemgoun	2000	6.4%	8.4%	4.5%
Algeria	Tilmouni	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	El Hachem	2000	2.8%	3.5%	2.1%
Algeria	Dehahna	2000	4.3%	5.5%	3.4%
Algeria	Ait-Tizi	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	El Rahia	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Tousnina	2000	6.3%	7.5%	5.3%
Algeria	Messelmoun	2000	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Algeria	Tablat	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Skikda	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	El Malah	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Sayada	2000	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Oum El Djellil	2000	3.3%	4.3%	2.3%
Algeria	Saida	2000	5.8%	6.7%	4.9%
Algeria	Teniet En Nasr	2000	2.4%	3.1%	1.7%
Algeria	Hammam Dalaa	2000	5.4%	6.6%	4.2%
Algeria	Harchoune	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	El Fehoul	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Selma Benzi-ada	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Algeria	Makouda	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Amizour	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Algeria	Nechemaya	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Haraza	2000	3.4%	4.5%	2.4%
Algeria	Ben Djerrah	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Hammam Debagh	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Ouled Rahmoune	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Algeria	Nekmaria	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Abi Youcef	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	El Ouricia	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ghiles	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Algeria	Tadmait	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	El Hadjira	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.1%
Algeria	Ridane	2000	3.2%	4.1%	2.2%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Beni Saf	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	El Dhaala	2000	1.5%	2.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Fkirina	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Ait Yahia Moussa	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Abessa	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Ouled Djellal	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.7%
Algeria	Ahmer El Ain	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Beni Ounif	2000	3.7%	5.5%	2.4%
Algeria	Ouled Rechache	2000	1.4%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain El Berda	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Zelfana	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Algeria	El Kentara	2000	1.9%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Oued Djer	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	El Karimia	2000	2.2%	3.0%	1.7%
Algeria	Ain Romana	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Sidi Aissa	2000	5.9%	7.5%	4.6%
Algeria	Bechloul	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.2%
Algeria	Oued Sefioune	2000	2.4%	3.3%	1.7%
Algeria	Chouaiba Ouled Rahma	2000	3.0%	3.9%	2.3%
Algeria	Larbatache	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Thelidjene	2000	1.7%	2.5%	1.1%
Algeria	Tigzirt	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Terga	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Deldoul	2000	1.7%	2.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Sidi Dahou Zair	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Serghine	2000	6.8%	8.2%	5.4%
Algeria	Belala	2000	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Ouled Brahem	2000	2.8%	3.6%	2.2%
Algeria	Hadjadj	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Abadla	2000	1.9%	3.0%	1.1%
Algeria	El Guettar	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Djema Ouled Cheikh	2000	2.7%	3.6%	2.1%
Algeria	Bordj El Emir Abdelkader	2000	4.6%	5.7%	3.6%
Algeria	Baata	2000	2.2%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	Herenfa	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Djebel Messaad	2000	6.9%	8.3%	5.4%
Algeria	Sidi Belattar	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Ghriss	2000	2.4%	3.1%	1.8%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2000	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain-El-Hammam	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Aouana	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Illoula	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
	Oumalou				
Algeria	Bouandas	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Tin Zaouatine	2000	1.5%	3.1%	0.6%
Algeria	In Zghmir	2000	1.8%	2.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Feraoun	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Hosni	2000	5.5%	6.5%	4.6%
Algeria	Khemisti	2000	5.5%	6.5%	4.6%
Algeria	El Biod	2000	5.9%	7.1%	4.9%
Algeria	Taougrit	2000	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Larbi	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	Achaacha	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Algeria	Ain-Bessem	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Sidi Baizid	2000	6.8%	8.1%	5.5%
Algeria	Faidja	2000	6.9%	8.7%	5.4%
Algeria	Bougaa	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Hammam	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.1%
	Guergour				
Algeria	Bouderbala	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	El M'Ghair	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Oued El Berdi	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Algeria	Zerouala	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Ouadhia	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Gueltat Sidi Saad	2000	7.4%	9.3%	5.6%
	Tirmitine				
Algeria	Tirmitine	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Guerdjoum	2000	2.6%	3.3%	1.9%
Algeria	Ouled Ammar	2000	3.5%	4.4%	2.6%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Hammam	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
	Righa				
Algeria	Beni Oussine	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	El Guerrarra	2000	2.2%	3.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Benairia	2000	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Sehala	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
	Thaoura				
Algeria	Ouled Hamla	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Kanoua	2000	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Tiffech	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	Chettia	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	El Main	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2000	2.6%	3.5%	1.9%
Algeria	Aougrouit	2000	1.8%	3.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Rabta	2000	3.7%	4.6%	2.9%
Algeria	Hamma	2000	2.8%	3.6%	2.1%
Algeria	Tamalous	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Ouaguenoun	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Adda	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	M'Doukal	2000	3.1%	4.1%	2.2%
Algeria	Bounoura	2000	2.6%	3.4%	1.9%
Algeria	Merad	2000	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Chebli	2000	1.4%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Lemsane	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Souarekh	2000	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Algeria	Tabelbala	2000	1.7%	3.2%	0.8%
Algeria	Hassi R'Mel	2000	5.4%	7.0%	4.3%
Algeria	Aghrib	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Machroha	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Tamsa	2000	7.1%	8.4%	5.7%
Algeria	Guellal	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Chetaibi	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Aouf	2000	1.9%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2000	2.3%	3.1%	1.7%
Algeria	M'Rara	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.3%
Algeria	Ain Youcef	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Ain Merrane	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Beni Haoua	2000	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%
Algeria	Chrea	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.3%
Algeria	Mahelma	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Lardjem	2000	4.6%	5.7%	3.9%
Algeria	Sidi Semiane	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Algeria	Asfour	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Rehbat	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Ait-Yahia	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Sobha	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Zana	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Algeria	Chiffa	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Ouled Derradj	2000	5.5%	6.5%	4.5%
Algeria	Chechar	2000	1.5%	2.5%	0.9%
Algeria	Teleghma	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Krakda	2000	5.9%	7.6%	4.4%
Algeria	Magrane	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain El Fakroun	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Ouled Fares	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Beida Harriche	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Algeria	Sirat	2000	1.3%	1.6%	1.0%
Algeria	El Matmar	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Chentouf	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Ain Errich	2000	6.0%	8.0%	4.3%
Algeria	Aoubellil	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	El Harrouch	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Akbou	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Draa El Caid	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Guemar	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Souani	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.0%
Algeria	Lioua	2000	1.9%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Bechar	2000	2.3%	3.3%	1.5%
Algeria	Sidi Amrane	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Beni Zentis	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Zeboudja	2000	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	El Mamounia	2000	1.9%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Meskiana	2000	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Draria	2000	1.6%	1.9%	1.2%
Algeria	Bir Dheb	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Fenoughil	2000	1.8%	2.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Ouled Attia	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Zenata	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Hennaya	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Bouzeguene	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Bhir El Chergui	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Leghata	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Bayadha	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	M'Toussa	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Faidh El Botma	2000	6.4%	8.6%	4.6%
Algeria	Beni Dejlil	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Taurit Ighil	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain-Zaouia	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Ras Mi- aad Ouled Sassi	2000	3.8%	5.2%	2.7%
Algeria	Barbouche	2000	2.2%	3.1%	1.6%
Algeria	El H'Madna	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	T Kout	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.0%
Algeria	Thenia	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Tamest	2000	1.8%	3.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Tassadane Haddada	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Zitouna	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Ouled Boudje- maa	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Djezzar	2000	3.1%	3.9%	2.4%
Algeria	Oued Athme- nia	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Algeria	Beni Foudala El Hakania	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Selaoua Announa	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Nadorah	2000	6.1%	7.4%	5.1%
Algeria	Beni Slimane	2000	2.1%	2.9%	1.5%
Algeria	Chemini	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Chorfa	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Marsa Ben M'Hidi	2000	1.4%	2.3%	0.7%
Algeria	Chihani	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Ras El Agba	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Djendel Saadi Mohamed	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Bir Ghablou	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Algeria	Benkhelil	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Ouled Moumen	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.3%
Algeria	Aflou	2000	7.8%	9.4%	6.2%
Algeria	El Oglia	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	El Ach	2000	4.8%	5.8%	3.9%
Algeria	Ouanougha	2000	5.3%	6.6%	3.9%
Algeria	Besbes	2000	2.9%	3.8%	2.1%
Algeria	Ksar Chellala	2000	6.5%	8.0%	5.1%
Algeria	Tsabit	2000	1.8%	2.9%	1.0%
Algeria	El Atteuf	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Algeria	Bouzegza Ked- dara	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Cheguig	2000	6.3%	8.1%	4.5%
Algeria	Hassi Zehana	2000	1.5%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Souk El Khemis	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Iferhounene	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Setif	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.5%
Algeria	El Khemis	2000	6.9%	8.3%	5.6%
Algeria	Rechaiga	2000	6.1%	7.3%	5.2%
Algeria	Mechtrass	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Mezloug	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Djellal	2000	1.4%	2.3%	0.8%
Algeria	Oued El Alleug	2000	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Sidi Ameur	2000	6.5%	8.5%	5.0%
Algeria	Oum Drou	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Oum Toub	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Mers El Kebir	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bourached	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Algeria	Timezrit	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Blida	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Tiaret	2000	6.0%	6.7%	5.2%
Algeria	Azziz	2000	4.0%	5.2%	3.0%
Algeria	El Hakimia	2000	3.2%	4.3%	2.4%
Algeria	Bougtoub	2000	6.4%	8.1%	4.9%
Algeria	Grarem	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
	Gouga				
Algeria	Sidi Fredj	2000	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Algeria	Ksar Bellezma	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Robbah	2000	1.9%	2.6%	1.3%
Algeria	Tousmouline	2000	6.2%	7.9%	4.6%
Algeria	Saharidj	2000	1.9%	2.6%	1.2%
Algeria	Oued Ghir	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	Lac Des	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
	Oiseaux				
Algeria	Ouled Chebel	2000	1.3%	1.6%	1.0%
Algeria	In Amenas	2000	1.9%	4.0%	0.8%
Algeria	Bordj Ghdir	2000	3.6%	4.5%	2.9%
Algeria	Ouled Rached	2000	2.9%	4.1%	2.1%
Algeria	Bouira	2000	7.0%	8.7%	5.7%
	Lahdab				
Algeria	El Kaf	2000	3.7%	4.9%	2.6%
	Lakhdar				
Algeria	Msirda	2000	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%
	Fouaga				
Algeria	Cherchel	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Didouche	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
	Mourad				
Algeria	Timgad	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain El Assel	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Algeria	Douar El Ma	2000	1.6%	2.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Tamekten	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.1%
Algeria	Douis	2000	7.1%	8.8%	5.6%
Algeria	Bouzareah	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Tazoult	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain El Diss	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Ben	2000	5.9%	8.2%	4.1%
	Khelil				
Algeria	Djouab	2000	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%
Algeria	Ath Mansour	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.2%
	Taurirt				
Algeria	Rouached	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Sidi	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
	Hamadouche				
Algeria	Rosfa	2000	2.7%	3.4%	2.0%
Algeria	Berriane	2000	3.8%	5.0%	3.0%
Algeria	Zouabi	2000	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Rahouia	2000	4.4%	5.4%	3.5%
Algeria	Sidi Makhlouf	2000	7.0%	8.7%	5.8%
Algeria	Yatafene	2000	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Nesmoth	2000	3.6%	4.5%	2.8%
Algeria	El Khroub	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Cheniguel	2000	4.2%	5.5%	3.0%
Algeria	Zanet El	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
	Beida				
Algeria	Tindouf	2000	2.0%	3.6%	1.0%
Algeria	Merdja Sidi	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
	Abed				
Algeria	Drean	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Oued Zhour	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ouled Ad-douane	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Mellakou	2000	5.8%	6.6%	4.9%
Algeria	Inoughissen	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Zerizer	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Sour	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain Rahma	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Sidi Damed	2000	4.4%	5.8%	3.2%
Algeria	Salah Bey	2000	2.3%	2.9%	1.7%
Algeria	Tizi-Ghenif	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Guelta Zerka	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Settara	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Tizi-N'Berber	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Negrine	2000	1.6%	2.6%	0.8%
Algeria	In Salah	2000	1.8%	2.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Boukadir	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Beni Lahcene	2000	5.1%	6.3%	4.2%
Algeria	Bordj El Haouasse	2000	2.3%	4.5%	1.1%
Algeria	Tixter	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.7%
Algeria	Still	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Ghoraba	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Djelida	2000	2.0%	2.8%	1.4%
Algeria	Moghrar	2000	5.8%	7.5%	4.5%
Algeria	Ouled Mansour	2000	6.5%	7.9%	5.4%
Algeria	Reggane	2000	1.5%	2.4%	0.9%
Algeria	Amourah	2000	5.7%	7.7%	4.3%
Algeria	Benaicha Chelia	2000	2.2%	3.0%	1.5%
Algeria	El Ghomri	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Zaarouria	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Souk Tleta	2000	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Algeria	Zelmata	2000	3.7%	4.6%	2.8%
Algeria	Mahdia	2000	6.0%	7.2%	5.0%
Algeria	Ighil-Ali	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Sidi Errabia	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Algeria	Arbaouat	2000	6.2%	7.8%	4.6%
Algeria	Afir	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	El-Affroun	2000	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Bir Kasdali	2000	2.1%	2.7%	1.6%
Algeria	Baghlia	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Chellalet Ladhaura	2000	4.1%	5.5%	2.8%
Algeria	Ammari	2000	5.5%	6.7%	4.5%
Algeria	El Allia	2000	1.9%	2.7%	1.2%
Algeria	Boumahra Ahmed	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Ensigna	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Ali	2000	7.1%	9.7%	5.1%
Algeria	El Hachimia	2000	2.4%	3.2%	1.8%
Algeria	Ain Defla	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Sedjerara	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Fesdis	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Hassi Mef-soukh	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Ouargla	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Tiberkanine	2000	2.0%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2000	5.8%	7.7%	4.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abed	2000	5.4%	6.6%	4.5%
Algeria	Belaassel	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
	Bouzagza				

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed Benali	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Lahlef	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	El Kala	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Algeria	Erg Ferradj	2000	2.0%	3.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Azails	2000	1.7%	2.5%	1.1%
Algeria	Corso	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Idles	2000	2.1%	3.6%	1.0%
Algeria	Ifelain Ilmathen	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Belaiba	2000	3.7%	4.6%	3.0%
Algeria	Hanench	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	Mezaourou	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.7%
Algeria	Zaouiet Kounta	2000	1.8%	3.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Lahdjar	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Jijel	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Aokas	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.5%
Algeria	Kerzaz	2000	1.8%	3.2%	0.8%
Algeria	Djebahia	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	M'Sara	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Algeria	Boufarik	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Sfissifa	2000	5.8%	7.7%	3.9%
Algeria	El Hamdania	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Moulay Larbi	2000	5.3%	6.7%	4.2%
Algeria	Djanet	2000	2.1%	3.9%	0.9%
Algeria	M'Cid	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Algeria	Oued Taga	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Ouled Khaled	2000	5.5%	6.4%	4.6%
Algeria	Debila	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Belarbi	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Ain Fekka	2000	6.9%	8.8%	5.3%
Algeria	Seghouane	2000	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj Boughrara Saoudi	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Beni-Zikki	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Zarzour	2000	4.6%	5.8%	3.4%
Algeria	Chellata	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	El Youssoufia	2000	4.2%	5.1%	3.3%
Algeria	Hassasna	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Bouihi	2000	2.5%	3.8%	1.6%
Algeria	Benabdelmalek Ramdane	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Taghzout	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Ferkane	2000	1.5%	2.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Mesra	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Ragouba	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Algeria	Ain Sekhouana	2000	6.1%	8.1%	4.5%
Algeria	Oued Lilli	2000	5.3%	6.0%	4.5%
Algeria	Iboudraren	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Chahna	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Soumaa	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Harbil	2000	1.9%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Arris	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Djaafra	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Tidjelabine	2000	1.3%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Bouaarfa	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Sidi Safi	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Bougara	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Tassala	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Boumerdes	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Taoura	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Algeria	Sidi Khouiled	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Tala-Ifacene	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Boudjellil	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Ziama Mansouria	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Algeria	Menaa	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.3%
Algeria	Kouba	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%
Algeria	Fornaka	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	El Hassasna	2000	5.9%	6.9%	4.9%
Algeria	Ait Ag-gouacha	2000	1.5%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	El Anseur	2000	3.2%	3.8%	2.6%
Algeria	Gherouaou	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Oued Chouly	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Filfila	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Tiberguent	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Tenedla	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Ogla Melha	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Saoula	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%
Algeria	Constantine	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Algeria	Bekkaria	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.0%
Algeria	Ammal	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	In M'Guel	2000	1.8%	3.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Souhan	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Tesra	2000	2.4%	3.0%	1.9%
Algeria	Metarfa	2000	1.8%	3.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Ait Boumehdi	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain Sandel	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Baraki	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Bouira	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Hassi Gara	2000	2.0%	3.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Maamora	2000	3.8%	5.0%	2.8%
Algeria	Baladiet Amor	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.1%
Algeria	Mansourah	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Oued El Aneb	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Nakhla	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Algeria	El Amra	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Mediouna	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Boucif	2000	3.9%	5.1%	2.9%
Algeria	Berbacha	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Bougous	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Kais	2000	1.7%	2.5%	1.2%
Algeria	Boudouaou	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Oued Kebrit	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Dahouara	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Mustafa Ben Brahim	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Telassa	2000	1.2%	1.9%	0.7%
Algeria	Oued Mora	2000	7.4%	9.3%	5.6%
Algeria	Arib	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Stitten	2000	6.3%	8.2%	4.9%
Algeria	Bathia	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.5%
Algeria	Ain Zerga	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Azil Abdelkader (Metkouak)	2000	4.1%	5.2%	3.2%
Algeria	Ain Tork	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Tazmalt	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Sidi Okba	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Hamala	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Tafissour	2000	4.3%	5.8%	3.2%
Algeria	Souahlia	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Algeria	El Kennar	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
	Nouchfi				
Algeria	El Hassi	2000	2.7%	3.5%	2.0%
Algeria	Ain Laloui	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Taskriout	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Hassi Bahbah	2000	7.1%	8.5%	5.9%
Algeria	Maouaklane	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain Makhlouf	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Maoussa	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.5%
Algeria	Beni Hamidane	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Belaas	2000	3.6%	4.7%	2.8%
Algeria	Toudja	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.5%
Algeria	Benhar	2000	6.6%	7.8%	5.6%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2000	5.4%	6.4%	4.5%
Algeria	Boudjeriou	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
	Messaoud				
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Mih Ouansa	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Algeria	Ouled Slama	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Larbaa	2000	4.2%	5.3%	3.2%
Algeria	Bejaia	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%
Algeria	Beni Rached	2000	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Deldoul	2000	6.7%	7.7%	5.7%
Algeria	M'Kira	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Tamzoura	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Tebessa	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	El Khabouzia	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Ouled Khelouf	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	El Houamed	2000	6.3%	7.4%	5.2%
Algeria	Rouiba	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Sidi Khettab	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	El Oglia	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Algeria	Mechouneche	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Mazouna	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Beni Boussaid	2000	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Algeria	Oued	2000	3.9%	5.0%	2.9%
	Taurira				
Algeria	Zoubiria	2000	2.4%	3.2%	1.8%
Algeria	Tizi Ouzou	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Hammam Ben Salah	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	El Marsa	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	Kimmel	2000	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Bendaoud	2000	4.1%	5.3%	3.0%
Algeria	Mekhadma	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Zeddine	2000	2.2%	3.0%	1.7%
Algeria	Azzizia	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	El Bnouid	2000	5.6%	7.5%	3.8%
Algeria	Amalou	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	M_Ziraa	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Bouguirat	2000	1.3%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	El Achir	2000	3.2%	4.0%	2.5%
Algeria	Medjebar	2000	2.6%	3.6%	1.8%
Algeria	Ain Lechiakh	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Hassi Dahou	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Taglait	2000	3.9%	5.0%	3.1%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2000	1.1%	1.9%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ksar El Abtal	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Algeria	Telagh	2000	2.9%	4.0%	2.2%
Algeria	Ouled Saber	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.3%
Algeria	Mekla	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Ouamri	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Bouaichoune	2000	2.0%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Brezina	2000	6.1%	8.4%	4.4%
Algeria	Mekhatria	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Texenna	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Sidi Ziane	2000	3.1%	4.1%	2.2%
Algeria	Merhoum	2000	5.1%	6.9%	3.8%
Algeria	Ain Zitoun	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Bouchetata	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Tessala Lam-tai	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Djebala	2000	1.4%	1.9%	0.8%
Algeria	Bordj El Kif-fan	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	El Kseur	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	Ben Guecha	2000	1.5%	2.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Tigharghar	2000	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Oum El Ad-haim	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Makman Ben Amer	2000	5.1%	6.7%	3.7%
Algeria	Dhaya	2000	2.8%	3.9%	2.0%
Algeria	Annaba	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	Sebaa	2000	1.8%	2.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Tizi-Rached	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Bir Foda	2000	6.8%	8.5%	5.3%
Algeria	Beni Dergoun	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Souk Ahras	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	Ait-Smail	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Mostaganem	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Selmana	2000	6.1%	7.6%	4.9%
Algeria	Boudria	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	Beniyadjis	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Zahana	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Oulmane	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.7%
Algeria	Taguedit	2000	4.8%	6.3%	3.7%
Algeria	Helliopolis	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Chekfa	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	El Guedid	2000	7.5%	9.1%	6.0%
Algeria	Boulhaf Dyr	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Oussera	2000	7.4%	8.7%	6.3%
Algeria	Miliana	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Relizane	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Ghebala	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Ain Kermes	2000	6.6%	8.2%	5.4%
Algeria	Djemila	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Aouf	2000	4.5%	5.7%	3.6%
Algeria	Ras El Ma	2000	3.1%	4.7%	2.1%
Algeria	Naima	2000	6.6%	7.8%	5.6%
Algeria	Beni Amrane	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Mekhareg	2000	6.9%	8.3%	5.8%
Algeria	El Tarf	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Bouti Sayeh	2000	6.4%	8.4%	4.8%
Algeria	Beni Chebana	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Hannacha	2000	1.9%	2.6%	1.3%
Algeria	Ouled Ahmed Temmi	2000	2.0%	3.1%	1.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain El Melh	2000	6.3%	7.9%	4.6%
Algeria	Tamenghasset	2000	2.3%	3.7%	1.3%
Algeria	Benimaouche	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Kadiria	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Mellouk	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Mekkedra	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Bouihi	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Ait-Mahmoud	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Adekar	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Timmimoun	2000	1.9%	2.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Hacine	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Bouhamza	2000	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Aghbal	2000	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Algeria	Ouled Dahmane	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	Birine	2000	6.1%	7.7%	4.7%
Algeria	Sidi Ahmed	2000	5.8%	7.1%	4.8%
Algeria	Kolea	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Beni Zid	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	El Kerma	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Algeria	Si Mustapha	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Kenadsa	2000	2.1%	3.1%	1.3%
Algeria	Beidha Bordj	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Ben Daoud	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Arzew	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Mouzaia	2000	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Beni Aissi	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	El Aricha	2000	2.7%	3.9%	1.7%
Algeria	Khedara	2000	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Algeria	Adrar	2000	2.0%	3.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Maadid	2000	5.2%	6.2%	4.3%
Algeria	Es Sebt	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2000	5.2%	7.0%	3.5%
Algeria	Boutlelis	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Beni-Ouartilane	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	El Biodh Sidi Cheikh	2000	6.0%	7.6%	4.6%
Algeria	Souamaa	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	El Achour	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain Fekan	2000	2.4%	3.0%	1.8%
Algeria	Minar Zarza	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Bir Mokkadem	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Hassi Mameche	2000	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Dar El Beida	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Djamaa	2000	1.9%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Ain Djasser	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Khalouia	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Reghaia	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Branis	2000	1.7%	2.1%	1.3%
Algeria	Batna	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Ain Taghrout	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Tamlouka	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Algeria	Sedraya	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Ain Deheb	2000	6.8%	8.2%	5.4%
Algeria	Tarmount	2000	6.4%	7.9%	5.0%
Algeria	Bouhatem	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Algeria	Oued Endja	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ali	2000	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Remila	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Raouraoua	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Algeria	Debdeb	2000	2.0%	4.2%	0.9%
Algeria	Dehamcha	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Ouled Rabah	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Ighrem	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Azazga	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Ouled Heb- baba	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Menaa	2000	6.8%	8.3%	5.3%
Algeria	Touahria	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain M'Lila	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Mendes	2000	3.1%	3.9%	2.3%
Algeria	Ain Zaatout	2000	2.0%	2.7%	1.4%
Algeria	Lemtar	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Hassi Mes- saoud	2000	1.7%	2.5%	1.2%
Algeria	Hassi Fehal	2000	1.9%	3.4%	1.2%
Algeria	El Amria	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Taouzianat	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	El Mahmal	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Amoucha	2000	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Oued Cheham	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Madna	2000	6.2%	7.7%	4.8%
Algeria	Sidi Tifour	2000	7.0%	9.3%	5.0%
Algeria	El Hadaiek	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	Badredine El Mokrani	2000	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Hassi Fedoul	2000	6.4%	7.9%	5.3%
Algeria	In Guezzam	2000	1.7%	3.3%	0.6%
Algeria	Gosbat	2000	2.5%	3.2%	1.9%
Algeria	Ouled Boughalem	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Algeria	M'Lili	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Ras El Aioun	2000	2.2%	2.9%	1.7%
Algeria	Sidi Rached	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Beni Fouda	2000	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Kalaat Bous- baa	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	El Hadjab	2000	1.8%	2.2%	1.4%
Algeria	Alaimia	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Bir Ben Laabed	2000	2.3%	3.2%	1.7%
Algeria	Beni-Aziz	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Hidoussa	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Taya	2000	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Medjedel	2000	6.8%	8.3%	5.4%
Algeria	In Ghar	2000	1.6%	2.4%	0.9%
Algeria	Dahra	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Mezrenna	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Oued Sly	2000	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	El Idrissia	2000	7.4%	9.0%	5.9%
Algeria	Ain El Ibel	2000	7.0%	8.4%	5.8%
Algeria	Oggaz	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Oum Ali	2000	1.5%	2.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Chelghoum Laid	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Cherif	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Benian	2000	4.0%	5.0%	3.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Ouedja	2000	1.4%	2.2%	0.9%
Algeria	Hamma	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
	Bouziane				
Algeria	Messaad	2000	6.9%	8.2%	5.8%
Algeria	Djemila	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Algeria	Chetma	2000	1.6%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Khenguët Sidi Nadji	2000	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain El Kercha	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Ichmoul	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Algeria	El Hacaiba	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.6%
Algeria	Guettara	2000	3.0%	4.4%	2.0%
Algeria	Daoussen	2000	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Algeria	Zaafrane	2000	7.1%	8.5%	5.8%
Algeria	Ain El Hadid	2000	5.5%	6.5%	4.4%
Algeria	Chellal	2017	6.6%	7.9%	5.4%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2017	6.8%	8.1%	5.6%
Algeria	Oultene	2017	6.5%	7.8%	5.2%
Algeria	Oued Chaaba	2017	1.8%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Ain Kada	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Souarekh	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Algeria	Derrag	2017	3.9%	5.1%	3.0%
Algeria	Saneg	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.3%
Algeria	Sidi Khelifa	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Ait-Tizi	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Benyahia Abderrahmane	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	El Meridj	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Ghassoul	2017	5.8%	7.7%	4.4%
Algeria	Oued Gous-sine	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%
Algeria	Seriana	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Brahim	2017	2.1%	2.9%	1.4%
Algeria	Mecheria	2017	6.1%	7.9%	4.9%
Algeria	El Keurt	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.3%
Algeria	Hanchir Toumghani	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	El Aouana	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Tamenghasset	2017	2.2%	3.6%	1.3%
Algeria	Khemissa	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	Ain Kihel	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Ouled Maalah	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Tighenif	2017	2.1%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Oued Sebaa	2017	2.7%	4.1%	1.9%
Algeria	Maaouia	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
Algeria	Ouled Zaoui	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Ksar El Boukhari	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.1%
Algeria	Bordj Zemmoura	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Algeria	Souamaa	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Beni Mester	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Takhemaret	2017	4.7%	5.6%	3.8%
Algeria	Ain Arnat	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Biskra	2017	1.8%	2.2%	1.4%
Algeria	Oued Essalem	2017	3.2%	3.9%	2.4%
Algeria	Sidi Aissa	2017	5.8%	7.4%	4.6%
Algeria	Medjaz Sfa	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	N'Gaous	2017	2.1%	2.9%	1.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Hassi El Ghella	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Kalaa	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Ouled Daid	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.7%
Algeria	Akerrou	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Bensekrane	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.6%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2017	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Algeria	Melbou	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	El Hadjar	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Hasnaoua	2017	2.3%	3.0%	1.8%
Algeria	Tamridjet	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Ifigha	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Meftaha	2017	3.2%	4.3%	2.3%
Algeria	Ain Tindamine	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.7%
Algeria	Ras El Oued	2017	3.0%	3.7%	2.3%
Algeria	Messelmoun	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Algeria	Medjaz Amar	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	N'Goussa	2017	1.6%	2.4%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Kebira	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Sendjas	2017	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	Boukram	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Tirmitine	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Ouled Rechache	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Fezza	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Ibn Ziad	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Hammam Melouane	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Lahmar	2017	2.3%	3.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Teniet El Abed	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Khelil	2017	1.9%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Bin El Ouiden	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Hoceinia	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	El Madher	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Beni-Mellikeche	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain Tine	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelli	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Ait Toudert	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Ghessira	2017	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
Algeria	Benairia	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Bouhlou	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Zaouiet Kounta	2017	1.7%	2.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Ahl El Ksar	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.5%
Algeria	Kanoua	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Ouled Driss	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Khatouti Sed Eldjir	2017	6.5%	8.1%	5.2%
Algeria	Les Eucalyptus	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Djellal	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Algeria	Bou Henmi	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Zerouala	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Boufatis	2017	1.0%	1.2%	0.7%
Algeria	Ammal	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Collo	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Hattatba	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Ghoraba	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Chetouane	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Staoueli	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Dirrah	2017	4.8%	6.1%	3.8%
Algeria	Herenfa	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Ouacif	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Zouit	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Oued M'Zi	2017	7.1%	8.8%	5.6%
Algeria	Hammam Soukhna	2017	1.4%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Temacine	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Adda	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Algeria	Draa El Mizan	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Zeribet El Oued	2017	1.2%	2.0%	0.7%
Algeria	Tamokra	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Souidania	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Tesmart	2017	1.9%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Timezrit	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Lakhdaria	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Ouled Bessem	2017	5.1%	6.2%	4.3%
Algeria	Blida	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Mezghrane	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Boulhilat	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Larbaa-Nath-Irathen	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Oued Chouly	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Terny Beni Hediel	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Boualem	2017	6.7%	9.3%	4.7%
Algeria	Haraoua	2017	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Bouchakroune	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Sidi Said	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Igli	2017	1.6%	3.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Oued Seguen	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Layoune	2017	5.3%	6.4%	4.4%
Algeria	Maarif	2017	6.5%	7.9%	5.2%
Algeria	Hamri	2017	6.9%	8.3%	5.7%
Algeria	Oued Fragha	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Hassi Khalifa	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Beni Snous	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.1%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Okba	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Moussa	2017	1.3%	1.6%	1.0%
Algeria	Ouled Mimoun	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Beni Ilmane	2017	5.1%	6.7%	3.7%
Algeria	Beni Chaib	2017	4.7%	5.7%	3.8%
Algeria	Chebaita Mokhtar	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Sidi Boutouchent	2017	4.7%	5.7%	3.8%
Algeria	Kasdir	2017	4.1%	5.7%	2.8%
Algeria	Ben Srour	2017	5.9%	7.4%	4.6%
Algeria	Oued Djemaa	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.7%
Algeria	Beni Smiel	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.2%
Algeria	Ouled Kihel	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	El Mokrani El Madjen	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain El Kebira	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Slim	2017	6.7%	8.2%	5.4%
Algeria	Fellaoucene	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Ouled Fadhel	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Dehamcha	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	El Ancer	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	El Fehoul	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Ouled Brahem	2017	2.6%	3.4%	2.0%
Algeria	Hammamet	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Bounouh	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Azel	2017	2.1%	2.7%	1.6%
Algeria	Abou El Hassen	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Algeria	El Beidha	2017	7.5%	9.9%	5.6%
Algeria	Mohammadia	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Chehaima	2017	6.2%	7.4%	5.0%
Algeria	Beni Bouat-tab	2017	2.9%	3.8%	2.3%
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Metlili	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.6%
Algeria	Assi Youcef	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Tamelaht	2017	4.8%	5.9%	4.0%
Algeria	Bayadha	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Mezdour	2017	3.9%	5.1%	2.8%
Algeria	El Karimia	2017	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	Bouandas	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Lac Des Oiseaux	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Khemis El Khechna	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Bir El Hammam	2017	3.9%	5.5%	2.8%
Algeria	Bordj Menaiel	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Ben Allal	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Sidi Semiane	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Ouled Madhi	2017	6.4%	7.6%	5.2%
Algeria	Ain-Sebt	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Zitouna	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Ain-El-Hammam	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Amirat Arres	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	M'Cif	2017	5.0%	6.5%	3.7%
Algeria	El Attaf	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	El Rahia	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Bakhti	2017	5.3%	6.5%	4.2%
Algeria	Ain Nehala	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Hammam N'Bail	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Sidi Mezghiche	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Remchi	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	Tafraout	2017	3.3%	4.5%	2.3%
Algeria	Belimour	2017	3.0%	3.7%	2.4%
Algeria	Hadjout	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Aghlal	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Hadj Mechri	2017	6.9%	9.4%	5.0%
Algeria	Chetouane Belaila	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Algeria	Zemmoura	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Timiaouine	2017	1.8%	3.9%	0.6%
Algeria	Yahia Beniguecha	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Hounet	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.8%
Algeria	Zerdeza	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Algeria	Bousselam	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Ksar Chellala	2017	6.2%	7.7%	4.9%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelmoumene	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain Kechra	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Boumegueur	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.7%
Algeria	Tebessa	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	El Houamed	2017	6.1%	7.2%	5.0%
Algeria	Moghrar	2017	5.5%	7.1%	4.2%
Algeria	Inoughissen	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Mezloug	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Sidi Medjahed	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain Rahma	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Ramka	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.4%
Algeria	Tlemcen	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Bougara	2017	5.6%	6.7%	4.7%
Algeria	Tiaret	2017	5.7%	6.4%	4.9%
Algeria	Sidi Dahou Zair	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Honaine	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Megarine	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.3%
Algeria	Isser	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Ouled Antar	2017	2.9%	3.9%	2.1%
Algeria	Guerrouma	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Hassi Zehana	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Ouled Sellem	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	El Kharrouba	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Khenchela	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	4.8%	5.9%	3.9%
Algeria	Bordj	2017	4.6%	5.6%	3.8%
Algeria	Bounaama				
Algeria	Theniet El Had	2017	4.7%	5.6%	3.8%
Algeria	Ahnif	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.2%
Algeria	El Maine	2017	2.6%	3.3%	1.9%
Algeria	Ain Khadra	2017	4.6%	5.9%	3.6%
Algeria	Bouraoui Belhadeh	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ouriache Tadmaya	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	El Feidh	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.7%
Algeria	Draa Smar	2017	1.9%	2.7%	1.4%
Algeria	Chaiba	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Oued Sebbah	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Algeria	Maghnia	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Mezaourou	2017	2.2%	3.2%	1.6%
Algeria	Tiffech	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	El Milia	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Algeria	Yakourene	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	El Eulma	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Moudjebara	2017	6.7%	8.0%	5.6%
Algeria	Larhat	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Algeria	Derradji Bouselah	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Algeria	Sebbaa	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Chioukh				
Algeria	Bordj El Haouasse	2017	2.3%	4.3%	1.0%
Algeria	Tifra	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Benaceur	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.0%
Algeria	Oum El Bouaghi	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Chiffa	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Ait-Chaffaa	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Kheng Maoun	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Tizi	2017	1.8%	2.3%	1.4%
Algeria	Illilten	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Draa-Ben-Khedda	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Touggourt	2017	2.0%	2.8%	1.4%
Algeria	Tilatou	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Seggana	2017	2.2%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	In Zghmir	2017	1.6%	2.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Tagdemt	2017	5.2%	6.0%	4.4%
Algeria	Hassi Ben Abdellah	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Souafia	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Ahmer El Ain	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Ouanougha	2017	5.1%	6.5%	3.8%
Algeria	Terrai Bainnane	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Deldoul	2017	6.4%	7.4%	5.5%
Algeria	Akbil	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	El Atteuf	2017	2.0%	2.7%	1.4%
Algeria	Benzouh	2017	7.0%	8.5%	5.6%
Algeria	Boukhenifis	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Beni Zentis	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Hassaine Beni Yahi	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Algeria	Oued Berkeche	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Azzaba	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Sidi Amrane	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Boghni	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Ben Beida	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Ain Safra	2017	5.9%	7.4%	4.5%
Algeria	El Guettana	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Tesra	2017	2.3%	2.9%	1.8%
Algeria	Oued Zitoun	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Ouled Riyah	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Had Sahary	2017	6.7%	8.4%	5.3%
Algeria	Sidi Boussaid	2017	2.5%	3.2%	1.9%
Algeria	El Aricha	2017	2.5%	3.6%	1.6%
Algeria	Besbes	2017	2.7%	3.5%	2.0%
Algeria	Cheguig	2017	5.9%	7.6%	4.3%
Algeria	Tinerkouk	2017	2.0%	3.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Hassi Bounif	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain Madhi	2017	6.9%	9.1%	5.0%
Algeria	Tachouda	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Es Senia	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Algeria	Oued Taria	2017	2.9%	3.7%	2.2%
Algeria	Djebel Mes-saad	2017	6.7%	8.1%	5.3%
Algeria	Cheraga	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	El Hachem	2017	2.6%	3.3%	1.9%
Algeria	Tighanimine	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Chetaibi	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Algeria	Hassi El Euch	2017	6.6%	8.3%	5.4%
Algeria	Dahmouni	2017	5.6%	6.5%	4.9%
Algeria	El Hassania	2017	3.8%	4.8%	3.0%
Algeria	Ighil-Ali	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bazer-Sakra	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Chrea	2017	1.7%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain Tork	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Reguiba	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2017	1.8%	2.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Oued Lilli	2017	5.1%	5.8%	4.4%
Algeria	Sidi Chouab	2017	3.2%	4.5%	2.2%
Algeria	Meridja	2017	2.2%	4.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Khadra	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Oum Laadham	2017	3.5%	4.9%	2.5%
Algeria	El Kouif	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Berrahal	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Ait Bouadou	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Oued Cheham	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Tadmait	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Ouled Derradj	2017	5.3%	6.3%	4.3%
Algeria	Djerma	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Ouled Bouachra	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Algeria	Doui Thabet	2017	4.5%	5.6%	3.7%
Algeria	El Harrouch	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Mansoura	2017	3.1%	4.0%	2.3%
Algeria	Harbil	2017	1.8%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	4.0%	4.9%	3.3%
Algeria	Ain Boudinar	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Setif	2017	1.9%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Mizrana	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Lioua	2017	1.8%	2.3%	1.4%
Algeria	Mechraa Safa	2017	4.5%	5.5%	3.6%
Algeria	Cheraia	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Menaceur	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Ksar Hirane	2017	6.8%	8.4%	5.4%
Algeria	Rechaiga	2017	5.9%	7.0%	5.0%
Algeria	Tabia	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Aghni-Goughrane	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Bordj Okhriss	2017	3.9%	5.1%	2.9%
Algeria	Bechloul	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Algeria	Ifelain Ilmathen	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Algeria	Larbatache	2017	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Chahbounia	2017	5.5%	6.9%	4.1%
Algeria	El Kheither	2017	5.8%	7.5%	4.7%
Algeria	Bordj Badji Mokhtar	2017	1.0%	1.8%	0.5%
Algeria	Metarfa	2017	1.7%	2.9%	0.9%
Algeria	El Ouata	2017	1.6%	2.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Still	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Illizi	2017	1.6%	3.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Ain Bouziane	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	M'Sila	2017	6.4%	7.5%	5.4%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Robbah	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Boukhadra	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Nador	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain Boucif	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.8%
Algeria	Ouled Moussa	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Bouinan	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Seraidi	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Ouarizane	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Mahdia	2017	5.7%	6.9%	4.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Sidi Hosni	2017	5.2%	6.2%	4.4%
Algeria	Taglait	2017	3.8%	4.9%	3.0%
Algeria	Ourmes	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Sidi Yacoub	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Sidi Aoun	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Khemisti	2017	5.2%	6.2%	4.4%
Algeria	Djidiouia	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Bougara	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	El Borma	2017	1.7%	2.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Tala-Ifacene	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Saoula	2017	1.5%	1.8%	1.2%
Algeria	Ben M'Hidi	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Bellaa	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Algeria	Tazrouk	2017	1.5%	2.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Safsaf	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Tizi N'Bechar	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Nezla	2017	1.9%	2.7%	1.4%
Algeria	Talkhamt	2017	1.8%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Froha	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.5%
Algeria	Sigous	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Soumaa	2017	5.6%	6.7%	4.5%
Algeria	Beni Ounif	2017	3.6%	5.3%	2.3%
Algeria	Djendel	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Sidi Brahim	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Hammam	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Righa				
Algeria	Souk El Tenine	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Algeria	Besbes	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Mansourah	2017	1.6%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Ferraguig	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Taghlimet	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.7%
Algeria	Sehala	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Thaoura				
Algeria	Ghilassa	2017	3.7%	4.6%	3.0%
Algeria	Maatkas	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Oued El Djemmaa	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Benyacoub	2017	6.8%	8.5%	5.2%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2017	6.1%	7.7%	4.8%
Algeria	Zorg	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Bouda	2017	1.7%	2.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Bir Haddada	2017	1.8%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain Tolba	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Mazouna	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Souhan	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Moussadek	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Algeria	Bourached	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Sidi Rached	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Hammam	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Boughrara				
Algeria	Iflissen	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Breira	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Merad	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Belkheir	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Bou Caid	2017	4.2%	5.2%	3.4%
Algeria	Ait R'Zine	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Beni K'Sila	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Algeria	Taurit Ighil	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Tianet	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.5%
Algeria	Megheraoua	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Boussemghoun	2017	6.4%	8.4%	4.5%
Algeria	El Ançar	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Beni Merad	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Messaad	2017	6.7%	8.0%	5.6%
Algeria	Boudouaou El Bahri	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	El Youssoufia	2017	3.9%	4.9%	3.1%
Algeria	Skikda	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Teniet En Nasr	2017	2.3%	3.0%	1.6%
Algeria	Mesra	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Haizer	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	El Gaada	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Beni Yenni	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain El Arbaa	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Algeria	Boucherahil	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Ouamri	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Oued El Abtal	2017	2.8%	3.7%	2.1%
Algeria	Ouezra	2017	2.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Sidi Akkacha	2017	1.1%	1.9%	0.7%
Algeria	El Hamadia	2017	3.4%	4.1%	2.7%
Algeria	Ouenza	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Taghit	2017	1.9%	3.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Taguedit	2017	4.6%	6.0%	3.5%
Algeria	Bethioua	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain Charchar	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	El Mehara	2017	5.7%	7.1%	4.3%
Algeria	Si El Mahd-joub	2017	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Algeria	Ouadhia	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Chrea	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Tipaza	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Treat	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Ain Beida Harriche	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Ouargla	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Erraguene	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Zaccar	2017	6.8%	8.2%	5.6%
Algeria	Ain Semara	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Sidi Naamane	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Adekar	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Deux Bassins	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Sidi Khelil	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Bordj Bou Ar-reridj	2017	3.1%	3.8%	2.5%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2017	4.9%	6.6%	3.4%
Algeria	Ain Thrid	2017	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Sidi Lantri	2017	4.5%	5.7%	3.7%
Algeria	Ouled Ad-douane	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Djebala El Khemissi	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Dahouara	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	El Mamounia	2017	1.8%	2.3%	1.4%
Algeria	Maafa	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	In Ghar	2017	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Algeria	Bouteldja	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Beni Boussaid	2017	1.7%	2.5%	1.1%
Algeria	Lemtar	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Zbarbar El Is-seri	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Beni Mileuk	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Guelma	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Algeria	Ourlal	2017	1.9%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Ghriss	2017	2.5%	3.2%	1.8%
Algeria	Dehahna	2017	4.2%	5.3%	3.3%
Algeria	Sig	2017	1.2%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Foughala	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Algeria	Ain Touta	2017	2.0%	2.8%	1.4%
Algeria	Sidi Embarek	2017	2.3%	2.8%	1.8%
Algeria	Guellal	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Sebdou	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Naga	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Algeria	Djamora	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Sidi Ladjel	2017	6.6%	8.2%	5.1%
Algeria	Thleth Douair	2017	2.9%	4.0%	2.1%
Algeria	Guidjel	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.3%
Algeria	Ain Youcef	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	Sidi Djilali	2017	2.2%	3.3%	1.4%
Algeria	Taougrit	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Algeria	Tit	2017	1.7%	2.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Boumedfaa	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Tafraoui	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Bouhmama	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Oued El Ma	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Souk Oufella	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Youb	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.4%
Algeria	Ain Touila	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Beni Slimane	2017	2.0%	2.8%	1.4%
Algeria	Fouka	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Zouabi	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Brida	2017	7.2%	9.6%	5.3%
Algeria	El Braya	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Taouala	2017	7.2%	9.5%	4.9%
Algeria	Djebilet Rosfa	2017	5.9%	7.4%	4.8%
Algeria	Oued Zenati	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	El Messaid	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Ouled Djellal	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.7%
Algeria	Beni Dergoun	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	El Ogl	2017	1.7%	2.5%	1.1%
Algeria	Ait Yahia	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Moussa				
Algeria	Babor	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Ouled Si Ahmed	2017	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Algeria	Imsohal	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Tamzoura	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Mechtrass	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	El Asnam	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.2%
Algeria	Amernas	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Bir	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Bouhouche				
Algeria	Birtouta	2017	1.4%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjel	2017	6.8%	8.9%	5.0%
Algeria	Hassi Messaoud	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain Oussera	2017	7.3%	8.5%	6.2%
Algeria	Ain Rekada	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Timezrit	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Si Abdelghani	2017	5.8%	6.9%	4.9%
Algeria	Boudjima	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Ait Laaziz	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	El Marsa	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Chefia	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Hamma	2017	2.7%	3.5%	2.0%
Algeria	Sali	2017	1.5%	2.3%	0.8%
Algeria	Sougueur	2017	6.4%	7.4%	5.4%
Algeria	Tenes	2017	1.1%	1.9%	0.6%
Algeria	Ain Adden	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Fenoughil	2017	1.6%	2.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Talmine	2017	1.8%	3.3%	0.8%
Algeria	M'Doukal	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%
Algeria	Azzefoun	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.5%
Algeria	Bouzina	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Hassi R'Mel	2017	5.2%	6.8%	4.1%
Algeria	Sidi Zahar	2017	2.9%	3.8%	2.0%
Algeria	Medroussa	2017	5.5%	6.5%	4.6%
Algeria	Morsot	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Taibet	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Sidi Chahmi	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Algeria	El Hadjadj	2017	2.5%	3.3%	1.9%
Algeria	Chebli	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	7.0%	9.5%	5.0%
Algeria	Illoula	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
	Oumalou				
Algeria	Hassasna	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Oulhaca El Gheraba	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
	Ouled Gacem	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Ghardaia	2017	2.5%	3.4%	1.9%
Algeria	Timizart	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Irdjen	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	El Houidjbet	2017	1.5%	2.2%	0.8%
Algeria	Ait Naoual Mezada	2017	1.6%	2.0%	1.0%
	Ben Chicao	2017	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Algeria	Amizour	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Hammam Dalaa	2017	5.2%	6.4%	4.1%
	Sidi Ameur	2017	7.1%	8.8%	5.7%
Algeria	Tadjemout	2017	6.9%	8.6%	5.6%
Algeria	El Ghrous	2017	2.1%	2.7%	1.6%
Algeria	Ain-Bessem	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Ben Djerrah	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Tinedbar	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Algeria	Ain Zana	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Algeria	Ben Badis	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Mustafa Ben Brahim	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
	Beni-Tamou	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Rosfa	2017	2.6%	3.3%	1.9%
Algeria	Sidi Hamadouche	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
	Dhayet Bend-hahoua	2017	3.7%	4.8%	2.8%
	Bouderbala	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Boudjellil	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Ouled Addi Guebala	2017	4.9%	5.8%	3.9%
	Tizi Mahdi	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Mogheul	2017	2.7%	4.5%	1.6%
Algeria	Foum Toub	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Cheniguel	2017	4.1%	5.4%	2.9%
Algeria	Tindouf	2017	1.9%	3.4%	0.9%
Algeria	Aoubellil	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Benian	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Makhda	2017	3.5%	4.3%	2.7%
Algeria	Ain El Berda	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Mekhatria	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Oued Djer	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Marsat El Hadjadj	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.9%
Algeria	Sidi Ameer	2017	6.2%	8.1%	4.8%
Algeria	Hassani Abdelkrim	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Kendiria	2017	1.4%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Bouchekouf	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Mers El Kebir	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Hammam Ben Salah	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Ain Zarit	2017	5.8%	6.8%	4.8%
Algeria	Ain Yagout	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Bir Mokkadem	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Rabta	2017	3.6%	4.5%	2.8%
Algeria	Dellys	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Sedrata	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	Maamora	2017	3.7%	4.9%	2.7%
Algeria	Hennaya	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Bouira	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Aoulef	2017	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
Algeria	Ouled Khaled	2017	5.2%	6.1%	4.4%
Algeria	Ouled Tebben	2017	3.1%	3.9%	2.3%
Algeria	Baata	2017	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Algeria	Rouina	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	El Abadia	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Nouissy	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Barbouche	2017	2.1%	2.9%	1.5%
Algeria	Boussif Ouled Askeur	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	El Malah	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Ouled Hellal	2017	2.9%	3.9%	2.0%
Algeria	M'Naguer	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Harchoune	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.5%
Algeria	Djeniane Bourzeg	2017	5.0%	7.0%	3.5%
Algeria	M'Kira	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Selma Benzida	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	Mahelma	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Zitouna	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.2%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Ouyoun El Asafir	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Ben Freha	2017	1.0%	1.2%	0.7%
Algeria	Merouana	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Sidi Slimane	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Oued Athmenia	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Algeria	Kef El Ahmar	2017	5.6%	7.4%	4.1%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Ali	2017	6.8%	9.4%	4.9%
Algeria	Magtaa Douz	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Algeria	Beni Abbes	2017	1.6%	3.2%	0.9%
Algeria	Ath Mansour Taourirt	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Chelia	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Tidda	2017	4.4%	5.4%	3.5%
Algeria	Berriane	2017	3.6%	4.7%	2.7%
Algeria	Beni Zmenzer	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Lahlef	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Sidi Kada	2017	2.5%	3.2%	1.9%
Algeria	Tamtert	2017	1.7%	2.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Beni Saf	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Algeria	Bir El Djir	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.9%
Algeria	Tizi N'Tleta	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	El Aioun	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Algeria	Sebseb	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.7%
Algeria	Kolea	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Bejaia	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Algeria	Tenira	2017	2.1%	2.9%	1.5%
Algeria	Safel El Ouiden	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Freha	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Sidi Lazreg	2017	2.5%	3.2%	1.8%
Algeria	Corso	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Mansoura	2017	2.0%	3.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Tarik Ibn-Ziad	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.7%
Algeria	Aghbalou	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Zerizer	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	El Mechira	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Misserghin	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Maacem	2017	5.4%	6.7%	4.4%
Algeria	Belala	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Zekri	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Ouled Attia	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Ain Tagourait	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Fares	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Mekhareg	2017	6.7%	8.1%	5.6%
Algeria	Idjeur	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Djelfa	2017	7.3%	8.4%	6.2%
Algeria	Oued Taga	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Zighoud Youcef	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Tadmit	2017	6.8%	8.3%	5.4%
Algeria	Aghrib	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Djelida	2017	1.9%	2.7%	1.3%
Algeria	Hadjera Zerga	2017	5.4%	6.9%	4.3%
Algeria	Chlef	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Tamsa	2017	6.8%	8.2%	5.5%
Algeria	Oued Tlelat	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Rogassa	2017	5.6%	7.1%	4.2%
Algeria	El Biod	2017	5.6%	6.8%	4.5%
Algeria	Benimaouche	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Oued El Barad	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Beni Haoua	2017	1.1%	1.9%	0.6%
Algeria	Cherchel	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.6%
Algeria	Makouda	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Raml Souk	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Algeria	Asfour	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Bab El Assa	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Algeria	Djezzar	2017	3.0%	3.8%	2.3%
Algeria	Bir-El-Arch	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed Benaouda	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Berhoum	2017	4.4%	5.5%	3.5%
Algeria	Melaab	2017	4.0%	5.1%	3.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain El Diss	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Foggaret Az-zouia	2017	1.3%	2.3%	0.6%
Algeria	Beni Bahdel	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain El Fakroun	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Berrihane	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	El Gor	2017	2.1%	3.0%	1.4%
Algeria	Sebaa	2017	1.7%	2.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Kouba	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Aflou	2017	7.6%	9.3%	6.1%
Algeria	El Ach	2017	4.5%	5.4%	3.7%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Salah Bouchaour	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Akbou	2017	1.2%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Beni-Mouhli	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	El Oumaria	2017	2.1%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Iferhounene	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Mellal	2017	4.0%	4.9%	3.2%
Algeria	Ain Bebouche	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Beni Ouarsous	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Bouhanifia	2017	1.9%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Bouati Mahmoud	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Boutaleb	2017	3.0%	3.9%	2.3%
Algeria	Ouled Fayet	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%
Algeria	Oued Harbil	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Hanenchia	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Oued Sefioune	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.6%
Algeria	Meskiana	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Gouraya	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.6%
Algeria	Tacheta Zegagha	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	El Main	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Tamezguida	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Bougtoub	2017	5.9%	7.5%	4.5%
Algeria	Guelta Zerka	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Tizi-Ghenif	2017	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Sebt	2017	4.5%	5.6%	3.5%
Algeria	El Ghicha	2017	7.5%	9.1%	5.9%
Algeria	Bendaoud	2017	4.0%	5.1%	2.9%
Algeria	Tadjrouna	2017	6.9%	9.5%	4.7%
Algeria	Baladiet Amor	2017	1.7%	2.6%	1.1%
Algeria	Laghouat	2017	6.9%	8.2%	5.9%
Algeria	Abadla	2017	1.8%	2.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Ksar Bellezma	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Tilmouni	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Algeria	Kheneg	2017	6.8%	8.4%	5.5%
Algeria	Tin Zaouatine	2017	1.4%	2.9%	0.6%
Algeria	Oum Touyour	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Bous-sidi	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Ouled Rached	2017	2.8%	3.9%	2.0%
Algeria	Ahmed Rachedi	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Feraoun	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Houari Boumedi-ene	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	El Kaf Lakhdar	2017	3.6%	4.8%	2.5%
Algeria	Arbaouat	2017	5.9%	7.4%	4.4%
Algeria	Chir	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Hammam Debagh	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Ait-Yahia	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Ouled Brahim	2017	5.4%	6.5%	4.2%
Algeria	Terraguelt	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Bouaarfa	2017	1.6%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Sidi Safi	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Ouled Khoudir	2017	1.7%	3.2%	0.7%
Algeria	Bougaa	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Charef	2017	7.2%	8.9%	5.7%
Algeria	Rouached	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Aomar	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Oran	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	El Anseur	2017	3.0%	3.6%	2.4%
Algeria	Ain Abessa	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Sfisef	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Sidi Bouzid	2017	7.3%	9.1%	5.8%
Algeria	Ouled Sidi Mihoub	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Gherouaou	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Guorriguer	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Beni Oussine	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Oued Kebrit	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.5%
Algeria	Boumerdes	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Ramdane Djamel	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Babar	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Cheraga	2017	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Ouled Si Slimane	2017	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	M'Liliha	2017	6.7%	8.2%	5.5%
Algeria	Oued Taourira	2017	3.6%	4.8%	2.7%
Algeria	Tamalous	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Boukadir	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Medrissa	2017	6.0%	7.3%	4.8%
Algeria	Chellala	2017	6.0%	7.9%	4.3%
Algeria	Faidh El Botma	2017	6.4%	8.7%	4.7%
Algeria	Beni Chebana	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Fredj	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%
Algeria	Ain Temouchent	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Kherrata	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Beni Dejlil	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	El Hadjab	2017	1.8%	2.2%	1.4%
Algeria	Ouled Aouf	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Ain El Melh	2017	6.1%	7.7%	4.5%
Algeria	Oued Mora	2017	7.2%	9.1%	5.5%
Algeria	Tazmalt	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Merrane	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Bouchekif	2017	5.5%	6.4%	4.8%
Algeria	Zemmouri	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Msirda	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.6%
Algeria	Fouaga Berriche	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Tafissour	2017	4.1%	5.5%	3.0%
Algeria	Haraza	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.3%
Algeria	El Mezeraa	2017	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
Algeria	Merahna	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	Nadorah	2017	5.8%	7.1%	4.9%
Algeria	Ain Turk	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Bordj Emir Khaled	2017	2.1%	2.9%	1.5%
Algeria	Ouled Hedadj	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Si Mustapha	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Oued El Berdi	2017	2.1%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Rahouia	2017	4.3%	5.3%	3.4%
Algeria	El M'Ghair	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	El Menaouer	2017	2.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Mih Ouansa	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	El Assafia	2017	6.7%	8.1%	5.6%
Algeria	Kheir Oued Adjoul	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Algeria	Ait Ag-gouacha	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Azails	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.0%
Algeria	El Bordj	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Taoura	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	Filfila	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Tiberguent	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Guertoufa	2017	5.1%	5.8%	4.3%
Algeria	Bouaiche	2017	5.4%	6.5%	4.1%
Algeria	Maamora	2017	5.7%	7.2%	4.5%
Algeria	Sefiane	2017	2.4%	3.2%	1.7%
Algeria	Debdeb	2017	1.9%	3.9%	0.8%
Algeria	El Meniaa	2017	2.0%	3.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Beni Aissi	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Tixter	2017	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	Aougrouit	2017	1.7%	2.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Sfissifa	2017	5.5%	7.3%	3.8%
Algeria	Ksar El Sbihi	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	In Salah	2017	1.7%	2.5%	0.9%
Algeria	Oued El Aneb	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Grarem	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Algeria	Gouga				
Algeria	Tousmouline	2017	5.9%	7.5%	4.2%
Algeria	Ouled Mansour	2017	6.4%	7.8%	5.3%
Algeria	Ain Abid	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Moulay Slissen	2017	2.1%	3.0%	1.5%
Algeria	Sidi Saada	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Ksar El Abtal	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Afir	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Ferkane	2017	1.4%	2.3%	0.8%
Algeria	El Ouedja	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Errabia	2017	2.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Ouled Saber	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Maouaklane	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	El Kennar Nouchfi	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Ouled Rahmoune	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Ammari	2017	5.2%	6.3%	4.3%
Algeria	Merine	2017	3.6%	4.9%	2.6%
Algeria	Gdyel	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Khemis Miliana	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Hadjret Ennous	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.6%
Algeria	Emir Abdelkader	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Zeralda	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Djebala	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	El Ghedir	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Yabous	2017	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Algeria	El Bouni	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Ouled Fares	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Tebesbest	2017	1.9%	2.7%	1.4%
Algeria	Bordj El Kifan	2017	1.4%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Batna	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Sidi Boumediene	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Ain Deheb	2017	6.5%	7.9%	5.2%
Algeria	Merdja Sidi Abed	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Sidi Abed	2017	5.1%	6.2%	4.2%
Algeria	El Ouedja	2017	1.2%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Hassi Bahbah	2017	6.9%	8.3%	5.7%
Algeria	Khirane	2017	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
Algeria	Roubia	2017	2.8%	3.7%	2.0%
Algeria	Ogla Melha	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Guiga	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Algeria	Tazgait	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Sidi Daoud	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Daoussen	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.7%
Algeria	Redjem Demouche	2017	3.0%	4.4%	2.0%
Algeria	Ghazaouet	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Algeria	Sebaine	2017	5.7%	6.7%	4.8%
Algeria	Deldoul	2017	1.7%	2.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Sandel	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Moulay Larbi	2017	5.1%	6.4%	4.0%
Algeria	Azziz	2017	3.8%	5.0%	2.9%
Algeria	Mostaganem	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Bordj El Emir Abdelkader	2017	4.4%	5.6%	3.5%
Algeria	Bougous	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Chabet El Aneur	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Ain-Zaouia	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Tabelbala	2017	1.6%	3.1%	0.7%
Algeria	Sidi Belattar	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Bordj Sebbat	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Tablat	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Bir Chouhada	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Boulhaf Dyr	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Ouled Chebel	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	In Amenas	2017	1.8%	3.9%	0.8%
Algeria	Saida	2017	5.6%	6.4%	4.7%
Algeria	Ain Sekhouna	2017	5.9%	7.7%	4.3%
Algeria	Tafreg	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Djamaa	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Zaarouria	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Iboudraren	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Kadiria	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Zeddine	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Rehbat	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Algeria	Sidi Baizid	2017	6.6%	7.9%	5.3%
Algeria	Amieur	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Beni Hamidane	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Algeria	Ouled Dahmane	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.7%
Algeria	Arzew	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Ensigha	2017	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Sed Rahal	2017	6.3%	8.0%	5.0%
Algeria	Beni Oulbane	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Oued Rhiou	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Tenedla	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Ben Khelil	2017	5.8%	8.0%	4.0%
Algeria	Djouab	2017	2.6%	3.6%	1.9%
Algeria	Bou Zedjar	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Hammam Guergour	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Stah Guentis	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Boukhelifa	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	Ouled Slama	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	M'Tarfa	2017	6.1%	7.2%	5.3%
Algeria	Guerdjoum	2017	2.4%	3.1%	1.8%
Algeria	Branis	2017	1.6%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Ouled Ammar	2017	3.4%	4.3%	2.6%
Algeria	Zanet El Beida	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Beni Mezline	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Menaa	2017	6.5%	8.1%	5.1%
Algeria	El Hassasna	2017	5.8%	6.9%	4.8%
Algeria	Ain Beida	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Erg Ferradj	2017	1.9%	3.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Mohamed Boudiaf	2017	5.7%	7.3%	4.3%
Algeria	Zmalet El Emir Abdelkader	2017	6.8%	8.7%	5.2%
Algeria	Ouled Maaraf	2017	4.3%	5.8%	3.2%
Algeria	Souani	2017	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Zitoun	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Oued El Alleug	2017	1.3%	1.6%	1.0%
Algeria	Bechar	2017	2.2%	3.2%	1.5%
Algeria	Ksar Kaddour	2017	2.0%	3.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Djasr Kasentina	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Romana	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Sour	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Ksour	2017	3.6%	4.4%	2.8%
Algeria	Lazharia	2017	3.8%	4.8%	3.0%
Algeria	Beni-Ouartilane	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	El Hamdania	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Tizi-N'Berber	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Sidi Bel Abbes	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain-Legradj	2017	1.8%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Tadjenanet	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Negrine	2017	1.5%	2.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Naciria	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Taxlent	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Nedroma	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Reggane	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.8%
Algeria	Bounoura	2017	2.5%	3.2%	1.8%
Algeria	Lemsane	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Amourah	2017	5.4%	7.3%	4.0%
Algeria	El Hamma	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Chetma	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Bouira	2017	6.9%	8.4%	5.6%
	Lahdab				
Algeria	Sidi Tifour	2017	6.7%	9.0%	4.8%
Algeria	El Ghomri	2017	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Algeria	Meftah	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	El Hadaiek	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Sour El Ghouzlane	2017	2.8%	3.7%	2.2%
Algeria	Timgad	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Colla	2017	2.0%	2.7%	1.4%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.6%
Algeria	Tamekten	2017	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
Algeria	Selaoua	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
	Announa				
Algeria	Douar El Ma	2017	1.5%	2.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Tazoult	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Kheiredine	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Belaas	2017	3.4%	4.4%	2.6%
Algeria	Bouguirat	2017	1.2%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Chechar	2017	1.5%	2.4%	0.9%
Algeria	Oggaz	2017	1.1%	1.3%	0.8%
Algeria	Medjebar	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.7%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2017	3.6%	4.9%	2.6%
Algeria	Mihoub	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Fesdis	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Biya	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Sirat	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Bir Ghbalou	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Khoubana	2017	6.2%	7.7%	4.8%
Algeria	El Matmar	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Sidi Merouane	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Algeria	Taskriout	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Darguina	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	Sidi Lahcene	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Bouzegza Ked-dara	2017	1.4%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Arris	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Souk El Khemis	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Ouillen	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Stidia	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Belaiba	2017	3.6%	4.5%	2.9%
Algeria	Drea	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	Tichy	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.5%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Ras El Ma	2017	3.0%	4.6%	2.0%
Algeria	Jijel	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Djemila	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Algeria	Maadid	2017	5.2%	6.2%	4.3%
Algeria	Djebahia	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Ait Boumehdi	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain Laloui	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Kimmel	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Algeria	M'Toussa	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Es Sebt	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Seghouane	2017	2.6%	3.6%	1.8%
Algeria	Meghila	2017	4.8%	5.7%	3.8%
Algeria	Mansourah	2017	1.2%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	El Guettar	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Oued Fodda	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	M'Cid	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Bourkika	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Ouled Ben Abdelkader	2017	2.4%	3.1%	1.8%
Algeria	Bousfer	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Lazrou	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Kerkera	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	T Kout	2017	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Algeria	Taher	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Reghaia	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Oued Ghir	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Algeria	M'Rara	2017	1.8%	2.7%	1.2%
Algeria	Didouche	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Algeria	Mourad				
Algeria	Bordj Tahar	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Bathia	2017	4.2%	5.3%	3.3%
Algeria	Safsaf El Ouesra	2017	1.4%	2.3%	0.8%
Algeria	Benabdelmalek Ramdane	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Hassi Dahou	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Serdj-El-Ghoul	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Douaouda	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Ait-Smail	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	El Amra	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	El Achour	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%
Algeria	Beni Foudala El Hakania	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Medjana	2017	2.6%	3.2%	2.0%
Algeria	Ait Oumalou	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Sidi Ali Benyoub	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.3%
Algeria	Hamri	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Faidja	2017	6.5%	8.2%	5.2%
Algeria	Beni-Zikki	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Tircine	2017	5.8%	7.1%	4.7%
Algeria	Oum El Adhaim	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Magrane	2017	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
Algeria	Ighrem	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Mouzaia	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	El Dhaala	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Amoucha	2017	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Khams Djouamaa	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.6%
Algeria	Tessala Lamtai	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Souagui	2017	2.7%	3.6%	1.9%
Algeria	Idles	2017	2.0%	3.4%	1.0%
Algeria	Sidi Abdeldjebbar	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Algeria	Oum Drou	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Constantine	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Zaafrane	2017	6.8%	8.2%	5.6%
Algeria	Chemora	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain El Hadid	2017	5.3%	6.3%	4.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Boutlelis	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Hassi	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
	Mameche				
Algeria	Ain Zaatout	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Ras El Aioun	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.7%
Algeria	Sidi Hadjeres	2017	6.5%	8.3%	4.9%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Akabli	2017	1.6%	2.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Oued Chorfa	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Azzizia	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Hammam	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
	Bouhadjar				
Algeria	Badredine El Mokrani	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Benian	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Hadjadj	2017	1.0%	1.7%	0.6%
Algeria	Beni Lahcene	2017	4.9%	5.9%	4.0%
Algeria	Berbacha	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Belarbi	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Debila	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Tousnina	2017	6.0%	7.2%	5.0%
Algeria	Abalissa	2017	1.7%	3.1%	0.8%
Algeria	Bouihi	2017	2.4%	3.7%	1.5%
Algeria	Bitam	2017	2.5%	3.1%	1.8%
Algeria	Azil Abdelkader (Metkouak)	2017	4.0%	5.1%	3.1%
Algeria	Tamantit	2017	1.7%	2.6%	1.0%
Algeria	Telaa	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Sidi Abderrahmane	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%
Algeria	Taghzout	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Djillali Ben Ammar	2017	4.0%	4.9%	3.0%
Algeria	Nechemaya	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Tassadane Haddada	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Lardjem	2017	4.5%	5.5%	3.8%
Algeria	Ferdjioua	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Tadjena	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Nekmaria	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Ain Roua	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Gharrous	2017	4.5%	5.6%	3.4%
Algeria	Dechmia	2017	2.6%	3.4%	2.0%
Algeria	Sidi Abdelaziz	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Algeria	M'Daourouche	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	Tigharghar	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Makman Ben Amer	2017	4.8%	6.4%	3.5%
Algeria	Ouled Abbes	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Bordj Ben Az-zouz	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Algeria	El Ouitaya	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.3%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	3.7%	4.8%	2.8%
Algeria	Beni Rached	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Oued Nini	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	El Hassi	2017	2.6%	3.4%	2.0%
Algeria	Ain Errich	2017	5.8%	7.8%	4.2%
Algeria	Boudria	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
	Beniyadjis				
Algeria	Sidi Ahmed	2017	5.6%	6.8%	4.5%
Algeria	Ain Maabed	2017	6.9%	8.2%	5.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Maoussa	2017	1.8%	2.3%	1.4%
Algeria	Ain Makhlouf	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Algeria	Sidi Ben Yebka	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Algeria	Khraicia	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain El Orak	2017	5.8%	7.4%	4.2%
Algeria	Mellakou	2017	5.5%	6.3%	4.7%
Algeria	El M'Hir	2017	3.1%	4.0%	2.3%
Algeria	Ouled Said	2017	1.8%	3.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Oued El Al-enda	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Charouine	2017	1.7%	2.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Frenda	2017	5.6%	6.8%	4.6%
Algeria	Salah Bey	2017	2.2%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	Adrar	2017	1.9%	2.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Naima	2017	6.3%	7.4%	5.3%
Algeria	Boufarik	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Leghata	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Hassi Gara	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain Mellouk	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Bhir El Chergui	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Echatt	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	El Hakimia	2017	3.0%	4.1%	2.3%
Algeria	Ain Oulmane	2017	2.2%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	Beni Bechir	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Kais	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Algeria	Tala Hamza	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Algeria	Beni Zid	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Hidoussa	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Telassa	2017	1.1%	1.9%	0.7%
Algeria	Ait-Mahmoud	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Souk Tleta	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Algeria	Ain Zerga	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Algeria	Bordj Ghdid	2017	3.6%	4.5%	2.8%
Algeria	Tamest	2017	1.6%	2.5%	0.9%
Algeria	Guernini	2017	6.8%	8.5%	5.3%
Algeria	El-Affroun	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	El Matmor	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Algeria	Achaacha	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Algeria	Ain El Assel	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	El Kala	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%
Algeria	Bouhadjar	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Soumaa	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Chekfa	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Sidi Ziane	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.1%
Algeria	Helliopolis	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	El Allia	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Algeria	El Hachimia	2017	2.3%	3.1%	1.7%
Algeria	Ouled Yahia Khadrouche	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Ouled Sli-man	2017	4.4%	5.6%	3.1%
Algeria	Ammi Moussa	2017	2.6%	3.5%	1.9%
Algeria	Ouled Rabah	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Fkirina	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Guenzet Tassameurt	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Menaa	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	El Amiria	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Medea	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bir Foda	2017	6.6%	8.2%	5.1%
Algeria	Benkhelil	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Zeghaia	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Algeria	Ain El Ibel	2017	6.9%	8.3%	5.7%
Algeria	Chentouf	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Amalou	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Boumia	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.1%
Algeria	Oued Zhour	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Guemar	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Boudjebaa El Bordj	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Bouchetata	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Boudouaou	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	El Guerrarra	2017	2.2%	3.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Ain Ferah	2017	3.4%	4.3%	2.6%
Algeria	Oued El Kheir	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Bekkouche Lakhdar	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Ain Lahdjar	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Algeria	Bir Dheb	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Tigzirt	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Algeria	Ouled Heb-baba	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Zenata	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	Oumache	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Dar Ben Abdelah	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Algeria	Bouzeguene	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Mechraa Houari Boumedi-ene	2017	1.9%	3.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Bou Ismail	2017	1.4%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Brezina	2017	5.6%	7.9%	4.0%
Algeria	Elayadi Barbes	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Algeria	Dahra	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Algeria	Bir Ould Khe-lifa	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.3%
Algeria	Emjez Ed-chich	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Ras Mi-aad Ouled Sassi	2017	3.5%	4.7%	2.5%
Algeria	Saharidj	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.2%
Algeria	Bou Saada	2017	6.9%	8.0%	5.8%
Algeria	El Oueldja	2017	2.1%	2.8%	1.5%
Algeria	Ragouba	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Algeria	Hamala	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	El H'Madna	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Bouaichoune	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Djaafra	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Ait Aissa Mi-moun	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Souahlia	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Ain El Berd	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Rouiba	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	El Harmilia	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	El Ouricia	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Djebabra	2017	1.3%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Frikat	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Ridane	2017	3.0%	4.0%	2.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ziama Mansouria	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Algeria	Hassi Delaa	2017	5.5%	7.1%	4.1%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed	2017	5.6%	7.4%	4.0%
Algeria	Dhaya	2017	2.6%	3.7%	1.8%
Algeria	Ben Daoud	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Medjedel	2017	6.6%	8.0%	5.2%
Algeria	Madna	2017	5.9%	7.4%	4.6%
Algeria	Gueltat Sidi Saad	2017	7.1%	8.9%	5.4%
Algeria	El Guelb El Kebir	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Djendel Saadi Mohamed	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Labiod Medjadja	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Ben Azzouz	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Nakhla	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.1%
Algeria	Sidi Ali	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Tarmount	2017	6.2%	7.7%	4.8%
Algeria	Draa El Caid	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	El Khemis	2017	6.7%	8.1%	5.4%
Algeria	Tassala	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	El Eulma	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain Chouhada	2017	6.8%	8.6%	5.2%
Algeria	Bouzeghaia	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Zeboudja	2017	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Algeria	Ouled Boughalem	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Chouaiba Ouled Rahma	2017	2.9%	3.8%	2.3%
Algeria	Bir El Ater	2017	1.6%	2.5%	1.0%
Algeria	El Adjiba	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.2%
Algeria	Beni Amrane	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Hacine	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	El Hassi	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Ouled Boudjemaa	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Drean	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Baghai	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Birine	2017	6.1%	7.7%	4.8%
Algeria	Khezzara	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Texenna	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Guettara	2017	3.1%	4.4%	2.1%
Algeria	Machroha	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Arib	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Sidi Khaled	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.6%
Algeria	Zelmata	2017	3.4%	4.3%	2.6%
Algeria	Mekla	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Assela	2017	6.0%	8.0%	4.3%
Algeria	M'Chedallah	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Larbi	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Algeria	Hamadi Krouma	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	El Khabouzia	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Baghlia	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Hamadia	2017	5.6%	6.7%	4.8%
Algeria	Zelfana	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.1%
Algeria	Magra	2017	4.2%	5.2%	3.4%
Algeria	Sebgag	2017	7.1%	8.7%	5.6%
Algeria	Tiout	2017	5.9%	7.6%	4.4%
Algeria	Tamza	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Krakda	2017	5.7%	7.2%	4.3%
Algeria	Ain Lechiakh	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	Hassi Mef-soukh	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Algeria	Sedjerara	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain Defla	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	El Bayadh	2017	5.8%	7.0%	4.5%
Algeria	Kouinine	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Ain Bouihi	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Algeria	Nesmoth	2017	3.5%	4.4%	2.7%
Algeria	Sidi M'Hamed Benali	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Damous	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Algeria	M'Sara	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.7%
Algeria	Khedara	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Algeria	Thenia	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Timoudi	2017	1.7%	2.9%	0.8%
Algeria	Aouf	2017	4.3%	5.5%	3.4%
Algeria	Lichana	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.5%
Algeria	Merhoum	2017	4.8%	6.6%	3.6%
Algeria	Ben Choud	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Ouled Khelouf	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	El Kentara	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Ouled Hamla	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Akfadou	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Sidi Damed	2017	4.3%	5.7%	3.2%
Algeria	El Malabiodh	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.9%
Algeria	Dar Yagh-mouracene	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.5%
Algeria	Kalaat Bous-baa	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Smaoun	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Ouaguénoun	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Algeria	Souk Ahras	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Dar El Beida	2017	1.4%	1.7%	1.1%
Algeria	El Khroub	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Benaïcha Chelia	2017	2.1%	2.9%	1.4%
Algeria	Djanet	2017	2.0%	3.5%	0.9%
Algeria	Djemaa Ouled Cheikh	2017	2.6%	3.4%	2.0%
Algeria	Sidi Lakhdar	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Hannacha	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Algeria	El Guedid	2017	7.3%	8.9%	5.8%
Algeria	El Achir	2017	3.3%	4.1%	2.6%
Algeria	Oudjana	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Sidi Boubekour	2017	3.5%	4.3%	2.7%
Algeria	Zarzour	2017	4.4%	5.6%	3.3%
Algeria	Azazga	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Chellata	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	El Haouaita	2017	6.9%	9.3%	4.8%
Algeria	Boughezoul	2017	4.5%	5.8%	3.5%
Algeria	Ouzzelaguen	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	El Amria	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	1.4%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Sehailia	2017	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	Baraki	2017	1.4%	1.7%	1.1%
Algeria	Aokas	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	Chahna	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Tizi Ouzou	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Oum El Assel	2017	1.8%	3.2%	0.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Mekhadma	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Ain Ouksir	2017	5.0%	6.5%	3.7%
Algeria	El Biodh Sidi Cheikh	2017	5.6%	7.2%	4.3%
Algeria	Toudja	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Algeria	Taleb Larbi	2017	1.5%	2.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Sidi Amar	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Ain Fettah	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Sidi Makhlouf	2017	6.7%	8.5%	5.5%
Algeria	Djemaa Beni Habibi	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Hassi Fedoul	2017	6.2%	7.6%	5.1%
Algeria	Ouled Moumen	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Algeria	Yellel	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Tiberkanine	2017	2.0%	2.5%	1.5%
Algeria	El Haouch	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Boukais	2017	2.3%	3.7%	1.3%
Algeria	Ain Taghrout	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.4%
Algeria	Ain Fekka	2017	6.7%	8.5%	5.1%
Algeria	Touahria	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Douera	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%
Algeria	Zahana	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Algeria	Oued Sly	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Oum Ali	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.7%
Algeria	Djemila	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Souk Naamane	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2017	2.0%	2.8%	1.5%
Algeria	Berrouaghia	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Algeria	Tizi-Rached	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Souk El Had	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.8%
Algeria	Beni Khellad	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Algeria	Mekkedra	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Zoubiria	2017	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Algeria	Settara	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Algeria	Beni Fouda	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Taoudmout	2017	4.7%	6.4%	3.5%
Algeria	El Tarf	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Algeria	Ain Djasser	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Ouled Ahmed Temmi	2017	1.9%	2.9%	1.1%
Algeria	M_Ziraa	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.8%
Algeria	Mediouna	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Bouzareah	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Algeria	Chellalet Ladhaura	2017	4.0%	5.3%	2.7%
Algeria	Mascara	2017	2.0%	2.5%	1.5%
Algeria	Oum El Djellil	2017	3.2%	4.2%	2.2%
Algeria	Hamma Bouziane	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Algeria	Stitten	2017	6.0%	7.7%	4.5%
Algeria	Rouissat	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Tamlouka	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Algeria	El Fedjoudj Boughrara Saoudi	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Bouti Sayeh	2017	6.2%	8.1%	4.7%
Algeria	Gosbat	2017	2.4%	3.1%	1.8%
Algeria	Larbaa	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Algeria	El Marsa	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Ain Kermes	2017	6.4%	7.9%	5.2%
Algeria	Belaassel	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
	Bouzagza				
Algeria	Yatafene	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Boudjeriou	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
	Messaoud				
Algeria	Khenguet Sidi Nadji	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%
Algeria	Bouhatem	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Oued Endja	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Algeria	Bordj Omar Driss	2017	1.6%	2.8%	0.7%
	Bouhamza				
Algeria	Bouhamza	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Algeria	Aghbal	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.8%
Algeria	El Bnou	2017	4.9%	6.8%	3.4%
Algeria	Tidjelabine	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Sobha	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Algeria	Teleghma	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Trifaoui	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Ichmoul	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.7%
Algeria	Mezrenna	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Taouzianat	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Chemini	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Kenadsa	2017	2.0%	3.0%	1.3%
Algeria	Ain Kerma	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Algeria	Khalouia	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Ain Fekan	2017	2.2%	2.8%	1.7%
Algeria	Boughar	2017	2.9%	3.9%	2.0%
Algeria	Bir Kasdali	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.5%
Algeria	Sidi Okba	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Abi Youcef	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Boumahra	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
	Ahmed				
Algeria	Tsabit	2017	1.6%	2.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Djinet	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Mechouneche	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	Ain El Hadjar	2017	5.2%	6.3%	4.4%
Algeria	Raouraoua	2017	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%
Algeria	El Oued	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Ouled Aissa	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Haddada	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Algeria	In Guezzam	2017	1.6%	3.1%	0.6%
Algeria	Oum Toub	2017	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Chihani	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Algeria	Remila	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Algeria	El Kseur	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Algeria	Ain El Kercha	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Telagh	2017	2.9%	3.9%	2.1%
Algeria	Ouled Yaich	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.2%
Algeria	Fornaka	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	El Ouinet	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Taourga	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Kerzaz	2017	1.7%	2.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Ben Guecha	2017	1.4%	2.3%	0.8%
Algeria	Douis	2017	6.9%	8.6%	5.4%
Algeria	Benhar	2017	6.4%	7.5%	5.3%
Algeria	Mendes	2017	2.8%	3.6%	2.1%
Algeria	Hassi Fehal	2017	1.9%	3.2%	1.1%
Algeria	El Djazia	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Roknia	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	Seddouk	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	El Idrissia	2017	7.2%	8.8%	5.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Bou Hachana	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Algeria	Chettia	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Algeria	Relizane	2017	1.6%	2.0%	1.1%
Algeria	Sedraya	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.4%
Algeria	Serghine	2017	6.5%	7.9%	5.2%
Algeria	El Mahmal	2017	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Beni-Douala	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Algeria	Maala	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Sabra	2017	1.4%	1.9%	0.9%
Algeria	Mila	2017	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Miliana	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Timmimoun	2017	1.8%	2.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Annaba	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Ain Soltane	2017	5.2%	6.2%	4.4%
Algeria	Aissaouia	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.3%
Algeria	Taghzout	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Algeria	Sidi Khouiled	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Chorfa	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	Sidi Ghiles	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Algeria	Benian	2017	3.9%	4.8%	3.0%
Algeria	Ain Sidi Cherif	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Hammadi	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Algeria	El Oglia	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.0%
Algeria	Had Echkaalla	2017	3.3%	4.2%	2.6%
Algeria	Sayada	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Algeria	Minar Zarza	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Algeria	M'Lili	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.3%
Algeria	Tolga	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.6%
Algeria	Bir Ben Laabed	2017	2.2%	3.1%	1.6%
Algeria	Terga	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Sidi Marouf	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Algeria	Marsa Ben M'Hidi	2017	1.3%	2.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Draria	2017	1.5%	1.8%	1.2%
Algeria	Chaabet El Ham	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Ain Tallout	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.2%
Algeria	Bedjene	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Frass	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Algeria	Naama	2017	6.3%	8.1%	4.6%
Algeria	El Kerma	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Ras El Agba	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Algeria	Chelghoum Laid	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Algeria	Beidha Bordj	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.1%
Algeria	Ain M'Lila	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Algeria	Ghebala	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	El Hacaiba	2017	2.3%	3.3%	1.5%
Algeria	Barika	2017	2.4%	3.1%	1.8%
Algeria	Taya	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Draa-Kebila	2017	1.4%	1.8%	0.9%
Algeria	Thelidjene	2017	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
Algeria	El Hadjira	2017	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Algeria	In M'Guel	2017	1.6%	2.7%	0.9%
Algeria	Alaimia	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Algeria	Tessala-El-Merdja	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Algeria	Hamraia	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Algeria	Ain Tarek	2017	2.8%	3.7%	2.2%
Algeria	Sidi Khettab	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Algeria	Beni-Aziz	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Algeria	Zaouia El Abidia	2017	1.8%	2.6%	1.3%
Algeria	Ouldja Boul-balout	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Algeria	Ain Tedles	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Algeria	Beni Ikhlef	2017	1.7%	2.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Selmana	2017	5.9%	7.4%	4.8%
Algeria	Dar Chioukh	2017	6.8%	8.2%	5.6%
Algeria	Bouskene	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Algeria	Ksabi	2017	1.7%	3.2%	0.7%
Algeria	Ait Khelili	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Algeria	Kouas	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Algeria	Bekkaria	2017	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Algeria	Ras Ain Amirouche	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Algeria	Bou Hamdane	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Algeria	Tissemsilt	2017	5.8%	6.8%	5.0%
Egypt	Naqadah	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Egypt	New Damietta City	2000	7.1%	8.0%	6.1%
Egypt	Bir al-'Abd	2000	11.4%	29.6%	1.8%
Egypt	Kafr Shukr	2000	2.3%	2.8%	1.9%
Egypt	New Sawhaj City	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Egypt	Al-Badari	2000	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 1	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Dakhlah	2000	1.7%	5.3%	0.3%
Egypt	Al-Khankah	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Zaqaziq	2000	4.4%	5.6%	3.4%
Egypt	Ash-Shruq	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Egypt	Tanta	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Egypt	Diyarb Najm	2000	6.3%	7.4%	5.1%
Egypt	Al-Qanatir al-Khayriyah	2000	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Egypt	Minuf City	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Waqf	2000	1.3%	1.6%	1.0%
Egypt	Basyun	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Egypt	Ar-Riyad	2000	1.5%	2.5%	0.8%
Egypt	Marina al-'Alamayn as-Siyahiyah	2000	5.0%	31.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Farafirah	2000	3.1%	13.4%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah ash-Sharqiyah	2000	0.9%	3.1%	0.2%
Egypt	Tima	2000	2.3%	2.6%	1.9%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 1	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Egypt	Port Fuad 2	2000	3.6%	6.7%	1.0%
Egypt	Nasir Bush	2000	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Egypt	Matay	2000	0.8%	1.3%	0.6%
Egypt	Ancient Cairo	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Sawhaj 2	2000	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%
Egypt	Unorganized in Bani Suwayf	2000	3.9%	4.6%	3.1%
Egypt	Tahta City	2000	1.7%	2.0%	1.4%
Egypt	Fa'id	2000	0.8%	3.4%	0.3%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qahirah	2000	0.6%	4.4%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Dahab	2000	21.2%	89.6%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Waili	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Juhaynah al-Gharbiyah	2000	1.7%	2.1%	1.4%
Egypt	As-Salam	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Sidi Salim	2000	6.2%	8.1%	4.6%
Egypt	Al-'Ubur	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	West Nubariyah	2000	16.3%	78.6%	0.0%
Egypt	New Cairo 1	2000	0.3%	2.6%	0.0%
Egypt	Port Alexandria Police Department	2000	1.5%	3.5%	0.4%
Egypt	Al-Manzilah	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dilinat	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.2%
Egypt	Heliopolis	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Khalifa	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Imbabah	2000	4.6%	5.5%	3.7%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub	2000	4.2%	6.3%	2.6%
Egypt	Daraw	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Egypt	Marsa 'Alam	2000	18.7%	55.9%	0.3%
Egypt	Birkat as-Sab'	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Egypt	Tanta 1	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Egypt	Zifta	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.6%
Egypt	Mallawi City	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Egypt	Al-Minya	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Egypt	Al-Wasta	2000	2.7%	3.3%	2.2%
Egypt	Al-Qurayn	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Egypt	Kafr ash-Shaykh	2000	0.8%	1.6%	0.5%
Egypt	Manfalut	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.5%
Egypt	Al-'Umraniyah	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-Kawtar	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Egypt	Abu Radis	2000	19.4%	84.8%	0.0%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Qasimah	2000	19.4%	61.2%	0.3%
Egypt	Kirdasah	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub 2	2000	18.1%	25.0%	12.2%
Egypt	Sant Katrin	2000	20.0%	72.4%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Manakh	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 2	2000	1.1%	1.8%	0.4%
Egypt	An-Nuzhah	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Faqus	2000	0.7%	2.1%	0.2%
Egypt	Al-Laban	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Biba	2000	2.1%	2.6%	1.6%
Egypt	Abu al-Matamir	2000	6.5%	18.4%	3.0%
Egypt	Al-Ma'adi	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Abu Zenima	2000	16.4%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Basatin	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Ar-Rahmaniyah	2000	12.6%	15.2%	10.0%
Egypt	As-Sayidah Zaynab	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 1	2000	0.4%	0.8%	0.1%
Egypt	Atfih	2000	2.0%	3.1%	1.3%
Egypt	Ashmun	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Kharajah	2000	2.6%	6.6%	0.6%
Egypt	Ash-Sharabiyah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Nasr	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%
Egypt	Zarqa	2000	8.2%	9.4%	6.9%
Egypt	Al-Ibrahimiyah	2000	3.5%	4.6%	2.7%
Egypt	Itsa	2000	9.8%	11.0%	8.6%
Egypt	Asyut 2	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Egypt	Zamalik	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Isna	2000	1.5%	1.8%	1.2%
Egypt	Al-Janayin	2000	2.8%	10.0%	0.1%
Egypt	Tamiyah	2000	10.0%	11.0%	9.2%
Egypt	Port of Damietta Police Department	2000	7.5%	8.9%	6.0%
Egypt	New Minya City	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Asyut	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.2%
Egypt	Ismailia 1	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Egypt	Sahil Salim	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Egypt	Al-Bajur	2000	0.5%	0.9%	0.2%
Egypt	Abnub	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.8%
Egypt	Al-Mahmudiyah	2000	13.3%	15.5%	11.4%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 2	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Egypt	Luxor	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%
Egypt	Nasr City 1	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Taba	2000	24.0%	99.7%	0.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Suhaj	2000	1.1%	1.3%	1.0%
Egypt	Mit Salsil	2000	13.1%	22.4%	7.0%
Egypt	Abu Hummus	2000	3.6%	4.2%	3.0%
Egypt	Al-Muntazah	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Egypt	New Cairo 2	2000	0.4%	4.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Hihya	2000	3.2%	3.9%	2.6%
Egypt	Al-Hawamidiyah	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Egypt	Awlad Saqr	2000	3.2%	6.5%	1.9%
Egypt	Al-Ahram	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Egypt	Tahta	2000	1.7%	2.0%	1.5%
Egypt	'Ataqah	2000	2.1%	7.5%	0.1%
Egypt	Ismailia	2000	0.9%	2.2%	0.4%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 4	2000	14.9%	65.4%	0.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dab'ah	2000	2.7%	10.8%	0.3%
Egypt	Al-Maraghah	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%
Egypt	Shubra	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Minuf	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Port Fuad	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Egypt	As-Salum	2000	7.4%	26.5%	0.3%
Egypt	Al-'Idwah	2000	2.1%	3.1%	1.5%
Egypt	Bab Sharqi	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Sidi Jabir	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Ghanayim	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.6%
Egypt	Abu Kabir	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum	2000	3.5%	3.9%	3.1%
Egypt	Mit Ghamr	2000	1.1%	1.3%	0.8%
Egypt	Sharm el-Sheikh	2000	16.2%	63.3%	0.1%
Egypt	Idfu	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%
Egypt	Damietta 2	2000	3.1%	3.8%	2.6%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf City	2000	6.8%	13.8%	2.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Hasanah	2000	19.7%	75.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Dayr Mawas	2000	1.0%	1.1%	0.8%
Egypt	Faisal	2000	4.9%	14.8%	0.3%
Egypt	Biyala	2000	5.0%	6.5%	3.7%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Egypt	Helwan	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Giza	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Egypt	Qina	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Egypt	Aswan	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Egypt	Samannud	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Egypt	Nakhl	2000	23.3%	67.8%	0.6%
Egypt	Port Sa'id Police Department	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Minya City	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Muharam Bik	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 1	2000	0.5%	1.9%	0.0%
Egypt	Qus	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.9%
Egypt	Al-Baliyana	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Egypt	Unorganized in Ash Sharqiyah	2000	1.3%	3.4%	0.2%
Egypt	Ad-Dukhaylah	2000	1.2%	2.6%	0.6%
Egypt	Shubra Khit	2000	8.9%	10.7%	6.9%
Egypt	Marsa Matruh	2000	1.2%	3.0%	0.2%
Egypt	Armant	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Egypt	15 Mayu	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Shibin al-Qanatir	2000	2.6%	3.1%	2.1%
Egypt	Al-Arb'in	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Jirja	2000	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%
Egypt	Baris Shurtah	2000	19.6%	98.4%	0.0%
Egypt	Sheikh Zawid	2000	9.3%	37.8%	0.1%
Egypt	New Tushka City	2000	22.5%	99.9%	0.0%
Egypt	Ad-Darb al-Ahmar	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Port al-Basal	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-'Ayyat	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Egypt	Al-Marj	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Damietta	2000	5.7%	6.3%	5.0%
Egypt	New Asyut City	2000	2.6%	9.0%	0.7%
Egypt	Ibshaway	2000	2.7%	3.2%	2.2%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra	2000	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Egypt	Al-'Arab	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Fuwah	2000	3.5%	4.2%	2.9%
Egypt	Al-Qanayat	2000	7.4%	11.0%	4.4%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 2	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	At-Tebin	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-Hammam	2000	2.2%	12.2%	0.2%
Egypt	Rosetta	2000	7.2%	12.8%	3.7%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah 2	2000	19.0%	71.9%	0.0%
Egypt	Farshut	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Egypt	Quwaysina	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Sawhaj	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Naj' Ham-madi	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.8%
Egypt	Banha	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 3	2000	2.8%	16.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Bulaq	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Ad-Duqi	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	New Cairo 3	2000	0.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Talkha	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah	2000	2.9%	5.3%	0.7%
Egypt	Mubarak - Sharq at-Tafri'tah	2000	12.2%	19.7%	4.4%
Egypt	Ismailia 3	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Egypt	Kawm Umbu	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qalyubiyah	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Idku	2000	3.6%	4.8%	2.6%
Egypt	Az-Zaytun	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Ihnasiya	2000	2.1%	2.6%	1.7%
Egypt	Minya al-Qamh	2000	5.9%	7.0%	5.1%
Egypt	Mallawi	2000	1.4%	1.5%	1.2%
Egypt	Nasr City 2	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Wadi Al-Natron	2000	2.6%	5.4%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-'Amriyah	2000	1.2%	2.9%	0.4%
Egypt	Saqltah	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Egypt	Timay al-Imdid	2000	2.0%	12.7%	0.3%
Egypt	Tanta 2	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Egypt	Minyat an-Nasr	2000	3.2%	4.4%	2.1%
Egypt	Disuq	2000	2.2%	3.1%	1.5%
Egypt	Ras Gharib	2000	5.5%	17.4%	0.1%
Egypt	Aswan City	2000	3.1%	29.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Az-Zahir	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Bani Ubayd	2000	1.0%	2.3%	0.4%
Egypt	Damietta 1	2000	5.0%	6.0%	4.1%
Egypt	Unorganized in Qina	2000	1.1%	1.3%	1.0%
Egypt	Nuweiba'	2000	23.0%	76.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum City	2000	2.6%	4.0%	1.5%
Egypt	Badr	2000	0.9%	1.8%	0.3%
Egypt	Sumusta al-Waqf	2000	1.5%	1.8%	1.2%
Egypt	Burj al-'Arab	2000	4.3%	14.2%	0.4%
Egypt	Badr	2000	2.6%	43.9%	0.0%
Egypt	Ash-Shalatin	2000	18.0%	48.0%	1.1%
Egypt	Sidi Barrani	2000	6.5%	20.6%	0.3%
Egypt	Sixth of October 1 City	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Damanhur	2000	6.1%	6.8%	5.5%
Egypt	Al-Burulus	2000	1.0%	8.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Fath	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.9%
Egypt	Bulaq al-Dakrur	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah	2000	1.7%	9.1%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-Warraaq	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Port Suez Police Department	2000	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Iskandariyah	2000	17.2%	82.1%	0.0%
Egypt	'Abdin	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Ash-Shuhada	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Fayoum	2000	9.7%	11.9%	7.6%
Egypt	Al-Azbakiyah	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 1	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Fashn	2000	2.0%	2.8%	1.4%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Uqsur	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Egypt	Sinnuris	2000	7.2%	8.1%	6.3%
Egypt	Ismailia 2	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Egypt	Abu Qurqas	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Egypt	Tibah Police Dept.	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Egypt	Al-Manshah	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.8%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Buhayrah	2000	7.6%	14.5%	0.4%
Egypt	Sheikh Zayed	2000	0.3%	1.6%	0.1%
Egypt	Ad-Dawahy	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Egypt	Ityay al-Barud	2000	3.1%	3.7%	2.7%
Egypt	Sadat City	2000	3.1%	9.6%	0.0%
Egypt	Kafr Saqr	2000	3.4%	9.9%	1.7%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2000	0.8%	3.5%	0.1%
Egypt	As-Saff	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Shirbin	2000	7.3%	8.7%	6.0%
Egypt	As-Sajil	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Egypt	Qutur	2000	0.9%	1.7%	0.5%
Egypt	Al-Muski	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 2	2000	0.5%	3.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Hamul	2000	7.4%	12.6%	3.9%
Egypt	Rud al-Faraj	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Karmuz	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Jumruk	2000	1.1%	2.6%	0.3%
Egypt	Al-Khusus	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	As-Santah	2000	1.7%	2.5%	0.9%
Egypt	Tukh	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Egypt	Qallin	2000	1.9%	2.6%	1.3%
Egypt	Az-Zohur	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Nabaruh	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.7%
Egypt	Monshat Nasr	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Mutubis	2000	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%
Egypt	Qift	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Egypt	Shurtah	2000	3.7%	44.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Rumanah				
Egypt	Bani Mazar	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.7%
Egypt	Al-Badrashayn	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Egypt	Aja	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Egypt	Al-Qusiyah	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Egypt	Mahalat Dimnah	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Egypt	Hawsh 'Isa	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Egypt	Al-'Ajuzah	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Dishna	2000	1.9%	2.4%	1.5%
Egypt	Tala	2000	3.2%	3.9%	2.6%
Egypt	Rafah	2000	3.1%	13.6%	0.2%
Egypt	Zawiyya	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Hamra				
Egypt	Bab ash-Sha'riyah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Qasr an-Nil	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Dayrut	2000	0.7%	0.8%	0.5%
Egypt	Abu Tisht	2000	1.4%	1.6%	1.1%
Egypt	Safaja	2000	1.7%	7.5%	0.1%
Egypt	Mashtul	2000	10.8%	12.6%	9.1%
Egypt	as-Suq				
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 1	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Egypt	Siwa	2000	3.9%	14.3%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Qusayr	2000	7.1%	21.3%	0.4%
Egypt	Bilbays	2000	1.7%	2.9%	1.1%
Egypt	Al-'Usayrat	2000	1.1%	1.3%	0.8%
Egypt	Shibin al-Kawm	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Egypt	Maghaghah	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.7%
Egypt	Turah	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 1	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Egypt	Kafr ad-Dawwar	2000	2.1%	2.4%	1.8%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Bilqas	2000	5.6%	14.5%	2.2%
Egypt	Yusuf as-Sidiq	2000	3.9%	5.2%	3.0%
Egypt	Kafr Sa'd	2000	9.5%	10.5%	8.5%
Egypt	Unorganized in Aswan	2000	1.4%	2.6%	0.7%
Egypt	Asyut 1	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.7%
Egypt	Hada'iq al-Qubbah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Akhmim	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Egypt	'Ain Schams	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Sixth of October 2 City	2000	0.5%	1.4%	0.0%
Egypt	Qaha	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Egypt	New Akhmim City	2000	0.5%	1.9%	0.0%
Egypt	Abu Tij	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Egypt	Ras Sidr	2000	9.7%	33.9%	0.0%
Egypt	Qalyub	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Egypt	At-Tall al-Kabir	2000	2.0%	9.7%	0.3%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Jizah	2000	1.9%	4.6%	1.0%
Egypt	Dikirnis	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-Manasrah	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 2	2000	1.1%	1.9%	0.5%
Egypt	Abu Hammad	2000	10.4%	12.2%	9.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 2	2000	5.0%	21.0%	0.2%
Egypt	As-Sinbillawayn	2000	7.1%	8.5%	5.8%
Egypt	At-Tur	2000	7.4%	20.4%	0.4%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Bahariyah	2000	5.7%	61.4%	0.0%
Egypt	Faraskur	2000	12.9%	15.0%	10.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Asyut	2000	1.2%	1.4%	1.0%
Egypt	Kawm Hamadah	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Egypt	Sirs al-Layyanah	2000	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Egypt	Kafr az-Zayyat	2000	1.1%	1.2%	0.8%
Egypt	Al-Husayniyah	2000	6.0%	10.3%	1.9%
Egypt	Samalut	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Egypt	Sidfa	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Egypt	Al-Manshiyah	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	New Salhiyah	2000	1.3%	4.1%	0.5%
Egypt	Dar as-Salam	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 2	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Egypt	Al-'Atarin	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Ash-Sharq	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Minya	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Egypt	New Burj al-'Arab City	2000	5.7%	27.4%	0.2%
Egypt	Qina City	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Gamsa	2000	20.2%	28.2%	14.0%
Egypt	Suez	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-Mahmudiyah	2017	11.5%	13.3%	9.8%
Egypt	Al-'Umriyah	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Egypt	Kafr Saqr	2017	4.5%	12.9%	2.1%
Egypt	Tima	2017	1.5%	1.8%	1.2%
Egypt	Nuweiba'	2017	21.8%	70.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Sawhaj 2	2017	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Egypt	Bulaq al-Dakrur	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-Khankah	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Egypt	Isna	2017	1.4%	1.7%	1.2%
Egypt	Muharam Bik	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Egypt	Bir al-'Abd	2017	13.2%	36.6%	1.5%
Egypt	Al-Waqf	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.3%
Egypt	Port of Damietta Police Department	2017	7.6%	9.0%	6.2%
Egypt	Samannud	2017	1.8%	2.3%	1.3%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum	2017	5.2%	5.9%	4.7%
Egypt	Ash-Shruq	2017	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Egypt	Mallawi City	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.2%
Egypt	Al-Ghanayim	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 1	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Egypt	An-Nuzhah	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Heliopolis	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-'Arab	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Sharm el-Sheikh	2017	14.0%	52.1%	0.2%
Egypt	New Cairo 3	2017	0.7%	6.9%	0.0%
Egypt	Damietta	2017	5.3%	6.1%	4.7%
Egypt	Tahta City	2017	1.6%	2.0%	1.3%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 1	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Farafirah	2017	4.2%	16.6%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Minuf City	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qahirah	2017	0.7%	4.6%	0.0%
Egypt	New Cairo 1	2017	0.5%	5.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Abnub	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Egypt	Juhaynah al-Gharbiyah	2017	1.8%	2.2%	1.5%
Egypt	Qift	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 3	2017	3.1%	17.9%	0.1%
Egypt	Tanta 1	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Egypt	Ar-Riyad	2017	2.0%	3.3%	1.1%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Egypt	Al-Qanatir al-Khayriyah	2017	2.6%	3.4%	1.9%
Egypt	Armant	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Egypt	Al-Maraghah	2017	2.2%	3.0%	1.6%
Egypt	Sidi Jabir	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dilinat	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%
Egypt	Matay	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
Egypt	Atfih	2017	3.2%	4.7%	2.0%
Egypt	Nasir Bush	2017	2.5%	3.1%	1.9%
Egypt	Abu Radis	2017	18.2%	82.3%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Badari	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Egypt	As-Sayidah Zaynab	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Sidi Salim	2017	7.7%	9.9%	5.9%
Egypt	Al-Qantarah	2017	3.4%	5.7%	1.1%
Egypt	Al-'Ayyat	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Egypt	Al-Warraq	2017	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Egypt	Port Sa'id	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
	Police Department				
Egypt	Al-Waili	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Nasr	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.8%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Iskandariyah	2017	16.9%	74.6%	0.0%
Egypt	Itsa	2017	12.4%	13.8%	11.1%
Egypt	Siwa	2017	4.3%	15.7%	0.0%
Egypt	'Ataqah	2017	2.5%	8.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Hihya	2017	3.9%	4.8%	3.1%
Egypt	Al-Hamul	2017	7.2%	11.4%	4.6%
Egypt	Mubarak - Sharq at-Tafri'tah	2017	7.4%	12.5%	2.1%
Egypt	Al-Janayin	2017	3.5%	12.2%	0.2%
Egypt	Tibah Police Dept.	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Egypt	Tamiyah	2017	12.4%	13.6%	11.3%
Egypt	Al-Ibrahimiya	2017	3.8%	4.9%	2.9%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra 2	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.6%
Egypt	Al-Manakh	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Port al-Basal	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Egypt	Marina al-'Alamayn as-Siyahiyah	2017	4.5%	34.7%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Marj	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Bani Suwayf	2017	5.9%	6.9%	4.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Nasr City 2	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Zaqaziq	2017	5.7%	7.1%	4.6%
Egypt	Al-Khusus	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Muski	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Buhayrah	2017	6.3%	12.2%	0.4%
Egypt	Dayr Mawas	2017	1.3%	1.6%	1.1%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Dakhlah	2017	1.8%	5.4%	0.4%
Egypt	Ibshaway	2017	4.1%	4.8%	3.4%
Egypt	Dikirnis	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Egypt	Abu Tisht	2017	1.7%	1.9%	1.4%
Egypt	Qus	2017	1.1%	1.3%	1.0%
Egypt	Luxor	2017	0.6%	0.7%	0.5%
Egypt	Jirja	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%
Egypt	Timay al-Imdid	2017	3.0%	17.6%	0.4%
Egypt	Al-Hasanah	2017	18.8%	70.9%	0.0%
Egypt	Port Fuad	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Egypt	Az-Zahir	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Marsa 'Alam	2017	18.6%	59.2%	0.3%
Egypt	As-Salam	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Qallin	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.1%
Egypt	Banha	2017	1.6%	2.3%	1.2%
Egypt	Badr	2017	1.2%	2.5%	0.5%
Egypt	Al-Ganouh	2017	2.7%	4.5%	1.5%
Egypt	Aswan City	2017	2.8%	26.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Baliyana	2017	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Egypt	Qaha	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Egypt	Minyat an-Nasr	2017	2.2%	3.3%	1.4%
Egypt	Damietta 2	2017	5.2%	6.2%	4.3%
Egypt	Monshat Nasr	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Baris Shurtah	2017	19.0%	98.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Abu Kabir	2017	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 2	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Egypt	Mit Salsil	2017	13.0%	23.7%	6.9%
Egypt	Ismailia 1	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Egypt	Al-Ma'adi	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-Qurayn	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.8%
Egypt	Manfalut	2017	2.0%	3.0%	1.5%
Egypt	Al-Qantarash-Sharqiyah	2017	1.1%	3.4%	0.2%
Egypt	Hawsh 'Isa	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Egypt	15 Mayu	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Egypt	Dahab	2017	20.0%	81.9%	0.0%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 1	2017	0.6%	2.4%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Burulus	2017	1.2%	8.7%	0.1%
Egypt	Tala	2017	4.1%	4.9%	3.4%
Egypt	Al-Laban	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Kharijah	2017	3.0%	7.7%	0.6%
Egypt	Ash-Sharabiyah	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	As-Saff	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Egypt	Bulaq	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Ad-Duqi	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Egypt	Abu al-Matamir	2017	6.0%	16.7%	2.6%
Egypt	Ismailia	2017	1.1%	2.7%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah 2	2017	19.1%	74.2%	0.0%
Egypt	New Tushka City	2017	21.3%	99.8%	0.0%
Egypt	Kawm Umbu	2017	0.8%	0.9%	0.7%
Egypt	Ismailia 3	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Egypt	Unorganized in Ash Sharqiyah	2017	1.2%	4.1%	0.2%
Egypt	Ar-Raml 1	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-'Idwah	2017	2.5%	3.8%	1.9%
Egypt	Biyala	2017	6.1%	8.2%	3.9%
Egypt	Wadi Al-Natron	2017	1.6%	4.1%	0.1%
Egypt	Zifta	2017	2.0%	2.8%	1.0%
Egypt	Minuf	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Egypt	Sahil Salim	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Egypt	Nasr City 1	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Arb'in	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Egypt	Qutur	2017	1.5%	2.4%	0.7%
Egypt	Giza	2017	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Egypt	Zaqaziq 1	2017	1.4%	2.1%	1.0%
Egypt	Tukh	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Egypt	Ashmun	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Egypt	Al-Minya City	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Egypt	Talkha	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Egypt	Al-Fayyum City	2017	4.3%	6.4%	2.6%
Egypt	Damietta 1	2017	6.4%	7.6%	5.3%
Egypt	Imbabah	2017	4.4%	5.3%	3.7%
Egypt	West Nubariyah	2017	15.5%	75.5%	0.0%
Egypt	Shurtah al-Qasimah	2017	18.4%	59.8%	0.2%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Qalyubiyah	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Diyarb Najm	2017	7.1%	8.4%	5.9%
Egypt	Biba	2017	2.9%	3.6%	2.4%
Egypt	Sant Katrin	2017	19.5%	72.3%	0.0%
Egypt	Sixth of October 1 City	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-'Amriyah	2017	1.6%	3.5%	0.7%
Egypt	Tanta 2	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Egypt	Aswan	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Egypt	Ras Gharib	2017	5.4%	18.8%	0.2%
Egypt	Saqltah	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Egypt	Al-Ganoub 2	2017	12.9%	20.0%	7.6%
Egypt	Al-Khalifa	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Hawamidiyah	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Egypt	Unorganized in Suhaj	2017	1.3%	1.6%	1.1%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	10 Ramadan 2	2017	0.7%	5.3%	0.0%
Egypt	Kafr Shukr	2017	3.0%	3.7%	2.4%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Fayoum	2017	12.1%	14.5%	10.0%
Egypt	Al-Ghurdaqah	2017	2.2%	11.9%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Idfu	2017	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Egypt	Asyut 2	2017	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Uqsur	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Egypt	Awlad Saqr	2017	4.1%	7.6%	2.5%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 1	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.2%
Egypt	Ancient Cairo	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Basyun	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Egypt	Al-Qusiyah	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
Egypt	Unorganized in Asyut	2017	1.5%	2.4%	1.0%
Egypt	Dishna	2017	2.2%	2.7%	1.7%
Egypt	Rafah	2017	3.4%	15.3%	0.3%
Egypt	Naqadah	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Egypt	Port Suez Police Department	2017	0.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Bani Ubayd	2017	1.5%	3.3%	0.6%
Egypt	Al-Wahat al-Bahariyah	2017	4.8%	75.5%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-'Ajuzah	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Egypt	New Asyut City	2017	2.6%	9.1%	0.6%
Egypt	Al-Jamaliyah	2017	1.0%	4.5%	0.0%
Egypt	Minya al-Qamh	2017	7.0%	8.2%	6.0%
Egypt	Mahalat Dimnah	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Egypt	Birkat as-Sab'	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Egypt	New Salhiyah	2017	1.5%	3.7%	0.7%
Egypt	Bab Sharqi	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Samalut	2017	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Egypt	Sheikh Zawid	2017	9.6%	36.9%	0.1%
Egypt	Naj' Ham-madi	2017	1.2%	1.4%	1.1%
Egypt	Ad-Dawahy	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Egypt	New Damietta City	2017	9.0%	10.1%	7.8%
Egypt	Tanta	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Egypt	Mutubis	2017	0.3%	1.4%	0.1%
Egypt	Daraw	2017	1.3%	1.8%	0.9%
Egypt	Al-Basatin	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Egypt	Burj al-'Arab	2017	4.9%	15.1%	0.6%
Egypt	Badr	2017	2.4%	38.5%	0.0%
Egypt	New Sawhaj City	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Egypt	Ihnasiya	2017	3.8%	4.5%	3.1%
Egypt	Sumusta al-Waqf	2017	2.4%	2.9%	2.0%
Egypt	Zamalik	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Az-Zaytun	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Ad-Dukhaylah	2017	1.9%	3.7%	0.9%
Egypt	Port Alexandria Police Department	2017	2.0%	4.4%	0.6%
Egypt	Shibin al-Qanatir	2017	3.2%	3.9%	2.6%
Egypt	Kirdasah	2017	0.9%	1.1%	0.6%
Egypt	Ras Sidr	2017	8.7%	31.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Aswan	2017	1.6%	2.6%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Rosetta	2017	5.2%	10.3%	2.3%
Egypt	Damanhur	2017	5.9%	6.6%	5.1%
Egypt	Akhmim	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Egypt	Sawhaj	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.7%
Egypt	Farshut	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Egypt	Aja	2017	2.1%	2.6%	1.5%
Egypt	Port Fuad 2	2017	1.8%	3.1%	0.5%
Egypt	Ad-Darb al-Ahmar	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Manshah	2017	1.0%	1.1%	0.8%
Egypt	New Cairo 2	2017	0.6%	6.4%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Mahallah al-Kubra	2017	2.0%	2.6%	1.4%
Egypt	Sheikh Zayed	2017	0.3%	1.6%	0.1%
Egypt	Karmuz	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Jumruk	2017	1.5%	3.2%	0.5%
Egypt	'Abdin	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Mashtul as-Suq	2017	9.1%	10.7%	7.6%
Egypt	Yusuf as-Sidiq	2017	6.3%	9.0%	4.7%
Egypt	New Burj al-'Arab City	2017	6.7%	33.3%	0.2%
Egypt	Abu Qurqas	2017	1.6%	1.9%	1.3%
Egypt	Al-Qusayr	2017	7.3%	21.3%	0.6%
Egypt	Qina	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.2%
Egypt	Az-Zohur	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Zawiyya Al-Hamra	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Nakhl	2017	22.2%	65.9%	0.9%
Egypt	Ash-Shuhada	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.3%
Egypt	Bani Suwayf City	2017	4.2%	9.6%	1.5%
Egypt	Ityay al-Barud	2017	3.5%	4.3%	3.0%
Egypt	Shurtah Rumanah	2017	4.5%	51.8%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Badrashayn	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 4	2017	15.3%	67.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Egypt	Al-Fath	2017	1.3%	1.6%	1.1%
Egypt	Faisal	2017	4.7%	13.8%	0.3%
Egypt	Al-'Usayrat	2017	1.2%	1.4%	0.9%
Egypt	Al-Minya	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Egypt	Kawm Hamadah	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Egypt	Ash-Sharq	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Dar as-Salam	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Egypt	Qalyub	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Egypt	Kafr ash-Shaykh	2017	1.1%	2.0%	0.7%
Egypt	Sadat City	2017	3.6%	12.9%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-Muntazah	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Egypt	At-Tur	2017	6.3%	17.5%	0.4%
Egypt	Qina City	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	At-Tall al-Kabir	2017	1.9%	10.8%	0.2%
Egypt	Al-Azbakiyah	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Sinnuris	2017	9.1%	10.2%	8.2%
Egypt	Bilqas	2017	7.0%	15.8%	3.0%
Egypt	Disuq	2017	3.3%	4.4%	2.2%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Zaqaziq 2	2017	1.3%	2.2%	0.7%
Egypt	Kafr Sa'd	2017	10.0%	11.1%	9.0%
Egypt	Sidfa	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Egypt	Sirs al-Layyanah	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.1%
Egypt	Marsa Matruh	2017	1.5%	3.6%	0.3%
Egypt	Quwaysina	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Egypt	Abu Tij	2017	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Egypt	Sixth of October 2 City	2017	0.6%	2.5%	0.0%
Egypt	Ash-Shalatin	2017	17.9%	48.0%	1.0%
Egypt	Bab ash-Sha'riyah	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Fashn	2017	3.1%	4.2%	2.3%
Egypt	Fuwah	2017	4.6%	5.4%	3.8%
Egypt	As-Sajil	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Hada'iq al-Qubbah	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	As-Santah	2017	2.5%	3.7%	1.5%
Egypt	Al-'Ubur	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Manasrah	2017	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Egypt	Maghaghah	2017	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Jizah	2017	2.0%	5.2%	1.2%
Egypt	Turah	2017	0.6%	1.4%	0.1%
Egypt	Abu Hummus	2017	3.8%	4.4%	3.2%
Egypt	Zarqa	2017	6.7%	7.5%	5.8%
Egypt	Nabaruh	2017	2.2%	3.3%	1.1%
Egypt	Shirbin	2017	5.8%	7.1%	4.8%
Egypt	Faraskur	2017	13.5%	15.5%	11.6%
Egypt	Ismailia 2	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
Egypt	As-Salum	2017	8.5%	30.2%	0.3%
Egypt	Helwan	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Bani Mazar	2017	1.3%	1.6%	1.1%
Egypt	Idku	2017	2.5%	3.7%	1.7%
Egypt	Suez	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-'Arish 2	2017	6.8%	28.9%	0.3%
Egypt	Dayrut	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.6%
Egypt	Taba	2017	22.6%	99.3%	0.0%
Egypt	Unorganized in Qina	2017	1.2%	1.3%	1.0%
Egypt	Al-Manshiyah	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Gamsa	2017	18.1%	25.1%	12.3%
Egypt	Al-'Atarin	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Egypt	Tahta	2017	1.5%	1.8%	1.3%
Egypt	Ad-Dab'ah	2017	2.7%	9.2%	0.3%
Egypt	Bilbays	2017	2.3%	3.7%	1.6%
Egypt	'Ain Schams	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-Wasta	2017	4.9%	5.8%	4.1%
Egypt	Abu Zenima	2017	15.7%	100.0%	0.0%
Egypt	Al-Mansurah 2	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Egypt	New Akhmim City	2017	0.6%	2.3%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-Bajur	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.3%
Egypt	Faqus	2017	1.0%	2.6%	0.3%
Egypt	Qasr an-Nil	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Asyut 1	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Egypt	Shubra al-Khaymah 2	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Egypt	Shibin al-Kawm	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Egypt	Kafr ad-Dawwar	2017	2.7%	3.1%	2.3%
Egypt	Mallawi	2017	2.0%	2.2%	1.7%
Egypt	Shubra	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Egypt	Safaja	2017	1.1%	4.7%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-Matariyah	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Egypt	Shubra Khit	2017	8.9%	10.8%	6.6%
Egypt	Abu Hammad	2017	7.6%	8.9%	6.6%
Egypt	Al-Hammam	2017	4.1%	28.0%	0.2%
Egypt	As-Sinbillawayn	2017	8.0%	9.4%	6.4%
Egypt	Rud al-Faraj	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Egypt	Ar-Rahmaniyah	2017	11.8%	14.3%	9.3%
Egypt	Mit Ghamr	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
Egypt	Unorganized in Al Minya	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.1%
Egypt	Sidi Barrani	2017	8.0%	24.5%	0.3%
Egypt	At-Tebin	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.1%
Egypt	Asyut	2017	1.3%	1.6%	1.0%
Egypt	Al-Husayniyah	2017	5.9%	10.2%	2.1%
Egypt	Al-Kawtar	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Egypt	Fa'id	2017	0.9%	4.4%	0.3%
Egypt	Al-Qanayat	2017	8.9%	12.9%	5.5%
Egypt	New Minya City	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-Manzilah	2017	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%
Egypt	Al-Ahram	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Egypt	Kafr az-Zayyat	2017	1.5%	1.8%	1.2%
Iraq	Al Noamania	2000	17.9%	28.9%	9.8%
Iraq	Al Amarah	2000	12.9%	17.5%	9.0%
Iraq	Dahuk	2000	8.6%	14.7%	4.2%
Iraq	Badrah	2000	10.9%	18.6%	5.2%
Iraq	Halabja	2000	2.5%	5.7%	0.6%
Iraq	Choman	2000	5.5%	10.4%	2.3%
Iraq	Al Miamona	2000	12.1%	20.8%	6.1%
Iraq	Al Qurnah	2000	1.8%	3.7%	0.8%
Iraq	Basrah	2000	0.8%	1.8%	0.4%
Iraq	Shatrah	2000	11.0%	17.0%	6.1%
Iraq	Sinjar	2000	10.9%	16.6%	6.0%
Iraq	Penjwin	2000	0.9%	3.0%	0.2%
Iraq	Chamchamal	2000	3.4%	6.7%	1.3%
Iraq	Al Kufa	2000	6.9%	12.6%	2.9%
Iraq	Bayji	2000	12.7%	18.4%	7.9%
Iraq	Arbil	2000	6.8%	10.0%	4.3%
Iraq	Zakho	2000	5.5%	9.2%	2.5%
Iraq	Al Jadwal al Gharbi	2000	9.4%	18.2%	3.8%
Iraq	Samarra	2000	15.7%	22.1%	10.8%
Iraq	Al Ham-daniyah	2000	14.2%	21.3%	8.6%
Iraq	Soran	2000	6.5%	11.2%	3.2%
Iraq	Rumaitha	2000	30.6%	36.0%	25.5%
Iraq	Dukan	2000	1.8%	4.4%	0.5%
Iraq	Al Kut	2000	16.2%	21.7%	11.8%
Iraq	Amedi	2000	8.4%	14.5%	4.0%
Iraq	Mergasur	2000	8.4%	17.4%	3.0%
Iraq	Al-Mada'in	2000	17.1%	28.6%	8.5%
Iraq	Ain Al Tamur	2000	6.2%	13.7%	1.8%
Iraq	Al Faw	2000	5.4%	16.0%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Al Khithir	2000	19.4%	24.3%	14.8%
Iraq	Shatt Al Arab	2000	1.6%	3.8%	0.5%
Iraq	Ba'qubah	2000	7.7%	13.3%	3.9%
Iraq	Al Hillah	2000	15.3%	24.0%	8.0%
Iraq	Talafar	2000	11.2%	15.4%	7.3%
Iraq	Anah	2000	2.7%	6.2%	0.8%
Iraq	Al Mahawil	2000	20.9%	31.0%	12.5%
Iraq	Al Shirkat	2000	14.8%	24.1%	8.0%
Iraq	As Suwayrah	2000	16.5%	22.2%	11.6%
Iraq	Rania	2000	4.3%	9.4%	1.7%
Iraq	Al Madiana	2000	1.9%	4.4%	0.6%
Iraq	Ali al Gharbi	2000	11.8%	17.9%	6.2%
Iraq	Al Kahla	2000	11.6%	21.8%	4.7%
Iraq	As Samawah	2000	29.5%	35.3%	24.0%
Iraq	Balad Ruz	2000	9.5%	16.1%	5.1%
Iraq	Tikrit	2000	14.5%	21.5%	9.1%
Iraq	Kalar	2000	4.2%	9.8%	1.4%
Iraq	Al Door	2000	13.4%	22.7%	6.7%
Iraq	Sulaymaniya	2000	2.4%	4.5%	1.1%
Iraq	Ar Ramadi	2000	3.3%	5.4%	1.6%
Iraq	Al Manathera	2000	6.4%	11.6%	3.3%
Iraq	Darbandokeh	2000	1.0%	2.3%	0.3%
Iraq	Makhmur	2000	11.0%	15.9%	6.9%
Iraq	Al Fallujah	2000	5.4%	9.2%	3.1%
Iraq	Tilkef	2000	14.0%	21.6%	7.1%
Iraq	Kirkuk	2000	4.4%	7.2%	2.4%
Iraq	Kifri	2000	8.1%	14.2%	3.5%
Iraq	Afak	2000	16.9%	25.7%	10.6%
Iraq	Ar Rutbah	2000	4.3%	6.6%	2.5%
Iraq	As Salman	2000	12.5%	18.2%	7.9%
Iraq	Abu Ghraib	2000	5.5%	13.1%	1.7%
Iraq	Kadhimiya	2000	4.1%	9.7%	1.2%
Iraq	Al Khalis	2000	7.4%	12.8%	3.6%
Iraq	Shaqlawah	2000	3.6%	7.1%	1.4%
Iraq	Al Shikhan	2000	11.0%	20.1%	5.6%
Iraq	Suq ash Shuyukh	2000	8.4%	14.2%	4.3%
Iraq	Abu al Khasib	2000	2.1%	5.5%	0.5%
Iraq	Chibayish	2000	5.8%	10.6%	2.9%
Iraq	Koisnjaq	2000	4.4%	8.6%	1.5%
Iraq	Dibis	2000	5.2%	12.3%	1.7%
Iraq	Karbala	2000	7.8%	13.6%	2.6%
Iraq	Adhamiya	2000	5.2%	8.6%	2.3%
Iraq	Mosul	2000	14.8%	19.1%	10.7%
Iraq	Khanaqin	2000	5.2%	8.9%	2.6%
Iraq	Shekhan	2000	15.6%	25.5%	7.9%
Iraq	Haweeja	2000	9.5%	14.8%	5.2%
Iraq	Hatra	2000	12.7%	18.7%	8.0%
Iraq	Al Mijar al Kabir	2000	15.0%	24.4%	8.1%
Iraq	An Nasiriyah	2000	8.8%	13.0%	5.7%
Iraq	Balad Refai	2000	14.8%	28.6%	6.9%
Iraq	Al Hayy	2000	9.3%	14.7%	5.3%
Iraq	Al Haditha	2000	15.0%	22.6%	8.5%
Iraq	Al Haditha	2000	3.3%	7.5%	1.0%
Iraq	Sharbazher	2000	1.5%	3.6%	0.5%
Iraq	Pshdar	2000	4.4%	9.1%	1.1%
Iraq	Ad Diwaniyah	2000	16.9%	25.4%	10.7%
Iraq	Al-Faris	2000	14.5%	24.0%	7.5%
Iraq	Al Hashimiyah	2000	19.9%	29.2%	12.2%
Iraq	Al Zubair	2000	2.4%	4.8%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Simele	2000	6.9%	12.5%	3.4%
Iraq	Al Misiab	2000	14.6%	28.1%	5.5%
Iraq	Al Qa'im	2000	2.3%	4.9%	0.7%
Iraq	Shamiya	2000	18.4%	25.0%	12.2%
Iraq	Touz Hourmato	2000	11.3%	16.4%	7.2%
Iraq	Al Miqdadiyah	2000	6.1%	10.4%	3.2%
Iraq	Akre	2000	7.4%	13.0%	3.5%
Iraq	Najaf	2000	4.8%	7.5%	2.8%
Iraq	Daquq	2000	5.6%	10.6%	2.4%
Iraq	Qal'at Salih	2000	10.5%	18.9%	4.5%
Iraq	Al Hamza	2000	17.7%	24.6%	11.3%
Iraq	Hit	2000	4.2%	7.9%	1.8%
Iraq	Mahmudiya	2000	16.0%	24.9%	9.3%
Iraq	Al Ba'aj	2000	9.9%	14.5%	6.4%
Iraq	Choman	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Iraq	Sulaymaniya	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Ad Diwaniyah	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.2%
Iraq	Al Noamania	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.2%
Iraq	Al-Faris	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Iraq	Ba'qubah	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Iraq	Adhamiya	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Kufa	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Iraq	Anah	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Shatt Al Arab	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Shatrah	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Iraq	Al Khithir	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
Iraq	Al Mijar al Kabir	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Iraq	Al Qurnah	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Penjwin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Fallujah	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Makhmur	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Iraq	Basrah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Hamdaniyah	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Iraq	Talafar	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Iraq	Al Mahawil	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.3%
Iraq	Al Jadwal al Gharbi	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Iraq	Al-Mada'in	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Iraq	Badrah	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Iraq	Rumaita	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Iraq	An Nasiriyah	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Iraq	Mosul	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Iraq	Afak	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.3%
Iraq	Ain Al Tamur	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Khalis	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Iraq	Tilkef	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Iraq	Halabja	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Bayji	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Iraq	Sharbazher	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Karbala	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Iraq	Chamchamal	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Zakho	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Iraq	Dahuk	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Iraq	Samarra	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Iraq	Amedi	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Iraq	Tikrit	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Iraq	Balad Ruz	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Iraq	Refai	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Iraq	Qal'at Salih	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Iraq	Mahmudiya	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Iraq	Mergasur	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Iraq	Soran	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Misiab	2017	0.3%	1.1%	0.1%
Iraq	Al Amarah	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.2%
Iraq	Al Shikhan	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Iraq	Suq ash Shuyukh	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Hillah	2017	0.5%	1.2%	0.2%
Iraq	Chibayish	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Iraq	Abu Ghraib	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Iraq	Ali al Gharbi	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Iraq	Kalar	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Kifri	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Iraq	As Salman	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Iraq	Shekhan	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Iraq	Ar Rutbah	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Shamiya	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.2%
Iraq	As Suwayrah	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Iraq	Abu al Khasib	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Rania	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Dukan	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Kut	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%
Iraq	Al Miamona	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Iraq	Shaqlawa	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Arbil	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Kirkuk	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Dibis	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Madiana	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Qa'im	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Iraq	Koisnjaq	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Shirkat	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Iraq	Al Ba'aj	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Iraq	Balad	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Iraq	Najaf	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Faw	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Iraq	Sinjar	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Iraq	Pshdar	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Miq-dadiyah	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Iraq	Darbandokeh	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Door	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Iraq	Haweeja	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Iraq	Khanaqin	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Hashimiyah	2017	0.7%	1.4%	0.3%
Iraq	Al Hamza	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Iraq	Al Manathera	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Iraq	Daquq	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Hayy	2017	0.4%	0.8%	0.1%
Iraq	Akre	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Iraq	As Samawah	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Iraq	Al Zubair	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Simele	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Iraq	Kadhimiya	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Al Haditha	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Hatra	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Iraq	Al Kahla	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Iraq	Ar Ramadi	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Hit	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Iraq	Touz Hour-mato	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Jordan	Azraq	2000	5.3%	13.1%	1.7%
Jordan	Ayy	2000	1.3%	7.0%	0.2%
Jordan	Quaira	2000	2.5%	4.7%	1.1%
Jordan	Aghwar	2000	3.5%	14.7%	0.5%
Jordan	Shamaliyyeh				
Jordan	Madaba	2000	1.1%	2.0%	0.7%
Jordan	Tayybeh	2000	1.1%	2.2%	0.5%
Jordan	Salt	2000	1.5%	2.5%	0.7%
Jordan	Ghour El-Mazra'ah	2000	1.8%	3.1%	1.0%
Jordan	Amman	2000	0.5%	1.3%	0.2%
Jordan	Bani Kenanah	2000	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%
Jordan	Mafraq	2000	1.5%	2.6%	0.8%
Jordan	Kora	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Jordan	Bsaira	2000	1.3%	2.8%	0.7%
Jordan	Ardhah	2000	2.1%	5.5%	0.7%
Jordan	Jizeh	2000	2.5%	4.8%	1.1%
Jordan	Sahab	2000	1.3%	3.8%	0.3%
Jordan	Mowaqqar	2000	0.9%	3.5%	0.1%
Jordan	Jarash	2000	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Jordan	Sabha	2000	2.3%	4.9%	1.0%
Jordan	Bierain	2000	0.7%	1.4%	0.3%
Jordan	Na'oor	2000	0.6%	1.7%	0.1%
Jordan	Bal'ama	2000	0.7%	2.2%	0.2%
Jordan	Wadi Musa	2000	1.1%	3.2%	0.4%
Jordan	Sama Serhan	2000	1.9%	8.9%	0.3%
Jordan	Dhiban	2000	1.2%	4.1%	0.2%
Jordan	Wadi Essier	2000	0.9%	2.2%	0.3%
Jordan	Karak	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%
Jordan	Iel	2000	1.9%	4.0%	0.8%
Jordan	Ajloun	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Jordan	Kofranjah	2000	0.6%	1.2%	0.2%
Jordan	Ma'an	2000	1.9%	3.7%	1.0%
Jordan	Tafileh	2000	1.4%	2.9%	0.7%
Jordan	Zarqa	2000	0.9%	2.5%	0.3%
Jordan	Husseiniyyeh	2000	3.3%	6.8%	1.3%
Jordan	Shooneh	2000	4.6%	9.2%	2.4%
Jordan	Janoobiyyeh				
Jordan	Aqaba	2000	16.1%	21.6%	9.1%
Jordan	Um El-Basatien	2000	2.1%	5.0%	0.4%
Jordan	Ramtha	2000	3.3%	10.5%	0.9%
Jordan	Qasr	2000	2.0%	3.0%	1.3%
Jordan	Shoabak	2000	1.0%	2.7%	0.2%
Jordan	Wadi Arabah	2000	3.1%	10.9%	0.5%
Jordan	Ar-Ruwayshid	2000	3.3%	5.8%	1.7%
Jordan	Mazar Janoobi	2000	0.9%	2.5%	0.3%
Jordan	Al-Balqa	2000	1.8%	5.0%	0.4%
Jordan	Wastiyyeh	2000	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%
Jordan	Irbid	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.1%
Jordan	Mazar Shamali	2000	1.4%	3.7%	0.6%
Jordan	Ghour Essafi	2000	2.0%	14.2%	0.0%
Jordan	Hesa	2000	1.8%	4.2%	0.7%
Jordan	Faqqoo'	2000	2.1%	5.8%	0.6%
Jordan	Hariema	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Jordan	Dair Alla	2000	1.3%	2.5%	0.7%
Jordan	Hesa	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Jordan	Na'oor	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jordan	Kofranjah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Jordan	Um El-Basatien	2017	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Jordan	Irbid	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jordan	Al-Balqa	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Jordan	Amman	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Jordan	Bani Kenanah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jordan	Tafileh	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Jordan	Dhiban	2017	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Jordan	Tayybeh	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Jordan	Ramtha	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Jordan	Zarqa	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jordan	Ar-Ruwayshid	2017	0.5%	1.2%	0.2%
Jordan	Ghour El-Mazra'ah	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Jordan	Iel	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Jordan	Shoabak	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Jordan	Sahab	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Jordan	Wadi Essier	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jordan	Mazar Janoobi	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Jordan	Hariema	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jordan	Salt	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Jordan	Mafrq	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Jordan	Azraq	2017	0.3%	1.1%	0.1%
Jordan	Mowaqqar	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Jordan	Dair Alla	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Jordan	Ma'an	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Jordan	Mazar Shamali	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Jordan	Karak	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jordan	Sabha	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Jordan	Ghour Essafi	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Jordan	Shooneh Janoobiyyeh	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.2%
Jordan	Ayy	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Jordan	Quaira	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Jordan	Ardhah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jordan	Bsaira	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Jordan	Wadi Musa	2017	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Jordan	Sama Serhan	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Jordan	Faqoo'	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Jordan	Bierain	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jordan	Wadi Arabah	2017	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Jordan	Wastiyyeh	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jordan	Madaba	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Jordan	Qasr	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Jordan	Aghwar Shamaliyyeh	2017	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Jordan	Jarash	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jordan	Aqaba	2017	7.0%	10.7%	2.9%
Jordan	Bal'ama	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jordan	Jizeh	2017	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Jordan	Ajloun	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Jordan	Husseiniyyeh	2017	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Jordan	Kora	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Misratah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Marqab	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Wahat	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Jabal al Gharbi	2000	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
Libya	Tripoli	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Libya	Surt	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Benghazi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Wadi al Hayat	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Jifarah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Nalut	2000	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
Libya	Murzuq	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Darnah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Marj	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Ghat	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
Libya	Al Butnan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Az Zawiyah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Jabal al Akhdar	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Sabha	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Wadi ash Shati'	2000	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
Libya	An Nuqat al Khams	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Kufrah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Jufrah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Marj	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Misratah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Jufrah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Jabal al Akhdar	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Nalut	2017	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
Libya	Ghat	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Sabha	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Murzuq	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Marqab	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Wadi al Hayat	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Az Zawiyah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Wahat	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Darnah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Tripoli	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	An Nuqat al Khams	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Benghazi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Jabal al Gharbi	2017	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
Libya	Wadi ash Shati'	2017	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
Libya	Al Kufrah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Butnan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Al Jifarah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Libya	Surt	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Morocco	Zagora	2000	21.1%	50.4%	2.9%
Morocco	Kénitra	2000	20.0%	34.3%	8.7%
Morocco	Rabat	2000	12.4%	17.5%	8.7%
Morocco	Assa-Zag	2000	28.5%	90.8%	0.1%
Morocco	Khémisset	2000	27.2%	69.7%	5.5%
Morocco	Agadir-Ida ou Tanane	2000	22.9%	27.8%	18.6%
Morocco	Guelmim	2000	27.1%	52.4%	8.6%
Morocco	Ouarzazate	2000	31.6%	51.2%	17.7%
Morocco	Khouribga	2000	34.4%	49.5%	20.2%
Morocco	Errachidia	2000	31.7%	51.3%	13.3%
Morocco	Marrakech	2000	18.0%	26.1%	11.3%
Morocco	Chichaoua	2000	45.2%	68.0%	25.8%
Morocco	Nador	2000	34.8%	55.9%	18.6%
Morocco	El Hajeb	2000	35.5%	59.1%	14.7%
Morocco	Settat	2000	31.2%	53.3%	16.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Morocco	Khénifra	2000	36.3%	56.9%	19.5%
Morocco	Fahs Anjra	2000	20.3%	33.7%	11.8%
Morocco	Sefrou	2000	39.0%	55.7%	22.1%
Morocco	Casablanca	2000	19.1%	23.7%	15.0%
Morocco	Larache	2000	32.0%	52.6%	16.8%
Morocco	Jerada	2000	34.8%	72.2%	7.1%
Morocco	Tiznit	2000	27.9%	56.7%	6.4%
Morocco	Azilal	2000	51.1%	70.8%	32.7%
Morocco	Oujda Angad	2000	21.0%	27.4%	15.7%
Morocco	El Kelaâ des Sraghna	2000	39.7%	60.8%	21.4%
Morocco	Skhirate-Témara	2000	22.1%	34.8%	11.1%
Morocco	Al Hoceïma	2000	37.8%	54.8%	22.0%
Morocco	Tétouan	2000	52.2%	62.5%	42.8%
Morocco	Boulemane	2000	33.3%	75.9%	6.9%
Morocco	Safi	2000	23.8%	35.8%	13.2%
Morocco	Taounate	2000	44.9%	59.9%	30.9%
Morocco	Ifrane	2000	39.2%	64.7%	16.8%
Morocco	Sidi Kacem	2000	36.6%	51.0%	21.1%
Morocco	Taza	2000	36.0%	53.1%	21.1%
Morocco	Zouagha-Moulay Yacoub	2000	18.4%	25.6%	12.0%
Morocco	Al Haouz	2000	41.1%	58.9%	26.6%
Morocco	Taroudannt	2000	36.5%	52.7%	22.3%
Morocco	Tanger-Assilah	2000	16.0%	28.1%	7.5%
Morocco	Chtouka-Aït Baha	2000	20.9%	40.7%	7.2%
Morocco	Figuig	2000	31.1%	63.7%	6.4%
Morocco	Béni Mellal	2000	41.5%	64.0%	20.3%
Morocco	El Jadida	2000	28.9%	41.6%	18.7%
Morocco	Meknès	2000	27.6%	45.7%	15.0%
Morocco	Ben Slimane	2000	27.6%	44.6%	13.6%
Morocco	Berkane	2000	32.1%	74.0%	6.0%
Morocco	Taurirt	2000	18.0%	26.4%	11.4%
Morocco	Inezgane-Aït Melloul	2000	33.4%	62.0%	9.8%
Morocco	Essaouira	2000	26.0%	88.9%	0.0%
Morocco	Laâyoune	2000	29.5%	66.5%	5.3%
Morocco	Tata	2000	14.0%	20.5%	8.5%
Morocco	Fès	2000	14.9%	22.8%	9.2%
Morocco	Mohammedia	2000	5.4%	20.5%	1.5%
Morocco	Tan-Tan	2000	10.4%	19.1%	4.9%
Morocco	Chefchaouen	2000	32.9%	55.1%	15.4%
Morocco	Khouribga	2017	33.5%	48.2%	20.1%
Morocco	Boulemane	2017	33.4%	76.0%	7.1%
Morocco	Fès	2017	13.7%	20.1%	8.5%
Morocco	Zagora	2017	21.1%	50.6%	3.0%
Morocco	Tanger-Assilah	2017	16.3%	31.2%	7.5%
Morocco	Rabat	2017	12.9%	17.8%	9.0%
Morocco	Kénitra	2017	20.2%	34.8%	8.6%
Morocco	El Hajeb	2017	34.8%	58.2%	14.3%
Morocco	Sidi Kacem	2017	36.3%	50.4%	21.5%
Morocco	Skhirate-Témara	2017	23.5%	38.2%	10.1%
Morocco	Ouarzazate	2017	31.8%	51.0%	17.9%
Morocco	Al Hoceïma	2017	37.9%	55.2%	22.4%
Morocco	Chichaoua	2017	45.2%	67.5%	25.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Morocco	Chtouka-Aït Baha	2017	21.1%	40.5%	7.2%
Morocco	Al Haouz	2017	41.4%	59.8%	26.7%
Morocco	Errachidia	2017	32.0%	51.0%	13.8%
Morocco	Tétouan	2017	51.4%	62.0%	41.0%
Morocco	Fahs Anjra	2017	20.8%	34.9%	12.2%
Morocco	Jerada	2017	35.1%	72.4%	7.0%
Morocco	Khénifra	2017	37.0%	58.8%	19.7%
Morocco	Laâyoune	2017	26.1%	89.5%	0.0%
Morocco	Meknès	2017	28.2%	45.4%	15.5%
Morocco	Nador	2017	35.4%	56.0%	18.2%
Morocco	Tiznit	2017	27.5%	55.9%	6.5%
Morocco	Ben Slimane	2017	27.8%	43.9%	13.7%
Morocco	Marrakech	2017	19.0%	27.2%	11.8%
Morocco	El Kelaâ des Sraghna	2017	40.0%	60.9%	21.5%
Morocco	Khémisset	2017	27.1%	69.7%	5.3%
Morocco	Assa-Zag	2017	28.6%	90.3%	0.1%
Morocco	Figuig	2017	31.2%	63.5%	5.9%
Morocco	Guelmim	2017	25.9%	52.8%	7.9%
Morocco	Larache	2017	32.5%	53.2%	17.3%
Morocco	Settat	2017	30.9%	49.7%	17.0%
Morocco	Béni Mellal	2017	41.8%	64.4%	20.9%
Morocco	Azilal	2017	51.5%	71.0%	33.3%
Morocco	Sefrou	2017	39.7%	56.5%	22.4%
Morocco	Taza	2017	35.8%	51.3%	21.7%
Morocco	Taounate	2017	44.8%	59.2%	31.2%
Morocco	Agadir-Ida ou Tanane	2017	23.8%	28.5%	19.6%
Morocco	Safi	2017	23.7%	35.0%	13.5%
Morocco	Oujda Angad	2017	19.6%	25.3%	14.8%
Morocco	Zouagha-Moulay Yacoub	2017	17.3%	24.2%	11.3%
Morocco	Essaouira	2017	33.5%	62.1%	9.7%
Morocco	El Jadida	2017	29.5%	41.6%	18.9%
Morocco	Casablanca	2017	20.2%	25.5%	15.6%
Morocco	Inezgane-Aït Melloul	2017	18.7%	27.1%	12.0%
Morocco	Tan-Tan	2017	7.0%	31.9%	1.4%
Morocco	Berkane	2017	32.5%	73.8%	6.3%
Morocco	Taourirt	2017	29.6%	66.1%	5.1%
Morocco	Tata	2017	39.8%	64.9%	17.7%
Morocco	Ifrane	2017	33.1%	55.2%	15.2%
Morocco	Chefchaouen	2017	33.1%	55.2%	15.2%
Morocco	Taroudannt	2017	36.8%	53.1%	22.5%
Morocco	Mohammedia	2017	14.4%	21.6%	9.2%
Morocco	Salé	2017	10.7%	20.5%	5.0%
Sudan	Um Al Gura	2000	46.2%	61.3%	31.9%
Sudan	Al Gutaina	2000	48.9%	55.6%	42.3%
Sudan	Shendi	2000	33.6%	37.8%	29.4%
Sudan	Dilling	2000	56.9%	62.2%	50.7%
Sudan	Mukjar	2000	60.3%	65.6%	55.0%
Sudan	Berber	2000	32.6%	41.8%	24.9%
Sudan	Al Galabat	2000	57.0%	62.9%	51.1%
Sudan	Sowdari	2000	54.8%	57.8%	51.9%
Sudan	Karary	2000	21.8%	28.0%	16.9%
Sudan	Zallingi	2000	61.2%	66.7%	55.5%
Sudan	Baw	2000	55.7%	64.0%	47.5%
Sudan	Al Gadaref	2000	57.3%	65.2%	49.8%
Sudan	Kassala	2000	44.5%	50.5%	37.0%
Sudan	Tulus	2000	51.7%	64.0%	37.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	East al Gazera	2000	47.0%	58.1%	35.7%
Sudan	Kutum	2000	52.8%	57.2%	48.1%
Sudan	As Salam	2000	50.5%	58.2%	41.8%
Sudan	Al Rahd	2000	54.6%	60.4%	47.6%
Sudan	Kadugli	2000	61.2%	66.6%	55.9%
Sudan	Al Fushqa	2000	58.4%	63.9%	52.9%
Sudan	Um Rawaba	2000	50.9%	55.1%	47.7%
Sudan	Ad Dinder	2000	50.5%	57.6%	43.2%
Sudan	Um Kadada	2000	49.5%	53.7%	45.7%
Sudan	Ghebeish	2000	46.2%	51.8%	40.4%
Sudan	Ad Douiem	2000	48.1%	55.1%	41.8%
Sudan	Omdurman	2000	28.7%	37.2%	20.4%
Sudan	Hamashkorieb	2000	50.5%	60.5%	40.3%
Sudan	Al Roseires	2000	44.9%	51.4%	37.2%
Sudan	Sharq al Gazera	2000	42.2%	53.7%	32.1%
Sudan	Nyala	2000	43.6%	49.7%	37.6%
Sudan	Al Gash	2000	49.9%	57.3%	42.0%
Sudan	Kabkabiya	2000	56.4%	61.9%	50.2%
Sudan	Dongola	2000	31.5%	35.4%	28.2%
Sudan	Wadi Halfa	2000	38.5%	42.4%	34.6%
Sudan	Seteet	2000	53.3%	60.2%	46.2%
Sudan	Abu Jubaiyah	2000	57.7%	63.5%	52.1%
Sudan	Abyei	2000	52.5%	57.5%	48.1%
Sudan	Khartoum	2000	11.3%	14.0%	9.3%
Sudan	Geissan	2000	58.2%	66.5%	49.1%
Sudan	Ad Damer	2000	32.6%	42.4%	22.5%
Sudan	Talodi	2000	59.7%	65.2%	53.9%
Sudan	Bara	2000	37.8%	44.9%	31.7%
Sudan	Al Deain	2000	51.1%	55.4%	46.9%
Sudan	Al Faw	2000	54.4%	59.5%	47.6%
Sudan	Al Geneina	2000	56.8%	61.6%	50.4%
Sudan	Al Matammah	2000	36.1%	40.4%	31.3%
Sudan	Lagawa	2000	55.0%	60.4%	48.5%
Sudan	Tokar	2000	56.6%	60.7%	52.6%
Sudan	Um Badda	2000	45.5%	54.7%	36.1%
Sudan	Abu Hamad	2000	40.2%	43.5%	36.7%
Sudan	Al Kurumik	2000	60.1%	67.8%	51.5%
Sudan	Sheikan	2000	48.5%	53.7%	44.2%
Sudan	Kosti	2000	42.0%	49.2%	34.7%
Sudan	Nyala	2000	48.1%	54.5%	41.4%
Sudan	En Nuhud	2000	46.9%	50.5%	42.7%
Sudan	Khartoum Bahri	2000	24.1%	31.4%	17.5%
Sudan	South al Gazera	2000	53.8%	65.2%	43.8%
Sudan	Sennar	2000	54.6%	60.0%	49.2%
Sudan	Al Jabalian	2000	55.0%	63.3%	46.7%
Sudan	Addabah	2000	33.0%	36.9%	29.0%
Sudan	Atbara	2000	35.1%	41.7%	28.1%
Sudan	Jebrat al Sheikh	2000	48.5%	52.4%	44.2%
Sudan	Ad Damazin	2000	38.8%	47.4%	29.9%
Sudan	Id El Ghanem	2000	54.2%	59.2%	48.8%
Sudan	Singa	2000	47.5%	55.2%	39.7%
Sudan	Halayeb	2000	51.8%	56.1%	47.0%
Sudan	Port Sudan	2000	35.4%	44.9%	28.6%
Sudan	Buram	2000	57.9%	62.0%	54.2%
Sudan	Sinkat	2000	58.4%	61.7%	54.9%
Sudan	Merawi	2000	26.4%	30.4%	22.9%
Sudan	Sharg En Nile	2000	37.4%	43.7%	29.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Kas	2000	51.6%	64.2%	38.8%
Sudan	Al Mahagil	2000	51.6%	63.3%	38.8%
Sudan	Mellit	2000	56.8%	59.9%	54.1%
Sudan	Rashad	2000	57.3%	64.8%	50.2%
Sudan	Nahr Atbara	2000	49.5%	57.8%	41.1%
Sudan	Al Kamlin	2000	44.3%	58.2%	30.5%
Sudan	South Khar- toun	2000	33.9%	44.2%	23.0%
Sudan	North al Gaz- era	2000	50.0%	59.5%	39.1%
Sudan	Al Fasher	2000	46.9%	51.9%	41.2%
Sudan	Al Mahagil	2017	57.6%	68.2%	44.9%
Sudan	Um Kadada	2017	57.1%	61.4%	53.2%
Sudan	As Salam	2017	56.8%	65.4%	47.2%
Sudan	Dilling	2017	62.9%	68.6%	56.4%
Sudan	Al Kamlin	2017	50.9%	64.4%	36.0%
Sudan	Kutum	2017	59.3%	63.7%	54.7%
Sudan	Jebrat al Sheikh	2017	56.2%	60.4%	51.8%
Sudan	Sennar	2017	59.5%	65.4%	53.3%
Sudan	Khartoum	2017	21.2%	26.8%	16.9%
Sudan	Al Fushqa	2017	64.8%	70.6%	57.7%
Sudan	Berber	2017	40.4%	50.7%	30.9%
Sudan	Baw	2017	62.0%	70.9%	52.7%
Sudan	Al Jabalian	2017	59.4%	67.9%	50.6%
Sudan	Al Kurumik	2017	65.5%	73.7%	56.0%
Sudan	Al Galabat	2017	60.7%	66.7%	53.6%
Sudan	Seteet	2017	61.7%	68.1%	54.2%
Sudan	Kosti	2017	50.3%	57.8%	42.4%
Sudan	Lagawa	2017	60.7%	66.1%	53.9%
Sudan	Zallingi	2017	66.0%	71.1%	60.6%
Sudan	Um Al Gura	2017	51.2%	64.6%	38.3%
Sudan	Al Gadaref	2017	65.7%	73.3%	58.0%
Sudan	Merawi	2017	34.9%	39.6%	30.4%
Sudan	Al Geneina	2017	62.9%	67.6%	56.6%
Sudan	Nahr Atbara	2017	55.8%	65.2%	46.7%
Sudan	Mukjar	2017	66.4%	71.4%	60.9%
Sudan	Tulus	2017	58.2%	70.0%	43.8%
Sudan	South al Gaz- era	2017	56.3%	67.2%	46.3%
Sudan	Tokar	2017	63.5%	67.7%	59.3%
Sudan	Geissan	2017	63.8%	72.1%	54.5%
Sudan	Al Gash	2017	57.8%	65.1%	50.7%
Sudan	Ad Damazin	2017	48.9%	59.5%	37.8%
Sudan	Port Sudan	2017	41.9%	50.7%	34.0%
Sudan	Karary	2017	30.6%	38.3%	23.8%
Sudan	Mellit	2017	63.1%	66.0%	60.2%
Sudan	Sowdari	2017	61.1%	63.7%	58.3%
Sudan	Ad Dinder	2017	56.1%	64.0%	47.9%
Sudan	Dongola	2017	37.8%	42.4%	33.7%
Sudan	Wadi Halfa	2017	43.8%	49.0%	38.3%
Sudan	Khartoum Bahri	2017	34.4%	42.9%	26.2%
Sudan	Al Deain	2017	57.2%	61.1%	53.5%
Sudan	Ghebeish	2017	52.2%	57.6%	46.3%
Sudan	Ad Douiem	2017	56.0%	63.3%	48.8%
Sudan	Ad Damer	2017	43.3%	54.6%	30.4%
Sudan	Halayeb	2017	58.1%	64.0%	51.4%
Sudan	Talodi	2017	65.6%	71.0%	59.8%
Sudan	Addabah	2017	40.5%	44.6%	36.3%
Sudan	Hamashkorieb	2017	58.1%	68.0%	46.8%
Sudan	Omdurman	2017	35.8%	45.7%	25.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	Bara	2017	47.3%	55.0%	40.5%
Sudan	En Nuhud	2017	53.6%	57.4%	49.3%
Sudan	Kadugli	2017	67.2%	72.1%	61.3%
Sudan	Singa	2017	54.7%	62.1%	47.2%
Sudan	Um Rawaba	2017	57.3%	61.8%	53.4%
Sudan	Sinkat	2017	66.1%	69.7%	62.3%
Sudan	Sharg En Nile	2017	48.7%	54.8%	41.5%
Sudan	Abu Hamad	2017	47.2%	51.3%	42.9%
Sudan	Al Matammah	2017	44.0%	49.1%	38.1%
Sudan	Sharq al Gazera	2017	49.9%	59.4%	40.3%
Sudan	Rashad	2017	63.2%	69.9%	55.8%
Sudan	Al Gutaina	2017	56.1%	63.6%	49.0%
Sudan	Al Roseires	2017	53.5%	61.3%	44.2%
Sudan	Um Badda	2017	54.8%	64.1%	44.9%
Sudan	Kabkabiya	2017	63.9%	68.8%	58.7%
Sudan	Shendi	2017	42.9%	48.7%	38.0%
Sudan	Nyala	2017	50.1%	55.8%	43.7%
Sudan	Buram	2017	64.2%	67.9%	60.6%
Sudan	Al Faw	2017	61.7%	66.2%	56.0%
Sudan	Nyala	2017	53.2%	59.1%	47.4%
Sudan	Atbara	2017	42.3%	49.6%	34.3%
Sudan	South Khartoum	2017	43.6%	56.1%	30.8%
Sudan	Sheikan	2017	56.3%	61.3%	51.7%
Sudan	Abyei	2017	58.9%	64.8%	53.9%
Sudan	Id El Ghanem	2017	60.4%	65.5%	54.9%
Sudan	Abu Jubaiyah	2017	63.0%	69.6%	56.3%
Sudan	Al Fasher	2017	53.8%	58.5%	48.1%
Sudan	Al Rahd	2017	60.4%	66.4%	53.5%
Sudan	East al Gazera	2017	54.3%	65.3%	43.3%
Sudan	Kas	2017	58.6%	69.8%	45.7%
Sudan	Kassala	2017	53.5%	59.6%	46.0%
Sudan	North al Gazera	2017	57.1%	66.2%	46.0%
Syria	Duma	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Syria	An-Nabk	2000	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Syria	Talkalakh	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Al-Mukharram	2000	9.2%	16.1%	4.4%
Syria	Shahba	2000	0.6%	2.1%	0.0%
Syria	Baniyas	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Ma'arrat al-Numan	2000	0.5%	1.2%	0.1%
Syria	Yabrud	2000	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Syria	Jableh	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Syria	Jabal Sam'an	2000	0.8%	1.7%	0.3%
Syria	Afrin	2000	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Syria	Latakia	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Arihah	2000	0.9%	2.5%	0.3%
Syria	Tal Abyad	2000	4.5%	9.4%	1.7%
Syria	Mayadin	2000	1.5%	2.8%	0.7%
Syria	Qardaha	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Qatana	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Syria	Quneitra	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Syria	Hama	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Damascus	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Duraykish	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Syria	Daraa	2000	1.0%	2.8%	0.2%
Syria	Deir ez-Zor	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Syria	Ar-Raqqah	2000	1.6%	2.5%	0.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Syria	Al-Haffah	2000	0.4%	0.9%	0.1%
Syria	Izra'	2000	0.7%	2.5%	0.1%
Syria	Darayya	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Syria	Al Bab	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Syria	A'zaz	2000	0.5%	1.2%	0.1%
Syria	At-Tall	2000	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Syria	Harem	2000	0.5%	2.5%	0.0%
Syria	As-Safirah	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Syria	Markaz Rif Dimashq	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Ath-Thawrah	2000	0.8%	1.8%	0.3%
Syria	Ra's al-'Ayn	2000	3.5%	7.8%	1.1%
Syria	Safita	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Manbij	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Syria	Jisr ash-Shugur	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Syria	Salkhad	2000	0.7%	2.1%	0.1%
Syria	Tartus	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Al Qamishli	2000	1.3%	6.4%	0.0%
Syria	As-Sanamayn	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Syria	As-Suwayda	2000	1.1%	2.9%	0.4%
Syria	Palmyra	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Syria	'Ayn al-'Arab	2000	5.3%	11.4%	1.9%
Syria	Jarabulus	2000	0.8%	2.9%	0.0%
Syria	As-Suqaylabiyah	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Syria	Ar-Rastan	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Syria	Idlib	2000	0.9%	4.8%	0.0%
Syria	Al-Qusayr	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Syria	Al-Hasakah	2000	2.2%	8.9%	0.2%
Syria	Abu Kamal	2000	1.1%	1.9%	0.5%
Syria	Al-Malikiyah	2000	1.1%	5.0%	0.0%
Syria	Ash-Shaykh Badr	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Syria	Hims	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Syria	Salamiyah	2000	1.2%	2.9%	0.4%
Syria	Al-Qutayfah	2000	0.4%	1.8%	0.0%
Syria	Muhardeh	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Syria	Zabadani	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Syria	Masyaf	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Tartus	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Daraa	2017	1.0%	2.7%	0.2%
Syria	Jabal Sam'an	2017	0.7%	1.5%	0.3%
Syria	Ma'arrat al-Numan	2017	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Syria	Latakia	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Tal Abyad	2017	4.4%	8.9%	1.7%
Syria	Harem	2017	0.5%	2.4%	0.0%
Syria	Qardaha	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	As-Suqaylabiyah	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Syria	Mayadin	2017	1.6%	3.3%	0.7%
Syria	Shahba	2017	0.5%	1.9%	0.0%
Syria	Ath-Thawrah	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.2%
Syria	Duraykish	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Syria	As-Sanamayn	2017	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Syria	Markaz Rif Dimashq	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Baniyas	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Zabadani	2017	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Syria	Safita	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Arihah	2017	0.9%	2.3%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Syria	Quneitra	2017	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Syria	Al-Mukharram	2017	8.8%	15.2%	4.2%
Syria	Hama	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Jarabulus	2017	0.6%	2.1%	0.0%
Syria	Al-Hasakah	2017	2.1%	8.7%	0.2%
Syria	Jisr ash-Shugur	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Syria	Ra's al-'Ayn	2017	3.4%	7.3%	1.1%
Syria	Al Bab	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Syria	Al-Haffah	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Syria	As-Safirah	2017	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Syria	Duma	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Syria	Talkalakh	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Syria	Ar-Raqqah	2017	1.6%	2.6%	0.9%
Syria	As-Suwayda	2017	1.1%	2.8%	0.3%
Syria	Damascus	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Yabrud	2017	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Syria	Al Qamishli	2017	1.4%	6.4%	0.0%
Syria	A'zaz	2017	0.4%	1.2%	0.1%
Syria	Jableh	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Syria	Al-Qutayfah	2017	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Syria	Salkhad	2017	0.6%	2.0%	0.1%
Syria	Darayya	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Syria	Izra'	2017	0.6%	2.4%	0.1%
Syria	Salamiyah	2017	1.1%	2.8%	0.4%
Syria	Manbij	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Syria	Palmyra	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Syria	Al-Qusayr	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Syria	An-Nabk	2017	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Syria	At-Tall	2017	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Syria	Masyaf	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Deir ez-Zor	2017	1.6%	2.4%	1.1%
Syria	Abu Kamal	2017	1.2%	2.2%	0.5%
Syria	Muhardeh	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Syria	Idlib	2017	0.9%	4.6%	0.0%
Syria	Al-Malikiyah	2017	1.1%	5.4%	0.0%
Syria	'Ayn al-'Arab	2017	5.0%	10.1%	1.9%
Syria	Ar-Rastan	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Hims	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Syria	Ash-Shaykh Badr	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Syria	Qatana	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Syria	Afrin	2017	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Tozeur	2000	2.0%	3.6%	0.9%
Tunisia	Kalaa Khesba	2000	12.1%	17.9%	6.8%
Tunisia	Hergla	2000	8.6%	18.7%	3.0%
Tunisia	Bekalta	2000	12.6%	33.9%	2.4%
Tunisia	Ksour Essef	2000	12.2%	32.7%	2.8%
Tunisia	Mazzouna	2000	19.1%	28.1%	12.5%
Tunisia	El Krib	2000	5.9%	8.4%	3.9%
Tunisia	Sidi Aich	2000	7.5%	13.1%	3.3%
Tunisia	Kef Est	2000	5.7%	8.5%	3.5%
Tunisia	Tinja	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Tunisia	Borj El Amri	2000	1.0%	2.0%	0.3%
Tunisia	Bir El Hfay	2000	27.6%	33.6%	22.4%
Tunisia	Hammam Ghezaz	2000	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
Tunisia	Omrane Supérieur	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Goubellat	2000	3.0%	4.8%	1.6%
Tunisia	Hidra	2000	17.4%	27.6%	10.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Est	2000	30.0%	37.8%	23.4%
Tunisia	Thala	2000	18.0%	22.6%	13.6%
Tunisia	Kairouan Sud	2000	27.7%	32.5%	23.5%
Tunisia	Matmata	2000	1.4%	3.4%	0.4%
Tunisia	Beni Khedache	2000	0.9%	2.0%	0.2%
Tunisia	Oued Ellil	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Tunisia	Houmt Souk	2000	1.0%	2.0%	0.2%
Tunisia	Sbiba	2000	22.7%	28.2%	17.4%
Tunisia	Dar Chaabane El Fehri	2000	0.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Tunisia	Oued Mliz	2000	6.2%	9.0%	3.9%
Tunisia	Sabkhet Sijoumi	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Hencha	2000	17.3%	37.3%	5.2%
Tunisia	Sejnane	2000	1.3%	3.0%	0.4%
Tunisia	Hbira	2000	25.3%	40.1%	13.1%
Tunisia	Menzel Bourguiba	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Tunisia	Gafsa Nord	2000	4.8%	7.1%	2.9%
Tunisia	Bardo	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Takelsa	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Tunisia	Sbikha	2000	21.0%	25.8%	16.8%
Tunisia	Foussana	2000	29.3%	38.1%	22.8%
Tunisia	Souk Jedid	2000	29.8%	37.3%	21.0%
Tunisia	Jerissa	2000	8.5%	13.0%	4.9%
Tunisia	Bou Argoub	2000	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Tunisia	Lake Ichkeul	2000	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Tunisia	Sebkhat Sidi El Hani	2000	21.6%	35.9%	9.8%
Tunisia	Oueslatia	2000	20.3%	29.2%	13.2%
Tunisia	Sousse Sidi Abdelhamid	2000	8.8%	21.1%	2.1%
Tunisia	Ghomrassen	2000	0.9%	2.4%	0.2%
Tunisia	Grombalia	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Tunisia	Fouchana	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Tabarka	2000	2.3%	4.5%	1.1%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzaiene	2000	16.8%	22.3%	11.3%
Tunisia	Jammel	2000	15.8%	34.1%	3.9%
Tunisia	Metouia	2000	3.1%	6.0%	1.3%
Tunisia	Haffouz	2000	29.2%	37.6%	20.7%
Tunisia	Mornag	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Tunisia	Sidi El Heni	2000	23.7%	37.9%	12.3%
Tunisia	Tataouine Sud	2000	0.8%	2.1%	0.2%
Tunisia	Mornaguia	2000	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%
Tunisia	Bousalem	2000	8.5%	12.0%	5.8%
Tunisia	Bourouis	2000	5.6%	9.7%	2.9%
Tunisia	Jendouba Nord	2000	5.7%	7.4%	4.0%
Tunisia	Ksar	2000	1.8%	2.8%	1.0%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Ouest	2000	34.4%	42.0%	27.8%
Tunisia	Kebili Sud	2000	1.8%	3.4%	0.8%
Tunisia	Sahline	2000	12.8%	29.0%	3.2%
Tunisia	Ayoun	2000	23.0%	29.3%	18.1%
Tunisia	Metlaoui	2000	2.4%	4.5%	1.2%
Tunisia	Es Sers	2000	5.8%	9.8%	3.0%
Tunisia	Sidi El Béchir	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Sakiet Sidi Youssef	2000	5.3%	10.2%	2.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Nadhour	2000	8.5%	12.5%	4.8%
Tunisia	Carthage	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Nebeur	2000	5.6%	8.3%	3.2%
Tunisia	Nefza	2000	2.1%	4.4%	0.9%
Tunisia	Ksour	2000	8.3%	12.5%	4.8%
Tunisia	El Mourouj	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Hrairia	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Téboursouk	2000	6.7%	9.9%	4.1%
Tunisia	Hammam Lif	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Sfax Sud	2000	9.3%	23.4%	1.7%
Tunisia	Manouba	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Tunisia	Jendouba Sud	2000	5.8%	8.0%	4.1%
Tunisia	Bir Mchergua	2000	0.8%	1.6%	0.3%
Tunisia	Sayada-Lamta-Bou Hjar	2000	10.8%	28.9%	2.0%
Tunisia	Sidi Makhlouf	2000	0.6%	1.4%	0.2%
Tunisia	Kalaat Senan	2000	8.9%	16.1%	4.3%
Tunisia	Cité El Khadra	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Menzel Temime	2000	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Tunisia	Ben Arous	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Ouled Chamekh	2000	23.1%	37.0%	11.5%
Tunisia	Chrarda	2000	27.0%	37.4%	17.0%
Tunisia	Raoued	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Jebeniana	2000	12.9%	31.7%	2.7%
Tunisia	Médina	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Testour	2000	5.5%	8.3%	3.2%
Tunisia	Dhiba	2000	1.4%	5.3%	0.2%
Tunisia	Ariana Médina	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Hammam Sousse	2000	10.4%	22.9%	2.7%
Tunisia	Hassi El Ferid	2000	21.8%	29.8%	15.8%
Tunisia	Bizerte Nord	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Tunisia	Saouaf	2000	3.7%	7.3%	1.4%
Tunisia	Kairouan Nord	2000	27.3%	34.8%	20.3%
Tunisia	Skhira	2000	7.6%	16.9%	2.8%
Tunisia	El Alia	2000	0.5%	1.0%	0.1%
Tunisia	Zaghouan	2000	0.7%	1.5%	0.1%
Tunisia	Béja Sud	2000	6.7%	10.5%	4.1%
Tunisia	Jedeliane	2000	15.7%	21.2%	11.6%
Tunisia	Bab Souika	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Ghardimaou	2000	5.0%	7.9%	3.0%
Tunisia	Unknown1	2000	8.8%	24.4%	1.4%
Tunisia	Majel Be-labbes	2000	11.7%	17.5%	7.4%
Tunisia	Jedaida	2000	0.6%	1.2%	0.2%
Tunisia	Kalaa Kebira	2000	14.4%	27.8%	5.2%
Tunisia	Radès	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Ettadhamen	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Tunisia	Soliman	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Tunisia	Belkhir	2000	4.1%	7.2%	2.3%
Tunisia	Tajerouine	2000	7.2%	10.3%	4.5%
Tunisia	Beni Khiar	2000	0.5%	1.9%	0.1%
Tunisia	Boumerdès	2000	18.1%	34.6%	5.8%
Tunisia	Sidi Hassine	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Sfax Ouest	2000	7.0%	19.0%	1.1%
Tunisia	Oum Larais	2000	3.6%	5.9%	1.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Sidi Alouane	2000	14.6%	33.7%	3.6%
Tunisia	Menzel Chaker	2000	20.4%	36.2%	9.2%
Tunisia	Sened	2000	5.9%	9.4%	3.3%
Tunisia	El Tahrir	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Sfax Médina	2000	7.0%	20.2%	1.1%
Tunisia	Moknine	2000	12.0%	31.7%	2.8%
Tunisia	Menzel Jemil	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Tunisia	Kebili Nord	2000	1.9%	3.5%	0.8%
Tunisia	Kerkennah	2000	7.0%	19.4%	0.9%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ezzit	2000	12.7%	30.2%	2.6%
Tunisia	Utique	2000	0.4%	0.9%	0.1%
Tunisia	Mareth	2000	0.8%	1.8%	0.3%
Tunisia	El Menzah	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	El Ghraiba	2000	10.1%	21.4%	2.9%
Tunisia	Sousse Jaouhara	2000	9.4%	21.8%	2.1%
Tunisia	Haouaria	2000	0.4%	1.4%	0.1%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ed-daier	2000	8.9%	23.4%	1.6%
Tunisia	Bouficha	2000	1.0%	2.8%	0.1%
Tunisia	Beni Khalled	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Tunisia	Ouled Haffouz	2000	28.9%	37.0%	20.9%
Tunisia	Unknown	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Dahmani	2000	6.9%	10.5%	4.2%
Tunisia	Siliana Sud	2000	8.1%	12.4%	5.3%
Tunisia	Guetar	2000	2.6%	4.8%	1.3%
Tunisia	Sebkhit El Kabla	2000	18.9%	31.7%	9.9%
Tunisia	Meknassi	2000	25.4%	32.0%	19.3%
Tunisia	Nasrallah	2000	31.2%	40.3%	22.7%
Tunisia	Sidi Bou Ali	2000	11.3%	25.1%	4.1%
Tunisia	Jelma	2000	26.0%	35.5%	17.6%
Tunisia	Kabaria	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Bembla	2000	13.2%	30.6%	3.2%
Tunisia	Sidi Ali Ben Aoun	2000	19.9%	24.4%	15.8%
Tunisia	Souk El Ahed	2000	1.6%	3.1%	0.7%
Tunisia	Ghannouch	2000	2.1%	4.2%	0.8%
Tunisia	Mdhilla	2000	1.9%	3.3%	0.9%
Tunisia	Ras Jebel	2000	0.3%	1.0%	0.1%
Tunisia	Ben Guerdane	2000	0.8%	2.9%	0.1%
Tunisia	Degueche	2000	1.9%	3.5%	0.9%
Tunisia	Korba	2000	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
Tunisia	El Jem	2000	18.6%	37.2%	5.7%
Tunisia	Ksibet El Mediouni	2000	14.5%	35.1%	3.2%
Tunisia	Médenine Sud	2000	0.6%	1.5%	0.2%
Tunisia	Kef Ouest	2000	5.2%	8.4%	2.8%
Tunisia	Bab Bhar	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Gabès Sud	2000	1.6%	2.9%	0.5%
Tunisia	Monastir	2000	11.7%	28.3%	2.8%
Tunisia	Ksar Hellal	2000	11.9%	31.6%	2.3%
Tunisia	Chebika	2000	30.0%	38.8%	22.4%
Tunisia	Tataouine Nord	2000	0.8%	2.0%	0.1%
Tunisia	Menzel Habib	2000	3.1%	6.1%	1.5%
Tunisia	Bargou	2000	8.0%	12.6%	4.5%
Tunisia	Béja Nord	2000	5.9%	8.7%	3.8%
Tunisia	Kalaa Sghira	2000	13.3%	27.7%	4.0%
Tunisia	Makthar	2000	9.7%	14.4%	6.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Nouvelle Médina	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Tamaghza	2000	2.9%	6.3%	1.1%
Tunisia	Laroussa	2000	5.9%	9.5%	3.0%
Tunisia	Regueb	2000	28.6%	36.7%	20.9%
Tunisia	Ghazala	2000	0.7%	1.5%	0.2%
Tunisia	Ezzahra	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Kondar	2000	17.4%	28.9%	9.9%
Tunisia	Akouda	2000	11.8%	25.6%	3.7%
Tunisia	El Mida	2000	0.4%	1.2%	0.1%
Tunisia	Remada	2000	1.4%	3.5%	0.3%
Tunisia	La Goulette	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Amdoun	2000	6.3%	8.6%	4.7%
Tunisia	Gabès Médina	2000	1.8%	3.1%	0.6%
Tunisia	El Ouardia	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	M'Hamdia	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Tunisia	Fernana	2000	4.7%	7.5%	2.6%
Tunisia	Nabeul	2000	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Tunisia	Médenine Nord	2000	0.8%	1.6%	0.3%
Tunisia	Zeramdine	2000	18.0%	35.0%	6.0%
Tunisia	Soukra	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Samar	2000	0.7%	1.6%	0.1%
Tunisia	Sebkhet El Moknine	2000	11.1%	31.0%	2.2%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Ariana	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Kesra	2000	15.3%	23.0%	9.8%
Tunisia	Siliana Nord	2000	6.3%	9.4%	4.0%
Tunisia	Hazoua	2000	2.1%	5.3%	0.5%
Tunisia	Joumine	2000	2.4%	3.9%	1.2%
Tunisia	Beni Hassen	2000	15.9%	34.2%	4.6%
Tunisia	Boumhel	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Djerba Ajim	2000	0.8%	1.9%	0.2%
Tunisia	Melloulech	2000	12.3%	32.2%	2.5%
Tunisia	Kasserine Nord	2000	26.8%	32.2%	21.7%
Tunisia	Bir Lahmar	2000	0.9%	2.0%	0.2%
Tunisia	Ghar El Melh	2000	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Tunisia	Teboulba	2000	11.5%	31.2%	1.9%
Tunisia	Gabès Ouest	2000	1.9%	3.4%	0.7%
Tunisia	Mateur	2000	0.7%	1.4%	0.2%
Tunisia	Enfidha	2000	5.8%	12.1%	2.3%
Tunisia	Sousse Riadh	2000	10.8%	24.7%	2.6%
Tunisia	Faouar	2000	1.6%	3.3%	0.6%
Tunisia	Aïn Draham	2000	3.7%	6.7%	1.7%
Tunisia	Chebba	2000	10.8%	32.2%	1.7%
Tunisia	Gaafour	2000	5.7%	9.6%	3.2%
Tunisia	Mahdia	2000	11.5%	31.0%	2.6%
Tunisia	Hammamet	2000	0.4%	1.4%	0.0%
Tunisia	La Marsa	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Bir Ali Ben Khélifa	2000	21.4%	35.9%	9.8%
Tunisia	Sidi Thabet	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Tunisia	Douz	2000	1.6%	2.9%	0.7%
Tunisia	Alaa	2000	24.3%	29.8%	19.3%
Tunisia	Redeyef	2000	2.7%	4.9%	1.3%
Tunisia	Sousse Médina	2000	8.9%	21.3%	2.1%
Tunisia	Kalaat El Andalous	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Tunisia	Hammam Chott	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Sbeitla	2000	25.4%	30.9%	20.3%
Tunisia	M'Saken	2000	17.0%	31.9%	5.3%
Tunisia	Kelibia	2000	0.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Jebel Jelloud	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Mahres	2000	8.3%	22.2%	1.9%
Tunisia	Hamma	2000	1.5%	2.9%	0.6%
Tunisia	Omrane	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Kasserine Sud	2000	24.9%	29.0%	21.1%
Tunisia	Zriba	2000	1.2%	2.4%	0.3%
Tunisia	Nefta	2000	1.8%	3.7%	0.7%
Tunisia	Balta Bou Aouane	2000	6.3%	8.7%	4.1%
Tunisia	Rouhia	2000	16.5%	22.2%	11.8%
Tunisia	Sijoumi	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Mégrine	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Feriana	2000	24.5%	31.1%	19.3%
Tunisia	Bouarada	2000	4.8%	7.9%	2.3%
Tunisia	Zarzis	2000	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Tunisia	Bizerte Sud	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Tunisia	Chorbane	2000	22.8%	40.5%	9.4%
Tunisia	Ouerdanine	2000	16.0%	32.1%	4.1%
Tunisia	Hajeb El Ay- oun	2000	28.0%	38.1%	19.7%
Tunisia	Djerba Mi- doun	2000	1.0%	2.0%	0.3%
Tunisia	Douar Hicher	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Tunisia	Mejez El Bab	2000	2.8%	4.5%	1.4%
Tunisia	Bouhaira	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	El Battan	2000	1.1%	2.1%	0.4%
Tunisia	Mnihla	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Tunisia	Thibar	2000	7.3%	11.1%	4.5%
Tunisia	Fahs	2000	2.5%	3.7%	1.3%
Tunisia	Agareb	2000	14.2%	30.3%	3.5%
Tunisia	Tebourba	2000	0.9%	1.9%	0.2%
Tunisia	El Amra	2000	11.6%	29.7%	2.0%
Tunisia	Sabalat Ouled Asker	2000	27.4%	34.6%	20.6%
Tunisia	Gafsa Sud	2000	2.4%	3.4%	1.5%
Tunisia	Matmata Nou- velle	2000	1.3%	2.7%	0.4%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2000	22.3%	26.3%	17.9%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzelfa	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Tunisia	Bouhajla	2000	31.8%	38.2%	25.5%
Tunisia	Souassi	2000	20.5%	36.3%	7.7%
Tunisia	Sidi El Béchir	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Grombalia	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Tunisia	Goubellat	2017	3.1%	5.0%	1.7%
Tunisia	Hergla	2017	8.6%	18.4%	2.9%
Tunisia	Oued Mliz	2017	6.6%	9.6%	4.2%
Tunisia	Fouchana	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Jedeliane	2017	16.4%	22.0%	12.2%
Tunisia	Bousalem	2017	7.8%	11.1%	5.3%
Tunisia	Sebkhat Sidi El Hani	2017	22.4%	37.0%	10.1%
Tunisia	Menzel Bour- guiba	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Tunisia	Hench	2017	18.0%	38.6%	5.5%
Tunisia	Tozeur	2017	2.2%	4.0%	1.0%
Tunisia	Hammam Ghezaz	2017	0.5%	1.4%	0.1%
Tunisia	Es Sers	2017	5.9%	10.0%	3.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Kebili Sud	2017	1.9%	3.6%	0.8%
Tunisia	Matmata	2017	1.5%	3.6%	0.4%
Tunisia	Mazzouna	2017	20.0%	29.7%	13.0%
Tunisia	Hassi El Ferid	2017	22.7%	30.9%	16.7%
Tunisia	Tataouine	2017	0.8%	2.2%	0.2%
Tunisia	Nord				
Tunisia	Bembla	2017	13.5%	31.1%	3.3%
Tunisia	Ksar	2017	2.3%	3.6%	1.4%
Tunisia	Mornag	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Tunisia	Metlaoui	2017	2.5%	4.7%	1.3%
Tunisia	Kalaa Khesba	2017	12.6%	18.6%	7.2%
Tunisia	Dar Chaabane	2017	0.4%	1.6%	0.0%
Tunisia	El Fehri				
Tunisia	Belkhir	2017	4.5%	7.7%	2.6%
Tunisia	Sbiba	2017	23.8%	29.1%	18.8%
Tunisia	Sidi El Heni	2017	24.8%	39.0%	12.9%
Tunisia	Sfax Sud	2017	10.1%	25.2%	1.9%
Tunisia	Ksour	2017	8.7%	13.1%	5.0%
Tunisia	Tinja	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Tunisia	Oueslatia	2017	21.3%	30.1%	13.9%
Tunisia	Houmt Souk	2017	1.0%	2.1%	0.3%
Tunisia	Sabkhet	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Sijoumi				
Tunisia	Fernana	2017	4.8%	7.8%	2.7%
Tunisia	Nadhour	2017	9.0%	13.0%	5.2%
Tunisia	Hammam Lif	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Ezzahra	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Raoued	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	El Mourouj	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Borj El Amri	2017	1.0%	2.1%	0.3%
Tunisia	Sidi Aich	2017	7.8%	13.5%	3.4%
Tunisia	Ksour Essef	2017	12.6%	34.0%	2.9%
Tunisia	Chebika	2017	31.7%	40.5%	23.8%
Tunisia	Bir Ali Ben Khélifa	2017	22.3%	37.0%	10.5%
Tunisia	Bir Mchergua	2017	0.8%	1.6%	0.3%
Tunisia	Manouba	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Tunisia	Tabarka	2017	2.5%	4.8%	1.2%
Tunisia	Makthar	2017	10.2%	14.9%	7.2%
Tunisia	Siliana Nord	2017	6.6%	9.9%	4.2%
Tunisia	Kairouan	2017	28.0%	35.7%	20.9%
Tunisia	Nord				
Tunisia	El Alia	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.1%
Tunisia	Sened	2017	6.4%	10.2%	3.6%
Tunisia	Nebeur	2017	5.9%	8.6%	3.4%
Tunisia	Haouaria	2017	0.5%	1.4%	0.1%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Kesra	2017	15.8%	23.7%	10.2%
Tunisia	Ettadhamen	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Tunisia	Souk Jedid	2017	30.9%	38.6%	22.2%
Tunisia	Ghomrassen	2017	1.0%	2.6%	0.3%
Tunisia	Lake Ichkeul	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Tunisia	Nefza	2017	2.2%	4.6%	0.9%
Tunisia	Douar Hicher	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Tunisia	Omrane	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Supérieur				
Tunisia	Boumerdès	2017	19.0%	35.6%	6.3%
Tunisia	Jendouba Sud	2017	6.1%	8.4%	4.3%
Tunisia	Feriana	2017	26.1%	33.0%	20.4%
Tunisia	Ras Jebel	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Tunisia	Bourouis	2017	5.9%	10.2%	3.1%
Tunisia	Nasrallah	2017	32.3%	41.4%	23.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Sakiet Ed- daier	2017	9.6%	24.7%	1.7%
Tunisia	Sbikha	2017	21.9%	27.1%	17.3%
Tunisia	Thibar	2017	7.7%	11.8%	4.8%
Tunisia	Tamaghza	2017	3.1%	6.8%	1.2%
Tunisia	Kef Ouest	2017	5.4%	8.7%	2.9%
Tunisia	Carthage	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Beni Khiar	2017	0.6%	2.0%	0.1%
Tunisia	Hrairia	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Ariana Méd- ina	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Testour	2017	5.7%	8.6%	3.3%
Tunisia	Mornaguia	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.2%
Tunisia	Aïn Draham	2017	3.7%	6.7%	1.7%
Tunisia	Mahres	2017	8.8%	23.1%	2.0%
Tunisia	El Krib	2017	6.2%	8.9%	4.2%
Tunisia	Ayoun	2017	23.9%	30.4%	19.0%
Tunisia	Degueche	2017	2.1%	3.7%	1.0%
Tunisia	Bab Bhar	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Redeyef	2017	2.9%	5.2%	1.4%
Tunisia	Tataouine Sud	2017	0.9%	2.3%	0.2%
Tunisia	Ghannouch	2017	2.3%	4.4%	0.9%
Tunisia	Sousse Méd- ina	2017	9.4%	22.3%	2.3%
Tunisia	Utique	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.1%
Tunisia	Faouar	2017	1.8%	3.4%	0.6%
Tunisia	Sayada- Lamta-Bou Hjar	2017	11.4%	30.0%	2.1%
Tunisia	Sakiet Sidi Youssef	2017	5.6%	10.7%	2.6%
Tunisia	El Menzah	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Sakiet Ezzit	2017	13.2%	31.4%	2.7%
Tunisia	Gabès Sud	2017	1.7%	3.1%	0.6%
Tunisia	Radès	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Dhiba	2017	1.5%	5.5%	0.2%
Tunisia	Sousse Riadh	2017	11.5%	25.9%	2.8%
Tunisia	Sejnane	2017	1.4%	3.1%	0.4%
Tunisia	Souk El Ahed	2017	1.8%	3.3%	0.8%
Tunisia	Zaghouan	2017	0.8%	1.6%	0.1%
Tunisia	Jedaïda	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.2%
Tunisia	Sfax Médina	2017	7.4%	21.1%	1.2%
Tunisia	Kebili Nord	2017	2.0%	3.7%	0.9%
Tunisia	El Tahrir	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Menzel Temime	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.1%
Tunisia	Meknassi	2017	26.0%	32.8%	20.0%
Tunisia	Haffouz	2017	30.5%	38.8%	21.9%
Tunisia	Kalaat El An- dalous	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Tunisia	Boumhel	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Jebeniana	2017	13.2%	32.4%	2.8%
Tunisia	Ben Arous	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Sahline	2017	13.3%	30.0%	3.3%
Tunisia	Sebkhit El Kabla	2017	19.6%	32.6%	10.4%
Tunisia	Nabeul	2017	0.3%	1.6%	0.0%
Tunisia	El Ghraïba	2017	10.5%	22.2%	3.0%
Tunisia	Kairouan Sud	2017	28.9%	34.0%	24.6%
Tunisia	Balta Bou Aouane	2017	6.5%	9.0%	4.3%
Tunisia	Laroussa	2017	6.2%	10.0%	3.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Douz	2017	1.7%	3.0%	0.7%
Tunisia	Bouficha	2017	1.1%	3.1%	0.2%
Tunisia	Gabès Médina	2017	1.9%	3.3%	0.7%
Tunisia	Skhira	2017	7.9%	17.1%	3.0%
Tunisia	Bargou	2017	8.4%	13.1%	4.8%
Tunisia	Sousse Sidi Abdelhamid	2017	9.4%	22.4%	2.3%
Tunisia	Sidi Alouane	2017	15.3%	35.0%	3.8%
Tunisia	Ouled Haffouz	2017	29.3%	37.0%	21.4%
Tunisia	Beni Khalled	2017	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%
Tunisia	Bir Lahmar	2017	0.9%	2.2%	0.2%
Tunisia	Hammam Chott	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Mateur	2017	0.7%	1.5%	0.2%
Tunisia	Alaa	2017	25.3%	30.9%	20.2%
Tunisia	Beni Hassen	2017	16.4%	34.9%	4.9%
Tunisia	Kerkennah	2017	7.4%	20.3%	0.9%
Tunisia	Tajerouine	2017	7.6%	10.7%	4.7%
Tunisia	Ksar Hellal	2017	12.6%	32.9%	2.5%
Tunisia	Monastir	2017	12.1%	29.0%	3.0%
Tunisia	Sousse Jaouhara	2017	10.2%	23.2%	2.4%
Tunisia	Téboursouk	2017	7.0%	10.2%	4.3%
Tunisia	Bizerte Nord	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzaiene	2017	16.6%	22.3%	11.1%
Tunisia	Mdhilla	2017	1.9%	3.3%	0.9%
Tunisia	Saouaf	2017	3.9%	7.6%	1.5%
Tunisia	Beni Khedache	2017	1.0%	2.2%	0.3%
Tunisia	Cité El Khadra	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Unknown1	2017	9.3%	25.6%	1.5%
Tunisia	Oum Larais	2017	4.1%	6.6%	2.0%
Tunisia	Kalaat Senan	2017	9.3%	16.7%	4.5%
Tunisia	Bouarada	2017	5.0%	8.3%	2.4%
Tunisia	El Jem	2017	18.6%	37.6%	5.8%
Tunisia	Unknown	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Majel Be-labbes	2017	12.1%	17.8%	7.7%
Tunisia	Kalaa Kebira	2017	15.1%	28.5%	5.6%
Tunisia	Tebourba	2017	1.0%	2.1%	0.3%
Tunisia	Ghar El Melh	2017	0.4%	1.2%	0.1%
Tunisia	Bouhaira	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Kasserine Sud	2017	25.8%	29.9%	22.0%
Tunisia	Bouhajla	2017	31.6%	38.1%	25.4%
Tunisia	Jelma	2017	27.1%	36.7%	18.6%
Tunisia	Médenine Sud	2017	0.7%	1.5%	0.2%
Tunisia	Bab Souika	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Sidi Ali Ben Aoun	2017	20.7%	25.4%	16.4%
Tunisia	Soliman	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Tunisia	Takelsa	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Tunisia	Thala	2017	18.9%	23.8%	14.3%
Tunisia	Kalaa Sghira	2017	14.0%	28.9%	4.3%
Tunisia	Korba	2017	0.4%	1.4%	0.1%
Tunisia	Gafsa Nord	2017	5.1%	7.6%	3.1%
Tunisia	Hazoua	2017	2.3%	5.7%	0.5%
Tunisia	Souassi	2017	20.9%	36.5%	7.7%
Tunisia	Béja Sud	2017	6.9%	10.8%	4.2%
Tunisia	La Marsa	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Sebkhet El Moknine	2017	10.8%	30.9%	2.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Guetar	2017	2.8%	5.2%	1.4%
Tunisia	Gaafour	2017	6.0%	10.0%	3.4%
Tunisia	Omrane	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Samar	2017	0.7%	1.8%	0.1%
Tunisia	Chrarda	2017	27.8%	38.3%	17.6%
Tunisia	Kabaria	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Mareth	2017	0.9%	1.9%	0.3%
Tunisia	Foussana	2017	30.2%	39.3%	23.6%
Tunisia	Hamma	2017	1.6%	3.1%	0.7%
Tunisia	Soukra	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Menzel Habib	2017	3.4%	6.6%	1.7%
Tunisia	Gabès Ouest	2017	2.1%	3.7%	0.8%
Tunisia	Remada	2017	1.5%	3.8%	0.4%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Est	2017	30.8%	39.0%	24.0%
Tunisia	Gafsa Sud	2017	2.6%	3.7%	1.7%
Tunisia	El Amra	2017	12.1%	30.4%	2.2%
Tunisia	Médenine Nord	2017	0.9%	1.8%	0.3%
Tunisia	Ghazala	2017	0.8%	1.6%	0.2%
Tunisia	El Ouardia	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Sfax Ouest	2017	7.4%	20.1%	1.2%
Tunisia	Hammam Sousse	2017	10.9%	23.8%	2.9%
Tunisia	Mnihla	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Tunisia	Ezzouhour	2017	23.2%	27.3%	18.7%
Tunisia	El Mida	2017	0.4%	1.2%	0.1%
Tunisia	Jammel	2017	16.4%	35.3%	4.1%
Tunisia	Kondar	2017	17.9%	29.4%	10.3%
Tunisia	Hammamet	2017	0.4%	1.4%	0.0%
Tunisia	Ouerdanine	2017	16.5%	32.7%	4.3%
Tunisia	Akouda	2017	12.2%	26.4%	3.9%
Tunisia	Enfidha	2017	6.1%	12.9%	2.4%
Tunisia	Melloulech	2017	12.8%	33.3%	2.6%
Tunisia	Amdoun	2017	6.6%	9.0%	4.9%
Tunisia	Sidi Hassine	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Sidi Thabet	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Tunisia	Bekalta	2017	11.6%	31.8%	2.1%
Tunisia	Regueb	2017	29.7%	37.8%	22.0%
Tunisia	Rouhia	2017	17.3%	23.2%	12.4%
Tunisia	Hajeb El Ayoun	2017	29.0%	39.2%	20.5%
Tunisia	Zarzis	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.1%
Tunisia	Moknine	2017	12.4%	32.6%	2.9%
Tunisia	Djerba Midoun	2017	1.0%	2.1%	0.3%
Tunisia	Agareb	2017	14.5%	30.6%	3.7%
Tunisia	Béja Nord	2017	6.1%	8.9%	3.9%
Tunisia	M'Saken	2017	17.3%	32.4%	5.4%
Tunisia	Dahmani	2017	6.7%	10.1%	4.0%
Tunisia	Hidra	2017	18.7%	29.6%	10.9%
Tunisia	Djerba Ajim	2017	0.9%	2.0%	0.2%
Tunisia	Fahs	2017	2.7%	4.0%	1.4%
Tunisia	Bizerte Sud	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.1%
Tunisia	Ksibet El Mediouni	2017	13.7%	33.1%	2.8%
Tunisia	Nefta	2017	1.9%	3.9%	0.7%
Tunisia	Sidi Makhlouf	2017	0.7%	1.5%	0.2%
Tunisia	Hbira	2017	26.3%	41.6%	13.6%
Tunisia	Sijoumi	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Bardo	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Siliana Sud	2017	8.3%	12.4%	5.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tunisia	Menzel Jemil	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Tunisia	La Goulette	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Kasserine Nord	2017	27.8%	33.3%	22.6%
Tunisia	Joumine	2017	2.5%	4.1%	1.2%
Tunisia	Oued Ellil	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Tunisia	Metouia	2017	3.4%	6.5%	1.5%
Tunisia	Jebel Jelloud	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Sbeitla	2017	26.4%	32.0%	21.3%
Tunisia	Zriba	2017	1.2%	2.5%	0.4%
Tunisia	Sebkhet Ariana	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Tunisia	Médina	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Kef Est	2017	6.0%	8.7%	3.6%
Tunisia	Mejez El Bab	2017	2.9%	4.6%	1.5%
Tunisia	Zeramdine	2017	18.4%	36.0%	5.9%
Tunisia	Sidi Bou Ali	2017	11.4%	24.9%	4.3%
Tunisia	Menzel Chaker	2017	21.6%	37.7%	10.1%
Tunisia	Bou Argoub	2017	0.5%	1.2%	0.1%
Tunisia	El Battan	2017	1.2%	2.3%	0.4%
Tunisia	Jendouba Nord	2017	6.0%	7.7%	4.3%
Tunisia	Ghardimaou	2017	5.2%	7.9%	3.1%
Tunisia	Ouled Chamekh	2017	24.4%	38.7%	12.3%
Tunisia	Nouvelle Médina	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Tunisia	Mégrine	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Tunisia	Kelibia	2017	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
Tunisia	Chebba	2017	11.3%	33.4%	1.8%
Tunisia	Chorbane	2017	23.6%	41.5%	9.9%
Tunisia	Teboulba	2017	12.1%	32.8%	2.1%
Tunisia	M'Hamdia	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Tunisia	Mahdia	2017	12.4%	32.2%	2.9%
Tunisia	Sidi Bouzid Ouest	2017	35.6%	43.1%	28.9%
Tunisia	Jerissa	2017	9.0%	13.5%	5.1%
Tunisia	Menzel Bouzelfa	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Tunisia	Ben Guerdane	2017	0.9%	3.1%	0.2%
Tunisia	Sabalat Ouled Asker	2017	28.4%	35.6%	21.6%
Tunisia	Matmata Nouvelle	2017	1.4%	2.9%	0.5%
Tunisia	Bir El Hfay	2017	28.9%	34.9%	23.4%
Yemen	Anss	2000	7.2%	20.2%	1.1%
Yemen	Jabal Habashy	2000	8.1%	29.0%	0.6%
Yemen	Wadi Al Ayn	2000	16.4%	45.9%	0.5%
Yemen	Jayshan	2000	13.4%	52.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mahabishah	2000	23.6%	69.3%	2.8%
Yemen	Al Udayn	2000	2.9%	6.4%	1.8%
Yemen	Habur Zulaymah	2000	14.4%	46.6%	1.3%
Yemen	Ar Radmah	2000	21.1%	64.2%	0.1%
Yemen	Qa'atabah	2000	11.2%	28.3%	4.4%
Yemen	Hazm Al Udayn	2000	10.5%	35.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Far Al Udayn	2000	11.6%	32.4%	2.1%
Yemen	As Safra	2000	10.1%	31.8%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	As Salif	2000	18.7%	76.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Usaylan	2000	13.6%	48.3%	0.1%
Yemen	Qarah	2000	23.3%	58.0%	2.0%
Yemen	Attawahi	2000	29.1%	54.6%	1.7%
Yemen	Al Mudhaffar	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.4%
Yemen	Halimayn	2000	10.2%	38.2%	1.5%
Yemen	Razih	2000	10.6%	48.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Hussein	2000	9.1%	12.0%	7.0%
Yemen	Al Quraisyah	2000	12.4%	21.6%	7.6%
Yemen	Sama	2000	46.4%	90.2%	4.7%
Yemen	Al Qahirah	2000	1.4%	2.8%	0.7%
Yemen	Al Mawasit	2000	11.1%	24.4%	2.6%
Yemen	Harib	2000	11.0%	23.4%	3.0%
Yemen	As Said	2000	12.0%	37.1%	0.5%
Yemen	Ataq	2000	12.0%	54.7%	0.3%
Yemen	Bani Qa'is	2000	19.5%	47.0%	5.3%
Yemen	Maswar	2000	47.1%	57.7%	39.1%
Yemen	Hat	2000	16.6%	32.8%	4.3%
Yemen	Ash Shamay-atayn	2000	13.7%	37.0%	2.1%
Yemen	Arhab	2000	15.5%	35.4%	4.5%
Yemen	Bani Al Awam	2000	59.8%	65.7%	53.6%
Yemen	Radfan	2000	10.2%	31.6%	0.4%
Yemen	Iyal Surayh	2000	9.0%	24.1%	1.4%
Yemen	Kuhlan Ash Sharaf	2000	59.6%	78.4%	33.2%
Yemen	Sah	2000	13.8%	31.7%	1.1%
Yemen	Al Khawkhah	2000	13.8%	55.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Harad	2000	23.4%	41.8%	8.2%
Yemen	Juban	2000	18.2%	38.9%	4.4%
Yemen	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	2000	15.3%	27.8%	6.4%
Yemen	Marib	2000	21.7%	31.2%	12.5%
Yemen	Dawran Aness	2000	13.9%	37.7%	1.5%
Yemen	Sayhut	2000	14.7%	35.8%	2.1%
Yemen	Sayun	2000	3.2%	10.1%	0.4%
Yemen	Zabid	2000	37.2%	54.6%	25.0%
Yemen	Dhubab	2000	13.6%	45.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Husha	2000	20.2%	33.6%	11.2%
Yemen	Milhan	2000	29.6%	33.9%	25.6%
Yemen	Aslem	2000	19.9%	28.9%	13.2%
Yemen	Qatabir	2000	8.2%	40.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Kamaran	2000	16.1%	75.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Talh	2000	16.2%	38.0%	2.0%
Yemen	Ad Dahi	2000	22.9%	57.6%	5.1%
Yemen	Al Hawtah	2000	49.5%	57.2%	40.3%
Yemen	Harib Al Qaramish	2000	14.4%	42.7%	2.7%
Yemen	Al Milah	2000	13.0%	30.3%	0.6%
Yemen	Al Khabt	2000	41.9%	58.2%	24.1%
Yemen	Na'man	2000	17.0%	59.3%	0.1%
Yemen	Al Maflahy	2000	15.4%	51.0%	0.7%
Yemen	Bura	2000	19.3%	53.0%	1.7%
Yemen	Old City	2000	4.4%	5.1%	3.7%
Yemen	Ad Durayhimi	2000	9.8%	30.4%	1.7%
Yemen	Mayfa'at Anss	2000	13.3%	34.0%	2.7%
Yemen	As Sukhnah	2000	29.9%	53.4%	9.1%
Yemen	Mazhar	2000	23.9%	32.4%	15.0%
Yemen	At Tahrir	2000	21.7%	26.7%	17.4%
Yemen	Tuban	2000	17.4%	27.2%	10.8%
Yemen	Bilad At Ta'am	2000	21.4%	41.5%	6.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Hawf	2000	18.0%	53.9%	0.4%
Yemen	Bajil	2000	15.7%	42.8%	2.7%
Yemen	Mudiyah	2000	10.4%	38.2%	0.5%
Yemen	Mawiyah	2000	14.6%	42.7%	1.4%
Yemen	Mustaba	2000	25.9%	44.9%	16.8%
Yemen	Ad Dhale'e	2000	13.4%	30.8%	6.5%
Yemen	Medghal	2000	11.8%	55.9%	0.1%
Yemen	As Sabrah	2000	21.9%	60.2%	1.8%
Yemen	Bayt Al Faqiah	2000	17.4%	39.5%	2.2%
Yemen	Harf Sufyan	2000	14.0%	33.3%	2.5%
Yemen	Kusmah	2000	14.8%	18.8%	11.6%
Yemen	Nati'	2000	18.4%	43.9%	2.7%
Yemen	Al Mukalla	2000	15.7%	41.7%	0.5%
Yemen	Thamud	2000	17.5%	34.0%	4.4%
Yemen	Hayran	2000	14.2%	69.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Khalq	2000	61.0%	75.3%	47.4%
Yemen	Al Musaymir	2000	15.8%	38.7%	3.1%
Yemen	Baqim	2000	7.5%	29.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Salh	2000	1.4%	2.1%	0.9%
Yemen	Al Jafariyah	2000	30.2%	52.0%	11.8%
Yemen	Al Wazi'iyah	2000	10.8%	45.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Mashra'a Wa Hadnan	2000	1.9%	3.1%	1.1%
Yemen	Al Maton	2000	6.5%	21.0%	0.5%
Yemen	Ku'aydinah	2000	25.2%	43.8%	14.0%
Yemen	Kharab Al Marashi	2000	11.3%	32.4%	1.7%
Yemen	Khayran Al Muharraq	2000	40.1%	59.7%	26.8%
Yemen	Wadhrah	2000	12.9%	26.3%	2.1%
Yemen	Ba'dan	2000	10.8%	31.4%	1.0%
Yemen	Al Ghaydah	2000	10.7%	23.1%	3.2%
Yemen	Hajjah City	2000	43.1%	93.6%	2.6%
Yemen	Ibb	2000	10.1%	20.0%	4.4%
Yemen	Aflah Al Yaman	2000	27.6%	59.1%	8.2%
Yemen	Al Hali	2000	40.0%	54.0%	17.2%
Yemen	Al Had	2000	7.4%	23.7%	0.7%
Yemen	Sabah	2000	19.1%	46.7%	1.0%
Yemen	As Sawd	2000	23.5%	82.7%	2.4%
Yemen	Shahan	2000	17.8%	35.8%	1.8%
Yemen	Al Qaf	2000	17.2%	35.1%	4.5%
Yemen	At Ta'iziyah	2000	6.4%	17.9%	1.9%
Yemen	Al Wahdah	2000	20.7%	25.8%	16.6%
Yemen	Shu'aub	2000	2.0%	2.4%	1.6%
Yemen	Marib City	2000	36.9%	40.0%	33.4%
Yemen	Mudhaykhirah	2000	5.6%	6.6%	3.6%
Yemen	Huswain	2000	9.3%	21.8%	0.9%
Yemen	Ghayl Bin Yamin	2000	14.8%	40.6%	1.9%
Yemen	Al Mualla	2000	13.7%	21.4%	4.8%
Yemen	Bart Al Anan	2000	22.6%	53.9%	6.6%
Yemen	Wald Rabi'	2000	19.2%	41.4%	8.6%
Yemen	Sirwah	2000	15.0%	34.4%	4.1%
Yemen	Jardan	2000	11.9%	29.9%	2.0%
Yemen	Rahabah	2000	15.6%	48.7%	0.1%
Yemen	Al Mahwait City	2000	15.8%	18.3%	13.6%
Yemen	Shibam	2000	4.9%	16.3%	0.3%
Yemen	Huraidhah	2000	15.7%	51.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Lawdar	2000	12.2%	24.7%	3.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Mabyan	2000	21.0%	32.1%	8.1%
Yemen	Man'ar	2000	17.8%	37.7%	4.0%
Yemen	Raghwan	2000	12.7%	66.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mukha	2000	16.8%	41.6%	2.3%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2000	15.6%	61.9%	2.2%
Yemen	Az Zuhrah	2000	27.2%	53.6%	13.7%
Yemen	Hamdan	2000	16.5%	32.0%	4.8%
Yemen	Brom Mayfa	2000	17.2%	48.0%	0.7%
Yemen	Al Azariq	2000	18.3%	46.8%	1.9%
Yemen	Al Bayda	2000	9.6%	22.9%	2.9%
Yemen	Al Hawak	2000	19.1%	20.6%	9.4%
Yemen	Rumah	2000	16.4%	32.1%	4.4%
Yemen	Arma	2000	17.1%	42.5%	2.0%
Yemen	Dimnat Khadir	2000	13.3%	44.2%	1.0%
Yemen	Bani Dhabyan	2000	13.7%	42.2%	0.1%
Yemen	Ath'thaorah	2000	13.3%	16.7%	10.3%
Yemen	Hatib	2000	12.7%	48.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Amran	2000	10.4%	14.8%	4.6%
Yemen	Hayfan	2000	4.6%	7.6%	3.0%
Yemen	Jabal Murad	2000	14.9%	34.2%	0.6%
Yemen	Jabal Ash sharq	2000	15.5%	42.6%	2.2%
Yemen	Jabal Iyal Yazid	2000	7.3%	22.4%	2.8%
Yemen	Shara'b As Salam	2000	41.8%	55.6%	13.7%
Yemen	Al Jabin	2000	26.9%	34.2%	19.7%
Yemen	Zamakh wa Manwakh	2000	16.2%	30.2%	5.6%
Yemen	Al Haymah Al Kharijyah	2000	12.0%	23.1%	5.8%
Yemen	Yafa'a	2000	14.9%	31.0%	4.7%
Yemen	Al Jamimah	2000	21.9%	47.2%	3.9%
Yemen	As Sayyani	2000	34.3%	63.3%	3.7%
Yemen	Jihanah	2000	10.9%	43.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mashannah	2000	23.2%	25.2%	20.9%
Yemen	Rudum	2000	16.7%	36.7%	2.7%
Yemen	Dar Sad	2000	1.6%	2.6%	0.9%
Yemen	As Saddah	2000	18.7%	45.6%	3.1%
Yemen	Craiter	2000	4.6%	13.2%	1.2%
Yemen	Midi	2000	14.7%	52.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Jubah	2000	12.1%	33.0%	1.3%
Yemen	Al Matammah	2000	18.4%	45.4%	5.0%
Yemen	Al Ashah	2000	13.6%	46.8%	0.8%
Yemen	Hufash	2000	36.1%	43.2%	28.8%
Yemen	Abs	2000	19.2%	52.3%	5.4%
Yemen	Shara'b Ar Rawnah	2000	7.7%	18.2%	0.9%
Yemen	Al Dhaher	2000	11.4%	49.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Yahr	2000	11.9%	31.1%	2.8%
Yemen	Sarar	2000	7.8%	25.3%	0.3%
Yemen	Ash Shaikh Outhman	2000	12.4%	14.7%	10.1%
Yemen	Jahran	2000	14.9%	32.7%	7.2%
Yemen	Sabir Al Mawadim	2000	3.8%	5.6%	2.6%
Yemen	Al Ma'afer	2000	33.2%	81.3%	7.2%
Yemen	Al Malagim	2000	21.7%	37.3%	7.6%
Yemen	At Tawilah	2000	32.0%	43.7%	21.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Bani Matar	2000	26.1%	52.0%	9.2%
Yemen	Radman Al Awad	2000	19.5%	56.2%	1.5%
Yemen	Yarim	2000	12.0%	29.7%	2.7%
Yemen	Shibam Kawkababan	2000	19.0%	61.1%	2.1%
Yemen	Rajuzah	2000	12.2%	33.6%	4.3%
Yemen	Al Ghayl	2000	23.2%	79.9%	0.7%
Yemen	Al Makhadir	2000	5.5%	30.7%	0.3%
Yemen	As Sawadiyah	2000	20.4%	42.1%	6.0%
Yemen	Sa'adah	2000	7.1%	41.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Al A'rsh	2000	21.4%	68.6%	1.8%
Yemen	Al Maghrabah	2000	17.4%	52.4%	1.9%
Yemen	Daw'an	2000	13.0%	36.7%	1.6%
Yemen	Dhamar City	2000	6.5%	15.0%	1.7%
Yemen	Shada'a	2000	11.7%	80.0%	0.0%
Yemen	As Sudah	2000	5.1%	15.2%	0.6%
Yemen	Dhar	2000	15.2%	43.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Sahar	2000	11.9%	41.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Abdiyah	2000	15.5%	50.3%	1.4%
Yemen	Haydan	2000	14.7%	63.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Washhah	2000	42.7%	63.1%	29.2%
Yemen	Maswarah	2000	17.7%	47.9%	1.6%
Yemen	Ash Shu'ayb	2000	11.7%	28.2%	3.2%
Yemen	Ar Raydah	2000	14.3%	39.9%	0.8%
Yemen	Wa Qusayar				
Yemen	Al Qafrah	2000	13.9%	44.7%	0.9%
Yemen	Nisab	2000	13.2%	33.4%	1.3%
Yemen	An Nadirah	2000	7.6%	33.0%	0.5%
Yemen	Al Garrahi	2000	29.8%	56.4%	12.1%
Yemen	Wusab Al Ali	2000	21.4%	49.8%	4.1%
Yemen	Tarim	2000	6.2%	14.5%	1.3%
Yemen	Al Buraiqeh	2000	28.9%	61.5%	6.3%
Yemen	Al Marawi'ah	2000	14.7%	35.1%	3.7%
Yemen	Ahwar	2000	17.3%	41.7%	2.5%
Yemen	Thula	2000	7.2%	19.4%	1.1%
Yemen	Dhi As Sufal	2000	4.0%	16.8%	1.2%
Yemen	Qishn	2000	15.6%	40.3%	1.7%
Yemen	Al Maslub	2000	14.6%	58.2%	0.1%
Yemen	Hajr	2000	15.4%	44.8%	0.2%
Yemen	Bilad Ar Rus	2000	16.4%	59.2%	0.8%
Yemen	Al Qanawis	2000	19.5%	42.1%	7.0%
Yemen	Ar Ryashyyah	2000	19.6%	59.8%	0.3%
Yemen	Al Misrakh	2000	44.2%	52.5%	32.7%
Yemen	Al Madaribah	2000	13.4%	30.8%	1.5%
Yemen	Wa Al Arah				
Yemen	Ar Rujum	2000	36.4%	45.3%	27.4%
Yemen	Kuhlan Affar	2000	58.8%	73.5%	38.9%
Yemen	Al Mahwait	2000	12.1%	17.0%	7.7%
Yemen	Mawza	2000	17.2%	56.6%	0.4%
Yemen	Habban	2000	14.4%	42.4%	0.5%
Yemen	Al Mahfad	2000	14.7%	33.0%	0.6%
Yemen	Amd	2000	13.9%	40.8%	0.4%
Yemen	Merkhah Al Ulya	2000	9.4%	36.3%	0.2%
Yemen	Hays	2000	24.2%	70.2%	2.8%
Yemen	Al Haymah	2000	19.8%	58.3%	4.8%
Yemen	Ad Dakhiliyah				
Yemen	Wusab As Safil	2000	23.6%	43.4%	9.4%
Yemen	Al Hajjaylah	2000	19.9%	72.2%	0.5%
Yemen	Jiblah	2000	21.2%	26.0%	15.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Sibah	2000	8.5%	29.4%	0.4%
Yemen	Huth	2000	13.3%	59.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Yabuth	2000	15.1%	44.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Humaydat	2000	16.1%	47.8%	0.8%
Yemen	Habil Jabr	2000	7.7%	24.3%	0.1%
Yemen	Majz	2000	11.8%	44.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mansuriyah	2000	22.9%	77.6%	5.7%
Yemen	Sharas	2000	37.8%	87.1%	3.8%
Yemen	Hubaysh	2000	9.7%	53.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Ash Sha'ir	2000	3.1%	10.1%	1.0%
Yemen	Shaharah	2000	5.6%	11.5%	1.8%
Yemen	Attyal	2000	15.1%	46.1%	1.6%
Yemen	Rasad	2000	9.2%	27.2%	1.5%
Yemen	As Silw	2000	24.1%	34.6%	14.8%
Yemen	Utmah	2000	20.8%	44.0%	4.9%
Yemen	Al Mansura	2000	22.6%	28.5%	17.7%
Yemen	Bani Suraim	2000	14.6%	61.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Ash Shahil	2000	14.7%	68.1%	0.2%
Yemen	Ad Dis	2000	9.6%	28.2%	0.8%
Yemen	Najrah	2000	50.1%	56.4%	43.1%
Yemen	Kushar	2000	34.5%	72.7%	10.7%
Yemen	Maghirib Ans	2000	29.6%	82.2%	3.1%
Yemen	Jahaf	2000	7.0%	15.3%	3.8%
Yemen	Al Qabbaytah	2000	8.5%	20.1%	1.0%
Yemen	Kitaf wa Al Boqe'e	2000	15.2%	36.0%	1.6%
Yemen	Al Mukalla City	2000	18.8%	50.0%	0.4%
Yemen	Mukayras	2000	17.1%	45.5%	1.6%
Yemen	Dhi Bin	2000	17.2%	33.4%	7.0%
Yemen	Khwlal	2000	10.2%	22.7%	2.3%
Yemen	Ghayl Ba Wazir	2000	14.8%	58.0%	0.8%
Yemen	Bidbadah	2000	6.2%	12.9%	3.0%
Yemen	Ash Sharyah	2000	6.2%	14.6%	2.4%
Yemen	Tur Al Bahah	2000	9.8%	24.2%	0.9%
Yemen	Zingibar	2000	15.6%	89.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Maqatirah	2000	7.9%	34.5%	0.1%
Yemen	Ma'ain	2000	23.5%	37.0%	12.8%
Yemen	Ain	2000	8.0%	28.1%	0.4%
Yemen	At Tuhayat	2000	25.8%	55.8%	7.8%
Yemen	Al Hada	2000	14.8%	34.5%	1.5%
Yemen	Al Madan	2000	6.3%	26.1%	0.4%
Yemen	Monabbih	2000	9.9%	40.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Rada'	2000	19.2%	51.7%	2.1%
Yemen	Bakil Al Mir	2000	16.7%	49.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Masalah	2000	12.9%	27.5%	2.8%
Yemen	Ghamr	2000	11.1%	55.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Hidaybu	2000	16.7%	40.8%	1.7%
Yemen	Hagr As Sai'ar	2000	16.2%	41.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Husn	2000	13.8%	54.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Ash Shaghadi-rah	2000	18.6%	32.4%	10.5%
Yemen	Bani Sa'd	2000	15.4%	42.0%	3.1%
Yemen	Al Dhihar	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.9%
Yemen	Ash Shihr	2000	7.9%	20.4%	1.1%
Yemen	Al Hashwah	2000	14.1%	46.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Mayfa'a	2000	15.2%	40.0%	1.8%
Yemen	As Sawm	2000	17.7%	43.0%	2.0%
Yemen	As Sabain	2000	16.3%	20.8%	13.2%
Yemen	Sa'fan	2000	22.0%	38.3%	9.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Dhi Na'im	2000	7.4%	30.7%	0.1%
Yemen	Qafil Shamer	2000	26.5%	60.5%	9.6%
Yemen	As Salafiyah	2000	33.8%	52.6%	16.1%
Yemen	Jabal Ra's	2000	14.0%	44.4%	1.7%
Yemen	Merkhah As Sufla	2000	15.4%	33.3%	1.9%
Yemen	Aflah Ash Shawm	2000	33.2%	77.7%	2.5%
Yemen	Maqbanah	2000	14.1%	31.8%	2.2%
Yemen	At Taffah	2000	15.1%	32.1%	3.0%
Yemen	Bani Al Harith	2000	14.7%	22.2%	8.7%
Yemen	Bani Hushaysh	2000	6.7%	18.2%	2.3%
Yemen	Khanfir	2000	13.0%	26.6%	5.4%
Yemen	Al Wade'a	2000	13.2%	39.1%	3.0%
Yemen	Ar Rawdah	2000	15.4%	43.1%	1.8%
Yemen	Al Qatn	2000	9.2%	25.0%	1.4%
Yemen	Nihm	2000	14.9%	38.0%	1.2%
Yemen	Al Qafir	2000	19.7%	43.3%	7.2%
Yemen	Al Bayda City	2000	3.2%	10.8%	0.5%
Yemen	Majzar	2000	16.8%	38.6%	8.1%
Yemen	Hajjah	2000	51.3%	71.6%	24.7%
Yemen	Kharif	2000	10.3%	34.2%	0.7%
Yemen	As Sawma'ah	2000	12.9%	32.1%	2.4%
Yemen	Manakhah	2000	16.6%	23.9%	10.3%
Yemen	Qulensya Wa Abd Al Kuri	2000	18.0%	56.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Bayhan	2000	13.1%	36.3%	2.8%
Yemen	Al Manar	2000	16.6%	56.9%	0.1%
Yemen	Alluheyah	2000	25.3%	50.0%	8.0%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2000	27.1%	55.5%	6.1%
Yemen	Az Zaydiyah	2000	16.6%	43.6%	5.2%
Yemen	Rakhyah	2000	15.4%	52.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Mahliyah	2000	14.5%	48.8%	0.1%
Yemen	Raydah	2000	7.8%	26.7%	1.2%
Yemen	Khur Maksar	2000	17.9%	23.1%	14.2%
Yemen	Khamir	2000	6.2%	19.2%	0.3%
Yemen	Sanhan	2000	17.8%	42.1%	6.5%
Yemen	Al Mina	2000	68.2%	93.8%	6.7%
Yemen	Al Hazm	2000	10.7%	42.1%	0.5%
Yemen	Adh Dhli'a'ah	2000	14.9%	37.6%	1.4%
Yemen	Al Miftah	2000	28.8%	38.6%	21.7%
Yemen	Az'zal	2000	2.3%	3.0%	1.7%
Yemen	Assafi'yah	2000	2.6%	3.3%	2.0%
Yemen	Al Abr	2000	18.1%	47.3%	0.7%
Yemen	Damt	2000	18.5%	33.3%	6.8%
Yemen	Al Mighlaf	2000	22.3%	44.5%	8.4%
Yemen	Suwayr	2000	6.8%	30.7%	0.4%
Yemen	Saqayn	2000	13.4%	51.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Munirah	2000	16.7%	53.8%	0.2%
Yemen	At Taffah	2017	27.8%	48.1%	10.2%
Yemen	Huraidhah	2017	27.6%	66.7%	0.0%
Yemen	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	2017	26.7%	41.1%	12.9%
Yemen	Mayfa'at Anss	2017	27.5%	51.9%	9.3%
Yemen	Al A'rsh	2017	39.6%	82.4%	4.5%
Yemen	Al Husn	2017	23.3%	71.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Hagr As Sai'ar	2017	27.3%	60.6%	0.1%
Yemen	Ath'thaorah	2017	34.3%	39.3%	29.2%
Yemen	Dhubab	2017	24.0%	64.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Dimnat Khadir	2017	24.3%	58.2%	3.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Ad Dis	2017	19.1%	47.6%	2.0%
Yemen	Mudiyah	2017	19.1%	52.0%	2.5%
Yemen	Radfan	2017	20.6%	46.2%	2.0%
Yemen	Al Udayn	2017	10.7%	14.6%	8.1%
Yemen	Al Quraishyah	2017	27.0%	40.6%	18.4%
Yemen	At Tahrir	2017	42.7%	48.6%	36.8%
Yemen	Al Maton	2017	13.9%	32.6%	2.7%
Yemen	As Sawadiyah	2017	37.2%	59.3%	18.6%
Yemen	As Sawd	2017	42.0%	92.6%	12.8%
Yemen	Al Jubah	2017	23.0%	52.8%	3.8%
Yemen	As Sukhnah	2017	49.7%	74.5%	25.0%
Yemen	Ghayl Bin Yamin	2017	26.1%	53.8%	4.1%
Yemen	Rajuzah	2017	22.4%	45.8%	9.5%
Yemen	Kushar	2017	48.5%	84.8%	18.5%
Yemen	Al Ashah	2017	24.7%	60.0%	2.4%
Yemen	Al Ghaydah	2017	22.0%	40.9%	7.4%
Yemen	Kusmah	2017	33.1%	36.5%	29.8%
Yemen	Bani Al Awam	2017	81.5%	84.8%	78.0%
Yemen	Shibam	2017	11.6%	31.0%	1.2%
Yemen	Al Mahwait City	2017	40.5%	43.6%	37.7%
Yemen	Kuhlan Affar	2017	76.3%	85.9%	60.6%
Yemen	Al Wazi'iyah	2017	23.3%	71.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Sayhut	2017	28.4%	57.4%	5.7%
Yemen	Mashra'a Wa Hadnan	2017	10.9%	14.5%	6.7%
Yemen	Bani Dhabyan	2017	24.9%	59.2%	0.8%
Yemen	Mustaba	2017	46.8%	67.5%	32.0%
Yemen	Harib	2017	23.0%	39.1%	10.5%
Yemen	Ad Dahi	2017	38.6%	73.1%	10.1%
Yemen	Merkhah As Sufla	2017	27.0%	50.1%	6.4%
Yemen	Tarim	2017	11.4%	20.7%	4.2%
Yemen	Al Haymah Ad Dakhiliyah	2017	34.2%	74.9%	6.7%
Yemen	Al Mawasit	2017	20.9%	34.1%	9.3%
Yemen	Huswain	2017	17.9%	36.9%	3.3%
Yemen	Al Hajjaylah	2017	29.5%	76.1%	1.7%
Yemen	Al Husha	2017	31.9%	48.6%	21.2%
Yemen	Hazm Al Udayn	2017	19.4%	47.3%	0.3%
Yemen	Sah	2017	25.3%	51.3%	3.4%
Yemen	Hays	2017	42.0%	79.4%	9.9%
Yemen	Kamaran	2017	27.1%	85.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Harad	2017	37.8%	59.4%	17.9%
Yemen	Juban	2017	33.8%	54.9%	12.4%
Yemen	Yafa'a	2017	30.7%	51.9%	13.6%
Yemen	Thamud	2017	30.1%	52.3%	8.0%
Yemen	Al Jafariyah	2017	51.7%	69.4%	31.3%
Yemen	Al Abr	2017	29.4%	60.3%	1.5%
Yemen	Maqbanah	2017	27.1%	48.1%	8.4%
Yemen	Al Had	2017	17.5%	38.1%	4.0%
Yemen	Kharab Al Marashi	2017	27.6%	47.3%	11.8%
Yemen	Wusab Al Ali	2017	37.4%	67.1%	13.9%
Yemen	Hajjah City	2017	64.3%	96.1%	18.8%
Yemen	Jardan	2017	20.1%	44.0%	5.3%
Yemen	Aslem	2017	41.1%	53.0%	28.9%
Yemen	Damt	2017	35.1%	52.8%	19.2%
Yemen	Monabbih	2017	19.5%	54.4%	0.2%
Yemen	Bilad Ar Rus	2017	28.4%	74.6%	2.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Maghrabah	2017	31.2%	68.1%	7.3%
Yemen	Al Talh	2017	27.9%	54.8%	3.9%
Yemen	Dhi As Sufal	2017	17.4%	38.3%	9.5%
Yemen	As Sabrah	2017	37.6%	75.1%	8.7%
Yemen	Ar Radmah	2017	31.7%	80.0%	0.2%
Yemen	Yahr	2017	28.4%	52.8%	10.8%
Yemen	Ataq	2017	20.1%	61.5%	0.9%
Yemen	Ad Durayhimi	2017	18.3%	42.7%	3.7%
Yemen	Al Misrakh	2017	55.6%	62.1%	47.4%
Yemen	Al Malagim	2017	42.5%	59.0%	23.9%
Yemen	Rudum	2017	28.5%	55.4%	6.0%
Yemen	Bura	2017	35.1%	70.0%	7.8%
Yemen	Mazhar	2017	52.9%	58.3%	44.5%
Yemen	Shara'b Ar Rawnah	2017	15.3%	28.4%	4.6%
Yemen	Al Maflahy	2017	28.9%	68.0%	3.7%
Yemen	Mudhaykhirah	2017	23.8%	26.7%	14.9%
Yemen	Hawf	2017	31.4%	65.6%	2.0%
Yemen	Harib Al Qaramish	2017	32.2%	64.5%	10.4%
Yemen	Al Qanawis	2017	38.2%	61.9%	19.8%
Yemen	Al Mukha	2017	29.2%	53.4%	7.5%
Yemen	As Sayyani	2017	48.9%	69.3%	15.0%
Yemen	Jihanah	2017	19.8%	63.1%	0.2%
Yemen	Ar Rujum	2017	62.1%	67.3%	54.4%
Yemen	Al Madaribah Wa Al Arah	2017	25.8%	49.7%	4.8%
Yemen	Al Jamimah	2017	33.1%	58.9%	11.0%
Yemen	Al Bayda	2017	24.5%	41.6%	10.1%
Yemen	Nisab	2017	23.1%	50.8%	3.5%
Yemen	Hamdan	2017	29.9%	45.6%	12.4%
Yemen	Rumah	2017	27.6%	46.5%	8.9%
Yemen	Al Hawak	2017	21.5%	23.1%	17.8%
Yemen	Utmah	2017	39.9%	64.0%	15.0%
Yemen	Najrah	2017	74.4%	79.3%	67.9%
Yemen	Shibam Kawkaban	2017	36.2%	76.0%	7.9%
Yemen	Maghirib Ans	2017	44.8%	90.7%	7.9%
Yemen	Al Ghayl	2017	39.6%	87.1%	4.2%
Yemen	Ku'aydinah	2017	44.0%	64.8%	26.5%
Yemen	Hatib	2017	23.0%	64.8%	0.1%
Yemen	Sibah	2017	18.7%	43.3%	3.1%
Yemen	Dhamar City	2017	18.4%	28.8%	8.3%
Yemen	Huth	2017	24.0%	74.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Mukayras	2017	31.3%	60.9%	7.0%
Yemen	Shada'a	2017	22.3%	88.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Kitaf wa Al Boqe'e	2017	26.6%	51.5%	4.5%
Yemen	Al Mansuriyah	2017	41.3%	85.2%	15.6%
Yemen	At Ta'iziyah	2017	18.3%	30.1%	9.8%
Yemen	As Salafiyah	2017	55.4%	72.5%	40.9%
Yemen	Al Abdiyah	2017	28.6%	65.5%	6.7%
Yemen	Nihm	2017	26.3%	57.8%	3.8%
Yemen	Zamakh wa Manwakh	2017	27.6%	48.7%	10.2%
Yemen	Hubaysh	2017	16.9%	66.1%	0.0%
Yemen	Kuhlan Ash Sharaf	2017	76.7%	89.3%	50.4%
Yemen	Sayun	2017	8.1%	18.5%	1.9%
Yemen	Wadhrah	2017	28.8%	48.9%	11.1%
Yemen	Al Azariq	2017	32.0%	63.9%	5.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Al Musaymir	2017	30.0%	58.4%	9.4%
Yemen	Al Mahfad	2017	25.6%	50.4%	2.1%
Yemen	Craiter	2017	17.2%	35.4%	6.7%
Yemen	Bani Suraim	2017	26.0%	76.8%	0.0%
Yemen	Ibb	2017	19.4%	30.5%	9.5%
Yemen	Al Masalah	2017	23.2%	43.9%	6.6%
Yemen	Bilad At Ta'am	2017	35.6%	62.2%	14.0%
Yemen	Al Qahirah	2017	7.4%	12.2%	4.4%
Yemen	Bakil Al Mir	2017	28.8%	69.9%	0.1%
Yemen	Halimayn	2017	21.1%	50.9%	3.8%
Yemen	Ash Shamayatayn	2017	22.1%	49.3%	6.7%
Yemen	Jahaf	2017	20.4%	30.0%	14.0%
Yemen	Jabal Murad	2017	25.1%	49.0%	3.2%
Yemen	As Safra	2017	19.5%	46.6%	1.6%
Yemen	Dhi Bin	2017	33.5%	53.7%	17.2%
Yemen	Majz	2017	22.5%	60.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Haymah Al Kharijyah	2017	28.8%	40.1%	17.7%
Yemen	Al Dhihar	2017	6.8%	8.8%	5.2%
Yemen	Bani Qa'is	2017	35.0%	65.1%	12.0%
Yemen	Sarar	2017	14.7%	34.3%	2.3%
Yemen	Wald Rabi'	2017	37.5%	61.0%	20.1%
Yemen	Al Qafлах	2017	24.0%	61.4%	2.9%
Yemen	Bani Matar	2017	40.8%	65.0%	19.7%
Yemen	At Tawilah	2017	54.4%	66.8%	39.5%
Yemen	Aflah Al Yaman	2017	48.5%	82.3%	17.4%
Yemen	Sama	2017	64.6%	96.6%	27.4%
Yemen	Merkhah Al Ulya	2017	16.7%	45.1%	1.0%
Yemen	Al Khawkhah	2017	25.6%	74.3%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Makhadir	2017	12.3%	54.7%	1.4%
Yemen	Zabid	2017	42.9%	60.6%	30.0%
Yemen	Wusab As Safil	2017	39.1%	59.5%	19.2%
Yemen	As Said	2017	22.6%	51.4%	1.8%
Yemen	Mabyan	2017	29.3%	37.2%	17.7%
Yemen	Lawdar	2017	25.0%	39.9%	12.6%
Yemen	Bayt Al Faqiah	2017	32.2%	57.2%	7.6%
Yemen	Medghal	2017	23.8%	72.9%	0.5%
Yemen	Jabal Iyal Yazid	2017	20.1%	37.6%	10.9%
Yemen	Arhab	2017	31.8%	57.6%	13.3%
Yemen	Al Bayda City	2017	13.6%	32.9%	1.7%
Yemen	Sahar	2017	21.5%	55.1%	0.1%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2017	31.9%	73.6%	9.2%
Yemen	Al Humaydat	2017	27.3%	57.9%	2.4%
Yemen	Al Milah	2017	21.7%	46.0%	3.0%
Yemen	Ma'ain	2017	41.3%	53.6%	28.2%
Yemen	Bart Al Anan	2017	38.6%	69.7%	15.6%
Yemen	Sabir Al Mawadim	2017	13.8%	19.0%	10.1%
Yemen	Ain	2017	15.6%	37.1%	2.4%
Yemen	Al Hashwah	2017	26.4%	67.4%	0.1%
Yemen	Al Garrahi	2017	46.5%	71.1%	22.1%
Yemen	Amd	2017	24.9%	61.6%	1.7%
Yemen	Milhan	2017	52.5%	56.3%	48.2%
Yemen	Al Marawi'ah	2017	31.3%	52.3%	13.8%
Yemen	Bani Al Harith	2017	28.1%	36.6%	19.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Wadi Al Ayn	2017	28.2%	62.6%	1.4%
Yemen	Jayshan	2017	22.8%	72.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Shara'b As Salam	2017	54.6%	62.9%	39.7%
Yemen	Majzar	2017	27.0%	53.1%	13.9%
Yemen	Bani Sa'd	2017	29.6%	57.8%	10.4%
Yemen	Al Munirah	2017	28.3%	65.7%	1.2%
Yemen	Assafi'yah	2017	12.2%	14.5%	9.9%
Yemen	Al Khalq	2017	73.2%	84.3%	59.9%
Yemen	Hayran	2017	26.0%	77.7%	0.2%
Yemen	As Silw	2017	44.8%	57.1%	33.3%
Yemen	Mawza	2017	28.2%	73.2%	1.7%
Yemen	Sirwah	2017	27.1%	50.7%	9.4%
Yemen	Al Buraiqeh	2017	40.1%	68.7%	15.3%
Yemen	An Nadirah	2017	19.0%	57.4%	2.7%
Yemen	Al Hali	2017	53.7%	66.4%	34.5%
Yemen	Al Mansura	2017	42.6%	49.6%	35.4%
Yemen	Ahwar	2017	29.7%	56.7%	6.0%
Yemen	Usaylan	2017	26.1%	66.3%	0.2%
Yemen	Sabah	2017	34.2%	62.1%	6.0%
Yemen	Qishn	2017	27.3%	61.6%	5.9%
Yemen	Hufash	2017	63.7%	68.2%	58.3%
Yemen	Ad Dhale'e	2017	30.6%	46.7%	19.6%
Yemen	Al Maslub	2017	29.1%	73.9%	0.4%
Yemen	Al Hazm	2017	20.6%	56.8%	2.1%
Yemen	Al Qafr	2017	35.9%	61.5%	14.2%
Yemen	Saqayn	2017	23.2%	66.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Nati'	2017	34.4%	69.1%	9.7%
Yemen	Az'zal	2017	11.6%	13.9%	9.2%
Yemen	Habil Jabr	2017	17.4%	43.6%	1.4%
Yemen	Al Jabin	2017	53.9%	61.8%	44.5%
Yemen	Ash Sha'ir	2017	10.1%	22.2%	4.2%
Yemen	Al Hawtah	2017	77.6%	82.9%	69.9%
Yemen	Iyal Surayh	2017	25.0%	47.5%	8.2%
Yemen	Old City	2017	15.1%	17.1%	12.8%
Yemen	Al Hussein	2017	23.8%	27.4%	20.6%
Yemen	Jahran	2017	26.8%	45.7%	15.2%
Yemen	Rahabah	2017	26.9%	66.1%	0.6%
Yemen	Marib	2017	33.7%	40.7%	25.3%
Yemen	Bajil	2017	29.2%	54.5%	7.5%
Yemen	Amran	2017	27.9%	36.0%	16.8%
Yemen	Anss	2017	16.1%	31.3%	4.5%
Yemen	Az Zahir	2017	43.3%	70.9%	19.5%
Yemen	Dhar	2017	27.1%	60.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Qatabir	2017	21.3%	56.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mina	2017	74.5%	95.2%	17.0%
Yemen	Maswarah	2017	31.9%	62.5%	4.6%
Yemen	Al Mualla	2017	28.2%	39.8%	14.2%
Yemen	Adh Dhli'a'ah	2017	27.0%	53.6%	5.6%
Yemen	Al Mahwait	2017	29.6%	36.3%	23.3%
Yemen	Zingibar	2017	21.8%	94.9%	0.0%
Yemen	Yarim	2017	27.5%	49.4%	11.2%
Yemen	Thula	2017	14.8%	24.6%	5.2%
Yemen	Bani Hushaysh	2017	14.2%	26.2%	6.3%
Yemen	Alluheyah	2017	36.5%	60.2%	14.7%
Yemen	Tuban	2017	31.3%	42.1%	23.3%
Yemen	Hidaybu	2017	26.5%	56.5%	3.7%
Yemen	Sa'adah	2017	14.7%	65.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mukalla City	2017	32.4%	67.8%	1.5%
Yemen	Jabal Ash sharq	2017	31.2%	57.0%	14.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Qulensya Wa Abd Al Kuri	2017	30.7%	69.7%	0.3%
Yemen	Hajr	2017	25.5%	59.6%	0.6%
Yemen	Az Zaydiyah	2017	30.9%	62.1%	12.6%
Yemen	Ar Ryashyyah	2017	35.5%	75.4%	1.9%
Yemen	Al Wade'a	2017	27.1%	57.4%	7.8%
Yemen	As Sabain	2017	37.2%	42.1%	32.8%
Yemen	Ash Shaghadi-rah	2017	43.3%	61.1%	28.7%
Yemen	Al Mashan-nah	2017	39.1%	42.0%	35.8%
Yemen	Na'man	2017	29.0%	73.1%	0.4%
Yemen	Khur Maksar	2017	35.0%	41.8%	29.3%
Yemen	Al Ma'afer	2017	48.2%	88.9%	13.8%
Yemen	Al Qabbaytah	2017	16.9%	32.9%	4.9%
Yemen	Kharif	2017	23.9%	59.9%	4.0%
Yemen	Arma	2017	29.5%	59.8%	6.1%
Yemen	As Saddah	2017	37.8%	67.0%	12.5%
Yemen	Salh	2017	7.4%	9.8%	5.3%
Yemen	Al Matammah	2017	30.4%	60.3%	10.6%
Yemen	Jabal Habashy	2017	15.6%	44.5%	2.4%
Yemen	Al Mahabishah	2017	44.0%	87.6%	8.8%
Yemen	Al Qaf	2017	29.1%	51.8%	8.7%
Yemen	Raydah	2017	24.7%	49.8%	6.3%
Yemen	Marib City	2017	45.9%	48.0%	43.9%
Yemen	Az Zuhrah	2017	40.1%	69.2%	18.7%
Yemen	Al Hada	2017	25.4%	51.9%	5.5%
Yemen	Shu'aub	2017	8.0%	9.3%	6.6%
Yemen	Ash Shaikh Outhman	2017	28.4%	33.7%	24.4%
Yemen	As Sawma'ah	2017	24.8%	45.1%	8.9%
Yemen	Baqim	2017	20.3%	51.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Khwlán	2017	24.5%	41.5%	9.7%
Yemen	Midi	2017	26.2%	64.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Madan	2017	16.2%	46.3%	2.1%
Yemen	Radman Al Awad	2017	34.2%	77.5%	4.5%
Yemen	Rada'	2017	38.3%	75.2%	6.7%
Yemen	Ghamr	2017	20.9%	74.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Bayhan	2017	24.8%	50.4%	8.9%
Yemen	Mahliyah	2017	25.3%	66.2%	0.5%
Yemen	Shahan	2017	29.7%	53.7%	3.8%
Yemen	Khayran Al Muharraq	2017	62.0%	80.7%	44.9%
Yemen	Sa'fan	2017	45.1%	59.9%	28.3%
Yemen	Al Qatn	2017	19.7%	40.4%	4.4%
Yemen	At Tuhayat	2017	36.0%	71.7%	8.6%
Yemen	Brom Mayfa	2017	28.5%	66.5%	2.2%
Yemen	Ba'dan	2017	21.8%	46.1%	5.4%
Yemen	Al Mudhaffar	2017	9.4%	11.0%	8.2%
Yemen	Hajjah	2017	70.0%	86.5%	43.2%
Yemen	Jabal Ra's	2017	26.4%	63.1%	5.1%
Yemen	Qafil Shamer	2017	47.0%	84.5%	17.9%
Yemen	Ash Shihr	2017	17.4%	34.3%	4.9%
Yemen	Al Maqatirah	2017	16.1%	48.6%	0.9%
Yemen	Rakhyah	2017	27.6%	70.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Manar	2017	27.2%	69.5%	0.9%
Yemen	Habban	2017	25.8%	61.6%	1.4%
Yemen	Qa'atabah	2017	24.0%	47.3%	12.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Yemen	Hat	2017	27.8%	51.3%	8.1%
Yemen	Ash Shahil	2017	28.6%	81.4%	0.6%
Yemen	Al Miftah	2017	56.5%	68.5%	47.4%
Yemen	Ghayl Ba Wazir	2017	25.7%	69.3%	2.5%
Yemen	Sharas	2017	55.7%	91.4%	14.3%
Yemen	Haydan	2017	25.4%	74.0%	0.0%
Yemen	Dhi Na'im	2017	16.5%	48.6%	0.9%
Yemen	Washhah	2017	66.4%	82.1%	48.4%
Yemen	Khamir	2017	15.6%	38.1%	1.8%
Yemen	Dawran Aness	2017	25.7%	57.6%	5.2%
Yemen	Mayfa'a	2017	25.1%	56.7%	4.4%
Yemen	Rasad	2017	23.5%	46.5%	7.5%
Yemen	Hayfan	2017	13.8%	21.0%	9.2%
Yemen	Attawahi	2017	43.7%	64.1%	6.7%
Yemen	Jiblah	2017	37.9%	42.8%	31.2%
Yemen	As Sudah	2017	13.9%	28.9%	3.4%
Yemen	As Salif	2017	31.0%	84.5%	0.0%
Yemen	Khanfir	2017	25.9%	47.0%	11.4%
Yemen	Ar Rawdah	2017	26.3%	56.4%	4.9%
Yemen	Qarah	2017	38.9%	74.8%	7.0%
Yemen	Al Dhaher	2017	22.2%	72.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Al Mighlaf	2017	39.8%	61.6%	24.7%
Yemen	Al Khabt	2017	60.7%	76.5%	41.1%
Yemen	Dar Sad	2017	10.3%	15.1%	6.4%
Yemen	Al Mukalla	2017	27.5%	61.4%	1.2%
Yemen	Tur Al Bahah	2017	19.7%	40.9%	3.8%
Yemen	Attyal	2017	26.4%	68.1%	4.8%
Yemen	Aflah Ash Shawm	2017	48.8%	92.0%	5.4%
Yemen	Ash Shu'ayb	2017	21.0%	33.4%	11.5%
Yemen	Manakhah	2017	34.9%	42.1%	27.9%
Yemen	Shaharah	2017	12.2%	23.4%	5.5%
Yemen	Yabuth	2017	26.6%	63.2%	0.0%
Yemen	Habur Zu-laymah	2017	28.7%	67.9%	4.9%
Yemen	Razih	2017	19.8%	71.6%	0.0%
Yemen	Man'ar	2017	28.9%	50.8%	8.3%
Yemen	Harf Sufyan	2017	24.4%	46.1%	4.6%
Yemen	Ash Sharyah	2017	15.3%	25.5%	8.2%
Yemen	Ar Raydah Wa Qusayar	2017	25.1%	60.3%	2.1%
Yemen	Abs	2017	34.0%	65.1%	15.5%
Yemen	Raghwan	2017	22.1%	79.4%	0.0%
Yemen	Suwayr	2017	16.6%	41.6%	2.2%
Yemen	Maswar	2017	66.8%	74.6%	55.8%
Yemen	Daw'an	2017	23.9%	51.8%	3.7%
Yemen	As Sawm	2017	30.8%	59.1%	5.5%
Yemen	Al Wahdah	2017	40.8%	46.5%	34.7%
Yemen	Sanhan	2017	33.9%	59.1%	15.2%
Yemen	Mawiyah	2017	27.4%	62.1%	5.1%
Yemen	Bidbadah	2017	19.6%	31.7%	11.5%
Yemen	Far Al Udayn	2017	24.7%	47.3%	7.9%
South Asia					
Bangladesh	Khagrachhari	2000	19.6%	29.8%	12.0%
Bangladesh	Kushtia	2000	12.9%	18.4%	8.8%
Bangladesh	Narail	2000	13.6%	22.5%	7.0%
Bangladesh	Thakurgaon	2000	24.0%	31.2%	17.6%
Bangladesh	Sylhet	2000	17.4%	20.8%	14.5%
Bangladesh	Natore	2000	16.2%	23.3%	9.7%
Bangladesh	Meherpur	2000	21.6%	31.5%	14.2%
Bangladesh	Narayanganj	2000	25.0%	28.9%	22.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bangladesh	Bogra	2000	18.4%	22.5%	14.5%
Bangladesh	Barisal	2000	10.6%	13.8%	8.1%
Bangladesh	Joypurhat	2000	21.7%	31.0%	14.7%
Bangladesh	Chandpur	2000	14.3%	20.8%	9.1%
Bangladesh	Barguna	2000	14.9%	20.0%	9.8%
Bangladesh	Naogaon	2000	21.3%	27.2%	16.1%
Bangladesh	Netrakona	2000	19.8%	30.5%	12.5%
Bangladesh	Gazipur	2000	20.0%	25.3%	15.1%
Bangladesh	Maulvibazar	2000	17.3%	22.5%	12.9%
Bangladesh	Pirojpur	2000	6.9%	11.4%	3.4%
Bangladesh	Pabna	2000	12.5%	17.2%	8.4%
Bangladesh	Jessore	2000	18.5%	24.3%	14.3%
Bangladesh	Dinajpur	2000	22.2%	27.5%	18.2%
Bangladesh	Sunamganj	2000	16.6%	21.4%	12.0%
Bangladesh	Lalmonirhat	2000	14.5%	20.5%	8.3%
Bangladesh	Gaibandha	2000	25.8%	31.6%	20.5%
Bangladesh	Sirajganj	2000	9.8%	15.1%	6.3%
Bangladesh	Comilla	2000	15.0%	19.6%	11.2%
Bangladesh	Gopalganj	2000	17.3%	26.8%	9.3%
Bangladesh	Jamalpur	2000	16.3%	23.7%	10.2%
Bangladesh	Madaripur	2000	14.4%	23.6%	7.3%
Bangladesh	Habiganj	2000	12.2%	17.3%	8.3%
Bangladesh	Jhalokati	2000	9.0%	11.9%	6.8%
Bangladesh	Chittagong	2000	22.6%	25.7%	19.9%
Bangladesh	Noakhali	2000	13.2%	18.5%	9.0%
Bangladesh	Satkhira	2000	13.0%	18.0%	8.2%
Bangladesh	Kurigram	2000	17.2%	22.4%	13.2%
Bangladesh	Shariatpur	2000	11.0%	21.1%	4.5%
Bangladesh	Rajshahi	2000	20.6%	25.3%	16.9%
Bangladesh	Kishoreganj	2000	20.2%	27.4%	14.8%
Bangladesh	Rangamati	2000	17.1%	23.8%	11.6%
Bangladesh	Narsingdi	2000	15.4%	22.5%	9.7%
Bangladesh	Mymensingh	2000	16.2%	21.3%	12.4%
Bangladesh	Panchagarh	2000	21.3%	30.1%	13.5%
Bangladesh	Magura	2000	14.7%	23.4%	8.7%
Bangladesh	Dhaka	2000	29.8%	31.5%	28.1%
Bangladesh	Sherpur	2000	16.7%	24.9%	9.9%
Bangladesh	Rangpur	2000	25.0%	30.9%	19.6%
Bangladesh	Feni	2000	18.1%	26.3%	12.2%
Bangladesh	Bandarban	2000	19.9%	27.8%	14.3%
Bangladesh	Chuadanga	2000	19.3%	26.5%	13.6%
Bangladesh	Brahamanbaria	2000	13.9%	18.6%	9.4%
Bangladesh	Tangail	2000	15.9%	23.4%	10.0%
Bangladesh	Jhenaidah	2000	14.9%	21.6%	9.6%
Bangladesh	Bhola	2000	15.4%	19.8%	11.3%
Bangladesh	Lakshmipur	2000	10.7%	16.6%	6.2%
Bangladesh	Khulna	2000	20.8%	24.1%	17.8%
Bangladesh	Manikganj	2000	14.5%	21.7%	8.8%
Bangladesh	Patuakhali	2000	14.7%	20.0%	11.0%
Bangladesh	Nilphamari	2000	22.3%	27.3%	17.3%
Bangladesh	Nawabganj	2000	20.6%	28.9%	14.0%
Bangladesh	Bagerhat	2000	12.1%	17.4%	7.4%
Bangladesh	Faridpur	2000	16.2%	24.2%	8.6%
Bangladesh	Cox'S Bazar	2000	14.4%	21.9%	8.8%
Bangladesh	Rajbari	2000	18.2%	28.8%	10.5%
Bangladesh	Munshiganj	2000	15.1%	24.2%	7.7%
Bangladesh	Narail	2017	6.4%	11.0%	2.9%
Bangladesh	Gaibandha	2017	13.1%	16.5%	10.0%
Bangladesh	Panchagarh	2017	12.5%	18.8%	7.3%
Bangladesh	Kushtia	2017	5.9%	9.1%	3.6%
Bangladesh	Naogaon	2017	10.7%	14.4%	7.9%
Bangladesh	Magura	2017	5.8%	10.2%	2.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bangladesh	Comilla	2017	6.3%	8.8%	4.1%
Bangladesh	Netrakona	2017	12.7%	19.3%	7.9%
Bangladesh	Khagrachhari	2017	10.4%	17.3%	5.7%
Bangladesh	Thakurgaon	2017	12.2%	17.1%	8.0%
Bangladesh	Kishoreganj	2017	9.9%	14.0%	6.5%
Bangladesh	Bogra	2017	7.5%	9.7%	5.3%
Bangladesh	Jessore	2017	8.3%	11.7%	5.9%
Bangladesh	Narsingdi	2017	7.1%	11.6%	4.2%
Bangladesh	Joypurhat	2017	8.6%	14.3%	5.2%
Bangladesh	Chittagong	2017	9.8%	11.6%	8.2%
Bangladesh	Madaripur	2017	7.0%	12.5%	3.1%
Bangladesh	Sylhet	2017	7.3%	9.8%	5.7%
Bangladesh	Lalmonirhat	2017	7.1%	10.9%	3.6%
Bangladesh	Rangpur	2017	13.0%	16.9%	9.8%
Bangladesh	Barisal	2017	4.7%	6.7%	3.0%
Bangladesh	Noakhali	2017	6.0%	9.1%	3.8%
Bangladesh	Nawabganj	2017	11.0%	16.5%	6.9%
Bangladesh	Gopalganj	2017	8.7%	15.6%	4.3%
Bangladesh	Rangamati	2017	9.4%	13.8%	6.1%
Bangladesh	Khulna	2017	7.0%	9.3%	4.9%
Bangladesh	Dhaka	2017	10.8%	12.0%	9.8%
Bangladesh	Barguna	2017	6.1%	9.4%	3.4%
Bangladesh	Jhalokati	2017	4.3%	6.6%	2.5%
Bangladesh	Natore	2017	6.7%	10.8%	3.7%
Bangladesh	Meherpur	2017	10.5%	17.1%	5.9%
Bangladesh	Sirajganj	2017	4.6%	7.6%	2.6%
Bangladesh	Gazipur	2017	7.5%	11.1%	5.0%
Bangladesh	Dinajpur	2017	10.3%	13.4%	7.8%
Bangladesh	Sherpur	2017	8.9%	13.7%	4.6%
Bangladesh	Kurigram	2017	10.0%	13.7%	7.2%
Bangladesh	Sunamganj	2017	9.5%	12.5%	6.8%
Bangladesh	Pirojpur	2017	3.2%	5.9%	1.5%
Bangladesh	Satkhira	2017	5.5%	8.2%	3.0%
Bangladesh	Narayanganj	2017	11.4%	13.7%	9.9%
Bangladesh	Maulvibazar	2017	7.2%	9.8%	5.0%
Bangladesh	Lakshmipur	2017	5.2%	9.0%	2.7%
Bangladesh	Chuadanga	2017	8.2%	12.3%	5.0%
Bangladesh	Bhola	2017	10.0%	13.7%	7.1%
Bangladesh	Mymensingh	2017	8.9%	12.2%	6.1%
Bangladesh	Habiganj	2017	6.5%	9.8%	4.2%
Bangladesh	Bandarban	2017	11.3%	16.0%	7.4%
Bangladesh	Rajshahi	2017	8.5%	11.1%	6.4%
Bangladesh	Jhenaidah	2017	7.1%	10.9%	4.3%
Bangladesh	Chandpur	2017	5.5%	9.1%	3.0%
Bangladesh	Faridpur	2017	8.1%	12.9%	4.2%
Bangladesh	Pabna	2017	5.6%	8.7%	3.4%
Bangladesh	Bagerhat	2017	6.2%	9.4%	3.6%
Bangladesh	Shariatpur	2017	5.8%	11.3%	2.2%
Bangladesh	Tangail	2017	7.8%	12.1%	4.8%
Bangladesh	Jamalpur	2017	8.3%	12.9%	4.7%
Bangladesh	Patuakhali	2017	7.1%	10.3%	4.9%
Bangladesh	Brahamanbaria	2017	6.0%	8.8%	3.4%
Bangladesh	Rajbari	2017	8.0%	14.7%	4.0%
Bangladesh	Manikganj	2017	6.2%	10.5%	3.4%
Bangladesh	Cox'S Bazar	2017	8.9%	14.1%	5.5%
Bangladesh	Feni	2017	7.1%	12.1%	4.1%
Bangladesh	Nilphamari	2017	11.6%	15.0%	8.4%
Bangladesh	Munshiganj	2017	7.0%	12.7%	3.0%
Bhutan	Bara	2000	1.1%	7.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sherzhong	2000	0.4%	3.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Jigmichhoeling	2000	1.1%	5.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Genye	2000	2.4%	18.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Soe	2000	12.5%	41.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ngangla	2000	1.1%	6.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Doban	2000	1.6%	13.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Jamkhar	2000	1.3%	11.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dzoma	2000	0.7%	4.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tendu	2000	0.8%	7.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dragteng	2000	0.7%	4.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Umling	2000	0.7%	4.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bapisa	2000	0.2%	1.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chaskhar	2000	1.4%	11.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsamang	2000	1.6%	11.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsholingkhor	2000	0.3%	2.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Athang	2000	1.1%	5.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dunglegang	2000	1.1%	6.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Laya	2000	7.1%	20.5%	0.7%
Bhutan	Thangrong	2000	1.4%	12.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dekiling	2000	1.3%	16.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Barzhong	2000	0.8%	5.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Drametse	2000	1.0%	9.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhubu	2000	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bjoka	2000	1.7%	11.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Wangchang	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sakteng	2000	3.5%	14.0%	0.1%
Bhutan	Ngatshang	2000	1.3%	7.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Samrang	2000	0.4%	3.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kalidzingkha	2000	0.6%	3.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chengmari	2000	1.4%	12.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Drugyelgang	2000	0.8%	6.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dopshari	2000	0.9%	9.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Daga	2000	1.2%	6.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shermung	2000	1.6%	9.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tomzhangtshen	2000	1.1%	8.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khar	2000	0.4%	4.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shongphu	2000	0.3%	2.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gangzur	2000	1.0%	5.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yangnyer	2000	0.8%	7.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Naja	2000	1.5%	11.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsenkhar	2000	1.1%	8.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Trashiyangtse	2000	0.9%	5.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shapa	2000	0.6%	5.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gosarling	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.1%
Bhutan	Nahi	2000	0.5%	4.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Nangkor	2000	0.8%	3.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bji	2000	2.9%	10.5%	0.1%
Bhutan	Biru	2000	0.4%	3.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Samkhar	2000	1.0%	7.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kawang	2000	1.5%	11.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kangpara	2000	0.9%	6.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sama	2000	1.3%	9.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chargharay	2000	1.0%	6.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dewathang	2000	0.9%	8.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sipsu	2000	0.8%	7.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Jurmey	2000	0.9%	8.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dungna	2000	1.3%	7.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khoma	2000	2.0%	7.9%	0.1%
Bhutan	Mendrelgang	2000	1.2%	8.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kazhi	2000	1.3%	4.6%	0.1%
Bhutan	Taklai	2000	0.5%	4.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Trong	2000	0.8%	4.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Katsho	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Metap	2000	1.9%	13.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Patakla	2000	1.5%	13.5%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Bumdeling	2000	2.3%	8.5%	0.1%
Bhutan	Balam	2000	1.2%	9.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Logchina	2000	1.0%	10.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Toepisa	2000	0.9%	6.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Senge	2000	0.9%	10.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phangyuel	2000	0.2%	2.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bartsham	2000	0.9%	9.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lingmukha	2000	0.4%	4.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yalang	2000	1.1%	7.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Trashiding	2000	0.7%	6.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhuzagang	2000	0.3%	2.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Udzorong	2000	1.8%	13.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Saleng	2000	0.9%	4.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Nubi	2000	1.6%	8.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Naro	2000	5.6%	19.6%	0.1%
Bhutan	Dorokha	2000	1.7%	15.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ramjar	2000	0.9%	8.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gozhi	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lungnyi	2000	0.4%	3.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Geling	2000	0.9%	5.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhoekhor	2000	3.8%	11.4%	0.7%
Bhutan	Deorali	2000	0.9%	7.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shemjong	2000	0.8%	5.2%	0.1%
Bhutan	Gangte	2000	1.5%	17.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shompangkha	2000	1.3%	15.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ura	2000	0.8%	6.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dorona	2000	0.7%	7.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yoeseltse	2000	0.9%	9.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sombey	2000	1.6%	6.1%	0.1%
Bhutan	Kengkhar	2000	1.3%	9.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kanglung	2000	1.0%	9.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lamgong	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Doteng	2000	1.1%	5.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phongme	2000	3.5%	13.6%	0.1%
Bhutan	Yurung	2000	0.9%	8.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gozhing	2000	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Namgyel	2000	0.7%	5.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhoeling				
Bhutan	Dechheling	2000	1.1%	9.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Langchhenphu	2000	0.9%	7.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Jaray	2000	1.4%	7.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tang	2000	1.6%	6.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Langthil	2000	1.4%	6.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Uesu	2000	0.8%	6.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsento	2000	1.8%	6.8%	0.1%
Bhutan	Lauri	2000	1.2%	6.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gesarling	2000	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Serthig	2000	0.8%	5.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khamdang	2000	0.8%	5.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Pemathang	2000	1.2%	7.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Radi	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phuntsthothang	2000	1.8%	11.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kabjisa	2000	1.8%	14.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shengabjimi	2000	0.7%	5.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Wangphu	2000	0.6%	3.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kurtoe	2000	4.1%	10.6%	0.3%
Bhutan	Lunana	2000	7.5%	16.4%	1.1%
Bhutan	Tseza	2000	1.4%	7.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gelephu	2000	0.4%	2.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kikorthang	2000	0.9%	7.3%	0.1%
Bhutan	Phuentenchhu	2000	2.9%	18.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Talo	2000	2.3%	25.2%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Toewang	2000	0.7%	3.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Minjay	2000	1.3%	7.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Guma	2000	1.2%	9.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Samtse	2000	1.0%	6.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Denchhukha	2000	0.7%	5.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Goenkhatoe	2000	3.3%	13.0%	0.1%
Bhutan	Drepung	2000	0.7%	3.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ruepisa	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Silambi	2000	1.0%	6.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lingzhi	2000	6.9%	23.7%	0.2%
Bhutan	Tading	2000	0.5%	3.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Metsho	2000	0.6%	4.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chang	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chongshing	2000	0.7%	6.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Martshala	2000	0.5%	2.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Mongar	2000	0.6%	4.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khaling	2000	0.5%	2.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsirangtoe	2000	2.0%	19.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phobji	2000	1.7%	12.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lhamoizingkha	2000	1.1%	12.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khipisa	2000	0.8%	6.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Nanong	2000	0.6%	4.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Goenshari	2000	1.1%	10.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chokhorling	2000	0.7%	6.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Thrimshing	2000	0.5%	4.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sephu	2000	3.5%	10.8%	0.5%
Bhutan	Dungtoe	2000	0.9%	7.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bidung	2000	0.7%	6.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Samphelling	2000	0.4%	3.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Mewang	2000	0.7%	4.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Nyisho	2000	0.6%	3.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsakaling	2000	1.5%	10.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhume	2000	1.7%	9.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Theedtsho	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dagala	2000	0.9%	5.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Pangkhar	2000	0.9%	4.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gasetsho Om	2000	1.0%	7.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Nichula	2000	0.9%	7.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lumang	2000	0.6%	5.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhali	2000	3.4%	39.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dungmin	2000	0.2%	2.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Goenkhamé	2000	1.5%	10.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gongdue	2000	1.2%	8.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Merak	2000	1.9%	7.4%	0.1%
Bhutan	Menbi	2000	0.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Hiley	2000	0.9%	8.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shumer	2000	1.1%	9.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Doga	2000	0.9%	6.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tangsibji	2000	1.2%	6.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsendagang	2000	0.7%	5.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bongo	2000	1.2%	9.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Zobel	2000	0.9%	6.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Norbugang	2000	1.3%	13.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dala	2000	1.0%	9.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Toetsho	2000	1.1%	9.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gomdar	2000	1.0%	7.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bjena	2000	0.4%	3.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Hungrel	2000	1.0%	8.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Korphu	2000	1.5%	8.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ugentse	2000	1.5%	15.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Getana	2000	1.1%	6.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dangchhu	2000	0.8%	5.4%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Chhimung	2000	0.8%	6.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Narang	2000	0.8%	7.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lajab	2000	1.1%	8.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gakiling	2000	0.9%	5.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Jangchhubling	2000	0.8%	8.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Beteni	2000	1.3%	10.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phuentsholing	2000	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chapchha	2000	1.2%	10.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bhur	2000	0.4%	3.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shingkhar	2000	0.8%	5.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bjachho	2000	0.8%	5.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gasetsho	2000	0.3%	2.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gom				
Bhutan	Pagli	2000	1.2%	12.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsangkha	2000	0.7%	8.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Rangthangling	2000	1.4%	12.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bardo	2000	0.7%	4.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ngangla	2017	1.0%	6.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Barzhong	2017	0.7%	4.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Jigmichhoeling	2017	1.1%	6.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shompangkha	2017	0.6%	5.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Doban	2017	1.3%	8.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bjoka	2017	1.3%	11.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Athang	2017	0.9%	6.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Samkhar	2017	1.5%	13.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Martshala	2017	0.4%	3.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Nahi	2017	0.8%	11.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shemjong	2017	0.4%	5.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Thangrong	2017	1.5%	12.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Nubi	2017	1.2%	8.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Wangchang	2017	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dekiling	2017	0.6%	5.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Umling	2017	0.3%	2.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dragteng	2017	0.3%	3.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chaskhar	2017	1.3%	13.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Genye	2017	1.5%	12.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Daga	2017	0.9%	7.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khar	2017	0.3%	3.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dunglegang	2017	1.0%	8.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sakteng	2017	2.6%	12.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsholingkhor	2017	0.0%	0.1%	-0.0%
Bhutan	Bara	2017	0.8%	7.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Thrimshing	2017	0.7%	6.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kabjisa	2017	2.0%	14.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dzoma	2017	0.2%	2.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shermung	2017	1.4%	10.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Doteng	2017	0.4%	4.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phongme	2017	2.6%	19.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yalang	2017	1.0%	14.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bartsham	2017	1.0%	11.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Soe	2017	4.3%	27.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shongphu	2017	0.4%	3.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khamdang	2017	0.9%	8.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Trashiyangtse	2017	0.7%	5.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Laya	2017	3.6%	12.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bji	2017	1.7%	9.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gangzur	2017	0.7%	3.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tomzhangtshen	2017	0.9%	9.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chengmari	2017	1.4%	19.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Katsho	2017	0.5%	4.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gesarling	2017	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phuentenchhu	2017	1.4%	11.4%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Taklai	2017	0.5%	3.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phuntsthothang	2017	1.4%	9.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kawang	2017	0.6%	4.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chang	2017	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Biru	2017	0.4%	5.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Serthig	2017	0.7%	6.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kengkhar	2017	1.3%	13.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lauri	2017	1.1%	7.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kanglung	2017	0.5%	8.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Wangphu	2017	0.6%	4.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kangpara	2017	1.2%	7.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Naro	2017	2.6%	15.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dopshari	2017	0.2%	2.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dewathang	2017	0.8%	7.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Namgyel	2017	0.8%	8.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhoeling				
Bhutan	Gelephu	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Samphelling	2017	0.5%	6.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhuzagang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bumdeling	2017	1.5%	6.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yangnyer	2017	0.7%	7.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dungna	2017	1.3%	7.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Silambi	2017	1.4%	12.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bhur	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kazhi	2017	0.9%	3.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Patakla	2017	0.5%	4.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dorokha	2017	1.5%	11.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kurtoe	2017	1.7%	7.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lungnyi	2017	0.4%	2.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bapisa	2017	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phangyuel	2017	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lingmukha	2017	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhubu	2017	0.1%	1.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Saleng	2017	1.5%	11.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Korphu	2017	1.2%	8.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chargharay	2017	1.1%	14.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Goenkhatoe	2017	2.1%	11.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tading	2017	0.4%	3.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dechhenling	2017	0.7%	6.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Samrang	2017	0.2%	1.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kikorthang	2017	0.5%	4.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gangte	2017	1.2%	12.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Mendrelgang	2017	0.9%	9.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Drametse	2017	0.6%	8.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khoma	2017	1.2%	6.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dungtoe	2017	0.7%	7.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsendagang	2017	0.4%	4.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shapa	2017	0.4%	2.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Kalidzingkha	2017	0.3%	3.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Drugyelgang	2017	0.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhoekhor	2017	1.9%	6.7%	0.2%
Bhutan	Lamgong	2017	0.1%	1.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gosarling	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Getana	2017	1.0%	8.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tang	2017	0.9%	4.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sephu	2017	2.4%	8.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Toepisa	2017	1.1%	8.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ngatshang	2017	1.2%	12.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Mongar	2017	0.5%	3.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Langthil	2017	0.9%	5.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Jurmey	2017	1.0%	11.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gozhi	2017	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Balam	2017	0.8%	8.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tendu	2017	0.6%	5.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bjena	2017	0.5%	5.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Pemathang	2017	0.6%	7.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Hungrel	2017	0.4%	6.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Nichula	2017	0.8%	10.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Goenkhome	2017	0.7%	5.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Denchhukha	2017	0.9%	8.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phobji	2017	1.4%	12.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Talo	2017	1.4%	22.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sherzhong	2017	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khipisa	2017	0.7%	7.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsakaling	2017	0.5%	4.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shengabjimi	2017	0.7%	8.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsento	2017	1.2%	6.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Naja	2017	1.7%	16.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Guma	2017	0.5%	5.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsangkha	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gomdar	2017	1.3%	15.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Senge	2017	0.9%	8.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sipsu	2017	0.9%	10.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Zobel	2017	0.9%	7.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Toewang	2017	0.6%	4.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shumer	2017	1.0%	9.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Metap	2017	1.3%	10.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Thedtsho	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lunana	2017	3.5%	9.8%	0.3%
Bhutan	Drepung	2017	0.8%	6.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yoeseltse	2017	1.1%	11.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lingzhi	2017	3.7%	20.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dala	2017	1.0%	9.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Pangkhar	2017	1.0%	6.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ruepisa	2017	0.1%	0.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Deorali	2017	0.9%	7.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Udzorong	2017	1.4%	16.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Hiley	2017	0.7%	8.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bardo	2017	0.8%	7.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Khaling	2017	0.5%	5.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Goenshari	2017	0.8%	7.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gasetsho Om	2017	0.9%	8.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Toetsho	2017	1.2%	13.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chokhorling	2017	0.5%	4.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sombey	2017	1.5%	8.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Nangkor	2017	0.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsenkhar	2017	0.8%	11.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Langchhenphu	2017	0.9%	9.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tangsibji	2017	1.2%	7.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsirangtoe	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Minjay	2017	0.9%	8.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Doga	2017	1.0%	8.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ura	2017	0.7%	6.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chongshing	2017	0.6%	3.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dungmin	2017	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Jamkhar	2017	0.9%	8.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Nanong	2017	0.7%	10.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Samtse	2017	0.5%	4.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bongo	2017	0.9%	7.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Metsho	2017	0.4%	3.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Rangthangling	2017	0.6%	6.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Phuentsholing	2017	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Mewang	2017	0.3%	2.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Jaray	2017	1.3%	7.6%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Bhutan	Gakiling	2017	0.9%	5.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ugentse	2017	1.2%	9.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bidung	2017	0.6%	5.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Nyisho	2017	0.5%	4.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dorona	2017	0.5%	5.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chapchha	2017	1.1%	10.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Ramjar	2017	0.9%	9.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Pagli	2017	0.7%	5.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dangchhu	2017	0.6%	7.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lumang	2017	0.6%	5.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lhamoizingkha	2017	1.4%	11.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhali	2017	0.4%	3.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Bjachho	2017	0.5%	5.9%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhume	2017	1.2%	7.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Merak	2017	1.5%	7.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Narang	2017	0.6%	5.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tseza	2017	1.2%	5.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Radi	2017	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Uesu	2017	1.5%	14.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gasetsho	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gom				
Bhutan	Beteni	2017	1.3%	12.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Dagala	2017	1.1%	9.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Geling	2017	0.5%	4.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Trashiding	2017	0.6%	5.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Yurung	2017	0.8%	5.6%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gongdue	2017	1.2%	10.8%	0.0%
Bhutan	Shingkhar	2017	1.0%	8.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Norbugang	2017	1.1%	9.7%	0.0%
Bhutan	Jangchhubling	2017	0.7%	6.5%	0.0%
Bhutan	Lajab	2017	0.5%	5.1%	0.0%
Bhutan	Trong	2017	0.4%	3.3%	0.0%
Bhutan	Logchina	2017	0.8%	10.4%	0.0%
Bhutan	Tsamang	2017	1.0%	9.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Chhimung	2017	0.9%	10.2%	0.0%
Bhutan	Menbi	2017	0.2%	2.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Sama	2017	1.2%	11.0%	0.0%
Bhutan	Gozhing	2017	0.4%	2.8%	0.0%
India	Bhadrak	2000	90.6%	92.4%	88.5%
India	Jashpur	2000	90.9%	93.0%	88.4%
India	South	2000	52.2%	57.0%	48.3%
India	Bathinda	2000	46.6%	51.7%	41.5%
India	Jogulamba	2000	73.4%	84.6%	60.2%
India	Gadwa				
India	Muktsar	2000	60.4%	64.0%	56.3%
India	Ghazipur	2000	84.9%	88.3%	81.8%
India	Bhopal	2000	76.1%	78.2%	73.4%
India	Ballia	2000	88.9%	90.3%	87.0%
India	Medak	2000	80.4%	88.5%	70.2%
India	Khagaria	2000	92.0%	93.1%	90.0%
India	Jamtara	2000	92.7%	94.6%	89.5%
India	Golaghat	2000	30.4%	32.7%	28.2%
India	Kasganj	2000	90.9%	92.0%	89.6%
India	Nanded	2000	79.1%	83.5%	73.5%
India	Idukki	2000	30.5%	36.2%	25.0%
India	Mandsaur	2000	79.4%	82.4%	76.1%
India	Karauli	2000	91.5%	92.8%	89.6%
India	East Kameng	2000	61.2%	66.3%	55.7%
India	Ramgarh	2000	89.4%	90.6%	88.2%
India	Uttara Kan- nada	2000	66.8%	72.5%	60.9%
India	Darrang	2000	26.2%	27.7%	24.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Churu	2000	70.3%	74.6%	65.4%
India	Pherzawl	2000	9.6%	21.0%	3.7%
India	Kangpokpi	2000	28.6%	29.7%	27.5%
India	Angul	2000	82.1%	85.9%	77.2%
India	Baloda Bazar	2000	71.7%	76.5%	66.5%
India	Meerut	2000	70.9%	72.1%	69.5%
India	Khowai	2000	19.8%	25.5%	14.2%
India	Firozabad	2000	85.3%	86.8%	83.2%
India	Indore	2000	72.8%	74.7%	70.5%
India	Gajapati	2000	82.5%	86.7%	78.3%
India	Mahesana	2000	70.4%	72.8%	67.7%
India	Anand	2000	72.9%	75.8%	69.7%
India	Nirmal	2000	83.5%	90.2%	76.0%
India	Warangal Rural	2000	71.8%	80.6%	61.5%
India	Chatra	2000	95.1%	96.8%	92.9%
India	Dakshin Dinajpur	2000	73.5%	77.2%	69.2%
India	Simdega	2000	97.1%	98.5%	94.2%
India	South West Khasi Hills	2000	41.7%	52.7%	30.4%
India	Bhiwani	2000	65.0%	69.9%	60.7%
India	Siddipet	2000	63.0%	75.9%	50.8%
India	Jamnagar	2000	65.0%	72.0%	54.6%
India	Rewa	2000	87.6%	90.7%	84.5%
India	Deoghar	2000	92.4%	93.6%	90.6%
India	Vellore	2000	75.5%	79.2%	71.4%
India	Nalbari	2000	28.5%	30.8%	26.9%
India	Mewat	2000	80.2%	81.9%	78.4%
India	Lohit	2000	43.2%	46.3%	39.8%
India	Tonk	2000	81.3%	84.7%	77.5%
India	Charkhi Dadri	2000	66.4%	71.6%	60.5%
India	Badgam	2000	37.0%	40.6%	33.5%
India	South West Garo Hills	2000	28.2%	35.6%	22.9%
India	Hathras	2000	87.6%	89.0%	86.1%
India	Raigarh	2000	87.0%	89.2%	84.5%
India	Jayashankar Bhupalpal	2000	79.4%	88.5%	68.1%
India	Faizabad	2000	93.3%	94.7%	91.5%
India	Nalanda	2000	92.0%	93.1%	90.5%
India	Karur	2000	84.2%	87.2%	80.7%
India	Kaimur	2000	93.7%	95.8%	90.1%
India	Thane	2000	69.6%	73.0%	65.8%
India	Mahbubnagar	2000	65.8%	76.2%	55.7%
India	Balrampur	2000	78.7%	81.6%	75.6%
India	Puruliya	2000	88.6%	91.0%	85.6%
India	Baleshwar	2000	82.7%	85.8%	79.2%
India	Munger	2000	88.8%	89.9%	87.2%
India	Ukhrul	2000	21.5%	24.6%	18.8%
India	Kapurthala	2000	59.9%	64.2%	55.5%
India	Bharatpur	2000	89.5%	91.4%	87.4%
India	Nagarkurnool	2000	78.2%	87.2%	67.5%
India	Pathankot	2000	62.6%	75.2%	55.2%
India	Ri Bhoi	2000	24.3%	26.9%	21.8%
India	Dhenkanal	2000	85.0%	88.3%	81.6%
India	Jamui	2000	94.3%	95.4%	92.5%
India	Singrauli	2000	87.0%	90.2%	83.2%
India	Budaun	2000	85.4%	87.1%	83.5%
India	Bageshwar	2000	81.4%	84.0%	77.0%
India	Basti	2000	91.0%	92.9%	88.7%
India	Ludhiana	2000	45.3%	49.5%	41.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Kondagaon	2000	83.8%	89.1%	77.1%
India	Farrukhabad	2000	88.8%	90.1%	87.4%
India	Nayagarh	2000	83.7%	86.1%	81.1%
India	Panna	2000	87.6%	91.6%	83.2%
India	Tirap	2000	25.8%	27.9%	23.6%
India	Leh (Ladakh)	2000	21.1%	25.5%	16.4%
India	Morbi	2000	71.7%	79.0%	61.7%
India	Haora	2000	56.7%	59.9%	52.6%
India	Patiala	2000	53.4%	57.7%	48.6%
India	Purba Bardhaman	2000	63.4%	68.3%	57.4%
India	Adilabad	2000	74.1%	83.7%	62.1%
India	Karnal	2000	54.4%	59.1%	49.8%
India	Guntur	2000	72.7%	77.2%	67.5%
India	South West	2000	34.2%	35.7%	32.9%
India	Mahuababad	2000	66.4%	75.1%	55.0%
India	Birbhum	2000	84.2%	87.5%	81.1%
India	Unokoti	2000	27.1%	30.0%	24.5%
India	Sahibzada	2000	52.8%	56.0%	49.6%
India	Ajit Singh Nagar				
India	Deoria	2000	91.5%	94.4%	88.1%
India	Nizamabad	2000	64.4%	70.6%	56.8%
India	Palghar	2000	63.2%	68.7%	56.8%
India	Mayurbhanj	2000	89.5%	91.8%	86.5%
India	Thrissur	2000	11.8%	18.8%	8.5%
India	Wayanad	2000	29.6%	33.7%	25.6%
India	Chennai	2000	41.1%	41.9%	40.3%
India	Hapur	2000	79.3%	81.1%	76.4%
India	Pashchim Champaran	2000	89.4%	91.6%	86.8%
India	Alirajpur	2000	94.0%	94.9%	92.5%
India	New Delhi	2000	37.9%	38.5%	37.4%
India	Kulgam	2000	50.9%	55.8%	46.0%
India	Paschimi Barddhamana	2000	79.1%	81.5%	75.8%
India	Kamareddy	2000	84.4%	89.4%	77.5%
India	Hamirpur	2000	77.4%	81.7%	73.6%
India	Fatehpur	2000	89.2%	91.4%	86.4%
India	West Garo Hills	2000	26.9%	30.6%	23.6%
India	Giridih	2000	92.0%	93.9%	89.9%
India	Raigad	2000	62.5%	68.3%	57.8%
India	Longleng	2000	22.0%	24.4%	19.9%
India	Kurnool	2000	73.3%	77.6%	68.6%
India	Gaya	2000	89.2%	91.5%	85.9%
India	Wardha	2000	68.9%	75.2%	61.4%
India	Chitradurga	2000	76.2%	82.2%	70.6%
India	Buxar	2000	95.0%	95.6%	94.0%
India	Barabanki	2000	91.6%	93.7%	88.7%
India	Balaghat	2000	83.4%	86.8%	79.4%
India	Surguja	2000	76.4%	81.2%	71.2%
India	Bikaner	2000	72.6%	75.8%	68.9%
India	Alwar	2000	85.2%	87.0%	83.2%
India	Shivpuri	2000	84.6%	87.7%	80.8%
India	Sirohi	2000	87.3%	90.3%	83.4%
India	Chandel	2000	28.4%	38.7%	20.4%
India	Central	2000	28.8%	29.4%	28.1%
India	Tiruchirappalli	2000	81.8%	84.3%	78.5%
India	Jabalpur	2000	84.9%	86.1%	83.4%
India	Fatehabad	2000	43.8%	47.9%	40.3%
India	Palamu	2000	89.8%	91.7%	87.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Panipat	2000	49.3%	51.7%	47.1%
India	Kamle	2000	38.0%	51.7%	28.1%
India	Dhamtari	2000	82.8%	86.4%	79.6%
India	Sirsa	2000	35.2%	39.1%	31.3%
India	Jhalawar	2000	85.4%	88.9%	81.3%
India	Lahul & Spiti	2000	46.3%	53.0%	39.3%
India	Mainpuri	2000	95.1%	95.9%	94.1%
India	Ambala	2000	61.3%	65.1%	57.2%
India	Khordha	2000	88.1%	89.2%	86.7%
India	Wanaparthy	2000	74.0%	87.5%	59.1%
India	Amreli	2000	64.1%	69.3%	58.2%
India	Jalandhar	2000	48.7%	53.5%	44.6%
India	Yanam	2000	54.7%	62.9%	48.8%
India	Gopalganj	2000	89.9%	91.6%	88.1%
India	Dang	2000	94.3%	95.5%	92.7%
India	Dindigul	2000	80.5%	84.1%	76.8%
India	Gwalior	2000	83.8%	85.3%	81.9%
India	Harda	2000	83.1%	86.1%	79.5%
India	Kokrajhar	2000	44.1%	47.5%	40.9%
India	Kohima	2000	53.0%	56.7%	49.5%
India	Dausa	2000	90.0%	91.4%	88.2%
India	Tamenglong	2000	23.8%	28.5%	19.8%
India	Niwari	2000	85.0%	88.6%	80.9%
India	Jalpaiguri	2000	62.7%	69.1%	56.4%
India	Bandipore	2000	47.6%	49.6%	45.6%
India	South Sikkim	2000	25.6%	28.3%	23.3%
India	Gadchiroli	2000	79.2%	83.6%	74.2%
India	Una	2000	73.9%	76.8%	69.4%
India	Bijnor	2000	70.9%	75.1%	66.5%
India	Nashik	2000	67.1%	71.2%	63.1%
India	North & Middle Andaman	2000	50.6%	63.0%	42.6%
India	Nagaon	2000	31.5%	34.4%	29.1%
India	Muzaffarpur	2000	90.1%	92.7%	86.8%
India	Varanasi	2000	88.0%	88.7%	87.1%
India	Madhepura	2000	95.5%	96.5%	94.4%
India	Dumka	2000	91.7%	93.9%	89.7%
India	Korba	2000	87.7%	89.8%	84.8%
India	Lower Subansiri	2000	44.3%	47.9%	40.9%
India	Raisen	2000	80.1%	82.8%	77.0%
India	Valsad	2000	76.0%	80.0%	71.4%
India	Kolasib	2000	33.7%	38.5%	29.1%
India	Baramulla	2000	48.7%	51.3%	45.9%
India	Kamrup	2000	33.8%	36.8%	30.6%
India	Bijapur	2000	91.4%	94.3%	87.7%
India	Namakkal	2000	78.8%	82.2%	74.0%
India	Pashchim Medinipur	2000	68.9%	73.8%	63.5%
India	Sipahijala	2000	26.3%	31.7%	21.0%
India	Jhansi	2000	84.8%	86.6%	82.7%
India	Surat	2000	49.4%	69.9%	38.7%
India	Muzaffarnagar	2000	76.8%	78.9%	74.7%
India	Etawah	2000	88.6%	90.1%	86.9%
India	Jagitial	2000	76.4%	86.0%	66.6%
India	Pratapgarh	2000	89.1%	91.7%	86.0%
India	Jagatsinghapur	2000	89.3%	91.4%	85.9%
India	Morigaon	2000	29.8%	31.4%	28.6%
India	Durg	2000	79.5%	81.6%	76.9%
India	Ambedkar Nagar	2000	92.1%	93.7%	89.3%
India	Erode	2000	73.9%	78.5%	69.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Chandrapur	2000	68.3%	73.0%	63.5%
India	Aizawl	2000	21.5%	27.4%	15.9%
India	Kullu	2000	72.8%	76.6%	68.7%
India	Lunglei	2000	27.4%	29.9%	24.4%
India	Cuddalore	2000	89.0%	90.8%	86.7%
India	Udupi	2000	40.8%	46.3%	36.0%
India	Vikarabad	2000	60.7%	74.9%	44.4%
India	Sivasagar	2000	28.8%	30.8%	26.8%
India	Rajouri	2000	78.8%	80.9%	76.9%
India	Mahe	2000	6.8%	14.4%	2.3%
India	Dohad	2000	90.2%	91.9%	87.8%
India	Kra Daddi	2000	49.2%	62.0%	36.0%
India	Sukma	2000	91.7%	96.4%	84.9%
India	Hardwar	2000	71.3%	73.0%	69.3%
India	Kendrapara	2000	89.1%	91.9%	86.1%
India	Dibrugarh	2000	24.2%	27.2%	21.6%
India	Jhunjhunun	2000	73.6%	77.6%	68.8%
India	Kamrup	2000	51.6%	53.1%	49.6%
India	Metropolitan Karimganj	2000	15.9%	17.4%	14.5%
India	Osmanabad	2000	84.8%	88.1%	81.0%
India	Sangli	2000	63.3%	67.5%	59.1%
India	Kiphire	2000	28.5%	31.6%	25.4%
India	Warangal Urban	2000	64.2%	70.7%	58.2%
India	Moga	2000	46.9%	51.1%	41.3%
India	Lakhisarai	2000	91.0%	92.1%	89.3%
India	Ratlam	2000	83.6%	85.3%	81.2%
India	Nainital	2000	58.7%	61.3%	56.0%
India	Ahmadnagar	2000	76.9%	80.7%	72.6%
India	Narayanpur	2000	94.2%	97.1%	89.5%
India	Ramanagara	2000	69.0%	73.7%	64.3%
India	Mahendragarh	2000	73.4%	76.4%	70.3%
India	Kishanganj	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.3%
India	Tengenupal	2000	15.1%	22.5%	10.3%
India	Nagpur	2000	67.5%	70.4%	64.3%
India	Sitapur	2000	88.8%	90.3%	86.9%
India	Gondiya	2000	82.4%	85.8%	78.3%
India	Rajgarh	2000	89.4%	92.1%	85.5%
India	Kozhikode	2000	22.2%	26.5%	18.6%
India	Senapati	2000	22.6%	26.8%	19.8%
India	Phek	2000	28.9%	33.4%	25.3%
India	Kalahandi	2000	94.2%	96.6%	89.8%
India	Pakke Kessang	2000	44.2%	54.7%	36.8%
India	Pulwama	2000	66.2%	68.7%	63.4%
India	Lawangtlai	2000	23.1%	26.1%	20.5%
India	Vadodara	2000	69.8%	72.7%	67.2%
India	Upper Subansiri	2000	36.7%	44.6%	28.6%
India	Bhojpur	2000	94.8%	95.7%	93.0%
India	Darjiling	2000	51.4%	55.4%	46.8%
India	Kaushambi	2000	86.2%	88.7%	83.4%
India	Davanagere	2000	73.1%	77.1%	69.2%
India	Patna	2000	85.1%	86.0%	84.1%
India	Purba Medinipur	2000	39.4%	43.5%	35.2%
India	Purba Champaran	2000	92.8%	94.6%	90.4%
India	Lower Dibang Valley	2000	41.8%	45.9%	37.5%
India	Palwal	2000	82.5%	84.6%	79.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Bhagalpur	2000	92.5%	93.9%	90.8%
India	Tawang	2000	45.8%	52.8%	39.2%
India	Sant Ravi Das Nagar	2000	94.6%	95.1%	93.9%
India	Amroha	2000	83.2%	84.2%	82.1%
India	Chhindwara	2000	81.0%	85.7%	75.2%
India	Ganderbal	2000	57.0%	59.6%	54.1%
India	Nalgonda	2000	69.8%	77.3%	62.7%
India	Nellore	2000	76.3%	80.6%	72.1%
India	Botad	2000	70.1%	79.9%	58.4%
India	Sonitpur	2000	29.4%	33.5%	26.2%
India	Tapi	2000	75.8%	78.9%	73.1%
India	Rajkot	2000	64.4%	69.0%	60.3%
India	Sehore	2000	79.4%	83.3%	75.2%
India	Lalitpur	2000	88.6%	91.9%	85.2%
India	Sheohar	2000	92.3%	93.6%	90.8%
India	Jharsuguda	2000	92.3%	93.2%	90.9%
India	Bharuch	2000	74.0%	79.3%	67.5%
India	Kurung Kumey	2000	51.4%	59.7%	43.4%
India	Chamrajnagar	2000	74.2%	78.2%	70.2%
India	Satara	2000	61.4%	66.9%	55.2%
India	Dhubri	2000	28.1%	30.1%	26.4%
India	Tiruvannamalai	2000	80.3%	85.0%	75.0%
India	North Goa	2000	63.6%	67.1%	60.2%
India	Shahjahanpur	2000	81.0%	83.5%	78.3%
India	Washim	2000	80.6%	84.5%	76.9%
India	Jind	2000	62.1%	66.5%	57.9%
India	Lakshadweep	2000	5.4%	21.8%	0.2%
India	Malkangiri	2000	92.6%	95.6%	88.4%
India	Saraikela Kharsawan	2000	88.0%	90.0%	85.3%
India	Mau	2000	90.4%	92.3%	88.7%
India	Cuttack	2000	88.4%	90.5%	86.4%
India	Chandigarh	2000	43.7%	45.2%	42.1%
India	Sangareddy	2000	62.8%	69.9%	54.2%
India	Khunti	2000	94.8%	95.9%	93.0%
India	Kumuram Bheem Asifabad	2000	74.2%	87.0%	59.2%
India	Mirzapur	2000	90.4%	92.1%	88.3%
India	Samastipur	2000	91.3%	93.0%	89.0%
India	Mahasamund	2000	89.8%	91.4%	88.1%
India	Hailakandi	2000	15.1%	16.2%	13.7%
India	Alappuzha	2000	20.9%	26.6%	16.5%
India	Mahoba	2000	86.9%	88.6%	85.3%
India	Dhanbad	2000	93.1%	93.7%	92.3%
India	Balangir	2000	87.7%	91.2%	84.4%
India	Papum Pare	2000	47.2%	49.5%	45.1%
India	Guna	2000	87.0%	90.4%	81.9%
India	Raichur	2000	80.0%	84.4%	74.9%
India	Etah	2000	86.0%	88.1%	83.9%
India	Prakasam	2000	75.4%	78.9%	70.4%
India	Lower Siang	2000	27.3%	33.7%	23.0%
India	Peddapalli	2000	69.6%	80.7%	58.0%
India	Shahdara	2000	35.8%	36.6%	35.1%
India	Datia	2000	92.5%	93.5%	90.9%
India	Seoni	2000	80.9%	84.4%	76.8%
India	Doda	2000	69.4%	72.9%	65.4%
India	Firozpur	2000	54.8%	59.4%	49.8%
India	Pali	2000	74.1%	78.0%	69.7%
India	Anuppur	2000	91.6%	93.1%	89.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Supaul	2000	94.8%	96.0%	93.2%
India	Yadadri Bhuvanagiri	2000	71.8%	81.8%	60.4%
India	Kheda	2000	79.9%	82.8%	76.4%
India	Sidhi	2000	93.9%	95.8%	91.7%
India	East Khasi Hills	2000	62.0%	64.1%	59.6%
India	Bulandshahr	2000	76.4%	80.7%	71.3%
India	Tuensang	2000	28.5%	31.4%	25.4%
India	South Goa	2000	55.9%	60.2%	52.4%
India	Jangoan	2000	64.2%	82.6%	44.2%
India	Ujjain	2000	83.0%	84.9%	80.5%
India	Balrampur	2000	81.1%	87.4%	72.7%
India	Sitamarhi	2000	94.7%	95.9%	92.3%
India	Champawat	2000	72.3%	77.3%	67.6%
India	Sant Kabir Nagar	2000	94.9%	95.9%	93.8%
India	West Siang	2000	33.3%	48.6%	21.2%
India	Kannauj	2000	93.0%	94.0%	91.4%
India	Mahisagar	2000	78.8%	83.5%	74.0%
India	Nawada	2000	93.6%	95.7%	91.0%
India	Rajsamand	2000	79.9%	82.1%	77.1%
India	Parbhani	2000	77.0%	81.3%	72.5%
India	Kollam	2000	20.1%	24.4%	15.7%
India	Shupiyan	2000	63.1%	65.0%	61.1%
India	Fazilka	2000	39.6%	50.5%	31.3%
India	Vaishali	2000	93.3%	94.0%	92.3%
India	Noney	2000	18.1%	25.0%	12.6%
India	Boudh	2000	94.9%	97.4%	88.7%
India	Auraiya	2000	93.9%	95.1%	92.4%
India	Akola	2000	76.4%	80.9%	72.3%
India	Longding	2000	17.9%	20.4%	15.4%
India	Amritsar	2000	69.3%	72.5%	65.8%
India	Siddharth Nagar	2000	93.4%	94.5%	92.2%
India	Ghaziabad	2000	62.4%	63.7%	61.3%
India	East Siang	2000	38.7%	42.1%	35.6%
India	Jiribam	2000	16.1%	21.0%	12.0%
India	Shivamogga	2000	67.9%	72.3%	62.8%
India	Sahibganj	2000	92.6%	94.0%	90.7%
India	Bundi	2000	87.6%	91.0%	84.2%
India	Bilaspur	2000	81.6%	85.5%	77.7%
India	Pudukkottai	2000	83.1%	86.1%	79.1%
India	Koraput	2000	91.7%	94.6%	88.2%
India	Ranchi	2000	87.1%	88.6%	85.2%
India	Ramban	2000	70.8%	73.8%	67.8%
India	Majuli	2000	34.5%	42.3%	25.9%
India	Sultanpur	2000	89.7%	92.9%	84.3%
India	Bishnupur	2000	23.4%	24.4%	22.4%
India	Srinagar	2000	48.4%	50.3%	46.4%
India	Tuticorin	2000	70.4%	74.3%	66.4%
India	Kandhamal	2000	89.0%	92.6%	85.3%
India	Jammu	2000	74.3%	76.2%	71.9%
India	Ranga Reddy	2000	60.4%	66.4%	55.2%
India	North Sikkim	2000	22.4%	27.3%	18.0%
India	Kanniyakumari	2000	47.2%	52.9%	42.2%
India	West Kameng	2000	44.8%	50.3%	39.9%
India	Lakhimpur	2000	42.0%	45.3%	38.7%
India	Visakhapatnam	2000	73.4%	80.1%	65.4%
India	Puri	2000	78.0%	83.1%	74.7%
India	Katihar	2000	90.7%	92.2%	88.8%
India	Gumla	2000	92.7%	95.1%	88.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Koderma	2000	95.5%	96.9%	93.3%
India	Bilaspur	2000	72.4%	73.6%	70.9%
India	Pithoragarh	2000	70.8%	75.0%	65.9%
India	West Jaintia Hills	2000	52.6%	57.0%	46.8%
India	Mansa	2000	55.2%	58.0%	52.2%
India	Reasi	2000	84.3%	86.5%	81.6%
India	Dibang Valley	2000	27.4%	34.1%	21.8%
India	Pakur	2000	93.9%	95.2%	92.1%
India	East Sikkim	2000	32.3%	34.5%	30.3%
India	Bhadradi	2000	63.1%	73.1%	52.9%
India	Kothagudem	2000	78.8%	83.3%	73.4%
India	Barmer	2000	78.8%	83.3%	73.4%
India	Thiruvarur	2000	83.5%	85.2%	81.7%
India	Anantapur	2000	74.7%	78.8%	69.1%
India	Vijayapura	2000	77.8%	83.3%	72.5%
India	Vidisha	2000	84.9%	87.5%	82.1%
India	Koch Bihar	2000	62.7%	67.4%	57.8%
India	Amravati	2000	64.5%	68.1%	60.7%
India	Sivaganga	2000	71.5%	76.6%	66.5%
India	Poonch	2000	75.6%	80.8%	69.5%
India	Zunheboto	2000	25.2%	27.6%	23.1%
India	Ashoknagar	2000	89.3%	92.0%	85.5%
India	Mon	2000	21.0%	23.5%	18.7%
India	Amethi	2000	93.6%	94.9%	92.1%
India	Banda	2000	90.0%	91.9%	87.6%
India	Mandla	2000	90.9%	93.5%	88.4%
India	Latur	2000	80.2%	83.6%	76.7%
India	Tiruppur	2000	82.3%	85.8%	78.1%
India	Anantnag	2000	54.1%	58.0%	49.0%
India	Dhaulpur	2000	90.7%	92.4%	88.4%
India	Rohtas	2000	93.5%	94.9%	91.5%
India	Satna	2000	86.5%	89.0%	83.2%
India	Jalgaon	2000	75.4%	80.5%	68.9%
India	The Nilgiris	2000	69.5%	72.8%	66.6%
India	Kaithal	2000	65.0%	67.6%	62.2%
India	Barwani	2000	85.7%	88.8%	82.7%
India	Rajanna Sir-cilla	2000	63.8%	76.7%	50.1%
India	Pune	2000	59.2%	62.3%	55.8%
India	Kangra	2000	66.2%	71.5%	61.1%
India	Junagadh	2000	48.9%	55.4%	43.5%
India	Udhampur	2000	84.3%	86.6%	81.6%
India	Diu	2000	79.4%	84.6%	71.4%
India	Jaunpur	2000	91.8%	93.5%	90.0%
India	Garhwa	2000	95.8%	97.0%	93.8%
India	Chandauli	2000	91.1%	92.2%	89.6%
India	Dewas	2000	80.3%	84.2%	75.8%
India	Biswanath	2000	24.4%	32.7%	16.8%
India	Cachar	2000	18.9%	21.5%	17.0%
India	Baran	2000	87.6%	90.9%	83.2%
India	North East	2000	29.5%	30.4%	28.6%
India	Umaria	2000	85.9%	88.9%	82.6%
India	Champhai	2000	33.9%	37.2%	31.3%
India	Kasaragod	2000	22.2%	29.0%	17.4%
India	Bhandara	2000	70.2%	73.6%	66.7%
India	Chirang	2000	45.0%	48.0%	42.3%
India	Karbi Ang-long	2000	30.4%	33.6%	26.6%
India	Nadia	2000	46.5%	52.8%	41.0%
India	Mandi	2000	49.0%	52.2%	45.9%
India	South East	2000	47.1%	49.5%	44.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Rampur	2000	72.3%	74.3%	70.1%
India	Thiruvananthapuram	2000	22.0%	27.5%	17.7%
India	Yavatmal	2000	77.0%	81.1%	72.3%
India	Udham Singh Nagar	2000	67.1%	68.9%	65.0%
India	Chhotaudepur	2000	88.5%	91.8%	84.6%
India	Saharsa	2000	93.4%	94.7%	91.4%
India	Jhabua	2000	82.9%	85.6%	80.0%
India	Hisar	2000	50.5%	55.2%	45.6%
India	Gurugram	2000	61.0%	63.2%	59.0%
India	Agar Malwa	2000	82.3%	86.0%	78.3%
India	Rohtak	2000	71.6%	73.3%	69.7%
India	Koriya	2000	87.7%	89.6%	85.7%
India	Aurangabad	2000	93.3%	94.9%	90.8%
India	Aligarh	2000	84.6%	86.1%	82.4%
India	Churachandpur	2000	25.6%	29.1%	22.4%
India	Kushinagar	2000	84.4%	86.3%	82.7%
India	Neemuch	2000	76.5%	79.8%	73.2%
India	South Tripura	2000	24.4%	32.1%	17.8%
India	Madhubani	2000	90.3%	92.6%	86.8%
India	Udaipur	2000	80.6%	83.5%	78.0%
India	Malappuram	2000	15.2%	19.5%	11.7%
India	Medchal	2000	44.9%	47.7%	42.2%
India	Malkajgiri				
India	Dehradun	2000	61.7%	63.4%	59.8%
India	Surajpur	2000	86.8%	92.8%	79.3%
India	Chikmagalur	2000	57.0%	63.1%	50.2%
India	Faridabad	2000	58.6%	61.7%	55.1%
India	Viluppuram	2000	78.4%	82.5%	74.1%
India	Siwan	2000	93.3%	94.8%	91.0%
India	Aurangabad	2000	76.8%	80.1%	73.2%
India	Belagavi	2000	75.3%	78.5%	71.7%
India	Nagaur	2000	75.5%	79.1%	71.4%
India	Sawai Madhopur	2000	82.6%	84.7%	80.5%
India	Sikar	2000	75.9%	78.6%	72.7%
India	Sirmaur	2000	66.5%	69.5%	63.3%
India	Bokaro	2000	94.1%	94.5%	93.6%
India	Hoshangabad	2000	84.0%	85.9%	81.6%
India	Barnala	2000	56.1%	59.5%	51.8%
India	Gonda	2000	89.4%	91.5%	87.2%
India	Mancherial	2000	64.4%	74.7%	54.4%
India	Balod	2000	82.8%	86.5%	77.9%
India	South 24 Parganas	2000	58.5%	63.6%	53.5%
India	Kishtwar	2000	54.8%	62.3%	46.3%
India	Perambalur	2000	92.6%	93.5%	90.6%
India	Banka	2000	94.9%	96.1%	93.0%
India	Uttar Dinajpur	2000	76.0%	80.5%	70.8%
India	Hugli	2000	69.1%	73.5%	64.7%
India	Bankura	2000	81.9%	85.5%	78.3%
India	Sangrur	2000	44.2%	49.8%	39.0%
India	Shi Yomi	2000	45.6%	51.5%	39.0%
India	Burhanpur	2000	83.7%	85.4%	81.6%
India	Virudunagar	2000	76.5%	80.1%	71.8%
India	Tikamgarh	2000	89.8%	92.6%	86.3%
India	Krishnagiri	2000	82.2%	85.1%	78.6%
India	East Garo Hills	2000	9.9%	11.9%	8.2%
India	Charaideo	2000	23.2%	29.7%	18.9%
India	Baksa	2000	31.1%	33.5%	29.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Jodhpur	2000	74.9%	78.0%	71.9%
India	Theni	2000	81.1%	84.2%	77.9%
India	West Godavari	2000	74.7%	79.4%	70.4%
India	Agra	2000	83.3%	84.8%	81.6%
India	Sindhudurg	2000	49.4%	55.7%	43.6%
India	North Garo Hills	2000	7.3%	8.6%	6.1%
India	Jajapur	2000	90.3%	92.0%	88.5%
India	Namsai	2000	34.9%	38.6%	30.4%
India	Buldana	2000	81.8%	85.7%	77.3%
India	Shamli	2000	62.2%	67.4%	54.8%
India	Koppal	2000	81.4%	85.2%	77.0%
India	Bid	2000	80.4%	84.1%	76.2%
India	Azamgarh	2000	89.6%	92.0%	86.9%
India	Baghpat	2000	64.4%	69.7%	60.2%
India	Kendujhar	2000	87.3%	90.2%	83.8%
India	Jalaun	2000	85.3%	87.8%	82.1%
India	Thoubal	2000	29.1%	30.2%	28.1%
India	Saiha	2000	38.1%	41.2%	35.1%
India	Nicobars	2000	23.6%	35.4%	12.6%
India	Navsari	2000	75.2%	80.1%	69.2%
India	Ratnagiri	2000	43.8%	49.5%	38.5%
India	Moradabad	2000	70.3%	71.9%	68.3%
India	Dimapur	2000	46.7%	48.7%	44.7%
India	Dantewada	2000	88.4%	91.9%	81.2%
India	Bidar	2000	81.7%	85.9%	76.4%
India	Chamoli	2000	67.0%	71.6%	62.0%
India	Jhajjar	2000	71.7%	73.5%	69.5%
India	Pilibhit	2000	78.9%	82.5%	74.9%
India	Samba	2000	80.7%	82.9%	77.8%
India	Gorakhpur	2000	91.5%	93.0%	89.3%
India	Suryapet	2000	76.6%	85.1%	64.1%
India	Mumbai Suburban	2000	48.7%	51.0%	47.1%
India	Kathua	2000	81.3%	83.5%	78.5%
India	Nabarangapur	2000	92.7%	95.0%	89.1%
India	Hazaribagh	2000	89.3%	91.1%	86.9%
India	West Karbi Anglong	2000	42.5%	49.5%	34.4%
India	Tarn Taran	2000	59.5%	63.8%	55.5%
India	Shravasti	2000	93.8%	95.2%	91.8%
India	Betul	2000	80.7%	84.2%	76.5%
India	Y.S.R.	2000	64.0%	69.3%	57.8%
India	Araria	2000	94.0%	95.3%	92.2%
India	Mathura	2000	85.0%	87.1%	82.4%
India	Gautam Buddha Nagar	2000	60.7%	61.8%	59.6%
India	Ballary	2000	81.0%	84.8%	77.1%
India	Thanjavur	2000	84.0%	87.5%	80.0%
India	Lakhimpur Kheri	2000	84.9%	89.2%	80.1%
India	Sabar Kantha	2000	78.6%	84.7%	71.2%
India	Patan	2000	75.4%	79.1%	71.4%
India	Rudraprayag	2000	74.0%	77.3%	70.5%
India	Saharanpur	2000	69.9%	72.6%	67.3%
India	Bahraich	2000	93.8%	95.7%	91.2%
India	Vizianagaram	2000	87.3%	90.9%	82.2%
India	Dhalai	2000	23.2%	27.3%	19.6%
India	Darbhanga	2000	89.2%	90.8%	87.2%
India	Shahid Bhagat Singh Nagar	2000	74.9%	77.3%	72.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Kodagu	2000	52.9%	58.4%	46.9%
India	Madurai	2000	79.6%	82.3%	76.7%
India	Dadra and Nagar Haveli	2000	86.3%	88.6%	80.8%
India	Ariyalur	2000	91.2%	94.3%	87.0%
India	Narsimhapur	2000	80.4%	83.1%	77.2%
India	Pratapgarh	2000	87.6%	89.5%	84.5%
India	Bargarh	2000	87.6%	91.5%	82.1%
India	Nagappattinam	2000	84.4%	88.2%	76.3%
India	Hamirpur	2000	47.5%	50.0%	44.6%
India	Ajmer	2000	81.6%	83.6%	79.2%
India	West Sikkim	2000	21.4%	24.5%	18.5%
India	Tehri Garhwal	2000	62.1%	65.5%	58.3%
India	Tumakuru	2000	71.8%	75.7%	67.2%
India	Bastar	2000	94.9%	97.8%	86.7%
India	Lohardaga	2000	96.7%	97.2%	95.9%
India	Deogarh	2000	94.9%	96.4%	92.9%
India	Mandya	2000	63.3%	66.9%	58.9%
India	Sambalpur	2000	88.0%	91.4%	83.8%
India	Dharwad	2000	81.1%	85.3%	74.1%
India	Kabeerddham	2000	95.1%	96.6%	92.7%
India	Ganjam	2000	87.5%	91.4%	83.4%
India	South Andaman	2000	53.7%	60.7%	47.3%
India	Gomati	2000	17.7%	22.1%	14.2%
India	Unnao	2000	84.0%	87.1%	80.4%
India	Kolhapur	2000	67.0%	71.7%	61.5%
India	Bagalkot	2000	81.1%	84.6%	76.9%
India	Kargil	2000	18.3%	22.6%	14.0%
India	Mungeli	2000	87.4%	91.8%	81.3%
India	Saran	2000	94.1%	94.7%	93.0%
India	Haveri	2000	71.1%	74.7%	66.6%
India	Panch Mahals	2000	84.8%	88.0%	81.3%
India	Bareilly	2000	75.2%	76.6%	73.9%
India	Bangalore	2000	51.5%	53.7%	49.4%
India	Hassan	2000	67.0%	71.1%	63.1%
India	Imphal East	2000	37.5%	38.3%	36.6%
India	Kurukshetra	2000	49.0%	53.5%	44.6%
India	Imphal West	2000	39.6%	40.4%	38.7%
India	Jaipur	2000	76.9%	78.3%	75.2%
India	Rae Bareli	2000	91.2%	93.5%	87.8%
India	East Godavari	2000	73.8%	78.5%	69.1%
India	Bhavnagar	2000	63.9%	69.3%	58.8%
India	Uttarkashi	2000	77.3%	81.5%	71.7%
India	North	2000	31.4%	32.6%	30.4%
India	Chhatarpur	2000	85.2%	88.2%	81.9%
India	Kanpur Dehat	2000	92.2%	94.7%	88.5%
India	Kinnaur	2000	64.0%	69.2%	57.8%
India	Kannur	2000	16.1%	21.6%	11.9%
India	Allahabad	2000	85.7%	89.3%	81.6%
India	Solan	2000	74.9%	77.7%	70.7%
India	Mokokchung	2000	31.4%	35.1%	27.8%
India	Kakching	2000	30.7%	32.7%	28.8%
India	Shahdol	2000	86.6%	89.9%	82.3%
India	Peren	2000	34.6%	38.8%	30.5%
India	Hanumangarh	2000	53.8%	58.0%	50.3%
India	Yamunanagar	2000	59.2%	62.4%	56.2%
India	Karaikal	2000	89.4%	91.1%	87.0%
India	Tirunelveli	2000	74.5%	78.9%	70.1%
India	Faridkot	2000	54.1%	58.2%	50.0%
India	Murshidabad	2000	67.7%	71.5%	63.5%
India	Arvalli	2000	78.8%	84.0%	72.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Barpeta	2000	32.2%	34.4%	30.2%
India	Jalor	2000	85.3%	88.8%	81.1%
India	Fatehgarh Sahib	2000	52.5%	56.3%	48.6%
India	Banswara	2000	91.5%	92.9%	89.2%
India	Gurdaspur	2000	59.4%	64.8%	54.2%
India	Morena	2000	89.9%	92.1%	87.4%
India	Anjaw	2000	41.7%	48.9%	35.4%
India	Solapur	2000	79.2%	82.9%	74.6%
India	Gadag	2000	80.9%	84.8%	76.6%
India	Krishna	2000	65.7%	70.0%	61.5%
India	Rayagada	2000	83.6%	87.1%	78.9%
India	Kolkata	2000	56.5%	58.5%	54.5%
India	Shimla	2000	69.5%	73.0%	66.0%
India	Narmada	2000	86.7%	89.4%	83.3%
India	Srikakulam	2000	81.7%	85.5%	77.4%
India	East Nimar	2000	80.4%	84.2%	76.2%
India	Dharmapuri	2000	90.1%	93.1%	86.5%
India	Daman	2000	62.0%	65.5%	58.8%
India	Alipurduar	2000	65.2%	74.0%	55.6%
India	East Jaintia Hills	2000	60.3%	68.2%	52.3%
India	Sheikhpura	2000	94.9%	95.2%	94.2%
India	Purbi Singhbhum	2000	84.6%	85.7%	83.1%
India	Pashchimi Singhbhum	2000	94.5%	96.0%	91.6%
India	Damoh	2000	89.6%	92.2%	86.2%
India	Dhule	2000	79.2%	83.1%	74.3%
India	Chittoor	2000	74.5%	78.9%	69.3%
India	Tinsukia	2000	29.6%	31.7%	27.7%
India	Kalimpong	2000	30.1%	48.8%	17.6%
India	Mysuru	2000	70.4%	74.3%	64.4%
India	Gir Somnath	2000	65.7%	71.7%	60.4%
India	Goalpara	2000	28.6%	30.0%	27.1%
India	Kamjong	2000	15.3%	25.0%	10.1%
India	Uttar Bastar Kanker	2000	78.6%	84.6%	71.8%
India	North 24 Parganas	2000	58.7%	62.1%	55.2%
India	Raipur	2000	83.6%	85.1%	81.8%
India	North West	2000	45.2%	46.5%	43.9%
India	Hoshiarpur	2000	68.2%	72.0%	64.5%
India	Surendranagar	2000	75.3%	79.9%	69.5%
India	Karimnagar	2000	72.6%	80.5%	62.0%
India	Jehanabad	2000	94.3%	94.8%	93.7%
India	Ernakulam	2000	13.8%	19.7%	9.1%
India	Hardoi	2000	85.5%	88.9%	80.8%
India	Janjgir-Champa	2000	91.1%	93.2%	87.9%
India	Bangalore Rural	2000	65.6%	69.9%	61.6%
India	Panchkula	2000	68.4%	70.3%	66.5%
India	Upper Siang	2000	26.6%	33.2%	21.6%
India	Katni	2000	91.3%	93.1%	88.9%
India	Shajapur	2000	73.0%	76.6%	68.5%
India	Kota	2000	77.0%	79.1%	74.9%
India	Gandhinagar	2000	75.9%	78.5%	73.1%
India	Khammam	2000	67.3%	74.7%	57.8%
India	Kalaburgi	2000	83.3%	87.0%	79.3%
India	Pathanamthitta	2000	32.9%	37.1%	28.6%
India	Serchhip	2000	30.2%	32.7%	28.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Chitrakoot	2000	96.8%	97.7%	95.2%
India	South Garo Hills	2000	29.0%	34.2%	24.8%
India	Jhargram	2000	78.1%	84.8%	67.6%
India	Kanpur Nagar	2000	81.5%	82.5%	80.2%
India	Maldah	2000	64.2%	68.8%	59.7%
India	Siang	2000	23.0%	28.0%	19.2%
India	Dhar	2000	83.9%	87.1%	79.5%
India	Arwal	2000	96.5%	96.9%	96.1%
India	Rewari	2000	71.9%	74.5%	69.2%
India	Jalna	2000	79.4%	82.7%	76.0%
India	Hingoli	2000	86.8%	89.5%	83.4%
India	Jaisalmer	2000	77.7%	82.2%	72.2%
India	Udalguri	2000	34.6%	37.7%	31.5%
India	Mumbai City	2000	48.8%	53.2%	45.3%
India	Rajnandgaon	2000	77.6%	82.3%	74.0%
India	West Khasi Hills	2000	28.0%	30.8%	25.8%
India	Godda	2000	96.3%	97.2%	95.0%
India	West Tripura	2000	24.9%	27.4%	23.1%
India	Wokha	2000	43.1%	49.0%	37.2%
India	Latehar	2000	95.7%	97.2%	92.9%
India	Ganganagar	2000	46.1%	51.2%	41.6%
India	Hyderabad	2000	55.7%	57.0%	54.4%
India	Ramanathapuram	2000	74.3%	81.0%	67.7%
India	Hojai	2000	32.4%	37.8%	28.2%
India	East	2000	35.7%	36.8%	34.6%
India	Yadgir	2000	80.6%	84.7%	75.8%
India	West	2000	33.7%	34.3%	32.9%
India	Kancheepuram	2000	69.3%	73.2%	65.7%
India	Sheopur	2000	90.7%	93.9%	86.6%
India	Nandurbar	2000	89.4%	92.0%	86.5%
India	Chittaurgarh	2000	83.1%	85.7%	80.3%
India	Bhilwara	2000	84.6%	88.3%	81.1%
India	North Tripura	2000	24.9%	28.3%	22.0%
India	Almora	2000	65.9%	69.1%	62.6%
India	Sagar	2000	85.8%	89.0%	81.5%
India	Sundargarh	2000	90.9%	92.9%	88.4%
India	Puducherry	2000	87.9%	88.4%	87.3%
India	Purnia	2000	90.3%	91.8%	87.9%
India	Pauri	2000	54.9%	59.7%	50.7%
India	Garhwal				
India	Maharajganj	2000	84.4%	87.8%	81.2%
India	Begusarai	2000	89.9%	91.0%	88.3%
India	Sonepur	2000	92.8%	95.3%	89.5%
India	Salem	2000	84.8%	87.0%	82.1%
India	Devbhumi	2000	63.0%	73.2%	52.7%
India	Dwarka				
India	Dindori	2000	91.4%	95.1%	86.9%
India	Bhind	2000	87.9%	90.3%	84.9%
India	Banaskantha	2000	74.0%	77.7%	69.6%
India	Chikballapura	2000	77.7%	81.6%	73.8%
India	Dakshina	2000	43.9%	48.6%	39.0%
India	Kannada				
India	Kottayam	2000	21.4%	25.8%	17.2%
India	Palakkad	2000	24.6%	30.0%	19.6%
India	Mamit	2000	29.2%	32.9%	24.9%
India	Kolar	2000	72.3%	76.6%	66.0%
India	Rupnagar	2000	72.0%	74.4%	69.8%
India	Thiruvallur	2000	72.0%	75.4%	68.1%
India	Lucknow	2000	85.6%	86.7%	84.5%
India	Bemetara	2000	87.4%	90.8%	82.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Dungarpur	2000	89.9%	92.1%	87.0%
India	Changlang	2000	22.9%	25.1%	20.4%
India	Sonbhadra	2000	81.4%	85.0%	77.0%
India	Dhemaji	2000	32.2%	34.7%	29.8%
India	Kachchh	2000	61.5%	67.5%	54.9%
India	Ahmedabad	2000	62.2%	65.1%	58.4%
India	Porbandar	2000	70.0%	74.9%	64.5%
India	Jorhat	2000	48.6%	53.0%	42.1%
India	Chamba	2000	70.7%	74.9%	67.1%
India	Khargone	2000	88.4%	90.5%	85.7%
India	Coimbatore	2000	72.5%	76.5%	67.4%
India	Nuapada	2000	93.2%	95.4%	89.8%
India	Kupwara	2000	46.5%	50.0%	43.1%
India	Sonipat	2000	53.6%	56.6%	50.6%
India	Gariaband	2000	88.9%	93.3%	82.7%
India	Dima Hasao	2000	31.8%	38.1%	25.4%
India	Sambhal	2000	85.2%	87.5%	82.2%
India	Bongaigaon	2000	34.8%	36.7%	33.3%
India	South Salmara Mancachar	2000	29.7%	33.6%	26.5%
India	Mahbubnagar	2017	21.7%	29.8%	14.5%
India	Gaya	2017	38.0%	41.9%	34.1%
India	Uttara Kan- nada	2017	8.2%	12.3%	5.3%
India	Kamareddy	2017	30.6%	39.3%	23.2%
India	Kondagaon	2017	38.2%	46.5%	29.6%
India	Nanded	2017	21.6%	25.5%	17.5%
India	Rajgarh	2017	37.5%	42.0%	33.9%
India	Nayagarh	2017	21.8%	24.4%	19.4%
India	Kolasib	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
India	Morbi	2017	16.4%	22.6%	11.2%
India	Sangrur	2017	1.7%	2.7%	0.9%
India	Nagarkurnool	2017	24.3%	34.0%	13.8%
India	Bhadrak	2017	23.6%	25.9%	21.3%
India	Lahul & Spiti	2017	7.6%	11.6%	4.1%
India	Adilabad	2017	20.6%	31.2%	12.0%
India	Chhotaudepur	2017	32.9%	39.5%	26.2%
India	Bharuch	2017	8.6%	12.7%	5.2%
India	Purba Me- dinipur	2017	1.0%	1.7%	0.7%
India	Kangpokpi	2017	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%
India	Wayanad	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.3%
India	Jamtara	2017	50.7%	53.8%	47.8%
India	East Kameng	2017	14.9%	20.9%	10.4%
India	Haora	2017	1.7%	2.7%	1.2%
India	Anantnag	2017	1.8%	3.1%	1.1%
India	Kullu	2017	9.4%	12.5%	6.5%
India	Farrukhabad	2017	22.5%	24.4%	20.5%
India	Khowai	2017	0.4%	1.3%	0.1%
India	Khordha	2017	15.6%	17.2%	14.1%
India	Palwal	2017	4.7%	5.9%	3.8%
India	Sheohar	2017	30.3%	32.1%	28.6%
India	Jamui	2017	47.6%	50.6%	44.3%
India	Charkhi Dadri	2017	3.6%	6.4%	2.2%
India	Mayurbhanj	2017	35.0%	39.1%	31.0%
India	Ukhrul	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.3%
India	Korba	2017	22.9%	25.9%	20.0%
India	Tumakuru	2017	11.1%	13.8%	8.9%
India	Shahdara	2017	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%
India	Dindigul	2017	27.4%	31.1%	24.0%
India	Kapurthala	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Thiruvananthapuram	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
India	Jogulamba Gadwa	2017	26.3%	41.3%	14.0%
India	Tirunelveli	2017	15.9%	18.9%	13.4%
India	Pakke Kessang	2017	12.8%	17.8%	9.1%
India	Birbhum	2017	20.6%	23.2%	18.2%
India	Gwalior	2017	14.8%	16.7%	13.3%
India	Singrauli	2017	49.2%	53.2%	44.6%
India	Jodhpur	2017	16.8%	19.4%	14.3%
India	Jayashankar Bhupalpal	2017	34.6%	48.4%	22.6%
India	Aligarh	2017	21.6%	23.4%	19.7%
India	Firozabad	2017	27.0%	28.7%	25.2%
India	Tawang	2017	4.6%	7.5%	2.9%
India	Sivasagar	2017	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%
India	Kurnool	2017	17.9%	21.6%	14.6%
India	Harda	2017	17.4%	21.5%	12.3%
India	West Jaintia Hills	2017	5.5%	9.2%	3.5%
India	Bhagalpur	2017	30.2%	33.5%	27.1%
India	Osmanabad	2017	28.6%	33.6%	23.6%
India	Hailakandi	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.7%
India	Wardha	2017	10.5%	14.7%	6.8%
India	Bhadradri Kothagudem	2017	17.1%	26.5%	10.7%
India	Sirsa	2017	1.8%	3.0%	1.0%
India	Mahesana	2017	6.2%	7.7%	4.9%
India	Dohad	2017	36.3%	39.2%	33.6%
India	Lohit	2017	2.3%	3.5%	1.5%
India	Muzaffarpur	2017	28.4%	32.4%	25.1%
India	Dakshina Kannada	2017	3.1%	5.4%	1.6%
India	Botad	2017	10.5%	20.2%	3.3%
India	Idukki	2017	1.3%	2.8%	0.4%
India	Kasaragod	2017	0.3%	1.4%	0.1%
India	Balaghat	2017	28.2%	32.9%	24.6%
India	Mungeli	2017	37.8%	42.9%	32.7%
India	Chandigarh	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
India	Kamle	2017	3.4%	8.6%	1.2%
India	Lawangtlai	2017	1.3%	2.3%	0.8%
India	Theni	2017	20.0%	23.5%	16.8%
India	Munger	2017	14.7%	16.6%	13.0%
India	Dewas	2017	14.8%	18.2%	11.7%
India	Anand	2017	6.9%	9.3%	4.6%
India	Bhojpur	2017	29.8%	32.4%	27.7%
India	Kolar	2017	9.7%	14.3%	5.8%
India	East Garo Hills	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
India	Kaushambi	2017	28.4%	31.2%	26.2%
India	Baghpat	2017	3.7%	4.3%	3.3%
India	Badgam	2017	1.2%	2.7%	0.6%
India	Kollam	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
India	New Delhi	2017	1.0%	1.1%	0.8%
India	Panipat	2017	0.7%	0.8%	0.5%
India	Panna	2017	40.8%	45.6%	36.3%
India	Tehri Garhwal	2017	4.2%	6.4%	2.6%
India	Basti	2017	35.4%	37.9%	32.8%
India	Karauli	2017	39.5%	42.6%	36.6%
India	Noney	2017	1.8%	3.9%	0.8%
India	Hardwar	2017	4.0%	4.7%	3.3%
India	Bijnor	2017	6.9%	8.1%	6.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Leh (Ladakh)	2017	3.8%	5.7%	2.0%
India	Kaithal	2017	2.1%	3.2%	1.4%
India	Saharsa	2017	44.3%	46.8%	41.7%
India	Amethi	2017	41.3%	44.1%	37.7%
India	Jagitial	2017	14.4%	23.0%	7.2%
India	South West Garo Hills	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.9%
India	Chandrapur	2017	12.3%	15.9%	8.9%
India	Kanpur Dehat	2017	32.0%	37.7%	28.3%
India	Koraput	2017	49.8%	54.6%	44.5%
India	West	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
India	Tiruchirappalli	2017	21.4%	24.8%	18.3%
India	Hamirpur	2017	14.9%	17.3%	13.0%
India	Tamenglong	2017	2.5%	4.8%	1.4%
India	Senapati	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%
India	Siddipet	2017	10.6%	19.2%	5.1%
India	Valsad	2017	9.0%	12.4%	6.8%
India	Jharsuguda	2017	20.4%	23.7%	17.0%
India	Kaimur	2017	37.5%	41.5%	33.1%
India	Baloda Bazar	2017	16.7%	19.2%	14.9%
India	Samastipur	2017	29.2%	32.7%	26.1%
India	Boudh	2017	60.3%	66.7%	52.0%
India	Bishnupur	2017	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%
India	Patiala	2017	1.1%	2.3%	0.7%
India	Sangli	2017	6.6%	8.8%	4.7%
India	Ambala	2017	1.5%	1.9%	1.1%
India	Karbi Ang-long	2017	2.9%	4.5%	2.0%
India	Longding	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
India	Karnal	2017	0.9%	1.8%	0.6%
India	Aizawl	2017	1.0%	2.4%	0.2%
India	Dibang Valley	2017	2.1%	5.9%	0.5%
India	Madhepura	2017	44.2%	46.2%	42.1%
India	Kolkata	2017	1.5%	1.7%	1.4%
India	Kendujhar	2017	38.3%	42.4%	34.2%
India	Mansa	2017	1.2%	1.6%	1.0%
India	Pithoragarh	2017	9.2%	12.0%	6.7%
India	Palghar	2017	9.8%	13.7%	6.7%
India	Pali	2017	19.1%	22.7%	15.5%
India	Jalpaiguri	2017	6.6%	10.1%	4.0%
India	Nalanda	2017	26.7%	28.9%	24.7%
India	East Sikkim	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
India	Khunti	2017	61.5%	64.1%	57.4%
India	Barpeta	2017	2.3%	2.7%	2.0%
India	Rohtak	2017	6.4%	7.9%	4.1%
India	Sidhi	2017	55.2%	59.1%	51.1%
India	Tengnoupal	2017	0.7%	1.8%	0.3%
India	Indore	2017	5.9%	7.3%	4.8%
India	Sitapur	2017	41.4%	44.4%	38.4%
India	Pratapgarh	2017	35.5%	38.7%	32.6%
India	Puri	2017	17.8%	20.3%	16.2%
India	Chamoli	2017	10.5%	13.5%	7.8%
India	South East	2017	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%
India	South Goa	2017	2.5%	4.1%	1.4%
India	East Khasi Hills	2017	4.1%	6.6%	2.3%
India	Dehradun	2017	1.4%	2.1%	1.1%
India	Gorakhpur	2017	27.1%	30.0%	24.3%
India	Saharanpur	2017	5.8%	6.5%	5.2%
India	Narayanpur	2017	53.5%	60.8%	47.2%
India	Fatehpur	2017	31.4%	34.6%	27.7%
India	Tonk	2017	23.3%	27.0%	19.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Sirohi	2017	24.4%	27.9%	20.8%
India	Davanagere	2017	10.7%	13.1%	8.8%
India	Niwari	2017	28.4%	33.1%	24.2%
India	Gadchiroli	2017	32.8%	38.0%	26.9%
India	The Nilgiris	2017	18.6%	21.4%	15.9%
India	Palamu	2017	45.3%	48.8%	42.1%
India	Ri Bhoi	2017	1.1%	2.0%	0.6%
India	Mewat	2017	7.3%	7.9%	6.8%
India	Angul	2017	24.2%	29.4%	19.3%
India	Bandipore	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
India	Churu	2017	9.2%	12.9%	6.6%
India	Chitrakoot	2017	53.1%	57.2%	48.6%
India	Nagaon	2017	1.3%	1.9%	1.0%
India	Shahjahanpur	2017	23.7%	27.4%	20.5%
India	Sahibganj	2017	30.2%	32.4%	28.1%
India	Wokha	2017	1.6%	2.6%	1.0%
India	Gir Somnath	2017	7.3%	10.1%	5.1%
India	Baran	2017	28.7%	33.0%	24.0%
India	Chandel	2017	3.6%	10.1%	0.6%
India	Kathua	2017	28.6%	31.6%	26.0%
India	Mirzapur	2017	31.0%	34.6%	27.5%
India	Dibrugarh	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
India	Phek	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
India	Sangareddy	2017	10.7%	15.7%	6.3%
India	North & Middle Andaman	2017	12.1%	19.1%	8.9%
India	Ramgarh	2017	19.1%	20.1%	18.2%
India	Jhalawar	2017	28.3%	32.9%	24.2%
India	Hapur	2017	7.0%	8.4%	5.7%
India	Chennai	2017	0.8%	0.9%	0.7%
India	Surendranagar	2017	15.3%	19.7%	11.9%
India	Ajmer	2017	11.4%	13.5%	9.5%
India	Bangalore	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
India	Buldana	2017	21.3%	26.1%	17.1%
India	Karimnagar	2017	12.4%	18.2%	6.3%
India	Durg	2017	10.1%	12.7%	8.0%
India	Nirmal	2017	37.3%	47.8%	28.0%
India	Uttarkashi	2017	13.3%	17.3%	10.2%
India	Coimbatore	2017	14.6%	19.3%	10.3%
India	Raigad	2017	9.8%	13.1%	7.3%
India	Kamrup	2017	1.7%	2.8%	1.2%
India	Srinagar	2017	2.8%	3.4%	2.0%
India	Hazaribagh	2017	42.2%	45.7%	37.6%
India	Dhamtari	2017	13.4%	15.4%	11.7%
India	Faizabad	2017	33.9%	36.7%	30.8%
India	Bharatpur	2017	25.2%	27.4%	22.9%
India	Tarn Taran	2017	1.8%	3.5%	1.1%
India	Mokokchung	2017	1.6%	2.5%	0.9%
India	Madurai	2017	13.3%	15.8%	10.8%
India	Bikaner	2017	9.6%	11.8%	7.4%
India	Udaipur	2017	26.7%	30.0%	24.0%
India	Jangoan	2017	19.3%	34.9%	8.2%
India	Seoni	2017	30.0%	33.6%	26.1%
India	Anuppur	2017	43.9%	48.7%	38.6%
India	Siwan	2017	28.7%	31.7%	26.0%
India	Viluppuram	2017	28.1%	30.9%	24.9%
India	Lunglei	2017	1.2%	2.4%	0.6%
India	Sikar	2017	10.6%	13.1%	8.3%
India	Kendrapara	2017	27.4%	30.7%	24.0%
India	Amritsar	2017	3.3%	5.2%	2.0%
India	Haveri	2017	10.9%	13.5%	8.5%
India	Vellore	2017	18.3%	22.5%	14.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Almora	2017	7.0%	8.9%	5.3%
India	Kakching	2017	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%
India	Medchal	2017	3.4%	5.8%	2.2%
	Malkajgiri				
India	Lakshadweep	2017	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
India	Saraikela	2017	44.3%	48.2%	41.0%
	Kharsawan				
India	Gumla	2017	47.3%	52.2%	42.7%
India	Central	2017	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%
India	Siang	2017	0.4%	1.1%	0.2%
India	Supaul	2017	38.0%	40.5%	35.9%
India	Ramban	2017	10.0%	11.0%	8.9%
India	Namakkal	2017	14.9%	19.3%	12.0%
India	Koch Bihar	2017	3.5%	5.7%	2.2%
India	Parbhani	2017	23.3%	27.6%	19.0%
India	Sukma	2017	59.3%	68.8%	48.3%
India	Charaideo	2017	0.9%	2.4%	0.4%
India	Kanniyakumari	2017	1.6%	3.5%	0.8%
India	Kheda	2017	10.0%	11.6%	8.6%
India	Rudraprayag	2017	3.7%	5.0%	2.6%
India	Rohtas	2017	30.9%	33.5%	27.9%
India	Kamrup	2017	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
	Metropolitan				
India	Katihar	2017	27.2%	29.8%	24.6%
India	Ernakulam	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
India	Papum Pare	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
India	Lower Siang	2017	1.3%	2.2%	0.7%
India	Varanasi	2017	14.5%	15.4%	13.7%
India	Pudukkottai	2017	33.2%	36.8%	29.8%
India	Udupi	2017	1.5%	3.2%	0.6%
India	Paschimi	2017	7.3%	9.2%	6.1%
	Barddhama				
India	Peddapalli	2017	11.2%	18.3%	5.7%
India	Balrampur	2017	39.0%	41.8%	36.4%
India	Sonepur	2017	36.2%	40.3%	32.0%
India	Mau	2017	22.1%	23.9%	20.4%
India	Lohardaga	2017	47.2%	49.8%	44.5%
India	Nalbari	2017	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%
India	Dausa	2017	20.4%	22.5%	17.9%
India	Datia	2017	27.7%	29.9%	24.9%
India	Ludhiana	2017	1.0%	1.8%	0.6%
India	Nagpur	2017	5.8%	7.7%	4.1%
India	Una	2017	4.7%	7.5%	3.0%
India	Rajouri	2017	29.0%	30.6%	27.3%
India	Longleng	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
India	Bhiwani	2017	3.5%	5.3%	2.3%
India	Morigaon	2017	1.3%	1.4%	1.1%
India	Tuticorin	2017	21.1%	25.3%	17.2%
India	Sawai Madhopur	2017	21.0%	23.0%	19.2%
India	Bagalkot	2017	26.3%	30.7%	22.6%
India	Kodagu	2017	2.5%	4.7%	1.3%
India	Morena	2017	33.5%	36.8%	30.2%
India	Rayagada	2017	35.5%	40.9%	30.7%
India	Tuensang	2017	1.2%	3.1%	0.6%
India	South Tripura	2017	0.9%	2.4%	0.3%
India	Tirap	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.1%
India	Shivpuri	2017	34.5%	39.4%	29.7%
India	Gopalganj	2017	28.3%	30.0%	26.4%
India	Tapi	2017	15.3%	17.5%	13.3%
India	Kancheepuram	2017	17.0%	21.1%	13.1%
India	Majuli	2017	3.3%	7.1%	1.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Sitamarhi	2017	43.0%	47.7%	39.2%
India	Nizamabad	2017	19.8%	26.6%	11.8%
India	South West Khasi Hills	2017	5.0%	16.6%	1.1%
India	Kumuram Bheem Asifabad	2017	25.9%	38.7%	14.5%
India	Kurung Kumey	2017	8.2%	12.3%	5.1%
India	Anantapur	2017	18.3%	21.9%	14.6%
India	Baramulla	2017	1.8%	3.1%	1.1%
India	Bokaro	2017	44.4%	45.9%	42.6%
India	Araria	2017	46.2%	49.7%	42.9%
India	Peren	2017	1.5%	2.9%	0.8%
India	Warangal Rural	2017	13.7%	22.6%	7.2%
India	Imphal East	2017	1.0%	1.1%	0.9%
India	Ballary	2017	16.5%	21.4%	12.5%
India	Hojai	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
India	Ratlam	2017	31.9%	35.0%	28.5%
India	Azamgarh	2017	32.3%	35.1%	29.8%
India	Bundi	2017	30.1%	34.3%	26.5%
India	Chikmagalur	2017	6.8%	10.3%	4.5%
India	Ranchi	2017	30.1%	32.1%	28.2%
India	Mandla	2017	39.8%	43.2%	36.5%
India	West Siang	2017	4.7%	12.7%	1.3%
India	Karaikal	2017	14.7%	17.1%	12.9%
India	Vikarabad	2017	17.7%	27.1%	9.9%
India	Satna	2017	29.1%	32.9%	26.1%
India	Jammu	2017	11.0%	13.2%	9.3%
India	Kandhamal	2017	49.3%	54.4%	44.1%
India	Kalahandi	2017	51.0%	56.9%	44.6%
India	Gonda	2017	46.1%	49.6%	43.1%
India	West Godavari	2017	11.9%	15.3%	8.6%
India	West Sikkim	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
India	Deogarh	2017	38.2%	41.9%	34.2%
India	Surajpur	2017	41.1%	51.3%	32.3%
India	Nalgonda	2017	17.0%	22.2%	12.3%
India	Nellore	2017	20.6%	25.1%	16.6%
India	Darjiling	2017	8.2%	10.9%	6.1%
India	Arwal	2017	38.8%	40.6%	37.1%
India	Cuttack	2017	17.0%	19.6%	14.8%
India	Jabalpur	2017	17.5%	19.3%	15.4%
India	Banka	2017	46.7%	49.3%	43.9%
India	Vaishali	2017	22.0%	23.3%	20.6%
India	Mandsaur	2017	27.7%	30.3%	25.0%
India	Moradabad	2017	5.0%	6.2%	4.0%
India	Jhansi	2017	20.3%	22.6%	18.1%
India	Golaghat	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
India	Aurangabad	2017	32.4%	35.9%	28.9%
India	Pune	2017	4.3%	5.8%	3.2%
India	Mahasamund	2017	38.1%	42.1%	33.9%
India	North Tripura	2017	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%
India	Jalna	2017	23.0%	26.8%	19.3%
India	Barnala	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
India	Pratapgarh	2017	43.8%	49.6%	38.3%
India	Y.S.R.	2017	10.8%	14.4%	7.4%
India	North 24 Parganas	2017	2.1%	2.9%	1.6%
India	Ujjain	2017	20.9%	23.3%	18.1%
India	Lower Dibang Valley	2017	1.4%	2.2%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Jalandhar	2017	1.2%	1.5%	0.8%
India	South Andaman	2017	2.4%	3.8%	1.4%
India	Cuddalore	2017	32.1%	35.5%	27.5%
India	Dumka	2017	56.5%	59.7%	52.8%
India	North Sikkim	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
India	Auraiya	2017	27.4%	30.6%	24.0%
India	Belagavi	2017	14.2%	16.8%	11.9%
India	Churachandpur	2017	0.7%	1.5%	0.4%
India	Barwani	2017	38.4%	41.6%	34.7%
India	Bhandara	2017	8.9%	10.8%	7.1%
India	Yadadri Bhuvanagiri	2017	17.3%	28.5%	9.2%
India	Bulandshahr	2017	13.0%	15.9%	10.7%
India	Kurukshetra	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
India	Udhampur	2017	29.9%	32.5%	27.8%
India	Samba	2017	12.4%	13.5%	11.4%
India	East Jaintia Hills	2017	24.4%	32.9%	13.2%
India	Ahmadnagar	2017	13.7%	17.0%	10.7%
India	Jalaun	2017	20.4%	23.7%	17.5%
India	Ganganagar	2017	4.6%	7.1%	2.8%
India	Kannauj	2017	39.1%	41.3%	36.6%
India	Dharwad	2017	9.1%	13.2%	6.6%
India	Burhanpur	2017	19.4%	21.7%	17.1%
India	Surat	2017	3.0%	13.6%	1.3%
India	South Sikkim	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
India	Jalgaon	2017	18.5%	23.4%	14.6%
India	Dadra and Nagar Haveli	2017	19.1%	23.7%	15.7%
India	Jajapur	2017	20.0%	21.8%	18.3%
India	Buxar	2017	31.4%	33.8%	28.9%
India	Perambalur	2017	31.5%	33.6%	29.2%
India	Doda	2017	9.6%	11.5%	8.1%
India	Pilibhit	2017	12.6%	15.4%	10.4%
India	Bilaspur	2017	2.8%	3.1%	2.5%
India	Mahendragarh	2017	5.1%	5.8%	4.2%
India	Kottayam	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
India	Kabeergham	2017	36.7%	40.3%	32.7%
India	Srikakulam	2017	22.6%	26.0%	19.6%
India	South	2017	2.9%	3.3%	2.5%
India	Gondiya	2017	15.8%	20.1%	12.2%
India	Jamnagar	2017	9.6%	17.3%	4.3%
India	Koriya	2017	34.5%	37.1%	31.3%
India	Ariyalur	2017	41.5%	44.9%	38.5%
India	Saran	2017	30.7%	32.4%	28.8%
India	Jhajjar	2017	3.7%	4.5%	2.7%
India	Vijaypura	2017	25.8%	31.2%	21.0%
India	Dimapur	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%
India	Ashoknagar	2017	35.8%	39.9%	31.9%
India	Bareilly	2017	9.7%	10.7%	8.7%
India	Mahuababad	2017	15.7%	24.9%	9.2%
India	Ambedkar Nagar	2017	34.4%	37.0%	31.6%
India	Sindhudurg	2017	4.2%	6.3%	2.5%
India	Bongaigaon	2017	2.8%	3.2%	2.5%
India	Alwar	2017	18.2%	20.4%	16.3%
India	Amroha	2017	11.1%	12.2%	9.8%
India	Sant Kabir Nagar	2017	29.8%	31.9%	28.0%
India	Bilaspur	2017	18.7%	22.6%	15.6%
India	Kanpur Nagar	2017	11.6%	12.4%	10.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Rajkot	2017	6.7%	9.1%	4.6%
India	Nawada	2017	30.1%	34.5%	26.6%
India	Bijapur	2017	48.5%	54.8%	43.3%
India	Meerut	2017	3.4%	3.9%	2.9%
India	Kolhapur	2017	7.9%	11.2%	5.4%
India	Jhunjhunun	2017	7.2%	9.2%	5.4%
India	Lalitpur	2017	44.1%	49.4%	38.6%
India	Sundargarh	2017	28.8%	32.3%	25.4%
India	Kalaburgi	2017	31.9%	37.6%	26.8%
India	Uttar Bastar	2017	27.4%	34.0%	22.3%
India	Kanker				
India	Poonch	2017	9.1%	11.3%	7.7%
India	Hoshiarpur	2017	4.3%	6.0%	3.0%
India	Lakhisarai	2017	19.6%	21.5%	18.0%
India	Rampur	2017	6.1%	8.3%	4.2%
India	Diu	2017	2.2%	3.5%	1.7%
India	Garhwa	2017	58.4%	62.2%	53.9%
India	Amreli	2017	7.4%	11.2%	4.6%
India	Suryapet	2017	24.9%	33.4%	17.4%
India	Kokrajhar	2017	8.8%	10.9%	5.9%
India	Banda	2017	33.6%	37.8%	30.2%
India	Kozhikode	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%
India	Nicobars	2017	5.2%	12.3%	0.9%
India	Tikamgarh	2017	35.1%	39.4%	30.4%
India	Shi Yomi	2017	4.1%	8.0%	1.3%
India	Tiruppur	2017	18.2%	23.0%	13.6%
India	Unokoti	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
India	Ramanathapuram	2017	31.6%	38.8%	25.6%
India	Darbhangha	2017	25.9%	27.4%	24.3%
India	Shupiyan	2017	1.1%	1.3%	1.0%
India	Mahoba	2017	30.1%	32.2%	27.3%
India	Solan	2017	3.8%	5.3%	2.4%
India	North Garo Hills	2017	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%
India	Kargil	2017	2.2%	4.2%	1.0%
India	Upper Siang	2017	1.9%	4.5%	0.6%
India	Koppal	2017	19.6%	23.4%	15.5%
India	Simdega	2017	67.3%	73.4%	61.1%
India	Thiruvallur	2017	12.8%	15.7%	10.2%
India	Guna	2017	35.3%	40.4%	29.6%
India	Etah	2017	28.7%	30.2%	27.1%
India	Giridih	2017	48.0%	51.1%	44.8%
India	Vadodara	2017	8.5%	9.7%	7.5%
India	Nadia	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.7%
India	Dang	2017	46.4%	49.3%	43.6%
India	Jind	2017	5.4%	8.4%	3.2%
India	Bankura	2017	23.3%	26.7%	19.9%
India	Dhenkanal	2017	24.3%	27.8%	20.9%
India	North East	2017	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
India	Faridabad	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.1%
India	Fazilka	2017	2.3%	5.4%	0.9%
India	Thrissur	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
India	Chitradurga	2017	14.2%	18.3%	11.1%
India	Shravasti	2017	43.5%	46.4%	40.3%
India	West Karbi Anglong	2017	6.5%	9.5%	3.9%
India	Mumbai City	2017	8.1%	10.3%	6.3%
India	Patna	2017	18.8%	19.9%	17.6%
India	Satara	2017	6.4%	9.3%	4.3%
India	Kamjong	2017	0.4%	1.1%	0.2%
India	Narsimhapur	2017	19.9%	22.2%	17.5%
India	Rae Bareli	2017	40.2%	45.0%	35.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Surguja	2017	21.7%	25.7%	17.2%
India	Hathras	2017	28.6%	30.4%	26.8%
India	Sant Ravi Das Nagar	2017	30.7%	32.2%	29.2%
India	Vizianagaram	2017	37.4%	42.3%	32.2%
India	Deoria	2017	30.3%	32.6%	28.5%
India	Bahraich	2017	46.1%	50.3%	41.9%
India	Mysuru	2017	10.8%	13.7%	8.6%
India	Barmer	2017	29.3%	34.3%	24.0%
India	Latur	2017	18.2%	22.2%	14.6%
India	Dantewada	2017	43.0%	52.6%	32.8%
India	Jhabua	2017	50.0%	52.9%	47.2%
India	Betul	2017	26.9%	30.7%	23.0%
India	Chirang	2017	5.8%	7.1%	4.7%
India	Chamrajnagar	2017	20.0%	23.3%	16.7%
India	Narmada	2017	25.4%	28.7%	21.1%
India	Thanjavur	2017	27.3%	30.2%	24.2%
India	South 24 Parganas	2017	3.9%	6.2%	2.6%
India	Jaunpur	2017	30.7%	34.7%	27.2%
India	Jaipur	2017	9.2%	10.1%	8.2%
India	Chhatarpur	2017	39.6%	43.6%	36.1%
India	Daman	2017	1.1%	1.3%	1.0%
India	Guntur	2017	12.1%	15.9%	9.1%
India	Ramanagara	2017	6.4%	9.5%	4.5%
India	Lucknow	2017	15.4%	16.9%	14.1%
India	Nabarangapur	2017	49.1%	53.4%	44.7%
India	Firozpur	2017	2.2%	3.7%	1.2%
India	Gomati	2017	0.6%	2.0%	0.2%
India	Nagaur	2017	15.0%	17.8%	12.2%
India	Fatehgarh Sahib	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.4%
India	Amravati	2017	10.8%	13.4%	8.4%
India	Anjaw	2017	6.4%	10.4%	3.4%
India	Raichur	2017	24.8%	28.6%	20.8%
India	Kishanganj	2017	39.4%	42.5%	36.2%
India	Koderma	2017	35.8%	39.6%	32.0%
India	Bastar	2017	57.9%	66.1%	43.8%
India	Bhavnagar	2017	8.5%	11.3%	6.4%
India	Malappuram	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
India	East Godavari	2017	11.6%	14.2%	9.3%
India	North Patan	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%
India	Kasganj	2017	9.9%	11.9%	8.1%
India	Shahid Bhagat Singh Nagar	2017	1.8%	2.2%	1.5%
India	Hugli	2017	3.4%	4.6%	2.5%
India	Kalimpong	2017	1.9%	5.7%	0.5%
India	Yavatmal	2017	21.4%	25.3%	17.6%
India	Medak	2017	20.1%	30.2%	12.2%
India	West Kameng	2017	5.2%	7.9%	2.9%
India	Mainpuri	2017	39.9%	42.7%	36.8%
India	Visakhapatnam	2017	18.5%	23.4%	15.2%
India	Rajanna Sircilla	2017	8.8%	18.1%	4.5%
India	Bargarh	2017	27.7%	31.8%	24.2%
India	Raipur	2017	13.5%	15.1%	12.2%
India	Gandhinagar	2017	6.8%	8.1%	5.7%
India	Pashchim Medinipur	2017	8.9%	11.7%	6.5%
India	Neemuch	2017	20.0%	22.6%	18.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Tinsukia	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.8%
India	Muzaffarnagar	2017	10.0%	12.2%	7.6%
India	Prakasam	2017	18.3%	22.6%	14.3%
India	Warangal Urban	2017	8.0%	11.0%	5.7%
India	Chhindwara	2017	27.8%	33.1%	22.5%
India	Balrampur	2017	35.5%	46.2%	25.8%
India	Yanam	2017	5.6%	8.4%	3.5%
India	Mandya	2017	8.0%	10.2%	6.2%
India	Champawat	2017	6.8%	8.3%	5.3%
India	Faridkot	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
India	Reasi	2017	22.0%	23.9%	20.1%
India	Gariaband	2017	37.7%	45.4%	28.7%
India	Navsari	2017	4.6%	7.2%	3.2%
India	West Khasi Hills	2017	1.8%	3.0%	1.0%
India	Thoubal	2017	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%
India	Sultanpur	2017	28.5%	33.3%	24.3%
India	Jalor	2017	29.3%	34.2%	25.2%
India	Thiruvapur	2017	22.6%	24.8%	20.3%
India	Baksa	2017	2.5%	3.4%	1.8%
India	Katni	2017	41.5%	44.8%	37.2%
India	Salem	2017	20.8%	23.4%	18.5%
India	Agra	2017	21.4%	24.9%	17.4%
India	Mancherial	2017	15.6%	22.1%	8.2%
India	Siddharth Nagar	2017	40.6%	43.1%	37.9%
India	Barabanki	2017	37.4%	41.4%	33.5%
India	Balod	2017	21.9%	27.4%	16.9%
India	Lakhimpur Kheri	2017	28.9%	33.5%	23.9%
India	East Siang	2017	1.3%	2.1%	0.9%
India	Purba Bardhaman	2017	5.2%	7.8%	3.6%
India	Budaun	2017	24.1%	26.6%	21.5%
India	Malkangiri	2017	47.4%	53.0%	41.4%
India	Udham Singh Nagar	2017	5.2%	6.3%	4.3%
India	Chatra	2017	53.6%	57.2%	50.3%
India	Rewa	2017	25.6%	29.4%	22.4%
India	Baleshwar	2017	16.1%	18.7%	13.8%
India	Arvalli	2017	19.0%	25.1%	14.0%
India	Biswanath	2017	2.5%	6.4%	0.7%
India	Bidar	2017	29.6%	35.0%	24.7%
India	Hoshangabad	2017	21.0%	24.0%	17.7%
India	Jagatsinghapur	2017	20.2%	25.0%	16.6%
India	Pashchim Champaran	2017	43.4%	47.4%	39.2%
India	Chamba	2017	9.3%	11.4%	6.8%
India	Alirajpur	2017	56.7%	59.8%	53.2%
India	East Nimar	2017	24.0%	28.3%	20.3%
India	South Garo Hills	2017	1.4%	2.6%	0.8%
India	Dharmapuri	2017	38.2%	43.8%	33.3%
India	Washim	2017	21.3%	25.0%	18.0%
India	Chandauli	2017	25.0%	27.3%	22.7%
India	Purbi Singhbhum	2017	27.9%	29.6%	25.5%
India	Unnao	2017	25.4%	29.2%	21.8%
India	Aurangabad	2017	11.5%	13.9%	9.4%
India	Fatehabad	2017	1.1%	1.9%	0.7%
India	Gurugram	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Gajapati	2017	28.8%	33.1%	24.8%
India	Akola	2017	17.2%	21.2%	13.6%
India	Krishna	2017	8.0%	10.2%	6.0%
India	East	2017	0.8%	0.9%	0.8%
India	Chittoor	2017	22.5%	26.6%	18.3%
India	Sipahijala	2017	0.4%	0.9%	0.2%
India	Allahabad	2017	28.3%	33.1%	24.5%
India	Kulgam	2017	1.7%	3.0%	1.1%
India	Upper Subansiri	2017	6.2%	11.5%	2.4%
India	Mumbai Suburban	2017	3.6%	4.2%	3.2%
India	Erode	2017	16.7%	19.8%	13.8%
India	Nainital	2017	2.6%	3.5%	1.9%
India	Imphal West	2017	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%
India	Alipurduar	2017	14.0%	21.5%	8.0%
India	Krishnagiri	2017	30.0%	33.6%	26.0%
India	Chittaurgarh	2017	24.4%	27.5%	21.5%
India	Devbhumi Dwarka	2017	16.3%	25.7%	7.5%
India	Virudunagar	2017	21.7%	25.2%	18.6%
India	Pathanamthitta	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
India	Lower Subansiri	2017	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
India	Godda	2017	47.0%	50.9%	43.4%
India	Solapur	2017	17.5%	22.1%	13.6%
India	Ratnagiri	2017	4.2%	7.1%	2.5%
India	Madhubani	2017	35.2%	38.3%	31.8%
India	Zunheboto	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
India	Purba Champaran	2017	39.0%	42.6%	35.1%
India	Khargone	2017	33.9%	37.9%	30.2%
India	Vidisha	2017	26.6%	30.2%	23.1%
India	Darrang	2017	1.2%	1.3%	1.1%
India	Mathura	2017	22.3%	25.7%	18.9%
India	Mandi	2017	3.3%	4.6%	2.3%
India	Ranga Reddy	2017	16.7%	21.4%	12.7%
India	West Garo Hills	2017	1.6%	3.1%	1.1%
India	Sheikhpura	2017	24.9%	26.9%	22.8%
India	Sambalpur	2017	26.4%	30.0%	23.2%
India	Kachchh	2017	17.0%	21.8%	12.6%
India	Puruliya	2017	45.6%	50.7%	41.3%
India	Sabar Kantha	2017	16.9%	21.4%	12.7%
India	Hyderabad	2017	3.8%	4.2%	3.5%
India	Serchhip	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
India	Uttar Dinajpur	2017	10.9%	13.2%	9.1%
India	Dungarpur	2017	28.1%	31.2%	25.2%
India	Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar	2017	1.3%	1.6%	1.1%
India	Pashchimi Singhbhum	2017	50.4%	55.8%	43.9%
India	Goalpara	2017	1.2%	1.4%	1.1%
India	Sonitpur	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.9%
India	Panch Mahals	2017	21.6%	24.3%	18.6%
India	Nashik	2017	12.9%	16.1%	10.2%
India	Gurdaspur	2017	2.5%	3.9%	1.7%
India	Bageshwar	2017	7.6%	11.1%	4.4%
India	Shamli	2017	7.2%	11.5%	4.0%
India	Bhopal	2017	11.5%	14.1%	9.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Bathinda	2017	1.7%	3.3%	0.8%
India	Shivamogga	2017	6.1%	8.9%	3.9%
India	Bid	2017	23.7%	28.7%	19.5%
India	Mon	2017	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
India	Latehar	2017	61.4%	66.1%	56.3%
India	Kishtwar	2017	8.1%	11.8%	5.9%
India	Murshidabad	2017	5.8%	7.5%	4.2%
India	Porbandar	2017	4.5%	7.2%	3.1%
India	Khagaria	2017	21.7%	23.5%	19.8%
India	Kra Daddi	2017	12.4%	23.1%	6.1%
India	Yadgir	2017	31.0%	35.5%	26.7%
India	Champhai	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
India	Dhanbad	2017	32.3%	34.4%	29.9%
India	Pherzawl	2017	1.4%	4.9%	0.2%
India	Hassan	2017	11.5%	14.2%	8.9%
India	Junagadh	2017	5.3%	8.5%	3.0%
India	Namsai	2017	1.2%	1.9%	0.9%
India	Rajnandgaon	2017	18.3%	21.7%	15.5%
India	Ghaziabad	2017	2.1%	2.4%	1.8%
India	Panchkula	2017	2.6%	3.0%	2.2%
India	Maldah	2017	5.4%	7.9%	3.8%
India	Yamunanagar	2017	1.8%	2.4%	1.5%
India	South West	2017	1.3%	1.6%	1.1%
India	Dhemaji	2017	2.3%	2.9%	1.9%
India	Mahisagar	2017	26.0%	28.8%	23.3%
India	Purnia	2017	34.8%	38.0%	32.1%
India	Dhar	2017	28.8%	32.7%	24.6%
India	Ganjam	2017	26.1%	31.3%	21.6%
India	Kushinagar	2017	25.5%	28.0%	23.6%
India	Bhilwara	2017	26.8%	30.4%	23.5%
India	Agar Malwa	2017	31.4%	35.8%	27.0%
India	Pakur	2017	41.3%	44.0%	38.8%
India	Jaisalmer	2017	27.7%	33.2%	22.7%
India	Lakhimpur	2017	3.0%	4.3%	2.2%
India	Sirmaur	2017	5.5%	7.1%	4.1%
India	Alappuzha	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
India	Etawah	2017	23.4%	26.1%	20.6%
India	Pulwama	2017	6.7%	8.3%	4.5%
India	Ballia	2017	23.5%	26.6%	20.4%
India	Tiruvannamalai	2017	31.4%	37.1%	25.9%
India	North Goa	2017	4.1%	5.3%	3.1%
India	Kiphire	2017	0.6%	2.0%	0.3%
India	Jashpur	2017	49.5%	54.4%	44.4%
India	Sonipat	2017	3.8%	5.1%	2.5%
India	Sivaganga	2017	21.7%	25.4%	18.2%
India	Kota	2017	15.4%	16.8%	14.3%
India	Jehanabad	2017	31.0%	32.4%	29.6%
India	North West	2017	1.4%	1.7%	1.1%
India	Bemetara	2017	25.7%	31.7%	21.1%
India	Sambhal	2017	19.3%	22.5%	16.1%
India	Raigarh	2017	30.2%	33.6%	26.7%
India	Kupwara	2017	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
India	Saiha	2017	1.2%	2.1%	0.6%
India	Dhubri	2017	2.3%	2.8%	1.9%
India	Ghazipur	2017	26.1%	28.9%	24.0%
India	Nagappattinam	2017	28.9%	37.3%	20.5%
India	Ahmedabad	2017	2.1%	3.1%	1.4%
India	Palakkad	2017	1.3%	3.1%	0.3%
India	Shajapur	2017	21.3%	25.6%	16.4%
India	Bangalore Rural	2017	5.3%	6.7%	4.2%
India	Damoh	2017	36.7%	41.1%	32.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Muktsar	2017	2.7%	5.1%	1.2%
India	Hanumangarh	2017	5.7%	7.8%	4.2%
India	Udalguri	2017	3.6%	5.1%	2.4%
India	Dakshin Dinajpur	2017	6.3%	8.2%	5.2%
India	South Salmara Mancachar	2017	3.2%	3.9%	2.7%
India	Jhargram	2017	28.8%	39.7%	19.4%
India	Hisar	2017	2.2%	3.5%	1.4%
India	Kannur	2017	0.4%	1.6%	0.1%
India	Banswara	2017	45.7%	48.5%	42.5%
India	Hamirpur	2017	1.1%	1.2%	0.9%
India	Dhalai	2017	1.6%	3.2%	1.1%
India	Pathankot	2017	7.6%	11.0%	5.4%
India	Sehore	2017	19.4%	22.6%	15.5%
India	Maharajganj	2017	29.5%	32.8%	26.8%
India	Puducherry	2017	14.2%	15.3%	13.1%
India	Khammam	2017	16.1%	24.3%	9.8%
India	Sagar	2017	28.5%	32.8%	24.5%
India	Gautam Buddha Nagar	2017	3.3%	3.7%	2.9%
India	Dima Hasao	2017	4.2%	6.9%	2.1%
India	West Tripura	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
India	Karur	2017	23.5%	26.6%	18.8%
India	Balangir	2017	36.4%	40.4%	32.9%
India	Hingoli	2017	27.6%	31.7%	22.9%
India	Kinnaur	2017	4.0%	6.4%	2.2%
India	Kangra	2017	11.4%	15.3%	8.3%
India	Umaria	2017	41.0%	44.9%	36.8%
India	Rupnagar	2017	2.0%	2.4%	1.7%
India	Thane	2017	7.5%	9.1%	6.3%
India	Banaskantha	2017	17.0%	20.4%	13.7%
India	Dhaulpur	2017	32.2%	34.7%	29.8%
India	Nuapada	2017	48.1%	53.5%	43.3%
India	Hardoi	2017	28.9%	34.8%	23.5%
India	Janjgir-Champa	2017	27.4%	32.9%	23.0%
India	Sheopur	2017	44.1%	49.4%	38.9%
India	Mamit	2017	2.7%	4.2%	1.8%
India	Gadag	2017	23.8%	27.2%	20.5%
India	Sonbhadra	2017	31.5%	35.1%	28.3%
India	Ganderbal	2017	6.0%	7.5%	4.2%
India	Cachar	2017	2.0%	3.3%	1.0%
India	Kohima	2017	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
India	Shimla	2017	7.2%	9.7%	5.4%
India	Deoghar	2017	48.9%	51.5%	45.7%
India	Dindori	2017	61.4%	64.4%	58.3%
India	Dhule	2017	25.7%	30.6%	22.1%
India	Jorhat	2017	7.9%	10.5%	4.5%
India	Rajsamand	2017	23.4%	26.3%	20.9%
India	Chikballapura	2017	9.7%	12.3%	7.1%
India	Pauri Garhwal	2017	3.7%	5.4%	2.5%
India	Moga	2017	2.0%	4.9%	0.8%
India	Wanaparthy	2017	23.3%	38.2%	11.8%
India	Jiribam	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
India	Shahdol	2017	42.8%	48.0%	37.5%
India	Mahe	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
India	Raisen	2017	21.4%	25.0%	18.1%
India	Changlang	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
India	Karimganj	2017	1.3%	1.5%	1.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
India	Rewari	2017	2.5%	3.5%	1.7%
India	Begusarai	2017	14.9%	16.5%	13.4%
India	Nandurbar	2017	34.6%	38.7%	30.7%
India	Bhind	2017	24.7%	27.8%	21.8%
Nepal	Lumbini	2000	74.1%	78.1%	69.9%
Nepal	Narayani	2000	77.2%	81.3%	72.9%
Nepal	Dhauyalagiri	2000	58.2%	65.9%	50.1%
Nepal	Koshi	2000	66.8%	71.1%	61.3%
Nepal	Mahakali	2000	61.1%	66.9%	54.9%
Nepal	Karnali	2000	69.4%	76.6%	61.9%
Nepal	Bagmati	2000	67.2%	71.4%	62.9%
Nepal	Seti	2000	65.4%	69.7%	61.1%
Nepal	Mechi	2000	65.5%	71.0%	59.9%
Nepal	Sagarmatha	2000	72.0%	75.9%	67.8%
Nepal	Bheri	2000	66.7%	70.9%	62.2%
Nepal	Rapti	2000	65.7%	71.3%	60.4%
Nepal	Janakpur	2000	79.9%	83.1%	76.8%
Nepal	Gandaki	2000	58.4%	64.2%	53.0%
Nepal	Mechi	2017	11.8%	16.0%	8.3%
Nepal	Seti	2017	12.9%	16.8%	10.5%
Nepal	Dhauyalagiri	2017	6.8%	11.6%	3.4%
Nepal	Janakpur	2017	32.3%	37.6%	27.4%
Nepal	Karnali	2017	14.6%	19.2%	10.3%
Nepal	Mahakali	2017	12.9%	20.0%	8.2%
Nepal	Bheri	2017	13.3%	16.4%	10.7%
Nepal	Gandaki	2017	5.4%	8.3%	3.3%
Nepal	Bagmati	2017	2.4%	3.1%	1.7%
Nepal	Lumbini	2017	24.9%	29.6%	20.0%
Nepal	Narayani	2017	22.3%	25.9%	19.1%
Nepal	Rapti	2017	10.8%	14.6%	7.3%
Nepal	Koshi	2017	14.8%	22.8%	8.9%
Nepal	Sagarmatha	2017	32.1%	36.7%	27.8%
Pakistan	Faisalabad	2000	35.3%	39.9%	30.5%
Pakistan	Bahawalpur	2000	27.2%	30.2%	24.2%
Pakistan	Northern Areas	2000	5.0%	6.1%	4.1%
Pakistan	Quetta	2000	13.7%	16.1%	11.3%
Pakistan	Bannu	2000	36.1%	44.3%	28.9%
Pakistan	Kohat	2000	18.2%	22.1%	14.4%
Pakistan	Lahore	2000	4.9%	6.3%	3.7%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 1	2000	18.6%	29.2%	10.3%
Pakistan	Dera Ghazi Khan	2000	24.4%	27.2%	21.6%
Pakistan	Kalat	2000	23.8%	27.1%	20.4%
Pakistan	Mirpur Khas	2000	45.7%	49.2%	41.4%
Pakistan	Larkana	2000	44.8%	49.0%	40.5%
Pakistan	Rawalpindi	2000	11.4%	14.1%	8.6%
Pakistan	Multan	2000	22.5%	25.4%	19.8%
Pakistan	Gujranwala	2000	12.9%	14.9%	11.1%
Pakistan	Islamabad	2000	3.4%	5.4%	2.0%
Pakistan	Sargodha	2000	22.8%	25.9%	19.3%
Pakistan	Dera Ismail Khan	2000	35.8%	42.0%	29.7%
Pakistan	Karachi	2000	0.5%	0.9%	0.2%
Pakistan	Nasirabad	2000	36.4%	43.2%	29.4%
Pakistan	Sukkur	2000	28.4%	31.4%	25.9%
Pakistan	Makran	2000	10.3%	16.9%	7.2%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 2	2000	26.3%	41.6%	14.4%
Pakistan	Hazara	2000	14.1%	18.2%	10.8%
Pakistan	Peshawar	2000	10.0%	13.1%	7.2%
Pakistan	Sibi	2000	17.5%	24.5%	10.6%
Pakistan	Hyderabad	2000	44.6%	47.8%	41.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Pakistan	Malakand	2000	22.8%	26.0%	19.7%
Pakistan	Zhob	2000	14.6%	17.1%	12.5%
Pakistan	Mardan	2000	9.1%	12.6%	6.5%
Pakistan	Azad Kashmir	2000	18.1%	35.6%	6.6%
Pakistan	Rann of Kutch	2000	51.7%	65.7%	37.3%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 1	2017	18.6%	29.0%	10.3%
Pakistan	Karachi	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.2%
Pakistan	F.A.T.A. 2	2017	26.8%	41.5%	15.2%
Pakistan	Northern Areas	2017	5.2%	6.2%	4.2%
Pakistan	Bannu	2017	36.2%	44.0%	28.9%
Pakistan	Kohat	2017	18.3%	22.5%	14.4%
Pakistan	Makran	2017	10.5%	17.8%	7.3%
Pakistan	Larkana	2017	44.3%	48.7%	40.0%
Pakistan	Bahawalpur	2017	27.3%	30.2%	24.3%
Pakistan	Nasirabad	2017	36.6%	43.5%	30.0%
Pakistan	Dera Ismail Khan	2017	35.7%	41.8%	29.5%
Pakistan	Mirpur Khas	2017	45.7%	49.5%	41.5%
Pakistan	Hazara	2017	14.1%	18.2%	10.8%
Pakistan	Malakand	2017	22.9%	26.1%	19.9%
Pakistan	Azad Kashmir	2017	18.3%	35.6%	6.8%
Pakistan	Islamabad	2017	3.8%	6.2%	2.2%
Pakistan	Dera Ghazi Khan	2017	24.0%	26.8%	21.3%
Pakistan	Lahore	2017	4.8%	6.1%	3.6%
Pakistan	Multan	2017	22.4%	25.3%	19.6%
Pakistan	Sibi	2017	17.6%	24.3%	10.9%
Pakistan	Kalat	2017	23.7%	26.8%	20.6%
Pakistan	Rann of Kutch	2017	52.6%	66.8%	37.7%
Pakistan	Rawalpindi	2017	11.8%	14.8%	9.0%
Pakistan	Quetta	2017	13.6%	16.2%	11.4%
Pakistan	Hyderabad	2017	45.0%	48.2%	41.9%
Pakistan	Mardan	2017	8.9%	12.3%	6.5%
Pakistan	Sukkur	2017	28.4%	31.4%	26.0%
Pakistan	Peshawar	2017	10.0%	13.0%	7.2%
Pakistan	Gujranwala	2017	12.9%	15.0%	11.0%
Pakistan	Faisalabad	2017	35.5%	40.0%	30.7%
Pakistan	Sargodha	2017	22.6%	25.6%	19.2%
Pakistan	Zhob	2017	14.6%	17.0%	12.6%
Southeast Asia, East Asia, and Oceania					
Cambodia	Preaek Prasab	2000	76.6%	80.1%	72.3%
Cambodia	Mondol Seima	2000	62.4%	67.8%	55.9%
Cambodia	Me Sang	2000	94.0%	95.0%	92.4%
Cambodia	Bourei Cholsar	2000	89.0%	95.4%	77.2%
Cambodia	Kampong Svay	2000	69.8%	74.4%	63.4%
Cambodia	Kampong Bay	2000	78.8%	82.0%	73.5%
Cambodia	Kaoh Thum	2000	81.6%	84.6%	78.1%
Cambodia	Anlong Veaeng	2000	85.4%	88.5%	81.7%
Cambodia	Ou Ya Dav	2000	86.5%	92.3%	78.7%
Cambodia	Angkor Chey	2000	88.7%	90.9%	86.2%
Cambodia	Kampong Leav	2000	88.2%	89.8%	86.2%
Cambodia	Kien Svay	2000	71.7%	74.0%	68.4%
Cambodia	Doun Kaev	2000	78.5%	80.8%	76.0%
Cambodia	Puok	2000	91.1%	93.6%	87.7%
Cambodia	Kaoh Nheak	2000	88.7%	93.4%	82.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Svay Chrum	2000	78.4%	80.2%	76.8%
Cambodia	Veal Veang	2000	83.8%	91.3%	71.5%
Cambodia	Dang Tong	2000	84.4%	86.6%	82.0%
Cambodia	Pailin	2000	78.0%	84.4%	71.5%
Cambodia	Phnom Penh	2000	27.5%	28.8%	26.2%
Cambodia	Thpong	2000	90.8%	94.1%	85.9%
Cambodia	Phnum Sruoch	2000	91.7%	94.7%	87.4%
Cambodia	Thala Barivat	2000	87.1%	91.8%	80.6%
Cambodia	Kandieng	2000	87.8%	90.8%	81.2%
Cambodia	Bar Kaev	2000	92.9%	96.9%	86.7%
Cambodia	Sangkae	2000	81.0%	83.3%	77.5%
Cambodia	Botum Sakor	2000	78.8%	87.7%	67.9%
Cambodia	Kandal Stueng	2000	83.8%	85.6%	81.5%
Cambodia	Stueng Saen	2000	65.0%	68.4%	61.2%
Cambodia	Tuek Phos	2000	92.6%	95.3%	89.4%
Cambodia	Chhaeb	2000	91.7%	96.6%	83.2%
Cambodia	Choam Khsant	2000	92.7%	95.6%	88.7%
Cambodia	Baribour	2000	90.8%	93.8%	88.2%
Cambodia	Svay Rieng	2000	71.1%	74.4%	67.7%
Cambodia	Chantrea	2000	81.9%	86.7%	74.8%
Cambodia	Aek Phnum	2000	71.6%	75.0%	66.4%
Cambodia	Koun Mom	2000	91.1%	96.5%	82.2%
Cambodia	Svay Leu	2000	90.5%	95.9%	82.0%
Cambodia	Ban Lung	2000	49.7%	53.4%	46.0%
Cambodia	Rotanak Mondol	2000	89.8%	95.0%	79.1%
Cambodia	Ta Veang	2000	92.8%	97.6%	85.0%
Cambodia	Kiri Vong	2000	83.7%	87.6%	79.4%
Cambodia	Lvea Aem	2000	69.3%	71.1%	66.4%
Cambodia	Prasat Sambour	2000	60.7%	67.6%	52.8%
Cambodia	Kampong Tra-baek	2000	84.4%	86.3%	82.5%
Cambodia	Svay Pao	2000	59.3%	61.1%	57.4%
Cambodia	Mongkol Borei	2000	80.7%	83.2%	77.8%
Cambodia	Kong Pisei	2000	91.0%	94.4%	87.5%
Cambodia	Chum Kiri	2000	92.9%	95.7%	88.1%
Cambodia	Rolea B'ier	2000	80.2%	83.3%	76.6%
Cambodia	Angkor Thum	2000	91.9%	97.3%	77.6%
Cambodia	Rovieng	2000	89.9%	95.3%	81.3%
Cambodia	Chhuk	2000	89.1%	91.2%	86.5%
Cambodia	Kaoh Kong	2000	75.6%	85.5%	65.7%
Cambodia	Thma Bang	2000	90.4%	95.6%	82.0%
Cambodia	Kampot	2000	79.5%	83.3%	73.8%
Cambodia	Snuol	2000	80.3%	86.4%	73.0%
Cambodia	Kamchay Mear	2000	94.2%	96.8%	88.5%
Cambodia	Sampov Meas	2000	93.4%	94.5%	91.5%
Cambodia	Kampong Cham	2000	73.8%	77.1%	69.3%
Cambodia	Chol Kiri	2000	84.6%	90.8%	69.0%
Cambodia	Chong Kal	2000	89.3%	94.7%	82.3%
Cambodia	Sithor Kandal	2000	89.8%	95.3%	80.1%
Cambodia	Chbar Mon	2000	87.5%	88.4%	86.3%
Cambodia	Kanhchriech	2000	88.3%	92.2%	81.1%
Cambodia	Srei Santhor	2000	83.7%	86.7%	79.3%
Cambodia	Krouch Chh-mar	2000	84.0%	88.7%	79.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Kiri Sakor	2000	72.9%	85.6%	51.0%
Cambodia	Bakan	2000	92.2%	93.9%	89.3%
Cambodia	Pea Reang	2000	88.9%	94.0%	82.6%
Cambodia	Treang	2000	89.5%	92.2%	85.6%
Cambodia	Ou Reang	2000	76.7%	86.6%	62.2%
Cambodia	Cheung Prey	2000	83.2%	90.6%	75.0%
Cambodia	Krakor	2000	79.0%	84.0%	72.8%
Cambodia	Tboung Khmum	2000	87.2%	89.4%	84.3%
Cambodia	Prey Veang	2000	88.5%	90.3%	86.0%
Cambodia	Banteay Meas	2000	87.9%	90.1%	85.4%
Cambodia	Odongk	2000	94.1%	95.4%	91.4%
Cambodia	Tram Kak	2000	93.4%	94.5%	91.8%
Cambodia	Peam Ro	2000	87.3%	88.8%	85.4%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	89.5%	91.7%	86.4%
Cambodia	Kampong Rou	2000	74.1%	79.9%	66.8%
Cambodia	Ruessei Kaev	2000	39.6%	40.7%	38.5%
Cambodia	S'ang	2000	84.1%	86.0%	81.8%
Cambodia	Dangkao	2000	56.5%	57.9%	55.2%
Cambodia	Batheay	2000	89.7%	92.9%	84.8%
Cambodia	Preah Netr Preah	2000	93.8%	97.1%	88.5%
Cambodia	Lumphat	2000	86.7%	94.4%	77.9%
Cambodia	Mittakpheap	2000	57.9%	65.3%	48.9%
Cambodia	Tbaeng Mean chey	2000	78.0%	82.7%	71.9%
Cambodia	Kuleaen	2000	81.3%	90.9%	71.9%
Cambodia	Sangkom Thmei	2000	91.2%	95.4%	85.2%
Cambodia	Baray	2000	83.2%	85.9%	80.5%
Cambodia	Stueng hav	2000	57.1%	63.4%	44.9%
Cambodia	Kampong Chhnang	2000	81.5%	83.3%	79.0%
Cambodia	Santuk	2000	74.6%	79.0%	70.6%
Cambodia	Serei Saophoan	2000	79.9%	82.2%	76.7%
Cambodia	Kracheh	2000	82.5%	84.7%	79.8%
Cambodia	Banteay Ampil	2000	85.2%	89.8%	79.4%
Cambodia	Ou Reang Ov	2000	89.3%	94.0%	82.0%
Cambodia	Sandan	2000	67.6%	73.3%	60.9%
Cambodia	Thma Puok	2000	84.9%	90.4%	76.5%
Cambodia	Kampong Seila	2000	86.6%	94.2%	76.9%
Cambodia	Mukh Kampul	2000	86.4%	88.8%	82.7%
Cambodia	Sambour	2000	84.1%	89.0%	78.2%
Cambodia	Stueng Traeng	2000	66.0%	71.9%	59.5%
Cambodia	Memot	2000	87.7%	91.7%	79.6%
Cambodia	Prey Chhor	2000	81.4%	85.2%	76.7%
Cambodia	Siem Bouk	2000	73.1%	83.7%	59.3%
Cambodia	Kaoh Andaet	2000	92.5%	95.5%	80.9%
Cambodia	Kampong Tralach	2000	88.7%	91.5%	86.0%
Cambodia	Samlout	2000	84.8%	93.2%	72.0%
Cambodia	Chi Kraeng	2000	86.1%	88.5%	82.5%
Cambodia	Prey Nob	2000	83.3%	86.3%	79.9%
Cambodia	Siem Pang	2000	94.5%	98.0%	88.4%
Cambodia	Mean Chey	2000	27.6%	28.8%	26.6%
Cambodia	Ponhea Lueu	2000	83.5%	87.3%	79.3%
Cambodia	Kaev Seima	2000	83.9%	89.8%	78.0%
Cambodia	Angkor Chum	2000	94.9%	96.9%	91.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Saen Monourom	2000	63.8%	77.4%	51.4%
Cambodia	Varin	2000	87.6%	95.1%	74.9%
Cambodia	Soutr Nikom	2000	84.1%	87.3%	80.3%
Cambodia	Ta Khmau	2000	67.5%	69.2%	65.7%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	92.0%	92.9%	90.9%
Cambodia	Sesan	2000	75.2%	83.0%	66.8%
Cambodia	Phnum Kra-vanh	2000	93.2%	96.3%	87.5%
Cambodia	Sala Krau	2000	87.9%	95.3%	75.3%
Cambodia	Moung Rues-sei	2000	82.7%	86.9%	77.5%
Cambodia	Leuk Daek	2000	86.1%	88.2%	83.1%
Cambodia	Angkor Borei	2000	82.0%	86.1%	76.3%
Cambodia	Aoral	2000	89.1%	94.8%	79.5%
Cambodia	Rumduol	2000	75.6%	79.0%	72.3%
Cambodia	Samraong	2000	91.5%	92.3%	90.0%
Cambodia	Tong Kampong Siem	2000	87.7%	93.8%	76.0%
Cambodia	Malai	2000	89.9%	95.2%	81.9%
Cambodia	Kaoh Soutin	2000	93.6%	95.0%	90.9%
Cambodia	Ou Chrov	2000	76.0%	81.7%	69.3%
Cambodia	Bavel	2000	73.5%	78.5%	68.2%
Cambodia	Kang Meas	2000	81.3%	83.8%	77.1%
Cambodia	Bati	2000	90.6%	91.6%	89.1%
Cambodia	Romeas Haek	2000	86.5%	89.4%	82.2%
Cambodia	Chey Saen	2000	92.8%	98.0%	80.0%
Cambodia	Banan	2000	80.2%	83.9%	75.4%
Cambodia	Veun Sai	2000	93.2%	97.1%	88.0%
Cambodia	Sameakki	2000	89.7%	92.4%	86.1%
Cambodia	Mean Chey				
Cambodia	Prey Kabbas	2000	85.0%	86.7%	82.7%
Cambodia	Stoung	2000	89.0%	92.0%	84.9%
Cambodia	Ponhea Kraek	2000	82.1%	86.5%	76.4%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2000	80.8%	87.6%	70.5%
Cambodia	Peam Chor	2000	88.1%	91.6%	80.6%
Cambodia	Ou Chum	2000	79.3%	85.3%	72.4%
Cambodia	Srei Snam	2000	92.5%	96.0%	86.3%
Cambodia	Khsach Kandal	2000	76.8%	78.9%	74.5%
Cambodia	Banteay Srei	2000	94.1%	97.3%	87.9%
Cambodia	Basedth	2000	93.6%	96.0%	89.5%
Cambodia	Chhloung	2000	80.0%	83.8%	73.9%
Cambodia	Dambae	2000	82.5%	86.9%	75.4%
Cambodia	Svay Chek	2000	85.3%	91.7%	77.6%
Cambodia	Bat Dambang	2000	66.5%	71.1%	61.8%
Cambodia	Phnum Srok	2000	80.9%	88.1%	73.0%
Cambodia	Prasat	2000	95.9%	97.6%	91.9%
Cambodia	Bakong				
Cambodia	Kralanh	2000	91.0%	95.8%	83.8%
Cambodia	Kaeb	2000	84.3%	89.3%	78.8%
Cambodia	Phnum Proek	2000	84.6%	90.4%	77.7%
Cambodia	Prasat	2000	85.8%	91.3%	77.5%
Cambodia	Balangk				
Cambodia	Ba Phnum	2000	78.4%	81.6%	75.1%
Cambodia	Angk Snuol	2000	87.0%	89.1%	83.4%
Cambodia	Chamkar Leu	2000	68.6%	72.1%	64.7%
Cambodia	Pechr Chenda	2000	86.0%	93.6%	73.5%
Cambodia	Stueng Trang	2000	92.2%	95.2%	87.9%
Cambodia	Smach Mean Chey	2000	51.1%	54.0%	48.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Srae Ambel	2000	80.0%	82.8%	75.3%
Cambodia	Preah Sdach	2000	87.3%	91.5%	83.0%
Cambodia	Siem Reab	2000	74.2%	75.7%	72.1%
Cambodia	Svay Teab	2000	73.1%	77.2%	69.2%
Cambodia	Kampong Trach	2000	83.1%	85.4%	80.1%
Cambodia	Kampong Leaeng	2000	85.4%	88.1%	81.8%
Cambodia	Andoung Meas	2000	91.7%	97.1%	80.1%
Cambodia	Sangkae	2017	8.0%	11.6%	5.5%
Cambodia	Sambour	2017	44.4%	52.5%	35.8%
Cambodia	Chbar Mon	2017	6.5%	8.1%	5.2%
Cambodia	Memot	2017	50.2%	59.1%	38.9%
Cambodia	Romeas Haek	2017	31.8%	36.4%	25.8%
Cambodia	Soutr Nikom	2017	39.4%	45.7%	33.5%
Cambodia	Mongkol Borei	2017	7.5%	9.6%	6.2%
Cambodia	Rotanak Mondol	2017	39.3%	52.2%	24.4%
Cambodia	Preaek Prasab	2017	37.8%	43.8%	31.6%
Cambodia	Baray	2017	33.9%	37.7%	29.3%
Cambodia	Anlong Veaeng	2017	24.5%	31.5%	18.3%
Cambodia	Ta Veaeng	2017	77.1%	86.6%	64.8%
Cambodia	Svay Leu	2017	52.5%	70.3%	34.2%
Cambodia	Rumduol	2017	30.7%	35.2%	26.2%
Cambodia	Sampov Meas	2017	23.4%	25.1%	21.4%
Cambodia	Pechr Chenda	2017	58.9%	67.9%	50.2%
Cambodia	Kampong Leav	2017	15.3%	17.1%	13.5%
Cambodia	Ou Reang Ov	2017	29.9%	35.7%	24.7%
Cambodia	Angkor Thum	2017	44.0%	56.7%	31.2%
Cambodia	Kaoh Nheak	2017	70.6%	78.3%	62.0%
Cambodia	Phnom Penh	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Cambodia	Chum Kiri	2017	57.7%	69.5%	46.4%
Cambodia	Srei Santhor	2017	19.1%	23.2%	13.9%
Cambodia	Bat Dambang	2017	6.2%	8.2%	4.3%
Cambodia	Stueng Trang	2017	54.5%	65.1%	45.0%
Cambodia	Ta Khmau	2017	1.2%	1.4%	1.1%
Cambodia	Choam Khsant	2017	47.3%	59.8%	34.5%
Cambodia	Chol Kiri	2017	61.5%	68.0%	50.6%
Cambodia	Thma Bang	2017	57.6%	72.8%	45.6%
Cambodia	Rovieng	2017	55.8%	68.0%	41.7%
Cambodia	Kampong Cham	2017	2.9%	3.4%	2.2%
Cambodia	Thpong	2017	52.3%	64.3%	38.9%
Cambodia	Krakor	2017	40.8%	47.2%	34.1%
Cambodia	Chong Kal	2017	42.3%	54.1%	30.9%
Cambodia	Kanhchriech	2017	35.5%	40.7%	30.7%
Cambodia	Chamkar Leu	2017	18.9%	21.0%	16.8%
Cambodia	Lvea Aem	2017	12.9%	14.1%	11.8%
Cambodia	Botum Sakor	2017	44.5%	58.2%	31.8%
Cambodia	Veal Veaeng	2017	47.6%	63.4%	32.9%
Cambodia	Kampong Svay	2017	28.6%	34.3%	23.4%
Cambodia	Kiri Vong	2017	23.1%	25.3%	20.6%
Cambodia	Ou Chum	2017	48.3%	57.2%	38.6%
Cambodia	Thma Puok	2017	35.7%	44.6%	26.2%
Cambodia	Samlout	2017	41.0%	59.0%	24.2%
Cambodia	Angkor Chey	2017	12.5%	14.0%	11.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Ban Lung	2017	19.5%	21.7%	15.9%
Cambodia	Treang	2017	15.1%	18.8%	12.4%
Cambodia	Sangkom Thmei	2017	54.5%	65.0%	43.8%
Cambodia	Kampong Bay	2017	13.2%	15.4%	10.8%
Cambodia	Tram Kak	2017	26.7%	29.5%	23.7%
Cambodia	Thala Barivat	2017	53.6%	62.2%	44.6%
Cambodia	Banteay Srei	2017	50.0%	61.3%	36.6%
Cambodia	Pea Reang	2017	27.5%	32.0%	23.3%
Cambodia	Kaoh Andaet	2017	24.7%	28.8%	20.5%
Cambodia	Puok	2017	24.5%	28.5%	21.8%
Cambodia	Kampong Tralach	2017	33.4%	36.4%	30.3%
Cambodia	Ba Phnum	2017	24.3%	26.5%	22.1%
Cambodia	Preah Netr Preah	2017	36.6%	43.4%	29.0%
Cambodia	Baribour	2017	32.0%	37.0%	26.6%
Cambodia	Khsach Kandal	2017	5.9%	6.9%	5.3%
Cambodia	Svay Chrum	2017	21.7%	24.7%	18.7%
Cambodia	Koun Mom	2017	65.7%	78.3%	50.3%
Cambodia	Kralanh	2017	44.3%	49.9%	38.1%
Cambodia	S'ang	2017	11.9%	13.3%	10.4%
Cambodia	Lumphat	2017	75.9%	85.2%	63.3%
Cambodia	Batheay	2017	35.3%	40.9%	29.6%
Cambodia	Rolea B'ier	2017	19.5%	22.7%	17.0%
Cambodia	Chey Saen	2017	80.8%	93.1%	64.3%
Cambodia	Sameakki Mean Chey	2017	34.3%	39.4%	29.1%
Cambodia	Kracheh	2017	30.0%	34.9%	25.6%
Cambodia	Kampong Rou	2017	31.5%	38.4%	25.0%
Cambodia	Mittakpheap	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Cambodia	Kiri Sakor	2017	28.4%	45.7%	12.8%
Cambodia	Kong Pisei	2017	19.8%	23.0%	17.2%
Cambodia	Prey Chhor	2017	20.9%	26.2%	15.4%
Cambodia	Angkor Borei	2017	23.3%	29.7%	18.7%
Cambodia	Pailin	2017	25.4%	37.7%	12.4%
Cambodia	Sithor Kandal	2017	33.1%	43.7%	22.7%
Cambodia	Siem Reab	2017	8.8%	9.9%	7.5%
Cambodia	Chhaeb	2017	69.4%	80.8%	54.6%
Cambodia	Cheung Prey	2017	28.1%	32.7%	22.9%
Cambodia	Kuleaen	2017	58.1%	71.9%	41.3%
Cambodia	Bakan	2017	36.1%	40.6%	31.6%
Cambodia	Kampong Seila	2017	38.5%	56.1%	22.9%
Cambodia	Stueng Traeng	2017	14.6%	22.9%	7.6%
Cambodia	Kaoh Thum	2017	16.2%	19.8%	13.7%
Cambodia	Prasat Sambour	2017	41.6%	52.8%	31.2%
Cambodia	Bar Kaev	2017	47.4%	57.7%	36.8%
Cambodia	Samraong	2017	28.2%	33.5%	23.2%
Cambodia	Stueng Saen	2017	17.0%	21.1%	13.1%
Cambodia	Samraong Tong	2017	21.2%	22.8%	19.5%
Cambodia	Saen Monourom	2017	23.7%	33.1%	16.5%
Cambodia	Banan	2017	14.7%	20.7%	9.5%
Cambodia	Me Sang	2017	45.4%	49.6%	40.4%
Cambodia	Svay Rieng	2017	1.8%	2.0%	1.6%
Cambodia	Ruessei Kaev	2017	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%
Cambodia	Serei Saophoan	2017	13.2%	15.4%	11.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Chhuk	2017	46.7%	55.3%	38.2%
Cambodia	Tboung Khmum	2017	27.3%	30.3%	24.4%
Cambodia	Kandieng	2017	24.0%	31.4%	17.3%
Cambodia	Siem Bouk	2017	31.9%	47.9%	19.4%
Cambodia	Aek Phnum	2017	14.6%	19.7%	10.2%
Cambodia	Srae Ambel	2017	44.8%	52.6%	36.2%
Cambodia	Kang Meas	2017	27.6%	31.8%	22.4%
Cambodia	Sesan	2017	54.1%	65.7%	43.7%
Cambodia	Samraong	2017	13.1%	14.3%	12.0%
Cambodia	Phnum Kra- vanh	2017	39.2%	47.5%	30.9%
Cambodia	Kampong Trach	2017	34.6%	38.4%	29.7%
Cambodia	Sala Krau	2017	43.0%	62.6%	23.9%
Cambodia	Sandan	2017	46.5%	58.0%	36.2%
Cambodia	Moung Rues- sei	2017	26.1%	33.3%	20.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Tra- baek	2017	31.0%	34.0%	27.9%
Cambodia	Krouch Chh- mar	2017	23.9%	30.0%	17.9%
Cambodia	Ou Reang	2017	31.7%	49.1%	18.9%
Cambodia	Chantrea	2017	30.0%	36.1%	24.3%
Cambodia	Ou Ya Dav	2017	56.5%	67.9%	44.3%
Cambodia	Prey Kabbas	2017	12.7%	13.9%	11.3%
Cambodia	Aoral	2017	58.7%	72.0%	45.2%
Cambodia	Ponhea Kraek	2017	28.2%	32.8%	23.4%
Cambodia	Siem Pang	2017	78.9%	87.0%	70.2%
Cambodia	Prey Veang	2017	42.2%	46.2%	37.8%
Cambodia	Svay Chek	2017	42.0%	50.3%	34.2%
Cambodia	Kampot	2017	27.7%	34.9%	20.2%
Cambodia	Dang Tong	2017	47.1%	52.9%	39.7%
Cambodia	Dangkao	2017	0.6%	0.7%	0.6%
Cambodia	Ponhea Lueu	2017	9.5%	10.5%	8.6%
Cambodia	Doun Kaev	2017	5.8%	6.5%	5.0%
Cambodia	Prey Nob	2017	24.0%	28.2%	20.2%
Cambodia	Angk Snuol	2017	9.0%	10.0%	8.2%
Cambodia	Kaev Seima	2017	64.2%	71.3%	57.4%
Cambodia	Santuk	2017	35.7%	42.9%	29.0%
Cambodia	Peam Chor	2017	63.1%	72.3%	53.2%
Cambodia	Bourei Chol- sar	2017	30.6%	40.0%	23.3%
Cambodia	Kandal Stueng	2017	8.3%	9.1%	7.4%
Cambodia	Kien Svay	2017	7.0%	8.2%	5.3%
Cambodia	Kamchay Mear	2017	50.1%	57.3%	40.1%
Cambodia	Varin	2017	55.2%	70.4%	42.5%
Cambodia	Angkor Chum	2017	40.6%	49.3%	33.2%
Cambodia	Kampong Chhnang	2017	4.5%	5.3%	3.8%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2017	22.3%	30.6%	15.6%
Cambodia	Peam Ro	2017	22.1%	24.4%	19.6%
Cambodia	Srei Snam	2017	49.9%	61.3%	38.9%
Cambodia	Dambae	2017	57.6%	68.0%	43.9%
Cambodia	Leuk Daek	2017	32.1%	37.5%	27.6%
Cambodia	Odongk	2017	18.8%	24.1%	15.3%
Cambodia	Mean Chey	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Cambodia	Chhloung	2017	39.7%	46.2%	32.9%
Cambodia	Svay Pao	2017	0.8%	0.9%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cambodia	Tbaeng Mean chey	2017	32.5%	42.4%	23.2%
Cambodia	Chi Kraeng	2017	39.3%	46.5%	32.4%
Cambodia	Phnum Srok	2017	30.7%	40.2%	21.6%
Cambodia	Andoung Meas	2017	58.7%	71.1%	45.1%
Cambodia	Smach Mean Chey	2017	3.6%	5.2%	2.6%
Cambodia	Snuol	2017	50.0%	59.3%	42.7%
Cambodia	Stoung	2017	38.1%	44.6%	31.4%
Cambodia	Banteay Ampil	2017	34.6%	42.2%	27.8%
Cambodia	Svay Teab	2017	30.9%	37.3%	24.7%
Cambodia	Basedth	2017	45.1%	48.4%	42.0%
Cambodia	Stueng hav	2017	15.1%	25.3%	5.3%
Cambodia	Phnum Proek	2017	26.9%	38.9%	16.1%
Cambodia	Bati	2017	12.3%	13.5%	11.3%
Cambodia	Kampong Leaeng	2017	32.7%	39.8%	25.1%
Cambodia	Banteay Meas	2017	30.4%	33.0%	27.7%
Cambodia	Prasat Balangk	2017	39.1%	49.0%	29.4%
Cambodia	Veun Sai	2017	77.4%	85.9%	68.0%
Cambodia	Kaeb	2017	20.8%	25.1%	17.0%
Cambodia	Kampong Siem	2017	24.4%	28.4%	20.2%
Cambodia	Mukh Kam-pul	2017	15.7%	17.7%	13.3%
Cambodia	Kaoh Kong	2017	32.5%	47.2%	20.6%
Cambodia	Tuek Phos	2017	56.6%	64.4%	45.7%
Cambodia	Malai	2017	32.2%	48.1%	18.0%
Cambodia	Mondol Seima	2017	19.0%	25.9%	12.7%
Cambodia	Preah Sdach	2017	50.3%	57.4%	43.0%
Cambodia	Phnum Sruoch	2017	44.1%	52.2%	36.3%
Cambodia	Bavel	2017	16.1%	24.9%	8.8%
Cambodia	Ou Chrov	2017	14.7%	20.4%	9.7%
Cambodia	Kaoh Soutin	2017	24.2%	26.8%	21.6%
Cambodia	Prasat Bakong	2017	29.2%	34.3%	23.1%
China	Jinzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Linxia Hui	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Kizilsu Kirghiz	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Fangchenggang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Golog Tibetan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Anyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Deyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Changchun	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhongwei	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Xianyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Taiyuan	2000	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Qingdao	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tongling	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qingyuan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Dêqên Tibetan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Mudanjiang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Liangshan Yi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wuhan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jiaxing	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Neijiang]]	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Shaoxing	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Fuyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhangzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wenzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qianjiang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baotou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Luliang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qiannan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Buyei and Miao				
China	Dongying	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baoding	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Sai Kung	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chenzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tuen Mun	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Laiwu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ngari	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baoji	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Kwai Tsing	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wuhu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nujiang Lisu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Aksu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nanping	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shannan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qujing	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xinxiang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xiantao	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nanchang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Quanzhou	2000	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Daqing	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Garzê Tibetan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Fuzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Zhangye	2000	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Xianning	2000	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Hangzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Guangyuan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ngawa Tibetan and Qiang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Wong Tai Sin				
China	Wong Tai Sin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huangshi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baicheng	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Panzhuhua	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shenzhen	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shangrao	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Guangzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xuzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tonghua	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhaoqing	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chaozhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Maoming	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baiyin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Leshan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yangzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tacheng	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ürümqi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Börtala Mongol	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Hengyang				
China	Hengyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Suzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nanning	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chuxiong Yi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Chengde	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Anshan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nanjing	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hebi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Southern	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wuzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Bazhong	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ilhas	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Bozhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhoukou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yiyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Suihua	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qiqihar	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jinchang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hami	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chaohu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xuchang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Alxa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Cangzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Beihai	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shantou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xiaogan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shennongjia	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chuzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yunfu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ziyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Pingliang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tongchuan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hulunbuir	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhangjiajie	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Linyi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tangshan	2000	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Taizhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yongzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Foshan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shangluo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Liaoyuan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jinan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Changzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yibin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Dali Bai	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shanwei	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huangnan Tibetan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Kowloon City	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Langfang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhengzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tianmen	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Neijiang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Anqing	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Lianyungang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Haixi Mongol and Tibetan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qinhuangdao	2000	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Heihe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Sanming	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hezhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qianxinan Buyei and Miao	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baoshan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jiyuan shi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Jieyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Haibei Tibetan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Shizuishan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chifeng	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhuzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yuncheng	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Longyan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yichang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xiangxi Tujia and Miao	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Bijie	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yinchuan	2000	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Shihezi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Changde	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Songyuan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Suizhou Shi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Taizhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chaoyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xiangtan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nyingtri	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huanggang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ma'anshan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ordos	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Laibin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shijiazhuang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huangshan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Jiujiang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Guang'an	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ulaan Chab	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wuwei	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hainan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Kashgar	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jiaozuo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shuozhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Meizhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huaibei	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Siping	2000	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Meishan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Guiyang	2000	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Eastern	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Longnan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yancheng	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shigatse	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Putian	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shanghai	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Sha Tin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Dongguan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qiandongnan Miao and Dong	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Panjin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Liaoyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qinzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jingzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhenjiang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xiangfan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chongqing	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhaotong	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yantai	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Jingdezhen	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Suining	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tongren	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yichun	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Dezhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xishuangbanna Dai	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tongliao	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Rizhao	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yau Tsim Mong	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jilin	2000	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Xinyu	2000	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Jiuquan	2000	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Wuzhong	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Altay	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Haikou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zunyi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yangquan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Islands	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hegang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yuxi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hengshui	2000	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Huaihua	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Liaocheng	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jinhua	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Chizhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jiamusi	2000	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	North	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ya'an	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Weifang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yulin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shaoyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Gannan Tibetan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Changzhi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Lanzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xiamen	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ezhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Haidong	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xining	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Kaifeng	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yulin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ningbo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Honghe Hani and Yi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Bengbu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Lishui	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Lu'an	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Datong	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nanyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tianshui	2000	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Guigang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Loudi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jiangmen	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jingmen	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Lincang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Luoyang	2000	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Liuzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Dazhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Kwun Tong	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Karamay	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shenyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Yangjiang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Turfan	2000	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Zhuhai	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhanjiang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ili Kazakh	2000	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Chengdu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhoushan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wuxi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ankang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zigong	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Shaoguan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Anshun	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ningde	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xing'an	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nagchu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Dandong	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Fuxin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Luzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yingtian	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Kunming	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zaozhuang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Pingxiang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wuhai	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Sanmenxia	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shuangyashan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Fuzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tianjin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Enshi Tujia and Miao	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Macau	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baise	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shangqiu	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jinzhong	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Sanya	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Handan	2000	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Luohe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wan Chai	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qitaihe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zibo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hohhot	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hainan Tibetan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xingtai	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shiyan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chongzuo	2000	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Xilin Gol	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Changji Hui	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yueyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Liupanshui	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nanchong	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Bayin'gholin Mongol	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Lijiang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Daxing'anling	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Pu'er	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Sham Shui Po	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Changsha	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yuen Long	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Suqian	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Khotan	2000	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Nantong	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Dingxi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Zhangjiakou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jining	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yan'an	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Heyuan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jincheng	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Central and Western	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ji'an	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wenshan Zhuang and Miao	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Guilin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tieling	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Benxi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ganzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hefei	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xuancheng	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Beijing	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Harbin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yanbian Korean	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Weihai	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhongshan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qingyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Heze	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xinzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Guyuan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xinyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hanzhong	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baynnur	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tai'an	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Weinan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tai Po	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tsuen Wan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Linfen	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huizhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xi'an	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Pingdingshan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Dalian	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Huai'an	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jiayuguan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huainan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Fushun	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hechi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huludao	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Quzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baishan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Dehong Dai and Jingpo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Gyêgu Tibetan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Puyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Chamdo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Suzhou	2000	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Yichun	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Lhasa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhumadian	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Mianyang	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Binzhou	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jixi	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Panzhuhua	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chenzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Dongying	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Garzê Tibetan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nanping	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jinzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qujing	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhangzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qingyuan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Linxia Hui	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Sai Kung	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hulunbuir	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qianjiang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Kizilsu Kirghiz	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Guangyuan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nujiang Lisu	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Jingdezhen	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hebi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jiamusi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Hangzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shaoxing	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hezhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tongchuan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Mudanjiang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Fangchenggang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Taizhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yau Tsim Mong	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yangzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Dêqên Tibetan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Liangshan Yi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Luliang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhongwei	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Wuzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Neijiang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huangshan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhengzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yibin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Taizhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Dezhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hengyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Laiwu	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Changzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Haibei Tibetan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhangye	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Huangshi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qiandongnan Miao and Dong	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xiantao	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Datong	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wuhan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Changde	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Songyuan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Anshan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Kwai Tsing	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shantou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Linyi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xiangtan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Changchun	2017	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Lianyungang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Qingdao	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ngawa Tibetan and Qiang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nyingtri	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hami	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Weifang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xiangxi Tujia and Miao	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ilhas	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yangquan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Daqing	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shannan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jiaozuo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huangnan Tibetan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Suining	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baiyin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jinchang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ziyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yunfu	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wong Tai Sin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shanwei	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jinan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zunyi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Longnan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Panjin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Langfang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Bozhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shangluo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ordos	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Lincang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Dazhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Southern	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Guangzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nanchang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Quanzhou	2017	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Yichang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Bijie	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yinchuan	2017	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Guiyang	2017	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Dali Bai	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xishuangbanna Dai	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhangjiajie	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Gannan Tibetan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yancheng	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jiyuan shi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Kaifeng	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xianyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Golog Tibetan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Taiyuan	2017	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Ningbo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Shangrao	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ulaan Chab	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Guilin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Dandong	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Heihe	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhoushan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ili Kazakh	2017	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Zhenjiang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Zhanjiang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shigatse	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Luohe	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xianning	2017	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Chaohu	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wuhai	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tuen Mun	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xining	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Dehong Dai and Jingpo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Anqing	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Suizhou Shi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shuangyashan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chengde	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Guigang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Changzhi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qinhuangdao	2017	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Zhaotong	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xilin Gol	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Honghe Hani and Yi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhoukou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chuxiong Yi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jinhua	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tonghua	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Anyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Sanmenxia	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qianxinan Buyei and Miao	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yulin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nanjing	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chaoyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yantai	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Laibin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xinxiang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xiamen	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Sha Tin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Neijiang]]	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Fuyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tianmen	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qinzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hengshui	2017	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Ji'an	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chizhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huaihua	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yiyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zaozhuang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Siping	2017	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Fuzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yichun	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shihezi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tacheng	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Yueyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chaozhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Macau	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ngari	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Deyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Tongliao	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Karamay	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xingtai	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Tangshan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Liaoyuan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shennongjia	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chuzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tianshui	2017	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Jinzhong	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xuchang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Börtala Mon- gol	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huaibei	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Suzhou	2017	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Lishui	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hainan Ti- betan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yan'an	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jilin	2017	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Weihai	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jingmen	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ma'anshan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Kowloon City	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ezhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chongzuo	2017	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Chifeng	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Bengbu	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shuozhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Lanzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Longyan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huludao	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Gyêgu Ti- betan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Pu'er	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Liaocheng	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	North	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shaoyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yulin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Changji Hui	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nagchu	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xuzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qiqihar	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Alxa	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shizuishan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shiyan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Cangzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Fuxin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xinyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jingzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Suzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hegang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hefei	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Meishan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Eastern	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Linfen	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Sanming	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Rizhao	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Haixi Mongol and Tibetan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hohhot	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chongqing	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhangjiakou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shijiazhuang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shenyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Suihua	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Baoji	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ya'an	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wenzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huainan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tongling	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qiannan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Buyei and Miao				
China	Turfan	2017	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Xing'an	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baise	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shenzhen	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ankang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Guang'an	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zigong	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Shanghai	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Pingliang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Beihai	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhuzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baoding	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Fuzhou	2017	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Jiayuguan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Haidong	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Meizhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tianjin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jixi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zibo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jiangmen	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tongren	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qitaihe	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Putian	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baynnur	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Pingdingshan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jieyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Aksu	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wuhu	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Pingxiang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Luoyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Huai'an	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shangqiu	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Leshan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tai'an	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Sham Shui Po	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ürümqi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Lu'an	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Bayin'gholin Mongol	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ganzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nanning	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baoshan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wenshan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Zhuang and Miao				
China	Jincheng	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Sanya	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Suqian	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Bazhong	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wan Chai	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Kwun Tong	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baicheng	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xiaogan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhuhai	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Islands	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Dalian	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Liupanshui	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xuancheng	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jiaying	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Harbin	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Dingxi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Benxi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Liuzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Daxing'anling	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Kunming	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Lhasa	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Lijiang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhaoqing	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huanggang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Khotan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Central and Western	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baishan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nanyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Changsha	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Guyuan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Qingyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Fushun	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Heze	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hechi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Altay	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Anshun	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Ningde	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Luzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Enshi Tujia and Miao	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wuxi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Shaoguan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Xi'an	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tai Po	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tieling	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yuxi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Wuwei	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Haikou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Huizhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yuen Long	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xinyu	2017	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
China	Chamdo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Beijing	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jining	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Loudi	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Foshan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Binzhou	2017	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Mianyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xinzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Xiangfan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Puyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Chengdu	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jiujiang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhongshan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hanzhong	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nantong	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Jiuquan	2017	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Yingtian	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Nanchong	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yongzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
China	Liaoyang	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Tsuen Wan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yichun	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Heyuan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Maoming	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Quzhou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yanbian Korean	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Handan	2017	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Yuncheng	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Zhumadian	2017	0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Wuzhong	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Weinan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Hainan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Baotou	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Kashgar	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
China	Yangjiang	2017	-0.0%	0.0%	-0.0%
China	Dongguan	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Empat Lawang	2000	19.0%	35.2%	6.6%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Barat	2000	16.2%	30.0%	5.4%
Indonesia	Gowa	2000	9.0%	11.9%	7.0%
Indonesia	Morowali	2000	17.2%	24.7%	10.6%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Selatan	2000	21.2%	26.7%	16.1%
Indonesia	Minahasa Tenggara	2000	10.4%	16.0%	6.5%
Indonesia	Buton Utara	2000	11.9%	24.2%	3.6%
Indonesia	Buru Selatan	2000	17.1%	41.9%	3.0%
Indonesia	Langkat	2000	15.3%	18.7%	12.3%
Indonesia	Supiori	2000	12.9%	35.4%	0.9%
Indonesia	Madiun	2000	11.3%	13.0%	9.9%
Indonesia	Jombang	2000	11.6%	14.9%	9.1%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas Utara	2000	23.6%	35.2%	13.0%
Indonesia	Jakarta Barat	2000	6.4%	6.8%	6.1%
Indonesia	Sikka	2000	10.2%	32.2%	1.1%
Indonesia	Indramayu	2000	11.3%	14.8%	9.1%
Indonesia	Maros	2000	6.5%	12.2%	3.4%
Indonesia	Dairi	2000	23.3%	28.6%	17.7%
Indonesia	Sigi	2000	31.1%	48.4%	11.1%
Indonesia	Serang	2000	11.4%	14.7%	8.1%
Indonesia	Bangka Barat	2000	15.0%	28.8%	4.9%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Tengah	2000	25.2%	28.1%	23.2%
Indonesia	Lampung Selatan	2000	10.0%	13.2%	7.5%
Indonesia	Bontang	2000	11.2%	28.4%	2.8%
Indonesia	Sumedang	2000	16.0%	18.4%	13.7%
Indonesia	Minahasa Lake Toba	2000	8.5%	10.9%	6.6%
Indonesia	Lake Toba	2000	13.0%	21.1%	7.1%
Indonesia	Bogor	2000	24.5%	27.6%	21.8%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Mentawai	2000	15.2%	39.6%	1.2%
Indonesia	Berau	2000	10.6%	18.0%	5.5%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Tengah	2000	27.3%	35.5%	20.0%
Indonesia	Bekasi	2000	16.1%	19.0%	13.7%
Indonesia	Lumajang	2000	8.8%	11.6%	6.6%
Indonesia	Sumbawa	2000	12.5%	20.6%	7.1%
Indonesia	Ketapang	2000	15.5%	41.5%	2.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Nagekeo	2000	6.3%	24.6%	0.0%
Indonesia	Padangsidempuan	2000	11.0%	23.1%	3.5%
Indonesia	Kapuas Hulu	2000	14.9%	39.7%	0.6%
Indonesia	Humbang Hamsundutan	2000	16.7%	31.8%	5.6%
Indonesia	Sarmi	2000	0.9%	6.3%	0.0%
Indonesia	Bondowoso	2000	10.4%	18.5%	6.3%
Indonesia	Nabire	2000	10.9%	19.1%	5.0%
Indonesia	Rote Ndao	2000	12.5%	44.5%	0.0%
Indonesia	Pematangsiantar	2000	5.4%	6.2%	4.7%
Indonesia	Malang	2000	11.9%	13.3%	10.8%
Indonesia	Luwu Utara	2000	14.8%	26.4%	6.9%
Indonesia	Keerom	2000	0.4%	2.7%	0.0%
Indonesia	Batu	2000	10.0%	11.2%	8.9%
Indonesia	Mimika	2000	4.2%	9.7%	1.3%
Indonesia	Tana Toraja	2000	19.5%	25.0%	15.7%
Indonesia	Pasaman Barat	2000	12.4%	27.2%	3.4%
Indonesia	Way Kanan	2000	9.9%	12.8%	7.5%
Indonesia	Jambi	2000	8.0%	8.7%	7.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Timur	2000	16.8%	21.4%	13.5%
Indonesia	Banyumas	2000	18.2%	22.0%	14.8%
Indonesia	Buol	2000	21.3%	32.5%	12.4%
Indonesia	Palopo	2000	7.8%	12.5%	4.1%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Yapen	2000	9.6%	29.9%	0.9%
Indonesia	Tanah Laut	2000	11.0%	14.3%	8.4%
Indonesia	Dompu	2000	16.0%	28.0%	6.4%
Indonesia	Mukomuko	2000	9.4%	19.0%	3.2%
Indonesia	Ngada	2000	11.4%	31.0%	0.5%
Indonesia	Sambas	2000	10.7%	42.1%	0.0%
Indonesia	Sorong Selatan	2000	1.9%	10.7%	0.0%
Indonesia	Yalimo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Selatan	2000	24.0%	33.2%	17.4%
Indonesia	Wajo	2000	13.8%	18.1%	10.3%
Indonesia	Bima	2000	16.0%	26.2%	7.3%
Indonesia	Tasikmalaya	2000	45.3%	47.9%	42.7%
Indonesia	Bengkayang	2000	14.2%	39.7%	0.0%
Indonesia	Barito Selatan	2000	22.0%	28.9%	16.0%
Indonesia	Kota Binjai	2000	9.7%	10.7%	8.7%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hulu	2000	11.9%	15.6%	8.8%
Indonesia	Sumba Timur	2000	11.4%	35.4%	0.0%
Indonesia	Gunung Mas	2000	25.9%	38.3%	16.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat Daya	2000	48.3%	56.3%	40.7%
Indonesia	Magelang	2000	15.2%	16.9%	13.7%
Indonesia	Bangka	2000	11.8%	19.4%	6.2%
Indonesia	Batang	2000	14.4%	19.2%	10.8%
Indonesia	Tebo	2000	14.7%	19.3%	11.6%
Indonesia	Sintang	2000	14.3%	40.2%	0.5%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas	2000	51.2%	60.5%	41.8%
Indonesia	Banggai Kepulauan	2000	14.6%	27.5%	5.8%
Indonesia	Polewali Mandar	2000	9.3%	15.8%	4.9%
Indonesia	Serdang Bedagai	2000	13.1%	16.8%	9.8%
Indonesia	Sekadau	2000	14.2%	49.7%	0.0%
Indonesia	Mamuju	2000	14.1%	27.4%	5.1%
Indonesia	Sampang	2000	9.6%	13.4%	6.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Puncak Jaya	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Belu	2000	10.5%	37.5%	0.0%
Indonesia	Sarolangun	2000	16.9%	26.7%	9.4%
Indonesia	Kota Malang	2000	16.4%	17.2%	15.6%
Indonesia	Sleman	2000	7.0%	7.9%	6.1%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Barat	2000	12.7%	17.0%	9.1%
Indonesia	Lombok Tengah	2000	27.1%	44.6%	13.6%
Indonesia	Ogan Komering Ilir	2000	16.1%	22.2%	10.8%
Indonesia	Nunukan	2000	12.0%	20.3%	5.6%
Indonesia	Asahan	2000	16.0%	18.3%	13.6%
Indonesia	Kota Cirebon	2000	7.8%	8.5%	7.2%
Indonesia	Kota Bekasi	2000	6.8%	7.3%	6.3%
Indonesia	Mataram	2000	9.7%	10.9%	8.6%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Timur	2000	16.7%	41.1%	1.4%
Indonesia	Musi	2000	14.2%	19.1%	9.9%
Indonesia	Banyuasin				
Indonesia	Seluma	2000	13.9%	23.4%	6.2%
Indonesia	Nduga	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Indonesia	Parepare	2000	3.6%	5.1%	2.3%
Indonesia	Sorong	2000	10.3%	20.2%	3.1%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat Daya	2000	11.6%	51.2%	0.0%
Indonesia	Seruyan	2000	19.5%	25.9%	13.9%
Indonesia	Tegal	2000	12.9%	16.3%	10.3%
Indonesia	Belitung	2000	13.3%	26.6%	4.1%
Indonesia	Tulungagung	2000	10.0%	11.3%	9.1%
Indonesia	Maluku Barat Daya	2000	15.3%	30.4%	5.4%
Indonesia	Manggarai	2000	9.5%	27.3%	0.5%
Indonesia	Dumai	2000	3.4%	13.4%	0.2%
Indonesia	Yahukimo	2000	0.2%	1.6%	0.0%
Indonesia	Kota Yogyakarta	2000	11.8%	12.6%	11.1%
Indonesia	Toba Samosir	2000	17.1%	26.9%	9.6%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2000	12.3%	18.4%	7.9%
Indonesia	Garut	2000	39.9%	42.9%	36.7%
Indonesia	Gunungsitoli	2000	23.5%	49.4%	8.5%
Indonesia	Karo	2000	22.5%	26.0%	19.1%
Indonesia	Luwu	2000	12.4%	19.6%	6.5%
Indonesia	Bengkulu	2000	8.8%	10.1%	7.7%
Indonesia	Asmat	2000	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Indonesia	Tambrauw	2000	4.2%	15.7%	0.1%
Indonesia	Samosir	2000	18.6%	35.7%	7.3%
Indonesia	Sabang	2000	9.9%	15.7%	6.1%
Indonesia	Lampung Utara	2000	14.0%	16.2%	11.9%
Indonesia	Danau	2000	20.7%	48.9%	4.7%
Indonesia	Jembrana	2000	3.7%	9.0%	1.7%
Indonesia	Fakfak	2000	7.4%	15.4%	1.8%
Indonesia	Ogan Komering Ulu Timur	2000	11.1%	19.6%	5.8%
Indonesia	Pangkajene Dan Kepulauan	2000	5.1%	8.5%	3.1%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Utara	2000	12.0%	18.5%	6.7%
Indonesia	Gayo Lues	2000	40.6%	51.4%	30.1%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sula	2000	14.6%	31.7%	3.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kepahiang	2000	12.6%	17.5%	8.4%
Indonesia	Tojo Una-Una	2000	9.2%	24.6%	1.6%
Indonesia	Jakarta Selatan	2000	8.2%	8.6%	7.8%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Tengah	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Pangkalpinang	2000	6.8%	7.7%	5.9%
Indonesia	Sibolga	2000	33.1%	37.6%	27.7%
Indonesia	Barito Timur	2000	13.4%	20.1%	7.8%
Indonesia	Blora	2000	12.2%	14.6%	10.4%
Indonesia	Mamasa	2000	20.7%	36.0%	9.1%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Seribu	2000	20.7%	64.2%	0.1%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow	2000	10.3%	19.7%	4.1%
Indonesia	Nias Barat	2000	73.3%	82.1%	63.7%
Indonesia	Barito Utara	2000	14.9%	22.7%	9.9%
Indonesia	Ogan Komering Ulu Selatan	2000	21.8%	40.8%	8.3%
Indonesia	Pinrang	2000	6.4%	9.2%	4.1%
Indonesia	Tidore Kepulauan	2000	7.1%	26.4%	0.4%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat	2000	24.8%	33.3%	16.2%
Indonesia	Bau-Bau	2000	7.8%	11.1%	5.5%
Indonesia	Sinjai	2000	19.6%	26.7%	14.4%
Indonesia	Kota Pekalongan	2000	8.0%	8.8%	7.2%
Indonesia	Aceh Utara	2000	17.5%	21.2%	13.8%
Indonesia	Kotamobagu	2000	8.2%	13.0%	4.7%
Indonesia	Kota Tanjungbalai	2000	19.8%	22.9%	17.6%
Indonesia	Mappi	2000	0.2%	1.8%	0.0%
Indonesia	Merauke	2000	2.5%	6.2%	0.6%
Indonesia	Buleleng	2000	6.8%	9.0%	5.2%
Indonesia	Lubuklinggau	2000	12.8%	21.4%	7.0%
Indonesia	Tabanan	2000	6.9%	9.8%	5.2%
Indonesia	Bireuen	2000	11.9%	17.8%	7.7%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung B	2000	15.2%	21.6%	10.7%
Indonesia	Lamongan	2000	12.4%	14.8%	10.4%
Indonesia	Kediri	2000	12.8%	13.9%	11.7%
Indonesia	Semarang	2000	13.3%	14.6%	12.0%
Indonesia	Jeneponto	2000	10.6%	28.5%	3.0%
Indonesia	Pekanbaru	2000	3.9%	8.9%	1.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Selatan	2000	23.3%	37.4%	9.5%
Indonesia	Manggarai Timur	2000	12.9%	28.0%	3.3%
Indonesia	Pasuruan	2000	14.1%	16.6%	11.9%
Indonesia	Bulukumba	2000	7.5%	11.4%	4.5%
Indonesia	Gorontalo Utara	2000	14.4%	48.5%	0.7%
Indonesia	Banjarmasin	2000	21.3%	22.3%	20.3%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Utara	2000	17.0%	22.4%	12.0%
Indonesia	Sidoarjo	2000	6.6%	7.4%	5.8%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2000	35.0%	39.8%	30.8%
Indonesia	Paniai	2000	9.1%	23.6%	1.9%
Indonesia	Merangin	2000	21.8%	29.6%	15.5%
Indonesia	Poso	2000	10.5%	16.4%	6.6%
Indonesia	Purwakarta	2000	13.2%	15.0%	11.5%
Indonesia	Bantul	2000	8.5%	9.2%	8.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Lembata	2000	6.5%	22.6%	0.0%
Indonesia	Kupang	2000	15.0%	24.3%	7.6%
Indonesia	Agam	2000	21.1%	29.7%	16.1%
Indonesia	Tarakan	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Indonesia	Bandung	2000	29.1%	30.2%	28.0%
Indonesia	Tulangbawang	2000	13.4%	19.5%	8.6%
Indonesia	Bengkalis	2000	12.9%	18.8%	8.8%
Indonesia	Tanjungpinang	2000	11.2%	36.4%	2.1%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Aru	2000	18.4%	37.6%	4.1%
Indonesia	Banyu Asin	2000	13.0%	17.3%	9.6%
Indonesia	Kota Medan	2000	4.1%	4.5%	3.7%
Indonesia	Lampung Timur	2000	10.0%	12.1%	8.4%
Indonesia	Cilegon	2000	10.9%	11.9%	9.8%
Indonesia	Manokwari	2000	8.4%	18.0%	2.9%
Indonesia	Trenggalek	2000	12.9%	16.5%	10.4%
Indonesia	Konawe	2000	14.5%	20.7%	10.8%
Indonesia	Halmahera Selatan	2000	14.4%	27.6%	4.7%
Indonesia	Karawang	2000	16.9%	20.7%	13.7%
Indonesia	Lhokseumawe	2000	14.0%	30.1%	4.9%
Indonesia	Tomohon	2000	7.1%	9.3%	5.3%
Indonesia	Wakatobi	2000	16.1%	25.9%	8.1%
Indonesia	Wonogiri	2000	10.9%	12.0%	10.0%
Indonesia	Ambon	2000	15.0%	24.7%	7.4%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Selatan	2000	16.9%	22.8%	11.3%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Utara	2000	17.0%	31.1%	7.3%
Indonesia	Halmahera Utara	2000	12.5%	25.8%	4.4%
Indonesia	Palembang	2000	15.8%	17.0%	14.7%
Indonesia	Payakumbuh	2000	23.8%	28.0%	19.4%
Indonesia	Enrekang	2000	11.8%	15.5%	8.8%
Indonesia	Pakpak Barat	2000	16.0%	27.4%	7.2%
Indonesia	Bandar Lampung	2000	11.3%	12.2%	10.6%
Indonesia	Ende	2000	5.7%	16.4%	0.3%
Indonesia	Bulungan	2000	13.3%	23.4%	6.1%
Indonesia	Pontianak	2000	10.4%	32.1%	0.5%
Indonesia	Sukamara	2000	18.5%	28.8%	10.1%
Indonesia	Simalungun	2000	17.5%	20.5%	14.9%
Indonesia	Pulau Morotai	2000	12.7%	32.4%	1.5%
Indonesia	Tebtingtinggi	2000	9.2%	10.5%	8.1%
Indonesia	Takalar	2000	12.7%	19.5%	7.6%
Indonesia	Sukoharjo	2000	8.4%	9.3%	7.7%
Indonesia	Jember	2000	11.5%	15.8%	7.8%
Indonesia	Lombok Barat	2000	23.4%	29.0%	18.5%
Indonesia	Minahasa Utara	2000	8.5%	11.9%	5.7%
Indonesia	Bandung Barat	2000	17.1%	19.2%	15.1%
Indonesia	Metro	2000	10.2%	11.3%	9.1%
Indonesia	Singkawang	2000	3.8%	15.3%	0.0%
Indonesia	Lampung Tengah	2000	10.6%	13.2%	8.8%
Indonesia	Kendari	2000	10.1%	13.0%	7.5%
Indonesia	Balikpapan	2000	4.4%	14.2%	0.6%
Indonesia	Wonosobo	2000	40.7%	42.3%	39.0%
Indonesia	Padang Pariaman	2000	28.7%	45.6%	14.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kota Bima	2000	1.2%	9.9%	0.0%
Indonesia	Kota Probolinggo	2000	9.6%	11.1%	8.3%
Indonesia	Jepara	2000	10.4%	11.6%	9.4%
Indonesia	Ponorogo	2000	12.4%	14.5%	10.4%
Indonesia	Jakarta Timur	2000	6.8%	7.1%	6.5%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Utara	2000	37.0%	40.3%	34.0%
Indonesia	Mandailing Natal	2000	26.9%	34.3%	19.9%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu	2000	11.3%	16.0%	8.1%
Indonesia	Dogiyai	2000	11.4%	22.2%	4.7%
Indonesia	Kebumen	2000	18.3%	22.2%	14.9%
Indonesia	Kota Tegal	2000	6.3%	7.0%	5.7%
Indonesia	Gresik	2000	13.7%	15.0%	12.4%
Indonesia	Temanggung	2000	20.5%	22.6%	18.9%
Indonesia	Maybrat	2000	0.3%	2.4%	0.0%
Indonesia	Klaten	2000	5.7%	6.7%	4.8%
Indonesia	Rejang Lebong	2000	9.8%	14.5%	6.0%
Indonesia	Konawe Selatan	2000	16.8%	22.8%	12.1%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara	2000	11.7%	21.0%	6.2%
Indonesia	Gianyar	2000	2.4%	2.9%	1.9%
Indonesia	Ogan Komering Ulu	2000	15.1%	26.3%	6.6%
Indonesia	Kota Bogor	2000	18.6%	19.5%	17.8%
Indonesia	Solok	2000	24.1%	38.0%	12.9%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara Barat	2000	14.8%	26.9%	5.7%
Indonesia	Landak	2000	14.1%	43.6%	0.1%
Indonesia	Musi Rawas	2000	22.5%	30.0%	15.4%
Indonesia	Kota Tasikmalaya	2000	31.5%	33.9%	29.1%
Indonesia	Nias Selatan	2000	38.2%	53.0%	26.2%
Indonesia	Pesawaran	2000	17.0%	25.2%	12.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Tengah	2000	24.3%	34.8%	16.6%
Indonesia	Banda Aceh	2000	3.3%	4.0%	2.4%
Indonesia	Tapin	2000	19.7%	23.6%	16.2%
Indonesia	Padang Panjang	2000	33.6%	42.9%	25.9%
Indonesia	Pidie	2000	12.9%	25.4%	5.1%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hilir	2000	21.7%	26.8%	16.6%
Indonesia	Buru	2000	19.1%	40.3%	6.1%
Indonesia	Halmahera Barat	2000	11.3%	25.7%	3.6%
Indonesia	Situbondo	2000	9.5%	17.1%	5.0%
Indonesia	Toli-Toli	2000	20.2%	27.9%	12.9%
Indonesia	Kudus	2000	7.6%	8.6%	6.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Anambas	2000	18.0%	29.1%	8.8%
Indonesia	Siak	2000	13.7%	22.6%	8.3%
Indonesia	Bantaeng	2000	5.1%	8.8%	2.4%
Indonesia	Bukittinggi	2000	24.5%	27.8%	21.7%
Indonesia	Kerinci	2000	30.3%	38.2%	21.5%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sangihe	2000	17.2%	25.4%	11.4%
Indonesia	Pandeglang	2000	18.8%	29.5%	10.6%
Indonesia	Muaro Jambi	2000	24.5%	28.0%	21.2%
Indonesia	Jakarta Pusat	2000	11.9%	12.4%	11.5%
Indonesia	Magetan	2000	11.0%	11.9%	10.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Belitung Timur	2000	15.7%	33.8%	3.5%
Indonesia	Murung Raya	2000	19.3%	30.8%	8.8%
Indonesia	Sukabumi	2000	25.8%	29.7%	22.5%
Indonesia	Pesisir Selatan	2000	13.7%	27.1%	3.9%
Indonesia	Balangan	2000	37.6%	50.5%	26.7%
Indonesia	Kolaka	2000	16.1%	21.3%	11.8%
Indonesia	Kota Gorontalo	2000	0.4%	1.2%	0.0%
Indonesia	Katingan	2000	29.3%	38.7%	20.4%
Indonesia	Kutai Kartanegara	2000	12.1%	17.3%	7.8%
Indonesia	Bangka Tengah	2000	13.0%	21.8%	7.1%
Indonesia	Kota Semarang	2000	5.3%	6.2%	4.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Jaya	2000	18.4%	31.4%	10.8%
Indonesia	Lebak	2000	19.2%	26.0%	13.8%
Indonesia	Kubu Raya	2000	7.4%	17.2%	2.0%
Indonesia	Sumenep	2000	8.4%	10.8%	6.5%
Indonesia	Malinau	2000	7.0%	12.6%	3.1%
Indonesia	Batam	2000	7.3%	11.0%	4.5%
Indonesia	Biak Numfor	2000	2.4%	13.8%	0.0%
Indonesia	Maluku Tengah	2000	15.5%	28.4%	7.1%
Indonesia	Natuna	2000	12.5%	25.8%	5.2%
Indonesia	Halmahera Tengah	2000	14.1%	27.1%	5.1%
Indonesia	Sragen	2000	10.1%	11.1%	9.0%
Indonesia	Palangka Raya	2000	10.2%	16.9%	5.1%
Indonesia	Deiyai	2000	6.1%	17.3%	0.7%
Indonesia	Tulang Bawang Barat	2000	11.1%	15.1%	7.9%
Indonesia	Lebong	2000	19.1%	43.6%	5.2%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Selatan	2000	14.1%	34.1%	1.6%
Indonesia	Tana Tidung	2000	13.3%	25.0%	3.0%
Indonesia	Probolinggo	2000	12.6%	19.9%	7.0%
Indonesia	Sawahlunto	2000	14.8%	18.7%	11.0%
Indonesia	Teluk Wondama	2000	4.3%	18.1%	0.4%
Indonesia	Bone	2000	11.3%	16.6%	6.7%
Indonesia	Boven Digoel	2000	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Indonesia	Ciamis	2000	26.7%	29.6%	24.2%
Indonesia	Ogan Ilir	2000	29.4%	36.1%	21.5%
Indonesia	Luwu Timur	2000	8.4%	22.4%	2.8%
Indonesia	Bone Bolango	2000	14.1%	36.1%	0.3%
Indonesia	Padang	2000	16.3%	20.6%	12.9%
Indonesia	Kolaka Utara	2000	16.6%	47.9%	0.6%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Tengah	2000	17.7%	25.2%	11.8%
Indonesia	Alor	2000	4.9%	16.7%	0.0%
Indonesia	Bojonegoro	2000	15.9%	20.7%	11.7%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Timur	2000	20.0%	27.1%	14.2%
Indonesia	Pemalang	2000	14.3%	17.0%	12.1%
Indonesia	Langsa	2000	10.5%	12.0%	9.2%
Indonesia	Kaimana	2000	10.9%	20.3%	4.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Rokan Hulu	2000	14.2%	21.0%	9.1%
Indonesia	Karanganyar	2000	7.2%	8.1%	6.3%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Meranti	2000	23.1%	34.1%	12.7%
Indonesia	Denpasar	2000	3.5%	4.2%	3.1%
Indonesia	Depok	2000	10.1%	10.7%	9.7%
Indonesia	Prabumulih	2000	8.8%	10.3%	7.2%
Indonesia	Lanny Jaya	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Sidenreng Rappang	2000	7.0%	9.1%	5.3%
Indonesia	Surakarta	2000	11.0%	11.7%	10.4%
Indonesia	Aceh Singkil	2000	11.1%	18.5%	5.5%
Indonesia	Manggarai Barat	2000	10.6%	40.4%	0.0%
Indonesia	Jayapura	2000	0.3%	2.4%	0.0%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung T	2000	25.2%	33.4%	17.8%
Indonesia	Sumbawa Barat	2000	12.0%	24.7%	4.7%
Indonesia	Tabalong	2000	11.5%	14.6%	8.7%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Utara	2000	21.1%	30.1%	14.0%
Indonesia	Solok Selatan	2000	14.7%	31.4%	5.1%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Utara	2000	9.2%	19.0%	2.0%
Indonesia	Tanah Bumbu	2000	22.6%	30.9%	15.0%
Indonesia	Majalengka	2000	19.6%	22.3%	17.8%
Indonesia	Nias Utara	2000	29.7%	49.4%	18.4%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Selatan	2000	21.8%	35.6%	10.5%
Indonesia	Kutai Timur	2000	11.8%	16.5%	7.9%
Indonesia	Barru	2000	8.3%	11.5%	5.2%
Indonesia	Aceh Tamiang	2000	13.2%	16.8%	10.4%
Indonesia	Gorontalo	2000	3.9%	24.9%	0.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Selayar	2000	9.7%	25.8%	1.2%
Indonesia	Paser	2000	11.3%	18.6%	6.1%
Indonesia	Halmahera Timur	2000	15.6%	29.9%	4.5%
Indonesia	Kapuas	2000	29.3%	37.1%	23.2%
Indonesia	Raja Ampat	2000	3.6%	10.4%	0.3%
Indonesia	Simeulue	2000	15.1%	30.6%	5.0%
Indonesia	Kayong Utara	2000	13.7%	48.1%	0.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Besar	2000	6.7%	12.4%	3.6%
Indonesia	Kota Madiun	2000	4.6%	5.1%	4.1%
Indonesia	Badung	2000	4.2%	5.2%	3.5%
Indonesia	Teluk Bintuni	2000	8.6%	16.0%	3.1%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Timur	2000	15.7%	28.7%	5.0%
Indonesia	Bangkalan	2000	12.8%	15.9%	10.8%
Indonesia	Bangli	2000	7.2%	10.1%	5.3%
Indonesia	Bener Meriah	2000	23.2%	32.9%	13.7%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Selatan	2000	7.8%	18.2%	1.5%
Indonesia	Bitung	2000	11.5%	14.7%	8.9%
Indonesia	Lahat	2000	10.5%	15.7%	6.4%
Indonesia	Donggala	2000	16.1%	27.6%	7.6%
Indonesia	Nias	2000	60.3%	69.5%	51.1%
Indonesia	Karangasem	2000	14.7%	30.6%	4.5%
Indonesia	Subulussalam	2000	25.8%	51.4%	7.7%
Indonesia	Buton	2000	11.9%	20.0%	6.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Mamberamo Raya	2000	2.7%	8.9%	0.0%
Indonesia	Minahasa Selatan	2000	10.1%	15.3%	6.2%
Indonesia	Pidie Jaya	2000	25.6%	44.9%	10.2%
Indonesia	Muna	2000	23.7%	30.4%	18.5%
Indonesia	Kota Mangelang	2000	21.2%	22.3%	20.1%
Indonesia	Purworejo	2000	14.6%	16.5%	12.7%
Indonesia	Cianjur	2000	36.6%	38.9%	34.3%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat	2000	10.5%	49.1%	0.0%
Indonesia	Banjar	2000	32.5%	34.9%	30.3%
Indonesia	Demak	2000	18.6%	23.4%	15.7%
Indonesia	Kendal	2000	10.4%	14.2%	7.8%
Indonesia	Klungkung	2000	5.2%	12.0%	2.7%
Indonesia	Sanggau	2000	15.2%	47.7%	0.1%
Indonesia	Muara Enim	2000	16.1%	23.5%	9.7%
Indonesia	Pohuwato	2000	16.6%	50.7%	0.4%
Indonesia	Sumba Tengah	2000	13.2%	47.5%	0.0%
Indonesia	Cilacap	2000	17.2%	19.9%	14.9%
Indonesia	Danau Limboto	2000	0.6%	5.7%	0.0%
Indonesia	Blitar	2000	12.3%	13.8%	11.1%
Indonesia	Tanggamus	2000	19.3%	24.5%	15.3%
Indonesia	Barito Kuala	2000	36.7%	40.4%	32.9%
Indonesia	Sungai Penuh	2000	14.2%	18.8%	11.0%
Indonesia	Bangka Selatan	2000	15.6%	31.6%	3.6%
Indonesia	Mojokerto	2000	10.0%	12.1%	8.1%
Indonesia	Brebes	2000	16.3%	21.3%	12.1%
Indonesia	Bungo	2000	12.9%	21.5%	6.6%
Indonesia	Sabu Raijua	2000	10.6%	34.2%	0.6%
Indonesia	Kota Mojokerto	2000	7.3%	8.2%	6.5%
Indonesia	Kota Bandung	2000	31.0%	31.8%	30.2%
Indonesia	Salatiga	2000	11.0%	11.9%	10.1%
Indonesia	Dharmasraya	2000	12.1%	21.8%	5.2%
Indonesia	Waduk Cirata	2000	12.0%	16.2%	8.1%
Indonesia	Purbalingga	2000	14.6%	16.8%	12.5%
Indonesia	Pamekasan	2000	12.3%	13.9%	11.1%
Indonesia	Kota Kupang	2000	1.5%	5.9%	0.2%
Indonesia	Rembang	2000	10.4%	17.2%	5.7%
Indonesia	Banjar Baru	2000	9.7%	17.8%	5.7%
Indonesia	Aceh Tenggara	2000	37.2%	48.9%	26.1%
Indonesia	Nganjuk	2000	12.3%	14.1%	10.8%
Indonesia	Melawi	2000	18.1%	51.6%	0.6%
Indonesia	Jakarta Utara	2000	8.7%	9.5%	8.1%
Indonesia	Soppeng	2000	8.2%	9.8%	6.9%
Indonesia	Kota Blitar	2000	7.2%	8.2%	6.5%
Indonesia	Karimun	2000	14.6%	23.4%	8.7%
Indonesia	Cimahi	2000	28.8%	29.8%	27.8%
Indonesia	Tuban	2000	9.0%	11.8%	6.9%
Indonesia	Kutai Barat	2000	9.5%	15.2%	5.2%
Indonesia	Grobogan	2000	12.1%	14.1%	10.5%
Indonesia	Lombok Utara	2000	16.7%	33.5%	6.5%
Indonesia	Jayawijaya	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Flores Timur	2000	8.0%	37.3%	0.0%
Indonesia	Kota Sukabumi	2000	33.0%	34.4%	31.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Lampung Barat	2000	13.8%	20.7%	9.4%
Indonesia	Kuningan	2000	19.7%	22.3%	17.3%
Indonesia	Tangerang	2000	18.0%	20.7%	15.7%
Indonesia	Samarinda	2000	11.5%	16.6%	8.1%
Indonesia	Kota Sorong	2000	26.5%	49.5%	10.1%
Indonesia	Palu	2000	5.1%	6.8%	3.9%
Indonesia	Kota Pontianak	2000	1.1%	6.1%	0.1%
Indonesia	Toraja Utara	2000	18.0%	21.2%	15.4%
Indonesia	Gunung Kidul	2000	12.6%	13.4%	11.9%
Indonesia	Pagar Alam	2000	28.4%	38.1%	20.3%
Indonesia	Pacitan	2000	11.8%	13.1%	10.6%
Indonesia	Majene	2000	11.4%	31.3%	1.5%
Indonesia	Kaur	2000	16.8%	40.9%	2.0%
Indonesia	Pati	2000	15.5%	17.2%	13.9%
Indonesia	Kota Jayapura	2000	2.6%	15.9%	0.0%
Indonesia	Banggai	2000	12.0%	19.6%	5.8%
Indonesia	Bombana	2000	16.8%	33.7%	6.6%
Indonesia	Batu Bara	2000	19.6%	22.6%	16.7%
Indonesia	Waduk Kedungombo	2000	16.0%	33.9%	6.0%
Indonesia	Makassar	2000	2.3%	2.7%	2.0%
Indonesia	Kota Baru	2000	12.5%	19.2%	8.3%
Indonesia	Ternate	2000	8.5%	18.1%	3.9%
Indonesia	Kota Solok	2000	18.9%	24.1%	14.4%
Indonesia	Konawe Utara	2000	12.2%	23.2%	4.8%
Indonesia	Lombok Timur	2000	17.5%	25.5%	10.7%
Indonesia	Banjar	2000	17.1%	23.1%	11.0%
Indonesia	Kota Serang	2000	5.1%	6.7%	3.8%
Indonesia	Surabaya	2000	7.8%	8.5%	7.2%
Indonesia	Batang Hari	2000	20.0%	24.2%	15.4%
Indonesia	Tolikara	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Kota Tangerang	2000	10.2%	10.9%	9.5%
Indonesia	Pariaman	2000	21.8%	31.9%	14.9%
Indonesia	Boyolali	2000	10.8%	12.6%	9.3%
Indonesia	Kulon Progo	2000	11.1%	12.0%	10.3%
Indonesia	Lima Puluh Kota	2000	26.8%	37.5%	17.6%
Indonesia	Pelalawan	2000	17.1%	23.3%	11.8%
Indonesia	Kuantan Singingi	2000	21.1%	28.1%	15.4%
Indonesia	Subang	2000	17.6%	19.7%	15.8%
Indonesia	Manado	2000	7.4%	8.7%	6.4%
Indonesia	Rokan Hilir	2000	13.8%	18.6%	9.8%
Indonesia	Tangerang Selatan	2000	6.9%	7.5%	6.3%
Indonesia	Mesuji	2000	11.3%	17.3%	6.9%
Indonesia	Pekalongan	2000	18.8%	28.8%	12.0%
Indonesia	Waropen	2000	27.6%	43.0%	11.5%
Indonesia	Pasaman	2000	19.7%	39.4%	3.7%
Indonesia	Lingga	2000	18.9%	33.8%	8.2%
Indonesia	Lamandau	2000	29.0%	39.2%	19.7%
Indonesia	Kampar	2000	12.4%	16.7%	8.9%
Indonesia	Pringsewu	2000	25.0%	28.9%	21.9%
Indonesia	Kota Kediri	2000	8.7%	9.4%	8.0%
Indonesia	Deli Serdang	2000	8.7%	9.8%	7.4%
Indonesia	Intan Jaya	2000	17.9%	32.1%	5.9%
Indonesia	Ngawi	2000	13.2%	15.7%	11.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Cirebon	2000	15.2%	16.5%	14.1%
Indonesia	Penajam Paser Utara	2000	6.8%	14.8%	2.6%
Indonesia	Tanah Datar	2000	22.1%	35.7%	12.0%
Indonesia	Nagan Raya	2000	13.6%	21.5%	8.6%
Indonesia	Boalemo	2000	8.5%	43.7%	0.0%
Indonesia	Kota Pasuruan	2000	7.5%	8.5%	6.5%
Indonesia	Mamuju Utara	2000	14.4%	29.8%	3.7%
Indonesia	Puncak	2000	0.6%	2.6%	0.0%
Indonesia	Tual	2000	12.3%	34.7%	1.7%
Indonesia	Pulang Pisau	2000	22.3%	30.9%	14.8%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Talaud	2000	9.8%	14.0%	6.6%
Indonesia	Pegunungan Bintang	2000	15.2%	23.5%	7.4%
Indonesia	Sijunjung	2000	18.9%	34.2%	6.3%
Indonesia	Bintan	2000	12.3%	26.9%	4.1%
Indonesia	Parigi Moutong	2000	14.4%	27.2%	5.2%
Indonesia	Siau Tagulandang Biaro	2000	6.9%	13.1%	2.7%
Indonesia	Madiun	2017	8.8%	10.0%	7.9%
Indonesia	Tebo	2017	15.6%	20.1%	12.4%
Indonesia	Sibolga	2017	32.7%	38.4%	26.7%
Indonesia	Pangkajene Dan Kepulauan	2017	3.9%	6.7%	2.1%
Indonesia	Kota Blitar	2017	3.9%	4.5%	3.5%
Indonesia	Empat Lawang	2017	23.3%	41.7%	10.7%
Indonesia	Tulungagung	2017	8.1%	9.3%	7.2%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Yapen	2017	10.1%	29.4%	1.2%
Indonesia	Musi Banyuasin	2017	19.0%	25.2%	13.6%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Selatan	2017	24.9%	33.9%	17.3%
Indonesia	Kota Malang	2017	11.4%	11.9%	10.7%
Indonesia	Sikka	2017	10.4%	30.5%	0.9%
Indonesia	Minahasa Tenggara	2017	7.6%	11.8%	4.6%
Indonesia	Tana Toraja	2017	21.9%	27.1%	17.4%
Indonesia	Maybrat	2017	0.4%	3.2%	0.0%
Indonesia	Gunungsitoli	2017	28.4%	49.6%	12.4%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Tengah	2017	26.1%	34.2%	18.4%
Indonesia	Toba Samosir	2017	16.5%	25.8%	9.2%
Indonesia	Muna	2017	23.0%	29.7%	17.2%
Indonesia	Tidore Kepulauan	2017	7.1%	24.2%	0.5%
Indonesia	Poso	2017	10.8%	16.1%	6.4%
Indonesia	Surabaya	2017	4.7%	5.1%	4.3%
Indonesia	Jembrana	2017	2.3%	6.1%	1.0%
Indonesia	Langkat	2017	14.1%	16.7%	11.6%
Indonesia	Ngada	2017	12.7%	32.6%	0.9%
Indonesia	Yalimo	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Kota Yogyakarta	2017	7.2%	7.7%	6.7%
Indonesia	Batu	2017	6.3%	7.1%	5.6%
Indonesia	Singkawang	2017	4.7%	16.0%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Gowa	2017	8.4%	11.4%	6.1%
Indonesia	Ketapang	2017	16.0%	38.5%	3.5%
Indonesia	Karawang	2017	15.4%	18.6%	12.5%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat Daya	2017	11.8%	50.7%	0.0%
Indonesia	Balikpapan	2017	7.0%	16.1%	1.7%
Indonesia	Boven Digoel	2017	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Indonesia	Situbondo	2017	9.7%	15.9%	5.1%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas Utara	2017	23.7%	35.3%	13.1%
Indonesia	Malinau	2017	9.4%	15.1%	5.4%
Indonesia	Asahan	2017	16.7%	19.6%	13.9%
Indonesia	Halmahera Utara	2017	10.7%	22.8%	3.6%
Indonesia	Lamandau	2017	30.6%	40.7%	22.3%
Indonesia	Luwu	2017	11.2%	17.7%	5.9%
Indonesia	Keerom	2017	0.7%	3.1%	0.0%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung T	2017	29.9%	37.8%	22.5%
Indonesia	Barito Selatan	2017	25.2%	32.3%	18.4%
Indonesia	Barito Utara	2017	17.9%	26.5%	13.0%
Indonesia	Kota Bekasi	2017	4.3%	4.7%	4.0%
Indonesia	Bau-Bau	2017	7.7%	10.6%	5.6%
Indonesia	Indramayu	2017	8.9%	11.9%	7.0%
Indonesia	Sukamara	2017	21.4%	29.3%	14.3%
Indonesia	Temanggung	2017	21.6%	23.6%	20.1%
Indonesia	Magelang	2017	12.9%	14.6%	11.6%
Indonesia	Way Kanan	2017	12.6%	15.9%	10.0%
Indonesia	Mamuju	2017	14.0%	24.9%	4.8%
Indonesia	Kota Cirebon	2017	4.6%	5.1%	4.2%
Indonesia	Sambas	2017	11.2%	42.7%	0.0%
Indonesia	Pangkalpinang	2017	3.2%	3.8%	2.7%
Indonesia	Karo	2017	20.9%	25.0%	17.4%
Indonesia	Sukoharjo	2017	5.5%	6.1%	5.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sangihe	2017	19.1%	29.5%	12.9%
Indonesia	Samarinda	2017	15.4%	22.1%	10.3%
Indonesia	Bangka	2017	8.2%	13.9%	4.0%
Indonesia	Paniai	2017	11.2%	24.7%	3.0%
Indonesia	Barito Timur	2017	14.7%	22.6%	8.6%
Indonesia	Mandailing Natal	2017	31.4%	40.1%	23.7%
Indonesia	Lembata	2017	7.3%	23.3%	0.0%
Indonesia	Ciamis	2017	27.0%	29.4%	24.9%
Indonesia	Halmahera Barat	2017	11.7%	21.8%	3.4%
Indonesia	Sabang	2017	7.7%	12.3%	4.8%
Indonesia	Sampang	2017	12.5%	16.2%	9.4%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Utara	2017	17.5%	24.6%	11.8%
Indonesia	Lampung Selatan	2017	9.2%	12.8%	6.4%
Indonesia	Alor	2017	5.2%	16.8%	0.1%
Indonesia	Pasuruan	2017	12.5%	14.8%	10.3%
Indonesia	Lubuklinggau	2017	9.1%	15.7%	4.9%
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Tengah	2017	23.2%	27.5%	20.7%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Sula	2017	13.5%	30.9%	3.1%
Indonesia	Wajo	2017	12.7%	17.3%	9.4%
Indonesia	Berau	2017	11.6%	18.6%	6.7%
Indonesia	Pekanbaru	2017	4.0%	10.0%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kotamobagu	2017	5.2%	8.8%	3.0%
Indonesia	Padang	2017	13.5%	17.0%	10.6%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu Selatan	2017	16.4%	22.9%	11.3%
Indonesia	Pematangsiantar	2017	3.2%	3.6%	2.8%
Indonesia	Aceh Tenggara	2017	34.7%	47.0%	23.8%
Indonesia	Takalar	2017	8.8%	14.7%	5.1%
Indonesia	Serdang Bedagai	2017	11.2%	15.1%	8.2%
Indonesia	Kota Solok	2017	12.6%	16.3%	9.4%
Indonesia	Fakfak	2017	8.3%	16.4%	2.6%
Indonesia	Jakarta Barat	2017	3.7%	3.9%	3.5%
Indonesia	Lake Toba	2017	12.7%	20.6%	6.4%
Indonesia	Wonosobo	2017	48.3%	49.9%	46.7%
Indonesia	Halmahera Tengah	2017	12.6%	25.7%	3.9%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Selatan	2017	20.9%	35.5%	10.0%
Indonesia	Bandar Lampung	2017	6.6%	7.1%	6.1%
Indonesia	Kapuas Hulu	2017	15.1%	39.2%	0.9%
Indonesia	Seluma	2017	15.3%	26.4%	7.1%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Selatan	2017	27.4%	34.1%	22.1%
Indonesia	Bengkayang	2017	14.8%	39.5%	0.2%
Indonesia	Maluku Barat Daya	2017	14.4%	28.1%	5.4%
Indonesia	Sintang	2017	14.5%	40.0%	0.7%
Indonesia	Garut	2017	40.8%	43.7%	37.9%
Indonesia	Sidenreng Rappang	2017	5.1%	7.6%	3.4%
Indonesia	Sekadau	2017	14.4%	49.8%	0.0%
Indonesia	Bandung Barat	2017	15.0%	17.0%	13.1%
Indonesia	Samosir	2017	16.9%	32.8%	6.3%
Indonesia	Agam	2017	20.9%	29.4%	15.2%
Indonesia	Subang	2017	15.9%	17.7%	14.3%
Indonesia	Rejang Lebong	2017	9.7%	15.4%	5.6%
Indonesia	Karangasem	2017	11.8%	26.7%	3.3%
Indonesia	Palembang	2017	11.1%	12.6%	10.0%
Indonesia	Danau	2017	17.1%	44.6%	3.3%
Indonesia	Tulangbawang	2017	14.8%	20.3%	10.3%
Indonesia	Bondowoso	2017	11.4%	18.8%	6.8%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Utara	2017	14.7%	21.4%	8.7%
Indonesia	Majalengka	2017	15.0%	16.7%	13.8%
Indonesia	Manokwari	2017	9.1%	19.1%	3.4%
Indonesia	Pati	2017	12.9%	14.5%	11.5%
Indonesia	Bantaeng	2017	5.3%	9.1%	2.5%
Indonesia	Pontianak	2017	11.0%	32.1%	0.7%
Indonesia	Kaimana	2017	11.8%	20.4%	4.7%
Indonesia	Lebong	2017	22.7%	45.8%	6.3%
Indonesia	Palangka Raya	2017	10.0%	20.4%	3.6%
Indonesia	Tebingtinggi	2017	5.4%	6.2%	4.7%
Indonesia	Musi Rawas	2017	23.2%	30.6%	16.3%
Indonesia	Sorong Selatan	2017	1.9%	9.1%	0.0%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Tengah	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Buleleng	2017	4.2%	5.6%	3.0%
Indonesia	Bima	2017	15.9%	25.1%	7.7%
Indonesia	Majene	2017	11.9%	35.8%	0.8%
Indonesia	Bengkulu Tengah	2017	19.8%	27.6%	12.9%
Indonesia	Bengkulu	2017	5.2%	6.1%	4.5%
Indonesia	Jombang	2017	9.8%	12.5%	7.8%
Indonesia	Klaten	2017	3.6%	4.2%	3.0%
Indonesia	Danau Limboto	2017	0.6%	4.8%	0.0%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Barat	2017	14.7%	19.7%	10.5%
Indonesia	Sumba Tengah	2017	13.4%	46.3%	0.0%
Indonesia	Kota Serang	2017	3.6%	4.8%	2.6%
Indonesia	Humbang Hasundutan	2017	15.9%	29.4%	5.6%
Indonesia	Sorong	2017	12.3%	22.1%	4.8%
Indonesia	Parepare	2017	1.6%	2.4%	0.9%
Indonesia	Bulukumba	2017	7.5%	11.2%	4.5%
Indonesia	Seruyan	2017	21.3%	28.0%	16.0%
Indonesia	Ogan Komering Ilir	2017	17.9%	22.8%	12.8%
Indonesia	Kota Pekalongan	2017	4.7%	5.2%	4.2%
Indonesia	Ogan Komering Ulu	2017	13.3%	22.5%	6.1%
Indonesia	Rokan Hilir	2017	16.6%	21.3%	12.5%
Indonesia	Klungkung	2017	3.4%	9.6%	1.5%
Indonesia	Blora	2017	12.7%	15.4%	10.8%
Indonesia	Lamongan	2017	9.8%	11.8%	8.2%
Indonesia	Lampung Utara	2017	15.3%	17.4%	13.6%
Indonesia	Belu	2017	10.8%	37.9%	0.0%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Talaud	2017	14.7%	20.0%	10.7%
Indonesia	Buol	2017	22.3%	33.9%	13.7%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hulu	2017	13.1%	17.4%	9.6%
Indonesia	Banyu Asin	2017	13.2%	17.3%	10.0%
Indonesia	Natuna	2017	16.2%	27.8%	8.8%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat	2017	22.7%	30.3%	15.8%
Indonesia	Gorontalo Utara	2017	14.0%	45.4%	0.6%
Indonesia	Ende	2017	6.5%	16.1%	0.7%
Indonesia	Lombok Tengah	2017	25.1%	39.6%	13.8%
Indonesia	Parigi Moutong	2017	13.8%	26.0%	4.9%
Indonesia	Bombana	2017	15.4%	32.8%	5.0%
Indonesia	Labuhanbatu	2017	13.0%	17.5%	8.7%
Indonesia	Banyumas	2017	14.1%	16.8%	11.6%
Indonesia	Kepahiang	2017	14.3%	19.3%	10.5%
Indonesia	Tana Tidung	2017	14.5%	27.3%	4.4%
Indonesia	Jakarta Selatan	2017	4.7%	4.9%	4.5%
Indonesia	Pesawaran	2017	15.4%	23.6%	10.8%
Indonesia	Tabanan	2017	5.5%	7.6%	4.2%
Indonesia	Padang Lawas	2017	46.4%	56.2%	37.4%
Indonesia	Bone Bolango	2017	17.0%	40.6%	1.4%
Indonesia	Sarolangun	2017	17.3%	26.7%	10.6%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Utara	2017	14.8%	28.3%	6.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow	2017	9.2%	20.0%	3.2%
Indonesia	Payakumbuh	2017	20.5%	24.2%	15.7%
Indonesia	Kerinci	2017	28.6%	35.9%	19.4%
Indonesia	Tanah Laut	2017	15.1%	19.3%	11.8%
Indonesia	Belitung	2017	12.0%	24.4%	3.3%
Indonesia	Kuningan	2017	16.0%	18.1%	14.2%
Indonesia	Cilegon	2017	9.9%	11.1%	8.8%
Indonesia	Tanah Bumbu	2017	25.5%	33.7%	17.9%
Indonesia	Bitung	2017	7.6%	9.6%	6.0%
Indonesia	Nias	2017	60.4%	70.0%	50.9%
Indonesia	Aceh Tengah	2017	25.0%	35.8%	16.8%
Indonesia	Denpasar	2017	1.9%	2.3%	1.6%
Indonesia	Simeulue	2017	15.3%	30.9%	5.1%
Indonesia	Konawe	2017	15.7%	21.3%	11.1%
Indonesia	Kolaka	2017	17.5%	23.4%	12.4%
Indonesia	Pidie	2017	9.9%	20.7%	3.7%
Indonesia	Kediri	2017	11.4%	12.4%	10.4%
Indonesia	Puncak Jaya	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Nias Barat	2017	70.3%	79.5%	60.4%
Indonesia	Gunung Kidul	2017	12.3%	13.0%	11.6%
Indonesia	Kolaka Utara	2017	15.6%	45.2%	0.4%
Indonesia	Siau Tagulandang Biaro	2017	6.8%	16.0%	1.7%
Indonesia	Manggarai Timur	2017	15.2%	30.8%	4.3%
Indonesia	Padang Pariaman	2017	27.5%	46.8%	14.9%
Indonesia	Banjarmasin	2017	16.1%	16.9%	15.3%
Indonesia	Kota Medan	2017	2.2%	2.4%	2.0%
Indonesia	Lombok Utara	2017	15.3%	31.3%	6.6%
Indonesia	Merangin	2017	21.7%	29.3%	15.8%
Indonesia	Sawahlunto	2017	9.9%	12.8%	7.1%
Indonesia	Dairi	2017	25.0%	30.7%	20.3%
Indonesia	Kapuas	2017	29.8%	37.1%	23.6%
Indonesia	Lampung Barat	2017	16.8%	24.8%	11.8%
Indonesia	Halmahera Selatan	2017	14.5%	26.6%	5.1%
Indonesia	Polewali Mandar	2017	8.5%	16.0%	3.5%
Indonesia	Halmahera Timur	2017	14.3%	27.6%	4.1%
Indonesia	Padang Panjang	2017	29.4%	37.7%	22.1%
Indonesia	Lumajang	2017	9.3%	11.9%	7.6%
Indonesia	Buru	2017	20.1%	39.2%	6.8%
Indonesia	Pacitan	2017	12.5%	13.7%	11.4%
Indonesia	Dogiyai	2017	12.7%	23.5%	5.9%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Timur	2017	16.7%	40.1%	1.2%
Indonesia	Sigi	2017	28.1%	42.4%	13.8%
Indonesia	Sleman	2017	4.3%	5.0%	3.7%
Indonesia	Kota Probolinggo	2017	5.8%	6.7%	5.0%
Indonesia	Landak	2017	14.4%	43.8%	0.1%
Indonesia	Kampar	2017	11.8%	15.6%	8.8%
Indonesia	Yahukimo	2017	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Indonesia	Nias Selatan	2017	36.8%	51.6%	24.6%
Indonesia	Bengkalis	2017	14.0%	18.3%	9.9%
Indonesia	Pelalawan	2017	17.0%	22.3%	12.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Mataram	2017	6.5%	7.3%	5.8%
Indonesia	Sumba Barat	2017	10.9%	49.7%	0.0%
Indonesia	Padangsidempuan	2017	17.6%	29.5%	9.6%
Indonesia	Pesisir Selatan	2017	14.3%	26.8%	4.3%
Indonesia	Balangan	2017	32.5%	42.3%	22.8%
Indonesia	Bogor	2017	24.7%	28.0%	22.0%
Indonesia	Sumba Timur	2017	11.4%	34.8%	0.0%
Indonesia	Gunung Mas	2017	26.9%	42.2%	18.3%
Indonesia	Kutai Kartanegara	2017	14.5%	19.3%	10.0%
Indonesia	Enrekang	2017	11.2%	15.6%	7.8%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Anambas	2017	26.0%	37.3%	15.1%
Indonesia	Toli-Toli	2017	20.3%	28.6%	12.2%
Indonesia	Dompu	2017	14.7%	26.7%	5.3%
Indonesia	Langsa	2017	6.5%	7.5%	5.7%
Indonesia	Muaro Jambi	2017	22.0%	25.6%	18.5%
Indonesia	Jakarta Pusat	2017	7.5%	7.9%	7.2%
Indonesia	Rote Ndao	2017	12.6%	42.5%	0.0%
Indonesia	Seram Bagian Barat	2017	15.6%	30.2%	4.9%
Indonesia	Gayo Lues	2017	41.8%	53.1%	32.9%
Indonesia	Kutai Timur	2017	13.6%	18.3%	9.0%
Indonesia	Kota Tanjungbalai	2017	12.8%	14.6%	11.4%
Indonesia	Lanny Jaya	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Indonesia	Kota Tasikmalaya	2017	44.7%	47.5%	42.4%
Indonesia	Bangka Tengah	2017	9.8%	18.3%	4.4%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Meranti	2017	23.9%	35.4%	13.3%
Indonesia	Kota Tegal	2017	3.5%	3.9%	3.1%
Indonesia	Lebak	2017	18.1%	23.3%	13.0%
Indonesia	Wakatobi	2017	15.3%	24.4%	7.2%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Seribu	2017	18.2%	62.5%	0.1%
Indonesia	Katingan	2017	30.2%	38.7%	22.4%
Indonesia	Semarang	2017	9.7%	10.7%	8.7%
Indonesia	Kendari	2017	7.3%	9.9%	5.3%
Indonesia	Blitar	2017	11.2%	12.6%	10.0%
Indonesia	Lampung Timur	2017	12.8%	15.5%	10.7%
Indonesia	Solok	2017	22.2%	36.9%	11.0%
Indonesia	Aceh Selatan	2017	22.3%	36.9%	8.1%
Indonesia	Tegal	2017	12.5%	16.6%	9.8%
Indonesia	Deiyai	2017	7.5%	18.5%	1.3%
Indonesia	Kota Sukabumi	2017	37.5%	38.9%	36.1%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Selayar	2017	9.6%	27.1%	0.9%
Indonesia	Bireuen	2017	11.9%	17.9%	7.5%
Indonesia	Tulang Bawang Barat	2017	14.5%	17.3%	11.8%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Selatan	2017	15.7%	35.5%	2.5%
Indonesia	Tomohon	2017	4.5%	5.9%	3.2%
Indonesia	Ogan Ilir	2017	28.3%	34.7%	22.9%
Indonesia	Kota Madiun	2017	2.6%	2.9%	2.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Pohuwato	2017	16.3%	51.5%	0.3%
Indonesia	Mesuji	2017	15.7%	22.2%	10.9%
Indonesia	Mamasa	2017	20.5%	35.6%	9.6%
Indonesia	Kota Binjai	2017	6.3%	7.0%	5.6%
Indonesia	Pinrang	2017	5.7%	10.1%	2.9%
Indonesia	Kotawaringin Timur	2017	21.7%	30.1%	15.0%
Indonesia	Pasaman Barat	2017	13.5%	28.6%	3.8%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara Barat	2017	14.7%	26.7%	5.5%
Indonesia	Trenggalek	2017	12.5%	16.1%	9.9%
Indonesia	Batam	2017	6.6%	10.5%	4.0%
Indonesia	Waropen	2017	25.4%	38.6%	12.0%
Indonesia	Pegunungan Bintang	2017	15.8%	24.2%	8.0%
Indonesia	Tojo Una-Una	2017	9.1%	20.6%	1.9%
Indonesia	Sabu Raijua	2017	11.8%	33.6%	1.1%
Indonesia	Karanganyar	2017	4.5%	5.1%	4.0%
Indonesia	Bekasi	2017	13.7%	16.0%	11.7%
Indonesia	Soppeng	2017	6.8%	8.4%	5.6%
Indonesia	Jember	2017	10.3%	13.7%	7.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Jaya	2017	17.9%	27.9%	11.1%
Indonesia	Aceh Barat Daya	2017	55.7%	63.0%	48.0%
Indonesia	Manggarai Barat	2017	11.0%	40.8%	0.0%
Indonesia	Bukittinggi	2017	18.6%	21.8%	16.1%
Indonesia	Kota Pontianak	2017	1.8%	6.6%	0.2%
Indonesia	Pandeglang	2017	17.6%	27.9%	10.5%
Indonesia	Sinjai	2017	18.4%	24.2%	14.6%
Indonesia	Pakpak Barat	2017	16.3%	25.8%	7.5%
Indonesia	Maluku Tengah	2017	14.9%	26.8%	6.8%
Indonesia	Nias Utara	2017	34.5%	53.5%	22.0%
Indonesia	Sukabumi	2017	27.9%	31.9%	24.5%
Indonesia	Supiori	2017	13.9%	36.5%	1.0%
Indonesia	Manado	2017	4.9%	5.9%	4.1%
Indonesia	Raja Ampat	2017	4.6%	12.2%	0.5%
Indonesia	Kudus	2017	4.7%	5.4%	4.1%
Indonesia	Jakarta Utara	2017	5.3%	5.7%	4.9%
Indonesia	Minahasa Utara	2017	6.7%	9.8%	4.2%
Indonesia	Teluk Wondama	2017	4.9%	14.3%	0.8%
Indonesia	Kayong Utara	2017	14.0%	47.8%	0.0%
Indonesia	Sumbawa	2017	11.0%	18.8%	5.8%
Indonesia	Sumbawa Barat	2017	10.6%	20.5%	4.2%
Indonesia	Bolaang Mongondow Timur	2017	16.2%	28.7%	6.3%
Indonesia	Sungai Penuh	2017	12.8%	16.9%	9.5%
Indonesia	Bangka Barat	2017	13.2%	27.6%	3.9%
Indonesia	Gianyar	2017	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%
Indonesia	Kota Bima	2017	0.8%	7.4%	0.0%
Indonesia	Ponorogo	2017	9.6%	11.1%	8.1%
Indonesia	Tolikara	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Tuban	2017	9.9%	12.8%	7.7%
Indonesia	Asmat	2017	0.4%	3.2%	0.0%
Indonesia	Purbalingga	2017	14.0%	16.0%	11.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Kebumen	2017	14.8%	17.4%	12.5%
Indonesia	Lahat	2017	12.8%	18.8%	8.3%
Indonesia	Bandung	2017	27.6%	28.9%	26.2%
Indonesia	Palopo	2017	5.3%	9.0%	2.7%
Indonesia	Nduga	2017	0.6%	3.2%	0.0%
Indonesia	Luwu Utara	2017	15.3%	27.4%	6.9%
Indonesia	Bangli	2017	5.4%	7.5%	4.2%
Indonesia	Tanggamus	2017	18.8%	25.4%	14.3%
Indonesia	Kutai Barat	2017	12.3%	17.0%	8.0%
Indonesia	Bulungan	2017	14.0%	22.4%	7.8%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Aru	2017	18.0%	37.2%	4.5%
Indonesia	Kendal	2017	9.1%	12.7%	6.7%
Indonesia	Boalemo	2017	8.9%	45.2%	0.0%
Indonesia	Karimun	2017	15.4%	24.6%	9.1%
Indonesia	Sanggau	2017	15.2%	46.2%	0.1%
Indonesia	Depok	2017	6.8%	7.2%	6.4%
Indonesia	Tual	2017	10.7%	32.2%	1.8%
Indonesia	Purwakarta	2017	9.9%	11.4%	8.6%
Indonesia	Cimahi	2017	30.6%	31.5%	29.6%
Indonesia	Nganjuk	2017	12.1%	13.7%	10.7%
Indonesia	Tarakan	2017	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Indonesia	Jeneponto	2017	10.7%	25.8%	3.2%
Indonesia	Pidie Jaya	2017	19.5%	36.3%	7.1%
Indonesia	Tangerang Selatan	2017	4.5%	4.9%	4.0%
Indonesia	Sijunjung	2017	17.5%	33.0%	4.9%
Indonesia	Badung	2017	2.3%	2.9%	1.9%
Indonesia	Pulang Pisau	2017	25.1%	34.5%	17.0%
Indonesia	Jepara	2017	10.1%	11.4%	8.9%
Indonesia	Maluku Tenggara	2017	14.5%	25.1%	7.9%
Indonesia	Sarmi	2017	1.1%	7.2%	0.0%
Indonesia	Biak Numfor	2017	2.8%	17.4%	0.0%
Indonesia	Kuantan Singingi	2017	20.4%	25.8%	15.5%
Indonesia	Magetan	2017	9.0%	9.9%	8.3%
Indonesia	Banjarnegara	2017	35.5%	39.6%	31.6%
Indonesia	Paser	2017	13.9%	21.3%	7.2%
Indonesia	Cirebon	2017	11.8%	12.9%	10.9%
Indonesia	Kota Pasuruan	2017	4.4%	5.0%	3.8%
Indonesia	Banggai Kepulauan	2017	15.3%	28.1%	6.4%
Indonesia	Metro	2017	8.6%	9.6%	7.6%
Indonesia	Aceh Besar	2017	5.8%	11.5%	2.7%
Indonesia	Boyolali	2017	9.3%	10.4%	8.3%
Indonesia	Mimika	2017	5.0%	11.4%	1.7%
Indonesia	Ogan Komering Ulu Timur	2017	12.1%	20.4%	6.9%
Indonesia	Sumenep	2017	11.4%	14.4%	8.8%
Indonesia	Ngawi	2017	13.2%	15.4%	11.2%
Indonesia	Cianjur	2017	43.7%	46.3%	40.8%
Indonesia	Minahasa	2017	7.1%	9.5%	5.5%
Indonesia	Mamuju Utara	2017	12.7%	27.5%	3.0%
Indonesia	Lima Puluh Kota	2017	34.0%	44.6%	24.4%
Indonesia	Siak	2017	13.6%	20.6%	8.8%
Indonesia	Sragen	2017	8.8%	9.8%	7.9%
Indonesia	Ternate	2017	9.0%	23.1%	3.1%
Indonesia	Konawe Selatan	2017	17.9%	23.8%	12.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Hulu Sungai Utara	2017	32.3%	36.2%	29.0%
Indonesia	Prabumulih	2017	6.4%	7.7%	5.3%
Indonesia	Ogan Komering Ulu Selatan	2017	20.9%	36.9%	8.3%
Indonesia	Gorontalo	2017	4.2%	26.7%	0.0%
Indonesia	Kota Tangerang	2017	6.4%	7.0%	5.9%
Indonesia	Aceh Singkil	2017	14.5%	23.3%	8.6%
Indonesia	Lhokseumawe	2017	16.3%	35.3%	5.8%
Indonesia	Buton Utara	2017	13.7%	27.0%	4.0%
Indonesia	Tangerang	2017	14.4%	17.3%	12.5%
Indonesia	Banyuwangi	2017	10.2%	15.3%	6.5%
Indonesia	Kupang	2017	14.9%	24.4%	8.0%
Indonesia	Banda Aceh	2017	1.7%	2.1%	1.3%
Indonesia	Cilacap	2017	16.0%	18.1%	14.0%
Indonesia	Barito Kuala	2017	36.4%	39.8%	33.0%
Indonesia	Tabalong	2017	10.9%	14.8%	8.0%
Indonesia	Nagan Raya	2017	13.4%	20.8%	8.7%
Indonesia	Intan Jaya	2017	21.1%	35.7%	8.2%
Indonesia	Ambon	2017	9.8%	18.9%	3.8%
Indonesia	Tapanuli Utara	2017	20.8%	29.7%	13.8%
Indonesia	Kota Magelang	2017	15.5%	16.4%	14.5%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Utara	2017	10.5%	21.3%	2.8%
Indonesia	Timor Tengah Selatan	2017	9.3%	20.6%	1.9%
Indonesia	Demak	2017	14.9%	18.4%	12.4%
Indonesia	Kota Mojokerto	2017	4.2%	4.7%	3.7%
Indonesia	Banjar Baru	2017	13.8%	22.2%	6.9%
Indonesia	Tapin	2017	21.2%	26.0%	16.7%
Indonesia	Kota Bandung	2017	37.6%	38.7%	36.8%
Indonesia	Probolinggo	2017	12.5%	19.7%	7.2%
Indonesia	Muara Enim	2017	18.1%	25.3%	12.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Tamiang	2017	14.9%	19.0%	11.7%
Indonesia	Tambrauw	2017	4.2%	13.3%	0.3%
Indonesia	Gresik	2017	9.8%	11.0%	8.8%
Indonesia	Serang	2017	10.0%	12.9%	7.2%
Indonesia	Jakarta Timur	2017	3.9%	4.1%	3.7%
Indonesia	Kota Sorong	2017	23.3%	42.1%	8.9%
Indonesia	Toraja Utara	2017	19.0%	22.6%	16.0%
Indonesia	Tanjung Jabung B	2017	20.8%	29.3%	15.2%
Indonesia	Penajam Paser Utara	2017	8.3%	16.3%	3.8%
Indonesia	Bintan	2017	11.7%	26.6%	3.6%
Indonesia	Pasaman	2017	19.9%	40.0%	4.5%
Indonesia	Dharmasraya	2017	11.2%	21.6%	4.5%
Indonesia	Lingga	2017	20.0%	33.1%	9.2%
Indonesia	Bantul	2017	5.2%	5.7%	4.8%
Indonesia	Kota Jayapura	2017	2.4%	13.3%	0.1%
Indonesia	Kota Bogor	2017	12.8%	13.5%	12.2%
Indonesia	Donggala	2017	14.0%	25.4%	5.5%
Indonesia	Kota Gorontalo	2017	0.7%	1.9%	0.1%
Indonesia	Simalungun	2017	16.4%	19.4%	13.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Aceh Utara	2017	16.0%	19.9%	12.6%
Indonesia	Dumai	2017	4.1%	15.9%	0.5%
Indonesia	Barru	2017	6.7%	10.5%	3.5%
Indonesia	Waduk Kedungombo	2017	15.9%	31.1%	6.7%
Indonesia	Bone	2017	10.3%	15.7%	6.2%
Indonesia	Melawi	2017	19.2%	49.4%	1.1%
Indonesia	Lampung Tengah	2017	12.8%	16.4%	10.5%
Indonesia	Jayapura	2017	0.4%	2.6%	0.0%
Indonesia	Batang	2017	16.6%	20.8%	13.0%
Indonesia	Kota Semarang	2017	2.9%	3.4%	2.5%
Indonesia	Mukomuko	2017	10.7%	20.1%	4.6%
Indonesia	Minahasa Selatan	2017	9.2%	14.2%	5.7%
Indonesia	Deli Serdang	2017	6.5%	7.6%	5.4%
Indonesia	Brebes	2017	12.2%	15.9%	9.1%
Indonesia	Pagar Alam	2017	31.7%	41.2%	24.0%
Indonesia	Kota Kediri	2017	5.8%	6.3%	5.4%
Indonesia	Surakarta	2017	6.7%	7.2%	6.2%
Indonesia	Rembang	2017	8.7%	14.4%	5.2%
Indonesia	Tasikmalaya	2017	52.8%	55.1%	50.4%
Indonesia	Batu Bara	2017	20.6%	23.5%	18.0%
Indonesia	Maros	2017	4.5%	9.2%	2.3%
Indonesia	Sidoarjo	2017	3.9%	4.6%	3.4%
Indonesia	Morowali	2017	18.9%	25.8%	11.9%
Indonesia	Belitung Timur	2017	14.0%	33.7%	2.1%
Indonesia	Teluk Bintuni	2017	9.4%	19.0%	3.9%
Indonesia	Nunukan	2017	13.4%	21.5%	7.0%
Indonesia	Konawe Utara	2017	14.0%	24.0%	6.5%
Indonesia	Pamekasan	2017	15.7%	18.3%	14.1%
Indonesia	Buton	2017	11.5%	19.2%	5.8%
Indonesia	Kulon Progo	2017	6.8%	7.6%	6.1%
Indonesia	Puncak	2017	0.7%	3.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Pulau Morotai	2017	13.1%	32.9%	1.6%
Indonesia	Purworejo	2017	12.4%	14.0%	10.7%
Indonesia	Pemalang	2017	13.4%	16.0%	11.2%
Indonesia	Grobogan	2017	11.2%	12.9%	10.0%
Indonesia	Tanjungpinang	2017	11.8%	37.5%	1.8%
Indonesia	Lombok Timur	2017	16.5%	24.1%	9.7%
Indonesia	Buru Selatan	2017	16.6%	39.7%	2.2%
Indonesia	Jambi	2017	4.8%	5.4%	4.3%
Indonesia	Luwu Timur	2017	8.2%	18.1%	3.3%
Indonesia	Malang	2017	10.9%	12.3%	9.7%
Indonesia	Kota Baru	2017	14.5%	20.8%	10.0%
Indonesia	Kubu Raya	2017	8.5%	17.0%	2.9%
Indonesia	Lombok Barat	2017	20.8%	25.4%	16.7%
Indonesia	Bungo	2017	12.2%	20.8%	6.2%
Indonesia	Mojokerto	2017	7.7%	9.6%	6.1%
Indonesia	Bangka Selatan	2017	13.4%	28.4%	2.8%
Indonesia	Makassar	2017	1.2%	1.4%	1.0%
Indonesia	Bontang	2017	9.3%	21.9%	2.9%
Indonesia	Bojonegoro	2017	13.9%	19.7%	9.6%
Indonesia	Kepulauan Mentawai	2017	15.4%	36.8%	1.4%
Indonesia	Batang Hari	2017	19.4%	23.3%	14.4%
Indonesia	Pekalongan	2017	17.2%	26.7%	10.3%
Indonesia	Mappi	2017	0.3%	2.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Indonesia	Bangkalan	2017	16.6%	20.2%	14.0%
Indonesia	Nagekeo	2017	7.6%	26.5%	0.0%
Indonesia	Subulussalam	2017	26.8%	58.9%	8.6%
Indonesia	Palu	2017	3.0%	4.2%	2.3%
Indonesia	Aceh Timur	2017	17.5%	22.6%	13.9%
Indonesia	Banjar	2017	30.6%	34.0%	28.0%
Indonesia	Solok Selatan	2017	14.6%	31.0%	4.7%
Indonesia	Merauke	2017	3.3%	6.8%	1.2%
Indonesia	Murung Raya	2017	20.9%	32.6%	9.7%
Indonesia	Wonogiri	2017	11.1%	12.2%	10.3%
Indonesia	Banjar	2017	16.4%	21.1%	12.3%
Indonesia	Rokan Hulu	2017	14.4%	20.7%	9.8%
Indonesia	Manggarai	2017	11.5%	31.0%	1.3%
Indonesia	Indragiri Hilir	2017	22.5%	27.1%	17.8%
Indonesia	Banggai	2017	12.0%	19.2%	6.2%
Indonesia	Tanah Datar	2017	22.9%	33.2%	12.7%
Indonesia	Flores Timur	2017	8.0%	34.9%	0.0%
Indonesia	Sumedang	2017	11.1%	12.6%	9.6%
Indonesia	Mamberamo Raya	2017	2.2%	7.2%	0.0%
Indonesia	Bener Meriah	2017	22.2%	32.4%	13.9%
Indonesia	Kota Kupang	2017	2.5%	7.1%	0.5%
Indonesia	Jayawijaya	2017	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Indonesia	Salatiga	2017	7.4%	8.0%	6.7%
Indonesia	Nabire	2017	10.8%	17.6%	5.6%
Indonesia	Kaur	2017	15.6%	38.3%	2.2%
Indonesia	Pringsewu	2017	20.3%	23.6%	17.2%
Indonesia	Pariaman	2017	14.5%	23.3%	9.5%
Indonesia	Waduk Cirata	2017	7.8%	10.9%	5.0%
Laos	Nonghed	2000	72.0%	84.9%	56.2%
Laos	Pakkading	2000	71.2%	83.4%	56.3%
Laos	Nga	2000	73.8%	85.6%	59.0%
Laos	Lao Ngarm	2000	86.1%	94.6%	75.4%
Laos	Phiang	2000	64.4%	80.9%	44.9%
Laos	Sing	2000	84.4%	95.4%	58.4%
Laos	Xaysetha	2000	76.2%	83.2%	67.6%
Laos	Bachiangchaleuns	2000	82.7%	91.3%	72.9%
Laos	Toomlarn	2000	79.8%	95.7%	58.5%
Laos	Xaybuathong	2000	76.1%	91.6%	54.6%
Laos	Khanthabouly	2000	69.1%	85.0%	53.7%
Laos	Kaleum	2000	79.0%	90.0%	62.9%
Laos	Viengthong	2000	74.8%	84.3%	62.3%
Laos	Ngoi	2000	72.9%	84.1%	59.6%
Laos	Xiengkhor	2000	67.7%	85.9%	50.0%
Laos	Morkmay	2000	73.7%	90.2%	53.1%
Laos	Xebangfay	2000	81.5%	93.1%	63.5%
Laos	Samakkhixay	2000	62.7%	70.1%	50.7%
Laos	Long	2000	79.2%	89.7%	66.3%
Laos	Mahaxay	2000	72.7%	86.0%	55.3%
Laos	Namor	2000	69.1%	81.2%	54.4%
Laos	Pakxe	2000	64.5%	68.6%	58.4%
Laos	Hinhurp	2000	63.6%	81.5%	43.0%
Laos	Phongsaly	2000	60.7%	70.3%	47.6%
Laos	Nambak	2000	75.2%	86.8%	60.2%
Laos	Paksane	2000	48.3%	61.0%	34.3%
Laos	Viengkham	2000	73.2%	82.1%	61.6%
Laos	Outhoomphone	2000	74.4%	87.4%	58.2%
Laos	Samuoi	2000	76.4%	91.0%	53.6%
Laos	Khua	2000	73.1%	85.1%	58.8%
Laos	Khop	2000	57.5%	80.2%	34.2%
Laos	Hinboon	2000	76.1%	86.3%	64.8%
Laos	Thaphalanxay	2000	70.7%	90.6%	44.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Nakai	2000	72.2%	84.5%	56.1%
Laos	Mad	2000	75.2%	88.7%	56.0%
Laos	Kenethao	2000	61.9%	74.7%	44.7%
Laos	Thapangthong	2000	73.2%	88.4%	58.1%
Laos	Pek	2000	69.1%	77.0%	58.5%
Laos	Ta Oi	2000	81.6%	90.9%	68.6%
Laos	Xamneua	2000	66.2%	77.4%	50.4%
Laos	Paktha	2000	69.0%	86.1%	46.7%
Laos	Nalae	2000	74.2%	88.8%	55.9%
Laos	Viengxay	2000	66.9%	81.1%	48.4%
Laos	Nhommalath	2000	76.0%	88.7%	53.9%
Laos	Dakcheung	2000	77.9%	87.7%	61.4%
Laos	Park Ou	2000	76.6%	91.3%	59.7%
Laos	Viengthong	2000	76.7%	88.4%	60.2%
Laos	Bualapha	2000	77.6%	90.3%	64.1%
Laos	Xieng Ngeun	2000	76.1%	89.5%	55.4%
Laos	Hoon	2000	62.0%	76.0%	45.7%
Laos	Thoulakhom	2000	72.3%	85.3%	53.7%
Laos	Botene	2000	60.6%	79.4%	33.3%
Laos	Louangphrabang	2000	53.2%	70.1%	39.6%
Laos	Beng	2000	70.2%	85.5%	49.0%
Laos	Sikhottabong	2000	49.4%	53.2%	45.7%
Laos	Xamtay	2000	74.2%	82.3%	64.8%
Laos	Khong	2000	84.7%	91.1%	74.9%
Laos	Sanasomboon	2000	78.6%	86.7%	67.7%
Laos	Thathom	2000	66.7%	88.7%	40.3%
Laos	Ngeun	2000	53.9%	71.9%	34.7%
Laos	Namtha	2000	57.9%	69.2%	46.3%
Laos	Nongbok	2000	78.7%	93.8%	56.3%
Laos	Hom	2000	74.7%	91.7%	50.0%
Laos	Vangvieng	2000	67.6%	82.5%	48.8%
Laos	Atsaphone	2000	77.9%	90.8%	62.2%
Laos	Xaysetha	2000	17.4%	22.5%	12.7%
Laos	Sisattanak	2000	37.8%	42.9%	32.7%
Laos	Mayparkngum	2000	69.8%	85.2%	49.6%
Laos	Naxaithong	2000	60.0%	77.5%	42.4%
Laos	Xaythany	2000	50.8%	62.9%	36.5%
Laos	Pathoomphone	2000	77.6%	85.9%	66.4%
Laos	Xayabury	2000	65.4%	75.7%	54.8%
Laos	Atsaphangthong	2000	67.3%	86.6%	43.2%
Laos	Phine	2000	64.2%	79.6%	49.4%
Laos	Longsane	2000	74.0%	92.7%	47.3%
Laos	Sukhuma	2000	69.0%	75.3%	61.9%
Laos	Chanthabuly	2000	31.4%	35.4%	27.2%
Laos	Houixai	2000	71.9%	85.1%	55.2%
Laos	Sanamxay	2000	77.1%	89.8%	59.0%
Laos	Vilabuly	2000	77.5%	90.8%	64.0%
Laos	Samphanh	2000	75.9%	87.8%	61.6%
Laos	Thateng	2000	64.2%	77.3%	50.2%
Laos	Phonxay	2000	68.7%	81.9%	51.2%
Laos	Moonlapamok	2000	85.7%	92.1%	77.7%
Laos	Khoune	2000	68.8%	84.1%	46.9%
Laos	Meung	2000	77.1%	92.1%	53.1%
Laos	Pak Xeng	2000	71.2%	84.2%	54.2%
Laos	Nhot Ou	2000	71.5%	83.1%	54.8%
Laos	Champpassack	2000	61.4%	70.5%	49.8%
Laos	Parklai	2000	63.5%	76.8%	45.6%
Laos	Chomphet	2000	48.4%	65.4%	36.2%
Laos	Phookood	2000	68.3%	80.2%	53.9%
Laos	Huameuang	2000	74.0%	85.2%	60.8%
Laos	Nan	2000	54.9%	71.6%	37.3%
Laos	Phonhong	2000	58.9%	80.7%	32.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Songkhone	2000	70.8%	85.7%	53.5%
Laos	Sepone	2000	78.1%	90.9%	60.3%
Laos	Phun	2000	72.7%	88.9%	47.1%
Laos	Saravane	2000	77.4%	87.3%	65.4%
Laos	Xayphouthong	2000	71.4%	89.5%	47.2%
Laos	Paksong	2000	77.8%	84.7%	69.1%
Laos	Boon Neua	2000	69.1%	86.2%	45.9%
Laos	Phonthong	2000	78.6%	86.9%	67.8%
Laos	Xaysomboun	2000	75.2%	88.2%	54.7%
Laos	Phouvong	2000	81.1%	89.1%	68.7%
Laos	Lakhonepheng	2000	83.1%	93.0%	70.3%
Laos	Sangthong	2000	57.9%	80.8%	30.7%
Laos	Thaphabath	2000	74.8%	87.3%	57.5%
Laos	Xaybuly	2000	71.3%	88.7%	52.5%
Laos	Pha Oudom	2000	67.8%	77.6%	55.9%
Laos	Sopbao	2000	74.5%	88.8%	53.4%
Laos	Boontai	2000	75.7%	88.7%	59.1%
Laos	Khongxedone	2000	87.4%	93.2%	77.4%
Laos	Xonbuly	2000	71.1%	87.3%	50.5%
Laos	Nam You	2000	66.0%	80.8%	46.8%
Laos	Kasy	2000	64.7%	81.2%	46.9%
Laos	Vapy	2000	86.9%	97.4%	70.5%
Laos	Tonpheung	2000	74.7%	92.6%	51.1%
Laos	Phoukhoun	2000	65.4%	84.0%	42.1%
Laos	Viengphoukha	2000	72.6%	88.8%	50.1%
Laos	Bolikhanh	2000	63.4%	82.7%	41.4%
Laos	Feuang	2000	75.4%	91.2%	53.0%
Laos	Phaxay	2000	62.0%	85.8%	31.3%
Laos	Thongmyxay	2000	51.2%	67.3%	27.2%
Laos	Xanakharm	2000	69.2%	83.0%	47.8%
Laos	Xienghone	2000	56.5%	77.5%	35.8%
Laos	Khamkheuth	2000	68.7%	83.0%	49.3%
Laos	Champhone	2000	82.5%	91.9%	70.0%
Laos	Lamarm	2000	78.9%	87.0%	69.8%
Laos	Hadxaifong	2000	33.8%	48.0%	17.2%
Laos	Muang Et	2000	79.0%	95.1%	50.7%
Laos	Viengkham	2000	76.5%	85.2%	66.0%
Laos	La	2000	63.9%	76.6%	48.2%
Laos	Keo Oudom	2000	51.5%	74.4%	24.2%
Laos	Xay	2000	53.8%	63.6%	44.8%
Laos	Nong	2000	78.7%	90.5%	61.8%
Laos	Hongsa	2000	59.9%	74.7%	41.6%
Laos	Pakbeng	2000	70.5%	86.0%	52.4%
Laos	Sanxay	2000	73.6%	87.2%	56.9%
Laos	May	2000	75.4%	85.8%	63.1%
Laos	Thakhek	2000	61.8%	76.7%	46.3%
Laos	Kham	2000	76.6%	87.9%	59.3%
Laos	Sing	2017	46.8%	75.6%	21.4%
Laos	Boontai	2017	33.7%	53.2%	17.2%
Laos	Xayabury	2017	21.9%	29.6%	14.3%
Laos	Sopbao	2017	30.6%	49.8%	11.8%
Laos	Phaxay	2017	23.9%	47.6%	6.7%
Laos	Pha Oudom	2017	27.2%	40.0%	16.6%
Laos	Hinhurp	2017	23.0%	41.2%	9.0%
Laos	Bualapha	2017	34.9%	51.8%	21.1%
Laos	Samakkhixay	2017	29.2%	43.0%	10.8%
Laos	Xaysetha	2017	35.5%	46.4%	24.9%
Laos	Xieng Ngeun	2017	37.7%	57.5%	18.6%
Laos	Pakxe	2017	9.7%	17.3%	4.6%
Laos	Meung	2017	35.1%	55.9%	14.3%
Laos	Kenethao	2017	20.7%	34.1%	10.4%
Laos	Keo Oudom	2017	7.2%	17.1%	2.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Songkhone	2017	22.7%	36.0%	11.3%
Laos	Pakbeng	2017	28.2%	47.0%	11.3%
Laos	Phiang	2017	21.4%	40.9%	10.0%
Laos	Pak Xeng	2017	32.1%	48.6%	18.1%
Laos	Xayphouthong	2017	25.3%	48.3%	7.7%
Laos	Bolikhanh	2017	25.1%	44.8%	10.3%
Laos	Xiengkhor	2017	28.3%	54.1%	10.2%
Laos	Xay	2017	15.8%	22.6%	9.6%
Laos	Morkmay	2017	31.8%	54.2%	13.6%
Laos	Lamarm	2017	23.3%	34.9%	14.2%
Laos	Xebangfay	2017	40.5%	63.1%	21.6%
Laos	Vangvieng	2017	20.8%	36.7%	8.1%
Laos	Thapangthong	2017	32.4%	50.4%	18.6%
Laos	Sanxay	2017	33.2%	53.6%	19.3%
Laos	Samuoi	2017	24.3%	44.1%	7.5%
Laos	Nonghed	2017	32.6%	47.0%	18.4%
Laos	Phun	2017	32.8%	54.1%	14.6%
Laos	Pek	2017	19.8%	29.4%	11.6%
Laos	Park Ou	2017	30.5%	50.5%	15.3%
Laos	Hinboon	2017	32.4%	46.1%	20.1%
Laos	Pakkading	2017	25.2%	37.4%	13.8%
Laos	Champassack	2017	19.1%	30.5%	9.3%
Laos	Xaysetha	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Laos	Lakhonepheng	2017	39.1%	53.1%	25.1%
Laos	Khoune	2017	29.3%	47.6%	13.1%
Laos	Tonpheung	2017	31.4%	57.5%	10.6%
Laos	Nong	2017	35.1%	54.3%	18.1%
Laos	Botene	2017	19.1%	40.0%	6.5%
Laos	Chanthabuly	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Laos	Namor	2017	27.5%	43.7%	14.9%
Laos	Xaybuathong	2017	36.6%	59.7%	18.1%
Laos	Khanthabouly	2017	17.8%	27.8%	8.9%
Laos	Outhoomphone	2017	26.5%	41.8%	14.1%
Laos	Longsane	2017	34.5%	65.1%	12.6%
Laos	Paksane	2017	13.4%	23.1%	4.7%
Laos	Kasy	2017	19.4%	34.9%	8.9%
Laos	Bachiangchaleunsok	2017	38.7%	55.1%	22.8%
Laos	Viengthong	2017	36.6%	52.0%	21.9%
Laos	Lao Ngarm	2017	43.6%	57.3%	32.4%
Laos	Khamkheuth	2017	24.7%	37.5%	13.4%
Laos	Phookood	2017	24.1%	37.4%	12.1%
Laos	Khongxedone	2017	35.5%	54.0%	18.4%
Laos	Parklai	2017	20.7%	33.7%	10.8%
Laos	Sikhottabong	2017	14.3%	15.3%	12.7%
Laos	Huameuang	2017	34.2%	47.9%	20.5%
Laos	Toomlarn	2017	42.0%	64.7%	22.2%
Laos	Phonhong	2017	10.7%	30.9%	2.4%
Laos	Xanakharm	2017	29.2%	49.3%	14.4%
Laos	Viengkham	2017	38.4%	49.6%	25.5%
Laos	Kham	2017	31.7%	48.8%	17.3%
Laos	Hoon	2017	24.2%	39.1%	11.5%
Laos	Sangthong	2017	18.5%	38.1%	3.4%
Laos	Thateng	2017	25.8%	37.3%	16.1%
Laos	Ngoi	2017	33.8%	47.7%	20.1%
Laos	Kaleum	2017	43.3%	61.0%	26.8%
Laos	Nhommalath	2017	37.5%	58.8%	18.5%
Laos	Xaythany	2017	7.8%	16.6%	2.2%
Laos	Nambak	2017	33.9%	48.1%	22.5%
Laos	Beng	2017	31.0%	52.6%	13.4%
Laos	Nakai	2017	32.4%	47.9%	19.5%
Laos	Thaphalanxay	2017	31.0%	53.9%	12.8%
Laos	Phongsaly	2017	27.7%	39.0%	17.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Louangphrabang	2017	15.0%	22.8%	8.0%
Laos	Xaysomboun	2017	31.0%	53.4%	15.5%
Laos	Xamtay	2017	33.6%	42.5%	24.8%
Laos	Viengthong	2017	36.8%	52.8%	20.5%
Laos	Nan	2017	16.5%	29.1%	7.0%
Laos	Long	2017	40.7%	56.5%	25.2%
Laos	Xonbuly	2017	28.4%	48.9%	12.3%
Laos	Khua	2017	29.3%	42.6%	18.1%
Laos	Mad	2017	33.5%	51.7%	16.6%
Laos	Paksong	2017	32.9%	43.4%	23.3%
Laos	Hom	2017	36.4%	65.4%	16.8%
Laos	Thoulakhom	2017	20.0%	34.7%	8.4%
Laos	Phine	2017	22.4%	35.4%	12.3%
Laos	Ta Oi	2017	42.0%	58.2%	28.0%
Laos	Nongbok	2017	21.8%	44.5%	6.7%
Laos	Boon Neua	2017	28.6%	50.4%	11.3%
Laos	La	2017	31.7%	46.9%	17.7%
Laos	Houixai	2017	25.5%	41.9%	12.0%
Laos	Sanasomboon	2017	20.4%	29.2%	12.6%
Laos	Thathom	2017	27.9%	54.5%	8.8%
Laos	Mahaxay	2017	30.4%	48.2%	16.3%
Laos	Pathoomphone	2017	30.7%	41.9%	20.7%
Laos	Nalae	2017	33.9%	54.7%	17.1%
Laos	Khop	2017	18.9%	38.7%	6.1%
Laos	Atsaphangthong	2017	21.2%	41.0%	5.9%
Laos	Thaphabath	2017	28.6%	45.4%	13.4%
Laos	Naxaithong	2017	11.5%	26.0%	4.6%
Laos	Sisattanak	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Laos	Atsaphone	2017	34.6%	54.6%	19.9%
Laos	Viengxay	2017	25.6%	40.5%	12.0%
Laos	Khong	2017	32.0%	42.5%	22.8%
Laos	Namtha	2017	13.4%	20.5%	7.6%
Laos	Dakcheung	2017	41.2%	57.8%	25.2%
Laos	Sanamxay	2017	31.3%	49.1%	15.6%
Laos	Hadxaifong	2017	6.1%	20.9%	0.3%
Laos	Mayparkngum	2017	22.5%	41.3%	7.7%
Laos	Nga	2017	34.2%	47.4%	20.5%
Laos	Samphanh	2017	36.5%	50.9%	21.9%
Laos	Viengkham	2017	42.5%	52.2%	29.0%
Laos	Xamneua	2017	23.1%	33.6%	13.3%
Laos	Paktha	2017	30.4%	54.0%	11.9%
Laos	Phoukhoun	2017	24.3%	42.5%	10.0%
Laos	Xaybuly	2017	23.3%	40.4%	12.4%
Laos	Saravane	2017	36.1%	46.9%	26.0%
Laos	Viengphoukha	2017	31.5%	56.7%	13.8%
Laos	Phouvong	2017	37.2%	52.0%	22.2%
Laos	Sepone	2017	37.8%	56.1%	21.9%
Laos	Phonxay	2017	29.0%	43.6%	15.5%
Laos	Feuang	2017	30.6%	54.1%	10.8%
Laos	Chomphet	2017	16.7%	25.4%	10.3%
Laos	Ngeun	2017	16.5%	31.0%	6.2%
Laos	Nhot Ou	2017	33.5%	46.2%	21.1%
Laos	Hongsa	2017	22.3%	38.8%	11.0%
Laos	Thongmyxay	2017	20.1%	36.9%	6.5%
Laos	Vilabuly	2017	37.0%	58.1%	20.9%
Laos	Thakhek	2017	14.4%	24.3%	6.6%
Laos	May	2017	34.6%	48.6%	23.7%
Laos	Xienghone	2017	16.5%	31.2%	6.2%
Laos	Vapy	2017	40.3%	69.4%	17.7%
Laos	Champhone	2017	33.6%	48.4%	20.7%
Laos	Nam You	2017	16.2%	35.2%	2.9%
Laos	Moonlapamok	2017	27.9%	39.1%	19.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Laos	Muang Et	2017	41.9%	70.7%	17.4%
Laos	Sukhuma	2017	27.5%	38.7%	17.2%
Laos	Phonthong	2017	26.2%	39.7%	15.9%
Myanmar	Shwebo	2000	15.2%	18.6%	12.3%
Myanmar	Hpa-an	2000	17.7%	22.0%	13.9%
Myanmar	Loilen	2000	10.0%	12.3%	8.1%
Myanmar	Thandwe	2000	23.1%	29.4%	18.0%
Myanmar	Yangon-W	2000	8.4%	9.3%	7.7%
Myanmar	Kyaukme	2000	13.2%	16.0%	10.4%
Myanmar	Muse	2000	10.3%	14.3%	7.2%
Myanmar	Kalemyo	2000	14.6%	18.8%	11.2%
Myanmar	Bawlake	2000	12.0%	16.8%	7.7%
Myanmar	Kunlong	2000	8.9%	14.4%	5.2%
Myanmar	Naypyitaw	2000	10.2%	16.1%	6.3%
Myanmar	Buthidaung	2000	46.5%	53.7%	39.2%
Myanmar	Mongsat	2000	19.0%	22.6%	15.9%
Myanmar	Magwe Minbu	2000	20.7%	24.6%	17.0%
Myanmar	Mawlamyine	2000	17.3%	21.1%	14.0%
Myanmar	Putao	2000	16.4%	22.2%	11.7%
Myanmar	Hkamti	2000	16.2%	19.1%	13.2%
Myanmar	Monywa	2000	17.6%	21.4%	13.6%
Myanmar	Myingyan	2000	15.5%	19.2%	12.3%
Myanmar	Pyay	2000	11.5%	14.9%	8.6%
Myanmar	Mindat	2000	17.2%	20.0%	14.7%
Myanmar	Tamu	2000	11.6%	18.9%	6.9%
Myanmar	Meiktila	2000	13.9%	18.4%	10.1%
Myanmar	Kawthoung	2000	15.2%	19.2%	11.8%
Myanmar	Minbu	2000	15.2%	19.9%	11.1%
Myanmar	Yangon-N	2000	8.2%	10.8%	6.2%
Myanmar	Yamethin	2000	13.0%	16.2%	10.7%
Myanmar	Kyaunkpyu	2000	31.0%	36.4%	25.2%
Myanmar	Pakokku	2000	17.7%	21.0%	14.4%
Myanmar	Lasho	2000	13.0%	15.4%	11.0%
Myanmar	Bhamo	2000	8.0%	10.7%	6.1%
Myanmar	Thayetmyo	2000	17.1%	21.2%	13.8%
Myanmar	Yangon-S	2000	8.7%	11.3%	6.6%
Myanmar	Pyin-Oo-Lwin	2000	13.7%	18.9%	8.9%
Myanmar	Mawleik	2000	15.1%	20.2%	11.3%
Myanmar	Maungtaw	2000	28.3%	37.4%	20.4%
Myanmar	Sittwe	2000	35.9%	40.5%	31.3%
Myanmar	Bassein	2000	12.6%	16.1%	10.0%
Myanmar	Thayarwady	2000	10.2%	13.9%	7.3%
Myanmar	Mergui	2000	15.4%	18.1%	12.3%
Myanmar	Mongphat	2000	16.0%	21.3%	11.8%
Myanmar	Pharpon	2000	10.2%	13.9%	6.8%
Myanmar	Taungoo	2000	10.9%	14.4%	8.0%
Myanmar	Hinthada	2000	10.1%	14.1%	7.2%
Myanmar	Sagaing	2000	15.8%	20.8%	11.3%
Myanmar	Myitkyina	2000	7.9%	9.0%	6.7%
Myanmar	Palam	2000	12.2%	15.3%	9.8%
Myanmar	Kyaukse	2000	14.9%	20.5%	10.2%
Myanmar	Kawkareik	2000	19.0%	22.8%	15.8%
Myanmar	Kengtung	2000	15.7%	19.7%	12.3%
Myanmar	Maubin	2000	8.6%	11.3%	5.6%
Myanmar	Loikaw	2000	7.1%	9.1%	5.4%
Myanmar	Katha	2000	15.6%	18.6%	12.9%
Myanmar	Mandalay	2000	8.8%	10.9%	6.8%
Myanmar	Pegu	2000	14.4%	17.7%	11.4%
Myanmar	Dawei	2000	14.6%	17.3%	11.7%
Myanmar	Myoungmya	2000	10.0%	13.6%	7.0%
Myanmar	Taunggye	2000	7.1%	9.1%	5.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Myanmar	Yangon-E	2000	15.1%	17.2%	13.3%
Myanmar	Lauking	2000	14.3%	23.9%	6.7%
Myanmar	Thaton	2000	20.6%	25.4%	16.4%
Myanmar	Myawady	2000	12.6%	17.0%	9.1%
Myanmar	Tarchilaik	2000	18.8%	27.8%	12.0%
Myanmar	Kawkareik	2017	22.5%	26.2%	19.4%
Myanmar	Yangon-W	2017	12.0%	13.1%	10.9%
Myanmar	Hkamti	2017	20.6%	24.2%	17.3%
Myanmar	Kunlong	2017	11.3%	17.1%	6.9%
Myanmar	Mongsat	2017	24.0%	27.7%	20.9%
Myanmar	Mandalay	2017	13.9%	16.9%	11.3%
Myanmar	Mawlamyine	2017	22.0%	25.9%	18.2%
Myanmar	Shwebo	2017	20.2%	24.4%	16.8%
Myanmar	Tamu	2017	15.3%	24.8%	9.0%
Myanmar	Pyin-Oo-Lwin	2017	18.9%	24.7%	13.4%
Myanmar	Buthidaung	2017	52.5%	59.7%	45.0%
Myanmar	Taungoo	2017	14.4%	18.4%	10.8%
Myanmar	Kyaukme	2017	15.6%	18.8%	12.6%
Myanmar	Pyay	2017	14.1%	18.2%	10.4%
Myanmar	Mindat	2017	21.4%	24.9%	18.6%
Myanmar	Palam	2017	15.9%	19.0%	12.9%
Myanmar	Myitkyina	2017	10.6%	12.0%	9.2%
Myanmar	Yamethin	2017	18.9%	22.0%	15.9%
Myanmar	Kalemyo	2017	19.7%	24.4%	15.6%
Myanmar	Minbu	2017	20.2%	26.0%	15.3%
Myanmar	Loikaw	2017	8.4%	10.5%	6.6%
Myanmar	Muse	2017	12.9%	17.0%	9.5%
Myanmar	Lasho	2017	16.5%	19.2%	13.8%
Myanmar	Mergui	2017	18.7%	21.4%	15.8%
Myanmar	Kyaukse	2017	20.4%	27.5%	14.8%
Myanmar	Yangon-N	2017	10.6%	13.6%	8.3%
Myanmar	Bawlake	2017	14.9%	20.8%	10.2%
Myanmar	Mawleik	2017	19.1%	25.6%	14.1%
Myanmar	Thandwe	2017	28.5%	34.4%	23.0%
Myanmar	Bhamo	2017	10.2%	13.3%	8.0%
Myanmar	Magwe Minbu	2017	27.0%	32.0%	22.8%
Myanmar	Naypyitaw	2017	13.9%	21.5%	8.7%
Myanmar	Meiktila	2017	19.1%	24.4%	14.1%
Myanmar	Yangon-E	2017	18.6%	20.7%	16.7%
Myanmar	Thayarwady	2017	12.5%	16.7%	9.3%
Myanmar	Putao	2017	20.8%	27.7%	15.1%
Myanmar	Thayetmyo	2017	22.9%	26.9%	18.9%
Myanmar	Dawei	2017	19.0%	22.2%	15.3%
Myanmar	Yangon-S	2017	10.9%	13.6%	8.3%
Myanmar	Myingyan	2017	21.7%	26.0%	17.3%
Myanmar	Tarchilaik	2017	23.3%	31.6%	16.3%
Myanmar	Loilen	2017	13.1%	15.7%	10.8%
Myanmar	Pegu	2017	17.9%	21.6%	14.6%
Myanmar	Pakokku	2017	23.2%	26.8%	19.5%
Myanmar	Maubin	2017	10.6%	14.1%	7.3%
Myanmar	Sagaing	2017	20.9%	25.9%	15.8%
Myanmar	Maungtaw	2017	33.9%	45.1%	24.1%
Myanmar	Pharpon	2017	12.6%	16.9%	8.7%
Myanmar	Thaton	2017	24.6%	29.3%	19.9%
Myanmar	Kyaunkpyu	2017	39.2%	44.5%	32.9%
Myanmar	Monywa	2017	24.3%	28.2%	19.9%
Myanmar	Kengtung	2017	20.3%	24.6%	16.2%
Myanmar	Hinthada	2017	12.5%	17.1%	9.1%
Myanmar	Hpa-an	2017	22.2%	27.2%	17.3%
Myanmar	Katha	2017	20.4%	24.2%	17.1%
Myanmar	Taunggye	2017	9.1%	11.2%	7.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Myanmar	Sittwe	2017	41.4%	46.6%	36.7%
Myanmar	Myawady	2017	15.9%	21.0%	11.5%
Myanmar	Mongphat	2017	20.3%	26.3%	15.4%
Myanmar	Kawthoung	2017	20.2%	25.3%	16.1%
Myanmar	Lauking	2017	17.7%	28.7%	8.4%
Myanmar	Bassein	2017	15.4%	19.4%	12.2%
Myanmar	Myoungmya	2017	12.4%	16.3%	8.9%
Papua New Guinea	Kerowagi	2000	1.6%	10.4%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Maprik	2000	27.3%	43.4%	12.2%
Papua New Guinea	Gumine	2000	13.8%	29.0%	4.2%
Papua New Guinea	Kagua-Erave	2000	6.6%	30.2%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kokopo	2000	11.8%	31.2%	2.8%
Papua New Guinea	Unggai-Bena	2000	18.9%	36.7%	7.4%
Papua New Guinea	Central Bougainville	2000	37.7%	56.7%	18.6%
Papua New Guinea	Sohe	2000	9.7%	25.8%	1.7%
Papua New Guinea	Kabwum	2000	2.9%	17.1%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Aitape-Lumi	2000	27.1%	45.9%	13.4%
Papua New Guinea	Mount Hagen	2000	0.7%	8.5%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	North Bougainville	2000	59.2%	72.8%	46.2%
Papua New Guinea	Gazelle	2000	2.0%	17.2%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kikori	2000	40.1%	54.6%	24.5%
Papua New Guinea	Wewak	2000	22.3%	34.5%	11.5%
Papua New Guinea	Bogia	2000	26.8%	41.4%	12.7%
Papua New Guinea	Sumkar	2000	9.4%	32.6%	0.4%
Papua New Guinea	Kiriwina-Goodenough	2000	51.8%	65.3%	36.0%
Papua New Guinea	Alotau	2000	30.5%	44.2%	20.5%
Papua New Guinea	Goroka	2000	24.6%	52.1%	3.9%
Papua New Guinea	Kavieng	2000	50.1%	72.5%	30.1%
Papua New Guinea	Kairuku-Hiri	2000	25.7%	38.7%	16.7%
Papua New Guinea	Vanimo-Green River	2000	14.6%	28.9%	6.1%
Papua New Guinea	Pomio	2000	8.0%	26.4%	0.2%
Papua New Guinea	Nipa-Kutubu	2000	12.7%	36.7%	0.1%
Papua New Guinea	Kandep	2000	0.4%	4.9%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Angoram	2000	2.6%	8.8%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Rigo	2000	16.9%	46.7%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	North Waghi	2000	0.4%	3.3%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Lufa	2000	17.3%	39.2%	2.3%
Papua New Guinea	Lagaip-Porgera	2000	2.8%	13.4%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Henganofi	2000	3.5%	12.5%	0.2%
Papua New Guinea	Menyamya	2000	9.3%	18.6%	3.5%
Papua New Guinea	Anglimp-South Waghi	2000	0.9%	8.3%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Karimui-Nomane	2000	20.1%	44.3%	1.8%
Papua New Guinea	Manus	2000	36.5%	55.9%	16.7%
Papua New Guinea	North Fly	2000	2.9%	10.1%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	National Capital District	2000	15.7%	27.4%	8.9%
Papua New Guinea	South Bougainville	2000	26.9%	46.6%	13.9%
Papua New Guinea	Yangoro-Saussia	2000	2.3%	11.8%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Mendi-Munihu	2000	0.5%	4.3%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Madang	2000	29.4%	47.8%	15.6%
Papua New Guinea	Koroba-Kopiago	2000	22.3%	37.7%	9.8%
Papua New Guinea	Usino-Bundi	2000	15.7%	30.9%	4.8%
Papua New Guinea	Daulo	2000	25.1%	47.7%	7.4%
Papua New Guinea	Kompiani-Ambum	2000	0.1%	1.8%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Nuku	2000	14.8%	40.5%	1.5%
Papua New Guinea	Goilala	2000	26.5%	41.0%	13.2%
Papua New Guinea	Finschhafen	2000	12.9%	29.6%	3.3%
Papua New Guinea	Obura-Wonenara	2000	8.4%	25.0%	0.5%
Papua New Guinea	Mul-Baiyer	2000	0.2%	2.1%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Fly	2000	31.6%	46.1%	17.1%
Papua New Guinea	Okapa	2000	4.3%	17.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Samarai-Murua	2000	52.4%	68.7%	35.7%
Papua New Guinea	Lae	2000	5.3%	35.7%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wabag	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Tari-Pori	2000	6.0%	20.2%	0.1%
Papua New Guinea	Nawae	2000	10.6%	25.0%	2.8%
Papua New Guinea	Ijivitari	2000	31.5%	43.0%	21.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Rai Coast	2000	16.7%	30.0%	7.1%
Papua New Guinea	Tambul-Nebilyer	2000	0.3%	3.9%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kundiawa-Gembogl	2000	8.6%	21.9%	1.0%
Papua New Guinea	Huon	2000	1.6%	7.3%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Telefomin	2000	12.5%	24.6%	3.7%
Papua New Guinea	Jimi	2000	0.4%	4.9%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Ialibu-Pangia	2000	3.7%	22.1%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kerema	2000	46.3%	57.3%	35.1%
Papua New Guinea	Kainantu	2000	0.3%	2.9%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Chuave	2000	36.9%	57.8%	18.5%
Papua New Guinea	Esa'ala	2000	62.8%	74.3%	51.0%
Papua New Guinea	Abau	2000	34.9%	52.4%	21.7%
Papua New Guinea	Rabaul	2000	5.0%	22.6%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Namatanai	2000	42.7%	58.1%	21.8%
Papua New Guinea	Wosera-Gawi	2000	11.0%	32.0%	1.5%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Ramu	2000	16.8%	29.8%	6.4%
Papua New Guinea	Komo-Magarima	2000	13.7%	25.8%	3.9%
Papua New Guinea	South Fly	2000	25.5%	38.6%	13.0%
Papua New Guinea	Imbonggu	2000	0.4%	4.7%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Ambunti-Dreikikir	2000	17.8%	32.0%	9.3%
Papua New Guinea	Talasea	2000	17.7%	28.3%	8.9%
Papua New Guinea	Kandrian-Gloucester	2000	51.7%	60.4%	42.2%
Papua New Guinea	Bulolo	2000	1.5%	6.8%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Tewae-Siassi	2000	4.7%	19.4%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Dei	2000	0.4%	5.4%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Sina Sina-Yonggomugl	2000	36.0%	53.0%	18.8%
Papua New Guinea	Wapenamanda	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Markham	2000	0.6%	4.9%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	North Waghi	2017	0.4%	4.2%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	National Capital District	2017	18.1%	30.5%	10.1%
Papua New Guinea	Lae	2017	5.9%	39.3%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Maprik	2017	29.3%	45.5%	13.9%
Papua New Guinea	Komo-Magarima	2017	15.5%	28.7%	5.0%
Papua New Guinea	Nipa-Kutubu	2017	12.4%	37.3%	0.1%
Papua New Guinea	Abau	2017	35.5%	53.1%	22.3%
Papua New Guinea	Unggai-Bena	2017	20.7%	39.6%	8.2%
Papua New Guinea	Gazelle	2017	2.2%	18.4%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kikori	2017	40.9%	55.3%	25.5%
Papua New Guinea	Aitape-Lumi	2017	28.2%	48.4%	14.1%
Papua New Guinea	Henganofi	2017	4.2%	15.3%	0.3%
Papua New Guinea	Alotau	2017	31.6%	45.6%	21.0%
Papua New Guinea	Mendi-Munihu	2017	0.6%	5.4%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	North Fly	2017	3.0%	10.1%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wewak	2017	25.6%	38.8%	14.0%
Papua New Guinea	Sohe	2017	9.9%	26.8%	1.7%
Papua New Guinea	Samarai-Murua	2017	52.2%	69.4%	34.4%
Papua New Guinea	Sumkar	2017	10.3%	33.8%	0.6%
Papua New Guinea	Namatanai	2017	44.0%	59.3%	23.3%
Papua New Guinea	Lufa	2017	19.2%	41.4%	3.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kokopo	2017	13.1%	31.9%	4.1%
Papua New Guinea	Okapa	2017	4.9%	18.2%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kerowagi	2017	1.9%	11.9%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kabwum	2017	3.2%	17.8%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kundiawa-Gembogl	2017	9.5%	24.7%	1.3%
Papua New Guinea	Koroba-Kopiago	2017	24.4%	39.7%	11.3%
Papua New Guinea	Markham	2017	0.6%	5.6%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Anglimp-South Waghi	2017	0.9%	9.1%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Jimi	2017	0.5%	5.1%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Rigo	2017	18.5%	49.1%	0.4%
Papua New Guinea	Mount Hagen	2017	0.7%	8.2%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Huon	2017	1.6%	6.3%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Ialibu-Pangia	2017	3.6%	22.8%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Kerema	2017	46.9%	57.9%	35.9%
Papua New Guinea	Kairuku-Hiri	2017	28.5%	42.2%	18.6%
Papua New Guinea	Bogia	2017	27.5%	43.1%	13.4%
Papua New Guinea	Kainantu	2017	0.4%	3.4%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Chuave	2017	39.5%	59.6%	21.9%
Papua New Guinea	Kagua-Erave	2017	7.0%	32.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	South Bougainville	2017	27.6%	48.1%	14.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kiriwina-Goodenough	2017	55.2%	67.4%	39.7%
Papua New Guinea	Angoram	2017	2.7%	8.9%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Fly	2017	32.6%	46.8%	18.3%
Papua New Guinea	Menyamya	2017	9.9%	19.5%	3.7%
Papua New Guinea	Central Bougainville	2017	39.0%	58.0%	19.3%
Papua New Guinea	Karimui-Nomane	2017	21.4%	44.9%	2.5%
Papua New Guinea	Kandrian-Gloucester	2017	52.6%	61.7%	43.0%
Papua New Guinea	North Bougainville	2017	61.0%	74.1%	48.7%
Papua New Guinea	Kavieng	2017	50.3%	72.5%	30.5%
Papua New Guinea	Ijivitari	2017	33.1%	44.0%	22.7%
Papua New Guinea	Rai Coast	2017	17.2%	30.7%	7.6%
Papua New Guinea	Daulo	2017	27.1%	50.1%	8.2%
Papua New Guinea	Nuku	2017	14.4%	40.3%	1.5%
Papua New Guinea	Usino-Bundi	2017	15.8%	32.9%	4.6%
Papua New Guinea	Vanimo-Green River	2017	13.6%	28.7%	5.4%
Papua New Guinea	Gumine	2017	15.0%	32.0%	4.7%
Papua New Guinea	Middle Ramu	2017	17.9%	31.8%	7.6%
Papua New Guinea	Pomio	2017	8.2%	26.7%	0.2%
Papua New Guinea	Manus	2017	39.4%	58.1%	19.3%
Papua New Guinea	Wapenamanda	2017	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Esa'ala	2017	63.9%	75.6%	51.8%
Papua New Guinea	Dei	2017	0.5%	6.3%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Kandep	2017	0.5%	5.5%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wabag	2017	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Papua New Guinea	Yangoro-Saussia	2017	2.8%	12.9%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Rabaul	2017	5.7%	23.9%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Lagaip-Porgera	2017	3.2%	15.2%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Tewae-Siassi	2017	5.0%	20.5%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Ambunti-Dreikikir	2017	17.7%	31.8%	9.1%
Papua New Guinea	Talasea	2017	19.2%	31.0%	10.1%
Papua New Guinea	Mul-Baiyer	2017	0.2%	2.4%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Tari-Pori	2017	7.1%	22.8%	0.3%
Papua New Guinea	Madang	2017	30.9%	49.1%	16.4%
Papua New Guinea	South Fly	2017	25.9%	39.5%	13.1%
Papua New Guinea	Finschhafen	2017	14.8%	33.0%	3.9%
Papua New Guinea	Nawae	2017	12.1%	27.3%	3.5%
Papua New Guinea	Goroka	2017	25.7%	52.3%	5.1%
Papua New Guinea	Goilala	2017	27.4%	42.3%	14.0%
Papua New Guinea	Tambul-Nebilyer	2017	0.4%	5.1%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Imbonggu	2017	0.5%	5.3%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Bulolo	2017	1.5%	7.2%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Wosera-Gawi	2017	13.4%	36.0%	2.0%
Papua New Guinea	Sina Sina-Yonggomugl	2017	38.7%	55.6%	20.8%
Papua New Guinea	Kompiam-Ambum	2017	0.2%	2.0%	0.0%
Papua New Guinea	Telefomin	2017	11.8%	22.9%	3.3%
Papua New Guinea	Obura-Wonenara	2017	9.0%	26.2%	0.6%
Philippines	Matanao	2000	24.3%	31.8%	18.1%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	3.8%	8.0%	1.6%
Philippines	Baroy	2000	29.4%	35.5%	22.6%
Philippines	Jones	2000	4.6%	15.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Salcedo	2000	24.6%	48.8%	5.7%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	19.2%	37.3%	6.5%
Philippines	Mansalay	2000	21.4%	64.4%	2.3%
Philippines	Laguindingan	2000	14.5%	38.1%	1.6%
Philippines	Pangutaran	2000	18.7%	59.0%	0.7%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	28.8%	79.3%	0.9%
Philippines	Diadi	2000	11.5%	30.6%	2.5%
Philippines	New Bataan	2000	23.1%	49.6%	7.7%
Philippines	Tuy	2000	24.6%	80.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Sapang Dalaga	2000	4.9%	28.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Pualas	2000	8.3%	22.0%	2.6%
Philippines	Paluan	2000	25.8%	58.3%	3.4%
Philippines	Llanera	2000	12.1%	57.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Bacolor	2000	5.9%	21.0%	1.1%
Philippines	Villaverde	2000	10.1%	33.5%	1.2%
Philippines	Calasiao	2000	3.4%	7.1%	1.4%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	10.6%	45.3%	0.5%
Philippines	Libmanan	2000	5.8%	14.8%	1.0%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	20.0%	66.6%	0.6%
Philippines	Titay	2000	24.6%	80.1%	0.9%
Philippines	Dapao Lake	2000	12.0%	19.8%	8.3%
Philippines	Itogon	2000	10.1%	23.0%	3.6%
Philippines	Asipulo	2000	28.0%	62.0%	5.4%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	18.6%	73.6%	0.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	24.8%	80.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Lopez	2000	11.4%	44.3%	0.3%
Philippines	Mondragon	2000	24.5%	72.1%	1.6%
Philippines	Palapag	2000	8.8%	26.1%	2.2%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	27.4%	64.1%	4.7%
Philippines	Quezon City	2000	7.3%	9.6%	5.3%
Philippines	Valencia City	2000	13.4%	39.2%	1.7%
Philippines	Aurora	2000	22.9%	40.5%	9.4%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	13.7%	38.0%	1.5%
Philippines	Tagum City	2000	2.8%	10.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Culaba	2000	50.0%	79.5%	13.6%
Philippines	Isabela City	2000	34.7%	41.0%	27.8%
Philippines	Quirino	2000	4.0%	18.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Valderrama	2000	22.5%	53.8%	5.5%
Philippines	President Quirino	2000	19.9%	72.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Pio Duran	2000	15.7%	70.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Torrijos	2000	7.9%	21.8%	1.4%
Philippines	Kabayan	2000	32.9%	56.1%	9.5%
Philippines	Tubo	2000	13.5%	38.0%	1.0%
Philippines	Tarragona	2000	26.4%	55.6%	3.5%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2000	8.3%	24.9%	1.1%
Philippines	Naguilian	2000	5.7%	35.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Duenas	2000	5.9%	14.6%	1.1%
Philippines	Camiling	2000	9.1%	44.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Igbaras	2000	6.3%	31.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Bongabon	2000	10.9%	56.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Kabankalan City	2000	26.1%	59.7%	5.1%
Philippines	Carigara	2000	20.8%	66.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Talitay	2000	80.2%	93.8%	53.6%
Philippines	Mambusao	2000	13.6%	29.2%	4.9%
Philippines	Dumanjug	2000	31.6%	87.0%	0.4%
Philippines	Lagonglong	2000	64.2%	86.8%	24.9%
Philippines	Dinalupihan	2000	25.4%	64.7%	3.5%
Philippines	Tacloban City	2000	39.9%	47.8%	32.2%
Philippines	Villaviciosa	2000	18.1%	42.8%	4.3%
Philippines	Tampakan	2000	3.8%	14.8%	0.3%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	8.6%	33.7%	0.6%
Philippines	Dingras	2000	3.2%	19.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Langiden	2000	2.6%	11.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Asuncion	2000	2.2%	8.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Cotabato City	2000	11.1%	22.3%	5.3%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	2.7%	20.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Balabac	2000	22.5%	47.0%	3.0%
Philippines	Hinabangan	2000	42.5%	70.6%	16.6%
Philippines	Batuan	2000	21.2%	74.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Leon	2000	5.9%	29.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	1.8%	2.7%	1.0%
Philippines	Aparri	2000	15.7%	66.5%	0.4%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2000	41.6%	48.0%	31.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Balaoan	2000	1.3%	8.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Lian	2000	25.6%	80.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Sablan	2000	14.2%	32.9%	3.8%
Philippines	Almeria	2000	18.2%	34.3%	8.0%
Philippines	Pinabacdao	2000	37.5%	67.2%	9.1%
Philippines	Tagkawayan	2000	6.9%	28.4%	1.4%
Philippines	Currimaos	2000	12.1%	56.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Ma-Ayon	2000	16.8%	54.2%	0.9%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	5.2%	12.2%	1.2%
Philippines	Pagsanjan	2000	21.3%	87.0%	0.5%
Philippines	Peñarrubia	2000	2.8%	5.5%	1.3%
Philippines	Aguinaldo	2000	23.4%	65.2%	1.1%
Philippines	San Pascual	2000	3.6%	16.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Pandan	2000	29.8%	59.6%	4.6%
Philippines	Kidapawan City	2000	6.1%	13.1%	2.4%
Philippines	Don Marcelino	2000	13.1%	25.0%	5.4%
Philippines	Tayug	2000	4.4%	22.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Batangas City	2000	15.5%	55.8%	0.5%
Philippines	Aborlan	2000	22.5%	65.8%	1.5%
Philippines	Magpet	2000	16.5%	53.8%	0.9%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	43.1%	94.0%	1.5%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	30.1%	84.6%	1.9%
Philippines	Barotac Nuevo	2000	2.7%	11.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Tigbao	2000	20.3%	76.0%	0.3%
Philippines	Tanauan City	2000	0.5%	2.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Lugus	2000	28.2%	50.3%	10.0%
Philippines	Madrirdejos	2000	20.0%	85.4%	0.0%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	11.5%	51.2%	0.0%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	11.2%	61.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Pototan	2000	2.9%	13.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Bulalacao	2000	30.1%	68.8%	4.7%
Philippines	Vigan City	2000	0.8%	5.3%	0.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	2.7%	18.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Looc	2000	19.4%	40.1%	7.6%
Philippines	Panukulan	2000	19.8%	62.9%	0.5%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	5.3%	20.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Santa Imelda	2000	3.6%	25.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Imelda	2000	6.0%	11.9%	1.6%
Philippines	Badoc	2000	11.5%	53.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	28.8%	88.9%	0.2%
Philippines	San Jorge	2000	24.7%	68.0%	0.8%
Philippines	Mobo	2000	12.3%	42.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Hadji Mohammad Ajul	2000	36.7%	80.3%	4.6%
Philippines	Calabanga	2000	5.0%	21.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Batac City	2000	3.6%	15.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Lanao Lake	2000	15.1%	38.4%	2.1%
Philippines	Bato	2000	17.5%	69.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Antipolo City	2000	2.7%	6.2%	0.9%
Philippines	Dapa	2000	18.6%	63.5%	0.9%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2000	37.3%	76.4%	6.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	9.8%	40.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Mapun	2000	24.4%	81.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Maydolong	2000	25.1%	71.0%	1.6%
Philippines	Anao	2000	4.3%	15.9%	0.9%
Philippines	Canlaon City	2000	31.2%	84.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Olongapo City	2000	3.8%	11.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Bayabas	2000	25.1%	45.4%	12.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Baliangao	2000	8.3%	46.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Pateros	2000	5.0%	7.8%	2.2%
Philippines	Calamba City	2000	0.4%	4.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Macabebe	2000	1.8%	14.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Manay	2000	9.8%	32.4%	0.6%
Philippines	San Guillermo	2000	18.3%	61.2%	0.6%
Philippines	Padada	2000	9.5%	38.5%	1.6%
Philippines	Vincenzo A. Sagun	2000	26.5%	86.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Sarrat	2000	2.3%	17.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Pitogo	2000	21.5%	82.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Cuenca	2000	2.1%	15.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Camalig	2000	17.1%	25.0%	9.4%
Philippines	Tipo-Tipo	2000	64.2%	89.6%	38.2%
Philippines	Tagbilaran City	2000	22.9%	50.2%	2.1%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	25.3%	47.9%	10.0%
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	31.4%	82.6%	6.0%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	22.0%	40.8%	6.4%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	17.7%	81.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	6.1%	24.7%	0.1%
Philippines	T'Boli	2000	21.7%	38.0%	8.8%
Philippines	Matuguinao	2000	28.6%	72.4%	1.2%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2000	10.1%	21.2%	4.7%
Philippines	Bautista	2000	1.7%	9.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Lantapan	2000	19.8%	58.9%	0.4%
Philippines	General Mamerto Natividad	2000	7.8%	32.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Siquijor	2000	4.8%	7.5%	2.8%
Philippines	Malimono	2000	28.8%	71.4%	2.1%
Philippines	Sibulan	2000	8.5%	41.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Lamut	2000	31.9%	66.0%	3.5%
Philippines	Mangatarem	2000	13.8%	35.4%	4.9%
Philippines	Saguieran	2000	8.5%	24.6%	1.7%
Philippines	Talacogon	2000	3.8%	9.0%	0.9%
Philippines	Bayombong	2000	8.0%	29.2%	1.0%
Philippines	Valladolid	2000	22.2%	72.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	36.1%	65.2%	16.8%
Philippines	Siocon	2000	20.3%	58.1%	1.5%
Philippines	Lubang	2000	23.1%	62.8%	0.6%
Philippines	Tuba	2000	5.3%	10.5%	2.0%
Philippines	Hilongos	2000	18.0%	61.4%	2.0%
Philippines	Lambunao	2000	6.2%	26.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Bustos	2000	16.3%	48.2%	1.6%
Philippines	Biñan	2000	1.1%	3.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Hamtic	2000	29.5%	79.5%	2.7%
Philippines	Sugbongcogon	2000	10.2%	51.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Hungduan	2000	11.7%	30.9%	1.8%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	22.6%	72.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Waterbody	2000	13.4%	39.9%	2.2%
Philippines	Lavezares	2000	30.4%	49.4%	19.1%
Philippines	Sominot	2000	14.9%	52.3%	0.5%
Philippines	San Gabriel	2000	11.4%	44.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Buluan	2000	25.6%	62.5%	5.2%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	8.2%	12.9%	4.1%
Philippines	Alitagtag	2000	5.3%	31.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Luuk	2000	33.5%	43.4%	26.3%
Philippines	Columbio	2000	39.0%	70.3%	11.5%
Philippines	Paoay Lake	2000	0.7%	6.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Del Carmen	2000	18.9%	73.9%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Lopez Jaena	2000	7.3%	30.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Sipocot	2000	15.9%	34.8%	3.0%
Philippines	Baloi	2000	15.3%	18.4%	12.3%
Philippines	Pigkawayan	2000	5.5%	28.0%	0.4%
Philippines	Butig	2000	43.9%	90.2%	12.8%
Philippines	Uyugan	2000	4.0%	19.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Cabuyao	2000	0.5%	4.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Tagaytay City	2000	14.2%	37.2%	1.1%
Philippines	Taraka	2000	15.7%	89.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Manaoag	2000	0.7%	4.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Balilihan	2000	23.3%	68.2%	2.3%
Philippines	Pagayawan	2000	16.9%	28.9%	7.7%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2000	4.3%	22.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Tongkil	2000	18.9%	55.8%	1.6%
Philippines	Mambajao	2000	7.5%	16.5%	1.6%
Philippines	Talugtug	2000	29.6%	84.6%	0.8%
Philippines	Pinan	2000	7.3%	26.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Palimbang	2000	9.8%	24.3%	1.3%
Philippines	Ambaguio	2000	18.8%	68.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Las Piñas	2000	13.3%	17.9%	9.2%
Philippines	Alcala	2000	3.0%	16.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Barbaza	2000	23.4%	76.0%	4.4%
Philippines	Balete	2000	3.2%	27.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Bacong	2000	2.9%	15.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Bayawan City	2000	25.8%	47.9%	5.4%
Philippines	Mawab	2000	4.5%	35.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Baganga	2000	16.6%	40.4%	1.6%
Philippines	San Narciso	2000	18.2%	63.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Basey	2000	46.5%	68.4%	27.1%
Philippines	Datu Unsay	2000	33.0%	63.0%	8.7%
Philippines	Sibunag	2000	21.5%	41.3%	8.8%
Philippines	Bacungan	2000	25.1%	62.6%	3.0%
Philippines	Villareal	2000	40.8%	90.7%	5.5%
Philippines	Jimenez	2000	4.2%	12.3%	1.6%
Philippines	Gamay	2000	30.6%	77.9%	3.4%
Philippines	Sariaya	2000	33.3%	48.7%	18.3%
Philippines	Oroquieta City	2000	5.9%	43.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Luba	2000	2.6%	7.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Montevista	2000	9.3%	46.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Gingoog City	2000	19.1%	53.0%	4.1%
Philippines	Tambulig	2000	34.4%	54.3%	8.1%
Philippines	Palompon	2000	65.3%	75.5%	51.0%
Philippines	Isabela	2000	28.1%	83.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Bantay	2000	1.4%	13.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Mabitac	2000	5.5%	21.3%	0.3%
Philippines	Jala-Jala	2000	8.9%	49.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Lumban	2000	29.1%	54.7%	13.0%
Philippines	Anilao	2000	3.4%	17.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Labo	2000	9.7%	32.4%	1.2%
Philippines	Laoag City	2000	1.3%	8.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Tublay	2000	4.2%	9.9%	0.4%
Philippines	Magsingal	2000	4.2%	27.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Tangub City	2000	3.2%	8.8%	0.5%
Philippines	Narra	2000	27.8%	58.9%	5.9%
Philippines	San Narciso	2000	36.5%	78.9%	12.0%
Philippines	Tantangan	2000	7.5%	25.3%	0.8%
Philippines	Romblon	2000	27.6%	74.6%	3.4%
Philippines	General Luna	2000	15.6%	46.3%	2.5%
Philippines	Puerto Princesa City	2000	14.7%	20.2%	9.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Nasugbu	2000	27.1%	73.4%	1.7%
Philippines	Kalayaan	2000	32.5%	65.7%	11.4%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	6.6%	15.1%	1.8%
Philippines	Tabuk City	2000	30.9%	44.4%	19.8%
Philippines	Jose Dalman	2000	28.0%	78.5%	1.3%
Philippines	Miagao	2000	4.7%	26.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Tukuran	2000	23.2%	55.2%	5.8%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2000	8.2%	57.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Rosa	2000	26.5%	64.5%	5.5%
Philippines	Digos City	2000	13.3%	27.4%	4.9%
Philippines	Catarman	2000	14.1%	25.4%	6.0%
Philippines	Pinili	2000	15.3%	77.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	24.4%	83.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Laur	2000	11.2%	53.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Don Carlos	2000	10.2%	39.7%	2.5%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	10.5%	25.5%	1.1%
Philippines	Tago	2000	36.2%	63.5%	13.7%
Philippines	Gasan	2000	40.9%	70.4%	15.1%
Philippines	Malitbog	2000	22.4%	63.0%	0.5%
Philippines	Caraga	2000	16.7%	45.3%	2.0%
Philippines	Indanan	2000	23.1%	33.2%	15.3%
Philippines	Manjuyod	2000	10.9%	58.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Reina Mercedes	2000	6.8%	43.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Ginatilan	2000	20.7%	80.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Albuquerque	2000	8.9%	35.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Liloy	2000	20.6%	40.5%	8.3%
Philippines	Jamindan	2000	22.9%	56.8%	3.4%
Philippines	Bambang	2000	2.7%	6.3%	0.8%
Philippines	Tuao	2000	15.8%	57.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Balagtas	2000	1.9%	6.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Monreal	2000	43.2%	84.1%	8.8%
Philippines	Muñoz City	2000	7.1%	25.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	21.8%	59.1%	4.6%
Philippines	Tadian	2000	17.9%	42.9%	4.7%
Philippines	Tiwi	2000	6.3%	26.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Floridablanca	2000	23.2%	68.5%	3.8%
Philippines	Daraga	2000	10.8%	14.8%	7.6%
Philippines	Alaminos City	2000	7.4%	26.2%	0.9%
Philippines	Binangonan	2000	1.0%	5.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Tubigon	2000	32.7%	90.3%	0.7%
Philippines	Malapatan	2000	6.9%	20.8%	0.7%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	14.1%	25.3%	5.9%
Philippines	Tanauan	2000	4.2%	24.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Manito	2000	19.7%	80.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Jabonga	2000	20.0%	61.1%	1.0%
Philippines	Polomolok	2000	4.6%	22.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Malungon	2000	16.4%	40.4%	2.1%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2000	17.7%	95.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	43.6%	78.7%	17.9%
Philippines	Sirawai	2000	22.4%	75.9%	0.5%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2000	1.3%	8.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Badiangan	2000	5.4%	42.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	6.7%	23.1%	0.6%
Philippines	Lanuza	2000	9.3%	35.5%	0.5%
Philippines	Baleno	2000	41.6%	82.1%	8.9%
Philippines	Las Navas	2000	44.2%	70.2%	19.2%
Philippines	Poros	2000	20.2%	74.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Jomalig	2000	20.0%	73.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Simunul	2000	21.8%	47.9%	10.1%
Philippines	Dupax Del Sur	2000	9.6%	29.0%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Ramon	2000	7.7%	36.4%	0.1%
Philippines	San Ricardo	2000	23.2%	50.9%	6.9%
Philippines	Baco	2000	2.0%	7.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Balangiga	2000	8.2%	38.3%	0.1%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	17.1%	81.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Nampicuan	2000	10.6%	21.4%	4.2%
Philippines	Buadiposo-	2000	17.4%	84.5%	0.0%
	Buntong				
Philippines	Barugo	2000	3.0%	14.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	5.8%	18.7%	0.5%
Philippines	Baler	2000	3.3%	19.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Duero	2000	13.7%	54.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Kinoguitan	2000	10.8%	55.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Ayungon	2000	21.4%	66.7%	1.1%
Philippines	Siasi	2000	23.6%	54.2%	4.6%
Philippines	Sultan Ku-	2000	19.0%	28.8%	10.9%
	darat				
Philippines	Aglipay	2000	3.2%	19.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Barotac Viejo	2000	9.1%	32.6%	0.7%
Philippines	Tabina	2000	15.7%	56.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Dimiao	2000	24.6%	85.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Matnog	2000	25.5%	43.9%	11.8%
Philippines	Pata	2000	49.3%	95.1%	12.4%
Philippines	Antipas	2000	17.9%	57.6%	0.9%
Philippines	Dumalneg	2000	19.6%	87.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Orani	2000	41.4%	61.7%	17.9%
Philippines	Banton	2000	20.9%	88.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	19.4%	49.6%	2.4%
Philippines	Upi	2000	17.9%	50.3%	2.5%
Philippines	Parañaque	2000	9.1%	11.7%	6.6%
Philippines	Ternate	2000	25.0%	82.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Barili	2000	24.4%	55.8%	3.4%
Philippines	Initao	2000	13.1%	54.5%	0.7%
Philippines	Tamparan	2000	14.9%	68.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Altavas	2000	11.2%	42.6%	1.3%
Philippines	Malasiqui	2000	9.3%	58.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Ungkaya	2000	74.0%	89.6%	51.9%
	Pukan				
Philippines	Sumisip	2000	52.6%	77.6%	28.2%
Philippines	Sadanga	2000	38.9%	90.7%	2.3%
Philippines	Loon	2000	22.5%	76.7%	0.3%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	61.1%	86.1%	21.7%
Philippines	Laoang	2000	16.1%	35.2%	4.2%
Philippines	Danao Lake	2000	15.7%	71.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Mabinay	2000	22.3%	57.7%	1.4%
Philippines	Midsayap	2000	29.0%	41.5%	14.7%
Philippines	Tapul	2000	27.0%	83.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Libagon	2000	10.5%	51.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Molave	2000	27.9%	64.9%	4.7%
Philippines	Damulog	2000	18.9%	59.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Liloan	2000	43.3%	56.9%	23.4%
Philippines	Pres. Manuel	2000	20.0%	43.0%	4.4%
	A. Roxas				
Philippines	Bulusan	2000	39.5%	57.8%	17.9%
Philippines	Patnanungan	2000	20.7%	73.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	26.7%	81.8%	0.4%
Philippines	Aloguinsan	2000	17.9%	62.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Kalamansig	2000	15.9%	52.2%	1.2%
Philippines	Tabango	2000	24.9%	81.3%	0.5%
Philippines	Kalingalan	2000	90.3%	98.3%	70.7%
	Caluang				
Philippines	Catanauan	2000	33.7%	79.2%	2.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Cantilan	2000	5.3%	28.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Sampaloc Lake	2000	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Masinloc	2000	19.2%	32.5%	7.0%
Philippines	Nabunturan	2000	3.0%	14.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Capas	2000	6.7%	24.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Libertad	2000	14.3%	64.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Zarraga	2000	4.9%	32.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	20.3%	67.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Old Panamao	2000	79.6%	95.3%	38.6%
Philippines	Sulop	2000	6.9%	38.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Libacao	2000	24.1%	72.2%	1.0%
Philippines	Bagulin	2000	12.6%	52.9%	0.8%
Philippines	Bauko	2000	16.8%	38.6%	5.8%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2000	27.2%	67.2%	2.9%
Philippines	Baliuag	2000	11.3%	22.0%	2.0%
Philippines	Bugallon	2000	4.0%	31.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Lucban	2000	11.4%	62.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Cabucgayan	2000	15.1%	40.2%	2.5%
Philippines	San Sebastian	2000	53.5%	84.7%	18.9%
Philippines	Ilog	2000	24.5%	70.2%	1.1%
Philippines	Sogod	2000	8.2%	26.4%	1.6%
Philippines	Tuburan	2000	56.9%	85.3%	26.3%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	20.1%	72.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Masantol	2000	20.5%	58.7%	1.5%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	22.8%	68.4%	2.4%
Philippines	Motiong	2000	17.0%	45.7%	4.5%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	5.3%	23.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Madrid	2000	4.1%	25.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Katipunan	2000	13.8%	26.9%	5.5%
Philippines	Governor Generoso	2000	19.1%	53.1%	1.4%
Philippines	Candoni	2000	35.1%	79.1%	5.0%
Philippines	Sevilla	2000	19.8%	69.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Bulacan	2000	5.9%	15.0%	0.7%
Philippines	Pangantucan	2000	26.5%	60.0%	5.1%
Philippines	Ramos	2000	23.0%	34.8%	13.5%
Philippines	Tangalan	2000	37.0%	90.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2000	34.1%	77.5%	4.4%
Philippines	Maigo	2000	40.0%	82.8%	4.1%
Philippines	Kapai	2000	11.2%	20.4%	6.6%
Philippines	Ronda	2000	29.4%	88.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	18.2%	36.2%	5.5%
Philippines	Valenzuela	2000	21.1%	24.6%	16.4%
Philippines	Himamaylan City	2000	34.5%	71.6%	7.2%
Philippines	Asingan	2000	0.8%	2.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Toledo City	2000	53.8%	69.9%	38.5%
Philippines	M'Lang	2000	6.7%	23.8%	0.4%
Philippines	General Tinio	2000	6.5%	24.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Busuanga	2000	25.2%	57.3%	2.8%
Philippines	Dupax Del Norte	2000	10.9%	37.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Languyan	2000	34.2%	72.3%	5.5%
Philippines	Jose Pangani-ban	2000	5.5%	27.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Tagbina	2000	28.8%	66.4%	5.4%
Philippines	Koronadal City	2000	2.7%	10.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Rodriguez	2000	2.3%	9.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Bantayan	2000	20.3%	66.9%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Zumarraga	2000	62.9%	79.4%	35.8%
Philippines	Anini-Y	2000	19.4%	51.1%	2.7%
Philippines	Talayan	2000	67.9%	87.4%	44.0%
Philippines	Giporlos	2000	16.1%	68.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Lupao	2000	23.6%	77.3%	0.3%
Philippines	San Mateo	2000	0.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Canaman	2000	2.9%	6.6%	1.3%
Philippines	Marogong	2000	22.5%	50.4%	5.7%
Philippines	Garchitorea	2000	13.7%	37.7%	1.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	3.3%	5.3%	1.5%
Philippines	Sinacaban	2000	14.6%	23.2%	8.9%
Philippines	Perez	2000	21.1%	89.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Leganes	2000	15.1%	30.7%	4.7%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	15.9%	44.1%	1.3%
Philippines	Tulunan	2000	12.2%	34.5%	3.6%
Philippines	Patnongon	2000	29.4%	67.6%	3.7%
Philippines	Manticao	2000	47.4%	69.1%	15.9%
Philippines	Sorsogon City	2000	15.0%	21.6%	10.2%
Philippines	Sipalay City	2000	26.3%	51.1%	6.7%
Philippines	Mayoyao	2000	30.0%	73.0%	5.0%
Philippines	Mati City	2000	23.7%	31.9%	15.5%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	5.2%	32.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Pagadian City	2000	6.0%	13.4%	2.0%
Philippines	Biri	2000	26.8%	88.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Baguio City	2000	10.4%	15.1%	6.3%
Philippines	Abucay	2000	16.4%	32.7%	4.0%
Philippines	Remedios T. Romualdez	2000	9.8%	19.1%	4.6%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	20.6%	46.6%	4.7%
Philippines	Alaminos	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	38.6%	77.4%	8.2%
Philippines	Bacolod	2000	32.1%	44.6%	19.8%
Philippines	Binuangan	2000	8.6%	37.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Peñablanca	2000	6.3%	20.7%	0.8%
Philippines	Abulug	2000	17.1%	69.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Placer	2000	7.9%	27.1%	2.8%
Philippines	Orion	2000	6.0%	19.2%	0.6%
Philippines	Alabat	2000	21.8%	83.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Siay	2000	17.1%	66.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Bumbaran	2000	27.8%	81.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Buguey	2000	18.8%	76.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Kapangan	2000	7.2%	30.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Tabontabon	2000	5.0%	11.7%	1.3%
Philippines	Araceli	2000	29.5%	85.9%	1.9%
Philippines	Datu Paglas	2000	52.2%	66.2%	33.1%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2000	4.6%	26.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Kasibu	2000	18.7%	59.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Talibon	2000	11.6%	53.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Pasay City	2000	11.1%	15.8%	7.3%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	2.3%	19.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Tibiao	2000	32.2%	57.7%	14.7%
Philippines	Castilla	2000	22.6%	47.0%	6.2%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	15.6%	68.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Donsol	2000	12.0%	57.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Sibutad	2000	32.2%	57.9%	10.5%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2000	7.9%	41.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Marabut	2000	26.3%	77.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Liloan	2000	20.6%	69.2%	0.4%
Philippines	Lubao	2000	7.5%	24.1%	1.3%
Philippines	Maasin	2000	8.4%	39.0%	0.6%
Philippines	Bacnotan	2000	2.3%	14.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Alcantara	2000	32.0%	87.3%	2.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Navotas	2000	41.6%	47.3%	34.1%
Philippines	Malitbog	2000	29.8%	81.7%	1.1%
Philippines	Morong	2000	1.7%	8.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Patikul	2000	22.4%	40.5%	10.7%
Philippines	Sibutu	2000	24.2%	42.3%	8.6%
Philippines	Cagwait	2000	7.6%	18.7%	1.3%
Philippines	Maluso	2000	52.2%	75.7%	27.4%
Philippines	Dinas	2000	20.9%	55.0%	3.8%
Philippines	Panglima Sugala	2000	37.7%	60.4%	17.7%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	3.2%	17.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Mahayag	2000	15.0%	40.1%	2.3%
Philippines	Talusan	2000	16.8%	39.2%	4.9%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	28.5%	81.4%	2.1%
Philippines	Mangaldan	2000	2.0%	4.7%	0.8%
Philippines	Urbiztondo	2000	1.2%	4.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Manapla	2000	39.6%	90.5%	2.7%
Philippines	San Pablo City	2000	4.3%	9.4%	1.2%
Philippines	San Mariano	2000	20.7%	59.3%	2.4%
Philippines	Hernani	2000	21.1%	87.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Batan	2000	15.6%	25.8%	9.0%
Philippines	Tubod	2000	6.8%	21.3%	1.2%
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	18.6%	73.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Cervantes	2000	21.9%	65.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	13.2%	41.4%	1.7%
Philippines	Margosatubig	2000	26.5%	82.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Cauayan City	2000	11.7%	37.6%	1.4%
Philippines	Gregorio Del Pilar	2000	20.1%	74.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Dumaran	2000	25.6%	53.7%	5.4%
Philippines	Jetafe	2000	24.7%	68.8%	2.1%
Philippines	Monkayo	2000	15.7%	39.2%	2.3%
Philippines	Cortes	2000	25.3%	77.9%	0.5%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	10.8%	17.3%	5.1%
Philippines	Magarao	2000	2.1%	4.7%	1.0%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	22.7%	46.1%	5.5%
Philippines	Paombong	2000	7.5%	32.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	20.4%	67.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Buhi	2000	11.2%	32.7%	1.0%
Philippines	Cuyapo	2000	12.9%	22.2%	3.8%
Philippines	Caramoran	2000	22.8%	55.3%	5.4%
Philippines	Gabaldon	2000	9.2%	39.3%	0.1%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	3.3%	27.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Gloria	2000	21.8%	43.0%	7.1%
Philippines	Brooke's Point	2000	29.3%	54.3%	11.4%
Philippines	Lubuagan	2000	36.4%	78.3%	10.0%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	5.5%	22.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Bay	2000	5.8%	17.4%	0.9%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	0.7%	4.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Pantar	2000	45.9%	51.9%	37.6%
Philippines	Tabuelan	2000	31.4%	76.1%	3.3%
Philippines	Isulan	2000	13.9%	23.6%	8.0%
Philippines	Morong	2000	17.0%	27.3%	9.0%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	7.1%	21.9%	2.0%
Philippines	Naujan Lake	2000	15.3%	57.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Garcia Hernandez	2000	26.7%	73.4%	1.0%
Philippines	Mandaue City	2000	61.6%	66.5%	55.4%
Philippines	Cuyo	2000	32.6%	82.6%	1.4%
Philippines	Maragondon	2000	17.9%	62.0%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Noveleta	2000	5.1%	13.5%	0.8%
Philippines	Santa Elena	2000	20.1%	63.3%	1.3%
Philippines	Majayjay	2000	4.1%	17.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Flora	2000	10.8%	32.2%	1.2%
Philippines	Lamitan City	2000	22.4%	29.6%	16.1%
Philippines	Silay City	2000	23.1%	45.9%	5.5%
Philippines	Pinukpuk	2000	20.2%	44.1%	5.3%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	19.9%	74.5%	0.2%
Philippines	La Trinidad	2000	7.6%	12.4%	3.8%
Philippines	Nabua	2000	15.3%	58.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Maragusan	2000	10.4%	33.9%	0.9%
Philippines	Subic	2000	4.3%	12.8%	0.5%
Philippines	Datu Saudi-Ampatuan	2000	47.6%	91.2%	10.4%
Philippines	Tampilisan	2000	22.9%	78.3%	0.0%
Philippines	San Policarpo	2000	19.7%	76.5%	0.7%
Philippines	Oton	2000	14.5%	32.9%	6.3%
Philippines	Malinao	2000	34.0%	85.4%	2.0%
Philippines	Porac	2000	8.8%	33.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Infanta	2000	19.6%	72.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Dagupan City	2000	3.4%	11.3%	0.6%
Philippines	New Lucena	2000	5.0%	32.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Dinalungan	2000	22.1%	77.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Oas	2000	29.5%	49.1%	13.4%
Philippines	Babatngon	2000	18.3%	57.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Jordan	2000	22.5%	46.7%	7.8%
Philippines	Buldon	2000	26.6%	70.6%	3.1%
Philippines	Talisay City	2000	26.9%	45.4%	12.3%
Philippines	Cavinti	2000	17.0%	59.0%	1.9%
Philippines	Catmon	2000	23.8%	77.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Bacacay	2000	5.3%	21.0%	0.8%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2000	8.1%	22.0%	3.3%
Philippines	Zamboanga City	2000	13.4%	19.0%	8.2%
Philippines	Mangudadatu	2000	35.3%	73.7%	10.4%
Philippines	Palayan City	2000	3.5%	19.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Camaligan	2000	2.4%	6.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Lutayan	2000	34.5%	75.1%	6.3%
Philippines	Lemery	2000	8.7%	45.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Alcoy	2000	23.6%	85.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Banga	2000	10.4%	29.7%	3.2%
Philippines	Pinamungahan	2000	50.2%	80.6%	15.5%
Philippines	Paracelis	2000	24.2%	44.2%	10.4%
Philippines	Al-Barka	2000	67.6%	90.1%	43.3%
Philippines	Maribojoc	2000	26.1%	84.8%	0.5%
Philippines	Balangkayan	2000	28.1%	68.6%	4.8%
Philippines	Pitogo	2000	8.8%	22.6%	1.6%
Philippines	Polangui	2000	13.2%	46.8%	0.5%
Philippines	Lingig	2000	21.4%	62.8%	1.3%
Philippines	Bobon	2000	26.2%	79.7%	0.6%
Philippines	Sagbayan	2000	31.3%	85.3%	3.1%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	4.1%	10.3%	1.5%
Philippines	President Roxas	2000	11.4%	28.5%	1.7%
Philippines	Bindoy	2000	17.7%	65.0%	0.4%
Philippines	Solsona	2000	11.0%	55.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Arayat	2000	22.1%	67.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Dumaguete City	2000	1.9%	13.4%	0.0%
Philippines	General Santos City	2000	14.5%	20.4%	9.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Enrique B. Magalona	2000	35.1%	77.2%	4.4%
Philippines	Silang	2000	15.6%	34.1%	4.6%
Philippines	Masbate City	2000	25.9%	83.1%	2.4%
Philippines	San Jose de Buan	2000	19.2%	69.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Guagua	2000	4.4%	23.4%	0.0%
Philippines	General Luna	2000	24.3%	79.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Norala	2000	1.1%	3.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Baggao	2000	14.5%	41.2%	1.7%
Philippines	Bolinao	2000	17.8%	65.7%	0.4%
Philippines	Vinzons	2000	7.8%	20.5%	2.0%
Philippines	Pantao Ragat	2000	57.1%	72.5%	37.9%
Philippines	Gattaran	2000	10.7%	40.5%	0.7%
Philippines	Argao	2000	12.2%	42.0%	0.5%
Philippines	San Agustin	2000	9.4%	38.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Tinambac	2000	17.0%	53.5%	0.6%
Philippines	Maimbung	2000	7.4%	27.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Dipolog City	2000	8.5%	15.4%	4.4%
Philippines	San Leonardo	2000	5.0%	25.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	5.0%	20.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Lebak	2000	25.3%	57.6%	6.0%
Philippines	San Marcelino	2000	26.3%	68.9%	4.9%
Philippines	Sugpon	2000	13.1%	46.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	32.0%	83.0%	1.0%
Philippines	Balindong	2000	12.7%	24.2%	5.6%
Philippines	Tabaco City	2000	19.2%	45.1%	3.6%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2000	9.1%	39.9%	0.1%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	2.2%	13.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Ballesteros	2000	5.9%	20.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Aleosan	2000	30.0%	64.2%	6.9%
Philippines	Iriga City	2000	9.5%	29.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Agoo	2000	17.4%	84.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Bauan	2000	5.4%	21.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Mariveles	2000	12.8%	48.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Tugaya	2000	7.3%	15.1%	2.1%
Philippines	Dumangas	2000	4.7%	33.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Dasol	2000	17.0%	63.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Mauban	2000	19.6%	66.3%	1.5%
Philippines	Kalibato Lake	2000	61.9%	76.6%	30.8%
Philippines	San Clemente	2000	15.8%	33.1%	3.6%
Philippines	Santiago City	2000	3.4%	10.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Malilipot	2000	5.4%	15.4%	0.9%
Philippines	Banayoyo	2000	2.2%	14.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Naawan	2000	18.6%	42.3%	2.9%
Philippines	Basay	2000	24.7%	81.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	7.2%	35.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Laak	2000	6.7%	21.6%	1.0%
Philippines	Macalelon	2000	21.9%	82.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Carranglan	2000	31.7%	58.6%	13.2%
Philippines	Clarin	2000	43.0%	78.2%	9.4%
Philippines	Capoocan	2000	41.6%	82.5%	6.3%
Philippines	Ramon Magsaysay	2000	42.9%	80.1%	9.5%
Philippines	Sibalom	2000	32.5%	48.0%	16.0%
Philippines	Guinsiliban	2000	9.1%	27.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Angadanan	2000	11.5%	28.3%	0.8%
Philippines	Minalabac	2000	16.1%	41.1%	1.4%
Philippines	Loay	2000	19.9%	52.5%	2.1%
Philippines	Atok	2000	5.8%	20.6%	0.7%
Philippines	Maria Aurora	2000	3.5%	14.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Hingyon	2000	6.6%	21.8%	1.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Meycauayan City	2000	14.2%	18.2%	9.4%
Philippines	Medellin	2000	35.1%	87.8%	2.6%
Philippines	Santa Monica	2000	17.0%	74.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Jasaan	2000	38.8%	56.6%	19.8%
Philippines	Tayabas City	2000	38.0%	85.6%	5.8%
Philippines	Hinigaran	2000	36.9%	65.2%	14.4%
Philippines	Guihulngan City	2000	25.3%	67.3%	1.5%
Philippines	Tarlac City	2000	2.4%	12.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Ibaan	2000	11.0%	51.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Cabugao	2000	7.3%	51.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Cagayancillo	2000	21.6%	72.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Aurora	2000	10.5%	49.3%	0.5%
Philippines	Candon City	2000	4.0%	13.4%	0.8%
Philippines	Bani	2000	16.8%	63.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Jolo	2000	12.3%	29.0%	4.2%
Philippines	Janiuay	2000	3.7%	10.9%	0.7%
Philippines	Irosin	2000	10.7%	17.4%	6.3%
Philippines	Dauin	2000	6.4%	24.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Olutanga	2000	7.1%	22.0%	0.5%
Philippines	Libungan	2000	8.7%	26.9%	1.2%
Philippines	Salcedo	2000	23.2%	62.9%	1.9%
Philippines	Dangcagan	2000	2.6%	18.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Tuburan	2000	27.3%	72.1%	3.4%
Philippines	Sultan Sa Barongis	2000	42.6%	91.1%	6.2%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	2.6%	19.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	3.3%	18.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Pulupandan	2000	6.4%	47.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Dipaculao	2000	5.3%	16.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Piagapo	2000	58.8%	66.4%	49.0%
Philippines	Pasig City	2000	4.4%	7.5%	2.0%
Philippines	Panaon	2000	1.2%	7.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Bugasong	2000	8.6%	26.7%	1.9%
Philippines	Hinoba-An	2000	20.4%	67.6%	0.6%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	12.6%	27.1%	3.1%
Philippines	Mogpog	2000	27.0%	38.3%	16.4%
Philippines	Conner	2000	11.9%	30.1%	2.6%
Philippines	Cataingan	2000	22.1%	71.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Sara	2000	17.7%	63.8%	0.5%
Philippines	Lacub	2000	23.3%	67.1%	0.9%
Philippines	Viga	2000	3.9%	15.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Barcelona	2000	41.8%	61.5%	15.1%
Philippines	Mahatao	2000	3.6%	11.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Sibonga	2000	17.2%	72.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Salug	2000	17.0%	52.5%	1.8%
Philippines	Libon	2000	23.5%	37.4%	9.6%
Philippines	Murcia	2000	41.9%	63.5%	23.1%
Philippines	Lantawan	2000	29.1%	44.9%	14.1%
Philippines	Cabarroguis	2000	5.6%	20.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Kitaotao	2000	7.5%	27.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Boliney	2000	13.2%	38.3%	0.3%
Philippines	Mandaon	2000	20.1%	58.5%	1.1%
Philippines	Ampatuan	2000	12.7%	24.6%	5.6%
Philippines	Santa Praxedes	2000	10.7%	60.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2000	26.3%	82.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Corella	2000	19.1%	76.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Bataraza	2000	23.1%	42.7%	7.9%
Philippines	Rapu-Rapu	2000	17.9%	51.9%	1.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2000	34.9%	86.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Caluya	2000	22.5%	72.0%	1.1%
Philippines	Naval	2000	24.3%	34.7%	13.9%
Philippines	Pasacao	2000	5.0%	15.2%	1.4%
Philippines	Lawaan	2000	17.0%	71.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Kadingilan	2000	16.0%	32.6%	6.4%
Philippines	Sulat	2000	28.7%	71.3%	1.5%
Philippines	Datu Blah T. Sinsuat	2000	16.6%	45.1%	1.4%
Philippines	Baungon	2000	8.2%	23.7%	1.6%
Philippines	Odiongan	2000	6.7%	23.3%	0.6%
Philippines	Tayum	2000	4.5%	18.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Guindulungan	2000	66.7%	84.2%	44.2%
Philippines	Linapacan	2000	24.4%	64.2%	2.7%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2000	9.1%	68.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Alamada	2000	22.2%	55.5%	2.3%
Philippines	Malinao	2000	10.7%	29.9%	1.3%
Philippines	Lemery	2000	27.0%	49.5%	4.9%
Philippines	Allen	2000	23.4%	52.4%	7.0%
Philippines	Pastrana	2000	14.2%	47.3%	0.5%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	19.2%	58.9%	0.4%
Philippines	Aliaga	2000	14.6%	62.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Asturias	2000	49.9%	77.5%	22.5%
Philippines	Maco	2000	5.8%	28.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Dulag	2000	15.0%	40.1%	4.5%
Philippines	Datu Piang	2000	48.1%	94.8%	4.7%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2000	9.2%	30.8%	2.1%
Philippines	Shariff Aguak	2000	24.0%	51.1%	4.5%
Philippines	Masiu	2000	14.6%	75.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Angeles City	2000	1.5%	7.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Sigma	2000	8.0%	18.0%	2.5%
Philippines	La Libertad	2000	40.3%	79.4%	12.1%
Philippines	Matalam	2000	17.7%	58.9%	1.5%
Philippines	Mapanas	2000	20.1%	81.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Padre Garcia	2000	10.9%	62.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Sison	2000	10.2%	28.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Mendez	2000	8.5%	40.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Kolambugan	2000	34.8%	80.8%	1.0%
Philippines	Northern Kabuntalan	2000	23.1%	36.9%	12.9%
Philippines	Danglas	2000	28.5%	80.6%	1.2%
Philippines	Puerto Galera	2000	23.2%	84.8%	1.5%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	48.4%	71.0%	27.8%
Philippines	Moises Padilla	2000	54.4%	94.0%	3.7%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	16.9%	71.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Loreto	2000	22.4%	47.2%	6.1%
Philippines	Binalonan	2000	8.0%	33.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Calatrava	2000	29.1%	71.4%	3.3%
Philippines	Besao	2000	34.7%	77.5%	0.8%
Philippines	Bontoc	2000	27.5%	70.1%	3.9%
Philippines	Bacolod	2000	3.5%	11.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Kalawi				
Philippines	Samal City	2000	47.0%	74.3%	23.5%
Philippines	Pantabangan	2000	23.2%	63.8%	1.3%
Philippines	Balanga City	2000	4.0%	14.8%	0.4%
Philippines	Botolan	2000	24.3%	68.4%	2.2%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	15.4%	38.3%	3.8%
Philippines	Nueva Valencia	2000	29.6%	85.4%	1.0%
Philippines	Mercedes	2000	22.2%	40.9%	9.0%
Philippines	Piat	2000	16.5%	66.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	2.0%	6.1%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Bongabong	2000	13.5%	39.3%	0.9%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	18.9%	61.6%	0.6%
Philippines	Anda	2000	16.6%	65.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Tuguegarao City	2000	2.7%	12.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Nagtipunan	2000	13.1%	32.5%	2.9%
Philippines	Malay	2000	11.1%	35.5%	0.5%
Philippines	Corcuera	2000	9.4%	53.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Maddela	2000	4.9%	17.2%	0.9%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	59.7%	73.8%	29.7%
Philippines	Ozamis City	2000	27.4%	42.1%	13.6%
Philippines	San Andres	2000	21.9%	67.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Silago	2000	8.0%	38.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Matag-Ob	2000	49.8%	90.5%	11.3%
Philippines	Parang	2000	16.0%	30.9%	7.2%
Philippines	Calbiga	2000	48.8%	74.7%	24.1%
Philippines	Maasim	2000	22.3%	58.4%	2.6%
Philippines	Ferrol	2000	5.8%	27.0%	0.2%
Philippines	San Teodoro	2000	13.4%	30.7%	3.2%
Philippines	Villanueva	2000	7.3%	29.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Kiamba	2000	13.9%	47.7%	1.1%
Philippines	Jose Abad Santos	2000	21.1%	44.9%	5.2%
Philippines	Dasmariñas	2000	14.3%	23.3%	7.1%
Philippines	Lake Sebu	2000	17.3%	42.4%	3.3%
Philippines	Pambujan	2000	26.0%	69.0%	1.4%
Philippines	Baclayon	2000	21.5%	70.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Kawayan	2000	44.9%	75.0%	20.8%
Philippines	Lambayong	2000	36.5%	69.1%	11.1%
Philippines	Claver	2000	18.7%	61.1%	1.5%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	5.9%	35.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Marihatag	2000	16.0%	61.2%	0.4%
Philippines	Calumpit	2000	1.0%	3.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Mercedes	2000	8.8%	25.4%	1.0%
Philippines	Munai	2000	15.1%	40.0%	1.4%
Philippines	Santa Lucia	2000	5.7%	25.6%	0.9%
Philippines	Bangar	2000	1.0%	6.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Mabuhay	2000	22.5%	76.2%	1.1%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2000	18.9%	72.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Dagami	2000	4.2%	16.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Calauan	2000	8.2%	22.1%	1.3%
Philippines	Tagapul-An	2000	20.0%	82.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	19.9%	72.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Capalonga	2000	15.4%	63.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Laurel	2000	18.6%	39.7%	4.7%
Philippines	Albuera	2000	21.4%	73.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Talisay City	2000	11.7%	16.1%	7.6%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	21.2%	62.6%	1.7%
Philippines	Balbalan	2000	8.4%	22.2%	1.0%
Philippines	Lope de Vega	2000	37.2%	80.7%	6.6%
Philippines	Batuan	2000	22.4%	71.9%	2.5%
Philippines	Candijay	2000	21.0%	75.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Enrique Villanueva	2000	5.0%	29.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Daus	2000	24.0%	67.0%	3.7%
Philippines	Calamba	2000	3.1%	15.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Tinoc	2000	38.5%	75.0%	5.2%
Philippines	Lucena City	2000	53.2%	67.9%	31.3%
Philippines	Tolosa	2000	14.6%	56.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Labrador	2000	14.1%	67.8%	0.0%
Philippines	San Dionisio	2000	27.3%	79.1%	0.6%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	18.6%	76.5%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Hermosa	2000	31.0%	51.2%	15.3%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	40.4%	74.4%	6.4%
Philippines	Compostela	2000	49.8%	84.5%	15.2%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	4.7%	21.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Sibagat	2000	25.1%	62.9%	3.6%
Philippines	Datu Odin Sinsuat	2000	44.5%	63.2%	23.5%
Philippines	Urdaneta City	2000	4.2%	37.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Sergio Osmena Sr.	2000	18.8%	48.3%	2.5%
Philippines	Muntinlupa	2000	16.8%	21.4%	9.3%
Philippines	Calapan City	2000	3.6%	14.8%	0.7%
Philippines	Looc	2000	25.2%	58.2%	1.6%
Philippines	Tobias Fornier	2000	19.1%	58.0%	2.0%
Philippines	Candaba	2000	28.2%	71.8%	2.7%
Philippines	Bontoc	2000	8.2%	33.5%	0.9%
Philippines	Aringay	2000	8.6%	37.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Tubod	2000	24.4%	44.4%	9.7%
Philippines	Pagalungan	2000	18.2%	42.8%	3.0%
Philippines	Consolacion	2000	42.1%	50.8%	33.6%
Philippines	Sagay City	2000	58.3%	79.0%	37.8%
Philippines	Marawi City	2000	12.0%	48.6%	0.6%
Philippines	Alfonso	2000	17.3%	70.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Bamban	2000	4.3%	26.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Maitum	2000	8.1%	29.9%	0.4%
Philippines	Taytay	2000	21.4%	39.1%	7.4%
Philippines	Lipa City	2000	5.9%	37.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Calubian	2000	31.9%	76.9%	2.5%
Philippines	San Jose City	2000	6.8%	26.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Inopacan	2000	26.3%	74.0%	0.9%
Philippines	San Esteban	2000	9.2%	58.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Gandara	2000	36.6%	82.4%	8.0%
Philippines	Buug	2000	8.7%	34.8%	0.6%
Philippines	Bagac	2000	4.9%	20.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Sogod	2000	24.5%	80.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Maconacon	2000	34.7%	92.8%	0.9%
Philippines	Santander	2000	14.1%	68.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Bislig City	2000	20.7%	34.3%	8.4%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	9.4%	26.1%	1.2%
Philippines	Ivana	2000	5.3%	24.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Escalante City	2000	58.4%	91.1%	24.3%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	21.3%	76.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Bato	2000	14.6%	45.3%	1.4%
Philippines	Caoayan	2000	4.4%	28.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Abra de Ilog	2000	20.4%	56.9%	2.1%
Philippines	Guipos	2000	19.2%	80.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Pura	2000	47.9%	66.3%	19.8%
Philippines	Sablayan	2000	34.8%	55.2%	16.9%
Philippines	Butuan City	2000	16.9%	23.4%	10.9%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	16.9%	63.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Lezo	2000	32.6%	57.9%	9.1%
Philippines	Jimalalud	2000	41.8%	79.5%	13.8%
Philippines	San Quintin	2000	3.5%	16.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Panabo City	2000	12.4%	35.0%	1.8%
Philippines	Mapandan	2000	1.3%	5.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Juban	2000	21.3%	67.3%	3.0%
Philippines	Sindangan	2000	19.0%	39.1%	7.5%
Philippines	Siaton	2000	48.1%	64.8%	28.9%
Philippines	Medina	2000	23.0%	77.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	5.7%	38.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2000	17.8%	41.9%	2.6%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2000	45.7%	89.4%	2.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	18.9%	38.7%	4.5%
Philippines	New Washington	2000	19.0%	29.8%	12.2%
Philippines	San Emilio	2000	6.2%	24.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Binalbagan	2000	24.4%	68.2%	1.0%
Philippines	Libjo	2000	34.9%	55.7%	16.1%
Philippines	Burauen	2000	10.6%	61.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Gonzaga	2000	11.6%	46.7%	0.5%
Philippines	Roseller Lim	2000	17.6%	35.8%	3.8%
Philippines	Catubig	2000	33.0%	86.6%	2.9%
Philippines	Sallapadan	2000	6.4%	15.9%	2.1%
Philippines	Laguna lake	2000	6.1%	9.6%	3.3%
Philippines	Borongon City	2000	15.4%	48.9%	1.3%
Philippines	Ocampo	2000	22.4%	72.0%	0.8%
Philippines	Bacoor	2000	13.5%	19.0%	8.3%
Philippines	Dalaguete	2000	21.8%	79.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Bacuag	2000	15.1%	63.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Saguday	2000	0.7%	6.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Gapan City	2000	1.5%	6.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Akbar	2000	45.9%	66.4%	22.0%
Philippines	Balungao	2000	8.5%	29.0%	0.8%
Philippines	Pinamalayan	2000	7.9%	28.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Candelaria	2000	6.6%	22.0%	0.3%
Philippines	Ilagan	2000	7.3%	26.3%	0.6%
Philippines	Balabagan	2000	27.4%	40.2%	16.5%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	47.4%	73.8%	13.9%
Philippines	Bayog	2000	13.9%	37.3%	3.4%
Philippines	Maria	2000	13.6%	22.2%	8.5%
Philippines	Casiguran	2000	17.6%	77.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Pres. Carlos P. Garcia	2000	14.1%	62.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Banaybanay	2000	6.5%	30.7%	1.2%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2000	7.9%	41.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Pikit	2000	31.6%	74.0%	4.6%
Philippines	Baao	2000	10.9%	52.3%	1.0%
Philippines	Kiangan	2000	17.2%	32.4%	4.3%
Philippines	Rajah Buayan	2000	59.0%	83.0%	35.7%
Philippines	Baras	2000	9.7%	50.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Oslob	2000	24.1%	77.6%	0.6%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	24.8%	87.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Ditsaan-Ramain	2000	17.1%	83.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Naujan	2000	9.6%	32.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Amadeo	2000	11.5%	31.2%	0.4%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2000	5.8%	23.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Sinait	2000	3.7%	16.1%	0.2%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	4.0%	25.6%	0.0%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	2.7%	29.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Bauang	2000	5.1%	30.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Midsalip	2000	13.0%	40.0%	1.2%
Philippines	Pozzorubio	2000	3.0%	21.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2000	8.8%	36.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	28.1%	84.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Madalum	2000	2.8%	7.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Opol	2000	3.8%	16.5%	0.1%
Philippines	San Remigio	2000	27.5%	51.6%	10.1%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2000	39.2%	87.7%	5.6%
Philippines	Baybay City	2000	39.4%	75.6%	10.1%
Philippines	Malabon	2000	38.9%	43.2%	33.1%
Philippines	Macrohon	2000	34.5%	70.4%	5.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Dinagat	2000	7.2%	20.3%	0.6%
Philippines	Hinatuan	2000	24.0%	60.3%	6.6%
Philippines	Guinayangan	2000	13.1%	51.0%	1.9%
Philippines	Borbon	2000	28.4%	88.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	25.9%	66.4%	5.0%
Philippines	Anahawan	2000	6.2%	33.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Siayan	2000	17.4%	47.1%	2.2%
Philippines	Bucloc	2000	15.8%	61.3%	0.9%
Philippines	Calanogas	2000	17.6%	37.6%	6.9%
Philippines	Infanta	2000	20.4%	64.9%	1.2%
Philippines	Taal lake	2000	8.0%	20.3%	1.6%
Philippines	Marilao	2000	6.2%	12.0%	3.1%
Philippines	Placer	2000	38.3%	78.3%	11.8%
Philippines	Bagamanoc	2000	14.3%	60.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Cauayan	2000	25.9%	60.3%	3.4%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	1.8%	8.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Bulan	2000	37.2%	75.5%	10.8%
Philippines	Malaybalay	2000	13.9%	37.7%	2.3%
Philippines	City				
Philippines	Batad	2000	47.7%	87.6%	13.8%
Philippines	Palakpakin	2000	12.6%	37.7%	2.1%
Philippines	Lake				
Philippines	Legazpi City	2000	8.9%	14.1%	5.8%
Philippines	Carmona	2000	5.1%	12.5%	1.0%
Philippines	Atimonan	2000	36.9%	67.1%	13.1%
Philippines	Mataas Na	2000	7.2%	67.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Kahoy				
Philippines	Rizal	2000	16.9%	64.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Daram	2000	52.3%	78.4%	25.1%
Philippines	Linamon	2000	6.4%	20.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Silvino Lobos	2000	31.4%	78.5%	2.7%
Philippines	San Fabian	2000	6.8%	27.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Culion	2000	24.1%	53.2%	4.7%
Philippines	Dao	2000	29.9%	45.2%	18.0%
Philippines	Baliguian	2000	25.3%	56.3%	2.7%
Philippines	Calbayog City	2000	54.2%	70.3%	36.2%
Philippines	Pasuquin	2000	7.9%	34.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Tumauini	2000	16.4%	55.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Alangalang	2000	28.7%	70.6%	1.4%
Philippines	Malabuyoc	2000	21.1%	75.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Nagbukel	2000	7.3%	37.5%	0.0%
Philippines	General Nakar	2000	26.1%	59.3%	6.9%
Philippines	Palanas	2000	28.2%	80.3%	0.5%
Philippines	Banate	2000	5.5%	20.9%	0.0%
Philippines	San Benito	2000	17.1%	78.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Josefa	2000	14.3%	44.4%	2.8%
Philippines	Mutia	2000	17.6%	72.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Vintar	2000	10.8%	43.0%	0.4%
Philippines	Villasis	2000	4.9%	27.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Malita	2000	9.3%	18.5%	2.6%
Philippines	Socorro	2000	10.3%	49.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Lagawe	2000	11.1%	24.9%	1.6%
Philippines	Basista	2000	4.3%	28.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Luna	2000	12.3%	33.3%	3.0%
Philippines	Palo	2000	9.4%	18.2%	1.2%
Philippines	Sultan Mas-	2000	33.1%	63.5%	10.3%
Philippines	tura				
Philippines	Sebaste	2000	27.9%	73.7%	1.6%
Philippines	Merida	2000	22.4%	71.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Makilala	2000	3.4%	13.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Calintaan	2000	26.3%	70.8%	1.9%
Philippines	Cuartero	2000	12.8%	39.0%	2.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Cateel	2000	11.6%	25.5%	3.4%
Philippines	Kalibo	2000	31.0%	43.6%	16.0%
Philippines	Matanog	2000	20.4%	49.7%	7.0%
Philippines	Lala	2000	12.9%	36.4%	4.5%
Philippines	Balatan	2000	26.3%	89.7%	0.4%
Philippines	Impasug-Ong	2000	25.7%	59.0%	3.8%
Philippines	Guindulman	2000	20.8%	74.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Sen. Ninoy Aquino	2000	13.8%	33.8%	3.7%
Philippines	Banisilan	2000	13.5%	37.8%	1.2%
Philippines	Bangued	2000	2.6%	5.5%	1.1%
Philippines	Datu Anggal Midtimbang	2000	77.3%	93.1%	49.6%
Philippines	Dimasalang	2000	51.8%	86.8%	13.9%
Philippines	Galimuyod	2000	6.8%	25.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Mamburao	2000	15.4%	30.8%	5.3%
Philippines	Glan	2000	11.8%	23.6%	4.3%
Philippines	Lasam	2000	12.9%	51.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Larena	2000	1.0%	5.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Basilisa	2000	21.5%	43.9%	7.5%
Philippines	Cordon	2000	1.4%	7.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Maguing	2000	19.0%	81.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Catbalogan City	2000	9.7%	35.7%	1.0%
Philippines	Enrile	2000	7.5%	29.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Tubaran	2000	8.7%	16.3%	3.5%
Philippines	Veruela	2000	12.3%	30.3%	3.3%
Philippines	Banna	2000	7.9%	42.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Buruanga	2000	31.6%	64.8%	4.7%
Philippines	Presentacion	2000	20.2%	68.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Guiguinto	2000	1.1%	5.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	3.1%	13.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2000	24.9%	76.7%	0.5%
Philippines	San Enrique	2000	26.4%	78.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Lazi	2000	14.6%	34.8%	4.0%
Philippines	Pio V. Corpuz	2000	33.8%	78.5%	5.4%
Philippines	Santiago	2000	6.1%	31.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Tigbauan	2000	9.5%	37.8%	1.0%
Philippines	Poona Bayabao	2000	16.3%	74.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Panglima Estino	2000	83.4%	98.2%	39.8%
Philippines	Gitagum	2000	9.3%	47.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Paniqui	2000	16.8%	29.7%	7.5%
Philippines	Dumingag	2000	12.3%	25.9%	4.0%
Philippines	Kabasalan	2000	24.4%	77.5%	1.3%
Philippines	Suyo	2000	14.8%	62.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Passi City	2000	11.1%	32.1%	1.3%
Philippines	Santa Rosa City	2000	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Matungao	2000	12.6%	22.7%	6.9%
Philippines	Picong	2000	33.6%	78.7%	4.9%
Philippines	Piddig	2000	8.9%	47.8%	0.0%
Philippines	San Rafael	2000	4.3%	20.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Real	2000	21.1%	59.4%	1.1%
Philippines	Mulanay	2000	28.6%	77.2%	2.1%
Philippines	Guimbal	2000	11.2%	40.6%	1.3%
Philippines	Sibuco	2000	22.1%	50.8%	4.4%
Philippines	Catigbian	2000	32.9%	60.5%	10.5%
Philippines	Ormoc City	2000	31.1%	55.6%	8.5%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	31.8%	80.5%	3.0%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2000	13.0%	66.1%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Toboso	2000	62.4%	84.0%	33.4%
Philippines	Javier	2000	29.6%	49.1%	14.2%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	7.5%	18.5%	2.3%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2000	36.3%	81.3%	5.7%
Philippines	Alabel	2000	16.2%	31.5%	6.8%
Philippines	Pandami	2000	20.8%	33.4%	10.1%
Philippines	General Mariano Alvarez	2000	6.1%	16.0%	1.6%
Philippines	Aguilar	2000	8.5%	46.0%	1.3%
Philippines	South Ubian	2000	18.9%	72.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Bacarra	2000	0.8%	6.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Pintuyan	2000	17.8%	47.8%	5.0%
Philippines	Narvacan	2000	4.6%	23.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2000	7.4%	47.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Bilar	2000	23.3%	74.0%	0.3%
Philippines	San Jose del Monte City	2000	4.1%	10.1%	0.5%
Philippines	Luisiana	2000	7.6%	55.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Bagabag	2000	24.5%	39.4%	9.2%
Philippines	Malalag	2000	14.1%	49.5%	0.6%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	21.5%	61.4%	1.8%
Philippines	Libertad	2000	29.0%	85.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Talisayan	2000	20.1%	81.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Capul	2000	23.7%	82.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Dingalan	2000	19.2%	61.0%	1.1%
Philippines	Braulio E. Dujali	2000	12.0%	44.8%	0.6%
Philippines	Calauag	2000	8.8%	21.6%	1.2%
Philippines	Ragay	2000	22.0%	70.0%	0.4%
Philippines	Caba	2000	5.1%	30.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Pudtol	2000	8.5%	17.6%	4.2%
Philippines	Cardona	2000	2.0%	11.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Diffun	2000	0.9%	3.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Pili	2000	4.8%	15.3%	0.9%
Philippines	Bunawan	2000	17.0%	52.1%	1.4%
Philippines	Amlan	2000	6.1%	29.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Tapaz	2000	14.4%	53.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	10.7%	57.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Tagoloan II	2000	17.2%	51.8%	1.3%
Philippines	Pamplona	2000	17.4%	56.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Limasawa	2000	19.6%	86.0%	0.0%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	20.4%	77.9%	0.1%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2000	8.6%	47.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Carrascal	2000	8.0%	23.2%	0.9%
Philippines	Labangan	2000	8.3%	18.1%	3.6%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	6.0%	23.4%	0.3%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	22.3%	71.8%	1.9%
Philippines	Compostela	2000	10.2%	52.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Coron	2000	34.9%	67.4%	8.8%
Philippines	Mayorga	2000	22.6%	42.9%	10.8%
Philippines	San Simon	2000	50.5%	62.7%	34.3%
Philippines	San Manuel	2000	1.9%	5.5%	0.6%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2000	13.9%	73.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Sultan Dumalondong	2000	37.7%	72.5%	8.9%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	23.1%	67.4%	1.6%
Philippines	President Roxas	2000	20.4%	80.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Dolores	2000	28.1%	89.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Badian	2000	25.6%	85.2%	0.9%
Philippines	Bubong	2000	17.0%	65.1%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Ubay	2000	12.7%	46.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Tigaon	2000	20.3%	75.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	12.7%	30.4%	3.3%
Philippines	Peñaranda	2000	2.5%	17.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Datu Abdul-lah Sanki	2000	33.6%	44.4%	25.3%
Philippines	Doña Remedios Trinidad	2000	18.6%	44.9%	2.7%
Philippines	Allacapan	2000	7.6%	32.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Bocau	2000	2.0%	9.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Paracale	2000	13.8%	46.0%	1.1%
Philippines	Luna	2000	0.4%	3.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2000	23.2%	81.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Abuyog	2000	33.5%	68.3%	6.9%
Philippines	Kabacan	2000	11.3%	20.1%	4.3%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2000	42.9%	82.1%	8.4%
Philippines	Cabiao	2000	6.2%	38.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Naguilian	2000	3.5%	21.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Barobo	2000	6.8%	27.0%	0.6%
Philippines	Aritao	2000	2.2%	7.0%	0.3%
Philippines	Lagonoy	2000	10.2%	30.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Umingan	2000	27.1%	70.9%	1.2%
Philippines	Lagangilang	2000	10.2%	45.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Numancia	2000	35.1%	62.3%	11.0%
Philippines	Taal	2000	36.1%	76.7%	3.3%
Philippines	Pandag	2000	23.5%	60.0%	8.0%
Philippines	Leyte	2000	26.4%	64.6%	4.9%
Philippines	Tubajon	2000	45.3%	72.9%	10.5%
Philippines	General Trias	2000	9.5%	20.1%	3.6%
Philippines	Calatrava	2000	24.8%	88.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Cabangan	2000	22.7%	34.7%	13.6%
Philippines	Solano	2000	2.0%	5.8%	0.5%
Philippines	Talalora	2000	65.9%	84.4%	38.0%
Philippines	Barlig	2000	28.3%	53.7%	11.0%
Philippines	Cajidiocan	2000	28.5%	69.5%	3.5%
Philippines	Inabanga	2000	25.5%	84.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Gubat	2000	15.1%	43.0%	1.5%
Philippines	Alcala	2000	3.2%	5.5%	1.6%
Philippines	La Carlota City	2000	41.0%	80.2%	13.0%
Philippines	Gerona	2000	13.7%	37.7%	1.5%
Philippines	Mainit	2000	29.7%	61.7%	3.8%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	14.2%	39.4%	2.4%
Philippines	Castillejos	2000	7.2%	28.5%	0.7%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	17.8%	60.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Ganassi	2000	12.9%	34.3%	4.2%
Philippines	Maasin City	2000	18.7%	53.6%	0.7%
Philippines	Liliw	2000	17.6%	44.8%	4.1%
Philippines	Caramoan	2000	19.2%	60.1%	0.5%
Philippines	Adams	2000	16.9%	75.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Madamba	2000	8.5%	37.7%	0.5%
Philippines	Balingasag	2000	39.3%	68.0%	10.0%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2000	1.2%	10.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Sasmuan	2000	4.9%	14.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Bago City	2000	20.2%	35.3%	10.0%
Philippines	Jagna	2000	13.1%	50.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Santa Ignacia	2000	16.2%	64.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Carasi	2000	22.1%	71.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Salvador Benedicto	2000	37.6%	78.3%	12.0%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	15.6%	77.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Tingloy	2000	18.9%	82.5%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	El Nido	2000	28.5%	55.5%	9.1%
Philippines	Cabusao	2000	15.3%	42.6%	1.7%
Philippines	Jiabong	2000	12.3%	41.7%	1.6%
Philippines	Kawit	2000	6.5%	12.5%	0.9%
Philippines	Tubao	2000	15.8%	34.5%	5.2%
Philippines	Arakan	2000	27.8%	64.8%	3.2%
Philippines	Magallanes	2000	25.1%	69.9%	1.7%
Philippines	Dumarao	2000	9.8%	35.8%	0.4%
Philippines	Tanay	2000	11.6%	41.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Itbayat	2000	9.8%	43.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Agutaya	2000	29.1%	70.3%	4.2%
Philippines	Santa Margarita	2000	51.4%	92.2%	13.1%
Philippines	Natonin	2000	17.6%	45.7%	2.2%
Philippines	Tomas Oppus	2000	13.7%	58.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Cagayan de Oro City	2000	9.9%	17.3%	5.1%
Philippines	Bucay	2000	3.5%	6.8%	1.2%
Philippines	Ivisan	2000	3.5%	21.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Parang	2000	33.9%	61.5%	13.5%
Philippines	La Castellana	2000	62.7%	90.8%	21.6%
Philippines	Catarman	2000	29.6%	49.8%	12.9%
Philippines	Lakewood	2000	21.1%	73.7%	0.6%
Philippines	Solana	2000	9.2%	37.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Amulung	2000	3.0%	11.9%	0.5%
Philippines	Surigao City	2000	4.0%	11.9%	0.5%
Philippines	Rizal	2000	22.0%	67.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Sual	2000	12.6%	53.2%	0.1%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2000	28.9%	69.0%	4.0%
Philippines	Samal	2000	35.2%	57.5%	13.0%
Philippines	Sagnay	2000	21.4%	67.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Manolo Fortich	2000	13.8%	44.3%	1.8%
Philippines	Daet	2000	3.1%	10.2%	1.1%
Philippines	Las Nieves	2000	15.6%	40.2%	2.9%
Philippines	Burdeos	2000	20.7%	62.9%	1.3%
Philippines	Cainta	2000	3.4%	6.1%	1.4%
Philippines	Baras	2000	3.9%	20.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Licab	2000	10.0%	52.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Camalaniugan	2000	17.9%	77.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Bakun	2000	7.6%	26.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Bogo City	2000	33.6%	78.8%	7.5%
Philippines	Daanbantayan	2000	22.8%	68.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Pasil	2000	21.7%	84.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Pantukan	2000	6.1%	17.1%	0.6%
Philippines	Trento	2000	15.6%	54.3%	1.1%
Philippines	Santol	2000	7.9%	45.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Wao	2000	15.1%	44.5%	0.5%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	23.4%	79.1%	0.6%
Philippines	Sultan Naga Dimaporo	2000	26.3%	55.4%	7.7%
Philippines	Gutalac	2000	25.8%	63.6%	2.9%
Philippines	Calayan	2000	23.2%	55.4%	3.8%
Philippines	Pugo	2000	6.8%	26.5%	1.6%
Philippines	Tayasan	2000	26.7%	77.5%	1.1%
Philippines	Talipao	2000	57.6%	77.3%	40.9%
Philippines	Mallig	2000	10.2%	17.9%	4.7%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	11.5%	60.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Ajuy	2000	17.9%	44.3%	4.0%
Philippines	Iligan City	2000	25.3%	32.8%	16.9%
Philippines	Samboan	2000	20.0%	80.7%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Mulondo	2000	19.1%	91.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Tungawan	2000	19.3%	58.1%	1.3%
Philippines	Vallehermoso	2000	25.6%	74.6%	1.7%
Philippines	Talisay	2000	11.0%	24.8%	4.0%
Philippines	Mexico	2000	21.7%	35.4%	7.4%
Philippines	Lubatan	2000	26.5%	85.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Dumalag	2000	13.7%	44.2%	1.6%
Philippines	Jaen	2000	34.8%	58.5%	10.5%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2000	5.8%	29.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2000	5.9%	21.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Buguias	2000	6.1%	22.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Tineg	2000	23.0%	57.0%	2.8%
Philippines	San Roque	2000	22.8%	79.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Moncada	2000	7.8%	29.8%	1.5%
Philippines	Pagsanghan	2000	60.6%	89.9%	27.6%
Philippines	Famy	2000	7.4%	26.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	14.5%	63.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Caibiran	2000	48.5%	93.2%	4.0%
Philippines	Tandag City	2000	36.6%	62.9%	15.0%
Philippines	Lapuyan	2000	22.7%	72.7%	0.5%
Philippines	Lidlidda	2000	6.3%	39.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Cadiz City	2000	38.0%	72.7%	14.9%
Philippines	Marantao	2000	7.6%	14.9%	3.5%
Philippines	San Quintin	2000	16.1%	61.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Nunungan	2000	21.9%	52.3%	4.0%
Philippines	Bongao	2000	20.5%	27.5%	13.5%
Philippines	Panay	2000	6.1%	34.3%	0.0%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2000	1.4%	4.3%	0.3%
Philippines	Ibajay	2000	25.4%	75.7%	1.0%
Philippines	Dinapigue	2000	26.0%	76.2%	3.2%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	3.2%	13.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Talaingod	2000	22.6%	49.7%	4.0%
Philippines	Guinobatan	2000	7.9%	18.7%	2.1%
Philippines	Carcar	2000	19.0%	37.2%	7.1%
Philippines	Calape	2000	20.6%	70.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Pakil	2000	23.1%	57.2%	1.4%
Philippines	Gigmoto	2000	8.7%	29.5%	0.9%
Philippines	Maramag	2000	15.0%	34.0%	3.5%
Philippines	Valencia	2000	3.3%	11.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Lingayen	2000	12.2%	62.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Quirino	2000	28.3%	76.2%	0.9%
Philippines	Dingle	2000	8.7%	45.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Bula	2000	27.6%	51.5%	6.5%
Philippines	Lal-Lo	2000	15.5%	51.5%	1.3%
Philippines	Tagudin	2000	7.4%	42.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	36.6%	74.8%	14.1%
Philippines	Casiguran	2000	16.7%	56.9%	0.9%
Philippines	Bayambang	2000	0.8%	4.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Jovellar	2000	0.6%	3.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Hindang	2000	23.0%	79.8%	0.4%
Philippines	Uson	2000	54.3%	79.6%	25.5%
Philippines	Cabanglasan	2000	15.3%	48.9%	0.4%
Philippines	Alfonso Castaneda	2000	21.3%	62.4%	1.7%
Philippines	Mamasapano	2000	66.7%	87.1%	39.2%
Philippines	Los Baños	2000	1.5%	5.4%	0.2%
Philippines	San Mateo	2000	3.8%	15.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Godod	2000	18.9%	65.2%	0.3%
Philippines	San Felipe	2000	5.7%	18.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Pagagawan	2000	14.1%	24.3%	5.9%
Philippines	Mahinog	2000	5.4%	29.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Pangil	2000	26.1%	73.5%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Binidayan	2000	28.1%	71.6%	0.6%
Philippines	Kalilangan	2000	21.7%	59.5%	1.1%
Philippines	Iloilo City	2000	27.4%	32.9%	21.5%
Philippines	Alicia	2000	8.9%	44.7%	0.5%
Philippines	San Pedro	2000	0.8%	3.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Agdangan	2000	22.8%	58.8%	2.0%
Philippines	Polillo	2000	21.5%	66.8%	1.4%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2000	20.2%	76.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Calatagan	2000	20.5%	69.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Buenavista	2000	15.4%	31.4%	4.8%
Philippines	Tandubas	2000	40.5%	75.0%	10.2%
Philippines	Paoay	2000	1.6%	8.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Tanjay City	2000	13.5%	30.3%	5.7%
Philippines	Licuan-Baay	2000	12.5%	36.4%	1.2%
Philippines	Tabogon	2000	19.8%	70.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Santiago	2000	9.1%	41.6%	0.7%
Philippines	Maripipi	2000	24.3%	84.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Marcela	2000	10.4%	51.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Julita	2000	13.6%	37.1%	4.7%
Philippines	Daguoman	2000	17.3%	73.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Norzagaray	2000	6.6%	30.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Tagana-An	2000	18.3%	39.0%	4.5%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	2.6%	10.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Tudela	2000	22.8%	76.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Talakag	2000	22.6%	50.2%	4.5%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	9.4%	58.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Alegria	2000	23.8%	70.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Mahaplag	2000	32.8%	78.9%	2.5%
Philippines	Imus	2000	9.9%	15.7%	3.5%
Philippines	Dilasag	2000	17.2%	56.5%	1.0%
Philippines	Cabanatuan City	2000	12.5%	64.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Gamu	2000	2.8%	23.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	22.0%	38.4%	9.5%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	16.1%	33.5%	3.3%
Philippines	Gen. S. K. Pendatun	2000	23.6%	65.2%	5.9%
Philippines	Lumba-Bayabao	2000	19.7%	61.8%	2.9%
Philippines	Magalang	2000	3.0%	16.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Cawayan	2000	28.1%	68.5%	2.6%
Philippines	Boac	2000	30.7%	44.3%	18.9%
Philippines	Cabagan	2000	14.6%	64.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Matalom	2000	14.9%	57.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Dapitan City	2000	22.7%	55.0%	1.7%
Philippines	Lapu-Lapu City	2000	54.7%	60.4%	50.2%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2000	20.0%	35.6%	8.3%
Philippines	Alubijid	2000	9.7%	36.2%	0.6%
Philippines	Alegria	2000	22.3%	76.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Limay	2000	24.0%	53.0%	4.9%
Philippines	Tanza	2000	7.6%	28.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Bangui	2000	16.1%	74.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Minalin	2000	4.7%	10.6%	1.4%
Philippines	San Joaquin	2000	12.0%	41.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Pola	2000	14.2%	57.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Cordoba	2000	48.6%	56.9%	34.2%
Philippines	Estancia	2000	50.2%	77.5%	17.1%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	19.8%	57.7%	1.4%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	8.9%	41.6%	0.6%
Philippines	Siniloan	2000	13.1%	41.2%	0.6%
Philippines	La Paz	2000	14.7%	52.9%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Pablo	2000	12.9%	42.4%	1.4%
Philippines	Victoria	2000	47.4%	78.5%	16.8%
Philippines	Malolos City	2000	1.9%	9.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Panitan	2000	6.9%	13.4%	2.9%
Philippines	Tacurong City	2000	10.0%	28.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Apalit	2000	13.9%	17.8%	8.2%
Philippines	Cabadbaran City	2000	7.9%	20.3%	3.1%
Philippines	San Andres	2000	22.8%	68.9%	3.3%
Philippines	Sierra Bul- lones	2000	19.2%	77.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Bombon	2000	1.3%	4.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Labason	2000	15.9%	50.0%	1.9%
Philippines	Rosales	2000	2.1%	7.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Laoac	2000	1.8%	12.8%	0.0%
Philippines	New Corella	2000	2.5%	15.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Milaor	2000	3.7%	10.1%	0.8%
Philippines	Polanco	2000	4.6%	13.1%	0.8%
Philippines	San Julian	2000	26.3%	83.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Buhi Lake	2000	12.0%	36.1%	0.9%
Philippines	South Upi	2000	31.7%	61.3%	8.8%
Philippines	Trece Mar- tires City	2000	9.2%	29.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Isabel	2000	40.5%	77.5%	9.5%
Philippines	Magdiwang	2000	12.9%	38.7%	1.7%
Philippines	Paranas	2000	31.2%	70.1%	8.3%
Philippines	Danao City	2000	40.0%	83.0%	5.1%
Philippines	Cebu City	2000	35.4%	39.5%	31.6%
Philippines	Virac	2000	0.7%	3.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Kabugao	2000	16.3%	41.8%	3.6%
Philippines	Dumalinao	2000	16.2%	57.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	22.7%	72.1%	0.1%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2000	27.3%	55.9%	3.0%
Philippines	Pulilan	2000	1.6%	4.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	6.2%	34.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	18.3%	56.6%	2.0%
Philippines	Luna	2000	15.7%	73.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Loreto	2000	34.2%	76.6%	4.1%
Philippines	Manukan	2000	26.6%	75.0%	2.5%
Philippines	Nueva Era	2000	11.5%	46.5%	0.7%
Philippines	Sumilao	2000	24.9%	62.6%	3.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	23.9%	92.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Balamban	2000	49.6%	81.5%	16.0%
Philippines	Tudela	2000	49.3%	73.2%	23.7%
Philippines	Can-Avid	2000	16.8%	34.5%	5.0%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2000	0.6%	2.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Kabuntalan	2000	41.4%	75.7%	19.2%
Philippines	Calanasan	2000	15.6%	40.5%	2.3%
Philippines	Balasan	2000	41.8%	73.1%	14.4%
Philippines	Taft	2000	28.2%	82.1%	0.7%
Philippines	Kananga	2000	37.8%	82.9%	2.0%
Philippines	Salvador	2000	28.9%	64.1%	5.0%
Philippines	Unisan	2000	22.7%	76.4%	0.6%
Philippines	Cagdianao	2000	16.9%	32.8%	5.7%
Philippines	Saint Bernard	2000	5.7%	27.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	7.9%	19.5%	1.3%
Philippines	Dimataling	2000	22.6%	73.5%	0.4%
Philippines	Banaue	2000	8.9%	15.7%	5.3%
Philippines	Arteche	2000	16.1%	30.5%	7.0%
Philippines	San Jose	2000	23.6%	39.1%	11.6%
Philippines	Pagudpud	2000	10.1%	35.0%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	40.2%	87.6%	2.6%
Philippines	Cavite City	2000	28.2%	46.4%	2.7%
Philippines	Laua-An	2000	3.3%	19.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Valencia	2000	18.6%	55.2%	1.6%
Philippines	Iguig	2000	8.4%	42.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Bacolod City	2000	35.2%	41.5%	29.4%
Philippines	Guimba	2000	26.8%	70.5%	2.3%
Philippines	Pavia	2000	1.5%	6.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Nabas	2000	16.8%	35.1%	5.0%
Philippines	San Antonio	2000	15.5%	45.0%	0.7%
Philippines	Lugait	2000	91.1%	98.4%	54.0%
Philippines	Paete	2000	31.9%	75.6%	3.3%
Philippines	Bingawan	2000	18.3%	84.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	15.7%	32.1%	4.8%
Philippines	Sikatuna	2000	5.9%	38.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Maslog	2000	29.4%	78.1%	1.2%
Philippines	San Francisco	2000	17.7%	69.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Del Gallego	2000	17.0%	66.0%	0.3%
Philippines	Lapinig	2000	16.4%	23.3%	9.9%
Philippines	Mabalacat	2000	1.8%	6.0%	0.3%
Philippines	Kalawit	2000	23.2%	63.2%	2.0%
Philippines	Tanudan	2000	37.4%	82.3%	6.9%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2000	4.2%	14.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Palanan	2000	26.7%	66.0%	4.4%
Philippines	Nagcarlan	2000	39.7%	69.9%	18.5%
Philippines	Sagay	2000	31.6%	50.7%	14.5%
Philippines	Iba	2000	18.5%	76.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Dagohoy	2000	17.9%	82.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Jaro	2000	39.1%	66.9%	14.1%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	13.2%	74.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Sison	2000	11.3%	56.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Carmen	2000	42.9%	66.6%	15.4%
Philippines	Bansalan	2000	13.7%	18.9%	8.0%
Philippines	Clarín	2000	52.5%	66.9%	32.3%
Philippines	Balayan	2000	23.7%	84.5%	0.4%
Philippines	Bato	2000	7.1%	50.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Belison	2000	29.4%	74.2%	2.6%
Philippines	Kumalarang	2000	12.8%	36.3%	1.7%
Philippines	Magdalena	2000	13.8%	68.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	17.6%	36.8%	3.9%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	19.1%	60.2%	0.6%
Philippines	Hadji Panglima Tahil	2000	11.0%	25.4%	2.1%
Philippines	Villaba	2000	48.1%	81.5%	20.1%
Philippines	Zamboanguita	2000	20.6%	78.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Sabangan	2000	24.4%	61.5%	1.5%
Philippines	Anda	2000	22.4%	82.7%	0.1%
Philippines	San Andres	2000	2.9%	6.3%	0.8%
Philippines	Alfonso Lista	2000	12.5%	27.8%	4.2%
Philippines	Ligao City	2000	28.0%	60.1%	9.1%
Philippines	San Pascual	2000	33.9%	72.6%	8.2%
Philippines	Makato	2000	37.9%	72.7%	6.3%
Philippines	Concepcion	2000	26.1%	84.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Nasipit	2000	6.5%	19.3%	1.1%
Philippines	Basco	2000	3.1%	14.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Pandi	2000	12.3%	23.0%	3.6%
Philippines	Sabtang	2000	28.3%	82.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Pila	2000	22.5%	86.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Antequera	2000	24.1%	90.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Macarthur	2000	27.4%	56.6%	9.3%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	1.2%	9.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Kayapa	2000	19.2%	51.3%	2.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Luis	2000	11.8%	26.2%	3.9%
Philippines	Calaca	2000	30.7%	79.9%	1.0%
Philippines	Bayugan City	2000	17.9%	28.6%	9.0%
Philippines	Balete	2000	27.4%	52.5%	10.4%
Philippines	Pagbilao	2000	37.3%	84.2%	3.3%
Philippines	Santa Magdalena	2000	28.7%	42.5%	15.1%
Philippines	Lobo	2000	20.1%	67.6%	0.3%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	11.8%	33.9%	1.1%
Philippines	Calinog	2000	14.1%	69.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Sagada	2000	9.1%	29.0%	0.7%
Philippines	Benito Soliven	2000	18.5%	41.0%	4.9%
Philippines	Sarangani	2000	25.3%	63.0%	3.0%
Philippines	Panganiban	2000	3.9%	18.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Divilacan	2000	33.5%	77.2%	5.2%
Philippines	Bansud	2000	12.4%	51.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Diplahan	2000	10.4%	43.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Angat	2000	23.7%	70.9%	1.2%
Philippines	Quezon	2000	13.5%	62.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Sapi-An	2000	25.4%	56.8%	6.1%
Philippines	Kapalong	2000	7.7%	16.9%	2.0%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	13.3%	48.2%	0.4%
Philippines	Josefina	2000	17.4%	66.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Bayang	2000	29.2%	85.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Libona	2000	15.6%	29.4%	6.4%
Philippines	Marikina	2000	0.8%	2.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Paglat	2000	15.1%	87.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Pililla	2000	4.1%	25.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Sudipen	2000	2.7%	20.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Marcos	2000	1.4%	6.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Teresa	2000	1.4%	6.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Agoncillo	2000	17.1%	36.9%	4.4%
Philippines	Talavera	2000	9.9%	38.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Almagro	2000	23.5%	89.2%	0.0%
Philippines	San Luis	2000	8.8%	24.6%	1.2%
Philippines	Minglanilla	2000	31.6%	44.4%	21.4%
Philippines	Guiuan	2000	34.1%	55.8%	13.4%
Philippines	Taysan	2000	19.0%	74.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Claveria	2000	22.2%	59.1%	1.4%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2000	28.5%	60.3%	3.2%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2000	0.7%	5.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Bokod	2000	33.7%	70.4%	5.1%
Philippines	Lagayan	2000	20.5%	80.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Davao City	2000	10.4%	14.2%	6.4%
Philippines	Bais City	2000	4.3%	15.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Talisay	2000	4.1%	14.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Lila	2000	38.5%	90.5%	2.1%
Philippines	Moalboal	2000	34.9%	82.3%	7.8%
Philippines	Pidigan	2000	3.9%	13.5%	0.5%
Philippines	Natividad	2000	16.8%	63.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Sapa-Sapa	2000	26.3%	69.5%	2.2%
Philippines	Gainza	2000	1.8%	6.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Echague	2000	7.1%	26.0%	0.2%
Philippines	La Libertad	2000	12.0%	64.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Panglao	2000	27.5%	60.4%	6.1%
Philippines	Boljoon	2000	24.3%	78.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	23.4%	75.7%	0.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2000	10.5%	52.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Pandan	2000	29.5%	54.9%	11.5%
Philippines	Obando	2000	38.5%	43.2%	33.0%
Philippines	Tubay	2000	10.8%	24.0%	1.5%
Philippines	General Macarthur	2000	20.0%	77.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Taguig	2000	4.6%	7.0%	1.6%
Philippines	Roxas	2000	9.3%	26.2%	0.9%
Philippines	Mabini	2000	17.5%	52.3%	2.6%
Philippines	Carles	2000	25.2%	61.0%	3.9%
Philippines	Banga	2000	20.2%	57.4%	1.5%
Philippines	Jipapad	2000	16.1%	40.9%	1.8%
Philippines	Prieto Diaz	2000	28.3%	68.4%	3.8%
Philippines	Lumbayanague	2000	22.9%	88.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Candelaria	2000	17.3%	57.9%	0.9%
Philippines	Surallah	2000	10.3%	36.8%	2.4%
Philippines	Salay	2000	18.8%	49.2%	1.5%
Philippines	Lianga	2000	26.9%	75.5%	0.7%
Philippines	San Vicente	2000	22.8%	64.3%	0.2%
Philippines	San Isidro	2000	12.3%	53.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Mandaluyong	2000	3.8%	5.7%	2.0%
Philippines	Malibcong	2000	22.3%	73.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Prosperidad	2000	14.9%	53.6%	1.3%
Philippines	Poona Pia-gapo	2000	24.7%	38.2%	15.4%
Philippines	Agno	2000	19.7%	67.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2000	42.0%	67.7%	15.5%
Philippines	Tiaong	2000	2.8%	11.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Malvar	2000	0.8%	5.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2000	25.7%	78.5%	2.5%
Philippines	Esperanza	2000	10.5%	31.3%	2.4%
Philippines	Balud	2000	23.3%	59.6%	0.9%
Philippines	Trinidad	2000	5.7%	22.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Bien Unido	2000	12.3%	50.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2000	18.0%	77.6%	0.0%
Philippines	San Rafael	2000	16.3%	50.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Mankayan	2000	7.5%	12.9%	3.9%
Philippines	Naga	2000	35.1%	63.5%	13.1%
Philippines	Tupi	2000	6.9%	22.2%	1.2%
Philippines	Socorro	2000	18.2%	76.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Llorente	2000	23.0%	68.3%	1.4%
Philippines	Plaridel	2000	1.3%	4.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Kauswagan	2000	6.4%	13.5%	1.8%
Philippines	Payao	2000	12.3%	46.0%	0.5%
Philippines	El Salvador City	2000	4.0%	24.9%	0.1%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	22.5%	72.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Rosario	2000	14.6%	57.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Taytay	2000	4.3%	8.2%	1.5%
Philippines	Boston	2000	13.7%	42.9%	1.0%
Philippines	Barira	2000	32.7%	79.2%	2.6%
Philippines	San Remigio	2000	37.6%	57.2%	16.3%
Philippines	Angono	2000	0.9%	3.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Alcantara	2000	23.0%	38.0%	8.3%
Philippines	Gigaquit	2000	24.2%	76.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Gumaca	2000	19.7%	72.7%	0.2%
Philippines	San Miguel	2000	13.6%	60.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Balingoan	2000	16.5%	69.3%	0.1%
Philippines	San Lorenzo Ruiz	2000	9.3%	22.5%	1.4%
Philippines	Goa	2000	15.3%	67.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Kalookan City	2000	19.1%	23.0%	15.4%
Philippines	Aloran	2000	1.5%	5.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Indang	2000	6.6%	26.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Alilem	2000	9.8%	42.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Zaragoza	2000	18.4%	50.6%	3.5%
Philippines	Milagros	2000	25.1%	58.0%	5.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Malabang	2000	43.8%	58.4%	27.3%
Philippines	San Enrique	2000	11.9%	48.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Roxas City	2000	1.1%	6.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Tubungan	2000	10.0%	56.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Danao	2000	18.6%	73.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Kiblawan	2000	7.6%	13.8%	3.8%
Philippines	Lumbaca Un-ayan	2000	23.7%	95.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Malangas	2000	31.4%	63.2%	3.7%
Philippines	Madalag	2000	27.9%	58.3%	8.2%
Philippines	Hinundayan	2000	12.9%	68.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Naic	2000	14.0%	53.3%	0.3%
Philippines	Quinapondan	2000	15.8%	65.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Naga City	2000	2.7%	7.1%	0.8%
Philippines	Sanchez-Mira	2000	3.5%	17.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Tangcal	2000	26.3%	78.3%	2.3%
Philippines	Kitcharao	2000	27.3%	87.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Mayantoc	2000	17.8%	69.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Pilar	2000	18.1%	69.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Don Vic-toriano	2000	18.9%	61.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Chiongbian				
Philippines	Alimodian	2000	5.2%	26.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Kibawe	2000	8.6%	31.0%	0.9%
Philippines	Lupon	2000	14.9%	34.2%	4.9%
Philippines	San Fernando	2000	24.4%	64.3%	1.6%
Philippines	Bonifacio	2000	13.8%	25.3%	4.9%
Philippines	Tarangnan	2000	32.3%	79.0%	1.5%
Philippines	Sapad	2000	18.9%	34.7%	6.9%
Philippines	Aroroy	2000	33.4%	65.3%	10.1%
Philippines	General Emilio Aguinaldo	2000	13.7%	39.8%	0.9%
Philippines	Bagumbayan	2000	7.0%	23.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Kibungan	2000	13.3%	57.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Tinglayan	2000	72.2%	87.8%	54.4%
Philippines	Manabo	2000	13.6%	27.3%	3.8%
Philippines	Loboc	2000	19.8%	36.9%	4.3%
Philippines	Lakewood Lake	2000	22.4%	93.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Tunga	2000	12.2%	58.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Cortes	2000	21.0%	77.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Culasi	2000	35.6%	59.5%	18.1%
Philippines	Mina	2000	3.0%	23.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Sofronio Espanola	2000	24.7%	55.5%	3.7%
Philippines	Ipil	2000	25.2%	39.3%	13.5%
Philippines	Lupi	2000	20.7%	59.3%	1.1%
Philippines	Manila	2000	20.1%	25.0%	14.0%
Philippines	Delfin Albano	2000	18.6%	66.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Naga City	2000	58.6%	75.6%	39.7%
Philippines	Makati City	2000	4.2%	6.1%	2.1%
Philippines	Sigay	2000	23.0%	79.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Siruma	2000	20.6%	66.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Biliran	2000	42.8%	65.4%	21.8%
Philippines	San Lorenzo	2000	18.0%	37.7%	8.5%
Philippines	Victorias City	2000	41.5%	92.3%	1.6%
Philippines	Basud	2000	13.8%	39.3%	3.2%
Philippines	Palauig	2000	13.8%	59.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Oras	2000	16.0%	64.5%	0.4%
Philippines	Binmaley	2000	3.6%	12.1%	1.1%
Philippines	Sitangkai	2000	27.4%	70.2%	1.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Santo Niño	2000	6.0%	21.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Burgos	2000	10.2%	51.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Pio Duran	2017	11.3%	59.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Maguing	2017	12.9%	69.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Leyte	2017	18.3%	54.0%	2.3%
Philippines	Diadi	2017	8.0%	22.6%	1.7%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	17.2%	63.3%	0.3%
Philippines	Taytay	2017	13.1%	26.2%	3.5%
Philippines	Hilongos	2017	12.6%	51.2%	1.0%
Philippines	New Bataan	2017	14.2%	39.2%	4.0%
Philippines	Legazpi City	2017	2.8%	4.2%	1.7%
Philippines	Matnog	2017	9.6%	19.3%	3.6%
Philippines	Imelda	2017	4.4%	10.2%	0.9%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	11.5%	34.5%	0.9%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2017	3.0%	9.6%	0.4%
Philippines	President Quirino	2017	13.9%	58.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Pagalungan	2017	18.2%	45.0%	1.5%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	24.5%	61.5%	5.5%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	6.5%	44.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Bato	2017	9.0%	29.9%	0.5%
Philippines	Anda	2017	10.2%	50.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Datu Unsay	2017	22.5%	52.0%	5.4%
Philippines	Caluya	2017	15.1%	61.8%	0.5%
Philippines	Bacolor	2017	1.0%	5.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Aurora	2017	20.9%	35.4%	9.8%
Philippines	Lubuangan	2017	18.4%	50.8%	4.5%
Philippines	Kapai	2017	11.3%	17.6%	7.2%
Philippines	Loon	2017	13.2%	64.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Bongabon	2017	5.0%	32.8%	0.0%
Philippines	San Pascual	2017	0.6%	2.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Asingan	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Marilao	2017	0.7%	1.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Gabaldon	2017	5.2%	25.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Botolan	2017	18.2%	63.8%	1.1%
Philippines	Bacungan	2017	17.3%	51.0%	1.9%
Philippines	La Castellana	2017	51.7%	80.1%	14.9%
Philippines	Biri	2017	20.9%	80.3%	0.3%
Philippines	Dinas	2017	15.0%	45.0%	2.7%
Philippines	Dipolog City	2017	2.7%	6.1%	1.2%
Philippines	Tagkawayan	2017	3.7%	22.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Gerona	2017	3.8%	14.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Balagtas	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	2.9%	10.7%	0.2%
Philippines	M'Lang	2017	6.4%	21.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	17.9%	75.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Manaoag	2017	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Liloan	2017	13.7%	57.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Aleosan	2017	23.3%	54.9%	5.8%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	26.3%	67.2%	2.9%
Philippines	Barotac Nuevo	2017	0.9%	3.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Pozzorubio	2017	1.1%	7.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Milaor	2017	0.8%	2.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Pinili	2017	9.5%	59.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	16.1%	36.5%	4.3%
Philippines	Asuncion	2017	1.1%	5.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Siocon	2017	12.2%	44.3%	0.9%
Philippines	Bagabag	2017	5.8%	10.6%	2.4%
Philippines	Amulung	2017	3.3%	12.4%	0.6%
Philippines	Maigo	2017	28.6%	71.3%	4.1%
Philippines	Mati City	2017	13.8%	20.2%	7.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Don Marcelino	2017	9.4%	20.0%	3.4%
Philippines	Sagay City	2017	30.5%	54.0%	13.2%
Philippines	Tulunan	2017	9.8%	26.4%	2.0%
Philippines	Patnongon	2017	12.1%	40.4%	0.7%
Philippines	General Luna	2017	4.9%	16.7%	0.6%
Philippines	Batangas City	2017	7.2%	34.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Barili	2017	19.3%	51.0%	2.4%
Philippines	Floridablanca	2017	9.3%	45.7%	0.8%
Philippines	Sablan	2017	6.1%	18.7%	0.9%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	22.4%	52.9%	6.3%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2017	4.3%	31.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Baggao	2017	10.2%	32.1%	0.7%
Philippines	Palakpakin Lake	2017	6.9%	17.7%	1.3%
Philippines	Bato	2017	11.8%	56.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Bulalacao	2017	18.2%	53.8%	2.8%
Philippines	Placer	2017	24.8%	62.4%	5.4%
Philippines	Giporlos	2017	7.7%	46.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Kabacan	2017	5.1%	10.1%	2.2%
Philippines	Carrascal	2017	4.5%	20.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Calasiao	2017	1.3%	2.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Busuanga	2017	16.6%	37.3%	1.5%
Philippines	Aborlan	2017	14.1%	49.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Tampilisan	2017	13.9%	64.6%	0.0%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	27.8%	90.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2017	17.8%	53.5%	1.5%
Philippines	Mawab	2017	2.8%	25.3%	0.0%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	1.7%	5.8%	0.4%
Philippines	Manay	2017	7.1%	26.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Tukuran	2017	17.0%	45.7%	3.9%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	1.8%	13.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Polangui	2017	6.0%	21.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Lubao	2017	1.7%	8.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Mayoyao	2017	16.8%	57.6%	2.0%
Philippines	Sipalay City	2017	14.2%	33.5%	3.4%
Philippines	Buadiposo-Buntong	2017	12.8%	79.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Carasi	2017	16.7%	58.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Siasi	2017	20.6%	52.3%	3.5%
Philippines	Balabac	2017	15.3%	38.5%	2.2%
Philippines	Panukulan	2017	12.6%	46.9%	0.2%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	4.9%	21.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Libertad	2017	16.1%	68.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Valenzuela	2017	2.9%	3.5%	2.3%
Philippines	Janiuay	2017	1.9%	6.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Patikul	2017	19.5%	37.3%	8.0%
Philippines	Jomalig	2017	12.2%	58.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Lopez	2017	6.8%	31.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Calabanga	2017	3.7%	17.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	12.1%	50.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Baco	2017	1.4%	5.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	10.8%	55.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Nampicuan	2017	4.5%	11.9%	1.4%
Philippines	Sibutad	2017	18.4%	40.6%	4.0%
Philippines	Dapa	2017	10.8%	51.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	13.2%	30.5%	2.0%
Philippines	Valladolid	2017	12.1%	57.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	19.4%	44.2%	5.7%
Philippines	Pitogo	2017	12.9%	68.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Moises Padilla	2017	50.4%	91.5%	2.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	General Santos City	2017	4.9%	10.0%	2.0%
Philippines	Batuan	2017	12.7%	59.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Pikit	2017	24.9%	68.2%	3.3%
Philippines	Narra	2017	15.2%	46.0%	2.0%
Philippines	Monreal	2017	27.6%	67.9%	4.3%
Philippines	Valencia	2017	1.3%	6.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Perez	2017	12.9%	66.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Olongapo City	2017	1.1%	4.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Baroy	2017	21.8%	27.2%	16.7%
Philippines	Mangaldan	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Solano	2017	1.1%	3.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Laurel	2017	5.2%	16.2%	1.0%
Philippines	Norala	2017	1.0%	3.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Datu Anggal Midtimbang	2017	72.5%	89.9%	46.2%
Philippines	Koronadal City	2017	0.8%	2.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Basey	2017	20.7%	43.3%	7.5%
Philippines	Bani	2017	9.9%	46.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Catalina	2017	0.6%	3.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Tabaco City	2017	7.9%	22.4%	1.2%
Philippines	Ungkaya Pukan	2017	53.4%	74.4%	34.7%
Philippines	Tampakan	2017	3.4%	13.3%	0.3%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	8.0%	19.2%	2.0%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2017	26.1%	82.0%	2.4%
Philippines	Poros	2017	11.9%	60.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Banate	2017	1.6%	7.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Bagamanoc	2017	7.8%	47.1%	0.1%
Philippines	President Roxas	2017	8.1%	23.4%	0.9%
Philippines	Zarraga	2017	1.9%	12.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Balaoan	2017	0.5%	3.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Manapla	2017	25.3%	78.9%	0.9%
Philippines	Parang	2017	13.2%	25.5%	5.9%
Philippines	Malinao	2017	25.3%	73.2%	1.3%
Philippines	Abra de Ilog	2017	13.6%	46.2%	0.8%
Philippines	Jose Pangani-ban	2017	1.9%	9.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Buluan	2017	20.6%	53.3%	5.3%
Philippines	Lugus	2017	27.4%	50.5%	9.7%
Philippines	Sorsogon City	2017	5.3%	8.5%	3.1%
Philippines	Ormoc City	2017	12.8%	29.1%	2.5%
Philippines	Tipo-Tipo	2017	44.6%	74.9%	23.4%
Philippines	Maimbung	2017	1.9%	8.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Montevista	2017	6.4%	36.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Conner	2017	7.7%	19.4%	1.7%
Philippines	Pambujan	2017	19.2%	58.8%	1.5%
Philippines	Dumaguete City	2017	0.3%	2.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Sibunag	2017	16.0%	33.7%	5.7%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	3.9%	17.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Tubod	2017	17.5%	33.6%	6.5%
Philippines	Mansalay	2017	11.8%	46.6%	1.1%
Philippines	Hamtic	2017	16.5%	59.9%	0.6%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2017	1.5%	3.3%	0.7%
Philippines	Naawan	2017	17.7%	40.0%	2.7%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2017	9.0%	56.7%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Polomolok	2017	2.6%	11.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Luna	2017	10.6%	30.2%	2.2%
Philippines	Palo	2017	1.5%	3.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Waterbody	2017	7.4%	18.9%	1.4%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	1.3%	2.8%	0.5%
Philippines	Maydolong	2017	13.4%	55.0%	0.3%
Philippines	Dingalan	2017	13.4%	54.0%	0.7%
Philippines	Capas	2017	2.8%	15.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Sarrat	2017	0.8%	5.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Minalabac	2017	7.9%	25.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Bulan	2017	26.4%	67.5%	5.6%
Philippines	Laguna lake	2017	1.5%	3.3%	0.6%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	2.5%	10.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Calumpit	2017	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	0.6%	3.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Sapang Dalaga	2017	2.8%	15.5%	0.1%
Philippines	San Policarpo	2017	13.1%	68.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Consolacion	2017	9.8%	12.4%	7.6%
Philippines	Marawi City	2017	12.7%	50.3%	0.8%
Philippines	Libacao	2017	15.3%	58.8%	0.5%
Philippines	Bobon	2017	15.8%	67.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Tudela	2017	13.8%	57.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Manito	2017	12.7%	67.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Cuenca	2017	0.4%	3.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	3.2%	13.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Del Carmen	2017	10.8%	59.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Cantilan	2017	3.4%	20.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Tabontabon	2017	2.0%	4.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Marabut	2017	17.5%	71.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Parañaque	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Philippines	Piat	2017	14.1%	59.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Tabuk City	2017	13.8%	25.3%	7.4%
Philippines	Panglima Estino	2017	82.8%	97.4%	41.6%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	14.7%	64.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Tadian	2017	23.2%	54.9%	3.1%
Philippines	Sariaya	2017	9.5%	17.2%	4.5%
Philippines	Himamaylan City	2017	24.6%	57.7%	4.3%
Philippines	Aringay	2017	4.0%	19.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Jose Dalman	2017	20.9%	68.5%	0.9%
Philippines	Caoayan	2017	1.2%	8.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Tantangan	2017	4.3%	14.5%	0.5%
Philippines	La Trinidad	2017	1.4%	2.4%	0.7%
Philippines	Tagum City	2017	2.0%	8.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Datu Saudi-Ampatuan	2017	32.8%	78.1%	6.4%
Philippines	Pangutaran	2017	12.5%	49.9%	0.4%
Philippines	Hinigaran	2017	19.6%	38.7%	6.3%
Philippines	Bago City	2017	7.3%	13.2%	3.5%
Philippines	Pinabacdao	2017	13.6%	35.7%	2.2%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	0.7%	4.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Sigma	2017	3.0%	6.9%	0.9%
Philippines	Tungawan	2017	12.4%	40.8%	0.5%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	2.4%	5.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Tigbao	2017	14.1%	67.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Siaton	2017	28.3%	42.1%	16.1%
Philippines	Lope de Vega	2017	26.3%	75.7%	4.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	21.7%	43.7%	7.2%
Philippines	Palayan City	2017	0.6%	3.9%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Baclayon	2017	10.4%	50.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Romblon	2017	12.4%	51.2%	0.6%
Philippines	Mutia	2017	11.1%	50.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Tuy	2017	14.8%	70.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Sugbongcogon	2017	4.5%	22.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Mauban	2017	10.9%	53.8%	0.5%
Philippines	San Leonardo	2017	1.3%	8.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Maragusan	2017	7.4%	25.3%	0.5%
Philippines	Kalibato Lake	2017	22.7%	34.5%	11.4%
Philippines	Siayan	2017	12.2%	36.3%	1.4%
Philippines	Dangcagan	2017	1.5%	10.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Upi	2017	12.5%	39.0%	1.6%
Philippines	Balangiga	2017	2.7%	17.1%	0.0%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	19.1%	71.8%	0.5%
Philippines	Catarman	2017	10.2%	22.0%	3.9%
Philippines	Macalelon	2017	13.9%	73.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Kapangan	2017	3.9%	20.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Villareal	2017	23.3%	74.0%	1.7%
Philippines	Luba	2017	1.6%	5.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Candaba	2017	17.0%	52.5%	1.4%
Philippines	Daraga	2017	4.9%	6.7%	3.4%
Philippines	Gamay	2017	19.7%	65.7%	1.9%
Philippines	Mahayag	2017	9.5%	29.4%	1.3%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	10.1%	26.5%	2.4%
Philippines	Biñan	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Cabagan	2017	8.4%	43.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Tubigon	2017	21.4%	83.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Baras	2017	1.0%	6.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Balungao	2017	7.3%	26.2%	0.4%
Philippines	Calubian	2017	21.5%	63.9%	1.2%
Philippines	Calbiga	2017	17.6%	41.3%	6.7%
Philippines	Burauen	2017	7.5%	44.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Datu Blah T. Sinsuat	2017	12.0%	32.8%	1.0%
Philippines	Candelaria	2017	2.8%	8.1%	0.1%
Philippines	General Luna	2017	15.0%	63.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2017	6.4%	58.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Luuk	2017	45.1%	54.0%	37.2%
Philippines	Aloguinsan	2017	16.4%	61.7%	0.4%
Philippines	Magsingal	2017	1.9%	15.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Odiongan	2017	1.7%	7.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Tigbauan	2017	3.6%	18.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Tinambac	2017	11.3%	42.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Santa Magdalena	2017	9.1%	14.0%	5.1%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	2.6%	19.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Matuguinao	2017	20.9%	58.5%	0.7%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	35.9%	67.7%	8.6%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	14.7%	59.3%	1.2%
Philippines	Bagulin	2017	9.3%	36.1%	0.6%
Philippines	San Ricardo	2017	13.8%	39.0%	2.7%
Philippines	Mobo	2017	6.8%	25.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Liloan	2017	10.0%	14.7%	5.8%
Philippines	Ayungon	2017	12.7%	49.7%	0.5%
Philippines	Talugtug	2017	19.0%	72.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Mangatarem	2017	5.4%	17.2%	1.5%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	6.9%	41.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Tuburan	2017	31.8%	68.4%	9.5%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	13.4%	57.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Mapandan	2017	0.5%	2.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Danao	2017	11.1%	56.8%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Makilala	2017	2.0%	9.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Medina	2017	13.8%	69.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Abucay	2017	3.7%	9.0%	0.8%
Philippines	Guinayangan	2017	7.8%	34.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	4.5%	19.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Castillejos	2017	1.6%	6.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Kabankalan	2017	18.8%	50.8%	4.0%
Philippines	City				
Philippines	Pata	2017	42.5%	90.6%	12.7%
Philippines	Tinoc	2017	28.1%	71.6%	1.8%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	1.6%	2.6%	0.8%
Philippines	Reina Mercedes	2017	4.4%	38.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Viga	2017	2.0%	9.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Peñablanca	2017	3.2%	9.6%	0.5%
Philippines	San Dionisio	2017	17.1%	63.7%	0.1%
Philippines	San Marcelino	2017	12.8%	45.6%	1.4%
Philippines	Pantabangan	2017	13.6%	46.3%	0.5%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	36.2%	64.5%	7.1%
Philippines	Ivisan	2017	2.5%	19.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2017	1.4%	11.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Infanta	2017	10.7%	43.0%	0.3%
Philippines	Isabela City	2017	26.2%	30.8%	20.0%
Philippines	Luisiana	2017	2.8%	24.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Praxedes	2017	7.8%	51.7%	0.0%
Philippines	San Fernando City	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Guinsiliban	2017	1.8%	8.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Anao	2017	1.3%	4.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Tambulig	2017	32.0%	47.9%	9.6%
Philippines	Nabunturan	2017	2.3%	11.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Muñoz City	2017	2.5%	9.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Itogon	2017	4.4%	12.1%	1.4%
Philippines	Placer	2017	2.0%	5.6%	0.7%
Philippines	Alcala	2017	1.4%	6.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Paluan	2017	17.0%	45.2%	1.8%
Philippines	Santa Monica	2017	9.7%	58.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Balete	2017	1.1%	8.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Banna	2017	4.1%	29.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Libagon	2017	7.4%	40.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Licab	2017	3.3%	27.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Alitagtag	2017	1.6%	14.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	17.5%	69.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Kalamansig	2017	10.9%	43.0%	1.2%
Philippines	Lazi	2017	12.6%	28.8%	2.6%
Philippines	Jose Abad Santos	2017	15.1%	34.5%	3.2%
Philippines	Labo	2017	5.7%	21.8%	0.6%
Philippines	Canaman	2017	1.0%	2.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Villaviciosa	2017	16.1%	39.8%	2.8%
Philippines	Columbio	2017	24.4%	62.8%	3.9%
Philippines	Palimbang	2017	6.2%	17.3%	0.9%
Philippines	Palanas	2017	17.0%	67.2%	0.2%
Philippines	La Carlota City	2017	24.7%	61.7%	5.9%
Philippines	Sinacaban	2017	13.1%	19.4%	7.4%
Philippines	Kidapawan City	2017	4.2%	8.9%	1.7%
Philippines	Matungao	2017	4.5%	9.5%	1.8%
Philippines	Liliw	2017	6.1%	15.3%	1.3%
Philippines	Pagagawan	2017	13.1%	23.3%	5.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Uyugan	2017	0.6%	3.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Padada	2017	4.2%	21.1%	0.5%
Philippines	Tacloban City	2017	8.4%	10.9%	6.4%
Philippines	Midsalip	2017	10.2%	27.6%	1.2%
Philippines	Balilihan	2017	12.3%	51.0%	0.5%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2017	2.1%	9.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Gasan	2017	13.4%	34.0%	3.3%
Philippines	San Quintin	2017	1.4%	6.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2017	4.7%	34.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Mariveles	2017	5.5%	26.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Remedios T. Romualdez	2017	4.0%	10.1%	1.4%
Philippines	Tugaya	2017	8.2%	16.8%	2.7%
Philippines	Moncada	2017	3.8%	13.5%	0.7%
Philippines	Dinalupihan	2017	8.7%	39.5%	0.7%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	21.0%	57.0%	3.3%
Philippines	Hinatuan	2017	14.1%	49.8%	3.0%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	3.3%	28.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Bacarra	2017	0.5%	3.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Magarao	2017	0.8%	1.8%	0.4%
Philippines	Cuartero	2017	8.2%	29.3%	1.2%
Philippines	Paracelis	2017	15.1%	36.7%	4.7%
Philippines	Guindulman	2017	12.8%	64.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Calatrava	2017	17.4%	54.7%	1.2%
Philippines	Sen. Ninoy Aquino	2017	10.9%	29.3%	2.1%
Philippines	Sibulan	2017	2.7%	16.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Bacong	2017	1.3%	6.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Balanga City	2017	0.6%	2.0%	0.1%
Philippines	San Jacinto	2017	0.6%	1.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Lagonoy	2017	6.9%	24.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Can-Avid	2017	10.3%	25.3%	2.6%
Philippines	Oroquieta City	2017	3.8%	32.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Initao	2017	8.1%	38.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Mercedes	2017	8.2%	18.7%	3.0%
Philippines	Tudela	2017	49.3%	70.5%	24.8%
Philippines	Las Navas	2017	26.6%	48.9%	9.5%
Philippines	Bontoc	2017	5.8%	24.3%	0.5%
Philippines	Tuguegarao City	2017	0.8%	4.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	9.3%	53.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Dupax Del Norte	2017	7.1%	28.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Calatrava	2017	15.4%	76.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Isulan	2017	7.7%	15.7%	4.2%
Philippines	Baungon	2017	3.7%	13.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Buug	2017	8.6%	35.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Lipa City	2017	2.1%	17.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Laoag City	2017	0.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Santol	2017	4.3%	25.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Madridejos	2017	11.8%	67.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Majayjay	2017	0.7%	3.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Wao	2017	13.2%	47.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Mapun	2017	17.0%	73.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	10.0%	40.7%	0.4%
Philippines	Pugo	2017	3.4%	20.0%	0.6%
Philippines	Silay City	2017	7.3%	18.5%	1.3%
Philippines	Manticao	2017	47.3%	66.5%	16.0%
Philippines	Guipos	2017	13.4%	68.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Cabuyao	2017	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	5.6%	32.4%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Sultan Mastura	2017	19.5%	51.2%	5.8%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	1.2%	13.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Iloilo City	2017	7.0%	8.8%	5.4%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	11.8%	64.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2017	8.6%	37.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Duero	2017	5.7%	33.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Tagbilaran City	2017	6.2%	18.3%	0.5%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	22.7%	84.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Talisay City	2017	2.3%	3.2%	1.6%
Philippines	Valderrama	2017	12.5%	35.4%	2.2%
Philippines	Trinidad	2017	2.6%	12.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Zamboanga City	2017	8.2%	12.1%	5.0%
Philippines	Tineg	2017	16.6%	49.7%	1.8%
Philippines	Camaligan	2017	0.6%	1.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Alcoy	2017	15.2%	68.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	13.8%	37.1%	2.2%
Philippines	Binuangan	2017	2.9%	18.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Daus	2017	6.5%	32.5%	0.7%
Philippines	Sevilla	2017	8.4%	43.7%	0.0%
Philippines	San Clemente	2017	5.3%	14.1%	1.0%
Philippines	New Washington	2017	4.9%	7.4%	3.1%
Philippines	Bumbaran	2017	20.3%	73.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Maribojoc	2017	12.9%	65.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Banisilan	2017	12.3%	35.3%	1.3%
Philippines	Banton	2017	13.3%	73.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	0.7%	1.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Bambang	2017	1.5%	4.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Nunungan	2017	19.4%	50.5%	1.8%
Philippines	Bayombong	2017	2.7%	12.3%	0.3%
Philippines	Glan	2017	6.0%	13.0%	1.6%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2017	21.1%	57.6%	3.2%
Philippines	Nueva Valencia	2017	21.1%	66.7%	0.6%
Philippines	Tuao	2017	11.1%	51.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Dapao Lake	2017	12.6%	21.2%	6.8%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	8.2%	23.5%	0.7%
Philippines	Datu Paglas	2017	43.6%	61.0%	24.0%
Philippines	Arteche	2017	7.3%	14.8%	2.7%
Philippines	Diffun	2017	0.6%	2.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Lingayen	2017	10.6%	58.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Languyan	2017	26.6%	63.0%	3.7%
Philippines	Tapul	2017	19.0%	77.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Mabitac	2017	2.3%	10.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Morong	2017	4.1%	7.0%	2.0%
Philippines	Sominot	2017	13.6%	51.1%	0.5%
Philippines	San Gabriel	2017	7.9%	37.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	2.0%	3.3%	1.1%
Philippines	Gattaran	2017	7.5%	28.4%	0.5%
Philippines	San Jose City	2017	1.9%	8.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Naujan Lake	2017	7.3%	37.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Lumban	2017	8.3%	30.6%	2.0%
Philippines	Bulusan	2017	17.3%	37.3%	4.7%
Philippines	Kiamba	2017	9.8%	37.0%	1.2%
Philippines	Maconacon	2017	24.4%	90.0%	0.7%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	6.0%	13.1%	1.8%
Philippines	Panglima Sugala	2017	33.0%	51.8%	15.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	17.0%	74.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Calayan	2017	15.1%	41.7%	1.6%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	10.0%	41.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Marihatag	2017	10.0%	46.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Talusan	2017	18.4%	38.4%	6.2%
Philippines	San Jorge	2017	16.9%	57.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Cabadbaran	2017	3.7%	11.6%	1.2%
Philippines	City				
Philippines	Piagapo	2017	40.0%	50.0%	30.8%
Philippines	Sulop	2017	2.5%	17.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Baliuag	2017	2.7%	6.5%	0.5%
Philippines	San Mariano	2017	13.8%	48.0%	1.1%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	9.2%	59.5%	0.0%
Philippines	San Rafael	2017	2.6%	14.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Albuera	2017	14.1%	59.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Agno	2017	12.9%	55.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Sultan Kudarat	2017	5.0%	10.1%	2.5%
Philippines	Cervantes	2017	15.6%	50.8%	0.4%
Philippines	Baguio City	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.1%
Philippines	Pagbilao	2017	19.5%	68.4%	0.7%
Philippines	Sindangan	2017	15.1%	31.8%	6.5%
Philippines	Loreto	2017	14.0%	34.3%	2.8%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	12.6%	28.8%	2.7%
Philippines	Masantol	2017	7.0%	32.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	12.5%	51.9%	0.8%
Philippines	Lemery	2017	4.7%	31.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Bontoc	2017	12.5%	50.2%	1.0%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	2.0%	12.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Libjo	2017	12.5%	24.5%	4.5%
Philippines	Pintuyan	2017	12.2%	36.3%	3.0%
Philippines	Orion	2017	2.0%	7.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Antipas	2017	11.9%	46.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Baganga	2017	9.9%	30.5%	1.1%
Philippines	Buhi	2017	7.8%	24.0%	0.9%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	1.5%	12.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Sergio Osmena Sr.	2017	14.2%	40.2%	1.9%
Philippines	Sumisip	2017	44.1%	67.8%	24.6%
Philippines	Bindoy	2017	12.7%	54.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Aritao	2017	1.3%	4.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Calanasan	2017	11.8%	34.5%	1.2%
Philippines	Naguilian	2017	1.1%	6.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Larena	2017	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Gamu	2017	1.1%	8.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Capul	2017	15.4%	72.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Calapan City	2017	1.4%	9.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Lubang	2017	14.7%	50.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Bataraza	2017	16.2%	32.0%	6.4%
Philippines	Bustos	2017	4.2%	18.3%	0.3%
Philippines	Loay	2017	6.1%	22.9%	0.4%
Philippines	Bay	2017	5.1%	14.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	3.0%	7.0%	1.1%
Philippines	Binangonan	2017	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Lantapan	2017	13.6%	45.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Tabuelan	2017	28.5%	71.7%	4.2%
Philippines	Baliguian	2017	17.6%	42.6%	1.7%
Philippines	Tayabas City	2017	17.0%	67.5%	1.0%
Philippines	Ma-Ayon	2017	10.8%	43.4%	0.4%
Philippines	San Enrique	2017	14.2%	59.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Casiguran	2017	8.4%	53.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Ibaan	2017	3.9%	25.8%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pavia	2017	0.5%	1.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Estancia	2017	18.2%	41.0%	4.0%
Philippines	Malitbog	2017	17.9%	70.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Baras	2017	6.8%	42.2%	0.0%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	15.1%	61.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Tayug	2017	1.1%	5.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Nasugbu	2017	16.3%	58.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Bato Lake	2017	5.3%	42.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	10.5%	23.1%	2.1%
Philippines	Coron	2017	19.9%	50.8%	3.3%
Philippines	Bokod	2017	23.8%	57.5%	4.2%
Philippines	San Pablo	2017	11.4%	59.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Sibuco	2017	15.3%	40.8%	3.0%
Philippines	Kinoguitan	2017	4.1%	23.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Baler	2017	1.0%	6.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Ballesteros	2017	5.2%	19.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Talisay City	2017	6.0%	11.7%	2.5%
Philippines	Iriga City	2017	6.0%	19.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Don Carlos	2017	6.6%	30.0%	1.7%
Philippines	Tuba	2017	1.6%	3.8%	0.4%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	4.8%	11.0%	1.3%
Philippines	Jaen	2017	17.7%	40.2%	3.1%
Philippines	Calamba	2017	1.4%	7.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Labrador	2017	9.7%	58.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Paoay	2017	0.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Enrique Villanueva	2017	3.6%	22.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	10.2%	52.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Jetafe	2017	14.8%	53.4%	0.8%
Philippines	Impasug-Ong	2017	14.0%	40.8%	1.8%
Philippines	Dinagat	2017	1.0%	3.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Allacapan	2017	4.7%	23.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Tagana-An	2017	8.3%	25.2%	1.3%
Philippines	Luna	2017	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	14.7%	62.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Balayan	2017	14.3%	64.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Santiago City	2017	2.3%	7.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Bucloc	2017	8.4%	43.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Taal lake	2017	1.9%	8.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Tanauan City	2017	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Ampatuan	2017	8.2%	19.7%	2.4%
Philippines	Currimaos	2017	8.0%	44.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Umingan	2017	18.5%	56.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Cauayan	2017	16.3%	47.4%	1.2%
Philippines	Kabuntalan	2017	28.1%	60.0%	11.1%
Philippines	Malasiqui	2017	5.8%	40.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Malaybalay City	2017	7.6%	25.6%	0.8%
Philippines	San Quintin	2017	8.5%	45.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Arayat	2017	11.6%	49.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Paoay Lake	2017	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Indanan	2017	14.6%	21.6%	9.4%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	24.0%	36.3%	11.6%
Philippines	Silang	2017	5.1%	17.1%	1.1%
Philippines	Santa Barbara	2017	2.2%	17.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Naval	2017	5.6%	9.4%	3.1%
Philippines	Cabangan	2017	7.6%	15.3%	3.0%
Philippines	Silago	2017	5.0%	25.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Padre Burgos	2017	14.1%	62.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Tibiao	2017	18.9%	40.7%	8.4%
Philippines	Jasaan	2017	17.9%	28.5%	9.0%
Philippines	Balabagan	2017	26.8%	39.4%	16.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tayum	2017	3.7%	14.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Tagaytay City	2017	4.5%	17.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Villanueva	2017	1.6%	8.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Bayog	2017	12.5%	36.5%	3.6%
Philippines	Lake Sebu	2017	13.7%	36.7%	2.5%
Philippines	Malabuyoc	2017	12.5%	60.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Patnanungan	2017	13.3%	54.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Malinao	2017	2.7%	10.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	1.1%	6.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Tunga	2017	6.5%	44.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Nabua	2017	8.8%	49.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Ganassi	2017	14.5%	34.9%	4.5%
Philippines	Balingasag	2017	15.6%	43.1%	2.4%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	3.8%	16.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Iligan City	2017	7.9%	11.0%	5.3%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	1.3%	10.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Lagonglong	2017	40.8%	69.2%	16.1%
Philippines	Badian	2017	16.8%	74.5%	0.4%
Philippines	Juban	2017	11.0%	51.2%	1.2%
Philippines	Lacub	2017	16.3%	58.2%	0.6%
Philippines	Binalonan	2017	4.6%	18.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Pandami	2017	16.8%	29.5%	6.4%
Philippines	Tacurong City	2017	7.0%	23.9%	0.4%
Philippines	Motiong	2017	8.1%	27.8%	1.6%
Philippines	Candoni	2017	27.6%	69.7%	4.1%
Philippines	Besao	2017	29.2%	69.5%	0.7%
Philippines	Barcelona	2017	13.9%	26.2%	5.8%
Philippines	Caibiran	2017	28.7%	78.9%	2.6%
Philippines	Alabat	2017	15.3%	71.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Sallapadan	2017	1.9%	5.3%	0.6%
Philippines	Carranglan	2017	15.7%	36.6%	3.7%
Philippines	Sagbayan	2017	15.9%	71.0%	0.7%
Philippines	Angadanan	2017	7.3%	21.6%	0.5%
Philippines	Ibajay	2017	12.4%	44.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Tamparan	2017	7.9%	47.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	7.0%	17.6%	1.8%
Philippines	Sabangan	2017	11.3%	38.4%	0.7%
Philippines	Sibalom	2017	11.1%	24.9%	3.7%
Philippines	Gloria	2017	6.8%	18.3%	1.4%
Philippines	Tubo	2017	9.5%	33.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Enrique B. Magalona	2017	17.6%	57.3%	1.3%
Philippines	Puerto Princesa City	2017	5.9%	8.8%	3.6%
Philippines	Libmanan	2017	3.3%	10.5%	0.4%
Philippines	Meycauayan City	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.2%
Philippines	Silvino Lobos	2017	26.5%	71.7%	2.0%
Philippines	Gapan City	2017	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Hungduan	2017	11.2%	28.8%	1.7%
Philippines	Matag-Ob	2017	33.0%	75.9%	7.6%
Philippines	Pantar	2017	23.3%	29.4%	17.0%
Philippines	Midsayap	2017	20.4%	34.4%	8.0%
Philippines	Jabonga	2017	11.1%	45.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Donsol	2017	7.6%	44.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Lanao Lake	2017	13.4%	39.4%	2.0%
Philippines	Cagayancillo	2017	14.4%	63.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Castilla	2017	13.5%	34.5%	2.5%
Philippines	Pres. Carlos P. Garcia	2017	6.6%	41.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Garcia Hernandez	2017	12.8%	54.5%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Santo Nino	2017	5.4%	30.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Lambayong	2017	28.2%	64.1%	4.9%
Philippines	Claver	2017	10.3%	43.0%	0.5%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	13.8%	48.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Paranas	2017	16.8%	53.2%	3.2%
Philippines	Gubat	2017	3.0%	9.5%	0.4%
Philippines	Bayambang	2017	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%
Philippines	San Mateo	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Nabas	2017	4.9%	18.0%	0.9%
Philippines	Bacnotan	2017	0.7%	4.5%	0.0%
Philippines	San Mateo	2017	2.2%	10.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Olutanga	2017	7.7%	21.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Las Piñas	2017	1.5%	2.1%	1.0%
Philippines	Simunul	2017	15.5%	39.0%	5.1%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	3.1%	12.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Santa Josefa	2017	6.5%	25.7%	1.0%
Philippines	Macarthur	2017	12.5%	37.9%	3.3%
Philippines	Lakewood Lake	2017	15.3%	82.1%	0.0%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	9.5%	40.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Mambajao	2017	1.4%	4.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Masiu	2017	7.1%	44.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Jagna	2017	4.4%	20.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Sebaste	2017	17.4%	59.1%	0.9%
Philippines	La Libertad	2017	33.0%	71.1%	10.9%
Philippines	Jordan	2017	13.2%	38.9%	2.8%
Philippines	Hinoba-An	2017	13.4%	54.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Vincenzo A. Sagun	2017	17.7%	76.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Peñarrubia	2017	1.4%	3.0%	0.4%
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	17.4%	65.5%	2.2%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	11.4%	62.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Sara	2017	11.8%	53.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Tabina	2017	10.3%	45.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Tanjay City	2017	6.4%	16.7%	1.9%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2017	4.6%	16.7%	0.4%
Philippines	Dumarao	2017	6.3%	26.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Tolosa	2017	8.6%	44.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Buguias	2017	4.3%	17.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Bulacan	2017	1.0%	3.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Lantawan	2017	19.0%	30.0%	10.0%
Philippines	Santa Rita	2017	20.3%	59.2%	1.1%
Philippines	Paombong	2017	1.8%	11.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Antipolo City	2017	1.2%	3.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Kitaotao	2017	3.4%	16.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Caramoran	2017	13.7%	39.7%	2.4%
Philippines	Barobo	2017	1.9%	9.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Zamboanguita	2017	14.6%	71.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Imus	2017	1.3%	2.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Tobias Fornier	2017	10.5%	44.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Araceli	2017	19.7%	69.3%	0.7%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	6.5%	27.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Santa	2017	1.3%	9.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Alaminos City	2017	1.9%	6.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Mataas Na Kahoy	2017	3.8%	42.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Bayabas	2017	11.8%	20.4%	5.1%
Philippines	Pagudpud	2017	6.4%	23.9%	0.4%
Philippines	Bamban	2017	1.2%	6.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Mondragon	2017	14.7%	56.5%	0.3%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	9.6%	45.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Sulat	2017	16.1%	52.6%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Bautista	2017	0.5%	2.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Calaca	2017	20.2%	71.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Tagudin	2017	2.4%	17.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Limasawa	2017	12.5%	72.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Zumarraga	2017	36.4%	60.3%	17.3%
Philippines	Catanauan	2017	19.1%	57.9%	1.2%
Philippines	Jolo	2017	12.2%	29.6%	3.4%
Philippines	Santander	2017	6.6%	48.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Hinabangan	2017	22.5%	49.5%	6.5%
Philippines	Camiling	2017	3.2%	23.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Alcantara	2017	22.5%	75.8%	0.9%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	14.3%	69.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Alfonso Castaneda	2017	14.2%	52.6%	0.5%
Philippines	Old Panamao	2017	78.3%	94.3%	39.8%
Philippines	Mabuhay	2017	19.0%	66.1%	1.5%
Philippines	Malabang	2017	49.4%	63.5%	30.7%
Philippines	Mabalacat	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Paglat	2017	10.7%	69.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Amadeo	2017	2.6%	12.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Panaon	2017	0.7%	3.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Polanco	2017	1.9%	5.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Taraka	2017	10.6%	88.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	16.8%	47.5%	4.6%
Philippines	Mapanas	2017	12.6%	60.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	7.2%	25.1%	0.7%
Philippines	South Upi	2017	28.5%	56.8%	8.1%
Philippines	Mangudadatu	2017	22.6%	60.2%	7.2%
Philippines	Malitbog	2017	14.2%	47.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Peñaranda	2017	0.6%	4.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Candijay	2017	13.0%	60.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Puerto Galera	2017	14.4%	75.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Dumaran	2017	15.2%	40.5%	2.0%
Philippines	Tanay	2017	6.5%	26.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Saint Bernard	2017	3.3%	18.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Pulilan	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Lala	2017	3.9%	10.2%	1.7%
Philippines	Santa Margarita	2017	33.5%	78.8%	5.0%
Philippines	Apalit	2017	5.1%	8.1%	2.1%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	14.6%	50.6%	2.4%
Philippines	Ginatilan	2017	12.3%	60.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Pangantucan	2017	20.5%	47.8%	3.7%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	12.2%	55.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Abuyog	2017	19.1%	55.3%	1.9%
Philippines	Panay	2017	3.6%	23.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Clarín	2017	17.1%	51.0%	2.2%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	16.1%	60.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	9.9%	32.3%	1.5%
Philippines	Ronda	2017	20.7%	78.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Numancia	2017	9.4%	28.8%	1.9%
Philippines	Lila	2017	19.2%	75.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Basilisa	2017	7.2%	23.4%	1.9%
Philippines	Bongao	2017	14.0%	21.0%	7.6%
Philippines	Pototan	2017	1.5%	7.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Quezon City	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Philippines	Atok	2017	2.2%	6.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	13.6%	50.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Carmona	2017	1.2%	2.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Talitay	2017	74.8%	91.6%	43.4%
Philippines	General Trias	2017	1.3%	3.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Baloi	2017	5.4%	6.8%	4.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Calbayog City	2017	27.4%	43.8%	15.3%
Philippines	Guihulngan City	2017	17.2%	55.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Dao	2017	11.3%	20.1%	5.7%
Philippines	Batac City	2017	1.3%	6.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Molave	2017	17.1%	41.0%	3.1%
Philippines	Sogod	2017	16.5%	69.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Lemery	2017	7.4%	19.3%	1.1%
Philippines	Baao	2017	8.4%	37.8%	0.9%
Philippines	Santa Teresita	2017	11.2%	57.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Maragondon	2017	7.2%	35.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Mamasapano	2017	46.1%	71.3%	27.2%
Philippines	Cagdianao	2017	6.9%	18.2%	1.6%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	6.7%	29.5%	0.5%
Philippines	Gainza	2017	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Lupao	2017	13.8%	59.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Tangcal	2017	24.8%	78.3%	2.1%
Philippines	Carcar	2017	10.4%	21.6%	3.3%
Philippines	Villasis	2017	2.3%	10.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Munai	2017	20.5%	59.7%	1.3%
Philippines	Dulag	2017	10.1%	29.0%	2.6%
Philippines	Baliangao	2017	5.0%	35.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Lagawe	2017	7.9%	20.4%	0.9%
Philippines	Dumanjug	2017	23.3%	67.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Sinait	2017	1.3%	6.7%	0.1%
Philippines	New Lucena	2017	3.3%	24.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Capalonga	2017	10.6%	52.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Buldon	2017	19.6%	58.5%	2.0%
Philippines	Toboso	2017	31.8%	55.0%	14.2%
Philippines	Magdiwang	2017	6.5%	28.1%	0.5%
Philippines	Sagay	2017	6.2%	13.8%	2.4%
Philippines	Danglas	2017	15.9%	63.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Alabel	2017	4.4%	11.2%	1.5%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	10.7%	50.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2017	28.2%	75.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Dasol	2017	10.9%	54.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Kalibo	2017	7.3%	12.3%	3.7%
Philippines	Agutaya	2017	21.4%	62.1%	2.2%
Philippines	Sibonga	2017	9.9%	62.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Banga	2017	5.3%	17.1%	2.0%
Philippines	Santo Nino	2017	15.7%	70.2%	0.0%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	14.7%	60.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Samal City	2017	36.4%	62.9%	14.5%
Philippines	San Narciso	2017	7.5%	38.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Laak	2017	4.5%	16.5%	0.5%
Philippines	Bangued	2017	1.3%	2.6%	0.5%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	13.3%	43.8%	1.3%
Philippines	Quirino	2017	2.8%	10.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Cabiao	2017	2.6%	20.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Talisayan	2017	13.6%	80.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Lumba-Bayabao	2017	13.1%	50.0%	2.2%
Philippines	Mamburao	2017	6.9%	16.1%	2.0%
Philippines	Brooke's Point	2017	19.8%	44.5%	7.4%
Philippines	Culaba	2017	24.2%	49.9%	6.0%
Philippines	Calauag	2017	5.8%	14.3%	0.8%
Philippines	Kalayaan	2017	12.1%	39.1%	2.2%
Philippines	Talalora	2017	39.2%	66.0%	15.7%
Philippines	Presentacion	2017	14.5%	53.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	29.5%	51.3%	6.6%
Philippines	Rodriguez	2017	0.8%	3.1%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pasacao	2017	2.5%	8.3%	0.5%
Philippines	Banaybanay	2017	3.9%	18.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Kalingalan Caluang	2017	89.5%	97.9%	72.0%
Philippines	Cagwait	2017	5.0%	13.6%	0.5%
Philippines	Jovellar	2017	0.5%	2.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Magalang	2017	0.6%	4.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Tangub City	2017	0.9%	3.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Lugait	2017	91.4%	97.6%	62.2%
Philippines	Subic	2017	1.1%	3.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Santa Ana	2017	12.4%	62.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Maco	2017	3.7%	20.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Manjuyod	2017	6.5%	43.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Mercedes	2017	5.7%	20.7%	0.2%
Philippines	San Julian	2017	17.4%	65.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Infanta	2017	11.5%	55.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Talipao	2017	52.5%	75.7%	35.6%
Philippines	Mayorga	2017	12.1%	31.0%	5.3%
Philippines	Santa Rosa	2017	12.0%	44.0%	1.2%
Philippines	Batan	2017	4.1%	6.8%	2.4%
Philippines	Barugo	2017	1.4%	6.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Pililla	2017	1.2%	7.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	2.0%	11.1%	0.0%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	5.3%	31.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Valencia City	2017	8.1%	25.4%	0.7%
Philippines	Cabusao	2017	13.2%	34.4%	1.6%
Philippines	Dumalag	2017	7.1%	33.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	10.2%	44.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Tabogon	2017	12.6%	51.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Mankayan	2017	2.8%	4.5%	1.5%
Philippines	Madrid	2017	3.0%	20.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Balatan	2017	16.4%	76.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Natonin	2017	9.7%	28.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Mahatao	2017	0.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Aguilar	2017	6.6%	35.7%	0.7%
Philippines	Basay	2017	15.0%	62.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Pitogo	2017	7.4%	19.0%	1.4%
Philippines	Saguiaran	2017	10.8%	29.7%	2.2%
Philippines	Tangalan	2017	20.3%	79.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Lamut	2017	22.2%	48.5%	1.8%
Philippines	Capoocan	2017	26.3%	71.6%	2.0%
Philippines	Cuyapo	2017	7.3%	15.8%	0.9%
Philippines	Mandaon	2017	12.5%	48.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Galimuyod	2017	1.3%	5.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Jimenez	2017	1.6%	4.1%	0.5%
Philippines	Bongabong	2017	5.9%	20.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Carigara	2017	13.1%	50.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Asipulo	2017	21.5%	54.8%	3.7%
Philippines	Jones	2017	3.1%	12.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Cajidiocan	2017	18.8%	49.1%	1.3%
Philippines	Butig	2017	30.2%	78.1%	8.7%
Philippines	San Fabian	2017	3.2%	14.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Macabebe	2017	0.3%	2.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Ozamis City	2017	13.8%	25.7%	5.0%
Philippines	Inabanga	2017	14.3%	71.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Casiguran	2017	9.1%	39.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Guiguinto	2017	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Argao	2017	6.3%	26.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Camalig	2017	7.8%	11.1%	4.7%
Philippines	Morong	2017	0.4%	2.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Calinog	2017	8.8%	48.2%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Aguinaldo	2017	15.2%	51.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Suyo	2017	7.4%	42.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Panganiban	2017	2.6%	12.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Passi City	2017	7.0%	29.3%	0.5%
Philippines	Oslob	2017	14.9%	63.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Lebak	2017	18.5%	49.7%	3.9%
Philippines	Tuburan	2017	17.6%	50.1%	1.8%
Philippines	Malita	2017	6.8%	15.2%	1.8%
Philippines	Villaverde	2017	4.7%	18.9%	0.4%
Philippines	Lianga	2017	14.5%	66.9%	0.3%
Philippines	General Emilio Aguinaldo	2017	4.6%	21.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Kalilangan	2017	17.0%	55.0%	0.7%
Philippines	Palauig	2017	8.6%	41.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Dolores	2017	19.6%	66.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Lezo	2017	10.8%	28.0%	1.8%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2017	0.8%	3.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Tongkil	2017	12.3%	44.8%	0.6%
Philippines	Panabo City	2017	7.1%	24.3%	0.8%
Philippines	Tagoloan	2017	20.3%	25.6%	15.0%
Philippines	Sison	2017	2.8%	9.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Tarangnan	2017	20.1%	68.6%	0.5%
Philippines	Ubay	2017	6.0%	26.5%	0.1%
Philippines	San Francisco	2017	14.0%	49.1%	0.6%
Philippines	General Mariano Alvarez	2017	1.3%	3.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Bansalan	2017	7.9%	10.8%	4.8%
Philippines	Monkayo	2017	14.2%	36.5%	1.5%
Philippines	Pagsanghan	2017	35.6%	67.6%	11.8%
Philippines	Dimiao	2017	14.5%	77.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Bacolod	2017	12.3%	20.0%	6.3%
Philippines	Naga	2017	24.2%	50.2%	8.5%
Philippines	Talakag	2017	15.6%	39.5%	2.1%
Philippines	Socorro	2017	11.7%	70.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Bato	2017	6.4%	44.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Salug	2017	11.6%	44.3%	0.9%
Philippines	Buguey	2017	11.2%	55.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Liloy	2017	10.6%	26.7%	3.0%
Philippines	Sibagat	2017	16.0%	52.0%	2.0%
Philippines	Bilar	2017	14.2%	59.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Taal	2017	11.8%	39.0%	0.9%
Philippines	Ocampo	2017	18.3%	62.9%	0.7%
Philippines	Lasam	2017	6.7%	36.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Talacogon	2017	2.2%	5.5%	0.5%
Philippines	Muntinlupa	2017	2.4%	3.1%	1.5%
Philippines	Altavas	2017	4.9%	22.3%	0.3%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	16.8%	58.2%	0.5%
Philippines	Batad	2017	24.1%	67.5%	3.3%
Philippines	Leon	2017	3.3%	22.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Rapu-Rapu	2017	12.1%	39.3%	0.9%
Philippines	Pandan	2017	9.7%	27.9%	0.8%
Philippines	Corcuera	2017	3.0%	19.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Duenas	2017	2.9%	9.3%	0.4%
Philippines	General Tinio	2017	3.1%	13.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Bantay	2017	0.4%	4.1%	0.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	0.7%	4.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Maria	2017	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Maitum	2017	5.0%	20.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	0.7%	3.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Roxas City	2017	0.6%	3.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2017	19.8%	68.9%	1.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Alcala	2017	2.0%	3.5%	1.0%
Philippines	Santiago	2017	1.8%	13.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Trento	2017	9.0%	34.4%	0.4%
Philippines	Maasin	2017	4.5%	23.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Pastrana	2017	9.8%	33.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Salvador	2017	21.8%	50.6%	2.5%
Philippines	Lumbaca Un-ayan	2017	13.3%	85.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Elena	2017	18.9%	57.0%	1.2%
Philippines	Asturias	2017	26.9%	53.6%	9.9%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Marogong	2017	17.8%	41.1%	4.8%
Philippines	Maluso	2017	37.3%	63.4%	16.4%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	18.9%	34.5%	5.1%
Philippines	Pinukpuk	2017	10.2%	28.5%	2.0%
Philippines	Salcedo	2017	11.8%	46.7%	0.4%
Philippines	Sierra Bul-ones	2017	11.9%	57.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	13.7%	51.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Pateros	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Prosperidad	2017	9.7%	42.2%	0.7%
Philippines	San Simon	2017	19.5%	31.7%	9.0%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	1.1%	2.7%	0.4%
Philippines	Cabucgayán	2017	5.3%	22.9%	0.5%
Philippines	Pagayawan	2017	13.9%	24.8%	5.9%
Philippines	Binidayan	2017	20.7%	67.0%	0.4%
Philippines	Salvador Benedicto	2017	30.1%	65.5%	10.9%
Philippines	Boston	2017	8.3%	31.2%	0.4%
Philippines	Cateel	2017	6.2%	16.2%	1.2%
Philippines	Alaminos	2017	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Prieto Diaz	2017	9.9%	39.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Guiuan	2017	12.1%	25.5%	4.3%
Philippines	Bonifacio	2017	15.8%	26.4%	6.4%
Philippines	Santa Marcela	2017	9.2%	46.3%	0.3%
Philippines	Pinamungahan	2017	43.1%	70.6%	11.8%
Philippines	Governor Gen-eroso	2017	12.6%	40.8%	0.6%
Philippines	Cadiz City	2017	19.1%	51.6%	6.0%
Philippines	Marikina	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Calanogas	2017	14.5%	34.3%	5.2%
Philippines	Ramos	2017	6.9%	10.8%	3.8%
Philippines	Talisay	2017	0.7%	2.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Solsona	2017	5.8%	36.4%	0.0%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	2.7%	7.0%	0.6%
Philippines	Moalboal	2017	24.1%	70.8%	4.4%
Philippines	Isabel	2017	29.5%	64.3%	6.3%
Philippines	Surigao City	2017	1.5%	4.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Malalag	2017	7.7%	35.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Samal	2017	14.6%	33.1%	3.2%
Philippines	Sadanga	2017	25.3%	79.4%	0.9%
Philippines	Toledo City	2017	20.9%	38.5%	11.7%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2017	22.8%	77.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Cardona	2017	0.4%	2.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Linamon	2017	1.9%	5.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Kadingilan	2017	12.5%	25.9%	4.0%
Philippines	Veruela	2017	7.6%	17.2%	1.9%
Philippines	Bogo City	2017	17.6%	58.1%	2.5%
Philippines	Siquijor	2017	1.2%	2.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Bula	2017	13.3%	36.0%	1.8%
Philippines	Lavezares	2017	13.5%	28.9%	6.2%
Philippines	Lal-Lo	2017	9.4%	36.7%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Marcos	2017	0.5%	2.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Hindang	2017	16.0%	68.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Balasan	2017	16.5%	41.3%	4.7%
Philippines	Esperanza	2017	5.9%	19.5%	1.2%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	19.2%	55.6%	5.1%
Philippines	Pola	2017	6.9%	38.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Pasil	2017	12.0%	66.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Baleno	2017	21.5%	63.6%	2.2%
Philippines	Madamba	2017	10.3%	45.6%	0.5%
Philippines	Flora	2017	8.5%	28.2%	0.9%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	12.6%	63.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Libertad	2017	6.2%	40.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	4.9%	12.3%	0.8%
Philippines	Libungan	2017	5.2%	20.1%	0.9%
Philippines	Urbiztondo	2017	0.8%	4.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Porac	2017	3.2%	18.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	26.7%	77.6%	0.6%
Philippines	Malibcong	2017	15.5%	60.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Hadji Mohamad Ajul	2017	22.0%	67.8%	2.0%
Philippines	Kauswagan	2017	1.8%	4.0%	0.7%
Philippines	Santa Ignacia	2017	9.1%	49.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Tagapul-An	2017	13.4%	73.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Buenavista	2017	5.7%	16.0%	0.4%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	6.9%	27.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	14.3%	57.0%	0.8%
Philippines	Jimalalud	2017	36.2%	72.2%	12.7%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2017	3.0%	7.9%	1.2%
Philippines	Titay	2017	16.4%	68.8%	0.4%
Philippines	Margosatubig	2017	18.2%	73.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Jiabong	2017	5.1%	17.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Talisay	2017	2.7%	6.1%	1.0%
Philippines	Bacacay	2017	3.0%	14.5%	0.3%
Philippines	T'Boli	2017	18.1%	32.2%	7.1%
Philippines	Pontevedra	2017	19.5%	62.3%	1.8%
Philippines	Baybay City	2017	21.3%	59.6%	3.4%
Philippines	Balingoan	2017	9.1%	54.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Caraga	2017	12.2%	37.1%	0.7%
Philippines	Lutayan	2017	17.2%	56.8%	1.3%
Philippines	Boljoon	2017	14.6%	63.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Daguoman	2017	11.7%	55.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Tandag City	2017	19.4%	38.3%	7.8%
Philippines	Bacolod	2017	5.0%	15.9%	0.4%
Philippines	Kalawi				
Philippines	Pilar	2017	2.7%	19.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	2.1%	12.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Albuquerque	2017	2.6%	14.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Compostela	2017	19.0%	49.3%	4.0%
Philippines	Lakewood	2017	14.8%	61.1%	0.5%
Philippines	Jamindan	2017	14.4%	43.5%	1.5%
Philippines	Ramon Magsaysay	2017	39.7%	72.7%	11.8%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	14.2%	52.3%	1.0%
Philippines	Ternate	2017	14.4%	61.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Magallanes	2017	0.6%	2.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Looc	2017	16.1%	48.8%	0.4%
Philippines	Gigmoto	2017	7.1%	24.1%	0.8%
Philippines	Gingoog City	2017	9.1%	26.7%	1.7%
Philippines	Aparri	2017	11.1%	52.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Vigan City	2017	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Canlaon City	2017	21.5%	74.2%	0.1%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	16.7%	61.6%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Kasibu	2017	12.1%	47.8%	0.2%
Philippines	San Jose de Buan	2017	13.0%	55.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Enrile	2017	4.1%	17.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Isabela	2017	19.9%	72.7%	0.3%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	3.0%	6.4%	1.3%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	16.9%	71.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Tagbina	2017	16.0%	47.4%	3.0%
Philippines	Malungon	2017	10.0%	29.3%	0.9%
Philippines	Vinzons	2017	3.7%	11.4%	0.6%
Philippines	Hinundayan	2017	8.5%	59.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Navotas	2017	11.7%	14.3%	9.2%
Philippines	Sapa-Sapa	2017	18.7%	61.3%	1.1%
Philippines	Looc	2017	7.5%	15.3%	3.1%
Philippines	Jaro	2017	14.1%	34.7%	3.1%
Philippines	San Benito	2017	9.9%	59.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Surallah	2017	8.0%	26.5%	2.2%
Philippines	Rajah Buayan	2017	38.0%	71.0%	10.0%
Philippines	Pakil	2017	9.3%	41.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Dimataling	2017	16.7%	55.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Pigkawayan	2017	5.3%	26.8%	0.4%
Philippines	Leganes	2017	3.9%	11.6%	1.1%
Philippines	Naujan	2017	4.9%	16.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Sigay	2017	13.0%	55.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Kalawit	2017	14.8%	44.1%	1.1%
Philippines	Samboan	2017	11.1%	62.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Sudipen	2017	0.8%	6.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Ilog	2017	16.3%	61.1%	0.5%
Philippines	San Pedro	2017	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Mendez	2017	1.7%	11.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Madalag	2017	15.4%	42.3%	3.8%
Philippines	Lubatan	2017	16.7%	77.6%	0.0%
Philippines	San Remigio	2017	12.5%	32.1%	3.0%
Philippines	Datu Abdallah Sanki	2017	16.7%	26.5%	10.5%
Philippines	San Roque	2017	15.4%	63.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Paracale	2017	5.5%	23.9%	0.4%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	10.0%	53.9%	0.8%
Philippines	Bocau	2017	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Lumbayanague	2017	15.5%	84.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Borbon	2017	23.1%	82.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Abulug	2017	16.7%	64.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Tomas Oppus	2017	9.7%	47.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Norzagaray	2017	1.5%	6.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	11.6%	43.0%	0.8%
Philippines	Nueva Era	2017	7.0%	33.6%	0.4%
Philippines	Belison	2017	10.4%	42.5%	0.4%
Philippines	Bucay	2017	1.4%	2.9%	0.4%
Philippines	Cabarroguis	2017	4.0%	16.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Tiwi	2017	1.9%	10.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Urdaneta City	2017	1.5%	12.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	13.4%	29.4%	5.0%
Philippines	Borongan City	2017	7.6%	27.1%	0.6%
Philippines	Mainit Lake	2017	16.0%	60.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Dalaguete	2017	12.4%	60.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Dimasalang	2017	24.6%	62.7%	3.8%
Philippines	Magdalena	2017	6.4%	41.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Sagnay	2017	14.3%	64.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Burdeos	2017	13.2%	48.7%	0.6%
Philippines	Laoang	2017	9.1%	22.4%	2.2%
Philippines	Cainta	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Pualas	2017	8.8%	22.9%	2.8%
Philippines	Pudtol	2017	7.0%	14.2%	3.4%
Philippines	San Jose	2017	11.5%	19.8%	4.9%
Philippines	Medellin	2017	22.4%	76.8%	0.7%
Philippines	Mabinay	2017	16.9%	50.3%	1.0%
Philippines	Pinamalayan	2017	2.1%	6.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Quirino	2017	21.0%	70.0%	0.5%
Philippines	Catbalogan City	2017	4.3%	19.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Akbar	2017	26.4%	39.1%	14.1%
Philippines	Tanauan	2017	1.0%	6.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Buruanga	2017	11.8%	39.1%	1.0%
Philippines	San Esteban	2017	4.5%	35.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Bacolod City	2017	7.4%	9.2%	5.9%
Philippines	Tagoloan II	2017	10.1%	40.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Badiangan	2017	2.8%	22.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Pantukan	2017	3.9%	13.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Talayan	2017	62.0%	83.3%	41.7%
Philippines	San Carlos City	2017	5.6%	35.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Unisan	2017	9.5%	52.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	8.4%	61.5%	0.0%
Philippines	San Rafael	2017	7.7%	27.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Sison	2017	6.4%	42.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Garchitorena	2017	10.0%	30.9%	0.8%
Philippines	Talaingod	2017	15.5%	45.8%	1.4%
Philippines	Dapitan City	2017	13.6%	44.3%	0.6%
Philippines	Cebu City	2017	9.7%	12.0%	7.9%
Philippines	Ivana	2017	0.8%	3.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Vintar	2017	7.3%	27.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Calamba City	2017	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Lian	2017	16.5%	67.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Tarragona	2017	25.1%	53.6%	3.2%
Philippines	San Pablo City	2017	3.0%	7.3%	0.7%
Philippines	Bayang	2017	20.1%	77.1%	0.0%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	0.8%	7.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Digos City	2017	7.2%	13.2%	2.8%
Philippines	Pasig City	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%
Philippines	Padre Garcia	2017	5.4%	43.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Fe	2017	20.7%	41.9%	4.5%
Philippines	Talavera	2017	2.8%	13.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Carmen	2017	17.1%	70.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Javier	2017	12.6%	29.1%	4.7%
Philippines	Balbalan	2017	4.9%	15.6%	0.5%
Philippines	Doña Remedios Trinidad	2017	11.4%	30.1%	1.3%
Philippines	Maripipi	2017	13.7%	69.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Julita	2017	10.0%	28.2%	3.6%
Philippines	Clarín	2017	39.2%	59.5%	19.3%
Philippines	San Emilio	2017	2.8%	12.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Bauan	2017	1.9%	10.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Concepcion	2017	8.3%	47.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Manukan	2017	21.7%	69.8%	2.2%
Philippines	Murcia	2017	18.4%	36.0%	7.8%
Philippines	Binalbagan	2017	15.7%	53.5%	0.5%
Philippines	Loreto	2017	18.3%	55.7%	0.9%
Philippines	Lingig	2017	12.3%	49.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Orani	2017	11.8%	25.0%	3.3%
Philippines	Dilasag	2017	9.7%	38.8%	0.6%
Philippines	Solana	2017	5.3%	27.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Cabanatuan City	2017	5.3%	37.3%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Catubig	2017	19.6%	70.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Hadji Pan- glima Tahil	2017	9.9%	22.2%	1.9%
Philippines	General Nakar	2017	16.2%	51.0%	2.7%
Philippines	Bacoor	2017	2.0%	3.0%	1.3%
Philippines	Corella	2017	11.6%	60.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Calape	2017	11.8%	54.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Lagangilang	2017	5.5%	27.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Nagtipunan	2017	8.9%	26.2%	1.8%
Philippines	Bacuag	2017	5.4%	35.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Kitcharao	2017	18.3%	79.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Barbaza	2017	16.2%	61.8%	3.6%
Philippines	Badoc	2017	6.3%	32.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Talibon	2017	6.7%	37.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Lawaan	2017	9.2%	54.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Nasipit	2017	2.0%	5.1%	0.5%
Philippines	Cavite City	2017	5.2%	9.3%	0.9%
Philippines	Dingle	2017	3.1%	22.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Jala-Jala	2017	2.6%	26.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Ferrol	2017	1.4%	8.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Damulog	2017	14.0%	54.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Tumauini	2017	9.5%	41.0%	0.1%
Philippines	San Pablo	2017	6.8%	23.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Sirawai	2017	14.4%	63.4%	0.2%
Philippines	San Remigio	2017	20.1%	35.7%	9.0%
Philippines	Aurora	2017	6.1%	32.0%	0.3%
Philippines	Cuyo	2017	27.1%	72.5%	0.8%
Philippines	Northern Kabuntalan	2017	16.2%	24.1%	8.7%
Philippines	Gen. S. K. Pendatun	2017	19.2%	56.3%	3.7%
Philippines	Lanuza	2017	6.1%	26.3%	0.2%
Philippines	Dumingag	2017	6.3%	14.8%	1.9%
Philippines	Maasin City	2017	7.3%	29.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Caramoan	2017	13.4%	46.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Picong	2017	26.7%	61.2%	5.2%
Philippines	Payao	2017	10.1%	40.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Bugallon	2017	2.8%	19.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Rosales	2017	1.1%	4.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Shariff Aguak	2017	14.4%	41.6%	1.3%
Philippines	Tanudan	2017	24.7%	69.5%	3.9%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	2.0%	15.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Sogod	2017	4.9%	22.1%	1.0%
Philippines	Pinan	2017	4.2%	18.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Guinobatan	2017	4.9%	13.0%	1.2%
Philippines	Mexico	2017	6.8%	16.2%	1.2%
Philippines	Jipapad	2017	14.1%	40.6%	1.8%
Philippines	Ligao City	2017	17.1%	49.9%	3.0%
Philippines	Opol	2017	2.2%	7.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Magsaysay	2017	6.2%	22.0%	1.1%
Philippines	Taytay	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Cabanglasan	2017	8.3%	35.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Tupi	2017	4.8%	12.7%	1.2%
Philippines	Lambunao	2017	3.4%	17.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Bayawan City	2017	12.2%	28.0%	2.1%
Philippines	Gonzaga	2017	7.4%	37.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Datu Odin Sinsuat	2017	25.1%	35.9%	13.5%
Philippines	Alegria	2017	8.9%	41.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Cabatuan	2017	3.4%	24.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Balete	2017	7.0%	20.4%	1.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Tublay	2017	1.7%	4.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Sibutu	2017	17.6%	35.2%	6.2%
Philippines	Iba	2017	12.3%	59.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Sampaloc Lake	2017	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Cordon	2017	0.8%	4.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Daet	2017	0.8%	3.0%	0.3%
Philippines	Braulio E. Dujali	2017	7.8%	37.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Makato	2017	13.2%	37.0%	1.5%
Philippines	Atimonan	2017	14.1%	38.0%	2.9%
Philippines	Maria Aurora	2017	1.6%	7.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Caba	2017	1.7%	11.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Danao Lake	2017	8.8%	51.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Daram	2017	30.7%	58.8%	9.3%
Philippines	San Andres	2017	14.6%	54.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Limay	2017	10.4%	31.3%	1.4%
Philippines	Alegria	2017	14.6%	61.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Culion	2017	15.9%	44.4%	2.2%
Philippines	Malapatan	2017	4.7%	15.5%	0.4%
Philippines	General Macarthur	2017	12.8%	65.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Pasuquin	2017	5.7%	30.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Bunawan	2017	10.4%	38.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Bantayan	2017	12.1%	57.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Nagbukel	2017	3.8%	19.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Siniloan	2017	6.0%	28.8%	0.1%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	9.5%	21.4%	1.5%
Philippines	Gregorio Del Pilar	2017	11.8%	57.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Malolos City	2017	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Gutalac	2017	16.5%	53.5%	0.9%
Philippines	Sampaloc	2017	2.2%	9.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Kabasalan	2017	16.4%	66.7%	0.4%
Philippines	Los Baños	2017	1.3%	4.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Matalom	2017	8.2%	42.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Bien Unido	2017	7.7%	33.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Dauin	2017	2.9%	12.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Diplahan	2017	7.0%	34.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Palapag	2017	4.9%	12.5%	1.2%
Philippines	Malimono	2017	15.9%	52.3%	0.6%
Philippines	Kapalong	2017	4.5%	11.0%	1.0%
Philippines	Josefina	2017	12.3%	40.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Butuan City	2017	5.1%	8.4%	2.8%
Philippines	Catarman	2017	2.2%	4.9%	0.9%
Philippines	Oas	2017	15.3%	29.4%	5.2%
Philippines	Sapad	2017	9.7%	22.3%	2.6%
Philippines	Lobo	2017	11.2%	50.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Bagumbayan	2017	5.0%	19.3%	0.3%
Philippines	Kiangan	2017	14.8%	25.3%	4.2%
Philippines	Matanog	2017	17.3%	42.0%	5.1%
Philippines	Batuan	2017	14.3%	55.9%	1.1%
Philippines	Famy	2017	4.2%	18.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Cagayan de Oro City	2017	2.5%	4.8%	1.3%
Philippines	Bansud	2017	5.1%	25.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	14.3%	46.2%	0.4%
Philippines	Dumangas	2017	2.3%	20.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Luna	2017	9.2%	56.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Parang	2017	25.6%	58.7%	8.5%
Philippines	Taft	2017	17.6%	75.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Roseller Lim	2017	14.0%	30.3%	2.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Sual	2017	6.6%	37.1%	0.0%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2017	11.6%	55.8%	0.0%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Poona Piagapo	2017	11.4%	27.7%	4.1%
Philippines	Pandag	2017	18.0%	50.5%	5.2%
Philippines	Polillo	2017	13.4%	56.8%	0.4%
Philippines	Kawayan	2017	16.8%	44.2%	5.1%
Philippines	Boac	2017	12.2%	21.9%	6.1%
Philippines	Hagonoy	2017	5.4%	13.2%	1.6%
Philippines	Manolo Fortich	2017	7.9%	28.8%	0.7%
Philippines	Kibawe	2017	5.8%	21.6%	0.5%
Philippines	Malay	2017	3.6%	14.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Laguindingan	2017	2.9%	11.3%	0.3%
Philippines	Pasay City	2017	1.3%	1.9%	0.9%
Philippines	Alfonso	2017	7.7%	50.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Tubaran	2017	6.2%	12.9%	2.7%
Philippines	Obando	2017	6.9%	8.2%	5.6%
Philippines	Tanza	2017	2.8%	18.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Basco	2017	0.5%	2.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Alubijid	2017	3.7%	15.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Ilagan	2017	4.5%	20.7%	0.4%
Philippines	Magpet	2017	9.9%	39.2%	0.3%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	8.1%	18.9%	2.2%
Philippines	Barira	2017	28.1%	68.9%	2.6%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	5.5%	22.1%	0.3%
Philippines	Catmon	2017	15.5%	61.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Tabango	2017	15.5%	63.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Bayugan City	2017	8.3%	14.9%	3.9%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	9.4%	20.1%	2.7%
Philippines	Agoo	2017	10.1%	62.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Labangan	2017	6.4%	12.9%	3.5%
Philippines	Lamitan City	2017	11.0%	16.2%	6.7%
Philippines	Escalante City	2017	33.5%	77.5%	6.7%
Philippines	Llanera	2017	5.6%	38.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Dipaculao	2017	2.3%	9.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Pura	2017	11.7%	18.2%	5.1%
Philippines	Kabayan	2017	17.1%	43.2%	2.2%
Philippines	Mambusao	2017	5.5%	12.8%	2.1%
Philippines	Pangil	2017	11.4%	48.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Dagupan City	2017	1.1%	3.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Lucban	2017	4.6%	31.3%	0.0%
Philippines	San Sebastian	2017	22.9%	53.9%	3.3%
Philippines	Mulanay	2017	20.1%	61.1%	0.7%
Philippines	Matalam	2017	10.8%	46.9%	0.7%
Philippines	Laur	2017	5.5%	35.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Alicia	2017	5.5%	32.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Madalum	2017	3.6%	9.2%	0.3%
Philippines	Narvacan	2017	1.9%	11.9%	0.0%
Philippines	San Manuel	2017	2.2%	12.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Uson	2017	34.4%	63.0%	14.0%
Philippines	Alcantara	2017	11.5%	25.0%	3.1%
Philippines	Katipunan	2017	8.6%	19.7%	3.6%
Philippines	Taysan	2017	10.4%	55.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Al-Barka	2017	47.1%	74.2%	25.6%
Philippines	Dumalneg	2017	12.6%	75.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Libon	2017	13.4%	27.9%	3.3%
Philippines	Anda	2017	14.2%	66.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Boliney	2017	8.9%	34.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Arakan	2017	19.6%	53.9%	1.5%
Philippines	Dinapigue	2017	19.4%	60.7%	1.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Amlan	2017	3.3%	19.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Naguilian	2017	2.7%	20.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Bislig City	2017	6.0%	12.4%	2.5%
Philippines	Virac	2017	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Pilar	2017	15.1%	63.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Lapu-Lapu City	2017	18.3%	20.7%	15.8%
Philippines	Saguday	2017	0.4%	3.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Salcedo	2017	6.2%	19.9%	1.1%
Philippines	Masbate City	2017	15.0%	69.5%	0.8%
Philippines	Maddela	2017	2.8%	8.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Guagua	2017	1.8%	13.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Tubay	2017	3.2%	8.9%	0.4%
Philippines	Valencia	2017	7.4%	32.0%	0.3%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	30.6%	79.9%	1.6%
Philippines	Aloran	2017	0.8%	2.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Iguig	2017	4.8%	30.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Pili	2017	3.4%	10.9%	0.5%
Philippines	Cotabato City	2017	3.2%	7.4%	1.7%
Philippines	Lopez Jaena	2017	5.2%	23.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Gitagum	2017	2.9%	19.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Maslog	2017	21.0%	69.3%	0.7%
Philippines	Benito Soliven	2017	14.7%	36.3%	2.5%
Philippines	Paniqui	2017	5.2%	12.0%	2.1%
Philippines	Naga City	2017	1.1%	4.1%	0.2%
Philippines	Irosin	2017	4.4%	7.4%	2.5%
Philippines	Candelaria	2017	7.8%	34.7%	0.5%
Philippines	Miagao	2017	1.9%	9.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Almeria	2017	6.2%	17.9%	2.0%
Philippines	Compostela	2017	6.7%	46.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Calauan	2017	6.0%	16.4%	0.8%
Philippines	Angeles City	2017	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Philippines	New Corella	2017	1.4%	9.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Dinalungan	2017	14.1%	58.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Rosario	2017	8.1%	42.5%	0.0%
Philippines	President Roxas	2017	18.8%	80.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Merida	2017	15.9%	56.5%	0.3%
Philippines	Lapuyan	2017	14.6%	56.8%	0.3%
Philippines	Natividad	2017	6.9%	32.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Alfonso Lista	2017	8.9%	21.2%	3.0%
Philippines	Macrohon	2017	14.4%	51.1%	1.1%
Philippines	Delfin Albano	2017	12.3%	47.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Licuan-Baay	2017	7.4%	23.4%	0.9%
Philippines	Manabo	2017	8.0%	17.6%	2.2%
Philippines	Tiaong	2017	0.9%	5.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	11.7%	37.5%	1.1%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	17.9%	80.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Marantao	2017	9.6%	18.1%	4.5%
Philippines	San Jose del Monte City	2017	0.5%	1.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Don Victoriano Chiongbian	2017	11.9%	44.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	11.7%	49.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Candon City	2017	0.9%	3.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Cordoba	2017	12.3%	19.0%	7.5%
Philippines	Oras	2017	10.9%	58.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	7.0%	18.8%	0.8%
Philippines	Masinloc	2017	5.6%	15.1%	1.4%
Philippines	Echague	2017	4.7%	20.3%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Manila	2017	3.2%	4.0%	2.5%
Philippines	Ragay	2017	13.4%	54.2%	0.2%
Philippines	Banaue	2017	5.3%	10.2%	2.9%
Philippines	Matanao	2017	13.5%	19.1%	9.8%
Philippines	Laua-An	2017	1.6%	9.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Llorente	2017	10.9%	46.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Pandi	2017	2.5%	6.4%	0.5%
Philippines	Basista	2017	3.1%	23.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Tarlac City	2017	0.8%	3.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Alangalang	2017	18.8%	53.2%	0.9%
Philippines	San Joaquin	2017	6.0%	25.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Pidigan	2017	1.8%	6.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Trece Martires City	2017	1.2%	4.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	5.7%	43.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Danao City	2017	21.8%	70.9%	1.4%
Philippines	Aglipay	2017	2.4%	13.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Carles	2017	15.1%	47.5%	1.4%
Philippines	Gumaca	2017	11.1%	52.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Panitan	2017	2.6%	5.2%	1.1%
Philippines	Bubong	2017	11.6%	48.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Tandubas	2017	26.2%	55.2%	6.1%
Philippines	Ipil	2017	11.2%	20.3%	5.2%
Philippines	Adams	2017	12.0%	73.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Bombon	2017	0.8%	4.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Gigaquit	2017	12.9%	58.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Bangar	2017	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Bauko	2017	8.7%	21.8%	3.6%
Philippines	San Ildefonso	2017	0.3%	2.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Balindong	2017	13.1%	24.0%	5.7%
Philippines	Sitangkai	2017	20.9%	64.1%	1.4%
Philippines	Mallig	2017	8.8%	15.3%	3.4%
Philippines	Hernani	2017	15.1%	80.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Anahawan	2017	3.1%	19.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Malangas	2017	19.6%	43.4%	3.1%
Philippines	Guimbal	2017	5.4%	25.2%	0.6%
Philippines	Teresa	2017	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Libona	2017	5.6%	15.3%	1.6%
Philippines	Rizal	2017	6.8%	25.4%	1.0%
Philippines	Catigbian	2017	11.8%	32.7%	2.3%
Philippines	Santa Cruz	2017	4.5%	17.3%	0.9%
Philippines	Mandaue City	2017	19.1%	21.1%	16.8%
Philippines	Dingras	2017	1.1%	7.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Taguig	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.2%
Philippines	Aliaga	2017	5.9%	36.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Sagada	2017	2.1%	8.2%	0.1%
Philippines	San Lorenzo Ruiz	2017	5.5%	19.8%	0.5%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	4.9%	24.9%	0.1%
Philippines	Lucena City	2017	15.3%	27.2%	9.1%
Philippines	Banga	2017	9.6%	33.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Cortes	2017	11.3%	51.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Kumalarang	2017	8.9%	23.6%	1.5%
Philippines	Antequera	2017	14.8%	72.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Bais City	2017	2.5%	10.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Villaba	2017	28.7%	57.5%	12.0%
Philippines	Balamban	2017	28.5%	70.2%	5.5%
Philippines	Tapaz	2017	12.1%	48.9%	0.5%
Philippines	Siyay	2017	11.5%	59.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Pio V. Corpuz	2017	19.3%	63.1%	2.1%
Philippines	Buhi Lake	2017	9.6%	30.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Balud	2017	15.6%	49.7%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Poona Bayabao	2017	8.4%	58.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Barotac Viejo	2017	4.9%	28.4%	0.3%
Philippines	Kananga	2017	24.6%	72.9%	0.7%
Philippines	Kawit	2017	0.9%	1.6%	0.2%
Philippines	Malabon	2017	10.0%	11.9%	8.0%
Philippines	Santiago	2017	3.5%	13.9%	0.2%
Philippines	Itbayat	2017	4.8%	19.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Sanchez-Mira	2017	2.0%	9.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Minglanilla	2017	7.8%	15.7%	4.4%
Philippines	Indang	2017	1.3%	7.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Basud	2017	7.4%	29.2%	0.8%
Philippines	Hingyon	2017	4.8%	13.3%	1.1%
Philippines	Maramag	2017	10.4%	25.1%	2.2%
Philippines	Tubajon	2017	17.0%	38.3%	2.6%
Philippines	Quinapondan	2017	7.6%	48.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Daanbantayan	2017	15.1%	64.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Bangui	2017	10.2%	54.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Dasmariñas	2017	2.0%	3.7%	1.0%
Philippines	Tago	2017	23.7%	48.4%	5.3%
Philippines	Sipocot	2017	12.1%	28.1%	1.8%
Philippines	Alamada	2017	15.2%	43.2%	0.8%
Philippines	Noveleta	2017	0.6%	1.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Burgos	2017	9.6%	69.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Calintaan	2017	16.7%	63.8%	0.7%
Philippines	San Felipe	2017	1.0%	3.8%	0.1%
Philippines	Sarangani	2017	18.2%	51.6%	2.9%
Philippines	Santa Rosa City	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	6.5%	37.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Labason	2017	8.0%	31.4%	0.7%
Philippines	Quezon	2017	5.7%	41.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Tubod	2017	1.1%	3.5%	0.2%
Philippines	Lagayan	2017	14.3%	75.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Barlig	2017	11.7%	24.5%	4.5%
Philippines	Agdangan	2017	5.4%	22.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Bolinao	2017	11.4%	52.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Pagadian City	2017	4.7%	10.5%	1.9%
Philippines	El Nido	2017	14.9%	34.8%	2.8%
Philippines	Igaras	2017	1.4%	9.4%	0.0%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	8.3%	48.6%	0.0%
Philippines	San Luis	2017	4.6%	13.9%	0.4%
Philippines	Panglao	2017	7.4%	28.8%	0.9%
Philippines	Almagro	2017	14.8%	73.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Binmaley	2017	2.7%	10.2%	0.6%
Philippines	San Lorenzo	2017	5.5%	15.1%	2.1%
Philippines	Bingawan	2017	12.7%	78.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Tinglayan	2017	41.6%	60.8%	26.1%
Philippines	Paete	2017	15.1%	55.3%	0.8%
Philippines	San Antonio	2017	8.8%	53.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Sugpon	2017	8.1%	35.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Socorro	2017	4.5%	29.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Davao City	2017	5.9%	8.1%	3.7%
Philippines	El Salvador City	2017	1.5%	10.5%	0.1%
Philippines	Mahaplag	2017	20.4%	63.1%	0.5%
Philippines	Bugasong	2017	4.1%	11.9%	1.0%
Philippines	Mulondo	2017	12.7%	79.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Pamplona	2017	13.3%	55.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Gandara	2017	23.8%	69.2%	4.2%
Philippines	Bagac	2017	1.6%	6.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Guindulungan	2017	58.0%	78.4%	36.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	San Agustin	2017	5.9%	22.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Anini-Y	2017	9.5%	30.9%	0.8%
Philippines	Agoncillo	2017	3.4%	8.7%	1.1%
Philippines	San Narciso	2017	23.6%	59.9%	6.6%
Philippines	Mainit	2017	11.7%	40.6%	0.6%
Philippines	Kalookan City	2017	2.8%	3.4%	2.3%
Philippines	Pandan	2017	13.4%	41.2%	3.1%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	4.3%	29.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Goa	2017	9.9%	61.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Hermosa	2017	6.5%	17.9%	2.2%
Philippines	Cortes	2017	16.2%	65.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Kibungan	2017	10.1%	53.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Real	2017	13.4%	47.2%	0.3%
Philippines	San Guillermo	2017	12.9%	48.7%	0.3%
Philippines	San Vicente	2017	15.3%	51.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Kapatagan	2017	3.6%	11.6%	1.0%
Philippines	Dagami	2017	1.5%	6.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Camalaniugan	2017	10.7%	59.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Pres. Manuel A. Roxas	2017	19.9%	41.0%	4.9%
Philippines	Pantao Ragat	2017	29.4%	46.4%	13.0%
Philippines	Tubungan	2017	4.9%	38.7%	0.0%
Philippines	San Enrique	2017	6.6%	34.3%	0.0%
Philippines	San Pascual	2017	20.7%	57.0%	3.6%
Philippines	Cabugao	2017	4.5%	38.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Palanan	2017	18.8%	52.4%	2.1%
Philippines	Victoria	2017	5.9%	18.0%	1.1%
Philippines	Tingloy	2017	12.6%	71.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Angono	2017	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Kiblawan	2017	3.6%	7.4%	1.6%
Philippines	Maria	2017	7.7%	12.5%	4.8%
Philippines	Kabugao	2017	12.3%	33.5%	2.6%
Philippines	Mandaluyong	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Dumalinao	2017	9.7%	45.1%	0.0%
Philippines	La Libertad	2017	7.2%	45.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Mayantoc	2017	10.0%	51.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Oton	2017	3.7%	11.7%	1.7%
Philippines	Sasmuan	2017	1.8%	10.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Tayasan	2017	19.3%	67.4%	0.7%
Philippines	Sapi-An	2017	11.4%	30.0%	2.6%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	7.0%	40.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Linapacan	2017	16.4%	48.9%	1.3%
Philippines	Milagros	2017	15.4%	40.0%	3.0%
Philippines	Allen	2017	12.1%	38.7%	2.1%
Philippines	Sultan Dumalondong	2017	26.8%	64.6%	5.2%
Philippines	Cavinti	2017	5.8%	26.1%	0.4%
Philippines	Inopacan	2017	18.3%	61.0%	0.4%
Philippines	Pagsanjan	2017	9.5%	72.6%	0.1%
Philippines	Lidlidda	2017	1.7%	13.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Mogpog	2017	9.8%	14.6%	6.2%
Philippines	Calatagan	2017	12.2%	49.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Lupi	2017	13.2%	49.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Sultan Naga Dimaporo	2017	14.3%	34.6%	3.5%
Philippines	San Fernando	2017	16.5%	49.0%	1.2%
Philippines	Naga City	2017	22.5%	36.4%	11.3%
Philippines	Cawayan	2017	18.4%	50.8%	1.3%
Philippines	Torrijos	2017	4.7%	15.6%	0.7%
Philippines	Piddig	2017	5.6%	39.3%	0.0%
Philippines	Angat	2017	11.3%	47.7%	0.2%
Philippines	Laoac	2017	0.5%	3.3%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	Alimodian	2017	2.9%	17.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Sabtang	2017	16.7%	63.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Sofronio Espanola	2017	16.4%	40.7%	2.2%
Philippines	Tigaon	2017	13.3%	62.2%	0.0%
Philippines	Vallehermoso	2017	16.6%	59.2%	0.7%
Philippines	Hinunangan	2017	11.2%	82.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	10.0%	58.3%	0.0%
Philippines	San Isidro	2017	18.7%	61.9%	0.7%
Philippines	Sumilao	2017	14.4%	51.8%	1.3%
Philippines	Mabini	2017	6.7%	33.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Del Gallego	2017	10.0%	50.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Loboc	2017	4.9%	12.5%	0.9%
Philippines	Roxas	2017	3.2%	14.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Pila	2017	13.2%	72.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Cauayan City	2017	8.1%	23.1%	1.1%
Philippines	Santa Lucia	2017	1.1%	6.4%	0.1%
Philippines	Sablayan	2017	20.1%	39.1%	6.8%
Philippines	Ditsaan-Ramain	2017	11.9%	68.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Maasim	2017	15.8%	44.4%	1.8%
Philippines	Palompon	2017	30.9%	39.8%	23.0%
Philippines	Kayapa	2017	13.1%	38.0%	0.8%
Philippines	Guimba	2017	13.8%	55.5%	0.6%
Philippines	Bauang	2017	2.7%	19.1%	0.0%
Philippines	Minalin	2017	0.6%	1.4%	0.2%
Philippines	Balangkayan	2017	9.4%	32.0%	1.4%
Philippines	Buluan Lake	2017	27.3%	56.7%	8.3%
Philippines	Santo Tomas	2017	2.5%	13.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Santo Niño	2017	5.1%	20.4%	0.2%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	9.9%	35.9%	0.3%
Philippines	Sikatuna	2017	1.3%	9.7%	0.0%
Philippines	Divilacan	2017	23.2%	68.5%	2.0%
Philippines	Dagohoy	2017	10.4%	60.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Godod	2017	12.1%	47.9%	0.1%
Philippines	South Ubian	2017	13.3%	59.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Lupon	2017	12.7%	32.3%	3.4%
Philippines	Lapinig	2017	11.6%	17.9%	7.2%
Philippines	Cataingan	2017	14.0%	56.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Santo Domingo	2017	0.7%	6.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Sultan Sa Barongis	2017	30.2%	84.2%	1.4%
Philippines	Pulupandan	2017	2.4%	19.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Zaragoza	2017	6.2%	28.9%	0.6%
Philippines	Victorias City	2017	21.9%	79.2%	0.4%
Philippines	Bakun	2017	5.3%	22.3%	0.1%
Philippines	Claveria	2017	3.3%	18.7%	0.1%
Philippines	Mina	2017	1.7%	10.4%	0.0%
Philippines	San Teodoro	2017	7.5%	19.8%	1.4%
Philippines	Babatngon	2017	10.2%	42.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Anilao	2017	0.7%	4.4%	0.0%
Philippines	Tubao	2017	6.2%	16.0%	1.7%
Philippines	Banayoyo	2017	0.3%	2.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Ambaguio	2017	11.0%	49.2%	0.1%
Philippines	Las Nieves	2017	7.9%	24.7%	1.5%
Philippines	Aroroy	2017	16.5%	41.9%	3.4%
Philippines	Culasi	2017	17.6%	33.1%	8.9%
Philippines	Makati City	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Philippines	Ramon	2017	5.0%	29.1%	0.1%
Philippines	Datu Piang	2017	34.3%	86.7%	1.9%
Philippines	Siruma	2017	13.4%	49.7%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Philippines	General Mamerto Natividad	2017	2.6%	16.6%	0.0%
Philippines	Salay	2017	4.7%	19.6%	0.3%
Philippines	Plaridel	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Philippines	Malilipot	2017	2.2%	6.3%	0.4%
Philippines	Malvar	2017	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Philippines	Kolambugan	2017	22.0%	68.0%	0.2%
Philippines	Alilem	2017	4.3%	19.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Nagcarlan	2017	18.4%	47.4%	5.6%
Philippines	Ajuy	2017	9.7%	32.2%	1.9%
Philippines	La Paz	2017	11.7%	50.3%	0.3%
Philippines	San Nicolas	2017	7.5%	24.4%	0.7%
Philippines	Langiden	2017	0.8%	4.0%	0.1%
Philippines	Mahinog	2017	1.0%	5.4%	0.0%
Philippines	San Miguel	2017	7.0%	36.8%	0.0%
Philippines	Dupax Del Sur	2017	6.2%	21.2%	0.3%
Philippines	San Juan	2017	1.3%	9.9%	0.0%
Philippines	Biliran	2017	13.5%	28.0%	6.0%
Philippines	Naic	2017	4.8%	25.0%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Lunugala	2000	8.5%	28.8%	0.9%
Sri Lanka	Katuwana	2000	8.9%	23.6%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Kurunegala	2000	5.0%	14.9%	1.1%
Sri Lanka	Kinniya	2000	14.9%	41.5%	2.2%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam West	2000	13.0%	40.9%	1.5%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Pattu	2000	9.8%	29.3%	1.6%
Sri Lanka	Welikanda	2000	7.2%	21.6%	1.2%
Sri Lanka	Walapane	2000	5.7%	17.0%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Kobeigane	2000	9.4%	29.0%	1.2%
Sri Lanka	Sri Jayawardanapura Kotte	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Karandeniya	2000	7.0%	23.4%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Jaffna	2000	7.6%	27.8%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Hikkaduwa	2000	7.5%	24.3%	0.9%
Sri Lanka	Lahugala	2000	9.6%	23.7%	1.9%
Sri Lanka	Polpithigama	2000	7.2%	18.9%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Maspotha	2000	7.9%	25.3%	1.3%
Sri Lanka	Kesbewa	2000	1.4%	7.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kelaniya	2000	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Buttala	2000	13.8%	28.6%	5.1%
Sri Lanka	Hakmana	2000	16.7%	42.8%	2.6%
Sri Lanka	Palagala	2000	7.4%	19.7%	1.3%
Sri Lanka	Porativu Pattu	2000	10.7%	31.4%	1.8%
Sri Lanka	Kuchchaveli	2000	11.9%	28.9%	2.6%
Sri Lanka	Dimbulagala	2000	8.2%	19.4%	2.3%
Sri Lanka	Kothmale	2000	8.8%	28.1%	1.9%
Sri Lanka	Doluwa	2000	14.1%	30.5%	3.8%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South-West	2000	11.4%	39.2%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Pannala	2000	8.5%	24.3%	2.1%
Sri Lanka	Weligama	2000	9.2%	32.4%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Okewela	2000	8.7%	22.7%	1.2%
Sri Lanka	Siyambalanduwa	2000	10.7%	24.8%	2.4%
Sri Lanka	Galnewa	2000	13.5%	35.6%	2.7%
Sri Lanka	Galle Four Gravets	2000	7.6%	24.6%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Eheliyagoda	2000	3.1%	8.9%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Pathahewaheta	2000	4.0%	12.9%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Mihinhale	2000	19.2%	44.7%	4.9%
Sri Lanka	Pachchilaipalli	2000	12.6%	42.2%	1.9%
Sri Lanka	Hanwella	2000	4.8%	18.5%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Palindanuwara	2000	4.6%	16.9%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Akurana	2000	6.5%	26.9%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Hatharaliyadda	2000	8.3%	30.1%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Thanamalvila	2000	9.3%	17.4%	3.8%
Sri Lanka	Rambewa	2000	21.3%	47.8%	6.5%
Sri Lanka	Madurawala	2000	2.9%	9.5%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Nallur	2000	6.9%	24.3%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy	2000	13.5%	34.3%	2.8%
	East				
Sri Lanka	Ella	2000	9.7%	29.2%	2.1%
Sri Lanka	Musali	2000	17.9%	43.2%	4.2%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya	2000	23.0%	48.6%	5.4%
	South				
Sri Lanka	Thambuttegama	2000	8.5%	26.7%	1.7%
Sri Lanka	Nachchadoowa	2000	16.6%	40.2%	3.1%
Sri Lanka	Maharagama	2000	0.7%	2.8%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Horana	2000	3.8%	13.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Madulla	2000	9.8%	24.9%	2.4%
Sri Lanka	Akkaraipattu	2000	9.0%	29.6%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Kiriella	2000	3.6%	11.7%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Town	2000	14.4%	48.1%	1.1%
Sri Lanka	Bentota	2000	6.8%	28.5%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Katharagama	2000	7.6%	27.0%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Kekirawa	2000	6.1%	12.6%	1.9%
Sri Lanka	Verugal	2000	7.6%	20.3%	1.2%
Sri Lanka	Elapatha	2000	2.9%	9.6%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Panduwasnuwara	2000	6.4%	19.0%	1.1%
Sri Lanka	Kalutara	2000	4.0%	12.9%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Padaviya	2000	16.5%	39.7%	3.5%
Sri Lanka	Aranayaka	2000	8.9%	26.4%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Naula	2000	7.7%	22.8%	1.3%
Sri Lanka	Sainthamarathu	2000	10.7%	31.3%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Mahawewa	2000	14.4%	29.7%	5.7%
Sri Lanka	Mahiyanganaya	2000	7.9%	16.6%	2.9%
Sri Lanka	Thalawa	2000	7.4%	15.6%	2.2%
Sri Lanka	Delft	2000	11.7%	35.5%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Ehetuwewa	2000	7.8%	24.5%	1.1%
Sri Lanka	Kalawana	2000	3.6%	12.0%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Thirappane	2000	11.4%	26.1%	2.3%
Sri Lanka	Wattala	2000	0.9%	4.3%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai	2000	23.4%	42.7%	11.4%
	South-West				
Sri Lanka	Hanguranketha	2000	5.5%	14.5%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Ganga Ihala	2000	11.8%	35.0%	1.3%
	Korale				
Sri Lanka	Valikamam	2000	9.3%	29.4%	0.8%
	North				
Sri Lanka	Madampe	2000	16.1%	37.5%	5.5%
Sri Lanka	Hildummulla	2000	7.9%	22.0%	1.7%
Sri Lanka	Malimbada	2000	7.7%	23.5%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Kalpitiya	2000	16.2%	33.0%	5.2%
Sri Lanka	Angunakolapelessa	2000	10.6%	24.3%	3.2%
Sri Lanka	Puttalam	2000	16.2%	35.0%	5.2%
Sri Lanka	Navithanveli	2000	9.2%	29.1%	1.2%
Sri Lanka	Embilipitiya	2000	9.1%	24.4%	2.1%
Sri Lanka	Mawanella	2000	11.0%	34.7%	1.5%
Sri Lanka	Nattandiya	2000	15.1%	38.2%	3.2%
Sri Lanka	Udawalata	2000	14.4%	37.3%	2.7%
Sri Lanka	Welivitiya-	2000	4.5%	10.3%	1.8%
	Divithura				

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Elahera	2000	8.1%	20.6%	1.3%
Sri Lanka	Oddusuddan	2000	16.6%	38.7%	5.2%
Sri Lanka	Beruwala	2000	5.5%	20.0%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Galenbindunuweva	2000	15.2%	36.2%	2.7%
Sri Lanka	Pitabeddara	2000	6.7%	20.5%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Narammala	2000	5.6%	20.3%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Rideemaliyadda	2000	7.1%	15.7%	2.4%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai	2000	32.9%	52.2%	20.5%
Sri Lanka	Pattu (Araipattai)				
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya West	2000	6.8%	15.0%	1.9%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy North	2000	13.0%	45.5%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Akuressa	2000	5.3%	14.0%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Pasgoda	2000	7.3%	23.9%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Padiyathalawa	2000	7.8%	23.1%	1.3%
Sri Lanka	Medawachchiya	2000	17.8%	39.2%	5.0%
Sri Lanka	Minipe	2000	6.8%	19.6%	1.7%
Sri Lanka	Wariyapola	2000	8.9%	24.9%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Medadumbara	2000	6.1%	21.6%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Welimada	2000	6.9%	20.4%	0.9%
Sri Lanka	Kattankudy	2000	25.5%	62.8%	3.2%
Sri Lanka	Attanagalla	2000	5.5%	17.8%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Bamunakotuwa	2000	8.1%	27.8%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Laggala- Pallegama	2000	7.2%	21.9%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Mahakumbukkad	2000	10.5%	23.0%	2.7%
Sri Lanka	Thenmaradchy (Chavakachcheri)	2000	8.6%	24.0%	1.5%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya North	2000	16.3%	31.8%	4.8%
Sri Lanka	Padukka	2000	4.0%	15.9%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Kegalle	2000	5.6%	15.3%	1.4%
Sri Lanka	Polgahawela	2000	5.9%	17.5%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Warakapola	2000	7.3%	21.8%	1.1%
Sri Lanka	Sevanagala	2000	9.5%	28.4%	1.6%
Sri Lanka	Pelmadulla	2000	4.0%	13.8%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Millaniya	2000	2.9%	8.3%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Akmeemana	2000	7.9%	25.9%	1.1%
Sri Lanka	Panadura	2000	2.8%	12.0%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Dankotuwa	2000	11.1%	29.8%	1.6%
Sri Lanka	Walallawita	2000	5.5%	18.1%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Athuraliya	2000	5.1%	12.5%	1.7%
Sri Lanka	K.F.G. & G. Korale	2000	5.3%	10.0%	2.7%
Sri Lanka	Ambanpola	2000	5.2%	12.9%	1.8%
Sri Lanka	Kolonna	2000	5.4%	15.9%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Kamburupitiya	2000	10.0%	23.0%	2.5%
Sri Lanka	Niyagama	2000	4.6%	15.1%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Mathugama	2000	6.9%	21.4%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Pothuvil	2000	10.7%	37.7%	1.1%
Sri Lanka	Devinuwara	2000	10.8%	35.1%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Kandaketiya	2000	9.1%	29.0%	1.1%
Sri Lanka	Kirinda- Puhulwella	2000	15.3%	41.1%	2.1%
Sri Lanka	Ampara	2000	8.6%	25.6%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Giribawa	2000	13.0%	36.3%	2.6%
Sri Lanka	Madhu	2000	18.4%	34.2%	7.2%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya East	2000	5.1%	16.3%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Minuwangoda	2000	1.8%	6.7%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Vengalcheddikulam	2000	16.8%	40.2%	4.2%
Sri Lanka	Poonakary	2000	13.4%	29.4%	3.6%
Sri Lanka	Medagama	2000	11.2%	30.1%	2.2%
Sri Lanka	Balapitiya	2000	7.8%	27.6%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Maritimepattu	2000	15.7%	39.1%	3.9%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha East	2000	16.7%	43.8%	2.3%
Sri Lanka	Kolonnawa	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ipalogama	2000	11.5%	26.2%	3.9%
Sri Lanka	Mahawa	2000	7.9%	20.4%	1.7%
Sri Lanka	Palugaswewa	2000	5.3%	18.5%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Homagama	2000	2.5%	8.7%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Biyagama	2000	0.7%	1.8%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Ja-Ela	2000	0.7%	3.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Yatinuwara	2000	11.7%	30.6%	1.7%
Sri Lanka	Hambantota	2000	8.0%	19.3%	2.3%
Sri Lanka	Vanathavilluwa	2000	14.9%	36.4%	3.7%
Sri Lanka	Pathadumbara	2000	4.7%	17.9%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Alayadiwembu	2000	8.5%	31.7%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Ganewatta	2000	6.2%	18.0%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Rattota	2000	6.7%	23.5%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Beliatta	2000	10.6%	27.8%	1.4%
Sri Lanka	Ratnapura	2000	2.6%	6.9%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Thunukkai	2000	14.3%	25.2%	6.4%
Sri Lanka	Puthukudiyiruppu	2000	16.3%	40.1%	3.8%
Sri Lanka	Welipitiya	2000	9.1%	29.1%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Yatyanthota	2000	4.3%	14.6%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Samanthurai	2000	10.9%	28.7%	2.7%
Sri Lanka	Trincomalee Town and Gravets	2000	11.9%	34.9%	1.3%
Sri Lanka	Kandawali	2000	13.8%	35.3%	3.5%
Sri Lanka	Habaraduwa	2000	7.8%	26.8%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Ibbagamuwa	2000	5.9%	16.6%	1.1%
Sri Lanka	Udubaddawa	2000	14.5%	37.2%	3.1%
Sri Lanka	Yakkalamulla	2000	6.7%	22.9%	0.9%
Sri Lanka	Opanayaka	2000	4.4%	15.2%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Agalawatta	2000	4.3%	17.0%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Imaduwa	2000	7.2%	22.7%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Wennappuwa	2000	11.7%	35.1%	1.3%
Sri Lanka	Meegahakivula	2000	7.8%	25.4%	0.9%
Sri Lanka	Nuwara Eliya	2000	6.0%	14.2%	1.8%
Sri Lanka	Thamankaduwa	2000	3.8%	8.2%	1.5%
Sri Lanka	Weeraketiya	2000	10.3%	20.7%	3.5%
Sri Lanka	Uhana	2000	9.4%	27.3%	1.6%
Sri Lanka	Wellawaya	2000	12.0%	26.5%	3.2%
Sri Lanka	Bandaragama	2000	3.3%	14.5%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Thimbirigasyaya	2000	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Lunugamvehera	2000	8.6%	17.2%	3.6%
Sri Lanka	Udadumbara	2000	6.1%	17.2%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Poojapitiya	2000	7.4%	25.9%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Wilgamuwa	2000	10.5%	34.8%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Nochchiyagama	2000	13.2%	28.4%	4.1%
Sri Lanka	Galgamuwa	2000	7.5%	22.2%	1.4%
Sri Lanka	Ayagama	2000	2.7%	10.2%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Imbulpe	2000	5.8%	20.0%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Ingiriya	2000	5.1%	18.3%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Gampaha	2000	1.3%	4.7%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Elpitiya	2000	4.6%	14.1%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Badalkumbura	2000	11.8%	32.8%	2.2%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai North	2000	22.9%	60.5%	3.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Seruvila	2000	14.2%	33.4%	3.7%
Sri Lanka	Kahatagasdigiliya	2000	15.3%	28.4%	5.1%
Sri Lanka	Ruwanwella	2000	5.9%	19.0%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Ambanganga	2000	8.3%	26.0%	1.3%
Sri Lanka	Korale				
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu North	2000	7.8%	21.5%	1.4%
Sri Lanka	Mahaoya	2000	8.8%	23.7%	2.0%
Sri Lanka	Moneragala	2000	14.6%	31.0%	4.8%
Sri Lanka	Bibile	2000	9.1%	26.0%	1.5%
Sri Lanka	Dompe	2000	4.4%	15.9%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Manthai West	2000	18.2%	34.1%	6.5%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu West (Odd-amavadi)	2000	9.4%	29.1%	1.5%
Sri Lanka	Divulapitiya	2000	4.9%	14.6%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Haputale	2000	7.7%	26.2%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambalangoda	2000	6.5%	19.3%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Kundasale	2000	4.7%	15.7%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Ambagamuwa	2000	3.7%	9.6%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Dodangoda	2000	4.9%	14.7%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Kotapola	2000	6.6%	23.3%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Island South (Velanai)	2000	11.2%	28.9%	1.7%
Sri Lanka	Anamaduva	2000	11.4%	24.6%	3.8%
Sri Lanka	Nawagattegama	2000	13.7%	32.7%	2.8%
Sri Lanka	Deraniyagala	2000	2.2%	10.0%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Delthota	2000	6.0%	21.1%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Thawalama	2000	4.8%	13.5%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Thampalakamam	2000	10.2%	31.2%	1.7%
Sri Lanka	Mahara	2000	1.4%	5.2%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Godakawela	2000	3.9%	10.0%	0.9%
Sri Lanka	Eragama	2000	10.5%	35.6%	1.1%
Sri Lanka	Hingurakgoda	2000	5.5%	15.2%	1.4%
Sri Lanka	Karuwalagaswewa	2000	16.3%	32.5%	4.9%
Sri Lanka	Hali-Ela	2000	8.8%	23.8%	2.6%
Sri Lanka	Muttur	2000	13.3%	37.3%	3.1%
Sri Lanka	Kalmunai	2000	10.3%	34.0%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Bulathsinhala	2000	3.4%	11.7%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Matale	2000	6.4%	21.8%	1.2%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchi	2000	11.3%	33.9%	0.9%
Sri Lanka	South-West				
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South	2000	7.2%	24.2%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Rideegama	2000	6.6%	20.5%	0.9%
Sri Lanka	Thirukkovil	2000	7.6%	25.8%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Dickwella	2000	9.5%	27.5%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Uva	2000	5.9%	18.4%	1.3%
Sri Lanka	Paranagama				
Sri Lanka	Arachchikattuwa PS	2000	13.1%	32.9%	2.3%
Sri Lanka	Yatawatta	2000	5.8%	18.1%	0.9%
Sri Lanka	Galewela	2000	6.7%	21.0%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Thumpane	2000	7.8%	30.0%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Neluwa	2000	5.3%	19.2%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Rajanganaya	2000	13.3%	34.2%	2.0%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam East	2000	3.3%	8.3%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Soranathota	2000	9.4%	27.7%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Damana	2000	7.4%	22.0%	1.1%
Sri Lanka	Chilaw	2000	16.3%	42.0%	2.7%
Sri Lanka	Thihagoda	2000	8.9%	27.3%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Balangoda	2000	6.7%	21.5%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Galigamuwa	2000	5.4%	14.0%	1.5%
Sri Lanka	Nanaddan	2000	23.5%	49.6%	5.7%
Sri Lanka	Nikaweratiya	2000	11.7%	33.3%	1.8%
Sri Lanka	Addalachchenai	2000	7.6%	26.5%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Panvila	2000	4.7%	19.7%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Maha Vialachchiya	2000	14.6%	38.5%	2.5%
Sri Lanka	Colombo	2000	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Karativu	2000	11.1%	35.6%	1.4%
Sri Lanka	Badulla	2000	11.3%	36.4%	0.9%
Sri Lanka	Bulathkohupitiya	2000	4.1%	11.0%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Nivithigala	2000	4.4%	14.6%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Bope-Poddala	2000	7.9%	22.3%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Morawewa	2000	11.2%	30.8%	2.3%
Sri Lanka	Thissamaharama	2000	8.4%	22.0%	1.5%
Sri Lanka	Baddegama	2000	6.3%	15.9%	1.6%
Sri Lanka	Kuruvita	2000	2.9%	9.6%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Weligepola	2000	3.8%	9.6%	0.9%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha Central	2000	16.9%	31.1%	7.1%
Sri Lanka	Mundalama	2000	11.3%	30.8%	1.4%
Sri Lanka	Pasbage Korale	2000	6.3%	21.2%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Kahawatta	2000	4.6%	18.1%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Matara Four Gravets	2000	9.3%	32.6%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Dehiovita	2000	4.1%	13.3%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Nagoda	2000	6.5%	17.1%	1.4%
Sri Lanka	Bandarawela	2000	8.3%	26.0%	1.1%
Sri Lanka	Dambulla	2000	6.9%	20.2%	1.3%
Sri Lanka	Mallawapitiya	2000	4.7%	13.1%	1.4%
Sri Lanka	Katana	2000	2.0%	6.6%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Bingiriya	2000	13.7%	37.5%	2.7%
Sri Lanka	Rasnayakapura	2000	10.0%	28.4%	1.5%
Sri Lanka	Ukuwela	2000	7.1%	28.6%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Weerambagedana	2000	6.4%	23.2%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Mawathagama	2000	6.3%	17.8%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Gomarankadawal	2000	14.5%	35.1%	2.4%
Sri Lanka	Harispattuwa	2000	5.4%	17.1%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Kebithigollewa	2000	13.3%	26.3%	4.2%
Sri Lanka	Passara	2000	8.0%	26.1%	1.2%
Sri Lanka	Alawwa	2000	8.2%	25.1%	0.9%
Sri Lanka	Moratuwa	2000	1.7%	10.0%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Sooriyawewa	2000	8.6%	22.5%	1.8%
Sri Lanka	Horowpothana	2000	11.7%	27.7%	3.0%
Sri Lanka	Ninthavur	2000	10.0%	32.6%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Katupotha	2000	5.8%	19.5%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Udunuwara	2000	19.8%	44.0%	5.5%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu (Valachchenai)	2000	10.2%	30.8%	1.5%
Sri Lanka	Kantalai	2000	8.9%	30.7%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Mulatiyana	2000	15.1%	30.7%	3.6%
Sri Lanka	Padavi Sri Pura	2000	14.0%	39.9%	3.0%
Sri Lanka	Mannar Town	2000	17.4%	47.3%	2.5%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai West	2000	12.5%	29.8%	2.9%
Sri Lanka	Kaduwela	2000	0.9%	2.9%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Manthai East	2000	15.0%	32.7%	5.6%
Sri Lanka	Pallama	2000	12.2%	35.5%	2.3%
Sri Lanka	Lankapura	2000	7.1%	20.9%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Manmunai South and Eruvilpattu	2000	10.1%	33.8%	1.2%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya	2000	23.3%	42.4%	10.4%
Sri Lanka	Pallepola	2000	5.2%	13.8%	1.5%
Sri Lanka	Rambukkana	2000	6.6%	17.1%	1.5%
Sri Lanka	Kotavehera	2000	9.5%	28.4%	2.0%
Sri Lanka	Islands North (Kayts)	2000	11.5%	40.2%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Medirigiriya	2000	8.4%	22.4%	2.1%
Sri Lanka	Mirigama	2000	8.5%	28.2%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Dehiattakandiya	2000	11.6%	25.2%	2.7%
Sri Lanka	Tangalle	2000	10.1%	23.6%	1.8%
Sri Lanka	Dehiwala-Mount Lavinia	2000	0.8%	4.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ambalanthota	2000	9.4%	21.8%	2.7%
Sri Lanka	Karachchi	2000	18.4%	40.0%	4.1%
Sri Lanka	Negombo	2000	3.2%	11.4%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Udawalapaya	2017	2.6%	8.9%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Akmeemana	2017	1.3%	4.8%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Ehetuwewa	2017	1.2%	4.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Thunukkai	2017	2.4%	5.9%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Ja-Ela	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thenmaradchy (Chavakachcheri)	2017	1.2%	4.0%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Kurunegala	2017	0.8%	2.4%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Hakmana	2017	5.4%	15.8%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Palindanuwara	2017	0.7%	2.7%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Kothmale	2017	1.5%	5.5%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Polpithigama	2017	1.2%	3.7%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Okewela	2017	1.6%	4.9%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Nochchiyagama	2017	2.2%	5.4%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Ambalanthota	2017	1.5%	4.7%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Karandeniya	2017	1.2%	4.3%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Polgahawela	2017	1.1%	4.0%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Ganga Ihala Korale	2017	2.0%	8.4%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Balangoda	2017	1.3%	4.7%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Warakapola	2017	1.3%	4.3%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Ayagama	2017	0.5%	2.0%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Hanwella	2017	0.9%	3.9%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Niyagama	2017	0.7%	2.1%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Ampara	2017	1.5%	5.2%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Bingiriya	2017	2.4%	8.8%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Medagama	2017	1.7%	5.6%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Badulla	2017	1.8%	9.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Medadumbara	2017	1.0%	4.0%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai West	2017	1.8%	5.8%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Manthai East	2017	2.4%	6.6%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Eheliyagoda	2017	0.6%	1.8%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Rambewa	2017	4.0%	13.2%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya East	2017	0.9%	3.2%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Pasgoda	2017	1.2%	4.0%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Galewela	2017	1.1%	4.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Mahiyanganaya	2017	1.3%	3.0%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Palagala	2017	1.1%	3.5%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Madhu	2017	3.1%	8.0%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Buttala	2017	2.2%	6.2%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Madurawala	2017	0.5%	1.5%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Kekirawa	2017	0.9%	2.2%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Ambanpola	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Mahawa	2017	1.3%	3.8%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Kelaniya	2017	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Bandarawela	2017	1.3%	5.1%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South	2017	1.0%	3.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Godakawela	2017	0.7%	2.1%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Kuruvita	2017	0.6%	1.9%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam South-West	2017	1.7%	7.7%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Kalawana	2017	0.6%	1.9%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Vengalcheddikulam	2017	2.9%	9.0%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Thanamalvila	2017	1.5%	3.8%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Oddusuddan	2017	3.1%	8.2%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Meeegahakivula	2017	1.2%	4.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Narammala	2017	1.0%	3.7%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Mathugama	2017	1.2%	4.1%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Welikanda	2017	1.1%	4.1%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Padukka	2017	0.8%	3.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Vanathavilluwa	2017	2.5%	8.2%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Yatyanthota	2017	0.8%	2.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Katuwana	2017	1.4%	4.2%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Mawathagama	2017	1.1%	3.1%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Akkaraipattu	2017	1.8%	7.7%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Madampe	2017	2.4%	7.9%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Nattandiya	2017	2.3%	7.4%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Thimbrigasyaya	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Delthota	2017	0.9%	3.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dickwella	2017	2.0%	6.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Ganewatta	2017	1.0%	3.1%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam East	2017	0.4%	1.2%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Kahatagasdigiliya	2017	2.6%	5.7%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Madulla	2017	1.4%	5.0%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Galigamuwa	2017	0.9%	2.5%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Mundalama	2017	1.6%	5.6%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Pasbage Korale	2017	1.1%	4.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Pachchilaipalli	2017	2.1%	9.6%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Delft	2017	2.0%	6.2%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Wariyapola	2017	1.7%	4.9%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Welivitiya-Divithura	2017	0.6%	1.5%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Minipe	2017	1.1%	3.3%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Rideemaliyadda	2017	1.1%	2.8%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam West	2017	2.1%	9.6%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	K.F.G. & G. Korale	2017	1.0%	2.0%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Dompe	2017	0.7%	2.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai South-West	2017	3.9%	8.8%	1.7%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Town	2017	2.3%	10.3%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Kiriella	2017	0.7%	2.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Kegalle	2017	0.9%	2.6%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Sainthamarathu	2017	1.8%	6.5%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Millaniya	2017	0.5%	1.5%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Lunugamvehera	2017	1.3%	2.9%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Galle Four Gravets	2017	1.2%	4.3%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Musali	2017	3.2%	9.9%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Padaviya	2017	2.8%	10.2%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Damana	2017	1.3%	4.2%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Trincomalee	2017	2.1%	9.1%	0.2%
	Town and Gravets				
Sri Lanka	Hali-Ela	2017	1.3%	4.6%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Medawachchiya	2017	3.3%	9.1%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Ambalangoda	2017	1.0%	4.1%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Thambuttegama	2017	1.4%	5.3%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Kolonnawa	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Welimada	2017	1.1%	3.7%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Maha Vिलachchiya	2017	2.8%	9.6%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Siyambalanduwa	2017	1.8%	5.5%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Thihagoda	2017	1.8%	6.0%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Imaduwa	2017	1.1%	4.8%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Hildummulla	2017	1.2%	4.3%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Kalpitiya	2017	2.9%	7.9%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Seruvila	2017	2.7%	7.9%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Dankotuwa	2017	2.0%	6.8%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Bentota	2017	1.2%	6.2%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy East	2017	2.5%	9.6%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Nachchadoowa	2017	3.0%	9.0%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Puttalam	2017	2.3%	7.1%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Arachchikattuwa PS	2017	2.0%	6.3%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Weeraketiya	2017	1.7%	3.7%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Pallepola	2017	1.1%	3.0%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Rattota	2017	1.2%	4.2%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Beruwala	2017	1.0%	4.1%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Galnewa	2017	2.2%	8.2%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Mahawewa	2017	2.0%	5.5%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Soranathota	2017	1.4%	5.1%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Elahera	2017	1.3%	4.1%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Embilipitiya	2017	1.5%	5.0%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Hikkaduwa	2017	1.2%	4.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Hambantota	2017	1.3%	3.6%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Mahakumbukkaduwa	2017	1.5%	4.2%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Island South (Velanai)	2017	2.0%	6.4%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Hanguranketha	2017	0.8%	3.0%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Padiyathalawa	2017	1.3%	5.1%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Maharagama	2017	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Pannala	2017	1.4%	4.4%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Akuressa	2017	0.8%	2.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Akurana	2017	1.1%	5.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Bamunakotuwa	2017	1.5%	5.5%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Elapatha	2017	0.5%	1.7%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Kobeigane	2017	1.7%	6.9%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Jaffna	2017	1.1%	4.8%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Homagama	2017	0.6%	1.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Colombo	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Walallawita	2017	0.9%	3.1%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Katharagama	2017	1.1%	4.2%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Gampaha	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kandaketiya	2017	1.4%	5.5%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Galgamuwa	2017	1.1%	4.1%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Anamaduwā	2017	1.5%	3.9%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Ella	2017	1.4%	5.0%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Ambanganga Korale	2017	1.5%	5.5%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Katana	2017	0.4%	1.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Yatinuwara	2017	2.4%	8.3%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Walapane	2017	0.8%	3.0%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Udadumbara	2017	1.0%	3.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Wennappuwa	2017	2.0%	8.6%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Bibile	2017	1.5%	5.1%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Minuwangoda	2017	0.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Thirappane	2017	1.9%	5.8%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Manthai West	2017	3.3%	8.3%	0.9%
Sri Lanka	Passara	2017	1.2%	4.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchy North	2017	2.2%	10.2%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Laggala-Pallegama	2017	1.2%	4.3%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Mulatiyana	2017	3.7%	8.3%	0.9%
Sri Lanka	Nikaweratiya	2017	1.9%	6.3%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Alayadiwembu	2017	1.6%	6.8%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Kotapola	2017	1.1%	5.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Uhana	2017	1.6%	6.1%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha East	2017	2.9%	9.2%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Naula	2017	1.5%	5.5%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Dambulla	2017	1.2%	4.5%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Uva Paranagama	2017	1.0%	3.6%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Hingurakgoda	2017	0.8%	2.5%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Morawewa	2017	1.9%	6.6%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Matara Four Gravets	2017	1.7%	6.2%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Eravur Pattu	2017	1.5%	5.4%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Wilgamuwa	2017	1.8%	7.6%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Wattala	2017	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Beliatta	2017	2.1%	8.2%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Kamburupitiya	2017	2.8%	7.8%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Hatharaliyadda	2017	1.6%	7.5%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Nallur	2017	0.9%	4.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Addalachchenai	2017	1.4%	6.0%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Horowpothana	2017	2.1%	6.3%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Ambagamuwa	2017	0.6%	2.0%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Porativu Pattu	2017	1.6%	6.0%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Sooriyawewa	2017	1.2%	3.6%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Giribawa	2017	2.1%	9.9%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Pathahewaheta	2017	0.6%	2.0%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Yatawatta	2017	1.1%	3.6%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Wellawaya	2017	1.9%	6.2%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Mahara	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Lankapura	2017	1.0%	3.8%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Rasnayakapura	2017	1.5%	5.1%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Maritimepattu	2017	2.6%	8.6%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Bandaragama	2017	0.7%	2.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu West (Odd-amavadi)	2017	1.4%	5.2%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Valikamam North	2017	1.3%	5.3%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Katupotha	2017	1.1%	4.7%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Medirigiriya	2017	1.7%	5.1%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Kahawatta	2017	0.9%	3.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Galenbindunuwewa	2017	2.9%	9.3%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Thissamaharama	2017	1.4%	4.1%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Vadamaradchi South-West	2017	1.8%	7.1%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Baddegama	2017	0.9%	2.7%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya	2017	2.7%	6.9%	0.6%
	North				
Sri Lanka	Thamankaduwa	2017	0.5%	1.3%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Rajanganaya	2017	2.1%	7.9%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Mallawapitiya	2017	0.7%	2.1%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Moratuwa	2017	0.5%	2.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Kuchchaveli	2017	2.0%	6.3%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Palugaswewa	2017	0.8%	3.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Ruwanwella	2017	1.1%	3.9%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Bulathkohupitiya	2017	0.6%	1.7%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Lunugala	2017	1.3%	5.0%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Thampalakaman	2017	1.6%	6.3%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Gomarankadawala	2017	2.7%	8.6%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Devinuwara	2017	2.3%	8.6%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Athuraliya	2017	0.8%	2.2%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Kandawali	2017	2.6%	7.6%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Karuwalagaswewa	2017	2.7%	6.8%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Nivithigala	2017	0.8%	2.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Attanagalla	2017	1.0%	3.5%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Biyagama	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ratnapura	2017	0.5%	1.3%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Alawwa	2017	1.5%	6.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Imbulpe	2017	1.0%	3.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Kirinda-Puhulwella	2017	4.4%	14.1%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Dehiovita	2017	0.7%	2.8%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Kesbewa	2017	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Karativu	2017	1.9%	7.4%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Moneragala	2017	2.4%	6.4%	0.7%
Sri Lanka	Thawalama	2017	0.8%	2.5%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Deraniyagala	2017	0.4%	1.7%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Harispattuwa	2017	0.9%	2.9%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu	2017	1.2%	3.3%	0.2%
	North				
Sri Lanka	Weerambagedara	2017	1.2%	4.7%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Matale	2017	1.2%	4.2%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Udubaddawa	2017	2.4%	7.7%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Habaraduwa	2017	1.3%	5.3%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Maspotha	2017	1.4%	4.6%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Malimbada	2017	1.3%	4.9%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Kattankudy	2017	4.5%	14.9%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Chilaw	2017	2.5%	8.1%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Kotavehera	2017	1.3%	4.8%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Pathadumbara	2017	0.7%	2.7%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Nuwara Eliya	2017	1.0%	2.9%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Rideegama	2017	1.2%	4.3%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Mirigama	2017	1.6%	6.3%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Mawanella	2017	2.0%	8.8%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Balapitiya	2017	1.3%	4.7%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Sevanagala	2017	1.6%	6.2%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya	2017	4.2%	10.0%	1.5%
Sri Lanka	Neluwa	2017	0.8%	3.7%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Pitabeddara	2017	1.2%	4.8%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Kinniya	2017	3.0%	10.4%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Puthukudiyiruppu	2017	2.9%	9.7%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Kalutara	2017	0.8%	2.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Poonakary	2017	2.4%	6.8%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Aranayaka	2017	1.6%	5.4%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Nanaddan	2017	5.3%	14.5%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Samanthurai	2017	2.0%	5.9%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Sri Jayawardanapura Kotte	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ingiriya	2017	0.9%	3.9%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Kalmunai	2017	1.7%	7.7%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	N. Palatha Central	2017	2.8%	6.4%	1.0%
Sri Lanka	Dehiattakandiya	2017	1.9%	5.5%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai	2017	1.6%	6.1%	0.1%
	South and Eruvilpattu				
Sri Lanka	Ukuwela	2017	1.2%	5.5%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Padavi Sri Pura	2017	2.4%	9.0%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Badalkumbura	2017	1.9%	6.1%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Thirukkivil	2017	1.5%	6.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Vavuniya South	2017	4.6%	14.1%	0.6%
Sri Lanka	Mihinthale	2017	3.5%	11.5%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Pallama	2017	1.9%	7.1%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Weligama	2017	1.6%	5.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Mahaoya	2017	1.4%	4.5%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Kolonna	2017	0.9%	2.9%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Welipitiya	2017	1.5%	5.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Horana	2017	0.7%	2.6%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Navithanveli	2017	1.4%	5.7%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Bulathsinhala	2017	0.5%	1.8%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Elpitiya	2017	0.7%	2.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Poojapitiya	2017	1.3%	4.8%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai North	2017	4.0%	15.6%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Rambukkana	2017	1.3%	4.0%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Pothuvil	2017	1.9%	7.2%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Nawagattegama	2017	2.0%	6.3%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Panduwasnuwara	2017	1.2%	4.3%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Nagoda	2017	1.0%	2.8%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Ibbagamuwa	2017	1.0%	2.9%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Verugal	2017	1.2%	3.4%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Dodangoda	2017	0.9%	2.8%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Angunakolapelessa	2017	1.6%	4.4%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Negombo	2017	0.6%	2.4%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Dimbulagala	2017	1.2%	3.1%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Kuliyapitiya West	2017	1.1%	2.4%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Panadura	2017	0.7%	3.1%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Haputale	2017	1.2%	4.8%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Kebithigollewa	2017	2.4%	5.2%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Mannar Town	2017	3.6%	14.0%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Tangalle	2017	1.9%	5.6%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Agalawatta	2017	0.7%	2.9%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Kaduwela	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Islands North (Kayts)	2017	1.9%	8.2%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Koralai Pattu (Valachchenai)	2017	1.6%	6.0%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Dehiwala-Mount Lavinia	2017	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Sri Lanka	Ninthavur	2017	1.7%	6.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Yakkalamulla	2017	1.0%	3.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Thumpane	2017	1.5%	6.0%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Opanayaka	2017	0.9%	3.7%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sri Lanka	Bope-Poddala	2017	1.2%	4.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Thalawa	2017	1.1%	2.9%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Pelmadulla	2017	0.7%	2.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Doluwa	2017	2.5%	6.9%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Weligepola	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Muttur	2017	2.5%	8.3%	0.4%
Sri Lanka	Divulapitiya	2017	0.9%	3.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Ipalogama	2017	1.6%	4.3%	0.5%
Sri Lanka	Eragama	2017	2.0%	8.6%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Panvila	2017	0.8%	3.4%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Lahugala	2017	1.6%	4.7%	0.3%
Sri Lanka	Kundasale	2017	0.8%	3.5%	0.1%
Sri Lanka	Udunuwara	2017	3.9%	11.0%	0.8%
Sri Lanka	Kantalai	2017	1.5%	6.3%	0.2%
Sri Lanka	Manmunai	2017	6.0%	13.1%	3.1%
	Pattu (Araipattai)				
Sri Lanka	Karachchi	2017	3.2%	9.1%	0.4%
Thailand	Pa Sang	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Suwan Khuha	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Nong Phok	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Saphan Sung	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Ban Luam	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Huai Thap Than	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Wang Pong	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Tha Tum	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Khao Chamao	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Noen Sa-Nga	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Din Dang	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Ko Sichang	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Uthumphon Phisai	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Mae Mo	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Muang Phuket	2000	2.3%	2.9%	1.8%
Thailand	Bung Kan	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Sam Roi Yot	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Thawi Wat-tana	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Phang Khon	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Huai Kra Chao	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Ban Haet	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Rattana Buri	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Pla Pak	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Thailand	Sawi	2000	2.8%	3.5%	2.2%
Thailand	Cha-uat	2000	3.1%	3.7%	2.6%
Thailand	Wang Chan	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Phanom	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Chaiburi	2000	3.0%	3.6%	2.4%
Thailand	Moei Wadi	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Phon Sai	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Khuan Khanun	2000	3.0%	3.5%	2.5%
Thailand	Huai Thalang	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Na Chuak	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Tha Ta Kieb	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Pathum Ratwongsa	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Khuan Niang	2000	2.8%	3.4%	2.3%
Thailand	Prakanong	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Sanam Chaikhet	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Mae Suai	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Khura Buri	2000	3.0%	4.0%	2.2%
Thailand	Phaya Mengrai	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Tha Yang	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	3.4%	4.2%	2.8%
Thailand	Phu Rua	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Prang Ku	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Chiang Saen	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Doi Tao	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Nong Chang	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Nam Pat	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Mae Phrik	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	Loeng Nok Tha	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Saraburi	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Song Dao	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Khlong Hoi Kong	2000	2.8%	3.5%	2.3%
Thailand	Khiri Mat	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Thailand	K. Muang Yang	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Khlung	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Thailand	Na Mun	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Phatthana Nikhom	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Ban Phaeo	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Phu Khieo	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Na Tan	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Yan Ta Khao	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.4%
Thailand	Takua Pa	2000	3.2%	4.2%	2.5%
Thailand	Nang Rong	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Bang Khla	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Na Wang	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Na Yong	2000	3.1%	3.6%	2.5%
Thailand	Ruso	2000	3.0%	3.5%	2.5%
Thailand	Bang Lamung	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Chana	2000	2.7%	3.3%	2.2%
Thailand	Chulaphon	2000	3.2%	3.9%	2.7%
Thailand	Langu	2000	2.8%	3.5%	2.2%
Thailand	Si Rin Ton	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Ja-Nae	2000	3.5%	4.2%	2.7%
Thailand	Chaturaphak Phim	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Thepha	2000	2.6%	3.1%	2.2%
Thailand	Kumphawapi	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Pho Thong	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Non Sa-at	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Mae On	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Thailand	Huai Kwang	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Pho Si Suwan	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Hot	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Thailand	Khuan Ka Long	2000	3.0%	3.6%	2.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Wat Sing	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Wieng Kaen	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Cham Ni	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Thanyaburi	2000	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%
Thailand	Satuk	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Kanthararom	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Khao Chaison	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.4%
Thailand	Muang Sakon Nakhon	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Ban Tak	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	K. Ban Kha	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Tha Chana	2000	3.1%	3.9%	2.3%
Thailand	Phanat Nikhom	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Ko Kut	2000	1.1%	1.8%	0.6%
Thailand	Sankha Buri	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Khong Chiam	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Chom Bung	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Huai Khot	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Thawatchaburi	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Sawang Daen Din	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Sukhirin	2000	4.5%	5.6%	3.4%
Thailand	Phi Pun	2000	3.2%	3.8%	2.6%
Thailand	Si Sakhon	2000	3.3%	3.9%	2.7%
Thailand	Khuang Nai	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Phu Sing	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Borabu	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Mae Lao	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	K. Na Du	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Ko Samui	2000	2.8%	3.7%	2.0%
Thailand	Amphawa	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Tha Sae	2000	2.5%	3.3%	1.9%
Thailand	Prasaeng	2000	3.0%	3.6%	2.4%
Thailand	Muang Chaiyaphum	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Khlong Sam Wa	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Pho Chai	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Tha Rua	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Rangae	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.3%
Thailand	Phop Phra	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Thailand	Laem Ngop	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Wiang	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Nong Long	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	K. Phu Kam Yao	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Ratchasima	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Si Somdet	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Ban Mo	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Omko	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Ratchathewi	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%
Thailand	Lao Khwan	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Lam Thap	2000	3.0%	3.7%	2.4%
Thailand	Sikhorphum	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Mae Charim	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Non Thai	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Bang Bon	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Nong Bua	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Thailand	Kao Liao	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Thailand	K. Kao	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
	Kichakut				
Thailand	Wat Bot	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Lat Phrao	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Kut Bak	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Prakhon Chai	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Sai Mai	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Bacho	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.4%
Thailand	Warin Chamrap	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Khok Pho Cha	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Yang Talat	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon Si Ayutthaya	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Thung Chang	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Thai Charoen	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Chun	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Muang Sing Buri	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Phibun Mangsahan	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Khon Kaen	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Kham Muang	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Chatuchak	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Sukhothai	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Muang Nong Khai	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Krabi	2000	2.6%	3.0%	2.1%
Thailand	Si Sam Rong	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	Donmotdaeng	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Surin	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Ban Phai	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Bang Khen	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Kham Khuan Kaeo	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Mueang Kamphaeng Phet	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Khok Pho	2000	2.7%	3.2%	2.3%
Thailand	K. Chang Klang	2000	3.2%	3.9%	2.7%
Thailand	Tha Phae	2000	2.9%	3.6%	2.1%
Thailand	K. Non Sila	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Than To	2000	3.8%	4.7%	3.0%
Thailand	Phrasat	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Samsip	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Phrom Phiram	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Pa Mok	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Khanom	2000	3.1%	3.9%	2.4%
Thailand	K. Nong Ma Mong	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Thungkru	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Nam Yun	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Thailand	Bang Saphan	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Thailand	Phanom Thuan	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Mae Rim	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Thailand	K. Bung Samakki	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Pho Prathap Chan	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Thailand	K. Krong Pi Nung	2000	3.2%	3.8%	2.6%
Thailand	Han Kha	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Ta Phraya	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Nua Khlong	2000	2.5%	3.0%	1.9%
Thailand	Ko Lanta	2000	2.7%	3.4%	2.1%
Thailand	Thep Sathit	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Wat Phleng	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Phayuha Khiri	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Thailand	Khon Sawan	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Maha Chana Chai	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Wachira Barami	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Santi Suk	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Dong Luang	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2000	3.0%	3.5%	2.4%
Thailand	Si Wilai	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Na Noi	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Ao Luk	2000	3.0%	3.6%	2.4%
Thailand	K. Thung Kao Lua	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Bang Yai	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Si Songkhram	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Kok Sung	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Ban Khai	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Wanon Niwat	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Long	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2000	2.9%	3.5%	2.4%
Thailand	Na Duang	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Pattani	2000	2.3%	2.7%	2.0%
Thailand	Phanom Sarakham	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Sri Nakarin	2000	3.1%	3.6%	2.5%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Phra Phutthabat	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Don Sak	2000	3.0%	3.7%	2.4%
Thailand	Tha Maka	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Klaeng	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	U Thong	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Trang	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.3%
Thailand	Samko	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Soem Ngam	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	K. Chun Chom	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Ko Chang	2000	0.7%	1.2%	0.5%
Thailand	Huai Yot	2000	3.1%	3.6%	2.6%
Thailand	Nong Chok	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Nikhom Nam Un	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Tak	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Sam Ngam	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Thailand	K. Nong Hi	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Chiang Khong	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	K. Phu Pieng	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Ban Thaen	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Bang Rachan	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Nikhom	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
	Kham Soi				
Thailand	Ban Khwao	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Si Prachan	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Muak Lek	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Thap Sakae	2000	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Li	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Muang Suang	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Na Klang	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Chiang Kham	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Phu Luang	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Maha Rat	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Pho Tak	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Mae Ai	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	Ban Lat	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
	Kalasin				
Thailand	K. Na Yia	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Nong	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
	Khayang				
Thailand	Selaphum	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Samut	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
	Prakan				
Thailand	Chanuman	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.4%
Thailand	Rat Burana	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Pong Nam	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
	Ron				
Thailand	Dusit	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Wichian Buri	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Mayo	2000	2.7%	3.2%	2.4%
Thailand	Phrom Buri	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Kantharalak	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Wang Nam	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
	Yen				
Thailand	Pak Phayun	2000	2.8%	3.4%	2.3%
Thailand	Pang Ma Pha	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.5%
Thailand	Kaset Sombon	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Amphoe Sai	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
	Mun				
Thailand	Batong	2000	4.4%	6.0%	3.1%
Thailand	K. Rattana	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
	Wapi				
Thailand	Phimai	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Bang Khae	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%
Thailand	Non Din	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
	Daeng				
Thailand	Nakhon Thai	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Phachi	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Chat Trakan	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Thailand	Lan Krabu	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Uthai	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Bang Rak	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Chai Wan	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Ko Phangan	2000	3.0%	4.0%	2.1%
Thailand	Ubol Ratana	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Sap Yai	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Chon Buri	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Bang Kaeo	2000	2.8%	3.5%	2.3%
Thailand	Kamalasai	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Mai Kaen	2000	2.7%	3.2%	2.3%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Kabin Buri	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Takua Thung	2000	2.9%	3.6%	2.3%
Thailand	Mae Chan	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Waritchaphum	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Si Bun Ruang	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Soydow	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Kaeng Khoi	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Chatturat	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	K. Dong Charoen	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.7%
Thailand	Ka Pho	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.5%
Thailand	Lamduan	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Ko Yao	2000	2.5%	3.1%	2.1%
Thailand	Bang Pa-In	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Don Tan	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Chiang Dao	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Thailand	Muang Samut Songkhram	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Satun	2000	2.8%	3.8%	2.1%
Thailand	Na Haeo	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Sai Buri	2000	2.8%	3.3%	2.4%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Nam Kliang	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Saba Yoi	2000	3.0%	3.5%	2.5%
Thailand	Sri Ratana	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Ratanong	2000	3.7%	4.7%	2.7%
Thailand	Hua Hin	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Kra Buri	2000	3.2%	4.2%	2.4%
Thailand	Nong Phai	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Khlong Yai	2000	1.0%	1.7%	0.6%
Thailand	Muang Ratchaburi	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Si Muang Mai	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Changhan	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Phuttha Mon Thon	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Kaset Wisai	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Mancha Khiri	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Hua Taphan	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Phra Thong Kham	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Kho Wang	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Ban Phu	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Det Udom	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Thailand	Non Suwan	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Daen Kong	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Nong Don	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Lamae	2000	3.0%	3.8%	2.3%
Thailand	Muang Chan	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Khu Muang	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Kantrang	2000	2.9%	3.5%	2.3%
Thailand	K. Kwao Si Narin	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Ko Chan	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Na Mon	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Aranyaprathet	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Yala	2000	2.8%	3.3%	2.4%
Thailand	Wang Nua	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Nong Bunnak	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Si Satchanalai	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	K. Wang Chao	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Chum Phae	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Ban Kruat	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Tha Pla	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Nong Sung	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Doi Saket	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Thailand	Tha Li	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Thong Saen Khan	2000	1.2%	1.5%	0.8%
Thailand	Muang Phatthalung	2000	2.9%	3.3%	2.3%
Thailand	Thung Si Udom	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Bang Len	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Bo Phloi	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Phen	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Khlong Luang	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Bo Klue	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Thailand	K. The Pha Rak	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Bang Sai	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Panom Phrai	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Don Phut	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Bua Chet	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Tak Bai	2000	2.5%	3.1%	1.9%
Thailand	Tron	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Muang Maha Sarakam	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Suwannaphum	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Samrong	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Nam Phong	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Buang Sam Phan	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	K. Mae Poen	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	K. Wieng Chiang	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	K. Doi Lo	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Makham	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Rayong	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Wiang Kao	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Tha Mai	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Phrao	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	Phra Pra Daeng	2000	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%
Thailand	Pak Tho	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Mae Chaem	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	K. Sida	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Khan Na Yao	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Thong Pha Phum	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Thailand	K. Sila Lat	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Wang Sa-phung	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Bang Khan	2000	3.2%	3.7%	2.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Non Sung	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Kae Dam	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Nong Song	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Hong				
Thailand	Tha Wang	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Pha				
Thailand	Don Chedi	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Waeng	2000	4.2%	5.5%	3.1%
Thailand	Chon Daen	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	K. Prachak	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Silapakhom				
Thailand	Thung Song	2000	3.3%	3.9%	2.8%
Thailand	K. Sam Sung	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Rasada	2000	3.3%	3.8%	2.7%
Thailand	At Samat	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Ongkharak	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Phato	2000	3.5%	4.3%	2.6%
Thailand	Sikhiu	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Suan Luang	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Ban Pho	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Sawang Arom	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Pak Phli	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Udon	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Thani				
Thailand	Tha Uthen	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	San Kam-	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	phaeng				
Thailand	Wattana	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Thap Than	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Phra Yun	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Dok Kham	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Tai				
Thailand	Pho Si	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Pak Khat	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Ma Nang	2000	2.9%	3.6%	2.4%
Thailand	Si That	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Benchalak	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Umphang	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Na Kae	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Noen	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Maprang				
Thailand	K. Sam Chai	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Nong Na	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Kham				
Thailand	Chaiyo	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Khuan Don	2000	2.9%	3.7%	2.3%
Thailand	Sanphaya	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Na Di	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Chum Phuang	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Lahan Sai	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Bo Rai	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Thailand	Nong Han	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Damnoen Sad-	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	uak				
Thailand	In Buri	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Wang Sam Mo	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Somdet	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Bung Khong	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Long				
Thailand	Pluak Daeng	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Amphoe	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Ya-				
Thailand	sothon				

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Mae Taeng	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	Kham Ta Kla	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Dan Sai	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Pai	2000	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Thailand	Don Tum	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Ko Kha	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Photharam	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Mae Sai	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Thailand	Tak Fa	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Muang	2000	2.7%	3.2%	2.1%
	Narathiwat				
Thailand	Sangkhla Buri	2000	1.1%	1.8%	0.7%
Thailand	Hat Yai	2000	2.8%	3.4%	2.3%
Thailand	Muang	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
	Suphanburi				
Thailand	Kathu	2000	2.5%	3.1%	1.9%
Thailand	Thung Wa	2000	3.0%	3.7%	2.3%
Thailand	Si Thep	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Song Kwae	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	K. Phanom	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
	Dong Rak				
Thailand	Bang Saphan	2000	1.8%	2.4%	1.3%
	Noi				
Thailand	Muang	2000	2.7%	3.4%	2.3%
	Songkhla				
Thailand	Sattahip	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Palian	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.3%
Thailand	Muang Muk-	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
	dahan				
Thailand	Muang Non-	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
	thaburi				
Thailand	K. Lam Tha	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
	Men Chai				
Thailand	Khao Phanom	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.3%
Thailand	Tao Ngoi	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Samut	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
	Sakhon				
Thailand	Muang Chi-	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
	ang Rai				
Thailand	Mae Tha	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Watthana	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
	Nakhon				
Thailand	K. Doi Luang	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	K. Ko Sam Pi	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
	Nakhon				
Thailand	Mae La Noi	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Thailand	Kong Ra	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.4%
Thailand	Rasi Salai	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Nongkheam	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Phi-	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
	chit				
Thailand	Muang Kan-	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
	chanaburi				
Thailand	Si Chiang Mai	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Chai	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
	Nat				
Thailand	K. Nong Hin	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Pa Bon	2000	2.8%	3.3%	2.3%
Thailand	Wan Yai	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Min Buri	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Sai Ngam	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Chok Chai	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Nong Muang Kai	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	Na Yung	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Thap Put	2000	3.2%	3.9%	2.6%
Thailand	Kui Buri	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Prachuap	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Khiri Khan				
Thailand	Si Banphot	2000	3.2%	3.7%	2.6%
Thailand	Bung Bun	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Khlong Thom	2000	2.6%	3.2%	2.1%
Thailand	Buntharik	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Thailand	Waeng Noi	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Khok Sri Supan	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Dan Chang	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Tha Wung	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Muang Mae Hong Son	2000	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Thon Buri	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Bannang Star	2000	3.5%	4.2%	2.9%
Thailand	K. Hat Samran	2000	2.8%	3.4%	2.2%
Thailand	Bang Krathum	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Thailand	Banphot Phisai	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Thailand	Akat Amnuai	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Manorom	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Chiang Klang	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Den Chai	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Lat Yao	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	K. Khlong Khuan	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Pong	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.7%
Thailand	Krasae Sinthu	2000	3.0%	3.6%	2.4%
Thailand	Chaiya	2000	2.9%	3.6%	2.2%
Thailand	Khamcha-i	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Suk Samran	2000	3.1%	4.2%	2.3%
Thailand	Phayu	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Nong Khae	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Wang Num Khiaw	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Khukhan	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Phichai	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	Nam Som	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Raman	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.4%
Thailand	Kut Chum	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Kanchanadit	2000	2.9%	3.5%	2.3%
Thailand	Khao Wong	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Non Daeng	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Phangnga	2000	3.1%	3.8%	2.5%
Thailand	Bang Bo	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Roi Et	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Bangkhuntien	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Sikao	2000	2.9%	3.5%	2.3%
Thailand	Thoeng	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	San Pa Tong	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Yaha	2000	3.2%	3.8%	2.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Na Pho	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Kaeng Krachan	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Nong Chik	2000	2.6%	3.0%	2.2%
Thailand	Sungai Padi	2000	3.4%	4.2%	2.6%
Thailand	So Phisai	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Kuchinarai	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Thai Muang	2000	3.0%	3.8%	2.4%
Thailand	Khian Sa	2000	3.0%	3.7%	2.5%
Thailand	Khok Charoen	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Pha Khao	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Rong Kwang	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Krasang	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Tha Khantho	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Chum Ta Bong	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Samrong Thap	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Khemarat	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Prachin	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Buri	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Chachoengsao	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Thung Fon	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Sam Phran	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Lam Son Thi	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Sahatsakhan	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Bang Mun Nak	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Chawang	2000	3.2%	3.7%	2.6%
Thailand	Wang Thonglang	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Prawet	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Chian Yai	2000	3.4%	4.2%	2.8%
Thailand	Mae Fa Luang	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Lam Luk Ka	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Sam Khok	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Sai Thong Watthana	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Sichon	2000	3.1%	3.9%	2.5%
Thailand	Huai Phung	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Chiang Muan	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	K. Wang Yang	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Ban Sang	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Fang	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.7%
Thailand	Ranot	2000	2.8%	3.5%	2.3%
Thailand	Thoen	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	Khiri Ratthanikhom	2000	3.2%	4.0%	2.6%
Thailand	Sam Ngao	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Thailand	Muang Buri Ram	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	San Sai	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Thailand	Bang Kapi	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Ban Hong	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Ka Bang	2000	3.2%	3.8%	2.6%
Thailand	Pak Chom	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Pho Thale	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Ban Phaeng	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Wiang Pa Pao	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Erawan	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Su-ngai Ko Lok	2000	3.3%	4.2%	2.5%
Thailand	Ban Fang	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Mai	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Pathum Rat	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Lom Kao	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Phu Kradung	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Ban Mai Chai Pho	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Si Racha	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Pua	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	K. Sak Lek	2000	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Thailand	Muang Loei	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Song Phi Nong	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Bang Klam	2000	3.0%	3.6%	2.4%
Thailand	Ban Mi	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Na Wa	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Noen Kham	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Nong Ya Plong	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Mae Wang	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Phasi Charoen	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Fak Tha	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Ban Thi	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Si Nakhon	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Thailand	Kham Sakae Saeng	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Thap Khlo	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Thailand	Muang Lop Buri	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Kusuman	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Nakhon Luang	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Lu Amnat	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Khun Yuam	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.4%
Thailand	Pa Kham	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Thung Tako	2000	3.0%	3.8%	2.3%
Thailand	Chom Phra	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Wang Chin	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Thailand	Pak Thong Chai	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Pathum Thani	2000	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%
Thailand	Saraphi	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Khon Buri	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Tha Song Yang	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Thailand	Kamphaeng Saen	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Mueang Pan	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Thailand	Pa Daet	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Phlapplachai	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Kong Krailat	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	Klong Khlung	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Taphan Hin	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Thailand	Nong Muang	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Sri Narong	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Nan	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Phon Charoen	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Phon Thong	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Klong Lan	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Khong	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Kut Chap	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Pang Sila Thong	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Thalang	2000	2.5%	3.2%	1.9%
Thailand	Chumsaeng	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Phan	2000	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Thailand	Hang Dong	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Bang Plad	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Laem Sing	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	K. Kut Rang	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Si Mahosot	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Nakhon Chaisi	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Samphantawong	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Kham Thala So	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Bua Lai	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Bang Pakong	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Na Thawi	2000	2.8%	3.3%	2.3%
Thailand	Cha-Am	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Pathiu	2000	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%
Thailand	Phaya Thai	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Phon Na Kaeo	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Ban Muang	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Dan Makham Tia	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Ban Dan	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Kap Choeng	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Khao Suan Kwang	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Khok Sam-rong	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Sawaengha	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Phayao	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Nong Prue	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Mae Sariang	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.6%
Thailand	Kut Khao Pun	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	La-Un	2000	3.6%	4.6%	2.6%
Thailand	Khlong Hat	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Bangkok Noi	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Phon Sawan	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Phak Hai	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Plai Phraya	2000	3.2%	3.9%	2.6%
Thailand	Phra Samut Jadee	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Ban Na San	2000	3.1%	3.7%	2.5%
Thailand	Tha Tako	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Nong Bua Rawae	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Khlong San	2000	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%
Thailand	Tha Bo	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Bang Sao Thon	2000	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Sra Both	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Bang Ban	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Sung Noen	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Lat Krabang	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Tamot	2000	2.8%	3.2%	2.3%
Thailand	Ban Phraek	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Tham Phan-nara	2000	3.1%	3.7%	2.5%
Thailand	Chae Hom	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Thailand	Phu Wiang	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Khun Tan	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Nong Rua	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Non Narai	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Ban Ta Khun	2000	2.9%	3.6%	2.4%
Thailand	Muang Chumphon	2000	2.4%	3.1%	1.9%
Thailand	Ban Chang	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Lat Lum Kaeo	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Khao Saming	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Thailand	Waeng Yai	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Bung Kum	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Na Mom	2000	3.1%	3.8%	2.5%
Thailand	Rattaphum	2000	2.9%	3.3%	2.3%
Thailand	Wang Muang	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Pak Phanang	2000	3.4%	4.2%	2.8%
Thailand	Muang Surat Thani	2000	2.7%	3.3%	2.1%
Thailand	K. Sawang Weeraw	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Don Muang	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Krathum Baen	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%
Thailand	Pa Payom	2000	3.2%	3.8%	2.7%
Thailand	Sathorn	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Wang Sai Phun	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2000	2.9%	3.6%	2.2%
Thailand	Bang Na	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Bamnet Narong	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Wiang Haeng	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Thailand	Hang Chat	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Tan Sum	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Sanom	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Phaisali	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Sop Prap	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Nam Nao	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Cho-I-rong	2000	2.8%	3.4%	2.2%
Thailand	Nong Wua So	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Kranuan	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Wang Noi	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Song	2000	1.0%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Wiset Chai Chan	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Pom Pram Sattru	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Lam-pang	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Thailand	Ron Phi Pun	2000	3.5%	4.2%	2.9%
Thailand	Khai Bang Rachan	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Phayakkhaphum Phisai	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Nong Ya Sai	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Chiang Khan	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Yi-ngo	2000	2.7%	3.2%	2.2%
Thailand	Phran Kratai	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Bang Nam Prieo	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Wang Thong	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.9%
Thailand	Muang Sa Kaeo	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Chareon Silp	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Bangkok Yai	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Khanu Woralaksaburi	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Phra Phrom	2000	3.4%	4.1%	2.8%
Thailand	Yarang	2000	2.6%	3.1%	2.3%
Thailand	Taling Chan	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Bang Pla Ma	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Si Chomphu	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Trat	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Thailand	Khon San	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Yang Si Surat	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Suan Phung	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Soeng Sang	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Dan Khun Thot	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Chanthaburi	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Phakdi Chumphol	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	K. Bung Khla	2000	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Si Thammarat	2000	3.4%	4.1%	2.9%
Thailand	K. Fao Rai	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Phibun Rak	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Chai Badan	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Thung Hua Chang	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Sam Chuk	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Sa Khrai	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Nong Yai	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Lam Plai Mat	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Pra Thai	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Lan Sak	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Muang Uthai Thani	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Senangkhanikhom	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Nam Khun	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Bang Plee	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Nong Bua Lam Phu	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Nong Bua Daeng	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Lan Saka	2000	3.6%	4.4%	3.1%
Thailand	Thung Yang Daeng	2000	2.9%	3.4%	2.5%
Thailand	Nong Kung Si	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Wang Hin	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Sawankhalok	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	Ngao	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Kang Hang Maeo	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Chiang Yun	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Bang Bua Thong	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Lam-phun	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Tha Sala	2000	3.4%	4.1%	2.7%
Thailand	Pak Chong	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Wiang Chai	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Muang Ang Thong	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Mae Lan	2000	2.8%	3.2%	2.4%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Sawan	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Lat Bua Luang	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Phana	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Chai Prakarn	2000	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Thailand	Pathum Wan	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Yaring	2000	2.6%	3.1%	2.2%
Thailand	Sadao	2000	2.9%	3.6%	2.3%
Thailand	Sop Moei	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.6%
Thailand	Panare	2000	2.9%	3.5%	2.4%
Thailand	Si Sawat	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Bang Kho Laem	2000	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%
Thailand	Pa Tiu	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Non Sang	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Sung Men	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Thailand	Krok Phra	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Nong Hong	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Ban Khok	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	K. Chiang Kwan	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Kao Cha Kan	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Phrommakhiri	2000	3.6%	4.4%	3.0%
Thailand	Plaeng Yao	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Nong Sua	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Ut-taradit	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Kapong	2000	3.5%	4.3%	2.8%
Thailand	K. Nophi Tam	2000	3.5%	4.1%	2.8%
Thailand	Ban Bung	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Bang Pahan	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Si Sa Ket	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Na Thom	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Chumphon Buri	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Mae Ramat	2000	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Thailand	Na Bon	2000	3.3%	4.0%	2.8%
Thailand	Kantharawichai	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Lao Sua Kok	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Phetchaburi	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Takhli	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Ban Luang	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Mae Sot	2000	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Thailand	Phunphin	2000	2.8%	3.5%	2.2%
Thailand	Ban Dan Lan Hoi	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Sao Hai	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Ku Kaeo	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Ban Na Doem	2000	3.2%	3.9%	2.6%
Thailand	Chakkarat	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Sena	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Huai Rat	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Sai Noi	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Bang Khon Ti	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Nikhom Pattan	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Tha Luang	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Non Khun	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Doembang Nangbua	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Khao Yoi	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Prachantakham	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Ratchasan	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Trakan Phut- phon	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Khun Han	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Seka	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Nayok	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Pak Kret	2000	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%
Thailand	Bang Phae	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Don Chan	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Wang Wiset	2000	3.0%	3.6%	2.4%
Thailand	Wapi Pathum	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Lak Si	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Laplae	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Muang Ubon Ratchatani	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Phan Thong	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Pathom	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Kaeng Khlo	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Sri Mahar Pho	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Phon	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Mae Chai	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Bang Rakam	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Lang Suan	2000	3.0%	3.8%	2.3%
Thailand	Sangkha	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Phanom	2000	3.3%	4.1%	2.7%
Thailand	Phu Phan	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Hua Sai	2000	3.1%	3.8%	2.4%
Thailand	Bua Yai	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Wihan Daeng	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Kaeng Sanam Nang	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Muang Phrae	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	That Phanom	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Khlong Toey	2000	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Wipawadi	2000	3.2%	3.9%	2.4%
Thailand	Na Dun	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Sai Yok	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Thailand	Yang Chum Noi	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Ban Pong	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Mae Wong	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Ban Laem	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Puai Noi	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Samoeng	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	Ban Rai	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Thung Yai	2000	3.0%	3.6%	2.5%
Thailand	Thung Saliam	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Yannawa	2000	0.5%	0.5%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Phu Sang	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Thailand	Muang Amnat Charoen	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Na Chaluai	2000	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Phitsanulok	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	K. Na Yai Am	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Wang Sombun	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Bung Narang	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Phanna Nikhom	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Renu Nakhon	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Muang Phetchabun	2000	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Phupa Man	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Bang Kruai	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Ban Dung	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Sathing Phra	2000	2.7%	3.3%	2.2%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Phutthaisong	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Nong Ki	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Thailand	Huai Mek	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Kapoe	2000	3.2%	4.1%	2.3%
Thailand	Pran Buri	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	K. Kong Chai	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Bo Thong	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Ban Na	2000	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Tha Muang	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Phon Phisai	2000	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Rong Kham	2000	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%
Thailand	Kosum Phisai	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Chonnabot	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%
Thailand	Phrai Bung	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Thailand	Bang Su	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Thailand	Singha Nakhon	2000	2.7%	3.4%	2.2%
Thailand	Khao Kho	2000	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Lom Sak	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Prakanong	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Si Muang Mai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Sam Ngam	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Thailand	Kanthararom	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Borabu	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Bung Bun	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Thailand	Thanyaburi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Sam-sip	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Uthumphon Phisai	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Nong Chok	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Chaturaphak Phim	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Tak Bai	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Ban Kha	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Sawang Daen Din	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Mae On	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Thung Chang	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Rattana Wapi	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Phibun Mangsahan	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Huai Thalang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Selaphum	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Det Udom	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Bang Khae	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Dusit	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Mae Charim	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Tha Yang	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Tha Phae	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Bua Chet	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Nong Ma Mong	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Thung Wa	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Thailand	Cha-uat	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Ban Thaen	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Sukhothai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Prasaeng	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Amphawa	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Langu	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Phayuha Khiri	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Maha Chana Chai	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Phu Pieng	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Na Mun	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Sai Mai	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Rai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Sai Thong Watthana	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Non Din Daeng	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Ban Phai	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Pluak Daeng	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Bang Khen	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Ko Samui	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Thailand	Muak Lek	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Samut Sakhon	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Khuang Nai	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Chang Klang	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	K. Pho Si Suwan	2017	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%
Thailand	Wang Pong	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Nang Rong	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Ko Kut	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Thailand	Ban Phaeo	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Ko Yao	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Ruso	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Thawi Wat-tana	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Si Banphot	2017	1.0%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Don Phut	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Wieng Chiang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Cham Ni	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Suang	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Sap Yai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Sri Nakarin	2017	1.0%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Pho Chai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Samrong Thap	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Thailand	Uthai	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Song Dao	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Chiang Muan	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Kaset Wisai	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Phu Sing	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Chatuchak	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Don Chedi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Pho Thong	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Si Somdet	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Tha Ta Kieb	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Krabi	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Phrasat	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Bo	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Chiang Saen	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Ratchasima	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Ta Phraya	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Takua Thung	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Khuan Khanun	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Thepha	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Sai Ngam	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Kut Bak	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Tha Khantho	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Waritchaphum	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Sattahip	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Prakhon Chai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Tha Uthen	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Maha Sarakam	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Kham Muang	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Na Tan	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Nong Bua	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Hat Samran	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Phu Wiang	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Na Noi	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Nam Som	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Kabin Buri	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Sang Khom	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Wang Nam Yen	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Pattani	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Mayo	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Sanphaya	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Ban Na Doem	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Nong Ya Plong	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Phang Khon	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Hot	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Sawang Arom	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Na Chuak	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Khun Yuam	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Raman	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	K. Wang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Chao				
Thailand	K. Khlong	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Khuan				
Thailand	Wang Chan	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Khura Buri	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Thailand	Pak Phanang	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Wat Phleng	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Thung Si	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Udom				
Thailand	K. Daen Kong	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Doi Lo	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Phachi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Kantrang	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Chun	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Satun	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Thailand	Mancha Khiri	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Mueang Kam- phaeng Phet	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Omkoi	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Chian Yai	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Muang Phi- chit	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	San Kam- phaeng	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Wanon Niwat	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Chai Prakarn	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Prang Ku	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Chum Ta Bong	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Chiang Dao	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Ban Tak	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Songkhla Lake	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Si Rin Ton	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Nong Phai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Mae Rim	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Pa Sang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Taphan Hin	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Na Di	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Si Wilai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Thailand	Satuk	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Noen Sa-Nga	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Khok Pho	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Bung Khong Long	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Kut Chap	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Sai Noi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Amphoe Muang Ya- sothon	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Non Sila	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Takua Pa	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Thailand	Sanam Chaikhet	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Ja-Nae	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	Bang Khan	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Ko Lanta	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Khai Bang Rachan	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Si Thep	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Ratanong	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.4%
Thailand	Khlong Thom	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Khuan Niang	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Pua	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Dong Charoen	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Thailand	Kaeng Khoi	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Samko	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Samut Prakan	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Than To	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.8%
Thailand	Wieng Kaen	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Sila Lat	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Thailand	Lat Krabang	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Udon Thani	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Phu Rua	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Wiang Pa Pao	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Thong Saen Khan	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Sikhoraphum	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Khao Chamao	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Nong Phok	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Khlong Yai	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Prachak Silapakhom	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Nong Chang	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Phi Pun	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Bang Pakong	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Chai Wan	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Bang Kapi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Banphot Phisai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Hua Taphan	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Rattaphum	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Mae Sai	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Bung Khla	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Nong Kung Si	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Ban Thi	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Phayao	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Hua Hin	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Kut Khao Pun	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Tha Maka	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Nong Muang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Thailand	Chumphon Buri	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Hang Chat	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Thailand	Khlung	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Thailand	Phichai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Tha Tako	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Thailand	Khanu Worakabsaburi	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Yala	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Soydow	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Nong Bunnak	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Tao Ngoi	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Saraburi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Chulaphon	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Sam Khok	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Lam Thap	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	K. Na Du	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Huai Thap Than	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Kantharalak	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon Si Ayutthaya	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Na Wang	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Wang Yang	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Phanom Thuan	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Phen	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Saphan Sung	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Bang Len	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Wat Bot	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Bang Lamung	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Bo Klue	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Tha Mai	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Nam Pat	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Wang Num Khiaw	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Seka	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Bannang Star	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.8%
Thailand	Wang Thonglang	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Thong Pha Phum	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Ban	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Wichian Buri	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Wang Muang	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Noen Kham	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Tha Pla	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Khao Chaison	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Tham Phannara	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	K. Ko Sam Pi Nakhon	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Phangnga	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Wattana	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Bangkhuntien	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Phuket	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	San Pa Tong	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Dok Kham Tai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Klaeng	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Chon Daen	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Nua Khlong	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Muang Kan- chanaburi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Pathum Rat	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Kang Hang Maeo	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Na Pho	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Nongkheam	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Waeng Yai	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Kanchanadit	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Mae Suai	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Han Kha	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Khemarat	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Bang Pahan	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Chum Phae	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Rattana Buri	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Thailand	Tron	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Pa Payom	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Muang Chon Buri	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Kra Buri	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Thailand	K. Sam Roi Yot	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Lamae	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Lan Krabu	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Thung Song	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Chai Badan	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Nong Hin	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Chawang	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Lam Luk Ka	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Ratchasan	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	At Samat	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Khok Pho Cha	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Don Tan	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Li	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Wachira Barami	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Phrom Buri	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Tha Tum	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Mae Poen	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Ratch- aburi	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Phetchaburi	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Wiang Kao	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Donmotdaeng	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Buri Ram	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Muk- dahan	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Bung Kum	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Warin Cham- rap	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Nong Wua So	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Ban Na San	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Klong Lan	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Soem Ngam	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Khon Sawan	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Wat Sing	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Pak Phli	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Bang Bon	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Long	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Phanom Sarakham	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	K. Erawan	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Phutthaisong	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Chom Bung	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Si Bun Ruang	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Na Yong	2017	0.9%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Mae Ai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Mae Mo	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Nong Khae	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Pho Si	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	So Phisai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Kok Sung	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	K. Phra Thong Kham	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Krasang	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Nong Na Kham	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Santi Suk	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Ban Kruat	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Sri Ratana	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Pathum Ratwongsa	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Huai Kwang	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Nong Prue	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Kham Thala So	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Si Chiang Mai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Ranot	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Phon	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Pong Nam Ron	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Nong Bua Rawae	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Thon Buri	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Phak Hai	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Bangkok Yai	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Si Prachan	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Chom Phra	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Ko Phangan	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Thailand	Chumsaeng	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Suan Luang	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Khuan Ka Long	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Ban Phu	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Khlong Sam Wa	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Manorom	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Moei Wadi	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Ron Phi Pun	2017	0.9%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Krasae Sinthu	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Kaset Sombon	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Si Nakhon	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Ban Haet	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Non Sang	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Bangkok Noi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Mae Ramat	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Na Yia	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	U Thong	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Laem Ngop	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Thailand	Palian	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Kham Ta Kla	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Nong Sua	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Dan Sai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Kho Wang	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Non Daeng	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Ban Pho	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	K. Sawang Weeraw	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Mae Tha	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Laem Sing	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Thungkru	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Doi Saket	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Chaiyaphum	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Lom Kao	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Sakon Nakhon	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Phu Kradung	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Sungai Padi	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Thailand	K. Bua Lai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Suwan Khuha	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Nong Ki	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Phetchabun	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Chat Trakan	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Wan Yai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Nong Khayang	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Umphang	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Krong Pi Nung	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Min Buri	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	K. Bang Sao Thon	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Chok Chai	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Tha Luang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Na Duang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Na Yung	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Ban Phaeng	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Thailand	Phakdi Chumphol	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Phu Luang	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Prachuap Khiri Khan	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Wang Sam Mo	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Khok Sri Sapan	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Pa Kham	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Mun Nak	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Phon Sawan	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Thailand	That Phanom	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Mae Chaem	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Si Satchanalai	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Phan	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Phra Samut Jadedee	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Samut Songkhram	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Lat Phrao	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Sai Buri	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Ko Chang	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Sangkha Buri	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Thailand	Phaya Mengrai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Sawi	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Khlong Hoi Kong	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Yi-ngo	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Muang Chan	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Thailand	Ban Lat	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	K. Wiang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Nong Long				
Thailand	K. Sri Narong	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Bo Phloi	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Chumphon	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	Lam Plai Mat	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Non-thaburi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Nan	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Khong Chiam	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Ban Hong	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Chun Chom	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Ubol Ratana	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Tamot	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Kamphaeng Saen	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Mae Lao	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Watthana Nakhon	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Pa Mok	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Ban Mo	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Nong Chik	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Pak Khat	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Thailand	Ban Khai	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	K. Ma Nang	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Ngao	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Khao Yoi	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Pathiu	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Thailand	K. Sak Lek	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Na Mon	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Ang Thong	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Phrom Phiram	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Mae Taeng	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Saba Yoi	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Nong Muang Kai	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Khao Wong	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Hang Dong	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Dan Makham Tia	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Na Wa	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Khok Samrong	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Khon Ti	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Ban Mi	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Sam Phran	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Ban Luam	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Wang Sombun	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Lop Buri	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Phran Kratai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Amnat Charoen	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Kalasin	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Khlong San	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Phra Phrom	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Pai	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Si Sakhon	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Chanuman	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Bang Krathum	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Na Haeo	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Huai Khot	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Rangae	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Muang Sing Buri	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Kae Dam	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Ko Chan	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Don Sak	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Bua Yai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Yan Ta Khao	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	K. Bung Narang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Nam Khun	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Lam Tha Men Chai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Pra Thai	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Ubon Ratchatani	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Phon Thong	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Sung Noen	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Sop Moei	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Kranuan	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Aranyaprathet	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Bang Plad	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Nong Bua Lam Phu	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Chanthaburi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Kong Ra	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Su-ngai Ko Lok	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.5%
Thailand	Lao Khwan	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Muang Yang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Changhan	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Phanom	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Thailand	Cha-Am	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Bang Bua Thong	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Tha Chang	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Na Kae	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Khlong Luang	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Bamnet Narong	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Pho Prathap Chan	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Senangkhanikhon	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Phato	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.6%
Thailand	Pho Thale	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Kapong	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Thailand	Muang Surat Thani	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Nong Bua Daeng	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Sadao	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Nam Nao	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Prachantakham	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Bang Kaeo	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Khuan Don	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Thung Fon	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Ao Luk	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Thawatchaburi	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Nong Hi	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Khiri Mat	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Thung Tako	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Kusuman	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Phra Pra Daeng	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Mae Hong Son	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Sra Both	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Phrao	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Wang Chin	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Sida	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Ko Kha	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Thap Sakae	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Thailand	Mueang Pan	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Bung Kan	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Narathiwat	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Nong Rua	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Sankha Buri	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Doembang Nangbua	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Khla	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Waeng	2017	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Thailand	Thung Hua Chang	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Trang	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Phayu	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Ban Luang	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Si Songkhram	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Thailand	Ban Pong	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Ban Chang	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Kut Chum	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Loeng Nok Tha	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Pak Chom	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Pathum Thani	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Kap Choeng	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Sikhiu	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Soeng Sang	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Nikhom Nam Un	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Phatthana Nikhom	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Phae	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Chiang Khan	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Renu Nakhon	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Sanom	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Chiang Yun	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Tan Sum	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Wiang Chai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Pa Bon	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Phu Phan	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Phaya Thai	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Kamalasai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Nam Kliang	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Suwannaphum	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Wang Noi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Song	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Nophi Tam	2017	1.2%	1.6%	0.9%
Thailand	Dong Luang	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Wiang Sa	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Huai Yot	2017	0.9%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Nam Phong	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Kao Liao	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Lam Son Thi	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Mae Sariang	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Rayong	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Bang Nam Prieo	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Ban Laem	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Si Sawat	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Lu Amnat	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Sa Kaeo	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Dan Chang	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Tha Wung	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Tha Bo	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Somdet	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Mae Fa Luang	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Khan Na Yao	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Phasi Charoen	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Tak Fa	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Thailand	Saraphi	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Don Tum	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Khon Buri	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Photharam	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	K. Kut Rang	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Na Bon	2017	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Thailand	Tha Chana	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Pla Pak	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Hat Yai	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Kong Krailat	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Plaeng Yao	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	K. Non Narai	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Ban Ta Khun	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Phon Charoen	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Sa Khrai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Kantharawichai	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Utaradit	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Nikhom Kham Soi	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	K. Ban Dan	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Ban Khwao	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Si Sa Ket	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Mae Lan	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Kham Khuan Kaeo	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Ratchathewi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Mae La Noi	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Pom Pram Sattru	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Suan Phung	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Prachin Buri	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Sichon	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Si Mahosot	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Phon Sai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Nakhon Chaisi	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Chai Nat	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Si Racha	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Ban Fang	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Rasi Salai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Na	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	K. Don Chan	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Lamduan	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Pa-In	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Na Klang	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Wapi Pathum	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Khong	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Lang Suan	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Ban Dan Lan Hoi	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Phrommakhiri	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Lat Bua Luang	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Lam-pang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Non Thai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Maha Rat	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Kui Buri	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	La-Un	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.5%
Thailand	Chum Phuang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Pho Tak	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Bo Rai	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Wang Thong	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Waeng Noi	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Chareon Silp	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Kao Cha Kan	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Taling Chan	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Ban Khok	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Prawet	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Pak Thong Chai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Sai	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Chaiyo	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Chiang Klang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Wiset Chai Chan	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Nong Sung	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Thung Yang Daeng	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Fak Tha	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Pak Phayun	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Phlapphlachai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Amphoe Sai Mun	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Phimai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Khamcha-i	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Phop Phra	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Kao Kichakut	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	K. Kwao Si Narin	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Khao Saming	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Thailand	Lak Si	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Khao Phanom	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Khukhan	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Wang Nua	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Bacho	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Pang Sila Thong	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Rong Kwang	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Akat Amnuai	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Don Muang	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Roi Et	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Thap Than	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Nam Yun	2017	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Tha Sae	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Thailand	Ban Mai Chai Pho	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Phunphin	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Sai Yok	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Yang Chum Noi	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Si That	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Chatturat	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Lam-phun	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Samphantawong	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Phan Thong	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Khok Charoen	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Phana	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Ongkharak	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Yang Si Surat	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Ban Bung	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Phrae	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Takhli	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Plee	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Non Suwan	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Samrong	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Bang Kho Laem	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Chiang Kham	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Kong Chai	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Nayok	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	K. Bung Samakki	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Yarang	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Nakhon Luang	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Nong Hong	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Phon Na Kaeo	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Lat Lum Kaeo	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Non Khun	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Ban Phraek	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Yaring	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Wang Sa-phung	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Pa Daet	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Nong Don	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Non Sung	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Khon Kaen	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Tha Wang Pha	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Fang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Phanom Dong Rak	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Song Kwae	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Surin	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Khiri Ratthanikhom	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Thailand	Mae Phrik	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Rak	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Bang Rakam	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Uthai Thani	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Sam Chai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Pathum Wan	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Khon San	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Laplae	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Mae Wang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Phitsanulok	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Phayakkhaphum Phisai	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Doi Tao	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Non Sa-at	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Ko Sichang	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Chiang Mai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Na Chaluai	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Phra Nakhon	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Kapoe	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.4%
Thailand	Sena	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Kuchinarai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Phuttha Mon Thon	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Trakan Phutphon	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Sop Prap	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Loei	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Pak Chong	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Wang Wiset	2017	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Thailand	Sao Hai	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Lan Saka	2017	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Thailand	Dan Khun Thot	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Sikao	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	Pha Khao	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Wang Hin	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Kathu	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Sung Men	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	In Buri	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Plai Phraya	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Krok Phra	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Thap Khlo	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Na Dun	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Na Yai Am	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Ban Rai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Yang Talat	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Cho-I-rong	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Mai Kaen	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Khlong Toey	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Thalang	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Lat Yao	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Thoeng	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Tha Rua	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Chaiya	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Phra Phuttha- bat	2017	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Nong Yai	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Chaiburi	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	K. Sam Sung	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Phanna Nikhom	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Su	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Ka Bang	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	K. Ku Kaeo	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Lan Sak	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Na Mom	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Sawan	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Phon Phisai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Phanom	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Si Chomphu	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Tha Song Yang	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Thailand	Chae Hom	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Thai Charoen	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Sathorn	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Sawaengha	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Mae Chan	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Rong Kham	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Huai Mek	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Khun Han	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Phu Sang	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Singha Nakhon	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Chana	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Na Thawi	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Thailand	K. The Pha Rak	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Nakhon Thai	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Sangkha	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Ka Pho	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Makham	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Bo Thong	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Panare	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Huai Phung	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Den Chai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Khao Suan Kwang	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Thung Kao Lua	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Si Thammarat	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Kaeng Sanam Nang	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Samoeng	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Rachan	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Sukhirin	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Thailand	Khu Muang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Saphan Noi	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Thailand	Wihan Daeng	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Pran Buri	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Nong Ya Sai	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Trat	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Thailand	Rasada	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%
Thailand	Bang Pla Ma	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Thep Sathit	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Pong	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Muang Cha-choengsao	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Thap Put	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Mae Wong	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Kaeng Khlo	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Kosum Phisai	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Kumphawapi	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Wiang Haeng	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Thailand	Huai Kra Chao	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	San Sai	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Phanat Nikhom	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Benchalak	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Chonnabot	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Panom Phrai	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Khao Kho	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Sawankhalok	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Klam	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Ban Dung	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Thai Muang	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Thailand	Pang Ma Pha	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Yannawa	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Pak Kret	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Chom Thong	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	K. Doi Luang	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Nong Song Hong	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Nong Saeng	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Mae Chai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Krathum Baen	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Songkhla	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Huai Rat	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Nikhom Pattan	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Phupa Man	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Buang Sam Phan	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Sri Mahar Pho	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Phu Khieo	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Chiang Kwan	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Ban Muang	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Thung Yai	2017	0.9%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Rat Burana	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Sathing Phra	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Thailand	Puai Noi	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Lom Sak	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Sam Ngao	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Chiang Khong	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Noen Maprang	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Wipawadi	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Thailand	Muang Suphanburi	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Thung Saliam	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Khlong Hat	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Thailand	Yaha	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Thailand	Phrai Bung	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Klong Khlung	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Yai	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Bang Kruai	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Sam Chuk	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	K. Lao Sua Kok	2017	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Chakkarat	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Na Thom	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Thailand	Phaisali	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Thailand	Khun Tan	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Kaeng Krachan	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Pa Tiu	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Song Phi Nong	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Khian Sa	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Thailand	Thoen	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Pak Tho	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Nakhon Pathom	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Muang Nong Khai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Khanom	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Thailand	Hua Sai	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Thailand	Muang Tak	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Buntharik	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Thailand	Ban Sang	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Phra Yun	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Wang Sai Phun	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Kham Sakae Saeng	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Phibun Rak	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Suk Samran	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.4%
Thailand	Tha Sala	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Thailand	Ban Na	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Thailand	Batong	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.7%
Thailand	Damnoen Saduak	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Thailand	Sahatsakhan	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Muang Phatthalung	2017	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Thailand	Mae Sot	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Thailand	Lahan Sai	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Tha Li	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%
Thailand	Nong Han	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	Din Dang	2017	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	K. Fao Rai	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Thailand	K. Phu Kam Yao	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Bang Saphan	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Thailand	Tha Muang	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Thailand	Si Sam Rong	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Thailand	Chalermphrakiet	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%
Timor-Leste	Manatuto	2000	48.0%	54.3%	42.6%
Timor-Leste	Pante Macassar	2000	66.6%	68.9%	64.5%
Timor-Leste	Suai Kota	2000	62.0%	64.9%	58.8%
Timor-Leste	Tutuala	2000	71.4%	83.3%	53.8%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Mean	2000	49.4%	66.6%	31.6%
Timor-Leste	Railaco	2000	41.7%	46.2%	37.7%
Timor-Leste	Laclo	2000	59.5%	66.9%	49.9%
Timor-Leste	Tilomar	2000	51.4%	61.4%	41.4%
Timor-Leste	Laleia	2000	58.4%	73.8%	39.9%
Timor-Leste	Luro	2000	85.2%	90.5%	79.3%
Timor-Leste	Ossu	2000	70.1%	77.3%	61.2%
Timor-Leste	Lacluta	2000	59.7%	70.6%	45.7%
Timor-Leste	Maliana	2000	62.7%	67.1%	56.5%
Timor-Leste	Laga	2000	80.9%	85.6%	74.5%
Timor-Leste	Lolotoi	2000	70.0%	75.6%	63.5%
Timor-Leste	Bazar Tete	2000	40.7%	43.1%	38.1%
Timor-Leste	Hato Builico	2000	64.6%	67.4%	61.7%
Timor-Leste	Lau Lara	2000	44.3%	48.2%	40.1%
Timor-Leste	Lautém	2000	71.6%	76.5%	65.5%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Fulic	2000	73.0%	77.7%	68.0%
Timor-Leste	Maubisse	2000	59.6%	62.8%	56.2%
Timor-Leste	Atabai	2000	65.7%	77.2%	53.7%
Timor-Leste	Vemasse	2000	43.5%	53.4%	34.9%
Timor-Leste	Fato Berliu	2000	51.0%	59.1%	42.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Oe Silo	2000	83.5%	85.9%	80.1%
Timor-Leste	Hato Hudo	2000	70.4%	75.6%	63.4%
Timor-Leste	Dili Timur	2000	31.1%	32.8%	29.5%
Timor-Leste	Cailaco	2000	66.9%	72.2%	61.6%
Timor-Leste	Dili Barat	2000	19.4%	20.6%	18.3%
Timor-Leste	Aileu	2000	42.7%	45.7%	39.6%
Timor-Leste	Baucau	2000	45.6%	47.5%	43.4%
Timor-Leste	Letefoho	2000	49.4%	53.4%	46.1%
Timor-Leste	Laclubar	2000	63.1%	67.3%	58.5%
Timor-Leste	Soibada	2000	59.9%	75.1%	43.1%
Timor-Leste	Metinaro	2000	55.6%	72.7%	34.2%
Timor-Leste	Liquiçá	2000	47.5%	49.9%	44.9%
Timor-Leste	Uato Carbau	2000	46.2%	54.0%	38.5%
Timor-Leste	Atauro	2000	46.6%	60.4%	33.9%
Timor-Leste	Iliomar	2000	71.5%	79.4%	60.8%
Timor-Leste	Ermera	2000	45.7%	48.3%	43.2%
Timor-Leste	Barique	2000	54.1%	65.5%	44.1%
Timor-Leste	Bobonaro	2000	67.5%	69.7%	65.0%
Timor-Leste	Alas	2000	57.3%	68.0%	45.0%
Timor-Leste	Ainaro	2000	63.8%	67.1%	60.4%
Timor-Leste	Los Palos	2000	51.9%	55.9%	48.1%
Timor-Leste	Venilale	2000	62.1%	65.0%	59.4%
Timor-Leste	Maubara	2000	57.9%	63.2%	51.2%
Timor-Leste	Lequidoe	2000	43.7%	50.9%	37.4%
Timor-Leste	Viqueque	2000	59.1%	66.1%	52.1%
Timor-Leste	Passabe	2000	84.3%	89.4%	75.7%
Timor-Leste	Fohorem	2000	70.4%	75.9%	63.5%
Timor-Leste	Baguia	2000	62.8%	67.9%	56.8%
Timor-Leste	Hatólia	2000	67.3%	73.4%	61.3%
Timor-Leste	Uatolari	2000	70.1%	75.3%	64.9%
Timor-Leste	Mape	2000	84.8%	86.6%	82.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Remexio	2000	53.1%	57.4%	48.9%
Timor-Leste	Nitibe	2000	73.4%	80.3%	67.1%
Timor-Leste	Balibó	2000	63.8%	71.1%	51.0%
Timor-Leste	Same	2000	62.0%	66.3%	57.4%
Timor-Leste	Atsabe	2000	58.3%	62.0%	54.9%
Timor-Leste	Quelicai	2000	68.8%	74.3%	62.1%
Timor-Leste	Turiscas	2000	55.2%	62.3%	48.9%
Timor-Leste	Pante Macassar	2017	31.2%	33.4%	29.1%
Timor-Leste	Lacluta	2017	36.2%	47.0%	24.4%
Timor-Leste	Laclo	2017	35.7%	44.2%	27.2%
Timor-Leste	Laleia	2017	36.5%	52.7%	19.3%
Timor-Leste	Laga	2017	55.0%	62.9%	46.4%
Timor-Leste	Cailaco	2017	40.5%	45.5%	35.2%
Timor-Leste	Atabai	2017	44.9%	57.9%	32.6%
Timor-Leste	Dili Timur	2017	13.6%	14.9%	12.2%
Timor-Leste	Lolotoi	2017	49.9%	56.0%	44.5%
Timor-Leste	Manatuto	2017	18.1%	21.6%	15.2%
Timor-Leste	Letefoho	2017	21.2%	23.2%	19.2%
Timor-Leste	Atauro	2017	22.1%	32.1%	13.0%
Timor-Leste	Liquiçá	2017	21.3%	23.0%	19.4%
Timor-Leste	Maubisse	2017	38.2%	41.4%	35.2%
Timor-Leste	Suai Kota	2017	37.7%	41.2%	34.6%
Timor-Leste	Baguia	2017	35.9%	39.7%	32.4%
Timor-Leste	Alas	2017	34.4%	46.1%	23.6%
Timor-Leste	Bazar Tete	2017	22.3%	24.3%	20.2%
Timor-Leste	Venilale	2017	33.3%	36.9%	30.0%
Timor-Leste	Atsabe	2017	36.3%	39.7%	32.5%
Timor-Leste	Aileu	2017	15.9%	18.3%	13.7%
Timor-Leste	Metinaro	2017	35.0%	55.3%	16.4%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Fulic	2017	63.5%	70.4%	55.7%
Timor-Leste	Nitibe	2017	50.0%	58.5%	42.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Hato Bulico	2017	44.1%	48.0%	40.3%
Timor-Leste	Maubara	2017	37.6%	42.4%	32.9%
Timor-Leste	Hatólia	2017	39.7%	44.2%	36.8%
Timor-Leste	Lautém	2017	49.5%	56.2%	43.2%
Timor-Leste	Tilomar	2017	33.4%	43.1%	26.4%
Timor-Leste	Ossu	2017	49.9%	62.0%	39.0%
Timor-Leste	Ainaro	2017	40.6%	43.6%	37.0%
Timor-Leste	Railaco	2017	15.4%	18.5%	12.7%
Timor-Leste	Lau Lara	2017	18.5%	21.9%	15.7%
Timor-Leste	Turisciai	2017	31.6%	38.0%	26.5%
Timor-Leste	Fatu Mean	2017	31.4%	54.0%	14.6%
Timor-Leste	Oe Silo	2017	55.1%	58.5%	51.4%
Timor-Leste	Soibada	2017	41.3%	55.9%	26.7%
Timor-Leste	Passabe	2017	60.6%	68.8%	53.3%
Timor-Leste	Baucau	2017	19.0%	20.7%	17.5%
Timor-Leste	Barique	2017	36.8%	46.5%	27.8%
Timor-Leste	Balibó	2017	43.8%	51.4%	35.0%
Timor-Leste	Viqueque	2017	43.0%	50.5%	36.5%
Timor-Leste	Maliana	2017	33.7%	37.7%	29.8%
Timor-Leste	Mape	2017	73.5%	76.9%	69.5%
Timor-Leste	Vemasse	2017	25.3%	33.2%	19.0%
Timor-Leste	Lequidoe	2017	19.9%	26.7%	15.2%
Timor-Leste	Dili Barat	2017	7.8%	8.6%	7.0%
Timor-Leste	Iliomar	2017	61.9%	69.6%	53.9%
Timor-Leste	Tutuala	2017	48.2%	68.8%	29.9%
Timor-Leste	Fohorem	2017	62.8%	69.0%	57.2%
Timor-Leste	Fato Berliu	2017	29.2%	38.0%	22.1%
Timor-Leste	Remexio	2017	22.7%	26.0%	20.2%
Timor-Leste	Bobonaro	2017	43.6%	47.3%	39.9%
Timor-Leste	Uato Carbau	2017	28.5%	36.2%	21.9%
Timor-Leste	Luro	2017	74.2%	80.4%	67.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Timor-Leste	Hato Hudo	2017	51.6%	60.8%	43.0%
Timor-Leste	Quelicai	2017	46.0%	50.0%	40.9%
Timor-Leste	Same	2017	36.6%	40.2%	32.7%
Timor-Leste	Ermera	2017	17.1%	18.9%	15.6%
Timor-Leste	Los Palos	2017	26.8%	30.1%	23.6%
Timor-Leste	Uatolari	2017	51.3%	59.7%	42.1%
Timor-Leste	Lachubar	2017	45.2%	49.6%	40.8%
Vietnam	Kim Bảng	2000	15.9%	44.4%	2.3%
Vietnam	Hương Thủy	2000	24.2%	38.9%	11.8%
Vietnam	Hải Dương	2000	4.5%	23.0%	0.2%
Vietnam	Chiêm Hóa	2000	43.6%	63.3%	25.6%
Vietnam	Hòa Thành	2000	9.4%	22.0%	2.9%
Vietnam	Lộc Ninh	2000	40.0%	60.1%	21.1%
Vietnam	Nam Sách	2000	10.3%	31.7%	1.0%
Vietnam	Pác Nặm	2000	34.5%	59.1%	11.3%
Vietnam	Đô Lương	2000	18.7%	34.8%	6.3%
Vietnam	Bà Rịa	2000	31.6%	57.6%	8.5%
Vietnam	Mang Thít	2000	7.7%	25.4%	0.9%
Vietnam	Dương Minh Châu	2000	26.4%	44.2%	12.8%
Vietnam	Long Thành	2000	20.8%	43.1%	6.3%
Vietnam	Mù Căng Chải	2000	25.6%	43.3%	11.3%
Vietnam	Hồng Dân	2000	14.2%	29.2%	4.4%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	12.2%	26.8%	5.1%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2000	36.3%	62.2%	18.2%
Vietnam	Cầm Xuyên	2000	19.1%	38.2%	7.6%
Vietnam	Đất Đỏ	2000	34.1%	65.8%	8.3%
Vietnam	Đức Cơ	2000	59.1%	76.3%	41.8%
Vietnam	Tháp Mười	2000	7.3%	24.5%	1.0%
Vietnam	Yên Mỹ	2000	27.6%	56.7%	8.6%
Vietnam	Hoàng Hóa	2000	16.1%	39.2%	4.2%
Vietnam	Mèo Vạc	2000	32.4%	56.0%	13.3%
Vietnam	Diễn Châu	2000	24.8%	46.0%	7.2%
Vietnam	Nà Hang	2000	42.5%	57.3%	28.8%
Vietnam	Tây Ninh	2000	16.6%	31.9%	6.5%
Vietnam	Tư Nghĩa	2000	17.7%	32.8%	6.7%
Vietnam	Hai Bà Trưng	2000	6.3%	13.1%	1.7%
Vietnam	Hoài Ân	2000	45.6%	67.8%	24.2%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Nhai	2000	38.7%	57.8%	19.7%
Vietnam	Văn Bàn	2000	38.5%	55.9%	21.5%
Vietnam	Trạm Tấu	2000	34.9%	58.2%	15.5%
Vietnam	U Minh Thượng	2000	8.9%	22.0%	1.9%
Vietnam	Quế Võ	2000	11.0%	27.4%	2.4%
Vietnam	Đắk Pơ	2000	43.3%	63.2%	23.8%
Vietnam	Quận 6	2000	18.7%	34.2%	11.0%
Vietnam	Ba Chẽ	2000	26.8%	48.1%	9.2%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2000	24.8%	52.7%	7.5%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2000	19.4%	39.6%	4.7%
Vietnam	Nha Trang	2000	56.3%	71.3%	39.2%
Vietnam	Quế Sơn	2000	28.1%	55.7%	8.3%
Vietnam	Ninh Hải	2000	52.0%	74.2%	29.1%
Vietnam	Giang Thành	2000	22.9%	45.6%	5.8%
Vietnam	Vân Đồn	2000	18.2%	38.5%	6.3%
Vietnam	Trà Bồng	2000	36.4%	69.2%	10.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Chí Linh	2000	15.0%	42.8%	1.2%
Vietnam	Chợ Gạo	2000	12.2%	25.3%	3.6%
Vietnam	Quan Sơn	2000	32.7%	51.8%	15.7%
Vietnam	Khánh Vĩnh	2000	45.8%	73.6%	24.1%
Vietnam	Đắk Song	2000	35.6%	58.2%	19.1%
Vietnam	Nam Định	2000	3.8%	18.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Mai Sơn	2000	20.6%	34.7%	10.8%
Vietnam	Sông Cầu	2000	35.6%	59.5%	12.9%
Vietnam	Chơn Thành	2000	28.4%	52.7%	8.4%
Vietnam	Bình Giả	2000	35.1%	56.0%	15.0%
Vietnam	Gia Viễn	2000	10.2%	29.0%	1.5%
Vietnam	Long Hồ	2000	3.6%	13.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Nguyễn Bình	2000	33.0%	56.3%	12.8%
Vietnam	Hà Quảng	2000	38.6%	65.2%	14.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Oai	2000	13.5%	27.5%	3.9%
Vietnam	Hoàn Kiếm	2000	6.4%	14.0%	1.3%
Vietnam	Bình Liêu	2000	38.6%	68.0%	12.3%
Vietnam	An Lão	2000	32.7%	59.2%	10.5%
Vietnam	Nam Đông	2000	32.3%	59.1%	10.8%
Vietnam	Kiên Hải	2000	31.2%	66.0%	4.8%
Vietnam	Quảng Ninh	2000	38.4%	53.6%	24.2%
Vietnam	Phú Thọ	2000	11.5%	33.5%	1.5%
Vietnam	Tân Kỳ	2000	30.9%	55.0%	12.3%
Vietnam	Văn Lãng	2000	38.6%	69.9%	9.7%
Vietnam	Vũ Quang	2000	32.5%	62.9%	11.2%
Vietnam	Phù Cừ	2000	2.4%	11.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Cao Bằng	2000	34.7%	63.7%	10.6%
Vietnam	Vinh	2000	17.9%	30.7%	8.3%
Vietnam	Anh Sơn	2000	31.7%	51.4%	15.3%
Vietnam	Tam Dương	2000	17.9%	49.6%	1.1%
Vietnam	Bảo Thắng	2000	29.3%	49.8%	11.9%
Vietnam	Cô Tô	2000	30.0%	70.5%	4.4%
Vietnam	Thuận Châu	2000	29.5%	48.9%	16.1%
Vietnam	Bình Giang	2000	5.9%	21.0%	0.4%
Vietnam	Thái Hoà	2000	22.1%	41.0%	6.7%
Vietnam	Phú Nhuận	2000	3.5%	6.3%	1.8%
Vietnam	Nho Quan	2000	14.2%	35.3%	2.6%
Vietnam	Lý Nhân	2000	7.3%	23.8%	0.9%
Vietnam	Thân Uyên	2000	38.5%	55.0%	21.3%
Vietnam	Quận 3	2000	23.6%	35.0%	14.6%
Vietnam	Sơn Dương	2000	34.6%	53.9%	18.5%
Vietnam	Tiền Hải	2000	10.0%	30.1%	1.9%
Vietnam	Kiến An	2000	11.9%	29.0%	2.7%
Vietnam	Sông Lô	2000	16.9%	37.7%	4.5%
Vietnam	Đắk Tô	2000	33.5%	59.2%	13.6%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự	2000	5.1%	11.5%	1.7%
Vietnam	Tây Hoà	2000	37.5%	64.2%	15.8%
Vietnam	Tiểu Cần	2000	2.1%	7.4%	0.3%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2000	7.8%	20.3%	1.1%
Vietnam	Hải Hậu	2000	5.7%	21.6%	0.3%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Phủ	2000	26.7%	63.8%	4.3%
Vietnam	Trùng Khánh	2000	40.7%	67.3%	12.1%
Vietnam	Đông Hà	2000	17.7%	43.8%	2.4%
Vietnam	Yên Mô	2000	9.6%	36.8%	0.6%
Vietnam	Lập Thạch	2000	21.3%	48.6%	3.1%
Vietnam	Ia Grai	2000	47.7%	63.1%	33.8%
Vietnam	Tân Biên	2000	32.6%	48.6%	17.5%
Vietnam	Cư Jút	2000	20.6%	37.8%	10.1%
Vietnam	Nậm Nhùn	2000	28.5%	45.7%	14.9%
Vietnam	Tu Mơ Rông	2000	29.8%	49.6%	13.4%
Vietnam	Thuận Bắc	2000	52.8%	78.7%	24.9%
Vietnam	Đắk Glong	2000	35.6%	51.6%	19.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Trực Ninh	2000	2.8%	13.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Phan Thiết	2000	28.2%	46.7%	13.5%
Vietnam	Sông Hinh	2000	60.8%	75.8%	45.4%
Vietnam	Tuyên Quang	2000	76.0%	90.2%	58.2%
Vietnam	Tam Đảo	2000	29.2%	69.4%	6.0%
Vietnam	Gò Công Tây	2000	11.9%	33.5%	2.5%
Vietnam	Núi Thành	2000	38.0%	58.2%	16.7%
Vietnam	Ba Tơ	2000	36.1%	53.0%	20.0%
Vietnam	Thái Nguyên	2000	22.5%	36.8%	12.0%
Vietnam	Ia H' Drai	2000	39.6%	59.3%	19.6%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh (Thị xã)	2000	16.1%	44.2%	2.1%
Vietnam	Phú Riềng	2000	23.4%	44.8%	9.7%
Vietnam	Liên Chiểu	2000	27.9%	56.1%	3.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Tân Uyên	2000	21.9%	41.2%	7.5%
Vietnam	Ea Kar	2000	47.5%	64.9%	30.9%
Vietnam	Yên Thành	2000	27.4%	45.1%	12.3%
Vietnam	Lai Vung	2000	4.6%	16.6%	0.6%
Vietnam	Chư Pưh	2000	29.5%	47.1%	15.6%
Vietnam	Thạch Hà	2000	21.0%	38.6%	8.9%
Vietnam	Ngân Sơn	2000	34.3%	61.9%	10.7%
Vietnam	Si Ma Cai	2000	50.4%	79.3%	23.7%
Vietnam	Tuy Phong	2000	49.8%	70.3%	28.1%
Vietnam	Sông Công	2000	10.9%	25.9%	2.2%
Vietnam	Lục Nam	2000	22.3%	42.5%	11.0%
Vietnam	Đức Hòa	2000	21.5%	41.4%	10.2%
Vietnam	Tân An	2000	3.1%	16.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Chư Sê	2000	30.1%	45.6%	15.1%
Vietnam	Phúc Yên	2000	20.0%	50.1%	4.6%
Vietnam	Tuần Giáo	2000	38.2%	58.6%	21.1%
Vietnam	Võ Nhai	2000	29.5%	52.2%	10.3%
Vietnam	Việt Trì	2000	10.1%	28.6%	1.3%
Vietnam	Kông Chro	2000	52.2%	69.9%	32.3%
Vietnam	Mường Lát	2000	40.6%	63.4%	13.8%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tho	2000	25.0%	41.1%	11.2%
Vietnam	Thới Bình	2000	15.0%	35.8%	4.0%
Vietnam	Văn Yên	2000	28.7%	47.0%	12.4%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2000	34.2%	63.3%	13.0%
Vietnam	Yên Thủy	2000	19.1%	46.8%	4.9%
Vietnam	Hậu Lộc	2000	11.7%	31.8%	2.6%
Vietnam	Lâm Thao	2000	15.4%	38.6%	2.7%
Vietnam	Thạnh Phú	2000	15.0%	36.7%	3.4%
Vietnam	Thủy Nguyên	2000	9.7%	27.5%	1.0%
Vietnam	Hóc Môn	2000	11.0%	28.6%	1.5%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải	2000	14.8%	32.3%	3.1%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	4.6%	13.5%	0.9%
Vietnam	Đông Anh	2000	10.8%	28.8%	1.8%
Vietnam	Mỹ Lộc	2000	6.0%	24.0%	0.3%
Vietnam	Quốc Oai	2000	7.3%	23.1%	1.0%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2000	2.7%	14.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2000	14.0%	35.4%	2.8%
Vietnam	Hải Lăng	2000	30.1%	56.5%	10.6%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tú	2000	6.4%	19.2%	1.3%
Vietnam	Lục Ngạn	2000	35.2%	51.9%	19.9%
Vietnam	Hiệp Đức	2000	30.5%	57.7%	8.9%
Vietnam	Đức Linh	2000	40.7%	58.5%	25.0%
Vietnam	Thông Nông	2000	41.6%	66.8%	17.0%
Vietnam	Thuận An	2000	12.6%	31.0%	1.9%
Vietnam	Chư Prông	2000	33.3%	49.7%	19.6%
Vietnam	Tánh Linh	2000	34.0%	53.0%	15.0%
Vietnam	Krông Năng	2000	61.6%	78.3%	41.5%
Vietnam	Trần Yên	2000	23.6%	39.2%	10.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Giồng Riềng	2000	7.0%	16.8%	1.7%
Vietnam	Dương Kinh	2000	11.3%	32.7%	1.6%
Vietnam	Lạc Thủy	2000	25.3%	44.1%	8.3%
Vietnam	Cao Lộc	2000	30.9%	54.0%	12.1%
Vietnam	Pleiku	2000	34.7%	46.9%	24.4%
Vietnam	Kon Plông	2000	29.0%	44.5%	14.8%
Vietnam	Ba Tri	2000	22.1%	45.1%	7.9%
Vietnam	Phục Hoà	2000	47.5%	81.2%	18.1%
Vietnam	Đồng Phú	2000	30.6%	49.8%	16.4%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	6.2%	24.0%	1.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Hà	2000	4.6%	22.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Bảo Lộc	2000	17.5%	35.5%	6.0%
Vietnam	Yên Châu	2000	28.8%	50.5%	12.7%
Vietnam	Mỹ Hào	2000	11.6%	35.1%	1.3%
Vietnam	Sơn Tịnh	2000	42.2%	68.2%	24.8%
Vietnam	Na Rì	2000	35.3%	57.2%	13.0%
Vietnam	Thoại Sơn	2000	9.1%	20.4%	3.4%
Vietnam	Trần Đề	2000	11.9%	28.9%	2.4%
Vietnam	Gò Vấp	2000	2.7%	9.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Đại Từ	2000	30.4%	53.2%	12.1%
Vietnam	Xín Mần	2000	39.0%	65.6%	16.2%
Vietnam	Tuy Phước	2000	56.6%	72.6%	38.2%
Vietnam	Vân Canh	2000	39.6%	65.1%	16.8%
Vietnam	Bình Sơn	2000	34.8%	62.5%	14.3%
Vietnam	Phú Thiện	2000	63.4%	78.9%	44.9%
Vietnam	Yên Lập	2000	35.4%	60.4%	11.8%
Vietnam	Cái Bè	2000	5.8%	12.7%	2.0%
Vietnam	Mỹ Đức	2000	21.0%	44.2%	3.6%
Vietnam	Sầm Sơn	2000	44.0%	72.3%	14.7%
Vietnam	Nghi Xuân	2000	23.2%	49.5%	5.0%
Vietnam	Đà Lạt	2000	20.7%	34.3%	11.1%
Vietnam	Uông Bí	2000	22.6%	46.5%	4.6%
Vietnam	Hưng Hà	2000	5.6%	20.0%	0.4%
Vietnam	Huê	2000	12.4%	30.9%	3.7%
Vietnam	Điện Biên	2000	31.1%	55.5%	11.7%
Vietnam	Bình Đại	2000	17.8%	37.9%	3.9%
Vietnam	Ninh Kiều	2000	1.2%	2.9%	0.4%
Vietnam	Bình Xuyên	2000	20.5%	39.0%	8.7%
Vietnam	Ngũ Hành Sơn	2000	11.9%	29.5%	2.1%
Vietnam	Gia Bình	2000	15.7%	39.4%	2.6%
Vietnam	Lục Yên	2000	35.3%	58.1%	17.2%
Vietnam	Phủ Lý	2000	13.4%	50.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Cao Phong	2000	24.5%	55.7%	5.8%
Vietnam	Tam Bình	2000	6.9%	21.4%	1.1%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự (Thị xã)	2000	7.1%	30.5%	0.6%
Vietnam	Quỳ Châu	2000	38.3%	59.7%	19.9%
Vietnam	Giao Thủy	2000	6.5%	23.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Lý Sơn	2000	29.4%	77.8%	1.1%
Vietnam	Krông Pắc	2000	43.3%	57.0%	28.5%
Vietnam	Long Khánh	2000	35.8%	64.8%	12.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2000	44.0%	67.0%	17.8%
Vietnam	Kim Bôi	2000	26.9%	46.3%	11.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	4.4%	15.6%	0.4%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Bắc	2000	37.5%	53.2%	19.8%
Vietnam	Thạch Thắt	2000	12.7%	24.3%	5.1%
Vietnam	Phú Hoà	2000	64.6%	79.1%	47.8%
Vietnam	Năm Căn	2000	17.0%	39.3%	3.8%
Vietnam	Cẩm Khê	2000	26.3%	47.5%	10.6%
Vietnam	Nông Sơn	2000	38.2%	67.9%	11.6%
Vietnam	Châu Phú	2000	7.3%	20.4%	2.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2000	25.4%	37.9%	16.0%
Vietnam	Móng Cái	2000	14.6%	39.0%	3.5%
Vietnam	Bảo Yên	2000	41.7%	58.6%	25.1%
Vietnam	Mai Châu	2000	27.7%	50.5%	10.6%
Vietnam	Lâm Bình	2000	42.8%	63.4%	24.2%
Vietnam	Mường Ảng	2000	37.0%	67.4%	10.7%
Vietnam	Krông Búk	2000	44.0%	68.3%	21.7%
Vietnam	Nhơn Trạch	2000	27.9%	52.9%	9.9%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thuận	2000	10.9%	29.1%	2.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Cửu	2000	21.5%	34.9%	11.7%
Vietnam	Dầu Tiếng	2000	25.4%	43.0%	9.2%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Lộ	2000	36.6%	55.4%	18.3%
Vietnam	Duy Tiên	2000	10.1%	28.2%	2.2%
Vietnam	Gò Quao	2000	9.1%	19.7%	3.0%
Vietnam	Châu Thành A	2000	7.4%	24.2%	1.5%
Vietnam	Cư M'gar	2000	30.9%	50.2%	16.1%
Vietnam	Hớn Quản	2000	28.0%	50.2%	11.4%
Vietnam	Buôn Đôn	2000	30.5%	47.2%	17.7%
Vietnam	Phong Thổ	2000	36.9%	56.2%	16.3%
Vietnam	Hà Đông	2000	12.1%	36.3%	0.7%
Vietnam	Lê Chân	2000	6.5%	23.5%	0.4%
Vietnam	Ea Súp	2000	42.8%	59.7%	28.6%
Vietnam	Yên Định	2000	22.0%	50.3%	5.6%
Vietnam	Di Linh	2000	33.8%	50.8%	19.4%
Vietnam	Sông Mã	2000	32.5%	51.0%	17.0%
Vietnam	Sóc Trăng	2000	5.7%	12.1%	2.5%
Vietnam	Tân Lạc	2000	30.6%	54.4%	12.5%
Vietnam	Mang Yang	2000	50.7%	67.5%	34.1%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	6.3%	17.2%	1.3%
Vietnam	KBang	2000	49.5%	66.3%	31.3%
Vietnam	Mỏ Cày Bắc	2000	9.1%	25.4%	0.9%
Vietnam	Đức Huệ	2000	22.5%	48.9%	6.3%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hưng	2000	5.3%	19.2%	0.8%
Vietnam	Cầu Kè	2000	5.9%	21.8%	0.5%
Vietnam	U Minh	2000	20.5%	36.6%	8.8%
Vietnam	La Gi	2000	32.6%	67.5%	9.0%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Bảo	2000	9.9%	33.5%	0.7%
Vietnam	Tây Hồ	2000	3.3%	13.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Đình Lập	2000	32.1%	51.6%	14.1%
Vietnam	Ngô Quyền	2000	5.8%	24.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Tân Thành	2000	28.8%	48.0%	10.2%
Vietnam	Thạch An	2000	32.7%	55.7%	11.4%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Bắc Kạn	2000	23.8%	44.4%	9.5%
Vietnam	Đam Rông	2000	33.0%	61.2%	11.9%
Vietnam	Yên Khánh	2000	5.5%	19.9%	0.5%
Vietnam	Quảng Trạch	2000	19.7%	39.7%	7.0%
Vietnam	Đại Lộc	2000	42.1%	64.8%	19.8%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2000	5.3%	15.6%	1.2%
Vietnam	Quản Bạ	2000	33.7%	66.0%	10.1%
Vietnam	Mộc Hóa	2000	9.9%	29.2%	1.0%
Vietnam	Tây Sơn	2000	53.1%	71.8%	34.0%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2000	17.8%	41.4%	5.2%
Vietnam	Quận 1	2000	21.9%	32.8%	13.6%
Vietnam	Gia Lộc	2000	3.4%	14.5%	0.2%
Vietnam	Nghi Lộc	2000	25.5%	52.0%	6.6%
Vietnam	Kim Động	2000	8.5%	32.4%	0.7%
Vietnam	Kim Thành	2000	4.8%	18.0%	0.3%
Vietnam	Thái Thụy	2000	10.5%	28.1%	1.8%
Vietnam	Cư Kuin	2000	52.2%	65.5%	39.1%
Vietnam	Tràng Định	2000	31.8%	59.2%	14.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Châu Đốc	2000	8.8%	20.2%	2.2%
Vietnam	An Dương	2000	7.5%	20.0%	1.8%
Vietnam	An Minh	2000	19.0%	37.9%	6.3%
Vietnam	Bù Đăng	2000	34.1%	54.9%	18.0%
Vietnam	Càng Long	2000	5.3%	12.2%	2.2%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	11.2%	30.1%	3.2%
Vietnam	Cẩm Mỹ	2000	31.5%	55.4%	13.1%
Vietnam	Krông Pa	2000	52.1%	70.3%	29.6%
Vietnam	Tiên Du	2000	5.5%	21.8%	0.8%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2000	45.0%	75.4%	17.5%
Vietnam	Lang Chánh	2000	32.6%	58.3%	11.9%
Vietnam	Rạch Giá	2000	20.8%	34.7%	11.6%
Vietnam	Kon Rẫy	2000	29.9%	56.4%	10.5%
Vietnam	Nam Từ Liêm	2000	9.1%	34.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2000	5.1%	14.2%	0.8%
Vietnam	Đông Hưng	2000	5.7%	17.3%	0.7%
Vietnam	Hà Tiên	2000	30.5%	73.0%	3.6%
Vietnam	Ba Bể	2000	33.4%	51.4%	16.2%
Vietnam	Quận 2	2000	17.1%	43.4%	2.9%
Vietnam	Hoàng Su Phì	2000	40.0%	65.3%	18.0%
Vietnam	Quảng Xương	2000	14.7%	42.2%	1.6%
Vietnam	Quê Phong	2000	36.5%	51.7%	22.2%
Vietnam	Chư Păh	2000	50.2%	65.2%	34.7%
Vietnam	Dĩ An	2000	17.2%	27.9%	8.0%
Vietnam	Hàm Tân	2000	31.8%	51.7%	12.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Bình	2000	3.4%	9.9%	0.5%
Vietnam	Vị Thanh	2000	6.3%	25.9%	0.5%
Vietnam	Yên Lạc	2000	16.3%	29.0%	6.8%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	6.1%	23.5%	0.3%
Vietnam	M'Đrăk	2000	51.4%	72.7%	30.4%
Vietnam	Chương Mỹ	2000	12.6%	37.4%	1.7%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2000	26.8%	38.2%	17.6%
Vietnam	Định Hóa	2000	45.8%	66.8%	27.1%
Vietnam	Bến Lức	2000	17.0%	32.2%	6.7%
Vietnam	Kiến Thụy	2000	11.7%	30.1%	1.2%
Vietnam	Cái Nước	2000	16.4%	34.5%	3.9%
Vietnam	Thống Nhất	2000	36.7%	55.2%	19.6%
Vietnam	Long Biên	2000	3.4%	11.4%	0.6%
Vietnam	Giồng Trôm	2000	4.3%	10.3%	1.3%
Vietnam	Gio Linh	2000	23.3%	44.6%	6.7%
Vietnam	Bắc Ninh	2000	3.6%	18.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Ia Pa	2000	48.3%	67.9%	27.9%
Vietnam	Phù Yên	2000	32.8%	48.6%	20.1%
Vietnam	Long Phú	2000	4.6%	14.5%	0.6%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2000	21.3%	41.5%	5.5%
Vietnam	(Thành phố)				
Vietnam	Nậm Pồ	2000	30.0%	46.2%	14.3%
Vietnam	Tân Thạnh	2000	6.1%	17.2%	1.2%
Vietnam	Mê Linh	2000	18.6%	40.7%	5.5%
Vietnam	Quy Hợp	2000	38.2%	59.8%	19.2%
Vietnam	Diên Khánh	2000	40.8%	72.2%	11.4%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2000	35.1%	53.2%	19.1%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ (Thị xã)	2000	3.4%	14.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Đồng Xuân	2000	48.9%	66.6%	31.2%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2000	13.7%	23.5%	5.7%
Vietnam	Trà Ôn	2000	10.8%	25.6%	2.9%
Vietnam	Thanh Thủy	2000	10.7%	29.6%	1.8%
Vietnam	Bắc Trà My	2000	34.3%	63.1%	11.5%
Vietnam	Lạng Sơn	2000	29.2%	64.6%	7.9%
Vietnam	Yên Sơn	2000	42.0%	55.2%	29.9%
Vietnam	Thường Xuân	2000	34.0%	50.9%	17.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Phước Long	2000	12.0%	33.3%	2.8%
Vietnam	Gia Lâm	2000	6.3%	20.1%	0.6%
Vietnam	Mỏ Cày Nam	2000	3.9%	11.8%	0.8%
Vietnam	Quận 11	2000	38.3%	52.2%	26.1%
Vietnam	Tân Hồng	2000	11.2%	33.8%	1.0%
Vietnam	Can Lộc	2000	18.7%	38.8%	5.2%
Vietnam	Yên Dũng	2000	19.9%	44.0%	3.6%
Vietnam	Cần Giờ	2000	23.7%	42.6%	9.9%
Vietnam	Cát Tiên	2000	37.0%	67.2%	13.6%
Vietnam	Bến Cầu	2000	28.0%	48.4%	12.4%
Vietnam	Củ Chi	2000	20.1%	40.6%	7.1%
Vietnam	A Lưới	2000	26.1%	49.6%	8.3%
Vietnam	Hiệp Hòa	2000	9.7%	28.0%	1.5%
Vietnam	An Nhơn	2000	68.3%	85.6%	44.0%
Vietnam	Đà Bắc	2000	26.8%	44.8%	10.8%
Vietnam	Lào Cai	2000	30.1%	44.0%	17.5%
Vietnam	Hải An	2000	10.8%	34.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Kon Tum	2000	38.2%	52.4%	24.7%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2000	5.1%	12.1%	1.2%
Vietnam	Bù Đốp	2000	29.1%	54.0%	14.0%
Vietnam	Phú Quốc	2000	15.1%	36.0%	3.5%
Vietnam	Mường Chà	2000	30.9%	53.4%	15.2%
Vietnam	Phước Sơn	2000	36.5%	61.7%	14.3%
Vietnam	Biên Hòa	2000	18.8%	27.8%	12.3%
Vietnam	Cầu Giấy	2000	7.0%	26.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Sa Pa	2000	17.0%	37.7%	4.6%
Vietnam	Ninh Sơn	2000	52.5%	68.5%	33.5%
Vietnam	Cam Ranh	2000	50.9%	71.3%	30.4%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	19.7%	40.9%	6.1%
Vietnam	Chợ Lách	2000	13.6%	33.8%	2.0%
Vietnam	Đức Thọ	2000	19.4%	48.6%	3.4%
Vietnam	Quận 4	2000	22.5%	34.1%	13.9%
Vietnam	Sơn Hòa	2000	48.0%	69.3%	24.1%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Mường Lay	2000	15.8%	44.5%	2.2%
Vietnam	Duy Xuyên	2000	32.7%	52.1%	12.7%
Vietnam	Quảng Uyên	2000	43.1%	72.1%	13.9%
Vietnam	Thạch Thành	2000	24.5%	46.9%	8.4%
Vietnam	Đắk Hà	2000	29.2%	44.5%	14.3%
Vietnam	Từ Sơn	2000	4.3%	19.1%	0.1%
Vietnam	Vị Thủy	2000	4.1%	13.1%	0.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Khê	2000	16.6%	40.7%	2.0%
Vietnam	Hàm Yên	2000	46.4%	64.8%	28.5%
Vietnam	Hương Khê	2000	30.0%	49.1%	13.7%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hiến	2000	18.8%	32.5%	7.6%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2000	8.4%	21.7%	2.3%
Vietnam	Mường La	2000	32.3%	48.3%	17.4%
Vietnam	Thanh Sơn	2000	24.3%	39.3%	10.9%
Vietnam	Sa Đéc	2000	6.1%	26.7%	0.2%
Vietnam	Hạ Lang	2000	43.5%	69.6%	14.8%
Vietnam	Phú Bình	2000	12.6%	25.2%	4.2%
Vietnam	Lắk	2000	34.0%	51.3%	16.4%
Vietnam	Quang Bình	2000	32.9%	55.1%	12.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	11.8%	27.3%	3.5%
Vietnam	Hà Trung	2000	18.1%	42.8%	5.4%
Vietnam	Phú Lộc	2000	35.2%	53.7%	16.8%
Vietnam	Bố Trạch	2000	30.1%	52.0%	13.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lợi	2000	10.4%	25.6%	2.7%
Vietnam	Phú Quý	2000	42.4%	90.2%	3.0%
Vietnam	Văn Chấn	2000	33.1%	47.3%	20.0%
Vietnam	Long Điền	2000	47.3%	65.9%	31.6%
Vietnam	Vũ Thư	2000	5.7%	16.1%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Nam Đàn	2000	28.2%	53.9%	9.4%
Vietnam	Thủ Đức	2000	19.4%	44.9%	3.6%
Vietnam	Nông Cống	2000	21.7%	41.8%	7.1%
Vietnam	Cà Mau	2000	15.9%	43.6%	2.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Tường	2000	9.5%	26.9%	1.4%
Vietnam	Krông Nô	2000	28.5%	51.0%	12.5%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Hưng	2000	11.9%	26.0%	3.2%
Vietnam	Lạng Giang	2000	8.6%	22.0%	2.0%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Lưu	2000	17.8%	36.5%	5.5%
Vietnam	Thanh Trì	2000	12.4%	40.4%	1.0%
Vietnam	Tiên Lãng	2000	10.8%	26.3%	2.2%
Vietnam	Nam Trà My	2000	30.3%	55.6%	9.1%
Vietnam	Yên Minh	2000	38.3%	61.7%	19.0%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Đông	2000	42.7%	60.8%	26.6%
Vietnam	Thạnh Hóa	2000	7.6%	24.4%	1.3%
Vietnam	Đạ Huoai	2000	38.1%	63.1%	16.5%
Vietnam	Ea H'leo	2000	26.8%	43.7%	15.0%
Vietnam	Thuận Thành	2000	9.3%	31.7%	0.8%
Vietnam	Buôn Ma Thuột	2000	21.5%	35.8%	11.4%
Vietnam	Mường Khương	2000	33.2%	53.6%	15.3%
Vietnam	Đông Giang	2000	33.6%	55.6%	15.1%
Vietnam	Văn Lâm	2000	14.7%	45.1%	1.7%
Vietnam	Con Cuông	2000	33.3%	49.4%	19.1%
Vietnam	Tân Yên	2000	15.9%	38.3%	3.3%
Vietnam	Bù Gia Mập	2000	30.1%	48.4%	14.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Giang	2000	20.9%	63.0%	2.7%
Vietnam	Ninh Bình	2000	11.1%	42.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2000	19.1%	34.8%	6.6%
Vietnam	Yên Bình	2000	25.1%	41.5%	11.9%
Vietnam	An Khê	2000	68.3%	87.6%	46.4%
Vietnam	Phù Ninh	2000	12.7%	29.0%	3.4%
Vietnam	Kiên Lương	2000	30.5%	63.5%	7.1%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh	2000	17.5%	33.4%	6.7%
Vietnam	Tương Dương	2000	43.2%	58.1%	28.1%
Vietnam	Tĩnh Gia	2000	29.5%	54.6%	11.9%
Vietnam	Như Xuân	2000	34.7%	60.4%	13.6%
Vietnam	Ứng Hòa	2000	16.4%	34.9%	5.2%
Vietnam	Hội An	2000	32.9%	76.9%	5.8%
Vietnam	Mộ Đức	2000	42.4%	71.3%	17.6%
Vietnam	Quận 12	2000	7.8%	21.0%	0.9%
Vietnam	Hòa Bình	2000	17.9%	39.1%	5.5%
Vietnam	Sơn La	2000	19.0%	55.9%	2.7%
Vietnam	Minh Long	2000	27.6%	67.1%	3.6%
Vietnam	Kế Sách	2000	7.9%	24.6%	1.2%
Vietnam	Xuyên Mộc	2000	30.1%	48.5%	12.1%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Đồng Hới	2000	29.8%	60.2%	8.1%
Vietnam	Gia Nghĩa	2000	18.1%	34.8%	5.9%
Vietnam	Chợ Đồn	2000	33.4%	52.7%	13.9%
Vietnam	Thọ Xuân	2000	17.3%	34.1%	7.7%
Vietnam	Đống Đa	2000	6.8%	23.4%	1.3%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hành	2000	17.2%	40.1%	3.7%
Vietnam	Thái Bình	2000	5.6%	17.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Sa Thầy	2000	35.7%	57.0%	18.1%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Buôn Hồ	2000	41.5%	64.6%	19.4%
Vietnam	Krông Bông	2000	56.7%	71.6%	39.5%
Vietnam	Kiến Xương	2000	5.7%	17.2%	0.6%
Vietnam	Sốp Cộp	2000	28.0%	46.4%	14.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Phổ Yên	2000	19.0%	31.7%	8.1%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải (Thị xã)	2000	23.6%	63.0%	3.5%
Vietnam	Gò Công Đông	2000	15.9%	36.0%	3.0%
Vietnam	Ayun Pa	2000	29.3%	57.0%	9.6%
Vietnam	Đồ Sơn	2000	22.2%	61.5%	2.4%
Vietnam	Hòa Vang	2000	30.6%	52.9%	12.2%
Vietnam	Cần Đức	2000	17.2%	35.6%	7.7%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2000	18.4%	43.2%	3.0%
Vietnam	Quảng Điền	2000	50.0%	71.7%	30.0%
Vietnam	Sơn Trà	2000	13.9%	34.9%	1.7%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2000	38.4%	58.7%	21.0%
Vietnam	Cẩm Lệ	2000	34.7%	56.0%	10.6%
Vietnam	Tân Phú Đông	2000	17.6%	40.4%	4.0%
Vietnam	Quận 9	2000	14.6%	35.5%	4.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lộc	2000	26.2%	47.8%	8.5%
Vietnam	Cẩm Giàng	2000	6.5%	21.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Cam Lâm	2000	49.9%	71.6%	27.6%
Vietnam	Đắk R'Lấp	2000	33.6%	52.2%	19.5%
Vietnam	Tây Giang	2000	21.0%	39.9%	7.8%
Vietnam	Hữu Lũng	2000	41.2%	62.1%	22.9%
Vietnam	Lấp Vò	2000	15.0%	24.3%	7.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Yên	2000	11.8%	39.6%	0.9%
Vietnam	Sơn Hà	2000	50.1%	69.5%	29.1%
Vietnam	Thạnh Trị	2000	5.1%	16.3%	0.9%
Vietnam	Bình Chánh	2000	5.1%	13.5%	1.2%
Vietnam	Ba Đồn	2000	25.5%	50.7%	6.8%
Vietnam	Lương Tài	2000	13.3%	41.1%	1.1%
Vietnam	Trảng Bàng	2000	33.8%	53.4%	18.0%
Vietnam	Tiên Phước	2000	27.6%	53.5%	9.4%
Vietnam	Bình Lục	2000	9.1%	25.5%	1.4%
Vietnam	Đầm Hà	2000	49.2%	75.6%	23.2%
Vietnam	Nam Trực	2000	4.2%	17.8%	0.1%
Vietnam	Bắc Sơn	2000	37.2%	60.8%	15.8%
Vietnam	Hưng Yên	2000	3.3%	17.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Thiệu Hóa	2000	28.6%	49.4%	14.3%
Vietnam	Tiên Yên	2000	23.9%	45.3%	7.2%
Vietnam	Kiến Tường	2000	10.9%	38.6%	1.2%
Vietnam	Thăng Bình	2000	32.8%	57.4%	13.6%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Phụ	2000	6.5%	20.5%	0.8%
Vietnam	Trà Lĩnh	2000	33.6%	54.5%	17.0%
Vietnam	Hưng Nguyên	2000	29.8%	54.7%	10.6%
Vietnam	Hồng Lĩnh	2000	16.5%	54.1%	1.1%
Vietnam	Đông Xoài	2000	23.5%	61.8%	3.9%
Vietnam	Thới Lai	2000	13.4%	25.5%	6.8%
Vietnam	Hà Tĩnh	2000	10.8%	26.0%	3.3%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2000	32.4%	49.5%	18.0%
Vietnam	An Phú	2000	13.9%	35.5%	2.1%
Vietnam	Cầu Ngang	2000	8.1%	18.6%	3.1%
Vietnam	Đa Krông	2000	31.0%	50.7%	15.6%
Vietnam	Quận 7	2000	11.0%	25.7%	3.2%
Vietnam	Mỹ Xuyên	2000	10.4%	22.0%	3.4%
Vietnam	Văn Quan	2000	51.6%	73.7%	29.9%
Vietnam	Khoái Châu	2000	16.5%	46.5%	3.4%
Vietnam	Bắc Quang	2000	33.7%	54.8%	15.4%
Vietnam	Lạc Sơn	2000	29.6%	51.6%	13.2%
Vietnam	Đầm Dơi	2000	14.0%	28.5%	3.9%
Vietnam	Thốt Nốt	2000	2.8%	11.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Cẩm Thủy	2000	22.1%	45.1%	6.6%
Vietnam	Đông Sơn	2000	18.7%	43.1%	4.9%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Đàn	2000	27.5%	43.0%	13.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Bắc Từ Liêm	2000	9.9%	36.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Khánh Sơn	2000	72.6%	89.6%	47.0%
Vietnam	Đơn Dương	2000	22.7%	42.1%	10.0%
Vietnam	Đắk Mil	2000	36.4%	54.9%	18.1%
Vietnam	Yên Thế	2000	23.3%	44.9%	6.6%
Vietnam	Bím Sơn	2000	17.4%	61.1%	1.2%
Vietnam	Bình Long	2000	23.6%	56.0%	7.0%
Vietnam	Bạch Thông	2000	24.3%	45.0%	8.8%
Vietnam	Ngã Bẩy	2000	1.7%	6.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Châu	2000	21.5%	38.3%	10.7%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2000	24.4%	62.1%	3.7%
Vietnam	Tiên Lữ	2000	2.9%	17.5%	0.0%
Vietnam	Gò Công	2000	7.6%	20.0%	2.4%
Vietnam	Thủ Thừa	2000	3.4%	10.0%	0.5%
Vietnam	Đồng Hỷ	2000	12.8%	30.4%	2.1%
Vietnam	Trần Văn Thời	2000	16.0%	32.8%	5.4%
Vietnam	Hoà Bình	2000	16.3%	36.6%	3.8%
Vietnam	Thanh Chương	2000	20.0%	33.2%	10.9%
Vietnam	Quận 5	2000	28.1%	39.5%	18.9%
Vietnam	Thường Tín	2000	9.3%	21.8%	2.3%
Vietnam	Đắk Đoa	2000	45.1%	59.3%	29.5%
Vietnam	Krông A Na	2000	32.8%	51.5%	18.4%
Vietnam	Bát Xát	2000	22.5%	35.8%	10.1%
Vietnam	Bạc Liêu	2000	17.5%	47.7%	1.5%
Vietnam	Vạn Ninh	2000	42.7%	64.5%	23.8%
Vietnam	Ba Đình	2000	6.7%	23.7%	1.2%
Vietnam	Châu Đức	2000	30.4%	55.5%	12.1%
Vietnam	Cửa Lò	2000	26.1%	81.5%	1.1%
Vietnam	Cam Lộ	2000	34.4%	59.9%	15.2%
Vietnam	Hòn Đất	2000	11.2%	23.7%	3.6%
Vietnam	Bắc Mê	2000	39.2%	62.2%	14.6%
Vietnam	Ô Môn	2000	2.2%	7.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Tri Tôn	2000	11.2%	25.1%	4.1%
Vietnam	Phù Mỹ	2000	45.9%	69.8%	21.8%
Vietnam	Phan Rang-Tháp Chàm	2000	31.3%	55.8%	9.2%
Vietnam	Mộc Châu	2000	25.9%	39.9%	14.5%
Vietnam	Sơn Động	2000	34.5%	60.5%	12.0%
Vietnam	Hạ Hoà	2000	17.1%	41.3%	3.2%
Vietnam	Đoan Hùng	2000	18.9%	48.9%	3.3%
Vietnam	Nam Giang	2000	35.0%	53.7%	17.2%
Vietnam	Hoài Nhơn	2000	35.6%	58.8%	15.4%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2000	5.6%	17.4%	1.2%
Vietnam	Tĩnh Biên	2000	17.2%	39.6%	4.1%
Vietnam	Thanh Liêm	2000	9.7%	32.9%	1.0%
Vietnam	Đắk Glei	2000	26.9%	44.9%	10.1%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy (Thị xã)	2000	3.0%	9.1%	0.4%
Vietnam	Tân Hưng	2000	11.1%	24.7%	2.9%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ	2000	6.7%	19.5%	1.2%
Vietnam	Ấn Thi	2000	5.7%	20.3%	0.6%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2000	39.2%	55.7%	25.0%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Nam	2000	32.5%	49.5%	17.4%
Vietnam	Tân Sơn	2000	27.1%	52.8%	8.0%
Vietnam	Bác Ái	2000	53.3%	72.6%	35.8%
Vietnam	Tuy An	2000	63.8%	81.0%	45.4%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2000	28.0%	46.6%	11.4%
Vietnam	Trảng Bom	2000	36.9%	55.6%	19.4%
Vietnam	Mường Nhé	2000	26.5%	42.3%	12.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Hướng Hóa	2000	36.0%	57.3%	18.6%
Vietnam	Ngã Năm	2000	8.0%	32.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Sin Hồ	2000	39.0%	55.0%	22.5%
Vietnam	Quận 10	2000	30.2%	41.6%	20.6%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Linh	2000	22.1%	46.6%	6.5%
Vietnam	Hương Sơn	2000	29.4%	50.5%	13.0%
Vietnam	Đan Phượng	2000	9.1%	30.0%	0.8%
Vietnam	Bá Thước	2000	34.2%	56.8%	12.6%
Vietnam	Thanh Hóa	2000	22.6%	36.4%	11.4%
Vietnam	Thuận Nam	2000	51.5%	74.7%	25.6%
Vietnam	Bình Thủy	2000	2.4%	10.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	An Lão	2000	7.7%	24.3%	0.9%
Vietnam	Đông Triều	2000	14.5%	41.4%	2.0%
Vietnam	Ý Yên	2000	11.6%	27.5%	2.6%
Vietnam	Xuân Trường	2000	4.4%	19.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Qui Nhơn	2000	33.8%	58.1%	13.4%
Vietnam	Vũng Tàu	2000	19.9%	36.9%	9.6%
Vietnam	Thanh Miện	2000	3.3%	15.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hoa Lư	2000	14.2%	34.2%	2.7%
Vietnam	Tủa Chùa	2000	39.8%	62.4%	17.3%
Vietnam	Tuy Hoà	2000	58.7%	79.9%	41.4%
Vietnam	Chi Lăng	2000	39.5%	65.1%	16.6%
Vietnam	Vũng Liêm	2000	9.6%	28.6%	1.2%
Vietnam	Triệu Sơn	2000	21.4%	41.8%	9.4%
Vietnam	Tuyên Hóa	2000	24.8%	40.6%	10.8%
Vietnam	Yên Bái	2000	15.0%	39.5%	1.8%
Vietnam	Thanh Xuân	2000	10.5%	27.4%	1.3%
Vietnam	Cần Giuộc	2000	13.4%	34.0%	3.5%
Vietnam	Quan Hóa	2000	35.0%	53.7%	18.5%
Vietnam	Văn Giang	2000	20.0%	47.3%	4.4%
Vietnam	Đức Trọng	2000	31.5%	47.6%	17.1%
Vietnam	Phù Cát	2000	50.8%	69.3%	27.6%
Vietnam	Hà Giang	2000	44.1%	81.0%	7.2%
Vietnam	Bến Tre	2000	10.3%	39.5%	0.7%
Vietnam	Tuy Đức	2000	33.3%	53.5%	16.7%
Vietnam	Ngọc Lặc	2000	28.6%	54.3%	9.1%
Vietnam	Phú Giáo	2000	31.1%	54.2%	13.5%
Vietnam	Phú Vang	2000	22.6%	46.3%	5.9%
Vietnam	Lộc Bình	2000	24.9%	45.2%	10.7%
Vietnam	Kim Sơn	2000	5.9%	17.9%	0.5%
Vietnam	Phú Ninh	2000	39.4%	70.0%	14.2%
Vietnam	Bình Minh	2000	2.8%	11.5%	0.2%
Vietnam	Lai Châu	2000	31.5%	69.4%	5.8%
Vietnam	Hải Châu	2000	16.5%	40.4%	2.9%
Vietnam	Tây Trà	2000	40.9%	74.4%	12.7%
Vietnam	Điện Bàn	2000	34.6%	53.9%	17.3%
Vietnam	Ngà Sơn	2000	11.1%	24.4%	2.7%
Vietnam	Đức Phổ	2000	44.0%	65.9%	19.7%
Vietnam	Bắc Yên	2000	35.2%	52.5%	20.0%
Vietnam	Lệ Thủy	2000	30.4%	50.3%	13.7%
Vietnam	Vụ Bản	2000	7.6%	21.6%	0.8%
Vietnam	Xuân Lộc	2000	42.4%	60.7%	25.8%
Vietnam	Tân Trụ	2000	11.5%	30.6%	4.0%
Vietnam	Bình Thạnh	2000	9.2%	22.8%	1.1%
Vietnam	Tân Hiệp	2000	7.0%	17.4%	2.0%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy	2000	6.8%	18.5%	1.4%
Vietnam	Yên Phong	2000	6.8%	22.0%	0.3%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2000	14.8%	45.1%	2.3%
Vietnam	Bàu Bàng	2000	23.1%	49.2%	7.7%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Long	2000	2.3%	10.7%	0.2%
Vietnam	Phú Lương	2000	25.8%	54.6%	7.2%
Vietnam	Ninh Hòa	2000	46.7%	67.0%	28.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Sóc Sơn	2000	16.9%	36.2%	4.7%
Vietnam	Triệu Phong	2000	38.0%	60.1%	15.5%
Vietnam	Quảng Ngãi	2000	35.1%	52.6%	20.8%
Vietnam	Hải Hà	2000	32.2%	57.4%	12.5%
Vietnam	Quảng Trị	2000	36.6%	79.0%	5.9%
Vietnam	Hương Trà	2000	27.6%	46.4%	12.9%
Vietnam	Minh Hóa	2000	32.7%	48.6%	17.4%
Vietnam	Hoành Bồ	2000	26.4%	51.6%	10.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Ba	2000	10.5%	25.2%	2.1%
Vietnam	Tam Điệp	2000	18.0%	60.3%	1.0%
Vietnam	Cẩm Phả	2000	28.6%	52.5%	10.5%
Vietnam	Giá Rai	2000	6.7%	17.4%	1.4%
Vietnam	Long Xuyên	2000	13.5%	31.6%	4.1%
Vietnam	Đông Hải	2000	13.7%	29.7%	4.1%
Vietnam	Tân Phước	2000	6.1%	21.6%	0.6%
Vietnam	Ninh Giang	2000	4.5%	15.1%	0.4%
Vietnam	Đồng Văn	2000	28.7%	55.0%	8.7%
Vietnam	Lâm Hà	2000	33.5%	47.3%	23.0%
Vietnam	Trà Cú	2000	4.4%	14.4%	0.9%
Vietnam	Phú Xuyên	2000	16.1%	37.9%	3.5%
Vietnam	Kinh Môn	2000	9.0%	32.6%	0.5%
Vietnam	Phụng Hiệp	2000	3.2%	9.1%	0.5%
Vietnam	Phúc Thọ	2000	11.0%	24.7%	4.8%
Vietnam	Quảng Yên	2000	21.2%	59.5%	2.6%
Vietnam	Định Quán	2000	41.5%	64.4%	22.2%
Vietnam	Gò Dầu	2000	32.7%	56.0%	14.5%
Vietnam	Vị Xuyên	2000	39.0%	54.7%	25.7%
Vietnam	Lạc Dương	2000	28.1%	48.0%	11.8%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2000	17.2%	40.3%	4.9%
Vietnam	Đạ Tẻh	2000	35.6%	50.2%	23.4%
Vietnam	Bảo Lạc	2000	33.7%	54.9%	14.1%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hồi	2000	31.0%	47.6%	14.3%
Vietnam	Mường Tè	2000	31.0%	46.6%	17.9%
Vietnam	Cát Hải	2000	20.5%	46.8%	3.2%
Vietnam	Nhà Bè	2000	7.0%	19.0%	1.1%
Vietnam	Thủ Dầu Một	2000	15.7%	34.9%	4.7%
Vietnam	Ba Vì	2000	9.5%	29.1%	1.5%
Vietnam	Cái Răng	2000	4.5%	17.1%	1.0%
Vietnam	Tứ Kỳ	2000	4.1%	15.8%	0.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Hà	2000	36.6%	61.6%	18.8%
Vietnam	Đông Hòa	2000	36.2%	62.7%	14.8%
Vietnam	An Biên	2000	8.0%	21.1%	1.4%
Vietnam	Bến Cát	2000	22.3%	46.2%	5.3%
Vietnam	Vân Hồ	2000	29.1%	46.9%	15.2%
Vietnam	Việt Yên	2000	15.8%	30.8%	6.3%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2000	7.6%	17.2%	2.3%
Vietnam	Tam Đường	2000	30.3%	55.0%	10.7%
Vietnam	Tam Kỳ	2000	34.1%	57.0%	10.1%
Vietnam	Hồng Bàng	2000	6.9%	22.6%	0.3%
Vietnam	Như Thanh	2000	27.8%	51.6%	11.1%
Vietnam	Lộc Hà	2000	12.3%	30.0%	2.1%
Vietnam	Quận 8	2000	5.2%	20.0%	0.8%
Vietnam	Hạ Long	2000	19.9%	50.2%	2.9%
Vietnam	Lương Sơn	2000	21.8%	43.5%	7.0%
Vietnam	Bắc Bình	2000	35.7%	52.1%	21.9%
Vietnam	Ninh Phước	2000	47.5%	64.1%	29.1%
Vietnam	Hoài Đức	2000	8.8%	27.0%	0.9%
Vietnam	Trà Vinh	2000	3.6%	12.4%	0.6%
Vietnam	Cù Lao Dung	2000	11.1%	28.9%	1.2%
Vietnam	Hoà An	2000	33.8%	51.4%	17.8%
Vietnam	Cờ Đỏ	2000	4.4%	14.2%	0.5%
Vietnam	Tân Bình	2000	12.7%	18.5%	8.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Long Thành	2017	3.1%	11.5%	0.3%
Vietnam	Hưng Yên	2017	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Mỏ Cày Bắc	2017	2.5%	9.8%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hai Bà Trưng	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Sóc Trăng	2017	1.4%	2.9%	0.7%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	1.3%	7.0%	0.1%
Vietnam	Dương Minh Châu	2017	6.3%	16.3%	1.6%
Vietnam	Yên Mô	2017	1.3%	10.0%	0.0%
Vietnam	Sầm Sơn	2017	2.5%	11.6%	0.2%
Vietnam	Nha Trang	2017	7.0%	19.1%	1.8%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	4.4%	10.7%	0.8%
Vietnam	Buôn Ma Thuột	2017	2.0%	4.3%	0.8%
Vietnam	Bắc Giang	2017	2.9%	8.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Văn Lâm	2017	1.4%	7.0%	0.1%
Vietnam	Sông Lô	2017	4.4%	14.2%	0.2%
Vietnam	Tam Bình	2017	2.6%	10.1%	0.3%
Vietnam	An Dương	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%
Vietnam	Tân Yên	2017	1.0%	4.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Mèo Vạc	2017	12.4%	28.0%	2.3%
Vietnam	Mường Chà	2017	11.8%	26.7%	3.3%
Vietnam	Long Biên	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Lục Nam	2017	4.3%	12.3%	1.2%
Vietnam	Phú Quý	2017	13.2%	65.7%	0.1%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2017	16.0%	26.7%	6.4%
Vietnam	Dầu Tiếng	2017	5.2%	13.3%	0.9%
Vietnam	Chơn Thành	2017	7.2%	22.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Pác Nặm	2017	12.5%	28.4%	1.9%
Vietnam	Lộc Ninh	2017	11.6%	24.9%	4.0%
Vietnam	Lâm Bình	2017	18.0%	38.6%	6.2%
Vietnam	Bảo Yên	2017	19.2%	31.6%	8.9%
Vietnam	Bù Đăng	2017	9.4%	20.2%	3.0%
Vietnam	Nam Định	2017	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Vietnam	Thới Bình	2017	4.4%	13.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2017	5.7%	29.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Vị Thủy	2017	1.8%	7.1%	0.1%
Vietnam	Ý Yên	2017	1.0%	4.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Tuần Giáo	2017	15.6%	31.9%	5.7%
Vietnam	Xuyên Mộc	2017	6.8%	14.8%	1.2%
Vietnam	Phú Riềng	2017	6.8%	19.4%	1.5%
Vietnam	Gò Công Tây	2017	2.2%	10.1%	0.2%
Vietnam	Sơn La	2017	4.3%	17.6%	0.4%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Bắc Kạn	2017	6.2%	20.0%	0.5%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2017	2.1%	8.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Ayun Pa	2017	7.4%	19.2%	1.4%
Vietnam	Chư Păh	2017	20.2%	31.4%	11.3%
Vietnam	Bạch Thông	2017	7.0%	16.6%	1.4%
Vietnam	Vũ Thư	2017	0.8%	2.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Cần Đức	2017	4.7%	15.4%	1.3%
Vietnam	Phú Quốc	2017	7.3%	18.6%	1.1%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Nhai	2017	17.8%	33.9%	6.4%
Vietnam	Châu Thành A	2017	3.3%	14.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Mỏ Cày Nam	2017	1.1%	3.5%	0.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thuận	2017	4.4%	13.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Thuận Châu	2017	12.3%	24.5%	4.3%
Vietnam	Gia Bình	2017	1.5%	6.0%	0.1%
Vietnam	Quận 7	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Vietnam	Đông Hà	2017	0.5%	1.8%	0.0%
Vietnam	Thạnh Phú	2017	4.7%	14.6%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Phù Cừ	2017	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Vietnam	Tiểu Cần	2017	1.2%	5.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Phú Hoà	2017	9.6%	21.0%	3.8%
Vietnam	Thạch An	2017	12.2%	35.9%	1.6%
Vietnam	Lý Sơn	2017	9.7%	45.9%	0.0%
Vietnam	Xín Mần	2017	18.2%	38.9%	5.6%
Vietnam	Tây Sơn	2017	10.0%	19.9%	3.5%
Vietnam	Long Khánh	2017	3.6%	12.8%	0.2%
Vietnam	Thanh Thủy	2017	1.5%	6.8%	0.1%
Vietnam	Yên Thế	2017	3.6%	10.2%	0.9%
Vietnam	Hoàng Su Phì	2017	17.1%	35.7%	5.4%
Vietnam	Đức Huệ	2017	7.7%	24.1%	1.3%
Vietnam	Vân Canh	2017	12.9%	30.1%	2.7%
Vietnam	Con Cuông	2017	12.9%	23.1%	5.9%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2017	21.5%	41.3%	9.7%
Vietnam	Kon Plông	2017	11.5%	21.6%	3.3%
Vietnam	Bình Minh	2017	0.6%	2.6%	0.0%
Vietnam	Mang Yang	2017	28.6%	44.2%	14.6%
Vietnam	Hưng Hà	2017	0.9%	3.9%	0.0%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	7.9%	24.2%	1.2%
Vietnam	Lộc Bình	2017	4.3%	11.3%	0.9%
Vietnam	Chư Prông	2017	9.7%	19.6%	3.9%
Vietnam	Hạ Hoà	2017	3.1%	11.6%	0.2%
Vietnam	Lý Nhân	2017	1.7%	8.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Chợ Lách	2017	5.5%	21.1%	0.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Hà	2017	0.5%	3.6%	0.0%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Đàn	2017	7.4%	16.6%	2.1%
Vietnam	Tuyên Quang	2017	58.2%	75.8%	43.4%
Vietnam	Quế Sơn	2017	5.6%	14.8%	0.8%
Vietnam	Đắk Hà	2017	13.2%	24.2%	5.7%
Vietnam	Long Hồ	2017	1.4%	5.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Đắk Mil	2017	8.5%	17.6%	3.3%
Vietnam	KBang	2017	17.6%	31.7%	8.1%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2017	1.0%	5.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Cô Tô	2017	10.5%	40.2%	0.5%
Vietnam	Mỹ Xuyên	2017	4.1%	9.9%	0.8%
Vietnam	Phù Yên	2017	13.6%	25.3%	5.7%
Vietnam	Hòa Thành	2017	1.0%	2.5%	0.3%
Vietnam	Cầm Khê	2017	7.6%	17.7%	1.8%
Vietnam	Trần Văn Thời	2017	4.9%	13.2%	1.2%
Vietnam	Kim Động	2017	1.2%	6.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh	2017	5.9%	13.6%	1.4%
Vietnam	Ea Kar	2017	12.1%	22.0%	5.9%
Vietnam	Than Uyên	2017	23.6%	39.7%	11.3%
Vietnam	Ba Bể	2017	15.3%	29.8%	5.0%
Vietnam	Mang Thít	2017	3.7%	14.2%	0.5%
Vietnam	Tư Nghĩa	2017	1.5%	5.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Sông Công	2017	0.7%	2.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Quảng Uyên	2017	14.7%	40.9%	2.7%
Vietnam	Vạn Ninh	2017	9.9%	24.4%	2.4%
Vietnam	Khánh Vĩnh	2017	18.2%	36.2%	6.5%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2017	2.5%	7.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Krông Năng	2017	33.1%	50.5%	18.0%
Vietnam	Tam Dương	2017	3.1%	15.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Hòa Bình	2017	2.3%	6.6%	0.3%
Vietnam	Giồng Riềng	2017	3.0%	8.0%	0.5%
Vietnam	Núi Thành	2017	6.9%	17.7%	1.4%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ	2017	3.5%	10.6%	0.5%
Vietnam	Cao Lộc	2017	4.4%	11.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Krông Nô	2017	8.4%	20.2%	2.1%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Hưng	2017	4.5%	11.5%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Huế	2017	1.0%	2.0%	0.3%
Vietnam	Thuận Bắc	2017	14.5%	33.4%	2.8%
Vietnam	Tĩnh Gia	2017	7.8%	22.6%	1.5%
Vietnam	Tân Thành	2017	3.3%	11.1%	0.3%
Vietnam	Phú Lương	2017	6.3%	17.7%	0.8%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2017	5.9%	11.0%	2.8%
Vietnam	Quốc Oai	2017	1.0%	3.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Văn Bàn	2017	19.2%	31.2%	9.6%
Vietnam	Mường Ảng	2017	12.3%	33.5%	0.9%
Vietnam	Yên Định	2017	5.3%	20.6%	0.4%
Vietnam	Mường	2017	15.8%	31.6%	4.3%
Vietnam	Khương				
Vietnam	Đắk Glei	2017	10.7%	22.1%	3.2%
Vietnam	Tuy Phước	2017	8.0%	23.6%	1.1%
Vietnam	Diễn Châu	2017	6.8%	22.3%	0.9%
Vietnam	Đà Lạt	2017	3.0%	6.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Nho Quan	2017	1.7%	6.1%	0.1%
Vietnam	Vân Hồ	2017	10.3%	23.5%	3.0%
Vietnam	Tiên Lữ	2017	0.3%	2.4%	0.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Ba	2017	0.6%	2.1%	0.1%
Vietnam	Bảo Lạc	2017	12.0%	25.5%	3.2%
Vietnam	Mê Linh	2017	6.4%	16.1%	1.3%
Vietnam	Đầm Dơi	2017	5.2%	13.7%	1.1%
Vietnam	Yên Châu	2017	9.4%	23.2%	2.0%
Vietnam	Phú Lộc	2017	9.7%	20.9%	2.9%
Vietnam	Tuy Phong	2017	12.9%	24.6%	4.6%
Vietnam	Tây Hoà	2017	11.8%	30.4%	2.4%
Vietnam	Cần Giuộc	2017	3.7%	14.6%	0.6%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	4.8%	13.4%	1.5%
Vietnam	Hà Tĩnh	2017	1.2%	3.0%	0.3%
Vietnam	Thống Nhất	2017	8.1%	23.0%	1.2%
Vietnam	Đông Giang	2017	11.3%	25.8%	2.6%
Vietnam	Châu Đức	2017	8.2%	25.6%	1.1%
Vietnam	Bình Tân	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Vietnam	Hải Lăng	2017	6.0%	19.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Bình Long	2017	4.7%	15.2%	1.2%
Vietnam	Ia Pa	2017	17.9%	34.0%	7.5%
Vietnam	Lục Ngạn	2017	9.7%	20.8%	2.7%
Vietnam	Thọ Xuân	2017	6.1%	17.1%	1.6%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận	2017	8.5%	19.1%	3.0%
Vietnam	Bắc				
Vietnam	Phù Ninh	2017	1.1%	4.1%	0.2%
Vietnam	Kiên Lương	2017	8.5%	28.5%	0.9%
Vietnam	Bác Ái	2017	20.8%	36.8%	8.6%
Vietnam	Tương Dương	2017	18.0%	29.8%	9.2%
Vietnam	Yên Thủy	2017	4.8%	16.6%	0.6%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	3.0%	14.0%	0.1%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Buôn Hồ	2017	11.1%	21.1%	5.6%
Vietnam	Bình Đại	2017	5.5%	15.8%	0.8%
Vietnam	Ninh Giang	2017	0.5%	2.5%	0.0%
Vietnam	Hóc Môn	2017	0.5%	1.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Quận 3	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Ea Súp	2017	17.9%	32.3%	8.7%
Vietnam	Phù Cát	2017	14.6%	29.4%	5.2%
Vietnam	Văn Giang	2017	1.7%	6.6%	0.2%
Vietnam	Hiệp Hòa	2017	3.8%	10.4%	0.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Hóa	2017	2.3%	6.1%	0.3%
Vietnam	Từ Sơn	2017	0.2%	2.3%	0.0%
Vietnam	Trà Ôn	2017	3.5%	10.3%	0.5%
Vietnam	Hà Quảng	2017	15.3%	41.6%	2.0%
Vietnam	Hải Hậu	2017	0.6%	3.0%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Ngọc Lặc	2017	8.5%	27.0%	2.0%
Vietnam	Đắk Tô	2017	9.4%	21.1%	3.1%
Vietnam	Cầm Lẻ	2017	8.0%	21.8%	1.5%
Vietnam	Hàm Thuận Nam	2017	8.0%	16.9%	2.4%
Vietnam	Lang Chánh	2017	11.5%	30.2%	2.0%
Vietnam	Hoa Lư	2017	1.4%	6.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Cư M'gar	2017	8.2%	16.8%	3.5%
Vietnam	Tân Thạnh	2017	3.3%	11.1%	0.4%
Vietnam	Thốt Nốt	2017	1.1%	6.0%	0.1%
Vietnam	Na Rì	2017	11.2%	26.8%	1.8%
Vietnam	Cư Kuin	2017	29.2%	45.6%	18.9%
Vietnam	Mai Châu	2017	6.8%	19.6%	1.1%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	2.2%	8.3%	0.3%
Vietnam	Cao Phong	2017	4.8%	18.7%	0.5%
Vietnam	Kiến An	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Vietnam	Đức Trọng	2017	11.0%	18.1%	5.0%
Vietnam	Ba Đình	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Bến Tre	2017	2.7%	13.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Bỉm Sơn	2017	3.1%	19.8%	0.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Bình	2017	1.2%	3.7%	0.1%
Vietnam	Nghi Xuân	2017	2.8%	10.5%	0.3%
Vietnam	Cầu Giấy	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Vietnam	Lai Vung	2017	1.2%	5.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Cam Ranh	2017	9.8%	21.6%	2.8%
Vietnam	Lâm Thao	2017	1.6%	7.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hoài Nhơn	2017	6.6%	19.6%	1.5%
Vietnam	Hoà An	2017	11.7%	24.5%	3.7%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự (Thị xã)	2017	2.9%	14.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Lào Cai	2017	7.8%	14.6%	2.9%
Vietnam	Quận 5	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.2%
Vietnam	Long Xuyên	2017	0.8%	2.5%	0.2%
Vietnam	Hồng Lĩnh	2017	3.8%	20.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Bạc Liêu	2017	3.1%	15.6%	0.0%
Vietnam	Vụ Bản	2017	0.9%	4.5%	0.0%
Vietnam	Giồng Trôm	2017	1.9%	5.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Nguyên Bình	2017	12.6%	30.1%	2.4%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hiến	2017	7.8%	16.9%	2.3%
Vietnam	Thành Phố Đồng Hới	2017	6.9%	25.5%	0.3%
Vietnam	Long Phú	2017	2.1%	8.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Đống Đa	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Quận 6	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Quang	2017	12.6%	25.4%	3.5%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Bảo	2017	2.2%	12.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Tây Hồ	2017	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Vietnam	Quang Bình	2017	13.6%	29.1%	3.7%
Vietnam	Hưng Nguyên	2017	4.0%	11.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Vũ Quang	2017	10.0%	27.3%	1.9%
Vietnam	Ngân Sơn	2017	11.2%	30.9%	1.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Linh	2017	4.8%	13.4%	0.7%
Vietnam	Hoàng Hóa	2017	2.0%	7.7%	0.2%
Vietnam	Cẩm Mỹ	2017	7.1%	20.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Bà Rịa	2017	2.7%	10.6%	0.2%
Vietnam	Lạc Thủy	2017	6.6%	16.7%	1.0%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2017	6.1%	19.3%	0.6%
Vietnam	Xuân Trường	2017	0.5%	3.6%	0.0%
Vietnam	Krông Pa	2017	24.7%	40.9%	11.2%
Vietnam	Quảng Yên	2017	5.3%	23.8%	0.2%
Vietnam	Pleiku	2017	2.1%	5.4%	0.9%
Vietnam	Quận 9	2017	0.5%	2.5%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Văn Yên	2017	10.1%	22.7%	3.0%
Vietnam	Kỳ Anh (Thị xã)	2017	5.4%	20.7%	0.2%
Vietnam	Sa Đéc	2017	0.8%	6.2%	0.0%
Vietnam	Đình Lập	2017	10.9%	24.6%	2.9%
Vietnam	Lắk	2017	12.1%	23.7%	4.8%
Vietnam	Điện Biên	2017	9.0%	23.7%	1.9%
Vietnam	Ngũ Hành Sơn	2017	0.6%	4.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Yên	2017	0.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Vietnam	Bắc Bình	2017	10.1%	19.5%	4.1%
Vietnam	Cẩm Thủy	2017	3.9%	11.9%	0.7%
Vietnam	Quảng Ninh	2017	9.2%	18.6%	3.8%
Vietnam	Ba Đồn	2017	4.8%	21.9%	0.6%
Vietnam	Tam Nông	2017	2.2%	5.9%	0.4%
Vietnam	Đại Lộc	2017	9.6%	23.4%	2.9%
Vietnam	Mỹ Lộc	2017	1.1%	6.2%	0.0%
Vietnam	Bình Sơn	2017	7.8%	22.8%	0.9%
Vietnam	Quảng Xương	2017	2.2%	9.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Cam Lộ	2017	9.4%	22.2%	2.2%
Vietnam	Đất Đỏ	2017	9.2%	26.7%	1.0%
Vietnam	Chiêm Hóa	2017	19.1%	34.8%	9.1%
Vietnam	Ngô Quyền	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Bàu Bàng	2017	4.2%	16.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Định Quán	2017	9.2%	21.2%	2.8%
Vietnam	Tiên Yên	2017	4.5%	12.5%	1.0%
Vietnam	M'Đrắk	2017	19.9%	38.1%	8.5%
Vietnam	Như Xuân	2017	11.9%	30.9%	2.1%
Vietnam	Phú Thọ	2017	0.8%	2.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Thủ Dầu Một	2017	1.8%	7.5%	0.2%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Đông	2017	19.1%	31.6%	9.9%
Vietnam	Thạch Thành	2017	5.9%	17.1%	1.3%
Vietnam	Quận 12	2017	0.3%	1.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Khê	2017	0.6%	1.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Quản Bạ	2017	12.8%	35.4%	1.8%
Vietnam	Giao Thủy	2017	0.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Vietnam	Gia Lộc	2017	0.4%	3.0%	0.0%
Vietnam	Hàm Yên	2017	23.2%	37.5%	12.6%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tú	2017	2.9%	10.6%	0.4%
Vietnam	Bắc Ninh	2017	0.3%	1.8%	0.0%
Vietnam	Kế Sách	2017	3.2%	13.3%	0.3%
Vietnam	Chợ Đồn	2017	14.0%	31.3%	3.6%
Vietnam	Gia Nghĩa	2017	5.2%	16.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Lập Thạch	2017	5.7%	20.8%	0.2%
Vietnam	Hải Dương	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Vietnam	Cẩm Xuyên	2017	5.3%	15.5%	0.8%
Vietnam	Mộc Châu	2017	9.7%	19.2%	4.5%
Vietnam	Thái Bình	2017	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Vietnam	Triệu Sơn	2017	6.3%	16.2%	1.3%
Vietnam	Buôn Đôn	2017	12.3%	22.6%	6.2%
Vietnam	Nông Sơn	2017	12.4%	32.8%	1.4%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy	2017	3.1%	8.1%	0.6%
Vietnam	Thoại Sơn	2017	4.1%	10.9%	0.7%
Vietnam	Chợ Gạo	2017	3.4%	12.4%	0.7%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2017	2.4%	6.6%	0.3%
Vietnam	Nà Hang	2017	28.1%	41.8%	19.2%
Vietnam	Hòa Vang	2017	5.9%	15.7%	1.2%
Vietnam	Nhơn Trạch	2017	4.6%	16.1%	0.5%
Vietnam	Đức Cơ	2017	19.8%	35.8%	9.7%
Vietnam	Tánh Linh	2017	10.3%	23.6%	3.0%
Vietnam	Phú Vang	2017	4.9%	16.2%	0.4%
Vietnam	Thanh Oai	2017	2.9%	11.0%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Tân Phú Đông	2017	4.8%	17.6%	0.3%
Vietnam	Qui Nhơn	2017	3.0%	8.3%	0.6%
Vietnam	Thủ Đức	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.0%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	3.4%	12.4%	0.3%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Châu	2017	4.8%	11.3%	1.4%
Vietnam	Nam Từ Liêm	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Vietnam	Gò Công	2017	2.3%	8.5%	0.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lộc	2017	5.3%	17.1%	0.9%
Vietnam	Trà Cú	2017	2.7%	8.9%	0.4%
Vietnam	Nậm Nhùn	2017	13.8%	25.4%	5.7%
Vietnam	Quận 8	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Vietnam	Cư Jút	2017	6.5%	15.1%	1.9%
Vietnam	Yên Dũng	2017	3.4%	11.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Ninh Hòa	2017	13.3%	27.2%	4.7%
Vietnam	Hà Đông	2017	1.4%	6.7%	0.0%
Vietnam	Thạnh Hóa	2017	3.5%	14.2%	0.3%
Vietnam	An Nhơn	2017	20.6%	44.1%	7.9%
Vietnam	A Lưới	2017	9.2%	26.6%	1.7%
Vietnam	Ea H'leo	2017	11.7%	20.3%	5.7%
Vietnam	Xuân Lộc	2017	6.5%	16.2%	1.5%
Vietnam	Krông A Na	2017	8.1%	18.5%	2.2%
Vietnam	Thuận Thành	2017	1.2%	6.7%	0.0%
Vietnam	Sơn Trà	2017	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Vietnam	Tân Uyên	2017	2.6%	11.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Dĩ An	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Nam Trực	2017	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Mỹ Đức	2017	5.6%	20.0%	0.7%
Vietnam	Việt Trì	2017	0.8%	3.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Thịệu Hóa	2017	10.1%	25.0%	3.4%
Vietnam	Hồng Dân	2017	5.5%	13.1%	1.1%
Vietnam	Đắk Glong	2017	14.1%	26.0%	5.9%
Vietnam	Biên Hòa	2017	0.5%	1.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Tri Tôn	2017	6.3%	15.6%	2.6%
Vietnam	Phù Mỹ	2017	11.5%	25.9%	3.1%
Vietnam	Tây Trà	2017	14.2%	41.1%	1.7%
Vietnam	Sơn Động	2017	9.9%	24.5%	1.6%
Vietnam	Ninh Sơn	2017	13.7%	29.3%	5.7%
Vietnam	Hồng Bàng	2017	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Vietnam	Mường Nhé	2017	13.1%	24.7%	4.5%
Vietnam	Bảo Lộc	2017	1.7%	5.2%	0.2%
Vietnam	Thuận An	2017	0.4%	1.5%	0.0%
Vietnam	Sông Hình	2017	29.7%	46.4%	15.4%
Vietnam	Duy Xuyên	2017	4.0%	10.6%	0.7%
Vietnam	Bá Thước	2017	10.4%	21.6%	1.5%
Vietnam	Bến Lức	2017	3.6%	11.2%	0.9%
Vietnam	Sóc Sơn	2017	3.8%	9.1%	1.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Liêm	2017	2.1%	10.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Cái Nước	2017	4.8%	15.2%	0.5%
Vietnam	Krông Pắc	2017	12.6%	20.8%	6.2%
Vietnam	Anh Sơn	2017	7.7%	17.6%	1.9%
Vietnam	Đắk Pơ	2017	7.7%	20.6%	2.3%
Vietnam	Bình Giang	2017	1.1%	5.5%	0.0%
Vietnam	Kỳ Sơn	2017	4.6%	16.9%	0.7%
Vietnam	Nhà Bè	2017	0.9%	5.7%	0.0%
Vietnam	Cái Răng	2017	0.6%	2.3%	0.0%
Vietnam	Nậm Pồ	2017	11.6%	25.8%	3.8%
Vietnam	Hương Thủy	2017	4.7%	11.9%	1.1%
Vietnam	Yên Phong	2017	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Tuy An	2017	13.8%	27.2%	5.5%
Vietnam	Hương Trà	2017	9.7%	18.5%	3.7%
Vietnam	Krông Bông	2017	17.5%	33.0%	8.1%
Vietnam	Tân Bình	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Phan Thiết	2017	6.6%	18.2%	0.9%
Vietnam	Đông Sơn	2017	5.3%	15.9%	0.6%
Vietnam	Sơn Dương	2017	14.0%	24.8%	6.8%
Vietnam	Trần Đề	2017	4.3%	15.9%	0.6%
Vietnam	Khánh Sơn	2017	31.5%	58.0%	11.0%
Vietnam	An Phú	2017	6.7%	21.2%	0.8%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Lợi	2017	2.4%	7.0%	0.3%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Cửu	2017	4.2%	11.5%	0.9%
Vietnam	Quế Võ	2017	1.2%	5.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Bảo Lâm	2017	13.9%	28.9%	3.8%
Vietnam	Thái Nguyên	2017	3.2%	5.7%	1.8%
Vietnam	Phước Long	2017	4.2%	13.1%	0.6%
Vietnam	Ia H' Drai	2017	13.8%	26.4%	4.0%
Vietnam	Tủa Chùa	2017	18.4%	39.4%	4.3%
Vietnam	Quận 11	2017	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Tuy Hoà	2017	5.1%	12.8%	3.0%
Vietnam	Vũng Liêm	2017	3.5%	12.2%	0.5%
Vietnam	Cần Giờ	2017	6.6%	16.1%	1.4%
Vietnam	Hà Tiên	2017	8.3%	29.0%	0.5%
Vietnam	Đồng Văn	2017	9.4%	24.1%	2.4%
Vietnam	Đạ Huoai	2017	10.1%	24.8%	2.4%
Vietnam	An Lão	2017	0.7%	4.0%	0.0%
Vietnam	Quỳ Châu	2017	13.5%	28.6%	4.5%
Vietnam	Tiên Phước	2017	8.3%	23.6%	1.3%
Vietnam	Yên Lập	2017	11.0%	29.0%	1.6%
Vietnam	Phú Thiện	2017	23.3%	39.1%	12.1%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	2.0%	6.7%	0.2%
Vietnam	Lạng Sơn	2017	1.2%	4.1%	0.2%
Vietnam	Cái Bè	2017	2.5%	6.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Bù Gia Mập	2017	8.3%	17.3%	2.5%
Vietnam	Đắk Song	2017	16.5%	29.2%	8.9%
Vietnam	Hoàn Kiếm	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Kim Bảng	2017	3.6%	16.6%	0.1%
Vietnam	Sa Pa	2017	8.3%	17.4%	1.7%
Vietnam	Uông Bí	2017	2.7%	9.1%	0.2%
Vietnam	Ia Grai	2017	10.4%	19.8%	4.3%
Vietnam	Hải Châu	2017	1.4%	3.7%	0.3%
Vietnam	An Khê	2017	13.9%	30.2%	5.6%
Vietnam	Yên Thành	2017	8.0%	21.1%	1.8%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2017	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%
Vietnam	Văn Lãng	2017	11.2%	33.4%	0.8%
Vietnam	Quảng Trạch	2017	4.7%	15.0%	0.9%
Vietnam	Bình Thủy	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Vietnam	Thuận Nam	2017	19.2%	37.4%	4.9%
Vietnam	Đơn Dương	2017	3.4%	8.2%	1.1%
Vietnam	Hoài Ân	2017	12.7%	28.4%	3.0%
Vietnam	Cầu Ngang	2017	3.4%	8.0%	1.0%
Vietnam	U Minh Thượng	2017	4.0%	13.5%	0.5%
Vietnam	Đa Krông	2017	10.0%	22.2%	2.7%
Vietnam	Trùng Khánh	2017	14.8%	43.3%	1.4%
Vietnam	Văn Quan	2017	16.6%	37.1%	5.3%
Vietnam	Mai Sơn	2017	9.4%	17.1%	3.6%
Vietnam	Phú Giáo	2017	6.4%	19.5%	0.9%
Vietnam	Mường Tè	2017	15.0%	26.2%	6.0%
Vietnam	Thanh Sơn	2017	4.5%	12.1%	1.2%
Vietnam	Ngã Năm	2017	3.1%	14.8%	0.1%
Vietnam	Tuyên Hóa	2017	8.0%	20.2%	2.1%
Vietnam	Phổ Yên	2017	3.5%	8.8%	1.1%
Vietnam	Tân Châu	2017	11.7%	23.8%	3.2%
Vietnam	Quỳ Hợp	2017	16.0%	32.5%	5.8%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải (Thị xã)	2017	8.6%	25.7%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Thạch Hà	2017	3.7%	7.7%	1.2%
Vietnam	Quận 10	2017	0.5%	0.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Di Linh	2017	8.8%	14.5%	4.4%
Vietnam	Đan Phượng	2017	0.5%	2.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Bắc Từ Liêm	2017	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Vietnam	Quan Sơn	2017	13.9%	27.1%	4.1%
Vietnam	Bù Đốp	2017	10.2%	24.5%	2.5%
Vietnam	Tiên Du	2017	0.4%	2.3%	0.0%
Vietnam	Yên Sơn	2017	25.0%	37.1%	14.2%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Tường	2017	0.9%	4.1%	0.1%
Vietnam	Nông Cống	2017	4.5%	15.0%	0.7%
Vietnam	Liên Chiểu	2017	1.4%	5.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Can Lộc	2017	4.3%	13.0%	0.5%
Vietnam	Tân Phước	2017	2.3%	9.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Tiên Lãng	2017	1.8%	8.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Phúc Thọ	2017	6.8%	12.1%	3.7%
Vietnam	Thanh Xuân	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Vietnam	Đắk Đoa	2017	14.0%	24.4%	7.6%
Vietnam	Phú Xuyên	2017	3.1%	12.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Tam Kỳ	2017	1.1%	3.0%	0.3%
Vietnam	Quan Hóa	2017	10.8%	23.9%	3.1%
Vietnam	Đà Bắc	2017	7.0%	17.0%	1.1%
Vietnam	Đức Hòa	2017	6.3%	14.8%	2.2%
Vietnam	Đông Anh	2017	1.4%	5.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Võ Nhai	2017	7.6%	21.3%	1.4%
Vietnam	Bình Liêu	2017	13.8%	37.5%	2.2%
Vietnam	Nam Sách	2017	0.6%	3.3%	0.0%
Vietnam	La Gi	2017	6.0%	21.9%	0.5%
Vietnam	U Minh	2017	7.1%	16.6%	1.4%
Vietnam	Ninh Phước	2017	7.3%	16.6%	1.7%
Vietnam	Yên Bình	2017	7.7%	14.8%	2.3%
Vietnam	Năm Căn	2017	6.5%	18.1%	1.1%
Vietnam	Kiến Tường	2017	4.4%	15.8%	0.2%
Vietnam	Trực Ninh	2017	0.3%	2.7%	0.0%
Vietnam	Sông Cầu	2017	10.9%	26.8%	1.6%
Vietnam	Tu Mơ Rông	2017	15.0%	28.5%	6.0%
Vietnam	Cao Bằng	2017	11.6%	28.5%	2.2%
Vietnam	Phú Tân	2017	3.1%	10.4%	0.7%
Vietnam	Điện Bàn	2017	2.8%	8.3%	0.6%
Vietnam	Tịnh Biên	2017	3.9%	12.6%	0.4%
Vietnam	Vinh	2017	1.3%	3.7%	0.2%
Vietnam	Tam Đảo	2017	8.7%	29.3%	0.5%
Vietnam	Mộ Đức	2017	6.6%	23.9%	0.9%
Vietnam	Chư Sê	2017	7.7%	14.7%	3.0%
Vietnam	Quận 1	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Điện Biên Phủ	2017	3.5%	8.9%	0.4%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2017	17.4%	37.4%	4.1%
Vietnam	Nam Đông	2017	11.7%	29.7%	2.1%
Vietnam	Hướng Hóa	2017	12.1%	26.7%	3.5%
Vietnam	Sa Thầy	2017	13.1%	27.2%	4.7%
Vietnam	Thông Nông	2017	16.5%	32.6%	6.9%
Vietnam	Kim Thành	2017	0.5%	2.3%	0.0%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	4.5%	13.8%	0.8%
Vietnam	Sơn Tịnh	2017	7.6%	19.4%	1.8%
Vietnam	Duyên Hải	2017	5.5%	15.7%	0.7%
Vietnam	Tiền Hải	2017	1.7%	9.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Bố Trạch	2017	9.0%	22.4%	2.4%
Vietnam	Long Mỹ (Thị xã)	2017	0.8%	3.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Long Điền	2017	5.5%	12.7%	3.0%
Vietnam	Dương Kinh	2017	0.6%	4.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Lộ	2017	17.4%	27.7%	8.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Vân Đồn	2017	4.6%	12.8%	0.9%
Vietnam	Ấn Thi	2017	1.0%	5.7%	0.0%
Vietnam	Nam Đàn	2017	7.1%	20.1%	1.7%
Vietnam	Ba Tơ	2017	13.3%	27.4%	4.6%
Vietnam	Ba Chẽ	2017	8.5%	22.0%	1.3%
Vietnam	Phục Hoà	2017	16.9%	44.6%	4.4%
Vietnam	Ba Tri	2017	7.2%	20.0%	1.6%
Vietnam	Rạch Giá	2017	5.9%	9.7%	3.1%
Vietnam	Lấp Vò	2017	3.1%	7.8%	0.5%
Vietnam	Yên Minh	2017	12.1%	24.4%	3.6%
Vietnam	Việt Yên	2017	1.6%	4.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Thanh Chương	2017	5.2%	11.1%	1.8%
Vietnam	Sơn Hà	2017	18.7%	37.4%	6.9%
Vietnam	Triệu Phong	2017	5.1%	15.0%	0.8%
Vietnam	Bình Chánh	2017	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Vietnam	Thới Lai	2017	6.6%	14.9%	2.9%
Vietnam	Hà Giang	2017	11.5%	40.3%	0.7%
Vietnam	Trảng Bàng	2017	10.2%	21.5%	3.9%
Vietnam	Quế Phong	2017	13.7%	24.1%	5.2%
Vietnam	Quảng Điền	2017	7.1%	19.7%	1.9%
Vietnam	Krông Búk	2017	11.8%	29.1%	3.0%
Vietnam	Kông Chro	2017	18.0%	36.3%	7.8%
Vietnam	Hàm Tân	2017	8.2%	19.5%	1.7%
Vietnam	Mỹ Tho	2017	1.6%	5.5%	0.3%
Vietnam	Ô Môn	2017	1.0%	4.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Trà Bồng	2017	11.8%	34.7%	1.0%
Vietnam	Ngọc Hồi	2017	10.6%	22.9%	3.3%
Vietnam	Tuy Đức	2017	12.3%	23.9%	4.1%
Vietnam	Phú Ninh	2017	6.5%	21.4%	0.8%
Vietnam	Yên Lạc	2017	2.6%	6.4%	1.1%
Vietnam	Giá Rai	2017	2.4%	7.9%	0.3%
Vietnam	Lạc Sơn	2017	8.6%	21.8%	1.7%
Vietnam	Thái Thụy	2017	1.8%	5.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Bình Xuyên	2017	1.9%	6.2%	0.3%
Vietnam	Thủy Nguyên	2017	1.2%	7.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Chợ Mới	2017	12.5%	28.7%	2.3%
Vietnam	Đam Rông	2017	12.7%	29.1%	3.5%
Vietnam	Đông Hải	2017	4.3%	13.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Đồng Xoài	2017	5.1%	22.8%	0.3%
Vietnam	Bát Xát	2017	7.9%	15.8%	2.5%
Vietnam	Hội An	2017	6.0%	28.2%	0.3%
Vietnam	Cẩm Phả	2017	3.6%	11.8%	0.6%
Vietnam	Đầm Hà	2017	15.8%	44.0%	2.4%
Vietnam	Gio Linh	2017	4.6%	12.8%	0.5%
Vietnam	Bình Lục	2017	2.3%	8.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Tân Hưng	2017	5.0%	14.4%	1.0%
Vietnam	Bình Gia	2017	9.5%	22.4%	1.4%
Vietnam	Nghi Lộc	2017	6.4%	20.6%	0.9%
Vietnam	Mù Cang Chải	2017	15.6%	27.4%	7.2%
Vietnam	Hoài Đức	2017	0.4%	1.8%	0.0%
Vietnam	Đức Linh	2017	8.0%	16.1%	3.2%
Vietnam	Hạ Long	2017	2.4%	10.4%	0.2%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2017	8.2%	18.8%	1.9%
Vietnam	Bắc Tân Uyên	2017	5.4%	15.4%	0.6%
Vietnam	Phụng Hiệp	2017	1.7%	5.4%	0.3%
Vietnam	Sốp Cộp	2017	11.0%	25.4%	3.5%
Vietnam	Diên Khánh	2017	7.8%	28.1%	1.0%
Vietnam	Châu Phú	2017	3.0%	7.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Hà Trung	2017	3.2%	13.0%	0.3%
Vietnam	Gò Công Đông	2017	3.5%	12.1%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Càng Long	2017	3.5%	9.9%	0.9%
Vietnam	Quảng Trị	2017	5.5%	22.0%	0.2%
Vietnam	Kon Tum	2017	8.1%	15.6%	4.2%
Vietnam	Đại Từ	2017	6.9%	19.3%	1.3%
Vietnam	Trần Yên	2017	5.0%	11.4%	1.2%
Vietnam	Tây Ninh	2017	3.7%	10.2%	0.7%
Vietnam	Trạm Tấu	2017	16.3%	34.3%	5.4%
Vietnam	Tháp Mười	2017	3.0%	11.0%	0.3%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Long	2017	0.8%	3.9%	0.0%
Vietnam	Bình Thạnh	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Vietnam	Ngã Bảy	2017	0.8%	5.0%	0.0%
Vietnam	Đồng Phú	2017	8.0%	16.9%	2.8%
Vietnam	Hớn Quản	2017	6.6%	18.4%	1.2%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2017	2.8%	12.5%	0.3%
Vietnam	Cẩm Giăng	2017	0.8%	5.6%	0.0%
Vietnam	Tam Điệp	2017	2.8%	16.6%	0.0%
Vietnam	Trảng Bom	2017	2.1%	6.8%	0.5%
Vietnam	Củ Chi	2017	2.4%	8.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Cờ Đỏ	2017	2.4%	9.5%	0.2%
Vietnam	Đạ Tẻh	2017	8.9%	16.8%	4.1%
Vietnam	Thường Tín	2017	1.0%	2.9%	0.3%
Vietnam	Đông Xuân	2017	14.6%	26.7%	4.6%
Vietnam	Tứ Kỳ	2017	0.6%	3.5%	0.0%
Vietnam	Đồ Sơn	2017	3.9%	17.0%	0.2%
Vietnam	Tân Lạc	2017	8.6%	23.0%	1.7%
Vietnam	Tân An	2017	0.4%	2.0%	0.0%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Vietnam	Minh Long	2017	7.0%	27.6%	0.2%
Vietnam	Ba Vì	2017	1.5%	7.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Phan Rang- Tháp Chàm	2017	4.3%	23.1%	0.2%
Vietnam	Gò Dầu	2017	10.2%	25.5%	2.6%
Vietnam	Ninh Hải	2017	12.3%	30.4%	2.6%
Vietnam	Thanh Trì	2017	2.1%	11.3%	0.0%
Vietnam	Bến Cát	2017	2.1%	7.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Kiến Xương	2017	0.7%	3.4%	0.0%
Vietnam	Trà Lĩnh	2017	19.1%	35.2%	8.2%
Vietnam	Phủ Lý	2017	3.9%	24.7%	0.0%
Vietnam	Như Thanh	2017	8.2%	20.5%	1.9%
Vietnam	Định Hóa	2017	10.8%	23.2%	4.4%
Vietnam	Si Ma Cai	2017	30.4%	59.0%	12.6%
Vietnam	Vị Xuyên	2017	12.9%	22.5%	6.5%
Vietnam	Tam Đường	2017	15.4%	37.4%	3.8%
Vietnam	Lương Tài	2017	1.5%	8.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Tân Trụ	2017	3.1%	10.9%	0.7%
Vietnam	Cai Lậy (Thị xã)	2017	1.1%	4.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Mộc Hóa	2017	4.4%	18.0%	0.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Trà My	2017	11.1%	28.1%	1.9%
Vietnam	Sơn Tây	2017	16.9%	44.9%	3.3%
Vietnam	Kiến Thụy	2017	1.2%	5.7%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hương Khê	2017	8.3%	20.1%	2.1%
Vietnam	Hiệp Đức	2017	10.3%	31.4%	1.4%
Vietnam	Hạ Lang	2017	15.1%	34.3%	2.5%
Vietnam	Mường La	2017	16.6%	30.4%	7.7%
Vietnam	Đoan Hùng	2017	3.5%	14.4%	0.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Yên	2017	15.3%	26.9%	8.0%
Vietnam	Hậu Lộc	2017	1.5%	6.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Tràng Định	2017	6.8%	14.3%	1.5%
Vietnam	Nam Giang	2017	12.4%	25.6%	3.3%
Vietnam	Quảng Ngãi	2017	2.6%	8.2%	0.5%
Vietnam	Lê Chân	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Minh Hóa	2017	11.7%	20.4%	4.0%
Vietnam	Đông Hưng	2017	0.7%	4.4%	0.0%
Vietnam	Gò Vấp	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Tân Phú	2017	6.3%	12.6%	2.4%
Vietnam	Phúc Yên	2017	4.4%	18.7%	0.7%
Vietnam	Duy Tiên	2017	1.6%	6.6%	0.2%
Vietnam	Gia Lâm	2017	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Vietnam	Cà Mau	2017	1.7%	6.5%	0.2%
Vietnam	Tân Hiệp	2017	2.5%	8.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Lạng Giang	2017	0.6%	2.7%	0.1%
Vietnam	Lai Châu	2017	14.3%	45.9%	0.5%
Vietnam	Thạch Thất	2017	2.5%	6.2%	1.1%
Vietnam	Hoành Bồ	2017	5.7%	18.0%	0.9%
Vietnam	Phong Thổ	2017	16.2%	30.8%	4.6%
Vietnam	Đông Hòa	2017	7.6%	23.6%	0.8%
Vietnam	An Biên	2017	2.9%	9.5%	0.4%
Vietnam	Móng Cái	2017	3.0%	6.9%	0.6%
Vietnam	Thị Xã Mường Lay	2017	4.0%	12.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Thanh Trị	2017	2.6%	10.1%	0.3%
Vietnam	Cầu Kè	2017	2.6%	10.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hải An	2017	0.5%	2.7%	0.0%
Vietnam	Đức Phổ	2017	9.9%	24.1%	1.5%
Vietnam	Đông Triều	2017	2.1%	10.1%	0.1%
Vietnam	Cửa Lò	2017	5.5%	33.9%	0.0%
Vietnam	Lạc Dương	2017	10.4%	20.1%	3.4%
Vietnam	Châu Đức	2017	3.3%	9.4%	0.5%
Vietnam	Châu Thành	2017	3.3%	15.8%	0.7%
Vietnam	Ninh Kiều	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Yên Khánh	2017	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Phụ	2017	1.0%	3.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Thủ Thừa	2017	1.5%	5.9%	0.2%
Vietnam	Kim Bôi	2017	7.8%	18.8%	1.8%
Vietnam	Quận 4	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Chí Linh	2017	1.5%	7.8%	0.0%
Vietnam	Bảo Thắng	2017	14.5%	30.7%	4.0%
Vietnam	Hồng Ngự	2017	2.4%	6.7%	0.7%
Vietnam	Bắc Hà	2017	19.8%	39.1%	5.8%
Vietnam	Giang Thành	2017	8.9%	24.1%	1.2%
Vietnam	Quận 2	2017	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Vietnam	Sông Mã	2017	11.3%	24.1%	3.8%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh (Thành phố)	2017	2.0%	10.5%	0.3%
Vietnam	Tân Sơn	2017	7.5%	19.6%	0.8%
Vietnam	Thường Xuân	2017	12.3%	23.5%	4.1%
Vietnam	Lương Sơn	2017	4.7%	13.7%	0.6%
Vietnam	Phong Điền	2017	3.3%	11.4%	0.4%
Vietnam	Cao Lãnh	2017	1.4%	5.3%	0.2%
Vietnam	Tân Biên	2017	8.6%	17.7%	2.4%
Vietnam	Thái Hoà	2017	5.3%	16.2%	1.0%
Vietnam	An Minh	2017	8.5%	19.8%	2.5%
Vietnam	Mường Lát	2017	14.1%	33.0%	1.7%
Vietnam	Vũng Tàu	2017	0.9%	3.3%	0.2%
Vietnam	Thăng Bình	2017	7.3%	20.9%	1.1%
Vietnam	Khoái Châu	2017	1.2%	5.3%	0.1%
Vietnam	Văn Chấn	2017	12.1%	20.9%	6.5%
Vietnam	Bắc Sơn	2017	7.4%	17.2%	1.5%
Vietnam	Phú Bình	2017	3.4%	8.1%	1.0%
Vietnam	Tân Kỳ	2017	8.2%	21.7%	1.5%
Vietnam	Vĩnh Thạnh	2017	2.0%	8.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Kinh Môn	2017	1.0%	8.2%	0.0%
Vietnam	Hải Hà	2017	11.6%	32.1%	1.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Vietnam	Hoà Bình	2017	4.1%	14.3%	0.4%
Vietnam	Phước Sơn	2017	12.9%	28.9%	2.7%
Vietnam	Chương Mỹ	2017	2.7%	11.5%	0.1%
Vietnam	Cù Lao Dung	2017	4.2%	14.7%	0.3%
Vietnam	Lục Yên	2017	12.5%	27.1%	3.0%
Vietnam	Lâm Hà	2017	14.3%	23.2%	9.0%
Vietnam	Lộc Hà	2017	3.2%	8.3%	0.5%
Vietnam	Hương Sơn	2017	8.6%	20.9%	2.1%
Vietnam	Quỳnh Lưu	2017	4.4%	12.0%	0.9%
Vietnam	Nga Sơn	2017	1.1%	4.2%	0.1%
Vietnam	Vị Thanh	2017	2.4%	10.9%	0.1%
Vietnam	Mỹ Hòa	2017	2.1%	9.1%	0.1%
Vietnam	Kim Sơn	2017	0.6%	3.3%	0.0%
Vietnam	Ứng Hòa	2017	4.5%	12.8%	0.9%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hành	2017	2.7%	10.3%	0.2%
Vietnam	An Lão	2017	10.1%	30.1%	1.5%
Vietnam	Tân Hồng	2017	4.4%	15.5%	0.4%
Vietnam	Cát Tiên	2017	8.6%	23.5%	1.6%
Vietnam	Đô Lương	2017	3.8%	9.6%	1.0%
Vietnam	Sơn Hòa	2017	17.0%	33.4%	5.5%
Vietnam	Lệ Thủy	2017	7.8%	20.5%	2.1%
Vietnam	Kon Rẫy	2017	12.0%	28.7%	3.3%
Vietnam	Đắk R'Lấp	2017	7.5%	17.4%	2.0%
Vietnam	Hoàng Mai	2017	5.1%	13.3%	0.6%
Vietnam	Cát Hải	2017	4.3%	15.3%	0.2%
Vietnam	Bắc Mê	2017	15.2%	31.2%	2.9%
Vietnam	Kiên Hải	2017	11.5%	34.5%	0.6%
Vietnam	Thanh Miện	2017	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Vietnam	Gia Viễn	2017	1.1%	4.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Sìn Hồ	2017	16.3%	28.2%	7.8%
Vietnam	Nam Trà My	2017	11.0%	28.1%	2.0%
Vietnam	Nghĩa Hưng	2017	0.6%	3.5%	0.0%
Vietnam	Phú Nhuận	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Vietnam	Hòn Đất	2017	4.7%	12.2%	1.2%
Vietnam	Bến Cầu	2017	8.2%	19.5%	2.2%
Vietnam	Đức Thọ	2017	4.6%	15.3%	0.5%
Vietnam	Gò Quao	2017	4.8%	12.5%	1.3%
Vietnam	Trà Vinh	2017	2.0%	7.8%	0.2%
Vietnam	Yên Bái	2017	0.9%	4.4%	0.1%
Vietnam	Hữu Lũng	2017	12.2%	27.8%	4.2%
Vietnam	Chi Lăng	2017	12.6%	32.7%	2.4%
Vietnam	Đồng Hỷ	2017	2.0%	8.3%	0.2%
Vietnam	Chư Pưh	2017	9.3%	22.2%	3.2%
Vietnam	Cam Lâm	2017	12.8%	28.0%	4.1%
Vietnam	Yên Mỹ	2017	2.6%	8.8%	0.6%
Vietnam	Ninh Bình	2017	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Vietnam	Tây Giang	2017	8.2%	23.6%	1.5%
Sub-Saharan Africa					
Angola	Alto Zambeze	2000	25.4%	32.3%	19.4%
Angola	Bailundo	2000	16.2%	21.1%	11.4%
Angola	Ganda	2000	53.6%	57.4%	49.4%
Angola	Ambriz	2000	34.2%	41.9%	27.2%
Angola	Caluquembe	2000	53.2%	56.9%	49.7%
Angola	Golungo Alto	2000	32.1%	38.5%	26.0%
Angola	Cuimba	2000	42.4%	48.4%	35.8%
Angola	Milunga	2000	34.5%	41.6%	27.3%
Angola	Quela	2000	31.7%	37.1%	26.5%
Angola	Londumbale	2000	17.3%	20.9%	13.8%
Angola	Cuito Cua- navale	2000	63.5%	69.3%	58.3%
Angola	Bocoio	2000	58.0%	63.3%	52.6%
Angola	Ekunha	2000	17.4%	21.2%	13.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Gambos	2000	57.1%	64.0%	50.1%
Angola	Songo	2000	32.7%	35.9%	29.6%
Angola	Lobito	2000	61.4%	64.0%	58.0%
Angola	Malanje	2000	32.5%	36.0%	29.0%
Angola	Nharea	2000	13.6%	20.6%	8.4%
Angola	N'Zeto	2000	40.5%	46.4%	33.6%
Angola	Capenda	2000	64.2%	67.8%	60.3%
Angola	Bolongongo	2000	36.2%	43.1%	29.9%
Angola	Bibala	2000	57.7%	61.1%	53.6%
Angola	Cacolo	2000	49.3%	53.2%	45.3%
Angola	Cambulo	2000	64.7%	69.3%	59.5%
Angola	Quilenda	2000	63.2%	68.0%	58.4%
Angola	Samba Cajú	2000	31.9%	36.9%	26.4%
Angola	Cangandala	2000	35.0%	42.0%	28.5%
Angola	Buco Zau	2000	17.3%	24.5%	11.8%
Angola	Dala	2000	45.8%	49.5%	41.3%
Angola	M'Banza	2000	40.7%	44.9%	36.0%
Angola	Congo				
Angola	Cubal	2000	55.0%	58.8%	51.2%
Angola	Chitato	2000	65.8%	69.4%	61.8%
Angola	Catabola	2000	15.3%	19.5%	11.8%
Angola	Conda	2000	59.3%	63.7%	54.3%
Angola	Cambundi-Catembo	2000	31.4%	38.7%	23.7%
Angola	Benguela	2000	59.7%	62.9%	57.0%
Angola	Pango	2000	39.3%	44.9%	34.4%
Angola	Aluquém				
Angola	Quirima	2000	30.0%	37.4%	22.9%
Angola	Léua	2000	25.4%	30.7%	20.8%
Angola	Negage	2000	37.5%	41.5%	32.9%
Angola	Ingombota	2000	5.4%	6.5%	4.2%
Angola	Baía Farta	2000	55.3%	61.3%	49.7%
Angola	Waku Kungo	2000	53.5%	58.2%	48.8%
Angola	Massango	2000	32.3%	38.9%	26.7%
Angola	Calai	2000	67.9%	72.0%	63.6%
Angola	Cuvelai	2000	77.2%	81.2%	72.3%
Angola	Buengas	2000	35.0%	42.0%	27.4%
Angola	Curoca	2000	81.0%	85.4%	75.3%
Angola	Chinguar	2000	11.9%	15.0%	9.4%
Angola	Cunda-dia-Baza	2000	39.9%	46.7%	33.5%
Angola	Cabinda	2000	15.0%	19.4%	11.6%
Angola	Muconda	2000	46.7%	50.2%	43.2%
Angola	Chibia	2000	54.7%	59.0%	50.7%
Angola	Bungo	2000	35.2%	43.2%	27.4%
Angola	Alto Cauale	2000	30.9%	36.5%	25.8%
Angola	Nancova	2000	66.4%	74.4%	57.6%
Angola	Sanza Pombo	2000	32.0%	41.8%	22.9%
Angola	Quitexe	2000	39.5%	47.9%	31.7%
Angola	Mavinga	2000	64.2%	70.7%	57.7%
Angola	Seles	2000	54.9%	58.4%	51.2%
Angola	Marimba	2000	34.8%	42.7%	26.7%
Angola	Dande	2000	34.5%	39.5%	30.5%
Angola	Quilengues	2000	52.0%	56.7%	47.7%
Angola	Ambaca	2000	34.4%	39.0%	29.4%
Angola	Cuilo	2000	65.2%	70.5%	58.9%
Angola	Cuango	2000	66.0%	69.3%	62.3%
Angola	Quimbele	2000	31.7%	37.0%	26.9%
Angola	Caiambambo	2000	52.9%	59.1%	47.2%
Angola	Sambizanga	2000	5.9%	7.4%	4.5%
Angola	Tombwa	2000	51.3%	55.9%	46.0%
Angola	Luquembo	2000	26.3%	32.6%	20.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Namibe	2000	51.9%	55.7%	48.2%
Angola	Jamba	2000	56.0%	62.4%	49.9%
Angola	Dirico	2000	64.7%	71.8%	57.9%
Angola	Lucano	2000	26.7%	32.7%	21.2%
Angola	Cazengo	2000	33.0%	37.0%	28.6%
Angola	Tchipungo	2000	55.2%	60.6%	48.4%
Angola	Camacupa	2000	13.5%	17.2%	10.1%
Angola	Cuanhama	2000	81.9%	84.9%	78.4%
Angola	Cacuaco	2000	27.6%	31.9%	23.9%
Angola	Bula Atumba	2000	39.4%	47.0%	33.3%
Angola	Maquela do Zombo	2000	33.3%	38.0%	28.5%
Angola	Viana	2000	23.6%	28.2%	18.9%
Angola	Lubalo	2000	65.0%	70.1%	60.0%
Angola	Saurimo	2000	51.2%	55.0%	47.6%
Angola	Quiculungo	2000	35.6%	42.4%	29.1%
Angola	Lubango	2000	60.5%	64.8%	55.4%
Angola	Cazenga	2000	6.0%	6.8%	5.3%
Angola	Banga	2000	35.8%	44.2%	28.3%
Angola	Cameia	2000	29.3%	33.7%	24.8%
Angola	Caombo	2000	26.0%	32.7%	19.5%
Angola	Cuangular	2000	65.7%	72.9%	56.5%
Angola	Uíge	2000	36.6%	41.4%	32.0%
Angola	Kuito	2000	13.6%	16.8%	11.2%
Angola	Ngonguembo	2000	35.7%	41.9%	29.4%
Angola	Tchicala-Tcholoanga	2000	17.7%	22.8%	13.4%
Angola	Cassongue	2000	50.2%	55.2%	45.0%
Angola	Matala	2000	54.0%	58.7%	49.3%
Angola	Chipindo	2000	42.8%	48.6%	35.9%
Angola	Damba	2000	33.9%	39.5%	28.2%
Angola	Kilamba	2000	7.4%	8.3%	6.6%
Angola	Kiayi				
Angola	Ombadja	2000	84.3%	87.2%	80.9%
Angola	Rivungo	2000	64.8%	70.4%	58.6%
Angola	Rangel	2000	4.1%	4.8%	3.5%
Angola	Camacuio	2000	54.2%	57.4%	51.0%
Angola	Ebo	2000	60.4%	65.1%	55.8%
Angola	Kuvango	2000	50.0%	54.8%	44.8%
Angola	Cacuzo	2000	32.4%	37.4%	28.0%
Angola	Lumbala-Nguimbo	2000	32.0%	47.2%	20.2%
Angola	Quibala	2000	57.0%	61.5%	52.8%
Angola	Virei	2000	53.8%	60.8%	46.8%
Angola	Caála	2000	16.4%	19.2%	14.0%
Angola	Chitembo	2000	18.7%	23.7%	15.1%
Angola	Longonjo	2000	17.2%	21.0%	13.7%
Angola	Ukuma	2000	18.9%	24.2%	14.7%
Angola	Nambuanguongo	2000	42.4%	46.1%	38.5%
Angola	Namakunde	2000	79.7%	83.0%	75.9%
Angola	Huambo	2000	18.0%	21.0%	15.1%
Angola	Cahama	2000	79.5%	83.7%	74.1%
Angola	Camanongue	2000	31.0%	37.1%	25.9%
Angola	Caungula	2000	66.4%	70.8%	61.8%
Angola	Caconda	2000	49.2%	53.0%	45.4%
Angola	Soyo	2000	42.1%	47.6%	36.8%
Angola	Puri	2000	34.0%	37.1%	30.8%
Angola	Mungo	2000	15.2%	21.7%	9.9%
Angola	Cuchi	2000	64.4%	69.3%	58.7%
Angola	Chongoroi	2000	54.7%	60.9%	48.3%
Angola	Humpata	2000	64.7%	70.2%	59.2%
Angola	Bembe	2000	38.6%	45.1%	31.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Mucari	2000	26.6%	32.9%	19.9%
Angola	Cuemba	2000	16.1%	21.2%	11.6%
Angola	Ambuila	2000	39.2%	46.3%	31.9%
Angola	Samba	2000	21.1%	27.4%	15.7%
Angola	Libolo	2000	52.2%	56.7%	47.0%
Angola	Andulo	2000	15.7%	19.5%	12.5%
Angola	Muxima	2000	40.5%	46.5%	35.1%
Angola	Dembos	2000	38.9%	44.2%	33.5%
Angola	Icolo e Bengo	2000	34.0%	38.6%	30.4%
Angola	Sumbe	2000	55.7%	61.1%	49.1%
Angola	Lucapa	2000	65.1%	69.2%	61.3%
Angola	Menongue	2000	67.0%	69.9%	63.7%
Angola	Lucala	2000	32.9%	40.0%	26.1%
Angola	Maianga	2000	6.2%	7.3%	5.2%
Angola	Chicomba	2000	52.9%	57.2%	48.9%
Angola	Tchindjenje	2000	38.6%	47.3%	29.1%
Angola	Xá Muteba	2000	62.9%	66.2%	59.7%
Angola	Cuaba Nzogo	2000	28.3%	34.4%	22.8%
Angola	Noqui	2000	38.6%	45.9%	32.3%
Angola	Belize	2000	18.0%	30.3%	9.3%
Angola	Cambambe	2000	38.6%	45.0%	33.4%
Angola	Mussende	2000	48.1%	52.9%	42.9%
Angola	Porto Amboim	2000	53.2%	58.2%	48.6%
Angola	Mucaba	2000	32.0%	36.7%	26.7%
Angola	Amboim	2000	63.2%	66.5%	59.4%
Angola	Catchiungo	2000	12.3%	16.4%	9.1%
Angola	Luchazes	2000	30.6%	37.2%	25.3%
Angola	Calandula	2000	26.5%	30.3%	22.3%
Angola	Cunhinga	2000	13.4%	18.7%	8.7%
Angola	Balombo	2000	50.5%	57.9%	42.8%
Angola	Luau	2000	28.8%	35.1%	23.7%
Angola	Moxico	2000	26.6%	29.4%	23.9%
Angola	Tomboco	2000	41.0%	47.0%	35.3%
Angola	Landana	2000	16.5%	24.3%	11.2%
Angola	Léua	2017	16.4%	20.7%	13.2%
Angola	Tombwa	2017	41.4%	46.4%	36.3%
Angola	Pango	2017	29.5%	34.6%	24.9%
Angola	Aluquém				
Angola	Cuchi	2017	55.1%	60.7%	48.5%
Angola	Ekunha	2017	10.7%	13.3%	8.2%
Angola	Lubango	2017	53.6%	57.0%	49.8%
Angola	Capenda	2017	55.9%	59.9%	51.3%
Angola	Buco Zau	2017	10.0%	14.7%	6.7%
Angola	Ebo	2017	52.0%	56.8%	47.1%
Angola	Maianga	2017	4.3%	5.2%	3.5%
Angola	Chitato	2017	56.3%	61.1%	51.6%
Angola	Curoca	2017	79.5%	83.4%	74.5%
Angola	Bailundo	2017	11.2%	14.9%	7.9%
Angola	Caungula	2017	58.2%	63.0%	53.3%
Angola	Cameia	2017	18.8%	21.9%	15.1%
Angola	Cuito Cuanavale	2017	52.8%	59.2%	47.2%
Angola	Cuilo	2017	56.9%	63.1%	50.2%
Angola	Chitembo	2017	13.3%	16.8%	10.5%
Angola	Tchipungo	2017	49.9%	54.8%	44.1%
Angola	Bolongongo	2017	26.4%	32.2%	20.8%
Angola	Caluquembe	2017	46.4%	50.3%	42.6%
Angola	Milunga	2017	23.6%	29.7%	17.6%
Angola	Matala	2017	48.7%	53.7%	44.1%
Angola	Cassongue	2017	41.1%	46.3%	35.9%
Angola	Songo	2017	22.3%	25.1%	19.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Caála	2017	10.3%	12.3%	8.7%
Angola	Cuemba	2017	9.8%	13.0%	7.1%
Angola	Ambaca	2017	24.2%	28.4%	20.2%
Angola	Chinguar	2017	7.1%	9.1%	5.5%
Angola	Nharea	2017	8.2%	13.0%	4.8%
Angola	Cambundi-Catembo	2017	21.1%	27.0%	15.2%
Angola	Benguela	2017	50.2%	54.1%	47.2%
Angola	Mavinga	2017	53.2%	61.8%	44.8%
Angola	Sanza Pombo	2017	21.7%	29.9%	14.4%
Angola	Caconda	2017	43.5%	48.1%	39.9%
Angola	Damba	2017	23.5%	28.0%	18.8%
Angola	Puri	2017	23.3%	25.9%	20.8%
Angola	Ombadja	2017	83.9%	86.6%	80.4%
Angola	Quirima	2017	19.9%	26.9%	14.5%
Angola	Ambriz	2017	24.6%	32.1%	17.9%
Angola	Icolo e Bengo	2017	23.0%	27.5%	19.4%
Angola	Alto Cauale	2017	20.5%	25.2%	16.5%
Angola	Nancova	2017	56.5%	66.3%	47.0%
Angola	Uíge	2017	22.7%	26.5%	19.2%
Angola	Dirico	2017	54.7%	64.4%	46.6%
Angola	Quela	2017	22.7%	27.0%	18.4%
Angola	Cambulo	2017	56.2%	61.6%	50.7%
Angola	Chipindo	2017	37.3%	42.8%	30.9%
Angola	Kilamba	2017	5.5%	6.4%	4.8%
Angola	Kiayi				
Angola	Belize	2017	11.5%	20.3%	5.6%
Angola	Ukuma	2017	12.4%	16.3%	9.4%
Angola	Cahama	2017	78.6%	82.5%	73.8%
Angola	Caiambambo	2017	43.6%	50.2%	37.6%
Angola	Sambizanga	2017	4.4%	5.6%	3.3%
Angola	Bibala	2017	49.5%	53.6%	45.2%
Angola	Luquembo	2017	17.1%	22.0%	12.7%
Angola	Chibia	2017	49.0%	53.7%	44.5%
Angola	Quiculungo	2017	26.1%	32.1%	20.1%
Angola	Malanje	2017	18.9%	21.7%	16.5%
Angola	Banga	2017	26.4%	34.2%	19.3%
Angola	Dala	2017	33.6%	37.0%	29.9%
Angola	Quitexe	2017	27.9%	35.0%	21.4%
Angola	Cubal	2017	46.3%	50.1%	42.6%
Angola	Ganda	2017	44.5%	48.1%	40.7%
Angola	Virei	2017	47.5%	53.9%	40.0%
Angola	Nambuanguongo	2017	32.0%	35.8%	28.2%
Angola	Kuvango	2017	43.8%	48.7%	38.0%
Angola	Mussende	2017	39.1%	44.9%	33.7%
Angola	Luchazes	2017	20.2%	25.2%	16.0%
Angola	Lucala	2017	22.9%	29.9%	17.0%
Angola	Humpata	2017	54.7%	59.7%	49.2%
Angola	Caombo	2017	16.5%	21.5%	11.8%
Angola	Sumbe	2017	48.4%	53.4%	42.6%
Angola	Rivungo	2017	54.7%	61.1%	48.6%
Angola	Ngonguembo	2017	26.4%	32.8%	21.0%
Angola	Samba Cajú	2017	22.4%	27.1%	17.3%
Angola	Cacuzo	2017	22.3%	27.1%	18.6%
Angola	Cabinda	2017	8.3%	11.9%	5.9%
Angola	Bembe	2017	27.5%	33.5%	21.3%
Angola	Gambos	2017	53.8%	60.6%	47.3%
Angola	Catabola	2017	9.3%	12.1%	7.0%
Angola	Saurimo	2017	39.2%	42.8%	35.9%
Angola	Namakunde	2017	79.8%	83.3%	75.9%
Angola	Conda	2017	51.0%	55.9%	45.9%
Angola	Bocoio	2017	48.7%	54.8%	42.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Lubalo	2017	56.8%	62.5%	51.5%
Angola	Kuito	2017	8.2%	10.2%	6.7%
Angola	Calandula	2017	16.7%	19.8%	13.7%
Angola	Chongoroi	2017	46.2%	52.1%	39.9%
Angola	Samba	2017	14.0%	19.3%	9.9%
Angola	Waku Kungo	2017	45.1%	49.9%	40.2%
Angola	Mucaba	2017	21.4%	25.3%	17.4%
Angola	Cuaba Nzogo	2017	17.9%	22.5%	13.8%
Angola	Camanongue	2017	20.5%	25.0%	16.6%
Angola	Menongue	2017	55.5%	59.2%	51.5%
Angola	Cuvelai	2017	75.8%	79.6%	71.3%
Angola	Mucari	2017	16.4%	21.3%	11.5%
Angola	Libolo	2017	42.7%	47.4%	37.3%
Angola	Quimbele	2017	21.4%	25.7%	17.5%
Angola	Quibala	2017	49.1%	53.6%	44.8%
Angola	Viana	2017	11.2%	13.9%	8.7%
Angola	Soyo	2017	28.4%	34.6%	23.3%
Angola	Cunda-dia-Baza	2017	29.5%	35.9%	23.8%
Angola	Namibe	2017	43.0%	47.5%	39.0%
Angola	Negage	2017	25.0%	28.5%	21.2%
Angola	Muconda	2017	34.8%	38.1%	31.4%
Angola	Bungo	2017	23.8%	30.6%	17.6%
Angola	Dembos	2017	29.2%	34.7%	24.0%
Angola	Tchindjenje	2017	28.2%	35.8%	20.2%
Angola	Marimba	2017	24.7%	31.3%	17.5%
Angola	Lucano	2017	17.2%	21.7%	13.5%
Angola	Cuanger	2017	56.7%	65.4%	46.6%
Angola	Cunhinga	2017	7.8%	11.6%	4.9%
Angola	Seles	2017	45.9%	49.8%	41.6%
Angola	Lumbala-Nguimbo	2017	20.8%	32.6%	12.6%
Angola	Maquela do Zombo	2017	22.3%	26.6%	18.5%
Angola	Longonjo	2017	12.3%	15.4%	9.7%
Angola	Buengas	2017	24.3%	30.3%	18.1%
Angola	Quilengues	2017	45.6%	50.3%	40.9%
Angola	Camacupa	2017	8.3%	10.8%	6.1%
Angola	Massango	2017	22.6%	28.5%	17.2%
Angola	Mungo	2017	9.7%	14.6%	6.1%
Angola	Noqui	2017	27.5%	34.0%	22.0%
Angola	Quilenda	2017	53.9%	59.7%	48.4%
Angola	Rangel	2017	2.8%	3.4%	2.4%
Angola	Londuimbale	2017	11.5%	14.3%	8.8%
Angola	Cuimba	2017	30.9%	37.7%	24.9%
Angola	Alto Zambeze	2017	16.5%	21.8%	12.2%
Angola	N'Zeto	2017	28.7%	35.4%	21.7%
Angola	Cuango	2017	56.4%	60.2%	52.2%
Angola	Cacolo	2017	38.1%	41.6%	33.6%
Angola	Amboim	2017	52.4%	56.1%	48.3%
Angola	Moxico	2017	17.2%	19.2%	15.2%
Angola	Luau	2017	18.8%	23.9%	14.9%
Angola	Andulo	2017	9.9%	12.5%	7.8%
Angola	Cuanhama	2017	79.8%	83.8%	75.3%
Angola	Golungo Alto	2017	22.2%	27.5%	17.0%
Angola	Cazenga	2017	4.6%	5.3%	3.9%
Angola	Calai	2017	57.9%	64.2%	51.7%
Angola	Catchiungo	2017	7.6%	10.4%	5.5%
Angola	Jamba	2017	50.9%	57.3%	44.4%
Angola	Bula Atumba	2017	29.3%	36.0%	23.6%
Angola	Xá Muteba	2017	53.6%	57.1%	50.3%
Angola	Lobito	2017	50.9%	53.9%	47.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Angola	Cazengo	2017	21.8%	25.5%	18.4%
Angola	Tchicala-Tcholoanga	2017	11.6%	15.0%	8.4%
Angola	Cangandala	2017	23.2%	29.5%	17.7%
Angola	Ingombota	2017	2.3%	2.9%	1.8%
Angola	Cacuaco	2017	16.6%	19.6%	14.1%
Angola	Porto Amboim	2017	44.4%	49.8%	39.7%
Angola	Baía Farta	2017	47.1%	53.7%	40.6%
Angola	Cambambe	2017	28.6%	36.2%	23.1%
Angola	Landana	2017	9.9%	16.0%	6.3%
Angola	Chicomba	2017	48.0%	53.1%	43.8%
Angola	Dande	2017	22.9%	27.4%	19.1%
Angola	Camacuio	2017	46.4%	49.9%	43.1%
Angola	Lucapa	2017	55.9%	60.3%	51.5%
Angola	Ambuila	2017	28.4%	34.7%	22.2%
Angola	Muxima	2017	28.2%	34.1%	23.1%
Angola	M'Banza Congo	2017	27.4%	31.6%	23.4%
Angola	Tomboco	2017	28.9%	34.0%	24.2%
Angola	Balombo	2017	40.4%	47.7%	32.5%
Angola	Huambo	2017	11.0%	13.3%	9.1%
Benin	Djougou	2000	76.5%	81.3%	69.9%
Benin	Ouèssè	2000	84.6%	90.0%	77.3%
Benin	Adjohoun	2000	88.4%	92.1%	81.9%
Benin	Agbangnizoun	2000	80.3%	84.3%	73.1%
Benin	Athiémé	2000	69.7%	72.1%	67.4%
Benin	Ouinhi	2000	91.3%	95.6%	85.9%
Benin	Péhunco	2000	83.8%	91.6%	72.7%
Benin	Kalalé	2000	84.1%	89.7%	77.7%
Benin	Parakou	2000	49.1%	53.2%	44.8%
Benin	Matéri	2000	84.6%	90.9%	76.8%
Benin	Tanguiéta	2000	88.1%	92.5%	82.9%
Benin	Djakotomey	2000	81.7%	83.1%	80.3%
Benin	N'Dali	2000	83.2%	89.1%	76.2%
Benin	Abomey	2000	59.0%	62.1%	55.8%
Benin	Adjarra	2000	40.0%	42.6%	36.9%
Benin	Kouandé	2000	82.4%	87.5%	76.4%
Benin	Grand-Popo	2000	79.5%	84.8%	71.1%
Benin	Tchaourou	2000	77.3%	82.0%	71.9%
Benin	Boukoubé	2000	87.6%	95.2%	75.5%
Benin	Bopa	2000	83.5%	86.6%	78.9%
Benin	Dassa-Zoumè	2000	82.2%	86.6%	76.7%
Benin	Pèrèrè	2000	85.6%	92.5%	78.2%
Benin	Savalou	2000	76.6%	82.3%	70.6%
Benin	Sèmè-Kpodji	2000	37.7%	42.6%	33.9%
Benin	Lalo	2000	84.4%	86.0%	82.7%
Benin	Ouidah	2000	53.9%	62.4%	45.7%
Benin	Covè	2000	53.6%	59.0%	45.8%
Benin	Bonou	2000	86.4%	89.3%	81.2%
Benin	Glazoué	2000	72.7%	77.8%	65.2%
Benin	Bantè	2000	78.3%	84.7%	70.5%
Benin	Dogbo	2000	73.0%	77.5%	68.3%
Benin	Kpomassè	2000	56.1%	58.6%	53.2%
Benin	Copargo	2000	73.7%	81.8%	65.6%
Benin	Segbana	2000	81.8%	88.1%	73.9%
Benin	Kétou	2000	81.0%	85.5%	75.1%
Benin	Klouékanmè	2000	86.9%	88.6%	85.0%
Benin	Aguégoués	2000	74.0%	76.2%	71.9%
Benin	Avrankou	2000	55.0%	57.1%	52.8%
Benin	Djidja	2000	81.4%	86.2%	75.8%
Benin	Bassila	2000	81.9%	88.0%	75.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Benin	Sakété	2000	55.9%	59.8%	52.2%
Benin	Banikoara	2000	85.6%	90.5%	80.2%
Benin	Sô-Ava	2000	31.5%	33.2%	29.9%
Benin	Za-Kpota	2000	71.2%	74.8%	66.5%
Benin	Zagnanado	2000	70.0%	76.3%	61.5%
Benin	Bembéréké	2000	83.6%	89.0%	76.4%
Benin	Cotonou	2000	31.0%	33.6%	28.7%
Benin	Savè	2000	77.7%	86.3%	68.0%
Benin	Kérou	2000	83.8%	90.6%	74.0%
Benin	Pobè	2000	77.5%	81.2%	71.2%
Benin	Adja-Ouèrè	2000	67.9%	71.0%	64.8%
Benin	Sinendé	2000	81.8%	87.9%	74.9%
Benin	Comè	2000	80.7%	82.5%	78.7%
Benin	Abomey-Calavi	2000	53.2%	54.6%	51.6%
Benin	Dangbo	2000	90.7%	91.8%	89.5%
Benin	Kandi	2000	85.8%	90.9%	78.6%
Benin	Ifangni	2000	37.3%	41.5%	33.0%
Benin	Ouaké	2000	86.9%	93.0%	77.6%
Benin	Bohicon	2000	61.0%	62.8%	58.9%
Benin	Aplahoué	2000	82.2%	86.1%	76.8%
Benin	Nikki	2000	83.8%	89.5%	76.4%
Benin	Porto-Novo	2000	33.8%	35.6%	32.1%
Benin	Toffo	2000	82.2%	85.8%	77.0%
Benin	Houéyogbé	2000	67.1%	69.0%	64.9%
Benin	Zè	2000	90.6%	92.3%	87.8%
Benin	Karimama	2000	90.5%	94.3%	84.8%
Benin	Gogounou	2000	82.0%	88.2%	75.2%
Benin	Allada	2000	81.3%	84.1%	75.6%
Benin	Akpro-Misséréké	2000	55.9%	57.2%	54.5%
Benin	Natitingou	2000	83.5%	88.0%	77.7%
Benin	Tori-Bossito	2000	69.5%	72.1%	66.1%
Benin	Cobly	2000	93.7%	97.9%	86.3%
Benin	Zogbodomey	2000	73.5%	77.7%	68.6%
Benin	Toviklin	2000	84.9%	86.0%	83.9%
Benin	Toucountouna	2000	89.6%	96.9%	77.5%
Benin	Malanville	2000	74.5%	79.1%	69.1%
Benin	Tanguiéta	2017	83.7%	89.0%	77.2%
Benin	Covè	2017	50.2%	55.6%	43.0%
Benin	Lalo	2017	85.1%	86.9%	83.0%
Benin	Glazoué	2017	62.3%	67.6%	54.2%
Benin	Savè	2017	70.5%	78.4%	62.0%
Benin	Abomey	2017	45.5%	47.6%	43.5%
Benin	Klouékanmè	2017	78.3%	80.4%	75.7%
Benin	Adjarra	2017	30.4%	32.5%	28.3%
Benin	Athiémé	2017	62.9%	64.9%	60.5%
Benin	Tchaourou	2017	71.3%	76.0%	66.2%
Benin	Ouidah	2017	47.0%	52.6%	42.3%
Benin	Grand-Popo	2017	73.1%	78.0%	67.7%
Benin	Matéri	2017	77.9%	84.9%	69.6%
Benin	Segbana	2017	75.3%	82.5%	67.4%
Benin	Bonou	2017	80.6%	84.7%	74.9%
Benin	Ouinhi	2017	86.0%	91.2%	79.0%
Benin	Ouèssè	2017	77.0%	83.3%	68.5%
Benin	Sakété	2017	49.2%	52.8%	45.1%
Benin	Copargo	2017	67.6%	74.6%	61.0%
Benin	Kpomassè	2017	55.1%	57.4%	52.5%
Benin	Pèrèrè	2017	79.3%	87.0%	71.5%
Benin	Toffo	2017	75.8%	80.1%	70.0%
Benin	Bassila	2017	73.8%	80.1%	67.6%
Benin	Sèmè-Kpodji	2017	35.8%	38.9%	32.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Benin	Agbangnizoun	2017	73.5%	77.9%	66.4%
Benin	Djidja	2017	75.6%	80.6%	68.9%
Benin	Djakotomey	2017	78.8%	80.2%	77.1%
Benin	Bopa	2017	80.4%	83.6%	76.5%
Benin	Aplahoué	2017	78.7%	82.8%	72.6%
Benin	Ouaké	2017	73.1%	81.1%	62.3%
Benin	Aguégués	2017	57.0%	58.8%	55.1%
Benin	Za-Kpota	2017	59.9%	64.7%	52.6%
Benin	Savalou	2017	71.9%	77.7%	65.1%
Benin	Kalalé	2017	77.9%	84.6%	70.4%
Benin	Adja-Ouèrè	2017	67.9%	70.8%	64.4%
Benin	Malanville	2017	71.6%	76.7%	65.6%
Benin	Ifangni	2017	41.8%	45.0%	38.7%
Benin	Bantè	2017	69.1%	77.3%	60.9%
Benin	Banikoara	2017	80.1%	85.7%	74.2%
Benin	N'Dali	2017	77.8%	84.5%	69.7%
Benin	Kandi	2017	77.5%	84.5%	69.3%
Benin	Parakou	2017	37.7%	41.6%	33.2%
Benin	Sinendé	2017	74.7%	82.3%	67.2%
Benin	Zagnanado	2017	66.4%	72.8%	58.7%
Benin	Gogounou	2017	76.7%	83.5%	70.5%
Benin	Kérou	2017	75.7%	82.4%	67.8%
Benin	Porto-Novo	2017	24.5%	25.9%	23.2%
Benin	Avrankou	2017	43.7%	45.2%	42.1%
Benin	Bembéréké	2017	74.4%	80.1%	66.7%
Benin	Pobè	2017	76.4%	80.6%	68.8%
Benin	Djougou	2017	67.7%	73.2%	61.1%
Benin	Dogbo	2017	65.3%	70.0%	60.3%
Benin	Sô-Ava	2017	28.0%	28.8%	27.2%
Benin	Toucountouna	2017	86.4%	94.9%	73.4%
Benin	Bohicon	2017	52.0%	54.0%	49.0%
Benin	Akpro-Missérété	2017	45.9%	47.1%	44.6%
Benin	Adjohoun	2017	84.6%	87.8%	79.2%
Benin	Kouandé	2017	78.0%	83.8%	71.6%
Benin	Natitingou	2017	75.3%	80.8%	68.8%
Benin	Nikki	2017	77.7%	84.0%	70.1%
Benin	Boukoubé	2017	82.6%	90.1%	70.5%
Benin	Allada	2017	66.5%	69.5%	61.5%
Benin	Karimama	2017	88.1%	92.3%	83.3%
Benin	Comè	2017	77.4%	79.5%	75.3%
Benin	Péhunco	2017	79.6%	87.4%	68.1%
Benin	Dangbo	2017	87.3%	88.3%	86.1%
Benin	Zè	2017	77.6%	80.1%	74.3%
Benin	Tori-Bossito	2017	62.3%	65.1%	59.2%
Benin	Zogbodomey	2017	64.0%	69.4%	57.4%
Benin	Toviklin	2017	73.4%	75.0%	72.1%
Benin	Houéyogbé	2017	61.2%	62.8%	59.5%
Benin	Dassa-Zoumè	2017	75.4%	81.3%	69.3%
Benin	Abomey-Calavi	2017	32.8%	33.9%	31.7%
Benin	Cobly	2017	88.2%	94.3%	79.1%
Benin	Cotonou	2017	9.9%	10.7%	9.0%
Benin	Kétou	2017	77.8%	83.3%	71.2%
Botswana	Serowe	2000	21.6%	25.6%	18.4%
Botswana	Barolong	2000	17.6%	27.4%	10.7%
Botswana	Machaneng	2000	24.5%	31.5%	18.6%
Botswana	Gemsbok	2000	39.2%	47.4%	31.3%
Botswana	Sowa	2000	29.8%	34.8%	24.2%
Botswana	Ngwaketse Central	2000	26.6%	35.3%	18.8%
Botswana	Ngwaketse South	2000	23.4%	29.5%	17.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Botswana	Tuli	2000	26.1%	38.0%	15.6%
Botswana	Kgatleng	2000	17.9%	21.5%	14.3%
Botswana	Lobatse	2000	13.3%	15.7%	11.5%
Botswana	Bobonong	2000	25.0%	29.7%	19.9%
Botswana	Ghanzi	2000	34.0%	38.2%	29.8%
Botswana	Hukunsti	2000	39.4%	44.5%	34.6%
Botswana	Ngamiland East	2000	27.0%	31.4%	23.3%
Botswana	South East	2000	15.9%	19.8%	12.5%
Botswana	Kweneng South	2000	17.4%	20.6%	14.8%
Botswana	Ngwaketse North	2000	22.8%	31.3%	15.5%
Botswana	Kweneng North	2000	19.7%	24.6%	15.1%
Botswana	Ngamiland West	2000	35.2%	40.7%	29.8%
Botswana	Palapye	2000	20.3%	25.7%	15.4%
Botswana	Chobe	2000	25.9%	29.8%	21.2%
Botswana	Gaborone	2000	14.1%	16.3%	12.6%
Botswana	Selibe Phikwe	2000	15.1%	17.4%	12.7%
Botswana	Tutume	2000	26.7%	30.9%	23.0%
Botswana	Tshabong	2000	28.9%	32.6%	24.7%
Botswana	Lethlakane	2000	23.6%	28.5%	19.7%
Botswana	Masungu	2000	15.8%	21.2%	11.7%
Botswana	Francistown	2000	12.8%	14.2%	11.4%
Botswana	Jwaneng	2000	27.4%	30.2%	24.0%
Botswana	Mahalapye	2000	18.9%	23.6%	14.4%
Botswana	Ngwaketse South	2017	31.3%	37.1%	23.7%
Botswana	Ngwaketse North	2017	27.0%	35.1%	19.0%
Botswana	Gemsbok	2017	46.7%	55.9%	38.5%
Botswana	Ngamiland East	2017	33.4%	38.0%	29.1%
Botswana	Kgatleng	2017	25.6%	29.1%	22.3%
Botswana	Jwaneng	2017	16.1%	18.6%	13.8%
Botswana	Bobonong	2017	28.6%	34.6%	22.6%
Botswana	Gaborone	2017	16.9%	18.7%	15.0%
Botswana	Tshabong	2017	35.0%	38.6%	30.7%
Botswana	South East	2017	20.1%	24.6%	15.4%
Botswana	Tuli	2017	29.6%	40.6%	19.4%
Botswana	Machaneng	2017	31.7%	39.4%	25.2%
Botswana	Sowa	2017	15.6%	19.4%	12.3%
Botswana	Ghanzi	2017	40.8%	45.6%	36.4%
Botswana	Ngamiland West	2017	42.7%	48.8%	37.5%
Botswana	Kweneng South	2017	22.9%	26.0%	19.8%
Botswana	Serowe	2017	27.8%	31.6%	24.0%
Botswana	Ngwaketse Central	2017	33.8%	42.6%	26.1%
Botswana	Lobatse	2017	19.7%	22.6%	17.0%
Botswana	Kweneng North	2017	25.6%	30.7%	20.6%
Botswana	Lethlakane	2017	27.6%	33.1%	23.0%
Botswana	Hukunsti	2017	44.3%	49.4%	39.8%
Botswana	Tutume	2017	32.9%	37.1%	29.1%
Botswana	Selibe Phikwe	2017	17.1%	19.5%	14.8%
Botswana	Palapye	2017	25.0%	29.7%	19.9%
Botswana	Chobe	2017	31.7%	36.9%	26.3%
Botswana	Masungu	2017	19.8%	25.1%	15.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Botswana	Francistown	2017	16.7%	18.4%	14.9%
Botswana	Barolong	2017	25.8%	34.6%	17.8%
Botswana	Mahalapye	2017	25.4%	30.3%	20.4%
Burkina Faso	Yagha	2000	80.0%	84.7%	74.7%
Burkina Faso	Ziro	2000	77.9%	83.7%	72.0%
Burkina Faso	Noumbiel	2000	92.1%	95.6%	87.7%
Burkina Faso	Passoré	2000	72.8%	78.0%	65.7%
Burkina Faso	Sanmatenga	2000	73.0%	77.0%	68.8%
Burkina Faso	Séno	2000	80.1%	84.5%	75.4%
Burkina Faso	Boulkiemdé	2000	76.3%	80.3%	71.5%
Burkina Faso	Yatenga	2000	71.1%	75.7%	66.3%
Burkina Faso	Oudalan	2000	89.6%	92.8%	84.7%
Burkina Faso	Kadiogo	2000	35.3%	37.4%	32.7%
Burkina Faso	Kouritenga	2000	74.1%	78.4%	68.9%
Burkina Faso	Loroum	2000	77.0%	84.6%	69.5%
Burkina Faso	Poni	2000	77.4%	81.6%	72.6%
Burkina Faso	Gourma	2000	81.6%	85.7%	76.9%
Burkina Faso	KénéDougou	2000	71.2%	76.4%	64.7%
Burkina Faso	Soum	2000	78.2%	82.0%	74.0%
Burkina Faso	Tapoa	2000	85.8%	88.7%	81.9%
Burkina Faso	Zoundwéogo	2000	85.5%	89.4%	81.4%
Burkina Faso	Nahouri	2000	82.4%	87.2%	75.7%
Burkina Faso	Houet	2000	57.5%	62.4%	51.9%
Burkina Faso	Sanguié	2000	79.6%	84.3%	75.0%
Burkina Faso	Ganzourgou	2000	78.1%	82.5%	73.5%
Burkina Faso	Banwa	2000	73.4%	79.2%	66.5%
Burkina Faso	Mouhoun	2000	70.9%	76.3%	65.3%
Burkina Faso	Sourou	2000	71.5%	76.7%	65.5%
Burkina Faso	Komandjoari	2000	85.4%	90.2%	79.4%
Burkina Faso	Nayala	2000	74.4%	81.1%	67.5%
Burkina Faso	Tuy	2000	69.8%	76.2%	62.9%
Burkina Faso	Bam	2000	76.6%	81.7%	70.7%
Burkina Faso	Ioba	2000	80.7%	86.9%	75.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Balé	2000	73.4%	79.0%	66.3%
Burkina Faso	Bazèga	2000	76.9%	81.5%	71.4%
Burkina Faso	Gnagna	2000	84.2%	87.8%	80.1%
Burkina Faso	Kossi	2000	73.1%	78.1%	68.4%
Burkina Faso	Kompienga	2000	81.1%	88.5%	72.5%
Burkina Faso	Namentenga	2000	80.8%	85.4%	75.7%
Burkina Faso	Boulgou	2000	79.7%	83.2%	75.3%
Burkina Faso	Sissili	2000	74.0%	79.0%	67.4%
Burkina Faso	Zonoma	2000	71.5%	79.2%	63.1%
Burkina Faso	Bougouriba	2000	75.6%	83.0%	68.3%
Burkina Faso	Léraba	2000	74.8%	81.8%	67.2%
Burkina Faso	Koupléogo	2000	82.3%	88.3%	75.8%
Burkina Faso	Kourwéogo	2000	78.9%	85.0%	71.3%
Burkina Faso	Oubritenga	2000	69.7%	74.6%	63.9%
Burkina Faso	Comoé	2000	66.0%	70.3%	61.5%
Burkina Faso	Sanmatenga	2017	61.8%	66.6%	57.4%
Burkina Faso	Tapoa	2017	78.4%	81.8%	74.3%
Burkina Faso	Mouhoun	2017	57.2%	62.7%	52.1%
Burkina Faso	Kompienga	2017	73.3%	80.8%	66.1%
Burkina Faso	Séno	2017	69.8%	75.2%	64.4%
Burkina Faso	Yagha	2017	69.8%	75.8%	63.7%
Burkina Faso	Noumbiel	2017	87.2%	91.8%	81.3%
Burkina Faso	Kourwéogo	2017	69.8%	77.2%	61.5%
Burkina Faso	Yatenga	2017	56.9%	62.1%	52.1%
Burkina Faso	Boulkiemdé	2017	66.9%	71.5%	61.9%
Burkina Faso	Balé	2017	59.8%	67.1%	52.7%
Burkina Faso	Namentenga	2017	71.4%	76.5%	65.8%
Burkina Faso	Ziro	2017	68.6%	74.6%	62.6%
Burkina Faso	Loroum	2017	65.4%	74.4%	56.8%
Burkina Faso	Kouritenga	2017	61.7%	66.4%	56.4%
Burkina Faso	Gourma	2017	70.9%	75.5%	66.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burkina Faso	Passoré	2017	62.2%	68.1%	55.2%
Burkina Faso	Nayala	2017	60.5%	67.4%	53.2%
Burkina Faso	Nahouri	2017	71.5%	77.1%	65.0%
Burkina Faso	Boulgou	2017	72.3%	76.0%	67.9%
Burkina Faso	Komandjoari	2017	77.4%	83.3%	70.4%
Burkina Faso	Ganzourgou	2017	67.8%	72.9%	62.8%
Burkina Faso	Ioba	2017	69.1%	76.2%	62.3%
Burkina Faso	Zoncoma	2017	58.3%	66.2%	49.9%
Burkina Faso	Zoundwéogo	2017	78.5%	83.2%	73.4%
Burkina Faso	Oudalan	2017	82.7%	87.0%	76.8%
Burkina Faso	Kossi	2017	61.2%	66.1%	55.5%
Burkina Faso	Sissili	2017	62.3%	68.3%	55.7%
Burkina Faso	Tuy	2017	55.5%	61.7%	48.4%
Burkina Faso	Bazèga	2017	67.0%	72.4%	61.6%
Burkina Faso	Soum	2017	68.3%	72.9%	63.8%
Burkina Faso	Sanguié	2017	69.6%	75.0%	64.4%
Burkina Faso	KénéDougou	2017	55.5%	61.4%	49.1%
Burkina Faso	Poni	2017	69.7%	74.1%	64.9%
Burkina Faso	Bam	2017	64.7%	70.2%	57.9%
Burkina Faso	Léraba	2017	63.1%	70.7%	55.1%
Burkina Faso	Banwa	2017	57.8%	64.6%	50.6%
Burkina Faso	Kadiogo	2017	13.4%	15.1%	11.8%
Burkina Faso	Bougouriba	2017	67.8%	75.6%	59.6%
Burkina Faso	Oubritenga	2017	58.8%	64.2%	53.3%
Burkina Faso	Houet	2017	37.4%	42.1%	32.6%
Burkina Faso	Sourou	2017	60.9%	66.7%	54.4%
Burkina Faso	Gnagna	2017	75.8%	80.0%	71.0%
Burkina Faso	Comoé	2017	57.5%	61.1%	53.8%
Burkina Faso	Koupléogo	2017	74.2%	81.7%	66.9%
Burundi	Ntega	2000	6.0%	9.3%	3.8%
Burundi	Kinama	2000	5.8%	6.3%	5.3%
Burundi	Kanyosha2	2000	3.7%	4.7%	2.9%
Burundi	Butihinda	2000	9.6%	12.2%	8.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Rohерero	2000	10.8%	12.0%	9.8%
Burundi	Ndava	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Burundi	Busiga	2000	1.4%	3.5%	0.8%
Burundi	Lake Tan-ganyika	2000	9.2%	10.3%	8.2%
Burundi	Buyengerо	2000	1.0%	3.7%	0.2%
Burundi	Butaganzwa1	2000	1.0%	1.7%	0.7%
Burundi	Cibitoke	2000	10.4%	11.3%	9.5%
Burundi	Matongo	2000	3.4%	8.5%	0.8%
Burundi	Kayokwe	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.4%
Burundi	Marangara	2000	9.5%	21.0%	2.0%
Burundi	Rutovu	2000	0.7%	2.3%	0.1%
Burundi	Ngagara	2000	11.6%	12.7%	10.4%
Burundi	Matana	2000	1.0%	3.0%	0.3%
Burundi	Mugamba	2000	1.9%	6.4%	0.4%
Burundi	Gisuru	2000	1.1%	3.7%	0.3%
Burundi	Bukemba	2000	0.5%	1.6%	0.1%
Burundi	Gihogazi	2000	1.1%	4.6%	0.2%
Burundi	Kinindo	2000	8.9%	10.1%	7.9%
Burundi	Bugabira	2000	5.6%	9.2%	2.0%
Burundi	Gitanga	2000	1.6%	7.6%	0.2%
Burundi	Vyanda	2000	0.4%	1.4%	0.1%
Burundi	Mukike	2000	1.0%	3.0%	0.3%
Burundi	Kigamba	2000	2.0%	7.8%	0.2%
Burundi	Gasorwe	2000	4.5%	11.1%	2.8%
Burundi	Musaga	2000	8.2%	9.1%	7.4%
Burundi	Gihosha	2000	7.7%	8.5%	6.9%
Burundi	Kiremba	2000	1.4%	6.1%	0.4%
Burundi	Gashoho	2000	0.9%	1.6%	0.6%
Burundi	Cendajuru	2000	1.1%	3.3%	0.3%
Burundi	Mwakiro	2000	2.8%	9.6%	0.4%
Burundi	Mpanda	2000	2.2%	3.6%	1.4%
Burundi	Busoni	2000	3.2%	8.4%	1.7%
Burundi	Bukeye	2000	0.7%	0.9%	0.5%
Burundi	Mishiha	2000	0.6%	2.5%	0.2%
Burundi	Bururi	2000	1.3%	3.5%	0.3%
Burundi	Muhuta	2000	0.4%	1.7%	0.1%
Burundi	Mutimbuzi	2000	2.0%	4.1%	1.0%
Burundi	Lake Tan-ganyika	2000	4.5%	12.6%	0.5%
Burundi	Rugombo	2000	2.8%	5.6%	1.3%
Burundi	Kabezi	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Burundi	Mabayi	2000	0.5%	1.4%	0.2%
Burundi	Bweru	2000	0.4%	1.7%	0.1%
Burundi	Butaganzwa2	2000	3.3%	7.4%	1.5%
Burundi	Mubimbi	2000	1.1%	1.4%	0.8%
Burundi	Giteranyi	2000	2.8%	9.4%	0.9%
Burundi	Buraza	2000	0.8%	6.8%	0.0%
Burundi	Kabarore	2000	0.5%	1.8%	0.1%
Burundi	Ruyigi	2000	3.0%	5.7%	1.7%
Burundi	Lake Tan-ganyika	2000	4.1%	7.6%	2.4%
Burundi	Buterere	2000	9.2%	10.1%	8.2%
Burundi	Musongati	2000	1.4%	5.1%	0.1%
Burundi	Gisagara	2000	2.7%	10.1%	0.5%
Burundi	Nyakabiga	2000	9.9%	10.8%	8.9%
Burundi	Mabanda	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Burundi	Bwiza	2000	11.5%	12.6%	10.4%
Burundi	Kanyosha1	2000	3.9%	4.5%	3.4%
Burundi	Bukinanyana	2000	1.1%	4.3%	0.2%
Burundi	Muramvya	2000	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%
Burundi	Rutegama	2000	0.8%	3.7%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Gishubi	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Burundi	Kiganda	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Burundi	Makebuko	2000	7.2%	16.5%	0.7%
Burundi	Songa	2000	1.8%	4.6%	0.5%
Burundi	Kinyinya	2000	2.5%	10.1%	0.1%
Burundi	Bwambarangwe	2000	4.5%	13.7%	2.2%
Burundi	Mutumba	2000	2.6%	8.3%	0.6%
Burundi	Bukirasazi	2000	0.5%	2.8%	0.0%
Burundi	Mpinga-Kayove	2000	2.0%	7.0%	0.5%
Burundi	Buhiga	2000	3.1%	3.9%	2.5%
Burundi	Muyinga	2000	3.9%	10.3%	2.1%
Burundi	Bisoro	2000	1.1%	2.7%	0.5%
Burundi	Giheta	2000	0.9%	3.0%	0.3%
Burundi	Nyanza-Lac	2000	1.8%	4.0%	0.7%
Burundi	Bubanza	2000	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Burundi	Ruhororo	2000	0.7%	3.2%	0.2%
Burundi	Kirundo	2000	4.3%	6.4%	3.0%
Burundi	Ngozi	2000	2.4%	4.0%	1.3%
Burundi	Mutambu	2000	0.3%	1.9%	0.1%
Burundi	Rutana	2000	1.4%	4.2%	0.5%
Burundi	Nyabitsinda	2000	2.8%	7.1%	0.8%
Burundi	Rango	2000	2.9%	4.8%	1.7%
Burundi	Nyabikere	2000	5.4%	17.7%	0.4%
Burundi	Mugongomanga	2000	0.8%	1.9%	0.4%
Burundi	Gitega	2000	8.5%	13.7%	4.4%
Burundi	Gahombo	2000	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%
Burundi	Rusaka	2000	1.3%	4.9%	0.6%
Burundi	Nyabihanga	2000	1.4%	2.9%	0.6%
Burundi	Cankuzo	2000	1.2%	3.8%	0.3%
Burundi	Nyanrusange	2000	0.3%	1.1%	0.1%
Burundi	Mutaho	2000	0.4%	1.4%	0.1%
Burundi	Gitobe	2000	2.2%	4.9%	1.2%
Burundi	Gihanga	2000	3.2%	5.3%	1.9%
Burundi	Kayogoro	2000	3.9%	11.5%	1.0%
Burundi	Nyamurenza	2000	5.5%	14.7%	1.1%
Burundi	Mugina	2000	1.1%	2.5%	0.5%
Burundi	Ryansoro	2000	0.4%	2.4%	0.0%
Burundi	Makamba	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Burundi	Itaba	2000	0.9%	4.6%	0.1%
Burundi	Tangara	2000	2.7%	8.6%	0.6%
Burundi	Gashikanwa	2000	1.8%	5.3%	0.8%
Burundi	Rumonge	2000	2.5%	5.1%	1.1%
Burundi	Mbuye	2000	0.7%	2.7%	0.2%
Burundi	Buganda	2000	1.8%	3.4%	0.7%
Burundi	Shombo	2000	0.8%	3.0%	0.1%
Burundi	Mwumba	2000	0.6%	2.4%	0.2%
Burundi	Nyabiraba	2000	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Burundi	Musigati	2000	3.8%	8.5%	1.1%
Burundi	Kibago	2000	1.4%	3.7%	0.2%
Burundi	Kamenge	2000	7.3%	8.2%	6.5%
Burundi	Gitaramuka	2000	1.4%	3.3%	0.9%
Burundi	Muhanga	2000	3.8%	10.2%	1.9%
Burundi	Burambi	2000	1.0%	3.8%	0.3%
Burundi	Bugarama	2000	2.0%	4.3%	0.8%
Burundi	Bugendana	2000	0.4%	1.8%	0.1%
Burundi	Rugazi	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Burundi	Butezi	2000	1.6%	6.0%	0.2%
Burundi	Buhinyuza	2000	1.7%	7.2%	0.3%
Burundi	Vumbi	2000	1.6%	2.4%	1.2%
Burundi	Buyenze	2000	11.8%	12.9%	10.5%
Burundi	Giharo	2000	1.2%	4.6%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (continued)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Murwi	2000	3.9%	10.6%	1.2%
Burundi	Muruta	2000	5.7%	10.7%	2.1%
Burundi	Gisozi	2000	3.4%	5.8%	1.8%
Burundi	Bugenyuzi	2000	1.4%	2.3%	0.9%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2000	1.9%	4.9%	0.8%
Burundi	Isale	2000	2.7%	3.1%	2.4%
Burundi	Gatara	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Burundi	Kayanza	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%
Burundi	Vugizo	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Burundi	Kigamba	2017	3.8%	11.8%	0.6%
Burundi	Kabarore	2017	1.5%	5.8%	0.5%
Burundi	Kiremba	2017	3.9%	10.3%	1.5%
Burundi	Kayokwe	2017	2.9%	3.5%	2.4%
Burundi	Butaganzwa2	2017	5.4%	10.9%	2.6%
Burundi	Rutovu	2017	1.6%	4.4%	0.3%
Burundi	Rutana	2017	3.3%	6.9%	1.5%
Burundi	Ndava	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.2%
Burundi	Mwakiro	2017	5.2%	15.8%	1.2%
Burundi	Mugamba	2017	3.5%	9.1%	0.9%
Burundi	Bukinanyana	2017	2.6%	8.0%	0.7%
Burundi	Gisagara	2017	5.2%	15.0%	1.5%
Burundi	Rutegama	2017	2.6%	9.0%	0.7%
Burundi	Kanyosha1	2017	4.6%	5.4%	3.9%
Burundi	Kabezi	2017	1.0%	3.3%	0.3%
Burundi	Mubimbi	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.6%
Burundi	Mpanda	2017	4.4%	6.0%	3.3%
Burundi	Roherero	2017	5.6%	6.1%	5.0%
Burundi	Musaga	2017	5.6%	6.2%	5.1%
Burundi	Mutumba	2017	3.9%	9.3%	1.3%
Burundi	Kiganda	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.7%
Burundi	Kinama	2017	5.1%	5.6%	4.6%
Burundi	Bukemba	2017	0.6%	1.6%	0.2%
Burundi	Bwiza	2017	7.5%	8.2%	6.8%
Burundi	Ntega	2017	15.6%	21.4%	10.9%
Burundi	Burambi	2017	2.6%	6.2%	1.0%
Burundi	Buganda	2017	3.9%	5.8%	1.8%
Burundi	Muramvya	2017	3.1%	3.6%	2.5%
Burundi	Nyabikere	2017	7.1%	21.5%	0.8%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	5.4%	8.7%	3.6%
Burundi	Gahombo	2017	4.4%	5.9%	3.3%
Burundi	Buyengero	2017	2.0%	7.2%	0.5%
Burundi	Bukirasazi	2017	1.2%	4.7%	0.1%
Burundi	Shombo	2017	1.6%	6.2%	0.3%
Burundi	Ngagara	2017	8.2%	9.0%	7.5%
Burundi	Gihogazi	2017	2.3%	6.7%	0.6%
Burundi	Gashoho	2017	3.5%	6.4%	2.1%
Burundi	Kirundo	2017	12.6%	16.1%	9.5%
Burundi	Muhanga	2017	7.5%	19.3%	2.9%
Burundi	Musongati	2017	2.7%	7.8%	0.4%
Burundi	Gasorwe	2017	9.0%	19.4%	5.1%
Burundi	Giteranyi	2017	6.2%	16.0%	2.5%
Burundi	Ryansoro	2017	1.0%	5.3%	0.1%
Burundi	Mishiha	2017	1.5%	3.7%	0.6%
Burundi	Bubanza	2017	4.0%	5.2%	3.0%
Burundi	Bweru	2017	0.9%	3.3%	0.1%
Burundi	Bugendana	2017	1.4%	4.8%	0.3%
Burundi	Mbuye	2017	2.0%	5.9%	0.7%
Burundi	Mutambu	2017	1.2%	6.2%	0.2%
Burundi	Kinyinya	2017	4.6%	13.8%	0.2%
Burundi	Butaganzwa1	2017	3.1%	4.6%	2.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Busiga	2017	3.8%	8.7%	2.2%
Burundi	Nyanza-Lac	2017	6.5%	11.6%	2.8%
Burundi	Matongo	2017	6.8%	11.0%	3.0%
Burundi	Songa	2017	3.5%	7.6%	1.2%
Burundi	Muyinga	2017	5.5%	13.0%	2.6%
Burundi	Bukeye	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Burundi	Gihosha	2017	5.5%	6.1%	5.0%
Burundi	Ruhororo	2017	2.1%	5.5%	1.0%
Burundi	Busoni	2017	8.5%	15.8%	5.5%
Burundi	Bisoro	2017	1.9%	3.8%	0.8%
Burundi	Mabanda	2017	0.4%	1.4%	0.1%
Burundi	Mutaho	2017	1.5%	4.6%	0.4%
Burundi	Bururi	2017	2.1%	4.2%	0.6%
Burundi	Mutimbuzi	2017	3.1%	6.0%	1.9%
Burundi	Giheta	2017	2.4%	5.6%	0.9%
Burundi	Mukike	2017	2.1%	5.2%	0.9%
Burundi	Bugarama	2017	5.3%	9.3%	2.7%
Burundi	Buraza	2017	1.6%	8.9%	0.1%
Burundi	Butihinda	2017	11.7%	14.5%	10.1%
Burundi	Mpinga-Kayove	2017	5.1%	11.2%	2.1%
Burundi	Vumbi	2017	6.5%	8.5%	4.9%
Burundi	Matana	2017	1.8%	6.9%	0.3%
Burundi	Makamba	2017	1.9%	2.9%	1.3%
Burundi	Bugabira	2017	12.9%	18.6%	7.4%
Burundi	Gishubi	2017	0.6%	3.5%	0.1%
Burundi	Cibitoke	2017	7.4%	8.0%	6.9%
Burundi	Rusaka	2017	2.5%	10.2%	1.0%
Burundi	Gashikanwa	2017	6.0%	11.5%	3.4%
Burundi	Nyakabiga	2017	6.3%	6.9%	5.7%
Burundi	Buhiga	2017	4.4%	5.3%	3.6%
Burundi	Mabayi	2017	1.0%	2.6%	0.4%
Burundi	Nyabitsinda	2017	7.4%	16.6%	2.6%
Burundi	Ruyigi	2017	3.1%	7.2%	1.6%
Burundi	Makebuko	2017	13.7%	24.8%	2.9%
Burundi	Mugina	2017	3.0%	5.9%	1.5%
Burundi	Musigati	2017	5.8%	12.0%	2.4%
Burundi	Gisuru	2017	2.6%	7.0%	1.0%
Burundi	Cendajuru	2017	2.5%	6.4%	0.9%
Burundi	Bwambarangwe	2017	11.3%	19.1%	7.2%
Burundi	Isale	2017	3.4%	3.9%	3.0%
Burundi	Nyamurenza	2017	10.8%	27.5%	3.7%
Burundi	Cankuzo	2017	2.7%	6.6%	0.9%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	5.6%	6.3%	5.0%
Burundi	Gitanga	2017	3.3%	10.5%	0.5%
Burundi	Gitaramuka	2017	3.5%	6.8%	2.3%
Burundi	Rugombo	2017	5.8%	9.1%	3.3%
Burundi	Marangara	2017	14.2%	26.1%	5.4%
Burundi	Nyabihanga	2017	2.7%	4.1%	1.5%
Burundi	Kibago	2017	2.7%	7.4%	0.4%
Burundi	Tangara	2017	7.8%	18.5%	2.1%
Burundi	Gatara	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.2%
Burundi	Nyabiraba	2017	0.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Burundi	Vyanda	2017	0.9%	2.4%	0.3%
Burundi	Kayogoro	2017	6.7%	17.2%	2.2%
Burundi	Rango	2017	3.3%	7.2%	1.6%
Burundi	Ngozi	2017	7.2%	9.5%	5.3%
Burundi	Kinindo	2017	4.8%	5.5%	4.2%
Burundi	Bugenyuzi	2017	3.0%	4.9%	2.1%
Burundi	Nyanrusange	2017	0.8%	2.8%	0.2%
Burundi	Gitega	2017	9.8%	13.2%	5.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Burundi	Rumonge	2017	5.1%	8.8%	2.5%
Burundi	Gisozi	2017	3.2%	4.7%	2.1%
Burundi	Kamenge	2017	5.3%	5.9%	4.7%
Burundi	Butezi	2017	2.9%	8.2%	0.5%
Burundi	Giharo	2017	2.5%	6.8%	1.0%
Burundi	Gihanga	2017	6.1%	9.5%	4.0%
Burundi	Kayanza	2017	6.0%	7.5%	4.5%
Burundi	Vugizo	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.3%
Burundi	Mwumba	2017	2.6%	4.4%	1.5%
Burundi	Itaba	2017	2.1%	8.3%	0.3%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	3.8%	8.2%	1.7%
Burundi	Rugazi	2017	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%
Burundi	Buhinyuza	2017	4.4%	14.9%	1.0%
Burundi	Buterere	2017	6.9%	7.6%	6.2%
Burundi	Gitobe	2017	7.8%	13.3%	4.3%
Burundi	Kanyosha2	2017	4.6%	6.0%	3.6%
Burundi	Muruta	2017	11.9%	18.6%	6.5%
Burundi	Murwi	2017	8.9%	17.6%	3.8%
Burundi	Mugongomanga	2017	2.0%	5.2%	1.0%
Burundi	Lake Tanganyika	2017	8.6%	24.7%	1.5%
Burundi	Muhuta	2017	1.3%	4.4%	0.2%
Burundi	Buyenze	2017	7.9%	8.7%	7.2%
Cameroon	Mayo Sava	2000	7.4%	11.3%	4.5%
Cameroon	Bénoué	2000	6.9%	10.4%	4.6%
Cameroon	Sanaga Maritime	2000	4.2%	10.1%	1.4%
Cameroon	Kadey	2000	6.9%	10.5%	4.4%
Cameroon	Lomet	2000	3.7%	5.5%	2.3%
Cameroon	Djerem	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.3%
Cameroon	Hauts Plateaux	2000	1.8%	2.3%	1.3%
Cameroon	Faro	2000	9.2%	17.3%	3.9%
Cameroon	Mvila	2000	2.3%	4.9%	1.0%
Cameroon	Manyu	2000	3.2%	6.9%	1.2%
Cameroon	Mayo Rey	2000	11.6%	14.8%	9.1%
Cameroon	Ndian	2000	4.0%	8.4%	1.5%
Cameroon	Moungo	2000	2.2%	4.0%	1.1%
Cameroon	Mayo Tsanaga	2000	11.1%	16.0%	6.7%
Cameroon	Mayo Kani	2000	13.3%	21.2%	7.2%
Cameroon	Boyo	2000	0.5%	1.8%	0.0%
Cameroon	Vallée du Ntem	2000	1.7%	3.9%	0.5%
Cameroon	Mefou et Afamba	2000	0.9%	1.4%	0.5%
Cameroon	Mayo Louti	2000	11.5%	15.8%	7.9%
Cameroon	Nkam	2000	6.7%	20.8%	1.9%
Cameroon	Bamboutos	2000	1.4%	3.5%	0.4%
Cameroon	Menoua	2000	1.2%	2.9%	0.6%
Cameroon	Ngo Ketunja	2000	0.7%	2.5%	0.2%
Cameroon	Diamaré	2000	6.2%	9.7%	4.1%
Cameroon	Dja et Lobo	2000	2.1%	3.2%	1.2%
Cameroon	Koung Khi	2000	2.4%	4.7%	1.4%
Cameroon	Océan	2000	3.0%	5.7%	1.6%
Cameroon	Mbam et Inoubou	2000	1.2%	2.6%	0.5%
Cameroon	Logone et Chari	2000	11.2%	16.5%	6.8%
Cameroon	Mifi	2000	2.8%	4.1%	1.4%
Cameroon	Haute Sanaga	2000	2.8%	5.6%	1.2%
Cameroon	Bui	2000	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cameroon	Donga Mantung	2000	1.4%	3.0%	0.6%
Cameroon	Menchum	2000	0.9%	2.2%	0.2%
Cameroon	Lebialem	2000	3.2%	5.2%	2.1%
Cameroon	Mbam et Kim	2000	2.2%	3.9%	1.1%
Cameroon	Mayo Banyo	2000	1.8%	4.0%	0.7%
Cameroon	Momo	2000	0.8%	2.9%	0.2%
Cameroon	Koupé Manengouba	2000	3.2%	7.2%	1.0%
Cameroon	Mfoundi	2000	3.5%	5.4%	2.1%
Cameroon	Meme	2000	9.5%	13.6%	5.6%
Cameroon	Djerem	2000	2.1%	4.5%	0.9%
Cameroon	Ndé	2000	1.2%	4.5%	0.2%
Cameroon	Nyong et Mfoumou	2000	1.7%	4.0%	0.6%
Cameroon	Nyong et So'o	2000	2.2%	4.9%	0.9%
Cameroon	Noun	2000	1.3%	2.8%	0.5%
Cameroon	Mayo Danay	2000	12.9%	19.5%	8.2%
Cameroon	Haut Nkam	2000	1.1%	3.5%	0.3%
Cameroon	Nyong et Kélé	2000	1.9%	3.9%	0.7%
Cameroon	Mbéré	2000	3.2%	6.0%	1.5%
Cameroon	Lekié	2000	1.5%	2.9%	0.6%
Cameroon	Mefou et Akono	2000	7.3%	11.9%	4.0%
Cameroon	Vina	2000	3.8%	5.9%	2.0%
Cameroon	Boumba et Ngoko	2000	9.3%	13.2%	6.5%
Cameroon	Haut Nyong	2000	4.2%	5.9%	2.9%
Cameroon	Faro et Déo	2000	2.8%	5.1%	1.2%
Cameroon	Mezam	2000	0.7%	1.3%	0.3%
Cameroon	Fako	2000	7.2%	9.9%	5.1%
Cameroon	Wouri	2000	3.2%	6.0%	2.0%
Cameroon	Diamaré	2017	3.2%	6.1%	1.8%
Cameroon	Sanaga Maritime	2017	1.6%	5.7%	0.4%
Cameroon	Boyo	2017	0.2%	0.8%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mayo Sava	2017	4.2%	6.9%	1.7%
Cameroon	Ndian	2017	1.5%	3.8%	0.4%
Cameroon	Bénoué	2017	3.4%	5.5%	1.9%
Cameroon	Faro	2017	5.5%	14.5%	1.5%
Cameroon	Mayo Tsanaga	2017	5.4%	9.2%	2.6%
Cameroon	Mayo Rey	2017	6.0%	8.0%	4.2%
Cameroon	Nkam	2017	2.7%	11.0%	0.5%
Cameroon	Moungo	2017	0.8%	2.1%	0.2%
Cameroon	Lom et Djerem	2017	1.6%	2.7%	0.8%
Cameroon	Océan	2017	1.3%	2.6%	0.6%
Cameroon	Hauts Plateaux	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.4%
Cameroon	Dja et Lobo	2017	0.8%	1.4%	0.4%
Cameroon	Lebialem	2017	2.5%	4.4%	0.6%
Cameroon	Mbam et Kim	2017	0.8%	1.7%	0.3%
Cameroon	Mifi	2017	1.3%	2.3%	0.5%
Cameroon	Haut Nkam	2017	0.3%	1.4%	0.1%
Cameroon	Manyu	2017	1.3%	3.1%	0.4%
Cameroon	Noun	2017	0.6%	1.5%	0.1%
Cameroon	Mayo Kani	2017	6.6%	11.6%	2.7%
Cameroon	Haute Sanaga	2017	1.1%	2.6%	0.4%
Cameroon	Lekié	2017	0.5%	1.3%	0.2%
Cameroon	Menoua	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%
Cameroon	Mayo Danay	2017	5.9%	10.1%	3.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Cameroon	Ngo Ketunja	2017	0.2%	1.2%	0.1%
Cameroon	Kadey	2017	2.9%	5.1%	1.6%
Cameroon	Ndé	2017	0.4%	2.0%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mbam et In-oubou	2017	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Cameroon	Menchum	2017	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Cameroon	Mvila	2017	0.9%	2.0%	0.3%
Cameroon	Mbéré	2017	1.4%	3.1%	0.5%
Cameroon	Koupé Manengouba	2017	1.6%	3.8%	0.4%
Cameroon	Koung Khi	2017	0.8%	1.8%	0.4%
Cameroon	Logone et Chari	2017	5.7%	9.3%	2.9%
Cameroon	Bamboutos	2017	0.7%	2.6%	0.1%
Cameroon	Mefou et Afamba	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Cameroon	Vallée du Ntem	2017	0.6%	1.6%	0.1%
Cameroon	Nyong et Mfoumou	2017	0.7%	2.1%	0.2%
Cameroon	Mayo Louti	2017	5.7%	9.2%	3.3%
Cameroon	Djerem	2017	0.8%	1.8%	0.3%
Cameroon	Momo	2017	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%
Cameroon	Wouri	2017	0.7%	1.7%	0.3%
Cameroon	Mfoundi	2017	0.8%	1.5%	0.5%
Cameroon	Bui	2017	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Cameroon	Mefou et Akono	2017	2.3%	4.3%	1.1%
Cameroon	Haut Nyong	2017	1.9%	3.0%	1.1%
Cameroon	Mezam	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Cameroon	Nyong et So'o	2017	0.7%	2.2%	0.2%
Cameroon	Boumba et Ngoko	2017	4.3%	6.7%	2.7%
Cameroon	Vina	2017	1.1%	2.0%	0.4%
Cameroon	Mayo Banyo	2017	0.8%	1.8%	0.2%
Cameroon	Faro et Déo	2017	1.1%	3.2%	0.3%
Cameroon	Nyong et Kélé	2017	0.6%	1.6%	0.2%
Cameroon	Donga Mantung	2017	0.6%	1.8%	0.2%
Cameroon	Meme	2017	4.4%	7.6%	1.9%
Cameroon	Fako	2017	2.7%	4.3%	1.5%
Central African Republic	Batangafo	2000	35.0%	39.3%	30.9%
Central African Republic	Yalinga	2000	27.6%	33.7%	21.8%
Central African Republic	Boali	2000	25.5%	36.6%	15.7%
Central African Republic	Bambio	2000	20.4%	27.2%	13.9%
Central African Republic	Yaloké	2000	24.5%	29.4%	19.7%
Central African Republic	Kabo	2000	32.4%	41.1%	23.9%
Central African Republic	M'Baïki	2000	17.2%	20.9%	13.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Mobaye	2000	19.2%	26.7%	13.1%
Central African Republic	Kouango	2000	21.3%	26.7%	16.3%
Central African Republic	Mingala	2000	21.8%	30.0%	15.5%
Central African Republic	Boda	2000	21.6%	27.4%	17.1%
Central African Republic	Bambari	2000	33.0%	38.4%	27.8%
Central African Republic	Ippy	2000	25.4%	32.3%	18.7%
Central African Republic	Markounda	2000	28.7%	36.9%	22.2%
Central African Republic	Sibut	2000	25.1%	31.2%	19.1%
Central African Republic	Bamingui	2000	22.0%	28.3%	17.1%
Central African Republic	Grimari	2000	27.6%	33.4%	21.2%
Central African Republic	Gamboula	2000	23.0%	27.4%	19.2%
Central African Republic	Gambo-Ouango	2000	15.1%	20.7%	10.3%
Central African Republic	Bangassou	2000	16.5%	22.2%	11.7%
Central African Republic	Birao	2000	29.9%	34.3%	25.7%
Central African Republic	Bouca	2000	25.4%	31.2%	19.8%
Central African Republic	Bimbo	2000	16.0%	20.8%	12.0%
Central African Republic	Bocaranga	2000	37.1%	42.4%	30.7%
Central African Republic	Berbérati	2000	20.3%	26.2%	15.3%
Central African Republic	Bakouma	2000	20.3%	26.5%	15.3%
Central African Republic	Rafai	2000	17.9%	23.0%	13.9%
Central African Republic	Mbrès	2000	22.9%	32.2%	15.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Paoua	2000	35.7%	42.2%	28.8%
Central African Republic	Bria	2000	20.1%	25.1%	15.6%
Central African Republic	Zémio	2000	19.6%	26.9%	13.0%
Central African Republic	Kaga-Bandoro	2000	20.3%	28.5%	13.9%
Central African Republic	Bangui	2000	5.3%	8.2%	3.6%
Central African Republic	Kembé	2000	17.4%	23.9%	11.9%
Central African Republic	Baboua	2000	27.4%	32.6%	22.4%
Central African Republic	Bakala	2000	20.4%	28.4%	13.5%
Central African Republic	Bossangoa	2000	32.7%	37.8%	27.1%
Central African Republic	Carnot	2000	21.8%	25.4%	18.0%
Central African Republic	Obo	2000	19.6%	25.1%	14.9%
Central African Republic	Djemah	2000	24.5%	33.5%	17.6%
Central African Republic	Bozoum	2000	36.7%	44.5%	29.2%
Central African Republic	Ouanda Djallé	2000	32.1%	41.5%	20.9%
Central African Republic	Ouadda	2000	27.2%	32.1%	22.2%
Central African Republic	Dékoa	2000	23.6%	30.8%	17.5%
Central African Republic	Mongoumba	2000	17.4%	27.4%	9.5%
Central African Republic	Nola	2000	20.7%	24.6%	16.6%
Central African Republic	Alindao	2000	22.4%	28.1%	16.6%
Central African Republic	Damara	2000	22.4%	29.3%	17.1%
Central African Republic	Ndélé	2000	26.4%	30.1%	23.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Bouar	2000	28.2%	35.1%	20.6%
Central African Republic	Baoro	2000	25.7%	31.2%	21.0%
Central African Republic	Boali	2017	30.9%	41.9%	20.2%
Central African Republic	Batangafo	2017	44.3%	48.3%	40.0%
Central African Republic	Yaloké	2017	30.6%	35.8%	24.9%
Central African Republic	Kabo	2017	43.1%	51.8%	33.8%
Central African Republic	Dékoa	2017	31.4%	38.7%	24.4%
Central African Republic	Boda	2017	26.9%	33.5%	22.0%
Central African Republic	Bambio	2017	25.3%	33.1%	17.7%
Central African Republic	Bria	2017	26.5%	31.3%	22.5%
Central African Republic	Gamboula	2017	27.6%	32.4%	23.4%
Central African Republic	Djemah	2017	30.7%	37.8%	24.8%
Central African Republic	Markounda	2017	37.1%	44.4%	30.2%
Central African Republic	M'Baiki	2017	21.3%	25.6%	17.4%
Central African Republic	Mobaye	2017	25.6%	33.9%	18.1%
Central African Republic	Bamingui	2017	29.9%	36.2%	24.5%
Central African Republic	Ouadda	2017	33.3%	39.1%	28.0%
Central African Republic	Kouango	2017	28.4%	34.2%	22.6%
Central African Republic	Bocaranga	2017	46.0%	51.5%	39.9%
Central African Republic	Kaga-Bandoro	2017	27.3%	35.1%	20.3%
Central African Republic	Obo	2017	25.0%	31.0%	20.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Bouca	2017	34.0%	39.8%	28.8%
Central African Republic	Yalinga	2017	33.4%	40.2%	26.7%
Central African Republic	Bakouma	2017	26.6%	31.7%	21.3%
Central African Republic	Bangassou	2017	21.6%	27.7%	16.4%
Central African Republic	Gambo-Ouango	2017	19.9%	25.5%	14.8%
Central African Republic	Mingala	2017	28.2%	36.1%	21.6%
Central African Republic	Mbrès	2017	31.0%	42.1%	21.6%
Central African Republic	Berbérati	2017	25.0%	31.4%	19.1%
Central African Republic	Mongoumba	2017	21.6%	32.3%	12.6%
Central African Republic	Birao	2017	37.9%	42.3%	33.7%
Central African Republic	Alindao	2017	28.6%	35.5%	22.1%
Central African Republic	Zémio	2017	25.5%	32.7%	18.9%
Central African Republic	Grimari	2017	35.0%	40.6%	28.5%
Central African Republic	Ippy	2017	33.1%	40.1%	26.0%
Central African Republic	Bossangoa	2017	42.1%	47.0%	36.7%
Central African Republic	Ndélé	2017	34.4%	38.6%	30.6%
Central African Republic	Bimbo	2017	21.4%	27.1%	16.7%
Central African Republic	Bangui	2017	8.2%	11.8%	5.9%
Central African Republic	Bambari	2017	41.3%	46.7%	36.5%
Central African Republic	Rafai	2017	22.8%	29.7%	17.3%
Central African Republic	Sibut	2017	31.8%	37.9%	25.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Central African Republic	Paoua	2017	43.6%	50.8%	36.0%
Central African Republic	Nola	2017	24.4%	28.2%	20.4%
Central African Republic	Bozoum	2017	46.2%	54.0%	39.0%
Central African Republic	Baboua	2017	34.2%	39.1%	29.1%
Central African Republic	Baoro	2017	31.3%	36.6%	26.6%
Central African Republic	Carnot	2017	27.1%	30.9%	23.3%
Central African Republic	Kembé	2017	23.0%	31.4%	16.2%
Central African Republic	Damara	2017	28.3%	35.3%	22.5%
Central African Republic	Bouar	2017	33.8%	39.8%	27.3%
Central African Republic	Ouanda Djallé	2017	40.4%	50.5%	26.7%
Central African Republic	Bakala	2017	27.2%	38.1%	18.4%
Chad	Lac Iro	2000	66.5%	70.6%	61.6%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2000	72.5%	77.2%	68.5%
Chad	Tandjilé Est	2000	69.5%	73.8%	64.8%
Chad	Barh Sara	2000	69.7%	75.0%	64.2%
Chad	Mangalmé	2000	67.9%	73.2%	62.3%
Chad	Aboudeïa	2000	71.2%	76.0%	66.3%
Chad	Haraze	2000	75.0%	78.1%	71.4%
Chad	Mangueigne				
Chad	Dar Tama	2000	76.2%	80.3%	72.0%
Chad	Grande Sido	2000	68.9%	76.3%	61.0%
Chad	Kobé	2000	71.9%	76.0%	67.5%
Chad	Kanem	2000	71.6%	75.7%	67.4%
Chad	Tibesti	2000	87.2%	88.5%	85.7%
Chad	Dodjé	2000	70.4%	77.8%	61.9%
Chad	Lac Léré	2000	62.8%	68.0%	57.0%
Chad	Lac Wey	2000	69.8%	75.6%	63.0%
Chad	Borkou	2000	74.3%	76.8%	71.5%
Chad	Ennedi Est	2000	77.6%	80.2%	74.6%
Chad	Barh Azoum	2000	71.3%	75.0%	67.8%
Chad	Bitkine	2000	70.9%	75.5%	65.9%
Chad	Mont Illi	2000	72.1%	79.6%	63.7%
Chad	Lanya	2000	65.3%	72.3%	57.7%
Chad	Nokou	2000	68.1%	72.3%	63.6%
Chad	Batha Oues	2000	67.4%	71.3%	63.5%
Chad	Baguirmi	2000	68.0%	72.0%	63.8%
Chad	Barh Signaka	2000	64.8%	70.5%	59.1%
Chad	N'Djamena	2000	10.5%	15.8%	6.5%
Chad	Béré	2000	71.3%	81.2%	60.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Chad	Pendé	2000	68.5%	73.5%	63.4%
Chad	Biltine	2000	72.7%	76.3%	68.6%
Chad	N'Djamena	2000	40.4%	47.0%	34.6%
Chad	Mayo-Boneye	2000	68.3%	73.3%	63.6%
Chad	Barh El Gazel	2000	70.8%	74.1%	67.7%
Chad	Ouara	2000	66.7%	70.3%	62.6%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2000	69.7%	76.8%	60.7%
Chad	Barh Köh	2000	59.9%	63.9%	55.8%
Chad	Wayi	2000	73.8%	79.9%	67.7%
Chad	Haraze Al Biar	2000	60.1%	66.3%	54.2%
Chad	Fitri	2000	70.5%	73.4%	67.2%
Chad	Assoungaha	2000	71.6%	76.6%	66.5%
Chad	Ngourkosso	2000	58.5%	66.9%	50.3%
Chad	Kabbia	2000	72.8%	80.8%	66.3%
Chad	Ennedi Ouest	2000	78.4%	80.5%	75.8%
Chad	Loug Chari	2000	66.8%	71.5%	62.5%
Chad	Nya Pendé	2000	69.1%	74.3%	63.7%
Chad	Tandjilé Ouest	2000	67.7%	73.5%	61.5%
Chad	Dagana	2000	69.1%	74.2%	63.8%
Chad	Dababa	2000	69.8%	74.2%	65.8%
Chad	Mayo-Dallah	2000	64.8%	69.1%	60.0%
Chad	Mandoul Occidental	2000	65.1%	74.0%	56.0%
Chad	Mamdi	2000	69.7%	74.2%	65.0%
Chad	Mandoul Oriental	2000	69.9%	75.3%	64.8%
Chad	Monts de Lam	2000	68.8%	73.9%	62.7%
Chad	Sila	2000	71.1%	74.1%	67.4%
Chad	Guéra	2000	73.2%	76.2%	69.9%
Chad	Batha Est	2000	67.7%	71.6%	63.4%
Chad	Ennedi Est	2017	85.2%	87.1%	82.8%
Chad	Mayo-Boneye	2017	79.3%	83.0%	75.4%
Chad	Tandjilé Est	2017	80.5%	84.1%	76.5%
Chad	Barh Sara	2017	80.3%	84.7%	75.5%
Chad	N'Djamena	2017	19.8%	25.7%	14.2%
Chad	Tandjilé Ouest	2017	78.3%	83.0%	73.2%
Chad	Pendé	2017	79.2%	83.5%	74.9%
Chad	Ennedi Ouest	2017	85.4%	87.3%	83.4%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2017	81.7%	85.5%	78.2%
Chad	Haraze Mangueigne	2017	83.0%	85.6%	80.2%
Chad	Dar Tama	2017	84.4%	87.8%	80.7%
Chad	Mayo-Dallah	2017	76.1%	79.5%	71.8%
Chad	Mamdi	2017	80.2%	83.5%	77.0%
Chad	Mont Illi	2017	81.1%	86.8%	74.4%
Chad	Dagana	2017	80.0%	84.2%	75.7%
Chad	Barh El Gazel	2017	81.3%	83.5%	78.8%
Chad	Haraze Al Biar	2017	71.4%	76.8%	65.7%
Chad	Lac Léré	2017	71.6%	75.8%	66.7%
Chad	Nya Pendé	2017	79.2%	83.4%	74.6%
Chad	Bitkine	2017	81.4%	85.0%	77.1%
Chad	Lac Iro	2017	77.0%	80.4%	73.5%
Chad	Béré	2017	82.1%	88.6%	73.4%
Chad	Dodjé	2017	79.9%	85.9%	72.4%
Chad	Loug Chari	2017	77.6%	81.1%	74.1%
Chad	Biltine	2017	81.5%	84.3%	78.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Chad	Guéra	2017	82.4%	85.1%	79.5%
Chad	Lanya	2017	75.6%	81.3%	68.5%
Chad	Borkou	2017	83.0%	84.8%	81.2%
Chad	Aboudeïa	2017	81.3%	85.0%	77.0%
Chad	Lac Wey	2017	79.0%	83.9%	73.4%
Chad	N'Djamena	2017	50.1%	55.8%	44.0%
Chad	Barh Signaka	2017	75.4%	79.9%	70.8%
Chad	Mandoul Oriental	2017	80.2%	84.6%	75.3%
Chad	Grande Sido	2017	78.9%	84.9%	72.1%
Chad	Wayi	2017	84.0%	88.4%	79.5%
Chad	Mangalmé	2017	79.8%	83.9%	75.0%
Chad	Djourf Al Ah-mar	2017	80.0%	86.0%	73.0%
Chad	Dababa	2017	80.2%	83.7%	77.2%
Chad	Kobé	2017	81.5%	84.5%	78.2%
Chad	Batha Est	2017	79.5%	82.3%	76.2%
Chad	Barh Azoum	2017	80.5%	83.4%	77.2%
Chad	Tibesti	2017	90.4%	91.7%	88.9%
Chad	Kanem	2017	82.0%	85.0%	78.8%
Chad	Assoungha	2017	82.2%	86.1%	77.8%
Chad	Barh Köh	2017	70.3%	73.7%	66.5%
Chad	Baguirmi	2017	78.9%	82.0%	75.5%
Chad	Mandoul Occidental	2017	77.7%	84.7%	69.5%
Chad	Fitri	2017	80.6%	83.0%	77.6%
Chad	Nokou	2017	79.3%	81.9%	76.0%
Chad	Kabbia	2017	82.3%	87.6%	76.4%
Chad	Monts de Lam	2017	78.6%	83.1%	72.7%
Chad	Batha Oues	2017	78.7%	81.9%	75.8%
Chad	Ouara	2017	77.5%	80.7%	73.8%
Chad	Ngourkosso	2017	70.6%	78.1%	61.4%
Chad	Sila	2017	80.1%	82.6%	77.1%
Comoros	Nzwani	2000	6.4%	7.2%	5.5%
Comoros	Mwali	2000	6.5%	7.6%	5.5%
Comoros	Njazidja	2000	9.0%	11.9%	7.6%
Comoros	Nzwani	2017	6.2%	7.1%	5.4%
Comoros	Mwali	2017	6.6%	7.9%	5.5%
Comoros	Njazidja	2017	8.9%	11.1%	7.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béré	2000	39.7%	47.8%	31.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Poro	2000	36.8%	43.8%	29.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bafing	2000	53.0%	63.2%	42.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Grands Ponts	2000	38.2%	54.5%	23.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tonkpi	2000	41.9%	50.1%	34.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Lôh-Djiboua	2000	53.2%	62.8%	43.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Agnéby-Tiassa	2000	45.2%	56.0%	34.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	La Mé	2000	46.0%	55.5%	36.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan	2000	24.9%	28.3%	21.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bagoué	2000	38.4%	46.4%	30.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Iffou	2000	33.3%	43.4%	22.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	N'zi	2000	26.5%	36.3%	17.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Côte d'Ivoire	Cavally	2000	48.5%	57.1%	39.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tchologo	2000	36.0%	44.9%	28.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gôh	2000	47.3%	56.9%	37.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bounkani	2000	31.5%	39.6%	23.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béliér	2000	30.8%	42.0%	20.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	San-Pédro	2000	45.8%	54.4%	38.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Marahoué	2000	45.9%	55.1%	35.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Kabadougou	2000	43.9%	54.2%	36.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Guémon	2000	46.7%	57.1%	35.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Worodougou	2000	37.9%	46.4%	28.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Moronou	2000	37.7%	51.3%	26.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Yamoussoukro	2000	23.2%	32.9%	15.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Folon	2000	49.3%	60.4%	37.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbeke	2000	29.9%	36.6%	23.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Hambol	2000	33.9%	40.6%	27.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gontougo	2000	34.5%	40.7%	27.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbôkle	2000	44.9%	54.4%	35.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Nawa	2000	45.0%	52.7%	36.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Haut-Sassandra	2000	42.3%	48.5%	35.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Indénié-Djuablin	2000	37.6%	43.5%	30.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Sud Comoé	2000	41.3%	51.5%	31.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béré	2017	44.6%	52.5%	36.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Agnéby-Tiassa	2017	43.5%	51.8%	34.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Grands Ponts	2017	37.6%	50.9%	25.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Folon	2017	49.7%	59.6%	38.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tonkpi	2017	44.3%	51.5%	38.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Béliér	2017	36.4%	46.0%	28.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bagoué	2017	38.3%	45.7%	31.3%
Côte d'Ivoire	Tchologo	2017	36.3%	43.2%	29.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gôh	2017	48.9%	58.4%	40.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbôkle	2017	42.8%	50.7%	33.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Côte d'Ivoire	Bafing	2017	54.5%	63.0%	46.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Nawa	2017	43.7%	50.6%	36.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Bounkani	2017	38.7%	45.7%	30.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Lôh-Djiboua	2017	50.3%	59.3%	41.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Abidjan	2017	9.5%	11.2%	7.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Cavally	2017	48.8%	55.7%	40.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	La Mé	2017	40.3%	48.2%	31.6%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gbeke	2017	30.2%	35.3%	25.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Guémon	2017	48.5%	56.6%	39.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	N'zi	2017	30.7%	40.7%	21.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	San-Pédro	2017	43.0%	49.1%	36.5%
Côte d'Ivoire	Iffou	2017	37.1%	47.5%	27.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Indénié-Djuablin	2017	36.1%	42.0%	30.4%
Côte d'Ivoire	Poro	2017	39.0%	44.5%	33.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Marahoué	2017	43.9%	52.1%	36.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Gontougo	2017	38.0%	44.7%	31.1%
Côte d'Ivoire	Yamoussoukro	2017	22.4%	32.2%	15.0%
Côte d'Ivoire	Kabadougou	2017	48.1%	55.0%	40.9%
Côte d'Ivoire	Sud Comoé	2017	39.3%	47.0%	30.2%
Côte d'Ivoire	Hambol	2017	35.9%	42.4%	29.7%
Côte d'Ivoire	Worodougou	2017	41.1%	49.3%	32.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Moronou	2017	40.1%	50.7%	29.8%
Côte d'Ivoire	Haut-Sassandra	2017	44.8%	50.6%	39.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Butembo	2000	1.6%	3.7%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamonia	2000	11.0%	14.5%	7.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya	2000	7.4%	12.7%	4.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kutu	2000	12.3%	17.5%	8.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo (ville)	2000	11.7%	53.4%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba	2000	9.9%	13.9%	6.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamiji	2000	12.1%	25.2%	3.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo	2000	11.9%	20.6%	5.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa	2000	10.5%	13.9%	7.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aba	2000	11.7%	43.8%	0.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta	2000	9.8%	14.5%	5.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo (ville)	2000	11.4%	54.6%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwenga	2000	7.4%	13.4%	4.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu	2000	8.6%	11.9%	5.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyiragongo	2000	2.9%	9.1%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru	2000	8.0%	12.1%	4.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bena-Dibele	2000	11.1%	44.5%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bandundu	2000	4.5%	10.6%	1.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukavu	2000	6.1%	7.4%	5.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbuji-Mayi	2000	4.7%	5.4%	4.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabare	2000	4.2%	8.2%	2.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu (ville)	2000	10.7%	39.5%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi (ville)	2000	10.2%	36.8%	0.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kikwit	2000	1.7%	3.6%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena	2000	8.2%	12.5%	5.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kole	2000	13.3%	18.0%	9.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo (ville)	2000	3.8%	8.4%	1.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo (ville)	2000	5.8%	18.1%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula (ville)	2000	3.5%	7.8%	1.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie	2000	10.5%	16.8%	6.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djolu	2000	12.8%	20.1%	7.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi (ville)	2000	5.9%	22.5%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oicha	2000	8.4%	14.2%	4.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo	2000	9.7%	15.1%	5.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Likasi	2000	4.6%	12.1%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mushie	2000	12.5%	18.2%	7.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabambare	2000	10.3%	14.3%	6.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bokungu	2000	10.2%	15.8%	6.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kananga	2000	6.2%	11.4%	3.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Businga	2000	12.8%	17.8%	7.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kindu	2000	5.0%	8.1%	2.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mongwalu	2000	8.4%	24.2%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba	2000	6.3%	10.8%	3.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda	2000	15.5%	19.8%	11.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dilolo	2000	9.7%	14.4%	6.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu (ville)	2000	5.4%	9.0%	3.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubefu	2000	16.2%	21.4%	10.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina	2000	9.0%	11.4%	6.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isiro	2000	3.2%	6.0%	1.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idjwi	2000	5.8%	21.1%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kolwezi	2000	19.0%	23.8%	12.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pangi	2000	13.8%	18.2%	10.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu (ville)	2000	4.8%	8.4%	2.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Matadi	2000	13.3%	19.9%	8.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika	2000	11.7%	18.5%	6.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa	2000	8.7%	12.1%	5.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Malemba-Nkulu	2000	10.6%	15.8%	6.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Feshi	2000	10.2%	14.8%	7.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwene-Ditu	2000	5.4%	10.8%	2.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi	2000	7.4%	13.8%	3.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Beni	2000	3.1%	7.4%	1.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Befale	2000	8.0%	12.5%	4.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasaji	2000	9.0%	35.8%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru	2000	7.4%	12.5%	3.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu (ville)	2000	12.8%	55.3%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Songololo	2000	9.2%	14.5%	4.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bangu	2000	8.6%	49.5%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Makanza	2000	15.4%	24.4%	9.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kazumba	2000	9.7%	13.8%	6.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Monkoto	2000	10.5%	15.6%	6.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi	2000	13.8%	22.7%	7.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaoze	2000	11.9%	60.1%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda	2000	9.1%	13.4%	5.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Opala	2000	15.0%	20.2%	10.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi	2000	13.4%	24.7%	5.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono	2000	13.1%	18.1%	9.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa (ville)	2000	13.6%	30.1%	4.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bongandanga	2000	11.7%	15.8%	8.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao	2000	12.9%	18.2%	9.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela	2000	11.3%	17.3%	6.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala (ville)	2000	6.9%	10.8%	3.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge	2000	7.8%	15.8%	3.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kinshasa	2000	7.4%	8.6%	6.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oïcha (ville)	2000	0.8%	2.9%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena (ville)	2000	1.2%	2.2%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia (ville)	2000	16.2%	27.8%	7.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu (ville)	2000	38.8%	85.0%	2.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yangambi	2000	7.7%	34.4%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaniama	2000	10.7%	15.0%	6.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabalo	2000	10.7%	15.8%	7.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bunia	2000	9.6%	17.9%	3.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yumbi	2000	13.9%	30.0%	4.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Niangara	2000	6.4%	10.2%	3.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bambesa	2000	11.1%	17.4%	5.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djugu	2000	11.2%	15.9%	6.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela (ville)	2000	6.2%	9.7%	3.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lupatapata	2000	5.6%	8.9%	3.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu	2000	11.8%	16.4%	7.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lomela	2000	14.9%	18.5%	11.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bomongo	2000	6.9%	9.6%	4.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda (ville)	2000	8.0%	34.1%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda	2000	11.0%	18.2%	6.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba (ville)	2000	4.3%	10.5%	1.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubero	2000	6.2%	8.8%	4.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Madimba	2000	11.8%	17.8%	7.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Goma	2000	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moba	2000	11.4%	16.1%	7.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo	2000	12.5%	17.3%	8.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yakoma	2000	9.4%	15.0%	5.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina (ville)	2000	3.1%	6.1%	1.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kibombo	2000	12.6%	17.6%	9.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalima	2000	72.8%	92.3%	49.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda (ville)	2000	2.3%	4.4%	1.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo	2000	12.9%	18.6%	7.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Zongo	2000	17.2%	41.4%	3.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabeya-Kamwanga	2000	10.3%	19.0%	4.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Demba	2000	11.3%	17.5%	7.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gbadolite	2000	13.8%	21.2%	8.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi (ville)	2000	9.8%	18.9%	4.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono (ville)	2000	8.5%	16.9%	3.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba	2000	13.0%	17.5%	9.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Banalia	2000	12.2%	17.9%	7.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo (ville)	2000	12.6%	22.7%	6.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya-Lubwe	2000	10.4%	24.5%	2.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabongo	2000	11.0%	15.8%	6.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika (ville)	2000	2.2%	3.7%	1.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dimbelenge	2000	11.0%	18.8%	6.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kwamouth	2000	8.5%	12.4%	5.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo	2000	13.0%	18.2%	8.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge (ville)	2000	1.2%	4.2%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nioki	2000	11.9%	26.0%	2.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru (ville)	2000	3.2%	7.2%	1.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo (ville)	2000	8.4%	27.4%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Irumu	2000	10.9%	15.6%	7.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda	2000	9.3%	12.8%	6.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbandaka	2000	7.6%	15.6%	2.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu	2000	9.8%	13.8%	6.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mweka	2000	14.3%	19.0%	10.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kimvula	2000	7.9%	13.5%	3.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge (ville)	2000	12.6%	52.0%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bafwasende	2000	13.6%	20.6%	9.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ariwara	2000	5.8%	13.8%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luozi	2000	11.9%	19.3%	6.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mangai	2000	1.6%	4.2%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi (ville)	2000	7.5%	18.7%	2.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubumbashi	2000	8.2%	13.7%	5.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu	2000	10.3%	14.7%	7.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mambasa	2000	13.6%	19.6%	9.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kisangani	2000	6.4%	12.1%	3.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Namoya	2000	16.7%	59.1%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo	2000	8.8%	13.5%	5.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bikoro	2000	13.7%	21.3%	8.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ikela	2000	16.4%	25.1%	10.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu	2000	8.3%	13.1%	4.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walikale	2000	10.7%	14.8%	7.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo (ville)	2000	12.4%	52.3%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyunzu	2000	8.5%	12.1%	5.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge	2000	10.1%	13.8%	7.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kiri	2000	6.0%	11.1%	3.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukama	2000	10.0%	14.7%	6.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba (ville)	2000	7.8%	29.5%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Baraka	2000	6.1%	9.7%	3.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja	2000	7.8%	11.1%	5.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko (ville)	2000	2.7%	6.4%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kapanga	2000	11.0%	15.7%	7.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bosobolo	2000	13.9%	20.8%	8.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia	2000	9.8%	13.5%	6.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo (ville)	2000	15.5%	28.6%	6.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasenga	2000	11.7%	16.5%	7.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo (ville)	2000	7.5%	17.1%	2.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Seke-Banza	2000	12.6%	20.7%	7.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rungu	2000	4.5%	8.1%	2.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Popokabaka	2000	13.7%	20.9%	8.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu	2000	9.7%	13.2%	6.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie (ville)	2000	16.6%	48.2%	1.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingende	2000	10.4%	15.0%	6.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolomba	2000	9.6%	13.8%	6.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende (ville)	2000	1.9%	4.0%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu (ville)	2000	0.6%	1.8%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katanda	2000	6.8%	11.6%	3.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukalaba	2000	4.2%	9.7%	1.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dingila	2000	9.5%	52.6%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta (ville)	2000	3.1%	7.2%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshikapa	2000	7.8%	12.5%	4.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oshwe	2000	12.6%	16.5%	9.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mutshatsha	2000	12.2%	17.6%	8.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katoko-Kombe	2000	16.0%	20.5%	11.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kungu	2000	10.8%	16.7%	6.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sakania	2000	17.0%	29.4%	9.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walungu	2000	4.2%	8.8%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sandoa	2000	9.7%	14.0%	6.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa (ville)	2000	12.3%	20.9%	4.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo	2000	13.1%	18.3%	8.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda (ville)	2000	1.2%	5.4%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala	2000	14.6%	20.4%	9.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inkisi	2000	71.4%	94.8%	26.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao (ville)	2000	24.8%	74.2%	0.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luilu	2000	9.4%	13.9%	6.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bagata	2000	12.6%	17.1%	8.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kailo	2000	11.4%	17.0%	7.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo	2000	10.7%	15.2%	6.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda (ville)	2000	1.4%	2.3%	0.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukolela	2000	11.6%	19.5%	6.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula	2000	9.5%	17.1%	4.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu (ville)	2000	13.0%	39.9%	2.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pweto	2000	9.5%	13.2%	6.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Libenge	2000	8.9%	12.9%	5.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ubundu	2000	11.9%	16.1%	8.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo	2000	9.8%	14.1%	6.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kambove	2000	11.0%	17.2%	6.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi (ville)	2000	2.2%	6.1%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba (ville)	2000	3.3%	5.5%	1.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende	2000	7.8%	11.6%	4.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mitwaba	2000	10.5%	14.3%	7.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu	2000	18.5%	29.9%	8.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba (ville)	2000	14.3%	32.4%	4.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalehe	2000	5.2%	10.1%	2.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boma	2000	11.4%	17.4%	7.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yahuma	2000	15.9%	22.0%	10.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Poko	2000	9.1%	13.7%	6.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubudi	2000	9.9%	15.8%	6.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Faradje	2000	9.7%	15.1%	5.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi	2000	11.0%	17.7%	6.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira (ville)	2000	3.0%	4.4%	2.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamituga	2000	4.7%	11.2%	1.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshimbulu	2000	2.7%	4.3%	1.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba	2000	12.0%	16.3%	7.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Budjala	2000	11.0%	16.1%	7.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Fizi	2000	7.5%	11.1%	4.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira	2000	9.2%	19.1%	3.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ango	2000	13.9%	20.0%	9.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masisi	2000	5.1%	11.2%	2.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi	2000	12.1%	18.3%	8.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja (ville)	2000	2.0%	3.1%	1.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko	2000	14.8%	21.1%	9.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru (ville)	2000	3.3%	10.0%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingbokolo	2000	10.6%	51.7%	0.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luiza	2000	11.6%	17.4%	7.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dekese	2000	14.7%	20.5%	9.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kole	2017	26.2%	33.7%	19.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba	2017	20.5%	27.0%	14.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djolu	2017	23.9%	34.5%	17.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Businga	2017	22.9%	28.8%	16.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lomela	2017	29.1%	34.0%	24.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena (ville)	2017	4.2%	7.4%	2.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina	2017	18.3%	22.2%	14.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dilolo	2017	20.4%	26.5%	14.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao	2017	25.0%	32.0%	19.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyiragongo	2017	7.6%	19.6%	2.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo (ville)	2017	10.7%	18.6%	4.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aba	2017	22.6%	60.9%	2.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Baraka	2017	17.9%	25.2%	11.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bunia	2017	20.5%	29.9%	11.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idjwi	2017	12.2%	34.4%	2.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu (ville)	2017	23.6%	64.5%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukalaba	2017	12.8%	24.9%	6.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bongandanga	2017	23.9%	29.5%	18.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mangai	2017	5.6%	12.6%	1.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mongwalu	2017	22.6%	51.6%	5.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi (ville)	2017	14.8%	41.3%	1.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo (ville)	2017	15.1%	32.3%	5.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gemena	2017	17.8%	24.4%	12.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda (ville)	2017	16.5%	53.4%	0.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbuji-Mayi	2017	12.8%	14.4%	11.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moanda	2017	20.9%	32.4%	12.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasaji	2017	19.0%	59.5%	1.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kutu	2017	24.0%	30.7%	18.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwenga	2017	17.0%	25.3%	10.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa (ville)	2017	29.0%	48.5%	11.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kimvula	2017	16.4%	26.0%	9.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Malemba-Nkulu	2017	20.2%	28.5%	14.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba	2017	14.9%	22.4%	9.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bokungu	2017	20.6%	28.1%	14.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Banalia	2017	24.6%	32.8%	16.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Opala	2017	28.5%	36.4%	21.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo (ville)	2017	19.7%	37.9%	7.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kindu	2017	11.5%	15.6%	7.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabeya-Kamwanga	2017	23.1%	36.5%	12.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kinshasa	2017	11.7%	13.0%	10.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pangi	2017	24.5%	30.4%	19.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mushie	2017	24.5%	34.6%	16.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oicha	2017	16.7%	23.8%	10.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamonia	2017	23.1%	29.0%	17.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi	2017	23.4%	33.2%	14.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Matadi	2017	23.4%	30.8%	17.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo	2017	23.8%	36.1%	13.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba (ville)	2017	10.0%	17.7%	4.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Beni	2017	8.7%	15.1%	4.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge (ville)	2017	24.6%	71.1%	1.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu (ville)	2017	10.1%	14.7%	6.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Feshi	2017	21.6%	28.1%	16.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Songololo	2017	18.8%	26.8%	11.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Monkoto	2017	21.1%	27.8%	14.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo (ville)	2017	30.5%	46.0%	18.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo (ville)	2017	21.4%	73.6%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu (ville)	2017	22.8%	74.6%	1.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mobayi-Mbongo	2017	19.6%	28.5%	12.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi	2017	26.7%	41.7%	14.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika	2017	24.2%	33.5%	15.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamiji	2017	24.2%	42.1%	8.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba	2017	25.1%	32.5%	19.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie	2017	20.7%	28.6%	14.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda	2017	17.9%	23.8%	13.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaoze	2017	21.4%	71.8%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira	2017	18.5%	31.0%	7.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luiza	2017	23.7%	30.8%	16.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi	2017	22.9%	30.8%	16.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katanda	2017	16.0%	23.1%	10.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oïcha (ville)	2017	2.2%	6.9%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Irumu	2017	22.5%	29.3%	16.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Miabi (ville)	2017	18.7%	28.2%	11.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa	2017	18.7%	24.6%	13.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luozi	2017	22.6%	34.0%	13.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabare	2017	10.0%	16.1%	6.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kahemba (ville)	2017	32.6%	56.1%	13.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalima	2017	78.1%	94.5%	57.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu (ville)	2017	37.1%	77.0%	2.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bomongo	2017	16.3%	22.9%	11.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ubundu	2017	24.0%	31.8%	17.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabalo	2017	20.3%	27.6%	14.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalemie (ville)	2017	31.8%	64.9%	5.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bena-Dibele	2017	23.6%	70.1%	1.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubefu	2017	30.3%	37.9%	22.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula (ville)	2017	10.4%	22.3%	3.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Madimba	2017	22.3%	31.0%	14.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ikela	2017	30.0%	40.6%	21.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isiro	2017	10.4%	18.0%	4.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta	2017	19.9%	27.3%	13.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ariwara	2017	15.7%	32.6%	4.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu (ville)	2017	16.5%	25.5%	9.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bandundu	2017	12.2%	23.2%	5.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Demba	2017	24.6%	33.3%	17.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Katako-Kombe	2017	29.9%	36.4%	24.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela (ville)	2017	13.5%	18.7%	9.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kazumba	2017	20.5%	27.5%	15.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamina (ville)	2017	8.4%	14.7%	3.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasenga	2017	22.6%	29.5%	17.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala (ville)	2017	15.7%	21.3%	9.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono (ville)	2017	22.0%	34.8%	12.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kolwezi	2017	29.2%	35.0%	22.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda	2017	27.4%	33.4%	21.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshela	2017	22.3%	30.5%	15.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu	2017	18.5%	26.1%	12.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kikwit	2017	5.3%	9.7%	2.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Manono	2017	24.2%	29.7%	19.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kwamouth	2017	17.4%	24.6%	11.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya	2017	16.7%	24.4%	11.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kananga	2017	15.8%	25.3%	9.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Befale	2017	17.3%	24.0%	12.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kaniama	2017	21.6%	27.8%	14.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kapanga	2017	22.1%	28.4%	16.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inongo	2017	25.1%	32.3%	17.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kailo	2017	21.9%	29.4%	15.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kipushi (ville)	2017	18.5%	32.8%	8.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Poko	2017	19.7%	27.5%	14.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walungu	2017	9.7%	17.6%	4.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu	2017	20.4%	26.5%	15.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bafwasende	2017	26.5%	35.9%	20.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Namoya	2017	30.8%	79.1%	1.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda (ville)	2017	4.0%	17.1%	0.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolobo (ville)	2017	24.5%	71.3%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dungu	2017	20.7%	27.0%	15.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Zongo	2017	28.6%	52.4%	7.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nioki	2017	27.1%	51.5%	7.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubero	2017	13.7%	18.5%	9.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo (ville)	2017	22.4%	70.6%	0.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luebo	2017	24.9%	32.0%	17.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kiri	2017	13.7%	22.6%	8.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge	2017	19.9%	25.2%	14.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sandoa	2017	19.7%	26.7%	14.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dingila	2017	20.7%	76.6%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Budjala	2017	22.9%	30.6%	16.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo	2017	24.6%	31.5%	17.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ngandajika (ville)	2017	7.5%	11.9%	4.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bambesa	2017	22.5%	31.9%	13.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Luilu	2017	19.9%	26.2%	14.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lupatapata	2017	13.9%	18.1%	10.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Wamba (ville)	2017	17.5%	49.4%	1.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bangu	2017	16.9%	63.2%	0.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masisi	2017	12.7%	24.1%	6.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko (ville)	2017	7.9%	14.5%	3.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lusambo	2017	26.1%	35.3%	17.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabambare	2017	20.2%	26.3%	14.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbanza-Ngungu	2017	20.9%	27.5%	14.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo-Lunda (ville)	2017	10.0%	16.3%	5.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Isangi	2017	22.9%	30.9%	15.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Likasi	2017	10.3%	19.8%	4.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gbadolite	2017	24.0%	32.5%	17.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Niangara	2017	14.0%	20.0%	9.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubudi	2017	19.4%	27.1%	13.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingende	2017	21.3%	28.7%	14.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja (ville)	2017	7.0%	10.9%	4.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yakoma	2017	19.9%	27.9%	13.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasongo	2017	20.2%	26.0%	14.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubumbashi	2017	13.3%	18.6%	9.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru (ville)	2017	10.5%	20.8%	5.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yahuma	2017	29.3%	37.1%	21.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kisangani	2017	13.5%	21.1%	7.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ilebo (ville)	2017	20.2%	50.1%	5.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Libenge	2017	18.4%	25.2%	13.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukama	2017	20.1%	25.7%	14.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukolela	2017	24.0%	34.5%	15.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Inkisi	2017	75.7%	94.6%	33.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Butembo	2017	5.5%	10.3%	2.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Nyunzu	2017	17.4%	22.8%	12.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bulungu	2017	18.4%	24.0%	13.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi	2017	16.8%	25.7%	10.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo	2017	18.0%	25.4%	11.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kenge (ville)	2017	4.2%	12.2%	1.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ingbokolo	2017	21.6%	71.0%	0.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende (ville)	2017	6.2%	12.0%	2.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mambasa	2017	27.1%	34.4%	20.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Walikale	2017	20.5%	26.6%	14.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Uvira (ville)	2017	9.6%	13.7%	7.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabongo	2017	21.3%	27.6%	15.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basoko	2017	27.0%	34.9%	19.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yumbi	2017	27.4%	50.3%	10.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lodja	2017	17.0%	22.2%	12.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aketi (ville)	2017	6.4%	14.2%	2.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Goma	2017	3.8%	5.6%	2.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mwene-Ditu	2017	14.2%	23.1%	7.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Sakania	2017	30.0%	43.6%	19.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Pweto	2017	19.4%	24.8%	14.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru (ville)	2017	11.6%	28.0%	2.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Fizi	2017	17.1%	22.8%	12.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mahagi (ville)	2017	20.5%	52.8%	3.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boma	2017	26.4%	35.3%	18.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dimbelenge	2017	22.4%	33.3%	14.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mitwaba	2017	20.4%	25.5%	15.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mweka	2017	28.0%	34.4%	22.0%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubutu (ville)	2017	1.9%	5.8%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rungu	2017	11.3%	17.1%	6.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Shabunda	2017	18.1%	23.9%	13.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshilenge	2017	18.3%	31.1%	9.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kibombo	2017	25.1%	32.5%	19.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dibaya-Lubwe	2017	25.2%	47.7%	6.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Boende	2017	16.6%	22.4%	11.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshimbulu	2017	9.1%	14.0%	5.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bikoro	2017	26.8%	35.0%	19.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bolomba	2017	20.3%	26.8%	15.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Yangambi	2017	17.7%	60.5%	0.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bondo	2017	20.7%	27.6%	14.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bagata	2017	24.8%	31.4%	18.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Popokabaka	2017	23.0%	32.2%	15.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bosobolo	2017	26.7%	35.7%	19.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bumba	2017	23.7%	29.9%	18.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kalehe	2017	12.2%	19.6%	5.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Oshwe	2017	24.1%	30.3%	19.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Watsa (ville)	2017	24.5%	33.9%	14.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia (ville)	2017	33.8%	49.0%	19.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Punia	2017	20.4%	26.1%	14.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kasangulu	2017	29.4%	42.6%	15.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Masi-Manimba (ville)	2017	11.3%	17.6%	6.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Tshikapa	2017	21.0%	28.1%	13.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kongolo (ville)	2017	29.1%	46.7%	14.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lisala	2017	26.2%	33.7%	19.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kabinda (ville)	2017	4.9%	7.8%	2.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Basankusu (ville)	2017	27.9%	60.2%	7.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lubao (ville)	2017	40.5%	85.3%	3.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Djugu	2017	21.9%	29.4%	14.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Makanza	2017	28.6%	40.0%	19.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kambove	2017	21.5%	29.4%	14.3%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Moba	2017	21.0%	28.1%	15.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Seke-Banza	2017	24.0%	36.2%	15.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mbandaka	2017	18.1%	29.7%	8.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Mutshatsha	2017	22.0%	28.5%	15.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Dekese	2017	28.3%	36.2%	20.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Faradje	2017	20.7%	28.7%	13.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kamituga	2017	13.6%	27.4%	4.8%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lukula	2017	20.9%	31.9%	12.1%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Rutshuru	2017	19.1%	26.7%	12.4%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Ango	2017	25.4%	36.5%	17.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Gungu	2017	20.5%	26.2%	15.6%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Bukavu	2017	12.6%	14.7%	10.9%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Buta (ville)	2017	10.2%	20.3%	3.7%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Kungu	2017	22.2%	29.5%	15.5%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Aru	2017	16.9%	24.6%	10.2%
Democratic Republic of the Congo	Idiofa	2017	21.3%	26.6%	16.9%
Eritrea	Keren	2000	69.5%	75.6%	61.7%
Eritrea	Areza	2000	85.3%	90.1%	78.2%
Eritrea	La'Elay Gash	2000	84.6%	88.0%	80.8%
Eritrea	Shemboko	2000	82.5%	85.8%	78.8%
Eritrea	Mendefera	2000	85.1%	89.7%	79.5%
Eritrea	Forto	2000	84.8%	90.0%	77.9%
Eritrea	Mansura	2000	84.7%	87.5%	81.4%
Eritrea	Logo Anseba	2000	78.9%	81.6%	75.6%
Eritrea	Serejeka	2000	49.8%	60.5%	39.1%
Eritrea	Karora	2000	67.7%	71.9%	62.1%
Eritrea	Elabered	2000	68.1%	72.5%	63.1%
Eritrea	Adi Kwala	2000	86.4%	91.3%	80.0%
Eritrea	Central So. Red-Sea	2000	35.2%	43.2%	28.6%
Eritrea	Asmara City	2000	50.1%	66.8%	28.0%
Eritrea	Adi Keyih	2000	85.7%	91.3%	79.4%
Eritrea	Dibarwa	2000	81.8%	85.7%	76.5%
Eritrea	Dahlak	2000	60.0%	80.6%	31.5%
Eritrea	Segeneyiti	2000	86.3%	91.1%	80.2%
Eritrea	Barentu	2000	82.3%	89.1%	72.4%
Eritrea	Halhal	2000	69.1%	75.6%	63.1%
Eritrea	Berikh	2000	61.5%	76.0%	39.4%
Eritrea	Foro	2000	73.1%	77.5%	68.2%
Eritrea	Akordat	2000	87.2%	91.2%	82.2%
Eritrea	Ghala Nefhi	2000	64.1%	73.4%	51.2%
Eritrea	Mogolo	2000	85.6%	89.6%	80.1%
Eritrea	Asmat	2000	73.8%	79.7%	66.1%
Eritrea	Sheib	2000	74.7%	78.6%	70.6%
Eritrea	Dghe	2000	86.8%	90.5%	81.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Eritrea	So. Southern Red-Sea	2000	26.3%	33.5%	20.1%
Eritrea	Haykota	2000	83.9%	89.2%	75.2%
Eritrea	Adi Teklezan	2000	67.2%	72.1%	61.9%
Eritrea	Omhajer	2000	83.3%	88.3%	76.2%
Eritrea	Hagaz	2000	74.1%	79.8%	68.5%
Eritrea	Habero	2000	74.2%	80.2%	65.9%
Eritrea	Afabet	2000	69.0%	73.6%	64.8%
Eritrea	Teseneye	2000	84.1%	90.2%	73.5%
Eritrea	Mitswa'e City	2000	67.8%	76.2%	58.7%
Eritrea	Senafe	2000	87.2%	91.9%	80.7%
Eritrea	Gogne	2000	84.7%	88.7%	80.0%
Eritrea	Tsorena	2000	86.7%	92.0%	79.3%
Eritrea	Kudo Bu'er	2000	87.2%	91.5%	80.3%
Eritrea	Sel'a	2000	72.7%	78.3%	66.0%
Eritrea	Gheleb	2000	66.7%	72.3%	60.4%
Eritrea	Kerke Bet	2000	80.0%	86.5%	70.7%
Eritrea	May Mine	2000	83.0%	89.4%	73.7%
Eritrea	Nakfa	2000	73.3%	79.8%	66.6%
Eritrea	Areta'	2000	38.9%	47.0%	30.1%
Eritrea	Dekemehare	2000	84.4%	87.6%	80.2%
Eritrea	Ghelaelo'	2000	69.6%	76.4%	63.0%
Eritrea	Ghida'e	2000	71.1%	76.5%	64.6%
Eritrea	Areza	2017	84.8%	89.8%	77.2%
Eritrea	Halhal	2017	68.6%	75.3%	62.1%
Eritrea	So. Southern Red-Sea	2017	26.1%	33.0%	19.9%
Eritrea	Serejeka	2017	50.2%	60.5%	40.0%
Eritrea	Mansura	2017	84.2%	87.1%	80.8%
Eritrea	Adi Teklezan	2017	66.9%	71.6%	61.8%
Eritrea	Teseneye	2017	83.8%	90.0%	73.0%
Eritrea	Areta'	2017	37.8%	45.9%	29.5%
Eritrea	Mendefera	2017	85.3%	89.7%	80.2%
Eritrea	La'Elay Gash	2017	84.3%	87.6%	80.2%
Eritrea	Forto	2017	84.4%	89.8%	77.2%
Eritrea	Asmara City	2017	49.1%	66.3%	26.8%
Eritrea	Berikh	2017	59.8%	73.5%	39.3%
Eritrea	Keren	2017	68.6%	74.8%	60.8%
Eritrea	Karora	2017	67.3%	71.6%	61.8%
Eritrea	Ghala Nefhi	2017	64.0%	72.6%	51.4%
Eritrea	Mogolo	2017	85.3%	89.3%	79.9%
Eritrea	Gogne	2017	84.4%	88.3%	79.4%
Eritrea	Akordat	2017	86.9%	91.0%	81.9%
Eritrea	Senafe	2017	87.0%	91.9%	80.1%
Eritrea	Adi Keyih	2017	85.6%	91.3%	79.4%
Eritrea	Gheleb	2017	65.6%	71.8%	58.9%
Eritrea	Barentu	2017	81.9%	88.8%	71.7%
Eritrea	Foro	2017	72.4%	77.1%	67.7%
Eritrea	Hagaz	2017	73.7%	79.4%	67.8%
Eritrea	Afabet	2017	68.9%	73.3%	64.5%
Eritrea	Segeneyiti	2017	85.7%	90.7%	79.3%
Eritrea	Elabered	2017	67.5%	71.8%	62.5%
Eritrea	Dahlak	2017	59.6%	80.5%	31.2%
Eritrea	Mitswa'e City	2017	67.1%	75.5%	58.1%
Eritrea	Dghe	2017	86.4%	90.4%	81.3%
Eritrea	Nakfa	2017	72.4%	79.1%	65.0%
Eritrea	Adi Kwala	2017	86.1%	91.0%	79.6%
Eritrea	Shemboko	2017	82.1%	85.3%	78.2%
Eritrea	Omhajer	2017	82.9%	88.2%	75.7%
Eritrea	Haykota	2017	83.7%	89.1%	74.7%
Eritrea	Asmat	2017	73.0%	78.8%	65.6%
Eritrea	Tsorena	2017	86.2%	91.8%	78.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Eritrea	Habero	2017	73.9%	80.2%	65.5%
Eritrea	Central So. Red-Sea	2017	34.1%	42.4%	27.3%
Eritrea	Dekemhare	2017	83.9%	87.2%	79.6%
Eritrea	Sheib	2017	74.2%	78.2%	70.0%
Eritrea	Kerke Bet	2017	78.6%	86.2%	68.1%
Eritrea	May Mine	2017	82.5%	89.0%	73.2%
Eritrea	Ghida'e	2017	70.4%	75.7%	63.8%
Eritrea	Sel'a	2017	72.2%	77.7%	65.5%
Eritrea	Ghelaelo'	2017	69.2%	75.9%	63.3%
Eritrea	Dibarwa	2017	81.4%	85.2%	76.2%
Eritrea	Kudo Bu'er	2017	87.0%	91.3%	80.5%
Eritrea	Logo Anseba	2017	78.4%	81.3%	74.8%
Ethiopia	Ilubabor	2000	73.2%	77.1%	68.4%
Ethiopia	Sidama	2000	66.0%	68.1%	63.7%
Ethiopia	Liben	2000	82.8%	85.4%	80.1%
Ethiopia	Hareri	2000	83.2%	83.6%	82.6%
Ethiopia	Kemashi	2000	80.5%	83.9%	76.9%
Ethiopia	Debub Mirab Shewa	2000	76.8%	80.2%	72.9%
Ethiopia	Misraqawi	2000	83.2%	85.3%	80.9%
Ethiopia	Kelem Wellega	2000	73.4%	79.7%	66.9%
Ethiopia	Asosa	2000	62.7%	64.7%	60.1%
Ethiopia	Doolo	2000	71.4%	75.3%	66.6%
Ethiopia	Yem	2000	32.0%	41.7%	24.9%
Ethiopia	Jimma	2000	67.4%	70.5%	64.2%
Ethiopia	Konso	2000	71.1%	79.3%	60.0%
Ethiopia	Guji	2000	76.6%	80.6%	72.5%
Ethiopia	Oromia	2000	74.7%	80.8%	69.3%
Ethiopia	Alle	2000	64.2%	80.1%	46.6%
Ethiopia	Burji	2000	78.4%	91.3%	60.6%
Ethiopia	Debub Gondar	2000	85.2%	87.6%	82.5%
Ethiopia	Gamo Gofa	2000	60.9%	64.7%	57.3%
Ethiopia	Wolayita	2000	61.4%	65.0%	57.5%
Ethiopia	Kembata Tembaro	2000	61.5%	64.5%	59.0%
Ethiopia	Derashe	2000	70.7%	81.6%	59.1%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 5	2000	93.1%	94.9%	90.6%
Ethiopia	Fafan	2000	82.3%	84.9%	79.0%
Ethiopia	Debubawi	2000	82.2%	83.7%	80.5%
Ethiopia	Misraq Wellega	2000	77.1%	80.9%	73.3%
Ethiopia	Horo Guduru	2000	80.6%	85.4%	75.0%
Ethiopia	Misraq Harerge	2000	84.3%	86.5%	82.3%
Ethiopia	Silti	2000	58.4%	64.7%	53.0%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 2	2000	86.4%	89.6%	83.0%
Ethiopia	Argoba	2000	94.2%	95.9%	91.3%
Ethiopia	Borena	2000	82.0%	85.3%	77.9%
Ethiopia	Arsi	2000	78.1%	80.5%	75.1%
Ethiopia	Agnuak	2000	86.4%	87.9%	84.8%
Ethiopia	Mirab Hararghe	2000	82.7%	85.5%	79.7%
Ethiopia	Dire Dawa	2000	73.5%	74.5%	72.4%
Ethiopia	Amaro	2000	73.5%	85.4%	56.2%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 1	2000	85.4%	87.8%	83.3%
Ethiopia	Metekel	2000	75.5%	77.4%	73.3%
Ethiopia	Konta	2000	64.9%	78.6%	47.9%
Ethiopia	Nuer	2000	94.2%	96.4%	91.2%
Ethiopia	Dawro	2000	58.0%	66.6%	49.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Bahir Dar Special Zone	2000	64.6%	72.6%	57.7%
Ethiopia	Jarar	2000	75.3%	79.2%	71.6%
Ethiopia	Mirab Gojjam	2000	76.9%	80.4%	73.1%
Ethiopia	Sheka	2000	56.6%	66.3%	46.8%
Ethiopia	Mirab Arsi	2000	71.8%	74.8%	68.4%
Ethiopia	Mirab Shewa	2000	74.4%	76.9%	71.8%
Ethiopia	Semien Mi'irabaw	2000	84.0%	86.6%	80.9%
Ethiopia	Bale	2000	79.5%	82.9%	75.6%
Ethiopia	Shabelle	2000	73.7%	77.2%	69.4%
Ethiopia	Basketo	2000	41.5%	59.5%	24.7%
Ethiopia	Semen Gondar	2000	84.0%	86.3%	81.8%
Ethiopia	Addis Abeba	2000	49.5%	50.3%	48.6%
Ethiopia	Mirab Welega	2000	75.0%	79.5%	70.2%
Ethiopia	Keffa	2000	68.0%	72.9%	63.2%
Ethiopia	Alaba	2000	81.1%	85.3%	74.9%
Ethiopia	Mi'irabawi	2000	88.2%	91.0%	84.6%
Ethiopia	Siti	2000	82.5%	85.1%	79.1%
Ethiopia	Wag Himra	2000	87.0%	90.0%	83.7%
Ethiopia	Korahe	2000	76.0%	78.9%	72.5%
Ethiopia	Nogob	2000	78.0%	81.9%	73.5%
Ethiopia	Bench Maji	2000	52.7%	57.6%	47.9%
Ethiopia	Misraq Gojjam	2000	81.7%	85.1%	78.0%
Ethiopia	Mehakelegnaw	2000	86.5%	88.3%	84.6%
Ethiopia	Gedeo	2000	66.2%	71.4%	60.4%
Ethiopia	Misraq Shewa	2000	67.9%	70.7%	65.0%
Ethiopia	Debub Wollo	2000	74.0%	77.0%	70.9%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2000	78.0%	81.0%	73.9%
Ethiopia	Agew Awi	2000	75.0%	81.2%	68.7%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2000	78.3%	80.9%	74.9%
Ethiopia	Majang	2000	67.3%	70.4%	64.2%
Ethiopia	Debub Omo	2000	68.7%	74.2%	63.1%
Ethiopia	Hadiya	2000	64.5%	66.9%	62.0%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 3	2000	88.8%	91.2%	86.4%
Ethiopia	Gurage	2000	65.1%	67.7%	62.1%
Ethiopia	Afder	2000	79.7%	82.1%	77.0%
Ethiopia	Semen Wello	2000	81.0%	84.4%	76.8%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 4	2000	88.4%	92.1%	84.9%
Ethiopia	Doolo	2017	32.5%	37.3%	27.8%
Ethiopia	Keffa	2017	19.8%	24.0%	16.2%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 1	2017	51.8%	55.3%	48.1%
Ethiopia	Borena	2017	35.6%	40.4%	30.7%
Ethiopia	Debub Gondar	2017	36.0%	39.7%	32.0%
Ethiopia	Debubawi	2017	30.2%	32.6%	28.1%
Ethiopia	Hareri	2017	29.5%	30.5%	28.3%
Ethiopia	Jimma	2017	15.7%	17.9%	13.5%
Ethiopia	Kembata Tembaro	2017	12.3%	13.9%	10.9%
Ethiopia	Agew Awi	2017	27.0%	34.5%	21.0%
Ethiopia	Kemashi	2017	32.7%	37.5%	28.5%
Ethiopia	Misraq Wellega	2017	23.7%	28.5%	19.8%
Ethiopia	Mirab Shewa	2017	27.3%	30.3%	24.6%
Ethiopia	Dire Dawa	2017	25.4%	26.4%	24.2%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 2	2017	59.2%	64.1%	54.7%
Ethiopia	Mirab Gojjam	2017	28.1%	31.7%	24.5%
Ethiopia	Konta	2017	21.8%	34.6%	12.6%
Ethiopia	Misraqawi	2017	33.8%	37.0%	30.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Gamo Gofa	2017	14.3%	17.3%	11.8%
Ethiopia	Ilubabor	2017	22.3%	27.5%	18.2%
Ethiopia	Yem	2017	3.7%	5.8%	2.2%
Ethiopia	Burji	2017	31.2%	49.4%	16.6%
Ethiopia	Wolayita	2017	10.2%	12.7%	8.4%
Ethiopia	Mirab Hararghe	2017	34.2%	39.6%	29.9%
Ethiopia	Basketo	2017	6.9%	15.0%	2.5%
Ethiopia	Asosa	2017	17.6%	19.5%	15.5%
Ethiopia	Sidama	2017	18.9%	20.9%	17.2%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 5	2017	65.9%	69.8%	61.5%
Ethiopia	Bahir Dar Special Zone	2017	9.8%	12.8%	7.1%
Ethiopia	Jarar	2017	35.6%	40.5%	31.1%
Ethiopia	Bale	2017	32.5%	36.6%	28.9%
Ethiopia	Horo Guduru	2017	26.2%	33.3%	20.2%
Ethiopia	Dawro	2017	12.6%	18.4%	7.7%
Ethiopia	Misraq Harerge	2017	40.1%	43.4%	37.3%
Ethiopia	Silti	2017	10.4%	13.9%	7.5%
Ethiopia	Argoba	2017	47.2%	55.6%	37.8%
Ethiopia	Mirab Arsi	2017	23.3%	26.7%	20.0%
Ethiopia	Liben	2017	42.9%	47.1%	38.7%
Ethiopia	Sheka	2017	9.4%	15.2%	5.8%
Ethiopia	Arsi	2017	29.0%	32.0%	25.7%
Ethiopia	Agnuak	2017	44.8%	47.5%	42.1%
Ethiopia	Semien Mi'irabaw	2017	41.7%	45.9%	37.4%
Ethiopia	Konso	2017	18.5%	26.5%	10.8%
Ethiopia	Semen Gondar	2017	38.5%	41.7%	35.7%
Ethiopia	Kelem Wellega	2017	23.8%	30.5%	19.1%
Ethiopia	Nogob	2017	37.3%	43.4%	31.7%
Ethiopia	Mehakelegnaw	2017	36.8%	39.8%	34.2%
Ethiopia	Addis Abeba	2017	3.0%	3.2%	2.8%
Ethiopia	Debub Omo	2017	27.4%	32.8%	23.5%
Ethiopia	Debub Mirab Shewa	2017	20.6%	24.7%	16.8%
Ethiopia	Semen Wello	2017	34.6%	39.2%	30.6%
Ethiopia	Fafan	2017	42.2%	45.8%	38.1%
Ethiopia	Bench Maji	2017	12.6%	15.5%	9.9%
Ethiopia	Korahe	2017	35.5%	39.4%	32.0%
Ethiopia	Metekel	2017	29.2%	31.9%	26.6%
Ethiopia	Shabelle	2017	33.8%	38.7%	29.5%
Ethiopia	Siti	2017	42.5%	47.3%	37.5%
Ethiopia	Debub Wollo	2017	25.2%	28.1%	22.6%
Ethiopia	Nuer	2017	69.5%	74.0%	65.0%
Ethiopia	Guji	2017	29.7%	35.3%	24.5%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2017	30.0%	33.3%	26.6%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 4	2017	59.0%	65.2%	53.4%
Ethiopia	Gurage	2017	15.5%	17.9%	13.3%
Ethiopia	Mirab Welega	2017	22.1%	26.7%	17.9%
Ethiopia	Afder	2017	43.3%	47.2%	39.1%
Ethiopia	Derashe	2017	22.9%	34.5%	13.6%
Ethiopia	Misraq Gojjam	2017	33.1%	37.1%	29.0%
Ethiopia	Hadiya	2017	17.6%	19.4%	16.0%
Ethiopia	Mi'irabawi	2017	50.6%	56.2%	45.1%
Ethiopia	Afar Zone 3	2017	57.2%	61.6%	52.7%
Ethiopia	North Shewa	2017	29.2%	33.5%	25.3%
Ethiopia	Gedeo	2017	14.6%	18.8%	10.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ethiopia	Misraq Shewa	2017	20.4%	22.8%	18.0%
Ethiopia	Wag Himra	2017	38.8%	45.1%	33.5%
Ethiopia	Majang	2017	11.3%	13.7%	9.5%
Ethiopia	Alaba	2017	24.0%	30.8%	18.0%
Ethiopia	Oromia	2017	28.3%	32.6%	24.8%
Ethiopia	Amaro	2017	22.5%	42.3%	10.5%
Ethiopia	Alle	2017	15.2%	30.0%	6.3%
Gabon	Ntem	2000	2.0%	4.3%	0.6%
Gabon	Ogoulou	2000	1.1%	2.7%	0.3%
Gabon	Haut-Ntem	2000	1.6%	3.4%	0.6%
Gabon	Tsamba Man- gotsi	2000	0.8%	2.2%	0.2%
Gabon	Ivindo	2000	0.8%	1.8%	0.3%
Gabon	Abanga- Bigné	2000	1.2%	3.0%	0.3%
Gabon	Zadié	2000	1.0%	2.2%	0.3%
Gabon	Boumi- lowetsi	2000	0.5%	1.5%	0.1%
Gabon	Komo- Mondah	2000	2.3%	4.5%	0.9%
Gabon	Lombo- Bouenguidi	2000	0.4%	1.9%	0.0%
Gabon	Basse Banio	2000	0.8%	2.4%	0.2%
Gabon	Haute-Banio	2000	0.9%	5.1%	0.0%
Gabon	Dola	2000	0.6%	2.0%	0.1%
Gabon	Okano	2000	0.8%	1.8%	0.2%
Gabon	Léboumbi- Leyou	2000	3.7%	8.3%	1.2%
Gabon	Ndougou	2000	1.4%	3.0%	0.4%
Gabon	Ndolou	2000	1.2%	3.1%	0.2%
Gabon	Lékoko	2000	1.2%	3.6%	0.1%
Gabon	Mpassa	2000	1.0%	2.8%	0.3%
Gabon	Douigny	2000	0.7%	3.3%	0.0%
Gabon	Douya Onoye	2000	0.6%	1.9%	0.1%
Gabon	Ogooué et des Lacs	2000	1.0%	2.5%	0.3%
Gabon	Mougoutsi	2000	1.2%	2.9%	0.4%
Gabon	Louetsi-Wano	2000	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Gabon	Mouloudnou	2000	0.8%	1.6%	0.3%
Gabon	Étimboué	2000	1.1%	2.4%	0.3%
Gabon	Plateaux	2000	0.9%	2.5%	0.1%
Gabon	Mvoung	2000	0.8%	2.4%	0.1%
Gabon	Lolo Bouen- guidi	2000	0.7%	1.5%	0.1%
Gabon	Bendjé	2000	1.5%	3.3%	0.5%
Gabon	Noya	2000	1.0%	3.3%	0.1%
Gabon	Léconi-Djoué	2000	0.9%	2.7%	0.1%
Gabon	Woleu	2000	0.7%	1.8%	0.1%
Gabon	Sébé-Brikolo	2000	0.9%	1.9%	0.2%
Gabon	Lopé	2000	0.5%	1.2%	0.1%
Gabon	Komo	2000	1.0%	3.1%	0.2%
Gabon	Haut-Como	2000	0.7%	2.4%	0.0%
Gabon	Lolo Bouen- guidi	2017	15.9%	23.4%	10.1%
Gabon	Ndougou	2017	22.1%	31.7%	14.1%
Gabon	Noya	2017	18.4%	31.4%	8.3%
Gabon	Okano	2017	16.2%	23.3%	11.2%
Gabon	Ntem	2017	21.8%	31.9%	12.3%
Gabon	Komo- Mondah	2017	17.7%	21.7%	14.1%
Gabon	Abanga- Bigné	2017	22.4%	32.9%	13.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Gabon	Douigny	2017	18.4%	34.5%	8.1%
Gabon	Léconi-Djoué	2017	19.3%	31.0%	10.7%
Gabon	Sébé-Brikolo	2017	18.9%	27.3%	12.9%
Gabon	Ndolou	2017	20.8%	31.9%	10.0%
Gabon	Haut-Ntem	2017	20.2%	26.6%	14.5%
Gabon	Boumi-lowetsi	2017	14.7%	24.6%	8.0%
Gabon	Lombo-Bouenguidi	2017	13.7%	24.0%	6.9%
Gabon	Ogooué et des Lacs	2017	19.3%	27.6%	12.9%
Gabon	Bendjé	2017	23.0%	31.4%	15.0%
Gabon	Mvoung	2017	17.2%	26.5%	8.4%
Gabon	Basse Banio	2017	18.9%	27.8%	11.3%
Gabon	Plateaux	2017	18.4%	27.1%	10.5%
Gabon	Léboumbi-Leyou	2017	30.3%	42.5%	17.6%
Gabon	Woleu	2017	17.0%	24.2%	10.6%
Gabon	Ogoulou	2017	18.8%	29.0%	11.8%
Gabon	Dola	2017	18.5%	30.0%	10.4%
Gabon	Tsamba Mangotsi	2017	18.9%	26.9%	11.5%
Gabon	Ivindo	2017	17.2%	23.5%	11.5%
Gabon	Haut-Como	2017	15.8%	29.9%	6.0%
Gabon	Mpassa	2017	19.4%	27.7%	12.8%
Gabon	Haute-Banio	2017	20.4%	43.0%	5.2%
Gabon	Louetsi-Wano	2017	12.6%	30.0%	2.2%
Gabon	Zadié	2017	15.9%	23.1%	10.5%
Gabon	Douya Onoye	2017	19.6%	29.5%	11.7%
Gabon	Lopé	2017	14.9%	20.8%	9.4%
Gabon	Étimboué	2017	19.8%	27.9%	13.1%
Gabon	Komo	2017	18.3%	29.4%	10.6%
Gabon	Mouloudnou	2017	18.3%	24.4%	13.4%
Gabon	Mougoutsi	2017	21.7%	30.0%	14.6%
Gabon	Lékoko	2017	20.8%	32.6%	11.5%
Ghana	Pru	2000	28.9%	40.5%	18.7%
Ghana	Accra	2000	33.4%	36.4%	30.4%
Ghana	Afram Plains	2000	31.8%	42.0%	22.8%
Ghana	Ahanta West	2000	29.2%	40.9%	19.3%
Ghana	Yendi	2000	62.6%	71.4%	53.1%
Ghana	Savelugu Nanton	2000	68.9%	80.6%	56.4%
Ghana	Nadowli	2000	71.5%	79.7%	63.0%
Ghana	Ketu	2000	37.0%	47.8%	29.2%
Ghana	Bibiani	2000	22.1%	33.6%	11.9%
Ghana	Anhwiaso Bekwai	2000	56.1%	63.2%	47.7%
Ghana	Tamale	2000	23.5%	30.8%	17.3%
Ghana	Shama	2000			
Ghana	Ahanta East	2000			
Ghana	Ga West	2000	28.1%	35.0%	22.8%
Ghana	Tano North	2000	27.3%	50.6%	10.7%
Ghana	Juabeso	2000	21.3%	33.2%	10.0%
Ghana	Birim South	2000	19.0%	29.7%	10.4%
Ghana	Suhum	2000	6.4%	12.6%	2.5%
Ghana	Kraboa Coaltar	2000			
Ghana	Talensi Nabdum	2000	86.6%	93.9%	75.7%
Ghana	Garu Tempane	2000	72.8%	85.1%	55.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Birim North	2000	18.7%	31.8%	9.2%
Ghana	Sawa-Tuna-Kalba	2000	50.3%	60.8%	38.3%
Ghana	Nkwanta	2000	47.0%	58.4%	35.1%
Ghana	West Mamprusi	2000	69.9%	78.7%	59.2%
Ghana	West Akim	2000	22.0%	29.0%	15.3%
Ghana	Dormaa	2000	18.6%	29.4%	10.2%
Ghana	Karaga	2000	69.9%	79.9%	58.5%
Ghana	Wa	2000	65.8%	73.3%	57.0%
Ghana	Aowin-Suaman	2000	24.6%	34.4%	15.2%
Ghana	Akatsi	2000	26.4%	39.0%	16.4%
Ghana	Offinso	2000	21.0%	33.2%	10.4%
Ghana	Amansie Central	2000	16.0%	27.1%	7.5%
Ghana	Assin South	2000	18.9%	32.9%	8.9%
Ghana	Lawra	2000	60.8%	67.6%	52.9%
Ghana	Fanteakwa	2000	13.2%	23.1%	5.6%
Ghana	Bia	2000	24.2%	39.3%	13.6%
Ghana	Kumasi	2000	22.3%	24.2%	20.1%
Ghana	Nanumba	2000	55.8%	66.7%	43.3%
Ghana	North Techiman	2000	17.8%	27.7%	9.0%
Ghana	Asante Akim South	2000	23.6%	38.8%	9.9%
Ghana	Abura-Asebu-Kwamankese	2000	25.5%	35.5%	15.6%
Ghana	Sissala West	2000	74.8%	83.4%	64.6%
Ghana	Bawku West	2000	81.1%	88.6%	72.9%
Ghana	Sene	2000	26.3%	33.6%	18.5%
Ghana	Hohoe	2000	21.1%	29.3%	14.2%
Ghana	Krachi East	2000	36.9%	53.4%	23.3%
Ghana	Akwapim North	2000	19.6%	29.5%	11.9%
Ghana	Agona	2000	18.9%	30.6%	11.1%
Ghana	Dangbe East	2000	25.7%	34.8%	17.4%
Ghana	Ajumako-Enyan-Esiam	2000	17.9%	26.9%	9.4%
Ghana	Sekyere West	2000	22.8%	34.2%	12.3%
Ghana	Saboba Chereponi	2000	64.9%	75.7%	53.5%
Ghana	Bole	2000	32.8%	44.4%	22.2%
Ghana	Kintampo South	2000	40.1%	54.4%	26.9%
Ghana	Sefwi Wiawso	2000	23.6%	35.0%	14.8%
Ghana	Bawku Municipal	2000	68.2%	75.0%	59.6%
Ghana	East Gonja	2000	50.5%	58.4%	43.3%
Ghana	Bosomtwe-Kwanwoma	2000	15.6%	23.1%	10.1%
Ghana	Atwima Mponua	2000	20.1%	31.3%	10.1%
Ghana	Sekyere East	2000	26.5%	35.7%	18.6%
Ghana	East Akim	2000	12.0%	26.3%	3.7%
Ghana	Tain	2000	36.9%	45.9%	26.4%
Ghana	Ejura Sekyedumase	2000	36.2%	54.3%	19.4%
Ghana	Manya Krobo	2000	9.4%	21.2%	2.5%
Ghana	Kadjebi	2000	26.9%	42.0%	13.8%
Ghana	Obuasi Municipal	2000	33.1%	59.8%	11.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Wa East	2000	69.3%	83.0%	55.2%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano North	2000	22.9%	36.6%	10.0%
Ghana	West Gonja	2000	54.6%	63.3%	45.9%
Ghana	Wa West	2000	57.7%	73.4%	39.8%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi West	2000	25.1%	38.0%	15.0%
Ghana	Builsa	2000	78.7%	87.3%	68.0%
Ghana	Jirapa Lambussie	2000	77.6%	84.6%	69.5%
Ghana	Asikuma Odoben Brakwa	2000	18.7%	33.9%	7.8%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano South	2000	22.1%	36.1%	10.4%
Ghana	Gomoa	2000	29.7%	42.2%	20.0%
Ghana	Kintampo North	2000	38.2%	48.6%	27.7%
Ghana	Sissala East	2000	80.4%	87.9%	70.8%
Ghana	Kwahu West	2000	13.3%	24.5%	6.9%
Ghana	Berekum	2000	21.1%	31.9%	11.2%
Ghana	Adansi South	2000	26.7%	42.9%	13.9%
Ghana	Kwabre	2000	13.4%	21.1%	8.8%
Ghana	Mpohor	2000	19.4%	29.7%	11.0%
Ghana	Wassa East	2000	53.7%	68.1%	33.9%
Ghana	Keta	2000	25.6%	33.3%	17.7%
Ghana	Ho	2000	28.1%	40.6%	18.1%
Ghana	Asuogyaman	2000	28.1%	40.6%	18.1%
Ghana	Dangbe West	2000	64.1%	78.3%	46.4%
Ghana	North Tongu	2000	23.1%	34.5%	14.0%
Ghana	Tano South	2000	19.8%	42.6%	6.0%
Ghana	Gushiegu	2000	71.5%	81.0%	62.5%
Ghana	Akwapim South	2000	22.7%	38.8%	10.2%
Ghana	Komenda-Edina-Eguafo-Abirem	2000	44.7%	55.2%	33.2%
Ghana	Asunafo South	2000	17.8%	29.9%	8.3%
Ghana	South Tongu	2000	31.4%	46.5%	14.7%
Ghana	Adansi North	2000	15.6%	23.0%	9.6%
Ghana	Upper Denkyira	2000	28.1%	41.3%	15.7%
Ghana	Amansie West	2000	23.6%	36.7%	12.7%
Ghana	Atiwa	2000	13.6%	29.9%	3.8%
Ghana	New Juaben	2000	14.5%	28.0%	8.1%
Ghana	Awutu Efutu Senya	2000	21.1%	27.5%	13.9%
Ghana	Afigya Sekyere	2000	13.6%	25.2%	5.9%
Ghana	Kwabibirem	2000	14.3%	24.7%	6.1%
Ghana	Atwima	2000	14.5%	21.6%	9.4%
Ghana	Central Gonja	2000	46.0%	55.4%	38.4%
Ghana	Asunafo North	2000	22.7%	34.2%	12.5%
Ghana	Kassena Nankana	2000	73.8%	80.2%	67.3%
Ghana	Ejisu-Juabeng	2000	15.5%	24.2%	9.1%
Ghana	Sunyani	2000	18.3%	27.1%	10.1%
Ghana	Kwahu South	2000	21.2%	35.9%	10.6%
Ghana	Krachi	2000	37.7%	54.5%	20.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Asante Akim North	2000	24.3%	38.9%	13.3%
Ghana	Jasikan	2000	26.4%	39.1%	15.2%
Ghana	Bongo	2000	93.5%	97.3%	86.5%
Ghana	Lower Denkyira	2000	24.9%	37.9%	12.9%
Ghana	Jomoro	2000	32.5%	41.4%	24.3%
Ghana	Amansie East	2000	19.4%	35.3%	8.6%
Ghana	Tolon-Kumbungu	2000	68.2%	80.8%	54.4%
Ghana	East Mamprusi	2000	71.5%	84.2%	55.4%
Ghana	Jaman North	2000	30.0%	48.2%	13.8%
Ghana	Tema	2000	41.0%	48.7%	33.2%
Ghana	Ga East	2000	21.0%	27.3%	14.7%
Ghana	Zabzugu Tatale	2000	62.5%	75.7%	46.3%
Ghana	Jaman South	2000	16.7%	33.6%	5.6%
Ghana	South Dayi	2000	29.3%	53.3%	11.0%
Ghana	Wassa West	2000	19.6%	27.8%	12.4%
Ghana	Asutifi	2000	25.3%	40.0%	13.3%
Ghana	Assin North	2000	18.1%	28.3%	9.7%
Ghana	Atebubu-Amantin	2000	26.3%	37.8%	16.7%
Ghana	Adaklu Anyigbe	2000	21.5%	34.2%	10.2%
Ghana	Cape Coast	2000	42.8%	50.0%	32.8%
Ghana	Nkoranza	2000	26.0%	37.6%	15.2%
Ghana	Bunkpurugu Yunyoo	2000	78.9%	86.8%	69.1%
Ghana	Yilo Krobo	2000	8.0%	14.6%	3.6%
Ghana	Mfantsiman	2000	20.4%	28.9%	14.9%
Ghana	Kpandu	2000	21.8%	42.1%	6.9%
Ghana	Bolgatanga	2000	84.8%	89.3%	79.7%
Ghana	Nanumba South	2000	55.8%	68.0%	45.2%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi East	2000	21.4%	35.0%	11.0%
Ghana	Nzema East	2000	26.2%	36.8%	16.5%
Ghana	Shama Ahanta East	2017	14.8%	18.6%	11.3%
Ghana	Kumasi	2017	18.5%	19.6%	17.4%
Ghana	Tano South	2017	26.3%	48.2%	11.2%
Ghana	West Gonja	2017	64.6%	71.8%	56.2%
Ghana	Offinso	2017	29.3%	41.3%	18.5%
Ghana	Accra	2017	21.3%	22.8%	20.0%
Ghana	Kwahu West	2017	22.0%	36.9%	10.5%
Ghana	Wa	2017	63.0%	70.4%	54.6%
Ghana	Adansi South	2017	30.5%	43.4%	18.1%
Ghana	Asikuma Odoben Brakwa	2017	29.2%	45.0%	15.6%
Ghana	Ketu	2017	36.7%	45.3%	29.0%
Ghana	Pru	2017	39.0%	51.8%	26.4%
Ghana	Obuasi Municipal	2017	33.1%	56.3%	12.1%
Ghana	Nkwanta	2017	55.7%	65.2%	45.3%
Ghana	Ajumako-Enyan-Esiam	2017	20.5%	29.3%	12.9%
Ghana	North Tongu	2017	31.1%	42.8%	20.6%
Ghana	Kintampo South	2017	50.5%	64.2%	37.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Agona	2017	23.6%	34.1%	16.5%
Ghana	Tano North	2017	36.9%	60.7%	16.6%
Ghana	Afram Plains	2017	43.9%	54.7%	34.1%
Ghana	Yendi	2017	65.6%	74.7%	57.0%
Ghana	Juabeso	2017	31.3%	44.8%	19.7%
Ghana	East Mamprusi	2017	74.8%	85.5%	58.4%
Ghana	Bawku Municipal	2017	73.6%	79.8%	66.9%
Ghana	Nadowli	2017	74.9%	81.9%	67.1%
Ghana	Kintampo North	2017	48.6%	58.1%	38.2%
Ghana	Birim North	2017	32.2%	47.3%	21.1%
Ghana	Zabzugu Tatale	2017	66.8%	78.5%	53.0%
Ghana	Wa West	2017	62.9%	77.1%	46.8%
Ghana	Dormaa	2017	24.5%	35.2%	15.9%
Ghana	Krachi East	2017	46.3%	63.2%	32.4%
Ghana	Sunyani	2017	23.8%	32.9%	14.8%
Ghana	New Juaben	2017	24.5%	38.3%	14.2%
Ghana	Asante Akim North	2017	34.9%	49.3%	22.2%
Ghana	Asunafo South	2017	25.5%	39.8%	14.2%
Ghana	Asuogyaman	2017	26.6%	39.4%	15.8%
Ghana	Bosomtwe-Kwanwoma	2017	16.2%	22.0%	11.6%
Ghana	Techiman	2017	24.1%	33.0%	14.6%
Ghana	Kassena Nankana	2017	75.3%	81.0%	69.0%
Ghana	Manya Krobo	2017	17.5%	30.0%	7.4%
Ghana	East Gonja	2017	59.0%	65.8%	52.6%
Ghana	Dangbe West	2017	64.6%	78.3%	47.5%
Ghana	Builsa	2017	80.1%	87.8%	70.9%
Ghana	Akatsi	2017	41.4%	53.0%	31.9%
Ghana	Jirapa Lambussie	2017	73.2%	79.4%	66.2%
Ghana	Assin South	2017	23.9%	36.4%	14.3%
Ghana	Sawa-Tunakalba	2017	60.0%	70.7%	49.2%
Ghana	Lawra	2017	58.8%	63.9%	53.0%
Ghana	Akwapim North	2017	21.6%	30.7%	14.3%
Ghana	Birim South	2017	30.2%	39.8%	21.3%
Ghana	Ho	2017	33.8%	41.9%	24.5%
Ghana	Ahanta West	2017	22.5%	29.9%	16.0%
Ghana	Jaman North	2017	37.0%	55.2%	20.5%
Ghana	Asante Akim South	2017	34.4%	51.3%	20.7%
Ghana	Wa East	2017	73.7%	83.7%	62.1%
Ghana	Savelugu Nanton	2017	73.5%	83.6%	60.5%
Ghana	Nanumba North	2017	61.3%	72.8%	48.2%
Ghana	Sekyere West	2017	31.2%	44.2%	19.5%
Ghana	Kpandu	2017	36.9%	58.3%	19.1%
Ghana	Asunafo North	2017	31.3%	43.6%	19.0%
Ghana	Atiwa	2017	20.8%	38.7%	8.5%
Ghana	Nanumba South	2017	61.6%	72.4%	51.6%
Ghana	Bawku West	2017	83.9%	89.5%	76.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Bibiani	2017	25.9%	36.5%	14.8%
	Anhwiaso				
	Bekwai				
Ghana	Komenda-Edina-Eguafo-Abirem	2017	36.1%	44.3%	27.6%
Ghana	Fanteakwa	2017	18.6%	29.4%	9.4%
Ghana	Karaga	2017	71.0%	80.1%	58.7%
Ghana	Kadjebi	2017	37.0%	52.3%	23.9%
Ghana	Saboba Chereponi	2017	70.0%	80.7%	57.9%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano South	2017	29.5%	44.4%	15.8%
Ghana	Talensi Nabdadam	2017	87.6%	94.1%	78.6%
Ghana	Keta	2017	55.7%	70.5%	35.5%
Ghana	Berekum	2017	23.8%	34.9%	13.5%
Ghana	Ahafo Ano North	2017	28.5%	42.5%	13.8%
Ghana	Sekyere East	2017	35.9%	44.6%	27.6%
Ghana	Central Gonja	2017	56.5%	64.7%	48.7%
Ghana	Bole	2017	43.1%	54.0%	34.1%
Ghana	Tolon-Kumbungu	2017	72.2%	82.8%	60.1%
Ghana	Dangbe East	2017	30.7%	41.1%	19.2%
Ghana	Abura-Asebu-Kwamankese	2017	26.3%	35.4%	19.6%
Ghana	Tamale	2017	51.2%	55.7%	45.6%
Ghana	Upper Denkyira	2017	38.1%	52.4%	24.7%
Ghana	Tain	2017	45.2%	53.5%	35.9%
Ghana	West Mamprusi	2017	71.8%	79.6%	64.4%
Ghana	Sissala East	2017	80.2%	87.7%	70.9%
Ghana	Garu Tempane	2017	74.1%	86.8%	55.3%
Ghana	Asutifi	2017	32.8%	44.3%	21.5%
Ghana	West Akim	2017	32.4%	41.7%	23.0%
Ghana	Atebubu-Amantin	2017	34.4%	46.3%	24.7%
Ghana	Amansie Central	2017	21.1%	30.5%	12.7%
Ghana	Krachi	2017	49.2%	62.9%	32.2%
Ghana	East Akim	2017	20.3%	34.1%	9.2%
Ghana	Atwima Mponua	2017	28.4%	42.7%	16.5%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi West	2017	32.1%	45.0%	21.4%
Ghana	Adaklu Anyigbe	2017	33.1%	46.3%	21.9%
Ghana	Wasa Amenfi East	2017	30.0%	42.9%	17.6%
Ghana	Bolgatanga	2017	78.8%	82.8%	75.2%
Ghana	Jomoro	2017	28.8%	38.1%	19.8%
Ghana	Kwahu South	2017	32.6%	47.5%	19.0%
Ghana	Assin North	2017	23.0%	34.6%	13.3%
Ghana	Amansie East	2017	25.3%	40.9%	12.9%
Ghana	Ejisu-Juabeng	2017	20.5%	30.2%	13.3%
Ghana	Jasikan	2017	37.6%	50.6%	24.5%
Ghana	Adansi North	2017	15.0%	21.8%	9.6%
Ghana	South Tongu	2017	37.6%	52.4%	21.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Ghana	Amansie West	2017	32.0%	45.7%	19.7%
Ghana	Akwapim South	2017	28.1%	41.6%	16.5%
Ghana	Ejura Sekyedumase	2017	46.7%	63.2%	28.9%
Ghana	Atwima	2017	19.7%	24.9%	15.1%
Ghana	Bunkpurugu Yunyoo	2017	80.4%	87.7%	70.3%
Ghana	Nkoranza	2017	34.5%	47.5%	24.6%
Ghana	Lower Denkyira	2017	29.5%	42.3%	18.1%
Ghana	Ga West	2017	23.7%	29.8%	18.5%
Ghana	Gushiegu	2017	72.2%	81.1%	61.3%
Ghana	Wassa West	2017	25.3%	34.6%	16.6%
Ghana	Hohoe	2017	26.2%	34.4%	18.8%
Ghana	Sefwi Wiawso	2017	31.1%	42.3%	21.2%
Ghana	Yilo Krobo	2017	19.9%	29.7%	13.0%
Ghana	Mpohor	2017	26.1%	36.7%	17.7%
Ghana	Wassa East				
Ghana	Afigya Sekyere	2017	23.4%	37.4%	12.6%
Ghana	Awutu Efutu Senya	2017	22.2%	28.0%	16.2%
Ghana	Nzema East	2017	30.2%	42.8%	19.6%
Ghana	Suhum	2017	12.2%	19.0%	6.8%
Ghana	Kraboa Coaltar				
Ghana	Sene	2017	37.7%	45.5%	29.1%
Ghana	Bia	2017	34.6%	49.4%	21.4%
Ghana	Kwabre	2017	16.6%	20.7%	12.0%
Ghana	Sissala West	2017	77.6%	84.6%	69.0%
Ghana	Ga East	2017	25.1%	28.1%	21.8%
Ghana	Tema	2017	22.2%	28.1%	17.7%
Ghana	Kwabibirem	2017	22.2%	32.8%	12.4%
Ghana	Bongo	2017	93.0%	96.0%	87.9%
Ghana	Jaman South	2017	25.0%	42.8%	10.7%
Ghana	Gomoa	2017	28.9%	40.0%	20.2%
Ghana	South Dayi	2017	40.5%	63.5%	18.4%
Ghana	Mfantiman	2017	26.0%	33.1%	20.8%
Ghana	Cape Coast	2017	32.3%	38.6%	26.4%
Ghana	Aowin-Suaman	2017	30.0%	39.5%	20.9%
Guinea	Mamou	2000	32.5%	39.9%	26.7%
Guinea	Coyah	2000	26.2%	37.9%	15.3%
Guinea	Nzérékoré	2000	27.1%	37.4%	19.8%
Guinea	Mandiana	2000	32.7%	42.8%	25.0%
Guinea	Kindia	2000	24.9%	32.1%	18.5%
Guinea	Dubréka	2000	36.0%	47.7%	25.5%
Guinea	Mali	2000	41.8%	52.5%	33.0%
Guinea	Dabola	2000	33.4%	43.8%	24.8%
Guinea	Kérouané	2000	22.1%	30.2%	14.6%
Guinea	Faranah	2000	28.8%	35.8%	22.9%
Guinea	Pita	2000	45.7%	52.9%	37.1%
Guinea	Kankan	2000	21.1%	26.2%	16.7%
Guinea	Kissidougou	2000	32.0%	39.6%	24.2%
Guinea	Dinguiraye	2000	36.2%	44.8%	29.1%
Guinea	Beyla	2000	32.7%	42.4%	25.2%
Guinea	Macenta	2000	31.0%	41.5%	22.6%
Guinea	Lélouma	2000	34.7%	45.1%	25.6%
Guinea	Guéckédou	2000	34.8%	42.9%	26.5%
Guinea	Lola	2000	32.7%	45.1%	22.0%
Guinea	Fria	2000	44.0%	52.9%	33.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea	Dalaba	2000	36.4%	47.0%	26.1%
Guinea	Yamou	2000	25.7%	37.4%	13.9%
Guinea	Gaoual	2000	24.9%	32.5%	18.7%
Guinea	Koundara	2000	30.3%	42.2%	19.9%
Guinea	Koubia	2000	33.7%	45.7%	21.8%
Guinea	Tougué	2000	35.9%	47.5%	24.5%
Guinea	Conakry	2000	26.5%	30.1%	23.2%
Guinea	Boffa	2000	27.6%	37.8%	19.3%
Guinea	Boké	2000	23.8%	30.1%	18.2%
Guinea	Labé	2000	34.3%	41.7%	27.3%
Guinea	Siguiri	2000	34.8%	41.6%	28.8%
Guinea	Forécariah	2000	23.9%	32.9%	15.6%
Guinea	Télimélé	2000	36.9%	45.3%	28.8%
Guinea	Kouroussa	2000	35.9%	44.0%	28.4%
Guinea	Mandiana	2017	21.7%	29.0%	15.4%
Guinea	Mali	2017	27.7%	36.4%	20.7%
Guinea	Conakry	2017	2.1%	3.4%	1.4%
Guinea	Yamou	2017	15.8%	25.0%	7.2%
Guinea	Siguiri	2017	23.6%	30.5%	17.9%
Guinea	Faranah	2017	17.9%	23.3%	13.3%
Guinea	Macenta	2017	18.5%	26.6%	12.3%
Guinea	Kankan	2017	12.4%	16.0%	9.6%
Guinea	Tougué	2017	22.8%	32.4%	14.7%
Guinea	Boké	2017	10.9%	15.5%	7.5%
Guinea	Lola	2017	19.5%	29.6%	11.7%
Guinea	Coyah	2017	9.1%	16.9%	3.2%
Guinea	Koundara	2017	19.0%	28.3%	11.8%
Guinea	Dabola	2017	21.4%	29.3%	15.0%
Guinea	Lélouma	2017	18.7%	26.4%	12.8%
Guinea	Forécariah	2017	11.6%	18.6%	6.7%
Guinea	Nzérékoré	2017	12.1%	17.8%	7.7%
Guinea	Kindia	2017	12.8%	17.7%	8.3%
Guinea	Pita	2017	25.0%	30.9%	18.6%
Guinea	Dalaba	2017	23.3%	32.3%	15.2%
Guinea	Kérouané	2017	14.8%	21.4%	9.1%
Guinea	Dinguiraye	2017	25.8%	32.9%	19.9%
Guinea	Dubrêka	2017	17.8%	28.8%	10.9%
Guinea	Mamou	2017	18.3%	23.4%	13.8%
Guinea	Fria	2017	19.4%	29.9%	11.1%
Guinea	Guéckédou	2017	22.3%	28.6%	16.0%
Guinea	Boffa	2017	14.8%	21.8%	8.8%
Guinea	Kouroussa	2017	23.7%	30.5%	16.7%
Guinea	Gaoual	2017	15.4%	20.8%	10.8%
Guinea	Beyla	2017	20.6%	27.9%	14.2%
Guinea	Télimélé	2017	21.2%	27.1%	15.8%
Guinea	Koubia	2017	21.2%	30.6%	12.2%
Guinea	Labé	2017	14.9%	20.0%	10.7%
Guinea	Kissidougou	2017	17.7%	24.1%	12.6%
Guinea-	Bigene	2000	42.9%	52.6%	32.4%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Pirada	2000	38.1%	47.1%	26.5%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Farim	2000	41.9%	51.6%	32.4%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Bolama	2000	38.1%	51.9%	21.1%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Nhacra	2000	38.0%	49.5%	27.2%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Fulacunda	2000	38.7%	49.2%	28.2%
Bissau					
Guinea-	Xitole	2000	33.8%	45.1%	24.3%
Bissau					

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea-Bissau	Bissau	2000	11.4%	18.3%	5.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Caravela	2000	43.3%	57.0%	29.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Buba	2000	39.4%	47.9%	28.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Gabu	2000	31.9%	39.2%	23.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Bula	2000	42.6%	55.0%	29.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Prabis	2000	29.3%	41.7%	17.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Caio	2000	45.5%	58.6%	33.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Canghungo	2000	44.0%	54.2%	33.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Quebo	2000	35.9%	45.5%	25.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Gamamundo	2000	35.8%	46.0%	25.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Tite	2000	36.5%	48.1%	23.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Quinhamel	2000	42.4%	53.5%	30.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Bubaque	2000	43.5%	53.9%	32.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Safim	2000	20.8%	31.0%	11.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Empada	2000	40.1%	49.9%	29.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Boe	2000	31.9%	43.1%	20.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Contuboel	2000	35.2%	44.5%	24.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Sao Domingos	2000	43.4%	52.4%	31.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Piche	2000	35.5%	44.2%	27.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Bedanda	2000	41.0%	49.8%	30.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Catio	2000	42.5%	53.6%	32.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacheu	2000	44.3%	55.5%	32.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Galomaro	2000	33.0%	44.6%	21.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansoa	2000	43.0%	53.2%	32.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacine	2000	37.5%	50.4%	25.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Sonaco	2000	35.3%	44.8%	24.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Bissora	2000	44.2%	55.0%	32.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Bambadinca	2000	34.4%	48.0%	21.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansaba	2000	42.2%	51.1%	30.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Bafata	2000	33.6%	45.8%	21.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Bigene	2017	31.6%	41.3%	22.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea-Bissau	Cacheu	2017	33.2%	45.4%	21.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Bambadinca	2017	25.2%	37.7%	13.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Bolama	2017	27.9%	41.5%	13.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Tite	2017	26.1%	36.8%	15.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Pirada	2017	28.1%	36.8%	18.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Prabis	2017	22.3%	34.1%	12.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Buba	2017	28.5%	37.4%	17.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Bula	2017	32.1%	43.9%	20.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Nhacra	2017	26.7%	38.4%	17.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Bissau	2017	8.1%	13.8%	4.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Fulacunda	2017	28.4%	38.8%	18.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Catio	2017	31.4%	43.1%	21.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Xitole	2017	23.8%	34.4%	15.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Gabu	2017	23.2%	29.7%	16.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Sao Domingos	2017	33.9%	43.4%	21.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Piche	2017	25.9%	34.4%	18.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Gamamundo	2017	26.0%	35.1%	16.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Canghungo	2017	32.8%	42.9%	23.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Quinhamel	2017	32.3%	43.2%	20.8%
Guinea-Bissau	Empada	2017	29.2%	39.1%	19.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Caravela	2017	32.5%	45.5%	19.6%
Guinea-Bissau	Quebo	2017	25.0%	34.4%	16.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Cacine	2017	26.5%	39.2%	15.2%
Guinea-Bissau	Bedanda	2017	30.0%	38.7%	20.5%
Guinea-Bissau	Caio	2017	34.4%	48.1%	22.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansoa	2017	33.0%	42.1%	23.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Mansaba	2017	31.5%	41.3%	21.1%
Guinea-Bissau	Safim	2017	10.5%	18.3%	5.3%
Guinea-Bissau	Bubaque	2017	32.5%	43.5%	21.7%
Guinea-Bissau	Galomaro	2017	23.6%	34.0%	14.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Sonaco	2017	25.7%	34.8%	16.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Guinea-Bissau	Bissora	2017	34.1%	45.5%	23.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Farim	2017	31.6%	40.7%	22.9%
Guinea-Bissau	Boe	2017	22.5%	32.0%	13.4%
Guinea-Bissau	Contuboel	2017	25.3%	34.7%	16.0%
Guinea-Bissau	Bafata	2017	24.4%	35.3%	14.4%
Kenya	Wajir West	2000	49.7%	68.8%	29.7%
Kenya	Kajiado North	2000	19.9%	23.1%	16.9%
Kenya	Konoin	2000	8.5%	11.6%	6.5%
Kenya	Karachuonyo	2000	39.0%	45.9%	34.0%
Kenya	Galole	2000	53.9%	67.1%	40.6%
Kenya	Mandera West	2000	34.8%	63.0%	7.9%
Kenya	Narok South	2000	38.1%	51.4%	26.2%
Kenya	Matuga	2000	40.0%	43.9%	35.5%
Kenya	Maara	2000	9.7%	13.2%	7.1%
Kenya	Bomet Central	2000	3.7%	7.9%	1.9%
Kenya	Balambala	2000	45.5%	63.2%	23.5%
Kenya	Narok West	2000	49.5%	63.8%	34.1%
Kenya	Mandera East	2000	32.5%	50.2%	22.6%
Kenya	Kisauni	2000	22.7%	24.9%	20.5%
Kenya	Ainabkoi	2000	14.1%	20.3%	10.7%
Kenya	Rongai	2000	14.9%	20.5%	10.3%
Kenya	Wundanyi	2000	3.6%	4.8%	2.5%
Kenya	Naivasha	2000	13.7%	27.9%	6.4%
Kenya	Kipkelion East	2000	8.6%	12.3%	5.8%
Kenya	Rarieda	2000	42.2%	46.6%	38.7%
Kenya	Teso North	2000	11.1%	13.6%	8.9%
Kenya	Sigor	2000	52.9%	64.9%	40.9%
Kenya	Tinderet	2000	36.4%	46.0%	26.3%
Kenya	Rangwe	2000	56.6%	60.5%	52.1%
Kenya	Mwingi West	2000	21.6%	27.5%	14.6%
Kenya	Lagdera	2000	61.2%	75.3%	42.0%
Kenya	Central Imenti	2000	16.4%	19.5%	13.3%
Kenya	Kikuyu	2000	13.8%	17.2%	10.7%
Kenya	Webuye East	2000	11.5%	13.9%	9.6%
Kenya	Matungu	2000	14.6%	18.1%	11.8%
Kenya	unknown 5	2000	17.7%	24.4%	12.9%
Kenya	Lamu East	2000	26.4%	42.6%	10.4%
Kenya	Lamu West	2000	28.2%	32.9%	23.8%
Kenya	Kuresoi South	2000	16.5%	36.2%	5.5%
Kenya	Nyando	2000	36.5%	40.9%	31.5%
Kenya	Kitui Rural	2000	36.7%	48.3%	25.6%
Kenya	Samburu West	2000	69.3%	78.1%	58.7%
Kenya	Bondo	2000	43.7%	46.6%	37.5%
Kenya	Kandara	2000	1.7%	3.9%	0.7%
Kenya	Aldai	2000	15.7%	19.4%	12.2%
Kenya	Thika Town	2000	20.4%	23.6%	17.8%
Kenya	Matungulu	2000	8.4%	11.8%	6.1%
Kenya	Kipipiri	2000	42.9%	64.7%	19.9%
Kenya	Bonchari	2000	9.6%	11.7%	7.7%
Kenya	Mandera North	2000	47.3%	61.2%	34.8%
Kenya	Kaloleni	2000	47.3%	50.9%	44.1%
Kenya	Garissa Township	2000	32.4%	35.5%	29.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Bomachoge Chache	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.1%
Kenya	Igembe North	2000	16.6%	27.1%	5.2%
Kenya	Makueni	2000	10.3%	17.6%	6.5%
Kenya	Tigania East	2000	13.0%	18.4%	7.4%
Kenya	Kisumu East	2000	23.7%	26.0%	21.6%
Kenya	Mbeere North	2000	6.8%	10.1%	4.2%
Kenya	Navakholo	2000	4.4%	8.0%	2.0%
Kenya	Dagoretti North	2000	20.6%	21.6%	19.7%
Kenya	Kitui East	2000	31.9%	45.7%	22.0%
Kenya	Nakuru Town East	2000	19.6%	22.8%	15.7%
Kenya	Maragwa	2000	4.9%	9.8%	2.4%
Kenya	Kuria West	2000	44.7%	51.7%	38.1%
Kenya	Baringo Central	2000	17.3%	26.0%	12.0%
Kenya	Malindi	2000	45.3%	48.0%	42.1%
Kenya	West Mugi-rango	2000	3.4%	4.4%	2.5%
Kenya	Mbita	2000	66.7%	70.2%	61.7%
Kenya	Manyatta	2000	7.9%	9.5%	6.5%
Kenya	Saboti	2000	13.9%	16.1%	11.5%
Kenya	Suna West	2000	59.1%	63.6%	53.6%
Kenya	Kuresoi North	2000	9.5%	15.6%	4.3%
Kenya	Njoro	2000	6.2%	15.3%	3.8%
Kenya	Masinga	2000	31.9%	47.9%	17.7%
Kenya	North Imenti	2000	9.8%	13.0%	7.3%
Kenya	Buuri	2000	10.7%	18.1%	6.2%
Kenya	Mavoko	2000	14.8%	19.5%	11.2%
Kenya	Isiolo North	2000	51.8%	58.5%	44.6%
Kenya	Kitui West	2000	19.4%	27.2%	10.1%
Kenya	Samburu North	2000	53.7%	66.2%	41.0%
Kenya	Taveta	2000	14.3%	20.2%	9.3%
Kenya	Khwisero	2000	8.8%	10.5%	7.3%
Kenya	Baringo North	2000	35.4%	42.0%	29.4%
Kenya	Gilgil	2000	7.4%	13.8%	3.1%
Kenya	Kiambu	2000	19.5%	21.2%	17.7%
Kenya	Embakasi North	2000	20.6%	21.8%	19.5%
Kenya	Igembe South	2000	3.4%	6.6%	1.6%
Kenya	Kiharu	2000	6.7%	8.2%	5.5%
Kenya	Rongo	2000	18.9%	21.8%	16.2%
Kenya	Nandi Hills	2000	23.3%	29.1%	17.6%
Kenya	Uriri	2000	42.5%	47.6%	37.2%
Kenya	Igembe South	2000	7.5%	12.6%	4.6%
Kenya	Msambweni	2000	47.7%	53.4%	42.5%
Kenya	North Horr	2000	62.4%	71.3%	51.2%
Kenya	Narok North	2000	30.8%	42.0%	21.2%
Kenya	Kacheliba	2000	61.1%	77.5%	44.1%
Kenya	Mathioya	2000	2.1%	3.6%	1.4%
Kenya	Luanda	2000	4.5%	6.1%	3.4%
Kenya	Laikipia North	2000	26.6%	38.3%	14.0%
Kenya	Awendo	2000	42.5%	45.9%	38.9%
Kenya	Vihiga	2000	3.1%	4.4%	2.3%
Kenya	Kaiti	2000	13.0%	23.3%	7.5%
Kenya	Emgwen	2000	15.1%	19.8%	10.8%
Kenya	Starehe	2000	20.1%	21.0%	19.4%
Kenya	Kitui South	2000	41.1%	53.4%	30.7%
Kenya	Mumias West	2000	7.3%	8.6%	6.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kasipul	2000	25.8%	28.6%	22.7%
Kenya	unknown 7	2000	15.3%	40.8%	2.6%
Kenya	Lugari	2000	5.2%	6.8%	3.8%
Kenya	Nyakach	2000	36.5%	39.9%	33.5%
Kenya	Changamwe	2000	21.8%	24.3%	19.3%
Kenya	Mwala	2000	22.2%	37.9%	10.2%
Kenya	Tharaka	2000	14.1%	21.1%	7.6%
Kenya	Nyaribari	2000	8.3%	11.0%	6.0%
Kenya	Masaba				
Kenya	Juja	2000	8.3%	9.7%	7.0%
Kenya	unknown 4	2000	26.0%	40.8%	14.2%
Kenya	Narok East	2000	26.6%	46.0%	8.8%
Kenya	Soy	2000	12.7%	18.0%	9.2%
Kenya	Loima	2000	51.0%	68.3%	31.8%
Kenya	Garsen	2000	68.4%	76.6%	58.6%
Kenya	Matayos	2000	5.2%	7.5%	3.6%
Kenya	Ijara	2000	62.9%	75.0%	48.3%
Kenya	Othaya	2000	3.3%	6.2%	1.7%
Kenya	Tetu	2000	6.3%	9.4%	4.4%
Kenya	Mumias East	2000	5.6%	7.0%	4.4%
Kenya	Chuka/Igambang	2000	8.3%	15.5%	3.5%
Kenya	Baringo South	2000	65.3%	72.6%	56.0%
Kenya	Butula	2000	11.7%	15.2%	9.0%
Kenya	Kimilili	2000	3.5%	5.3%	2.3%
Kenya	Budalangi	2000	27.4%	32.0%	23.3%
Kenya	Ainamoi	2000	9.2%	11.0%	7.7%
Kenya	Ndia	2000	2.8%	4.4%	1.8%
Kenya	Embakasi	2000	19.9%	21.0%	18.6%
Kenya	West				
Kenya	Emuhaya	2000	5.1%	7.2%	3.4%
Kenya	Kilome	2000	6.3%	10.3%	4.2%
Kenya	Kiambaa	2000	13.5%	15.4%	11.7%
Kenya	Ruaraka	2000	18.6%	19.5%	17.7%
Kenya	Embakasi	2000	27.9%	30.5%	25.7%
Kenya	South				
Kenya	Emurua	2000	61.4%	69.6%	50.1%
Kenya	Dikirr				
Kenya	Kapenguria	2000	46.2%	53.1%	37.9%
Kenya	Igembe Central	2000	8.9%	14.1%	4.5%
Kenya	Chepalungu	2000	32.8%	41.8%	24.2%
Kenya	Dagoretti	2000	25.6%	27.5%	23.6%
Kenya	South				
Kenya	unknown 2	2000	64.5%	82.4%	40.4%
Kenya	Kitutu	2000	7.7%	9.5%	6.1%
Kenya	Masaba				
Kenya	Ruiru	2000	17.6%	20.1%	15.5%
Kenya	Runyenjes	2000	1.7%	4.3%	0.6%
Kenya	Gatanga	2000	10.1%	12.4%	7.7%
Kenya	Lari	2000	1.0%	2.4%	0.4%
Kenya	Kajiado East	2000	23.9%	34.3%	16.6%
Kenya	Keiyo North	2000	11.7%	15.3%	8.9%
Kenya	Lungalunga	2000	67.3%	74.9%	57.2%
Kenya	Kesses	2000	27.3%	31.7%	22.9%
Kenya	Mwea	2000	6.2%	8.2%	4.8%
Kenya	Kisumu West	2000	22.0%	24.3%	19.5%
Kenya	Nyeri Town	2000	12.3%	14.9%	10.3%
Kenya	Wajir East	2000	43.1%	51.7%	31.8%
Kenya	Turbo	2000	9.3%	11.5%	7.8%
Kenya	Kamukunji	2000	16.2%	16.9%	15.4%
Kenya	Bura	2000	49.7%	59.7%	38.2%
Kenya	Kibwezi West	2000	13.0%	24.5%	5.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Wajir South	2000	46.4%	59.3%	32.1%
Kenya	Kilifi North	2000	46.7%	52.1%	41.0%
Kenya	Bomet East	2000	32.9%	43.0%	23.9%
Kenya	Kabete	2000	20.6%	22.9%	18.5%
Kenya	Bobasi	2000	2.4%	3.9%	1.5%
Kenya	Nyaribari	2000	8.3%	10.2%	6.6%
Kenya	Chache				
Kenya	Isiolo South	2000	44.9%	60.8%	29.0%
Kenya	Gichugu	2000	9.6%	13.0%	7.6%
Kenya	Kisumu Central	2000	19.8%	21.6%	18.2%
Kenya	Kitutu	2000	11.9%	14.0%	9.9%
Kenya	Chache South				
Kenya	Kimini	2000	6.0%	7.9%	4.3%
Kenya	Pokot South	2000	37.2%	45.8%	27.9%
Kenya	Saku	2000	45.4%	56.8%	33.5%
Kenya	Endebess	2000	13.9%	25.2%	8.1%
Kenya	Githunguri	2000	4.7%	6.1%	3.6%
Kenya	Nambale	2000	10.3%	12.5%	8.6%
Kenya	Mosop	2000	6.8%	10.8%	4.7%
Kenya	Kinangop	2000	10.0%	11.5%	7.8%
Kenya	Kitutu	2000	4.9%	6.3%	3.7%
Kenya	Chache North				
Kenya	Magarini	2000	51.8%	56.8%	46.4%
Kenya	Turkana West	2000	54.4%	74.9%	31.5%
Kenya	Mathira	2000	7.2%	9.6%	5.3%
Kenya	Ol Kalou	2000	0.5%	1.9%	0.1%
Kenya	Laikipia East	2000	12.0%	16.2%	8.5%
Kenya	Alego Usonga	2000	16.4%	19.6%	14.6%
Kenya	unknown 6	2000	56.7%	83.5%	19.9%
Kenya	Tigania West	2000	5.3%	8.5%	3.4%
Kenya	South Imenti	2000	5.9%	8.7%	3.9%
Kenya	Hamisi	2000	4.0%	5.8%	2.4%
Kenya	Sigowet/Soin	2000	35.7%	39.2%	32.5%
Kenya	Subukia	2000	3.5%	6.7%	1.9%
Kenya	Ndhiwa	2000	68.5%	70.3%	66.4%
Kenya	Mwingi Central	2000	43.8%	56.2%	33.3%
Kenya	Sirisia	2000	8.8%	11.5%	6.5%
Kenya	Kabondo	2000	26.1%	29.7%	23.3%
Kenya	Kasipul				
Kenya	Eldas	2000	51.9%	77.8%	26.0%
Kenya	Mbooni	2000	11.3%	20.0%	5.6%
Kenya	Lafey	2000	44.8%	63.7%	24.4%
Kenya	Cherangany	2000	8.1%	11.9%	5.7%
Kenya	Marakwet West	2000	17.6%	21.4%	14.0%
Kenya	Yatta	2000	18.8%	24.8%	13.9%
Kenya	Chesumei	2000	22.3%	29.4%	15.2%
Kenya	Mogotio	2000	43.5%	51.7%	33.1%
Kenya	Turkana Central	2000	45.8%	58.5%	32.1%
Kenya	Fafi	2000	54.2%	81.7%	23.4%
Kenya	Ikolomani	2000	4.5%	6.2%	3.1%
Kenya	Embakasi Central	2000	20.6%	21.8%	19.2%
Kenya	Nyali	2000	16.2%	19.0%	13.5%
Kenya	Laisamis	2000	61.0%	70.5%	50.6%
Kenya	Kangundo	2000	14.3%	21.9%	8.9%
Kenya	Eldama Ravine	2000	29.9%	38.6%	21.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Lugari	2000	8.3%	11.1%	6.0%
Kenya	Ndaragwa	2000	3.5%	8.4%	1.6%
Kenya	Mandera South	2000	53.7%	66.4%	40.5%
Kenya	Mathare	2000	16.6%	17.3%	15.9%
Kenya	Kajiado Central	2000	47.9%	62.7%	34.1%
Kenya	Samburu East	2000	60.0%	73.7%	46.4%
Kenya	Kirinyaga Central	2000	5.4%	6.9%	4.4%
Kenya	Mukurweini	2000	1.4%	2.8%	0.7%
Kenya	Likoni	2000	24.5%	27.0%	22.2%
Kenya	Tarbaj	2000	54.0%	72.5%	32.1%
Kenya	Shinyalu	2000	7.3%	9.8%	5.6%
Kenya	Sabatia	2000	2.3%	3.3%	1.5%
Kenya	Suba	2000	49.1%	60.3%	39.5%
Kenya	Rabai	2000	26.1%	30.8%	21.7%
Kenya	Muhoroni	2000	43.3%	52.6%	32.1%
Kenya	Borabu	2000	7.4%	9.1%	6.3%
Kenya	Limuru	2000	3.7%	5.7%	2.2%
Kenya	Marakwet East	2000	53.1%	59.0%	46.5%
Kenya	Sotik	2000	14.3%	16.6%	12.0%
Kenya	Tongaren	2000	9.0%	10.5%	7.4%
Kenya	Kuria East	2000	42.4%	45.8%	38.5%
Kenya	Bomachoge Borabu	2000	2.0%	2.8%	1.4%
Kenya	Likuyani	2000	10.0%	12.8%	7.5%
Kenya	Kanduyi	2000	12.2%	15.0%	10.0%
Kenya	Molo	2000	5.1%	10.3%	2.2%
Kenya	Mwingi North	2000	41.6%	51.4%	33.0%
Kenya	Kibwezi East	2000	7.7%	17.6%	2.5%
Kenya	Tiaty	2000	56.7%	70.5%	43.4%
Kenya	Machakos Town	2000	11.0%	14.3%	8.1%
Kenya	Kinango	2000	61.6%	69.9%	52.4%
Kenya	Suna East	2000	35.7%	38.4%	33.1%
Kenya	Wajir North	2000	40.0%	70.1%	12.0%
Kenya	unknown 1	2000	40.8%	77.0%	2.4%
Kenya	Roysambu	2000	20.6%	22.4%	19.2%
Kenya	Lurambi	2000	9.1%	10.2%	7.9%
Kenya	Kathiani	2000	4.7%	7.1%	3.0%
Kenya	Laikipia West	2000	16.1%	20.2%	11.0%
Kenya	Bumula	2000	12.7%	15.5%	10.8%
Kenya	Ugunja	2000	14.8%	17.4%	12.8%
Kenya	North Mugirango	2000	1.9%	3.0%	1.1%
Kenya	Jomvu	2000	31.2%	33.4%	29.3%
Kenya	Ol Jorok	2000	1.8%	3.4%	0.7%
Kenya	Turkana East	2000	58.9%	73.9%	38.0%
Kenya	Gatundu South	2000	2.4%	3.7%	1.4%
Kenya	Kasarani	2000	21.0%	22.7%	19.3%
Kenya	Turkana North	2000	59.9%	74.7%	45.5%
Kenya	Kieni	2000	10.5%	14.4%	7.1%
Kenya	Makadara	2000	22.1%	23.3%	21.0%
Kenya	Kangema	2000	1.7%	3.0%	1.0%
Kenya	Mbeere South	2000	15.4%	24.3%	10.0%
Kenya	Kajiado West	2000	40.8%	50.1%	31.7%
Kenya	Kapseret	2000	20.0%	22.1%	18.4%
Kenya	Mt. Elgon	2000	5.2%	8.0%	3.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kabuchai	2000	6.4%	7.8%	5.0%
Kenya	South Mugi-rango	2000	2.3%	3.5%	1.5%
Kenya	Funyula	2000	19.6%	23.1%	15.6%
Kenya	Belgut	2000	10.4%	12.0%	9.3%
Kenya	Bahati	2000	10.0%	14.5%	6.6%
Kenya	Homa Bay Town	2000	45.7%	49.3%	39.7%
Kenya	Butere	2000	10.1%	11.8%	8.6%
Kenya	Westlands	2000	22.4%	23.4%	21.4%
Kenya	Gatundu North	2000	3.2%	4.8%	2.1%
Kenya	Canze	2000	61.3%	68.7%	52.2%
Kenya	Gem	2000	20.4%	22.4%	18.5%
Kenya	Kajiado South	2000	12.8%	21.9%	6.2%
Kenya	Keiyo South	2000	19.2%	21.6%	17.3%
Kenya	Voi	2000	19.0%	24.3%	14.0%
Kenya	Nakuru Town West	2000	20.3%	26.4%	14.2%
Kenya	Seme	2000	28.1%	33.0%	23.8%
Kenya	Kwanza	2000	16.8%	20.6%	13.1%
Kenya	Kitui Central	2000	23.8%	27.5%	20.0%
Kenya	805	2000	52.6%	61.4%	42.3%
Kenya	Banissa	2000	48.2%	75.5%	21.6%
Kenya	Daadab	2000	52.4%	81.6%	17.7%
Kenya	Mwatate	2000	15.4%	20.4%	11.2%
Kenya	Kilgoris	2000	57.5%	62.5%	52.2%
Kenya	Ugenya	2000	20.6%	24.4%	17.1%
Kenya	Teso South	2000	9.9%	14.8%	6.3%
Kenya	Nyatike	2000	63.7%	74.2%	51.9%
Kenya	Malava	2000	1.3%	2.2%	0.8%
Kenya	Embakasi East	2000	26.3%	28.3%	24.1%
Kenya	Moyale	2000	52.1%	58.1%	45.8%
Kenya	Kigumo	2000	2.9%	4.0%	2.0%
Kenya	Webute West	2000	7.1%	10.6%	5.1%
Kenya	Mvita	2000	24.4%	26.5%	22.1%
Kenya	Bureti	2000	19.4%	22.6%	16.1%
Kenya	Langata	2000	25.8%	27.3%	24.4%
Kenya	Moiben	2000	9.3%	16.2%	7.1%
Kenya	Kipkelion West	2000	18.2%	24.2%	13.4%
Kenya	Turkana South	2000	49.9%	68.8%	28.0%
Kenya	Kibra	2000	27.0%	28.9%	25.1%
Kenya	Kilifi South	2000	35.1%	38.6%	31.3%
Kenya	Baringo Central	2017	3.4%	6.3%	1.9%
Kenya	Mbeere North	2017	2.5%	5.1%	1.0%
Kenya	Butula	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%
Kenya	West Mugi-rango	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Kenya	Kitui Rural	2017	18.3%	28.6%	9.9%
Kenya	Kimilili	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Kenya	Budalangi	2017	4.2%	5.3%	3.2%
Kenya	Pokot South	2017	23.9%	30.5%	17.5%
Kenya	Navakholo	2017	0.5%	1.4%	0.2%
Kenya	Manyatta	2017	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Kenya	Dagoretti South	2017	4.7%	5.2%	4.1%
Kenya	Rangwe	2017	28.6%	33.7%	21.1%
Kenya	Kathiani	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Endebess	2017	3.2%	8.6%	1.1%
Kenya	Kuresoi South	2017	3.8%	14.9%	0.5%
Kenya	Bumula	2017	1.6%	2.1%	1.3%
Kenya	Isiolo North	2017	37.1%	45.1%	29.8%
Kenya	Nyando	2017	8.8%	11.9%	6.9%
Kenya	Matuga	2017	15.2%	18.6%	11.9%
Kenya	Marakwet West	2017	4.9%	6.5%	3.5%
Kenya	Maragwa	2017	0.7%	2.6%	0.2%
Kenya	Yatta	2017	6.4%	9.6%	3.3%
Kenya	Mwingi Central	2017	27.3%	35.7%	19.7%
Kenya	Mandera South	2017	37.2%	50.3%	26.0%
Kenya	Kasipul	2017	5.4%	6.4%	4.5%
Kenya	Igembe South	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Kenya	Nandi Hills	2017	4.3%	7.3%	2.5%
Kenya	Nakuru Town East	2017	2.4%	3.0%	1.8%
Kenya	Kitui West	2017	5.8%	12.5%	1.6%
Kenya	Banissa	2017	36.3%	66.7%	11.8%
Kenya	Narok West	2017	33.3%	46.9%	18.9%
Kenya	Masinga	2017	14.7%	28.3%	5.4%
Kenya	Samburu North	2017	44.8%	58.5%	30.7%
Kenya	Matungu	2017	2.0%	2.7%	1.5%
Kenya	Kajiado East	2017	11.5%	19.9%	5.8%
Kenya	Bomet Central	2017	0.3%	0.8%	0.2%
Kenya	Mumias West	2017	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Kenya	Dagoretti North	2017	3.1%	3.3%	2.9%
Kenya	Narok East	2017	14.9%	33.1%	4.0%
Kenya	North Imenti	2017	1.4%	2.2%	0.9%
Kenya	Kajiado North	2017	2.3%	2.8%	1.8%
Kenya	Thika Town	2017	3.1%	3.7%	2.5%
Kenya	Lugari	2017	1.1%	1.9%	0.6%
Kenya	Makueni	2017	1.8%	4.0%	0.9%
Kenya	Kaiti	2017	2.1%	6.2%	0.8%
Kenya	Uriri	2017	10.8%	13.2%	8.8%
Kenya	Tongaren	2017	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Kenya	Webuye East	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.0%
Kenya	Jomvu	2017	8.2%	9.4%	7.1%
Kenya	North Mugirango	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Kenya	Igembe South	2017	0.8%	1.6%	0.4%
Kenya	Nyaribari Chache	2017	1.2%	1.7%	0.8%
Kenya	Tharaka	2017	4.6%	8.8%	1.9%
Kenya	Kibwezi West	2017	4.1%	11.1%	1.1%
Kenya	Ruaraka	2017	4.8%	5.2%	4.4%
Kenya	Malava	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Kenya	unknown 7	2017	7.2%	23.7%	0.4%
Kenya	Garissa Township	2017	10.1%	12.8%	8.2%
Kenya	Lagdera	2017	51.7%	68.1%	30.1%
Kenya	Lamu West	2017	18.5%	22.8%	13.6%
Kenya	Kiambaa	2017	1.7%	2.0%	1.4%
Kenya	Mavoko	2017	3.7%	6.0%	2.5%
Kenya	Mwala	2017	9.5%	16.9%	2.5%
Kenya	Rabai	2017	6.9%	8.3%	5.8%
Kenya	Lugari	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Bonchari	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Kenya	Matungulu	2017	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Kenya	Webute West	2017	0.8%	1.2%	0.5%
Kenya	Gichugu	2017	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Kenya	Kanduyi	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Kenya	Gatundu	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Kenya	North				
Kenya	Molo	2017	0.6%	2.1%	0.2%
Kenya	Balambala	2017	39.2%	56.9%	14.1%
Kenya	Kilifi North	2017	23.3%	27.8%	19.4%
Kenya	Taveta	2017	5.0%	10.8%	1.8%
Kenya	Loima	2017	47.7%	66.4%	28.9%
Kenya	Embakasi	2017	4.6%	5.2%	3.9%
Kenya	South				
Kenya	Tarbaj	2017	47.9%	70.8%	26.3%
Kenya	Mwea	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Kenya	Homa Bay	2017	11.2%	13.6%	9.2%
Kenya	Town				
Kenya	Ainabkoi	2017	2.5%	4.3%	1.6%
Kenya	Funyula	2017	3.6%	4.6%	2.5%
Kenya	Kangundo	2017	1.9%	4.1%	1.0%
Kenya	Kuria West	2017	11.3%	15.3%	8.8%
Kenya	Wajir West	2017	41.9%	60.0%	22.4%
Kenya	Ruiru	2017	2.7%	3.3%	2.2%
Kenya	Aldai	2017	2.4%	3.8%	1.5%
Kenya	Gatanga	2017	3.1%	4.4%	1.6%
Kenya	Galole	2017	46.8%	59.4%	34.8%
Kenya	Bondo	2017	16.6%	18.6%	13.7%
Kenya	Central	2017	6.5%	9.2%	3.9%
Kenya	Imenti				
Kenya	Cherangany	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Kenya	Matayos	2017	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Kenya	Kaloleni	2017	23.6%	26.0%	21.1%
Kenya	Kapseret	2017	6.2%	7.5%	5.4%
Kenya	Tigania East	2017	3.4%	6.5%	1.4%
Kenya	Kitui Central	2017	6.4%	8.0%	5.1%
Kenya	Kigumo	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Kenya	Sigowet/Soin	2017	9.6%	11.1%	8.0%
Kenya	Nyali	2017	2.0%	2.5%	1.6%
Kenya	Subukia	2017	0.5%	1.0%	0.2%
Kenya	Rarieda	2017	12.6%	15.5%	9.6%
Kenya	Kitutu	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.6%
Kenya	Masaba				
Kenya	Kitutu	2017	1.3%	1.6%	1.0%
Kenya	Chache				
Kenya	South				
Kenya	Laikipia	2017	17.9%	28.8%	8.1%
Kenya	North				
Kenya	Gilgil	2017	2.8%	6.9%	0.6%
Kenya	Saku	2017	22.5%	30.6%	13.0%
Kenya	Embakasi	2017	5.1%	5.9%	4.4%
Kenya	East				
Kenya	Runyenjes	2017	0.2%	0.5%	0.0%
Kenya	Kisumu Cen-	2017	2.9%	3.3%	2.5%
Kenya	tral				
Kenya	Suna West	2017	23.3%	28.2%	19.3%
Kenya	Starehe	2017	3.8%	4.0%	3.6%
Kenya	Kitui South	2017	25.2%	35.5%	16.9%
Kenya	Naivasha	2017	3.9%	10.5%	1.1%
Kenya	Mathira	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Kenya	Seme	2017	4.9%	6.3%	3.9%
Kenya	Mumias East	2017	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Kibra	2017	4.0%	4.5%	3.5%
Kenya	Kilifi South	2017	13.0%	17.6%	7.8%
Kenya	Kiambu	2017	4.2%	4.7%	3.7%
Kenya	Wundanyi	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
Kenya	Chuka/Igambangombe	2017	2.2%	7.5%	0.3%
Kenya	Chepalungu	2017	13.8%	22.9%	7.1%
Kenya	Kipkelion West	2017	4.3%	8.3%	1.9%
Kenya	Changamwe	2017	3.8%	4.3%	3.4%
Kenya	Njoro	2017	1.0%	2.5%	0.6%
Kenya	Eldama Ravine	2017	14.0%	20.0%	8.4%
Kenya	Wajir South	2017	39.6%	54.1%	26.0%
Kenya	Sirisia	2017	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%
Kenya	Bomachoge Chache	2017	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Kenya	Ndaragwa	2017	0.7%	2.1%	0.2%
Kenya	Mosop	2017	0.9%	1.8%	0.5%
Kenya	Kuria East	2017	8.7%	10.1%	7.5%
Kenya	Turkana South	2017	42.7%	62.8%	19.2%
Kenya	Turkana East	2017	54.6%	70.4%	33.6%
Kenya	Kitui East	2017	18.5%	29.8%	10.6%
Kenya	Kipipiri	2017	33.7%	56.2%	12.3%
Kenya	Ugenya	2017	3.4%	4.3%	2.7%
Kenya	Laikipia East	2017	1.9%	3.3%	1.0%
Kenya	Kajiado South	2017	9.3%	15.4%	4.4%
Kenya	Samburu East	2017	52.5%	68.3%	38.0%
Kenya	Ugunja	2017	2.9%	3.5%	2.4%
Kenya	Kapenguria	2017	32.2%	38.6%	24.7%
Kenya	Bura	2017	31.8%	40.5%	22.3%
Kenya	Wajir North	2017	35.2%	64.8%	11.7%
Kenya	Teso South	2017	1.2%	2.3%	0.7%
Kenya	unknown 2	2017	59.8%	82.5%	33.2%
Kenya	Mukurweini	2017	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Kenya	Nyakach	2017	9.5%	11.5%	7.9%
Kenya	Buuri	2017	2.6%	7.4%	1.0%
Kenya	Bomet East	2017	6.6%	12.1%	3.7%
Kenya	Maara	2017	1.4%	2.6%	0.8%
Kenya	Igembe North	2017	7.1%	16.2%	0.6%
Kenya	Embakasi West	2017	4.2%	4.6%	3.8%
Kenya	Eldas	2017	49.0%	75.2%	19.4%
Kenya	Kinangop	2017	9.2%	10.1%	6.5%
Kenya	Rongo	2017	2.5%	3.0%	2.1%
Kenya	Emgwen	2017	1.7%	2.9%	1.1%
Kenya	Magarini	2017	38.4%	43.2%	31.7%
Kenya	Kesses	2017	10.2%	14.5%	7.8%
Kenya	Voi	2017	8.4%	12.3%	4.9%
Kenya	Fafi	2017	52.0%	81.3%	22.0%
Kenya	Mt. Elgon	2017	0.6%	1.2%	0.4%
Kenya	Ol Jorok	2017	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Kenya	Nakuru Town West	2017	2.3%	3.2%	1.5%
Kenya	Ijara	2017	53.7%	69.3%	36.4%
Kenya	Roysambu	2017	4.9%	5.5%	4.4%
Kenya	Bobasi	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Kenya	Kabuchai	2017	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Kenya	Mwingi West	2017	6.3%	10.8%	3.5%
Kenya	Kimini	2017	0.6%	1.0%	0.4%
Kenya	Kajiado Central	2017	36.3%	50.4%	22.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Luanda	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Kenya	Khwisero	2017	1.1%	1.3%	0.8%
Kenya	Turbo	2017	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%
Kenya	Likoni	2017	3.3%	3.8%	2.8%
Kenya	Konoin	2017	1.3%	2.0%	0.9%
Kenya	Baringo South	2017	47.6%	55.1%	37.2%
Kenya	Kamukunji	2017	4.1%	4.4%	3.8%
Kenya	Ikolomani	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Kenya	Igembe Central	2017	2.9%	6.9%	0.8%
Kenya	Kabete	2017	4.1%	4.8%	3.6%
Kenya	Sigor	2017	40.5%	51.3%	29.6%
Kenya	Kasarani	2017	4.4%	4.9%	3.9%
Kenya	Wajir East	2017	27.0%	36.8%	15.4%
Kenya	Kibwezi East	2017	3.0%	9.2%	0.4%
Kenya	Borabu	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.7%
Kenya	Ndhiwa	2017	32.1%	34.4%	29.7%
Kenya	Nambale	2017	1.7%	2.2%	1.2%
Kenya	Githunguri	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Kenya	unknown 4	2017	8.5%	13.2%	5.4%
Kenya	Machakos Town	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.3%
Kenya	Teso North	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.2%
Kenya	Likuyani	2017	2.4%	3.6%	1.3%
Kenya	Kieni	2017	3.4%	6.3%	1.2%
Kenya	Chesumei	2017	4.4%	9.1%	2.2%
Kenya	Vihiga	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Kenya	805	2017	31.8%	43.7%	19.1%
Kenya	Ganze	2017	49.3%	57.3%	40.0%
Kenya	Moyale	2017	33.5%	40.0%	27.2%
Kenya	Kisumu West	2017	3.6%	4.4%	3.0%
Kenya	Emurua Dikirr	2017	44.1%	52.9%	35.7%
Kenya	Mvita	2017	3.8%	4.3%	3.4%
Kenya	Mandera North	2017	35.5%	49.9%	23.2%
Kenya	Makadara	2017	4.3%	4.6%	4.0%
Kenya	Tinderet	2017	15.3%	21.7%	9.1%
Kenya	Limuru	2017	0.7%	1.4%	0.3%
Kenya	Msambweni	2017	19.8%	24.3%	15.9%
Kenya	Kacheliba	2017	51.0%	68.9%	34.8%
Kenya	Sotik	2017	2.6%	4.3%	1.6%
Kenya	North Horr	2017	58.6%	69.1%	46.7%
Kenya	Narok North	2017	17.0%	24.7%	9.9%
Kenya	South Mugi-rango	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
Kenya	Kitutu Chache North	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%
Kenya	Kisumu East	2017	3.3%	3.8%	2.9%
Kenya	Lungalunga	2017	47.4%	55.3%	38.8%
Kenya	Kuresoi North	2017	1.4%	3.3%	0.4%
Kenya	Soy	2017	1.6%	2.8%	1.1%
Kenya	Embakasi North	2017	4.7%	5.1%	4.3%
Kenya	Lafey	2017	35.3%	54.1%	17.7%
Kenya	Kandara	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Kenya	Mandera West	2017	28.0%	54.6%	4.7%
Kenya	Langata	2017	4.1%	4.6%	3.7%
Kenya	Gem	2017	3.5%	4.1%	3.0%
Kenya	Mwatate	2017	5.1%	9.5%	2.8%
Kenya	Garsen	2017	59.3%	67.7%	50.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	Malindi	2017	18.1%	20.5%	16.4%
Kenya	Tetu	2017	0.7%	1.2%	0.4%
Kenya	Kipkelion	2017	1.1%	2.4%	0.6%
	East				
Kenya	Sabatia	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Kenya	Mwingi North	2017	26.0%	34.5%	19.1%
Kenya	unknown 5	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%
Kenya	Ndia	2017	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Kenya	Kabondo	2017	7.3%	8.5%	6.2%
	Kasipul				
Kenya	Mbooni	2017	4.9%	9.0%	1.1%
Kenya	Kinango	2017	46.5%	55.9%	37.2%
Kenya	Juja	2017	0.8%	1.1%	0.7%
Kenya	Kisauni	2017	4.4%	5.2%	3.7%
Kenya	Lamu East	2017	21.6%	41.4%	4.2%
Kenya	Mogotio	2017	24.7%	34.1%	16.4%
Kenya	Daadab	2017	50.1%	82.4%	13.3%
Kenya	Awendo	2017	18.4%	22.4%	13.7%
Kenya	Keiyo South	2017	6.7%	8.3%	5.4%
Kenya	South Imenti	2017	1.7%	3.2%	0.8%
Kenya	Embakasi	2017	4.2%	4.6%	3.8%
	Central				
Kenya	Tigania West	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Kenya	Kiharu	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Kenya	Othaya	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Kenya	Isiolo South	2017	34.2%	49.6%	19.2%
Kenya	Narok South	2017	25.7%	36.5%	17.7%
Kenya	Butere	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
Kenya	Laikipia West	2017	6.8%	10.3%	3.5%
Kenya	Marakwet	2017	22.1%	27.4%	17.2%
	East				
Kenya	Ol Kalou	2017	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Kenya	Emuhaya	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Kenya	Mandera East	2017	24.2%	42.4%	16.1%
Kenya	Ainamoi	2017	1.3%	1.7%	1.0%
Kenya	Mbita	2017	33.6%	37.5%	29.3%
Kenya	Kikuyu	2017	2.3%	3.6%	1.7%
Kenya	Saboti	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Kenya	Lari	2017	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Kenya	Samburu	2017	51.9%	61.3%	39.7%
	West				
Kenya	Kilgoris	2017	41.1%	46.0%	35.8%
Kenya	Suna East	2017	9.4%	10.4%	8.4%
Kenya	Shinyalu	2017	0.7%	1.1%	0.5%
Kenya	Laisamis	2017	49.6%	61.0%	39.9%
Kenya	Kangema	2017	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Kenya	unknown 6	2017	31.5%	63.0%	8.3%
Kenya	Bomachoge	2017	0.4%	0.6%	0.2%
	Borabu				
Kenya	Mathare	2017	4.1%	4.4%	3.9%
Kenya	Mathioya	2017	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%
Kenya	Belgut	2017	3.3%	4.1%	2.6%
Kenya	Bahati	2017	1.3%	2.4%	0.7%
Kenya	Keiyo North	2017	2.6%	4.8%	1.5%
Kenya	Muhoroni	2017	18.6%	24.4%	12.5%
Kenya	Tiaty	2017	48.9%	61.2%	36.1%
Kenya	Kwanza	2017	7.6%	10.2%	5.3%
Kenya	Westlands	2017	4.2%	4.5%	3.8%
Kenya	Moiben	2017	1.4%	3.6%	1.0%
Kenya	Gatundu	2017	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
	South				
Kenya	Karachuonyo	2017	13.9%	17.3%	11.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Kenya	unknown 1	2017	35.7%	76.7%	0.8%
Kenya	Mbeere South	2017	5.4%	14.0%	1.8%
Kenya	Turkana North	2017	55.4%	70.2%	39.3%
Kenya	Hamisi	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Kenya	Lurambi	2017	0.9%	1.0%	0.7%
Kenya	Suba	2017	19.9%	25.0%	15.8%
Kenya	Rongai	2017	4.9%	8.3%	2.8%
Kenya	Nyaribari	2017	1.6%	2.2%	1.1%
Kenya	Masaba				
Kenya	Kilome	2017	1.0%	2.0%	0.6%
Kenya	Turkana Central	2017	42.0%	55.2%	29.3%
Kenya	Turkana West	2017	44.5%	66.5%	19.8%
Kenya	Baringo North	2017	11.5%	16.3%	7.1%
Kenya	Nyeri Town	2017	1.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Kenya	Kajiado West	2017	26.4%	34.8%	17.6%
Kenya	Bureti	2017	5.7%	7.6%	4.4%
Kenya	Nyatike	2017	35.6%	46.9%	27.9%
Kenya	Alego Usonga	2017	3.0%	3.5%	2.6%
Kenya	Kirinyaga Central	2017	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%
Lesotho	Qacha's Nek	2000	68.0%	71.6%	64.2%
Lesotho	Mokhotlong	2000	75.6%	79.8%	71.2%
Lesotho	Berea	2000	32.3%	34.4%	30.1%
Lesotho	Leribe	2000	35.5%	37.7%	33.1%
Lesotho	Mohale's Hoek	2000	55.4%	57.7%	53.2%
Lesotho	Quthing	2000	59.6%	62.3%	56.4%
Lesotho	Butha-Buthe	2000	42.5%	45.8%	39.2%
Lesotho	Mafeteng	2000	43.5%	46.7%	40.9%
Lesotho	Maseru	2000	24.7%	26.9%	23.0%
Lesotho	Thaba-Tseka	2000	68.3%	72.3%	64.3%
Lesotho	Butha-Buthe	2017	36.6%	39.9%	32.5%
Lesotho	Qacha's Nek	2017	60.3%	64.3%	56.8%
Lesotho	Maseru	2017	17.7%	19.5%	15.8%
Lesotho	Mokhotlong	2017	76.1%	81.0%	70.9%
Lesotho	Mohale's Hoek	2017	52.0%	54.5%	49.0%
Lesotho	Berea	2017	24.7%	27.0%	22.5%
Lesotho	Mafeteng	2017	34.5%	37.2%	31.6%
Lesotho	Leribe	2017	29.0%	31.3%	26.1%
Lesotho	Thaba-Tseka	2017	68.5%	72.7%	64.6%
Lesotho	Quthing	2017	57.6%	60.2%	54.7%
Liberia	Suakoko	2000	65.2%	77.0%	52.4%
Liberia	Firestone	2000	41.9%	48.9%	36.8%
Liberia	Upperkrucoast	2000	51.8%	67.7%	36.4%
Liberia	Webbo	2000	56.9%	68.4%	43.5%
Liberia	Pyneston	2000	66.2%	79.4%	49.6%
Liberia	Buah	2000	61.7%	85.5%	33.3%
Liberia	Gibi	2000	70.1%	86.1%	49.2%
Liberia	Stjohnriver	2000	63.9%	75.8%	48.3%
Liberia	District # 4	2000	72.7%	85.5%	59.7%
Liberia	Zota	2000	74.8%	90.9%	53.1%
Liberia	Butaw	2000	64.2%	76.8%	51.3%
Liberia	Bopolu	2000	62.3%	72.3%	51.8%
Liberia	Zoegeh	2000	76.0%	87.8%	61.9%
Liberia	Greenville	2000	54.0%	61.6%	43.2%
Liberia	Bokomu	2000	75.1%	85.4%	60.5%
Liberia	District # 3	2000	54.6%	61.8%	47.2%
Liberia	Garwula	2000	63.1%	74.7%	49.0%
Liberia	Pleebo/Sodeken	2000	32.6%	37.4%	27.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Liberia	Morweh	2000	72.4%	85.8%	58.1%
Liberia	Gbarzon	2000	66.6%	76.2%	56.3%
Liberia	Konobo	2000	63.2%	73.1%	52.4%
Liberia	Commonwealth	2000	76.1%	94.0%	45.6%
Liberia	Mecca	2000	73.5%	93.7%	48.1%
Liberia	Voinjama	2000	69.2%	79.0%	55.3%
Liberia	Panta-Kpa	2000	73.8%	87.7%	55.8%
Liberia	Mambah-Kaba	2000	63.8%	72.9%	50.0%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2000	66.1%	92.3%	29.1%
Liberia	Todee	2000	71.0%	77.1%	61.4%
Liberia	Jaedae	2000	63.8%	75.4%	48.4%
Liberia	Jaedepo				
Liberia	Belleh	2000	73.7%	83.0%	62.0%
Liberia	Juarzon	2000	65.5%	77.4%	48.4%
Liberia	Owensgrove	2000	78.1%	93.2%	56.0%
Liberia	Golakonneh	2000	67.9%	75.4%	58.8%
Liberia	Gbarma	2000	78.0%	84.6%	69.3%
Liberia	St Paul River	2000	58.1%	61.8%	52.6%
Liberia	Sasstown	2000	69.2%	86.2%	49.8%
Liberia	180606				
Liberia	Klay	2000	75.8%	82.1%	69.4%
Liberia	Sanniquelleh-Mahn	2000	66.5%	74.8%	56.3%
Liberia	Sanayea	2000	76.8%	88.5%	62.0%
Liberia	Tchien	2000	66.5%	79.1%	52.5%
Liberia	Greater Monrovia	2000	49.1%	50.7%	47.4%
Liberia	District # 2	2000	71.8%	84.3%	53.6%
Liberia	Kongba	2000	65.6%	78.7%	51.5%
Liberia	Barrobo	2000	49.0%	66.0%	30.5%
Liberia	Zorzor	2000	74.8%	84.2%	63.1%
Liberia	Kpayan	2000	44.8%	63.5%	26.9%
Liberia	Careysburg	2000	68.2%	83.0%	52.2%
Liberia	Saclepea	2000	65.4%	75.5%	52.9%
Liberia	Jorquelleh	2000	60.6%	73.8%	44.7%
Liberia	Dugbe River	2000	62.8%	86.3%	33.8%
Liberia	Porkpa	2000	58.1%	74.1%	39.9%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2000	51.1%	66.4%	33.1%
Liberia	Kolahun	2000	63.6%	74.4%	52.2%
Liberia	Tewor	2000	71.5%	88.1%	50.0%
Liberia	Salala	2000	71.1%	86.3%	52.1%
Liberia	Salayea	2000	73.0%	85.3%	59.3%
Liberia	Yarwein-Mehnsohnne	2000	67.5%	84.0%	46.4%
Liberia	Kokoyah	2000	67.7%	84.8%	45.3%
Liberia	Kakata	2000	63.9%	71.8%	54.2%
Liberia	Tappita	2000	66.2%	77.8%	53.4%
Liberia	Gbehlageh	2000	66.3%	78.4%	53.1%
Liberia	Foya	2000	72.0%	83.9%	54.4%
Liberia	District # 1	2000	73.8%	85.4%	57.9%
Liberia	Gbeapo	2000	59.1%	67.3%	50.9%
Liberia	Fuamah	2000	79.6%	90.7%	65.5%
Liberia	Timbo	2000	74.0%	82.9%	64.4%
Liberia	Greenville	2017	51.8%	59.1%	42.7%
Liberia	Careysburg	2017	58.8%	70.6%	46.0%
Liberia	Webbo	2017	53.2%	63.7%	40.8%
Liberia	Gbarzon	2017	60.2%	70.0%	49.6%
Liberia	Sanayea	2017	70.5%	83.9%	55.0%
Liberia	Tewor	2017	67.0%	83.8%	45.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Liberia	Greater Monrovia	2017	30.2%	32.1%	28.7%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2017	60.4%	85.6%	29.4%
Liberia	District # 3	2017	40.9%	47.7%	33.8%
Liberia	Bopolu	2017	56.7%	66.8%	44.7%
Liberia	Belleh	2017	65.1%	74.7%	52.8%
Liberia	Tappita	2017	57.6%	69.8%	44.9%
Liberia	Upperkrucoast	2017	47.8%	63.3%	32.5%
Liberia	Zoegeh	2017	69.5%	82.1%	56.4%
Liberia	Firestone	2017	37.0%	42.9%	33.4%
Liberia	Jorquelleh	2017	50.6%	63.6%	36.3%
Liberia	Garwula	2017	56.8%	69.2%	42.2%
Liberia	Mecca	2017	65.1%	91.9%	38.0%
Liberia	Kpayan	2017	42.6%	59.6%	25.1%
Liberia	Morweh	2017	66.2%	80.1%	51.9%
Liberia	Mambah-Kaba	2017	52.8%	64.6%	34.4%
Liberia	District # 4	2017	61.7%	77.0%	46.5%
Liberia	Konobo	2017	58.2%	67.6%	48.6%
Liberia	Todee	2017	63.9%	71.8%	54.7%
Liberia	Salala	2017	59.7%	78.9%	38.4%
Liberia	Lower Kru Coast	2017	51.8%	66.0%	34.9%
Liberia	Gibi	2017	65.5%	81.3%	47.9%
Liberia	Pyneston	2017	60.2%	72.8%	43.1%
Liberia	Suakoko	2017	58.0%	69.6%	45.6%
Liberia	Commnwealth	2017	62.4%	89.2%	29.2%
Liberia	Butaw	2017	60.8%	73.0%	48.5%
Liberia	Owensgrove	2017	74.5%	90.4%	55.2%
Liberia	Bokomu	2017	69.4%	79.6%	55.2%
Liberia	Voinjama	2017	60.4%	71.4%	46.0%
Liberia	Buah	2017	58.8%	82.0%	35.2%
Liberia	Zota	2017	68.2%	88.1%	47.7%
Liberia	Gbarma	2017	78.0%	84.6%	69.1%
Liberia	Zorzor	2017	65.6%	77.3%	52.3%
Liberia	St Paul River	2017	41.0%	45.7%	35.3%
Liberia	Sasstown	2017	65.3%	82.1%	49.3%
Liberia	180606				
Liberia	Kolahun	2017	58.4%	69.6%	46.5%
Liberia	Jaedae	2017	58.3%	71.7%	43.8%
Liberia	Jaedepo				
Liberia	Juarzon	2017	64.3%	77.3%	46.6%
Liberia	District # 2	2017	64.9%	78.2%	48.6%
Liberia	Gbeapo	2017	54.7%	63.5%	45.9%
Liberia	Tchien	2017	60.6%	71.3%	48.6%
Liberia	Kakata	2017	55.0%	62.7%	44.6%
Liberia	Fuamah	2017	71.5%	85.9%	55.6%
Liberia	Stjohnriver	2017	58.1%	72.1%	42.1%
Liberia	Gbehlageh	2017	64.9%	77.9%	52.9%
Liberia	Pleebo/Sodeken	2017	34.0%	38.5%	29.3%
Liberia	Sanniquelleh-Mahn	2017	59.5%	69.1%	49.2%
Liberia	Panta-Kpa	2017	66.3%	82.3%	47.9%
Liberia	Porkpa	2017	46.7%	61.4%	30.9%
Liberia	Saclepea	2017	56.5%	66.9%	44.9%
Liberia	Barrobo	2017	50.1%	66.7%	35.2%
Liberia	Dugbe River	2017	61.7%	83.8%	32.6%
Liberia	District # 1	2017	68.5%	81.1%	54.2%
Liberia	Klay	2017	66.5%	73.7%	60.0%
Liberia	Kokoyah	2017	61.4%	79.2%	38.9%
Liberia	Kongba	2017	60.9%	74.4%	47.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Liberia	Golakonneh	2017	61.0%	70.9%	51.2%
Liberia	Salayea	2017	63.9%	78.3%	47.8%
Liberia	Timbo	2017	63.5%	72.3%	54.1%
Liberia	Yarwein-Mehnsohnme	2017	61.4%	78.2%	43.0%
Liberia	Foya	2017	65.3%	79.1%	48.9%
Madagascar	Sava	2000	68.9%	74.0%	62.3%
Madagascar	Haute matsiatra	2000	56.7%	64.0%	49.8%
Madagascar	Androy	2000	89.5%	92.3%	85.2%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Atsinana	2000	76.8%	82.2%	69.8%
Madagascar	Ihorombe	2000	71.9%	77.8%	65.6%
Madagascar	Analamanga	2000	28.6%	32.2%	24.8%
Madagascar	Amoron'i mania	2000	57.0%	66.5%	47.4%
Madagascar	Alaotra-Mangoro	2000	55.7%	62.3%	46.9%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Andrefana	2000	81.1%	85.1%	76.3%
Madagascar	Bongolava	2000	55.7%	64.4%	47.0%
Madagascar	Diana	2000	76.2%	81.0%	71.1%
Madagascar	Analanjirifo	2000	55.5%	63.4%	48.2%
Madagascar	Anosy	2000	78.5%	83.4%	72.2%
Madagascar	Itasy	2000	33.9%	41.7%	25.6%
Madagascar	Betsiboka	2000	80.6%	84.8%	75.7%
Madagascar	Vatovavy Fitovinany	2000	76.9%	82.4%	72.1%
Madagascar	Vakinankaratra	2000	46.2%	57.1%	36.6%
Madagascar	Sofia	2000	72.7%	77.2%	68.5%
Madagascar	Melaky	2000	77.5%	81.8%	71.8%
Madagascar	Atsinanana	2000	59.7%	67.7%	50.4%
Madagascar	Boeny	2000	77.4%	82.5%	68.7%
Madagascar	Menabe	2000	75.2%	80.9%	70.3%
Madagascar	Melaky	2017	65.9%	71.0%	59.7%
Madagascar	Bongolava	2017	41.2%	48.9%	32.4%
Madagascar	Atsinanana	2017	44.6%	55.9%	34.0%
Madagascar	Diana	2017	64.4%	69.9%	57.7%
Madagascar	Androy	2017	80.7%	84.0%	76.7%
Madagascar	Betsiboka	2017	69.1%	74.1%	63.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Madagascar	Haute matsiatra	2017	39.2%	45.9%	33.3%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Atsinana	2017	65.0%	71.6%	57.9%
Madagascar	Anosy	2017	65.6%	71.3%	59.6%
Madagascar	Alaotra-Mangoro	2017	40.9%	47.2%	33.1%
Madagascar	Ihorombe	2017	58.7%	65.4%	52.4%
Madagascar	Amoron'i mania	2017	39.5%	48.0%	30.5%
Madagascar	Analamanga	2017	13.3%	15.9%	10.8%
Madagascar	Boeny	2017	67.2%	73.9%	58.3%
Madagascar	Sava	2017	55.9%	61.2%	49.8%
Madagascar	Atsimo-Andrefana	2017	66.7%	71.6%	60.8%
Madagascar	Itasy	2017	21.6%	27.6%	15.1%
Madagascar	Menabe	2017	62.1%	68.9%	55.2%
Madagascar	Analanjirifo	2017	42.7%	50.4%	36.9%
Madagascar	Vatovavy Fitovinany	2017	63.7%	70.9%	57.7%
Madagascar	Vakinankaratra	2017	33.5%	42.6%	24.9%
Madagascar	Sofia	2017	59.6%	64.2%	54.3%
Malawi	Blantyre	2000	7.3%	7.9%	6.8%
Malawi	Dedza	2000	16.3%	17.6%	14.9%
Malawi	Mulanje	2000	15.9%	17.2%	14.8%
Malawi	Chikwawa	2000	38.5%	41.3%	35.8%
Malawi	Thyolo	2000	13.9%	14.7%	13.2%
Malawi	Zomba	2000	12.7%	13.5%	11.7%
Malawi	Lilongwe	2000	13.2%	14.4%	12.1%
Malawi	Karonga	2000	17.9%	19.4%	16.6%
Malawi	Nsanje	2000	43.2%	46.2%	40.1%
Malawi	Neno	2000	18.3%	21.8%	15.3%
Malawi	Nkhata Bay	2000	10.8%	12.5%	9.1%
Malawi	Kasungu	2000	20.5%	22.2%	18.9%
Malawi	Salima	2000	26.5%	28.0%	25.1%
Malawi	Chitipa	2000	7.9%	9.9%	6.0%
Malawi	Mangochi	2000	14.1%	15.4%	12.8%
Malawi	Ntcheu	2000	13.0%	15.3%	11.3%
Malawi	Phalombe	2000	24.4%	26.4%	22.5%
Malawi	Rumphi	2000	8.6%	10.7%	7.0%
Malawi	Mchinji	2000	20.8%	22.5%	19.3%
Malawi	Mzimba	2000	15.6%	17.3%	14.0%
Malawi	Ntchisi	2000	12.3%	15.0%	10.2%
Malawi	Mwanza	2000	15.4%	17.5%	13.4%
Malawi	Chiradzulu	2000	13.8%	14.9%	12.7%
Malawi	Likoma	2000	17.0%	26.8%	9.0%
Malawi	Machinga	2000	19.1%	20.6%	17.9%
Malawi	Nkhotakota	2000	18.9%	21.2%	16.5%
Malawi	Balaka	2000	17.1%	18.9%	15.2%
Malawi	Dowa	2000	18.7%	20.6%	16.8%
Malawi	Salima	2017	16.6%	17.8%	15.4%
Malawi	Chitipa	2017	4.2%	5.3%	3.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Malawi	Nkhotakota	2017	11.1%	12.8%	9.3%
Malawi	Dowa	2017	10.7%	11.9%	9.4%
Malawi	Karonga	2017	10.6%	11.6%	9.7%
Malawi	Kasungu	2017	12.4%	13.7%	11.3%
Malawi	Mzimba	2017	9.0%	10.3%	7.9%
Malawi	Rumphi	2017	4.5%	5.8%	3.5%
Malawi	Phalombe	2017	13.3%	14.6%	11.9%
Malawi	Mchinji	2017	12.5%	13.7%	11.4%
Malawi	Likoma	2017	9.1%	15.5%	4.4%
Malawi	Balaka	2017	9.6%	10.9%	8.3%
Malawi	Machinga	2017	11.2%	12.2%	10.2%
Malawi	Ntchisi	2017	6.7%	8.4%	5.3%
Malawi	Nsanje	2017	29.9%	32.6%	27.3%
Malawi	Lilongwe	2017	6.9%	7.6%	6.2%
Malawi	Zomba	2017	6.8%	7.4%	6.2%
Malawi	Thyolo	2017	7.5%	8.0%	7.0%
Malawi	Nkhata Bay	2017	6.0%	7.0%	4.9%
Malawi	Chiradzulu	2017	7.5%	8.2%	6.7%
Malawi	Ntcheu	2017	7.1%	8.5%	6.0%
Malawi	Chikwawa	2017	26.5%	29.2%	24.0%
Malawi	Mulanje	2017	8.7%	9.6%	8.0%
Malawi	Dedza	2017	9.4%	10.5%	8.5%
Malawi	Neno	2017	10.8%	13.2%	8.6%
Malawi	Mangochi	2017	8.1%	9.1%	7.2%
Malawi	Mwanza	2017	8.4%	9.9%	7.1%
Malawi	Blantyre	2017	4.0%	4.4%	3.7%
Mali	Youwarou	2000	30.2%	40.2%	22.0%
Mali	Kangaba	2000	22.8%	30.8%	15.7%
Mali	Diéma	2000	22.0%	29.4%	15.8%
Mali	Ségou	2000	10.1%	13.4%	7.5%
Mali	Douentza	2000	32.7%	39.2%	27.2%
Mali	Bla	2000	19.6%	24.6%	14.6%
Mali	Banamba	2000	11.8%	17.4%	7.1%
Mali	Yorosso	2000	30.1%	37.6%	22.1%
Mali	Kadiolo	2000	22.1%	27.8%	16.0%
Mali	Bourem	2000	41.7%	47.4%	35.7%
Mali	Bafoulabé	2000	26.9%	32.9%	21.4%
Mali	Kolokani	2000	21.5%	27.9%	15.3%
Mali	Ménaka	2000	31.3%	38.4%	25.8%
Mali	Kati	2000	18.8%	21.9%	16.0%
Mali	Kolondiéba	2000	23.7%	30.7%	17.3%
Mali	Macina	2000	12.2%	16.5%	8.1%
Mali	Kita	2000	21.7%	26.3%	18.0%
Mali	Tin-Essako	2000	45.2%	58.8%	32.1%
Mali	Yanfolila	2000	19.1%	25.1%	14.4%
Mali	Kayes	2000	25.3%	30.5%	20.7%
Mali	Niono	2000	13.1%	16.7%	9.9%
Mali	Kéniéba	2000	27.7%	32.4%	23.0%
Mali	Tominian	2000	50.1%	57.2%	42.4%
Mali	Bandiagara	2000	47.1%	52.0%	41.9%
Mali	Mopti	2000	23.2%	27.1%	19.5%
Mali	Koulikoro	2000	16.0%	20.7%	11.3%
Mali	Abeïbara	2000	42.6%	51.3%	32.0%
Mali	Gao	2000	18.0%	21.0%	14.5%
Mali	Bamako	2000	9.5%	9.9%	9.2%
Mali	San	2000	25.9%	32.8%	19.0%
Mali	Diré	2000	29.5%	39.2%	20.7%
Mali	Sikasso	2000	20.7%	25.8%	16.8%
Mali	Tessalit	2000	40.6%	48.8%	33.7%
Mali	Barouéli	2000	8.6%	14.1%	4.1%
Mali	Koutiala	2000	26.2%	32.9%	21.5%
Mali	Nara	2000	30.5%	36.6%	24.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mali	Kidal	2000	31.7%	38.8%	24.9%
Mali	Dioïla	2000	18.0%	23.2%	13.0%
Mali	Gourma-Rharous	2000	34.9%	41.6%	28.4%
Mali	Bankass	2000	47.5%	54.5%	40.5%
Mali	Djenné	2000	30.4%	37.5%	24.7%
Mali	Koro	2000	40.5%	46.3%	35.2%
Mali	Yélimané	2000	15.2%	22.8%	8.8%
Mali	Niafunké	2000	33.9%	41.5%	26.5%
Mali	Ténenkou	2000	31.1%	38.5%	24.6%
Mali	Goundam	2000	44.6%	50.7%	37.4%
Mali	Tombouctou	2000	34.1%	39.3%	29.8%
Mali	Ansongo	2000	35.8%	43.3%	30.5%
Mali	Nioro	2000	18.3%	23.0%	12.6%
Mali	Bougouni	2000	19.7%	24.3%	14.8%
Mali	Bourem	2017	26.7%	31.5%	22.5%
Mali	Yanfolila	2017	11.0%	15.2%	7.9%
Mali	Diéma	2017	13.5%	19.4%	8.8%
Mali	Diré	2017	15.3%	21.7%	9.1%
Mali	Bla	2017	9.6%	13.4%	6.2%
Mali	Kangaba	2017	13.0%	19.3%	7.5%
Mali	Nara	2017	18.6%	23.3%	14.1%
Mali	Yorosso	2017	19.2%	25.8%	13.0%
Mali	Bougouni	2017	11.0%	14.8%	7.7%
Mali	Bandiagara	2017	38.5%	43.7%	33.4%
Mali	Bafoulabé	2017	15.3%	20.3%	11.2%
Mali	Douentza	2017	20.1%	25.0%	15.9%
Mali	Kadiolo	2017	11.6%	17.3%	7.5%
Mali	Kati	2017	6.0%	7.6%	4.6%
Mali	Ménaka	2017	18.5%	23.6%	14.1%
Mali	Youwarou	2017	16.6%	24.9%	10.2%
Mali	Banamba	2017	6.6%	10.7%	3.6%
Mali	Tin-Essako	2017	31.5%	43.7%	22.0%
Mali	Tominian	2017	35.7%	42.2%	29.0%
Mali	Kita	2017	12.2%	15.6%	9.2%
Mali	Kolondiéba	2017	13.7%	19.4%	8.8%
Mali	Ansongo	2017	20.8%	27.0%	16.3%
Mali	Niafunké	2017	20.3%	26.6%	14.9%
Mali	Ségou	2017	4.2%	6.3%	2.6%
Mali	Kayes	2017	13.9%	17.6%	10.7%
Mali	San	2017	16.0%	21.6%	10.8%
Mali	Djenné	2017	16.9%	23.0%	12.2%
Mali	Nioro	2017	10.0%	13.3%	6.2%
Mali	Barouéli	2017	4.3%	8.2%	1.4%
Mali	Koutiala	2017	14.9%	19.2%	11.5%
Mali	Yélimané	2017	9.0%	15.2%	4.4%
Mali	Koulikoro	2017	9.5%	13.2%	6.0%
Mali	Kidal	2017	20.8%	28.0%	14.8%
Mali	Dioïla	2017	9.8%	13.2%	6.4%
Mali	Mopti	2017	10.9%	13.4%	8.6%
Mali	Niono	2017	5.9%	8.5%	3.9%
Mali	Bankass	2017	32.9%	39.4%	27.1%
Mali	Sikasso	2017	9.4%	12.9%	6.9%
Mali	Koro	2017	27.1%	32.0%	22.4%
Mali	Macina	2017	6.3%	9.4%	3.3%
Mali	Kolokani	2017	12.7%	17.8%	7.7%
Mali	Kéniéba	2017	17.6%	22.8%	12.8%
Mali	Gao	2017	10.8%	13.4%	8.6%
Mali	Abeïbara	2017	29.7%	39.2%	20.7%
Mali	Bamako	2017	1.2%	1.3%	1.1%
Mali	Tombouctou	2017	23.9%	26.9%	21.2%
Mali	Ténenkou	2017	17.1%	22.0%	12.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mali	Tessalit	2017	26.2%	33.1%	20.4%
Mali	Goundam	2017	27.7%	32.9%	22.3%
Mali	Gourma-Rharous	2017	21.3%	27.0%	16.5%
Mauritania	Barkéol	2000	67.5%	77.2%	56.4%
Mauritania	Kaédi	2000	45.6%	56.6%	35.1%
Mauritania	M'Bout	2000	67.3%	76.8%	56.1%
Mauritania	Tamchakett	2000	67.8%	77.1%	58.4%
Mauritania	Amourj	2000	68.1%	75.8%	59.9%
Mauritania	Tidjikja	2000	60.1%	68.5%	51.3%
Mauritania	Boghé	2000	34.0%	45.7%	24.4%
Mauritania	Monguel	2000	76.5%	84.1%	63.9%
Mauritania	Bir Moghreïn	2000	59.9%	67.5%	51.8%
Mauritania	Boutilimit	2000	41.3%	49.1%	34.9%
Mauritania	Kobenni	2000	65.1%	73.1%	56.2%
Mauritania	M'Bagne	2000	40.2%	45.2%	34.5%
Mauritania	Ould Yengé	2000	71.9%	81.4%	62.5%
Mauritania	Akjoujt	2000	36.6%	45.0%	29.0%
Mauritania	Néma	2000	64.6%	69.9%	58.6%
Mauritania	Magta-Lahjar	2000	52.8%	63.4%	42.1%
Mauritania	Kankossa	2000	65.7%	74.1%	57.0%
Mauritania	Guérou	2000	55.5%	70.9%	40.0%
Mauritania	Tichitt	2000	69.5%	74.9%	62.9%
Mauritania	Méderdra	2000	41.4%	54.2%	30.9%
Mauritania	Timbédra	2000	70.4%	77.3%	61.8%
Mauritania	Nouakchott	2000	10.9%	11.7%	10.2%
Mauritania	Zouérate	2000	8.0%	10.9%	6.1%
Mauritania	Aleg	2000	46.3%	54.4%	38.4%
Mauritania	Atar	2000	30.4%	38.5%	22.5%
Mauritania	Ouad-Naga	2000	38.7%	53.3%	24.0%
Mauritania	Sélibaby	2000	52.8%	61.2%	43.0%
Mauritania	Nouadhibou	2000	23.9%	45.9%	8.0%
Mauritania	Chinguetti	2000	61.1%	67.2%	54.7%
Mauritania	Aoujeft	2000	53.2%	62.5%	43.4%
Mauritania	Kiffa	2000	56.7%	67.8%	45.5%
Mauritania	Rosso	2000	17.5%	30.0%	8.5%
Mauritania	R'Kiz	2000	33.1%	46.5%	21.7%
Mauritania	F'Dérik	2000	23.8%	46.9%	10.2%
Mauritania	Keur-Macène	2000	33.4%	44.4%	22.8%
Mauritania	Bababé	2000	34.2%	48.4%	21.0%
Mauritania	Moudjéria	2000	58.4%	64.6%	51.8%
Mauritania	Djiguenni	2000	74.6%	82.2%	66.0%
Mauritania	Aïoun	2000	53.4%	61.7%	46.3%
Mauritania	Ouadane	2000	50.9%	57.8%	43.8%
Mauritania	Boumdeïd	2000	62.8%	74.4%	51.0%
Mauritania	Tintane	2000	62.0%	70.6%	53.4%
Mauritania	Bassikounou	2000	56.4%	64.4%	47.5%
Mauritania	Maghama	2000	36.3%	49.0%	24.3%
Mauritania	Tidjikja	2017	49.1%	57.4%	41.0%
Mauritania	Boumdeïd	2017	49.9%	61.8%	39.0%
Mauritania	Timbédra	2017	62.4%	69.4%	54.3%
Mauritania	Néma	2017	59.5%	65.0%	53.6%
Mauritania	F'Dérik	2017	41.0%	70.6%	15.1%
Mauritania	Rosso	2017	17.9%	29.9%	10.0%
Mauritania	Boutilimit	2017	38.5%	46.0%	31.0%
Mauritania	Aleg	2017	38.4%	45.2%	31.6%
Mauritania	Aoujeft	2017	44.0%	51.7%	36.8%
Mauritania	Amourj	2017	67.0%	73.6%	59.4%
Mauritania	Maghama	2017	39.3%	50.7%	28.4%
Mauritania	Nouakchott	2017	6.2%	6.6%	5.7%
Mauritania	Sélibaby	2017	55.0%	63.2%	46.0%
Mauritania	Djiguenni	2017	66.8%	74.1%	58.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mauritania	Bassikounou	2017	51.1%	62.7%	39.4%
Mauritania	Akjoujt	2017	26.1%	32.1%	20.7%
Mauritania	Chinguetti	2017	43.9%	49.5%	38.3%
Mauritania	Guérou	2017	41.7%	58.3%	25.7%
Mauritania	Ouad-Naga	2017	31.2%	42.3%	20.9%
Mauritania	Bir Moghreïn	2017	43.6%	53.2%	35.7%
Mauritania	Méderdra	2017	31.9%	43.7%	23.1%
Mauritania	Nouadhibou	2017	17.1%	38.4%	5.1%
Mauritania	M'Bagne	2017	47.6%	51.9%	43.0%
Mauritania	Moudjéria	2017	49.2%	56.5%	41.7%
Mauritania	Aïoun	2017	42.9%	50.3%	35.5%
Mauritania	Kankossa	2017	58.7%	66.8%	51.7%
Mauritania	Atar	2017	18.7%	26.6%	12.9%
Mauritania	Magta-Lahjar	2017	41.3%	50.1%	31.6%
Mauritania	Keur-Macène	2017	29.6%	40.5%	19.9%
Mauritania	Tamchakett	2017	61.8%	71.3%	53.2%
Mauritania	Kaédi	2017	52.6%	60.8%	44.0%
Mauritania	Ould Yengé	2017	54.5%	66.1%	41.2%
Mauritania	Zouérate	2017	6.4%	15.5%	3.1%
Mauritania	Tichitt	2017	56.3%	63.1%	48.9%
Mauritania	Bababé	2017	35.2%	50.1%	21.6%
Mauritania	Kobenni	2017	66.0%	72.8%	57.8%
Mauritania	Boghé	2017	30.8%	41.0%	22.8%
Mauritania	R'Kiz	2017	31.6%	42.5%	22.0%
Mauritania	Monguel	2017	78.0%	84.8%	69.2%
Mauritania	Kiffa	2017	51.3%	61.1%	42.5%
Mauritania	M'Bout	2017	64.7%	73.5%	54.5%
Mauritania	Barkéol	2017	65.7%	73.2%	55.8%
Mauritania	Ouadane	2017	48.7%	55.2%	41.8%
Mauritania	Tintane	2017	55.0%	63.8%	46.5%
Mozambique	Massangena	2000	71.5%	81.7%	58.1%
Mozambique	Malema	2000	64.3%	71.9%	55.1%
Mozambique	Inhassoro	2000	63.2%	72.2%	52.3%
Mozambique	Magoé	2000	69.7%	75.0%	63.1%
Mozambique	Zumbu	2000	66.3%	73.4%	58.7%
Mozambique	Lichinga	2000	26.3%	32.7%	21.0%
Mozambique	Mueda	2000	57.1%	65.5%	48.1%
Mozambique	Muembe	2000	51.5%	63.2%	38.5%
Mozambique	Xai-Xai	2000	31.0%	37.2%	25.6%
Mozambique	Angoche	2000	79.3%	85.2%	71.1%
Mozambique	Inharrime	2000	49.9%	61.1%	38.8%
Mozambique	Homoine	2000	28.7%	35.6%	22.5%
Mozambique	Mocuba	2000	71.1%	76.3%	66.0%
Mozambique	Vilanculos	2000	58.0%	64.2%	51.9%
Mozambique	Lugela	2000	79.3%	84.2%	72.4%
Mozambique	Bilene	2000	45.0%	52.9%	37.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Murrupula	2000	74.6%	81.3%	66.7%
Mozambique	Pemba	2000	46.8%	52.6%	40.4%
Mozambique	Chibabava	2000	72.6%	78.9%	64.7%
Mozambique	Meconta	2000	63.1%	69.8%	55.0%
Mozambique	Nipepe	2000	66.0%	75.0%	55.9%
Mozambique	Zavala	2000	54.4%	64.9%	44.5%
Mozambique	Guro	2000	73.4%	80.0%	65.1%
Mozambique	Angónia	2000	48.2%	55.7%	41.6%
Mozambique	Cahora Bassa	2000	74.7%	79.9%	68.3%
Mozambique	Govuro	2000	69.0%	78.7%	56.8%
Mozambique	Chemba	2000	76.8%	83.3%	68.4%
Mozambique	Mabalane	2000	62.3%	71.6%	52.7%
Mozambique	Changara	2000	65.5%	70.5%	60.3%
Mozambique	Quissanga	2000	62.8%	75.1%	47.3%
Mozambique	Mandimba	2000	46.9%	57.5%	35.5%
Mozambique	Mecuburi	2000	67.0%	74.2%	59.0%
Mozambique	Magude	2000	50.0%	57.5%	40.1%
Mozambique	Muidumbe	2000	52.2%	62.1%	41.7%
Mozambique	Mandlakazi	2000	58.0%	63.7%	51.0%
Mozambique	Manica	2000	47.2%	53.3%	40.3%
Mozambique	Cidade de Matola	2000	6.7%	7.7%	5.9%
Mozambique	Macanga	2000	58.4%	65.6%	49.6%
Mozambique	Muanza	2000	77.8%	83.2%	69.7%
Mozambique	Jangamo	2000	39.0%	47.0%	30.9%
Mozambique	Matutuíne	2000	56.3%	64.8%	46.4%
Mozambique	Lago	2000	59.7%	68.0%	52.1%
Mozambique	Marrupa	2000	61.1%	67.4%	54.5%
Mozambique	Meluco	2000	69.3%	77.1%	59.0%
Mozambique	Nacaroa	2000	67.3%	75.6%	57.2%
Mozambique	Monapo	2000	60.6%	69.7%	50.4%
Mozambique	Boane	2000	15.7%	20.3%	12.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Gile	2000	76.8%	82.0%	71.7%
Mozambique	Namacurra	2000	82.9%	89.2%	73.6%
Mozambique	Ancuabe	2000	54.0%	60.0%	47.1%
Mozambique	Maringue	2000	81.7%	86.1%	75.0%
Mozambique	Barue	2000	65.0%	71.7%	58.8%
Mozambique	Nacala Velha	2000	40.8%	45.5%	35.5%
Mozambique	Cheringoma	2000	71.9%	78.7%	63.4%
Mozambique	Chifunde	2000	56.6%	64.5%	48.2%
Mozambique	Mecula	2000	67.6%	74.4%	60.8%
Mozambique	Manhiça	2000	32.5%	38.7%	27.2%
Mozambique	Macossa	2000	73.6%	79.2%	65.9%
Mozambique	Massingir	2000	58.6%	67.2%	48.7%
Mozambique	Milange	2000	71.9%	76.4%	66.6%
Mozambique	Morrumbene	2000	45.7%	54.2%	34.8%
Mozambique	Chicualacuala	2000	64.0%	70.4%	56.8%
Mozambique	Namaacha	2000	37.9%	50.2%	25.6%
Mozambique	Gorongosa	2000	73.1%	78.9%	66.9%
Mozambique	Mopeia	2000	72.6%	79.2%	62.9%
Mozambique	Macomia	2000	72.6%	79.1%	65.8%
Mozambique	Muecate	2000	69.7%	77.9%	59.8%
Mozambique	Massinga	2000	46.3%	52.1%	39.7%
Mozambique	Buzi	2000	69.8%	75.5%	62.7%
Mozambique	Machanga	2000	74.0%	81.6%	63.0%
Mozambique	N'gauma	2000	42.8%	55.6%	29.8%
Mozambique	Marromeu	2000	67.9%	74.0%	60.5%
Mozambique	Chinde	2000	81.9%	85.9%	76.3%
Mozambique	Erati	2000	66.0%	72.5%	59.8%
Mozambique	Mossuril	2000	68.1%	74.5%	60.4%
Mozambique	Namarroi	2000	80.7%	85.7%	72.3%
Mozambique	Maganja da Costa	2000	81.9%	86.6%	75.8%
Mozambique	Mecanhelas	2000	49.4%	57.0%	42.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Mabote	2000	73.3%	78.5%	67.1%
Mozambique	Nhamatanda	2000	73.2%	78.5%	66.2%
Mozambique	Memba	2000	74.4%	81.3%	65.8%
Mozambique	Inhassunge	2000	91.1%	94.6%	86.1%
Mozambique	Mecufi	2000	57.9%	73.5%	39.3%
Mozambique	Marracuene	2000	29.6%	42.5%	16.6%
Mozambique	Morrumbala	2000	77.8%	81.2%	74.1%
Mozambique	Nangade	2000	63.4%	74.2%	53.1%
Mozambique	Dondo	2000	48.8%	53.6%	44.8%
Mozambique	Panda	2000	74.8%	81.7%	65.9%
Mozambique	Majune	2000	54.8%	64.0%	45.7%
Mozambique	Mocimboa da Praia	2000	56.5%	64.3%	48.7%
Mozambique	Chigubo	2000	70.1%	75.2%	64.3%
Mozambique	Balama	2000	49.4%	59.1%	39.7%
Mozambique	Palma	2000	74.0%	80.6%	64.6%
Mozambique	Ribaue	2000	67.5%	74.0%	60.2%
Mozambique	Moma	2000	82.4%	86.7%	77.2%
Mozambique	Nicoadala	2000	71.8%	76.3%	66.4%
Mozambique	Chibuto	2000	34.9%	41.4%	28.7%
Mozambique	Nampula	2000	51.6%	55.4%	46.9%
Mozambique	Sanga	2000	47.5%	57.6%	37.2%
Mozambique	Tsangano	2000	56.4%	65.5%	46.7%
Mozambique	Ile	2000	78.2%	84.2%	70.9%
Mozambique	Maúa	2000	59.3%	67.7%	49.9%
Mozambique	Maputo	2000	9.4%	10.4%	8.4%
Mozambique	Pebane	2000	80.9%	85.7%	74.2%
Mozambique	Namuno	2000	60.8%	69.0%	52.1%
Mozambique	Chókwè	2000	34.8%	40.1%	30.0%
Mozambique	Montepuez	2000	54.4%	60.0%	48.1%
Mozambique	Maravia	2000	65.1%	70.7%	58.6%
Mozambique	Mutarara	2000	76.1%	80.8%	70.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Gurue	2000	76.5%	81.8%	70.9%
Mozambique	Mogovolas	2000	75.3%	80.3%	69.5%
Mozambique	Sussundenga	2000	64.3%	71.4%	55.7%
Mozambique	Machaze	2000	74.7%	79.5%	69.5%
Mozambique	Alto Molocue	2000	74.9%	80.8%	68.0%
Mozambique	Chiuta	2000	67.4%	74.1%	59.8%
Mozambique	Funhalouro	2000	76.6%	81.2%	71.3%
Mozambique	Cuamba	2000	43.9%	52.4%	34.2%
Mozambique	Lalaua	2000	67.1%	75.5%	57.8%
Mozambique	Mongincual	2000	72.9%	79.3%	64.4%
Mozambique	Moatize	2000	58.4%	65.1%	50.9%
Mozambique	Moamba	2000	51.0%	61.2%	41.1%
Mozambique	Gondola	2000	52.7%	57.3%	48.5%
Mozambique	Tambara	2000	76.2%	83.8%	67.1%
Mozambique	Chiúre	2000	57.5%	64.6%	50.2%
Mozambique	Mossurize	2000	60.2%	66.7%	53.6%
Mozambique	Metarica	2000	60.1%	69.7%	50.2%
Mozambique	Guijá	2000	57.7%	64.4%	50.3%
Mozambique	Mavago	2000	62.1%	70.0%	53.4%
Mozambique	Caia	2000	75.5%	80.8%	68.6%
Mozambique	Lugela	2017	56.6%	64.6%	47.4%
Mozambique	Magoe	2017	42.0%	50.1%	35.4%
Mozambique	Massangena	2017	45.3%	60.0%	30.9%
Mozambique	Muecate	2017	43.4%	54.0%	32.2%
Mozambique	Machanga	2017	49.0%	60.0%	37.9%
Mozambique	Malema	2017	36.4%	46.2%	26.1%
Mozambique	Mandlakazi	2017	27.9%	34.8%	21.5%
Mozambique	Barue	2017	36.7%	45.0%	29.8%
Mozambique	Xai-Xai	2017	13.3%	17.4%	9.4%
Mozambique	Buzi	2017	44.0%	51.4%	36.1%
Mozambique	Alto Molocue	2017	48.8%	57.9%	40.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Mabalane	2017	34.6%	45.0%	24.7%
Mozambique	Jangamo	2017	17.8%	24.1%	11.5%
Mozambique	Morrumbene	2017	21.0%	28.1%	14.3%
Mozambique	Cheringoma	2017	47.0%	56.2%	37.3%
Mozambique	Pebane	2017	59.1%	66.9%	50.0%
Mozambique	Majune	2017	28.2%	36.2%	20.8%
Mozambique	Mecuburi	2017	40.2%	49.4%	31.1%
Mozambique	Chibabava	2017	46.5%	54.2%	37.6%
Mozambique	Balama	2017	22.8%	31.7%	15.4%
Mozambique	Magude	2017	26.1%	34.8%	17.7%
Mozambique	Funhalouro	2017	52.0%	59.2%	44.9%
Mozambique	Lago	2017	34.0%	42.6%	25.3%
Mozambique	N'gauma	2017	17.4%	27.4%	9.4%
Mozambique	Mocuba	2017	44.5%	52.0%	36.5%
Mozambique	Matutuíne	2017	29.6%	38.5%	21.0%
Mozambique	Homoine	2017	11.0%	16.1%	7.1%
Mozambique	Lichinga	2017	9.1%	13.2%	6.6%
Mozambique	Maputo	2017	2.5%	3.0%	2.0%
Mozambique	Vilanculos	2017	31.1%	38.1%	25.0%
Mozambique	Inhassunge	2017	78.8%	86.5%	69.4%
Mozambique	Gondola	2017	29.4%	34.7%	25.3%
Mozambique	Maúa	2017	32.3%	41.3%	23.7%
Mozambique	Govuro	2017	41.7%	54.9%	29.0%
Mozambique	Panda	2017	49.8%	60.0%	38.3%
Mozambique	Manica	2017	25.4%	33.0%	18.9%
Mozambique	Murupula	2017	49.4%	58.5%	39.6%
Mozambique	Memba	2017	46.7%	55.8%	35.9%
Mozambique	Nicoadala	2017	41.8%	47.8%	35.7%
Mozambique	Macossa	2017	49.4%	59.2%	39.0%
Mozambique	Gorongosa	2017	45.3%	52.9%	38.5%
Mozambique	Bilene	2017	18.2%	24.1%	12.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Cahora Bassa	2017	51.2%	60.4%	41.0%
Mozambique	Gile	2017	53.2%	61.4%	45.6%
Mozambique	Namacurra	2017	60.7%	73.1%	45.7%
Mozambique	Maringue	2017	59.7%	66.9%	49.9%
Mozambique	Pemba	2017	17.6%	23.7%	11.4%
Mozambique	Morrumbala	2017	54.8%	60.3%	49.2%
Mozambique	Chifunde	2017	28.3%	35.4%	22.0%
Mozambique	Chiúre	2017	29.7%	36.5%	23.4%
Mozambique	Tambara	2017	52.5%	63.4%	40.7%
Mozambique	Meconta	2017	34.9%	43.0%	27.5%
Mozambique	Namarroi	2017	58.8%	67.9%	47.3%
Mozambique	Muanza	2017	52.9%	61.9%	43.3%
Mozambique	Cuamba	2017	19.2%	27.5%	12.0%
Mozambique	Marromeu	2017	39.4%	47.1%	31.9%
Mozambique	Moma	2017	62.6%	69.3%	55.5%
Mozambique	Nipepe	2017	39.1%	49.9%	28.4%
Mozambique	Chókwè	2017	12.7%	16.8%	9.6%
Mozambique	Namuno	2017	30.9%	39.1%	22.9%
Mozambique	Inharrime	2017	23.4%	32.8%	14.5%
Mozambique	Mopeia	2017	47.4%	58.8%	36.8%
Mozambique	Inhassoro	2017	34.8%	45.0%	24.9%
Mozambique	Chigubo	2017	44.3%	51.6%	36.3%
Mozambique	Mogovolas	2017	49.1%	56.9%	41.3%
Mozambique	Erati	2017	37.5%	45.7%	30.3%
Mozambique	Meluco	2017	42.9%	54.0%	30.8%
Mozambique	Mossuril	2017	39.1%	47.6%	30.9%
Mozambique	Zavala	2017	26.2%	36.1%	16.8%
Mozambique	Zumbu	2017	36.8%	46.1%	28.7%
Mozambique	Metarica	2017	32.3%	43.3%	23.1%
Mozambique	Machaze	2017	49.7%	56.6%	43.7%
Mozambique	Chemba	2017	53.3%	63.5%	41.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Chinde	2017	61.9%	68.0%	54.5%
Mozambique	Mecufi	2017	26.7%	43.3%	13.0%
Mozambique	Mecula	2017	41.4%	49.7%	34.0%
Mozambique	Macanga	2017	29.4%	38.7%	22.5%
Mozambique	Massingir	2017	29.5%	38.4%	21.2%
Mozambique	Angoche	2017	58.6%	67.7%	46.1%
Mozambique	Mabote	2017	48.0%	55.0%	40.4%
Mozambique	Marracuene	2017	9.8%	21.4%	3.0%
Mozambique	Nhamatanda	2017	44.9%	53.3%	35.5%
Mozambique	Mecanhelas	2017	24.0%	31.5%	17.7%
Mozambique	Massinga	2017	22.7%	28.7%	17.8%
Mozambique	Lalaua	2017	40.3%	51.6%	30.3%
Mozambique	Chicualacuala	2017	36.2%	42.1%	29.9%
Mozambique	Nangade	2017	34.0%	46.4%	23.8%
Mozambique	Nacaroa	2017	39.0%	49.9%	28.7%
Mozambique	Quissanga	2017	34.4%	49.2%	19.8%
Mozambique	Changara	2017	38.0%	43.5%	32.0%
Mozambique	Dondo	2017	26.1%	29.6%	22.5%
Mozambique	Chibuto	2017	16.0%	20.5%	11.7%
Mozambique	Manhiça	2017	11.3%	15.2%	8.2%
Mozambique	Gurue	2017	50.8%	59.2%	42.6%
Mozambique	Muidumbe	2017	26.8%	36.5%	17.0%
Mozambique	Ile	2017	54.7%	62.9%	46.3%
Mozambique	Milange	2017	46.7%	52.5%	40.6%
Mozambique	Namaacha	2017	14.5%	23.4%	6.7%
Mozambique	Maganja da Costa	2017	61.8%	69.4%	53.4%
Mozambique	Boane	2017	3.6%	5.4%	2.3%
Mozambique	Sanga	2017	24.5%	32.3%	18.2%
Mozambique	Ancuabe	2017	25.9%	31.5%	20.3%
Mozambique	Sussundenga	2017	36.5%	44.6%	28.4%
Mozambique	Marrupa	2017	33.5%	40.8%	26.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Mozambique	Mandimba	2017	19.4%	28.3%	12.0%
Mozambique	Mutarara	2017	49.6%	56.4%	41.9%
Mozambique	Macomia	2017	46.8%	56.6%	38.0%
Mozambique	Mossurize	2017	33.7%	41.6%	26.5%
Mozambique	Ribaue	2017	39.7%	48.0%	31.6%
Mozambique	Montepuez	2017	29.3%	35.1%	23.8%
Mozambique	Palma	2017	50.1%	60.9%	37.3%
Mozambique	Mocimboa da Praia	2017	28.7%	37.3%	21.6%
Mozambique	Monapo	2017	30.5%	40.6%	21.6%
Mozambique	Nampula	2017	28.1%	33.0%	23.4%
Mozambique	Angónia	2017	19.2%	25.8%	14.3%
Mozambique	Tsanganano	2017	28.4%	37.1%	18.8%
Mozambique	Nacala Velha	2017	19.0%	24.0%	14.1%
Mozambique	Moatize	2017	32.5%	40.4%	25.7%
Mozambique	Mongincual	2017	47.9%	59.5%	35.5%
Mozambique	Cidade de Matola	2017	1.0%	1.2%	0.8%
Mozambique	Moamba	2017	24.0%	32.8%	16.8%
Mozambique	Caia	2017	47.7%	55.4%	40.0%
Mozambique	Guijá	2017	31.9%	38.3%	24.9%
Mozambique	Mueda	2017	32.3%	41.5%	23.4%
Mozambique	Guro	2017	47.5%	56.9%	38.6%
Mozambique	Maravia	2017	37.5%	44.4%	30.6%
Mozambique	Chiuta	2017	40.6%	48.6%	32.9%
Mozambique	Mavago	2017	35.8%	45.6%	26.6%
Mozambique	Muembe	2017	24.4%	35.2%	15.8%
Namibia	Mashare	2000	74.0%	82.5%	60.5%
Namibia	Outjo	2000	43.5%	47.1%	39.9%
Namibia	Onayena	2000	66.3%	70.9%	60.1%
Namibia	Tsumeb	2000	27.9%	41.3%	19.7%
Namibia	Ndiyona	2000	80.2%	85.9%	72.6%
Namibia	Grootfontein	2000	54.6%	59.7%	49.6%
Namibia	Eenhana	2000	66.9%	75.5%	57.1%
Namibia	Epupa	2000	66.5%	71.7%	60.5%
Namibia	Wanaheda	2000	51.2%	53.9%	48.6%
Namibia	Omundaungilo	2000	71.6%	84.8%	56.0%
Namibia	Gobabis	2000	77.2%	79.0%	75.5%
Namibia	Epembe	2000	70.8%	80.3%	61.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Anamulenge	2000	55.2%	67.9%	40.1%
Namibia	Onyaanya	2000	77.8%	84.7%	68.6%
Namibia	Rehoboth East	2000	39.5%	44.2%	35.9%
Namibia	Tsumkwe	2000	64.6%	72.8%	54.6%
Namibia	Ongenga	2000	72.2%	79.6%	64.1%
Namibia	Epukiro	2000	68.7%	75.8%	59.3%
Namibia	Kabe	2000	81.5%	88.5%	72.7%
Namibia	Okatyali	2000	54.3%	78.1%	29.0%
Namibia	Tsandi	2000	63.3%	71.4%	53.9%
Namibia	Kamanjab	2000	58.0%	65.3%	50.2%
Namibia	Rundu Urban	2000	59.0%	62.6%	55.3%
Namibia	Karas	2000	36.2%	41.6%	31.1%
Namibia	Ompundja	2000	51.1%	66.6%	36.8%
Namibia	Omatoko	2000	62.0%	69.5%	49.3%
Namibia	Etayi	2000	70.6%	77.0%	63.1%
Namibia	Moses Garoeb	2000	50.5%	52.4%	48.7%
Namibia	Kahenge	2000	78.3%	84.0%	70.8%
Namibia	Steinhausen	2000	64.5%	70.8%	58.1%
Namibia	Mariental Urban	2000	44.7%	51.6%	37.2%
Namibia	Ogongo	2000	55.7%	67.0%	44.2%
Namibia	Gibeon	2000	38.0%	46.5%	30.7%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Urban	2000	52.1%	57.2%	46.7%
Namibia	Opuwo	2000	56.9%	63.5%	50.9%
Namibia	Okatana	2000	58.8%	66.2%	52.4%
Namibia	Okongo	2000	72.1%	79.7%	63.8%
Namibia	Oniipa	2000	53.3%	58.8%	47.8%
Namibia	Otamanzi	2000	64.1%	74.9%	52.0%
Namibia	Engela	2000	74.8%	82.5%	66.9%
Namibia	Onesi	2000	68.3%	78.8%	56.0%
Namibia	Rehoboth West	2000	33.3%	36.7%	29.4%
Namibia	Mpungu	2000	76.8%	83.5%	69.5%
Namibia	Aminius	2000	67.3%	72.5%	61.4%
Namibia	Katutura East	2000	36.8%	38.8%	34.4%
Namibia	Katutura Central	2000	36.8%	38.8%	34.4%
Namibia	Katima Muliro Urban	2000	91.2%	92.8%	89.1%
Namibia	Ongwediva	2000	32.5%	34.6%	30.4%
Namibia	Oshikuku	2000	70.7%	82.4%	56.3%
Namibia	Mukwe	2000	78.3%	84.4%	70.1%
Namibia	Ruacana	2000	74.8%	81.5%	66.4%
Namibia	Uuvudhiya	2000	70.8%	82.5%	57.2%
Namibia	Khorixas	2000	33.4%	37.8%	30.0%
Namibia	Khomasdal North	2000	37.1%	39.4%	35.0%
Namibia	Okahandja	2000	56.9%	60.4%	53.0%
Namibia	Karibib	2000	54.0%	60.9%	46.2%
Namibia	Otjombinde	2000	66.1%	73.8%	56.8%
Namibia	Otjinene	2000	68.4%	74.7%	62.7%
Namibia	Okankolo	2000	70.8%	79.4%	62.3%
Namibia	Omuntele	2000	71.0%	78.1%	61.4%
Namibia	Kalahari	2000	61.7%	69.0%	54.7%
Namibia	Linyandi	2000	82.7%	90.5%	72.4%
Namibia	Rundu Rural West	2000	70.9%	74.2%	67.3%
Namibia	Mariental Rural	2000	41.3%	48.3%	35.4%
Namibia	Ondobe	2000	69.5%	77.7%	60.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Okalongo	2000	58.3%	67.5%	47.8%
Namibia	Omulonga	2000	72.9%	81.3%	65.2%
Namibia	Ohangwena	2000	74.0%	84.4%	58.0%
Namibia	Arandis	2000	23.7%	36.1%	12.6%
Namibia	Daures	2000	49.6%	58.8%	40.3%
Namibia	Rehoboth Rural	2000	43.3%	52.8%	34.2%
Namibia	Outapi	2000	66.4%	73.0%	59.6%
Namibia	Oshakati East	2000	35.3%	38.9%	31.4%
Namibia	Walvisbay Rural	2000	22.8%	27.7%	19.2%
Namibia	Berseba	2000	40.9%	47.5%	35.3%
Namibia	Kongola	2000	87.1%	91.1%	82.0%
Namibia	Walvisbay Urban	2000	33.0%	35.3%	30.9%
Namibia	Rundu Rural East	2000	68.5%	74.9%	61.9%
Namibia	Elim	2000	59.0%	66.0%	50.6%
Namibia	Oranjemund	2000	37.0%	46.8%	26.7%
Namibia	Engodi	2000	68.1%	75.7%	59.8%
Namibia	Okahao	2000	57.2%	68.3%	43.8%
Namibia	Otavi	2000	53.8%	59.5%	47.4%
Namibia	Oshakati West	2000	27.0%	30.3%	22.9%
Namibia	Katima Muliro Rural	2000	74.8%	78.3%	70.9%
Namibia	Otjiwarongo	2000	34.5%	37.0%	31.5%
Namibia	Sibinda	2000	83.2%	90.9%	71.7%
Namibia	Oshikango	2000	80.2%	85.0%	73.7%
Namibia	Ondangwa	2000	43.1%	46.6%	36.4%
Namibia	Okaku	2000	50.5%	55.0%	45.6%
Namibia	Guinas	2000	57.1%	66.8%	45.6%
Namibia	Swakopmund	2000	23.2%	28.2%	17.9%
Namibia	Okakarara	2000	62.3%	68.7%	55.1%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Rural	2000	46.7%	60.3%	30.4%
Namibia	Kapako	2000	79.8%	85.4%	71.5%
Namibia	Soweto	2000	37.9%	39.8%	35.8%
Namibia	Omaruru	2000	49.9%	56.6%	44.1%
Namibia	Endola	2000	70.0%	75.1%	63.1%
Namibia	Windhoek East	2000	38.1%	45.2%	30.0%
Namibia	Omuthiyagwipund	2000	66.4%	75.2%	57.9%
Namibia	Uukwiyu	2000	40.8%	50.3%	30.4%
Namibia	Tobias Hainyeko	2000	41.7%	43.6%	39.5%
Namibia	Sesfontein	2000	62.5%	70.3%	55.3%
Namibia	Windhoek Rural	2000	42.7%	46.3%	39.3%
Namibia	Luderitz	2000	23.9%	27.2%	20.6%
Namibia	Olukonda	2000	55.9%	66.5%	47.8%
Namibia	Windhoek West	2000	28.5%	31.1%	25.8%
Namibia	Ogongo	2017	59.8%	71.5%	47.5%
Namibia	Kapako	2017	81.4%	88.1%	74.3%
Namibia	Outjo	2017	35.6%	41.6%	28.7%
Namibia	Omuthiyagwipund	2017	73.7%	83.5%	60.4%
Namibia	Kabe	2017	73.3%	82.8%	61.7%
Namibia	Karas	2017	31.3%	36.9%	26.3%
Namibia	Daures	2017	39.4%	50.9%	28.3%
Namibia	Outapi	2017	67.9%	75.3%	58.4%
Namibia	Sibinda	2017	76.3%	87.3%	62.6%
Namibia	Rundu Urban	2017	64.6%	67.2%	61.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Ndiyona	2017	76.2%	84.2%	65.4%
Namibia	Epukiro	2017	56.2%	64.9%	46.2%
Namibia	Mariental Rural	2017	28.3%	32.7%	24.0%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Rural	2017	34.7%	43.7%	26.9%
Namibia	Oshikuku	2017	73.7%	86.7%	57.9%
Namibia	Gobabis	2017	51.4%	55.2%	47.8%
Namibia	Oniipa	2017	58.0%	62.1%	53.5%
Namibia	Mukwe	2017	75.0%	84.8%	64.0%
Namibia	Walvisbay Rural	2017	4.1%	5.9%	3.0%
Namibia	Windhoek East	2017	12.2%	16.7%	4.1%
Namibia	Mariental Urban	2017	31.5%	38.1%	25.7%
Namibia	Omaruru	2017	24.8%	28.8%	20.4%
Namibia	Ompundja	2017	64.2%	82.2%	42.3%
Namibia	Kahenge	2017	72.6%	80.2%	62.5%
Namibia	Moses Garoeb	2017	13.3%	15.9%	10.7%
Namibia	Engodi	2017	65.3%	74.7%	56.4%
Namibia	Mpungu	2017	69.1%	78.9%	57.2%
Namibia	Ruacana	2017	74.2%	83.8%	62.8%
Namibia	Onesi	2017	63.4%	77.9%	49.5%
Namibia	Windhoek West	2017	3.2%	3.6%	2.7%
Namibia	Okatyali	2017	56.5%	81.5%	28.0%
Namibia	Tsumkwe	2017	57.8%	68.5%	44.9%
Namibia	Wanaheda	2017	10.6%	12.0%	9.4%
Namibia	Rehoboth West	2017	10.0%	11.7%	8.0%
Namibia	Berseba	2017	29.8%	35.2%	25.0%
Namibia	Guinas	2017	49.2%	60.8%	35.9%
Namibia	Epembe	2017	67.8%	79.8%	54.3%
Namibia	Okahandja	2017	28.1%	31.4%	24.7%
Namibia	Aminius	2017	51.2%	59.8%	42.6%
Namibia	Okatana	2017	56.5%	63.3%	49.7%
Namibia	Etayi	2017	74.2%	83.7%	60.9%
Namibia	Walvisbay Urban	2017	5.7%	6.3%	5.1%
Namibia	Keetmanshoop Urban	2017	16.1%	18.4%	14.2%
Namibia	Rehoboth East	2017	26.4%	29.7%	22.8%
Namibia	Uuvudhiya	2017	66.6%	81.9%	47.9%
Namibia	Okakarara	2017	45.8%	52.9%	38.0%
Namibia	Grootfontein	2017	26.8%	30.0%	23.3%
Namibia	Katutura East	2017	5.6%	6.1%	5.0%
Namibia	Otjombinde	2017	54.8%	64.6%	43.5%
Namibia	Tsumeb	2017	6.4%	8.4%	4.9%
Namibia	Otamanzi	2017	65.1%	77.6%	52.4%
Namibia	Kongola	2017	79.1%	85.7%	70.6%
Namibia	Arandis	2017	11.0%	22.2%	5.8%
Namibia	Onyaanya	2017	77.4%	85.2%	69.3%
Namibia	Katutura Central	2017	5.6%	6.1%	5.0%
Namibia	Okaku	2017	79.9%	84.1%	72.9%
Namibia	Rehoboth Rural	2017	30.9%	41.0%	22.1%
Namibia	Karibib	2017	36.0%	41.7%	30.8%
Namibia	Khomasdalen North	2017	6.5%	7.3%	5.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Namibia	Opuwo	2017	57.4%	64.0%	51.1%
Namibia	Onayena	2017	61.5%	67.5%	54.1%
Namibia	Anamulenge	2017	74.7%	83.4%	62.5%
Namibia	Omatako	2017	38.4%	49.8%	28.1%
Namibia	Katima	2017	69.1%	73.2%	63.9%
Namibia	Muliro Rural				
Namibia	Steinhausen	2017	45.3%	53.7%	36.6%
Namibia	Katima	2017	82.4%	85.5%	78.4%
Namibia	Muliro Urban				
Namibia	Epupa	2017	60.3%	66.4%	52.4%
Namibia	Eenhana	2017	57.9%	68.2%	44.6%
Namibia	Oshakati West	2017	27.4%	31.5%	22.5%
Namibia	Engela	2017	78.9%	85.7%	69.3%
Namibia	Ondobe	2017	77.1%	84.9%	66.9%
Namibia	Tobias	2017	8.0%	8.8%	7.3%
Namibia	Hainyeko				
Namibia	Gibeon	2017	26.4%	34.1%	21.2%
Namibia	Oshikango	2017	78.5%	86.8%	60.9%
Namibia	Otjinene	2017	51.0%	58.5%	42.4%
Namibia	Khorixas	2017	38.0%	50.7%	27.1%
Namibia	Okankolo	2017	64.5%	75.2%	52.4%
Namibia	Windhoek Rural	2017	21.0%	24.5%	17.1%
Namibia	Endola	2017	91.4%	93.9%	85.4%
Namibia	Oranjemund	2017	18.3%	27.5%	8.9%
Namibia	Olukonda	2017	32.6%	42.3%	24.3%
Namibia	Okahao	2017	64.1%	72.5%	49.9%
Namibia	Swakopmund	2017	16.5%	19.8%	13.8%
Namibia	Omuntele	2017	74.4%	83.2%	61.9%
Namibia	Kalahari	2017	45.0%	53.8%	36.7%
Namibia	Ondangwa	2017	42.0%	46.9%	37.9%
Namibia	Rundu Rural	2017	68.6%	71.6%	65.1%
Namibia	West				
Namibia	Rundu Rural	2017	79.0%	83.7%	73.0%
Namibia	East				
Namibia	Oshakati East	2017	36.2%	40.0%	31.4%
Namibia	Ongwediva	2017	31.7%	33.8%	29.7%
Namibia	Uukwiyu	2017	34.8%	47.7%	23.7%
Namibia	Soweto	2017	6.1%	6.7%	5.6%
Namibia	Tsandi	2017	70.4%	80.4%	59.4%
Namibia	Ohangwena	2017	81.9%	91.2%	58.5%
Namibia	Otavi	2017	30.5%	34.9%	25.5%
Namibia	Ongenga	2017	67.1%	75.9%	57.6%
Namibia	Sesfontein	2017	52.3%	61.6%	43.0%
Namibia	Linyandi	2017	73.8%	84.7%	62.1%
Namibia	Elim	2017	67.5%	77.6%	54.7%
Namibia	Mashare	2017	68.7%	80.8%	52.3%
Namibia	Omulonga	2017	80.7%	88.1%	69.7%
Namibia	Okongo	2017	67.6%	76.7%	56.2%
Namibia	Omundaungilo	2017	70.4%	85.2%	51.8%
Namibia	Otjiwarongo	2017	18.7%	20.9%	16.3%
Namibia	Luderitz	2017	12.5%	16.0%	9.0%
Namibia	Kamanjab	2017	49.5%	59.3%	38.0%
Namibia	Okalongo	2017	75.0%	84.3%	62.5%
Niger	Matameye	2000	80.2%	85.4%	73.6%
Niger	Aguié	2000	75.0%	80.0%	67.1%
Niger	Tanout	2000	81.0%	83.9%	77.9%
Niger	Bkonni	2000	68.0%	73.6%	61.6%
Niger	Boboye	2000	80.7%	85.2%	74.6%
Niger	Bouza	2000	83.8%	87.2%	78.5%
Niger	Loga	2000	88.1%	91.7%	84.0%
Niger	N'Guigmi	2000	77.2%	80.5%	73.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Niger	Mayahi	2000	80.6%	85.0%	75.5%
Niger	Gaya	2000	76.1%	81.1%	71.1%
Niger	Filingué	2000	85.6%	88.0%	82.9%
Niger	Dosso	2000	77.4%	81.5%	72.9%
Niger	Ouallam	2000	86.1%	88.8%	83.6%
Niger	Groumdji	2000	63.1%	69.1%	57.4%
Niger	Mainé-Soroa	2000	82.3%	84.8%	79.9%
Niger	Keita	2000	85.2%	87.9%	82.1%
Niger	Tessaoua	2000	73.2%	78.2%	68.5%
Niger	Tillabéry	2000	84.4%	87.9%	79.3%
Niger	Madaoua	2000	82.4%	86.1%	78.2%
Niger	Tahoua	2000	78.8%	82.0%	75.2%
Niger	Dakoro	2000	77.1%	80.7%	72.7%
Niger	Magaria	2000	80.0%	83.9%	75.8%
Niger	Tchin-Tabarade	2000	82.2%	84.2%	79.8%
Niger	Say	2000	74.4%	78.7%	70.0%
Niger	Mirriah	2000	62.7%	65.8%	59.9%
Niger	Illéla	2000	79.5%	82.6%	75.8%
Niger	Kollo	2000	71.6%	76.2%	67.8%
Niger	Dogon-Doutchi	2000	80.0%	83.7%	76.0%
Niger	Diffa	2000	75.8%	79.1%	72.0%
Niger	Gouré	2000	82.9%	85.2%	80.6%
Niger	Téra	2000	86.5%	89.1%	83.4%
Niger	Bilma	2000	43.6%	46.3%	40.8%
Niger	Tchighozerine	2000	61.3%	64.0%	58.8%
Niger	Niamey	2000	28.6%	31.2%	26.6%
Niger	Madarounfa	2000	70.5%	75.3%	65.0%
Niger	Arlit	2000	52.1%	55.1%	48.6%
Niger	Mainé-Soroa	2017	55.0%	58.4%	51.7%
Niger	Gaya	2017	59.6%	66.1%	52.9%
Niger	Tessaoua	2017	55.5%	60.8%	50.5%
Niger	Madaoua	2017	65.0%	70.0%	59.3%
Niger	Keita	2017	66.3%	70.7%	62.0%
Niger	Loga	2017	73.8%	78.9%	68.3%
Niger	Dosso	2017	61.5%	66.4%	56.0%
Niger	Aguié	2017	56.8%	63.5%	48.5%
Niger	Téra	2017	69.3%	73.3%	65.0%
Niger	Filingué	2017	67.2%	70.9%	63.4%
Niger	Kollo	2017	57.7%	61.4%	53.7%
Niger	Say	2017	59.0%	63.4%	54.0%
Niger	Tanout	2017	59.7%	63.6%	55.8%
Niger	Dogon-Doutchi	2017	63.1%	67.3%	58.5%
Niger	Matameye	2017	61.0%	67.5%	53.3%
Niger	Gouré	2017	61.8%	65.0%	58.4%
Niger	Dakoro	2017	57.5%	62.0%	52.4%
Niger	Tahoua	2017	60.2%	64.7%	55.3%
Niger	Madarounfa	2017	46.3%	51.2%	40.5%
Niger	Bkonni	2017	47.8%	53.6%	42.6%
Niger	Groumdji	2017	44.6%	50.6%	38.8%
Niger	N'Guigmi	2017	42.6%	46.0%	39.1%
Niger	Magaria	2017	60.5%	64.5%	55.2%
Niger	Mirriah	2017	50.0%	53.0%	47.1%
Niger	Tchin-Tabarade	2017	58.8%	62.4%	55.2%
Niger	Ouallam	2017	65.8%	70.0%	61.9%
Niger	Arlit	2017	23.6%	25.8%	21.6%
Niger	Mayahi	2017	61.8%	68.5%	55.6%
Niger	Boboye	2017	65.0%	70.8%	57.9%
Niger	Diffa	2017	47.4%	51.1%	43.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Niger	Illéla	2017	62.2%	66.8%	57.2%
Niger	Tchighozerine	2017	30.3%	32.4%	28.1%
Niger	Bilma	2017	13.4%	14.7%	12.2%
Niger	Niamey	2017	15.2%	16.8%	13.9%
Niger	Tillabéry	2017	63.4%	68.1%	58.2%
Niger	Bouza	2017	65.7%	70.6%	59.8%
Nigeria	Maigatari	2000	2.6%	9.1%	0.2%
Nigeria	Kabo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ijumu	2000	23.5%	35.0%	13.5%
Nigeria	Batagarawa	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Riyom	2000	1.4%	5.0%	0.3%
Nigeria	Madobi	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ado-Ekiti	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Nigeria	Magama	2000	3.7%	8.2%	1.1%
Nigeria	Ukanafun	2000	0.3%	1.4%	0.0%
Nigeria	Bassa	2000	12.7%	21.0%	6.1%
Nigeria	Dange-Shuni	2000	1.2%	4.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Zing	2000	1.2%	4.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	Dala	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	AdoOdo/Ota	2000	2.9%	6.1%	1.3%
Nigeria	Jos South	2000	0.7%	1.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	Yabo	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ola-Oluwa	2000	18.6%	21.5%	14.9%
Nigeria	Gujba	2000	2.8%	7.5%	0.6%
Nigeria	Bagwai	2000	0.3%	2.6%	0.0%
Nigeria	Udung Uko	2000	1.7%	4.0%	0.5%
Nigeria	Isa	2000	1.1%	3.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Nkanu East	2000	5.9%	10.0%	3.5%
Nigeria	Ogbia	2000	4.7%	9.2%	2.3%
Nigeria	Opobo/Nkoro	2000	2.1%	3.7%	0.9%
Nigeria	Yola South	2000	4.3%	10.1%	1.3%
Nigeria	Sapele	2000	1.4%	5.8%	0.1%
Nigeria	Shinkafi	2000	0.5%	2.8%	0.0%
Nigeria	Orlu	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Gokana	2000	0.9%	2.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	EtimEkpo	2000	1.1%	10.4%	0.0%
Nigeria	Mubi South	2000	1.3%	10.6%	0.0%
Nigeria	Toro	2000	3.1%	6.3%	1.3%
Nigeria	Nassarawa	2000	8.3%	14.9%	3.1%
Nigeria	Egon				
Nigeria	Awe	2000	9.4%	18.6%	3.9%
Nigeria	Rabah	2000	1.6%	4.1%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ibeno	2000	8.4%	20.6%	1.8%
Nigeria	Lokoja	2000	6.1%	11.6%	3.0%
Nigeria	Uruan	2000	0.6%	2.8%	0.0%
Nigeria	Warri South	2000	3.0%	7.6%	0.6%
Nigeria	Agaié	2000	8.7%	18.5%	2.9%
Nigeria	Dandi	2000	3.0%	7.3%	0.7%
Nigeria	Jere	2000	0.8%	3.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Remo-North	2000	1.2%	7.1%	0.2%
Nigeria	Bakura	2000	1.0%	2.9%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ikenne	2000	0.4%	0.9%	0.1%
Nigeria	Buji	2000	0.9%	4.9%	0.0%
Nigeria	Damban	2000	0.6%	2.8%	0.0%
Nigeria	Gamawa	2000	1.5%	5.0%	0.2%
Nigeria	Nsit Ubium	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Oruk-Ana	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Nigeria	Fika	2000	2.5%	7.1%	0.4%
Nigeria	Kala/Balge	2000	2.3%	8.0%	0.1%
Nigeria	DawakinT	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Keffi	2000	0.8%	3.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Njikoka	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Langtang South	2000	9.4%	23.1%	3.1%
Nigeria	Tsanyawa	2000	0.5%	3.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Itu	2000	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%
Nigeria	Aba North	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ikono	2000	0.6%	2.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Lapai	2000	7.3%	12.9%	3.0%
Nigeria	Ogba/Egbe	2000	0.5%	1.9%	0.1%
Nigeria	Takum	2000	13.5%	27.5%	5.3%
Nigeria	Eket	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%
Nigeria	Gbako	2000	4.7%	9.5%	1.9%
Nigeria	Calabar	2000	0.7%	3.0%	0.2%
Nigeria	Oshimili	2000	1.1%	4.9%	0.1%
Nigeria	North				
Nigeria	Ona-Ara	2000	4.0%	7.0%	2.2%
Nigeria	Aninri	2000	8.8%	17.7%	4.6%
Nigeria	Atakumosa East	2000	3.7%	6.8%	2.6%
Nigeria	Misau	2000	0.6%	3.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ejigbo	2000	6.4%	7.9%	4.9%
Nigeria	Akpabuyo	2000	2.6%	6.9%	0.9%
Nigeria	Osogbo	2000	1.2%	2.2%	0.6%
Nigeria	Malumfashi	2000	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Donga	2000	5.3%	11.4%	2.3%
Nigeria	Makoda	2000	0.6%	3.4%	0.0%
Nigeria	Owerri Municipal	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Odukpani	2000	2.7%	6.9%	0.7%
Nigeria	Port Harcourt	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	Paikoro	2000	6.2%	11.2%	2.9%
Nigeria	EnuguSou	2000	8.5%	11.4%	6.2%
Nigeria	Oredo Edo	2000	0.6%	3.6%	0.0%
Nigeria	Kubau	2000	1.1%	3.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Okene	2000	6.2%	16.6%	2.2%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2000	2.1%	2.9%	1.4%
Nigeria	Roni	2000	0.9%	6.8%	0.0%
Nigeria	IdeatoNo	2000	0.3%	1.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Guzamala	2000	2.3%	8.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	Ivo	2000	4.8%	16.3%	1.7%
Nigeria	Oyo West	2000	6.1%	10.2%	3.5%
Nigeria	Dutsin-M	2000	0.9%	3.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Yamaltu	2000	1.2%	3.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Mokwa	2000	6.5%	11.6%	3.4%
Nigeria	Ehime-Mb	2000	1.3%	6.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho	2000	2.1%	5.2%	1.0%
Nigeria	North				
Nigeria	IleOluji/Okeigbo	2000	5.9%	19.6%	1.8%
Nigeria	Wurno	2000	1.5%	4.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Abaji	2000	9.1%	17.0%	4.6%
Nigeria	Degema	2000	4.3%	12.5%	0.7%
Nigeria	Kaduna North	2000	0.1%	0.6%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ifedore	2000	3.5%	11.4%	0.6%
Nigeria	Lagelu	2000	4.3%	7.1%	2.2%
Nigeria	EtsakoEa	2000	4.2%	10.0%	1.1%
Nigeria	Ugwunagbo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Anambra	2000	4.5%	14.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	West				
Nigeria	Danko	2000	4.9%	9.7%	2.2%
Nigeria	Wasagu				
Nigeria	Biu	2000	2.9%	7.8%	0.7%
Nigeria	Kauru	2000	2.5%	6.8%	0.5%
Nigeria	Gubio	2000	1.6%	5.1%	0.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ishielu	2000	5.4%	10.2%	2.2%
Nigeria	Rano	2000	0.7%	3.5%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ijebu North-East	2000	1.1%	3.0%	0.3%
Nigeria	Ajaokuta	2000	8.4%	15.7%	3.5%
Nigeria	Jibia	2000	0.8%	3.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	Zaria	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ngaski	2000	3.5%	13.8%	0.6%
Nigeria	Jega	2000	1.1%	4.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Bosso	2000	2.1%	4.8%	0.7%
Nigeria	Sabuwa	2000	1.5%	6.9%	0.1%
Nigeria	Wamba	2000	6.8%	14.9%	2.1%
Nigeria	Eleme	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Bomadi	2000	7.1%	13.6%	3.4%
Nigeria	Oshodi/Isolo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Mubi North	2000	3.0%	7.8%	0.5%
Nigeria	Ahoada West	2000	10.8%	16.7%	5.4%
Nigeria	Umuahia South	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Bakassi	2000	4.1%	26.6%	0.0%
Nigeria	Shendam	2000	8.9%	15.4%	4.5%
Nigeria	Ikom	2000	7.3%	15.3%	2.1%
Nigeria	Tai	2000	1.2%	2.1%	0.7%
Nigeria	Soba	2000	1.2%	3.9%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ikwo	2000	6.4%	9.5%	3.9%
Nigeria	Kura	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Nigeria	Oriade	2000	7.0%	13.1%	2.7%
Nigeria	Nsit Atai	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Nigeria	Kware	2000	0.4%	1.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	Asari-To	2000	1.2%	3.0%	0.2%
Nigeria	Gboyin	2000	4.8%	8.3%	2.8%
Nigeria	Ekwusigo	2000	1.6%	4.2%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho South	2000	3.7%	5.6%	2.0%
Nigeria	Yauri	2000	1.8%	6.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Wudil	2000	1.3%	2.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Amuwo Odofin	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ikara	2000	1.3%	4.7%	0.0%
Nigeria	Tafa	2000	2.9%	4.5%	1.4%
Nigeria	Hadejia	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Minjibir	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Gassol	2000	7.1%	13.9%	3.3%
Nigeria	Gwarzo	2000	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Nigeria	Zurmi	2000	1.1%	3.7%	0.1%
Nigeria	Tofa	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Kanke	2000	10.6%	20.3%	4.6%
Nigeria	Shagamu	2000	8.2%	16.9%	2.2%
Nigeria	Dandume	2000	0.5%	4.7%	0.0%
Nigeria	Yunusari	2000	3.5%	8.0%	1.3%
Nigeria	Kaltungo	2000	1.9%	6.2%	0.4%
Nigeria	Orhionmw	2000	4.2%	9.0%	1.6%
Nigeria	Ingawa	2000	2.3%	8.1%	0.2%
Nigeria	Yagba East	2000	6.3%	13.0%	1.9%
Nigeria	Chikun	2000	1.4%	2.8%	0.4%
Nigeria	Bakori	2000	0.3%	1.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Emuoha	2000	3.7%	8.3%	1.1%
Nigeria	Wamakko	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Shanono	2000	0.5%	3.4%	0.0%
Nigeria	Karim-La	2000	5.2%	9.2%	2.7%
Nigeria	Funakaye	2000	1.2%	4.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Kebbe	2000	2.9%	8.6%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Lau	2000	4.0%	10.3%	0.8%
Nigeria	Ise/Orun	2000	5.5%	17.3%	1.0%
Nigeria	Sumaila	2000	3.0%	9.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	Boripe	2000	4.4%	6.6%	2.6%
Nigeria	Kaita	2000	0.5%	2.0%	0.1%
Nigeria	Odigbo	2000	3.5%	9.8%	0.9%
Nigeria	Ori-Ire	2000	9.2%	17.2%	3.7%
Nigeria	Mkpat Enin	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Akko	2000	0.7%	1.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ezza South	2000	8.7%	17.5%	5.4%
Nigeria	Isokan	2000	9.1%	22.9%	2.8%
Nigeria	Gboko	2000	2.1%	4.9%	0.7%
Nigeria	Langtang	2000	11.8%	18.7%	7.1%
North					
Nigeria	IsokoSou	2000	2.5%	6.3%	0.9%
Nigeria	Zango	2000	0.4%	1.9%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ankpa	2000	4.6%	9.3%	1.5%
Nigeria	Garki	2000	1.7%	6.0%	0.1%
Nigeria	Yala Cross	2000	4.3%	10.7%	1.5%
Nigeria	Jos North	2000	1.6%	3.1%	0.9%
Nigeria	Sokoto North	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Emure/Ise/Orun	2000	9.7%	19.8%	3.5%
Nigeria	Ado	2000	4.0%	14.0%	0.6%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze	2000	1.9%	2.6%	1.4%
South					
Nigeria	Kaura	2000	1.6%	4.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ondo East	2000	4.8%	10.0%	2.2%
Nigeria	Ganye	2000	4.0%	12.7%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ihitte/U	2000	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%
Nigeria	Nsit Ibom	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Giwa	2000	1.9%	5.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	Oturkpo	2000	5.6%	13.8%	1.7%
Nigeria	Safana	2000	1.5%	4.5%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ughelli South	2000	1.9%	4.5%	0.7%
Nigeria	Yusufari	2000	7.3%	12.1%	3.9%
Nigeria	IjebuOde	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ilejemeje	2000	1.7%	3.5%	0.9%
Nigeria	Katsina (Benue)	2000	5.1%	10.6%	1.9%
Nigeria	Igueben	2000	0.6%	3.6%	0.0%
Nigeria	Kukawa	2000	1.7%	6.1%	0.3%
Nigeria	Okrika	2000	0.7%	4.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ikeja	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ilorin East	2000	1.6%	5.3%	0.6%
Nigeria	Konshish	2000	6.2%	14.0%	1.6%
Nigeria	Kanam	2000	5.4%	12.7%	1.5%
Nigeria	Gwandu	2000	1.1%	5.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Atisbo	2000	10.4%	18.9%	4.2%
Nigeria	Hong	2000	1.7%	3.9%	0.4%
Nigeria	Bebeji	2000	0.6%	3.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Gummi	2000	1.4%	3.8%	0.3%
Nigeria	Dambo	2000	2.2%	5.0%	0.8%
Nigeria	Nasarawa	2000	6.0%	10.0%	3.4%
Nigeria	Gwoza	2000	1.6%	5.8%	0.3%
Nigeria	Katcha	2000	6.6%	16.1%	2.4%
Nigeria	Kankiya	2000	0.7%	3.7%	0.1%
Nigeria	Dukku	2000	1.5%	4.2%	0.4%
Nigeria	Musawa	2000	0.7%	2.7%	0.1%
Nigeria	Jalingo	2000	1.6%	7.0%	0.2%
Nigeria	GwerWest	2000	6.9%	19.2%	1.1%
Nigeria	Mobbar	2000	2.3%	7.8%	0.4%
Nigeria	IjebuEast	2000	3.8%	8.4%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Bayo	2000	2.3%	7.0%	0.2%
Nigeria	Odogbolu	2000	0.7%	3.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Demsa	2000	1.4%	2.7%	0.5%
Nigeria	Ogori/Magongo	2000	16.4%	24.1%	10.5%
Nigeria	Calabar South	2000	2.6%	6.5%	0.7%
Nigeria	Irepodun/Ifelodun	2000	3.0%	6.8%	1.6%
Nigeria	Bassa	2000	5.7%	9.9%	2.3%
Nigeria	Gulani	2000	2.7%	7.2%	0.5%
Nigeria	Ukum	2000	3.0%	8.0%	0.7%
Nigeria	Moba	2000	3.5%	6.5%	1.8%
Nigeria	Gurara	2000	4.3%	7.8%	2.0%
Nigeria	Izzi	2000	3.3%	10.9%	0.6%
Nigeria	Kankara	2000	0.6%	2.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Odeda	2000	5.1%	11.9%	1.7%
Nigeria	EsanSout	2000	2.7%	7.8%	0.3%
Nigeria	Mariga	2000	4.1%	7.5%	1.6%
Nigeria	Bauchi	2000	2.3%	4.5%	0.7%
Nigeria	Gumel	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Nigeria	Gamjuwa	2000	3.2%	8.5%	0.9%
Nigeria	Wukari	2000	7.3%	12.2%	3.3%
Nigeria	Bende	2000	0.4%	3.0%	0.1%
Nigeria	Edati	2000	6.9%	15.1%	1.4%
Nigeria	Kurfi	2000	0.8%	3.9%	0.0%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth	2000	1.2%	1.4%	0.9%
Nigeria	Irepo	2000	12.5%	24.9%	4.8%
Nigeria	Warji	2000	0.7%	4.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Bwari	2000	3.0%	7.8%	0.9%
Nigeria	Irele	2000	6.9%	16.6%	1.3%
Nigeria	AwkaSout	2000	0.3%	0.9%	0.1%
Nigeria	Southern Ijaw	2000	11.4%	16.7%	6.4%
Nigeria	Kiru	2000	0.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Mai' Adua	2000	2.9%	7.1%	1.2%
Nigeria	Obubra	2000	7.8%	14.5%	4.2%
Nigeria	Anambra East	2000	0.9%	1.8%	0.4%
Nigeria	Arochukw	2000	1.3%	5.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	EsanNort	2000	1.0%	4.6%	0.0%
Nigeria	Matazu	2000	0.9%	5.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Njaba	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze North	2000	1.9%	2.7%	1.3%
Nigeria	Gudu	2000	2.4%	6.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Goronyo	2000	2.5%	7.4%	0.5%
Nigeria	Dutse	2000	1.0%	4.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Igabi	2000	1.0%	2.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Barkin Ladi	2000	3.2%	7.5%	1.2%
Nigeria	Egor	2000	0.9%	3.7%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ohimini	2000	5.5%	16.0%	1.1%
Nigeria	Kajuru	2000	3.5%	8.3%	1.0%
Nigeria	Eastern Obolo	2000	1.8%	6.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	AwkaNort	2000	2.2%	10.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Sakaba	2000	2.8%	7.1%	0.6%
Nigeria	Jama'are	2000	0.6%	2.5%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ikot-Aba	2000	0.2%	0.7%	0.0%
Nigeria	Rijau	2000	2.4%	5.4%	0.7%
Nigeria	Oke-Ero	2000	6.1%	13.5%	1.9%
Nigeria	Abua/Odu	2000	5.3%	12.4%	1.5%
Nigeria	Bonny	2000	4.7%	13.3%	0.6%
Nigeria	Owerri North	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Saki East	2000	12.4%	24.6%	4.0%
Nigeria	Mashi	2000	1.1%	3.9%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ogbadibo	2000	6.6%	20.4%	1.0%
Nigeria	Keana	2000	6.3%	15.5%	1.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Akiyele	2000	5.1%	9.5%	2.1%
Nigeria	Ringim	2000	1.1%	4.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Gabasawa	2000	0.7%	4.7%	0.0%
Nigeria	Umu-Nneochi	2000	2.8%	8.0%	1.0%
Nigeria	Abadam	2000	2.5%	8.1%	0.4%
Nigeria	Maiyama	2000	3.2%	9.6%	0.4%
Nigeria	Baruten	2000	10.4%	15.7%	6.1%
Nigeria	Fufore	2000	5.0%	9.9%	2.0%
Nigeria	Gusau	2000	1.1%	2.8%	0.3%
Nigeria	Owerri West	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Wushishi	2000	6.7%	18.2%	1.4%
Nigeria	Maiha	2000	1.6%	7.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ilesha West	2000	0.3%	1.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Apa	2000	5.0%	14.0%	0.4%
Nigeria	Ohaozara	2000	5.2%	16.7%	1.2%
Nigeria	Jakusko	2000	2.6%	6.4%	1.0%
Nigeria	RiminGad	2000	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%
Nigeria	Guma	2000	6.6%	13.6%	2.3%
Nigeria	Qua'anpa	2000	9.9%	17.9%	4.5%
Nigeria	Bodinga	2000	0.9%	9.3%	0.0%
Nigeria	Akoko North-East	2000	5.4%	14.4%	1.2%
Nigeria	Obudu	2000	7.1%	16.0%	2.2%
Nigeria	Kwaya Kusar	2000	1.8%	10.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Bali	2000	5.1%	8.9%	2.9%
Nigeria	Ideato South	2000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Atiba	2000	14.3%	27.1%	5.5%
Nigeria	Unuimo	2000	1.0%	4.0%	0.3%
Nigeria	Sabon-Ga	2000	0.5%	2.6%	0.0%
Nigeria	Lavun	2000	5.3%	10.5%	2.4%
Nigeria	Ngor-Okp	2000	0.6%	1.1%	0.3%
Nigeria	Bokkos	2000	8.4%	20.1%	2.4%
Nigeria	Ukwa West	2000	1.8%	2.6%	0.4%
Nigeria	Borsari	2000	2.5%	5.9%	0.8%
Nigeria	Ogo-Oluw	2000	7.9%	13.9%	4.2%
Nigeria	Udi	2000	10.8%	15.2%	6.6%
Nigeria	Onicha	2000	5.6%	14.3%	1.5%
Nigeria	Shira	2000	0.6%	3.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Akure South	2000	1.2%	2.9%	0.4%
Nigeria	Abi	2000	1.5%	4.2%	0.4%
Nigeria	Ife North	2000	4.3%	8.6%	2.1%
Nigeria	Ushongo	2000	10.8%	21.4%	4.4%
Nigeria	Gombi	2000	1.5%	5.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Yakurr	2000	1.6%	5.0%	0.6%
Nigeria	Nassaraw	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Bogoro	2000	3.3%	10.2%	0.5%
Nigeria	Bunza	2000	1.4%	5.7%	0.1%
Nigeria	Damaturu	2000	0.5%	1.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	IjebuNorth	2000	1.4%	4.2%	0.4%
Nigeria	KafinHau	2000	2.7%	7.8%	0.7%
Nigeria	EkitiEas	2000	4.0%	9.0%	1.4%
Nigeria	Guri	2000	1.3%	4.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ibeju/Lekki	2000	6.4%	15.5%	2.9%
Nigeria	Ngala	2000	1.6%	5.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Apapa	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Agege	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-West	2000	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
Nigeria	LagosIsland	2000	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%
Nigeria	Rafi	2000	3.0%	7.1%	1.0%
Nigeria	Edu	2000	10.5%	16.8%	4.7%
Nigeria	AfikpoSo	2000	4.7%	13.3%	1.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Omala	2000	6.1%	15.2%	1.6%
Nigeria	Atakumosa West	2000	4.9%	9.9%	2.0%
Nigeria	Jaba	2000	2.0%	6.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	Irewole	2000	2.9%	7.2%	1.3%
Nigeria	Kiyawa	2000	2.1%	8.8%	0.1%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-West	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Nembe	2000	1.6%	5.4%	0.2%
Nigeria	Tundun Wada	2000	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2000	2.3%	3.6%	1.5%
Nigeria	Tureta	2000	1.5%	5.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	Illela	2000	2.1%	6.2%	0.6%
Nigeria	Etung	2000	4.7%	14.6%	0.6%
Nigeria	Epe	2000	1.3%	3.1%	0.3%
Nigeria	AniochaN	2000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	UrueOffo	2000	1.7%	12.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Kokona	2000	3.5%	9.0%	0.8%
Nigeria	Mbaitoli	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Nigeria	Obokun	2000	2.4%	5.3%	0.9%
Nigeria	Idah	2000	0.8%	3.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	Shanga	2000	3.6%	9.3%	0.9%
Nigeria	Danmusa	2000	3.3%	8.9%	0.5%
Nigeria	Teungo	2000	4.2%	9.3%	1.3%
Nigeria	Onitsha North	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	IsokoNor	2000	1.0%	3.8%	0.4%
Nigeria	IkaSouth	2000	0.5%	2.4%	0.0%
Nigeria	ZangonKa	2000	1.6%	4.4%	0.3%
Nigeria	Vandeiky	2000	5.6%	16.3%	1.3%
Nigeria	Bagudo	2000	4.5%	9.5%	1.7%
Nigeria	Ido/Osi	2000	2.6%	6.7%	1.2%
Nigeria	Aba South	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Lafia	2000	2.1%	3.7%	1.1%
Nigeria	Ifedayo	2000	4.4%	9.8%	1.7%
Nigeria	Egbeda	2000	1.8%	3.9%	0.8%
Nigeria	OrumbaNo	2000	1.5%	3.5%	0.5%
Nigeria	Esit Eket	2000	2.2%	11.8%	0.0%
Nigeria	Dass	2000	2.6%	14.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Yola North	2000	1.8%	3.4%	0.9%
Nigeria	Kontogur	2000	2.2%	6.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	Suru	2000	1.8%	6.5%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ibarapa East	2000	12.8%	23.5%	4.7%
Nigeria	Oju	2000	4.8%	15.6%	0.8%
Nigeria	Afijio	2000	13.3%	28.5%	4.8%
Nigeria	Billiri	2000	0.7%	2.7%	0.1%
Nigeria	Tarmuwa	2000	3.2%	6.0%	1.3%
Nigeria	EtsakoWe	2000	1.4%	4.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	OviaNort	2000	5.3%	11.5%	1.9%
Nigeria	Michika	2000	1.3%	4.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Tambawal	2000	1.3%	3.9%	0.3%
Nigeria	Surulere	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ofu	2000	6.8%	13.0%	2.9%
Nigeria	Brass	2000	3.1%	7.5%	0.7%
Nigeria	Kaduna South	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Hawul	2000	1.7%	5.0%	0.2%
Nigeria	Essien-U	2000	0.7%	3.7%	0.0%
Nigeria	Geidam	2000	2.7%	6.0%	0.7%
Nigeria	Kazaure	2000	0.9%	3.3%	0.2%
Nigeria	Dekina	2000	9.0%	14.3%	5.0%
Nigeria	Tsafe	2000	2.3%	6.6%	0.4%
Nigeria	Gwadabaw	2000	1.3%	4.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Badagary	2000	2.3%	7.4%	0.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Kumbotso	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Anaocha	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Patani	2000	10.7%	20.9%	5.1%
Nigeria	Enugu East	2000	0.9%	3.3%	0.3%
Nigeria	Kwali	2000	17.7%	24.1%	11.7%
Nigeria	Okitipupa	2000	1.2%	4.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Igalamela-Odolu	2000	5.1%	8.9%	2.7%
Nigeria	Oru West	2000	0.2%	1.4%	0.0%
Nigeria	Karaye	2000	0.3%	2.5%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ikwuano	2000	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Dutsi	2000	0.5%	1.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Makurdi	2000	3.3%	8.7%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ayedire	2000	2.8%	7.7%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ondo West	2000	3.6%	12.2%	0.9%
Nigeria	Obanliku	2000	2.2%	7.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	Iseyin	2000	9.4%	20.6%	2.8%
Nigeria	Bama	2000	2.4%	6.2%	0.5%
Nigeria	Monguno	2000	2.1%	7.3%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ahizu-Mb	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Girie	2000	2.0%	5.1%	0.3%
Nigeria	Burutu	2000	7.3%	16.2%	2.8%
Nigeria	Ifo	2000	1.5%	5.0%	0.3%
Nigeria	MalamMad	2000	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Kotonkar	2000	14.2%	26.6%	5.5%
Nigeria	Ekeremor	2000	13.3%	22.0%	7.1%
Nigeria	Ekiti	2000	8.5%	15.3%	3.6%
Nigeria	Andoni/O	2000	8.6%	17.5%	2.9%
Nigeria	Idanre	2000	3.8%	9.1%	1.2%
Nigeria	Arewa	2000	4.3%	8.8%	1.7%
Nigeria	Mikang	2000	20.3%	31.7%	10.5%
Nigeria	Okpe	2000	2.7%	10.0%	0.3%
Nigeria	Yorro	2000	5.9%	11.7%	1.8%
Nigeria	Katagum	2000	1.2%	4.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ikwerre	2000	0.5%	1.1%	0.3%
Nigeria	Obowo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Olorunda	2000	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Nigeria	Gashaka	2000	6.0%	10.2%	2.8%
Nigeria	Kuje	2000	14.5%	24.6%	6.0%
Nigeria	EgbadoNorth	2000	7.2%	13.1%	2.6%
Nigeria	Ughelli North	2000	3.9%	6.9%	1.6%
Nigeria	Boki	2000	4.1%	9.7%	1.2%
Nigeria	Awgu	2000	10.6%	18.2%	4.2%
Nigeria	Saki West	2000	12.5%	23.3%	6.7%
Nigeria	Magumeri	2000	3.0%	6.9%	0.8%
Nigeria	Oshimili South	2000	0.7%	3.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	AbujaMun	2000	1.5%	2.9%	0.7%
Nigeria	Kajola	2000	15.2%	30.8%	5.1%
Nigeria	Ogoja	2000	4.6%	9.8%	1.2%
Nigeria	Kosofe	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Nigeria	Biriniwa	2000	2.2%	6.3%	0.3%
Nigeria	Toto	2000	6.6%	16.6%	1.7%
Nigeria	Orolu	2000	1.8%	3.2%	0.9%
Nigeria	Onitsha South	2000	0.6%	2.8%	0.0%
Nigeria	Akwanga	2000	1.0%	3.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Kwami	2000	0.9%	2.5%	0.2%
Nigeria	Chibok	2000	2.2%	8.4%	0.2%
Nigeria	Gagarawa	2000	1.8%	6.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	Kusada	2000	0.7%	4.9%	0.0%
Nigeria	Dunukofia	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Oyun	2000	9.2%	15.6%	4.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Chanchaga	2000	0.3%	0.8%	0.2%
Nigeria	Logo	2000	6.8%	17.2%	1.3%
Nigeria	Efon	2000	0.9%	1.5%	0.5%
Nigeria	Fagge	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Kagarko	2000	2.5%	4.9%	0.9%
Nigeria	Ojo	2000	1.0%	1.9%	0.5%
Nigeria	Offa	2000	2.3%	4.3%	1.1%
Nigeria	Etche	2000	2.1%	3.5%	0.8%
Nigeria	Owan East	2000	5.4%	15.3%	1.1%
Nigeria	Kabba/Bu	2000	6.0%	12.1%	2.0%
Nigeria	Auyo	2000	1.1%	4.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	EsanCent	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ihiala	2000	0.2%	0.8%	0.1%
Nigeria	Gwer East	2000	6.9%	14.4%	2.4%
Nigeria	Udenu	2000	0.8%	1.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Boluwaduro	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.0%
Nigeria	Shagari	2000	0.8%	3.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ningi	2000	2.4%	5.7%	0.7%
Nigeria	Jada	2000	5.4%	15.0%	1.5%
Nigeria	BirninKu	2000	0.8%	4.3%	0.0%
Nigeria	Adavi	2000	7.1%	11.3%	4.0%
Nigeria	Kurmi	2000	4.4%	9.6%	1.4%
Nigeria	Bindawa	2000	0.3%	1.5%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ila	2000	4.2%	7.7%	2.4%
Nigeria	Oboma Ngwa	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Lamurde	2000	2.2%	5.3%	0.5%
Nigeria	Ogbaru	2000	4.1%	15.0%	0.3%
Nigeria	Muya	2000	7.0%	14.6%	2.4%
Nigeria	Ohafia Abia	2000	0.7%	4.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Sandamu	2000	3.0%	6.8%	0.5%
Nigeria	Shomolu	2000	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Binji	2000	0.8%	3.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ikeduru	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Nigeria	Maidugur	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Lake Chad	2000	1.8%	4.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2000	5.1%	12.7%	2.4%
Nigeria	Ndakwa East	2000	4.1%	9.4%	1.1%
Nigeria	Rimi	2000	0.6%	3.5%	0.0%
Nigeria	Abakalik	2000	1.3%	2.5%	0.9%
Nigeria	OrumbaSo	2000	1.4%	5.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Gezawa	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Nigeria	AbeokutaNorth	2000	2.7%	8.9%	0.4%
Nigeria	Dambatta	2000	0.6%	2.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Akoko South-East	2000	5.4%	13.6%	1.8%
Nigeria	Birnin-Magaji/Kiyaw	2000	1.8%	7.0%	0.1%
Nigeria	Charanchi	2000	0.2%	1.5%	0.0%
Nigeria	Uzo-Uwani	2000	5.2%	12.4%	1.1%
Nigeria	Konduga	2000	0.8%	1.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	Bida	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Nsukka	2000	2.8%	4.5%	1.6%
Nigeria	NnewiSou	2000	0.6%	2.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Miga	2000	5.1%	14.8%	0.4%
Nigeria	Aboh-Mba	2000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	EkitiSouth-West	2000	9.6%	17.3%	3.7%
Nigeria	Osioma Ngwa	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Oyigbo	2000	17.9%	27.5%	4.9%
Nigeria	Baure	2000	2.2%	5.0%	1.1%
Nigeria	Ukwuani	2000	3.0%	10.0%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Kirfi	2000	2.7%	8.1%	0.5%
Nigeria	Nkanu West	2000	11.1%	13.9%	8.4%
Nigeria	Akoko-Ed	2000	11.3%	22.8%	4.8%
Nigeria	Ede South	2000	4.6%	8.3%	1.9%
Nigeria	Ibi	2000	5.0%	10.4%	1.6%
Nigeria	Kaura-Na	2000	0.5%	1.6%	0.0%
Nigeria	Song	2000	2.2%	5.0%	0.6%
Nigeria	Bungudu	2000	2.8%	11.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	Itas/Gad	2000	1.7%	5.9%	0.2%
Nigeria	Rogo	2000	0.9%	4.8%	0.0%
Nigeria	Igbo-Eti	2000	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%
Nigeria	Ajeromi/Ifelodun	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Akure North	2000	7.0%	16.0%	2.0%
Nigeria	Gwiwa	2000	2.1%	4.6%	0.9%
Nigeria	Oyi	2000	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	Uhunmwonde	2000	1.8%	4.2%	0.4%
Nigeria	Ikot-Ekp	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Kunchi	2000	0.4%	2.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Enugu North	2000	3.0%	4.8%	2.1%
Nigeria	Biase	2000	4.1%	12.3%	0.8%
Nigeria	Iwo	2000	14.7%	21.6%	6.6%
Nigeria	Oguta	2000	2.3%	10.7%	0.1%
Nigeria	Aguata	2000	0.5%	1.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	Marte	2000	1.4%	5.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ifako/Ijaye	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Isin	2000	5.4%	13.7%	1.3%
Nigeria	Bunkure	2000	0.5%	1.7%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ussa	2000	9.7%	24.1%	3.7%
Nigeria	Alkaleri	2000	3.3%	7.4%	1.0%
Nigeria	Fune	2000	2.2%	6.2%	0.6%
Nigeria	Isuikwua	2000	1.1%	4.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	AkokoNorthWest	2000	8.1%	19.3%	2.7%
Nigeria	Gaya	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	OgunWaterside	2000	2.5%	7.5%	0.4%
Nigeria	Obio/Akp	2000	4.4%	6.5%	2.6%
Nigeria	Karu	2000	2.9%	5.6%	1.1%
Nigeria	Ikere	2000	2.0%	3.4%	1.2%
Nigeria	Oron	2000	1.6%	4.7%	0.4%
Nigeria	Guyuk	2000	4.8%	16.3%	1.0%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-East	2000	1.1%	1.3%	0.9%
Nigeria	Silame	2000	1.7%	6.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Yagba West	2000	7.2%	14.8%	1.7%
Nigeria	Faskari	2000	1.1%	4.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ede North	2000	2.1%	2.9%	1.4%
Nigeria	IsialaMb	2000	1.5%	10.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Gada	2000	3.2%	7.9%	0.7%
Nigeria	Askira/U	2000	1.2%	3.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Orelope	2000	12.5%	22.0%	4.9%
Nigeria	AniochaS	2000	0.9%	3.7%	0.1%
Nigeria	Anka	2000	1.3%	3.9%	0.1%
Nigeria	Babura	2000	1.8%	7.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa North	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	NnewiNort	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.2%
Nigeria	Okobo	2000	0.7%	1.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	Doma	2000	3.9%	8.1%	1.6%
Nigeria	IkaNorth	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Mangu	2000	9.8%	18.5%	5.5%
Nigeria	Bekwarra	2000	3.0%	5.8%	0.9%
Nigeria	Talata-Mafara	2000	0.6%	2.9%	0.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Garum Malam	2000	0.2%	1.8%	0.0%
Nigeria	Albasu	2000	0.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Numan	2000	2.8%	9.0%	0.2%
Nigeria	Umuahia	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	North Ardo-Kola	2000	3.3%	7.9%	1.1%
Nigeria	Udu	2000	0.3%	0.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ipokia	2000	4.9%	12.5%	1.2%
Nigeria	Ife East	2000	6.9%	12.6%	2.5%
Nigeria	Makarfi	2000	0.3%	1.6%	0.0%
Nigeria	Daura	2000	1.9%	4.5%	0.3%
Nigeria	Isi-Uzo	2000	8.1%	18.3%	2.9%
Nigeria	Abak	2000	0.2%	0.9%	0.0%
Nigeria	Uvwie	2000	0.8%	2.4%	0.3%
Nigeria	Agatu	2000	5.7%	17.6%	0.6%
Nigeria	Dogwuwa	2000	2.1%	8.3%	0.2%
Nigeria	Akamkpa	2000	4.4%	8.6%	1.5%
Nigeria	Ezinihit	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Suleja	2000	0.5%	0.9%	0.2%
Nigeria	Abeokuta	2000	1.7%	2.4%	1.1%
Nigeria	South Asa	2000	12.7%	19.6%	7.9%
Nigeria	Ilesha East	2000	2.2%	4.8%	0.4%
Nigeria	Nkwerre	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Oye	2000	4.2%	12.0%	1.3%
Nigeria	Akukutor	2000	3.9%	17.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Idemili South	2000	0.4%	1.1%	0.1%
Nigeria	Eti-Osa	2000	0.8%	2.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	Imeko-Afon	2000	11.5%	29.0%	2.4%
Nigeria	Obot Akara	2000	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Nigeria	Potiskum	2000	0.3%	1.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Kaugama	2000	1.1%	3.6%	0.1%
Nigeria	Koko/Bes	2000	1.6%	4.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	Argungu	2000	0.7%	2.7%	0.1%
Nigeria	Mafa	2000	3.5%	9.6%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ini	2000	1.9%	10.9%	0.0%
Nigeria	Olorunsogo	2000	8.3%	15.4%	3.8%
Nigeria	Ndokwa West	2000	4.2%	10.7%	1.0%
Nigeria	Danja	2000	1.2%	5.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Maradun	2000	2.0%	5.9%	0.3%
Nigeria	Bukkuyum	2000	2.0%	5.7%	0.4%
Nigeria	Ibiono Ibom	2000	2.8%	11.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	Nafada	2000	1.6%	4.5%	0.4%
Nigeria	Ikole	2000	11.0%	23.2%	3.9%
Nigeria	Mani	2000	1.6%	7.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ikorodu	2000	3.3%	5.9%	1.7%
Nigeria	Zuru	2000	9.2%	17.5%	3.5%
Nigeria	Ohaji/Eg	2000	2.4%	7.9%	0.3%
Nigeria	Tangazar	2000	2.3%	6.0%	0.5%
Nigeria	KiriKasa	2000	0.5%	2.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	Obafemi-Owode	2000	6.4%	11.8%	3.5%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-East	2000	1.8%	2.1%	1.6%
Nigeria	Ibesikpo Asutan	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ose	2000	8.0%	15.1%	2.8%
Nigeria	OwanWest	2000	2.4%	10.0%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ilorin South	2000	0.9%	6.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Nganzai	2000	4.7%	12.9%	1.0%
Nigeria	Mopa-Muro	2000	9.4%	18.5%	3.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Moro	2000	9.8%	15.6%	5.6%
Nigeria	Aleiro	2000	0.4%	2.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ethiopo West	2000	1.7%	3.7%	0.7%
Nigeria	Shiroro	2000	4.7%	9.1%	2.1%
Nigeria	Owo	2000	7.3%	14.2%	2.7%
Nigeria	Ezza North	2000	12.6%	22.5%	6.2%
Nigeria	Katsina (K)	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Olamabor	2000	6.2%	12.5%	2.0%
Nigeria	Ikpoba-Okha	2000	1.0%	3.7%	0.1%
Nigeria	Kibiya	2000	0.6%	1.3%	0.2%
Nigeria	Okehi	2000	7.6%	12.6%	3.9%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2000	10.7%	18.2%	5.6%
Nigeria	EthiopoE	2000	5.4%	11.1%	1.5%
Nigeria	Etsako Central	2000	5.0%	15.7%	0.8%
Nigeria	Pankshin	2000	11.6%	20.4%	4.7%
Nigeria	Wase	2000	7.5%	14.2%	3.9%
Nigeria	IlorinWe	2000	3.1%	4.1%	2.3%
Nigeria	Batsari	2000	1.1%	4.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	Warri South-West	2000	6.5%	14.4%	2.1%
Nigeria	Gombe	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Mayo-Bel	2000	4.6%	10.0%	1.4%
Nigeria	Ungogo	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Khana	2000	4.1%	7.3%	2.3%
Nigeria	Funtua	2000	1.0%	4.6%	0.0%
Nigeria	Omumma	2000	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Bichi	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Tafawa-B	2000	5.3%	11.6%	1.3%
Nigeria	Sanga	2000	1.3%	5.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	Jahun	2000	2.4%	9.1%	0.2%
Nigeria	Bade	2000	1.1%	3.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	Etinan	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ezeagu	2000	12.0%	21.4%	5.1%
Nigeria	Gwale	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	OviaSouth-West	2000	3.2%	8.0%	0.9%
Nigeria	Nguru	2000	2.3%	4.6%	0.9%
Nigeria	Taura	2000	1.8%	6.8%	0.3%
Nigeria	Fakai	2000	4.5%	10.9%	1.1%
Nigeria	Nangere	2000	0.9%	3.5%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ibarapa North	2000	14.7%	28.9%	5.9%
Nigeria	Oru East	2000	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ayamelum	2000	3.5%	9.0%	0.7%
Nigeria	Ukwa East	2000	0.7%	5.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Shelleng	2000	2.5%	7.0%	0.3%
Nigeria	Ibaji	2000	8.9%	19.1%	3.0%
Nigeria	Augie	2000	2.5%	5.5%	0.9%
Nigeria	Maru	2000	2.7%	5.0%	1.0%
Nigeria	Ayedaade	2000	3.6%	9.3%	0.9%
Nigeria	Borgu	2000	4.4%	7.5%	2.2%
Nigeria	Zaki	2000	1.5%	7.0%	0.1%
Nigeria	Surulere	2000	11.8%	23.8%	4.2%
Nigeria	EsanWest	2000	0.7%	2.9%	0.0%
Nigeria	Kolokuma/Opoku	2000	21.0%	34.9%	10.3%
Nigeria	Buruku	2000	5.2%	13.0%	1.4%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa South	2000	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%
Nigeria	Sabon Birni	2000	1.3%	4.2%	0.2%
Nigeria	Mushin	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Onna	2000	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%
Nigeria	Shani	2000	3.1%	13.5%	0.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Dikwa	2000	2.2%	7.5%	0.2%
Nigeria	Kaiama	2000	10.3%	16.3%	5.6%
Nigeria	Ahoada East	2000	7.1%	14.4%	1.6%
Nigeria	Ohaukwu	2000	1.7%	3.3%	1.0%
Nigeria	Sule-Tan	2000	1.9%	7.9%	0.3%
Nigeria	Ebonyi	2000	1.1%	2.1%	0.7%
Nigeria	Okigwe	2000	2.0%	7.6%	0.3%
Nigeria	Isu	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Birnin-G	2000	2.7%	6.0%	0.9%
Nigeria	Gwagwala	2000	9.9%	15.5%	5.5%
Nigeria	Ogu/Bolo	2000	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%
Nigeria	Kachia	2000	2.1%	4.4%	0.6%
Nigeria	Ijero	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.6%
Nigeria	Oji-River	2000	3.0%	10.4%	0.7%
Nigeria	Akoko South-West	2000	4.1%	8.7%	2.0%
Nigeria	Sardauna	2000	4.4%	8.4%	1.6%
Nigeria	Ife South	2000	11.9%	20.2%	5.5%
Nigeria	OdoOtin	2000	3.9%	8.8%	1.0%
Nigeria	Uyo	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	EkitiWest	2000	2.6%	4.7%	1.0%
Nigeria	IlajeEseodo	2000	7.2%	14.2%	2.6%
Nigeria	Balanga	2000	4.4%	9.4%	1.4%
Nigeria	Ajingi	2000	0.2%	1.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Yankwashi	2000	1.5%	3.4%	0.6%
Nigeria	Mainland	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Afikpo	2000	8.2%	21.1%	1.8%
Nigeria	Okpokwu	2000	10.1%	23.4%	2.5%
Nigeria	Gwaram	2000	1.5%	5.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Oluyole	2000	3.7%	7.6%	1.8%
Nigeria	Ibarapa Central	2000	17.4%	35.6%	6.7%
Nigeria	Iwajowa	2000	14.6%	26.1%	7.1%
Nigeria	Takai	2000	0.4%	2.2%	0.0%
Nigeria	Kano	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Pategi	2000	15.7%	27.1%	6.4%
Nigeria	Sokoto South	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Ido	2000	2.5%	4.9%	1.3%
Nigeria	Mashegu	2000	4.6%	8.6%	2.1%
Nigeria	Ika	2000	0.1%	0.7%	0.0%
Nigeria	Obi	2000	7.6%	20.1%	1.4%
Nigeria	Shomgom	2000	3.6%	9.6%	0.4%
Nigeria	Mbo	2000	5.4%	17.1%	1.0%
Nigeria	Lere	2000	2.0%	5.0%	0.4%
Nigeria	Tarauni	2000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Nigeria	Kalgo	2000	2.6%	10.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	EgbadoSouth	2000	1.4%	4.1%	0.3%
Nigeria	Kudan	2000	1.3%	5.6%	0.0%
Nigeria	Machina	2000	1.6%	5.7%	0.2%
Nigeria	Ese-Odo	2000	2.2%	8.2%	0.3%
Nigeria	IfeCentral	2000	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%
Nigeria	Sagbama	2000	17.9%	24.8%	12.0%
Nigeria	Nwangele	2000	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%
Nigeria	Kaga	2000	2.3%	7.1%	0.3%
Nigeria	Madagali	2000	1.2%	5.4%	0.1%
Nigeria	Warri North	2000	4.5%	13.8%	1.0%
Nigeria	Idemili North	2000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%
Nigeria	Jos East	2000	5.3%	14.1%	1.2%
Nigeria	Agwara	2000	2.5%	8.6%	0.2%
Nigeria	Kwande	2000	7.0%	13.3%	2.9%
Nigeria	Yenegoa	2000	4.4%	6.8%	2.1%
Nigeria	Kafur	2000	1.4%	5.5%	0.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Tarka	2000	1.1%	5.5%	0.1%
Nigeria	BirninKe	2000	1.2%	2.8%	0.3%
Nigeria	Egbedore	2000	1.9%	3.6%	1.3%
Nigeria	Garko	2000	7.5%	14.9%	2.2%
Nigeria	Karasuwa	2000	5.5%	10.8%	2.7%
Nigeria	Darazo	2000	2.3%	5.7%	0.5%
Nigeria	Obi	2000	4.4%	8.7%	1.4%
Nigeria	Itesiwaju	2000	10.2%	18.3%	4.1%
Nigeria	Alimosho	2000	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%
Nigeria	Oyo East	2000	5.4%	11.2%	1.9%
Nigeria	Giade	2000	0.9%	4.5%	0.0%
Nigeria	Orsu	2000	1.0%	6.0%	0.1%
Nigeria	Ewekoro	2000	7.7%	25.7%	1.4%
Nigeria	Jema'a	2000	1.7%	5.4%	0.3%
Nigeria	DawakinK	2000	0.2%	1.3%	0.0%
Nigeria	Warawa	2000	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%
Nigeria	EnuguSou	2017	63.2%	66.4%	59.7%
Nigeria	Mubi North	2017	41.9%	55.2%	27.7%
Nigeria	Ado-Ekiti	2017	20.0%	25.4%	16.5%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2017	50.9%	56.6%	44.8%
Nigeria	Ohimini	2017	45.9%	63.8%	28.8%
Nigeria	Ningi	2017	32.3%	43.4%	21.5%
Nigeria	Sokoto North	2017	18.8%	20.9%	16.7%
Nigeria	Sanga	2017	24.4%	39.2%	11.1%
Nigeria	Ogbia	2017	43.4%	54.1%	33.7%
Nigeria	Ifako/Ijaye	2017	10.4%	11.6%	9.2%
Nigeria	Ijumu	2017	64.5%	79.0%	48.5%
Nigeria	Dala	2017	16.6%	18.3%	15.1%
Nigeria	Aba South	2017	22.2%	25.3%	20.0%
Nigeria	Gbako	2017	43.9%	57.3%	32.7%
Nigeria	Emure/Ise/Orun	2017	71.6%	84.5%	54.6%
Nigeria	Langtang South	2017	62.7%	82.2%	41.0%
Nigeria	Oruk-Ana	2017	8.1%	16.8%	3.2%
Nigeria	Riyom	2017	32.4%	43.6%	20.3%
Nigeria	Jos South	2017	30.3%	38.3%	23.7%
Nigeria	Gamawa	2017	25.6%	40.4%	11.2%
Nigeria	Ahoda West	2017	65.1%	72.6%	55.2%
Nigeria	Ogba/Egbe	2017	17.1%	23.9%	11.7%
Nigeria	Barkin Ladi	2017	52.0%	64.9%	39.8%
Nigeria	Emuoha	2017	31.7%	43.0%	23.1%
Nigeria	Amuwo Odofin	2017	3.4%	5.3%	2.5%
Nigeria	IjebuOde	2017	15.4%	17.9%	13.1%
Nigeria	Wamakko	2017	19.8%	26.5%	14.9%
Nigeria	Obubra	2017	58.1%	72.1%	41.8%
Nigeria	Ikpoba-Okha	2017	20.7%	29.3%	13.6%
Nigeria	Ekwusigo	2017	49.4%	59.4%	39.2%
Nigeria	Kurmi	2017	39.6%	51.4%	29.5%
Nigeria	Ikono	2017	17.2%	26.7%	8.8%
Nigeria	Irele	2017	43.7%	56.2%	29.2%
Nigeria	Hadejia	2017	13.7%	17.3%	10.8%
Nigeria	OviaNort	2017	39.3%	47.8%	30.6%
Nigeria	Nassarawa Egon	2017	63.9%	76.7%	50.4%
Nigeria	Kukawa	2017	32.3%	48.8%	15.5%
Nigeria	Ikwerre	2017	14.3%	21.1%	10.0%
Nigeria	Adavi	2017	68.1%	76.4%	57.6%
Nigeria	Ibeno	2017	47.1%	62.6%	31.3%
Nigeria	Akoko North-East	2017	54.4%	68.6%	38.2%
Nigeria	Aba North	2017	22.1%	23.9%	20.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Jos North	2017	37.7%	41.4%	33.8%
Nigeria	Kanke	2017	59.9%	71.8%	44.6%
Nigeria	Eket	2017	20.1%	27.6%	14.0%
Nigeria	Shendam	2017	49.6%	60.3%	40.2%
Nigeria	BirninKu	2017	15.8%	31.2%	5.5%
Nigeria	Aguata	2017	22.8%	32.9%	15.0%
Nigeria	Jada	2017	43.3%	60.0%	24.4%
Nigeria	Ezza South	2017	62.1%	74.8%	49.4%
Nigeria	Odogbolu	2017	19.7%	31.3%	10.5%
Nigeria	IkaSouth	2017	14.1%	26.7%	6.5%
Nigeria	Misau	2017	14.1%	25.5%	4.8%
Nigeria	Wamba	2017	46.9%	61.6%	33.6%
Nigeria	Ideato South	2017	27.9%	32.5%	23.6%
Nigeria	Funakaye	2017	25.0%	34.6%	15.1%
Nigeria	Chikun	2017	23.2%	32.7%	15.1%
Nigeria	Ahizu-Mb	2017	19.7%	22.7%	17.3%
Nigeria	Gwarzo	2017	13.6%	25.6%	6.7%
Nigeria	Ivo	2017	60.5%	80.2%	43.2%
Nigeria	Mkpat Enin	2017	6.5%	9.8%	4.1%
Nigeria	Southern Ijaw	2017	50.0%	60.0%	39.0%
Nigeria	Osogbo	2017	41.1%	52.9%	30.0%
Nigeria	DawakinT	2017	7.2%	10.3%	4.9%
Nigeria	Malumfashi	2017	11.7%	23.7%	5.3%
Nigeria	Keffi	2017	37.6%	54.5%	20.3%
Nigeria	GwerWest	2017	47.8%	71.6%	23.7%
Nigeria	Ibiono Ibom	2017	29.1%	46.4%	13.5%
Nigeria	Omala	2017	55.2%	69.1%	42.3%
Nigeria	Mai'Adua	2017	49.2%	59.7%	39.8%
Nigeria	Ikara	2017	27.5%	50.4%	10.2%
Nigeria	Ifedore	2017	59.9%	73.4%	41.8%
Nigeria	Obudu	2017	45.3%	57.9%	34.5%
Nigeria	Sabon-Ga	2017	15.4%	22.7%	8.3%
Nigeria	Ngor-Okp	2017	26.9%	29.6%	23.6%
Nigeria	Rabah	2017	30.3%	46.6%	16.8%
Nigeria	Iseyin	2017	62.1%	77.9%	45.0%
Nigeria	Kauru	2017	34.4%	50.3%	22.2%
Nigeria	Kiru	2017	15.8%	28.4%	6.7%
Nigeria	Kankara	2017	13.3%	23.6%	5.8%
Nigeria	Onicha	2017	57.6%	72.0%	41.6%
Nigeria	Ilejemeje	2017	57.9%	66.5%	48.7%
Nigeria	Ingawa	2017	42.3%	59.5%	23.1%
Nigeria	Bida	2017	20.6%	26.7%	16.8%
Nigeria	Igabi	2017	19.9%	27.2%	12.9%
Nigeria	Maidugur	2017	6.9%	10.0%	5.2%
Nigeria	Oyigbo	2017	32.8%	44.4%	23.0%
Nigeria	Gujba	2017	35.3%	50.5%	21.8%
Nigeria	Unuimo	2017	33.6%	47.3%	23.6%
Nigeria	IjebuEast	2017	35.2%	45.9%	24.3%
Nigeria	Yakurr	2017	26.9%	39.2%	18.1%
Nigeria	Bogoro	2017	42.7%	61.4%	24.3%
Nigeria	Bende	2017	21.8%	32.6%	14.1%
Nigeria	Igueben	2017	15.8%	29.0%	5.0%
Nigeria	Bagwai	2017	11.9%	27.3%	3.6%
Nigeria	Sabuwa	2017	27.6%	49.7%	12.6%
Nigeria	Surulere	2017	48.4%	63.3%	35.1%
Nigeria	Takum	2017	56.7%	76.0%	39.3%
Nigeria	Udu	2017	32.4%	37.6%	26.3%
Nigeria	Ikenne	2017	26.4%	39.5%	17.6%
Nigeria	Gummi	2017	27.3%	38.6%	18.1%
Nigeria	Ola-Oluwa	2017	51.8%	58.8%	46.5%
Nigeria	Buji	2017	21.4%	45.0%	6.7%
Nigeria	Mokwa	2017	49.8%	59.0%	41.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Shomolu	2017	8.6%	9.8%	7.5%
Nigeria	Ishielu	2017	63.0%	71.6%	52.7%
Nigeria	Dukku	2017	25.8%	35.4%	17.5%
Nigeria	Okpe	2017	35.7%	53.8%	19.9%
Nigeria	Port Harcourt	2017	23.8%	26.0%	21.6%
Nigeria	Kibiya	2017	24.1%	36.4%	14.6%
Nigeria	Tafa	2017	46.3%	48.7%	43.8%
Nigeria	Gusau	2017	23.5%	30.1%	17.3%
Nigeria	Lokoja	2017	48.4%	58.9%	39.8%
Nigeria	Toro	2017	33.9%	44.1%	24.1%
Nigeria	Opobo/Nkoro	2017	22.4%	32.0%	14.4%
Nigeria	Etsako Central	2017	50.0%	69.4%	33.5%
Nigeria	Irepodun/Ifelodun	2017	45.0%	53.3%	37.4%
Nigeria	Wurno	2017	20.3%	30.4%	13.2%
Nigeria	Ika	2017	12.1%	21.5%	6.0%
Nigeria	Ndakwa West	2017	42.1%	56.0%	30.0%
Nigeria	Mashi	2017	27.8%	44.0%	15.4%
Nigeria	Bebeji	2017	17.9%	31.6%	7.5%
Nigeria	Ughelli South	2017	34.8%	43.7%	27.1%
Nigeria	Ayedaade	2017	48.5%	62.1%	35.6%
Nigeria	Afijio	2017	61.1%	75.2%	44.4%
Nigeria	Oju	2017	49.3%	73.9%	26.3%
Nigeria	Goronyo	2017	33.7%	50.8%	20.5%
Nigeria	Degema	2017	32.4%	45.4%	19.9%
Nigeria	Nkwerre	2017	15.9%	18.1%	13.5%
Nigeria	Udenu	2017	37.1%	40.8%	34.0%
Nigeria	Bomadi	2017	48.3%	66.2%	31.9%
Nigeria	Itu	2017	18.6%	24.6%	13.4%
Nigeria	Bokkos	2017	55.7%	75.3%	37.9%
Nigeria	Esit Eket	2017	30.2%	55.5%	6.4%
Nigeria	Lamurde	2017	32.8%	42.6%	22.4%
Nigeria	Ifo	2017	24.7%	33.9%	16.4%
Nigeria	Batagarawa	2017	13.7%	20.7%	8.8%
Nigeria	Damban	2017	18.1%	32.0%	7.4%
Nigeria	Izzi	2017	51.9%	71.2%	34.1%
Nigeria	Ohaozara	2017	55.4%	74.6%	37.5%
Nigeria	Njikoka	2017	9.0%	14.6%	4.9%
Nigeria	Guzamala	2017	32.6%	52.7%	17.5%
Nigeria	Akko	2017	25.1%	31.6%	19.2%
Nigeria	Gboko	2017	39.8%	47.6%	32.5%
Nigeria	Akinyele	2017	42.1%	54.3%	30.8%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2017	57.3%	60.6%	52.4%
Nigeria	Ikot-Ekp	2017	9.4%	12.7%	6.3%
Nigeria	Billiri	2017	27.6%	39.6%	18.4%
Nigeria	Katagum	2017	20.2%	30.1%	12.6%
Nigeria	Bunza	2017	34.0%	53.8%	17.4%
Nigeria	Yagba East	2017	56.6%	69.8%	41.8%
Nigeria	Ikeja	2017	2.7%	3.5%	2.0%
Nigeria	Gassol	2017	50.6%	61.8%	39.4%
Nigeria	Kaugama	2017	25.4%	38.7%	12.9%
Nigeria	Rimi	2017	19.8%	35.0%	6.4%
Nigeria	Orlu	2017	14.8%	17.9%	13.1%
Nigeria	Ejigbo	2017	49.8%	55.5%	44.1%
Nigeria	Shagamu	2017	26.2%	41.0%	16.5%
Nigeria	Ihitte/U	2017	15.9%	19.1%	12.9%
Nigeria	IdeatoNo	2017	21.2%	27.9%	16.5%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho North	2017	49.5%	59.0%	37.2%
Nigeria	Jalingo	2017	43.8%	57.1%	28.3%
Nigeria	EsanWest	2017	20.6%	38.2%	8.1%
Nigeria	Ushongo	2017	61.0%	73.1%	48.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Jibia	2017	19.9%	31.4%	10.5%
Nigeria	EsanNort	2017	22.7%	30.1%	15.8%
Nigeria	EsanSout	2017	31.8%	44.8%	19.8%
Nigeria	Orhionmw	2017	30.9%	46.4%	19.6%
Nigeria	EtimEkpo	2017	21.9%	45.0%	5.1%
Nigeria	Demsa	2017	19.0%	25.6%	14.0%
Nigeria	Lagelu	2017	44.2%	48.3%	40.1%
Nigeria	Daura	2017	35.7%	45.8%	27.6%
Nigeria	Jega	2017	26.6%	42.1%	14.4%
Nigeria	Agaie	2017	57.8%	72.5%	39.9%
Nigeria	EtsakoEa	2017	43.1%	56.0%	28.6%
Nigeria	Odukpani	2017	37.5%	52.0%	24.5%
Nigeria	Wukari	2017	57.9%	65.8%	49.0%
Nigeria	Kazaure	2017	29.3%	44.9%	17.7%
Nigeria	Ogbaru	2017	38.1%	62.9%	14.9%
Nigeria	Nasarawa	2017	51.0%	59.7%	43.2%
Nigeria	Atakumosa West	2017	59.5%	72.1%	47.1%
Nigeria	Keana	2017	44.2%	57.1%	31.8%
Nigeria	Aleiro	2017	23.7%	35.2%	13.6%
Nigeria	Silame	2017	20.5%	34.6%	8.3%
Nigeria	Zaria	2017	20.8%	25.2%	16.0%
Nigeria	Yamaltu	2017	27.1%	37.4%	17.7%
Nigeria	Donga	2017	40.2%	51.5%	28.4%
Nigeria	Kwaya Kusar	2017	30.1%	54.2%	10.9%
Nigeria	Arochukw	2017	23.2%	33.0%	13.4%
Nigeria	Onitsha North	2017	4.9%	8.0%	2.8%
Nigeria	Eleme	2017	14.8%	18.5%	11.5%
Nigeria	Lapai	2017	49.8%	61.1%	40.1%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth	2017	40.6%	42.6%	38.5%
Nigeria	Lafia	2017	39.8%	45.5%	33.7%
Nigeria	Potiskum	2017	22.5%	32.9%	15.2%
Nigeria	Isin	2017	48.9%	62.1%	35.1%
Nigeria	Shomgom	2017	38.6%	53.5%	23.0%
Nigeria	Yankwashi	2017	37.1%	50.5%	25.3%
Nigeria	Atakumosa East	2017	44.5%	52.0%	36.1%
Nigeria	Mashegu	2017	44.7%	53.5%	35.9%
Nigeria	Ogbadibo	2017	55.5%	69.8%	37.0%
Nigeria	Kumbotso	2017	13.5%	14.9%	12.2%
Nigeria	Dutsin-M	2017	22.2%	37.3%	11.3%
Nigeria	Katcha	2017	53.0%	71.0%	35.6%
Nigeria	Katsina (Benue)	2017	51.3%	64.5%	39.6%
Nigeria	Nassaraw	2017	19.7%	21.3%	18.3%
Nigeria	Isokan	2017	65.4%	83.2%	45.5%
Nigeria	Tarmuwa	2017	43.1%	56.4%	30.5%
Nigeria	Idanre	2017	42.8%	56.7%	28.7%
Nigeria	EtsakoWe	2017	33.1%	47.2%	22.5%
Nigeria	Dutse	2017	15.8%	26.8%	5.6%
Nigeria	Egor	2017	16.1%	20.5%	11.5%
Nigeria	Obowo	2017	18.2%	20.7%	15.5%
Nigeria	Olorunda	2017	38.9%	43.2%	33.3%
Nigeria	IsialaMb	2017	18.6%	36.1%	6.7%
Nigeria	Song	2017	32.0%	42.2%	22.2%
Nigeria	Monguno	2017	31.4%	48.9%	14.2%
Nigeria	Bungudu	2017	25.3%	37.2%	12.2%
Nigeria	Konshish	2017	53.4%	69.8%	36.5%
Nigeria	Burutu	2017	47.3%	63.1%	32.1%
Nigeria	Irewole	2017	49.5%	60.1%	38.1%
Nigeria	Andoni/O	2017	43.2%	54.8%	31.7%
Nigeria	Safana	2017	29.8%	45.4%	15.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ehime-Mb	2017	20.0%	36.0%	7.9%
Nigeria	Oyo West	2017	56.7%	64.0%	49.3%
Nigeria	Ngaski	2017	38.7%	54.2%	25.2%
Nigeria	Baure	2017	37.6%	53.3%	26.6%
Nigeria	Abadam	2017	34.5%	50.3%	17.8%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-West	2017	16.9%	18.7%	14.9%
Nigeria	Umuahia South	2017	23.9%	28.4%	19.2%
Nigeria	Uruan	2017	22.5%	38.2%	9.6%
Nigeria	Shanono	2017	15.1%	31.0%	4.1%
Nigeria	Dass	2017	34.4%	71.8%	8.4%
Nigeria	Mopa-Muro	2017	69.7%	79.2%	58.1%
Nigeria	Ori-Ire	2017	51.2%	65.5%	35.0%
Nigeria	Muya	2017	52.3%	66.9%	38.9%
Nigeria	Kuje	2017	65.1%	74.6%	54.4%
Nigeria	Akamkpa	2017	38.0%	51.0%	25.9%
Nigeria	Dambo	2017	33.1%	43.8%	23.4%
Nigeria	Garum Malam	2017	10.4%	20.4%	3.1%
Nigeria	Ringim	2017	26.4%	38.8%	15.9%
Nigeria	NnewiSou	2017	25.1%	36.0%	15.6%
Nigeria	Toto	2017	55.0%	69.8%	38.5%
Nigeria	Kiyawa	2017	29.6%	51.2%	11.7%
Nigeria	Biase	2017	49.0%	67.9%	33.0%
Nigeria	Obanliku	2017	25.4%	34.3%	17.1%
Nigeria	Oye	2017	52.6%	66.0%	35.1%
Nigeria	Gamjuwa	2017	35.2%	49.7%	22.9%
Nigeria	Yala Cross	2017	47.5%	61.0%	35.6%
Nigeria	Maigatari	2017	36.5%	54.0%	21.3%
Nigeria	Ikom	2017	48.0%	67.1%	28.9%
Nigeria	Isa	2017	21.9%	33.0%	13.3%
Nigeria	OrumbaNo	2017	33.2%	39.5%	25.1%
Nigeria	Machina	2017	33.6%	50.9%	18.5%
Nigeria	Shinkafi	2017	16.4%	27.8%	8.9%
Nigeria	Ondo West	2017	48.1%	62.5%	31.0%
Nigeria	Orsu	2017	22.2%	39.3%	12.6%
Nigeria	Gubio	2017	30.5%	49.3%	16.0%
Nigeria	Rafi	2017	38.6%	53.2%	27.6%
Nigeria	Magumeri	2017	32.9%	46.0%	20.3%
Nigeria	Njaba	2017	15.5%	18.3%	13.1%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze North	2017	64.5%	68.0%	60.2%
Nigeria	Yauri	2017	34.4%	55.2%	16.2%
Nigeria	Arewa	2017	43.1%	52.9%	31.7%
Nigeria	Paikoro	2017	56.1%	69.0%	45.9%
Nigeria	AwkaNort	2017	22.9%	45.4%	7.8%
Nigeria	Wudil	2017	21.4%	31.4%	14.0%
Nigeria	Fagge	2017	14.1%	15.4%	13.0%
Nigeria	Soba	2017	23.5%	37.3%	11.0%
Nigeria	Gwandu	2017	28.6%	42.6%	16.7%
Nigeria	Idah	2017	45.2%	53.4%	37.0%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-West	2017	33.9%	36.3%	31.6%
Nigeria	Boki	2017	36.8%	50.9%	22.9%
Nigeria	Etche	2017	17.2%	21.9%	13.8%
Nigeria	Kabo	2017	5.6%	11.5%	2.8%
Nigeria	AbujaMun	2017	27.7%	30.7%	23.8%
Nigeria	Gumel	2017	32.0%	42.5%	22.1%
Nigeria	Ikere	2017	47.5%	54.5%	41.2%
Nigeria	Saki East	2017	66.8%	78.9%	49.4%
Nigeria	Aninri	2017	68.4%	75.9%	61.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Gboyin	2017	42.7%	54.1%	32.7%
Nigeria	Bakori	2017	16.4%	25.6%	8.9%
Nigeria	Illela	2017	44.7%	58.0%	29.3%
Nigeria	Kaduna North	2017	12.3%	18.9%	7.5%
Nigeria	Ogori/Magongo	2017	76.3%	81.8%	68.5%
Nigeria	Etung	2017	49.0%	73.2%	27.2%
Nigeria	Oyun	2017	55.3%	61.1%	48.2%
Nigeria	Tureta	2017	27.7%	41.6%	14.6%
Nigeria	Owerri West	2017	26.9%	34.1%	22.1%
Nigeria	OviaSouth-West	2017	31.0%	43.0%	19.3%
Nigeria	Efon	2017	44.7%	50.4%	37.0%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa North	2017	15.2%	21.0%	11.0%
Nigeria	Maiha	2017	26.8%	48.6%	7.2%
Nigeria	Sokoto South	2017	23.7%	26.3%	21.3%
Nigeria	Ojo	2017	22.3%	26.5%	18.0%
Nigeria	Obokun	2017	47.1%	55.8%	38.5%
Nigeria	IlorinWe	2017	46.7%	48.7%	44.3%
Nigeria	Qua'anpa	2017	56.8%	67.6%	43.9%
Nigeria	Ankpa	2017	52.9%	62.6%	43.7%
Nigeria	Rogo	2017	18.3%	36.3%	7.1%
Nigeria	Kolokuma/Opoku	2017	64.1%	70.6%	55.6%
Nigeria	IsokoSou	2017	36.6%	47.1%	26.3%
Nigeria	Abaji	2017	43.1%	54.3%	33.8%
Nigeria	Owerri Municipal	2017	17.6%	20.3%	15.2%
Nigeria	Ukwa West	2017	15.1%	18.6%	11.7%
Nigeria	Zurmi	2017	20.1%	31.2%	11.5%
Nigeria	Boripe	2017	61.8%	66.3%	56.8%
Nigeria	Ondo East	2017	51.8%	64.8%	39.0%
Nigeria	Garki	2017	28.8%	44.0%	14.4%
Nigeria	Danko Wasagu	2017	41.5%	54.7%	29.9%
Nigeria	Borsari	2017	37.1%	48.1%	28.2%
Nigeria	Eti-Osa	2017	20.0%	26.8%	14.4%
Nigeria	Sardauna	2017	41.0%	49.4%	32.5%
Nigeria	NnewiNort	2017	23.0%	26.1%	19.7%
Nigeria	Badagary	2017	34.9%	47.9%	23.1%
Nigeria	Oron	2017	36.3%	42.2%	28.8%
Nigeria	Oyi	2017	14.8%	19.0%	11.3%
Nigeria	Zing	2017	30.0%	41.9%	20.1%
Nigeria	Matazu	2017	19.7%	36.6%	5.3%
Nigeria	Gwiwa	2017	27.8%	35.7%	20.5%
Nigeria	Gokana	2017	25.8%	34.0%	20.3%
Nigeria	Ndokwa East	2017	39.7%	54.1%	26.4%
Nigeria	Kala/Balge	2017	32.3%	54.0%	15.9%
Nigeria	Makoda	2017	17.2%	28.4%	5.2%
Nigeria	Dambatta	2017	23.4%	33.2%	13.4%
Nigeria	Okrika	2017	19.1%	31.8%	12.2%
Nigeria	Tarka	2017	33.2%	48.0%	16.1%
Nigeria	Geidam	2017	38.3%	49.9%	27.2%
Nigeria	IlajeEseodo	2017	59.7%	70.2%	47.1%
Nigeria	Ughelli North	2017	39.1%	44.3%	33.7%
Nigeria	MalamMad	2017	12.7%	17.9%	8.6%
Nigeria	Offa	2017	46.8%	51.8%	40.2%
Nigeria	Ona-Ara	2017	46.5%	51.0%	42.5%
Nigeria	Ajaokuta	2017	60.5%	73.8%	47.2%
Nigeria	Gwadabaw	2017	25.7%	37.2%	16.5%
Nigeria	Ilesha West	2017	20.7%	35.2%	10.8%
Nigeria	Kontogur	2017	29.1%	40.8%	16.7%
Nigeria	Gaya	2017	8.6%	16.6%	4.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Jaba	2017	35.8%	46.7%	25.3%
Nigeria	Akwanga	2017	41.7%	58.6%	25.9%
Nigeria	Magama	2017	41.2%	53.4%	29.2%
Nigeria	Akpabuyo	2017	24.9%	36.1%	15.1%
Nigeria	Bosso	2017	33.1%	45.2%	21.7%
Nigeria	Warri South	2017	20.5%	29.8%	13.3%
Nigeria	Onitsha South	2017	4.0%	12.0%	0.5%
Nigeria	Ose	2017	54.1%	68.7%	40.4%
Nigeria	Igalamela-Odolu	2017	49.0%	56.1%	41.6%
Nigeria	Karim-La	2017	47.3%	57.4%	37.7%
Nigeria	Calabar South	2017	60.8%	75.0%	44.8%
Nigeria	Wushishi	2017	50.0%	67.9%	30.4%
Nigeria	Kagarko	2017	40.4%	50.6%	31.1%
Nigeria	IkaNorth	2017	6.2%	9.9%	4.2%
Nigeria	Oriade	2017	54.3%	62.0%	42.9%
Nigeria	Kura	2017	6.8%	12.5%	3.7%
Nigeria	Oshimili	2017	23.1%	37.9%	11.1%
Nigeria	North				
Nigeria	Ethiope West	2017	50.1%	59.3%	39.8%
Nigeria	Shanga	2017	33.6%	48.9%	19.3%
Nigeria	Nsukka	2017	42.4%	45.7%	39.2%
Nigeria	Edati	2017	43.5%	59.0%	28.0%
Nigeria	Ikole	2017	61.5%	75.6%	46.9%
Nigeria	Akure South	2017	28.7%	34.4%	20.2%
Nigeria	Dutsi	2017	20.7%	30.8%	13.2%
Nigeria	EsanCent	2017	12.5%	21.6%	6.4%
Nigeria	Kurfi	2017	21.0%	41.6%	5.1%
Nigeria	Irepo	2017	72.5%	82.9%	59.5%
Nigeria	Biu	2017	36.0%	49.8%	22.3%
Nigeria	Ado	2017	50.8%	69.3%	36.2%
Nigeria	Obot Akara	2017	6.2%	21.8%	0.6%
Nigeria	AwkaSout	2017	15.5%	24.9%	10.1%
Nigeria	Obafemi-Owode	2017	40.5%	49.3%	32.1%
Nigeria	Atiba	2017	61.3%	75.3%	43.8%
Nigeria	Onna	2017	11.6%	27.5%	3.8%
Nigeria	Bonny	2017	31.6%	45.1%	20.4%
Nigeria	Mbaitoli	2017	23.4%	26.4%	20.8%
Nigeria	Kabba/Bu	2017	47.1%	57.2%	36.0%
Nigeria	Bodinga	2017	14.1%	36.2%	5.8%
Nigeria	Shira	2017	24.1%	39.5%	13.0%
Nigeria	Dandume	2017	12.7%	26.2%	6.0%
Nigeria	Oturkpo	2017	52.4%	70.0%	31.3%
Nigeria	Ife North	2017	59.9%	67.5%	51.5%
Nigeria	Batsari	2017	22.3%	36.2%	8.1%
Nigeria	Asari-To	2017	34.0%	51.6%	17.1%
Nigeria	Yorro	2017	46.8%	60.3%	30.5%
Nigeria	Ijebu North-East	2017	27.7%	34.3%	21.6%
Nigeria	Lake Chad	2017	32.5%	45.1%	19.7%
Nigeria	Ibarapa East	2017	65.1%	81.1%	48.0%
Nigeria	Askira/U	2017	23.4%	35.8%	12.1%
Nigeria	Akukutor	2017	28.1%	47.8%	11.8%
Nigeria	Madagali	2017	37.2%	59.1%	18.5%
Nigeria	EkitiEas	2017	58.4%	68.2%	47.5%
Nigeria	Pankshin	2017	64.8%	76.6%	52.3%
Nigeria	Ibesikpo Asutan	2017	15.3%	32.9%	6.3%
Nigeria	Sabon Birni	2017	28.7%	39.8%	17.6%
Nigeria	Rijau	2017	30.2%	42.8%	19.9%
Nigeria	Doguwa	2017	27.2%	47.0%	11.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Ikwo	2017	56.1%	66.3%	44.7%
Nigeria	Jakusko	2017	35.8%	46.5%	26.5%
Nigeria	Saki West	2017	63.3%	75.4%	50.8%
Nigeria	Oshimili South	2017	14.5%	21.7%	8.4%
Nigeria	Apa	2017	48.7%	71.7%	23.0%
Nigeria	Binji	2017	33.8%	48.1%	25.0%
Nigeria	Numan	2017	33.7%	47.4%	19.3%
Nigeria	Biriniwa	2017	29.4%	42.9%	18.1%
Nigeria	Karu	2017	32.6%	42.4%	23.7%
Nigeria	Bauchi	2017	34.0%	42.4%	25.9%
Nigeria	Mobbar	2017	31.9%	46.9%	17.1%
Nigeria	Dandi	2017	38.4%	48.1%	28.5%
Nigeria	Kwami	2017	25.3%	34.7%	17.1%
Nigeria	IleOluji/Okeigbo	2017	62.4%	73.6%	44.5%
Nigeria	Bayo	2017	34.2%	55.6%	13.7%
Nigeria	Ukanafun	2017	16.8%	30.6%	6.5%
Nigeria	Mubi South	2017	24.0%	55.5%	4.4%
Nigeria	Gagarawa	2017	32.6%	54.1%	13.3%
Nigeria	Ipokia	2017	46.5%	65.7%	26.8%
Nigeria	Ife East	2017	52.3%	63.9%	41.3%
Nigeria	Gwale	2017	16.4%	18.0%	15.0%
Nigeria	Bassa	2017	40.7%	50.9%	29.7%
Nigeria	Epe	2017	24.7%	31.2%	18.5%
Nigeria	Argungu	2017	23.4%	33.5%	14.9%
Nigeria	Iwo	2017	43.4%	56.3%	29.5%
Nigeria	Fika	2017	32.7%	49.8%	18.2%
Nigeria	Essien-U	2017	13.8%	29.8%	3.2%
Nigeria	Nangere	2017	36.6%	48.1%	26.8%
Nigeria	Agege	2017	1.5%	2.3%	1.0%
Nigeria	Ezeagu	2017	64.4%	76.8%	49.5%
Nigeria	Oke-Ero	2017	53.0%	66.9%	38.1%
Nigeria	AkokoNorthWest	2017	56.3%	67.7%	42.9%
Nigeria	Giade	2017	18.0%	32.9%	6.8%
Nigeria	Teungo	2017	39.4%	54.1%	24.0%
Nigeria	Ikeduru	2017	22.4%	25.2%	19.5%
Nigeria	Kokona	2017	43.9%	58.1%	29.8%
Nigeria	EkitiWest	2017	44.7%	49.9%	40.9%
Nigeria	Mangu	2017	58.2%	68.8%	46.5%
Nigeria	Ihiala	2017	25.8%	31.5%	21.0%
Nigeria	Yunusari	2017	41.8%	55.1%	29.4%
Nigeria	Karaye	2017	8.8%	20.5%	1.7%
Nigeria	IbadanSouth-East	2017	34.6%	37.2%	31.9%
Nigeria	Ayedire	2017	43.9%	59.7%	27.9%
Nigeria	Boluwaduro	2017	55.2%	61.5%	48.5%
Nigeria	Marte	2017	27.0%	45.2%	12.7%
Nigeria	Roni	2017	18.9%	32.4%	6.7%
Nigeria	Nkanu East	2017	58.2%	67.6%	49.3%
Nigeria	Egbeda	2017	40.3%	44.0%	35.9%
Nigeria	Tsanyawa	2017	21.9%	37.2%	10.9%
Nigeria	Warji	2017	21.6%	39.3%	7.7%
Nigeria	Zango	2017	23.7%	32.9%	15.8%
Nigeria	Makurdi	2017	40.9%	53.3%	28.2%
Nigeria	Tofa	2017	6.0%	7.7%	4.6%
Nigeria	Agatu	2017	48.9%	73.2%	25.2%
Nigeria	UrueOffo	2017	31.0%	64.5%	6.0%
Nigeria	Oboma Ngwa	2017	16.9%	19.9%	15.0%
Nigeria	Giwa	2017	29.4%	42.6%	18.7%
Nigeria	Nsit Ubium	2017	10.8%	15.1%	8.1%
Nigeria	Madobi	2017	10.6%	12.6%	8.7%
Nigeria	Rano	2017	20.8%	35.6%	9.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Talata-Mafara	2017	17.2%	31.8%	6.0%
Nigeria	AfikpoSo	2017	56.8%	71.7%	41.0%
Nigeria	Asa	2017	60.6%	67.3%	53.6%
Nigeria	Mikang	2017	62.6%	81.2%	45.5%
Nigeria	Ogbomosho South	2017	50.7%	54.8%	46.2%
Nigeria	Ibarapa North	2017	69.3%	85.4%	53.1%
Nigeria	Ohaukwu	2017	44.5%	50.3%	39.0%
Nigeria	Abakalik	2017	38.5%	41.7%	35.1%
Nigeria	Kafur	2017	25.3%	38.8%	13.5%
Nigeria	IbadanNorth-East	2017	49.6%	50.9%	48.3%
Nigeria	Ibeju/Lekki	2017	47.3%	65.7%	33.6%
Nigeria	Jama'are	2017	22.7%	42.0%	6.9%
Nigeria	Ibi	2017	46.4%	59.3%	34.1%
Nigeria	Shagari	2017	23.7%	36.9%	13.3%
Nigeria	Logo	2017	52.6%	72.6%	30.2%
Nigeria	Igbo-eze South	2017	47.9%	52.1%	44.8%
Nigeria	Abua/Odu	2017	44.2%	56.5%	32.9%
Nigeria	Sumaila	2017	32.0%	48.5%	19.0%
Nigeria	Sule-Tan	2017	33.6%	50.0%	21.2%
Nigeria	ZangonKa	2017	29.2%	41.9%	18.4%
Nigeria	Gurara	2017	37.2%	45.6%	29.4%
Nigeria	Faskari	2017	22.5%	37.5%	10.1%
Nigeria	Borgu	2017	43.0%	53.0%	34.0%
Nigeria	Ilorin South	2017	29.3%	46.6%	15.9%
Nigeria	KiriKasa	2017	19.6%	30.3%	11.0%
Nigeria	Enugu East	2017	31.5%	43.2%	21.8%
Nigeria	Kwali	2017	67.0%	72.0%	60.7%
Nigeria	Akoko-Ed	2017	54.6%	66.7%	40.6%
Nigeria	Orelope	2017	69.3%	79.5%	57.2%
Nigeria	Kubau	2017	26.5%	36.7%	17.3%
Nigeria	Moba	2017	68.3%	74.4%	60.8%
Nigeria	Ajeromi/Ifelodun	2017	4.0%	4.8%	3.3%
Nigeria	Birnin-Magaji/Kiyaw	2017	24.8%	40.0%	10.3%
Nigeria	Hawul	2017	25.7%	40.7%	13.5%
Nigeria	Ayamelum	2017	54.7%	70.0%	39.3%
Nigeria	Obio/Akp	2017	34.1%	37.2%	31.1%
Nigeria	Guma	2017	45.6%	61.3%	30.7%
Nigeria	Irepodun	2017	47.4%	60.0%	36.6%
Nigeria	Ebonyi	2017	31.7%	35.1%	28.4%
Nigeria	Gwer East	2017	50.7%	63.1%	38.2%
Nigeria	Kosofe	2017	9.7%	11.0%	8.6%
Nigeria	Enugu North	2017	41.2%	44.1%	38.1%
Nigeria	IsokoNor	2017	34.2%	42.6%	28.3%
Nigeria	Langtang North	2017	72.2%	81.0%	61.5%
Nigeria	Ido/Osi	2017	53.8%	62.6%	40.3%
Nigeria	Bakura	2017	21.4%	29.2%	15.0%
Nigeria	Jere	2017	16.3%	28.1%	7.2%
Nigeria	Calabar	2017	20.3%	29.3%	12.5%
Nigeria	Bwari	2017	23.3%	27.9%	19.2%
Nigeria	EgbadoNorth	2017	47.5%	62.3%	34.4%
Nigeria	Apapa	2017	3.1%	4.1%	2.4%
Nigeria	Oru West	2017	20.3%	42.7%	7.7%
Nigeria	Bukkuyum	2017	28.5%	41.8%	17.0%
Nigeria	Udung Uko	2017	40.9%	45.8%	35.6%
Nigeria	Suru	2017	26.9%	41.9%	12.9%
Nigeria	Uhunmwonde	2017	32.8%	42.4%	23.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	LagosIsland	2017	11.4%	12.9%	9.9%
Nigeria	Maru	2017	32.3%	42.3%	23.2%
Nigeria	Kaltungo	2017	36.9%	51.9%	23.3%
Nigeria	Idemili South	2017	20.4%	23.9%	16.9%
Nigeria	Gombi	2017	23.8%	39.4%	10.6%
Nigeria	Funtua	2017	16.7%	29.3%	6.3%
Nigeria	EthiopheE	2017	30.3%	40.9%	20.5%
Nigeria	KafinHau	2017	38.6%	54.3%	24.4%
Nigeria	Gudu	2017	37.4%	49.2%	23.8%
Nigeria	Akoko South-East	2017	61.2%	74.4%	48.7%
Nigeria	Ogo-Oluw	2017	46.0%	55.5%	33.1%
Nigeria	IjebuNorth	2017	29.4%	38.7%	22.7%
Nigeria	Babura	2017	32.0%	48.2%	17.5%
Nigeria	Alkaleri	2017	36.5%	48.5%	25.9%
Nigeria	Ofu	2017	54.2%	67.5%	41.1%
Nigeria	AniochaN	2017	14.6%	23.8%	6.9%
Nigeria	Mafa	2017	36.2%	52.9%	19.1%
Nigeria	Nguru	2017	40.4%	50.6%	30.6%
Nigeria	Owerri North	2017	23.7%	25.9%	21.6%
Nigeria	Kaduna South	2017	9.7%	12.6%	7.8%
Nigeria	Girie	2017	37.5%	53.0%	24.4%
Nigeria	Olorunsogo	2017	58.7%	74.7%	41.9%
Nigeria	Ezinihit	2017	12.6%	15.1%	10.6%
Nigeria	Kotonkar	2017	65.1%	77.7%	51.5%
Nigeria	Abi	2017	40.8%	48.0%	33.2%
Nigeria	Ogoja	2017	50.3%	64.2%	35.2%
Nigeria	Patani	2017	52.0%	66.3%	38.8%
Nigeria	Danmusa	2017	37.1%	58.3%	18.8%
Nigeria	Tangazar	2017	37.0%	50.5%	24.7%
Nigeria	Gwaram	2017	27.4%	48.1%	13.3%
Nigeria	Oyo East	2017	53.9%	60.9%	46.0%
Nigeria	Kirfi	2017	32.2%	48.3%	18.6%
Nigeria	Kusada	2017	18.3%	36.0%	6.0%
Nigeria	Isiala Ngwa South	2017	13.1%	15.7%	10.8%
Nigeria	Kalgo	2017	33.5%	56.6%	11.9%
Nigeria	Makarfi	2017	18.3%	30.8%	9.0%
Nigeria	AniochaS	2017	31.9%	46.7%	18.9%
Nigeria	Okobo	2017	34.1%	39.9%	27.7%
Nigeria	Ido	2017	33.0%	39.1%	26.9%
Nigeria	Charanchi	2017	7.3%	19.7%	1.6%
Nigeria	Ganye	2017	39.8%	59.3%	21.0%
Nigeria	Ikorodu	2017	22.1%	26.8%	18.6%
Nigeria	Umuahia North	2017	24.7%	27.5%	21.9%
Nigeria	Ilesha East	2017	36.3%	52.3%	23.3%
Nigeria	Gwoza	2017	30.2%	47.5%	16.8%
Nigeria	Kaura-Na	2017	15.6%	25.9%	6.1%
Nigeria	Odeda	2017	38.5%	51.1%	27.8%
Nigeria	Oshodi/Isolo	2017	2.1%	2.7%	1.6%
Nigeria	Bassa	2017	67.1%	79.8%	54.4%
Nigeria	Jahun	2017	38.6%	56.1%	21.2%
Nigeria	Tai	2017	51.9%	57.1%	45.7%
Nigeria	Bali	2017	49.2%	60.7%	39.2%
Nigeria	Fune	2017	35.2%	53.2%	23.6%
Nigeria	Anka	2017	21.8%	33.5%	10.5%
Nigeria	Atisbo	2017	62.0%	73.5%	49.2%
Nigeria	Imeko-Afon	2017	58.7%	75.9%	39.0%
Nigeria	Okene	2017	65.8%	77.4%	51.7%
Nigeria	Ekeremor	2017	53.4%	64.4%	42.6%
Nigeria	Okigwe	2017	33.8%	48.7%	20.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Kankiya	2017	19.7%	33.1%	9.9%
Nigeria	Musawa	2017	18.2%	27.4%	10.5%
Nigeria	Ibaji	2017	57.8%	76.0%	41.5%
Nigeria	Katsina (K)	2017	14.1%	16.8%	11.9%
Nigeria	Nganzai	2017	39.0%	56.2%	21.5%
Nigeria	Yagba West	2017	49.7%	59.2%	40.5%
Nigeria	Zaki	2017	23.7%	43.5%	11.3%
Nigeria	AbeokutaNorth	2017	26.2%	41.7%	13.6%
Nigeria	Minjibir	2017	8.4%	13.8%	5.7%
Nigeria	Kajuru	2017	39.7%	54.0%	26.0%
Nigeria	Tambawal	2017	27.3%	38.3%	17.6%
Nigeria	Ise/Orun	2017	54.8%	72.1%	36.5%
Nigeria	Ukum	2017	44.1%	60.4%	28.5%
Nigeria	Kaura	2017	43.5%	54.9%	31.8%
Nigeria	Mani	2017	30.0%	47.1%	14.4%
Nigeria	Mbo	2017	62.5%	79.5%	39.3%
Nigeria	Shiroro	2017	42.5%	54.0%	31.9%
Nigeria	Konduga	2017	23.7%	29.3%	18.5%
Nigeria	Edu	2017	57.7%	66.6%	48.9%
Nigeria	Awgu	2017	55.8%	60.2%	50.4%
Nigeria	Anambra West	2017	36.6%	63.1%	15.8%
Nigeria	Olamabor	2017	56.2%	66.1%	44.4%
Nigeria	Gabasawa	2017	17.1%	31.4%	7.3%
Nigeria	Ardo-Kola	2017	46.0%	53.6%	38.4%
Nigeria	EkitiSouth-West	2017	67.2%	76.1%	54.8%
Nigeria	Chibok	2017	32.2%	56.7%	13.3%
Nigeria	Anambra East	2017	20.7%	25.4%	17.1%
Nigeria	Maiyama	2017	37.0%	53.1%	21.4%
Nigeria	Umu-Nneochi	2017	45.0%	54.8%	36.9%
Nigeria	AdoOdo/Ota	2017	37.2%	44.3%	29.9%
Nigeria	Dunukofia	2017	4.4%	8.0%	2.0%
Nigeria	Oredo Edo	2017	14.1%	21.7%	7.9%
Nigeria	Isi-Uzo	2017	63.6%	76.8%	48.2%
Nigeria	Kebbe	2017	36.6%	50.9%	21.8%
Nigeria	Sapele	2017	34.5%	48.4%	20.1%
Nigeria	Obi	2017	45.2%	52.2%	38.4%
Nigeria	Wase	2017	53.5%	67.0%	42.2%
Nigeria	Oluyole	2017	30.2%	36.5%	23.9%
Nigeria	Maradun	2017	26.8%	39.8%	16.1%
Nigeria	Ekiti	2017	56.6%	70.0%	42.1%
Nigeria	Bekwarra	2017	37.8%	45.1%	31.2%
Nigeria	Afikpo	2017	68.9%	82.5%	51.2%
Nigeria	Dange-Shuni	2017	25.2%	40.2%	12.9%
Nigeria	Ife South	2017	59.6%	70.1%	48.5%
Nigeria	Owo	2017	58.5%	71.3%	42.3%
Nigeria	Yusufari	2017	49.7%	60.6%	39.5%
Nigeria	Khana	2017	47.9%	55.0%	41.8%
Nigeria	Zuru	2017	68.5%	80.2%	55.3%
Nigeria	Tafawa-B	2017	39.3%	55.6%	25.3%
Nigeria	Oguta	2017	32.9%	61.6%	11.4%
Nigeria	Ifelodun	2017	57.7%	68.6%	46.2%
Nigeria	Guri	2017	26.7%	42.9%	12.7%
Nigeria	Fufore	2017	44.3%	53.7%	34.5%
Nigeria	Sakaba	2017	31.9%	45.8%	18.6%
Nigeria	Ngala	2017	29.2%	46.2%	15.6%
Nigeria	Ahoada East	2017	35.2%	43.5%	26.1%
Nigeria	Ussa	2017	61.0%	73.4%	45.3%
Nigeria	Uzo-Uwani	2017	46.4%	63.3%	29.9%
Nigeria	Warri South-West	2017	42.2%	60.7%	25.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Nsit Ibom	2017	8.2%	17.9%	3.4%
Nigeria	Okpokwu	2017	64.5%	82.2%	41.2%
Nigeria	Kajola	2017	69.8%	79.6%	55.8%
Nigeria	Ungogo	2017	15.0%	16.2%	13.7%
Nigeria	Gwagwala	2017	42.7%	48.6%	35.4%
Nigeria	Garko	2017	37.6%	51.1%	28.3%
Nigeria	Michika	2017	28.0%	41.9%	13.7%
Nigeria	Alimosho	2017	9.5%	10.8%	8.3%
Nigeria	Koko/Bes	2017	27.8%	42.3%	16.3%
Nigeria	Gada	2017	39.7%	49.7%	29.5%
Nigeria	Okehi	2017	64.0%	70.4%	56.0%
Nigeria	Ikot-Aba	2017	13.3%	18.5%	9.9%
Nigeria	Etinan	2017	6.3%	15.4%	3.3%
Nigeria	Kaita	2017	22.2%	35.5%	10.3%
Nigeria	Gashaka	2017	50.0%	61.9%	39.9%
Nigeria	Akoko South-West	2017	49.6%	60.3%	40.0%
Nigeria	Suleja	2017	17.0%	20.7%	13.9%
Nigeria	Danja	2017	25.1%	46.9%	10.3%
Nigeria	Owan East	2017	45.2%	62.3%	26.5%
Nigeria	Igbo-Eti	2017	54.8%	61.6%	48.6%
Nigeria	Gombe	2017	14.6%	17.5%	12.4%
Nigeria	Fakai	2017	45.2%	62.4%	30.2%
Nigeria	RiminGad	2017	8.4%	15.2%	5.4%
Nigeria	Kunchi	2017	26.3%	39.3%	16.0%
Nigeria	Shelleng	2017	33.1%	47.8%	19.0%
Nigeria	Bagudo	2017	46.0%	58.3%	34.4%
Nigeria	Omumma	2017	9.6%	13.0%	6.9%
Nigeria	Nafada	2017	30.0%	43.1%	18.7%
Nigeria	Ese-Odo	2017	39.2%	53.9%	25.0%
Nigeria	Awe	2017	58.5%	69.4%	46.8%
Nigeria	Ijero	2017	48.7%	54.2%	44.5%
Nigeria	Anaocha	2017	15.5%	18.2%	12.7%
Nigeria	Baruten	2017	57.4%	66.9%	48.6%
Nigeria	Yabo	2017	14.8%	21.6%	9.4%
Nigeria	Eastern Obolo	2017	44.1%	60.3%	24.7%
Nigeria	Bindawa	2017	11.7%	24.3%	4.5%
Nigeria	Surulere	2017	4.1%	4.8%	3.5%
Nigeria	Ede South	2017	58.6%	69.4%	47.6%
Nigeria	Chanchaga	2017	27.6%	34.6%	22.1%
Nigeria	Kudan	2017	21.4%	43.2%	8.3%
Nigeria	Abak	2017	12.6%	19.6%	6.7%
Nigeria	Remo-North	2017	32.2%	47.5%	19.4%
Nigeria	Akure North	2017	42.5%	52.4%	31.4%
Nigeria	Dekina	2017	57.3%	66.1%	47.7%
Nigeria	Yola South	2017	32.9%	46.3%	20.4%
Nigeria	Udi	2017	65.7%	71.3%	59.7%
Nigeria	Yola North	2017	43.4%	49.1%	37.6%
Nigeria	Ikwuano	2017	8.1%	16.2%	3.3%
Nigeria	Karasuwa	2017	47.0%	58.7%	37.3%
Nigeria	Ezza North	2017	64.8%	71.3%	54.7%
Nigeria	Agwara	2017	34.6%	55.7%	15.7%
Nigeria	Mariga	2017	42.3%	54.0%	33.3%
Nigeria	Orolu	2017	51.4%	54.6%	47.3%
Nigeria	Kaiama	2017	53.1%	63.0%	42.5%
Nigeria	Bama	2017	34.3%	48.5%	20.4%
Nigeria	Yenegoa	2017	38.4%	42.7%	33.5%
Nigeria	Nembe	2017	18.4%	29.8%	9.8%
Nigeria	Ewekoro	2017	40.7%	58.7%	22.1%
Nigeria	Odo0tin	2017	41.0%	49.5%	31.5%
Nigeria	Ajingi	2017	10.5%	18.3%	5.3%
Nigeria	DawakinK	2017	11.4%	20.2%	6.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	Mainland	2017	4.8%	5.5%	4.1%
Nigeria	Bichi	2017	7.2%	12.5%	3.7%
Nigeria	Tsafe	2017	26.0%	35.3%	15.4%
Nigeria	Abeokuta	2017	31.0%	34.0%	27.9%
	South				
Nigeria	OgunWaterside	2017	31.5%	46.3%	18.4%
Nigeria	Augie	2017	34.5%	45.5%	24.1%
Nigeria	Kware	2017	25.7%	32.1%	20.3%
Nigeria	Shani	2017	33.0%	57.4%	14.3%
Nigeria	Isu	2017	24.9%	28.2%	21.3%
Nigeria	Aboh-Mba	2017	22.1%	25.4%	17.2%
Nigeria	Warri North	2017	41.1%	60.0%	25.3%
Nigeria	Iwajowa	2017	65.6%	77.7%	51.2%
Nigeria	Nwangele	2017	15.6%	20.8%	11.6%
Nigeria	Oji-River	2017	45.6%	61.8%	30.3%
Nigeria	Ifedayo	2017	60.5%	72.3%	48.5%
Nigeria	Auyo	2017	22.2%	33.4%	12.1%
Nigeria	Bunkure	2017	14.4%	24.1%	6.8%
Nigeria	Doma	2017	40.1%	51.3%	28.9%
Nigeria	Darazo	2017	29.2%	45.3%	16.3%
Nigeria	Guyuk	2017	40.8%	68.3%	18.6%
Nigeria	Tarauni	2017	20.3%	21.8%	19.2%
Nigeria	IfeCentral	2017	37.2%	41.3%	33.1%
Nigeria	Jema'a	2017	32.1%	47.6%	17.8%
Nigeria	Itesiwaju	2017	59.1%	75.6%	44.4%
Nigeria	Ogu/Bolo	2017	15.9%	20.2%	12.0%
Nigeria	Ukwuani	2017	39.4%	56.3%	25.3%
Nigeria	Kano	2017	15.0%	17.0%	13.0%
Nigeria	Lere	2017	33.2%	44.8%	21.3%
Nigeria	Itas/Gad	2017	27.4%	44.1%	12.5%
Nigeria	Osisioma	2017	15.6%	20.0%	11.2%
	Ngwa				
Nigeria	Tundun Wada	2017	14.5%	25.8%	6.0%
Nigeria	Bakassi	2017	36.3%	78.0%	3.4%
Nigeria	Miga	2017	44.4%	62.2%	24.0%
Nigeria	Bade	2017	25.0%	37.9%	14.4%
Nigeria	Brass	2017	23.5%	35.2%	12.5%
Nigeria	Ilorin East	2017	32.5%	38.1%	26.5%
Nigeria	Mayo-Bel	2017	38.4%	51.2%	25.6%
Nigeria	Sandamu	2017	42.9%	50.9%	34.9%
Nigeria	Warawa	2017	17.1%	20.1%	14.1%
Nigeria	Balanga	2017	38.9%	51.3%	26.4%
Nigeria	Buruku	2017	48.3%	64.5%	33.3%
Nigeria	Ini	2017	19.8%	42.9%	3.4%
Nigeria	Uyo	2017	8.4%	9.9%	6.9%
Nigeria	Kwande	2017	53.7%	64.7%	41.8%
Nigeria	OrumbaSo	2017	27.2%	41.8%	14.9%
Nigeria	BirninKe	2017	32.3%	39.4%	23.4%
Nigeria	Lavun	2017	46.7%	54.4%	36.9%
Nigeria	Birnin-G	2017	34.3%	45.2%	24.6%
Nigeria	Sagbama	2017	58.2%	65.1%	50.8%
Nigeria	Kachia	2017	32.3%	42.8%	20.8%
Nigeria	Egbedore	2017	51.0%	54.9%	46.6%
Nigeria	Uvwie	2017	20.3%	27.1%	15.5%
Nigeria	Ohafia Abia	2017	20.3%	33.1%	9.2%
Nigeria	Kanam	2017	48.7%	63.8%	31.8%
Nigeria	Moro	2017	52.3%	59.0%	44.3%
Nigeria	Mushin	2017	6.2%	7.2%	5.4%
Nigeria	Gulani	2017	37.6%	54.8%	22.2%
Nigeria	Takai	2017	14.1%	24.4%	6.1%
Nigeria	Ibarapa Central	2017	64.9%	84.2%	43.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Nigeria	EgbadoSouth	2017	32.9%	47.1%	19.1%
Nigeria	Kaga	2017	31.1%	47.2%	15.3%
Nigeria	Jos East	2017	56.0%	71.9%	39.1%
Nigeria	Oru East	2017	5.0%	7.7%	3.0%
Nigeria	Ohaji/Eg	2017	30.8%	48.1%	15.4%
Nigeria	Nsit Atai	2017	5.8%	22.2%	0.4%
Nigeria	Obi	2017	63.9%	83.7%	38.5%
Nigeria	OwanWest	2017	38.0%	54.4%	23.3%
Nigeria	Okitipupa	2017	26.0%	36.0%	17.2%
Nigeria	Ila	2017	66.7%	73.0%	60.0%
Nigeria	Taura	2017	26.6%	44.3%	15.5%
Nigeria	Ugwunagbo	2017	9.7%	12.7%	7.3%
Nigeria	Ede North	2017	57.0%	61.0%	52.5%
Nigeria	Lau	2017	47.9%	64.9%	33.0%
Nigeria	Odigbo	2017	39.8%	56.3%	23.3%
Nigeria	Hong	2017	28.9%	38.8%	20.2%
Nigeria	Ukwa East	2017	16.6%	40.1%	2.3%
Nigeria	Dikwa	2017	33.3%	50.4%	17.5%
Nigeria	Idemili North	2017	12.4%	16.0%	8.9%
Nigeria	Vandeiky	2017	51.8%	71.7%	31.6%
Nigeria	Gezawa	2017	29.0%	31.2%	26.5%
Nigeria	Albasu	2017	7.8%	16.7%	3.1%
Nigeria	Damaturu	2017	13.4%	20.8%	8.3%
Nigeria	Nkanu West	2017	73.4%	76.8%	69.7%
Nigeria	Pategi	2017	68.0%	77.5%	59.0%
Nigeria	Isuikwua	2017	34.5%	51.9%	21.0%
Republic of Congo	Komono	2000	8.5%	11.7%	5.7%
Republic of Congo	Madingo-Kayes	2000	3.0%	4.9%	1.7%
Republic of Congo	Pointe Noire	2000	2.0%	3.2%	1.2%
Republic of Congo	Lékana	2000	15.4%	19.8%	11.4%
Republic of Congo	Mayoko	2000	8.5%	12.0%	5.4%
Republic of Congo	Owando	2000	7.5%	9.8%	5.6%
Republic of Congo	Louvakou (Loubomo)	2000	5.5%	7.6%	3.7%
Republic of Congo	Nkayi District	2000	2.9%	5.0%	1.3%
Republic of Congo	Kibangou	2000	5.1%	7.4%	3.4%
Republic of Congo	Divénié	2000	6.9%	9.7%	4.9%
Republic of Congo	Ngabé	2000	5.5%	7.5%	4.0%
Republic of Congo	Sembé	2000	5.4%	7.3%	3.7%
Republic of Congo	Brazzaville	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Republic of Congo	Mindouli	2000	5.1%	8.1%	3.0%
Republic of Congo	Mossaka	2000	7.6%	9.5%	5.8%
Republic of Congo	Epéna	2000	7.5%	9.0%	6.0%
Republic of Congo	Mayama	2000	6.7%	8.8%	4.8%
Republic of Congo	Dongou	2000	6.0%	7.2%	5.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Mossendjo	2000	5.8%	7.9%	3.9%
Republic of Congo	Kakamoeka	2000	2.9%	4.6%	1.5%
Republic of Congo	Ouessou	2000	6.9%	8.6%	5.7%
Republic of Congo	Bambama	2000	10.1%	14.1%	6.1%
Republic of Congo	Zanaga	2000	9.9%	13.6%	6.9%
Republic of Congo	Makoua	2000	8.1%	10.7%	6.0%
Republic of Congo	Boundji	2000	8.5%	12.3%	5.8%
Republic of Congo	Loudima	2000	3.3%	5.3%	1.7%
Republic of Congo	Djambala	2000	10.9%	13.0%	8.9%
Republic of Congo	Gamboma	2000	7.6%	9.9%	5.7%
Republic of Congo	Mbomo	2000	8.5%	10.8%	6.6%
Republic of Congo	Okoyo	2000	10.0%	13.4%	7.0%
Republic of Congo	Sibiti	2000	6.9%	9.0%	5.0%
Republic of Congo	Ngamaba	2000	2.0%	4.0%	1.1%
Republic of Congo	Boko-Songho	2000	5.7%	9.7%	2.9%
Republic of Congo	Kinkala	2000	5.1%	8.1%	3.1%
Republic of Congo	Loandjili	2000	5.0%	8.4%	3.0%
Republic of Congo	Impfondo	2000	6.8%	9.4%	4.8%
Republic of Congo	Kimongo	2000	3.8%	6.2%	2.0%
Republic of Congo	Abala	2000	9.9%	12.3%	7.8%
Republic of Congo	Ewo	2000	8.8%	11.8%	6.3%
Republic of Congo	Kindamba	2000	7.2%	9.4%	5.1%
Republic of Congo	Souanké	2000	6.3%	7.7%	4.7%
Republic of Congo	Loukoléla	2000	8.7%	11.5%	6.2%
Republic of Congo	Boko	2000	4.4%	6.5%	2.7%
Republic of Congo	Kélé	2000	7.7%	10.1%	5.9%
Republic of Congo	Madingou	2000	4.1%	7.7%	1.9%
Republic of Congo	Mfouati	2000	4.6%	9.3%	2.0%
Republic of Congo	Mouyondzi	2000	5.0%	7.2%	3.4%
Republic of Congo	Mvouti	2000	3.8%	5.6%	2.3%
Republic of Congo	Mbomo	2017	31.6%	37.1%	26.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Pointe Noire	2017	5.4%	9.4%	2.9%
Republic of Congo	Souanké	2017	25.7%	29.7%	21.8%
Republic of Congo	Mossendjo	2017	25.2%	30.9%	19.6%
Republic of Congo	Kakamoeka	2017	15.9%	21.5%	10.8%
Republic of Congo	Epéna	2017	29.4%	33.0%	26.1%
Republic of Congo	Loandjili	2017	12.8%	17.2%	8.8%
Republic of Congo	Boko	2017	18.7%	26.9%	12.5%
Republic of Congo	Bambama	2017	37.2%	44.7%	28.6%
Republic of Congo	Madingo-Kayes	2017	16.2%	22.6%	11.3%
Republic of Congo	Louvakou (Loubomo)	2017	24.8%	30.8%	20.4%
Republic of Congo	Gamboma	2017	30.3%	35.6%	25.7%
Republic of Congo	Mfouati	2017	24.4%	35.7%	15.9%
Republic of Congo	Lékana	2017	47.1%	53.2%	40.7%
Republic of Congo	Boundji	2017	34.2%	41.5%	28.0%
Republic of Congo	Kibangou	2017	23.5%	28.6%	18.4%
Republic of Congo	Divénié	2017	28.1%	33.8%	22.9%
Republic of Congo	Mossaka	2017	29.3%	33.8%	25.2%
Republic of Congo	Impfondo	2017	27.7%	34.1%	22.4%
Republic of Congo	Mouyondzi	2017	22.5%	28.4%	17.5%
Republic of Congo	Djambala	2017	37.7%	42.2%	33.6%
Republic of Congo	Ouessou	2017	27.1%	30.0%	23.8%
Republic of Congo	Makoua	2017	31.2%	36.6%	25.7%
Republic of Congo	Loukoléla	2017	31.5%	37.5%	26.8%
Republic of Congo	Okoyo	2017	36.8%	43.1%	30.6%
Republic of Congo	Mindouli	2017	22.5%	30.5%	15.6%
Republic of Congo	Sibiti	2017	28.4%	33.3%	23.5%
Republic of Congo	Kimongo	2017	19.4%	27.2%	13.5%
Republic of Congo	Ngabé	2017	23.2%	29.7%	17.9%
Republic of Congo	Mayoko	2017	31.2%	38.4%	24.1%
Republic of Congo	Nkayi District	2017	14.6%	20.2%	9.3%
Republic of Congo	Mvouti	2017	18.2%	23.1%	13.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Republic of Congo	Kellé	2017	31.4%	36.0%	27.2%
Republic of Congo	Madingou	2017	20.7%	28.7%	13.7%
Republic of Congo	Owando	2017	30.7%	35.6%	25.8%
Republic of Congo	Sembé	2017	23.7%	28.7%	18.6%
Republic of Congo	Abala	2017	36.8%	40.8%	32.5%
Republic of Congo	Zanaga	2017	36.8%	43.8%	30.3%
Republic of Congo	Loudima	2017	16.7%	22.7%	11.4%
Republic of Congo	Ewo	2017	33.5%	39.1%	27.8%
Republic of Congo	Kindamba	2017	28.7%	33.7%	23.7%
Republic of Congo	Brazzaville	2017	2.1%	2.9%	1.5%
Republic of Congo	Dongou	2017	26.3%	28.9%	23.8%
Republic of Congo	Komono	2017	34.2%	40.6%	28.1%
Republic of Congo	Boko-Songho	2017	23.5%	32.7%	14.9%
Republic of Congo	Mayama	2017	27.6%	32.9%	22.5%
Republic of Congo	Kinkala	2017	21.0%	30.7%	14.8%
Republic of Congo	Ngamaba	2017	5.5%	13.0%	2.1%
Rwanda	Ngoma	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Rwanda	Rwamagana	2000	1.6%	2.8%	0.8%
Rwanda	Kamonyi	2000	2.0%	2.9%	1.3%
Rwanda	Muhanga	2000	1.0%	1.5%	0.7%
Rwanda	Nyabihu	2000	1.7%	2.8%	0.9%
Rwanda	Huye	2000	1.2%	1.5%	1.0%
Rwanda	Karongi	2000	0.9%	1.3%	0.6%
Rwanda	Kirehe	2000	1.2%	2.2%	0.6%
Rwanda	Rutsiro	2000	3.4%	4.4%	2.5%
Rwanda	Ngororero	2000	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
Rwanda	Ruhango	2000	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%
Rwanda	Gicumbi	2000	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%
Rwanda	Kicukiro	2000	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%
Rwanda	Rusizi	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Rwanda	Gakenke	2000	0.6%	0.9%	0.4%
Rwanda	Gisagara	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Rwanda	Rulindo	2000	0.8%	1.2%	0.6%
Rwanda	Kayonza	2000	1.6%	2.6%	0.9%
Rwanda	Gasabo	2000	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%
Rwanda	Nyanza	2000	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%
Rwanda	Nyamasheke	2000	1.3%	2.0%	0.9%
Rwanda	Bugesera	2000	1.4%	2.0%	0.9%
Rwanda	Nyagatare	2000	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Rwanda	Nyaruguru	2000	1.9%	2.8%	1.3%
Rwanda	Rubavu	2000	2.4%	3.0%	1.9%
Rwanda	Nyamagabe	2000	2.1%	2.9%	1.5%
Rwanda	Gatsibo	2000	1.7%	2.6%	1.1%
Rwanda	Nyarugenge	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
Rwanda	Musanze	2000	1.0%	1.6%	0.7%
Rwanda	Burera	2000	1.6%	2.3%	1.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Rwanda	Muhanga	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.1%
Rwanda	Huye	2017	2.0%	2.4%	1.7%
Rwanda	Rubavu	2017	3.2%	3.8%	2.7%
Rwanda	Nyarugenge	2017	0.9%	1.2%	0.6%
Rwanda	Rusizi	2017	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%
Rwanda	Kamonyi	2017	2.8%	3.9%	2.0%
Rwanda	Rwamagana	2017	2.2%	3.3%	1.4%
Rwanda	Kirehe	2017	1.7%	2.8%	1.1%
Rwanda	Ngororero	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Rwanda	Burera	2017	2.3%	2.9%	1.7%
Rwanda	Kicukiro	2017	0.6%	0.8%	0.5%
Rwanda	Gisagara	2017	2.0%	2.5%	1.5%
Rwanda	Ngoma	2017	1.7%	2.3%	1.2%
Rwanda	Ruhango	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.4%
Rwanda	Gatsibo	2017	2.5%	3.4%	1.8%
Rwanda	Karongi	2017	1.4%	1.8%	1.0%
Rwanda	Gakenke	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Rwanda	Nyabihu	2017	2.4%	3.3%	1.5%
Rwanda	Nyaruguru	2017	2.6%	3.3%	2.0%
Rwanda	Nyamasheke	2017	1.9%	2.5%	1.5%
Rwanda	Gicumbi	2017	1.1%	1.5%	0.9%
Rwanda	Kayonza	2017	2.3%	3.2%	1.6%
Rwanda	Gasabo	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Rwanda	Rulindo	2017	1.4%	1.7%	1.1%
Rwanda	Nyanza	2017	1.9%	2.4%	1.5%
Rwanda	Rutsiro	2017	3.3%	3.9%	2.7%
Rwanda	Bugesera	2017	1.9%	2.6%	1.3%
Rwanda	Nyagatare	2017	2.4%	3.2%	1.8%
Rwanda	Nyamagabe	2017	2.6%	3.2%	2.0%
Rwanda	Musanze	2017	1.4%	1.9%	1.0%
Senegal	Vélingara	2000	18.8%	25.4%	14.2%
Senegal	Diourbel	2000	49.2%	54.1%	43.6%
Senegal	Foundiougne	2000	35.8%	43.5%	29.1%
Senegal	Tambacounda	2000	29.4%	35.6%	23.9%
Senegal	Sédhiou	2000	12.4%	16.0%	9.3%
Senegal	Linguère	2000	40.7%	48.1%	35.0%
Senegal	Goudiry	2000	36.7%	43.8%	29.2%
Senegal	Kaffrine	2000	42.1%	47.8%	36.3%
Senegal	Gossas	2000	35.1%	43.5%	27.9%
Senegal	Koupentoum	2000	41.6%	49.3%	33.6%
Senegal	Médina Yoro Foula	2000	25.3%	33.5%	15.9%
Senegal	Mbacké	2000	20.9%	26.1%	16.7%
Senegal	Ziguinchor	2000	9.1%	13.3%	5.5%
Senegal	Bambey	2000	47.8%	55.5%	40.1%
Senegal	Mbour	2000	31.5%	38.5%	25.4%
Senegal	Podor	2000	42.8%	47.4%	38.8%
Senegal	Louga	2000	41.9%	48.7%	35.9%
Senegal	Goudomp	2000	14.4%	19.5%	10.4%
Senegal	Bakel	2000	32.0%	40.3%	24.8%
Senegal	Kaolack	2000	40.4%	42.4%	38.0%
Senegal	Matam	2000	39.8%	46.0%	34.6%
Senegal	Salémata	2000	59.6%	68.0%	49.7%
Senegal	Kédougou	2000	33.9%	41.0%	26.6%
Senegal	Dagana	2000	35.5%	43.0%	27.8%
Senegal	Kolda	2000	21.9%	27.7%	16.2%
Senegal	Oussouye	2000	36.6%	51.7%	26.2%
Senegal	Kébémér	2000	39.6%	46.4%	32.3%
Senegal	Fatick	2000	47.7%	51.7%	44.3%
Senegal	Saraya	2000	31.0%	40.3%	22.0%
Senegal	Guinguinéo	2000	38.2%	43.6%	32.9%
Senegal	Koungheul	2000	38.3%	43.5%	33.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Senegal	Birkilane	2000	54.2%	58.3%	50.4%
Senegal	Nioro du Rip	2000	31.8%	36.1%	28.1%
Senegal	Pikine	2000	6.1%	8.6%	4.8%
Senegal	Malème	2000	35.0%	44.0%	24.3%
	Hodar				
Senegal	Ranérou Ferlo	2000	45.8%	54.2%	35.9%
Senegal	Kanel	2000	40.8%	47.3%	34.5%
Senegal	Thiès	2000	25.6%	29.5%	21.2%
Senegal	Rufisque	2000	12.3%	14.2%	10.7%
Senegal	Saint-Louis	2000	22.4%	25.9%	19.0%
Senegal	Boukiling	2000	25.6%	33.8%	18.8%
Senegal	Dakar	2000	8.7%	11.6%	6.7%
Senegal	Tivaouane	2000	26.8%	31.6%	22.3%
Senegal	Bignona	2000	17.5%	23.5%	12.8%
Senegal	Guédiawaye	2000	5.6%	7.5%	4.3%
Senegal	Saint-Louis	2017	12.5%	15.5%	9.6%
Senegal	Boukiling	2017	16.5%	23.2%	11.5%
Senegal	Matam	2017	24.0%	29.2%	20.4%
Senegal	Vélingara	2017	13.1%	18.3%	9.5%
Senegal	Foundiougne	2017	23.7%	31.6%	17.4%
Senegal	Kédougou	2017	23.6%	28.8%	18.5%
Senegal	Kaffrine	2017	29.5%	34.9%	24.9%
Senegal	Nioro du Rip	2017	20.0%	23.7%	16.8%
Senegal	Linguère	2017	28.0%	34.5%	23.2%
Senegal	Mbacké	2017	12.1%	16.9%	8.0%
Senegal	Tivaouane	2017	15.5%	18.9%	12.5%
Senegal	Thiès	2017	14.7%	18.7%	11.1%
Senegal	Tambacounda	2017	20.3%	25.3%	15.2%
Senegal	Ranérou Ferlo	2017	36.1%	43.1%	28.8%
Senegal	Louga	2017	25.0%	30.4%	20.5%
Senegal	Kolda	2017	15.5%	20.7%	11.1%
Senegal	Ziguinchor	2017	6.2%	10.4%	3.1%
Senegal	Fatick	2017	35.3%	37.7%	32.6%
Senegal	Podor	2017	29.7%	34.2%	26.0%
Senegal	Goudomp	2017	10.2%	14.2%	6.9%
Senegal	Koupentoum	2017	30.6%	38.0%	24.2%
Senegal	Bakel	2017	22.1%	29.0%	16.5%
Senegal	Médina Yoro Foula	2017	18.0%	25.3%	11.3%
	Gossas				
Senegal	Gossas	2017	23.0%	30.4%	17.2%
Senegal	Dagana	2017	22.2%	28.9%	16.1%
Senegal	Koungheul	2017	27.9%	32.8%	23.3%
Senegal	Birkilane	2017	42.8%	46.6%	38.5%
Senegal	Guinguinéo	2017	25.1%	30.8%	20.4%
Senegal	Diourbel	2017	34.9%	40.9%	29.3%
Senegal	Goudiry	2017	27.2%	34.2%	21.2%
Senegal	Kanel	2017	28.9%	34.2%	24.2%
Senegal	Oussouye	2017	22.9%	34.3%	15.9%
Senegal	Salémata	2017	52.3%	60.5%	43.2%
Senegal	Malème	2017	24.8%	33.3%	16.5%
	Hodar				
Senegal	Sédhiou	2017	7.9%	10.8%	5.3%
Senegal	Mbour	2017	15.7%	20.1%	11.9%
Senegal	Bignona	2017	11.2%	15.7%	7.4%
Senegal	Guédiawaye	2017	1.5%	2.4%	1.1%
Senegal	Bambey	2017	31.5%	38.4%	25.6%
Senegal	Kébémér	2017	23.4%	29.1%	17.9%
Senegal	Saraya	2017	23.7%	32.7%	16.0%
Senegal	Pikine	2017	1.6%	2.8%	1.1%
Senegal	Kaolack	2017	28.7%	30.6%	26.1%
Senegal	Dakar	2017	1.4%	2.1%	1.0%
Senegal	Rufisque	2017	4.0%	4.8%	3.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sierra Leone	Kono	2000	7.5%	11.4%	4.8%
Sierra Leone	Bonthe	2000	51.8%	58.3%	44.0%
Sierra Leone	Pujehun	2000	23.1%	29.0%	17.5%
Sierra Leone	Kenema	2000	15.4%	18.9%	12.3%
Sierra Leone	Kambia	2000	19.2%	23.5%	14.7%
Sierra Leone	Bombali	2000	10.0%	13.3%	7.0%
Sierra Leone	Tonkolili	2000	13.0%	17.9%	9.1%
Sierra Leone	Bo	2000	19.6%	23.1%	16.4%
Sierra Leone	Koinadugu	2000	16.3%	21.3%	11.8%
Sierra Leone	Port Loko	2000	14.6%	18.9%	11.2%
Sierra Leone	Moyamba	2000	38.2%	44.6%	32.1%
Sierra Leone	Kailahun	2000	36.6%	42.8%	30.4%
Sierra Leone	Western Urban	2000	6.6%	7.1%	6.1%
Sierra Leone	Western Rural	2000	19.9%	21.2%	18.7%
Sierra Leone	Western Urban	2017	9.4%	9.8%	8.9%
Sierra Leone	Bonthe	2017	57.9%	63.8%	51.1%
Sierra Leone	Kono	2017	11.0%	15.4%	7.8%
Sierra Leone	Pujehun	2017	30.0%	36.3%	24.1%
Sierra Leone	Port Loko	2017	19.8%	24.6%	15.9%
Sierra Leone	Kambia	2017	23.5%	27.9%	18.7%
Sierra Leone	Moyamba	2017	45.0%	51.1%	38.8%
Sierra Leone	Tonkolili	2017	17.6%	23.4%	13.3%
Sierra Leone	Kenema	2017	20.4%	23.9%	17.0%
Sierra Leone	Bo	2017	25.1%	28.4%	21.8%
Sierra Leone	Western Rural	2017	25.4%	26.9%	24.2%
Sierra Leone	Kailahun	2017	44.3%	50.5%	38.1%
Sierra Leone	Koinadugu	2017	20.4%	25.6%	15.7%
Sierra Leone	Bombali	2017	14.1%	17.6%	10.7%
Somalia	Qansax Dheere	2000	74.2%	82.1%	65.5%
Somalia	Luuk	2000	71.8%	79.1%	63.8%
Somalia	Mogadisho	2000	11.8%	15.4%	8.7%
Somalia	Dhuusamareeb	2000	78.0%	83.7%	71.4%
Somalia	Bu'aale	2000	85.3%	89.3%	80.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Somalia	Badhan	2000	44.8%	54.7%	34.9%
Somalia	Hobyo	2000	53.1%	60.9%	44.6%
Somalia	Kismaayo	2000	72.8%	80.3%	64.0%
Somalia	Wajid	2000	77.4%	84.0%	68.4%
Somalia	Qoryooley	2000	57.3%	67.6%	46.6%
Somalia	Jalalaqsi	2000	61.8%	70.6%	50.6%
Somalia	Boorama	2000	60.3%	68.5%	52.1%
Somalia	Gabiley	2000	28.8%	37.9%	20.8%
Somalia	Kuntuwaaray	2000	61.1%	70.4%	49.9%
Somalia	Cadaado	2000	76.0%	83.6%	67.2%
Somalia	Baraawe	2000	60.9%	71.2%	49.5%
Somalia	Buulo Burdo	2000	63.0%	70.8%	55.2%
Somalia	Dolow	2000	68.9%	78.2%	57.0%
Somalia	Jariiban	2000	52.5%	61.8%	42.6%
Somalia	Xudur	2000	78.2%	84.3%	71.1%
Somalia	Garowe	2000	67.9%	77.0%	57.7%
Somalia	Eyl	2000	60.9%	70.1%	50.6%
Somalia	Ceel Waaq	2000	73.2%	82.4%	62.7%
Somalia	Xudun	2000	67.4%	74.9%	58.7%
Somalia	Badhaadhe	2000	69.2%	78.9%	58.9%
Somalia	Afgooye	2000	48.1%	54.9%	41.2%
Somalia	Caynabo	2000	69.1%	76.6%	60.9%
Somalia	Gaalkacayo	2000	55.4%	64.2%	46.6%
Somalia	Bosaaso	2000	41.1%	51.4%	28.8%
Somalia	Xarardheere	2000	52.0%	61.3%	41.3%
Somalia	Jawhar	2000	66.8%	74.7%	57.9%
Somalia	Diinsoor	2000	74.5%	81.0%	66.9%
Somalia	Lascaanod	2000	72.4%	79.7%	65.0%
Somalia	Rab Dhuure	2000	77.6%	84.2%	69.1%
Somalia	Ceerigaabo	2000	46.7%	56.5%	37.0%
Somalia	Sheekh	2000	64.7%	74.7%	53.4%
Somalia	Qardho	2000	45.9%	56.4%	34.7%
Somalia	Ceel Dheer	2000	76.8%	82.9%	70.0%
Somalia	Tiyeeglow	2000	75.7%	83.3%	67.0%
Somalia	Burtinle	2000	63.2%	74.4%	52.3%
Somalia	Afmadow	2000	76.7%	82.7%	69.4%
Somalia	Jilib	2000	82.8%	88.1%	75.8%
Somalia	Hargeysa	2000	25.1%	34.5%	17.6%
Somalia	Taleex	2000	67.6%	76.1%	57.6%
Somalia	Wanla Weyn	2000	57.9%	68.2%	46.9%
Somalia	Cadale	2000	66.8%	76.7%	56.3%
Somalia	Burao	2000	77.2%	82.3%	71.4%
Somalia	Zeylac	2000	62.7%	72.6%	52.2%
Somalia	Beled Xaawo	2000	71.9%	79.9%	63.6%
Somalia	Ceel-Afwein	2000	46.9%	56.9%	36.2%
Somalia	Baydhabo	2000	77.3%	83.1%	69.6%
Somalia	Calawla	2000	36.0%	47.3%	25.8%
Somalia	Caabudwaaq	2000	73.7%	80.2%	66.5%
Somalia	Goldogob	2000	54.3%	69.4%	37.0%
Somalia	Baar-Dheere	2000	73.5%	79.7%	66.5%
Somalia	Ceel Buur	2000	77.5%	83.7%	71.3%
Somalia	Saakow	2000	81.2%	85.9%	74.3%
Somalia	Balcad	2000	53.7%	62.5%	43.3%
Somalia	Ceel Barde	2000	74.9%	82.8%	66.5%
Somalia	Beled Weyn	2000	64.0%	70.7%	56.3%
Somalia	Berbera	2000	35.5%	47.0%	25.0%
Somalia	Bander-Beyla	2000	46.6%	59.3%	35.8%
Somalia	Oodweyne	2000	66.4%	74.8%	58.0%
Somalia	Jamaame	2000	76.8%	83.3%	70.4%
Somalia	Lughaya	2000	59.8%	69.8%	47.4%
Somalia	Garbahaaray	2000	71.2%	79.3%	63.2%
Somalia	Qandala	2000	38.8%	49.5%	27.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Somalia	Iskushuban	2000	41.0%	51.9%	30.5%
Somalia	Marka	2000	54.5%	64.7%	43.6%
Somalia	Aadan	2000	72.7%	81.9%	62.5%
Somalia	Buuhoodle	2000	74.8%	81.6%	64.5%
Somalia	Buur Xakaba	2000	71.5%	78.6%	63.8%
Somalia	Baki	2000	52.0%	61.9%	40.6%
Somalia	Sablale	2000	64.2%	74.1%	52.9%
Somalia	Qoryooley	2017	56.8%	69.8%	41.7%
Somalia	Badhan	2017	45.0%	57.8%	27.4%
Somalia	Buulo Burdo	2017	62.8%	74.2%	48.6%
Somalia	Kismaayo	2017	72.3%	82.4%	55.7%
Somalia	Bander-Beyla	2017	46.7%	62.0%	29.1%
Somalia	Xudun	2017	67.0%	78.3%	53.3%
Somalia	Mogadisho	2017	11.8%	20.2%	4.1%
Somalia	Gabiley	2017	29.4%	45.2%	14.7%
Somalia	Ceel Barde	2017	74.7%	85.1%	60.0%
Somalia	Balcad	2017	53.3%	67.2%	33.8%
Somalia	Wajid	2017	77.1%	86.2%	63.3%
Somalia	Baraawe	2017	60.7%	73.8%	44.1%
Somalia	Ceel Dheer	2017	76.0%	84.5%	63.9%
Somalia	Jilib	2017	82.2%	90.7%	70.1%
Somalia	Rab Dhuure	2017	77.3%	86.8%	63.3%
Somalia	Qardho	2017	45.8%	60.5%	28.7%
Somalia	Ceerigaabo	2017	47.0%	61.9%	32.1%
Somalia	Ceel Waaq	2017	73.2%	82.5%	59.0%
Somalia	Badhaadhe	2017	68.8%	81.7%	49.8%
Somalia	Burtinle	2017	62.6%	75.3%	43.5%
Somalia	Kuntuwaaray	2017	60.1%	74.9%	40.1%
Somalia	Berbera	2017	36.5%	53.8%	19.7%
Somalia	Qandala	2017	38.8%	51.8%	22.4%
Somalia	Baki	2017	51.7%	67.9%	33.3%
Somalia	Goldogob	2017	53.6%	72.2%	29.9%
Somalia	Luuk	2017	71.3%	81.8%	57.2%
Somalia	Dolow	2017	67.6%	80.5%	53.0%
Somalia	Tiyeeglow	2017	75.5%	85.1%	61.8%
Somalia	Xudur	2017	78.0%	86.4%	63.6%
Somalia	Caabudwaaq	2017	72.7%	82.7%	59.0%
Somalia	Jariiban	2017	52.0%	66.8%	32.2%
Somalia	Gaalkacayo	2017	54.7%	67.1%	38.5%
Somalia	Cadaado	2017	75.7%	85.4%	63.4%
Somalia	Qansax	2017	73.7%	84.5%	60.6%
Somalia	Dheere				
Somalia	Baydhabo	2017	77.2%	84.5%	65.2%
Somalia	Xarardheere	2017	51.7%	66.7%	31.1%
Somalia	Diinsoor	2017	74.2%	83.9%	60.2%
Somalia	Hobyo	2017	52.6%	65.8%	34.0%
Somalia	Ceel-Afwein	2017	47.1%	63.3%	28.7%
Somalia	Afmadow	2017	76.0%	84.9%	63.5%
Somalia	Hargeysa	2017	25.7%	41.2%	12.4%
Somalia	Lascaanod	2017	72.0%	82.3%	60.7%
Somalia	Sheekh	2017	65.1%	77.9%	46.9%
Somalia	Garoowe	2017	67.6%	79.3%	51.5%
Somalia	Garbahaaray	2017	70.9%	81.1%	58.4%
Somalia	Beled Xaawo	2017	70.9%	81.0%	58.1%
Somalia	Aadan	2017	72.2%	82.8%	57.2%
Somalia	Taleex	2017	67.2%	78.4%	52.5%
Somalia	Caynabo	2017	68.7%	79.8%	54.7%
Somalia	Calawla	2017	36.1%	50.3%	19.5%
Somalia	Jamaame	2017	75.9%	86.1%	61.7%
Somalia	Cadale	2017	66.7%	79.1%	49.2%
Somalia	Buuhoodle	2017	74.5%	84.2%	61.3%
Somalia	Jawhar	2017	66.1%	77.9%	46.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Somalia	Burao	2017	76.8%	85.4%	63.2%
Somalia	Ceel Buur	2017	77.2%	85.6%	66.7%
Somalia	Oodweyne	2017	66.3%	79.9%	50.8%
Somalia	Saakow	2017	80.9%	88.7%	69.0%
Somalia	Marka	2017	54.9%	68.6%	35.5%
Somalia	Dhuusamareeb	2017	77.7%	86.3%	64.2%
Somalia	Bosaaso	2017	41.3%	54.1%	26.0%
Somalia	Afgooye	2017	50.0%	62.3%	36.1%
Somalia	Baar-Dheere	2017	73.1%	82.5%	61.0%
Somalia	Jalalaqsi	2017	61.6%	74.6%	47.1%
Somalia	Sablale	2017	63.6%	76.4%	46.7%
Somalia	Wanla Weyn	2017	57.4%	69.7%	40.3%
Somalia	Bu'aale	2017	84.8%	91.6%	73.5%
Somalia	Lughaya	2017	59.3%	73.6%	40.1%
Somalia	Eyl	2017	60.8%	73.1%	44.6%
Somalia	Beled Weyn	2017	63.8%	73.9%	48.9%
Somalia	Boorama	2017	59.5%	72.2%	41.9%
Somalia	Zeylac	2017	62.4%	74.0%	47.0%
Somalia	Buur Xakaba	2017	71.2%	80.8%	56.3%
Somalia	Iskushuban	2017	40.8%	55.4%	24.9%
South Africa	Lejweleputswa	2000	8.2%	9.1%	7.3%
South Africa	Amathole	2000	30.8%	32.9%	28.9%
South Africa	Mopani	2000	24.1%	26.2%	22.1%
South Africa	Waterberg	2000	18.0%	19.8%	16.3%
South Africa	Thabo Mofutsanyane	2000	9.1%	10.5%	7.9%
South Africa	Sisonke	2000	24.4%	28.5%	20.4%
South Africa	Alfred Nzo	2000	32.4%	34.2%	30.5%
South Africa	Dr Ruth Segomotsi Mompati	2000	20.1%	22.2%	18.4%
South Africa	Bojanala	2000	12.3%	13.7%	11.1%
South Africa	Capricorn	2000	17.9%	19.8%	16.1%
South Africa	Uthungulu	2000	21.5%	24.8%	18.7%
South Africa	West Rand	2000	5.5%	8.8%	3.6%
South Africa	iLembe	2000	20.5%	24.6%	16.7%
South Africa	Umzinyathi	2000	21.0%	23.8%	17.8%
South Africa	Amajuba	2000	24.6%	29.3%	20.2%
South Africa	Sekhukhune	2000	18.3%	20.4%	16.2%
South Africa	Central Karoo	2000	8.1%	11.9%	5.6%
South Africa	Chris Hani	2000	30.8%	32.6%	29.0%
South Africa	Uthukela	2000	22.6%	26.6%	19.1%
South Africa	Vhembe	2000	23.1%	25.4%	20.9%
South Africa	Umkhanyakude	2000	26.9%	30.8%	22.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Namakwa	2000	17.8%	21.2%	14.7%
South Africa	City of Johannesburg	2000	4.5%	5.9%	3.4%
South Africa	Ngaka Modiri Molema	2000	14.6%	16.2%	13.3%
South Africa	Eden	2000	7.3%	10.2%	5.5%
South Africa	Nkangala	2000	13.8%	16.2%	11.9%
South Africa	O.R.Tambo	2000	36.1%	37.8%	34.7%
South Africa	Fezile Dabi	2000	7.9%	9.0%	6.9%
South Africa	Dr Kenneth Kaunda	2000	13.8%	15.7%	11.8%
South Africa	Nelson Mandela Bay	2000	25.6%	28.1%	22.5%
South Africa	Frances Baard	2000	12.1%	13.2%	11.3%
South Africa	Ugu	2000	22.6%	26.8%	18.7%
South Africa	Mangaung	2000	9.7%	10.8%	8.7%
South Africa	John Taolo Gaetsewe	2000	21.5%	23.7%	19.3%
South Africa	Siyanda	2000	14.7%	16.8%	12.8%
South Africa	Joe Gqabi	2000	27.9%	32.5%	23.8%
South Africa	Buffalo City	2000	24.5%	28.1%	21.5%
South Africa	Pixley ka Seme	2000	17.3%	19.2%	15.5%
South Africa	Ehlanzeni	2000	18.2%	20.0%	16.6%
South Africa	Overberg	2000	11.7%	17.7%	8.4%
South Africa	Zululand	2000	26.6%	30.1%	23.6%
South Africa	Umgungundlovu	2000	18.2%	24.5%	13.1%
South Africa	Ekurhuleni	2000	8.5%	12.6%	5.6%
South Africa	City of Tshwane	2000	8.4%	14.7%	4.3%
South Africa	West Coast	2000	8.6%	11.3%	6.4%
South Africa	City of Cape Town	2000	8.6%	9.8%	7.7%
South Africa	Sedibeng	2000	5.3%	8.1%	3.5%
South Africa	Cape Winelands	2000	5.5%	6.3%	4.7%
South Africa	Gert Sibande	2000	15.1%	17.4%	13.3%
South Africa	Xhariep	2000	14.6%	17.6%	12.4%
South Africa	eThekweni	2000	9.1%	10.3%	8.0%
South Africa	Cacadu	2000	20.5%	23.7%	17.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	Namakwa	2017	2.4%	3.4%	1.6%
South Africa	Amathole	2017	4.9%	5.6%	4.3%
South Africa	Eden	2017	1.1%	1.6%	0.7%
South Africa	Bojanala	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
South Africa	Sekhukhune	2017	1.8%	2.2%	1.4%
South Africa	John Taolo Gaetsewe	2017	2.1%	2.5%	1.8%
South Africa	Siyanda	2017	2.3%	3.0%	1.9%
South Africa	Waterberg	2017	2.1%	2.7%	1.7%
South Africa	West Rand	2017	0.4%	1.0%	0.2%
South Africa	O.R.Tambo	2017	5.1%	5.7%	4.6%
South Africa	Lejweleputswa	2017	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%
South Africa	Umkhanyakude	2017	4.8%	6.5%	3.4%
South Africa	Amajuba	2017	3.5%	5.0%	2.4%
South Africa	Sedibeng	2017	0.5%	1.1%	0.3%
South Africa	Joe Gqabi	2017	4.2%	5.8%	3.2%
South Africa	Umzinyathi	2017	2.7%	3.6%	2.0%
South Africa	Xhariep	2017	2.1%	2.8%	1.6%
South Africa	Vhembe	2017	2.5%	3.0%	2.1%
South Africa	Ehlanzeni	2017	1.7%	2.0%	1.5%
South Africa	City of Cape Town	2017	1.7%	2.0%	1.4%
South Africa	Ekurhuleni	2017	1.5%	3.1%	0.7%
South Africa	Mopani	2017	2.5%	2.9%	2.2%
South Africa	Frances Baard	2017	1.4%	1.6%	1.2%
South Africa	Alfred Nzo	2017	4.0%	4.6%	3.5%
South Africa	Overberg	2017	2.2%	4.1%	1.4%
South Africa	Zululand	2017	3.9%	4.8%	3.2%
South Africa	Dr Kenneth Kaunda	2017	1.9%	2.3%	1.5%
South Africa	Capricorn	2017	1.9%	2.4%	1.6%
South Africa	Uthungulu	2017	3.0%	4.1%	2.1%
South Africa	West Coast	2017	1.7%	2.7%	1.2%
South Africa	Mangaung	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Africa	City of Johannesburg	2017	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
South Africa	Ngaka Modiri Molema	2017	1.4%	1.6%	1.2%
South Africa	Umgungundlovu	2017	2.4%	4.6%	1.3%
South Africa	City of Tshwane	2017	1.4%	3.0%	0.5%
South Africa	Ugu	2017	2.8%	4.3%	1.8%
South Africa	Nelson Mandela Bay	2017	4.1%	5.7%	2.8%
South Africa	Cacadu	2017	2.9%	3.9%	2.3%
South Africa	Sisonke	2017	3.4%	4.5%	2.5%
South Africa	Fezile Dabi	2017	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
South Africa	Pixley ka Seme	2017	2.3%	2.7%	2.0%
South Africa	eThekweni	2017	0.6%	0.9%	0.5%
South Africa	Gert Sibande	2017	1.6%	2.0%	1.3%
South Africa	Buffalo City	2017	2.5%	3.3%	2.0%
South Africa	Thabo Mofutsanyane	2017	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
South Africa	Chris Hanu	2017	4.7%	5.3%	4.2%
South Africa	Central Karoo	2017	1.3%	2.2%	0.8%
South Africa	Dr Ruth Segomotsi Mompati	2017	2.3%	2.8%	1.9%
South Africa	Cape Winelands	2017	0.9%	1.1%	0.7%
South Africa	Uthukela	2017	2.8%	3.8%	2.0%
South Africa	Nkangala	2017	1.4%	2.0%	1.1%
South Africa	iLembe	2017	2.3%	3.7%	1.4%
South Sudan	Sobat	2000	56.9%	60.1%	53.9%
South Sudan	Wanjuk	2000	70.5%	73.4%	67.5%
South Sudan	Al Mayom	2000	74.0%	81.9%	63.1%
South Sudan	Fashooda	2000	57.2%	61.5%	52.1%
South Sudan	Kajo Kaji	2000	30.2%	34.4%	26.9%
South Sudan	Mayot	2000	56.5%	60.5%	52.0%
South Sudan	Nahr Atiem	2000	56.5%	60.8%	52.3%
South Sudan	Bahr al Jabal	2000	32.0%	35.2%	28.9%
South Sudan	Wat	2000	56.1%	60.7%	50.7%
South Sudan	Baleit	2000	57.2%	61.9%	52.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	South Shokodom	2000	58.1%	61.7%	54.5%
Sudan	South Bor	2000	53.8%	59.5%	48.3%
Sudan	South Meridi	2000	8.3%	9.6%	7.0%
Sudan	South Al Mabiien	2000	58.1%	65.4%	50.2%
Sudan	South Kapoeta	2000	58.2%	61.7%	54.8%
Sudan	South Raja	2000	53.2%	57.8%	48.7%
Sudan	South Tonga	2000	60.9%	64.0%	57.6%
Sudan	South Yambio	2000	7.6%	9.0%	6.4%
Sudan	South Mundri	2000	12.5%	14.1%	10.7%
Sudan	South Al Renk	2000	62.5%	66.1%	58.7%
Sudan	South Tombura	2000	9.8%	11.8%	8.1%
Sudan	South Pibor	2000	56.7%	61.0%	52.1%
Sudan	South Shobet	2000	47.8%	53.0%	42.6%
Sudan	South Aryat	2000	69.4%	73.5%	65.2%
Sudan	South Akobo	2000	56.9%	61.3%	52.6%
Sudan	South Terkaka	2000	32.3%	35.8%	28.9%
Sudan	South Faring	2000	62.3%	75.0%	45.6%
Sudan	South Warab	2000	69.4%	73.0%	65.1%
Sudan	South Gogrial	2000	75.4%	78.3%	71.3%
Sudan	South Aweil	2000	68.3%	70.8%	65.5%
Sudan	South Al Leiri	2000	57.6%	66.1%	47.4%
Sudan	South Magwi	2000	55.2%	59.0%	51.1%
Sudan	South Malek	2000	70.4%	74.4%	66.2%
Sudan	South Nahr Lol	2000	77.4%	80.8%	73.6%
Sudan	South Nahr Yei	2000	30.2%	33.5%	27.1%
Sudan	South Ayod	2000	56.5%	60.5%	50.6%
Sudan	South Tonj	2000	68.8%	72.3%	64.3%
Sudan	South Fam al Zaraf	2000	58.3%	63.7%	52.9%
Sudan	South Yerol	2000	45.0%	49.9%	39.8%
Sudan	South Rabkona	2000	66.0%	76.4%	53.4%
Sudan	South Aliab	2000	44.2%	49.6%	38.7%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
South Sudan	Wau	2000	45.9%	48.7%	42.3%
South Sudan	Rumbek	2000	41.3%	47.0%	36.0%
South Sudan	Amatonge	2000	57.9%	61.5%	54.5%
South Sudan	Malut	2000	58.4%	62.8%	54.6%
South Sudan	Akobo	2017	77.1%	79.6%	74.4%
South Sudan	Mundri	2017	24.2%	27.1%	21.8%
South Sudan	Shobet	2017	70.2%	72.9%	67.6%
South Sudan	Aliab	2017	67.0%	70.9%	62.5%
South Sudan	Kapoeta	2017	79.1%	81.0%	77.0%
South Sudan	Ayod	2017	77.0%	79.5%	74.2%
South Sudan	Malek	2017	80.0%	83.0%	76.9%
South Sudan	Mayot	2017	75.9%	78.7%	72.8%
South Sudan	Bor	2017	75.2%	78.1%	71.5%
South Sudan	Aryat	2017	78.3%	81.5%	74.5%
South Sudan	Amatonge	2017	77.6%	79.6%	74.7%
South Sudan	Al Mayom	2017	84.9%	90.9%	75.4%
South Sudan	Yambio	2017	16.7%	19.2%	14.4%
South Sudan	Al Leiri	2017	77.0%	83.2%	70.1%
South Sudan	Al Renk	2017	77.6%	80.5%	74.7%
South Sudan	Nahr Atiem	2017	76.7%	79.1%	73.8%
South Sudan	Raja	2017	76.1%	78.9%	72.9%
South Sudan	Fashooda	2017	75.7%	78.8%	71.9%
South Sudan	Nahr Lol	2017	86.3%	88.2%	84.0%
South Sudan	Tonj	2017	83.4%	84.9%	81.4%
South Sudan	Wat	2017	77.0%	79.5%	74.1%
South Sudan	Tonga	2017	79.0%	80.9%	76.9%
South Sudan	Malut	2017	76.2%	79.3%	72.9%
South Sudan	Pibor	2017	76.7%	79.1%	74.5%
South Sudan	Sobat	2017	76.1%	78.1%	73.8%
South Sudan	Baleit	2017	76.7%	79.5%	73.4%
South Sudan	Magwi	2017	77.2%	79.5%	74.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Sudan	South Nahr Yei	2017	54.8%	58.2%	51.8%
Sudan	South Gogrial	2017	85.8%	87.6%	84.1%
Sudan	South Shokodom	2017	78.8%	81.0%	76.5%
Sudan	South Rabkona	2017	80.7%	88.2%	70.7%
Sudan	South Wau	2017	73.5%	75.1%	71.8%
Sudan	South Yerol	2017	66.9%	70.2%	63.9%
Sudan	South Kajo Kaii	2017	55.1%	58.7%	51.5%
Sudan	South Terkaka	2017	56.4%	59.8%	53.0%
Sudan	South Meridi	2017	18.1%	20.8%	15.5%
Sudan	South Al Mabien	2017	77.2%	82.2%	71.0%
Sudan	South Aweil	2017	78.2%	80.3%	76.3%
Sudan	South Rumbek	2017	66.1%	68.3%	63.5%
Sudan	South Fam al Zaraf	2017	78.2%	81.3%	74.3%
Sudan	South Warab	2017	84.1%	85.7%	82.2%
Sudan	South Faring	2017	77.9%	87.8%	62.8%
Sudan	South Wanjuk	2017	79.2%	81.0%	77.1%
Sudan	South Tombura	2017	20.1%	22.9%	17.3%
Sudan	South Bahr al Jabal	2017	58.5%	61.1%	55.9%
Swaziland	Mbabane West	2000	27.3%	48.0%	9.1%
Swaziland	Ntondozi	2000	24.8%	43.7%	9.0%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni North	2000	34.0%	50.8%	15.3%
Swaziland	Pigg's Peak	2000	23.0%	37.3%	9.2%
Swaziland	Lamgabhi	2000	18.4%	43.5%	3.1%
Swaziland	Sandleni	2000	25.0%	45.3%	7.7%
Swaziland	Hlane	2000	24.4%	39.5%	11.4%
Swaziland	Kubuta	2000	25.2%	44.8%	8.9%
Swaziland	Mbabane East	2000	23.1%	32.9%	14.0%
Swaziland	Shiselweni	2000	29.7%	48.2%	14.8%
Swaziland	Nhlambeni	2000	20.8%	31.6%	9.4%
Swaziland	Kwaluseni	2000	24.3%	40.4%	8.7%
Swaziland	Mhlangatane	2000	27.5%	46.6%	8.9%
Swaziland	Siphofaneni	2000	22.8%	39.1%	9.0%
Swaziland	Ngwenpisi	2000	25.0%	39.8%	10.9%
Swaziland	Somntongo	2000	32.2%	52.3%	11.4%
Swaziland	Hosea	2000	29.7%	44.5%	17.1%
Swaziland	Mhlume	2000	39.0%	52.6%	25.5%
Swaziland	Nkwene	2000	25.0%	40.4%	9.1%
Swaziland	Manzini South	2000	15.2%	28.5%	5.6%
Swaziland	Mahlangatja	2000	24.2%	39.6%	10.5%
Swaziland	Zombodze	2000	25.2%	45.7%	9.5%
Swaziland	Nkilongo	2000	36.8%	53.1%	20.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Swaziland	Lugongolweni	2000	30.8%	47.4%	13.7%
Swaziland	Mbangweni	2000	27.8%	43.6%	12.4%
Swaziland	Ekukhanyeni	2000	20.2%	36.3%	5.9%
Swaziland	Lubuli	2000	34.8%	52.6%	15.9%
Swaziland	Dvokodvweni	2000	25.9%	39.3%	13.2%
Swaziland	Hhukwini	2000	26.4%	47.2%	8.2%
Swaziland	Manzini	2000	14.8%	31.5%	3.4%
	North				
Swaziland	Mayiwane	2000	23.4%	41.5%	7.3%
Swaziland	Sithobela	2000	26.6%	41.6%	13.5%
Swaziland	Mhlambanyatsi	2000	24.8%	42.1%	11.2%
Swaziland	Lomahasha	2000	35.9%	52.6%	17.4%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2000	34.3%	60.5%	9.9%
Swaziland	Ngudzeni	2000	25.9%	47.0%	5.9%
Swaziland	Mangcongco	2000	24.2%	40.2%	10.1%
Swaziland	Gege	2000	24.9%	40.9%	11.2%
Swaziland	Ntfonjeni	2000	24.1%	46.4%	7.1%
Swaziland	Sigwe	2000	31.7%	52.5%	11.6%
Swaziland	Madlangempisi	2000	27.1%	46.9%	9.6%
Swaziland	Ndzingeni	2000	25.5%	40.0%	10.7%
Swaziland	Motjane	2000	25.8%	43.2%	10.6%
Swaziland	Mphalaleni	2000	23.1%	38.2%	9.8%
Swaziland	Mpholonjeni	2000	28.4%	40.6%	15.3%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2000	32.8%	54.7%	10.4%
	Lomdzala				
Swaziland	Mkhiweni	2000	15.3%	25.7%	7.0%
Swaziland	Ludzeludze	2000	23.9%	45.2%	8.6%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni	2000	37.2%	52.8%	21.5%
	South				
Swaziland	Mthongwaneni	2000	20.4%	35.3%	7.6%
Swaziland	Mtsambama	2000	28.1%	48.6%	13.4%
Swaziland	Timpisini	2000	23.6%	48.9%	6.2%
Swaziland	Maseyisini	2000	24.8%	46.1%	7.1%
Swaziland	Nkhaba	2000	24.8%	39.4%	9.3%
Swaziland	Mafutseni	2000	25.2%	48.4%	6.2%
Swaziland	Shiselweni	2017	11.1%	20.5%	4.6%
Swaziland	Sigwe	2017	12.4%	24.4%	4.3%
Swaziland	Mbabane East	2017	11.6%	16.0%	7.9%
Swaziland	Hlane	2017	9.5%	17.7%	4.0%
Swaziland	Hhukwini	2017	9.6%	20.5%	2.9%
Swaziland	Langabhi	2017	6.4%	17.5%	0.9%
Swaziland	Kubuta	2017	8.9%	17.4%	2.7%
Swaziland	Zombodze	2017	8.6%	19.9%	3.2%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni	2017	13.6%	23.0%	5.6%
	North				
Swaziland	Pigg's Peak	2017	8.0%	16.2%	3.0%
Swaziland	Ludzeludze	2017	8.6%	19.1%	2.8%
Swaziland	Ngwenpisi	2017	9.3%	17.6%	3.7%
Swaziland	Ekukhanyeni	2017	7.0%	13.4%	2.0%
Swaziland	Siphofaneni	2017	8.8%	16.8%	3.2%
Swaziland	Ngudzeni	2017	9.1%	19.2%	1.8%
Swaziland	Mhlangatane	2017	10.1%	20.1%	2.9%
Swaziland	Kwaluseni	2017	8.3%	16.3%	3.1%
Swaziland	Mafutseni	2017	9.1%	20.3%	2.4%
Swaziland	Somntongo	2017	13.3%	24.3%	4.0%
Swaziland	Mtsambama	2017	9.8%	20.9%	4.1%
Swaziland	Manzini	2017	5.0%	9.8%	1.7%
	South				
Swaziland	Gege	2017	8.9%	16.9%	3.4%
Swaziland	Ndzingeni	2017	8.9%	15.3%	3.5%
Swaziland	Mahlangatja	2017	8.6%	16.2%	3.3%
Swaziland	Mhlume	2017	17.1%	25.7%	10.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Swaziland	Ntfonjeni	2017	8.6%	18.7%	2.2%
Swaziland	Nkhaba	2017	9.2%	17.3%	3.1%
Swaziland	Lubuli	2017	14.9%	25.4%	5.9%
Swaziland	Nhlambeni	2017	6.9%	11.6%	3.2%
Swaziland	Sandleni	2017	8.5%	18.9%	2.2%
Swaziland	Mphalaleni	2017	8.3%	14.8%	3.3%
Swaziland	Mpholonjeni	2017	11.4%	17.7%	5.7%
Swaziland	Manzini	2017	4.5%	9.7%	1.1%
	North				
Swaziland	Lugongolweni	2017	12.0%	21.6%	5.0%
Swaziland	Nkwene	2017	8.7%	16.6%	3.0%
Swaziland	Mangcongco	2017	8.2%	15.4%	3.5%
Swaziland	Timpisini	2017	8.1%	20.2%	1.8%
Swaziland	Motjane	2017	9.1%	17.7%	3.3%
Swaziland	Sithobela	2017	10.1%	19.0%	4.7%
Swaziland	Mbabane	2017	11.0%	21.5%	3.9%
	West				
Swaziland	Mayiwane	2017	8.1%	14.8%	2.2%
Swaziland	Hosea	2017	10.7%	18.2%	5.4%
Swaziland	Ntondozi	2017	9.2%	18.0%	3.1%
Swaziland	Mbangweni	2017	10.2%	20.3%	3.9%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2017	14.0%	27.5%	4.4%
	Lomdzala				
Swaziland	Dvokodvweni	2017	9.9%	16.4%	4.7%
Swaziland	Matsanjeni	2017	15.2%	24.9%	7.9%
	South				
Swaziland	Mthongwaneni	2017	7.2%	13.9%	2.4%
Swaziland	Madlangempisi	2017	9.8%	19.0%	3.2%
Swaziland	Lobamba	2017	13.5%	30.3%	3.0%
Swaziland	Nkilongo	2017	16.5%	27.8%	7.7%
Swaziland	Mhlambanyatsi	2017	8.7%	16.4%	3.5%
Swaziland	Mkhiweni	2017	5.6%	10.1%	2.3%
Swaziland	Lomahasha	2017	14.3%	25.6%	6.5%
Swaziland	Maseyisini	2017	8.8%	19.9%	2.4%
Tanzania	Micheweni	2000	39.3%	42.4%	36.4%
Tanzania	Babati	2000	4.6%	8.1%	2.5%
Tanzania	Sengerema	2000	3.1%	6.1%	1.5%
Tanzania	Kati	2000	2.0%	3.0%	1.4%
Tanzania	Rorya	2000	8.9%	15.0%	4.3%
Tanzania	Kishapu	2000	6.2%	10.2%	3.3%
Tanzania	Mbeya Rural	2000	3.1%	5.8%	1.2%
Tanzania	Urambo	2000	4.7%	8.6%	2.4%
Tanzania	Bukoba Rural	2000	1.4%	3.3%	0.5%
Tanzania	Kiteto	2000	7.2%	11.4%	4.5%
Tanzania	Mkinga	2000	6.3%	12.7%	2.7%
Tanzania	Songea Urban	2000	1.5%	3.6%	0.5%
Tanzania	Bariadi	2000	6.4%	10.4%	3.5%
Tanzania	Manyoni	2000	4.7%	7.0%	3.0%
Tanzania	Chunya	2000	3.4%	5.6%	2.1%
Tanzania	Mpwapwa	2000	4.5%	8.0%	2.5%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	4.8%	10.9%	1.4%
Tanzania	Mbulu	2000	5.6%	10.0%	3.0%
Tanzania	Njombe	2000	6.1%	10.9%	2.4%
Tanzania	Musoma Urban	2000	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%
Tanzania	Mafia	2000	5.3%	13.9%	1.6%
Tanzania	Mbeya Urban	2000	5.2%	11.4%	1.6%
Tanzania	Tanga	2000	2.6%	4.0%	1.6%
Tanzania	Wanging'ombe	2000	2.9%	5.5%	1.2%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2000	8.6%	32.7%	0.5%
Tanzania	Nyamagana	2000	2.6%	3.8%	1.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Kondoa	2000	3.7%	6.6%	1.8%
Tanzania	Liwale	2000	6.1%	9.8%	3.7%
Tanzania	Kahama	2000	9.7%	13.1%	6.6%
Tanzania	Hanang	2000	4.2%	7.5%	1.9%
Tanzania	Kyerwa	2000	4.2%	8.7%	1.5%
Tanzania	Chemba	2000	5.1%	9.1%	2.7%
Tanzania	Geita	2000	5.5%	8.2%	3.6%
Tanzania	Magu	2000	4.2%	7.0%	2.2%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'A'	2000	13.2%	14.9%	11.1%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	3.3%	11.7%	0.4%
Tanzania	Arusha Urban	2000	7.2%	8.6%	5.5%
Tanzania	Rombo	2000	0.9%	2.4%	0.3%
Tanzania	Kibondo	2000	3.2%	5.4%	1.9%
Tanzania	Kilombero	2000	5.4%	8.3%	3.5%
Tanzania	Handeni	2000	4.5%	7.7%	2.4%
Tanzania	Morogoro Urban	2000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%
Tanzania	Songea Rural	2000	3.2%	5.2%	1.8%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	1.9%	7.7%	0.1%
Tanzania	Mlele	2000	4.9%	6.8%	3.3%
Tanzania	Muheza	2000	1.6%	3.7%	0.5%
Tanzania	Arusha	2000	10.4%	15.0%	6.7%
Tanzania	Magharibi	2000	1.3%	1.6%	1.2%
Tanzania	Tabora Urban	2000	5.5%	9.1%	3.0%
Tanzania	Siha	2000	3.9%	8.1%	1.1%
Tanzania	Mwanga	2000	1.4%	3.4%	0.4%
Tanzania	Singida Urban	2000	0.8%	2.3%	0.2%
Tanzania	Kigoma Urban	2000	0.4%	0.7%	0.3%
Tanzania	Iramba	2000	3.7%	6.8%	1.8%
Tanzania	Mafinga Township Authority	2000	1.1%	3.9%	0.1%
Tanzania	Ilemela	2000	2.4%	4.0%	1.6%
Tanzania	Musoma Rural	2000	5.3%	11.4%	1.9%
Tanzania	Mbarali	2000	3.1%	5.2%	1.7%
Tanzania	Mvomero	2000	3.7%	5.8%	2.3%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2000	4.1%	12.4%	0.7%
Tanzania	Missenyi	2000	2.3%	5.1%	0.8%
Tanzania	Hai	2000	2.6%	5.8%	1.2%
Tanzania	Singida Rural	2000	2.4%	5.8%	0.9%
Tanzania	Itilima	2000	5.1%	10.1%	2.3%
Tanzania	Uvinza	2000	3.9%	6.1%	2.2%
Tanzania	Kasulu	2000	2.9%	5.2%	1.3%
Tanzania	Biharamulo	2000	7.4%	11.6%	4.2%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'B'	2000	7.0%	8.3%	5.9%
Tanzania	Tunduma	2000	1.7%	8.4%	0.2%
Tanzania	Mbinga	2000	2.5%	5.7%	0.9%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	4.9%	17.7%	0.4%
Tanzania	Rungwe	2000	3.7%	6.6%	1.5%
Tanzania	Masasi	2000	3.6%	5.8%	1.8%
Tanzania	Kwimba	2000	7.2%	10.8%	4.1%
Tanzania	Nachingwea	2000	4.3%	7.5%	2.2%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Urban	2000	3.4%	6.2%	1.7%
Tanzania	Lindi Rural	2000	3.8%	6.7%	2.0%
Tanzania	Chamwino	2000	5.2%	8.6%	2.9%
Tanzania	Kilolo	2000	3.2%	5.7%	1.8%
Tanzania	Kilwa	2000	7.5%	10.9%	4.6%
Tanzania	Namtumbo	2000	3.4%	5.4%	2.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Ruangwa	2000	2.7%	5.1%	1.3%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	3.9%	5.8%	2.6%
Tanzania	Lushoto	2000	3.8%	6.4%	2.0%
Tanzania	Monduli	2000	7.3%	10.1%	4.6%
Tanzania	Dodoma	2000	2.2%	4.1%	1.0%
Tanzania	Urban				
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	4.9%	16.1%	1.0%
Tanzania	Bukombe	2000	6.1%	9.0%	4.1%
Tanzania	Makambako	2000	1.6%	4.6%	0.5%
Tanzania	Township Authority				
Tanzania	Kahama	2000	6.6%	10.1%	3.9%
Tanzania	Township Authority				
Tanzania	Chake	2000	14.9%	16.5%	13.6%
Tanzania	Mpanda	2000	2.4%	3.6%	1.6%
Tanzania	Urban				
Tanzania	Kilindi	2000	6.2%	10.3%	3.4%
Tanzania	Kusini	2000	1.5%	2.7%	0.8%
Tanzania	Kibaha Urban	2000	4.3%	7.7%	2.0%
Tanzania	Pangani	2000	4.9%	9.6%	2.0%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	3.2%	6.3%	1.5%
Tanzania	Ikungi	2000	4.7%	7.8%	2.8%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga	2000	4.4%	7.0%	2.6%
Tanzania	Urban				
Tanzania	Chato	2000	4.4%	6.9%	2.4%
Tanzania	Kibaha	2000	3.6%	10.8%	0.9%
Tanzania	Kisarawe	2000	5.7%	9.6%	3.1%
Tanzania	Bahi	2000	4.0%	6.6%	2.0%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2000	3.7%	19.8%	0.1%
Tanzania	Karagwe	2000	3.0%	5.4%	1.3%
Tanzania	Wete	2000	17.9%	21.2%	15.2%
Tanzania	Tandahimba	2000	2.1%	4.0%	0.8%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Rural	2000	7.0%	11.2%	4.3%
Tanzania	Butiama	2000	5.7%	11.0%	2.7%
Tanzania	Mjini	2000	0.7%	0.8%	0.7%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga	2000	3.4%	5.6%	1.8%
Tanzania	Rural				
Tanzania	Ilala	2000	2.8%	3.6%	2.1%
Tanzania	Mufindi	2000	3.9%	6.1%	2.1%
Tanzania	Sikonge	2000	5.0%	8.1%	3.2%
Tanzania	Kakonko	2000	3.6%	7.7%	1.5%
Tanzania	Nanyumbu	2000	4.6%	8.2%	2.2%
Tanzania	Nyasa	2000	3.2%	6.6%	1.4%
Tanzania	Mtwara	2000	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%
Tanzania	Urban				
Tanzania	Kalambo	2000	5.1%	8.8%	2.6%
Tanzania	Maswa	2000	6.4%	9.9%	3.8%
Tanzania	Lindi Urban	2000	4.3%	9.5%	1.7%
Tanzania	Iringa Rural	2000	3.4%	5.4%	2.0%
Tanzania	Mpanda	2000	4.4%	6.4%	2.8%
Tanzania	Mkuranga	2000	3.4%	6.2%	1.7%
Tanzania	Ulanga	2000	9.9%	13.9%	6.3%
Tanzania	Kasulu Township Authority	2000	4.8%	8.8%	2.2%
Tanzania	Nyang'wale	2000	6.3%	12.3%	2.7%
Tanzania	Serengeti	2000	7.7%	11.9%	4.3%
Tanzania	Masasi Township Authority	2000	1.8%	4.1%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Iringa Urban	2000	2.5%	3.7%	1.5%
Tanzania	Bagamoyo	2000	4.1%	6.4%	2.5%
Tanzania	Rufiji	2000	4.0%	6.2%	2.4%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2000	4.6%	12.2%	1.1%
Tanzania	Tarime	2000	7.2%	10.3%	4.9%
Tanzania	Kinondoni	2000	1.5%	1.8%	1.3%
Tanzania	Muleba	2000	3.3%	6.3%	1.2%
Tanzania	Mtwara Rural	2000	3.3%	5.5%	1.8%
Tanzania	Kilosa	2000	3.7%	5.7%	2.1%
Tanzania	Buhigwe	2000	1.7%	4.1%	0.5%
Tanzania	Temeke	2000	2.1%	2.6%	1.7%
Tanzania	Handeni Township Authority	2000	7.3%	13.9%	3.0%
Tanzania	Korogwe	2000	3.2%	6.9%	1.3%
Tanzania	Kyela	2000	5.6%	8.8%	3.0%
Tanzania	Misungwi	2000	6.9%	10.9%	3.7%
Tanzania	Meatu	2000	5.3%	9.1%	2.9%
Tanzania	Ukerewe	2000	2.2%	5.5%	0.9%
Tanzania	Njombe Urban	2000	4.6%	8.3%	2.3%
Tanzania	Bunda	2000	4.5%	7.7%	2.3%
Tanzania	Karatu	2000	8.5%	14.1%	5.0%
Tanzania	Kongwa	2000	5.0%	8.3%	2.4%
Tanzania	Kaliua	2000	6.9%	10.7%	4.5%
Tanzania	Korogwe Township Authority	2000	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%
Tanzania	Nzega	2000	7.3%	10.3%	5.3%
Tanzania	Longido	2000	8.4%	12.3%	5.4%
Tanzania	Ngara	2000	3.9%	7.7%	1.6%
Tanzania	Ngorongoro	2000	7.5%	10.1%	5.4%
Tanzania	Moshi Rural	2000	1.3%	2.3%	0.6%
Tanzania	Momba	2000	3.7%	6.8%	1.7%
Tanzania	Mkalama	2000	2.9%	5.8%	1.0%
Tanzania	Kigoma Rural	2000	1.6%	5.2%	0.4%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2000	4.0%	8.0%	1.4%
Tanzania	Nkasi	2000	4.9%	7.3%	3.0%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2000	4.4%	7.8%	2.0%
Tanzania	Mkoani	2000	20.9%	24.2%	18.1%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2000	5.5%	21.5%	0.7%
Tanzania	Simanjiro	2000	8.8%	11.6%	6.4%
Tanzania	Tunduru	2000	6.9%	10.0%	4.5%
Tanzania	Bukoba Urban	2000	0.9%	1.4%	0.6%
Tanzania	Mbogwe	2000	6.2%	9.3%	3.7%
Tanzania	Uyui	2000	6.8%	9.6%	4.7%
Tanzania	Mbozi	2000	2.5%	4.8%	1.1%
Tanzania	Meru	2000	3.7%	6.8%	2.1%
Tanzania	Ileje	2000	4.8%	10.1%	1.5%
Tanzania	Same	2000	3.3%	6.0%	1.6%
Tanzania	Gairo	2000	4.4%	8.9%	1.7%
Tanzania	Moshi Urban	2000	1.6%	2.7%	1.1%
Tanzania	Babati Urban	2000	2.9%	7.0%	1.2%
Tanzania	Igunga	2000	7.7%	11.1%	5.4%
Tanzania	Makete	2000	5.4%	9.9%	2.2%
Tanzania	Ludewa	2000	5.2%	8.7%	2.6%
Tanzania	Newala	2000	2.8%	5.1%	1.1%
Tanzania	Busega	2000	3.7%	7.5%	1.5%
Tanzania	Morogoro Rural	2000	3.5%	5.5%	1.9%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Kiteto	2017	16.6%	22.8%	12.1%
Tanzania	Babati	2017	11.4%	17.0%	7.5%
Tanzania	Mlele	2017	11.6%	14.4%	8.7%
Tanzania	Nyamagana	2017	9.8%	13.2%	7.5%
Tanzania	Mafia	2017	14.2%	29.6%	5.5%
Tanzania	Missenyi	2017	6.3%	11.3%	2.8%
Tanzania	Urambo	2017	12.3%	20.3%	7.2%
Tanzania	Njombe	2017	12.8%	20.4%	6.4%
Tanzania	Tanga	2017	7.7%	10.5%	5.6%
Tanzania	Nachingwea	2017	10.3%	15.2%	6.3%
Tanzania	Mpwapwa	2017	11.5%	17.2%	7.4%
Tanzania	Chemba	2017	12.9%	19.7%	8.2%
Tanzania	Pangani	2017	12.7%	20.8%	7.1%
Tanzania	Liwale	2017	14.0%	19.4%	9.7%
Tanzania	Bariadi	2017	15.6%	22.2%	10.2%
Tanzania	Magu	2017	12.2%	17.1%	7.6%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	9.4%	27.4%	1.8%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2017	9.6%	38.1%	0.4%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	11.6%	21.4%	4.9%
Tanzania	Geita	2017	12.8%	17.0%	9.4%
Tanzania	Chunya	2017	9.3%	13.1%	6.3%
Tanzania	Wanging'ombe	2017	7.6%	12.9%	4.2%
Tanzania	Mbarali	2017	8.3%	12.1%	5.2%
Tanzania	Tabora Urban	2017	12.6%	16.7%	8.6%
Tanzania	Sengerema	2017	8.6%	13.8%	4.9%
Tanzania	Kilindi	2017	15.2%	22.3%	9.8%
Tanzania	Sikonge	2017	12.1%	17.0%	8.8%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2017	19.3%	52.0%	2.1%
Tanzania	Iringa Rural	2017	8.7%	12.5%	6.0%
Tanzania	Uvinza	2017	10.0%	14.0%	6.8%
Tanzania	Kigoma Urban	2017	2.2%	3.2%	1.5%
Tanzania	Biharamulo	2017	16.7%	23.6%	10.8%
Tanzania	Morogoro Urban	2017	5.4%	6.5%	4.4%
Tanzania	Muheza	2017	5.2%	9.0%	2.2%
Tanzania	Arusha	2017	19.2%	24.8%	13.7%
Tanzania	Siha	2017	10.8%	19.6%	4.6%
Tanzania	Dodoma Urban	2017	6.3%	10.1%	3.6%
Tanzania	Kondoa	2017	9.7%	14.6%	5.9%
Tanzania	Chake	2017	37.3%	39.3%	35.4%
Tanzania	Ileje	2017	11.0%	19.1%	4.7%
Tanzania	Rombo	2017	3.6%	7.1%	1.6%
Tanzania	Masasi	2017	8.9%	13.0%	5.4%
Tanzania	Kasulu Township Authority	2017	12.9%	20.2%	7.9%
Tanzania	Mafinga Township Authority	2017	3.1%	8.8%	0.7%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	4.0%	12.1%	0.4%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Urban	2017	13.0%	17.7%	9.3%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	11.6%	32.7%	1.4%
Tanzania	Bagamoyo	2017	10.7%	14.8%	7.5%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'B'	2017	19.2%	21.0%	17.4%
Tanzania	Njombe Urban	2017	12.0%	18.0%	7.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Makambako Township Authority	2017	5.1%	10.2%	2.3%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	12.9%	29.0%	3.7%
Tanzania	Lindi Urban	2017	12.5%	19.6%	6.6%
Tanzania	Kibaha Urban	2017	11.6%	16.6%	7.0%
Tanzania	Songea Rural	2017	8.2%	12.0%	5.2%
Tanzania	Arusha Urban	2017	15.1%	17.8%	12.4%
Tanzania	Iramba	2017	9.9%	15.3%	5.7%
Tanzania	Mpanda Urban	2017	9.0%	12.0%	6.3%
Tanzania	Mjini	2017	4.0%	4.4%	3.6%
Tanzania	Ulanga	2017	20.7%	26.6%	14.7%
Tanzania	Rungwe	2017	9.0%	14.2%	4.7%
Tanzania	Bahi	2017	10.4%	15.5%	6.4%
Tanzania	Karatu	2017	19.4%	27.5%	13.7%
Tanzania	Musoma Rural	2017	13.5%	23.7%	6.1%
Tanzania	Bukombe	2017	14.7%	19.4%	10.9%
Tanzania	Kilombero	2017	12.6%	16.8%	8.8%
Tanzania	Ikungi	2017	11.4%	16.3%	7.5%
Tanzania	Mufindi	2017	10.0%	14.1%	6.1%
Tanzania	Singida Rural	2017	6.8%	12.7%	3.3%
Tanzania	Magharibi	2017	6.5%	7.3%	5.8%
Tanzania	Kahama Township Authority	2017	16.6%	23.5%	11.7%
Tanzania	Tandahimba	2017	6.3%	10.0%	3.2%
Tanzania	Singida Urban	2017	2.8%	5.9%	1.2%
Tanzania	Mbeya Rural	2017	8.7%	13.9%	4.6%
Tanzania	Wete	2017	40.4%	44.1%	36.0%
Tanzania	Meru	2017	10.6%	16.0%	6.9%
Tanzania	Ruangwa	2017	8.2%	12.6%	4.7%
Tanzania	Mpanda	2017	11.3%	14.8%	8.1%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	7.8%	13.1%	4.4%
Tanzania	Mbinga	2017	6.9%	12.6%	3.2%
Tanzania	Tunduma	2017	6.0%	28.0%	0.8%
Tanzania	Ngorongoro	2017	17.0%	20.7%	13.4%
Tanzania	Kibondo	2017	8.9%	13.0%	6.0%
Tanzania	Lushoto	2017	9.2%	14.3%	5.6%
Tanzania	Mkuranga	2017	8.1%	11.8%	5.4%
Tanzania	Kasulu	2017	7.9%	13.2%	4.0%
Tanzania	Lindi Rural	2017	10.2%	15.5%	6.3%
Tanzania	Simanjiro	2017	20.7%	25.2%	17.0%
Tanzania	Nanyumbu	2017	11.4%	17.6%	6.4%
Tanzania	Chamwino	2017	12.9%	18.2%	8.9%
Tanzania	Kakonko	2017	9.7%	17.5%	5.3%
Tanzania	Nzega	2017	16.7%	20.9%	13.3%
Tanzania	Bunda	2017	11.2%	16.6%	6.6%
Tanzania	Sumbawanga Rural	2017	9.1%	13.5%	5.7%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Urban	2017	10.9%	16.1%	6.8%
Tanzania	Kilwa	2017	16.2%	21.1%	12.1%
Tanzania	Mkinga	2017	14.2%	25.0%	7.4%
Tanzania	Iringa Urban	2017	5.1%	6.7%	3.8%
Tanzania	Kati	2017	8.1%	9.9%	6.7%
Tanzania	Bukoba Rural	2017	4.5%	8.8%	1.9%
Tanzania	Mkalama	2017	8.1%	14.1%	3.6%
Tanzania	Handeni Township Authority	2017	20.2%	31.3%	10.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Uyui	2017	16.1%	20.5%	12.2%
Tanzania	Mbozi	2017	7.4%	11.8%	4.1%
Tanzania	Shinyanga Rural	2017	16.6%	24.0%	11.8%
Tanzania	Handeni	2017	11.2%	17.5%	7.0%
Tanzania	Mvomero	2017	9.6%	13.4%	6.5%
Tanzania	Kyerwa	2017	11.0%	18.4%	5.1%
Tanzania	Temeke	2017	7.9%	9.0%	7.0%
Tanzania	Moshi Urban	2017	6.9%	8.8%	5.4%
Tanzania	Ngara	2017	9.9%	16.5%	5.5%
Tanzania	Kahama	2017	21.1%	25.7%	15.5%
Tanzania	Kilolo	2017	8.1%	11.9%	5.3%
Tanzania	Misungwi	2017	16.6%	23.8%	10.3%
Tanzania	Muleba	2017	8.1%	12.8%	4.1%
Tanzania	Namtumbo	2017	8.6%	12.5%	6.0%
Tanzania	Mbogwe	2017	14.3%	20.2%	9.8%
Tanzania	Kaskazini 'A'	2017	28.8%	30.5%	27.0%
Tanzania	Buhigwe	2017	5.3%	9.8%	2.2%
Tanzania	Manyoni	2017	11.8%	16.1%	8.6%
Tanzania	Rufiji	2017	10.7%	15.7%	7.1%
Tanzania	Kisarawe	2017	15.0%	21.2%	10.2%
Tanzania	Kibaha	2017	9.8%	21.0%	3.7%
Tanzania	Kongwa	2017	12.8%	19.2%	7.8%
Tanzania	Moshi Rural	2017	4.5%	6.8%	3.0%
Tanzania	Itilima	2017	12.8%	21.0%	7.2%
Tanzania	Mkoani	2017	42.1%	45.3%	38.7%
Tanzania	Busega	2017	10.3%	17.7%	5.3%
Tanzania	Chato	2017	11.4%	16.2%	7.2%
Tanzania	Ilala	2017	9.5%	10.9%	8.1%
Tanzania	Tarime	2017	18.1%	23.0%	14.1%
Tanzania	Korogwe Township Authority	2017	1.2%	2.7%	0.4%
Tanzania	Mtwara Urban	2017	3.9%	4.7%	3.2%
Tanzania	Kilosa	2017	9.6%	13.5%	6.2%
Tanzania	Mwanga	2017	4.3%	9.0%	1.6%
Tanzania	Kyela	2017	14.9%	20.6%	10.1%
Tanzania	Mtwara Rural	2017	8.8%	12.8%	5.6%
Tanzania	Longido	2017	18.4%	24.4%	13.3%
Tanzania	Lake Manyara	2017	11.6%	25.9%	3.7%
Tanzania	Morogoro Rural	2017	9.0%	12.7%	5.5%
Tanzania	Nkasi	2017	12.3%	16.6%	8.8%
Tanzania	Kalambo	2017	12.4%	18.0%	7.8%
Tanzania	Kwimba	2017	17.1%	23.2%	11.3%
Tanzania	Nyasa	2017	8.3%	13.9%	4.4%
Tanzania	Mbulu	2017	12.8%	19.1%	8.1%
Tanzania	Serengeti	2017	16.4%	22.5%	10.9%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	9.0%	11.7%	6.7%
Tanzania	Hanang	2017	11.7%	17.2%	6.7%
Tanzania	Ilemela	2017	6.6%	9.7%	4.7%
Tanzania	Newala	2017	8.1%	12.8%	4.3%
Tanzania	Bukoba Urban	2017	3.0%	4.7%	2.3%
Tanzania	Mbeya Urban	2017	14.7%	23.1%	6.6%
Tanzania	Masasi Township Authority	2017	5.7%	10.8%	3.0%
Tanzania	Butiama	2017	15.3%	24.1%	9.0%
Tanzania	Lake Rukwa	2017	11.0%	24.0%	3.6%
Tanzania	Karagwe	2017	7.9%	12.7%	4.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Tanzania	Ludewa	2017	12.0%	17.7%	7.3%
Tanzania	Maswa	2017	15.7%	21.6%	10.7%
Tanzania	Monduli	2017	16.2%	21.5%	11.3%
Tanzania	Babati Urban	2017	10.1%	18.4%	5.5%
Tanzania	Makete	2017	12.4%	19.3%	6.9%
Tanzania	Kigoma Rural	2017	4.9%	10.8%	1.5%
Tanzania	Micheweni	2017	65.6%	67.9%	63.3%
Tanzania	Korogwe	2017	8.8%	15.9%	4.6%
Tanzania	Lake Tanganyika	2017	8.7%	14.1%	4.2%
Tanzania	Kusini	2017	5.8%	9.1%	3.8%
Tanzania	Ukerewe	2017	6.7%	12.4%	3.3%
Tanzania	Hai	2017	6.8%	12.3%	3.7%
Tanzania	Nyang'wale	2017	15.1%	23.7%	7.8%
Tanzania	Songea Urban	2017	4.4%	7.6%	2.1%
Tanzania	Rorya	2017	21.4%	30.6%	13.7%
Tanzania	Igunga	2017	18.0%	23.3%	14.0%
Tanzania	Musoma Urban	2017	6.7%	8.5%	5.1%
Tanzania	Kinondoni	2017	6.6%	7.3%	5.9%
Tanzania	Tunduru	2017	14.7%	19.2%	10.9%
Tanzania	Same	2017	8.4%	13.3%	4.9%
Tanzania	Lake Victoria	2017	11.7%	17.3%	6.9%
Tanzania	Kishapu	2017	15.3%	22.1%	10.1%
Tanzania	Lake Eyasi	2017	13.4%	36.8%	2.6%
Tanzania	Meatu	2017	13.2%	18.9%	8.3%
Tanzania	Momba	2017	9.8%	14.8%	5.7%
Tanzania	Kaliua	2017	16.6%	21.8%	12.2%
Tanzania	Gairo	2017	12.1%	19.8%	6.5%
Togo	Vo	2000	72.3%	82.5%	61.4%
Togo	Bassar	2000	83.9%	91.0%	73.7%
Togo	Oti	2000	82.6%	91.8%	66.7%
Togo	Tchaudjo	2000	62.5%	70.9%	54.0%
Togo	Bimah	2000	84.4%	96.1%	65.7%
Togo	Doufelgou	2000	82.0%	93.9%	65.9%
Togo	Sotouboua	2000	70.3%	84.5%	42.8%
Togo	Kozah	2000	83.4%	91.4%	73.2%
Togo	Yoto	2000	75.5%	84.2%	66.2%
Togo	Tchamba (Nyala)	2000	78.8%	87.5%	66.5%
Togo	Haho	2000	78.8%	88.8%	66.4%
Togo	Zio	2000	53.8%	61.8%	46.0%
Togo	Kloto	2000	69.1%	79.1%	56.6%
Togo	Amou	2000	83.1%	91.9%	68.5%
Togo	Golfe (incl Lomé)	2000	38.2%	44.1%	33.6%
Togo	Assoli	2000	82.0%	95.0%	65.2%
Togo	Tône	2000	75.3%	81.9%	67.1%
Togo	Ogou	2000	85.1%	91.2%	77.5%
Togo	Wawa	2000	76.7%	88.9%	61.6%
Togo	Kéran	2000	78.9%	89.6%	65.0%
Togo	Lacs	2000	69.5%	79.6%	55.7%
Togo	Assoli	2017	76.0%	91.6%	56.7%
Togo	Sotouboua	2017	64.8%	82.5%	37.0%
Togo	Haho	2017	72.3%	83.6%	59.0%
Togo	Kozah	2017	75.3%	84.6%	63.9%
Togo	Kloto	2017	59.3%	71.1%	44.8%
Togo	Kéran	2017	73.5%	85.1%	57.0%
Togo	Wawa	2017	72.1%	85.6%	57.4%
Togo	Zio	2017	39.3%	47.0%	32.7%
Togo	Vo	2017	63.3%	75.1%	52.0%
Togo	Golfe (incl Lomé)	2017	25.6%	29.2%	22.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Togo	Yoto	2017	68.9%	79.2%	57.5%
Togo	Ogou	2017	81.0%	88.1%	72.8%
Togo	Tône	2017	69.4%	77.6%	60.5%
Togo	Tchamba (Nyala)	2017	72.9%	84.1%	57.4%
Togo	Tchaudjo	2017	57.5%	67.3%	48.2%
Togo	Lacs	2017	60.0%	71.7%	45.3%
Togo	Bassar	2017	81.3%	88.2%	71.5%
Togo	Amou	2017	77.3%	88.4%	60.2%
Togo	Oti	2017	78.0%	88.4%	64.7%
Togo	Doufelgou	2017	76.0%	90.2%	60.2%
Togo	Bimah	2017	80.3%	94.0%	60.7%
Uganda	Bokora	2000	65.5%	73.2%	57.2%
Uganda	Kaberamaido	2000	20.5%	36.3%	8.2%
Uganda	Kiboga	2000	14.3%	23.3%	6.5%
Uganda	Buruli	2000	16.0%	25.1%	9.3%
Uganda	Nyabushozi	2000	10.2%	15.9%	4.9%
Uganda	Kyadondo	2000	2.3%	4.2%	1.2%
Uganda	Kisoro Municipality	2000	1.0%	2.8%	0.3%
Uganda	Bungokho	2000	16.7%	20.8%	12.9%
Uganda	Buvuma Island	2000	23.4%	38.8%	11.9%
Uganda	Busujju	2000	5.3%	14.2%	1.3%
Uganda	Ibanda Municipality	2000	11.5%	21.4%	3.8%
Uganda	Kasese Municipality	2000	14.2%	22.7%	7.2%
Uganda	Kibuku	2000	24.3%	42.1%	12.6%
Uganda	Kumi Municipality	2000	10.8%	25.1%	2.8%
Uganda	Buyanja	2000	8.8%	17.0%	3.6%
Uganda	Ruhinda	2000	1.8%	4.5%	0.5%
Uganda	West Budama	2000	24.9%	32.7%	20.3%
Uganda	Rukungiri Municipality	2000	4.6%	19.0%	0.2%
Uganda	Kwania	2000	21.8%	29.5%	15.1%
Uganda	Kotido Municipality	2000	83.4%	89.7%	77.1%
Uganda	Bujenje	2000	13.3%	27.3%	4.5%
Uganda	Agago	2000	27.0%	35.9%	18.1%
Uganda	Bushenyi-Ishaka Municipality	2000	0.6%	2.0%	0.1%
Uganda	Kween	2000	15.9%	27.5%	6.9%
Uganda	Lwemiyaga	2000	15.7%	34.6%	5.2%
Uganda	Bukooli	2000	20.0%	30.6%	11.8%
Uganda	Bulamogi	2000	12.2%	18.1%	8.1%
Uganda	Budiope	2000	16.7%	23.4%	11.5%
Uganda	Chekwi	2000	55.9%	69.4%	43.2%
Uganda	Bujumba	2000	10.4%	24.1%	2.8%
Uganda	Labwor	2000	44.1%	56.2%	31.6%
Uganda	Ibanda	2000	10.7%	17.9%	5.4%
Uganda	Kumi	2000	22.1%	30.5%	14.9%
Uganda	Bunyangabu	2000	4.2%	10.5%	1.4%
Uganda	Nakifuma	2000	4.6%	10.1%	1.3%
Uganda	Mukono	2000	7.0%	11.9%	3.5%
Uganda	Mawokota	2000	6.6%	12.7%	2.4%
Uganda	Kira Municipality	2000	2.5%	3.9%	1.6%
Uganda	Buzaaya	2000	3.4%	10.2%	1.3%
Uganda	Iki-Iki	2000	25.6%	33.4%	18.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Bukomansimbi	2000	2.3%	5.3%	0.9%
Uganda	Maracha	2000	24.6%	32.3%	16.8%
Uganda	Kalungu	2000	5.6%	11.8%	2.3%
Uganda	Jinja Municipality	2000	3.0%	4.6%	1.9%
Uganda	Bufumbira	2000	3.7%	8.8%	1.2%
Uganda	Ndorwa	2000	2.6%	6.8%	0.7%
Uganda	Kitagwenda	2000	13.6%	23.4%	6.4%
Uganda	Kiboga	2000	14.1%	24.8%	6.4%
Uganda	Lugazi Municipality	2000	5.0%	11.4%	1.6%
Uganda	Madi Okollo	2000	31.7%	42.8%	22.3%
Uganda	Burahya	2000	3.9%	7.2%	1.7%
Uganda	Obongi	2000	25.1%	41.3%	12.9%
Uganda	Kasambya	2000	12.7%	22.6%	6.5%
Uganda	Ngora	2000	30.2%	46.2%	17.5%
Uganda	Kongasis	2000	29.5%	50.6%	11.4%
Uganda	Rushenyi	2000	5.5%	15.8%	1.0%
Uganda	Busiki	2000	16.2%	23.9%	9.5%
Uganda	Kalaki	2000	30.6%	47.7%	18.1%
Uganda	Bukoto	2000	5.1%	8.3%	2.9%
Uganda	Kassanda	2000	7.9%	13.2%	4.3%
Uganda	Lamwo	2000	28.6%	38.5%	20.3%
Uganda	Pian	2000	65.9%	77.7%	50.8%
Uganda	Kcca	2000	5.0%	5.6%	4.5%
Uganda	Bugiri Municipality	2000	9.2%	16.7%	4.2%
Uganda	Aringa	2000	27.7%	37.0%	20.1%
Uganda	Chua	2000	25.8%	33.9%	17.5%
Uganda	Budadiri	2000	9.9%	16.1%	5.8%
Uganda	Kashari	2000	4.1%	8.6%	1.2%
Uganda	Matheniko	2000	78.3%	84.6%	70.6%
Uganda	Bubulo West	2000	1.7%	2.8%	1.1%
Uganda	Manjiya	2000	10.3%	17.7%	5.0%
Uganda	Nakaseke	2000	10.6%	20.2%	5.0%
Uganda	Bubulo East	2000	6.0%	8.1%	4.4%
Uganda	Kibale	2000	11.1%	20.0%	5.1%
Uganda	Mubende Municipality	2000	7.7%	13.7%	3.5%
Uganda	Masindi Municipality	2000	11.4%	16.1%	7.3%
Uganda	Vurra	2000	17.3%	29.8%	7.4%
Uganda	Sheema	2000	1.9%	5.8%	0.2%
Uganda	Moroto	2000	20.1%	29.0%	12.5%
Uganda	Toroma	2000	25.7%	46.0%	11.9%
Uganda	Fort Portal Municipality	2000	1.4%	2.6%	0.6%
Uganda	Amuria	2000	25.1%	35.9%	17.6%
Uganda	Isingiro	2000	12.6%	19.7%	6.6%
Uganda	Nwoya	2000	21.4%	28.7%	14.7%
Uganda	Ruhaama	2000	6.8%	11.8%	3.4%
Uganda	Bulambuli	2000	11.6%	22.4%	3.4%
Uganda	Kioga	2000	18.2%	29.9%	9.4%
Uganda	Rubabo	2000	2.0%	5.2%	0.5%
Uganda	Lira Municipality	2000	6.9%	8.6%	5.2%
Uganda	Kabula	2000	10.7%	17.4%	5.8%
Uganda	Tororo	2000	12.0%	16.5%	8.5%
Uganda	Ayivu	2000	17.1%	23.0%	9.1%
Uganda	Kamuli Municipality	2000	15.1%	25.3%	7.0%
Uganda	Bunya	2000	10.9%	20.2%	4.4%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Bukoto	2000	8.4%	17.3%	3.2%
Uganda	Terego	2000	21.3%	29.6%	15.3%
Uganda	Dokolo	2000	18.2%	28.3%	9.2%
Uganda	Buwekula	2000	8.8%	17.2%	3.1%
Uganda	Katikamu	2000	5.0%	9.1%	1.8%
Uganda	Kinkiizi	2000	5.7%	10.9%	2.5%
Uganda	Gomba	2000	12.5%	20.2%	7.2%
Uganda	Busiro	2000	6.8%	10.2%	4.7%
Uganda	Nansana Municipality	2000	3.8%	6.6%	2.2%
Uganda	Bamunanika	2000	9.9%	16.1%	5.4%
Uganda	Pallisa	2000	15.1%	27.4%	7.9%
Uganda	Koboko Municipality	2000	1.8%	6.2%	0.7%
Uganda	Kapelebyong	2000	25.1%	40.3%	11.8%
Uganda	Agule	2000	14.1%	29.6%	5.4%
Uganda	Butebo	2000	20.7%	32.9%	10.4%
Uganda	Butembe	2000	5.0%	7.4%	3.0%
Uganda	Sheema Municipality	2000	1.6%	5.0%	0.1%
Uganda	Arua Municipality	2000	3.9%	6.5%	2.4%
Uganda	Okoro	2000	14.7%	21.7%	8.5%
Uganda	Bugahya	2000	10.1%	19.6%	4.2%
Uganda	Soroti	2000	25.7%	32.5%	19.9%
Uganda	Bukanga	2000	13.3%	24.1%	6.1%
Uganda	Katuuto East	2000	9.3%	27.6%	1.2%
Uganda	Bugabula	2000	14.5%	19.7%	9.2%
Uganda	Moroto Municipality	2000	57.3%	69.2%	44.3%
Uganda	Samia-Bugwe	2000	11.1%	18.9%	5.7%
Uganda	Gulu Municipality	2000	12.1%	14.6%	9.7%
Uganda	Tororo Municipality	2000	35.0%	40.8%	28.9%
Uganda	Kasilo	2000	26.1%	39.8%	14.8%
Uganda	Luuka	2000	10.0%	13.3%	7.2%
Uganda	Bukonzo	2000	6.8%	14.6%	2.1%
Uganda	Budaka	2000	27.9%	48.9%	12.1%
Uganda	Pokot	2000	72.5%	83.3%	61.9%
Uganda	Aswa	2000	20.9%	28.8%	14.1%
Uganda	Bugweri	2000	8.9%	15.2%	5.3%
Uganda	Entebbe Municipality	2000	13.4%	26.7%	7.7%
Uganda	Kole	2000	20.1%	27.6%	13.8%
Uganda	Ntenjeru	2000	2.4%	5.1%	1.0%
Uganda	Bbaale	2000	9.4%	18.4%	3.3%
Uganda	Rwampara	2000	9.5%	21.1%	2.1%
Uganda	Mbale Municipality	2000	14.3%	16.1%	12.5%
Uganda	Buikwe	2000	6.7%	14.9%	1.7%
Uganda	Soroti Municipality	2000	7.7%	14.8%	3.9%
Uganda	Kakuuto North	2000	13.8%	38.9%	1.5%
Uganda	Erute	2000	18.1%	24.0%	13.4%
Uganda	Katerera	2000	3.3%	8.3%	0.6%
Uganda	Bwamba	2000	9.1%	16.2%	4.1%
Uganda	Buliisa	2000	16.3%	27.7%	8.2%
Uganda	Oyam	2000	21.0%	27.2%	16.1%
Uganda	Kibanda	2000	14.4%	21.3%	8.6%
Uganda	Mawogola	2000	14.2%	22.2%	8.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Omoro	2000	23.4%	36.5%	13.0%
Uganda	Apac Municipality	2000	13.6%	24.4%	6.8%
Uganda	Kyotera	2000	8.8%	14.1%	4.2%
Uganda	Kajara	2000	5.4%	15.3%	1.2%
Uganda	Mwenge	2000	9.0%	14.6%	4.9%
Uganda	Hoima Municipality	2000	1.4%	3.9%	0.5%
Uganda	Mityana Municipality	2000	5.2%	17.8%	0.8%
Uganda	Nebbi Municipality	2000	6.9%	15.5%	3.8%
Uganda	Kazo	2000	14.6%	23.5%	7.3%
Uganda	Usuk	2000	42.2%	54.8%	30.9%
Uganda	Rukiga	2000	3.4%	10.3%	0.6%
Uganda	Makindye Ssabagabo Municipality	2000	3.8%	5.2%	2.9%
Uganda	Aruu	2000	25.4%	36.1%	16.8%
Uganda	Koboko	2000	20.7%	29.7%	13.6%
Uganda	Kyamuswa	2000	6.4%	13.6%	1.7%
Uganda	Buhweju	2000	5.4%	11.2%	2.1%
Uganda	Ntoroko	2000	17.3%	27.7%	7.7%
Uganda	East Moyo	2000	30.5%	39.1%	23.1%
Uganda	Kabale Municipality	2000	1.9%	3.2%	1.1%
Uganda	Kigulu	2000	7.3%	10.6%	5.0%
Uganda	Kagoma	2000	9.4%	14.9%	4.9%
Uganda	Bunyole	2000	23.2%	34.0%	14.3%
Uganda	Kilak	2000	26.3%	34.9%	18.0%
Uganda	Otuke	2000	30.0%	42.2%	17.9%
Uganda	Busia Municipality	2000	1.1%	4.8%	0.1%
Uganda	Padyere	2000	19.6%	37.0%	9.1%
Uganda	Dodoth	2000	61.6%	69.1%	54.4%
Uganda	Mukono Municipality	2000	1.5%	2.6%	0.8%
Uganda	Jonam	2000	15.2%	24.2%	7.9%
Uganda	Bukedea	2000	28.0%	37.8%	19.2%
Uganda	West Moyo	2000	24.3%	39.9%	13.2%
Uganda	Busongora	2000	12.0%	17.5%	6.5%
Uganda	Tingey	2000	9.0%	17.3%	3.2%
Uganda	Rubanda	2000	3.9%	9.1%	0.9%
Uganda	Mbarara Municipality	2000	3.9%	8.6%	1.4%
Uganda	Jie	2000	60.2%	69.3%	51.1%
Uganda	Kapchorwa Municipality	2000	5.4%	10.5%	2.3%
Uganda	Buhaguzi	2000	16.9%	26.3%	10.3%
Uganda	Bukooli North	2000	17.2%	24.7%	11.2%
Uganda	Mityana	2000	6.5%	14.5%	2.1%
Uganda	Maruzi	2000	16.1%	23.4%	9.4%
Uganda	Katuuto West	2000	15.5%	28.3%	5.7%
Uganda	Rujumbura	2000	12.9%	23.3%	5.2%
Uganda	Buyaga	2000	10.6%	17.2%	5.1%
Uganda	Bughendera	2000	7.1%	13.1%	3.1%
Uganda	Buruli	2000	18.7%	29.3%	9.7%
Uganda	Igara	2000	3.0%	6.5%	1.1%
Uganda	Ntungamo Municipality	2000	6.4%	16.9%	1.4%
Uganda	Bugangaizi	2000	13.0%	21.5%	6.0%
Uganda	Butambala	2000	7.1%	17.3%	2.1%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Njeru Municipality	2000	9.8%	13.7%	7.3%
Uganda	Serere	2000	21.8%	35.5%	11.2%
Uganda	Bunyaruguru	2000	11.1%	18.2%	5.8%
Uganda	Kitgum Municipality	2000	4.1%	8.7%	1.2%
Uganda	Iganga Municipality	2000	7.7%	10.9%	5.2%
Uganda	Kyaka	2000	12.1%	19.9%	5.8%
Uganda	Masaka Municipality	2000	2.8%	9.5%	0.7%
Uganda	Kooki	2000	13.6%	23.1%	6.8%
Uganda	Kwania	2017	14.3%	20.5%	9.4%
Uganda	Kumi	2017	13.9%	21.6%	8.8%
Uganda	Kioga	2017	11.1%	19.3%	4.7%
Uganda	Mukono	2017	3.7%	7.0%	1.5%
Uganda	Arua Municipality	2017	1.8%	2.5%	1.1%
Uganda	Ibanda Municipality	2017	6.4%	14.8%	1.7%
Uganda	Kiboga	2017	7.9%	15.9%	3.7%
Uganda	Nyabushozi	2017	6.1%	10.1%	2.4%
Uganda	Rukungiri Municipality	2017	3.7%	13.0%	0.2%
Uganda	Vurra	2017	12.4%	22.2%	4.7%
Uganda	Bunya	2017	7.0%	13.6%	2.6%
Uganda	Ruhinda	2017	0.9%	2.6%	0.3%
Uganda	Bukoto	2017	3.7%	6.4%	2.0%
Uganda	Chekwii	2017	44.2%	55.4%	34.0%
Uganda	Bushenyi-Ishaka Municipality	2017	0.4%	1.2%	0.1%
Uganda	Bujenje	2017	8.3%	17.6%	2.6%
Uganda	West Budama	2017	14.3%	20.0%	11.1%
Uganda	Burahya	2017	2.5%	4.4%	0.9%
Uganda	Kalaki	2017	23.0%	35.4%	12.3%
Uganda	Pian	2017	57.3%	71.4%	41.6%
Uganda	Bungokho	2017	10.2%	13.0%	7.7%
Uganda	Kumi Municipality	2017	6.1%	18.3%	1.0%
Uganda	Soroti	2017	17.8%	23.0%	12.4%
Uganda	Aringa	2017	19.0%	26.4%	13.3%
Uganda	Luuka	2017	7.8%	10.7%	5.4%
Uganda	Obongi	2017	21.6%	34.2%	12.3%
Uganda	Bbaale	2017	6.9%	14.5%	2.3%
Uganda	Bulamogi	2017	6.0%	9.6%	3.6%
Uganda	Bugahya	2017	6.3%	12.3%	2.9%
Uganda	Ruhaama	2017	3.8%	7.7%	1.7%
Uganda	Bughendera	2017	4.2%	8.5%	1.6%
Uganda	Buyanja	2017	6.0%	12.4%	2.2%
Uganda	Busujju	2017	3.2%	8.8%	0.9%
Uganda	Masindi Municipality	2017	7.0%	10.6%	4.1%
Uganda	Kotido Municipality	2017	79.0%	85.8%	72.6%
Uganda	Budiope	2017	12.2%	17.9%	7.9%
Uganda	Bubulo West	2017	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%
Uganda	Kabula	2017	5.6%	10.1%	3.1%
Uganda	Buwekula	2017	6.5%	12.6%	2.5%
Uganda	Okoro	2017	9.8%	15.5%	5.2%
Uganda	Terego	2017	16.5%	23.0%	11.7%
Uganda	Mityana Municipality	2017	3.0%	9.7%	0.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Rwampara	2017	5.4%	13.8%	1.1%
Uganda	Maracha	2017	19.5%	26.4%	12.6%
Uganda	Busia Municipality	2017	0.8%	2.8%	0.1%
Uganda	Erute	2017	10.3%	14.9%	6.9%
Uganda	Entebbe Municipality	2017	7.8%	17.0%	4.3%
Uganda	Kongasis	2017	25.1%	43.0%	8.2%
Uganda	Amuria	2017	16.0%	24.5%	9.4%
Uganda	Ayivu	2017	15.1%	21.0%	8.0%
Uganda	Kashari	2017	2.0%	4.4%	0.5%
Uganda	Chua	2017	18.2%	25.6%	11.8%
Uganda	Madi Okollo	2017	22.9%	32.9%	14.5%
Uganda	Gulu Municipality	2017	4.5%	5.5%	3.6%
Uganda	Toroma	2017	15.8%	29.2%	7.0%
Uganda	Bukoto	2017	6.2%	12.7%	2.5%
Uganda	Kabale Municipality	2017	1.1%	1.7%	0.6%
Uganda	Kyadondo	2017	1.3%	2.3%	0.7%
Uganda	Pokot	2017	62.1%	73.7%	49.9%
Uganda	Matheniko	2017	67.8%	74.3%	59.9%
Uganda	Bugiri Municipality	2017	2.7%	5.2%	1.3%
Uganda	Mubende Municipality	2017	5.7%	12.1%	2.1%
Uganda	Gomba	2017	8.7%	14.9%	4.4%
Uganda	Samia-Bugwe	2017	6.5%	12.1%	2.9%
Uganda	Kassanda	2017	5.4%	9.5%	2.8%
Uganda	Rukiga	2017	2.2%	7.1%	0.3%
Uganda	Katuuto East	2017	5.4%	16.1%	0.9%
Uganda	Bukooli North	2017	9.3%	15.3%	5.2%
Uganda	Busiro	2017	3.7%	5.7%	2.4%
Uganda	Lamwo	2017	21.2%	29.2%	15.0%
Uganda	Labwor	2017	30.0%	41.9%	19.0%
Uganda	Kasilo	2017	17.5%	27.4%	9.8%
Uganda	Dokolo	2017	11.8%	19.6%	5.3%
Uganda	Tororo Municipality	2017	22.3%	27.0%	17.7%
Uganda	Kalungu	2017	3.3%	7.4%	1.6%
Uganda	Bamunanika	2017	5.5%	10.8%	2.8%
Uganda	Manjiya	2017	9.1%	14.8%	5.3%
Uganda	Rushenyi	2017	3.3%	10.3%	0.4%
Uganda	Ndorwa	2017	1.8%	5.1%	0.4%
Uganda	Fort Portal Municipality	2017	0.9%	1.6%	0.4%
Uganda	Mawogola	2017	9.1%	14.8%	4.9%
Uganda	Bunyangabu	2017	2.3%	6.4%	0.8%
Uganda	Nakaseke	2017	7.1%	14.2%	3.1%
Uganda	Katerera	2017	2.1%	6.1%	0.4%
Uganda	Jie	2017	51.0%	60.9%	42.8%
Uganda	Bukanga	2017	7.5%	15.6%	3.1%
Uganda	Kazo	2017	8.8%	15.7%	3.7%
Uganda	Kinkiizi	2017	4.5%	8.2%	1.9%
Uganda	Moroto	2017	13.5%	19.8%	7.9%
Uganda	Bujumba	2017	6.6%	16.4%	1.8%
Uganda	Agago	2017	18.2%	25.9%	11.0%
Uganda	Bufumbira	2017	2.2%	5.4%	0.6%
Uganda	Lwemiyaga	2017	10.2%	24.4%	3.0%
Uganda	Mukono Municipality	2017	0.7%	1.4%	0.3%
Uganda	Nakifuma	2017	3.1%	6.3%	0.8%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Butebo	2017	13.2%	22.5%	6.7%
Uganda	Mawokota	2017	4.5%	8.5%	1.8%
Uganda	Koboko	2017	14.1%	22.3%	8.7%
Uganda	Mityana	2017	4.4%	9.8%	1.5%
Uganda	Makindye Ssabagabo Municipality	2017	2.3%	3.2%	1.6%
Uganda	Kagoma	2017	6.5%	10.5%	3.8%
Uganda	Buliisa	2017	11.8%	19.7%	5.8%
Uganda	Jinja Municipality	2017	1.8%	2.7%	1.1%
Uganda	Koboko Municipality	2017	1.2%	3.4%	0.5%
Uganda	Isingiro	2017	7.3%	11.9%	3.7%
Uganda	Kapchorwa Municipality	2017	3.9%	7.9%	1.7%
Uganda	Kamuli Municipality	2017	4.7%	10.9%	1.9%
Uganda	Aswa	2017	13.2%	19.2%	8.7%
Uganda	Dodoth	2017	46.6%	53.3%	40.4%
Uganda	Sheema	2017	0.9%	3.1%	0.1%
Uganda	Serere	2017	15.2%	25.6%	7.7%
Uganda	Budadiri	2017	9.5%	14.3%	5.5%
Uganda	Nansana Municipality	2017	1.8%	2.9%	1.0%
Uganda	Tororo	2017	5.5%	8.6%	3.7%
Uganda	Bulambuli	2017	8.9%	17.4%	3.0%
Uganda	Igara	2017	1.9%	4.5%	0.5%
Uganda	West Moyo	2017	15.5%	28.0%	7.8%
Uganda	Kcca	2017	3.1%	3.8%	2.5%
Uganda	Katikamu	2017	3.3%	6.8%	1.3%
Uganda	Apac Municipality	2017	6.0%	13.6%	2.2%
Uganda	Mbale Municipality	2017	9.3%	11.6%	7.3%
Uganda	Kilak	2017	18.6%	25.7%	12.5%
Uganda	Bukonzo	2017	4.6%	10.2%	1.6%
Uganda	Kakuuto North	2017	8.1%	27.7%	0.6%
Uganda	Kasambya	2017	8.2%	15.7%	3.7%
Uganda	Kigulu	2017	4.5%	7.3%	2.8%
Uganda	Budaka	2017	18.8%	33.5%	8.2%
Uganda	Busiki	2017	8.9%	14.8%	4.8%
Uganda	Kapelebyong	2017	19.1%	32.4%	8.8%
Uganda	Bunyole	2017	12.1%	20.1%	6.8%
Uganda	Rubabo	2017	1.0%	3.2%	0.3%
Uganda	Hoima Municipality	2017	0.7%	1.5%	0.3%
Uganda	Soroti Municipality	2017	4.5%	9.4%	2.6%
Uganda	Buruli	2017	10.4%	16.7%	5.2%
Uganda	Kibanda	2017	9.9%	14.8%	6.2%
Uganda	Kitgum Municipality	2017	1.9%	3.2%	0.8%
Uganda	Buhaguzi	2017	10.8%	18.4%	5.4%
Uganda	Masaka Municipality	2017	2.2%	5.6%	0.7%
Uganda	Bukooli	2017	11.7%	20.5%	6.1%
Uganda	Buvuma Island	2017	15.5%	27.7%	7.1%
Uganda	Moroto Municipality	2017	30.3%	40.5%	22.0%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Aruu	2017	16.7%	25.7%	10.1%
Uganda	Kole	2017	11.0%	15.5%	7.2%
Uganda	Sheema Municipality	2017	0.8%	2.6%	0.1%
Uganda	Buhweju	2017	2.9%	6.9%	0.9%
Uganda	Nebbi Municipality	2017	6.6%	15.6%	3.1%
Uganda	Ibanda	2017	4.8%	9.2%	2.2%
Uganda	Kitagwenda	2017	7.2%	13.3%	3.3%
Uganda	Busongora	2017	8.2%	13.1%	4.0%
Uganda	Butambala	2017	5.0%	12.1%	1.6%
Uganda	Bwamba	2017	5.5%	12.0%	2.0%
Uganda	Kibale	2017	7.6%	14.1%	3.5%
Uganda	Rujumbura	2017	8.4%	15.5%	3.1%
Uganda	Kiboga	2017	8.3%	13.4%	3.9%
Uganda	Bokora	2017	55.5%	63.5%	48.1%
Uganda	Mbarara Municipality	2017	2.2%	4.8%	0.8%
Uganda	Kasese Municipality	2017	6.7%	13.0%	3.2%
Uganda	Agule	2017	7.8%	17.5%	2.8%
Uganda	Mwenge	2017	5.7%	10.1%	2.7%
Uganda	Lira Municipality	2017	2.2%	2.9%	1.5%
Uganda	Kyotera	2017	4.8%	8.7%	2.1%
Uganda	Kajara	2017	2.4%	9.3%	0.6%
Uganda	Ntungamo Municipality	2017	2.6%	6.5%	0.5%
Uganda	Iganga Municipality	2017	4.5%	6.2%	3.0%
Uganda	Bukedea	2017	18.3%	25.3%	11.9%
Uganda	Buyaga	2017	5.9%	10.0%	2.3%
Uganda	Bugabula	2017	10.7%	15.0%	6.4%
Uganda	Kibuku	2017	17.2%	32.1%	8.0%
Uganda	Bubulo East	2017	4.2%	5.7%	2.9%
Uganda	Padyere	2017	12.7%	26.3%	5.8%
Uganda	Rubanda	2017	1.8%	4.6%	0.5%
Uganda	Njeru Municipality	2017	6.2%	9.3%	4.3%
Uganda	Butembe	2017	2.4%	4.4%	1.2%
Uganda	Ngora	2017	18.4%	30.0%	9.5%
Uganda	Bunyaruguru	2017	7.4%	11.9%	3.6%
Uganda	Buruli	2017	12.8%	22.6%	6.4%
Uganda	East Moyo	2017	20.0%	27.7%	13.9%
Uganda	Omoro	2017	14.4%	22.7%	8.4%
Uganda	Maruzi	2017	8.5%	13.8%	4.5%
Uganda	Otuke	2017	22.3%	32.4%	13.0%
Uganda	Kyaka	2017	7.5%	13.4%	3.2%
Uganda	Ntenjeru	2017	1.7%	4.2%	0.8%
Uganda	Tingey	2017	6.3%	12.6%	2.2%
Uganda	Kira Municipality	2017	1.3%	2.2%	0.8%
Uganda	Iki-Iki	2017	17.4%	23.8%	10.0%
Uganda	Pallisa	2017	11.2%	20.6%	5.5%
Uganda	Bugangaizi	2017	8.8%	15.2%	3.5%
Uganda	Buikwe	2017	4.1%	9.6%	1.0%
Uganda	Oyam	2017	11.9%	16.6%	8.6%
Uganda	Jonam	2017	9.7%	17.0%	4.8%
Uganda	Bukomansimbi	2017	1.2%	3.0%	0.5%
Uganda	Buzaaya	2017	1.8%	5.2%	0.7%
Uganda	Ntoroko	2017	11.7%	19.9%	5.5%
Uganda	Usuk	2017	31.9%	43.2%	22.3%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Uganda	Kaberamaido	2017	12.1%	27.3%	3.9%
Uganda	Kisoro Municipality	2017	0.6%	1.3%	0.2%
Uganda	Nwoya	2017	14.7%	21.5%	9.6%
Uganda	Lugazi Municipality	2017	2.8%	6.0%	1.0%
Uganda	Katuuto West	2017	9.5%	18.6%	2.6%
Uganda	Bugweri	2017	5.0%	8.6%	2.9%
Uganda	Kyamuswa	2017	4.2%	9.3%	1.2%
Uganda	Kooki	2017	8.4%	14.9%	3.9%
Uganda	Kween	2017	13.7%	24.9%	6.2%
Zambia	Mumbwa	2000	14.5%	20.3%	9.5%
Zambia	Mansa	2000	9.0%	13.2%	5.2%
Zambia	Kalomo	2000	18.1%	24.7%	11.9%
Zambia	Ndola	2000	13.8%	18.0%	10.1%
Zambia	Senanga	2000	26.5%	35.1%	19.3%
Zambia	Chavuma	2000	13.1%	20.2%	7.2%
Zambia	Nakonde	2000	6.3%	9.0%	3.7%
Zambia	Zambezi	2000	15.4%	21.7%	10.0%
Zambia	Solwezi	2000	8.4%	12.7%	5.4%
Zambia	Kasempa	2000	12.3%	17.0%	8.3%
Zambia	Kitwe	2000	3.8%	5.5%	2.6%
Zambia	Kaoma	2000	16.9%	21.1%	12.9%
Zambia	Samfya	2000	14.3%	19.3%	10.2%
Zambia	Siavonga	2000	25.1%	35.7%	16.4%
Zambia	Masaiti	2000	11.0%	20.9%	4.2%
Zambia	Chibombo	2000	9.1%	12.8%	6.5%
Zambia	Kalabo	2000	31.3%	36.8%	24.7%
Zambia	Mazabuka	2000	19.0%	24.5%	14.3%
Zambia	Kazungula	2000	22.6%	28.8%	17.1%
Zambia	Mambwe	2000	19.8%	29.6%	11.3%
Zambia	Mongu	2000	16.0%	21.6%	11.4%
Zambia	Mpulungu	2000	13.2%	18.5%	9.3%
Zambia	Lusaka	2000	5.9%	6.6%	5.4%
Zambia	Lufwanyama	2000	10.0%	16.2%	5.4%
Zambia	Kafue	2000	15.9%	22.4%	10.9%
Zambia	Mungwi	2000	19.2%	25.3%	14.0%
Zambia	Kabompo	2000	10.8%	15.6%	6.4%
Zambia	Mporokoso	2000	8.1%	12.0%	4.5%
Zambia	Mufumbwe	2000	13.8%	18.3%	9.7%
Zambia	Chadiza	2000	28.3%	37.9%	19.4%
Zambia	Namwala	2000	19.7%	24.9%	14.9%
Zambia	Mbala	2000	8.5%	14.0%	4.5%
Zambia	Shangombo	2000	21.3%	28.5%	14.2%
Zambia	Chama	2000	15.3%	21.1%	10.7%
Zambia	Chililabombwe	2000	20.8%	32.2%	10.8%
Zambia	MPongwe	2000	12.3%	21.9%	6.6%
Zambia	Petauke	2000	25.4%	32.4%	19.1%
Zambia	Chongwe	2000	16.3%	22.7%	10.8%
Zambia	Itezhi-Tezhi	2000	26.3%	35.1%	18.0%
Zambia	Lundazi	2000	14.3%	20.8%	9.3%
Zambia	Chiengi	2000	6.3%	13.5%	1.5%
Zambia	Luangwa	2000	34.4%	45.7%	24.1%
Zambia	Sinazongwe	2000	36.1%	46.3%	25.4%
Zambia	Sesheke	2000	22.4%	27.8%	18.4%
Zambia	Nyimba	2000	15.1%	21.4%	10.4%
Zambia	Chipata	2000	14.3%	20.9%	8.1%
Zambia	Isoka	2000	8.9%	13.4%	4.6%
Zambia	Serenje	2000	13.1%	17.5%	9.5%
Zambia	Mwinilunga	2000	8.5%	12.2%	5.7%
Zambia	Kasama	2000	8.9%	14.0%	5.4%
Zambia	Luwingu	2000	10.9%	16.4%	6.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Chinsali	2000	15.3%	20.2%	11.2%
Zambia	Chilubi	2000	13.9%	23.3%	6.0%
Zambia	Livingstone	2000	17.5%	24.3%	11.7%
Zambia	Chingola	2000	29.8%	38.3%	19.2%
Zambia	Mpika	2000	11.0%	14.0%	8.6%
Zambia	Mufulira	2000	26.6%	31.2%	22.4%
Zambia	Milenge	2000	16.0%	24.6%	9.0%
Zambia	Kalulushi	2000	18.4%	29.4%	11.4%
Zambia	Lukulu	2000	18.9%	25.4%	13.4%
Zambia	Kaputa	2000	10.9%	16.4%	6.4%
Zambia	Gwembe	2000	27.7%	38.9%	18.6%
Zambia	Mkushi	2000	12.3%	16.0%	8.2%
Zambia	Kapiri Mposhi	2000	12.7%	17.0%	9.1%
Zambia	Katete	2000	17.1%	24.7%	10.9%
Zambia	Nchelenge	2000	5.3%	10.5%	1.5%
Zambia	Kabwe	2000	15.8%	21.1%	11.6%
Zambia	Choma	2000	22.0%	31.2%	14.7%
Zambia	Monze	2000	18.0%	25.2%	12.1%
Zambia	Luanshya	2000	6.4%	12.1%	2.8%
Zambia	Kawambwa	2000	6.5%	10.2%	3.5%
Zambia	Mwense	2000	7.3%	12.3%	3.7%
Zambia	Lundazi	2017	28.8%	35.9%	22.6%
Zambia	Kasama	2017	16.3%	23.6%	11.6%
Zambia	Masaiti	2017	20.4%	31.8%	11.4%
Zambia	Lufwanyama	2017	19.2%	28.0%	12.6%
Zambia	Serenje	2017	20.3%	25.9%	16.0%
Zambia	Samfya	2017	25.2%	32.4%	19.4%
Zambia	Lusaka	2017	13.0%	13.8%	12.2%
Zambia	Nakonde	2017	10.0%	13.5%	6.8%
Zambia	Senanga	2017	43.2%	52.0%	34.3%
Zambia	Chiengi	2017	10.5%	16.7%	4.8%
Zambia	Mpulungu	2017	20.6%	27.3%	15.9%
Zambia	Chibombo	2017	16.3%	19.9%	13.5%
Zambia	Chama	2017	27.0%	32.5%	21.7%
Zambia	Chongwe	2017	22.4%	29.3%	16.5%
Zambia	Petauke	2017	33.4%	40.4%	27.1%
Zambia	Kazungula	2017	35.4%	41.9%	29.2%
Zambia	Mwinilunga	2017	15.7%	19.8%	12.4%
Zambia	Zambezi	2017	26.4%	33.1%	19.3%
Zambia	Chingola	2017	35.6%	44.1%	26.2%
Zambia	Kabompo	2017	19.0%	25.1%	13.4%
Zambia	Kalabo	2017	42.7%	48.4%	35.3%
Zambia	Mufumbwe	2017	23.6%	28.7%	18.4%
Zambia	Mongu	2017	28.1%	33.0%	23.5%
Zambia	Chavuma	2017	23.8%	33.2%	15.8%
Zambia	Chililabombwe	2017	17.8%	28.9%	9.2%
Zambia	Chadiza	2017	37.8%	46.9%	28.9%
Zambia	Livingstone	2017	17.4%	22.5%	12.9%
Zambia	Itezhi-Tezhi	2017	38.6%	48.2%	28.3%
Zambia	Luangwa	2017	41.0%	52.3%	30.7%
Zambia	Shangombo	2017	34.5%	42.5%	25.5%
Zambia	Kalomo	2017	29.7%	36.6%	22.1%
Zambia	Mufulira	2017	29.6%	35.2%	24.6%
Zambia	Kalulushi	2017	29.5%	40.8%	19.9%
Zambia	Kafue	2017	21.5%	26.3%	17.3%
Zambia	Mbala	2017	16.5%	22.4%	11.4%
Zambia	Mungwi	2017	28.2%	36.0%	21.6%
Zambia	Mazabuka	2017	29.1%	35.8%	23.0%
Zambia	Mporokoso	2017	14.7%	20.1%	9.2%
Zambia	Lukulu	2017	31.9%	39.2%	25.0%
Zambia	Siavonga	2017	37.5%	47.4%	28.4%
Zambia	Sinazongwe	2017	42.8%	52.3%	32.5%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zambia	Milenge	2017	25.6%	38.8%	14.7%
Zambia	Kawambwa	2017	11.1%	15.2%	7.7%
Zambia	Chinsali	2017	22.2%	27.0%	17.5%
Zambia	Katete	2017	30.4%	38.0%	22.9%
Zambia	Nyimba	2017	31.2%	37.8%	25.5%
Zambia	Mumbwa	2017	25.0%	31.6%	19.0%
Zambia	Kabwe	2017	21.9%	27.7%	16.0%
Zambia	Kasempa	2017	20.5%	26.9%	15.5%
Zambia	Sesheke	2017	37.5%	43.6%	32.5%
Zambia	Namwala	2017	32.1%	37.5%	25.9%
Zambia	MPongwe	2017	21.5%	30.7%	13.6%
Zambia	Kaputa	2017	17.7%	24.3%	11.6%
Zambia	Chilubi	2017	25.0%	36.4%	14.1%
Zambia	Mpika	2017	17.4%	21.0%	14.2%
Zambia	Luwingu	2017	20.4%	27.4%	14.3%
Zambia	Monze	2017	27.3%	34.2%	21.3%
Zambia	Mkushi	2017	21.0%	25.9%	15.7%
Zambia	Luanshya	2017	21.4%	30.8%	14.7%
Zambia	Mansa	2017	21.4%	27.1%	15.6%
Zambia	Kaoma	2017	28.6%	33.3%	24.6%
Zambia	Isoka	2017	15.5%	20.8%	10.0%
Zambia	Kapiri Mposhi	2017	21.3%	26.9%	16.8%
Zambia	Nchelenge	2017	9.4%	16.1%	4.2%
Zambia	Solwezi	2017	14.8%	19.9%	10.6%
Zambia	Chipata	2017	24.2%	31.3%	16.9%
Zambia	Choma	2017	31.7%	39.5%	24.9%
Zambia	Ndola	2017	18.6%	23.6%	14.7%
Zambia	Mwense	2017	11.4%	16.2%	6.8%
Zambia	Gwembe	2017	38.8%	51.8%	28.4%
Zambia	Mambwe	2017	31.7%	42.5%	22.3%
Zambia	Kitwe	2017	15.7%	19.3%	13.1%
Zimbabwe	Bikita	2000	58.6%	64.7%	53.0%
Zimbabwe	Mutare	2000	34.6%	38.4%	30.5%
Zimbabwe	Umzingwane	2000	55.5%	64.0%	46.9%
Zimbabwe	Insiza	2000	60.4%	66.4%	54.1%
Zimbabwe	Chivi	2000	58.0%	64.9%	51.1%
Zimbabwe	Mutasa	2000	30.9%	39.1%	22.3%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe North	2000	72.5%	77.8%	65.8%
Zimbabwe	Bulawayo	2000	7.6%	10.3%	5.5%
Zimbabwe	Chegutu	2000	39.1%	45.9%	32.5%
Zimbabwe	Centenary	2000	62.0%	70.2%	52.5%
Zimbabwe	Mangwe (South)	2000	60.5%	66.9%	53.7%
Zimbabwe	Shamva	2000	58.3%	66.7%	49.8%
Zimbabwe	Makonde	2000	48.2%	54.1%	42.5%
Zimbabwe	Harare	2000	10.3%	12.2%	8.6%
Zimbabwe	Seke	2000	38.3%	46.1%	28.9%
Zimbabwe	Chirumhanzu	2000	52.1%	62.0%	42.3%
Zimbabwe	Zvishavane	2000	46.9%	57.9%	36.7%
Zimbabwe	Gutu	2000	55.6%	61.6%	49.4%
Zimbabwe	Nyanga	2000	33.1%	38.9%	27.8%
Zimbabwe	Gweru	2000	25.3%	30.6%	21.1%
Zimbabwe	Hwange	2000	48.3%	53.4%	43.8%
Zimbabwe	Shurugwi	2000	43.8%	52.8%	36.1%
Zimbabwe	Lupane	2000	76.3%	81.2%	71.8%
Zimbabwe	Chiredzi	2000	60.6%	64.0%	56.6%
Zimbabwe	Binga	2000	81.0%	84.5%	76.6%
Zimbabwe	Gwanda	2000	54.1%	61.1%	46.8%
Zimbabwe	Buhera	2000	56.7%	62.9%	49.9%
Zimbabwe	Zaka	2000	65.9%	73.7%	58.3%
Zimbabwe	Kwekwe	2000	43.9%	50.0%	38.8%
Zimbabwe	Wedza	2000	45.5%	55.0%	35.2%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zimbabwe	Murehwa	2000	44.7%	52.7%	37.3%
Zimbabwe	Mberengwa	2000	62.7%	69.6%	54.6%
Zimbabwe	Zvimba	2000	34.9%	40.0%	30.4%
Zimbabwe	Tsholotsho	2000	73.2%	78.3%	67.9%
Zimbabwe	Matobo	2000	44.2%	50.7%	38.2%
Zimbabwe	Kadoma	2000	55.2%	61.0%	47.8%
Zimbabwe	Kariba	2000	64.4%	73.6%	53.3%
Zimbabwe	Guruve	2000	57.2%	63.8%	51.2%
Zimbabwe	Goromonzi	2000	34.5%	40.5%	28.1%
Zimbabwe	Mazowe	2000	34.3%	39.6%	29.3%
Zimbabwe	UMP	2000	55.4%	63.7%	47.2%
Zimbabwe	Nkayi	2000	72.7%	78.3%	66.9%
Zimbabwe	Makoni	2000	42.7%	49.4%	36.0%
Zimbabwe	Rushinga	2000	63.6%	71.5%	54.1%
Zimbabwe	Chikomba	2000	48.8%	57.1%	41.5%
Zimbabwe	Mudzi	2000	58.2%	64.9%	52.3%
Zimbabwe	Umguzo	2000	34.0%	41.3%	28.1%
Zimbabwe	Bindura	2000	40.4%	46.7%	35.0%
Zimbabwe	Mwenezi	2000	66.3%	70.5%	60.3%
Zimbabwe	Hurungwe	2000	62.1%	66.0%	57.0%
Zimbabwe	Chipinge	2000	48.0%	54.2%	41.7%
Zimbabwe	Beitbridge	2000	63.5%	67.7%	58.9%
Zimbabwe	Bulilima (North)	2000	65.7%	71.6%	59.0%
Zimbabwe	Masvingo	2000	42.7%	48.5%	37.5%
Zimbabwe	Mount Darwin	2000	56.9%	64.3%	49.0%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe South	2000	64.9%	69.4%	60.2%
Zimbabwe	Chimanimani	2000	34.6%	43.8%	25.7%
Zimbabwe	Bubi	2000	61.0%	67.4%	53.7%
Zimbabwe	Mutoko	2000	44.9%	52.9%	37.7%
Zimbabwe	Marondera	2000	27.3%	33.2%	22.1%
Zimbabwe	Chirumhanzu	2017	35.0%	45.4%	26.0%
Zimbabwe	Nyanga	2017	20.1%	25.3%	15.6%
Zimbabwe	Bindura	2017	22.0%	27.7%	17.2%
Zimbabwe	Bulawayo	2017	1.0%	1.4%	0.7%
Zimbabwe	Chegutu	2017	22.4%	28.1%	17.4%
Zimbabwe	Umzingwane	2017	39.9%	49.6%	29.9%
Zimbabwe	Chikomba	2017	31.1%	38.7%	24.3%
Zimbabwe	Mutoko	2017	30.1%	37.6%	23.9%
Zimbabwe	Mangwe (South)	2017	44.6%	50.8%	37.7%
Zimbabwe	Kwekwe	2017	27.0%	31.3%	23.2%
Zimbabwe	Shamva	2017	33.9%	41.8%	25.2%
Zimbabwe	Gweru	2017	13.9%	17.3%	11.2%
Zimbabwe	Makonde	2017	30.8%	35.6%	25.8%
Zimbabwe	Mberengwa	2017	47.3%	55.5%	39.4%
Zimbabwe	Gutu	2017	38.7%	45.6%	32.0%
Zimbabwe	Buhera	2017	40.0%	46.4%	33.2%
Zimbabwe	Masvingo	2017	27.9%	33.0%	23.6%
Zimbabwe	Marondera	2017	13.0%	18.5%	9.5%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe North	2017	55.5%	61.9%	46.6%
Zimbabwe	Murehwa	2017	28.2%	36.9%	21.7%
Zimbabwe	Wedza	2017	29.9%	38.0%	21.3%
Zimbabwe	Matobo	2017	31.9%	36.8%	26.7%
Zimbabwe	Zaka	2017	50.4%	59.3%	42.7%
Zimbabwe	Shurugwi	2017	27.4%	35.1%	20.8%
Zimbabwe	Harare	2017	2.1%	2.8%	1.7%
Zimbabwe	Zvimba	2017	20.3%	24.5%	16.4%
Zimbabwe	Chiredzi	2017	44.5%	48.5%	40.4%
Zimbabwe	Mutasa	2017	13.7%	18.5%	8.6%
Zimbabwe	Kariba	2017	41.6%	50.5%	33.6%

Open Defecation Proportion by Second Administrative Unit (*continued*)

Country	Second Administrative Unit	Year	Mean	Upper	Lower
Zimbabwe	Chivi	2017	43.1%	50.6%	36.1%
Zimbabwe	Lupane	2017	61.4%	67.6%	55.4%
Zimbabwe	Tsholotsho	2017	59.3%	66.0%	53.0%
Zimbabwe	Mazowe	2017	17.8%	22.7%	13.7%
Zimbabwe	Chipinge	2017	27.0%	32.7%	21.9%
Zimbabwe	Rushinga	2017	46.1%	56.7%	36.1%
Zimbabwe	Centenary	2017	42.7%	51.4%	34.1%
Zimbabwe	Binga	2017	66.9%	72.0%	61.3%
Zimbabwe	Gokwe South	2017	47.6%	52.4%	42.4%
Zimbabwe	Nkayi	2017	57.4%	64.7%	50.2%
Zimbabwe	Hwange	2017	34.2%	37.5%	30.9%
Zimbabwe	Insiza	2017	44.3%	50.6%	38.6%
Zimbabwe	Bikita	2017	42.5%	49.2%	36.2%
Zimbabwe	Seke	2017	22.0%	27.7%	15.4%
Zimbabwe	Umguzu	2017	22.4%	27.3%	18.1%
Zimbabwe	Mudzi	2017	41.7%	49.7%	35.5%
Zimbabwe	Mutare	2017	15.8%	19.4%	12.9%
Zimbabwe	Guruve	2017	38.7%	45.9%	31.8%
Zimbabwe	Mwenezi	2017	50.3%	55.5%	44.9%
Zimbabwe	Makoni	2017	25.5%	32.2%	20.5%
Zimbabwe	Hurungwe	2017	42.7%	46.6%	37.9%
Zimbabwe	UMP	2017	38.2%	47.8%	30.4%
Zimbabwe	Gwanda	2017	37.7%	43.7%	31.6%
Zimbabwe	Bulilima (North)	2017	52.1%	59.1%	45.0%
Zimbabwe	Beitbridge	2017	47.9%	52.4%	43.1%
Zimbabwe	Kadoma	2017	34.9%	40.7%	27.9%
Zimbabwe	Goromonzi	2017	16.9%	22.5%	12.3%
Zimbabwe	Zvishavane	2017	28.4%	38.0%	20.3%
Zimbabwe	Mount Darwin	2017	39.9%	48.2%	32.9%
Zimbabwe	Chimanimani	2017	19.6%	27.7%	12.3%
Zimbabwe	Bubi	2017	43.7%	51.1%	37.1%